Quantitative analysis of genome packaging in recombinant AAV vectors by charge detection mass spectrometry
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Recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) has emerged as an important gene therapy vector with many clinical trials currently in progress. Analytical characterization and quantification of particle content remain challenges in both the development and production of rAAV vectors. In this study, charge detection mass spectrometry (CDMS) and gel electrophoresis are used to characterize the DNA content of recombinant AAV8 (rAAV8) vectors with a wide range of target genome sizes. We show that the differences between the masses of empty particles and particles with the genome of interest (GOI) are correlated with the expected genome mass. A small systematic deviation (around 2%) is attributed to the packaging of counterions along with the DNA. In addition to the GOI, a broad distribution of heterogeneous DNA is packaged. The distribution peaks are close to the packaging capacity of the rAAV8 vectors. There is also evidence for the co-packaging of small DNA fragments along with the GOI. Finally, we present evidence that incubation at an elevated temperature can reduce the heterogeneity of the packaged DNA. Taken together, these results show that CDMS is a viable tool for characterization of the packaged genome.

INTRODUCTION

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) is a small, non-enveloped, single-stranded DNA virus, around 25 nm in diameter.1,2 Wild-type AAV (wtAAV) packages approximately 4.7 kb3 and requires a helper virus, such as adenovirus or baculovirus, to replicate.4 Recombinant AAV5–6 is a promising gene therapy vector, with two AAV-based treatments currently US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved and many ongoing clinical trials.7–6 The AAV capsid contains three proteins (VP1, VP2, and VP3) generated by alternative initiation. All three share the VP3 sequence, VP2 adds an N-terminal domain, and VP1 consists of the VP2 sequence plus a further N-terminal domain.3 For capsids derived from HEK293 cells the ratios of VP1–VP3 are around 1:1:10.9–12 The AAV capsid has a total of 60 capsid proteins arranged in pseudo-icosahedral symmetry.13–15

The packaging capacity of AAV vectors is generally considered to be less than around 5 kb.16,17 There have been several reports of larger transgene delivery.18–20 However, further studies showed that this was due to either incompletely assembled particles or to the intracellular reassembly of gene fragments.20–23 Single-stranded genomes of both + and – strands are packaged with equal frequency.24 The detailed mechanism of genome packaging is still the subject of debate.25 Packaging occurs primarily from the 3′ end into a preassembled capsid through a pore at a 5-fold symmetry axis.26,27 When the genome exceeds the packaging capacity, packaging proceeds until the capsid is full and then unpackaged DNA is truncated by cellular nucleases.28 Both wtAAV particles and recombinant AAV (rAAV) vectors can package incomplete genomes even when the genome length is less than the packaging capacity.29–31 Several strategies have been developed to express transgenes that exceed the packaging capacity.32–34

The preparation of clinical grade AAV vectors requires methods to generate, purify, and characterize them.35 The traditional production method is through DNA plasmid transfection of HEK293 cells.36 AAV production using insect Sf9 cell lines (baculovirus helper) allows for highly scalable suspension growth cultures.37,38 Baculovirus synthesized proteins undergo post-translational modifications and have similar biological activity to proteins synthesized in mammalian cells. However, there remain issues with the generation of fully functional mammalian AAV viruses in insect cell lines.39

Characterizing rAAV vectors is challenging because their size places them well beyond the range of traditional analytical methods and technologies, including high-resolution mass spectrometry, that are usually used to characterize and confirm the identity of small-molecule or traditional biotherapeutic pharmaceutical products (i.e., monoclonal antibodies and recombinant proteins).40 There are several issues that are unique to rAAV vectors. First, the relative abundances of VP1–VP3 in each capsid are thought to be stochastic,41,42 and the resulting heterogeneity complicates analytical characterization of rAAV products. Low abundances of VP1, in particular, have been
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and heterogeneous samples, the loss of charge state resolution in the measured m/z (mass-to-charge ratio) spectrum has become a critical stumbling block. Thus, the intrinsic heterogeneity of rAAV vectors has precluded the widespread use of conventional MS for the analysis of intact AAV vectors.\cite{61} Charge detection MS (CDMS),\cite{62, 63} where the m/z and charge are directly measured for each ion, can overcome this limitation.\cite{64} Recent advances have allowed for the full characterization of rAAV samples with high throughput and minimal sample consumption (< 20 μL) at relatively low titers (10^10 viral particles [vp]/mL).

