Comparative and Phylogenetic Analysis of Complete Plastomes among Aristidoideae Species (Poaceae)
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Abstract: Aristidoideae is a subfamily of Poaceae, including three genera, Aristida, Stipagrostis, and Sartidia. In this study, the plastomes of Aristida adscensionis and Stipagrostis pennata were newly sequenced, and a total of 16 Aristidoideae plastomes were compared. All plastomes were conservative in genome size, gene number, structure, and IR boundary. Repeat sequence analysis showed that forward and palindrome repeats were the most common repeat types. The number of SSRs ranged from 30 (Sartidia isaloensis) to 54 (Aristida purpurea). Codon usage analysis showed that plastome genes preferred to use codons ending with A/T. A total of 12 highly variable regions were screened, including four protein coding sequences and eight non-coding sequences. All Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Inference trees strongly support the monophyly of Aristidoideae and each of the three genera. Within Aristidoideae, Aristida is sister to the clade composed of Stipagrostis and Sartidia. The divergence between C₄ Stipagrostis and C₃ Sartidia was estimated at 11.04 Ma, which may be associated with the drought event in the Miocene period. Finally, the differences in carbon fixation patterns, geographical distributions, and ploidy may be related to the difference of species numbers among these three genera. This study provides insights into the phylogeny and evolution of the subfamily Aristidoideae.
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1. Introduction

The subfamily Aristidoideae, together with Panicoideae, Chloridoideae, Micrairoideae, Arundinoideae, and Danthonioideae, forms the PACMAD clade of Poaceae [1]. The most striking feature of Aristidoideae is that they contain three awns at the top of their lemma. The inflorescence type of Aristidoideae has spread or contracted panicles, with only one fertile floret in one spikelet. Their leaves are narrow and usually rolled longitudinally, which is related to their adaptation to arid environments [2,3]. Three genera, *Aristida*, *Stipagrostis*, and *Sartidia*, are contained in Aristidoideae. The core genus is *Aristida*, with about 300 species, which are widely distributed in temperate and subtropical arid areas [4]. There are about 50 species in *Stipagrostis*, which distributed in deserts and semi-deserts [5,6]. Only six species are contained in *Sartidia*, and they often occur in grasslands and savannas [3,6]. In addition, research on this subfamily has focused on the origin of C$_3$ and C$_4$ [5,7–9]. Aristidoideae has twice-independent C$_4$ origins, once in *Aristida* and the other in *Stipagrostis*. *Aristida* (except for *A. longifolia*, which is the earliest diverging taxa in *Aristida* and it’s a C$_3$ plant) and *Stipagrostis* are C$_4$ photosynthesis species, while *Sartidia* species are C$_3$ plants [5].

The subfamily Aristidoideae is monophyletic, and each of the three genera in the subfamily is also monophyletic [10]. However, the relationship of Aristidoideae with other subfamilies in the PACMAD clade remains in question. In the molecular phylogenetic tree of Poaceae, updated by Grass Phylogeny Working Group (GPWG) in 2012, the subfamily Aristidoideae is the basal group of the PACMAD clade [1]. The phylogenetic study of the PACMAD clade, based on the chloroplast genome data, indicated that the subfamily Panicoideae is the basal group of this clade and Aristidoideae formed a sister group relationship with the rest of subfamilies, however, only one Aristidoideae species was included [11]. The phylogenetic study by Saarela, et al. [12] sampled more species in Aristidoideae, but the position of the Aristidoideae in PACMAD remains controversial. Two sets of chloroplast genome data support Panicoideae as being the basal group of PACMAD, and three sets of chloroplast genome data support that Aristidoideae is the basal group of PACMAD; the complete chloroplast genome coding region, excluding gapped sites but containing positively selected sites, approve that Panicoideae and Aristidoideae are sister groups. While the data based on the mitochondrial gene *matR* and seven highly variable mitochondrial gene intron sequences (*cox2* intron, *nad1* intron 2, *nad4* intron 1, and *nad7* introns 1, 2, 3, and 4.) support that Aristidoideae and Panicoideae are sister groups to each other and form the base group of PACMAD together [11].

The phylogenetic relationships of these three genera in Aristidoideae have always been controversial in grass taxonomy. The sister relationship of *Aristida* and *Stipagrostis* is supported by both six chloroplast gene fragments and the nuclear ppc multigene family [7,13]. However, neither study sampled *Sartidia* species, due to the low species number and narrow distribution of this genus. The combined analysis, based on chloroplast genome data and nuclear ITS sequences, support *Aristida* to be the sister to the clade composed of *Stipagrostis* and *Sartidia* [10]. The same conclusion was made by the Grass Phylogeny Working Group II [1], based on three chloroplast markers (*rbcL*, *ndhF*, *trnK/matK*). However, both studies included only one *Sartidia* species. Morphologically, the spikelets of *Aristida* are more similar to those of *Sartidia*, and there are no feathery hairs on their awns [10]. The embryo proportion (the ratio of embryo length to caryopsis length) of these two genera is 1/5–2/5, while it is 1/3–1/2 for *Stipagrostis* [14,15]. However, the caryopsis of *Aristida* and *Stipagrostis* are cylindrical, which have been laterally compressed, and the caryopsis of *Sartidia* is dorsally compressed [2,14,16]. In the anatomical structure, there are two layers of vascular bundle sheath cells in all Aristidoideae species. Only the outer layer of vascular bundle sheath are parenchyma cells in *Sartidia* and *Stipagrostis*, while in *Aristida*, two layers of vascular bundle sheath are parenchyma cells [3,5]. Therefore, neither molecular nor morphological evidence could explain the relationship between the three genera well. In this study, the whole plastomes were used to reconstruct the relationships among three
genera of Aristidoideae. Representative species of three genera were sampled to test the phylogenetic resolution ability of plastome.

