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Abstract

A general action is proposed for the fields of \( q \)-dimensional differential form over the compact Riemannian manifold of arbitrary dimensions. Mathematical tools are based on the well-known de Rham-Kodaira decomposing theorem on harmonic integral. A field-theoretic action for strings, \( p \)-branes and high-spin fields is naturally derived. We also have, naturally, the generalized Maxwell equations with an electromagnetic and monopole current on a curved space-time. A new type of gauge transformations (dual gauge transformations) plays an essential role for coboundary \( q \)-forms.

PACS number(s): 02.40.Ky, 03.50.De, 11.10.Kk
1 Introduction

It goes without saying that field theories play a central role in drawing a particle picture. They are especially important to explore a way to construct a theoretical view on a curved space-time (of more than four dimensions). Recently-developed theories of strings\(^1\) and membranes\(^2\), as well as those of two-dimensional gravity\(^3\), go along this way. If one makes a complete picture with a general action, one may have a clear understanding about why the fundamental structure is ether of one dimension (a string), excluding other extended structures of two or more dimensions, or of other definite dimensions.

The first purpose of this paper is to obtain a general action for the fields of \(q\)-dimensional differential forms (\(q\)-forms) on a general curved space-time. In such a way can we deal not only with strings and \(p\)-branes (\(p\)-dimensional extended objects), but also with vector and tensor fields as assigned over each point of a compact Riemannian manifold (e.g., a sphere or a torus of general dimensions).

Our next aim is, as a result of this treatment, to generalize the conventional Maxwell theory to that on the curved space-time of arbitrary dimensions. Our method is based on the mathematical theory having been developed by de Rham and Kodaira\(^4\). In the theory of harmonic integrals the elegant theorem, having been now crowned with the names of the two brilliant mathematicians, says that an arbitrary differential form consists of three parts: a harmonic form, a \(d\)-boundary and a \(\delta\)-boundary. With this theorem we have an electromagnetic field coming from the \(d\)-boundary, whereas a magnetic monopole field from the \(\delta\)-boundary. We are thus to have a generalized Maxwell theory with an electric charge and a magnetic monopole on an arbitrary-dimensional curved space-time. Assigning a \(\delta\)-boundary to a point on the curved space-time, we have a new kind of gauge freedom due to the nilpotency of the coboundary operator.

Lastly, we comment on the possibility of the case where there could simultaneously exist a matter field and a new gauge field interacting together and invariantly under
the afore-mentioned new type of gauge transformations.

In this paper we proceed to construct a field theory by taking various concrete examples. Section 2 treats an algebraic method for obtaining a general action. Sections 3 to 6 are devoted to concrete examples. In Sect.7 we comment on the case of interacting matter fields with a new gauge field. Two often-used mathematical formulas are listed in Appendix.

We hope the method developed here will become one of the steps which one makes forward to construct the field theory of all extended objects —– strings and $p$-branes with or without spin degrees of freedom —– based on algebraic geometry.

2 A general action with $q$-forms

Let us start with a Riemannian manifold $M^n$, where we, observers, live, and with a submanifold $\bar{M}^m$, where particles live ($n, m : \text{dimensions of the manifolds; } n \geq m$). Both $M^n$ and $\bar{M}^m$ are supposed to be compact —– compact only because mathematicians construct a beautiful theory of harmonic forms over compact spaces, and de Rham-Kodaira’s theorem or Hodge’s theorem has not yet been proven with respect to the differential forms over non-compact spaces.

We will admit the space $\bar{M}^m$ of a particle to be a submanifold of $M^n$. For instance, $\bar{M}^m$ may be a circle or a sphere within an $n$-dimensional (compact) space $M^n$. The local coordinate systems of $M^n$ and $\bar{M}^m$ shall be denoted by $(x^\mu)$ and $(u^i)$, respectively $[\mu = 1, 2, ..., n; i = 1, 2, ..., m]$. A point $(u^1, u^2, ..., u^m)$ of $\bar{M}^m$ is, at the same time, a point of $M^n$, so that it is also expressed by $x^\mu = x^\mu(u^i)$. In a conventional quantum field theory, point particles, scalar fields, vector or higher-rank tensor fields, or spinor fields are attributed to each point of $\bar{M}^m$. In this view we are to assign a $q$-dimensional differential form ($q$-form) $F^{(q)}$ to each point of $\bar{M}^m$, which is expressed, as mentioned above, by the local coordinate $(u^1, u^2, ..., u^m)$ or by $x^\mu = x^\mu(u^i)$. Physical objects —–
point particles, strings or electromagnetic fields — should be identified with these \(q\)-forms.

