Secrets of platelet exocytosis – what do we really know about platelet secretion mechanisms?
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Summary
Upon activation by extracellular matrix components or soluble agonists, platelets release in excess of 300 active molecules from intracellular granules. Those factors can both activate further platelets and mediate a range of responses in other cells. The complex microenvironment of a growing thrombus, as well as platelets’ roles in both physiological and pathological processes, require platelet secretion to be highly spatially and temporally regulated to ensure appropriate responses to a range of stimuli. However, how this regulation is achieved remains incompletely understood. In this review we outline the importance of regulated secretion in thrombosis as well as in ‘novel’ scenarios beyond haemostasis and give a detailed summary of what is known about the molecular mechanisms of platelet exocytosis. We also discuss a number of theories of how different cargoes could be released in a tightly orchestrated manner, allowing complex interactions between platelets and their environment.
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Why study platelet secretion?
Platelets are important in a range of diseases, most notably thrombosis, and many of their functions are mediated by secretion. They contain three types of intracellular secretory granules: dense (\(\delta\)) granules (so called because of their appearance under the electron microscope), alpha (\(\alpha\)) granules and lysosomes. The most numerous are \(\alpha\)-granules (50–80/platelet), followed by 3–5 \(\delta\)-granules and only a few lysosomes. In addition, platelets contain a complex system of internal membrane invaginations (open canalicular system) which provides a reservoir of membrane for platelet shape change and spreading and which also acts as a conduit for some of the platelet releasates (Escolar & White, 1991).

The function of each of the platelet granules is defined by their contents. Whereas \(\delta\)-granules contain mainly small molecule platelet activators (Meyers et al, 1982) and lysosomes contain proteolytic enzymes thought to play a role in clot remodelling ( Bentfield & Bainton, 1975), it is quite striking that platelet \(\alpha\)-granules are the most complex granule subtype, containing a number of factors with often opposing effects on their target cell (Blair & Flammennaht, 2009) (see Fig 1). With over 300 factors released upon activation, it is likely that platelet granule secretion is a tightly regulated process, rather than an uncontrollable ‘all-or-none’ response (White & Rompietti, 2007). Hypotheses for how this regulation is achieved are discussed later in this review.

The many functions of platelets – mediated by secretion?
Abnormal platelet function most notably leads to pathological thrombosis, and thereby plays a critical role in cardiovascular diseases, such as coronary artery disease and stroke. The role of platelets in thrombosis and haemostasis is well established (Coller, 2011), but a large body of research over the last 50 years now attributes many more roles to platelets. In addition to the initial ‘stemming’ of bleeding, they contribute to the later stages of haemostasis, including inflammation, immune responses, wound healing and the control of infection ( Smyth et al, 2009). It is now known that the plethora of cytokines and chemokines released from platelet \(\alpha\)-granules can propagate leucocyte recruitment in the inflammatory milieu ( Kerrigan et al, 2012), and expressed platelet surface receptors can mediate adhesion to both endothelial cells and other circulatory cells, which is of importance in atherosclerotic plaque development and vascular restenosis (Lievens & von Hundelshausen, 2011).

In addition, tumour progression and metastasis is thought to be influenced by platelets, most notably via platelet-derived growth factor secretion ( Labelle et al, 2011). Most recently, activation of the novel platelet CLEC1B (also known as CLEC2) receptor by tumour-derived PDPN (podoplanin) was also found to lead to release of bioactive molecules that provide a favourable environment for cancer cell survival, adhesion and extravasation; in addition, clustering of PDPN...
with platelet CLEC1B activates a number of migration and invasion pathways in the tumour (Lowe et al., 2012; Takagi et al., 2013). The same CLEC1B/PDPN interaction was also shown to be essential for maintaining integrity of lymphatic vessels by causing release of bioactive sphingosine-1 phosphate from platelets (Herzog et al., 2013). Further examples of novel roles for platelets include tissue fibrosis (Dees et al., 2011), liver regeneration (Papadimas et al., 2012) and wound healing (Kaur & Mutus, 2012). These and others (Blair & Flaumenhaft, 2009) are summarized in Figure 1.

**Granule biogenesis and trafficking**

At the other end of pathological thrombosis spectrum lie the much rarer platelet storage disorders, which can result in serious bleeding complications for affected patients. Dense granule synthesis defects include Hermansky Pudlak and Chediak-Higashi Syndromes, while α-granule synthesis defects are associated with ARC (Arthrogryposis-Renal dysfunction-Cholestasis syndrome) or GPS (Grey Platelet Syndrome) (Nurden & Nurden, 2011). Platelets are produced from megakaryocytes in bone marrow and limited evidence suggests that, unlike most other secretory organelles that bud from Golgi, platelet α- and δ-granules instead originate from multivesicular bodies (MVBS) or late endosomes in megakaryocytes (Heijnen et al., 1998; Ambrosio et al., 2012). For more detail on molecular determinants of platelet granule synthesis see (King & Reed, 2002) and (Masliah-Planchon et al., 2013).