Here, we report studies of rAAV8 control vectors containing a variety of target genome lengths produced in insect cells using baculovirus expression. CDMS and gel electrophoresis are used to characterize the genome content. We find evidence that the particles have packaged a broad distribution of heterogeneous DNA in addition to the genome of interest (GOI). The peak in the distribution of heterogeneous DNA is close to the packaging capacity. The results point to the value of additional characterization tools for the DNA content of rAAV vectors.

**RESULTS**

AAV8 vectors were purchased from Virovek where they were prepared in insect cells using baculovirus expression. The promoters and genomes for each vector along with the total number of bases (including the [inverted terminal repeats ITRs]) are summarized in Table 1. Figure 1A shows a CDMS spectrum measured for empty AAV8. The main peak is centered on a mass of around 3.7 MDa, with a high mass tail that extends to beyond 4 MDa (see inset). The sequence masses of VP1–VP3 for AAV8 are 81,667, 66,692, and 59,805 Da, respectively. As noted above, the VP1–VP3 ratio for capsids derived from HEK293 cells is around 1:1:10.\cite{65, 66} Capsids derived from baculovirus expression in insect cell lines appear to be less heterogeneous.\cite{67} However, the ratios are not well established, and we use the 1:1:10 ratio as a starting point here. With this ratio, the expected mass of the capsid is 3.732 MDa, which is close to the mass of the main peak in Figure 1A.

The individual capsids in a sample do not have the same VP1–VP3 ratio. The copy numbers in each capsid are expected to follow a multinomial distribution,\cite{68} and the presence of three capsid proteins in different ratios leads to a heterogeneous mass distribution. The CDMS spectrum in Figure 1A was recorded with a trapping time of 100 ms, which is too short to allow for charge state resolution, and different ratios lead to a heterogeneous mass distribution. The orange line in the inset of Figure 1A shows the calculated peak shape considering the resolution and heterogeneity.

Charge and mass are independent quantities in CDMS, and structural information can be obtained by correlating them. Large ions generated by electrospray are thought to be produced by the charge residue mechanism,\cite{69} where a water droplet deposits its charge on
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**Table 1. Promoter and genome for the AAV8 vectors studied in this work**

| Promoter and genome | No. of bases | Expected mass (MDa) |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Empty               | 0            | 0                   |
| CMV-CRE             | 2,219        | 0.683               |
| CMV-GFP             | 2,544        | 0.783               |
| CMV-mCherry         | 2,784        | 0.857               |
| CAG-GFP             | 2,876        | 0.886               |
| CAG-mCherry         | 2,895        | 0.892               |
| EF1α-GFP            | 3,458        | 1.065               |
| CBA-GFP             | 4,354        | 1.341               |
| CMV-SaCas9          | 4,844        | 1.492               |

*From average of sized + and − strands.*
the analyte as it evaporates away. Thus, the charge reflects an ion’s size, and for a given mass, a higher charge indicates a larger or more extended structure. Figure 1B shows a charge versus mass scatter-plot for the mass distribution shown in Figure 1A. Each point in this plot represents a measurement for a single ion. The cluster of ions at around 3.7 MDa and 150 e (elementary charges) is due to the empty AAV8 capsid. There are several smaller clusters at higher mass and higher charge. The cluster at around 6.4 MDa (that comprises around 1.7% of the total) lacks a definitive assignment. It may be due to a peanut-like geometry where two partial capsids have fused. The clusters at around 7.4 MDa (~3.8%) are attributed to dimers of empty AAV8. A small cluster at around 11 MDa (~0.8%) is due to the trimer, and an even smaller cluster at around 15 MDa could be due to the tetramer. Note that the dimer at 7.4 MDa has two charge populations centered on around 225 and 300 e; these probably result from different dimer structures with the more highly charged cluster (around 20% of the dimer population) having a larger or more extended structure.

Figure 1C shows portions of the CDMS spectra measured for AAV8 particles with the genomes given in Table 1. The blue dashed lines in Figure 1C show the expected masses of AAV8 capsids that have packaged single genomes (calculated using the mass of the empty capsid from above and genome masses from Table 1). The CMV-CRE genome is small enough (2.22 kb) that two genomes could be packaged. The expected locations of the peaks due to AAV8 particles with two genomes are shown by the green dashed lines in Figure 1C. For CMV-CRE, there are peaks in the CDMS spectrum at masses that are slightly larger than the expected masses for capsids with one genome and two genomes. In both cases, the measured peaks are quite broad. The peak attributed to one genome is more abundant than the peak that could result from the packaging of two genomes.

