Whole-Genome Sequencing of Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated From Bovine Mastitis and Raw Milk: The First Emergence of Colistin mcr-10 and Fosfomycin fosA5 Resistance Genes in Klebsiella pneumoniae in Middle East
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Antimicrobial resistance is a major concern in the dairy industry. This study investigated the prevalence, antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, and genome sequencing of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from clinical (n = 350) and subclinical (n = 95) bovine mastitis, and raw unpasteurized milk (n = 125). Klebsiella pneumoniae, Aeromonas hydrophila, Enterobacter cloacae (100% each), Escherichia coli (87.78%), and Proteus mirabilis (69.7%) were the most prevalent multidrug-resistant (MDR) species. Extensive drug-resistance (XDR) phenotype was found in P. mirabilis (30.30%) and E. coli (3.33%) isolates. Ten isolates (four E. coli, three Klebsiella species and three P. mirabilis) that displayed the highest multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) indices (0.54–0.83), were exposed to whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Two multilocus sequence types (MLST): ST2165 and ST7624 were identified among the sequenced E. coli isolates. Three E. coli isolates (two from clinical mastitis and one from raw milk) belonging to ST2165 displayed the highest multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) indices (0.54–0.83), were exposed to whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Two multilocus sequence types (MLST): ST2165 and ST7624 were identified among the sequenced E. coli isolates. Three E. coli isolates (two from clinical mastitis and one from raw milk) belonging to ST2165 showed similar profile of plasmid replicon types: IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, and IncQ1 with an exception to an isolate that contained IncR, whereas E. coli ST7624 showed a different plasmid profile including IncHI2, IncHI2A, IncI1α, and IncFII replicon types. ResFinder findings revealed the presence of plasmid-mediated colistin mcr-10 and fosfomycin fosA5 resistance genes in a K. pneumoniae (K1) isolate from bovine milk. Sequence
analysis of the reconstructed mcr-10 plasmid from WGS of K1 isolate, showed that mcr-10 gene was bracketed by xerC and insertion sequence IS26 on an IncFIB plasmid. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that K1 isolate existed in a clade including mcr-10-harboring isolates from human and environment with different STs and countries [United Kingdom (ST788), Australia (ST323), Malawi (ST2144), Myanmar (ST705), and Laos (ST2355)]. This study reports the first emergence of K. pneumoniae co-harboring mcr-10 and fosA5 genes from bovine milk in the Middle East, which constitutes a public health threat and heralds the penetration of the last-resort antibiotics. Hence, prudent use of antibiotics in both humans and animals and antimicrobial surveillance plans are urgently required.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Mastitis is one of the most prevalent diseases in dairy cows, producing well-recognized detrimental effects on animal health and profitability of dairy farms (Ruegg, 2017; Abd El-Aziz et al., 2021a). Treatment of mastitis is often applied without prior knowledge of cause-related agents and mostly with broad-spectrum antimicrobials, which are known to enhance resistance evolution to a greater extent. This increases the selective pressure on potentially present pathogens and is considered a possible human health hazard (Neyra et al., 2014; Abd El-Aziz et al., 2021b).

Raw or processed milk is a good environment that promotes the existence of many pathogens, which could be either directly obtained via dairy cows, or indirectly from the environment of the farm (Oliver et al., 2005). *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) O157:H7 outbreaks associated with the consumption of raw milk have been described (Disassa et al., 2017; Ababu et al., 2020). The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials has led to the development of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria in raw milk, in particular *E. coli* O157:H7, Klebsiella pneumoniae (*K. pneumoniae*), Aeromonas hydrophila (*A. hydrophila*), and Proteus mirabilis (*P. mirabilis*) (Zelalem and Bernard, 2006; Oliver et al., 2009; Garedew et al., 2012).

Resistance to most antimicrobial classes and the lack of new antimicrobial agents against Gram-negative bacteria potentiates the re-use of old antibiotics, particularly polymyxins such as colistin (Biswas et al., 2012). Colistin is currently known as the last-resort antimicrobial agent for the treatment of infections caused by MDR Gram-negative bacteria (Poirel et al., 2017). It binds to the negatively charged lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, leading to disruption of the membrane, induces the cytoplasmic material to fade away, and eventually cell death (Yahav et al., 2012).

Colistin has been greatly utilized in the agricultural system and veterinary medicine for decades, as a growth promoter and for the treatment of enterobacterial infections (Catry et al., 2015). Extensive colistin usages in livestock have facilitated the rapid spread of the mcr resistance genes (Shen et al., 2016). The mcr-1 plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene has been first reported in Enterobacteriales isolated from animals, food, and humans in China (Liu et al., 2016). Numerous studies have documented plasmid-borne mcr variants (mcr-1 to mcr-9) from this time onward (Xavier et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2017; Borowiak et al., 2019; Carroll et al., 2019; Gelbícová et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). However, studies focused on mcr-resistant isolates from mastitis and raw unpasteurized milk have received little attention.

