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Abstract

Academic leaders have a significant task to carry out in higher educational institutions, and for the improvement of academic leaders' responsiveness regarding their emotional intelligence and their influence is essential. This research is intended to examine the academic leaders' emotional intelligence and idealized influence towards subordinates intention to perform and how it moderated by academic leaders’ gender. Drawing from attribution theory and social exchange theory, the research hypothesized that leaders’ emotional intelligence and subordinate intention to perform will be mediated by leaders’ idealized influence. 386 questionnaires samples from five Malaysian public research universities was obtained with partial least squares structural equation modeling used in analyzing the measurements. The findings revealed that academic leaders’ emotional intelligence-subordinates intention to perform relationship mediated via academic leaders’ idealized influence. In addition, the mediation analysis revealed that academic leaders’ idealized influence mediated the leaders’ emotional intelligence-subordinates intention to perform when it was modeled with academic leaders’ gender as moderated in the structure model.
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1. Introduction

Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia is at aggressive restructure and encourages the adoption of institutional policies and strategies that optimize their global ranking, especially in the Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU), the Times Higher Education World University Rankings and the QS World University Rankings, currently considered the most influential university rankings (Azman and Kutty, 2016). Only two Malaysian universities were in the THES Asia Ranking in 2019. Universiti Malaya (UM) in the 300s grouping in the THES International Rankings and Universiti Kebangsaan (UKM) is somewhere in the 600s grouping. The other public universities are either declining or staying stationary at very low end of ranking.

Of equal importance, in March 2019, Universitas 21 (U21) release a finding on 50 countries universities ranking by measuring the education budget/resources including grant allocated for research with expected outcomes such as publication, citation, researchers and employability among universities leavers. As for resources, Malaysia was at 11th, 12th and 17th places in 2016, 2017 and 2018. In which, Malaysia resources investment higher than many other developed countries, such as Germany, Korea and New Zealand. On the contrary, with regards to universities outcomes, Malaysia has recorded a permanent
decrease, which is in the 39th, 42nd and 45th in the same period. The drop in the U21 ranking of Malaysian universities contradicts with the World University Ranking, “QS” and Times Higher Education World University Ranking (THE) rankings indicator. Therefore, the position of Malaysian public research universities does not merely reflect the actual reality of the academician performance as the output mentioned does not correlate with the ranking. In fact, research on the problems and measures of higher education in Malaysia remains elusive (Ghasemy, Hussin, Megat Daud, Nor, Ghavifekr and Kenayathulla, 2018; Ibrahim, Sulaiman, Ibrahim, Malek and Baising, 2018). Therefore, with the gap between ranking and performance, as well as research into higher education, remain limited, this research has the intention to tap further antecedents that will be improved the ranking and performance as a whole, ideally through leadership.

2. Literature review

The theory of attribution is more suited in inferring the emotions observed in others (Becker, Conroy, Djurdjevic and Gross, 2018), in which the attributes made during the observation are more inter-relational rather than interpersonal (Weiner, 2000). Primarily, subordinates perceive leaders act as naive and the ability to apply their own understanding of emotions, cognitions, and actions (Hareli, 2014; Rudolph and Tscharaktschiew, 2014). On the whole any attributes, whether positive or negative will leave an understanding that the ability or inability of academic leaders in perceiving subordinates emotions as part of the emotional ability of academic leaders. Meanwhile, the essence of social exchange theory is the returns for both parties in it is distort if only one parties cherish the expected (Blau, 1964; Rasoolimanesh, Jaafar, Kock and Ramayah, 2015). For subordinates who perceived positively academic leaders idealized influence and will develop a positive idea about the leaders’ idealized influence and develop the thoughts towards intention to perform. Subordinates then reciprocate by wanting to perform in an effective manner and with a positive attitude (Cropanzano and Mitchell, 2005).

