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We study the cosmological evolution of a scalar field that couples to the trace $T = T_a^a$ of energy momentum tensor of all the fields (including itself). In the case of a shallow exponential potential, the presence of coupling to the trace $T$ in the field equation makes the energy density of the scalar field decrease faster thereby hastening the commencement of radiation domination. This effect gradually diminishes at later epochs allowing the scalar field to dominate the energy density again. We interpret this phase as the current epoch of cosmic acceleration with $\Omega_{\phi} = 0.7$. A variant of this model can lead to accelerated expansion at the present epoch followed by a $a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$ behaviour as $t \to \infty$, making the model free from future event horizon. The main features of the model are independent of initial conditions. However, fine tuning of parameters is necessary for viable evolution.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The interpretation of current observations in terms of an accelerating universe requires an exotic form of energy density with $(\rho + 3p) < 0$. A wide variety of scalar field models have been conjectured for this purpose including quintessence [1], K-essence [2], tachyonic scalar fields [2, 4]. With the last one being originally motivated by string theoretical ideas [2]. (For a recent review of issues related to the cosmological constant and dark energy, see [2]). Since all these models have a free, undetermined function $V(\phi)$, it is possible to incorporate any reasonable $a(t)$ in any of these models [4]. Thus, at a fundamental level, all these models can be objected to — quite correctly — as lacking in predictive power. The appropriate way of judging these models, therefore, will be based on the physical input that is used in constructing the model and the generic features which arise in the model.

We investigate here a model in which the coupling of the scalar field to the matter is based on a physical principle which can be motivated as follows: The most obvious generalization of the Newtonian gravity, based on $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$ will be $\Box \phi = 4\pi G T$ in which the trace $T = T_a^a$ of the energy momentum fields act as a source for a scalar field. This theory, however, has to be nonlinear, since the scalar field itself has a nonzero trace for the energy momentum tensor which should appear on the right hand side. Further, since cosmological constant has a nonzero trace for stress tensor, this scalar field will also couple to the cosmological constant and the effective cosmological constant will become dynamical. Such a model was developed and investigated more than a decade back [8, 9], as a possible solution to cosmological constant problem. This work, however, was ambitious in the sense that it attempted to tackle the problem without any fine tuning and did not succeed [8]. The current thinking in cosmology is remarkably tolerant to fine tuning of parameters and virtually every scalar field model suggested in the literature has either explicit or implicit fine tuning of parameters. In view of this, one can resurrect the above model, in which the form of the interaction arises from a clear physical requirement, and explore its consequences for cosmology. We attempt to do this in this paper and show the the resulting models have very nice properties.

II. DYNAMICS OF THE SCALAR FIELD WITH A COUPLING TO $T_a^a$

The Lagrangian for a system made of the gravitational field, matter sources and the scalar field which couples to the trace of the total energy momentum tensor is derived in [8]. (This derivation is briefly summarized in Appendix A for completeness.) The complete action, which takes into account the trace coupling of the scalar field, has the form (see Appendix A):

$$ S = (16\pi G)^{-1} \int R\sqrt{-g}d^4x + {1\over 2} \int \beta(\phi)\phi^2\sqrt{-g}d^4x $$

$$ - \int a(\phi)V(\phi)\sqrt{-g}d^4x + S_{\text{source}} $$

where the coupling to the trace is given by an arbitrary function $f(\phi)$ and

$$ \alpha(\phi) = \frac{1}{1 + 4f(\phi)}, \quad \beta(\phi) = \frac{1}{1 + 2f(\phi)} $$

The $S_{\text{source}}$ is the remaining source term (radiation, matter ... ), with appropriate coupling to $\phi$ (see Appendix A). The energy momentum tensor for the field $\phi$ which
arises from the action \( I \) is given by
\[
T^{ik} = \alpha(\phi)V(\phi)g^{ik} + \beta(\phi) \left[ \phi^i \phi^k - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \phi^j \phi_j \right] \quad (3)
\]
We shall assume that scalar field is evolving in an isotropic and homogeneous space time and that \( \phi \) is a function of time alone. The energy density \( \rho_{\phi} \) and pressure \( p_{\phi} \) obtained from \( T^{ik} \) are
\[
\rho_{\phi} = \beta(\phi) \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \alpha(\phi)V(\phi), \quad p_{\phi} = \beta(\phi) \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - \alpha(\phi)V(\phi)
\]
\( \text{(4)} \)
The coupling to \( T^a_{\mu} \) modifies the field energy density \( \rho_{\phi} \), pressure \( p_{\phi} \) and the equation of state parameter \( w_{\phi} = (p_{\phi}/\rho_{\phi}) \).

