Clinical Impact of Flat Panel Volume CT Angiography in Evaluating the Accurate Intraoperative Deployment of Flow-Diverter Stents

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The deployment of flow-diverter stents may be difficult to analyse on regular DSA. The purpose of our study was to investigate the clinical impact of stent-dedicated flat panel volume CT angiography to evaluate intraoperatively the satisfactory deployment of flow-diverter stents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: From January 2009 to April 2015, 83 consecutive patients (mean age, 51 years; 62 women) were treated in our institution with flow-diverter stents. Eighty-seven aneurysms (82 unruptured, 5 ruptured; 77 anterior, 10 posterior circulation) were treated in these 83 patients (4 patients had 2 aneurysms, both treated by means of flow-diverter stents). One patient was treated for a traumatic carotid cavernous fistula. In 80% of the cases (68/85) a flat panel volume CT angiography was performed in the angiographic suite just after the flow-diverter stent deployment. Stent visualization was assessed by 2 independent reviewers. The clinical impact of stent malposition was evaluated.

RESULTS: Flow-diverter stent visualization was satisfactory in 73.5% of the cases. In 2 cases (2.9%) the flat panel volume CT angiography prompted the operator to perform an additional intrastent angioplasty for a condition that was previously underestimated. Four patients (4.7%) experienced acute thromboembolic complications; 3 others had delayed thromboembolic complications. Only 1 of these patients had thromboembolic complications (acute or delayed) related to stent misdeployment, which was easily managed intraoperatively with no clinical consequence.

CONCLUSIONS: Flat panel volume CT angiography is an interesting tool to depict flow-diverter stent misdeployment and may encourage the operator to perform intrastent angioplasty (2.9% of the cases in our experience) to reduce the risks of thromboembolic complications.

ABBREVIATIONS: FDS = flow-diverter stent; FPV-CTA = flat panel volume CT angiography

Flow-diverter stents (FDSs) have gained acceptance in recent years for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms, especially giant and large ones.1,2 This new-generation stent shifted the paradigm of treatment for intracranial aneurysms and now helps in treating intracranial aneurysms that were previously considered as challenging or even impossible to treat by endovascular means.3 However, one of the drawbacks for their use is their poor radiopacity.2 Indeed, despite the addition of radiopaque strands in the design of these stents, their visibility remains poor, hampering the visualization of their accurate deployment by the operator during the procedure. Flat panel volume CT angiography (FPV-CTA) is now increasingly used to evaluate the deployment of regular intracranial stents in cases of stent-assisted coiling,5-7 and has shown its effectiveness to depict kinking/misdeployment. However, the potential of this technique has been poorly reported for FDSs.

The purpose of our study was to evaluate the potential of the FPV-CTA to display the accurate deployment of FDSs and to evaluate the clinical impact of FDS misdeployment depicted by this technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Demographics/Aneurysm Characteristics
All patient demographics and aneurysm characteristics are summarized in Table 1. From January 2009 to April 2015, 87 intracranial aneurysms (82 unruptured, 5 acutely ruptured; 77 anterior, 10 posterior circulation) were treated in 83 consecutive patients (mean age, 51 ± 12.1 years; age range, 20–77 years; 62 women, 21 men), during 85 embolization procedures (4 patients had 2 aneu-
Endovascular Treatment

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia. In all except 1 case of blood-blister aneurysm, patients received dual antiplatelet therapy (clopidogrel and aspirin) before the treatment, which was pursued for 3–6 months after the procedure. Aspirin was then pursued for a total of 1 year. In all except 1 case (blood-blister aneurysm treated in emergency), the sensitivity to clopidogrel as well as to aspirin was evaluated by using the Multiplate aggregation test (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). In most cases, full anticoagulation was performed during the treatment, with an activated clottting time target between 2–3 fold the baseline. For the patient treated in emergency for the blood-blister aneurysm, the antithrombotic protocol was as follows: oral clopidogrel, 300 mg, 4 hours before the endovascular treatment, then continuous IV heparin (activated clottting time, 2- to 3-fold the baseline), and finally an IV bolus of aspirin (250 mg) during the procedure. Then the dual antiplatelet therapy (clopidogrel, 75 mg and aspirin, 250 mg) was pursued for 6 months and aspirin alone for an 6 additional months.

