Actuated rheology of magnetic micro-swimmers suspensions: emergence of motor and brake states
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We study the effect of magnetic field on the rheology of magnetic micro-swimmers suspensions. We use a model of a dilute suspension under simple shear and subjected to a constant magnetic field. Particle shear stress is obtained for both pusher and puller types of micro-swimmers. In the limit of low shear rate, the rheology exhibits a constant shear stress, called actuated stress, which only depends on the swimming activity of the particles. This stress is induced by the magnetic field and can be positive (brake state) or negative (motor state). In the limit of low magnetic fields, a scaling relation of the motor-brake effect is derived as a function of the dimensionless parameters of the model. In this case, the shear stress is an affine function of the shear rate. The possibilities offered by such an active system to control the rheological response of a fluid are finally discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many micro-organisms are able to move autonomously in fluids at a very low Reynolds number [1] and recently, micron-size artificial particulate systems were designed to insure self-propulsion using either chemical [2, 3], magnetic excitations [4, 5] or even the mixing of biological material with mechanical parts [6, 7]. The hydrodynamics of suspensions laden with such self-propelled objects is currently the focus of many fundamental studies [8, 9] and it has been found that original macroscopic constitutive properties can stem from the swimming activity of the suspended particles [10–21, 41]. According to the intrinsic nature of the propulsive mechanism, one can observe specific increases [14] (puller swimmers) or decreases (pusher swimmers) [13, 20, 21] of the viscosity with the swimmer concentration. Recent theory predicted an additional "swimming pressure" contribution that will eventually contribute, at low shear rate, to lower the viscosity for both types of swimmers [22]. Furthermore, it was found experimentally that in an intermediate range of concentrations, the macroscopic viscosity may even cancel in analogy with the superfluid transition [21, 23, 24] of quantum liquids. These recent experimental results and the models proposed to account for them are reported in a review of fluid mechanics [43].

In nature, some strains of bacteria are able to synthesize and assemble linear arrays of nano-magnets and have developed a biological sensitivity to the magnetic field direction [25, 26]. Such magnetotactic bacteria are able to move preferentially to one of the magnetic poles and are called accordingly north-seekers (NS) or south-seekers (SS). Recently, these suspensions were found to exhibit complex collective behaviors under flow and magnetic field [27].

In this article, we consider suspensions of motile elongated particles bearing an intrinsic magnetic moment along their swimming direction. In the simple magnetotactic model we present here, we do not consider any biological feedback on the swimming direction in response to the magnetic field. The magnetotactic sensitivity is only due to a passive alignment in the direction of the field. We are interested in understanding how the application of an external magnetic field can modify the macroscopic rheology of the suspension. The suspension is subjected to a simple shear and a constant magnetic field is applied at a given orientation with respect to the flow direction. First, the swimming orientation distribution is computed in the framework of a Fokker-Planck equation that includes a stochastic disorientation process. Then, in this framework, we compute the particle-borne shear stress and establish, for any type of swimmer (pusher or puller), the emergence of new rheological states induced by the magnetic field and imputable only to the swimming activity of the particles. We finally discuss these results in the perspective of reproducing these specific states with magnetotactic bacteria or artificial micro-swimmers and using them to control the flow.
II. MODEL OF MAGNETIC MICRO-SWIMMERS IN A SIMPLE SHEAR FLOW

The active magnetic model we use consists of rod-shaped particles bearing a magnetic moment \( \mathbf{m} = m \mathbf{p} \) pointing in the swimming direction \( \mathbf{p} \) (NS). The swimmer is described as an ellipsoidal slender rod of aspect ratio \( r = L/a > 1 \), where \( L \) is its total length and \( a \) its equatorial diameter. The swimming mechanism can either be of the pusher or puller type and its active hydrodynamic field is simplified as a force dipole of strength \( c \sigma_0 \) \[1\], where \( \sigma_0 \) is a positive quantity and \( \epsilon = 1 \) for pullers, \(-1 \) for pushers. We will only deal with dilute suspensions of number density \( n \) such that \( na^2 L \ll 1 \).

