Overexpression of Small Heat Shock Protein LimHSP16.45 in Arabidopsis Enhances Tolerance to Abiotic Stresses
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Abstract

Small heat shock proteins (smHSPs) play important and extensive roles in plant defenses against abiotic stresses. We cloned a gene for a smHSP from the David Lily (Lilium davidii (E. H. Wilson) Raffill var. Willmottiae), which we named LimHSP16.45 based on its protein molecular weight. Its expression was induced by many kinds of abiotic stresses in both the Lily and transgenic plants of Arabidopsis. Heterologous expression enhanced cell viability of the latter under high temperatures, high salt, and oxidative stress, and heat shock granules (HSGs) formed under heat or salinity treatment. Assays of enzymes showed that LimHSP16.45 overexpression was related to greater activity by superoxide dismutase and catalase in transgenic lines. Therefore, we conclude that heterologous expression can protect plants against abiotic stresses by preventing irreversible protein aggregation, and by scavenging cellular reactive oxygen species.

Introduction

Environmental degradation and abiotic stresses are limiting factors in food production and sustainability. They have become the most severe challenges in agriculture. In response to high temperatures and other abiotic stresses, all organisms universally respond by accumulating heat shock proteins (HSPs) [1]. These HSPs are mainly involved in peptide-folding, protein assembly and transport, protection against irreversible protein denaturation, maintaining the protein in a normal folding state, promoting the degradation of mis-folded proteins under various stresses, and they all can be summed as having “chaperone-like” activities [2–6]. At least five types occur in higher plants – the Hsp70 (DnaK) family, chaperonins (GroEL and Hsp60), Hsp90 family, Hsp100 (Clp) family, and small HSP (smHSP) family (12–40 kD). The smHSPs, the most abundant stress-induced proteins, are highly conserved in their C-terminal, where an α-crystallin domain (ACD) is found [1], [7]. Plants contain a wide array of smHSPs that are divided into six classes based on their sequence alignments and immunological cross-reactivity. Three of these classes (CI, CII, and CIII) are located in the cytoplasm or nucleus [8]. The others exist in the endoplasmic reticulum [9], mitochondria and plastids [10].

Functioning as molecular chaperones in vitro and in vivo, smHSPs can prevent irreversible protein aggregation and maintain denatured proteins in a folding-competent state under abiotic stress conditions [11–16]. In the absence of such stresses, however, smHSPs can also be produced specifically in reproductive organs at certain developmental stages, including seed maturation and germination, pollen development, and fruit maturation [17–18], [4]. Three smHSPs – OsHSP17.0, OsHSP26.7, and OsHSP24.1–are predominantly expressed in the spikes and/or imbibed seed embryos, indicating certain roles for them in pollen development and seed germination [19]. Therefore, many smHSPs can function in either the presence or absence of abiotic stress. For example, AtHSP17.6, an Arabidopsis cytoplasmic smHSP, is expressed in heat-shocked leaves but not in untreated control leaves of Arabidopsis. However, Arabidopsis embryos show high constitutive expression of AtHSP17.6 in meristematic and pro-vascular tissues [20].

Research on smHSPs has generally emphasized plant tolerances to various environmental stresses, e.g., high temperature [21], salt [22], osmotic pressure [13], and oxidation [23]. Other studies have used genetic modifications to focus on transgenic plants that over-express smHSPs, leading to improved agronomic traits with respect to basal thermotolerance [24–25], seed longevity [26], and tolerances to osmotic stress [13] and chilling [27].

Lilium davidii (E. H. Wilson) Raffill var. Willmottiae (David Lily) is a perennial herb with high nutritional quality. In China, it is renowned as a good source of food and medicine. Global temperature changes and soil salinization have greatly influenced its cultivation and seriously reduced its productivity. We previously cloned a gene for smHSPs from David Lily, and named it LimHSP16.45, based on its protein molecular weight. LimHSP16.45 shares very high identity with other plant cytoplasmic II smHSP, including those from Arabidopsis, Oryza sativa, Petunia sativa, and Viola cornuta [16]. Those earlier studies demonstrated that LimHSP16.45 can act as a protein chaperone, protecting pollen mother cells and tapetal cells against extreme temperatures [16], [28]. To examine further its functioning and...
molecular mechanism under abiotic stresses, we transformed **LimHSP16.45-GFP** into *Arabidopsis* as our model system. Our goal here was to investigate how its overexpression influences plant tolerance to stress and to improve our overall understanding of the roles played by smHSPs during the stress response.

