Elemental abundances in M31: First Alpha and Iron Abundance Measurements in M31’s Giant Stellar Stream*  

Karoline M. Gilbert1,2, Evan N. Kirby3, Ivanna Escala1,4, Jennifer Wojno3, Jason S. Kalirai5, and Puragra Guhathakurta6  
1 Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA; kgilbert@stsci.edu  
2 Department of Physics & Astronomy, Bloomberg Center for Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA  
3 California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Boulevard, MC 249-17, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA  
4 Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA  
5 Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, MS 200-E181, 11100 Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20723, USA  
6 UCO/Lick Observatory, Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of California Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA  
Received 2019 April 30; revised 2019 July 31; accepted 2019 July 31; published 2019 September 26  

Abstract  
We present the first measurements of [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances, obtained using spectral synthesis modeling, for red giant branch stars in M31’s giant stellar stream (GSS). The spectroscopic observations, obtained at a projected distance of 17 kpc from M31’s center, yielded 61 stars with [Fe/H] measurements, including 21 stars with [α/Fe] measurements, from 112 targets identified as M31 stars. The [Fe/H] measurements confirm the expectation from photometric metallicity estimates that stars in this region of M31’s halo are relatively metal rich compared to stars in the Milky Way’s inner halo: more than half the stars in the field, including those not associated with kinematically identified substructure, have [Fe/H] abundances $> -1.0$. The stars in this field are α-enhanced at lower metallicities, while [α/Fe] decreases with increasing [Fe/H] above metallicities of [Fe/H] $\gtrsim -0.9$. Three kinematical components have been previously identified in this field: the GSS, a second kinematically cold feature of unknown origin, and M31’s kinematically hot halo. We compare probabilistic [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] distribution functions for each of the components. The GSS and the second kinematically cold feature have very similar abundance distributions, while the halo component is more metal poor. Although the current sample sizes are small, a comparison of the abundances of stars in the GSS field with abundances of M31 halo and dSph stars from the literature indicate that the progenitor of the stream was likely more massive, and experienced a higher efficiency of star formation, than M31’s existing dSphs or the dEs NGC 147 and NGC 185.
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1. Introduction  
Stellar halos provide a record of the earliest stages of a galaxy’s formation as well as the mass growth of later epochs. All stages of accretion are represented in the halo: (1) fully phase-mixed stars accreted at early times, (2) stars in distinct tidal streams, and (3) stars in satellite galaxies that will eventually be tidally incorporated into the halo. In addition, the innermost regions of stellar halos preserve a record of the stars formed within the progenitor host halo at very early times, such as stars formed in a proto-disk and later heated into the halo (e.g., Purcell et al. 2010).

The stellar halos of the Milky Way (MW) and Andromeda (M31) galaxies thus provide observational probes of the formation and accretion histories of $L_u$ galaxies. Wide-field imaging has revealed a wealth of structure in the stellar halos of both galaxies, including massive tidal debris features such as the Sagittarius Stream (e.g., Ibata et al. 1994; Mateo et al. 1998; Yanny et al. 2000; Majewski et al. 2003) in the MW and the giant stellar stream (GSS) in M31 (Ibata et al. 2001). Significant observational and theoretical effort has been expended to determine the properties of the progenitors of the brightest tidal debris structures in the MW and M31 halos and model the interactions that produced them, and to constrain the relative contribution of accreted stars and in situ star formation to the stellar halos of MW and M31 mass galaxies.

Stellar chemical abundances can provide powerful observational constraints, as the combination of [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] ratios can be used to infer the star formation history (SFH) of a population (e.g., Wheeler et al. 1989; Gilmore & Wyse 1991, 1998). For example, Shetrone et al. (2001) and Venn et al. (2004) showed that the classical MW satellites have more steeply declining tracks of [α/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] than MW halo stars. This difference in abundance pattern can be attributed to a more gradual early SFH for the satellites. In the satellite galaxies, massive supernovae (SNe), which produce abundant α-elements (O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Ti), enriched the stellar population only to [Fe/H] $\sim -2.3$ before Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia), whose ejecta are Fe rich, began to depress the [α/Fe] ratio. On the other hand, the progenitors of the MW halo had such vigorous early star formation that they reached [Fe/H] $\sim -1$ before SNe Ia began to explode. Thus, in addition to providing information on the SFH and chemical evolution of surviving satellites and the progenitors of intact tidal debris features, [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances provide a means of constraining the properties of the progenitors of the underlying phase-mixed stellar halo. Simulations by Bullock & Johnston (2005) and Johnston et al. (2008) showed that the...
accretion history can imprint a strong chemical signature on a MW- or M31-like halo. Stars from the most massive disrupted satellites are expected to dominate the inner halo and comprise the majority of the halo’s stellar mass. These massive accretion events typically occurred over 9 Gyr ago. As a result, the chemical abundances of the majority of halo stars are expected to reflect the SFHs of rapidly formed galaxies that were shut off early. Such a stellar population is α-enhanced ([α/Fe] ∼ +0.3) and not particularly metal poor ([Fe/H] ∼ −1 to −0.5). Less massive satellites were accreted later and deposited debris further from the center of the host potential (see also Cooper et al. 2010; Tissera et al. 2013).

Recent improvements in the observational constraints on the mass distribution and time of infall of accreted satellite galaxies in the MW have come from data sets that cannot (currently) be replicated for M31, including Gaia, the APO Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE), and ratios of blue horizontal branch stars and blue stragglers (e.g., Deason et al. 2015; Belokurov et al. 2018; Di Matteo et al. 2018; Haywood et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018; Fattahi et al. 2019; Mackereth et al. 2019; Myeong et al. 2019). However, the analysis of recent stellar halo simulations has led to several suggested methods and diagnostics for constraining these quantities using abundance measurements ([Fe/H] and [α/Fe]) of halo stars (e.g., Lee et al. 2015; Deason et al. 2016; D’Souza & Bell 2018a; Monachesi et al. 2019). Measurements of [α/Fe] and [Fe/H] ratios thus provide a key observational dimension for deciphering the likely origins of the stellar populations in M31’s halo.

1.1. Chemical Abundances in M31

Studies of M31’s stellar halo have made tremendous progress, from the discovery of M31’s extended stellar halo (Guhathakurta et al. 2005; Irwin et al. 2005; Gilbert et al. 2006) to characterization of its global properties (Kalirai et al. 2006a; Ibata et al. 2007; Koch et al. 2008; McConnachie et al. 2009; Gilbert et al. 2012, 2014; Ibata et al. 2014). Spectroscopy of stars in M31’s halo has determined the fraction of stars in tidal streams in individual fields and characterized the kinematical properties of tidal debris features and the halo as a whole (e.g., Ibata et al. 2004; Gilbert et al. 2007; Chapman et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2009a, 2009b, 2012, 2018; Dorman et al. 2012).

However, little is known about the chemical abundances of stars in M31’s halo beyond estimates of [Fe/H] based on comparisons of photometric measurements of stars to theoretical isochrones or measurements of calcium absorption lines (Chapman et al. 2006; Kalirai et al. 2006a, 2009; Koch et al. 2008; Richardson et al. 2009; Tanaka et al. 2010; Gilbert et al. 2014; Ibata et al. 2014; Ho et al. 2015). This stands in stark contrast to the detailed chemical abundance measurements made for stars in the MW’s halo and satellites (Shetrone et al. 2001; Cayrel et al. 2004; Venn et al. 2004; Barklem et al. 2005; Cohen et al. 2008; Lai et al. 2008; Kirby et al. 2009, 2010; Roederer 2009; Cohen & Huang 2010; Frebel 2010). Obtaining measurements of [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] for stars in M31’s most prominent tidal debris feature, the GSS, has taken on greater significance given recent suggestions that it may have been formed by a major merger (D’Souza & Bell 2018b; Hammer et al. 2018) instead of a minor merger as previously assumed (e.g., Fardal et al. 2006; Font et al. 2006). If true, this has significant implications for our understanding of the evolution of the M31 system, affecting interpretations of observations throughout M31’s disk as well as halo.

