Gender Differences in Diabetic Kidney Disease: Focus on Hormonal, Genetic and Clinical Factors
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Abstract: Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is one of the most serious complications of both type 1 (T1DM) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Current guidelines recommend a personalized approach in order to reduce the burden of DM and its complications. Recognizing sex and gender-differences in medicine is considered one of the first steps toward personalized medicine, but the gender issue in DM has been scarcely explored so far. Gender differences have been reported in the incidence and the prevalence of DKD, in its phenotypes and clinical manifestations, as well as in several risk factors, with a different impact in the two genders. Hormonal factors, especially estrogen loss, play a significant role in explaining these differences. Additionally, the impact of sex chromosomes as well as the influence of gene–sex interactions with several susceptibility genes for DKD have been investigated. In spite of the increasing evidence that sex and gender should be included in the evaluation of DKD, several open issues remain uncovered, including the potentially different effects of newly recommended drugs, such as SGLT2i and GLP1Ras. This narrative review explored current evidence on sex/gender differences in DKD, taking into account hormonal, genetic and clinical factors.
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1. Introduction

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is one of the most common microvascular complication of diabetes mellitus (DM), affecting ~30% of subjects with type 1 (T1DM) and ~40% of those with type 2 (T2DM) [1].

DKD is diagnosed according to the presence of albuminuria, reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), or both [2].

In the last few decades, research on DKD has witnessed enormous activity, a revolution encompassing epidemiology, diagnosis, clinical manifestations, risk factors and treatment options [3]. It has become clear that while in T1DM individuals, the natural history of DKD progresses from microalbuminuria, the first sign of renal impairment, to macroalbuminuria, and eventually to the decline of GFR toward end stage renal disease (ESRD), the path in T2DM is more heterogeneous. Thus, T2DM patients may present with impaired eGFR even only a few years after diagnosis, and they may progress to ESRD without ever developing albuminuria [4]. Accordingly, the recent advances in DKD’s pathophysiological and clinical aspects have prompted the use of the term “DKD” to include all types of renal injury occurring in diabetic individuals: the classical albuminuric phenotype, the “nonalbuminuric renal impairment” and the “progressive renal decline” [5].
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These advances have been highlighted in a joint document of the Italian Diabetes Society (SID) and the Italian Society of Nephrology (SIN), providing an extensive review of the available evidence as well as updated treatment recommendations [5].

However, whether these phenotypes and their evolution and/or management have the same course in DM men and women is still a matter of debate.

Considering sex- and gender-specific aspects is one of the first and simpler step toward personalized and patient-centered care also in the management of DM and its complications. Gender medicine analyzes the differences between men and women in human physiology, pathophysiology, and the clinical features of diseases, specifically evaluating the impact of sex as a biological and functional marker, and that of gender, which refers to a complex interrelation and integration of sex with psychological, social, ethical and cultural behavior. Despite over 20 years of gender medicine and the insistent recommendations of scientific societies and research institutions [6], sex-based differences in biology, genetics, biomedical and clinical aspects of major diseases, including DM and its complications, are still poorly explored so far, a gap that may impair the efficacy of our diagnostic and therapeutic efforts, ultimately exposing patients to unwanted outcomes [7].

In this review, we explored potential sex- and gender-based differences in DKD prevalence and evolution, risk factors, clinical manifestations and treatment options, including the role of hormonal and genetic factors.

2. Gender Differences in the Prevalence of DKD and its Phenotypes

Gender-related differences have been reported in non-diabetic chronic kidney disease (CKD) [8]. Overall, CKD seems to have a higher prevalence in women than in men [9–11]. However, a review including a large number of studies found 38 studies reporting a higher CKD prevalence in women and 13 among men [12]. On the contrary, the risk of progression to ESRD appears to be higher among males [13,14]. A recent analysis from the nationwide Swedish Renal Registry-CKD (SRR-CKD), showed that among adult patients with incident CKD stage G3b-5, women had a lower risk of CKD progression (sub hazard ratio [SHR] 0.88 (0.85–0.92)), and a lower all-cause (SHR 0.90, 95% CI 0.85–0.94) and cardiovascular mortality (SHR 0.83, 95% CI 0.76–0.90), compared to men [15]. Accordingly, a large meta-analysis confirmed a more rapid decline in men than in women with non-diabetic CKD [16]. Women live longer than men and age and post-menopausal status appear to modify the association between sex and nondiabetic kidney disease [17]; in this regard, Jafar et al. reported that old post-menopausal women had a faster renal progression compared to age-matched men [18].

How CKD is defined may also play a relevant role in determining the effect of gender on CKD incidence and progression risk. Thus, when using eGFR-based definitions of CKD, the incidence of CKD was significantly higher in women than men [19].

In cohorts of DM subjects, several large epidemiological studies have explored sex differences in the prevalence of DKD and its phenotypes, specifically evaluating low eGFR, micro- or macroalbuminuria or both (Table 1). Studies performing a separate gender analysis varied in design, sample size, type of diabetes, length of follow-up, and how DKD was reported, as shown in Table 1 [13,20–58] and in two recently published reviews [59,60].
Table 1. Sex/gender differences in DKD phenotypes.

| Reference | Ethnic Group | Study Design | Sex Specific Association | MAU | Low eGFR | ESRD |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----|----------|------|
| **Studies on T2DM subjects** |
| Gall, 1997 [20] | Denmark | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Lewis J, 2001 [21] | Multi-ethnic | Intervention study | Female | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Keane WF, 2003 [22] | Multi-ethnic | Intervention study | Female | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Rossing K, 2004 [23] | Denmark | Prospective | Both sexes | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Reikaran, 2006 [24] | UK | Prospective | Male/Female | Higher risk male | Higher risk female | NR |
| Penno, 2011 [25] | Italy | Cross-sectional | Male/Female | Higher risk male | Higher risk male | NR |
| Yu M, 2012 [26] | USA | Cross-sectional | Male/Female | Higher risk male | Higher risk male | Higher risk female |
| Jardine, 2012 [27] | UK | Intervention study | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Zoppini, 2012 [28] | Italy | Prospective | Both sexes | NR | Higher risk | NR |
| Altemtan, 2012 [29] | UK | Retrospective | Both sexes | NR | Higher risk | NR |
| Elley, 2013 [30] | New Zealand | Nationwide cohort | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| de Hauteclaque, 2014 [31] | France | Prospective | Male | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Kawaiza, 2016 [32] | Japan | Prospective | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| **Studies on T1DM subjects** |
| Orchard, 1990 [33] | US | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Lovshin, 1990 [34] | US | Cross-sectional | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Holl, 1999 [35] | Germany | Retrospective | Female | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Jacobsen, 1999 [36] | Denmark | Prospective | Male | NR | Higher risk | NR |
| Rossing, 2002 [37] | Denmark | Prospective | Both sexes | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Zhang, 2003 [38] | US | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Hovind, 2004 [39] | Denmark | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Finne, 2005 [40] | Finland | Register | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Sibley, 2006 [41] | US | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| RAile, 2007 [42] | Germany | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| Monti, 2007 [43] | US | Cross-sectional | Both sexes | Higher risk | Higher risk | NR |
| Mollsten, 2010 [44] | Sweden | Population | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Costacou, 2011 [45] | US | Prospective | Male 1950-1964 | Higher risk | NR | Higher risk |
| Costacou, 2011 [46] | US | Prospective | Female 1965-1980 | Higher risk | NR | Higher risk |
| Harjatsalo, 2011 [47] | Finland | Prospective | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Kautzy-Willer, 2013 [48] | Austria | Cross-sectional | Both sexes | Higher risk | Higher risk | NR |
| Skupien, 2019 [49] | Multi-ethnic | Prospective | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| **Studies including T1DM/T2DM subjects** |
| Dick, 1994 [50] | Canada | Prospective | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Xue, 2007 [51] | USA | Prospective | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Yamagosa, 2007 [52] | Japan | Prospective | Male | Higher risk | Both sexes | NR |
| Hippisley-Cox, 2010 [53] | UK | Registative data | Female | NR | Both sexes | Higher risk |
| Hoffman F, 2011 [54] | Germany | Claims data | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Johnson, 2011 [55] | USA | Retrospective | Male | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Tohidi, 2012 [56] | Iran | Prospective | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Kei, 2013 [57] | Japan | Prospective | Both sexes | Higher risk | NR | NR |
| van Blijderveen, 2014 [58] | Netherlands | Retrospective | Male/Female | Higher risk Male | Both sexes | Higher risk Female |
| Haroun, 2003 [59] | USA | Prospective | Female | NR | NR | Higher risk |
| Ricardo, 2018 [60] | USA | Prospective | Male | Higher risk Male | Both sexes | Higher risk Male |

Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MAU, micro/macroalbuminuria; ESRD, End stage renal disease; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; NR, sex/gender differences not reported.

A recent meta-analysis of 10 studies including data from >5 million subjects evaluated the relative effect of diabetes on CKD and ESRD in women compared with men. The pooled adjusted risk ratio of DKD was 3.34 (95% CI 2.27, 4.93) in women and 2.84 (95% CI 1.74, 4.65) in men.
CI 1.73, 4.68) in men, without any difference in diabetes-related risk of DKD, with the exception of ESRD [61]. Furthermore, in the SURDIAGENE study, survival without ESRD was higher in T2DM women than in men, who showed an higher risk of steeper eGFR decline [31].

Among the studies that evaluated DKD phenotypes specifically in T2DM cohorts, the UK Prospective Diabetes Study 74 (UKPDS) found that T2DM men had a higher risk of microalbuminuria, while T2DM women were at higher risk of developing low eGFR (<60 mL/min/1.73 m²) [24]. Similarly, a population study from UK showed a higher prevalence of impaired eGFR in DM women compared to men [62], and a higher prevalence of the non-albuminuric phenotype among T2DM women was also reported in the large cohort from the RIACE study, in Italy [63].

Age may impact the associations among T2DM, gender and DKD risk. In T2DM patients, the prevalence of both eGFR and albuminuria increases with age, with male sex also positively associated with albuminuria rise and negatively with eGFR impairment in older subjects [64].

The impact of age (<60 and ≥60 years) and gender on the prevalence of DKD, advanced DKD (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²), and common risk factors, was specifically analyzed in the 4839 participants of the Pathways Study [26]. This study showed that women had, overall, a 28% decreased OR of DKD (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.62–0.83), but a higher prevalence of advanced DKD (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.05–2.64). Although the prevalence of microalbuminuria was higher among men with T2DM, women presented a greater risk of advanced renal dysfunction and higher prevalence of common DKD risk factors, with these differences being most evident amongst older subjects.

Male gender also appears to be an independent risk factor for DKD incidence, especially when the albuminuric phenotype is taken into account. A prospective observational study of 191 T2DM patients followed for a median period of 5.8 years [20] found that male sex was the second risk factor after albuminuria for the development of incipient or overt DKD. Moreover, an association between sex and incident DKD was also found in 1464 patients with diabetes and normal renal function at baseline, followed-up for almost 10 years [65].

Risk factors for prevalence, incidence and progression of DKD and its phenotypes have been recently evaluated in the large cohort of the AMD Annals Initiative, an observational study including >400,000 T2DM and >25,000 adult T1DM subjects, from 251 diabetologists’ centers collected across Italy (Table 2). Figure 1 [66–73] shows gender-specific DKD phenotypes distribution in T1DM and T2DM from the AMD Annals Initiative. DKD, defined as eGFR <60 mL/min and/or micro- macroalbuminuria, affected 47.3% T2DM men (29.8% isolated micro- macroalbuminuria, 6.6% isolated low eGFR, 11% both) and 43.8% T2DM women (18.3% micro-macroalbuminuria, 14.5% isolated low eGFR, 11.2% both) [66]. Furthermore, when predictors of DKD were analyzed in >120,000 T2DM patients over a 4 year follow-up period, male gender was a positive risk factor for the incidence of albuminuria and a negative risk factor for the development of eGFR decline (eGFR <60 mL/min) [72].

