Syndecan-2 induces filopodia and dendritic spine formation via the neurofibromin–PKA–Ena/VASP pathway
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Syndecan-2 induced filopodia before spinogenesis; therefore, filopodia formation was used here as a model to study the early downstream signaling of syndecan-2 that leads to spinogenesis. Screening using kinase inhibitors indicated that protein kinase A (PKA) is required for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation in both human embryonic kidney cells and hippocampal neurons. Because neurofibromin, a syndecan-2–binding partner, activates the cyclic adenosine monophosphate pathway, the role of neurofibromin in syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation was investigated by deletion mutant analysis, RNA interference, and dominant-negative mutant. The results showed that neurofibromin mediates the syndecan-2 signal to PKA. Among actin-associated proteins, Enabled (Ena)/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) were predicted as PKA effectors downstream of syndecan-2, as Ena/VASP, which is activated by PKA, induces actin polymerization. Indeed, when the activities of Ena/VASP were blocked, syndecan-2 no longer induced filopodia formation. Finally, in addition to filopodia formation, neurofibromin and Ena/VASP contributed to spinogenesis. This study reveals a novel signaling pathway in which syndecan-2 activates PKA via neurofibromin and PKA consequently phosphorylates Ena/VASP, promoting filopodia and spine formation.

Introduction

Dendritic spines are small protrusions from the dendrite that form the postsynaptic component of excitatory synapses. Filopodia are recognized as one origin of dendritic spines (for reviews see Hering and Sheng, 2001; Ethell and Pasquale, 2005). During early stages of synaptogenesis, filopodia rapidly protrude and retract from dendrites. When dendritic filopodia contact presynaptic sites and form synapses, filopodia contract and transform into dendritic spines. Many transmembrane receptors and intracellular molecules have been shown to play a role in spinogenesis (for reviews see Hering and Sheng, 2001; Carlisle and Kennedy, 2005; Ethell and Pasquale, 2005; Lippman and Dunaevsky, 2005; Tada and Sheng, 2006), including syndecan-2.

Syndecan-2 belongs to the syndecan family of transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycans. By virtue of their heparan sulfate modifications, syndecans act as coreceptors for growth or differentiation factors, presenting these molecules to specific receptor tyrosine kinases, including the fibroblast growth factor receptors (Filla et al., 1998). Syndecans also function as adhesion molecules that regulate cell migration, cell–cell interactions, and cell–extracellular matrix interactions (Klass et al., 2000; Beauvais et al., 2004; Reiland et al., 2004). During neural development, syndecan-2 expression is elevated during synaptogenesis (Ethell and Yamaguchi, 1999; Hsueh and Sheng, 1999a). The overexpression of syndecan-2 starting at 1 d in vitro (DIV) accelerates spine formation in hippocampal neurons examined at 8 DIV (Ethell and Yamaguchi, 1999), suggesting a role of syndecan-2 in spinogenesis. Because syndecan-2 overexpression also promotes filopodia formation in noneuronal cell lines such as COS-1 and Swiss 3T3 (Granes et al., 1999, 2000), it is possible that syndecan-2 first promotes filopodia formation and, consequently, transforms filopodia into dendritic spines in neurons.
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As yet, the molecular mechanism underlying the effect of syndecan-2 on cytoskeleton rearrangement remains unclear. Although the cytoplasmic domain of syndecan-2 is short (~30 residues) and has no kinase domain, several syndecan-2–interacting proteins have been identified whose activity may provide clues about syndecan-2 signaling. The cytoplasmic domain of syndecan-2 consists of three small regions: two highly conserved regions (C1 and C2) and, between these, a variable (V) region unique to each syndecan. The C2 region contains a type II PDZ-binding motif (residues E-F-Y-A; Cohen et al., 1998; Hsueh et al., 1998). This EFYA motif is important for syndecan-2–dependent dendritic spine formation, and syndecan-2 loses the ability to promote spineogenesis when the C2 motif is removed (Ethell and Yamaguchi, 1999). Several adaptor proteins such as syntenin, calcium/CaM-dependent serine protein kinase (CASK), synbindin, and synectin all bind to the EFYA motif of syndecans (Grootjans et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998; Hsueh et al., 1998; Ethell et al., 2000; Gao et al., 2000), suggesting that these interactions play a role in synaptic formation.

