and, for a short period of time, with one other species group. Skin swab specimens from all other contacted amphibians at the zoos tested negative for Brucella. Diet consisted of a variety of insect species, making 2 separate introductions of Brucella from an outside source possible but unlikely. These findings highlight the need for additional testing of atypical Brucella spp., a potential emerging disease in amphibians, and warrants precautions when handling amphibians because of the potential for zoonoses.
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Highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus was detected in mute swans in the Netherlands during October 2020. The virus shares a common ancestor with clade 2.3.4.4b viruses detected in Egypt during 2018–2019 and has similar genetic composition. The virus is not directly related to H5N8 viruses from Europe detected in the first half of 2020.

Introduction of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses in Europe caused substantial losses to the poultry industry during 2014–2020. Migratory waterfowl are impli-
cated in the distribution of HPAI H5 viruses along flyways from breeding grounds in northern Russia to wintering sites in Europe (1–3). During 2016, clade 2.3.4.4b HPAI H5N8 viruses were introduced in Europe (4,5) and the Netherlands (6,7). More recent introductions of these viruses were detected in eastern Europe, Germany, and Bulgaria in the first half of 2020 (8,9).

On October 17, 2020, two mute swans (Cygnus olor) were found dead in the province of Utrecht, the Netherlands. The swans were diagnosed as being part of the wild bird surveillance program for avian influenza virus. Swab samples from the trachea and cloaca were PCR-positive for avian influenza virus. The virus was subtyped as HPAI H5N8 and contained the hemagglutinin (HA) cleavage site sequence PLREKRRKR*GLF.

Figure. Phylogenetic analysis of the hemagglutinin (HA) segment of highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus, the Netherlands, October 2020. A) Optimal phylogenetic tree was generated by using the maximum-likelihood method (RAxML version 8.2.12; https://racm-ng.vital) with 100 bootstrap replicates and is shown and drawn to scale. GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org) accession numbers of the viruses are shown in the trees. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site. B) Schematic representation of molecular dating of the HA gene segment. The Bayesian coalescent method was used to estimate the time to the most recent common ancestor of the novel H5N8 virus (numbers corresponding to nodes in the Table). Red branches indicate H5N8 virus isolated in the Netherlands in 2020; green, H5N8 viruses isolated in eastern Europe, Germany, and Bulgaria in 2020; orange, viruses detected in Egypt during 2018–2019; and blue, viruses found in Eurasia during 2016–2018. EU, European Union.
We performed full-genome sequencing as described (6) and classified the virus genetically as H5 clade 2.3.4.4b. We performed detailed phylogenetic analyses to study the origin of the novel H5N8 virus (A/mute_swan/Netherlands/20015931–001/2020, GISAID accession no. EPI591075; https://www.gisaid.org). For HA (Figure) and neuraminidase (NA) (Appendix 1 Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/6/20-4464-App1.pdf), the closest genetic relative was isolated from a duck in Egypt during January 2019 (EPI399644; only HA/NA sequences are available). The virus also shares a common ancestor for the viruses from Eurasia detected during 2019 and with viruses detected in Egypt during 2018–2019 (Appendix 1 Figure 1). However, the M segment clusters with HPAI H5N8 viruses isolated in Asia and Egypt in 2016–2018 but also with the viruses found in eastern Europe and Germany during 2020, which suggests that reassortment with those viruses probably occurred for the M segment. No reassortments with low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses were observed for any of the segments. The genetic distance between the novel H5N8 virus and related viruses detected in Egypt and Eurasia appears relatively large, as demonstrated by the long branch lengths in phylogenetic trees (Appendix 1 Figure 1). This finding suggests long-term, undetected circulation of the virus or that intermediate virus sequences were not available in public databases.

We performed molecular dating by using BEAST (10) to estimate the time to the most recent common ancestor (Table; Appendix 1 Figure 2). For the H5 segment, a common ancestor of the novel H5N8 virus and the Egypt 2019 virus (accession no. EPI399644) was dated to July 2018 (node 1; Appendix 1 Figure 2) and with the cluster of viruses from Egypt to approximately March 2017 (node 2; Appendix 1 Figure 2).

