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SUMMARY

The present study aimed to assess if there is any synergistic or antagonistic effect between α-lipoic acid (ALA) and dietary energy levels in increasing growth performance traits as well as minimizing fat deposition of broiler. Three hundred and sixty one-day-old unsexed Cobb broilers chicks were assigned randomly to 8 equal experimental groups; with three replicates of 15 chicks each. The feeding consists of three stages; the first was (starting period), its basal diet contained 22 % CP and lasted for 3 weeks. The second was (growing period), its basal diet contained 20 % CP and lasted for 2 weeks. The third period was (finishing period), its basal diet contained 18 % CP at the last week of the experiment. Within each protein level used, two levels of energy (3000 and 3200 Kcal / kg diet) were tested with various levels of α-lipoic acid (0, 50, 75 and 100 mg / kg diet). So, the experimental design was a factorial 2 energy levels × 4 α-lipoic acid levels. Results showed that, Significant effects of α-Lipoic acid, diet energy levels and their interaction on chicks weight gain (WG), feed consumption (FC), and feed conversion ratio (FCR). Significant declines in dressing, front part, hind part, giblets, and abdominal fat with increasing α-Lipoic acid level in the diet. Muscle (high and breast) antioxidants statuses were vary significantly with α-Lipoic acid, diet energy level, and their interaction. Overall, blood total lipids, triglycerides, and cholesterol have decreased significantly with increasing α-Lipoic acid levels. Moreover, only total lipids increased with increased dietary energy. Remarkable improvements were noticed in the plasma oxidative statuses including TAC, SOD, GSH-px, and MDA. From the obtained results, it could be concluded that α-lipoic acid supplementation at the level of 100 mg/kg diet provides significant natural antioxidant which boosts the oxidative status of meat and blood regardless the energy level in the diet.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, meat type chicken has been selected for increasing body weight yield. This strategy has resulted in greater growth performance. Undesirable, indirect selection responses such as pronounced fat deposition, increased leg problems and some metabolic disorder have also noticed. Accumulation of fat in carcasses of broiler, particularly in abdominal and visceral areas, represents a waste product to consumers who are increasingly concerned about the nutritional and health aspects of their food. Such fatty broiler will be unattractive to those consumers and thus will lead to decrease salability, which in turn reduces the net returns for the producers. Some of these undesirable selection responses could be partially counteracted by genetic means. However, this will only give satisfactory results in the long term and attention should, therefore, be paid to short term solution which may be nutritional or management factors (Abou El-Ghar and Abd El-Karim, 2016; Akbari et al., 2018; Akbari et al., 2016; El-Senousey et al., 2018).

From the nutritional point of view, α-lipoic acid (ALA) supplementation may participate to some extent in solving factors problem of broiler. Alpha-lipoic acid (1, 2-dithiolane -3- pentatonic acid; 1, 2-dithiolane-3-valeric acid or 6, 8-dithio-octanoic acid) is an organosulfur compound derived from octanoic acid called caprylic acid (Packer et al., 1995). It is a naturally occurring compound that is synthesized by both plants and animals, and is essential for aerobic metabolism. Also, it is manufactured and is available as a dietary supplement in some countries where it is marketed as an antioxidant contains two sulfur atoms (at C6 and C8) connected by a disulfide bond and is thus considered to be oxidized although either sulfur atom can exist in higher oxidation states (Packer et al., 1997 and Senoglu et al., 2009).
Supplementation of α-lipoic acid can reduce adenosine 5-monophosphate which activates the function of protein kinase enzyme in the hypothalamus, causing weight loss in animals by limiting feed intake and increasing energy consumption (Kim et al., 2004 and Kurutas, 2016). In addition, Shen and Du (2005) cited dietary supplementation of ALA is a pragmatic strategy to enhance the oxidative stability of poultry meat and meat-based products by reducing rate of lipid peroxidation leading towards decreased incidence of pale, soft, exudative behavior in processed meat products. So, it is highly effective in improving the characteristics of poultry meat products.

Many researchers evaluated the effects of dietary α-lipoic acid supplementation on broiler performance. Various studies have shown the body weight, weight gain and feed intake of broiler chicks were significantly decreased by increasing the supplementation level of ALA (Arshad et al., 2013; Elsenousey et al., 2013 and Zhang et al., 2014). On the opposite direction, Sriqlatha et al., (2010); Yoo et al. (2016) and Lu et al. (2017) reported that body weight, weight gain and feed conversion ratio were significantly improved by ALA by decreasing the supplementation level of ALA.

There are no studies reported in the use of ALA under different levels of energy carried out with broiler. Thus, the present study aimed to assess if there is any synergistic or antagonistic effect between α-lipoic acid and dietary energy levels in increasing growth performance traits as well as minimizing fat deposition of broiler.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Birds and management:**

Three hundred and sixty one-day-old unsexed Cobb chicks were individually weighed and assigned randomly to 8 equal experimental groups of 45 birds each. Birds of each group were further subdivided into three replicates of 15 birds. All chicks were kept under the same managerial, hygienic and environmental conditions throughout the entire experimental period that lasted for 6 weeks.

**The experimental diets:**

A conventional corn-soybean meal basal diet was used. The feeding period in the current investigation consists of three stages; the first was (starting period), its basal diet contained 22 % CP and lasted for 3 weeks. The second was (growing period), its basal diet contained 20 % CP and lasted for 2 weeks. The third period was (finishing period), its basal diet contained 18 % CP at the last week of the experiment. Within each protein level used, two levels of energy (3000 and 3200 Kcal / kg diet) were tested with various levels of α-Lipoic acid (0, 50, 75 and 100 mg / kg diet). The experimental design was a factorial 2 energy levels × 4 α-lipoic acid levels. The compositions of the diets are detailed in Table (1).

**Measurements:**

**Performance traits and carcass characteristics:**

Body weight, weight gain, feed consumption and feed conversion ratio were determined and recorded weekly until 6 weeks of age. At 6 weeks of age, three birds from each replicate were randomly chosen and slaughtered after fasting for 12 hrs. After sacrificing, internal organs (liver, spleen, heart, gizzard, bursa and thymus), were carefully removed and weighed. All organs weight were expressed as a percentage of body weight and subjected to arc sine transformation for statistical analysis. Samples of meat were taken, stored at – 20°C until assay for determination of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS).

