Hippocampal acetylcholine modulates stress-related behaviors independent of specific cholinergic inputs
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Abstract

Acetylcholine (ACh) levels are elevated in actively depressed subjects. Conversely, antagonism of either nicotinic or muscarinic ACh receptors can have antidepressant effects in humans and decrease stress-relevant behaviors in rodents. Consistent with a role for ACh in mediating maladaptive responses to stress, brain ACh levels increase in response to stressful challenges, whereas systemically blocking acetylcholinesterase (AChE, the primary ACh degradative enzyme) elicits depression-like symptoms in human subjects, and selectively blocking AChE in the hippocampus increases relevant behaviors in rodents. We used an ACh sensor to characterize stress-evoked ACh release, then used chemogenetic, optogenetic and pharmacological approaches to determine whether cholinergic inputs from the medial septum/diagonal bands of Broca (MSDBB) or ChAT-positive neurons intrinsic to the hippocampus mediate stress-relevant behaviors in mice. Chemogenetic inhibition or activation of MSDBB cholinergic neurons did not result in significant behavioral effects, while inhibition attenuated the behavioral effects of physostigmine. In contrast, optogenetic stimulation of septohippocampal terminals or selective chemogenetic activation of ChAT-positive inputs to hippocampus increased stress-related behaviors. Finally, stimulation of sparse ChAT-positive hippocampal neurons increased stress-related behaviors in one ChAT-Cre line, which were attenuated by local infusion of cholinergic antagonists. These studies suggest that ACh signaling results in maladaptive behavioral responses to stress if the balance of signaling is shifted toward increased hippocampal engagement.
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INTRODUCTION

Depressed individuals have high brain levels of acetylcholine (ACh) compared to control subjects in human imaging experiments\(^1\)–\(^3\). This is consistent with human studies showing that depression can be precipitated by hyperactivation of the cholinergic system by administration of physostigmine, an inhibitor of acetylcholinesterase (AChE: the primary enzyme that hydrolyzes ACh;\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)). In contrast, decreasing cholinergic signaling with antagonists of muscarinic\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) or nicotinic acetylcholine receptors\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) have some efficacy for treatment of mood disorders. In animal models local knock down of AChE in hippocampus increases stress-sensitive behaviors and decreases resilience to social defeat stress. Conversely, local hippocampal knock down of cholinergic receptor subtypes (M1 muscarinic, \(\alpha_7\) or \(\alpha_2\) nicotinic receptors) can reverse the behavioral effects of systemic AChE antagonism\(^10\).

The hippocampus is involved in adaptation to stress\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\), and receives significant cholinergic input from the medial septum and the diagonal band of Broca (MSDBB)\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\). These projections impinge onto both glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons, and can potentiate synaptic plasticity\(^15\). Stress activates the septo-hippocampal cholinergic pathway\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\), whereas cholinergic neurons of the posterior septum (projecting to habenula) fire during coping behaviors\(^18\). ChAT-positive interneurons are also found in the dentate gyrus and CA1 field of the hippocampus\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\). These interneurons control oscillatory activity, and may regulate adult neurogenesis, which can be critical for antidepressant response\(^21\).

Pharmacological and molecular genetic studies suggest that elevated hippocampal ACh contributes to stress reactivity, however, it is not known whether specific cholinergic inputs underlie stress-relevant behaviors or whether ACh exerts a similar neuromodulatory effect in hippocampus regardless of its source. Similarly, it remains unknown whether decreasing the activity of cholinergic neurons innervating hippocampus is sufficient to provide resilience to stress-related behaviors.