Here, the genome sequences and genetic relatedness between MDR and extensive drug-resistant [XDR; resistance to at least one antibiotic in all tested antimicrobial groups except one or two as demonstrated in the worksheet of Magiorakos et al. (2012)]. Gram-negative bacteria isolated from bovine milk samples have been studied for the first time. This study aimed to: (1) investigate the occurrence and resistance phenotypes of the Gram-negative bacteria in mastitic cow’s milk as well as raw unpasteurized milk that consumed by the public; (2) identify the multilocus sequence types (MLST), resistance genes, and plasmid replicon types of MDR and XDR Gram-negative isolates; (3) determine the genetic environment of mcr-10 gene and epidemiological relatedness between the study isolate and the publicly available genomes of *K. pneumoniae* isolates harboring mcr genes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Milk Samples**

This study was carried out during the period between October 2018 and August 2020. A total of 445 quarter milk samples were collected from lactating cows in smallholder farms with clinical (*n* = 350) and subclinical (*n* = 95) mastitis. Moreover, 125 raw unpasteurized milk samples were collected from retail milk outlets located in Zagazig City, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt. Clinical mastitis was diagnosed based on the cardinal signs of inflammation and the changes in the milk of the infected mammary quarters. California mastitis test was performed on apparently normal milk samples for diagnosis of subclinical mastitis. The milk samples were aseptically collected from mastitic dairy cows before the antibiotic therapy following the standard procedures (National Mastitis Council, 1999). Collected milk samples were labeled, kept in an icebox, and transferred immediately to the Microbiology laboratory for further bacteriological analysis.
Isolation and Identification of Gram-Negative Bacteria

Ten milliliters of each milk sample were enriched in 90 mL of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW, Oxoid, United Kingdom) and incubated overnight at 37°C. A loopful from the enriched broth was cultivated on the agar media selective for each expected bacterium; coliforms (MacConkey Agar, CM0007; Oxoid, United Kingdom), *E. coli* (Eosin Methylene Blue Agar, CM0069; Oxoid, United Kingdom), *K. pneumoniae* (HiCrome Klebsiella Selective Agar, M1573; Himedia, India), and *Proteus* species [MacConkey Agar (without salt), CM0507; Oxoid, United Kingdom]. A suspected colony of each microorganism was purified on a slope agar, identified by Gram staining, and subjected to standard biochemical tests recommended for identification of each bacterial species according to Faddin (2000). Serotyping of all biochemically confirmed *E. coli* isolates was done by slide agglutination technique using diagnostic polyvalent and related monovalent *E. coli* O and H antisera (Denka Seiken Co., Japan). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was applied for confirmation of Gram-negative isolates using species-specific primer sets listed in Supplementary Table 1 was applied for confirmation of Gram-negative isolates using species-specific primer sets listed in Supplementary Table 1 was applied for confirmation of Gram-negative isolates using species-specific primer sets listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Gram-Negative Bacteria

The antibiogram of all recovered Gram-negative bacteria was determined by the disc diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966). The antibiogram disc (Oxoid, Cambridge, United Kingdom) included ampicillin (AM; 10 μg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC; 30 μg), piperacillin-tazobactam (TPZ; 40 μg), cefazolin (CFZ; 30 μg), cephaptholin (CEF; 30 μg), cefoxitin (FOX; 30 μg), ceftriaxone (CRO; 30 μg), ceftazidime (CAZ; 30 μg), cefotaxime (CTX; 30 μg), cefepime (FEP; 30 μg), imipenem (IPM; 10 μg), gentamicin (CN; 10 μg), tobramycin (TOB; 10 μg), amikacin (AK; 30 μg), nalidixic acid (NA; 30 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP; 5 μg), levofloxacin (LVX; 5 μg), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (SXT; 25 μg), tigecycline (TGC; 15 μg), aztreonam (ATM; 30 μg), chloramphenicol (CHL; 30 μg), fosfomycin (FOF; 50 μg), colistin (CST; 25 μg), and tetracycline (TET; 30 μg). Selected antimicrobial agents were synchronized with the veterinary guidelines (Plumb, 2015). The justification for the antimicrobials chosen is to monitor antimicrobial resistance and for public health concerns about certain agents such as cephalexins, carbapenems, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tigecycline, and fosfomycin. *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603, *P. mirabilis* ATCC 29906, and *A. hydrophila* ATCC 7966 were included as quality control strains. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for colistin against Gram-negative isolates was performed using broth microdilution method as documented previously (Rankin, 2005). The results for antimicrobial susceptibility testing were interpreted following the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2017) and the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines (Eucast: The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 2018). Colistin resistance breakpoint was considered at MIC > 2 mg/L. The fully colistin-susceptible *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and the mcr-1-positive *E. coli* NCTC 13846 with a colistin MIC of 4 mg/L were used as quality controls. The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) indices were estimated as documented by Tambekar et al. (2006), whereas the MDR and XDR isolates were determined according to Magiorakos et al. (2012).

