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Abstract: In the present study, environmental monitoring was undertaken around an offshore fish farm with copper alloy mesh pens located in the Northern Aegean Sea. From the water velocity results obtained at the farm site, located in the Strait of Canakkale (formerly the Dardanelles) it was recorded that the horizontal water velocity reached 36 cm s⁻¹ at select times. The average water velocity was found to be 22 cm s⁻¹. Water quality measurements were made over an 11 months period between September 2011 and July 2012. Other than occasional wind drawn mixing events, the two different water masses can be clearly indicated depending on the temperature and salinity values recorded throughout the study period in the Strait of Canakkale. Inorganic nutrient concentrations such as NO₂⁻+NO₃⁻, NH₄, PO₄, TP and SiO₂, changed from low to moderate through most of the sampling period. The data for TSS varied among sampling depths between 0.06 and 10.40 mg L⁻¹, being below the typical seawater quality measurement threshold of 30 mg L⁻¹ pronounced by Water Pollution Control Regulations. Chlorophyll-a concentration, ranged between 0.143-2.633 µg L⁻¹, 0.055-1.519 µg L⁻¹, and 0.110-2.288 µg L⁻¹, at the surface, 15m, and 30m, respectively. It has been observed that starting from March 2012, especially in Stations 2 and 3, the phytoplankton abundance controlled the TSS, rather than terrestrial sources.
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1. Introduction

Organic matter growth on marine systems is one of the challenges for the cage aquaculture industry. Biofouling on the nettings causes problems in terms of blockage of water flow through the net mesh, which then decreases oxygen concentration in the water column. Any new production method or material for the reduction of biofouling on cage systems might bring important benefits in terms of better growth performance of fish by better feed intake, reduced stress conditions and less labor costs due to net changes or cleaning [1]. The utilization and benefits of copper, with its antimicrobial properties, have been well documented in health care applications [2]. Nowadays, copper alloys can be found as wire mesh materials that can be used in cage nets instead of polymer nettings. Copper alloy mesh has been reported as a biofouling resistant material for fish culture in the Mediterranean, with improved fish health under better sanitary conditions in a cleaner cage environment [1]. Furthermore, copper alloy meshes demonstrated improved economic benefits when compared to traditional nylon nettings for the Atlantic salmon aquaculture in Chile [3]. The drag forces on fish nets due to strong currents in the open ocean are one of the reasons for fish stress. Copper alloy
mesh may reduce the drag forces on these nets, and improve the strength of fish cages under high-energy offshore environments [4].

Sea bream and sea bass are the most important marine species for the European aquaculture industry, especially with the high production rates from Turkey and Greece in the Mediterranean [5, 6]. Usage of traditional nylon nets, sometimes with the administration of double nets, especially in order to prevent damage by the bites of sea bream having strong and sharp teeth, is well known in the Mediterranean aquaculture. Although inexpensive, these nets are not durable enough mostly during strong weather conditions such as the research location (Canakkale Strait) experiencing approximately 10 or 12 storms each year [5]. The use of copper alloy nets in the European Seas might support the sustainable future of the aquaculture industry. Hence, the improvement that may help expand the European finfish aquaculture involves innovation in the aquaculture pens themselves. Copper alloy meshes seem to be promising material with increased profit and reduced maintenance costs and environmental concerns [7]. However, there is lack of information on variations of water quality parameter around copper alloy net cages operating in the same site over a long period. The only study available was published by [7], who reported reduced environmental performance of copper alloy mesh in terms of life cycle assessment for Atlantic salmon grow-out farm in Chile. However, any information regarding to environmental monitoring of copper alloy cages in offshore conditions with respect to bio-chemical water parameters are still missing. Hence, the aim of the present study was to monitor and evaluate variations in water quality parameters around an offshore fish farm with copper alloy mesh pens.

2. Materials and Methods

The water velocity at the farm site, located in the Canakkale Strait was obtained over a 27 hour period between May 29-30, 2012 (Figure 1). Longer sampling was not required due to the steady water flow from the Black Sea to the Aegean Sea (surface) and a reverse flow (subsurface). Tides in the area are on the order of 30 cm, therefore do not influence the water velocity magnitudes or directions. A current-meter (1.000 kHz Aanderaa RDCP) was used to monitor the current direction and velocity. The RDCP utilizes sound to monitor particulates in the water column (traveling with the incident flow) to obtain velocity and direction. The RDCP was secured to a buoy at the site, 2 meters below the sea surface in a down-looking mode. This orientation was preferred to an upward-looking bottom mounting as no loss of data near the surface would occur (due to side lobes interacting with the water surface). The water velocities were sampled every 10-minutes, in order to record most accurate data due to the frequent differentiation of the two way main current directions in the Strait of Canakkale.

