Large scale transgenic and cluster deletion analysis of the HoxD complex separate an ancestral regulatory module from evolutionary innovations
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The ancestral role of the Hox gene family is specifying morphogenetic differences along the main body axis. In vertebrates, HoxD genes were also co-opted along with the emergence of novel structures such as limbs and genitalia. We propose that these functional recruitments relied on the appearance, or implementation, of regulatory sequences outside of the complex. Whereas transgenic human and murine HOXD clusters could function during axial patterning, in mice they were not expressed outside the trunk. Accordingly, deletion of the entire cluster abolished axial expression, whereas recently acquired regulatory controls were preserved.
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During vertebrate development, Hox genes are activated in a spatio-temporal sequence that leads to partially overlapping transcript domains along the trunk axis. These expression domains generate various combinations of HOX proteins at different anterior–posterior positions, instructing cohorts of cells about their fate (e.g., Krumlauf 1994). In most cases, there exists a correspondence between the order of the genes in the genome and their domains of expression, a phenomenon referred to as colinearity. This feature is very ancient, as it seems to operate in all animals with a bilateral body plan; hence, it is likely that colinearity relies on a conserved mechanism.

In addition to this function, Hox genes were recruited during evolution to carry out a number of other tasks. For example, a given subset of vertebrate Hox genes is required for limb development (Davis and Cap etal. 1996; Rijli and Chambon 1997; Zakany et al. 1997), gut morphogenesis (Zakany and Duboule 1999), or hair follicle development (Godwin et al. 1998). In some cases, the colinear process was also recruited, whereas elsewhere groups of genes acquired shared enhancer sequences acting independently of colinearity. For instance, the early phase of Hoxd1 gene expression in limb buds is regulated in a colinear fashion, whereas expression of the same genes in digits is concurrent, rather than colinear (Nelson et al. 1996).

In the HoxD complex, gene recruitment involved in many instances the design of potent enhancer sequences, which regulate several genes at once. We proposed earlier that expression of four genes in developing digits was controlled by a unique enhancer that displays poor promoter specificity as it influenced foreign promoters when targeted to the locus (van der Hoeven et al. 1996; Hérault et al. 1999). Targeted deletions in the posterior HoxD complex placed this enhancer somewhere upstream of Evx2, outside the cluster (Kondo and Duboule 1999). Likewise, several genes respond to a gut enhancer sequence that is required to form the ileo-coecal sphincter (Zakany and Duboule 1999) and is localized either in the first 30 kb of the complex (around Hoxd1) or outside of the complex (Kmita et al. 2000b).

Regulation inside Hox clusters is complex because of a high density of genes with embedded and shared regulatory elements, making it difficult to assign a control sequence to one individual gene, rather than to a series of genes (Gérard et al. 1996; Hérault et al. 1998; Sharpe et al. 1998). To assess which features of Hoxd gene regulation are intrinsic to the complex and which are located at a distance (i.e., act in a global scale over the locus) we produced transgenic mice carrying additional Hoxd loci. We used a human PAC extending from HOXD3 to 30 kb upstream EVX2 and a mouse BAC containing a tagged Hoxd. We compared the regulatory potentials of these transgenic clusters with that of targeted deletions of the mouse HoxD complex, including a knock-in replacement of the cluster. We show that both approaches mapped regulatory elements responsible for colinear expression within the cluster or close to it, whereas non-colinear expression in appendicular structures is dictated by regulatory elements located at remote positions. We also show that the presence of the complex is required for the maintenance of Hox gene expression profiles throughout development.

Results

Rescue of Hoxd mutations by a human HOXD complex

To study the regulatory potential of a Hox complex, we produced transgenic mice carrying a 120 kb large human PAC (Fig. 1A) extending from the HOXD3–HOXD1 region to ~30 kb 5’ upstream of EVX2. Five founder animals were recovered. One carried a partial PAC and thus was not studied further. Among the others, three lines with either one or two copies of the gene transgene were established (TgN[HOXD]1–3). One high-copy number animal was recovered but the line could not be established due to perinatal lethality.

Mice with the human PAC showed abnormal vertebral formulae with a high penetrance of five lumbar vertebrae (L5) instead of the normal L6 (Fig. 2, top). Besides this anteriorization, no alteration was observed, suggesting that the human genes were expressed during mouse trunk development with the appropriate specificity. This
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was verified further when the transgene was combined with a triple inactivation in cis of Hoxd13, Hoxd12, and Hoxd11 (Zakany and Duboule 1996). Mice (16 out of 18) lacking these functions (HoxD Del3/Del3) displayed an L7 formula (a supernumerary lumbar vertebra; Fig. 2, top). The introduction of the human PAC into this background reinstated the predominant L6 formula (Fig. 2, top) with a substantial incidence of L5 animals. This showed a robust rescue of the mouse defect by the human proteins.