In a universe containing dust like matter and radiation, the action \( I \) leads to following evolution equations for the field:
\[
\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi} = \frac{f \dot{\phi}}{1 + 2f} + 4V(\phi) \left( \frac{1 + 2f}{1 + 4f} \right)^2 f \phi - V_{,\phi}(\phi) \left( \frac{1 + 2f}{1 + 4f} \right) + \frac{\rho_m}{a^3} f,_{\phi} \left( \frac{1 + 2f}{1 + 4f} \right)^2 \quad (5)
\]
(The radiation, being traceless, does not couple to \( \phi \).) The Friedman equation with the modified energy momentum tensor given by \( I \) is
\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2(t)}{a^2(t)} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left[ \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2(1 + 2f)} + \frac{V(\phi)}{1 + 4f} + \rho_\phi(a) \right] \quad (6)
\]
where background energy density due to radiation and matter is given by
\[
\rho_\phi(a) = \frac{\rho^i_m}{a^4} + \frac{\rho^e_m}{a^4(1 + f)} \quad (7)
\]
The simplest form of coupling which we shall adopt is the linear one
\[
f(\phi) = g_c(\phi/M_p) \quad (8)
\]
where \( M_p = \sqrt{1/(8\pi G)} \) is the reduced Planck’s mass and \( g_c \) is a coupling constant. Since equations \( I \) and \( 3 \) reduces to the standard form for \( g_c = 0 \), this parameter gives the strength of the forcing term. Equation \( 8 \) describes the evolution of the scalar field in the expanding universe under the influence of an external “force”, which depends upon the field and its kinetic energy \( (1/2)\dot{\phi}^2 \).

The influence of this term on the dynamics of the scalar field is accumulative.

In the absence of matter, the conservation equation formally equivalent to \( 3 \), has the usual form
\[
\dot{\rho}_{\phi} + 3H(\rho_{\phi} + p_{\phi}) = 0 \quad (9)
\]
and the evolution of energy density is given by
\[
\rho_{\phi} = \rho_{\phi0} e^{-3(1-\zeta(a)) a} \quad (10)
\]
with
\[
\zeta(a) = \frac{1}{(K_c/P_c) + 1}
\]
where the ratio of effective kinetic to potential energy \( (K_c/P_c) \) is given by
\[
\frac{K_c}{P_c} = \frac{\beta(\phi)}{\alpha(\phi)} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2V(\phi)} \quad (11)
\]
Interaction modifies the kinetic as well as the potential energy through \( \alpha(\phi) \) and \( \beta(\phi) \), however, \( \rho_{\phi} \) bears the same relation to their ratio as in the case of standard scalar field cosmology. Equation (9), of course, is not valid in presence of matter; nevertheless numerical work shows that the ratio \( (K_c/P_c) \) is still a good parameter which influences the dynamics. The evolution of potential to kinetic energy ratio plays a significant role in the growth or decay of the energy density \( \rho_{\phi} \) at a given epoch and will be crucial in the following discussion.

Let us next address the question of choice of potential of the scalar field which would lead to a viable cosmological model. The obvious restriction on the evolution is that, starting from Planck’s time, the scalar field should survive till today (to account for the observed late time accelerated expansion) without interfering with the nucleosynthesis of the standard model.

Exponential potentials lead to solutions which are the attractors of evolution equations when \( g_c = 0 \) and provide backdrop for the understanding of dynamics in our case. A standard model with
\[
V(\phi) = V_o \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{M_p} \phi \right] \quad (12)
\]
(and \( g_c = 0 \)) is well studied in literature and can be divided into two categories: (a) The exponential potentials for which \( \rho_{\phi} \) scales slower than the background density \( \rho_b = (1/a^n) \) which translates to \( \lambda < \sqrt{n} \). (b) Potentials for which scalar field energy density scales faster than the \( \rho_b \), i.e. \( \lambda > \sqrt{n} \). In the first case if the background energy density was sub-dominant, it would become more sub-dominant and radiation domination will never occur.

In the second case there exists a scaling solution which mimics the background energy density that is dominant, with
\[
\Omega_\phi = \frac{\rho_{\phi}}{\rho_{\phi} + \rho_b} = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}; \quad \rho_{\phi} \propto \frac{1}{a^n} \quad (13)
\]
The discussion of this model with \( g_c \neq 0 \) (so that the coupling to the trace of the stress tensor is switched on) is given in Appendix B. It turns out that this model is not as attractive as another variant of the potential with
\[
V(\phi) = V_o e^{\lambda a} \Phi, \quad (\Phi \text{which we shall concentrate on next.}})
III. QUINTESSENCE WITH EXPONENTIAL POTENTIAL IN THE PRESENCE OF THE COUPLING TO THE TRACE \( T \)

We shall now consider a model with

\[
V(\phi) = V_0 \exp(\lambda \frac{\phi}{M_p}), \quad (\lambda < \sqrt{2})
\]

(14)

in which the field evolves towards the origin rather than away from the origin. In order to investigate the dynamics described by (5) and (6), it would be convenient to cast these equations as a system of first order equations

\[
Y_1' = Y_2
\]

(15)

\[
Y_2' = -3Y_2 + \frac{1}{H(Y_1, Y_2)} \left[ \frac{g_c}{Y_1^2} \right] \left[ 1 + 2g_cY_1 \right] Y_2^2 + g_c \left[ 1 + 2g_cY_1 \right] \frac{\dot{V}(Y_1)}{\dot{Y}_1} \left[ (1 + 2g_cY_1) \right] + \frac{\rho_m}{a^3} g_c \left( 1 + 2g_cY_1 \right) \left[ 1 + 4g_cY_1 \right]^2
\]

(16)

where

\[
Y_1 = \frac{\phi}{M_p}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{M_p}, \quad \dot{V} = \frac{V(Y_1)}{M_p^4}
\]

