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Abstract

The classical Hurwitz numbers which count coverings of a complex curve have an analog when the curve is endowed with a theta characteristic. These “spin Hurwitz numbers”, recently studied by Eskin, Okounkov and Pandharipande, are interesting in their own right. By the authors’ previous work, they are also related to the Gromov-Witten invariants of Kähler surfaces. We prove a recursive formula for spin Hurwitz numbers, which then gives the dimension zero GW invariants of Kähler surfaces with positive geometric genus. The proof uses a degeneration of spin curves, an invariant defined by the spectral flow of certain anti-linear deformations of \( \bar{\partial} \), and an interesting localization phenomenon for eigenfunctions that shows that maps with even ramification points cancel in pairs.

The Hurwitz numbers of a complex curve \( D \) count covers with specified ramification type. Specifically, consider degree \( d \) (possibly disconnected) covering maps \( f : C \to D \) with fixed ramification points \( q^1, \ldots, q^k \in D \) and ramification given by \( m^1, \ldots, m^k \) where each \( m^i = (m^i_1, \ldots, m^i_{\ell(i)}) \) is a partition of \( d \). The Euler characteristic of \( C \) is related to the genus \( h \) of \( D \) and the partition lengths \( \ell(m^i) = \ell_i \) by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

\[
\chi(C) = 2d(1-h) + \sum_{i=1}^k (\ell(m^i) - d). \tag{1.1}
\]

In this context, there is an ordinary Hurwitz number

\[
\sum \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(f)|} \tag{1.2}
\]

that counts the covers \( f \) satisfying (1.1) mod automorphisms; the sum depends only on \( h \) and \( \{m^i\} \).

Now fix a theta characteristic \( N \) on \( D \), that is, a holomorphic line bundle with an isomorphism \( N^2 = K_D \) where \( K_D \) is the canonical bundle of \( D \). The pair \( (D, N) \) is called spin curve. By a well-known theorem of Mumford and Atiyah, the deformation class of the spin curve is completely characterized by the genus \( h \) of \( D \) and the parity

\[
p = (-1)^{h^0(D, N)} \tag{1.3}
\]

Now consider degree \( d \) ramified covers \( f : C \to D \) for which

- each partition \( m^i \) is odd, i.e. each \( m^i_j \) is an odd number. \( \tag{1.4} \)

In this case, the ramification divisor \( \mathcal{R}_f \) of \( f \) is even and the twisted pullback bundle

\[
N_f = f^* N \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}_f) \tag{1.5}
\]

is a theta characteristic on \( C \) with parity

\[
p(f) = (-1)^{h^0(C, N_f)}. \tag{1.6}
\]

*partially supported by the N.S.F.
After choosing a spin curve \((D, N)\) and odd partitions \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\), we can consider the total count of maps satisfying (1.1) modulo automorphisms, counting each map as \(\pm 1\) according to its parity. This sum is also a deformation invariant of the spin curve \((D, N)\), so depends only on \(h\) and \(p\). Thus we define the spin Hurwitz numbers of a spin curve \((D, N)\) of genus \(h\) and parity \(p\) to be

\[
H_{m^1, \ldots, m^k}^{h,p} = \sum_{f} \frac{p(f)}{|\text{Aut}(f)|}
\]

where the sum is over all non-isomorphic maps \(f\) satisfying (1.1).

Eskin, Okounkov and Pandharipande [EOP] gave a combinatorial method for finding the spin Hurwitz numbers when \(D\) is an elliptic curve with the trivial theta characteristic (genus \(h = 1\) and parity \(p = -1\)). Our main result gives recursive formulas that express all other spin Hurwitz numbers (except the related \(h\) = 0 and \(h = p = 1\) cases) in terms of the Eskin-Okounkov-Pandharipande numbers. The statement involves two numbers that are associated with partition \(m = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)\) of \(d\), namely

\[
|m| = \prod m_j \quad \text{and} \quad m! = |\text{Aut}(m)|
\]

where \(\text{Aut}(m)\). We call a partition \(m\) odd or even according to whether \(|m|\) is odd or even.

**Theorem 1.1.** Fix \(d > 0\) and let \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\) be a collection of odd partitions of \(d\).

(a) If \(h = h_1 + h_2\) and \(p = p_1 + p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\) then for \(0 \leq k_0 \leq k\)

\[
H_{m^1, \ldots, m^k}^{h_1, p_1, \ldots, m^{k_0}, m} \cdot H_{m_0, m^{k_0+1}, \ldots, m^k}^{h_2, p_2} = \sum_m m|m| H_{m^1, \ldots, m^k}^{h_1-1, p_1, \ldots, p_2} (1.8)
\]

(b) If \(h \geq 2\) or if \((h, p) = (1, +)\) then

\[
H_{m^1, \ldots, m^k}^{h_1, p_1, \ldots, p_2} = \sum_m m|m| H_{m^1, \ldots, m^k}^{h_1, p_1, \ldots, p_2} (1.9)
\]

where the sums are over all odd partitions \(m\) of \(d\).

Theorem [1.1] applies, in particular, to the spin Hurwitz numbers that count degree \(d\) etale covers, defined as above by taking \(m\) to be the trivial partition \((1^d)\) of \(d\). These etale spin Hurwitz numbers \(H_d^{h,p} = H_d^{h,0} (1.11)\) are related to the GW invariants of complex projective surfaces, as follows.

Let \(X\) be such a surface with a smooth canonical divisor \(D\). By the adjunction formula, the normal bundle \(N \to D\) is a theta characteristic, so each component of \((D, N)\) is a spin curve. The results of [KL] and [LP1] show that the GW invariant of \(X\) is a sum over the components of \((D, N)\) of certain local GW invariants \(GW_{g,n}^{loc}\). As usual, one can work either with the local GW invariants that count maps from connected domains of genus \(g\) or with the local ‘Gromov-Taubes’ invariants \(GT_{g,n}^{loc}\) that count maps from possibly disconnected domains of Euler characteristic \(\chi\). With the latter, the main formula of [LP1] reads

\[
GT_{X, n}(X, \beta) = \prod_k (i_k)_* GT_{X, n}^{loc}(D_k, N_k; d_k) (1.10)
\]

where \(i_k\) is the inclusion \(D_k \subset X\).

Now, assume \((D, N)\) is a connected genus \(h\) spin curve with parity \(p\) and consider maps \(f : C \to D\) where \(\chi(C) = 2d(h - 1)\). Then the space of degree \(d\) stable maps with no marked points has dimension zero, both sides of (1.10) are rational numbers and, in fact, the dimension zero local GT local invariants are exactly the etale spin Hurwitz numbers:

\[
GT_{d}^{loc,h,p} = H_{d}^{h,p} (1.11)
\]

(the relation \(\chi = 2d(h - 1)\) is implicit in this notation). For \(h = 0, 1\), these invariants were calculated for all degrees \(d\) in [KL] and [LP1]. As an immediate corollary to Theorem [1.1] one can express the local invariants (1.11) with \(h \geq 2\) in terms of \(h = 1\) spin Hurwitz numbers calculated in [EOP]:

2
Corollary 1.2. Let $H_m$ denote the spin Hurwitz numbers $H^1_m$ where $m$ is one or more partitions. Then for $h \geq 2$ we have
\[
G_{d}^{loc,h,p} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \prod_{i=1}^{h-1} |m^i|m^i!H_{m^h-1} \cdot H_{m^h-1,m^h-2} \cdot \ldots \cdot H_{m^2,m^1} \cdot H_{m^1} & \text{if } h \equiv p \pmod{2} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{h} \prod_{i=1}^{h} |m^i|m^i!H_{m^h-1} \cdot H_{m^h-1,m^h-2} \cdot \ldots \cdot H_{m^2,m^1} \cdot H_{m^1} & \text{if } h \not\equiv p \pmod{2}
\end{cases}
\]
where the sums are over all odd partitions $m^1, \ldots, m^{h-1}$ of $d$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves five main steps, described below. All are based on the observation that the $\overline{\partial}$-operators on spin bundles $N_f$ extend to a 1-parameter family of real operators
\[
L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR : \Omega^0(C, N_f) \to \Omega^{0,1}(C, N_f)
\]
with remarkable properties. The key idea is that the parity of a map $f$ is an isotopy invariant of the family $L_t$, and the one can explicitly describe the behavior of the operators $L_t$ as both the domain and the target of $f$ degenerate to nodal spin curves. This allows us to express both the parity and the number of covering maps in terms of the maps into the irreducible components of the nodal target curve, giving the recursion formulas of Theorem 1.1.

**Step 1: Relating $L_t$ and parity.** Section 2 gives method for constructing complex anti-linear bundle maps $R$, which then define a family $L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR$ of operators as in (1.12). We then prove a vanishing theorem showing that $\ker L_t = 0$ for each stable map $f$ and each $t \neq 0$. This property was exploited in our previous work (e.g. [LP1], [LP2]) and underlies all later sections.

In Section 3 we express the parity as an isotopy invariant — the “TR spectral flow” — of the path of operators $L_t$. In this form, in contrast to the original definition (1.6), parity is unchanged under deformations. We also relate the parity to the determinant of $L_t$ on its low eigenspaces.

**Step 2: Degenerating spin curves and sum formulas.** The Hurwitz numbers of $D$ can be viewed as the relative Gromov-Witten invariants of $D$ relative to a branch locus $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\} \subset D$. Under condition [1.11] the space of relative stable maps is a finite set corresponding to stable maps $f : C \to D$ branched over $\{q_i\}$. We then adopt the sum formula arguments of [IP2], as the first author has done in [L]. There are three parts of the argument:

- Identifying the maps $f : C \to D$ that occur as limits as $D$ degenerates to a nodal spin curve $D_0$.
- Constructing a family $C \to \Delta$ of deformations of the maps $f : C_0 \to D_0$.
- A gluing procedure that relates the moduli space of a general fiber to data along the central fiber.

In each step, it is necessary to keep track of the target curve, the domain curve, the map, the spin structures, and ultimately the spectral flow. The spin structure adds complication: in order to extend the spin structure across the central fiber it is necessary, following Cornabla [C], to insert a rational curve at each node as the target degenerates. Section 4 proves Theorem 1.1 assuming two deferred facts: the existence of a smooth family moduli space and a crucial statement (Theorem 1.2) about parities.

**Step 3: Algebraic families of maps.** The required family of maps is constructed in Section 5. The construction, which uses blowups and base changes, provides explicit coordinates for the analysis done in later sections. Extra steps are needed to ensure that there is an isometric bundle on the family whose restrictions to the general fiber gives the spin structure $N$ on $D$ and (1.5) for each $f : C \to D$. Moreover, as shown in Section 6 there are anti-linear bundle maps $R$, and hence operators $L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR$ on the family with the properties described in Section 2.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\phi$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a hermitian metric $g$. The concentration allows us to pair up maps with even ramification and show that $\phi$ sharply concentrated at the nodes, and $p(f)$ can be expressed in terms of $L^2$ inner products of these bump functions. The concentration allows us to pair up maps with even ramification and show that the contributions of the maps with even ramification cancel in pairs. This cancelation is the key observation of the paper and is the final ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Section 11 presents some specific calculations: Theorem 1.1 is used to determine all spin Hurwitz numbers with degree $d = 4$ for every genus.

Very recently, S. Gunningham [G] has used completely different methods to obtain results that overlap ours. His approach casts the spin Hurwitz numbers as a topological quantum field theory. He determined all spin Hurwitz numbers (including etale spin Hurwitz numbers) in terms of the combinatorics of Sergeev algebras. The exact relationship between Gunningham’s results and ours is not immediately clear.

2 Antilinear deformations of $\overline{\partial}$

Let $f : C \to D$ be a holomorphic map of degree $d > 0$ between smooth curves and let $N \to D$ be a theta characteristic. As shown in [LP1], there is a holomorphic 2-form on the total space of $L_t^*$ consisting of “bump functions” sharply concentrated at the nodes, and $p(f)$ can be expressed in terms of $L^2$ inner products of these bump functions. The concentration allows us to pair up maps with even ramification and show that the contributions of the maps with even ramification cancel in pairs. This cancelation is the key observation of the paper and is the final ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 4: Eigenbundles of $L_t$ and parity for odd partitions. In Section 7 we switch from algebraic geometry to analysis and construct bundles of low eigenspaces of $L_t$. The formulas of Section 3 then apply on the family, giving a simple parity formula (Lemma 8.1) for odd partitions. But a complication arises for even partitions: the maps into $D_0$ may be ramified over the nodes in a way that does not satisfy (1.2), so the irreducible components of $D_0$ do not have well-defined spin Hurwitz numbers. Correspondingly, we obtain an analytic formula for the parity (Theorem 8.2) that must be evaluated at smooth curves.

Step 5: Localization and cancellation. Finally, we exploit another remarkable property of the operators $L_t$: as $t \to \infty$ there is a basis of the low eigenspace of $L_t^*$ consisting of “bump functions” sharply concentrated at the nodes, and $p(f)$ can be expressed in terms of $L^2$ inner products of these bump functions. The concentration allows us to pair up maps with even ramification and show that the contributions of the maps with even ramification cancel in pairs. This cancelation is the key observation of the paper and is the final ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Section 11 presents some specific calculations: Theorem 1.1 is used to determine all spin Hurwitz numbers with degree $d = 4$ for every genus.

Very recently, S. Gunningham [G] has used completely different methods to obtain results that overlap ours. His approach casts the spin Hurwitz numbers as a topological quantum field theory. He determined all spin Hurwitz numbers (including etale spin Hurwitz numbers) in terms of the combinatorics of Sergeev algebras. The exact relationship between Gunningham’s results and ours is not immediately clear.
Thus $\xi$ satisfies properties (2.2), and the Vanishing Theorem 2.2 applies to Corollary 2.3. A holomorphic section $\phi$ on $C \to A$ first extension, let $t: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth path of linear maps where $t$ is a lift of the map $A$ to bundle maps $W$ and $W$ are the fibers of real vector bundles $V$ and $W$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The real variety $\mathbb{R} \times Hom(V,W)$ of non-invertible maps separates the bundle $Hom(V,W)$ into connected open sets called chambers. If $A_1$ and $A_2$ are non-singular, the mod 2 spectral flow of the path $A_t$ from $t_1$ to $t_2$ is calculated by perturbing the family to be transversal to $S$ and counting the number of times the family crosses $S$ modulo 2; this is independent of the perturbation. This section describes a modified spectral flow that applies to the operators $L_t : \Omega^0(N) \to \Omega^0(N)$ defined by

$$L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

Properties (2.2) imply a remarkably simple vanishing theorem.

**Vanishing Theorem 2.2.** If $R$ satisfies (2.2) with $\varphi \neq 0$, then $\ker L_t = 0$ for each $t \neq 0$.

**Proof.** If $L_t \psi = 0$ then by (2.2) we have

$$0 = \int_D |L_t \xi|^2 = \int_D |\overline{\partial} \xi|^2 + |R|^2 |\xi|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus $\xi$ is holomorphic and vanishes on the open set where $R = \varphi \neq 0$, so $\xi \equiv 0$. $\square$

Many of the results in subsequent sections can be viewed as natural extensions of Theorem 2.2. For a first extension, let $f : C \to D$ be a holomorphic map with ramification points $q_j$ and ramification divisor $R_f = \sum (m_j - 1)q_j$. If $N$ is a theta characteristic on $C$ and $A$ is any divisor on $C$, we can consider the twisted bundle

$$N_f = f^* N \otimes O_C(A)$$

on $C$. We then have the following relative version of Lemma 2.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** A holomorphic section $\varphi$ of $O(R_f - 2A)$ induces a bundle map

$$R_f : N_f \to \mathcal{K}_C \otimes N_f \quad (2.6)$$

that satisfies properties (2.2), and the Vanishing Theorem 2.2 applies to $L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR_f$.

