A new species of deep-sea grunt, *Rhonciscus pauco* (Lutjaniformes: Haemulidae), from Puerto Rico
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**ABSTRACT**

A fourth species of the genus *Rhonciscus* (Lutjaniformes: Haemulidae) is described from various specimens collected by small-scale fishers from the insular upper slope of western Puerto Rico. The new species was molecularly recovered as sister to the Eastern Pacific *R. branickii*, to which it bears many morphological similarities. It is distinguished from other *Rhonciscus* species by the number of scale rows between the dorsal fin and the lateral line (7), larger and thus fewer scales along the lateral line (48–50), large eyes (9.4–10.4 times in SL), longer caudal peduncle (15.2–20% of SL), larger sized penultimate (14.7–19.1% in SL) and last (7.4–9.5% in SL) dorsal fin spines which translates to a less deeply notched dorsal fin, and its opalescent silver with golden specks live coloration. This grunt, only now recognized by ichthyologists, but well known by local fishers that target snappers and groupers between 200 and 500 m in depth, occurs in far deeper waters than any western Atlantic grunt.

**INTRODUCTION**

The family Haemulidae (together with the snappers, Lutjanidae) is one of the two clades grouped in the order Lutjaniformes Bleeker (*Betancur et al., 2017*), a tropical lineage that includes commercially important shore fishes. The number of recognized grunt species in two recent checklists varies between 134 and 136, grouped into two subfamilies (*Nelson, Grande & Wilson, 2016; Fricke, Eschmeyer & Fong, 2021*). Approximately 62 species of the subfamily Haemulinae inhabit New World waters, with the subfamily Plectorhinchinae restricted to African shores and to the Indian and western Pacific Oceans. The most recent revision by *Tavera, Acero & Wainwright (2018)* recognized 15 New World haemulid genera.

The genus *Pomadasys* Lacepède, 1802 (type species *Sciaena argentea* Forsskål, 1775) included several loosely related species from tropical and temperate seas. Species in this polyphyletic assemblage exhibit color and morphological convergence which has resulted in several of them being uncritically assigned to *Pomadasys* (*Tavera et al., 2012*).
This genus was split into at least five lineages widely spread throughout the family phylogeny, one of which became the genus *Rhonciscus* (*Tavera, Acero & Wainwright, 2018*). Further revision is needed to clarify the systematics and taxonomy of the *Pomadasys* (sensu lato) polyphyletic assemblage.

As for the New World species, *Jordan & Evermann (1896)* described two genera, *Rhencus* (type species *Pristipoma panamense* Steindachner, 1876) and *Rhonciscus* (type species *Pristipoma crocro* Cuvier, 1830). These two genera were considered junior synonyms of *Pomadasys* until *Tavera, Acero & Wainwright (2018)* resurrected them. The genus *Rhonciscus* comprises rather elongate species found in marine and brackish waters, but also in rivers and freshwater streams. It presently includes three species, *R. crocro*, distributed from southern Florida (USA) to at least Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), in the western Atlantic (WA), and two Eastern Pacific (EP) species: *R. branickii* (Steindachner, 1879) from southern Baja California (Mexico) to Paita (Perú), and *R. bayanus* (Jordan & Evermann, 1898) from Mazatlán (Mexico) to Rio Tumbes, Perú. Both *R. crocro* and *R. bayanus* can be found in freshwater rivers or streams flowing into the ocean. A fourth, unrecognized *Rhonciscus* species is described based on 14 specimens captured by fishers off the west coast of Puerto Rico, WA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Data collection and analysis**

In May 2017 two specimens were collected by the same fisherman from the insular upper slope (280–360 m) off the west coast of Puerto Rico (Tres Cerros, Rincón; 18°20′39″N; 67°17′00″W). Both grunts were caught incidentally on hooks at the end of buoyed vertical line gear, locally known as *cala con boya*, used by the deep-water, small-scale artisanal fleet. The specimens were deposited at the ichthyology collections of the Sam Noble Museum of Natural History of the University of Oklahoma (Norman, OK, USA) and the Florida Museum of Natural History of the University of Florida (Gainesville, FL, USA). Institutional abbreviations follow *Sabaj (2019)*. Twelve additional specimens were caught in August 2019 by another fisher with Antillean fish traps deployed between 200 and 250 m in depth, approximately 5 km west of the mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco (*Fig. 1*). Counts and measurements follow *Bussing (1993)* and *Rocha & Rosa (1999)*. Measurements were made with calipers and recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm and are presented in *Table 1*. Information on other *Rhonciscus* species is included in *Table 2* for comparison.