Emotional intelligence and intention to perform

Emotional intelligence is defined as the ability in processing information on the recognition, understanding, and management of emotion in oneself and others (Checa and Fernández-Berrocal, 2019; Muyia and Kacirek, 2009). Recently, some writers began to research the role of work intentions (Bakker, Schaufeli, Leiter and Taris, 2008; Pinder, 2014) but research on intention to perform as a criterion remains elusive. Intention to perform is an intention to execute their job tasks at an elevated level to contribute to the organization’s success (Zigarmi, Nimon, Houson, Witt and Diehl, 2012). In spite of this, many scholars have agreed that emotional intelligence is vital in leadership and the leader’s achievement as well as their performance (Nelson and Low, 2011; Shuck and Herd, 2012; Yukl and Mahsud, 2010). However, the relationships between academic leaders’ emotional intelligence and subordinate’s intention to perform is still new in academic world. Thus, for the reason above, emotional intelligence could possibly affect performance through intention to perform. Thus, this investigation unload its relationship with intention to perform by assessing emotional intelligence. Thus, the following hypothesis is proposed:
Hypothesis 1: Leaders’ emotional intelligence positively influence intention to perform among subordinates.

Emotional intelligence and idealized influence

Dionne, Yammarino, Atwater and Spangler (2004) described idealized influence as leadership concerns with the formulation and articulation of vision, challenging goals and motivating employees to work beyond their self-interest in order to achieve common goals. Puni, Mohammed and Asamoah, 2018; Zainuddin, Indra and Rizal, (2019) mentioned that leaders with idealized influence characteristics are trusted to make good decisions as they have profound emotional connection and command with subordinates. As such, it is strongly believed that leader with idealized influence behaviors in an organization will increased their emotional intelligence abilities towards their subordinates in a reciprocal relationship. To be specific, studies on emotional intelligence and individual components of transformational leadership has been restrained. Ultimately, this research takes the early steps in investigating leaders’ emotional intelligence and idealized influence. In light of the discoveries about potential connections among the emotional intelligence and idealized influence, the following hypotheses are detailed.

Hypothesis 2: Leaders’ emotional intelligence is positively associated with leaders’ idealized influence

Idealized influence and intention to perform

The relationship between idealized influence and intention to perform can be operationalized by using the key theoretical foundation of social exchange theory in developing the research hypotheses. That is, to create the rationale for formulating the hypotheses, the research utilizes the theory's key theoretical arguments, such an approach has been commonly embraced in research (O'Leary, 2016). Abbas, Iqbal, Waheed and Naveed (2012) cited the important link between idealized influence and employees’ positive contribution, meanwhile Hayati, Charkabi and Naami (2014) found that idealized influence has a significantly positive relationship with employee engagement. In addition, Egheosasa, Ugbo and Nkenne (2018) found that idealized influence behaviors significantly affect job satisfaction among employees. Finally, Jerobon, Kimutai and Kibe (2016) found that idealized influence significantly predicts employee performance among employees. As studies on idealized influence towards intention to perform are limited and it is understandable to promote subordinates work performance through intention to perform. Thus, the following hypothesis is proposed:

Hypothesis 3: Leaders’ idealized influence is positively related to subordinates intention to perform.

Emotional intelligence, idealized influence and intention to perform

Since there has been limited studies in idealized influence as mediation construct between emotional intelligence and intention to perform, inference approach can be used in explaining idealized influence as a mediator between emotional intelligence and intention to perform.
perform. For instance, various studies examining the effects of emotional intelligence and outcomes can be inferred in explaining the mediation relationship (Sood and Kaushal, 2018). Therefore, this current research inferred that emotional intelligence can increase positive emotions and improved performance among subordinates by increasing the level of intention to perform in subordinates. On the contrary, evidence towards the intention to perform is still elusive. With inferred approach as suggested, thus, the following hypothesis is proposed:

Hypothesis 4: Leaders’ idealized influence will mediate the relationship between leaders’ emotional intelligence and intention to perform among academics.

