Inter-Rater and Intra-Rater Reliability of Sonographic Median Nerve and Wrist Measurements
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Abstract

Background: Electrophysiologic studies have been considered the “gold standard” in diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS); however, reports of false-negative results, as well as discomfort for the patient during the procedure has paved the use of ultrasound, being a painless and cost-efficient tool, as an alternative means for its diagnosis. Various ultrasound parameters assessing the median nerve and wrist dimensions have been described, but description of landmarks to assess these in a reliable manner has been lacking. Methodology: A systematic search of different databases yielded data regarding ultrasound parameters for CTS diagnosis, the landmarks used, and presence of reliability testing. Based on this, three sonologists discussed the external and sonographic landmarks that will be used in measuring the median nerve measurements, bowing of the flexor retinaculum and the carpal tunnel dimensions. A pilot test with two consecutive healthy participants using the discussed ultrasound parameters was carried out, and results were subjected to inter- and intra-rater reliability testing. Modifications were accordingly made on the acquisition of ultrasound image using external landmarks. The reliability testing proper was done with ten consecutive healthy participants. Results: Based on the systematic review and the pilot study, external landmarks were used to locate the median nerve in the forearm, carpal tunnel inlet and outlet. For the forearm measurement, it was taken 10 cm proximal from the distal palmar crease. The distal palmar crease was the external landmark used for the carpal tunnel inlet, while for the carpal tunnel outlet; it was measured 1 cm distal to the distal palmar crease. Instead of using the inner edge of the hook of hamate and trapezium, the apices of these bones were used as the landmarks in measuring the carpal tunnel outlet dimensions. There was excellent intra-rater reliability (mid-forearm, carpal tunnel inlet and outlet) except for the following: cross-sectional area (CSA) of the median nerve at the carpal tunnel inlet and outlet; and bowing of the flexor retinaculum. All the parameters had an excellent inter-rater reliability measured at the three levels (intraclass correlation [ICC]: Of 0.77–0.99) except for CSA of the median nerve at the levels of the forearm (fair-to-good with ICC of 0.71) and the carpal tunnel inlet (fair-to-good reliability of ICC: 0.43). Conclusion: There was an improved inter- and intra-rater reliability when external landmarks were used instead of sonographic landmarks.
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Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common entrapment neuropathy of the median nerve at the level of the wrist with a usual presentation of sensory deficit of the second and third digits and variably the thumb and lateral fourth digit.[1] The diagnosis is based on its clinical presentation and electrophysiological studies. Electrophysiological studies have been considered the “gold standard” in its diagnosis; however, false-negative rates for nerve conduction studies have been seen with a range of 16%–34%.[2] Furthermore, it is also uncomfortable to the patients and does not give information on the anatomy of the median nerve and its surrounding structures. Recently, ultrasonography has emerged as a painless and cost-efficient diagnostic alternative to electrodiagnostic studies.[3] Evidence-based guidelines of the American
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Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine concluded that the median nerve cross-sectional area (CSA) at the wrist is the most accurate among the ultrasound parameters in diagnosing CTS. However, it stated that it would be useful to establish reference values and parameters in diagnosing CTS using ultrasound.[4] It also recommended to assess the usefulness of other parameters used in the evaluation of CTS such as median nerve flattening, mobility, echogenicity, vascularity, bowing of the flexor retinaculum, and median nerve CSA ratio.[4] Except for the CSA of median nerve at the carpal tunnel inlet, researchers studying the different sonographic parameters being employed in the CTS have not described succinctly the landmarks to be utilized for a reliable assessment, which could be one of the factors that account for the differences in the validity of the these parameters in accurately diagnosing CTS.[5-8]

Ultrasound also allows the visualization of the structures within the carpal tunnel and the bony borders of the tunnel. Studies have shown that wrist dimensions are risk factors in the development of CTS. The study of Kamolz et al. Moghaderi and Vögelin et al. showed that a more quadratic wrist configuration was associated with CTS while that of Claes et al., showed a significant correlation between the CSA of the median nerve at the wrist and wrist circumference.[9-12] Only the study of Vögelin et al. used ultrasound to measure the internal carpal tunnel configuration while the other studies used external wrist measurement. However, the study was not able to describe concisely the landmarks used in measuring the carpal tunnel dimensions.[11] Landmarks that are easily located improve the reliability and reproducibility of the sonographic measurements in the diagnosis of CTS. This is the preliminary study of the research project which seeks to determine the accuracy of various ultrasound parameters in diagnosing CTS. It reports on the appropriate landmarks that could be used in the measurement parameters of the median nerve used in the diagnosis of CTS and in assessing carpal tunnel dimensions. It also determines the inter- and intra-rater reliability of the measurement parameters using these landmarks.

