Structural modeling and phylogenetic analysis for infectious disease transmission pattern based on maximum likelihood tree approach
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Abstract
The contagious disease transmission pattern outbreak caused a massive human casualty and became a pandemic, as confirmed by the World Health Organization (WHO). The present research aims to understand the infectious disease transmission pattern outbreak due to molecular epidemiology. Hence, infected patients over time can spread infectious disease. The virus may develop further mutations, and that there might be a more toxic virulent strain, which leads to several environmental risk factors. Therefore, it is essential to monitor and characterize patient profiles, variants, symptoms, geographic locations, and treatment responses to analyze and evaluate infectious disease patterns among humans. This research proposes the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors. Furthermore, The Maximum likelihood tree method (MLTM) has been used to analyze the selective pressure, which is examined to identify a mutation that may influence the infectious disease transmission pattern's clinical progress. This study also utilizes ETA with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) approach to reconstruct transmission trees with sequence information. The experimental shows that the proposed ETA-MCBS method achieves a 97.55% accuracy, prediction of 99.56%, and 98.55% performance compared to other existing methods.
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1 Overview of the infectious disease transmission pattern

Transmission events are the basic building blocks of infectious disease dynamics (Spyrou et al. 2019). Infectious disease epidemics are induced among people and animals by transmitting a pathogen between humans, the environment, or intermediate hosts directly or indirectly (Becker et al. 2019). Transmission efficiency depends on infection and the sensitivity of infected hosts and non-infected individuals exposed to infection (Ladner et al. 2019). Three essential elements of infection are: molecular, behavioral, and physical (Hall and Colijn 2019). Biologic infectivity relies on disease pathogen excretion and can be linked merely to the viral or bacterial load anatomical locations or a more complex pathogen’s life cycle (Ciccozzi et al. 2019). The dynamics of the pathogen in the body are, in effect, based upon the dynamics of the immune system of an individual host, including innate and acquired immunity; pathogenic features such as the trying to replicate and spread bacterial dynamics inside the host; the initial dose, virulence or sensitivity to medications; and interaction among genetic determinants of disease growth (Baskar et al. 2020; Chaters et al. 2019). Environmental infectiousness depends on the individual’s location and environment (Ramière et al. 2019).

The environment is critical to maintain the pathogen outside the host and ensure the survival of intermediate hosts and vectors that may affect transmission efficiency (Herrera and Nunn 2019). Climatic temperature variation, or rainfall, causes other illnesses (e.g., cholera, influenza, and polio) to seasonal patterns (Gomathi et al. 2019). In infectious disease epidemiology, genetic sequences of pathogens are an increasingly important source of information. The pathogenic
phylogeny structure may reflect the option of immunological strains, disease dynamics, and spatial spread patterns (Ragonnet-Cronin et al. 2019). Evolutionary tree or phylogenetic tree analysis is a branching figure or tree presenting the evolutionary relationship between different biological species (Barry et al. 2020). The phylogenetic tree characterizes the sampled pathogens clonal ancestry; its leaves are sampled pathogens, and interior nodes are the recent collective ancestors of the transmitted and sampled pathogens (de Bernardi Schneider et al. 2020). Suppose the phylogeny is reconstructed by the maximum likelihood tree method for a given substitution model (Perumal and Nadar 2020). In that case, branch lengths are restrained in the expected number of substitutions (Yuan et al. 2020). The dissimilarity between transmission trees and phylogenetic trees correlate with the variance between species trees and phylogenetic trees (Chen et al. 2020). Environmental infectiousness depends on the individual’s location and environment (Ramière et al. 2019). The Phylogenetic characterizes the pathogens sampled of clonal age, and the internal nodes are the recent mutual descendants of the pathogens transmitted and sampled. Assume the highest chance tree process reconstructs the phylogeny for a certain substitution model. In this case, the estimated number of substitutions limits branch lengths. The distinction between transmission trees and phylogenetic trees coincides with the separation between species trees.

The evolutionary tree is reconstructed by the approximate maximum likelihood tree method to improve the accuracy and prediction of infectious diseases (Singh and Chatterjee 2019) using existing datasets (Becker et al. 2020), (Fecchio et al. 2020). Using the maximum likelihood phylogeny as a starting point, the iterative local searches have been performed and assessed every candidate tree utilizing a statistical likelihood test and calculating the transmission cost (Moustafa et al. 2020).

In this paper, the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) framework has been proposed for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors. The maximum likelihood tree method (MLTM) has been used to examine selective pressure, which is analyzed to determine the mutation that may impact the infectious disease transmission pattern’s clinical progress. This study also utilizes ETA with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) to reconstruc transmission trees with sequence information. The numerical results have been performed, and the suggested system enhances the accuracy and prediction ratio in terms of infectious disease transmission patterns compared to other existing approaches. The infectious disease transmission pattern epidemic caused a major human casualty and became a pandemic. Hence, contaminated patients over time may transmit infectious diseases. A more dangerous, virulent strain could develop more mutations, leading to many environmental threats. The study and assessment of infectious disease trends within humans need to track and characterize patient profiles, various variants, symptoms, geographical locations, and treatment responses. Method of selective pressure analysis for the determination of mutation, which could affect the clinical progression of an infectious disease transmission process, has been achieved through the MLTM system.

