Quantitative Phosphoproteomics of Early Elicitor Signaling in *Arabidopsis*\(^*\)\(^{\text{§}}\)
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Perception of general elicitors by plant cells initiates signal transduction cascades that are regulated by protein phosphorylation. The earliest signaling events occur within minutes and include ion fluxes across the plasma membrane, activation of MAPKs, and the formation of reactive oxygen species. The phosphorylation events that regulate these signaling cascades are largely unknown. Here we present a mass spectrometry-based quantitative phosphoproteomics approach that identified differentially phosphorylated sites in signaling and response proteins from *Arabidopsis* cells treated with either flg22 or xylanase. Our approach was sensitive enough to quantify phosphorylation on low abundance signaling proteins such as calcium-dependent protein kinases and receptor-like kinase family members. With this approach we identified one or more differentially phosphorylated sites in 76 membrane-associated proteins including a number of defense-related proteins. Our data on phosphorylation indicate a high degree of complexity at the level of post-translational modification as exemplified by the complex modification patterns of respiratory burst oxidase protein D. Furthermore the data also suggest that protein translocation and vesicle traffic are important aspects of early signaling and defense in response to general elicitors. Our study presents the largest quantitative *Arabidopsis* phosphoproteomics data set to date and provides a new resource that can be used to gain novel insight into plant defense signal transduction and early defense response. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 6:1198–1214, 2007.

Plants have developed various elaborate mechanisms to ward off pathogen attack. Whereas some of these defense mechanisms are preformed and provide physical and chemical barriers to hinder pathogen infection, others are induced only upon pathogen perception. These different layers of defense include basal defense and resistance (R)\(^1\)-gene-mediated defenses. Plants use R-gene products to recognize the presence or activity of specific pathogen avirulence gene products produced by specific races of microbial pathogens in a so-called gene-for-gene interaction. A specific match between an avirulence gene product and the corresponding R-gene product leads to hypersensitive response and activation of defense responses. In addition to R-gene-mediated defense plants rely on recognition of general elicitors, also called pathogen-associated molecular patterns, to detect potential pathogens and to activate basal defense (1). These general elicitors are structural components of microbes that are recognized by plants through plasma membrane-localized receptors. The general elicitor flagellin is recognized in *Arabidopsis* through a conserved 22-amino acid sequence (flg22). Recognition involves the receptor-like kinase FLS2 and activates a downstream response that includes the production of reactive oxygen species, ethylene biosynthesis, activation of a MAPK cascade, and activation of defense gene expression (2–4). Furthermore perception of flg22, as well as other general elicitors, by *Arabidopsis* enhances disease resistance against bacterial pathogens (5). Perception of both avirulence gene products and general elicitors activates signal transduction cascades and early defense responses to prevent the ingestion of the pathogen into host tissue. A recent study established that flg22-induced response in *Arabidopsis* and Avr9-induced response in tobacco show a substantial amount of overlap (6). Furthermore Tao et al. (7) have shown that the transcriptional reprogramming in response to compatible and incompatible interactions is very similar and that the difference in outcome likely depends on the quantitative nature of the local defense response (7).

The signal transduction pathways activated by general elicitors and Avr gene products are not well characterized. Thus far, research on plant defense signaling has focused mainly on the genetic dissection of the signal transduction pathways. Although the analysis of mutants with altered defense re-
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\(^1\)The abbreviations used are: R, resistance; BIK1, Botrytis-Induced Kinase1; CDPK, calcium-dependent protein kinase; flg22, conserved 22-amino acid sequence of flagellin of pathogenic *Pseudomonas*; LTQ, linear ion trap; MAP, mitogen-activated protein; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PM, plasma membrane; RLR, receptor-like kinase; ROI, reactive oxygen intermediate; SCX, strong cation exchange chromatography; SILAC, stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture; SNARE, soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor; TiO\(_2\), titanium oxide; ABC, ATP-binding cassette; RBOHD, respiratory burst oxidase protein D.
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Materials

Poros R3 was obtained from Applied Biosystems (Nieuwerkerk a/d IJssel, The Netherlands). K\(^{15}\)NO\(_3\) and (\(^{15}\)NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) were from Spectra Stable Isotopes (Columbia, MD). Flagellin peptide flg22 and fungal elicitor xylanase. Quantitative changes in phosphorylation were compared between the two resulting data sets so that proteins phosphorylated in response to both elicitors could be identified. Our data identify quantitative changes in phosphorylation of novel membrane-associated proteins as well as established defense-related proteins. Additionally our data also point to a role for protein translocation and vesicle transport during early elicitor signaling and response.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Detection of changes in protein phosphorylation was traditionally performed by labeling cells with radioactive phosphate followed by two-dimensional gel separation of labeled proteins and mass spectrometric analysis (19). Recent advances in LC-MS/MS have made it possible to directly analyze highly complex protein mixtures. Unfortunately traditional LC-MS/MS experiments generally yield few phosphorylated peptides. Despite the fact that a high percentage of cellular proteins are thought to be phosphorylated at any given time (20), this phosphorylated form is generally low in abundance. Furthermore the addition of a phosphate group often results in a reduction in ionization efficiency in MS (21). Recent advances in selective purification of phosphopeptides have made it possible to overcome these limitations and identify phosphorylation sites in large numbers of proteins (18, 22, 23). Although these qualitative approaches are highly informative in themselves, the identification of phosphorylation events relevant to a specific signaling pathway requires quantitative rather than qualitative measurements. Quantitative phosphoproteomics approaches based on stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) have been successfully applied in studies with cultured human cells and in yeast (24–26) and could in principle also be used in studies with plant cell cultures. An alternative approach, called iTRAQ (isobaric tagging for relative and absolute quantitation), has been described recently in several quantitative phosphoproteomics studies (27, 28) and is based on the labeling of the N termini and lysine residues of extracted (phospho-)peptides with isobaric tags to allow quantitation. Here we report an alternative quantitative phosphoproteomics approach, based on \(^{14}\)N/\(^{15}\)N metabolic labeling, that is specifically suited for cultured plant cells.

We set up this quantitative phosphoproteomics approach to identify the early signaling and response proteins modulated upon perception of general elicitors by Arabidopsis cells. We used a \(^{14}\)N/\(^{15}\)N metabolic labeling strategy that allowed us to directly compare phosphorylation levels of proteins of mock-treated and elicitor-treated cells with mass spectrometry. Changes in phosphorylation of membrane-associated proteins were analyzed for cells treated with the flagellin peptide flg22 and fungal elicitor xylanase. Quantitative changes in phosphorylation were compared between the two resulting data sets so that proteins phosphorylated in response to both elicitors could be identified. Our data identify quantitative changes in phosphorylation of novel membrane-associated proteins as well as established defense-related proteins. Additionally our data also point to a role for protein translocation and vesicle transport during early elicitor signaling and response.

Portions of this work were supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (MCB-0812637) and the National Institutes of Health (GM56701) to M.D.P.

