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1 Introduction

The pure spinor formalism for the superstring was introduced twenty years ago by Berkovits [1]. It is an ad hoc method to quantize the superstring in the Green-Schwarz formulation using a bosonic spinor ghost satisfying the pure spinor constraint $\lambda \gamma^m \lambda = 0$. The formalism successfully describes the correct spectrum [2, 3] and scattering amplitudes\(^1\) in flat space. The formalism was also used in curved spaces, in particular $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [6] and its pp-wave limit [7, 8].

One of the most important challenges of the formalism is to explain its origin from first principles. It is still not known the ungauged fixed classical action that gives rise to the pure spinor ghosts and the BRST charge. There are several interesting papers attacking this problem [9–13] but a complete answer is still missing. Related to this problem is the fact that the usual reparametrization ghosts are composite operators in the formalism. Consistency of the formalism requires the stress energy tensor to be BRST exact, however the existence of the $b$ ghost is a non-trivial fact. It was first constructed in [14, 15], and requires a picture changing operator multiplying the stress-energy tensor. Later, introducing non-minimal variables, the $b$ ghost was understood as part of an underlying $N = 2$ superconformal algebra [16, 17]. The first example of a composite $b$ ghost in curved spaces was found in [18] for the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background. The construction was further simplified for flat spaces in [19] which made possible the generalization for on-shell heterotic backgrounds [20, 21]. It is also known how to define the $c$ ghost conjugate to $b$ [22].

In the case of the bosonic string the $b$ ghost plays a role in relating integrated and unintegrated vertex operators

\[
b_{-1}U = V, \quad (1.1)
\]

\(^1\)See e.g. [4, 5].
where \( V \) is the integrated vertex operator and \( U \) the unintegrated one. We are also using the notation \( O_n A \) meaning the coefficient of the pole of order \( n + h_O \) in the OPE between the two operators, with \( h_O \) being the conformal weight of \( O \). In the early days of the pure spinor formalism the \( b \) ghost was not known and the fundamental relation between the types of vertices was in terms of the BRST charge

\[
QV = \partial U, \tag{1.2}
\]

which is just a consequence of \( Qb = T \). When \( b \) is a fundamental field (1.1) is trivial to verify. However, in the pure spinor formalism, (1.2) is much simpler from the computational point of view. The relation (1.1) was checked in [23] using the \( b \) ghost [24] in the so-called Y-formalism [25] that uses a constant pure spinor to simplify many computations. In this work we will show explicitly that the relation (1.1) works in the non minimal pure spinor formalism without using the Y-formalism trick. The calculation is rather intricate due to the many terms present in the \( b \) ghost. The computation also requires a proper normal ordering definition of the composite operators involved. One important difference from [23] is that (1.1) is derived without using Lorenz gauge, just as in the bosonic string case. For discussions about the Siegel gauge in the context of pure spinor string see [26, 27].

This work is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the gauge covariant description of massless state in open bosonic string as discussed in [28]. Section 3 contains a short review of the relevant aspects of the pure spinor string and its \( b \) ghost. We also list some useful formulas used later. The computation of the OPE between the non minimal \( b \) and the unintegrated vertex operator is carried out in section 4. The second order pole is computed first, which vanishes in the Lorenz gauge. After it the computation of the single pole is explained and it gives the expected integrated vertex operator up to total derivatives and BRST exact terms. We end with some comments and possible future work in section 5.

### 2 The case of the bosonic string

In this section the work of [28] is reviewed. After using the conformal gauge for the worldsheet metric, the bosonic string has a BRST charge given by

\[
Q = \oint cT_X + bc\partial c, \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( T_X = -\frac{1}{2}\partial X_a \partial X^a \) is the stress-energy of the \( X \) field and the pair of odd variables \((b, c)\) are the Faddev-Popov ghost fields. The stress-energy tensor for these ghost fields is given by

\[
Qb = T_X + T_{bc} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial X_a \partial X^a - 2b\partial c + c\partial b, \tag{2.2}
\]

where \( Qb \) is calculated according to

\[
Qb = \oint dw(cT_X + bc\partial c)(w)b(z), \tag{2.3}
\]
and the OPE’s are obtained from the basic OPE’s
\[ X^a(w)X^b(z) \to -\eta^{ab} \log |w-z|^2, \quad b(w)c(z) \to \frac{1}{(w-z)}. \] (2.4)

The BRST charge is used to compute the space of the physical states of the bosonic string. They belong to the cohomology of \(Q\), that is, any physical state is annihilated by \(Q\) and two states differing in a \(Q\)-exact quantity represent the same physical state. The physical states of the bosonic string are represented by vertex operators of a given conformal dimension. Each state can be described in terms of unintegrated or integrated vertex operators depending on their role in the string scattering amplitudes. For the massless state, the unintegrated vertex operator is [28]
\[ U = c\partial X^a A_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \partial^a A_a. \] (2.5)

Physical state condition gives the equation of motion of the field \(A_a(X)\). In fact,
\[ QU = \frac{1}{2} c\partial c \partial^a \partial [b A_a] = 0 \Rightarrow \partial^b \partial [b A_a] = 0, \] (2.6)
which is the equation of motion of a Maxwell field. The gauge invariance \(\delta U = QA\) gives the gauge transformation of the field \(A_a(X)\). In fact,
\[ \delta U = QA = c\partial X^a \partial_a \Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \square \Lambda = c\partial X^a \delta A_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \partial^a \delta A_a \Rightarrow \delta A_a = \partial_a \Lambda, \] (2.7)
where \(\square = \partial^a \partial_a\). In these calculations we have used
\[ T_X(w)\partial X^a A_a(z) \to -\frac{1}{(w-z)^3} \partial^a A_a(z) + \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( \partial X^a A_a(z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial X^a \square A_a(z) \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial (\partial X^a A_a), \] (2.8)

and
\[ T_X(w)f(X(z)) \to -\frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2} \square f(z) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial f(z). \] (2.9)

Using these results, the relation
\[ \partial U = Q(\partial X^a A_a), \] (2.10)
is obtained. In this way, the integrated vertex operator, \(V\), is defined such that \(QV = \partial U\). Therefore, the integrated vertex operator corresponding to the massless state is \(V = \partial X^a A_a\).

