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Plant species richness regulates soil respiration through changes in productivity

André Tavares Corrêa Dias · Jasper van Ruijven · Frank Berendse

Abstract Soil respiration is an important pathway of the C cycle. However, it is still poorly understood how changes in plant community diversity can affect this ecosystem process. Here we used a long-term experiment consisting of a gradient of grassland plant species richness to test for effects of diversity on soil respiration. We hypothesized that plant diversity could affect soil respiration in two ways. On the one hand, more diverse plant communities have been shown to promote plant productivity, which could increase soil respiration. On the other hand, the nutrient concentration in the biomass produced has been shown to decrease with diversity, which could counteract the production-induced increase in soil respiration. Our results clearly show that soil respiration increased with species richness. Detailed analysis revealed that this effect was not due to differences in species composition. In general, soil respiration in mixtures was higher than would be expected from the monocultures. Path analysis revealed that species richness predominantly regulates soil respiration through changes in productivity. No evidence supporting the hypothesized negative effect of lower N concentration on soil respiration was found. We conclude that shifts in productivity are the main mechanism by which changes in plant diversity may affect soil respiration.
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Introduction

Changes in community composition and diversity can have important impacts on ecosystem functioning (Hooper et al. 2005; Spehn et al. 2005). Most of the studies investigating such effects focused on primary productivity and nutrient cycling as measures of ecosystem functioning. The effect of plant diversity on other important ecosystem processes remains poorly understood. Soil respiration is an important pathway of the global C cycle. It integrates root respiration and soil heterotrophic activity recycling soil C originating from litter, roots and root exudates (Raich and Tufekcioglu 2000). The annual C flux through soil respiration represents approximately 10% of the atmospheric C pool and is 10 times greater than that through fossil fuel combustion (Raich and Schlesinger 1992; Schlesinger and Andrews 2000). Therefore, changes in factors that control rates of soil respiration can have important impacts on atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

The effects of plant diversity on soil respiration have been investigated by few experiments, which have yielded conflicting results. Both positive (Craine et al. 2001) and neutral relationships (De Boeck et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2008) between plant diversity and soil respiration have been reported. Craine et al. (2001) proposed that the increase in productivity with increased diversity observed
in the same experiment (Reich et al. 2001) could explain the positive effects of diversity on soil respiration that they reported. However, De Boeck et al. (2007) also reported a positive relationship between diversity and productivity, but they could not find any relationship between diversity and soil respiration. The multiple controls by which plant communities can affect soil respiration (Raich and Tufekcioglu 2000) suggest that a multi-factor approach may be necessary to get a better mechanistic understanding of how changes in plant diversity can affect this ecosystem process.

Plant diversity may affect soil respiration in several ways. The most important one is probably through changes in C input into the soil (Janssens et al. 2001; Raich and Tufekcioglu 2000). Diversity experiments with grassland species have shown that more diverse plant communities are often more productive (Hooper et al. 2005; Spehn et al. 2005), leading to increased C inputs. However, more diverse plant communities also showed higher N use efficiency, i.e. the N concentration in the biomass produced decreased (Fargione et al. 2007; van Ruijven and Berendse 2005). This reduction of the N concentration of organic matter may negatively affect both autotrophic and heterotrophic components of soil respiration. As plants with lower leaf and root N concentrations generally show lower root respiration per unit of biomass (Tjoelker et al. 2005), the increase in root respiration with increasing root biomass in more diverse communities could be (partly) counteracted by the negative effect of reduced N concentration on root respiration. In addition, lower N concentrations in leaf and root litter may restrict microbial respiration due to N limitation (Neely et al. 1991; Tewary et al. 1982). However, this decrease in the heterotrophic component of soil respiration is expected to only temporarily affect soil respiration, as it would be compensated for by increased overall respiration due to increased accumulation of soil organic matter. In the long run, this should lead to a steady state between input and output of soil organic C (Raich and Nadelhoffer 1989). Thus, reduced N concentration in more diverse communities can counteract the production-induced positive effect on soil respiration due to reduced root respiration per biomass unit and/or lower decomposition rates, but only if the experimental communities have not yet reached a steady state in terms of soil organic matter dynamics.