We then make an action with \(F^{(q)}\). One of the candidates for the action \(S\) is
\[
(F^{(q)}, F^{(q)}) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}^m} F^{(q)} \ast F^{(q)},
\]
where \(\ast\) means Hodge’s star operator transforming a \(q\)-form into an \((m - q)\)-form. Expressed with respect to an orthonormal basis \(\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_m\), it is defined by the relation
\[
\ast (\omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \wedge ... \wedge \omega_{i_q}) = \frac{1}{(m - q)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & m \\
 i_1 & i_q & \cdots & j_1 & \cdots & j_{m-q}
\end{array} \right) \omega_{j_1} \wedge \omega_{j_2} \wedge ... \wedge \omega_{j_{m-q}},
\]
(2.1)
where \((...,\)\) denotes the signature \((\pm)\) of the permutation and the summation convention over repeated indices is, here and hereafter, always implied. The inner product \((F^{(q)}, F^{(q)})\) is a scalar and shares a property of scalarity with the action \(S\). Let us, therefore, admit the action \(S\) to be proportional to \((F^{(q)}, F^{(q)})\) and investigate each case that we confront with in the conventional theoretical physics. Thus we put
\[
S = (F^{(q)}, F^{(q)}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}^m} S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^m} \mathcal{L} \, du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge ... \wedge du^m, \quad \text{(2.2)}
\]

\[
S \equiv F^{(q)} \ast F^{(q)} = \mathcal{L} \, du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge ... \wedge du^m.
\]

Here \(S\) is an action form, but we will sometimes call it by the same name action. \(\mathcal{L}\) is interpreted as a Lagrangian density.

According to the well-known de Rham-Kodaira theorem, an arbitrary \(q\)-form decomposes into three mutually orthogonal \(q\)-forms:
\[
F^{(q)} = F^{(q)}_I + F^{(q)}_{II} + F^{(q)}_{III},
\]
(2.3)
where \(F^{(q)}_I\) is a harmonic form, meaning
\[
dF^{(q)}_I = \delta F^{(q)}_I = 0,
\]
(2.4)
and \(F^{(q)}_{II}\) is a \(d\)-boundary, and \(F^{(q)}_{III}\) is a \(\delta\)-boundary (coboundary). Here \(\delta\) is Hodge’s adjoint operator, which implies \(\delta = (-1)^{m(q-1)+1} \ast \ast d \ast\) when operated to \(q\)-forms over the
m-dimensional space. There exist, therefore, a \((q-1)\)-form \(A_{II}^{(q-1)}\) and a \((q+1)\)-form \(A_{III}^{(q+1)}\), such that

\[
F_{II}^{(q)} = dA_{II}^{(q-1)}; \quad F_{III}^{(q)} = \delta A_{III}^{(q+1)}.
\]

(2.5)

The action \(S\) is (proportional to) \((F^{(q)}, F^{(q)})\);

\[
S \equiv (F^{(q)}, F^{(q)}) = (F_{I}^{(q)}, F_{II}^{(q)}) + (A_{II}^{(q-1)}, \delta dA_{II}^{(q-1)}) + (A_{III}^{(q+1)}, d\delta A_{III}^{(q+1)}),
\]

(2.6)

The physical meaning of Eq.(2.6) is whatever we want to discuss in this paper and will be described in detail from now on.

3 Point particles, strings and \(p\)-branes

We first assign \(F^{(0)} = 1\) to a point \((u^1, ..., u^m)\) of the submanifold \(\tilde{M}^m\), and we always make use of the relative (induced) metric \(\tilde{g}_{ij}\) for \(\tilde{M}^m\) (so that the intrinsic metric of the submanifold is irrelevant).

\[
\tilde{g}_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial x^\mu(u)}{\partial u^i} \frac{\partial x^\nu(u)}{\partial u^j} g_{\mu\nu},
\]

(3.1)

where \(g_{\mu\nu}\) is a metric of the Riemannian space \(M^n\). Since the volume element \(dV = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge ... \wedge \omega_m\) is expressed, with respect to the local coordinate \((u^i)\), as

\[
dV = \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \, du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge ... \wedge du^m = *1,
\]

(3.2)

we immediately find

\[
(F^{(0)}, F^{(0)}) = \int_{\tilde{M}^m} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \, du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge ... \wedge du^m,
\]

(3.3)

with \(\tilde{g} = \det(\tilde{g}_{ij})\).
When $n = 4$ and $m = 1$, we have
\[ \bar{g} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{du^i} \frac{dx^\nu}{du^i} = g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu, \] (3.4)
( \cdot \text{ means } d/du^1), \text{ hence}
\[
(F, F) = \int_{\bar{M}^1} ds,
\]
\[ ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu (du^1)^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \] (3.5)
which indicates that $(F, F)$ is a conventional action (up to a constant) for a point particle in a 4-dimensional curved space, with $u^1$, interpreted as a proper time.