Elicitor-induced Phosphorylation in Arabidopsis

Portions of this work were supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (MCB-0812637) and the National Institutes of Health (GM56701) to M.D.P.
Elicitor-induced Phosphorylation in Arabidopsis

Science. GeLoader tips came from Eppendorf (Eppendorf, Germany). The Empore C8 disk was from 3M (Neuss, Germany). Syringes and needles were from SGE (Victoria, Australia). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Growth of Cells

Suspension cells of Arabidopsis thaliana accession Col-0 (29) were cultured on Gamborg B5 medium (30 g/liter sucrose, 1 mM naphthaleneacetic acid, 1.0 mM (NH4)2SO4, 25 mM KNO3, 50 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.8. The U3 phase was diluted 2-fold in buffer containing 50 mM NH4HCO3 and kept at room temperature for 30 min in darkness. The Empore C8 disk was from 3M (Neuss, Germany). Syringes and needles were from SGE (Victoria, Australia). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Selective Enrichment of Phosphopeptides

Strong Cation Exchange Chromatography—Strong cation exchange chromatography (SCX) was performed according to Gruhler et al. (26) on an ammonium formate gradient. Prior to SCX the digested peptides were desalted on a 15-μl POROS R3 reverse phase column made in a constricted GeLoader tip (31). Peptides were eluted with 40 μl of 50% acetonitrile and diluted to yield 100 μl with SCX buffer A. Peptides were separated on a 1-ml Resource S column (GE Healthcare) on an AKTA purifier (GE Healthcare). 16 fractions were collected, reduced in volume to 20 μl, and frozen at −20 °C.

Titanium Oxide Enrichment—Titanium oxide (GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan) columns were prepared by placing a small plug, stamped out of a 3M Empore C8 disk using a 0.3-mm-inner diameter flat tip needle fitted on a 1-ml glass syringe and brought to position using a 0.15-mm-outer diameter wire just above the constricted end of a GeLoader tip. The column material was then packed to a bedding volume of 1 μl (±2 cm) and washed with washing buffer (80% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA). Samples were loaded on a 3-μl reverse phase column as described above and eluted with 15 μl of titanium oxide (TiO2) loading buffer (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA, and 300 mg/ml dihydroxybenzoic acid). This elute was loaded slowly onto the TiO2 column and washed with 6 μl of loading buffer and 6 μl of washing buffer. Phosphopeptides were eluted with 20 μl of 1% ammonia. The eluate was mixed with 20 μl of 10% formic acid and frozen at −20 °C prior to MS analysis.

Mass Spectrometry

Nanoscale HPLC-MS/MS experiments were performed on an Agilent 1100 nanoflow system (Agilent Technologies) connected to a 7-tesla Finnigan LTQ-FT mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source. Loading was accomplished by using a flow rate of 5 μl/min onto a homemade 2-cm fused silica precolumn (100-μm inner diameter, 375-μm outer diameter, Resposil C18-AQ, 3 μm (Maisch, Ammerbuch, Germany)) using an autosampler. Sequential elution of peptides was accomplished using a linear gradient from Solution A (0.6% acetic acid) to 50% Solution B (80% acetonitrile and 0.5% acetic acid) in 40 min over the precolumn in line with a homemade 20–25-cm resolving column (50-μm inner diameter, 375-μm outer diameter, Resposil C18-AQ, 3 μm (Maisch)).

The mass spectrometer was operated in the data-dependent mode to automatically switch between MS, MS/MS, and neutral loss-dependent MS/MS/MS (MS3) acquisition. Survey full-scan MS spectra (from m/z 300 to 1500) were acquired in the FT-ICR mass spectrometer with resolution R = 25,000 at m/z 400 (after accumulation to a target value of 5,000,000 in the linear ion trap). The three most intense ions were sequentially isolated for accurate mass measurements by a FT-ICR “single ion monitoring scan,” which consisted of a 15-Da mass range, resolution R = 50,000, and target accumulation value of 80,000. These were then simultaneously fragmented in the linear ion trap using collisionally induced dissociation at a target value of 10,000.

The data-dependent neutral loss algorithm in the Xcalibur software was enabled for each MS/MS spectrum. Data-dependent settings were chosen to trigger a MS2 scan when a neutral loss corresponding to 98, 49, or 32.7 Th was detected among the five most intense fragment ions. Former target ions selected for MS/MS were dynamically excluded for 30 s. Total cycle time was approximately 3 s. Each sample was also subjected to a “regular” LC-MS/MS analy-
sis. Survey full-scan MS spectra (from m/z 300 to 1500) were acquired in the FT-ICR mass spectrometer with resolution $R = 100,000$ at m/z 400 (after accumulation to a target value of 2,000,000 in the linear ion trap). The two most intense ions were fragmented in the linear ion trap using collisionally induced dissociation at a target value of 10,000.

**Data Analysis**

All MS/MS spectra files from each LC run were centroided and merged to a single file using Bioworks 3.2 (Thermo Electron), which was searched using the Mascot search engine (version 2.1.0, Matrix Science) against the publicly available Arabidopsis database (The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR); August 2005; file name, ATH1_pep_cm_20040228; containing 29,161 non-redundant proteins) with carbamidomethylcysteine as a fixed modification. Oxidized methionine and phosphorylation (serine, threonine, and tyrosine) were searched as variable modifications. Searches were done with trypsin specificity allowing two miscleavages and an initial tolerance on mass measurement of 20 ppm in MS mode and 0.9 Da for MS/MS ions. To perform relative quantification the same files were rerun under the same search criteria but with the database consisting of proteins with masses incorporating $^{15}$N. A score cutoff of 50 was used (stated if otherwise) that corresponds to an expect value in the region of 0.001 to create a data set with high confidence. All MS$^2$ Mascot data is available as a scaffold file (Proteome Software, Portland, OR) upon request. An appropriate reader is also available. Some peptides were observed that could be derived from multiple proteins (Supplemental Table V). These peptides were assigned to the protein for which we identified the highest number of peptides with a Mascot score of 50 or higher.

Relative quantification ratios of the identified phosphopeptides were derived by MSQuant. Briefly peptide ratios between the monoisotopic peaks of “normal” and “heavy” forms of the phosphopeptide were calculated and averaged over consecutive MS cycles for the duration of their respective LC-MS peaks in the total ion chromatogram using both FT survey and FT single ion monitoring scans. All identified phosphopeptides that were differentially phosphorylated and that could be confirmed in both biological replicates of either the flg22 data set or the xylanase data set were manually validated (spectra are provided as supplemental data). Localization of phosphorylated residues within the individual peptide sequences were manually assigned using the software packages MSQuant (which is open-source software (70)) and Xcalibur (Thermo, San Jose, CA). All phosphopeptides that were not differentially phosphorylated or could only be observed in one biological replica are provided as supplemental data. Only those phosphopeptides were included that have a Mascot score of at least 50 (Supplemental Tables II and III).

All data were normalized to the mean of all ratios of unmodified peptides within each biological replicate. Subsequently data for each peptide from both biological replicates were averaged.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Induction, Extraction, and Purification of Phosphopeptides**

Perception of general elicitors occurs at the external side of the plasma membrane and sets in motion a signal transduction cascade. We used flagellin peptide flg22 and xylanase as general elicitors to activate early signal transduction in Arabidopsis suspension cultured cells. Perception of flg22 or xylanase activates MAP kinases in Arabidopsis suspension cultured cells within minutes; MAP kinase activity peaks within 5–10 min and diminishes after 30 min (Fig. 1). Based on these activation kinetics we chose 10-min incubation with flg22 or xylanase for our further experiments.

