INTRODUCTION

Malignant neoplasms arising from the small bowel are relatively rare, accounting for only 1–2% of all gastrointestinal (GI) cancers (1, 2). Among several subtypes of these small intestinal cancers, adenosquamous carcinomas (ASCs) are rare tumors of the bowel containing both malignant glandular and squamous components (1). ASCs have been mostly reported in the gastrointestinal junction and the anal canal where glandular and squamous epithelium are normally juxtaposed (3). They have also been reported in other parts of the GI tract as esophagus, stomach and colon (1). To date, a very few cases of small intestinal ASCs have been reported in the literature and only 10 cases of them were duodenal ASCs involving second or third segment of duodenum (1, 2, 4-8). Herein, we report another case of duodenal ASC that mimicked subepithelial tumor on computed tomography (CT), and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of duodenal ASC arising from duodenal bulb.

CASE REPORT

A 59-year-old male presented to emergency department of our hospital with history of melena. The general physical and systemic examination was unremarkable. The laboratory examination revealed a hemoglobin level of 7.9 g/dL, hematocrit level of 24.8%. All tumor marker levels were normal. Upper GI endoscopy revealed a subepithelial mass at duodenal bulb and spurting bleeding on the surface of the mass (Fig. 1A), and epinephrine injection was done for hemostasis. For further evaluation of the mass, CT with contrast enhancement was performed.
Fig. 1. A 59-year-old man with adenosquamous carcinoma involving duodenal bulb.
A. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy reveals a subepithelial mass at duodenal bulb and spurting bleeding on the surface of the mass (left), and epinephrine injection is done for hemostasis (right).
B. Axial and coronal images of contrast enhanced CT scan show a 3 cm sized well-circumscribed hypoattenuating mass surrounded by hyperattenuating duodenal wall at the bulb of duodenum (arrows). The lesion causes gastric outlet obstruction.
C. Grossly, a well-defined solid mass shows granular, necrotic, and hemorrhagic cut surface involves the duodenal wall.
D. Microscopically, the lesion displays glands with intracellular mucin (black arrow) and islands with intercellular bridges and keratin pearl typical of squamous differentiation (white arrow) (hematoxylin and eosin stain, × 100).
On CT (Fig. 1B), a 3 cm sized relatively well-circumscribed hypodense mass was noted at duodenal bulb, and the mass was surrounded by hyperattenuating duodenal wall, so it presented the appearance of subepithelial tumor. The mass showed endoluminal growth pattern resulting severe gastric distension, suggesting gastric outlet obstruction. There was no evidence of active bleeding on CT. And also, there was no invasion into adjacent organs and no evidence of distant metastatic lesion within covered range. The major vessels were free of this tumor. This patient underwent duodenal segmental resection with gastrojejunostomy, and grossly there was a well-defined 4 cm sized subepithelial solid mass with whitish yellow granular cut surface, involving entire duodenal wall (Fig. 1C-F). The duodenal mucosa was only focally eroded. Microscopically, the tumor was composed of biphase components, including moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The two components were intimately mixed together without a clear border. Adenocarcinoma showed well-developed luminal spaces, mucin droplets, and partly micropapillary pattern. Squamous cell carcinoma was arranged in nests of polygonal cells with intercellular bridges. On immunohistochemical stains, the tumor cells are diffusely positive for cytokeratin 19. The glandular part was immunoreactive for cytokeratin 7 whereas squamous part was positive for cytokeratin 5/6 and p40. Accordingly, it was diagnosed with ASC of duodenal bulb, with serosal involvement. The patient is doing fine at 3 months follow up.

**DISCUSSION**

The small intestine is the largest part of GI tract, encompassing nearly 90% of its mucosal surface. Interestingly, it only contributes minimally to the total tumor burden from the GI tract, only 1–2% of GI malignancies originate from the small intestine (1, 2). The ileum carries the majority of the small intestinal tumor burden, followed by the duodenum, and lastly the jejunum (9). The majority of duodenal cancers originate from the descending duodenal segment, followed by the horizontal and ascending segments, and rarely from the proximal horizontal segment called duodenal bulb (10).

Among more than 40 histological subtypes of small intestinal malignancies that have been described in the literature, ASCs are extremely rare, so ASCs have been reported as isolated case reports (1, 2, 4-8). In the majority of these cases, the tumor originates from the descending segment (2nd portion) of duodenum. Clinical presentations of patients with duodenal ASC are variable and include symptoms like abdominal pain, anemia, nausea, vomiting, and melena due to GI bleeding (2). And duodenal ASCs are irrespective of sex or age (2).

Radiologic findings of reported cases of duodenal ASCs are also variable depending upon the area of origin (1). It ranges from duodenal caliber change with minimal adjacent fat stranding (2) to discrete soft tissue mass with dilatation of common bile duct and pancreatic duct (7). In our case, unusually, ASC appeared as well-circumscribed mass that mimicked subepithelial tumor on CT. Therefore, at first, we considered possibilities
of GI stromal tumor or adenocarcinoma with unusual radiologic feature as a differential diagnosis. And, because the ASC was located at duodenal bulb, it caused gastric outlet obstruction instead of pancreaticobiliary duct obstruction.

Diagnosis of duodenal ASC can be established with upper GI endoscopy with biopsy and contrast enhanced CT of abdomen (1). However, preoperative diagnosis of duodenal ASC is difficult because of the lack of defining characteristics in imaging studies and the difficulty in acquiring both malignant glandular and squamous components by limited biopsy (5). In the preoperative biopsy of the presented case, there was only benign duodenal epithelium with gastric metaplasia, hyperplastic change and chronic inflammation in the biopsy specimen. The limited biopsy may have been due to a characteristic subepithelial growth pattern of the tumor.

The treatment of choice is the surgical resection. Due to limited number of these cases, there is no effective neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy documented in the literature (1). Most of reported duodenal ASCs showed poor clinical outcomes (2). Most patients experienced early distant metastasis and short survival after surgery (5). In our case, there were no evidence of local recurrence or distant metastasis on CT and positron emission tomography-CT and no abnormal laboratory findings at 3 months follow up after the surgery, but it is hard to tell the prognosis of this patient because term of follow up is not long enough yet. The true prognosis of ASC in duodenum remains to be investigated after more number of cases are reported.

In summary, we present the first case of ASC in duodenal bulb that mimicked subepithelial tumor on CT. On account of the rarity of the ASC of the duodenum, very limited information exists in the medical literature regarding the radiologic findings, clinical features and ideal management strategies. Further cases of these uncommon cancers need to be identified and reported for better understanding of these tumors. And even if a mass in duodenum appears to be a subepithelial tumor on imaging studies, radiologists should consider possibility of ASC, though it is exceedingly rare disease entity.
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상피하종양과 유사한 전산화단층촬영 소견을 보인 십이지장 팽대부의 선플평상피 세포암: 증례 보고
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십이지장의 선플평상피 세포암은 매우 드문 종양으로, 병리학적으로 악성인 셰랑 평평상피의 성분을 모두 가지는 종양이 다. 지금까지 십이지장의 2부와 3부에 발병한 몇 개의 선플평상피 세포암의 증례들이 문헌에 보고된 바 있다. 이 논문에서는 우리는 59세 남성에서 십이지장 팽대부에 발병한 첫 번째 증례이다. 전산화단층촬영에서 상피하종양의 양상을 보였던 선플평상피 세포암의 증례에 대해 보고하고자 한다.
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