DELCIVER AGENTS LOCALLY INTO ARTICULAR CARTILAGE BY INTENSE MHZ ULTRASOUND
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Abstract—There is no cure for osteoarthritis. Current drug delivery relies on systemic delivery or injections into the joint. Because articular cartilage (AC) degeneration can be local and drug exposure outside the lesion can cause adverse effects, localized drug delivery could permit new drug treatment strategies. We investigated whether intense megahertz ultrasound (frequency: 1.138 MHz, peak positive pressure: 2.7 MPa, $I_{ppta}$: 5 W/cm², beam width: 5.7 mm at −6 dB, duty cycle: 5%, pulse repetition frequency: 285 Hz, mechanical index: 1.1) can deliver agents into AC without damaging it. Using ultrasound, we delivered a drug surrogate down to a depth corresponding to 53% depth of the AC thickness without causing histologically detectable damage to the AC. This may be important because early osteoarthritis typically exhibits histopathologic changes in the superficial AC. In conclusion, we identify intense megahertz ultrasound as a technique that potentially enables localized non-destructive delivery of osteoarthritis drugs or drug carriers into articular cartilage. (E-mail: heikki.nieminen@helsinki.fi) © 2015 World Federation for Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology.
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INTRODUCTION

About 10% of men and 18% of women over 60 y of age have osteoarthritis (OA) (Woolf and Pfleger 2003). It is painful for the patient, limits mobility and reduces quality of life. As there is no regenerative therapy for OA, the last treatment option is usually a total joint replacement.

Research on development of disease-modifying OA drugs has been active in recent decades (Pelletier and Martel-Pelletier 2007). Currently available drug therapies were developed under the limitation of poor localization, that is, systemic delivery or injections into the joint. However, OA lesions in articular cartilage (AC) can be very localized. Therefore, local administration of the drug could potentially enhance the therapeutic effect within the degenerated tissue while avoiding adverse effects elsewhere in the body (Wieland et al. 2005). For instance, although transforming growth factor TGF-β1 speeds up AC matrix regeneration by stimulating chondrocytes, it simultaneously inflames the synovial capsule and promotes osteophyte formation (Venkatesan et al. 2013). Non-destructive localized delivery of drugs into AC could, therefore, lead to new treatment strategies for OA therapy.

Small OA drug molecules such as glucosamine (~179 Da) and diacerein (~368 Da) can move relatively freely in and out of AC, resulting in short residence times. On the other hand, penetration of large molecules (≥45 kDa) into AC is limited (Maroudas 1976). A method to force either large molecules (e.g., growth factors) or drug carriers containing small drug molecules into AC could contribute to localization and prolonged drug residence. This would potentially confine drug exposure and permit more personalized treatment than afforded by current drug techniques of delivery into AC. Clinical techniques to deliver drugs locally into AC are still to be developed, but a few studies have already attempted to force agents into AC by means of an electric field (Bajpayee et al. 2014), kilohertz ultrasound (Nieminen et al. 2012) and acoustic shock waves (Nieminen et al. 2013).

High-intensity ultrasound (HIU) can transport material through such phenomena as acoustic radiation force,
acoustic streaming and ultrasound–bubble interactions (Ahmed et al. 2009; Dayton et al. 2002). All these effects can potentially be harnessed to move agents locally inside porous materials such as AC. It is known that ultrasound can deliver agents into skin (Polat et al. 2011) and release encapsulated agents in tumor tissue (Grull and Langereis 2012). We have previously reported that low-frequency (20 kHz, 78 W/cm²) ultrasound can deliver soot particles (in the nanometer to micrometer range), models for drug carriers, locally into AC (Nieminen et al. 2012). However, we observed microcra tering of the AC surface possibly caused by inertial cavitation (Dalecki 2004). The risk of encountering this adverse phenomenon can be avoided by increasing the sonication frequency into the megahertz range. Therefore, the aim of this study was to illustrate that intense megahertz ultrasound enhances the penetration of a kDa-sized agent into AC without damaging the tissue.

**METHODS**

**Samples**

Bovine joints were obtained from a local meat refinery (Lihakonttori Oy, Helsinki, Finland). Cylindrical osteochondral plugs (samples 1–5, n = 5, diameter = 28 mm) were prepared from normal-looking femoral condyles, one sample per animal (Fig. 1). For damage assessment tests, we prepared paired osteochondral strips (samples 6–9[a, b], n = 8, width = 7 mm) from four joints with visually normal femoral condyles.

