Identification of likely foraging habitat of pelagic loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) in the North Atlantic through analysis of telemetry track sinuosity
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ABSTRACT

Changes in the behavior of individual animals in response to environmental characteristics can provide important information about habitat preference, as well as the relative risk that animals may face based on the amount of time spent in hazardous areas. We analyzed movement and habitat affinities of ten loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) tagged with satellite transmitters in the spring and fall of 1998 near Madeira, Portugal for periods of 2–10 months. We analyzed the behavior of these individuals in relation to the marine environment they occupied. As a measure of behavior we calculated the straightness index (SI), the ratio of the displacement of the animal to the total distance traveled, for individual weekly segments of the 10 tracks. We then extracted information about chlorophyll a concentration, sea-surface temperature (SST), bathymetry, and geostrophic current of the ocean in a 20-km buffer surrounding the tracks, and examined their relationship to the straightness index using generalized linear models. Chlorophyll a value, bathymetry and SST were significantly related to the straightness index of the tracks of all ten animals, as was the circular standard deviation of the geostrophic current (Wald's test: p = 0.001, p = 0.008, p = 0.025, and p = 0.049, respectively). We found a significant negative relationship between straightness index and chlorophyll, and positive relationships with ocean depth and SST indicating that animals are spending more time and searching more thoroughly in areas with high chlorophyll concentrations and in areas that are shallower, while moving in straight paths through very warm areas. We also found a positive relationship between straightness index and the circular standard deviation of surrounding geostrophic currents suggesting that these turtles are more likely to move in a straight line when in the presence of diffuse, less-powerful currents. Based on these relationships, we propose that conservation planning to reduce overlap of turtles with fishing operations should take into account the locations of bathymetric features such as seamounts and upwelling locations where chlorophyll concentrations are high. This analysis is an effective way to characterize areas of high-use habitat for satellite-tagged marine vertebrates, and allows for comparisons of these characteristics between species and among individuals.

1. Introduction

Because of the transitory nature of ocean habitat for marine turtles and other wide-ranging pelagic vertebrates, prioritizing conservation areas for these animals is extremely difficult. Defining the oceanographic characteristics of high-use regions is a step towards the ultimate goal of effective conservation planning in the pelagic ocean. The combination of high-resolution satellite-tracking data with remotely sensed oceanographic data on the same temporal and spatial scales makes it possible to identify preferred habitat for highly migratory pelagic vertebrates, in this case loggerhead turtles, by analyzing the movement patterns of individual animals in conjunction with their immediate oceanic environment (Baumgartner and Mate, 2005; Seminoff et al., 2008). This quantitative approach enables comparison of habitat use among individuals of a single species and between different species, unlike more qualitative methods of habitat assessment. When we more fully understand the relationship between individual behavior and oceanography, we can only then begin to understand the potential impacts of changing ocean circulation on foraging and migratory behavior of whole populations on a global scale (Barbraud and Weimerskirch, 2001; Wanless et al., 2007).
Studies of the marine habitat associations of large pelagic vertebrates show that animals aggregate at shelf breaks, upwelling regions, and oceanic fronts, presumably due to increased forage availability in these highly dynamic areas (Hyrenbach et al., 2000; Yen et al., 2004; Eckert, 2006; Hawkes et al., 2006; Polovina et al., 2006). However, only a few studies directly link the observed behavior of tracked animals to oceanographic characteristics in a quantitative manner (Pinnaud and Weimerskirch, 2007; Freitas et al., 2008). Studies of marine turtles in particular have generally classified regions of the ocean as high-use habitat based on qualitative classification of residence time of tracked animals, and in some cases diving behavior, or aggregated tracks from large numbers of animals (Polovina et al., 2004; James et al., 2005, 2006; Eckert, 2006; Hawkes et al., 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2008). In this study, we seek to fill a gap in the understanding of loggerhead turtle ecology by linking the behavior, in particular the track sinuosity, of animals to their immediate marine environment. Through this detailed analysis of the tracks of ten different individuals tagged during different seasons we elucidate the differences between the oceanographic characteristics of areas that animals simply passed through and those in which they exhibited typical foraging behavior.