**Methodology**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Santo Tomas Hospital, Manila, Philippines. Informed consent was obtained from the participants. The study was composed of three phases: systematic review, the pilot study, and reliability testing proper done from December 2015 to May 2016.

**Systematic review**

A search of the databases to find studies using ultrasound in the diagnosis of CTS, with the key concepts of diagnostic accuracy, ultrasound or sonography, and carpal tunnel syndrome or CTS, was done. The databases included PubMed, EBSCO, BMJ, CINAHL, Science Direct, ProQuest, Scopus, and Google Scholar. The data extracted from these studies comprised of ultrasound parameters for CTS diagnosis, the landmarks used, and presence of reliability testing, which were summarized in the table form.

**Pilot study**

**Testers**

Three sonologists were physiatrists who have been trained and have been performing musculoskeletal ultrasound for 7 years (BF and CS) and 3 years (TC), respectively.

**Methods**

The sonologists discussed the external and sonographic landmarks that will be used in measuring the median nerve measurements, bowing of the flexor retinaculum, and the carpal tunnel dimensions, which were based on the results of the systematic search. The median nerve dimensions consisting of anteroposterior diameter, transverse diameter, and CSA [Figure 1d] were measured at the level of the forearm, carpal tunnel inlet, and carpal tunnel outlet [Figure 1a-c].

Median nerve dimensions were measured at the forearm 10 cm proximal to the distal wrist crease. The median nerve was assessed at two levels for the carpal tunnel inlet, which were at the levels of the pisiform bone and the distal radioulnar joint. To locate the pisiform bone, the flexor carpi ulnaris tendon was initially identified in the transverse plane. Its tendon lies superficial to the pisiform bone where it is inserted.[13] The distal radioulnar joint is considered as the proximal edge of the flexor retinaculum and the distal boundary of the pronator quadratus.[11,14] The distal radius and ulna were identified. To further ensure that the median nerve image was taken at the distal radioulnar joint, pronator quadratus was also identified. Its muscle belly lies over the volar aspect of the distal metaphysis of the radius and ulna, and its fascicles are oriented transversely in contrast to the flexor digitorum superficialis and profundus, which were oriented longitudinally.[13] The scanning proceeded from the mid-forearm up to the distal wrist crease to determine the point where the pronator quadratus was not already evident. At this point, the median nerve dimensions were measured.[15]

![Figure 1: Median nerve measurements at the level of the (a) mid-forearm at 10 cm proximal of distal wrist crease; (b) carpal tunnel inlet at the level of the pisiform; (c) carpal tunnel outlet at the level of the hook of hamate; (d) Median nerve measurements: anteroposterior diameter (solid line); transverse diameter (dashed line); and cross-sectional area (dotted line)](image)
The hook of hamate was the sonographic landmark for the carpal tunnel outlet. The inner edge of the hook of hamate and the trapezium was the sonographic landmark for assessing the palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum. These bones were recognized by their shapes. The trapezium was identified with its flat palmar surface while the hook of hamate was identified by its small curvilinear profile located closer to the midline as compared to the pisiform. Citation [13]

The sonographic landmarks used for the palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum were also used for assessing the radioulnar diameter while the apex of the flexor retinaculum and the lunate were the landmarks utilized measuring the dorsopalmar diameter of the carpal tunnel. The carpal tunnel dimensions were assessed at the level of the hook of hamate.

Two practice sessions were held before the pilot study to ensure that the sonologists were able to locate the sonographic landmarks used in the ultrasound protocol.

Musculoskeletal image acquisition
A SonoSite Edge II ultrasound (FUJIFILM SonoSite, Inc., Washington, USA) with a 38-mm linear array transducer with a frequency of 6–13 megahertz was used. The patient sat comfortably with the arm on the table in a position of flexed elbow, forearm supinated, wrist in neutral position, and fingers semiflexed.