**Proof.** The Hurwitz formula and the isomorphism $N^2 = K_D$ induce an isomorphism $K_C \otimes (N_f)^2 = O(R_f - 2A)$, so we can apply Lemma 2.1. $\square$

### 3 Parity as the TR spectral flow

Suppose that $A_t : V_t \to W_t$ is a smooth path of linear maps where $V_t$ and $W_t$ are the fibers of real vector bundles $V$ and $W$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The real variety $S \subset Hom(V,W)$ of non-invertible maps separates the bundle $Hom(V,W)$ into connected open sets called chambers. If $A_1$ and $A_2$ are non-singular, the mod 2 spectral flow of the path $A_t$ from $t_1$ to $t_2$ is calculated by perturbing the family to be transversal to $S$ and counting the number of times the family crosses $S$ modulo 2; this is independent of the perturbation. This section describes a modified spectral flow that applies to the operators $L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR$ of (2.6).

We begin with a definition that occurs in quantum mechanics. Let $V$ and $W$ be real vector bundles over $\mathbb{R}$. A TR ("time-reversal") structure is a lift of the map $t \mapsto -t$ to bundle maps $T : V \to V$ and $T : W \to W$ satisfying $T^2 = -Id$. A bundle map $A : V \to W$ is TR invariant if there is a $T$ as above such that

$$[A, T] = 0 \quad \text{that is,} \quad A_{-t} = T_t A_t T_t^{-1}. \quad (3.1)$$
In particular, $T_0 = J$ is a complex structure on $V_0$ and $W_0$ and by (3.1) and $A_0$ is complex linear.

Let $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ denote the space of all smooth $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ invariant $A : V \to W$ that are invertible except at finitely many values of $t$. For an open dense set of $A \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$, $A_0$ is non-singular and $A$ intersects $\mathcal{S}$ transversally at finitely many points $\{ \pm t_0 \}$ (proof: given $A$, perturb $A_t$ for $t \geq 0$ to $A_t'$ with transversal to $\mathcal{S}$ and $A_t'$ is complex and invertible, then define $A_{-t}$ by (3.1) and smoothing, symmetrically in $t$, around $t = 0$).

Thus the mod 2 spectral flow from $t = -\infty$ to $t = \infty$ is well-defined, but is 0 because the singular points are symmetric. However, there is a well-defined $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ spectral flow

$$SF^{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}} : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R} \to \{ \pm 1 \}$$

(3.2)
defined for $A \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ by perturbing to a generic $C \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ and setting $SF^{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}}(A) = (-1)^s$ where $s$ is the mod 2 spectral flow of $C$ from $t = 0$ to $t = \infty$. Regarding $C$ as a path in $\text{Hom}(V,W)$, $s$ is the mod 2 intersection $C \cap \mathcal{S}$, which depends only on the homology class is $C$. If $D$ is another generic perturbation with $s' = D \cap \mathcal{S}$, then $s - s' = \gamma \cap \mathcal{S}$ where $\gamma$ is a path from $B_0$ to $C_0$. But then $s - s'$ is even because $B_0$ to $C_0$ are complex-linear isomorphisms. Thus $SF^{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is independent of the perturbation. In practice, two formulas are useful:

(i) If $V$ and $W$ both have finite rank $r$, the complex orientation on $V_0$ and $W_0$ extends to orient all fibers of $V$ and $W$. This means that $\text{sgn det } A_t$ is canonically defined for every $A \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ and all $t$. For generic $A \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ the sign of det $A_t$ is positive for $t = 0$ and changes sign with each transversal crossing of $\mathcal{S}$. Thus

$$SF^{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}}(A) = \text{sgn det } A_t$$

(3.3)

whenever $A_t$ is non-singular for all $s \geq t$.

(ii) Now suppose that ker $A_0$ is finite-dimensional and $A_0$ restricts to an isomorphism $B : \text{ker } A_0 \to \text{coker } A_0$. Then ker $A_0$ and coker $A_0$ are complex vector spaces of the same dimension $d$. Choose a complex-linear map $C : \text{ker } A_0 \to \text{coker } A_0$ and perturb $A$ to a generic $A' \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ as above with $A'_0 = A_0 + \varepsilon C$ and $A'(t) = A_t$ for all $t \geq \delta$. Then det $C > 0$ and the mod 2 spectral flow of $A'_t$ from $t = 0$ to $t = \delta$ is $\text{sgn det } B$. But one sees by differentiating (3.1) that $B$ satisfies $J B = -B J$; therefore det $B = (-1)^d$ because the eigenvalues of $B$ come in pairs $\pm \sqrt{-1} \lambda$. We conclude that

$$SF^{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}}(A) = (-1)^{\dim_C \ker A_0}$$

(3.4)

The $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ spectral flow readily applies to the operators introduced in Section 3. Let $(D, N)$ be a smooth spin curve with a bundle map $R$ as in Lemma 2.1 that is non-zero almost everywhere. For each $t$, $L_t = \partial + tR$ extends to a Fredholm map

$$L_t : V_C \to W_C$$

from the Sobolev $W^{1,2}$ completion of $\Omega^0(N)$ to the $L^2$ completion of $\Omega^{0,1}(N)$. By elliptic theory, $V_C$ (resp. $W_C$) decomposes into finite-dimensional real eigenspaces $E_\lambda$ of $L_t^* L_t$ (resp. $L_t L_t^*$) whose eigenvalues $\{ \lambda \}$ are real, non-negative, discrete, and vary continuously with $t$. For each $t$, let $V_t \subset V_C$ and $W_t \subset W_C$ be the closure of the real span of the eigenspaces; these form vector bundles $V, W$ over $\mathbb{R}$. By Property (2.2), we have

$$J L_t J^{-1} = -J(\partial + tR) J = -J(J \partial - tJR) = L_{-t}.$$  

(3.5)

Thus $T = J$ is a $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$ structure and $L = \{ L_t \}$ is a $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$-invariant operator.

To calculate the invariant (3.2), we can reduce to a finite-dimensional situation. Fix $\lambda_0 > 0$ not in the spectrum of $\partial \bar{\partial}$ and define the low eigenspaces of $L_t^* L_t$ and $L_t L_t^*$ by setting

$$E_t = \bigoplus_{\lambda < \lambda_0} E_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad F_t = \bigoplus_{\lambda < \lambda_0} F_\lambda.$$  

(3.6)

These form finite-rank real vector bundles $E \subset V$ and $F \subset W$ over an interval $[-\delta, \delta]$ where $\lambda_0$ remains outside the spectrum, and (3.5) again shows that $L : E \to F$ is $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}$-invariant.
Theorem 3.1. The parity of a spin structure \((D, N)\) is the TR spectral flow of the Fredholm operator \(L : V \to W\), and for \(0 < |t| < \delta\) it is also the determinant of the finite-dimensional operator \(L_t : E_t \to F_t;\)

\[ p = \text{SF}^{TR}(L) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{SF}^{TR}(L) = \text{sgn} \det L_t \quad \text{for } |t| \leq \delta. \]

Proof. By its definition (1.6), the parity is \(p = (-1)^h\) where \(h = \dim C \ker \overline{\partial} = \dim C \ker L_0\). Observe that \(L_0 = R\) is injective on \(\ker \overline{\partial}\) by Theorem 2.2 and hence is an isomorphism because Riemann-Roch shows that \(\dim \ker \overline{\partial} - \dim \text{coker} \overline{\partial} = \chi(D, N) = 0\). The first equality therefore follows by (3.3). For all \(-\delta \leq t \leq \delta\), \(L_t\) is non-singular on the eigenspaces with \(\lambda > \lambda_0\), so the spectral flow is determined by the restriction of \(L_t\) to the low eigenspaces (3.6), where it is given by formula (3.3).

As a corollary, we obtain a simple proof of the Atiyah-Mumford Theorem on spin structures.

Corollary 3.2. Parity is an isotopy invariant of spin structures \((D, N)\).

Proof. If \((D_s, N_s)\) is a path of spin curves then \(K_{D_s}(N_s)^2 = 0\) is trivial for each \(s\), so there are smoothly varying nowhere-zero maps \(R_s\) as in Lemma 2.1. For fixed \(t \neq 0\), Theorem 2.2 shows that \(L_s = \overline{\partial} + tR_s\) is injective for all \(s\), so \(\text{SF}^{TR}(L_s)\) – and hence the parity – is independent of \(s\).

In Sections 5–8 we will extend this proof by incorporating maps as in Corollary 2.3 and applying it to families of spin curves that degenerate to nodal curves.

4 Degeneration, gluing and the proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the method of [L]: we express the spin Hurwitz numbers in terms of relative Gromov-Witten moduli space and apply the limiting and gluing arguments of [IP2] for a degeneration of spin curves to form a family of moduli spaces. We then use a smooth model of the family of moduli spaces to calculate parities. The calculation immediately yields the desired recursion formula. This section outlines the proof, drawing on two facts that are deferred: the construction of a smooth model of the family of moduli spaces to calculate parities. The calculation immediately yields the desired recursion formula. This section outlines the proof, drawing on two facts that are deferred: the construction of a smooth model (done in Sections 5–8) and the computation of parities (done in Sections 8–10).

As in [L], we begin by expressing the spin Hurwitz numbers (1.7) in terms of GW relative moduli spaces (cf. [IP1]). Let \(D\) be a smooth curve of genus \(h\) and let \(V = \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}\) be a fixed set of points on \(D\). Given partitions \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\) of \(d\), a degree \(d\) holomorphic map \(f : C \to D\) from a (possibly disconnected) curve \(C\) is called \(V\)-regular with contact partitions \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\) if, for each \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), \(f^{-1}(q_i)\) consists of \(\ell(m^i)\) points \(q_i^j\) so that the ramification index of \(f\) at \(q_i^j\) is \(m_i^j\). If \(m_i^j > 1\) then the contact marked point \(q_i^j\) is a ramification point of \(f\) and \(q_i^j\) is a branch point. The relative moduli space

\[ \mathcal{M}^V_{\chi, m^1, \ldots, m^k}(D, d) \] (4.1)

consists of isomorphism classes of \(V\)-regular maps \((f, C; \{q_i^j\})\) with contact vectors \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\). Here \(\chi(C) = \chi\) and all marked points are contact marked points. Since no confusion can arise, we will often write \((f, C; \{q_i^j\})\) simply as \(f\).

Spin Hurwitz numbers are associated with those moduli spaces (1.1) that have (formal) dimension 0. Thus we will henceforth assume that

\[ \dim C \mathcal{M}^V_{\chi, m^1, \ldots, m^k}(D, d) = 2d(1 - h) - \chi - \sum_{i=1}^k (d - \ell(m^i)) = 0. \] (4.2)

With this assumption, all ramification points of a \(V\)-regular map \((f, C; \{q_i^j\})\) in (1.1) are contact marked points. In this case, forgetting the contact marked points gives a (ramified) covers \(f\) satisfying (1.1). If \(m^i = (1^d)\) for some \(1 \leq i \leq k\) then

\[ H^{h, p}_{m^1, \ldots, m^k} = \frac{1}{\prod m^i!} \sum p(f) \] (4.3)
the sum is over all \(f\) in (4.1) and \(p(f)\) is the associated parity (1.6) (cf. Lemma 1.1 of [L]).

Adding trivial partitions does not change the formulas (1.1) and (4.2). It also does not change the spin Hurwitz numbers, namely,

\[
H^{h,p}_{(1^k),m^1,\cdots,m^k} = H^{h,p}_{m^1,\cdots,m^k}. 
\]

(4.4)

Below, we fix \(h, d, \chi\) and odd partitions \(m^1, \ldots, m^k\) of \(d\) so that the dimension formula (4.2) holds. In light of (4.3), we will add trivial partitions \(m^{k+1} = m^{k+2} = m^{k+3} = (1^d)\) to make our discussion simpler.

To adapt the main argument of [IP2] we will build a degeneration of target curves. Let \(D_0 = D_1 \cup E \cup D_2\) be a connected nodal curve of arithmetic genus \(h\) of a rational curve \(E\) and smooth curves \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) of genus \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) with \(h_1 + h_2 = h\), joined at nodes \(p^1 = D_1 \cap E\) and \(p^2 = D_2 \cap E\). Fix points \(k + 3\) points \(q^i\), all distinct and distinct from \(p^1\) and \(p^2\), with

\[
q^{k+1}, q^1, \cdots, q^{k_0} \in D_1, \quad q^{k_2}, q^1, \cdots, q^{k_0+1} \in E, \quad q^{k_0+2}, \cdots, q^{k+k+3} \in D_2. 
\]

where \(0 \leq k_0 \leq k\). In Section 3 we will construct a deformation of \(D_0\) with sections; it is a smooth complex surface \(D\) fibered over the disk \(\Delta\) with parameter \(r\)

\[
\frac{D}{\Delta} 
\]

(4.5)

so that the central fiber is \(D_0\), the fibers \(D_r\) with \(r \neq 0\) are smooth curves of genus \(h\) and \(Q^i(0) = q^i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq k + 3\).

For each partition \(m\) of \(d\), consider the moduli space of maps

\[
P_m = \mathcal{M}_{\chi_1,m^{k+1},m^1,\cdots,m^{k_0},m}(D_1, d) \times \mathcal{M}_{\chi_2,m^{k+2},m}(E, d) \times \mathcal{M}_{\chi_2,m^{k+3},m}(D_2, d) 
\]

(4.6)

where \(V_1 = \{q^{k+1}, q^1, \cdots, q^{k_0}, p^1\}, V_e = \{p^1, q^{k+2}, p^2\}, V_2 = \{p^2, q^{k_0+1}, \cdots, q^k, q^{k+2}\}\) and

\[
\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_2 - 4 \ell(m) = \chi. 
\]

(4.7)

For simplicity, let \(\mathcal{M}_m^1, \mathcal{M}_m^e\) and \(\mathcal{M}_m^2\) denote the first, second and third factors of \(P_m\). By (4.7) and our assumption that the dimension formula (4.2) holds, it is easy to see that whenever the space \(P_m\) is not empty, the relative moduli spaces \(\mathcal{M}_m^1, \mathcal{M}_m^e\) and \(\mathcal{M}_m^2\) all have dimension zero. In particular, \(\chi_2 = 2\ell(m)\) and

\[
|\mathcal{M}_m^e| = \frac{d! m!}{|m|} 
\]

(4.8)

where \(|\mathcal{M}_m^e|\) denotes the cardinality of \(\mathcal{M}_m^e\) (cf. Section 2 of [L]).

