The blended phenotype of a germline RIT1 and a mosaic PIK3CA variant
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Abstract We report a patient with a germline RIT1 and a mosaic PIK3CA variant. The diagnosis of the RASopathy was confirmed by targeted sequencing following the identification of transient cardiomyopathy in a patient with PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum (PROS). Our observation confirms that the PIK3CA gain-of-function (GoF) variant effects dominate those of the RASopathy, and the resulting blended phenotype mostly resembles megalencephaly-capillary malformation syndrome (MCAP PROS). There appears to be interaction between RIT1 and PI3K-AKT because the latter pathway is needed for the growth-promoting activity of the first, at least in adenocarcinomas, but the details of this interaction are not known. If so, the PIK3CA somatic variant may not be just a chance event. It could also be of etiological relevance that Rit activation mediates resistance to cellular stress—that is, promotes cell survival. This anti-apoptotic effect could also make it more likely that a cell that spontaneously acquires a PIK3CA GoF variant will survive and proliferate. We aim to encourage clinicians to investigate atypical findings in individuals with PROS. If further similar cases are reported, this would suggest that the establishment of PROS mosaicism is facilitated by the background of a RASopathy.

CASE PRESENTATION

A female patient, born following a pregnancy complicated by large fetal size evidenced at 30 wk of gestation by 3D ultrasound (US), was delivered via cesarean section because of large size at 35.5 wk. At delivery, there was excess amniotic fluid (~1.2 L), and the placenta weighed 1 kg. Birth growth parameters were birth weight (BW) 5178 g (Z-score = 3), length 54 cm (Z-score = 2.5), and head circumference (HC) 43 cm (Z-score = 3). Her Apgar scores were 6, 8, 8. She was born cyanotic and required respiratory support (ventilator) during the first day of life. A cardiac Doppler US evidenced large right ventricle and tricuspid and pulmonary valve insufficiency. She was discharged after 10 d but soon readmitted because of signs of heart failure (hypotonia, reduced appetite, and sweating during breastfeeding). Repeat cardiac Doppler US evidenced large right ventricle and tricuspid and pulmonary valve insufficiency. She was...
placed on treatment with diuretics (furosemide 5 mg × 3) with good effect (brain natriuretic peptide levels fell from 8126 to 258 in 2 d). Abdominal US revealed hepatomegaly, and a cranial US showed asymmetric, enlarged ventricles (Right > Left). A skeletal survey showed normal results apart from gracile ribs and a relatively smaller, bell-shaped thorax. Automated auditory brain stem response (AABR) showed hearing within normal limits.

Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at age 6 mo showed hydrocephalus with larger third and fourth ventricles, likely bilateral parietal polymicrogyria, Chiari malformation, and no vascular malformations (Fig. 2). The girl was shunted (third ventricle ventriculostomy, ventriculo-peritoneal [VP] shunt). Liver appearances were normalized on repeat abdominal US (no enlargement). Cardiac failure slowly resolved with improvement of US findings (spontaneous closure of the PDA, reduced pulmonary hypertension, and good contractility) and a normal electrocardiogram. Cardiac computed tomography imaging identified a right-lying aortic arch with an aberrant left subclavian artery forming a vascular ring with the aortic arch (right side), the left common carotid artery (ventral), and the left subclavian artery (dorsal).

The VP shunt failed at 5 yr of age but was no longer required and was removed at the age of 14 yr. At 2 yr she had absence episodes; a standard electroencephalogram (EEG) was normal. Three years later she developed focal epilepsy with several episodes with partial loss of consciousness lasting 60 sec on average and vomiting afterward. A repeated EEG revealed interictal epileptic activity (occipital/temporal regions on the left side). She was initially treated with oxcarbazepine with partial control and then with lamotrigine, which successfully controlled the seizures. A repeated EEG at 8 yr did not evidence epileptic activity. Anti-epileptic medication was paused at the age of 9 yr without any recurrence since.

