Intravital characterization of tumor cell migration in pancreatic cancer
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ABSTRACT
Curing pancreatic cancer is difficult as metastases often determine the poor clinical outcome. To gain more insight into the metastatic behavior of pancreatic cancer cells, we characterized migratory cells in primary pancreatic tumors using intravital microscopy. We visualized the migratory behavior of primary tumor cells of a genetically engineered pancreatic cancer mouse model and found that pancreatic tumor cells migrate with a mesenchymal morphology as single individual cells or collectively as a stream of non-cohesive single motile cells. These findings may improve our ability to conceive treatments that block metastatic behavior.

Pancreatic cancer is one of the deadliest malignant diseases due to its propensity to metastasize. Therefore, understanding the process of metastasis formation is essential to improve patient outcome. To gain knowledge on the limiting steps of this deadly process, metastatic pancreatic cancer has been extensively studied in genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs). Although tumor progression to a carcinoma stage is often seen as a limiting step for dissemination and metastasis formation, experiments in pancreatic cancer mouse models showed that circulating tumor cells can be detected even in mice that display only pre-cancerous lesions. The ability of tumor cells to undergo epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is another often thought limiting process of metastasis, however blocking EMT did not result in obliteration of metastasis formation, but instead sensitized pancreatic tumors to chemotherapy treatment. Thus, developing a better insight into the inefficient and multiple step process in which cells acquire the various traits necessary for metastasis formation could aid in developing rational treatment strategies to treat or prevent metastasis formation of pancreatic tumors.

The first rate limiting step in pancreatic cancer metastasis is the ability of tumor cells to become motile by detaching from neighbors and escaping from the primary tumor, but this step has not yet been directly studied in vivo. Intravital microscopy (IVM) studies in other tumor models showed that few cells in the tumor display motile behavior and these motile cells employ different migratory strategies: mesenchymal migration, in which cells have an elongated shape with cell polarity; bleb-driven amoeboid-like, in which cells have a less defined polarity and a more rounded shape; collective streaming migration, in which multiple cells migrate in streams of single mesenchymal cells that lack cell-cell contact, and collective cell migration, in which cells migrate as a compact multicellular group in which the adherens junctions are still intact. As pancreatic cancer is known for its aggressiveness this study aims to visualize the migratory strategies of pancreatic cancer cells using IVM.

To characterize how pancreatic tumor cells escape from the primary tumor, here we intravitaly imaged a genetic fluorescent mouse model which develops fluorescent metastatic pancreatic cancer. Trp53 and KRAS are the main genes involved in the development,
maintenance and progression of pancreatic cancer and are mutated in the majority of cases. Conditional mouse models have been developed in which Trp53 and KRAS were genetically mutated or depleted. In our study, we use a mouse model in which the expression of a non-functional Trp53 gene in both Trp53 alleles and the expression of a mutant Kras (G12D) are induced by a Cre that is expressed specifically in the pancreas by the pdx-1 promotor. These pdx-1-Cre;KrasLSL-G12D/+;Trp53LSL-R172H/FLOX (KpF/MC) mice develop pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas within ~30 d. Although these tumors develop much faster than other reported pancreatic cancer mouse models, histologically these tumors are similar to their slower counterparts and to human pancreatic cancer. In order to characterize migration in our model for pancreatic cancer, we labeled all tumor cells with yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) by crossing KPf/MC mice with a STOP-floxed R26-YFP mouse (Fig. 1A). In these KPf/MC-YFP mice, after ~50 d fluorescent tumors had formed throughout the entire pancreas.