Whole-Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analyses

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was performed for 10 representative MDR and XDR isolates that exhibited unique antibiogram and the highest MAR indices. DNA libraries were constructed using Nextera DNA Flex kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, United States), and libraries were then sequenced at a 250 bp paired-end-read format using Illumina NovaSeq 6000 kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, United States), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The obtained raw fastq reads for each isolate were deposited into sequence read archive (SRA) database under the bioproject PRJNA713498. Quality check of the fastq reads was performed using fastQC, and low-quality reads were exposed to trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014). The reads were then de novo assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012) and the assembled fasta files were uploaded into the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) to identify the MLST, resistance genes, and plasmid replicon types via MLST 2.0, ResFinder 4.1 and PlasmidFinder 2.1 tools, respectively. For genotyping and source tracking, MLST for mcr-10-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolate (K1) was determined using bacterial whole-genome sequence typing database (BacWGSTD) (Ruan and Feng, 2016). The genetic environment of mcr-10 gene was determined using a nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) search in combination with ISFinder. The contig carrying the mcr-10 was annotated using prokka, and the gbk file was imported into Easyfig software for genetic comparison (Sullivan et al., 2011). The mcr-10-carrying plasmid of the study isolate (K1) was reconstructed from WGS using Plasmid SPAdes (Antipov et al., 2016) and PLACNETw (Vielva et al., 2017). BLASTn search of the obtained plasmid sequences was performed to determine the best plasmid hits. Reconstructed
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plasmid sequences of K1 isolate were aligned to the retrieved National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) plasmid sequences using BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) tool for genetic comparison (Alikhan et al., 2011).

Phylogenetic analysis based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) was performed for the K. pneumoniae isolate (K1) carrying mcr-10 gene. Our K1 isolate was compared to the publicly available genomes of K. pneumoniae in the NCBI database, updated March 21st, 2021, that carried any variant of mcr gene (n = 241) or those carrying mcr-10 variant (n = 20). Isolates were mapped to the reference K. pneumoniae complete genome ATCC43816 (CP064352.1) for all the enrolled mcr-carrying isolates and 30684/NJST258_2 (CP006918.1) for mcr-10-producing K. pneumoniae isolates. Metadata for the selected K. pneumoniae sequences from NCBI are listed in Supplementary Tables 2A,B. SNPs variant calling were determined using Snippy v4.4.4,10 and the output files were combined into a core SNPs alignment using Snippy core. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were then generated from SNPs alignment using RAxML, and the trees were visualized with iTOL (Letunic and Bork, 2016).

RESULTS

Prevalence of Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated From Cattle Mastitis and Raw Milk

As depicted in Table 1, a total of 184 isolates were recovered from cases of clinical (120/350; 34.29%) and subclinical (19/95; 20%) mastitis, and raw milk samples (45/125; 36%). PCR of the amplified products of bacterial species could identify the isolates as E. coli (48.91%), K. pneumoniae (13.59%), P. mirabilis (17.93%), A. hydrophila (9.24%), E. cloacae (8.15%), and C. freundii (2.17%). According to the serotyping results, a variety of E. coli serotypes (n = 10) was identified. The predominant serotypes were O111:H4 from clinical (8%) and subclinical (2.11%) mastitis and O157:H7 from raw milk (6.4%).

Frequency of Multidrug-Resistant and Extensive Drug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria From Milk Samples

The MDR and XDR phenotypes were determined in 86.96 and 7.07% of the isolates, respectively (Table 1). K. pneumoniae, A. hydrophila, E. cloacae (100%, each), E. coli (87.78%), and P. mirabilis (69.7%) were the most prevalent MDR species. XDR phenotype was found in P. mirabilis (30.30%) and E. coli (3.33%).

The most observed resistance phenotypes for E. coli isolates were against cefalothin, ceftazolin, cefazidime, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (100% each), cefoxitin (98.89%), cefotaxime (94.44%), piperacillin-tazobactam (75.56%), fosfomycin (63.33%), and colistin (26.67%). K. pneumoniae isolates were resistant to cefalothin, ceftazolin, cefotaxime, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, fosfomycin (100% each), cefazidime, piperacillin-tazobactam (96% each), tigecycline (88%), cefotaxime and ceftriaxone (76% each), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim and colistin (56% each).