For patients who were resistant to clopidogrel, clopidogrel was replaced by ticagrelor (90 mg, twice a day) for 6 months.

The patients were all treated with a FDS (Pipeline Embolization Device [Coividien, Irvine, California] in 58 cases [67%], Silk [Balt Extrusion, Montmorency, France] in 14 cases [16%], NeuroEndoGraft [Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo, Michigan] in 10 cases [11.5%], and FRED [MicroVention, Tustin, California] in 5 cases [5.7%]). In 12/85 cases (14%), 2 FDSs or more were used for the treatment of the same aneurysm. On average, 1.15 ± 0.4 FDSs were used per patient (range, 1–3).

In 80% of the cases (68/85), an FPV-CTA was performed in the angiographic suite just after the stent(s) deployment. The remaining 20% of cases corresponded to the cases treated in our early experience for which no FPV-CTA was performed or to cases for which raw data were not available on the PACS. In 37% of the cases (21/68), coils were already present in the aneurysm sac (either in case of recanalized coiled aneurysm or when the aneurysm was coiled before the FPV-CTA). In 15/68 cases (22%), the delivery microcatheter was kept in place (ie, within the lumen of the FDS) during the FPV-CTA acquisition (Fig 1). In one case, an FPV-CTA was performed with a jailed microcatheter inside the aneurysm sac (Fig 2).

All the patients explored by means of FPV-CTA had the same imaging protocol detailed below.

### Acquisition Protocol

All FPV-CTAs were performed by using the same protocol. Via the guiding catheter used for the stent deployment, we proceeded to an intra-arterial injection in the parent vessel of iohexol, 300 mg I/mL, diluted at 20% with saline. All the FPV-CTAs were performed in the same biplane angiographic suite (Axiom Artis dBC [Siemens, Erlangen, Germany]); C-arm FPV-CTA characteristics were as follow: 200° of rotation (from 100° left oblique projection to 100° right oblique projection); 1 image/0.4° (20s-1KDR protocol); 20 mm³ of the 20% diluted contrast media were injected at 1 mL/s at the beginning of the CT-rotational acquisition. Secondary reconstructions were performed on a dedicated workstation (Artis Workplace; Siemens). For these reconstructions, a 512 × 512 matrix was used with a volume of view as small as possible, adapted to the length of the FDS. The voxel size was 0.14 mm. A batch of about 500 images was obtained from this reconstruction, with 5-mm thick sections and no intersection gap.

### Evaluation Criteria

All FPV-CTA acquisitions were independently reviewed by 2 observers (F.C. and F.D.M.) with 5 and 6 years, experience, respec-

---

**Table 1: Patient demographics and aneurysm characteristics**

| Demographics/Characteristics | Overall Population | Patients Who Underwent FPV-CTA |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| No. of patients             | 83                 | 68                              |
| Age (mean ± SD)             | 51 ± 12            | 51 ± 12                         |
| Female (no., %)             | 62 (75)            | 51 (75)                         |
| No. of aneurysms            | 87                 | 70                              |
| Direct CC fistula (no.)     | 1                  | 1                               |
| No. of procedures           | 84                 | 70                              |
| Aneurysm locations          |                    |                                 |
| Anterior circulation (no., %) | 76 (87.4)     | 63 (90)                         |
| Paracoloid ICA (no., %)     | 36 (41.4)          | 28 (40)                         |
| Cavernous ICA (no., %)      | 20 (23)            | 17 (24)                         |
| ACA/AComA (no., %)          | 7 (8)              | 6 (8.6)                         |
| ICA terminus (no., %)       | 4 (4.6)            | 4 (5.7)                         |
| AChoA/PComA (no., %)        | 4 (4.6)            | 4 (5.7)                         |
| MCA (no., %)                | 4 (4.6)            | 3 (4.3)                         |
| Petrous ICA (no., %)        | 1 (1)              | 1 (1.4)                         |
| Posterior circulation       | 11 (12.6)          | 7 (10)                          |
| Vertebral artery (no., %)   | 5 (5.7)            | 3 (4.3)                         |
| BA (no., %)                 | 3 (3.4)            | 1 (1.4)                         |
| PCA (no., %)                | 2 (2.3)            | 2 (2.9)                         |
| SCA (no., %)                | 1 (1.1)            | 1 (1.4)                         |
| Aneurysm maximum diameter (mean ± SD) | 9.2 ± 6.5 | 9.8 ± 6.5                        |
| Aneurysm neck (mean ± SD)   | 5.4 ± 3            | 5.4 ± 3                         |
| Acutely ruptured aneurysms (no., %) | 5 (5.7) | 3 (4.3)                        |
| Recanalized aneurysms (no., %) | 16 (18.4) | 13 (18.6)             |
| Previously clipped (no., %) | 2 (2.3)            | 2 (2.9)                         |
| Previously coiled (no., %)  | 14 (16.1)          | 11 (15.7)                       |