Tissue samples were taken from the pectoral fin of the holotype and paratype specimens and stored in 96% EtOH. Molecular information is provided as additional evidence of the evolutionary distinction of this new taxon. DNA extraction and COI PCR amplification details can be seen in *Tavera et al. (2012)*. Sequencing was performed in one direction on an ABI 3100 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The sequences available in GenBank for all species of the genus *Rhonciscus* plus *Haemulon sciurus* (outgroup) were used for molecular comparisons and a preliminary phylogenetic reconstruction. GenBank accession numbers are as follows: *R. bayanus* MF446583; *R. branickii* JQ741307, JQ741308, MF956957, HQ676794; *R. crocro* JQ741309; and
H. sciurus EU697541. COI sequences were cleaned and trimmed with Geneious 9.1.8 (Kearse et al., 2012) and Muscle (Edgar, 2004) was used as the alignment algorithm with default parameters. Phylogenetic relationships were assessed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method. Five independent runs with a random starting tree, GTRGamma model of nucleotide substitution, 1,000 bootstrap analysis and search for the best scoring ML tree were performed with RAxML GUI 0.93 (Silvestro & Michalak, 2012; Stamatakis, 2014). Corrected intraspecific and interspecific genetic distances were calculated for all available sequences.

**Ethical approval**

“All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were strictly followed. All animal sample collection protocols complied with the..."
current laws of Puerto Rico.” Specimens were collected under the authorization of the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources research permit # 2017-IC-031 granted to M. Schärer-Umpierre.
Table 2  Meristic and morphometric character comparison of all valid *Rhonciscus* species.

| Ocean | *R. pauco* n = 14 WA | *R. crocro* n = 5 WA | *R. bayanus* n = 4 EP | *R. branickii* n = 5 EP |
|-------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Meristics | | | | |
| Dorsal fin | XIII, 12 | XIII, 11–12 | XII+I, 12 | XIII, 12–13 |
| Anal fin | III, 7 | III, 7 | III, 7–8 | III-7 |
| Pectoral fin | 15–16 (17) | 16–18 | 16–17 | 16–17 |
| Lateral line scales | 48–50 | 66–72 | 63–65 | 53–55 |
| Rows of scales above LL | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| Range of SL (mm) | 195–250 | 176–332 | 147–205 | 159–234 |
| % of SL | | | | |
| Head length | 30–37.25 | 29.41–37.52 | 30.41–31.53 | 28.49–35.57 |
| Eye diameter | 9.42–10.38 | 6.69–7.81 | 7.17–8.1 | 7.09–8.97 |
| Snout length | 7.58–11.47 | 7.35–10.88 | 7.17–8.1 | 7.09–8.97 |
| Maxillae length | 8.57–10.75 | 8.4–11.23 | 10.57–12.36 | 6.11–9.21 |
| Maximum depth | 31.9–37.37 | 28.79–32.95 | 27.54–33.8 | 31.97–36.28 |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 9.33–11.3 | 9.57–11.35 | 9.71–10.3 | 8.68–10.57 |
| Caudal peduncle length | 15.22–20 | 11.09–14.85 | 11.88–16.33 | 14.03–15.5 |
| Predorsal length | 30.7–35.62 | 30.93–39.35 | 32.23–33.9 | 31.19–34.63 |
| Preanal length | 68.12–72.22 | 71.93–76.23 | 67.4–71.93 | 70.53–74.19 |
| Prepelvic length | 36.5–40.56 | 35.59–43.48 | 35.64–36.54 | 34.4–39.84 |
| Prepectoral length | 31.79–38.43 | 30.11–38.22 | 29.72–31.93 | 28.88–34.35 |
| Pectoral length | 27.9–32.54 | 22.07–24.15 | 20.21–23.69 | 28.89–33.66 |
| First anal spine | 5.08–10.52 | 5.35–8.16 | 5–8.74 | 3.29–6.3 |
| Second anal spine | 16.41–21.8 | 10.82–20.34 | 16.66–20.01 | 15.4–17.21 |
| Third anal spine | 10.36–13.91 | 8.78–11.84 | 9.12–15.92 | 9.87–11.56 |
| Pelvic length | 18.19–22.66 | 18.28–22.36 | 16.49–22.24 | 21.56–25.74 |
| First dorsal spine | 2.92–5.79 | 2.53–3.81 | 2.46–3.87 | 2.84–4.81 |
| Fourth dorsal spine | 10.55–14.12 | 12.05–14.12 | 13.65–17.32 |
| Fifth dorsal spine | 11.56–14.88 | 11.26–14.88 | 13.02–16.24 |
| Penultimate dorsal spine | 7.38–9.54 | 3.63–6.03 | 5.08–5.66 | 4.06–6.66 |
| Last dorsal spine | 7.16–9.38 | 4.51–7.38 | 5.61–7 | 4.85–6.73 |
| First dorsal ray | 10.79–13.43 | 8.8–11.44 | 7.46–11.73 | 8.11–12.11 |
| Anterior nariane | 1.66–2.62 | 0.69–0.78 | 0.32–0.64 | 0.79–1.01 |
| Posterior nariane | 1.01–1.76 | 0.36–1.45 | 0.53–0.94 | 1.09–1.61 |