Table 2. Age- and gender differences in DKD prevalence, incidence and progression in T1DM and T2DM participants in AMD Annals Initiative.

| T1DM | Prevalence | Incidence 5 years | 4 years Progression eGFR<60 mL/min or >30% reduction |
|------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Male Sex | | | |
| DKD 1.01 (0.91–1.11) p = 0.901 | DKD 1.01 (0.81–1.27) p = 0.913 | 0.59 (0.46–0.76) p < 0.001 |
| Low eGFR 0.64 (0.55–0.74) p < 0.001 | Low eGFR 0.96 (0.59–1.56) p = 0.873 | |
| MAU 1.26 (1.14–1.40) p < 0.001 | MAU 1.04 (0.82–1.32) p = 0.760 | |
| By 10 year | | | |
| DKD 1.15 (1.10–1.19) p < 0.001 | DKD 1.07 (0.96–1.18) p = 0.203 | |
| Low eGFR 1.85 (1.74–1.96) p < 0.001 | Low eGFR 1.95 (1.57–2.43) p < 0.001 | |
| MAU 0.93 (0.89–0.87) p < 0.001 | MAU 0.93 (0.84–1.04) p = 0.227 | |
| Age | | | |
| By 10 year | | | |
| DKD 1.07 (0.96–1.18) p = 0.203 | DKD 1.07 (0.96–1.18) p = 0.203 | |
| Low eGFR 1.95 (1.57–2.43) p < 0.001 | Low eGFR 1.95 (1.57–2.43) p < 0.001 | |
| MAU 0.93 (0.84–1.04) p = 0.227 | MAU 0.93 (0.84–1.04) p = 0.227 | |

By 10 year | 1.46 (1.30–1.63) p < 0.001 |
Table 2. Cont.

| T2DM                          | Prevalence | Incidence 5 years | 4 years Progression eGFR <60 mL/min or >30% reduction |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| **Male Sex**                  |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR (0.64–0.73)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| MAU 1.89 (1.81–1.98)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.52 (1.42–1.63)         |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR 1.373 (1.326–1.422)  | < 0.001 p  | MAU 1.075 (1.033–1.118) | < 0.001 p  |
| MAU 1.89 (1.81–1.98)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.52 (1.42–1.63)         |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR 1.381 (1.306–1.460)  | < 0.001 p  | MAU 1.075 (1.033–1.118) | < 0.001 p  |
| MAU 1.89 (1.81–1.98)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.52 (1.42–1.63)         |            |                   |                                                     |
| **Age**                       |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR 1.72 (1.11–1.12)     |            |                   |                                                     |
| MAU 1.01 (1.01–1.01)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.12 (1.12–1.13)         |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR 1.376 (0.681–0.864)  | < 0.001 p  | MAU 1.355 (1.220–1.504) | < 0.001 p  |
| MAU 1.01 (1.01–1.01)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.12 (1.12–1.13)         |            |                   |                                                     |
| Low eGFR 1.381 (1.306–1.460)  | < 0.001 p  | MAU 1.355 (1.220–1.504) | < 0.001 p  |
| MAU 1.01 (1.01–1.01)          |            |                   |                                                     |
| Both 1.12 (1.12–1.13)         |            |                   |                                                     |

Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MAU, microalbuminuria.

Although the available information is still not conclusive, the overall epidemiological data indicate that the risk of developing DKD is higher in DM men, who also have a higher risk of DKD progression. However, when DKD specific phenotypes are taken into account, DM men are at higher risk of developing the albuminuric phenotype, while women are at higher risk of eGFR impairment, and of developing ESRD, especially at older ages. These differences seem to apply to both type of diabetes, with important implications for the diagnosis and management of DKD in the clinical practice.

The reasons behind these reported sex and gender-disparities in DKD are still largely unknown, but hormonal or genetic differences, as well as differences in the prevalence or impact of major risk factors seem to play a relevant role.

---

**Figure 1.** Renoprotective effects of female hormones on diabetic kidney disease. Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; ER, estrogen receptor; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; GPER-1, G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1.
3. Impact of Female Sex Hormones on DKD

Sex hormones play an important role in the pathophysiology of diabetes and its complications, especially in DM women, who seem to lose the protective effects of estrogens on the cardiovascular bed, even before menopause. In the last few decades, the pleiotropic effects of estrogens beyond those on the reproductive system have been objects of intense research [74–76], including their potential role in DKD (Figure 1). The activity of estrogens is closely related to the presence of specific receptors that are ubiquitously localized, with particular reference to the vascular district and endothelial cells [77]. Estrogens and their metabolites operate through a classical pathway with nuclear Estrogen Receptors (ERs) such as ER-α and ER-β [78,79] exerting their genomic actions. These effects are guaranteed by different signaling pathways such as MAPK/ERK, PI3K and the important NF-KB via [80]. These pathways lead to decreased apoptosis processes, cellular growth, differentiation, and inflammation [81,82]. The ER-α and ER-β are localized in several areas of crucial importance. One of these is the hypothalamus, especially the nuclei arcuate, paraventricular, lateral, and ventral regions, where estrogens produce relevant effects on food intake and thirst [83]. Another site is the skeletal muscle, where both ER-α and ER-β are expressed and contribute to glucose homeostasis, reducing the expression of GLUT4 [84]. This role has also been confirmed in studies on mice with ER-α knockout; these mice showed insulin resistance and alteration in glucose levels [85].

Several receptors have been identified in adipose tissue, whereas only ER-α is expressed in brown adipose tissue [86], suggesting its key-role in obesity onset [87]. ER-α is also the most predominant estrogenic receptor in the liver [88] while during the fetal phase ER-β is more represented [89]. The former has especially predominant anti-inflammatory effects on the liver [90]. The cardiovascular system is not exempt from ERs’ presence, and their interaction with estrogens can ameliorate heart failure and inhibit apoptosis and fibrosis [91]. Furthermore, activation of ER-β pathway leads to the reduction of cardiac fibrosis in women [92].

Experimental studies demonstrated that ER-α expression is abnormally represented in the diabetic kidney [93]. Estrogen’s most important metabolite is the 17 β Estradiol (E2) [94]; in healthy conditions, this metabolite acts as a vasodilator, increasing the endothelial expression of nitric oxide synthase and resulting in phosphorylation and nitric oxide production via the ER-α receptor [95]. Moreover, E2 seems to attenuate glomerulosclerosis and tubulointerstitial fibrosis [96]. In animal models estrogens seem to counter fibrosis and apoptosis in the kidney [97], while testosterone promotes pro-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic and pro-fibrotic processes [98–100]. These findings are partially in contrast with evidence from human studies showing an association of oral contraceptives and estrogen replacement therapy with an increased risk of microalbuminuria and kidney function decline [101,102].

Estrogens may exert their effects even through another receptor, associated with protein G, the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER-1), which explains their rapid non-genomic effects [103]. This is a membrane receptor encoded by the GPER gene located at chromosome 7p22.3, and its expression has been demonstrated in the hypothalamus, hypophysis, adrenal gland, ovary and particularly in the renal pelvis [104,105]. Some studies have also evidenced a predominant expression of GPER-1 in renal tubular cells [106,107], and therapy with GPER-1 agonist in female mice with salt-sensitive disease has been reported to improve glomerular function and hypertrophy and to reduce proteinuria [108], thus suggesting a key role of this receptor in kidney disorders and, probably, in DKD. This hypothesis is further supported by data coming from the sustained use of icarine, a GPER-1 activator. This metabolite seems to improve the nephropathy of T1DM mice, through GPER mediated p62-dependent Keap1 degradation and Nrf2 activation, also attenuating mesangial expansion [109]. GPER is implicated in different pathways by several receptors such as serotonin 1A receptor [110–113]. It also works with GPER/TGF-β1 via inducing cellular proliferation or increasing the expression of type IV collagen [114] and NO production [115].
Other membrane receptors were identified: ER-α36, a variant of ER-α and ER-β. ER-α36 is another splicing variant; it plays an important role, inhibiting wild-type ER-α (ER-α66) and ER-β, being involved in the resistance of breast cancer to hormonal treatment [116] and in testosterone-initiated carcinogenesis [117].

The experimental data on estrogen supplementations in murine models of diabetes also seem to confirm the role of female hormones in renal protection.

Thus, Wells et al. demonstrated a reduction in circulating estrogen levels and an increase in the renal ER-α—ER-β expression ratio in diabetic rats. Supplementation with E2 restored this rate, supporting the hypothesis of nephroprotection exerted by estrogens in DM [118]. Furthermore, in diabetic mice, estrogen pellet implantation was able to inhibit glomerulosclerosis, collagen IV deposition and albuminuria, even in animals with an advanced stage of renal injury, suggesting the efficacy of E2 treatment in the reduction of DKD progression [119]. On the other hand, the inhibition of estradiol synthesis using the anastrozole, an aromatase inhibitor, partially attenuated renal injury in male streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats [120].

Both the levels of E2 and the androgen-to-E2 ratio seem to be crucial factors for progression of renal injury in diabetic subjects; estrogenic activity is modulated by androgens, probably through the accessibility of ER to E2. In humans, DKD is associated with an increase in estrogen concentration and a decrease in testosterone levels in male patients [121], but not in women [122,123].

The potential beneficial effect of hormonal replacement therapy (HRT) on DKD has also been explored, with some studies supporting the efficacy of estrogenic therapy in improving insulin sensitivity, plasma lipid levels and creatinine clearance in postmenopausal diabetic women [124–126]. Maric et al. reviewed the current literature in this field, underlining the protective role of estrogens in DKD and the role of oral supplementation of 17β estradiol in attenuating its evolution [127].

Further investigations have shown that treatment with 17β estradiol decreased albuminuria, tubulointerstitial fibrosis and glomerulosclerosis in the diabetic population [128]. Oral therapy with selective estrogen receptor modulators showed similar benefits.

Additionally, a provisional regimen with raloxifene, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, reduced albuminuria levels in post-menopausal women with T2DM [129]. Furthermore, improvements of important kidney outcomes, including a reduction in the progression of the albumin–creatinine ratio in post-menopausal T2DM women [130] has been reported in a randomized trial with raloxifene.

Accordingly, the oral combination therapy with estradiol and norgestrel improved eGFR and proteinuria in T2DM postmenopausal women with hypertension, and this nephroprotective action was not related to the modification of conventional risk factors such as blood pressure and lipids [126]. Conversely, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated the short-term benefits of HRT on lipid profile in young women with CKD, although a reduction in CV morbidity and/or mortality was not observed [131].

Vitamin D could also exert a synergetic action with estrogens in renal protection and diabetes control [132]. Thus, vitamin D acts as a real steroid hormone and its level is influenced by estrogen status [133]. It exerts a modulating action at both tubular [134] and glomerular level [135], with peculiar protective action in DKD [136]. Moreover, pre- and post-menopausal DM women with an adequate vitamin D status seem to have a better glycemic control [137]. Estrogens interfere with vitamin D immunomodulatory activities [138] and, in turn, vitamin D down-regulates aromatase action, with a reduction of the adverse events linked to peripheral estrogen overexpression [139]. Combined therapy with vitamin D supplementations and sex steroids seems to protect endothelium integrity, contrasting the cardiovascular damage that contributes to CKD and DKD progression [140,141].

Multiple mechanisms behind the reno-protective effects of oral estrogen supplementation in DM women have been reported. Chronic hyperglycemia leads to an increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and an impairment of nitric oxide (NO) secretion [142].
Additionally, the polyol pathways are involved in the DKD genesis [143] Another pathway of glycemic damage is represented by the accumulation of Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs), which are derived from nonenzymatic glycosylation of product proteins or lipids [144,145]. AGEs reduce the efficiency of anti-oxidant systems, downregulating several protective molecules, such as AGER1 and SIRT1 [146,147].

E2 therapy can interfere with these pathways at different levels. It reduces the expression of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF \( \beta \)), AT1 receptors, and endothelins with a decreased production of collagen (especially I and IV) [148–151] and a reduction of apoptotic phenomena [152]. The increased activity of nitric oxide synthase at the glomerular level may be another effect of estrogens in the kidney, improving vascular permeability and glomerular function [153].

A study on ovariectomized rats has shown an increased expression in glomerular tissue of SIRT1 mRNA in those treated with E2. In addition, E2 increased ER \( \alpha \) -mRNA expression in the glomerular mesangium and reduced the fibrotic process and TGF-\( \beta \) levels [154]. TGF-\( \beta \) plays a key role in the genesis of DKD, increasing the production of extracellular matrix, and that of collagen IV in the podocytes and expanding the mesangial area [155,156]. Its expression is upregulated by a state of chronic hyperglycemia, leading to glomerulosclerosis [157–159], and TGF-\( \beta \) levels are usually increased in men and reduced in women [160], thus indirectly suggesting a crucial role of estrogens in regulating TGF-\( \beta \). Accordingly, ER-\( \alpha \), the major estrogenic receptor expressed at the renal level, has also been shown to bind to several target molecules [161].

