Optimal management of umbilical cord prolapse
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Abstract: Umbilical cord prolapse (UCP) is an uncommon obstetric emergency that can have significant neonatal morbidity and/or mortality. It is diagnosed by seeing/palpating the prolapsed cord outside or within the vagina in addition to abnormal fetal heart rate patterns. Women at higher risk of UCP include multiparas with malpresentation. Other risk factors include polyhydramnios and multiple pregnancies. Iatrogenic UCP (up to 50% of cases) can occur in procedures such as amniotomy, fetal blood sampling, and insertion of a cervical ripening balloon. The perinatal outcome largely depends on the location where the prolapse occurred and the gestational age/birthweight of the fetus. When UCP is diagnosed, delivery should be expedited. Usually, cesarean section is the delivery mode of choice, but vaginal/instrumental delivery could be tried if deemed quicker, particularly in the second stage of labor. Diagnosis-to-delivery interval should ideally be less than 30 minutes; however, if it is expected to be lengthy, measures to relieve cord compression should be attempted. Manual elevation of the presenting part and Vago’s method (bladder filling) are the most commonly used maneuvers. Care should be given not to cause cord spasm with excessive manipulation. Simulation training has been shown to improve/maintain all aspects of management and documentation. Prompt diagnosis and interventions and the positive impact of neonatal management have significantly improved the neonatal outcome.
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Introduction

Umbilical cord prolapse (UCP) is an uncommon but potentially fatal obstetric emergency. Its incidence has decreased over the years and significant advances in its management have led to improved perinatal outcome. This article reviews the risk factors, perinatal outcomes, prevention and the optimal management of UCP.

Definitions

Cord presentation (fore-lying cord) is the presence of the umbilical cord (UC) between the fetal presenting part and the cervix, regardless of the membrane status (intact or ruptured). Descent of the UC through the cervix is essential for diagnosing cord prolapse. It can be either overt (past the presenting part) or occult (alongside the presenting part).

Incidence

UCP is an uncommon obstetric emergency but with potentially significant neonatal adverse outcomes. The overall incidence is reported at 0.1%–0.6% with higher incidences in non-cephalic presentations, multiple gestations, and earlier gestational ages. However, a lower incidence (0.018%) has been reported recently and there is a trend toward decreasing incidence throughout the years: 0.6% in 1932, 0.2% in 1990, and
Several risk factors for UCP have been identified (Table 1). Clinicians should be aware of these risk factors as this would represent the first step in anticipating this obstetric emergency and decreasing the perinatal morbidity/mortality.

Almost half of the risk factors are iatrogenic.\(^7\) Artificial rupture of fetal membranes (ARM) especially in a multiparous case with high non-engaged head, attempted rotation of the fetal head in cases of abnormal positions, placement of intrauterine pressure catheter or fetal scalp electrode and external cephalic version are the most common examples of iatrogenic risk factors. Interventions that may cause elevation of the fetal presenting part predispose to UCP.

Other obstetric risk factors that may lead to UCP include multiparity, especially grand multiparity (75% of cord prolapse events in the 1940s),\(^2\) malpresentations, polyhydramnios, multiple gestations particularly in the second twin, preterm labor, and preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM).

The relationship between malpresentations including breech and transverse lie and UCP is well documented and is due to the poor engagement/non-engagement of the presenting part into the maternal pelvis allowing the space for the cord to prolapse. In one study, breech presentation accounted for 36.5% of UCP cases.\(^5\) Multiple gestation is another risk factor and can lead to UCP due to the abnormal fetal presentation, and it may occur with both first or second twin.

The use of cervical ripening balloon may predispose to UCP especially when filled with a large amount of fluid, and it may occur after insertion, removal or spontaneous expulsion of the balloon.\(^2\)

Although prematurity is associated with increased risk of UCP as a result of poor application of the presenting part to the cervix, most UCP cases occur in term pregnancies.\(^11\)

### Pathophysiology

Compression of the UC can lead to either profound or total acute asphyxia or subacute hypoxia with different neonatal outcomes. It has been suggested that the pathophysiology of
cord prolapse is almost an “all or none event”, either causing overwhelming neurological injury and death or causing little or no cerebral injury, and this is supported by the very low incidence of stillbirth/neonatal death, neonatal encephalopathy, and cerebral palsy.\(^5\)

The mechanism of fetal demise is through near-total or total acute asphyxia, which occurs when the umbilical cord is compressed between the fetal head and bony pelvis.\(^{12}\) This results in failure of the normal autoregulatory mechanisms of the brain resulting from hypotension and bradycardia\(^6\) and leads to the failure of cerebral blood redistribution, with cell death of the brainstem – the most metabolically active area of the brain. This is unlike cases of subacute hypoxia where blood can be distributed to the more vital areas of the brain, sparing the brainstem and resulting in minimal or short-lasting neurological manifestation.

