Systematic Review of Real-World Studies Evaluating Characteristics Associated With or Programs Designed to Facilitate Outpatient Management of Deep Vein Thrombosis
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Abstract
Select patients with acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) can be managed as outpatients. We sought to conduct a systematic review of real-world studies describing either (1) the clinical characteristics associated with outpatient DVT treatment in all-comers or (2) emergency department (ED) programs designed to facilitate outpatient DVT treatment. MEDLINE and SCOPUS were searched (January 1, 2012, to May 1, 2018) to identify citations meeting the aforementioned criteria. Twenty-one real-world studies were included. The proportion of all-comer patients with DVT managed as outpatients was \(\leq 50\%\) in 11 of 15 studies. With the exception of younger age, no characteristics were consistently associated with outpatient treatment across the 13 studies reporting these characteristics. We identified 8 studies describing ED programs aimed at facilitating DVT outpatient treatment, all of which provided education and included measures to encourage early outpatient follow-up after ED discharge. In conclusion, the proportion of patients with DVT managed as outpatients across real-world studies was low. Several ED programs aimed at facilitating this treatment have been described. It is possible that programs similar to these will increase the proportion of patients with DVT that can be safely managed as outpatients.
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Background
Venous thromboembolism (VTE), which includes both deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), results in \(\sim 300 000\) admissions to US hospitals each year, with costs of these admissions alone exceeding US$3 billion.\(^1\) As early as 1996, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) demonstrated that outpatient treatment is safe and effective in select patients with acute DVT.\(^2,3\) However, the adoption of this treatment strategy in clinical practice has been low.\(^4\) For example, 1 real-world study showed that only \(\sim 30\%\) of patients presenting with acute DVT are treated in an outpatient setting.\(^4\)

Since 2012, there have been 2 direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for acute VTE which do not require initial parenteral anticoagulation.\(^5,6\) Removing the need for initial injectable therapy may facilitate outpatient DVT treatment. A 2018 American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) clinical policy on acute DVT states DOACs may be a “safe and effective treatment alternative” to low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) with a vitamin K antagonist (VKA; level B recommendation) and select patients receiving DOAC therapy can be “directly discharged from the emergency department (ED)” (level C recommendation).\(^7\) Directly discharging patients from the ED may result in lower treatment costs, as demonstrated by a case–control study (\(n = 97\)) where medical costs in the week following presentation for VTE were \(\sim 2.5\) times lower for patients treated with DOACs as outpatients versus those treated with LMWH and a VKA (\(P < .001\)).\(^8\) In an attempt to increase efficiency and reduce treatment costs, several studies have reported strategies to select and manage those presenting with DVT as outpatients.\(^9,10\) However, these studies have yet to be systematically summarized. Therefore, we sought to conduct a systematic review of real-world studies describing either (1)
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the clinical characteristics associated with or (2) the programs designed to facilitate outpatient DVT treatment.

Methods

MEDLINE and SCOPUS were searched from January 1, 2012, to May 1, 2018, using key words and Medical Subject Heading terms associated with outpatient treatment and DVT (Supplemental Appendix A). We also performed hand searches of the reference sections of eligible studies and relevant review articles (ie, backward citation tracking) to augment our bibliographic database searches.

Identified citations were screened for inclusion by 2 independent investigators. Two investigators determined whether a study met inclusion criteria via a process involving two steps. First, titles/abstracts were assessed and subsequently categorized as “included,” “excluded,” or “unsure.” We obtained the full-text version of each article categorized as “included” or “unsure.” This process was then repeated until each article was marked as either “included” or “excluded.” Disagreements at any stage of the screening process were resolved through discussion. For this systematic review, we only included real-world studies (eg, those including clinical or claims-based data collected prospectively or retrospectively) if they evaluated adult patients (ie, ≥18 years of age) with acute DVT. Studies were also required to either report clinical characteristics associated with outpatient versus inpatient treatment in an all-comer cohort (ie, the population was not selected for the study based on demographics or the presence/absence of comorbidities) or describe a program aimed at facilitating outpatient treatment for those discharged from an ED. Only studies published in the English language were included.