### Table. Calculated tMRCA with 95% HPD and posterior value for highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus, the Netherlands, October 2020*

| Segment | Node† | Year | Date         | Height 95% HPD     | Posterior value |
|---------|-------|------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| PB2     | 1     | ND   | ND           | ND                 | ND              |
|         | 2     | 2016.67 | Sep 2016   | 2016.43–2016.88   | 0.61            |
|         | 3     | 2016.47 | Jun 2016   | 2016.20–2016.68   | 0.97            |
|         | 4     | 2012.70 | Sep 2012   | 2010.50–2014.43   | 0.96            |
| PB1     | 1     | ND   | ND           | ND                 | ND              |
|         | 2     | 2017.00 | Jan 2017   | 2016.79–2017.14   | 0.95            |
|         | 3     | 2016.56 | Jul 2016   | 2016.35–2016.76   | 0.94            |
|         | 4     | 2011.21 | Mar 2011   | 2007.91–2013.81   | 1.00            |
| PA      | 1     | ND   | ND           | ND                 | ND              |
|         | 2     | 2016.67 | Sep 2016   | 2016.42–2016.88   | 0.01            |
|         | 3     | 2016.48 | Jun 2016   | 2016.30–2016.67   | 1.00            |
|         | 4     | 2008.70 | Sep 2008   | 2005.77–2011.20   | 1.00            |
| HA      | 1     | 2018.58 | Jul 2018   | 2018.15–2018.91   | 1.00            |
|         | 2     | 2017.18 | Mar 2017   | 2016.88–2017.44   | 1.00            |
|         | 3     | 2016.62 | Aug 2016   | 2016.46–2016.78   | 1.00            |
|         | 4     | 2015.97 | Dec 2015   | 2015.68–2016.23   | 0.97            |
| NP      | 1     | ND   | ND           | ND                 | ND              |
|         | 2     | 2016.89 | Nov 2016   | 2016.52–2017.13   | 0.87            |
|         | 3     | 2016.43 | Jun 2016   | 2016.08–2016.89   | 1.00            |
|         | 4     | 2014.71 | Sep 2014   | 2013.32–2015.77   | 0.95            |
| NA      | 1     | 2018.42 | Jun 2018   | 2017.87–2018.88   | 1.00            |
|         | 2     | 2016.98 | Dec 2016   | 2016.80–2017.12   | 0.99            |
|         | 3     | 2016.71 | Sep 2016   | 2016.51–2016.86   | 1.00            |
|         | 4     | 2016.15 | Feb 2016   | 2015.77–2016.40   | 1.00            |
| M       | A     | 2016.39 | May 2016   | 2015.84–2016.63   | 0.19            |
| NS      | 1     | ND   | ND           | ND                 | ND              |
|         | 2     | 2016.92 | Dec 2016   | 2016.70–2017.03   | 0.01            |
|         | 3     | 2016.48 | Jun 2016   | 2016.00–2016.79   | 0.96            |
|         | 4     | 2015.77 | Oct 2015   | 2014.74–2016.40   | 1.00            |

*HA, hemagglutinin; HPD, highest posterior density interval; M, matrix protein; NA, neuraminidase; ND, not determined; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein; PA, polymerase acidic, PB1, polymerase basic 1; PB2, polymerase basic 2; tMRCA, median time of the most recent common ancestor.

†Nodes of the time-scaled phylogenetic tree.
2016–2018 was dated to August 2016 (node 3; Appendix 1 Figure 2) and with the viruses from eastern Europe and Germany detected in 2020 to approximately December 2015 (node 4; Appendix 1 Figure 2). Similar dating of ancestral viruses was observed for other gene segments, except for M (Appendix 1 Figure 2), for which the common ancestor for the viruses from eastern Europe and Germany detected during 2020 was dated to approximately May 2016 (node A; Appendix 1 Figure 2).