| Times in SL | | | | |
| Head length | 2.68–3.33 | 2.67–3.4 | 3.17–3.29 | 2.81–3.51 |
| Eye diameter | 9.62–10.6 | 12.8–14.94 | 12.35–13.94 | 11.15–14.11 |
| Snout length | 8.71–13.17 | 9.19–13.61 | 11.31–12.98 | 12.81–18.13 |
| Maxillae length | 9.29–11.66 | 8.91–11.9 | 8.09–9.46 | 10.86–16.38 |
| Maximum depth | 2.67–3.13 | 3.04–3.47 | 2.96–3.63 | 2.76–3.13 |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 8.84–10.71 | 8.81–10.45 | 9.71–10.3 | 9.46–11.53 |

(Continued)
Table 2 (continued)

| Ocean            | **R. pauco** | **R. crocro** | **R. bayanus** | **R. branickii** |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
|                  | *n* = 14     | *n* = 5       | *n* = 4        | *n* = 5          |
|                  | WA           | WA            | EP             | EP               |
| Caudal peduncle length | 5–6.56      | 6.73–9.02     | 6.12–8.42      | 6.45–7.13        |
| Predorsal length  | 2.8–3.25     | 2.54–3.23     | 2.95–3.1       | 2.89–3.21        |
| Preanal length    | 1.38–1.46    | 1.31–1.39     | 1.39–1.48      | 1.35–1.42        |
| Prepelvic length  | 2.46–2.73    | 2.3–2.81      | 2.74–2.81      | 2.51–2.91        |
| Prepectoral length| 2.6–3.14     | 2.62–3.32     | 3.13–3.36      | 2.91–3.35        |
| Pectoral length   | 3.07–3.58    | 4.14–4.53     | 4.22–4.95      | 2.97–3.46        |
| First anal spine  | 9.5–19.68    | 12.25–18.7    | 11.44–20.01    | 15.88–30.42      |
| Second anal spine | 4.58–6.09    | 4.92–9.24     | 5–6            | 5.81–6.49        |
| Third anal spine  | 7.18–9.65    | 8.45–11.39    | 6.28–10.97     | 8.65–10.13       |
| Pelvic length     | 4.41–5.49    | 4.47–5.47     | 4.5–6.07       | 3.88–4.64        |
| First dorsal spine| 17.25–34.24  | 26.24–39.46   | 25.85–40.69    | 20.79–35.25      |
| Fourth dorsal spine| 5.24–8.28  | 6.23–9.48     | 7.08–8.3       | 5.77–7.33        |
| Fifth dorsal spine| 5.22–6.8     | 6.72–8.65     | 6.72–8.88      | 6.16–7.68        |
| Penultimate dorsal spine | 10.47–13.54 | 16.58–27.55  | 17.66–19.69    | 15.01–24.63      |
| Last dorsal spine | 10.65–13.95  | 13.56–22.18   | 14.28–17.82    | 14.87–20.61      |
| First dorsal ray  | 7.44–9.26    | 8.74–11.36    | 8.52–13.4       | 8.26–12.33       |
| Anterior narine   | 38.16–60     | 128.07–145.12| 155.83–311.63  | 99.21–126.91     |
| Posterior narine  | 56.81–98.09  | 68.78–277.59  | 105.94–189.54  | 62.13–92         |

