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ABSTRACT

Rice is staple food for half of the world. With a population of almost 9.6 billion by the year 2050, there is a dire need of developing techniques to improve the crop plants, not only in terms of better yield but also to withstand harsh environmental conditions and stresses like drought, temperature, flood and salinity. Salinity is second to drought stress and hence it is very important to develop crops tolerant to salinity stress. This review discusses the mechanisms of salt tolerance and the recent developments in understanding the complex tolerance phenomena. One way to address the salinity issue is to develop tolerant rice varieties using conventional and modern breeding techniques for which screening the rice germplasm for the varieties with desired traits is critical. Conventional methods to develop tolerant rice varieties are discussed along with modern biotechnology techniques are also discussed. Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) and Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) are promising techniques. In addition to these modern techniques, some recent developments in the fields of transgenic plants, haploid breeding and Somaclonal variations have also been discussed. The limited knowledge about molecular and genetic mechanisms to tolerate abiotic stresses however is a barrier to efficiently develop tolerant cultivars. A combination of conventional and modern biotechnology techniques could possibly open up the new ways.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is critical for food security in so many of the countries. More than 50 percent of the world's population is dependent upon rice for 80 percent of its diet [1]. World’s population has exceeded 7 billion and rice consumers will be doubled by the year 2020 [2]. As the population is increasing, rice production also needs to continue to increase in coming decades to meet the food demands [3],[4]. One of the main challenges to raise the food production is to overcome the environmental stress such as temperature, drought, flood and salinity [5]. Rice is considered as salt-sensitive crop and soil salinity is one of the most important abiotic stresses damaging the rice yield [1],[6]. Salinity is one of the most important environmental stresses affecting the productivity of most field crops [7]. A better understanding of mechanisms underlying the salinity tolerance in plants will significantly help in developing varieties with enhanced salinity tolerance.

Earth is a salty planet, with most of its water containing about 30g of sodium chloride per litre. More than 800 million hectares of land throughout the world are salt affected [8],[9] which include the land on which crops are, or might be, grown. In the mid-1980s an estimated 1.3 million ha of rice-growing areas were affected by salinity or alkalinity. It is estimated that some 9-12 million ha are affected all around the...
Salinity is widespread in coastal areas, and salinity, alkalinity, or sodicity is widespread in inland areas of arid regions. There are different factors of salinity for different kinds of lands. For inland salinity, it is due to salt deposits present in the soil or bedrock or from the use of salty irrigation water. In this soil, rice cannot be grown without good-quality irrigation water.

For coastal salinity, it is due to tidal intrusion where the highly saline sea water is mixed up with the fresh water making it saline to toxic levels. The other cause of accumulation of salts especially sodium chloride to irrigated soils is the deposition of oceanic salts carried in wind and rain. Rainwater contains 6–50 mg/kg of sodium chloride; the concentration decreases with distance from the coast. Climate change is also expected to affect the soil salinity. Global warming causes increased CO₂ levels, causing abrupt changes in climate resulting in storms, droughts and heavy rainfalls. These harsh weather conditions may cause flooding and relevant extreme weather conditions. Slowly and steadily increasing sea levels are another possible cause of flood risk and areas ambient to estuaries receive high amounts of salts causing stresses for the crops especially rice. Salinity affects almost all processes of the plant and rice plants react differently at different life stages to salinity suggesting that different mechanisms are involved for salinity tolerance in rice at different life stages.

High salt stress disrupts homeostasis in plants and ion distribution at both the cellular and the whole-plant levels. This is because of the osmotic effects by high ionic concentrations, competitive interference with nutrient uptake and of toxic effects within the plant tissue. Drastic changes in ion and water homeostasis lead to molecular damage, growth arrest and even death. Since agricultural land is a limited source, therefore, increasing the salinity resistance of rice is a necessary alternative to increase the food production to meet the food demand. Many excellent reviews have focused on stress tolerance, mechanisms to develop stress tolerant crop plants, and particular modern technologies to enhance stress tolerance in economically important crop plants.

This review provides an insight into the mechanisms of salt tolerance, screening strategies for salt tolerance and developing salt tolerant cultivars with special emphasis on rice. It focuses on recent studies on conventional and modern breeding techniques, transgenic approaches and double haploids and somaclonal variations. Tissue culture techniques like anther culture, protoplast fusion and culture, leaf culture, root culture, immature embryo culture and mature seed culture are also important techniques in order to induce variations in plants. This review also provides comprehensive information to the scientific community for further efforts in combining conventional and modern approaches to develop salt tolerant rice plants/cultivars.

