Primary CD8\(^+\) T cells from elite suppressors effectively eliminate non-productively HIV-1 infected resting and activated CD4\(^+\) T cells
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Abstract

**Background:** Elite controllers or suppressors have the remarkable capacity to maintain HIV-1 plasma RNA levels below the limit of detection of clinical assays (<50 copies/mL) without therapy and have a lower frequency of latently infected cells compared to chronic progressors. While it is unclear how this reduced seeding of the reservoir is achieved, it is possible that effective CTL responses play an important role in limiting the size of the latent reservoir.

**Results:** Herein, we demonstrate that primary CD8\(^+\) T cells from HLA-B*57/5801 elite suppressors were able to efficiently eliminate resting and activated primary CD4\(^+\) T cells shortly after viral entry and prior to productive infection. CD8\(^+\) T cells from elite suppressors were significantly more effective at eliminating these cells than CD8\(^+\) T cells from chronic progressors.

**Conclusions:** Nonproductively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells may represent a subpopulation of cells that are precursors to latently infected cells; therefore, the effective elimination of these cells may partially explain why elite suppressors have a much lower frequency of latently infected cells compared to chronic progressors. Thus, a vaccine strategy that elicits early and potent CD8\(^+\) T cell responses may have the capacity to limit the seeding of the latent reservoir in HIV-1 infection.
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Background

The development of a successful HIV-1 vaccine is paramount in combating the HIV-1 pandemic. In most patients, HIV-1 infection is characterized by high viral load and progressive CD4\(^+\) T cell decline. In these patients, known as chronic progressors (CP), AIDS develops in an average of ten years in the absence of antiretroviral therapy. Elite controllers or suppressors (ES) are remarkable HIV-1-infected individuals who restrict viral replication to below the limit of detection of standard clinical assays (<50 of HIV-1 RNA copies/mL of plasma) and represent less than one percent of the HIV-1 infected population [1,2]. The mechanism of this control is still unclear. A better understanding of the mechanisms involved in this control could be useful in defining the characteristics of an effective HIV-1 vaccine.

A qualitatively superior CD8\(^+\) T cell response has been most closely associated with control of viral replication. HLA-B*57 is over represented in ES cohorts [3-9] and was identified as a major determinant of control in multiple genome wide association studies [10-15]. Additionally, the maintenance of a polyfunctional HIV-1-specific CD8\(^+\) T cell response [16-18], as well as elevated proliferation and lytic granule loading have each been implicated in control of viral replication [3,19,20]. Unstimulated CD8\(^+\) T cell from ES have also been shown suppress viral replication more effectively compared to than CP CD8\(^+\) T cells [21,22]. Most convincingly, studies in the macaque model of elite suppression have shown that depletion of CD8\(^+\) T cells with monoclonal antibodies results in a loss of viral control [23,24].

While maintaining similar levels of circulating viremia as antiretroviral treated patients [3,25-27], ES have a reduced number of latently infected cells compared to CP [5,28-30]. A sensitive co-culture assay [31] was used to show that the frequency of latently infected cells in the blood of ES...
was 10 to 50 fold lower than the frequency observed for CPs who were on suppressive HAART regimens [29]. Additionally, ES have significantly lower levels of integrated proviral DNA compared to CP [28]. The lower levels of peak viremia during acute infection [32,33] that have been observed in some ES may partially explain the low frequency of latently infected cells in these patients. However, other mechanisms may contribute to this phenomenon. In a primary model system of latency, CD8 T cells from ES were observed to be more effective at targeting reactivated latently infected cell than CD8+ T cells from individuals on suppressive HAART regimens [34]. Additionally, in a recent study in the SIV macaque model, a CMV based vaccine strategy was shown to provide impressive control of SIV replication in a subset of vaccinated animals. Interestingly, this control of viral replication was not abrogated when CD8 T cell were depleted using antibodies, and very few SIV infected CD4+ T cells were seen at necropsy, suggesting that complete clearance of virus may have been achieved [35].

