Abstract: With the continuous improvement in material life, people are paying more and more attention to air quality; therefore, it is critical to design efficient and stable gas sensor devices. In this work, a flowery WSe$_2$ nanostructure and its nanocomposite (Au@WSe$_2$) decorated with Au nanoparticles were fabricated by the hydrothermal method. The performance of a resistive sensor with flowery WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ sensors was evaluated by detecting volatile organic compounds such as ethanol, isooctyl alcohol, n-butyl alcohol, isopropanol, isobutanol and n-propanol. The results show that Au-nanoparticle-decorated flowery WSe$_2$ can decrease the optimal working temperature from 215$^\circ$C to 205$^\circ$C and significantly enhance the response of flowery WSe$_2$. The response values to isooctyl alcohol are the highest (as high as 44.5) at a low gas concentration (100 ppm), while the response values to ethanol are the highest (as high as 178.5) at a high gas concentration (1000 ppm) among the six different alcohols. Moreover, the response is steady and repeatable. The results demonstrate that the Au@WSe$_2$ substrate has good responsiveness and selectivity, which makes it a promising candidate for gas detection.
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1. Introduction

Gas sensors are playing an increasingly vital role in life [1], industry environmental protection [2], combustion control [3], automobile exhaust detection [4], drunk driving inspection, anesthesia monitoring [5,6] and other fields. Within the transition metal disulfide (TMD) family [7], the novel graphene-like layered [8,9] material WSe$_2$ [10] has recently received much attention as an emerging nanomaterial due to its outstanding properties, such as a large volume ratio, excellent electrical conductivity, sensitive surface, small bandgap, and high stability [11,12]. The WSe$_2$ material proved to be a relatively stable semiconductor among TMD materials, with an indirect bandgap of about 1.2 eV [13], which can be used as an effective material for field-effect transistor channels [12,14].

In recent years, lots of gas sensors based on noble metal and semiconductor composite nanomaterials have been investigated since the noble metal nanomaterials (NPs) can improve the adsorption capacity of the gas-sensitive material surface to the target gas molecules and thus change the resistance. For example, Liu et al. [15] reported that the sensitivity of Au@SnO$_2$ was three times higher than that of the pure SnO$_2$ sensor and exhibited an excellent response/recovery time. Peng et al. [16] decorated a ZnO nanostructure with 6% Au NPs and found that the composite sensor has about a nine-fold enhancement in its gas response to 100 ppm acetone at 280$^\circ$C compared to pristine ZnO. Li et al. [17] reported that the sensitivity of the Au@LaFeO$_3$ gas sensor to 100 ppm ethanol is 27 times higher than that of the pure LaFeO$_3$ sensor at an optimal operating temperature. In our previous work, we also found that the response of the Au@CuO sensor is seven times higher than that of
the pure CuO sensor exposed to 1000 ppm ethanol [18]. Therefore, noble metal NPs can improve the sensitivity of the gas sensor as well as its response/recovery characteristics. To the best of our knowledge, a gas sensor based on the Au-NP-decorated flowery WSe$_2$ nanostructure has not been reported yet.

In this paper, to investigate the gas sensitivity of flowery WSe$_2$ nanostructures with/without Au NP decoration, six different alcohols were tested before and after the Au decoration of the flowery WSe$_2$ sensor. The results indicated that the response to the six alcohols was enhanced after the Au NP decoration of the flowery WSe$_2$ sensor, in which the response of Au@WSe$_2$ nanocomposites (NCs) to ethanol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol were significantly enhanced compared to the pure flowery WSe$_2$ sensor. At a low concentration of gas (100 ppm), the response of Au@WSe$_2$ NCs to isoamylol displayed the largest response value (~45). However, at a high concentration of gas (1000 ppm), the response of Au@WSe$_2$ NCs to ethanol displayed the largest response value (~178.5). The results show that Au@WSe$_2$ NCs have a better response and selection performance as well as higher sensitivity to the target gas at the optimal temperature.

2. Experimental Section

Hydrothermal synthesis is considered an effective preparation method to fabricate semiconductor nanostructures due to its advantages of low cost, easy operation and good dispersibility [19]. In this work, we synthesized flowery WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ NCs by hydrothermal synthesis and layer-by-layer self-assembly technology for alcohol gas detection.

