Structure and electrical resistivity of individual carbonised natural and man-made cellulose fibres
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ABSTRACT

Carbon fibres were produced from two natural and two man-made cellulose fibres, respectively, in a high-temperature carbonisation process. The structure of the fibres was analysed by means of wide-angle X-ray scattering and Raman spectroscopy. It was found that longitudinal shrinkage of the fibres during carbonisation is correlated with the degree of orientation of cellulose crystals as determined by wide-angle X-ray scattering. Numerous micro-scale defects were found in carbonised natural cellulose fibres, particularly hemp, whereas the structural integrity of carbonised man-made cellulose was better preserved. Both Raman spectroscopy and wide-angle X-ray scattering revealed a trend of diminishing electrical resistivity with increasing structural (graphitic) order in the fibres. Overall, the electrical resistivity of cellulose-derived carbon fibres was between 40 and 70 Ω μm, which exceeds the resistivity of fossil-based carbon fibre by a factor ten.

Introduction

Cellulosic fibres are an important resource for bio-based materials. Above all, their renewability, biodegradability and favourable carbon balance are an undisputed advantage compared to fossil-based fibres [1, 2]. On the downside, the overall mechanical performance of cellulosic fibres is clearly inferior to fossil-based fibres, specifically widely used glass fibre, even when their comparably low density of max. 1.5 g cm⁻³ is considered [3–5]. Even so, there is a growing interest in using cellulosic fibres, not least because of increasing awareness for the importance of minimising the environmental footprint of materials [2, 6]. Beyond applications in their native state, cellulosic fibres may, for example, also serve as renewable precursors for functional carbon fibre. It is well known that natural cellulose fibre served as the...
precursor for electric lamp filament, the first technical carbon fibre [7]. Cellulose-based carbon fibre for structural applications was developed around the middle of the past century, but due to economic limitations production ceased soon [8]. More recently, the interest in biogenic carbon fibre precursor has risen again, with strong focus on lignin-based precursors [9–11]. Compared to lignin, which is predominantly aromatic in structure, the polysaccharide cellulose is less suitable for the production of mechanically strong carbon structures [8, 12–14]. However, spinning of lignin into precursor fibres is challenging, whereas cellulose fibres are easily available in the shape of natural fibre from plants [15] or man-made cellulose fibre from well-established industrial processes [16, 17].

Natural cellulosic fibres are made up of individual elongated and thick-walled fibre cells or cell bundles. Individual fibre cells typically range from length of few millimetres, for example, in wood tissue [18] up to several centimetres in length in flax [19], with diameters of 10–20 μm. They mainly consist of partially crystalline cellulose (cellulose I) and varying amounts of non-cellulosic polysaccharides and lignin. The fibre extraction is carried out in classic wood pulping processes applied in the pulp and paper industry or, in the case of many annual fibre plants, by mechanical decortication, assisted by retting [20]. By contrast, man-made cellulose fibres are principally produced by spinning of dissolved chemical pulp into continuous filaments with typical diameter around 10 μm. At present, the most important industrial processes used are the viscose and the Lyocell process [16]. The advantage of man-made cellulose fibres over natural cellulose fibres lies in their much greater homogeneity and the option to tailor fibre properties according to the needs of users. Furthermore, they consist almost exclusively of cellulose (cellulose II) and can be produced as filaments of infinite length.

In the present study, two types of natural fibres and two types of man-made cellulose fibre are carbonised in order to study potential differences in the resulting structures. Furthermore, the electrical resistivity of the resulting carbon fibres will be evaluated in order to assess the suitability of cellulose-based carbon in applications requiring electrical conductivity, which is one of the major desired material features of carbon materials [21].

Materials and methods

Carbonisation

The materials used were hemp (Cannabis sativa), bleached ramie (Boehmeria nivea), commercial textile viscose and Tencel fibre (Fig. 1). Without any pretreatment, 20–100 mg of fibre was carbonised in a GERO HTK8 oven with a volume of 6 L. Argon atmosphere (250 l h⁻¹) was used, and heating was performed at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ up to 2000 °C, which was held for 30 min. During carbonisation, the specimens were free to shrink.

Characterisation

Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) measurements were taken using a Netzsch TG 209 F1 Iris device. About 15 mg of the fibres was wrapped into an aluminium foil and pressed tightly to the bottom of an aluminium oxide crucible in order to facilitate heat transfer. The sample was heated from ambient temperature to 600 °C at the rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in nitrogen atmosphere.