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethics Statement

In our research, David Lily was planted in field of Lanzhou University, and we have got permission of Lanzhou University for our study.

#### Total RNA Isolation, Quantitative Real-time PCR, and Statistical Analysis of David Lily

Floral buds were collected from David Lily in the late zygotene to pachytene stages (12–13 mm). Plants had been exposed for 4 h at 4°C or 45°C (low/high temperature stress) or else treated for 7 d with high salt (100 mM NaCl), oxidative stress (1 mM H₂O₂), or osmotic stress (250 mM mannitol). Their total RNA was then isolated from the anthers with Trizol Reagent. After treatment with RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, 0.5 to 1.0 μg of total RNA was used for qRT-PCR (forward primer 5’-GGATTCGAAGTG-3’ and reverse primer 5’-ATCTCAATGGCCTTTGGCTC-3’). Assays were performed in triplicate, and the data were expressed as means ± standard errors.

#### Generation of Constructs and Transformation of *Arabidopsis*

The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter, plus cDNA of **LimHSP16.45** and a **GFP** sequence were inserted into the pBI101.2 binary vector to produce 35S::LimHSP16.45-GFP fusions. The construct was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and subsequently transformed into wild-type (WT) *Arabidopsis* (‘Col-0’) plants by the floral-dip method [29]. As the control, an empty vector was inserted into WT *Arabidopsis*. Expression was then monitored in the leaves, roots, stems, and anthers.

---

**Figure 1.** **LimHSP16.45** expression profiles for David Lily under abiotic stresses. qRT-PCR analysis of anthers after 4 h at 4°C or 45°C, or after exposure for 7 d to 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM H₂O₂, or 250 mM mannitol. *Indicates significant groups, compared with the control (p < 0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082264.g001

**Figure 2.** Vector construction and localization of over-expressed **LimHSP16.45-GFP** in *Arabidopsis*. A, Structure of over-expressed LimHSP16.45-GFP fusion protein. B, RT-PCR assay of LimHSP16.45-GFP in over-expressing *Arabidopsis*. cDNA was amplified from WT plants and transgenic Lines OE1, 2, 3, and 4. 18S rRNA was loaded as control. LimHSP16.45-GFP was highly expressed in apical meristems of roots (D), stems (E), and anthers (F). Protein was localized to membrane (G) and endomembrane system (H). C, empty-vector control for D–H. Bar = 100 μm (C–F); = 50 μm (G, H). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082264.g002
Arabidopsis seedlings were grown on a full-strength Murashige and Skoog [30] (MS; pH 5.8) that was supplemented with 1% (w/v) Suc and 1× Gamborg’s vitamins. Seeds were first surface-sterilized with a 20% (v/v) bleach solution, then washed thoroughly with sterile water and placed on MS plates solidified with 1.0% agar. After incubation at 4°C for 2 d in darkness, the seedlings were oriented vertically for growth under a 16-h photoperiod at 22°C. To evaluate the stress tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis that constitutively expresses LimHSP16.45-GFP during germination, we placed approximately 50 surface-sterilized seeds each from WT plants and transgenics (T3 generation) on triplicate plates. The MS media was supplemented with or without NaCl, mannitol, or H2O2. The seeds were incubated at 4°C for 2 d before being placed at 22°C under a 16-h photoperiod. Germination rates or the extent of root elongation were scored every day for 7 to 14 d. To induce high-temperature stress, we incubated approximately 50 surface-sterilized seeds each from WT or transgenic (T3) plants at 4°C for 2 d, then exposed them to 45°C for 1 to 2 h before placing them on an MS medium. Germination rates were scored every day for 7 d, and each experiment was performed at least three times.

RNA Preparation and RT-PCR of Arabidopsis

Total RNA was obtained from 2-week-old seedling tissues, using an RNA isolation kit (TaKaRa). Samples of each tissue type (1 µg) were digested with RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa) for reverse transcription (RT) with M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, CA, USA). After a 1:10 dilution was made, 1 µL of the synthesized cDNA was used for RT-PCR. For analysis expression profiles of LimHSP16.45-GFP, forward primer is LimHSP16.45-specific, and reverse primer is GFP-specific (forward primer 5'-GGATTC-GAAGTTCGAAGTG-3', and reverse primer 5'-CCATGC-CATGTGTAATCCCA-3'). Conditions included 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1.5 min; followed by a final 72°C for 5 min. Afterward, gels were run to assay for expression by each independent transgenic line, and 18S rRNA was run as control.