Another syndecan-2–interacting protein is neurofibromin (Hsueh et al., 2001), which is encoded by the neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene and interacts with the C1 region of syndecan-2. 40–60% of NF1 patients are characterized as having specific learning disabilities (for reviews see Rosser and Packer, 2003; Acosta et al., 2006). Mice carrying a heterozygous null mutation of the NF1 gene also show several features of the learning deficits associated with NF1 mutations in humans (for review see Costa and Silva, 2002; Costa et al., 2002). These studies indicate an important role of neurofibromin in neuronal function. At the molecular level, neurofibromin possesses a central Ras GTPase-activating protein–related domain that regulates the Ras–MAPK pathway (for reviews see Cichowski and Jacks, 2001; Zhu and Parada, 2001). In addition, neurofibromin is also involved in the cAMP pathway via the regulation of adenyl cyclase through two distinct pathways (Tong et al., 2002; Dasgupta et al., 2003; Hannan et al., 2006). One is the receptor tyrosine kinase pathway, which acts independently of any heterotrimeric G protein; Ras activation by neurofibromin is essential for this pathway. The other is the classic heterotrimeric G-protein pathway, which is Gαi dependent and requires the C-terminal region of neurofibromin (Hannan et al., 2006).

In this study, we elucidate the role of these intracellular interactions of syndecan-2 in neuronal morphogenesis. Filopodia formation in nonneuronal cells was chosen here as a model to study the early downstream signaling of syndecan-2. The common signaling of the syndecan-2–neurofibromin–PKA–Enabled (Ena)/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) pathway leading to filopodia formation and spineogenesis was then studied in cultured hippocampal neurons. Our study provides the first evidence that neurofibromin is required for dendritic spine formation, which may explain how NF1 mutation leads to deficits in learning and memory.

Results
Syndecan-2 is essential for dendritic spine formation
To confirm that syndecan-2 is important for dendritic spine formation, we used an RNAi approach to reduce neuronal syndecan-2 protein levels. First, we examined the ability of syndecan-2 small hairpin RNA (shRNA) to knock down syndecan-2. Syndecan-2 shRNA but not vector control (SUPER.neo+GFP) efficiently down-regulated syndecan-2 protein expression in both human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells and cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. S1, A and B; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200608121/DC1). The effect of syndecan-2 shRNA on dendritic spine formation was then investigated. Constructs expressing syndecan-2 shRNA (also expressing GFP) and GFP–actin were cotransfected into hippocampal neurons at 11 DIV. Morphology of dendritic protrusions was then assessed by GFP and GFP-actin signals. At 16 DIV, dendritic spines in our cultures had differentiated and exhibited...
characteristics of mature spines (Fig. 1 A, inset). In the presence of syndecan-2 shRNA, the number of dendritic protrusions representing dendritic spines was greatly reduced (Fig. 1, B and D). To ensure the sequence-specific effect of syndecan-2 shRNA, a syndecan-2 silent mutant insensitive to syndecan-2 shRNA was coexpressed with syndecan-2 shRNA (Fig. 1 C). Overexpression of the silent mutant did rescue the effect of syndecan-2 shRNA on protrusion number (Fig. 1 D). In addition, mature spines were observed along the dendrites in the presence of syndecan-2 mutant (Fig. 1 C, inset). These results support a critical role for syndecan-2 in dendritic spine formation.

Because dendritic filopodia have been proposed to be precursors of dendritic spines and syndecan-2 has been shown to induce filopodia formation in nonneuronal cells (Granes et al., 1999, 2000), it is likely that the overexpression of syndecan-2 in cultured hippocampal neurons first induces filopodia formation and then promotes dendritic spine maturation. Indeed, when syndecan-2 was transfected into cultured hippocampal neurons at 1 DIV, numerous filopodia emerging from dendrites were observed at 4–5 DIV (Fig. 2 C). Because filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2 also occurs in nonneuronal cells, this initial process involved in dendritic spinogenesis does not appear to be neuron specific, although the transformation from filopodia to spines should be specific for neurons. To explore the signaling downstream of syndecan-2 that initiates spine formation, we first used filopodia formation in nonneuronal HEK cells as a model system for syndecan signaling and confirmed these observations in cultured hippocampal neurons.

**Downstream signaling of syndecan-2**

To monitor syndecan-2 expression and cell morphology of transfected cells, we generated the syndecan-2 antibody syndecan-2G.
This antibody was confirmed as recognizing syndecan-2 (Fig. S2, A and B; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200608121/DC1) but not syndecan-1, -3, or -4 (Fig. S2 A) in both immunoblotting and immunostaining experiments in transfected COS cells, confirming its high syndecan-2 specificity.