2.1. Chemicals and Materials

Se (purity ≥ 99.9%), Na$_2$WO$_4$ (purity ≥ 99.0%), NaBH$_4$ (purity ≥ 98.0%), NaBH$_4$ (purity ≥ 98%), HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O, Ethanol (purity ≥ 99.5%), Isoamylol (purity ≥ 99.5%), N-butyl Alcohol (purity ≥ 99.5%), Isopropanol (purity ≥ 99.5%), Isobutanol (purity ≥ 99.5%) and n-propanol (purity ≥ 99.5%) were all purchased from Tianjin Sailboat Chemical Reagent Technology Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China).

2.2. The Synthesis of Flowery WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ NCs

Flowery WSe$_2$ was prepared by a one-step solvothermal method using Se and NaWO$_4$ as raw materials, NaBH$_4$ as a reducing agent and N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) as a solvent. Se (0.66 g, 0.0084 mole) and NaBH$_4$ (0.2 g, 0.0053 mole) were dispersed sequentially into DMF, stirred uniformly to obtain a mixed solution, which was transferred to a 100 mL reaction kettle and reacted at 200 °C for 48 h. The reaction product (black material) was collected by filtration and then washed several times with deionized water and ethanol, followed by drying to obtain the flowery WSe$_2$ nanostructures. The specific process is shown in Figure 1a. During the synthesis of Au@WSe$_2$ NCs, an appropriate amount of WSe$_2$ powder was dispersed in deionized water, and then 3 mL of PVP aqueous solution and 1 mL of HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O solution were added successively and fully stirred for 3 min, and then 4 mL of C$_6$H$_5$OH$_6$ with a concentration of 0.01 mol/L was quickly added with a syringe as a reducing agent solution. After stirring for 3 h, the mixed solution was centrifuged and dried to obtain Au@WSe$_2$ NCs, as shown in Figure 1b.
2.3. Construction of the Sensors

The preparation details of the gas sensors are as follows. Firstly, the Au electrode surfaces were cleaned with acetone, ethanol and deionized water. Then, 0.002 g of sample powder and 100 µL of deionized water were mixed into a grinding bowl and ground for 2 min, and 8–10 µL of the extracted mixed sample solution was uniformly smeared onto 15 mm × 10 mm Au electrodes with a brush [20]. The electrode line width and electrode spacing were about 0.5 mm and 1 mm, respectively (as shown in Figure S1a). The areas of sensitive layers of WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ gas sensors were both 10 mm × 10 mm (as shown in Figure S1b). Finally, the gold electrode coated with the sample was placed on a hot table and heated at 230 °C for 24 h to test the gas sensor.

2.4. Measurement

The sensing properties of the gas sensors were tested using a gas-sensing analysis system (Beijing Elite Tech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) at the desired gaseous volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations. The whole process was completed in the CGS-ITP system, which is composed of the main engine, heating platform and gas chamber. The sensor was placed on the heating platform in the closed chamber, and the loop between the electrode and the system was connected. The evaporation temperature of the pan was set to the same value as that of the VOC. When the temperature of the heating platform reached the set value, the sensing resistance dropped to a constant value. The volatile organic solution was then injected into the chamber’s evaporating dish, and the resistance of the device was converted into a visible pattern on the system’s computer. The experimental data were collected by an intelligent gas-sensitive analysis system.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterizations

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of Au@WSe₂ NCs. It can be seen that some characteristic diffraction peaks correspond to the crystal planes (002), (100), (102), (103), (006) and (110) according to the standard PDF card (JCPDS 38-1388) of the hexagonal WSe₂ crystal, and some characteristic peaks correspond to the crystal planes (111), (200), (220) and (311) according to the standard PDF card (JCPDS 04-0784) of Au. No additional characteristic diffraction peaks are observed, indicating that flowery WSe₂ was successfully decorated with Au NPs, forming a composite [21]. The sharp and clear diffraction peaks indicate that the prepared Au@WSe₂ NCs have good crystallinity.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of Au@WSe₂ NCs.

