A randomized efficacy trial of a cognitive-behavioral group intervention to prevent Internet Use Disorder onset in adolescents: The PROTECT study protocol
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Abstract

Background: The reduction of prevalence rates of Internet Use Disorder (IUD) and its effective treatment are at high priority in both public health and educational policies. School-based preventive interventions facilitate a low-threshold approach for individuals with IUD, who are typically characterized by high therapy avoidance. Moreover, indicated approaches which target adolescents at high-risk show larger effects than universal prevention programs. Simultaneously, they reduce unnecessary burden for the majority of high-school students that is not at-risk. The PROTECT group intervention for indicated prevention of IUD in school settings was developed based on these preventive strategies.

Methods: Three-hundred and forty adolescents, aged 12–18 years, from 40 secondary schools in Germany, screened for high-risk of IUD onset, are randomly assigned to a) PROTECT preventive intervention group or b) assessment only control group. The tested intervention consists of a cognitive-behavioral 4-session brief-protocol. Follow-up assessments are at 1, 4 and 12 months after admission. Primary outcome is the 12-months incidence rate of IUD. Secondary outcomes are the reduction of IUD and comorbid symptoms as well as the promotion of problem solving, cognitive restructuring and emotion regulation skills.

Conclusion: The indicated preventive intervention PROTECT follows the APA-guidelines for psychological prevention, i.e., it is theory- and evidence-based and addresses both risk-reduction and strength-promotion, it considers current research and epidemiology and ethical standards such as professional secrecy and is designed as a systemic intervention at the school-level. It is expected that the intervention decreases risk of IUD onset (incidence rate).

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02907658.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The prevention of illness onset has highest priority in mental disorders [1]. Increasing numbers of patients with Internet Use Disorder (IUD) underline the emerging public health challenge. Although IUD prevalence rates are highly inconsistent and range from 0.8% to 26.7% depending on the definition of IUD and its measurement [2], the need to develop effective preventive interventions is beyond dispute. “Internet Gaming Disorder” (IGD), which has recently been included in the DSM-5 [3], has been found to affect 1.2% of adolescents in representative community samples [4,5]. IGD diagnostic criteria explicitly exclude non-gaming subtypes due to lack of evidence. However, non-gaming IUD has been found to be associated with similar levels of impairment [6]. The worldwide IUD prevalence estimate (gaming and non-gaming subtypes) based on a meta-analysis is about 6.0% [8]. Even sub-threshold pathology, which afflicts 13.3% of adolescent boys and girls [9], produces severe psychosocial distress and increased risk for comorbid psychopathology [10].

Impairment caused by IUD comprises educational failure and reduced academic perspectives [11,12], health problems and functional impairment [13]. In addition, individuals with IUD are at high risk for mental comorbidities [14], such as depressive disorders, attention-deficit disorders and anxiety disorders [10,11,15]. Finally, societal costs for covering treatment and the consequences of academic failure are expected to be enormous.
Public health calls for effective IUD screening, preventive interventions and treatments emphasize the actual low evidence of IUD interventions in terms of efficacy (effect), cost-effectiveness (costs/effect) and public-health-impact (reach x effect/costs) [3,16].

Since target groups of preventive interventions typically show limited treatment motivation and specifically IUD is characterized by high therapy avoidance, it is crucial to offer low-threshold interventions, which can be implemented on a large-scale basis. Therefore, systemic approaches such as school-based prevention programs are of particular relevance [17]. Moreover, indicated interventions which target adolescents at high-risk show larger effects than universal prevention programs [18]. Simultaneously, they reduce unnecessary burden for the majority of high-school students that is not at-risk [19].

Evidence shows no systematic differences in efficacy between short and long-term behavioral preventive interventions. However, short interventions show enhanced dose-response ratios and thus superiority in school settings in terms of health economics and educational policy [18,20].

2. Methods and design

2.1. Design and randomization

The study is designed as a prospective randomized controlled 2-armed intervention trial. Participants are randomly assigned to one of two conditions: an intervention group that receives the preventive intervention PROTECT and an assessment-only control group. Schools are considered as units of randomization (cluster randomization). Randomization is stratified by school type as defined by the German school system (Gymnasium, Realschule, Hauptschule) and conducted by an independent person. We expect a low intraclass correlation, thus, the cluster-randomization is not expected to interfere with the power calculation.