At the distance of M31, the top several magnitudes of the RGB can be observed with modest aperture (~4 m class) ground-based telescopes, enabling photometric metallicity estimates to be obtained throughout the stellar halo (Ibata et al. 2014). Photometrically derived metallicities agree with spectroscopic metallicities for old, single-age populations, but not for populations with more than 15% intermediate-age stars (Lianou et al. 2011). Deep imaging with HST has demonstrated that stars in multiple fields in M31’s inner halo (to Rproj = 35 kpc) span a range of ages, as large as 5−12 Gyr (Brown et al. 2006a, 2008). Several dwarf spheroidal satellites in M31 also show a significant range of stellar ages (Weisz et al. 2014a, 2014b).

Metallicity can also be estimated from the equivalent widths (EWs) of very strong metal absorption lines, like the Ca II triplet (CaT) at ~8500 Å. The EW-metallicity relation is empirically calibrated against abundance standards (e.g., Armandroff & Da Costa 1991; Battaglia et al. 2008; Da Costa 2016). The CaT is a strong spectral feature identifiable even in low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectra, leading to its wide adoption for measuring [Fe/H] (e.g., Tolstoy et al. 2001; Helmi et al. 2006; Battaglia et al. 2008; de Boer et al. 2012). However, the precision of the CaT metallicity estimates are limited by the precision of the EW measurement of only two or three lines. Moreover, at the line-of-sight velocities typical for stars in M31’s stellar halo, these lines are frequently affected by night sky lines. This, in combination with the relatively low S/N achievable for spectra of red giant stars at M31’s distance, results in large uncertainties in CaT metallicity estimates (e.g., Gilbert et al. 2014).

An alternative use of medium-resolution spectra is full spectral synthesis, which can yield a measurement of [Fe/H] from a large number of Fe lines directly. Crucially, it also allows a measurement of [α/Fe], which cannot be constrained or derived from the above methods. Spectral synthesis was recently applied to M31 red giants for the first time, yielding measurements of [α/Fe] and [Fe/H] for 226 red giants in nine M31 satellite galaxies as well as four halo stars identified in three M31 dSph fields (Vargas et al. 2014a, 2014b).

We have undertaken a program to measure [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] of M31 stars associated with all stages of halo formation: the “smooth” halo, accreted or formed within M31’s potential long ago; stellar streams, accreted recently; and M31’s surviving satellites, which have yet to be accreted into the halo. The first work in this series, Escala et al. (2019), presents the application of the spectral synthesis method to R ~ 2500 spectra and measures the [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances for 11 stars in a relatively smooth M31 halo field at Rproj = 23 kpc. In this contribution, we present the first [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances of a tidal debris feature in M31’s halo. The spectroscopic field analyzed here is located in the inner regions of M31’s GSS.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a brief overview of the spectroscopic data set and the known properties of the GSS field. Section 3 summarizes the spectral synthesis method and presents the chemical abundance measurements. Section 4 describes the derivation of probabilistic abundance distributions for each M31 component present in the field. Section 5 presents the results in the context of M31’s stellar halo and dwarf satellite population.
discusses the implications of the measured abundance distributions for different merger scenarios and revisits the possible origins of the second kinematically cold component in the field. Section 7 summarizes the results.

2. Data

2.1. Spectroscopic Data

The field analyzed here is located on M31’s GSS at a mean projected distance from M31’s center of 17 kpc (Figure 1). We observed this field with the DEep Imaging Multi-Object Spectrograph (DEIMOS) Spectrograph (Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck II 10 m telescope on 2017 Oct 22, for a total integration time of 6.4 hr in 0′′7–0′′9 seeing.

The spectroscopic mask for these deep observations, “f207_1a,” was designed using results from a mask previously observed for 1 hr as part of the Spectroscopic and Photometric Landscape of Andromeda’s Stellar Halo (SPLASH) survey (field “f207,” Figure 1 of Gilbert et al. 2009b). Stars previously identified as likely to be red giant branch stars in M31 were included on the mask with highest priority, while stars previously identified as likely MW foreground stars or background galaxies were omitted.

Targets were chosen using a catalog (Kalirai et al. 2006b) derived from g′ and i′ band imaging obtained with the MegaCam instrument on the 3.6 m Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). The calibrated photometry was transformed to Johnson–Cousins V- and I-band magnitudes using observations of Landolt (1992) photometric standard stars.

The spectroscopic mask was observed with the 1200 line mm−1 grating, a slit width of 0′′7, and a central wavelength setting of 7800 Å. This results in a dispersion of 0.33 Å pix−1, a resolution of 1.2 Å FWHM, and a wavelength range of λλ = 6450–9150 Å.

The spectra were reduced using the spec2d (flat-fielding, night-sky emission line removal, and extraction of one-dimensional spectra) and spec1d (redshift measurement) software developed at the University of California, Berkeley (Cooper et al. 2012; Newman et al. 2013) with spec2d modifications by Kirby et al. (2015). The line-of-sight velocities were measured by cross-correlating the observed spectra with a suite of stellar templates provided by Simon & Geha (2007). The measured line-of-sight velocities were transformed to the heliocentric frame and were corrected for imperfect centering of the star in the slit, which is measured by cross-correlating each stellar spectrum with a telluric template, using regions of strong telluric absorption (Simon & Geha 2007; Sohn et al. 2007).

The final M31 data set presented here contains only stars that are more than three times as likely to be M31 RGB stars than foreground MW stars. This likelihood is computed using a set of four empirical photometric and spectroscopic diagnostics established by Gilbert et al. (2006): (1) line-of-sight velocity, (2) strength of the NaI doublet absorption line as a function of (V − I) color, (3) location in the (I, V − I) CMD, and (4) comparison of CaT-based and photometric metallicity estimates. Of the 117 stellar spectra with measured velocities, 112 are securely classified as M31 RGB stars (Figure 2). The reader interested in further details is referred to Gilbert et al. (2012) for a concise description of the classification of M31 and MW stars.

---

5 MegaPrime/MegaCam is a joint project of CFHT and CEA/DAPNIA, at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope which is operated by the National Research Council of Canada, the Institut National des Science de l’Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii.
Figure 2. CMD showing the location of spectroscopic targets with measured line-of-sight velocities. Stars at three times more likely to be red giants in M31 are denoted with circles, while stars that do not meet this criterion are denoted with triangles (Section 2.1). M31 red giant branch stars with [Fe/H] measurements derived from spectral synthesis are colored according to the derived [Fe/H]. Stars without [Fe/H] measurements are shown in gray. Stars with evidence of TiO features in their spectrum (transparent points lacking outlines) are not considered in the analysis, as the reliability of the spectral synthesis abundance measurements has not been established. Small tan points show the distribution of all stellar objects in the photometric catalog from which the spectroscopic slitmask was designed. Twelve Gyr, [$\alpha$/Fe] = 0.0 isochrones are shown for reference ([Fe/H] = −2.31, −1.84, −1.53, −1.31, −1.01, −0.83, −0.61, −0.40, −0.20, 0.0; VandenBerg et al. 2006).

2.2. Properties of the Field

The field presented here is known to be comprised of multiple M31 components (Figure 3): (1) the GSS, which has been identified and characterized both kinematically and spatially, (2) a kinematically cold (velocity dispersion $\sim$20 km s$^{-1}$) component (KCC) of unknown origin, and (3) a kinematically hot halo represented by stars with a large velocity dispersion.

The mean velocity and velocity dispersion of stars in the GSS and KCC in this field were initially measured by Gilbert et al. (2009b). More recently, Gilbert et al. (2018) fit a Gaussian mixture model to the entire SPLASH M31 halo data set, using MCMC techniques to obtain posterior probability distribution functions (DFs) for the mean velocity and velocity dispersion of M31’s halo as a function of projected distance from M31, as well as for all M31 kinematical components previously identified in each of the spectroscopic fields (Appendix). The mean velocity, velocity dispersion, and fraction of stars in each component are reported in Table 1. Figure 3 displays a representation of the M31 velocity model for the field presented here, based on fitting the velocity distribution of stars in the original f207 spectroscopic mask. Multiple draws from the MCMC chains are shown to illustrate the underlying uncertainties in the model parameters. The halo component of the model was constrained using a significantly larger sample of stars in M31’s stellar halo, drawn from additional spectroscopic fields at comparable distances from M31’s center.