Microscopy and Image Analysis

Fluorescent specimens were observed with a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 510 META laser-scanning fluorescence microscope) equipped with an epifluorescence UV light filter set. To detect GFP, we used a 488-nm excitation level and a BP 505–530 filter.
Preparation of Crude Extract and Assays of Enzymatic Activity

Fresh leaves (0.2 g) from *Arabidopsis* were ground to fine powder in liquid nitrogen, and suspended in cold 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1 mM dithiotreitol and 5 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA). After the lysate was centrifuged (16,000 g, 15 min, 4°C), the supernatant was recovered and kept on ice. The assay for superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was performed according to methods of Beyer and Fridovich [31]. Using a 3-mL reaction mixture composed of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.1 mM EDTA, 13 mM methionine, 75 mM NBT, and 50 μL of lysate, we added 2 mM lactochrome to start the reaction. Absorbance was measured at 560 nm. Activity by ascorbate peroxidase (APX) was determined following the H2O2-dependent oxidation of ascorbic acid (ASC) at 290 nm in a 3-mL reaction mixture comprising 0.3 mM ASC, 0.1 mM H2O2, 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), and 50 μL of lysate. The assay for catalase (CAT) activity followed the protocol of Beaumont et al. [32], which monitored the dismutation of H2O2 at 240 nm in a 3-mL reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 10 mM H2O2, and 50 μL of lysate.

**Results**

**Expression of LimHSP16.45 is Induced by Various Abiotic Stresses in David Lily**

Expression of smHSPs can be induced by heat, cold, or other abiotic stresses. Our previous study showed that LimHSP16.45 protects pollen mother cells and tapetal cells against extreme temperatures during the late zygotene to pachytene stages of meiotic prophase I [16], [28]. Therefore, to investigate the relationship between expression and stress, we performed qRT-PCR with anther tissues from David Lily during those developmental stages. Treatments included 4 h at either 4°C or 45°C, or 7 d of exposure to 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM H2O2, or 250 mM mannitol. Expression was significantly increased in response to either temperature extreme, as well as high salt and oxidative stresses (Fig. 1). However, no significant difference in expression was seen after osmotic stress. These results indicated that LimHSP16.45 has roles in plant responses to multiple abiotic stresses.

**LimHSP16.45-GFP is Constitutively Expressed in Transgenic *Arabidopsis*, and is Localized to the Cell Membrane and Endomembrane System**

We constructed a vector for the over-expressed LimHSP16.45-GFP fusion protein, driven by the 35S promoter (Fig. 2A). After transformation of the construct into *Arabidopsis* and expression of the fusion protein, we obtained four independent transgenic lines for LimHSP16.45-GFP overexpression (OE1, OE2, OE3, and OE4). Expression was higher in OE1 and OE2 compared to OE3 and OE4 (Fig. 2B). LimHSP16.45-GFP was constitutively expressed, with more fluorescence being detected in the apical meristems of roots (Fig. 2D), stems (Fig. 2E), and anthers (Fig. 2F). Intracellularly, LimHSP16.45 was localized to the membrane and endomembrane system (Fig. 2G, H). Expression was greater in the stomatal guard cells than in other epidermic cells of the leaves from transgenic *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 3G), suggesting that LimHSP16.45 maybe has a role in stomatal regulation.
Expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP is Induced by Abiotic Stress, and Heat Shock Granules (HSGs) are Formed under Heat or Salinity

Expression of Lim-HSP16.45 in David Lily was significantly increased when plants were exposed to either low or high temperatures, high salt, or oxidative stress. When compared with the untreated controls (Fig. 3A), the fluorescence intensity of LimHSP16.45-GFP in transgenic Arabidopsis was enhanced when seedlings were treated for 1 h at 45°C (Fig. 3B, C), or when exposed for 7 d to 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 3D) or 2 mM H2O2 (Fig. 3E). In addition, HSGs were produced in transgenic roots in response to heat or high salt (Fig. 3B, D), as well as in the anthers even when no stress treatment had been applied (Fig. 3F). We speculated that LimHSP16.45 forms oligomers that have a physiological function under temperature or salinity stress.

Heterologous Expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP Improves the Viability of Arabidopsis Cells under High Temperature Stress or High Salt Stress

In David Lily, LimHSP16.45 is highly expressed during the late zygote to pachytene stages of meiotic prophase I in pollen mother cells and tapetal cells of David Lily, and its expression can also be induced by heat or cold [16]. To evaluate its possible in vivo functioning in heat-stressed transgenic Arabidopsis, we monitored seed germination for the WT and transgenic Lines OE 1 and OE 3, which over-expressed LimHSP16.45-GFP. Seeds were treated at 45°C for 1 h (Fig. 4A, C) or 2 h (Fig. 4B) prior to germination, and observations were recorded for the next 1 to 7 d. Not only did typical HSGs form (Fig. 3B), but also the germination rate rose in response to this heat stimulus. These data demonstrated that the transgenic lines had greater cell viability compared with the control, suggesting that overexpression of LimHSP16.45 has an important influence on the plant response to high temperature stress.

Expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP was stimulated by treatment with 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 1, 3D), and HSGs formed after 7 d of stress (Fig. 3D). Therefore, we examined germination rates in transgenic lines OE1 and OE3 and in the WT after 1 to 7 d of treatment with 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 5A, C) or 150 mM NaCl (Fig. 5B). Under this stress, LimHSP16.45 improved germination, implying that it has a physiological function in response to salinity.

Figure 5. Effects of LimHSP16.45-GFP overexpression on tolerance to high salt stress in Arabidopsis. Germination rates were calculated for WT and transgenic Lines OE1 and OE3 after 1–7 d exposure to 100 mM NaCl (A) or 150 mM NaCl (B). C, Ten-day-old seedlings of OE1, OE3, and WT growing in MS media containing 100 mM NaCl.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082264.g005
Overexpression of LimHSP16.45-GFP Induces more SOD and CAT Activity in Transgenic Plants than in the WT

In plants, various abiotic stresses lead to the overproduction of reactive oxygen species. These toxic ROS can damage proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and DNA, ultimately resulting in oxidative stress. As part of their antioxidant machinery, plants possess a very efficient system of enzymes that work in concert to control the cascades of uncontrolled oxidation, scavenge for ROS, and protect cells from oxidative damage. Here, we monitored enzymatic activities and found that SOD and CAT levels were higher in the transgenic lines than in the WT after high temperature stress (Fig. 6A, 6B). So, we thought that there are some kinds of relationships between overexpression of LimHSP16.45 and stimulation of the activity of ROS-scavenging enzymes. Although APX activity was also detected, no significant differences in its level were noted between the transgenics and WT (data not shown).

Heterologous Expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP Enhances the Viability of Arabidopsis Cells under Oxidative Stress

Although expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP was stimulated by treatment with 1 mM H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 1, 3E), oxidative stress did not prompt the formation of HSGs. After 14 d of exposure to 1 mM or 2 mM H$_2$O$_2$, germination rates did not differ significantly among lines OE1 and OE3 and the WT. However, the roots of transgenic seedlings were significantly longer than those of the WT (Fig. 7A, B). This demonstrated that heterologous expression of LimHSP16.45 can increase seed viability and enhance Arabidopsis tolerance to oxidative stress.

Discussion

The Localization and Expression Pattern for LimHSP16.45 Indicate its Function in Plants against Abiotic Stresses

LimHSP16.45-GFP is heterologously expressed in the cell membrane and endomembrane system of transgenic Arabidopsis. Its amino acid sequence shares very high identity (75.22%) with other plant smHSPs, with the greatest level of homology occurring in the ACD domain [16]. As a molecule chaperone, this domain assists in stabilizing unfolding proteins because of its propensity to associate with denaturing proteins [33–34]. Therefore, we speculated that LimHSP16.45, accumulating in the membrane structure, could help avoid ruptures caused there by protein denaturation. LimHSP16.45-GFP was constitutively expressed in the leaves of transgenic Arabidopsis, with transcripts being more abundant in the stomatal guard cells than in other epidermic cells. Zou et al. [35] have reported that HSP1 interacts with CPK10 and regulates ABA- and Ca$^{2+}$-mediated stomatal movements in response to drought. Our findings of strong expression in the guard cells suggest that LimHSP16.45 could also be involved in regulating stomatal movements when water is limiting. Thus, expression of smHSPs might be induced by heat, cold, and other abiotic stresses, as also demonstrated by our results with LimHSP16.45 in stressed plants of David Lily and transgenic Arabidopsis. All of these data provide evidence that this protein has an important role in plant long-term tolerance to abiotic stresses. In this work, we used 35S promoter for construction of LimHSP16.45-GFP, so induction of LimHSP16.45-GFP in transgenic Arabidopsis by abiotic stresses could be a consequence of effect on post-transcriptional and/or post-translational effects.