For \((f_1, f_e, f_2) \in P_m\), let \(x^i_j\) and \(y^i_j\) be contact marked points of \(f_i\) and \(f_e\) over \(p^i \in D_i \cap E\) with multiplicity \(m_j\) where \(i = 1, 2\) and \(j = 1, \cdots, \ell(m)\). By identifying \(x^i_j\) with \(y^i_j\), one can glue the domains of \(f_i\) and \(f_e\) to obtain a map \(f: C \to D_0\) with \(\chi(C) = \chi\). For notational convenience, we will often write the glued map \(f\) as \(f = (f_1, f_e, f_2)\). Denote by

\[
M_{m,0} 
\]

(4.9)

the space of such maps \(f = (f_1, f_e, f_2)\). Identifying contact marked points associates to each node of \(C\) a multiplicity \(m_j\) labeled by \(j\). But the nodes of \(C\) are not labeled. One can thus see that gluing domains gives a degree \((m!)^2\) covering map:

\[
P_m \to M_{m,0}. 
\]

(4.10)

**Remark 4.1.** Let \(f = (f_1, f_e, f_2)\) be a map in \(M_{m,0}\). For \(i = 1, 2\), since \(M^i_m\) has dimension zero, (i) the ramification points of \(f_i\) are either contact marked points or nodal points of the domain of \(f\), (ii) \(f_i\) can have even ramification points only at nodal points and (iii) the number of even ramification points of \(f_i\) is even.
For $r \neq 0$, consider the moduli spaces of $V$-regular maps into $D_r$, which we denote by
\[
\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{V,m_1,\ldots,m_k}(D_r,d) \quad \text{where} \quad V_r = \{Q^1(r), \ldots, Q^{k+3}(r)\},
\]
By Gromov convergence, a sequence of holomorphic maps into $D_m$ where the union is over all partitions $m$ whose restrictions satisfy the following properties:
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \mathcal{M}_r.
\]
Lemma 3.1 of [1] shows that
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \mathcal{M}_r \subset \bigcup_m \mathcal{M}_{m,0}
\]
where the union is over all partitions $m$ of $d$ with $P_m \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, by the Gluing Theorem of [IP2], the domain of each map in $\mathcal{M}_{m,0}$ can be smoothed to produce maps in $\mathcal{M}_r$ for small $|r|$. Shrinking $\Delta$ if necessary, for $r \in \Delta$, one can assign to each $f_r \in \mathcal{M}_r$ a partition $m$ of $d$ by (4.12). Let $\mathcal{M}_{m,r}$ be the set of all pairs $(f_r, m)$ and for each $f \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}$ denote by
\[
Z_{m,f} \to \Delta
\]
the connected component of $\bigcup_{r \in \Delta} \mathcal{M}_{m,r} \to \Delta$ that contains $f$. It follows that
\[
\mathcal{M}_r = \bigcup_m \bigcup_{f_r \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}} Z_{m,f,r} \quad (r \neq 0)
\]
where $Z_{m,f,r}$ is the fiber of (4.13) over $r \in \Delta$. The Gluing Theorem shows that one can smooth each node $x^i_j = y^i_j$ of the domain of $f$, where $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, \ldots, \ell(m)$, in $m_j$ ways to produce $|m|^2$ maps in $\mathcal{M}_{m,r}$, i.e., the fiber $Z_{m,f,r} (r \neq 0)$ consists of $|m|^2$ maps.

We now introduce a spin structure on $\rho : D \to \Delta$ assuming that $D$ is smooth. Given parities $p$, $p_1$ and $p_2$ with $p_1 + p_2 = p$ (mod 2), Cornabla’s [C] constructs a line bundle $L \to D$ and a homomorphism
\[
\Phi : L^2 \to K_D
\]
whose restrictions satisfy the following properties:

(a) For $r \neq 0$, $L$ restricts to a theta characteristic on $D_r$ with a parity $p$ and $\Phi$ restricts to an isomorphism $(L|_{D_r})^2 \to K_{D_r}$.

(b) $\Phi$ vanishes identically on $E$ and $L|_E = O_E(1)$.

(c) For $i = 1, 2$, $L$ restricts to a theta characteristic on $D_i$ with parity $p_i$, and $\Phi$ restricts to an isomorphism $(L|_{D_i})^2 \to K_{D_i}$.

The pair $(L, \Phi)$ is called a spin structure on $\rho : D \to \Delta$.

Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a map in $\mathcal{M}_{m,0}$. Note that all ramification points of maps in $Z_{m,f,r} (r \neq 0)$ have odd ramification indices since $m^1, \ldots, m^k$ are odd partitions. So, each map $f_r$ in $Z_{m,f,r}$ has an associated parity $p(f_r)$ defined as in (4.9) by the pull-back bundle $f_r^*(L|_{D_r})$ and its ramification divisor $\mathcal{R}_{f_r}$. When the partition $m$ is odd, $f_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) also have associated parities $p(f_i)$ defined by $f_i^*(L|_{D_i})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_i}$. In this context, (4.10), (4.11) and (4.13) shows that for $r \neq 0$ we have
\[
H_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}^{h,p} = H_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}(1^4),(1^4) = \frac{1}{(d!)^3 \prod_{i=1}^k m_i!} \sum_m \sum_{f_r \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}} \sum_{f_r \in \mathcal{M}_{m,f,r}} p(f_r).
\]

In Sections [1][10] we will establish the following facts about the parity.
Theorem 4.2. Let \( f = (f_1, f_e, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0} \) and \( r \neq 0 \).

(a) If \( m \) is odd, then \( p(f_r) = p(f_1) p(f_2) \) for all \( f_e \in \mathcal{Z}_{m,f_r} \).

(b) If \( m \) is even, then \( \sum_{f_e \in \mathcal{Z}_{m,f_r}} p(f_r) = 0 \).

We conclude this section by showing how Theorem 1.1a follows from Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1a: Together with (4.16), Theorem 4.2 shows

\[
H^{h,p}_{m^1,\ldots,m^k} = \frac{1}{(d!)^3} \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_i \sum_{m:\text{odd}} |m|^2 \sum_{f = (f_1, f_e, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}} p(f_1) p(f_2) \tag{4.17}
\]

where the factor \( |m|^2 \) appears because the fiber \( \mathcal{Z}_{m,f_r}(r \neq 0) \) consists of \( |m|^2 \) maps. Since the map (4.10) has degree \( (m!)^2 \), the last sum in (4.17) is

\[
\sum_{f=(f_1, f_e, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}} p(f_1) p(f_2) = \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \sum_{(f_1, f_e, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m}} p(f_1) p(f_2)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \sum_{f_e \in \mathcal{M}_{m}} \left( \sum_{f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{m}} p(f_1) \right) \left( \sum_{f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{m}} p(f_2) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(d!)^3 m!}{|m|} \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_i \cdot H^{h_1,p_1}_{m^1,\ldots,m^{k_1},m} \cdot H^{h_2,p_2}_{m,m^{k_2}+\ldots,m^k} \tag{4.18}
\]

where the last equality holds by (4.9) and (4.18). Theorem 1.1a follows from equations (4.17) and (4.18).

The proof of Theorem 1.1b is identical to that of Theorem 1.1a except that one uses a smooth family of target curves \( \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta \) and a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) satisfying:

- The general fiber \( D_r \ (r \neq 0) \) is a smooth curve of genus \( h \geq 1 \) and \( \mathcal{L}|_{D_r} \) is a theta characteristic.

- The central fiber of \( \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta \) is a connected nodal curve \( \mathcal{D} \cup E \) where \( \mathcal{D} \) is a smooth genus \( h - 1 \) curve that meets \( E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \) at two points.

- \( \mathcal{L} \) restricts to \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) on \( E \) and to a theta characteristic on \( \mathcal{D} \) with \( p(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{D}}) \equiv p(\mathcal{L}|_{D_r}) \) for \( r \neq 0 \).

Minor modifications to the arguments of this section and to the constructions and calculations in Sections 5.10 yield parity formulas analogous to Theorem 4.2 which leads to Theorem 1.1b.

5 The algebraic family moduli space

In this section we construct a deformation of a map \( f : C \rightarrow D_0 \) from a nodal curve to a nodal spin curve. The deformation has many components, indexed by roots of unity. Each component is a curve \( \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta \) over the disk with smooth total space, with a map to a deformation \( \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta \) of \( D_0 \) and a bundle \( \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) whose restriction to each general fiber \( C_s \) is a theta characteristic on \( C_s \). In fact, there are many such bundles \( \mathcal{N} \); we fix one that makes computations in later sections possible.

Throughout this section we fix, once and for all, a partition \( m = (m_1, \cdots, m_k) \) of \( d \), a map \( f = (f_1, f_e, f_2) : C \rightarrow D_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0} \) where \( \mathcal{M}_{m,0} \) is the space (4.9), and the spin structure \( (\mathcal{L}, \Phi) \) on \( \rho : D \rightarrow \Delta \) in (4.10). As in Section 4 D_0 is a nodal curve \( D_1 \cup E \cup D_2 \) with exceptional component \( E = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and with nodes \( p^1 \in D_1 \cap E \) and \( p^2 \in D_2 \cap E \). The domain \( C \) is a nodal curve \( C = C_1 \cup C_e \cup C_2 \) with \( 2\ell \) nodes where \( \chi(C_e) = 2\ell \) such that for \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( j = 1, \cdots, \ell \).
• $f^{-1}(p^i)$ consists of the $\ell$ nodes $p_j^i \in C_i \cap E_j$.
• $C_i$ is smooth and $f_i = f|_{C_i} : C_i \to D_i$ has ramification index $m_j$ at the node $p_j^i$.
• $C_e$ is a disjoint union of $\ell$ rational curves $E_j$, $f_e = f|_{C_e}$ and each restriction $f|_{E_j} : E_j \to E$ has degree $m_j$ and ramification index $m_j$ at $p_j^i$.

For $i = 1, 2$, let $R_{f_i}$ denote ramification divisor of $f_i$, and let $R_{f_i}^{ev}$ be the divisor on $C_i$ consisting of the even ramification points:

$$
R_{f_i}^{ev} = \sum_{j \mid m_j \text{ is even}} p_j^i.
$$

By Remark 4.1, $|R_{f_i}|$ and $|R_{f_i}^{ev}|$ are both even. For $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we set

$$
n_j = \frac{|m|}{m_j}.
$$

Let $Q_m$ denote the set of vectors of the form $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ where $\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$ are $m_j$-th roots of unity. The following is a main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0}$ and $Q_m$ be as above. Then, for each vector $\zeta \in Q_m$, there exists a family of curves $C_{\zeta} \to \Delta$ over a disk $\Delta$ (with parameter $s$) with smooth total space $C_{\zeta}$, a holomorphic map $F_{\zeta} : C_{\zeta} \to D$ and a line bundle $N_{\zeta}$ over $C_{\zeta}$ satisfying:

(a) For $s \neq 0$, the fiber $C_{\zeta,s} = N_{\zeta}|_{C_{\zeta,s}}$ is smooth and the restriction $N_{\zeta,s} = N_{\zeta}|_{C_{\zeta,s}}$ is a theta characteristic on $C_{\zeta,s}$ and the restriction map $f_{\zeta,s} = F_{\zeta}|_{C_{\zeta,s}}$ has the associated parity $p(f_{\zeta,s}) = p(N_{\zeta,s})$ such that the last sum in (5.4) is

$$
\sum_{f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,f,r}} p(f_i) = \sum_{\zeta \in Q_m} p(f_{\zeta,s}) \quad \text{where } r = s^{|m|}.
$$

(b) The central fiber $C_{\zeta,0}$ is a nodal curve $C_1 \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{E}_j) \cup C_2$ where each $\tilde{E}_j$ is a chain of rational curves with dual graph

$$
C_1 \quad E_j^{1,n_j-1} \quad \cdots \quad E_j^{1} \quad E_j \quad E_j^{2} \quad \cdots \quad E_j^{2,n_j-1} \quad C_2
$$

(c) $N_{\zeta}|_{C_i} = f_i^*(\mathcal{L}|_{D_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{2}(R_{f_i} - R_{f_i}^{ev}))$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(d) $N_{\zeta}|_{E_j^{i,k}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(0) & \text{if } m_j \text{ is even and } k = n_j - 1, \text{ and if } m_j \text{ is odd and } k = 0 \\ \mathcal{O} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Here, for the case $k = 0$, $E_j^{1,0} = E_j^{2,0}$ denotes $E_j$. Note that $n_j > 1$ whenever $m_j$ is even (because $|R_{f_i}^{ev}|$ is even).

The proof of Theorem 5.1 requires 6 steps; each is a standard procedure in algebraic geometry. Steps 1-4 use Shiffer Variations (cf. [ACGH]) and are described in detail in [L].

**Step 1 – Deform the target:** As in (4.10) there is an algebraic curve $\rho : D \to \Delta$ over the disk $\Delta$ with $k + 3$ sections $Q^i$ whose central fiber is identified with $D_0$ with the marked points $q^i = Q^i(0)$. Denoting the coordinate on $\Delta$ by $r$, there are local coordinates $(u^1, v^1, r)$ and $(u^2, v^2, r)$ around the nodes $p^1$ and $p^2$ in $D$ so that the fiber $D_r = \rho^{-1}(r)$ is locally given by $u^1v^1 = r$ and $u^2v^2 = r$. 
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Step 2 – Deform the domain: A similar construction yields a deformation $\varphi_{2\ell} : \mathcal{X} \to \Delta_{2\ell}$ of $C_0$ over polydisk

$$\Delta_{2\ell} = \{ r = (r_1^1, r_1^2, \cdots, r_{2\ell}^n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2\ell} : |r_j^i| < 1 \}. \quad (5.5)$$

Furthermore, there are local coordinates $(x_j^i, y_j^i, r)$ around each node $p_j^i$ of $C_0$ in $C$ in which the fiber $C_r$ of $\rho$ over $r$ is given by $x_j^i y_j^i = r_j^i$.

Step 3 – Extend the map: The map $f : C \to D_0$ extends to a map of families over the curve $\mathcal{V} \subset \Delta_{2\ell}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V} = \{ (r_1^1)^{m_1} = (r_1^2)^{m_1} = \cdots = (r_{2\ell}^n)^{m_1} = (r_{2\ell}^2)^{m_1} = r \mid r \in \mathbb{C} \}. \quad (5.6)$$

Near the nodes of $C_0$ the extension is given on $\varphi_{2\ell}^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ by

$$(x_j^i, y_j^i, r) \to (u^i, v^i, r) \quad \text{where } u^i = (x_j^i)^{m_j}, v^i = (y_j^i)^{m_j}, r = (r_j^i)^{m_j}. \quad (5.7)$$

Note that this extension maps fibers to fibers only over $\mathcal{V}$.

Step 4 – Normalization: The one-dimensional variety $\mathcal{V}$ has $|m|^2$ branches at the origin. To separate the branches, we lift to the normalization as follows. For each vector $\zeta = (\zeta_1^1, \zeta_2^1, \cdots, \zeta_l^1, \zeta_l^2)$ in $Q_m$, define a holomorphic map

$$\delta_\zeta : \Delta \to \Delta_{2\ell} \quad \text{by} \quad s \to (\zeta_1^1 s^{n_1}, \zeta_1^2 s^{n_1}, \zeta_2^1 s^{n_2}, \zeta_2^2 s^{n_2}, \cdots, \zeta_l^1 s^{n_l}, \zeta_l^2 s^{n_l})$$

where $n_j$ is the number $|x|$. The pull-back $\mathcal{X}_\zeta = \delta_\zeta^* \mathcal{X}$ is a deformation of $C$ over $\Delta$:

$$\xymatrix{ \mathcal{X}_\zeta \ar[r] \ar[d]_{\delta_\zeta} & \mathcal{X} \ar[d]_{\varphi_{2\ell}} \ar[l] \ar[d] \mathcal{V} \subset \Delta_{2\ell} \ar[l]_{\delta_\zeta} }$$

Near the node $p_j^i$ of the central fiber $C$, the fiber of $\mathcal{X}_\zeta$ over $s$ is the set of $(x_j^i, y_j^i, s) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfying $x_j^i y_j^i = \zeta_j^i s^{n_j}$ and the pullback of $\delta_\zeta$ is a map $f_\zeta : \mathcal{X}_\zeta \to \mathcal{D}$ which, by (5.7), is given locally by

$$G_\zeta(x_j^i, y_j^i, s) = (\zeta_j^i s^{m_j}, (y_j^i)^{m_j}, s^{|m|}). \quad (5.8)$$

Step 5 – Blow-up: The surface $\mathcal{X}_\zeta$ is singular at the nodes $p_j^i$ when $n_j > 1$. The singularities can be resolved by repeatedly blowing up, as follows. Suppressing $i$ and $j$ from the notation, $\mathcal{X}_\zeta$ is locally given by $xy = \zeta s^{n_0}$ with $C_1$ given by $y = 0$ and $E_0 = E_1$ given by $x = 0$.