We hypothesized that ES CD8+ T cells might be capable of eliminating infected CD4+ T cells that are precursors of latently infected cells. Latency may be established when infected CD4+ T lymphoblasts revert back to a resting state that is non-permissive for viral gene expression [36] or through direct infection of resting CD4+ T cells [37]. In either case, the elimination of CD4+ T cells immediately after infection and before any viral genes are expressed should help prevent the establishment of latency. This targeting of non-productively infected cells early after viral infection by CD8+ T cell clones and cell lines has been documented in HIV and SIV infection models [38-40] however, it is unclear whether primary CD8+ T cells from ES can mediate this type of response.

In this study, we use a CD8+ T cell elimination assay with unstimulated, autologous CD4+ T cell targets to demonstrate that CD8+ T cells from HLA-B*57+ ES are able to eliminate non-productively infected resting and activated CD4+ T cells. This response was significantly superior to the response observed in both HLA-B*57/5801 and HLA-B*57/5801 CPs. Therefore, a potent CD8+ T cell response that targets non-productively infected cells in ES could contribute to the control of viral replication and may partially explain the reduced frequency of latently infected CD4+ T cells.

**Results and discussion**

To measure infection immediately after spinoculation, we infected CD4+ T cells and stained with an antibody against the Gag p24 antigen. This allowed for detection of Gag stained cells shortly after infection, whereas GFP can only be reliably detected two to three days after infection. To observe the kinetics of infection, we analyzed infection in 3 healthy donors. We spinoculated primary CD4+ T cells with both an X4 and R5 pseudotyped virus, and treated the cells with an antibody specific for the CD4 receptor, 1.2 μM maraviroc (MVC), 25 nM ADM3100 (ADM) or 10 μM T20 during spinoculation. Gag staining was then performed immediately after spinoculation (hour 0). The CD4 antibody should block both X4 and R5 viruses from binding the primary receptor CD4, whereas MVC and ADC are specific antagonist of CCR5 and CXCR4 and should inhibit the infection of R5 and X4 viruses, respectively. Treatment with anti-CD4 antibody reduced infection at 0 hours post infection in for both R5 and X4 pseudotype viruses. MVC treatment specifically inhibited R5 virus infection (Figure 1A), whereas ADM specifically inhibited X4 virus infection (Figure 1B). Thus, the high level of Gag positivity seen immediately after spinoculation is mediated by virus binding that is CD4 and co-receptor specific. We also treated CD4+ T cells with T20 during spinoculation and observed a reduction in the level of Gag positivity suggesting that viral fusion and entry occurs during this process (Figure 1A, B). However, this was an incomplete block suggesting that some of the Gag positivity observed immediately after spinoculation could represent virus that has bound the cell surface, but has yet to fuse with and enter the cell.

To characterize the kinetics of infection for the X4 pseudotype virus, we observed infection at 0, 6, and 18 hours post spinoculation. Infection remained relatively constant from hour 0 to hour 18, and T20, CD4, and ADC treatment maintained a reduction in the level of infection (Figure 1C). Additionally, spinoculation with X4 virus was performed at 4° Celsius and 25° Celsius and little Gag positivity was observed by FACS analysis at the lower temperature (Figure 1D). Thus, spinoculation is resulting in a high level of interaction between virion and target cells that is CD4 and co-receptor specific, and largely requires fusion of the bound virion. To determine if the ES CD8+ T cell response to non-productively infected CD4+ T cells is superior to the response of CP CD8+ T cells, a variant of the CD8+ T cell suppression assay was used [21,22,41]. Freshly isolated, unstimulated CD4+ T cells were infected with 1000 ng of NL-3 ΔEnv/GFP per 10^6 cells with or without treatment with 10 μM EFV, and co-cultured with either Gag-stimulated or unstimulated CD8+ T cell effector cells added to the culture immediately after infection. The percent of cells with intracellular Gag was determined at 6, 18, and 72 hours after infection to measure the kinetics of the CD8+ T cell response.

