INTRODUCTION

Meat tenderness and juiciness are important criteria for consumers in the assessment of meat quality (Piao et al., 2015), and several postmortem operations have been recently considered to improve meat tenderness. Marination has been used to improve the tenderness, juiciness, flavor, color, and cooking yield of meat and poultry (Guerrero-Legarreta and Hui, 2010). Alvarado and Sams (2004) reported an improvement in chicken breast tenderness by marination treatment, while reduced cooking and drip losses were observed by Yoon (2002) for chicken breast marinated with trisodium phosphate or sodium tripolyphosphate.

The functionality of marinades directly depends upon their ingredients. Salt and phosphate are common ingredients in most alkaline marinades (Lemos et al., 1999). Salt enhances meat flavor, extracts salt-soluble proteins in conjunction with phosphate, increases marinade absorption, and increases moisture retention during storage and further processing (Smith and Acton, 2010). Phosphate improves water-holding capacity by increasing the meat pH and unfolding muscle proteins (Yoon, 2002). A marinade can be acidic or alkaline in nature (Smith and Acton, 2010), and meat tenderization is achieved by marination, which leads to puffiness in muscle fiber owing to an increase in pH, acceleration of the weakening of muscle structure, and enhancement of collagen solubilization by cooking (Wahlgren et al., 1997). There are several methods for the practical application of meat marination including injection, immersion, tumbling, or their combination (Bauermeister and McKee, 2005).

Duck meat and meat products are popular in Southeast Asia, but are perceived to be tough by consumers (Smith et al., 1993). Compared to chicken breast, duck breast meat has a higher amount of red muscle fibers (Smith et al., 1993), which makes it tougher. Therefore, improving the tenderness and juiciness of duck breast meat is essential for consumers. In this study, we investigated marinade absorption and physicochemical characteristics of vacuum-aged duck breasts that were halved and individually vacuum-packed for chiller aging at 4°C for 14 d. One half was marinated for 0, 7, or 14 d, while the second half was used as a control. Marinade absorption, cooking loss, cooking yield, texture profile, pH, color, protein solubility, and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) values were evaluated, and protein sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed. Marinade absorption and pH did not vary significantly after 14 d of aging. Marination increased the pH, color (a* and b*) values, and cooking yield and reduced cooking loss. TBARS values significantly increased with aging time, but were significantly reduced by marination. Myofibril and total protein solubility increased with aging and marination, while SDS-PAGE showed protein degradation. Hence, aging and marination can be used simultaneously to improve physicochemical quality and cooking yield of vacuum-aged duck breast. (Key Words: Aging, Marination, Duck Breast, Protein Solubility)
and is regarded as red meat. The biochemical changes occurring postmortem play an important role in determining the ultimate quality and palatability of meat. It is generally accepted that the postmortem proteolysis of cytoskeletal proteins improves meat tenderness and \( \mu \)-calpain plays an essential role in postmortem proteolysis (Camou et al., 2007). Zhuang and Savage (2012) observed an increased water-holding capacity in chicken breast aged for 7 d compared to that in chicken breast aged for 2 h. Lin et al. (2000) revealed that marination of duck meat with red wine induced significant postmortem changes and improved flavor profile and yield of the finished product. Keeping in view the nature of duck breast meat and the advantages of postmortem aging and marination treatment, the present study was designed to assess marinade absorption and physicochemical characteristics of vacuum-aged duck breast meat.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Procurement of raw material**

Duck (Cherry Valley) meat was procured from a commercial processing plant and transported to the laboratory in iceboxes. The breast portion of ducks was separated and marination treatments were applied according to the study plan. One sample was taken as control (without marination) for comparison. Each piece was vacuum-packed separately for postmortem aging of 14 d at 4°C and was analyzed for marinade absorption and physicochemical characteristics at regular intervals (1, 7, and 14 d).

**Preparation of marinade and marination**

The marinade was formulated using 3% NaCl and 1.5% sodium tripolyphosphate to have an acceptable NaCl and phosphate concentration in the finished product. The marinade was prepared one day before application and was stored at 4°C. Marination was performed using a combination of manual injection and immersion processes. Pre-weighed breast fillets were injected with approximately 10% (on a weight basis) of refrigerated marinade and were immersed in excess marinade for 4 h at 4°C. The breast fillets were allowed to drain off excess marinade after 4 h and were weighed to determine marinade absorption using the given equation:

\[
\text{Marinade absorption (\%) = } 100 \times \left( \frac{W_{t\text{marinated}} - W_{t\text{raw}}}{W_{t\text{raw}}} \right)
\]

Where, \( W_{t\text{raw}} \) and \( W_{t\text{marinated}} \) are the weight of fillet before and after marination, respectively.