Figure 3 shows the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of flowery WSe₂ and Au@WSe₂ NCs. In order to study each peak of the spectrum more accurately, we fitted the peaks of the main elements with a Gaussian distribution. For pure flowery WSe₂, the Se 3d spectrum is divided into 54.38 eV and 55.38 eV [22] (as shown in Figure 3a), which correspond to the 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂ orbitals of Se [23], respectively. The spectral peaks for the W 4f₇/₂ and W 4f₅/₂ doublets are located at 31.8 eV and 34.1 eV [24] (as shown in Figure 3b), respectively, which are consistent with the previously reported values for pure WSe₂ [25]. Figure 3c–e show the XPS energy spectra of Se, W and Au in Au@WSe₂ NCs. It can be seen in Figure 3c that the binding energies of the two different peaks located at 54.0 eV and 55.08 eV are Se 3d₅/₂ and Se 3d₃/₂, respectively. Compared with the peak position of Se 3d of pure flowery WSe₂, the peaks of Se 3d₅/₂ and Se 3d₃/₂ in the Au@WSe₂ NCs are shifted by 0.38 eV and 0.3 eV, respectively. The binding energies of the two initial peaks of W 4f₇/₂ and W 4f₅/₂ are still located at 31.8 eV and 34.1 eV, respectively, as shown in Figure 3d. Figure 3e shows the XPS image of Au 4f. The binding energies for the Au 4f₇/₂ and Au 4f₅/₂ doublets are located at 83.68 eV and 87.38 eV, respectively, which is in good agreement with previous reports [18], indicating that flowery WSe₂ was successfully decorated with Au NPs without introducing impurities.
In order to further study the microstructure and interfacial state of the fabricated WSe$_2$ samples, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) were performed. Figure S2 shows an overview image of an ensemble of the flowery WSe$_2$ nanostructures. Figure 4a illustrates that the synthesized WSe$_2$ has a loose three-dimensional flower-like structure, which is formed by two-dimensional layered nanosheets. As can be seen in Figure 4b, flowery WSe$_2$ only curled at the petal edge, which increased the thickness of the layer. This structure not only provides a larger specific surface area but also provides more active sites for the gas to react on the material surface. Moreover, the coiled petal structure gives the stacked three-dimensional flowery structure a larger interstitial surface and exposes more active sites at the edges, which provides the possibility of rapid gas adsorption and desorption [26]. Figure 4c shows obvious lattice fringes on the flowery WSe$_2$ surface with a lattice spacing of 0.260 nm, which matches the lattice plane of (102). Flowery WSe$_2$ was reduced to Au@WSe$_2$ NCs by the in situ reduction of the HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O solution, as displayed in Figure 4d. As can be seen, Au NPs are tightly attached to the surface of flowery WSe$_2$. Further analysis by TEM indicated that the diameter of the Au NPs is about 40 nm, as shown in Figure 4e.
The HRTEM images show that the lattice fringes of Au (111) and WSe₂ (102) planes were observed with lattice spacings of 0.236 and 0.260 nm (as shown in Figure 4f), respectively, indicating that the Au NPs and flowery WSe₂ are well combined in the Au@WSe₂ NCs.

**Figure 4.** (a–c) SEM and HRTEM images of flowery WSe₂; (d–f) SEM and HRTEM images of Au@WSe₂ NCs.

### 3.2. Gas-Sensing Properties

Figure 5 shows the response curve of pure flowery WSe₂ and Au@WSe₂ NC sensors to ethanol at a concentration of 1000 ppm as a function of temperature (T) [27]. The response of the sensor can be calculated by $S = R_a / R_g$, where $R_g$ is the resistance of the target gas, and $R_a$ is the resistance of the sensor in the target gas [28]. Obviously, the optimal working temperature was reduced from 215 °C to 205 °C, and the response was effectively improved when flowery WSe₂ was decorated with Au NPs, which is beneficial in prolonging the service life as well as reducing the energy consumption of the device.

**Figure 5.** The response vs. temperature of (a) pure flowery WSe₂ and (b) Au@WSe₂ NCs to ethanol at 1000 ppm, respectively.

