Multicolour-banding fluorescence in situ hybridisation (mbanding-FISH) to identify recurrent chromosomal alterations in breast tumour cell lines
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Recurrent chromosome breakpoints in tumour cells may point to cancer genes, but not many have been molecularly characterised. We have used multicolour-banding fluorescence in situ hybridisation (mbanding-FISH) on breast tumour cell lines to identify regions of chromosome break created by inversions, duplications, insertions and translocations on chromosomes 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17. We delineate a total of 136 regions of break, some of them occurring with high frequency. We further describe two examples of dual-colour FISH characterisation of breakpoints, which target the 1p36 and 5p11–12 regions. Both breaks involve genes whose function is unknown to date. The mbanding-FISH strategy constitutes an efficient first step in the search for potential cancer genes.
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Many loci that contribute to mammary oncogenesis remain probably to be discovered. Only a handful of genes have been demonstrated to have a direct role in mammary oncogenesis after alteration. At the chromosomal level, amplifications, translocations and deletions point to the existence of a potential cancer gene in an affected region. Genes such as ERBB2 and CCND1, which encode a tyrosine kinase receptor and a G1 cyclin, respectively, are likely the selected oncogenes of the 17q12 and 11q13 amplification, respectively. For other amplification regions, such as the 8p12 and 20q13 regions, and for deletions, the identity of the cancer genes remains uncertain. The characterisation of translocations has provided additional cancer gene candidates, such as FHIT (Popovic et al, 2002), NTRK3 and ETV6 (Tognon et al, 2002; our unpublished observations), BCAS (Bärlund et al, 2002) and NRG1 (Wang et al, 1999; Adélaïde et al, 2003; Huang et al, 2004) genes. Given the number of recurrent chromosomal breaks observed in breast cancer (http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/Chromosomes/RecurrentAberrations), this line of research might become more fruitful than the search for deletions through loss of heterozygosity data, which has been rather disappointing (Devilee et al, 2001). Although the mechanisms and consequences of translocations are not as clear as for those found in hemopathies and sarcomas, the existence of recurrent events suggests a role for the genes located at the breakpoints and provides a relatively easy way to discover more cancer genes.

A major limitation of this approach, however, is the difficulty to obtain reliable informative data on chromosomes of breast tumours. Technologies such as chromosome painting, spectral karyotyping (SKY) and multiplex- or multicolour-fluorescence in situ hybridisation (M-FISH) (Schröck et al, 1996; Speicher et al, 1996) can overcome the limitations of conventional cytogenetical methods in the characterisation of complex chromosome alterations observed in cancers. They allow the simultaneous visualisation of all human chromosomes in different pseudocolours and a better characterisation of the rearrangements. However, intrachromosomal rearrangements or the origin of abnormal chromosomal segments cannot be defined with these techniques. To overcome these limitations, different multicolour-bandng techniques including mbanding-FISH have been developed (Müller et al, 1997, 1998; Chudoba et al, 1999; Liehr et al, 2002a, b; Kakazu et al, 2003). They can be performed with combinations of well-defined subregional probes (Müller et al, 2004). They can reveal aberrations not visible by other methods, and consequently facilitate the molecular identification of targeted genes. Obtaining good metaphase cells in breast tumours is still difficult but cell lines may, in a first step, be used to evaluate the usefulness of the approach.

We have used mbanding-FISH to search for regions of recurrent alterations in breast tumour cell lines on five chromosomes. We have identified several such regions. We show that mbanding-FISH is a powerful method to identify rapidly regions of chromosomal breaks. We report two examples of further characterisation of
breakpoints, which target the 1p36 and 5p11–12 regions. This technology should constitute an efficient first step in the search for potential cancer genes.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Tumour cell lines**

In all, 20 established breast tumour cell lines were used. They were as follows: Br-Ca-MZ-01 (Móbus et al, 1998), BT-474, CAMA-1, HCC1937 (Tomlinson et al, 1998), MCF-7, MCF-10F, MDA-MB-157, MDA-MB-175, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-468, SK-BR-3, UACC-812, ZR-75-1 (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA), SUM-44, SUM-52, SUM-149, SUM-185 (Forozan et al, 1999; http://www.cancer.med.umich.edu/breast_cell/clines/clines.html) and IPC-BC-116. We established the latter cell line from an inflammatory carcinoma, after informed consent of the patient; the tumoral karyotype was established and displayed a t(1;6)(p36;p21) translocation as sole abnormality. All lines are derived from carcinomas except MCF-10F, which is derived from a fibroadenoma. The cells were grown using the recommended culture conditions, while IPC-BC-116 was grown in DMEM/Ham 12-medium (1/1) supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 μg ml⁻¹ insulin, 1 μg ml⁻¹ hydrocortisone and 10 ng ml⁻¹ EGF.

