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ABSTRACT

Sprengel shoulder is a rare congenital deformity of one or both scapulae that is usually detected at birth. It occurs due to failure of the scapula to descend during intrauterine development and its cause is still unknown. Although the deformity appears randomly most of the time, familial cases have been reported. Sprengel shoulder is often associated with Klippel–Feil syndrome and other congenital skeletal deformities. Anteroposterior X-ray imaging can accurately diagnose Sprengel deformity. However, computed tomography and magnetic resonance scans with three-dimensional reconstruction are nowadays used in everyday practice in order to diagnose concomitant abnormalities, study in detail the anatomy of the affected shoulder(s), and plan appropriate management. We present here our imaging experience from three pediatric cases with Sprengel shoulder and take the opportunity to discuss this rare entity, which is, nevertheless, the commonest congenital defect of the scapula.
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INTRODUCTION

Sprengel deformity, also known as congenital high scapula, undescended scapula, or scapula elevata, is a rare congenital deformity of one or both scapulae that appears at birth,[1] although Pellegrin et al., have published a single case in which the patient presented with Sprengel shoulder reported as appearing after trauma.[2]

Undescended scapula results from failed migration of the scapula during early embryonic life,[3] leading to a scapula that protrudes in the neck of the patient. However, the deformity is not simply an aesthetic concern, but more importantly it causes restricted mobility of the shoulder and the cervical spine.[4] Sprengel deformity appears either as a single defect or in association with other abnormalities, most commonly Klippel–Feil syndrome, scoliosis and rib defects.[5] Conventional anteroposterior radiography of the chest including both shoulders is a simple and effective means of diagnosing Sprengel deformity, particularly when combined with appropriate clinical information. Computed tomography (CT) with three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are necessary nowadays for the diagnosis of coexisting abnormalities and treatment planning. Although the
management of Sprengel deformity depends on the severity of the abnormality and the degree of motion restriction, the most common therapeutic choice for patients and orthopedic surgeons is surgical intervention for cosmetic and functional recovery of the shoulder.[5]

**CASE REPORTS**

Case 1

A 6-year-old boy presented with a short neck and bilateral lumps on both sides of his neck. Both scapulae were elevated, although the left one was at a higher level compared to the right one. On physical examination, the patient had limited range of movement of the cervical spine, 60° abduction on his right shoulder, and 90° adduction on his left shoulder, without neurovascular compromise. The little boy was first examined with an anteroposterior X-ray of the thorax and anteroposterior and lateral X-rays of the cervical spine [Figure 1], which demonstrated asymmetrically high-positioned scapulae, in conjunction with cervical vertebrae synostosis and thoracic cage deformities. Surgery was decided and a CT scan was performed to delineate the anatomy in detail, assess the degree of asymmetry, and provide the details for morphometric analysis and surgery planning [Figure 2]. The Sprengel deformity was initially corrected on the left side (higher grade) and 5 months later on the contralateral side.

Case 2

A 7-year-old girl was referred to our department for imaging studies prior to surgical repair of her left undescended scapula. She had been diagnosed with Klippel–Feil syndrome and Sprengel deformity of the left scapula when she was 6-months old. Since then, she had been undergoing physiotherapy and it was now decided to treat her surgically. Anteroposterior X-ray scans of the shoulders were performed, followed by a CT and an MRI scan, in order to evaluate the omovertebral association and demonstrate the spectrum of abnormalities that was required for thorough planning of surgery [Figures 3 and 4].

Case 3

A 14-year-old boy visited our department for investigation of an undetermined deformity on the right side of his neck. CT and MRI scans were performed. These revealed a mild Sprengel deformity and provided the details of the bony associations and anatomy of extra-osseous structures as needed for preparation of the intervention [Figure 5].

**DISCUSSION**

Otto Sprengel published four clinical cases of upward dislocation of the scapula in 1891.[6] However, Michael Eulenberg, a German surgeon, was the first to describe the anatomy of one case of an undescended scapula in 1863, and claimed that it occurred due to traumatic dislocation of the scapula.[7] Alfred Willet and William Walsham described another case in 1883, in which the high scapula was associated with the formation of an osteochondral bridge with the lower cervical vertebrae.[7] After Sprengel, Vittorio Putti, an Italian radiologist and orthopedic surgeon, further studied and described the anatomical abnormalities of a Sprengel shoulder.