**What do we know about the regulation of secretion?**

All secretion events require a ‘machinery’

Any vesicle fusion event is energetically unfavourable and must involve a series of coordinated steps to overcome the repulsive ionic forces and dissipate hydration between the lipid bilayers (Aunis & Bader, 1988). This requires NSF (N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein) and SNAP (Soluble NSF Attachment Protein), as first observed in the Golgi transport in yeast (Clary et al., 1990). Söllner et al. (1993) first identified the SNARE (SNAP REceptor) family of proteins as the critical machinery for membrane fusion. Using affinity purification methods they isolated four proteins that bound NSF and SNAP and, most importantly, they found those novel SNAP receptors, or SNAREs, to also be associated with...
the synapse. SNAP25, the syntaxins STX1A and STX1B and synaptobrevin-1 (VAMP1) were the first identified SNARE complex. Since that seminal work 20 years ago, more than 60 SNARE proteins have been described in mammalian and yeast cells. They have since been implicated in mediating a range of membrane fusion events in all cell types, be it secretion, endocytosis or early-to-late endosome transport.

In the simplest terms, SNARE-mediated fusion involves transport of the vesicle to the target membrane and ‘priming’ it for release, followed by calcium-mediated conformational change in the complex that leads to the completion of membrane fusion leading to release of granule contents (Fig 2).

**Classification of SNAREs**

Initially SNAREs were classified according to their membrane location – vesicle or target SNAREs (see Fig 2A). It was not until 1998 that an extensive sequence analysis of all known SNAREs revealed that, in fact, the specificity of the complex is conferred by a segment in their cytosolic domains called a SNARE motif that consists of 60–70 amino acids, and specifically by a highly conserved 16 amino acids which lie up- and down-stream of an ionic ‘zero’ layer (Fig 3A). The ‘zero’ layer invariably consists of three glutamines (Q) and an arginine (R) residue (Fasshauer et al, 1998). This discovery led to a reclassification of SNAREs according to the amino acid in the zero layer: Qa, Qb, Qc and R (Fig 3B).

Along with the QabcR classification, SNAREs can be grouped into three broad subfamilies: synaptosomal-associated protein (SNAPs) type, vesicle-associated membrane proteins (VAMPs) and syntaxins. All SNAP members (SNAP23, SNAP25, SNAP29 and SNAP47) contain two SNARE motifs (contributing Qb and Qc to the SNARE complex) and lack transmembrane domains. They are instead anchored to the membrane by thioester-linked acyl groups (Hong, 2005). Concerning R-SNAREs, two subfamilies can be distinguished: short VAMPs or brevins, and long VAMPs or longins. The latter share a N-terminus extension, the so-called Longin Domain, LD (Filippini et al, 2001). Syntaxins are evolutionarily less-well conserved, but all mammalian syntaxins, with the exception of STX11, are transmembrane proteins anchored by their carboxy-terminal tails with the amino terminus and with the bulk of the polypeptide facing the cytosol (Hong, 2005).

**SNARE regulators**

The solving of the crystal structure of the endosomal SNARE complex (Antonin et al, 2002) further confirmed the high level of structural and sequence conservation in what is now believed to be the functional SNARE domain. In light of this conservation, a suggestion of accessory proteins mediating biological specificity of the complex was also made. For example, STXBP (Sec/Munc18-like) proteins are thought to

![Fig 2. Vesicle (v) and target (t) SNAREs reside on opposing membranes. (A) In response to stimulus the vesicle translocates near to the target membrane and the four SNARE domains associate. (B) A conformational change in the complex brings the membranes close together and (C) eventually leads to overcoming the energy barrier enabling (D) fusion of the membranes and release of granular contents. This model and the core SNARE machinery is ubiquitously expressed throughout eukaryotic cells.](image)

![Fig 3. SNARE complex specificity is conferred by the 50–60 amino acid SNARE motif. (A) Four SNARE motifs from each of the Qa, Qb, Qc and R families form a functional four-helical bundle, which drives membrane fusion. (B) The QabcR configuration of the zero layer itself is completely conserved between different cell types and species, with the structure of the 16 amino acids up- and down-stream considerably less well conserved.](image)
bind directly to their cognate syntaxins and ‘prime’ them for assembly into the fusogenic SNARE complex (Zilly et al., 2006), as well as regulate the dynamics of secretion (Rickman & Duncan, 2010). UNC13 family members were also shown to be essential for the priming of synaptic vesicles and lytic granules (Dudenhofer-Pfeifer et al., 2013). Small GTPases, RABs and RHOs have also been implicated in regulation of secretion. The role of those and other regulators, as well as signalling pathways regulating SNARE complex formation in platelets will now be discussed.

What do we know about secretion in platelets?

The identity of SNAREs in platelets

The first evidence for SNAREs in platelets was reported by Lemons et al. (1997) when they detected both the general secretory proteins NSF and SNAP, and specific SNAREs STX2 and STX4, in platelet lysates. Since then, technological advances in platelet research have allowed us to characterize further elements of the platelet secretory machinery.