![Figure 1. Schematic of the 2 x 2 bay grid-mooring system with copper-alloy mesh cages (top view and side view). The RDCP (● current-meter, 1.000 kHz Aanderaa) was placed adjacent to the net pens.](image)

Water quality measurements were made over an 11 months period between September 2011 and July 2012. To assess the water quality at and around the farm site, water samples were obtained from three stations, as seen in Figure2:

Station 1 - net pens (surface and 5 m)
Station 2 - distance of 25 meters downstream from net pens (surface, 15 and 30 m)
Station 3 - distance of 15 meters upstream from net pens (surface, 15 and 30 m)
Figure 2. Location of the study area with three sampling stations.

Water quality parameters, for example, temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, nutrient content, chlorophyll-a (chl-a) and total suspended solids (TSS) were obtained. Water quality parameters were measured in situ using an YSI 600 XL MPS. Winkler Method was used to measure dissolved oxygen (DO). A 5 L water sampler was used to collect water samples for nutrients. Nutrient samples were then filtered through 47 mm GF/F filters by gentle vacuum and frozen for further analysis. Spectrophotometric determination of nitrite+nitrate (NO$_2^-+NO$_3^-), and ammonia (NH$_3$) were conducted according to Strickland and Parsons (1972). Analysis for soluble reactive phosphorus (PO$_4$), total phosphorus (TP) and silicate (SiO$_2$) were conducted spectrophotometrically [8]. TSSs were analyzed gravimetrically according to [9]. GF/F filters that were used for the filtration of water samples for the nutrient analysis were wrapped in aluminum foil and kept frozen until chl-a analysis was conducted. Spectrophotometric determination of chl-a concentration was performed by 90% acetone extraction [10].

Finally, in order to identify meaningful relations among variables if any, various data groups were subjected to non-parametric Spearman’s correlation (SPSS 23). Data were depth integrated.

3. Results and Discussion

The collected water velocity data was processed to obtain the horizontal and vertical water velocities and direction. Figs. 3 through 5 present the obtained water velocity and direction as a function of depth through the top portion of the water column. For reference, the farm site water depth is 45 meters. The plots only present the top 11 meters of the water column as the net pens only have a draft of 5 meters, and a significant pycnocline was found at a depth of approximately 13 meters. Due to turbulence in the interface between higher salinity Mediterranean water and less saline Black Sea water, the data below 15 m is quite noisy.

The measured water quality parameters (salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH) are summarized in Figs. 6, 7 and 8 for the three measurement stations. Salinity ranged between 23.30 and 38.93‰. Water temperature varied between 10.26°C and 22.97°C at the surface, between 12.25°C and 19.73°C at 15m, and between 13.24°C and 19.40°C at 30m. The data for DO concentrations changed slightly at the three stations among sampling depths ranging between 7.10 and 10.71 mg L$^{-1}$, while pH was consistent, ranging between 8.04 and 8.49 among sampling stations and various depths.
Figure 3. The surface horizontal current velocity as a function of time (records) over the 27 hour monitoring period. Water velocities were found to average around 22 m s\(^{-1}\) near the surface, dropping to 0 m s\(^{-1}\) at a depth of 11 meters.

Figure 4. The current direction of the top surface layer as a function of time (records) over the 27 hour monitoring period. The data shows the water primarily heads in South towards the Aegean Sea.

Figure 5. The vertical current velocity of the top layer of the water column as a function of time (records) over the 27 hour monitoring period.
Figure 6. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of water quality parameters at the net pens (Station 1) between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.
Figure 7. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of water quality parameters in Station 2 between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.
The results of the inorganic nutrient concentrations are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3 for Stations 1, 2, and 3, respectively. \( \text{NO}_2^- + \text{NO}_3^- \) and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) varied among the sampling depths, and the sampling sites throughout the sampling periods, with values of 0.001-0.517 µmol L\(^{-1}\) and 0.021-2.653 µmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively. \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) changed between 0.099 µmol L\(^{-1}\) and 12.918 µmol L\(^{-1}\), while TP ranged from 0.103 µmol L\(^{-1}\) to 41.837 µmol L\(^{-1}\), depending on the depths, the sampling sites
and the sampling period. SiO₂ ranged between 0.09 and 4.57 µmol L⁻¹ at the various sampling depths throughout the sampling period.

