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SUMMARY

Spiking neural networks (SNNs) serve as a promising computational framework for integrating insights from the brain into artificial intelligence (AI). Existing software infrastructures based on SNNs exclusively support brain simulation or brain-inspired AI, but not both simultaneously. To decode the nature of biological intelligence and create AI, we present the brain-inspired cognitive intelligence engine (BrainCog). This SNN-based platform provides a variety of universal essential components, including spiking neurons, encoding strategies, learning rules, brain areas, and hardware-software co-design. With these easy-to-use components, BrainCog incorporates numerous brain-inspired AI models that cover five categories of brain-inspired cognitive functions. It also supports multi-scale brain structure and function simulation. We also provide BORN, an SNN-driven, brain-inspired AI engine that integrates multiple BrainCog components and cognitive functions to build advanced AI models and robotics applications.
INTRODUCTION

The human brain can self-organize and coordinate different cognitive functions to flexibly adapt to changing environments. A major challenge for artificial intelligence (AI) and computational neuroscience is integrating multi-scale biological principles to build brain-inspired intelligent models. As the third generation of neural networks, spiking neural networks (SNNs) are more biologically plausible at multiple scales, including membrane potential, neuronal firing, synaptic transmission, synaptic plasticity, and coordination of multiple brain areas. More importantly, SNNs are more biologically interpretable, more energy efficient, and naturally more suitable for modeling various cognitive functions of the brain and creating brain-inspired AI.

Existing neural simulators attempt to simulate elaborate biological neuron models, implement large-scale neural network simulations, and build neural dynamics models and deep SNN models. Neuron focuses on simulating elaborate biological neuron models. Neural simulation tool (NEST) implements large-scale neural network simulations. Brian/Brian2 provides an efficient and convenient tool for modeling SNNs. Shallow SNNs implemented by Brian2 can realize unsupervised visual classification. Further, BindsNET builds SNNs by coordinating various neurons and connections and incorporates multiple biological learning rules for training SNNs. SNNs implemented by these frameworks can realize machine learning tasks, including supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning. However, supporting more complex tasks remains a challenge for current SNN frameworks, and there is a performance gap compared with traditional deep neural networks (DNNs).

Deep SNNs trained by surrogate gradient or converted from well-trained DNNs have achieved remarkable progress in the fields of speech recognition, computer vision, and reinforcement learning. Motivated by this, the SNN conversion toolbox (SNN-TB) provides an artificial neural network (ANN)-to-SNN framework that can transform DNN models built from different deep learning libraries (such as Keras, TensorFlow, and PyTorch) into SNN models and can provide interfaces with simulation platforms (such as PyNN and Brian2) as well as deployment to hardware (Spinnaker and Loihi). SINABS implements spiking convolutional neural networks (SCNNs) based on PyTorch. It integrates different types of neurons and various SCNN training algorithms (such as ANN-to-SNN conversion, training by backpropagation through time [BPTT]) and supports deploying models to neuromorphic hardware. SpikingJelly (SJ) develops a deep learning SNN framework (trained by surrogate gradient or converting well-trained DNNs to SNNs). It provides convenient basic components for deep supervised learning and reinforcement learning. These platforms are relatively more inspired by deep learning and focus on improving the performance of different tasks. They currently lack in-depth inspiration from brain information processing mechanisms and hence short at simulating large-scale functional brains.

BrainPy excels at modeling, simulating, and analyzing the dynamics of brain-inspired neural networks from multiple perspectives, including neurons, synapses, and networks. While it focuses on computational neuroscience research, it fails to consider the learning and optimization of deep SNNs or the implementation of brain-inspired functions. Semantic pointer architecture unified network (SPAUN) is a large-scale brain function model consisting of 2.5 million simulated neurons and is implemented by Nengo. It integrates multiple brain areas and can perform various brain cognitive functions, including image recognition, working memory, question answering, reinforcement learning, and fluid reasoning. However, SPAUN is not suitable for solving challenging and complex AI tasks that deep learning models can handle. In summary, the infrastructures for brain simulation and brain-inspired intelligence do not seem to have the same goal. Thus, the platforms for brain simulation and brain-inspired intelligence have been developed separately in the past. However, with a design that organizes biological plausibility and computational complexity at different levels, the two can be integrated and unified at the infrastructure level, eliminating the need for separate development. This integration is beneficial from the perspective of revealing the computational nature of intelligence and developing intelligent applications.

Considering the various limitations of existing frameworks mentioned above, in this paper, we present the brain-inspired cognitive intelligence engine (BrainCog), an SNN-based open-source platform for brain-inspired AI and brain simulation at multiple scales. As shown in Figure 1, BrainCog provides basic components such as different types of neuron models, learning rules, encoding strategies, etc., as building blocks to construct various brain areas and neural circuits to implement brain-inspired cognitive functions. Based on these essential components, BrainCog can perform a wide variety of brain-inspired AI modeling and simulate brain cognitive functions and structures, showing considerable scalability and flexibility. BrainCog also supports hardware-software co-design to facilitate the deployment of different SNN-based computational models. The platform includes several brain-inspired cognitive SNN models divided into five categories of cognitive functions: perception and learning, decision-making, motor control, knowledge representation and reasoning, and social cognition. For brain simulation, BrainCog provides simulations of brain structures and functions at different scales, from microcircuits and cortical columns to whole-brain structure simulations (covering the mouse brain, macaque brain, and human brain). We compare BrainCog with other platforms in terms of brain structure, learning mechanisms, and cognitive functions in Table 1.

BrainCog is developed based on the deep learning framework (currently, it is based on PyTorch, but it is easy to migrate to other frameworks, such as PaddlePaddle, TensorFlow, etc.). The online repository of BrainCog can be accessed at http://www.brain-cog.network. With comprehensive, easy-to-use essential components and a considerable number of use cases (covering brain-inspired AI models, brain function, and structure simulation), BrainCog enables researchers to learn the platform quickly and implement their algorithms. In summary, BrainCog provides a powerful infrastructure for developing AI and computational neuroscience research based on SNNs.

