FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS: Genome re-arrangement as safe and efficacious live attenuated influenza B virus vaccines.

Stivalis Cardenas-Garcia¹, C. Joaquín Cáceres¹, Aarti Jain², Ginger Geiger¹, Jong-Suk Mo¹, Alguimantas Jasinskas², Rie Nakajima², Daniela S. Rajao³, D. Huw Davies², and Daniel R. Perez⁴*

¹ Department of Population Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA; stivalis@uga.edu; cjoaquinacaces@uga.edu; imginger@uga.edu; jm45001@uga.edu; daniela.rajao@uga.edu; dperez1@uga.edu.
² Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA; aartij@hs.uci.edu;ajasinsk@hs.uci.edu; rio3@hs.uci.edu; ddavies@uci.edu.
³ Correspondence: dperez1@uga.edu; Tel.: 1 (706) 542-5506

Abstract: Influenza B virus (IBV) is considered a major respiratory pathogen responsible for seasonal respiratory disease in humans, particularly severe in children and the elderly. Seasonal influenza vaccination is considered the most efficient strategy to prevent and control IBV infections. Live attenuated influenza virus vaccines (LAIVs) are thought to induce both humoral and cellular immune responses by mimicking a natural infection, but their effectiveness have recently come into question. Thus, the opportunity exists to find alternative approaches to improve overall influenza vaccine effectiveness. Two alternative IBV backbones were developed with re-arranged genomes, re-arranged M (FluB-RAM) and a re-arranged NS (FluB-RANS). Both re-arranged viruses showed temperature sensitivity in vitro compared to the WT type B/Bris strain, were genetically stable over multiple passages in embryonated chicken eggs and were attenuated in vivo in mice. In a prime-boost regime in naïve mice, both re-arranged viruses induced antibodies against HA with hemagglutination inhibition titers considered of protective value. In addition, antibodies against NA and NP were readily detected with potential protective value. Upon lethal IBV challenge, mice previously vaccinated with either FluB-RAM or FluB-RANS were completely protected against clinical disease and mortality. In conclusion, genome re-arrangement renders efficacious LAIV candidates to protect mice against IBV.
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1. Introduction

The influenza B viruses (IBVs) in the Orthomyxoviridae family were first isolated in 1940 in Irvington, NY [1]. IBVs are enveloped by a host-derived lipid bilayer and contain eight segments of single-stranded, negative-sense, RNA [2] that encode for at least 11 proteins: polymerase basic 1 (PB1), polymerase basic 2 (PB2), polymerase acidic (PA), hemagglutinin (HA, surface glycoprotein), nucleoprotein (NP), neuraminidase (NA, surface glycoprotein), NB (surface glycoprotein), matrix protein 1 (M1), matrix protein 2 (BM2), non-structural protein 1 (NS1), and non-structural protein 2 (NS2) [3-7]. IBVs are of public health relevance due to their association with severe respiratory disease in humans, particularly in pediatric and elderly populations. Two antigenically distinct lineages co-circulate worldwide identified as Victoria and Yamagata lineages that show no serological cross reactivity providing limited cross protection against each other [8-10]. The incidence of IBV infections varies from season to season, linked to 7-51% of the pediatric mortalities registered in the U.S. from 2004-2019 [11]. During the 2019-2020 influenza season, IBV showed an early onset and the incidence of IBV infections in the U.S. increased compared to previous seasons. Compared to the 2018-2019 influenza season in which about 7% of
influenza-positive samples corresponded to IBV. [12], >45% of the influenza-positive samples were positive for IBV during the 2019-2020 season [13]. The 2019-2020 IBV season was associated to 116 (61.7%) of pediatric deaths [11] and 5202 (26.8%) hospitalizations, with the highest rate among adults ≥65 years old [14].

Although vaccination is the most effective strategy to ameliorate the impact of influenza infections, the incidence of IBV shows an increasing trend. This is in part due to vaccine mismatch in trivalent vaccine formulations that contain only one IBV strain from one of the lineages [15-22]. These observations underscore the importance of including both IBV lineages in seasonal vaccine formulations as it is the case in several of the most recent FDA-approved quadrivalent vaccines [23]. However, additional efforts are warranted in order to improve vaccine protection against IBV. Live attenuated vaccine platforms have been among the most explored over the years (reviewed in [24]). In addition to the cold-adapted LAIVs developed in the 60’s that form the basis of the current LAIVs approved for human use, alternative LAIV approaches have been developed that include modifications and deletions to the NS1 gene segment, generation of M2 deficient viruses, alternative virus backbones with temperature sensitive phenotypes, among others [25-31]. We have previously shown that genome re-arrangement is a suitable strategy for the development of influenza A virus LAIVs [32]. In the present study, we expanded these studies into IBV and produced two distinct genome re-arrangements in the backbone of the B/Brisbane/60/2008 strain (Victoria lineage). The FluB-RAM re-arrangement involved producing a chimeric segment 1 that encodes PB1 and BM2, and a series of mutations in segment 7 to completely abrogate expression of BM2 from the latter. The FluB-RANS re-arrangement used a similar strategy whereby NS2 was cloned downstream of PB1 and segment 8 contains multiple mutations that precludes NS2 expression. Safety and efficacy of the FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses was evaluated in DBA/2J mice [27,31,33,34]. Both vaccine candidates were immunogenic and effectively protected mice against homologous lethal IBV challenge.

2. Materials and Methods

Cells and eggs. Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells and 293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were used for reverse genetics of virus strains. Specific pathogen free embryonated chicken eggs (ECEs) used for virus propagation and stock titration were obtained from Charles Rivers (Wilmington, MA).