In this study, we first used a genetically-encoded fluorescent ACh sensor to determine the dynamics of ACh release in the hippocampus following exposure to an acute stressor. We then used targeted chemogenetic and optogenetic approaches to determine whether specific pools of cholinergic neurons and their projections contribute to behavioral responses to stressful challenges. Finally, we combined chemogenetic and pharmacological interventions to confirm that behavioral consequences of chemogenetic manipulations were mediated through ACh receptors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals (See Supplementary Information)

Adult male C57BL/6J mice, BAC-ChAT-Cre and ChAT-IRES-Cre male mice were used throughout this study. Because we did not perform social defeat in the optogenetic study, we were able to use both male and female mice in this experiment. Both transgenic ChAT-Cre mouse strains are widely used, but differences between lines could affect the outcome of
experimental manipulations. We therefore used two independent ChAT-Cre lines to control for any differences due to the targeting strategy. Although the pattern of Cre expression is essentially similar, there are subtle differences between lines\textsuperscript{20}. Importantly, because the BAC-ChAT backbone construct contains the VAChT coding region, it is possible that this strain has altered cholinergic tone, as was described for the BAC-ChAT-ChR2 line\textsuperscript{22}. In contrast, the ChAT-KI-Cre line has reduced ChAT expression from the recombined chromosome.

All procedures were carried out as approved by the Yale University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Drugs (see Supplementary Information)**

**Viral constructs and Stereotaxic surgeries (see Supplementary Information)**

**Optogenetic experiments:** Animals were first connected to the fiber and were left to rest in a holding cage for a few seconds before the start of the experiment. Stimulation with 473 nm blue light was started at the beginning of the experiment, and continued throughout the duration of the paradigm with the following parameters: as described in\textsuperscript{23}: 20 sec ON, 20 sec OFF, 20 mW, 12 Hz. These parameters were previously shown to induce efficient neuronal stimulation of terminals fields from medial septum to hippocampus. Behavioral assays were scored “live” during each session.

**Fiber photometry:** GRAB 3.0 ACh fluorescence was measured using a standard two-channel, single-site fiber photometry system (Doric Lenses) as described in\textsuperscript{24}. The final pre-processed ACh fluorescence signal is expressed as (ΔF/F) within a 95% bootstrapped confidence interval (95% BCi). Detailed processing described in Supplementary Information.

**Code availability:** All code used to analyze fiber photometry data is described in\textsuperscript{24, 52} and is available in online repositories and upon request.

**Electrophysiological recording of DREADD efficacy (Supplementary materials and Supplementary Fig.1)**

**Behavioral assays**—A battery of behavioral tests was used following chemogenetic, optogenetic, and pharmacological manipulations. The design of the battery of tests was established following the RDoC matrix and recommendations on stress-relevant behaviors\textsuperscript{25}. See Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Information for specific details.

**Tissue processing and verification of construct expression and targeting (See Supplementary Information)**

**Statistical Analyses**—No outliers were removed from analyses; however, data from animals with off-target infusions were not included in statistical analyses and are represented in red in the scatterplots. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 and Staview (SAS) software. Values are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used when comparing means between groups and \( \alpha \) was set at 95%. When ANOVA reached significance, and equal variances was assumed (as
defined by Brown-Forsythe test), posthoc multiple-comparisons analyses were performed by t-tests with Bonferroni corrections.

RESULTS

Exposure to an acute stressor increases ACh levels in both dorsal and ventral hippocampus.

Since the dorsal and ventral hippocampus have been suggested to respond to physiological and emotional stressors differentially, we determined the time course of ACh signaling across these broad hippocampal divisions in response to an acute stressor in freely moving mice to identify any temporal or qualitative differences that might be relevant to stress-dependent behavioral outcomes. We found that two levels of foot shock (0.4 and 0.7 mA) induced measurable ACh transients in both dorsal and ventral hippocampus that outlasted the duration of the footshock (Fig. 1A). ACh transients in ventral hippocampus were similar across shock intensities, with a sustained increase over 20 sec followed by a decrease below baseline after shock termination. In the dorsal hippocampus, ACh amplitude was greater at 0.7 mA compared to 0.4 mA, but returned to baseline similar to ventral hippocampus. These data demonstrate that a physical stressor induces a sustained and measurable ACh release in the hippocampus, that lasts for more than 10 sec. Conversely, restraint stress, either acutely or repeated for several days, did not alter AChE activity in the hippocampus (See Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig.2), suggesting that stress-induced cholinergic engagement is more driven by local neuronal activity-dependent ACh release rather than reduced enzymatic hydrolysis of ACh.