The main contributions of this paper are:

- To propose the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors.
- Designing the statistical model of the maximum likelihood tree method (MLTM) for infectious transmission pattern identification.
- The numerical results have been performed, and the suggested system enhances the prediction, accuracy, and performance ratio compared to other existing approaches.

The paper’s remainder is organized as follows: Sect. 1 and Sect. 2 discussed the introduction and existing methods of infectious disease transmission patterns. In Sect. 3, the Evolutionary tree analysis with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) has been proposed. In Sect. 4, the numerical results have been performed. Finally, Sect. 5 concludes the research article.

### 2 Literature review

Erraguntla et al. (2019) suggested a Framework for infectious disease analysis (FIDA). FIDA gathers biosurveillance details through natural language processing. It automatically combines structured and unstructured information from multiple sources, using advanced machine learning and multi-modeling to identify dynamic diseases and test interventions in complex, heterogeneous population groups. With this important feature of public health, FIDA has a statistical modeling infrastructure. FIDA supports exploratory analysis, history, disease transmission modeling, prediction, and intervention analysis on a comprehensive end-to-end basis.

Roosa and Chowell (2019) proposed the parametric bootstrap approach (PBA) for identifying infectious disease transmission. To determine the parameter identities, they measure intervals and mean squared error of the predicted parameter distributions. A low-intensity SEIR model is adopted to illustrate this method, and prototypes of ever more complex compartment models that suit pandemic influenza, Ebola, and Zika applications are implemented.

Kirk et al. (2019) introduced the metabolic theory of ecology (MTE) for forecasting the allometric and thermal dependencies of disease transmission. Transmission into
contact rate and the likelihood of infection decomposed and the likelihood of infection decomposed as a result of gut residence time (GRT), and a parasite infect rate of gut cells decomposed. Their findings show that transmission rates are the function of many different allometric and thermal features, which can be continuously predicted over the host size and entire temperature with the MTE.

Kraemer et al. (2019) initialized the General human movement model (GHMM) to forecast the spread of emerging infectious diseases. They define a robust transmission model for evaluating generalized models' effectiveness in estimating cases of Ebola virus disease and spatial distribution during the outbreak. Compared to models without this feature, a transmission model with a general human mobility model significantly improves the prevention of EVD incidence. Their findings show that transmission patterns from GHMM will enhance the forecasts of space–time transmission patterns where local mobility data are not available.

Odoki et al. (2020) new Clermont phylotyping method (NCPM) for Phylogenetic analysis of multidrug-resistant E. coli isolates from the urinary tract in Bushenyi district, Uganda. This study identified antimicrobial resistance profiles, multidrug resistance profiles, multiple indices of antibiotic resistance (MARI), multidrug resistance urinary tract infections (MDR-UTI) related causes, and phylogenetic urinary tract classes isolated MDR Escherichia coli strains among patients attending hospitals in Bushenyi City, Uganda. For phylotyping of E. Coli, the Old and Current Clermont methods are accepted. This research shows that the latest experiments will not be able to afford, otherwise, DNA sequencing techniques are the gold standard for genotyping bacteria.

Li et al. (2020) Bayesian inference framework (BIF) for Transmission dynamics and evolutionary history of 2019-nCoV. The Bayesian inference framework, three clusters of transmission network observations, have been identified, with only one cluster identified by the mentioned 2019-nCoV challenged gene sequent. The analysis found that performing epidemiological testing, genomics network, and preventive measures to minimize 2019-nCoV dissemination in real-time may positively affect public health.

Bekiros and Kouloumpou (2020) a worldwide multi-scale interplay across many variables, ranging from micro-pathogens to macro-scale environment, socio-economic and demographic circumstances, involves creating highly sophisticated mathematical models for rigorous representation of the infectious disease dynamics. Further, infectious diseases will contribute to the enhancement of current outbreak management strategies and preventive policies. Due to the difficulty of the underlying relationships, both deterministic and stochastic epidemiological models are based on insufficient knowledge about the infectious network. Statistical models of epidemiologists should be used to battle the outbreaks of epidemics. The introduced spatiotemporal approach modeling forecast the infectious dynamics, particularly in light of recent efforts to establish a global surveillance network for combating pandemics using artificial intelligence.

Husein et al. (2020), the authors suggested that vaccination is a common strategy for managing today’s transmission of infectious diseases. The purpose of this research is to establish an outbreak model by adding V vaccine compartment. The findings indicate that the point remains asymptotically constant if the amount of basic reproductive behaviors is less than one, which would keep the disease from spreading throughout the population and ultimately vanish from the population. The study’s findings indicate that the vaccine process relies on the fundamental reproductive rate dependent on the stability analysis.