RESULTS

BrainCog provides an SNN-based open-source platform that can be applied to brain-inspired AI modeling and brain
simulation at multiple scales, enabling the integration of revealing the nature of intelligence and developing brain-inspired intelligence models at the infrastructure level. This section presents the current applications and results of brain-inspired AI models and brain simulation integrated by BrainCog.

**Brain-inspired AI**

Computational units (different neuron models, learning rules, encoding strategies, brain area models, etc.) at multiple scales provided by BrainCog serve as a foundation to develop functional networks. To enable BrainCog to provide infrastructure support for brain-inspired AI, cognitive function-centric networks need to be built and provided as reusable functional building blocks. BrainCog aims to achieve the vision “the structure and mechanism are inspired by the brain, and the cognitive behaviors are similar to humans” for brain-inspired AI. As a result, BrainCog provides cognitive function components that collectively form neural circuits corresponding to 28 brain areas in mammalian brains, as shown in Figure 2. Drawing on the neural structure and learning mechanism from the brain, BrainCog implements a variety of brain-inspired AI models that can be classified into five categories: perception and learning, decision-making, motor control, knowledge representation and reasoning, and social cognition. The source code of the brain-inspired AI models implemented by BrainCog is available at https://github.com/BrainCog-X/Brain-Cog/tree/main/examples.

![Figure 1. The architecture of the brain-inspired cognitive intelligence engine (BrainCog)](image)

### Table 1. Comparison of the brain-inspired SNN and brain simulation platform

| Framework | SNN-TB (Rueckauer et al.) | BindsNet (Hazan et al.) | SINABS (SynSense Library) | SSN SJ (Fang et al.) | BrainPy (Wang et al.) | SPAIC (Hong et al.) | BrainCog |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| **Brain structure** | | | | | | | |
| neuron connection | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| brain area | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| **Learning mechanisms** | | | | | | | |
| biologically conversion | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| BP | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| RL | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| **Functions** | | | | | | | |
| brain-inspired AI | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| brain simulation types | little | little | little | little | much | much | rich |
Perception and learning

For the computational models in sensory information processing, BrainCog implements SNN-based image classification, detection, and concept learning. BrainCog provides a variety of supervised and unsupervised methods for training SNNs, such as the biologically plausible spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) in cooperation with short-term synaptic plasticity (STP), adaptive synaptic filter, and adaptive threshold balance\(^\text{21}\) to improve the performance of the SNN in unsupervised scenarios (Supplemental experimental procedures S1): global feedback connections combined with the local plasticity rule\(^\text{22}\) (Supplemental experimental procedures S2), the more biologically plausible backpropagation method based on surrogate gradients\(^\text{23}\) (Supplemental experimental procedures S3), conversion-based algorithms based on the burst spikes and lateral inhibition mechanism\(^\text{24}\) (Supplemental experimental procedures S4), the leaky integrate and fire or burst neuron with the dynamic burst pattern,\(^\text{25}\) excitatory and inhibitory neuron cooperation with self-feedback connections,\(^\text{26}\) and capsule structures routed by the STDP mechanism.\(^\text{27}\) Inspired by quantum information theory, BrainCog provides a quantum superposition-inspired SNN model, which encodes complement information to neural spike trains with different frequencies and phases.\(^\text{28}\) In addition, introducing the multi-compartment spiking neuron, the proposed SNN model achieves robust performance in noisy scenarios\(^\text{28}\) (Supplemental experimental procedures S5). Based on the BrainCog engine, we also present a human-like multi-sensory integration concept learning framework to generate representations with five types of perceptual strength information.\(^\text{29}\)

Case study 1: Multisensory integration. Combining information from multiple senses enhances perception, response times, and recognition capabilities. BrainCog provides a concept learning framework that generates integrated representations with five types of perceptual strength information.\(^\text{29}\) The framework is developed with two distinct paradigms: associate merge (AM) and independent merge (IM), as shown in Figure 3.

IM is a cognitive model that assumes that each type of sensory information for a concept is processed independently before being integrated. It uses a two-layer SNN model with five neurons in the first layer representing the five types of perceptual strength (visual, auditory, haptic, olfactory, and gustatory) and one neuron in the second layer for integration. The model incorporates Poisson-encoded presynaptic neurons and leaky integrate and fire (LIF) or Izhikevich postsynaptic neural models, with weights between the neurons calculated as 

\[ w_i = g_i / \Sigma g_i, \]

where \( g_i = 1 / \sigma_i^2 \) and \( \sigma_i^2 \) is the variance of perceptual strength. IM converts the postsynaptic neuron’s spiking trains into integrated representations for each concept.

The AM paradigm assumes that each type of modality associated with a concept is processed together before integration. It consists of five neurons representing the concept’s distinct modal information sources, and they are connected to each other without self-connections. The input spike trains for AM are generated through Poisson coding based on perceptual strength. The weights are defined by the correlation between each pair of
modalities. The AM model converts spike trains of all neurons into binary code and combines them as the integrated representation.

The multisensory integration framework is evaluated using similar concepts datasets. Three multisensory datasets are investigated (LC823,32,33 brain-based componential semantic representation (BBSR),34 and Lancaster40k35) respectively. The results (Figure 3B) show that representations generated by the framework are closer to human performance than the original ones. The framework paradigms, IM and AM, are evaluated and compared using concept feature norms datasets (McRae36 and Centre For Speech, Language, And The Brain (CSLB)37), and the findings reveal that IM performs better at multisensory integration for concepts with higher modality exclusivity, while AM benefits concepts with uniform perceptual strength distribution. Furthermore, both framework paradigms show good generality for perceptual strength-free metrics.

**Decision-making**

For decision-making, BrainCog provides a multi-brain area coordinated decision-making SNN,38 which achieves human-like learning ability on the Flappy Bird game. The platform also includes a reward-modulated brain-inspired SNN, empowering self-organizing obstacle avoidance for a drone swarm.39 In addition, BrainCog combines SNNs with deep reinforcement learning, providing a brain-inspired spiking deep Q network (spike-DQN) model,40 that outperforms vanilla ANN-based DQN on Atari game experiments.

