European Ancestry Predominates in Neuromyelitis Optica and Multiple Sclerosis Patients from Brazil
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Abstract

**Background:** Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) is considered relatively more common in non-Whites, whereas multiple sclerosis (MS) presents a high prevalence rate, particularly in Whites from Western countries populations. However, no study has used ancestry informative markers (AIMs) to estimate the genetic ancestry contribution to NMO patients.

**Methods:** Twelve AIMs were selected based on the large allele frequency differences among European, African, and Amerindian populations, in order to investigate the genetic contribution of each ancestral group in 236 patients with MS and NMO, diagnosed using the McDonald and Wingerchuck criteria, respectively. All 128 MS patients were recruited at the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto (MS-RP), Southeastern Brazil, as well as 108 healthy bone marrow donors considered as healthy controls. A total of 108 NMO patients were recruited from five Neurology centers from different Brazilian regions, including Ribeirão Preto (NMO-RP).

**Principal Findings:** European ancestry contribution was higher in MS-RP than in NMO-RP (78.5% vs. 68.7%) patients. In contrast, African ancestry estimates were higher in NMO-RP than in MS-RP (20.5% vs. 12.5%) patients. Moreover, principal component analyses showed that groups of NMO patients from different Brazilian regions were clustered close to the European ancestral population.

**Conclusions:** Our findings demonstrate that European genetic contribution predominates in NMO and MS patients from Brazil.
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Introduction

Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) and multiple sclerosis (MS) have been reported in all continents in various distinct populations [1–4]. NMO has been referred to as a rare disease which is more frequently observed among non-White individuals. In contrast, MS presents a high prevalence rate, particularly in Whites from Western countries populations, exhibiting a latitudinal gradient...
variation and being more frequent in Northern areas and less frequent towards Equatorial areas [3,5–7].

Ancestry informative markers (AIMs) have been used as a robust tool to adjust for population admixture, controlling population stratification and avoiding spurious associations in case-control studies [8,9]. Until now, no study has used AIMs to estimate the genetic contribution of each ancestral population to NMO. In this context, due to its genetically diverse background after five centuries of intense interethnic crossing of individuals of European, African, and Amerindian ancestry, the Brazilian population has been suitable for this proposal. Here we investigated the European, African, and Amerindian genetic ancestry contribution in NMO and MS Brazilian patients.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by Ethics Research Committee at Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Brazil, and each subject provided written informed consent.

Subjects

A total of 128 MS and 108 NMO patients, diagnosed using the McDonald and Wingerchuck criteria, respectively [4,10]; were included in the study. All MS patients were recruited at the University Hospital of the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Brazil, as well as 108 healthy bone marrow donors. NMO patients were recruited from five Neurology centers from different Brazilian regions: 87 from the Southeastern region [58 from Ribeirão Preto (NMO-RP), 12 from the city of São Paulo (NMO-SP), and 17 from the city of Belo Horizonte (NMO-BH)]; seven patients from the Central region (Goiânia, NMO-GO ); and 14 from the Northeastern region (Recife, Pernambuco, NMO-PE). Patients exhibiting Asian ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied. There were no Asian descendants in the MS cohort, and the only four Japanese ancestry were excluded in the cohort studied.

Ancestral Population Genotypes

Genotype data from African (n = 128) and European (n = 88) populations were kindly provided by Dr. Mark D. Shriver. Brazilian Amerindian genotype data, primarily encompassing representative individuals from Tikuna tribe (n = 48), were retrieved from a previous study which described the genotypes of 309 individuals from four Amazon tribes [11].

Ancestry Informative Markers (AIMs) Selection and Genotyping

Twelve AIMs were selected based on the large allele frequency differences among European, African, and Amerindian populations (Table 1): FY-NULL*1, RB1*1, LPL*1, AT3*1, and APOA1*1 single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified using PCR-amplified DNA digested with TaqI and BclI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). MID-52*1, and MID-575*1 single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified using PCR-amplified DNA, followed by direct detection in polyacrylamide gels after silver nitrate staining. Primers were designed using the Primer3 web interface (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/).