The chloroplast is a structure where green plants carry out photosynthesis and carbon fixation. It is a semi-autonomous organelle, which is ubiquitous in land plants, algae and some protists [17,18]. The first published plant plastome sequences were Nicotiana tabacum L. [19] and Marchantia polymorpha L. [20]. The plastome of angiosperms is a double-stranded ring with a highly conserved quadripartite structure: a large single-copy region (LSC), a small single-copy region (SSC), and two inverted repeat (IR) regions. The IR regions are the areas that expand or contract during the evolution of the plastome, which is also the main reason for the difference in the size of the plastomes [21]. The plastome usually contains 101–118 different genes [22], and the genome size ranges from 120 kb to 160 kb [17], but there are some exceptions [23–25]. The largest known angiosperm plastome is Pelargonium × hortorum, with a length of 217,942 bp [26]. The plastome is moderate in size and contains considerable genetic information. It has a good collinearity with plastome of various plant groups, and the nucleic acid replacement rate in chloroplast DNA is sufficient [27]. In addition, the significant difference of molecular evolution speed between the coding and non-coding regions of the plastome made it suitable for the phylogenetic study of different taxonomic levels [28]. Phylogenetic genomics based on plastomes has developed rapidly in recent years [29–31].

In the current study, the plastomes of two newly sequenced Aristidoideae species were reported. The aims of this study were to: (1) compare the plastome structure of the 16 Aristidoideae species; (2) screen the highly variable markers for Aristidoideae, especially within the genus Aristida; (3) explore the phylogenetic position of Aristidoideae, as well as the intergeneric relationships of Aristida, Stipagrostis, and Sartidia, and interspecific relationships of Aristida. All in all, this is the first comparative study in Aristidoideae based on plastid genomes data. The application of these results will contribute to the evaluation of phylogenetic relationships and biogeographical studies among close relatives of Aristidoideae.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material, DNA Extraction, and Sequencing

The plants of Aristida adscensionis Linnaeus and Stipagrostis pennata (Trinius) De Winter were collected from Taohuayu in Shandong Province, China and Bu’erjin in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China. The voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium of Shandong Normal University (SDNU), where the voucher specimen of A. adscensionis was registered under the number 20061013-1, and the voucher specimen of St. pennata was registered under the number 608068. Total genomic DNA were isolated from silica-dried leaves using a modified CTAB method [32]. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to detect the quality of extracted DNA. A NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) was used to determine the concentration of the isolated DNA.

A total of 0.2 µg DNA was used for the DNA library preparations. The sequencing library was generated using NEB Next Ultra™ DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s recommended instructions. The genomic DNA was broken into a size of ~350 bp. The resulting fragments were endpolished, A-tailed, and ligated with the full-length adapter for Illumina sequencing, followed by further PCR amplification. The 150 bp paired-end sequencing was performed with the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform in Novogene (Beijing, China).

2.2. Genome Assembly and Annotation

The plastomes of Aristida adscensionis and Stipagrostis pennata were assembled with Organelle Genome Assembler (OGA, https://github.com/quxiaojian/OGA (accessed on 6 February 2021)) [33]. The sequences of all 16 species were annotated with Plastid Genome Annotator (PGA, https://github.com/quxiaojian/PGA (accessed on 14 February 2021)) [34] and manually corrected in Geneious v8.0.2 (https://www.geneious.com (accessed on 9 March 2021)).
Organellar Genome DRAW (OGDRAW) v1.3.1 (https://chlorobox.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/ OGDRAW.html (accessed on 28 May 2021)) [35] was used to draw the plastome map. The plastomes information, such as the number of genes, gene length, GC content, and intron number, etc., was counted by Geneious v8.0.2. The sequence data have been submitted to the GenBank database under accession number MZ373986 and MZ375985.

2.3. Repeat Sequences and SSR Analysis

Repeat sequence analysis, including forward, reverse, complement, and palindrome repeats, was carried out by the REPunter website (https://bibiserv.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/reputer/ (accessed on 13 September 2021)) [36]. The parameters used in the analysis were as follows: the hammering distance was three, the maximum computed repeats was 50 bp, and the minimal repeat size was 30 bp. Simple sequence repeats (SSR) or microsatellites in the plastomes were detected by Perl script MISA [37]. The repeat units were set to 10, 5, 4, 3, 3, and 3 for mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-nucleotides, respectively [38]. The correlation analysis between SSR and chloroplast genome length and GC content were completed by the software SPSS v18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

2.4. Codon Usage Analysis

The codon usage of 16 Aristidoideae species was compared. The assessments of codon usage preference included the relative usage of synonymous codons (RSCU) and the effective number of codons (ENC). If the RSCU value is greater than 1, it means that the codon is used more frequently. ENC can be used to measure the degree of codon preference. The lower the value is, the stronger the codon preference of the gene is [39,40]. CodonW v1.4.2 (http://sourceforge.net/projects/codonw/ (accessed on 1 June 2021)) was used for codon usage analysis. The aligned coding sequences (CDSs) longer than 300 bp were picked, to ensure accuracy [41].

2.5. Comparative Genome Analysis and Divergent Hotspot Regions

The conversion of GenBank annotation files to mVISTA format files was completed by Perl script (https://github.com/quxiaojian/Bioinformatic_Scripts/get_mVISTA_format_from_GenBank_annotation.pl (accessed on 31 May 2021)). Plastome sequence alignment was performed by the online genome alignment tool mVISTA (http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/index.shtml (accessed on 4 June 2021)), and the alignment program used was ShuffleLAGAN [42]. *St. pennata* was selected as the reference. MEGA v7.0.26 was used for DNA sequence polymorphism analysis [43]. The percentage of parsimonious information sites (Pi%) of CDS and non-coding regions were calculated for those with sequence length greater than 200 bp [44].