Recombinant Plasmids. DNA fragments flanked by AarI sites and encoding, in the 5’-3’direction, the 82 codons of the C-terminus of B/Bris PB1, followed by codons encoding the sequence Gly-Gly-Gly-Gly-Ser (G4S), the 2A protease from Thosea asigna virus ORF (Tav 2A), either the BM2 ORF or BNS2 ORF of B/Bris, followed by the untranslated region of B/Bris PB1 were synthesized and cloned into pUC57 using GenScript services (Piscataway, NJ, USA). The synthetic fragments were subcloned using appropriate restriction sites into the reverse genetics pDP2002 vector encoding the wild type B/Bris PB1 gene segment [27] to generate the plasmids pSCG_PB1G4S2ATavBM2_FluB (pSCG_PB1BM2) and pSCG_PB1G4S2ATavBNS2_FluB (pSCG_PB1BNS2), respectively. The reverse genetics plasmids that encode the B/Bris M segment and B/Bris NS segment were mutagenized to obliterate expression of BM2 and BNS2, respectively. In plasmid pSCG_M_FLuB_stops_at_BM2 (pSCG_BM1-AM2), the nucleotide sequence 771 - AGTGATCAATGATTCCATCTTACAAATTGGTCTTTTATCTATCACGCTTCACATTCTAGCGCAAGAAGGCGATTTGAAATCAAATAAAAGAGGAATAAACCTAG - 881 leads to the following aa mutations: an extra stop codon at the end of the M1 ORF; and M1V, L2I, E3Stop, P4Stop, M21Stop, and M37Stop for BM2 ORF (Fig. 1A). In pSCG_NS_FLuB_stops_at_NS2 (pSCG-BN51-ANEP), the sequence 733 - CTGTTAAGGACCCAAGAAGACGGCCATCGGATCTCAACTCATCTGGATTGGTCATATTCAAAGGCAATTTGA - 813 leads to the following aa mutations: Q to L at the acceptor splicing boundary, W13Stop, M15T, M18T, M31T, and F38Stop (Fig. 1A). Plasmids were propagated in Top 10 chemically...
competent E. coli cells (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Plasmid purifications were carried out using QIAGEN Plasmid Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The modifications on the plasmids were confirmed by Sanger sequencing using Psomagen services (Rockville, MD, USA).

Rescue of FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses with re-arranged genomes. Recombinant viruses were rescued by reverse genetics as previously described [35]. We employed a 6+2 method whereby 6 plasmids containing 6 cDNA copies of the wild type gene segments from the B/Brisbane/60/2008 were mixed with the corresponding pair of plasmids (either pSCG-PB1BM2 and pSCG-BM1-ΔM2, or pSCG-PB1BNS2 and pSCG-BNS1-ΔNEP) to produce the B/Bris re-arranged M (FluB-RAM) and B/Bris re-arranged NS (FluB-RANS) viruses, respectively. The identity of the rescued viruses was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Psomagen). The recombinant viruses were propagated and titrated in 11-day-old SPF ECEs incubated at 33°C for 48 h. Virus stocks were stored at -80°C until further use. These stocks constitute the first passage in ECEs (E1).

Stability of FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses through serial passages in ECEs. Serial passages were performed in 11-day-old SPF embryonated chicken eggs as follows: Serial 10-fold dilutions from FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS E1 viruses were prepared in 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 100 µL from each dilution were inoculated into each of five ECEs through the allantoic cavity to generate E2. The inoculated ECEs were incubated at 33°C for 48 h. Allantoic fluids were then tested for hemagglutination activity by the hemagglutination (HA) assay. Fluids collected from the previous to the last dilution with 5/5 embryos positive for HA activity were pooled together and used to prepare 10-fold dilutions to inoculate the next set of embryos. The same procedure was repeated until 5 passages had been completed, generating E6. Aliquots from each passage were stored at -80°C until needed. RNA was extracted from fluids collected at each passage and from the original virus stock using the MagNA Pure LC Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Roche, San Francisco, CA). The PB1, M and/or NS gene segments were amplified by RT-PCR using SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific). Sanger sequencing (Psomagen) was then performed from the resulting RT-PCR products to confirm the re-arrangement at the PB1 gene segments and the presence of the introduced mutations within the M and NS gene segments, respectively. Multi-segment RT-PCR (using the same RT-PCR system) was performed as previously described [36] for full genome sequencing using next generation sequencing (NGS) as follows: Amplicon libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Barcoded libraries were multiplexed and sequenced on the high-throughput Illumina MiSeq NGS platform (Illumina) in a paired-end 150-nucleotide run format. De novo genome assembly was performed as described previously [37].

Virus Growth Kinetics. MDCK cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight at 37°C, under 5% CO2. The next day, cells were inoculated with 0.01 MOI of either the B/Bris WT, FluB-RAM, or FluB-RANS virus contained in 500 µL, each in triplicate wells. Three set of plates were prepared for each virus. Inoculated cells were incubated for 1 h at 35°C/5% CO2 with gentle rocking of the plates every 15 min. Subsequently, the virus inoculum was removed, and the cells were washed twice with 1X PBS and replenished with 2mL of fresh Opti-MEM (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 1X antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1ug/mL of L-1-tosylamide-2-phenoxyethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK) treated-Trypsin. Plates were set to incubate at either 33, 35 or 37°C, 5% CO2. Supernatants (200 µL) were collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hrs. post-inoculation (hpi) and stored at -80°C until processed. The amount of virus present in the collected samples was titrated by TCIDso in MDCK cells determining virus presence by HA assay. Virus titers were calculated using the Reed and Muench protocol [38] and plotted as the mean TCIDso/mL ± SD.
Mouse Studies. Male and female DBA/2J mice (5 weeks old) were purchased from Jackson’s Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and raised until 7 weeks of age. Mice were housed in negative pressure caging in the Davison Life Sciences Complex, University of Georgia and were provided food and water ad libitum for the duration of the experiment.