(17)

and prime denotes the derivative with respect to the variable \( N = \ln(a) \). The function \( H(Y_1, Y_2) \) is given by

\[
H(Y_1, Y_2) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{Y_2^2}{2(1 + 2g_cY_1)} + \frac{\dot{V}(Y_1)}{(1 + 4g_cY_1)} + \frac{\rho_b}{M_p^4} \right]}
\]

(18)

where \( \rho_b = \rho_k e^{-4N} + \rho_{w0} e^{-3N}/(1 + g_cY_1) \). The initial conditions will be set at Planck time with \( a_i = 1 \). (The scale factor today would nearly be \( 10^{30} \)) Further, for the background energy density, \( \rho_b = \rho_{k0}/a^4 \), we make the choice, \( \rho_k = M_p^4 \) based on the point of view that, at the radiation dominated phase near the Planck’s epoch, the energy density of radiation was of the order of Planck energy as it was the only scale available at that time. The initial values for \( \phi \) and \( \dot{\phi} \) as well as the the parameters in the potential are chosen so as to ensure a viable cosmological model. We take the initial values \( Y_1 = 550; \ Y_2 = 0 \) and \( \lambda = 0.52, \ g_c = 5.9 \). The value of \( V_0 \) is chosen so that initially, \( \rho_k^{1/4}/\rho^{1/4}_R \simeq 10^2 \). Of these choices, \( \lambda \) and \( g_c \) are important for obtaining a viable model; \( Y_1 \) is arbitrary except for the condition \( Y_1 \gg 1 \). The choices made for \( Y_2 \) and \( V_0 \) are merely done for the sake of convenience; different choices will lead to quite similar behaviour. Some of these choices could be construed as fine tuning in the model. But it is no worse than the fine tuning in any other scalar field model.

The model described by (14) supports an eternal inflation in absence of the coupling to the trace \( T \). The forcing term induced by the back reaction in the field evolution equation acts against the Hubble damping and hastens the evolution of field energy density \( \rho_b \). We have plotted the ratio of kinetic to potential energy of the field in figure 1. It is seen that due to coupling to the trace \( T \), the kinetic energy acquires a large value making the potential term unimportant and pushing the field into kinetic regime. As a result, the field energy density crosses the background energy density and continues evolving as \((1/a^3)\) for quite some time (see figure 2). After the crossover, the dominant contribution to the Friedman equation (6) comes from the background energy density \( \rho_b \). This in turn leads to the increase in damping and consequently slowing down of the decay of \( \rho_b \) allowing the ratio \( (\rho_b/\rho_k) \) to grow. The energy density gets locked to a constant value in this process and acts like cosmological constant thereby making \( \Omega_b \) to grow. The forcing term containing \( \dot{\phi}^2 \) in the field evolution equation is ineffective in this regime.

A remarkable thing happens when the field starts rolling again at the end of locking regime. As discussed above, the ratio of kinetic to potential energy of the field \( (K_e/P_e) \) provides a yardstick to determine the energy scaling. At the end of locking period, the field again starts rolling down the hill. Though back reaction again builds up, the kinetic to potential energy ratio is now much smaller than it was in the beginning when \( \rho_b \) was dominant. The ratio first increases, then fluctuates near its background value, finally heading towards zero (figure 3). As a result, \( \rho_b \) first (nearly) tracks the \( \rho_k \), gradually approaches it and ultimately overtakes it. This allows the scalar field to dominate and gives rise to accelerated expansion at late times. By suitably tuning the parameters of the model, it is possible to get \( \Omega_b = 0.7 \) today (figure 4). In figure 5 we have displayed the equation of state parameter \( w_\phi \) to demonstrate the convergence of different initial conditions to the inflationary attractor. Figure 6 shows that the \( w_\phi \) mimics de-Sitter like behavior shortly after the current epoch.

The role of \( \dot{\phi}^2 \) term on the right-hand-side of evolution equation (5) is very important in the beginning. The size of the undershoot crucially depends upon the coupling constant \( g_c \). Larger value of \( g_c \) leads to deeper undershoot because the ratio \( K_e/P_e \) is sensitive to coupling initially. After the locking period this ratio of the order of few as background (radiation) dominates over the field this time. During evolution, the scalar field moves from larger values towards the origin. As a result the influence of the back reaction in the Friedman equation (6) decreases and the field energy density gradually moves towards the background, overtakes it and joins with the (non-coupled) inflationary attractor (This may be contrasted with the behaviour in the model described by (12), in which the scalar field evolves from origin to larger values. In this case the contribution of field energy density in the Friedman equation gets gradually suppressed allowing the background to dominate for ever. In this model \( \rho_b \) tracks the background and never comes to dominate it (see figures, 13, 14 and 15). This de-
development is displayed in figure 7 which clearly shows: (i) deeper undershoot for larger coupling and (ii) joining of the inflationary track earlier for smaller value of \( g_c \). Figure 7 also shows that the field continues to stay in the usual (non-coupled) attractor phase for quite some time till the potential terms induced by the coupling in field evolution equation become important. This happens at very late times and the influence of these terms will be discussed below. As for the matter term, numerical analysis shows that they do not influence the dynamics significantly. Thus coupling plays an important role in the intermediate regime (which also manifests in figures 2, 4, and 6) thereby allowing to produce current observed accelerated expansion with necessary tuning of \( g_c \) along with \( \alpha \).