Demongeot and Seligmann (2020) In this case, the SARS-CoV-2 pin-glycoprotein is structurally modeled. In terms of a relatively low acid similarity in the receptor binding module, our data support comparable receptor use between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. The expanded structural loop contains essential amino acids at the interface of the receptor (S1) and fusion (S2) domains as opposed to SARS-CoV and all other Betacoronavirus B-lineage coronaviruses.

Jaimes et al. (2020) authors compare secondary structure sub-components of small RNA subunits with the possible minimum RNA secondary structures, assumed proto-tRNAs. Here, the analysis compares the different accretion orders of rRNA structural substrates were calculated using two separate processes: (a) classical homology and phylogenetic reconstruction and (b) a structural hypothesis that assumes an inverted ring growth, in which the centre of the 3D ribosome is oldest and the most recent peripheral components.

To overcome these issues, in this paper, the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework has been proposed for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors. The maximum likelihood tree method (MLTM) has been used to examine selective pressure, which is analyzed to determine the mutation that may impact the infectious disease transmission pattern’s clinical progress. This paper provides a mathematical model to infer the main mutational and epidemiological variables by concurrently assessing the transmission tree and evolutionary tree. The proposed method of utilizing simulations is validated for an epidemic of infectious disease.

3 Evolutionary tree analysis with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (ETA-MCBS) framework

In this paper, the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework has been proposed for the molecular evolutionary genetic
analysis to reduce medical risk factors. Within-host interaction, it is important to link sequence information to the transmission tree. Four undetected processes are mutation, the pathogen dynamics within hosts, the time between sampling and infection, and the time between following infections. The variances in sequences between infector 1 and host 2 results from these procedures. Accordingly, a sample host can have various single nucleotide polymorphisms from infectors, and a host can be sampled before infector with less single nucleotide polymorphisms. Molecular phylogenetics has a deep influence on the study of infectious diseases, mainly fast-growing infectious agents like RNA viruses. It has specified insight into the source populations, origins, transmission routes, and evolutionary history of seasonal diseases and epidemic outbreaks. One of the main observations about quickly spreading viruses is that the ecological and evolutionary processes arise simultaneously.

The system supposes infectors and infection times of every case in an epidemic. The data contain sequences of every case and sampling times. By proposed models for within-host interaction, sampling, mutation, and transmission, samples are occupied from the model transmission, variables posterior distributions, and evolutionary trees by an MCBS process. Our approach’s major novelty depends on the proposal stages for the evolutionary and transmission tree utilized to produce the MCBS chain. This paper applied the proposed approach to published datasets on the epidemic of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Naimi et al. 2020), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) (Dlamini et al. 2020), and Food and mouth disease (FMD2007 and FMD2001) (Hägglund et al. 2020).

Figure 1 shows the data origination or process using stochastic processes. 1(a) shows the four procedures denoted by host 1 and 2, combined prominent to variance among sampled hosts 1 and 2 sequences, where (a) denotes the infection to transmission, (b) denotes the infection to sampling (c) denotes the coalescent and (d) denotes the mutation. The node ID number is represented as a circle as if this has a whole outbreak. 1(b) shows variances in host infecting sequences for both host 2 and host 3. Host 1 diseased by the actual sequences $xyz$ and the flash icon denotes when mutations. Figure 1a shows the four unobserved processes: the time between the following infections, the time between sampling and infection, the mutation, and pathogen dynamics within hosts. The variance in sequences between infector 1 and host 2 results from every process. As an outcome, a host’s sample can have dissimilar single nucleotide polymorphisms from infector’s (Fig. 1b: hosts 2 and 1); a host can even be sampled earlier than the infector with fewer single nucleotide polymorphisms (Fig. 1b: hosts 3 and 1). The transformation, the pathogenic dynamics of hosts, the period between sampling and infection, and the time between the following conditions are four undetected processes. This technique is the product of variances in sequences between host 1 and host 2. As such, a sample host may have multiple polymorphic nucleotides of infectors, and a host with fewer single nucleotide polymorphisms can be sampled before an infector.