Times in HL

|                  |              |              |                |                 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
|                  | **Eye diameter** |              | **Snout length** |                 |
|                  | 3.08–3.66    | 3.9–5.17     | 3.89–4.28      | 3.65–4.02        |
|                  | 3.24–4.09    | 3.45–4.21    | 3.44–4.09      | 4.41–5.27        |
|                  | 3–3.77       | 2.92–3.57    | 2.46–2.94      | 3.86–4.69        |
|                  | 1.63–2.21    | 1.98–3.25    | 2.04–2.77      | 1.76–2.73        |
|                  | 3.26–6.51    | 3.73–7.02    | 3.48–6.31      | 4.55–8.67        |
|                  | 11.92–18     | 37.66–51.62  | 47.38–95.69    | 28.27–37.56      |
|                  | 18.79–33     | 25.81–81.63  | 32.21–58.2     | 17.7–32.73       |

"The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: (urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6BF57CB4-60DE-4FCE-BF33-B02DF8E7FE05). The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central SCIE and CLOCKSS."
RESULTS

*Rhonciscus pauco* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6BF57CB4-60DE-4FCE-BF33-B02DF8E7FE05

Opalescent Grunt

(Spanish name: *Ronco opalescente*)

Figures 2 to 5

Holotype. OMNH 86864, 266 mm SL, from Tres Cerros, Rincón, Puerto Rico, 18.34433°N; 67.28343°W. Collected with hook and line at 280 m depth. May 1, 2017.
Paratype. UF 242681, 305 mm SL. Tres Cerros, Rincón, Puerto Rico, 18.34433°N; 67.28343°W. Collected with hook and line at 360 m depth. May 5, 2017.

Diagnosis. A species of the genus *Rhonciscus* with XIII, 12 (total 25) dorsal-fin rays; anal-fin rays III, 7; pectoral-fin rays 15–16, 17(1); rather elongate body, maximum depth 32–37.4% SL; convex predorsal profile; eye large, its diameter 9.4% to 10.4% SL; snout subequal to eye, its length 7.6% to 11.5% SL; very coarse serrations on angle of preopercular margin; pectoral fin long (28–32.5% SL) extending beyond the tip of pelvic fin, barely reaching anus; head length 30–37.3% SL; longest dorsal-fin spine (fifth) (12.1–19.1% SL); relatively long and much thicker second anal-fin spine (16.4–21.8% SL), long caudal peduncle (15.2–20% of SL), and a large size of the penultimate (14.7–19.1% in SL) and last dorsal-fin (7.4–9.5% in SL) spines which translate to a less deeply notched dorsal fin, eye diameter 0.5 to 0.6 times length of anal fin spine; maxilla reaching anterior border of pupil; seven scale rows between dorsal fin and lateral line; 48 to 50 lateral–line scales.