Here, we used a long-term plant diversity experiment to test how soil respiration is regulated by plant diversity. In this experiment the long-term persistence of a positive diversity-productivity relationship has been demonstrated (van Ruijven and Berendse 2003). We used path analysis to determine whether potential effects of species richness on soil respiration can be explained by changes in productivity and/or leaf N concentration.

Materials and methods

In early spring 2000, one hundred and two plots (1 m²) were established in a randomized block design on an arable field at the Wageningen University campus, The Netherlands. The topsoil was removed to a depth of 45 cm. At this depth, the sand layer below the arable soil was reached. Square wooden frames measuring $1 \times 1 \times 0.5$ m (length $\times$ width $\times$ height) were placed into each hole and filled with an arable field soil diluted with pure sand (1:3). The area outside the frames was filled with the arable soil. A total of 144 seedlings were planted per plot following a substitutive design in order to keep seedling density constant in all plots. Plots were distributed over six replicated blocks and each block contained monocultures of all species, mixtures of two, four and eight species. Species were selected from a pool of four grass species (Holcus lanatus L., Agrostis capillaris L., Festuca rubra L. and Anthoxanthum odoratum L.) and four forb species (Plantago lanceolata L., Rumex acetosa L., Leucanthemum vulgare Lam., and Centaurea jacea L.), that commonly coexist in European hay meadows. Mixtures of two and four species were assembled by constrained random selection from the above-mentioned species pool. Selecting the same composition twice was not allowed in this procedure. Species composition was maintained throughout the experiment by removing seedlings of all other species at monthly intervals during the growing season. Aboveground net primary productivity was measured by harvesting all aboveground plant material after species reached peak standing biomass. To avoid potential edge effects, the plots were divided into a centre of 60 $\times$ 60 cm and a surrounding edge. Only data from the centres were used in the analyses. For more information, see van Ruijven and Berendse (2003).

In late July 2008, the plants were clipped 2.5 cm above soil surface, sorted to species and dried for at least 48 h at 70°C before weighing. Green leaves were collected at random from the biomass samples in order to determine N concentration. At least 20 leaves from each species in each plot were ground and digested using sulphuric acid, salicylic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and selenium. N concentration was measured colorimetrically using a segmented flow analyser (SKALAR SAN Plus System, Breda, The Netherlands). Soil respiration was measured once in every plot. Ideally, one would perform several measurements during the growing season to check for temporal variation, but clipping the vegetation during the growing season to provide the necessary plant-free patch for soil respiration measurements would have conflicted with the long-term maintenance of the experiment. Moreover, other studies using experimental plant communities have shown that temporal variation in soil respiration during the growing season is minimal (De Boeck et al. 2007; Johnson et al.
Thus, we are confident our measurements provide a good way to test for species richness effects on soil respiration within the growing season. However, caution must be taken when extrapolating the results to annual soil respiration, since large differences in phenology within the plant and the heterotrophic communities could lead to very different results. Soil respiration was measured in July within 2 weeks after harvest, when regrowth was still very limited. Measurements were done using a photoacoustic gas monitor (INNOVA 1412sn, 710-113; ENMO, Belgium) connected by Teflon tubes to a PVC chamber. The chamber was placed in the central position of the plot, directly onto the soil surface to a depth of 1 cm. Before each measurement, the chamber was ventilated to prevent an accumulating CO\textsubscript{2} concentration inside the chamber. Air samples were taken from the headspace of the closed, dark chamber (30 cm diameter, 25 cm height) every minute for 5 min for quantification of CO\textsubscript{2}. The flux was then calculated using linear regressions against time. Regressions provided a very good estimation of flux with $r^2$ ranging from 0.97 to 0.99. Soil temperature and soil moisture content measurements were taken simultaneously at three points around the chamber. Soil temperature was measured at a depth of 10 cm using a soil thermometer (Eijkelkamp Agrisearch Equipment, Giesbeek) and soil moisture content was measured at 5-cm depth using a HH2 Delta-T device (Delta T Devices, Llandidroed Wells). For both soil temperature and soil moisture content, the average of the three measurements per plot were used in the analyses. The measurements were performed between 1000 and 1400 hours and their order was randomized within blocks. For each block, measurements were performed within 1 day, and 4 days were necessary to finish the measurements of all blocks.