Gender as a moderator

There is thriving proof proposing that by and large women are more emotionally intelligent than men. While females are assumed to be more conducive than males, they do not appear to receive the same appreciation for leadership (Heilman, Wallen, Fuchs and Tamkins, 2004; Kulich, Trojanowski, Ryan, Haslam and Renneboog, 2011). Meanwhile, Stempel, Rigotti and Mohr (2015) in their research of leaders’ leadership, the idealized influence was classified as being gender-neutral. This gap could indicate distinct norms for women and men in assessing their leadership styles (Heilman and Haynes, 2005). Given these distinctive differences, it is essential to understand how gender has a critical impact on the achievement of an organization. Leading to efforts to address a gap in literature where there is restricted empirical research on the effect of gender on emotional intelligence and idealized influence, thus the following hypothesis is proposed:

Hypothesis 5: Gender will moderate the relationship between emotional intelligence and idealized influence, so that the association will be stronger for female than for male leaders.

3. Methods

Participants

The data was collected from five Malaysian public research universities, Universiti Malaya, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Universiti Putra Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Respondents are all the academicians, namely, department heads, sr lecturers, and lecturers. To generalize the research finding, sample size determination is critical. In this research sample size was resolute by using the guideline from Krejcie and Morgan (1970), and to date the guidelines is accepted thoroughly by social science researchers (Wahab, Shah and Faisalmein, 2019). A sample size of 370 was decided and 386 usable questionnaires were collected by the academics out of 750 questionnaires distributed, yielding an overall response rate of almost 51.4 percent. In general, this response rate was moderately higher than response rates of academics in organizational research (Fauzi, Tan, Ramayah and Adedapo, 2018; Janudin, Maelah, Amir and Abdullah, 2015; Farid, Izadi, Ismail and Alipour 2015). The sample consisted 386 respondents (Females = 238, 61.7%; Males = 148; 38.3%). Respondents hold various positions, Dean =0.8%, Deputy Dean 3.1%,
Head of department (HOD) 11.4%, Head of program (HOP) 7.8% and Non-Administration Holders 76.9%. Most respondents hold permanent employment status (98.7%). Together with the questionnaire, a cover letter was attached explaining objectives of research and assuring the highest integrity and confidentiality of the data (Kumar, Talib and Ramayah, 2013). Quota sampling is a non-probability sampling was used in this research to ensure identified group of male and female academics from five public research universities and the populations are adequately represented. In which, quota sampling, this research pre-specify the control characteristics and choose dispersal in the population (Sarstedt, Bengart, Shaltoni and Lehmann, 2017). As the respondent is selected is unknown but the location of respondents is known, convenience sampling was used.

Measurement

The measurement scales for the emotional intelligence, idealized influence and intention to perform are adapted from various researches and have high reliability and validity in testing the theories. The emotional intelligence scale was adapted from 16 items Wong and Law (2002). The present research was interested in examining idealized influence, therefore, Edwards, Knight, Broome and Flynn (2010) of 19 items were adapted. Finally, the intention to perform measurement by Zigarmi and Roberts (2012) 5 items were adapted.

4. Data Analysis and Results

Structural equation modeling (SEM) has become the most popular statistical methods to analyze multivariate data. This research intention was to envisage the affiliation between the construct of emotional intelligence (EI) and intention to perform (ITP), mediated by idealized influence (II) and the emotional intelligence as a construct is formative in nature. The use of PLS-SEM is appropriate in this research as emotional intelligence a reflective-formative higher-order construct. The items in the four components of emotional intelligence, perceiving, using, understanding and regulation are not interchangeable as the scores of the items in each component are expected to uniquely contribute to the underlying construct of emotional intelligence (Jarvis, MacKenzie and Podsakoff, 2003).