### Management

Umbilical cord prolapse is an acute obstetric emergency that mandates delivery of the baby as quickly as possible. The route of delivery is usually by CS but vaginal/instrumental delivery can be attempted if deemed quicker. The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG) recommends the diagnosis-to-delivery interval (DDI) to be less than 30 minutes in order to optimize the perinatal outcome, particularly in the presence of evidence of fetal compromise.\(^7\) However, further decreases of DDI below the 30-minute limit do not necessarily improve the neonatal outcome.\(^8\) Prompt recognition and rapid action are the mainstays of managing this emergency.

When UCP is diagnosed, it is important to amass personnel for help. As emergency CS is typically the treatment of choice, so the anesthetist and operation room staff need to be informed promptly to get ready. Continuous FHR monitoring and recording are carried out until delivery. \(\text{O}_2\) supplementation by face mask improves the \(\text{O}_2\) delivery to the baby.

If the woman is in the first stage of labor or early in the second stage, prompt cesarean delivery is recommended. If vaginal delivery is imminent or instrumental delivery is possible, they can be contemplated.

There are several measures to relieve cord compression which should be carried out till CS is performed (Box 1). These measures include manual elevation of the fetal presenting part using two fingers or the whole hand through the vagina, the positioning of the patient in steep Trendelenburg, exaggerated Sim’s position\(^9\) or knee-chest position, filling the bladder with 500–700 cc or more of saline and the now out-of-favor method of cord replacement. Care should be exercised not to excessively manipulate the cord as this can result in umbilical artery vasospasm and do more harm than good.

Vago\(^14\) described successfully using bladder filling as a means of relieving cord compression. This method is especially useful if the cord is prolapsed in a remote area where delivery is not imminent. Filling the bladder with 500 cc or more of normal saline or when the bladder is visibly seen above the pubic area would relieve cord compression by elevating the presenting part and may help in decreasing uterine contractions.\(^14\) The addition of tocolytics in the form of intravenous ritodrine infusion (250–400 \(\mu\)g/min) was shown to improve the FHR tracing and the Apgar score \(\geq 7\) at 5 minutes.\(^15\)

Manual cord replacement (funic reduction) to above the presenting part is rarely carried out nowadays. This maneuver could be tried while preparations for an emergency CS are being made up. If cord prolapse occurred in a remote area, replacement could be tried till CS is done. However, Vago’s method would be the measure of choice to reduce the cord compression. Barrett\(^16\) in his small series of cord replacements in the management of UCP recommended certain criteria before this procedure is contemplated: a short segment of the cord (<25 cm), cervical dilatation \(\geq 4\) cm, the presenting part could be easily elevated above –1 station and rapid completion of the procedure (within 2 minutes).

Tocolytics had been used with the aim of decreasing the uterine contractions, relieving the pressure on the prolapsed cord in addition to improving the placental perfusion and hence the blood supply to the baby, which might be helpful if DDI is expected to be prolonged.\(^17\) However, tocolytics may

---

**Box 1** Principles of management of umbilical cord prolapse

- Call for help
- Continuous fetal monitoring
- \(\text{O}_2\) by face mask
- Immediate delivery
  - Essentially by \(CS\) – allow instrumental/vaginal delivery if deemed quicker
  - Inform anesthetist, pediatrician, and OR staff
  - Patient consent
- Funic decompression/elevation of the presenting part
  - Two fingers/hand in the vagina + elevation of the presenting part
  - Steep Trendelenburg or knee-chest position
  - Insertion of Foley’s catheter and filling the urinary bladder (500–750 mL)
- Funic reduction (rarely used)
  - Replacement of the umbilical cord into the uterus
- Tocolytics
  - Not a first line management – can be considered if prolonged interval to delivery is expected
- Keep the cord moist
  - With cord extruding in the vagina and delivery is not imminent

Abbreviations: \(CS\), cesarean section; OR, operating room.
cause uterine atony following delivery and in cases where UCP occurs in the hospital, expedient delivery should be undertaken without recourse to tocolytics.

There are several case reports of conservative management of cord prolapse and all are in pre-viable gestation fetuses with the aim of prolongation of pregnancy. In these cases, the extreme prematurity and low birthweight may have resulted in less cord compression, minimizing asphyxia and improving fetal outcome. Pre-viable gestation is one of the contraindications for immediate delivery in cases of UCP (Box 2); the others being fetal demise and lethal fetal anomalies. Conservative treatment of UCP should be reserved in carefully selected cases after full counselling of the parents about the prognosis.

