Rise and fall of the dust shell of the classical nova V339 Delphini
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ABSTRACT
We present infrared spectroscopy of the classical nova V339 Del, obtained over an ∼2-yr period. The infrared emission lines were initially symmetrical, with half width half-maximum velocities of 525 km s−1. In later (t ≳ 77 d, where t is the time from outburst) spectra, however, the lines displayed a distinct asymmetry, with a much stronger blue wing, possibly due to obscuration of the receding component by dust. Dust formation commenced at approximately day 34.75 at a condensation temperature of 1480 ± 20 K, consistent with graphitic carbon. Thereafter, the dust temperature declined with time as Td ∝ t−0.346, also consistent with graphitic carbon. The mass of dust initially rose, as a result of an increase in grain size and/or number, peaked at approximately day 100, and then declined precipitously. This decline was most likely caused by grain shattering due to electrostatic stress after the dust was exposed to X-radiation. The appendix summarizes Planck means for carbon and the determination of grain mass and radius for a carbon dust shell.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Classical nova (CN) eruptions are produced by a thermonuclear runaway on the surface of a white dwarf (WD) that has been accreting material from a companion star in a semidetached binary system (see Bode & Evans 2008; Saikia & Anupama 2012; Woudt & Riberio 2014; Starrfield, Iliadis & Hix 2016, for recent comprehensive reviews).
CNe and often produce copious amounts of dust that is mainly carbonaceous. Consequently, by ejecting gas and dust into the interstellar medium, CNe partake in the chemical enrichment of the Galaxy and indeed, there is evidence that nova debris was present when the solar nebula formed (Pepin et al. 2011; Haenecour et al. 2016).

Here, we present ground-based infrared (IR) observations of the CN V339 Del (Nova Delphini 2013); observations of this CN from the Stratospheric Observatory For Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA; Young et al. 2012), together with near-contemporaneous photometry and spectroscopy obtained at the Mt Abu Infrared Observatory, India, and photometry from the O’Brien Observatory in Marine on St Croix, Minnesota, USA, have been described by Gehrz et al. (2015). IR observations of CNe are reviewed by Gehrz (2008), Banerjee & Ashok (2012), Evans & Gehrz (2012) and Gehrz, Evans & Woodward (2014). We also present an optical spectrum obtained at the Multiple Mirror Telescope (MMT), located on Mt Hopkins, Arizona.

2 V339 Delphini

V339 Del (PNV J20233073+2046041) was discovered – as a naked eye nova – by Koichi Itagaki on 2013 August 14.584 UT (JD 245 6519.084; Nakano et al. 2013) and spectroscopically confirmed by Darnley et al. (2013). Pre-outburst optical photometry showed that it was varying by a few tenths of a magnitude, around ∼17.5 mag, up to about 10 d before eruption (Deacon et al. 2014). Extensive broad-band optical photometry following the eruption was given by Munari et al. (2013b). The visual light curve is given in Fig. 1(a). Munari et al. (2013a) determined the interstellar reddening to V339 Del to be E(B − V) = 0.18, which we adopt here.

Schaefer et al. (2014) obtained near-IR interferometry of V339 Del, measuring its angular size within a day of the eruption. They observed the expansion of the remnant and, in conjunction with an assumed ejection velocity of 613 ± 79 km s⁻¹, deduced a distance of 4.54 ± 0.59 kpc for the nova. They further detected an ellipticity in the light distribution, suggesting a prolate or bipolar structure that may have developed as early as day 2. On the basis of photometry of the expanding fireball following outburst, Gehrz et al. (2015) determined that the distance of V339 Del is 4.5 ± 0.8 kpc, and that the eruption occurred on 2013 August 13.9 UT (JD 245 6518.4); in this paper, we adopt this as t = 0 and D = 4.5 kpc.

Extensive optical spectroscopy during the early phase was described by Skopal et al. (2014). They determined that the effective temperature of the stellar remnant was in the range of 6000–12000 K, and that the stellar remnant was super-Eddington. They determined the ejected mass to be a few × 10⁻⁵ M☉. Skopal et al. also reported the emergence of Raman-scattered O VI λ = 1032, 1038 Å at around 6825 Å (but see Shore et al. 2014, for a more plausible counter-interpretation in terms of emission by C). Shore et al. (2016) have undertaken a multiwavelength study of V339 Del, including X-ray data obtained with Swift, UV with the Hubble Space Telescope and ground-based optical observations. The approximate duration of the X-ray phase, such that the X-ray count rate is at least 10 per cent of the maximum count rate (see fig. 1 of Shore et al.), is indicated in Fig. 1.

Tarasova & Skopal (2014) presented optical spectra of V339 Del at resolution ∼1000. On the basis of Hz line profiles, they concluded that the ejected material has a disc-polar structure, with the orbital plane of the binary inclined at ∼65°. They also estimated some elemental abundances and found that helium, neon and iron are close to solar, while nitrogen and oxygen are overabundant relative to solar by factors of 120 ± 60 and 8 ± 1.6, respectively. The mass of the ejecta, over the period of 253–382 d after visual maximum, was estimated to be ∼7 × 10⁻⁵ M☉.

V339 Del was observed with Swift (Kuulkers et al. 2013; Page et al. 2013a) shortly after outburst; no X-ray source was detected at the position of the nova which, however, was detected in all three ultraviolet (UV) filters of the Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT) instrument. The nova was weakly detected with Swift 30 d after the eruption (Page & Beardmore 2013); the emission at this time was consistent with shocked gas in the expanding shell, with no evidence for the supersoft source (SSS) X-ray emission commonly seen in CNe (see e.g. Krautter 2008). SSS emission was later detected 55 d after the eruption (Page et al. 2013b). Quasi-Periodic Oscillations in the X-ray emission were reported by Beardmore, Osborne & Page (2013) and Ness et al. (2013). A summary of the X-ray evolution is given by Shore et al. (2016).
V339 Del is one of an increasing number of CNe that have been detected as γ-ray sources (Hays, Cheung & Ciprini 2013; Ackermann et al. 2014; Ahnen et al. 2015); the peak in the γ-ray flux in V339 Del occurred about 6 d after optical maximum. In general, the γ-ray emission is thought to originate from the interaction between the nova ejecta with a pre-existing red-giant wind (e.g. Tatischeff & Hernanz 2007; Martin & Dubus 2013). However, V339 Del is one of only a handful of novae detected at γ-ray energies in which the donor is a main-sequence star, and therefore a different interpretation for the origin of the γ-ray emission is required (Ackermann et al. 2014). The first γ-ray detected nova with a main-sequence star, V595 Monocerotis, provided a vital clue in the bipolar morphology of the ejecta (Ribeiro, Munari & Valisa 2013; Shore et al. 2013; Linford et al. 2015). The bipolar morphology was interpreted as originating from the interaction of the ejecta with the motion of the binary system, allowing gas to be expelled freely in the polar directions, while within the equatorial plane material flowed more slowly; this set up a system of shocks, observed as synchrotron emission at radio frequencies, at the interface between the equatorial and polar regions where the γ-ray production was proposed to occur (Chomiuk et al. 2014).

IR photometric observations of V339 Del were reported by Cass et al. (2013a,b), Shenarvin, Taranova & Tatarnikov (2013), Taranova et al. (2014) and Gehrz et al. (2015). Taranova et al. (2014) detected an IR excess due to dust formation approximately 1 month after maximum. They estimated a dust temperature and mass of ~1500 K and ~1.6 x 10^4 g (~8 x 10^{-10} M_☉), respectively, on 2013 September 21 (day 38.9) and ~1200 K and ~10^5 g (~5 x 10^{-6} M_☉) on 2013 October 11 (day 58.8). The presence of dust is confirmed by the IR photometry by Cass et al. (2013a) and Gehrz et al. (2015). Gehrz et al. estimated the amount of dust to be ~1.3 x 10^{-5} M_☉ 78.66 d after outburst and ~1.2 [± 0.4] x 10^{-7} M_☉ 102.6 d after outburst. Skopal et al. (2014) determined that the dust was located beyond the neutral hydrogen zone, where it was shielded from the hard radiation field of the stellar remnant (see e.g. Evans & Rawlings 1994; Williams et al. 2013).