Note: —ACA indicates anterior cerebral artery; AChoA, anterior choroidal artery; AComA, anterior communicating artery; BA, basilar artery; CC, carotid cavernous; PCA, posterior cerebral artery; PComA, posterior communicating artery; SCA, superior cerebellar artery.

---

The patients were all treated with a FDS (Pipeline Embolization Device [Coividien, Irvine, California] in 58 cases [67%], Silk [Balt Extrusion, Montmorency, France] in 14 cases [16%], NeuroEndoGraft [Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo, Michigan] in 10 cases [11.5%], and FRED [MicroVention, Tustin, California] in 5 cases [5.7%]). In 12/85 cases (14%), 2 FDSs or more were used for the treatment of the same aneurysm. On average, 1.15 ± 0.4 FDSs were used per patient (range, 1–3).

In 80% of the cases (68/85), an FPV-CTA was performed in the angiographic suite just after the stent(s) deployment. The remaining 20% of cases corresponded to the cases treated in our early experience for which no FPV-CTA was performed or to cases for which raw data were not available on the PACS. In 37% of the cases (21/68), coils were already present in the aneurysm sac (either in case of recanalized coiled aneurysm or when the aneurysm was coiled before the FPV-CTA). In 15/68 cases (22%), the delivery microcatheter was kept in place (ie, within the lumen of the FDS) during the FPV-CTA acquisition (Fig 1). In one case, an FPV-CTA was performed with a jailed microcatheter inside the aneurysm sac (Fig 2).

All the patients explored by means of FPV-CTA had the same imaging protocol detailed below.

### Acquisition Protocol

All FPV-CTAs were performed by using the same protocol. Via the guiding catheter used for the stent deployment, we proceeded to an intra-arterial injection in the parent vessel of iohexol, 300 mg I/mL, diluted at 20% with saline. All the FPV-CTAs were performed in the same biplane angiographic suite (Axiom Artis dBC [Siemens, Erlangen, Germany]); C-arm FPV-CTA characteristics were as follow: 200° of rotation (from 100° left oblique projection to 100° right oblique projection); 1 image/0.4° (20s-1KDR protocol); 20 mm³ of the 20% diluted contrast media were injected at 1 mL/s at the beginning of the CT-rotational acquisition. Secondary reconstructions were performed on a dedicated workstation (Artis Workplace; Siemens). For these reconstructions, a 512 × 512 matrix was used with a volume of view as small as possible, adapted to the length of the FDS. The voxel size was 0.14 mm. A batch of about 500 images was obtained from this reconstruction, with 5-mm thick sections and no intersection gap.

### Evaluation Criteria

All FPV-CTA acquisitions were independently reviewed by 2 observers (F.C. and F.D.M.) with 5 and 6 years, experience, respec-
tively, in interventional neuroradiology. The FPV-CTA volumes were analyzed on a dedicated workstation (Leonardo workstation) with the aid of thin section multiplanar reconstructions (mainly in a plane perpendicular to the great axis of the parent artery) and MIP (thickness, 5 mm) reconstructions. The following criteria were independently evaluated by both observers: quality of the FDS visualization (poor/fair/good); the rate of the FDS visualized (not visualized/partial visualization/complete visualization); and the presence of misdeployment (kinking/misopening/twisted stent). In cases of stent misdeployment, the location of the misdeployment was graded as follows: proximal, central, or distal. Discrepancies between the 2 observers were settled in consensus. Finally, the presence of contrast media stagnation in the aneurysm sac was evaluated in consensus.

Statistical Analysis

Interrater agreement was performed by means of a weighted κ test.

κ index ≤0.40 was considered as poor agreement; between 0.41–0.60 as moderate agreement; between 0.61–0.80 as good agreement; and from 0.81–1 as excellent agreement.  