Generally, there are very few filopodia on the surface of parental HEK cells (<0.2 filopodia per μm; Fig. 2 B). When syndecan-2 was overexpressed in HEK293T cells, numerous filopodia were revealed by syndecan-2G antibody (Fig. 2 A). Cotransfection of syndecan-2 and GFP into HEK cells was also performed (unpublished data). Perhaps as a result of staining of the plasma membrane, we found that syndecan-2 signal outlined the morphology of HEK cells more clearly than GFP signal. To explore the downstream signaling of syndecan-2, several kinase inhibitors were added to cultures overexpressing syndecan-2, including the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitors LY294002 and wortmannin, PKC inhibitors Go6976 and Go6850, and PKA inhibitors KT5720 and H89. Neither PIK3 nor PKC inhibitors prevented filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2 (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200608121/DC1), suggesting that syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation is independent of the PI3K–Akt and PKC pathways. In contrast, both PKA inhibitors KT5720 and H89 decreased syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation (Fig. 2, A and B), although the effect of KT5720 was weaker than that of H89 for unknown reasons. To further confirm that PKA is involved in syndecan-2 signaling, a construct expressing the PKA-specific peptide inhibitor PKI was cotransfected with syndecan-2 into HEK cells. The presence of PKI significantly reduced the filopodia density on the surface of syndecan-2–expressing HEK293T cells (P < 0.001; Fig. 2, A and B), supporting the idea that PKA signaling is important for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation in HEK293T cells.

Results for cultured hippocampal neurons were similar to those in HEK293T cells: PKA inhibitor H89 efficiently reduced the number of syndecan-2–induced filopodia along neurites (Fig. 2, C and D). These results indicated that syndecan-2 induces dendritic filopodia formation via PKA in cultured hippocampal neurons.

Involvement of the syndecan-2 C1 region in filopodia formation

A series of C-terminal mutants of syndecan-2 (Fig. 3 A) were then used to map the cytoplasmic regions of syndecan-2 that are required for filopodia formation. Mutant genes were transfected into HEK293T cells, and immunoblotting with syndecan-2G antibody confirmed that expression levels of these mutants were comparable with those of wild-type syndecan-2 (Fig. S2 C). In addition, these deletions did not considerably affect the plasma membrane targeting of mutants (unpublished data). The effects of these mutants on filopodia formation in HEK293T cells were then examined. The mutant syndecan-2Δ3 lacking the last three residues of syndecan-2 successfully induced normal filopodia formation (Fig. 3, B and C). The ability of the mutant syndecan-2Δ20 lacking the cytoplasmic V and C2 regions to induce filopodia was slightly weaker than that of wild-type syndecan-2 (Fig. 3, B and C). When the entire cytoplasmic region of syndecan-2 was
removed in the syndecan-2Δ32 mutant, the ability to form filopodia was greatly impaired (Fig. 3, B and C). In the syndecan-2ΔC1 mutant lacking only the C1 region, the ability to promote filopodia formation was also greatly reduced (Fig. 3, B and C). These results suggested that both the C1 and V regions (variable region of syndecan) of syndecan-2 are involved in filopodia formation in HEK cells, with the C1 region being the most critical.

To confirm the role of the syndecan-2 C1 region in dendritic filopodia formation, wild-type syndecan-2, -2ΔC1, and -2Δ3 mutants were transfected into cultured hippocampal neurons. Compared with wild-type syndecan-2, the number of dendritic filopodia was greatly reduced in neurons expressing syndecan-2ΔC1 (Fig. 3, D and E). In contrast, syndecan-2Δ3 still efficiently induced dendritic filopodia formation (Fig. 3, D and E). These data supported the notion that the C1 region is also critical for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation in neurons.

Because the C1 region of syndecan-2 is the most important region for neurofibromin interaction and neurofibromin is involved in both neurite outgrowth (Yunoue et al., 2003) and cAMP signaling, we investigated whether the interaction of neurofibromin with the C1 region of syndecan-2 mediates signaling from syndecan-2 to PKA. Because mutation of the RKKD motif in the C1 region of syndecan-2 impairs neurofibromin interaction (Hsueh et al., 2001), we explored this possibility by examining the effects of two alanine replacement mutants syndecan-2 RKK/A and KD/AA on filopodia formation. Indeed, the ability of syndecan-2 RKK/A and KD/AA mutants to induce filopodia formation was much weaker than that of wild type (Fig. 3, B and C), suggesting that an interaction with neurofibromin is involved in filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2.

