Case report

Mobile health application platform ‘Corrie’ personalises and empowers the heart attack recovery patient experience in the hospital and at home for an underserved heart attack survivor
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SUMMARY
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide with an estimated 17.5 million deaths annually, according to the World Health Organization (WHO). CVD prevention efforts have the potential to prevent the majority of these deaths by supporting guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT) and lifestyle modification. Mobile health (mHealth) has the potential to address this gap, but has limited evaluation in clinical studies to date. We present the case of a middle-aged patient of low socioeconomic status, with multiple comorbidities, and no prior smartphone experience, who suffered an acute myocardial infarction (MI) and was given the Corrie intervention while hospitalised. The patient demonstrated improvement in lifestyle modification, adherence to GDMT and post-MI recovery through 2.4 years follow-up. This case supports (1) the potential of mHealth interventions to enhance patient experience and outcomes, (2) intuitive design for adoption and improvement in end user experience and (3) the capability of mHealth to reach and empower underserved patients.

BACKGROUND
At present, cardiovascular disease prevention efforts after a myocardial infarction (MI) are performed via standard paper-based discharge instructions at the time of hospital discharge. This critical event for post-MI recovery involves multiple hand-offs and inconsistent information delivery requiring high health literacy, much of which may be delivered in the final minutes of hospitalisation. Recent studies investigating hospital discharge found 81% of patients had an unclear understanding of their medications,4 48% had uncertain follow-up1 and 40% had difficulty describing the reason for hospitalisation.2 To address these gaps, mobile health (mHealth) interventions have emerged to help engage patients in managing their health. Although mHealth presents opportunities to re-engineer the hospital-to-home transition, study participants are generally younger, healthier and of higher socioeconomic status,3 raising questions around its benefit to underserved populations at greater risk of poor health outcomes. We present a vulnerable patient with multiple cardiovascular risk factors and social barriers to healthcare, who derived great benefit (see the Patient’s perspective section) from an mHealth intervention for MI survivors.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 55-year-old woman with undiagnosed familial hypercholesterolaemia, pre-diabetes, tobacco use, physical inactivity, diet consisting of fried and processed foods, and morbid obesity was admitted with chest pain. She was diagnosed with an inferior ST-elevation MI and two drug-eluting stents were placed in her right coronary artery. She had previously been incarcerated, earned US$31 000 annually working at a retail store, and had been uninsured for several years. She had never received preventive care to reduce her cardiac risk factors.

Figure 1 Corrie iPhone and Apple Watch app for patients with acute myocardial infarction. (A) Medication adherence tracking and reminders; (B) vital signs monitoring, integrated with Apple Health. Realtime monitoring of heart rate and step count with the Apple Watch via Apple Health; (C) educational modules including videos, animations via Nucleus Media and articles at the 6th grade reading level. Completing modules is incentivized by ‘Heart of Gold’ reward; (D) Healthcare provider directories and appointment reminders which integrate with Apple Calendar. This figure was created by the coauthors with key technical assistance from Corrie engineering and Lead iOS Developer Ryan Demo. Note: Corrie is also available on all newer iPhone and Apple Watch models.
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# Patient’s perspective

## The beginning of her heart attack story: the shock and overwhelming experience of going through a myocardial infarction:

When I had the heart attack, [I was] scared, they’re telling my friend you’ve got to go to the waiting room, because she needs to get to the OR. [After the procedure] When I was in my hospital room… maybe a day went by [and] no matter what was going on and who was talking to me, I wasn’t comprehending half of what anybody was saying, I was still blown away from the heart attack. I was thinking of different stuff, as I thought of different stuff, I really wasn’t getting anything accomplished thinking about it because my mind was everywhere and nowhere all at the same damn time. You don’t feel safe after a heart attack. I was afraid to go to sleep. My days and nights were jacked up. I went to a shrink [later on].

## Her introduction to Corrie:

So then, in walks [my] doctor. I had been trying to think, I have always lived my life, that I have to have a purpose to do something, if I have a purpose in my life, I do better. And you started talking about the program and the benefits of [Corrie]. What I said was, I didn’t know, but I would try [the Corrie program]. And so that night, when my roommate came to visit me, I told her what you said, [Corrie] would keep track of everything from steps to heart beats. They should give one to everybody! I did every one [of the Corrie education videos] in the first night. I watched them all before I left the hospital.