The initial signaling events are likely to occur at the cytosolic face of the plasma membrane so we set out to identify signal transduction proteins associated with the plasma membrane. This strategy resulted in a considerable reduction in complexity of the proteome and allowed us to focus on the earliest signaling events. We used aqueous two-phase partitioning (32–34) to obtain purified plasma membrane vesicles. To verify the efficiency of the method, we analyzed the crude microsomal samples and purified PM samples by Western blot probed with antibodies specific for marker proteins of different cellular compartments (Fig. 2a). This showed a significant enrichment for PM proteins, whereas mitochondrial and vacuolar proteins were almost entirely absent from the PM sample (Fig. 2a).

From these PM vesicles we prepared a tryptic digest of the cytosolic domain using the vesicle shaving method (34). To obtain further reduction in complexity of the sample, trypsin-digested peptides were fractionated using SCX under acidic conditions (Fig. 2c), which separates phosphopeptides from the majority of the non-phosphopeptides due to differences in solution state charge (35). Subsequently each fraction was separately enriched for phosphopeptides using TiO$_2$ affinity chromatography (23) in the presence of high concentrations of dihydroxybenzoic acid (36). Fig. 2d shows that early SCX fractions consisted almost entirely of singly phosphorylated peptides after TiO$_2$ enrichment, whereas later fractions also contained a significant number of multiple phosphorylated as well non-phosphorylated peptides. Of the 1168 detected phosphopeptides we identified mostly phosphorylated Ser and Thr residues (Ser(P), 979 (83%); Thr(P), 189 (17%); Supplemental Table V) and only a few (11) phosphorylated Tyr residues (data not shown). A more detailed description of the analytical performance of the enrichment strategy and the physiochemical properties of all identified peptides is provided in the supplemental data.

**MS Analysis**

The comparative analysis of protein sample by MS is hampered by the fact that sample preparation, purification strategies, and MS analysis results in semiquantitative data at best. To overcome these limitations, two different samples can be “tagged” with different mass labels and analyzed together. This allows for a pairwise comparison of signal
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intensities for each peptide in the sample similar to the use of different fluorescent dyes during competitive hybridization in microarray experiments. As extraction efficiencies can be different between samples, optimal quantitative accuracy is achieved when the two samples are tagged and combined prior to the extraction. This can be achieved by growing cells on medium containing specific 15N-amino acids or on a basal salt medium containing 15N or 14N. To test this, we grew Arabidopsis cell cultures in liquid medium containing 14N or 15N and analyzed isotope incorporation rates by MS. Four subculture periods of 7 days resulted in almost complete incorporation (>99%) of 15N into randomly selected proteins (Fig. 2b).

To identify phosphorylated proteins involved in early elicitor signaling and defense response in Arabidopsis, we induced cells grown on 14N-medium with flg22 or xylanase for 10 min and pooled the cells with an equal amount of 15N-grown cells that were mock-treated. The pooled cells were homogenized, PM vesicles were purified, and phosphopeptides were isolated as described above. To obtain biologically relevant high confidence data, we also performed an inverse labeling experiment with elicitor induction of the 14N-grown cells and mock treatment of the 15N-grown cells for both elicitors. Individual SCX fractions enriched in phosphopeptides with titanium oxide were analyzed by LC-MS. Analysis of phosphopeptides by tandem MS is often hindered by the preferential loss of phosphoric acid upon fragmentation of precursor ions, often resulting in a predominant neutral loss ion \( (M + nH^+ - H_2PO_4)^{+} \). This hinders sequence annotation and prevents the identification of phosphopeptides and phosphorylation sites (as previously described (26)). To overcome these limitations we performed mass spectrometric analysis on an LTQ-FT-ICR mass spectrometer. Such an instrument provides several advantages when required to perform identification and localization of phosphorylated residues, including high dynamic range and mass accuracy as well as allowing multiple levels of tandem mass spectrometry. This latter feature allows the further sequencing of dominant neutral loss peak by MS3. Recently MS3 has been used to good effect to identify phosphorylated peptides in yeast (26). Each of our phosphopeptide-enriched samples was subjected to an LC-MS analysis that included MS3 scans that were initiated when the neutral loss of phosphoric acid was detected. Analyses including MS3 scans can significantly reduce the number of peptides that are sequenced during an LC run, and so to acquire the most comprehensive analysis of each sample a regular MS analysis was also performed. Fig. 3 shows an example of an MS analysis of a phosphopeptide with neutral loss-dependent MS3 scan. The left spectrum shows an FT-ICR-MS scan containing the 14N (824.88 m/z) and 15N (836.34 m/z) peaks for RGNpSSNDHELGILR (where pS is phosphoserine) of RBODH from an flg22 sample. From this spectrum the ratio between 14N- and 15N-labeled versions of the peptide can be determined; it reflects the relative level of phosphorylation in the flg22-treated culture (14N) as compared with the mock-treated culture (15N). The middle spectrum shows
the MS² of ¹⁴N precursor ion (824.88 m/z) that was isolated and fragmented in the LTQ. The predominant mass in this spectrum corresponds to the neutral loss ion (775.94 m/z). The right spectrum shows the corresponding MS³ after isolation and fragmentation of the neutral loss ion in the LTQ. From this spectrum the amino acid sequence and position of the phosphorylated residue (Ser at position 4) were determined. The corresponding ¹⁵N peak was analyzed in an identical manner by independent MS² and MS³ (data not shown) providing independent amino acid sequence information and annotation of the phosphorylated residue.

Membrane-associated Proteins Identified

A general survey of our flg22-induced and xylanase-induced data sets showed that we identified a total of 1186 Arabidopsis proteins from our plasma membrane-enriched fractions (Supplemental Table I). A little less then half of these were predicted to have at least one transmembrane domain (Fig. 4a). Comparison of the data with three other proteomics data sets obtained from purified plasma membrane vesicles isolated with aqueous two-phase partitioning (18, 32, 33) showed about 80% overlap with the data set that also used Arabidopsis suspension cells (155 of 192 reported proteins (18)). Membrane extracts from whole plants (32, 33) show only a 50% overlap with data presented here (169 of 304 and 54 of 104 proteins, respectively). A comparison against a recent large scale localization study of Arabidopsis organelle proteins showed an overlap of 170 proteins with our data set (41). Of these 170 proteins 39% were classified as PM, 25% were classified as endoplasmic reticulum, 4% were classified as Golgi, 2% were classified as vacuolar, and another 29% were not classified in this LOPIT (localization of organelle proteins by isotope tagging) analysis (41). Overall this comparison correlates well with the data presented in Fig. 2a and indicates that our samples are highly enriched in PM proteins. In addition to the observed overlap with these four published proteomics data sets (18, 32, 33, 41) we also identified a substantial number (808) of novel membrane-associated proteins. Despite the high level of enrichment of our plasma membrane preparations we, like Nuhse et al. (18), also did observe a small number of potential contaminants, such as DNA-binding proteins (Supplemental Table I).

In our data sets we identified a total of 1172 phosphopeptides (Fig. 4b), including 1011 new phosphopeptides, each with one or more phosphorylated residues. We observed a substantial overlap in identified phosphopeptides between our two data sets (Fig. 4b). Almost 60% of all phosphopeptides identified in the xylanase-treated cells were also found in the flg22-treated cells. However, there were also a considerable amount of phosphopeptides found in only one of the data sets. This is likely due to the fact that MS analysis of complex peptide samples is unlikely to give full coverage of all peptides in the mixture. Comparison of the data with another proteomics data set obtained from purified plasma membrane vesicles isolated with aqueous two-phase partitioning and selective phosphopeptide enrichment (18) also showed about 57% overlap (161 of 283 phosphopeptides) (Fig. 4b).