Data were collected from included studies using a standardized data abstraction tool. For each study, the following characteristics were collected: the last name of the first author, year of publication, sample size, country of study conduct, design of the study, data source, timing of the sample, the number of patients treated as outpatients, characteristics associated with or criteria for outpatient treatment, and treatment process (eg, anticoagulant utilized). Each included study was classified into one of 2 categories. Category A studies included those reporting clinical characteristics associated with outpatient DVT treatment, whereas category B included studies of programs aimed at facilitating outpatient treatment. The validity of included studies was assessed using an adapted version of the AXIS tool.11 Only questions deemed to assess risk of bias based on study design and conduct rather than reporting quality were evaluated.12 The AXIS tool was chosen because it is specifically designed for cross-sectional studies and only includes items relevant to this design.11 In contrast, other tools may also include items relevant to additional observational study designs (eg, cohort studies).13 A total of 8 items were evaluated and are described in Supplemental Appendix B. Each study was awarded a star (*) if it satisfactorily met a criterion, while a minus sign (−) was noted if a study did not meet a criterion.

The proportion of all-comer patients with acute DVT managed as outpatients served as an outcome for this systematic review. For each study in category A, we identified the clinical characteristics associated with outpatient treatment (ie, characteristics present in a significantly higher proportion of outpatients vs inpatients, with a P value <.05 considered significant). For studies in category B, we ascertained the criteria that programs reported to identify patients with DVT who may be ineligible for outpatient treatment (eg, comorbidities that would likely necessitate inpatient treatment). The ED treatment process (eg, anticoagulant utilized and procedures for ensuring follow-up after discharge from the ED) is also summarized for studies in category B. We report a descriptive synthesis of the included studies using tables, with categorical data provided as proportions and continuous data provided as means ± standard deviations (SD).

Results

Our search yielded 1308 unique citations, of which 1287 were excluded following title/abstract and full-text review (Figure 1). This left 21 real-world studies evaluating clinical characteristics associated with or programs designed to facilitate outpatient DVT treatment to be included in our systematic review (Table 1).4,8,10,14-37

A total of 15 studies evaluated patients from the United States, while most patients in the remaining studies were treated in Europe. Data were collected in all studies between the years 2001 and 2016, with 11 studies evaluating ≥5 years of data. The average age of patients ranged from 47 to 68 years across studies, and ~50% (range: 40%-53%) of patients were male. Eleven studies were prospective and collected clinical data. The remaining retrospective studies consisted of claims (n = 4), clinical (n = 4), and national survey (n = 2) data.

Upon quality assessment, only 2 of the 21 studies were given a minus sign for >1 of the 8 evaluated items (Supplemental Table 1). Studies were most commonly given a minus sign because variables in the study were not measured in a way that would minimize misclassification bias (n = 6).

The proportion of all-comer patients with DVT managed as outpatients was reported in 15 studies and ranged from 11% to 84% but was ≤50% in 11 studies (Figure 2). Of the 9 studies evaluating US patients, the proportion of those treated as outpatients ranged from 11% to 54%, with 7 studies reporting that ≤50% of patients were managed as outpatients. The study with the highest occurrence of outpatient treatment (ie, 84%) included patients treated in Canada, while in the studies consisting of mainly European patients, 33% to 54% of patients were managed in an outpatient setting. The proportion of patients treated in an outpatient setting did not appear to correlate with study publication year.

Thirteen studies reported clinical characteristics associated with outpatient compared to inpatient treatment (ie, category A studies; Table 2 and Supplemental Table 2). The only characteristic that was consistently associated with management in an outpatient setting was younger age, which was associated with
outpatient treatment in 9 (69%) studies. Sex was not consistently associated with treatment setting. The comorbidities evaluated and found to be associated with treatment setting varied across the studies.

We identified 8 studies describing a program aimed at facilitating outpatient treatment for patients with DVT presenting to an ED (ie, category B studies). Of these, 4 reported criteria used to identify patients who might be ineligible for outpatient treatment (Table 3). Elevated bleeding risk (eg, recent gastrointestinal bleeding, coagulopathy, and thrombocytopenia) was consistently reported as a factor that would make patients with DVT ineligible for outpatient treatment across the studies, with all studies reporting ≥4 criteria assessing this. Similarly, all studies reported comorbidities (eg, renal or liver disease) that may make patients ineligible for outpatient treatment. Three (75%) studies listed unreliable follow-up or inability to obtain medication as a reason to exclude patients from outpatient DVT treatment. Other criteria included extensive or recurrent DVT and pregnancy.