**Ultrasound experiments and damage assessment**

A custom-made 1.138-MHz focused ultrasound system (Fig. 2) (peak positive and peak negative pressure, along the acoustic axes, ±3 mm from the sample surface = 2.70 ± 0.06 and 1.18 ± 0.01 MPa, respectively; beam width = 5.7 mm at −6 dB of maximum peak pressure; \( P_{\text{SPTP}} = 488 \text{ W/cm}^2 \), \( I_{\text{PPA}} = 102 \text{ W/cm}^2 \), \( I_{\text{SPTA}} = 5 \text{ W/cm}^2 \); pulse repetition frequency = 285 Hz; cycles per pulse = 200; duty cycle = 5.0%; mechanical index [MI] = 1.1; immersion fluid/subchondral bone temperature <33°C) was used to deliver a drug surrogate (X-ray contrast agent: 1% w/v phosphotungstic acid [PTA], a negatively charged molecule, molecular weight = 2.88 kDa) into samples 1–5 as follows: First, the focus of the ultrasound beam was positioned at the AC surface; second, the sample was sonicated for 2.5 h. The contrast agent was dissolved in 70% ethanol for sample 1 because PTA in ethanol is used to label collagen in embryos (Metscher 2011). We chose phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as base medium for samples 2–5 to provide a more physiologic-like environment.

For damage assessment (histopathologic assessment), one sample (a) each from sample pairs 6–9 was sonicated in PBS containing no PTA using the protocol described earlier (Fig. 1). The second sample (b) from sample pairs 6–9 was immersed, but not exposed to ultrasound and acted as a paired control. Samples were then subjected to histologic staining (Masson’s trichrome and Safranin-O) to evaluate collagen and proteoglycan distributions, respectively. To evaluate potential ultrasound-induced damage to the AC, the excisions were optically imaged at 10× using a light microscope (Arctoplan, Ernst Leitz Wetzlar, Wetzlar, Germany) and camera (MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV, Qimaging, Surrey, BC, Canada), and at 1× using a microscope slide scanner (Pathscan Enabler IV, Meyer Instruments, Houston, TX, USA).

Ultrasound beam characteristics (peak positive/ negative pressure amplitude, MI, beam width and intensity) were determined using a calibrated needle hydrophone (element diameter = 0.2 mm, tip diameter = 0.67 mm, Model HPM02/1, Precision Acoustics, Dorchester, UK). Intensity values (SPTP, SPPA, SPTA) were determined in ion-exchanged water at a distance from the transducer that corresponded to the position of the AC surface.

**Detection of delivery**

Samples 1–5 were imaged with X-ray microtomography (XMT) to determine PTA distribution within the tissue (Nanotom 180 NF, Phoenix X-ray Systems, GE, Fairfield, CT, USA; settings for sample 1: 75 kV, 190 μA, 1,080 projections, voxel side length = 38.0 μm; settings for samples 2–5: 75–80 kV, 75–110 μA, 1,200 projections, voxel side length = 16.3 to 16.7 μm). To avoid sample drying during XMT, the samples were kept in sealed containers containing cotton balls moistened with the base solution (70% ethanol for sample 1 or PBS for samples 2–5). The PTA distributions in AC were determined on the basis of X-ray attenuation in the XMT images. Raw data from samples presented in Nieminen et al. (2013) (samples 1 and 2) were reanalyzed and pooled into this study.

The penetration depth of PTA and thickness of AC were determined from reconstructed XMT image stacks (Fig. 3). AC without PTA (i.e., volume 1) and AC with PTA (i.e., volume 2) were segmented from the XMT image stack based on image contrast (Avizo Fire, Versions 7.1.1–8.0.1, FEI Visualization Sciences Group, Burlington, MA, USA). The local thickness of each volume was calculated with the LocalThickness plugin (Dougherty and Kunzelmann 2007) to the ImageJ software (Version 1.4.7, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA), and projected onto a plane approximately tangential to the AC surface with the VGStudioMAX software (Version 1.3, Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany). We named this the spatial maximum penetration map (SMPM).
Within the SMPM of samples 2–5, the delivery depth in the treatment area was defined as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of the pixel values that were within 1-mm radius from the sample center. The delivery depth into adjacent tissue (control) was defined as the mean ± SD of pixel values that were inside a band 9.5–10.5 mm from the sample center. In addition, the global maximum of delivery depth within the SMPM was determined.

RESULTS

After sonication, we observed increased X-ray attenuation (i.e., high PTA concentration) inside a circular penetration shape at the location of the ultrasound beam center in all samples (Fig. 4). For sample 1 (70% ethanol as base medium), the maximum delivery depth was 488 ± 15 μm (mean ± SD), with no delivery in adjacent
tissue. This maximum delivery depth corresponded to 39% of the AC thickness and was achieved at an average penetration speed of 195 μm/h.