Elicitation-induced Changes in Protein Phosphorylation

10-min exposure of Arabidopsis cells to general elicitors resulted in significant induction of MAP kinase activity. With a short induction time we aimed to induce only post-translational modifications and expected to limit the contribution of transcription and translation to any observed changes in protein phosphorylation and protein abundance. We analyzed the changes in protein abundance by calculating the ratio of each peptide in the elicitor-treated samples versus mock-treated samples. A total of 16,196 peak pairs were compared using MSQuant (70), and a ratio of elicitor-treated versus mock-treated was determined for all of these. For 1069 peptides we
were able to identify a ratio in both biological replicas, and 467 of these peptides had one or more phosphorylated residues. To identify proteins that were differentially phosphorylated in response to either flg22, xylanase, or both elicitors, we normalized the ratios of the non-phosphorylated peptides and phosphopeptides found in both biological replicas of the inverse labeling experiment (Fig. 5). As a result of the normalization, the average ratios of non-phosphopeptides in both data sets were distributed around 1. More than 96% of non-phosphopeptides (96% for flg22 and 100% for xylanase) had a ratio that remained within 3 standard deviations (ratio < 0.67 or ratio > 1.5) of the mean (Fig. 5). This indicates that at 10 min after elicitation only a very few proteins changed in abundance. We detected five proteins with a significant change in abundance in both replicas in the flg22 sample (Table I). As none of these proteins are obvious transmembrane proteins, the observed change in abundance is likely due to translocation to the plasma membrane or de novo protein synthesis. We presuppose that translocation of protein to or from the membrane is the major contributor to this phenomenon because dramatic increases in de novo protein synthesis are unprecedented at 10 min after addition of an elicitor. Translocation of cytosolic proteins to the membranes has been reported previously in response to both race-specific and general elicitors (42, 43). Our data suggest that translocation of these proteins to membranes is an immediate response that may be integral to elicitor signal transduction or elicitor-induced immediate defense response in plants. More importantly, our data showed that the overwhelming majority of the proteins present in both biological replicas show no changes in abundance at 10 min after induction with elicitors (Fig. 5).

The normalized average ratios of the phosphopeptides were also distributed around 1, but the fraction of phosphopeptides with a ratio that remained within 3 standard deviations of the mean (i.e., ratio < 0.67 or ratio > 1.5) was much smaller (Fig. 5), especially for the flg22 data set (66% for flg22 and 93% for xylanase). This data distribution, together with the data distribution of the non-phosphopeptides, suggested that the observed ratios for the phosphopeptides are
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**Fig. 4.** Protein classifications. **a,** proportional representation of transmembrane domains within proteins found in this study as predicted by TMHMM (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). **b,** Venn diagram depicting the total number of unique phosphopeptides identified in data sets from xylanase and flg22 treatment experiments compared with the data set published by Nuhse et al. (18). **c,** representation of functional protein classes of PM phosphoproteins with significantly altered phosphorylation upon treatment with flg22 or xylanase. TM, transmembrane.

**Fig. 5.** Ratio distribution of peptide ratios. The average ratio for each peptide was log2-transformed and distributed in equally spaced bins according to the ratio. The average ratio for each bin is shown on the y axis, and the bins were ordered from low to high ratio on the x axis. The top graph shows all unmodified peptides and phosphopeptides (Phos) measured in both biological replicas of the flg22-treated cells. The bottom graph shows the same for the xylanase-treated cells.
almost entirely due to changes in phosphorylation status and not due to changes in protein abundance. This was further evaluated by comparing the ratios of the phosphopeptides to ratios of non-phosphopeptides from the same proteins when these were available. Of the 99 comparisons we were able to do, only three comparisons indicated that the observed differential ratio for the phosphopeptide could also have been due to an increase in protein abundance (Supplemental Table II). The three corresponding proteins (At3g26935, At2g17480, and At1g45688) were therefore not considered to be differentially phosphorylated. Together the distribution analysis and the ratio comparison show that the vast majority of differential ratios for the phosphopeptides are caused by differential phosphorylation of the corresponding proteins.

### Differential Protein Phosphorylation

From our two data sets we identified 472 proteins that were phosphorylated on one or more residues. The majority of these proteins were not identified previously as phosphoproteins. When compared with the only other large scale protein phosphorylation analysis study (18) we found a significant number of proteins present in their data set that are also present as phosphoproteins in our data set. This was anticipated as Nuhse et al. (18) extracted phosphopeptides from uninduced cells combined with cells induced with flg22 for 8 min. However, these authors were unable to distinguish between phosphorylated residues from untreated and elicitor-induced cells. Using our metabolic labeling strategy we could identify one or more differentially phosphorylated residues in at least 98 phosphopeptides corresponding to 76 proteins (Table II). We considered peptides to be differentially phosphorylated when we were able to identify the corresponding phosphopeptides in both biological replicates and when these phosphopeptides had a normalized ratio that was at least 3 standard deviations away from the mean (ratio $<0.67$ or ratio $>1.5$; Fig. 6). These selection criteria (outlined in Fig. 6) were used to ensure that we obtained high confidence data sets with differentially phosphorylated peptides. A further measure of the confidence level of these data sets is the consistent reproducibility of the ratios in the two biological replicates as visualized in a x-y scatter plot (Fig. 7). Overall the ratios from biological replica 1 match the amplitude and direction of change of the ratios from biological replica 2 for both elicitor treatments with only a few outliers in each set. Furthermore this consistent reproducibility is also evident from the small S.E. values of the ratios in Table II. Functional classification of the differentially phosphorylated proteins identified a substantial number of proteins involved in signal transduction. These include ion channels, calcium ATPases, calmodulins, protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and receptor-like kinases (Fig. 4c and Table II).

A substantial number (12 of 76) of the differentially phosphorylated proteins are enzymes involved in protein phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, including members of different families of kinases, receptor-like kinases (RLKs) and protein phosphatases (Fig. 4c). Among these we could identify proteins that have been implicated previously in defense responses. One such example is Botrytis-Induced Kinase1 (BIK1; At2g39660), which codes a membrane-anchored protein kinase that was shown recently to be required for basal defense (44). In the present study we showed that this protein is phosphorylated on Ser-18 and dephosphorylated at Ser-28 in response to flg22, suggesting that the changes in phosphorylation status of these residues are important for elicitor-induced activation and by inference for basal defense.