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and laboratory findings of neuromyelitis optica (NMO) and multiple sclerosis (MS) Brazilian patients.

|                | NMO         | MS          | p-value |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Gender ratio   | 5.4         | 2.2         | 0.002   |
| Age of onset, years (mean ± SD) | 35.00 (± 15.25) | 30.46 (± 10.52) | 0.01    |
| Duration of disease, years (mean ± SD) | 11.05 (± 7.4) | 14.82 (± 7.2) | 0.001   |
| Laboratory    | NMO-IgG (n = 96) | Reagent 61 (63.54%) | –       |

Statistical Analysis

Allele frequency estimates, deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations and the exact test of population differentiation based on allele or genotype frequencies were performed using GENEPOP software (http://genepop.curtin.edu.au). Significant allele frequency differences were considered when values were greater than 0.30. Principal component analysis (PCA) plot was generated based on allele frequencies using MVSP 3.1 software (http://www.kovcomp.co.uk/mvsp/index.html). Ancestry estimates were evaluated based on the gene identity method that takes into account allele frequencies in admixed population in comparison with those observed in ancestral populations, using ADMIX95 program (http://www.genetica.fmed.edu.uy/software.htm).

Multilocus genotypes were used to infer the proportion of the ancestral population contribution to each individual by applying the clustering algorithm implemented at Structure 2.3.3 software (http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html), and ancestry proportions were represented using triangle plots. The admixture model, correlated allele frequencies, and the following parameters were considered: i) 30,000 burn-in interactions followed by 100,000 additional Markov Chain Monte Carlo interactions, ii) a predefined K = 3 setting for the number of populations. According to the obtained results, African ancestry indexes (AAI) were estimated for each individual. AAI was expressed as the logarithm of the ratio between the likelihood of a given multilocus genotype occurring in the African population and the likelihood of the multilocus genotype occurrence in the European plus
Table 2. AIMs frequencies observed in MS and NMO patients and healthy controls from Ribeirão Preto (RP), and in Africans (AFR), Europeans (EUR) and Amerindians (AMZ).

| AIMs | Type/ Allele<sup>a</sup> | Genetic position<sup>b</sup> | MS-RP (n = 128) | NMO-RP (n = 58) | Controls-RP (n = 108) | AFR (n = 128) | EUR (n = 88) | AMZ (n = 48) | AFR/ EUR | AFR/ AMZ | EUR/ AMZ |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| FY-NUL*1<sup>+</sup> (rs2814778) | SNP/G | 1q23.2 | 0.863 | 0.845 | 0.850 | 0.000 | 0.993 | 1.000 | 0.993 | 1.000 | 0.007 |
| RB1*1 (rs2252544) | SNP/G | 13q14.2 | 0.355 | 0.457 | 0.397 | 0.920 | 0.309 | 0.167 | 0.611 | 0.753 | 0.142 |
| LPL*1 (rs285) | SNP/T | 8p21.3 | 0.569 | 0.560 | 0.584 | 0.980 | 0.529 | 0.478 | 0.451 | 0.502 | 0.051 |
| AT3*1 (rs3138521) | Indel/Ins | 1q25.1 | 0.310 | 0.483 | 0.421 | 0.860 | 0.279 | 0.021 | 0.581 | 0.839 | 0.258 |
| APOA1*1 (rs3138522) | Alu/Ins | 1q23.3 | 0.883 | 0.888 | 0.874 | 0.453 | 0.919 | 0.990 | 0.466 | 0.537 | 0.071 |
| PV92*1 (rs3138523) | Alu/Ins | 1q24.1 | 0.358 | 0.310 | 0.336 | 0.187 | 0.110 | 0.935 | 0.077 | 0.748 | 0.825 |
| CWM*1 (rs4884) | SNP/T | 1q13.32 | 0.294 | 0.371 | 0.341 | 0.160 | 0.287 | 0.814 | 0.127 | 0.654 | 0.527 |
| ORD2-8C8*1 (rs1079598) | SNP/C | 1q23.1 | 0.165 | 0.121 | 0.131 | 0.087 | 0.132 | 0.479 | 0.045 | 0.392 | 0.347 |
| MID-52*1 (rs16344) | Indel/Del | 4q24 | 0.177 | 0.241 | 0.182 | 0.200 | 0.074 | 0.755 | 0.126 | 0.555 | 0.681 |
| MID-575*1 (rs140864) | Indel/Ins | 1p34.3 | 0.081 | 0.078 | 0.075 | 0.873 | 0.993 | 0.564 | 0.120 | 0.309 | 0.429 |
| MID-93*1 (rs16383) | Indel/Del | 22q13.2 | 0.694 | 0.716 | 0.561 | 0.300 | 0.816 | 0.188 | 0.516 | 0.112 | 0.628 |
| SB19.3*1 (rs3138524) | Alu/Ins | 1q13.11 | 0.794 | 0.698 | 0.804 | 0.507 | 0.904 | 0.708 | 0.397 | 0.201 | 0.196 |