The average leaf N concentration for a given community was calculated as a community functional parameter (Violette et al. 2007): 

$$\text{leaf } N_{\text{aggr.}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \times \text{leaf } N_i$$

where leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$ is the community-aggregated value for leaf N concentration, $p_i$ is the relative abundance of species $i$ calculated as its proportion of total biomass in the plot, $n$ is the number of species in the mixture, leaf $N_i$ is the leaf N concentration for species $i$ in the mixture. As detailed information about biomass allocation to leaves and shifts in allocation with diversity is lacking for the different species in the experiment, we used the proportion of total biomass as an approximation for the relative abundance of species’ leaves in mixtures.

In order to exclude variation in soil respiration due to soil temperature when calculating the expected values for species mixtures based on the monocultures, we calculated soil respiration at the standard temperature of 10°C with the equation provided by Lloyd and Taylor (1994): 

$$R = R_{10} e^{308.56 \left( \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{T} \right)}$$

where $R$ is the measured soil respiration, $R_{10}$ is the soil respiration at 10°C and $T$ is the measured soil temperature (K). To control for species composition, the observed values of soil respiration in the species mixtures were compared with the expected values based on the monocultures. The expected soil respiration at 10°C ($ER_{10}$) for a certain species mixture was calculated using a mass ratio approach as follows:

$$ER_{10} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \times MR_{10i}$$

where $p_i$ is the relative abundance of species $i$, $n$ is the number of species in the mixture and $MR_{10i}$ is the value of $R_{10}$ in monocultures for species $i$. Because of significant block effects for soil respiration, we used the $MR_{10i}$ within blocks instead of the average $MR_{10}$ to generate the expected values. The difference between observed and expected values for $R_{10}$ was calculated as: $D_{R10} = \ln$ (observed) $- \ln$ (expected).

General linear models (GLM) with block as a random factor and the log2 of species richness as a covariate were used to determine the relationships between species richness and soil respiration, $D_{R10}$, biomass, leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$, soil temperature and soil moisture. For the analysis of soil respiration, soil temperature and moisture content were also included as covariates. Differences in leaf N concentration and soil respiration between species in monocultures were tested using GLM with block as a random factor and species identity as fixed factor. Leaf N concentration was log transformed to meet assumptions of homogeneity of variances. For the analysis of soil respiration, soil temperature and moisture content were included as covariates.

By decoupling direct and indirect effects, path analysis allows one to test for specific hypotheses regarding the relationships between multiple variables. We used path analysis to determine whether the relationship between plant diversity and soil respiration could be explained by changes in productivity and/or leaf N concentration. Eight alternative models were included to test our hypotheses (Fig. 1) following the approach suggested by Shipley (2004). The effects of diversity on productivity and N use efficiency have already been well described in the literature (Fargione et al. 2007; van Ruijven and Berendse 2005). Therefore, all models included an effect of species richness on productivity and leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$. We then designed models to test if species richness affects soil respiration through changes in productivity only (model A), leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$ only
tests the statistical constraints imposed by the model, which are in the form of conditional independence relationships. For example, if we state that species richness (S) affects soil respiration (R) through increasing productivity (P) only, S and R should be independent when P is held constant statistically. In other words, S and R are independent conditional to P. Here, we measured independence using Pearson (partial) correlations, where no significant (partial) correlation corroborates the stated independence relationship. For each model, the implied separate tests of independence (pi) were combined using the Fisher’s C statistic to provide a test for the entire model:

$$C = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln (p_i)$$

where n is the number of tests of independence and C is distributed as a $\chi^2$ variable with 2n df. For the accepted model, relationships between variables were determined using simple or multiple regressions. The $\beta$ coefficients (i.e. regression coefficients obtained for variables standardized to a mean of 0 and a SD of 1) were used to indicate the relationship between variables. All variables were ln-transformed in order to improve the linearity of relationships.

