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Abstract
The quality and efficacy of vermicompost are greatly influenced by the respective feeding materials as well as earthworm species used in vermicomposting. Consequently, the variable role of applied vermicompost is reflected in crop production. With a view to observe the efficacy of vermicompost produced from various sources in supplementing zinc and boron requirement for quality production of cabbage, a field study was conducted in Floodplain soil of Bangladesh. Six treatment combinations comprising of vermicompost from different sources, and different levels of zinc and boron from mineral fertilizers were tested in the study. The vermicompost used in different treatments were produced from different combinations of feeding materials (cowdung and poultry litter) and earthworm species (Eisenia fetida and Eudrilus eugeniae). A control treatment having no supplement of Zn and B was tested in the study. Higher measurements were recorded for most of the parameters studied, i.e., head diameter, marketable yield and total yield in the vermicompost treated plots than the solely mineral fertilizer treated plot. Except for P, the highest uptake of each of the elements by cabbage was observed due to the application of T3 treatment (VC-ECD @2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹). The findings of this research work indicate the additional benefit of using vermicompost over the mineral fertilizer in supplying zinc and boron for better production of cabbage.
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Introduction
Producing food for an ever-increasing population from limited land resources is one of the big challenges in Bangladesh. To cope up with the situation, the arable land is being intensively used in the recent previous years. Hence, there is an increasing trend of cropping intensity in this country and it was 171 and 194.28% in the year 1983-84 and 2015-16, respectively (BBS, 2017). Consequently, the soil resource of this country has been impacted negatively and deficiency of different nutrients diagnosed one after another. Micronutrients like Zn and B deficiency along with macronutrient deficiency (N, P, K, and S) have already emerged in soils of the country (Islam, 2008).

In Bangladesh, it is a common practice to use urea in over-dose while other fertilizers like TSP, MoP, and gypsum in sub-optimal doses. The use of micronutrient-containing fertilizers is very rare in crop cultivation. This unbalanced management of fertilizers is hampering the successful production of crops (Rijpma and Jahiruddin, 2004). Usually, a very small amount of micronutrient than macronutrient is required in crop production; but micronutrient deficiency can make a plant unable to complete its life cycle. Again, excessive application of micronutrients may create phytotoxicity as well as threaten food safety. The toxic and deficient status of boron in soil and plant is very close to each other (Reisenauer et al., 1973). Deviation in proper doses of
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micronutrients might hamper crop growth and quality also. Different mineral fertilizer sources are commonly used in the country to meet up the requirement of micronutrients as organic sources are very limited there. In such a situation application of vermicompost can be an excellent option to address the problem.

Vermicompost is one of the highly nutritive manures as well as a potential growth promoter in crop cultivation. It has ten times higher nutritive value for a plant than farmyard manure (Lourduraj and Yadav, 2005). Prabakaran (2005) reported the considerable content of vitamins, hormones, enzymes and different plant nutrients in vermicompost. Theunissen et al. (2010) reported higher content of micronutrients namely iron, copper, zinc, and manganese in vermicompost. Increased content of Zn along with other micronutrients was showed in vermicompost applied soil than the control plot (Abdelmonem et al., 2016). The nutrient content of vermicompost greatly depends on the feeding materials and species of earthworm used in vermicomposting. The growth as well as performance of earthworms, was impacted a lot by the palatability and nutrient content of various organic materials used in vermicomposting (Suthar, 2007). The growth of earthworm in specific organic substrate is determined by the palatability as well as suitability to eat by worms (Yadav and Garg, 2011). Rajendran and Thivyatharsan (2014) found the highest content of different macro-nutrients (N, P, and K) and organic carbon in vermicompost produced by using E. eugeniae among four different earthworm species. Such variations among vermicompost produced from using different earthworm species were also reported by Singh et al. (2014).