**Mbanding-FISH**

The harvesting of cells, fixation and preparation of metaphase spreads for chromosome banding analysis and FISH were carried out according to protocols described in Courtay-Cahen et al (2000). Mbanding-FISH, a high-resolution multicolour-banding technique that provides precise information on intrachromosomal rearrangements and exact breakpoint mapping, was performed on chromosome metaphases cell lines (Table 1) with probe chromosome 1-, 5-, 8-, 12- and 17-mband cocktails, according to the protocol recommended by Metasystems (Chudoba et al, 1999) and as described previously (Popovic et al, 2002). The commercial probe mband cocktail derives from micro dissected region-specific partial chromosome paints (PCP). Each PCP is labelled using a unique fluorochrome combination and partially overlaps with the neighbouring one.

The specific chromosome-mband cocktail was denatured and hybridised on treated and denatured metaphase chromosomes, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After hybridisation, chromosomes were washed with 50% formamide/2× SSC and 2× SSC at 42°C. The excitation/emission spectra of the fluorochromes are equivalent to FITC, Spectrum Orange™, TexasRed® and DEAC (diethylamino-2-phenylindole, (www.metasystems.de)). For chromosome 1-, 5-, 8- and 12-mband cocktail probes, an additional labelling was carried out using biotin, which is detected by Streptavidin-Cy5™ (B-tect).

After counterstaining with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, the images were analysed with a microscope (DMRXA, Leica Microsystems, Marseille, France), captured with a CCD camera, filtered and processed with ISIS software (In Situ Imaging Systems, Metasystems Hard- und Software GmbH, Altussheim, Germany) (described in www.metasystems.de). The resulting fluorescence intensity pattern along the chromosome axis shows a continuous change of colour ratios. Pseudocolours can be assigned to chromosome sections of similar colour ratios giving rise to a reproducible banding pattern that does not depend on chromosome condensation (Chudoba et al, 1999).

A region of breakpoints was defined by comparison between the abnormal colour spectrum of a derivative chromosome and the normal profile of the corresponding nonaltered chromosome; a disruption of colour-banding pattern defines a region of break. Amplifications by duplication or triplication were defined on the basis of duplicate or triplicate of at least two colour sections observed on the abnormal colour spectrum giving rise to a reproducible banding pattern that does not depend on chromosome condensation (Chudoba et al, 1999).

**FISH analysis**

To delineate the t(1;6)(p36;p21) and t(5;12)(p12;p11) events, and identify the potential genes involved, dual colour FISH analysis was carried out using labelled DNA of BAC clones as probes as described previously (Adelaida et al, 2003) on IPC-BC-116 and UACC-812 breast tumour cell lines, respectively.

To refine rapidly the 1p36 breakpoint in the t(1;6)(p36;p21) rearrangement, the following BAC clone set covering this region was selected with variable genomic distance between clones: tel-RP11-164A22 (AC055792; chr1:14,996,330-15,182,825), RP11-430L17 (AL358794; chr1:16,386,491-16,511,439), RP11-473A10 (AL358593; chr1:17,656,749-17,799,202), RP11-99F3 (AC020587; chr1:18,111,224-18,284,657), RP11-294O9 (AC026576; chr1:19,390,430-19,574,586), RP11-97J18 (AL391598; chr1:19,804,646-19,980,341), RP11-200J1 (AC022786; chr1:20,673,314-20,851,807) and RP11-487E1 (AL627311; chr1:21,045,062-21,210,231). To refine the 5p17 breakpoint, the selected BAC clones included in the region, close to, or contained in the 867F YAC clone previously described spanning the breakpoint at 5p12 (Popovic et al, 2002); tel-RP11-453A8 (AC027488; chr5:40,533,761-40,705,000), RP11-30F7 (AC016332; chr5:41,237,134-41,386,355), RP11-91G4 (included in NT_006576 and mapped by FHCR lab; chr5:41,319,672-41,319,970), RP11-190J8 (AC021600; chr5:41,384,203-41,536,708), RP11-184C11 (AC025649; chr5:41,472,703-41,639,760) gene. DNA from BAC clones were purified, labelled and used as probes in combination with centromeric probes specific for chromosomes 6 (revealed in green, FITC) or digoxigenin-labelled centromeric probe specific for chromosome 12 (revealed in red, TRITC). All BAC clones were obtained from the BACPAC resource (Children’s Hospital Oakland - BACPAC Resources, Oakland, CA, USA). Image analysis was carried out as described in the previous section.