Microscopy was performed in a Quanta™ 250 field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) from FEI in high-vacuum secondary electron mode. For non-carbonised fibres, sputter coating with a thin gold layer was carried out prior to SEM.

Wide-angle X-ray scattering characterisation of cellulose and carbonised fibre bundles was performed using a laboratory instrument Nanostar (Bruker AXS) equipped with Incoatec Microfocus source providing Cu-Kα radiation, two-dimensional Montel optics, two pinhole collimation system and a two-dimensional Image Plate detector. The collected diffraction data were processed using the software Fit2D [22].

Raman spectra were acquired using a Raman microscope (alpha300RA, WITec GmbH, Germany) equipped with a green laser (λ = 532 nm), spectrometer with a 600 gm m⁻¹ grating (UHTS 300 WITec, Germany) and a CCD camera (Andor DU401ABV, Belfast, North Ireland). The laser power was set to 5 mW, and with an integration time of 0.08 s, single spectra were collected from three specimens per fibre variant. Spectra were recorded at a step width of 0.5 μm along a fibre section of 50–70 μm length, resulting in approx. 3000 spectra for each
fibre. A $100 \times$ oil immersion objective (numerical aperture (NA) = 1.4) was used. Finally, average spectra of all three fibres per variant were obtained for further analysis.

The electrical resistivity of single cellulose-based carbon fibres was measured with a hand-held multimeter. For this purpose, the ends of approx. 15-mm-long individual fibres were fixed to glass microscope slides by means of conductive silver paint (Silber Leitlack, Busch, Germany). The ohmic resistivity was measured by contacting the silver paint, and the free length and diameter of the fibres were measured using a Zeiss Axioplan Vis microscope equipped with camera and image analysis.

**Results and discussion**

The morphology of individual cellulose fibres before and after carbonisation is shown in Figs. 1 and 2. All fibre variants were received as fibre bundles with several centimetres length. With the exception of hemp, all fibres were of white colour. As revealed by SEM, the surface of natural fibres (Fig. 2a, b) is less smooth and uniform than the surface of man-made fibres (Fig. 2c, d). Besides these differences in morphology, the cellulosic ultra-structure of the cellulose fibres studied here differs significantly as demonstrated by results from wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) (Fig. 3). Cellulose crystallites in all fibres possess a strong preferred orientation as indicated by narrow peaks in the azimuthal intensity distributions of the most intense cellulose 200 reflection. This is the case for both natural and man-made cellulose fibres, even though their crystalline fractions show different conformations, i.e. cellulose I in natural fibres vs cellulose II in man-made cellulose fibres. The differences in crystalline conformation entail different patterns in inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding, which are highly relevant, for example, for the mechanics of cellulose I and cellulose II [23]. However, according to the literature these differences in crystalline structure do not necessarily affect the graphitisation of cellulose fibres [24].

Since the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the cellulose 200 peaks correlates with the degree of preferred orientation, FWHM may serve as a quantitative indicator. Preferred orientation increases from viscose (FWHM 20.8) to Tencel (FWHM 16.6) to hemp (14.8) to ramie (FWHM 12.6). Furthermore, also the degree of crystallinity is different in regenerated cellulose fibres compared to natural fibres. Viscose shows lowest crystallinity, typically < 30% [25], followed by Tencel with roughly 40% [25], and > 50% for natural fibres [26]. In the present study, radial $2\theta$ scans and the width and height of the cellulose 110 and 200 diffraction peaks confirm a similar trend (Fig. 3).