### 2. MECHANISMS OF SALT TOLERANCE

Salinity tolerance is a complex trait and it is often accompanied by other stresses like high temperatures and flooding. Over the years, plants have developed certain mechanisms to cope high salinity levels. A variety of cell signalling cascades and metabolic pathways involving several transcription factors are involved. Soil salinity stresses plants in two ways. High concentrations of salts in the soil make it harder for roots to extract water, and high concentrations of salts within the plant can be toxic. Figure 1 shows different types of salt stress mechanisms found in plants and some of their effects.

![Figure 1. Salt stress mechanisms and effects of salt stress](image-url)
Earlier studies conducted under controlled conditions reported that salt injury in rice plants caused by both osmotic imbalance and accumulation of chloride (Cl\(^{-}\)) ions [36]. Recent studies, however, indicated that it is Na\(^{+}\) which plays its damaging role [37]. Plants respond to salinity in two phases. First phase is a rapid osmotic phase that inhibits growth of young leaves, and second phase is a slower ionic phase that accelerates senescence of mature leaves [38]-[40]. Plant adaptations to salinity can be categorized into three types, which are: osmotic stress tolerance, Na\(^{+}\) or Cl\(^{-}\) exclusion, and the tolerance of tissue to accumulated Na\(^{+}\) or Cl\(^{-}\) [8].

Salt injury score (SIS) is a complex physiological trait related to ion concentration or quantity and to osmosis. According to [41], under salt stress, SIS was correlated with sodium contents in shoot, not in the root. It is suggested that sodium contents are build-up in shoot, due to increased transport of Na\(^{+}\) ions from the root to the shoot in external high concentration, which subsequently led to leaves damages. This is because of the re-translocation from shoot to root is insignificant than that from root to shoot [42]. However, the potassium contents in both shoot and root were not correlated with SIS. These results suggested that the high potassium contents did not directly damage leaves [43],[44].

2.1. Tolerance at different life stages in rice

It has been observed that out of two main subspecies of rice, indica is more tolerant than japonica [45]. Naturally these indica rice varieties have evolved certain mechanisms to withstand levels that proved to be lethal for japonica varieties. As salinity is a complex trait involving a number of genes, it is a challenging task to first identify all of them and then manipulate and exploit them. This situation becomes complex when the same species behaves differently to same saline conditions in different life stages. Evaluating tolerance is complex because of variation in sensitivity to salt during the life cycle [46]. Salt tolerance comparison between germination and growth stages is difficult because different criteria are used to evaluate plant responses [47]. Indica and japonica rice varieties have been studied at seedling stage for salinity tolerance [48] and it was found that indica varieties were tolerant than japonica and they were better Na excluders, maintain a low Na/K ratio and absorbed high K while japonica varieties were sensitive.

Several studies indicated that rice is tolerant during germination, becomes very sensitive during early seedling stage (2-3 leaf stage), gains tolerance during vegetative growth stage, becomes sensitive during pollination and fertilization, and then becomes increasingly more tolerant at maturity [49]-[52]. Ripening appears to be less affected by salinity [36],[47],[1],[24].

3. SCREENING FOR SALINITY TOLERANCE

There are thousands of rice varieties available with their unique properties. A diverse rice germplasm with significant varietal differences is available as pool for developing screening techniques. These techniques are important to select varieties for breeding purposes. These techniques should be easy, efficient, reproducible and economically feasible. Rice is grown under different field conditions where it is subjected to different types of stress conditions, right from germination to maturity. Salinity being one harmful and most studied type of stress damages the rice plants in so many different ways. Longer exposure to salinity results in accumulation of NaCl in older leaves [53] which affects the photosynthesis rate significantly. It also disturbs the overall metabolism of the plant and damages it [54]-[56]. Salinity also affects the chloroplast activities [57]. Screening under field conditions is difficult due to variability of salinity within fields, stress heterogeneity, presence of other soil-related stresses, and influence of environmental factors such as temperature, relative humidity, and solar radiation. These complexities, together with the degree of control of salinity and reproducibility, cause difficulties in developing reliable methods of screening [46],[58].