Let us briefly summarize the role of the coupling to the trace \( T \). The exponential potential under consideration is shallow and supports an eternal inflation in the standard scenario. The introduction of the coupling to the trace \( T \) hastens the decay of \( \rho_\phi \), pushing the scalar field into kinetic regime. After the locking period, its role gradually diminishes making it possible for the scalar field, at late times, to join back the inflationary track it was detracted from. At this stage, the scalar field is approaching the origin and we would expect the equation of state \( w_\phi \) to settle in its attractor value. However, the equation of state becomes equal to \( -1 \) and stays there — which is evident from figure 8. The same effect is reflected in the behavior of \( \rho_\phi \) shown in figure 7 where the field energy density becomes constant after staying in its attractor value for some time. This seems to be a general feature of the model under consideration and requires explanation.

The coupling to the trace \( T \) modifies the field evolution through three extra terms on the right hand side of (16). The first term contains \( \phi^2 \) and plays important at the early epochs and during tracking as discussed above. The second term contains the potential which contributes in the potential dominated regimes: during the turn around of \( \rho_\phi \) and when the field is rolling slowly. We will show that this term is responsible for the cosmological constant like behavior of the scalar field at very late times, i.e., \( a \rightarrow \infty \). In order to see this, we will cast (16) in the form

\[
\dot{Y}_2 + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} Y_2 = g_c \frac{Y_2}{1 + 2g_c Y_1} - \frac{d}{dY_1} V_{\text{tot}}(Y_1)
\]

where \( V_{\text{tot}}(Y_1) \) is the effective potential given by

\[
V_{\text{tot}}(Y_1) = \int \left[ \frac{\lambda (1 + 2g_c Y_1)}{(1 + 4g_c Y_1)} - g_c \frac{(1 + 2g_c Y_1)}{(1 + 4g_c Y_1)^2} \right] \tilde{V}(Y_1) dY_1
\]

(20)

where \( \tilde{V} = (V_0/M_\phi^2) e^{\lambda Y_1} \). (The matter term is sub-dominant in this limit and is hence ignored.) In the model described by (13), the scalar field is evolving from large values towards the origin and can take negative values. But when \( Y_1 \rightarrow -(1/2g_c) \) the system will become singular because of the divergence of the first term in (19). However, the second term in the expression of \( V_{\text{tot}}(Y_1) \) does not let it happen. In fact, this term sets an infinite potential barrier at \( Y_1 = -(1/4g_c) \) and does not allow the field to reach the singularity. The potential which was attractive away from the origin becomes now repulsive followed by the infinite barrier on its left (see figure 8). This happens when

\[
Y_{1e}^r = \frac{4g_c - \lambda}{4\lambda g_c}
\]

(21)

At this stage, the field \( \phi \) is rolling slowly. It crosses the critical point and moves towards the barrier but due to insufficient kinetic energy gets reflected back by the barrier. After making few such oscillations, the field settles at the critical point permanently and mimics the cosmological constant. For the model described by (13) with \( \lambda = 0.52 \) and \( g_c = 5.9 \), \( Y_{1e}^r \approx \phi^r/M_\rho \simeq 1.88 \) which is confirmed by numerical integration (see, figure 9).

IV. MODELS OF QUINtESSENCE FREE FROM FUTURE EVENT HORIZON PROBLEM

In the model described above, like in any generic model of quintessence, universe will be accelerating forever leading to future event horizon — which could pose a problem in the string theoretical context. It is, however, possible to tackle this problem by using any potential which is exponential for large \( \phi \) and is a power law near the origin: That is, we require \( V(\phi) \rightarrow \exp(\lambda \phi/M_\phi) \) for large \( \phi \) and \( V(\phi) \rightarrow (\lambda \phi/M_\rho)^{2p} \) for \( \phi \rightarrow 0 \). The power law behaviour near the origin will lead to oscillations when the field approaches \( \phi \approx 0 \). The mean equation of state of the scalar field is then given by

\[
< w_\phi > \approx \left( \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)} \right) = \frac{p - 1}{p + 1}
\]

(22)

As a result the scalar field energy density and scale factor have the following behavior

\[
\rho_\phi \propto a^{-3(1+<w_\phi>)}, \quad a \propto t^{(1+<w_\phi>)^{-1}}
\]

The scalar field behaves like pressure less dust for \( p = 1 \). By suitably adjusting the parameters in the model one could ensure that the oscillations occur in the future, i.e., well after the present epoch when \( \Omega_\phi \) has reached value equal to 0.7. One can avoid the future event horizon in such a model, irrespective of the explicit form of \( V(\phi) \) which is used, as long as it interpolates between an exponential and power law behaviour. One of the many possible choices of interpolation is a potential of the form

\[ V(\phi) = V_0 \sin(2p(\lambda \phi/2M_\rho)) \],

for which we have evolved the field equations numerically. The results for a particular choice of parameters are shown in figures 10 and 11.