The R SNARE. A number of VAMPs (VAMP2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8) are present in platelets, but recent evidence suggests that only some of them are involved in secretion. The initial studies, including inhibition of secretion with antibodies directed against SNAREs in permeabilized cell systems (Feng et al., 2002) and treatment with whole tetanus toxin (which cleaves VAMPs 2 and 3) (Flaumenhaft et al., 1999), suggested a role for VAMP3 in platelets. But the results remained equivocal until the generation of a range of Vamp knockout mice. Schraw et al. (2003a) showed that VAMP3 is in fact redundant in secretion (in mouse platelets), and in 2007 the same group followed up with a report that knockout of Vamp8 results in a defect in platelet secretion (Ren et al., 2007). The secretion could be further attenuated by tetanus toxin light chain (TeNT LC) treatment, suggesting a secondary role for the tetanus-sensitive VAMPs, VAMP2 and/or VAMP3 in mouse platelets. This ranked redundancy of R-SNAREs is a common theme in other cells, for example in chromaffin cells, where VAMP2 deletion results in profound, but not absolute reduction in secretion, with residual secretion accounted for by VAMP3 (Borisovska et al., 2005). Vamps8−/− mice were later shown to have deficient thrombus formation in vivo (Graham et al., 2009). Moreover, VAMP8 was shown to be over-expressed in hyperactive human platelets (Kondkar et al., 2010), thus further confirming the importance of VAMP8 in human platelets.

The Qa SNARE. The story of discovery of the major platelet syntaxin followed a similar course to that of the VAMPs. Several SNAREs had been initially detected in platelets by Western blotting, including STX2, STX4 and STX7. Initial studies from the Whiteheart group introduced neutralizing antibodies into streptolysin-permeabilized platelets to suggest that both STX2 and STX4 play a role in secretion from lysosomes (Chen et al., 2000a) and α-granules (Lemons et al., 2000), whereas only STX2 was involved in δ-granule secretion (Chen et al., 2000b). More recently however, both Stx2−/− and Stx4−/−, as well as double Stx2−/−/Stx4−/− mice were shown by the same group to have intact secretion from all platelet granules (Ye et al., 2012).

The breakthrough came from studying a patient suffering from a subtype of Familial Haemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis (FHL or HLH) associated with a missense mutation in the STX11 gene, causing FHL4 (Zur Stadt et al., 2005). FHL is a family of rare genetic autosomal auto-immune diseases that also manifest in reduced numbers of red blood cells and platelets (thrombocytopenia) and is lethal if left untreated. The Whiteheart group obtained blood samples from a FHL4 patient and found that, in addition to thrombocytopenia, the STX11-devoid platelets had an almost completely abolished δ- and α-granule secretion, while cargo levels and other elements of the secretory machinery were found to be normal (Ye et al., 2012). This study not only provided us with evidence for the role of STX11 in platelet secretion, but also stressed the importance of using appropriate models for studying platelet secretion.

The Qb and Qc SNAREs. The Qb and Qc domains can either derive from two different SNAREs or from two chains of the same protein. In the endosomal SNARE complex the Qb and Qc residues are contributed by VTI1B and STX8, respectively, whereas in the neuronal complex both come from a single protein (SNAP25). In platelets the initial evidence suggests that the latter is happening – with both Qb and Qc contributed by another SNAP family member, SNAP23. However, again, the evidence is not unequivocal. Snap23−/− global knockout is prenatally lethal (Suh et al., 2011) and no platelet-specific conditional knockouts have been reported to date. The initial evidence for SNAP23 came from a permeabilized platelet system, in the same study as the STX2 and STX4 results described above (Chen et al., 2000a,b; Lemons et al., 2000). There is also evidence for protein kinase C (PKC)-mediated SNAP23 phosphorylation occurring simultaneously with secretion in platelets (Polgar et al., 2003; Konopatskaya et al., 2009), but the same was previously shown for STX4, which was subsequently proven to be redundant in secretion (Chung et al., 2000). More recently, the protein kinase IKK (IκB kinase) was shown to mediate phosphorylation of SNAP23, which in turn was shown to be important for SNARE complex formation, but the effects of an IKK inhibitor on other SNAREs were not evaluated, so it cannot be concluded that SNAP23 is the only SNARE undergoing this modification (Karim et al., 2013). Whereas it is perfectly plausible that SNAP23 plays a role in platelet secretion, we cannot rule out that secondary Qbc SNARE or SNAREs exist. It is possible that, as in the case of
VAMPs, there is a ranked redundancy of the Qb/Qc SNAREs in platelets and that under different conditions other proteins could contribute to the complex formation. At present we lack the tools to answer this question conclusively.

**SNARE chaperones**

**STXBPs family (MUNC/SEC family).** An early study using inhibitory peptides in permeabilized platelets (Schraw et al., 2003b) suggested that different STXBPs family members bind different SNAREs and that they all contribute to the regulation of secretion. STXBPs were found in complexes with STX2, and STXBPs with both STX2 and STX4. In this report, STXBPs (also known as MUNC18B) was not analysed in the permeabilised system but described broadly as ‘interacting with the SNARE complex’. More recently however, a different FHL subtype – FHL5 was identified (Jain et al., 2012). In the patients studied, a disruptive mutation even in only one allele of the STXB2 gene resulted in a significant defect in platelet secretion (Al Hawas et al., 2012). The levels of STX11 were also significantly altered in bi-allelic mutant patients – confirming the previously reported observation that STXBPs preferentially interacts with STX11 in other cells (Zur Stadt et al., 2009). This recent evidence is in line with observation that STX11 is likely to be the main syntaxin in human platelets.