The study examines the effects of the preventive intervention on primary and secondary outcomes at baseline, 1-month follow-up, 4-months follow-up, and 12-months follow-up (see Fig. 1). Informed written consent is obtained from participants and their primary caregivers prior to enrolment. All data are cryptographically secured with codes consisting of random numbers and letters. Re-identification is only possible through the encryption key. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Education Heidelberg Research Ethics Committee on September 3, 2015. Approval from the Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe was obtained on October 19, 2015. The trial has been registered on April 18, 2016 at the University of Education Heidelberg research Database (PHHD-PROTECT-101030906). In addition, it has been registered on September 9, 2016 at clinicaltrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02907658).

2.2. Participants and procedure

Participants are recruited from high schools from September 2015 to December 2016 in the district “Metropolregion Rhein-
Neckar”. Schools are approached directly by telephone, e-mail, information brochures and informative meetings. Moreover, key persons such as school psychologists, school counselors and prevention teachers are involved in the recruitment process. School principals, teachers, parents and students receive detailed information about the relevance, aim and procedure of the study including information on randomization. The project is funded by the Dietmar Hopp Foundation from May 2015 to April 2018. Final results are expected in February 2018 (see Fig. 2).

Before enrolment, an anonymous screening procedure using the Compulsive Internet Use Scale [9,21] identifies students at-risk for IUD. Further inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Table 1. Because the main outcome is onset of threshold and sub-threshold IUD, all participants are excluded who meet DSM-5 criteria for IGD [3] at baseline, extended to both gaming and non-gaming internet activities. Moreover, participants meeting criteria for comorbid depression or anxiety disorder are excluded. These adolescents are referred to specialized treatment.

Study administrators coordinate all appointments for training and assessments in cooperation with the school management. The preventive intervention group participates in the PROTECT training in groups of 6–10 adolescents for 4 subsequent weekly sessions (10 min). The group intervention is delivered by a team of two experienced professionals who completed a PROTECT intervention delivery training. We ensure that at least one of the trainers delivering the intervention is a graduated psychologist, accompanied by an undergraduate student. The trainers are regularly supervised in team meetings. We use clinical diagnostic interviews to assess IGD according to the DSM-5 (gaming and non-gaming subtypes) at 12-months follow-up (primary outcome). In addition, assessments at baseline and follow-ups include psychometric data on IUD, potential risk factors, comorbid psychopathology, and school-related consequences such as grades and truancy (secondary outcomes). We expect a significant reduction of incidence rates of IUD in the high-risk intervention group compared to the assessment-only control group at 12 months after the preventive intervention.

2.3. Sample size and power calculation

Assuming an effect which reduces the incidence rate in the high-risk group (approximately 14% of total population) from 36% to 24% at 12-months follow-up, with an $\alpha = 0.05$ (two-sided), a total sample of high risk students of $N = 340$ (170 per group) is needed to assure a power of 80% ($\beta = 0.2$). This corresponds to an empirical population of $N = 2430$ ($N = 1215$ per group) unselected high-school students. Accounting for a 25% drop-out rate, a total sample of $N = 3240$ is addressed for screening. In average, this corresponds to 170 classes in 43 schools (see Fig. 3).

2.4. Intervention

2.4.1. The prevention program

The preventive short intervention PROTECT for group settings comprises 4 modules focusing (1) boredom and motivational problems, (2) procrastination and performance anxiety, (3) social interaction and (4) emotion regulation. These 4 domains are derived from current empirical findings about risk factors of IUD [22,23]. PROTECT is based on cognitive behavioral (CB) interventions [24,25] such as (1) psychoeducation, (2) cognitive restructuring (identification and modification of dysfunctional beliefs), (3) behavior modification (improving problem solving skills, training of functional behavior and contingency management) as well as (4) improving emotion regulation (training of sensory, imaginative and mindfulness based techniques).

Rational-emotive stories [26,27] are used to illustrate possible pathways to IUD. Subsequently, dysfunctional beliefs, behaviors and emotional dysregulations are addressed by the above mentioned CB-therapy techniques.