Anterograde expression of DREADDs in MSDBB cholinergic neurons has no significant effect on stress-related behaviors at baseline, but DREADD-mediated inhibition reverses the behavioral effects induced by systemic physostigmine administration.

Since a physical stressor induced a transient ACh increase across dorsal and ventral hippocampal regions, we used a chemogenetic approach to determine whether stimulating or inhibiting MSDBB, the primary cholinergic input to hippocampus, might alter stress-related behaviors. Neither stimulation (with Cre-specific Gq-DREADD) nor inhibition (with Cre-specific Gi-DREADD) of septal cholinergic neurons (Fig. 1B and C) significantly altered behavioral outcomes of Bac-ChAT-Cre mice in the light/dark box (LD; Fig. 1D), the tail suspension (TST; Fig. 1E), the forced swim (FST; Fig. 1F) or the social defeat tests (SD; Fig. 1G) compared to control animals, and locomotor activity level was similar between treatment groups and strains (all F<1). The same behavioral pattern was observed in ChAT-KI-Cre mice for the LD (Fig. 1H), the TST (Fig. 1I), the FST (Fig. 1J), and the SD (Fig. 1K).

We next determined whether chemogenetic inhibition of MSDBB cholinergic neurons could reverse the stress-related effects of the cholinesterase blocker physostigmine (which prolongs ACh signaling by blocking ACh breakdown). The effect of the DREADD was evaluated independently in either physostigmine-treated or non-physostigmine-treated animals because the latter experimental group did not receive any injections and were naïve to behavioral tests before DREADD testing. Inhibition of septal cholinergic neurons with CNO after infusion of a Gi-DREADD into the MSDBB of either BAC-ChAT-Cre...
or ChAT-KI-Cre mice reversed the effect of phystostigmine treatment in the LD (Fig. 1C, BAC-Chat-Cre: F(1, 22) = 8.75, p = 0.007; Fig. 1G, ChAT-KI-Cre: F(1, 20) = 11.62, p = 0.003), the TST (Fig. 1D, BAC-Chat-Cre: F(1, 22) = 4.29, p = 0.05; Fig. 1H, Chat-KI-Cre: F(1, 19) = 4.54, p = 0.046) and the FST (Fig. 1E, BAC-Chat-Cre: F(1, 22) = 7.5, p = 0.012; Fig. 1I, Chat-KI-Cre: F(1, 20) = 7.5, p = 0.01).

Thus, the lack of significant behavioral effects of DREADD-induced modulation of the MSDBB cholinergic could be due to effects of ACh in multiple downstream brain areas with disparate control over stress-related behaviors that mask specific effects of septo-hippocampal pathway activation, although the current data are not sufficient to address this possibility. In contrast, decreasing activity of the MSDBB is sufficient to block stress-relevant behaviors due to phystostigmine-induced prolongation of ACh signaling.

**Activation of septo-hippocampal neuronal terminals using channelrhodopsin increases susceptibility to stress across behavioral paradigms.**

To test the possibility that MSDBB inhibition or stimulation did not alter stress-related behaviors because hippocampal ACh effects were counteracted by ACh effects in other brain areas, we used an optogenetic approach to stimulate MSDBB terminals locally in hippocampus (Fig. 2A, B, C)). No significant differences in behavior were detected between stimulated and control mice in the LD (Fig. 2D). However, in the TST, light stimulation led to greater time spent immobile in the ChR2 group compared to the mCherry control group (Fig. 2E; two-way ANOVA (F(1, 33) = 4.94, p = 0.03) although only male mice showed significant changes in behavior (t(16) = 15.9, p = 0.02), whereas female mice showed a non-significant trend toward more immobility. Finally, in the novelty-suppressed feeding test (NSFT), ChR2-expressing mice stimulated with light showed a significantly greater time to initiate a feeding episode (Fig. 2F; F(1, 33) = 4.6, p = 0.04; males and females considered together as no interaction between sex and stimulation was detected).