The velocity distribution of stars in our [Fe/H] sample is consistent with the velocity model for this field computed by Gilbert et al. (2018), as is expected given the large overlap in targets between the original and deep spectroscopic masks. While the two kinematically cold features in this field each have relatively small velocity dispersions, there is still expected to be some overlap in the velocities of stars belonging to different components, in particular between stars belonging to the underlying kinematically hot halo and stars belonging to the KCC of unknown origin.

The two kinematical components observed in this field (“f207”) are also observed in an adjacent field on the GSS (“H13s,” Figure 2; Kalirai et al. 2006b). It is not known whether the two kinematically cold features (the GSS and the KCC) arise from the same accretion event. Previous observations indicated a potential link in both chemistry and kinematics between the two features. The mean velocities of the two components follow a similar trend as a function of projected distance from M31 (Figure 16 of Gilbert et al. 2009b), and the photometrically derived [Fe/H]$_{\text{phot}}$ distributions of the two features are quite similar (Figure 17 of Gilbert et al. 2009b).

There appears to be a small excess of stars at $\sim$300 km s$^{-1}$. This excess was noted in the velocity distribution of stars observed on the original mask, but it was found to not be statistically significant (Gilbert et al. 2009b). A similar feature has not been observed in any adjacent spectroscopic fields (Gilbert et al. 2009b).

Figure 4 shows the photometric metallicity estimates for all M31 stars in the field as a function of line-of-sight velocity. Comparisons of the magnitudes and colors of stars with theoretical isochrones form the basis of all previous estimates of the metallicity of stars in M31’s GSS (Ibata et al. 2001; McConnachie et al. 2003; Brown et al. 2006a; Gilbert et al. 2009b, 2014; Ibata et al. 2014; Conn et al. 2016). Photometric metallicities ([Fe/H]$_{\text{phot}}$) are based on a comparison of the location of the star in a CMD with 12.6 Gyr, [$\alpha$/Fe] = 0.0 dex theoretical isochrones (VandenBerg et al. 2006); error bars
Table 1
Properties of the Three Components in the 17 kpc GSS Field

| Component | Mean Velocity (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Velocity Dispersion (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Fraction | [Fe/H] Distribution\(^a\) Percentiles |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| GSS       | -524.9 ± 4.4                   | 24.5 ± 0.3                         | 0.33 ± 0.05 | 50th: -0.75, 16th: -0.61, 84th: -0.94 |
| KCC       | -427.3 ± 5.4                   | 21.0 ± 0.7                         | 0.32 ± 0.07 | 50th: -0.19, 16th: -0.17, 84th: -0.32 |
| Halo      | -319.6 ± 4.1                   | 98.1 ± 6.3                         | 0.35      | 50th: -0.20, 16th: -0.20, 84th: -0.52 |

Notes.
\(^a\) Quoted values (uncertainties) are the 50th (16th and 84th) percentiles of the marginalized one-dimensional posterior probability DFs from Gilbert et al. (2018), summarized in Section 2.2 and the Appendix.

\(^b\) Quoted values are the stated percentiles of the cumulative probabilistic [Fe/H] DF, computed using the 50th-percentile parameter values for the velocity model as described in Section 4.1. These values do not account for known systematic biases against measuring [Fe/H] for metal-rich stars (Section 4.3).

3. [Fe/H] and [\(\alpha/Fe\)] Abundance Distributions

We measure [Fe/H] and [\(\alpha/Fe\)] for each star by comparing the observed spectrum against a large grid of synthetic spectra to find the model spectrum with the chemical composition that best matches the observed spectrum, following the methodology established by Kirby et al. (2008, 2010). This technique makes use of lines that are weak and/or blended in moderate- or low-resolution data, leveraging all of the metallicity information in the spectrum simultaneously rather than relying on individual line detections. This technique enables measurements of abundances from relatively low-S/N spectra. Because spectral synthesis measures Fe abundances directly from Fe lines and does not rely on intermediary calibrants (in contrast to empirical methods, like CaT-based metallicities), it is applicable over an arbitrary range of metallicity. Furthermore, the \(\chi^2\) minimization routine that compares the observed spectrum to synthetic spectra can be instructed to operate on just one atomic species. Hence, \([\alpha/Fe]\) can be measured separately from [Fe/H]. This technique has the potential to provide significantly higher fidelity [Fe/H] estimates, with fewer assumptions, than achievable via CMD-based metallicity estimates or estimates of [Fe/H] derived from measurements of the CaT. Moreover, [\(\alpha/Fe\)] abundances cannot be reliably determined for RGB stars by other means.

We employ the synthetic spectral grids of Kirby et al. (2008, 2010) and Kirby (2011). The wavelength-calibrated, sky-subtracted, one-dimensional spectra are shifted to the rest frame, and an initial continuum normalization is performed. The resulting spectra are compared to the grid of synthetic spectra to simultaneously fit for effective temperature \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and [Fe/H]. The determined values for these parameters are then held constant as the average \([\alpha/Fe]\) (computed from regions of the spectrum sensitive to Mg, Si, Ca, and Ti) is determined. The original spectrum is then divided by the best-fit synthetic spectrum in order to refine the determination of the continuum. These steps are iterated until the continuum converges. The revised spectrum, with the final continuum normalization, is then refit to determine [Fe/H]. As in the previous steps, [Fe/H] is then held constant to determine \([\alpha/Fe]\).

Random uncertainties in the fit parameters are estimated from the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix. The total uncertainties in the [Fe/H] and \([\alpha/Fe]\) estimates are the quadrature sum of the random uncertainty and the systematic error in [Fe/H] and \([\alpha/Fe]\) (\(\sigma_{\alpha/Fe} = 0.101 \text{ and } 0.084\), respectively), measured as described by Kirby et al. (2010).

Kirby et al. (2008, 2010) demonstrated that with the spectral synthesis technique, [Fe/H] and \([\alpha/Fe]\) of red giant branch stars can be measured to a precision of 0.2 dex from spectra with S/N ~15 Å\(^{-1}\) obtained with the DEIMOS 1200 line mm\(^{-1}\) grating. Vargas et al. (2014a) applied this same technique to DEIMOS spectra of RGB stars in M31 dSphs. They tested the retrieval of

Figure 4. Photometric [Fe/H]\(_{\text{phot}}\) estimates (the basis of all previous estimates of the metallicity of stars in M31’s GSS) as a function of heliocentric velocity for all M31 stars in the field. Stars with successful [Fe/H] measurements derived from the spectral synthesis fitting (Section 3) are color coded by the probability that the star belongs to either of the two tidal debris features (Section 2.2) identified in the field \(p_{\text{phot}} = 1 - p_{\text{syn}}\). Stars with evidence of TiO features in their spectrum, which are not included in the analysis, are denoted by transparent points, and stars without successful [Fe/H] measurements are denoted by small gray points. The solid lines show the 50th-percentile mean velocities (\(\mu\); see Figure 13) of the two kinematically cold components in this field (gray for the GSS and beige for the KCC); darker and lighter shadings denote \(\pm 1\) and \(\pm 2\) times the 50th-percentile velocity dispersion (\(\sigma\); see Figure 13) of each component, respectively. The systemic velocity of M31 is denoted by the gray-dashed line. Stars likely associated with the GSS and KCC appear to span similar ranges of [Fe/H]\(_{\text{phot}}\), while the majority of metal-poor stars appear likely to belong to the underlying inner halo component.