2.4.1.1. Cognitive restructuring. PROTECT is based on a CB model, assuming that cognitive biases such as overgeneralization, catastrophizing or demandingness cause negative emotions [25,28,29] and that excessive Internet use is a conditioned, maladaptive

| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Adolescents aged 12 to 18 years | Current IUD diagnosis or treatment |
| Written informed consent | Comorbid depression |
| High-risk for IUD (CIUS ≥ 20) | Comorbid anxiety disorder (social phobia or performance anxiety) |

Fig. 2. Time Schedule. The preparation period is used to train professionals delivering the intervention, recruit schools and obtain legal permissions. The intervention period includes recruitment, enrollment and intervention delivery. The follow-up period was chosen to allow 12-month follow-up assessments. Data analysis is planned after final data collection is completed.
strategy to cope with negative affect. The CB disease model is elaborated in each session, demonstrating how positive consequences (e.g. distraction) of the internet activity result in maintenance of a vicious circle (operant conditioning) which leads to harmful effects (e.g. poor grades) in the long run. PROTECT does not aim at sanctioning the internet activity but at scrutinizing cognitive distortions on the basis of empirical (“How likely is it?”), logical (“Is there any proof?”), normative (“Am I allowed to do this?”), functional (“Is it in line with my goal?”), and hedonistic (“Does it increase my well-being?”) criteria. Dysfunctional beliefs are then replaced by more realistic assumptions [28].

2.4.1.2. Behavior modification. Behavior modification techniques in PROTECT include improvement of problem solving skills, training of functional behavior and contingency management. The training of problem solving skills [30,31] is a CB technique that follows a structured sequence of actions to elaborate a solution for specific problems. This technique aims at adopting a structured set of actions which can be transferred to the solution of other problems. A problem solving sequence is typically divided into (a) a phase of orientation, problem definition and the generation of alternative solutions (“generate solutions”), (b) an evaluation phase of each possible solution (“evaluate solutions”), (c) a decision for one option (“pick a solution”) and (d) a phase of action (“plan implementation”), in which the chosen solution is realized and its effectiveness is evaluated [32]. Several studies verified the effectiveness of problem solving trainings for different problem areas for adults [33] and adolescents [30].

2.4.1.3. Emotion regulation. To improve emotion regulation skills, PROTECT bases on techniques for psychophysiological emotion regulation which have been proven effective in combination with CB therapy in prevention and therapy for a variety of indications such as anxiety disorders, depression, chronic pain and attention deficit disorder [34]. Specifically, PROTECT includes sensory methods, imaginative methods and mindfulness-based techniques.

2.5. Assessments

Questionnaires assessing behavioral and psychological outcomes are completed at school during class hours. The completion of all questionnaires takes approximately 45 minutes per assessment. Beyond psychometric data, clinical outcomes at 12-months follow-up are assessed in a clinical interview by a blinded rater.

2.5.1. Sociodemographic data and internet use

At baseline, sociodemographic data are collected. The self-report demographics questionnaire includes information on gender, age, type of school, grades in mathematics and German, country of birth and absence rates from school. Internet related information regarding the average time spent online on weekdays and weekends and the main internet activities (surfing, gaming or chatting) are assessed. Participants are asked whether they have searched counselling or treatment for IUD in the past.

2.5.2. Screening for risk of Internet Use Disorder onset

In order to assess risk for IUD at screening, we use the German version of the Compulsive Internet Use Scale (CIUS) [9,21]. This instrument was constructed according to the diagnostic criteria for dependency and obsessive compulsive disorders [35]. The 14 items of the questionnaire are rated on a 5-point Likert scale from 0 to 4 (“never”, “rarely”, “sometimes”, “often”, “very often”) with a total score ranging from 0 to 56. It has been argued that a
total score of 24 and higher is recommended to identify cases with a sensitivity of 70% [36]. Aiming at achieving a high sensitivity and simultaneously limiting the total number needed to treat we decided to use a more liberal cut-off score of 20 to select participants with elevated risk, which constitute about 30% of the total population. The CIUS shows a high internal consistency reliability coefficient (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.89$) as well as a high internal validity due to its similarity to the diagnostic criteria of IGD [3,37].