The model and probability function have been initially introduced, the transmission tree update and variables in the Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) expressed as follows:
As inferred from Eq. (1), the likelihood for the undetected infectors $N$, infection times $J$, evolutionary tree $Q$, $W$ denotes the sampling times, $H$ indicates the DNA sequences and model variables $\theta$, assumed the data. The posterior likelihood can be divided into distinct probability terms expressive the 4 procedures, times a prior likelihood for the variables.

\[
Q_r(J, N, Q, \theta|W, H) \propto Q_r(W, H|J, N, Q, \theta) \cdot Q_r(J, N, Q, \theta)
\]

\[
\cdot Q_r(W|J, \theta) \cdot Q_r(J, N|\theta) \cdot Q_r(\theta)
\]

\[
(2)
\]

### 3.1 Transmission

Let’s consider the outbreak begins with a single case. Every case generated subordinate case at arbitrary generation times when their infection, $b_H$ denotes the shape and $n_H$ denotes the mean of the Gamma distribution. Let’s assume that every untimed transmission tree topology is similarly spread, the likelihood of the transmission tree reliant on its intervals. The outbreak is denoted by vector $J$, $N_j$ infectors for every numbered case $j$ and $N$ with a time of infection $J_j$. The index case infector is 0. The probability is the likelihood densities $d_r(b_H, n_H)$ of every origination time in the epidemic.

Figure 2 and Eq. (3) shows the transmission model. For the simple case where there are A, B, C hosts in the epidemic with one isolate taken from each. This paper provides the extensive statistical treatment of this correspondence, representing that it is one to one if the phylogeny is fixed, that not every transmission tree ascends as a partition of the nodes of such a fixed phylogeny if there are more than 2 hosts, and that each one does ascend as a partition of the nodes of certain phylogeny. An infection in such partitioned phylogeny occurs in branches that connect nodes with separate hosts. The host’s infection branch, the disease’s index case, is the phylogenic root branch, which provides a finite duration, unlike most phylogenic strategies. The timing of the two-branched nodes regulates the infection time but does not define this partition accurately.

### 3.2 Sampling

Let’s consider that every case sampled and perceived once at random intervals after they have been infected, in line with Gamma distribution with mean $n_W$ and shape $b_W$. Sampling and transmission are independent; consequently, the transmission can occur when sampling and a case sampled before
its infector. The probability is the likelihood densities of every sampling times in the epidemic.

\[ Qr(W|J, b_W, n_W) = \prod_j d_{\Gamma(b_w, n_w)}(W_j - J_j) \]  

(4)

Figure 3 and Eq. 4 shows the sampling model, where \( b_W \) indicates the shape and \( n_W \) indicates the mean of the Gamma distribution.

### 3.3 Within host interaction

The within-host interaction’s primary function is to provide a stochastic coalescence practice. Every host \( j \) harbors its evolutionary tree \( Q_j \). The tip sampling and transmission measures, and the origin present in the infection interval, previously the initial coalescent node. Therefore, samples are pre-assumed to be clonal ancestries. The probability is the product of every probability per host.

\[ Qr(Q|W, J, N, r) = \prod_j Qr(Q_j|W_j, J, N, r) \]  

(5)

As shown in Eq. (5), where \( r \) is the variable denoting the within-host interaction. The probability per tree is reliant on every infectious time and infectors, due to these identify the transmission period with host \( j \) as infector.

Figure 4 shows the epidemic samples. The bottom panel depicts the respective evolutionary tree with branching times \( x_j \) and sampling time \( y_j \). The joint vector time is represented as \( t = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \). Accordingly, \( c \) and \( d \) show the mutation and coalescent nodes. There are several options to create trees from an evolutionary model for a certain number of species. The most commonly used simple sampling method (SSA), begins with one species and grows a tree up to \( n \). With the next speciation occurrence, the process is stopped. The pathogenic time trees monitor the origins and evolutionary history of populations, hosts and outbreaks of strains. These molecular phylogenies’ tips include sampling time, as the sequence is normally collected during the epidemic outbreaks and the propagation.

In the whole evolutionary tree \( Q \), \( 3 \) nodes types \( x \) are represented in Fig. 1: \( j = 1, \ldots, m \) that is the tree tips as a result of which sampling occurred; nodes \( x = 1, \ldots, m \) are the respective hosts sampling nodes, nodes \( x = 2m \ldots 3m - 1 \) denotes the transmission nodes, that is the points in the tree upon which point an ancestry leave one host to the another; node \( x = m + 1 \ldots 2m - 1 \) is the coalescent nodes. The host \( g_x \) has been identified wherein node \( x \) exists in; for node transmission, it classifies the main host. The tree \( Q_j \) is the within-host set of nodes \( j \), \( \tau_j \) is the node time \( x \) since a host contagion \( g_y \), and thus, \( \tau_j \) is the period of sampling. \( K_j(\tau) \) indicates the ancestries in host \( j \) at period \( r \) since infection,

\[ K_j(\tau) = 1 + \sum_{\tau \leq \tau_j} v(\tau - \tau_j) - \sum_{\tau \leq \tau_j} v(\tau - \tau_j) - v(\tau - \tau_j), \]  

(6)

As discussed in Eq. (6), where \( v(\tau) \) is the Heaviside step function that is \( v(\tau) = 0 \) if \( \tau < 0 \) and \( v(\tau) = 1 \) if \( \tau \geq 0 \). On the other hand, \( K_j(0) = 1 \) by description due to the whole bottleneck of the transmission, and then it improved by one at every coalescent node and reduced by one at every sampling and transmission event. The probability for every tree can be expressed as follows:

\[ Qr(Q_j|W_j, J, N, r) = \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{K_j(\tau)}{2}\right) \frac{1}{s(\tau, r)} d\tau\right) \prod_{x \in Q_j, l < c < 2m} \frac{1}{s(\tau_x, r)} \]  

(7)

Fig. 3  Sampling model
As derived in Eq. (7), where $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0$. The exponential term is the likelihood to have no coalescent occurrence. The times in which many ancestries and the following term are the coalescent product values at the coalescent nodes.