The DVT treatment strategies across the 8 identified programs are described in Table 4. All programs included the following 4 components: (1) patient education, (2) measures to encourage early outpatient follow-up (eg, scheduling appointments prior to ED discharge), (3) assessment of medication access (eg, checking insurance coverage), and (4) a multidisciplinary team (eg, consisting of the treating ED clinician as well as pharmacists [n = 7] and social workers or case managers [n = 5]). Further, 7 of the 8 programs reported calling patients in the weeks following their presentation for acute DVT. Half (n = 4) of the programs provided standardized educational handouts upon discharge, and 3 programs provided patients with a supply of the anticoagulant before ED discharge.

**Discussion**

In this systematic review, we evaluated the use of outpatient treatment for acute DVT across 21 real-world studies. The proportion of all-comer patients treated in an outpatient setting was low (ie, <55% in all but 1 study). The only characteristic that was consistently associated with outpatient rather than inpatient treatment in these all-comer patients was younger age, which was associated with outpatient treatment in ~70% of studies. We identified 8 studies describing a program aimed at facilitating outpatient treatment for patients with DVT presenting to an ED. Four of these studies reported criteria used to identify patients who might be ineligible for outpatient treatment (eg, elevated bleeding risk and comorbidities). Strengths
of our systematic review include that it summarizes real-world data, which increases applicability. Moreover, the review could serve as a resource for clinicians developing ED programs aimed at facilitating outpatient DVT treatment. For instance, all ED programs in our systematic review included the following components: (1) patient education, (2) measures to encourage early outpatient follow-up, (3) assessment of medication access, and (4) a multidisciplinary team. Clinicians developing DVT outpatient treatment programs should ensure that these aforementioned components are incorporated.

The use of the outpatient setting to treat acute DVT was studied as early as the 1990s and was first mentioned in the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) clinical practice guidelines in 2001. Between 1996 and 2005, there were 7 RCTs comparing outpatient versus inpatient DVT treatment. In a meta-analysis including 6 of these trials (n = 1708), outpatient treatment resulted in fewer recurrent VTE events compared to inpatient treatment (relative risk [RR] = 0.58; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.39 to 0.86). Mortality (RR = 0.69; 95% CI = 0.44 to 1.09) and major bleeding (RR = 0.67; 95% CI = 0.33 to 1.36) did not differ between groups. Based on several of these trials, the 2004 ACCP guidelines recommended outpatient treatment for acute DVT “if possible” and inpatient treatment “if necessary.” Although these guideline recommendations have existed for nearly 15 years, the implementation of outpatient treatment for DVT appears to be low, as demonstrated by the low proportion of all-comer patients with DVT treated in an outpatient setting across the

### Table 1. Characteristics of Real-World Studies of Outpatient Treatment for Deep Vein Thrombosis.

| Author, Year (N) | Country | Study Type | Data Source | Timing of Sample | Male, n (%) | Age, Mean ± SD | Primary Anticoagulant Upon Discharge |
|------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Chu, 2017 (N = 69) | US | R, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2015-2016 | NR | 53 ± 17 | DOAC |
| Douce, 2017 (N = 141) | US | P, clinical | REGARDS | 2003-2011 | 75 (53) | 67 (median) | NR |
| Kabrhel, 2017 (N = 1112) | US | P, clinical | Multicenter EHR | 2015 | NR | NR | DOAC |
| Mansour, 2017 (N = 23 015) | Canada | R, claims | Alberta administrative databases | 2002-2012 | 10 313 (45) | 56.3 ± NR | NR |
| Mausbach, 2017 (N = 236) | Israel | R, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2013-2015 | 105 (44) | 68 (median) | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Tichter, 2017 (N = 690 000) | US | R, survey | NHAMCS | 2009-2013 | 275 172 (40) | NR | NR |
| Barrett, 2016 (N = 6) | US | P, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2016 | NR | NR | DOAC |
| Lamb, 2016 (N = 1 146 469) | US | R, claims | NEDS | 2006-2012 | NR | NR | NR |
| Singer, 2016 (N = 652 000) | US | R, survey | NHAMCS | 2006-2010 | 325 001 (50) | 58 ± NR | NR |
| Stein, 2016 (N = 2 671 452) | US | R, claims | NEDS/NIS | 2007-2012 | 1 246 129 (47) | NR | NR |
| Beam, 2015 (N = 71) | US | P, clinical | Multicenter EHR | 2013-2014 | NR | 47 ± 16 | DOAC |
| Dentali, 2015 (N = 1452) | Italy | P, clinical | Riete | 2006-2013 | 753 (52) | 60 ± 18 | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Padron, 2015 (N = 9) | US | P, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2012-2013 | NR | NR | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Rosa-Salazar, 2015 (N = 1135) | US | P, clinical | Riete | 2001-2014 | 573 (51) | 52 ± 18 | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Stein, 2015 (N = 96) | US | R, clinical | Multicenter EHR | 2013-2014 | 43 (50) | 59 ± 16 | NR |
| Trujillo-Santos, 2015 (N = 15 280) | US | P, clinical | Riete | 2001-2013 | 7892 (52) | 61 ± 17 | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Falcomeneri, 2014 (N = 7) | International | P, clinical | Riete | 2013-2014 | NR | NR | DOAC |
| Lozano, 2014 (N = 13 493) | International | P, clinical | Riete | 2001-2012 | 7023 (52) | 62 ± 17 | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Misky, 2014 (N = 107) | US | P, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2011-2012 | NR | 52.4 ± NR | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Davis, 2013 (N = 14) | US | P, clinical | Single-center EHR | 2013-2014 | NR | NR | LMWH and/or VKA |
| Gibson-Chambers, 2013 (N = 845 000) | US | R, claims | NEDS | 2006-2010 | 397 150 (47) | NR | NR |