The ultrasound probe was positioned perpendicular to the long axis of the forearm. The anteroposterior and transverse diameters; and CSA of the median nerve were measured in four locations namely at the forearm, the radioulnar joint and the levels of the pisiform and the hook of hamate using the agreed sonographic and external landmarks. The median nerve was visualized in longitudinal and transverse planes to confirm identification of the nerve. The widest anteroposterior and transverse diameters were measured. For the CSA measurement, the electronic ellipse function was used if the nerve had an elliptical shape. If the nerve was not elliptical, the continuous trace method was utilized. The margin of the median nerve was defined as the margin outside the hypoechoic nerve fascicles and inside the hyperechoic nerve sheath. Median nerves that were bifid were not included for the pilot study.

The wrist dimensions and bowing of the flexor retinaculum were measured at the level of the hook of hamate. Radioulnar and dorsopalmar diameters were determined by measuring the distance between the inner edge of the hook of hamate and the trapezium; and the apex of the flexor retinaculum and the lunate, respectively.

To minimize artificial nerve deformity due to differential loads, pressure to the hand was avoided during scanning and measurement. Both hands of the participants were assessed, and measurements were done three times and recorded.

Pilot testing
Pilot testing was done with two consecutive healthy participants (one male and female) with a mean age of 28.5 ± 0.70. The participants were examined by the three sonologists within 1 week. The sonologists were blinded of the results obtained by the other sonologists. After the pilot testing, there was a discussion among the sonologists about the technical difficulties encountered during musculoskeletal imaging acquisition. The results of the statistical analysis were likewise reviewed.

Statistical analysis
All data were entered into a purposely-built MS Excel spreadsheet. IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Means and standard deviation were used for the descriptive data. Kruskal–Wallis test or one way-ANOVA was used to determine if there was statistical difference in the measurements of the three sonologists. Intraclass correlation (ICC) was utilized to determine the inter- and intra-rater reliability. ICC values were interpreted as follows: >0.75 was excellent, 0.40–0.75 was fair-to-good, and <0.40 was poor. Citation [16]

Reliability testing proper
After the discussion and reviewing the results of the inter- and intra-rater reliability testing, appropriate changes were implemented on the manner of musculoskeletal image acquisition. Ultrasound image acquisition was performed on ten participants within 1 week using the revised ultrasound protocol.

Participants
Sample size calculation: A minimum sample size of twenty wrists from ten participants was needed to obtain a power of 0.80 and a significance level of 0.05.

Ten consecutive healthy individuals composed of five males with a mean age of 27.4 ± 4.34 years old and five females with a mean age of 26.2 ± 3.42 years old were included in the study. They were excluded from the study if they have a history of neck or hand pain; history of any hand surgery; presence of cervical radiculopathy, peripheral neuropathy, or CTS; presence of systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, connective tissue disease, or obesity that predispose to the development of CTS.

The statistical analysis used in the pilot testing was also utilized in the test proper.

RESULTS
Systematic review
Table 1 shows the different parameters for CTS diagnosis. It includes the following: flattening ratio using the anteroposterior and transverse diameters of the median nerve; CSA of the median nerve at the mid-forearm, carpal tunnel inlet, and carpal tunnel outlet; bowing of the flexor retinaculum; and the wrist forearm ratio by dividing the CSA of the median nerve at the forearm over the CSA of median nerve either at the carpal tunnel inlet or outlet. The wrist measurements are radioulnar and dorsopalmar diameters of the carpal tunnel.
Table 1: Results of the systematic review for the sonographic parameters used in the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome and the landmarks used