**Case study 2: Brain-inspired decision-making SNN.** BrainCog has developed a brain-inspired decision-making SNN (BDM-SNN) model that simulates the prefrontal cortex (PFC)-basal ganglia (BG)-thalamus (THA)-premotor cortex (PMC) neural circuit, as shown in Figure 4A. The BDM-SNN model incorporates the excitatory and inhibitory connections within the basal ganglia nuclei and the direct, indirect, and hyperdirect pathways from the PFC to the BG.41 This BDM-SNN model uses biological neuron models (LIF and simplified Hodgkin-Huxley [H-H] models), synaptic plasticity learning rules, and interactive connections among multi-brain areas. The learning process combines global dopamine modulation and local synaptic plasticity for online reinforcement learning.

The BDM-SNN model implemented by BrainCog can perform different tasks, such as playing the Flappy Bird game (Figure 4B) and supporting unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) online decision-making. For the Flappy Bird game, our method achieves human-like performance, stably passing the pipeline on the first try. Figure 4C illustrates the changes in the mean cumulative rewards for LIF and simplified H-H neurons while playing the game. The simplified H-H neuron performs similarly to the LIF neuron. The BDM-SNN with different neurons can quickly learn the correct rules and keep obtaining rewards. We also analyze the role of different ion channels in the simplified H-H model. Figure 4D shows that sodium and potassium ion channels have opposite effects on neuronal membrane potential. Removing sodium ion channels will make the membrane potential rise faster and fire earlier. These results indicate that sodium ion channels can help increase the membrane potential and that potassium ion channels have the opposite effect. The experimental results also indicate that a BDM-SNN with a simplified H-H model that removes sodium ion channels fails to learn the Flappy Bird game.

**Motor control**

Embodied cognition is crucial to realizing biologically plausible AI. BrainCog provides a multi-brain area coordinated robot motion SNN model, which incorporates PMC, supplementary motor area (SMA), BG, and cerebellum functions, inspired by the brain’s motor control mechanism and embodied cognition. The model implements multi-brain area cooperation function and population neuron encoding and can control various robots.

**Case study 3: Motor control.** Inspired by the brain motor circuit, we construct a brain-inspired motor control model with the LIF neuron provided by BrainCog and implement a robot piano-playing task. The model architecture is shown in Figure 5A. The SMA processes internal movement stimuli and plans advanced actions. The SMA and PMC modules produce high-level motion information. The SMA processes internal movement stimuli and plans advanced actions. The cerebellum coordinates and fine-tunes movements. We build an SNN-based cerebellum model to process high-level motor control population embedding. The outputs of populations are fused to encode motor control information generated.
by the high-level cortex area, then entered into a three-layer cerebellum SNN, including granule cell (GC), purkinje cell (PC), and deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN) modules. The DCN layer generates the final joint control outputs. We use BrainCog’s cross-layer connection and population-coding modules to construct the motion control SNN according to the connection mechanism of the motor cortex in the biological brain. The entire motor control model is feedforward and can be trained using the spatiotemporal backpropagation (STBP) method provided by BrainCog.

Table 2 shows the brain areas and the number of neurons used for the motor control SNN. The SMA receives an input of music note information, and the PMC encodes the information with 16 groups of population-encoding neurons for action selection. The cerebellum’s GC, PC, and DCN parts form a residual connection and receive the output of the movement population neurons. The DCN puts out joint and end-effector coordinate control signals. We use the Euclidean distance between the model output end-effector position and the target end-effector position required to play a specific note as the loss function. The distance between the end effector and target and the playing key accuracy rate during the training process are shown in Figures 5B and 5C.

Knowledge representation and reasoning
BrainCog incorporates multiple neuroplasticity- and population-coding mechanisms for knowledge representation and reasoning. We develop a brain-inspired music memory and stylistic composition model that can represent and memorize note sequences and generate music in different styles.42,43 We also develop a sequence production SNN that can memorize and reconstruct symbol sequences according to different rules (Supplemental experimental procedures S6). We build a commonsense knowledge representation graph SNN that uses multi-scale neural plasticity and population coding to represent commonsense knowledge in a graph SNN model45 (Supplemental experimental procedures S7). We design a causal reasoning SNN that encodes a causal graph into an SNN and performs deductive reasoning tasks (Supplemental experimental procedures S8).

Case study 4: Stylistic composition SNN musical learning
BrainCog provides an example of SNN-based musical knowledge learning and creation of melodies in different styles. We develop a stylistic composition SNN that consists of a knowledge network and a sequence memory network.43 As shown in Figure 6A, the knowledge network is designed as a hierarchical structure that encodes and learns musical knowledge. These layers store the genre (such as baroque, classical, and romantic), the names of famous composers, and the titles of musical pieces. The sequence memory network stores the ordered notes. During learning, synaptic connections are projected from the knowledge network to the sequence memory network. This example takes the LIF model, supported by the BrainCog platform, to simulate neural dynamics. During learning, synaptic connections from the knowledge network to the sequence memory network are updated dynamically by the STDP learning rule.

Musical composition
Given the beginning notes and the melody length to be generated, the genre-based composition can produce a single-part melody with a specific genre style. This task is achieved by the
neural circuits of genre cluster and sequential memory system. Similarly, the composer-based composition can produce melodies with composers’ characters. The composer cluster and sequential memory system circuits contribute to this process. We train the model by using a classical piano dataset including 331 musical works recorded in the musical instrument digital interface (MIDI) format. Figure 6B shows a sample of a generated melody with Bach’s style.

**Social cognition**

For social cognition, BrainCog provides brain-inspired bodily self-perception and a theory of mind (ToM) model that enable the agent to perceive and understand itself and others and help the robots to pass the multi-robot mirror self-recognition test and the AI safety risks experiment. In addition, we construct a brain-inspired robot pain SNN based on BrainCog, which simulates the neural mechanism of painful emotion emergence and realizes two tasks with real robots: the alerting actual injury task and the preventing potential injury task. We also construct a brain-inspired affective empathy SNN based on BrainCog, which simulates the mirroring mechanism in the brain to achieve pain empathy and an altruistic rescue task among intelligent agents. Based on the BrainCog platform, we build a multi-agent theory of mind decision-making model to elevate multi-agent cooperation and competition and a brain-inspired intention prediction model to enable the robot to perform actions according to the user’s intention.