**UNC13D.** MUNC13D is the most abundantly expressed UNC13 in platelets and was initially identified as a RAB27B binding protein, which suggested a role in secretion in platelets (see section below on Small GTPases) (Shirakawa et al., 2004). It is now known that it is mutated in FHL3 (Feldmann et al., 2003) which like other FHL types causes systemic defects in secretory organelles. A chemically mutagenized mouse model, Unc13d<sup>lox/lox</sup>, has been generated that incorporates a premature stop codon in the gene encoding UNC13D, causing loss of the second C-terminal C2 domain and part of the second UNC homology domain. This inactivates UNC13D, leading to complete ablation of δ-granule secretion in mouse platelets (Ren et al., 2010). Reduced α-granule secretion was also observed in this model, although it was not ablated. The reduced granule secretion leads to a reduction in thrombosis formation both in vitro and in vivo (Savage et al., 2013). Interestingly, a recent report has suggested that the defect in ATP secretion in Unc13d<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice reduces tumour metastasis in those animals (Schumacher et al., 2013). This was shown to be mediated principally by the released ATP leading to an increased opening of the endothelial barrier, allowing tumour cell extravasation, mediated through endothelial P2Y2 receptors. It is possible however that there is a role also for the reduced α-granule secretion in these mice, and given that many vasoactive cargoes are released from α-granules, this could also play a role in protection from metastasis in these animals (Harper et al., 2013a).

**Small GTPases**

**RABs.** The RAB family consists of more than 60 members and is associated with all vesicular transport events in many cells (as reviewed in (Hutagalung & Novick, 2011)). Several RAB family members were identified in platelets and megakaryocytes, and found to regulate both platelet granule biogenesis (RAB38/32) (Ambrosio et al., 2012) and exocytosis. The most important RAB regulating platelet secretion is RAB27B, deletion of which results in a defect in both δ-granule number and secretion (Tolmachova et al., 2007). RABs are in turn regulated by a number of other small accessory proteins, guanine exchange factors (GEFs) and GTPase-activating protein (GAPs), adding another level of regulation to an already complex system. The identity of many of those in platelets remains incompletely understood.

**RHO family.** RHO GTPases are another family of small GTPase regulators implicated in signalling in platelets (as reviewed in (Aslan & McCarty, 2013)), and the most prevalent CDC42/RHOA/RAC complex is also the most studied to date. Pleines et al (2010) reported the generation of Floxed platelet and megakaryocyte-specific Cdc42-deficient mice (Cdc42 global knockouts are prenatally lethal). Floxed platelets showed increased aggregation, and most notably increased levels of ADP/ATP stored in δ-granules and increased secretion from both δ- and α-granules. This was contrary to previous observations where inhibition of platelet CDC42 by secramine A caused a decrease in aggregation and spreading on collagen (Pula & Poole, 2008). Whereas that effect could potentially be explained by poor specificity of the inhibitor or a difference between acute inhibition versus chronic genetic deletion, the decreased aggregation in the absence of Cdc42 was also reported in endothelial (Klarenbach et al., 2003) and Rat Basophilic Leukaemia (RBL) cells (Hong-Geller & Cerione, 2000). This may also emphasize the significant differences between platelets and other secretory cells. Rhoa-specific deletion led to reduced α- and δ-granule secretion in response to some agonists (Pleines et al., 2012), suggesting divergent signalling downstream from different receptors and complex regulation of the RHO GTPases.

**RALA/B and the exocyst complex.** Yet another small GTPase family implicated in platelet exocytosis are RALs, previously described as interactors of the ‘exocyst complex’ (SEC proteins) (Heider & Munson, 2012) and found to be activated upon platelet stimulation (Wolthuis et al., 1998). RALs A and B were found to play a role in neuronal and epithelial cell polarity and in tight junction establishment and function (as reviewed in Heider & Munson, 2012). Very little is known about the exocyst complex’s role in platelets, but recently inhibition of RAL with a SEC5-RBD mutant that lacks the GTP-RAL binding activity was found to impair δ-granule secretion (Kawato et al., 2008). The SEC5-RBD does not
distinguish between RALA and RALB so until specific knock-
out models are developed there is no way of further elucidat-
ing this pathway. The exocyst complex in other cells was
proposed to form an initial connection between vesicle and
target membrane through interactions with proteins and lip-
ids on both surfaces and also to bring vesicles close enough
to promote SNARE complex formation and vesicle fusion
(Heider & Munson, 2012). The identity of exocyst proteins
in platelets remains unknown.

Other potential regulators of secretion

Motor proteins and cytoskeleton. The unconventional, non-
muscle myosins are thought to regulate a number of stages
of exocytosis in different cell types. MYOSA (myosin Va)
transcripts are highly expressed in human platelets (Rowley
et al, 2011), and it was also shown to interact with an
important regulator of platelet secretion RAB27 (Tolmach-
ova et al, 2007), making it an attractive candidate as a
platelet secretion regulator. However we recently analysed
Myo5a<sup>−/−</sup> mouse platelets and found that secretion
was not affected, nor were other platelet functions (Harper
et al, 2013b). Other myosin mRNAs found in the
genome-wide transcript analysis were MYO1C, MYO1F and
Myo6 in mouse platelets, and it is possible that they could play a role in secretion (Rowley et al, 2011). However, it is also possible that because of the small size
of platelets, the ‘machinery’ that facilitates transport of
granules across the intracellular space is not necessary.

In resting platelets, the actin cytoskeleton acts as a barrier
to secretion as shown by Flaumenhaft et al (2005), and this
effect is more pronounced in case of α- than δ-granules. In
a more recent paper, the same group showed that upon
activation, the actin cytoskeleton undergoes reorganization and binds some of the SNARE proteins, thus facilitating
α-granule secretion (Woronowicz et al, 2010). The role of
the platelet cytoskeleton is well evidenced in platelet
development and granule trafficking in megakaryocytes,
(Thon et al, 2010), but its role in platelet secretion is still
uncertain.