**Biochemical analysis of blood serum and antioxidants status:**

At the end of the experimental period (6 weeks), 3 birds from each replicate were slaughtered and blood samples were collected and divided into two parts; the first part was collected in heparinized tubes to obtain plasma while the 2nd part was collected in nonheparinized tubes to obtain serum by centrifugation for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm and stored at – 20°C for later analysis. Total lipid was detected by specific diagnostic kits produced by Biodiagnostic according to method of Zöllner and Krisch (1962). Triglyceride was determined according to the method described by Sidney and Barnard (1973). Total cholesterol was determined according to Allina et al. (1974). Total antioxidants capacity (TAC) was determined according to the method of (Miller et al., 1993). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) was determined by using colorimetric method according to (Nishikimi et al., 1972). Activity of Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) was determined based on the method of (Miller et al., 1993). Malondialdehyde (MDA) was determined by using colorimetric method according to (Ohkawa et al., 1979).
Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed using SAS procedure (SAS version 9.1.3, 2007). Tests of significance for the differences among treatments were done according to Duncan (1955). The statistical model used for the analysis of variance to estimate the effect of different levels of α-lipoic acid or/and energy is:

\[
X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha\beta_{ij} + e_{ijk}
\]

\(X_{ijk}\): observation
\(\mu\): overall mean,
\(\alpha_i\): effect of energy
\(\beta_j\): effect of α-lipoic acid
\(\alpha\beta_{ij}\): interaction between energy and α-lipoic acid
\(e_{ijk}\): random error

Table (1): Composition and calculated analyses of the experimental diets.

| Item                      | Starter diet  | Grower diet  | Finisher diet |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
|                           | 3000 kcal/kg  | 3200 kcal/kg | 3000 kcal/kg  |
|                           | 3000 kcal/kg  | 3200 kcal/kg | 3000 kcal/kg  |
| Ingredient, %:            |               |              |               |
| Yellow corn               | 55.5          | 54.2         | 59            |
| Wheat bran                | 2.0           | 2.7          | 3.8           |
| Soy bean meal             | 27.5          | 27           | 25.5          |
| Corn gluten meal          | 8             | 9.1          | 6.30          |
| Soybean oil               | 2.3           | 5            | 5             |
| DL-Calcium Phosphate      | 2             | 2            | 2             |
| Limestone                 | 2             | 2            | 2             |
| Methionine                | 0.1           | 0.1          | 0.1           |
| Salt                      | 0.3           | 0.3          | 0.3           |
| (Premix)*                 | 0.1           | 0.1          | 0.1           |
| Total                     | 100           | 100          | 100           |
| Calculated analysis**:    |               |              |               |
| Crude protein, %          | 22.03         | 22.02        | 20.03         |
| Metabolizable energy (K cal/kg) | 3002.2   | 3200         | 3004.8        |
| Ether extract (EE %)      | 2.54          | 2.50         | 2.59          |
| Crude fiber (CF %)        | 3.47          | 3.20         | 3.48          |
| Calcium                   | 1.28          | 1.28         | 1.27          |
| Available phosphorus, %   | 0.49          | 0.49         | 0.49          |
| Lysine, %                 | 0.98          | 0.96         | 0.92          |
| Methionine                | 0.49          | 0.59         | 0.45          |
| Methionine + Cystine      | 0.91          | 0.93         | 0.82          |

* Each 3 kg of premix contained: vit. A 120000 IU, vit. D 22000 IU, vit. E 10 mg, vit. K3 2000 mg, vit. B1 1000 mg, vit. B2 5000 mg, vit. B6 1500 mg, vit. B12 10 mg, pantothenic acid 10 mg, niacin 30 mg, folic acid 1000 mg, biotin 50 mg, choline chloride 300 mg, manganese 60 mg, zinc 50 mg, copper 10 mg, iron 30 mg, iodine 1000 mg, selenium 100 mg, cobalt 100 mg and CaCo3 to 3 g

** According to NRC. 1994.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth performance and nutrient utilization:

Growth performances and nutrient utilization of Cobb broilers chicks fed the tested diets are shown in Table (2). Significant effects of α-Lipoic acid, diet energy levels and their interaction on chicks weight gain (WG), feed consumption (FC), and feed conversion ratio (FCR). Body weight value decreased significantly with increasing α-Lipoic acid in the diet. Moreover, Diet with high energy level (3200 kcal/kg) obviously increased WG and FCR with low feed consumption (FC) when compared with the chicks fed low energy diet (3000 kcal/kg).

In the present study, weight gain and feed utilization of Cobb broilers chicks were affected significantly by Alpha-lipoic acid (ALA) dietary supplementation and diet energy level. Increasing ALA
Table (2): Growth performances and nutrient utilization of Cobb broilers chicks fed the tested diets.