Other metabolic pathways are involved in the regulation of TGF \( \beta \) levels, such as the protein kinase system (CK2) [162] and the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) that increase TGF-\( \beta \) production [163], and estrogens have been also reported to reduce the activity of RAAS and, therefore, stimulate TGF-\( \beta \) [164].

Beyond the role of estrogens, progesterone also seems to play an important role in kidney protection. Thus, progesterone receptors are mainly localized in the epithelial cells of distal tubule [164], both in the medulla and cortex kidney of male and female subjects [165]. Loss of renal function related to the ageing process and damage to of the proximal tubule could be prevented by the administration of estrogen alone or even by the addition of progesterone replacement therapy [166], which also demonstrated beneficial effects on the ischemic tubular damage [167], further supporting their role in nephroprotection.

Accordingly, Baha et al. highlighted that the administration of progesterone for 10 weeks in ovariectomized diabetic mice improves the different outcomes of diabetic nephropathy, reducing glomerulosclerosis and profibrotic/angiogenetic factors (TGF-\( \beta \), vascular endothelial growth factor -A, type 1 receptor of angiotensin II), downregulating podocyte markers such as nephrin and podocin [168].

In spite of this increasing experimental evidence of the renoprotective role of estrogens alone or in combination with progesterone, large prospective trials with HT in postmenopausal T1DM and T2DM women with variable stages of CKD are still needed in order to evaluate their possible role in the treatment of this high-risk population in future.

4. Impact of Sex Genes Interactions on DKD

Sex may impact the effect of genes at different levels. Recent evidence supports the role of sex chromosomes on renal impairment in non-diabetic individuals, as reported for Alport syndrome, arising from a mutation in COL4A5 on chromosome X [169], and showing a different renal prognosis in affected males and females [170,171].

However, the influence of sex is far more complex, and sex is an important modifier of the influence of genetic background on several chronic diseases, including CKD.

Overall, the genetic heritability of CKD has been estimated to range from 30 to 75% [172,173] and several lines of evidence confirmed the relevant role of genetic predisposition in the initiation and progression of renal complications both in T1DM and T2DM subjects. Epidemiological studies have revealed familial clustering of DKD in both types.
of diabetes [174,175], and a relevant influence of ethnical background [176–178]. More than 150 genes have been associated with DKD in T1DM and T2DM, although with a different biological relevance, and most of them have been identified through genomewide association studies (GWAS) [179–183].

A recent GWAS analysis on DKD, involving large T2DM and T1DM cohorts and taking into account eight complementary dichotomous and quantitative DKD phenotypes, identified a novel loci (near GABRR1, rs9942471) specifically associated with microalbuminuria in European T2DM case subjects only, with no signal in Asian diabetic subjects or in those with T1DM irrespective of ethnical origin [184]. These findings indicate that a phenotype-, ethnicity- and type of diabetes-driven analysis may be more specific in the identification of genetic susceptibility to DKD. Accordingly, in T1DM, a GWAS analysis of 19,406 subjects using various definitions of DKD, based on renal function and albuminuria, recognized 16 loci, including protective variants (the rs55703767 minor allele, Asp326Tyr) and a variant (rs55703767), on the collagen type IV alpha 3 chain (COL4A3) gene, with the most significant association with DKD in T1DM patients [185].

Furthermore, the minor C allele of rs17389016 of the 11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1 (HSD11B1) was recently associated with the “fast decliner” phenotype and overt DKD in a cohort of 466 T1DM subjects (OR = 2.10; CI 95% = 1.14–3.89; \( p = 0.018 \)) [186].

Despite this overwhelming evidence, the identified genes and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) only explain a minor part of the genetic susceptibility to DKD. Thus, epigenetic mechanisms, i.e., DNA methylation, chromosome histone modification and non-coding RNA (ncRNA) regulation [187,188] and their potential interactions with personal or environmental factors, including sex, may also play an important role [189].

Although several genetic loci in genes implied in the RAAS, inflammation, oxidation, glucose and lipid metabolism have been associated with DKD, the potential role of sex–gene interaction has been evaluated for only a few of them [8].

In one of the first studies of gene–gender interaction on DKD, a case–control study from the Joslin Diabetes Center, the M235T variant in the angiotensinogen gene, which is associated with a greater expression of this gene, increased DKD risk only in T1DM men [190].

In T2DM study subjects from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (HPFS) and the Nurses’ Health Study (NHS), sex-specific associations were found between the angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene AGT1R 1166 C-allele and AGT 235T and coronary heart disease (CHD), whereas the AGT1R T573 C-allele variant was not associated with CHD or DKD [191]. Furthermore, a case–control study in a large cohort of T1DM patients from Denmark, Finland, France and Sweden, found that the AA genotype of the rs5186 AGTR1 polymorphism significantly increased the DKD risk in male patients (OR = 1.27; 95% CI = 1.02–1.58, \( p = 0.03 \)), after adjustment for multiple confounders, whereas no significant associations were noted in women [192].

Sex differences were also noted for common variants in carnosinase genes on chromosome 18q. CNDP1 and CNDP2 [193], with the 5-leucine repeat (5L-5L) variant of the CNDP1 gene being associated with a reduced prevalence of DKD in T2DM women [194], whereas the rs12604675-A variant in CNDP1 was shown to confer higher susceptibility to overt proteinuria in T2DM women from Japan [195].

The common angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) polymorphism (I = insertion, D = deletion) has also been extensively studied as a DKD susceptibility gene in both T1DM and T2DM. While this variant was not able to explain the observed gender differences in ESDR occurrence in black DM individuals [196], it seemed to have an independent impact on survival in DM patients on dialysis [197]. Furthermore, T2DM women carriers of the ACE D allele were found to be at increased risk of DKD progression, whereas no difference was found in T2DM men, even after adjustment for multiple confounders [198].

Sex–genes interactions were also reported for genes implied in inflammation and oxidation, as well as in other DKD-related risk factors.
Significant interaction with sex was also noted for the IL-6 (rs1800795) genetic variant in a study on predictive genetic models of microalbuminuria in relatives of subjects with DKD [199].

In 1120 T1DM subjects (529 men and 591 women), the SNP rs11915160 SOX2 gene, located in chromosome 3q26.33, was significantly associated with DKD and ESRD in women but not in men, with a combined effect with the adiponectin promoter polymorphism rs266729 [200]. Sex-specific associations were also reported for two SNPs in the regulatory regions of CYBB (NOX2, coding, respectively, for superoxide-generating nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-oxidase 2) and GPX4 (glutathione peroxidase 4), involved in the redox status. The minor A-allele of CYBB rs6610650 was associated with DKD in women, whereas the minor T-allele of GPX4 rs713041 showed an inverse association with DKD in T1DM men of South America and European origins [201].

T1DM male carriers of the 59029G allele and those with the 32-bp deletion on the secreted (RANTES) receptor gene (CCR5) variant, which is associated with the diminished expression of CCR5 on immunocompetent cells, had a greater risk of DKD, compared with non-carriers. Furthermore, the distribution of the combined haplotypes with these two variants differed significantly in men with and without DKD, but not in women [202].

GWAS studies in T1M cohorts also identified sex specific associations with DKD susceptibility variants. Thus, a GWAS study in the large cohort of the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) Study found that a common variant, the rs4972593 on chromosome 2q31.1, that was associated with ESRD in women but not in men, and this was confirmed in a meta-analysis of three independent T1DM cohorts [203]. Notably, this variant (rs4972593) is able to interact with ERα, modulating the expression of genes implicated in glomerular function and cell proliferation, thus potentially contributing to the sex-specific protection against ESRD [203].

Another study conducted on three cohorts including T1DM of European descent found that the IGF2BP2 polymorphism, a variant protein that binds to 5'-UTR of the imprinting IGF2 gene, was associated with DKD only in male T1DM subjects. In the same cohorts, a genetic interaction between IGF2BP2 and IGF2 gene was also identified, suggesting a protective role against DKD in male T1DM subjects [204].

In T2DM women, the PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism, a genetic risk factor for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, was associated with impaired eGFR values and DKD prevalence, irrespective of the presence of NAFLD and common cardio–renal risk factors [205].

Polymorphisms in cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) gene, a key enzyme in triglyceride metabolism, were also investigated as genetic risk factors for DKD. In a group of T2DM women, followed up for ~9 years, the Taq1B variant was not associated with the risk of developing DKD, whereas it predicted the onset of diabetic retinopathy [206]. Conversely, another study, conducted on a total of 3023 Taiwanese individuals (1383 without and 1640 with T2DM) found that the A-allele of rs1800775 in the CETP gene was significantly related to a lower DKD risk (OR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.64–0.96) [207]. Unfortunately, no gene–gender specific analysis was conducted in this study and ethnic differences may also have played a role in differentiating these results.

No sex differences were found according to five SNPs in other genes involved in lipid metabolism such as the SLC2A9 and ABCG2 genes in DKD [208]. Genetic background has a relevant role in increasing or decreasing the risk of developing DKD; however, the relative importance of each genetic variant seems to vary according to type of diabetes, study design, DKD phenotypes (albuminuric/low eGFR), and ethnic background. Overall, the available evidence suggests that sex should be considered among the variables potentially influencing the impact of genes on DKD risk. Thus, when a sex-specific analysis was conducted, some of these genetic risk factors were exclusively or more strongly associated with DKD in either DM men or women (Table 3) [180–186,189–195,198,200–205,207]. Future research should persevere to confirm
sex-specific associations and basic research should investigate the mechanisms behind these observed results.

**Table 3.** Studies reporting sex specific associations of selected gene variants with DKD phenotypes.

| Reference         | Ethnic Group | Locus                        | Study Design | Sex Specific Association * | MAU | Low eGFR | DKD       |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----|----------|-----------|
| **Studies on T2DM subjects** |
| Lin, 2009 [191]   | US           | AGTIR (1166)                 | cohort study | Male/Female                | Not significant | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Tien, 2009 [198]  | Taiwan       | ACE D/I                      | Prospective  | Female                     | Higher risk     | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Mooyaart, 2011 [181] | Multi-ethnic | 24 gene variants: ACE, AKRI B1 (two variants), APOC1, APOE, EPO, NOS3 (two variants), HSPG2, VEGFA, FRMD3 (two variants), CAR5 (two variants), UNCI3B, CPVL and CHN2, and GREM1, plus 3 variants not near genes. | GWAS | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Ahluwalia, 2011 [193] | Sweden       | CNDP1 (rs2346061)           | Case-control | Male/Female                | Higher risk     | Not significant | Higher risk |
| Ahluwalia, 2011 [193] | Sweden       | CNDP2 (rs7577)              | Case-control | Female                     | Not significant | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Kurashige, 2013 [195] | Japan        | CNDP1 (rs12604675)          | Case-control | Female                     | Not significant | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Alkhalaf, 2015 [194] | Netherlands  | CNDP1 (5L-5L)               | prospective  | Male/Female                | Not significant | Not significant | Not significant |
| Teumer, 2015 [183] | European     | RAB38/CTSC (rs495929), IFESIS71 (rs13427636), CUBN (rs10795433) | GWAS | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Prudente, 2017 [180] | Italy        | UMOD (rs12917707)           | Cross-sectional | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Russo, 2017 [206]  | Italy        | CETP Taq1B                  | cohort       | Female                     | Not significant | Not significant | Not significant |
| van Zuydam, 2018 [184] | European     | GABRR1 (rs9942471)          | GWAS         | NR                         | Higher risk     | Not significant | Higher risk |
| Huang, 2019 [207]  | Taiwan       | CETP rs1800775              | Cross-sectional | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Mantovani, 2019 [208] | Italy        | PNPLA3 rs738409             | Cross-sectional | Female                  | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Vukovic, 2020 [199] | Multi-ethnic | UMOD                        | GWAS         | Not significant             | Not significant | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| **Studies on T1DM subjects** |
| Freire, 1998 [190] | US           | AGT (M235T)                 | case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Not significant | Higher risk |
| Miynarski, 2005 [202] | US           | CCR5 (A59029G)              | Case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Miynarski, 2005 [202] | US           | CCR5 (32bp deletion)        | Case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Mollsten, 2011 [192] | Denmark, Finland, France and Sweden | AGTR1 (rs5186) | case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Not significant | Higher risk |
| Gu/Horova, 2012 [204] | European     | IGF2 (rs10770125)           | Case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Gu/Horova, 2012 [204] | European     | IGF2BP2 (rs4402960)         | Case-control | Male                       | Higher risk     | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Montero, 2013 [201] | Brazil/France/Belgium | CYBB (rs6610650)          | Cross-sectional | Female                  | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Montero, 2013 [201] | Brazil/France/Belgium | GPX4 (rs1713041)           | Cross-sectional | Male                     | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Salhoorn, 2013 [203] | Finland      | Chr2q31.1 (rs4972509)       | GWAS         | Female                     | NR            | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Sanholm, 2017 [182] | European     | AFI, CNTNAP2, NRG3, and PTPN13, ELMO1, 13q, and SIK1 | GWAS | NR | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
Table 3. Cont.

| Reference       | Ethnic Group | Locus          | Study Design | Sex Specific Association * | MAU       | Low eGFR | DKD     |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Gu, 2019 [200]  | Sweden       | SOX2 (rs11915160) | Case–control | Female                      | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Salem, 2019 [185] | European     | COL4A3 (rs55703767) | GWAS         | Male                        | Higher risk | Higher risk | Higher risk |
| Mori, 2020 [186] | Brazil       | HSD11B1 (rs17389016) | cohort       | NR                          | Not significant | Higher risk | Higher risk |

Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; * Sex specific association: sex with the strongest association is reported; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MAU, micro/macroalbuminuria; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; NR, sex/gender differences not reported. Not significant, no significant association.

