Measuring the biological effect of presurgical metformin treatment in endometrial cancer
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Background: Preclinical studies in endometrial cancer (EC) show that metformin reduces cellular proliferation by PI3K-AKT-mTOR inhibition. We tested the hypothesis that short-term presurgical metformin reduces cellular proliferation in atypical endometrial hyperplasia (AEH) and endometrioid EC, and assessed the feasibility of using phosphorylated PI3K-AKT-mTOR proteins as tissue end points.

Methods: Women with AEH or EC received metformin 850mg twice a day or no drug in the presurgical window between diagnosis and hysterectomy. Before and after the window, tissue samples were obtained; serum markers of insulin resistance (e.g. homeostasis model of assessment of insulin resistance index) were determined; and anthropometrics measured (e.g. BMI). Cell proliferation (Ki-67) and PI3K-AKT-mTOR phosphostatus were assessed by immunohistochemistry and scored blinded to treatment.

Results: Twenty-eight metformin-treated and 12 untreated patients, well matched for age and BMI, completed the study. Metformin treatment (median 20 days, range 7–34) was associated with a 17.2% reduction in tumour Ki-67 (95% CI 27.4, 7.0, \( P = 0.002 \)), in a dose-dependent manner. Tumour PI3K-AKT-mTOR protein phosphostatus varied but the effects were not significant after adjusting for changes in controls.

Conclusions: Short-term metformin was associated with reduced Ki-67 expression in EC. Changes in tumour PI3K-AKT-mTOR protein phosphostatus were seen in both groups. Future studies should address the variability attributed to different sampling techniques including devascularisation of the uterus at hysterectomy.

The incidence of endometrial cancer (EC) is rising (Cancer Research UK, 2014). A major contributor to this rise is the obesity epidemic. Worldwide, the proportion of women with a BMI of 25 kg m⁻² or greater has increased from 30% to 38% over a 30-year period (Ng et al, 2014), and as many as 34% of all ECs are directly attributable to patients being overweight or obese (Arnold et al, 2015). Endometrial cancer ranks highest among all cancers in its association with obesity, with every 5 kg m⁻² increase in BMI conferring a 1.6-fold increased risk of the disease (Renehan et al, 2008; Crosbie et al, 2010). Women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) have a two-fold increased risk of EC compared with non-diabetic women (Friberg et al, 2007), and a prospective study found up to 36% of patients with EC have undiagnosed insulin resistance (Burzawa et al, 2011). The mechanisms underpinning
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As a long-term strategy, we wish to develop large trials using metformin in the pre- or postoperative setting in women with EC. Measurement of tumour Ki-67 expression is a useful and readily performed surrogate biomarker assay, but it is nonspecific. The putative cancer-relevant cellular mechanism for metformin offers an opportunity to include tumour biomarkers, such as phospho-4EBP-1 expression, as surrogates of response, but interpretation in human studies is not trivial, and is confounded by many factors, including sample timing in relation to drug administration and tissue handling. Our long-term aim is to test the hypothesis that metformin has a growth inhibitory effect in EC. Given the potential pitfalls listed above, in the present study, our aim was first to establish that metformin is well tolerated in this oncological setting, and then test the hypothesis that short-term metformin use reduces cellular proliferation in women with atypical endometrial hyperplasia (AEH) and endometrioid EC, and additionally assess the feasibility of using related phosphorylated PI3K-AKT-mTOR proteins as tumour end points.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical trial study design. This was a non-randomised trial of metformin or no drug taken during the presurgical window period between diagnosis and hysterectomy. Women with biopsy-proven AEH or endometrioid EC scheduled for hysterectomy were eligible to take part. Women with diabetes on hypoglycaemic medication, those with non-endometrioid histology and those on concomitant progesterone therapy were excluded from the study. Women in the metformin group received metformin 850 mg twice daily for 7 to 30 days until the evening before hysterectomy. Women who declined metformin, whose window period was too short (<7 days) or whose renal function was impaired (eGFR <45 ml min\(^{-1}\) per 1.732) were recruited to the control group, and received no drug (Figure 1).

Women were recruited from St Mary’s Hospital, Manchester and Tameside General Hospital between October 2012 and February 2014. All participants gave written, informed consent. Approvals were received from the North West Centre for Research Ethics Committee and the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). The study was prospectively registered on the European (EudraCT 2011-001382-40) and UK (ISRCTN 81570194) clinical trial databases.

