Switching rates of multi-step reactions
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We consider a switching rate of a meta-stable reaction scheme, which includes reactions with arbitrary steps, e.g. $kA \rightarrow (k+r)A$. Employing WKB approximation, controlled by a large system size, we evaluate both the exponent and the pre-exponential factor for the rate. The results are illustrated on a number of examples.
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Since the celebrated work of Kramers on reaction-rate theory \textsuperscript{1}, much effort has been devoted to extending and generalizing his results, see Ref. \textsuperscript{2} for a review. Applications of this theory can be found in fields as diverse as high energy physics, nucleation, chemical kinetics, electric transport, diffusion in solids and population dynamics among many others. In this work we consider a switching rate in a generic reaction scheme, which admits more than one (quasi)stationary state.

A particular case of single-step reactions allows for an exact solution and is well-studied in the literature\textsuperscript{3,4} We thus concentrate on generic multi-step reactions. Although an exact solution is not known, a substantial progress may be achieved by adopting an analog of the quantum mechanical WKB approximation\textsuperscript{5,6,7}, controlled by a large system size. With an exponential accuracy it gives the switching rate as an exponentiated action of an auxiliary mechanical problem. Evaluation of the pre-exponential factor requires a matching of the quasi-stationary distribution (QSD) function, found in the WKB framework, with the constant current “behind the barrier” solution\textsuperscript{1,8}. The first consistent application of this strategy to a model reaction scheme was presented recently by Meerson and Sasorov\textsuperscript{9}. Here we generalize their approach to an arbitrary scheme with metastable states.

Consider a generic multi-step reaction scheme, where a state with $n$ particles may be transformed into a state with $n+r$ particles with the rate $W_r(n)$. Here $r$ is a set of integers not necessary equal $\pm 1$. The corresponding Master equation for the probability distribution $P_n(t)$ is

$$\partial_t P_n(t) = \sum_r [W_r(n-r)P_{n-r}(t) - W_r(n)P_n(t)] \quad = \sum_r (e^{-r\partial_n} - 1) W_r(n)P_n(t). \quad (1)$$

We focus on reactions which admit a QSD centered at $n = n_0$ and an unstable equilibrium (saddle point) at $n = n_s$. For definiteness we assume that $n_0 < n_s$. We also assume that both $n_0$ and $n_s$ scale in the same way with a large parameter $N \gg 1$, hereafter referred to as the system size, i.e. $n_{0,s} \sim N$. It is then convenient to pass to a scaling variable $q = n/N$ and separate the leading and the first subleading orders in $N$ in the corresponding reaction rates

$$W_r(n) = N w_r(q) + u_r(q) + O(1/N) \quad ; \quad q = n/N. \quad (2)$$

We seek for QSD in the form $P_n(t) = \pi(n)e^{-E_0 t}$, where $E_0 = 1/\tau$ is an exponentially small eigenvalue of the Master equation. In the rescaled coordinate the corresponding eigenvector may be sought in the WKB form

$$\pi(q) = \exp\{-NS(q) - S_1(q)\}. \quad (3)$$

Substituting this form in the Master equation (1) and keeping terms up to the order of $O(1)$, one finds

$$0 = \sum_r \left( N w_r + u_r \right) \times \left( e^{-S'} \left[ 1 - \frac{r^2}{2N} S'' + \frac{r}{N} S'_1 - \frac{r}{N} \frac{w'_r}{w_r} \right] - 1 \right), \quad (4)$$

where the primes denote derivatives with respect to rescaled reaction coordinate $q$. We have also took into account that the eigenvalue $E_0$ is expected to be exponentially small in $N$ (see below) and thus may be omitted.

In the order $N$ this equation acquires a form of the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H(q,S') = 0$, where the effective classical Hamiltonian takes the form\textsuperscript{6,8}

$$H(q,p) = \sum_r w_r(q) (e^{rp} - 1), \quad (5)$$

and we have denoted $S' = p$. Therefore to the order $N$ the problem is reduced to finding zero energy trajectories $p = p(q)$, such that $H(q,p(q)) = 0$, of a corresponding "mechanical" problem.