A special note on unstable lie is worth mentioning as it is particularly relevant to UCP. When unstable lie is diagnosed after 37 weeks of gestation, the risks, especially UCP, should be clearly explained to the pregnant woman and the management options offered. These include admission at 38–39 weeks gestation, elective CS, expectant management or active management in the form stabilizing induction, ie, external version then induction of labor. If vaginal delivery is contemplated, care should be exercised during ARM and vaginal examination should be carried out if SROM occurred to exclude cord prolapse and ascertain the presentation.

The urgent nature of the management of UCP that often ends by emergency CS can be traumatic to the woman and those accompanying her. Debriefing the patient and her partner regarding the course of events is important, explaining why this happened and if it has any implications related to future deliveries, eg, repeat CS or recurrence of UCP. If antenatal diagnosis of cord presentation was made, detailed discussion and advice about the management of the remaining antenatal period, mode of delivery and intrapartum care – if vaginal delivery is being contemplated – are of paramount importance.

**Perinatal outcome**

**Perinatal mortality and morbidity**
The perinatal mortality and morbidity largely depend on the location where the prolapse occurred (inside or outside the hospital facility) and the gestational age/birthweight of the fetus. Where the prolapse occurs outside the hospital, mortality rates as high as 44% have been reported, compared to 3% if this occurs inside the hospital. The perinatal mortality rate was estimated at 6.8% and was likely to occur in nulliparous women. Premature infants and those of low birth weight have less favorable outcomes and have twice the risk of perinatal mortality compared to those without UCP.

UCP can be associated with perinatal morbidity, including low 5-minute Apgar scores, assisted ventilation requirement, low cord pH, meconium aspiration, hyaline membrane disease, neonatal seizures, neonatal encephalopathy (2%), and cerebral palsy (0.43%). However, a recent study estimated a neonatal encephalopathy incidence of only 0.32%. Neonatal encephalopathy was defined as either neonatal seizures or two of the following lasting longer than 24 h: abnormal consciousness, difficulty maintaining respiration or feeding (both of central origin), or abnormal tone/reflects. An increased incidence of placental abruption and meconium-stained amniotic fluid has been reported which can result in increased neonatal morbidity.

There is a trend toward decreasing perinatal mortality and morbidity and overall survival over the years. In one study, stillbirth decreased from 48% (1940s) to 2.1% (2000s) and overall survival improved to 94% (2000s) from 46% (1940s). Improved diagnosis and interventions and the positive impact neonatal management explain these improvements.

**Predictors of the outcome**

Several predictors of perinatal outcome have been identified including the DDI, the FHR changes, and the mode of delivery (Table 2).

DDI less than 30 minutes is associated with lower mortality rates in infants >2,500 g and higher Apgar scores. However, hypoxic encephalopathy had been reported with very short DDI (<15 minutes), which suggests that other factors may play part in the outcome. Such factors include the occurrence of prolapse preceding diagnosis by a significant length of time, the degree of cord compression, and the presence of fetal compromise.

**Table 2 Predictors of perinatal outcome**

| Predictors                      | Favorable outcome | Less favorable outcome |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Location of the cord prolapse  |                   |                       |
| Diagnosis-to-delivery interval | <30 minutes       | >30 minutes           |
| Birth weight                   | >2,500 g          | <2,500 g              |
| Mode of delivery*              | Cesarean delivery | Vaginal/operative delivery |

*Note: *Before full cervical dilation.
The location where UCP occurs can have an effect on the perinatal outcome. Occurrence in the hospital with rapid recourse to delivery is associated with improved perinatal outcome, compared to occurring at a remote location. Those fetuses who maintain normal heart rate tracings at the time of UCP have lower incidence of adverse neonatal outcome.\(^2^4\)

Cesarean delivery is significantly associated with decreased perinatal mortality and morbidity compared to vaginal delivery. Critchlow et al\(^2^5\) found that delivery by emergency CS significantly reduced the risks of an Apgar score <3 at five minutes and neonatal mortality when compared to spontaneous normal delivery. However, spontaneous or operative vaginal deliveries may be contemplated in the second stage of labor if deemed feasible, quick, and highly likely to succeed. When operative vaginal delivery is contemplated, the same rules for applying forceps or ventouse should be maintained, eg, full cervical dilatation, and engaged head.