The CDMS spectrum measured for CMV-GFP also shows two peaks. The peak at around 4.5 MDa is at a slightly higher mass than expected for a single genome (blue dashed line). However, two CMV-GFP genomes (5.09 kb) are larger than the wild-type genome (4.7 kb), and in this case, the second, higher-mass peak occurs at a mass that is slightly less than expected for two genomes (green dashed line).

The CDMS spectra for CMV-mCherry, CAG-GFP, and CAG-mCherry (Figure 1C) all show two peaks, similar to the spectrum for CMV-GFP discussed above. As the size of the genome increases, the peak attributed to a single full genome shifts to a higher mass, closely tracking the expected mass (blue dashed lines). While the theoretical masses for vectors that have packaged two complete genomes also shift to a higher mass, the experimental observations demonstrate that the second, higher-mass peaks in Figure 1C have a consistent mass, suggesting that the capsid packaging capacity is playing a role. The red dashed line at 5.33 MDa in Figure 1C are guides indicating what appears to be the packaging capacity of the AAV8 capsid.
The EF1a-GFP genome (3.46 kb) is significantly longer than CAG-mCherry (2.90 kb), and a second higher-mass peak is no longer resolved. Instead, a shoulder extends to beyond the red dashed line at 5.33 MDa. The genome for CBA-GFP (4.35 kb) is significantly longer than EF1a-GFP and the shoulder has now largely disappeared, apparently subsumed into the main peak. For CMV-SaCas9, the main peak is sharper and shifted to a slightly higher mass than expected for a single full genome. In addition to the main peak, there are significant low-mass tails for EF1a-GFP, CBA-GFP, and CMV-SaCas9. Similar low mass tails are evident in Figure 1C for all genomes. In some cases, the tail extends to the mass expected for the empty capsid, although there is not a defined peak at this mass. The intermediate masses (i.e., between the empty capsid and particles with a single full genome) probably result from packaging of a partial genome. Representative negative stain TEM images for some AAV8 samples are given in supplemental information where information about genome packaging deduced from the images is compared to the CDMS mass distributions.

The charge can provide structural information. We discussed an example above where the empty capsid dimer had two charge populations that were attributed to different geometries. For capsids where it appears that extra DNA is being packaged, the charge can provide information about the location of the DNA. If some of the DNA was outside the capsid the charge would be significantly larger than if the DNA were fully internalized. Figure 2 shows charge versus mass scatterplots for several representative genomes (CMV-CRE, CAG-GFP, and CBA-GFP). For CMV-CRE, the cluster of ions at around 4.5 MDa is assigned to particles that have packaged a single complete genome, and the cluster at around 5.2 MDa is assigned to particles that have packaged extra DNA, potentially two genomes. There is also a low-intensity, low-mass tail that extends to the mass of the empty particles (3.7 MDa). Despite the substantial mass increase from empty particles to particles with one full genome and then to particles with possibly two genomes, the charge increases only slightly. The increase is consistent with a small expansion of the capsid, suggesting that the DNA is fully internalized both when a single genome is packaged and when extra DNA, possibly two genomes, is packaged. A similar conclusion is reached from the CAG-GFP results in Figure 2. In this case, the cluster at around 4.7 MDa is due to the packaging of a single genome, and the cluster at around 5.2 MDa has packaged extra DNA. However, for CAG-GFP the high mass cluster cannot be due to the packaging of two complete genomes. There is also a significant cluster of ions at around 4.3 MDa that we attribute to particles with a partial genome. The clusters of ions due to packaging of a partial genome, a single genome, and the extra DNA all have similar charges, suggesting that the genomes are internalized. Finally, for CBA-GFP, the main cluster of ions at around 5.2 MDa is due to particles with a single genome and there is a long tail to lower mass attributable to particles with partial genomes. In this case, the genome is large enough that there is no evidence for the packaging of extra DNA. The charges for the main cluster of ions at around 5.2 MDa and for the low mass tail are comparable to the charges for CMV-CRE and CAG-GFP genomes and are consistent with a fully internalized genome.

