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Strain tuned magnetoelectric coupling in orthorhombic YMnO₃ thin films
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Orthorhombic YMnO₃ epitaxial thin films were grown on Nb(0.5%-doped SrTiO₃ (001) substrates. Film’s thickness was varied to tune the epitaxial strain. Structural and magnetic properties are well correlated, presenting a more pronounced ferromagnetic behavior as the unit cell becomes more distorted. Dielectric properties were investigated as a function of the temperature and magnetic field. The dielectric peak occurring at temperatures below the antiferromagnetic ordering is proved to be magnetoelectric and its amplitude is dependent on the unit cell distortion. These findings allow tailoring ferromagnetic and magnetoelectric properties via epitaxial strain. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3238287]

Magnetoelectric materials are being investigated as they can provide a shortcut to the transduction from electric (magnetic) to magnetic (electric) properties. The search for materials displaying such features and the physical mechanism involved has triggered an intense research. Particularly relevant is the recent discovery that pronounced dielectric anomalies in orthorhombic 

Here we report on the dielectric properties of orthorhombic epitaxial YMnO₃ thin films. Since Y³⁺ is nonmagnetic, it allows to discriminate the magnetoelectric effects due to the Mn sublattice. We will first discuss the dielectric permittivity as a function of the temperature. A peak is found below $T_N$ and its location depends on the magnetic field, suggesting a magnetoelectric coupling. At a constant temperature, dielectric permittivity presents a $\varepsilon \sim \alpha M^2$ behavior and the $\alpha$ coefficient increases as the unit cell distortion decreases. The findings allow identifying the conditions where ferromagnetism and dielectric permittivity anomalies coexist and can be simultaneously exploited.

Thin films were deposited by pulsed laser deposition on (001)-oriented 0.5%-Nb:SrTiO₃ substrates at 785 °C, 0.3 mbar of oxygen pressure and at a growth rate of 0.13 Å/pulse. Crystal structure was investigated using 4-circle x-ray Philips’ diffractometer. Magnetic data were recorded using a Quantum Design superconducting quantum interference device with the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the sample. Capacitance measurements were carried out at 100 mV and at 100 kHz using an LF4182 impedance analyzer (Agilent Co.). Pt top electrodes (0.25 mm²) were deposited ex situ. The electric field was applied perpendicular to the film.

$\theta/2\theta$ and reciprocal space maps (not shown here) indicate that all films are orthorhombic, epitaxial, and (001)-oriented according to the Pbam setting. We shall discuss a set of four thin films with thicknesses: 14, 28, 64, and 134 nm. The unit cell is shrunk in the thinnest films whereas it gradually relaxes toward the bulk dimensions as the thickness increases. Additional structural details can be found elsewhere.¹⁴,¹⁵

Figure 1(a) (circle symbols) shows the temperature dependence of the zero-field cooled/field cooled (ZFC-FC) magnetization measured for the thickest and most relaxed film at 500 Oe. The observed splitting in the ZFC-FC curves reveals the existence of remanent magnetization below $T_N \sim 50$ K. Simultaneously, its dielectric permittivity (diamond symbols) displays a gradual increase reaching at $T_e \sim 20$ K a maximum change of up to 22% [calculated as $\Delta \varepsilon = (\varepsilon(T) - \varepsilon(60 \text{ K})/\varepsilon(60 \text{ K}))$. These results are in close
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correspondence with previous YMnO$_3$ reports in both bulk and thin films, and with other reported RMnO$_3$. Therein, the $\varepsilon$ peak was attributed to the emergence of ferroelectricity after the establishment of the spiral or E-type antiferromagnetic order. Since the magnetic structure of YMnO$_3$ (Ref. 16) is the result of a competition of interactions where the spins are modulated along the [010] direction, it can be expected that a magnetic field would alter such subtle equilibrium and modify the lock-in temperature. Indeed, previous work on single crystals of the related compounds TbMnO$_3$ and DyMnO$_3$ reported a shift toward lower temperatures of the magnetic spots at 8 T (empty symbols). Inset: subtraction of both curves.