Dynamic analysis of filopodia

In addition to characterizing filopodia density, we also dynamically analyzed filopodia behavior using time-lapse techniques. For syndecan-2–induced filopodia, the motility of filopodia was generally very low. The length of most filopodia did not change substantially during the time recorded (around 10 min; Fig. 4 A); only a small fraction of filopodia was altered in length, with a mean velocity of 1.55 ± 0.42 μm/min (Fig. 4 B). In contrast, the motility of syndecan-2ΔC1–expressing cells was higher. Syndecan-2ΔC1–induced filopodia frequently extended and withdrew at greater amplitudes (Fig. 4 A) and at a mean velocity of 1.86 ± 0.39 μm/min (Fig. 4 B). The majority (12 out of 15) of syndecan-2ΔC1–induced filopodia extended or withdrew their tips to lengths >1 μm within 6 min (Fig. 4 C, middle; red lines). In contrast, only the minority (3 out of 12) of mobile syndecan-2–induced filopodia exhibited this ability (Fig. 4 C, top; red lines). When forskolin (FSK), which increases cAMP levels, was added into culture transfected with syndecan-2ΔC1, filopodia movement was immediately frozen (Fig. 4, A and C); only a small fraction still extended or withdrew with a mean velocity of 1.29 ± 0.26 μm/min (Fig. 4 B), and the movement amplitude was <1 μm within 6 min (Fig. 4 C, bottom). These results indicated that syndecan-2–induced filopodia are very stable and that the PKA pathway may play an important role in stabilizing formed filopodia. The relative instability of syndecan-2ΔC1–induced filopodia may account for the lower filopodia density shown in Fig. 3 (B and C).

Overexpression of syndecans activates PKA

The aforementioned experiments demonstrated that syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation was prevented by the addition of PKA inhibitors. Thus, it is possible that the overexpression of syndecan-2 activates PKA and subsequently promotes filopodia formation. To test this possibility, we compared PKA activity (measured by ELISA) between cells transfected with syndecan-2 or with control vector. Syndecan-2 overexpression in HEK-293T cells resulted in 20–30% increases in total PKA activity compared with the vector control (Fig. 5). The mutant syndecan-2Δ32, which lacked the entire cytoplasmic domain of syndecan-2, lost the ability to enhance PKA activity (Fig. 5). The syndecan-2ΔC1 mutant missing the critical C1 region was also unable to activate PKA (Fig. 5). These results support a model for syndecan-2 activation of PKA via its cytoplasmic C1 region, culminating in filopodia formation.

Neurofibromin is required for filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2

To confirm that neurofibromin mediates signaling from syndecan-2 to PKA, leading to filopodia formation, we used two approaches.
The first approach was to interrupt the interaction between neurofibromin and syndecan-2 by overexpression of the syndecan-2–interacting domain of neurofibromin, the Jn fragment (residues 1,356–1,473), which was identified in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Hsueh et al., 2001). Interaction between syndecan and neurofibromin Jn fragments was first confirmed under mammalian cell culture conditions using coimmunoprecipitation (Fig. S1 D). The effect of the Jn fragment on syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation was then examined. When the Jn fragment was overexpressed, filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2 was greatly reduced in HEK293T cells (Fig. 6 A). More important, the Jn fragment also inhibited filopodia formation in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 6, B and C), suggesting an essential role for the interaction between syndecan-2 and neurofibromin in syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation. Overexpression of the Jn fragment alone did not cause an obvious morphological abnormality of cultured neurons. Neither dendrite number nor the length of dendrites was affected by the Jn fragment (unpublished data), supporting the specific effect of the Jn fragment on syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation. If interrupting the interaction between syndecan-2 and neurofibromin by adding the Jn fragment prevents PKA activation and, thus, blocks filopodia formation, it can be predicted that increasing PKA activity in Jn-expressing cells should restore filopodia formation. Indeed, the addition of FSK restored filopodia formation impaired by the Jn fragment in both HEK293T cells (Fig. 6 A) and cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 6, B and C).

The second approach to investigate the role of neurofibromin in syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation was to downregulate endogenous neurofibromin expression by RNAi. We first examined the down-regulation of endogenous NF1 expression using an NF1 shRNA construct (Fig. S1 C). When syndecan-2 and NF1 shRNA construct were cotransfected into HEK cells, the filopodia density was significantly reduced (P < 0.001; Fig. 7 A). Similarly, the expression of NF1 shRNA in cultured hippocampal neurons impaired dendritic filopodia formation compared with nonsilencer control (Fig. 7, B and C). Again, to confirm that the effect of NF1 shRNA is to block the signaling from syndecan-2 to the cAMP–PKA pathway, FSK was added into the cultures transfected with syndecan-2 and NF1 shRNA construct. Adding FSK completely restored the filopodia formation in both HEK cells and hippocampal neurons (Fig. 7). These results supported the notion that neurofibromin mediates signaling to the cAMP–PKA pathway that is required for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation in neurons.

The aforementioned study demonstrated that PKA is the important downstream effector of syndecan-2 on the induction of filopodia formation. We then wondered whether the activation of PKA alone is sufficient for filopodia formation in cultured hippocampal neurons. To address this point, FSK and dibutyryl cAMP were added into young hippocampal neurons...
(5 DIV) without the overexpression of syndecan-2. The results showed that FSK and dibutyryl cAMP could not induce filopodia formation in the absence of syndecan-2 (Fig. 8), suggesting that although PKA is required for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation, activation of PKA alone is not sufficient for filopodia formation. Multiple signaling provided by syndecan-2 may be involved in filopodia formation.