Tomosyn (STXBP5/SL). Tomosyn was first identified as a
syntaxin-binding protein in rat cerebral tissue (and named
accordingly: from Japanese –tomo (friend) of syntaxin (syn)
(Fujita et al, 1998)). Two genes encoding STXBP5 were iden-
tified – STXBP5 and STXBP5L, but alternative slicing leads
to 7 different isoforms. It is thought that tomosyn plays a
role in anchoring the vesicles to the target membrane before
release but the mechanism remains elusive. In platelets, there
have been reports of tomosyn expression (Ren et al, 2008)
and it has also been found in the transcriptome analysis of
human and mouse platelets (Rowley et al, 2011). It is a
promising candidate for regulating secretion in platelets, but
its role remains to be elucidated.

Signalling in secretion

Platelet activation occurs through numerous signalling cas-
cades that originate from diverse surface receptors being acti-
ved by different agonists. Many of these converge on
common intracellular signalling events that ultimately elevate
cytosolic calcium and release diacylglycerol. These and other
signals also lead to activation of PKC. Platelet degranulation
is ultimately regulated by many of these signalling cascades,
but the exact nature of the pathways and how secretion is
regulated remains unclear.

Phosphorylation

PKCs. Several PKC isotypes are expressed in human plate-
lets. Classical PKCs α (PRKCA) and β (PRKCB), and the clos-
ely related novel PKCs δ (PRKCD) and θ (PRKCE) all have
been detected in both mouse and human platelets, whereas
PKCs (PRKCD) is only expressed in mouse platelets. Several
SNAREs have been shown to be phosphorylated in a PKC-
dependent manner (Chung et al, 2000; Barclay et al, 2003;
Polgar et al, 2003) and broad-spectrum PKC inhibitors can
ablate secretion in intact platelets (reviewed in (Harper &
Poole, 2010)). However, targeting of specific isoforms via
pharmacological approaches is difficult and therefore to estab-
lish the roles of each isoform several groups have turned
to knockout mouse models. We have previously shown that
Prkca<sup>−/−</sup> mouse platelets have a very substantial reduction in α- and δ-granule secretion in response to agonists (Ko-
nopatskaya et al, 2009), and that it plays a role in platelet
biogenesis, because δ-granule numbers were reduced. PKCα
is therefore a major positive regulator of secretion in platelets.

The roles for other PKC isoatypes are less clearly defined.
Pharmacological inhibition of PRKCD enhanced secretion
downstream of GPV1 receptor but diminished secretion
downstream of PAR (thrombin) receptors (Murugappan
et al, 2004). Prkcd<sup>−/−</sup> platelets also showed a partially
reduced granule secretion in response to stimulation of
PAR4 (Chari et al, 2009), however no difference was found
in these mice in response to stimulation of the collagen
receptor GPV1 (Pula et al, 2006). The role of PRKCD in reg-
ulating secretion may therefore be agonist-specific. Similarly,
the role for PRKCD is debatable (Harper & Poole, 2009).
Nagy et al (2009) showed that platelet activation and secre-
tion is impaired in Prkca<sup>−/−</sup> animals, whereas another study
suggested an enhancement of alpha granule secretion, but
not dense granule secretion, at low levels of GPV1 stimula-
tion (Harper & Poole, 2009). These discrepancies may hint
at the complex nature of control of secretion in platelets,
with responses varying depending on agonist and degree of
stimulation.

Other kinases. Protein kinase D2 (PRKD2) was shown to be
an essential regulator of δ-, but not α-granule secretion
downstream of classical PKC isoforms (Konopatskaya et al,
Review

Calcium in secretion

A common effector for agonists in platelets is an increase in cytosolic calcium. A rise in cytosolic calcium alone is able to stimulate and cause secretion from permeabilized platelets in the presence of ATP (Morimoto & Oghara, 1996). It is possible that calcium directly removes inhibitory proteins or activates SNAREs but the link has not been made yet. UNC13D, with its two calcium-sensing domains C2A and C2B – is hinted to play a role as the secretory calcium sensor in platelets. In an artificial liposome fusion study, Ca\(^{2+}\)-stimulated UNC13D interactions with SNAREs were mediated by the C2A domain and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent membrane binding by the C2B domain (Boswell et al., 2012). In the apparent coupling of membrane and SNARE binding, UNC13D is the first priming factor shown to promote Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent SNARE complex formation and SNARE-mediated liposome fusion. Boswell et al. (2012) suggested that these properties of UNC13D make it a possible Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor at rate-limiting priming steps in granule exocytosis.

Syaptotagmin-like proteins. Synaptotagmin-like proteins (SYTL) are another family of proteins with calcium-sensing properties that could play a role in signal integration in platelets. They share tandem calcium-sensing domains (C1 and C2) and a SYTL-homology domain (SHD), which is believed to function as a specific effector domain for RAB27 (Fukuda, 2007). SYTLs are thought to play a role in granule docking either by direct interaction with the cell membrane (via binding of the C2 domain to plasma phosphatidylserine) or via interaction with vesicular RAB27 (Fukuda, 2007). Of the five mammalian SYTLs, only SYTL1 and SYTL4 are expressed in platelets, and SYTL1 is thought to be a negative regulator of platelet δ-granule secretion, via interaction with RAB27 and RAP1GAP2, as shown in a permeabilized platelet model using purified SYTL1 inhibitory domain (Neumuller et al., 2009). On the other hand, SYTL4 is believed to interact with RAB8 to enhance δ-granule secretion in a permeabilized platelet model (Hampson et al., 2013). The mechanism and dynamics of those interactions remain largely unknown.