All A. hydrophila isolates were resistant to cefalothin, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and tetracycline. Meanwhile, 82.35, 76.47, and 70.59% of the isolates were

| TABLE 1 | Prevalence of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from clinical, subclinical bovine mastitis, and raw milk in Egypt. |
| Bacterial species (No.) | No. of isolated bacteria (%) | No. of MDR isolates (%) | No. of XDR isolates (%) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|                          | Clinical mastitis (n = 350) | Subclinical mastitis (n = 95) | Raw milk (n = 125) |
| E. coli (90):            | 73 (20.86)*                 | 6 (6.32)               | 11 (8.8)              | 79 (87.78) | 3 (3.33)               |
| O111:H4                  | 28 (8)                      | 2 (2.11)               | 0                     |            |                        |
| O114:H21                 | 13 (3.71)                   | 0                     | 0                     |            |                        |
| O114:H4                  | 0                          | 1 (1.05)               | 0                     |            |                        |
| O146:H--                 | 12 (3.43)                   | 0                     | 0                     |            |                        |
| O26:H11                  | 11 (3.14)                   | 0                     | 2 (1.6)               |            |                        |
| O--:H7                  | 3 (0.86)                    | 0                     | 1 (0.8)               |            |                        |
| O157:H7                  | 3 (0.86)                    | 1 (1.05)               | 8 (6.4)               |            |                        |
| O44:H18                  | 2 (0.57)                    | 1 (1.05)               | 0                     |            |                        |
| O119:H6                  | 0                          | 1 (1.05)               | 0                     |            |                        |
| O--:H34                  | 1 (0.29)                    | 0                     | 0                     |            |                        |
| K. pneumoniae (25)       | 12 (3.43)                   | 4 (4.21)               | 9 (7.2)               | 25 (100)  | 0                      |
| Enterobacter cloacae (15)| 5 (1.43)                    | 2 (2.11)               | 8 (6.4)               | 15 (100)  | 0                      |
| Citrobacter freundii (4) | 4 (1.14)                    | 0                     | 0                     | 1 (25)    | 0                      |
| Proteus mirabilis (33)   | 26 (7.43)                   | 7 (7.37)               | 0                     | 23 (69.7) | 10 (30.30)             |
| Aeromonas hydrophila (17)| 0                          | 0                     | 17 (13.6)             | 17 (100)  | 0                      |
| Total (184)              | 120 (34.29)                 | 19 (20)                | 45 (36)               | 160 (86.96)| 13 (7.07)             |

*Percentage was calculated from the examined sample source; MDR, multidrug-resistant; XDR, extensive drug-resistant.
resistant to colistin, nalidixic acid, and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, respectively.

Proteus mirabilis isolates exhibited a resistance rate of 100% to cephalothin, cefazolin, cefoxitin, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and fosfomycin followed by ampicillin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol (90.91% each), nalidixic acid (78.79%), ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin (60.61% each), whereas a lower resistance rate was reported for colistin (4/33; 12.12%). All E. cloacae isolates were resistant to cephalothin, cefazolin, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, cefepime, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and tetracycline. While, C. freundii isolates were resistant to cephalothin, cefazolin, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, ampicillin, and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (100%). The latter two bacterial species showed no colistin resistance.

Whole-Genome Sequencing and Characteristics of Multidrug-Resistant and Extensive Drug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria

We sequenced the genome of 10 representative Gram-negative bacterial isolates with the highest MAR indices (0.54–0.83) and determined the resistance genes, plasmid replicon types, and MLST types (Table 2). In silico analysis of E. coli sequences using MLST revealed that four isolates were assigned to two sequence types: ST2165 (n = 3) and ST7624 (n = 1). The three isolates belonging to ST2165 showed a markedly similar profile of plasmid replicon types including IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, and IncQI with an exception to isolate E2 that also contained IncR replicon type. The other E. coli isolate (E4) that was assigned to ST7624 showed the presence of IncH2, IncH1A2, and Inc11A replicon types beside IncFII. Moreover, isolate E4 harbored the blaCTX-M–14b gene that has not been identified in any of the other three E. coli ST2165 isolates. MLST analysis of the three-klebsiella isolates (two K. pneumoniae and one K. aerogenes as finally identified by WGS) assigned K. aerogenes (K2) and K. pneumoniae (K3) into ST11 and ST48, respectively, and K. pneumoniae (K1) into a novel ST, closely matches ST1224. Both K. pneumoniae isolates showed multiple replicon types, whereas K. aerogenes only carried IncR replicon type. The K. pneumoniae isolates (K1) that carried IncFIA(H11), IncFIB(K), IncFII(K), IncHIIB(pNDM-MAR), IncQ1, Col(pHAD28), Col440I, and IncR replicon types, was found harboring multiple resistance genes to β-lactamases, aminoglycosides, quinolones, tetracyclines, macrolides, folate pathway inhibitors as well as the last therapeutic choices such as fosfomycin (fosA5) and colistin (mcr-10). PlasmidFinder results displayed the existence of both IncQ1 and pSL483 replicon types in the three examined P. mirabilis isolates.