**pH values and Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage**

The pH value was measured using a pH meter (SevenGo, Mettler-Toledo Inc., Greifensee, Zürich, Switzerland). The duck breast sample (1 g) was homogenized with 9 mL of distilled water by using tissue homogenizer for 30 s, centrifuged at 2,665 g for 10 min, and filtered. The pH was measured by immersion of an electrode in the filtered samples. The surface color (Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage [CIE] \( L^*, a^*, \) and \( b^* \)) of marinated and unmarinated duck breast was measured using a colorimeter (CR-310, Minolta Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), and three observation readings were taken for each measurement.

**Cooking loss and cooking yield**

Marinated and unmarinated samples in bags were cooked in a water bath at 80°C for 30 min to achieve a core temperature of 70°C. The samples were cooled to room temperature, surface dried, and weighed to assess the cooking loss. Cooking loss was determined as per the equation given below.

\[
\text{Cooking loss (\%) = } 100 \times \left( \frac{W_{t\text{pre-cooked}} - W_{t\text{cooked}}}{W_{t\text{pre-cooked}}} \right)
\]

**2-Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances assay**

Lipid oxidation was determined by measuring thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) values according to the method described by Lee et al. (2015). Marinated, unmarinated, and cooked samples (5 g) were added to 15 mL of distilled water and 50 \( \mu \)L butylated hydroxytoluene (7.2% in ethanol) in a centrifuge tube (50 mL) and were homogenized using tissue homogenizer for 30 s. The homogenate (1 mL) was transferred to another centrifuge tube (15 mL) and 2 mL of a thiobarbituric acid (TBA)/trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution (20 mM TBA in 15% TCA) was added. Tubes were heated in a water bath at 90°C for 30 min, cooled in iced water, and centrifuged at 2,665 g for 10 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 532 nm using a spectrophotometer (DU 530, Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) and the mg malondialdehyde/kg sample was calculated.

**Protein solubility**

Total and sarcoplasmic protein solubility was measured according to the method described by Joo et al. (1999). Myofibrillar protein solubility was calculated by the difference between these measurements (total protein solubility – sarcoplasmic protein solubility). Sarcoplasmic proteins were extracted from 2-g samples using 20 mL of iced and cooled 0.025 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), and total proteins were extracted from 1-g samples using 20 mL
Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of sarcoplasmic and myofibril proteins

Sarcoplasmic and myofibril proteins for sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) were extracted by the method described by Lorenzo et al. (2013), with slight modifications. Marinated and unmarinated aged duck breast meat samples (4 g) were homogenized with 0.03 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) using tissue homogenizer for 30 s. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 20 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was separated as sarcoplasmic proteins. The resultant pellet was used for myofibril extraction; it was dissolved in 40 mL of 0.01 N phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed three times with 0.01 N phosphate buffer and dissolved in 0.03 N phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) containing 0.7 M KI and 0.02% NaN3 with a liquid/solid ratio of 9. Both protein fractions were filtered through 0.45-µm filter paper, and the protein concentration was set at 1 mg/mL by Biuret method for protein determination. SDS-PAGE was performed by the following method described by Laemmli (1970), using separation gel (7.5%) and stacking gel (4%). Samples (1 mg protein/mL, 10 µL) were mixed with 19 µL of Laemmli buffer and 1 µL of mercaptoethanol. Samples (20 µL) and protein molecular weight standards (25 to 250 kDa) were loaded onto gel and electrophoresis was performed in the AE-6531 mPAGE system (ATTO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and conducted at 220 V for 150 min. Gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 and were scanned after detaining. The proteins were identified according to their molecular weights estimated by their relative motilities compared to the molecular weight standards.