Behavioral ecology methods from the terrestrial world, when coupled with oceanographic remote sensing data, are useful for understanding how marine turtle movement patterns relate to the characteristics of the ocean through which they travel. We know that in both marine and terrestrial systems, an increase in turn angle and increasing “sinuosity” of tracks often accompany foraging (Bovet and Benhamou, 1988; Hill et al., 2000; Maerell et al., 2002; Newlands et al., 2004; Gutenkunst et al., 2007). The ratio of an individual’s displacement to the total distance traveled, called the straightness index, indicates the relative sinuosity of an animal’s path (Hull et al., 1997; Benhamou, 2004) which is an effective measure of local search efforts. The straightness index of individual segments of a turtle’s track on a daily or weekly basis is an indicator of how carefully an individual has searched a given area. This measure of search effort can then be related to the surrounding marine habitat to improve our understanding of what constitutes a foraging area, or an area of intensified searching, for a given animal or group of animals.

In this study, we investigated how the behavior of individual loggerhead turtles, as measured by weekly straightness index, is related to marine habitat in the eastern North Atlantic. We examined the relationship between straightness index as a measure of the behavior of these individuals relative to various habitat parameters, namely bathymetry, geostrophic currents, chlorophyll a concentration, and sea-surface temperature. This analysis differs from other studies of pelagic marine turtles in that it compares a suite of marine habitat variables to a quantitative measure of animal behavior, and it differentiates between presence of the animal in the water and intensified search of an area by an individual. By breaking tracks down into weekly segments, and relating the sinuosity of those segments to the oceanographic characteristics of the water mass that the animal was occupying at that time, we can tell how the changing environment is linked with variability in the animal’s behavior.

2. Methods

2.1. Satellite tag deployment

ARGOS satellite tags (model SDR-T10 from Wildlife Computers, weight 360 g on air) were attached to ten juvenile loggerhead sea turtles in late May (n = 5) and early September (n = 5) of 1998 (see Table 1). These two groups of turtles were hand captured from a boat off the south coast of the island of Madeira. Turtles were brought to land, tagged and then later released from boats on average 7.6 ± 2.7 days after capture. Tags were attached as backpacks during captivity following Balazs et al. (1996), and data were recorded for the entire life of the tag, or of the tagged animal (Dellinger and Freitas, 1999).

2.2. Kalman filter application to location data

Because of location errors inherent to Doppler telemetry, it is necessary to filter ARGOS location data (ARGOS, 1996; Hays et al., 2001; Vincent et al., 2002; Jonsen et al., 2005) and regularize them in time. This was achieved via Kalman filtering and smoothing (Royer and Lutcavage, 2008), assuming a random walk for the turtle’s movement model (Sibert et al., 2003). To limit the influence of outliers, a robust estimator was designed using Expectation–Maximization (Roweis and Ghahramani, 1999). The EM involves the successive applications of the Kalman filter and smoother equations (Expectations step) followed by an estimation of each point’s probability of being an outlier. Hence, probable outliers are given a lower weight, and the iterations are continued until the solution track has converged. We used a value of 0.01 for the outliers (P), a value of 100 km² per day for the diffusion parameter (D), and a value of 12 h for the regularization time step, as the latter was similar to the actual period between successive independent ARGOS locations. All calculations were conducted using Matlab code; available upon request (Royer, 2008). More details about filtering and smoothing ARGOS locations can be found in Royer and Lutcavage (2008).

2.3. Track straightness index

We calculated the straightness index (SI: Batschelet, 1981; Benhamou, 2004) of each weekly segment of turtle track by dividing the straight-line distance, or the displacement of the animal, by the total distance traveled by the animal during that week. We chose to use weekly segments of track because we used weekly averages of oceanographic data. For a comparison to be made between the animal trajectory and the environmental conditions, the time scales of the two types of data need to be the same.