Let’s compute the OPE between the stress-energy tensor \(T\) and the unintegrated vertex operator \(U\). It is given by
\[ T(w)U(z) \to -\frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left( c\partial X^a \square A_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \square \partial^a A_a \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial U. \] (2.11)
Note that
\[ Q(\partial^a A_a) = \oint dw c \left( \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2} \Box (\partial^a A_a) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial \partial^a A_a \right) \]
\[ = c \partial X^a \partial \partial A_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \Box (\partial^a A_a) = c \partial X^a \Box A_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial c \Box (\partial^a A_a), \tag{2.12} \]
where we first used (2.9) and then (2.6). Using (2.12), the result of (2.11) becomes
\[ T(w)U(z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} Q(\partial^a A_a) \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial U. \tag{2.13} \]
This result has the expected single pole singularity and states that the double pole singularity is the BRST exact form of a function which is related to the Lorenz gauge for the gauge field \( A_a \). Let’s compute now the OPE between \( b(w) \) and \( U(z) \) of (2.5). It turns out to be
\[ b(w)U(z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial A_a \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} (\partial X^a A_a), \tag{2.14} \]
where the single pole singularity is the integrated vertex operator and the vanishing of the double pole singularity is the Lorenz gauge for the gauge field.

Using the notation \( b_n U \) being the pole of order \( n + 2 \) of the OPE between \( b \) and \( U \), the OPE (2.14) is equivalent to
\[ b_{-1} U = V, \tag{2.15} \]
and the Lorenz gauge is
\[ b_0 U = 0. \tag{2.16} \]
Because \( Qb = T \), acting with \( Q \) the OPE (2.14) gives the OPE (2.13). In the next section the relation between unintegrated and integrated vertex operators through the existence of the \( b \) ghost, as in (2.15), will be generalized for the pure spinor superstring.

3 Review of the pure spinor superstring

The basics of the pure spinor formulation of the superstring are reviewed first, in particular the construction of the \( b \) ghost field is given.

The pure spinor string is given by conformal invariant system constructed out of the superspace variables in ten dimensions and pure spinor variables [1]. The world sheet variables are \( (X^a, \theta^a, p_\alpha, \lambda^\alpha, \omega_\alpha) \), where \( a = 1, \ldots, 10 \), \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, 16 \), \( p_\alpha \) is the conjugate variable of the odd superspace variable \( \theta^\alpha \), \( \lambda^\alpha \) is the pure spinor variable which is an even variable constrained by \( \lambda \gamma^a \lambda = 0 \), where \( \gamma^a_{\alpha\beta} \) are the \( 16 \times 16 \) symmetric \( \gamma \) matrices in ten dimensions. The variable \( \omega_\alpha \) is the conjugate of \( \lambda^\alpha \) and is defined up to \( \delta \omega_\alpha = (\lambda \gamma^a)_{\alpha} A_a \).

The quantization of the pure spinor superstring is given by the existence of the nilpotent charge
\[ Q = \oint \lambda^\alpha d_\alpha, \tag{3.1} \]
where
\[ d_\alpha = p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^a \theta)_{\alpha} \partial X_a - \frac{1}{8} (\gamma^a \theta)_{\alpha} (\theta \gamma_\alpha \partial \theta). \tag{3.2} \]

The charge \( Q \) satisfies \( Q^2 = 0 \) because of the OPE
\[ d_\alpha (w) d_\beta (z) \rightarrow - \frac{1}{(w - z)^2} \gamma^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} \Pi_a (z) \tag{3.3} \]

and the pure spinor condition. Here \( \Pi_a = \partial X_a + \frac{1}{2} (\theta \gamma_\alpha \partial \theta) \).

Because nilpotency of \( Q \), physical states of the pure spinor superstring are defined to be in the cohomology of \( Q \). For the massless states, the corresponding unintegrated vertex operator is given by \( U = \lambda^\alpha A_\alpha (X, \theta) \). The superfield \( A_\alpha \) satisfies the equations determined from \( QU = 0 \). They are
\[ D (\alpha A_\beta) = \gamma^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} A_\alpha, \quad D_\alpha A_a - \partial_a A_\alpha = (\gamma_a)_{\alpha \beta} W^\beta, \quad D_\alpha W_\beta = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha \beta} F_{ab}, \tag{3.4} \]

where \( D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^a)_{\alpha} \partial_a \) is the covariant superspace derivative and \( A_\alpha, W^\alpha, F_{ab} = \partial_{[a} A_{b]} \) are defined here. These definitions imply the equations of motion of super Maxwell in ten dimensions
\[ \partial_\beta F^\beta_{ab} = \gamma^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} \partial_a W^\beta = 0, \tag{3.5} \]

then the \( \theta^\alpha = 0 \) component of \( A_\alpha \) and \( W^\alpha \) are the gauge field and the photino respectively. The gauge invariance comes from \( \delta U = QA \) which implies
\[ \delta A_\alpha = \partial_\alpha \Lambda, \quad \delta W^\alpha = 0, \tag{3.6} \]

which give the gauge transformations of the photon and the photino.

The stress-energy tensor has vanishing central charge and it is given by
\[ T = - \frac{1}{2} \partial X_a \partial X^a - p_\alpha \partial \theta^\alpha - \omega_\alpha \partial \lambda_\alpha. \tag{3.7} \]

The OPE between \( T \) and \( U \) is
\[ T (w) U (z) \rightarrow - \frac{1}{(w - z)^2} \frac{1}{2} \lambda^\alpha \square A_\alpha + \frac{1}{(w - z)} \partial U. \tag{3.8} \]

But [26]
\[ \square A_\alpha = D_\alpha (\partial^\alpha A_\alpha). \tag{3.9} \]

This can be shown as follows
\[ D_\alpha (\partial^\alpha A_\alpha) = \partial^\alpha D_\alpha A_\alpha = \partial^\alpha (\partial_\alpha A_\alpha + (\gamma_a W)_\alpha) = \square A_\alpha, \]

because \( W^\alpha \) satisfies the equation \( \gamma^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} \partial_\alpha W^\beta = 0 \). The equation (3.9) imply that
\[ \lambda^\alpha \square A_\alpha = Q (\partial^\alpha A_\alpha). \tag{3.10} \]
Therefore, the OPE (3.8) can be written as
\[
T(w)U(z) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2} Q(\partial^a A_a) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \partial U,
\]
which has the form (2.13) of the bosonic string.