Vaccine safety. A prime-boost strategy was used 20 days apart using the same route and inoculum. 7-week-old mice were vaccinated intranasally (i.n.) with 50 µL of inoculum distributed equally between nares. Male and female mice, housed separately, were allocated into 4 groups (½ females/group) as follows: G1. FluB-RAM (n=12); G2. FluB-RANS (n=12); G3. 1X PBS (mock, n=24); and G4. B/Bris WT (n=12, positive control). The FluB-RAM, FluB-RANS, and control B/Bris WT viruses were administered at a target dose of $10^6$ EIDs₅₀/mouse. Mice were monitored daily to record clinical signs and mortality. Body weight was recorded daily for up to 12 days following vaccination (dpv) and boost (dpb). At 20 dpb, a subset of mice from each group (n=4/group, ½ females) were anesthetized with isoflurane, terminally bled to collect sera, and subsequently humanely euthanized (Fig. 2).

Vaccine efficacy. Mice from the vaccine safety study (n=8/group, ½ females) were challenged i.n. with a lethal dose ($10^7$ EIDs₅₀/mouse) of the B/Brisbane/60/2008 PB2-F406Y (B/Bris/ F406Y) strain [27] contained in 50 µL. A subset of mice in the mock group (n=8, ½ female) remained unchallenged and served as negative controls. Mice were monitored twice daily to record clinical signs and mortality for up to 14 days post-challenge (dpc). Body weight was recorded for up to 12 dpc. At 14 dpc, survivors were anesthetized, terminally bled to collect sera, and subsequently humanely euthanized (Fig. 2).

Hemagglutination Inhibition (HI) assay. Sera were prepared from whole blood collected at 20 dpb (n=4/group, except for FluB-RAM) and 14 dpc (n=8/group) by centrifugation at 1000 x g for 15 min at room temperature. The sera were treated with receptor destroying enzyme (RDE) and the HI assay was performed in V-bottomed microtiter plates, using 4 hemagglutination units (HAU) of viral antigen per 25 µL, as recommended by the OIE [1], using a suspension of turkey red blood cells (0.5%). HI titers were plotted using Prism v9 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). The limit of detection was at dilution 1/10, samples with undetectable titers were assigned a dilution value of 1/8 for statistical purposes.

Microarray for IgG and IgA determination. Sera collected at 20 dpb and 14 dpc, and nasal washed collected at 14 dpc were analyzed through protein microarrays to determine anti-HA, -NA and -NP IgG and IgA levels from multiple Victoria- and Yamagata-like IBVs (Table 1). Purified IBV protein antigens were purchased from Sino Biological (Wayne, PA) (Table 1). Microarrays were carried out as described elsewhere [39]. Results are expressed as the group mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. MFI were plotted using Prism v9 (GraphPad).

| Table 1. Protein antigens used in protein microarray analysis. |
3. Results

3.1. FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses with re-arranged genomes. The currently available influenza B virus LAIV approved for human use are based on cold-adapted/temperature sensitive mutations. More recently, we developed an alternative influenza B virus LAIV based on amino acid mutations on the PB1 segment with or without a C-terminal HA tag. To further expand the choice of potential alternative LAIV candidates against the influenza B virus and to test the hypothesis that different LAIV backbones have an impact on adaptive immunity, we developed two strategies of genome re-arrangement within the backbone of the B/Brisbane/60/2008 strain (Victoria lineage). The first strategy, FluB-RAM, consists of moving the BM2 ORF from segment 7 into the C-terminal end of the PB1 ORF in segment 1 (Fig 1-A). Segment 1 is further modified with the inclusion of a linker peptide sequence (G4S) and the Tav 2A protease sequence between the PB1 and BM2 ORFs. The strategy leads to a chimeric polymerase PB1 subunit protein carrying the G4S linker and the Tav 2A protein sequences and the BM2 protein but with N-terminal proline. Segment 7 is mutagenized to eliminate the codon for the first methionine in the BM2 ORF, the inclusion of an additional stop codon in the BM1 ORF and two early stop codons in the BM2 ORF resulting in complete obliteration of BM2 expression from its cognate segment. In the second strategy, FluB-RANS, (Fig 1-A) the NS2 ORF from segment 8 instead of the BM2 ORF is cloned downstream of PB1. Segment 8 is further modified to produce an amino acid mutation and a stop codon at the splicing acceptor boundary and an additional stop codon in BNS2 (F38Stop). In addition, codons 15, 18 and 31 were mutated (M15T, M18T, M31T), to prevent leaky expression of a truncated BNS2 protein. The FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses were successfully rescued and propagated in ECEs. In order to quickly visualize whether the viruses contained the corresponding re-arranged PB1 gene segments, RT-PCR targeting the region containing the BM2 or BNS2 insertions was performed (Fig 1-B). The RT-PCR showed that the FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses carry PB1 segments with the expected size changes (402 and 444 base pairs, respectively). The sizes of the amplified fragments were consistent with those of the positive control reverse genetics plasmids used to generate the corresponding viruses (Fig 1-B). Further, sequencing results confirmed the presence of the BM2 and BNS2 inserts downstream of the PB1 ORF in the corresponding viruses, as well as the mutations introduced in segments 7 and 8 that
prevent the expression of BM2 or BNS2, respectively (Table 2). To further evaluate genome re-arrangement stability and the mutations introduced in the M and NS gene segments, five serial passages from an E1 stock were performed in ECEs as described above. Segments 1 and 7 from the FluB-RAM virus and 1 and 8 from the FluB-RANS virus from each passage were amplified by RT-PCR and sequenced by Sanger (Table 2). In addition, NGS was performed on the last passage virus and compared to the original stock virus from passage 1. Both Sanger sequencing and NGS confirmed the presence of the BM2 or BNS2 downstream of the PB1 gene segment in either FluB-RAM or FluB-RANS, respectively. Sequencing results also confirmed the maintenance of the mutations introduced in either the M or NS gene segment from the corresponding virus. These results highlight the stability of the two genome re-arrangement strategies introduced in the B/Bris genome.