Upon carbonisation (Fig. 1b), all fibres turned uniformly black and were highly brittle as they easily broke down into small fragments due to handling. This was particularly pronounced for natural fibres, as shown in Fig. 1i, for example hemp. Carbonised natural fibres showed numerous cracks across their diameter, which facilitated fracture. By contrast, carbonised regenerated cellulose fibres (Fig. 1j) retained their structural integrity to a higher degree, with no cracks apparent in the SEM. No significant changes in surface appearance were discernible in the SEM after carbonisation (Fig. 2e–h). However, gravimetric analysis shows that roughly 90% of the initial mass of cellulose fibres was lost during carbonisation (Table 1). Among all four fibres, mass loss was most prominent for viscose. It is presumed that the comparably low crystallinity of viscose plays a role in the significant mass loss observed. In order to examine thermal degradation of the cellulose fibres in more detail, thermogravimetric analysis was carried out (Fig. 4).
It is well known that cellulose exhibits very little thermal degradation up to temperatures of 280 °C [27]. The fibres characterised in the present study show similar thermal degradation characteristic with the exception of Tencel, where noticeable decomposition arises already at 231 °C (maximum slope). However, for all fibres the most intense thermal decomposition phase starts in the region between 240 °C and 280 °C (onset). By comparison, the thermal stability indicated by the maximum slope of mass decay increases in the order viscose (337 °C) < hemp (352 °C) < Tencel (354 °C) < ramie (366 °C). In addition to a reduction in diameter indicated qualitatively in Fig. 2, a significant shrinkage in length also occurred, which was less pronounced for natural fibres than for man-made fibres (Table 1).

It is known that shrinkage in length during carbonisation is related to the degree of molecular orientation of cellulose in the precursor fibre [24]. Bacon and Tang [24] report a nonlinear relationship between the draw ratio applied to regenerated cellulose fibres during spinning and the shrinkage of these fibres during carbonisation. Up to a draw ratio of 1, the rate of decrease in shrinkage with increasing draw ratio was constant, whereas it decreased at higher draw ratios. This kind of relationship agrees well with the observation that, using the example of regenerated cellulose fibres spun from a solution in ionic liquid [28], an increase in draw ratio from, for example, 1 to 1.5 entails a very significant improvement in orientation of crystalline cellulose in the fibre, whereas the additional improvement achieved by a further increase in draw ratio from 1.5 to 2 is much less significant. In the present study, orientation data of fibres are available from the quantitative evaluation of the azimuthal 200 peak width in terms of FWHM, which shows that there are clear differences in the degree of cellulose crystalline orientation of all four cellulose fibres used (Fig. 5).

When relating these orientation data to fibre shrinkage during carbonisation, a clear linear relationship is seen (Fig. 5). It is plausible that the extent of longitudinal shrinkage during carbonisation is governed by crystalline orientation in a similar fashion as is the case for positive and negative axial
strain in tensile [29] and bending [30] experiments. Upon both extension and contraction and irrespective of whether elastic or plastic deformation is considered, the relationship between strain and the degree of crystalline orientation in a cellulose fibre is always strictly linear [29, 30].

In addition to slight changes in morphology (Figs. 1, 2), mass loss and shrinkage (Table 1), carbonisation resulted in significant changes of fibre structure as revealed by WAXS and Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 6, only ramie- and Tencel-derived fibres (Fig. 6b, d) show meaningful yet fairly moderate scattering intensities, whereas viscose and hemp (Fig. 6a, c) hardly show any scattering.

Ramie and Tencel exhibit diffraction patterns typical of graphite [31], with a clear peak at an angle around 26° indicative of stacked graphene sheets. In contrast, carbon fibre derived from viscose and hemp appears to be composed of purely amorphous carbon. Based on mass loss data (Table 1) and low crystallinity, the poor structure of carbon fibre from viscose may be as expected, whereas the absence of graphitic structure in highly crystalline and well-oriented hemp is somewhat surprising. Compared to bleached ramie and the two man-made cellulose fibres, hemp is probably more heterogeneous in chemistry as it typically contains 5–6% hemicellulose and 11–12% lignin in addition to cellulose [32]. It is possible that this chemical heterogeneity, particularly
acidic moieties originating from hemicellulose, may have negatively interfered with the formation of graphitic structure during the carbonisation process of hemp. Overall, the radial scattering intensity distribution from $2\theta$ scans of the 2D detector images shown in Fig. 6 is very similar to results from studies with comparable cellulosic fibres in the case of Tencel and ramie, showing distinct peaks at 26° scattering angles [33, 34]. A highly intense and well-defined intensity peak at an angle around 26° indicative of abundant stacked graphene sheets, which is observed in carbonised lignin structures [35, 36], is not seen in carbonised cellulose fibres. Interestingly, also a certain amount of preferred orientation is preserved in the carbonised variants of highly oriented Tencel and ramie, as seen in azimuthal intensity profiles (insets) shown in Fig. 6. Again, this agrees well with previous studies with similar material [34, 37].