Nevertheless, rescue was not detected in the limb skeleton and no difference was scored between wild-type and transgenic hand skeletons (Fig. 2, bottom). Because human HOXD proteins could functionally rescue vertebral alterations, lack of rescue in limbs was likely due to abnormal expression of the transgenes in these structures. Thus, we analyzed the expression of human HOXD genes during mouse development.

**Human HOXD genes in the mouse**

We used RNA probes specific for human HOXD13, HOXD11, and HOXD4 transcripts, in parallel with the mouse counterparts. Analysis of human transgene expression revealed that the HOXD genes were regulated correctly during trunk development, as expression boundaries were similar to those of the corresponding resident mouse genes (Fig. 3A, top, arrows). Human HOXD13 was weakly expressed posteriorly, starting caudal to the hindlimb bud, whereas expression of HOXD11 was at the level of pv25, around the lumbo–sacral transition. As expected, HOXD4 was expressed up to the hindbrain and in somites, similar to the mouse gene. These results indicated that the rescue observed in the vertebrae derived from a faithful expression of the transgenes.

In contrast, expression of human genes in developing limbs was deficient. Early on, weak expression of both HOXD13 and HOXD11 was detected in the posterior limb bud (Fig. 3A, top, arrows). At this stage, transcript distribution differed from that of the corresponding mouse genes, which gave stronger signals over a wider domain including distal parts, in addition to the posterior half (Fig. 3A, top). Subsequently (Fig. 3A, bottom), the difference accentuated with an almost complete disappearance of all transcripts from the limbs for both HOXD13 and HOXD11, whereas endogenous genes developed their robust expression patterns in both presumptive digits (Hoxd13 and Hoxd11) and forearms (Hoxd11). Expression of human posterior genes was also absent from the developing genitalia, whereas a strong signal was detected for mouse Hoxd10, Hoxd11, Hoxd12, and Hoxd13. Likewise, expression of the mouse Hoxd4 and Hoxd11 genes in part of the intestinal hernia (Fig. 3A, bottom, arrows) was not recapitulated by the PAC transgene.

These observations implied that enhancers regulating Hoxd genes in limbs, genitalia, and intestinal hernia were absent from the 120-kb human PAC. This was further investigated with transgenic mice carrying a 215-kb
large BAC with the murine HoxD complex, starting 100 kb 5' of Hoxd13 and extending up to 10 kb downstream of Hoxd1. Thus, it contained an additional 95 kb of flanking DNA when compared to the human PAC. By using recombine/T dependent recombination (Zhang et al. 1998; Muyrers et al. 1999), lacZ reporter sequences were introduced in the Hoxd11 gene to follow its expression in mice transgenic for the BAC. Three founders were recovered, stained for β-gal activity and compared with the conventional Hoxd11/lacZ transgene patterns (Gérard et al. 1993, Fig. 3B). In all cases, the BAC Hoxd11 was expressed with the expected anterior boundary in the trunk. However, as for the human PAC, Hoxd11/lacZ failed to be expressed in distal limbs, genitalia, and intestinal hernia. The transgenic pattern resembled that described for the short conventional transgene (Gérard et al. 1993), suggesting that no major Hoxd11 regulatory elements were localized in the 215-kb piece of DNA, other than those already present at the Hoxd11 locus.

Deletion of the mouse HoxD complex

To confirm the remote locations of these enhancers, we engineered a full deletion of Hoxd, from Hoxd1 to upstream Hoxd13 (Del9). This deletion was made by placing a Hoxd1/lacZ fusion along with a loxP site into a chromosome carrying a Hoxd11/lacZ reporter transgene (TgH[d11/lac]) between Hoxd13 and Evx2 (Fig. 1B). As this latter transgene also contains a loxP site, treatment of positive embryonic stem (ES) cells with Cre recombinase led to the replacement of the entire complex by a Hoxd11/lac reporter transgene. Once the cluster had been removed, β-gal detection was used as an indicator of the remaining regulatory influences. This expression (Fig. 4, Del9) was compared with that of the same transgene, either when recombined upstream of Hoxd13 (Fig. 4, d11/lac), or after partial deletions (Fig. 4, Del3 and Del7, respectively). In this way, the transcription of the same reporter gene was monitored at four different positions in the cluster, along with a progressive reduction of the gene complex.