First blowup: Blow up along the locus $y = s = 0$ by setting $y = y_1 s$ and pass to the proper transform. This introduces an exceptional curve $E_1$ on $C_0$ with coordinates $y_1$ and $x_1 = 1/y_1$. The proper transform is given by

$$\begin{cases} x y_1 = \zeta s^{n_{1j}} & \text{near } C_1 \cap E_1 \\ x_1 y = s & \text{near } E_1 \cap E_0. \end{cases} \quad \xymatrix{ C_1 \ar@{-}[r] & E_1 \ar@{-}[r] & E_0 }$$

Second blowup: Blow up along $y_1 = s = 0$ by setting $y_1 = y_2 s$. This introduces $E_2$ with coordinates $y_2$ and $x_2 = 1/y_2$; the proper transform is given by

$$\begin{cases} x y_2 = \zeta s^{n_{2j}} & \text{near } C_1 \cap E_2 \\ x_2 y_1 = s & \text{near } E_2 \cap E_1. \end{cases} \quad \xymatrix{ C_1 \ar@{-}[r] & E_2 \ar@{-}[r] & E_1 \ar@{-}[r] & E_0 }$$
Blowing up $n_j - 1$ times, and repeating on the other side of $E_0 = E_j$ and at each node $p_j$, yields a smooth surface $C_\zeta$ and a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
C_\zeta \\
\varphi \\
\tilde{C}_\zeta \\
\Delta \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\psi} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_\zeta \\
G_\zeta \\
\Delta \to \Delta \\
\end{array}
$$

The central fiber of $C_\zeta \to \Delta$ is as described in Theorem 5.1 and all other fibers are smooth. Using and the equations $x = \zeta s^{n_j-n}x_n$ and $y = s^ny_n$, one sees that, for $1 \leq n < n_j$, the map $\mathcal{F}_\zeta : C_\zeta \to D$ is given locally near $E_n \cap E_{n-1} = \{y_{n-1} = s = 0\} \cap \{x_n = s = 0\}$ by

$$
\mathcal{F}_\zeta(x_n, y_{n-1}, s) = ((x_n)^{m_j(n_j-n-1)} (y_{n-1})^{m_j(n_j-n)}, (x_n)^{m_j(n_j-n-1)} (y_{n-1})^{m_j}, s^{m_j})
$$

(5.9)

with $x_ny_{n-1} = s$ where $y_0 = y$ and near $E_{n_j-1} \cap C_1$ by the same formula with $C_1$ and $x$ labeled as $E_{n_j}$ and $x_{n_j}$ and with $xy_{n_j-1} = \zeta s$.

We can now relate the fibers of $C_\zeta$ to the spaces $Z_{m,f,r}$ in (4.14). Note that for each vector $\zeta$ as in Step 4, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_\zeta$ to the fiber over $r = s^{m_j} \neq 0$ is a map

$$
f_{\zeta,s} = \mathcal{F}_\zeta|_{C_\zeta,s} : C_\zeta,s \to D_r.
$$

(5.10)

**Lemma 5.2.** Whenever $s \neq 0$ and $r = s^{m_j}$, we have

$$
Z_{m,f,r} = \bigcup_{\zeta \in Q_m} \{f_{\zeta,s}\}
$$

(5.11)

where the union is overall vectors $\zeta$.

**Proof.** Recall that $f : C \to D_0$ has contact marked points $q_j^i$ over $q_i^j \in D_0$ with multiplicities given by an odd partition $m_i^j$ for $1 \leq i \leq k + 3$. By our choice of $q_j^i$ in Step 1, around each $q_j^i$ the map $\mathcal{F}_\zeta$ is

$$
(x, s) \to (f(x), s^{m_j}).
$$

(5.12)

Hence the pull-back $\mathcal{F}_\zeta^*Q^i$ of $D \to \Delta$ consists of $\ell(m_i^j)$ sections $Q_j^i$ given by $Q_j^i(s) = (q_j^i, s)$. After marking the points $Q_j^i \cap C_\zeta,s$, each of the $|m|$ maps (6.10) has contact marked points $Q_j^i(s)$ over $Q^i(r)$ with multiplicity $m_j^i$, and thus lies in the space $\mathcal{M}_r$ of (4.11). As $r = s^{m_j} \to 0$ we have $f_{\zeta,s} \to f$ in the Gromov topology; in particular, the stabilization of the domain $C_\zeta,s$ converges to $C$. The lemma follows because $|Q_m| = |m|^2 = |Z_{m,f,r}|$.

**Step 6 – Twisting at nodes:** The pullback $\mathcal{F}_\zeta^*\mathcal{L}$ of the spin structure $(\mathcal{L}, \Phi)$ on the family $D \to \Delta$ is not a theta characteristic on the fibers of $C$. In this step we twist $\mathcal{F}_\zeta^*\mathcal{L}$ by a divisor $A$ to produce a line bundle

$$
\mathcal{N}_\zeta = \mathcal{F}_\zeta^*\mathcal{L} \otimes O(\frac{1}{2}Q + A).
$$

(5.13)

over $C_\zeta$ with the properties described in Theorem 5.1; it restricts to a theta characteristic on the generic fiber, and is especially simple on the central chains $E_j$. This twisting is crucial for later computations.

Specifically, let $Q = \sum (m_j^i - 1)Q_j^i$ be the divisor on $C_\zeta$ as above and let $A = \sum A_j$ where

$$
A_j = \begin{cases} 
\frac{n_j-1}{2}E_j + \sum_{n=1}^{n_j-1} \frac{(n_j-n)m_j^i-2}{2} (E_{j_1; n}^1 + E_{j_2; n}^2) & \text{if } m_j \text{ is even}, \\
\frac{n_j(n_j-1)}{2}E_j + \sum_{n=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j-n)(m_j^i-1)}{2} (E_{j_1; n}^1 + E_{j_2; n}^2) & \text{if } m_j \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}
$$

(5.14)
To compute the restriction of $\mathcal{N}_\zeta$ to the fibers of $C_\zeta$ we note a general fact: fix any irreducible component $\chi_m$ of $C_0$ and consider the bundle $\mathcal{O}(\chi_m)$ on $C$. For each other component $\chi_n$, let $P_{mn}$ be the divisor $\chi_m \cap \chi_n$. By restricting local defining functions one sees that the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(\chi_m)$ to a general fiber $C_s$ and to $\chi_n$ are:

$$\mathcal{O}(\chi_m)|_{C_s} = \mathcal{O}, \quad \mathcal{O}(\chi_m)|_{\chi_n} = \mathcal{O}(P_{mn}) \quad \text{for } m \neq n, \quad \mathcal{O}(\chi_n)|_{\chi_n} = \mathcal{O}(-\sum_{m \neq n} P_{mn}). \quad (5.15)$$

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** For each $\zeta$ and $s \neq 0$, the ramification divisor of the map $f_{\zeta,s}$ in (5.10) is $Q|_{C_\zeta,s}$, and by (5.15) the restriction of $\mathcal{N}_\zeta$ to $C_\zeta,s$ is

$$N_{\zeta,s} = f_{\zeta,s}^*(\mathcal{L}|_{D_r}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{2}Q|_{C_\zeta,s})$$

Thus, as in (5.13), $N_{\zeta,s}$ is a theta characteristic on $C_\zeta,s$ and $f_{\zeta,s}$ has the associated parity $p(N_{\zeta,s})$.

Therefore (5.13) follows from Lemma 5.2. This completes the proof of part(a) of Theorem 5.1. Part(c) follows similarly, using (5.15) and noting that $f_i = \mathcal{F}_{\zeta}|_{E_i}$ has ramification index $m_j$ at the node in $C_i \cap E_{j,n_j - 1}$. Part(b) was shown in Step 5 above. Finally, Part(d) follows by successively applying (5.15), taking $\chi_i$ to be the various $E_{j,n}$ and observing that $Q$ is disjoint from the chains $\bar{E}_j$ and that

1. $F_{\zeta}^*\mathcal{L}|_{E_{j,n}} = \mathcal{O}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, n_j - 1$ because the image $\mathcal{F}_{\zeta}(E_{j,n})$ is a point,
2. $F_{\zeta}^*\mathcal{L}|_{E_j} = \mathcal{O}(m_j)$ since $\mathcal{F}_{\zeta}|_{E_j} = f|_{E_j} : E_j \to E$ has degree $m_j$ and $\mathcal{L}|_E = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

### 6 The operators $L_t$ on the family

For each $\zeta$, we now have an algebraic family $C_{\zeta} \to \Delta$ and a bundle $\mathcal{N}_\zeta$ on $C_{\zeta}$. One can then apply the construction of Section 2 to the fibers of $C_{\zeta}$ to obtain operators

$$L_{s,t} = \mathcal{T}_{C_s} + tR_s : \Omega^0(C_{\zeta,s},\mathcal{N}_{\zeta,s}) \to \Omega^{0,1}(C_{\zeta,s},\mathcal{N}_{\zeta,s}) \quad (6.1)$$

that are a family version of the operators (2.14). This section describes a global construction on the complex surface $C$ whose restriction to fibers gives the operators (6.1). The global construction will be important in later sections to obtain estimates on $L_{t,s}$ that are uniform in $s$. 

---
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Lemma 6.1. Each spin structure on $D$ determines a nowhere-zero section $\psi$ of $K_C \otimes (N_C^*)^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\hat{A})$ where, with the same notation as \eqref{5.14},

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \hat{A}_j \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{A}_j = \begin{cases} 2E_j + \sum_{n=1}^{n_j-1} 2(E_{jn}^1 + E_{jn}^2) & \text{if } m_j \text{ is even}, \\ n_j E_j + \sum_{n=1}^{n_j-1} (n_j - n)(E_{jn}^1 + E_{jn}^2) & \text{if } m_j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The spin structure \eqref{4.13} on $D$ vanishes to first order along $E \subset D_0$, so defines a section $\phi$ of $K_D \otimes (L^*)^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$. Noting that $\mathcal{O}(D_0) = \mathcal{O}$, we can write $\mathcal{O}(-E)$ as $\mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2)$. Using the definition \eqref{5.13}, the pullback $\psi = F_\xi \phi$ is then a section of

$$F_\xi^*(K_D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}(2A) \otimes (N_C^*)^2 \otimes F_\xi^* \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2). \tag{6.2}$$

Recall that the ramification divisor $R_{F_\xi}$ of the map $F_\xi$ has local defining functions given by the Jacobian of $F_\xi$. One can thus see from \eqref{5.9} and \eqref{5.12} that $R_{F_\xi} = Q + |m|C_{0,0}$. Choosing a trivialization $\mathcal{O}(C_{0,0}) = \mathcal{O}$, the Hurwitz formula gives

$$K_{C_\xi} = F^* K_D \otimes \mathcal{O}(R_{F_\xi}) = F_\xi^* K_D \otimes \mathcal{O}(Q). \tag{6.3}$$

From the second equation in \eqref{6.3} we also have

$$F_\xi^* \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2) = \mathcal{O}\left(|m|C_1 + |m|C_2 + \sum \sum nm_j(E_{nj}^1 + E_{nj}^2)\right) \tag{6.4}$$

because $\{v^i = 0\} \subset D_i$ and $\{y^{i-1} = 0\} \subset E_{nj}^i$. Together with the fact $\mathcal{O}(|m|C_{0,0}) = \mathcal{O}$, the last two displayed equations imply that the right-hand side of \eqref{6.2} is $K_C \otimes (N_C^*)^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\hat{A})$. \hfill $\square$

Corollary 6.2. There is a conjugate-linear bundle map $R_{\xi} : \mathcal{N}_{\xi} \to \tilde{K}_C \otimes N_{\xi}$ whose divisor is $\hat{A}$.

Proof. Choose a global section $a$ of $\mathcal{O}(\hat{A})$ with divisor $\hat{A}$. Then with $\psi$ as in Lemma 6.1 $\psi \otimes a$ is a section of $K_C \otimes (N_C^*)^2$ whose divisor is $\hat{A}$. Regarding this as a map $\hat{\psi} : \mathcal{N}_{\xi} \to K_C \otimes N_{\xi}^*$ and composing with the (conjugate-linear) star operator $\star : \Omega^{2,0}(\mathcal{C},N_{\xi}^*) \to \Omega^{0,2}(\mathcal{C},N_{\xi})$ gives a bundle map

$$R_{\xi} = \hat{\psi} : \mathcal{N}_{\xi} \to \tilde{K}_C \otimes N_{\xi} \tag{6.5}$$

with divisor $\hat{A}$. \hfill $\square$

Because $\mathcal{C}$ is a smooth surface, the canonical bundle $K_C$ is isomorphic to the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_\xi$ of $\varphi_\xi : \mathcal{C} \to \Delta$. In fact, the restrictions of $K_C$ and $\omega_\xi$ are related by the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_\xi \otimes N_{\xi}^*|_{C_{s}} & \xrightarrow{\partial s} & \omega_\xi \otimes N_{\xi}^*|_{C_{s}} \\
\wedge ds \downarrow & & \wedge ds \downarrow \\
K_C \otimes N_{\xi}^*|_{C_{s}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\imath}_{*}} & \tilde{K}_C \otimes N_{\xi}|_{C_{s}} \\
\end{array}
\tag{6.6}
$$

where $\tilde{\imath}$ is as in Corollary 6.2 $\tilde{\imath}_{*}$ is the similar operator on the fiber $C_{s}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, and all four arrows are isomorphisms. In local coordinates $(x,y,s)$ near a node $xy = s$ of $C_{s}$, we have $ds = xdy + ydx$ and $\omega_\xi$ is freely generated by $\tau = \frac{dx}{x} = -\frac{dy}{y}$. The star operator on $C_{s}$ is multiplication by $i$ on $(1,0)$ forms.
by \( -i \) on \((0, 1)\)-forms, so \( \bar{\tau} = *\bar{\tau} = -i\bar{\tau} \). The diagram commutes because, after restricting to \( C_s \) and suppressing the bundle coordinates, \( \tau \land ds = \frac{d\tau}{\bar{\tau}} \land (xdy + ydx) = dx \land dy \) and hence

\[
\bar{\varphi}(\tau \land ds) = * (d\bar{x} \land d\bar{y}) = -i * (d\bar{x} \land d\bar{y}) = -i * (\bar{\tau} \land d\bar{s} = (\bar{\tau}_s \tau) \land d\bar{s}).
\]

Diagram (6.6) implies that for each \( s \) there is a section \( \psi_s \) of \( \omega_{\zeta} \otimes N_{\zeta}^* \) on \( C_{\zeta,s} \) such that \( \psi_s \land ds \) is the section \( \psi \) in (6.5). Consequently, for each \( s \), \( R_s = \bar{\tau}_s \psi_s \) is a conjugate-linear bundle map

\[
R_s : N_{\zeta,s} \to \bar{\omega}_\zeta \otimes N_{\zeta,s} \tag{6.7}
\]

between bundles on the curve \( C_{\zeta,s} \). Let \( N_{\zeta,i} = N_{\zeta}|_{C_i} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

**Theorem 6.3.** The map (6.7) satisfies Properties (2.2). Furthermore,

(a) On each smooth fiber \( C_{\zeta,s} \), \( R_s \) is an isomorphism \( N_{\zeta,s} \to \bar{K}_{C_{\zeta,s}} \otimes N_{\zeta,s} \).