However, there has been considerable effort directed at selection for salinity resistance in rice [46],[59],[1],[24]. Thousands of rice varieties have been developed recently using modern techniques like marker assisted selection. These varieties are resistant to several stresses like drought, cold, light and stresses coming from poor soils especially salinity and toxic metals. Table 1 shows some of the rice varieties screened for salt tolerance. Knowledge of the physiological effects of salinity on plants, both crop species and those species which are native to saline environments, has shown that there are no simple answers to the questions of how plants are damaged by salt and of how they survive it [53].

3.1. Phenotypic screening

Salt tolerance is complex genetically and physiologically [46]. The detection of salinity induced injuries, are very complex even under controlled conditions in terms of humidity, temperature and light etc. Moreover, it requires expensive and time-consuming tissue analysis. Physiological studies of rice suggest that a range of characteristics would increase the ability of the plant to cope with salinity. Those characteristics include such as low shoot sodium concentration, compartmentalization of salt in older rather
QTLs associated with salinity tolerance have been identified in previous studies. Among them are located on chromosome 1, 3, 4, 12, 10 [13], 8 and 9 [24]. A major QTL, designated Saltol, was recently mapped. It few QTL are identified [10] within any given genome [46].

Evaluation of vegetative and reproductive stages salinity tolerance is more difficult. Rice plant gains tolerance to salinity at reproductive stage. Plant height, root length and biomass decreases as a result of salinity. At reproductive stage, salinity causes an increase in sterile florets by affecting panicle initiation, spikelet formation, fertilization, and germination of pollen. Salinity also reduces panicle length, number of primary branches and spikelets per panicle, fertility and panicle weight, thus reducing grain yield [66]. When tested at vegetative and reproductive stages, grain yield per plant or pot is the best score for tolerance, but they may produce misleading results. It is impossible to get a good estimate of the total number of spikelets. Even in some tolerant varieties, some spikelets are malformed or aborted and sometimes they drop off before good spikelets mature [67]. There are also differences in term of ion concentrations. Some findings showed that a tolerant rice maintains a lower concentration of Na+ and Cl−, a higher concentration of K+ and Zn+, and lower Na-K and Zn-P ratios in the shoot, compared with a salt-sensitive variety. Most of the crop plants including rice are considered as glycoalyphes and they have their specific thresholds for the salt tolerance concentrations. The salt concentration they normally withstand is 1-40mM of sodium chloride. The Na-K ratio, which is the balance between Na+ and K+ in the shoot, could then be a valid criterion in measuring salinity tolerance in rice. Thus the parents have been classified according to the Na-K absorption ratio because of their metabolic interaction [47].

Among the disadvantages of phenotypic screening for desirable trait selection is, salt-tolerant phenotype selected at an early generation may not maintain its tolerance in subsequent generations and that this phenomenon is greatly affected by environmental factors [62]. Besides, overall performance or survival can be used to evaluate the salt resistance of a genotype, it is not the basis on which parents should be selected to construct a complex character through breeding [6].

3.2. Genotypic screening

With the advancement of modern biotechnology and genetic study, it has significantly helped in the selection and evaluation of salinity tolerance traits. Upon exposure to the stresses, many genes are induced and their products are thought to function as cellular protectants (e.g. proline and trehalose) of stress-induced damage [28],[59]. One of the useful tools in molecular research to study the genotypic of salinity tolerance is by identifying quantitative traits loci. One obvious use of QTL in plant breeding for salt tolerance is in marker-aided selection or marker-assisted selection, MAS [46]. There are QTLs that has clearly been established associating with aspects of germination, ion transport and yield of the plant. Salt tolerance is claimed as a multigenic trait and QTLs has been found, complying with the multigenic nature of salt tolerance. Several genes with polygenic nature (qST1 and qST3) have been reported to be involved in abiotic stress tolerance including salinity [68]-[70]. However, due to the complexity of salt tolerance mechanisms, so few QTL are identified [10] within any given genome [46].

QTLs for traits correlated were often mapped in the same chromosomal regions [24],[43]. Few QTLs associated with salinity tolerance have been identified in previous studies. Among them are located on chromosome 1, 3, 4, 12, 10 [13], 8 and 9 [24]. A major QTL, designated Saltol, was recently mapped. It accounted for more than 70% of the variation in salt uptake in this population. Marker-assisted backcrossing is currently used to incorporate this QTL into popular high-yielding varieties [1]. QTLs are also found to be as treatment-sensitive. Some QTL associated with aspects of fruit yield were found regardless of whether the plants were grown with or without salt [46]. However, the drawbacks in using marker-assisted breeding are “linkage drag” of undesirable traits due to the large size of regions of chromosomes identified by QTL [71] and the fact that environment and genetic background have a significant influence on the QTL that are identified [46].

