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Abstract. The service system is based on the SOA architecture, and its component services are usually deployed by third-party service providers in an open network environment. This openness also brings confusion to service system while extending functions. Unavailability of a single service may result in the unavailability of the entire service system. This paper uses Web service credibility as a standard to measure whether Web service is available. Web service credibility is calculated by 12 factors that affect quality of Web service. According to time series of Web service credibility in the past, credibility at next time period can be predicted. This paper proposes a Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) algorithm which uses grid search algorithm and adaptive moment estimation (Adam) to solve above problem. In this algorithm, grid search algorithm is used to get the best hyper-parameters of network and Adam is used to correct the gradient in the gradient descent. Finally, based on a large number of real Web services, the GRU prediction algorithm is verified by experiments. Experimental results show that the GRU algorithm has higher prediction accuracy than other methods in Web service credibility prediction.

1 Introduction

The main advantage of service system is openness. This openness makes it easy for service system to accommodate a new service to extend its functionality. However the openness also brings confusion to service system [1]. The openness may lead to unforeseen emergencies in service system [2]. So it is necessary to predict running state of component service in the future. In summary, predicting whether Web services are available has become a research hotspot.

Based on above problems, this paper considers whether Web service is available from three aspects of user, service and environment. The paper uses Web service credibility as a standard to measure the availability of Web service. Web service credibility is calculated by 12 factors such as suitability, accuracy, cost et al. Based on previous credibility time series, this paper conducts credibility prediction in future.

2 Related works

Some scholars have predicted reliability and credibility of Web service. Lin et al. [3] used fully-connected network to train Web services However, fully-connected is less effective in predicting time series than Recurrent Neural Network (RNN). Ding et al. [4-5] used Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model (ARIMA) to predict reliability of service system, which resulted in accumulation of prediction errors. Silic et al. [6] clustered parameters and proposed a reliability model of atomic Web services. Its purpose was to carry out fault-tolerant recovery of service system. Wang [7] proposed a dynamic Bayesian network model based on motifs. But Wang [7] did not verify application effect of the model. This paper uses 12 factors summarized in paper [8] to calculate credibility.

In recent years, artificial neural network has developed rapidly [9]. In fully-connected network, nodes in each layer are disconnected. This shortcoming leads to fully-connected network being poor in processing time series data. In order to make up for the shortcomings, RNN appeared [10]. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) compensates for the vanishing gradient problem in traditional RNN [11]. GRU was proposed by Cho in 2014, it has similar or even better performance than LSTM on many tasks [12].

In this paper, improved GRU is used to predict future credibility. Traditional GRU neural network is improved from aspects of hyper-parameter optimization and network training. Finally, GRU is compared with fully-connected network proposed in paper [3] and ARIMA model proposed in paper [4-5].

3 Web service credibility prediction algorithm

3.1 Web service credibility

Paper [8] defines Web service credibility using 12 factors. 12 factors are listed in Table 1.

---
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Table 1. Factors of web service credibility.

| Factor        | Definition of the factor                                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Suitability   | Whether the functionality provided by Web services meets the needs of users.             |
| Accuracy      | The accuracy of Web service execution results.                                            |
| Interoperability | The ability of Web services to call each other.                                           |
| Security      | The ability of Web service to provide authentication and encryption.                      |
| Reliability   | The ability of a Web service to operate without failure under specified time and conditions. |
| Availability  | Whether a Web service can be called and returned with results, regardless of whether the result meets the user’s expectations. |
| Response time | The total time from a request to the service provider gets results.                       |
| Cost          | The cost paid by service user to service provider.                                        |
| Accessibility | Whether a Web service can be requested.                                                   |
| Maintainability| The ability of adapting to Web services, it is provided by service provider.             |
| Satisfaction  | The satisfaction of users to Web service.                                                 |
| Reputation    | Reputation of Web service provider.                                                      |

Calculation of each factor has been explained in detail in paper [8], and will not be described here. Web service credibility is calculated using formula (1).

\[ T(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w^i v^i \]  

(1)

T(s) represents the credibility of Web service s, n represents number of factors, \(w^i\) represents the weight of i-th factor, \(v^i\) represents the value of i-th factor. This paper uses aliquot method to obtain factor weights, as shown in formula (2).

\[ w^i = \frac{1}{n} (i \leq i \leq n, \quad n = 12) \]  

(2)

3.2 GRU network

GRU is a variant of LSTM, presented by Cho Kyunghyun in 2014 [12]. LSTM was proposed by Schmidhuber in 1997 [13]. LSTM can overcome problem of insufficient long-term memory of RNN [14]. However, LSTM is more complicated, and its training time is longer. GRU has improved above problems in LSTM. Fig. 1 shows that forward transfer process of GRU network at time t [15]. It simplifies forgetting gate, input gate, and output gate in LSTM into a reset gate and an update gate [16]. Reset gate is \(r_t\) in Fig. 1, \(r_t\) will determine importance of output \(h_{t-1}\) of hidden layer at previous moment to new memory result \(h_t\). If \(h_{t-1}\) is not correlated with new memory, reset gate can completely eliminate past hidden layer information. Update gate is \(z_t\) in Fig. 1, \(z_t\) decides how much to pass \(\tilde{h}_t\) to next state. If \(z_t \approx 0\) then \(h_{t-1}\) completely passes \(h_t\), if \(z_t \approx 1\) then new memory \(\tilde{h}_t\) completely passes to next hidden layer [17].

