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ABSTRACT Photovoltaic (PV) systems are frequently exposed to partial or complete shading phenomena. Partial shading has a profound impact on the performance of solar power generation. The operational performance of PV arrays under partial shading shows multiple maximum power point peaks, therefore it is challenging to identify the actual maximum power point. This paper investigates the impact of partial shading location on the output power of solar photovoltaic arrays with various configurations. Multiple photovoltaic strings, in both parallel and series configurations, are considered. Different random shading patterns are considered and analyzed to determine which configuration has higher maximum power point. The sensitivity of the partial shading can change according to the partial shading types, shading pattern, and the configuration used to connect all PV modules. Moreover, the study also investigates the output of the PV array with shading two random models, two consecutive models, and three random and consecutive modules. Experimental results validate the analysis and demonstrate the effect of various partial shading on the efficiency and performance of the PV system.

INDEX TERMS Photovoltaic system, partial shading, P-V characteristics, configuration, shading patterns.

I. INTRODUCTION

PV modules are combined in series-parallel configurations to obtain a desired output voltage and current levels. The partial of the complete shading of PV modules is a common incident and it results in reducing the output power of the PV system significantly. Partial shading broadly falls into two categories; static and dynamic shading. In the static shading type, a particular shadow stays fixed on the PV array for an extended period of time such as dust or dirt accumulation or bird droppings adhered to the PV surface. Meanwhile, dynamic shading type occurs due to covering part of the PV array with tree leaves, shade of a neighboring construction, passing clouds, or smoke.

In recent years, there is a growing concern about the most effective PV configuration to generate the maximum power by reducing the mismatching loss under different shading conditions. Photovoltaic cells under shading conditions produce less photon current. The generated photon current depends on the PV cell area and the cells irradiation [1], which consequently results in mismatching the current generated by the nearby fully illuminated cells. Under such conditions, the shaded cells may get reverse biased and act as load, which leads to power loss [2]. Hence, hot-spot heating occurs, and the system can be irreversibly damaged if it is not protected appropriately. Many topologies have been proposed to investigate various PV array configurations under different patterns such as series (S), series-parallel (SP), total cross-tied (TCT), bridge link (BL), honeycomb (HC) and complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS)-embedded for PV panels [2].

However, these topologies lack many aspects in terms of the mechanism to isolate the PV cells and effectively deal with the shading conditions. The bypass diodes are commonly used in parallel with a series-connected cells or a complete module to minimize the effect of the partial shading. These diodes create further losses due to their ON-state resistance [3]. Moreover, the partial shading causes multiple
power peaks in PV output power and results in misleading the maximum power point tracker (MPPT), which can be added to the losses [3], [4]. Therefore, more comparative investigations are required to better understand the effectiveness of reconfiguring PV modules. This will help scientists, designers, and manufacturers to improve the partial shading mitigation topologies for PV arrays.

In this paper, the authors assess the power performance of serial-parallel topology PV array power when partially or completely shading models are located in different locations of a solar PV array.

This research work aims to investigate the effectiveness of various reconfigurations on the characteristics of PV arrays under partially shaded conditions. The influence of shading multiple modules on the low voltage peak is discussed considering different shading patterns and heaviness applied to unsymmetrical size of array systems. Furthermore, a mathematical formula is presented to calculate the low voltage power peak that is applicable for photovoltaic array systems with different number of shading modules. The formula was evaluated and verified with different photovoltaic array configurations constructed from models of the same manufacturing specifications.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the mathematical modelling of the solar cell. Section III provides an in-depth discussion on PV array configuration. Section IV describes the simulation results of the PV arrays under partial shading. Section V presents the experimental validation and the operational performance analysis. Conclusion is given in section VI.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF SOLAR CELL

Photovoltaic systems can be sorted based on the desired power generation level, or based on the system configuration, or the type of the connection to the grid. A typical PV system comprises of four major parts: the PV arrays, power conditioner [14], storage system, and the PV inverter. The system is connected to the utility grid with or without a local load.