Plasmid Characterization and Genetic Context of mcr-10 Gene

Plasmid reconstruction from the WGS of K. pneumoniae isolate (K1) using Plasmid SPAdes and PLACNETw revealed the existence of mcr-10 gene on an IncFIB plasmid (pK1). BLASTn of the reconstructed pK1 plasmid showed sequence similarities with the backbone of other IncF plasmids like Enterobacter kobei STW0522-51 (AP022432.1) plasmid pSTW0522-51-1 and Enterobacter roggenkampii Ecl_20_981 (CP048651.1) plasmid pEcl_20_981 (Figure 1). Our pK1 plasmid and the two NCBI retrieved plasmids, pSTW0522-51-1 and pEcl_20_981, possessed transfer (tra) genes, which are responsible for the plasmid conjugation. Genetic mapping of mcr-10 gene as depicted in Figure 2 revealed the existence of mcr-10 flanked by xerC and IS26 in our K1 isolate, xerC and IS2 in isolate Ecl_20_981 (CP048651.1) and xerC and IS21 in isolate STW0522-51 (AP022432.1).

Epidemiological Relatedness Between mcr-10-Harboring Isolate and the Publicly Available Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolates

The SNPs-based phylogenetic analysis was performed to determine the epidemiological relatedness between the study isolate (K1) and the publicly available K. pneumoniae isolates (n = 241) harboring mcr genes in the NCBI database. The findings showed clustering of K1 isolate in a clade containing isolates (n = 25) from humans (clinical), environment, and animals from different countries, including China, Singapore, Thailand, United States, Laos, and Myanmar (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 2A). Likewise, when comparing our isolate to mcr-10-producing K. pneumoniae isolates from NCBI, the findings revealed the existence of our K1 isolate in a clade encompassing isolates belonging to various STs and sourced from humans and environment from different countries [United Kingdom (ST788), Australia (ST323), Malawi (ST2144), Myanmar (ST705), and Laos (ST2355)] (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 2B).

DISCUSSION

The development of resistance to numerous antimicrobial agents in pathogenic microbes has become a critical threat, as there are fewer or indeed no effective antimicrobial agents beneficial for treating these organisms. The recent global dissemination of MDR and XDR Gram-negative bacteria has raised the alarm of antimicrobial resistance as a serious and an urgent threat to public health. Consequently, studies have been conducted to explore the distribution of several resistance genes in different reservoirs (Tartor and El-Naenaeey, 2016; Elmowalid et al., 2018; Abd El-Aziz et al., 2021c).

Mastitis is considered one of the most frequent diseases in dairy cows in Egypt. Here, we studied MDR and XDR Gram-negative bacteria isolated from mastitic and raw unpasteurized milk. Many studies have confirmed the presence of Gram-negative bacteria in mastitic milk (Hogan and Larry Smith, 2003; Malinowski et al., 2006; Nam et al., 2009). Similarly, we identified a variety of Gram-negative bacteria from milk samples. The most frequent was E. coli (48.91%), followed by P. mirabilis (17.9%), while the least isolated one was C. freundii (2.1%). Comparably,
Nam et al. (2009) declared that *E. coli* predominated the Gram-negative pathogens from milk samples, while *C. freundii* was the least frequent one. Our prevalence of Gram-negative bacteria in subclinical mastitis was lower than those reported earlier (Mahlangu et al., 2018). These variations in the prevalence could be attributed to the changes in the host, the management factors, and the environmental differences that impact the infection (Amin et al., 2013).

Interestingly, we reported 24.46% (45/184) of the isolated bacteria from raw unpasteurized milk, which directs our