On the contrary, if we treat a submanifold $\bar{M}^2$, Eq.(3.3) becomes
\[
(F^{(0)}, F^{(0)}) = \int_{\bar{M}^2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} du^1 \wedge du^2,
\] (3.6)
with
\[ \bar{g} = \det(\partial x^\mu / \partial u^i \partial x^\nu / \partial u^j g_{\mu\nu}), \] (3.7)
which is just the Nambu-Goto action in a curved space (with $u^1 = \tau$ and $u^2 = \sigma$ in the conventional notation). There, and here, the determinant $\bar{g}$ of an induced metric plays an essential role. If we confront with an arbitrary submanifold $\bar{M}^{p+1}$ ($p$ : an arbitrary integer $\leq n - 1$), we are to have a $p$-brane, whose action is nothing but that given by Eq.(3.3) with $m = p + 1$.

Let us discuss the transformation property of the action or Lagrangian density. The transformation of $\bar{M}^m$ into $\bar{M}^m$ without changing $M^n$[4] means reparametrization.
\[
\begin{align*}
u^i & \rightarrow u^i, \\
x^\mu(u^i) & \rightarrow x^\mu(u^{i'}) = x^\mu(u^i).
\end{align*}
\] (3.8)
By this the volume element Eq.(3.2) does not change, so that our Lagrangian (density) for the $p$-brane is trivially invariant under the reparametrization. If we convert $M^n$ into $M^n$ without changing $\bar{M}^m$, a general coordinate transformation
\[ x^\mu(u^i) \rightarrow x'^\mu(u^i) \] (3.9)
is induced, under which \( \bar{g}_{ij} \) does not change, because of the transformation property of the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \). Our action is trivially invariant also for this general coordinate transformation.

If we transform \( \bar{M}^m \) and \( M^n \) simultaneously, i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^i & \rightarrow u'^i, \\
x^\mu(u^i) & \rightarrow x'^\mu(u'^i),
\end{align*}
\]

we do not have an equality \( x''^\mu(u'^i) = x'^\mu(u^i) \). This type of transformations is examined, as an example, for \( n = 3 \) and \( m = 2 \) as follows. Let us take \( M^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \) (compactified), and \( \bar{M}^2 = S^2 \) (2-dimensional surface of a sphere) whose local coordinate system is \( (u^1, u^2) \). A point of \( S^2 \) is expressed by \( (u^1, u^2) \), but it is at the same time a point \( (x^1, x^2, x^3) \) of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). We give the relation between the two coordinate systems by the stereographic projection:

\[
\begin{align*}
x^1 &= \frac{2r^2u^1}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2 + r^2}, \\
x^2 &= \frac{2r^2u^2}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2 + r^2}, \\
x^3 &= \frac{r[u^2 - (u^1)^2 - (u^2)^2]}{(u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2 + r^2},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.11)

where \( r \) is the radius of the sphere defining \( S^2 \). The transformation \( (u^1, u^2) \rightarrow (u'^1, u'^2) \) induces the transformation \( (x^1, x^2, x^3) \rightarrow (x'^1, x'^2, x'^3) \), and vice versa. The definition of the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) for \( M^n \) and the induced one \( \bar{g}_{ij} \) for \( \bar{M}^m \) tells us

\[
g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \frac{\partial x'^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x'^\delta}{\partial x^\nu} g_{\rho\delta}(x),
\]

(3.12)

and hence

\[
\bar{g}'_{ij}(u') = \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial u'^i} \frac{\partial u^l}{\partial u'^j} \bar{g}_{kl}(u),
\]

(3.13)

so that we have

\[
\sqrt{\bar{g}'(u')} du'^1 \wedge ... \wedge du'^m = \sqrt{\bar{g}(u)} du^1 \wedge ... \wedge du^m,
\]

(3.14)
meaning the invariance of the action.

4 Scalar fields

Now we consider the case where a scalar field \( \phi(x^\mu(u^i)) \) is assigned to each point \( x^\mu(u^i) \). From now on we regard every quantity as that given over the subspace \( \bar{M}^m \), hence we will write the field simply as \( \phi(u^i) \) or \( \phi(u) \) instead of \( \phi(x^\mu(u^i)) \), etc.