4. DEVELOPMENT OF SALT TOLERANCE CULTIVARS

In [72], author(s) suggested five possible appropriate ways to develop salt-tolerant crops, such as: (i) develop halophytes as alternative crops; (ii) use interspecific hybridization to raise the tolerance of current crops; (iii) use the variation already present in existing crops; (iv) generate variation within existing crops by
using recurrent selection, mutagenesis or tissue culture; and (v) breed for yield rather than tolerance [46]. Conventional breeding methods were adopted first in order to develop salt tolerant varieties like Shaheen basmati, Damodar CSR 1, Dasal CSR 2, Getu CSR 3 and Hamilton while CSR 10, CSR13 CSR23, CSR27, CSR30, CSR36, PSBRe48, PSBRe84, PSBRe86, PSBRe88 and NSIC Re106 were developed through recombination breeding (IRRI).

Table 1. Origin based important sensitive rice varieties and salt tolerant varieties

| Varieties | Sensitive Rice Origin | Subsp. | Literature | Salt Tolerant Varieties | Origin | Subsp. | Literature |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Tiqing    | Australia             | japonica | [73]       | Tarome-Molaei           | India  | Indica | [73]       |
| Koshnikari| Australia             | japonica | [43]       | Nona Bokra              | Pakistan | Indica | [43]       |
| IR26      | Indica                |        | [74]       | Juicaqing               | Indica | [74]   |            |
| MI 48     | Indica                |        | [75],[76]  | CSR27                   | Indica | [75],[76]|            |
| 77-170    |                       |        | [55]       | M-20                    |         |        | [55]       |
| IR29      | Indica                |        | [36]       | Pokkali                 | Indica | [36],[77],[78] | |
| Auzcena   | Philippines           | japonica | [79]       | Shaheen Basmati         | Pakistan | Indica | [80]       |
| IR36      | Philippines           | Indica | [77]       | Jingxi 17               | Japonica | Indica | [81]       |
| Nipponbare|                                  |        | [77]       | IR64                    | Philippines | Indica | [79],[82],[83] | |
| Zhaiyeqing 8 | Indica |        | [81]       | IR5962                  |         |        | [10]       |
| IR29      | Philippines           | Indica | [78]       | Tesanai 2               | Indica | [84]   |            |
| CB        | Indica                |        | [84]       | Nipponbare              |         |        | [85]       |
| Kasalath  |                       |        | [85]       | AS996                   |         |        | [86]       |
| Tarom Molaii |                   |        | [82]       | Taonomahali             |         |        | [87],[88] |
| Milyang 23| Taiwan                | Indica | [45]       | Moroberekan             | West African | Japonica | [89]       |
| Gihobyeo | Japonica              |        | [45]       |                         |         |        |            |
| Binam     | Iran                  | Japonica | [83]       |                         |         |        |            |
| IR64      | Philippines           | Indica | [86]       |                         |         |        |            |
| Khazar    | Iran                  | Japonica | [87],[88]  |                         |         |        |            |
| Ilpumbyeo | Japonica              |        | [89]       |                         |         |        |            |

Previous attempts to improve the salt tolerance of crops through conventional breeding programmes have met with very limited success, due to the complexity of the trait. Tolerance often shows the characteristics of a multigenic trait, with the QTLs associated with tolerance identified with ion transport under saline conditions [46]. The mechanism of salinity tolerance has to be understood first before a plant can be modified or bred for this trait [47]. Despite the variation in tolerance, new screening techniques, mutation, and anther culture techniques, salinity tolerance was successfully introduced into high-yielding plant types [13]. Some released varieties have demonstrated more than 50% yield advantage over current salt-sensitive varieties. The opportunity to improve salinity tolerance through the incorporation of useful genes appears very promising [1].

Rice hybrids are known to have high vegetative vigor and a stronger root system. These features enabled them to show better seedling tolerance for low temperature [22], salt tolerance [5]. These observations support the view that the salinity resistance of rice could be increased above the present level of observed phenotypic expression through the crossing of existing genotypes [6],[42].