**Results**

Soil respiration showed a significant positive relationship with species richness ($F_{1,92} = 8.66, P = 0.004$; Fig. 2a). We found significant differences in soil respiration between species monocultures ($F_{7,31} = 2.97, P = 0.017$; Fig. 3a), but differences were not related to plant functional type (i.e. grasses or forbs). When biomass was included as a covariate in this analysis it showed a significant positive relationship with soil respiration ($F_{1,30} = 10.20, P = 0.003$), but monoculture identity remained significant ($F_{7,30} = 3.10, P = 0.014$). Leaf N concentration also differed significantly between species in monocultures ($F_{7,32} = 8.02, P < 0.001$; Fig. 3b), but leaf N concentration was not related to plant functional type or soil respiration ($r_{\text{pearson}} = -0.19, n = 46, P = 0.20$).

$D_{R_{10}}$ values were significantly higher then zero (grand mean ± SE = 0.146 ± 0.04), indicating that $R_{10}$ values in the mixtures were higher than expected based on monocultures. Although the $D_{R_{10}}$ values showed a trend to increase with species richness, this relationship was not significant ($F_{1,48} = 1.00, P = 0.32$; Fig. 2b). Soil temperature ranged from 17 to 25°C and had a marginally significant positive effect on soil respiration ($F_{1,92} = 3.40, P = 0.068$). Soil moisture content varied between 10 and 24%, but had no effect on soil respiration ($F_{1,92} = 0.78,$
Neither soil temperature nor soil moisture showed a significant relationship with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 0.79$, $P = 0.38$ and $F_{1,94} = 2.6$, $P = 0.11$, respectively). Finally, aboveground plant biomass strongly increased with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 47.32$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2c), whereas leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$ clearly decreased with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 27.23$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2d). The latter was also shown by each species individually (data not shown).

Path analysis showed that the probability that the causal relationships hypothesized by models A, B, C, E, G and H actually generated the observed data was lower than 0.05. These models were therefore rejected. On the other hand, there was a high probability that the causal processes hypothesized by both models D and F generated the observed data, 0.89 and 0.77, respectively. However, the

![Fig. 2](image-url) Relationships between species richness and a soil respiration, b deviation from expected soil respiration based on monocultures ($D_{RI0}$), c aboveground biomass, and d average community leaf N concentration ($N_{\text{aggr.}}$). Except for $D_{RI0}$, each of the variables showed a significant relationship with species richness. See text for details. Means ± SEs are shown.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Soil respiration and leaf N concentration for each species in monocultures (mean ± SE). Different letters denote significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between species (Tukey honest significant difference test). G Grasses, F forbs

$P = 0.38$). Neither soil temperature nor soil moisture showed a significant relationship with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 0.79$, $P = 0.38$ and $F_{1,94} = 2.6$, $P = 0.11$, respectively). Finally, aboveground plant biomass strongly increased with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 47.32$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2c), whereas leaf $N_{\text{aggr.}}$ clearly decreased with species richness ($F_{1,94} = 27.23$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2d). The latter was also shown by each species individually (data not shown).

Path analysis showed that the probability that the causal relationships hypothesized by models A, B, C, E, G and H actually generated the observed data was lower than 0.05. These models were therefore rejected. On the other hand, there was a high probability that the causal processes hypothesized by both models D and F generated the observed data, 0.89 and 0.77, respectively. However, the
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The hypothesized relationship among variables can be seen in Fig. 1. Table 1