Growth evolution was as follows: HC measurements were 3 yr, 63 cm; 7 yr, 64 cm; 8 yr, 64.5 cm; 12 yr, 66.5 cm—all 8 cm above Z-score = 3. Her height (Ht) progressed from significantly below the normal at age 2 5.5 yr (Z-score = −3) cm to Z-score = −1.9 at age 8 yr and Z-score = 0 at age 10 yr (Ht at 5 yr, 99 cm; 8 yr, 117 cm; 10 yr, 141 cm; 11 yr, 149.5 cm; 12 yr, 157 cm), but she had menarche at 8 yr and also growth hormone treatment for 1 yr (10–11 yr of age). She has regular but heavy menstruation often accompanied by migraines managed
with ibuprofen (4000 mg × 3). She also takes omeprazole (20 mg × 1) for gastroesophageal reflux.

She manifested moderate developmental delay. She sat without support at age 1.5 yr and walked without support at 6 yr. She spoke first words at 2 yr 4 mo and in full sentences at 6 yr. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC) test assessment evidenced moderate intellectual disability (International Classification of Diseases, ICD10 F71) with reduced cognitive abilities both verbal and nonverbal. She demonstrated rigid behavior with problems in social communication and play. An Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule 2 (ADOS-2) test showed results within normal limits. Family history is noncontributory; she has two older, healthy, maternal half-siblings.

Plasma amino acids, urine purines and pyrimidines, lysosomal enzymes activity test in both blood and fibroblast cultures, and transferrin isoelectric focusing (TfIEF) screening for congenital disorders of glycosylation showed normal results. Diagnostic genetic testing started around her birth, 15 yr ago, and was completed gradually, several years later, as relevant tests were becoming available in a clinical diagnostic setting of the public health service of Norway and extended Europe. A standard karyotype was normal (46,XX), and so was a genomic copy-number array (Affymetrix 250K SNP-array). Neonatally, both Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome (BWS; MIM #130650) and Costello syndrome (MIM #218040) were suspected; HRAS (MIM *190020) Sanger sequencing and BWS methylation analysis showed normal results. Genetic testing did not include CDKN1C (MIM *600856) Sanger sequencing as the individual was diagnosed clinically with megalencephaly-capillary malformation syndrome (MCAP; PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum [PROS], MIM #602501). This diagnosis was confirmed molecularly at a later stage as the family consented to skin biopsy only during general anesthesia. Additionally, on the basis of the history of a neonatal presentation reminiscent of a RASopathy including transient cardiomyopathy (Gripp et al. 2020), which is an atypical symptom for MCAP PROS, Sanger sequencing of a targeted Ras-MAPK gene panel, which became available even later, was also performed (see Technical Analysis). After this, there was no clinical indication for further genetic testing through more extended next-generation sequencing (NGS) panels.

Figure 2. Axial brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), T1 inversion recovery (IR) sequences at 4 yr of age that show probable polymicrogyria in the region of both Sylvian fissures (arrows).
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We performed a variant screen on DNA extracted from the patient’s cultured skin fibroblasts sample to detect pathogenic variants in a number of genes known to be associated with segmental overgrowth syndromes. DNA sequence analysis identified a somatic PIK3CA variant as described in Table 1. No other variants were detected between this patient’s sample and the reference sequences (except recognized polymorphisms). Long-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and next-generation sequencing on Illumina MiSeq were used to analyze the entire coding region and flanking sequences to ±50 bp of PIK3CA (LRG_310t1) and PTEN (LRG_311t1) and targeted exons for PIK3R2 (NM_005027.2), AKT1 (LRG_721t1), AKT3 (NM_005465.4), CCND2 (NM_001759.3), and mTOR (LRG_734t1). A minimum of 100× coverage and an exonic mean of 1000× read depth. Regions with <100× coverage were retested via Sanger sequencing using BigDye v3.1. Variant calling was via an in-house bioinformatics pipeline, validated to detect mutations down to at least 5% admixture, and named according to Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) guidelines (http://www.hgvs.org) using reference sequences above. The PIK3CA variant was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (using BigDye v3.1), sensitive down to a 15% variant level (Mirzaa et al. 2016). DNA from the tested cultured skin fibroblast sample was used as a mutation positive control. Sanger sequencing confirmed the presence of the PIK3CA variant in a mosaic state in the patient’s blood sample and was apparently heterozygous in a buccal swab sample. Sanger sequencing of a targeted Ras-MAPK gene panel (PTPN11, SOS1, RAF1, RIT1, BRAF, SHOC2, KRAS, HRAS, MAP2K1, and MAP2K2) detected a de novo missense variant in RIT1 (Table 1).