Solar cells are combined in series to construct a string, and strings are connected in parallel to construct a PV module. To assemble a PV array, modules are combined in series and/or in parallel to match the electric power demand. There are common models for a PV cell: ideal single diode model, simplified single diode model, improved single diode model, and two model diode model [15]. Figure 1 shows the single-diode equivalent model of a solar cell. The model corresponding equations are as follows [16]:

\[ I_L = I_{ph} - I_d - I_{sh} \]  
\[ I_d = I_o \left( e^{\frac{V + I_d R_s}{n V_t}} - 1 \right) \]  
\[ I_{sh} = \frac{V + I_d R_s}{R_{sh}} \]  
\[ I_L = I_{ph} - I_o \left( e^{\frac{V + I_d R_s}{n V_t}} - 1 \right) - \frac{V + R_s I_L}{R_{sh}} \]

where \( I_o \) is the diode reverse saturated current, \( V_i \) is diode thermal voltage, \( K \) is Boltzmann constant, \( q \) is electron charge, and \( n \) is the quality factor of the diode, which is derived from the slope of the dark-IV and the light-IV curves [18] and its value is between 1 and 2. The models for PV module have been designed and implemented using the MATLAB/Simulink environment based on the Shockley diode equation mentioned above. The simulation results for a uniform and non-uniform irradiance show excellent correspondence to the power-voltage (P-V) characteristic of the PV array [17]. A one diode model has been utilized in this work and the proposed model was connected in series and parallel to simulate PV arrays with different combinations.

III. PV ARRAY CONFIGURATION

The proposed PV module comprises 60 solar cells arranged into three assemblies connected in parallel, where each assembly contains 20 cells in series. Table 1 lists the electric characteristics for the developed module. The bypass diodes were added in parallel to each branch. The behavior characteristics of any PV array with bypass diodes differ from those without bypass diodes as these diodes introduce multiple peaks in addition to changing some local or global maximum power points values or locations [19]. There are many configuration topologies to construct and model PV arrays that have been discussed in [19]–[21]. Among these configurations, the serial-parallel (SP) is widely used in modeling to reduce the calculation time without losing accuracy for different
applications like estimation of energy production for a PV array in its lifetime. The modules in the SP configurations are first connected in series to obtain the required voltage, and then connected in parallel to generate the desired power level.

The proposed PV array consists of 21 panels to assemble a system with maximum power of 5.25 kW during no shade uniform irradiance condition. Two different serial-parallel configurations were considered in this work. The first configuration consists of three parallel-connected strings, and each string is constructed from seven modules series-connected as shown in Figure 2-(a). The PV array generates output voltage of 265 volt in normal operation. The second proposed configuration is constructed from seven parallel-connected strings, where each string is limited to three series-connected modules as shown in Figure 2-(b). The PV array is designed to generate 113 volts at no load. The work presented in this study aims to study the effect of shading uniform and non-uniformed irradiance and locations on the array’s output power by considering different shading combinations of the 21 modules.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

This section describes modeling procedures for simulating the I-V and P-V characteristics of PV array system under partial shading. It is important to understand the effect of the shading pattern and its level by considering all possible locations for a certain number of shaded modules under different percentage of illuminance. This procedure consists of defining two different array configurations as shown in Figure 2, where both configurations consist of 21 PV modules. In the first configuration the PV modules are arranged into three parallel-connected assemblies each having seven series-connected modules. On the other hand, the second configuration consists of seven parallel-connected assemblies, each having three series-connected modules.

A. CONFIGURATION 1

Considering the PV array system shown in Figure 2-(a), it is desired to obtain the I-V and P-V characteristics for this PV array with various shading patterns. First, a considered uniform shading is subjected to all PV modules at five different levels of irradiance. Figure 3-(b) shows the resulted P-V output characteristics of the setup during five irradiance levels. The selected modules in series assemblies were subjected to shading and the I-V and P-V characteristics of the PV array are displayed. The shaded patterns set used in testing this configuration are listed in Table 2. In the first shading pattern P1, two modules were selected randomly in the same series assembly. The two modules were shaded by applying five different levels of irradiance starting from fully shaded modules to only 20% shading irradiance. Figure 4(a) shows the I-V and P-V characteristics respectively for the entire PV array while applying different shading levels. Instead of selecting random modules, the aforementioned test was reconducted using two series adjacent modules. The measured output characteristics found are similar to the I-V and P-V characteristics results while shading two random modules in the same series assembly.