An arbitrary 0-form — a scalar field — decomposes into two parts:

\[
F^{(0)} = F_1^{(0)} + F_{\text{III}}^{(0)}. 
\]

(4.1)

\( F_1^{(0)} \) is given by

\[
F_1^{(0)} = \phi(u),
\]

(4.2)

with which we obtain

\[
(F_1^{(0)}, F_1^{(0)}) = \phi^2(u) dV,
\]

(4.3)

meaning a mass term of a scalar field. \( F_{\text{III}}^{(0)} \) is composed, on the contrary, of a \( \delta \)-boundary of a 1-form:

\[
F_{\text{III}}^{(0)} = \delta A^{(1)},
\]

\[
A^{(1)} = A_i du^i.
\]

(4.4)

Hence we have

\[
F_{\text{III}}^{(0)} = -\partial_k (\sqrt{\bar{g}} A^k) \sqrt{\bar{g}} g^{11} g^{22} ... g^{mm},
\]

(4.5)

where, as usual,

\[
A^k = \bar{g}^{kl} A_l \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k},
\]

(4.6)
and \((\bar{g}^{ij})\) is the inverse of \((\bar{g}_{ij})\). In a special case, where we work out with a flat space and an orthonormal basis, i.e.,
\[
\bar{g}^{ij} = \delta^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad du^i = \omega^i, \tag{4.7}
\]
we have a simple form
\[
F^{(0)}_{\text{III}} = -\partial_k A^k, \tag{4.8}
\]
by which the action form \(S\) becomes
\[
S = (\partial_k A^k)^2 dV. \tag{4.9}
\]
This is the ‘kinetic’ term of the \(k\)-vector field \(A^k\).

The gauge transformation exists for this field:
\[
A^{(1)} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} + \delta A^{(2)}, \\
A^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} A_{i_1 i_2} du^{i_1} \wedge du^{i_2}. \tag{4.10}
\]
In components, it is written as
\[
\tilde{A}_h = A_h + \frac{1}{2(m-2)!} \left( h_{i_1} l_{i_2} \ldots l_{j_{m-1}} l_{j_{m-2}} \right) \frac{\partial (\sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{i_{1}i_{2}})}{\partial u^k} \sqrt{\bar{g}} g^{kl} g^{j_{1}j_{2}} \ldots g^{l_{m-1}l_{m-2}}. \tag{4.11}
\]
One can further calculate, if one wants to, to have a beautiful form:
\[
\tilde{A}_i = A_i - \frac{1}{2} \left( j_{1} j_{2} k i \right) \bar{g}^{kl} D_l A_{j_{1}j_{2}}, \\
D_l A_{j_{1}j_{2}} = \frac{\partial A_{j_{1}j_{2}}}{\partial u^l} - A_{k} j_{1} \Gamma_{j_{2}l}^k - A_{j_{1}k} \Gamma_{j_{2}l}^k, \tag{4.12}
\]
where \(\Gamma_{jk}^i\) is the well-known affine connection.
\[
\Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{il} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{jl}}{\partial u^k} + \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{jk}}{\partial u^l} - \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{jl}}{\partial u^k} \right). \tag{4.13}
\]
Note that our fundamental fields are the \(A_i\), and the gauge transformation is obtained with the \(A_{i_1 i_2}\) of the rank higher by one than the former. This is, of course, due to the nilpotency of \(\delta\), \(\delta^2 = 0\), and typical of our new type of formulation. Let us call, here and hereafter, that new kind of gauge transformations dual gauge transformations.
5 Vector fields

When a 1-form $F^{(1)}$ is assigned to each point of $\bar{M}^m$, we have

$$F^{(1)} = F_{I}^{(1)} + F_{II}^{(1)} + F_{III}^{(1)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

First we will see the contribution of $F_{I}^{(1)}$ to the action, which is harmonic. Writing as

$$F_{I}^{(1)} = F_i du^i,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

we immediately have an action (form)

$$S_I = F_{I}^{(1)} * F_{I}^{(1)} = F_i F^i \sqrt{\bar{g}} du^1 \wedge ... \wedge du^m$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

The contribution of the $d$-boundary is calculated in the same way. Putting

$$F_{II}^{(1)} = dA^{(0)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

we have the action

$$S_{II} = \bar{g}^{ij} \partial_i A^{(0)} \partial_j A^{(0)} \sqrt{\bar{g}} du^1 \wedge ... \wedge du^m,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

which expresses a massless scalar particle $A^{(0)}$. Freedom of the choice of gauges does not here appear.

The contribution of the $\delta$-boundary is, on the contrary, rather complicated in calculation. If we put

$$F_{III}^{(1)} = \delta A^{(2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)

we have

$$F_{III}^{(1)} = F_i du^i,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.7)

we have

$$F_h = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} h & l_1 & l_2 & \ldots & l_{m-1} \\ i_1 & i_2 & j_1 & \ldots & j_{m-2} \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} (\sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{i_1 i_2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{k l_1} \bar{g}^{j_1 j_2} \ldots \bar{g}^{j_{m-2} l_{m-1}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} j_1 & j_2 \\ k & h \end{array} \right) \bar{g}^{kl} D_l A_{j_1 j_2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.7)
with $D_t$, defined in Eq. (1.12)\cite{8}. The action is