To obtain an expression similar to (2.14) a \( b \) ghost field is necessary. It is not known how to gauge-fix a local symmetry and produce these type of ghosts. But there exists an odd variable of conformal dimension two which satisfied \( Qb = T \). It is necessary to add the so called non-minimal pure spinor variables to reach this goal [16]. They are the pair of even conjugate variables \( \tilde{\lambda}_a, \tilde{\omega}^a \) and the pair of odd conjugate variables \( r_a, s^a \) which are constrained to satisfy
\[
(\tilde{\lambda} \gamma^a \tilde{\lambda}) = (r \gamma^a \tilde{\lambda}) = 0,
\]
and the variables \( \tilde{\omega}^a \) and \( s^a \) are defined up to
\[
\delta s^a = (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^* \tilde{\lambda}_a, \quad \delta \tilde{\omega}^a = (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^* \tilde{\lambda}_a - (\gamma^a r) \tilde{\lambda}_a .
\]
The \( b \) ghost turns out to be [19]
\[
b = -s^a \partial \tilde{\lambda}_a + \Pi^a \Gamma_a - \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r) \Gamma_a \Gamma_{b} - \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)} \left( J(\tilde{\lambda} \partial \theta) + N^{ab}(\tilde{\lambda}_{ab} \partial \theta) \right) ,
\]
where \( J = -\lambda^\alpha \omega_\alpha \), \( N^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} \omega) \) and
\[
\Gamma_a = \frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)} (d \gamma^a \tilde{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2} (r \gamma_{abc} \tilde{\lambda}) N^{bc} .
\]
All the products here are in normal order which is defined below. The calculation of \( Qb = T \) can be found in [21]. We are interested in the OPE \( b(w)U(z) \) to obtain a result similar to (2.14) of the bosonic case. For this purpose it is useful to expand the \( b \) ghost in powers of the odd non-minimal pure spinor variable \( r_a \) as
\[
b = -s^a \partial \tilde{\lambda}_a + b^{(0)} + b^{(1)} + b^{(2)} + b^{(3)},
\]
where \( b^{(n)} \) is the term with \( n \) factors of the non-minimal variable \( r_a \) in the \( b \) ghost. They are given by
\[
b^{(0)} = - \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_a J \partial \theta^a \right) - \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)} (\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_{ab})_a N^{ab} \partial \theta^a \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)} (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha \right) \left( \Pi_a d_\alpha \right) ,
\]
\[
b^{(1)} = \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2} (\gamma^{abc} \tilde{\lambda}) N_{bc} \Pi_a \right) - \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r) (\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha (\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \right) \left( d_\alpha d_\beta \right) ,
\]
\[
b^{(2)} = \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda\lambda)^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r) (\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha (r \gamma_{bc} d_\lambda \tilde{\lambda}) \left( N^{cd} d_\alpha \right) \right) ,
\]
\[
b^{(3)} = - \left( \frac{1}{256(\lambda\lambda)^5} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r) (r \gamma_{ac} d_\lambda \tilde{\lambda}) (r \gamma_{bc} d_\lambda \tilde{\lambda}) \left( N^{cd} N^{ef} \right) \right) .
\]
Note that the term with \((\lambda \dot{\lambda})^{-3}\) in \(b^{(1)}\) is usually written as being proportional to \((\lambda \dot{\lambda})^{-2}(\dot{\lambda} \gamma^{abc}r((d\gamma^{abc}d)))\). To prove that both terms are equal one first uses that \((d\alpha d\beta) = \frac{1}{96} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(\gamma^{abc}d)\) in \(b^{(1)}\). Then, after using the identities \((\gamma_{a} \dot{\lambda})_{\alpha}(\gamma_{ar})_{\beta} = -(\gamma_{a} \dot{\lambda})_{\alpha}(\gamma_{ar})_{\beta}\) and \((\gamma_{a} \dot{\lambda})_{\alpha}(\gamma_{ar})_{\beta} = 0\), which are consequences of the pure spinor constraints (3.12), one obtains the usual expression in \(b^{(1)}\). A similar procedure is used in \(b^{(3)}\) to have a term with \((\lambda \dot{\lambda})^{-4}\) instead of the term shown in (3.17).

The normal order of two operators in (3.17) is defined as
\[
(A B)(z) = \oint \frac{1}{y - z} A(y)B(z). \tag{3.18}
\]

To compute the OPE \(b(w)U(z)\) we obtain first some useful OPE’s in the next subsection. See [29] and [30]. In particular, we will use the identities
\[
(A B) = (-1)^{ab} (B A) + ([A, B]), \quad (A (B C)) = (-1)^{ab} (B (A C)) + (([A, B]) C), \tag{3.19}
\]
where \(a\) and \(b\) are the Grassmann parities of \(A\) and \(B\) respectively and \([A, B] = AB - (-1)^{ab} BA\). It turns out that \(([A, B]) = \partial \Delta\) in the case where the OPE \(A(w)B(z)\) has the form \((w - z)^{-1}\Delta(z)\).

### 3.1 Useful OPE’s

Consider the OPE \((\Pi_{a} d_{\alpha})(w)A_{\beta}(z)\) which is necessary in \(b^{(0)}(w)U(z)\). Recall
\[
(\Pi_{a} d_{\alpha})(w) = \oint_{\gamma_{w}} \frac{1}{y - w} \Pi_{a}(y)d_{\alpha}(w), \tag{3.20}
\]
where \(\gamma_{w}\) encircles counterclockwise the point \(w\). Then,
\[
(\Pi_{a} d_{\alpha})(w)A_{\beta}(z) = \oint_{\gamma_{w}} \frac{1}{y - w} \Pi_{a}(y)d_{\alpha}(w)A_{\beta}(z). \tag{3.21}
\]

By deforming the path \(\gamma_{w}\) such that it is equal to \(\gamma_{wz} - \gamma_{z}\), where \(\gamma_{wz}\) encircles counterclockwise both points \(w\) and \(z\), and \(\gamma_{z}\) encircles counterclockwise \(z\) but not \(w\) (see the figure 1), one obtains
\[
(\Pi_{a} d_{\alpha})(w)A_{\beta}(z) = \oint_{\gamma_{wz}} \frac{1}{y - w} \Pi_{a}(y)d_{\alpha}(w)A_{\beta}(z) - \oint_{\gamma_{z}} \frac{1}{y - w} d_{\alpha}(w)\Pi_{a}(y)A_{\beta}(z). \tag{3.22}
\]
For the first integral one expands in \((w - z)\) and for the second integral one expands in \((y - z)\). Both expansions include singularities leading to

\[
\left( \Pi_\alpha d_\alpha \right) (w) A_\beta(z) = \oint_{\Gamma_{wz}} dy \frac{1}{(y - w)} \Pi_\alpha(y) \left( \frac{1}{w - z} D_\alpha A_\beta(z) + \mathcal{O}(w - z)^0 \right) \\
- d_\alpha(w) \oint_{\Gamma_z} dy \frac{1}{(y - w)} \left( -\frac{1}{y - z} \partial_\alpha A_\beta(z) + \mathcal{O}(y - z)^0 \right). \tag{3.23}
\]