Different micronutrients have specific role in cabbage production. Among the micronutrients, zinc and boron are more important than others due to their availability in soil, mobility in soil-plant system, especially in the case of cole crops. Zinc deficiency in soil was reported in the early 1990s. From the nutritional point of view, different reports suggested that about one-fourth of the total world’s population is threatened by Zn deficiency (Maret and Standstead, 2006). Improving Zn status in food crops through various means may be one of the options to mitigate the problem. For addressing this issue, many scientists planned different strategies including Zn fertilization in crop production through mineral sources as well as from various organic fertilizers (Yilmaz et al., 1997; Cakmak et al., 1998; Khattak et al., 2006; Maqsood et al., 2009). Light-textured acid soils and soils having low organic matter are usually deficient in boron (Keren and Bingham, 1985; Mandal et al., 2004). Boron deficiency has been diagnosed for various field crops in different countries throughout the world (Shorrocks, 1997).

Among the thirty agro-ecological zones (AEZs) of Bangladesh, the Surma-Kushiyara Floodplain (AEZ 20) is an important one formed on sediments of the rivers graining into the Meghna catchment area from the hills. The soils of this area are featured with low to medium organic matter content where zinc content is medium and boron content is low to medium (BARC, 2012). Considering above-mentioned points, a study was conducted to evaluate the response of cabbage to zinc and boron application through both vermicomposts as well as chemical fertilizers in Surma-Kushiyara Floodplain soil.

**Material and Methods**

**Experimental location**

Field study, as well as chemical analysis of soil-plant samples in the laboratory, was included in the study. Farmer’s field in Sunamgonj sadar upazila under Sunamgonj district was selected to conduct the field experiment. Duration of the field experimentation was November 2018 to January 2019. The experimental plot lies under the Eastern Surma-Kushiyara Floodplain (AEZ 20) (FAO and UNDP, 1988). The analytical part of the study was performed in the laboratory located in the Department of Soil Science (Sylhet Agricultural University) as well as the Sylhet regional soil laboratory of SRDI (Soil Resource Development Institute).

**Collection, preparation and analysis of soil samples**

At the very beginning of field experimentation, the soil sample (at 0-15 cm depth) from the research plot was collected and processed as per standard methods. Different basic soil properties and some macro- and micronutrients were analyzed from the processed soil sample following standard methodology as described in Table 1. The analytical results of this initial soil are presented in Table 2.

**Crop variety, treatments, and design used**

A widely cultivated popular hybrid variety of cabbage, Atlas 70 was used in the experiment. Six treatment combinations were tested in the experiment which are given below-

| Treatment | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| T1 | Control |
| T2 | 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 2 kg B ha⁻¹ |
| T3 | VC-ECD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ |
| T4 | VC-EuCD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ |
| T5 | VC-ECDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ |
| T6 | VC-EuCDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ |
Table 1. Methods for analyses of soil properties

| Soil properties | Analytical methods |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| pH              | Soil pH was determined by glass-electrode pH meter maintaining 1:2.5 soil-water ratio (McLean, 1982) |
| Texture         | Mechanical analysis of soil was done by hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986) and the textural class was determined by fitting the values for %sand, %silt, and %clay to the Marshall’s triangular co-ordinate following USDA system |
| Organic carbon  | Following the wet oxidation method (Nelson and Sommers, 1996), the soil organic carbon was oxidized by 1N potassium dichromate and the amount of organic carbon in the aliquot was determined by titration with 0.5 N ferrous sulphate solution. Percent organic matter was calculated by multiplying the percent organic carbon with the van Bemmelen factor 1.73 (Piper, 1950) |
| Total N         | Total N content of the soil was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). Soil sample was digested with conc. H₂SO₄ in presence of catalyst mixture (K₂SO₄:CuSO₄:5H₂O; Se= 10:1:0.1). Nitrogen in the digest was estimated by distilling the digest with 10N NaOH followed by titration of the distillate trapped into H₃BO₃ indicator solution with 0.01N H₂SO₄ |
| Available P     | Soils having pH smaller than 7.0 were extracted with ammonium fluoride extracting solution (Bray and Kurtz, 1945), and soils having pH greater than 7.0 were extracted with 0.5M NaHCO₃ solution (Olsen and Sommers, 1982). The P in the extract was then determined by developing blue colour with SnCl₂ reduction of phosphomolybdate complex and measuring the colour by spectrophotometer at 660 nm wavelength |
| Exchangeable K  | The element was extracted from soil by 1M CH₃COONH₄ with a 1:10 soil-extractant ratio and the extractable amount of K was determined by flame photometer (Knudsen et al., 1982) |
| Available S     | Extraction was done with CaCl₂ (0.15%) solution as described by Tabatabai (1996). The S content in the extract was determined turbidimetrically using a spectrophotometer at 420 nm wavelength (Fox et al., 1964; Jones et al., 1972) |
| Available Zn    | The micronutrient was extracted by 0.05M DTPA solution (pH 7.3) maintaining 1:2 soil-extractant ratio. The extracted level was measured by flame AAS (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978) |
| Available B     | Soil B was extracted by hot water-0.02M CaCl₂ solution (1:2). The extractable B was determined by spectrophotometer following azomethine-H method (Keren, 1996) |