Reflect the uncertainty in [Fe/H]\(_{\text{phot}}\) due to the photometric uncertainties. The probabilities that each star belongs to substructure were calculated using the 50th-percentile values for the model parameters in this field (Appendix; Gilbert et al. 2018). We analyze these two features separately below and discuss the implications of our analysis in the context of previous observational and theoretical work in Section 6.
[Fe/H] and [α/Fe] using synthetic spectra degraded to the resolution and S/N of the M31 sample. They found that the recovered parameters remained accurate over the range of [Fe/H] values covered by our sample, even at S/N < 15 Å⁻¹ for [α/Fe] and S/N < 8 Å⁻¹ for [Fe/H], albeit with decreased precision at low S/N.

Of the 112 M31 RGB stars in the sample, 98 have converged [Fe/H] measurements from the spectral synthesis analysis, and 77 have converged [α/Fe] measurements. We require a well-constrained minimum in the χ² contours for each of the parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$, [Fe/H], and (for [α/Fe] measurements) [α/Fe]. This removes 8 and 14 stars from the [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] samples, resulting in 90 and 63 stars with [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] measurements, respectively. The 22 stars with failed [Fe/H] measurements or removed from the sample due to insufficiently constrained χ² contours are likely to be relatively metal rich based on their colors and magnitudes: 16 have [Fe/H]_{phot} estimates greater than the median [Fe/H] of the final sample.

TiO absorption features were not included in the line lists used to produce the model spectra, and these features can be present throughout much of the wavelength range of the observed spectra. Since we have not validated the fidelity of abundance measurements made with the current library of model spectra for stars with TiO features, we exclude all stars with signatures of TiO absorption in their spectra (at $\lambda\lambda \sim 7500$–7250 Å) from this analysis. Excluding stars with TiO absorption features results in 61 stars with [Fe/H] measurements and 41 stars with [α/Fe] measurements.

Figure 5 displays the [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] measurements as a function of the line-of-sight velocities. Stars with line-of-sight velocities consistent with the GSS and the KCC appear to have similar mean [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances, and to span a similar range in [Fe/H] and [α/Fe]. This will be explored further in Section 4.

Figure 5 also shows the locations of stars with clear TiO features (transparent points). These stars span the full range of line-of-sight velocities of M31 RGB stars in the field. There are twice as many TiO stars with velocities consistent with the halo component than with either the KCC or GSS components, despite the fact that the velocity model for this field has the three components present in equal fractions. (The 50th-percentile values for the fraction in each component are 33% in the GSS, 32% in the KCC, and 34% in the halo; see Appendix.) The numbers of stars with TiO features are roughly similar in the GSS and KCC. Because RGB stars with TiO features are cool, relatively metal-rich stars, the exclusion of these stars from the component-level abundance distribution functions (DFs) is expected to bias the mean of the measured [Fe/H] distribution function (MDF) to more metal-poor values. Based on the relative number of TiO stars likely to belong to each component, this bias is expected to be somewhat larger for the halo MDF than the GSS and KCC MDFs.

Figure 6 shows the [Fe/H] distributions of stars with successful measurements. Due to the number and strength of Fe lines in the available spectral regions, [Fe/H] abundances can be measured in a greater fraction of the spectroscopic sample than [α/Fe]. The stars with [α/Fe] measurements span nearly the full range of measured [Fe/H] values, and there is no apparent bias in the [Fe/H] distribution of stars with successful [α/Fe] measurements if no uncertainty cut is made on the sample. The mean values of the distributions are $(\text{[Fe/H]}) = -0.8 \pm 0.05$ for all stars with [Fe/H] measurements as well as for stars that also have [α/Fe] measurements. If only stars with [α/Fe] uncertainties $< 0.4$ dex are considered, $(\text{[Fe/H]}) = -0.9 \pm 0.07$, which is consistent with the mean of the full [Fe/H] sample at the $\sim 1\sigma$ level. We include only [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] measurements with uncertainties $< 0.4$ dex in the computation of the relevant DFs. This does not remove any further [Fe/H] measurements, but does remove additional [α/Fe] measurements, resulting in 21 stars with [α/Fe] measurements passing all quality criteria.

Figure 7 displays [α/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] for stars with [α/Fe] measurements passing all quality criteria, including [α/Fe] uncertainties $\sigma(\text{[α/Fe]}) < 0.4$ dex. Intriguingly, the final sample of stars with [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] measurements show the “knee” feature characteristic of stellar populations that have extended SFHs, continuing to form stars out of gas that has been polluted by the Fe-rich, α-poor ejecta of SNe Ia.
Figure 6. Distribution of [Fe/H] measurements that pass all criteria for inclusion in the final [Fe/H] sample (Section 3). Cool RGB stars with evidence of TiO absorption in their spectra are excluded. Stars that also have successful [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements cover an equivalent range of [Fe/H], with a similar distribution and fully consistent mean [Fe/H] values. The [Fe/H] distribution of stars with [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements that have uncertainties <0.4 dex is also similar, with a mean [Fe/H] that is only slightly (0.1 dex) more metal poor.

Figure 7. [$\alpha$/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] for M31 RGB stars in the field with successful abundance measurements. As in Figure 5, points are color coded by the probability that they belong to one of the two tidal debris features identified in the field. The stellar population in this field is significantly α-enhanced at [Fe/H] $\lesssim -0.9$ dex, with decreasing α-enhancement with increasing [Fe/H] above [Fe/H] $\gtrsim -0.9$ dex.

Furthermore, the location of the knee at the relatively metal-rich value of [Fe/H] $\sim -0.9$ dex implies the stellar population self-enriched relatively rapidly before the onset of SNe Ia. This is consistent with the interpretation that a significant fraction of the stars in this field were deposited by a fairly massive progenitor that merged with M31 only within the last several gigayears (e.g., Fardal et al. 2013; D’Souza & Bell 2018b; Hammer et al. 2018). The abundance measurements with uncertainties greater than 0.4 dex, which pass all other sample selection criteria, are largely consistent in the [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] plane with the measurements that pass the uncertainty cut. We compare the [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] distribution of stars in this field within the broader context of M31 abundances, and discuss the implications for different merger scenarios, in Sections 5 and 6.

Table 2 presents the stellar parameters from the spectral synthesis fitting for the final sample of stars with [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements. These stars have passed all quality criteria, including a well-constrained minimum in the $\chi^2$ contours for each of the parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$, [Fe/H], and (for [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements) [$\alpha$/Fe], uncertainties in the abundance measurements of <0.4 dex, and no apparent TiO absorption in their spectra.

4. Abundance Distributions of the Individual M31 Components

A clean separation of the three previously identified kinematical components among the M31 stars in this field cannot be achieved using simple cuts on the observed line-of-sight velocities (Figure 3). Instead, we derive probabilistic abundance distributions based on the probability that a given star belongs to each component. This maximizes completeness while minimizing contamination in the resulting component-level abundance DFs. We describe the computation of the probabilistic abundance distributions in Section 4.1, assess the impact of uncertainties in the velocity model in Section 4.2, and discuss sources of systematic bias in the MDFs in Section 4.3.

4.1. Computation of Probabilistic Abundance Distributions

The probabilistic DFs are computed as the sum of normalized Gaussians, $\mathcal{G}_i$, with the mean given by a star’s abundance measurement ($\mu_i$) and the standard deviation given by the uncertainty in the abundance measurement ($\sigma_i$). The contribution of each star, $\mathcal{G}_i$, to the sum for a given component $j$ is weighted by the probability that the star belongs to that component, $p_{ij}$. Finally, the summed $\mathcal{G}_i$s are divided by the sum of the probabilities, resulting in a normalized DF for component $j$:

$$D_j = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{stars}}} p_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{stars}}} p_{ij} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i, \sigma_i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

For each star, the observed line-of-sight velocity is used to compute initial probabilities, $p_{ij}^{\text{ini}}$, that it belongs to the OSS, the KCC, and the kinematically hot halo using the velocity model of Gilbert et al. (2018) (Appendix). These probabilities are used to calculate an initial probabilistic MDF for each component, $D_j^{\text{ini}}([\text{Fe/H}])$ (dashed curves, Figure 8). However, given the overlap in velocity space of the M31 components in this field (Figure 3), a given star may be more probable to belong to one component based on velocity alone while in reality it belongs to a different component. Thus, we utilize the [Fe/H] measurements to refine the abundance DFs for each component.