4.1. Conventional breeding

Conventional plant breeding involves changing or combining the genes of a parental plant so that a new and better progeny variety can be developed. Most conventional breeding can be reduced to two fundamental steps. The first step is to generate a breeding population that is highly variable for desirable traits by identifying parents having traits of interest. The second fundamental step involves selection among the segregating progeny for individuals that combine the most useful traits of the parents with the fewest of their failings [90]. Some important salt tolerant varieties developed in recent years the world over, through conventional breeding techniques are shown in Table 2.

There were many attempts to enhance tolerance have involved conventional breeding programmes such as the use of in vitro selection, pooling physiological traits, interspecific hybridization, using halophytes as alternative crops [46]. The challenge is to combine the tolerance traits through breeding to develop stress-tolerant cultivars. There have been successes in developing the salt tolerant cultivars in India where six varieties were developed and released as salt tolerant for cultivation [91]. Some of these varieties like CSR10, CSR13, CSR27, Narendra usar 2 and Narendra usar 3 have been used to reclaim saline soils in India [92]. There were 30 varieties developed conventionally for coastal saline and alkaline soils including CSR 2, CSR 3, CSR 13, CSR 22, CSR 23, CSR 26, CSR 27, CSR 30, Panvel 1, Panvel 2, Panvel 3, Pokkali Vytilla 1 and Vytilla 2 [70]. However, several attempts using traditional salt-tolerant parents such as Nona Bokra, Pokkali and Kalarata do not possess the level of tolerance found [13].
Among problems associated with using physiological traits in plant breeding is that many individuals are needed to obtain a single assessment and the donors had too many undesirable traits that linked to salinity tolerance [6],[13]. The possibility of pooling physiological traits has been advocated for rice [6], screening methods evaluated [62] and the approach proved successful in generating salt-resistant lines [78]. The methodology does not require a deep knowledge of the genetics of traits merely that they display sufficient heritability and that suitable screening procedures can be developed [46]. Conventional breeding methods should not be reduced but be supplemented with studies in biotechnology as well as with studies on soil and water management. Through recent development in biotechnology, it seems make the breeders or scientists easier to select and produce their desirable traits to be incorporated through recombinant gene [78].

4.2. Modern biotechnology

Modern biotechnology techniques refer to utilizing the knowledge about fundamental physiology of plants such as genes, cells and tissues and manipulating them by utilizing different techniques for instance tissue culture, genetic engineering, recombinant DNA, hybridization, cloning and others. Some of the salt tolerant rice varieties developed through modern biotechnology techniques are mentioned in Table 3. It is recommended to bring all important genes together in single plant so as to enhance the salinity tolerance levels [72].

### Table 2. Rice cultivars with improved salinity tolerance using conventional breeding techniques [70]

| Genotype/line/cultivar released | Releasing source | Good performance |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CSR10                           | Central Variety Release Committee (CVRC) of India | High salt levels |
| CSR 13, CSR 27, Narendra Usar 2 and Narendra Usar 3 | Central Variety Release Committee (CVRC) of India | Moderate to high salt levels |
| Basmati CSR 30                  | Central Variety Release Committee (CVRC) of India | Moderate salt stress |
| SR 26 B, CSR 1, CSR 2, CSR 3, Panvel 1, Panvel 2, Panvel 3 | The Central Soil Salinity Research Institute (CSSRI), Karnal, India | The coastal saline soil |
| Pokkali (in Kerala only), Vytilla 1 and Vytilla 2 | The Central Soil Salinity Research Institute (CSSRI), Karnal, India | Sodic soil |
| CSR 22, CSR 23, CSR 26, CSR 27 and CSR 30 | The Central Soil Salinity Research Institute (CSSRI), Karnal, India | Sodic soil |
| Pokkali, Vytilla 1, Vytilla 2, Vytilla 3 | Kerala Agricultural University, India | Coastal regions |
| 4 and Vytilla 5                  |                                      |                 |
| SR 26 B, Kalarata, Bhurata, Panvel 1, Panvel 2, and Panvel 3 and BTS 24 | International Rice Research Institute, Philippines | Coastal regions |
| Savitri, Pooni, Swarnadhan, Mansarovar, Salivahan and Pavizham | International Rice Research Institute, Philippines | Shallow water salinity conditions |
| Pokkali, Vytilla 1, Vytilla 2, Vytilla 3, CSR 1, CSR 2, CSR 3, Panvel 1, Panvel 2, and Panvel 3 and BTS 24 | International Rice Research Institute, Philippines | Coastal regions |
| SR 26 B, Patnai 23, PVR 1, PY 1, CSR 1, CSR 4, Co 43, AD 85002, IET 8113, TRY 1 and TRY 2 | International Rice Research Institute, Philippines | Saline area of Tamil Nadu |