| Treatments          | Weight gain(g) | Feed consumption(g) | Feed conversion ratio |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                     | Starter period  | Grower period        | Finisher period       | Total period          | Starter period  | Grower period | Finisher period | Total period |
| α-Lipoic acid (mg/kg) |                 |                      |                       |                       |                 |               |                 |             |
| 0                   | 668.04a         | 780.38               | 405.11a               | 1853.51a              | 890.65a         | 1500.30a       | 1140.20a       | 3531.15a     | 1.33a         | 1.92       | 2.81a       | 1.91a       |
| 50                  | 651.34b         | 776.78               | 402.55a               | 1830.68b              | 877.00ab        | 1489.05ab      | 1130.10ab      | 3496.15ab    | 1.35a         | 1.92       | 2.81a       | 1.91a       |
| 75                  | 603.37c         | 775.11               | 389.94b               | 1754.92c              | 873.90ab        | 1484.80ab      | 1124.35ab      | 3483.05ab    | 1.45b         | 1.92       | 2.88b       | 1.98b       |
| 100                 | 585.81d         | 775.11               | 384.72b               | 1745.65d              | 862.00b         | 1470.95b       | 1110.60b       | 3443.55b     | 1.47b         | 1.90       | 2.89b       | 1.97b       |
| SE                  | ±3.81           | ±1.47                | ±7.98                 | ±5.18                 | ±5.92           | ±6.30          | ±5.4           | ±6.85        | ±0.03         | ±0.03      | ±0.03       | ±0.05       |
| Energy (kcal/kg)    |                 |                      |                       |                       |                 |               |                 |             |
| 3000                | 586.01b         | 787.13b               | 377.63b               | 1750.78b              | 894.2a          | 1516.6a        | 1163.3a        | 3574.1a      | 1.53b         | 1.93       | 3.08b       | 2.04b       |
| 3200                | 663.29a         | 771.11b               | 413.97a               | 1848.37a              | 845.6b          | 1472.6b        | 1107.8b        | 3426.0b      | 1.27a         | 1.91       | 2.68b       | 1.85a       |
| SE                  | ±3.35           | ±3.57                | ±0.92                 | ±4.55                 | ±6.00           | ±6.40          | ±5.20          | ±6.50        | ±0.03         | ±0.04      | ±0.04       | ±0.06       |
| Interaction Energy × α-Lipoic acid |            |                      |                       |                       |                 |               |                 |             |
| 0                   | 638.04b         | 785.44b               | 370.11f               | 1793.54b              | 902.3a          | 1523.3a        | 1170.1a        | 3595.7a      | 1.41c         | 1.94b       | 3.16b       | 2.00a       |
| 3000 × 50           | 608.67c         | 779.45b               | 370.11f               | 1758.24c              | 899.7a          | 1520.0a        | 1168.b         | 3587.7a      | 1.48f         | 1.95b       | 3.16b       | 2.04d       |
| 75                  | 563.70d         | 784.45b               | 395.00c               | 1716.15d              | 897.8a          | 1517.0a        | 1163.0a        | 3577.8a      | 1.59g         | 1.93b       | 2.94d       | 2.08d       |
| 100                 | 533.59e         | 800.00a               | 374.56c               | 1708.15e              | 889.0a          | 1509.7a        | 1152.0a        | 3550.7a      | 1.67h         | 1.89a       | 3.08b       | 2.08d       |
| 0                   | 698.04a         | 775.33b               | 440.11a               | 1913.48a              | 879.0ab         | 1477.3ab       | 1110.3ab       | 3466.6b      | 1.26i         | 1.91a       | 2.52b       | 1.81a       |
| 3200 × 50           | 694.02a         | 774.11b               | 435.00b               | 1903.13a              | 854.3a          | 1458.1b        | 1092.2b        | 3404.6bc     | 1.23j         | 1.88a       | 2.51b       | 1.79a       |
| 75                  | 643.04b         | 765.77c               | 384.89d               | 1793.70b              | 850.0b          | 1452.6b        | 1085.7b        | 3388.3c      | 1.32k         | 1.90a       | 2.82c       | 1.89b       |
| 100                 | 638.04b         | 750.22c               | 394.89c               | 1783.15b              | 840.0b          | 1435.2b        | 1069.2b        | 3344.4c      | 1.32l         | 1.91a       | 2.71b       | 1.88b       |
| SE                  | ±3.93           | ±6.67                | ±1.18                 | ±5.19                 | ±5.80           | ±6.30          | ±5.40          | ±7.3         | ±0.05         | ±0.06      | ±0.06       | ±0.06       |
| α-Lipoic acid       | ***             | NS                   | ***                   | ***                   | *               | *              | *              | *            | NS            | **         | **          | **          |
| Energy              | ***             | ***                   | ***                   | ***                   | ***             | ***            | ***             | ***           | NS            | **         | **          | **          |
| Energy × α-Lipoic acid | ***       | *                     | ***                   | ***                   | ***             | ***            | ***             | ***           | NS            | **         | **          | **          |

Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.  
* indicate P<0.05  
** indicate P<0.01  
*** indicate P<0.001  
NS indicate Not significant
level in the diet decreased significantly chick's body weight and feed consumption, which is in line with the previous studies (Arshad et al., 2013; El-Senousey et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2014). The negative effect of ALA supplementation on body weight may be linked to the frustrated feed consumption as a result to AMPK inhibition in the hypothalamus (Kim et al., 2004; Maddock et al., 2001) or activation of protein kinase enzyme and/or boosting energy utilization causing feed consumption decline which consist with the previous results (Shen and Du., 2005; Tang, et al., 2007). Moreover, low feed consumption following ALA treatment cannot be attributed to the dietary taste as ALA injection treatment resulted a similar situation (Shen, et al., 2005). On the other hand, positive effects of ALA supplementation on body weight and feed utilization were reported (Lu et al., 2017; Srilatha et al., 2010; Yoo et al., 2016). In addition, Zhang et al. (2009) reported no marked effect of ALA on FCR. Differences in the reported results of the ALA supplementation on body weight and feed utilization may be due to the differences in the used species, age, diet formulation, environmental factors.

**Carcass characteristics and antioxidant status:**

Table (3) represents carcass characteristics and antioxidant status of Cobb broilers chicks fed test diets for 42 days. Significant declines in dressing, front part, hind part, giblets, and abdominal fat with increasing α-Lipoic acid level in the diet. Meanwhile, remarkable improvements were observed with increasing energy level in the diet. In addition, interactions between dietary α-Lipoic acid and energy levels were significant (P<0.05) and chicks fed diet contains 3200 kcal/kg energy and α-Lipoic acid at the level of 0 mg/kg showed higher dressing, front part, hind part, giblets and abdominal fat.

The characteristics of the carcass varied with the addition of ALA and diet energy as well as their interaction. Dropped weight of dressing, front part, hind part, giblets, and abdominal fat could explain the decline in body weight with increasing ALA level in the diet. In accordance with our results, low fat deposition correlated with ALA supplementation was reported (Imik et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014). In addition, Reed (1973) explained the role of lipoamide dehydrogenase as α-keto acid flavoprotein component in Krebs cycle to promote energy metabolism. On the other hand, No significant effects of ALA on carcass weight, dressing percentage were reported (Schmidt et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2009)

Muscle (thigh and breast) antioxidants statuses were vary significantly with α-Lipoic acid, diet energy level, and their interaction (Table 3). SOD values in contrast to TBARS were improved with increasing α-Lipoic acid level and energy level. The highest value of SOD and the lowest TBARS value were observed in chicks group fed diet contain 100 mg/kg α-Lipoic acid and 3200 kcal/kg energy.