After computing the initial MDFs $[D_j^{\text{ini}}([\text{Fe/H}])]$ for each component based on probabilities derived solely from line-of-sight velocity [$p_{ij}^{\text{ini}}(v_i)$], we use these MDFs in conjunction with the velocity model to compute the joint probability that star $i$, with velocity $v_i$ and metallicity [Fe/H]$_i$, was drawn from component $j$:

$$p_{ij}(v_i, [\text{Fe/H}]_i) = \frac{p_{ij}(v_i)p_{ij}([\text{Fe/H}])}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} p_{ik}(v_i)p_{ik}([\text{Fe/H}]_i)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where the normalization term enforces the assumption that the star is drawn from one of the three components. In
and 29)

Note. Measurements of [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] included here pass all quality criteria discussed in Section 3. Stars with evidence of TiO in their spectra have been excluded from the final sample of abundances.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

Figure 8. Probabilistic MDFs for each of the three M31 components present in the field, derived using the 50th-percentage parameter values of the velocity model (Section 4.1). Dashed curves show MDFs produced by weighting each star's contribution by the probability the star belongs to each of the three components based solely on its velocity. Solid curves show the MDFs produced when including previous iterations of the MDF of each component to compute the joint probability the star belongs to each of the three components, including both the star's velocity and [Fe/H]. In general, iterations including the MDF affect primarily the halo and KCC component, which is expected based on the greater overlap between these two components in the velocity model. However, even for these two components, including MDFs from the prior iteration in the calculation of the probabilities has only a mild effect on the final component DFs.

Equation (2), $p_{ij}(v_i)$ is computed from the M31 halo velocity model evaluated at $v_i$ (Appendix and Gilbert et al. 2018):

$$p_{ij}(v_i) = \frac{f_j \mathcal{G}(v_i|\mu_j, \sigma_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} f_k \mathcal{G}(v_i|\mu_k, \sigma_k)},$$

where $\mu_j$ is the mean velocity, $\sigma_j$ is the velocity dispersion, and $f_j$ is the fraction of stars belonging to the $j$th component (constrained to $\sum_{k=1}^{3} f_k = 1$). The [Fe/H]-based probability in Equation (2), $p_{ij}([\text{Fe/H}])$, is given by the previously computed $D_j$ evaluated at [Fe/H]:

$$p_{ij}([\text{Fe/H}]) = \frac{D_j([\text{Fe/H}])}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} D_k([\text{Fe/H}])}.$$

The joint probabilities from Equation (2) are used in Equation (1) to compute a new MDF. This process is then iterated, with MDFs ($D_j([\text{Fe/H}])$) computed in the previous step used to compute a new joint probability for each star, and the new joint probabilities used to compute updated MDFs. This process was continued until the joint probabilities, $p_{ij}(v_i, [\text{Fe/H}])$, converged. Convergence was declared when all $p_{ij}(v_i, [\text{Fe/H}])$ changed by less than 0.02% from the previous iteration to the current iteration. This criterion was found to be more than sufficient to achieve stability in the calculated MDFs.

Figure 8 displays the probabilistic component-level [Fe/H] DFs based on the initial velocity-based $p_{ij}^\text{init}(v_i)$ and final joint $p_{ij}^\text{final}(v_i, [\text{Fe/H}])$ probabilities, calculated using the 50th-percentage values for the velocity model parameters. The differences between the initial $[D_j^\text{init}([\text{Fe/H}])]$ and computed using only $p_{ij}(v_i)$ and final DFs are small. This is expected, as Figure 5 indicates there are relatively small differences in the range and mean values of [Fe/H] between the three components in the field. Although there is considerable overlap in the [Fe/H] distributions, the halo component includes a larger fraction of metal-poor stars than the GSS or KCC components, while the KCC component appears to be slightly more metal rich than the GSS. The 16th, 50th, and 84th percentiles of the cumulative [Fe/H] probabilistic DF for each component are reported in Table 1.

Finally, we use the converged joint probabilities, $p_{ij}^\text{final}$, for every star with an [$\alpha$/Fe] measurement passing all quality criteria (Section 3) to produce a probabilistic representation of the [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] distribution for each component. In analogy with the procedure used for the one-dimensional DFs, a single star’s contribution to a component’s two-dimensional DF is represented as a two-dimensional Gaussian, with mean and sigmas given by the [$\alpha$/Fe] and [Fe/H] measurements and measurement uncertainties, assuming no covariance, and with a weight proportional to its probability of belonging to that component. The total DF is the sum over all stars with [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements.

Figure 9 shows the probabilistic [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] contours, separated into kinematic components using the 50th-percentage parameter values from the velocity model (Appendix and Figure 13). Also plotted for comparison are stars within $\pm 2\sigma_v$ of the mean velocity of the GSS and KCC component; the halo panel shows stars that have velocities further removed than $2\sigma_v$ of both the GSS and KCC components. The individual measurements are included in Figure 9 in order to give a sense of the relative size of the stellar sample that contributes to each two-dimensional DF.
fully consistent with the $[\alpha/Fe]$ versus $[Fe/H]$ distribution of GSS stars.

4.2. Assessment of the Impact of Uncertainties in the Velocity Model

The previous section presented the probabilistic component-level DFs for $[Fe/H]$ and $[\alpha/Fe]$ using the 50th-percentile values of the marginalized one-dimensional posterior probability DFs for each of the parameters of the Gilbert et al. (2018) velocity model for this field. However, analyzing these DFs alone ignores the known uncertainties and covariances in the velocity model parameters (Appendix, Figure 13).

We therefore repeated the calculation of component-level MDFs described above, using different values for the parameters in the velocity model. We made 2000 random draws from the MCMC chains published in Gilbert et al. (2018). Each draw yields a set of parameters for the mean velocities, velocity dispersions, and mixing fractions of each component in the field. The analysis described in Section 4.1 was performed for each draw. This utilized the full posterior probability distributions computed by Gilbert et al. (2018) to estimate the variance in the component-level DFs caused by uncertainties in the underlying velocity model for this field.

The resulting DFs are shown in Figure 10. The range of DFs for each component reflects the impact of uncertainties in the velocity model parameters on the probability that star $i$ is drawn from component $j$. This confirms that the GSS and KCC MDFs are quite similar, with substantial overlap between the two MDFs. The subtle differences between the GSS and KCC MDFs are in fact qualitatively similar to what was seen in the comparison of the photometrically derived MDFs of the GSS and the KCC presented by Gilbert et al. (2009b, their Figure 17), with a slight overabundance of stars at the metal-rich end ($[Fe/H] \gtrsim -0.7$ dex) in the KCC component compared to the GSS component. While the halo MDF is on average more metal poor than either the GSS or KCC MDFs, $[Fe/H] > -1.0$ dex for approximately half of the stars in the halo component.

4.3. Sources of Bias in the Observed MDFs

Observed MDFs of stars near the tip of the RGB are prone to a systematic bias against the recovery of $[Fe/H]$ measurements for metal-rich stars. This is due in part to the inherently redder colors and fainter $I$-band magnitudes of metal-rich stars, leading to a lower spectral $S/N$. This is shown by the isochrones in Figure 2. For the most metal-rich isochrones, the tip of the RGB is a half magnitude to a magnitude fainter than the tip of the metal-poor RGB.