We summarize below the chronology of main events in any such model with a shallow potential which behaves like a power law near the origin: (i) The scalar field starts
rolling slowly in the shallow potential. The coupling to the trace $T$ almost instantaneously kills any inflationary behavior and makes the scalar field to enter into the kinetic regime. The scalar field continues to stay in the kinetic regime (with $\rho_\phi \propto a^{-6}$) and soon $\rho_\phi$ drops below the $\rho_g$. (ii) Once this happens, the dominant contribution to the Friedmann equation comes from the background. This, in turn, allows $\rho_\phi$ to turn towards $\rho_g$ leading to a locking regime during which the value of $\rho_\phi$ stabilizes and remains relatively unchanged for a considerable length of time and the scalar field behaves like cosmological constant. (iii) The field starts rolling down the potential again and the back reaction builds up such that the kinetic energy of the field exceeds its potential energy by a factor of few in the beginning. However, as the field rolls towards smaller and smaller values, the role of back reaction gradually diminishes and the potential energy starts catching up again with the kinetic energy. As a result $\rho_\phi$ slowly approaches the back ground energy density and finally overtakes it. The scalar field is now back on the original inflationary track. This phase is interpreted as the late time accelerated expansion of the universe, leading to present day value of $\Omega_\phi = 0.7$. (iv) After the current epoch, the universe continues in the phase of cosmic acceleration for quite some time till the scalar field approaches $\phi \simeq 0$ and starts oscillating about it giving rise to $< w_\phi > = 0$ and turning the scalar field to behave like cold dark matter (see figure 11). This is expected to happen in future and the model is free from the event horizon problem at late times. (There are other possible solutions to this problem; see, for example, [5, 11]).

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have examined the dynamics of a scalar field which couples to the trace of all the field including itself in FRW background. The coupling to the trace $T$ of the field modifies the energy momentum tensor and induces a forcing term in the field evolution equation. The forcing term acts against the Hubble damping changing the evolution of field energy density significantly. In case of a steep potential, it amounts to increasing the steepness of the potential. In case of the shallow potentials in which the field rolls slowly and mimics cosmological constant, the coupling to the trace $T$ plays an important role. It forces the scalar field into kinetic regime allowing the radiation domination to commence. We have discussed a model in which the effect of the back reaction gradually diminishes allowing the scalar field to catch up with the inflationary attractor regime at late times, thereby accounting for the observed cosmic acceleration with $\Omega_\phi = 0.7$. We have also presented a variant of the model in which the scalar field plays the role of quintessence at the current epoch and mimics dust like dark matter in future which eliminates the future event horizon. The general features of the model are shown to be independent of the initial conditions. However, fine tuning of some of the parameters is necessary to achieve viable evolution.

APPENDIX A: ACTION FOR SCALAR FIELD COUPLED TO THE TRACE OF STRESS TENSOR

We summarize here the derivation of the self-consistent Lagrangian for a scalar field coupled to the trace of the energy momentum tensor of all matter, originally given in [8], for the sake of completeness.

Consider a system consisting of the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$, radiation fields, and a scalar field $\phi$ which couples to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of all fields, including its own. The zeroth order action for this system is given by

$$A^{(0)} = A_{\text{grav}} + A_{\phi}^{(0)} + A_{\text{int}}^{(0)} + A_{\text{rad}}$$

where

$$A_{\text{grav}} = (16\pi G)^{-1} \int R \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x - \int \Lambda \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x$$

$$A_{\phi}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi \dot{\phi} \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x; \quad A_{\text{int}}^{(0)} = \eta \int T_f(\phi/\phi_0) \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x$$

Here, we have explicitly included the cosmological constant term and $\eta$ is a dimensionless number which ‘switches on’ the interaction. In the zeroth order action, $T$ represents the trace of all fields other than $\phi$. Since the radiation field is traceless, the only zeroth-order contribution to $T$ comes from the $\Lambda$ term, so that we have $T = 4\Lambda$. The coupling to the trace is through a function $f$ of the scalar field, and one can consider various possibilities for this function. The constant $\phi_0$ converts $\phi$ to a dimensionless variable, and is introduced for dimensional convenience.

Since the stress-tensor of the scalar field has a non-zero trace, we must add to $T$ the contribution $T_\phi = -\phi \dot{\phi}$ of the scalar field. If we now add $T_\phi$ to $T$ in the interaction term $A_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$ further modifies $T^{uk}_{\phi}$. This again changes $T_\phi$. Thus to arrive at the correct action an infinite iteration will have to be performed and the complete action can be obtained by summing up all the terms (see [8]). The full action can be found, more simply, by a consistency argument.

Since the effect of the iteration is to modify the expression for $A_\phi$ and $A_\Lambda$, we consider the following ansatz for the full action:

$$A = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int R \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x - \int \alpha(\phi) \Lambda \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + \frac{1}{2} \int \beta(\phi) \phi \dot{\phi} \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + A_{\text{rad}}$$

Here $\alpha(\phi)$ and $\beta(\phi)$ are functions of $\phi$ to be determined by the consistency requirement that they represent the
result of the iteration of the interaction term. (Since radiation makes no contribution to \( T \), we expect \( A_{\text{rad}} \) to remain unchanged.) The energy-momentum tensor for \( \phi \) and \( \Lambda \) is now given by

\[
T^{ik} = \alpha(\phi) \Lambda g^{ik} + \beta(\phi) \left[ \phi^i \phi_k - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \phi^\alpha \phi_\alpha \right] \tag{A5}
\]

\[
- \int \alpha(\phi) \Lambda \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + \frac{1}{2} \int \beta(\phi) \phi^i \phi_i \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x
= - \int \Lambda \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + \frac{1}{2} \int \phi^{i} \phi_i \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + \eta \int T_{\text{tot}} f(\phi/\alpha_0) \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \tag{A6}
\]