In different treatment combinations, vermicompost from various sources was denoted as VC-ECD (vermicompost from *E. fetida*-cowdung), VC-EuCD (vermicompost from *E. eugeniae*-cowdung), VC-ECDPL (vermicompost from *E. fetida*-cowdung-poultry litter) and VC-EuCDPL (vermicompost from *E. eugeniae*-cowdung-poultry litter) Different doses of Zn and B shown in various treatment combinations were applied from mineral fertilizer sources (zinc sulfate and boric acid). Each of the treatment combinations was replicated thrice following RCBD. The size of individual plots was 4 m × 2.5 m. The gap between two sub-plots was 0.6 m and it was 1 m between two blocks.

Table 2. Soil physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental field

| Characteristics | Analytical results |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Mechanical fractions (USDA system) |                   |
| % Sand (2.0-0.05 mm) | 67.68 |
| % Silt (0.05-0.002 mm) | 15.28 |
| % Clay (<0.002 mm) | 17.04 |
| Textural class | Sandy loam |
| Organic matter (%) | 1.06 |
| pH | 5.10 |
| Total N (%) | 0.07 |
| Available P (mg kg⁻¹) | 3.10 |
| Exchangeable K (cmol·kg⁻¹) | 0.11 |
| Available S (mg kg⁻¹) | 13.9 |
| Available Zn (mg kg⁻¹) | 1.25 |
| Available B (mg kg⁻¹) | 0.14 |

Macronutrient doses and their application

In the experiment, the soil was applied with different macronutrients (N, P, K, and S) through vermicompost as well as chemical fertilizers. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide was used to calculate the required amount of macronutrients other than the amount received from the applied vermicompost (BARC, 2012). The respective doses applied in the experiment are presented Table 3. Urea, triple super phosphate, muriate of potash, and
gypsum were applied as inorganic sources of N, P, K, and S, respectively. The full amount of all recommended fertilizers and manures other than urea was mixed with the soil of experimental plots before transplanting cabbage seedling. At 10, 25 and 35 DAT (Days after transplanting) the recommended amount of urea was side-dressed in equal split doses.

Table 3. Application doses of different macronutrients

| Macronutrients | Application doses |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Nitrogen       | 130 kg ha\(^{-1}\) |
| Phosphorus     | 55 kg ha\(^{-1}\)  |
| Potassium      | 85 kg ha\(^{-1}\)  |
| Sulphur        | 25 kg ha\(^{-1}\)  |

Intercultural operations

Various intercultural activities were performed during the experimentation to achieve better growth and performance of cabbage. Immediate after the transplantation, banana leaf sheath was used at day time to protect the tender cabbage seedlings from direct scorching sunlight. Besides, the seedlings were watered two times (morning and evening) in a day for three days after transplanting. Other intercultural operations like irrigation and pesticide application were performed as per requirement.

Data collection

At the proper edible stage, cabbage head yield was recorded by harvesting an area of 4 m\(^2\) in each of the sub-plots. Head yield was recorded by weighing the immediately harvested heads. Data on different growth and yield contributing characters as well as yield were collected from five pre-selected cabbage plants. Such plants were selected randomly from the sub-plots excluding the area to be harvested for yield data.

Collection, preparation and nutrient analysis of cabbage samples

Samples of cabbage head including stem were collected while harvesting head for yield data. After air drying those samples were cut off into finer parts and placed into an electric oven at 65°C. This oven drying process was continued for about twenty-four hours and then the well-dried crispy plant materials ground finely using a plant grinder. Thus ground samples were analyzed chemically to determine the nutrient (N, P, K, S, Zn, and B) content using standard protocols as described in Table 4. Uptakes of different nutrient elements were determined using respective nutrient concentration data and yield of cabbage.