The CDMS measurements for particles that have packaged smaller genomes show features that indicate that extra DNA is being packaged. There are at least two plausible explanations for the extra DNA. It could be due to the packaging of an additional GOI. In cases where packaging of two GOIs takes the particle beyond its capacity, the second GOI would be truncated. Alternatively, it could be due to unknown DNA sequences that have been packaged up to the particle capacity and then possibly truncated. To distinguish between these two scenarios, we used gel electrophoresis to probe for single-stranded and double-stranded DNA (ssDNA and dsDNA).

Figure 3 shows the results of alkaline gel measurements that target ssDNA. For each sample, lanes with (+) and without (−) pretreatment
with DNase I are shown. Overall, the results have a lot in common with the CDMS spectra in Figure 1C. The main bands track the expected genome size, and the five smaller genomes (CMV-CRE, CMV-GFP, CMV-mCherry, CAG-GFP, and CAG-mCherry) that show a high mass peak in Figure 1C all have a second, lower-intensity band with a longer genome length in Figure 3. For some genomes there is a broad, low-intensity distribution at DNA lengths less than the GOI, which could correspond to intensity observed in Figure 1C between the masses of the empty capsid and particles with a single GOI. If the second higher-mass peak observed for CAG-mCherry in Figure 1C was due to the packaging of a full and a partial GOI, then a second band would be expected in Figure 3 at around 5.0 – 2.9 = 2.1 kb for the partial GOI, which is not observed. Similarly, there are no bands at the expected partial lengths of around 2.12 and 2.22 kb for CAG-GFP and CMV-mCherry, respectively. Thus, the results in Figure 3 appear to rule out the conjecture that the higher-mass peaks for the five smaller genomes result mainly from packaging a GOI plus a second whole or partial GOI. A densitometry analysis of the alkaline gels and a comparison to the CDMS intensities is provided in Supplemental information.

The relative abundances of the higher-mass peaks/bands and the GOI peaks/bands are in pleasingly good agreement considering the drastically different nature of the measurements.

Figure 4 shows the results of TapeStation measurements that target dsDNA that result from base pairing in solution following disruption of the AAV capsids. AAV is expected to package both + (sense) and – (antisense) strands of DNA. The + and – strands hybridize to each other based on sequence complementarity when they are released from the capsid after heat denaturation. Three lanes are associated with each sample in Figure 4, corresponding to with (+) and without (–) DNase I pretreatment, and with DNase I pretreatment and purification (+ see Materials and methods). The main bands in Figure 4 track the expected genome size; however, note that there are no distinctive bands corresponding to lengths longer than the GOI for the five smallest genomes. Recall that bands were observed in the alkaline gels (that target ssDNA) for DNA lengths up to twice as long as the GOI. The absence of similar features here suggests that the longer lengths are largely heterogeneous species and do not base pair to a significant extent. In Figure 3, there are no prominent bands corresponding to lengths shorter than the GOI. There are, however, some bands corresponding to lengths shorter than the GOI in Figure 4. In particular, CAG-GFP, CAG-mCherry, and CBA-GFP show weaker intensity bands at less than the GOI. However, weak bands are also observed at

Many of the peaks for the packaged particles (Figure 1C) are broader and shifted to a higher mass than expected. The peak for the empty capsid (Figure 1A) also has a significant high mass tail. We hypothesized that the excess mass could be due to small ssDNA fragments. We tried several approaches to remove the postulated impurities, including additional size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) cycles, dialysis using a variety of conditions, and heat incubation. Heat incubation provided the best results, leading to a significant narrowing of the peaks.

Figure 5 shows CDMS mass distribution recorded for EF1a-GFP before and after incubation at 65°C. The sample was incubated in a water bath for 15 min and then quenched in ice for 1 minute prior to solvent exchange into 200 mM ammonium acetate by SEC. After incubation, the peak due to the GOI narrows and shifts to a slightly lower mass. The black vertical line is a guide to help illustrate this behavior. The orange line in Figure 5 shows the expected peak width, considering capsid heterogeneity and instrumental resolution. A narrowing of the peak and a shift to a slightly lower mass occurred for the empty particles and for most of the other genomes listed in Table 1. A number of previous studies have reported on temperature-induced changes in AAV vectors.69–72 A more detailed accounting of the CDMS measurements will be reported elsewhere. We mention these results here because we use the masses from the heat-treated samples below.