Our screen identified at least three CDPKs (At1g18890, At5g19450, and At5g12480) that are differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Table II and Supplemental Table III). Previously CDPKs have been shown to be activated in response to fungal elicitors in french bean cells (45) and in Nicotiana benthamiana in response to race-specific elicitor (46). The fact that three different CDPKs were differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 points to the central role this class of protein kinases plays in defense signal transduction. It is also interesting to note that four RLKs (At5g67200, At1g27190, At2g26730, and At4g03390) were differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Table II). These four RLKs do not include FLS2, although we did identify one non-phosphorylated FLS2 peptide in our data set. Phosphorylated FLS2 is rapidly internalized and degraded upon flg22 binding as recently described by Robatzek et al. (47). Perhaps this prevented detection of FLS2 phosphopeptides in

| Treatment | AGI_ID   | Ratio Treatment/mock | Annotation                                      |
|-----------|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| flg22     | At1g53840| 2.56 ± 0.2           | Pectinesterase family protein                   |
| flg22     | At4g12070| 2.08 ± 0.1           | Expressed protein                               |
| flg22     | At4g03080| 1.84 ± 0.03          | Kelch repeat-containing serine/threonine phosphoesterase family protein |
| flg22     | At2g01340| 0.55 ± 0.003         | Expressed protein                               |
| flg22     | At3g63260| 0.53 ± 0.04          | Protein kinase                                  |

**Elicitor-induced Phosphorylation in Arabidopsis**

The presented ratios and S.E. values were calculated by averaging $^{14}$N/$^{15}$N ratios from consecutive FT-MS scans during the elution of the individual peptide pairs and by averaging data from two biological replicas. AGI_ID, Arabidopsis Gene Index number.
TABLE II
Identified differentially regulated phosphopeptides

The presented ratios and S.E. values were calculated by averaging $^{14}$N/$^{15}$N ratios from consecutive FT-MS scans during the elution of the individual peptide pairs and by averaging data from two biological replicas. Capital letters in the peptide sequence column indicate amino acids, and lower case p indicates phosphorylation of the Ser or Thr residue that follows. Rows in gray indicate phosphopeptides up-regulated by xylanase as well as flg22. Phosphorylated residues were predicted by Mascot and manually validated. AGI_ID, Arabidopsis Gene Index number; pT, phosphothreonine.

| AGI_ID | Peptide sequence | Ratio Xylanase / mock | Ratio Flg22 / mock | Locus | Annotation |
|--------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| At1g01540 | ADFASAAIpTPPSIK | 0.82 ± 0.16 | 0.52 ± 0.04 | protein kinase family protein |
| At1g06510 | ADOONNNVDAPSDAGWSR | 2.53 ± 0.15 | | calcium-binding EF hand family protein |
| At1g07630 | SSGPVLPgSPGIER | 2.42 ± 0.05 | | protein phosphatase 2C family protein |
| At1g15210 | NMEDINTpSSR | 1.31 ± 0.37 | 2.27 ± 0.06 | ABC transporter family protein |
| At1g16660 | VGSPILDpSSGLMK | 1.18 ± 0.03 | 1.74 ± 0.55 | merozoite surface protein-related |
| At1g18390 | SGPLVAOpSPDSVVK | 0.83 ± 0.07 | 0.51 ± 0.05 | protein kinase family protein |
| At1g18890 | SLPtSINLMK | 1.19 ± 0.08 | 2.51 ± 0.39 | ATCDPK1 | calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 (CDPK1) |
| At1g27190 | HGVpSHYDREFLPVFNK | 1.61 ± 0.38 | | leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein kinase |
| At1g34440 | pSSGyGGPGNEGGGGGGGAVATVR | 0.82 ± 0.09 | 1.83 ± 0.35 | phototropic-responsive NPH3 family protein |
| At1g36690 | SAPFkKESDFFADLK | 1.53 ± 0.17 | | SEC14 cytosolic factor family |
| At1g33990 | TLPpDSPNSGK | 0.52 ± 0.05 | | hydrolase |
| At1g53590 | KEFEFLGISEEESQSPSPR | 1.95 ± 0.43 | | C2 domain-containing protein |
| At1g54610 | ASSGVVVGEpSVTK | 5.36 ± 0.15 | | protein kinase family protein |
| At1g59610 | AAAASSWDOSGTESpSPR | 2.05 ± 0.21 | | ADL3 | dynamin-like protein |
| At1g56870 | NIEDFpSSpSSRR | 1.53 ± 0.14 | | PEN3 | ABC transporter family protein (PEN3) |
| At1g56870 | NIEDFESSpSPR | 2.37 ± 0.33 | | PEN3 | ABC transporter family protein (PEN3) |
| At1g56870 | NIEDFESSpSPR | 2.15 ± 0.13 | | PEN3 | ABC transporter family protein (PEN3) |
| At1g56870 | TQPpSNDDEEALK | 3.14 ± 0.26 | | PEN3 | ABC transporter family protein (PEN3) |
| At1g61250 | LpSPLPPEPpGFDYGR | 0.26 ± 0.06 | | SC3 | secretory carrier membrane protein (SCAMP) family protein |
| At1g62320 | pSSPpNVSGAFVSK | 0.55 ± 0.07 | | early-responsive to dehydration protein-related |
| At1g66480 | TVpSDVTRG | 2.07 ± 0.06 | | expressed protein |
| At1g66720 | VLPQAPSLHVQEVQTPSR | 2.12 ± 0.17 | | cytokine/deoxycytidine deaminase family protein |
| At1g73590 | FHYQSGGSGGSGGAGYHAPNPGMFpSNTGGGGGTAK | 0.65 ± 0.09 | | PIN1 | auxin efflux carrier protein |
| At1g73590 | GpSSFN1TDYFSSMMASSGGR | 1.54 ± 0.21 | | PIN1 | auxin efflux carrier protein |
| At1g73590 | GPTpRenpSNYESGDPKPpTAAGTAGGpR | 0.66 ± 0.11 | | PIN1 | auxin efflux carrier protein |
| At1g73670 | SDAVWDPEPtpSPK | 0.48 ± 0.26 | 0.37 ± 0.02 | expressed protein |
| At1g73660 | SEADLDGQVQDFGQSPK | 1.87 ± 0.44 | | expressed protein |
| At1g77110 | Dp[TT]VPAAGK | 0.43 ± 0.05 | | PIN6 | auxin transport protein |
| At1g79610 | GLTPYSeSpPRSOpTK | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 0.66 ± 0.07 | mechanosensitive ion channel domain-containing protein |
| A2g10160 | AVpSDIKHEVQSSLQNEK | 1.56 ± 0.09 | | ankyrin repeat family protein |
| A2g15760 | pGTSpSADAELDSGQ | 0.38 ± 0.01 | | Calmodulin-binding protein |
| A2g16960 | GLIDITQAGHYpTV | 0.94 ± 0.18 | 0.33 ± 0.01 | AHA1 | ATPase 1 |
| A2g20840 | YOSHspSFDDGEINPFANPTSVPAAATS | 0.52 ± 0.04 | | secretory carrier membrane protein (SCAMP) family protein |
| A2g23140 | pSGPLAATTSATR | 2.38 ± 0.39 | | armadillo/beta-catenin repeat family protein |
| A2g28730 | GSEGQTTPGEPpSRTPR | 0.59 ± 0.04 | | leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein kinase |
| AGI_ID     | Peptide sequence       | Ratio Xylanase / mock | Ratio Flg22 / mock | Locus       | Annotation                                |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| A2g28070   | MNSGSMApSPPVPEGGA GTGVR | 1.01 ± 0.01           | 2.14 ± 0.37        | ABC         | ABC transporter family protein            |
| A2g32450   | VDNVNDVFSEAGWpSR       | 0.79 ± 0.1            | 0.39 ± 0.08        | EF          | calcium-binding EF hand family protein   |
| A2g33490   | VSsPSTAPSTFVSTPK       | 1.12 ± 0.01           | 1.55 ± 0.23        | protein     | protein phosphatase 2C family protein     |
| A2g35350   | SGLMFpSGPIER           | 1.46 ± 0.22           | 3.09 ± 0.16        | protein     | protein phosphatase 2C family protein     |
| A2g36330   | TpSMPsSPSSVSTEK        | 0.46 ± 0.02           |                    | integral    | integral membrane protein                |
| A2g36910   | FTHTEQVMTGSOpSSpSR    | 1.32 ± 0.26           | 1.53 ± 0.07        | ATPG1       | multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein      |
| A2g38480   | TSEPpTPASGTSTITQR      | 0.48 ± 0.05           |                    | BIK1        | protein kinase                            |
| A2g39660   | pSSDLYGSLpSSR          | 2.28 ± 0.14           |                    |             | protein kinase                            |
| A2g43680   | GASPQAISpSKPPpSPR      | 1.03 ± 0.15           | 0.35 ± 0.04        |             | calmodulin-binding family protein         |
| A2g47600   | GASPQAISpSKPPpSPR      | 2.16 ± 0.22           |                    |             | calmodulin-binding family protein         |
| A2g47680   | LDAPPRpTTPKpSPR        | 1.47 ± 0.27           | 1.54 ± 0.18        |             | calmodulin-binding family protein         |
| A2g47680   | SLPSPKPPpSPR           | 1.58 ± 0.08           |                    |             | calmodulin-binding family protein         |
| A2g47680   | TLSPPKPPpSPR           | 1.81 ± 0.17           |                    |             | calmodulin-binding family protein         |
| A2g48520   | ALAVKVEIEEHPpTPK       | 3.26 ± 0.26           |                    | DNA-binding | DNA-binding protein                        |
| A2g48520   | VDVpVSPAVPLAEPpTPVEAPE K | 1.77 ± 0.46          |                    |             | DNA-binding protein                        |
| A2g52000   | TNpSSLVPK14            | 3.74 ± 0.57           |                    | AT6         | zinc finger family protein (AT6)          |
| A2g07540   | SLFLSSTASPpSPAR        | 0.77 ± 0.01           | 0.43 ± 0.04        |             | formin homology 2 domain-containing protein |
| A2g09070   | AsPWSDGSLGR10          | 0.64 ± 0.07           |                    |             | glycine-rich protein                      |
| A2g17660   | TFpTLEEDPdASpSLPK      | 1.52 ± 0.01           |                    |             | oligopeptide transporter OPT family protein|
| A2g21660   | NYDETrpSQLGAVPSVSR     | 0.85 ± 0.07           | 0.52 ± 0.07        |             | zinc finger (DHHC type) family protein    |
| A2g27990   | KDEEFPspsPGPVPR        | 6.8 ± 1.21            |                    |             | expressed protein                          |
| A2g28345   | SsSANvTPpSTIK          | 0.61 ± 0.02           |                    | ABC         | ABC transporter family protein            |
| A2g28950   | SFpSFpVDGBPGRGK        | 0.85 ± 0.01           | 0.52 ± 0.00        |             | glutaredoxin family protein               |
| A2g38650   | DWDpHLPbLQpspSPTVK     | 0.85 ± 0.01           |                    |             | UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase               |
| A2g42620   | FEEpSpsDLSGVSMSK       | 1.73 ± 0.04           |                    | protein      | protein kinase                            |
| A2g48760   | TVNGpSMpSPSLQK         | 0.65 ± 0.09           |                    |             | zinc finger (DHHC type) family protein    |
| A2g49390   | VTMpIlSPpSPR           | 1.42 ± 0.41           | 0.62 ± 0.04        |             | leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein  |
| A2g49390   | APEAYLAVpQpSSDTPSAR    | 0.88 ± 0.00           | 0.63 ± 0.05        |             | expressed protein                          |
| A2g49390   | HGFAYMYFDDEpSHK        | 3.28 ± 1.45           |                    | AMT1        | ammonium transporter 1                     |
| A2g49930   | VPsSFQI10              | 1.13 ± 0.13           | 1.51 ± 0.13        | F-box family | F-box family protein                      |
| A2g49390   | QpTPSIOpPR             | 0.56 ± 0.02           |                    |             | zinc finger (DHHC type) family protein    |
| A2g50990   | GEDKpVEpGpsTSSFEYEDSPpDASTK | 1.21 ± 0.22      | 1.84 ± 0.39        | ACA10       | calcium-transporting ATPase               |
| A2g50990   | GLEDpTPpSPHYTV         | 1 ± 0.13              | 0.47 ± 0.06        | AHA2        | ATPase 2                                  |
| A2g50990   | NAIPsSPYpIDP           | 0.81 ± 0.03           | 0.4 ± 0.00         | CESA1       | cellulose synthase                        |
| A2g50990   | SSSSMMpSDPLADSK        | 0.88 ± 0.13           | 0.63 ± 0.01        | ankyrin family protein                     |
| A2g50990   | NLGpSFpSFNSVEMR        | 0.89 ± 0.29           | 0.27 ± 0.11        | PAN family  | PAN domain-containing protein             |
| A2g50990   | HDPpSTpPVFLDDIEEGVEAGFD DEK | 0.63 ± 0.21      |                    | CESA3       | cellulose synthase                        |
| A2g50990   | QDTSGFEAAPpSPER        | 0.6 ± 0.04            |                    | CESA3       | cellulose synthase                        |
Elicitor-induced Phosphorylation in *Arabidopsis*