Table 4. Methods used for plant analysis

| Elements | Analytical methods |
|----------|--------------------|
| N        | The micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982) was followed. |
| P        | Colorimetric method; The concentration of P was determined colorimetrically using molybdovanadate solution (Yoshida et al., 1976). |
| K        | The concentration of K in the digest was determined directly by a flame photometer (Yoshida et al., 1976). |
| S        | Turbidimetric method: The S concentration in the digest was determined by developing turbid using BaCl\(_2\) (Chapman and Pratt, 1961). |
| Zn       | The concentration of Zn in the digest was determined directly by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Yoshida et al., 1976). |
| B        | The B concentration in the digest in terms of color was determined by a spectrophotometer following the azomethine-H method (Keren, 1996). |

Statistical analysis of data

A computer-based statistical package software R was used for the analysis of collected data. Different standard statistical methodologies were followed to determine the significant effects of the treatments. The treatment mean separation was adjudged by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results

Effects on growth parameters of cabbage

Plant height at harvest

Cabbage plant heights at different DAT were not significantly affected by the treatments applied and it was ranged from 11.13 to 11.64, 20.46 to 22.69, and 27.33 to 32.11 cm at 20 DAT, 40 DAT, and at harvest, respectively (Table 5).

Number of loose leaves plant\(^{-1}\) at harvest

Like plant height, the number of loose leaves plant\(^{-1}\) was also varied non-significantly by the treatments used (Table 5). In T\(_4\) treatment, the number of loose leaves was the highest where in T\(_2\) treatment it was the lowest.
Table 5. Effects of sources of vermicompost on growth parameters of cabbage

| Treatment                  | Plant height at different growth stage (cm) At 20 DAT | At 40 DAT | At harvest | No. of loose leaves at harvest (No) | Length of the largest leaf (cm) | Breadth of the largest leaf (cm) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| T1: Control                | 11.15                                                | 20.46     | 27.33      | 17.14                               | 32.00b                          | 27.50b                          |
| T2: 3 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)+ 2 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 11.13                                                | 21.93     | 30.33      | 16.93                               | 34.67ab                         | 34.75a                          |
| T3: VC-ECD@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\)+ 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)+1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 11.60                                                | 22.69     | 32.11      | 16.30                               | 38.67a                          | 36.67a                          |
| T4: VC-EuCD@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\)+1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)+1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 11.64                                                | 21.06     | 30.44      | 16.61                               | 36.67a                          | 35.33a                          |
| T5: VC-ECDPL@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\)+1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)+1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 11.28                                                | 21.73     | 31.11      | 17.44                               | 35.00ab                         | 35.50a                          |
| T6: VC-EuCDPL@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\)+1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)+1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 11.52                                                | 22.13     | 32.00      | 16.40                               | 38.08a                          | 36.33a                          |

CV= Co-efficient of variation
VC=Efficient of variation
VC-ECD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species
VC-ECDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung + poultry litter using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung +poultry litter using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species

Length of the largest leaf

The application of different treatments affected the length of the largest leaf significantly and it ranged from 32.00 to 38.67 cm (Table 5). The largest leaf was produced by T3 treatment and at par result was recorded in the remaining treatments other than T1. On the other hand, the shortest leaf was measured in T1 treatment and at par result also recorded in both T2 and T5 treatments.

Breadth of the largest leaf

Like the length of the largest leaf, the breadth of the largest leaf was also significantly differed by the treatments where the broadest leaf (36.67 cm) was produced in T3 treatment and it was at par with that of all other treatments except the control treatment (Table 5). The narrowest leaf (27.50 cm) was resulted from the control treatment where no Zn and B were applied.

Effects on yield contributing parameter and yield of cabbage

The parameters included head diameter, marketable head yield, and gross yield.

Head diameter

The head diameter of cabbage varied significantly by different treatments of the study (Table 6). The largest diameter (24.70 cm) was produced in the T3 treatment and it had statistical similarity with that of remaining treatments other than T1 and T2. Again, the lowest diameter (22.57 cm) was recorded in the control treatment and it was statistically similar to that of T2 and T4 treatments.