Ena/VASP proteins contribute to syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation

We then addressed how PKA conducts the signal from syndecan-2/neurofibromin to induce cytoskeleton rearrangement. Previous studies had demonstrated that Ena/VASP proteins, which are important for the formation and elongation of filopodia, are regulated by PKA phosphorylation (Aszodi et al., 1999; Hauser et al., 1999; Lebrand et al., 2004). There are three related Ena/VASP proteins in vertebrates—mammalian enabled (Mena), VASP, and Ena-VASP–like (EVL)—that are highly related and can function interchangeably (Laurent et al., 1999; Geese et al., 2002; Loureiro et al., 2002). They promote actin filament elongation by interacting with barbed ends and shielding them from capping proteins (Bear et al., 2002). Mena, EVL, and VASP all share a conserved PKA phosphorylation site, which is critical for the regulation of their function in actin filament elongation (Loureiro et al., 2002). It has been shown that Mena is present at the tip of growth cone filopodia (Lanier et al., 1999), where it is positioned to initiate actin polymerization and promote filopodia elongation.

To address whether Ena/VASP is the downstream effector of the syndecan-2–neurofibromin–PKA pathway, we first examined whether Ena/VASP proteins are present at the tips of syndecan-2–induced filopodia. GFP-Mena, -VASP, and -EVL all distributed to the tips of every single filopodia in syndecan-2–transfected HEK293T cells (Fig. S4 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200608121/DC1) as well as cultured neurons (Fig. 9 A). These results favor the possibility that...
Ena/VASP proteins are involved downstream of syndecan-2. To further explore this possibility, we examined VASP phosphorylation at the PKA sites in the presence of syndecan-2. Indeed, syndecan-2 overexpression enhanced the phosphorylation levels of VASP in HEK293T cells, as revealed by immunoblotting using phosphopeptide antibodies specifically recognizing PKA-phosphorylated VASP (Fig. 9 B). This data supported the activation of VASP by syndecan-2 overexpression. To address the role of Ena/VASP in syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation, FP4-mito and AP4-mito constructs were coexpressed with syndecan-2 in cells. FP4-mito is a fusion containing EGFP, four binding motifs for Ena/VASP proteins, and a mitochondria target sequence (Bear et al., 2000). This fusion binds and mis-targets Ena/VASP protein to mitochondria instead of plasma membrane, reducing the filopodia formation activity of Ena/VASP proteins (Bear et al., 2000; Lebrand et al., 2004). AP4-mito, which contains a mutation in the Ena/VASP-binding motif and fails to interact with Ena/VASP proteins, was used as a negative control. In both HEK293T cells (Fig. S4 B) and cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 9, C and D), the expression of FP4-mito reduced syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation. These results supported the notion that Ena/VASP proteins are the downstream mediators of syndecan-2. The addition of FSK into the culture cotransfected with syndecan-2 and FP4-mito did not prevent the blocking effect of FP4-mito in HEK293T cells (Fig. S4 B) or in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 9, C and D), supporting the idea that PKA works upstream of Ena/VASP.

Neurofibromin and Ena/VASP proteins are required for dendritic spine formation
The aforementioned results showed that syndecan-2 promotes filopodia formation via an NF1–PKA–Ena/VASP pathway in both HEK293T cells and cultured hippocampal neurons. To further elucidate the involvement of this signaling pathway in dendritic spine formation, we performed three more experiments. First, we examined the requirement of the cytoplasmic region of syndecan-2 in spine formation. Wild-type syndecan-2, -2\(^{\Delta C1}\), and -2\(^{\Delta 3}\) mutants were transfected into cultured hippocampal neurons at 1 DIV, and their abilities to induce dendritic spine formation were examined at 8–9 DIV. Consistent with previous observations (Ethell and Yamaguchi, 1999), wild-type syndecan-2 induced dendritic spine formation at 8–9 DIV (Fig. 10 A). These spines formed functional synapses because they made contact with presynaptic buttons, as revealed by presynaptic marker synaptophysin staining (Fig. 10 A). For neurons transfected with syndecan-2\(^{\Delta 3}\), the protrusion density was not significantly different from that of wild-type syndecan-2 (P = 0.387; Fig. 10, A and B). However, the majority of the protrusions of syndecan-2\(^{\Delta 3}\)–expressing neurons still carried the characteristics of filopodia (being longer than 2 \(\mu\)m and lacking a head; Fig. 10, A and B), supporting the idea that syndecan-2\(^{\Delta 3}\) can promote filopodia formation; however, the consequent transformation from filopodia to spines was prevented. For syndecan-2\(^{\Delta C1}\), the density of the protrusion was much less than that induced by wild-type syndecan-2 (P = 0.387; Fig. 10, A and B). However, the majority of the protrusions of syndecan-2\(^{\Delta C1}\)–expressing neurons still carried the characteristics of filopodia (being longer than 2 \(\mu\)m and lacking a head; Fig. 10, A and B), supporting the idea that syndecan-2\(^{\Delta C1}\) can promote filopodia formation; however, the consequent transformation from filopodia to spines was prevented. For syndecan-2\(^{\Delta C1}\), the density of the protrusion was much less than that induced by wild-type syndecan-2 (Fig. 10 A and B). This outcome was similar to the effect of syndecan-2\(^{\Delta 3}\)C1 on filopodia formation at 4–5 DIV (Fig. 3 D). These results support the notion that the C1 region of syndecan-2 is required for both filopodia and spine formation and that the C2 region is critical for spine formation. The fact that the protrusion densities induced by syndecan-2 and -2\(^{\Delta 3}\) were similar (Fig. 10 B) also supports the hypothesis that filopodia are the intermediates of spines in this syndecan-2–dependent process.