### Table 3. Rice cultivars with improved salinity tolerance using modern biotechnology techniques [70]

| Gene engineered | Source organism | Trait improved | Growth improved |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter | Atriplex gmelini | Activity of these antiporers was 8-fold high | Seedling survival increased from 51% or 81–100%. |
| AgNHX1           |                  |                |                  |
| Vacular Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene | Pennisetum glaucum (L.) | Well-developed root system | About 81% higher shoot and root lengths. |
| PgNHX1 R. Br.    |                  |                |                  |
| Vacular Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene | Wild rice (Oryza sativa L.) | High accumulation of Na⁺ and low K⁺ | Tolerate salinity level up to 0.2 M where wild plants Died |
| OsNHX1           |                  |                |                  |
| Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS) | Moth bean (Vigna aconitifolia) | Transgenic plants accumulated more proline under both saline and non-saline conditions | Shoot fresh weight was increased from 30–93% and root fresh weight 37–74% under 200 mM NaCl as compared to those in wild type |
| Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter | Yeast           | Transgenic plants accumulated higher K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and lower Na⁺ in their shoots as compared to respective non-transformed controls | Transgenic plants showed good performance under saline conditions |
| SOD2             | Tobacco         | Transgenic plants showed high resistance against salt and disease |                  |
| OPBP1 gene       | Tobacco         |                |                  |
4.2.1. QTL identifications

Genetic dissection of salinity tolerance traits is done exploiting Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs) mapping technique. This is accomplished by crossing two parental lines distinct in traits of interest. A stress sensitive and stress tolerant parent is crossed to get populations with enhanced tolerance and sensitivity. Salinity tolerance of rice is known being controlled by certain genes in complex genetic interactions and it is claimed as multigenic traits. The multigenic nature of salt tolerance has clearly been established and QTL associated with aspects of germination, ion transport and yield. With identification of various QTL which believed attributed to the salt tolerance, it can help the efforts to produce new rice cultivars with desirable traits [24],[78].

Hundreds of QTLs have been identified for salinity tolerance in economically important crop plants but quite a few have been confirmed for enhancing salinity tolerance in the field. Among all these crops, rice has received attention for improving salinity tolerance using modern breeding techniques. For example, [93] fine mapped the Saltol QTL in rice genome and identified QTLs associated with salt tolerance using Recombinant Inbred Lines (RILs) derived from IR29 and Pokkali. Its contribution to phenotypic variation was 43% for seedling shoot Na-K ratio [78].

The [94] detected 3 major QTLs associated with salinity tolerance on chromosomes 1, 8 and 10 with phenotypic variance of 12.5, 29 and 20.2% respectively. F2 breeding population was used in this case. It was derived from a highly salt tolerant IR6920-3B-22-2-1 (NSIC Rc106) and moderately salt tolerant BRRI dhan40. Three QTLs for survival days of seedlings (SDSs) under stress were detected on 3 chromosomes 1, 6 and 7 explaining a phenotypic variation ranging from 13.9-18% [43].

Plants undergoing salinity stress experience cellular osmotic adjustments and ion exclusion. Na’K’ homeostasis plays critical role in ameliorating the damaging effects of Na’. Several QTLs have been identified controlling Na’K’ homeostasis. [76] have mapped 25 major QTLs on chromosomes 1, 2, 3 and 8 including one QTL for seedling salt injury score, 9 for Na’ concentration, 3 for K’ concentration and 4 for Cl- concentration in leaf and stem. High quality rice genome sequence (IRGSP) has made it possible to clone the genes underlying QTLs for salt tolerance [95]. The [96] have cloned a gene SKCI that regulates Na’K’ homeostasis in salt tolerant rice genotype. The [97] have identified QTLs for physiological traits determining salt tolerance in rice. They have identified QTLs directly governing sodium uptake, potassium uptake and sodium: potassium selectivity. In [75], author(s) have combined QTL mapping and transcriptome profiling in order to identify salt tolerance genes using RILs derived from CSR27a salt tolerant variety and MH48 a salt sensitive variety and reported 8 QTLs for salt ion concentrations on rice chromosomes 1, 8 and 12.