 Marketable head yield

Significant differences were observed in the marketable head yield of cabbage produced by different treatments and it ranged from 33.71 to 51.71 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 6). Marketable yield was the highest for T3 treatment and it had statistical similarity with the remaining treatments other than T2 and the control. The lowest yield was produced by the T1 treatment and it had statistical similarities with the yield of the T2 treatment (40.16 t ha\(^{-1}\)) where Zn-B was applied through mineral fertilizers only.

Gross yield

The application of different treatments affected the gross yield of cabbage significantly where it varied from 51.71 to 63.36 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 6). The highest gross yield producing treatment was T3 and at par result was found for all other treatments except the control. The T2 treatment produced gross head yield (57.74 t ha\(^{-1}\)) which was higher than that of the T1 treatment; whereas it was lower than the gross yield of all other treatments.

Effects on nutrient uptake by cabbage

The concentration of various nutrients (N, P, K, S, Zn, and B) in cabbage samples as presented in Table 7 was estimated as fresh weight basis. The nutrient uptake which was calculated based on nutrient concentration and yield data has shown in Table 8.
Table 6. Effects of sources of vermicompost on yield and yield contributing characters of cabbage

| Treatment | Head diameter (cm) | Marketable head yield (t ha⁻¹) | Total yield (t ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| T₁: Control | 22.57c | 33.77c | 51.71c |
| T₂: 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 2 kg B ha⁻¹ | 23.55bc | 40.16bc | 57.74b |
| T₃: VC-ECD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 24.70a | 51.71a | 63.36a |
| T₄: VC-EuCD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 23.66abc | 45.49ab | 58.71ab |
| T₅: VC-ECDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 23.86ab | 44.83ab | 58.74ab |
| T₆: VC-EuCDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 24.12ab | 49.10a | 61.13ab |
| CV (%) | 2.58 | 9.20 | 5.17 |
| Significance level | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 |

Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

CV= Co-efficient of variation

VC-ECD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species
VC-ECDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung + poultry litter using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung + poultry litter using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species

Table 7. Effects of sources of vermicompost on nutrient content of cabbage

| Treatments | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | S (%) | Zn (µg g⁻¹) | B (µg g⁻¹) |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------------|------------|
| T₁: Control | 0.164 | 0.0219 | 0.121b | 0.0243 | 6.05c | 5.07b |
| T₂: 3 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 2 kg B ha⁻¹ | 0.174 | 0.0190 | 0.127ab | 0.0295 | 9.23ab | 7.87a |
| T₃: VC-ECD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 0.174 | 0.0191 | 0.139a | 0.0303 | 9.62a | 8.92a |
| T₄: VC-EuCD@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 0.169 | 0.0197 | 0.141a | 0.0292 | 8.52b | 8.45a |
| T₅: VC-ECDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 0.171 | 0.0194 | 0.137a | 0.0280 | 8.65ab | 8.15a |
| T₆: VC-EuCDPL@2.0 t ha⁻¹ + 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ + 1.0 kg B ha⁻¹ | 0.178 | 0.0200 | 0.141a | 0.0290 | 9.30ab | 8.55a |
| CV (%) | 4.01 | 6.22 | 5.73 | 7.70 | 5.99 | 7.91 |
| Significance level | NS | NS | 0.05 | NS | 0.001 | 0.001 |

Note: Nutrient concentration of cabbage was expressed as fresh weight basis

Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

CV= Co-efficient of variation

VC-ECD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCD= Vermicompost produced from cowdung using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species
VC-ECDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung + poultry litter using Eisenia fetida earthworm species
VC-EuCDPL= Vermicompost produced from cowdung + poultry litter using Eudrilus eugeniae earthworm species

Nitrogen uptake

Significant effect of different applied treatments on N uptake was observed. The T₃ treatment helped for the highest uptake (110.05 kg ha⁻¹) of N which had statistical similarity with that of T₂, T₅, and T₆ treatments. The control treatment showed the lowest uptake of N.