This experiment confirmed that stimulation of MSDBB terminals in the hippocampus is sufficient to increase stress-relevant behaviors.

**Stimulation following retrograde infection of ChAT-positive neurons projecting to the hippocampus with an excitatory DREADD increases stress susceptibility across a number of behavioral paradigms.**

In order to target the cholinergic inputs from MSDBB to hippocampus selectively and engage cholinergic terminals more widely throughout the hippocampal structure, we infused a retrograde virus carrying Cre-dependent Gq- or Gi-DREADD bilaterally into the hippocampus of ChAT-Cre mice (Fig. 3A). Using this strategy we were able to modulate the activity of a broader set of cholinergic neurons projecting to the hippocampus (Fig. 3B).

Contrary to what was observed when using an anterograde Cre-dependent DREADD strategy in the MSDBB, rg-Gq-DREADD-mediated stimulation of septal cholinergic neurons projecting to the hippocampus consistently increased stress-related behaviors in the LD, the TST, FST and SD in two lines of ChAT-Cre mice. In the LD, rg-Gq-DREADD stimulation significantly decreased the time spent in the light side (Fig. 3C, BAC-ChAT-Cre: F(1, 16) = 6.6, p = 0.02; Fig. 3G, ChAT-KI-Cre: F(1, 16) = 18.46, p < 0.001) while
increasing the time spent immobile in the TST (Fig. 3D, BAC-ChAT-Cre: F(1, 16) = 40.17, p < 0.001; Fig. 3H, ChAT-KI-Cre: F(1, 17) = 4.52, p = 0.048) or the FST (Fig. 3E, BAC-ChAT-Cre: F(1, 16) = 30.58, p < 0.001; Fig. 3I, ChAT-KI-Cre: F(1, 16) = 20.77, p < 0.001), and decreasing social interaction following SD (Fig. 3F, BAC-ChAT-Cre: F(1, 16) = 31.87, p < 0.001; Fig. 3J, ChAT-KI-Cre: F(1, 17) = 25.01, p < 0.001). These results suggest that activating cholinergic inputs to the hippocampus selectively is sufficient to increase a broad spectrum of stress-related behaviors, unlike broader stimulation of MSDBB cholinergic targets. These studies do not distinguish between the possibility that activation of ACh septo-hippocampal inputs is necessary for these behavioral changes, or whether increasing hippocampal ACh locally is sufficient.

DREADD-mediated activation of local ChAT-positive neurons in the hippocampus increases stress-related behaviors in BAC-ChAT-Cre mice

To determine whether extrinsic ACh inputs were required for modulation of stress-related behaviors or whether local ACh innervation might also contribute, we infused Cre-dependent AAV2-Gi- or Gq-coupled DREADDs into the hippocampus of two different lines of ChAT-Cre mice (Fig. 4A and B). The AAV2 serotype infects local cell bodies, but does not infect neuronal terminals, confining expression to local hippocampal ChAT-positive cells.

Like rg-BAC-ChAT-Cre mice, animals infused with AAV2-Gq-DREADD into the hippocampus showed increased stress sensitivity across the battery of stress-relevant behaviors. Conversely, AAV2-Gi-DREADDs had no effects on behavior. Indeed, in the LD, there was an overall treatment effect for the time spent in the light side (Fig. 4C, F(2, 39) = 34.1, p < 0.001; one outlier was removed for not moving) with a significantly decreased time spent in the AAV2-Gq DREADD mice compared to control animals (t(21) = 3.7, p = 0.001). In the TST, there was also an overall effect (Fig. 4D, F(2, 40) = 11.4, p = 0.004) and posthoc analysis showed that AAV2-Gq DREADD significantly increased time spent immobile (t(22) = 2.9, p = 0.002), as opposed to Gi DREADD (NS). The same was observed for the time spent immobile in the FST (Fig. 4E, F(2, 40) = 18.5, p < 0.001; Gq DREADD vs control: t(22) = 3.5, p = 0.001). In the SD, stress reactivity as measured by a decrease of social interaction was also heightened in animals with AAV2-Gq DREADD stimulation whereas Gi DREADD did not show any significant effect (Fig.4F, F(2, 40) = 2.3, p = 0.07; Gq DREADD vs control: t(22) = 2.1, p = 0.046).