Molecular dating analysis suggests that the ancestor of the novel H5N8 virus detected in the Netherlands during October 2020 has circulated in this genetic form since March 2017 and caused influenza outbreaks in Egypt during 2018–2019. The novel virus incursion is not related to viruses detected in eastern Europe, Germany, and Bulgaria earlier in 2020 but was probably associated with fall migration of wild birds to wintering sites in the Netherlands. Although no HPAI viruses or deaths were observed at wild bird breeding sites in northern Russia, HPAI H5N8 viruses were reported in southern Russia and northern Kazakhstan in September 2020. Some waterfowl species, such as Eurasian wigeon (Anas penelope), tufted duck (Aythya fuligula), and white-fronted goose (Anser albifrons), are known to migrate from these regions to the Netherlands (Dutch Centre For Field Ornithology, https://vogeltrekatlas.nl/soortzoek2.html).

The novel virus was first detected in 2 mute swans that do not migrate over long distances. However, a few days later, virus was also detected in a dead Eurasian wigeon, suggesting that this bird species might have been involved in the incursion of the virus into the Netherlands. Because sequences of the viruses detected in Russia and Kazakhstan are unknown, the relationship between these viruses and the virus detected in the Netherlands remains to be determined. During October, wild bird migration is ongoing, and millions of wild birds will reach their wintering sites in Europe in the coming months. This early detection of HPAI H5N8 virus in the Netherlands predicted a high risk for the poultry industry in Europe during the 2020–2021 winter season.
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Appendix Figure 1. Related sequences were obtained from the GISAID EpiFlu database on October 21, 2020 (http://www.gisaid.org) (1) by using a BLAST search. For HA, additional sequences for H5 clade 2.3.4.4b were collected from the EpiFlu database and clustered by using the CD-HIT algorithm (2) and an identity setting of 0.985. Cluster representatives were used in the analysis of HA, in addition to the related sequences from the GISAID BLAST search. Sequences were aligned by using MAFFT v7.427 (3). Maximum-likelihood trees based on the general time-reversible model with a gamma-distributed variation of rates, and 100 bootstraps were generated by using RAxML v8.2.12 (4). The GISAID accession numbers of the viruses are shown in the trees (Appendix Table). The H5N8 virus isolated in the Netherlands in 2020 is marked in red, the H5N8 viruses isolated in Eastern-Europe, Germany and Bulgaria in 2020 are marked in green. Scale bars indicate nucleotide substitutions per site. HA, hemagglutinin; MP, matrix protein; NA, neuraminidase; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein; PA, polymerase acidic, PB1, polymerase basic 1; PB2, polymerase basic 2.
Appendix Figure 2. Molecular dating was performed for the all gene segments. Datasets of maximum-likelihood tree analysis (Appendix Figure 1) were used for time-scaled phylogenies, which were reconstructed by using a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo framework implemented in the BEAST software package v 1.10.2 (5). Analysis was conducted by using the SRD06 nucleotide substitution model, the Bayesian Skyline coalescent model, and an uncorrelated log normal relaxed molecular clock. Markov chain Monte Carlo runs of $1 \times 10^8$ states sampling each $1 \times 10^4$ steps were run to obtain an effective sample size $>200$. Maximum clade credibility trees were reconstructed with 10% burn-in, and the posterior distribution of relevant parameters were assessed in FigTree v 1.4.4 (6). The time to the most recent common ancestor for the numbered nodes is listed in the Table, as is the credible interval and posterior value. For the MP segment, the letter A was used in this figure and the Table to denote the relationship with the viruses found in eastern Europe and Germany during 2020. Because the MP segment was probably introduced by reassortment, this node is not similar to node 4 for the other segments. GISAID accession numbers are shown in the trees (Appendix Table). H5N8 virus isolated in the Netherlands during 2020 is indicated in red; and viruses isolated in eastern Europe, Germany, and Bulgaria during 2020 are indicated in green. Scale bars indicate time intervals. HA, hemagglutinin; MP, matrix protein; NA, neuraminidase; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein; PA, polymerase acidic, PB1, polymerase basic 1; PB2, polymerase basic 2; 4, node 4.
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