(b) For \( i = 1, 2 \), the restriction of \( R_0 \) to \( C_i \) is a map \( R_i : N_{\zeta,i} \to \bar{K}_{C_i} \otimes N_{\zeta,i} \) with divisor \( R_{fi}^e \).

**Proof.** The proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that \( R_s \) satisfies Properties (2.2). By Diagram (6.6) we have \( R_s \land d\bar{s} = \bar{\tau}_s \psi_s \land d\bar{s} = R_{\zeta,s} \), so the divisor of \( R_s \) is \( \bar{A} \cap C_{\zeta,s} \). Statement (a) holds because this intersection is empty for \( s \neq 0 \). For (b), note that the restriction of \( \omega_{\zeta} \) to \( C_i \) is \( K_{C_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_j p_j^i) \), so the divisor of \( R_s \) is \( C_i \cap \bar{A} - \sum_j p_j^i = \mathcal{R}_{fi}^e \).

It is useful to have a local formula for \( R \) around the nodes \( p_j^i \) where \( C_i \) meets the chain \( \bar{E}_j \). As in (5.3), we have local coordinates \((x, y, s)\) around \( p_j^i \) in which \( C_1 = \{ y = s = 0 \} \) and \( E_j |_{C_1} = \{ x = s = 0 \} \). By Corollary (5.2) and the definition of \( \bar{A} \), there is a local nowhere-zero section \( \nu \) of \( T \) and a constant \( a \in \mathbb{C}^\ast \) such that \( R(\nu) = a x^p \bar{\tau} \otimes \nu \) where \( p = 2 \) if \( m_j \) is even and \( p = 1 \) if \( m_j \) is odd. By replacing \( \nu \) by \( e^{i\theta} \nu \), we can assume that \( a \) is real and positive. Thus after writing \( \tau \) as \( dx/x \) we have

\[
R(\nu)|_{E_j} = 0 \quad \quad \quad R(\nu)|_{C_i} = \begin{cases} a \bar{x} \quad d\bar{x} \otimes \nu & m_j \text{ even} \\ a \quad d\bar{x} \otimes \nu & m_j \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{6.8}
\]

for some real \( a > 0 \). Similarly, one finds that at each interior nodes of \( \bar{E}_j \), there are local coordinates in which \( R(\nu) = a \bar{y} \bar{y}^2 \bar{x} d\bar{x} \otimes \nu \).

We conclude this section by stating two facts about the index of the operators (6.1).

**Lemma 6.4.** For \( s \neq 0 \), the operator \( L_{s,t} \) on \( C_s \) has index 0, and for \( i = 1, 2 \) index \( L_{0,i} |_{C_i} = -\mathcal{E}^v \) where \( \mathcal{E}^v \) is the number of even ramification points of \( f_i = f_0 |_{C_i} \).

**Proof.** For each \( s \), \( L_{s,t} \) is a compact perturbation of the \( \bar{\partial} \)-operator, so its index is twice of the holomorphic Euler characteristic \( \chi(N_{\zeta,s}) \). But \( \chi(N_{\zeta,s}) = 0 \) for \( s \neq 0 \) because \( N_{\zeta,s} \) is a theta characteristic on \( C_s \). Similarly, for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( N_{D_i} \) is a theta characteristic on \( D_i \) so \( 2 \deg(N|_{D_i}) = 2h - 2 \). Theorem (5.1), the Riemann-Roch and Riemann-Hurwitz formulas then give

\[
2\chi(N_{\zeta,i}) = -\deg(f_i T D_i) + \deg(\mathcal{R}_{fi} - \mathcal{R}_{fi}^v) + \chi(C_i) = -\deg(\mathcal{R}_{fi}^v) = -\mathcal{E}^v. \tag{6.9}
\]
7 Bundles of Eigenspaces

In Section 5 we constructed curves $C_\zeta \to \Delta$ over the disk whose general fibers are smooth and whose central fiber $C_0$ is a union $C_1 \cup E \cup C_2$ of nodal curves where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are disjoint and

$$E = \cup_j E_j$$

where each $E_j$ is the chain of rational curves (5.4). For simplicity, we will drop $\zeta$ from our notation. There is also a line bundle $N \to C$ whose restriction $N_s$ to each fiber $C_s$ comes with the bundle map $R_s$ described in Theorem 5.3 and the one-parameter family of operators

$$L_t = \overline{\partial} + tR_s$$

To take adjoints, we fix a hermitian metric on $N$ and a Riemannian metric $g$ on $C$, with $g$ chosen to be Euclidean in the local coordinates $(x, y, s)$ around in node of $C_0$ (as described in Section 5).

On each curve $C_s$, the operator $L^*_t L_t$ on $N_s$ has non-negative real eigenvalues $\{\lambda\}$ that vary continuously with $s$ for $s \neq 0$. Given a function $\lambda_1(s) > 0$ on $\Delta$ (we will fix a value later), consider the family of vector spaces $E \to \Delta$ whose fiber over $s$ is spanned by the low eigensections as in (3.6):

$$E_s = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ \xi \in L^2(C_s; N_s) \mid L^*_t L_t \xi = \lambda \xi \text{ for } \lambda < \lambda_1 \}.$$  (7.1)

The eigensections of $L_t L^*_t$ give a similar family $F \to \Delta$ of $L^2$ sections:

$$F_s = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ \eta \in L^2(C_s; \overline{K_{C_s} \otimes N_s}) \mid L_t L^*_t \eta = \lambda \eta \text{ for } \lambda < \lambda_1 \}.$$  (7.2)

and $L_t$ is a bounded finite-dimensional linear map $L_t : E_s \to F_s$. In general, the dimension of such eigenspaces can jump as $s$ varies. This section establishes conditions under which $E$ and $F$ are actually vector bundles over $\Delta$.

We will show that the spaces of $E_s$ can be modeled on the space of holomorphic sections of $N$ along the central fiber $C_0$.

Lemma 7.1. Let $E_0 = \{\text{continuous } \psi \in H^0(C_0, N_0)\}$. There are $L^2$ orthogonal decompositions

$$E_0 = W \oplus E'_0 \quad \quad W = \bigoplus_{j|m_j \text{ even}} W_j$$  (7.3)

where $W = \ker L_t \cap E_0$, each $W_j$ is a 1-dimensional complex space and $E'_0 \cong H^0(C_1, N_1) \oplus H^0(C_2, N_2)$. Furthermore, $F_0 = \ker L_{0,t} |_{C_0}$ has real dimension $2l^\psi$.

Proof. Because $R$ is non-trivial on $C_1 \cup C_2$ and trivial on $E$, the proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that any continuous $\psi \in \ker L_t$ vanishes on $C_1 \cup C_2$ and is holomorphic on $E$, so lies in the direct sum of the $L^2$ orthogonal complex vector spaces

$$W_j = \{\text{continuous } \psi \in H^0(C_0, N_0) \text{ with support on } E_j\}.$$  (7.4)

If $m_j$ is odd, $N_0$ is $O(1)$ on the center component of $E_j$ and is trivial the other irreducible components; the boundary conditions (7.3) then imply that $W_j = 0$. If $m_j$ is even, $N_0$ is $O(1)$ on the first and last components of $E_j$ and trivial on the others; hence $W_j \cong \mathbb{C}$ and each $\psi \in W_j$ is constant on $E_j$ except on the end components.

One similarly sees that each $\psi \in H = H^0(C_1, N_1) \oplus H^0(C_2, N_2)$ extends continuously and holomorphically over $C_0$; the extension is unique modulo $W$ and hence there is a unique extension $\tilde{\psi}$ perpendicular to $W$. Let $E'_0 \cong H$ denote the set of all extensions. Then for each continuous $\xi \in H^0(C_0, N_0)$ there is a $\tilde{\psi} \in E'_0$ so that $\xi - \tilde{\psi}$ has support in $E$, and therefore lies in $W$ as above. Thus $E_0$ decomposes as in (7.3).
Finally, note that the restriction of each \( \eta \in \mathcal{F}_0 = \ker L_{0,t} \) to each component of \( \bar{E} \) satisfies \((\overline{\partial} + tR^*)\eta = 0 \) with \( R = 0 \), so by Theorem 5.11 lies in \( H^{01}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0 \) or \( H^{01}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0 \). Thus \( \eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \) where \( \eta_1 \) lies in the kernel of the operator \( L_i = L_{0,t}|_{C_i} \). But Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 6.4 show that

\[
\dim \ker L_i^* = \dim \ker L_i - \text{index } L_i = 0 - (-\ell^{ev}) = \ell^{ev}
\]

so we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) has real dimension \( 2\ell^{ev} \). \( \square \)

The following theorem shows that the decomposition Lemma 7.1 on the nodal curve \( C_0 \) carries over to nearby smooth curves. Parts (a) and (b) cover the case where \( |t| \) is small, part (d) covers the case where \( |t| \) is large, and (c) holds for all \( t \). The upshot is that the low eigenspaces are of three types: one whose eigenvalues grow linearly with \( t \), one whose eigenvalues are logarithmically small in \( |s| \), and one whose eigenvalues are bounded by \( |s|^2(1 + t^2) \) and which splits as a sum of 2-dimensional eigenspaces.

\[
\lambda_1(s) = \frac{c_0}{|s|} \tag{7.5}
\]

**Theorem 7.2.** (a) There is a \( c_0 > 0 \) such that, with \( \lambda_1(s) \) as in (7.5) and \( 0 < |s|, |t| \ll 1 \), the low eigenspaces (7.1) and (7.2) form vector bundles \( E_W, E' \) and \( F' \) over \( \Delta \) and \( F^0 \) over \( \Delta \setminus \{0\} \) and a diagram of bundle maps

\[
\Delta \times (W \oplus E'_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi \cong} E_W \oplus E' \xrightarrow{L_i} F^0 \oplus F' \tag{7.6}
\]

(b) There are positive constants \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) such that for \( t \neq 0 \)

\[
E_W = \bigoplus \{ E_\lambda \mid \lambda \leq C_1 |s|^2(1 + t^2) \} \quad E' = \bigoplus \{ E_\lambda \mid C_2 t^2 \leq \lambda \leq C_3 (|s|^2 + t^2) \}. \tag{7.7}
\]

(c) For \( t \neq 0 \) and \( |s| \ll 1 + t^2 \), the first component of \( \Phi \) is a bundle isomorphism

\[
\Delta \times \bigoplus_j V_j \xrightarrow{\Phi^v \cong} \bigoplus_j E_j \tag{7.8}
\]

where the \( E_j \) are real rank 2 bundles that are \( L^2 \) orthogonal up to terms of order \( O(|s|\sqrt{1 + t^2}) \).

(d) For each \( \tau > 0 \) there is a \( \delta > 0 \) such that (7.8) is an isomorphism onto the sum of the eigenspaces with eigenvalue \( \lambda \leq C_1 |s|^2(1 + t^2) \) whenever \( |t| \leq \tau \) and \( |s| < \delta \).

The proof of Theorem 7.2 occupies the rest of this section. The method is straightforward: transfer elements of \( \ker L_i \) on \( C_0 \) to \( C_s \) by extending and cutting off, then estimate using the coordinates introduced in Section 5.

**Proof.** For each node \( p \) of \( C_0 \), the construction of Section 5 provides coordinates \((x, y)\) on a ball \( B(p, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{C} \) in which \( C_s = \{ xy = \zeta s \} \). After shrinking \( \varepsilon \) we may assume these balls are disjoint and that on each ball there is a local holomorphic section \( \nu \) of \( \mathcal{N} \) with \( \frac{1}{2} \leq |\nu|^2 \leq 2 \) pointwise. Let \( B(\varepsilon) \) be the union of these balls. Each \( \psi \in W \oplus E'_0 \) is continuous and can be extended as follows:

- On \( C_0 \cap B(\varepsilon) \), \( \psi \) has the form \( f\nu \) for some continuous holomorphic function. Extend this to the section \( \psi^{\rho} = F\nu \) by setting

\[
F(x, y) = f(x, 0) + f(0, y) - f(0, 0)
\]

on each \( B(p, \varepsilon) \). This extension is continuous, holomorphic and agrees with \( \psi \) along \( C_0 \).
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To merge the above extensions, fix a smooth bump function \( \beta \) supported on \( B(2\varepsilon) \) with \( \beta = 1 \) on \( B(\varepsilon) \) and with \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \) and \(|d\beta| \leq 2/\varepsilon\) everywhere. Then

\[
\widehat{\psi} = \beta \psi_{in} + (1 - \beta) \psi_{out}
\]  

(7.9)
is a smooth extension of \( \psi \) to a section of \( \mathcal{N} \) on a neighborhood of \( C_0 \). After choosing an \( L^2 \) orthonormal basis \( \{ \psi_k \} \) of \( W \oplus \mathcal{E}_0 \), this construction creates extensions \( \{ \widehat{\psi}_k \} \). We can then define a linear map \( \Psi_s : W \oplus \mathcal{E}_0 \to C^\infty(C_s, N_s) \) for each small \( s \) by setting

\[
\Psi_s(\psi_k) = \psi_{k,s} \quad \text{where } \psi_{k,s} = \widehat{\psi}_k|_{C_s}
\]  

(7.10)

for each basis vector \( \psi_k \) and extending linearly. For each \( j \), \( \psi_s = \psi_{k,s} \) is continuous, holomorphic on \( C_s \cap B(\varepsilon) \), and satisfies the following bounds for \( |s| < 1 \):

1. Because \( \psi_{in} \) and \( \psi_{out} \) are continuous extensions of \( \psi \), we have \( |\psi_{in} - \psi_{out}| \leq c_1(\varepsilon)|s| \) on the region \( A_s(\varepsilon) = C_s \cap (B(2\varepsilon) \setminus B(\varepsilon)) \), which contains the support of \( d\beta \).
2. On the complement of \( B(\varepsilon) \), the curves \( C_s \) converge to \( C_0 \) in \( C^1 \) as \( s \to 0 \) and \( \partial\psi_{k,0} = 0 \). Hence \( |\partial\psi_{k,s}| \leq c_2(\varepsilon)|s| \) on the support of \( 1 - \beta \).

The \( L^2 \) norm of \( L_t\psi_s = \partial\beta_c(\psi_{in} - \psi_{out}) + (1 - \beta_c) \partial\psi_{out} + tR\psi_{k,s} \) therefore satisfies

\[
\|L_t\psi_{k,s}\|^2 \leq c_3|s|^2 \left( \int_{A_s(\varepsilon)} \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2} + \text{Area}(C_s) \right) + c_4t^2\|\psi_{k,s}\|^2 \leq c_5(|s|^2 + t^2)\|\psi_{k,s}\|^2
\]  

(7.11)

where the last inequality holds because \( R \) is bounded and \( \|\psi_{k,s}\| \to \|\psi_k\| = 1 \) as \( s \to 0 \).

If \( \psi_k \in W \) then (7.11) can be strengthened. There is a basis \( \{ \psi_j \} \) of \( W \) where the support of \( \psi_j \) lies in an even chain \( E_j \) and \( R = 0 \) along that chain; we therefore have \( |R\psi_{j,s}| \leq c_6|s||\psi_{j,s}| \) outside the \( 2\varepsilon \)-balls around the even nodes \( p_j \). In those \( 2\varepsilon \)-balls, there are local coordinates \((x, y)\) in which \( xy = \zeta s \) on \( C_s \) and \( R \) has the form \( (0, B) \) and \( \psi_k = by \) for some \( b \in \mathbb{C} \) (cf. Theorem 5.4). Therefore \( |R\psi_{j,s}| \leq c_3|x\bar{y}| = c_7|s| \) and (7.11) becomes

\[
\|L_t\psi_{j,s}\|^2 \leq c_8|s|^2(1 + t^2)\|\psi_{j,s}\|^2.
\]  

(7.12)
The constant \( c_5 \) and \( c_8 \) can be taken independent of \( j \) and \( k \), and hence (7.11) holds for all \( \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0 \) and (7.12) holds for all \( \psi \in W \).