Our study and resulted in detection of unmodified FLS2 only. However, it is equally likely that we could not detect the FLS2 phosphopeptides due to incomplete (phospho-)peptide coverage. Nevertheless what could be the significance of the observed increased phosphorylation of multiple other RLKs? By phosphorylating multiple RLKs in response to the perception of flg22, the cell may prepare for the perception of other general elicitors and go into a primed state that will allow a faster defense response resulting in enhanced resistance. flg22 was recently shown to induce enhanced resistance to *P. syringae pv. tomato* DC3000 in *Arabidopsis* plants with a functional FLS2 protein (5). Priming of basal defense has been described previously for resistance induced by root-colonizing non-pathogenic pseudomonads in *Arabidopsis* (48, 49). Several bacterial determinants inducing induced systemic resistance-like resistance in *Arabidopsis* have been described previously and include lipopolysaccharide and flagellin isolated from induced systemic resistance-inducing pseudomonads (50). However the molecular mechanism behind this priming for enhanced resistance has so far not been elucidated. We postulate that perception of a general elicitor like flg22 induces local priming through the phosphorylation of multiple RLKs resulting in enhanced RLK-mediated detection of pathogens that ultimately leads to the described enhanced defense response (5).

Other proteins that were differentially phosphorylated and have an established link with defense response include the respiratory oxidative burst proteins RBOHD, ABC transporter PEN3 (At1g59870), and cellulose synthase CESAs (At5g05170). Most proteins identified as differentially phosphorylated in this study, however, have no known link with defense responses or have been characterized previously to be involved in other signaling pathways or responses. Among these are a number of proteins that are involved in mediating auxin response, including PIN1 (At1g73590), PIN6 (At1g77110), AIR9 (At2g34680), and ABC transporter PGP1 (At2g36910). From our data it is not apparent what the physiological significance of the differential phosphorylation of these proteins is; however, recently flg22 has been shown to modulate auxin response. In *Arabidopsis* suspension cells and seedlings a 60-min induction with flg22 has been shown to cause down-regulation of many auxin signaling-related genes (6). Furthermore down-regulation of auxin signaling was shown to enhance resistance to virulent *Pseudomonas*, suggesting that down-regulation of the auxin signaling is part of the plant immune response (51). It was suggested by the authors that flg22-mediated down-regulation of auxin responses could be responsible for the flg22-induced growth inhibition in *Arabidopsis* seedling roots (3). It has been re-