$$S_{III} = F_i F^i \sqrt{g} \, du^1 \wedge ... \wedge du^m. \quad (5.8)$$

The dual gauge transformation is given in this case by

$$A^{(2)} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{(2)} = A^{(2)} + \delta A^{(3)},$$

$$A^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3!} A_{i1i2i3} du^{i1} \wedge du^{i2} \wedge du^{i3}, \quad (5.9)$$

which trivially leads to the relation

$$F^{(1)}_{III} = \delta A^{(2)} = \delta \tilde{A}^{(2)}. \quad (5.10)$$

When expressed in components, it is written as

$$\tilde{A}_{h1h2} = A_{h1h2} - \frac{1}{3!(m-3)!} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 \\ h_1 & h_2 & l_1 \\ ... & ... & l_{m-2} \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} (\sqrt{g} \tilde{A}_{i1i2i3})$$

$$\times \sqrt{g} \tilde{g}^{h1} \tilde{g}^{j_1j_2} ... \tilde{g}^{j_{m-3}j_{m-2}}, \quad (5.11)$$

where, of course, the components with superscript are related to those with subscript in a conventional manner, as has been described repeatedly.

$$A_{i1i2i3} = g^{i1j_1} \tilde{g}^{j_1j_2} \tilde{g}^{j_2j_3} A_{j_1j_2j_3}. \quad (5.12)$$

We finally express Eq. (5.11) in an elegant form.

$$\tilde{A}_{h1h2} = A_{h1h2} - \frac{1}{3!} \left( \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ k \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \end{array} \right) \tilde{g}^{kl} D_l A_{j_1j_2j_3},$$

$$D_l A_{j_1j_2j_3} = \frac{\partial A_{j_1j_2j_3}}{\partial u^l} - A_{k_1j_2j_3} \Gamma^k_{j_1l} - A_{j_1k_2j_3} \Gamma^k_{j_2l} - A_{j_1j_2k} \Gamma^k_{j_3l}. \quad (5.13)$$

Especially when the space-time is flat and one takes an orthonormal reference frame, one has

$$F_i = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial A_{i_1i_2}}{\partial u^k}, \quad (5.14)$$

which further reduces to a familiar form for $m = 4$:

$$F^i = \partial_k A^{ik}, \quad S = \partial_k A^{ik} \partial_l A_{il} dV. \quad (5.15)$$
The dual gauge transformation becomes in this case
\[ \tilde{A}_{i_1i_2} = A_{i_1i_2} - \partial_k A_{i_1i_2k}. \] (5.16)

Needless to say, the total action comes from adding \( S_I, S_{II} \) and \( S_{III} \). A new type of gauge transformations Eq.(5.13) appears, due to the coboundary property of \( F_{III} \).

6 Tensor fields

Now we come to the case where a 2-form is assigned to each point of \( \bar{M}^m \), the case of which is most useful and attractive for future development.

A 2-form decomposes, as usual, into the following three:
\[ F^{(2)} = F_{I}^{(2)} + F_{II}^{(2)} + F_{III}^{(2)}. \] (6.1)

The harmonic form \( F_{I}^{(2)} \) is written with the components \( A_{ij} \) as follows:
\[ F_{I}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} A_{i_1i_2} du^{i_1} \wedge du^{i_2}, \] (6.2)

from which we have
\[ S_I = F_{I}^{(2)} \ast F_{I}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} A_{i_1i_2} A^{i_1i_2} \sqrt{g} \, du^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge du^m. \] (6.3)

The contribution of the \( d \)-boundary is expressed with our fundamental 1-form \( A^{(1)} \).
\[ F_{II}^{(2)} = dA^{(1)}. \] (6.4)

This further reduces, when written in components,
\[ F_{II}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} F_{ii}^{(2)} du^{i_1} \wedge du^{i_2}, \]
\[ A^{(1)} = A_i du^i, \] (6.5)

to a familiar relation
\[ F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i, \] (6.6)
which shows that $F_{ij}$ is a field-strength. The gauge transformation here is given by

$$A^{(1)} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} + dA^{(0)}. \quad (6.7)$$

Namely, it is expressed in components as

$$\tilde{A}_i = A_i + \partial_i A(u), \quad (6.8)$$

with $A(u)$, an arbitrary scalar function, which is a familiar form in the conventional Maxwell electromagnetic theory. The invariance of the contribution to $F_{ij}^{(2)}$ owes self-evidently, to the nilpotency $d^2 = 0$. Let us now add a source term $-2(A^{(1)}, J^{(1)})$ to the action with $J^{(1)}$, a source of one-form. Then we have the equation of motion from Hamilton’s principle of least action:

$$\delta F_{ij}^{(2)} = \delta dA^{(1)} = J^{(1)}. \quad (6.9)$$

In component it is written as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(m-2)!} \left( \begin{array}{c} h \ l_1 \ l_2 \ \cdots \ \ l_{m-1} \\ i_1 \ i_2 \ j_1 \ \cdots \ \ j_{m-2} \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} (\sqrt{g} F_{i_1 i_2}) \sqrt{g} \ g^{i_1 k} g^{j_1 j_2} \cdots g^{l_{m-1} l_{m-2}} = J_h. \quad (6.10)$$

After some lengthy calculations we finally have the following beautiful form.