A simple shear flow characterized by a velocity \( \mathbf{v} = v_2 \mathbf{x} \) and a shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} \) is applied to the suspension. The magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 \) is oriented at an angle \( \alpha \) from the flow direction \( x \) (see figure \[1\]). Importantly, because the shear and magnetic fields are spatially homogeneous, the orientation and spatial degrees of freedom are not coupled i.e. the orientation of each particle does not depend on space. In particular, only reorientation processes are important. These processes are described by a kinetic equation of the Jeffery-Bretherton type \[28\] \[29\] that includes a magnetic part due to the torque \( \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B} \) \[30\]. Thus, the kinetic equation governing \( \mathbf{p} \) is:

\[
\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{dp}{dt} = (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{pp})(\beta \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{\Omega}) \cdot \mathbf{p} + \Omega_m \times \mathbf{p} ,
\]

where the first term in the right-hand side of the equation stands for the flow contribution. \( \mathbf{1} \) is the identity tensor, \( \mathbf{E} = (1/2) (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) \) is the strain-rate tensor and \( \mathbf{\Omega} = (1/2) (\nabla \mathbf{v} - (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) \) is the vorticity tensor. \( \beta = (r^2 - 1)/(r^2 + 1) \approx 1 \) is the Bretherton parameter which will set to \( \beta = 1 \) from now on. \( \mathbf{\Omega}_m = (m\mathbf{B}/\xi_r) \) \( \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{b} \) is the rotation vector of the bacterium towards the magnetic field direction. The magnetic moment \( \mathbf{m} \) of the particle relaxes towards the direction of the magnetic field with a characteristic time \( \omega_m^{-1} = \xi_r/(m\mathbf{B}) \), where \( \xi_r \) is the rotational friction coefficient of the particle which can be computed in the framework of the slender body theory: \( \xi_r = \pi \eta_0 L^3/(3 \ln(2L/a)) \).

Another source of swimming disorientation arises from a rotational diffusion term characterized by a coefficient \( D_r \) which represents either a Brownian noise or the effect of a run and tumble process characterizing the bacterium motility. Under these hypothesis, the steady-state orientation distribution of the particle \( \Psi(\theta, \phi) \) is solution of the following Fokker-Planck equation:

\[
\nabla_s \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{p}} \Psi) = D_r \nabla^2_s \Psi ,
\]

where \( \nabla_s \) is the gradient operator on the unit sphere. The particle orientation is parameterized in spherical coordinates: \( \theta \) is the azimuthal angle while \( \phi \) is the meridian angle (see figure \[1\]).

Equation \[2\] contains three non-dimensional parameters: the hydrodynamic rotational Peclet number \( P_{eH} = \gamma/D_r \); the ratio of the rotational diffusion time to the magnetic relaxation time, \( P_{em} = \omega_m/D_r \), which we call magnetic Peclet number \( \gamma/D_r \); and \( \alpha \), the magnetic field orientation. A detailed expansion of equation \[2\] in terms of these parameters reads:

\[
\nabla^2_s \Psi - P_{eH} \Gamma_{shear}(\Psi) + P_{em} [\cos(\alpha) \Gamma_{mx}(\Psi) + \sin(\alpha) \Gamma_{my}(\Psi)] = 0
\]
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FIG. 2: Rescaled particle shear stress $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$, derived numerically from equation (8), as a function of the rotational Peclet number $P_{eH}$ ($\alpha = 45^\circ, 135^\circ$; $P_{e_m} = 1$; $A = 10$; $\epsilon = 1$ (puller) and $-1$ (pusher)). The active contribution $\tilde{\Sigma}_{act}$ is color-labelled and referred to equation (8). When $P_{eH} \ll 1$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ is equal to the active stress and tends to a constant value, the actuated stress. Depending on the sign of the actuated stress, the suspension can be turned to brake and motor states. For each of the four graphs, the brake and motor states are interpreted by a sketch in which we show the orientation of the elongated particles relatively to the flow direction. The red (brake) and green (motor) lines and arrows correspond to the stream lines created by the hydrodynamic dipole of each particle (represented by a black ellipsoid).