This effect is partially mitigated by the strength of the Fe lines in metal-rich stars. Moreover, our spectroscopic targets lie well below the tip of the RGB, with a significant fraction of the targets below the magnitude at which the “turnover” in the metal-rich isochrones occur (Figure 2). Nevertheless, Figure 2 shows that the spectral synthesis-based $[Fe/H]$ measurements failed for the reddest of the spectroscopic targets. However, similar numbers of stars with very red colors have failed $[Fe/H]$ measurements across the three components. Of stars with failed $[Fe/H]$ measurements and $[Fe/H]_{\text{phot}} > -0.5$, 3 have velocities consistent with the halo component, 4 with the GSS, and 5 with the KCC (Figure 4). Since the MDFs (as well as the CMD distribution) of stars in all three components are
fairly similar, the magnitude of the bias introduced by the failure to recover [Fe/H] for the reddest stars is likely to be similar across all three components, and thus is unlikely to significantly affect the relative comparison of the component-level MDFs.

However, the exclusion of cool, metal-rich stars with TiO features in their spectra results in an additional bias against recovery of the metal-rich end of the MDFs. Moreover, exclusion of these stars will have a larger effect on the halo MDF than the GSS and KCC MDFs. While the three components comprise similar fractions of the stellar population in the field, there are approximately twice as many stars with TiO absorption and velocities that are consistent with the halo component (14 stars) than with either the GSS (8 stars) or the KCC (7 stars) components (Figure 5). Thus, the true halo MDF may in fact have more metal-rich stars than indicated by Figure 10.

Finally, we note that there are additional biases, unrelated to observational completeness effects, in MDFs derived from the RGB. Manning & Cole (2017) demonstrated that metal-rich intermediate-age to old stars (>6 Gyr) are underrepresented relative to their true densities in the top two magnitudes of the RGB. The magnitude of this bias is dependent on the SFH and chemical evolution of the population. If the SFH of the GSS, KCC, and halo are fairly similar, this will have little impact on the relative comparison of the MDFs. For a purely old (>10 Gyr) stellar population, this bias is negligible. Therefore, if the underlying halo is purely old (while the GSS and KCC are not; Brown et al. 2006a), the difference in the true underlying MDFs between the halo and substructure in this field is likely larger than observed. However, existing SFHs indicate that M31’s halo is likely more complex than a monolithically old population (Brown et al. 2008).

5. The Abundances of the GSS Field in Context

In this section, we compare the [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] abundances of stars in the GSS field with the [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] abundances of stars in M31’s dSphs and elsewhere in M31’s stellar halo (Figure 1). We also compare the GSS field abundances to those of stars in the progenitor of the MW’s largest tidal stream, Sagittarius.

Figure 11 compares the [$\alpha$/Fe] and [Fe/H] abundances of stars in the GSS field with abundances of stars belonging to M31 dwarf satellites (Vargas et al. 2014a), ordered by increasing stellar mass. We have compared our measurements to those published by Vargas et al. (2014a) using a set of M31 dSph stars observed in both surveys (E. Kirby et al. in preparation). While we find a small offset in [Fe/H] between Vargas et al.’s and our measurements ([Fe/H] = [Fe/H]$_{\text{Kirby}} + 0.2–0.3$ dex, indicated in Figure 11), the [$\alpha$/Fe] measurements are consistent within the uncertainties. Thus, the true differences in [Fe/H] between the GSS field and M31’s dSphs are slightly larger than indicated in Figure 11.

The abundances of the stars in the GSS field are more comparable to the stellar abundances of the most massive satellites in the sample (NGC 147 and NGC 185). The GSS field is significantly more metal rich than the less massive M31 dSphs. This is fully consistent with the interpretation that the progenitor of the GSS was a relatively massive satellite that was tidally disrupted during a recent encounter with M31 (Section 6). Stars in the GSS field are also on average more $\alpha$-enhanced than the surviving M31 dwarf satellites for which there are abundance measurements. Therefore, the progenitor of the GSS reached a higher metallicity than most surviving satellites by the time SNe Ia began to explode. This indicates that it experienced a higher efficiency of star formation (mass of stars formed per mass of gas).

Figure 12 compares the [$\alpha$/Fe] and [Fe/H] abundances of stars in the GSS field with abundances of M31 halo stars in other fields, as well as stars in the core of the Sagittarius dSph. Existing M31 halo star measurements prior to our survey consisted of four stars in M31’s outer halo with abundances measured by Vargas et al. (2014b), which were identified in three dSph fields (And III, And XIII, and And II) at distances from M31’s center of $R_{\text{proj}} = 69$, 117, and 144 kpc, respectively. These stars were identified as halo stars, rather than dSph members, by virtue of being well removed from the locus of dSph member stars in either line-of-sight velocity or spatial position. Two of the outer halo stars have velocities
consistent with that of their nearby dSph, but spatial positions that place them well beyond the dSph’s tidal radius \citep{Vargas2014b}.

Also shown in Figure 12 are abundances of 11 stars in an inner halo field (“f130,” Figure 1) at \(R_{\text{proj}} = 22\) kpc on M31’s minor axis, recently published by \cite{Escala2019}. This inner halo minor axis field lies several kpc in projection beyond the outermost edge of the GSS-related shell feature identified along the minor axis by \cite{Gilbert2007}. In contrast to the GSS field, stars in the inner halo minor axis field show no evidence of distinct tidal debris features. They are well mixed in velocity versus metallicity space, and they are consistent with being drawn from a single Gaussian with a relatively large velocity dispersion.

On average, the stars in the GSS field are significantly more metal rich than stars in either the inner halo minor axis field or M31’s outer halo; this is true for any of the components in the field, including the halo component. Stars in the GSS field and the inner halo minor axis field are on average more \(\alpha\)-enhanced than the M31 outer halo stars, with stars in the two inner halo fields having a similar range of \([\alpha/Fe]\) abundances. The existing measurements indicate a potential systematic difference between \([\alpha/Fe]\) versus \([Fe/H]\) abundance distributions of stars in M31’s inner and outer halo. However, given the very small number of outer halo stars with existing measurements and the complexity of M31’s inner halo, larger samples will be required to make quantitative statements about the trends of \([\alpha/Fe]\) and \([Fe/H]\) with projected distance in M31’s stellar halo.

The Sagittarius sample is chosen using the selection criteria of \cite{Majewski2013} and \cite{Hasselquist2017}, which isolate RGB stars in the region of the Sagittarius core. The abundances are drawn from APOGEE DR14 \citep{Majewski2017}. The GSS field is significantly more alpha-enhanced than
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\caption{Comparison of the \([\alpha/Fe]\) vs. \([Fe/H]\) distribution of stars in the GSS field with stars in M31 dwarf satellite galaxies \citep{Vargas2014a} covering a range of stellar mass and metallicity (Section 5). Transparent points show measurements from Vargas et al. with a large mean uncertainty (>0.4) in \([\alpha/Fe]\). The stellar masses of the dwarf satellites listed in each panel are computed using luminosity estimates by Tollerud et al. (2012, And I, III, V, VII, and X) or compiled by McConnachie (2012, And H, N147, and N185) and assume the stellar mass-to-light ratios compiled by \cite{Woo2008}. The arrow in the And X panel shows the typical offset in \([Fe/H]\) measurements between Vargas et al. (2014a) and our measurements: Vargas et al.’s \([Fe/H]\) measurements are systematically more metal rich. The abundances of stars in the GSS field are most similar to the more massive of M31’s surviving dwarf satellite galaxies, implying that the tidal debris in this field was deposited by a fairly massive progenitor. The higher average \(\alpha\)-enhancement of the GSS indicates it also had a higher efficiency of star formation.}
\end{figure}
Sagittarius. The difference in their [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] distributions indicate that the two populations experienced fundamentally different SFHs. Sagittarius must have formed stars slowly over a timescale extended enough for SNe Ia to depress [$\alpha$/Fe] to subsolar values. Hasselquist et al. interpreted some of the deficiency in $\alpha$-elements as evidence of a top-light initial mass function (IMF), but Mucciarelli et al. (2017) argued that a normal IMF could also produce the observed [$\alpha$/Fe] trend in Sagittarius. IMF variations are unlikely to explain the steeply declining trend of [$\alpha$/Fe] versus [Fe/H] that we observe in the GSS. Rather, the progenitor to the GSS seems to have experienced a rapid decline in star formation rate (SFR) at late times, allowing SNe Ia to overtake core collapse SNe as the dominant sites of nucleosynthesis. This is consistent with both the SFH measured from deep HST imaging (Brown et al. 2006a, 2006b) and the merger scenarios posited as the origin of the GSS (Section 6). In comparison, the late-time evolution of Sagittarius seems to reflect a nearly steady state of star formation, where [$\alpha$/Fe] is low but evolving very slowly.