The phase portrait of a typical bistable reaction is plotted in Fig. 1. There are at least two appropriate zero energy trajectories: the relaxation trajectory $p = 0$ and the activation trajectory $p = p_a(q)$, see Fig. 1. The classical equation of motion along the relaxation path $\dot{q} = H_p(q,0) = \sum_r r w_r(q)$ is nothing but the mean-field rate equation for our reaction scheme. According to our assumptions it admits stationary states $q_{0,s} = n_{0,s}/N$,
Therefore the relaxation limit (8) of QSD \( P(q, t) = \pi(q) e^{-E_0 t} \) is nothing but a constant current, \( J \), solution of the Master equation (where we have again neglected the exponentially small eigenvalue \( E_0 \) on the l.h.s.). On the other hand, integrating the continuity relation (9) throughout the region of support of QSD and assuming that escape takes place only through the saddle point \( q_s \) (10), one finds

\[
E_0 \int \pi(q) dq = J .
\]

Finally to establish relation between the activation solution, Eqs. (3), (6), (7), at \( q < q_s \) and the relaxation one, Eq. (8), at \( q > q_s \), one needs to consider Master equation in an immediate vicinity of the saddle \( q_s \). Expanding the r.h.s. of Eq. (11) to the second derivative, one finds for the current:

\[
[H_{pp}(q_s, 0)](q - q_s)\pi(q) - \frac{H_{pp}(q_s, 0)}{2N} \partial_q \pi(q) = J ,
\]

where we have used the fact that at the saddle point \( H_{pp}(q_s, 0) = \sum_r w_r(q_s) = 0 \). Solution of Eq. (11) with a proper asymptotic behavior has the following form

\[
\pi(q) = \frac{(2N J / H_{pp}) \ e^{(q - q_s)^2 / l_s^2}}{\sqrt{\pi N}} ,
\]

where \( l_s^2 = H_{pp}(q_s, 0) / N H_{pq}(q_s, 0) \). Indeed, away from the saddle point \( q_s \) it possesses the following asymptotics:

\[
\pi(q) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{J}{(q - q_s) H_{pp}} & q - q_s \gg l_s , \\
2N J \sqrt{\pi} H_{pp}^{-1} e^{(q - q_s)^2 / l_s^2} & q_s - q \gg l_s .
\end{cases}
\]

The first line matches with the relaxation solution (8) at \( q \approx q_s \), as it should. The second line is to be matched with the activation solution Eqs. (3), (6), (7), which in the vicinity of \( q = q_s \) takes the form

\[
\pi(q) = e^{-N S(q_s) - S_i(q_s)} e^{-N(q - q_s)^2 S''(q_s)/2} .
\]

To relate the \( q \)-dependent exponential factors here and in the second line of Eq. (12), one may differentiate the identity \( H(q, p_a(q)) = 0 \) over \( q \) to find

\[
H_q + H_{pp} p_a' = 0 ; \quad H_{qq} + H_q p_a'' + (H_{pp} p_a' + 2H_{pq}) p_a' = 0 .
\]

Employing that \( p_a' = S'' \) and \( H(q, 0) = H_p(q_0, 0) = 0 \), one finds

\[
S''(q_0) = -2 \frac{H_{pp}(q_0, 0)}{H_{pp}(q_0, 0) + \sum_r w_r(q_0)}
\]

and therefore \( S''(q_s) = -2 / N l_s^2 \). This establishes equality of the exponential factors in Eqs. (12) and (13). Comparing the pre-exponential coefficients one finds for the escape current:

\[
J = \frac{H_{pp}(q_s, 0)}{2} \sqrt{\frac{S''(q_s)}{2\pi N}} e^{-N S(q_s) - S_i(q_s)} .
\]
One can employ now the normalization condition \[10\] to find the escape rate \( E_0 = 1/\tau \). To this end we notice that the bulk of the QSD is centered around \( q_0 \) and approximate the integral by the Gaussian one. As a result one finds for the escape time
\[
\tau = \frac{4\pi}{H_{pq}(q_0,0)} \frac{e^{S_1(q_0)-S_1(q_0)}}{\sqrt{|S''(q_0)|S''(q_0)}}, \tag{17}
\]
where \( S(q_0) - S(q_0) \) and \( S_1(q_0) - S_1(q_0) \) are fully determined by Eqs. \[6\] and \[7\]. It is important to mention that the corresponding integrals are free of singularities and can be straightforwardly evaluated for any given reaction scheme. Equation \[17\] is a main result of the present letter.