To further investigate its magnetoelectric nature, we measured the dielectric permittivity as we cycled the magnetic field at $T_{\Delta M}$ along the $c$ direction. The data corresponding to the sample discussed in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2(b). Firstly, the data show a decrease of up to 2% in $\varepsilon(H)$, irrespective of the sign of the applied magnetic field. The small hysteresis of less than 0.2% will be discussed later. Similar dependencies of $\varepsilon(H)$ are obtained in all measured films in the set of samples with different thicknesses (not shown here). The corresponding magnetization loop is shown in Fig. 2(a). Substrate contribution was removed. The loop presents a linear response with the magnetic field at high magnetic fields as expected for an antiferromagnet. The hysteresis in the low field region (inset) corresponds to the ZFC-FC splitting observed in Fig. 1(a) and will be discussed afterwards. According to neutron diffraction data, Mn magnetic moments are arranged collinearly along the [010] direction, which in our samples is contained in-plane of the sample. When the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the spin axis of an antiferromagnet, the measured magnetization corresponds to the field-induced tilting of the spins with respect to the zero magnetic field positions. A comparison of both panels in Fig. 2 shows that at $T_{\Delta M}$ $\approx$ 25 K as the tilt of the spins becomes larger, the electrical permittivity is reduced.

It follows that there is a connection between the magnetic state (i.e., field-induced tilting of the spins) and the electrical permittivity. Starting from a phenomenological Ginzburg–Landau model, it is reported that at temperatures close to the magnetic transition, the change in the dielectric permittivity should behave as $\varepsilon \sim \alpha M^2$, where $\alpha$ is the magnetoelectric coupling coefficient. Illustrative examples of this $M^2$ dependence have been previously reported for $\varepsilon$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and multiferroic BiMnO$_3$ samples. Figure 3(a) shows the mentioned plot for our set of samples. A linear dependence is observed for all samples, indicating that $\varepsilon$ is determined by the magnetization and, hence, by the field-induced tilting. We now focus on the different slopes which are obtained for each film depending on its thickness. Since the magnetic structure is highly dependent on the unit cell topology and the dielectric properties arise from the magnetic state, the epitaxial strain appears as a natural method to tune both the magnetic and dielectric properties. Indeed, data in Fig. 3(b) indicate that the magnetoelectric coupling can be controlled via the unit cell distortion. It turns out that the more the distorted unit cell, the less magne-

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of the $\varepsilon$ normalized at its value at 60 K (left axis) and ZFC and FC magnetization (right axis) for the 134 nm thick film. (b) $\varepsilon$ measured at zero magnetic field (solid symbols) and at 8 T (empty symbols). Inset: subtraction of both curves.

**FIG. 2.** (a) Magnetization loop at 25 K. Inset: zoom of the curve. (b) Change in the $\varepsilon$ at 25 K with the magnetic field. Arrows indicate how the magnetic field is cycled. Asterisks signal the maxima in the $\varepsilon(H)$ curves.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) (a) Relative dielectric permittivity as a function of $M^2$ for samples with different thicknesses. Plots are shifted by the $\varepsilon$ at 0 T. (b) Magnetoelectric coefficient versus unit cell distortion.
electric coupling. In fact, the sample presenting the most distorted unit cell (14 nm thick) did not present any measurable dependence of the \( \varepsilon \) with the magnetic field.

We now present an approach to investigate the role of the spins collinearity into the dielectric properties. The ferromagnetism observed in Figs. 1(a) and 2(a) increases as the unit cell is more distorted\(^\text{14}\) and can be described as a strain-induced canting of the Mn magnetic moment.\(^\text{11,14}\) Therefore, even in the absence of magnetic field, the spontaneous non-collinear magnetic order is expected to resound in the dielectric properties. Fig. 4 shows the spontaneous magnetization (inset) and the temperature dependence of \( \varepsilon \) (main panel) at the magnetic transition. Figure 4(b) shows that as the remanent magnetization increases, the amplitude of the dielectric peak decreases. It is, the more collinear the spins are arranged, the larger increase in the \( \varepsilon \) curve.

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Temperature change in the dielectric permittivity relative to its value at 60 K for samples with different thicknesses. Inset: remanent magnetization at 25 K. (b) Relative change of \( \varepsilon \) as a function of the remanent magnetization.

The dielectric permittivity is found to follow a \( \varepsilon \sim \alpha M^2 \) dependence. The magnetoelastic coefficient depends on the unit cell topology, being larger for the less distorted unit cells. Dielectric characterization at zero magnetic field of differently canted structures confirms that the permittivity is related to the tilting of the spins. The findings provide a path to tune the magnetoelectric coupling via epitaxial strain and, at the same time, obtain ferromagnetic films with magnetodielectric coupling.
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