Case study 5: Brain-inspired bodily self-perception and theory of mind model

The nature and neural correlates of social cognition are advanced topics in cognitive neuroscience. In the field of AI and robotics, few in-depth studies take seriously the neural correlation and brain mechanisms of biological social cognition. Although the scientific understanding of biological social cognition is still in a preliminary stage, we integrate the biological findings of bodily self-perception and theory of mind into a brain-inspired bodily self-perception and theory of mind model to extend the functions of BrainCog. This model uses the neuron models, STDP learning rule, and interactive connections among multi-brain areas provided by BrainCog, as shown in Figure 7A. This model enables the robot and the agents to pass the multi-robot mirror self-recognition test and the AI safety risks experiment, as shown in Figures 7B and 7C. The former is a classic experiment of self-perception in social cognition, and the latter is a variation and application of the theory of mind experiment in social cognition.

**Brain simulation**

In addition to brain-inspired AI models, BrainCog also shows capabilities regarding brain cognitive function simulation and multi-scale brain structure simulation based on SNNs. BrainCog incorporates as much published anatomical data as possible to simulate cognitive functions such as decision-making and working memory. Anatomical and imaging multi-scale connectivity data are used to make whole-brain simulations from mouse and macaque to human more biologically plausible.

**Brain cognitive function simulation**

To demonstrate the capability of BrainCog for cognitive function simulation, we provide *Drosophila* decision-making and PFC working memory function simulations. For *Drosophila* nonlinear and linear decision-making simulations, BrainCog verifies the winner-takes-all behaviors of the nonlinear dopaminergic neuron-GABAergic neuron-mushroom body (DA-GABA-MB) circuit under a dilemma and obtains consistent conclusions with *Drosophila* biological experiments (for more details, see Supplemental experimental procedures S9). For the PFC working memory network implemented by BrainCog, we discover that using human neurons instead of rodent neurons without changing the network structure can significantly improve the accuracy and completeness of an image memory task, implying that the evolution of brains affects not only structures but also single neurons.

Case study 6: PFC working memory

Understanding the detailed differences between the brains of humans and other species on multiple scales will help illuminate what makes us unique as a species. We extract the key membrane parameters of human neurons from the human brain neuron database of the Allen Institute for Brain Science. Different types of neuron models are established based on the adaptive exponential integrate-and-fire (aEIF) model, supported by BrainCog. As shown in Figure 8A, we build a 6-layer PFC column model based on biometric parameters, following the model of a single PFC proposed by Hass et al. The pyramidal cells and interneurons are proportionally distributed from the literature and connection probabilities for different types of neurons are based on previous studies (Figure 8B). We test the accuracy of information maintenance on the rodent neuron PFC network model. In Figure 8C, we can see that keeping the network structure and other parameters unchanged, only using human neurons instead of rodent neurons, can significantly improve the accuracy and integrity of image output. This is consistent with biological experiments showing that human neurons have a lower membrane capacitance and fire more quickly, thus improving the efficiency of information transmission. This data-driven PFC column model in BrainCog provides an effective simulation-validation platform to study other high-level cognitive functions.

**Multi-scale brain structure-validation simulation**

BrainCog simulates the biological brain of several species at different scales, from microcircuits and cortical columns to whole-brain structure simulations. (1) Neural microcircuit. BrainCog simulates the decision-making neural circuit of PFC-BG-THA-PMC in the mammalian brain (as shown in Figure 4A). Based on anatomical architecture, the neural microcircuit simulation models excitatory and inhibitory connections between nucleus clusters in the basal ganglia and between cortical (PFC and PMC) and subcortical (BG and THA) brain areas as well as the direct, indirect, and hyperdirect pathways from the PFC to BG. BrainCog builds a multi-brain area coordinated decision-making neural circuit by using the LIF neuron and CustomLinear connectivity modules in BrainCog. This brain-inspired neural microcircuit, combined with dopamine-regulated learning rules, enables human-like decision-making ability. (2) Cortical column. BrainCog builds a mammalian thalamocortical column based on realistic anatomical data. This column is

| Table 2. Motor control brain area and number of neurons |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Brain area                  | SMA Basal ganglia PMC Cerebellum |
| Neuron number 512 | 128 | 128 | GC, 512; PC, 512; DCN, 7 |
made up of a six-layered cortical structure consisting of eight types of excitatory and nine types of inhibitory neurons. The thalamic neurons cover two types of excitatory neurons, inhibitory neurons, and GABAergic neurons in thalamic reticular neurons (TRNs). Neurons are simulated by the Izhikevich model, which BrainCog applies to exhibit their specific spiking patterns depending on their different neural morphologies. Each neuron has multiple dendritic branches with many synapses. The synaptic distribution and the microcircuits are reconstructed in BrainCog based on previous studies. Figure 9A describes the details of the minicolumn. The column contains 1,000 neurons and over 4,200,000 synapses. (3) Mouse brain. The BrainCog mouse brain simulator is an SNN model covering 213 mouse brain areas based on the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas. Each neuron is modeled by aEIF neuron model and simulated with a resolution of \( dt = 1 \text{ ms} \). This model includes a total of 6 types of neurons: excitatory (E) neurons, interneuron basket cells (I-BCs), interneuron Matinotti cells (I-MCs), thalamocortical relay neurons, thalamic interneurons (TIs) and TRNs. The connections between brain areas follow the quantitative anatomical dataset from the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas. Figure 9B shows the spontaneous activity of the model without external stimulation. (4) Macaque brain. The BrainCog macaque brain simulator is a large-scale SNN model covering 383 macaque brain areas, with 1.21 billion spiking neurons and 1.3 trillion synapses, which is 1/5 of a real macaque brain. The types of neurons in the cortical brain areas include excitatory neurons (80% of the neurons are of this type in the simulation) and inhibitory neurons (20% of the neurons are of this type in the simulation). The spiking neuron follows the H-H model, which is supported by BrainCog. Figure 9C shows the running demo of the model. The platform allows flexible settings for the neuron number, the connections, and the excitatory-inhibitory ratio in each region. (5) Human brain. The BrainCog human brain simulator follows an approach similar to the BrainCog macaque brain simulator. It uses the Human Brainnetome Atlas to build 246 brain areas. The details of the micro-circuit, including the excitatory and inhibitory neurons, are also considered. The final model (as shown in Figure 9D) includes 0.86 billion spiking neurons and 2.5 trillion synapses, which is 1/100 of a real human brain. The brain simulation demonstrates the framework’s ability to deploy on multi-scale computer clusters.