Figure 1. (A) Schematic representation of the modified PB1 and M or NS segments carried by the FluB-RAM (top) and FluB-RANS (bottom) viruses. (B) RT-PCR from newly rescued FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS carrying the re-arranged PB1 gene segment. RT-PCR was performed from RNA extracted from FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS to amplify their re-arranged PB1 gene segments. The plasmid carrying the PB1 WT and the re-arranged PB1 plasmids were included in the reactions as controls. The agarose gel image showing the RT-PCR products is a demonstration that both re-arranged PB1 gene segments amplified from FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS RNA carry either the BM2 or the BNS2 as confirmed by the corresponding controls. (C) Comparative growth kinetics of B/Bris WT, FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS. MDCK cells were inoculated with the three viruses at a 0.01 MOI. Infected cells were incubated at 33°C, 35°C and 37°C to assess viral growth at different temperatures over time. Samples were collected at 0, 12-, 24-, 48-, and 96-hours post-infection (hpi) and titrated by TCID₅₀ in MDCK cells. Virus titers are graphed
as the mean TCID₅₀/mL ± SD. Samples with undetected virus titers were assigned the limit of detection value (0.699 TCID₅₀/mL). Data analysis and graphs were prepared using Prism v9. Curves were analyzed using multiple t-tests followed by the Holm-Sidak method to correct for multiple comparisons. Significant differences from the WT B/Bris are denoted by stars (*). * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001, and **** = p < 0.0001.

Table 2. Whole genome sequencing results after serial passages in embryonated chicken eggs.

| Segment | FluB-RAM | FluB-RANS |
|---------|----------|-----------|
|         | Predicted Mutations | Egg passage #6 | Predicted Mutations | Egg passage #6 |
| PB1     | +BM2 ORF | +BM2 ORF | +BS2 ORF | +BS2 ORF |
|         | M4951 (g1485a) | S719P (I2184c) |
| PB2     | None | None | None | None |
| PA      | None | None | None | None |
| HA      | None | None | None | None |
| NP      | None | None | None | None |
| NA      | None | None | None | None |
| NB      | None | None | None | None |
| BM1     | Stop (c774a) | g737a | a546g* | Stop (c774a) |
| BM2     | M1V (a771g) | L2I (c774a) | E3Stop (g777a) | L2I (c774a) | E3Stop (g777a) |
|         | E3Stop (c780l, c791g) | P4Stop (c780l, c791g) | M21Stop (a831l, t832a) | M21Stop (a831l, t832a) |
|         | M37Stop (a879l, t880a) | M37Stop (a879l, t880a) |         |         |
| NS1     | None | None | None | None |
| NS2/NEP | None | None | None | None |

*a Mutations identified in the 3’ untranslated region (UTR)

*b Mutation identified at the stop codon of the open reading frame

** SYNONYMOUS MUTATION

Higher case letters = amino acids
Lower case letters = nucleotides

3.2 FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses are attenuated in vitro.

In order to determine the growth of the re-arranged viruses at different temperatures, MDCK cells were infected with either B/Bris WT, FluB-RAM, or FluB-RANS at 0.01 MOI. The growth kinetics for each virus was assessed at 33°C, 35°C, and 37°C for up to 96 hpi (Fig 1-C). Compared to the B/Bris WT virus, both FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS showed significant lower replication at all three temperatures. Of note, the replication of the FluB-RANS virus was lower than that of the FluB-RAM virus at either 33°C or 35°C and were almost undetectable at 37°C compared to the B/Bris WT and FluB-RAM viruses. These results demonstrate that both FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS are...
attenuated in vitro. Re-arranged virus yield in ECEs reached titers of 1 x 10^8 and 3.16 x 10^8 EID₅₀/mL for FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS, respectively.

![Experimental timeline](A).

Figure 2. (A) Experimental timeline. Seven-week-old mice (n=12/group, ½ females) were vaccinated or mock vaccinated intranasally with 1X PBs, B/Bris WT. FluB-RAM or FluB-RANS at day 0, monitoring body weight for up to 12 dpv and clinical signs for up to 20 dpv. Twenty days after vaccination (20 dpv), all mice were boosted with same mock or vaccine treatment as before and monitored body weight for up to 12 dpb (day 32) and clinical signs for up to 21 dpb (day 41). At day 40 (20 dpb), a subset of mice was bled and humanely euthanized. The remaining mice (n=8/group, ½ females) were challenged at day 41 (21 dpb). Mice were observed for up to 14 dpb (day 55) for clinical signs and mortality, and recorded body weight for up to 12 dpc (day 52). At day 40 (20 dpb), a subset of mice was bled and humanely euthanized; nasal washes (NW) were collected as well. (B) Monitoring body weight and survival in male and female mice. After prime vaccination, body weight was monitored for up to 12 dpv; survival was recorded until the day before the boost. (C) HI antibody titers after boost. Blood samples for serology were collected at 20 dpb. Sera were separated and used to perform HI assays comparing males and females. Body weight values were graphed as the group mean ± SD. HI titers are represented as the group mean ± SEM. Data analysis and graphs were prepared using Prism v9.