| Carpal tunnel inlet | Authors | Interrater reliability |
|---------------------|---------|------------------------|
| **A. Median nerve: CSA; flattening ratio (anteroposterior diameter/transverse diameter) and wrist forearm ratio (CSA of the median nerve at the level of mid forearm/CSA of the median nerve at the level of the carpal tunnel inlet or carpal tunnel outlet)** |
| Pisiform | Altinok et al., 2004[17] Bayrak et al., 2007[18] Buchberger et al., 1992[19] Fowler et al., 2014[20] Ghasemi-Esfe et al., 2011[21] Ghasemi-Esfe et al., 2011[22] Kaymak et al., 2008[25] Keles et al., 2005[27] Kim et al., 2014[24] Kotevoglu and Gülbahce-Saglam, 2005[7] Kwon et al., 2008[24] Lee et al., 2005[25] Yesildag et al., 2004[25] Zhang et al., 2015[27] | Ghasemi-Esfe et al. 2011: Inter-correlation κ=0.761, P<0.001 with hypervascularity and/or high CSA of median nerve with electrodiagnostic test |
| Pisiform and scaphoid | El Miedany et al., 2015[25] Mohammadi et al., 2010[8] Mohammadi et al., 2012[25] Naranjo et al., 2007[30] Paliwal et al., 2014[25] | El Miedany et al., 2015: Interrater reliability - ICC of median nerve CSA: 0.90 (95% CI 0.79-0.95), flattening ratio: 0.85 (95% CI 0.8-0.9) |
| External: Distal wrist crease | Claes et al., 2013[31] El Miedany et al., 2004[32] Mhoon et al., 2012[13] Wiesler et al., 2006[13] | Mhoon et al., 2012: Interrater reliability - median nerve CSA: r=0.98, wrist forearm ratio: r=0.96 |
| Sonographic landmark: Pisiform | Claes et al., 2013[31] El Miedany et al., 2004[32] Mhoon et al., 2012[13] | None |
| Radio ulnar joint | Altinok et al., 2004[17] Bayrak et al., 2007[18] Buchberger et al., 1992[19] Kaymak et al., 2008[25] Keles et al., 2005[27] Kotevoglu and Gülbahce-Saglam, 2005[7] Lee et al., 2005[25] | None |
| Carpal tunnel outlet | Altinok et al., 2004[17] Buchberger et al., 1992[19] Keles et al., 2005[27] Kotevoglu and Gülbahce-Saglam, 2005[7] Kwon et al., 2008[24] Lee et al., 2005[25] Zhang et al., 2015[27] | None |
| Hook of hamate | Altinok et al., 2004[17] Buchberger et al., 1992[19] Keles et al., 2005[27] Kotevoglu and Gülbahce-Saglam, 2005[7] Kwon et al., 2008[24] Lee et al., 2005[25] Zhang et al., 2015[27] | None |
| Hook of hamate and trapezium | Mohammadi et al., 2010[8] Naranjo et al., 2007[30] Paliwal et al., 2014[27] | None |
| Forearm | Mhoon et al., 2012[13] Paliwal et al., 2014[27] Kang et al., 2012[24] | Mhoon et al., 2012: Interrater reliability - median nerve CSA: r=0.98, wrist forearm ratio: r=0.96 |
| 12 cm proximal to wrist crease | Mhoon et al., 2012[13] Paliwal et al., 2014[27] Kang et al., 2012[24] | None |
| 10 cm proximal to wrist crease | Zhang et al., 2015[27] Ghasemi-Esfe et al., 2011[21] Ghasemi-Esfe et al., 2011[22] | None |

Contd...
The landmark for the mid-forearm was the distal wrist crease, and the median nerve image was scanned 10 cm and 12 cm from the distal wrist crease. There were two areas where the median nerve was scanned at the carpal tunnel inlet which were at the level of the pisiform and distal radioulnar joint. There were three landmarks identified at the level of the pisiform which includes the following: pisiform; pisiform, and scaphoid; and distal wrist crease as the external marker and pisiform as the sonographic landmark. The sonographic landmark of the median nerve at the level of the radioulnar joint was the distal radioulnar joint. There were two methods of identifying the carpal tunnel outlet, one which used the hook of hamate and another using both the hook of hamate and the trapezium as landmarks.

Palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum, which is the displacement (measured in millimeters) of the retinaculum, was measured as the distance from the palmar apex of retinaculum to a straight line drawn between the tubercle of trapezium and hook of hamate bone [Figure 2].18,23 For this, the landmarks used were the trapezium and hook of hamate. These were also the same landmarks used for the radioulnar distance while the apex of the flexor retinaculum and the lunate were the sonographic markers for the dorsopalmar distance.

Except for the studies of Ghasemi-Esfet al. 2011a, Mhoon et al. 2012 and El Miedany et al. 2015, all the other studies had no reliability testing done on the methods of measuring the ultrasound parameters used in the diagnosis of the CTS.3,21,28