IR spectroscopy of V339 Del was reported by Stringfellow, Hebb & Fumagalli (2013) and Banerjee et al. (2013a,b). These authors reported the presence of H1 recombination lines, together with He I, O I and C I emission lines, the spectral evolution being typical of the taxonomic ‘Fe II’ class of CNe (see Williams 1992, for a definition of the various CN spectral classes). The erosion most likely occurred on the surface of a CO WD; such ‘CO novae’ are often copious producers of dust. However, first overtone emission by CO, a common precursor to dust formation in CNe (e.g. Evans et al. 1996; Rudy et al. 2003; Das, Banerjee & Ashok 2009; Raj et al. 2012; Banerjee et al. 2016), was not detected in any of these observations. It would have been detected had it been present to the extent seen in other novae; its weakness in V339 Del may be connected with this nova’s inability to form a copious amount of dust.

Gehrz et al. (2015) have described IR observations of V339 Del using SOFIA and, using their fireball-derived distance, deduced an outburst luminosity of ~8.3 x 10^3 L_☉: V339 Del seems to have been the most luminous CO nova on record. They determined the mass of ejected gas to be ~7.5 x 10^{-3} M_☉, and that the gas-to-dust ratio in V339 Del was in the range of ~470-940. This implies that dust formation in V339 Del was much less efficient than is the case for other CO novae. The inefficient dust formation in V339 Del may be connected to the weak or absent CO first overtone emission.

3 OBSERVATIONS

IR spectroscopy of V339 Del was obtained at Mt Abu and at the 3-m NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF; Becklin & Capps 1981); a summary of the observations obtained is given in Table 1, in which t is the time from the nova explosion reckoned from the t = 0 date given in Section 2.

3.1 Mount Abu

IR photometry in the JHK bands and 1–2.5μm spectroscopy of V339 Del were obtained with the 1.2-m telescope of the Mt Abu Infrared Observatory (see e.g. Banerjee & Ashok 2012) over the period from 2013 August 18 to 2013 November 11. IR photometry of V339 Del obtained at Mt Abu is included in table 4 of Gehrz et al. (2015), which also gives full details of the observing and data reduction procedures; the photometric data and these details are not repeated here. The spectra are shown in Fig. 2.

3.2 IRTF

Two spectra, covering the 0.78–2.5μm region, were obtained on 2015 June 28.409 UT and 2015 December 1.20 UT using the 3 m NASA IRTF. The integration times for these spectra were 1497 and 2395 s, respectively. The spectra were obtained using SPEX (Rayner et al. 2003) in the cross-dispersed mode using the 0.5 arcsec x 15 arcsec slit at a resolution of R = 2000. Data reduction and calibration was done using the SPEXTOOL software (Cushing, Vacca & Rayner 2004) with corrections for telluric absorption being performed using the idl tool xtellcor (Vacca, Cushing & Rayner 2003). The IRTF spectra are shown in Fig. 3.

The IRTF spectrum obtained on day 683.06 is also shown with line identifications in Fig. 3. The spectrum shows a number of prominent emission lines, primarily hydrogen and He II recombination lines and He I. The emission lines show a castellated structure that is present in both hydrogen and helium lines.

| Facility | Date UT (YYYY-MM-DD) | 2013/15 ut day | JD – 2450000 | t (d) | Bands covered |
|----------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|------|---------------|
| Mt Abu   | 2013-08-18           | Aug 18.63     | 6523.13      | 4.73 | JH            |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-08-28           | Aug 28.66     | 6533.16      | 14.76| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-08-29           | Aug 29.69     | 6534.19      | 15.79| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-02           | Sep 02.68     | 6538.18      | 19.78| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-03           | Sep 03.63     | 6539.13      | 20.73| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-05           | Sep 05.63     | 6541.13      | 22.73| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-07           | Sep 07.62     | 6543.12      | 24.72| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-08           | Sep 08.65     | 6544.15      | 25.75| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-09           | Sep 09.60     | 6545.10      | 26.70| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-10           | Sep 10.66     | 6546.16      | 27.76| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-14           | Sep 14.72     | 6550.22      | 31.82| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-16           | Sep 16.65     | 6552.15      | 33.75| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-19           | Sep 19.72     | 6555.22      | 36.82| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-09-20           | Sep 20.59     | 6556.09      | 37.69| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-10-30           | Oct 30.69     | 6596.19      | 77.79| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-10-31           | Oct 31.61     | 6597.11      | 78.71| JHK           |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-11-23           | Nov 23.62     | 6620.12      | 101.72| JHK         |
| Mt Abu   | 2013-11-24           | Nov 24.56     | 6621.06      | 102.66| JHK         |
| IRTF     | 2015-06-28           | Jun 28.41     | 7201.91      | 683.06| JHK         |
| IRTF     | 2015-12-01           | Dec 1.21      | 7357.70      | 839.30| JHK         |
Figure 2. Evolution of the JHK spectrum as seen from Mt Abu. Blue curves: J-band data; red curves: H-band data; black curves: K-band data. Dashed lines delineate He I 1.0833 μm, Paβ 1.2821 μm, Brγ 2.1662 μm. The transition from gas emission, with the flux declining to longer wavelengths (t < 40 d), to gas + dust emission, with flux rising to longer wavelengths (t > 77 d), is evident. The dashed line at t = 15.79 d indicates an approximate baseline for the 15.79 d data to give an indication of the flux scale.

Figure 3. Left: IR spectra of V339 Del obtained at the IRTF; black spectrum obtained on 2015 Jun 28.46 (day 683.06), red spectrum on 2015 Dec 1.21 (day 839.30). Right: spectrum obtained on the IRTF on 2015 June 28.46, with prominent emission lines identified. The dotted curves are free–free and free–bound emission by a gas having electron temperature 4 × 10^4 K (magenta), 2 × 10^3 K (blue), 10^3 K (green) and 8 × 10^3 K (red). The solid black curve is the best fit for free–free emission with electron temperature 1.5 × 10^4 K. Note the weak dust excess at wavelengths ≥ 2 μm. See text for details.

In both the Mt Abu and IRTF data, the emission lines sit atop a nebular continuum. We determine the nebular continuum using an assumed flux for the Balmer Hβ line, and an electron temperature and density. We have calculated several nebular continua using NEBCONT, which is part of the STARBIND (Currie et al. 2014) DIPSO spectral analysis package (Howarth et al. 2004). NEBCONT includes free–bound continua from H, He, CNO and Ne, as well as the 2s–1s 2-photon continuum from H and He II. DIPSO requires electron temperature and density and the Hβ flux (amongst other parameters) as input.

For n_e, we extrapolate the value in Gehrz et al. (2015) for day 3.25, namely n_e = 10^{13} cm^{-3}; Gehrz et al. argue that the electron density declines with time as n_e ∝ t^{-2}, giving n_e = 2.26 × 10^8 cm^{-3} at the time of the 2015 June IRTF observation (t = 683.06 d). This is substantially higher than the value (∼4 × 10^7 cm^{-3}) deduced by Shore et al. (2016) as early as day 435. There are two reasons for this: first, we note that Shore et al. used the [O III]5007+4959/[O III]4363 flux ratio to determine n_e; the 5007, 4959 Å lines have a critical density for collisional de-excitation of 6.8 × 10^5 cm^{-3} at T_e = 10^4 K (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). Since the H recombination line fluxes are ∝ n_e^2, the H I emission must come primarily from high-density regions, with minimal contribution from low-density regions in which [O III] emission originates. Indeed, the [O III]5007+4959/[O III]4363 flux ratio in Fig. 4, obtained at about the same time as the 2015 June IRTF spectrum, is ∼ 67.3, giving n_e ∼ 4.8 × 10^7 cm^{-3}, consistent with Shore et al. (2016). And secondly, we have assumed n_e ∝ t^{-2} as opposed to the t^{-3} dependence assumed by Shore et al.