### Table 1

| Model A | Model B | Model C | Model D |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Basis set | Basis set | Basis set | Basis set |
| 12 df, C = 23.69 (<0.001) | 12 df, C = 23.54 (<0.001) | 10 df, C = 5.01 (0.890) |
| (S,T)(Ø) | (S,T)(Ø) | (S,T)(Ø) | (S,T)(Ø) |
| 0.09 (<0.001) | 0.42 (<0.001) | 0.18 (0.02) | 0.01 (0.974) |
| 10 df, C = 31.62 (<0.001) | 8 df, C = 4.86 (0.772) | 10 df, C = 31.59 (<0.001) | 12 df, C = 53.64 (<0.001) |

See Table 1 for the complete set of individual claims of conditional independence implied by the models. Model D indicates that species richness affects soil respiration indirectly, through changes in productivity (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the estimated direct effect of species richness on biomass (β coefficient 0.40) was higher than the indirect effect through changes in leaf N_{aggr.} (obtained by multiplying β coefficients −0.48 × −0.37 = 0.18; Fig. 4). The correlation matrix for the variables included in the model can be seen in the electronic supplemental material.

### Discussion

Our results show that species richness predominantly regulates soil respiration through changes in productivity. This is in accordance with the model proposed by Raich and Nadelhoffer (1989), indicating that the increase in aboveground production implied an increase in total root C allocation (root production plus root respiration). We also hypothesized that lower leaf N concentrations observed in more diverse communities could counteract effects of productivity, because of their potentially negative effects on root and microbial respiration (Neely et al. 1991; 2000).

The hypothesized relationship among variables can be seen in Fig. 1. S Species richness, T soil temperature, P biomass, N average community leaf N concentration, R soil respiration.

The notation (X,Y)[A,B,…] means that variables x and y are hypothesized to be probabilistically independent conditional on the set of variables {A,B,…}. Ø represents the null (empty) set. Pearson’s partial correlation coefficient (r), and P (assuming the null hypothesis) in parentheses, are given for each conditional independence claim; the overall model is tested with Fisher’s C statistic.

P < 0.05 indicated in bold, rejecting the hypothesized independence relationship.

Fig. 4 Accepted path model (model D; Fisher’s C = 5.01, df = 10, P = 0.890). It shows that species richness affects soil respiration through changes in biomass and that species richness affects biomass production both directly and indirectly through changes in N use efficiency. β and determination coefficients from the regression analyses between variables are shown. For all coefficients, P < 0.001.

The estimated direct effect of species richness on biomass (β coefficient 0.40) was higher than the indirect effect through changes in leaf N_{aggr.} (obtained by multiplying β coefficients −0.48 × −0.37 = 0.18; Fig. 4). The correlation matrix for the variables included in the model can be seen in the electronic supplemental material.

Accordingly, model D also showed that soil respiration is independent of leaf N_{aggr.} when other variables were controlled for. Therefore, only model D was accepted (Fig. 4).
However, soil respiration was independent of leaf N\textsubscript{aggr.}, indicating no direct effect of the quality of the organic matter produced on soil respiration. In our experiment, biomass and leaf N concentration were closely correlated, i.e. plots with lower leaf N concentration also had higher biomass production and consequently higher C input into the soil. We cannot rule out that the effects of increased biomass and decreased N content operated simultaneously, but the lack of a relationship between soil respiration and leaf N\textsubscript{aggr.} indicates that, if present, the effect of organic matter quality was not strong enough to counteract the increase in soil respiration due to increased biomass input.

The values of soil respiration presented here, ranging from 1.4 to 4.8 \(\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}\), are at the lower range for grassland communities, but similar in magnitude to the values in other experiments with artificially assembled grassland communities in which aboveground biomass was also harvested annually (De Boeck et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2008). Although aboveground biomass is annually removed from the experimental plots, it turned out to be a good surrogate for the input of C into the soil, representing the main driver of soil respiration in this experiment. Accordingly, aboveground biomass can be taken as a good indicator of belowground biomass in our experiment, as shown by root biomass samples from a subset of the plots. These data showed that root biomass in four-species mixtures was up to 40% higher than expected based on the component monocultures (J. van Ruijven and L. Mommer, unpublished data).

