Erector spinae-plane block as an analgesic alternative in patients undergoing mitral and/or tricuspid valve repair through a right mini-thoracotomy – an observational cohort study
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Abstract

Introduction: One of the main challenges in cardiac surgery is effective postoperative analgesia. Erector spinae-plane block (ESP block) is a novel regional technique, introduced by Forero in 2016 for neuropathic chest pain, then used successfully for mastectomy.

Aim: To establish the efficacy of the ESP block in patients undergoing mitral and/or tricuspid valve repair through a right mini-thoracotomy.

Material and methods: It is a prospective observational cohort study performed in a tertiary health center. In the treatment group, a single-shot ESP block was performed before anesthetic induction. General anesthesia was induced with etomidate, remifentanil, and rocuronium, and continued with sevoflurane and remifentanil. Remifentanil infusion was continued for 2 h post-operatively, then stopped, and the patient’s trachea was extubated. Patient-controlled analgesia was started with oxycodone immediately. Total oxycodone consumption and pain severity on the visual analog scale during the first 24 h were analyzed. In the control group, no regional block was performed. Instead of remifentanil, fentanyl was used. Patients were extubated on the second day. Pain was treated with morphine, administered according to nurses’ discretion. Pain intensity was evaluated on the numerical rating scale.

Results: Nineteen patients were evaluated in the ESP and 25 in the control group. Mechanical ventilation time was shorter in the ESP group (0.6 (0.4–1.1) h) than in the control one (10 (8–17) h, p = 0.00001). Moreover, patients in the ESP group spent fewer days in the intensive care unit (1 (1–1) vs. (2 (2–2), p = 0.0001).

Conclusions: The ESP block seems to be safe and efficient for pain control in patients undergoing right mini-thoracotomy for mitral and/or tricuspid valve repair.
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**Introduction**

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS), associated with a shorter stay in the intensive care unit, shorter hospital stay, a better outcome, and lower costs, can be achieved through the introduction of multiple evidence-based perioperative measures that aim to diminish postoperative organ dysfunction. During recent years, overall compliance with ERAS protocols is associated with better outcomes in some surgical specialties, especially colorectal surgery.

Recently, the ERAS protocol for patients undergoing thoracic surgery has been published [1], but recommendations concerning patients undergoing cardiac surgery are still lacking. Key recommendations for the ERAS protocol for patients undergoing thoracic surgery include, among others, minimally invasive surgery, opioid-sparing anesthesia, ultrashort-acting anesthetics to facilitate early emergence and regional anesthesia to reduce postoperative opioid use. For patients undergoing thoracic surgery, regional anesthesia should be supplemented with a combination of acetaminophen and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) administered at regular time intervals, and dexamethasone may be administered to reduce pain and prevent post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV).

For cardiac surgery, this anesthetic protocol requires some modifications. Opioids play an important role in cardiac surgery, reducing myocardial cell injury caused by ischemia-reperfusion [2], and NSAID use is not recommended due to the risk of thromboembolic complications.

Thoracic epidural anesthesia (TEA) and paravertebral block (PVB) are well-established methods for postoperative pain treatment, and both have previously been described as an analgesic option after thoracotomy [3]. The potential drawbacks of TEA include hypotension, epidural hematoma (1 : 5493, 95% CI: 1/970–1/31 [4]), and a significant risk of catheter misplacement (up to 12% of cases [5, 6]). The PVB is associated with a lower incidence of hemodynamic instability, nausea, and vomiting than TEA [7]. Pneumothorax is a typical complication of the procedure; however, the pleural puncture is less common when PVB is performed under ultrasound guidance [8].

Erector spinae-plane (ESP) block is a new loco-regional technique first described in 2016 [9] (Photo 1). A recent anatomical study by Adhikary et al. revealed that the distribution of the local anesthetic during ESP block is wider than that obtained with other regional blocks [10]. The feasibility and safety of the ESP block were shown in a variety of surgical
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**Photo 1.** The figure presents the needle position before (A) and after (B) injection of local anesthetic

NS – needle shaft, TM – trapezius muscle, RM – rhomboid major muscle, ES – erector spinae muscle, T4 – transverse process of the fourth thoracic vertebra. Small arrows indicate deposition of local anesthetic.
procedures, including thoracic and cardiac surgery [11–13]. A potential advantage of the ESP block over PVB is the localization of the needle tip over the transverse process of the vertebra during deposition of a local anesthetic. For this reason, the risk of pneumothorax during ESP block is minimized.