FIG. 3: Phase diagram in the $(P_{e_m}, \alpha)$ space of the motor-brake effect for puller and pusher swimmers. Positive values and negative values of the actuated stress $\tilde{\Sigma}_0$ are respectively red and green-labelled and correspond to brake and motor states. The relative magnitude of the actuated stress is indicated by a logarithmic color gradient.

where $\tilde{\Sigma}_{drag}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{diff}$ are passive contributions and account for drag on the surface of the particle from shear flow and diffusive process respectively; $\tilde{\Sigma}_{act}$ is related to the swimming activity of the particle; $\tilde{\Sigma}_{mag}$ represents the stress due to the perturbation of the flow by the magnetic field driven rotation of the particle. The brackets $<, >$ correspond to an angular average weighted by $\Psi$. Note that expression (8) is only valid for $\beta = 1$. See section IV discussing the case of $\beta = 0$.

III. THE MOTOR-BRAKE EFFECT

$(\tilde{\Sigma}_p)_{xy}$ will be denoted $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$. We restrict the investigations to Peclet numbers such that swimming remains the dominant contribution in the rheological response. Accordingly, in the following, we decided to fix the activity number at a value $A = 10$. 
FIG. 4: (a). Example of relation $\Sigma_p(Pe_H)$ obtained from a full numerical solution of the problem for $A = 10$, $\alpha = 45^\circ$, $Pe_m = 0.6$ (symbols). The solid line is a linear fit taking into account the data up to the limit $Pe_H^{\text{max}}$ such that the goodness of the fit $R^2$ remains larger that 0.999. The slope of the curve yields $Pe_H^{\text{max}}$ and the intercept $\Sigma_0$. In regime (i), the actuated stress dominates. In regime (ii), the stress is essentially linear in shear rate, the actuated stress is negligible. In regime (iii), the affine expansion is no longer valid because other stress terms contribute to the shear stress. When the calculated $Pe_H^{\text{max}}$, then we conclude that the regime $\Sigma_p = \Sigma_0 + \eta_Pe_H$ is never reached : the stress is constant at low $Pe_H$, up to a transition to a regime not anymore dominated by the active and drag stresses.

(b). Validity of the affine expansion of the stress $\Sigma_p$ in the parameter space $(Pe_m, \alpha)$ for $A = 10$. The color code corresponds to the value of $\Delta = \log_{10}(Pe_H^{\text{max}}) - \log_{10}(Pe_H)$, which is the range of validity, in decades of $Pe_H$, for which equation (10) holds. When parameters are chosen in the regions in blue, equation (10) is no longer valid and other terms have to be taken into account in the expansion of the shear stress.

On figure 2 we display the behavior of $\Sigma_p$ with respect to $Pe_H$ for different orientations of $B$. An important feature of the rheological response is that for $Pe_H \ll 1$ and $Pe_H \ll Pe_m$ (for any $Pe_m$), the diffusive $\Sigma_{\text{diff}}$ and magnetic $\Sigma_{\text{mag}}$ stresses do compensate each other. Then, the particle stress $\Sigma_p$ is mainly a combination of the active $\Sigma_{\text{act}}$ and the drag $\Sigma_{\text{drag}}$ stresses. While the drag stress vanishes for $Pe_H \rightarrow 0$, the particle stress $\Sigma_p$, which is completely determined by the active stress contribution, tends linearly to a non-zero constant (see figure 2 for puller and pusher swimmers). This constant stress will be called the actuated stress and denoted $\Sigma_0$. It is created by the swimming activity and induced by the magnetic field. Indeed, at these low $Pe_H$, the magnetic particle is essentially oriented in the direction of the magnetic field and both pusher and puller swimmers can increase the shearing of the fluid (motor state) or decrease it (brake state). This is illustrated on figure 2 by the drawing of the swimmer orientations and a sketch of the corresponding flow lines.