2.6. Phylogenetic Analysis and Taxon Removal Test

To avoid data duplication, one IR region was deleted before phylogenetic analysis. Based on plastome data, the phylogenetic relationship of the PACMAD clade and the subfamily Aristidoideae were reconstructed, respectively. A total of 22 plastomes were used to study the phylogeny of the PACMAD clade with three species (*Brachyelytrum aristosum*, *Bambusa bambos*, and *Oryza sativa*) as the outgroup. About two or three representatives of each subfamily in PACMAD clade were selected, however, six Aristidoideae representatives were selected, to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationship of PACMAD. For reconstruction of the phylogeny of Aristidoideae, a total of 19 plastomes were used, including ten Aristida species, three Sartidia species, three Stipagrostis species, and three species as an outgroup (*Sorghum bicolor*, *Zea mays*, and *Setaria viridis*). The species and their accession numbers used for phylogenetic analysis were shown in Table 1. Three data matrices (complete plastome, CDS, and IGS) were selected for phylogenetic analysis. The sequence alignment was performed by MAFFT v7.313 with default parameters [45]. The software jModelTest v2.1.6 was used to select the best nucleotide substitution model, according to the Akaike
Information Criterion [46,47]. Maximum Likelihood analyses were conducted by RAxML v8.0.26 [48], with the substitution model of GTR+GAMMA1 and 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Table 1. The detailed information of the samples used in the present study.

| Species                        | Accession Number | Species                        | Accession Number |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Amphipogon turbinatus          | NC_035521        | Cortaderia selloana            | NC_036681        |
| Aristida adscensionis          | MZ373986         | Eleusine coracana              | MW262987         |
| Aristida behriana              | NC_046729        | Eriachne mucronata             | NC_035529        |
| Aristida congesta              | NC_046731        | Isachne distichophylla         | NC_025236        |
| Aristida diffusa               | NC_046732        | Merxmullera tsaratannanensis   | NC_036122        |
| Aristida glaziovii             | NC_046413        | Oryza sativa                   | NC_031333        |
| Aristida pruinosa              | NC_042836        | Sartidia dewinteri             | NC_027147        |
| Aristida purpurea              | NC_025228        | Sartidia isaloensis            | NC_036117        |
| Aristida rafescens             | NC_036130        | Sartidia perrieri              | NC_027146        |
| Aristida stipitata             | NC_046730        | Setaria viridis                | NC_028075        |
| Aristida ternipes              | NC_037164        | Sorghum bicolor                | NC_008602        |
| Arundo plinii                  | NC_034652        | Stipagrostis hirtigluma        | NC_036112        |
| Bambusa bambos                 | NC_026957        | Stipagrostis pennata           | MZ373985         |
| Brachyelytrum aristosum        | NC_027470        | Stipagrostis uniplumis         | MF460973         |
| Centropodia glauca             | NC_029411        | Thysanolaena latifolia         | NC_025238        |
| Chloris virgata                | NC_032034        | Zea mays                       | NC_001666        |

The software MrBayes v3.2.7 was used to reconstruct the Bayesian Inference (BI) tree [49]. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was run for 1,000,000 steps with a random starting tree, birth–death default priors, and we sampled one tree every 1000 steps. The birth–death model has given a framework for studying the rate of species formation, extinction and net diversification. Finally, we referred to much of the literature about Bayesian tree inference of Poaceae based on chloroplast genome sequences, and “birth–death” prior is frequently used in these literatures. The first 25% of steps were discarded as burn-in. The molecular dating analysis was conducted by treePL and TreeAnnotator v1.8.945, with the relaxed molecular clock [50,51]. Rapid relaxed clock dating is frequently applied to analyze large data sets with hundreds of sequences in phylogenomics, due to its accuracy and efficiency. The relaxed molecular clock method can accommodate the changes of molecular evolutionary rate between lineages over time. The minimum and maximum age for the crown of Aristidoideae and Panicoideae was set to 36.9 and 51.9 Ma, respectively, based on previously analyzed results [52]. The minimum and maximum age for the crown of Aristidoideae was set to 10 and 20.4 Ma, respectively, based on results of a previous study [53].

3. Results

3.1. Plastome Characteristics of Aristidoideae

The structural characteristics of 16 Aristidoideae plastomes were analyzed. All 16 plastomes showed a typical quadripartite structure, including a large single-copy region (LSC, 79,421–80,927 bp), a small single-copy region (SSC, 12,348–12,592 bp), and two inverted repeat regions (IR, 19,963–22,797 bp). The total length of these plastomes ranged from 132,603 (Aristida glaziovii) to 138,725 bp (Stipagrostis hirtigluma), with a GC content of about 38.5% (ranging from 38.3% to 38.6% with the average of 38.44%). The IR regions had the highest GC content of 43.9–44.3%, followed by LSC (36.2–36.4%) and SSC (32.3–32.9%). Furthermore, all ten Aristida plastomes encoded 134 functional genes, with 88 protein-coding genes, 38 tRNA genes, and 8 rRNA genes. Three Stipagrostis species and three Sartidia species encoded 132 functional genes, including 86 protein-coding genes, 38 tRNA genes, and 8 rRNA genes (Table 2, Figure 1).
Table 2. Plastome characteristics of 16 Aristidoideae species.