The straightness index of a segment of track is assumed to be representative of the search effort of the individual animal during that week (Fig. 1). If the animal moves from point A to point B via the solid path, then the straightness index is the ratio of the dashed line to the solid black line; thus, the value for SI ranges from near zero, if the animal moved an infinite distance between start and end-points, to a value of 1, if the animal moved in a perfectly straight line (Fig. 1). For the purpose of this study, point A and point B represent the start and end locations of a full week of track data.

To assure that all weekly straightness index values represented the displacement of the animal over a period of seven days, we did not include track segments with three or fewer original ARGOS locations in our analysis. Missing satellite location values led to a straight line interpolation between points by the Kalman filter, so there were several segments in tracks that did not represent actual behavior. The removal of weeks with three or fewer original locations reduced the sample size of weekly track segments that were included in the analysis from 345 total weekly segments to 305; remaining weekly segments contained 21.5 ± 13.3 (SD) location estimates.

2.4. Satellite oceanography data

We used Advanced Very-High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) Pathfinder Version 5.0 (4-km resolution, 8-day mean) sea-surface temperature data from the National Ocean Data Center (NODC).
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Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of straightness index (SI). Point A represents the start of the track for a given week. Point B represents the end of the track for that week. The straight line is the total distance traveled while the sinuous line represents the animal’s path.

We included pixels with quality flags of levels 3–7 in the analysis. We used chlorophyll a data from the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) Sea-viewing Wide-field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) level 3 ftp site, at 9 km, 8-day resolution. We used the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) 5° gridded bathymetry data, available from the British Oceanographic Data Centre (http://www.bodc.ac.uk).

We calculated the geostrophic currents using data from the AVISO live access server (www.las.aviso.oceanobs.com/las/serv-lets/dataset). We used the 7-day, weighted mean AVISO data with 1/3-degree resolution. Because geostrophic current data are not available online in readily accessible format for 1998–1999, we took several steps to obtain the u and v components of geostrophic current velocity vectors. The first step was to calculate the mean dynamic topography (MDT) to be used as a basis for further calculations. We did this by subtracting the sea-level anomalies (SLA) for a given day from the absolute dynamic topography (MADT) available for the same day; this produced the mean dynamic topography (MDT). We performed this procedure using data from after 2001, when MADT data were available on the LAS. The next step to calculate geostrophic currents for 1998–1999 was to add the SLA for specific dates of interest to the MDT calculated above, to get the MDT for the appropriate dates. Finally, we applied the geostrophic equation to the MDT to get the u and v velocities (m/s) of the geostrophic current at 1/3-degree resolution (Bearman, 2001).

2.5. Oceanographic data extraction

To obtain oceanographic data for analysis, we created elliptical buffers around the section of track of interest (Fig. 2). Buffers were 20 km from either side of the track; a total of 40 km wide. We chose a buffer distance of twenty kilometers to ensure that we were able to extract at least one pixel of the gridded AVISO data, and several pixels of both SST and chlorophyll a data per track segment. We used this procedure to extract all oceanographic data for the weekly track segments and summarized data from within the buffers by calculating both mean and variance of the pixels included in the buffer, excluding pixels removed due to cloud masks or other errors.

The analysis of the weekly geostrophic current data was three-fold. First, we calculated the mean angle of travel for the individual animal for the week of interest, and the circular standard deviation of that mean angle using circular statistics (Batschelet, 1981). The circular standard deviation (s) is analogous to the standard deviation of linear data. Then, we calculated the mean angular direction and circular standard deviation of the current using the same statistical method. Finally, we compared these two mean directions to determine whether or not animals were moving in the same direction as geostrophic currents.