Upon incubation, the center mass of the empty capsid shifted from 3.710 to 3.678 MDa. The true mass is probably slightly less than this value because of counterions and residual salt, which are known
to increase the mass slightly (around 0.3%–0.5%) under the native electrospray conditions used here. The sequence mass for a 1:1:10 ratio of VP1–VP3 is 3.732 MDa. Note, however, that post-translational modifications73 (including truncation of VP1 and VP2)74 could affect the mass. Thus, a measured mass slightly less than the sequence mass could be explained by N-terminal processing or by lower levels of VP1 and/or VP2 than the expected 1:1:10 ratio. Vectors derived from recombinant baculovirus using insect cell lines have been found to incorporate lower levels of VP1 than capsids prepared in mammalian cell lines.66

The mass of the genome can be deduced from the difference between the measured masses of the empty and full capsids. The resulting genome masses are plotted against the calculated sequence masses (Table 1) as the red points in Figure 6. We used the masses determined after incubation. The dashed black line in Figure 6 is a guide showing the expected behavior when the measured genome mass equals the sequence mass. The measured genome masses are 1%–3% larger than sequence masses. The solid red line is a least squared fit to the points. The line has an intercept of \( \frac{i}{C_0} \) and a slope of \( \frac{1}{C_0} \). The excess mass may be due, at least partly, to counterions associated with the ssDNA. The calculated sequence masses used in Figure 6 are for DNA with ionized backbone phosphate groups, and there may be counterions associated with them. It is also common in ssDNA and ssRNA viruses for basic sites inside the capsid to neutralize some of the charge.75,76

To better understand the results in Figure 6, consider a situation where the internal surface of the capsid has \( i \) basic sites. In the empty capsid there are probably counterions associated with each basic site. The average mass of these counter ions is \( m^- \). Assume the genome has \( j \) nucleotides and the average mass of each ionized nucleotide is \( m_N \). There is a counterion associated with each nucleotide that is not neutralized by the basic sites on the inside of the capsid. The average mass of these counter ions is \( m^+ \). If the average mass of the empty capsid without counter ions is \( M \), then the average mass of the empty capsid with internal counter ions is

\[
M_E = M + (i \times m^-)
\]

and the average mass of the full capsid is

\[
M_F = M + (j \times m_N) + [(j - i) \times m^+] .
\]

The difference between the mass of the full and empty particles is

\[
M_F - M_E = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{m^+}{m_N} \right) \times (j \times m_N) \right] - [i \times (m^+ + m^-)] .
\]

According to this model, a plot of the difference between the full and empty particles \( (M_F - M_E) \) against the genome mass \( (j \times m_N) \) has a slope of \( (1 + (m^+ / m_N)) \) and an intercept of \(- (i \times (m^+ + m^-)) \). Thus, the slope reflects the mass of the counterions associated with the DNA and the intercept reflects the number of basic sites on the inside of the capsid.

The slope is expected to be 1.075 if all of the counter ions are \( \text{Na}^+ \), 1.059 for \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), 1.039 for \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \), and 1.003 for \( \text{H}^+ \) (i.e., unionized). While it is not possible to draw a conclusion about the nature of the counterions, the measured slope (1.041) is in the expected range. The small negative intercept (0.016 MDa) indicates that the number of excess basic sites per capsid protein is small (~3), which is consistent with the known structure of the AAV8 capsid.77 These results support the idea that counterions are mainly responsible for the small
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**Figure 4. TapeStation measurements of dsDNA from AAV8 vectors**