**Abbreviations:** DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; EHR, electronic health record; LMWH, low-molecular weight heparin; MASTER, Multicenter Advanced Study for a ThromboEmbolism Registry; NEDS, Nationwide Emergency Department Sample; NHAMCS, National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey; NIS, National Inpatient Sample; NR, not reported; P, prospective; R, retrospective; Riete, Registro Informatizado de Enfermedad TromboEmbolica; REGARDS, Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke; SD, standard deviation; US, United States; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

*a* Reported sample size included patients with both deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism.

*b* All included patients had upper extremity deep vein thrombosis.

*c* Countries include Spain, France, Italy, Israel, Germany, Switzerland, Republic of Macedonia, and Brazil.

---

Table 1. Characteristics of Real-World Studies of Outpatient Treatment for Deep Vein Thrombosis.
real-world studies included in our systematic review (ie, <55% in all but 1 study).

The proportion of patients with DVT treated in an outpatient setting ranged from 11% to 84% across the studies included in our systematic review. This wide range can be partially explained by the fact that studies were conducted in different countries. For instance, the study with the highest proportion of outpatient treatment (ie, 84%) was conducted in Canada, whereas 11% to 54% of patients in US studies were managed in an outpatient setting. Similar trends have been observed with PE treatment. Studies suggest approximately half of all patients presenting with PE in Canada are treated as outpatients, whereas ≤10% of patients with PE in several US studies received outpatient management. It has been hypothesized that factors contributing to these observations include differences in health systems and malpractice litigation.

Although we restricted our systematic review to studies published after 2012, the majority of the included studies still collected data in the years prior to the widespread use of DOACs for the management of acute VTE. As such, LMWHs and VKAs were the anticoagulants prescribed upon ED or hospital discharge in most studies. Low-molecular-weight heparin requires patients to commit to daily injections, and as VKAs require initial overlap with an injectable agent until a therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) is obtained, outpatient treatment with a VKA also requires a patient to commit to LMWH injections for ~5 or more days. Moreover, VKAs require frequent INR monitoring and dose adjustments in the initial treatment period. These properties of LMWH and VKAs could have created a barrier to outpatient treatment. There are 2 DOACs (ie, apixaban and rivaroxaban) that do not require initial treatment with an injectable anticoagulant or frequent dose adjustments in the initial treatment period. When compared to LMWH and VKAs for the acute treatment of VTE, these agents have been associated with reductions in length of stay. Moreover, according to a clinical policy on acute DVT published by ACEP in 2018, DOACs may be a “safe and effective treatment alternative to LMWH/VKA” (level B recommendation) and select patients receiving DOAC therapy can be “directly discharged from the ED” (level C recommendation). It is possible that the availability of DOACs could lead to a higher amount of outpatient treatment for DVT than was observed in our systematic review.

