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Abstract

Background Glycopyrronium tosylate is a topical anticholinergic approved in the USA for primary axillary hyperhidrosis in patients aged ≥ 9 years (Qbrexza™ [glycopyrronium] cloth, 2.4%).

Objective This 44-week open-label extension study assessed glycopyrronium tosylate safety and descriptive efficacy in patients completing one of two, phase III, double-blind, vehicle-controlled, 4-week trials (NCT02530281; NCT02530294).

Methods Patients aged ≥ 9 years with primary axillary hyperhidrosis were randomized 2:1 (glycopyrronium tosylate: vehicle, once daily) in the double-blind trials. Completers could receive open-label glycopyrronium tosylate for up to an additional 44 weeks. Treatment-emergent adverse events and local skin reactions were assessed. Descriptive efficacy assessments were gravimetrically measured sweat production, Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale responder rate (≥ 2 grade improvement), and Dermatology Life Quality Index/children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index.

Results Of 651 patients completing the double-blind trials, 564 (86.6%) entered the open-label extension; 550 were analyzed. Most patients experiencing treatment-emergent adverse events had mild or moderate events (> 90%). Discontinuation because of treatment-emergent adverse events remained low and relatively stable, with a cumulative rate of 8.0% (44/550) over 44 weeks. Common treatment-emergent adverse events (> 5%) were dry mouth (16.9%), vision blurred (6.7%), application-site pain (6.4%), nasopharyngitis (5.8%), and mydriasis (5.3%). Most patients (67.5%) had no local skin reactions; those occurring were predominantly mild/moderate. Glycopyrronium tosylate efficacy was maintained throughout the trial; at week 44, the Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale responder rate was 63.2%, and improvements from baseline (double blind) in sweat production were − 71.3% and 8.7 ± 6.2/6.2 ± 4.9 for Dermatology Life Quality Index/children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index.

Conclusions Daily long-term application of glycopyrronium tosylate for up to 48 weeks (double blind plus open label) was generally well tolerated and efficacy was maintained. No new safety signals emerged.

Trial Registry Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02553798.

1 Introduction

Hyperhidrosis, characterized by excess sweat production beyond what is necessary to maintain thermal homeostasis, affects an estimated 4.8% of the US population, or approximately 15.3 million people [1, 2]. The substantial negative impact of hyperhidrosis on quality of life has been equated as comparable to, or greater than, psoriasis or eczema [3].

Despite the well-established burden on quality of life, hyperhidrosis remains under-recognized as a treatable medical condition [4], which may be attributable, in part, to a number of factors, including lack of disease awareness, patients’ inability to recognize symptoms as a medical condition, and/or dissatisfaction with or lack of access to available therapies [1, 4, 5].

Antiperspirants (over the counter and prescription), anticholinergic drugs (including oral glycopyrrolate and oxybutynin), botulinum toxins, iontophoresis (application
Key Points

Glycopyrronium tosylate is the only topical anticholinergic approved in the USA for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis in adult and pediatric patients ≥ 9 years of age (Qbrexza™ [glycopyrronium] cloth, 2.4%, for topical use).

In this long-term, open-label extension trial, safety results were consistent with the safety profile observed in the 4-week, double-blind, controlled, phase III trials of glycopyrronium tosylate, with no new or unexpected findings.

Most treatment-emergent adverse events were related to anticholinergic activity and mild or moderate in severity, and there was a low rate of discontinuation because of treatment-emergent adverse events.

Efficacy assessments throughout the 44-week open-label extension indicate that patients maintained reductions in sweat production and disease severity (Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale), as well as quality-of-life improvements.

Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design

In the double-blind trials, patients with primary axillary hyperhidrosis were randomized 2:1 to GT (3.75% topical solution) or VEH applied once daily to each axilla for 28 days [9]. Patients who completed the double-blind trials with ≥ 80% treatment compliance were eligible to continue into the open-label extension and receive GT for up to 44 weeks or until early termination by the study sponsor (Fig. 1 of the Electronic Supplementary Material [ESM]). As allowed by the open-label extension protocol and in accordance with regulatory follow-up requirements, the study sponsor terminated the study early when the primary study objective was achieved, namely that at least 100 patients received GT treatment and provided safety data for at least 12 months (4-week double-blind treatment plus 44-week open-label treatment). Patients were assessed in clinics at day 1 (week 4 visit of the double-blind trials) and weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 44 (end of treatment/early termination [ET]). Patients were contacted via telephone for a safety follow-up at week 45 (study exit). During the phase III clinical trials, product strength was expressed in terms of glycopyrronium tosylate monohydrate content (3.75%), while the marketed product (Qbrexza™ [glycopyrronium] cloth, 2.4%, for topical use) is expressed in terms of glycopyrronium content; the products are equivalent [9].

2.2 Study Patients

Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria for the double-blind trials were fully reported in the primary publication [9]. Briefly, patients were male or non-pregnant female individuals ≥ 9 years of age, had primary axillary hyperhidrosis for ≥ 6 months, gravimetrically measured sweat production ≥ 50 mg/5 min in each axilla, an Axillary Sweating Daily Diary/Axillary Sweating Daily Diary-Children severity item (Item 2) score ≥ 4 (11-point scale) [12], and...
an Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale (HDSS) grade ≥ 3 (4-point scale). Patients were excluded for known history of a condition that could cause secondary hyperhidrosis, prior surgical procedure or treatment with a medical device for axillary hyperhidrosis, and prior treatment with iontophoresis or botulinum toxin within 4 weeks and 1 year of baseline, respectively. Male or non-pregnant, non-lactating female patients who completed week 4 of the double-blind trials with ≥ 80% treatment compliance were eligible to enter the open-label extension if they had signed informed consent and were willing to comply with the protocol. Patients were excluded from the open-label extension for a clinically significant abnormality on physical examination, vital sign, or electrocardiogram at the week 4 visit of the double-blind trials. 

Female patients who completed week 4 of the double-blind trials with ≥ 80% treatment compliance were eligible to enter the open-label extension; events reported are those with an onset after treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), local skin reactions (LSRs), laboratory testing, vital signs, and physical examination. Patients were asked about adverse events (AEs) in a non-specific manner using open-ended questions; specific inquiry and evaluation regarding reported AEs were to be conducted when applicable. Local skin reaction evaluation was a static assessment of expected events associated with topically applied products and included burning/stinging, pruritus, edema, erythema, dryness, and scaling; LSRs were graded as mild, moderate, or severe at each clinical visit. Treatment-emergent adverse events were evaluated through week 45 and LSRs through week 44 of the open-label extension; events reported are those with an onset after the first application of GT in the open-label extension. Discontinuations because of a lack of efficacy were captured under the category of withdrawn consent. Treatment-emergent adverse events of special interest were defined based on association with anticholinergic compounds and potential for serious medical consequences; the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms that were pre-specified as TEAEs of special interest were vision blurred, mydriasis, pupils unequal, and hypermetropia and the following terms for symptoms of urinary hesitancy/retention: nocturia, pollakiuria, urinary hesitation, urinary retention, urinary obstruction, and urine flow decreased. Patients with symptoms suggestive of urinary retention were to be evaluated for its clinical course, and for symptoms of urinary obstruction, patients were to be referred to a urologist or for emergency care. Patients who complained of blurry vision were to be carefully queried about the onset of symptoms. If there was no history of inadvertent introduction of study drug into the eye, the patient was to be evaluated to rule out any serious acute condition. If blurry vision continued for > 24 h, the patient was to be evaluated by an ophthalmologist or referred to emergency care. Dose interruptions were allowed if a patient experienced intolerable treatment-related AEs and mandated for treatment-related blurry vision and urinary retention/hesitancy-related symptoms.