Note that \(\Pi_\alpha(y)\) produces singularities in \((y - z)\) when approaches the operators defined in the point \(z\) in the first line. But these contribution will vanish because

\[
\oint_{\Gamma_{wz}} dy \frac{1}{(y - w)(y - z)} = \frac{1}{w - z} \oint_{\Gamma_z} dy \left( \frac{1}{y - w} - \frac{1}{y - z} \right) = 0. \tag{3.24}
\]

Higher order poles will also vanish because

\[
\oint_{\Gamma_{wz}} dy \frac{1}{(y - w)(y - z)^n} = 0, \tag{3.25}
\]

as can be obtained from (3.24) by taking derivatives with respect to \(z\). For the second integral in (3.23),

\[
\oint_{\Gamma_z} dy \frac{1}{(y - w)(y - z)} = \frac{1}{w - z} \oint_{\Gamma_z} dy \left( \frac{1}{y - w} - \frac{1}{y - z} \right) = -\frac{1}{w - z}. \tag{3.26}
\]

Then, (3.23), as \(w \to z\), is

\[
\left( \Pi_\alpha d_\alpha \right) (w) A_\beta(z) \to \frac{1}{(w - z)} \Pi_\alpha D_\alpha A_\beta(z) - \frac{1}{(w - z)} d_\alpha(w) \partial_\alpha A_\beta(z). \tag{3.27}
\]

For the second term here the non-singular term in the OPE \(d_\alpha(w)\) with a superfield \(\Psi(X, \theta)(z)\) as \(w \to z\). This is given by

\[
d_\alpha(w) \Psi(z) \to \frac{1}{(w - z)} D_\alpha \Psi(z) + (d_\alpha \Psi)(z). \tag{3.28}
\]

Finally, using (3.28) one obtains

\[
\left( \Pi_\alpha d_\alpha \right) (w) A_\beta(z) \to \frac{1}{(w - z)^2} (-D_\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\beta(z)) \\
+ \frac{1}{(w - z)} \left( (\Pi_\alpha D_\alpha A_\beta)(z) - (d_\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\beta)(z) \right). \tag{3.29}
\]
Following a similar procedure one obtains

\[
(N_{bc}, \Pi_a) (w) \lambda^\alpha A_\alpha (z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\alpha (z) \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left(- (N_{bc}, \lambda^\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_a, (\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha A_\alpha) \right), \tag{3.30}
\]

\[
(d_\alpha d_\beta) (w) A_\gamma (z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma (z) \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} (d_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma (z)), \tag{3.31}
\]

\[
\left( N^{cd}, d_\alpha \right) (w) \lambda^\beta A_\beta (z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right) + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( (N^{cd}, \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta) - \frac{1}{2} (d_\alpha, (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta A_\beta) \right). \tag{3.32}
\]

\[
\left( N^{cd}, N^{ef} \right) (w) \lambda^\alpha A_\alpha (z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{8} \lambda \left( (\gamma^{cd} \gamma^{ef} + \gamma^{ef} \gamma^{cd}) \right)^\alpha A_\alpha + \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( N^{cd}, (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( N^{ef}, (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\alpha \right) \right). \tag{3.33}
\]

4 Computation of \( b(w) U(z) \)

We now compute the OPE \( b(w) U(z) \) using the above results. First we will consider \( b^{(0)} \).

The first two terms in (3.17) contribute to the OPE with \( U(z) \) with

\[
\frac{1}{(w-z)} \left(- \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \bar{\gamma} \partial \theta)(\lambda A) - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\lambda} \gamma_{ab} \partial \theta)(\lambda \gamma_{ab})^\alpha A_\alpha \right). \tag{4.1}
\]

After using (3.29), the last term of \( b^{(0)} \) leads to

\[
\int_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{y-w} \frac{1}{2(\lambda \bar{\lambda})} (\gamma^\alpha \bar{\lambda})^\alpha (y) \lambda^\beta (z) (\Pi_a, d_\alpha) (w) A_\beta (z)
\]

\[
= \int_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{y-w} \frac{1}{2(\lambda \bar{\lambda})} (\gamma^\alpha \bar{\lambda})^\alpha (y) \lambda^\beta (z) \left( \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} (-D_\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\beta (z)) \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{y-w} \frac{1}{2(\lambda \bar{\lambda})} (\gamma^\alpha \bar{\lambda})^\alpha (y) \left( \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( (\Pi_a, \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta) \right) (z) \right) \tag{4.2}
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \bar{\lambda})} (\gamma^\alpha \bar{\lambda})^\alpha (d_\alpha, \lambda^\beta \partial_\alpha A_\beta) \right) (z)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \bar{\lambda})} (\gamma^\alpha \bar{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda^\beta D_\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\beta) \right) (z)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{(w-z)} (\Pi_a, D_\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\beta (z)) \tag{4.2}
\]
Using the results of (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain
\[ b_0^{(0)}U = -\frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)}(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)\lambda^\beta\partial_\alpha D_\alpha A_\beta, \quad (4.3) \]

and
\[ b_1^{(0)}U = -\frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)}\left( (\lambda\partial\theta)(\lambda A) - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\lambda}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial\theta)(\lambda\gamma^{\alpha\beta})A_\alpha \right) - \partial \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)}(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha) \right) \lambda^\beta\partial_\alpha A_\beta \]
\[ + \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)}(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha) \left( \Pi_\alpha \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right) \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda\lambda)}(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha) \left( d_\alpha \lambda^\beta\partial_\alpha A_\beta \right) \right). \quad (4.4) \]