3.3 FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses show differences in attenuation.

The safety and immunogenicity of the FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses was tested in DBA/2J mice, a small animal model susceptible to influenza B viruses without further adaptation [27]. DBA/2J mice (7-week-old, male and female) were inoculated with 10^6 EID₅₀/mouse i.n. following a prime/boost strategy 20 days apart with the corresponding re-arranged virus (Fig 2-A). As a control, a group of mice were inoculated with the B/Bris WT virus (10^6 EID₅₀/mouse i.n.). Prime vaccination with the FluB-RANS resulted in neither clinical signs nor body weight changes in both male and female mice (Figs. 2-B). In contrast, male mice primed with the FluB-RAM virus showed an average of -10% body weight loss between 7-9 dpv, but started to recover from 10 dpv onwards, whereas female mice showed a slight drop in body weight (<5%) on 7 dpv and quickly
recovered. Consistent with the presentation of clinical signs, no mortality was observed in mice that received the FluB-RANS virus or female mice inoculated with the FluB-RAM virus (not shown). One out of 6 male mice primed with the FluB-RAM virus had to be euthanized by 10 dpv (not shown). These observations contrast with to those in the group primed with the B/Br strain. As expected, boost vaccination resulted in neither clinical signs nor mortality in any of the groups (data not shown).

3.4 Qualitative differences in humoral responses among different vaccine groups.

The humoral responses induced by the re-arranged virus vaccines were analyzed utilizing serum samples obtained at 20 days post-boost (20 dpb) from a subset of 4 mice/group (2 males, 2 females, except in the FluB-RAM group with 1 male and 2 female serum samples). Please note that we included HI data from FluB att as it was part of the same study although reported in manuscript entitled “Mutation E48K in PB1 polymerase subunit improves stability of a candidate live attenuated influenza B virus vaccine” by Mok et al, submitted to the same Special Issue of Vaccines; these data were included for comparison purposes. Boost vaccination led to HI titers above 40, the predictive limit of protection (Fig. 2-C) with either rearranged virus. HI titers for the FluB-RAM group (80, 160 and 160; each mouse respectively) and for the FluB-RANS group (80, 80, 80, 160; each mouse respectively) were lower than those obtained with the B/Br att virus (160, 160, 320, and 320; each mouse respectively). To further understand possible differences in serological responses, IgG and IgA antibodies were analyzed using a protein microarray consisting of 22 HA proteins and 2 NA proteins derived from influenza B viruses (IBVs), corresponding to the two major lineages (Victoria and Yamagata), as well as a single NP protein from a prototypic IBV. Approximately 1/3 of the HA proteins are displayed as full length whereas the rest correspond to the HA1 region. The array also contains many influenza A proteins, including group 1 and group 2 HA subtypes, NA subtypes, NP, M1, NS1 and NS2, which served as internal controls. Details of the strain of origin, source of the protein, and presence or absence of epitope tags are provided upon request. Side by side comparisons of the three vaccine groups, FluB-RAM, FluB-RANS, and FluB att revealed qualitative differences in humoral responses for both IgG and IgA. Analysis of the serum samples post-boost showed that the FluB-RANS and Flu B att groups had significantly higher anti-B/Brisbane/60/2008-HA IgG responses than the FluB-RAM group (p=0.0019 and p=0.0064, respectively) (Fig 3-A top). In addition, Flu B att anti-HA1 IgG responses were significantly higher than those for FluB-RAM for HA1 from B/Victoria/02/1987 (p=0.0332), B/Ohio/01/2005 (p=0.0257), B/Massachusetts/03/2010 (p=0.0434), and B/Wisconsin/02/2012 (p=0.0335) (Fig 3-A top). Analysis of all the anti-Victoria HA responses combined and comparison between groups confirmed that the FluB-RANS vaccine induced higher responses than the FluB-RAM vaccine (p<0.0001) (Fig 3-A bottom). When looking at the anti-Yamagata responses, FluB-RANS showed numerically higher anti-HA IgG responses that the other vaccine groups; however, none of those were statistically significant (p>0.05) due to the high variability between samples within the group (Fig 3-B top). When the responses against all the Yamagata lineage HA s were combined, the Flu-B-RANS group had significantly higher IgG response compared to the other vaccine groups (p<0.0001 and p<0.0001, respectively) (Fig 3-B bottom). In contrast, anti-HA IgA responses were numerically higher for both IBV lineages in samples from the Flu att group, but not significantly different than the other groups (p>0.05) (Fig 3-C and -D). Combining the responses against all the Victoria or the Yamagata HA antigens, FluB att induced significantly higher IgA responses than FluB-RAM (p<0.0001 and p<0.0001, respectively) and FluB-RANS (p=0.0021 and p=0.0097, respectively) against both lineages (Figs 3-C and 3-D bottom). Interestingly, and despite showing the least attenuation, FluB-RAM samples showed the lowest levels of anti-HA IgG and IgA responses among the three vaccine groups (Fig 3).

Figure 3. Lineage-specific IgG and IgA responses against the HA in serum at 20 dpb. IgG and IgA responses in serum were analyzed using protein microarrays. Sera collected at 20 dpb from mice inoculated with either PBS, FluB-RAM, FluB-RANS, or FluB att (n=4/group) were tested against a variety of purified IBV full HA or HA1 portion protein antigens purchased from Sino Biological. Results are expressed as the group mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. (A) Anti-Victoria IgG responses. (B) Anti-Yamagata IgG response. (C) Anti-Victoria IgA responses. (D) Anti-Yamagata IgA responses. MFI were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Top graphs from each subfigure shows the responses from each group against every single HA protein antigen; the bottom graphs summarize the combined IgG or IgA responses against a particular lineage. Statistical analysis
to compare responses between groups was performed using 2-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Significant differences between groups are denoted by stars (*), ** = p < 0.05, *** = p < 0.01, **** = p < 0.001, and ***** = p < 0.0001.