Women taking metformin were monitored for toxicity by telephone call. Adverse events (AEs) were graded using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v.3.0 (National Institute of Health, 2010). Where gastrointestinal side effects were intolerable, women withheld metformin until they subsided and recommenced at 850 mg daily, followed by 850 mg twice daily when tolerated. A final pill count established cumulative exposure.
and treatment compliance. The cumulative dose was divided by days on treatment to calculate the average daily dose.

To assess the known effects of metformin on weight and markers of insulin resistance, at baseline and hysterectomy, height, weight and BMI; waist and hip circumference; and fasting blood glucose, insulin, C-peptide, adiponectin, leptin and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) were measured. The homeostasis model of assessment of insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) is the product of fasting glucose and insulin by 22.5 (Matthews et al., 1985). Tumour samples were taken at recruitment and at hysterectomy for histopathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC) analyses. A blind biopsy was taken at recruitment using a Pipelle endometrial sampling device; the final tumour sample was taken from the hysterectomy specimen, sampled and processed for clinical decision-making according to standard protocols. The diagnostic Pipelle was used as the baseline biopsy when hysterectomy was scheduled for <7 days' time or the recruitment biopsy was not obtained or insufficient for analysis. Consultant gynaecological histopathologists assessed all histopathology samples. Histological subtype, grade, stage, depth of myometrial invasion and the presence of lymphovascular space invasion were assessed using the FIGO 2009 Endometrial Cancer Staging System.

Immunohistochemical analysis. The primary end point was change in Ki-67 proliferation index. This was the percentage of tumour nuclei positively stained for Ki-67 at hysterectomy compared with baseline. Automated IHC staining was performed on 4-μm formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections using the Leica Bond Max (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with heat-induced epitope retrieval. The primary antibody, Ki-67 MIB-1 clone (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA), was incubated for 60 min at a 1:100 dilution. Primary antibody detection was performed using the Refine Detection Kit (Leica Biosystems). The slides were counterstained with haematoxylin. Negative (isotype control) and positive (tollist) controls were used for quality assurance.

Full slides were digitised using the Leica SCN400 Slide Scanner (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). To reduce bias and heterogeneity, RM selected equivalent areas to be scored on the pre- and postintervention sections using the haematoxylin and eosin slides. She was blinded to treatment group and intensity of pre- and postintervention sections using the haematoxylin and eosin slides. She was blinded to treatment group and intensity of staining score for Ki-67 in the areas she selected. The Ki-67 proliferation index was determined from >2000 nuclei scored in >3 high powered fields (×20). A semiautomated score was obtained by applying a computerised algorithm (Definiens Developer) to the malignant glands, which had been selected manually (Supplementary Figure S1). The Pipelle baseline sample was a scrape from the tumour surface while the hysterectomy specimen provided full tumour thickness. To reduce the bias inherent to comparing tumour from two different sampling methods, we restricted Ki-67 scoring to the luminal (surface) aspect of the tumour in the hysterectomy specimen. All scoring was performed by two independent scorers (VS, SK) who were blinded to time point and treatment group. The interobserver, intrasample and interobserver coefficient (ICC) was 0.97 (95% CI 0.96, 0.98) and any discrepancies were reviewed together and resolved by consensus agreement.

Secondary end points included phosphorylated proteins from the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and apoptotic markers. Tissue microarrays (TMAs) were created from triplicate cores of equivalent areas in pre- and postintervention biopsies selected by the study histopathologist (RM), who was blinded to treatment group. Automated IHC was performed using the Leica Bond Max (Leica Biosystems) with heat-induced epitope retrieval. The primary antibodies were: (1) phospho-AKT (p-AKT, Ser 473) at 1:50 dilution; (2) phospho-S6 (p-S6, Ser 235/236) at 1:400 dilution; (3) phospho-acetyl-CoA carboxylase (p-ACC, Ser 79) at 1:300 dilution; (4) phospho-4EBP1 (p-4EBP1, Thr 37/46) at 1:800 dilution; (5) PTEN Clone 6H2.1 (Dako) at 1:600 dilution; and (6) cleaved caspase-3 at 1:200 dilution. All antibodies were from Cell Signalling (Beverly, MA, USA), unless otherwise stated. p53, oestrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status were analysed in the clinical histopathology laboratory according to standard protocols using the automated Ventana BenchMark XT (Ventana, Tucson, AZ, USA). The primary antibodies used were: (1) p53 Clone D07 (Leica Biosystems) at 1:50 dilution; (2) ER Clone SP1 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland); and PR Clone 1E2 (Roche). The same horseradish peroxidase-linked secondary antibody (Ventana) was used for all analyses; the chromagens were sequential DAB and copper. The slides were counterstained using haematoxylin and a bluing agent.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Fasting serum glucose, insulin and C-peptide were measured by automated assay according to routine clinical care standard operating procedures. Adiponectin and leptin were measured using a DuoSet ELISA Development Kit (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK). High-sensitivity CRP was measured by an in-house antibody sandwich ELISA technique with anti-human CRP primary antibodies, calibrators and controls from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Intra-assay coefficients of variability (CV) were 3%, 5% and 5% for adiponectin, leptin and hsCRP, respectively. Interassay CVs were 9%, 7% and 6%, respectively.