3.4 Mutation

The single fixed mutation value $\mu$ has been utilized for every site, with mutation resultant in some of the 4 nucleotides with equivalent likelihood. This parameterization denotes that the nucleotide operative rate modification is $0.7\mu$. Assumed the evolutionary tree, this outcome in the probability,

$$Q_H|Q, \mu = \prod_{i \in \{B,C,H,R\}} \sum_{x} \prod_{y} \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \exp(-\mu(t_x - t_y)) \right)^{J_{\text{mu}}(1-\text{M})} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \exp(-\mu(t_x - t_y)) \right)^{(1-J_{\text{mu}})(1-\text{M})}$$

As inferred from the Eq. (8), the overall transmission nodes $x$ and coalescent have been multiplied, which arise at the time $t_x$ and have a parent node $u_x$. $J_{\text{mu}}$ denotes if a mutation happened on the subdivision among $x$ and $u_x$ and M denotes if a subdivision finishes with a tip with non-informative nucleic.

Initialization of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo chain needs first values for the six model variables $(b_H, n_H, b_W, n_W, r, and \mu)$. Every Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics iteration cycle begins with an evolutionary tree and transmission update; subsequently, model variables are updated. The variables $n_W$ and $n_H$ are posterior distribution straightly sampled and specified the present transmission tree and infection times. This is accomplished by sampling the
proportion variable \(a_w\) and \(a_H\), which have provided conjugate preceding distributions. If \(R_w = \sum W_j - J_j\) is the sum of \(m\) sampling times in the tree, \(b_{0,w},a_{0,w}\) are the preceding distribution rate and shape for \(a_w\), then a novel posterior rate is expressed as follows:

\[
a_w \sim \Gamma(\text{shape} = b_{0,w} + b_w m, \text{rate} = a_{0,w} + R_w) \tag{9}
\]

As inferred from the Eq. (9), where \(n_w\) is evaluated as \(b_w a_w\). Posterior rates for \(n_H\) are drawn from the same distribution with \(R_H = \sum J_j - J_N\), the sum of \(m - 1\) generation times. The proposals \(\mu'\) and \(r'\) are produced from log-normal distributions \(\text{KM}(r, \rho_r)\) and \(\text{KM}(r, \rho_r)\), i.e., with present values as mean; the variable \(\mu\) and \(r\) are updated by sampling. The SD is evaluated based on the target distributions anticipated variance given the outbreak size of \(\rho_r\) and \(\rho_{\mu}\).

### 3.5 Updating the transmission tree and evolutionary trees

The transmission tree and evolutionary trees, represented by the undetected parameters \(Z = [J, Q, N]\), are a novel tree updated with density \(G(Z'|Z, W, \theta)\) and the likelihood by Eq. (10) \(\beta\).

\[
\beta = \min \left(1, \frac{Q_{\beta}(W, H|Z', \theta)}{Q_{\beta}(W, H|Z, \theta) \cdot G(Z') \cdot G(Z, W, \theta)} \right) \tag{10}
\]

As derived in Eq. (10), per Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) repetition cycle, \(m\) proposals are completed with every host considered as central host. Every proposal begins by compelling a central host \(j\), portrays a sampling time \(R \sim \Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha} b_w, n_w)\) from a shape variable \(\frac{2}{\alpha} b_w\) and mean \(n_w\) of Gamma distribution and evaluating an initial proposal for the infection interval \(J_j = W_j - R\).

Let’s take a modest systematically tractable function for the effective population size and product of pathogen generation period,

\[
S(t, g) = 1 + 1000 \left(1 - \left(\frac{2(t - t_{g})}{T_{g}}\right)^2\right)^2 \tag{11}
\]

As shown in Eq. (11), where \(T_{g}\) is the host infection and recovery time \(g\) and \((t - t_{g})\) is the interval since the infection \(t_{g}\) of \(g\).

At any time \(t\), the number of susceptible, infected, and removed individual is expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
W_t \\
R_t
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
M \\
0
\end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j < t} (1 - e_j) \begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
1
\end{pmatrix} + e_j \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{12}
\]

\[
Q(T|\delta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=2}^{2m} \left( \delta k_j W_j (1 - e_j) + ak_j e_j \right) \exp\left(-\delta W_j k_j + ak_j (t_j - t_{j-1})/k_j\right) \tag{13}
\]

As inferred from the Eqs. (12) and (13), where the interval \(T\) contains the states \((W,k, R)\) of inhibitor, removed individuals.