2.5.3. Primary outcome

2.5.3.1. Incidence of IUD. Participants in both arms are diagnosed at 12-months follow-up using a clinical interview based on the criteria of IGD as proposed in DSM-5 Section 3: (1) preoccupation, (2) withdrawal, (3) tolerance, (4) unsuccessful attempts to control, (5) loss of interest in other activities, (6) continued excessive use despite problems, (7) deception (8) maladaptive coping, (9) loss of relationship, job, or educational or career opportunities. To assess both gaming and non-gaming subtypes, we extend the IGD definition to IUD. Because we excluded all participants meeting IUD-criteria at baseline, the interview allows for determination of the 12-months incidence rate. A reduction of incidence rates is regarded as the strongest empirical proof for the preventive effectiveness of a prevention program.

2.5.3.2. Prevalence of IUD. To assess changes in IUD prevalence over a 1-year period, we use the German “Computerspielabhängigkeitsskala” (CSAS) [38]. The questionnaire includes all 9 diagnostic criteria of IGD as defined in DSM-5 [3]. These criteria are assessed by 2 items each (18 in total), which are rated on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 to 3 (“strongly disagree”, “somewhat disagree”, “somewhat agree”, “strongly agree”). A criterion of IGD is met, if at least one of the items has been rated with 3 (“strongly agree”). The instrument thus allows a tentative diagnosis of IGD, if 5 or more criteria are met and a diagnosis or subthreshold IGD if 2–4 criteria are met. Additionally, the CSAS assesses average time spent online per day and provides grade- and age-specific norms for the total score. The instrument shows a high internal consistency reliability coefficient (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.94$) and a high face validity due to its proximity to the diagnostic criteria of IGD [38]. As PROTECT is designed as a preventive intervention for IUD (including both gaming and non-gaming internet activities), we adapted the CSAS items accordingly (e.g. item 1: “Even when I am not gaming/online, I think about online gaming/the internet” for preoccupation) with permission by the publisher.

2.5.4. Secondary outcomes

Depressive symptoms are assessed using the German Depression Inventory for Children and Adolescents (DIKJ) [39,40]. The instrument contains severity estimations of depressive disorders according to the DSM-5 criteria [3].

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [41] was used to assess behavior problems and strengths. It includes 5 scales ((1) emotional problems, (2) behavior problems, (3) hyperactivity/attention deficits, (4) interpersonal problems with peers and (5) prosocial behavior) and can be used for epidemiological research and as an indicator for emotional, oppositional, antisocial and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder.

The German version of the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (SIAS) [42,43] is used to assess anxiety in social interactions as an indicator for social anxiety disorders.

Performance anxiety and school anxiety are assessed with the 7th scale of the German adaption of the Fear Survey Schedule for Children — Revised (PHOKI) [44,45].

Emotion regulation is measured using the German Questionnaire for Assessment of Emotion Regulation in Children and Adolescents (FEEL-KJ) [46]. The questionnaire includes a measure of functional and dysfunctional emotion regulation strategies for the negative emotions fear, sadness and anger.

Procrastination is assessed with the German Questionnaire for Procrastination (APROF) [47].

For ratings of social competent behavior and academic motivation, we use the German Student Assessment List for Social and Learning Behavior (SSL) [48]. Self-efficacy is rated on the German Self-Efficacy Scale (SWE) [49].

The WHO-5 Well-Being Index [50] is a 5 item questionnaire first published in 1998 by the World Health Organization. It assesses subjective psychological well-being on a scale from 0 (worst imaginable well-being) to 100 (best imaginable well-being). The WHO-5 questionnaire as indicator for health related quality of life allows calculating the quality adjusted life years (QALY), an important index in health economics to determine the cost-utility (CUA) of an intervention. The assessment schedule is presented in Table 2.