**Table 1. Genomic findings**

| Gene | Genomic location       | HGVS cDNA   | HGVS protein       | Zygosity          | Parent of origin | ACMG variant interpretation* |
|------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| RIT1 | Chr 1(GRCh37):         | NM_006912.5 | p.(Arg122Leu)      | Heterozygous      | De novo          | Class 4—likely pathogenic     |
|      | g.155874166C > A       | c.365G > T  |                    |                   |                  |                               |
| PIK3CA| Chr 3(GRCh37):        | NM_006218.2 | p.(Asn345Thr)      | Fibroblasts, direct DNA extraction from affected skin tissue, 54% variant allele frequency (VAF); also present in blood and buccal swab (mosaic, exact VAF unknown) | Not tested | Class 5—pathogenic          |
|      | g.178921552A > C       | c.1034A > C |                    |                   |                  |                               |

(HGVS) Human Genome Variation Society, (ACMG) American College of Medical Genetics.

*From Richards et al. (2015).

**TECHNICAL ANALYSIS**

We performed a variant screen on DNA extracted from the patient’s cultured skin fibroblasts sample to detect pathogenic variants in a number of genes known to be associated with segmental overgrowth syndromes. DNA sequence analysis identified a somatic PIK3CA variant as described in Table 1. No other variants were detected between this patient’s sample and the reference sequences (except recognized polymorphisms). Long-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and next-generation sequencing on Illumina MiSeq were used to analyze the entire coding region and flanking sequences to ±50 bp of PIK3CA (LRG_310t1) and PTEN (LRG_311t1) and targeted exons for PIK3R2 (NM_005027.2), AKT1 (LRG_721t1), AKT3 (NM_005465.4), CCND2 (NM_001759.3), and mTOR (LRG_734t1). A minimum of 100× coverage and an exonic mean of 1000× read depth. Regions with <100× coverage were retested via Sanger sequencing using BigDye v3.1. Variant calling was via an in-house bioinformatics pipeline, validated to detect mutations down to at least 5% admixture, and named according to Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) guidelines (http://www.hgvs.org) using reference sequences above. The PIK3CA variant was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (using BigDye v3.1), sensitive down to a 15% variant level (Mirzaa et al. 2016). DNA from the tested cultured skin fibroblast sample was used as a mutation positive control. Sanger sequencing confirmed the presence of the PIK3CA variant in a mosaic state in the patient’s blood sample and was apparently heterozygous in a buccal swab sample. Sanger sequencing of a targeted Ras-MAPK gene panel (PTPN11, SOS1, RAF1, RIT1, BRAF, SHOC2, KRAS, HRAS, MAP2K1, and MAP2K2) detected a de novo missense variant in RIT1 (Table 1).

**VARIANT INTERPRETATION**

The PIK3CA variant is previously reported to be associated with PROS and is consistent with the clinical presentation of MCAP PROS (Mirzaa et al. 2016). The RIT1 variant has been found in another in-house sample referred for targeted Noonan syndrome (NS) testing with the indication of polyhydramnios (BW = 2220 g, week 32 + 0 [Z-score = 3.5]; chylothorax and valvular pulmonary stenosis; small ventricular septal defect [VSD]; right-sided cryptorchidism; and requiring a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy [PEG] tube). No functional assays were performed, but the variant has previously been tested in a soft agar NIH3T3 cell transformation assay and found to induce cellular transformation of the same degree as the recurrent oncogenic Met901Ile RIT1 variant (Berger et al. 2014). Both variants are found in a
subset (2%) of lung adenocarcinomas, and cellular transformation is dependent on both the MEK and the PI3K signaling pathways.