| Species               | Genome Size (bp) | LSC (bp) | IR (bp) | SSC (bp) | GC Content (%) | Number of Genes |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
|                       | All              | IR       | LSC     | SSC      |                | CDS rRNAs tRNAs|
| Aristida adscensionis | 137,947          | 80,085   | 22,722  | 12,418   | 38.4           | 43.9 36.2 32.4  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida behriana     | 137,074          | 79,444   | 22,568  | 12,494   | 38.6           | 44 36.4 32.6    | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida congesta     | 134,072          | 80,384   | 20,670  | 12,348   | 38.3           | 44.1 36.2 32.4  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida diffusa      | 137,542          | 79,692   | 22,708  | 12,434   | 38.4           | 43.9 36.2 32.5  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida glaziovii    | 132,603          | 80,193   | 19,963  | 12,484   | 38.4           | 44.3 36.3 32.6  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida graminea     | 137,353          | 79,421   | 22,722  | 12,488   | 38.5           | 44.1 36.4 32.6  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida purpurea     | 138,423          | 80,437   | 22,747  | 12,492   | 38.5           | 44 36.2 32.3    | 132 86 8 38    |
| Aristida rufescens    | 138,607          | 80,567   | 22,724  | 12,508   | 38.4           | 43.9 36.2 32.6  | 132 86 8 38    |
| Aristida stipitata    | 138,226          | 80,327   | 22,728  | 12,443   | 38.4           | 44 36.2 32.4    | 134 88 8 38    |
| Aristida tenuipes     | 136,231          | 80,283   | 21,724  | 12,500   | 38.5           | 44.1 36.4 32.5  | 134 88 8 38    |
| Sartidia desvilliers  | 138,074          | 80,116   | 22,725  | 12,508   | 38.4           | 44 36.2 32.3    | 132 86 8 38    |
| Sartidia isaloensis   | 138,607          | 80,567   | 22,724  | 12,592   | 38.4           | 43.9 36.2 32.3  | 132 86 8 38    |
| Sartidia prunosa      | 138,471          | 80,508   | 22,730  | 12,503   | 38.4           | 44 36.2 32.3    | 132 86 8 38    |
| Sartidia rufescens    | 138,026          | 80,137   | 22,748  | 12,393   | 38.5           | 43.9 36.3 32.9  | 132 86 8 38    |
| Stipagrostis hirtigluma| 138,725          | 80,927   | 22,679  | 12,440   | 38.4           | 44 36.4 32.8    | 132 86 8 38    |
| Stipagrostis pennata  | 138,019          | 80,031   | 22,797  | 12,394   | 38.5           | 43.9 36.4 32.8  | 132 86 8 38    |

Figure 1. Maps of the newly sequenced plastome of *Aristida adscensionis* and *Stipagrostis pennata*. Different color blocks on the outer ring represent genes with different functions. The genes outside the outer ring were transcribed in a counterclockwise direction, while the genes inside the outer ring were transcribed in a clockwise direction. The gray dotted area in the inner circle represents the GC content of the plastomes.

The gene order in Aristidoideae plastomes were basically the same, and no gene rearrangement, such as inversion events, were detected. It was also found that the *accD* gene had been completely degraded in the whole subfamily. For the *ycf1* gene, there were only 120 bp fragments found in *Aristida*. The *ycf2* gene had residues of different lengths in these three genera, and the sizes of the remained fragments ranged from 105 bp to 792 bp. In Aristidoideae species, the *ycf3* and *rps12* genes contained two introns, and a total of eight genes (*ndhB, ndhA, rpl2, rpl16, petB, atpF, petD, and rps16*) included one intron. The *clpP* gene had lost two introns, while the *rpoC1* gene had lost one intron (Figure 1).

3.2. Repeat Sequence Analysis

Repeat sequences included interspersed repeat sequences and simple sequence repeats (SSR). A total of 649 (33–50 of each species) interspersed repeat sequences including 403 forward repeats, 237 palindromic repeats, eight reverse repeats, and one complement repeat were identified for 16 Aristidoideae plastomes. Forward repeats (18–34 of each species) and palindrome repeats (12–19 of each species) were found in all species. While re-
verse repeats were only detected in *A. adscensionis*, *A. purpurea*, *A. rufescens*, and *St. pennata*, and complementary repeat was only detected in *A. purpurea* (Figure 2A). In Aristidoideae, most of the repeat units were composed of 30–34 bp (42.373%) and 35–39 bp (30.354%), followed by repeat units > 55 bp (10.940%), 50–54 bp (6.163%), 40–44 bp (5.547%), and 45–49 bp (4.468%) (Figure 2B).

**Figure 2.** Repeat sequence analysis of plastomes in 16 Aristidoideae species. (A) The number of four types of repeats in different species. (B) The number of repeats with different lengths in different species.

There were 708 simple sequence repeats in Aristidoideae plastomes, including 415 mononucleotide repeats, 130 dinucleotide repeats, 42 trinucleotide repeats, 111 tetranucleotide repeats, nine pentanucleotide repeats, and one hexanucleotide repeat (Table S1). The majority of mononucleotide SSRs were composed of A/T, only one repeat of “C” was detected in *Sa. isaloensis*, and one repeat of “G” was detected in *St. pennata*. Three types of dinucleotide repeats AT/TA/TC were found in Aristidoideae, the AC repeat appeared in *A. purpurea* only once. In addition, there were four types of trinucleotide repeats (AAT/AGA/TTC/CAT), eighteen types of tetranucleotide repeats (AAAT/AAGC/AATA/AGAA/ATAG/ATCC/ATTT/GAAA/GTAG/TATA/TATT/TCGT/TTAT/TTCG/TCTC/TTTA) and seven types of pentanucleotide repeats (AATAG/ATAGA/ATTAG/TATTT/TCTAT/TAGA/TTTTA). SSRs are more abundant in LSC than in SSC and IR. The vast majority of SSR, all compound SSRs, and pentanucleotide repeats are distributed in the LSC region (Table S1). The correlation analysis between various types of SSRs and chloroplast genome size and GC content showed that the plastome size was significantly negatively correlated with the proportion of single nucleotide repeats, and significantly positively correlated with the proportion of dinucleotide repeats. The GC content of the plastome was significantly positively correlated with the proportion of trinucleotide repeats (Table 3). The statistical SSR location information is listed in Tables S2 and S3.

### 3.3. Codon Usage Analysis

A total of 50 CDSs were selected for codon preference analysis (Figure 3). The number of codons ranged from 16,986 (*A. adscensionis*) to 17,101 (*St. hirtigluma* and *St. uniplumis*). The number of effective number of codons (ENC) ranged from 49.55 to 49.89 (Table 4). The Aristidoideae plastomes preferred to use synonymous codons ending with A (0.4226–0.4263 for each species) or T (0.4613–0.4643 for each species), while the content of G + G (GC3s) in the third synonymous codon was 0.268–0.273 for each species. The relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) of all species were 0.28 (CUG) to 2.04 (UUA). Except for Met and Trp, which were encoded by only one codon, with the RSCU = 1, the RCSU values showed that
UCA (S) (0.99–1.01 of each species) had almost no preference. Leucine (10.859–10.944%) was the most abundant amino acid for Aristidoideae plastomes, while cysteine (1.052–1.078%) was the least, except in stop codons (0.292–0.294%). There were no significant differences in codon content and frequency of optimal codons among Aristidoideae, and the codon adaptation index (CAI) ranged from 0.166 to 0.168 (Table S4).