| AGL_ID       | Peptide sequence       | Ratio Xylanase / mock | Ratio Flg22 / mock | Locus     | Annotation                              |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| At5g10470    | SMVSTQVLtPSLRR         | 2.01 ± 0.01           |                   |           | kinesin motor protein-related          |
| At5g12060    | pSVGSAPATPSTSK         | 2.58 ± 0.28           |                   |           | mechanosensitive ion channel domain-containing protein |
| At5g12060    | SVGSAPATPSTSK          | 0.66 ± 0.02           |                   |           | mechanosensitive ion channel domain-containing protein |
| At5g12480    | NNPFYpSNEYATTDR        | 1.09 ± 0.09           | 1.5 ± 0.04        | CPK7      | calmodulin-domain protein kinase isoform |
| At5g23450    | KQAtpSSLSFPVIPDTPPELVFR| 2.06 ± 0.21           |                   | ATLCBK1   | diacylglycerol kinase family protein   |
| At5g39865    | GGLpSPVIVPR            | 0.38 ± 0.07           |                   |           | glutaredoxin family protein            |
| At5g40300    | QDQSpSPINFEMSSR        | 0.96 ± 0.03           | 0.39 ± 0.02       |           | integral membrane protein              |
| At5g41620    | AVptTSSLEFR            | 0.99 ± 0.19           | 1.69 ± 0.45       |           | expressed protein                       |
| At5g47910    | GAFpSGPLGRPK           | 1.76 ± 0.29           | 3.07 ± 0.53       | RBOHD     | respiratory burst oxidase protein D    |
| At5g47910    | LpSQMLSQK              | 2.06 ± 0.26           | 5.52 ± 1.07       | RBOHD     | respiratory burst oxidase protein D    |
| At5g47910    | RPPSPAQR               | 3.2 ± 0.16            |                   | RBOHD     | respiratory burst oxidase protein D    |
| At5g47910    | TSpSAAIHALK            | 1.66 ± 0.11           | 3.72 ± 0.4        | RBOHD     | respiratory burst oxidase protein D    |
| At5g48800    | INpSGALATSMSPK         | 1.12 ± 0.04           | 2.87 ± 0.15       |           | phototropin-responsive NPH3 family protein |
| At5g48800    | INSGALSATMPSPK         | 0.79 ± 0.02           | 0.47 ± 0.04       |           | phototropin-responsive NPH3 family protein |
| At5g50020    | QpTPTVQIIR             | 0.44 ± 0.04           |                   |           | zinc finger (DHHC type) family protein |
| At5g51740    | QNpSENVLIDMEK          | 1.29 ± 0.07           | 1.6 ± 0.31        | ATATH14   | ABC transporter family protein         |
| At5g56660    | LTVDVQpTTPQsSPKVPAR    | 2.09 ± 0.42           |                   |           | hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein family protein |
| At5g57200    | IPNpSGLVFCESR          | 1.03 ± 0.02           | 1.69 ± 0.43       |           | leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein kinase |
| At5g57470    | FGSAAPTAASRPSPEMK      | 0.61 ± 0.01           |                   |           | form homology 2 domain-containing protein |
ported previously that activation of a MAPK pathway involving MAPK kinase kinase NPK1 and unidentified MAPKs negatively regulates auxin-responsive gene expression in Arabidopsis (52). Because flg22 induces the activation of multiple MAPK activities, the differentially phosphorylated auxin response proteins may be direct or indirect targets of MAP kinases. MAP kinases phosphorylate serine or threonine residues followed by a proline in both plant and animal systems (53, 54). Three of the differentially phosphorylated auxin signaling proteins (PIN1, PIN6, and AIR9) have phosphorylated Ser or Thr residues followed by Pro (Table II and Supplemental Table III), suggesting that these proteins may qualify as direct MAPK targets. Thus, MAPK-dependent flg22-induced phosphorylation of one or more of these auxin signaling proteins could possibly play a role in the negative regulation of auxin signaling in Arabidopsis to enhance the plants immune response.

In addition to the elicitor-induced phosphorylation de-
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Accumulation and invoke defense gene expression in CESAs activity was shown to induce jasmonate and ethylene response to flg22 (Table II). In almost all of these proteins, other non-phosphorylated peptides were also detected and showed no change in abundance (Supplemental Table II), indicating that these proteins are dephosphorylated in response to flg22 (Table II). Two cellulose synthase proteins, CESA1 and CESA3 (At4g32410 and At5g05170), were also dephosphorylated in response to flg22 (Table II). In almost all of these proteins, other non-phosphorylated peptides were also detected and showed no change in abundance (Supplemental Table II), indicating that these proteins are dephosphorylated in response to elicitin. Previously a reduction in CESAs levels or CESA3 activity was shown to induce jasmonate and ethylene accumulation and invoke defense gene expression in Arabidopsis (55, 56) linking reductions in cellulose synthesis to defense responses. Because perception of flg22 also induces the deposition of callose in Arabidopsis seedlings (3) the observed dephosphorylation of CESAs could indicate that the modulation of cellulose synthesis is related to a switch to defensive callose synthesis.

Overlap in flg22- and Xylanase-induced Phosphorylation

We detected 76 proteins in both biological replicas that showed differential phosphorylation upon treatment with either xylanase or flg22. Significantly three of the six proteins that were differentially phosphorylated in response to xylanase were also differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Table II, highlighted in gray). An additional 53 proteins were identified that were potentially differentially phosphorylated in response to xylanase, and 19 of these were also potentially differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Supplemental Table III). However, the corresponding 61 phosphopeptides could not be verified in both biological replicas. This could be due to technical limitations as MS analysis of complex peptide mixtures results in partial coverage of the sample and preferential detection of some peptides but not others. However, two of the three differentially phosphorylated proteins (PEN3 and RBOHD) have well established links to defense responses, and their differential phosphorylation in response to both elicitors indicates their conserved function in different defense signal transduction cascades. The ABC transporter PEN3 was originally isolated as a mutant locus that permitted penetration and infection by non-adapted fungi (57). This protein was differentially phosphorylated on two residues in response to both elicitors. Significantly a related ABC transporter (At1g15210) was also differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 on an almost identical location. Recently it has been suggested that PEN3/PDR8 protein functions to export toxic compounds at the site of pathogen infection (58), and the phosphorylation sites we identified here may very well be involved in the activation of PEN3-like ABC transporters in response to general elicitor perception.

Elicitor-induced Differential Phosphorylation of Proteins Involved in Defense Responses

Oxidative Burst Proteins—The majority of the differentially phosphorylated proteins we identified have no experimentally defined function. However, a small number of the proteins we identified as differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 or xylanase have been described previously as required for defense signaling or defense response. One of these proteins is the respiratory oxidative burst protein RBOHD. Respiratory oxidative burst is defined as the production of apoplastic reactive oxygen intermediates (ROIs), which is mediated by NADPH oxidases and apoplastic peroxidase, and is a hallmark of successful pathogen recognition in plants (16, 59, 60). NADPH oxidases, represented in a small family in Arabidopsis (AtRbohA–J), are implicated as one of the sources of ROI production. Rboh genes are transcriptionally up-regulated upon pathogen attack, and antisense reduction of RBOH in Nicotiana led to reduced production of ROIs and higher susceptibility to infection. Although ROIs were originally proposed to orchestrate cell death upon infection (61), recent evidence showed that mutations in AtRbohD and AtRbohF, required for ROI production in Arabidopsis leaves, have only a small effect on the hypersensitive response, and ROI production can even suppress cell death rather than induce it (59, 62).