Statistical analysis. The study was powered to observe a 20% reduction in Ki-67 following treatment. Assuming a median baseline Ki-67 proliferation index of 50%, a standard deviation of 20% (in house unpublished data) and a correlation of 70% between pre- and postintervention measurements, a sample size of 29 would have 80% power to detect a 20% change in Ki-67 at the α = 0.05 significance level. We aimed to recruit 30 women to receive metformin, with opportunistic recruitment of as many contemporaneous controls as possible.

Treatment effect was analysed using an analysis of covariance linear regression model, with post-treatment score as the response variable, and baseline score, age, BMI, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and treatment group as covariates. The effect of treatment on serum markers of insulin resistance used the same analysis of covariance, but excluded HOMA-IR as a covariate. Correlations were calculated using Spearman’s rank-sum correlation coefficients. Descriptive statistics, including mean and s.d. for normally distributed data, and median and interquartile range (IQR), for nonparametric data, were used to compare the two groups of patients.
RESULTS

Study population and baseline parameters. In total, 28 women received metformin and 12 received no drug in the presurgical window period between diagnosis and hysterectomy (Figure 1). Baseline demographics are shown in Table 1. The two groups were evenly matched in age (mean 64 ± 8 years) and BMI (mean 35 ± 32 kg m⁻²) in the treated and untreated groups, respectively. Eighty percent of all women were overweight or obese. Four had undiagnosed diabetes (fasting serum glucose > 7.0 mmol l⁻¹) and 60% were insulin resistant (fasting glucose 6.0–6.9 mmol l⁻¹ or HOMA-IR > 2.8). Most women had low-grade, early-stage tumours (22 out of 28 of metformin-treated and 9 out of 12 untreated women, respectively).

Duration and tolerability of metformin treatment. Women received metformin for a median of 20 days (IQR 17, 24). Seventy-five percent of women experienced AEs but 96% of these were scored as grade 1 AEs (Table 2). Four patients withdrew from the study completely due to unacceptable gastrointestinal side effects. Thirteen others omitted one or more dose to reduce side effects. The median daily dose received was 1573 mg (IQR 1475, 1659).

Effects of metformin on Ki-67 proliferation index. Baseline Ki-67 levels were similar in the two groups (mean 50.9% (s.d. 17.1%) in the metformin-treated vs 55.6% (s.d. 25.1%) in the untreated women) (Table 3). Baseline Ki-67 was significantly associated with tumour grade (Spearman’s correlation coefficient 0.37, 95% CI 0.06, 0.62, P = 0.018; Supplementary Figure S2). There was also a significant negative correlation between baseline Ki-67 expression and insulin resistance status (HOMA-IR) (Spearman’s correlation coefficient −0.43, 95% CI −0.66, −0.13, P = 0.006), but no relationship with BMI, age, stage or treatment group.

Ki-67 proliferation index was 17.2% lower following metformin treatment (adjusted mean difference −17.2% (95% CI −27.4%, −7.0%), P = 0.002) after adjustment for baseline Ki-67, age, BMI, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and change in the untreated women. Each line in Figure 2A represents the postintervention change in Ki-67 for an individual woman. A lower Ki-67 was observed in 23 out of 28 (82%) women in the metformin group (range −4 to −55%); the remaining five (18%) showed static or higher Ki-67 levels (range 0.6–14%). There was some evidence of an association between the average metformin dose received and Ki-67 expression in the hysterectomy specimen compared with the diagnostic biopsies of women from both groups. There was no significant effect of treatment.

