New Explanation for the Near-Side/Far-Side Lunar Maria Disparity
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ABSTRACT

Any attempt to understand the origin of lunar maria must as well account for the dearth of maria on the far-side of the Moon. Various attempts have been made to explain the origin of lunar maria based solely upon obvious lunar processes, namely, volcanism and impact phenomena. Here I posit a different explanation for the origin of lunar maria by analogy with observations of Earth, specifically related to its central nuclear fission georeactor. Georeactor formation is a natural consequence of density layering in oxygen-starved (highly-reduced) planetary matter and is ideally suited for magnetic field generation in planets and large moons. A portion of georeactor produced heat is channeled to Earth’s surface hot-spots, e.g., Hawaii and Iceland, where its georeactor origin is indicated by the high relative 3He/4He ratios observed and seismically imaged heat channels extending to the top of the core. Massive basalt floods, e.g., Siberian and Deccan Traps were driven by georeactor-produced heat as indicated by the high relative 3He/4He ratios of their occluded helium. These terrestrial basalt floods suggest to me that the lunar maria might have similar origins driven by the Moon’s nuclear fission “lunar-reactor.” Remanent magnetization of some lunar surface material is indicative of an ancient internally-generated magnetic field. That implication is consistent with the magnetic fields produced by central nuclear fission reactors in many planets and large moons. The location of the lunar-reactor at the Moon’s center of mass, displaced 2 km toward the Earth-facing side, in concert with Earth’s tidal pull, I posit, is principally responsible for driving the maria-basalt floods toward the Earth facing side of the Moon. In principle, it should be possible to verify the correctness of this concept by measuring the helium isotopes of maria basalt samples taken from depths sufficient to be unaffected by solar wind implanted helium.
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1. A FUNDAMENTAL STATEMENT

Lunar maria, the great dark plains that give rise to perceptions of the “Man in the Moon,” and the lighter highlands are prominent features of the Earth-facing surface of the Moon. The lunar highlands are composed of Ca-Al rich anorthositic rocks, whereas the lunar maria are composed of basaltic lava flows [1,2]. Any attempt to understand the origin of lunar maria must as well account for the dearth of maria on the far-side of the Moon [Fig. 1].

Various attempts have been made to explain the origin of lunar maria based solely upon obvious lunar processes, namely, volcanism and impact phenomena [3-5]. Here I posit a different explanation for the origin of lunar maria by analogy with observations of Earth, specifically related to its central nuclear fission georeactor [6-10].

Two independent lines of evidence support georeactor existence

- Calculated georeactor nuclear fission production of $^3$He/$^4$He ratios are in precisely the range of ratios observed in oceanic basalts [7].
- Geoneutrino (antineutrino) measurements, at a 95% confidence level, at Kamioka, Japan [11] and Gran Sasso, Italy [12], indicate georeactor nuclear fission output energy of 3.7 and 2.4 terawatts, respectively. These fissionogenic energy values are similar to the 3-6 terawatt range employed in Oak Ridge National Laboratory georeactor simulations [7,9].

Georeactor formation is a natural consequence of density layering in oxygen-starved (highly-reduced) planetary matter [6,10,13]. The two-component, self-regulated [14] nuclear fission georeactor assembly is capable of sustained thermal convection in its charged-particle-rich sub-shell, and is ideally suited for magnetic field generation in planets and large moons [15-17].

Fissionogenic heat produced by the georeactor’s nuclear sub-core is transferred via convection in the nuclear waste sub-shell to the inner-core heat sink and then to the larger fluid-core heat sink [8]. A portion of the georeactor produced heat is channeled to Earth’s surface hot-spots [18], e.g., Hawaii and Iceland, where its georeactor origin is indicated by the high relative $^3$He/$^4$He ratios observed [19] and seismically imaged heat channels extending to the top of the core [20,21].

Fig. 1. NASA image of albedo from NASA’s clementine UV-VIS camera with 750 nm filter
Massive basalt floods, the Siberian Traps (250 mya) and the Deccan Traps (65 mya), were driven by georeactor-produced heat as indicated by the high relative $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios of their occluded helium [22,23]. These terrestrial basalt floods suggest to me that the lunar maria might have similar origins driven by the Moon’s nuclear fission “lunar-reactor.”

Although the Moon currently has no internally generated magnetic field, remanent magnetization of some of its surface material is indicative of an ancient internally-generated magnetic field [24,25]. That implication is consistent with the magnetic fields produced by central nuclear fission reactors in many planets and large moons [17,26]. The location of the lunar-reactor at the Moon’s center of mass, displaced 2 km toward the Earth-facing side [27], in concert with Earth’s tidal pull [28], I posit, is principally responsible for driving the maria-basalt floods toward the Earth facing side of the Moon.

In principle, it should be possible to verify the correctness of this concept as an explanation for near-side maria bias by measuring the helium isotopes of maria basalt samples taken from depths sufficient to be unaffected by solar wind implanted helium.

2. CONCLUSION

Science progresses, not by making assumption-based computational models, but by logical progressions of understanding based upon causal relationships securely anchored to the properties of radiation and matter. Here I have provided a new explanation for the near-side/far-side lunar maria disparity by analogy with observations of Earth, specifically related to its nuclear fission georeactor. Moreover, I have described the means to possibly verify the correctness of this explanation by measuring the helium isotopes of maria basalt samples taken from depths sufficient to be unaffected by solar wind implanted helium.
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