The delivery depths for samples 2–5 (immersed in PTA + PBS solution while sonicated) are summarized in Table 1 and Figures 4 and 5. For these samples, the average penetration depth of PTA at the treatment area was 2.6 times the penetration depth in the control tissue (Fig. 5). For samples with strong PTA penetration at the sample center, that is, samples 2, 3 and 5, the maximum penetration depths were 775, 883 and 754 μm, respectively (Fig. 4), corresponding to a relative penetration of 53 ± 4% and an average penetration speed of 322 μm/h. Sample 4 appeared to exhibit strong passive PTA diffusion outside the ultrasound beam; nevertheless, a circular PTA penetration pattern is seen at the location of the ultrasound beam, that is, sample center (Fig. 4f). Subchondral bone temperature remained below 33°C (n = 3).

Histologic evaluation of ultrasound-treated AC samples (6a–9a) and control samples (6b–9b) revealed no difference between the groups with respect to superficial tissue fibrillation or collagen (blue contrast in Masson’s trichrome-stained sections) or proteoglycan (red contrast in Safranin-O-stained sections) distribution (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The results suggest that intense megahertz ultrasound delivered the drug surrogate into AC. Because the applied ultrasound energy (1 MHz, 2.7/1.2 MPa peak positive/negative pressure amplitude at focus, $I_{app} = 488 \text{ W/cm}^2$, $I_{app} = 102 \text{ W/cm}^2$, $I_{ptd} = 5 \text{ W/cm}^2$) attenuates in soft tissue (Goss et al. 1979; Nieminen et al. 2009) and body fluids (Narayana et al. 1984), it may induce acoustic radiation forces in solids or at solid–fluid interfaces (Westervelt 1951) and fluid streaming (Nyborg 1953), potentially contributing to

---

Fig. 2. Experimental setup. The sample was immersed in a contrast agent (phosphotungstic acid [PTA]), while sonicated with megahertz ultrasound. A thin nitrile-containing membrane prevented PTA penetration into the tissue from the side. PBS = phosphate-buffered saline.

Fig. 3. Image analysis of the delivery depth. Osteochondral cylinders immersed in phosphotungstic acid (PTA) and sonicated with megahertz ultrasound were imaged using X-ray microtomography, producing a 3-D image stack (a). The delivered PTA was segmented out of articular cartilage based on image contrast (b) (solid blue line represents the boundary of the segmented volume). Spheres as large as possible in diameter were then placed inside the segmented volume (c). The maximum diameter values were projected on a plane parallel to the cartilage surface (c, d) representing the thickness of the segmented volume in this projection. Thickness values (mean ± standard deviation) at the sample center within 1-mm diameter represented the delivery depth within ultrasound beam (treatment) (d), whereas thickness values within a 1-mm band, from 9.5 to 10.5 mm relative to the sample center, represented delivery depth by passive diffusion (control).
mass transfer into and inside articular cartilage. The radiation pressure generated in water at the location of AC surface is on average \( \frac{1}{24680} \) Pa during one ultrasound burst. The resulting radiation force and PRF correspond to tapping the tissue 2.6 million times during the treatment. Specifically, stable cavitation (threshold for unstable inertial cavitation = 3.9 MPa peak negative pressure at 1 MHz [American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine 2008]) is one possible explanation for the delivery; that is, ultrasound may excite micro- or nanobubbles to generate a streaming fluid around the bubbles (Ahmed et al. 2009). Such streaming could enhance the diffusion within the ultrasound beam. As we detected a temperature rise in the subchondral bone from room temperature to 33°C, it is possible that the temperature increase in AC enhances diffusion of delivered agents into and inside AC (Carsi et al. 2004).

The PTA delivery depth was relatively similar in all samples (excluding sample 4) and limited to a relatively confined round region (Fig. 4f). The difference in delivery can be due to biological variation, that is, permeability, which can vary with the biochemical composition of AC and from one joint to another. Permeability affects the speed of passive diffusion. The base solution was 70% ethanol for sample 1 and PBS for samples 2–5, which may impair the comparability of delivery in sample 1 to delivery in samples 2–5. PTA is a negatively charged molecule that is attracted by collagen. Therefore, we expected to see PTA penetration into the control tissue. Despite this, enhanced penetration at the sample center was evident compared with adjacent tissue.

Qualitatively, no histologic difference was observed in AC surface structure or in the content of collagen or proteoglycan between sonicated and non-sonicated samples (Fig. 6). Because of the low MI (1.1), we presumed that the exposure should be tolerable, as the MI does not exceed the U.S. Food and Drug Administration-defined maximum of 1.9 for clinical ultrasound imaging (Dalecki 2004). Previously we used 20-kHz HIU (Nieminen et al. 2012) to deliver particles into...