The enzymatic antioxidant defense systems is greatly associated to immune system reflect the health status in animals (Chen et al., 2011; El Basuini et al., 2016; Martinez–Alvarez et al., 2005; Mruk, et al., 2002). Recently, a considerable number of research have clarified the antioxidant features of ALA in maintaining cellular antioxidant status by boosting the synthesis or regenerating of non-enzymatic antioxidants such as vitamin C, E, and reduced glutathione (Çakatay, 2006; Khan et al., 2015; Savitha et al., 2005; Shay et al., 2009). Results of the present study showed that muscle and plasma oxidative conditions were improved by ALA treatment. The potent of SOD, TAC and GSH-px increased meanwhile MDA and TBARS were decreased as ALA increased. These results are in consistence with (Hagen et al., 2002; Koppenol, 1981; Seaton et al., 1996; Selvakumar et al., 2005; Srilatha et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2009).

**Blood chemical parameters and oxidative statuses:**

The blood parameters of Cobb broilers chicks after 42 days of feeding trial are presented in Table (4). Overall, blood total lipids, triglycerides, and cholesterol levels have decreased significantly with increasing α-Lipoic acid levels. Moreover, only total lipids increased with increased dietary energy. Interactions between dietary α-Lipoic acid and energy levels showed statistical significances and chicks fed diet contains 3200 kcal/kg energy with no α-Lipoic acid supplementation showed higher total lipids, triglycerides, and cholesterol levels. Remarkable improvements were noticed in the plasma oxidative statuses including TAC, SOD, GSH-px, and MDA of Cobb broilers chicks after 42 days feeding period (Table 4). Oxidative statuses were altered significantly with α-Lipoic acid, diet energy level, and their interaction. Values of TAC, SOD and GSH-px contrary MDA were improved with increasing α-Lipoic acid level and energy level.

Blood biochemistry parameters are particular indicators of the physiological response as well as the
Table (3): Carcass characteristics and antioxidant status of Cobb broilers chicks fed test diets.

| Treatments          | Carcass characteristics | Antioxidants |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                     | Dressing                | Front part   | Hind part| Giblets  | Abd. fat | Thigh muscles | Breast muscles | Thigh muscles | Breast muscles |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| α-Lipoic acid (mg/kg) |                         |              |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 0                   | 78.14\(^a\)            | 37.94\(^a\)  | 33.00\(^a\)| 5.19\(^a\)| 1.98\(^a\)| 36.05\(^c\)| 47.83\(^c\)| 5.59\(^a\)| 4.50\(^a\)  |
| 50                  | 77.17\(^b\)            | 37.64\(^b\)  | 32.64\(^b\)| 5.05\(^b\)| 1.78\(^b\)| 39.24\(^c\)| 50.05\(^bc\)| 4.73\(^b\)| 4.0\(^d\)  |
| 75                  | 75.04\(^c\)            | 36.38\(^c\)  | 32.26\(^c\)| 4.72\(^c\)| 1.69\(^c\)| 44.97\(^b\)| 52.83\(^b\)| 4.01\(^c\)| 3.60\(^c\) |
| 100                 | 74.07\(^d\)            | 36.07\(^d\)  | 31.86\(^d\)| 4.61\(^d\)| 1.59\(^d\)| 50.04\(^a\)| 58.67\(^a\)| 3.87\(^c\)| 3.21\(^d\) |
| SE                  | ±0.06                   | ±0.06        | ±0.007   | ±0.001   | ±0.003   | ±0.20    | ±0.68    | ±0.24    | ±0.27    |
| Energy (kcal/kg)    |                         |              |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 3000                | 75.51\(^b\)            | 36.72\(^b\)  | 32.30\(^b\)| 4.74\(^b\)| 1.64\(^b\)| 41.75\(^b\)| 51.01\(^b\)| 4.60    | 4.14\(^a\) |
| 3200                | 76.70\(^a\)            | 37.29\(^a\)  | 32.58\(^a\)| 5.04\(^a\)| 1.88\(^a\)| 43.40\(^a\)| 53.68\(^a\)| 4.50    | 3.54\(^b\) |
| SE                  | ±0.15                   | ±0.12        | ±0.07    | ±0.09    | ±0.004   | ±0.35    | ±0.42    | ±0.23    | ±0.26    |
| Interaction Energy × α-Lipoic acid |                |              |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 0                   | 77.80\(^b\)            | 37.85\(^a\)  | 32.99\(^a\)| 5.07\(^b\)| 1.83\(^d\)| 35.72\(^d\)| 47.15\(^d\)| 5.67\(^a\)| 4.92\(^a\) |
| 3000 × 50           | 76.39\(^c\)            | 37.30\(^b\)  | 32.42\(^b\)| 4.84\(^b\)| 1.67\(^b\)| 38.31\(^b\)| 48.62\(^b\)| 4.82\(^b\)| 4.37\(^b\) |
| 75                  | 74.57\(^d\)            | 36.20\(^d\)  | 32.06\(^c\)| 4.63\(^c\)| 1.55\(^b\)| 43.74\(^a\)| 50.37\(^b\)| 3.99\(^c\)| 3.92\(^c\) |
| 100                 | 73.30\(^e\)            | 35.56\(^c\)  | 31.75\(^d\)| 4.44\(^c\)| 1.51\(^c\)| 49.26\(^c\)| 57.91\(^b\)| 3.92\(^c\)| 3.38\(^d\) |
| 3200 × 50           | 77.95\(^f\)            | 37.98\(^a\)  | 32.87\(^a\)| 5.27\(^c\)| 1.89\(^d\)| 40.18\(^d\)| 51.48\(^d\)| 4.64\(^b\)| 3.75\(^c\) |
| 75                  | 75.51\(^d\)            | 36.56\(^c\)  | 32.47\(^b\)| 4.81\(^b\)| 1.83\(^d\)| 46.21\(^b\)| 55.29\(^a\)| 4.02\(^c\)| 3.28\(^d\) |
| 100                 | 74.85\(^e\)            | 36.58\(^c\)  | 31.97\(^d\)| 4.79\(^b\)| 1.68\(^b\)| 50.82\(^a\)| 59.43\(^a\)| 3.83\(^c\)| 3.05\(^e\) |
| SE                  | ±0.08                   | ±0.15        | ±0.07    | ±0.13    | ±0.008   | ±0.67    | ±0.83    | ±0.26    | ±0.22    |
| α-Lipoic acid       | ***                     | ***          | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      |
| Energy              | ***                     | ***          | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | NS       | ***      | ***      |
| Energy × α-Lipoic acid | ***                 | ***          | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      | ***      |

*Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.*

** indicate \(P<0.01\)        *** indicate \(P<0.001\)        NS indicate Not significant
Table (4): blood parameters of Cobb broilers chicks fed test diets.

| Treatments | Blood biochemical | Plasma antioxidants |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|            | Total lipids (g/L) | Triglycerides (mg/dL) | Cholesterols (mg/dL) | TAC (u/mL) | SOD (u/mL) | GSH-px (u/mL) | MDA (n.mol/mL) |
| 0          | 4.68<sup>a</sup>   | 85.50<sup>a</sup>   | 161.12<sup>a</sup>   | 4.26<sup>c</sup>   | 51.20<sup>d</sup> | 1533<sup>c</sup> | 4.19<sup>a</sup> |
| 50         | 3.41<sup>b</sup>   | 69.50<sup>b</sup>   | 134.5<sup>b</sup>    | 7.30<sup>b</sup>   | 52.81<sup>c</sup> | 1873<sup>b</sup> | 3.60<sup>b</sup> |
| 75         | 3.20<sup>b</sup>   | 66.50<sup>b</sup>   | 102.00<sup>c</sup>   | 7.65<sup>b</sup>   | 53.53<sup>b</sup> | 1901<sup>b</sup> | 2.48<sup>c</sup> |
| 100        | 3.03<sup>b</sup>   | 66.37<sup>b</sup>   | 90.37<sup>d</sup>    | 9.84<sup>a</sup>   | 55.00<sup>b</sup> | 2029<sup>a</sup> | 1.25<sup>d</sup> |
| SE         | ±0.15              | ±1.74               | ±2.63                | ±0.63              | ±0.62          | ±16.06          | ±0.27           |
| Energy (kcal/kg) |             |                     |                      |                    |               |                 |                 |
| 3000       | 3.31<sup>b</sup>   | 70.37               | 118.87               | 7.61<sup>a</sup>   | 53.56<sup>a</sup> | 1908.25         | 2.70<sup>b</sup> |
| 3200       | 3.84<sup>a</sup>   | 73.56               | 125.12               | 6.91<sup>b</sup>   | 52.71<sup>b</sup> | 1759.75         | 3.06<sup>a</sup> |
| SE         | ±0.12              | ±1.45               | ±2.27                | ±0.61              | ±0.66          | ±18.42          | ±0.31           |
| Interaction Energy × α-Lipoic acid | | | | | | | |
| 0          | 4.25<sup>b</sup>   | 83.00<sup>a</sup>   | 158.75<sup>a</sup>   | 4.39<sup>d</sup>   | 51.26<sup>c</sup> | 1609<sup>d</sup> | 4.02<sup>b</sup> |
| 3000 × 50  | 3.15<sup>cd</sup>  | 68.25<sup>b</sup>   | 133.25<sup>b</sup>   | 7.87<sup>b</sup>   | 53.39<sup>b</sup> | 1900<sup>b</sup> | 3.42<sup>d</sup> |
| 75         | 2.94<sup>d</sup>   | 65.00<sup>b</sup>   | 98.00<sup>c</sup>    | 8.16<sup>b</sup>   | 53.88<sup>b</sup> | 1970<sup>b</sup> | 2.34<sup>c</sup> |
| 100        | 2.92<sup>d</sup>   | 65.25<sup>b</sup>   | 85.50<sup>d</sup>    | 10.03<sup>a</sup>  | 55.72<sup>b</sup> | 2104<sup>a</sup> | 1.03<sup>f</sup> |
| 0          | 5.12<sup>e</sup>   | 88.00<sup>a</sup>   | 163.50<sup>a</sup>   | 4.13<sup>d</sup>   | 51.14<sup>e</sup> | 1457<sup>e</sup> | 4.36<sup>a</sup> |
| 3200 × 50  | 3.67<sup>bc</sup>  | 70.75<sup>b</sup>   | 135.75<sup>b</sup>   | 6.73<sup>c</sup>   | 52.24<sup>d</sup> | 1796<sup>e</sup> | 3.79<sup>c</sup> |
| 75         | 3.45<sup>e</sup>   | 68.00<sup>b</sup>   | 106.00<sup>c</sup>   | 7.15<sup>c</sup>   | 53.18<sup>e</sup> | 1832<sup>e</sup> | 2.63<sup>e</sup> |
| 100        | 3.15<sup>cd</sup>  | 67.50<sup>b</sup>   | 95.25<sup>c</sup>    | 9.65<sup>e</sup>   | 54.28<sup>b</sup> | 1954<sup>b</sup> | 1.48<sup>f</sup> |
| SE         | ±0.15              | ±1.62               | ±2.51                | ±0.94              | ±0.62         | ±15.98         | ±0.26           |
| α-Lipoic acid | *                 | *                   | ***                   | **                  | *             | **             | **              |
| Energy     | **                 | NS                  | NS                   | **                  | *             | **             | **              |
| Energy × α-Lipoic acid | ***            | ***                   | ***                   | **                  | *             | **             | **              |

Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.