**SUMMARY**

Our patient is the second reported observation of a germline RASopathy and a somatic PIK3CA variant causing MCAP PROS. Döcker et al. (2015) described an individual with a germline PTPN11 and a somatic PIK3CA variant. Activating variants in PIK3CA cause the phenotypic spectrum known as the PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum (PROS) (Keppler-Noreuil et al. 2015). Deep sequencing technologies have facilitated the identification and clinical testing for PROS-related GoF variants that are often found as ultra-low-frequency mosaic variants in tissue other than blood (Mirzaa et al. 2016). The mosaicism causes a phenotypic spectrum that is variable in nature, extent, progression, and severity of the clinical presentation. One of the presentations within PROS is MCAP syndrome defined by the major findings of megalencephaly, associated with neurologic findings of hypotonia, seizures, and mild to severe intellectual disability as well as cutaneous capillary malformations with focal or generalized somatic overgrowth. Affected individuals may also present poly/syndactyly, cortical malformations (most distinctively, polymicrogyria [PMG]), and variable connective tissue dysplasia (Mirzaa et al. 2013). RIT1 belongs to the RAS (HRAS; 190020) subfamily of small GTPases (Hynds et al. 2003), and Aoki et al. (2013) showed that GoF RIT1 variants cause NS. The RIT1-related NS is characterized by the high frequency of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and lymphatic malformations that can also be later-onset. Other heart defects include cardiac septal defects and valvular insufficiencies. On the other hand, ectodermal abnormalities such as curly hair and hyperkeratosis are relatively uncommon in RIT1-related NS (Kouz et al. 2016).

The RIT1 Arg122Leu substitution found in our patient must also be growth-promoting, as evidenced by the birth macrosomia (5178 g in week 35.5) and large placenta (1 kg) and a positive transformation assay of NIH3T3 cells in soft agar (Berger et al. 2014). Oncogenic RIT1 variants, like this one, have recently been shown to weaken mitotic assembly checkpoints and accelerate mitosis (Vichas et al. 2021). It is unknown if the PIK3CA Asn345Thr substitution also contributes to this, but neither fetal macrosomia nor placentomegaly are known PROS features. Of note, placentomegaly is not a recognized feature of a RASopathy either, unlike fetal macrosomia. However, because growth-promoting RIT1 signaling seems independent of KRAS but not PTPN11 and SOS1 (Vichas et al. 2021), an effect on placental growth that is not seen in most other RASopathies is conceivable. The reason for this is that other RASopathy genes signal through a cascade involving KRAS, and RIT1 signaling appears KRAS-independent. It has also been speculated that RIT1 stimulates receptor tyrosine kinases like GRB2 and IGF1R directly (Vichas et al. 2021). Taken together, both the RIT1 and the PIK3CA variants are undoubtedly growth-promoting—the first mainly during fetal development, the second during somatic development.

The wider availability of NGS (exome and genome) showed that ~5% of individuals tested had more than one, independently segregating, molecular diagnoses (Posey et al. 2017). So it is not uncommon to have two genetic conditions and likely more common than we think to have germline and mosaic variants. Individuals with multiple molecular diagnoses can present with clinical features that represent a blending of two or more phenotypes that may suggest an apparent atypical or broader presentation of one of the conditions (Boycott and Innes 2017; Posey et al. 2017). The pre- and neonatal presentation of the individual presented here showcases the significant overlap between developmental disorders caused by GoF variants of the RAS-MAPK and the PI3K-AKT pathways. The girl was diagnosed with MCAP on the basis of the characteristic combination of megalencephaly, hydrocephalus,
|                          | MCAP PROS\textsuperscript{a} | \textit{RIT1} Noonan\textsuperscript{b} | Proband, 15 yr old |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| **Pre- and neonatal**    |                               |                                         |                   |
| Polyhydramnios           | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Hydrops                  | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Large placenta           | -                             | -                                       | +                 |
| Neonatal hypoglycemia    | +                             | -                                       | Transient         |
| **Growth**               |                               |                                         |                   |
| Increased birth weight   | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Failure to thrive        | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Feeding difficulties     | +                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Short stature            | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Somatic overgrowth, asymmetric | +                        | -                                       | +                 |
| Hemihyperplasia          | +                             | -                                       | -                 |
| **Head and neck**        |                               |                                         |                   |
| Megalencephaly           | +                             | -                                       | +                 |
| Macrocephaly, including relative | +                    | +                                       | +                 |
| Craniofacial dysmorphisms|                               |                                         |                   |
| Broad forehead           | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Smooth philtrum          | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Fleshy earlobes          | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Epicanthus               | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Hypertelorism            | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Downslanting palpebral fissures | -                  | +                                       | -                 |
| Flattened nasal bridge   | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Ptosis                   | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Webbed/short neck        | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| **Cardiovascular**       |                               |                                         |                   |
| Ventricular septal defect| +                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Pulmonary valve stenosis | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy | -                      | +                                       | Temporarily       |
| Atrial septal defect     | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| Valvular insufficiency   | -                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Lymphatic anomalies      | -                             | +                                       | -                 |
| **Musculoskeletal**      |                               |                                         |                   |
| Truncal hypotonia        | +                             | -                                       | +                 |
| Joint laxity             | +                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Thorax deformity         | -                             | +                                       | +                 |
| One- to four-limb poly/syndactyly | +             | -                                       | -                 |
| Hemias                   | +                             | +                                       | Small, umbilical  |
| **Skin, hair, and nails**|                               |                                         |                   |
| Curly hair               | -                             | +                                       | +                 |
| Thick, loose, doughy skin| +                             | -                                       | +                 |
| Cutaneous vascular malformations | +        | +                                       | +                 |
| Cutis marmorata          | +                             | -                                       | -                 |
| Keratosis pilans         | -                             | +                                       | -                 |