![FIGURE 2. Array configurations.](image)

![FIGURE 3. Output characteristics for uniform shading test.](image)

| Shading Pattern | Total Shaded Modules | Number of shaded modules (NS) |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                 | Assembly 1 | Assembly 2 | Assembly 3 |
| P1*             | 2         | 2         | 0          | 0         |
| P2              | 2         | 1         | 1          | 0         |
| P3*             | 3         | 3         | 0          | 0         |
| P4              | 3         | 2         | 1          | 0         |
| P5              | 3         | 1         | 1          | 1         |
| P6*             | 4         | 4         | 0          | 0         |
| P7              | 4         | 3         | 1          | 0         |
| P8              | 4         | 2         | 2          | 0         |
| P9              | 4         | 2         | 1          | 1         |

* Adjacent and random distributed modules were considered
but not adjacent. Considering the P-V characteristics shown in Figure 4-(a), the higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak when module irradiance is more than 20%. The second shading pattern P2 considers again the shading of only two modules in the PV array but in this test the modules are selected randomly on two separate assemblies. Figure 4-(b) illustrates the I-V and P-V characteristics of the PV array with two shaded modules on separate assemblies. The P-V characteristics shows that higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak only when module irradiance is above 75%. The higher voltage peak increases as the module irradiance increases.

Another set of simulation for shading patterns: P3, P4 and P5 mentioned in Table 2 are conducted considering three shaded modules. In the first simulation test, the three modules are selected randomly in the same series assembly and the I-V and P-V characteristics of PV array are shown in Figure 5-(a) respectively. The characteristics of the PV array become the same when the shaded modules are series adjacent and located in the same assembly. The P-V characteristics of this shaded setup show that the higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak for all considered irradiance levels. In the second simulation, three shaded modules located on two assemblies are considered and the array output I-V and P-V characteristics are displayed in Figure 5-(b) correspondingly. The P-V characteristics display multiple local peaks (LPs) and one global peak (GP). The global peak is the highest among all peak points. The third simulation test was conducted while locating one shaded module per assembly and the resulted output I-V and P-V characteristics are shown Figure 5-(c).

The P-V characteristics illustrates that higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak only when module irradiance is above 75% and the output peak increases as the module irradiance increases.

Finally, similar simulation trials were conducted using four shaded modules. The shaded modules were located on one, two and three assemblies as mentioned in Table 2. As mentioned in P6 shading configuration, the four shaded modules were selected randomly and then four series adjacent modules were considered in the simulation. The I-V and P-V characteristics of PV array are shown in Figure 6-(a) respectively. The resulted P-V characteristics are the same when the shaded modules were randomly or adjacentely located in the same assembly. The P-V characteristics of this shaded setup shows that the higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak for all considered irradiance levels.

The simulations were conducted by shading four panels located on two assemblies as mentioned in shading patterns P6 P7, P8 and P9. The resulted output I-V and P-V characteristics using four shaded modules for the aforementioned patterns are shown in Figure 6.

In the first simulation test, the three modules were selected randomly in the same series assembly and the I-V and P-V characteristics of PV array are shown in Figure 6-(a) respectively. The characteristics of the PV array become the same when the shaded modules are series adjacent at the same assembly. The P-V characteristics of this shaded setup shows that the higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the lower voltage peak for all considered irradiance levels.
Figure 6-(b) shows the I-V and P-V characteristics when three modules are shaded in one assembly and only one module shaded on another assembly. The third assembly has no shaded module in this test. The P-V characteristics of this setup shows multiple peaks and higher voltage peaks of the P-V characteristics are higher than the global peak only when module irradiance is above 35%.

Next, two modules were randomly shaded on each of two assemblies while no shading applied on the third assembly and the resulted I-V and P-V characteristics are shown in Figure 6-(c). The P-V characteristics shows that higher voltage peaks are higher than the lower voltage peak (GP) only when module irradiance is above 50%.

**B. CONFIGURATION 2**

The PV array system shown in Figure 3(b) was also simulated by applying the same shading patterns listed in Table 2 in addition to one more pattern case in which the shading was applied to four randomly selected modules distributed on four different assemblies. The P-V curves of the PV array were investigated while changing the irradiance over five levels.