**Pilot study**

The results of the measurements of the three sonologists are tabulated in Table 2. There was statistical difference on the measurements of the three sonologists in all the levels except at the level of the forearm. The intra- and inter-tester reliability testing results are shown in Table 3.
The discussion among the sonologists disclosed the following technical difficulties encountered during ultrasound acquisition which were the following: locating the distal end of the radioulnar joint using the pronator quadratus as the sonographic landmark; differentiating the pisiform and hook of hamate; and locating the inner borders of the hook of hamate and trapezium. They concurred that the area where the median nerve is easily located and measured was at the level of the forearm.
Due to the results of the statistical analysis and discussion among the sonologists, the following changes were instituted during musculoskeletal image acquisition: external landmarks were provided for the pisiform and hook of hamate, which were the distal wrist crease and 1 cm distal to the distal wrist crease, respectively, the carpal tunnel inlet was measured at the level of the pisiform; the wrist radioulnar distance was measured using the apex of the hook of hamate and trapezium instead of its inner border, and bowing of the flexor retinaculum measured from the palmar apex to the line between the apex of the hook of hamate and trapezium. These landmarks were also used in the determination of bowing of the flexor retinaculum.

**Reliability testing proper**

Table 4 shows the mean and standards deviations of the measurements of all of parameters of the three sonologists. There was no statistical difference of all the measurements at the three levels among the three sonologists.

There was an excellent intra-rater reliability except for the for the following: CSA of the median nerve at the level of the pisiform and the hook of hamate; and bowing of the flexor retinaculum for Sonologist 3 which was fair to good. All the parameters had an excellent inter-rater reliability measured at the three levels except for CSA of the median nerve at the levels of the forearm and pisiform which had fair-to-good reliability [Table 5].

**Discussion**

The study determined the most appropriate landmarks used to measure the median nerve at different levels and the wrist dimensions. We were also able to demonstrate that with these landmarks, median nerve and wrist dimension measurements produced excellent inter-rater reliability and good-to-excellent intra-rater reliability results.

In the assessment of CTS using ultrasound, one of the important factors is identifying landmarks with the highest diagnostic accuracy and reliability. To ensure this, most studies have chosen to standardize the level by having a reference to anatomical landmarks, which were either the distal radioulnar joint, the pisiform or the hook of hamate. These landmarks were identified by ultrasound. However, one of the limitations of musculoskeletal ultrasound is it is highly operator dependent which could affect the accuracy and reliability of identifying these sonographic landmarks. This was observed in our pilot study where there was variable agreement among the sonologists except for the measurements done in the level of the forearm where a surface landmark was used. With this, the researchers decided that external surface landmarks would improve the inter-rater reliability.

In assessing the median nerve at the carpal tunnel inlet, the pisiform was selected to be the sonographic landmark based on the systematic search where most of the studies used it as the marker. However, our study showed that using the distal wrist crease, which corresponds to the anatomic position of the pisiform as the external marker improved the inter-rater reliability to excellent results from a fair–to-good results. The wrist crease had been used by some studies as the landmark, but studies were far less as compared to those using the pisiform. However, all the studies had only one sonographer performing to the ultrasound to prevent variability of measurement. All of the studies except to that of El Miedany et al., in the systematic search of studies done before the pilot study did not perform an inter-tester reliability assessment. The study of El Miedany showed that interrater reliability of the CSA of the median nerve was ICC: 0.90 (95% CI 0.79–0.95 at the level of the pisiform. However, he did not mention the landmarks used in assessing the median nerve at the carpal tunnel inlet.

**Table 4: Means and Standard Deviation of the wrist and median nerve measurements using external landmarks**

| Dimensions measured (cm) | Sonologist 1 | Sonologist 2 | Sonologist 3 | P* |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| Median nerve at the forearm measurement (mean±SD) |             |             |             |    |
| Anteroposterior diameter (cm) | 0.18±0.02   | 0.16±0.02   | 0.18±0.03   | 0.060* |
| Transverse diameter (cm) | 0.35±0.04   | 0.34±0.04   | 0.35±0.04   | 0.651* |
| Cross-sectional area (cm²) | 0.05±0.01   | 0.04±0.01   | 0.05±0.01   | 0.062* |
| Median nerve at the carpal tunnel inlet (mean±SD) |             |             |             |    |
| Anteroposterior diameter (cm) | 0.17±0.02   | 0.16±0.03   | 0.17±0.02   | 0.372* |
| Transverse diameter (cm) | 0.51±0.09   | 0.55±0.09   | 0.51±0.08   | 0.071* |
| Cross-sectional area (cm²) | 0.07±0.06   | 0.06±0.02   | 0.06±0.02   | 0.062* |
| Median nerve at the carpal tunnel outlet (mean±SD) |             |             |             |    |
| Anteroposterior diameter (cm) | 0.17±0.03   | 0.17±0.03   | 0.18±0.03   | 0.071* |
| Transverse diameter (cm) | 0.52±0.10   | 0.53±0.11   | 0.50±0.11   | 0.183* |
| Cross sectional area (cm²) | 0.07±0.01   | 0.07±0.01   | 0.07±0.01   | 0.064* |
| Other measurements performed at the carpal tunnel outlet (mean±SD) |             |             |             |    |
| Carpal outlet radioulnar distance (cm) | 2.97±0.34   | 2.96±0.34   | 2.98±0.36   | 0.971* |
| Carpal outlet dorso palmar distance (cm) | 1.07±0.10   | 1.04±0.09   | 1.06±0.10   | 0.262* |
| Bowing of the flexor retinaculum (cm) | 0.14±0.18   | 0.13±0.06   | 0.16±0.08   | 0.060* |