Using \( T_{\text{tot}} \) and comparing terms in the above equation we find that

\[
\alpha(\phi) = [1 + 4 \eta f]^{-1}, \quad \beta(\phi) = [1 + 2 \eta f]^{-1} \tag{A7}
\]

Thus the complete action can be written as

\[
A = \frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int R \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x - \int \frac{\Lambda}{1 + 2 \eta f} \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + \frac{1}{2} \int \phi^i \phi_i \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x + A_{\text{rad}} \tag{A8}
\]

(The same action would have been obtained if one uses the iteration procedure.) It is obvious that the method works for any source for which the trace of stress tensor, so that the total trace is \( T_{\text{tot}} = 4 \alpha(\phi) \Lambda - \beta(\phi) \phi^i \phi_i \). The functions \( \alpha(\phi) \) and \( \beta(\phi) \) can now be determined by the consistency requirement

\[
T_{\text{rad}} \text{ is proportional to the Lagrangian } L. \text{ If } T = \mu L, \text{ then the coupling replaces } L \text{ by } L(1 + \mu f)^{-1}. \text{ For the kinetic energy term } L_\phi = (1/2) \phi_\alpha \phi^\alpha, \text{ we have, } T_\phi = -\phi^i \phi_i = (\phi^i \phi_i - \phi^\alpha \phi_\alpha) \text{ and for the cosmological constant term } T_\Lambda = 4 \Lambda = (-4) L_\Lambda. \text{ These lead to the factor } (1 + 2 \eta f)^{-1} \text{ and } (1 + 4 \eta f)^{-1} \text{ in } A_{\text{rad}}. \text{ In general, if the action is a homogeneous function of degree } D \text{ in } g^{ab}, \text{ the } T = (2D)L \text{ and the coupling factor is } (1 - 2D \eta f)^{-1}. \text{ For a system of dust like particles with the action for each particle being the integral of } ds = \sqrt{g_{ab} dx^a dx^b}, \text{ we have } D = (-1/2) \text{ and the coupling factor becomes } (1 + \eta f)^{-1} \text{ (see } \text{[AS]).}
\]

In the presence of cosmological constant and sources which are conformally invariant, the action in \( \text{[AS]} \) leads to the following field equations,

\[
R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} R = -8 \pi G \left[ \beta(\phi) \left( \phi^i \phi_k - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \phi^\alpha \phi_\alpha \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{8 \pi G} \alpha(\phi) g_{ik} + T_{\text{traceless}}^{ik} \right] \tag{A9}
\]

\[
\Box \phi + \frac{1}{2} \beta(\phi) \phi^i \phi_i + \frac{\Lambda}{8 \pi G} \alpha(\phi) = 0 \tag{A10}
\]

Here, \( \Box \) stands for a covariant d’Lambertian, \( T_{\text{traceless}}^{ik} \) is the stress tensor of all fields with traceless stress tensor and a prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( \phi \).

In the cosmological context, this reduces to

\[
\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3a}{a} \dot{\phi} = \eta \dot{\phi}^2, \quad \frac{f'}{1 + 2 \eta f} + \eta \frac{\Lambda}{2 \pi G} \frac{f'(1 + 2 \eta f)}{(1 + 4 \eta f)^2} \tag{A11}
\]

\[
\frac{a^2}{\dot{a}^2} = \frac{8 \pi G}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{8 \pi G (1 + 4 \eta f)} + \rho_b(a) \right] \tag{A12}
\]

where \( \rho_b(a) = \rho_h/a^4 \). It is obvious that the effective cosmological constant can decrease if \( f \) increases in an expanding universe. The result can be easily generalized

\[
T_{\text{rad}} \text{ is proportional to the Lagrangian } L. \text{ If } T = \mu L, \text{ then the coupling replaces } L \text{ by } L(1 + \mu f)^{-1}. \text{ For the kinetic energy term } L_\phi = (1/2) \phi_\alpha \phi^\alpha, \text{ we have, } T_\phi = -\phi^i \phi_i = (\phi^i \phi_i - \phi^\alpha \phi_\alpha) \text{ and for the cosmological constant term } T_\Lambda = 4 \Lambda = (-4) L_\Lambda. \text{ These lead to the factor } (1 + 2 \eta f)^{-1} \text{ and } (1 + 4 \eta f)^{-1} \text{ in } \text{[AS].} \text{ In general, if the action is a homogeneous function of degree } D \text{ in } g^{ab}, \text{ the } T = (2D)L \text{ and the coupling factor is } (1 - 2D \eta f)^{-1}. \text{ For a system of dust like particles with the action for each particle being the integral of } ds = \sqrt{g_{ab} dx^a dx^b}, \text{ we have } D = (-1/2) \text{ and the coupling factor becomes } (1 + \eta f)^{-1} \text{ (see } \text{[AS]).}
\]