WAXS results, which show higher structural order for ramie and Tencel compared to viscose and hemp, only partially agree with results from Raman spectroscopy. Raman spectra contain abundant information about the structure of carbon materials (Fig. 7). The most prominent bands preferably analysed are the G-band at ~ 1580 cm$^{-1}$, which is associated with an ideal, highly ordered graphitic lattice, and the D-band at 1350 cm$^{-1}$, which represents signal from a disordered graphitic lattice [38]. Consequently, the ratio between the peak intensities of the D and G peaks may serve as an indicator of structural order in carbon materials. Hence, structural order was more pronounced in the natural fibres hemp and ramie ($I_D/I_G = 1.28$ and 1.17, respectively) compared to the regenerated cellulose fibres Tencel and viscose ($I_D/I_G = 1.45$ and 1.48, respectively). These $I_D/I_G$ ratios are in a similar order of magnitude as found for
mesoporous carbon obtained from lignin nanofibre networks [39], but significantly higher than $I_D/I_G$ of 0.25 and 0.60 obtained for electrically conducting carbon produced by direct carbonisation of kraft and sulphite pulping liquors, respectively [35]. In an analysis of carbon fibre produced from ultra-high-performance regenerated cellulose fibre, a progressive increase in $I_D/I_G$ from 0.7 to 1.4 with treatment temperature increasing from 400 to 1500 °C was reported [34]. Similar trends were also reported for Rayon tirecord-type regenerated cellulose fibre [37].

Good mechanical performance is one main parameter of interest when studying cellulose-based
carbon fibre. However, in the absence of stretching during carbonisation, which is required for achieving good orientation and ensuing strength [17], the mechanics of carbon fibres produced in the present study were very poor. Therefore, we focus on electrical resistivity as a potential feature of interest for application. As shown in Fig. 8, the average electrical resistivity of most cellulose-derived carbon fibres is in the order of 45 Ω μm−55 Ω μm. Carbonised hemp is an exception as it shows very high average resistivity of 135 Ω μm and high variability. It is presumed that cracks across the fibres which were observed in abundance for carbonised hemp (Fig. 2i) significantly diminish the local conductive cross section of a carbon fibre, resulting in significantly increased overall resistivity. Disregarding hemp due to this anomaly, the trend of resistivity is ramie < Tencel < viscose, although not at a level of statistical significance. Even so, there is agreement between this trend in resistivity and the peak area of the graphite peak at 2θ = 26° shown in Fig. 6. While viscose-derived carbon fibre, which shows highest resistivity, exhibits no such peak, ramie-derived carbon, with lowest resistivity, shows the highest peak area, the peak area of Tencel-derived amounting to 75% of that of ramie. In a similar fashion, also the ID/IG ratio derived from Raman spectra (Fig. 7) replicates this trend. Here, the sequence is ramie (1.17) < Tencel (1.45) < viscose (1.48). In summary, without statistical significance, there is a trend for the electrical resistivity of cellulose-derived carbon fibre to decrease with an increase in the content of graphitic carbon as indicated by WAXS (Fig. 6) and an increase in the proportion of highly ordered graphitic lattice as indicated by Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 7).

This is also in good agreement with WAXS and Raman data obtained from lignin-derived carbon [35].

By comparison with cellulose-derived carbon, the electrical resistivity of conventional PAN-based carbon fibre is 7–14 Ω μm, and pitch-based carbon ranges between 1.5 and 13 Ω μm [40]. Thus, cellulose-derived carbon fibre shows electrical resistivity increased by a factor 10 compared to fossil-derived carbon. However, compared to lignin-derived carbon (10.000–100.000 Ω μm [35]) and industrial carbon black (4.000–25.000 Ω μm [41]) used as filler for conductive polymers, very favourable low resistivity is given in the cellulose-derived carbon fibres studied here.

Conclusions

The electrical resistivity of individual carbon fibres produced by high-temperature carbonisation of natural and man-made cellulose fibres ranges between 50 and 140 Ω μm. Apart from the fact that microcracks may contribute to high resistivity in carbonised natural fibres, no clear correlations between the structures of cellulose fibres and carbon fibres produced thereof were found. It is concluded that in terms of electrical resistivity achieved, the most important structural variable may be the fact that all fibres were high-orientation cellulose fibres providing carbon fibre with similar resistivity, and that differences in crystallinity, cellulose crystal type, etc. are only of secondary importance in the carbonisation process.
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