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} i_1 & i_2 \\ j & h \end{array} \right) \bar{g}^{\ j} D_l F_{i_1 i_2} = J_h. \quad (6.11)$$

The covariant derivative $D_l$ is given in Eq.(4.12). Equation (6.11) or (6.11) becomes simple for the flat $m$-dimensional space, expressed in an orthonormal basis.

$$F_{ij, \ j} = J_i \quad (6.12)$$

This is nothing but the Maxwell equation in an $m$-dimensional space, with $J_i$, interpreted as an electromagnetic current density. One therefore finds that Eq.(6.9) or (6.11) is the generalized Maxwell equation in the curved $m$-dimensional space.

Here we note that the invariance of the action under the gauge transformation (6.7) or (6.8) is evident as long as the equation for the current

$$\delta J^{(1)} = 0 \quad (6.13)$$
holds. In case of the flat space with an orthonormal basis, this reduces to the usual form of the conservation of current \( \partial_i J^i = 0 \).

Now comes the contribution of the \( \delta \)-boundary:

\[
F_{III}^{(2)} = \delta A^{(3)},
\]

where \( A^{(3)} \) is a 3-form. Expressed, as usual, in components

\[
F_{III}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} F_{i1i2} du^{i1} \wedge du^{i2},
\]

\[
A^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} A_{i1i2i3} du^{i1} \wedge du^{i2} \wedge du^{i3},
\]

Eq.(6.14) leads us to

\[
F_{h_1h_2} = -\frac{1}{6(m-3)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
    h_1 & h_2 & l_1 & \ldots & l_{m-2} \\
    i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & j_1 & \ldots & j_{m-3}
\end{array} \right)
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} (\sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{i1i2i3}) \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{i1k} \bar{g}^{i2j_1} \ldots \bar{g}^{i_{m-2}j_{m-3}}.
\]

Along the same line already mentioned repeatedly we further have

\[
F_{i1i2} = -\frac{1}{6} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\
    k & i_1 & i_2
\end{array} \right) \bar{g}^{kl} D_l A_{j1j2j3},
\]

with the covariant derivative \( D_l A_{j1j2j3} \), defined in Eq.(5.13). In the same way as in the case of the \( d \)-boundary, we add a source term \( 2(A^{(3)}, \wedge K^{(m-3)}) \) to the action (6.3).

The variation of \( A^{(3)} \) gives us the following equation of motion:

\[
d F_{III}^{(2)} = d \delta A^{(3)} = - \star K^{(m-3)},
\]

\[
K^{(m-3)} = \frac{1}{(m-3)!} K_{i1i2\ldots i_{m-3}} du^{i1} \wedge \ldots \wedge du^{i_{m-3}},
\]

One has the relation between the components of \( F_{III}^{(2)} \) and \( K^{(m-3)} \):

\[
F_{i1i2,i3} + F_{i2i3,i1} + F_{i3i1,i2} = -\frac{1}{(m-3)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
    1 & 2 & \ldots & \ldots & m \\
    j_1 & \ldots & j_{m-3} & i_1 & i_2
\end{array} \right) \sqrt{\bar{g}} K^{j_1 \ldots j_{m-3}},
\]

where \( F_{i1i2,i3} \equiv \partial F_{i1i2}/\partial u^{i3} \), etc.. If our space-time \( \bar{M}^m \) is flat and the dimension is \( m = 4 \), these expressions reduce to a familiar form.

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = -\partial^\sigma A_{\mu\nu\rho},
\]

\[
\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}, \nu = K_\mu,
\]

\[\text{(6.20)}\]
where
\[
\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma},
\]
\[
K^{(1)} = K_\mu du^\mu.
\] (6.21)

Equations (6.20) and (6.21) tell us that $K_\mu$ is a magnetic monopole current \[10\].