5. Gender Differences in DKD Risk Factors and Renoprotective Drugs

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and DKD share several common risk factors, including hyperglycemia, high blood pressure (BP) values, atherogenic dyslipidemia, obesity, inflammatory markers, high uric acid levels and others. Furthermore, both albuminuria and low eGFR values independently increase CVD morbidity and mortality risk in diabetic individuals [209].

Sex and gender differences have been largely reported in CVD and its major risk factors, both in T2DM and T1DM [70,210–218]. Thus, while the CVD risk is overall higher in DM men than in women, the relative risk of developing CVD complications is 2-4 times higher in DM females than in males [210–212]. Ageing seems to reduce the impact of diabetes on CVD risk, being particularly high in subjects 35-59 years [213,214].

Recent data from the UK estimated the excess CVD risk related to T2DM being approximately 50% higher in women (HR 1.96 (95% CI 1.60, 2.41)) than in men (HR 1.33 (95% CI 1.18, 1.51)) [215], although some more contemporary data attenuated these findings [216].

Notably, an Italian cohort of >11,000 T2DM subjects, followed up for 4 years, reported that, the impact of common risk factors on coronary heart disease (CHD) was different in T2DM men and women, with microvascular complications, including DKD, being a stronger risk factor in females [217].

Thus, sex and gender differences were also reported for several DKD risk factors, with T2DM women generally showing a greater prevalence of out-of-target values [70,218].

In the AMD Annali Initiative, women were 14% more likely than men to have HbA1c >9.0, 42% more likely to have LDL-cholesterol ≥130 mg/dl, and 50% more likely to have BMI ≥30 kg/m² despite appropriate treatment [1]. Furthermore, older T2DM women were those at the highest risk of uncontrolled lipid values [219].

Beside LDL-C levels, also atherogenic dyslipidemia, i.e., high triglycerides (TG) and low HDL-C values, the typical lipid profile in subjects with insulin-resistance and T2DM, has been recognized as an independent risk factor for DKD [220]. In 15,362 Italian T2DM patients, with eGFR ≥60 ml/min/1.73 m², normoalbuminuria, and LDL-C ≤130 mg/dL at baseline, followed-up for 4 years, low HDL-C and high TG levels were independent risk factors for the development of DKD, defined as either low eGFR (<60 mL/min/1.73 m²), eGFR reduction >30% and/or albuminuria. After stratification for multiple risk factors, the association of low HDL-C levels with low eGFR was more pronounced for male gender, whereas no other sex interactions were noted for high triglycerides and low eGFR risk and for both lipid fractions and microalbuminuria (MAU) [221]. Notably, another Japanese observational cohort study found an association of atherogenic dyslipidemia with a higher risk of developing DKD in men only [222]. The heterogeneity of HDL particles in their lipid and protein composition, as well as in their function may have contributed to these results. In order to clarify this issue, we evaluated the effect of atherogenic dyslipidemia, the HDL subclasses distribution and the common cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP)α3β3 variant on the incidence or the progression of DKD and diabetic retinopathy (DR) in a group of T2DM women followed up for ~9 years. In this study, atheroprotective HDL subclasses together with BMI and LDL/HDL ratio were associated with an increased risk of developing DKD; although these associations were attenuated at multivariate analysis [206].
BMI, LDL/HDL ratio and low levels of α-1 HDL particles were associated to the occurrence of DKD at univariate analysis, although BMI was the only significant predictor at stepwise multivariate regression analysis [206].

Gender differences were also noted in the impact of serum uric acid on DKD risk [223–225]. In a large Chinese cohort [226], hyperuricemia was independently associated with an increased risk of DKD in both genders, but, after adjustment for traditional DKD risk factors, the association remained significant only in men.

Data on potential gender differences in the response to drugs recommended for DKD are even more sparse. Thus, recent guidelines recommend the use of inhibitors of sodium-glucose cotransporter 1 (SGLT2i) and glucagonlike protein 1 receptor agonists (GLP1Ras) in T2DM subjects with DKD, on the wave of the encouraging results of many cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs) and dedicated investigations in patients with CKD with or without T2DM, but very few of them have specifically evaluated sex or gender differences [227,228].

When renal outcomes were investigated as a safety issue in a retrospective cohort study [229], no differences between T2DM male and females in acute kidney injury (AKI) were reported for SGLT2i (female: 20.9 cases/1000 patients; male: 20 cases/1000 patients) and for GLP1Ras (female: 17.8 cases on 1000 GLP-1RA users; male: 36 cases/1000 patients). Furthermore, no sex interaction was reported in another study exploring the risk of serious renal events among SGLT2i- and GLP1Ras- users [230]. Overall, trials with empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin in patients with and without T2DM, also showed no significant sex gender interactions on renal outcomes, indicating a similarly beneficial effect of SGLT2i in the two genders, although the number of women included in the trial population was different among these studies [231–233].

Collectively these data indicate that the emerging evidence of a gender-difference in the impact of DKD risk factors is yet to be confirmed, but the literature data consistently indicate that DM women, especially those with T2DM, do not reach targets for major CVD/DKD risk factors as easily as men. The reasons behind these gender differences are still only partly explored, and they may include differences in drug prescriptions, adherence and/or drug response, research areas that should be further explored. Whatever the reason, out-of-target risk factors may contribute to the worst outcomes observed in DM women even in terms of DKD-related mortality [234].

6. Conclusions

DKD is one of the most burdensome complications of both T1DM and T2DM. While the prevalence of CVD and other chronic DM complications have shown a progressive decrease in industrialized countries, the prevalence of DKD has not, in spite of the recent diagnostic and therapeutic advances, mostly because of the impact of population ageing and the continuous increase in the prevalence of T1DM and T2DM worldwide. In order to cope with this challenge, the guidelines recommend a personalized approach, where sex and gender differences need to be taken into account.

Sex and gender differences have been reported in the prevalence of specific DKD phenotypes, as well as in the prevalence, impact and control of common DKD risk factors.

It has become well recognized that both albuminuria and eGFR are important factors for DKD diagnosis, with the non-albuminuric phenotype more prevalent in DM women and the albuminuric one in DM men. As for progression toward ESRD, the data indicate a faster progression and overall worse outcomes in DM women, especially those with T2DM in the late decades of life.

Hormonal and genetic factors have also been shown to play a relevant role in explaining these differences in DKD, as demonstrated by several experimental studies, showing an overall protective role for estrogens and progesterone (Figure 1). Conversely, although many genetic loci have been associated with an higher DKD risk both in T1DM and T2DM, their impact seems to vary according to selected gene variants, type of DM, DKD phenotype, study design and according to sex (Table 3). In spite of this increasing evidence, many areas of knowledge still need to be covered. No gender-specific guidance on im-
portant diagnostic and therapeutic aspects is available to date. Particularly, it is still not known whether the reported gender differences in DKD phenotypes will result in different outcomes in terms of renal progression and/or CVD mortality. Moreover, the potential protective effect of estrogen replacement therapy on renal outcomes is still under debate. Additionally, the relative impact of socio-economical differences, i.e., the gender disparities, on DKD incidence and progression has not been evaluated so far. Potential sex/gender differences in the therapeutic approach to DKD is another area that needs to be covered. Thus, it is well recognized that women usually experience more drug side effects, and that female gender is poorly represented in randomized controlled trials testing new drugs, an issue that also applies to drugs recommended for patients with DKD, which witness the predominance of the male gender among trials’ participants.

Although the available evidence suggests similar renal benefits and/or side effects from the new hypoglycemic drugs with renal benefit in T2DM men and women, the lower representation of women in these trials and the overall lack of a gender-specific analysis prevent us from drawing firm conclusions on these important efficacy and safety issues.

In conclusion, sex and gender differences embrace several aspects of DKD pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management, leaving a number of unanswered questions that should be addressed by future research in order to reduce the burden of this serious complication.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rossi, M.C.; Cristofaro, M.R.; Gentile, S.; Lucisano, G.; Manicardi, V.; Mulas, M.F.; Napoli, A.; Nicolucci, A.; Pellegrini, F.; Suraci, C.; et al. Sex disparities in the quality of diabetes care: Biological and cultural factors may play a different role for different outcomes: A cross-sectional observational study from the AMD Annals initiative. *Diabetes Care* 2013, 36, 162–168. [CrossRef]
2. De Boer, I.H.; Caramori, M.L.; Chan, J.C.N.; Heerspink, H.J.L.; Hurst, C.; Khunti, K.; Liew, A.; Michos, E.D.; Navaneethan, S.D.; Olowu, W.A.; et al. KDIGO 2020 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int. 2020, 98, S1–S115. [CrossRef]
3. Bolignano, D.; Cernaro, V.; Gembillo, G.; Baggetta, R.; Buemi, M.; D’Arrigo, G. Antioxidant agents for delaying diabetic kidney disease progression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0178699. [CrossRef]
4. Viazzi, F.; Russo, G.T.; Ceriello, A.; Fioretto, P.; Giorda, C.; De Cosmo, S.; Pontremoli, R. Natural history and risk factors for diabetic kidney disease in patients with T2D: Lessons from the AMD-annals. *J. Nephrol. 2019, 32, 517–525. [CrossRef]
5. Pugliese, G.; Penno, G.; Natali, A.; Barutta, F.; Di Paolo, S.; Reboldi, G.; Gesualdo, L.; De Nicola, L. Italian Diabetes Society and the Italian Society of Nephrology. Diabetic kidney disease: New clinical and therapeutic issues. Joint position statement of the Italian Diabetes Society and the Italian Society of Nephrology on “The natural history of diabetic kidney disease and treatment of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes and impaired renal function”. *J. Nephrol. 2020, 9, 3–35. [CrossRef]
6. Lee, S.K. Sex as an important biological variable in biomedical research. *BMB Rep. 2018, 51, 167–173. [CrossRef]
7. Gemmati, D.; Varani, K.; Bramanti, B.; Piva, R.; Bonaccorsi, G.; Tisato, V.; Carbone, L.; Basci, A.; et al. Sex-Related Disparities in CKD Progression. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2019, 30, 137–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Cañadas-Garre, M.; Anderson, K.; Cappa, R.; Skelly, R.; Smyth, L.J.; McKnight, A.J.; Maxwell, A.P. Genetic Susceptibility to Chronic Kidney Disease–Some More Pieces for the Heritability Puzzle. *Front. Genet. 2019, 10, 453. [CrossRef]
9. Siligato, R.; Gembillo, G.; Cernaro, V.; Torre, F.; Salvo, A.; Granese, R.; Santoro, D. Maternal and Fetal Outcomes of Pregnancy in the Italian Society of Nephrology. *Arch. Intern. Med. 2007, 167, 374–381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Süleymanlar, G.; Utaş, C.; Arınoy, G.; Ataş, K.; Altun, B.; Altiparmak, M.R.; Eder, T.; Yilmaz, M.E.; Camsari, T.; Başçı, A.; et al. A population-based survey of Chronic Renal Disease In Turkey—the CREDIT study. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2010, 26, 1862–1871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Hill, N.R.; Fatoba, S.T.; Oke, J.L.; Hirst, J.A.; O’Callaghan, C.A.; Lasserson, D.S.; Hobbs, F.D. Global Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease–A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0158765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Ricardo, A.C.; Yang, W.; Sha, D.; Appel, L.J.; Chen, J.; Krousel-Wood, M.; Manoharan, A.; Steigerwald, S.; Wright, J.; Rahman, M.; et al. Sex-Related Disparities in CKD Progression. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2019, 30, 137–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Yang, W.; Xie, D.; Anderson, A.H.; Joffe, M.M.; Greene, T.; Teal, V.; Hsu, C.Y.; Fink, J.C.; He, J.; Lash, J.P.; et al. Association of kidney disease outcomes with risk factors for CKD: Findings from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2014, 63, 236–243. [CrossRef]