Influence of the following factors on FDS visualization was evaluated: age, sex, aneurysm location (ie, anterior/posterior circulation), aneurysm maximum diameter, aneurysm neck, presence of coils/clips, microcatheter in place within the FDS lumen, and presence of contrast media stagnation in the aneurysm sac. All statistical tests were performed by means of the STATA software (Version 11; StataCorp, College Station, Texas). A P value <.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Ethical Statement

Neither approval of the institutional review board nor patient informed consent are required by the ethics committee of our institution (Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital) for retrospective analyses of patient records and imaging data.

RESULTS

FDS Visualization

Data regarding FDS evaluation are summarized in Table 2. Interrater agreement was fair (κ = 0.38) for the stent visualization quality (poor/fair/good) on FPV-CTA, moderate (κ = 0.49) for the proportion of the stent visualized (partial/complete), and moderate (κ = 0.57) for the analysis of the deployment quality (satisfactory/misopening). The analysis in consensus showed a satisfactory visualization of the FDS in 83.8% of the cases, a complete visualization of the FDS in 73.5% of the cases, and a misopening of the FDS in 11.8% of the cases (Figs 3 and 4). Univariate analysis showed that only the aneurysm’s maximum diameter had an influence on stent visualization (P < .001). On the contrary, age, sex, aneurysm location (ie, anterior/posterior circulation), presence of coils/clipping, stent type, and number of stents had no influence on the visualization quality of the FDS (Table 3). In addition, the presence of the microcatheter inside the FDS during the acquisition (observed in 15/68 cases [22%]), the...
presence of a jailed microcatheter (observed in 1/68 cases [1.5%]), or the presence of contrast media stagnation (observed in 4/68 cases [6%]) had no influence on visualization quality of the FDS (Table 3). Multivariate analysis showed that both the presence of coils inside the aneurysm sac and an intrasaccular contrast media stagnation during the FPV-CTA decreased the visualization quality of the FDS (P = 0.015 and 0.02, respectively).

**FDS Misopening/Additional Angioplasty**

Misopening was depicted on FPV-CTA in 11.8% (8/68) of the cases. In 2.9% (2/68) of the cases, the misopening was visible only on the FPV-CTA. On the contrary, no case of malposition was seen only on plain x-ray and missed by the FPV-CTA. No malposition was seen in the case with a jailed microcatheter. In 2/8 cases (25%), the misopenning was located at the distal aspect of the FDS; in 3/8 cases (37.5%), it was located at the proximal aspect. In the remaining 3 cases (37.5%) the misopening was observed in the central aspect of the FDS.

**Clinical Consequences of Misdeployment Depicted**

Seven (10.3%) intrastent angioplasties were performed after FDS deployment. In 5 cases (7.4%), the angioplasty was performed because major misopening was depicted on DSA before the FPV-CTA was performed. In the remaining 2 cases (2.9%), the misopening that led the operator to perform angioplasty was only seen on FPV-CTA (Figs 3 and 4). Interestingly, in both cases, the FDS were NeuroEndoGrafts.

**Complications**

Overall thromboembolic complication rate was 9.4% (8/85); the symptomatic thromboembolic complication rate was 7% (6/85). Thromboembolic complications leading to neurologic sequelae were recorded in 4.7% (4/85) of the cases. Acute thromboembolic complications (ie, intraoperative or in the immediate postoperative period) occurred in 4.7% of the cases (4/85); among them, only 2 (2.3%) were responsible for neurologic sequelae. Only 1 (1.2%) thromboembolic complication related to misopening or kinking of the FDS was recorded. In this case, a clot formed inside the FDS, which was misopenned in its central aspect. This incomplete opening was obvious on DSA, and the clot resolved after intrastent angioplasty and IV injection of a bolus of abciximab. No clinical consequence was recorded in the latter patient. Three delayed (> 4 months) ischemic complications were recorded. These 3 cases have been previously reported. None of these delayed ischemic complications were related to FDS misopening or kinking. Interestingly, in 1 case, a delayed stenosis located at the distal aspect of the FDS was depicted after a thromboembolic event occurred, whereas no misopening was seen on the FPV-CTA performed intraoperatively.