* indicate P<0.05                         ** indicate P<0.01                         *** indicate P<0.001
Ns indicate Not significant
general health condition of animals (Coeurdacier et al., 2011; Sahoo et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2008). In the present study, blood total lipids, triglycerides, and cholesterol have decreased significantly with increasing α-Lipoic acid levels while a positive correlation occurred with the diet energy level. Alteration of blood lipid content (total lipids, triglycerides, and cholesterol) with ALA addition indicates its role in lipid metabolism. In line with our results, Hamano et al. (1999, 2000) and Imik et al. (2013) reported that Alpha-lipoic acid increases plasma triglycerides concentrations and reduces cholesterol.

**Economic efficiency:**

Table (5) represents economic efficiency of Cobb broilers chicks fed test diets for 42 days. Results showed that, economic efficiency was decreased by increasing α-lipoic supplementation level up to 100mg/kg diet. On the other direction, the increasing of dietary energy up to 3200 Kcal/kg diet was improved the economic efficiency compared to 3000 kcal /kg diet. Interactions between dietary α-Lipoic acid and energy levels showed an improvement in the economic efficiency for chicks fed diet contains 3200 kcal/kg energy with no or 50 mg α-Lipoic acid supplementation level compared to other combinations.

Table (5): Economic efficiency of Cobb broilers chicks fed test diets.

| Treatment | Price of feed (LE/kg diet) | Average feed consumption (kg/bird) | Total feed cost (Pt) | Average body weight (kg) | Price of body weight (LE/kg) | Net revenue (Pt) | Economic efficiency |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| α-Lipoic acid (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 7.40 | 3531.15 | 26.13 | 1895.60 | 53.08 | 26.95 | 103.14 |
| 50 | 7.65 | 3496.15 | 26.75 | 1872.77 | 52.44 | 25.69 | 96.03 |
| 75 | 7.78 | 3483.05 | 27.09 | 1810.49 | 50.69 | 23.60 | 87.13 |
| 100 | 7.90 | 3443.55 | 27.20 | 1787.72 | 50.06 | 22.86 | 84.03 |
| Energy (kcal/kg) | | | | | | | |
| 3000 | 7.30 | 3574.1 | 26.09 | 1792.85 | 50.20 | 24.11 | 92.41 |
| 3200 | 7.50 | 3426.0 | 25.69 | 1890.44 | 52.93 | 27.24 | 106.04 |
| Interaction Energy × α-Lipoic acid | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 7.35 | 3595.7 | 26.43 | 1835.66 | 51.40 | 24.97 | 94.47 |
| 3000x50 | 7.48 | 3587.7 | 26.84 | 1800.33 | 50.41 | 23.57 | 87.81 |
| 75 | 7.54 | 3577.8 | 26.97 | 1785.22 | 49.90 | 23.02 | 85.34 |
| 100 | 7.60 | 3550.7 | 26.98 | 1750.22 | 49.01 | 22.03 | 81.64 |
| 0 | 7.45 | 3466.6 | 25.83 | 1955.55 | 54.76 | 28.93 | 111.98 |
| 3200x50 | 7.57 | 3404.6 | 25.77 | 1945.22 | 54.47 | 28.70 | 111.35 |
| 75 | 7.64 | 3388.3 | 25.89 | 1835.77 | 51.40 | 25.51 | 98.54 |
| 100 | 7.70 | 3344.4 | 25.75 | 1825.22 | 51.11 | 25.36 | 98.47 |

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, α-lipoic acid supplementation up to 100 mg/kg diet provides significant natural antioxidant which boosts the oxidative status of meat and blood regardless the energy level in the diet. However, the level of 50 mg α-lipoic/g diet with dietary energy up to 3200 Kcal/kg leads to a marked improvement in productivity performance and economic efficiency.

**REFERENCES**

Abou El-Ghar, R. S., and Abd El-Karim, R. (2016). Effect of early selection for body weight, keel length and breast circumference on egg production traits in inshas strain of chickens. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 36(2), 375–387. https://doi.org/10.21608/epsj.2016.5419

Akbari, M. K. R., Bakhshalinejad, R., and Shafiee, M. (2016). Effect of dietary zinc and α-tocopheryl acetate on broiler performance, immune responses, antioxidant enzyme activities, minerals and vitamin concentration in blood and tissues of broilers. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 221, 12–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsct.2016.08.016

Akbari, M. K. R., Bakhshalinejad, R., and Zoidis, E. (2018). Interactive effects of α-tocopheryl acetate and zinc supplementation on the antioxidant and immune systems of broilers. British Poultry Science, 59(6), 679–688. https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2018.1521510
Allain, C.C., Poon L.S., Chan C.S., Richmond W., and Fu P.C. (1974). Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. Clin Chem.; 20(4):470-5.

Arshad, M. S., Anjum, F. M., Khan, M. I., Shahid, M., Akhtar, S., and Sohaib, M. (2013). Wheat germ oil enrichment in broiler feed with α -lipoic acid to enhance the antioxidant potential and lipid stability of meat. Lipids in Health and Disease, 12(164), 1–14.

Çakatay, U. (2006). Pro-oxidant actions of α-lipoic acid and dihydroalphaic acid. Med. Hypotheses, 66, 110–117.

Chen, P., Ma, Q., Ji, C., Zhang, J., Zhao, L., and Zhang, Y. (2011). Dietary Lipoic Acid Influences Antioxidant Capability and Oxidative Status of Broilers. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 12, 8476–8488. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12128476

Coerdacier, J.-L., Dutto, G., Gasset, E., and Blancheton, J.-P. (2011). Is total serum protein a good indicator for welfare in reared sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax)? Aquatic Living Resources, 24(2), 121–127. https://doi.org/10.1051/altl/2011130

Duncan, B.D. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F. Test. Biometrics, 11: 1–42.

El Basuini, M. F., El-Hais, A. M., Dawood, M. A. O., Abou-Zeid, A. E. S., EL-Damrawy, S. Z., Khalafalla, M. M. E.S. and Dossou, S. (2016). Effect of different levels of dietary copper nanoparticles and copper sulfate on growth performance, blood biochemical profiles, antioxidant status and immune response of red sea bream (Pagrus major). Aquaculture,455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.01.007

El-Senousey, H. K., Chen, B., Wang, J. Y., Atta, A. M., Mohamed, F. R., and Nie, Q. H. (2018). Effects of dietary Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and alpha-lipoic acid supplementation on the antioxidant defense system and immune-related gene expression in broilers exposed to oxidative stress by dexamethasone. Poultry Science, 97(1), 30–38. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex298

El-Senousey, H. K.; Fouad, A. M.; Yao, J. H.; Zhang, Z. G. and Shen, Q. W. (2013). Dietary Alpha Lipoic Acid Improves Body Composition, Meat Quality and Decreases Collagen Content in Muscle of Broiler Chickens. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 3 : 394-400.