**DISCUSSION**

**BORN: An SNN-driven AI engine based on BrainCog**

BrainCog is an open-source platform to enable the community to build SNN-based, brain-inspired AI models and brain simulators.
Here we discuss future research and potential applications of the BrainCog platform. Based on the essential components developed for BrainCog, one can develop domain-specific or general-purpose AI engines. To further demonstrate how BrainCog can support the development of a brain-inspired AI engine, we introduce BORN, an ongoing SNN-driven, brain-inspired AI engine that leverages SNNs to build a general-purpose living AI system. As shown in Figure 10, the high-level architecture of BORN integrates spatial and temporal plasticities to implement various brain cognitive functions, such as perception and learning, decision-making, motor control, working memory, long-term memory, attention and consciousness, emotion, knowledge representation and reasoning, and social cognition. Spatial plasticity incorporates multi-scale neuroplasticity principles at micro, meso, and macro scales. Temporal plasticity considers learning and developmental and evolutionary plasticity at different timescales. How the human brain selects and coordinates various learning methods to solve complex tasks is crucial for understanding human intelligence and inspiring future AI. BORN is dedicated to addressing critical research issues like this. The learning framework of BORN consists of multi-task continual learning, few-shot learning, multi-modal concept learning, online learning, lifelong learning, teaching learning, transfer learning, etc. To demonstrate the ability and principles of BORN, we provide a relatively complex application of emotion-dependent robotic music composition and playing. This application involves a humanoid robot that can compose and play music based on visual emotion recognition. This application of BORN covers the whole process, from perception and learning to knowledge representation and reasoning and motor control. It consists of three modules built by BrainCog: the visual (emotion) recognition module, the emotion-dependent music composition module, and the robot music-playing module. As shown in Figure 11, the visual emotion recognition module enables robots to recognize the emotions (such as joy or sadness) expressed in images captured by the humanoid robot’s eyes. The emotion-dependent music composition module generates music pieces that correspond to the emotions in the image. Finally, with the help of the robot music-playing module, the robot controls its arms and fingers to perform the music on the piano. We introduce some details of these modules as follows. (1) Visual emotion recognition. For emotion recognition, inspired by the ventral visual pathway, we construct a deep convolutional SNN with the LIF neuron model and surrogate gradient provided by BrainCog. The structure of the network is 32C3-32C3-MP-32C3-32C3-32C3-MP-32C3, where 32C3 means the output channels of the convolution layer are 32, the kernel size is 3, and MP means max pooling. We train and test our model on the Emotion6 dataset, which contains 6 emotions: anger, disgust, fear, joy, sadness, and surprise. Each emotion consists of 330 samples.
On this basis, we extend the original Emotion6 dataset with exciting emotion, which we collect online. We use 80% of the images as the training set and the remaining 20% as the test set. (2) Emotion-dependent music composition. We construct an SNN that contains multiple subnetworks that collaborate to simulate different brain areas involved in representing, learning, and generating music melodies with different emotions. The model uses LIF neurons provided by BrainCog and the STDP learning rule to update the synaptic connections. We train the model on a dataset of 331 MIDI files of classical piano works. As shown in Figure 11, the amygdala network receives the outputs of visual emotion recognition as the input. The PFC and primary auditory cortex (PAC) networks then generate musical melodies that match the emotional categories. More details of the model are given in Supplemental experimental procedures S10. (3) Robot music-playing. We build a multi-brain area coordinated robot motor control SNN model based on the brain motor control circuit. The SNN model uses LIF neurons and incorporates SMA, PMC, BG, and cerebellum functions. The music notes are first processed by SMA, PMC, and BG networks to generate high-level target movement directions, and the output of the PMC is encoded by population neurons to target movement directions. The population coding of movement directions is then processed by the cerebellum model for low-level motor control. A humanoid robot, iCub, is used to validate the abilities of robotic music composition and playing, depending on the result of visual emotion recognition. The cerebellum SNN module implements the three-level residual architecture to process motor intentions and generate joint control outputs for the robot arms. The robot plays the music by moving its hand according to the generated sequence of music notes and pressing the keys with corresponding fingers. BrainCog aims to provide a community-based, open-source platform for developing SNN-based AI models and cognitive brain simulators. It integrates multi-scale biological plausible computational units and plasticity principles. Unlike existing platforms, BrainCog provides task-ready SNN models for AI and supports brain function and structure simulations at multiple scales. With the basic and functional components provided in the current version of BrainCog, we have shown how a variety of models and applications can be implemented for brain-inspired AI and brain simulations. Based on BrainCog, we are also committed to building BORN into a powerful SNN-based AI engine that incorporates multi-scale plasticity principles to realize human-level brain-inspired cognitive functions. Powered by 9 years of developing BrainCog modules, components, and applications, and inspired by biological mechanisms and natural evolution, continuous efforts on BORN will enable it to be a general-purpose AI engine. We have already started efforts to extend BrainCog and BORN to support high-level cognition, such as theory of mind, consciousness, and morality, which are essential for building true and general-purpose AI for human and ecological good. We invite you to join us on this exploration to create a future for a human-AI symbiotic society.