Texture profile analysis

Texture profile analysis (TPA) of marinated and unmarinated, cooked samples was carried out using a texture analyzer (TA1 Lloyd Material Testing, West Sussex, UK). Cooked samples were cut into pieces of 1×1×2 cm (width×length×height) and were compressed to 60% with 50 mm probe having trigger load 5 g to measure hardness, cohesiveness, springiness, gumminess, and chewiness.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the analysis of variance to estimate the effect of aging on the marination absorption and physicochemical characteristics of duck breast meat, and significant differences between the mean values were identified with Tukey’s multiple range test, using SAS software, at a confidence level of p<0.05 (SAS 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Postmortem aging showed non-significant impact on the marination absorption of duck breast, as shown in Table 1. Marination absorption varied from 16.73% to 18.74%, and the lowest absorption (16.73%) was observed for duck breast fillets having higher pH values (6.24). CIE color a* and b* values showed significant variations because of postmortem aging, while the L* value was unchanged (Table 3). However, marination of postmortem aged duck breast did not show a consistent impact on CIE color values. Additionally, postmortem aging had non-significant impact on the cooking loss and cooking yield of duck breast meat (Table 4). However, marination significantly reduced the cooking loss and improved cooking yield compared to control, as indicated in Table 4. The lowest cooking loss was observed in duck breast meat marinated after 14 d of aging, while unmarinated samples showed maximum loss on day 14 of aging. Similar results were observed for cooking yield, as the highest yield was observed for samples marinated after 14 d of aging.

The results explicated in Table 5 indicate that aging results in an increased production of TBARS, and the marination of duck breast meat significantly reduced the TBARS value on respective aging days. The TBARS value of cooked samples showed a minimum increase for marinated samples, while a many-fold increase was observed for unmarinated samples. Myofibril and total

Table 1. Effect of aging on marination absorption (%) of duck breast

| Aging period (d) | SEM |
|-----------------|-----|
| 1 | 7 | 14 |
| Absorption (%)  | 18.74 | 16.73 | 18.59 | 0.678 |

SEM, standard error of the means (n = 9).

Table 2. Effect of aging and marination on pH of duck breast meat

| Treatments | Aging period (d) | SEM |
|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Control | 6.05 | 6.24 | 6.18 | 0.062 |
| Marinated | 6.55 | 6.61 | 6.60 | 0.049 |
| SEM | 0.054 | 0.064 | 0.049 |

SEM, standard error of the means.
1 n = 9. 2 n = 6.
* Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).
** Different letters within the same row differ significantly (p<0.05).
protein solubility increased significantly with respect to aging time and marination, while no change in sarcoplasmic protein solubility was observed (Table 6).

The SDS-PAGE profiles of sarcoplasmic and myofibril proteins are depicted in Figure 1 which shows the effects of the aging and marination process. High molecular weight (150 kDa, 80 kDa, and 55 kDa) sarcoplasmic proteins showed dissociation and the appearance of new protein bands, while accumulation was shown for low molecular weight sarcoplasmic proteins (25 to 40 kDa). Both aging and marination had an impact on sarcoplasmic protein dissociation, as shown in Figure 1A. Marinated samples showed a lower density of protein on their respective bands, indicating its impact on protein degradation. Low molecular weight myofibril proteins appeared mainly in the range of 25 to 40 kDa, as shown in Figure 1B.

The texture profile analysis of duck breast meat showed no significant differences, both as a function of aging and marination, as shown in Table 7. However, marinated duck breast meat showed a slight reduction in all parameters of the texture profile compared to unmarinated samples, especially for shear force (data not shown).

### DISCUSSION

Postmortem changes in meat play a vital role in determining the quality of meat. The information regarding the nutritional value, physicochemical attributes and postmortem changes in the duck meat is of prime importance for meat processing industries to consider the use of duck meat for manufacturing different meat-based products (Huda et al., 2011). Zhuang et al. (2014) assessed the effects of postmortem aging on the marination performance of broiler breast and observed that aging prior to marination did not affect marinade absorption. Sodium chloride and phosphate-based marination is categorized as an alkaline marinade resulting in a significant increase in duck breast pH (Table 2). Sen et al. (2005) studied the impact of chilling, phosphate, and bicarbonate marination on broiler breast meat quality and observed an increase in pH of broiler breast after marination. The marination of duck breast results in an increase in meat pH, and the elevated pH is associated with an increased water-holding capacity.

### Table 3. Effect of aging and marination on color of duck breast meat

| Treatments       | Aging period (d) | SEM^1   |
|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                  | 1                | 7       | 14       |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| CIE L*           |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 49.64            | 43.93   | 47.85    |
| Marinated        | 48.59            | 44.61   | 49.55    | 1.884   |
| SEM^2            | 2.994            | 0.800   | 1.728    |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| CIE a*           |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 13.45^A          | 14.53^A | 15.32^A  | 0.291   |
| Marinated        | 12.61^A          | 14.05^A | 14.42^A  | 0.423   |
| SEM^2            | 0.202            | 0.470   | 0.367    |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| CIE b*           |                  |         |          |
| Control          | −0.62^B         | −0.23^B | 1.61^B | 0.559   |
| Marinated        | −0.37^B         | 0.87^B  | 3.44^B | 0.592   |
| SEM^2            | 0.749            | 0.171   | 0.636    |

SEM, standard error of the means; CIE, Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage.