We also have a lower bound on \( \|R\psi_s\| \) for \( \psi_s \in \mathcal{E}' \). In this case, \( \psi \) is holomorphic and is non-zero on an open set in \( C_1 \cup C_2 \). The facts that \( |R| \) is non-zero almost everywhere on \( C_1 \) and \( \|\psi_s\| \to \|\psi_k\| = 1 \) as \( s \to 0 \) imply that, for small \( |s| \),

\[
\|R\psi_s\|^2 \geq \int_{C_1 \setminus B(2\varepsilon)} t^2|R|^2|\psi_s|^2 \geq c_9t^2\int_{C_1 \setminus B(2\varepsilon)} |\psi_s|^2 \geq c_{10}t^2\|\psi_s\|^2.
\]  

(7.13)

At this point we can define \( \mathcal{E} \) and the decomposition \( \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_W \oplus \mathcal{E}' \) by projecting onto low eigenspaces. For this we assume that \( s \) is not zero and is small enough that \(|s| < c_5(|s|^2 + t^2) < \frac{1}{2}c_1(s) \) with \( \lambda_1(s) \) as in (7.3). Applying Lemma 7.3 below twice shows that:

- The composition \( \Phi_s = \pi_s \Psi_s : \mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_s \) of \( \Psi_s \) with the \( L^2 \) orthogonal projection into the sum of the eigenspaces \( E_\lambda \) on \( C_s \) with \( \lambda \leq c(s)|s|^2 + t^2 \) is an isometry up to terms of order \( O(|s| + t|l|) \).
- The composition \( \Phi_s^W = \pi_s \Psi_s^W : W \to \mathcal{E}_s \) of \( \Psi_s^W \) with the \( L^2 \) orthogonal projection into the sum \( \mathcal{E}_W \) of the eigenspaces \( E_\lambda \) on \( C_s \) with \( \lambda \leq c(s)(1 + t^2) \) is an isometry up to terms of order \( O(|s|\sqrt{1 + t^2}) \); it has the form \( \pi_W \Phi_s \) for small \(|s| \) and \(|l| \leq T \).
Because basis elements \( \{ \psi_j \} \) of \( W = \oplus W_j \) have disjoint support, the image \( \Phi^W(\oplus W_j) \) defines real rank 2 subbundles \( \mathcal{E}_j \subset \mathcal{E}_W \) as in (7.8).

Now let \( \mathcal{E}' \) be the orthogonal complement of \( \mathcal{E}_W \) in \( \mathcal{E} \). Each eigenvector \( \psi \in \mathcal{E}' \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \) and norm 1 can be written as an orthogonal sum \( \psi_s + v \) with \( \psi_s \) in the image of (7.11) and \( v \in \mathcal{E}_W \) satisfying \( \| v \| \leq c_8(\sqrt{\ell(s)} + |t|)\| \psi_s \| \). We then obtain a lower bound on \( \lambda = \| L_t \psi \|^2 \) using (2.3), the inequality \( 2(a + b + c) \geq a^2 - 4b^2 - 4c^2 \) and (7.13), noting that \( R \) is bounded and \( \psi \) has unit norm:

\[
\lambda \geq t^2 R\psi \geq \frac{t^2}{2} \left[ ||R\psi||^2 - 4||Rw||^2 - 4||Rv||^2 \right] \geq \frac{t^2}{4} \left[ c_{11} - c_{12} (\ell(s) + |t|^2) \right].
\]

For small \( |s| \) and \( |t| \), this gives the inequality \( \lambda \geq C_2 t^2 \) in (7.7).

In fact, one can choose the constant \( c_{10} \) in the definition (7.5) of \( \lambda_1 \) so that \( \Psi_s : \mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_s \) is surjective. The proof, which is crucial but rather technical, is given in the appendix.

To finish, set \( \mathcal{F}' = L_t(\mathcal{E}_W) \) and \( \mathcal{F}' = L_t(\mathcal{E}') \) and observe that \( L_t \) maps the non-zero eigenspaces of \( L_t^* L_t \) isomorphically to the eigenspaces of \( L_t L_t^* \) with the same eigenvalues. But ker \( L_t \) is 0 for \( s \neq 0 \) by Theorem 6.3 and ker \( L_t = W \) on \( C_0 \) by Lemma 7.1 so after shrinking \( \Delta \), \( \mathcal{F}' \) is a bundle over \( \Delta \) and \( \mathcal{F}' \) is a bundle over \( \Delta \setminus \{0\} \). Finally, given \( \tau > 0 \), we have \( C_1 |s|^2 (1 + \tau^2) < \min\{ \lambda(s), C_2 t^2 \} \) for all small \( |s| \); the eigenvalue bounds (7.7) then show that the sum of the eigenspaces in Theorem 7.2 is exactly \( \mathcal{E}_W \).

The proof of Theorem 7.2 made use of the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \( L : H \to H' \) be a bounded linear map between Hilbert spaces so that all eigenvalues of \( L^* L \) lie in \([0, \mu] \cup [\lambda_1, \infty) \) with \( 0 < \mu < \lambda_1 \). Consider the low eigenspace

\[
E_{low} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \mu} E_\lambda
\]

and suppose that \( V \subset H \) is a subspace with \( |Lv|^2 \leq c_4 |v|^2 \) for all \( v \in V \). Then the orthogonal projection \( \pi : V \to E_{low} \) is the identity plus an operator of order \( O(\sqrt{\mu}) \).

**Proof.** Fix \( v \in V \) and write \( v = v_0 + w \) where \( v_0 = \pi v \) and \( \langle v_0, w \rangle = 0 \). Then \( \langle L v_0, L w \rangle = \langle L^* L v_0, w \rangle \) vanishes because \( L^* L v_0 \in E_{low} \), while \( |Lv|^2 \geq \lambda_1 |w|^2 \) because \( w \perp E_{low} \). Thus \( \lambda_1 |w|^2 \leq |L v|^2 = |Lv|^2 - |L v_0|^2 \leq c_4 |v|^2 \), which means that \( |v - \pi v| = |w| \leq c_4 \sqrt{\mu} |v| \).

\[
\Box
\]

### 8 Parity formulas

As Section 7 we fix a partition \( m \), a map \( f = (f_1, f_2, f_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m,0} \) and \( \zeta \in Q_m \); these data determine maps \( f_{\zeta,s} : C_{\zeta,s} \to D_s \). Theorem 5.1 shows that for \( s \neq 0 \) the restriction of \( \mathcal{N} \) is a theta characteristic \( N_s \) on \( C_s \), so defines a parity \( p(f_{\zeta,s}) \). In fact, by Theorem 5.1 \( p(f_{\zeta,s}) \) is the TR spectral flow of the finite-dimensional linear map

\[
L_{s,t} = \overline{\partial} t R_s : C_s \to \mathcal{F}_s
\]

between the fibers of the bundle of Theorem 7.2. Moreover, this sign is independent of \( s \neq 0 \) and \( t \neq 0 \). In this section we will express the parity as a product of \( 2 \times 2 \) determinants.

When the partition \( m \) is odd, \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) themselves have parities given by the theta characteristics \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) on \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) (cf. Theorem 5.1), and these determine the parity of \( f_{\zeta,s} \).

**Lemma 8.1.** If \( m \) is odd then for every \( \zeta \in Q_m \) and \( s \neq 0 \) the parity of \( f_{\zeta,s} \) is

\[
p(f_{\zeta,s}) = p(f_1) \cdot p(f_2).
\]
Proof. If \( m \) is odd, Lemma \([7.1]\) shows that \( W = 0 \) and the complex dimension of \( E_0 \) is \( h^0(N_1) + h^0(N_2) \). By the discussion in Section \( 3 \), \( p(f_{\zeta,s}) \) is \( \text{sgn} \det L_{s,t} : E'_s \to F'_s \), and this is independent of \( s \) for small \( |s| \) and \( |t| \) in the trivialization of Theorem \([7.2h]\). But for \( s = 0 \), \( L_{0,t} = tR_0|\xi_0 \) is a complex anti-linear isomorphism and therefore, as in \([8.1]\),

\[
\text{sgn det} \ L_{0,t} = (-1)^{h^0(N_1) + h^0(N_2)} = p(f_1) \cdot p(f_2).
\]

\( \square \)

If \( m \) is not an odd partition, the parity can be partially computed by the method of Lemma \([8.1]\).

**Theorem 8.2.** For each partition \( m \) and \( s \neq 0 \), and for every \( \zeta \in Q_m \) and \( t \neq 0 \), the parity of \( f_{\zeta,s} \) is given by

\[
p(f_{\zeta,s}) = (-1)^{h^0(N_1) + h^0(N_2)} \prod_{j \text{ even } |m_j|} \text{sgn det} L_t|_{E_j}.
\]

**Proof.** Theorem \([3.1]\) again shows that the parity is \( \text{sgn det} L_{s,t} \) where \( L_{s,t} \) is the map \( L_t \) in Theorem \([7.2]\) on the fiber over \( s \neq 0 \). Since \( L_t \) preserves eigenspaces and \( \ker L_t = 0 \) for non-zero \( s \) and \( t \), we have

\[
p(f_{\zeta,s}) = \text{sgn det} L_{s,t}|_{E'_s} \cdot \text{sgn det} L_{s,t}|_{E'_w}.
\]

The first factor is equal to \( p(f_1)p(f_2) \) as in the proof of Lemma \([7.1]\). To decompose the second factor, choose an \( L^2 \) orthonormal basis of \( E_W \) consisting of eigenvectors \( \psi_j \in E_j \) of \( L_{s,t}^*L_{s,t} \) with eigenvalues \( \lambda_j \). Then \( ||L_{s,t}\psi_j||^2 = \lambda_j \), while Theorem \([7.2c]\) gives

\[
|\langle \psi_j, \psi_j \rangle| = |\langle L^*L\psi_j, \psi_j \rangle| = \lambda_j |\langle \psi_j, \psi_j \rangle| \leq c_1|s|\sqrt{1 + t^2} \lambda_j
\]

whenever \( j' \neq j \). Thus for fixed \( t \) and \( 0 < |s| \ll t \), the matrix of \( L_{s,t} \) on \( E_W \) has a block form whose off-diagonal entries that are arbitrarily small compared to the diagonal entries, giving \([8.1]\). \( \square \)

We conclude this section by observing that \([S.1]\) remains valid when \( L_t \) is replaced by a perturbation of the form \( \hat{L}_t = L_t + \varepsilon tS \) for certain \( S \). Specifically, applying Theorem \([7.2]\) and the inequality \( 2t|\langle \overline{\partial \xi}, S \xi \rangle| \leq |\overline{\partial \xi}|^2 + t^2|S \xi|^2 \), we have

\[
\int_{C_{\varepsilon,s}} |\hat{L}_t| \xi|^2 = \int_{C_{\varepsilon,s}} |L_t| \xi|^2 + 2t \varepsilon |\overline{\partial \xi}, S \xi| + \varepsilon t^2 |S \xi|^2 \geq \int_{C_{\varepsilon,s}} (1 - \varepsilon)|\overline{\partial \xi}|^2 + t^2 (|R| \xi|^2 - \varepsilon |S \xi|^2).
\]

Now recall from \([6.8]\) that \( R \) has the local expansion \( R(\nu) = a\bar{x}\partial \bar{x} \nu \) at each even node \( p = p_j \). Take \( S \) of the same form: \( S(\nu) = b\bar{x}\partial \nu \) near \( p \) and bumped down to 0 outside a small neighborhood of \( p \). Then there are constants \( c_1, c_2 \) such that

\[
|S \xi|^2 \leq c_1 r^2 |\xi|^2 \leq c_2 |R \xi|^2
\]

Substituting into \([S.2]\) shows that there is an \( \varepsilon_0 \) such that \( \ker \hat{L}_t = 0 \) for all \( \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \). This means that \( \text{sgn det} \hat{L}_t = \text{sgn det} L_t \), so Proposition \([7.3]\) holds with \( R \) replaced by

\[
(R + \varepsilon S)(\nu) = (1 + \varepsilon b) \bar{x}\partial \nu + \ldots
\]

for small \( \varepsilon \). In this sense we are free to replace the leading coefficient in the Taylor expansion of \( R \) by any small perturbation and still have formula \([8.1]\).
9 Concentrating eigensections

The last factor in the parity formula (8.1) is independent of non-zero s and t. In this and the next section we explicitly evaluate (8.1) by first taking t large, and then s small. The key observation is that as \( t \to \infty \) the elements of \( \ker L^*_t \) on \( C_0 \) concentrate around the points where \( R \) vanishes, and that on nearby smooth curves \( C_s \) the low eigensections of \( L^*_t L_t \) similarly concentrate with essentially explicit formulas.

On each smooth curve \( C_s \), the adjoint of \( L_t \) is the map \( L^*_t : \Omega^{0,1}(N_s) \to \Omega^0(N_s) \) given by

\[
L^*_t = \overline{\partial} + tR^*
\]  

(9.1)

where \( R^* \) (the pointwise adjoint of \( R \)) is a real bundle map that satisfies \( R^* J = -JR^* \). Thus \( R^* \) is zero at those points where \( R = 0 \), and is an isomorphism at all other points of \( C_s \).

**Lemma 9.1.** \( A = \overline{\partial} R^* + R\overline{\partial} \) is a bundle endomorphism and for each \( s \neq 0 \)

\[
\int_{C_s} |L^*_t \eta|^2 = \int_{C_s} |\overline{\partial} R^* \eta|^2 + t|\eta, A\eta| + t^2 |R^* \eta|^2 \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(C_s, N_s).
\]  

(9.2)

**Proof.** Formula (9.2) follows immediately from (9.1). Clearly \( A \) is a first order linear differential operator, so is a bundle endomorphism if its symbol is 0. For a non-zero tangent vector \( v \), the symbols \( \sigma_v \) of \( \overline{\partial} \) and \( -\sigma^*_v \) of \( R \) are isomorphisms, in fact, \( \sigma_v \sigma^*_v = |v|^2 I \). Taking the symbol of equation (2.2) gives \( R^* \sigma_v = \sigma^*_v R \). But then \( -|v|^2 \) times the symbol is \( A \) is

\[
-|v|^2 (\sigma_v R^* - R\sigma^*_v) = \sigma_v R^* \sigma_v \sigma^*_v - \sigma_v \sigma^*_v R\sigma^*_v = \sigma_v [R^* \sigma_v - \sigma_v^* R]\sigma^*_v = 0.
\]

\( \square \)

**Lemma 9.2.** For each neighborhood \( B \) of the set of zeros of \( R^* \) there is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that for all \( t \geq 1 \) each solution of \( L^*_t L_t \eta = \lambda \eta \) with \( \lambda \leq 1 \) satisfies

\[
\int_{C_s \setminus B} |\eta|^2 \leq \frac{c}{t} \int_C |\eta|^2.
\]

**Proof.** Noting that \( R^* \) is an isomorphism on \( C \setminus B \) and applying (9.2) gives the inequalities

\[
\int_{C_s \setminus B} |\eta|^2 \leq \frac{c}{t^2} \int_{C_s \setminus B} t^2 |R^* \eta|^2 \leq \frac{c}{t^2} \int_C |L^*_t \eta|^2 + t |\eta, A\eta| \leq \left( \frac{c\lambda}{t^2} + \frac{c\|A\|}{t} \right) \int_C |\eta|^2.
\]

\( \square \)

Lemma 9.2 means that as \( t \to \infty \) the low eigensections of \( L^*_t L_t \) concentrate in small neighborhoods \( D(\varepsilon) \) of the zeros of \( R^* \). The zeros occur only at the nodes with even multiplicity, where \( R \) is given by (8.8). In particular, the elements of \( \ker L^*_t \) on \( C_0 \) concentrate at the even nodes \( p_j^* \); these are explicitly described in the next lemma.