LimHSP16.45 is Recruited to Form HSGs under High Temperature or Salinity Stresses

In our transgenic Arabidopsis, HSGs formed in response to high temperature and salt exposure. These HSGs are aggregates of electron-dense cytoplasmic particles that contain smHSPs and HSP70 families, and they are found in almost all tissues and plant species [36–39]. This formation follows a specific assembly process, in which HSP CII is a prerequisite for stress-induced auto-aggregation and the recruitment of smHSP into HSGs [40]. In plants, most smHSPs are oligomers; our fluorescence observations of HSGs under stress were in accord with theoretical predictions. Therefore, we could conclude that LimHSP16.45 combines with the membrane as a monomer under normal conditions. However, as seen with our temperature- or salt-stressed transgenic Arabidopsis, this protein is then released to the cytoplasm to form oligomers that bind to substrate proteins as molecular chaperones. In addition, we observed HSG formation in the anthers of transgenic Arabidopsis even in the absence of any abiotic stress. We had previously shown with David Lily that LimHSP16.45 protects the pollen mother cells and tapetal cells against damage from extreme temperatures [16]. Therefore, this protein likely has an important function during specific stages of anther development.

LimHSP16.45 Overexpression Enhances Cell Viability in Arabidopsis under Abiotic Stresses

Because plants are sessile organisms, they cannot escape unfavorable environmental situations. Therefore, their accumulation of smHSPs may indicate a special role to ensure survival under adverse conditions and during their post-stress recovery period [12]. In vivo, these smHSPs can act as molecular

Figure 6. Enzyme activities in response to high temperature stress. Activities of SOD (A) and CAT (B) were higher in Lines OE1 and OE3 than in WT, with or without stress application. *Indicates significant differences between the transgenics and WT (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082264.g006
chaperones to bind partially denatured proteins, thus preventing irreversible protein inactivation and aggregation, and subsequently enhancing thermotolerance [33], [41]. Our earlier data demonstrated that LimHSP16.45 has molecular chaperone activity for luciferase, and can help in luciferase refolding after heat-shock treatment [16].

In the current study, we found that LimHSP16.45-GFP overexpression was induced by various abiotic stresses. This led us to investigate further how its heterologous expression affects plant stress tolerance. Here, the germination rate for Arabidopsis seed was increased upon exposure to high temperature or salinity. Moreover, the roots of transgenic lines were longer than those of the WT following H2O2 treatment. These data demonstrated that our transgenic lines had greater cell viability compared with the control under high temperature, salinity, or oxidative stress. We have already reported that ectopic expression of LimHSP16.45 enhances the viability of Escherichia coli cells exposed to high or low temperatures, and that it can guard pollen mother cells and tapetal cells against extreme temperatures in David Lily [16]. Therefore, we conclude that LimHSP16.45 protects cells against harsh environmental conditions during many life stages.

**LimHSP16.45 Enhances Tolerance to Abiotic Stresses by Stimulating the Activity of ROS-Scavenging Enzymes**

In plants, various abiotic stresses lead to ROS overproduction, which can damage proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and DNA [42]. Superoxide dismutase is the most effective intracellular enzymatic antioxidant. Ubiquitous in all aerobic organisms and in all subcellular compartments prone to ROS-mediated oxidative stress, SOD provides the first line of defense against the toxic effects of elevated ROS levels [42]. Catalases are tetrameric heme-containing enzymes with the potential to dismutate H2O2 directly into H2O and O2. These CATs are indispensable for ROS-detoxification during stressful periods [43–44]. Finally, APX is thought to have the most essential role in scavenging ROS and protecting cells in higher plants [45–46]. Here, in the presence of heat, SOD and CAT activities were higher in the transgenic lines than in the WT. Thus, overexpression of ROS-scavenging enzymes.
enzymes, e.g., isoforms of SOD and CAT, enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses in transgenic Arabidopsis because of its efficient capacity for ROS-scavenging. Thus, model species that can scavenge and/or control the levels of cellular ROS will be useful in future efforts to develop plants that can withstand harsh environmental conditions.

In summary, we showed here that heterologous expression of LimHSP16.45-GFP protects plants against high temperature, high salt, and oxidative stresses. This is accomplished in two ways. First, LimHSP16.45 prevents irreversible protein aggregation and maintains denatured proteins, working as a molecular chaperone. Second, abiotic stress tolerance is enhanced through the overexpression of ROS-scavenging enzymes such as SOD and CAT. Because of its strong expression in the guard cells, we believe that LimHSP16.45 probably can regulate stomatal movement during times of drought. Sato and Yokoya [6] have already shown that overexpression of a smallHSP can improve drought tolerance in rice. Therefore, future studies should investigate whether overexpression of LimHSP16.45 can enhance the tolerance of David Lily against various abiotic stresses.
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