**Table 1** Breast tumour cell lines analysed by mbanding-FISH

| Cell lines     | Chr1 | Chr5 | Chr8 | Chr12 | Chr17 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| BrCa-MZ-01    | X   |     |     | X     |       |
| BT-474        |     |     |     | X     |       |
| CAMA-1        |     | X   | X   |       |       |
| HCC1937       |     | X   |     | X     |       |
| IPC-BC-116    | X   |     |     |       |       |
| MCF-7         |     |     |     | X     |       |
| MCF-10F       | X   |     |     |       | X     |
| MDA-MB-157    | X   | X   |     |       |       |
| MDA-MB-175    |     |     |     |       |       |
| MDA-MB-231    | X   |     | X   |       |       |
| MDA-MB-361    |     | X   |     |       |       |
| MDA-MB-453    | X   |     |     |       |       |
| MDA-MB-468    |     |     |     |       |       |
| SK-BR-3       | X   |     |     |       |       |
| SUM-44        | X   |     |     |       |       |
| SUM-52        |     | X   |     |       |       |
| SUM-149       |     |     |     |       |       |
| SUM-185       | X   |     |     |       |       |
| UACC-812      | X   |     |     |       |       |
| ZR-75-1       |     | X   |     |       |       |
| Total         | 11  | 10  | 9   | 7     | 8     |
RESULTS

Regional localisation of chromosomal breakpoints on chromosomes 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17 in breast tumour cell lines

We chose to study five chromosomes by mbanding-FISH, that is, 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17. The reasons of our choice were the following: these chromosomes have different sizes and are affected with various frequencies in breast cancer (Kytölä et al., 2000; Teixeira et al., 2002) (Table 1). Examples of mbanding-FISH are illustrated in Figure 1 showing breakpoints on der(1)(t(1;14)(p34;q?) and i5(p), der(12)t(1;12)(?;p13.3), der(12;16)(q10;?q10) and der(11)(t(11;17)(?;q21.3 – 22) derivative chromosomes observed in MDA-MB-231 (Figure 1A), MDA-MB-157 (Figures 1B and 1C) and ZR-75-1 (Figure 1D), respectively. A region of breakpoint was defined by comparison between the abnormal colour spectrum of a derivative chromosome and the normal profile of the corresponding nonaltered chromosome. The regions are indicated on the corresponding ideograms (Figures 1A–D, bottom part). For each of the cell line studied, one to several derivative chromosomes could be observed. Comprehensive results in agreement to ISCN (1995) are described as supplementary data (Supplementary Table 1). For economical reasons, when previous experiments (e.g. with M-FISH) had demonstrated integrity of one or more of the five selected chromosomes in a cell line, this cell line was not studied with the respective mbanding probe. This explains why not all cell lines were systematically investigated with the five chromosome probes.