---

Table 1. Penetration depth of PTA at the treatment location, the location of the global maximum and the control location for all samples immersed in PBS + PTA solution

| Sample | Treatment penetration depth (µm) | Control penetration depth (µm) | Penetration depth difference: Treatment – Control (µm) | Maximum penetration depth (µm) |
|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2      | 742 ± 4                         | 0 ± 0                          | 742                                                  | 775                           |
| 3      | 869 ± 11                        | 197 ± 211                      | 672                                                  | 883                           |
| 4      | 654 ± 8                         | 653 ± 65                       | 1                                                    | 734 (686)                     |
| 5      | 684 ± 18                        | 264 ± 186                      | 420                                                  | 754                           |

PBS = phosphate-buffered saline; PTA = phosphotungstic acid.

* The treatment location values were calculated as the mean ± standard deviation of values within 1-mm radius from sample center. The control values were calculated as the mean ± standard deviation within a 1-mm band at a radial distance of 10 mm (from 9.5 to 10.5 mm) from the sample center. The numbers of pixels used to calculate delivery depth statistics were 11,213–11,873 and 183,662–202,668 for treatment and control, respectively.

† Maximum located outside the sample center. The maximum value at sample center is provided in parentheses.
osteochondral tissue; however, this induced superficial microcratering in the AC, because the pressure threshold for inertial cavitation is much lower at 20 kHz (e.g., 68-kPa peak negative pressure [PNP] at 23 kHz [Birkin et al. 2005]) than at 1.138 MHz (3.9-MPa PNP at 1 MHz [American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine 2008]). Absence of evident damage to the AC, therefore, suggests that megahertz ultrasound may be a preferable approach for drug delivery compared with kilohertz frequency ultrasound.

In humans, delivering PTA halfway through the cartilage thickness is potentially important, because early OA typically manifests as histopathologic changes (superficial fibrillation and clefts, loss in proteoglycans) in the superficial AC (Pritzker et al. 2006). The average delivery speed of the agent in this study, ~5 μm/min, suggests that agent delivery to the very superficial articular cartilage can be achieved with the proposed technique within a clinically acceptable time frame (on average

![Penetration depths. Penetration depths (mean ± standard deviation) at sample center (treatment) compared with adjacent tissue (control) (Fig. 3). The samples were immersed in phosphotungstic acid (PTA) + phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, while sonicated with intense megahertz ultrasound.](image)

**Fig. 5.** Penetration depths. Penetration depths (mean ± standard deviation) at sample center (treatment) compared with adjacent tissue (control) (Fig. 3). The samples were immersed in phosphotungstic acid (PTA) + phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, while sonicated with intense megahertz ultrasound.

![Damage assessment. Typical histologic sections for damage assessment samples: ultrasound exposure (left) and no ultrasound exposure (right). We observed no difference in proteoglycan (Safranin-O staining) or collagen (Masson’s trichrome staining) distribution or fibrillation in superficial articular cartilage between the different groups. This suggests that intense megahertz ultrasound did not induce evident damage to the articular cartilage.](image)

**Fig. 6.** Damage assessment. Typical histologic sections for damage assessment samples: ultrasound exposure (left) and no ultrasound exposure (right). We observed no difference in proteoglycan (Safranin-O staining) or collagen (Masson’s trichrome staining) distribution or fibrillation in superficial articular cartilage between the different groups. This suggests that intense megahertz ultrasound did not induce evident damage to the articular cartilage.
an ~50 μm penetration depth in 10 min for a molecule or drug carrier equivalent to PTA). With respect to delivery of agent into deeper tissue, the delivery speed is currently too low for clinical relevance. In addition, bovine cartilage properties in vitro may differ significantly from human cartilage properties in vivo. Although the control tissue (sample edge) is less than one-third of the size could fit into the joint cavity. This approach would allow local administration of the drug or drug carrier enhanced by ultrasonic delivery. Examples of intra-articular approaches could be a catheter-based high-intensity focused transducer or a fiber optic photo-acoustic ultrasound system, which because of their small size could fit into the joint cavity.

CONCLUSIONS

We have illustrated the ability of intense megahertz ultrasound to transport agents into articular cartilage without causing microscopically discernible histologic damage. Ultrasound, therefore, has the potential to deliver agents such as drugs and drug carriers into articular cartilage in a localized manner. At best, this approach could permit new treatment strategies for OA drug therapy and a paradigm shift in drug development of locally administered agents.
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