(Continued on next page.)
polymicrogyria, and midline hemangiomas, but it was the indication of a congenital heart defect and transient cardiomyopathy that prompted further, targeted testing for NS. On the other hand, our observation evidences that the PIK3CA GoF variant effects dominate those of the RIT1 one phenotypically, and the resulting blended phenotype mostly resembles MCAP PROS at the age of 15 yr (Table 2). Moreover, taking into consideration the phenotypes produced by each gene, a more noticeable hypertelorism (Fig. 1), and a significant macro- and megalencephaly (8 cm beyond $Z$-score = 3 at 15 yr) than the ones usually observed in individuals with each one of the molecular diagnoses suggests that the blended phenotype may be more severe than one gene change alone. A question mark shaped ear has been reported once before in a patient with MCAP (Robertson et al. 2000), but this feature cannot be firmly assigned to MCAP PROS, especially given this individual already has two diagnoses and it could be ascribed to either one as a rare finding or to something different altogether. Our observation should encourage clinicians to further investigate findings that are atypical or more severe than anticipated in individuals with PROS.

Döcker et al. (2015) built a “second hit” hypothesis and suggested a synergy of the RAS-MAPK and the PI3K-AKT in causing a developmental disorder based on molecular “crosstalk” between the two growth-promoting pathways. There appears to be interaction between RIT1 and PI3K-AKT because the latter pathway is needed for the growth-promoting activity of the first, at least in adenocarcinomas (Berger et al. 2014), but the details of this interaction are not known. Because the PIK3K pathway is needed for the growth-promoting effect of Arg122Leu in cancer cells (Berger et al. 2014), the acquisition of a somatic mutation in the same pathway could be facilitated and have a synergistic effect on growth. If so, the second somatic mutation is not just a chance event. It could also be of etiological relevance that RIT activation mediates resistance to cellular stress—that is, promotes cell survival (Shi et al. 2011). This anti-apoptotic effect could also make it more likely that a cell that spontaneously acquires a PIK3CA GoF variant will survive and proliferate. If further similar cases are reported, this would suggest that the establishment of PROS mosaicism is facilitated by the background of a RASopathy.

**Table 2. (Continued)**

|                     | MCAP PROS$^a$ | RIT1 Noonan$^b$ | Proband, 15 yr old |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| **Neurologic**      |               |                 |                    |
| Central nervous system |               |                 |                    |
| Developmental delay | +             | +               | +                  |
| Intellectual/learning disability | +           | +               | +                  |
| Hypotonia | +               | +               | +                  |
| Seizures and or epilepsy | +           | +               | +                  |
| Brain asymmetry | +               | -               | +                  |
| Ventriculomegaly | +               | -               | +                  |
| Hydrocephalus | +               | +               | +                  |
| Cerebellar tonsil herniation | +           | -               | +                  |
| Polymicrogyria | +               | -               | +                  |
| Rare neoplasia | +               | +               | -                  |

(MCAP) Megalencephaly-capillary malformation syndrome, (PROS) PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum.

$^a$The list of clinical features are based on the OMIM clinical synopsis (#602501; MEGALENCEPHALY-CAPILLARY MALFORMATION-POLYMICROGYRIA SYNDROME; MCAP).

$^b$The list of clinical features are based on the OMIM clinical synopsis (#615355; NOONAN SYNDROME 8; NS8) and adapted from Kouz et al. (2016).
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