3.3 Improved grid search algorithm

This paper uses grid search algorithm to optimize hyper-parameters. Grid search refers to gridding variable area, traversing all grid points, solving objective function value that satisfies constraint function, and finally selecting the best point [18]. The number of hidden layers is \(n \in [1,12]\), its initial step size is \(\text{step}_n = 6\), learning rate is \(\eta \in [0.005,0.3]\), its initial step size is \(\text{step}_\eta = 0.15\).

3.4 Adam algorithm

In 2014, Kingma [19] proposed Adam algorithm, which combined advantages of both AdaGrad and RMSProp optimization algorithms. Adam algorithm steps are shown in Algorithm 2 [19].

Algorithm 2: Adam algorithm

\[ \text{Input: stepsizes } \eta, \text{ exponential decay rates for the moment estimates } \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1), \text{ stochastic objective function with parameters } w \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ initial parameter vector } w_0, \text{ the first Moment Estimation } m_0, \text{ the second Moment Estimation } v_0, \text{ initial timestamp } t. \]

\[ \text{Output: Resulting parameter } w_t \]

1: while \(w_t\) not converged do
2: \(t \leftarrow t + 1\)
3: Get gradients stochastic objective at timestamp \(t\)
4: Update biased first moment estimation
5: Update biased second raw moment estimation
6: Compute bias-corrected first moment estimation
7: Compute bias-corrected second moment estimation
8: Update parameters
9: end while
10: return \(w_t\).

In algorithm 2, \(\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999\).

3.5 Detailed steps of the prediction algorithm

The GRU algorithm in this paper can be divided into following steps.
Formula (3) is normalization formula, where $x'$ is normalized value, $x$ is original value, $\max A$ is maximum value of factor A, $\min A$ is minimum value of factor A.

$$x' = \frac{x - \min A}{\max A - \min A}$$

(3)

② Calculating Web service credibility and getting time series of credibility.

③ Optimal number of hidden layers $n$ and learning rate $\eta$ are obtained by improved grid search algorithm.

④ After getting input of the algorithm, using formula (4) to formula (7) to get output of hidden layer. $x_t$ represents input value at time $t$, $h_t$, $h_{t-1}$ represents output value of hidden layer at time $t$, $W_xr$, $W_xz$, $W_hr$, $W_hh$, $W_hx$ respectively represent weight of input layer and reset gate, hidden layer and reset gate, input layer and update gate, hidden layer and update layer, hidden layer and hidden layer, hidden layer and input layer, $b_r$, $b_z$, $b_h$ respectively represent bias terms of reset gate, update gate, hidden layer, hidden layer, input value at time $t$, $f$ and $g$ are shown in formula (8) and (9).

$$r_t = f(W_xr x_t + W_hh h_{t-1} + b_r)$$

(4)

$$z_t = f(W_xz x_t + W_hh h_{t-1} + b_z)$$

(5)

$$\tilde{h}_t = g(W_hr x_t + W_hh h_{t-1} + b_h)$$

(6)

$$h_t = (1 - z_t) h_{t-1} + z_t \tilde{h}_t$$

(7)

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

(8)

$$g(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$$

(9)

⑤ After obtaining output value of hidden layer, output value of output layer is obtained by using formula (10).

$$y_t = f(W_o h_t + b_o)$$

(10)

⑥ Assuming that error function at time t is $E_t$ which shown in formula (11), error function of sample is shown in formula (12), $y_t'$ represents actual value at time t.

$$E_t = \frac{1}{2} (y_t' - y_t)^2$$

(11)

$$E = \sum_{t=1}^{T} E_t$$

(12)

If error is not within error range, error back propagation is performed using Back Propagation Through Time (BPTT) algorithm. Error term $\delta$ of each neuron is inversely calculated.

$$\delta_t = \frac{\partial E}{\partial h_t}$$

(13)

⑦ After error item is obtained in step ⑥, gradient of each weight is calculated according to corresponding error term. Calculation formula is shown in (14).

$$\nabla E(W_{xr}) = \frac{\partial E}{\partial W_{xr}} = \delta_t x_t$$

(14)

In traditional GRU, Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) algorithm is used to find appropriate weight to achieve the goal. In this paper, Adam is used instead of SGD algorithm.

Weight is updated according to Adam, and step ④⑤⑥⑦ are repeated until error satisfies condition.