1 n = 9. 2 n = 6.

* Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).

### Table 4. Effect of aging and marination on cooking loss and yield of duck breast meat

| Treatments       | Aging period (d) | SEM^1   |
|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                  | 1                | 7       | 14       |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| Cooking loss (%) |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 32.21^A          | 32.29^A | 33.29^A | 1.248   |
| Marinated        | 23.71^AB         | 26.27^AB| 20.57^AB| 1.216   |
| SEM^2            | 0.505            | 1.952   | 0.696    |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| Cooking yield (%)|                  |         |          |
| Control          | 67.79^B          | 67.70^B | 66.71^B | 1.248   |
| Marinated        | 93.89^AB         | 88.50^AB| 96.15^AB| 1.232   |
| SEM^2            | 0.851            | 1.766   | 0.878    |

SEM, standard error of the means.

1 n = 9. 2 n = 6.

* Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).

### Table 5. Effect of aging and marination on lipid oxidation of duck breast meat

| State      | Treatments       | Aging period (d) | SEM^1   |
|------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|            |                  | 1                | 7       | 14       |
|            |                  |                  |         |          |
| Raw        |                  |                  |         |          |
| Control    | 0.45^A           | 0.52^A           | 0.71^A  | 0.011   |
| Marinated  | 0.38^AB          | 0.46^AB          | 0.56^AA | 0.031   |
| SEM^2      | 0.026            | 0.008            | 0.031   | -       |
| Cooked     |                  |                  |         |          |
| Control    | 2.26^a           | 2.34^a           | 2.56^a  | 0.155   |
| Marinated  | 0.46^b           | 0.59^b           | 0.59^a  | 0.053   |
| SEM^2      | 0.097            | 0.057            | 0.166   | -       |

SEM, standard error of the means.

1 n = 9. 2 n = 6.

/ Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).

### Table 6. Effect of aging and marination on protein solubility of duck breast meat

| Treatments       | Aging period (d) | SEM^1   |
|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                  | 1                | 7       | 14       |
|                  |                  |         |          |
| Sarcomplasmic    |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 3.74             | 3.88    | 3.85     | 0.333   |
| Marinated        | 4.18             | 4.37    | 3.95     | 0.261   |
| SEM^2            | 0.478            | 0.182   | 0.855    | -       |
| Myofibril        |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 4.93^B           | 8.55^B  | 8.57^B   | 0.533   |
| Marinated        | 6.01^B           | 8.95^B  | 9.38^A   | 0.294   |
| SEM^2            | 0.361            | 0.160   | 0.268    | -       |
| Total            |                  |         |          |
| Control          | 8.67^AB          | 11.65^A | 12.43^A  | 0.506   |
| Marinated        | 10.19^AB         | 12.97^A | 13.32^A  | 0.529   |
| SEM^2            | 0.550            | 0.167   | 0.306    | -       |

SEM, standard error of the means.

1 n = 9. 2 n = 6.

* Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).

/ Different letters within the same row differ significantly (p<0.05).
capacity. Wynveen et al. (2001) reported that the increased hydration capacity of marinade comes from the increased number of ions reacting with proteins in the case of phosphate and bicarbonate marinades.

Cooking loss and cooking yield are two important parameters with respect to commercial processing of meat products. These parameters are correlated with marinade absorption, as higher absorption leads to reduced cooking loss and increased cooking yield. Yoon (2002) observed a decrease in cooking losses for meat marinated with phosphates. Similarly, a decrease in cooking loss was also observed by Sen et al. (2005), who studied the impact of chilling and marination on broiler breast meat quality. Komoltri and Pakdeechanuan (2012) marinated Golek chicken and observed an increased cooking yield for marinated chicken compared to unmarinated chicken. Postmortem aging has non-significant effects on the cooking loss and yield of duck breast, and these findings affirm the previous results of Zhuang and Savage (2012), who reported similar results.

Duck breast meat is regarded as red meat, owing to its higher concentration of red muscle fibers. Higher amounts of fats (especially unsaturated fatty acids) and iron in red meat lead to increased instability and result in the production of higher TBARS values (Tang et al., 2001). Results of the current investigation indicate that the metal-chelating effect of phosphates lowered TBARS production, confirming earlier results that marination has an antioxidant property (Ang and Young, 1989). Sanchez-Pena and Alvarado (2013) also observed a lower TBARS value for marinated chicken breast fillets compared to unmarinated samples. Blackhurst et al. (2011) observed a 20% reduction in the formation of conjugated dienes during the cooking of red wine-marinated red meat. These results support that marination can be used as an active tool to enhance the lipid stability of meat and meat products.