Writing \( \eta = \phi d\bar{x} \otimes \nu \) in the coordinates of (8.8), the equation \( L^*_t \eta = 0 \) takes the form

\[
-\frac{\partial \phi}{dx} + at \bar{x} \phi = 0
\]  

(9.3)

with \( a > 0 \). Regarded as an equation on \( \mathbb{C} \), this has the explicit \( L^2 \)-normalized solution

\[
\eta = \phi d\bar{x} \otimes \nu \quad \text{where} \quad \phi(x) = i \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} e^{-a\bar{x}x}.
\]  

(9.4)

By cutting off and gluing, these forms give approximate elements of \( \ker L^*_t \) on curves. For example, we can glue onto \( C_1 \) as follows. Fix disjoint disks \( D_j = D(p_j^*, 2\varepsilon) \) in \( C_1 \) with coordinate \( x \) centered on the points \( p_j^* \) of even multiplicity. Choose a cutoff function \( \beta_j = \beta_x \) on \( D_j \) as defined before (7.3) and set

\[
\mathcal{F}^\text{approx}_t = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \eta_j = \beta_j \cdot \phi(x) d\bar{x} \otimes \nu \mid j = 1, \ldots, \ell^v \right\}.
\]  

(9.5)
Lemma 9.3. For large $t$, the $L^2$ orthogonal projection $\pi_a : \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^{approx} \to L_1^*$ on $C_1$ is an isomorphism and an isometry up to terms of order $O(1/t)$.

Proof. Integration in polar coordinates shows that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \|\eta_j\| \leq 2$ for all $j$ and all large $t$. Also, $L_1^* \eta_j = (\bar{\eta} + tR^*)(\beta_j \eta) = \beta_j L_1^* \eta - *(\bar{\eta} \wedge \star \eta)$ with $L_1^* \eta = 0$. Integrating using (9.4) yields

$$\|L_1^* \eta_j\|^2 \leq \int_{D_j} |d\beta|^2 |\eta|^2 \leq \frac{c_1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\epsilon} \phi^2(r) \, rdr \leq \frac{c_2}{t^2} \|\eta_j\|^2 \quad (9.6)$$

after noting that $t^2 e^{-2atx} \leq \epsilon^2$ for large $t$. Lemma 7.3 then shows that $\pi_a$ is an isometry up to terms of order $1/t$. It is an isomorphism because the $\{\eta_j\}$ are linearly independent (they have disjoint support) and ker $L_1^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}^{approx}$ have the same dimension $\ell^ev$ by Lemma 7.1. \hfill $\Box$

Lemma 9.3 is easily modified to apply to the smooth fibers $C_s$ of $C \to A$. For each node $p_j$ of $C_0$ with even multiplicity, let $\beta_j$ be the function $\beta(x)$ in (7.3) in $(x,y)$ coordinates on the ball $B(p_j, 2\epsilon)$ in $C$ and replace (9.5) by the $2\ell^ev$-dimensional real vector space

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{approx} = \text{span}_R \{\eta_j' = \beta_j \cdot \phi(x) \, dx \otimes \nu \mid j = 1, \ldots, \ell^ev, i = 1, 2\}.$$

The restriction to $C_s$ followed by the $L^2$ orthogonal projection gives a linear map $\pi_a : \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^{approx} \to \mathcal{F}_t^{low}$ onto the low eigenspace of $L_1^*$.

Theorem 9.4. Whenever $0 < |s| \leq 1/t^2$ and $t$ is large, $\pi_a : \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^{approx} \to \mathcal{F}_t^{low}$ is an isomorphism and an isometry up to terms of order $O(1/t)$.

Proof. For each $i, j$, the support of $\eta_j' \in C_s$ given by $(x, \zeta/\epsilon)$ for $|s|/2\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\epsilon$ with metric (A.3). Integration in polar coordinates shows that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \|\eta_j'\| \leq 2$ for all large $t$. Noting that the support of $d\beta_\epsilon$ lies in $A \cup A'$ where $A = \{r \leq \epsilon \}$ and $A' = \{|s| \leq 2\epsilon \}$, then the $L^2$ norm of $L_1^* \eta$ is bounded by the first integral in (9.0) with the domain $D_j$ replaced by $A \cup A'$. On $A$, the metric (A.3) approaches the euclidean metric as $s \to 0$, so the bound (9.0) holds. On $A'$, we can replace the conformally invariant quantity $|d\beta|^2 \, dvol_s$ by its value in the euclidean metric, namely $2\pi^2 r^2 \theta$ and replace $|d\beta|^2$ by its euclidean value times $\gamma^{-1}$. Noting that $|d\beta|^2 \gamma^{-1} \leq 4|s|^{-2} (1 + |s|^2 \gamma^{-4})^{-1} \leq c_1 \epsilon^{-2}$ on $A'$, we have, as in (9.6),

$$\int_{A'} |d\beta|^2 |\eta_j|^2 \, dvol_s \leq \frac{c_2}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\epsilon} e^{-2atx^2} \, rdr \leq \frac{c_3 |s|^3}{\epsilon^4} \leq \frac{c_4}{t^2} \quad (9.7)$$

where we have used the inequalities $|s| \leq 1/t^2$ and $e^{-x} - e^{-\epsilon x} \leq 4\epsilon x$ for small $x$ and assumed that $t \geq \epsilon^{-4}$. Combining these bounds yields

$$\|L_1^* \eta_j\|^2 \leq \frac{c_5}{t^2} \|\eta_j\|^2. \quad (9.8)$$

Lemma 7.3 then shows that $\pi_a$ is an isometry up to $O(1/t)$ terms. It is an isomorphism because (7.6) implies that for $s \neq 0$, $\mathcal{F}_t^{low} \cong \mathcal{E}_W \cong W$ has real dimension $2\ell^ev$. \hfill $\Box$

10 Cancellation for even partitions

For each partition $m$ and each $\zeta \in Q_m$, Theorem 8.2 expresses the parity $p(f_m, \zeta)$ in terms of the linear operators $L_{1,j}$ between the low eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}_j^{low}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}$ described in Theorem 7.2 and, for large $t$, Theorem 9.3. In this section we will use the concentration principle of Section 5 to show the following remarkable cancellation property.
\textbf{Theorem 10.1.} Let $m$ be an even partition as above and $s \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{\zeta \in Q_m} p(f_{\zeta},s) = 0.$$  

To prove Theorem 10.1, fix an even partition $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_1', \ldots, \zeta_\ell, \zeta_\ell')$ in $Q_m$ and choose an even component $m_j$ of $m$. We will focus on the chain $E_j$ corresponding to the chosen $m_j$ and the nodal points $p = p_j \in C_1 \cap E_j$ and $q = p_j' \in C_2 \cap E_j$ at the two ends of $E_j$. For any bases $\{\psi, i\psi\}$ of $E_j^{low}$ and $\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ of $E_j^{low}$ the $j$th factor in (6.1) is the sign of the determinant of the matrix

$$L_{t,j} = L_{t}^{\psi^{\text{low}}} = \begin{pmatrix} (\eta_1, L_t \psi_1) & (\eta_2, L_t \psi_1) \\ (\eta_1, L_t \psi_2) & (\eta_2, L_t \psi_2) \end{pmatrix}$$  

(10.1)

whose entries are given by conformally invariant $L^2$ inner products

$$(\eta, \xi) = \int_{C_{\zeta,s}} \operatorname{Re}(\eta \wedge \overline{s \xi}) \quad \eta, \xi \in \Omega^{0,1}(C_s, N_s)$$

don smooth fibers $C_{\zeta,s}$ of $C_{\zeta}$. Theorems 7.2 and 9.3 give explicit formulas for sections $\psi_j$ and $\eta_k$ which give bases up to terms of order $O(\sqrt{s})$; using these in (10.1) will correctly give $\operatorname{sgn} \det L_{t,j}$ for small $s$.

The results of Section 9 show that for large $t$ the inner products in the first column of (10.1) are concentrated near $p_j$ and those in the second column are concentrated near $q$. Thus $\det L_{t,j}$ can be regarded as the contribution of an “instanton” tunneling across the chain $E_j$ between $p$ and $q$.

To proceed, we need coordinate formulas for $\psi, \eta_1$ and $\eta_2$. Recall that there are local coordinates $(x, y)$ and a local holomorphic section $\nu$ of $N$ defined a ball $B(p_j, 2\varepsilon)$ so that $C_{\zeta,s}$ is locally given by $xy = \zeta s$, $|\nu| = 1$, and

$$R(\nu) = a x \, dx \otimes \nu$$

for a positive real constant $a$ (cf. (6.3)). Noting that elements in $W_j$ vanish to order 1 at $p$ and $q$, we can take $\psi$ and $\eta_1$ to be the restrictions of

$$\psi = \beta(r) by \nu \quad \eta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \beta(r) e^{-a tr^2} \, \overline{dx} \otimes \nu$$  

(10.2)

to $C_{\zeta,s}$ where $b \in C^*$, $r = |x|$, $\rho^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$ as described in (??) and (9.3) but with $\nu$ normalized so that its $L^2$ norm satisfies $|\nu|^2 \approx (4\pi a t)^{-1}$ for large $t$.

\textbf{Lemma 10.2.} There is a $T$ such that whenever $t > T$ and $0 < |s| \leq 1/t$ we have

$$(\eta, L_t \psi)_{C_{\zeta,s}} = a \operatorname{Re}(ibs\zeta) e^{-at|s|^2/4e^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right).$$  

(10.3)

\textbf{Proof.} Writing $L_t \psi = \overline{\overline{\psi}} + tR\psi$ with $R\psi = \beta \overline{\beta} R(\nu) = \beta ba \overline{\beta} y \overline{df} \otimes \nu$ and using the equation $xy = \zeta s$, one sees that the $L^2$ inner product is

$$(\eta, L_t \psi)_{C_{\zeta,s}} = I + \frac{at}{2\pi} \operatorname{Re}(ibs\zeta) \int_{C_{\zeta,s}} \beta(r) \beta(r) e^{-atr^2} \, |dx|^2 \, |\nu|^2 \, dvols$$

with $|I| \leq \|\eta\| \cdot \|\overline{\overline{\psi}}\| \leq c_1|s|/t \leq c_1/\sqrt{t}$ by (7.11), our normalization of $\eta$ and the hypothesis on $s$. As in the proof of Theorem 9.4 we can replace $|dx|^2 \, dvols$ by $2rdrd\theta$. Writing $|\nu|^2 = 1 + h_1$ with $|h_1| \leq c_2r$ and integrating over $\theta$ gives

$$(\eta, L_t \psi)_{C_{\zeta,s}} = 2at \operatorname{Re}(ibs\zeta) \int_{|s|/2\varepsilon}^{\infty} (1 + (\beta - 1) + h_2) e^{-atr^2} \, rdr + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right).$$
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where \( \beta = \beta(p)\beta(r) \) satisfies \( |\beta - 1| \leq 1 \) and \( |h_2| \leq c_3 r \). The first and the last parts of this integral can be estimated using the formulas
\[
\int_{|s|/\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-a t r^2} r \, dr = \frac{1}{2at} e^{-a t |s|^2/4t^2} \quad \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 e^{-a t r^2} \, dr = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} (at)^{-3/2}.
\]
Noting that \( \beta - 1 = 0 \) for \( |s|/\varepsilon \leq r \leq \varepsilon \) and estimating as in (9.7), the middle integral is dominated by
\[
\int_{|s|/2\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-a t r^2} r \, dr + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-a t r^2} r \, dr \leq \frac{-1}{2at} \left[ e^{-a t r^2 |s|/\varepsilon} + e^{-a t \varepsilon^2} \right] \leq c_4 \left( |s|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right).
\]
The lemma follows.

The remaining entries in (10.1) can be calculated from (10.3). Setting \( \psi_1 = \psi, \psi_2 = i \psi \) and \( \eta_1 = \eta \), the substitution \( b \mapsto \tilde{b} \) gives
\[
(\eta_1, \ell t \psi_2)_{C_{s,t}} = -a \, \text{Re}(i b s \zeta) \, e^{-a t |s|^2/4t^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right).
\]
The entries in the second column of (10.1) are evaluated using similar coordinates \( (x_2, y_2, \nu_2) \) around \( q \); in these coordinates \( R(\nu_2) = a_2 x_2 d\bar{x}_2 \otimes \nu_2 \) for some real number \( a_2 > 0 \), and \( \psi_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) have the form \( (10.2) \) with \( b \) replaced by a different constant, which we write as \( \tilde{b}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^* \). After a little algebra, one obtains
\[
\det L_{t,j} = -aa_2 \left| \begin{array}{cc} \text{Re}(i b s \zeta_j) & \text{Re}(b_2 s \zeta_j') \\ \text{Re}(b s \zeta_j) & \text{Re}(b_2 s \zeta_j') \end{array} \right| = a a_2 |s|^2 \left( \text{Re}(b \tilde{b}_2 \zeta_j \zeta_j') + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \right).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 10.7.** By the remark at the end of Section 8 we may assume that \( \text{Re}(b \tilde{b}_2 \zeta_j \zeta_j') \) is non-zero for each \( j \) with \( m_j \) even. For these \( j \), the above formula gives \( \text{sgn} \det L_{t,j} = \text{sgn} \text{Re}(b \tilde{b}_2 \zeta_j \zeta_j') \) when \( t \) is large and \( 0 < |s| \leq 1/t \). For each \( \zeta \in Q_m \), Theorem 8.2 therefore shows that
\[
p(f_{\zeta,s}) = (-1)^{h^0(N_1)+h^0(N_2)} \prod \text{sgn} \text{Re}(b \tilde{b}_2 \zeta_j \zeta_j')
\]
where the product is over all \( j \) with \( m_j \) even.

Now comes the punch line. Fix an index \( j \) with \( m_j \) even. For each \( \zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_1', \cdots, \zeta_t, \zeta_t') \) in \( Q_m \), replacing \( \zeta_j \) by \( -\zeta_j \) defines an involution \( t : Q_m \to Q_m \) that reverses the sign of (10.4). Thus the sum
\[
\sum_{\zeta \in Q_m} p(f_{\zeta,s}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in Q_m} \left[ p(f_{\zeta,s}) + p(f_{t(\zeta),s}) \right] = 0.
\]

Theorem 10.1 completes the proof of Theorem 10.1 — the main result stated in the introduction. Specifically, Lemmas 5.2 and 8.1 imply Theorem 4.2, Theorem 10.1 and (5.3) imply Theorem 4.2, and the arguments at the end of Section 4 showed how Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.2.
11 Calculational examples

This last section uses Theorem 11.1 to explicitly compute the degree \( d = 4 \) spin Hurwitz numbers for every genus. For degrees 1 and 2 the computation is trivial: since the only odd partitions of 1 and 2 are (1) and (1²), by (4.4) the degree \( d = 1, 2 \) spin Hurwitz numbers are the étale spin Hurwitz numbers

\[
H_1^{h,p} = (-1)^p, \quad H_2^{h,p} = (-1)^p 2^h,
\]

which are the GW invariants of Kähler surfaces calculated in [LP1] and [KL]. For notational simplicity, we will write the spin Hurwitz numbers \( H_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}^{h,p} \) with the same \( k \) partitions \( m \) of \( d \) simply as \( H_{m}^{h,p} \) and the étale spin Hurwitz number \( H_{d}^{h,p} \) as \( H_{m}^{h,p} \). The numbers 3 and 4 each have two odd partitions, namely (3) and (1³), and (31) and (1⁴). Thus, by (11.1), it suffices to compute \( H_{(3)}^{h,p} \) and \( H_{(31)}^{h,p} \) for all \( k \geq 0 \). The degree \( d = 3 \) case is calculated in [L]:

\[
H_{(3)}^{h,p^\pm} = 3^{2h-2} \left[ (-1)^k 2^{k+h-1} \pm 1 \right]
\]

where + and − denote the even and odd parities. Here we will compute the corresponding degree 4 invariants.