Differences in serological responses against NA and NP were also observed (Fig 4). Anti-NA IgG and IgA showed a trend towards higher responses in samples from the FluB att group, although most of them were not statistically significant (p>0.05). However, the FluB att vaccine induced significantly higher anti-B/Phuket/3073/2013 IgG response than the other two groups (p=0.005 and p=0.0016, respectively). It was noted that the NA antigen derived from the B/Phuket/3073/2013 provided more reliable signals with low background noise (Fig 4-A and -B). In contrast, the NA antigen derived from B/Brisbane/60/2008 reacted poorly in the array when probing for IgG responses and it provided high background signal when probing for IgA responses. The trend of anti-NP IgG responses was also numerically higher in samples from the FluB att group (Fig 4-C). Anti-NP IgA serum responses were low, except the serum from one female in the FluB att group, which clearly show reactivity well above background (Fig 4-D). Interestingly, samples from
the FluB-RANS and FluB-RAM groups had similar anti-NA and anti-NP responses despite their differences in anti-HA responses.

**Figure 4.** Lineage-specific IgG and IgA responses against the NA and the NP in serum at 20 dpb. IgG and IgA responses in serum were analyzed using protein microarrays. Sera collected at 20 dpb from mice inoculated with either PBS, FluB-RAM, FluB-RANS, or FluB att (n=4/group) were tested against purified IBV NA or NP protein antigens purchased from Sino Biological. Results are expressed as the group mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. (A) Anti-NA IgG responses. (B) Anti-NA IgA responses. (C) Anti-NP IgG responses. (D) Anti-NP IgA responses. MFIs were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Statistical analysis to compare responses between groups was performed using 2way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Significant differences between groups are denoted by stars (*). * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001, and **** = p < 0.0001.

3.5 FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS effectively protect mice against lethal IBV challenge.
Protection efficacy of the re-arranged viruses was tested using a lethal challenge dose of $10^7$ EID$_{50}$/mouse of B/Bris/PB2 F406Y strain, administered i.n. [27] 3 weeks after boost. Mice in the three vaccine groups (FluB att data included for comparison) were fully protected as no signs of disease and no mortality were observed (Fig 5-A and -B). In contrast, PBS-vaccinated/challenged mice showed severe body weight loss. Only one female (out of 8) and none of the male mice survived in the PBS-vaccinated/challenged group, consistent with previous studies [27,31].

Figure 5. (A) Monitoring body weight in male and female mice. After challenge, body weight was monitored for up to 12 dpc. (B) Survival after challenge. Mortality in males and females was recorded until the 14 dpc. (C) Post-challenge antibody titer determination. Blood samples were collected for serology at 14 dpc. Sera were separated and used to perform HI assays comparing males and females. Body weight values were graphed as the group mean ± SD. Survival data were analyzed using the Log-rank test. HI titers are represented as the group mean ± SEM. Data analysis and graphs were prepared using Prism v9. HI titers were compared between groups through a 2Way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Significant differences between group are denoted by stars (*). * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001, and **** = p < 0.0001.

3.6 Qualitative differences in humoral and mucosal responses among different vaccine groups at 14 dpc.

HI responses at 14 dpc were similar among the three vaccine groups with a mean antibody titer increase of about 1 Log; compared to post-boost HI titers, particularly in samples from the re-arranged vaccine groups (Fig 5-C). No statistically significant differences were observed between vaccine groups with trends like those observed post-boost. With respect to the re-arranged vaccine groups, the data showed better responses in female mice than in male mice. Further analyses of serum and nasal wash samples collected at 14 dpc revealed recall IgG and IgA anti-HA responses against both the Victoria- and Yamagata-lineage antigens (Fig 6). As expected, the reactivity of serum samples from all vaccine groups against Victoria lineage HA antigens was 1.5-2-fold higher than to those of the Yamagata lineage (Fig 6-A and -B). Interestingly, the HA1 antigen derived from B/Hong Kong/05/1972 (before the split of the two IBV lineages) reacted well with samples from all groups (Fig 6-A), whereas the HA1 antigen from B/Florida/4/2006 and B/Utah/02/2007 (Yamagata lineage) show the lowest reaction with the serum samples (Fig 6-B). Of note, the full-length HA of B/Florida/4/2006 reacted well with samples from all three vaccine groups (Fig 6-B). The pattern of anti-HA Victoria lineage serum IgA was similar among all vaccine groups where differences in reactivity could be attributed to the different antigens in the array (Fig 6-C). Post-challenge serum IgA responses against Yamagata-lineage HA antigens showed reactivity patterns...
attributed also to the different antigens but trending towards better reactivity in samples from the FluB-RANS group (Fig 6-D).

**Figure 6.** Lineage-specific IgG and IgA responses against the HA in serum at 14 dpc. IgG and IgA responses in serum after challenge were analyzed using protein microarrays. Sera collected at 14 dpc from mice challenged with B/Brims/PB2 F406Y (n=4/group) were tested against a variety of purified IBV full HA or HA1 portion protein antigens purchased from Sino Biological. Results are expressed as the group mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen.