Phosphorus uptake

Non-significant effect of various treatments was noticed for P uptake by cabbage and it varied from 11.01 to 12.18 kg ha⁻¹. There was a higher P concentration in the control treatment than T₂ treatment (Table 7) and consequently this situation contributed to estimate higher P uptake in the control than in the T₂ treatment.

Potassium uptake

Significant influence of different treatments on K uptake by cabbage was recorded. The T₃ treatment had induced the highest uptake (87.90 kg ha⁻¹) and at par results were observed for all vermicompost applied treatments. Potassium uptake was the lowest in T₁ treatment.

Sulphur uptake

Significant variations were observed among the S uptakes by cabbage in different treatments where it varied from 12.54 to 19.14 kg ha⁻¹. The lowest and the highest values of uptake were found in the control and T₃ treatments, respectively.

Zinc uptake

Different treatments had significant effects on Zn uptake by cabbage. The T₃ treatment had induced the highest uptake (609.42 g ha⁻¹) where the lowest uptake was calculated for control treatment. The T₂ treatment which received no Zn and B containing mineral fertilizers had induced for 531.82 kg ha⁻¹ Zn uptake.
Boron uptake

Boron uptake by cabbage was varied significantly due to the effect of various treatments applied and it ranged from 262.61 to 565.04 g ha\(^{-1}\). The highest and the lowest uptakes were found in T\(_3\) and T\(_1\) treatments, respectively. The highest uptake-inducing treatment (T\(_3\)) had statistical similarity with that of remaining vermicompost applied treatments other than T\(_5\).

Changes in soil properties after experimentation with cabbage

Analytical results of different soil parameters and nutrient elements in the initial (before initiation of the experiment) and post-harvest soils (after 1-crop) have presented in Table 9. There were few changes in soil properties due to the application of different mineral fertilizers and vermicompost to supplement Zn and B for cabbage production.

| Treatment | pH | Org. C (%) | Tot. N (cmolc kg\(^{-1}\)) | Ex. K (cmolc kg\(^{-1}\)) | Av. P (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Av. S (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Av. Zn (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Av. B (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|----|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Initial soil: | 5.1 | 1.06 | 0.072 | 0.110 | 3.1 | 13.90 | 1.25 | 0.136 |
| Post-harvest soil: | | | | | | | | |
| T\(_1\): Control | 4.9 | 1.11b | 0.095b | 0.173 | 8.31a | 14.97 | 1.26c | 0.150c |
| T\(_2\): 3 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 2 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 4.9 | 1.09b | 0.112a | 0.174 | 6.10c | 15.35 | 2.50ab | 0.280b |
| T\(_3\): VC-ECD\@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 4.8 | 1.23a | 0.117a | 0.194 | 7.20b | 17.42 | 2.60a | 0.313ab |
| T\(_4\): VC-EuCD\@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 4.7 | 1.23a | 0.116a | 0.180 | 6.97bc | 16.91 | 2.15ab | 0.30ab |
| T\(_5\): VC-ECDPL\@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 4.8 | 1.19ab | 0.120a | 0.180 | 7.02bc | 17.09 | 2.02b | 0.31ab |
| T\(_6\): VC-EuCDPL\@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) | 4.7 | 1.24a | 0.114a | 0.186 | 7.14bc | 17.23 | 2.32ab | 0.323a |
| CV (%) | 2.49 | 3.14 | 4.83 | 6.00 | 7.53 | 6.17 | 12.64 | 7.24 |
| Significance level | NS | 0.01 | 0.01 | NS | 0.05 | NS | 0.01 | 0.001 |