As this research respondents base on self-reported, therefore common method bias is potential to occur, anyhow this research’s measurement for common method bias was at 30.536 percent of total variance and is lower than recommended 50 percent (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee and Podsakoff, 2003) as such, common method bias has been overruled in this research. Table 2 indicates the values obtained from the measurement model with all loadings are higher than 0.70 which is the threshold proposed by Hair, Ringle and Sarstedt (2014). The average variance extracted (AVE) of all contracts surpasses 0.5 (Bagozzi and Yi, 1988) while the composite reliability score (CR) is higher than 0.7. Thus, we can reason that convergent validity is achieved. The common acceptable threshold for VIF is below 5 (Hair, Sarstedt, Ringle and Mena, 2012). Table 3 indicates the VIF value of 3.169 for OEA, 3.094 for ROE, 2.972 of SEL and 3.905 of UOE and meanwhile for the inner VIF value for the second order of emotional intelligence and idealized influence is at 3.408 respectively. Therefore, VIF values for first and second order indicating no collinearity problem in this research. Discriminant validity was performed to establish that all the constructs are distinct from each other. Discriminant validity is a problem when HTMT value that is greater than the value of 0.85 (Kline, 2011) or 0.90 (Gold, Malhotra and Segar, 2001). Table 4 clearly shown
that all constructs were less than 0.85 value as strongly indicated by (Kline, 2011; Franke and Sarstedt, 2018). Hence, this research indicates discriminant validity presents between all the constructs and most of the items of constructs were not assessing among them.

Table 2: Results of measurement model analysis (Reliability of Constructs)

| Items | Loadings | Cronbach’s Alpha | rho_A | CR   | AVE   |
|-------|----------|------------------|-------|------|-------|
| I10   | 0.862    | 0.978            | 0.980 | 0.980| 0.780 |
| I11   | 0.898    |                  |       |      |       |
| I12   | 0.877    |                  |       |      |       |
| I13   | 0.899    |                  |       |      |       |
| I15   | 0.910    |                  |       |      |       |
| I16   | 0.904    |                  |       |      |       |
| I17   | 0.898    |                  |       |      |       |
| I18   | 0.868    |                  |       |      |       |
| I19   | 0.862    |                  |       |      |       |
| I15   | 0.830    | 0.978            | 0.979 | 0.983| 0.919 |
| I16   | 0.886    |                  |       |      |       |
| I17   | 0.870    |                  |       |      |       |
| I18   | 0.900    |                  |       |      |       |
| I19   | 0.897    |                  |       |      |       |
| ITP1  | 0.940    |                  |       |      |       |
| ITP2  | 0.980    |                  |       |      |       |
| ITP3  | 0.975    |                  |       |      |       |
| ITP4  | 0.934    |                  |       |      |       |
| ITP5  | 0.963    |                  |       |      |       |
| OEA1  | 0.836    | 0.941            | 0.953 | 0.958| 0.852 |
| OEA2  | 0.952    |                  |       |      |       |
| OEA3  | 0.951    |                  |       |      |       |
| OEA4  | 0.948    |                  |       |      |       |
| ROE1  | 0.945    | 0.934            | 0.939 | 0.953| 0.835 |
| ROE2  | 0.936    |                  |       |      |       |
| ROE3  | 0.864    |                  |       |      |       |
| ROE4  | 0.907    |                  |       |      |       |
| SEL1  | 0.887    | 0.934            | 0.937 | 0.953| 0.835 |
| SEL2  | 0.922    |                  |       |      |       |
| SEL3  | 0.939    |                  |       |      |       |
| SEL4  | 0.908    |                  |       |      |       |
| UOE1  | 0.935    | 0.939            | 0.942 | 0.957| 0.846 |
| UOE2  | 0.880    |                  |       |      |       |
| UOE3  | 0.930    |                  |       |      |       |
| UOE4  | 0.933    |                  |       |      |       |