Descriptive efficacy assessments in the open-label extension included three outcomes that were among those also used in the double-blind lead-in trials [9, 10]. Sweat production was measured gravimetrically at day 1 (week 4 visit of the double-blind trials) and week 44/ET over a 5-min period under temperature- and humidity-controlled conditions, and when possible, measurements were conducted at approximately the same time of day for a given patient. Disease severity was assessed by patients using a hyperhidrosis-specific patient-reported outcome measure, the HDSS. This self-reported questionnaire assesses disease severity on a scale of 1 (never noticeable/never interferes with daily activities) to 4 (intolerable/always interferes with daily activities) [13]. The HDSS was assessed on day 1 (week 4 of the double-blind trials) and weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 44/ET. Quality of life was assessed using the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI; patients aged > 16 years) and children’s version (CDLQI; patients aged ≤ 16 years) [14]. Higher DLQI/CDLQI scores (0–30 numeric rating scale) indicate lower quality of life. The DLQI/CDLQI were assessed on day 1 (week 4 of the double-blind trials) and weeks 20 and 44/ET of the open-label extension.

### 2.3 Safety and Efficacy Assessments

The primary objective of the open-label extension was the assessment of long-term safety. Safety was assessed through treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), local skin reactions (LSRs), laboratory testing, vital signs, and physical examination. Patients were asked about adverse events (AEs) in a non-specific manner using open-ended questions; specific inquiry and evaluation regarding reported AEs were to be conducted when applicable. Local skin reaction evaluation was a static assessment of expected events associated with topically applied products and included burning/stinging, pruritus, edema, erythema, dryness, and scaling; LSRs were graded as mild, moderate, or severe at each clinical visit. Treatment-emergent adverse events were evaluated through week 45 and LSRs through week 44 of the open-label extension; events reported are those with an onset after the first application of GT in the open-label extension. Discontinuations because of a lack of efficacy were captured under the category of withdrawn consent. Treatment-emergent adverse events of special interest were defined based on association with anticholinergic compounds and potential for serious medical consequences; the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms that were pre-specified as TEAEs of special interest were vision blurred, mydriasis, pupils unequal, and hypermetropia and the following terms for symptoms of urinary hesitancy/retention: nocturia, pollakiuria, urinary hesitation, urinary retention, urinary obstruction, and urine flow decreased. Patients with symptoms suggestive of urinary retention were to be evaluated for its clinical course, and for symptoms of urinary obstruction, patients were to be referred to a urologist or for emergency care. Patients who complained of blurry vision were to be carefully queried about the onset of symptoms. If there was no history of inadvertent introduction of study drug into the eye, the patient was to be evaluated to rule out any serious acute condition. If blurry vision continued for > 24 h, the patient was to be evaluated by an ophthalmologist or referred to emergency care. Dose interruptions were allowed if a patient experienced intolerable treatment-related AEs and mandated for treatment-related blurry vision and urinary retention/hesitancy-related symptoms.

Descriptive efficacy assessments in the open-label extension included three outcomes that were among those also used in the double-blind lead-in trials [9, 10]. Sweat production was measured gravimetrically at day 1 (week 4 visit of the double-blind trials) and week 44/ET over a 5-min period under temperature- and humidity-controlled conditions, and when possible, measurements were conducted at approximately the same time of day for a given patient. Disease severity was assessed by patients using a hyperhidrosis-specific patient-reported outcome measure, the HDSS. This self-reported questionnaire assesses disease severity on a scale of 1 (never noticeable/never interferes with daily activities) to 4 (intolerable/always interferes with daily activities) [13]. The HDSS was assessed on day 1 (week 4 of the double-blind trials) and weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 44/ET. Quality of life was assessed using the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI; patients aged > 16 years) and children’s version (CDLQI; patients aged ≤ 16 years) [14]. Higher DLQI/CDLQI scores (0–30 numeric rating scale) indicate lower quality of life. The DLQI/CDLQI were assessed on day 1 (week 4 of the double-blind trials) and weeks 20 and 44/ET of the open-label extension.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