In the presence of cosmological constant and sources which are conformally invariant, the action in \( \text{[AS]} \) leads to the following field equations,

\[
R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} R = -8 \pi G \left[ \beta(\phi) \left( \phi^i \phi_k - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \phi^\alpha \phi_\alpha \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{8 \pi G} \alpha(\phi) g_{ik} + T_{\text{traceless}}^{ik} \right] \tag{A9}
\]

\[
\Box \phi + \frac{1}{2} \beta(\phi) \phi^i \phi_i + \frac{\Lambda}{8 \pi G} \alpha(\phi) = 0 \tag{A10}
\]

for a scalar field with a potential by replacing \( \Lambda \) by \( V(\phi) \) in the action. Similarly, the presence of dust like matter adds the term \( (\rho_i/a^3)(1 + \eta f)^{-1} \) to the source term in \( \text{[A12]} \) and a corresponding derivative term in \( \text{[A11]}.\)

**APPENDIX B: COSMOLOGY WITH \( V \propto \exp(-\lambda \phi/M_P) \) AND COUPLING TO T**

In this case, the field evolves to large \( \phi \) at late times making the matter term \( a^{-3}(1 + \eta f)^{-1} \) sub-dominant to the radiation term \( a^{-4} \). We will, therefore, ignore the matter term for simplicity. We first discuss the possibility when \( \lambda > \sqrt{2} \). In this case the potential is steep leading to \( \rho_\phi \propto a^{-6} \). This makes \( \rho_\phi \) sub-dominant relative to \( \rho_b \) and the motion will become strongly damped allowing the kinetic energy to decrease. This, in turn, al-
lows $\rho_\phi$ to catch up with the background and stay there for ever till the attractor regime is reached. The role of coupling to $T$ will be to increase the kinetic energy and keep $\rho_\phi$ in the kinetic regime for longer. The $\rho_\phi$ will catch up again with the background, though it takes longer to reach the attractor (scaling) solution with the duration depends upon $g_c$. Therefore, in this case, the role of the forcing term is equivalent to increasing the steepness of the potential given by $\lambda$ (see figure 13). Thus nothing new or interesting happens in this case.

The case with $\lambda < \sqrt{n}$ ($n = 4$ in our case) is more interesting. We shall be working with $\lambda < \sqrt{2}$ in which case the exponential potential supports a never ending inflation. The forcing term which acts against damping. Due to coupling to the trace $T$ the kinetic energy acquires a large value making the potential term unimportant and pushing the field into kinetic regime. Depending upon the value of coupling $g_c$ it may take longer or shorter to force $\rho_\phi$ to come into kinetic regime which then crosses the background energy density, (see figure 13). The extent of the overshoot depends upon the coupling constant $g_c$. The evolution of $\rho_\phi$ in this model proceeds more or less in the similar fashion as in case of the model described by (14) till the turn around. At the end of locking period, the field again starts rolling down the potential. Though back reaction again builds up, the kinetic to potential energy ratio is now much smaller than it was in the beginning when $\rho_\phi$ was dominant (figure 14). The field does not join the inflationary attractor in the present case. Because as it runs down the hill away from the origin to larger and larger values, the contribution of $V(\phi)$ and $\dot{\phi}^2/2$ to the right hand side of Friedmann equation (6) gets suppressed systematically and $\rho_\phi$ remains sub-dominant to the background (radiation). The ratio $(K_e/P_e)$ settles at value of 2 (with $\omega_\theta = 1/3$) and the scalar field tracks the background and gets locked there for ever.
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FIG. 1: The ratio of kinetic to potential energy of scalar field is depicted for the exponential potential \((14)\) with \(\lambda = 5.2\), \(V_0^{1/4} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-30} M_p\) with two different values for coupling (chosen for illustration): \(g_c = 0.04\) (dashed line) and \(g_c = 0.041\) (solid line). The kinetic energy (as compared to the potential energy) is seen to build up fast to a large value forcing \(\rho_\phi\) into the kinetic regime which otherwise would scale slowly with the scale factor (\(\rho_\phi \propto a^{-\lambda^2}\)) for the model with the potential in \((14)\).

FIG. 2: The energy density is plotted against the scale factor: solid line corresponds to \(\rho_\phi\) for \(g_c = 5.9\) in case of the model described by \((14)\) with \(\lambda = 0.52\) and \(V_0^{1/4} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-30} M_p\). The dashed and dotted lines correspond to energy densities of matter and radiation respectively. The large value of the scalar field kinetic energy (relative to the potential energy) induced by the forcing term in the evolution equation ensures that the \(\rho_\phi\) drops below \(\rho_0\), after which \(\rho_\phi\) remains approximately constant for a period of time. At the end of this phase, the scalar field tracks the background energy density before becoming dominant and driving the current accelerated expansion of the universe.
FIG. 3: Evolution of the kinetic to potential energy ratio of scalar field for the model described in figure (2) starting from the locking regime onwards. At the end of locking period, the ratio fluctuates about its background value and then nearly tracks it slowly decreasing towards its attractor value. The ratio does not lock itself to its attractor value and approaches zero where it stays asymptotically. The vertical dashed line marks the current epoch.

FIG. 4: Evolution of dimensionless density parameter $\Omega$ is shown as a function of the scale factor for the model described in figure (2) for: (i) the scalar field (solid line), (ii) radiation (dotted line) and matter (dashed line). Late time behavior of the scalar field leads to the present day value of $\Omega_\phi = 0.7$ and $\Omega_m = 0.3$. 
FIG. 5: The equation of state parameter of scalar field for the exponential potential showing different initial conditions converge to attractor solution at late times. The horizontal dashed line depicts the \( w_b = 1/3 = w_R \). The solid and dashed lines start with widely different initial conditions but lead to similar behaviour at late times.