We explore T_e values in the range of 0.8–4 × 10^5 K. To estimate the Hβ flux, a near-contemporaneous optical spectrum of V339 Del, taken by D. Boyd on 2015 July 5, was obtained from the Astronomical Ring for Access to Spectroscopy data base.\(^1\) This was flux calibrated by anchoring the V-band centre using V = 13.3 ± 0.2 mag. Using IRAF,\(^2\) the measured Hβ flux was found to be

\(^1\) http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/Aras_DataBase/Novae
\(^2\) IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
An optical spectrum of V339 Del was obtained on 2015 June 17.40 UT (day 672.50) at the 6.5-m MMT with the Blue Channel Spectrograph (Schmidt, Weymann & Foltz 1989). A 1 arcsec × 180 arcsec long-slit was used with a 500 line per mm grating and a thinned STA 2688 × 512 pixel detector covering all or part of the 3800–7100 Å region at a nominal resolution of 3.6 Å. A UV-36 long-pass filter was used to block second-order light from contaminating the red portion of our spectra. Spectra of an HeArNe lamp provided wavelength calibration, while the spectra of a quartz–halogen lamp provided flat-field correction images. 20 individual 10-s exposure spectra were extracted and co-added to produce the final spectrum, which is shown in Fig. 4. The spectrophotometric standard star Kopf 27 was obtained to provide flux calibration.

The data were reduced using standard IRAF packages, and standard spectral extraction and calibration techniques were used.

4 THE EMISSION LINES AND NEBULAR CONTINUUM

4.1 Emission lines and line fluxes

The spectra of V339 Del in the 1–2.5-μm region, taken from Mt Abu, are shown in a compact fashion in Fig. 2. Among the emission lines seen during the early phase are prominent lines of hydrogen from the Paschen and Brackett series (viz. Pa 5–3, 6–3, Br 7–4 and Br 10–4 through Br 17–4). Helium lines are weak during this stage but increase in strength later; the main lines seen are He I 1.0833 μm and 2.0581 μm. N I lines are few, the strongest N I feature being the 1.2461/1.2469 μm line. In addition, there is a cluster of weaker N II features, blended with many C I lines, lying between 1.2 and 1.275 μm. The most prominent O I lines are the Lyβ fluoresced 1.128-μm line, which is one of the strongest lines in the spectrum, and the relatively weaker, continuum excited O I 1.3164-μm line. A large number of prominent carbon lines are seen, which include C I lines at 1.165, 1.175, 1.188, 1.689 μm, as well as the forest of strong C I lines between 1.74 and 1.8 μm at the H-band edge. As discussed by Banerjee & Ashok (2012), these C I lines are the easiest way to demarcate the Fe II from the He/N class of novae in the near-IR. In brief, the near-IR spectra of V339 Del are typical of the IR properties of the Fe II class of novae. Several examples of the near-IR spectra of novae of this class, such as V1280 Sco, V2615 Oph, V476 Sct, etc. are given in Banerjee & Ashok and references therein. A detailed identification of the lines seen in the spectra of V339 Del, along with line fluxes – uncorrected for extinction – is listed in Tables A1 and A2 in Appendix A.

4.2 Expansion velocities

On day 4.73, there is a clear P Cygni profile in the Paβ line (see Fig. 5a), with a suggested terminal velocity of ~1500 km s⁻¹; a P Cygni profile with similar terminal velocity is also present in the C I 1P₂ → 1P₁ line at 2.1271 μm. These were no longer present by day 14.76. In the optical, Skopal et al. (2014) found that the Hα line displayed a P Cygni profile, with terminal velocity ~1600 km s⁻¹ at t ~ 0.95 d, decreasing to ~730 km s⁻¹ at t ~ 6 d. Despite the lower resolution of our IR data at this time, the IR terminal velocity seems consistent with that in the optical.

The half width half-maximum (HWHM) of the emission lines can be used to estimate the expansion velocity V of the ejecta. While the full width at zero intensity captures high-velocity wings (see Figs 5c, d, f below), the HWHM better characterizes the bulk of the ejecta. In Fig. 5(b), we show the dependence of the HWHM velocities (corrected for instrumental resolution) on time t since outburst. There may be a decline in V over the period t = 14–37 d (see Fig. 5b) but this is marginal at best. The weighted mean of the velocities in Fig. 5(b) is 583 km s⁻¹ and we assume this value in what follows.

From t ~ 77 d, however, there seems to have been a significant change in the profile of the Paβ line (Figs 5c and d). Prior to day 37 Paβ is symmetrical, with HWHM ~ 530 km s⁻¹ but by day 77, there is a distinct asymmetry. A simple Gaussian fit to the Paβ line for day 77.79 shows evidence for two distinct features, with
Figure 5. (a) P Cygni profile in Paβ on day $t = 4.73$ d. (b) Expansion velocity as deduced from IR H$\text{I}$ recombination lines over the period 14–37 d. (c) Spectrum around 1.282 $\mu$m Paβ line over the period 14–37 d. (d) Spectrum around 1.282 $\mu$m Paβ line over the period 77–102 d. The black horizontal lines in (c) and (d) delineate $\pm 500$ km s$^{-1}$. (e) Profile of the Paβ line on day 77.79; vertical lines are at the central wavelength of the two components, at 1.2795 and 1.2839 $\mu$m; the corresponding Gaussian profiles (red and blue) and the overall profile (black) are also shown. (f) Line profiles in velocity space on day 683.06 for H$\text{I}$ Brγ (4–7; black), H$\text{I}$ Pβ (3–5; red), He$\text{I}$ $^3$S$^-$ $^3$Po (1.083 $\mu$m; dark blue) and He$\text{I}$ $^1$S$^-$ $^1$Po (2.059 $\mu$m; light blue).

HWHM velocities of 418 km s$^{-1}$ (blue component) and 437 km s$^{-1}$ (red component), deconvolved for the instrumental resolution. The line centroids are at velocities of $-622$ km s$^{-1}$ and $+407$ km s$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 5e). This change in profile coincides with the epoch of maximum dust mass (see Section 5). The data presented here suggest that the torus was obscuring the receding lobe as early as day 77. By day 683.06, however, the blue wing seems to have recovered (see Fig. 5f), possibly due to dispersal of the dust or, more likely, due to the destruction of a large fraction of the dust shell (see Section 5.4 below).

4.3 Case B analysis
A recombination case B analysis was carried out for four epochs (Fig. 6) between 15.8 and 36.8 d after outburst before dust formation set in. The analysis was along similar lines as for Nova Cep 2014 (Srivastava et al. 2015). Fig. 6 shows the Brackett line strengths with respect to Br13 set to unity. The line fluxes do not match predicted case B values and the Brγ line strength is significantly lower than the values predicted by Storey & Hummer (1995). This indicates that Brγ is optically thick and so possibly are the other...
Br lines. Similar optical depth effects in the Br lines, due to high plasma densities during the early stages after outburst, are common and have been seen in several other novae, e.g. Nova Oph 1998 (Lynch et al. 2000), V2491 Cyg, V597 Pup, RS Oph, T Pyx and Nova Cep 2014 (see Naik, Banerjee & Ashok 2009; Joshi, Banerjee & Ashok 2014; Srivastava et al. 2015, and references therein).

Following the formalism of Hummer & Storey (1987) and Storey & Hummer (1995), the optical depth at the Br line centre is given by

$$\tau_{n,n'} = n_e n_i \Omega(n, n') R,$$

where $n_e$ and $n_i$ are the electron and ion densities, respectively, which are assumed to be equal, and $\Omega(n, n')$ is the opacity corresponding to the transition from upper level $n$ to lower level $n'$, values of which are listed in Storey & Hummer (1995). The path length $R$ is taken as the kinematical distance $R = Vt$ travelled by the ejecta, where $V = 583 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is the velocity of ejecta and $t$ is the time after outburst. We consider values of $t$ between 15.8 d and 36.8 d (from Fig. 6) and set the constraint that $\tau(\text{Br}) = n_e n_i \Omega(n, n') R$ be greater than 1. The lower limit on the electron density $n_e$ is then found to be in the range from $0.41 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $0.98 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ on day 15.8. By day 36.8, the density decreases in the range from $0.27 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $0.64 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. These derived lower limits should be smaller than the actual $n_e$ values because $\tau(\text{Br})$ can be considerably $>1$. An additional caveat in this analysis is the intrinsic assumption of spherical geometry for the ejecta (Slavin, O’Brien & Dunlop 1995), since a bipolar morphology appears more appropriate for V339 Del (see also Schaefer et al. 2014; Shore et al. 2016).