**Figure 4** *Rhonciscus pauco*, sp. nov. (A) Detail of the head, especially on preopercle serration. (B) Rear chin pores. (C) Spine dorsal fin membrane and dark spots over scales. (D) Pelvic fin with filament on the first ray.
Description. Measurements are presented as percentage of SL in Table 2. Body elongate, with greatest depth at vertical through origin of pelvic fins (2.7 to 3.1 times in SL); predorsal profile is slightly convex with a tenuous depression above the eye; head length 2.7 to 3.3 in SL; eye diameter 9.6–10.6 times in SL and 3.1–3.7 times in head length (HL); interorbital distance 2.1–4.2 in HL; snout slightly convex, length 3.3–4.1 in HL; mouth terminal and moderately oblique; maxilla reaches to or slightly beyond anterior margin of pupil, 3 to 3.8 in HL; maxilla covered by suborbital when mouth is closed; upper and lower jaws with small conical teeth; conspicuously serrated preopercle (Fig. 4A); mouth strongly protrusible; one to two rear chin pores, well-separated (Fig. 4B); total number of first arch gill-rakers 16, upper limb 5, lower limb 11; anterior naris 12 to 18 in HL; posterior naris 18.8 to 33 in HL; pectoral-fin length 1.6 to 2.2 in HL; predorsal-fin length 2.8 to 3.3 in SL; prepectoral-fin length 2.6 to 3.2 in SL; prepelvic-fin length 2.5 to 2.8 in SL; preanal-fin length 1.4 to 1.5 in SL; fifth dorsal-fin spine 3.3 to 6.5 in HL; second anal-fin spine 4.6 to 6.1 in SL; depth of caudal peduncle 8.8–10.8 times in SL; length of caudal peduncle 5–6.6 in SL; length of penultimate dorsal spine 5.3–6.8 times in SL and last dorsal spine 10.5–13.5 times in SL; lateral-line scales 48–50; scale rows between lateral line and dorsal fin 7; no scales present on anal or dorsal fin membranes (Fig. 4C); pelvic fin with elongate filament on first ray (Fig. 4D).
Color in life. Body opalescent silver with golden specks; some of the spines reflect iridescent blue in direct light. Opaque to translucent dorsal, anal, fins and dusky caudal fin (Fig. 3). Two small dark spots present on the trailing edge of the lower lobe of the caudal fin. A black marking across the marginal edge of the spine dorsal fin membrane (Fig. 4C). Brown to blackish spots over the attachment of some scales, especially towards the back of the trunk (Fig. 4C). Figure 5 is an underwater photograph taken with a ROV at 218 m depth in western Puerto Rico where two specimens of the new species, including their live coloration, can be seen.

Distribution. *Rhonciscus pauco* is found on the deep shelf and upper slope of the western coast of the northeastern Caribbean island of Puerto Rico. We are uncertain of the species’ exact range, but fishers report capturing them exclusively in fine sediment habitats distributed between the municipalities of Rincón and Mayagüez, off western Puerto Rico (Fig. 1). No additional information is currently available.

Habitat. Collection depths range from 200–360 m in fine unconsolidated sediment or mud habitats (Fig. 5).

Etymology. The name *pauco* comes from the fisher’s nickname Paúco, Edwin Font, who already knew of this fish locally called *burro* or ronco (grunt). Mr. Font was the first to report and provided specimens to MS, although it is recognized by various fishers as a component of the deep-water catch in western Puerto Rico.

Comparisons. *Rhonciscus pauco* is morphologically very close to its sister EP species *R. branickii* with which many measurements and counts overlaps. However, it can be distinguished from the three species of the genus, the WA *R. crocro*, and both EP species, *R. bayanus* and *R. branickii*, by the seven scale rows between the dorsal fin and the lateral line; *R. branickii* has five according to McKay & Schneider (1995) or six (our data), while *R. bayanus* and *R. crocro* have eight scale rows above the lateral line. It differs clearly from its WA congener by having 48–50 lateral–line scales (vs 66–72 in *R. crocro*) and 11 short lower gill rakers (vs 7–9 in *R. crocro*). Our scale counts for *R. crocro* differ from those presented by Lindeman & Toxey (2002), who report five and six rows between the dorsal fin and the lateral line and 53–55 lateral–line scales as opposed to eight rows and 66–72 lateral–line scales. In both cases the new species can be unambiguously distinguished. The new species has a coarse serrated preopercle, with 2–3 large spines at the corner and 20–21 relatively small spines on the vertical border; the preopercle is weakly or not serrated in *R. bayanus*. *Rhonciscus pauco* has much larger eyes (9.4–10.4% of SL) compared to 7.2–8.1% in *R. bayanus*, 7.1–8.9% in *R. branickii*, and 6.7–7.8% in *R. crocro*. Bussing (1993) reported that the eye diameter of *R. branickii* ranged between 2.9 and 3.8 times in head length while McKay & Schneider (1995) published 3.1–3.4; Meek & Hildebrand (1925) reported larger eyes (2.6 to 3.2) based on specimens smaller than 15 cm. Our data for *R. pauco* (Table 2: 3.08–3.66) overlap such information; however, the lack of size data in Bussing (1993) and McKay & Schneider (1995) precludes a more
detailed comparison. With our data, that are size comparable, \textit{R. pauco} can be clearly separated from \textit{R. branickii}.