The dual gauge transformation is, in this case, given by
\[
A^{(3)} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{(3)} = A^{(3)} + \delta A^{(4)}.
\] (6.22)

In components is it written as
\[
\tilde{A}_{h_1 h_2 h_3} = A_{h_1 h_2 h_3} + \frac{1}{4!(m-4)!} \left( h_1 \ h_2 \ h_3 \ h_4 \ ... \ l_{m-3} \right)
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} (\sqrt{\tilde{g}} A_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}) \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}^{l_1 k} \tilde{g}^{l_2 j_1} ... \tilde{g}^{l_{m-3} j_{m-4}},
\] (6.23)

which one can further rewrite as follows:
\[
\tilde{A}_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = A_{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \frac{1}{4!} \left( j_1 \ j_2 \ j_3 \ j_4 \ ... \ A_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \right) \tilde{g}^{kl} D_l A_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4},
\]
\[
D_l A_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} = \frac{\partial A_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}}{\partial u^l} - A_{k j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} \Gamma_{j_1 t}^k - A_{j_1 j_2 k j_3 j_4} \Gamma_{j_2 t}^k - A_{j_1 j_2 j_3 k j_4} \Gamma_{j_3 t}^k - A_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} \Gamma_{j_4 t}^k.
\] (6.24)

The invariance of the action under the dual gauge transformation (6.22) is assured for the current $K^{(m-3)}$ that satisfies
\[
dK^{(m-3)} = 0.
\] (6.25)

The action form $S_{III} = F^{(2)}_{III} \ast F^{(2)}_{III}$ can be, of course, calculated along the same line already mentioned. And the total action $S$ is
\[
S = S_I + S_{II} + S_{III} - 2(A^{(1)}, J^{(1)}) + 2(A^{(3)}, *K^{(m-3)})
\] (6.26)
7 Comments and discussions

We have taken, up to now, the position that we only have a gauge field (or a scalar field) \(q\)-form \(F^{(q)}\) as a fundamental field. Here the question arises as to whether there can simultaneously exist a matter field and a gauge field at the outset, both of which, together, interact with each other gauge-invariantly. This viewpoint is conventional, but a dual gauge transformation should be introduced if one has a well-defined \(\delta\)-boundary.

Unfortunately, we are led to a very restricted way of treating. As a simple example we manipulate an \((m - 2)\)-form \(F^{(m-2)}\) \((\delta\)-boundary\) and a two-component real field \(\phi^A(u)\) \([A = 1, 2]\), assigned to each point of the manifold \(\bar{M}^m\), i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
F^{(m-2)} &= \delta A^{(m-1)}, \\
A^{(m-1)} &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} A_{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}} du^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{i_{m-1}}. \quad (7.1)
\end{align*}
\]

The dual gauge transformation is given by

\[
A^{(m-1)} \longrightarrow \bar{A}^{(m-1)} = A^{(m-1)} + \delta A^{(m)}. \quad (7.2)
\]

In components we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{A}_{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}} &= A_{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}} - \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & m \\
k & i_1 & \cdots & i_{m-1}
\end{array} \right) \bar{g}^{kl} D_l A_{12 \cdots m}, \\
D_l A_{12 \cdots m} &= \frac{\partial A_{12 \cdots m}}{\partial u^l} - A_{12 \cdots m} \Gamma^k_{kl} \\
&= \frac{\partial A_{12 \cdots m}}{\partial u^l} - \frac{1}{2} A_{12 \cdots m} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} \ln \bar{g}. \quad (7.3)
\end{align*}
\]

With these \(A_{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}}\) we introduce a dual one-form \(B^{(1)}\) whose components are \(B_j\) as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
B^{(1)} &\equiv *A^{(m-1)}, \\
B_j &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cdots & m-1 & m \\
i_1 & \cdots & i_{m-1} & j
\end{array} \right) \sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}}. \quad (7.4)
\end{align*}
\]
The field strength \( B_{ij} \) is given by
\[
B_{ij} \equiv \partial_i B_j - \partial_j B_i. \tag{7.5}
\]

The dual gauge transformation reduces to a conventional form with this dual one-form;
\[
\tilde{B}_k = B_k + \partial_k \lambda, \tag{7.6}
\]
where \( \lambda \), a scalar dual to \( A^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \), is
\[
\lambda(u) \equiv -\frac{1}{m!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cdots & m-1 & m \\
i_1 & \cdots & i_{m-1} & i_m
\end{array} \right) \sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1} i_m}
= -\sqrt{\bar{g}} A^{1 \cdots m}. \tag{7.7}
\]

The \( \delta \)-boundary \( F_{III}^{(m-2)} \) is calculated with this \( B^{(1)} \) to be
\[
F_{III}^{(m-2)} = -\frac{1}{2(m-2)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & m \\
h_1 & h_2 & l_1 & \cdots & l_{m-2}
\end{array} \right)
\times \sqrt{\bar{g}} B_{kj} \bar{g}^{kh_1} \bar{g}^{jh_2} du^{l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{l_{m-2}}. \tag{7.8}
\]