A rough estimate of the ejected mass may be obtained using

$$M_{ej} = \phi V n_e m_p,$$

where $V(= 4/3\pi R^3)$ is the volume, $\phi$ is the volume filling factor (assumed $=0.1$ from Shore et al. 2016) and $m_p$ is the proton mass. We use the lower limits on $n_e$ estimated above and allow $R$ to vary between the distance traversed from 15.8 d to 36.8 d. The lower limit on the mass $M_{ej}$ is estimated to lie between $0.05 \times 10^{-5}$ and $0.17 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}_\odot$. These estimates are roughly a factor of 10–20 times lower than the mass estimates given by Gehrz et al. (2015) and Shore et al. (2016), which lie in the range $(1–3) \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}_\odot$, but as noted above, our values are lower limits.

4.4 Optical spectra

By day 672, V339 Del had evolved into the nebular phase of its evolution. Our optical spectroscopy (Fig. 4) shows that at this epoch emission was dominated by recombination lines from the hydrogen Balmer series, helium ions and low ionization, forbidden line emission from metals such as C, N, O, Si and Fe. The strongest emission lines in the spectra arise from $[\text{O} \, \text{iii}]4958/5006 \text{ Å}$ (rest wavelengths in air) for-
5 EVOLUTION OF THE DUST

The evolution of the IR spectral energy distribution is shown in Fig. 9. On some of the epochs, there is a clear IR excess at the longer wavelengths, which we attribute to the dust reported by Shenavrin et al. (2013) and Gehrz et al. (2015); it is also evident that there is a contribution from free–free and free–bound emission, although this becomes less important in the K band. There is no evidence for the presence of dust on or before 2013 September 16 (day 33.75; see Fig. 9).

We attempted to fit a function of the form

\[ f_\lambda = \frac{C}{\lambda^{5+\beta}} \exp\left[\frac{hc}{\lambda k T_d}\right] - 1 \]

to the excess. Here, C is a scaling factor, \( \beta \) is the ‘\( \beta \)-index’ for the dust, defined in the usual way such that the dust emissivity is \( \propto \lambda^{-\beta} \) and \( T_d \) is the dust temperature. However, a non-zero value of \( \beta \) persisted for a very poor fit for all data sets; best fits were obtained by forcing \( \beta \) = 0, i.e. pure blackbody (BB) emission by the dust shell, although this does not of course necessarily imply BB dust grains. The deduced BB temperatures are typically in the range ~800–1600 K. At these temperatures, \( f_\lambda \) peaks around 1.8–2.8 \( \mu \)m and the K-band data alone are sufficient to pin down the temperature; this is particularly helpful as the free–free emission is (apart from the IRTF spectrum obtained on day 683.06) less prominent at these wavelengths. For example, fitting the BB function to both the \( H \)- and K-band spectroscopic data for day 102.66 gives a dust temperature of 1087±2 K, compared with 1017±4 K for the K-band data alone (see Table 2); the formal temperature errors are small compared with \( T \) as a consequence of the large number of data points in the fit. In view of the uncertainties in the flux calibration and in fitting the

derived from the optical spectra on day 672.50 – uncorrected for extinction – is summarized in Table A3 in Appendix A.

Detailed use of the optical and IR line fluxes observed over multiple epochs as input to photoionization abundance synthesis models is discussed in a forthcoming manuscript.

5.1 The nature of the dust

To determine the nature of the dust, including dust mass and grain radius, we use the information in Appendix B; this includes a revised Planck mean absorption efficiency for carbon dust, determined from the data in Blanco, Falcicchia & Merico (1983). The relevant information, including formulae, is given in Appendix B.

5.1.1 Grain radius

Black body grains. For BB grains, the dust mass is given by (see equation B4)

\[ \frac{M_d}{M_\odot} \simeq 2.19 \times 10^{15} \left( \frac{\lambda f_\lambda}{\text{W m}^{-2}} \right) \frac{1}{T_d^5}, \]

where we have assumed 1–\( \mu \)m carbon grains (\( \rho = 2.25 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \)) and distance \( D = 4.5 \text{ kpc} \). The dust masses are included in Table 2 in the column headed BB; the uncertainties have been propagated from the uncertainties in \( \{\lambda f_\lambda\}_{\text{max}} \) and \( T_d \). The dependence of dust mass on time is shown in Fig. 10(c).

Optically thin amorphous carbon. For amorphous carbon (AC) grains (see equation B2)

\[ \frac{M_{\text{dust}}}{M_\odot} \simeq 3.76 \times 10^{17} \left( \frac{\lambda f_\lambda}{\text{W m}^{-2}} \right) \frac{1}{T_d^{7.5}}, \]

where we have again taken \( D = 4.5 \text{ kpc} \) and grain density 2.25 g cm\(^{-3}\) for carbon. The dust masses are again included in Table 2 (column AC) and shown in Fig. 10(d).
Figure 9. Evolution of the IR spectral energy distribution from day 31.82 to day 683.06. Data, shown as black lines, dereddened by $E(B − V) = 0.18$. Blue lines, blackbody function fitted to the $K$-band data; red lines free–free and free–bound emission. The 2013 November 24 (day 102.14) plot shows the O’Brien Observatory photometry reported in Gehrz et al. (2015), dereddened by $E(B − V) = 0.18$. For the 2015 June 28 plot, the additional red curve is the contribution from free–free and free–bound emission. See text for details.
Table 2. Dust evolution in V339 Del. ‘BB’ denotes blackbody grains, ‘AC’ denotes amorphous carbon and ‘GR’ denotes graphitic carbon. For the BB case, a grain radius $a = 1 \mu m$ is assumed.

| $t$ (d) | $\lambda \chi_{\text{max}}$ ($10^{-12}$ W m$^{-2}$) | $T_d$ (K) | $M_d$ ($10^{-3} M_\odot$) | $a$ ($\mu m$) |
|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| 36.82   | 8.41 ± 0.67     | 1637 ± 65 | 1.66 ± 0.34     | 2.97 ± 4.29 |
| 37.69   | 8.85 ± 1.38     | 1365 ± 44 | 4.15 ± 0.91     | 6.72 ± 10.64 |
| 77.79   | 17.2 ± 0.33     | 1014 ± 3  | 33.1 ± 0.74     | 6.46 ± 11.93 |
| 102.14  | 2.59 ± 1.02     | 854 ± 74  | 11.28 ± 6.40    | 8.47 ± 1.07  |
| 102.66  | 14.1 ± 0.44     | 1017 ± 4  | 289 ± 0.93      | 3.66 ± 6.74  |
| 683.06  | 2.93 ± 10$^{-2}$| 684 ± 50  | 0.37 ± 0.16     | 0.54 ± 1.23  |

Figure 10. Evolution of the dust; in each panel, the vertical lines denote constraints on the epoch of dust formation. (a) Dust temperature; the magenta point at $t = 102.14$ d is based on O’Brien Observatory photometry. The solid line is a fit of $T \propto t^{-\sigma}$. The broken green line is the expected relationship for graphitic carbon; the broken blue line is the expected relationship for amorphous carbon. (b) Dust flux, given by $\lambda f_{\lambda \text{max}}$. (c) Grain radius, for AC (black) and GR (blue) grains. (d) Dust mass, calculated for 1-µm blackbody grains (black points), amorphous carbon grains (blue points) and graphitic carbon grains (red points). The data for amorphous carbon and graphitic carbon have been offset by $-2.5$ d and $+2.5$ d, respectively, for clarity, and dotted lines are included to guide the eye. The short horizontal line depicts the dust mass reported by Taranova et al. (2014). Uncertainties are smaller than the plotted points unless indicated. In panels (c) and (d), the approximate duration of the supersoft X-ray phase, from Fig. 1(a), is indicated. See text for details.

Optically thin graphitic carbon. For graphitic carbon (GC) grains (again see equation B2)

$$\frac{M_d}{M_\odot} \approx 3.35 \times 10^{19} \frac{\lambda f_{\lambda \text{max}}}{T_d^{3/4}}$$

for $D = 4.5$ kpc. The masses are given in Table 2 (column GR) and shown in Fig. 10(d). As with grain radius, there seems to be evidence for a rapid rise in dust mass, followed by a steep decline, irrespective of the composition of the dust.

5.2 Grain temperature and mass

Using the dust temperatures from Table 2, we find that the dust cools according to

$$T_d(K) \approx \frac{5032}{t^{0.346}}(d),$$

with an uncertainty of ±0.090 in the exponent of $t$. Grains having non-zero $\beta$, flowing at uniform velocity away from a heating source with constant bolometric luminosity (as would be the case for a CN during the evolutionary phase discussed here), would show a $T_d \propto t^{-2/\beta}$ dependence; the resultant $\beta = 1.78_{-1.19}^{+2.03}$ is slightly different from zero at the $\sim 2\sigma$ level and hints at the presence of graphitic ($\beta \approx 1.32$) rather than AC grains ($\beta \approx 0.75$; see also Fig. 10(a)) but we consider various options below.