Table 3. Correlation analysis between cpSSRs and plastome size and CG content in 16 Aristidoideae species.

| Term          | Plastome Size | GC Content | Total SSRs | P1%  | P2%  | P3%  | P4%  | P5%  | P6%  |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Plastome Size | 1             |            |            |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| GC content    | 0.2473        | 0.1868     | 0.766 **   | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |
| Total SSRs    | −0.4087       | −0.0325    | −0.590 *   |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| P1%           | −0.589 *      | −0.1420    | −0.0616    | −0.0010 | −0.1244 | 1    |      |      |      |
| P2%           | 0.661 **      | 0.565 *    | −0.677 **  | −0.845 ** | 0.2253 | −0.2124 | 1    |      |      |
| P3%           | 0.0761        | 0.0234     | −0.677 **  | −0.845 ** | 0.2253 | −0.2124 | 1    |      |      |
| P4%           | 0.3473        | −0.0325    | −0.590 *   |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| P5%           | 0.1996        | −0.1588    | −0.2326    | −0.2800 | 0.2742 | 0.1881 | −0.1428 | 1    |      |
| P6%           | 0.0992        | −0.1604    | 0.2575     | −0.0327 | 0.3447 | −0.3606 | −0.0262 | −0.16742 | 1    |

Note: * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

Figure 3. Comparison of the amino acid composition in the plastomes of 16 Aristidoideae species.

3.4. Expansion and Contraction of the IR Region

The boundaries of IR/SC for 16 Aristidoideae species were comprehensively compared (Figure 4). The LSC/IRb junctions (JLB) of all Aristidoideae were between rpl22 and rps19. The length of rpl22-rps19 in LSC was 49 bp for nearly all species, except that it was 33 bp in A. diffusa, 48 bp in A. pruinosa, and 54 bp in A. stipitata. The rpl22-rps19 length in IRb was 35 bp, with one exception that the length in A. pruinosa was 36 bp. The SSC/IRb junctions (JSB) of Aristidoideae were located in ndhF, and there were 20 to 21 bp of ndhF duplicated in IRb. The gene ndhH spanned the SSC/IRA junctions (JSA) for Aristidoideae, and only 4–5 bp in the 5′ end of ndhH existed in IRA region. The IRA/LSC junctions (JLA) were located in the intergenic region rps19-psbA. The length of rps19-psbA located in the IRA region was 35 bp for most taxa, but 36 bp in A. pruinosa.
Table 4. The codon usage of 16 Aristidoideae plastomes.

| Species            | CC   | ENC | GC    | T3s  | C3s  | A3s  | G3s  |
|--------------------|------|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Aristida adscensionis | 16,986 | 49.51 | 0.389 | 0.4643 | 0.1712 | 0.4263 | 0.1739 |
| Aristida behriana   | 17,016 | 49.75 | 0.39 | 0.4631 | 0.1728 | 0.4237 | 0.177 |
| Aristida congesta   | 17,004 | 49.63 | 0.39 | 0.4637 | 0.1719 | 0.425 | 0.175 |
| Aristida diffusa    | 17,004 | 49.65 | 0.39 | 0.4638 | 0.1721 | 0.4242 | 0.1758 |
| Aristida glaziovii  | 17,005 | 49.79 | 0.391 | 0.4627 | 0.1726 | 0.4235 | 0.1772 |
| Aristida pruinosa   | 17,023 | 49.72 | 0.39 | 0.4631 | 0.1722 | 0.4245 | 0.1767 |
| Aristida purpurea   | 17,003 | 49.89 | 0.391 | 0.4615 | 0.174 | 0.4233 | 0.1774 |
| Aristida rufescens  | 17,066 | 49.82 | 0.391 | 0.4617 | 0.1738 | 0.4226 | 0.1779 |
| Sartidia dewinteri  | 17,072 | 49.62 | 0.39 | 0.4636 | 0.1723 | 0.4257 | 0.1744 |
| Sartidia isaloensis | 17,034 | 49.55 | 0.39 | 0.4641 | 0.1718 | 0.4257 | 0.1739 |
| Sartidia perrieri   | 17,034 | 49.57 | 0.39 | 0.464 | 0.1719 | 0.4251 | 0.174 |
| Stipagrostis hirtigluma | 17,101 | 49.74 | 0.391 | 0.4616 | 0.1756 | 0.4243 | 0.1744 |
| Stipagrostis pennata | 17,055 | 49.75 | 0.391 | 0.4613 | 0.1753 | 0.4249 | 0.174 |
| Stipagrostis uniplumis | 17,101 | 49.73 | 0.391 | 0.4618 | 0.1755 | 0.4242 | 0.1745 |

Note: CC, Codons count; ENC, Effective number of codons; GC, GC content at coding positions; N3s, the third base of codons.

Figure 4. Comparison of boundaries of LSC, SSC, and IRs among 16 species in Aristidoideae. JLB: LSC/IRb junctions; JSB: SSC/ IRb junctions; JSA: SSC/ IRa junctions; JLA: LSC/IRa junctions. The gene names behind each species represents the lost genes in this species, and the number in parentheses represents the length of the residual gene fragment.
3.5. Comparative Genome Analysis and Identification of Hypervariable Regions

