Simplified Analysis of Symmetrical RF Crossovers Extended with Arbitrary Complex Passive Two-Port Networks

Mohammad A. Maktoomi1, *, Mohammad H. Maktoomi2, Zeba N. Zafar1, Mohamed Helaoui3, and Fadhel M. Ghannouchi3

Abstract—There are three mathematical conditions that must be solved simultaneously for the analysis of a fully-symmetric radio-frequency (RF) crossover. When additional reciprocal two-port networks — which might be of an arbitrarily high complexity — are appended at each port of a crossover, analysis of the modified crossover becomes very tedious. Therefore, this paper examines the requirement of the three conditions in such scenario. We show that two of the three conditions can be invoked without considering the additional two-port networks altogether. This is a remarkable simplification considering that the additional two-port networks, in general, would necessitate dealing with more involved algebraic calculations. To demonstrate the usefulness of the presented theory, for the first time, analysis and design of a dual-frequency port-extended crossover is included. A prototype of the dual-frequency crossover operating concurrently at 1 GHz and 2 GHz is manufactured on a Rogers RO4350B laminate having 30 mil substrate height and 3.66 dielectric constant. The close resemblance between the EM simulated and measured results validates the analytical equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Crossover is a matched 4-port passive device. This device allows two signals to cross each other, ideally, with infinite isolation. When the two signals are to cross each other, an air-bridge or an underpass could be used for this purpose. But, a microstrip crossover is a planar solution that is easier to fabricate and incur a reduced cost [1, 2]. This component has become very significant considering its potential application in a Butler matrix utilized in antenna beamforming for the upcoming 5G wireless communication technologies [3]. In view of the recent trends in multi-band components [4–6], there is a renewed interest in the analysis and design of dual-frequency crossovers [2, 3, 7, 8]. On the other hand, utilization of additional two-port network dates to 1976, when it was first reported as a methodology to enhance bandwidth of the conventional directional coupler [8]. Later, its application was shown in achieving dual-frequency behavior in coupler and power dividers [9, 10]. Despite many recent interesting attempts leading to realization of multiple channels and wideband crossovers [11–13], the port-extension technique could not get attention in the case of crossovers. A relatively more complex structure of a crossover is a hindrance to any such effort. Therefore, this paper presents a technique leading to a much more simplified analysis of port-extended crossovers formulated in the form of a general rule. This rule is further invoked in the analysis of a new dual-frequency crossover employing a transmission-line section as a port-extension network.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The basic mathematical conditions that are required to be solved simultaneously for the analysis of crossovers are reviewed in Section 2. In addition, a new theory is presented for the port-extended crossovers leading to the simplified analysis rule. Application of the proposed rule is demonstrated in Section 3, whereas the developed prototype as well as the simulated and measurement results are discussed in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section 5.
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2. CROSSOVERS AND THEIR SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS

The black-box diagram of a fully-symmetric crossover is depicted in Fig. 1. Being fully-symmetric simply mean that the crossover would appear the same if one looks into any one of the four ports as the input port. This crossover serves as a core structure in the proposed port-extended crossover in the following subsection. An ideal crossover is governed by the following $S$-parameters [1]:

$$S_{11} = S_{21} = S_{41} = 0, \quad |S_{31}| = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Equation (1) means that if one injects some power into port 1, none of it goes to port 2 — all the power gets cross coupled into port 3, whereas port 4 is an isolated port. Owing to the presence of full symmetry the even-odd mode analysis method can be invoked in Fig. 1, which results into four eigen-admittances $Y_{ij}$, namely, $Y_{ee}$, $Y_{eo}$, $Y_{oe}$, and $Y_{oo}$. These eigen-admittances are related with the $S$-parameters as follows [14]:

$$S_{11} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{eo} + \Gamma_{oe} + \Gamma_{oo}}{4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$S_{21} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee} - \Gamma_{eo} + \Gamma_{oe} - \Gamma_{oo}}{4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$S_{31} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee} - \Gamma_{eo} - \Gamma_{oe} + \Gamma_{oo}}{4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$S_{41} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{eo} - \Gamma_{oe} - \Gamma_{oo}}{4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where,

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{1 - Z_0 Y_{ij}}{1 + Z_0 Y_{ij}}, \quad i = \{e, o\}, \quad j = \{e, o\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and $Z_0$ is the port-termination impedance.