For analytically tractable examples it is useful to notice that, with the help of identities \[13\] one may partially integrate Eq. \[4\] to obtain
\[
S_1(q_0) - S_1(q_0) = \ln \left( \frac{S''(q_0)}{|S''(q_0)|} \right) + \Delta; \tag{18}
\]
\[
\Delta = \int_{q_0}^{q} dq \left[ \frac{H_{pq}}{2H_q} - \sum_r u_r(e^{p_n} - 1) \right]. \tag{19}
\]

Employing Eq. \[13\], one may somewhat simplify Eq. \[17\] to cast it in the following form
\[
\tau = \frac{2\pi e^\Delta}{H_{pq}(q_0,0)} e^{N[S(q_0) - S(q_0)]}. \tag{19}
\]

Below we illustrate usefulness of Eqs. \[17\] and \[19\] on a few examples.

- \( r_1 - r_2 \) reactions. Consider a reaction scheme, where the step variable \( r \) may acquire only two values \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \). The corresponding reaction rates are \( W_{r_1} \equiv \frac{N}{N_{r_1}}, \) where we have omitted possible subleading terms \( u_{r_1} \) for brevity. The Hamiltonian takes the form
\[
H(q, p) = w_{r_1}(q)(e^{r_1 p} - 1) + w_{r_2}(q)(e^{r_2 p} - 1), \tag{20}
\]
and the activation trajectory is given by the solution of the following algebraic equation for \( e^{p_n} \)
\[
\frac{e^{r_1 p_n} - 1}{e^{r_2 p_n} - 1} = -\frac{w_{r_2}(q)}{w_{r_1}(q)}. \tag{21}
\]

As a result, the following identity holds along the activation trajectory:
\[
H_{pq} = w_{r_1}'(q)(e^{r_1 p_n} - 1) + w_{r_2}'(q)(e^{r_2 p_n} - 1)
\]
\[
= w_{r_1} w_{r_2} - w_{r_1}' w_{r_2} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dq} \ln(w_{r_1} w_{r_2} - w_{r_1}' w_{r_2}). \tag{22}
\]

The fixed points satisfy: \( r_1 w_{r_1}(q_0) = -r_2 w_{r_2}(q_0), \) while \( H_{pq}(q_0, 0) = r_1 w_{r_1}(q_0) + r_2 w_{r_2}(q_0). \) Employing Eqs. \[13\] and \[19\], one finds for the switching time
\[
\tau = \frac{2\pi e^\Delta}{H_{pq}(q_0,0)} \frac{e^{N[S(q_0) - S(q_0)]}}{|H_{pq}(q_0,0)|}, \tag{22}
\]
where \( w_{r_1}(q_0)/w_{r_1}(q_0) = w_{r_2}(q_0)/w_{r_2}(q_0) \) and the action is given by Eq. \[6\].

In a particular case of single-step reactions, \( r_1, r_2 = \pm 1, \) Eq. \[21\] may be solved explicitly, \( e^{p_0} = w_-(q)/w_+(q) \). The fixed points are given by \( w_+(q_0, 0) = W_+(q_0) \) and according to Eq. \[13\] \( H_{pq}(q_0, 0) = -S''(q_0,w_+(q_0)). \) Employing Eq. \[22\], the switching rate of the single-step reaction schemes may be written as
\[
\tau = \frac{2\pi e^\Delta}{w_+(q_0)} \frac{e^{N[S(q_0) - S(q_0)]}}{\sqrt{|S''(q_0)|S''(q_0)}}, \tag{23}
\]
and we have included subleading terms in the rates \( u_\pm(q) \), according to Eq. \[13\], \[11\]. In a particular case of reaction rates having only leading terms \( (u_\pm = 0) \) Eq. \[23\] coincides with results of Doering et al. \[4\], who have shown it to be the large \( N \) asymptotic of the exact result for the single-step reactions \[3\]. In general, the \( u_r \) terms can substantially modify the prefactor \[3\] (see below).