15. Swartling, O.; Rydell, H.; Stendahl, M.; Segelmark, M.; Lageros, Y.T.; Evans, M. CKD Progression and Mortality Among Men and Women: A Nationwide Study in Sweden. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2021, 9. [CrossRef]

16. Neugarten, J.; Acharaya, A.; Silbiger, S.R. Effect of gender on the progression of nondiabetic renal disease: A meta-analysis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2000, 11, 319–329. [CrossRef]

17. Iseki, K. Gender differences in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2008, 74, 415–417. [CrossRef]

18. Jafar, T.H.; Schmid, C.; Stark, P.C.; Toto, R.D.; Remuzzi, G.; Ruggenenti, P.; Marcantonio, C.; Becker, G.J.; Shahinfar, S.; De Jong, P.E.; et al. The rate of progression of renal disease may not be slower in women compared with men: A patient-level meta-analysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2003, 18, 2047–2053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Bash, L.D.; Coresh, J.; Kottgen, A.; Parekh, R.S.; Fulop, T.; Wang, Y.; Astor, B.C. Defining incident chronic kidney disease in the research setting: The ARIC Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2009, 170, 414–424. [CrossRef]

20. Gall, M.A.; Hougaard, P.; Borch-Johnsen, K.; Parving, H.H. Risk factors for development of incipient and overt diabetic nephropathy in normotensive type 1 diabetic patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: Prospective, observational study. *BMJ* 1997, 314, 783–788. [CrossRef]

21. Lewis, E.J.; Hunsicker, L.G.; Rodby, R.A. A clinical trial in type 2 diabetic nephropathy. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2001, 38 (Suppl. S1), S191–S194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Keane, W.F.; Brenner, B.M.; de Zeeuw, D.; Grunfeld, J.P.; McGill, J.; Mitch, W.E.; Ribeiro, A.B.; Shahinfar, S.; Simpson, R.L.; Snapinn, S.M.; et al. The risk of developing end-stage renal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy: The RENAL study. *Kidney Int.* 2003, 63, 1499–1507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Rossing, K.; Christensen, P.K.; Hovind, P.; Tarnow, L.; Rossing, P.; Parving, H.H. Progression of nephropathy in type 2 diabetic patients. *Kidney Int.* 2004, 66, 1596–1605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Retnakaran, R.; Cull, C.A.; Thorne, K.I.; Adler, A.I.; Holman, R.R.; UKPDS Study Group. Risk factors for renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: UK Prospective Diabetes Study 74. *Diabetes* 2006, 55, 1832–1839. [CrossRef]

25. Penno, G.; Solini, A.; Bonora, E.; Fondelli, C.; Orsi, E.; Zerbini, G.; Trevisan, R.; Vedovato, M.; Gruden, G.; Laviola, L.; et al. Gender differences in cardiovascular disease risk factors, treatments and complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: The RIACE Italian multicentre study. *J. Intern. Med.* 2013, 274, 176–191. [CrossRef]

26. Yu, M.K.; Lyles, C.R.; Bent-Shaw, L.A.; Young, B.A. Pathways Authors. Risk factor, age and sex differences in chronic kidney disease prevalence in a diabetic cohort: The pathways study. *Am. J. Nephrol.* 2012, 36, 245–251. [CrossRef]

27. Jardine, M.J.; Hata, J.; Woodward, M.; Perkovic, V.; Ninomiya, T.; Arima, H.; Zoungas, S.; Cass, A.; Patel, A.; Marre, M.; et al. Prediction of kidney-related outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2012, 60, 770–778. [CrossRef]

28. Zoppini, G.; Targher, G.; Chonchol, M.; Ortalda, V.; Negri, G.; Stoico, V.; Bonora, E. Predictors of Estimated GFR Decline in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Preserved Kidney Function. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2012, 7, 401–408. [CrossRef]

29. Altemtam, N.; Russell, J.; El Nahas, M. A study of the natural history of diabetic kidney disease (DKD). *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2012, 27, 1847–1854. [CrossRef]

30. Elley, C.R.; Robinson, T.; Moyes, S.A.; Kenealy, T.; Collins, J.; Robinson, E.; Orr-Walker, B.; Drury, P.L. Derivation and validation of a good or poor metabolic control. *Diabet Med.* 2009, 26, F667–F674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. De Hauteclocque, A.; Ragot, S.; Slaoui, Y.; Gand, E.; Miot, A.; Sosner, P.; Halimi, J.-M.; Zaoui, P.; Rigalleau, V.; Roussel, R.; et al. The influence of sex on renal function decline in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2013, 36, 3113–3120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Cherney, D.Z.I.; et al. Hyperfiltration, urinary albumin excretion, and ambulatory blood pressure in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes* 2007, 56, 245–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Jacobsen, P.; Rossing, K.; Tarnow, L.; Rossing, P.; Mallet, C.; Poirier, O.; Cambien, F.; Parving, H.-H. Progression of diabetic nephropathy in normotensive type 1 diabetic patients. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 1999, 71, S101–S105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Rossing, P.; Hougaard, P.; Parving, H.H. Risk factors for development of incipient and overt diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetic patients: A 10-year prospective observational study. *Diabetes Care* 2002, 25, 859–864. [CrossRef]

35. Zhang, L.; Krzentowski, G.; Albert, A.; Lefebvre, P.J. Factors predictive of nephropathy in DCCT Type 1 diabetic patients with good or poor metabolic control. *Diabet Med.* 2003, 20, 580–585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
62. Nag, S.; Bilous, R.; Kelly, W.; Jones, S.; Roper, N.; Connolly, V. All-cause and cardiovascular mortality in diabetic subjects increases significantly with reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR): 10 years’ data from the South Tees Diabetes Mortality study. Diabet Med. 2007, 24, 10–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Pugliese, G.; Solini, A.; Bonora, E.; Fondelli, C.; Orsi, E.; Nicolucci, A.; Penno, G.; RIACE Study Group. Chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes: Lessons from the Renal Insufficiency and Cardiovascular Events (RIACE) Italian Multicentre Study. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 2014, 24, 815–822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Russo, G.T.; De Cosmo, S.; Viazzi, F.; Mirijello, A.; Ceriello, A.; Guida, P.; Giorda, C.; Cucinotta, D.; Pontremoli, R.; Fionnetto, P.; et al. Diabetic kidney disease in the elderly: Prevalence and clinical correlates. BMC Geriatr. 2018, 18, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Yu, M.K.; Katon, W.; Young, B.A. Associations between sex and incident chronic kidney disease in a prospective diabetic cohort. Nephrology 2015, 20, 451–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. A-AMD Annals: A model of continuous monitoring and assessment of the quality of diabetes care. Epidemiol. Prev. 2011, 35, 18–26.

67. Mirijello, A.; Viazzi, F.; Fioretto, P.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Russo, G.T.; Guida, P.; Pontremoli, R.; De Cosmo, S. Association of kidney disease measures with risk of renal function worsening in patients with type 1 diabetes. BMC Nephrol. 2018, 19, 347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Piscitelli, P.; Viazzi, F.; Fioretto, P.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Genovese, S.; Russo, G.; Guida, P.; Pontremoli, R.; De Cosmo, S. Predictors of chronic kidney disease in type 1 diabetes: A longitudinal study from the AMD Annals initiative. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 3313. [CrossRef]

69. Pacilli, A.; Viazzi, F.; Fioretto, P.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Genovese, S.; Russo, G.; Guida, P.; Pontremoli, R.; De Cosmo, S.; et al. Epidemiology of diabetic kidney disease in adult patients with type 1 diabetes in Italy: The AMD-Annals initiative. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev. 2017, 33. [CrossRef]

70. Manicardi, V.; Russo, G.; Napoli, A.; Torlone, E.; Li Volsi, P.; Giorda, C.B.; Musacchio, N.; Nicolucci, A.; Suraci, C.; Lucisano, G.; et al. Gender-Disparities in Adults with Type 1 Diabetes: More than a Quality of Care Issue. A Cross-Sectional Observational Study from the AMD Annals Initiative. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0162960. [CrossRef]

71. Viazzi, F.; Piscitelli, P.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Genovese, S.; Russo, G.T.; Fioretto, P.; Guida, P.; De Cosmo, S.; Pontremoli, R. Association of kidney disease measures with risk of renal function worsening in patients with hypertension and type 2 diabetes. J. Diabetes Complicat. 2017, 31, 419–426. [CrossRef]

72. De Cosmo, S.; Viazzi, F.; Pacilli, A.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Gentile, S.; Russo, G.; Rossi, M.C.; Nicolucci, A.; Guida, P.; et al. Predictors of chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes: A longitudinal study from the AMD Annals initiative. Medicine 2016, 95, e4007. [CrossRef]

73. De Cosmo, S.; Rossi, M.C.; Pellegrini, F.; Lucisano, G.; Bacci, S.; Gentile, S.; Ceriello, A.; Russo, G.; Nicolucci, A.; Giorda, C.; et al. Kidney dysfunction and related cardiovascular risk factors among patients with type 2 diabetes. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2014, 29, 657–662. [CrossRef]

74. Acconna, F.; Kumar, R. Signaling regulation of genomic and nongenomic functions of estrogen receptors. Cancer Lett. 2006, 238, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Prossnitz, E.R.; Arterburn, J.B. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. XCVII. G protein-coupled estrogen receptor and its pharmacologic modulators. Pharmacol. Rev. 2015, 67, 505–540. [CrossRef]

76. Kiyama, R.; Wada-Kiyama, Y. Estrogenic endocrine disruptors: Molecular mechanisms of action. Environ. Int. 2015, 83, 11–40. [CrossRef]

77. Venkov, C.D.; Rankin, A.B.; Vaughan, D.E. Identification of authentic estrogen receptor in cultured endothelial cells—A potential mechanism for steroid hormone regulation of endothelial function. Circulation 1996, 94, 727–733. [CrossRef]

78. Meyer, M.R.; Barton, M. Estrogens and coronary artery disease: New clinical perspectives. Adv. Pharmacol. 2016, 77, 307–360.