### TABLE 2 | Molecular characteristics of MDR and XDR Gram-negative bacteria recovered from milk samples.

| Isolate ID/Species | Source | Antimicrobial resistance pattern | MAR index | Plasmid replicon types (Inc) | Sequence type (ST) | Resistance genes | Accession No. |
|--------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **E1/E. coli (O−:H7)** | Clinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CEF, CRO, CAZ, CTX, CRO, TPZ, TOB, NA, CIP, LIVX, SX7, ATM, CHL, TE, FOF | 0.83 | IncFIA, IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII, IncFIII(G23), IncG1, pESBL | ST2165 | fosA, ARR-2, sul1, su2, ant(6)-Ia, aph(3′)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib, aph(8)-Ib, aph(10)-Ia, ant(3)-Ia, aad(A), acl, aac(6)-I, ctet, ram, tdp, tdh, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D) | SRR1393227 |
| **E2/E. coli (O−:H7)** | Clinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CFZ, FOX, TPZ, CEF, CAZ, CTX, FOX, TET, CHL, TGC, SX7, TOB, CN | 0.67 | IncFIA, IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII, IncFIII(G23), IncG1, IncR, pSL483 | ST2165 | blaoX, tet(L), tet(M), tet(U), tet(A), fosA, mdf(A), emm40, cat, floR, acl, aac(6)-Ia, apf(3′)-Ib, aph(3′)-Ia, aad(A), acl, ant(2)-Ia, aad(A), sul2, sul1, DT104 | SRR1393226 |
| **K2/K. aerogenes** | Subclinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CFZ, CEF, FOX, CAZ, CTX, CRO, TPZ, TOB, TGC, TET, FOF, SX7, CHL | 0.63 | IncR | ST11 | qnrS1, aph(3′)-Ib, tet(A), fosA, sul2 | SRR1393224 |
| **E4/E. coli (O−: H34)** | Clinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CFZ, FOX, CEF, FOX, CAZ, CRO, TGC, FOX, NA, CIP, SX7, TET, TOB, CHL | 0.67 | IncFII(p66A), IncH2, IncH2A, IncIa | ST7624 | aad(A), aph(2′)-Ia, acl, aac(6)-I, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D), tet(E), sul2, sul1, gyrA, qnrS1 | SRR1393223 |
| **K1/K. pneumoniae** | Raw milk | AM, AMC, CFZ, CEF, FOX, CAZ, CTX, CRO, FEP, TPZ, FOX, NA, CIP, SX7, TET, TOB, CHL | 0.79 | Col(MH28), Col4401, IncFIA(H1), IncFIB(K), IncFII(K), IncFII(IAINC-MAR), IncG1, IncFII | NT* | mcr-1, fosA, mcr-1, blaoX, tet(L), tet(M), tet(U), tet(A), fosA, mdr(A), emm40, cat, floR, acl, aac(6)-Ia, apf(3′)-Ib, aph(3′)-Ia, aad(A), acl, ant(2)-Ia, aad(A), sul2, sul1, DT104 | SRR1393222 |
| **E3/E. coli (O−:H7)** | Raw milk | AM, AMC, CFZ, CEF, FOX, CAZ, CTX, NA, CIP, LIVX, TET, SX7, CHL, AK | 0.58 | IncFIA, IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII, IncG1, pSL483 | ST2165 | aadA2, aph(2′)-Ia, acl, aac(6)-I, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D), sul2, sul1, gyrA, qnrS1, qnrS2, qnrB1, qnrB2, qnrB4, qnrB5, qnrB6, qnrB8, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D), tet(E), sul2, sul1, gyrA, CT10 | SRR1393221 |
| **K3/K. pneumoniae** | Clinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CFZ, CEF, FOX, CAZ, CTX, CRO, FEP, TPZ, TGC, FOX, NA, CIP, TET, CHL, CN | 0.75 | Col(MH28), IncFIB(K), IncFII(K), IncR | ST48 | qnrA, qnrB1, qnrB2, qnrB3, qnrB4, qnrB5, qnrB6, qnrB8, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D), sul2, sul1, gyrA, CT10 | SRR1393220 |
| **P2/P. mirabilis** | Subclinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CEF, FOX, CAZ, NA, CIP, LIVX, SX7, TET, CHL, FOF, TET, TOB, NA | 0.54 | IncG1, pSL483 | ND | gyrA, fosA, cat, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C) | SRR1393219 |
| **P3/P. mirabilis** | Subclinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CEF, FOX, CRO, NA, CIP, LIVX, SX7, CHL, FOF, TET, TOB, CN | 0.58 | Col(MH28), IncFII(p66), IncG1, pSL483 | ND | sul2, sul1, ARR-2, aad(A), fosA, cat, tet(A), tet(C), aph(3′)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib, acl, ant(2)-Ia, acl, aac(6)-I, tet(A), sul1, gyrA, qnrS1, gyrB, gyrC, sul1, sul2, gyrA | SRR1393218 |
| **P4/P. mirabilis** | Clinical mastitis | AM, AMC, CEF, FOX, CRO, FEP, AK, CN, NA, CIP, LIVX, SX7, TGC, CHL, FOF, TET | 0.71 | Col(MH28), IncG1, pSL483 | ND | ARR-2, gyrA, tet(A), tet(B), tet(C), tet(D), sul2, sul1, gyrA, CT10 | SRR1393225 |

All isolates are multidrug-resistant (MDR) except the bold ones are extensive drug-resistant (XDR) isolates. "NT" novel type closely matches ST7224. The seven alleles are: gapA4 (18), inMB (118), mdh (26), pgi (63), phoE (142), rpoB (38), and torB (169). Only one allele differs from ST7224. (https://biggsdb.pasteur.fr/klebsiella/). ND, not detected; AM, ampicillin; AMC, ampicillin-clavulanic acid; TPZ, piperacillin-tazobactam; CFZ, cefazolin; CEF, Cephalothin; FOX, cefotaxime; CTX, cefotaxime; CRO, ceftriaxone; FEB, cefepime; CN, gentamicin; TOB, tobramycin; AK, amikacin; NA, nalidixic acid; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LVX, levofloxacin; TET, tetracycline; TGK, tigecycline; CHL, chloramphenicol; SXT, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim; ATM, aztreonam; CST, colistin; FO, fosfomycin. CST resistance was determined based on the results of broth microdilution method (MIC resistance breakpoint > 2).
attention to the human being, especially when talking later about MDR and XDR isolates as well as colistin resistance. A recent study revealed the presence of Gram-negative bacteria in raw milk and other dairy products in Egypt and imputed this contamination to the evidence that most of Gram-negative bacteria constitute a part of the flora of the mouth and intestinal tract of humans and animals as well as their presence in soil, water, and sewage (Sobeih et al., 2020).