Table 2

| Measure                      | T1 Baseline | T2 1-month-follow-up | T3 4-months-follow-up | T4 12-months-follow-up |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Sociodemographic Variables   | x           | –                    | –                     | –                      |
| School Performance/Absence    | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| Internet Use Behavior         | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| CIUS                         | x           | –                    | –                     | –                      |
| CSAS                         | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| DIKJ                         | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| FEEL-KJ                      | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| APROF                        | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| SDQ                          | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| PHOKI Performance Anxiety    | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| SIAS                         | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| SSL                          | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| SWE                          | x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
| WHO-5                        | –           | –                    | –                     | –                      |
| Clinical Interview           | –           | –                    | –                     | –                      |
| Evaluation (Intervention Group)| x           | x                    | x                     | x                      |
2.5.5. Evaluation and feedback
To assess acceptance and satisfaction with the intervention, we developed a feedback-questionnaire. Participants are encouraged to evaluate the trainers, the working atmosphere, the intervention materials, everyday applicability of exercises, identification with the protagonists of the rational-emotive stories and subjective learning success. Moreover, participants are asked to rate subjective effectiveness of specific interventions (i.e., psychoeducation, cognitive restructuring, behavior modification and emotion regulation), subjective effectiveness of the length of the intervention (dose-response) and subjective effectiveness of the group size on a 5-point Likert scale (“not at all right”, “rather not right”, “rather right”, “exactly right”, “no statement”). The feedback items can be allocated on 7 scales (satisfaction, psycho-education, cognitive restructuring, behavior modification, emotion regulation, everyday applicability, identification). Furthermore, participants are asked to indicate their favorite module, key features of the intervention which they found most useful, and to make proposals for improvement. Finally, they are asked to decide whether they would recommend PROTECT to a friend.

2.6. Statistical analyses
The clinical interview allows determining the incidence rate of IUD as well as the time point of illness onset (change point). We will use a hierarchical analysis plan and include methods to control for Type I errors. Since incidence rate and time to incidence were selected as main outcomes, survival analyses are applied to determine efficacy. A Kaplan-Meier approach with Logrank-Test is used as statistical method to compare survival curves between the two groups [51], Cox-Regression Models are used to identify risk factors and moderators. Changes in prevalence rates and symptom courses (secondary outcomes) are analyzed using Hierarchical Linear Modelling [51].

3. Discussion
The goal of this 2-armed randomized controlled trial is to evaluate the preventive efficacy of a 4-week school-based preventive intervention in adolescents with high-risk for IUD. It is hypothesized that the intervention decreases incidence rates of IUD in the intervention group trained with PROTECT as compared to the control group and reduces associated psychological problems such as symptoms of IUD, comorbid psychopathology and negative school-related outcomes.

PROTECT follows the APA-guidelines for psychological prevention [52] and is designed as an indicated prevention [53]. It is theory- and evidence-based (guideline 1) and is adapted to various social and cultural backgrounds and thus, accounts for minorities (guideline 2). The intervention addresses both risk-reduction and strength-promotion (guideline 3) by aiming at a reduction of incidence rates and risk factors of IUD and by promoting students’ problem solving, cognitive restructuring and emotion regulation skills. It considers current research and epidemiology (guideline 4) and ethical standards such as professional secrecy (guideline 5). Moreover, PROTECT aims at reducing social inequalities by targeting underserved areas (rural areas) and underserved school types associated with lower educational achievement (guideline 6). To account for the quality of the intervention, we implemented a professional training and supervision system for key persons who deliver the preventive intervention, as described in the procedure section (guideline 7). PROTECT is designed as a systemic intervention (guideline 8) at the school-level and implemented in cooperation with policy makers (guideline 9). School-based interventions have been proven to be effective and feasible in other domains [17,54—56]. Especially in the prevention of IUD, school-based approaches seem particularly promising as motivation for treatment is typically low in this target group.

The cognitive behavioral model of IUD [57] defines maladaptive cognitions about the self and the world as well as cognitive distortions such as self-doubt or low self-efficacy as proximal causes for IUD. Following this approach, PROTECT includes cognitive restructuring as a key therapeutic component. Other treatment approaches [58—61] are equally based on this theoretic framework and have proven to be effective. Furthermore, PROTECT aims at an improvement of problem solving and emotion regulation skills. According to the escape from self-model [62,63], behavioral problems such as IUD result from the discrepancy between the ideal and the real self, which leads to a tendency to escape into virtual reality. The model of compensatory internet use [64] defines IUD as an unconscious effort to compensate for low self-esteem and difficulties in social interactions. Models of behavioral addiction presume that IUD represents a maladaptive, avoiding coping strategy for stressful events [22,65]. In line with various theoretical frameworks, PROTECT uses an interactive approach to promote coping mechanisms as more functional alternatives to excessive internet use by training problem solving skills and emotion regulation strategies. Thus, it proceeds didactic, knowledge-based education approaches, which were only partially effective in previous prevention programs [66].