With reference to *St. pennata*, the structural differences among Aristidoideae plastomes were compared by mVISTA (Figure 5). The *Aristida* species had a similar structure, while the structures of *Sartidia* and *Stipagrostis* plastomes were more similar. For the four parts of the plastome, the SC region had a greater degree of variation than the IR region, and most variation occurred in the non-coding region.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** The homologous comparison of 16 Aristidoideae plastomes by mVISTA, with *St. pennata* as a reference. The horizontal axis, which represents the percentage of identity, ranges from 50–100%. Different colors indicate different gene regions.
To compare the sequence divergence of Aristidoideae plastomes, the parsimonious
information sites were counted for CDS and non-coding sequences. The percentage of
parsim-info (Pi%) sites for CDS ranged from 0.196 (ndhB) to 6.965 (rpl32), with an average
value of 2.823 (Figure 6A). For non-coding sequences, the Pi% value varied from 0.185
(rps12 intron) to 10.563 (rpl32-trnL-UAG), and the mean value was 4.694 (Figure 6B). In
the CDS, with Pi% ≥ 5 as the threshold value, four highly variable sequences (matK,
ndhF, infA, and rpl32) were screened. Similarly, with the criterion of Pi% ≥ 9, there were
eight highly variable sequences (rpl16 intron, ccsA-ndhD, trnY-GUA-trnD-GUC, ndhF-rpl32,
petN-trnC-GCA, trnT-GGU-trnE-UUC, trnG-GCC-trnF-M-CAU, and rpl32-trnL-UAG) that
were filtered among non-coding sequences.

Figure 6. Comparison of the percentage of parsim-info sites in 16 Aristidoideae plastomes. (A) Protein
coding sequences (CDS). (B) The introns and intergenic spacers (IGS).

3.6. Phylogenetic Analysis and Molecular Dating

To determine the placement of Aristidoideae, the phylogenetic relationship of PAC-
MAD was reconstructed based on the complete plastomes. The Maximum Likelihood
tree supports Aristidoideae to be a sister to Panicoideae, with a bootstrap value of 100
(Figure S1). The clade composed of Aristidoideae and Panicoideae is firstly diverged
among the PACMAD clade. In the present study, the phylogenetic position of Aris-
tidoideae, based on three data sets, were reconstructed with three Panicoideae species as
outgroups (Figures 7 and S2–S5). The Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Inference trees
constructed from different data sets showed similar topologies. There is no doubt that Aris-
tidoideae is a monophyletic group with good support (BS = 100, PP = 1). All data strongly
supported that Aristida, Stipagrostis, and Sartidia were to be recognized as monophyletic
groups (BS = 100, PP = 1). It is well supported that Sartidia and Stipagrostis are sisters to
each other, and then form a sister relationship with Aristida (BS = 100, PP = 1). Aristida
formed two clades, A. behriana, A. pruinosa, A. purpurea, A. ternipes, and A. glaziovii formed
a monophyly, and they were sister groups with the monophyly formed by A. adscensionis,
A. congesta, A. diffusa, A. stipitata, and A. rufescens (BS = 100, PP = 1). The estimated diver-
gence time between Stipagrostis and Sartidia is at 11.04 Ma (HDP 95% = 10.47–12.18 Ma)
in the Miocene period (Figure 8). The estimated divergence time of Sartidia is at 2.14 Ma
(HDP 95% = 1.87–2.72 Ma) in the Pleistocene period.
4. Discussion

4.1. Basic information of the Aristidoideae Plastomes

The size and structure of plastomes in most higher plants are relatively conservative [54]. The plastome size of angiosperms is generally 120–160 kb, and the length of the plastomes in Aristidoideae species is 132–138 kb, which is consistent with the length

Figure 7. The Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Inference trees of 16 Aristidoideae species based on their complete plastomes. The obtained bootstrap values (BS) and Bayesian Inference posterior probabilities (PP) are marked above the tree node (BS/PP).

Figure 8. Chronogram constructed from treePL divergence estimation analysis. The short blue bar represents 95% confidence interval.
4. Discussion
4.1. Basic Information of the Aristidoideae Plastomes

The size and structure of plastomes in most higher plants are relatively conservative [54]. The plastome size of angiosperms is generally 120–160 kb, and the length of the plastomes in Aristidoideae species is 132–138 kb, which is consistent with the length characteristics of plastomes in angiosperms [26]. There are 1–6 kb differences in length among the plastomes of 16 Aristidoideae species, which are caused by gene losses and length variation of intergenic regions. The genes ycf1 and ycf2 are the two longest genes in Aristidoideae plastomes. The full name of these two ycf genes is hypothetic chloroplast open reading frame. The function of protein YCF encoded by ycf1 or ycf2 is unknown, but some studies have shown that protein YCF is very important for plant survival [55]. In this study, the gene ycf1 is completely lost from Sartidia and Stipagrostis species, while there are only 120 bp fragments in Aristida species. The retained nucleotides of ycf2 varied from 105 to 792 bp for the 16 Aristidoideae species. The loss of ycf1 and ycf2 is similar to previously reported chloroplast genomes of Poaceae [30,56,57]. The genes ycf1/2 have a higher degree of variation than the commonly used molecular marker matK and are suitable for phylogenetic research [58]. The gene accD encodes the carboxyltransferase β subunit of acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase, which is the rate-limiting enzyme for lipid synthesis [59]. The accD gene has been completely lost in all 16 Aristidoideae species, and this is very common in Poaceae [60]. In this study, no changes in the order and direction of the chloroplast genes were detected.