DISCUSSION

This is the largest study of presurgical metformin treatment in EC conducted to date. A particular strength of the study is the untreated control group, as the variability of serum and tissue biomarkers between diagnosis and hysterectomy has not been studied before. Although not randomised, the two groups were evenly matched in terms of age, BMI, insulin resistance status, tumour grade and stage. We found that Ki-67 expression was stable on sequential biopsies taken before hysterectomy (data not shown) and a significant reduction in Ki-67 expression was only observed at the time of hysterectomy in the metformin-treatment group. By contrast, a reduction in the expression of phosphorylated PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway proteins was observed at hysterectomy in both the metformin-treated and -untreated women. Hysterectomy specimens were bisected and immersed in formalin within 30 min of resection. This fixation protocol is standard for routine clinical care and achieves adequate preservation of tissue architecture and the expression of stable proteins like Ki-67, but unstable phosphorylation events may be lost. Future studies should consider taking a blinded biopsy at hysterectomy before devascularisation of the uterus; this would allow preservation of unstable phosphorylation events and facilitate the comparison of tumour biomarkers pre/postintervention on sequential biopsies achieved using the same sampling method.

Most of our patients were overweight or obese and the prevalence of undiagnosed T2DM and insulin resistance was striking. These observations are consistent with previous work (Burzawa et al., 2011; Crosbie et al., 2012). Cancer clinicians should have heightened awareness that diabetes (known and undiagnosed) is common amongst women with EC. We observed changes in biomarkers of insulin resistance and adiposity between baseline and hysterectomy in both groups. Whilst weight loss and its associated impact on insulin resistance is a recognised consequence of advanced stage cancer (Fearon et al., 2011), the majority of our patients had good prognosis tumours diagnosed at an early stage. The mediator of these alterations may therefore be anxiety-induced change in fasting glucose −0.3 mmol l⁻¹; insulin −7.0 mU l⁻¹; HOMA-IR −2.7; and leptin −2.3 ng ml⁻¹), but these were not statistically significant after adjusting for changes in the untreated group (Table 3).

Effects of metformin on phosphorylated mTOR proteins, markers of apoptosis and hormone receptor expression. There were global reductions in the expression of phosphorylated mTOR pathway proteins in both groups. Figure 3 shows phospho-AKT, phospho-ACC, phospho-S6 and phospho-4EBP1 expression in metformin-treated and -untreated patients at hysterectomy compared with baseline. p-4EBP1 expression was significantly lower in the metformin-treated patients compared with the untreated group (mean adjusted difference in modified H-score of −2.30 (95% CI −4.61, −0.06, P = 0.045)). The change in expression of the other phosphorylated mTOR pathway proteins was not statistically significant for treatment effect.