While there were changes across the battery of behaviors in BAC-ChAT-Cre mice infused with AAV2-Gq DREADD, there were no differences in behavior observed in the ChAT-KI-Cre line (Fig. 4G, H, I, J, all F <1), suggesting a strain-specific effect of DREADD modulation. It is possible that this is due to different levels of Cre expression in the two lines, since BAC-ChAT-Cre mice carry multiple copies of the transgene, whereas the ChAT-KI-Cre line carries a single copy of Cre in the ChAT locus, with decreased expression from the ChAT promotern26

Together, the results suggest that increasing or prolonging ACh signaling in hippocampus, regardless of the location of the cholinergic cell bodies, is sufficient to induce heightened stress reactivity.
Local infusion of cholinergic antagonists into hippocampus reverses the effects of DREADD-mediated stimulation of hippocampal ChAT-Cre positive neurons.

Hippocampal ChAT-positive interneurons are very sparse, can co-release other neurotransmitters, and Cre expression can be ectopic, all of which could contribute to the effect of DREADD-mediated stimulation we observed in the BAC-ChAT-Cre animals. We therefore infused either scopolamine (muscarinic antagonist) or mecamylamine (nicotinic antagonist) into the hippocampus of BAC-Chat-Cre mice that received Gq-DREADD stimulation of hippocampal ChAT-Cre positive cells (Fig. 5A and B) to determine whether the behavioral effects induced by AAV2-Gq-DREADD infusion were indeed due to ACh signaling. While CNO-mediated activation of the Gq-DREADD recapitulated the behavioral outcomes observed previously, local infusion of either cholinergic antagonist blunted the behavioral effects of the Gq-DREADD, while neither of the antagonists had any effects on their own in the different behavioral tests (all F <1; supplementary Fig 3). In the LD box, there was an overall effect of Gq-DREADD stimulation on the time spent in the light side (Fig. 5C, F(1, 16 = 27.38, p < 0.001) although the decrease observed with either scopolamine or mecamylamine did not reach significance. In the TST and FST, Gq-DREADD stimulation significantly increased the time spent immobile (TST, Fig. 5D: F(1, 17 = 36.34, p < 0.001; FST, Fig. 5E: F(1, 17 = 21.59, p = 0.002) but local infusion of scopolamine decreased this effect significantly (TST scop vs control: p = 0.003; mec vs control: p = 0.003; FST scop vs control: p = 0.04; mec vs control: p = 0.02). These results confirm that local activation of ChAT-Cre positive neurons leads to behavioral effects via ACh signaling.

DISCUSSION

ACh is an essential neuromodulator for adaptation to environmental challenges\textsuperscript{27–29}. Depressed patients have greater ACh levels throughout the brain\textsuperscript{1} and anticholinesterase exposure can lead to symptoms of depression, even in individuals with no history of depression\textsuperscript{30, 31}. Following stressful challenges, ACh levels increase in prefrontal cortex, amygdala and hippocampus\textsuperscript{32, 33}, and maladaptive behaviors can be observed by prolonging ACh signaling in hippocampus\textsuperscript{34}. Exposure to stress also alters the function of cholinergic enzymes including AChE\textsuperscript{35}. Thus, adequate regulation of the cholinergic circuitry innervating the hippocampus could constitute a critical mechanism for adaptative responses to stress.

We first assessed the effects of modulating activity of cholinergic neurons in the MSDBB, which provides ~65 % of hippocampal ACh input\textsuperscript{36}. Acute inhibition or activation of MSDBB ChAT-positive neurons did not alter the behavior of mice in behavioral assays of anxiolytic or antidepressant efficacy, or in response to social defeat stress, but did increase behavioral variability. One possibility is that additional projections emanating from the MSDBB to structures outside the hippocampus have opposing effects on stress-related behaviors\textsuperscript{37}. (Supplementary Information and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). Thus, cholinergic signaling in response to stress is likely to be homeostatic under normal circumstances, and only when that signaling is prolonged, as in depressed patients, or is
increased selectively in particular postsynaptic regions are maladaptive behavioral responses observed.