4 Experiment

This paper uses data provided in paper [8], which includes 2507 Web services from different fields. This paper selects continuous data of 1000 Web services for a week, a total of 168000, forms Web service credibility time series. Split window is 5 hours, so there is a total of 33600 time series, of which 30000 are used as training data and 3000 are used as testing data. Based on the time series, the detailed steps in 3.5 are used to analyse the application process of the algorithm. According to detailed steps in 3.5, the length of split window used in the experiment is 5 hours.

This paper uses Mean Absolute Error (MAE) as evaluation indicators of prediction. Formula is shown in (15), where $y_n'$ and $y_n$ respectively represent actual output value and predicted value in predicted sequence, $N$ is total number of predictions in effective prediction sequence.

$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |y_n' - y_n|}{N}$$

(15)

In order to prove applicability of the proposed algorithm, this paper compares traditional GRU, the GRU using grid search, the GRU using grid search and Adam algorithm. Fig. 2 shows the 50-hour prediction results of the three algorithms.

Fig. 2. Prediction results of three algorithms.
5 Conclusion

This paper proposes a Web service credibility prediction algorithm based on GRU. The proposed algorithm uses grid search and Adam to improve prediction effect. Finally, based on a large number of real Web service credibility, the paper conducts experiments. Results of the experiments show that:

(1) GRU has a good overall effect on time series prediction.
(2) Because GRU is sensitive to hyper-parameters such as learning rate during training, too low or too high learning rate will affect prediction results. In order to improve prediction effect, grid search algorithm or ant colony algorithm can be used to select hyper-parameters.
(3) Compared with other methods of prediction, GRU has higher prediction accuracy.

In summary, this paper verifies applicability of GRU network in Web service credibility prediction. Based on current work, following work can be carried out: other parameter optimization methods can be used to obtain hyper-parameters; expanding number of hidden layers to verify training effect of multiple hidden layer GRU network.

References

1. Xie Chunli, Yu Ximeng, Wang Shuqin. 2014. Reliability Prediction Approach for Web Service Based On SOA [J]. Computer Science(2014), 7:41-47.
2. Ding Fei. 2013. Research on Reliability Related Technology of Trusted Web Services [D] : (Master's degree thesis). Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, (2013).
3. Lin Rongru. 2017. Research on Web Services Trustworthiness Prediction Technology Based on Selective Neural Network Integration [D] : (Master's degree thesis). Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, (2017).
4. Z.Ding, T.Xu. T Ye et al. 2016. Online Prediction and Improvement of Reliability for Service Oriented Systems [J]. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, (2016), 65(3):1133-1148.
5. X.Wang. J.Zhu, Z.Zheng et al. 2016. A spatial-temporal Qos prediction approach for time-aware Web service recommendation [J]. ACM Transactions on the Web, (2016), 10(1), 1-25.
6. M.Silic. G.Delac. S.Srbljic. 2015. Prediction of Atomic Web Services Reliability for QoS-aware Recommendation [J]. IEEE Transactions on services Computing, (2015), 8(3): 425-438.
7. Wang Lei. 2017. Research on Reliability Time Series Prediction Method and Key Technology of “System of Systems” Based on Service Combination [D] : (Doctoral thesis). Southeast University, (2017).
8. Jinag Min. 2017. Demand-driven Web services portfolio trusted evaluation research [D] : (Master's degree thesis). Dalian Maritime University, (2017).
9. Zhang Hemin. 2017. Design and Application of Wind Power Prediction System Based on BP Neural Network and WRF Mode [D] : (Master's degree thesis). University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, (2017).
10. Yang Weiyue. Fu Qian. Wan Dingsheng. 2017. Time series prediction model based on deep recurrent neural network [J]. Computer Technology And Development, (2017).
11. Zhang Yuhuan. Qian Jiang. 2018. Sentiment analysis based on two LSTM text structures [J]. Software, (2018).
12. Cho K. Merrienboer B V. Gulcehre C et al. 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv, (2014), 1406-1078.
13. Hochreiter S. Schmidhuber J. 1997. Long Short-Term Memory[J].Neural Computation, (1997), 9(8): 1735-1780.
14. Wang Xin. Wu Ji. Liu Chao et al. 2018. Fault Time Series Prediction Based on LSTM Recurrent Neural Network [J]. Journal of Beijing Aerospace University, (2018), 44(4) : 772-784.
15. Colah. 2015. http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs [EB/OL].
16. Yu FangHeng. 2018. Research on Recurrent Neural Network Gate Structure Model [D] : (Master's degree thesis). Zhejiang University, (2018).
17. Liu Yang. 2017. Time Series Prediction Based on GRU Neural Network [D] : (Master's degree thesis). Chengdu University of Technology, (2017).
18. Wen Bowen. Dong Wenhuan. Xie Wujie. Ma Jun. 2018. Stochastic forest parameter optimization based on improved grid search algorithm [J]. Computer Engineering and Applications, (2018), 54(10) : 34-40.
19. Diederik Kingma. Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam : A Method for Stochastic Optimization [J]. Computer Science, (2014).