Let’s consider \(C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_n\}\) be a set of ID samples selected from the distribution \(Q(T|\theta)\) of the sampled evolutionary tree. The likelihood density of a tree is the probability of the tree from the new tree section. Due to all the trees are presumed to be ID, the probability of \(C\) is the product of the probabilities of the individual trees,

\[
\mathcal{L}(C;\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}(C_j;\theta) \tag{14}
\]

As derived from the Eq. (14), where \(C\) is the posterior distribution. MCBS method assessment of the joint probability of all the sampled phylogenies to determine a posterior distribution of the variables.

The likelihood density of the ID sampled evolutionary tree is used to validate the tree’s probability from the new tree section. Further, it has been presumed to be ID in which the individual trees’ probabilities are analyzed based on the MCBS method assessment to determine a posterior distribution of the variables. The comparative bias has been assessed to outline the proposed method effectiveness and epidemic variables using density-based clustering analysis,

\[
\xi(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\theta} \tag{15}
\]

The proposed Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis reduces medical risk factors that achieve high accuracy, prediction, performance, and normalized index when compared to other existing approaches. The MLTM has been used as a selective measure to evaluate the mutation that may influence the disease transmission pattern’s clinical development. To recreate transmission, trees with sequence data employ ETA with MCBS. The numerical outcomes have been obtained in terms of infectious disease propagation dynamics compared with other conventional methods; the proposed method increases the precision and prediction ratio. There are limitations to the sequences and assembly algorithms. Inadequate insertions or deletions of nucleotides are checked and ensured that they do not contain assembled genomes, typically through the use of ETA-MCBS platforms.

This research attempts to explain the molecular epidemiological epidemic of infectious disease transmission...
patterns. Infected patients can transmit infectious diseases over time, contributing to many environmental risk factors, producing more mutations. Therefore, the study and assessment of infectious disease trends in humans are essential to track and classify patterns, variations, symptoms, locations, and treatment responses. This research aims to minimize medical risk factors by providing ETA. Moreover, a selective pressure study has been conducted by the MLTM (Maximum Probability Tree Method) in order to detect a mutation in the clinical evolution of the pattern of infectious disease transmission.

4 Experimental results and discussion

This paper offers a detailed mathematical model in which phylogeny has been fixed based on hosts. Further, results are analyzed based on the branches linking nodes to various hosts, as an outbreak in such a partitioned phylogeny occurs. The branch of the host-virus, index case of the disease, is the phylogenetic root branch, which gives short length compared to many phylogenetic strategies that have been discussed based on the existing methods using the four published datasets on the epidemic of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Naimi et al. 2020), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) (Dlamini et al. 2020), and Food and mouth disease (FMD2001 and FMD2007) (Hägglund et al. 2020). The experiment reveals a 97.55% accuracy, prediction of 99.56%, and 98.55% performance, and the regular Index VAT relative to other current approaches obtained with the proposed ETA-MCBS process. Both sequence platforms and assembly algorithms include limitations; in which failures should be considered in the final performance. The analysis of assembled genomes uses ETA-MCBS based sequencing platforms that require insufficient nucleotide inserts or deletions to ensure they do not include.

Table 1 shows the outcome of the four published datasets; the MCBS chain’s mixing has a good prediction ratio. The MTB data have been examined with naïve prior data, which results in a mean sampling time. The MRSA data have been examined with Informative prior data. More transmission events can be assessed when the substitution rate is greater, and lower transmission events can be predictable when the substitution rate is minimum. In Table 1, $\mu$ and $r$ denote the updated variable by sampling. $n_H$ and $n_W$ are the mean variable of Gamma distribution $t_{inf}$ denotes the time inference of outbreak. In the epidemic scenario, transmission between chain, autocorrelation functions, which extract inner details of the stochastic dynamics and then offer insights to resolve them.

4.1 Prediction ratio

Based on Table 1, the prediction ratio has been evaluated. The suggested ETA-MCBS model achieves a high prediction ratio when compared to other existing approaches. The proposed ETA-MCBS method describe the spread law of infectious disease and factors that control of spread, and it can be expressed as follows:

| Table 1 | Four published dataset statistics |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| **Prior data** | **MRSA (Naimi et al. 2020)** | **MTB (Dlamini et al. 2020)** | **FMD2007 (Hägglund et al. 2020)** | **FMD2001 (Hägglund et al. 2020)** |
| **MCBS sampling** | Informative | Naïve | Naïve | Naïve |
| **Continuous parameter samples** | | | | |
| $\mu$ | 276 | 3623 | 401 | 1009 |
| $n_H$ | 150 | 560 | 1703 | 1645 |
| $n_W$ | 42 | 580 | 340 | 299 |
| $r$ | 603 | 453 | 878 | 1150 |
| $t_{inf}$ | 157; 1245 | 79; 2344 | 290; 3422 | 688; 3409 |
| Evolutionary tree | 1130 | 632 | 1009 | 3083 |
| **Infectors** | | | | |
| Between chains | 34/36 | 31/33 | 10/11 | 14/15 |
| Autocorrelation | 36/36 | 31/33 | 11/11 | 14/15 |
| **Variable inference** | | | | |
| $\log_{10}(\mu)$ | $-8.1 (-8.3; -8.0)$ | $-9.4 (-9.7; -9.1)$ | $-4.6 (-4.8; -4.4)$ | $-4.4 (-4.5; -4.3)$ |
| $n_H$ | 22 (16; 33) | 107 (49; 177) | 7.5 (4.8; 13) | 14 (10; 21) |
| $n_W$ | 31 (21; 44) | 419 (185; 619) | 9.9 (5.5; 18) | 14 (8; 22) |
| $r$ | 0.85 (0.21; 2.2) | 0.88 (0.23; 2.3) | 0.91 (0.27; 2.4) | 1.1 (0.40; 2.5) |
As derived in Eq. (16), where \( t \) number of days since the first case, \( P_t \) denotes the confirmed cases, \( a \) and \( b \) are fitting coefficients, \( t_0 \) is the time when the first case occurred, \( o \) denotes the predicted maximum confirmed cases. Reconstructing enormous epidemics at the comprehensive level of individual transmissions is feasible when extremely informative data are presented. These might take the form of thorough epidemiological information on who infected whom, informative genetic statistics that is a huge number of sampled series revealing great genetic variety, or both combinations. The model proposed it utilizes both data types to evaluate the transmission tree and the evolutionary tree concurrently. As shown in Fig. 5, the proposed ETA-MCBS method achieves a high prediction ratio of 99.56%.

Table 2 shows the performance of published simulated datasets in the population of size 50. Two mutation rates have been utilized a substitution model, either a fast or a slow clock. In Table 2, \( \mu \) and \( r \) denote the updated variable by sampling. \( n_H \) and \( n_W \) are the mean variable of Gamma distribution \( t_{inf} \) denotes the time inference of outbreak, In the epidemic scenario, transmission between chain, autocorrelation functions, which extract inner details of the stochastic dynamics and then offer insights to resolve them, infectious time and infection time bias have been calculated. To measure the robustness of the evaluated process, the simulation data with variables and evaluate the performance has been done using four datasets known as Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Naimi et al. 2020), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) (Dlamini et al. 2020), and Food and mouth disease (FMD2001 and FMD2007) (Hägglund et al. 2020).

### 4.2 Performance ratio

Based on Table 2, the performance ratio has been evaluated. The proposed method achieves high performance when compared to other existing frameworks for infectious disease analysis (FIDA), the Parametric bootstrap approach (PBA), the Metabolic theory of ecology (MTE), General human movement model (GHMM) approaches. The transmission tree can be reconstructed by assessing the likelihood allocated to the real transmission events. This paper evaluates the likelihood that \( i \) infected host \( j \) by the fraction of sampled trees in which \( i \) infected \( j \). The false positives value has been evaluated for every infected host, whether the infector allocated the greatest likelihood is the real infector. The latter is accomplished by counting the proportion of infections affected by people among transmission events calculated at likelihood 0.9. The proposed ETA-MCBS model achieves a high-performance ratio of 98.55%. Figure 6 demonstrates the performance ratio evaluation using the proposed ETA-MCBS model. The MLTM has been used to measure selective pressure to assess the mutation that could influence the clinical improvement in infectious disease transmission. An

| Table 2 | Simulated MRSA, MTB, FMD2007, FMD2001 Datasets Performance |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | MRSA | MTB | FMD2007 | FMD2001 |
| Prior data | Informative | Naïve | Naïve | Informative |
| MCBS sampling | | | | |
| Continuous parameter samples | | | | |
| \( M \) | 90; 916 | 62; 570 | 401; 951 | 168; 578 |
| \( n_H \) | 2999; 12,748 | 798; 1652 | 1948; 4531 | 259; 936 |
| \( n_W \) | 170; 461 | 199; 1737 | 43; 87 | 42; 145 |
| \( r \) | 184; 613 | 43; 143 | 220; 369 | 189; 357 |
| \( t_{inf} \) | 295; 2796 | 216; 2264 | 222; 559 | 166; 1532 |
| Evolutionary tree topology | 83; 796 | 104; 972 | 1501; 4331 | 580; 1764 |
| Infectors | | | | |
| Between chains | 93.2% | 94.3% | 91.4% | 95.4% |
| Autocorrelation | 91.2% | 90.8% | 92.6% | 93.8% |
| Variable inference | | | | |
| \( log_{10}(\mu) \) | -3.20; -3.16 | -3.26; -3.15 | -4.95; -4.78 | -4.85; -4.67 |
| \( n_H \) | 4.7; 6.1 | 4.2; 6.1 | 3.7; 5.7 | 2.1; 5.0 |
| \( n_W \) | 11.4; 12.6 | 9.7; 13.9 | 11.2; 12.6 | 6.5; 10.2 |
| \( r \) | 0.30; 1.2 | 0.82; 2.1 | 0.36; 0.77 | 0.75; 1.3 |
| Tree inference | | | | |
| Infection times | 94.2% | 94.6% | 97.8% | 76.1% |
| Infection time bias | 0.01 days | 0.25 days | 0.10 days | 3.97 days |
increase in evolutionary transmission inference has been established, and its efficiency is resilient to the transmission and phylogenetic variables variations.