Figure 1  Examples of mbanding-FISH in breast tumour cell lines. MDA-MB-231 (A), MDA-MB-157 (B and C) and ZR-75-1 (D) were analysed by FISH with specific mband cocktail probes for chromosome 1 (A), 5 (B), 12 (C) and 17 (D), respectively. M-FISH images of normal (save for chromosome 12 in MDA-MB-157 (C)) and derivative chromosomes 1, 5, 12 and 17 previously characterised in these cell lines (Popovici et al., 2002) are shown on the left hand of the corresponding mband images (pseudocolour profile) defined by colour spectra (on the right). Regional locations of breakpoints targeting der(1)(t(1;14)(p34;q?) (A), i5(p10) (B), der(12)t(1;12)(?p13.3) (C upper part), der(12;16)(q10;?q10) (C middle part) and der(11)t(11;17)(?q21.3–22) (D) present in MDA-MB-231 (A), MDA-MB-157 (B and C) and ZR-75-1 (D), respectively, were assigned by comparison with the normal profile. They are indicated by arrowheads on the corresponding ideograms (bottom part), which exhibit on their right hand the sequence of microdissected region-specific PCP labelled using a unique fluorochrome combination defining then the normal colour spectrum. Each PCP was labelled and partly overlaps with the neighbouring one.
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Regional distribution of breakpoints in breast tumour cell lines. From mbanding-FISH analysis on breast tumour cell lines, a total of 136 breakpoints were described (Supplementary Table 1). Each coloured circle corresponds to a cell line as defined in the inset in upper right corner. These circles are positioned on ideograms of normal 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17 chromosomes in the region affected by breakpoints characterised in cell lines derivatives reported in the supplementary table. Each coloured circle represents a breakpoint observed in one derivative. The occurrence order of coloured circles follows the order of breakpoints characterised in the corresponding cell lines reported in the supplementary table, for example, two circles with the same colour on the same region mean that this region is involved in two different derivatives present in the same cell line. Black arrows show 1p36 and 5p12 breakpoints of the t(1;6)(p36;p21) and t(5;12)(p12;p11) present in IPC-BC-116 and UACC-812, respectively. (B and C) Dual-colour FISH refinement of two target regions. Dual-colour FISH experiment carried out on metaphase chromosomes from IPC-BC-116 (B) with digoxigenin-labelled DNA of RP11-164A22 (revealed in red, TRITC) in combination with biotinylated DNA of RP11-99F3 and centromeric probe specific for the chromosome 6 (revealed in green, FITC). The telomeric position of RP11-164A22 allowed the identification of normal chromosome 1 and derivative chromosome 6. The concomitant presence of RP11-99F3 on both derivatives and on chromosome 1 suggested that this clone spans the 1p36 breakpoint. Similarly, dual-colour FISH experiment carried out on metaphase chromosomes from UACC-812 (C) using biotinylated DNA of RP11-190J8 BAC (revealed in green, FITC) and digoxigenin-labelled centromeric probe specific for chromosome 12 (revealed in red, TRITC) shows that RP11-190J8 spans the 5p12 breakpoint.

All breakpoints were located, and their positions are shown in Figure 2A. After considering all derivatives for each cell line, a total of 136 breakpoints were described. They were distributed as follows: 47, 29, 28, 18 and 14 on chromosome 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17, respectively. They were located on 4 (chromosome 17) to 11 (chromosome 1) regions per chromosome (Figure 2A) (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2A). The same region of breakpoint could be found in one (e.g. 12q13) to seven (1q21) cell lines. The same chromosome was affected in eight to 11 cell lines (Table 1). The regions with more than three breakpoints are referenced in Table 2. For each of them, a breakpoint incidence (BI) was defined for each chromosome as the ratio of the number of events found in this region and the total number of breakpoints observed along the given chromosome (47, 29, 28, 18 and 14 for chromosome 1, 5, 8, 12 and 17, respectively). For each chromosome, a recurrence index (RI) was defined as the ratio of the number of affected cell lines and the total number of tested cell lines. The product BI × RI allowed to point to breakpoints presenting both high breakpoint incidence and high recurrence (Table 2).

Definition of altered regions on each chromosome

There were 11 altered regions on chromosome 1. The pericentric region (p12–p22.1 to q11–q21.2) was the site of the most frequent alterations, involving derivatives in about 80% of the analysed cell lines. Mbanding-FISH also allowed the delineation of deletions on both arms of chromosome 1, while amplifications by duplication or triplication were found only on the q arm.

We defined six altered regions on chromosome 5. The most frequent were at 5p11–p13.2 and 5q23.2–q31.1, and affected 70% of the tested cell lines. These regions were located centromeric to FRASE (5p14) and close to or within FRA5C, respectively; breaks may thus be the consequence of a particular fragility of these regions. Four frequently altered regions were found on chromosome 8.
DISCUSSION

Multicolour-banding approach: towards a better definition of chromosomal rearrangements in breast cancers

The progress of FISH technologies based on chromosome painting, SKY and multiplex-FISH or multicolour-FISH (M-FISH) (Schröck et al., 1996; Speicher et al., 1996) has overcome the limitations of conventional cytogenetic methods in the characterisation of complex chromosome alterations observed in cancers. It offers a simultaneous visualisation of all human chromosomes in different pseudocolours, and allows a better characterisation of the rearrangements. However, the definition of chromosomal abnormalities such as intrachromosomal changes or abnormal chromosomal segments could not be approached with these techniques. To overcome these limitations, different multicolour-banding techniques have been developed such as: (i) mbanding-FISH (also called multicolour banding) (Chudoba et al., 1999; Liihr et al., 2002a,b), which is a modification of M-FISH, (ii) a chromosome bar code technique (Müller et al., 1997), (iii) a crossspecies colour-banding technique called Rx-FISH (Müller et al., 1998) and (iv) a spectral colour banding known as SCAN (Kakazu et al., 2003). These approaches have different levels of banding resolution (Kakazu et al., 2003). Very recently, the resolution of discernable bars was increased to 100 bars per haploid chromosome set by including human chromosome-specific probes and more well-defined subregional probes (Müller et al., 2004). The analysis of chromosomal alterations using these techniques could reveal new aberrations not visible by other methods, and consequently facilitate the molecular characterisation of target genes.