Consistent with the idea that prolonged ACh signaling in the hippocampus increases stress-relevant behaviors, the effects of systemic physostigmine administration were significantly decreased by Gi-DREADD-mediated inhibition of MSDBB ChAT-positive neurons. These results are consistent with previous work showing that decreasing signaling through ACh receptors in hippocampus has little effect at baseline, but can reverse the behavioral effects of physostigmine10, 38. A previous study showed that Gi-DREADD-mediated inhibition of the MS in ChAT-KI-Cre mice can decrease anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze and the novelty-suppressed feeding paradigms39 while we saw no effects at baseline in the current study. It is possible that test-specific effects underlie this difference. In addition, mice in the Zhang et al. study appear to show a high level of baseline stress, and control animals in that study were not treated with CNO. Both DREADD-expressing and control mice in chemogenetic experiments in the current study received CNO to control for any behavioral effects the drug might have on its own40.

Anterograde infection tended to show more localized expression in septum compared to the broader, albeit weaker, fluorescence induced by retrograde infection from the hippocampus. While it remains difficult to assess DREADD efficacy solely based on anatomical diffusion, it is possible that more limited expression with anterograde infection or infection beyond the septum could explain the lack of effect in the anterograde DREADD infusion experiments. However, the fact that Gi DREADD anterograde infection could reverse physostigmine effects suggests that anterograde DREADD expression was sufficient to alter acetylcholine signaling in the hippocampus. Because of the possible behavioral effects of MSDBB projections to non-hippocampal regions, we used local optogenetic activation of hippocampal pre-synaptic terminals emanating from the MSDBB. Most of the behavioral effects of optical stimulation were modest, possibly due to a limited efficacy of stimulation of the terminal fibers41, the duration of optogenetic-induced activation compared to sustained DREADD stimulation, or the infection of non-cholinergic neurons. Indeed, GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons also make up the MSDBB and can influence behaviors related to stress and anxiety42 23 43 44.

The most effective and consistent modulation of stress-related behaviors was observed when cholinergic inputs to hippocampus were targeted selectively by retrograde infection of ChAT-positive terminals using rg-DIO-DREADDs infused into two different ChAT-Cre mouse lines. Activation of ChAT-positive terminals with rg-Gq-DREADD induced anxiety-like and depression-like behaviors and increased susceptibility to social stress in both ChAT-Cre mouse lines. These results are line with the results of terminal optogenetic stimulation, although the behavioral changes were greater with rg-DREADD than with optogenetic terminal activation. As previously suggested, the pattern of DREADD stimulation and the broader DREADD expression (widely spread throughout the hippocampal structure and bilaterally infused), likely result in greater stimulation than what can be achieved by a (unilateral) light cone of the optical fiber45.
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The contrast between the increases in stress-related behaviors following retrograde infection of hippocampal ChAT-positive terminals and the lack of effect following anterograde expression of DREADDs in ChAT-positive MSDBB neurons also raises the question that the less prominent cholinergic pathways projecting to the hippocampus could also have significant effects on stress-related behaviors.

In addition to extrinsic ChAT-positive inputs to the hippocampus, the retrograde virus would also infect interneuronal synapses, which would lead to DREADD-related activation of hippocampal ChAT-positive neurons. To determine whether local ChAT-positive neurons could contribute to behavioral outcomes following manipulations of hippocampal ACh signaling, we injected anterograde, Cre-dependent DREADD constructs into the hippocampus of ChAT-Cre mice to target ChAT-positive interneurons. Activation of hippocampal ChAT-positive neurons also increased stress-related behaviors, suggesting either that the effect of retrograde Gq-DREADD stimulation could be mediated in part by cholinergic interneurons, or that the source of ACh input to the hippocampus is not critical, consistent with the idea that ACh signaling can occur by volume transmission. While local ChAT-positive interneurons appear to have an effect on stress-related behaviors, the size of these behavioral effects was greater following retrograde infection of ChAT-positive neurons, including those in the MSDBB, suggesting that septo-hippocampal cholinergic inputs provide a significant contribution to stress reactivity.