We recall that there was no evidence for dust on day 33.75 (see above), when the dust temperature would have been $\sim 1490$ K according to equation (2); Taranova et al. (2014) concluded that dust formed on $\sim$ day 34.75, giving $T_d \approx 1470$ K. Extrapolation of equation (2) leads us to conclude that the dust in V339 Del condensed at a temperature of $\sim 1480 \pm 20$ K. Interestingly, this is well within the range of temperatures (1150–1690 K) at which...
GC condenses in carbon-rich flows (Ebel 2000, see also discussion in Evans & Rawlings 2008). This reinforces the conclusion that the dust in V339 Del was GC. Furthermore, it is of interest to note that the dust in the CN V2326 Cyg condensed at a temperature 1410 ± 15 K (Lynch et al. 2008), close to the value of the dust condensation temperature we have deduced for V339 Del.

There is further, albeit very circumstantial, evidence for carbon dust in the broad-band photometry from the O’Brien Observatory obtained on day 102.14. In these data, there seems to be an excess with respect to the BB continuum at \( \sim 11-12 \, \mu m \) (see Fig. 9), although there was no evidence for a feature in this wavelength range in the SOFIA data on day 27.4 (Gehrz et al. 2015). There are a number of possible sources for this excess on day 102.14. The most plausible assignment would be H\( \alpha \) at 12.3719 \( \mu m \) ([Ne\( II \)]) 12.8135 \( \mu m \), although sometimes present even in CO novae, see e.g. Evans et al. 1997, would need to be implausibly strong to have a significant effect on broad-band data). Another alternative, which would be consistent with the presence of carbon dust, is the 11.1/12.7 \( \mu m \) C-H out-of-plane bending modes in polyacenic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), commonly seen in nova dust (see e.g. Helton et al. 2011, and references therein).

Irrespective of dust composition, there seems to be some evidence for an increase in dust mass up to \( \sim 100 \) day, followed by a substantial decline to approximately day 680. The increase may be attributed to grain growth, an increase in the number of emitting grains or a combination of both these effects. Conversely, the subsequent decline must be due to a decline in grain size or decrease in grain number, either of which points to grain destruction on a substantial scale.

5.3 A dust minimum in the visual light curve

It is of interest to correlate the dust evolution of the nova with the behaviour of the visual light curve. Following visual maximum, the light curve declines uniformly, but the decline becomes distinctly slower after \( \sim JD245 6530 \) (\( \sim 1 \) day 12; see Fig. 1a); then there is a distinct dip in the light curve as dust forms. We have fitted the light curve immediately before and after the dust dip with a function of the form

\[
m_v = A + B \left( JD - 245 5000 \right)
\]

and found \( A = -95.94 \pm 2.09 \) and \( B = 0.0669 \pm 0.0013 \, d^{-1} \) (note that \( B \) must not be associated with the ‘speed class’ of the nova). We rectified the light curve with this decline to highlight the dust dip (see Fig. 1b, in which the dust optical depth \( \tau = \Delta m_v \times 0.4 \) is plotted against the Julian Date). There is a clear maximum in \( \tau \), with \( \tau \sim 0.7 \), around JD 245 6580 (\( \sim 1 \) day 62). Within the limitations of the cadence of the IR observations, this coincides with maximum grain size and maximum grain mass in Figs 10c and (d); the duration of the dust phase, as determined by the IR observations, is consistent with the width of the dust dip in Fig. 1(b).

5.4 Grain destruction

Evidence for grain destruction in a nova wind was first noted in the case of nova LW Ser (1978) by Gehrz et al. (1980). The physical processes associated with grain destruction in nova winds have been discussed by Mitchell, Evans & Bode (1983), Mitchell & Evans (1984), Mitchell, Evans & Albinson (1986) and Rawlings & Evans (1995) in the context of sputtering and chemi-sputtering of carbon dust and the effect of annealing carbon dust in the UV radiation field of the nova.

However, more recently the effect of X-radiation on the survival of dust has been the subject of considerable interest in the context of \( \gamma \)-ray bursts (Fruchter, Krolik & Rhoads 2001), and as V339 Del was an X-ray source (see Section 1), it is of interest to consider the effect of hard radiation on the survival potential of dust in the environment of V339 Del.

Fruchter et al. (2001) considered the competition between the inputs of energy into grains by X-radiation and energy loss by grain radiation and sublimation. They also considered the effect of grain shattering due to electrostatic stress, resulting from grain charging following the ejection of electrons from the K shell of atoms within the grain.

We see from Fig. 10 that, when the dust mass peaked around day 100, the dust temperature was \( \sim 1000 \) K and steadily declined thereafter; it seems unlikely therefore that, at \( T \lesssim 1000 \) K, graphitic grains – for which the sublimation temperature is \( \gtrsim 1800 \) K for carbon-rich environments (Lodders & Fegley 1995) – would be subject to evaporation: it is more likely that it is grain shattering by electrostatic stress that destroyed the grains around V339 Del.

Fruchter et al. (2001) found that, whether or not a grain survives following exposure to X-radiation is determined by the parameter \( E_{51}/D_{100}^\star \), where \( E_{51} \) is the energy radiated in the form of X-rays at 1 keV, normalized to \( 10^{51} \) ergs, and \( D_{100} \) is the distance of the grains from the X-ray source in units of 100 pc; from fig. 1 of Fruchter et al., grains are shattered if \( E_{51}/D_{100}^\star \gtrsim 1 \).

We suppose that, at the time of maximum grain size, the nova was an SSS with the bulk of the radiation being emitted at X-ray wavelengths. Assuming that the grains are exposed to X-rays for a time \( \Delta t \), at time \( t \) after the eruption, the Fruchter et al. parameter is

\[
\frac{E_{51}}{D_{100}^\star} \simeq 1.7 \times 10^3 \Phi \left( \frac{L_\star}{L_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\text{days}} \right) \times \left( \frac{t}{\text{days}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{V}{500 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2},
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the fraction of the nova radiation emitted at 1 keV. Taking \( L_\star \simeq 8.3 \times 10^5 \, L_\odot \) (Gehrz et al. 2015), \( V = 583 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( t \simeq 100 \) days, \( \Delta t \simeq 100 \) days, we find \( E_{51}/D_{100}^\star \sim 10^0 \Phi \). So if \( \Phi \gtrsim 10^{-5} \), which seems reasonable, charging of grains by X-radiation is more than sufficient to shatter the grains.

However, while it seems that exposure to X-radiation would destroy the dust, we should express a note of caution in that the Fruchter et al. analysis assumes a power law for the X-ray source; while this would be valid for the hard X-radiation, it is less so for the near-BB X-ray source appropriate for a CN during the SSS phase (even though pure BB emission does not generally provide a good description of the SSS phase of novae). This issue will be addressed in a forthcoming paper.

Finally, we speculate that the shattering of approximately micron-sized graphitic grains in the way we have suggested might result in the release of significant amounts of PAH fragments, possibly even fullerenes, into the nova environment. If this is the case, CN may display persistent PAH emission (see Helton et al. 2011, for a summary of PAH emission in novae) for some time after the dust formation phase has apparently come to an end.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented IR spectroscopy of the CN V339 Del.

The IR spectrum is initially dominated by emission by the ejected gas, with H\( I \) and low excitation atomic CNO lines being prominent.
There is clear evolution in the emission line profiles. The lines are initially ($t \lesssim 37$ d) symmetric, with HWHM $\sim 530$ km s$^{-1}$. However, after day 77, they become highly asymmetric, with a strong blue and weak red wing. Later still ($t \gtrsim 600$ d) the emission lines display a castellated structure.