Comparison of our results with literature data

Our findings correlate well with what is known for chromosome 1. This chromosome is one of the most frequently affected in breast cancers (Dutrillaux et al., 1990; Biéche et al., 1993; Pandis et al., 1995; Teixeira et al., 2002; http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/Chromosomes/RecurrentAberrations). Analyses of breast tumours have established a frequency of breaks as follows: 1p36 (6.5%), 1p22 (6.1%), 1p13 (5.9%), 1q10 (24%), 1q11–12 (7%), 1q21 (9.1%), 1q25 (3%) and 1q42 (5.9%) (Teixeira et al., 2002). Frequent allelic imbalances have been found at 1p36 (11%), 1q42–44 (13–14%) and amplification is commonly observed at 1q21. The presence of at least four potential tumour suppressor genes (TSG), at 1p13, 1p22, 1p31 and 1p34-pter, has been suggested (see for a review, Biéche et al., 1999). Unbalanced translocations affecting chromosome 1 frequently lead to segmental losses, which could target TSG, while oncogenes could be activated by intrachromosomal amplification (essentially on 1q) or by promoting gene fusion.

As opposed to chromosome 1, aberrations of chromosome 5 are relatively rare in breast cancers (http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/Chromosomes/RecurrentAberrations); they target preferentially the 5p15 region (Teixeira et al., 2002). Allelic imbalances have been reported with gains of 5p in 39% of breast tumour cell lines (Fozoran et al., 2000). Losses of 5q (86%) are common in BRCA1-mutated breast cancer cell lines including ZR-75-1 (Adeâlaïde et al., 1998, 2003; Ugolini et al., 1999; Conte et al., 2002; Ray et al., 2004).

We have recently shown by FISH analysis that NRG1 (8p21) is frequently targeted by recurrent breakpoints in breast tumour cell lines including ZR-75-1 (Adeâlaïde et al., 2003), and in 6% of

---

Table 2 Regional localisation of the most frequent breakpoints (> 3).

| Regional locations | Number of breakpoints | BI | RI | BI × RI (× 10⁻²) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----|----|------------------|
| 1p22.1–p12         | 7                     | 7/47| 6/11| 8.12             |
| 1q11–q12.1         | 11                    | 11/47| 7/11| 14.9             |
| 1q21.3-q24         | 6                     | 6/47| 4/11| 4.64             |
| 1q25–q31           | 5                     | 5/47| 4/11| 3.87             |
| 1q32.1–q42.2       | 5                     | 5/47| 4/11| 3.87             |
| 5p13.2–p10         | 11                    | 11/29| 7/10| 26.55            |
| 5q22–q31.3         | 9                     | 9/29| 7/10| 21.72            |
| 8p12–p11           | 8                     | 8/28| 5/9 | 15.87            |
| 8q10–q21.1         | 4                     | 4/28| 4/9 | 6.35             |
| 8q22.1–q23         | 6                     | 6/28| 4/9 | 9.52             |
| 8q24.1–qter        | 6                     | 6/28| 4/9 | 9.52             |
| 12p12–p11          | 6                     | 6/18| 5/7 | 23.81            |
| 12q24.1–qter       | 5                     | 5/18| 3/7 | 11.9             |
| 17q21.3–q22        | 5                     | 5/14| 5/8 | 22.32            |

BI = breakpoint incidence. RI = recurrence index.

8: 8p11–p12, 8q10–q21.1, 8q22.1–q23 and 8q24.1–qter. We identified two frequently altered regions on chromosome 12: 12p11–p12 and 12q24.1–qter. Finally, we identified only one frequently altered region on chromosome 17, at 17q21.3–q22.