CalDAG-GEFI/RAP1/RAC

CAIDAG-GEFI (RASGRP2) is a member of the RASGRP family of intracellular signalling molecules that is expressed in platelets, megakaryocytes and neutrophils. Its calcium-sensing properties, as well as its diacylglycerol (DAG) binding site, make it an attractive candidate for signal integration downstream of platelet receptors (Crittenden et al., 2004). It activates RAP1B, which in turn activates the RAC1 pool responsible for secretion (Stefanini et al., 2012). Both Rap1B\(^{-/-}\) and Rac1\(^{-/-}\) platelets have significant α- and δ-granule secretion defects, further supporting the role for this complex in secretion (Akbar et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011). Furthermore, a recent study showed that RASGRP2 phosphorylation by PKA is the main mechanism responsible for keeping platelets in an inactive state by preventing RAC1 activation (Subramanian et al., 2013). Rasgrp2\(^{-/-}\) platelets show a number of defects, including integrin activation, adhesion and aggregation, as well as α-granule secretion; similar defects were observed in canine platelets with mutated RASGRP2 (Boudreaux et al., 2007). It is apparent that the RASGRP2/RAP1B/RAC1 complex plays an important role in regulating secretion.

In summary, our current understanding of the secretion machinery in platelets has advanced hugely since the initial discoveries by Lemons et al. The proteins we now believe to be involved in platelet secretion are summarized in Table I.

What we don’t know about platelet secretion

At this point it needs to be reiterated how tightly platelet secretion is regulated. Under physiological conditions, activated healthy platelets release enough cargo to activate sufficient number of their counterparts and promote stabilization of the haemostatic plug that will prevent excessive bleeding. If all the contents of the intracellular stores, including potent mitogenic, pro-angiogenic, anti-angiogenic, pro-inflammatory and adhesive factors were released in an uncoordinated manner, the thrombus growth would be similarly uncoordinated. Additionally, to exert all their newer non-haemostatic roles as described earlier, platelet secretion has to be tightly spatially and temporally regulated: pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors’ interplay regulates vessel growth when required, mitogenic factors promote wound healing and metastasis is mediated by CLEC1B activation but not other receptors. Despite our extensive knowledge of the secretory machinery present in platelets, we are still relatively ignorant of HOW platelets regulate their secretion.

Differential packaging?

One of the hypotheses put forward is that platelet granules are not uniform, and that certain factors, especially α-granule cargoes, may be differentially packaged and thus released.

210 © 2013 The Authors. British Journal of Haematology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
British Journal of Haematology, 2014, 165, 204–216
Table I. Summary of selected secretion-related genes discussed in this review and the experimental approaches used to validate the function identified. Pharmacological studies or evidence from permeabilized platelet system models are not included (nd, indicates no data available).

| Protein | Effect on granules | Model used | Reference |
|---------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| **SNAREs** | | | |
| VAMP8 | Markedly reduced | Markedly reduced | Markedly reduced | Vamp8<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Ren et al (2007) |
| VAMP2 | Secondary to VAMP8 | Secondary to VAMP8 | Secondary to VAMP8 | TeNT LC + Vamp8<sup>−/−</sup> (global) |
| VAMP3 | Secondary to VAMP8 | Secondary to VAMP8 | Secondary to VAMP8 | Vamp3<sup>−/−</sup> (global), TeNT LC + Vamp8<sup>−/−</sup> (global) |
| STX11 | Markedly reduced | Markedly reduced | Reduced | FHL4 patient | Ye et al (2012) |
| STX2 | No change | No change | No change | Stx2<sup>−/−</sup> (global) |
| STX4 | No change | No change | No change | Stx4<sup>−/−</sup> (global) |
| STX2/STX4 | No change | No change | No change | Stx2<sup>−/−</sup>/Stx4<sup>−/−</sup> (global) |
| **SNARE chaperones** | | | |
| STXB2 | Completely abolished | Completely abolished | Markedly reduced | FHL5 patient | Al Hawas et al (2012) |
| UNC13D | Markedly reduced, rescued with ADP | Completely abolished | Markedly reduced | Unc13D<sup>ΔNAP</sup> (global) | Ren et al (2010) |
| **Small GTPases** | | | |
| RAB2B | No effect | 50% reduced number, reduced serotonin content, secretion defect | nd | Rab2B<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Tolmachova et al (2007) |
| **Motor protein Kinases** | | | |
| CDC42 | Increased secretion | Increased ADP loading, increased secretion to some agonists | nd | Cdc42<sup>−/−</sup> (megakaryocyte-and platelet-specific) | Pleines et al (2010) |
| RHOA | Reduced secretion, not to GIV | Reduced secretion, to some agonists | nd | Rhoa<sup>−/−</sup> (megakaryocyte-and platelet-specific) | Pleines et al (2012) |
| RAC1 | Reduced secretion | Reduced secretion | nd | Rac1<sup>−/−</sup> (haematopoietic) | Akbar et al (2007) |
| MYO5A | Normal | Normal | nd | Myo5a<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Harper et al (2013b) |
| PRKCA | Reduced secretion | Reduced number and secretion | nd | Prkca<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Konopatskaya et al (2009) |
| PRKCD | Debatable | Debatable | nd | Prkcd<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Charri et al (2009), Harper and Poole (2010) |
| PRKCG | Debatable | Debatable | nd | Prkcq<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Harper and Poole (2009), Nagy et al (2009) |
| PKD2 | Normal | Reduced | nd | Pkd2<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Konopatskaya et al (2011) |
| **Signalling molecule** | | | |
| RASGRP2 | Reduced secretion | Reduced secretion | nd | Rasgrp2<sup>−/−</sup> (global) | Crittenden et al (2004) |