Abundance measurements in the LMC and SMC show that these galaxies, while more $\alpha$-enhanced than Sagittarius, are also significantly less $\alpha$-enhanced than stars in the GSS field, with mean [$\alpha$/Fe] abundances near 0 dex over a large range in metallicity, requiring very low star formation efficiencies (e.g., Pompéia et al. 2008; Lapenna et al. 2012; Van der Swaelmen et al. 2013; Nidever et al. 2019). Recent work by Nidever et al. (2019) constrains the “$\alpha$-knee” in both the LMC and SMC to [Fe/H] $\lesssim -2.2$, in stark contrast to the location of the $\alpha$-knee in the GSS field at [Fe/H] $\sim -0.9$ as well as the location of the $\alpha$-knee in other MW dwarf galaxies (including at [$\alpha$/Fe] = −1.3 in the Sgr stream de Boer et al. 2014). Nidever et al. (2019) postulate that this would be consistent with the Magellanic Clouds (MCs) forming in a more isolated environment, and falling into the MW potential for the first time only recently (Besla et al. 2007, 2012). The GSS progenitor, however, appears to have largely stopped forming stars by $\sim$6 Gyr ago (Brown et al. 2006a), indicating that although it may have disrupted as recently as $\lesssim$1 Gyr ago, it is likely to have been influenced by M31’s gravitational potential well before then.

6. Discussion

6.1. Implications for the Minor versus Major Merger Scenarios

High-resolution numerical simulations of the formation of the GSS through a minor merger have been extremely successful in reproducing the observed stellar density and line-of-sight stellar velocity distributions in M31’s GSS and have demonstrated that a series of shells in M31’s inner regions is in fact the continuation of the GSS. These models indicate the GSS progenitor had an initial stellar mass of $1-5 \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$ (placing it in a comparable range of stellar mass as that of the LMC and M33) and experienced a disruptive pericentric passage with M31 $\lesssim$1 Gyr ago (Fardal et al. 2006, 2007, 2008, 2012, 2013; Mori & Rich 2008; Kirihara et al. 2014, 2017; Sadoun et al. 2014). However, morphological differences between M31 and the MW (see Hammer et al. 2018, and references therein), as well as the recent discoveries of a rotating inner halo (Dorman et al. 2012), a population of heated disk stars with halo-like kinematics (Dorman et al. 2013), a steep age–velocity dispersion relation (Dorman et al. 2015), and a global burst of star formation in M31’s disk 2–4 Gyr ago (Bernard et al. 2012, 2015; Williams et al. 2017), have motivated efforts to determine if a single major merger scenario, rather than a series of minor mergers, could produce M31’s disturbed morphology.

Recent analysis of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations of M31 analogs (Hammer et al. 2018) suggests the possibility that a stream with the morphology of the GSS and a shell system like that observed in M31 could have been formed from a merger of M31 with a significantly more massive progenitor than implied from the numerical simulations of a minor merger. These simulations indicate that a major merger (stellar mass ratio $<4.5:1$, Hammer et al. 2018) occurring several Gyr ago could have formed not only the GSS and associated shells, but also built M31’s inner halo, influenced the structure of the outer halo, and shaped the morphology of M31’s disk. Moreover, a comparison of the halo properties of M31 with those of statistical ensembles of galaxies simulated in a cosmological volume indicates that such a major merger is statistically likely to have occurred (D’Souza & Bell 2018b).

The measurements of [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] for stars in the GSS shed light on the environment in which these stars formed. If the GSS’ progenitor galaxy obeyed the mass–metallicity relation for dwarf galaxies in the Local Group (Kirby et al. 2013), then it would have had a stellar mass of at least $\sim\frac{0.5-2}{10^9}M_\odot$, which covers a range from slightly more massive than the present day stellar mass of M32 to slightly less massive than the LMC (van der Marel et al. 2002; McConnachie 2012). However, this estimate is based on the median [Fe/H] of stars with successful [Fe/H] measurements in the GSS component ($\sim -0.8$, Figure 10), which is a lower limit on the median [Fe/H] of the true distribution (Section 4.3).

We can roughly estimate the magnitude of the bias introduced in the GSS MDF by the failed [Fe/H] measurements, as well as those removed based on the $\chi^2$ contours for $T_{\text{eff}}$ and [Fe/H], by assuming their [Fe/H]$_{\text{phot}}$ values are...
accurate and computing the median (mean) [Fe/H] of stars with velocities within 2σv of the mean velocity of the GSS. This results in an estimate of \((\langle [Fe/H] \rangle = -0.68 \pm 0.74)\) from 27 stars, 19 of which have spectroscopic [Fe/H] measurements. The median [Fe/H] is likely more accurate, as the lower value for the mean is driven by one star with \([Fe/H]_{\text{phot}} < -2.5\), and in general the stars in this field with the lowest \([Fe/H]_{\text{phot}}\) estimates have significantly higher spectroscopic [Fe/H] estimates (Figures 4 and 5). An \([Fe/H] \approx -0.68\) is consistent with a progenitor of higher stellar mass: \(\sim 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot\) (assuming an extension of the relation derived by Kirby et al. 2013), fully consistent with the progenitor masses derived from the minor merger simulations.

While we cannot reliably estimate the effect of the exclusion of stars with TiO on the mean [Fe/H] of the GSS, a progenitor as massive as those invoked in the major merger scenarios (e.g., roughly \(M_\odot \sim 2.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot\), D’Souza & Bell 2018b; Hammer et al. 2018) would require \((\langle [Fe/H] \rangle \sim 0.0 \pm 0.2\) to lie on the \(z = 0\) stellar mass–metallicity relation measured from Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) galaxies (Leethachawalit et al. 2019). The eight stars with velocities consistent with the GSS velocity that were excluded due to the presence of TiO cannot drive the mean [Fe/H] of the GSS high enough to be even marginally consistent with this relation. This remains true even for a smaller progenitor with a stellar mass of \(10^{10} M_\odot\).

However, a star-forming progenitor of this size would be expected to have a metallicity gradient, and the SDSS metallicities from which the \(z = 0\) mass–metallicity relation are derived are measured in the central regions. Thus, the [Fe/H] of the GSS is not a priori inconsistent with a major merger scenario if the stars in the GSS are not primarily from the center of the progenitor. In Hammer et al. (2018) simulations of major mergers in M31-like halos, features similar to the GSS form from stars drawn from a large area within the progenitor, with 90% of the stars drawn from a radial range of \(\sim 5\)–20 kpc (F. Hammer 2019, private communication). Fardal et al. (2008) explored a minor merger scenario with a disk-galaxy progenitor with \(M_\odot \sim 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot\) and a metallicity gradient consistent with that of M33. In this simulation, the core of the GSS is dominated by relatively metal-rich stars that originated close to the center of the galaxy (within a couple disk scale lengths from the center; their Figures 1 and 2). The metallicity of the GSS field presented here may therefore place useful observational constraints not only on the mass of the progenitor, but also on where in the progenitor the stream stars originated, regardless of whether the GSS was formed in a major or minor merger.

6.2. Origin of the KCC

Gilbert et al. (2009b, hereafter referred to as G09) discussed in detail the potential origins of the second kinematically cold feature in this field, which is also seen in an adjoining spectroscopic field (“H13s,” Figure 1; Kalirai et al. 2006b). G09 ruled out an extended stellar disk origin for the feature, based in part on the constant ratio of stars in each component over the \(\sim 30\) kpc in the disk plane covered by the two spectroscopic fields. While an unrelated substructure could not be ruled out as the KCC’s origin, G09 concluded that a direct physical association between the two features provided a natural explanation for the tight correlation of the mean velocity of the GSS and KCC as a function of position throughout the 7 kpc in projected distance from M31’s center covered by the two fields, as well as the similarities in the CMD distribution ([Fe/H]phot) of the stars.