Multidrug-resistant isolates were known to be common in mastitis milk samples. Herein, A. hydrophila, K. pneumoniae, E. cloacae (100% each), E. coli (87.78%), and P. mirabilis (69.7%) were MDR. All MDR A. hydrophila isolates were isolated from raw milk. Previous reports declared that MDR A. hydrophila isolates were commonly isolated from water and fish samples (Ighinosa et al., 2013; Tartor et al., 2021a), which affirm the role of human beings and environment in transferring MDR A. hydrophila to the raw milk.

Similarly, although we identified all E. cloacae as MDR isolates, they were previously reported with a low frequency (7.1%) in milk samples from Egypt in 2011 (Ahmed and Shimamoto, 2011).
However, they were recently detected in human samples (Huang et al., 2021), which in turn emphasizes again the concept of transferring between animal/animal products including milk from/to human and animal during these 10 years. Alternatively, MDR K. pneumoniae isolates were widely detected in milk samples (Yang et al., 2020) and this is concordant with what was reported in our study.

It is known that E. coli is the major pathogen causing environmental mastitis and the spreading of MDR E. coli is considered a key challenge in mastitis treatment, especially, when combined with an XDR phenotype (Basak et al., 2016). We identified 7.07% XDR E. coli and P. mirabilis isolates. XDR is considered a pop-up threat; the emergence of commonly XDR, carbapenem-resistant, and ESBL producing E. coli reviewing the abundant usage of the broad-spectrum antimicrobials. A former report on MDR and XDR P. mirabilis infections has revealed that aminoglycosides, penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems, and fluoroquinolones have become ineffective as first-line agents (Magiorakos et al., 2012). Serotyping of E. coli isolates showed 10 different serotypes. As expected from the previous reports,
O111:H4 was the predominant type in clinical and subclinical mastitis, whereas O157:H7 was prevalent in raw milk (Denny et al., 2008; Sayed, 2014; Ababu et al., 2020).

Since the first colistin-resistant mutant that was reported in 1981 (Vaara et al., 1981), colistin resistance has been evolving in several microorganisms like A. baumannii (Potron et al., 2019), K. pneumoniae (Shankar et al., 2019; Tartor et al., 2021b), and E. cloacae (Huang et al., 2019). A recent study has reported mcr-harboring isolates from animals and humans (Luo et al., 2020) and another group has isolated mcr-positive E. coli and K. pneumoniae from cancerous patients in Egypt (Zafer et al., 2019). Concordant with previous studies, we reported mcr-10 harboring K. pneumoniae.

To go deeper in the genetic and molecular characterization of MDR and XDR Gram-negative isolates, we selected the isolates with the highest MAR indices for WGS analysis. E. coli were found to have two-allele sequence types; ST2165 and ST7624. Although ST2165 was previously detected in colistin-resistant E. coli carrying mcr-1 from residents of long-term-care facilities (Giufre et al., 2016), it was isolated elsewhere from chickens and store-bought produce (Reid et al., 2020; Foster-Nyarko et al., 2021). To our knowledge, this is the first isolation of this sequence type from milk. Similarly, E. coli ST7624 was not identified before from milk samples, although it was reported in wildlife (Arribas, 2019). In the view of plasmid replicon types, we found that the three E. coli isolates belonging to ST2165 have plasmid replicon types; IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, and IncQ1. Likewise, Foster-Nyarko et al. (2021) reported that the MDR isolates often co-carried large IncF plasmids like IncFIA, IncFIB, or IncFIC.

Herein, we found that E. coli isolates belonging to ST2165 are carrying several antibiotic resistance genes (Table 2), which confirms that IncF plasmids can play a potential role in disseminating antimicrobial resistance (Adenipekun et al., 2019). Comparably, the E. coli isolate belonging to ST7624 possessed multiple resistance genes to B-lactams (blaCMY-4, blaTEM-1B and blaCTX-M-14β), aminoglycosides [aadA1, aph(3’)-Ia, aac(6’)-Iaa and aadA2b], tetracyclines [tet(A)], sulfonamides (sul3), macrolides (erm), lincosamide (ltnF), and phenicols (floR, cmlA1), that might be attributed to the presence of IncFII, IncHI2, IncHI2A, and IncI1α replicon types. In a previous study,
The mobilization of \textit{mcr} of mobilized colistin resistance genes from animals to humans is an issue that requires attention. Direct/indirect transmission between human and animals has the potential to cause the spread of colistin resistance genes, but also multiple resistance genes to \beta-lactamases, such as \textit{fosA5}.