(A) Anti-Victoria IgG responses. (B) Anti-Yamagata IgG response. (C) Anti-Victoria IgA responses. (D) Anti-Yamagata IgA responses. MFIs were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Top graphs from each subfigure show the responses from each group against every single HA protein antigen; the bottom graphs summarize the combined IgG or IgA responses against a particular lineage. Statistical analysis to compare responses between groups was performed using 2way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons.
Significant differences between groups are denoted by stars (*), ** = p < 0.05, *** = p < 0.01, **** = p < 0.001, and ***** = p < 0.0001.

Figure 7. Post-challenge lineage-specific IgG and IgA responses against the HA in nasal wash (NW) material. IgG and IgA responses in NW were analyzed using protein microarrays. NW collected at 14 dpc from mice challenged with B/Bris/PB2 F406Y (n=4/group) were tested against a variety of purified influenza A/B/Phuket/3073/2013 (H3N2), B/Florida/4/2006 (H3N2), B/Victoria/3/2011 (H3N2), and B/unspecified/England/261/2006 (H1N1Pdm). Results are expressed as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. (A) Anti-Victoria IgG responses. (B) Anti-Yamagata IgG response. (C) Anti-Victoria IgA responses. (D) Anti-Yamagata IgA responses. MFIs were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Top graphs from each subfigure show the responses from each group against every single HA protein antigen; the bottom graphs summarize the combined IgG or IgA responses against a particular lineage. Statistical analysis to compare responses between groups was performed using 2-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons.

IBV full HA, or HA1 portion protein antigens purchased from Sino Biological. Results are expressed as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. (A) Anti-Victoria IgG responses. (B) Anti-Yamagata IgG response. (C) Anti-Victoria IgA responses. (D) Anti-Yamagata IgA responses. MFIs were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Top graphs from each subfigure show the responses from each group against every single HA protein antigen; the bottom graphs summarize the combined IgG or IgA responses against a particular lineage. Statistical analysis to compare responses between groups was performed using 2-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons.
multiple comparisons. Significant differences between groups are denoted by stars (*). * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001, and **** = p < 0.0001.

Perhaps the most striking differences in IgG and IgA profiles were observed in the NW samples (Fig 7). The trend of serum IgG, but not IgA, anti-HA responses at 20 dpb (Fig 3) translated similarly in the NW samples for both IgG and IgA responses (Fig 7). Thus, a trend of higher IgG and IgA anti-HA responses were observed for samples of the FluB-RANS group, whereas those from the FluB-RAM and FluB att had the lowest of such responses and were like each other. The FluB-RANS group displayed significantly higher general anti-Victoria IgG readings than both FluB-RAM and FluB att (p<0.0001) (Fig 7-A bottom); and higher anti-Yamagata IgG response than FluB att (p=0.039). IgA responses detected in the NW material appeared to be more robust than the IgG responses. When comparing groups within the same HA antigen, the FluB-RANS group had significantly higher anti-Victoria responses than FluB-RAM and FluB att for B/Massachusetts/03/2010 (p=0.0019 and p=0.0156), B/Brisbane/60/2008 (p=0.0047 and p=0.0370), B/Malaysia/2506/2004 (p=0.0294 vs. Flu Batt only), B/Wisconsin/02/2012-HA1 (p=0.0021 and p=0.0122), B/Massachusetts/03/2010-HA1 (p=0.0006 and p=0.0014), and B/Brisbane/60/2008-HA1 (p=0.0134 vs. Flu att only), B/Ohio/01/2005-HA1 (p=0.0153 and p=0.0322). When comparing general anti-Victoria and -Yamagata responses, FluB-RANS had significantly higher IgA responses than the other two groups (Vic - p<0.0001 and p<0.0001; Yam - p<0.0001 and p=0.0201) (Figs 7-C and -D bottom). Further, signals were stronger for the full-length HAs and more prominent for the Victoria-lineage antigens compared to the Yamagata-lineage antigens, as expected (Figs 7-A trough -D).

Figure 8. Post-challenge lineage-specific IgG and IgA responses against the NA and the NP in serum and NW. IgG and IgA responses in serum were analyzed using protein microarrays. Sera and NW collected at 14 dpc from mice challenged with B/Bris/ PB2 F406Y (n=4/group) were tested against purified IBV NA or NP protein antigens purchased from Sino Biological. Results are expressed as the group mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SD. The higher the MFI, the more Abs bound to a particular antigen. (A) Anti-NA and -NP IgG responses in serum. (B) Anti-NA and -NP IgG responses in NW. (C) Anti-NA and -NP IgA responses in serum. (D) Anti-NA and -NP IgA responses in NW. MFI s were plotted and analyzed using Prism v9. Statistical analysis to compare responses between groups was performed using 2way
Differences were also observed at 14 dpc in the pattern of IgG and IgA serum and NW reactivity against the NA and NP antigens on the array (Fig 8). Anti-NA and anti-NP IgG serum responses at 14 dpc were similar among vaccine groups (Fig 8-A) but were close to background against NA and low against NP in NW at 14 dpc (Fig 8-B). The pattern of IgA serum and NW against NA and NP at 14 dpc (Fig 8-C and -D) followed the patterns observed at 20 dph despite their initial low signals (Fig 4-B and -D). Thus, samples from the FluB-RANS group tended to have the lowest IgA responses in both serum and NW samples, whereas those from the FluB-RAM group had the overall highest responses, particularly against NP; although, not statistically different (p>0.05). Overall, the pattern of anti-NA and anti-NP responses post challenge showed opposite trends with respect to the anti-HA responses at 14 dpc (Figs 6, 7 and 8).