Figure 7 shows the resulting I-V and P-V characteristics while considering four shaded modules and each of these shaded modules is located randomly on a single assembly. The P-V characteristics illustrates that when module irradiance is 20% and above, higher voltage peaks are higher than the lower voltage peak and the output peak increases as the module irradiance increases.

**C. P-V CHARACTERISTIC CURVES**

It is obvious that PV modules connected in series will carry the same current, but the voltage across each module could be different depending on the applied irradiance to that module. Thus, the resulting output voltage for a series assembly is obtained by adding-up modules voltages while the assembly current is limited to the shaded module current. It should also be mentioned that when connecting a group of series assemblies in parallel, a common voltage is considered for the group output voltage while the group current is a result of adding-up assemblies’ currents.

To prove the behavior of the output characteristic, the PV array system shown in Figure 11 is considered. The setup
has three shaded PV modules located on the same string. Each string with unshaded photovoltaic modules can generate 8.07A while the string with shaded modules generates 4.52A at 60% shading irradiance.

When the load current drawn from the string with shaded modules is less than 4.52A, shaded modules are not overridden and the current generated flows through shaded modules as illustrated in Figure 11-(a). Consequently, the high-voltage side of the I-V characteristics shown in Figure 12-(a) are formed by both shaded and unshaded photovoltaic modules.

Hence, the I-V characteristics are influenced by the change of irradiance level.

When the load current drawn from the string with shaded modules is more than 4.52A, shaded modules are overridden by the bypass diodes. Hence, the generated current by unshaded string modules is directed to the load without flowing through shaded modules as shown in Figure 11-(b). Therefore, the low-voltage side of I-V characteristics are formed by only the unshaded photovoltaic modules as indicated in Figure 12-(a). Accordingly, variation in irradiance...
of shaded modules doesn’t affect the \( I-V \) characterizes at currents above 4.52A.

Figure 12-(b) illustrate the \( P-V \) characteristics for the configuration of the PV array system under investigation. The characteristics show that the higher voltage is formed by the \( I-V \) characteristics below 4.52A, while the lower voltage is formed by the \( I-V \) characteristics above 4.52A. Hence, this validates that the higher voltage peaks of \( P-V \) characteristics are formed by the string shaded and unshaded photovoltaic modules. Therefore, the higher voltage peaks are subject to the irradiance of shaded photovoltaic modules. Furthermore, lower voltage peaks are formed by unshaded photovoltaic modules only and thus are unsusceptible to irradiance variation.

Considering the shading patterns P1 to P9 listed in Table 2, the resulted \( P-V \) characteristics illustrate a single global peak (GP) for each shading pattern. Figure 8 shows the global peak distribution for the shading patterns under investigation and each point is labeled according to the pattern and the number of shaded modules per assembly.

The equation below determines the global peak (GP), which is obtained from Figure 8 using linear regression.

\[
PG \approx -5495 \times \frac{\text{Maximum number of shaded modules per assembly}}{\text{Number of modules per assembly}} + 5450
\]
To validate equation (5), one module randomly selected is exposed to five different levels of irradiance starting from full shading to 20% shading level. Figure 13 shows the $P-V$ characteristics for the five irradiance levels with global peak equal to 4680W. The global point obtained from the simulation results resemble the global point determined using equation (5). Moreover, Figure 9 illustrate the global peaks distribution resulted from the $P-V$ characteristics of the PV array configuration shown in Figure 2-(b). Likewise, the global points resulted from simulations match the global point calculated using equation (5). Therefore, equation (5) is valid for the system under investigation for different shading patterns and sizes of photovoltaic string. It should be mention that the constants mentioned in equation 5 are function of the $P-V$ characteristics.

Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 reveal that multiple peaks existence that is equal to the number of different shading patterns of assemblies forming the array. Furthermore, it is seen from the $P-V$ characteristics shown in Figure 5(c) and 7 that the common and local peaks appear when the assemblies have the same shading pattern. It is also observed from the resulted $P-V$ characteristics at various irradiance levels that the first peak is common (GP) while the subsequent peaks (LP) are shifted more towards voltage axis as irradiance decreases.