In order to find the mean travel direction for a week of tracking locations, we calculated the bearing (α) between each consecutive location within the track segment of interest. We then calculated the mean angle of movement among those bearings, using the following equations described in Batschelet (1981) and White and Garrott (1990):

\[
X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos \alpha_i, \tag{1}
\]

\[
Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin \alpha_i, \tag{2}
\]

\[
r = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) is the bearing of the \( i \)th track segment of that weekly track, \( n \) the number of segments for that week, \( Y \) is the mean relative distance traveled in the Y-direction, \( X \) is the mean relative distance traveled in the X-direction, and \( r \) is a measure of the concentration of angles. The circular standard deviation, \( s \), is calculated using:

\[
s = \frac{180}{\pi} \sqrt{-2 \ln r}. \tag{4}
\]

We repeated this procedure on the current vectors included in the buffer around each weekly track segment (Fig. 2). We calculated angular direction and magnitude of individual vectors from the \( u \) and \( v \) components of the current vectors, converted that angle from mathematic convention to oceanographic convention (Smith et al., 1999), and applied Eqs. (1)–(4) to calculate the angular direction of the current vectors (\( \alpha \)), the angular concentration (\( r \)), and the circular standard deviation (\( s \)). After calculating these quantities for both current direction and animal direction, we calculated Rayleigh’s Z-statistic: \( z = nr^2 \), to determine whether or not the distribution of the angles differed significantly from a uniform circular distribution (White and Garrott, 1990). If the Z-statistic

---

**Table 1**

Summary of distances traveled, time tracked, and raw ARGOS location estimates for ten loggerhead turtles released off Madeira Island in the spring and fall of 1998. Individual animals are listed in order of number of ARGOS estimates.

| Turtle ID | Turtle name | Release date | Total days tracked | Total distance traveled (km) | Fall or spring release | ARGOS location estimates |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2         | Lidia       | 4/1/1998     | 274                | 4539                         | S                      | 1329                     |
| 1         | Delia       | 5/18/1998    | 278                | 7394                         | S                      | 1174                     |
| 6         | Isabel      | 9/10/1998    | 312                | 5276                         | F                      | 1069                     |
| 8         | Samina      | 9/10/1998    | 275                | 4267                         | F                      | 965                      |
| 7         | Maria       | 5/27/1998    | 349                | 5263                         | S                      | 920                      |
| 5         | Helena      | 9/10/1998    | 337                | 6133                         | F                      | 879                      |
| 3         | Carla       | 5/27/1998    | 160                | 3371                         | S                      | 621                      |
| 4         | Magda       | 5/18/1998    | 109                | 2434                         | S                      | 583                      |
| 9         | Sofia       | 9/10/1998    | 123                | 1963                         | F                      | 521                      |
| 10        | Tamia       | 9/10/1998    | 58                 | 919                          | F                      | 139                      |
was significant and we could reject the null hypothesis that movement direction was random, we proceeded with testing the modified Rayleigh’s Z-test for uniformity vs. a specified mean angle (White and Garrott, 1990; Zar, 1999). We applied this test to determine whether the animal in question was moving with the prevailing geostrophic current for the week in question.

2.6. Regression model

We fit a generalized linear model with a binomial distribution and a logit link to the entire set of weekly track data to investigate trends within the dataset. The response variable for this model was the straightness index of the individual segments, straight-track segments were those with a straightness index (SI) > 0.7, sinuous tracks were those with a SI < 0.7. This division was based on a frequency histogram of these data, which had a natural break at 0.7 (Fig. 3). Potential predictor variables included a coding variable for individual turtle and time of year (spring or fall release), as well as log-transformed chlorophyll a, bathymetry, sea-surface temperature (SST), and two current-related variables. The first current-related variable was the $s$-value, or the circular standard deviation for the current vectors of that given week, and the second was a factor variable that indicated whether or not the animal had been moving with the geostrophic current ($U$). We performed model-selection between full and reduced models using the Akaike Information Criterion, or AIC (Akaike, 1974).

3. Results

3.1. Loggerhead turtle movements

The ten tracked animals were released from Madeira in April, May and September of 1998. Half of the animals moved to the central or northwest Atlantic, and the other half moved southeast towards the coastal area off North Africa (Fig. 4). The animals were tracked for 58–349 days and traveled 919–7394 km during that time (Table 1). Four of the five fall-release animals moved southeast of the release point, and four of the five spring animals moved northwest of the release point.