Next, we examined the involvement of neurofibromin and Ena/VASP proteins in spine formation by again expressing NF1...
shRNA and FP4-mito constructs, respectively, in hippocampal neurons at 11–12 DIV. The spine density of mature neurons was then examined at 16–17 DIV. Compared with nonsilencer control, the NF1 shRNA construct significantly reduced spine density along dendrites of mature hippocampal neurons (P < 0.001; Fig. 10, C and D), supporting the role of neurofibromin in dendritic spine formation. In the investigation targeting Ena/VASP proteins, expression of the FP4-mito construct also inhibited spine formation in mature hippocampal neurons (Fig. 10, E and F), supporting an involvement of Ena/VASP proteins in spine formation. In conclusion, these results indicated that neurofibromin and Ena/VASP proteins contribute to dendritic spine formation, perhaps through the regulation of filopodia formation.

Discussion

Using HEK cells and cultured hippocampal neurons as models, we have demonstrated that the neurofibromin–PKA–Ena/VASP pathway mediates the downstream signaling of syndecan-2. This study shows that syndecan-2 itself can deliver a signal to cells to change cell morphology. Our results also provide the first evidence that both neurofibromin and Ena/VASP proteins are involved in dendritic spine formation.

For cell signaling, syndecans are first identified as coreceptors for growth and differentiation factors. However, the previous studies indicated that syndecans are capable of activating intracellular signaling pathways directly via their short cytoplasmic domains. The first example of this was seen with syndecan-4; overexpression of this protein in CHO cells promotes focal adhesion assembly (Longley et al., 1999). The V region of syndecan-4, which binds to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate and activates PKCα, is critical for this function (Oh et al., 1998). Another study showed that the pleiotrophin/heparin-binding growth-associated molecule, which promotes neurite outgrowth in developing neurons, binds to syndecan-3 to induce a syndecan-3–Src–cortactin interaction (Kinnunen et al., 1998). In the present study, we have demonstrated a third signaling pathway involving a direct interaction of syndecan-2 with the neurofibromin–PKA–Ena/VASP pathway. Overexpression of syndecan-2 induces filopodia formation via PKA activity, which is mediated by neurofibromin, and thus regulates the function of Ena/VASP proteins in filopodia formation. In contrast to the

Figure 10. The syndecan-2–neurofibromin–Ena/VASP signaling pathway is involved in dendritic spinogenesis. (A, C, and E) Dissociated hippocampal neurons were transfected with syndecan-2, –2Δ3, or –2ΔC1 at 1–2 DIV and fixed at 8–9 DIV for immunostaining (A). They were also cotransfected with EGFP-actin and nonsilencer or NF1 shRNA (C) and either DsRed-actin or myc-actin and AP4-mito or FP4-mito at 11–12 DIV and fixed at 16–17 DIV (E). In A, immunostaining using syndecan-2G antibodies was performed to outline the cellular morphology of transfected neurons. Two representative images are shown. For syndecan-2–expressing neurons, double staining with the presynaptic marker synaptophysin (SVP38) was performed. Some of the syndecan-2–induced dendritic spines were adjacent to the SVP38-positive signal, which are marked by arrowheads. In C and E, the EGFPR, DsRed-, or myc-actin patterns were viewed to assess cell morphology. (B) Cumulative probability distributions of A showing the effects of the syndecan-2 cytoplasmic domain on the length and density of dendritic spines. (D) Cumulative probability distributions of C showing the effect of NF1 shRNA on dendritic spine density. (F) Cumulative probability distributions of E showing the effects of FP4-mito on spine density. Bars, 2 μm.
heparin-binding growth-associated molecule–syndecan-3 pathway, the need for a specific ligand for the activation of syndecan-2 has not been clarified. In our system, overexpression of syndecan-2 is sufficient to induce downstream signaling; however, it cannot be ruled out that an unknown syndecan-2 ligand is present in our culture systems.