**Theorem 11.1.** The degree 4 Hurwitz numbers are

\[
H_{(31)}^{h,p} = (3!)^{2h-2} \cdot 2^k \left[ \pm 2^{k+h-1} + (-1)^k \right] \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.
\]

We begin by computing three special cases.

**Lemma 11.2.** (a) \( H_4^{1,-} = 0 \), (b) \( H_4^{1,-} = -6 \) and (c) \( H_{(31)}^{0,+} = \frac{2}{3} \).

**Proof.** For a genus one spin curve with odd parity, formula (3.12) of [EOP] shows that

\[
H_{(31)}^{1,-} = 2^{-k} \left( f_{(3)}(31) \right)^k - \left( f_{(3)}(4) \right)^k.
\]

Here the so-called central character \( f_{(3)} \) can be written as \( f_{(3)} = \frac{1}{3} p_3 + a_2 p_1^2 + a_1 p_1 + a_0 \) for some \( a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( p_1 \) and \( p_3 \) are the functions of partitions \( m = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \) of \( d \) defined by

\[
p_1(m) = d - \frac{1}{24} \quad \text{and} \quad p_3(m) = \sum_j m_j^3 - \frac{1}{240}.
\]

The case \( k = 0 \) gives (a), and the case \( k = 1 \) gives (b).

Next consider a map \( f \) in the dimension zero relative moduli space \( \mathcal{M}^V_{\chi,(31),(31)}(\mathbb{P}^1,4) \). By the dimension formula (11.2), \( \chi = 2 \) and hence the domain of \( f \) is either a rational curve or a disjoint union of a rational curve \( C_0 \) and an elliptic curve \( C_1 \). Maps of the first type have parity \( p(f) = 1 \) since \( N_f = \mathcal{O}(-1) \). For maps of the second type,

- \( f_0 = f|_{C_0} \in \mathcal{M}^V_{\chi,(31),(31)}(\mathbb{P}^1,1) \) and \( N_{f_0} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \),
- \( f_1 = f|_{C_1} \in \mathcal{M}^V_{\chi,(31),(31)}(\mathbb{P}^1,3) \) and \( N_{f_1} = \mathcal{O} \) (cf. the proof of Lemma 7.2 b of [L]).

It follows that \( p(f) = p(f_0) \cdot p(f_1) = 1 \cdot (-1) = -1 \). Thus by (11.2) and (11.1) the difference between the ordinary and spin Hurwitz numbers is twice the contribution of the maps of the second type:

\[
H_{(31)}^{0,+} = H_{(31)}^{0,-} - 2H_{(31)}^{0,1} - H_{(31)}^{0,3}.
\]

The three (ordinary) Hurwitz numbers on the right-hand side can be calculated by using formula (0.10) of [OP]. This yields (c). \( \square \)
Lemma 11.3. Theorem [11.7] holds for genus \( h = 0 \) and genus \( h = 1 \).

Proof. Taking \( h = h_1 = 1 \) and \( p = p_1 = 1 \) in Theorem [11.1] and using Lemma [11.2] gives

\[
H_{(31)^2}^{1,-} = 3 H_{(31)^3}^{1,-} \cdot H_{(31)^3}^{0,+} = -12.
\]

Using [11.2] and Lemma [11.2] to evaluate the \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \) cases of [11.1], one sees that \( f_{(3)}(31) = -4 \) and \( f_{(3)}(4) = 8 \). Formula [11.4] then becomes

\[
H_{(31)^k}^{1,-} = (-1)^k 2^k - 4^k \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0.
\]

For \( k \geq 1 \), we can apply Theorem [11.1] with \( (h_1, p_1) = (1, -) \), \( (h_2, p_2) = (0, +) \) and \( k_0 = 0 \) and use Lemma [11.2] to obtain

\[
H_{(31)^{k-1}}^{1,-} = 3 H_{(31)^{k}}^{1,-} \cdot H_{(31)^{k}}^{0,+} = -3 \cdot 3! H_{(31)^{k}}^{0,+}.
\]

Together with [11.3], this equation yields

\[
H_{(31)^k}^{0,+} = -\frac{1}{3^3} \left( (-1)^k 2^{k-1} - 4^{k-1} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,
\]

and the same formula holds for \( k = 0 \) because the invariant \( H_{(31)^0}^{0,+} = H_4^{0,+} \) is \( \frac{1}{3} \). Finally, combining [11.4] with the formula of Theorem [11.1] with \( (h, p) = (1, +) \), shows that

\[
H_{(31)^k}^{1,+} = 3 H_{(31)^{k+2}}^{0,+} + 4! H_{(31)^{k}}^{0,+} = (-1)^k 2^k + 4^k.
\]

Proof of Theorem [11.7]. By Lemma [11.3] we can assume that \( h \geq 2 \). Applying the formula of Theorem [11.1] with \( (h_2, p_2) = (1, +) \), we obtain

\[
H_{(31)^k}^{h,p} = 4! H_{(31)^{k+1}}^{h-1,p} \cdot H_{(31)^{k}}^{1,+} + 3 H_{(31)^{k+2}}^{h-1,p} \cdot H_{(31)^{k+1}}^{1,+}.
\]

From this, we can deduce the matrix equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{(31)^k}^{h,p} \\
H_{(31)^{k+1}}^{h,p}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
4! H_{(31)^{k+1}}^{1,+} + 3 H_{(31)^{k+2}}^{1,+} \\
4! H_{(31)^{k+2}}^{1,+} + 3 H_{(31)^{k+3}}^{1,+}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{(31)^{k+1}}^{1,+} \\
H_{(31)^{k+2}}^{1,+}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Theorem [11.1] follows after inserting the values given by [11.3] and [11.5].

\[ \square \]

A Appendix

This appendix establishes the subjectivity statement needed in the proof of Theorem [11.2]. Let \( E \) (resp. \( E_W \)) be the image of the map \( \Phi \) (resp. \( \Phi_W \)) defined below [11.3].

Lemma A.1. Given \( 0 < T \), there are constants \( c_0, \delta > 0 \) such that whenever \( |s| \) is sufficiently small all eigenspaces \( E_\lambda \) with \( |\log |s|| < c_0 \) satisfy

\[
(a) \quad E_\lambda \subset E \text{ for } |t| \leq \delta \quad \quad (b) \quad E_\lambda \subset E_W \text{ for } T < |t|.
\]

Proof. Otherwise there would be sequences \( t_n \to \tau \) and \( s_n \to 0 \) and \( L^2 \) normalized eigensections \( \xi_n \) on \( C_n = C_{s_n} \) with eigenvalues satisfying \( \lambda_n |\log |s_n|| \to 0 \) and with \( L^2 \) orthogonal to \( E \) on \( C_n \) with \( t_0 = 0 \) in case (a), and \( L^2 \) orthogonal to \( E_W \) with \( \tau \geq T \) in case (b). By [3, 4] the \( L^2 \) norms satisfy

\[
\|\overline{\mathcal{F}} \xi_n\|^2 + t^2 \|R \xi_n\|^2 = \|L_{t_n} \xi_n\|^2 = \lambda_n \to 0
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). On any compact set \( K \subset \mathcal{C} \setminus \{ \text{nodes of } C_0 \} \) we can use the coordinates of Section 5 to identify \( K \cap C_s \) with \( K \cap C_0 \) and regard \( \xi_n \) as a section on \( K \cap C_0 \). Under this identification, the geometry of \( K \cap C_s \) converges to that of \( K \cap C_0 \). An elliptic estimate for \( \mathcal{D} \) then provides a bound on the Sobolev \( W^{1,2} \) norm of \( \xi_n \):

\[
\int_{C_n} |\nabla \xi_n|^2 + |\xi_n|^2 \leq c_1 \int_{C_n} |\partial \xi_n|^2 + |\xi_n|^2 \leq c_2 (\lambda_n + 1) + 2c_2
\]

for large \( n \). Therefore, by elliptic theory, a subsequence converges in \( L^2(K) \) and weakly in \( W^{1,2}(K) \) to a limit \( \xi_0 \) with \( L_\tau^* L_\tau \xi_0 = 0 \). Applying this argument for a sequence of compact sets \( K \) that exhaust \( \mathcal{C} \setminus \{ \text{nodes} \} \) and repeatedly extracting subsequences yields a solution of \( L_\tau \xi_0 = 0 \) on \( C_0 \setminus \{ \text{nodes} \} \). By a standard argument (see the proof of Lemma 7.6 in [LP2]) \( \xi_0 \) extends over the nodes in the normalization of \( C_0 \) to a solution of \( L_\tau \xi_0 = 0 \). Theorem \( 2.2 \) then implies that \( \xi_0 \) is holomorphic.

To show \( \xi_0 \) is non-trivial we must rule out the possibility that the \( L^2 \) norm of \( \xi_n \) accumulates at the nodes. Fix a node \( p \) of \( C_0 \), a local holomorphic section \( \nu \) of \( \mathcal{N} \) with \( \frac{1}{2} \leq |\nu|^2 \leq 2 \) pointwise on \( C_n(2\varepsilon) = B(p, 2\varepsilon) \cap C_n \), and coordinates \((x, y)\) around \( p \) in which \( C_n = \{ xy = \xi \} \). Then the functions \( f_n \) defined by \( \xi_n = f_n \nu \) satisfy \( |\xi_n|^2 \leq 2 |f_n|^2 \) and \( |\partial f_n|^2 \leq 2 |\partial \xi_n|^2 \) on \( C_n \). Lemma \( A.2 \) below and \( A.2 \) show that

\[
\int_{C_n(\varepsilon)} |\xi_n|^2 \leq c_1 \varepsilon^2 \int_{C_n} |\partial \xi_n|^2 + c_5 \int_{C_n(2\varepsilon) \setminus C_n(\varepsilon)} |\xi_n|^2 \leq c_4 \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n + c_5 \int_K |\xi_n|^2
\]

with \( \lambda_n \to 0 \). If \( \xi_0 = 0 \) then the last integral also vanishes as \( n \to \infty \) because \( \xi_n \to \xi_0 = 0 \) in \( L^2(K) \). Thus the \( L^2 \) norm does not accumulate at any node, which implies that \( \|\xi_0\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\xi_n\| = 1 \); this is a contradiction unless \( \xi_0 \neq 0 \).

Furthermore, \( \xi_0 \) is continuous, as follows. Fix a node \( p \), a local holomorphic trivialization \( \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C} \) around \( p \), and local coordinates in which \( C_s \) is given by \( xy = \xi \) and regard \( \xi_0 \) as a holomorphic function. Let \( p' \) and \( p'' \) be the points in the normalization above \( p \) and let \( A_n \) be the annular region on \( C_n \) between the circles \( \gamma_1(s) = \{ x = 1 \} \) and \( \gamma_2(s) = \{ y = 1 \} \). Setting \( \eta = x^{-1} dx = -y^{-1} dy \) we have

\[
2\pi i \xi_0(p') = \int_{\gamma_1(0)} \xi_\eta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\gamma_1(s_n)} \xi_n \eta.
\]

and similarly for \( \xi_0(p'') \). Setting \( r = |x| \) and noting that \( |\eta|_g^2 \; dv_g \) is conformally invariant (cf. Lemma \( A.2 \)), we have

\[
2\pi |\xi_0(p') - \xi_0(p'')| \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{A_n} |\partial \xi_n| \; |\eta| \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |\partial \xi_n| \left( 2\pi \int_{s_n}^{1} \frac{r \; dr}{r^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} (2\pi \lambda_n |\log |s_n||)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.
\]

Thus \( \xi_0 \) is a continuous element of \( \ker L_\tau \) on \( C_0 \). Lemma \( 7.1 \) then implies that \( \xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_0 \) in case (a) and \( \xi_0 \in W \) in case (b).

But in case (a) each \( \xi_n \) is \( L^2 \) orthogonal to \( \mathcal{E}_s \) on \( C_n \). For the basis \( \{ \psi_{k,s} \} \) in (7.10), one sees that for each \( \delta > 0 \) there is a compact set \( K \) so that the \( L^2 \) norm of \( \psi_{k,s} \) on \( C_n \setminus K \) is less than \( \delta \), uniformly in \( s \). A simple estimate then shows that \( \xi_0 \) is \( L^2 \) orthogonal to \( \mathcal{E}_s \). Likewise, in case (b) one sees that \( \xi_0 \) is \( L^2 \) orthogonal to \( W \). This contradicts our previous conclusion about \( \xi_0 \), completing the proof.

**Lemma A.2.** Let \( C_s(2\varepsilon) \) be the curve \( \{ xy = \xi \}; |x| < 2\varepsilon, |y| < 2\varepsilon \} \) in \( C^2 \) with the induced Riemannian metric. Then there are constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), independent of \( s \) and \( \varepsilon \), such that every smooth function \( f \) on \( C_s \) satisfies

\[
\int_{C_s(\varepsilon)} |f|^2 \leq c_1 \varepsilon^2 \int_{C_s(2\varepsilon)} |\partial f|^2 + c_2 \int_{C_s(2\varepsilon) \setminus C_s(\varepsilon)} |f|^2.
\]

**Proof.** A simple calculation shows that the Riemannian metric \( g_s \) on \( C_s \) is conformal to the euclidean metric in the \( x \)-coordinate:

\[
g_s = \gamma^2 dx^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma^2 = 1 + \frac{2}{r^4}, \quad r = |x|.
\]

(A.3)
Fix a smooth cutoff function $\beta(\rho)$, $\rho^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$, supported on $B = B(2\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\beta = 1$ on $B(\varepsilon)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $|d\beta| \leq 2/\varepsilon$ pointwise. Then $h = \beta f$ is a smooth function of $x$ that vanishes on $\partial B$. Setting $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - s^2/r^2)$, we have $d\text{vol}_s = \phi' dr d\theta$ by (A.3) and can integrate by parts:

$$I = \int_B |h|^2 d\text{vol}_s = \int_B |h|^2 \phi' dr d\theta \leq \int_B |h||dh| 2\phi dr d\theta.$$

But $2\phi \leq r^2 \gamma^2 = \rho^2$ with $\rho \leq 2\varepsilon$ so, continuing using Cauchy-Schwarz and $d\text{vol}_s = \gamma^2 r dr d\theta$,

$$I \leq \int_B |h|\gamma^2 r^{1/2} |dh| dr d\theta \leq 2\varepsilon \gamma^2 \left(\int_B |dh|^2 r dr d\theta\right)^{1/2}.$$

The last integrand is conformally invariant, so can be replaced by $|dh|^2 g_{\text{vol}}^2$. Rearranging, we have $I \leq 4\varepsilon^2 ||dh||^2 \leq 8\varepsilon^2 ||\partial h||^2$ where this second inequality is obtained by integrating by parts using the formula $2\partial^* \partial = d^* d$. The lemma follows because $|\partial h|^2 \leq 2(||\partial \beta||^2 |f|^2 + ||\partial f||^2)$ where $d\beta$ has support on $C_s(2\varepsilon) \setminus C_s(\varepsilon)$.
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