One limitation of this study is that increases in stress-related behaviors following hippocampal infusion of anterograde Cre-dependent DREADD constructs was only seen in the BAC-ChAT-Cre mouse line and not in the ChAT-KI-Cre mouse line. The lack of significant behavioral effects of stimulating hippocampal ChAT-positive interneurons in the ChAT-KI-Cre mouse line could be due to differences in baseline behaviors across the two strains, different levels of ACh signaling in the hippocampus due to BAC construct or cryptic splicing site in KI. ChAT-positive interneurons in the hippocampus are very sparse and can co-release other neurotransmitters including GABA and glutamate, although ChAT-positive neurons in the hippocampus do not express GAD RNA and are therefore non-GABAergic. In addition, Cre expression can be ectopic, all of which could potentially contribute to the effect of local hippocampal DREADD-mediated stimulation we observed in the BAC-ChAT-Cre mice. We therefore confirmed that hippocampal infusion of cholinergic antagonists could reverse the behavioral effects of DREADD-mediated stimulation of ChAT-positive neurons in the hippocampus. This indicates that despite the sparse nature of hippocampal ChAT-positive interneurons, local cholinergic transmission in the hippocampus is sufficient to increase stress sensitivity in several behavioral paradigms. Anatomical distribution suggests that cholinergic interneurons may act primarily through modulation of hippocampal inputs (via dentate gyrus) and outputs (via CA1) and open the possibility for further investigation.

These studies suggest that engagement of MSDBB cholinergic signaling can result in homeostatic behavioral responses to stress, and only if hippocampal cholinergic signaling is increased selectively, in the absence of additional engagement of cholinergic targets,
is there an increase in stress susceptibility. In addition, increasing activity of extrinsic or intrinsic ChAT-positive neurons is sufficient to increase stress susceptibility, supporting the idea of cholinergic volume transmission in regulating hippocampal activity relevant for stress-dependent behaviors.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1:
ACh levels increase in hippocampus in response to stress. A genetically-encoded fluorescent ACh sensor (AAV1-GRABACH3.0) was infused into the dorsal and ventral hippocampus to record ACh-induced fluorescent transients (A) in mice implanted with an optic fiber (insets). Animals experienced two foot shocks, 0.4 mA and 0.7 mA, for 1 sec. Traces represent the average fluorescence 50 sec before and after shock onset in ventral or dorsal hippocampus. Shaded areas represent bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals; n = 9 mice per group.