*Computed using one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test, Significantly different. cm: Centimeter; SD: Standard deviation; a: Computed using one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test; @: No statistical difference
The distal radioulnar joint as the landmark for the carpal tunnel obtained the most unreliable results. This may be due to the fact that the pronator quadratus was used as the sonographic landmark to demarcate the joint. The pronator quadratus muscle is comprised of the deep and superficial layers. It is attached to the anterior aspects of the distal sixth of the radius and ulna and the distal radioulnar joint, which makes it suitable as one of the sonographic markers of distal radioulnar joint.\textsuperscript{[35]} This technique was similar to the study of Klauser et al., where the median nerve is measured in the area where the pronator quadratus was not visible already.\textsuperscript{[15]} However, the judgment of the sonologist, transducer placement, and presence of anisotropy could have affected the ultrasound image of the muscle providing a not too accurate sonographic landmark. This was substantiated during the discussion among the sonologists. This led the researchers to choose the level of the pisiform as the better level to determine median nerve dimensions at the carpal tunnel inlet.

In assessing the median nerve at the carpal tunnel outlet, the landmark used in the pilot study was the hook of hamate based on the systematic search. However, this sonographic landmark showed a variable inter- and intra-tester reliability. Changing the sonographic landmark to a surface landmark of 1 cm distal to the distal wrist crease, which corresponds to the location of the hook of hamate improved the inter- and intra-rater reliability to excellent.\textsuperscript{[36,37]} A study by Fu et al., (2015) showed that the inter-rater reliability and intra-rater reliability using the hook of hamate as the landmark was 0.79 and 0.85 for the carpal tunnel outlet CSA.\textsuperscript{[38]} This may be due to the fact that only one sonologist with a 10-year experience in musculoskeletal ultrasound performed the sonographic imaging of the participants of the study.

The issues that the sonologists faced in assessing the bowing of the flexor retinaculum was the landmark for locating the hook of hamate and the point on the trapezium and hook of hamate which will be connected to draw a line. Initially, the sonographic landmark of the hook of hamate was used; and the inner border of the hook of hamate and trapezium were used as points that will be connected to draw a line. The pilot study showed poor-to-good inter-rater reliability. Locating the inner border of the bones proved to be difficult because of pitfalls in performing bony sonography such as incorrect position of transducer, heterogeneity by geometric relationship of the bone and anisotropy.\textsuperscript{[39]}

Furthermore, if the probe cannot be positioned perpendicular to the area of interest such as the inner border of the trapezium and hamate, the sound waves cannot be reflected back to the transducer, the border of the bones would not be visualize clearly. In our study, this is illustrated by the poor inter- and intra-tester reliability when the inner border of the bones was used as landmarks. However, when the landmark was the apex of the bones, which was more superficial as compared to the inner border of the bone, and the transducer had better access, the reliability improved markedly.\textsuperscript{[40]}

**Conclusion**

There was an improved inter-rater reliability when external landmarks were used instead of sonographic landmarks. This study could provide the impetus in order that future studies would utilize these landmarks for a better reproducibility of results.

**Limitations**

The authors did not perform quality appraisal for diagnostic the accuracy of the papers included in the systematic search nor
did they perform a meta-analysis for diagnostic accuracy for the results of the paper. This is because the primary objective for the systematic search was to determine what were the landmarks used by the papers in assessing the measurement of the median nerve at the different levels. The search has shown that there were varying landmarks used by the authors, which could be the probable reason for the inconsistent results of the paper. Furthermore, there were only two participants for the pilot study. However, the discussion among the sonologists after the pilot study affirmed the results of the pilot study.
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