79. Burns Katherine, A.; Korch Kenneth, S. Estrogen receptors and human disease: An update. Arch. Toxicol. 2012, 10, 1491–1504. [CrossRef]

80. Ribeiro, J.R.; Freiman, R.N. Estrogen signaling crosstalk: Implications for endocrine resistance in ovarian cancer. J. Steroid. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2014, 143, 160–173. [CrossRef]

81. Venkov, C.D.; Rankin, A.B.; Vaughan, D.E. Identification of authentic estrogen receptor in cultured endothelial cells—A potential mechanism for steroid hormone regulation of endothelial function. Circulation 1996, 94, 727–733. [CrossRef]

82. Lewis-Wambi, J.S.; Jordan, V.C. Estrogen regulation of apoptosis: How can one hormone stimulate and inhibit? Breast Cancer Res. 2009, 11, 206. [CrossRef]

83. Roepke, T.A. Oestrogen modulates hypothalamic control of energy homeostasis through multiple mechanisms. J. Neuroendocrinol. 2009, 21, 141–150. [CrossRef]

84. Barros, R.P.; Machado, U.F.; Warner, M.; Gustafsson, J.A. Muscle GLUT4 regulation by estrogen receptors ERbeta and ERalpha. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 1605–1608. [CrossRef]

85. Heine, P.A.; Taylor, J.A.; Iwamoto, G.A.; Lubahn, D.B.; Cooke, P.S. Increased adipose tissue in male and female estrogen receptor-alpha knockout mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 12729–12734. [CrossRef]

86. Rodriguez-Cuenca, S.; Monjo, M.; Frontera, M.; Gianotti, M.; Proenza, A.M.; Roca, P. Sex steroid receptor expression profile in brown adipose tissue. Effects of hormonal status. Cell Physiol. Biochem. 2007, 20, 877–886. [CrossRef]
112. McAllister, C.E.; Creech, R.D.; Kimball, P.A.; Muma, N.A.; Li, Q. GPR30 is necessary for estradiol-induced desensitization of 5-HT1A receptor signaling in the paraventricular nucleus of the rat hypothalamus. Psychoneuroendocrinology **2012**, *37*, 1248–1260. [CrossRef]

113. Akama, K.T.; Thompson, L.I.; Milner, T.A.; McEwen, B.S. Post-synaptic density95 (PSD-95) binding capacity of G-protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30), an estrogen receptor that can be identified in hippocampal dendritic spines. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 6438–6450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Li, Y.C.; Ding, X.S.; Li, H.M.; Zhang, C. Icaritin attenuates high glucose-induced type IV collagen and fibronectin accumulation in glomerular mesangial cells by inhibiting transforming growth factor-β production and signalling through G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **2013**, *40*, 635–643. [CrossRef]

115. Rowlands, D.J.; Chapple, S.; Siow, R.C.; Mann, G.E. Estriol-stimulated mitochondrial reactive oxygen species activate endothelial nitric oxide synthase and redox signaling in endothelial cells: Roles for F-actin and GPR30. *Hypertension* **2011**, *57*, 833–840. [CrossRef]

116. Rao, J.; Jiang, X.; Wang, Y.; Chen, B. 2011. Advances in the understanding of the structure and function of ER-α36, a novel variant of human estrogen receptor-alpha. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2011**, *127*, 231–237. [CrossRef]

117. Lin, S.L.; Yan, L.Y.; Liang, X.W.; Wang, Z.B.; Wang, Z.Y.; Qiao, J.; Schatten, H.; Sun, Q.Y. A novel variant of ER-alpha, ER-alpha36 mediates testosterone-stimulated ERK and Akt activation in endometrial cancer HeC1A cells. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2009**, *7*, 102. [CrossRef]

118. Wells, C.C.; Riazi, S.; Mankhey, R.W.; Bhatti, F.; Ecelbarger, C.; Maric, C. Diabetic nephropathy is associated with decreased circulating estradiol levels and imbalance in the expression of renal estrogen receptors. *Gend. Med.* **2005**, *2*, 227–237. [CrossRef]

119. Inada, A.; Inada, O.; Fujii, N.L.; Nagafuchi, S.; Katsuta, H.; Yasunami, Y.; Matsubara, T.; Arai, H.; Fukatsu, A.; Nabeshima, Y.I. Adjusting the 17β-Estradiol-to-Androgen Ratio Ameliorates Diabetic Nephropathy. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2016**, *27*, 3035–3050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Manigrasso, M.B.; Sawyer, R.T.; Marbury, D.C.; Flynn, E.R.; Maric, C. Inhibition of estradiol synthesis attenuates renal injury in male streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* **2011**, *301*, F634–F640. [CrossRef]

121. Maric, C.; Forsblom, C.; Thorn, L.; Waden, J.; Groop, P.H.; FinnDiane Study Group. Association between testosterone, estradiol and sex hormone binding globulin levels in men with type 1 diabetes with nephropathy. *Stereoids* **2010**, *75*, 772–778. [CrossRef]

122. Matsuhashi, M.; Tamura, K.; Osada, S.; Kogo, H. Effect of troglitazone on the excess testosterone and LH secretion in thyroidec- tomy patients. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 5808. [CrossRef]

123. Akama, K.T.; Thompson, L.I.; Milner, T.A.; McEwen, B.S. Post-synaptic density95 (PSD-95) binding capacity of G-protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30), an estrogen receptor that can be identified in hippocampal dendritic spines. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 6438–6450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Li, Y.C.; Ding, X.S.; Li, H.M.; Zhang, C. Icaritin attenuates high glucose-induced type IV collagen and fibronectin accumulation in glomerular mesangial cells by inhibiting transforming growth factor-β production and signalling through G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **2013**, *40*, 635–643. [CrossRef]

125. Rowlands, D.J.; Chapple, S.; Siow, R.C.; Mann, G.E. Estriol-stimulated mitochondrial reactive oxygen species activate endothelial nitric oxide synthase and redox signaling in endothelial cells: Roles for F-actin and GPR30. *Hypertension* **2011**, *57*, 833–840. [CrossRef]

126. Szekacs, B.; Vajo, Z.; Varbiro, S.; Kakucs, R.; Kakucs, R.; Acs, N.; Mucsi, I.; Brinton, E.A. Postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy decreases hyperandrogenicity and improves glucose homeostasis and plasma lipids in postmenopausal women with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2005**, *90*, 1629–1636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

127. Szekacs, B.; Vajo, Z.; Varbiro, S.; Kakucs, R.; Vaslaki, L.; Mucsi, I.; Brinton, E.A. Postmenopausal hormone replacement improves proteinuria and impaired creatinine clearance in type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension. *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2000**, *107*, 1017–1021. [CrossRef]

128. Maric, C.; Sullivan, S. Estrogens and the diabetic kidney. *Gender Med.* **2008**, *5*, S103–S113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

129. Manigrasso, M.B.; Sawyer, R.T.; Marbury, D.C.; Flynn, E.R.; Maric, C. Inhibition of estradiol synthesis attenuates renal injury in male streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* **2011**, *301*, F634–F640. [CrossRef]

130. Maric, C.; Forsblom, C.; Thorn, L.; Waden, J.; Groop, P.H.; FinnDiane Study Group. Association between testosterone, estradiol and sex hormone binding globulin levels in men with type 1 diabetes with nephropathy. *Stereoids* **2010**, *75*, 772–778. [CrossRef]

131. Matsuhashi, M.; Tamura, K.; Osada, S.; Kogo, H. Effect of troglitazone on the excess testosterone and LH secretion in thyroidectomized, insulin-resistant, type 2 diabetic Goto-Kakizaki rats. *Endocrine* **2005**, *27*, 301–305. [CrossRef]

132. Salonia, A.; Lanz, R.; Scavini, M.; Pontillo, M.; Gatti, E.; Petrella, G.; Licata, G.; Nappi, R.E.; Bosi, E.; Briganti, A.; et al. Sexual function and endocrine profile in fertile women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2006**, *29*, 312–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Andersson, B.; Mattsson, L.A.; Hahn, L.; Maria, P.; Lapidus, L.; Holm, G.; Bengtsson, B.A.; Björntorp, P. Estrogen replacement therapy decreases hyperandrogenicity and improves glucose homeostasis and plasma lipids in postmenopausal women with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **1997**, *82*, 638–643. [CrossRef]

134. Andersen, S.; Vajo, Z.; Varbiro, S.; Kakucs, R.; Vaslaki, L.; Acs, N.; Mucsi, I.; Brinton, E.A. Postmenopausal hormone replacement improves proteinuria and impaired creatinine clearance in type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension. *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2000**, *107*, 1017–1021. [CrossRef]

135. Hadjadji, S.; Gourd, P.; Zou, P.; Ducru, B.; Roudaut, N.; Gautier, J.F.; Chabin, M.; Maucou, G.; Ragot, S.; RADIAN Study Group. Effect of raloxifene—A selective oestrogen receptor modulator—On kidney function in post-menopausal women with Type 2 diabetes: Results from a randomized, placebo-controlled pilot trial. *Diabet Med.* **2007**, *24*, 906–910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Ramesh, S.; Mann, M.C.; Holroyd-Leduc, J.M.; Wilton, S.B.; James, M.T.; Seely, E.W.; Ahmed, S.B. Hormone therapy and clinical and surrogate cardiovascular endpoints in women with chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Menopause* **2016**, *23*, 1028–1037. [CrossRef]

137. Hu, X.; Liu, W.; Yan, Y.; Liu, H.; Huang, Q.; Xiao, Y.; Gong, Z.; Du, J. Vitamin D protects against diabetic nephropathy: Evidence-based effectiveness and mechanism. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *845*, 91–98. [CrossRef]

138. Cutolo, M.; Plebani, M.; Shoennfeld, Y.; Adorini, L.; Tincani, A. Vitamin D endocrine system and the immune response in rheumatic diseases. *Vitam. Horiz.* **2011**, *86*, 327–351. [CrossRef]

139. Gembillo, G.; Cernaro, V.; Siligato, R.; Curreri, F.; Catalano, A.; Santoro, D. Protective Role of Vitamin D in Renal Tubulopathies. *Metabolites* **2020**, *10*, 115. [CrossRef]

140. Gembillo, G.; Siligato, R.; Amatruda, M.; Conti, G.; Santoro, D. Vitamin D and Glomerulonephritis. *Medicina* **2021**, *57*, 186. [CrossRef]
136. Gembillo, G.; Cernaro, V.; Salvo, A.; Siligato, R.; Laudani, A.; Buemi, M.; Santoro, D. Role of Vitamin D Status in Diabetic Patients with Renal Disease. *Medicina* 2019, 55, 273. [CrossRef]

137. Fondo, L.A.; Sakyi, S.A.; Owiredu, W.K.B.A.; Laing, E.F.; Owiredu, E.W.; Awusi, E.K.; Ephraim, R.K.D.; Kantanka, O.S. Evaluating Vitamin D Status in Pre- and Postmenopausal Type 2 Diabetics and Its Association with Glucose Homeostasis. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2018, 2018, 9369282. [CrossRef]

138. Agmon-Levin, N.; Theodor, E.; Segal, R.M. Vitamin D in systemic and organ-specific autoimmune diseases. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* 2013, 45, 256–266. [CrossRef]

139. Cai, W.; Ramdas, M.; Zhu, L.; Chen, X.; Striker, G.E.; Vlassara, H. Oral advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) promote insulin resistance and diabetes by depleting the antioxidant defenses AGE receptor-1 and sirtuin 1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 15888–15893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

140. Santoro, D.; Gembillo, G.; Andò, G. Glomerular Filtration Rate as a Predictor of Outcome in Acute Coronary Syndrome Complicated by Atrial Fibrillation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 9, 1466. [CrossRef]

141. Uribarri, J.; Cai, W.; Ramdas, M.; Goodman, S.; Pizzorni, C.; Seriolo, B.; Santoro, D.; Vlassara, H. Association of Higher Advanced Oxidation Protein Products (AOPPs) Levels in Patients with Diabetic and Hypertensive Nephropathy. *Medicina* 2019, 55, 675. [CrossRef]

142. Semba, R.D.; Nicklett, E.; Ferrucci, L. Does accumulation of advanced glycation end products contribute to the aging phenotype? *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Med. Sci.* 2010, 65, 963–975. [CrossRef]

143. Cai, W.; Ramdas, M.; Zhu, L.; Chen, X.; Striker, G.E.; Vlassara, H. Oral advanced glycation end products (AGEs) promote insulin resistance and diabetes by depleting the antioxidant defenses AGF receptor-1 and sirtuin 1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 15888–15893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

144. Conti, G.; Caccamo, D.; Siligato, R.; Gembillo, G.; Cernaro, V.; Laudani, A.; Buemi, M.; Santoro, D.; Pazzano, D.; Carucci, N.; Carella, A.; Campo, G.D.; Salvo, A.; et al. Association of Higher Advanced Oxidation Protein Products (AOPPs) Levels in Patients with Diabetic and Hypertensive Nephropathy. *Medicina* 2019, 55, 675. [CrossRef]

145. Gangula, P.R.; Dong, Y.L.; Al-Hendy, A.; Richard-Davis, G.; Montgomery-Rice, V.; Haddad, G.; Mills, R.; Nicholas, S.B.; Moseberry, D. Protective cardiovascular and renal actions of vitamin D and estrogen. *Front. Biosci.* 2013, 5, 134–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

146. Meyer, M.R.; Clegg, D.J.; Prossnitz, E.R.; Barton, M. Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes: Sex differences and role of oestrogen receptors. *Acta Physiol.* 2011, 203, 259–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

147. Santoro, D.; Gembillo, G.; Andò, G. Glomerular Filtration Rate as a Predictor of Outcome in Acute Coronary Syndrome Complicated by Atrial Fibrillation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 9, 1466. [CrossRef]