All analyses of the open-label extension data were performed on the safety population (i.e., patients who received one or more confirmed open-label dose of GT and had one or more study assessment) using SAS® version 9.3 or later with no imputation for missing data. No inferential statistics were pre-specified for the safety and efficacy outcomes of the study, and assessments were summarized with descriptive statistics. There was no imputation of missing data for the open-label extension, and pre-specified efficacy analyses were conducted using observed data at week 44/ET (patients who completed 44 weeks of open-label GT and patients who terminated the study early), including median percent change from baseline in gravimetrically measured sweat production, HDSS improvement from baseline by grade (i.e., 1, 2, and 3 grade) and responder rate (i.e., ≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline), and mean DLQI/CDLQI score change from baseline. For the HDSS responder rate, a post hoc analysis of data at week 44 was performed for those patients who completed a full 44 weeks of open-label GT treatment. Gravimetric measurement of sweat production can be highly variable even under controlled conditions; therefore, median
values, which are less influenced by skewed data, were included. Baseline for efficacy assessments in the open-label extension was week 0 of the double-blind trials.

A post hoc analysis was performed to evaluate the long-term treatment response of patients who had received GT during the double-blind lead-in trials and remained on GT into the open-label extension vs. patients newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension because they had received VEH in the double-blind trials. For this analysis, median percent change in gravimetric sweat production, HDSS responder rate, and mean DLQI score change from baseline were analyzed descriptively post hoc according to randomized treatment assignment in the double-blind trials. In addition, the timing of onset of TEAEs and drug withdrawal because of TEAEs were evaluated post hoc according to incidence during weeks 0–4, > 4–12, > 12–24, > 24–36, and > 36 to the end of the study and by double-blind treatment assignment.

3 Results

3.1 Patient Disposition, Demographics, and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Of the 651 patients who completed the double-blind lead-in trials, 564 (86.6%) continued into the open-label extension. Of those 564 patients, 369 (65.4%) had received GT and 195 (34.6%) had received VEH during double-blind treatment (Fig. 1). A total of 226 (40.1%) patients completed week 44. The most common reasons for discontinuation were early study termination by the sponsor, per protocol, once study objectives were achieved (106/564 [18.8%]), lost to follow-up (92/564 [16.3%]), withdrawal of consent (82/564 [14.5%]), and TEAEs (44/564 [7.8%]). Only three patients discontinued because of a lack of efficacy (included in the ‘withdrawal of consent’ category). Of the 564 enrolled patients, 13 had no post-baseline assessment and one did not receive the study drug; therefore, the safety population comprised 550 patients, with 55.3% female, 83.3% white, mean age of 33.0 years, and mean body mass index of 27.3 kg/m² at the start of the double-blind trials (Table 1). At baseline of the open-label extension (week 4 of the double-blind trials), patients entering the open-label extension who had been taking GT during the double-blind trials (GT → GT) had lower sweat production and HDSS and DLQI/CDLQI scores than patients entering the open-label extension who had been treated with VEH for the previous 4 weeks (VEH → GT) (Table 1 of the ESM), consistent with the significant improvement in these efficacy measures observed with GT in the double-blind trial population at week 4 [9, 10].

3.2 Safety

Over 44 weeks of treatment in the open-label extension, 329/550 (59.8%) patients reported one or more TEAE (Table 2); most were mild or moderate in severity. The most frequently reported TEAEs were dry mouth (16.9%), vision blurred (6.7%), and application-site pain (6.4%). Of the seven (1.3%) patients who reported serious TEAEs (one each: infectious colitis, affective disorder, suicide attempt, unilateral mydriasis, chest pain, concussion, diverticulitis),
only one was considered by the investigator as related to treatment (mydriasis; dose was not changed but the patient withdrew from the study because of relocation).

Most TEAEs were related to anticholinergic activity and were mild or moderate in severity and infrequently led to study discontinuation. The most frequently reported anticholinergic-related TEAEs were dry mouth (16.9%), vision blurred (6.7%), mydriasis (5.3%), urinary hesitation (4.2%), nasal dryness (3.6%), and dry eye (2.9%). A total of 37 patients reported 45 vision blurred events; 40 (88.9%) were bilateral. A total of 29 patients reported 37 mydriasis events; 31 (83.8%) were unilateral. Management of TEAEs of special interest (vision blurred, mydriasis, and symptoms of urinary hesitancy/retention) included dose interruption, dosing frequency alteration (e.g., every-other-day dosing), drug withdrawal, and no action. Treatment-emergent adverse events of special interest infrequently led to drug withdrawal, and most events were managed by dose interruption or no action (Fig. 2 of the ESM) and resolved within 3–14 days of onset. Of the 17 patients who discontinued the study because of a TEAE of special interest, most (76.5% [13/17]) did not have a dose interruption or dosing frequency alteration prior to discontinuation. Only one TEAE of special interest was...