To determine the behavioral consequences of increasing ACh levels in hippocampus, BAC-ChAT-Cre and ChAT-KI-Cre male mice were infused with AAV2-DREADD-carrying virus into the medial septum with some diffusion in the diagonal band (B) allowing modulation of the activity of MSDBB cholinergic neurons with CNO (10 mg/kg, i.p.) (C). Time spent in the light side of the light-dark box (BAC-ChAT-Cre (D), ChAT-KI-Cre (H)), Time spent immobile in the tail suspension test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (E), ChAT-KI-Cre (I) or forced swim test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (F), ChAT-KI-Cre (J)). Ratio of interaction (time with CD1/time without CD1) following 8 bouts of social defeat (BAC-ChAT-Cre (G), ChAT-KI-Cre (K)). Results are expressed as Mean +/- SEM. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01. BAC-Chat-Cre: Control,
n = 10; Gq, n = 9; Gi, n = 12. ChAT-KI-Cre: Control, n = 11; Gq, n = 13; Gi, n = 11. Red dots represent data from animals with mistargeted placements (provided for reference and not included in calculation of means or SEM (see example in supp. Fig)).
Figure 2:
Effects of optogenetic stimulation of hippocampal terminal fields on anxiety-related behaviors following ChR2 infusion in the medial septum of C57BL/6J mice. AAV2-ChR2 was infused into the MSDBB (A), and an optic fiber was placed above the dorsal hippocampus (B) allowing stimulation of the septo-hippocampal pathway (C). Time spent in the light side of the light-dark box (D). Time spent immobile in the tail suspension test (E). Time to first feeding episode in the novelty-suppressed feeding test (F). Results are expressed as Mean +/- SEM. *: p < 0.05. Control males, n = 9; ChR2 males, n = 9. Control females, n = 7; ChR2 females, n = 6.
Figure 3:
Behavioral effects of retrograde infusion of a Cre-dependent DREADD construct into the hippocampus of ChAT-Cre mouse lines. BAC-ChAT-Cre and ChAT-KI-Cre male mice were infused with a Gq-DREADD-carrying retrograde virus into the hippocampus (A) allowing activation of hippocampal cholinergic projections (with CNO 10 mg/kg) (B). Time spent in the light side of the light-dark box (BAC-ChAT-Cre (C), ChAT-KI-Cre (G)). Time spent immobile in the tail suspension test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (D), ChAT-KI-Cre (H)) or forced swim test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (E), ChAT-KI-Cre (I)). Ratio of interaction (time with CD1/time without CD1) following 8 social defeats (BAC-ChAT-Cre (F), ChAT-KI-Cre (J)). Results are expressed as Mean +/- SEM. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001. BAC-Chat-Cre: Control, n = 9; Gq, n = 9. ChAT-KI-Cre: Control, n = 9; Gq, n = 10.
Figure 4:
Stimulation of hippocampal ChAT-positive interneurons increases stress-relevant behavioral responses. BAC-ChAT-Cre and ChAT-KI-Cre male mice were infused with AAV2 carrying Cre-dependent Gq- or Gi-DREADD in the hippocampus (A), allowing modulation of cholinergic neurons intrinsic to the hippocampus with CNO (10 mg/kg) (B). Time spent in the light side of the light-dark box (BAC-ChAT-Cre (C), ChAT-KI-Cre (G)). Time spent immobile in the tail suspension test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (D), ChAT-KI-Cre (H)) or forced swim test (BAC-ChAT-Cre (E), ChAT-KI-Cre (I)). Ratio of interaction (time with CD1/time without CD1) following 8 social defeats (BAC-ChAT-Cre (F), ChAT-KI-Cre (J)). Results are expressed as Mean +/- SEM. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001. BAC-Chat-Cre: Control, n = 9; Gq, n = 14; Gi, n = 9. ChAT-KI-Cre: Control, n = 9; Gq, n = 11; Gi, n = 11.
Cholinergic receptor antagonists infused into the hippocampus decrease the effect of DREADD-mediated stimulation of hippocampal ChAT-Cre-expressing neurons. The effects of local infusion of cholinergic antagonists into the hippocampus were evaluated in BAC-ChAT-Cre male mice during Cre-dependent Gq-DREADD stimulation of the hippocampus with CNO (10 mg/kg), at baseline (+CSF), with mecamylamine (1 ng) or scopolamine (0.5 ng). Mice were infused with AAV2-Gq-DREADD into the hippocampus through a cannula. After 3 weeks recovery, to allow for expression of the DREADD construct, animals were infused with either mecamylamine or scopolamine through the same guide cannula 15 min before testing (A), allowing for activation of ChAT-positive neurons of the hippocampus by the DREADD construct and infusion of cholinergic antagonists into the same hippocampal

Figure 5:
area (B). Time spent in the light side of the light-dark box (A). Time spent immobile in the tail suspension (C) or forced swim test (D). Results are expressed as Mean +/- SEM. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01. Control, n = 9; Gq+CSF, n = 10; Gq+MEC, n = 9; Gq+SCOP, n = 11. Red dots represent data from mistargeted animals (provided for reference and not included in calculation of means or SEM).