The embryonic primordium of the scapula appears during the fifth week of intrauterine life and acquires the final morphology by the end of the eighth week (end of...
It initially appears at the level of the fourth to fifth cervical vertebrae. During the same period, the brachial plexus gives rise to the peripheral nerves of the upper limb, which operates as a stimulus for development of the upper limb muscles. This developmental migration of the scapula reflects a progressive adaptation of the bone, which serves for brachiation and not for bearing weight. The increased demands for greater range of movement and flexibility in humans have altered the morphology and dynamics, both of the shoulder joint and the scapula. The scapula acquired a spine, enlarged in size, especially in the part beneath its spine, widened (high breadth to length ratio), and moved backward, standing at an angle of approximately 45° relative to the midline. Failure of scapula to descend leads to Sprengel deformity, in which case the bone sits 2–10 cm higher than expected. The maintenance of high position of the scapula in the process of skeletal development leads to a series of other musculoskeletal defects including hypoplasia, medialization, and adduction of the scapula, prominence of its upper angle, distal rotation and lateral angulation of the glenoid cavity, changes in the position of the clavicle, anomalies of the cervicothoracic vertebrae and ribs, and muscular hypoplasia or atrophy of the shoulder musculature. A fibrous structure may bridge the cervical spine with the undescended scapula, which when ossified is called the omovertebral bone (omo derives from the Greek word for shoulder “ὀμός”). The result in practice is limitation of the abduction at the shoulder joint and “growing out” of the shoulder blade.

Although Sprengel deformity is rare, it is described as the commonest congenital defect of the scapula and...
nowadays, as a rule, it is recognized either at birth or in early childhood. Its cause is unknown and there have been only very few cases of familial Sprengel deformity described. Most authors agree that the undescended scapula affects women three times more often than men, although Kadavkolan and others report that the entity occurs equally in both sexes.\[9\] It can occur on both sides concurrently but is most often unilateral, with a predilection for the left side. Although patients with bilateral Sprengel deformity might seem less disfigured, their functional limitation is more debilitating, compared to patients with a unilateral defect. Since the affected scapula is not only mis-positioned but also smaller than the bone on the other side, it is often difficult to accurately estimate the grade of the defect, especially in bilateral Sprengel shoulder. In other words, the estimation of the height difference between the healthy-sat and the high-sat scapula using the easily visualized inferior angle of the scapulae on an anteroposterior X-ray of the chest is not always accurate enough for the estimation of the severity of the deformity and for choosing the appropriate treatment.

There have been various indices proposed for the study of the morphology of the scapula and the estimation of the degree of scapular deformity. The scapular index is an indicator of the relationship between the breadth and the length of the scapula. It measures the width along the base of the scapula (length of spinous process), the length between its superior and inferior angles, and it is expressed as a percentage (100 × scapular breadth/scapular length). The infraspinatus index describes the width of the scapula relative to the length of the infraspinatus fossa. Both of these indices are estimated radiologically. Table 1 shows the clinical and radiologic classification of Sprengel deformity.[5,8,9,10]
CONCLUSION

CT with 3-D reconstruction and MRI are particularly useful for the accurate calculation of the degree of Sprengel deformity, determination of associated osseous and/or muscular deformities, and for choosing and planning the treatment. Considering that surgical treatment, when appropriate, is better applied when the child is under 8 years of age, early and accurate diagnosis of the deformity is important. CT 3-D images are a powerful tool for detailed study of the anatomical features of the bony structures in Sprengel deformity. This evaluation is necessary to understand the morphological characteristics, biomechanics, and etiology of this entity.
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Table 1: Cavendish clinical and Rigault radiological classification of Sprengel shoulder

| Classification | Cavendish-clinical | Rigault-radiological |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Criteria       | Degree of the visually perceivable deformity | Level of the superomedial scapular angle compared to the vertebral |
|                | Difference between the position of the deformed scapula and that of the healthy one or, in case of bilateral Sprengel shoulder, the difference between the position of the undescended scapulae compared with their healthy expected anatomical position | |
| Grading        | Grade I or very mild: The defect cannot be noticed with clothes on, and the high-rise scapula (e) is almost at healthy level | Grade I: The superomedial scapular angle lies between the transverse processes of the second thoracic and fourth thoracic vertebra |
|                | Grade II or mild: Visible protrusion of the superior middle part of the undescended scapula in the neck of the patient, scapula sitting at almost healthy level (as in grade I) | Grade II: The superomedial angle of the scapula lies between the transverse processes of the fifth cervical and second thoracic vertebra |
|                | Grade III or moderate: The patient has a fairly visible lump and the undescended scapula is 2-5 cm higher than normal | Grade III: The superomedial angle is found higher than the transverse process of the fifth cervical vertebra |
|                | Grade IV or severe: The scapular protrusion is visible near the occiput, and the scapula lies more than 5 cm higher than normal | Other radiological findings that are noted and calculated[10] |
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