FIG. 6: Evolution of equation of state parameter of scalar field. The coupling to the trace \( T \) brings scalar field (which was slowly rolling down the shallow potential) into kinetic regime. The scalar field stays in this regime for a long time before \( \rho_{\phi} \) grows towards \( \rho_b \). During this phase, \( w_\phi \) gets locked to \( w_\phi = -1 \) (locking regime). After the locking period ends, \( \rho_{\phi} \) tracks the background energy density slowly approaching it from below with equation of state \( w_\phi \approx 1/3 \). At late times \( \rho_{\phi} \) overtakes the background and becomes dominant to account for the current epoch of cosmic acceleration. During this time \( w_\phi \) decreases and heads towards its inflationary attractor value \( (w_\phi = (\lambda^2 - 3)/3)) \). However, before it could settle there, the slowly moving field \( \phi \) towards the origin gets trapped near the barrier formed there due to coupling to the trace \( T \). The equation of state locks to \(-1\) permanently. The vertical dashed line marks the present epoch.
FIG. 7: The evolution of energy density in the field is shown for two values of \( g_c = 0.9 \) (solid line) and \( g_c = 0.07 \) (dotted line) for the model described \([14]\) with \( \lambda = 0.52 \). The radiation energy density is depicted by the dashed line. The second dashed line below shows the (non-coupled) inflationary behavior of \( \rho_\phi (\rho_\phi \propto a^{-\lambda^2}) \). The decay of \( \rho_\phi \) slows down earlier for smaller value of the coupling constant \( g_c \) and approaches the inflationary attractor behavior after overtaking the background (radiation) energy density. After staying with the attractor value for quite some time, \( \rho_\phi \) becomes constant.

FIG. 8: The effective potential in equation \([20]\) for \( \lambda = 0.52, g_c = 5.9 \). This effective potential becomes repulsive near the origin when \( (\phi_{cr}/M_p) = 1.88 \) followed by an infinite barrier at \( (\phi^b/M_p) = -0.042 \) which shields the singularity situated at \( (\phi^s/M_p) = -0.084 \).
FIG. 9: Late time evolution of the scalar field (solid line). After the current epoch, the scalar field passes across the critical point, moves towards the barrier and gets reflected in the effective potential shown in the previous figure. It makes few oscillations and settles at the critical point \( \phi_{cr}/M_p = 1.88 \). The dashed line depicts the inflationary attractor behaviour of the scalar field in case of the exponential potential \( V = -\lambda \ln(a/a_i) + \text{constant} \) with \( \lambda = 0.52 \) \( \phi/M_p = -\lambda \ln(a/a_i) + \text{constant} \) and the dotted line corresponds to \( \phi_{cr}/M_p = 1.88 \).

FIG. 10: The dimensionless density parameter is plotted as a function of the scale factor for: (i) scalar field (solid line) with a potential \( V = V_0 \sinh^{2p}(\lambda \phi/2M_p) \), radiation (dotted line) and matter (dashed line). The late time behavior of the scalar field is shown to lead to the present values of \( \Omega_{\phi} = 0.7 \) and \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \).
FIG. 11: Evolution of energy density is shown for scalar field (solid line) radiation (dotted) and matter (dashed) for a potential $V \propto \sinh^{2p}(\lambda \phi/2M_p)$. The energy density $\rho_{\phi}$ drops below $\rho_{bg}$, turns around catching up with it in the matter dominated era. The scalar field dominates at late times and derives the current cosmic acceleration. The universe continues in this phase for some time till field oscillations (near the origin $\phi = 0$) build up in the system leading to average equation of state $\langle w_{\phi} \rangle = 0$. The field behaves as cold dark matter thereafter.

FIG. 12: Evolution of the scalar field is shown for the model described in figure 11 in the asymptotic future. (The current epoch is $a \approx 10^{31}$.) After driving the accelerated expansion for quite some time, the field enters into oscillatory phase near the origin with the average equation of state $\langle w_{\phi} \rangle = 0$. 
FIG. 13: The evolution of scalar field density corresponding to $g_c = 0.05$ (solid line) and $g_c = 0$ (dotted line) is shown for the potential (12) with $\lambda = 6$. The radiation energy density is shown by the dashed line. The pattern of tracking shows that the effect of forcing terms amounts to increase the steepness of the potential.

FIG. 14: Evolution of the kinetic to potential energy ratio of scalar field for the potential (12) with $\lambda = 1.2$ and $g_c = 1$ starting from the locking regime onwards. At the end of locking period, the ratio fluctuates about its background (radiation in this case) value ($K_e/P_e = 2$) and ultimately approaches it.
FIG. 15: The energy density of scalar field $\rho_\phi$ is plotted for $g_c = 1$ (solid line) and $g_c = 0.1$ (dotted line) for shallow exponential potential (12) with $\lambda = 1.2$. The dashed line shows radiation energy density. The effect of the forcing term in the evolution equations is shown to accelerate the scaling of $\rho_\phi$. The stronger coupling is seen to hasten the commencement of kinetic regime. The scalar field ultimately tracks the background and gets locked up there for ever.