In embryonic day (E)9.5 embryos, the TgH[d11/lac] transgene was severely suppressed, giving a delayed signal restricted to the posterior part of the embryo (Fig. 4A), thus resembling that of the neighboring gene Hoxd13 (van der Hoeven et al. 1996). When the transgene was placed near Hoxd10, along with a deletion of Hoxd13 and Hoxd12, expression extended anteriorly, to recapitulate the Hoxd11 pattern (Del3, Fig. 4B). After deletion of seven genes (Del7) or of the entire complex (Del9), the early expression profile was not much different from the Del3 pattern. However, lacZ expression was detected at a more anterior body level, including the intermediate plate mesoderm and the emerging forelimb buds (Fig. 4C–D). Subsequently, well-established Hox gene profiles were observed (Fig. 4E–G), with a posterior restriction for the TgH[d11/lac] locus in both spinal cord and somitic mesoderm. A rostral extension of these expression domains was observed in Del3 animals, reaching the lumbar region (Fig. 4F, black arrowhead), whereas expression in Del7 was wider, resembling that of Hoxd3 or Hoxd4. In this latter case, a “pan-Hoxd” pattern was recovered, in which all expression sites for Hoxd genes were stained. In developing limbs, a strong staining was seen in the distal parts, the presumptive digits, with additional staining in the future forearm for both Del3 and Del7 configurations (Fig. 4F–G). At this stage, expression was also observed in the genital bud for all three lines, whereas only the Del3 and Del7 fetuses displayed staining in their intestinal hernia (Fig. 4F–G).

The staining of Del9 embryos revealed two remarkable features. First, staining was absent from most of the developing CNS and somitic mesoderm of E11.5 fetuses (Fig. 4H). Therefore, the deletion of the cluster not only removed elements necessary for colinear expression, but also prevented expression of the Hoxd11/lacZ transgene in the posterior regions, as expected from its behavior at random genomic positions (Gérard et al. 1993). Second,
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HoxD gene. The Del3 allele showed a more anterior domain, mimicking Hoxd11 [B]. The brackets emphasize the absence of staining in limb buds. In the right two panels (C,D), the Del7 and Del9 alleles showed expression profiles expanded anteriorly, involving the forelimb buds. [E–H] In E11 embryos, the TgH[d11/lacZ] locus [F] showed the most posterior expression. In the trunk, the anterior limit was located at pre-vertebra 27, the future lumbo–sacral transition (black arrowhead in E–H). Blue arrow-heads indicate expression in digit primordia. In Del3 embryos, the limit of expression was at pre-vertebra 25 [F]. Expression in hernial gut [F, red arrowhead] was maintained in the other configurations to the right [G–H]. In Del7 embryos, the anterior limit of expression in the central nervous system was reminiscent of Hoxd3 [G]. In Del9 embryos, few cells only showed expression in either paraxial mesoderm or spinal cord [H]. The strong staining in cervical pre-vertebrae detected from the Del7 locus was lost. In ventral regions such as the hyoid, rib primordia, and ventral tail mesoderm, expression was seen as anterior as the first branchial arch. Expression in both the digit primordia and hernial gut were preserved in the absence of the HoxD cluster [H].

Discussion
Human HOXD genes in transgenic mice
Mice with a human HOXD complex expressed these genes during trunk development with the expected specificity, showing that control mechanisms responsible for determining both the tissue type and positioning of the expression boundaries are identical in mouse and human (Tuggle et al. 1990). Expression domains of human genes, such as HoxD11, were established with appropriate anterior boundaries, even when corresponding mouse endogenous functions were abrogated, and human products could restore a normal vertebral column, indicating that auto-and cross-regulatory interactions (Maconochie et al. 1997) between HOXD proteins were not likely behind this observation. However, the exact role of paralogous genes from other Hox clusters in this process will have to be determined.

Rescue was not unexpected as protein sequences are essentially identical between mouse and human. However, mice rescued by the human complex often showed five lumbar vertebrae instead of six, even though expression of the human genes was like that of the mouse counterparts. A given Hox expression boundary is often defined as the body level wherein transcript becomes robust. Nonetheless, low levels of transcriptions are routinely found immediately anterior to such defined boundaries. Therefore, overexpression of a gene in its normal expression domain may increase the level of transcript to reach a functional threshold in an anterior adjacent metamere. In this view, a quantitative difference would be translated into an anterior shift of the functional domain (i.e., a mere increased quantity of normally expressed transcripts may lead to an apparent homeotic transformation; Chartier et al. 1995).