Now we calculate the contributions coming from \( b^{(1)} \). After using (3.30) and (3.31), the OPE with \( U \) is
\[ b^{(1)}(w)U(z) \rightarrow \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda)(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda\gamma_{bc})\partial_\alpha A_\alpha \]
\[ - \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda)(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)} (N_{bc} \lambda^\alpha\partial_\alpha A_\alpha) \]
\[ - \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda)(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( \Pi_\alpha (\lambda\gamma_{bc})\alpha A_\alpha \right) \]
\[ - \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3}(\lambda\gamma_{r\beta})(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)(\gamma^b\lambda^\beta)(y)\lambda^\gamma(z) \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1}{2} D_{[\alpha} D_{\beta]}A_{\gamma}, \]
\[ - \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3}(\lambda\gamma_{r\beta})(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)(\gamma^b\lambda^\beta)(y)\lambda^\gamma(z) \frac{1}{(w-z)} (d_\alpha \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_{\gamma}), \quad (4.5) \]

which implies
\[ b_0^{(1)}U = \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma_{bc})\alpha\partial_\alpha A_\alpha - \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^2}(\lambda\gamma_{r\beta})(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)(\gamma^b\lambda^\beta)(\gamma^\alpha D_\alpha D_\beta A_{\gamma}), \quad (4.6) \]

and
\[ b_1^{(1)}U = - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda) (N_{bc} \lambda^\alpha\partial_\alpha A_\alpha) \right) \]
\[ - \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda) (\Pi_\alpha (\lambda\gamma_{bc})\alpha A_\alpha) \right) \]
\[ - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^3}(\lambda\gamma_{r\beta})(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)(\gamma^b\lambda^\beta) (d_\alpha \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_{\gamma}) \right) \]
\[ + \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^2}(r^{abc}\lambda) (\lambda\gamma_{bc})\alpha\partial_\alpha A_\alpha \right) \]
\[ - \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^2}(\lambda\gamma_{r\beta})(\gamma^a\lambda^\alpha)(\gamma^b\lambda^\beta) \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_{\gamma} \right). \quad (4.7) \]
Next we focus on the terms coming from \( b^{(2)} \). After using (3.32), the OPE with \( U \) is

\[
b^{(2)}(w)U(z) \to \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{32(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right)(z) \\
+ \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{32(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( N^{cd} \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right)(z) \\
- \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{32(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)} \frac{1}{2} \left( d_\alpha (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta A_\beta \right)(z),
\]

which implies

\[
b^{(2)}_0 U = -\frac{1}{64(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta, \tag{4.9}
\]

and

\[
b^{(2)}_{-1} U = \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha \left( N^{cd} \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right) \right) \\
- \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha \left( d_\alpha (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta A_\beta \right) \right) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^4} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{bcd}\hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha \left( (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right) \right). \tag{4.10}
\]

Finally, after using (3.33), the OPE between \( b^{(3)} \) and \( U \) is

\[
b^{(3)}(w)U(z) \to \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{1024(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^5} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{acd}\hat{\lambda})(r\gamma_{bef}\hat{\lambda})(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha(z) \\
+ \oint_{\Gamma_w} \frac{dy}{(y-w)} \frac{1}{256(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^5} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{acd}\hat{\lambda})(r\gamma_{bef}\hat{\lambda})(y) \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( N^{cd} (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha \right)(z),
\]

which implies

\[
b^{(3)}_0 U = -\frac{1}{1024(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^5} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{acd}\hat{\lambda})(r\gamma_{bef}\hat{\lambda})(\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha, \tag{4.12}
\]

and

\[
b^{(3)}_{-1} U = \left( \frac{1}{256(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^5} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{acd}\hat{\lambda})(r\gamma_{bef}\hat{\lambda}) \left( N^{cd} (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha \right) \right) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{1024(\lambda \dot{\lambda})^5} (\lambda y^{ab}r)(r\gamma_{acd}\hat{\lambda})(r\gamma_{bef}\hat{\lambda}) \right) (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha. \tag{4.13}
\]

### 4.1 \( b_0 U \)

We now collect and simplify the results of the previous subsection for \( b_0 U \). Let’s simplify the results of (4.3), (4.6), (4.9) and (4.12). The first of these results is

\[
b^{(0)}_0 U = -\frac{1}{2(\lambda \dot{\lambda})}(\gamma^a\hat{\lambda})^\alpha \lambda^\beta \partial_\alpha D_\beta A_\beta. \tag{4.14}
\]
Using (3.4) this expression becomes
\[
b_0^{(0)} U = \frac{1}{2} \partial \cdot A - \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} (\tilde{\lambda} \gamma^{ab} \lambda) F_{ab} + \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha Q(\partial_a A_\alpha),
\]
(4.15)
for the second term we can use the fact that \((\gamma^{ab} \lambda)^a F_{ab}\) is equal to \(-4W^\alpha\) so that
\[
b_0^{(0)} U = \frac{1}{2} \partial \cdot A + \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_a Q W^\alpha + \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha Q(\partial_a A_\alpha).
\]
(4.16)
Recall (4.6),
\[
b_0^{(1)} U = \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\lambda})(\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha \partial_a A_\alpha - \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma.
\]
(4.17)
Using the identity
\[
\delta^\alpha_\beta \delta^\rho_\gamma + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ab})_\rho(\gamma^{ab})_\gamma - 2\gamma^a_\alpha \gamma^a_\beta = -4\delta^\beta_\gamma \delta^\alpha_\rho,
\]
(4.18)
and the pure spinor conditions for the non-minimal variables in the first term and anti-commuting \(D_\alpha\) with \(D_\beta\) together with the equations (3.4) one obtains
\[
b_0^{(1)} U = \left( -\frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a r)^\alpha + \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)^2}(\lambda \gamma^b r)(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha \right) \partial_a A_\alpha
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_b \gamma^c \lambda)(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha \partial_c A_\alpha
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_b \gamma^c \lambda)(\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_a \gamma W)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma
\]
\[
= \left( -\frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a r)^\alpha + \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)^2}(\lambda r)(\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha \right) \partial_a A_\alpha
\]
\[
+ \left( -\frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} r_a + \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)^2}(\lambda r) \tilde{\lambda}_a \right) W^\alpha
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma,
\]
(4.19)
then,
\[
b_0^{(1)} U = Q \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha \right) \partial_a A_\alpha + Q \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_a \right) W^\alpha
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\beta.
\]
(4.20)
Recall now (4.9),
\[
b_0^{(2)} U = -\frac{1}{64(\lambda \lambda)^4}(\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(r \gamma_{bc d} \tilde{\lambda})(\gamma_a \tilde{\lambda})^\alpha(\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\alpha D_\beta A_\beta,
\]
(4.21)
Using (4.18) and the pure spinor conditions this expression becomes

\[
b^{(2)}_0 U = \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(\gamma_a \lambda_0)\alpha(\gamma_b r)^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \\
+ \frac{3}{16(\lambda\lambda)^4} (\lambda r)(\lambda^{-ab}_r)(\gamma_a \lambda_0)\alpha(\gamma_b \lambda)^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta,
\]

in the first term we use \(D_\alpha A_\beta = \frac{1}{2} D_{(\alpha A_\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} D_{[\alpha A_\beta]}\) and the symmetric part does not contribute because of the pure spinor conditions, so

\[
b^{(2)}_0 U = \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(\gamma_a \lambda_0)\alpha(\gamma_b r)\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \\
+ \frac{3}{16(\lambda\lambda)^4} (\lambda r)(\lambda^{-ab}_r)(\gamma_a \lambda_0)\alpha(\gamma_b \lambda)^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \\
= Q \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(\gamma_a \lambda_0)\alpha(\gamma_b \lambda)\beta D_\alpha A_\beta. \right)
\]