There are several possible explanations for the considerable variability in the clinical characteristics associated with outpatient versus inpatient treatment of DVT observed across the 13 category A studies in our systematic review. First, unlike PE where clinical prediction rules can be used to identify patients who may qualify for outpatient treatment, there are few extensively validated rules to select those who may qualify for outpatient DVT treatment. This could lead to variability in the comorbidities used by clinicians to select patients for inpatient management. Second, studies in our systematic review utilized both claims and clinical data, which often measure patient characteristics through diagnostic billing codes and manual review of patient charts, respectively. This may have led to differences in the types of characteristics available to authors.

Figure 2. The proportion of patients with deep vein thrombosis treated as outpatients across studies. *Study included patients treated in the United States.
Table 2. Factors Associated With Outpatient Versus Inpatient Treatment for Deep Vein Thrombosis.

| Author, Year (N) | Country | Proportion Treated as Outpatients, % (n/N) | Primary Anticoagulant Upon Discharge | Characteristics Associated With Outpatient Treatment | Characteristics not Associated With Treatment Setting |
|------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Douce, 2017 (N = 141) | US      | 28% (39/141) | NR | Younger age | Obesity |
|                  |         |               |     | Female      | Provoked DVT |
|                  |         |               |     | Absence of proximal DVT | Cancer |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Renal function |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Coronary artery disease |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Hyperlipidemia |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Hypertension |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Diabetes |
|                  |         |               |     |             | Smoking |
| Mansour 2017,a  (N = 23 015) | Canada | 84% (19 306/23 015) | NR | Younger age | AIDS |
|                  |         |               |     | Female      | |
|                  |         |               |     | Adequate renal function | |
|                  |         |               |     | Unprovoked DVT | |
|                  |         |               |     | Absence of the following comorbidities: | |
|                  |         |               |     | Congestive heart failure | |
|                  |         |               |     | Cancer | |
|                  |         |               |     | Anemia | |
|                  |         |               |     | Peptic ulcer disease | |
|                  |         |               |     | Thrombocytopenia | |
|                  |         |               |     | Liver disease | |
|                  |         |               |     | Myocardial infarction | |
|                  |         |               |     | PVD | |
|                  |         |               |     | Cerebrovascular accident | |
|                  |         |               |     | Hypertension | |
|                  |         |               |     | Diabetes | |
|                  |         |               |     | COPD | |
|                  |         |               |     | Neurological disease | |
|                  |         |               |     | Connective tissue disease | |
|                  |         |               |     | Hemiplegia | |
|                  |         |               |     | Valvular disease | |
|                  |         |               |     | Falls | |
|                  |         |               |     | Alcoholism | |
|                  |         |               |     | Hypothyroidism | |
|                  |         |               |     | Postoperative | |
|                  |         |               |     | Recent hospitalization | |

(continued)
Table 2. (continued)

| Author, Year (N)                  | Country | Proportion Treated as Outpatients, % (n/N) | Primary Anticoagulant Upon Discharge | Characteristics Associated With Outpatient Treatment | Characteristics not Associated With Treatment Setting |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Mausbach, 2017 (N = 236)         | Israel  | 38% (89/236)                              | LMWH and/or VKA                     | Absence of previous stroke                          | Older age                                           |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Male                                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Renal function                                      |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Provoked DVT                                        |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Previous VTE                                        |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Cardiac disease                                     |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Lung disease                                         |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Cancer                                               |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Previous bleeding                                    |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Clotting abnormality                                 |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Chronic liver disease                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Diabetes                                             |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Smoking                                              |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Drug abuse                                           |
| Tichter, 2017 (N = 690 000)      | US      | 54% (374,670/690,000)                     | NR                                  | Pulse oximetry percentage                           | NR                                                  |
| Lamb, 2016 (N = 1,146,469)       | US      | 49% (559,477/1,146,469)                   | NR                                  | Younger age                                          | NR                                                  |
| Singer, 2016 (N = 652,000)       | US      | 48% (312,960/652,000)                     | NR                                  | Absence of iliofemoral DVT                           | NR                                                  |
| Stein, 2016 (N = 2,671,452)      | US      | 34% (905,152/2,671,452)                   | NR                                  | Younger age                                          | NR                                                  |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     | Younger age                                          | NR                                                  |
| Dentali, 2015 (N = 1,452)        | Italy   | 54% (780/1452)                            | LMWH and/or VKA                     | DVT provoked by estrogen therapy                     | Male                                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     | Adequate renal function                              | Weight                                              |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     | Absence of anemia                                    | Prior VTE                                           |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Pregnancy                                           |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Chronic heart failure                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Chronic lung disease                                 |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Cancer                                               |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Recent major bleed                                   |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Postoperative                                        |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Immobility                                           |
| Rosa-Salazar, 2015 (N = 1,135)   | Intern. | 45% (515/1,135)                           | LMWH and/or VKA                     | Absence of chronic heart failure                     | Male                                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     | Cancer                                               | Prior VTE                                           |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Chronic lung disease                                 |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Recent major bleeding                                |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Anemia                                               |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Abnormal platelet count                              |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Postoperative                                        |
|                                  |         |                                           |                                     |                                                     | Immobility                                           |