Note: For reflective scales, the standardized loading is provided; for formative scales, the weight of the linear combination is given. “CR = Composite reliability, AVE = Average Variance Extracted”. Items I1, I12, I13 and I14 were deleted.
### Table 3: Collinearity Assessment, VIF and Outer Weights Values of second-order construct (formative)

| Second Order Construct | First Order Construct | Weights | Measure Type | t-Value | p-value | VIF |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|
| Emotional Intelligence | OEA                   | 0.164   | Formative    | 2.830   | 0.002   | 3.169 |
|                        | ROE                   | 0.408   |              | 6.192   | 0.000   | 3.094 |
|                        | SEL                   | 0.146   |              | 2.632   | 0.004   | 2.972 |
|                        | UOE                   | 0.377   |              | 5.300   | 0.000   | 3.905 |
| EI                     |                       | 0.659   |              | 11.299  | 0.000   | 3.408 |
| II                     |                       | 0.207   |              | 3.498   | 0.000   | 3.408 |

Note: “OEA=Others’ emotional appraisal, ROE= Regulation of emotion, SEL= Self-emotional of immediate superior’s, UOE= Use of emotion, EI=Emotional intelligence, II=Idealized influence”

### Table 4: Results of (HTMT) ratio (For First-Order Construct)

| II | ITP | OEA | ROE | SEL | UOE |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ITP | 0.770 |       |     |     |     |
| OEA | 0.780 | 0.715 |     |     |     |
| ROE | 0.810 | 0.811 | 0.769 |     |     |
| SEL | 0.734 | 0.745 | 0.812 | 0.765 |     |
| UOE | 0.814 | 0.823 | 0.828 | 0.850 | 0.814 |

Note: II = Idealized influence, ITP = Intention to perform, OEA=Others’ emotional appraisal, ROE= Regulation of emotion, SEL= Self-emotional of immediate superior’s, UOE= Use of emotion

Overall measurement model (emotional intelligence, idealized influence and intention to perform) present research was evaluated. Table 5 demonstrates that the dG and the dULS are 0.006 and 0.002 respectively. This resonances with a suggestion of a well-fitting measurement model (Dijkstra and Henseler, 2015). Additionally, the SRMR is 0.01 and NFI is 0.994. This is below the cut-off of 0.08 and above 0.90 (Hu and Bentler, 1999) denoting that the measurement model fits in this research.

### Table 5: Model Fit

|               | Saturated Model | Estimated Model |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| SRMR          | 0.01           | 0.01            |
| d_ULS         | 0.002          | 0.002           |
| d_G           | 0.006          | 0.006           |
| Chi-Square    | 12.741         | 12.741          |
| NFI           | 0.994          | 0.994           |
The hypothesized conceptual model in this research proposed three direct relationships in total. In table 6, the path from emotional intelligence to idealized influence was significant ($\beta = 0.841$, $p < .001$). Thus, Hypothesis 1 was supported. Emotional intelligence was significantly related to intention to perform ($\beta = 0.659$, $p < .001$), thereby lending support hypothesis 2. Idealized influence was significantly related to intention to perform ($\beta = .207$, $p < .001$). Thus, Hypothesis 3 was supported. The coefficients path estimates of this structural model are shown in table 6. These results support all three hypothesized relationships/effects (i.e. H1, H2 and H3) in this research. Meanwhile, t-value for H1, H2 and H3 are greater than 2.58 ($p<0.01$), as such and further supporting that all the three hypotheses are significant, figure 2.

Next, this research evaluates the effect size ($f^2$) that determines the relative contributions or the strength of the exogenous constructs in producing $R^2$ value for the endogenous construct. Cohen’s (1988) guideline was used to determine the magnitudes of the effect size. The magnitudes effects are .02(small), .15(medium), and .35(large). In this research, intention to perform is predicted by emotional intelligence and idealized influence and Table 6 indicates effect sizes ($f^2$) of this research. Emotional intelligence has a large effect in generating the $R^2$ for intention to perform ($f^2 = 0.432$) and on idealized influence ($f^2 = 2.408$). Meanwhile, effect size between II and ITP is small with $f^2 = 0.042$. Notwithstanding the size of R-squared, Chin, Peterson and Brown (2008) mentioned that the predictive sample reuse method ($Q^2$) adequately utilized as a predictive relevance measurement. This research adapted $Q^2$ procedures suggested by Chin (1998), using cross-validated redundancy. $Q^2$ value that is more prominent than 0 implies that the model has prescient significance while $Q^2$ under 0 implies model needs prescient pertinence (Akter et al., 2011). Table 6 indicates predictive relevance ($Q^2$) idealized influence and intention to perform are 0.689 and 0.680 respectively indicating acceptable predictive relevance.