**DISCUSSION**

FDSs are a new tool in the armamentarium of the interventional neuroradiologist that may help in treating intracranial aneurysms previously considered as uncoilable or very challenging to treat with regular techniques.

Despite their potential for the treatment of difficult aneurysms, one of the major limitations for their use is their poor radiopacity. Indeed, to increase their pushability and conformability, the use of radiopaque components in the design of the FDS is limited because they dramatically increase their stiffness.
FPV-CTA is a CT-like acquisition performed via a rotation of the C-arm–mounted flat panel around the patient’s head that can be easily performed in the angiographic suite. Since its early development, it has shown its potential to depict intraprocedural bleeding and also to provide accurate imaging of endovascular implants. The potential of FPV-CTA has been shown for the management of various vascular conditions.
accurate visualization of intracranial stents (laser-cut as well as braided stents). It helps to see precisely the struts of the stent and may allow the depiction of a kinking, twisting, or stent misopening. FPV-CTA protocols have been developed either without injection or with diluted contrast material injection. The major advantage of protocols with contrast material injection (IV or intra-arterial) is that they may help to depict intrastent thrombus or endoleak (ie, contrast media filling between the FDS and the inner wall of the parent artery) caused by incorrect apposition of the stent.

Only scant data are available in the literature on the potential of FPV-CTA by intra-arterial or IV injection for the visualization of FDSs and its clinical impact. Such protocols have been used to evaluate the accuracy of the FDS deployment intraoperatively and for follow-up imaging. The potential of the visualization of the accurate deployment of FDS appears of tremendous importance owing to the fact that most intraoperative, postprocedure, or delayed complications, like thromboembolic events or even stent occlusion, seem to be related to FDS kinking or misdeployment. In our series, we did not record any symptomatic ischemic complication caused by kinking or misdeployment of the FDS. The absence of such complications in our series may be explained by the fact that we widely used FPV-CTA (80% of the cases) to evaluate the FDS deployment and performed intrastent angioplasty in 7.4% of the cases (2.9% on the basis of FPV-CTA alone). In the series by Kizilkilic et al, FPV-CTA results led to additional maneuvers (intrastent angioplasty or telescopic stent deployment) in 13% of the cases.

The only factors that were found by the multivariate analysis to be reducing the FDS visualization were the presence of coils and the presence of contrast media stagnation (P = .015 and .02, respectively). Consequently, we recommend performing the FPV-CTA acquisition before additional coiling when coils are needed (especially for large and giant aneurysms). To avoid contrast media stagnation in the aneurysm sac (frequently seen in giant and large aneurysms) that may hamper the FDS visualization, we recommend rinsing the parent artery with saline through the guiding catheter before performing the FPV-CTA. However, this rinsing may sometimes be insufficient when major intrasaccular stagnation is obtained after the FDS deployment. Interestingly, FPV-CTA acquisitions with the microcatheter inside the FDS lumen did not reduce the visualization quality. In addition, in the only case in which a jailed microcatheter was present in our series, no malapposition was observed. However, the presence of a jailed microcatheter may hamper the FDS deployment, especially in cases of the parent artery presenting huge curves.

Limitations of the Study
Our study presents some limitations. First, this is a monocenter retrospective study. Second, the population involved was a middle-sized one (n = 83). However, the relatively low volume of the population involved could be explained by the fact that the indi-
mations for FDS treatment are still nowadays limited because of a significant increased complication risk compared with regular endovascular techniques.\(^2\) Second, no metallic artifact reduction algorithm was used in our series. These algorithms may be very useful when coils or clipping are already present before the stent deployment (recanalized aneurysms).\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^22\) However, our results reflect the regular clinical practice because these algorithms are only available in few centers with angiographic suites optimized to clinical research. Finally, the proportion of FDS brands used for the treatment of the patients was not evenly distributed. Indeed, in most cases, a Pipeline Embolization Device was used (58/85; 68%). This heterogeneity made the comparison between the different FDSs for the quality of the deployment impossible.

### CONCLUSIONS

FPV-CTA is a useful tool to depict FDS misdeployment/misopen-
ing, which may be not visible on plain x-ray. In 2.9% of the cases, the results of the FPV-CTA prompted investigators to perform intrastent angioplasty to avoid acute or delayed thromboembolic complication. We thus recommend performing FPV-CTA systematically after stent deployment in patients treated with FDS.
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