The sensing properties for six different alcohols (ethanol, isoamylol, n-butyl alcohol, isopropanol, isobutanol and n-propanol) were investigated when using the flowery WSe₂ sensor with/without Au NP decoration. Figure 6 shows the response of pure flowery WSe₂ and Au@WSe₂ NC sensors to different gas concentrations at the optimal operating temperature. The results show that the response to the six different alcohols increases with the increase in gas concentration. The response of flowery WSe₂ was significantly
enhanced when it was decorated with Au NPs. At a low gas concentration (100 ppm),
the enhancement effect toward ethanol, isoamylol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol was
particularly significant: their response values were nearly 6.3, 6.5, 7.7 and 12 times greater
than those of pure flowery WSe2, respectively, as shown in Figure 7a. For isoamylol,
the response values were as high as 44.5. At a high gas concentration (1000 ppm), the
response values for the pure flowery WSe2 gas sensor to ethanol, isoamylol, n-butyl alcohol,
isopropanol, isobutanol and n-propanol were only 23.2, 41.82, 7.05, 4.43, 25.53 and 8.03,
respectively. However, the corresponding response values increased to 178.5, 75, 15, 56.9
and 96.5 for the Au@WSe2 NC sensor, respectively, as shown in Figure 7b. The enhancement
effect of ethanol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol was particularly significant: their response
values were nearly 8, 11 and 12 times greater than those of pure flowery WSe2, respectively.
For ethanol, the response values were as high as 178.5. Therefore, Au@WSe2 NCs have a
good selectivity for ethanol at a high gas concentration, while they have a good selectivity
for isoamylol at a low gas concentration.

Figure 6. The responses of pure flowery WSe2 and Au@WSe2 NC sensors to diverse gases at various
concentrations. (a) Ethanol, (b) isoamylol, (c) n-butyl alcohol, (d) isopropanol, (e) isobutanol and
(f) n-propanol.
To check the repeatability and stability of the gas sensor, we measured the responses of pure flowery WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ NC sensors to 1000 ppm ethanol, as shown in Figures S3 and 8. It was found that the responses of the two gas sensors were relatively steady, and their repeatability was extremely favorable after a detection period of 30 days.

We also investigated the response/recovery characteristics of pure flowery WSe$_2$ and Au@WSe$_2$ NC sensors for 1000 ppm ethanol at their corresponding optimal operating temperatures, as shown in Figure 9. The response (recovery) time is defined as the time required to rise (fall) to 90% (10%) of the maximum response value. By contrast, the recovery time decreased from 19.97 s to 5.12 s upon exposure to 1000 ppm ethanol with Au NP decoration, which indicates that the Au@WSe$_2$ NC sensor has excellent response/recovery behavior.
Figure 9. Response and recovery times of pure flowery (a) WSe$_2$ and (b) Au@WSe$_2$ NCs for 1000 ppm ethanol at their optimal operating temperatures.

We also compared Au@WSe$_2$ NCs with previously reported sensors, as shown in Table 1. It can be seen that our Au@WSe$_2$ NC sensor has a better performance than the reported sensors. Hence, the prepared Au@WSe$_2$ NCs have great potential for gas detection (especially for ethanol and isoamylol) in practical applications.

Table 1. Comparison of key parameters between reported sensors and Au@WSe$_2$ NCs sensor.

| Sensing Materials | Target Gas      | Operating Temperature (°C) | Concentration (ppm) | Response (a) | Ref.      |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| WSe$_2$ nanosheets| Ethanol         | RT                          | 30                  | 1.2         | [23]     |
| WSe$_2$ nanosheets| NO$_2$          | RT                          | 10                  | 5.36        | [29]     |
| WSe$_2$ nanosheets| NO$_2$          | RT                          | 0.05                | 5.06        | [24]     |
| Flowery CuO       | Ethanol         | 260                         | 1000                | 4           | [30]     |
| Flowery TiO$_2$   | Acetone         | 330                         | 250                 | 33.72       | [31]     |
| Flowery WO$_3$    | NO$_2$          | 90                          | 0.08                | 152         | [32]     |
| Flowery SnO$_2$   | Ethanol         | _                           | 100                 | 29.7        | [33]     |
| WSe$_2$@TiO$_2$ NCs| Ethanol       | RT                          | 100                 | 42.8        | [23]     |
| Au@CuO NCs        | Ethanol         | 100                         | 1000                | 95.3        | [18]     |
| Au@ZnO NCs        | Ethanol         | 125                         | 1000                | 1.42        | [34]     |
| Au@SnO$_2$ NCs    | Ethanol         | 240                         | 100                 | 23.93       | [15]     |
| Flowery WSe$_2$   | Ethanol (isoamylol) | 215                | 1000 (100)          | 23.2        | This work |
| Au@flowery WSe$_2$| Ethanol (isoamylol) | 205             | 1000 (100)          | 176.5       | This work |

*Response = $R_a/R_i$; \(^{b}\) Response = $R_p/R_i$.