Furthermore, \textit{R. pauco} has a longer caudal peduncle (15.2–20\% of SL) compared to 11.9–16.3\% in \textit{R. bayanus}, 14.0–15.5\% in \textit{R. branickii} and 11.1–14.9\% in \textit{R. crocro}. The larger size of the penultimate (14.7–19.1\% in SL) and last dorsal-fin (7.4–9.5\% in SL) spines translate to a less deeply notched dorsal fin in \textit{R. pauco}. Additionally, similarly sized \textit{R. pauco} can be distinguished from its EP sister species \textit{R. branickii} by having longer snouts and longer maxilla (3.24–4.09 and 3–3.77 in HL in \textit{R. pauco} vs 4.41–5.27 and 3.86–4.69 in \textit{R. branickii}). A detailed comparison of morphometric proportions is included in Table 2. Life coloration is another feature separating the new Puerto Rican species from \textit{R. branickii}; \textit{R. pauco} is light silver to golden in color, with opalescence, while its sister species EP is dull dark brown, without bright colors or opalescence, and with a darker marking along the entire opercular margin.

**DISCUSSION**

The unexpected discovery of a new species of grunt at this depth on the Puerto Rican slope is an issue of biogeographic interest in the Caribbean. For snapper and grouper fishermen in deep waters off the west coast of Puerto Rico, this species is well known, despite having gone unnoticed and not being formally described until now. \textit{R. pauco} is captured incidentally with the snappers \textit{Etelis oculatus} and \textit{Pristipomoides macrophthalmus} but discarded due to its low market value. It is commonly referred to as \textit{burro}, \textit{ronco} or \textit{viejo}, the latter name also used by some fishers to identify the congener grunt, \textit{R. crocro}, that is not rare in shallow, brackish waters of large volume rivers throughout Puerto Rico (Engman et al., 2019).

Other than a new record of a single specimen of \textit{R. branickii} (USNM 422650) collected in the eastern Pacific off Panama at 430 to 500 m, only six Caribbean grunt species occur at one hundred meters depth or below, with 120 m as the maximum known depth (Robertson et al., 2019). The new species is found inhabiting waters deeper than 200 m where there is extremely low light penetration.

What is even more surprising is that two of the four \textit{Rhonciscus} species are known to occupy very shallow, often brackish or freshwater environments. The type species of the genus, \textit{R. crocro}, inhabits waters shallower than 20 m and is frequently found in the lower parts of short, rapid rivers or slow creeks in eastern Florida (KC Lindeman, 2022, personal communication) as well as in 1 m or less in depth in the Rio Grande de Añasco where it flows into the ocean (M Schärer-Umpierre, 2020, personal observation). The two EP \textit{Rhonciscus} species are also reported in shallow water, especially \textit{R. bayanus}, known at depths less than 10 m (Robertson & Allen, 2015). \textit{Rhonciscus branickii}, on the other hand, has been reported once at a depth of 500 m; this species is very similar to \textit{R. pauco} and is considered its sister species. However, \textit{R. branickii} is an EP species. Besides this deep record no other grunt of this genus been reported from depths at which \textit{R. pauco} has been caught. The evolutionary processes that led to the existence of this deep–water grunt in the northeastern Caribbean, far away from the continental shores of
Central and South America with its WA congener known primarily from coastal rivers, are of utmost biogeographical interest.