Finally, recall (4.12),

\[
b^{(3)}_0 U = -\frac{1}{1024(\lambda\lambda)^5} (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\alpha A_\alpha, \tag{4.24}
\]

which vanishes. In fact, this contains the factor

\[
(\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\alpha = (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\beta = (\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\beta = 0,
\]

using the identity (4.18) this is equal to

\[
-8(\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\alpha + 4(\lambda^{ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\beta = 0.
\]

The first term vanishes because \((\lambda^{-ab}_a)(\lambda^{-ab}_a) = 0\). The second term is proportional to

\[
(\lambda^{-ab}_r)(r^{-acdo} r^{\gamma a b c d e f})\alpha = 8(\lambda \lambda)^2 r^{-a b} (r^{-c d e f})\beta \gamma (\gamma a b c d e f)\beta = 0,
\]

because (4.18). Therefore,

\[
b^{(3)}_0 U = 0. \tag{4.28}
\]

Therefore, adding the results of (4.16), (4.20), (4.23) and (4.28) we obtain, up to a BRST exact term that

\[
b_0 U = -\frac{1}{2} \partial \cdot A, \tag{4.29}
\]

which is equal to the bosonic string case of (2.14).
4.2 \( b_{-1}U \)

We now collect and simplify the results of the previous subsection for \( b_{-1}U \). We will consider first the results of (4.4), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.13). The first of these results is

\[
b^{(0)}_{-1}U = - \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \left( \lambda \partial \theta (\lambda A) - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma_{ab} \partial \theta) (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) A_\alpha \right) - \partial \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \right) \lambda^\beta D_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\beta
+ \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \left( \Pi_a \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right) \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \left( d_\alpha \lambda^\beta \partial_\beta A_\beta \right) \right),
\]

(4.30)

In the first term of the second line we use (3.4) so that this term is equal to

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \left( \Pi_a (\lambda \gamma^b) A_b \right) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \left( \Pi_a Q A_\alpha \right) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \left( \Pi_a Q A_\alpha \right) \right),
\]

(4.31)

the second term here adds to the first term in (4.30) to give \( \partial \theta^a A_\alpha \), which is one of the terms of the integrated vertex operator. Consider the last term in (4.30), again using (3.4) it is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\lambda \gamma^b \gamma^a \lambda) \Pi_a A_b + \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha (\lambda \gamma_a \partial \theta) A_\alpha - \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha Q (\Pi_a A_\alpha) \right),
\]

(4.32)

where total derivative terms were ignored. The first term here adds to the first term in (4.31) to give \( \Pi^a A_\alpha \), which is also part of the integrated vertex operator. In the last term of the first line in (4.32) we can use the identity (4.18) so that this term is equal to

\[
d_\alpha W^\alpha + \left( \lambda d \right) \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \hat{\lambda}_a W^\alpha = \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} \lambda^\beta D_\alpha A_\beta \right),
\]

(4.33)

and we have obtained a third term of the integrated vertex operator. Using \( QJ = - (\lambda d) \) and \( QN^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) \) together with (3.19) this expression becomes

\[
d_\alpha W^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} N^{ab} F_{ab} + \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)^2} (\lambda \partial) \hat{\lambda}_a \right) Q W^\alpha
\]

\[
- \left( J W^\alpha + N^{ab} (\gamma_{ab} W)^\alpha \right) Q \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \hat{\lambda}_a \right),
\]

(4.34)

where the identity [31]

\[
\left( N_{ab} (\lambda \gamma^b) \right) = - \frac{1}{2} \left( J (\lambda \gamma_a) \right) - 2 (\partial \lambda \gamma_a) \alpha,
\]

(4.35)

was used. It remains to consider the second term in the first line of (4.30), it is equal to

\[
\partial \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^a \lambda)^\alpha \right) Q (\partial_\alpha A_\alpha) - \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} \hat{\lambda}_a \partial (QW^\alpha) + \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\lambda \partial \lambda) \partial \cdot A.
\]

(4.36)
Adding all the contributions we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
b^{(0)}_\perp U &= \partial \theta^\alpha A_\alpha + \Pi^\alpha A_\alpha + d_a W^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} N^{ab} F_{ab} \\
&+ \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^\alpha \lambda)^\alpha \left( ((d_a A_\alpha) - (\Pi_a A_\alpha)) \right) \right) \\
&- \left( JW^\alpha + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)\right)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \lambda \right) + \partial \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^\alpha \lambda)^\alpha \right) Q (\partial A_\alpha) \\
&- \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} \lambda \partial (QW^\alpha) + \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)^2} (\lambda \lambda) \right) QW^\alpha. \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
\]

Recalling (4.7), it is
\[
\begin{align*}
b^{(1)}_\perp U &= - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r^{ab} \gamma_{bc}) (N_{bc} \lambda^\alpha \partial A_\alpha) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r^{ab} \lambda) (\Pi_a (\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha A_\alpha) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta (d_a \lambda^\beta D_\beta A_\gamma ) \right) \\
&+ \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r^{ab} \lambda) \right) (\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha \partial A_\alpha \\
&- \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta \right) \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma. \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
\]

Considering the third line of this equation, it is equal to
\[
\begin{align*}
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta \right) Q (d_a A_\beta) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta ( (\lambda \gamma)_\alpha \Pi_c A_\beta) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta (d_a (\lambda \gamma)_\alpha A_c) \right) \\
&= - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{ab} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta \right) Q (d_a A_\beta) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{bc} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta \right) (\Pi_a A_\alpha) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{bc} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta (d_a A_\alpha) \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\gamma^{bc} \gamma_\alpha \lambda^\alpha (\gamma \lambda)^\beta \right) (\Pi_a A_\alpha) \right), \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
\]