**Aim**

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the ESP block in patients subjected to mitral and/or tricuspid valve repair performed via right mini-thoracotomy.

**Material and methods**

**Study design**

This is an observational cohort study conducted in a tertiary cardiac surgery department. The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee.

**Participants**

Informed, written consent was obtained from every patient. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients who required mitral and/or tricuspid valve repair; (2) surgery performed using a right mini-thoracotomy approach; (3) participants older than 18 years; and (4) younger than 80 years.

The exclusion criteria included: (1) coagulopathy, defined as a known bleeding disorder; (2) allergy to local anesthetics; (3) depression, which could significantly influence pain perception; (4) epilepsy; (5) antidepressant or epileptic drug treatment; (6) chronic usage of painkillers; (7) addiction to alcohol or recreational drugs.

Data from the patients who required endotracheal intubation and respiratory support for more than 2 h from the end of surgery were also excluded from the analysis.

**Intervention**

The ultrasound-guided single shot ESP block was performed before induction of general anesthesia. 0.2 ml/kg of 0.375% ropivacaine (Ropimol, Molteni, Italy) was administered at the level of the right transverse process of the fourth thoracic vertebra (T4), posterior to the erector spinae fascia (Photo 1).

General anaesthesia was induced using 0.2–0.4 mg/kg of etomidate (Hypnomidate, Janssen-Cilag International NV, Belgium), 0.4–0.6 µg/kg of remifentanil (Ultiva, GlaxoSmithKline, UK) and 0.6 mg/kg of rocuronium (Esmeron, N.V. Organon, The Netherlands) and maintained with sevoflurane 0.5 MAC (age-adjusted, Sevorane, Abbvie, USA) remifentanil infusion and incremental doses of rocuronium. Target-controlled infusion of remifentanil was started immediately after the bolus dose, and adjusted according to patient vital signs (blood pressure, heart rate) to a target plasma concentration of 4–8 ng/ml. The patient’s trachea was intubated with a double-lumen endotracheal tube. The right lung was deflated, the left lung was ventilated with air/O₂, and normocapnia was maintained. When the surgery was completed, the residual neuromuscular block was reversed with sugammadex (Bridion N.V. Organon The Netherlands).

Approximately 30 min before the end of the surgery, patients received an intravenous bolus of oxycodone (0.1 mg/kg). Remifentanil infusion was decreased to the target plasma concentration of 0.5–2 ng/ml and continued for 60–120 min after the patient’s transfer to the intensive care unit. During this period, respiratory support was continued, and patients were observed for excessive postoperative bleeding and hemodynamic instability. Sixty to 120 min from the end of the surgery, remifentanil infusion was discontinued, and the patient’s trachea was extubated. Patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) was started with a pump supplying incremental bolus doses of oxycodone (1 mg per bolus dose, lockout time at 7-minute intervals, no basal infusion) during the first postoperative day. Standard postoperative pain management also included i.v. paracetamol, 1 g every 6 h.

Postoperative pain was evaluated by the nurses using a visual analog scale (VAS) at regular intervals. If a patient reported pain intensity exceeding 40 mm on the VAS scale, one or two supplementary doses of oxycodone (5 mg each i.v.) were administered by the nurse as rescue analgesia.

Patients were ambulated within the first 24 h post-operatively and transferred to the surgery ward by the end of the first postoperative day.

**Control group – non-ERAS approach**

Patients in the control group were managed differently. First, no regional analgesia was performed
prior to the surgery. Second, the opioid used during the operation was fentanyl. Third, each patient was mechanically ventilated and sedated until the next day. Finally, postoperative pain was treated mainly with morphine, which was administered according to nurses' discretion (a PCA pump was not used). Moreover, nurses evaluated pain intensity four times a day on the numerical rating scale (NRS) from 0 to 10, in which 0 means no pain at all, and 10 means the worst pain imaginable.