(continued)
| Author, Year (N) | Country     | Proportion Treated as Outpatients, % (n/N) | Primary Anticoagulant Upon Discharge | Characteristics Associated With Outpatient Treatment | Characteristics not Associated With Treatment Setting |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Stein, 2015 (N = 96) | US          | 11% (11/96)                              | NR                                  | No comorbidities<sup>d</sup>                         | Older age                                 |
| Trujillo-Santos, 2015 (N = 15 280) | International | 34% (5164/15 280)                       | LMWH and/or VKA                     | Younger age, Male, Higher weight, Adequate renal function, Not proximal or bilateral lower limb DVT, Absence of the following comorbidities: Chronic heart failure, Chronic lung disease, Recent major bleeding, Anemia, Abnormal platelet count, Immobility | Prior VTE, Cancer, Postoperative |
| Lozano, 2014 (N = 13 493) | International | 33% (4456/13 493)                       | LMWH and/or VKA                     | Younger age, Male, Higher weight, Adequate renal function, Not proximal or bilateral lower limb DVT, Absence of the following comorbidities: Chronic heart failure, Chronic lung disease, Cancer, Recent major bleeding, Anemia, Immobility | Prior VTE, Postoperative |
| Gibson-Chambers, 2013 (N = 845 000) | US          | 42% (358 280/845 000)                   | NR                                  | Younger age, Female, Decreased number of comorbidities<sup>e</sup> |                                               |

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; NR, not reported; US, United States; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; VKA, vitamin K antagonist; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

<sup>a</sup>Statistical significance was not reported for desired outcomes; thus, we independently analyzed the data to generate a P value, with values <.05 considered statistically significant.

<sup>b</sup>Defined by the Charlson comorbidity index. The proportion of patients with no comorbidities treated as outpatients was higher than the number of patients with comorbid conditions treated as outpatients.

<sup>c</sup>All included patients had deep vein thrombosis in the upper extremity.

<sup>d</sup>The most common comorbid conditions were diabetes and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Other comorbidities included dementia, cancer, and cerebral vascular disease.

<sup>e</sup>Defined by the Charlson comorbidity index and measured as a continuous variable.
For analysis. For instance, while several clinical studies reported the proportion of outpatients and inpatients with immobility, it is unlikely that this characteristic was available in the included studies using claims data. Similarly, some included studies used univariate analysis, while others used multivariable analysis, to compare clinical characteristics among outpatients versus inpatients, which could have also contributed to differences in the clinical characteristics associated with treatment setting across studies.

Of the studies of programs aimed at facilitating outpatient treatment of acute DVT for patients presenting to the ED identified in our systematic review, one (Beam and colleagues) reported outcomes among outpatients (n = 71) that were prospectively followed for ~1 year (mean = 389 days) after their acute DVT. None of these patients had recurrent VTE or major bleeding while receiving DOAC therapy. Decreased costs and favorable health-related quality of life scores have also been reported among patients selected for outpatient treatment using the criteria described by Beam and colleagues. In a case–control study, treatment costs were compared between patients receiving outpatient treatment with a DOAC (ie, cases) and 47 controls treated with initial LMWH and a VKA following an acute VTE. At 6 months, median VTE-related treatment costs were US$1446 (interquartile range [IQR] = US$1143–US$2842) for patients receiving a DOAC versus US$4006 (IQR = US$2692–US$8476) in the control group (P < .001). Further, the Venous Insufficiency Epidemiological and Economic Study Quality of Life Table 3. Criteria Used to Deem Patients With Deep Vein Thrombosis Ineligible for Outpatient Treatment in Studies of Emergency Department Programs.