Repetitive sequences play a crucial role in the structural rearrangement of plastomes [61]. Studies have shown that repeats were necessary for indels and replacement [62]. The existence and abundance of repeats in chloroplasts or the nuclear genome may be related to a variety of phylogenetic signals [63–65]. In this study, the different abundances and types of repeats in Aristidoideae species may provide additional evolutionary information. Among the 16 species of Aristidoideae, the number of repeats varied from 33 to 50, which is similar to the number of repeats previously reported in Poaceae [30,56]. St. pennata has the largest number of repeats, and its plastome genome size is the longest. A. diffusa and A. pruinosa have the lowest number of repeats, but their chloroplast genome size is not the shortest, which is inconsistent with the previously reported rule that the larger the genome length is, the more repeats there are [66]. In terms of repeat types, the forward repeats were the most, followed by palindromic repeats and reverse repeats, which were consistent with the results of previous studies regarding Eragrostideae and Gentiana [56,67]. Forward repeats are often related to the activity of transposons, and the activity of transposons will lead to changes in gene structure. Forward repeats are usually used as markers for population genetic research [68]. Complementary repeats were the least common repeat types, and were not found in the chloroplast genomes of Eragrostideae [56], Cleistogenes [69], or Avena [30]. Among the 16 Aristidoideae species analyzed in this study, only one complementary repeat was detected in A. purpurea. SSRs are simple sequence repeats, which are widely distributed in the plastome. Due to a high variation degree, SSRs can be used as molecular markers for phylogenetic inference, population genetics, and biogeography [62,70,71]. A total of 712 SSRs were identified in Aristidoideae, with an average of 44.5 SSRs per species, and more than half were single nucleotide repeats. A total of 81.7% SSRs were located in the LSC region, which was close to previously reported plastomes of Avena, Gentiana, and Pterocarpus [30,67,72]. Correlation analysis showed that there was no correlation between the plastome size and the number of total SSRs in Aristidoideae species, which was consistent with the previous results in Symplocarpus [73]. However, there is a significant positive correlation between the plastome size and the proportion of dinucleotide repeats, which may greatly contribute to plastome size. GC content was significantly positively correlated with the proportion of trinucleotide repeats, including these four types of repeat units: AAT, AGA, CTA, and TTC, in 16 Aristidoideae species. SSRs distribution information showed that single nucleotide repeats could provide more parsimony information sites, while polynucleotide repeats were more conservative.
Codons are degenerate. Except methionine and tryptophan, other amino acids are encoded by 2–6 synonymous codons. However, the frequency of synonymous codon usage was different among plastomes [74]. It was generally believed that synonymous codon usage was not random and is species-specific. The analysis of codon preference would provide useful information for understanding species adaptability and molecular evolution [75]. Codon usage preference is influenced by many factors, such as GC content, gene length, tRNA abundance, mutation preference, and gene expression level [76–78]. This study revealed that chloroplast genes in Aristidoideae species preferred to use codons ending with A/T, which is consistent with previous studies in other groups [79–82]. ENC is an important index used to measure codon preference. If the value of ENC is less than 35, the codon bias are strong, and vice versa [83]. The ENC values of plastome genes among Aristidoideae species ranged from 49.55 to 49.85, which indicated that there was a weak codon usage preference in Aristidoideae species. All analyzed parameters suggested that the codon usage of Aristidoideae was relatively conservative, which was in line with the previous research results in Poaceae [56,80].

There are four boundaries between IR and SC regions of the plastome, IRb/LSC, IRb/SSC, IRa/LSC and IRa/SSC. The variation of the chloroplast genome size is frequently caused by the contraction and expansion of IR regions [84,85]. However, in Aristidoideae, the IR boundaries were very conservative, which were consistent with plastomes of Poaceae taxa [41,86]. The gene rps19 was located in the IRb region for Aristidoideae, while it was located in the LSC for Amborella [87], and the same expansion of IRb was found in Eragrostis, Cleistogenes, and Miscanthus of Poaceae [56,69,88]. About 20 bp of ndhF extend to the IRb region in the PACMAD clade of Poaceae [86], which is consistent with the observed structure of JSB for Aristidoideae. Almost all the nucleotides of ndhH located in IRa migrate into SSC region in the PACMAD clade [86]. For Aristidoideae, only 4–5 bp in the 5′ end of ndhH still remain in the IRa region.

4.2. Phylogenetically Informative Markers

It was noted that the Pi% value of the non-coding region (the mean Pi% = 4.694) was significantly higher than that of the coding region (the mean Pi% = 2.823), which was similar to previous studies [28,89,90]. Studies on Eragrostideae, Avena, Gentiana section Crucia, and Pterocarpus revealed that the variation of SC region in the plastome is greater than that of the IR region [30,40,56,67,72], and the same conclusion was obtained in this study. Some high mutation regions in plastome sequences can be used as molecular markers for species identification and phylogenetic relationship analysis [91]. The chloroplast genes rbcL, trnH, psbA, and matK have been considered as core plant barcodes for species identification in previous studies, but their resolution at a species level was usually limited [92]. Among the four core markers, only matK was detected as being highly variable in Aristidoideae. The ndhF was screened as divergent marker in Aristidoideae, which has also been used as a maker in Stipa [93]. The identified makers rps32, matK, ndhA, rpl32-trnL-UAG, ndhF-rpl32, trnY-GUA-trnD-GUC, and ccsA-ndhD in Aristidoideae also showed high variability in the study of Avena plastome [30]. Six reported potential markers in Eragrostideae, ndhF, matK, ndhF-rpl32, rpl32-trnL-UAG, trnG-GCC-trnM-CAU, and ccsA-ndhD, were also found to be highly variable in Aristidoideae [56]. Studies in different Poaceae taxa showed that there are indeed some shared potential markers in Poaceae. The 12 highly variable loci identified in this study will be potential markers for population genetics or phylogenetic studies in Aristidoideae.

4.3. Phylogenetic Relationships of Aristidoideae

In the current study, Aristidoideae is resolved as being a sister to Panicoideae, based on the alignment of 22 complete plastomes from the PACMAD clade. The position of Aristidoideae in the PACMAD clade has been controversial for a long time [3,4,12,94,95]. The crux of the dispute lies in whether the subfamily Panicoideae or Aristidoideae is the basal group of PACMAD clade, namely the ‘panicoid-sister hypothesis’ or the ‘aristidoid-sister
hypothesis’ [1,11,12,96]. For example, within PACMAD, the ‘panicoid-sister hypothesis’ or the ‘aristidoid-sister hypothesis’ were supported based on plastome data matrices without or with alignment gaps, respectively [96].