In contrast to the trunk, the human HOXD complex was unable to rescue the limb phenotype induced by the deletion of Hoxd11, Hoxd12, and Hoxd13, due to the absence of human transcripts in the developing presumptive digit area. Previous work suggested that an enhancer sequence responsible for expression of mouse HoxD genes in digits was located outside the cluster, upstream of Hoxd13. Our human PAC contained 40 kb
of DNA upstream of HOXD13, yet posterior genes were silent in digits, indicating that this enhancer was located further upstream from the cluster. The minimal distance was extended to 100 kb after the mouse BAC transgene experiment. Interestingly, human posterior genes were expressed transiently in an early phase of limb bud development, with a posterior restriction. This particular phase was observed during the budding of zebrafish pectoral fins and was hypothesized to be an ancient feature of Hox gene expression in appendages, perhaps related to their expression in the trunk (Sordino et al. 1995). The fact that an isolated human HOXD complex could reproduce this trait further suggests that the early and transient posterior expression in appendages is linked to the cluster itself, rather than to sequences that developed subsequently during tetrapod evolution.

Deletion of the HoxD complex

A targeted HoxD deletion and its replacement with a Hoxd11/lacZ reporter gene confirmed and extended the results obtained through the transgenic approach. Mice carrying this deficiency showed phenotypic alterations resulting mostly from the combination of single loss-of-function alleles; therefore, the phenotype was somewhat related to the Del7 mutant condition (Zakany and Duboule 1999). Upon deletion of the cluster, modifications in the expression pattern of the reporter construct were seen. However, expression in limbs was maintained. Prior observations on random integration of transgenes away from the HoxD locus, as well as the inability of the PAC and BAC transgenes to implement this regulation, position these regulations outside the cluster.

The expression of the Hoxd11/lacZ reporter transgene, after deletion of the cluster, was complementary to that observed with the human PAC transgene, such that summation of both profiles would produce a pan-Hox pattern, similar to the Del7 configuration (Zakany and Duboule 1999). Consequently, Hox expression domains can be separated between those controlled by sequences located within the complex itself and those depending on enhancer sequences located distal to the cluster. In the first case, these controls likely illustrate the ancient function of this gene family, elaborated through the amplification of a few original genes, which were then used to specify anterior to posterior information in a colinear fashion. This ancestral regulatory module was maintained in most metazoans displaying a rostral-caudal axis and bilateral symmetry. Subsequently, subsets of these genes were recruited for novel functions, through changes either in cis-DNA or in those factors that could recognize a preexisting cis-acting DNA sequence turning it into an active control element. The fact that Hox clusters are tightly organized, with a gene about every 10 kb and embedded regulatory elements, suggests that the emergence of these enhancers from inside the cluster might have disturbed the ongoing ancient gene regulatory circuitry with pleiotropic consequences.

Maintenance of expression

In Drosophila, expression of Hox genes follows two phases. After their activation, transcription is maintained via the action of activating and repressing factors. The Polycomb group of genes (Pc-G) maintains genes silenced wherever they have not been activated, therefore, Pc-G loss-of-function mutations deexpress Hox gene transcription. Pc-G-mediated repression is achieved through the interaction between a protein complex and Polycomb response elements (Pirrotta 1999). Inactivation of murine Pc-like genes suggested that vertebrate Hox gene expression may also rely on such a system (e.g., Gould 1997).

Here, we show that expression of a Hox reporter transgene is maintained differentially depending on the presence or absence of the surrounding complex. Tight maintenance was observed when most of the cluster was present, whereas maintenance was abolished when the cluster was reduced in size. Interestingly, deletion of two-thirds of the cluster gave an intermediate picture with a somewhat reduced maintenance ability. This progressive loss of maintenance may indicate an additive effect of multiple sites in operating the silencing process.

Materials and methods

Transgenic mice, ES cells, and targeted deletions

The human PAC78I was obtained using a PAC library (Genome Systems, catalog no. PCAC-3387) and a human HOXD9 probe. Restriction analysis and hybridization showed that this PAC contained almost the entire HOXD complex, from 30 kb upstream of ETVX2 to 10 kb downstream of HOXD3. The PAC was linearized with SgrI and injected in C57BL/6 x DBA F1, fertilized mouse eggs as in Schell et al. (1993). G0 mice were screened by Southern blot with human probes specific for HOXD13 and the HOXD8 to HOXD4 intergenic region. The integrity of the PAC was verified using several probes and by PCR. Copy number was estimated by comparison with a mouse HoxD probe.

ES cell culture, electroporation, chimera production, skeletal preparations and X-gal staining were as described previously (van der Hoeven et al. 1996). ES cells carrying the TgH[d11/lac] allele (van der Hoeven et al. 1996) were targeted in further experiments to introduce a second loxp site (Del3, Zakany and Duboule 1996, Del7, Zakany and Duboule 1999, Del9, this study). To produce this latter chromosome, a loxp site was introduced into a HindIII site in the second exon of Hoxd11 (Frohman and Martin 1992) with the same polarity as the loxp site in the TgH[d11/lac] allele. These ES cell clones from secondary targeting event were treated with Cre recombinase to induce deletions, which were passed through the germ line of mice.
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