Using Eqs. (2)–(6) into Eq. (1) and after doing some algebraic manipulations, the following three expressions that we call Condition 1, Condition 2, and Condition 3, are achieved [2]:

$$\text{Condition 1: } Y_{ee} = Y_{oo}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\text{Condition 2: } Y_{eo} = Y_{oe}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\text{Condition 3: } Y_{ee}Y_{eo} = 1/Z_0^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In any fully-symmetric crossover, these three conditions must be applied to derive their design equations. For sake of brevity, we define: $Y_{ee} = jr_1$, $Y_{eo} = jr_2$, $Y_{oe} = jr_3$, and $Y_{oo} = jr_4$.

---

**Figure 1.** Black box diagram of a fully-symmetric crossover-core. $XX'$ and $YY'$ are the two axes of symmetry.

**Figure 2.** Black-box diagram of a port-extended crossover. Additional two-port networks are appended at each port of the crossover-core to get a port-extended crossover. TPN: two-port network.
2.1. Analysis of Crossover with Additional Passive Two-Port Networks

The modified crossover shown in Fig. 2 is obtained from the original crossover by appending identical reciprocal two-port networks at each port. It is apparent that this modification does not alter the full-symmetry defined in the preceding subsection. And therefore, the even-odd mode analysis can still be applied. The eigen-admittances of the modified crossover are denoted with primed notations, that is, as $Y'_{ij}$. Now, it is well known that the input admittance, $Y_{in}$, of a two-port network terminated with a load admittance, $Y_L$, in terms of the ABCD parameters is given as follows:

$$Y_{in} = \frac{DY_L + C}{BY_L + A} \quad (10)$$

The modified eigen-admittances, $Y'_{ij}$, valid for Fig. 2 can be evaluated using Eq. (10) by recognizing that $A = a$, $B = jb$, $C = jc$, and $D = d$, and the fact that $Y_{ij} = j r_k$, $k = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ will replace $Y_L$ in Eq. (10):

$$Y'_{ee} = \frac{j(c + dr_1)}{a - br_1} \quad (11)$$
$$Y'_{eo} = \frac{j(c + dr_2)}{a - br_2} \quad (12)$$
$$Y'_{oe} = \frac{j(c + dr_3)}{a - br_3} \quad (13)$$
$$Y'_{oo} = \frac{j(c + dr_4)}{a - br_4} \quad (14)$$

Now, Condition 1 is invoked in the modified Crossover as follows:

$$Y'_{ee} = Y'_{oo} \quad (15)$$

which is further simplified using Eqs. (11) and (14) as:

$$c = \frac{a d (r_4 - r_1)}{b (r_1 - r_4)} \quad (16)$$

If $r_1 - r_4 \neq 0$, then from Eq. (16):

$$c = \frac{-a d}{b} \quad (17)$$

However, recognizing that $a d + b c = 1$ for the considered reciprocal two-port networks and substituting $a d = 1 - b c$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (17) does not yield any meaningful result, therefore, we must conclude that indeed $r_1 - r_4 = 0$, that is:

$$r_1 = r_4 \quad (18)$$
$$\Rightarrow j r_1 = j r_4 \Rightarrow Y_{ee} = Y_{oo} \quad (19)$$

Equation (19) is a very interesting result: we started with $Y'_{ee} = Y'_{oo}$ in Eq. (15) and despite the presence of extra two-port networks, it led us to Eq. (19): $Y_{ee} = Y_{oo}$, that is, while invoking Condition 1 the two-port networks can be omitted altogether.

Similarly, the following result is obtained upon application of Condition 2, namely $Y'_{eo} = Y'_{oe}$ in Fig. 2:

$$r_2 = r_3 \quad (20)$$
$$\Rightarrow j r_2 = j r_3 \Rightarrow Y_{eo} = Y_{oe} \quad (21)$$

That is, again, while invoking Condition 2 the two-port networks can be omitted altogether. Thus, based on Eqs. (19) and (21) we can state a general rule for the analysis of any fully-symmetric crossover with extra arbitrarily complex identical reciprocal two-port networks:

“Invoking conditions 1 and 2 in the modified Crossover is equivalent to their applications without considering the additional two-port network altogether.”