Acceptable limits reported for different physico-chemical water quality parameters [11] have been compared with those recorded in the present study for all three sampling stations around the offshore copper-alloy cage system and summarized in Table 4. Overall, water quality parameters from all three sampling stations were within the acceptable limits for fish farming throughout the sampling period in the present study.

Table 1. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of NO₂⁺NO₃⁻, NH₄, PO₄, TP and SiO₂ in the Offshore Cage site (Station 1) between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.

| Date       | NO₂⁺NO₃⁻ (µmol L⁻¹) | NH₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | PO₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | TP (µmol L⁻¹) | SiO₂ (µmol L⁻¹) |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|            | Surface 5m           | Surface 5m     | Surface 5m     | Surface 5m    | Surface 5m     |
| 30.09.2011 | 0.442                | 0.090          | 0.027          | 0.766         | 0.103          |
| 13.10.2011 | 0.033                | 0.025          | 0.086          | 2.176         | 0.103          |
| 21.10.2011 | 0.011                | 0.040          | 0.359          | 0.841         | 2.274          |
| 17.11.2011 | 0.222                | 0.246          | 0.428          | 0.188         | 2.699          |
| 30.11.2011 | 0.185                | 0.228          | 0.158          | 0.098         | 1.387          |
| 30.12.2011 | 0.223                | 0.210          | 0.248          | 0.293         | 0.322          |
| 15.02.2012 | 0.026                | 0.011          | 1.683          | 1.629         | 0.413          |
| 23.03.2012 | 0.142                | 0.110          | 1.768          | 1.538         | 2.790          |
| 09.05.2012 | 0.007                | 0.010          | 1.731          | 1.752         | 0.827          |
| 01.06.2012 | 0.017                | 0.099          | 0.756          | 0.981         | 0.103          |
| 09.07.2012 | 0.417                | 0.471          | 1.634          | 0.884         | 8.474          |

(N/A: not available)

Table 2. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of NO₂⁺NO₃⁻, NH₄, PO₄, TP and SiO₂ in Station 2 between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.

| Date       | NO₂⁺NO₃⁻ (µmol L⁻¹) | NH₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | PO₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | TP (µmol L⁻¹) | SiO₂ (µmol L⁻¹) |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|            | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m  | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m |
| 30.09.2011 | 0.128                | 0.021          | 0.010          | 1.533         | 1.254          |
| 13.10.2011 | 0.039                | 0.034          | 0.031          | 0.201         | 0.670         |
| 21.10.2011 | 0.019                | 0.012          | 0.169          | 0.959         | 1.356          |
| 17.11.2011 | 0.224                | 0.218          | 0.218          | 0.105         | 0.173         |
| 30.11.2011 | 0.168                | 0.217          | 0.283          | 0.090         | 0.135         |
| 30.12.2011 | 0.217                | 0.217          | 0.245          | 0.150         | 0.143         |
| 15.02.2012 | 0.019                | 0.047          | 1.340          | 1.817         | 1.913         |
| 23.03.2012 | 0.018                | 0.016          | 1.506          | 1.774         | 1.972         |
| 09.05.2012 | 0.111                | 0.001          | 1.720          | 2.261         | 1.908         |
| 01.06.2012 | 0.061                | 0.049          | 1.334          | 0.697         | 1.195         |
| 09.07.2012 | 0.428                | 0.405          | 0.517          | 1.174         | 1.785         |

(N/A: not available)

Table 3. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of NO₂⁺NO₃⁻, NH₄, PO₄, TP and SiO₂ in Station 3 between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.

| Date       | NO₂⁺NO₃⁻ (µmol L⁻¹) | NH₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | PO₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | TP (µmol L⁻¹) | SiO₂ (µmol L⁻¹) |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|            | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m  | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m | Surf. 15m Surf. 30m |
| 30.09.2011 | 0.012                | 0.025          | 0.083          | 1.200         | 0.016          |
| 13.10.2011 | 0.354                | 0.047          | 0.007          | 0.654         | 1.367          |
| 21.10.2011 | 0.093                | 0.011          | 0.055          | 1.163         | 0.713          |
| 17.11.2011 | 0.248                | 0.237          | 0.229          | 0.120         | 0.315          |
| 30.11.2011 | 0.339                | 0.279          | 0.315          | 0.180         | 0.600          |
| 15.02.2012 | 0.058                | 0.014          | 0.010          | 1.586         | 1.881          |
| 23.03.2012 | 0.032                | 0.020          | 0.023          | 1.238         | 1.892          |
| 09.05.2012 | 0.021                | 0.011          | 0.044          | 1.827         | 1.179          |
| 01.06.2012 | 0.033                | N/A            | 0.072          | 1.152         | N/A            |
| 09.07.2012 | 0.560                | 0.445          | 0.419          | 1.425         | 2.090          |