Hagen, T. M., Liu, J., Lykkesfeldt, J., Wehr, C. M., Ingersoll, R. T., Vinarsky, V. and Ames, B. N. (2002). Feeding acetyl-L-carnitine and lipoic acid to old rats significantly improves metabolic function while decreasing oxidative stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 99, 1870–1875.

Hamano, Y., Kamota, Y. and Sugawara, S. (2000). Effect of lipoic acid on plasma metabolites and metabolic response in broiler. Asian- Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 13, 653–658.

Hamano, Y., Sugawara, S., Kamota, Y., and Nagai, E. (1999). Involvement of lipoic acid in plasma metabolites, hepatic oxygen consumption, and metabolic response to a β-agonist in broiler chickens. Br. J. Nutr., 82, 497–503.

Imik, H., Kaynar, O., Ozkanlar, S., Gumus, R., Polat, H. and Ozkanlar, Y. (2013). Effects of vitamin C and α-lipoid acid dietary supplemenations on metabolic adaptation of broilers to heat stress. Revue Méd. Vét., 164(2), 52–59.

Khan, M. I., Shehzad, K., Arshad, M. S., Sahar, A., Shabbir, M. A. and Saeed, M. (2015). Impact of Dietary Alpha-Lipoic Acid on Antioxidant Potential of Broiler Thigh Meat. Hindawi Publishing Corporation-Journal of Chemistry, 2015, 8. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/406894 Research

Kim, M. S., Park, J. Y., Namkoong, C., Jang, P. G., Ryu, J. W., Song, H. S. and Lee, K. U. (2004). Anti-obesity effects of alpha-lipoic acid mediated by suppression of hypothalamic AMP-activated protein kinase. Nat. Med., 10, 727–733.

Koppenol, W. H. (1981). Superoxide dismutase and oxygen toxicity. Clin. Respir. Physiol., 17, 85–89.

Kurutas, E. B. (2016). The importance of antioxidants which play the role in cellular response against oxidative/nitrosative stress: current state. Nutrition Journal. Sci., 15:71

Lu, M., Bai, J., Wei, F., Xu, B., Sun, Q., Li, J. and Li, S. (2017). Effects of alpha-lipoic acid supplementation on growth performance, antioxidant capacity and biochemical parameters for ammonia-exposed broilers. Animal Science Journal, (March), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12759

Maddock, E. P. B., Stahl, C. A., Linville, M. L. and Carroll, J. A. (2001). The effects of alpha lipoic acid
(LA) on performance and health of weaned neonatal pigs. J. Anim. Sci., 79(1), 79 (Suppl. 1): 756-764.

Martínez-Alvarez, R. M., Morales, A. E. and Sanz, A. (2005). Antioxidant defenses in fish: Biotic and abiotic factors. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 15, 75–88.

Miller NJ, Rice-Evans C, Davies MJ, Gopinathan V, Milner A.(1993). A novel method for measuring antioxidant capacity and its application to monitoring the antioxidant status in premature neonates. Clin Sci (Lond).;84(4):407-12.

Mruk, D. D. , Silvestrini, B. , Mo, M. Y. and Cheng, C. Y. (2002). Antioxidant superoxide dismutase-A review: Its function, regulation in the testis, and role in male fertility. Contraception, 65, 305–311.

Nishikimi, M., N.A. Rao and K. Yagi.( 1972) The occurrence of superoxide anion in the reaction of reduced phenazine methosulphate and molecular oxygen. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Co. 46: 849–864.

NRC (1994). Nutrient requirement of poultry. 9th ed. Nat. Acad. Press, Washington. DC. USA.

Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, and Yagi K. (1979). Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem., 95(2):351-8.

Packer, L.; Witt, E.H. and Tritschler, H.J. (1995 ). Alpha-lipoic acid as a biological antioxidant. Free Radical Biol. Med.19, 227–250.

Reed, J. K. (1973). Studies on the kinetic mechanism of lipoamide dehydrogenase from rat liver mitochondria. J. Biol. Chem., 13, 4834–4839.

Sahoo, A., Swain, R. K., Mishra, S. K. and Jena, B. (2014). Serum biochemical indices of broiler birds fed on inorganic, organic and nano zinc supplemented diets. Int. J. Recent Sci. Res (Vol. 5).

SAS institute (2007). SAS/STAT User's Guide; Statistics, Ver. 9.1.3, seventh edition, SAS Institute, Inc., Carry, NC.

Senoglu, M.; Nacitarhan ,V.; Kurutas, EB.; Senoglu, N.; Altun, I.; Atli, Y. and Ozbag, D.( 2009). Intraperitoneal alpha-lipoic acid to prevent neural damage after crush injury to the rat sciatic nerve. J Brachial Plex Peripher Nerve Inj. Sci., 4:22–7.

Sharma, V., Singh, P., Pandey, A. K. and Dhawan, A. (2012). Induction of oxidative stress, DNA damage and apoptosis in mouse liver after sub-acute oral exposure to zinc oxide nanoparticles. Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis, 745(1–2), 84–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2011.12.009

Shay, K. P. , Moreau, R. F. , Smith, E. J. , Smith, A. R. and Hagen, T. M. (2009). Alpha-lipoic acid as a dietary supplement: Molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1790, 1149–1160.

Shen, Q. W. and Du., M. (2005). Effects of dietary α-lipoic acid on glycolysis of postmortem muscle. Meat Sci., 71: 306–311.