**Long-term disability**

UCP has been shown to have an all-or-nothing effect on the neonatal outcome and the evidence for long term disability remains inconclusive. There is a very low incidence of neonatal encephalopathy;\(^2^6\) however, long-term sequelae in the surviving infants in the form of cerebral palsy of the spastic quadriplegic and dyskinetic types have been reported in both preterm and term infants.\(^2^6\)

**Prevention**

Knowledge of the risk factors of UCP does not significantly decrease its occurrence;\(^6\) however, the anticipation of this problem can lead to improvement of fetal morbidity and mortality. Of note, cord prolapse can occur in pregnancies without obvious risk factors,\(^1^4\) which renders this complication unpreventable (Box 3).

Caution should be exercised during interventions that carry a high risk of UCP. Amniotomy in a patient where the head is not well applied is better postponed, unless it is necessary, where it should be carried out with caution. A controlled rupture by a more experienced obstetrician allows the drainage of liquor in a slow gush. Some would advise the use of hypodermic needle or pudendal block trumpet to get a slow and controlled drain of amniotic fluid.\(^2^7\)

Manipulation of the fetal head, especially if non-engaged, should also be kept to minimum and dealt with extra care. Interventions such as placement of fetal scalp electrode or intraventricular pressure catheter as well as the application of cervical ripening balloon may result in elevation of the fetal head and lead the cord to prolapse. It is difficult to abolish the risk of UCP with these interventions but the anticipation of this complication may lead to better diagnosis, earlier intervention, and better perinatal outcome.

Antenatal ultrasound diagnosis of cord presentation should be sought, especially in pregnancies at higher risk of cord prolapse such as those with abnormal presentations, preterm labor, and PPROM. This has the value of counseling women regarding the complications of cord prolapse and what to do if the membrane ruptures. However, intervention, ie, CS based on ultrasound diagnosis, has not been yet justified.

Continuous FHR monitoring in high-risk women would not prevent UCP as such but it will help in early diagnosis when FHR abnormalities are detected.

Perinatal mortality was shown to be higher with planned out-of-hospital birth compared to planned in-hospital birth; however, both settings carried a low risk of perinatal death.\(^2^8\) In a study from the Netherlands, eight cases of UCP in primary midwifery care were diagnosed in one year resulting in one infant death from severe birth asphyxia, giving a perinatal mortality incidence of 12.5%.\(^2^9\) Despite the low number of cases and the well-established birth in primary care in the Dutch obstetrical system, this incidence is considered high. Women should be informed about the risks of delivery in non-hospital settings and careful selection of low risk women may further decrease obstetric complications, including UCP.

**Simulation training for umbilical cord prolapse**

Despite a documented decrease in the incidence of UCP, this potentially fatal obstetric emergency will continue to occur. Simulation training in obstetric emergencies helps to improve teamwork, awareness, knowledge, and skills in life-threatening and/or uncommon obstetric complications.\(^3^0\)

The aim of simulation training in such an obstetric emergency is to improve the team work in order to optimize the neonatal outcome. In addition, training involves maneuvers to alleviate cord compression, effective communication

---

**Box 3 Strategies for prevention of cord prolapse**

- Awareness and anticipation of the risk factors
- Antenatal ultrasound diagnosis in high risk patients
- Vigilance during procedures that increase the risk of UCP, eg,
  - Amniotomy
  - Manual rotation of the head
  - Application of cervical ripening balloon and fetal scalp electrodes

**Abbreviation:** UCP, umbilical cord prolapse.
between those involved in the management, the use of spinal anesthesia and event documentation regarding the presence or absence of fetal distress (Box 4).

Studies examining the effect of simulation training on the outcome of UCP revealed different results. Siassakos et al found that annual training was associated with improved management of cord prolapse in the form of improvement of DDI (from 25 to 14.5 minutes) as well as in Apgar scores below 7 at 5 minutes. However, Copson et al found non-significant improvements in all aspects of management apart from an increase in the number of babies with Apgar scores <7 at 5 minutes, and explained this by the high standard care prior to training. In their case, Copson et al concluded that simulation training would maintain the high standard of care in their units.

Documentation is very important for medico-legal issues. An identified member of the managing team should be responsible for recording the sequence of events, interventions, and maternal and neonatal outcomes. Documentation items are shown in Box 5.

**Conclusion**

Umbilical cord prolapse is an acute obstetric emergency that is associated with increased perinatal morbidity and mortality, thus requiring rapid identification and intervention. Once diagnosed, the most rapid method of delivery should be carried out. If delivery is not imminent, alleviation of cord compression should be contemplated by elevating the presenting part either manually or by bladder filling in addition to repositioning of the patient. Prior knowledge of risk factors as well as regular simulation training that helps develop team work, DDI and documentation will benefit those unfamiliar with the condition to improve their management and hence the neonatal outcome.
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