In response to flg22, Arabidopsis leaves with functional FL.S2 produce reactive oxygen species within minutes, and this oxidative burst peaks around 10 min (3). In response to a 10-min incubation with flg22 and xylanase we observed phosphorylation on seven different residues in RBOHD (Fig. 8a), three of which showed increased phosphorylation upon xylanase and flg22 treatment. As multiple non-phosphorylated peptides were also detected for this protein, the changes in phosphorylation can safely be attributed to phosphorylation rather than increased amount of protein. In general, both elicitors induce phosphorylation at similar locations, although flg22 tends to induce a stronger quantitative phosphorylation. These data show that RBOHD is very tightly regulated by phosphorylation as many residues were differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 or xylanase, and three residues were differentially phosphorylated in response to both. A further four potential differentially phosphorylated residues were identified in one biological replica (Supplemental Table III) but could not be verified in the other biological replica. Detailed characterization of RBOHD point mutation versions of the protein expressed in a rbohD mutant background will be required to fully characterize the significance of the differentially phosphorylated residues in response to general elicitors or pathogen infection. Because there are multiple residues in RBOHD that become quantitatively phosphorylated in response to general elicitors it is likely that the activity of RBOHD is regulated by several different kinases acting in sequential or parallel pathways activated in response to general elicitor perception.
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Syntaxins and Other Vesicle Traffic-related Proteins—Another class of proteins associated with defense response and differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 is syntaxins. Syntaxin SYP121 (At3g11820), also known as PEN1, is required for non-host resistance against non-adapted fungi. Non-host resistance to non-adapted fungi was shown recently to be comprised of pre- and postinvasion defense (63). The postinvasion defense is dependent on the EDS1-PAD4-SAG101 signaling complex, whereas preinvasion defensive layers where shown to require PEN1, PEN2, and PEN3. Lipka et al. (63) suggested that both preinvasion defense and postinvasion defense are probably inducible upon pathogen perception and may be mediated through pathogen-associated molecular pattern or general elicitor receptors. This was in part based on the observation that PEN1, PEN2, and PEN3 expression is induced in response to flg22 perception (5). Our data on differential phosphorylation of ABC transporter PEN3/PDR8 in response to both flg22 and xylanase suggest that preinvasion defense may also be regulated at the post-translational level. Furthermore we found that SYP121/PEN1 is also phosphorylated on the N terminus (Ser-7) upon flg22 treatment (Supplemental Table III; 1.3-fold increase but statistically significant when corrected for the ratios of other peptides from the same protein, p < 0.01). Phosphorylation of the same peptide was not increased upon xylanase treatment (ratio of 1.1). For a related syntaxin, SYP122 (At3g52400), we identified three phosphorylated peptides with a total of four phosphorylation sites in the flg22-treated cells (Fig. 8b). Syntaxin SYP122 was shown previously to be phosphorylated on a specific residue (Ser-18) in response to flg22 treatment (64). We also observed a differentially phosphorylated peptide in which two residues (Ser-18 and Ser-22) were phosphorylated (ratio of 1.8; Supplemental Table III). In addition, we also observed a phosphopeptide in which only Ser-18 was phosphorylated, but this peptide did not have a differential ratio (0.85). This suggests that, under our conditions, Ser-18 may not be differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 but that it is Ser-22 that becomes phosphorylated in response to flg22.

SYP121 and SYP122 encode soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE) domain-containing and plasma membrane-resident syntaxins, which become recruited into plasma membrane microdomains beneath incipient fungal entry sites (65, 66). PEN1 was originally isolated as a mediator in fungal penetration and was reported recently to have a basal function in secretion and a specialized defense-related function (57, 65). Because SNARE proteins play a key role in vesicle trafficking in eukaryotic cells, these findings have been interpreted as evidence for the existence of a vesicle-associated resistance mechanism preventing powdery mildew ingress (63). In addition to SYP121 and SYP122 we also identified other proteins involved in vesicle transport to be differentially phosphorylated in response to flg22 and xylanase. Both elicitors induced phosphorylation of a kinesin motor protein (At5g10470) (Table II and Supplemental Table III), and a second kinesin (At1g21730) was also phosphorylated in response to xylanase treatment (Supplemental Table III). Kinesin motor proteins have been described to be involved in vesicle transport along microtubules (67). Furthermore the dynamin-like protein ADL3 (At1g59610) was also phosphorylated in response to xylanase (Table II), and ADL6 (At1g10290) was phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Supplemental Table III). Dynamins are mechanochemical GTPases that form multimeric rings around the necks of budding clathrin-coated vesicles (Ref. 68 and references therein).

Taken together, the data on differential phosphorylation of vesicle transport-associated proteins implicate vesicle traffic as an important response upon general elicitor perception, and some of these proteins may turn out to be part of the preinvasive defensive layer described by Lipka et al. (63). The recently reported ligand-induced endocytosis of the receptor FLS2 (47) further illustrates the importance of vesicle traffic in elicitor-induced responses. flg22 binding induces the internalization of FLS2 and its subsequent degradation, and this process is dependent on protein kinase activity. We did not observe any changes in FLS2 protein abundance (ratio of 1.0) in our experiments, but this may be due to the earlier time

---

**Fig. 8. Differentially phosphorylated defense response proteins.** a, schematic representation of identified locations of phosphorylation on the respiratory burst oxidase protein RBOHD. White diamonds indicate transmembrane regions (TM). Block arrows indicate peptides without modifications. Triangles indicate differentially phosphorylated residues. Numbers indicate average and S.E. of the difference in abundance of the 14N and 15N signals from the peptide containing the phosphorylation site. b, schematic representation of identified locations of phosphorylation on the syntaxin SYP122. Triangles represent phosphorylated residues. Numbers indicate average and S.E. of the difference in abundance of the 14N and 15N signals from the peptide containing the phosphorylation site.
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point (10 min) we chose as compared with the earliest time (20–40 min) reported for FLS2 internalization (47). However, our combined data point to the phosphorylation-dependent regulation of endocytosis and vesicle traffic in response to general elicitor perception.

Conclusion

Using a stable isotope metabolic labeling strategy combined with selective purification of phosphopeptides and LC-MS/MS we were able to identify a large number of protein phosphorylation events in Arabidopsis cells. With this newly established method we identified novel phosphorylation sites in many PM-associated proteins and showed that some of these are differentially phosphorylated in response to general elicitors. These differentially phosphorylated proteins are all potential new signal transduction components or early response regulators and provide a wealth of information on early signaling and response in Arabidopsis cells. Functional analysis of these proteins by site-directed mutagenesis of the identified phosphorylation residues or reverse genetic approaches will now be required to validate their role in elicitor signaling. Our strategy can also be applied to other stress conditions or responses to environmental changes to identify possible early signal transduction proteins thereby elucidating other signal transduction networks in plants. Our study has provided the largest quantitative Arabidopsis phosphoproteomics data set to date and reveals that the plant’s early response at the protein level is primarily at the level of post-translational modifications. By just zooming in on (de-)phosphorylation the data set reveals that the response to an elicitor by the plant is a complex multifaceted interplay of many signaling pathways, indicating that a comprehensive system biology approach is needed to understand the full mechanism. Quantitative phosphoproteomics as described in this study is a novel tool that can complement ongoing genomics and reverse genetic approaches to identify the molecular mechanism of regulation of newly discovered and established plant signal transduction components and early response proteins.
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