The local U(1) gauge transformation for the matter field \( \phi^A(u) \) is obtained, with \( \lambda(u) \) now infinitesimal,
\[
\hat{\delta}\phi^A(u) = T^A_B \phi^B(u) \lambda(u), \tag{7.9}
\]
\[
T = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here we have the covariant derivative for \( \phi^A(u) \);
\[
\nabla_i \phi^A(u) = \partial_i \phi^A(u) - T^A_B \phi^B(u) B_i(u), \tag{7.10}
\]
and we immediately have the covariance of \( \nabla_i \phi^A(u) \);
\[
\hat{\delta}\nabla_i \phi^A(u) = T^A_B (\nabla_i \phi^B(u)) \lambda(u). \tag{7.11}
\]

The total Lagrangian density is
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}}^{(m-2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}},
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}}^{(m-2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{ij} \bar{g}^{j_1 j_2} B_{i_{1} i_{2}} B_{j_{1} j_{2}},
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} \nabla_k \phi^A \nabla^k \phi_A - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} \mu^2 \phi^A \phi_A. \tag{7.12}
\]
with $\mu$, the mass of the matter field, and we give the Lagrangian for the gauge-field sector a minus sign, in order to have a positive energy.

The equations of motion for the matter field $\phi^A(u)$ and the gauge field $B_k(u)$ are, respectively,

$$\sqrt{\bar{g}}B_k \nabla^k \phi_B T^A_A + \sqrt{\bar{g}}\mu^2 \phi_A + \partial_l (\sqrt{\bar{g}}\nabla^k \phi_A) = 0,$$

$$2\partial_l (\sqrt{\bar{g}}B^k l) - \sqrt{\bar{g}}\nabla^k \phi_A T^A_B \phi_B = 0. \quad (7.13)$$

It goes without saying that the conserved Noether current exists for our $U(1)$ gauge transformation.

One wonders, here, that nothing differs in gauge transformation for $\delta$-boundary from for the conventional $d$-boundary. The essential point is that, for and only for $q = m - 2$, the dual gauge transformation reduces to an ordinary gauge transformation according as the $(m - 1)$-form $A^{i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}}$ dually transforms to the vector $\sqrt{\bar{g}}B^k$. In this case $F_{\varphi}^{(m-2)} (d$-boundary) $= dA^{(m-3)}_H$, and the gauge transformation of $A^{(m-3)}_H$ becomes: $A^{(m-3)}_H \longrightarrow \tilde{A}^{(m-3)}_H = A^{(m-3)}_H + dA^{(m-4)}_H$. So as this gauge transformation be conventional, we must have $m = 4$. Hence we have the fact that in case of our space-time being $1 + 3$ dimensional, we have both electromagnetic and monopole currents as well as the matter field.

Now comes the conclusion. The $q$-form formulation over the compact Riemannian manifold leads us to the world where both electromagnetic and monopole currents exist. The mathematical tool we adopt is based on the de Rham-Kodaira decomposing theorem of harmonic forms. Higher-rank $q$-form endows a particle with an intrinsic degree of freedom (integer sign). In case of $q = m - 2$, we are able to introduce both the matter field and dual gauge field ($\delta$-boundary) from the beginning. For $m = 4$ and $q = 2$, we can start with three kinds of fields: Electromagnetic fields ($d$-boundary), dual fields ($\delta$-boundary) and matter fields over the curved space-time. The last fields are coupled with the former two fields; the way of coupling is gauge invariant and dual-gauge invariant.
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A Hodge’s star operator

As defined by Eq. (2.1), Hodge’s star operator $\star$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}^q$ (liner space of $q$-forms) into $\mathcal{H}^{m-q}$. Here, in this appendix, we only write down two important formulas which we frequently use in calculation in Sects. 4 to 7.

For an arbitrary $q$-form

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{q!} \varphi_{i_1i_2...i_q} du^{i_1} \wedge du^{i_2} \wedge ... \wedge du^{i_q}, \quad (A.1)$$

we have

$$\star \varphi = \frac{1}{(m-q)!q!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \ldots & \ldots & m \\ i_1 & i_q & j_1 & \ldots & j_{m-q} \end{array} \right) \sqrt{\bar{g}} \varphi^{i_1...i_q} du^{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge du^{j_{m-q}}, \quad (A.2)$$

where

$$\varphi^{i_1...i_q} = \bar{g}^{i_1l_1}...\bar{g}^{i_ql_q} \varphi_{l_1...l_q}, \quad (A.3)$$

with $\bar{g}_{ij}$, the metric tensor.

As for a basis of $\mathcal{H}^q$, we have

$$\star (du^{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge du^{k_q}) = \frac{1}{(m-q)!} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \ldots & \ldots & m \\ i_1 & i_q & j_1 & \ldots & j_{m-q} \end{array} \right) \times \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{i_1k_1}...\bar{g}^{i_qk_q} du^{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge du^{j_{m-q}}. \quad (A.4)$$

Note that a factor $1/q!$ is removed here in the right-hand side of Eq. (A.4).
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