The HLA-B*57 and HLA-B*5801 alleles are over-represented in ES cohorts [3-9], and HLA-B*57 is the major genetic determinant of control of HIV-1 replication as identified in multiple genome wide association studies [10-14]. Additionally, HLA-B*57 is thought to present peptides from structurally conserved regions
of Gag, and mutations in these Gag epitopes have been associated with viral attenuation [42,43]. Therefore, we asked whether CD8+ T cells from HLA-B*57/5801+ ES were able to target proteins from the incoming virion, prior to the productive infection of target CD4+ T cells. Four experimental groups were analyzed: HLA-B*57+/HLA-B*5801+ ES (n=10), HLA-B*57/5801+ CP (n=9), HLA-B*57/5801- CP (n=8) and healthy donors (HD) (n=6). Because the HLA-B*57/5801+ alleles are thought to present structurally conserved regions in Gag, we analyzed both HLA-B*57/5801+ CP and HLA-B*57/5801- CP to determine if there was a difference in the kinetics of the immune response if Gag was specifically targeted.

Figure 2A shows representative FACS plots to illustrate the gating scheme. HIV-1 infection results in Nef-mediated CD4 downregulation [44]; therefore, target CD4+ T cells were designated as CD3+/CD8- and then assayed for the expression of Gag (Figure 2A). The percent elimination was normalized based on the positive control values observed in absence of CD8+ effector cells. Gag staining can be reliably detected early after spinoculation, and prior to the detection of GFP expression, which requires de novo synthesis of proteins encoded on the viral genome. Similar levels of Gag positivity were detected between the ES (49.1% mean Gag positivity) and both CP groups (51.2% and 52.1 mean Gag positivity for B*57/5801+ CP and B*57/5801- CP, respectively, data not shown). After 6 hours of co-culture, there were no significant differences between the experimental groups in the levels of elimination at any E:T ratio analyzed (Figure 2B). An increased level of elimination was observed for all experimental groups after 18 hours of infection. The levels of elimination mediated by Gag-peptide stimulated CD8+ T cells from ES was highest at a 1:1 E:T ratio for both EFV-treated and untreated cells, but did not reach statistical significance between these treatment groups. There was no difference in the level of elimination observed for
Figure 2 (See legend on next page.)
untreated or EFV-treated CD4+ T cell targets. After 72 hours of infection, there was significantly more elimination by ES CD8+ T cells compared to CD8+ T cells from CP or HD. This increased elimination was observed for both unstimulated and Gag-stimulated CD8+ T cells and was similar when either EFV-treated or untreated CD4+ targets were used (Figure 2B). Gag peptide stimulation did not dramatically increase the elimination mediated by CD8+ T cells from either HLA-B*57/5801+ CP or HLA-B*57/5801- CP when compared to unstimulated CD8+ T cell effectors. This may be due to the fact that CD8+ T cells from CP undergo limited proliferation [19] and lytic granule loading [3] after stimulation with HIV peptides. No GFP expression was observed for any EFV-treated sample during the first 72 hours after infection (data not shown).

We next asked whether the levels of elimination of non-productively infected cells was less than the elimination of productively infected CD4+ T cells. Infection of CD4+ T cells in the presence of 10 μM EFV inhibits viral reverse transcription, and results in non-productively infected cells. For the ES group, the level of elimination of untreated or EFV-treated cells mediated by Gag-stimulated or unstimulated CD8+ T cells was analyzed 72 hours after infection at a 1:1 E:T ratio and a 1:8 E:T ratio (Figure 1C). No statistically significant difference between the levels of elimination of EFV-treated or untreated CD4+ T cell targets was observed for either Gag-stimulated or unstimulated CD8+ T cells.