‘thematical’, Ma et al (2005) observed that platelet stimulation with specific protease-activated receptor (PAR) 1 or PAR4 agonist resulted in preferential release of VEGF or endostatin, (anti- and pro-angiogenic factors, respectively). In addition PAR1 antagonist-treated rats showed retarded ulcer healing in vivo, which corresponded with inhibition of the pro-angiogenic action of endostatin. Differential packaging of granules was a very attractive explanation for that observation, and indeed, spinning-disc confocal microscope images of resting platelets, clearly showing distinct localization of two of the main α-granule cargoes – fibrinogen and von Willebrand Factor (VWF) were published (Sehgal & Storrie, 2007). Subsequently, Italiano et al (2008) group revealed in both platelets and megakaryocytes that VEGF and endostatin preferentially colocalized with fibrinogen or VWF, respectively. Immunogold labelling from this study also showed α-granule populations containing either endostatin or VEGF. More recently, a super-resolution analysis of 15 platelet α-granule proteins using 3D structured illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) however failed to show any functional co-clustering (Kamykowski et al, 2011). These discrepancies illustrate the challenging nature of studies on platelet granule content and secretion. Differential packaging of α-granule cargo remains an attractive explanation for the observed separation of pro- and anti-angiogenic releasate, but is a concept that requires more investigation for definitive proof.
An intriguing additional hypothesis was put forward suggesting that, in fact, different cargoes are all packaged into the same granules, but differentially localized within the α-granule. van Nispen tot Panneperden et al (2010) took electron micrograph ‘snapshots’ of resting human platelets and identified different classes of α-granules, most notably a distinct population of approximately 50-nm-wide ‘tubes’ that they designated ‘tubular α-granules’. They also noted that those tubular granules contained fibrinogen but not VWF, as opposed to ‘spherical’ α-granules, which contained both. There is a possibility that if such granules were to preferentially fuse with plasma membrane or OCS at one or other end, this could result in the gradual release of their contents, with the physically furthest released last. Maybe if polarized packaging within such tubular α-granules existed, it could account both for the observed selective release and for different release kinetics (discussed below). But even if differential packaging exists, either within or between granules, it is still unclear how platelets ‘know’ which granules to release.

Differential kinetics?

It is thought that there is likely to be a hierarchy of kinetics of release of platelet granules, with δ-granule release being a rapid event, followed by α-granule release and finally, by much slower lysosome release. Until recently however, little quantification existed. Jonnalagadda et al (2012) performed a systematic quantification of granule secretion using micro-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay arrays for 28 distinct α-granule cargo molecules in response to four different agonists. They then classified releasate as ‘fast’, ‘medium’ and ‘slow’ release, and surprisingly, found that not only did the release kinetic vary widely for each cargo depending on the agonist, but also that the kinetics of release did not correlate with the traditional ‘fast’ δ-granule, ‘slower’ α-granule and ‘slowest’ lysosome secretion model. They also found that cargoes with opposing functions had similar release profiles, suggesting limited thematic response to specific agonists. In conclusion, they proposed that kinetics of release are more complex than previously believed, and suggest that they may be regulated by a number of factors, such as granule shape, proximity to the membrane or cargo solubility (Jonnalagadda et al, 2012).

Interestingly a recent report has suggested that different SNAREs in the fusion complex are responsible for different steps of membrane fusion, at least in neurons. The proposal derives from in vitro work on nanodiscs, demonstrating that one SNARE is responsible for ‘fusion’ itself whereas the other three work together to keep the pore open (Shi et al, 2012). In line with this observation, in their comprehensive review of factors determining dynamics of secretion in various cell types, Kasi et al (2012) proposed a number of different fusion modes that could account for the range of fusion dynamics, from ultra-fast synaptic transmission, to slow (within a range of 10–100 s) exocrine release achieved by pancreatic islet cells or mast cells. Some of the mechanisms proposed also involve compound or multivesicular fusion, whereas vesicles fuse sequentially with a pre-docked granule, or several granules fuse together before reaching the membrane. It has previously been proposed that platelet granules may fuse with each other or with the open canalicular system, which could account for the slower release observed with certain stimulation conditions (Morgenstern, 1998). It is possible that fusion in platelets could occur therefore by more than one mechanism and that the aforementioned model could account for long, slow release, but maybe a ‘kiss and run’-style fusion could play a major role in ‘fast’ release. In this regard, dynamin-related protein-1 (DRP1) was recently shown to play a role in fusion pore stability, and could potentially provide more insight into this differential regulation (Koseoglu et al, 2013). This study showed that blocking DRP1 led to impaired secretion and that the defect was due to preventing complete granule collapse rather than the initial fusion pore formation (Koseoglu et al, 2013). Hence, DRP1 may be an important regulator of platelet secretion dynamics and kinetics.