In addition, the following simplified equation is obtained for the modified crossover upon application of Condition 3, $Y'_{ee} Y'_{eo} = 1/Z_0^2$:

$$(b^2 + a^2 Z_0^2) r_1 r_2 + (c d Z_0^2 - a b) (r_1 + r_2) + (a^2 + c^2 Z_0^2) = 0 \quad (22)$$
3. APPLICATION: A NOVEL PORT-EXTENDED DUAL-FREQUENCY CROSSOVER

To show application of the simplified analysis rule presented in the previous Section, the proposed dual-frequency crossover shown in Fig. 3 is considered. It is composed of a crossover-core structure (drawn in blue color in the online version of this paper) that is shown inside the dotted region. The extra transmission lines having characteristic impedance $Z_x$ and electrical length $\theta$ serve as additional two-port networks. To analyze the proposed crossover using the developed simplified analysis rule, we proceed as follows:

**Figure 3.** Proposed port-extended dual-frequency crossover. The crossover-core is shown inside the dotted box whereas the shaded transmission-lines serves as the additional two-port networks.

**Step 1.** Omit the additional two-port networks and find the even-odd mode eigen-admittances of the resulting crossover-core:

The even-odd mode equivalent circuits are shown in Fig. 4, and the corresponding eigen-admittances are found as follows.

\[
Y_{ee} = \frac{\tan \theta}{Z_2} + j \frac{(Z_1 + Z_3) \tan \theta}{Z_1(Z_3 - Z_1 \tan^2 \theta)} = jr_1
\]

\[
Y_{eo} = -j \frac{\cot \theta}{Z_2} + j \frac{(Z_3 \tan \theta - Z_1 \cot \theta)}{Z_1(Z_1 + Z_3)} = jr_2
\]

\[
Y_{oe} = -j \cot \theta + j \frac{\tan \theta}{Z_2} = jr_3
\]

\[
Y_{oo} = -j \frac{\cot \theta}{Z_1} - j \frac{\cot \theta}{Z_2} = jr_4
\]

**Step 2.** Invoke Conditions 1 and 2 given by Eqs. (7) and (8):

First, $Z_2$ is found by invoking $Y_{eo} = Y_{oe}$:

\[
Z_2 = \frac{Z_1(Z_1 + Z_3)}{Z_3}
\]  

Subsequently, $Z_3$ is found by enforcing $Y_{ee} = Y_{oo}$:

\[
Z_3 = -\frac{Z_1 \cos 2\theta}{2 \cos^2 \theta}
\]

By substituting $Z_3$ from Eq. (28) into Eq. (27), we get:

\[
Z_2 = -\frac{Z_1}{\cos 2\theta}
\]
Step 3. Use Eq. (22) to obtain the final design equations:

To apply Eq. (22), first \( r_1 + r_2 \) and \( r_1 r_2 \) are found using Eqs. (23)–(26) along with Eqs. (28) and (29):

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1 + r_2 &= \frac{k_1}{Z_1} \quad \text{(30)} \\
  r_1 r_2 &= \frac{k_2}{Z_1^2} \quad \text{(31)}
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_1 &= -(\cot \theta + \tan \theta (1 + 2 \cos 2\theta)) \quad \text{(32)} \\
  k_2 &= (1 + \cos 2\theta)(1 + \tan^2 \theta \cos 2\theta) \quad \text{(33)}
\end{align*}
\]

Then, by substituting Eqs. (30) and (31) into Eq. (22), we get:

\[
Z_1 = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} \quad \text{(34)}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
  A &= a^2 + c^2 Z_0^2 \quad \text{(35)} \\
  B &= k_1 (cd Z_0^2 - ab) \quad \text{(36)} \\
  C &= k_2 (b^2 + d^2 Z_0^2) \quad \text{(37)}
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( a = \cos \theta \), \( b = Z_x \sin \theta \), \( c = \sin \theta / Z_x \), and \( d = \cos \theta \). In Eq. (34), any negative or a physically unrealizable value of \( Z_1 \) must be discarded. The chosen value of \( Z_1 \) upon evaluation from (34) is further utilized to find \( Z_3 \) and \( Z_2 \) using Eqs. (28)–(29). \( Z_x \) is a free variable that can be chosen to be anywhere between 20 Ω to 120 Ω for a microstrip implementation. It may be noted that for a dual-frequency operation, \( \theta \) is evaluated using the following expression [2]:

\[
\theta = \frac{m\pi}{1 + r}, \quad r = f_2/f_1, \quad m : \text{integer } \geq 1. \quad \text{(38)}
\]