The ML and BI phylogenetic trees of Aristidoideae were reconstructed using the plastome data of 19 species, including ten Aristida species, three Stipagrostis species, three Sartidia species, and three outgroup species. The monophyly of three genera in Aristidoideae is strongly supported (BS = 100, PP = 1). Phylogenetic analysis based on all plastome data matrices indicated that Aristida is a sister to Stipagrostis (BS = 100, PP = 1) and then a sister to Sartidia (BS = 100, PP = 1). However, the phylogenetic tree, based on six chloroplast gene fragments and the nuclear ppc multigene family, support Aristida and Stipagrostis as having formed a sister group [7,13]. The study, which combined chloroplast trnL-F, rpl16, and nuclear ITS sequences, support Aristida to be a sister to the clade composed of Stipagrostis and Sartidia, but only one Sartidia species was included [10]. In addition, some detailed clues in this study may also help to explain the closely-related relationship between Sartidia and Stipagrostis. In terms of the plastome structure, (1) all Aristida species have 120 bp ycf1 gene residues, while in Sartidia and Stipagrostis species, the ycf1 gene was completely degraded; (2) the ndhH gene, located in IRa, was 1182 bp in Aristida species, while its length in Sartidia and Stipagrostis species was 1188 bp. In terms of carbon assimilation, although both Aristida (except A. longifolia) and Stipagrostis perform C4 photosynthesis, there are differences both anatomically and in the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase for photosynthesis between the two genera [7]. All Aristidoideae species contain two layers of vascular bundle sheath cells. Two layers of vascular bundle sheath are parenchyma cells in Aristida, while in Sartidia and Stipagrostis only the outer layer of the vascular bundle sheath are parenchyma cells [3,5]. The estimated split time of Stipagrostis and Sartidia is 11.04 Ma (Miocene). Drought may have promoted the divergence of Stipagrostis and Sartidia [97].

Aristida is a large genus with more than 300 species, and there have been few studies on its inter-species relationship. The ten Aristida species sampled in this study are divided into two clades. The clade comprising A. rufescens, A. adscensionis, A. congesta, A. diffusa, and A. stipitata showed the same topologies in all data sets, which was consistent with previous studies [10]. Another clade was composed of A. lernipes, A. glaziouii, A. purpurea, A. behriana, and A. pruinosa. Our results indicated that plastome data can be used as potential super-barcode to reconstruct the interspecies relationships of Aristida. Within Stipagrostis, St. pennata was a sister to the clade comprising St. hirtigluma and St. uniplumis for all three datasets, which was also supported by the study based on chloroplast trnL-F, rpl16 and nuclear ITS [10]. In addition, two species, Stipagrostis grandiglumis and Stipagrostis pennata, originally belonging to Aristida in “Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae”, have been classified into Stipagrostis in “Flora of China” [2,98]. In this study, only Stipagrostis pennata was obtained. The most notable morphological difference distinguishing Stipagrostis pennata from Aristida were the feathery hairs on the awns and the dense sandy sheath on the fibrous roots. Finally, the morphological features and all molecular evidence supported Stipagrostis pennata to be subsumed into Stipagrostis. For Sartidia, the interspecies relationship was not well resolved, which was identical to previous studies [9]. It was indicated that we need more data to illuminate the phylogenetic relationships among Sartidia species.

4.4. Evolutionary Implication of Aristidoideae

The diversification time of Aristida was inferred to be within the Miocene period [10]. The expansion of the savanna in the Miocene period may be related to the prosperity of Aristida [99–101]. Stipagrostis and Sartidia were split at 11.04 Ma (Miocene), which may be promoted by the drought event recorded in this period [97].

It is now generally accepted that, while there are only three genera in Aristidoideae, the differences in species diversity among the three genera are significant. There are more than 300 species in Aristida, more than 50 species in Stipagrostis, and only 6 species in Sartidia. A. longifolia, with C3 photosynthesis, is the first diverged taxon from Aristida. The
distribution of _A. longifolia_ is limited to the tropical area of central and southern South America. Both _Aristida_ (except _A. longifolia_) and _Stipagrostis_, which have a high species richness, have C₄ photosynthetic pathways. C₄ photosynthesis is a group of complex traits that can increase photosynthetic efficiency under drought, high temperature, and low CO₂ conditions. C₄ photosynthesis may promote lineage species diversification by reducing extinction rates, increasing speciation rates, or combining the two [102], and have been proposed to be related to the high species diversity of grasses [7]. The distribution of these three genera is associated with the species numbers in each genus. _Aristida_ is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical regions, indicating that _Aristida_ can adapt to various habitats. _Stipagrostis_, distributed from Africa to Central and West Asia, is a kind of grass that can truly adapt to the desert environment. The seeds of _Stipagrostis_ species have evolved some characteristics, such as a feathery pilose on the awn, to promote its wind spread in the desert [103]. In addition, polyploidization is a common phenomenon in plants, which can induce species diversity, promote speciation, and provide new genetic materials for plant evolution [104,105]. The ploidies of _Aristida_ are varied, with diploid, triploid, and tetraploid structures, _Stipagrostis_ are reported to have diploid and tetraploid, whereas _Sartidia_ has only diploid [10]. The basic chromosome number of all three genera is 11 [3]. The occurrence of polyploidy and the variation of ploidy in _Aristida_ and _Stipagrostis_ may lead to species diversification in each genus.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the complete plastomes of _Aristida adscensionis_ and _Stipagrostis pennata_ were sequenced and assembled for the first time. Comparison of all 16 Aristidoideae plastomes found that they were highly conserved in genome size, gene number, structure, and IR boundary. A total of 12 highly variable regions were identified, which could be used as potential markers for phylogenetics, population genetics, and biogeography of Aristidoideae. In the present study, all phylogenetic trees strongly support the monophyly of Aristidoideae and three genera, and the clade of Aristidoideae and Panicoideae was a sister to other subfamilies in the PACMAD clade. Within Aristidoideae, _Aristida_ is a sister to the clade composed of _Stipagrostis_ and _Sartidia_. The phylogenetic relationships among sampled _Aristida_ were well resolved. However, the interspecies relationships of _Sartidia_ were still ambiguous, which indicated that nuclear data are needed for resolving the short internal branches. The divergence between C₄ _Stipagrostis_ and C₃ _Sartidia_ was estimated at 11.04 Ma which may be associated with the drought event in the Miocene period. The difference in species numbers of these three genera may be related to their difference in carbon fixation patterns, geographical distributions, and ploidy. In general, the plastome data used in this study provided insights into the phylogeny and evolution of the subfamily Aristidoideae.
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