### Table 1: Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics (week 0 of double-blind trials)

| Demographics | GT N=550 |
|--------------|----------|
| Age (years), mean ± SD | 33.0 ± 11.4 |
| Age group (years), n (%) | 28 (5.1) |
| < 16 | 522 (94.9) |
| ≥ 16 | 304 (55.3) |
| White, n (%) | 458 (83.3) |
| Body mass index (kg/m²), mean ± SD | 27.3 ± 5.0 |
| Baseline disease characteristics | |
| Sweat production (mg/5 min) | |
| Mean ± SD | 164.7 ± 145.0 |
| Median | 116.9 |
| HDSS, n (%) | 348 (63.3) |
| Grade 3 | 201 (36.5) |
| Grade 4 | 201 (36.5) |
| DLQI, mean ± SD | 11.4 ± 5.9 |
| CDLQI, mean ± SD | 8.9 ± 5.4 |

The safety population includes patients receiving ≥ 1 dose of GT and having ≥ 1 post-baseline assessment in the open-label extension.

### Table 2: Safety overview and treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) in the open-label trial to week 45/end of study

| TEAE by intensity | GT N=550 |
|-------------------|----------|
| Mild | 148 (45.0) |
| Moderate | 153 (46.5) |
| Severe | 28 (8.5) |

Safety outcomes from the first application of the study drug in the open-label trial are reported. The safety population includes patients receiving ≥ 1 dose of GT and having ≥ 1 post-baseline assessment in the open-label extension. Numbers in the table represent the number of patients reporting ≥ 1 TEAE.

GT glycopyrronium tosylate

**Anticholinergic TEAEs reported in > 2% of patients**

- **Dry mouth**: 93 (16.9)
- **Vision blurred**: 37 (6.7)
- **Mydriasis**: 29 (5.3)

**Discontinuations because of TEAE**: 44 (8.0)

**Deaths**: 0

**Any TEAE**: 329 (59.8)

**Any serious TEAE**: 7 (1.3)

**TEAE by intensity**: 131 (39.8)

**Related**: 198 (60.2)

Infectious colitis, affective disorder, suicide attempt, unilateral mydriasis, chest pain, concussion, diverticulitis; only mydriasis was considered by the investigator as related to treatment (dose was not changed but patient withdrew from study because of moving domicile)
severe (vision blurred), and the event resolved upon drug interruption. After treatment re-initiation, the patient experienced three TEAEs of moderate severity (dry mouth, pharyngitis, and bilateral vision blurred), all deemed related to the study drug, and all three resolved upon discontinuation of the study drug.

The onset of both common and anticholinergic TEAEs occurred mainly in the first 12 weeks of treatment in the open-label extension and decreased thereafter (Table 3), indicating that TEAEs did not increase with a longer duration of exposure. Similarly, rates of drug withdrawal because of TEAEs remained relatively stable over the study course (Table 3). The incidence of dry mouth was higher in the first 4 weeks of the open-label extension for patients who were newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension (i.e., had received VEH during the double-blind lead-in trials), though rates of drug withdrawal because of TEAEs were not higher in this patient population (Table 2 of the ESM). Most patients (n = 371 [67.5%]) had no LSRs; of those patients that reported LSRs, erythema (n = 116 [21.1%]), burning/stinging (n = 73 [13.3%]), and pruritus (n = 68 [12.4%]) were most common. Local skin reactions were predominantly mild or moderate in intensity (Fig. 2), the frequency and severity did not increase over the course of the open-label extension, and a total of 11 patients had LSRs that were associated with study discontinuation. No clinically meaningful changes in laboratory testing, vital signs, and physical examination were observed over 44 weeks of treatment in the open-label extension.