4. SIMULATION, PROTOTYPE, AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS

To assess the frequency-ratio achievable in the proposed crossover, the variation of various line-impedances is plotted in Fig. 5 using the design equations deduced in the previous section. It must be noted \( Z_x \) is assumed an independent variable and for each value of frequency-ratio, it is chosen such that one gets physically realizable values of \( Z_1 \), \( Z_2 \), and \( Z_3 \). It is apparent from the result shown in Fig. 5 that achievable frequency-ratio is from 1.3 to 2 considering that the characteristic impedances are limited between 20 Ω to 120 Ω. In contrast, a conventional double-box crossover can operate for a frequency-ratio lying between 1.5 to 1.9 [Figs. 2, 3]. Since \( Z_x \) is a free variable, Fig. 5 represents
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**Figure 5.** The line-impedance vs frequency-ratio plot of the proposed port-extended dual-frequency crossover assuming available characteristic impedance in the range [20 Ω, 120 Ω].
Figure 6. The line-impedance vs frequency-ratio plot of the proposed port-extended dual-frequency crossover allowing $Z_x$ to assume another set of values.

Figure 7. Layout the proposed port-extended dual-frequency crossover. The dimensions are in mm.

Figure 8. The measurement setup for the $S$-parameter of the fabricated prototype using a TTR506A VNA. The plots being shown on the laptop screen are $|S_{11}|$ (yellow) and $|S_{31}|$ (green).

just one of the many possible impedance variation profiles. For example, Fig. 6 shows another possible line-impedance variation obtained by forcing $Z_x$ to take another set of values.

For validation of the theory, a prototype operating concurrently at 1 GHz and 2 GHz is designed, fabricated, and measured. A Rogers RO4350B substrate having a dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r = 3.66$, dissipation factor $\tan \delta = 0.003$, substrate height = 0.762 mm, and copper cladding of 35 µm on both the sides is used. Values of various transmission line parameters are as follows: $Z_2 = 80 \Omega$, $Z_1 = 40 \Omega$, $Z_2 = 80 \Omega$, $Z_3 = 40 \Omega$, and $\theta = 60^\circ$. The Keysight ADS software was used for the design and during the preparation of this writing some simulations were also carried out using NI AWRDE. The fabricated prototype is depicted in Fig. 7 along with the dimensions of various transmission line elements annotated in mm. It must be noted that due to the presence of several T-junctions and bends in structure, the final microstrip structure needed fine tuning using the optimization feature of ADS. The $S$-parameters of the prototype were measured using a Tektronix TTR506A vector network analyzer (VNA) as shown in Fig. 8 with its IF bandwidth set at 1 kHz. The electromagnetic simulation (EM) and the measured results are compared in Fig. 9. The measured device shows that its return loss and isolations are 20 dB or better. Furthermore, for a 15-dB reference point the return loss bandwidths are 175 MHz at $f_1$ and 195 MHz at $f_2$, and the isolation bandwidths are 260 MHz at $f_1$ and 100 MHz at $f_2$. These are adequate
Figure 9. Comparison of EM simulated (without a subscript $m$) and measured (shown with subscript $m$) $S$-parameters magnitude in dB (a) $|S_{11}|$, (b) $|S_{21}|$, (c) $|S_{31}|$, and (d) $|S_{41}|$.

bandwidth for dual-frequency applications. Moreover, the measured insertion losses $|S_{31}|$ are $-0.4$ dB at $f_1$ and $-0.58$ dB at $f_2$, respectively. The corresponding EM simulated values of $|S_{31}|$ are $-0.27$ dB at $f_1$ and $-0.48$ dB at $f_2$. It is apparent that there is an excellent resemblance between the EM simulated and measurement results.

5. CONCLUSION

To perform analysis of a fully-symmetric crossover with extended two-port networks at each port is a very tedious task. This paper presents a rule that greatly helps simplify the analysis of such fully-symmetric crossovers. Thorough analysis was conducted to show that two of the required conditions
for the analysis of the crossover could be applied without considering the additional two-port networks altogether. The derived rule was invoked during the analysis of the proposed dual-frequency crossover, which helped us quickly get the design equations. The EM simulated and measured $S$-parameters of the designed prototype match well with each other and hence validate the theory presented in this paper.
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