### Table 6: Hypothesis results

| Hypothesis | Beta Value | Std error | t-value | p-value | LL | UL | $R^2$ | $f^2$ | $Q^2$ | Decision |
|------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|----|----|-------|------|------|----------|
| EI $\rightarrow$ II | 0.841 | 0.014 | 60.244*** | 0.000 | 0.813 | 0.860 | 0.707 | 2.408 | 0.689 | supported |
| EI $\rightarrow$ ITP | 0.659 | 0.057 | 11.299*** | 0.000 | 0.553 | 0.746 | 0.705 | 0.432 | 0.680 | supported |
| II $\rightarrow$ ITP | 0.207 | 0.058 | 3.498*** | 0.000 | 0.114 | 0.309 | 0.042 | | | supported |

Note: EI= Emotional intelligence, II= Idealized influence, ITP= Intention to perform Significant level * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.001$

Meanwhile, R-square ($R^2$) value interprets the proportion of dependent variable variance that is explained by the independent variable. R-square infers the coefficient for determination in criterion constructs. Hair et al., (2017) conclude the R-square strength of 0.75 is strong, moderate for 0.5, and at the weaker side is 0.25. Figure 1 indicates the R-square value of emotional intelligence is 0.705. This shows that 70.5 percent of intention to perform variance can be explained by emotional intelligence and idealized influence. Meanwhile, R-square value of idealized influence is 0.707, indicating strong R-square, in which 70.7 percent variance in idealized influence is explained by emotional intelligence. Therefore, another 29.5 percent of other variables are not being explained on the intention to perform and similarly 29.3 percent of variables are not explainable on idealized influence.
Results of the bootstrapping procedure are provided in Table 7 for the test of mediation hypotheses. Preacher and Hayes (2008) explained that indirect effects should not straddle a 0 to indicate mediation. As for the mediating role of idealized influence in the emotional intelligence-intention to perform relationship, it is evident from the results that the relationship “confidence interval” does not contain zero [.01, .261] and therefore it is significant (β = 0.174, p = 0.0001). This result lends support to Hypothesis H4.
Table 8: Moderating effect

| Hypothesis         | Beta Value | Std Error | T Value  | P Values | LL   | UL   | Decision |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|------|------|----------|
| EI x Gender -> II | 0.103      | 0.025     | 4.072*** | 0.000    | 0.061| 0.145| Supported|

Significant level * p <0.05, ** p <0.01 *** p <0.001
Note: EI= Emotional intelligence, II= Idealized influence

Table 8 shows that there is significant interaction effect (β = 0.103, p-value = 0.000). This shows that gender moderates the relationship between emotional intelligence and idealized influence. The analysis of moderation plot is performed by interpreting the slopes gradient. Figure 3, academic leaders’ gender is represented by both lines, female academic leader emotional intelligence green line (top) is slightly higher slopes related to male blue (bottom) academic leaders’ emotional intelligence. This suggests that the affiliation between “emotional intelligence and idealized influence” is higher among female academic leaders compare to male academic leaders.

![Moderating Effect 1](image)

**Figure 3. Moderation**

5. Discussion of Findings

The outcomes from the partial least square analysis significantly indicating a positive link between academic leaders’ emotional intelligence and subordinates intention to perform, which supports hypothesis 1 in this research. These outcomes corroborate the pertinent role of attribution theory that individual will interpret a meaning of why people behaving in that manner and comprehend the attribute of one or more cause to that act (Heider, 1958; Jones, 1973). In addition, attribution theory is able to infer emotions in others (Becker, Conroy, Djurdjevic and Gross, 2018). Thus, attribution process begins with observing academic leaders’ emotional intelligence abilities by subordinate and reflecting positive or negative perceptions towards their leaders’ emotional intelligence.