Shen, Q. W., Jones, C. S., Kalchayanand, N., Zhu, M. J. and Du., M. (2005). Effect of dietary α-lipoic acid on growth, body composition, muscle pH, and AMP-activated protein kinase phosphorylation in mice. J. Anim. Sci., 83: 2611–2617.
Sidney, P.G. and Barnard, R. (1973). Improved manual spectrophotometric procedure for determination of serum triglycerides. Clin. Chem., 19: 1077-1078.

Srilatha, T., Reddy, V. R., Quadratullah, S. and Raju, M. V. L. N. (2010). Effect of alpha-lipoic acid and vitamin E in diet on the performance, antioxidation and immune response in broiler chicken. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 9: 678–383.

Srilatha, T.; Redely, V.R.; Quadratullah, S. and Raju, M.V.L.N. (2010). Effect of alpha-lipoic acid and vitamin E in diet on the performance, antioxidation and immune response in broiler chicken. Int. J. Poult.

Tang, M. Y., Ma, Q. G., Chen, X. D. and Ji, C. (2007). Effects of dietary metabolizable energy and lysine on carcass characteristics and meat quality in Arbor Acres broilers. Asian- Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 20, 1865–1873.

Wang, B., Feng, W., Wang, M., Wang, T., Gu, Y., Zhu, M. and Wang, J. (2008). Acute toxicological impact of nano- and submicro-scaled zinc oxide powder on healthy adult mice. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 10(2), 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-007-9245-3

Williams, C. A., Hoffman, R. M., Kronfeld, D. S., Hess, T. M., Saker, K. E. and Harris, P. A. (2002). Lipoic acid as an antioxidant in mature Thoroughbred geldings: A preliminary study. J. Nutr., 132, 1628S–1631S.

Yoo, J., Yi, Y. J., Koo, B., Jung, S., Yoon, J. U. and Kang, H. B. (2016). Growth performance, intestinal morphology, and meat quality in relation to alpha-lipoic acid associated with vitamin C and E in broiler chickens under tropical conditions. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia ©, 45(3), 113–120.

Zhang, Y., Hongtrakul, K., Ji, C., Ma, Q. G., Liu, L. T. and Hu, X. X. (2009). Effects of Dietary Alpha-lipoic Acid on Anti-oxidative Ability and Meat Quality in Arbor Acres Broilers *. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 22(8), 1195–1201.

Zhang, Y., Jia, R., Ji, C., Ma, Q., Huang, J., Yin, H. and Liu, L. (2014). Effects of Dietary Alpha-lipoic Acid and Acetyl- L-carnitine on Growth Performance and Meat Quality in Arbor Acres ... Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 27(7), 996–1002. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2013.13436.

Zöllner, N. and Kirsch, K. (1962) Colorimetric Method for Determination of Total Lipids. Journal of Experimental Medicine, 135, 545-550. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02045455.
تقييم تأثير إضافة حامض ألفا – ليبويك على علاقات محتوية علي مستويات مختلفة من الطاقة علي الإداء الانتاجي
وحالة مضادات الأكسدة لدجاج التسمين

طلعت خضر الريس
قسم الانتاج الحيواني – كلية الزراعة – جامعة طنطا – مصر.

تهدف هذه الدراسة التي تقييم ما إذا كان هناك تأثير توافق أو مضاد بين حامض الالفا ليبويك والمستويات المختلفة من الطاقة بعلامات دجاج التسمين على الإداء الانتاجي ومعدل ترسيب الدهون البالغة. استخدم لهذه الدراسة عدد 360 كتكوت عمر يوم واحد وغير معتمدة من سلالة الكب وقسمت عشوائيا إلى ثمان مجموعات تجريبية متساوية كل مجموعة تحتوي 15 كتكوت لكل منها. يتكون النظام الغذائي من ثلاث مراحل: المرحلة الأولى مكونة من البذور ويقدم للطيور علائق تحتوي علي 22% بروتين خام ومستمر لمدة 3 أسابيع، أما المرحلة الثانية فهي في البداية ويقدم للطيور علائق 20% بروتين خام ومستمر لمدة أسبوعين وفي الأسبوع الأخير يقد للطيور الملوخ بدائيًا والذي يحتوي علي 18% بروتين خام. داخل كل مستوى من البروتين المستخدم في كل مرحلة غذائية تم اختيار مستويين من الطاقة (3000، 3200 كيلو كالوري/ كجم علائق) مع مستويات مختلفة من حامض الالفا – ليبويك (صفر، 50، 75، 100 مجم/ كجم علائق). إذا كان التصميم التجبري يكو عبارة عن تجربة عاملية 2×4(مستويات من الطاقة مع أربعة مستويات من حامض الالفا – ليبويك). أظهرت النتائج وجود تأثيرات عالية المعنوية لجميع قياسات الإداء الانتاجي نتيجة استخدام مستويات الطاقة أو إضافة حامض الالفا – ليبويك والتفاعل بينهما. كما حدث انخفاض معنوي في نسبة التصافي وتركم الدهون في البطن مع زيادة مستويات حامض الالفا – ليبويك. تشير النتائج أيضا إلى حدوث تحسين معنوي في مستوي مضادات الأكسدة ببعض صدر الخفيف مع زيادة مستويات حامض الالفا – ليبويك ومستويات الطاقة أو التفاعل بينهما. بشكل عام، انخفضت نسبة الدهون الكلية والجلدريات الثلاثية والكولسترول بشكل ملحوظ مع زيادة مستويات حمض الالفا – ليبويك. علاوة على ذلك، زادت نسبة الدهون الكلية فقط مع زيادة مستوي طاقة الغذاء. وقد لوحظ ووجد تحسن ملحوظ في مستوي مضادات الأكسدة في بلازما الدم بما في ذلك MDA و GSH-px و SOD و TAC و TAC مضادات الأكسدة في بلازما الدم بما في ذلك 50 مجم مع مستوي طاقة 3200 كيلو كالوري أدي الي تحسن ملحوظ في الكفاءة الاقتصادية. من النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها يمكن أن نستنتج أن إضافة حامض الالفا – ليبويك حتى مستوى 100 مجم / كجم علائق توفر مضادات الأكسدة الطبيعية الهامة التي تعزز حالة مضادات الأكسدة في اللحم ومدة ينطير عن مستوى الطاقة في النظام الغذائي.