The similarity of the spectroscopic [Fe/H] distributions of the GSS and KCC as well as the consistency between the \([\alpha/Fe]\) abundances of the KCC and GSS components, provide further evidence for a potential physical link between the two features and simultaneously makes it more difficult to support an extended stellar disk origin for the KCC. Possible GSS-related origins discussed by G09 include a bifurcation of the GSS and an extension of M31’s western shelf. While bifurcations in line-of-sight velocities can in principle be produced in tidal debris features, a possible impediment to this explanation is the large separation in velocity of the two observed features (\(\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\)). None of the minor merger models of the encounter published to date have produced a bifurcation in the GSS that would lead to the observed signature.

M31’s western shelf is part of the forward continuation of the GSS. Observations of M31’s western shelf have measured the velocities of stars in this debris feature, which is a three-dimensional shell (Fardal et al. 2012). The shell feature would need to extend nearly 180° in position angle in order to reach the position of these two GSS fields. If it was perfectly symmetric, the velocities of stars in the KCC would be offset by \(\sim -30\) to \(-40\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the negative velocity caustic of the shell, and by \(\sim -40\) to \(-50\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the mean velocities of the stars aligned along the caustic (Figure 8 of Fardal et al. 2012). Moreover, the stars observed in the western shelf primarily populate the positive velocity caustic. There is no indication of a velocity peak corresponding to the positive velocity caustic (which would be expected at \(\sim -200\) km s\(^{-1}\) in this GSS field). However, there are multiple potential deviations from symmetry that could be induced in the shell over a position angle this large.

Recent simulations of major mergers in M31-like halos (Hammer et al. 2018) have introduced a third possibility: that the KCC is part of a previous wrap of the stream. In this scenario, multiple loops of tidal debris (preceding and including the GSS) are viewed in projection along the loop plane. Hammer et al. (2018) proposed that multiple superposed loops of the stream could provide a natural explanation for the double peaked probability distributions found in some fields by Conn et al. (2016), who measured the line-of-sight distance of the GSS as a function of position along the stream. Initial analysis of one of the Hammer et al. (2018) models that includes multiple superposed loops indicates that a \(\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) offset in line-of-sight velocity between multiple loops can be produced (Yang 2019, private communication).

While the [Fe/H] and \([\alpha/Fe]\) abundances of the KCC and GSS in this field provide additional support for a physical connection between the KCC and GSS, the origin of the KCC remains ambiguous. There remains at least one viable GSS-related origin for the KCC in both the minor (extension of the western shelf) and major (a loop preceding the GSS) merger scenarios. Additional modeling and analysis will be required to assess the viability of either of these potential origins. However, if they remain viable, the assumption of either origin could be used in conjunction with the observed velocity and abundance distributions to place meaningful constraints on future models of the merger.

7. Summary

We have presented the first \([\alpha/Fe]\) measurements, and the first [Fe/H] measurements derived from the strength of Fe lines
via spectral synthesis, for stars in M31’s GSS. We utilized previous kinematical modeling of this field to compare the [Fe/H] distributions of stars in each of the three M31 components present in the field: the GSS, a second component with a narrow velocity distribution (the KCC), and the underlying M31 stellar halo. The GSS and KCC have very similar [Fe/H] distributions, while the halo is slightly more metal poor. The similarity of the [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] distributions of the GSS and KCC provides additional support for the possibility that the KCC is physically related to the GSS.

The distribution of [α/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] indicates that the stars in this field were drawn from an environment that experienced a higher efficiency of star formation than that of the surviving M31 dwarf satellites (at least those with abundance measurements): the stars in the GSS field on average have higher [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] abundances, and their [α/Fe] abundances decline with increasing [Fe/H] only at [Fe/H] ~ −0.9. This indicates that the environment in which the stars in this field formed enriched to a higher metallicity than the comparison M31 satellite sample before Fe-rich SNe Ia began to explode. Moreover, the steeply declining trend of [α/Fe] versus [Fe/H] observed for [Fe/H] ≥ −0.9 implies that after enriching to this metallicity, the GSS’s progenitor experienced a rapid decline in its SFR, allowing SNe Ia to overtake core collapse SNe as the dominant sites of nucleosynthesis. Biases against the recovery of [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] for redder, and likely more metal rich, stars prevent a definitive estimate of the stellar mass of the progenitor from the [Fe/H] measurements. However, the abundances in the GSS field are consistent with a progenitor for the GSS that is at least as massive as that expected from simulations that reproduce the GSS and associated debris via a minor merger.

Initial comparisons with stars in other fields in M31’s stellar halo indicate that stars in the GSS field, including the stars most likely associated with the underlying kinematically hot stellar halo component, are significantly more metal rich than both a “smooth” inner halo field (showing no evidence of substructure; Escala et al. 2019) and stars in M31’s outer halo (Vargas et al. 2014b). The few stars with [α/Fe] and [Fe/H] measurements in M31’s outer halo appear to be less α-enhanced than stars in M31’s inner halo. However, the comparison sample sizes are currently too small to draw significant conclusions. Future work will substantially increase the number of stars with abundance measurements in M31’s GSS and associated debris, as well as in halo fields uncontaminated by substructure.
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Appendix

Velocity Model

The component-level analysis in Section 4 makes use of the Gaussian mixture model of stellar kinematics in M31’s halo presented by Gilbert et al. (2018). The velocity model includes all known M31 (halo and tidal debris features) and MW (thin and thick disk and halo) components observed in the SPLASH M31 halo data set, and uses the M31 membership likelihoods discussed in Section 2 (computed without the inclusion of the velocity diagnostic) as a prior on the probability that each star belongs to the MW or M31.

Stellar kinematics, transformed to the galactocentric frame, were fit in seven radial bins. The velocity model for each bin includes both global parameters (describing the M31 halo, MW thin and thick disk, and MW halo) and field-specific parameters (describing the individual tidal debris features in each spectroscopic field), and assumes that each component is described by a Gaussian of mean velocity μ and velocity dispersion σ, and that each component contributes a fraction f of stars to either the total M31 or MW populations. A MCMC implementation (emcee; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013a, 2013b) was used to converge on the best-fit parameters, and marginalized posterior probability DFs for parameters of interest were derived from the converged MCMC chains.

The spectroscopic field analyzed here was included in the radial bin that encompassed stars located 14.1 ≤ Rproj < 24 kpc in projection from M31’s center. We drew from the Gilbert et al. (2018) MCMC chains for that radial bin to explore the uncertainties in the component MDFs due to uncertainties in the velocity model. Figure 13 shows the marginalized one- and two-dimensional posterior probability functions for all fit parameters used in Section 4, including both global parameters and parameters specific to this spectroscopic field. The velocities in Figure 13 are in the MW galactocentric frame; line-of-sight mean velocities from the model are transformed back to the heliocentric frame (for the data set presented here, vhelio = vmodel − 298.95 km s⁻¹) for the analysis described in Section 4.1.

---
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Figure 13. Marginalized one- and two-dimensional posterior probability distribution functions for each of the fit parameters relevant to this analysis (Gilbert et al. 2018). Dashed lines and column headings show the 16th, 50th, and 84th percentiles of the marginalized one-dimensional posterior probability distribution functions for each model parameter. Velocities in this figure are in the galactocentric frame. While the parameters are well constrained by the model, several have low probability tails. To capture the uncertainties inherent in assigning a velocity-based probability of membership in each component to a given star, we performed a series of random draws from the chains, iteratively deriving MDFs for each component for each random draw as described in Section 4. This figure was created using the open-source python package corner (Foreman-Mackey 2016; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2016b).