Other preventive interventions, such as the P.A.T.H.S. project [67] have proven to be effective in reducing time on the internet, severity of internet addiction [66] and in improving student’s self-control of internet use [56,67]. As IUD is a much more common problem in Asian countries, preventive approaches originate from this part of the world, especially from Korea [66] and Hong Kong [67]. In addition to our project, one promising school-based preventive intervention in Germany is currently being implemented and evaluated [68].

As IUD, similar to other addictive disorders, has a possibly high relapse rate, there is an unmet need for preventive interventions addressing distal risk factors of IUD that are also causal for other behavioral problems, instead of only dealing with excessive use of the internet itself [66]. PROTECT targets such distal risk factors, as for instance lack of motivation, performance anxiety, procrastination, and social isolation.

Furthermore, Kwon [66] claims that targeting young age groups is crucial in the prevention of IUD. PROTECT is applicable for adolescents from 12 years of age onwards, as the prevalence of IUD is highest in this age group [69]. Although Kwon [66] proposes the implementation of long-term interventions, evidence suggests no systematical differences in efficacy between short and long-term behavioral preventive programs and higher dose-response relationships for short interventions [18,20]. Considering the limited time resources in educational settings, short interventions are the preferable option for school-based approaches. To evaluate the efficacy of preventive interventions, more empirical research is needed [66]. There is a lack of high-quality evaluation studies in the field of prevention and treatment of IUD [59,70—72].

In addition to examining the efficacy of PROTECT, we hope to contribute to other open research questions in the field of IUD. The diagnostic criteria of IGD in the research appendix (Section 3) of the DSM-5 [3] are only preliminary and are an issue of debate in current research [6,73—75]. In line with Strittmatter and colleagues [6] it is assumable that the restriction of the definition to internet-related gaming is a too narrow approach and that non-gaming internet activities can lead to similar levels of impairment. More empirical data is needed to conclude if an extension of the diagnosis to non-gaming activities is clinically relevant. Therefore, we extended the assessment of the IGD-criteria in the clinical interview and the
CSAS [38] for both gaming and non-gaming related internet activities. Furthermore, our study contributes to a better understanding of the phenomenology of subtypes, etiology, risk factors, epidemiology and comorbid psychopathology of IUD. It does not only evaluate the overall efficacy of the preventive intervention on the incidence of IUD, but also differential effects of specific intervention techniques (i.e. cognitive restructuring, problem solving and emotion regulation) on relevant psychological outcomes.

In the process of designing our study, we faced some limitations and difficulties. The main purpose of this preventive intervention is that fewer people develop an IUD. Thus, IUD incidence rates are considered as key outcome criterion. However, in unselected populations, IUD affects only a minority and absolute risk is small. Although the intervention might potentially achieve large effect sizes in relative terms (relative risk reduction), absolute risk reduction is small. We assume that risk may be higher in specific target groups; however, we still have little knowledge about risk factors and only vague speculations about timing and processes. Thus, we have to accept numerous uncertainties in our sample size calculation. Prevalence data of IUD are inconsistent, ranging from 0.8% to 26.7% [2]. Among adolescents, prevalence rates from 1.2% [4.5] to 6.0% [8] or even 13.3% for subthreshold pathology [9] are reported.

In our design, we assume that 14% of adolescents are identified as at-risk for IUD. We presume that 36% of those high-risk adolescents in the control group and 24% in the intervention group will develop an IUD over the 12-months follow-up period resulting in a population incidence rate of 5% vs. 3.3%. Because of lacking empirical data, we estimate the risk of illness onset within 12 months (incidence rate) on the basis of prevalence data. To assure a power of $\beta = 0.80$, a total sample size of 340 participants (170 per group) is needed. Only slight changes in the prevalence of at-risk adolescents or in the incidence of IUD in the control group can lead to a significant reduction of power when sample size remains constant. Another problem we face is the difficulty in estimating dropout rates. We assume a dropout-rate of 25% over the 12-months study period.

To conclude, PROTECT is a promising preventive intervention that aims to reduce the incidence of IUD in adolescent populations. If the efficacy of PROTECT can be established, the program needs to be evaluated after large-scale implementation in routine care (effectiveness). Further developments of the program should extend its applicability to younger age groups in primary schools or to students with learning difficulties.
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