We confirmed this elimination of non-productively infected CD4+ T cells by infecting CD4+ T cells with virus inactivated by treatment with 300 μM aldirithiol-2 (AT-2). This agent has been shown to modify the essential zinc finger domains of HIV-1 nucleocapsid, thus, completely inactivate the virus while maintaining the structure of surface proteins. This allows the virus to enter target cells but does not allow the virus to replicate [45]. We confirmed this by demonstrating the presence of cell-associated Gag protein but not GFP expression after infection (data not shown). ES CD8+ T cells effectively eliminated CD4+ T cells infected with AT-2 treated virus, confirming the fact that these CTL can eliminated non-productively infected CD4+ T cells (Figure 3).

To determine how the amount of input virus affects elimination, we varied the input virus from 1000 ng p24 to 62.5 ng p24 per 10^6 CD4+ T cells by two fold dilutions. We assayed the normalized percent elimination by unstimulated and Gag-stimulated CD4+ T cell targets. Representative FACS plots showing the gating strategy and the percentage of infected cells over the titration range are shown in Figure 4A. There was no statistical difference in
the level of Gag present in CD4+ T cell targets with or without EFV treatment. These results confirm that at all the virus input levels tested, the observed Gag-staining does not depend on completion of reverse transcription and likely reflects cell-associated Gag proteins on incoming virus particles bound to or internalized by target cells. The average percent Gag positivity ranged from 65.8 percent to 7.2 percent (Figure 4B, top panel). The normalized percent elimination observed for untreated and EFV-treated CD4+ targets remained constant over a range of inoculum sizes (Figure 4B, bottom panel). For the untreated cells, the only significant difference in the level of elimination was between the 1000 ng p24 and the 62.5 ng p24 inoculum size (Figure 4B, blue asterisk). In this instance, significantly higher elimination was observed for the lower inoculum size. For EFV treated targets, there were no statistically significant differences in the level of elimination observed at any viral inoculum size. The level of elimination observed at the 1000, 500, and 250 ng p24 inoculum sizes was not statistically different between the untreated and EFV treated targets. However, there was a significant difference between the elimination observed for the two lowest doses of input virus between the treated and untreated CD4+ T cell targets (Figure 4B, black asterisks), indicating that recognition of viral infection at lower inoculum sizes might be more dependent on endogenously produced viral proteins. These data indicate that the level of elimination of infected cells is only weakly dependent on the viral inoculum size.

We next asked whether CD8+ T cells from ES could eliminate both activated and resting target cells. Therefore,
72 hours after infection during analysis, we stained CD4+ target cells from ES with a cocktail of anti-HLA-DR, anti-CD25, and anti-CD69 antibodies in addition to anti-CD3 and anti-CD8 antibodies used to distinguish targets and effectors. Cells that were positive for one or more of these activation markers were considered to be activated CD4+ T cells, and those that were negative for all three of these markers were considered to be resting CD4+ T cells. There were no significant differences in the levels of resting and activated CD4+ T cells that were productively (GFP+) and non-productively (Gag+ GFP-) infected (Additional file 1). The non-productive infection of resting CD4+ T cells is consistent with a prior study that showed fusion of X4 pseudotyped virus to unstimulated CD4+ T cells [46]. The GFP expressing resting CD4+ T cells may represent cells that were recently activated but no longer express classical activation markers. This was not seen in a prior study when resting CD4+ T cells were purified prior to infection [47], thus it is possible that cytokines secreted by activated CD4+ T cells in the unfractionated collection of cells may have facilitated the productive infection of resting CD4+ T cells. We asked whether CD8+ T cells from ES could eliminate both of these cell types after 18 and 72 hours after infection, both with and without treatment with EFV. We also calculated the percent elimination of infected cells for unfractionated CD4+ targets (defined as all CD3+/CD8- cells within the experimental well). Similar levels of elimination of both resting and activated infected CD4+ T cells were observed over a range of E:T ratios (Figure 5B). At both 18 and 72 hours after infection, there was no statistical difference between the levels of elimination of activated and resting infected CD4+ T cells, and no difference when compared to unfractionated CD4+ T cell targets. Additionally, there was no difference in the level of elimination of infected cells observed when cells were treated or not treated with 10 μM EFV.