Whereas in other cell types, most notably neuroendocrine cells, exocytosis is thought to be a multistep pathway that culminates in the (high) Ca2+-triggered fusion of secretory vesicles with the plasma membrane, little is known about those ‘pre-fusion’ steps in platelets. Synaptic vesicles first translocate and become physically docked, or tethered, at the membrane. Then the ATP-dependent ‘priming’ occurs, preparing the vesicle for fusion (Weimer & Jorgensen, 2003). SNARE proteins are thought to also associate relatively loosely before the high calcium trigger causes the conformational change in the SNARE complex that results in the fusion of membranes. In neuroendocrine cells, SNARE chaperones, such as MUNC18 family, small GTPases like RAB3 and calcium-sensing family of synaptotagmins, as well as SNAREs themselves are thought to be involved in those docking and ‘priming’ steps (Weimer & Jorgensen, 2003; Wu et al, 2012). Given that similar proteins are present in platelets, it is tempting to assume analogous functions. But whereas translocation, docking and priming steps could account for differential kinetics of platelet secretion as discussed above, there is no unequivocal evidence supporting this hypothesis. In fact, the recent study from Karim et al (2013) showed that platelet activation and SNAP23 phosphorylation by IKK are required for the SNARE complex association, and that no SNARE complexes were detected in resting platelets. Similarly, whereas a role for the cytoskeleton in granule translocation has been considered, it has not been shown conclusively whether granules translocate or tether to the membrane before the calcium trigger or not. While the idea of ‘pre-docked’ granules or granules differentially transported to the membrane could help explain the highly controlled secretion in platelets, the concept remains to be definitively demonstrated.
Do different SNAREs/SNARE regulators play differential roles in platelet secretion?

It is possible that different granules are controlled by different SNAREs, SNARE chaperones, small GTPases or other families of regulators involved in each type of secretion. Whole-genome transcript analysis revealed that hundreds of genes implicated in secretion in other cells are also expressed in platelets (Rowley et al., 2011) and only a fraction has been investigated to date (see Table 1). In addition, novel roles for proteins we thought had no role in platelets emerge all the time. For example, Peters et al. (2012) recently showed that spreading platelets require fusion of VAMP7-containing granules with the membrane, although VAMP7 is redundant as a regulator of granule secretion in platelets (Ren et al., 2007). Similarly, the recent report that STX11 is crucial for secretion overturned the previously accepted model implicating STX2 and/or STX4 (Ye et al., 2012). It is possible that tight spatial and temporal regulation of secretion is achieved by a number of different SNARE complexes interacting and mediating fusion at different rates and/or residing on granules located in different parts of the cell. The lack of overt secretion defect in many of the SNARE knockout models may not mean that they do not play a role in secretion at all – rather that they may be responsible for ‘fine-tuning’ of the reaction? As mentioned earlier, the evidence for SNAP23 role in secretion remains incomplete. Is it possible that other SNAREs can substitute for its Qbc domains in the SNARE complex to provide more versatility for the membrane fusion complex?

An interesting further possible development to consider is the existence of inhibitory, or ‘i-SNARE’s. These were first investigated in liposome reconstitution experiments (Varlamov et al., 2004) and were proposed to act as specificity regulators of Golgi-derived SNARE fusion. If SNAREs with similar function exist in mammalian cells beyond Golgi, they could add another layer of complexity to the regulation of secretion.

Do different downstream signalling/sensors couple differentially to granules?

We are still unsure of the pathways from agonist stimulation that could lead to the differential release of cargoes. The accepted model of platelet signalling principally converges on the increase in cytosolic calcium to drive the cellular machinery upon activation. The signalling downstream of receptors however is likely to diverge at some point to differentially drive different secretory events. PKC isoforms have been proposed as the intermediate step between activation and secretion, but could they mediate differential signalling? Are the SNAREs themselves somehow playing the role of sensors downstream from receptors, similar to UNC13D? Do they undergo modifications that result in different affinity for the membrane? These questions remain open at the present time and it may be anticipated that, with the advent of ever higher resolution imaging and ever more sensitive assays for secretion, the field of platelet secretion research will evolve rapidly.

Conclusions

Platelet secretion is a tightly regulated process and, despite implication of a large number of genes in the process, we are still a long way from understanding the details of how that tight regulation is achieved. It is possible that different cargoes are packaged differently, either between or within granules, and further detailed imaging studies are required to resolve that issue fully. The differential kinetics of release are another possible explanation for control of cargo release. It is also possible that the number of SNAREs and SNARE-interacting proteins identified is just the tip of the iceberg, and that even more intricate regulation and fine-tuning can occur at the level of secretion itself. High-throughput analyses of genes and cargoes are going to be needed to understand the details of all possible combinations of regulators and effectors of secretion. It is also possible that a complex interplay between agonists is required to specifically release one cargo but not another. It is surely also the case that the complex environment that pertains in vivo, which is omitted from most in vitro studies, will provide an additional key to unravelling the intricacies of the control of platelet secretion and its wide-ranging roles in physiology and pathology.
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