Ancestry estimates

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|allele frequency (%) | European | 0.785 ± 0.002 | 0.687 ± 0.001 | 0.704 ± 0.012 | | | | | | | |
| | African | 0.125 ± 0.001 | 0.205 ± 0.001 | 0.179 ± 0.007 | | | | | | | |
| | Amerindian | 0.090 ± 0.001 | 0.108 ± 0.001 | 0.117 ± 0.009 | | | | | | | |
| | R² | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9992 | | | | | | | |

Significant differences (δ > 0.30) between ancestral populations are underlined in the last columns. European, African and Amerindian ancestry contributions and respective R² values are shown at the bottom of the Table.

<sup>a</sup>Ancestry informative marker *1 alleles with their reference sequence number from database of National Center for Biotechnological Information (dbSNP/NCBI).

<sup>b</sup>Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), insertion/deletion (Indel), and Alu insertion (Alu) polymorphism / allele that characterizes the *1 allele.

The exact test of population differentiation did not reveal differences in allele and genotype frequencies between MS and NMO patients. Similarly, no significant differences were observed when patients were compared to controls. The PCA plot, which unveils similarities and dissimilarities among populations, showed that the cumulative frequency of the variance explained by the first three components was 94.5, which means that 94.5% of the total variance represented by alleles of the 12 AIMs was explained by the present principal component analysis. According to this PCA analysis, all the studied populations clustered together next to the ancestral European population, and were different from African and Amerindian ancestral populations, indicating a closely homogeneous ancestry when evaluated by this set of AIMs (see Figure 1B).

Genetic ancestry estimates in patients and controls showed that European contribution was preponderant in all groups, representing 68.7% in NMO and 78.5% in MS patients, whereas African ancestry estimates reached 20.5% for NMO and 12.5% for MS patients (see Table 2). These estimates were highly reliable as evaluated by the large R² values. These results are in agreement with the principal component analysis shown in Figure 1B, and support the idea that the Brazilian groups studied are highly homogeneous regarding the European ancestry when assessed by this set of 12 AIMs.

Considering both ancestral and admixed populations, African ancestry indexes (AAMs) observed for the ancestral African and Amerindian populations differed significantly from all other groups (p < 0.05 for each comparison). In addition, AAM values observed for the ancestral European population were different.
from the MS-RP, NMO-RP, NMO-BH, NMO-PE and CTL-RP ($p < 0.05$ for each comparison), but closely similar to those observed for NMO-GO and NMO-SP ($p > 0.05$ for each comparison). In contrast, AAIs observed for MS-RP, NMO groups and for CTL-RP was closely similar among them ($p > 0.05$) (see Figure 2).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study using AIMs to investigate the European, Amerindian, and African genetic ancestry contribution in NMO. The statement that NMO and MS are predominantly associated with either one genetic ancestry or the other is based mainly on our visual perception of phenotype traits from patients and not from the ancestry background. In the present study, we have shown that the contributions of these ancestral groups only present minor differences between NMO and MS patients, and that European contribution predominates in patients of both diseases. Furthermore, the PCA plot showed that NMO groups from different Brazilian regions were clustered close to the European population. In addition, the AAI values for individuals of the NMO groups and of MS-RP did not differ, i.e., their African ancestry was similar. This finding raises questions regarding NMO ancestry, stating that ...neuromyelitis optica is relatively common in non-Whites and populations with a minor European contribution to their genetic composition such as Afro-Brazilian [12]. Noteworthy, it is important to emphasize that skin color may not be a reliable marker for genome ancestry, since a previous Brazilian study evaluating 10 AIMs showed that skin color, as determined by physical examination, is a poor predictor of genomic ancestry [13]. In addition, the further evaluation of 40 AIMs in Brazilian subjects from different regions showed that European ancestry was predominant [14].

Despite the small number of AIMs and of small numbers the individuals analyzed, this study discriminated the ancestral groups contributions and indicated that even small number of markers may be sufficient when appropriately selected to answer a specific question. Taken together, these findings support the evidence that phenotypic traits do not reliably reflect the genomic ancestry of NMO and MS individuals

In conclusion, this is the first study demonstrating that the European gene pool predominates in NMO patients. New insights from the contribution of the ancestral populations in NMO and MS patients may support a better understanding of the differential ancestry prevalence in disease and may help advance the use of genomic medicine.
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