High protein solubility is related to the increased water-holding capacity and cooking yield. Joo et al. (1999) correlated drip loss with protein solubility, and observed an increase in protein solubility and reduced drip losses with phosphate and bicarbonate marination. Protein solubility is also correlated with protein denaturation, as reduced protein solubility indicated a higher rate of protein denaturation (Choi et al., 2010). These findings have also shown harmony with the inferences of Huda et al. (2011) who investigated postmortem changes and protein denaturation in mule duck meat marinated with red wine. An increase in chicken breast protein solubility was observed after 7 to 8 d postmortem (Eady et al., 2014). Sodium chloride in marinade results in an increase in ionic strength and protein solubility, while phosphate increases the numbers of ions that react with protein and increase hydration (Sen et al., 2005). Similar findings were reported by Unal et al. (2006) that polyionic properties enable phosphates to attach to protein molecules on positive sites, leading to increased protein solubility and enhanced water binding. Aktas et al.

Table 7. Effect of aging and marination on texture profile analyses of duck breast meat

| Treatments   | Aging period (d) | SEM1  |
|--------------|------------------|-------|
|              | 1                | 7     | 14   |
| Hardness     |                  |       |      |
| Control      | 6.97             | 6.12  | 3.98 | 2.040 |
| Marinated    | 6.35             | 5.70  | 3.62 | 2.222 |
| SEM2         | 2.727            | 2.405 | 0.654 | - |
| Springiness  |                  |       |      |
| Control      | 0.85             | 0.84  | 0.84 | 0.009 |
| Marinated    | 0.81             | 0.65  | 0.78 | 0.117 |
| SEM2         | 0.029            | 0.140 | 0.007 | - |
| Cohesiveness |                  |       |      |
| Control      | 3.63             | 3.88  | 2.35 | 1.068 |
| Marinated    | 3.38             | 2.41  | 2.21 | 0.998 |
| SEM2         | 1.504            | 0.901 | 0.357 | - |
| Chewiness    |                  |       |      |
| Control      | 2.93             | 3.23  | 1.99 | 0.919 |
| Marinated    | 2.82             | 1.56  | 1.84 | 0.751 |
| SEM2         | 1.162            | 0.81  | 0.306 | - |
| Gumminess    |                  |       |      |
| Control      | 3.63             | 3.88  | 2.35 | 1.068 |
| Marinated    | 3.38             | 2.41  | 2.21 | 0.998 |
| SEM2         | 1.504            | 0.901 | 0.357 | - |

SEM, standard error of the means.

1 n = 9, 2 n = 6.

Different letters within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05).

Different letters within the same row differ significantly (p<0.05).

Figure 1. Sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis profile of sarcoplastic (A) and myofibrilar proteins (B) of duck breast meat (C, unmarinated; M, marinated at 1, 7, and 14 days, respectively).
(2003) demonstrated an increase in water-holding capacity, which was attributed to a rise in protein solubility and an increase in ions, as a result of salt marination. The accumulation of low molecular weight proteins (30 kDa) indicates postmortem proteolysis. Long-Li et al. (2012) stated that a 30/32-kDa band in duck muscle was generated from the degradation of troponin-T. Chou et al. (1997) observed the proteolysis of duck breast for 14-days-aged samples, and reported that 0.1 and 0.2 M lactic acid marination significantly accelerated protein degradation compared to unmarinated samples. The current result confirms that longer postmortem aging and marination will be helpful in protein degradation.

Findings of the present study regarding the texture analysis are in line with Komoltri and Pakdeechanuan (2012) who observed non-significant changes in springiness and cohesiveness between marinated and non-marinated Golek chicken. However, they observed a higher hardness for non-marinated chicken samples. The variation of hardness value among studies can be minimized by standardized method.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our results did not show that postmortem aging had significant effects on the marinade absorption, pH, cooking loss, and yield of duck breast meat. However, postmortem aging was shown to significantly increase the color a* and b* values, TBARS values, and protein degradation. The marination of duck breast reduced cooking loss, improved cooking yield, reduced TBARS levels, and accelerated protein degradation. Total and myofibril protein solubility also increased with aging and marination. This study supports the use of postmortem aging for improvement of the physicochemical quality, and marination for improvement of cooking yield of duck breast meat. Therefore, the simultaneous use of aging and marination may be helpful for duck meat processing.
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