Finally, the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 6), which includes all valid species of the genus, resulted in a topology with *R. pauco* placed as a sister species to the EP *R. branickii*, with a sequence divergence of 1.7–2.3%, establishing a new trans-isthmian sister-pair within the family Haemulidae. Remaining COI genetic distances of *R. pauco* are 13.6–14% with *R. bayanus* and 12.7–13.2% with *R. crocro*. The distance between these geminate species across the isthmus of Panama is relatively small (1.7–2.3%) yet consistent with values of two other trans-isthmian pairs in the genus *Anisotremus* (2.0–2.4%) (Tavera et al., 2012). The COI genetic distances in seven trans-isthmian sister pairs of Haemulidae range from 2.0% between *Anisotremus surinamensis* (WA) and *A. interruptus* (EP) to 18% between *Genyatremus cavifrons* (WA) and *G. pacifici* (EP). Interspecific distance values near 2% divergence have been reported in both freshwater and marine fishes (Ward et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2016). In any case, interspecific genetic distances between *R. pauco* and *R. branickii* are larger than the intraspecific genetic distances (*R. pauco*: 0% and *R. branickii*: 0.2–0.8%).

**Figure 6** COI maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the species *Rhonciscus*, including the new species *Rhonciscus pauco*. Numbers over branches correspond to the bootstrap support.
It is common to find variable intra- and interspecific distances and defining a threshold becomes difficult as the mutation rate varies considerably among species. A small distance may indicate very strong differentiation between sister species that have diverged comparatively recently, while COI will not detect “substantial” differentiation. Perhaps this marker in this group evolves quite slowly despite the irrefutable fact that the new species is reproductively isolated, adapted to different environmental conditions, yet resembling its EP sibling species. The magnitude of variability in the mtDNA divergence between all pairs of trans-isthmic grunts is consistent with an asynchronous divergence, as described for other taxa (Knowlton & Weigt, 1998; Leigh, O’Dea & Vermeij, 2014; O’Dea et al., 2016).

Additional material examined:

**Rhonciscus pavo**co: 240 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 250 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 195 mm SL, Puerto Rico 2021. 198 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 205 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco. Puerto Rico 2021. 237 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 205 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 199 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 210 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 215 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 206 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021. 199 mm SL, mouth of the Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico 2021.

**Rhonciscus bayanus**: CIRUV 186.2 mm SL. Gorgona Island, Colombia, 2018. Photograph 185.6 mm SL. Chiapas, Mexico, 2011. **Rhonciscus branickii**: CICIMAR 159.2 mm SL. Piura, Peru, 2009. CICIMAR 234.4 mm SL. Baja California, Mexico, 2010. CICIMAR 191.2 mm SL. Baja California, Mexico, 2010. CICIMAR 193.7 mm SL. Baja California, Mexico, 2010. CIRUV 170.4 mm SL. Gorgona Island, Colombia, 2018.

**Rhonciscus crocro**: Holotype MNHN 733 185.7 SL, Martinique Island, West Indies, 1830. CICIMAR 220.4 mm SL. Buritaca, Colombia, 2010. INVEMAR 245.5 mm SL. Ciénaga Grande, Colombia, 2010. INVEMAR 176.7 mm SL. Buritaca, Colombia, 2010. Photograph 332.1 mm SL. Rio Grande de Añasco, Puerto Rico, 2018.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on morphology, geographic distribution, and molecular analyses, this study describes as a new species the first known western Atlantic deep-sea-dwelling grunt collected from the upper Puerto Rican slope. This finding is an issue of evolutionary and biogeographic interest for the family, which is composed of shallow water species, commonly found on upper shelf waters up to 100 m in depth with a strong preference for shallow environments of less than 40 m. Moreover, the fact that some species of the genus *Rhonciscus* are frequently found in estuaries, rivers and freshwater streams makes this finding quite remarkable.
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