The term with \(\Pi\) in (4.38) and the term with \(\Pi\) in (4.39) add to
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( Q \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma \lambda)^\alpha \right) \right), \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
\]
where the identity (4.18) was used. Consider now the first term in (4.38), after using (3.4) it is equal to

\[
- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) Q(N_{bc} A_a) \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) \left( \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \gamma_{bc} d) A_a \right) \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) (N_{bc} (\lambda \gamma_a W)) \right)
\]

\[
= - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) Q(N_{bc} A_a) \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi})(\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha (d_a A_a) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{16(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi})(\partial \lambda_{bc})^\alpha D_{\alpha} A_a \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) (N_{bc} (\lambda \gamma_a W)) \right).
\]

(4.41)

The term with \( d \) here adds to the sixth line of (4.39) to produce

\[
\left( Q \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})} (\gamma^a \tilde{\chi})^\alpha \right) (d_a A_a) \right).
\]

(4.42)

Up to now we have

\[
b^{(1)}_{1U} = - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\chi})^\alpha (\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta Q(d_\alpha A_\beta) \right) \\
- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) Q(N_{bc} A_a) \right) \\
+ \left( Q \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})} (\gamma^a \tilde{\chi})^\alpha \right) ((d_a A_a) - (\Pi_a A_\alpha)) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) (N_{bc} (\lambda \gamma_a W)) \\
+ \frac{1}{8(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{bc} r)(\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_a \gamma^\alpha \partial \lambda)(\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \partial_\alpha A_\alpha \\
+ \frac{1}{16(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi}) (\partial \lambda_{bc} W) \\
- \frac{1}{16(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \tilde{\chi})(\lambda \gamma_{bc})^\alpha \partial(\partial_a A_a) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \tilde{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \tilde{\chi})^\alpha (\gamma_b \tilde{\lambda})^\beta \right) \lambda^\gamma D_\alpha D_\beta A_\gamma,
\]

(4.43)
where total derivative terms are ignored. Consider the last term. After commuting the $D$’s and using (3.4) this term is equal to

$$
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{ab})_{r} (\gamma_{a} \tilde{\lambda})^{a} (\gamma_{b} \tilde{\lambda})^{b} \right) Q(D_{\alpha} A_{\beta})
+ \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{bc})_{r} (\hat{\lambda} \gamma_{c} \partial_{\lambda}) (\gamma_{b} \tilde{\lambda})^{a} \partial_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}
+ \frac{1}{8(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{bc})_{r} (\hat{\lambda} \gamma_{c} \partial_{\lambda}) (\gamma_{b} \tilde{\lambda})^{a} \partial_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}
+ \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{bc})_{r} (\hat{\lambda} \gamma_{c} \partial_{\lambda}) (\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_{b} \gamma_{a} W)
+ \frac{1}{16(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{bc})_{r} (\hat{\lambda} \gamma_{c} \partial_{\lambda}) (\tilde{\lambda} \gamma_{b} \gamma_{a} \partial W),
$$

(4.44)

where a total derivative term has been ignored. Note that the term with $\partial_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ will cancel the fifth line of (4.43).

Consider now the combination

$$
\Delta \equiv \left( (JW^{\alpha} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\alpha}) Q \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha} \right) \right),
$$

(4.45)

which will be related to the last term of (4.43). Applying $Q$, this expression becomes

$$
\left( (JW^{\beta} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\beta}) \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)^2} r_{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{\beta} \lambda^{\alpha} \right) \right)
- \left( (JW^{\alpha} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\alpha}) \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)^2} r_{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{\beta} \lambda^{\beta} \right) \right).
$$

(4.46)

To use (3.19) the relevant OPE’s are

$$
(JW^{\beta} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\beta})(w) \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)^2} r_{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{\beta}(z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(w-z)} \left( -\frac{2}{(\lambda\lambda)^2} r_{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda} (\tilde{\lambda} W) \right)
$$

(4.47)

Using these results, the expression (4.45) becomes, up to a total derivative term,

$$
\Delta \equiv \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda\lambda)^2} r_{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{\beta} \left( (JW^{\alpha} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\alpha}) \lambda^{\beta} \right) \right)
+ \frac{2}{(\lambda\lambda)^2} (r \partial \lambda)(\tilde{\lambda} W) - \frac{2}{(\lambda\lambda)^3} (\lambda r)(\tilde{\lambda} \partial \lambda)(\tilde{\lambda} W).
$$

(4.48)

Because

$$
\left( (JW^{\alpha} + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^{\alpha}) \lambda^{\beta} \right) = \frac{1}{48} \gamma_{abc} \left( (JW^{\gamma} + N^{\gamma d}(\gamma_{cd} W)^{\gamma}) \gamma_{\gamma p} \lambda^{o} \right),
$$

(4.49)
one obtains

\[
\Delta = \left( \frac{1}{192(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \gamma_{abc} \hat{\lambda}) \left( (J W^\alpha + N^{de}(\gamma_{de} W)^\alpha) \gamma_{\alpha \beta}^\beta \right) \right) \\
+ \frac{2}{(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \partial \lambda)(\hat{\lambda} W) - \frac{2}{(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\lambda r)(\hat{\lambda} \partial \lambda)(\hat{\lambda} W). \tag{4.50}
\]

but

\[
\left( (J W^\alpha + N^{de}(\gamma_{de} W)^\alpha) \gamma_{\alpha \beta}^\beta \right) = - \left( J (\lambda \gamma_{abc} W) \right) - \left( N_{de} (\lambda \gamma_{abc} \gamma_{de} W) \right) = 24 \left( N^{ab} (\lambda \gamma^c W) \right) + 4(\partial \lambda \gamma_{abc} W), \tag{4.51}
\]

where the identity (4.35) was used. Then, we have obtained

\[
\left( (J W^\alpha + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^\alpha) Q \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \right) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \gamma_{abc} \hat{\lambda}) \left( N^{bc} (\lambda \gamma^a W) \right) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{48(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \gamma_{abc} \hat{\lambda}) (\partial \lambda \gamma_{abc} W) + \frac{2}{(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \partial \lambda)(\hat{\lambda} W) - \frac{2}{(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\lambda r)(\hat{\lambda} \partial \lambda)(\hat{\lambda} W). \tag{4.52}
\]