Demographic explosion. Consider a single-step model \( A \rightleftharpoons \emptyset \) with the relative rates 1 and \( N(1 - \delta^2)/2 \), where \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) and \( 2A \rightarrow 3A \) with the relative rate \( 1/N \). The corresponding transition rates are
\[
W_-(n) = n; \quad W_+(n) = \frac{N(1 - \delta^2)}{2} + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2N}. \tag{24}
\]

The rescaled rates are \( w_-(q) = q \); \( w_+(1 - \delta^2 + q^2)/2 \) while \( u_\pm = 0 \) and \( u_\pm = -q/2 \) and the two rescaled fixed points are \( q_0, s = 1 \mp \delta \). Employing Eq. \[23\], one finds for the escape time from the metastable state centered at \( n = N(1 - \delta) \) towards \( n \rightarrow \infty \)
\[
\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\delta} \frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta} e^{N(S(1 + \delta) - S(1 - \delta))}, \tag{25}
\]
in a perfect agreement with Meerson and Sasorov recent result \[3\]. This example is specially interesting because it shows the importance of the subleading terms \( u_r \). Disregarding these terms, one obtains a prefactor proportional to \( (1 - \delta)^{-1/2} \) instead of the correct one \( (1 - \delta)^{-1} \). This constitutes an arbitrarily large error in the limit \( \delta \rightarrow 1 \), where the action \( S(2) - S(0) \) remains bounded.

Fokker-Planck Hamiltonian. Consider a dissipative particle under an influence of a multiplicative Gaussian
noise (understood in the sense of Itô [3]). The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation is $\dot{P} = HP$, where

$$\dot{H}(q, p) = \hat{p}^2 D(q) - \hat{p} V'(q),$$

(26)

here $D(q) > 0$ is a coordinate-dependent diffusion coefficient and $\hat{p} = -\partial_q$. Since this is a normally ordered operator, cf. Eq. [1], one may employ the theory developed above. Following WKB approximation one substitutes $\hat{p} \to p$ and employs Eq. (19). The stationary points are defined by the condition $V'(q_{0,s}) = 0$ and the activation trajectory is given by $p_s(q) = V'(q)/D(q)$. As a result $S(q_s) - S(q_0) = \int_{q_0}^{q_s} dq V'(q)/D(q)$ and $H_{pq}(q_s, 0) = -V''(q_s) > 0$. There are no subleading terms here, $u_r = 0$, and therefore

$$\Delta = \int_{q_0}^{q_s} dq \frac{H_{pq}}{2H_q} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{V''(q_s)D(q_s)}{V''(q_0)D(q_0)} \right|,$$

where we have made use of $V'(q_0) = V'(q_s) = 0$. Using this equality again one finds $S''(q_{0,s}) = V''(q_{0,s})/D(q_{0,s})$, and finally, plugging all together in Eq. (19), one obtains

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{V''(q_0)V''(q_s)}} \left( \frac{D(q_s)}{D(q_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\int_{q_0}^{q_s} dq V'(q)/D(q)},$$

(27)

in agreement with previous calculations [2]. Assuming a constant diffusion coefficient $D(q) = T$ (i.e. additive noise), one recovers Kramers result [1]. Notice that the role of $N$ is played by $1/T$.

Higher moments of noise. Consider now Kramers problem of a dissipative particle subject to a white, non-Gaussian noise. The corresponding Hamiltonian reads as

$$H(q, p) = \epsilon_k k^k + Tp^2 - pV'(q).$$

(28)

Here $k = 3, 4, \cdots$ and $\epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \ldots$ is the third, fourth, etc. (i.e. first non-vanishing beyond the second) irreducible moment of the noise correlation function. This type of noise appears as e.g. higher order corrections in the Kramers-Moyal expansion of the master equation [3]. Assuming that the higher moments are much smaller than the second one [12] and proceeding as in the last case we find

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{V''(q_s)V''(q_0)}} \left( \frac{D(q_s)}{D(q_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times$$

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{\epsilon_k}{T^k} \int_{q_0}^{q_s} dq [V'(q)]^{k-1} + O(\epsilon_k^2) \right\}.$$

(29)

As can be seen, the prefactor remains unchanged and the whole contribution coming from the higher order noise concentrates in an extra "phase". Note that $\epsilon_k$ is necessarily positive for even $k$ (in order to keep the noise real) but it can be either positive or negative for odd $k$. For the escape processes under consideration $V(q_s) > V(q_0)$, and so the integral term in the extra "phase" is positive, what implies that even moments of noises only contribute to reduce the escape time, while the odd ones can reduce or increase the switching time, depending on the sign of the corresponding moment.

To conclude we have calculated the escape rate from a metastable state whose dynamics is described by a general multi-step master equation. We found a relatively simple analytical result for switching rates between metastable states (but not for absorbing phase transition, as e.g. extinction) of an arbitrary single-species reaction scheme. We have shown that the general formula found here reduces to known results for single-step reactions and Fokker-Planck equations, with either additive or multiplicative noises.
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