(N/A: not available)

Table 4. Acceptable limits for various physico-chemical water quality parameters for aquaculture and data recorded in the present study.

| Parameter | Acceptable Condition | Present Study |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------|
| pH        | 6.5-7.5              | 8.25-8.5      |
| Temperature (°C) | species specific     | 11-23         |
| O₂ (% / L⁻¹) | 70-100               | 70-100 / 7-11 |
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| Parameter   | Acceptable Condition                  | Present Study |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
|             | (Bregnballe, 2015)                    | S1            | S2            | S3            |
| NH₄ (mg L⁻¹) | 0-2.5 (pH influenced)                 | 0.0005-0.04   | 0.0004-0.05   | 0.0003-0.04   |
| NO₂ (mg L⁻¹) | 0-0.5                                 |               |               |               |
| NO₃ (mg L⁻¹) | 100-200                                | 0.0003-0.02    | 0.0001-0.03    | 0.0004-0.04   |
| PO₄ (mg L⁻¹) | 1:20                                   | 0.0095-0.804  | 0.0389-0.216   | 0.0098-0.707  |

S1: station 1; S2: station 2; S3: station 3

* [NO₂ + NO₃ (mg L⁻¹)]

Unit conversions for ammonium nitrogen (NH₄-N): 1 µg NH₄ L⁻¹ = 0.055437 µmol NH₄ L⁻¹;
for nitrite nitrogen (NO₂-N) + nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N): 1 µg NO₃ L⁻¹ = 0.016128 µmol NO₃ L⁻¹;
for phosphate phosphorus (PO₄-P): 1 µg PO₄ L⁻¹ = 0.010529 µmol PO₄ L⁻¹

The results of TSS and chl-a concentration can be seen in Figure 9, 10 and 11 for Stations 1, 2 and 3, respectively. TSS varied among sampling depths between 0.06 and 10.40 mg L⁻¹. Chl-a concentration, which can be considered as a primary production indicator, ranged between 0.143-2.633 µg L⁻¹, 0.055-1.519 µg L⁻¹, and 0.110-2.288 µg L⁻¹, at the surface, at 15 m, and at 30 m depth, respectively.

![Figure 9](image1.png)  
*Figure 9. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of TSS and chlorophyll-a at Station 1 between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.*

![Figure 10](image2.png)  
*Figure 10. Temporal variations and vertical distribution of TSS and chlorophyll-a at Station 2 between 30 September 2011 and 09 July 2012.*
According to the Spearman’s rank correlation TSS was positively correlated with NH$_4$ in all stations (Table 5). TSS had a strong positive correlation with TP in the net pens (Station 1) (Table 4). Chl-a was negatively correlated with SiO$_2$ in Station 2 (Table 5) while there was a positive correlation between Chl-a and TP in Station 3 (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Spearman’s rank correlation between Chl-a, TSS, TP, PO$_4$, SiO$_2$, NH$_4$, NO$_2$+NO$_3$. Data were depth integrated through the water column.

|                  | TP ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) | PO$_4$ ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) | SiO$_2$ ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) | NH$_4$ ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) | NO$_2$+NO$_3$ ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) | TSS (mg L$^{-1}$) | chl-a ($\mu$g L$^{-1}$) |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| **Station 1 – net cage** |                          |                             |                               |                             |                                  |                 |                         |
| TSS (mg L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .845**                     | .053                          | -.270                       | .444*                            | -.212           | 1.000                   | .222                 |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000                         | .816                           | .223                         | .038                            | .344            | .321                   | .321                 |
|                  | N                     | 21                           | 22                            | 22                           | 22                              | 22              | .222                   | .222                 |
| Chl-a ($\mu$g L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .040                        | -.039                         | -.233                        | .383                            | -.175           | .222                   | 1.000                |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .862                         | .865                           | .296                         | .078                            | .437            | .321                   | .321                 |
|                  | N                     | 21                           | 22                            | 22                           | 22                              | 22              | 22                     | 22                   |
| **Station 2 – downstream area** |                          |                             |                               |                             |                                  |                 |                         |
| TSS (mg L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .320                        | .169                          | -.037                        | .375*                            | -.002           | 1.000                   | .430*                |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .074                         | .346                           | .838                         | .031                            | .993            | .013                   | .013                 |
|                  | N                     | 32                           | 33                            | 33                           | 33                              | 33              | 33                     | .33                  |
| Chl-a ($\mu$g L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .184                        | .131                          | -.346*                       | .313                            | .054            | .430*                  | 1.000                |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .314                         | .467                           | .049                         | .076                            | .767            | .013                   | .013                 |
|                  | N                     | 32                           | 33                            | 33                           | 33                              | 33              | 33                     | .33                  |
| **Station 3 – upstream area** |                          |                             |                               |                             |                                  |                 |                         |
| TSS (mg L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .349                        | .099                          | .194                         | .453**                           | -.110           | 1.000                   | .321                 |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .051                         | .590                           | .288                         | .009                            | .548            | .073                   | .073                 |
|                  | N                     | 32                           | 32                            | 32                           | 32                              | 32              | 32                     | 32                   |
| Chl-a ($\mu$g L$^{-1}$) | Correlation Coefficient  | .406*                       | .053                          | .066                         | .289                            | .030            | .321                   | 1.000                |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .021                         | .775                           | .718                         | .108                            | .873            | .075                   | .075                 |
|                  | N                     | 32                           | 32                            | 32                           | 32                              | 32              | 32                     | 32                   |

*. Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

**. Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).
The results indicate that the horizontal water velocity reached 36 cm/s at select times (Figure 3). The average water velocity was found to be 22 cm/s. A significant drop in water velocity was observed below the 7 meter mark (5 meters below the instrument). Horizontal water velocities approached 0 cm/s between 10 and 15 m below the surface (8 and 13 m below the instrument). The dominant current direction, shown in Figure 4, was found to be 180° (South) in the first 13 m of the water column. Although not plotted, the water velocities had similar water velocities below the pycnocline heading in the opposite direction (045°–000°), as expected.

The vertical velocity structure (Figure 5) points out distinctive down-welling at the farm site. The surface water tends to sink towards the bottom with a velocity of 10 cm/s at 2 meters below the surface, and slows as it reaches the pycnocline.

The water quality parameters were found to vary among sampling depths throughout the sampling period. The highest salinity value was measured at 30 m at Station 3 on 30 November 2011. This was expected as the more dense water from the Aegean Sea moves northward below the less dense surface water directed downward from the Black Sea. Water temperature followed the progression of the seasons. The characteristics of two different water masses could be clearly indicated depending on the temperature and salinity values in the study area of Canakkale Strait. The values recorded for pH were in the range of standard seawater pH values [12]. Additionally, salinity, temperature and pH values were similar to previously obtained environmental parameters, measured in separate studies close to the farm site [13 - 17].

Inorganic nutrient concentrations changed from low to moderate through most of the sampling period. Silicate values were lower than 3 mg L⁻¹ which was well below the optimum value for diatom growth in marine systems. Other than sporadic nutrient input through rain and wind drawn mixing events, analysis of nutrients among sampling depths over time showed that dissolved inorganic nitrogen to phosphorus ratios (DIN/PO₄) did not follow the common Redfield Ratio and were lower, suggesting a nitrogen limitation of primary production. Except high TP values on March, May and June samplings, nutrient values were in the range when compared to previously conducted studies in the surrounding area [16, 17].

The values recorded for TSS were below the typical threshold for seawater quality measurement of 30 mg L⁻¹, pronounced by [18]. Additionally, these values were lower compared to those reported in previous studies conducted in the vicinity while chlorophyll-a concentrations were similar [14 - 17].

Influence of phytoplankton abundance on TSS in open oceans is considered to be more than in coastal systems where terrestrial sources have the main role on TSS [19]. In this study, it has been observed that starting from March 2012, especially in Stations 2 and 3, phytoplankton abundance controlled the TSS, rather than terrestrial sources (Figs. 10, 11; Table 5).

Strong positive correlation between TSS and TP as well as NH₄ may be an indication of the accumulation of unused fish feed or fecal material of fish in the net cage system (Table 5). Negative correlation of chl-a and SiO₂ suggested that primary production may become limited by silica.

The study results showed that surface water velocities headed south at a speed of 22 cm/s. Down-welling was seen at a speed of 10 cm/s. All the water quality parameters, total suspended solids and nutrients were found to be similar between measurement stations, showing no influence of the copper alloy mesh cage and farmed fish on the surrounding water environment.

4. Conclusion

Copper alloy meshes become a promising material with improved economic benefits, cleaner cage environment, biofouling resistance as well as improved strength under high-energy offshore environments. The weakest area that has not been studied thoroughly was the environmental monitoring around copper alloy cages in offshore conditions with respect to bi-chemical water parameters. Results in the present study showed that all measurements were consistent with other water quality studies conducted in the area in recent years, showing steady water characteristics of the fish farming site. This study can be considered as an exemplary for other areas having similar exposed environmental conditions with the study site.
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