Using this result and (4.44) we obtain

\[
b^{(1)\alpha}_{-1} = - \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{abr})(\gamma_{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha}(\gamma_{b} \hat{\lambda})^{\beta} Q (d_{\alpha} A_{\beta}) \right) \\
- \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \lambda)^2} (r \gamma_{abc} \hat{\lambda}) Q (N_{bc} A_{a}) \right) \\
+ \left( Q (\frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)}) (\gamma^{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha} \right) \left( (d_{\alpha} A_{a}) - (\Pi_{a} A_{a}) \right) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \lambda)^3} (\lambda \gamma_{abr})(\gamma_{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha}(\gamma_{b} \hat{\lambda})^{\beta} \right) Q (D_{a} A_{\beta}) \\
+ \left( (J W^\alpha + N^{ab}(\gamma_{ab} W)^\alpha) Q \left( \frac{1}{4(\lambda \lambda)} \right) \right) \\
- Q \left( \frac{1}{2(\lambda \lambda)} (\gamma^{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha} \right) \partial (d_{a} A_{a}) - Q \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)} \right) \partial W^{\alpha} \\
+ Q \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda \lambda)^2} (\hat{\lambda} \partial \lambda) \right) W^{\alpha}. \tag{4.53}
\]

Consider now (4.10),

\[
b^{(2)\alpha}_{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \lambda)^4} (\lambda \gamma_{abr})(r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha} \left( N^{cd} \lambda^{\beta} D_{a} A_{\beta} \right) \right) \\
- \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \lambda)^4} (\lambda \gamma_{abr})(r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha} \left( d_{\alpha} (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^{\beta} A_{\beta} \right) \right) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \lambda)^4} (\lambda \gamma_{abr})(r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_{a} \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha} \right) (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^{\beta} D_{a} A_{\beta}. \tag{4.54}
\]
Using (3.4), the first line becomes
\[- \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha \ Q \left( N^{cd} A_a \right) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\beta (\lambda \gamma^{cd})^\alpha \ (d_a \ A_\beta) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha \left( N^{cd} (\lambda \gamma^c)_\alpha A_e \right) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{64(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\partial \lambda \gamma^{cd})^\beta D_\beta A_\alpha, \right) \quad (4.55)\]

the second line combines with the second line in (4.54) to produce
\[\left( Q \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\gamma_b \hat{\lambda})^\beta \right) (d_a \ A_\beta) \right). \quad (4.56)\]

The last line here combines with the last term in (4.54) to give
\[-Q \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\gamma_b \hat{\lambda})^\beta \right) \partial(D_a A_\beta), \quad (4.57)\]

where the identity (4.18) and the pure spinor conditions are used.

After using (3.19) and noting that
\[N^{cd} (w) \frac{1}{(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha \rightarrow \text{regular}, \]
\[N^{cd} (w) \frac{1}{(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda \gamma^e)_\alpha \rightarrow \text{regular}, \quad (4.58)\]

we obtain that the third term of (4.55) is equal to
\[\left( N^{cd} \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda \gamma^e)_\alpha A_e \right) \right) = \left( N^{cd} \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda \gamma^e)_\alpha A_e \right) \right) \]
\[= \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab}) (r \gamma_{bcd} \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\lambda \gamma^e)_\alpha \left( N^{cd} A_e \right) \right) \]
\[= \left( Q \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{ab}) \right) (N_{bc} A_a) \right). \quad (4.59)\]
Then,

\[
b_{-1}^{(2)} U = - \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^4} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(r \gamma_b c d \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha Q \left( N^{cd} A_\alpha \right) \right) \\
+ \left( Q \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\gamma_b \hat{\lambda})^\beta \right) (d_\alpha A_\beta) \right) \\
- Q \left( \frac{1}{16(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^3} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha (\gamma_b \hat{\lambda})^\beta \right) \partial(D_\alpha A_\beta) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{8(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^2} (r \gamma^{abc} \hat{\lambda}) \left( N_{bc} A_a \right) \right). \tag{4.60}
\]

Finally, focusing on (4.13),

\[
b_{-1}^{(3)} U = \left( \frac{1}{256(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^5} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(r \gamma_a c d \hat{\lambda})(r \gamma_b e f \hat{\lambda}) \left( N^{cd} \left( (\lambda \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha \right) \right) \right) \\
- \partial \left( \frac{1}{1024(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^5} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(r \gamma_a c d \hat{\lambda})(r \gamma_b e f \hat{\lambda}) \right) (\lambda \gamma^{cd} \gamma^{ef})^\alpha A_\alpha \\
= - \left( \frac{1}{32(\lambda \hat{\lambda})^2} (\lambda \gamma^{ab} r)(r \gamma_b c d \hat{\lambda})(\gamma_a \hat{\lambda})^\alpha \right) \left( N^{cd} A_\alpha \right). \tag{4.61}
\]

Therefore, adding (4.37), (4.53), (4.60) and (4.61) we finally obtain, up to total derivatives and \( Q \) exact terms

\[
b_{-1} U = \partial \theta^a A_\alpha + \Pi^a A_\alpha + d_\alpha W^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} N^{ab} F_{ab}, \tag{4.62}
\]

which is the expected result.

5 Conclusion and prospects

This work contains the complete calculation of the OPE between the non-minimal \( b \) ghost with the unintegrated vertex operator for the first state in open superstring. The second order pole vanishes in the Lorenz gauge and the first order pole gives the integrated vertex operator. The computation is rather lengthy and is an important check of formalism.

There are interesting questions regarding the composite \( c \) ghost. In the case of the bosonic string the unintegrated vertex operator for the massless state in the Lorenz gauge can be written just as \( U = e V \). One could ask if such relation is also true for the pure spinor formalism. Looking at the candidate \( c \) ghost given in [22]

\[
e = - \frac{r \lambda}{\partial \lambda \hat{\lambda} + r \partial \theta}, \tag{5.1}
\]

one can see that such relation cannot be true for the usual unintegrated vertex operator. Any possible term depending on the non-minimal fields in a physical \( U \) is BRST exact. To
prove the relation $U = \epsilon V$ in the Lorenz gauge using the above $c$ ghost one likely must use a non-minimal composite operator that trivializes the BRST cohomology, such as

$$\xi = \frac{\bar{\lambda} \theta}{\bar{\lambda} \lambda - \bar{\theta}}$$

(5.2)

Allowing operators with this property in the formalism is the equivalent of going from the small to the large Hilbert space in the RNS string. If we allow non-covariant descriptions there are even more possibilities. The paper [27] has a very interesting discussion on composite ghost fields and the relation between different descriptions of physical states in the context of DDF operators in the pure spinor string.

The main cause of the rather lengthy computation presented here is the many terms present in $b$. As was shown in [32] for a large class of supergravity backgrounds, including $AdS_5 \times S^5$, the expression for $b$ can be greatly simplified. Although calculating the integrated vertex operator using the $b$ ghost would require a background field expansion computation, it is possible that using the $b$ ghost method is simpler than $QV = \partial U$ if one is interested in some particular state.
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