Visual examination of the weekly track segments and the corresponding oceanographic variables, specifically chlorophyll a, shows a pattern of behavior indicating foraging in frontal zones. Animals exhibit the typical foraging behavior (as revealed by more sinuous tracks) when they enter areas with higher chlorophyll a, especially when bordered by low chlorophyll a areas; the same pattern is true for areas that are shallower and colder. In areas where the track of an individual is defined as sinuous, the track can be superimposed on remotely sensed chlorophyll a data from the corresponding week, and a clear pattern of increased sinuosity within the higher chlorophyll area can be seen (Fig. 5). All ten tracked individuals showed similar patterns in terms of foraging within and along edges of high chlorophyll a areas, albeit during different times of the year.

3.2. Model selection

The full logistic regression model with the binomial indicator of straightness index as a response variable included: a variable coding for the spring or fall release of the animal (spring/fall), a variable coding for the individual animal (turtle), log-transformed chlorophyll a concentration, bathymetry, sea-surface temperature, and circular standard deviation ($s$). We also examined a reduced model (Reduced model 1) where the spring/fall variable was eliminated, and a final model, which included log-transformed chlorophyll a, bathymetry, sea-surface temperature, and $s$ as predictor variables (Table 2). When we examined a further
reduced model (Reduced model 2) where we eliminated circular standard deviation, the AIC value was less than two points lower than that of the final model (Table 2). Because the circular standard deviation (s) was significant in the final model, we chose to include it even though the most reduced model had a slightly lower AIC.

Fig. 3. Histogram of straightness indices calculated for all track segments. Break point for straight vs. sinuous track segments is indicated with arrow.

Fig. 4. Tracks of all ten tagged loggerhead turtles in the North Atlantic. Spring-release turtles (April and May 1998) are indicated in light grey, fall-release turtles (September 1998) in black. Animals were released in waters near Madeira.
3.3 Logistic regression of straightness index on marine habitat variables

The best fit logistic regression model with straightness index as a response: \[ \text{logit}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Log(chlorophyll }a) + \beta_2 \text{Log(bathymetry)} + \beta_3 \text{Log}(SST) + \beta_4 (s) \] included four variables, all of which were significant at the 0.05 level (Table 3). A strong negative relationship existed between SI and log-transformed chlorophyll \(a\); straighter tracks were in lower chlorophyll areas, and more sinuous tracks were in higher chlorophyll areas. In contrast, SI increased when animals encountered areas of higher SST as well as when they moved over deeper areas of the ocean. Although statistically significant, the effect of circular standard deviation on the probability of having a straight-track segment is, however, very small (see \(\beta_4\) value in

Table 2

Logistic regression models that were considered to explain the relationship between the straightness index of turtle track segments and habitat variables. Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) is listed for each model. The final model selected is shown in bold.

| Model                                                                 | AIC     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Full model: \(\beta_0 \text{Log(chlorophyll }a) + \beta_2 \text{Log(bathymetry)} + \beta_3 \text{Log}(SST) + \beta_4 (s) + \beta_6 \text{spring/fall})\) | 957.1   |
| Reduced model 1: \(\beta_0 \text{Log(chlorophyll }a) + \beta_2 \text{Log(bathymetry)} + \beta_3 \text{Log}(SST) + \beta_4 (s) + \beta_5 \text{(turtle)}\) | 955.1   |
| Final model: \(\beta_0 \text{Log(chlorophyll }a) + \beta_2 \text{Log(bathymetry)} + \beta_3 \text{Log}(SST) + \beta_4 (s)\) | 953.4   |
| Reduced model 2: \(\beta_0 \text{Log(chlorophyll }a) + \beta_2 \text{Log(bathymetry)} + \beta_3 \text{Log}(SST)\) | 952.9   |

Fig. 5. Track of turtle “Isabel”, tagged on September 10, 1998 near Madeira Island. Weekly track segments with straightness index greater than 0.7 are shown in green, those with SI less than or equal to 0.7 are shown in red. Chlorophyll \(a\) concentrations (\(\text{mg/m}^3\)) are shown in the 20 km buffer region around the track for the corresponding week.
Table 3. During the straighter track segments, the animal was somewhat more likely to be moving through areas with diffuse geo-
strophic current vectors. Wald’s test provides a p-value for the sign-
nificance of the predictive power of logistic regression coefficients at the 0.05 level (Table 3).