### 3.3 Descriptive Efficacy Measures

Through week 44/ET of the open-label extension (up to 48 weeks of GT from the start of the double-blind lead-in trials), GT-treated patients maintained improvements in efficacy measures, including sweat production, HDSS responder rate, and DLQI/CDLQI. From baseline of the double-blind trials to week 44/ET in the open-label extension, sweat production decreased by 71.3%, which was maintained from a similar decrease of 74.3% in GT-treated patients after 4 weeks of treatment in the double-blind trials (Fig. 3a). At week 44/ET in the open-label extension, HDSS improved from baseline of the double-blind trials by 1, 2, and 3 grades in 30.9%, 46.7%, and 16.5% of patients, respectively, which was maintained from a similar improvement at week 4 of the double-blind trials (Fig. 4a). The proportion of HDSS responders (i.e., ≥ 2-grade improvement) was consistent over the study course (Fig. 4b) and similar to that

| Table 3 Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) by time of onset in the open-label trial to week 45/end of study (EOS) |
|---|
| | 0–4 weeks | > 4 to 12 weeks | > 12 to 24 weeks | > 24 to 36 weeks | > 36 weeks to EOS |
| N (%) | N=550 | N=537 | N=479 | N=417 | N=365 |
| Any TEAE | 176 (32.0) | 148 (27.6) | 102 (21.3) | 78 (18.7) | 59 (16.2) |
| Drug withdrawal because of TEAE | 21 (3.8) | 14 (2.6) | 12 (2.5) | 3 (0.7) | 1 (0.3) |

Safety outcomes from the first application of the study drug in the open-label trial are reported. The safety population includes patients receiving ≥1 dose of GT and having ≥1 post-baseline assessment in the open-label extension. Numbers in the table represent the number of patients reporting ≥1 TEAE that started within the indicated time period.

GT glycopyrronium tosylate

Dry mouth, vision blurred, and mydriasis appear twice in the table as they meet criteria for common TEAEs and are also associated with anticholinergic use

Thirty-seven patients reported a total of 45 vision blurred events; 40 (88.9%) were bilateral

Twenty-nine patients reported a total of 37 mydriasis events; 31 (83.8%) were unilateral

D. A. Glaser et al.
observed after 4 weeks of GT treatment in the double-blind trials (59.1%) [9]. The HDSS responder rate determined post hoc for patients who completed a full 44 weeks of open-label GT treatment (65.1%) was similar to the responder rate seen in the pre-specified week 44/ET analysis (63.2%). As measured by the DLQI and CDLQI, improvements in quality of life from baseline of the double-blind trials to week 44/ET of the open-label extension (reflected by mean score decreases of 8.7 ± 6.2 and 6.2 ± 4.9, respectively) were maintained from mean decreases of 8.4 ± 6.0 and 8.1 ± 5.4, respectively, observed in GT-treated patients after 4 weeks of treatment in the double-blind trials (Fig. 5a, b).

Long-term treatment response in patients remaining on GT from the double-blind trials into the open-label extension (GT → GT) and in those patients newly exposed to GT during the open-label extension (VEH → GT) was evaluated. At week 44 of the open-label extension, patients who had been taking VEH in the double-blind trials showed a substantial reduction in sweat production compared with week 4 of the double-blind trials (Fig. 3b). By week 2 of the open-label extension, the HDSS response rate was 62.8% (223/355) for GT → GT (patients who had been taking GT in the double-blind trials) vs. 55.7% (102/183) for VEH → GT, representing a marked increase in response for those patients who had been taking VEH in the double-blind trials. The HDSS response was maintained and slightly increased over the course of the open-label extension for both groups, with 67.7% (126/186) for GT → GT and 60.4% (64/106) for VEH → GT at week 44/ET (Fig. 4c). Analyses of the DLQI mean score change showed a similar trend (Fig. 5c).

4 Discussion

Axillary hyperhidrosis is a chronic condition that requires long-term treatment as symptoms often present in adolescence and persist throughout a patient’s lifetime [15]. Patient experience with adverse events (both onset and time course) and the long-term effectiveness are therefore important considerations when managing treatment choices.