The comparison between observed values in species mixtures and expected values based on monocultures revealed that soil respiration in mixed species plots was higher than would be expected based on monoculture values. However, the difference between observed and expected values for mixed species plots was not correlated with species richness. In addition, the species with the highest soil respiration rates in monoculture, Centaurea jacea, showed higher rates than the most diverse mixtures; 3.3 and 2.9 \(\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}\), respectively. This could indicate that soil respiration is mainly driven by the presence of a particular species, i.e. a selection effect. Unfortunately, we could not partition the positive effect of diversity on soil respiration into its two components, selection and complementarity effects (Loreau and Hector 2001), because the species’ relative contribution to soil respiration in mixtures could not be determined. However, it has previously been shown that the long-term persistence of the positive diversity-productivity relationship found in this experiment is due to complementarity effects, while selection effects are negligible (van Ruijven and Berendse 2003). Moreover, in an additional analysis the relationship between biomass and soil respiration was still significant \((F_{1,93} = 17.4; P < 0.001)\) when the presence of Centaurea was included as a covariate, while the latter showed no significant effect \((F_{1,93} = 0.86; P = 0.356)\). Therefore, we conclude that, although species clearly differ in their effect on soil respiration, the positive relationship between species richness and soil respiration is due to an increase in biomass driven by complementarity effects.

We have shown that species richness predominantly affects soil respiration through an increase in productivity. This is consistent with Craine et al. (2001), who showed a significant positive relationship between soil respiration and species richness and Zak et al. (2003), who demonstrated that the positive relationship observed between microbial respiration and plant diversity is caused by an increase in productivity. However, plant diversity can also affect other ecosystem properties that may affect soil respiration in different ways. Two other experiments that investigated the effects of plant diversity on soil respiration did not find effects of species richness or productivity on soil respiration (De Boeck et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2008). De Boeck et al. (2007) argued that the lower soil water contents found in more diverse communities in their experiment could counteract any possible production-induced stimulation of soil respiration, whereas Johnson et al. (2008) hypothesized that the lack of interaction between sedges and mycorrhizal fungi was responsible for the reduced soil respiration observed in their experiment, suggesting that plant-species effects on soil respiration are possibly due to other mechanisms than those of biomass.

![Graph showing the relationship between soil respiration and aboveground biomass](image-url)
production. The circumstances under which these other controls can counteract production-induced stimulation of soil respiration remain to be determined.

The increase in N use efficiency with increasing diversity has been proposed as a mechanism of diversity effects on productivity (Fargione et al. 2007; van Ruijven and Berendse 2005). Specifically van Ruijven and Berendse (2005) hypothesized that the increase in biomass produced per unit N with increasing species richness was caused by increased allocation to stems due to increased light competition. We show that a shift in the leaf to stem ratio is not the only explanation for higher nutrient use efficiency in more diverse communities, as leaf N concentration also decreased with increasing species richness. The rejection of models A, B, C and H confirmed that species richness affects biomass production both through changes in nutrient use efficiency and through mechanisms not incorporated into our models, such as resource use complementarity leading to N overyielding (van Ruijven and Berendse 2005). The effect of species richness on productivity through changes in nutrient use efficiency represented 30% of the total effect of species richness on productivity. Our results thus confirm that this mechanism, which has only recently been proposed (van Ruijven and Berendse 2005), can play an important role in determining higher productivity in more diverse communities.

Traditionally, the C cycle has been addressed independently of the species involved, but recently several studies have shown that both plant species diversity and composition can have important impacts on C dynamics (Fornara and Tilman 2001; Craine et al. 2009). A detailed mechanistic understanding of biotic controls of soil respiration is needed in order to improve models of CO₂ exchange between ecosystems and the atmosphere and consequently provide better predictions of how human impacts (i.e. land-use change, species extinction and introduction) on natural and semi-natural communities can affect CO₂ fluxes. We conclude that shifts in productivity caused by changes in diversity can have a strong effect on soil respiration in grasslands. Studies in other ecosystems and of other possible drivers of soil respiration that can be affected by both plant species diversity and composition deserve further attention.
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