To intravitaly visualize these fluorescent pancreatic cancers, we surgically exposed the pancreas by making a ~1 cm incision into the skin and abdominal wall. The mouse was placed in a custom-designed imaging box and the pancreas was placed on a coverslip while still attached to the circulation. The circulation is still intact as indicated by the blood flow upon injection of 70 kD fluorescent Dextran (boxed areas in Movie S1). This setup allows for intravital imaging of pancreatic tumor tissue over a course of several hours. We intravitally imaged these fluorescent pancreatic cancers by visualizing the YFP tumor cells and the collagen I using second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging. Autofluorescence signal did not interfere with our YFP detection, since the YFP fluorescence

**Figure 1.** (A) Genetic fluorescent mouse model of pancreatic cancer. (A) Schematic representation of the fluorescent mouse model in which all tumor cells express YFP upon recombination by Cre recombinase under the Pdx-promotor. In these cells, Cre-regulated mutated forms of Kras (KrasLSL-G12D) and p53 (p53LSL-R172H), combined with a floxed p53 give rise to pancreatic tumors. (B) Representative intravital microscopy images showing different types of tumor morphology in yellow and surrounding collagen I in magenta. Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) The number of migrating cells per imaging field (n = 26 fields in 5 animals). The 5 different animals are shown in symbols with different shapes/colors. Mean ± SEM.
signal of tumor cells is several orders of magnitude higher than the autofluorescence signal of non-fluorescent tumors (Fig. S1). In 5 mice, we took Z-stacks of 24 images with a Z step size of 3 μm of 5 to 9 imaging fields (0.7×0.7 mm) every 15 minutes for 2 hours. We observed that the YFP-labeled tumors consisted of tumor lobes with heterogeneous morphologies; the normal pancreatic architecture was completely replaced by tumor lobes (Fig. 1B, upper image) although some of these lobes still contained a lumen (Fig. 1B, lower image) as can be found in the healthy pancreas. Most of these tumor lobes were encapsulated by collagen, however this encapsulation was not intact in some areas (dotted lines in Fig. S2).

To characterize tumor cell migration, we visualized the cells that migrate away from the tumor lobes and invade into the healthy stroma (Fig. 2 and Movie S2). As reported previously for other models, we found that the migratory behavior of tumor cells is very heterogeneous in these genetic pancreatic cancers tumors. In 2 out of 5 imaged mice, none of the imaging fields contained migratory tumor cells that invaded into the stroma (total of 11 imaging fields, Fig. 1C). In the other 3 mice, we observed in 7 out of 15 imaging fields tumor cells that escaped from the lobes (Fig. 1C). However, it is important to emphasize that even in the imaging fields where many migratory cells were found, the vast majority of cells did not invade into the healthy stroma.

Figure 2. Tumor cells of pancreatic carcinoma migrate as mesenchymal cells. Time-lapse intravital imaging of a fluorescent mouse model of pancreatic cancer with tumor cells shown in yellow, collagen I in magenta, intravenously injected 70 kD Dextran in red and nuclei in blue. (A) Example of an imaging field (showing 4 time points (120 minute interval)), in which polar and elongated single cell migration was observed (boxed area is enlarged in B). Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Boxed area in (A) showing an example of an imaging field (7 timepoints (60 minute interval) with migrating polar and elongated single tumor cells (example in circle) with a track (last timepoint, white line). Separate channels show the nuclei (Hoechst) and tumor cells (YFP), indicating that the particles that migrate contain nuclei. Scale bar, 50 μm.
The majority of these migratory cells migrated as polar and elongated single cells (69%, Fig. 3B and S3A, Movie S4 and S5), while the other cells migrated collectively as a stream of polar and elongated single cells (31%, Fig. 4A and B, Fig. S3B and S4, Movie S6–10). The observed migration was highly persistent (0.676 ± 0.035, Fig. 4C, left graph) (persistence for random migration is 0.365 ± 0.020). Polar cells that migrate with high persistency are often referred to as having mesenchymal migratory behavior.10 In line with this observation, the migration velocity was 14.04 ± 0.98 μm per hour (Fig. 4D, right graph), which is in the same order of mesenchymal migration observed in other mouse models and much slower than fast amoeboid migration (>10 μm per minute10,21–23). Moreover, similar to different types of immune cells, amoeboid cells have a high degree of circularity (e.g. ~0.7 for monocytes24 and other CD45high immune cells25) and an aspect ratio of less than2,24,26–30 while the migratory cells that we observe have an average circularity of 0.54 ± 0.025 (Fig. 4D, left graph) and an average aspect ratio of 3.19 ± 0.226 (Fig. 4D, right graph). The latter observation confirms the notion that the observed migratory cells have a mesenchymal characteristics and not amoeboid characteristics. Collective migration of a compact multicellular group of cells, in which the adherens junctions are intact,10,11,31,32 was not observed. Combined, these results suggest that although pancreatic tumor cells derive from an epithelial lineage, they preferably migrate as mesenchymal cells.