Breakpoint characterisation

To confirm that mbanding-FISH is a useful means of screening for chromosomal breaks, we selected two of the potential breakpoints, one in the low incidence range and the second in the high incidence range. The 1p36 and 5p12 breakpoints of the t(1;6)(p36;p21) and (5;12)(p12;p11) present in IPC-BC-116 and UACC-812 cell lines, respectively, were studied by dual-colour FISH (Figures 2B–C, respectively). Figure 2B shows the results of a dual-colour FISH experiment carried out on metaphase chromosomes from IPC-BC-116 with digoxigenin-labelled DNA of RP11-164A22 (revealed in red, TRITC) in combination with biotinylated DNA of RP11-99F3 and centromeric probe specific for chromosome 6 (revealed in green, FITC). The telomeric position of RP11-164A22 allowed the identification of normal chromosome 1 and derivative chromosome 6. The concomitant presence of RP11-99F3 on both derivatives and on chromosome 1 suggested that this clone spans the 1p36 breakpoint. The RP11-99F3 BAC clone (AC020587) contains the MGC15730 gene (hypothetical protein MGC15730) covering 270 kb. This gene has 10 exons and produces two variant transcripts by alternative splicing (UCSC Genome Browser on Human May 2004 Assembly is based on NCBI Build 35 (National Center for Biotechnology Information, US National Library of Medicine 8600 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD, USA)). The biological function of the corresponding putative proteins is not known.

The t(5;12)(p13;p11) translocation present in UACC-812 targets the most frequently altered region of chromosome 5 (Figure 2A). The FISH analysis using biotinylated DNA of RP11-190J8 BAC clone (AC021600) in combination with the digoxigenin-labelled centromeric probe for chromosome 12 allowed the characterisation of the breakpoint (Figure 2C). The RP11-190J8 BAC clone contains the 5’ part of the LOC345557 gene (XM_2983875.4) similar to RIKEN cDNA B130016O10 gene (expressed as two uncharacterised sequences BX648329.1 (7601 bp) and AK127142 (2432 bp). Currently, the biological function of the corresponding putative proteins is not known. Using the SMART software (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/smart/show_motifs.pl), a phospholipase C catalytic domain (noted ‘PLCXc’) was detected in the XP_2983875 protein sequence associated to BX648329.1 mRNA. A link was also found with AK127142, which is probably generated by alternative splicing.
primary tumours (Huang et al., 2004). Moreover, studies by Courtoy-Cahen et al. (2000), as well as our work (Adélaïde et al., 2003, and data not shown), have suggested the frequent presence of breakpoints located centromeric to NRG1 (Gelsi-Boyer et al., in preparation). Thus, all breakpoint found in this region using mbanding-FISH were confirmed by FISH using BAC clones showing again that mbanding-FISH is reliable to map breakpoints.

Multiplication of the entire 8q arm and regional amplifications on 8q are common features in breast cancer (Kallioniemi et al., 1994; Mark et al., 1997). The majority of 8q ampolics encompass 8q24, and comprise the MYC oncogene (8q24.12) (Yokota et al., 1999; Forozan et al., 2000). However, several studies have shown the presence of other ampolics at 8q21, 8q22 – 23 and 8q24 (Kallioniemi et al., 1994; Muleris et al., 1994; Fejzo et al., 1998, Nuppenon et al., 1999; Forozan et al., 2000; Seute et al., 2001), possibly associated with the presence of several common fragile sites (Hellman et al., 2002).

Reciprocal translocations t(8;12)(q24;p12), t(12;14)(p11;q11) and t(12;21)(p12;q22) have been reported in breast diseases (Rohen et al., 1993; see for reviews Petersson et al., 1997; Cavalli et al., 2001; http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/Chromosomes/RecurrentAber-rations). The 12p13, 12q12 and 12q24 regions have the highest frequencies of breaks (Teixeira et al., 2002). In SK-BR-3, the derivative der(12)t(3;12) has been previously identified by SKY FISH (Kyöölä et al., 2000). The use of mbanding-FISH contributed to facilitate the detection of the inversion and finally to characterise this derivative as del(12)(q24.2)inv(12)(p11q24.2) t(3;12)(p11q11).

Chromosome 17 is often the target of amplification at q12 – 21 and q23 (Forozan et al., 2000; Seute et al., 2001), and of unbalanced translocations (Kyöölä et al., 2000). Among the characterised chromosomal rearrangements, the BCAS4–BCAS3 fusion transcript has been detected only in MCF-7 cells (Bärlund et al., 2002). In our study, a dup(17)(q25q21.3) was detected in UACC-812.
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