| Criteria                                    | Barrett (2016) | Beam (2015)b | Falconieri (2014)c | Davis (2013)c |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Active or high risk for bleeding            |               |              |                    |               |
| Active bleeding                             | Yes           | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| Recent GI bleeding                          | Yes           | NR           | Yes                | NR            |
| Recent surgery                              | Yes           | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| Recent stroke or thrombolytic therapy       | Yes           | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| Recent trauma or hospitalization            | NR            | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| Coagulopathy                                | Yes           | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| Thrombocytopenia                            | NR            | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| High risk for fall or trauma                | NR            | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| Comorbidities                               |               |              |                    |               |
| Decreased renal function                    | Yes           | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| Liver disease/dysfunction                   | Yes           | Yes/No d     | Yes                | Yes           |
| Overweight/obese                            | Yes           | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| Chronic lung disease                        | Yes           | Yes/No d     | NR                 | NR            |
| Heart failure                               | Yes           | Yes/No d     | NR                 | NR            |
| HIT                                         | NR            | Yes          | Yes                | NR            |
| Receiving chemotherapy for cancer           | NR            | Yes/No d     | Yes                | Yes           |
| Immobilization                              | NR            | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| Social factors                              |               |              |                    |               |
| Unreliable follow-up or unable obtain medication | Yes       | Yes          | Yes                | NR            |
| Incarcerated                                | Yes           | Yes          | NR                 | NR            |
| Psychosis                                   | NR            | Yes          | Yes                | NR            |
| Drug/alcohol dependence                     | NR            | Yes          | Yes                | NR            |
| Presentation                                |               |              |                    |               |
| Iliofemoral DVT                              | Yes           | NR           | NR                 | NR            |
| Extensive or bilateral DVT                  | Yes           | NR           | Yes                | NR            |
| Recurrent DVT                               | NR            | NR           | Yes                | Yes           |
| DVT developed while on anticoagulation      | Yes           | NR           | NR                 | NR            |
| Intractable pain                            | NR            | Yes          | NR                 | NR            |
| SBP <100 or >180 mm Hg                      | NR            | Yes          | NR                 | NR            |
| Other factors                               |               |              |                    |               |
| Pregnancy                                   | Yes           | Yes          | Yes                | Yes           |
| Drug interactions                           | Yes           | NR           | Yes                | NR            |

Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; GI, gastrointestinal; HIT, heparin induced thrombocytopenia; NR, not reported; SBP, systolic blood pressure

a"Yes" indicates criteria used to deem patients ineligible for outpatient treatment. Chu and colleagues as well as Karbhel and colleagues reported selecting patients for outpatient treatment via clinical gestalt.

bThis criterion was also applied to patients with pulmonary embolism.

cThis criterion is based on criterion from InterQual software. Padron and colleagues also report that criterion from InterQual software was used to identify patients who may be ineligible for outpatient treatment.

dThis study listed any medical condition requiring hospital treatment (as judged by the clinician) as a criteria that would deem a patient ineligible for outpatient treatment.

ePatients with cancer underwent additional risk stratification via the POMPEC clinical prediction rule.
Table 4. Process for Outpatient Treatment of Acute Deep Vein Thrombosis Across Emergency Department Programs.