Here, we have characterized the first step of the metastatic cascade of pancreatic cancers by visualizing cell migration in vivo. Although pancreatic cancer is described as one of the most aggressive tumor types, our study shows that the vast majority of tumor cells do not escape from tumor lobes and that the few motile cells that invade into the stroma adapt a migratory strategy similar to migration strategies adapted by tumor cells in other mouse models. Our observation that cells adapt differential migration strategies (collective streaming vs single cell migration) at various imaging sites is most likely reflecting variations in the tumor microenvironment.3 Indeed a number of studies show that variations in tumor microenvironment may change the behavior of pancreatic tumor cells, such as their response to chemotherapy.2,33 For example, depletion of tumor stroma by using modified hyaluronidase treatment or by inhibition of sonic hedgehog signaling increased survival of mice treated with gemcitabine.2,33 Targeting the tumor microenvironment can also make tumors more aggressive.34

Figure 3. Single tumor cell migration in pancreatic carcinoma. Time-lapse intravital imaging of a genetic fluorescent mouse model of pancreatic cancer with tumor cells shown in yellow and collagen I in magenta. (A) Example of an imaging field (showing 4 time points (30 minute interval), in which polar and elongated single cell migration was observed (boxed area is enlarged in B). Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Boxed area in (A) showing migrating polar and elongated single tumor cells (in dashed circles) with a track (last time point, white line). Scale bar, 20 μm.
metastasis formation we need to focus on studying the micro-environmental cues that lead to cell motility. Moreover, our study shows that IVM of primary pancreatic tumors in GEMMs represents a powerful tool to study the role of genetic modifications that lead to more or less cellular motility and to test new therapies that target cell migration.

**Materials and methods**

**Mice**

Experiments were performed with Pdx-1-Cre;Kras\(^{+/+}\); Trp53\(^{-}\)R172H/FLOX\(^{-}\); Rosa26\(^{YFP/+}\) (Kp\(^{F/M}\)-C-YFP) mice on a mixed background. The genotype gives rise to YFP-expressing pancreatic tumors. Mice were housed under standard laboratory conditions and received food and water ad libitum. All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Welfare Committee of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, The Netherlands and the Animal Welfare Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands. Tumor development in Kp\(^{F/M}\)-C-YFP mice is comparable with tumor development in the Kp\(^{F/M}\) model for accelerated pancreatic tumor growth\(^{15}\) showing an average of 20 percent tumor area of the total pancreas on day 30 after birth, increasing to an average of 90 percent on day 51. These mice show a median survival of 63 d.
For the intravital imaging experiments, KP

mice were used at 49–54 d of age to minimize the chance of losing mice during imaging procedures because of excessive tumor growth or presence of ascites impeding imaging.

**Intravital imaging**

Before imaging, some mice received an intravenous injection of a mix of 90 ul 70 kD Texas Red Dextran (10 mg/ml, Invitrogen) and 10 ul Hoechst (25 mg/ml, Sigma) to visualize blood vessels and cell nuclei, respectively. Mice were sedated using isoflurane inhalation anesthesia (1.5% to 2% isoflurane/O2 mixture).