The long-term safety and efficacy findings presented here from the 44-week, open-label extension study of GT in primary axillary hyperhidrosis are consistent with the individual and pooled data from the two replicate, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III, lead-in studies [9]. Once-daily application of GT was well tolerated for up to 48 weeks (4 weeks in the double-blind trials and 44 weeks in the open-label extension) with TEAEs that were mostly related to anticholinergic activity and largely mild or moderate in severity. Importantly, most TEAEs, including anticholinergic TEAEs, occurred within the first 12 weeks of treatment in the open-label extension, and decreased thereafter, indicating that the incidence of TEAEs decreased over time, particularly for dry mouth. Consistent with this observation in the overall population, patients newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension (who had received VEH during the double-blind trials) had a much higher incidence of dry mouth in the first 4 weeks of the open-label extension compared with those continuing on GT from double-blind into open-label treatment. A reduction in TEAEs reported over time may be due to several factors, including patient selection as those experiencing TEAEs discontinued, increased time length between visits, increased patient experience with drug application, and/or acclimation to adverse events. Few (8.0%) patients discontinued because of a TEAE, and the rate of discontinuation because of TEAEs was relatively stable as the study progressed. Most TEAEs of special interest (mydriasis, vision blurred, symptoms of urinary hesitancy/retention) were transient and managed by GT interruption or no action.

While approximately one third of patients reported LSRs, most were mild or moderate in intensity, did not increase in frequency and severity over the course of the open-label extension, and rarely resulted in study discontinuation. Overall, safety results were consistent with the safety profile observed in prior GT studies [9]. No new or unexpected findings were noted, highlighting the long-term treatment potential of GT for primary axillary hyperhidrosis. Topical administration of anticholinergics is expected to reduce overall drug exposure and mitigate adverse event risk compared with oral agents [16]. Proper application and adequate hand
Fig. 3  Sweat production: change from baseline (week 0 of double-blind trials) for (a) all glycopyrronium tosylate (GT)-treated patients and (b) analysis by double-blind treatment assignment. aSweat production values reported were gravimetrically measured averages from the left and right axillae. bBaseline in the double-blind ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 trials for GT-treated patients only. cPooled ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 intent-to-treat (ITT) population (all randomized patients dispensed the study drug in ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2); missing data were imputed by Markov chain Monte Carlo. dBaseline in the double-blind ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 trials for vehicle (VEH)- and GT-treated patients who continued into the open-label extension and received GT treatment thereafter. eOpen-label safety population (patients receiving one or more doses of GT and having one or more post-baseline assessments in the open-label extension); the pre-specified analysis of week 44/end of treatment/early termination (ET) included patients who completed 44 weeks of open-label GT and patients who terminated the study early; there was no imputation for missing data. fData are for week 4 of the double-blind ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 trials for those patients entering the open-label extension. CfB change from baseline, GT→GT patients assigned to GT in the double-blind trials who continued taking GT in the open-label extension, VEH→GT patients assigned to VEH in the double-blind trials who were newly exposed to GT in the double-blind extension.
Fig. 4 Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale (HDSS) improvement from baseline (week 0 of double-blind trials) for (a) proportion of glycopyrronium tosylate (GT)-treated patients with 0-, 1-, 2-, or 3-grade HDSS improvement from baseline to week 4 of double-blind trials and week 44/end of treatment/early termination (ET) of the open-label extension trial, (b) HDSS responder rate (≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline) in the open-label trial to week 44/ET, and (c) analysis by double-blind treatment assignment: HDSS responder rate to week 44. The open-label safety population includes patients receiving one or more doses of GT and having one or more post-baseline assessments in the open-label extension. The pre-specified analysis of week 44/ET included patients who completed 44 weeks of open-label GT and patients who terminated the study early; there was no imputation for missing data. GT → GT patients assigned to GT in the double-blind trials who continued on GT in the open-label extension, VEH vehicle, VEH → GT patients assigned to VEH in the double-blind trials who were newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension.
Fig. 5 Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and children’s DLQI (CDLQI) mean scores for (a) change from baseline (week 0 of double-blind trials) in DLQI, (b) change from baseline (week 0 of double-blind trials) in CDLQI, and (c) analysis by double-blind treatment assignment: change in DLQI. a Patients > 16 years of age. b Baseline in ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 for glycopyrronium tosylate (GT)-treated patients only. c ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 intent-to-treat population (all randomized patients dispensed the study drug in ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2). d Baseline in ATMOS-1/ATMOS-2 for vehicle (VEH)- and GT-treated patients who continued into ARIDO and received GT treatment thereafter. e Open-label safety population (patients receiving one or more doses of GT and having one or more post-baseline assessments in the open-label extension); the pre-specified analysis of week 44/end of treatment/early termination (ET) included patients who completed 44 weeks of open-label GT and patients who terminated the study early; there was no imputation of missing data. f Patients ≤ 16 years of age. CB change from baseline, GT → GT patients assigned to GT in the double-blind trials who continued on GT in the open-label extension, VEH → GT patients assigned to VEH in the double-blind trials who were newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension.
washing is required following GT application to minimize the risk of inadvertent transfer of the drug from the hands to other areas of the body, including the eyes.