3.3. Sensing Mechanism

The sensing mechanism can be described as an adsorption–oxidation–desorption process, which results in a change in the resistance of the sensor. When the gas sensor is exposed to the air, oxygen molecules will be adsorbed on the sensor surface and capture electrons from the conduction band of the semiconductor to form adsorbed oxygen ions ($O_{2(ads)}^-$ or $O_{3(ads)}^-\cdots$). The reaction process is outlined below:

$$O_{2(ads)} + e^- \rightarrow O_{2(ads)}^- \quad (3-1)$$

$$O_{2(ads)}^- + e^- \rightarrow 2O_{(ads)}^- \quad (3-2)$$

At this time, a depletion layer will form on the surface of the semiconductor, which will significantly decrease the carrier concentration and cause an increase in the resistance.
When the gas sensor is exposed to a reducing gas such as ethanol, the ionized oxygen will react with the reducing gas on the material surface and release electrons back to the conduction band, giving rise to a reduction in the resistance. The reaction can be described as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
C_2H_5OH \text{ (gas)} + O_{(ads)}^- & \rightarrow C_2H_4O + H_2O + e^- \quad (3-3) \\
C_2H_5OH \text{ (gas)} + 3O_{(ads)}^- & \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 3H_2O + 3e^- \quad (3-4)
\end{align*}
\]

Compared with pure flowery WSe\textsubscript{2}, the gas sensitivity of Au@WSe\textsubscript{2} NCs is significantly improved. The mechanism of the enhanced response of Au-NP-decorated flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} can be explained from the following aspects. (1) The work function of Au (5.1 eV) is larger than that of WSe\textsubscript{2} (3.61 eV) [35], which results in an electron transfer from WSe\textsubscript{2} to Au NPs, and a Schottky potential barrier is formed at the interface. The potential barrier results in an increase in the resistance of the gas sensor. Moreover, the accumulation of electrons on the surface of Au NPs leads to the adsorbed oxygen molecules more readily forming oxygen ions (O\textsubscript{2(ads)}\textsuperscript{−} or O\textsubscript{(ads)}\textsuperscript{−}). (2) Because of the chemical spillover effect [36,37], more active adsorption sites are generated on Au NPs, and the formed oxygen ions adsorbed on Au will spread to WSe\textsubscript{2}. When the sensor contacts alcohols, the ionized oxygen will react with the reducing gas on the WSe\textsubscript{2} surface and release electrons back to WSe\textsubscript{2}, giving rise to a reduction in the resistance and the enhancing the sensor response, as shown in Figure 10. (3) Furthermore, Au NPs promote the reaction on the surface of flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} and reduce the activation energy for oxygen molecule cleavage, thus reducing the optimal operating temperature [38].

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** Sensing mechanism of Au@WSe\textsubscript{2} NCs toward ambient air and ethanol gas.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we successfully prepared flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} and Au@WSe\textsubscript{2} NC sensors and further explored the sensing characteristics of the two devices. The results show that Au-NP-decorated flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} displays a lower optimal working temperature (205 °C) than that of the pure flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} sensor (215 °C); meanwhile, the response to alcohols was also significantly enhanced. The flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} sensor responses to ethanol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol at 1000 ppm were 23.20, 7.05 and 8.03, respectively. After being decorated with Au NPs, the responses to ethanol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol at 1000 ppm were 178.5, 78.0 and 96.45, respectively, which are 8, 11 and 12 times larger than those of the pure flowery WSe\textsubscript{2} sensor. At a low gas concentration (100 ppm), the enhancement effect of ethanol, isoamylol, n-butyl alcohol and n-propanol was particularly significant: their response values were nearly 6.3, 6.5, 7.7 and 12 times greater than those of pure flowery
WSe₂, respectively. For isoamylol, the response values were as high as 44.5. Therefore, Au@WSe₂ NCs have a good selectivity for ethanol at a high gas concentration, while they have a good selectivity for isoamylol at a low gas concentration. The Au/WSe₂ NC sensor has a short response/recovery time and good repeatability, selectivity and stability for ethanol and isoamylol.
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