Rapid dust formation occurs around day 34.75, following which the IR emission becomes dominated by the dust. The dust condensation temperature was 1480 K, consistent with the notion that the dust is graphitic. We find that $\sim 5 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}$ of dust was formed, and that the grains grew to a dimension of a few micrometres. We further find that both the mass of dust and the radius of the dust grains increased rapidly following the formation of dust, peaked around 100 d after eruption and thereafter declined precipitously. We attribute this to the charging of dust grains by the X-ray emission of V339 Del, causing the grains to shatter due to electrostatic stress.
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APPENDIX A: OBSERVED LINE FLUXES

A1 Mt Abu data

The line centres and fluxes were determined by subtracting a continuum and fitting Gaussian functions to the emission line profiles. The uncertainties in the line centres and fluxes arise from the placement of the continuum and the Gaussian fitting. Repeated experiments with slight variations in the assumed continuum, and comparison with line fitting on different dates, showed that the uncertainties in the line centres are typically ±0.0004–0.001 μm and ±5 per cent to ±12 per cent in the line fluxes.

The line fluxes are listed in Table A1, which lists data to day 26.70, and Table A2, which lists data from day 27.76 onwards. Both tables give measured wavelengths, suggested identifications and transitions.

A2 MMT data

Line fluxes and centres for each component associated with a given line identification are summarized in Table A3. The components of line profiles were deblended assuming a simple model for the ejecta geometry wherein two Gaussian components were fitted to an...
| λ_,ν_ (μm) | ID, λ₀ (μm) | Transition | Line flux (10⁻¹⁴ W m⁻²) on days given |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1.2509    | N, 1.2586   | ²F₁/₂ → D₄    | 46.5(10) 49.1(11) 17.6(16) 17.3(28) 17.6(10) 17.1(38) 16.5(4) 14.7(10) |
| 1.2586    | C, 1.2585   | ³P₁ → ³P₂    | 261(4) 175(2) 170(3) 198(2) 202(2) 218(2) 224(2) |
| 1.2809    | N, 1.2585   | ²D₅/₂ → ²F₅/₂ | 29.0(6) 14.3(14) 11.9(23) 13.0(15) 13.0(15) 15.2(18) |
| 1.2823    | H, 1.2822   | 3–5          | 8.40(107) 213(3) 175(2) 170(3) 198(2) 202(2) 218(2) 224(2) |
| 1.2975    | N, 1.2973   | ²P₁ → ²P₀    | 9.23(28) 9.64(22) 5.56(13) 6.33(15) 5.92(10) 6.18(17) 5.67(11) |
| 1.3172    | N, 1.3177   | ²D₅/₂ → ²D₃/₂ | 7.88(31) 9.07(24) 5.14(14) 5.82(16) 5.39(10) 4.99(17) 4.58(10) |
| 1.3548    | –           | –            | 1.97(6) 1.32(6) 2.19(10) 1.43(4) – 1.76(6) 11.6(10) 9.52(50) 7.98(63) – |
| 1.3641    | H, 1.6412   | 4–12         | 21.8(5) 21.67(113) 10.2(3) 10.5(6) 10.4(4) 10.3(2) 10.5(2) |
| 1.3694    | –           | –            | 1.71(25) 3.59(39) 3.29(41) 2.71(30) 2.72(16) |
| 1.4750    | –           | –            | 22.5(4) 25.4(11) 24.7(5) 23.1(6) 19.6(9) |
| 1.5258    | –           | –            | 13.0(5) 11.0(2) |
| 1.5447    | –           | –            | 4.53(29) 4.75(21) |
| 1.5789    | –           | –            | 11.6(10) 9.52(50) 7.98(63) |
| 1.5847    | H, 1.5885   | 4–14         | 19.7(31) 15.3(7) 9.38(28) 10.5(4) 10.6(3) 10.2(3) 11.7(6) |
| 1.6021    | –           | –            | 22.1(9) 23.3(4) 21.9(5) 18.8(5) |
| 1.6121    | –           | –            | 4.46(20) 1.82(11) 1.81(8) 1.77(9) |
| 1.6228    | –           | –            | 4.46(20) 2.80(12) 3.06(14) 2.56(8) 2.54(14) 2.35(9) |
| 1.6390    | –           | –            | 0.95(7) |
| 1.6416    | H, 1.6412   | 4–12         | 21.67(113) 10.2(3) 10.5(6) 10.4(4) 10.3(2) 10.5(2) |
| 1.6499    | –           | –            | – 0.69(7) – |
| 1.6711    | –           | –            | – 0.95(7) – |
| 1.6808    | H, 1.6811   | 4–11         | 19.7(31) 15.3(7) 9.38(28) 10.5(4) 10.6(3) 10.2(3) 11.7(6) |
| 1.6826    | –           | –            | – 0.95(7) – |
| 1.6982    | C, 1.6895   | ¹D₂ → ¹F₃    | 2.69(25) 28.0(29) 44.4(10) 22.1(9) 23.3(4) 21.9(5) 18.8(5) |
| 1.7035    | –           | –            | 3.46(20) 1.82(11) 1.81(8) 1.77(9) |
| 1.7145    | –           | –            | – 0.69(7) – |
| 1.7154    | –           | –            | – 0.95(7) – |
| 1.7244    | –           | –            | – 0.95(7) – |
| 1.7251    | –           | –            | – 0.95(7) – |
| 1.7362    | H, 1.7367   | 4–10         | – 22.5(4) 25.4(11) 24.7(5) 23.1(6) 19.6(9) |
| 1.7450    | –           | –            | 13.0(5) 11.0(2) |
| 1.7563    | –           | –            | 4.53(29) 4.75(21) |
| 1.7667    | –           | –            | 11.6(10) 9.52(50) 7.98(63) |
| 1.7841    | –           | –            | 11.6(10) 9.52(50) 7.98(63) |
| 1.9741    | –           | –            | 11.6(10) 9.52(50) 7.98(63) |
| 2.0599    | He, 2.0587  | ¹S₀ → ¹P₁    | 1.546 2.13(9) 1.26(9) 1.77(8) 1.90(8) 1.87(7) |
| 2.0757    | –           | –            | 0.32(4) 0.37(7) – – |
| 2.0890    | –           | –            | 0.47(0.04) 0.70(8) 0.66(4) 0.54(4) 0.81(4) |
| 2.1029    | C, 2.1029   | ¹S₀ → ¹P₁    | 1.50(14) 2.21(17) 0.66(4) 0.74(5) – 0.45(3) 0.35(3) 0.43(4) |
| 2.1235    | –           | –            | 5.85(23) 7.34(13) 3.07(13) 2.56(9) 2.76(7) 2.24(5) 2.13(6) |
| 2.1492    | –           | –            | 3.32(12) – 1.28(7) 1.33(6) 0.76(3) |
| 2.1505    | –           | –            | 1.46(6) – 1.01(4) – |
| 2.1645    | –           | –            | – – – – |
| 2.1667    | H, 2.1661   | 4–7          | 22.5(35) 20.9(2) 15.3(2) 14.1(2) 18.0(2) 15.5(2) 16.3(3) |
| 2.1815    | –           | –            | 2.44(18) 1.87(17) 0.56(5) – 0.41(3) 0.28(3) 0.44(5) |
| 2.2918    | C, 2.2913   | ¹S₀ → ¹P₁    | – 2.33(18) 1.12(5) 0.86(32) 0.73(3) 0.76(4) |
| 2.3158    | –           | –            | 0.63(8) – – – – |
### Table A2. Line fluxes measured from Mt Abu data. Later data, days 27.76 to 102.66. Fluxes are given in units of $10^{-14}$ W m$^{-2}$ and are uncorrected for extinction. Uncertainties in the last one, two or three digits are given in brackets; thus 13.0(15) means 13.0 ± 1.5, 469(11) means 469 ± 11 etc.