In the 4-week, double-blind, lead-in trials, GT treatment resulted in significant improvements in sweating severity, sweat production, and quality of life, which were evident as early as week 1 [9]. Importantly, these improvements were maintained during long-term treatment with GT. At the end of treatment in the open-label extension, patients continued to have reduced gravimetrically measured sweat production, reduced disease severity as measured by the HDSS, and improved quality of life as measured by the DLQI/CDLQI compared with baseline of the double-blind trials. Patients who were newly exposed to GT in the open-label extension showed a response to GT treatment that occurred early, which was similar to results observed for the GT arm of the double-blind, lead-in trials and consistent with the open-label results observed for the patients originally assigned GT in double-blind trials. Efficacy was maintained or increased throughout the long-term, open-label extension irrespective of randomized treatment in the double-blind trials. Collectively, these data indicate a long-term treatment advantage of GT vs. VEH across a spectrum of outcomes.

A number of study limitations should be recognized when evaluating the data from this open-label extension. As with all open-label extension trials, the interpretation of efficacy and safety outcomes is limited by the lack of a placebo control. In addition, lack of imputation for missing data may lead to an underestimation of the AE rate and/or an overestimation of efficacy because patients with AEs or who experienced a lack of efficacy may have dropped out during the double-blind trial phase or early in the open-label extension. The pediatric sample size in this trial was small, and further study in younger patients will be useful. It should be noted that post hoc analyses of the pediatric population from the double-blind, lead-in trials [11] and this open-label extension [17] show an advantage with GT across multiple efficacy measures and favorable tolerability, with similar findings in children and adults. Because of the different study designs, it is not advisable to directly compare the present long-term results with those of other drugs used to treat axillary hyperhidrosis, including other anticholinergic medications, and GT efficacy and safety data beyond 48 weeks of treatment cannot be extrapolated from the results of this trial. Given the life-long chronicity of hyperhidrosis, long-term patient follow-up will be appropriate.

5 Conclusion

Glycopyrronium tosylate was generally well tolerated in this open-label extension study, and improvements in efficacy measures were maintained in patients with primary axillary hyperhidrosis when applied once daily to both axillae over a maximum of 48 weeks (double-blind plus open-label). The approval by the US Food and Drug Administration of the topical anticholinergic GT for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis in patients aged ≥ 9 years (Qbrexza™ [glycopyrronium] cloth, 2.4%, for topical use) provides a new, non-invasive, once-daily treatment option for a condition associated with a substantial patient burden, and GT represents a treatment option that can be used effectively over the long term. Glycopyrronium tosylate is considered a first-line treatment option for primary axillary hyperhidrosis [8], and future studies evaluating the effectiveness of GT in real-life settings (e.g., add-on therapy with other hyperhidrosis treatments) and its use for other types of hyperhidrosis (e.g., palmar hyperhidrosis) may further define its optimal clinical use.
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