Studies have shown that ES CD4+ T cells have lower levels of total [5,48] and integrated [28] HIV DNA, and replication-competent HIV-1 [29] compared to patients on suppressive HAART regimens. It is possible that precursors of latently infected cells are cells that were

Figure 5 Equal elimination of resting and activated CD4+ T cells. In a subset of our ES cohort, target CD4+ T cells analyzed in the suppression assay were also stained with anti-HLA-DR, anti-CD25, and anti-CD69 antibodies and gated using the FACSDiva software to delineate resting and activated CD4+ cell populations. The level of elimination of untreated and EFV treated resting (blue diamonds), activated (red squares), and unfractionated (all CD3+/CD8- targets; orange circles) target cells was calculated at various effector to target ratios. This analysis was performed at 18 (top panels) and 72 hours (bottom panels) after infection. No statistically significant differences in the levels of elimination between each of the populations were observed.
infected as they were transitioning from activated to a resting state, resulting in a non-productively infected cell. Therefore, if ES CD8\(^+\) T were able to effectively target non-productively infected cells through proteins from incoming virions, they would be able to reduce the seeding of the latent reservoir. By treating infected CD4\(^+\) T cells with EFV, and by infecting cells with AT-2 inactivated virus, we were able to produce non-productively infected cells. We were able to demonstrate equal elimination of infected cells between EFV treated and untreated cells and effective elimination of CD4\(^+\) T cells infected with AT-2 inactivated virus. This elimination of infected cells was relatively constant over a wide range of viral inoculum sizes, implying that in some instances, proteins from the infecting virion are sufficient to induce a CD8\(^+\) T cells response without additional viral replication. While levels of virus used in this study may be in excess of levels typically observed in peripheral blood, local concentrations of virus in the tissue and lymph nodes could be similar depending on the HIV-1 burst size as previously hypothesized [38].

While a recent study suggested that HIV-1-specific CD8\(^+\) T cell lines that recognized HLA-B*57 restricted epitopes were capable of eliminating non-productively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells [39], we show here that non-stimulated primary CD8\(^+\) T cells from HLA-B*57/5801 ES were significantly more effective at the elimination of infected CD4\(^+\) T cells than CD8\(^+\) T cells from HLA-B*57/5801 CP at 72 hours post infection. The reverse transcription process in resting CD4\(^+\) T cells has been shown to take 2–3 days [47], therefore, an increase in the elimination potential over this time frame could limit the seeding of the latent reservoir. Furthermore, non-productively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells would not be expected to die from the cytopathic effect of the virus, so even CTL responses that took 72 hours to mature would be effective at eliminating these cells.

It should be noted that ES are a heterogeneous population, and that not all ES possess previously reported, protective HLA alleles and, in some cases, have weak or absent immune responses [6,7,49,50]. Thus, it would be interesting to determine if ES without protective HLA types are also able to target non-productively infected cells.

While only HLA-B*57/5801\(^+\) ES were analyzed in this study, these data have implications for the design of an effective HIV-1 vaccine. CD8\(^+\) T cell vaccines that are able to elicit a potent response early in infection may have the potential to reduce the seeding of the latent reservoir. The CD8\(^+\) T cell responses described may partially explain the low frequency of latently infected cells that is observed in ES. The small reservoir size may be due to both the effective elimination of productively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells [3,16,18-22], which would limit the level of viremia and the subsequent seeding of the reservoir, and by the elimination of non-productively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells that may be the precursors of the latent reservoir. These data could also explain why ES maintain low frequencies of latently infected cells in chronic infection in spite of ongoing HIV-1 replication [51-55]; recently infected resting and activated CD4\(^+\) T cells will be eliminated regardless of whether productive or non-productive infection occurs.

**Conclusions**

This is the first study to show effective elimination of non-productively infected CD4\(^+\) T cells by unstimulated primary HIV-1-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells from ES. The results suggest that an early and potent CD8\(^+\) T cell immune response could result in a lower baseline latent reservoir size as has been seen with a potent CD8\(^+\) T cell vaccine in the SIV model [35]. Latency remains the largest barrier to the eradication of HIV-1 infection, and understanding the mechanisms of an optimal CD8\(^+\) T cell response can allow for improved immune based eradication strategies.