Meanwhile, outcomes from PLS analysis revealed that academic leaders’ emotional intelligence positively linked to idealized influence among academic leaders. The finding is
supported by the leaders’ universal norms of responsive and responsible leadership as mentioned by Kim and Kim (2017). It is been acknowledge that leaders also weight the same emotions as subordinates going through, in which subordinates perceived that their emotions are being noticed by their leaders. Thus, this research indicates that when subordinates form positive perceptions in their academic leaders as a result of positive benevolent treatment from their academic leaders. Subsequently, it serves as a stronger and more sufficient indication for the subordinates to perceived strong idealized influence in academic leaders. Hence, this current research inferred that conceptualization of idealized influence is reflective in emotional intelligence conceptualization as both portray leaders’ emotional intelligence has idealized influence characters.

Hypothesis 3 proposed that idealized influence is positively correlated to the intention to perform. Brown and Treviño (2009) mentioned that leaders’ behaviors related with idealized influence will enhance subordinates’ perceptions, as subordinates reflect their own beliefs and principals in their leader (Brown and Treviño, 2009). In sum, this research indicates academic leaders’ idealized influence to positively influence subordinates perceptions, because these academic leaders make prominent understanding that their subordinates’ aspiration and values can align with their own and eventually meeting the organizations’ goals (Jiang, Lepak, Hu and Baer, 2012; Kristof-Brown, Zimmerman and Johnson, 2005).

According to social exchange theory, the level of expectation of future returns by an individual is a discretion need to be looked upon by leaders, as this behavior is part of reciprocal relationship (Blau, 1964). Therefore, the relationship between idealized influence and intention to perform can be explained by social exchange theory (Blau 1964), which is engrained in the reciprocal relations (Gouldner, 1960; Perugini, Gallucci, Presaghi and Ercolani, 2003). Thus, centered on social exchange theory (Blau, 1964) and the principles of exchange (Gouldner, 1960), academic leaders’ idealized influence motivates subordinates to reciprocate favours through physical, emotional, and cognitive engagement towards intention to perform. This research can conclude that academic leaders’ emotional intelligence can infiltrate subordinates’ norms, belief and expectancies through academic leaders’ idealized influence.

Finally, hypothesis 5 predicted that a leader’s gender would moderates the relationship between leaders’ emotional intelligence and leaders’ idealized influence. The findings of this research conclude the academic female leaders in Malaysian public research universities has the ability in managing emotions and leading academic intention to perform. Thus, female leaders who demonstrate higher emotional intelligence has greater ability in influencing the subordinates as compared to male leaders. This is because academic female leaders and male leaders have different perceptions of valuation and interpretation due to leaders’ gender segmentation. Therefore, this research further infers the consistency in the findings that female academic leaders high in emotional intelligence will effectively influence the subordinates’ intention with their idealized influence.

6. **Theoretical contributions and implications**

The theoretical contribution and theoretical implications of the research are now discussed. Attribution theory suggests specific antecedents affect the perceptions of a person towards an event and influence his/her intentions and outcomes. These underlying inductions alluded to attribution. Importantly, this attribution has an affective or emotional response in
it (Snead, Magal, Christensen and Ndede-Amadi, 2015). Outlining from social exchange theory, this research viewed academic leaders idealized influence relationship which suggests that benevolent acts of one party towards the other party make the other party feel influence to reciprocate in kind, thus, motivating the other party to display attitudes and behaviors that are sufficient to discharge those influence and trust (Judge and Piccolo, 2004). Thus, social exchange theory provides explanation within the academic leaders’ idealized influence and their subordinate’s intention to perform. In addition to contributing theoretically to the subordinate’s intention to perform literature, the research also has an important theoretical implication.