Limitations of study
This paper introduces BrainCog, a brain-inspired cognitive intelligence engine that supports brain-inspired AI and brain simulation research. This integrated design enables researchers from different domains to collaborate more effectively on a common platform. However, we still face some challenges in achieving deep coordination between them. Although we strive to integrate the precise simulation of brain functions with the computational...
efficiency of deep learning, the current brain-inspired AI module has not been able to fully simulate the functions and structures of the real brain. Moreover, even though our brain simulation tools have demonstrated commendable performance on various tasks, they face difficulties when dealing with higher-complexity tasks that are inherent to deep learning. These challenges may affect the performance of our platform in some scenarios that require precise brain simulation. In the future, we will continue to improve the BrainCog platform to promote deep coordination between brain simulation and brain-inspired AI and further enhance its applications in neuroscience and AI research. BrainCog will play a key role in interdisciplinary collaboration and research, and we will also actively address its current limitations.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Resource availability**

**Lead contact**

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Yi Zeng (yi.zeng@braincog.ai).

**Materials availability**

This study did not generate new unique materials.

**Data and code availability**

Human brain neuron parameters are extracted directly from the Allen Brain Atlas Cell Types Database: https://celltypes.brain-map.org/. The online repository of BrainCog can be found at https://github.com/BrainCog-X/Brain-Cog and Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7955594. Demo videos related to applications of BrainCog can be found at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xActrzjamOE.

**Essential and fundamental components**

BrainCog provides essential and fundamental components, including various biological neuron models, learning rules, encoding strategies, and models of different brain areas. One can build brain-inspired SNN models by reusing and refining these building blocks. Expanding and refining the components and cognitive functions included in BrainCog is an ongoing effort. We believe this should be a continuous community effort, and we invite researchers and practitioners to join us in enriching and improving the work in a synergistic way. Here we list some of the basic components incorporated in BrainCog.

**Neuron models**

BrainCog supports various models for spiking neurons, such as the following.

1. Integrate-and-Fire (IF) spiking neurons: 

\[
C \frac{dV}{dt} = I
\]  

(Equation 1)

\(I\) denotes the input current from the pre-synaptic neurons. \(C\) denotes the membrane capacitance. When the membrane potential reaches the threshold \(V_{th}\), the neuron \(j\) fires a spike.\(^23\)

2. LIF spiking neurons: \(^24\)

\[
\tau \frac{dV}{dt} = -V + RI
\]  

(Equation 2)

\(\tau = RC\) denotes the time constant, and \(R\) and \(C\) denote the membrane resistance and capacitance, respectively.\(^24\).

3. aEIF spiking neurons: \(^25,76\)

\[
\begin{align*}
C \frac{dV}{dt} & = -g_L(V - E_L) + g_A \Delta T \exp \left( \frac{V - V_{th}}{\Delta T} \right) + I - w \\
\tau \frac{dw}{dt} & = a(V - E_L) - w
\end{align*}
\]  

(Equation 3)

\(g_L\) denotes the leak conductance, \(E_L\) denotes the resting potential, \(g_A\) denotes the adaptation conductance, \(\Delta T\) is the time constant for adaptation, and \(a\) and \(w\) are the adaptation parameters.

**Figure 9. Illustration of multi-scale brain structure simulation**

(A) The structure of the thalamocortical column. (B–D) Running of the BrainCog mouse brain (B), macaque brain (C), and human brain (D) simulators. The shining point is the spiking neuron at time \(t\), and the point color represents the neuron belonging to the respective brain area.
\( g_i \) is the leak conductance, \( E_r \) is the leak reversal potential, \( V_r \) is the reset potential, \( \Delta t \) is the slope factor, \( I \) is the background current, and \( \tau_a \) is the adaptation time constant. When the membrane potential is greater than the threshold \( V_{th} \), \( V = V_r \), and \( w = w + b \). \( a \) is the subthreshold adaptation, and \( b \) is the spike-triggered adaptation.75,76

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = 0.04v^2 + 5v + 140 - u + I
\]

(Equation 4)

When the membrane potential \( v \) is greater than the threshold:

\[
\begin{align*}
    v &= cu \\
    u &= u + d
\end{align*}
\]

(Equation 5)

\( v \) represents the membrane recovery variable, and \( a, b, c, d \) are the dimensionless parameters.77 (5) H-H spiking neurons:76

\[
l = C \frac{dv}{dt} + \overline{g}_l n^4(V - V_e) + \overline{g}_m m^2 h(V - V_m) + \overline{g}_l (V - V_l)
\]

(Equation 6)

\[
\frac{dn}{dt} = \alpha_n(V)(1 - n) - \beta_n(V)n
\]

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = \alpha_m(V)(1 - m) - \beta_m(V)m
\]

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = \alpha_h(V)(1 - h) - \beta_h(V)h
\]

(Equation 7)

\( a_n \) and \( \beta_n \) are used to control the \( n \) ion channel, \( n, m, \) and \( h \) are dimensionless probabilities between 0 and 1. \( \overline{g}_l \) is the maximal value of the conductance.78 The H-H model shows elaborate modeling of biological neurons. To apply it more efficiently to AI tasks, BrainCog incorporates a simplified H-H model (\( C = 0.02 \mu F/cm^2 \), \( V_e = 0 \), \( V_m = 60mV \)), as illustrated in Wang et al.79 (6) Multi-compartment spiking neurons.80 The multi-compartment neuron (MCN) model regards the dendrites, somata, and other parts of neurons as independent computing units. BrainCog provides a multi-compartment spiking neuron model containing basal dendrites, apical dendrites, and soma compartments. The basal and apical dendrites receive different source signals:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \tau_a \frac{dv^c}{dt} & = - V^c + x^c_t \\
    x^c_t & = \sum_j w^c_{ij} f\left(V^c_j \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(Equation 8)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \tau_v \frac{dv^b}{dt} & = - V^b + x^b_t \\
    x^b_t & = \sum_j w^b_{ij} f\left(V^b_j \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(Equation 9)

\[
\tau_v \frac{dv^b}{dt} = - u_t + \frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \left( \frac{V^b}{V_e} - u_t \right) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial g_i} \left( \frac{V^b}{V_e} - u_t \right)
\]

(Equation 10)

\( V^c \) is basal dendrite potential, and \( V^b \) is the apical dendrite potential. \( \tau_a, \tau_b \) and \( \tau_v \) are decay time constants of dendrites and the soma compartment, while \( g_i, g_m, \) and \( g_l \) are conductance hyperparameters. The somatic potential \( u_t \) integrates the basal and apical dendritic potentials, and when the somatic potential exceeds the threshold, the neuron fires a spike as output.