**Methods**

**Patients**

All individuals provided written informed consent prior to participating in this study, and all studies were approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board. All ES maintained undetectable plasma HIV-1 RNA levels for the duration of study (<50 copies/mL) and are positive for the HLA-B*57/5801 allele. The median CD4\(^+\) T cell count for the ES used in this study was 857 cells/ul (range 453–1638 cells/ul), and the median duration of infection was 13 years (range 4–28 years). All CP were on suppressive antiretroviral therapy for greater than a year at the time of study. Of the CP used for the study, 9 were positive for the HLA-B*57/5801 allele and 8 were negative for the HLA-B*57/5801 allele. Seronegative controls were 6 healthy laboratory workers (HD, healthy donors).

**Isolation of CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells**

PBMCs were isolated from whole blood by ficoll gradient centrifugation. CD8\(^+\) T cells were isolated by positive selection using Human CD8 Microbeads following the manufacturer’s guidelines (Miltenyi Biotech). CD4\(^+\) T cell were subsequently isolated by negative selection using the Human CD4 T cell isolation kit II following the manufacturer’s guidelines (Miltenyi Biotech). All cells were maintained in non-stimulating media (RPMI * 10% FBS without exogenous cytokines) for the duration of experimentation unless otherwise noted.

**Infection**

An NL4-3 pseudovirus with GFP in the place of Env (NL4-3 \(\Delta\text{Env/GFP}\)) was used for all infections, as previously described [56]. The virus was produced by cotransfection
of 239 T cells with the proviral construct pNL4-3 ΔEnv/ 
GFP and either an R5 or X4 Env expression vector to 
provide the Env in trans. The env expression vectors have 
been routinely used by our lab group [57]. CD4+ T cells 
were infected by spinoculation as previously described 
[58]. Briefly, CD4+ T cells were isolated and collected in 
50 mL conical tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifu-
gation at 1200 RPM for 10 minutes, and all supernatant 
was removed. The cells were then resuspended in the viral 
inoculum. Spinoculation was performed by spinning at 
1200 x g for 2 hours at room temperature. Cells were then 
resuspended at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells/mL and 
plated at 1 x 10^5 cells/well in a 96 well, round bottom plate. 
CD4+ T cells were not activated prior to spinoculation. 
Rather they were isolated directly from donors and 
maintained in non-stimulating media for the duration of 
the experiment as previously described [57,59]. CD4+ T 
cells were infected with a viral inoculum that routinely 
resulted in approximately 15-20% infection after 72 hours 
post infection, as measured by GFP expression (1000 ng 
p24/10^6 cells). For titration experiments, the level of virus 
was titrated by two fold dilutions from 1000 ng p24/10^6 
cells to 62.5 ng p24/10^6 cells. 

For experiments involving efavirenz (EFV) treatment, 
EFV was added to CD4+ T cells at the time of spinoculation 
and maintained in the culture for the duration of the 
experiment at 10 μM which is greater than the IC99. 
Treatment of CD4+ T cells with EFV prevented any ex-
pression of GFP after 72 hours of infection in any of the 
treated wells (data not shown). An aliquot of uninfected 
CD4+ T cells was kept as a negative control. 

For experiments involving maraviroc (MVC), ADM3100 
(ADM) and T20, drug was added to CD4+ T cells at the 
time of spinoculation and maintained in the culture for 
the duration of the experiment at 10 μM, 10 μM and 
25 nM, respectively, which is greater than the IC50. 

AT-2 inactivated virus was produced as previously de-
scribed [45]. Briefly, NL4-3 ΔEnv/GFP virus was treated 
with 300 μM AT-2 (Sigma) for 1 hour at 37°C. After 
incubation, virus was filtered using Amicon Ultra 0.5 mL 
filtration tubes (Millipore). Virus was then kept on ice 
until use in the CD8+ suppression assay. 