\textit{K. pneumoniae} ST1224 was isolated from neonates (Chen et al., 2019), while \textit{K. pneumoniae} ST48 was reported in chicken meat in Western Algeria, one of the Middle East region (Chaalal et al., 2021) indicating that these STs are not host specific and could be easily transmitted to humans from food animals and their products.

On contrary, although we identified ST11 in \textit{K. aerogenes}, a previous study found ST11 in \textit{K. pneumoniae} (Hao et al., 2019).

Interestingly, this study is the first isolation of \textit{mcr-10}-carrying \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolate from a raw milk sample. The \textit{mcr-10} gene flanked by \textit{xerC} and IS26 in K1 isolate, \textit{xerC} and IS2 in isolate Ecl\_20\_981 (CP048651.1) and \textit{xerC} and IS21 in isolate STW0522-S1 (AP022432.1). This possibly indicates the role of \textit{xerC} gene, that encodes a tyrosine recombinase, in the mobilization of \textit{mcr-10} gene (Wang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021).

Although the \textit{mcr-10} gene was detected recently in clinical strains of \textit{Enterobacter roggenkampii} from different sources such as chickens (Lei et al., 2020), a patient (Wang et al., 2020), and sewage water (Xu et al., 2021), it is foremost found here in the raw milk sample that sequentially implicating the human in the transmission. Numerous isolates carrying \textit{mcr-I} gene obtained from hard cheese made from raw milk were reported in Egypt (Hammad et al., 2019; Ombarak et al., 2021), which in turn highlights the importance of raw milk quality control check in Egypt focusing on farm workers as well as the animal itself.

Furthermore, our \textit{mcr-10}-producing \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolate was also carrying \textit{fosA5} gene, which is responsible for fosfomycin resistance. Fosfomycin was known to be always effective in the treatment of MDR \textit{Enterobacterales} (Falagas et al., 2010). However, such identification of the \textit{fosA5} gene in one of \textit{Enterobacterales} species; \textit{K. pneumoniae} (K1), isolated mainly from raw milk, is considered a terrifying situation. Recently, some studies reported the fosfomycin resistance in isolates from beef samples (Sadek et al., 2020), human sputum (Soliman et al., 2020), and chicken feces (Soliman et al., 2021) in Egypt. This might be attributed to the habitual utilization of fosfomycin in combination with aminoglycosides widely in Egypt for the treatment of numerous diseases. Due to the misuse of different antimicrobial agents in Egypt, we found \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolate was carrying not only the \textit{mcr-10} and \textit{fosA5} genes, but also multiple resistance genes to \beta-lactamases, aminoglycosides, quinolones, tetracyclines, macrolides, and folate pathway inhibitors; unfortunately, these antimicrobials are used at both human and veterinary levels.

To correlate the genetic character of our K1 isolate with similar \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolates worldwide, we performed a phylogenetic analysis to find out their epidemiological relationship.

Probably, we found that our isolate was clustered with 25 \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolates from different sources including human, environment, and animal (Figure 3) supporting the direct/indirect transmission between human and animals and this in tune with the recent global concept of dissemination of mobilized colistin resistance genes from animals to humans (Luo et al., 2020). Following this result emphasizing “the One Health” concept; human health is connected to the health of animals and the environment (Luo et al., 2020). Remarkably, this result affirms that \textit{mcr} genes debilitate human wellbeing and contaminate the environment due to the plausibility of their worldwide spread brought from the raising, generation, worldwide exchange, and food utilization of animals (Anyangwu et al., 2020).

Similarly, when comparing the study isolate (K1) with different \textit{mcr-10} harboring \textit{K. pneumoniae}, our isolate presented in a clade consisting of isolates from numerous sources such as human and environment. Strikingly, this is the first identification of colistin \textit{mcr-10} resistance gene in \textit{K. pneumoniae} in the Middle East. Entirely, all formerly identified \textit{mcr-10} carrying \textit{K. pneumoniae} were detected in China, Europe, and Canada from clinical or environmental sources only. Given this close genetic distance between K1 isolate and the other \textit{mcr-10} isolates (Figure 4), we investigated the sequence type of each isolate and they showed different sequence types. This agrees with what was reported by Luo et al. (2020), who documented that the sequence type diversity of the \textit{mcr}-positive \textit{E. coli} isolates showed a scattered and non-clonal prevalence.

**CONCLUSION**

The emergence of \textit{mcr-10} and \textit{fosA5} harboring \textit{K. pneumoniae} from raw milk is alarming and poses a public health threat. The existence of multiple resistance genes in MDR and XDR Gram-negative bacteria from dairy cattle provides evidence for its spread from the veterinary sector to humans and heralds the penetration of the last-resort antibiotics. Hence, prudent use of antibiotics in both humans and animals and antimicrobial surveillance plans are urgently required to fight XDR and MDR bacteria.
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