148. Elliot, S.J.; Karl, M.; Berho, M.; Xia, X.; Striker, G.E.; Vlassara, H. Oral advanced glycation end products (AGEs) promote insulin resistance and diabetes by depleting the antioxidant defenses AGE receptor-1 and sirtuin 1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 15888–15893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

149. Uribarri, J.; Cai, W.; Ramdas, M.; Goodman, S.; Pizzorni, C.; Seriolo, B. Vitamin D, steroid hormones, and autoimmunity. *Vit. D* 2013, 17, 9369282. [CrossRef]

150. Santoro, D.; Gembillo, G.; Andò, G. Glomerular Filtration Rate as a Predictor of Outcome in Acute Coronary Syndrome Complicated by Atrial Fibrillation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 9, 1466. [CrossRef]

151. Dean, S.A.; Tan, J.; O’Brien, E.R.; Leenen, F.H. 17β-estradiol downregulates tissue angiotensin-converting enzyme and ANG II by transforming growth factor-beta 1. *Kidney Int.* 2001, 58, 645–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

152. Santoro, D.; Gembillo, G.; Andò, G. Glomerular Filtration Rate as a Predictor of Outcome in Acute Coronary Syndrome Complicated by Atrial Fibrillation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 9, 1466. [CrossRef]

153. Xiao, S.; Gillespie, D.G.; Baylis, C.; Jackson, E.K.; Dubey, R.K. Effects of estradiol and its metabolites on glomerular endothelial cell apoptosis by a casein kinase 2-dependent mechanism. *Kidney Int.* 2004, 66, 2148–2154. [CrossRef]

154. Gross, M.-L.; Adamczak, M.; Rabe, T.; Harbi, N.A.; Krtíl, J.; Koch, A.; Ritz, E. Beneficial effects of estrogens on indices of renal damage in uninephrectomized SHRsp rats. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2004, 15, 348–358. [CrossRef]

155. Dean, S.A.; Tan, J.; O’Brien, E.R.; Leenen, F.H. 17β-estradiol downregulates tissue angiotensin-converting enzyme and ANG II type 1 receptor in female rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 2005, 288, R759–R766. [CrossRef]

156. Degalese, T.; Bognar, I.; Le, J.; Devarajan, P.; Silbiger, S.; Neugarten, J. Estradiol reverses TGF-β1–induced mesangial cell apoptosis by a casein kinase 2-dependent mechanism. *Kidney Int.* 2002, 62, 1989–1998. [CrossRef]

157. Xiao, S.; Gillespie, D.G.; Baylis, C.; Jackson, E.K.; Dubey, R.K. Effects of estradiol and its metabolites on glomerular endothelial nitric oxide synthesis and mesangial cell growth. *Hypertension* 2001, 37, 645–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

158. Pereira-Simon, S.; Rubio, G.A.; Xia, X.; Cai, W.; Choi, R.; Striker, G.E.; Elliot, S.J. Inhibition of Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs) Accumulation by Pyridoxamine Modulates Glomerular and Mesangial Cell Estrogen Receptor α Expression in Aged Female Mice. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0159666. [CrossRef]

159. Nakamura, T.; Miller, D.; RuoL, E.; Border, W.A. Production of extracellular matrix by glomerular epithelial cells is regulated by transforming growth factor-beta 1. *Kidney Int.* 1992, 41, 1213–1221. [CrossRef]

160. Minar, J.H. Renal basement membrane components. *Kidney Int.* 1999, 56, 2016–2024. [CrossRef]

161. Patek, C.E.; Fleming, S.; Miles, C.G.; Bellamy, C.O.; Lodomery, M.; Spraggion, L.; Mullins, J.; Hastie, N.D.; Hooper, M.L. Murine Complicated by Atrial Fibrillation. *Histol. Histopathol.* 2013, 28, 1227–1233. [CrossRef]

162. Lee, H.S.; Song, C.Y. Differential role of mesangial cells and podocytes in TGF-β-induced mesangial matrix synthesis in chronic glomerular disease. *Histol. Histopathol.* 2009, 24, 901–908. [CrossRef]

163. Lee, H.S. Pathogenic role of TGF-β in the progression of podocyte diseases. *Histol. Histopathol.* 2011, 26, 107–116. [CrossRef]

164. Lin, Y.; Nakachi, K.; Ito, Y.; Kikuchi, S.; Tamakoshi, A.; Watanabe, Y.; Inaba, Y.; Tajima, K.; Jacc Study Group. Variations in serum transforming growth factor-beta 1 levels with gender, age and lifestyle factors of healthy Japanese adults. *Dis. Markers* 2009, 27, 23–28. [CrossRef]
161. Ito, I.; Hanyu, A.; Wayama, M.; Goto, N.; Katsuno, Y.; Kawasaki, S.; Nakajima, Y.; Kajiro, M.; Komatsu, Y.; Fujimura, A.; et al. Estrogen inhibits transforming growth factor beta signaling by promoting Smad2/3 degradation. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 14747–14759. [CrossRef]

162. Ždunek, M.; Silbiger, S.; Lei, J.; Neugarten, J. Protein kinase CK2 mediates TGF-β1-stimulated type IV collagen gene transcription and its reversal by estradiol. Kidney Int. 2001, 60, 2097–2108. [CrossRef]

163. Ruggenenti, P.; Cravedi, P.; Remuzzi, G. The RAAS in the pathogenesis and treatment of diabetic nephropathy. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2010, 6, 319. [CrossRef]

164. Yanes, L.L.; Sartori-Valinotti, J.C.; Reckelhoff, J.F. Sex steroids and renal disease: Lessons from animal studies. Hypertension 2008, 51, 976–981. [CrossRef]

165. Bumke-Vogt, C.; Bähr, V.; Diederich, S.; Herrmann, S.M.; Anagnostopoulos, I.; Oelkers, W.; Quinkler, M. Expression of the progesterone receptor and progesterone-metabolising enzymes in the female and male human kidney. J. Endocrinol. 2002, 175, 349–364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

166. Nielsen, C.B.; Flyvbjerg, A.; Bruun, J.M.; Forman, A.; Wogensen, L.; Thomsen, K. Decreases in renal functional reserve and proximal tubular fluid output in conscious oophorectomized rats: Normalization with sex hormone substitution. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2003, 14, 3102–3110. [CrossRef]

167. Sandhji, J.; Singh, J.P.; Kaur, T.; Ghuman, S.S.; Singh, A.P. Involvement of progesterone receptors in ascorbic acid-mediated protection against ischemia-reperfusion-induced acute kidney injury. J. Surg. Res. 2014, 187, 278–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

168. Al-Trad, B.; Ashankhyt, I.M.; Alaraj, M. Progesterone ameliorates diabetic nephropathy in streptozotocin-induced diabetic Rats. Diabetol. Metab. Syndr. 2015, 7, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

169. Kashtan, C. (2017). Alport syndrome: Facts and opinions. F1000Research 2017, 6, 50. [CrossRef]

170. Temme, J.; Kramer, A.; Jager, K.J.; Lange, K.; Peters, F.; Müller, G.-A.; Kramar, R.; Heit, J.G.; Finne, P.; Palsson, R.; et al. Outcomes of male patients with alport syndrome undergoing renal replacement therapy. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2012, 7, 1969–1976. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

171. Savige, J.; Colville, D.; Rheault, M.; Gear, S.; Lennon, R.; Lagas, S. Special feature alport syndrome in women and girls. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2016, 11, 1713–1720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

172. O’Seaghdha, C.M.; Fox, C.S. Genome-wide association studies of chronic kidney disease: What have we learned? Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2011, 8, 89–99. [CrossRef]

173. Regele, F.; Jelencsics, K.; Shiffman, D.; Paré, G.; McQueen, M.J.; Mann, J.F. Genome-wide studies to identify risk factors for kidney disease with a focus on patients with diabetes. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2015, 30 (Suppl. S4), iv26–iv34. [CrossRef]

174. Seaquist, E.R.; Goetz, F.C.; Rich, S.; Barbosa, J. Familial clustering of diabetic kidney disease. Evidence for genetic susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy. N. Engl. J. Med. 1989, 320, 1161–1165. [CrossRef]

175. Quinn, M.; Angelico, M.C.; Warram, J.H.; Krolewski, A.S. Familial factors determine the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with IDDM. Diabetologia 1996, 39, 940–945. [CrossRef]

176. Vijay, V.; Snehalatha, C.; Shina, K.; Lalitha, S.; Ramachandran, A. Familial aggregation of diabetic kidney disease in Type 2 diabetes in south India. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 1999, 43, 167–171. [CrossRef]

177. Pettitt, D.J.; Saad, M.F.; Bennett, P.H.; Nelson, R.G.; Knowler, W.C. Familial predisposition to renal disease in two generations of Pima Indians with type 2 (noninsulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 1990, 33, 438–443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

178. Freedman, B.I.; Spray, B.J.; Tuttle, A.B.; Buckalew, V.M., Jr. The familial risk of endstage renal disease in African Americans. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 1993, 21, 387–393. [CrossRef]

179. Gu, H.F. Genetic and Epigenetic Studies in Diabetic Kidney Disease. Front. Genet. 2019, 10, 507. [CrossRef]

180. Prudente, S.; Di Paola, R.; Copetti, M.; Lucchesi, D.; Lamacchia, O.; Pezzilli, S. The rs12917707 polymorphism at the UMOD locus and glomerular filtration rate in individuals with type 2 diabetes: Evidence of heterogeneity across two different European populations. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2017, 32, 1718–1722. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

181. Mooyaart, A.L.; Valk, E.J.J.; Van Es, L.A.; Bruijn, J.A.; De Heer, E.; Freedman, B.I.; Dekkers, O.M.; Baede, H.J. Genetic associations in diabetic nephropathy: A meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2011, 54, 544–553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

182. Sandholm, N.; Van Zuydam, N.R.; Ahlqvist, E.; Juliansdottir, T.; Deshmukh, H.A.; Rayner, N.W.; Di Camillo, B.; Forsblom, C.; Fadista, J.; Ziemek, D.; et al. The genetic landscape of renal complications in type 1 diabetes. Am. J. Nephrol. 2017, 28, 557–574. [CrossRef]

183. Teumer, A.; Tin, A.; Sorice, R.; Gorski, M.; Yeo, N.C.; Chu, A.Y.; Li, M.; Li, Y.; Mijatovic, V.; Ko, Y.A.; et al. Genome-wide Association Studies Identify Genetic Loci Associated With Albuminuria in Diabetes. Diabetes 2016, 65, 803–817. [CrossRef]

184. van Zuydam, N.R.; Ahlqvist, E.; Sandholm, N.; Deshmukh, H.; Rayner, N.W.; Abdalla, M.; Ladenvall, C.; Ziemek, D.; Fauman, E.; Robertson, N.R.; et al. A Genome-Wide Association Study of Diabetic Kidney Disease in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes 2018, 67, 1414–1427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

185. Salem, R.M.; Todd, J.N.; Sandholm, N.; Cole, J.B.; Chen, W.-M.; Andrews, D.; Pezzolesi, M.G.; McKeigue, P.M.; Hiraki, L.T.; Qiu, C.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study of Diabetic Kidney Disease Highlights Biology Involved in Glomerular Basement Membrane Collagen. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2019, 30, 2000–2016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