| $\lambda_{abs}$ (µm) | ID, $\lambda_0$ (µm) | Transition | $t = 27.76$ | 31.82 | 33.75 | 36.82 | 37.69 | 77.79 | 78.71 | 101.72 | 102.66 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| 1.0828 He I, 1.0833 | $^3$S$^o_{1/2}$ – $^3$P$^o_{1/2}$ | $^3$S$^o_{1/2}$ | 192(3) | 150(2) | 8.57(74) | 6.89(16) | 6.89(23) |
| 1.0936 H I, 1.0941 | 3–6 | 193(8) | 149(3) | 155(2) | 1.21(17) | 0.35(11) |
| 1.0946 N I, 1.0946 | $^4$S$^o_{3/2}$ – $^4$P$^o$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.36(10) |
| 1.1284 C I, 1.1281 | $^1$D$^2_2$ – $^1$F$^2_2$ | 11.35(4) | 798(9) | 625(5) | 1.75(7) | 1.61(7) | 0.82(3) | 0.71(3) |
| 1.1300 N I, 1.1297 | $^4$P$^o_{1/2}$ – $^4$P$^o_{3/2}$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.168(55) |
| 1.1463 C I, 1.1618 | $^3$D$^o$ – $^3$D$^o$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.096(30) |
| 1.1584 C I, 1.1651 | $^3$D$^2_2$ – $^3$D$^2_2$ | 18.8(35) | 25.0(18) | 23.4(10) | 17.0(6) | | | | | | |
| 1.1662 C I, 1.1662 | $^3$S$^o_{1/2}$ – $^3$P$^o_{1/2}$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.20(2) |
| 1.1758 C I, 1.1758 | $^3$D$^2_2$ – $^3$D$^2_2$ | 56.3(31) | 73.0(87) | 67.5(24) | 50.3(53) | 0.18(6) | 0.31(8) | 0.14(3) | | |
| 1.1863 C I, 1.1864 | $^3$D$^2_2$ – $^3$D$^2_2$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.14(3) |
| 1.1887 | 11.3(35) | 30.7(47) | 19.6(11) | 11.6(6) | | | | | | 0.096(30) |
| 1.2087 | 18.1(16) | 4.34(62) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2191 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2300 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2466 N I, 1.2473 | $^2$D$^o_{3/2}$ – $^2$F$^o_{7/2}$ | 7.24(93) | 10.0(12) | 14.3(11) | 7.35(51) | 8.56(18) | | | | | |
| 1.2509 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2586 N I, 1.2586 | $^3$F$^o_{3/2}$ – $^3$D$^o_{3/2}$ | 11.3(12) | 13.0(3) | 18.2(14) | 9.82(69) | 11.0(2) | | | | | |
| 1.2585 C I, 1.2585 | $^3$P$^o_{1/2}$ – $^3$P$^o_{1/2}$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.025(4) |
| 1.2809 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2823 H I, 1.2822 | 3–5 | 192(3) | 230(1) | 252(3) | 214(2) | 251(1) | | | | | |
| 1.2975 N I, 1.2973 | $^3$P$^o_{3/2}$ – $^3$P$^o_{3/2}$ | | | | | | | | | | 0.027(5) |
| 1.3172 N I, 1.3177 | $^2$D$^o_{3/2}$ – $^2$D$^o_{3/2}$ | 11.1(11) | 8.9(12) | 8.42(65) | 10.6(2) | | | | | | |
| 1.5268 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5348 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5447 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5568 H I, 1.5561 | 4–16 | 5.68(12) | 4.79(12) | 4.28(9) | 3.40(7) | 3.13(6) | | | | | |
| 1.5707 H I, 1.5705 | 4–15 | 4.67(12) | 4.03(12) | 3.68(9) | 2.86(2) | 2.18(5) | | | | | |
| 1.5748 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5789 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5847 H I, 1.5885 | 4–14 | 5.44(11) | 4.37(11) | 4.14(9) | 3.29(7) | 3.31(8) | 0.17(1) | | | | 0.119(14) |
| 1.6021 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6054 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6115 H I, 1.6114 | 4–13 | 6.28(11) | 5.30(12) | 4.53(9) | 3.94(7) | 3.35(8) | | | | | |
| 1.6142 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6329 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6416 H I, 1.6412 | 4–12 | 8.60(15) | 7.65(12) | 6.93(16) | 5.86(56) | 5.62(8) | | | | | |
| 1.6499 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6711 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6808 H I, 1.6811 | 4–11 | 10.73(39) | 8.90(31) | 8.04(26) | 7.61(98) | 6.81(42) | | | | | 0.220(24) |
| 1.6826 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6922 C I, 1.6895 | $^1$D$^2_2$ – $^1$F$^2_2$ | 16.9(4) | 12.6(3) | 11.7(3) | 9.59(100) | 9.06(42) | | | | | 0.087(5) |
| 1.7058 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7247 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7362 H I, 1.7367 | 4–10 | 18.3(6) | 17.3(3) | 14.1(2) | 13.2(7) | 13.4(4) | 0.276(11) | | | | 0.355(20) |
APPENDIX B: PLANCK MEANS AND DUST PROPERTIES

In this section, we summarize our determination of the Planck mean absorption efficiencies of carbon dust, together with the determination of dust masses for the case of dust shells that are optically thin in the IR.

B1 Planck means

Most previous estimates of dust masses in CNe (see, e.g. Gehrz 2008; Evans & Gehrz 2012, and references therein) are based on the Planck means plotted by Gilman (1974). These in turn are based on a variety of old data and the Planck means are in need of updating. We have used the Planck means measured and tabulated by Blanco et al. (1983). These have been compiled in a systematic fashion and cover the temperature range of interest for CNe.

For carbon, the dependence of the Planck mean absorption efficiency \( \langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle \) on temperature \( T \) follows, to a good approximation, a power law of the form

\[
\langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle \simeq A \alpha T^\beta,
\]

where \( A \) is a constant, \( \alpha \) is the radius of the (spherical) grain and \( \beta \) is the \( \beta \)-index for the dust. For carbon grains \( \gamma = 1 \).

For AC grains, we take Planck mean absorption efficiencies from Blanco et al. (1983), using their ‘TU’ sample. We find that

\[
\langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle = 58.160 \, a \, T^{0.754},
\]

with \( a \) in cm, \( T \) in K, provides an excellent fit over the temperature range 400–1700 K; \( A = 58.160 \pm 0.754 \) and the \( \beta \)-index is 0.754 ± 0.003.

For GC, we again take the Planck mean absorption efficiencies from Blanco et al. (1983); these are reasonably fitted over the range 400–1700 K by

\[
\langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle = 0.653 \, a \, T^{1.315},
\]

with \( a \) again in cm and \( T \) in K; \( A = 0.653 \pm 0.128 \) and the \( \beta \)-index is 1.315 ± 0.027.

Both fits are shown in Fig. B1. The AC is clearly well described by a power law but the power law fit to the GR data is rather less satisfactory, particularly at lower temperatures; this is evidenced by the uncertainties in the respective values of \( A \) and \( \beta \). The temperature dependence for GR is steeper at lower temperatures and levels off at higher temperatures. Indeed, this tendency is clearly evident in Gilman’s (1974) fig. 4. However, the assumption of a power-law dependence leads to a straightforward and easily applied expression for the dust mass and grain radius and, as the deduced dust temperature is at the higher end of the range, we use this simple form here.

B2 Dust mass

B2.1 Carbon grains

For carbon grains, the dust emission in the IR, integrated over wavelength, is given by

\[
f = \frac{a^2}{D^2} \, N_g \, \sigma T^4 \, \langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle = \frac{a^2}{D^2} \, 3M_d \, \frac{\sigma T^4}{4\pi\alpha^3 \rho} \, \frac{A\alpha T^\beta}{\pi},
\]

when the dust shell is optically thin in the IR; the number of emitting grains is

\[
N_g = \frac{3M_d}{4\pi\alpha^3 \rho},
\]

and \( \rho \) is the density of the grain material. The observed parameter is \( \{\lambda f_\lambda\}_{\text{max}} \), which is related to \( f \) by

\[
f = 1.359 \ldots \{\lambda f_\lambda\}_{\text{max}}
\]

(see Gehrz & Ney 1992). Rearranging, and using \( \rho = 2.25 \, \text{g cm}^{-3} \) for carbon, gives

\[
M_d = 1.359 \, \{\lambda f_\lambda\}_{\text{max}} \frac{D^2}{3} \frac{4\pi\rho}{\sigma T^{4+\beta}}
\]

and

\[
\frac{M_d}{M_\odot} = 1.08 \times 10^{20} \left( \frac{\{\lambda f_\lambda\}_{\text{max}}}{\text{W m}^{-2}} \right) \left( \frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{AT^{\beta+4}},
\]

independent of \( a \).