Currently, it is also unclear how the overexpression of syndecan-2 enhances the ability of neurofibromin to activate PKA. Perhaps interaction with syndecan-2 changes the conformation of neurofibromin, allowing the activation of adenylyl cyclase. Because syndecans form dimers (and perhaps multimers) via their transmembrane domains and there are two separate syndecan-2–interacting sites in neurofibromin (Hsueh et al., 2001), a single neurofibromin molecule may simultaneously interact with a syndecan-2 dimer. This interaction might fix the neurofibromin molecule in a conformation that favors the activation of adenylyl cyclase. Therefore, increases in the level of syndecan-2 might fix more neurofibromin molecules in a conformation that activates adenylyl cyclase. More investigation is needed to explore this possibility.

The deletion analyses showed that syndecan-2Δ32 and -2ΔC1 mutants were unable to promote filopodia formation. Retention of mutants in the cytoplasm cannot explain this phenotype. Our syndecan-2G antibody recognized the ectodomain of syndecan-2 (unpublished data). It stained both syndecan-2– and -2Δ32–expressing cells in the absence of permeabilization (unpublished data), indicating the normal surface expression of syndecan-2Δ32. Moreover, the expression levels of both syndecan-2ΔC1 and -2Δ32 were comparable with that of wild-type syndecan-2 (Fig. S2 C). The loss of filopodia formation with these mutants is also unlikely to be the result of defects in protein expression or subcellular distribution. It suggests that the regions missing in these deletion constructs are important for filopodia formation.

The current findings indicate that the PKA pathway is essential for syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation. However, PKA activation itself is not sufficient for filopodia formation. It suggests that syndecan-2 overexpression is likely to activate multiple signaling pathways that are all required for dendritic spine formation. Consistent with this speculation, the Ras pathway, which is also regulated by neurofibromin, has been shown to contribute to dendritic spine formation (Wu et al., 2001; Vazquez et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2005). It is possible that the Ras and PKA pathways downstream of syndecan-2/neurofibromin coordinate and regulate dendritic spineogenesis. Alternatively, the ectodomain of syndecan-2 involved in cell–cell or cell–matrix interaction may also be essential for filopodia outgrowth. Without adhesion via the syndecan-2 ectodomain, filopodial protrusions may not be stable. The observation that overexpression of the entire cytoplasmic domain of syndecan-2 failed to promote filopodia formation (unpublished data) also supports the idea that the ectodomain of syndecan-2 is required in this process. More investigations are required to resolve this issue.

Although the cytoplasmic domain of syndecan-2 is short, it interacts with several proteins. Even the complex formation of neurofibromin, syndecan, and CASK has been shown. However, it is unclear whether there is any cross talk between CASK and neurofibromin. CASK apparently has no influence on the filopodia formation activity of neurofibromin because deletion of the CASK-binding site (EFYA motif) on syndecan-2 did not affect filopodia formation. Instead of being part of the same process, it seems likely that the complex formation of CASK, syndecan-2, and neurofibromin serves to achieve two sequential processes: filopodia formation and dendritic spine maturation. The interaction of syndecan-2 with neurofibromin initiates filopodia formation, and the interaction with CASK may further transform filopodia to dendritic spines. The results of the analysis of cytoplasmic deletion mutants of syndecan-2 in filopodia and spine formation support this speculation.

Ethell et al. (2001) demonstrated that EphB2 receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylates the tyrosine residues Y189 and Y201 in the C1 and V regions of syndecan-2, respectively. EphB2 phosphorylation is required for syndecan-2 clustering on dendrites and the induction of mature spines. When both of the residues Y189 and Y201 are mutated, the mutant syndecan-2 proteins no longer cluster and promote spineogenesis (Ethell et al., 2001). However, EphB2 phosphorylation seems only to affect spine formation but not filopodia formation because filopodia formation is not affected in neurons expressing syndecan-2 Y189F/Y201F mutant (Ethell et al., 2001). In addition, overexpression of an EphB2 kinase-dead mutant in hippocampal neurons prevents spine but not filopodia formation (Ethell et al., 2001). In addition to tyrosine phosphorylation, the V region of the syndecan-2 cytoplasmic domain contains two PKC phosphorylation sites. Xenopus laevis syndecan-2 is phosphorylated by PKC and is critical for establishing left-right asymmetry during early development (Kramer et al., 2002). However, PKC inhibitors did not prevent filopodia formation induced by syndecan-2, suggesting that PKC phosphorylation on the V region only regulates the function of syndecan-2 at an early embryonic stage for left-right decision. Neither EphB2 nor PKC phosphorylation regulates syndecan-2–induced filopodia formation.