In addition, the simulation results of the two configurations of the PV arrays show that it is preferred to increase the number of series assemblies connected in parallel since this would increase the maximum power obtained under the non-uniform irradiances. In general, it has been observed from the characteristic curves that the nature of curve including the number and magnitude of peaks depends on the array configuration, the irradiance level and the shading pattern.

### V. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

Two sets of experiments were conducted to investigate the impacts of partial shading on a PV array. The results obtained from the simulation are verified using a 324W experimental setup. The experimental setup of solar PV is shown in Figure 10. The setup consists of six Polycrystalline silicon (p Si) solar photovoltaic modules each of 54W, 12V manufactured by Kyocera (model KC50T). Table 3 lists the detailed specifications of the PV modules that are used at 1000Wm$^{-2}$ irradiance and 25°C cell temperature. The six modules are used to create a PV array consisting of two assemblies, each comprising of three modules connected in series.
Two different sets of experiments were conducted. The first set of experiments were conducted by varying the shading area of a single PV module surface to investigate the shading effect on the PV module performance. A vertical shaded area was considered in this experiment using multiple layers of dark vinyl polyester fabric. The module was shaded by 25%, 50% and 75% while the irradiance level was 950 W/m$^2$ at 29°C ambient temperature. Figure 14 illustrates the effect of partial shading on module output power while applying different shading ratios. The maximum output power dropped from 35.25 W at no shading to 18 W, 14.7 W and 9.6 W at shading 25%, 50% and 75% of the module surface respectively. It is found that the power dropped by 45.8%, 58.3% and 72.6% as the shading is increased by 25%, 50%, and 75%. Also, both the open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) and the short circuit current ($I_{sc}$) are decreased significantly with increasing shading area to 75% of module surface. The solar module power output is affected adversely by the shading area and, as a result, the module efficiency is inversely proportional to the shading ratio. Another set of experiments were conducted by applying different shading patterns on the system’s six modules to verify the previous simulation results. The patterns applied are shading one module, two in-series modules, and three modules located on two assemblies. Figure 15 shows the P-V output characteristics of the experimental setup without shading and with applying the aforementioned shading patterns. Figure 15-(a) shows the P-V output characteristics of the experimental setup at irradiance of 700 W/m$^2$. The maximum generated power is 211.5 W at 47 V output voltage. The P-V output characteristics while shading one module is shown in Figure 15-(b). Similar to the simulation results, the P-V characteristics show multiple peaks in this test and lower voltage peak of the P-V characteristics is higher when the irradiance is 920 W/m$^2$. However, the lower voltage peak of the P-V characteristics becomes lower than the high voltage peak while shading two modules in-series at irradiance of 750 W/m$^2$ as shown in Figure 15-(c). In the last experiment, three modules located on two assemblies are shaded, and Figure 15-(d) shows the output P-V characteristics at 900 W/m$^2$. Again, the characteristics show two power output peaks similar to the previous experiment in Figure 12-(c). Also, the P-V characteristics demonstrate that the setup output power and the open-circuit voltage $V_{oc}$ are reduced with increasing the number of shading panels.

VI. CONCLUSION
Photovoltaic array systems are highly influenced by shading. Complete or partial shading of a PV array drastically reduces the array power output. The reduction of the output power depends on the employed array configuration, the shading layout and the shading intensity. This paper presents the impact of different shading patterns on the P-V characteristics through performing a set of simulations with different array configurations. The shading PV arrays result in multiple local peaks and a global peak on the output P-V characteristics. The number of local peaks depends on the array configuration and the shading pattern. The obtained results also demonstrate that global peak can be reduced drastically when shaded modules are located on the same assembly. Furthermore, the value of the global peak is higher than the local peaks.
when the shaded modules are evenly distributed on different assemblies.

The proposed mathematical relationship to determine the low voltage power peak that is applicable for photovoltaic array systems with different number of shading modules. This relationship will help in anticipating shading modules that heavily impacts the power generated by the array. The formula was evaluated and verified with different photovoltaic array configurations constructed from models of the same manufacturing specifications. Furthermore, to corroborate the simulation data, experimental tests were conducted and the results showed strong similarity with the simulation.
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