186. Morì, R.C.; Santos-Bezerra, D.P.; Pelaes, T.S.; Admoni, S.N.; Perez, R.V.; Monteiro, M.B.; Machado, C.G.; Queiroz, M.S.; Machado, U.F.; Correa-Giannella, M.L. Variants in HSD11B1 gene modulate susceptibility to diabetic kidney disease and to insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev. 2021, 37, e3352. [CrossRef]
187. Kato, M.; Natarajan, R. Diabetic nephropathy—emerging epigenetic mechanisms. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2014, 10, 517–530. [CrossRef]
188. Allis, C.D.; Jenuwein, T. The molecular hallmarks of epigenetic control. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2016, 17, 487–500. [CrossRef]
189. Vujkovic, M.; Keaton, J.M.; Lynch, J.A.; Miller, D.R.; Zhou, J.; Tcheandjiev, C.; Huffman, J.E.; Assimes, T.L.; Lorenz, K.; Zhu, X.; et al. Discovery of 318 new risk loci for type 2 diabetes and related vascular outcomes among 1.4 million participants in a multi-ancestry meta-analysis. Nat. Genet. 2020, 52, 680–691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
190. Freire, M.B.; Ji, L.; Onuma, T.; Orban, T.; Warram, J.H.; Krolevski, A.S. Gender-specific association of M235T polymorphism in angiotensinogen gene and coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus. BMC Nephrol. 2009, 10, 9. [CrossRef]
191. Lin, J.; Hu, F.B.; Qi, L.; Curhan, G.C. Genetic polymorphisms of angiotensin-2 type 1 receptor and angiotensinogen and risk of renal dysfunction and coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus. BMC Nephrol. 2009, 10, 9. [CrossRef]
192. Möllsten, A.; Vionnet, N.; Forsblom, C.; Parkkonen, M.; Tarnow, L.; Hadjadj, S.; Marre, M.; Parving, H.H.; Groop, P.H. A polymorphism in the angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene has different effects on the risk of diabetic nephropathy in men and women. Mol. Genet. Metab. 2011, 103, 66–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
193. Ahluwalia, T.S.; Lindholm, E.; Groop, L.C. Common variants in CNDP1 and CNDP2, and risk of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes. Diabetology 2011, 54, 2295–2302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
194. Alkhalf, A.; Landman, G.W.; van Hateren, K.J.; Groenier, K.H.; Mooyaart, A.L.; De Heer, E.; Gans, R.O.; Navis, G.J.; Bakker, S.J.; Kleefstra, N.; et al. Sex specific association between carnosinase gene CNDP1 and cardiovascular mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes (ZODIAC-23). J Nephrol. 2015, 28, 201–207. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
195. Kurashige, M.; Imamura, M.; Araki, S.; Suzuki, D.; Babazono, T.; Uzu, T.; Umezono, T.; Toyoda, M.; Kawai, K.; Imanishi, M.; et al. The influence of a single nucleotide polymorphism within CNDP1 on susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy in Japanese women with type 2 diabetes. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e54064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
196. Crook, E.D.; Genous, L.; Oliver, B. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype in blacks with diabetic nephropathy: Effects on risk of diabetes and its complications. J. Investig Med. 2003, 51, 360–365. [CrossRef]
197. Sakka, Y.; Babazono, T.; Sato, A.; Ujihara, N.; Iwamoto, Y. ACE gene polymorphism, left ventricular geometry, and mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2004, 64, 41–49. [CrossRef]
198. Tien, K.J.; Hsiao, J.Y.; Hsu, S.C.; Liang, H.T.; Lin, S.R.; Chen, H.C.; Hsieh, M.C. Gender-dependent effect of ACE I/D and AGT polymorphism decreased kidney function in postmenopausal type 2 diabetic women with type 2 diabetes. Clin. Nephrol. 2009, 29, 299–308. [CrossRef]
199. Zambrano-Galván, G.; Reyes-Romero, M.A.; Lazalde, B.; Rodríguez-Morán, M.; Guerrero-Romero, F. Risk of microalbuminuria in relatives of subjects with diabetic nephropathy: A predictive model based on multivariable dimensionality reduction approach. Clin. Nephrol. 2015, 83, 86–92. [CrossRef]
200. Gu, H.F.; Alvarsson, A.; Efendic, S.; Brismar, K. SOX2 has gender-specific genetic effects on diabetic nephropathy in samples from patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus in the GoKinD study. Gend Med. 2009, 6, 555–564. [CrossRef]
201. Monteiro, M.B.; Patente, T.A.; Mohammadi, K.; Queiroz, M.S.; Azevedo, M.J.; Canani, L.H.; Parisi, M.C.; Marre, M.; Velho, G.; Corrêa-Giannela, M.L. Sex-specific associations of variants in regulatory regions of NADPH oxidase-2 (CYBB) and glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) genes with kidney disease in type 1 diabetes. Free Radic. Res. 2013, 47, 804–810. [CrossRef]
202. Młynarski, W.M.; Placha, G.P.; Wolkow, P.P.; Bochenski, J.P.; Warram, J.H.; Krolevski, A.S. Risk of diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes is associated with functional polymorphisms in RANTES receptor gene (CCR5): A sex-specific effect. Diabetes. 2005, 54, 3331–3335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
203. Sandholm, N.; McKnight, A.J.; Salem, R.M.; Brennan, E.P.; Forsblom, C.; Harjutsalo, V.; Mäkinen, V.P.; McKay, G.J.; Sadlier, D.M.; Williams, W.W.; et al. Chromosome 2q31.1 associates with ESRD in women with type 2 diabetes. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2011, 22, 5808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
204. Bartáková, V.; Kuríková, K.; Pácl, L.; Nová, Z.; Dvořáková, V.; Švěrková, M.; Malušíková, D.; Svobodová, I.; Řehořová, J.; Svojnovský, J.; et al. Hyperuricemia contributes to the faster progression of diabetic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. Diabetes Complicat. 2016, 30, 1300–1307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
205. Fox, C.S.; Matsushita, K.; Woodward, M.; Bilo, H.J.; Chalmers, J.; Heerspink, H.J.; Lee, B.J.; Perkins, R.M.; Rossing, P.; Sairenchi, T.; et al. Associations of kidney disease measures with mortality and end-stage renal disease in individuals with and without diabetes: A meta-analysis. Lancet 2012, 380, 1662–1673. [CrossRef]
210. Huxley, R.; Barzi, F. Woodward. Excess risk of fatal coronary heart disease associated with diabetes in men and women: Meta-analysis of 37 prospective cohort studies. *BMJ* 2006, Russo GT, Baggio G, Rossi MC, Kautzky-Willer, A. Type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk in women. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2015, 2015, 832484. [CrossRef]

211. Huebschmann, A.G.; Huxley, R.R.; Kohrt, W.M.; Zeitler, P.; Regensteiner, J.G.; Reusch, J.E.B. Sex differences in the burden of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk across the life course. *Diabetologia* 2019, 62, 1761–1772. [CrossRef]

212. Ballotari, P.; Ranieri, S.C.; Luberto, F.; Caroli, S.; Greci, M.; Rossi, P.G.; Manicardi, V. Sex differences in cardiovascular mortality in diabetics and nondiabetic subjects: A population-based study (Italy). *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2015, 2015, 914057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

213. Rospective Studies Collaboration and Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration. Sex-specific relevance of diabetes to occlusive vascular and other mortality: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual data from 980 793 adults from 68 prospective studies. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2018, 6, 538–546. [CrossRef]

214. Millett, E.R.C.; Peters, S.A.E.; Woodward, M. Sex differences in risk factors for myocardial infarction: Cohort study of UK Biobank participants. *BMJ* 2018, 363, k4247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

215. Wright, A.K.; Kontopantelis, E.; Emsley, R.; Buchan, I.; Mamas, M.A.; Sattar, N.; Ashcroft, D.; Rutter, M.K. Cardiovascular risk and risk factor management in type 2 diabetes:a population-based cohort study assessing sex disparities. *Circulation* 2019, 139, 2742–2753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

216. Avogaro, A.; Giorda, C.; Maggini, M.; Mannucci, E.; Rascelli, R.; Lombardo, F.; Spila-Alegiani, S.; Turco, S.; Velussi, M.; Ferrannini, E. Incidence of coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetic men and women: Impact of microvascular complications, treatment, and geographic location. *Diabetes Care* 2007, 30, 1241–1247. [CrossRef]

217. Hanai, K.; Babazono, T.; Yoshida, N.; Nymura, I.; Toya, K.; Hayashi, T.; Bouchi, R.; Tanaka, N.; Ishii, A.; Ishii, A.; Iwamoto, Y. Gender differences in the association between HDL cholesterol and the progression of diabetic kidney disease in type 2 diabetic patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2012, 27, 1070–1075. [CrossRef]

218. Wan, H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Fang, S.; Zhang, W.; Xia, F.; Wang, N.; Lu, Y. Different associations between serum urate and diabetic complications in men and postmenopausal women. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2020, 160, 108005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

219. Piscitelli, P.; Giandalia, A.; Viazzi, F.; Pontremoli, R.; Fioretto, P.; De Cosmo, S. Atherogenic dyslipidemia and diabetic nephropathy. *J. Nephrol.* 2020, 33, 1001–1008. [CrossRef]

220. Russo, G.T.; De Cosmo, S.; Viazzi, F.; Pacilli, A.; Ceriello, A.; Genovese, S.; Guida, P.; Giorda, C.; Cucinotta, D.; Pontremoli, R.; et al. Plasma Triglycerides and HDL-C Levels Predict the Development of Diabetic Kidney Disease in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes: The AMD Annals Initiative. *Diabetes Care* 2016, 39, 2278–2287. [CrossRef]

221. Hanai, K.; Babazono, T.; Yoshida, N.; Nyumura, I.; Toya, K.; Hayashi, T.; Bouchi, R.; Tanaka, N.; Ishii, A.; Iwamoto, Y. Gender differences in the association between HDL cholesterol and the progression of diabetic kidney disease in type 2 diabetic patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2012, 27, 1070–1075. [CrossRef]

222. Wan, H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Fang, S.; Zhang, W.; Xia, F.; Wang, N.; Lu, Y. Different associations between serum urate and diabetic complications in men and postmenopausal women. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2020, 160, 108005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

223. Pisano, A.; Cernaro, V.; Gembillo, G.; D’Arrigo, G.; Buemi, M.; Bolignano, D. Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitors for Improving Renal Function in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 2283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

224. De Cosmo, S.; Viazzi, F.; Pacilli, A.; Giorda, C.; Ceriello, A.; Gentile, S.; Russo, G.; Rossi, M.C.; Nicolucci, A.; Guida, P.; et al. (2015). Serum Uric Acid and Risk of CKD in Type 2 Diabetes. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. CJASN* 2015, 10, 1921–1929. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

225. Guo, M.; Niu, J.Y.; Li, S.R.; Ye, X.W.; Fang, H.; Zhao, Y.P.; Gu, Y. Gender differences in the association between hyperuricemia and diabetic kidney disease in community elderly patients. *J. Diabetes Complicat.* 2015, 29, 1042–1049. [CrossRef]

226. Chung, W.K.; Erion, K.; Florez, J.C.; Hattersley, A.T.; Reusch, J.E.B.; Ferrannini, E. Incidence of coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetic men and women: Impact of microvascular complications, treatment, and geographic location. *Diabetes Care* 2007, 30, 1241–1247. [CrossRef]

227. Pasternak, B.; Wintzell, V.; Melbye, M.; Eliasson, B.; Svensson, A.M.; Fränzén, S.; Gudbjörnsdottir, S.; Hveem, K.; Jonasson, C.; Pasternak, B. Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors and risk of serious adverse events: Nationwide register based cohort study. *BMJ* 2018, 363, k4365. [CrossRef]

228. Pasternak, B.; Wintzell, V.; Melbye, M.; Eliasson, B.; Svensson, A.M.; Fränzén, S.; Gudbjörnsdottir, S.; Hveem, K.; Jonasson, C.; Svanström, H.; et al. Use of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors and risk of serious renal events: Scandinavian cohort study. *BMJ* 2020, 369, m1186. [CrossRef]

229. Zinnman, B.; Wanner, C.; Lachin, J.M.; Fitchett, D.; Bluemki, E.; Hantel, S.; Mattheus, M.; Devins, T.; Johansen, O.E.; Woerle, H.J.; et al. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2015, 373, 2117–2128. [CrossRef]
232. Perkovic, V.; Jardine, M.J.; Neal, B.; Bompoint, S.; Heerspink, H.J.L.; Charytan, D.M.; Edwards, R.; Agarwal, R.; Bakris, G.; Bull, S.; et al. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019, 380, 2295–2306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

233. Heerspink, H.J.L.; Stefánsson, B.V.; Correa-Rotter, R.; Chertow, G.M.; Greene, T.; Hou, F.F.; Mann, J.F.E.; McMurray, J.J.V.; Lindberg, M.; Rossing, P.; et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 383, 1436–1446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

234. Carrero, J.J.; de Mutsert, R.; Axelsson, J.; Dekkers, O.M.; Jager, K.J.; Boeschoten, E.W.; Krediet, R.T.; Dekker, F.W.; NECOSAD Study Group. Sex differences in the impact of diabetes on mortality in chronic dialysis patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2011, 26, 270–276. [CrossRef] [PubMed]