| Author, Year | ED Treatment | Primary Anticoagulant Upon Discharge | Postdischarge Follow-Up |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Chu, 2017    | Pharmacist reviews patient chart (eg, baseline laboratory values, comorbidities) for contraindication to anticoagulation and provides advice on dosing, provides education and medication counseling, maintains awareness of underinsured patients, facilitates prior authorization paperwork if needed for anticoagulant and anticoagulant filled through outpatient pharmacy and delivered to patient in ED (30-day supply) | DOAC | Outpatient follow-up established prior to discharge with assistance from social work services. Follow-up visit within 1-2 weeks encouraged. Pharmacist calls patients in the weeks following discharge until follow-up confirmed |
| Kabrhel, 2017| ED clinicians and case managers educate patients about the importance of follow-up. Use of case managers to check if medications are covered by insurance and assess adherence is encouraged | DOAC | Clinicians and case managers make every effort to ensure follow-up appointment with PCP or designated VTE clinic within 1 week. Patients called at 7 and 30 days |
| Barrett, 2016| Baseline CBC and BMP obtained, patient given first dose of anticoagulant, ED pharmacist dispenses 7- to 14-day supply of anticoagulant and provides education and medication counseling. ED pharmacist also consulted to determine which anticoagulant can be prescribed based on a patient’s insurance | DOAC | Appointment (within 3-7 days) scheduled prior to discharge with assistance from social work |
| Beam, 2015*  | Baseline CBC and BMP obtained, patient given 1 dose of DOAC (1 time dose of LMWH optional), a prescription for a DOAC, and discharge instructions (which included contact information for physician) provided | DOAC | Patients seen in designated VTE clinic at 3 weeks and 3-6 months. Patients called 1-2 days after discharge to confirm that they filled the medication and to answer any questions |
| Padron, 2015 | Pharmacist drops off prescription at outpatient pharmacy and provides patient with a slip to pick up the medication, provides education and medication counseling, and instructs patients to call pharmacist with questions after discharge | LMWH and/or VKA | Appointment at anticoagulation clinic scheduled prior to discharge. Follow-up appointments occur at 1, 3 and 6 months and patients called prior to appointments to remind them of the visit |
| Falconieri, 2014| Baseline laboratory values obtained, appropriate anticoagulant selected, medication access assessed with assistance from social work, prescription given (1 time dose of LMWH was optional), and education (which included patient handouts) and medication counseling provided. Observation unit was utilized for patients when more extensive discharge planning was required | DOAC | Appointment scheduled with PCP or antithrombotic service. Patients called by pharmacist in first 3-5 days and then again at 30 days |
| Misky, 2014  | Baseline laboratory values obtained, prescription and educational handouts given, education and medication counseling provided by nurse and/or pharmacist. Case management helps with discharge planning. Low-income patients received medication assistance for anticoagulants | LMWH and/or VKA | Providers submit a standardized electronic form that ensures a follow-up appointment with a pharmacist-run anticoagulation clinic is scheduled. Patients called within 3 days to confirm they have obtained the medication and are taking it correctly and to screen for adverse events and disease progression. Patients are re-educated about disease state, importance of follow-up, and medication during call |

(continued)
questionnaire (VEINES QoL) and the physical component summary (PCS) from the 36-item Short-Form Health Survey were administered to 106 patients managed in an outpatient setting for acute DVT at 2 to 4 weeks (ie, baseline) and 3 to 6 months following ED discharge. Mean ± SD VEINES QoL and PCS scores at baseline were 48 ± 6 and 37.2 ± 13.9, respectively, which are similar to scores previously reported among patients with acute DVT. At 3 to 6 months, VEINES QoL scores increased to 73 ± 7 (P < .001), while PCS scores increased to 42.2 ± 12.9 (P < .05). Although this program designed by Beam and colleagues resulted in favorable outcomes, whether similar programs will increase the proportion of patients with acute DVT that can be safely managed as outpatients has yet to be determined.

This systematic review has several limitations. Outpatient treatment requires adequate social support. Patients must be able to attend follow-up visits, have access to anticoagulant treatment, and be able to easily return to the hospital if they were to deteriorate. Unfortunately, these factors were not reported in the majority of studies classified as category A in our systematic review, and thus, there was no way to summarize the impact that they might have had on disposition decisions. Second, the sample size of some included studies in category A was small (ie, <300 patients were evaluated). These smaller studies may have had lower power to show a difference in clinical characteristics among outpatients versus inpatients. Third, outpatient DVT ED programs may be created as quality improvement projects at single institutions. These initiatives may not be disseminated outside the institution or only presented at local meetings and thus could not be captured in our literature search. Finally, many studies did not report outcomes (ie, mortality, major bleeding, and recurrent VTE) among patients with DVT treated as outpatients, and thus, we could not report these outcomes in our systematic review.

Conclusion

Although RCT evidence demonstrating the safety and efficacy of outpatient treatment for acute DVT has existed for >20 years, the proportion of all-comer patients with DVT managed as outpatients across real-world studies in this systematic review was low (ie, ≤50% all but 4 studies). While the clinical characteristics associated with outpatient treatment in these all-comer patients varied considerably, several programs aimed at facilitating outpatient DVT treatment have been described. It is possible that programs similar to these will increase the proportion of patients with DVT who can be safely managed as outpatients.

Authors’ Note

Informed consent was not applicable for this systematic review summarizing already published studies.
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