The baseline rate of apoptosis was very low (mean positive index 0.01 and 0.003 in metformin-treated and -untreated patients, respectively). We found no correlation between apoptotic index and grade of tumour, but there were very few grade 3 tumours (n = 4 out of 40). The apoptotic index remained stable over time in both groups; there was no significant effect of treatment (mean adjusted difference 0.00052, 95% CI −0.0015, 0.0025, P = 0.608, not significant). Oestrogen receptor and PR expression was lower in the hysterectomy specimen compared with the diagnostic biopsies of women from both groups. There was no significant effect of treatment.
| Baseline parameters         | Metformin Mean | Control Mean | Control Sd. | Control Q1 | Control Q3 | P-value* |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|
|                             | Median | Q1     | Q3     | Median | Q1     | Q3     |        |
| Age (years)                 | 63.6   | 63.5   | 6.9    | 67.8   | 70.0   | 70.5   | 0.17   |
| <50                         | 1      | 3.6%   | 0      | 0      | 0.0%    | 1.7%    |
| 51–60                       | 10     | 35.7%  | 2      | 2      | 16.7%   | 16.7%   |
| 61–70                       | 11     | 39.3%  | 7      | 7      | 58.3%   | 58.3%   |
| 71–80                       | 5      | 17.9%  | 2      | 2      | 16.7%   | 16.7%   |
| >80                         | 1      | 3.6%   | 1      | 1      | 8.3%    | 8.3%    |
| Body mass index (kg m^−2)   | 35.5   | 34.1   | 11.3   | 32.0   | 5.9     | 0.52    |
|                             | 34.1   | 11.3   | 32.0   | 5.9     |
| Smoking habits              |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Nonsmoker                   | 13     | 46.4%  | 5      | 41.7%   |
| Ex-smoker                   | 10     | 35.7%  | 6      | 50.0%   |
| Current smoker              | 5      | 17.9%  | 1      | 8.3%    |
| Daily alcoholic units       |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| 0                            | 14     | 50.0%  | 8      | 66.7%   |
| >2                           | 11     | 39.3%  | 3      | 25.0%   |
| >4                           | 2      | 7.1%   | 1      | 8.3%    |
| HOMA-IR index               | 4.36   | 3.96   | 2.75   | 3.24    | 2.34     | 0.12    |
|                             | 3.24   | 2.34   | 2.34   | 2.34    |
| Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR > 2.8) | 17     | 6.0%   | 5      | 41.7%   |
|                             | 0.86   | 0.86   | 0.86   | 0.86    | 0.05     | 0.05    |
|                             | 0.86   | 0.86   | 0.86   | 0.86    | 0.82     | 0.82    |
| Tumour grade at hysterectomy|         |         |        |         |         |         |
| AEH                         | 0      | 0%      | 2      | 16.7%   |
| G1                          | 14     | 50.0%  | 1      | 8.3%    |
| G2                          | 13     | 46.4%  | 6      | 50.0%   |
| G3                          | 1      | 3.6%   | 3      | 25.0%   |
| FIGO stage at hysterectomy  |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| 1A                          | 20     | 71.4%  | 7      | 58.3%   |
| 1B                          | 3      | 10.7%  | 3      | 25.0%   |
| 2                           | 2      | 7.1%   | 0      | 0.0%    |
| 3                           | 3      | 10.7%  | 0      | 0.0%    |
| Lymphovascular space invasion present | 8     | 28.6%  | 6      | 50.0%   |
| Myometrial invasion         |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| <50%                        | 22     | 78.6%  | 7      | 58.3%   |
| >50%                        | 6      | 21.4%  | 3      | 25.0%   |
| Follow-up and adjuvant therapy |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Clinical follow-up          | 17     | 60.7%  | 6      | 60.0%   |
| Vaginal brachytherapy       | 3      | 10.7%  | 2      | 20.0%   |
| External beam radiotherapy  | 2      | 7.1%   | 1      | 10.0%   |
| External beam radiotherapy and chemotherapy | 3     | 10.7%  | 1      | 10.0%   |
| Chemotherapy alone          | 3      | 3.6%   | 0      | 0.0%    |
| ER expression               |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Positive                    | 28     | 100.0% | 11     | 91.7%   |
| Negative                    | 0      | 0.0%   | 1      | 8.3%    |
| PR expression               |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Positive                    | 28     | 100.0% | 12     | 100.0%  |
| Negative                    | 0      | 0.0%   | 0      | 0.0%    |
| PTEN expression             |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Wild type                   | 19     | 67.9%  | 9      | 75.0%   |
| Mutant                      | 9      | 32.1%  | 3      | 25.0%   |
| PS3 expression              |         |         |        |         |         |         |
| Wild type                   | 25     | 96.4%  | 11     | 91.7%   |
| Mutant                      | 1      | 3.6%   | 1      | 8.3%    |

Abbreviations: AEH = atypical endometrial hyperplasia; EC = endometrial cancer; ER = oestrogen receptor; FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; PR = progesterone receptor. The italic entries show that certain figures are the median and IQR, whereas the other figures are the mean and s.d. *Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test used to compare baseline characteristics in metformin-treated and controls. **Two control patients were excluded as the final histology was atypical endometrial hyperplasia. ***Two controls did not have cancer in the final hysterectomy specimen and were discharged from clinical follow-up postsurgery. ****Two metformin-treated patients received adjuvant chemotherapy alone for concurrent primary ovarian tumours, but would only have received clinical follow-up stage 1A endometrial tumours. Only one patient received chemotherapy alone for EC.
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behavioural change or intentional weight loss in preparation for surgery. Previous window studies in EC (Laskov et al, 2014) and breast cancer (Niraula et al, 2012) reported significant changes in biomarkers of adiposity and insulin resistance after short-term metformin treatment, but the lack of a control group hinders interpretation of these data. A large randomised window study in breast cancer that adjusted for changes in untreated controls found no effect of metformin on BMI or insulin resistance after four weeks of treatment (DeCensi et al, 2014). The latter study had a lower prevalence of overweight/obesity (40%) and insulin resistance (27%) compared with that we report here. Other studies have demonstrated a beneficial impact of metformin on BMI and markers of insulin resistance after a full six months’ treatment in breast cancer patients (Goodwin et al, 2015) as well as euglycaemic obese healthy women (Worsley et al, 2014), suggesting that the lack of demonstrable effect of metformin on biomarkers of adiposity and insulin resistance reflects the short duration of treatment in this study.