The intensity of the motor-brake effect relies on the value of the actuated stress $\Sigma_0$ which itself depends on the magnitude and orientation of the magnetic field. On figure 3 we plot two phase-diagrams in the $(Pe_m, \alpha)$ space for both pusher and puller swimmers : one can notice that the larger the magnetic field, the stronger the motor-brake effect. For a given $Pe_m$, the effect is maximal for $\alpha = 45^\circ$ and $\alpha = 135^\circ$ for which the extensional and compression axis of the flow created by the particles are aligned (motor) or perpendicular (brake) to the ones of the imposed simple shear flow. Similarly, for $\alpha = 0$, 90 and 180°, the actuated stress vanishes because the magnetic swimmers shear the fluid in the orthogonal direction to the imposed shear.

To investigate more quantitatively the motor-brake effect, we compute an analytical asymptotic expression for the active and drag dimensionless stresses to leading orders in $Pe_m$ and $Pe_H$. As mentioned above, the magnetic and diffusive stresses do compensate each other in the limit of low $Pe_H$ and $Pe_m$, such that their combined contribution vanishes in the asymptotic expansion of the stress. Note that, in other limits which are not investigated by this paper, the contributions of these stresses do not compensate and must be considered explicitly in the total stress balance. We restrict the expansion of the distribution function $\Psi(\theta, \phi)$ to the first two-spherical harmonics:

$$\Psi(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n} A_n^l P_n^l(\cos \theta) \cos l \phi + \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} B_n^l P_n^l(\cos \theta) \sin l \phi \right)$$

where $A_n^l$ and $B_n^l$ are the coefficients of the expansion, solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation (3). In this expansion,
By reversing the shear flow, the *actuated stress* keeps its sign and the *motor-brake* effect is reversed (from motor to brake state and vice-versa). *Inset*: Rescaled actuated stress $\frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_o}{(\frac{4}{\pi} \sin(2\alpha))}$ as a function of the magnetic Peclet number $Pe_m$. It exhibits a scaling in $Pe_m^2$ for $Pe_m \ll 1$ as predicted analytically. For $Pe_m \gg 1$, it saturates.

The spherical harmonics which contribute dominantly to the stress terms $< p_x y >$ and $< p_y^2 p_y^2 >$ are respectively $\Psi^2_2(\theta, \phi) = B^2_2 P^2_2(\cos \theta) \sin 2\phi / (4\pi)$ and $\Psi_0^2(\theta, \phi) = A_0^2 / (4\pi) = 1/(4\pi)$. Injecting $\Psi(\theta, \phi)$ into the Fokker-Planck equation (3), we show that $B^2_2 = 1/12 \left( \sin(2\alpha)Pe_m^2 + Pe_H \right)$ and we obtain an asymptotic scaling expression for the particle shear stress:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_p = \frac{1}{30} \left[ \epsilon \sin(2\alpha)Pe_m^2 + \left( \frac{1}{A} + \epsilon \right) Pe_H \right] + o(Pe_H, Pe_m^2)$$

which can be written in the form $\tilde{\Sigma}_p = \tilde{\Sigma}_0 + \eta_p Pe_H$, where $\tilde{\Sigma}_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{30} \sin(2\alpha)Pe_m^2$ is the actuated stress and $\eta_p = \frac{1}{30} \left( \frac{1}{A} + \epsilon \right)$ is the particle-borne viscosity contribution. A cross-over between the linear and the actuated stress regimes is observed at $Pe_H^{*} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\sin(2\alpha)(Pe_m^2)}{1/A + \epsilon} \right) / \left( \frac{\sin(2\alpha)(Pe_m^2)}{1/A + \epsilon} \right)}$. A master curve for this asymptotic scaling limit can be obtained rescaling the particle stress by $\left| \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \right|$ and the hydrodynamic Peclet number by the cross-over value $Pe_H^{*}$. i.e. : $Pe_H / Pe_H^{*} = Pe_H / Pe_H^{*} = Pe_H / \sqrt{\left( \frac{\sin(2\alpha)(Pe_m^2)}{1/A + \epsilon} \right)}$. The expression for this master curve is then:

$$\frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_p}{\left| \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \right|} = \epsilon \text{sign}(\sin(2\alpha)) + Pe_H \text{sign}(1/A + \epsilon)$$