The decreased pH value was recorded in post-harvest soils than the initial soil. The values were non-significantly varied with the applied treatments. After completion of the experiment, the organic carbon content of the soil was found to be increased slightly and it varied from 1.11 to 1.24%. The organic carbon content was found as the highest in the T\(_6\) treatment and it had statistical similarity with that of all other vermicompost receiving treatments. Like organic carbon, total N content in soil was higher in post-harvest soils over all the treatments, with the range of 0.095 - 0.120% (initial level 0.072%). Available P content showed a remarkable increase in post-harvest soils than the initial content which ranged from 6.10 to 8.31 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (initial status 3.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). Significant differences were observed in soil P contents of different treatments where the highest and the lowest contents were in control and T\(_2\) treatments, respectively. Though increased content (0.173 - 0.194 cmolc kg\(^{-1}\)) was found for exchangeable K level from the initial soil test value (0.110 cmolc kg\(^{-1}\)), those were not significantly varied from each other. Like K, the S level of post-harvest soils...
also non-significantly varied from 14.97 to 17.42 mg kg\(^{-1}\) where the initial status was 13.90 mg kg\(^{-1}\). The Zn content of soil increased considerably in Zn-treated plots, as expected due to Zn application but almost static in the control treatment. After 1-crop experimentation, the available Zn status of the soil varied from 1.26 to 2.60 mg kg\(^{-1}\) against the initial level of 1.25 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Similarly, higher content of B was found in post-harvest soils as compared to the initial content. Those contents have significantly differed from each other where the highest value (0.323 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was recorded for the soil of T6 treatment and the other vermicompost receiving treatments had at par results.

**Discussion**

All the yield parameters studied, i.e., head diameter, marketable yield, and total yield recorded in the vermicompost plus mineral fertilizer treated plots differed from those of solely mineral fertilizer treated plots as well as the control plot. This finding indicates the suitability of vermicompost comparing with the mineral fertilizer for yield components of cabbage. Except for P, uptake of all the nutrients by cabbage was significantly differed by the treatments added. The highest value of uptake in each of the elements was observed due to the application of T\(_3\) treatment (VC-ECD@2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 1.0 kg B ha\(^{-1}\)). Such a result has agreed with the previous findings of other researches. The combined application of mineral fertilizers with vermicompost has induced the highest uptake of various nutrients (N, P, K, and Mg) by rice plant (Jadhav et al., 1997). Sreenivas et al. (2000) found an almost similar result in the case of N uptake by ridge gourd by applying a combination of inorganic fertilizer and vermicompost. Phosphorus uptake was found higher in the control treatment than all other treatments; it is because of the higher P concentration in T\(_1\) than the remaining treatments. The interaction effect between applied P and Zn might be responsible for reduced uptake of P in different treatments other than the control. Except for the control all other treatments received Zn either from mineral fertilizer or from both the mineral and organic source. Antagonistic interactions of Zn and P have been confirmed from numerous studies (Webb and Loneagan, 1988; Hu et al., 1996; Bukvić et al., 2003; Mousavi, 2011). There was the superiority of the vermicompost applied treatments in nutrient uptake issue for most of the elements. The high potentiality of vermicompost in supplying plant nutrients might be contributed to this. Vermicompost is rich in plant nutrients, vitamins, and hormones which consequently have impacted on better growth and performance of plants (Kale et al., 1992; Edwards, 1988; Makulec, 2002; Sinha et al., 2009). Due to larger particular surface area, vermicompost have the capability to provide huge micro-sites for microbial activity as well as for plant nutrient adsorption (Shi-wei and Fu-zhen, 1991).

From the analytical results of post-harvest soil and initial soil, it is found that the pH of vermicompost treated soils (T\(_3\)-T\(_6\)) was found lower than the control treatment and even than the initial pH value. Though such a decrease is not statistically significant there might be some influence of applied vermicompost. After completion of the experiment, the organic carbon and B content of soil of vermicompost treated plots was found to be increased to some extent and these values are higher than those of the only mineral Zn-B treated plot as well as the control plot. Such higher content of organic carbon and B may be attributed to the applied vermicompost. Available P content showed a remarkable increase in post-harvest soils than the initial content. The highest content of P was observed in T1 (control) treatment while the lowest was found in T2 treatment (3 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) + 2 kg B ha\(^{-1}\)) where Zn and B were supplied only through chemical fertilizer. This situation might be arisen because of the negative interaction between P and Zn in the soil-plant system; because all but control plots were treated with Zn through applying either mineral fertilizer only or with a combination of mineral fertilizer and vermicompost. The Zn content of the soil in all the treatments increased considerably except the control. It is because those plots were received Zn through fertilization.

**Conclusion**

Sole application of chemical fertilizers can supplement zinc-boron nutrition for cabbage production. But the application of vermicompost in combination with chemical fertilizers is better performing to supply required zinc and boron nutrition for quality production of cabbage. Such practice of vermicompost application might be helpful for retaining degraded soil health.
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