4. Discussion

Influenza virus genome re-arrangement is a viable alternative for the development LAIV vaccines. We previously showed such potential within the background of a H9N2 virus carrying full-length H9 and H5 HA proteins while maintaining a full set of the remaining viral proteins [32]. Similar to the approach followed in this study, the NS2 ORF from the H9N2 IAV was inserted downstream the PB1 gene, whereas the NS segment was modified to carry the NS1 ORF and a prototypic H5 HA ORF with a modified monobasic cleavage site. The H9N2/H5 virus showed successful protection against lethal highly pathogenic avian influenza A/Vietnam/1203/04 (H5N1) in mice and ferrets [32]. The same strategy was used to generate H9N2 viruses successfully expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) and secreted Gaussia luciferase (GLuc), and a 2009 prototypic H1N1 virus expressing GLuc [32,40]. Based on these previous studies, we developed the FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS LAIV candidates, with the exception that these viruses do not express foreign antigens. The FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses remained stable after six serial passages in ECEs as shown by RT-PCR and Sanger and NGS sequence analyses.

In vitro growth of FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses was impaired under multiple temperature conditions in MDCK cells compared to the WT B/Bris strain. Of the two re-arranged viruses, FluB-RANS grew at lower titers than FluB-RAM and its growth at 37°C was barely over the limit of detection at 72 hpi (Fig 1-C). However, both re-arranged viruses reached titers of a least $10^8$ EID$_{50}$/mL in ECEs that would make them suitable as vaccine candidates. The growth kinetics results were consistent with the observations during the in vivo safety assessment. Both FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS were attenuated in comparison to the B/Bris WT. The safety profile of FluB-RANS showed more attenuation than another LAIV candidate, FluBatt with 4 amino acid mutations in PB1 (E48K, K391E, E580G and S660A), resulting in no noticeable signs of disease and no body weight changes. In contrast, the FluB-RAM virus induced some body weight loss, particularly in male mice with one of those having to be euthanized. Nevertheless, the clinical signs induced by FluB-RAM inoculation in male mice were significantly lower, whereas they were almost nonexistent in female mice compared to those observed with the B/Bris WT strain (Fig 2-B).

It is important to note that during the process of testing safety and efficacy of the different vaccines, we observed biological sex as a variable for susceptibility to IBV. In our experience, male mice were more prone than female mice to develop more significant signs of disease and mortality upon IBV infection (Figs 2-B, 5-A, and 5-B). In addition, female mice, but not male mice showed a biphasic curve of associated clinical signs after IBV challenge, with an initial phase of pronounced body weight loss, recovery close to initial body weight and then a second phase of mild body weight loss before a second recovery phase (Fig 5-A). Sex differences related to susceptibility to IAV have been extensively characterized [41,42]. However, previous studies have determined that female mice are more susceptible than males to IAV infection. In this regard, the differences in susceptibility of male versus female mice infected with IBV of this report follow the pattern
observed in humans where biological males are more prone to hospitalization due to influenza than biological females. In addition, although non-statistically significant, antibody titers after boost vaccination and after challenge showed a trend towards higher responses in female than in male mice (Fig 2 and 5 and data not shown). These observations are consistent with previous studies assessing the response to vaccination in humans and mice that revealed higher antibody responses, higher B cell responses, higher cross-reactive antibodies, and higher CD4+ T cell numbers in females compared to males [41-45]. Thus, understanding sex as a variable to study IBV susceptibility and vaccine responses is warranted but beyond the scope of this report.

Comparing the results from the present study to previous observations with the FluB att virus published elsewhere but part of the same study, the rearranged FluB-RAM and FluB-RANS viruses induced comparable HI antibody levels within 1 Log2 difference of each other, both before and after challenge (Fig 2 and 5). Further, qualitative differences in IgG and IgA responses were observed among different vaccine groups as explore using a protein microarray (Fig 3 and 4). It was interesting to observe that the most attenuated virus, FluB-RANS led to overall higher anti-HA serum IgG responses before challenge. In contrast, anti-HA serum IgG responses from the FluB-RAM were among the weakest before challenge despite the virus being the least attenuated. The pattern of anti-HA serum IgG in samples from the FluB att were intermediate between the two re-arranged vaccine groups. However, serum samples from the FluB att group were consistently higher for IgA against HA and for IgG and IgA against NA and NP antigens in the array. Further, overall IgG and IgA responses against NA and NP from the FluB-RANS group were among the weakest. Despite these qualitative differences, both re-arranged viruses protected mice against lethal challenge with the B/Bris/PB2-F406Y strain. NW antibody responses after challenge were of particular interest since they reflect recall antibody responses to the site that would most efficiently prevent infection. In NW samples from the FluB-RANS group, anti-HA IgG and IgA responses were particularly prominent, but anti-NA/NP IgA responses were the weakest compared to other groups. Interestingly, anti-NA/NP IgA responses were more prominent after challenge in serum and NW samples from the FluB-RAM group. Thus, we observed opposite patterns between anti-HA and anti-NA/NP responses for the FluB-RANS and FluB-RAM groups and intermediate patterns for the FluB att group. These observations are significant because they suggest that humoral responses against different IBV antigens are not equally impacted by the different LAIV backbones. Despite the relatively less attenuation of the FluB-RAM virus, it could be useful in dose sparing situations and/or in the presence of pre-existing immunity as complement boost vaccine. Previous studies have suggested that priming with a LAIV followed by a killed virus vaccine leads to more complete protective responses than prime-boost strategies using a single type of vaccine against the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus. More relevant to this report, vaccination with a seasonal H1 LAIV (pre-2009 H1N1 antigen) followed by a boost with pandemic H1 LAIV led to more robust protective responses than either vaccine administered twice [46,47]. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that one or more LAIV platforms could be used in prime-boost approaches that would improve the protective response of currently approved vaccines against IBV.
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