To test the validity of the scaling expression, we propose to compare the results stemming from the full numerical solution of the Fokker-Planck equation yielding $\Psi(\theta, \phi)$ and the particle stress $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ (equation (8)), with the analytical expression for the master curve (equation (11)). From the computation of $\tilde{\Sigma}_p(Pe_H)$ at different $Pe_m$, we extract the
value of $\tilde{\Sigma}_0$ and $Pe_H$ using an affine fit in $Pe_H$ (see figure [3(a)]). We then construct the master curve of figure [5] where each data is a symbol: we indeed observe a collapse of the full numerical solution of equation (8) onto the master equation (11) for a wide range of parameters. This scaling law is no longer valid at large $Pe_m$, beyond the validity limit of the expansion done in equation (10), which we determine by computing the deviation of $\tilde{\Sigma}_p(Pe_H)$ from an affine fit (see figure [3(a)]). The validity domain is reported figure [3(b)].

The total shear stress of the suspension (including the contributions of both the suspending fluid and the magnetic micro-swimmers) is then, in its dimensional version:

$$\Sigma_{xy} = \eta_s \dot{\gamma} + n\sigma_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_p$$

(12)

where $n = \Phi/\nu_B = 6\Phi/(\pi a^2 L)$ is the volume density of active particles in the fluid, $\Phi$ is the particle volume fraction and $\nu_B$ the volume of a single ellipsoidal particle. Injecting the asymptotic scaling (10) of $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ into equation (12), we obtain the dimensional total shear stress exerted on the suspension:

$$\Sigma_{xy} = \frac{n\sigma_0}{30} \sin(2\alpha) Pe_m^2 \tilde{\Sigma}_0$$

$$+ \left( \eta_s + \frac{n\sigma_0}{30D_e} \left( \frac{1}{A} + \epsilon \right) \right) \eta_{eff} \dot{\gamma}$$

(13)

This constitutive relation generalizes the result of Saintillan [32]. It contains the dimensional actuated stress $\Sigma_0 = n\sigma_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0$ described above and a linear dependence with the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$, defining an effective viscosity of the suspension $\eta_{eff} \equiv \partial \Sigma_{xy}/\partial \dot{\gamma}$. Remarkably, the magnetic field angle can be chosen so that the actuated shear stress becomes negative for both pusher and puller swimmers. When the actuated stress dominates, the swimming power of the bacteria transferred to the fluid induces a shear of the suspension which can be oriented in the same direction as the imposed shear (motor state) or in the opposite direction (brake state).

An other feature of equation (13) is that $\eta_{eff}$ is identical to the effective viscosity of non-magnetic micro-swimmers suspensions, derived in [32] for instance. This comes from the fact that, in the range of validity of equation (13), there is no coupling between magnetic and hydrodynamic terms in the stress. However, in other ranges of parameters, non-trivial couplings between these terms change the effective viscosity of the suspension.

Note that, for $Pe_m \gg 1$, i.e. out from the validity limit of expression (13), the actuated stress exhibits a saturation. Indeed, in this limit, the micro-swimmers are mainly aligned in the magnetic field direction and deliver collectively the maximum shear allowed by their swimming energy. Then, the maximal intensity of the motor-brake effect is reachable by achieving the limit $Pe_m \gg 1$, which is confirmed by the numerical computation of the actuated stress (see inset of figure [5]).

IV. GENERALITY OF THE MOTOR-BRAKE EFFECT

In this section, we show that the properties of the motor-brake effect are not specific to the hypothesis of our model.

First, the rheological response of SS swimmers is the same as the one of NS swimmers. By symmetry, SS corresponds to NS after a re-orientation of the magnetic field by an angle $\pi$. Thus, if the NS swimmers are in motor state, the SS will also be in motor state and vice-versa.