CD8+ T Cell elimination of infected cells assay 
The CD8+ T cell elimination assay was modified from a 
previously reported suppression assay [21,22]. We 
measured the reduction in the number of cells expressing 
Gag in the presence of CD8+ T cells. Because we used a 
single cycle virus, this reduction was most likely due to 
killing of infected cells although we do not directly prove 
this in this study. To prepare Gag-stimulated CD8+ T 
cells, PBMCs from patients or HD were isolated one 
week prior to the execution of the suppression assay 
(day −7). PBMCs were stimulated with a mixture of 
overlapping Gag peptides that spanned the length of Gag 
at a total concentration of 5 μg/mL and IL-2 (2 units/mL). 
After one week, Gag-stimulated, CD8+ effectors were 
isolated by positive selection using CD8 microbeads 
(Miltenyi Biotech). A second blood draw was obtained 
from each patient or HD on the same day, and un-
stimulated CD8+ T cell effectors and autologous CD4+ T 
cell targets were isolated directed ex vivo, by positive 
and negative selection, respectively. CD8+ T cells were 
added to infected CD4+ T cell targets immediately after 
spinoculation. The infected CD4+ targets, with or without 
EV treatment, and either Gag-stimulated or unstimulated 
CD8 effectors were co-cultured in a 96 well plate at 
varying effector to target (E:T) ratios. The number of 
CD4+ T cells per well remained constant (100,000 cells 
per well), and the number of CD8+ T cells was varied. 
The cells were cultured in a final volume of 200 μL of 
non-stimulating media. CD8+ T cells were serially 
diluted from a 1:1 effector to target (E:T) ratio to a 1:8 
E:T ratio by two-fold dilutions. Wells with only CD4+ 
T cells (targets alone) were used as positive controls 
for normalization.

Elimination of infected cells was measured at 6, 18 
and 72 hours after infection to determine the kinetics of 
the response. To measure infection, cells were stained 
with anti-CD3 Pac Blue (Becton Dickinson), anti-CD8 
APC-H7 (Becton Dickinson), and anti-Gag PE (Beckmen 
Colter, coulter clone kc57). For intracellular staining, 
the Cytofix/Cytoperm was solution was used following 
the manufacturers guidelines (Becton Dickinson). The 
normalized percent elimination of infected cells was 
calculated as follows: (Percent Gag+ cells in wells with 
Targets alone – Percent of CD4+ T cells that are Gag+ in 
wells with Targets and Effectors) / (Percent Gag+ cells in 
wells with Targets alone) x 100, as described previously [41].

For the titration experiments, CD4+ T cells were 
infected with various viral inoculums, and cultured with 
our without unstimulated CD8+ T cells at a 1:1 E:T ratios. 
 Cultures without CD8+ effectors served as the positive 
control for normalization.

For a subset of ES, cells were also stained with a cocktail 
of anti-HLA-DR APC, anti-CD25 APC, and anti-CD69 
APC (Becton Dickinson). CD4+ T cell targets that expressed 
any one of these markers were designated activated 
CD4+ T cells, those that were negative for all three 
markers were designated as resting CD4+ T cells, as gated 
using the FACS Diva software. The percent infection of 
unfractionated CD4+ T cells (all CD3+/CD8- cells), resting 
CD4+ T cells and activated CD4+ T cells w calculated, and 
the normalized percent elimination of infected cells of 
each subset was calculated.

All cytometric analyses were performed using a FACS 
Canto II (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed using the 
FACS Diva software.
Statistical analysis
For the analysis of the significance of the difference between populations, the Mann–Whitney nonparametric T test was used. For pair-wise comparison of untreated vs. EFV-treated cells, a paired Student’s T test was used. P values were calculated, and a P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.
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Additional file 1: Percent of Gag and GFP positive resting and activated cells present at 18 and 72 hours post infection in the presence or absence of EFV.
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