Table A2 – continued

| \( \lambda_{\text{obs}} \) (µm) | ID, \( \lambda_0 \) (µm) | \( t = 27.76 \) | \( t = 31.82 \) | \( t = 33.75 \) | \( t = 36.82 \) | \( t = 37.69 \) | \( t = 77.79 \) | \( t = 78.71 \) | \( t = 101.72 \) | \( t = 102.66 \) |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1.7450 | – | 3.46(53) | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 1.7563 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 1.7667 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 1.9741 | He 1, 2.0587 | 1.76(9) | 1.39(6) | 1.24(15) | – | 0.863(68) | – | – | – | – |
| 2.0599 | He 1, 2.0587 | 1.57(7) | 2.04(16) | 4.21(22) | 6.67(18) | 5.48(7) | – | – | – | 0.107(10) |
| 2.0757 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.0890 | – | 0.51(5) | 0.57(4) | 0.65(5) | 0.47(15) | 0.47(7) | – | – | – | – |
| 2.1029 | C 1, 2.10289 | 0.29(4) | 0.221(25) | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.1235 | – | 1.80(7) | 1.50(7) | 1.79(10) | 1.04(5) | 0.718(41) | – | – | – | – |
| 2.1492 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.1505 | – | 0.84(7) | – | 0.525(53) | 0.447(41) | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.1645 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 0.491(24) | – | – | 0.366(15) |
| 2.1667 | H 1, 2.1661 | 4–7 | 15.8(3) | 15.7(2) | 14.8(2) | 14.9(2) | 13.0(1) | – | – | – |
| 2.2153 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.2891 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| 2.3191 | C 1, 2.2913 | 1.30(3) | 1.14(2) | 1.09(2) | 1.00(1) | 0.95(1) | – | – | – | – |
| 2.3158 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
Table A3. V339 Del optical line fluxes measured from the MMT spectra on day 672.50. Fluxes are uncorrected for extinction.

| $\lambda_{\text{obs}}$ (Å) | ID, $\lambda_0$ (Å, air) | Transition $l-u$ | Line flux $(10^{-17}$ W m$^{-2}$) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 3963.381                  | H $\epsilon$, 3970.072  | 2$-7$            | 10.04                             |
| 3972.851                  | H $\epsilon$, 3970.072  | 2$-7$            | 5.90                              |
| 4094.305                  | H$\delta$, 4101.135     | 2$-6$            | 21.77                             |
| 4105.861                  | H$\delta$, 4101.135     | 2$-6$            | 14.17                             |
| 4261.049                  | C$\gamma$, 4267.183     | 2$D-2F_0$        | 3.38                              |
| 4273.072                  | C$\gamma$, 4267.183     | 2$D-2F_0$        | 2.26                              |
| 4334.038                  | H$\gamma$, 4340.463     | 2$-5$            | 23.40                             |
| 4354.927                  | H$\gamma$, 4340.463     | 2$-5$            | 29.52                             |
| 4344.003                  | [O$\eta$], 4363.209     | 1$D-1S$          | 11.02                             |
| 4370.276                  | [O$\eta$], 4363.209     | 1$D-1S$          | 16.74                             |
| 4632.792                  | N$\eta$, 4640.640       | 2$P_0 - 2D$      | 18.30                             |
| 4646.433                  | N$\eta$, 4640.640       | 2$P_0 - 2D$      | 13.77                             |
| 4678.127                  | He$\eta$, 4685.710      | 3$-4$            | 12.07                             |
| 4691.931                  | He$\eta$, 4685.710      | 3$-4$            | 8.66                              |
| 4853.992                  | H$\beta$, 4861.325      | 2$-4$            | 54.77                             |
| 4867.393                  | H$\beta$, 4861.325      | 2$-4$            | 38.87                             |
| 4951.556                  | [O$\eta$], 4958.911     | 3$P-1D$          | 482.3                             |
| 4965.698                  | [O$\eta$], 4958.911     | 3$P-1D$          | 320.8                             |
| 4999.424                  | [O$\eta$], 5006.843     | 3$P-1D$          | 1453.0                            |
| 5013.692                  | [O$\eta$], 5006.843     | 3$P-1D$          | 973.0                             |
| 5168.903                  | [Fe$\eta$], 5176.040    | 4$F-2G$          | 2.25                              |
| 5191.028                  | [Fe$\eta$], 5176.040    | 4$F-2G$          | 2.46                              |
| 5405.475                  | He$\eta$, 5411.520      | 4$-7$            | 1.18                              |
| 5418.364                  | He$\eta$, 5411.520      | 4$-7$            | 1.08                              |
| 5526.680                  | Ar$\eta$, 5534.990      | 4$D-4P_0$        | 0.92                              |
| 5541.127                  | Ar$\eta$, 5534.990      | 4$D-4P_0$        | 0.69                              |
| 5670.579                  | [Fe$\eta$], 5676.950    | 4$F-4P$          | 4.21                              |
| 5685.666                  | [Fe$\eta$], 5676.950    | 4$F-4P$          | 2.47                              |
| 5745.688                  | [N$\eta$], 5754.644     | 1$D-1S$          | 6.66                              |
| 5762.683                  | [N$\eta$], 5754.644     | 1$D-1S$          | 4.14                              |
| 5866.875                  | He$\delta$, 5875.967    | 3$P_0 - 3D$      | 6.93                              |
| 5882.082                  | He$\delta$, 5875.967    | 3$P_0 - 3D$      | 5.31                              |
| 5932.956                  | N$\alpha$, 5931.780     | 3$P-1D_0$        | 0.91                              |
| 5948.923                  | N$\alpha$, 5931.780     | 3$P-1D_0$        | 0.37                              |
| 6076.424                  | [Ca$\eta$], 6086.400 + [Fe$\eta$], 6086.290 | 3$P-1D; 3P-1D$ | 1.20 |
| 6096.129                  | [Ca$\eta$], 6086.400 + [Fe$\eta$], 6086.290 | 3$P-1D; 3P-1D$ | 1.09 |
| 6292.857                  | [O$\eta$], 6300.304     | 3$P-1D$          | 26.10                             |
| 6307.521                  | [O$\eta$], 6300.304     | 3$P-1D$          | 16.46                             |
| 6356.264                  | [O$\eta$], 6363.766 + [Fe$\eta$], 6374.500 | 3$P-1D; 2P_0 - 2P_0$ | 8.93 |
| 6371.086                  | [O$\eta$], 6363.766 + [Fe$\eta$], 6374.500 | 3$P-1D; 2P_0 - 2P_0$ | 5.55 |
| 6536.497                  | [N$\eta$], 6548.040     | 3$P-1D$          | 111.60                            |
| 6559.453                  | [N$\eta$], 6548.040     | 3$P-1D$          | 153.50                            |
| 6549.564                  | He$\alpha$, 6562.80 + He$\eta$, 6560.10 | 2$-3; 4-6$ | 221.30 |
| 6582.781                  | He$\alpha$, 6562.80 + He$\eta$, 6560.10 | 2$-3; 4-6$ | 305.00 |
| 6571.919                  | [N$\eta$], 6583.460     | 3$P-1D$          | 458.20                            |
| 6594.550                  | [N$\eta$], 6583.460     | 3$P-1D$          | 239.90                            |
| 6669.174                  | He$\delta$, 6678.152    | 1$P_0 - 1D$      | 2.23                              |
| 6685.912                  | He$\delta$, 6678.152    | 1$P_0 - 1D$      | 1.83                              |
| 7054.257                  | He$\delta$, 7065.215    | 3$P_0 - 3D$      | 1.92                              |
| 7071.989                  | He$\delta$, 7065.215    | 3$P_0 - 3D$      | 1.68                              |

B2.2 BB grains

In this case, $A = 1$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and the equivalent of equation (B1) for the observed dust emission is given by

$$f = \frac{\pi a^2}{D^2} N_g \sigma T^4 \pi. \quad \text{(B3)}$$

Rearranging gives

$$M_d = 1.812 \frac{D^2 \langle \lambda f_i \rangle_{\text{max}}}{\sigma T^4} \frac{\pi a \rho}{\pi},$$

and

$$\frac{M_d}{M_\odot} \approx 4.805 \times 10^{16} \frac{a D_{\text{pc}} \langle \lambda f_i \rangle_{\text{max}}}{T^3} \quad \text{(B4)}$$

Note that in this case $M_d$ depends on $a$. 
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Figure B1. Fit of functions of the form $\langle Q_{\text{abs}} \rangle = AaT^\beta$ to laboratory Planck mean data in Blanco et al. (1983). Left, amorphous carbon, right, graphitic carbon. The fits are confined to the temperature range 400–1700 K.
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