We also investigate the role played by the particle geometry. More specifically, we analyzed the case of a purely spherical particle ($\beta = 0$). In this case, the kinematic equation is changed: $\dot{p} = (1-pp)\Omega_p + \Omega_m \times p = (\Omega + \Omega_m) \times p$, where $\Omega$ is the fluid vorticity vector. The corresponding change in the Fokker-Planck equation (3) concerns the operator $\Gamma_{\text{shear}}(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \phi}$. Concerning the stresses, the expressions are also different from the elongated rod case. The dimensional particle stress then reads:

$$\Xi_p = \frac{5}{6} n \xi_e \Xi + n\sigma_0 \left[ <pp> - \frac{1}{3} \right] + \frac{nmB}{2} \frac{<\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{p}>}{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}}$$

(14)

In this stress, the contribution of diffusive processes are zero due to the symmetry of the particle. Only remain the stress corresponding to the friction on the particle body, the active stress and the traceless magnetic stress (it is
antisymmetric here due to the spherical geometry of the particle, see \[36\].

On figure 6 are some numerical results obtained for both pusher and puller spherical swimmers. The phenomenology is the same as for elongated particles, meaning the appearance of a constant shear stress at low shear rate (or $Pe_H$). Moreover, the value of this constant, identical to the one of rod-shaped particles, is solely due to the active stress and the value and the sign of this constant depends on $Pe_m$, $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$, in the same way as for elongated swimmers. This shows that the motor-brake effect is general for various kinds of particle shapes. The main quantitative difference between the case of spherical and elongated particles is the effective viscosity of the suspension which is always positive for spherical particles, as already described before for non-magnetic swimmers \[32\].

\[\text{FIG. 6: Computation of the particle shear stress and active stress for spherical micro-swimmers. The motor-brake effect is recovered also for these kind of particles and the value of the actuated stress is identical to the one of rod-shaped particles.}\]

\[\text{V. ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE OF THE EFFECT AND CONCLUSION}\]

In order to test whether the motor-brake effect can be significant experimentally, let us take the example of a suspension of magnetotactic bacteria of dimensions $L = 5 \, \mu m$, $a = 1 \, \mu m$ at a volume fraction of 1\% (i.e. of number density $n \approx 10^{16} \, m^{-3}$). The force dipole magnitude of an active swimmer moving with a velocity of $10 \, \mu m.s^{-1}$ is typically $\sigma_0 = 10^{-18} J$ \[42\]. From experiments on magnetotactic bacteria using the *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* MSR-1 strain, we get values of $m \approx 10^{-16} \, A m^{-2}$ (see also \[20\], \[38\]); $D_r = 1 \, s^{-1}$, $t_H = 0.1 \, s$ (typical time for the bacteria to move over its size). The activity number $A$ is then typically equal to 10, and for a magnetic field $B = 1 \, mT$, $Pe_m = 1$. The magnetic field orientation is chosen to be at $\alpha = 135^\circ$. It is then possible to evaluate the $Pe_H$ below which $\Sigma_{xy} < 0$, using equation (13). We obtain $Pe_H \approx 1$ which corresponds to $\dot{\gamma} \approx 1 \, s^{-1}$. The value obtained for $Pe_H$ remains in the domain of validity of equation (13). The corresponding shear rate magnitude can be reached by known rheometry \[20\], \[21\], meaning that this effect could indeed be observed experimentally. Note that published experimental setups would allow to test the effect in the conditions akin to the model \[39\]. Moreover, one can estimate a numerical value for the maximum shear stress available from the system at low shear rate, which is $n\sigma_0 \approx 10^{-2} - 10^{-1} \, Pa$. This value needs to be compared to typical pressure loss in microfluidics : $10^{-1} \, Pa$ is needed to flow water in a cylindrical channel of 1cm-length and 100$\mu m$-radius at 1nL.s$^{-1}$, which is of the same order of magnitude. This indicates that the effect could be used to control microfluidic flows.

For standard rheo-magnetic suspensions, a negative-viscosity effect was found in response to oscillatory magnetic fields \[40\] or adding a constant torque on non-colloidal particles \[41\]. The motor-brake effect derived here is very different conceptually. First, it relies on the activity of magnetic swimmers under a constant magnetic field. Second, the actuation of a constant negative shear stress at low shear rate (motor state) is new in rheology. The tunability of the motor and brake states for such suspensions could open the way to several practical applications, as direct flow control in microfluidic devices or energy harvesting to build microscopic motorized systems.
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