4. Discussion

We show clear relationships between the behavior of individual
animals, as evidenced by track sinuosity, and the characteristics of
the surface ocean around those tracks. All ten of the tracked ani-
mals exhibited behavior akin to foraging in high chlorophyll areas
and shallower parts of the ocean than in deeper, low chlorophyll
areas. The same is true for warmer areas where track segments
are straighter, as demonstrated by the generalized linear model
of the entire data set (Table 2). In addition, the circular standard
deviation of the current around track segments was related to
the sinuosity of tracks, with straighter tracks more often passing
through more disperse current regions. Track sinuosity also in-
creased in shallower areas. This link is due, most likely, to the time
spent by individual animals over seamounts and over the shelf re-
gions, both locations where upwelling often occurs. The positive
relationship between high chlorophyll water masses and increased
sinuosity of tracks suggests that these animals prefer those areas to
lower chlorophyll areas for foraging. While turtles do not directly
consume chlorophyll, many of their preferred prey items may
be more abundant in regions with higher chlorophyll.

Assuming that sinuosity is an indicator of foraging behavior, the	negative correlation between bathymetry and straightness index is
consistent with the foraging of many marine animals at seamounts
and shelf regions (Guinet et al., 2001; Burns et al., 2004; Suryan
et al., 2006). The relationship we found between increased sinuosity
and high chlorophyll concentration is supported by the fact that
many animals forage in areas of persistently high chlorophyll,
which are sometimes related to bathymetric anomalies (Yen
et al., 2004; Palacios et al., 2006). These results also support find-
ings that Pacific juvenile loggerhead turtles seek out dynamic, high
chlorophyll regions for foraging (Polovina et al., 2004, 2006).

Although our data do not conclusively prove that animals are
foraging in high chlorophyll or high-relief bathymetric regions,
the relationship between simple movements and easily observed
marine environmental variables such as bathymetry, chlorophyll
a, and SST can be a useful tool. It is not usually possible to survey
large regions of the ocean for prey items of pelagic megafauna, so
some kind of proxy must be used to infer the location of foraging
grounds in the absence of concrete information about prey distri-
butions. By examining how animals change their behavior relative
to oceanographic variables, hypotheses about the location of forag-
ing grounds can be generated, and these hypotheses can be consid-
ered when making conservation decisions regarding critical habitat
for pelagic megafauna. We suggest that when planning conserva-
tion areas for loggerhead turtles, both high-upwelling coastal
regions and high-relief bathymetric areas like seamounts be con-
sidered due to their importance as foraging areas. This kind of anal-
ysis can also be repeated on the same population on an annual or
multi-annual basis to determine whether changes in foraging pref-
erences are influenced by climate variability over longer time
periods.

The logistic regression of the entire tracking dataset indicated
that straighter track segments were more likely to occur in areas
where the geostrophic currents were less directed. This suggests
that ocean currents played a part in determining the movement
of these ten animals, and that animal movement may be dictated
both by the surrounding current and by other oceanographic vari-
bles. However, we did not include Ekman current in this analysis,
as Ekman current is a relatively small component of surface cur-
rent in the North Atlantic (Flatau et al., 2003). It is possible that
including the measure of total surface current in the regression,
or subtracting the current and performing the same regression pro-
cedure on current-corrected tracks, would be worthwhile (Gaspar
et al., 2006); however, in this study we were interested in the tra-
jectory that the animals actually followed and the environment
they occupied while doing so, as opposed to the trajectories they
would have followed in a motionless ocean.

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insight into the
quantitative relationship between the marine environment and
the behavioral patterns of individuals. This type of analysis can
easily be applied to the tracks of other long-ranging marine verte-
brates, and can assist in the assessment of available habitat on a
basin-wide scale. This will add to our existing knowledge and
has important implications in risk assessment for potential fisher-
ies interactions.
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