Metformin was generally well tolerated, although 4 out of 36 patients withdrew from the study due to gastrointestinal side effects. When treating T2DM, it is standard to commence metformin at a low dose and build up gradually to limit gastrointestinal toxicity. In this study, metformin was commenced at full dose to maximise the total amount of metformin received before hysterectomy. It is not known whether standard diabetic doses of metformin are sufficient for anticancer activity in vivo. In preclinical laboratory studies, supradiabetic concentrations of metformin are required to achieve a growth static effect using cancer cell lines (Cantrell et al, 2010; Sarfstein et al, 2013; Lengyel et al, 2015). Mitsuhashi et al (2014) found metformin at concentrations of 1.2–5.1 μmol kg⁻¹ in EC, equivalent to ~20% of circulating serum levels. The effective concentration of metformin in EC is therefore 1/400 lower compared with concentrations required to suppress proliferation in vitro. Optimal anticancer doses of metformin to be used in clinical studies have yet to be established. No studies have performed a dose-escalation protocol and previous window studies have given typical diabetic

Table 2. AEs experienced by all patients who participated in the metformin-treatment group

| Summary of AEs experienced by all patients who received metformin treatment | n (%) |
|---|---|
| Patients who received at least one dose of metformin | 35 (100) |
| Patients who developed any AEs | 27 (77) |
| Number of AEs | 98 (100) |
| Grade 1 AE | 94 (96) |
| Grade 2 AE | 3 (3) |
| Grade 3 AE | 1 (1) |

No. of patients experiencing an AE

| Loss of appetite | 4 (11) |
| Nausea/vomiting | 27 (77) |
| Diarrhoea | 24 (69) |
| Abdominal pain | 12 (34) |
| Skin changes | 3 (9) |
| Headache | 3 (9) |
| Fatigue | 2 (6) |
| Boating | 2 (6) |
| Abnormal baseline bloods | 10 (29) |
| Others | 11 (31) |

Mean patient tolerability scores (0 = not tolerable, 10 = very tolerable)

| Mean patient tolerability scores | 6.1 (s.d. 2.5) |

Abbreviation: AE = adverse events.

Table 3. Change from baseline following intervention

| Tumour and metabolic parameters | Unit | Metformin | Untreated |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Glucose | mmol l⁻¹ | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Body mass index | kg m⁻² | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Waist/hip girth ratio | | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| HOMA-IR | | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| C-peptide | pmol l⁻¹ | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Adiponectin | mg l⁻¹ | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Leptin | ng ml⁻¹ | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |
| Ln (hsCRP) | mg l⁻¹ | Pretreatment | Post-treatment | Pretreatment | Post-treatment |

Abbreviations: ANCOVA = analysis of covariance; BMI, body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HOMA-IR = homeostasis model of insulin resistance; hsCRP = high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. The treatment effect (adjusted mean difference) was analysed using an ANCOVA with post-treatment measurement as the response variable and baseline measurement, age, BMI, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and treatment arm as covariates. As some data were not normally distributed, median and quartiles are also presented. The italic entries show that certain figures are the median and IQR, whereas the other figures are the mean and s.d.
doses of 500–2250 mg metformin per day (Laskov et al, 2014; Mitsuhashi et al, 2014; Schuler et al, 2015). In this study, we observed a Ki-67 drop associated with metformin treatment and this was positively correlated with the average daily dose of metformin received. It is interesting to speculate whether higher doses would have had even greater impact. Metformin is not bound to plasma proteins (Tucker et al, 1981) and has a very high volume of distribution. The effective circulating dose of metformin may therefore vary with BMI. We found some evidence of this, with greater reductions in post-metformin Ki-67 observed in leaner patients. Based on these data, we hypothesise that higher doses of metformin may achieve superior anticancer effects, particularly in obese and morbidly obese women. There is considerable inter-individual variation in glycaemic response to metformin in T2DM, partly explained by genetic differences in organic cation transporter-1 (OCT-1) expression levels in hepatic and skeletal tissue (Graham et al, 2011; Berstein et al, 2013). No studies have measured OCT-1 expression levels in EC, but differences in levels may explain why some patients responded to metformin but others did not. Metformin accumulates in endometrial tissue but has a half-life of 6 h; it is not known whether the timing of the last dose of metformin before serum and endometrial sampling affected our results.