Three measurements are shown for each genome in Table 1: –, without DNase I; +, with DNase I; +*, with DNase I and purified (see Materials and methods for details). L, ladder; W, water. The green band at the bottom of the gel indicates the internal marker at 100 bp. GOI lengths are shown in parentheses.
Because the unincubated Virovek samples were so heterogeneous, we were interested in comparing the results to a sample that had been more extensively purified. Figure 7 shows CDMS results for the ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) AAV8 reference standard.\(^\text{78,79}\) The blue line in Figure 7A shows the measured mass distribution. The spectrum is dominated by a peak at close to the mass expected for AAV8 particles that have packaged a full genome. The dashed red line shows the expected mass distribution calculated considering the expected capsid heterogeneity and instrument resolution. The width of the measured peak is in good agreement with the expected peak width. The yellow line in Figure 7 shows the counts per ion and offset vertically. Only a few ions were detected with masses in the range expected for empty AAV8 (≈3.7 MDa), reflecting expectations from the closely matched capsid titer (5.50 \(\times\ 10^{11}\) particles/mL) and the genome titer (5.75 \(\times\ 10^{11}\) vg/mL) measured by the AAV8 working group.\(^\text{75}\) There is, however, a significant number of ions (around 14% of the total) with masses between the empty and full particles. These ions are presumably due to capsids that contain less than the full genome. There appear to be two components that must contain different amounts of DNA: a broad peak centered around 4.4 MDa and a shoulder at around 4.9 MDa. Because there is not a significant peak for the empty particle in Figure 7A, it is not possible to accurately compare the difference between the masses of the empty and full particles to the genome mass. However, we did observe that temperature cycling caused the main peak to shift to a lower mass by up to 40 kDa. This suggests that the capsids contain small lengths of extraneous DNA that is co-packaged with the GOI. Figure 7B shows the charge versus mass scatterplot for the AAV8 reference standard. This plot should be compared to the results in Figure 1B where there was evidence for several different types of higher-order multimers. No multimers were detected for the reference standard.

**DISCUSSION**

Quantification of empty/full ratios is the most basic AAV vector quality metric. From extensive studies with CDMS, this technology appears to be universally applicable to all AAV serotypes measured to date, including all natural AAV serotypes and many non-natural ones, and it provides an accurate and precise measurement of the empty/full ratio. In this work we show that CDMS can go beyond simple empty/full quantification of AAV vectors to provide information on the mass distribution of the packaged DNA as well as information about multimer populations. In addition, the charge provides orthogonal information about the structure, which in the present case shows that all of the DNA is internalized rather than being attached to the outside of the capsid.

All of the AAV vectors studied herein have a prominent peak at close to the mass expected for particles with a single GOI. For vectors where the length of the GOI is short compared to the length of the WT...
For unincubated samples the width of the peak attributed to the GOI is broader than the width of the peak due to the empty capsid. Temperature cycling the AAV samples sharpens the peaks in the CDMS mass distribution and causes them to shift to a slightly lower mass. These changes lead to measured masses in better agreement with expectations for the targeted GOI. After temperature cycling the measured genome masses (obtained by subtracting the measured mass of the empty particle from the measured mass of the particle with a genome) are 1%–3% larger than the sequence masses. This small difference can be accounted for by counterions packaged with the DNA. An underlying assumption of this analysis is that the capsid masses for the empty and full particles are identical or at least very similar (i.e., the relative amounts of VP1–VP3 are very similar for both the empty and full particles). This appears to be a good assumption, at least for the samples examined in the present study.

High-mass ions derived from solutions of electrospray-compatible salt solutions (such as ammonium acetate employed here) are known to have measured masses that are a fraction of a percent larger than the expected mass. The excess mass is attributed to counterions and residual solvent. The changes in the mass distributions on temperature cycling have a different origin because all samples were solvent exchanged into the same electrospray-compatible solution (ammonium acetate) after temperature cycling. We suspect that the high mass tail on the peak for the empty particles and the broad GOI peak widths are due to small DNA fragments from the host cells that are co-packaged along with the target genome. By temperature cycling, it is possible to remove some, but apparently not all, of the DNA fragments: we could not find conditions where the high mass tail for the empty particles was completely removed.

Given the heterogeneous nature of the DNA packaged into the capsids, a measurement focused exclusively on the empty/full ratio is insufficient to characterize the broad distribution of DNA incorporated into the particles studied here. Proper understanding of the packaged genome can be obtained by using additional techniques shown herein, such as CDMS, TapeStation, and gel electrophoresis, or alternative technologies that include next-generation sequencing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

AAV samples

The AAV8 vectors and empty AAV8 were purchased from Virovek where they were prepared using baculovirus expression in Sf9 insect cells. Empty AAV8 and the AAV8 vectors were purified by the manufacturer using ultracentrifugation with a CsCl gradient. The AAV8 reference standard material1,2,7 AAV8RSM (VR-1816) was purchased from ATCC. All samples were stored at −80°C. Stored aliquots were thawed at room temperature, and prior to electrospray 10- to 20-μL volumes were exchanged into 200 mM ammonium acetate (Honeywell 631-31-8) solution at pH 7.5 using Micro Bio-Spin columns (Bio-Rad, 7326221).