In conclusion, our studies show clearly that syndecan-2 plays an active role in delivering a biochemical signal into cells. Syndecan-2 remodels the cytoskeleton and promotes the formation of filopodia via the neurofibromin–PKA–Ena/VASP pathway.

**Materials and methods**

**Hippocampal neuronal culture and analysis of dendritic filopodia/spine formation**

Hippocampal neuronal cultures were performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2006) with the minor modification that 300,000 cells per well were plated in 12-well plates containing poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips in each well. Transfection using calcium phosphate precipitation was performed to deliver the plasmid DNA into neurons. To study the effect of syndecan-2 on filopodia formation, transfection of syndecan-2 was performed at 1–2 DIV, and immunostaining was performed at 4–5 DIV. Filopodia formation was determined by the density of protrusions emerging from neurites with a length between 0.75 and 10 μm. The protrusions longer than 10 μm were recognized as dendritic branches. To study the effect of syndecan-2 on spine formation at 8–9 DIV, the length of individual protrusions and protrusion density were measured. Only the protrusions longer than 0.5 μm were counted. To study intrinsic dendritic spine formation, transfection was performed at 11–12 DIV, and immunofluorescence staining was performed at 16–17 DIV. The densities of protrusions longer than 0.5 μm were determined.
The same sense oligonucleotide primer (5'-CGGGAAATCATGGGTACGAGGCCACG-3') was used for PCR construction of all three mutants. The anti-sense oligonucleotide primers were as follows: (1) for syndecan-2Δ3, 5'-CGGGAAATCTTCTCCGTATTGGGTCTCCT-3'; (2) for syndecan-2Δ20, 5'-CGGGAAATCTCCTTCTTCTAAGGGTTCGAGTCT-3'; and (3) for syndecan-2Δ32, 5'-CGGGAAATCTTGATACCAACAGGATGAG-3'. The underlined sequences are EcoRI sites that were appended for cloning purposes. To construct the syndecan-2Δ1 mutant, oligo primers (5'-GGCTTCACTCCGTGTCACACAGGACG-3' and 5'-GTACCCACTGAGTACAC-3') were used to amplify the region containing the extracellular and transmembrane domains. A pair of primers (5'-GACCTTGAGAAAGCCACCGG-3' and 5'-CGGAATCTTCTATGATACAAACT-3') was used to amplify the variable cDNA domains. Two fragments were ligated by blunt-end ligation and subcloned into the KpnI and XhoI sites of the vector pCMV-tyt-F at EcoRI and XhoI sites, respectively.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was used for generating the syndecan-2ΔK/A, ΔN/A, and ΔF/A mutants. PKC and PI3K are not involved in filopodia formation downstream of syndecan-2. Fig S4 shows the specificity of syndecan-2 antibody and expression of syndecan-2 constructs. 18 h after transfection, cells were harvested for PKA activity assay and subcloning them into the EcoRI site of the modified vector GW1 containing a myc cassette. Plasmids EGFP and EGFP-actin were purchased from CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc. For myc-tagged actin, actin cDNA was digested from EGFP-actin and subcloned into the BglII site of the vector myc-GW1.

PKA activity assay
HEK293T cells were transfected with the vector control or a variety of syndecan-2 constructs. 18 h after transfection, cells were harvested for PKA activity analysis using an ELISA kit from Calbiochem. Equal protein amounts of cell extracts were used.

Animals and housing
All animal experiments were performed with the approval of and in strict accordance with the guidelines of the Academia Sinica Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee and the Republic of China Council of Agriculture Guidebook for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Pregnant rats were housed individually and killed by CO2 inhalation. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals required.

Online supplemental material
Fig S1 is the characterization of syndecan-2 and neurofibromin RNAi constructs and the dominant-negative mutant of neurofibromin. Fig S2 shows the specificity of syndecan-2 antibody and expression of syndecan-2 wild-type and mutant constructs. Fig S3 shows that PKC and PI3K are not involved in filopodia formation downstream of syndecan-2. Fig S4 shows that Ena/VASP proteins are the downstream effectors of PKA activated by syndecan-2 in HEK293T cells. Supplemental text contains the data obtained in Kologarov-Smirnov tests, including D and corresponding p-values and sample sizes of each experiment. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200608121/DC1.
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