Learning rules

BrainCog provides various plasticity principles and rules to support biologically plausible learning and inference, such as (1) Hebbian learning theory:81

\[
\Delta w^c_j = x^c_j x^c_t
\]

(Equation 11)

\( w^c_j \) means the \( j \)th synapse weight of \( j \)th neuron at the time \( t \), \( x^c_j \) is the output of \( j \)th neuron at the time \( t \).

(2) STDP:82

\[
\Delta w^c_j = \sum_{t} w^c_j \left( t_t - t \right)
\]

(Equation 12)

\( \Delta w^c_j \) is the modification of the synapse \( j \) at time \( t \), and \( W(\Delta t) \) is the STDP function. \( t \) is the time of the spike. \( A^+ \), \( A^- \) mean the modification degree of STDP. \( \tau_c \) and \( \tau_r \) denote the time constant.82 (3) Bienenstock-Cooper-Munro (BCM) theory:83

\[
\Delta w = y(y - \theta_0) x - \epsilon w
\]

(Equation 13)

\( x \) and \( y \) denote the firing rates of pre-synaptic and post-synaptic neurons, respectively, and \( \theta_0 \) is the average of historical activity of the
post-synaptic neuron. Short-term plasticity is used to model the synaptic efficacy changes over time.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta w &= \tau_s \Delta e \\
\Delta e &= -\frac{\Delta w}{\tau_e} + \Delta w_{\text{STDP}}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 11. The procedure of multi-cognitive function coordinated emotion-dependent music composition and playing by a humanoid robot based on BORN

(2) Phase coding. The idea of phase coding can be used to encode the analog quantity changing with time. The value of the analog quantity in a period can be represented by a spike time, and the change of the analog quantity in the whole time process can be represented by the spike train obtained by connecting all of the periods. Each spike has a corresponding phase weighting under phase encoding, and generally, the pixel intensity is encoded as a 0/1 input, similar to binary encoding. Here \(\gg\) denotes the shift operation to the right, and \(K\) is the phase period. Pixel \(x\) is enlarged to \(x' = x \times (2^K - 1)\) and shifted \(k = K - 1 - (t \mod K)\) to the right, where mod is the remainder operation. If the lowest bit is one, then \(x\) will be one at time \(t\) & means bit-wise AND operation.

\[
s(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } (x') \gg k \land 1 = 1 \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

(3) Temporal coding. The characteristic of the neuron spike is that the form of the spike is fixed, and there are only differences in quantity and time. A common way to implement this is to express information regarding the timing of individual spikes. The stronger the stimulus received, the earlier the spike generates. Let the total simulation time be \(T\), and the input \(x\) of the neuron can be encoded as the spike at time \(t^*\):

\[
t^* = T - \text{round}(T \times x)
\]

(4) Quantum superposition coding. Quantum superposition-inspired spike coding processes different characteristics of information with spatiotemporal spike trains. The original information \(x_i\) and complementary information \(\pi_x\) are encoded to the superposition state \(|\psi\rangle\). The spiking phase \(\theta_i\) is generated from mixing parameter \(\theta\), and the superposition state is transferred to spiking rate \(r\). Final spiking trains \(S(\theta_i, \psi_i)\) are generated from the Poisson spike process with corresponding rate and phase arguments. This spatiotemporal coding method has been proven to be robust in processing noisy information.

\[
J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\cos(\theta_i)|x_i\rangle + \sin(\theta_i)|\pi_x\rangle) @ |\theta\rangle
\]

(5) Population coding. The intuitive idea of population coding is to make different neurons have different sensitivities to different inputs. A classical population coding method is the neural information coding method based on the Gaussian tuning curve, referred to in Equation 25. Suppose that \(m\) \((m > 2)\) neurons are used to encode a variable \(x\) with a value range of \([l_{\text{min}}, l_{\text{max}}]\). \(f(x)\) can be firing time or membrane potential.

\[
f(x) = x \times \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}
\]

The corresponding mean \(\mu\) and variance \(\sigma\) of the \(l_{\text{min}}, \psi = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) neuron with adjustable parameter \(\psi\) as follows:

\[
\mu = l_{\text{min}} + \frac{2l - 3l_{\text{max}}}{2} \frac{l_{\text{max}}}{m - 2}
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{l_{\text{max}} - l_{\text{min}}}{m - 2}
\]
\( \mu \) represents the optimal input of the neuron, while \( \alpha \) controls the size of the receptive field of the neuron.