CDMS

CDMS allows mass distribution to be measured for heterogeneous and high molecular weight samples that are not accessible by conventional MS. In CDMS, the masses of individual ions are determined from simultaneous measurements of each ion’s m/z ratio and charge. Mass measurements are performed for thousands of ions and then the results are binned to yield a mass distribution. The homebuilt CDMS...
instrument employed here has been described previously.\textsuperscript{50–52} Samples were electrospayed using a commercial nanoelectrospray source (Advion TriVersa NanoMate), and the resulting ions enter the instrument through a metal capillary. The ions pass through several stages of differential pumping to separate them from the ambient gas that enters the capillary with the ions. They are then accelerated to a nominal energy of 100 eV/z and focused into a dual hemispherical deflection energy analyzer (HDA) that transmits a narrow band of ion energies centered on the nominal ion energy. Ions that pass through the HDA are focused into an electrostatic linear ion trap (ELIT), where trapped ions oscillate back and forth through a metal detection cylinder. When an ion enters the cylinder, it induces a charge that is detected by a charge sensitive preamplifier. The resulting signal is amplified, digitized, and analyzed using fast Fourier transforms.\textsuperscript{78} The oscillation frequency and magnitudes are related to the ion's mass and charge, respectively. Multiple ion events (where two or more ions are trapped simultaneously) and events where ions were trapped for the full trapping period were discarded. The uncertainty in the charge measurement scales with (trapping time)\textsuperscript{1/2} and, with the 100 ms trapping time employed here, the uncertainty (RMSD) is around 1 e. Note that this uncertainty is, to the first order, independent of the charge. Most CDMS spectra reported herein contain around 5,000 ions and took 20–40 min to measure.

**ssDNA measured by alkaline gel**

Two samples of each genome containing approximately 2.4 × 10\textsuperscript{11} copies of AAV vector genome were aliquoted. One sample was treated with DNase I (New England Biolabs) at 37°C. After 60 min, 5 mM EDTA (Sigma) was added to quench the reaction. 6× alkaline loading buffer (6 mg/mL xylene cyanol, 300 mM NaOH, 6 mM EDTA, 0.18 g/mL Ficoll) was then added to both samples, followed by heat treatment at 80°C for 10 min. The samples were then kept on ice until they were ready to be loaded onto the gel. 20 μL of the denatured samples was loaded onto 1% alkaline agarose gel (1% agarose, 50 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA). The denatured DNA was separated at 22 V, for 18 h at 2°C–8°C. The gel was agitated at 1× neutralization buffer (0.5 M Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 1 M NaCl) for 30 min, and then this procedure was repeated. The neutralized gel was stained with SYBR Gold (Invitrogen) in 1× TAE (Tris-acetate-EDTA) buffer (Bio-Rad) for 60 min and imaged using fluorescence mode with a blue filter (Bio-Rad Gel EZ Doc). An Invitrogen 1-kb Plus DNA Ladder (PN 10787026) was used for calibration.

**dsDNA measured by an Agilent Technologies TapeStation**

Three samples of each genome containing approximately 4 × 10\textsuperscript{11} copies of AAV vector genome were aliquoted. Two of the samples were treated with DNase I (New England Biolabs) at 37°C. After 60 min, 5 mM EDTA (Sigma) was added to quench the reaction. All three samples (DNase I treated and untreated) were then heated to 80°C for 10 min. Conversion of ssDNA to dsDNA was performed by transferring the samples to a water bath at 65°C, and then the water bath was turned off so that the temperature dropped to 40°C–43°C during the course of 60 min. The DNA in one of the samples treated with DNase I was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN). 2-μL samples of vector DNA and 10 μL of sample buffer (Agilent) were vortexed at 2,200 rpm for 1 min. Genomic DNA ScreenTape (Agilent, PN 5067-5365) and genomic DNA reagents (Agilent, PN 5067-5366) were used to measure the size of the dsDNA using an Agilent 4200 TapeStation.

**Negative-stain TEM**

TEM measurements were performed at Indiana University’s Electron Microscopy Center on a JEOL JEM 1010 microscope. EM samples were prepared on Formvar/carbon film copper grids that were glow discharged with a PELCO easiGlow glow discharger. 10 μL of sample was dried for 10 min before excess solvent was removed by blotting. Negative staining was done with 10 μL of 2% uranyl acetate with immediate removal. Images were collected with × 50,000 magnification.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2021.08.002.
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