7. Practical implications

Human resource perspective, selection methods of hiring new academic leaders or appointing academic leaders can serve the first initiative to instill leaders’ emotional intelligence in public research universities. It has been noted that most of the public universities have their leaders especially dean or head of department in a rotation basis and there is also in some cases the dean or head of departments are hired from different higher institution. Nevertheless, regardless of internal rotations or external hiring, this research strongly suggesting the fine-tuning of the selection process via effective interviews. Notably, to predict performance a cognitive test can be used to measure general intelligence (Klotz et al., 2013; Schmidt and Hunter, 1998; Van Iddekinge and Ployhart, 2008) as proxies to test perception component of emotional intelligence in leaders. Meanwhile, personality tests determine a leader’s personality and agreeableness is one such personality trait that defines trustfulness, cooperation, friendliness, and compliance (Barrick, Mount and Judge, 2001; Georgellis and Sankae, 2016). Trustworthiness and agreeableness are a proxy for the benevolence component of idealized influence (Klotz et al., 2013; Schmidt and Hunter, 1998).

Emotional intelligence is to be related to the emotional stability dimension especially in regulation of emotions and utilization of emotions (Ehring, Tuschen-Caffier, Schnülle, Fischer and Gross, 2010; Webb, Miles and Sheeran, 2012). As such, emotional stability is another test that can be conducted in evaluating academic leaders’ emotional intelligence. Training is another approach that public research universities can enhance emotional intelligence abilities and idealized influence characteristics of their leaders. In addition, as the findings suggest, female academic leader’s emotional intelligence abilities are higher than male academic leaders, therefore male academic leaders should enhance their leadership by cultivating the communal attributes.

Therefore, this research in the leadership context, suggests that emotional intelligence should earn revived consideration in the human talent advancement and an extreme determinant of successful leadership in the new period of education 4.0. Truth be told, it may be the ideal opportunity for leadership via human resources to explore education 4.0 has to suggest over the different elements of the field of knowledge and new offerings. Things are evolving significantly, thus too, human talent and development.
8. Research limitations and suggestions for future research

Cross-sectional design was implored, Experts caution that cross-sectional data raise concerns about the internal validity of the findings, because as mentioned by Shadish, Cook and Campbell (2002) such data make it difficult for the researcher to determine the direction of causality among variables with absolute certainty. Therefore, there remains a possibility of inverse causality effects in the cross-sectional data that provides room for alternative explanations of the phenomenon under investigation. The positive antithesis scholars have not measured intention to perform from this perspective in many longitudinal studies over the course of a single day or week, as mentioned by Shevlin and Rose (2017) that longitudinal research will gain insights into how inclusion is conceptualized and “what type of inclusive practice” is available in research.

Self-reports were used to collect data in this research and may contribute to common method bias. Podsakoff et al. (2003) mentioned that biasness happened when false “covariance” between the predictor and criterion variable exist because respondents’ consistency motif and moods in respondents. Anyhow, Spector (2006) believes that the self-report method is the most reliable method to get accurate information about the internal states of employees, and not all constructs are always prone to common method bias.

The theory proposed in this research was tested on academics from five public research higher institutions in Malaysia. Therefore, the findings of this research may not generalize to other public and private universities, or samples belonging to different occupational groups. Future research should replicate this research on academics of both private and public universities in Malaysia by gathering multiple waves of data across different times. The research measured the phenomenon of intention to perform from a single source by asking the subordinates directly. Future research should measure intention to perform from sources other than the same respondents.

9. Conclusion

One of the effective factors for higher institutions to achieve excellence is the leadership style of their academic leaders. The findings in this research conclude that emotional intelligence is a key predictor in leadership styles particularly with idealized influence and towards intention to perform. The outcomes indicated a significant and direct relationship between academic leaders’ emotional intelligence and intention to perform as perceived by the subordinates.
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