In an attempt to minimise the environmental effects in salt tolerance [74] using RILs at seedling stage in rice have identified QTLs for salt tolerance including Na’K’ ratios. [73] identified 14 QTLs using SSR on chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 for salt tolerance traits in rice. [98] reported a QTL RG13 on chromosome 11 associated significantly with salt tolerance using RILs. One QTL Std on chromosome 1. Similarly [99] reported 12 QTLs for salt ion concentration on chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 11 respectively.

All these identified, fine mapped and cloned QTLs can be utilised in modern biotechnology techniques to improve the salinity tolerance in crop plants. Marker assisted selection is a promising techniques bringing genes of interest into plants. However, the drawbacks in using marker-assisted breeding are ‘linkage drag’ of undesirable traits due to the large size of regions of chromosomes identified by QTL [71] and the fact that environment and genetic background have a significant influence on the QTL that are identified [46].

4.2.2. Transgene

Recent research has shown that rice, transformed to overexpress genes that brought about the synthesis of trehalose, contained a reduced concentration of Na in the shoot and grew better than non-transformed (control) plants when in the presence of 100 mM NaCl. However, there is a risk of this. Although trehalose is commonly present in bacteria, fungi and insects, its concentration in plants is very low and it may even be toxic: recent evidence suggests that this toxicity may stem from its role in the regulation of carbon metabolism [46],[100]. In spite of the complexity of salt tolerance, there are also claims that the transfer of a single or a few genes can increase the tolerance of plants to saline conditions. Evaluation of such claims reveals that, of the 68 papers produced between 1993 and early 2003, only 19 report quantitative estimates of plant growth [46]. Transgenic technology will undoubtedly continue to aid the search for the tolerance mechanisms, but the complexity of the trait is will be the challenge for this technique to succeed [46].

4.2.3. Haploid breeding

Haploid breeding is another useful tool for breeding. Double haploid (DH) lines are generated either through anther culture (AC) or chromosome elimination methods. DH lines are excellent materials for rice
breeding and genetic research because of their homozygosity and uniformity. [79] detected 7 QTLs for seedling traits under salt stress using a double haploid population derived from a cross between IR64 and Azucena, and a QTL for root length had 18.9% contribution to phenotypic variation. [81] identified genes underlying a total of 8 QTLs for salt tolerance using double haploid population derived from a cross between *indica* variety ZYQ8 and *japonica* variety JX17. DH breeding saves times to obtain the homozygous state as well as for evaluating the yield and other quantitative traits compared to normal self-pollinating methods [71]. Development by using conventional breeding can take 8 – 10 year to obtain a promising salinity-tolerant line. However, by using DH culture, the period could be shortened to just 3 years. The cultivar, which is the first DH derived line from *indica-indica* cross has been released in Philippines and India [78].

4.2.4. Somaclonal variation

Somaclonal variation is another promising field along with tissue culture techniques to enhance salinity tolerance in crop plants. The variability is induced by *in vitro* mutagenesis and somaclonal variation to improve the salt tolerance in plants. Cell and tissue culture approaches are quite feasible in achieving significant salinity tolerance levels in plants. This is accomplished by *in vitro* selection of the mutant lines and by carrying it on with regenerationSomaclonal variation method also has been carried out to produce a better plant. A Somaclonal variant of Pokkali, a cultivar which is highly salt-tolerant but produce low yield, has shown that the variants contain desirable levels of all tested characteristics. They retain their salinity tolerance equal to Pokkali, has vigorous growth unlike Pokkali and is semi-dwarf – an essential trait in increasing yield potential without lodging [78]. Genotype difference in Callus induction and subsequent regeneration of plant has not been understood well [101].

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the progress and our knowledge in developing salinity tolerant crops discussed above, it is quite obvious that goal is yet to be achieved. A lot more still needs to be done. Combining different strategies would be very promising. Combining classical breeding techniques and modern biotechnology techniques would help filling the gaps. As it is mentioned above that salt stress is not the only stress that plants are facing at one time. The drought and temperature stress could also be the reasons behind salt stress. The cross talks and noise going on could mislead the results so there is a need to minimise the effects of other stresses. This has also lead to the little success in exploiting the major QTLs discovered so far. Moreover the major QTLs discovered and identified so far, have been testes in greenhouses in small pots providing good conditions. This is why so many MAS programs did not go well and the major QTLs studied could not provide the salinity tolerance as predicted. Such QTLs should also be tested in the fields before using them for any breeding programs.
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