### 4.3 Accuracy ratio

Accurate evaluations of transmission variables can help determine risk factors for transmission and support the design and assessment of public health mediations for emerging infections. The accuracy in infectious disease prediction using the proposed ETA-MCBS method is expressed as follows (Alsiddiky et al. 2020), (Fouad et al. 2020):

\[
Acc = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}
\]

As inferred from the Eq. (17), where \(TP\) is the true positive value, \(FP\) is the false positive value, \(TN\) true negative value, and \(FN\) the false negative value. The mathematical approach for time-to-event information approximates transmission parameters based on averages and sums over the probable transmission trees. The phylogenies reconstructed precisely reproduced the true phylogeny topology, and the accuracy improved when sequences from various infectious genome regions have been collective. Accuracy of assessing transmission trees utilizing pathogens genetic sequences, for various simulation settings, the proposed ETA-MCBS method is suitable. The proposed ETA-MCBS achieves a high accuracy ratio of 97.55% when compared to other existing approaches. Figure 7 demonstrates the accuracy ratio analysis using the ETA-MCBS proposed method.

### 4.4 Normalized Index

The normalized index can be achieved using two random generation models: the Erdos–Renyi graph (Azizi et al. 2020) and Watts-Strogatz graphs (Bellerose et al. 2019) models. This paper considers the Erdos–Renyi graph due to the boundary of huge neighbors, and this model is anticipated to join the random mixing model. Besides, to neglect contributions to an imbalance resultant from a non-zero death proportion result \(T = 1\) has been assumed. The proposed ETA-MCBS method achieves 95.6% normalized index. Figure 8a shows the Erdos–Renyi graph using the proposed ETA-MCBS. In the Watts–Strogatz graphs, the mean path length with rewiring likelihood \(Q = 1\), basically produces a similar network type as the Erdos–Renyi graph model. Thus, the imbalance of the transmission trees resultant from outbreak spreading on such networks might join with rising rewiring likelihood to a similar rate as for Erdos–Renyi graphs. Figure 8b demonstrates the watts-Strogatz graphs using the proposed ETA-MCBS method.

The Erdos–Renyi model is closely related to graph theory’s mathematical field for random graphs or random network growth. Erdos–Renyi is similarly likely to display all graphs on a fixed vertex set with a fixed number of borders; each edge is fixed at Gilbert’s entry to the model, unaware of the other edges. The Watts–Strogatz model is a random graph-generating model that creates graphs with small-world properties, including short average path lengths and high clustering. It is also used to prove charts that fulfill various properties or rigorously define what it means for a property that can hold almost all graphs.
The proposed Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors which achieves high accuracy, prediction, performance, and normalized index when compared to another exiting framework for infectious disease analysis (FIDA), Parametric bootstrap approach (PBA), Metabolic theory of ecology (MTE), General human movement model (GHMM) methods as shown in table.3.

5 Conclusion

This paper presents the Evolutionary tree analysis (ETA) with Markov Chain Bayesian Statistics (MCBS) framework for the molecular evolutionary genetic analysis to reduce medical risk factors. The maximum likelihood tree method (MLTM) has been used to examine selective pressure, which is analyzed to determine the mutation that may impact the infectious disease transmission pattern’s clinical progress. A significant improvement has been identified in evolutionary transmission inference, and that its performance is robust to differences in transmission and phylogenetic variables. The proposed approach can accurately evaluate the mutational and epidemiological variables and can infer individual transmission events. The experimental shows that the proposed ETA-MCBS method achieves a 97.55\% accuracy, prediction of 99.56\%, and 98.55\% performance compared to other existing methods. There are limitations in both sequence platforms and assembly algorithms; errors should be considered in the final results. Including inappropriate nucleotide insertions or deletions is often to review assembled genomes and ensure they do not contain, which is usually the case by using ETA-MCBS based sequencing platforms. Future works concentrate on accounting for various co-factors such as the patient’s genetics and their attributes (for example, weight, diet) and environmental effects to identify how they interact to impact infection consequences. In the future, mathematical learning models are planned to include optimizing the co-factors based on the impact of infectious disease.
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