**Imaging analysis and quantification**

All images were processed using ImageJ software; pictures were converted to RGB, smoothed (if necessary), cropped (if necessary), rotated (if necessary) and contrasted linearly. Videos were corrected for XY and Z drift using custom-written software (codes on request available from J.v.R.). Imaging positions showing migrating tumor cells were selected and migrating tumor cells were counted manually. The center of cells was tracked using ImageJ software and cells that migrated more than one cell diameter over the entire time lapse were selected for further analysis. Velocity was calculated by dividing the total track distance by the total time, persistency was calculated by dividing the total displacement by the total track distance. Circularity was measured using ImageJ by manually drawing a ROI around the tracked cells at 2 or 3 time points and performing 'shape descriptors' measurements (4\(\pi\) x (area/(perimeter^2))). This describes the value between 0 and 1, where 1 indicates a perfect circle. The average circularity of the 2 or 3 time points was used for the tracked cells. The aspect ratio of cells was calculated by measuring the length of the major axis and minor axis and dividing these to get a ratio. A perfect circle has an aspect ratio of 1. A cell with an aspect ratio of less than 2 was considered amoeboid. The average aspect ratio of 2 or 3 time points (same time points as used for measuring circularity) was used for the tracked cells. 3D reconstructions were created using Imaris 8.3 software (Bitplane).

**Tumor processing**

Tumors were isolated from the mice at the end of the experiment, a part of every tumor was cut into \(~2\times2\) mm pieces and frozen in freezing medium (10% DMSO/60% fetal calf serum/30% DMEM/F12 + GlutaMAX (GIBCO, Invitrogen Life Technologies)) for transplantation purposes (see ‘Tumor cell injection into the pancreas’). The remaining parts of the tumors were fixed in periodate-lysine-paraformaldehyde (PLP) buffer (2.5 ml 4% paraformaldehyde + 0.0212 g NaIO4 + 3.75 ml L-Lysine + 3.75 ml P-buffer (81 ml of 0.2 M Na2HPO4 and 19 ml of 0.2 M NaH2PO4 added to 100 ml demi water (pH 7.4))) O/N at 4°C to preserve fluorescence. The following day, the fixed tumors and tissues were washed twice with P-buffer and placed for at least 6 hours in 30% sucrose at 4°C. The tumors were then embedded in OCT tissue freezing medium (Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany) and stored at –80°C.
**Immunohistochemistry**

H&E and Sirius Red stainings were performed on 6 μm OCT-embedded sections. 0.5 g of Sirius Red (Direct Red 80, Sigma) was dissolved in 500 ml saturated aqueous solution of picric acid (1.3% in water) (Sigma) and used to stain collagen. Imaging was performed on a Leica DM4000 B LED microscope equipped with a Leica DFC450 Digital Camera. Images were acquired using Leica LAS-X software.

**Fluorescence imaging on YFP- and non-fluorescent tumor sections**

Six um slices of frozen tumors (see ‘Tumor processing’) with and without YFP expression were cut and dehydrated overnight at room temperature in the dark, followed by rehydration in PBS for 10 minutes and mounting with Vectashield Hard set with DAPI (Vector Labs). Imaging was performed with exactly the same settings as described above (see ‘Intravital imaging’).

**Statistical analysis**

All data was expressed as mean and standard error of the mean (SEM). Mean and SEM were calculated using Graphpad software or Excel.

**Abbreviations**

- **EMT** epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition
- **GEMMs** genetically engineered mouse models
- **IVM** Intravital microscopy
- **KP** pdx-1-Cre; Kras<sup>LSL-G12D+/+</sup>; Trp53<sup>LSL-R127H/FLOX</sup>
- **KP-YFP** pdx-1-Cre; Kras<sup>LSL-G12D+/+</sup>; Trp53<sup>LSL-R127H/FLOX</sup>; Rosa26<sup>YFP+/+</sup>
- **SEM** standard error of the mean
- **SHG** second harmonic generation
- **YFP** yellow fluorescent protein
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