Outcomes

The main outcome measure was the total consumption of oxycodone during the first postoperative day. The secondary outcome was pain intensity assessed on the VAS at 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h after surgery by nurses. Another measured variable was patient satisfaction with pain management, assessed at the discharge from the hospital. Patients could describe their satisfaction with pain management as perfect (5), good (4), moderate (3), poor (2), or very poor (1).

Statistical analysis

Results obtained using the VAS are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Student’s t-test was used for comparison of demographics between the ESP and control group. Nonparametric data were analyzed with the Mann-Whitney U test and presented as medians with interquartile range. Linear regression analysis was used to establish a relationship between continuous variables and the total use of oxycodone or pain intensity. All analyses were performed in Statistica 12.5 software (Stat Soft. Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

The study was conducted from February 7th to April 18th, 2018. Overall, we recruited 19 patients (10 women and 9 men) in the ESP group. Twenty-five individuals (11 women and 14 men) were analyzed in the control group. Patient demographics are presented in Table I.

Pain intensity is presented in Figure 1. The mean consumption of oxycodone in the ESP group during the first postoperative day was 18.26 (1.17–19.83) mg and men (20.89 (17.71–24.07) mg (t = 2.24; p = 0.039), but after adjusting oxycodone use to patients’ weight, no statistically significant difference was found, although a slight tendency towards a lower consumption of opioid among women remained. Of 19 patients, only four required rescue doses of oxycodone.

Patient satisfaction

Of the 19 patients, 8 described pain treatment as perfect, 8 as good, and only 3 patients as moderate. None rated pain management as poor or very poor.

As expected, a positive correlation was observed between pain intensity and oxycodone consumption ($r^2 = 0.30; p = 0.015$, Figure 2 A). Patients who used more oxycodone reported more intense pain. Con-
versely, but as expected, patient satisfaction was negatively correlated with pain intensity measured on the VAS ($F = 2.92, p = 0.008$, Figure 2 B). More satisfied patients reported less pain.

**Comparison of ESP group with standard care approach**

Twenty-five in the control group were analyzed. A significant difference was found between the ESP and the control groups concerning patients’ age (Table I). Other demographic parameters were similar in both groups. No difference was presented in surgery time between ESP and control groups. The mean consumption of morphine in the control group was 12.64 (11.92–13.36) mg in the postoperative period. Pain intensity presented on the NRS was 3.72 (3.14–4.30), 3.56 (3.15–3.97), 3.12 (2.70–3.54), and 2.56 (2.22–2.90) for four subsequent measurements. Because pain severity was evaluated with different tools (VAS and NRS) and different time intervals, direct comparison of the two groups concerning pain intensity was not possible.

However, the main clinical finding was the mechanical ventilation time, which was significantly shorter in the ESP group (0.6 (0.4–1.1) h) than in the control one (10 (8–17) h, $p = 0.00001$). Moreover, patients in the ESP group spent fewer days in the intensive care unit (ICU) (1 (1–1)) than individuals in the control group (2 (2–2), $p = 0.0001$).

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated that the ESP block was effective for pain management in patients undergoing mitral or tricuspid valve repair via right mini-thoracotomy. Our results on oxycodone consumption do not support previous reports regarding sex-related differences in oxycodone consumption [14, 15]. We attempted to perform the current study as a randomized controlled trial (RCT). However, as presented in Table II, severe respiratory complications occurred in the group without the ESP block. Given the data concerning difficulties with the postoperative
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**Table II. Complications observed in a small patient group receiving standard care without the ESP block**

| Sex/age [years] | Complications                                                                 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M/69            | Additional doses of oxycodone caused respiratory failure at 20 h after surgery. The patient was intubated |
| M/57            | Soon after stopping remifentanil infusion, the patient was intubated due to unbearable pain, agitation, tachypnea |
| M/56            | Despite additional doses of analgesics, pain intensity reached 100 on the VAS scale |

* $M$ – male, VAS – visual analogue scale.
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