**Brain area models**

Brain-inspired models of several functional brain areas are constructed for BrainCog from different levels of abstraction. (1) PFC. The PFC plays a crucial role in human high-level cognitive behavior. In BrainCog, many cognitive tasks based on SNNs are inspired by the mechanisms of the PFC, such as decision-making, working memory, knowledge representation, and theory of mind and music processing. Different circuits are involved in completing these cognitive tasks. In BrainCog, the data-driven PFC column model contains 6 layers and 16 types of neurons. The distribution of neurons, membrane parameters, and connections of different types of neurons are all derived from existing biological experimental data. The PFC brain area component mainly employs the LIF neuron model to simulate the neural dynamics. The STDP and R-STD P learning rules are utilized to compute the weights between different neural circuits. (2) Basal ganglia. Basal ganglia facilitiate desired action selection and inhibit competing behavior (making winner-takes-all decisions). They cooperate with the PFC and THA to realize the decision-making process in the brain. BrainCog models the basal ganglia brain area, including excitatory and inhibitory connections among the striatum, globus pallidus internus (Gpi), globus pallidus externus (Gpe), and subthalamic nucleus (STN) of basal ganglia. The BG brain area component adopts the LIF or simplified H-H neuron model in BrainCog as well as the STDP learning rule and CustomLinear to build internal connections of the BG. Then, the BG brain area component can be used to build BDM-SNNs. (3) PAC. The PAC is responsible for analyzing sound sources and memory and extraction of inter-sound relationships. This area exhibits a topographical map, which means neurons respond to their preferred sounds. In BrainCog, neurons in this area are simulated by the LIF model and organized as minicolumns to represent different sound frequencies. To store the ordered sequence, the notations of excitatory and inhibitory connections are updated by the STDP learning rule. (4) Inferior parietal lobule (IPL). The function of the IPL is to realize motor-visual associative learning. The IPL consists of two subareas: IPLM (motor perception neurons in the IPL) and IPLV (visual perception neurons in the IPL). The IPLM receives information generated by self-motion from the ventral PMC (vPMC), and the IPLV receives information detected by vision from the superior temporal sulcus (STS). Motor-visual associative learning is established according to the STDP mechanism and the spiking time difference of neurons in the IPLM and IPLV. The IPL brain area component of BrainCog adopts CustomLinear to build internal connections of the IPL with Izhikevich neurons and the STDP learning rule. (5) Hippocampus (HPC). The HPC is part of the limbic system and plays an essential role in the learning and memory processes of the human brain. It is involved in the key process of converting short-term memory to long-term memory. In BrainCog, we draw on the population-coding mechanism of the HPC to realize knowledge representation and reasoning, music memory, and stylistic composition models. (6) Insula. The role of the insula is to realize self-representation. That is, when the agent detects that the movement in the visual field is generated by itself, the insula is activated. The insula receives information from the IPLV and STS. The IPLV puts out the visual feedback information predicted according to its motion, and the STS puts out the motion information detected by vision. When both are consistent, the insula will be activated. In BrainCog, the insula brain area component integrates Izhikevich neurons and the STDP mechanism. (7) THA. Research has shown that the THA is composed of a series of nuclei connected to different brain areas and plays a crucial role in many brain processes. In BrainCog, this area is discussed from anatomic and cognitive perspectives. Understanding the anatomical structure of the THA can help researchers to grasp the mechanisms of the THA. BrainCog adopts a simplified and detailed anatomic thalamocortical data to reconstruct the thalamic structure by involving five types of neurons (including excitatory and inhibitory neurons) to simulate the neuronal dynamics and building the complex synaptic architecture according to the anatomic results. Inspired by the structure and function of the THA, the BDM model implemented by BrainCog considers the transfer function of the THA and cooperates with the PFC and BG to realize a multi-brain area coordinated decision-making model. (8) Ventral visual pathway. Cognitive neuroscience research has shown that the brain can receive external input and quickly recognize objects because of the hierarchical information processing of the ventral visual pathway. The ventral visual pathway is mainly composed of the primary visual cortex (V1), visual area 2 (V2), visual area 4 (V4), inferior temporal (IT), and other brain areas, which mainly process information such as object shape and color. These visual areas are connected through forward, feedback, and self-layer projections. The interaction of different visual areas enables humans to recognize visual objects. V1 is selective for simple edge features. As information flows to higher-level regions, they integrate lower-level features into larger and more complex receptive fields that can recognize more abstract objects. Inspired by the structure and function of the ventral visual pathway, BrainCog builds a deep forward SNN with layer-wise information abstraction and a feedforward and feedback interaction deep SNN. The performance is verified on several visual classification tasks. (9) Motor cortex. Biological motor function requires coordination of multiple brain areas. The extra circuits consisting of the PMC, cerebellum, and BA6 motor cortex area are primarily associated with motor control elicited by external stimuli, such as visual, auditory, and actual inputs. The internal motor circuits, which include the basal ganglia and the SMAs, dominate in self-initiated, learned movements. The population activity of motor cortical neurons encodes the direction of movement. Each neuron has a preferred direction and fires more strongly when the target movement direction matches its preferred direction. Inspired by the organization of the brain’s motor cortex, we use BrainCog’s LIF neuron to construct a motor control model. The cerebellum receives input from motor-related cortical areas such as the PMC, SMA, and PFC, which are important for fine movement execution, maintaining balance, and coordination of movements. We train this model using the surrogate gradient backpropagation method implemented in BrainCog and apply it to control the iCub robot, which can play the piano according to musical pieces.

**Hardware-software co-design**

Although BrainCog has already integrated a complete infrastructure for brain-inspired SNN algorithm design, existing neuromorphic hardware imposes strict constraints on algorithms in terms of neuron models, encoding strategies, learning rules, and connection topologies. There’s a huge gap between the ever-changing algorithms and the hard-to-use neuromorphic hardware. We use field programmable gate array (FPGA)-based hardware-software co-design to facilitate deployment of BrainCog. We can support different kinds of SNN algorithms with the least hardware limitations by utilizing the reconfigurable FPGA platforms. We can deploy brain-inspired models to unmanned vehicles or drones in real-world applications using FPGA edge devices. Our exploration of the hardware design is ongoing. FireFly is our initial attempt to achieve hardware-software co-design for the BrainCog project. FireFly is a lightweight accelerator for high-performance SNN inference. We propose a method to improve arithmetic and memory efficiency for SNN inference on Xilinx FPGA edge devices. We plan to apply more hardware optimizations and hardware-software co-design methodologies to the BrainCog project soon.

**Implementation details**

BrainCog is designed as a dedicated library, for preprocessing neuromorphic data. For detailed software version information, including specific package versions and dependencies, please refer to the GitHub repository at https://github.com/BrainCog-X/Brain-Cog. The repository provides comprehensive documentation and instructions for setting up and running BrainCog. BrainCog is designed to be a cross-platform framework that runs on various operating systems, such as Windows, macOS, and Linux. It supports Python 3.8 or higher versions. Additionally, BrainCog supports graphic processing unit (GPU) acceleration, which significantly enhances the speed of deep learning computations. Furthermore, BrainCog includes implementations of specific network models that can be deployed on Xilinx FPGAs. This FPGA deployment enables more efficient and low-power network inference, making it particularly suitable for resource-constrained environments.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2023.100789.
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