The baseline level of apoptosis was very low in this study and there was no correlation with tumour grade. Apoptosis is poorly documented in EC; however, a similar window study investigating the effects of medroxyprogesterone acetate reported comparable low baseline values (Zaino et al, 2014). We also found no evidence for a proapoptotic effect of metformin in EC. In preclinical studies using EC cell lines, apoptosis is only induced at much higher concentrations of metformin compared with those required to inhibit cell growth (Cantrell et al, 2010).

Ki-67 is an established prognostic and predictive biomarker in breast cancer (Dowsett et al, 2005, 2006, 2007), but there is little evidence for its use as a surrogate marker in EC. We and others have shown that high-grade tumours have higher Ki-67 levels; tumour grade is an established independent prognostic biomarker in EC. Several studies have found an association between high Ki-67, other biomarkers of poor prognosis in EC and EC-specific mortality (Salvesen et al, 1998, 1999; Stefansson et al, 2004; Liu et al, 2014), but there is little consensus regarding optimal staining and scoring protocols to generate robust and reproducible data. We have adapted the International guidelines for Ki-67 staining and scoring in breast cancer established by Dowsett et al (2011) for this study. We developed a protocol for semiautomated scoring that is both reproducible and demonstrates excellent agreement with manual scoring. In breast cancer, a significant Ki-67 drop following short-term treatment with neoadjuvant chemotherapy is

Figure 2. (A) Line graph showing the adjusted mean difference in Ki-67 proliferation index in paired pre- and postintervention endometrial tumours from metformin-treated and control patients. (B and C) Endometrial tumour stained for Ki-67 before (B) and after (C) treatment with metformin at × 20 magnification.

Figure 3. Phosphorylation changes in (A) AKT, (B) ACC, (C) S6 and (D) 4EBP1 using box and whisker plots representing the median modified H-score (middle line) and the first and third quartile from paired pre- and postintervention endometrial biopsies for metformin-treated and control patients. The whiskers represent the maximum and minimum values.
predictive of tumour responsiveness to that drug (Dowsett et al., 2005, 2006, 2007). Thus, presurgical window studies have been an efficient way of screening novel therapeutic strategies for breast cancer. This trial design also has great potential in EC, as a trial powered to assess the impact of a new drug in the adjuvant setting using recurrence or EC-specific survival as the end point would be extremely expensive to conduct, requiring thousands of participants over many years of follow-up. Furthermore, like the breast, the endometrium lends itself to sampling in the outpatient setting, facilitating the comparison of matched biopsies taken before and after intervention in the presurgical window period.

Our data add to the growing body of evidence supporting biological activity of metformin in EC that may have therapeutic potential. This is an exciting area of research that is likely to produce further evidence over the next few years. feMMe, a phase II randomised clinical trial, is assessing the additional benefit of metformin or weight loss in combination with the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device in non-surgical patients with AEH and early EC (Hawkes et al., 2014). Another study is assessing the impact of metformin with paclitaxel and carboplatin for advanced stage or recurrent EC. In addition to its therapeutic role, it is interesting to speculate whether metformin could be used for primary prevention of EC in high-risk groups. Reducing insulin resistance, promoting weight loss or preventing further weight gain would seem plausible strategies for EC risk reduction in morbidly obese women. A study assessing the impact of short-term treatment with metformin or placebo with or without a lifestyle intervention program designed to achieve weight loss and increase activity levels is underway, using endometrial Ki-67 as the primary end point. The data from these and similar studies are eagerly awaited.

CONCLUSION

Short-term presurgical metformin treatment is associated with a significant drop in Ki-67 expression in EC. Changes in phosphorylated mTOR proteins and serum markers of insulin resistance are observed to some extent in both groups, emphasising the need for a control group to adjust for the variability of biomarkers over time. Indeed, the phosphorylation status of mTOR proteins in EC at hysterectomy may be more indicative of devascularisation of the uterus than study interventions. Future studies based on tissue end points should compare pre- and postintervention endometrial biopsies taken using the same sampling method and before devascularisation of the uterus.
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