## Her learning new technology with the help of caregivers:

I’m naive, I didn’t know nothing about technology, this technology, I went to school in the 80’s, that computer tech don’t mean a damn thing anymore, DOS is dead, all that stuff is done. So for me, the only technology I had was my flip phone. He taught me different ways to youtube and stuff. My partner’s son. He came over because he’s more tech. He thought it was cool, taught me different ways to youtube and stuff. My partner’s son.

## Her post-discharge experience with Corrie: quitting smoking, exercising, losing weight:

Now I have a purpose of what I’m going to do when I go home. I quit smoking, which was a huge huge obstacle. I went and got the vapor cigarette, only got the 12 point milligram nicotine. I couldn’t stop cold turkey, I knew I wouldn’t make it. I was doing everything that that watch and the telephone and you told me to do. We had some issues, because nobody would let me go to the cardio-workout that you guys wanted me to do because of insurance purposes. So I came every night and walked up and down these steps and did my own cardio work-out. Every time I got to the top it was reason to cheer. I went from 164 to 124 lbs when I was working the program.

I wanted to get used to it in the house before I went back to work. I was doing it up and down the street around the block, and then 2 weeks later when cardio people [rehab insurance representative] said no, I was over here running the steps. The tools helped, I learned how to do the tools.

## Her post-discharge experience with Corrie: diet changes:

We eat a lot of chicken now, I bake everything, there is no fried food, 1 day a week is a fried food day, because it used to be 5 days fried food, 2 days baked. So food has changed, because of the videos, and I got cookbooks from work, e.g. ‘eating healthy living longer’. In the videos it says you can get this book, or that

## The difference was you had something in your hand. I read everything on the Apple phone before doing anything, because you kept hitting different stuff, you could read and read. It explained different things, about the phone, about what Corrie was, about what the functions were of different things. I’ve always been a hands on kind of person, not a reader so I would rather have a tool, than to read about a tool. If I was reading a bunch of paperwork about I had to do this exercise, this exercise, and this exercise, those papers would stay sitting by the couch, and I probably wouldn’t have done anything. You know what I’m saying, It wouldn’t have motivated me to get up. But when I saw that the watch made me go to the bathroom and kitchen,
was tracking steps, I wanted to see how far the steps would go. I was like, maybe I can do this. So, it just got more exciting and more exciting. It just built up, it was crazy.

I felt it gave a purpose to get off the couch. If there would’ve been a stack of papers I probably would’ve stayed on the couch, because they gave me a stack of papers, I never look at them. I look at the watch.

As soon as you start seeing it work, that’s when you’re going to want to do better and better and better. I know your brain is everywhere else, but this will keep your brain from worrying about what you’re not doing. Because right now, you had a heart attack, and your life is never going to be the same, I’m not going to lie. You’re not gonna walk the same way, you’re not even going to talk the same way. But you will get better, if you just try.

**Her hospital discharge experience in general:**

It was only 2 days, then they were getting ready to release me, which I thought was kinda fast, I thought it was too fast, I almost didn’t want to leave. But that’s what the insurance company said. She can come go home and lay on a couch. At that time [after my MI], my partner was afraid of everything I did. She wouldn’t let me clean the house or sweep the floor.

**Her perspective on what could be better:**

But the obstacles are what you gotta look at. 9 times out of 10, that patient is going to able to do what you ask them to do. But you’re going to run into that 10th patient that because of her heart attack, she loses her insurance [i.e. our patient here], and it goes from 57 dollars a [bill] to 287 dollars a [bill]. The state says she makes too much. [The hospital representative] says they can’t put you on a finance plan. I can’t get into the office to see nobody. Those are the things you have to know, that there are going to be obstacles on these people’s part. They are not going to be able to do what you want them to do. They have to have a fund. It costs money for your people to see you and for your people to get here. Corrie should have included a monthly doctor’s visit with the patient, funded by Corrie.

**Her big picture, closing thoughts about Corrie:**

Take a couple hot shots from each hospital, and bring them here, they are gonna want what y’all did. It’s been a good thing for me, positive, rejuvenating. You walk your walk a different way. Life looks different. It feels different. I’m not there yet. I’m not ready to ‘give em up. Because I feel that I’m back to doing what I’m supposed to do, and I need to finish and this time I’m gonna finish. It did help.

See I don’t look at it as an app I look at it as the Corrie program is my heart. It wasn’t in any good shape the day she walked in the room. It wasn’t. And part of me wanted to give up, and part of me didn’t. For other people, not for me. At that point I didn’t know how I felt about me, I just knew my heart hurt. That I didn’t like it. That I had to do something different. So that quit hurtling. And then the Corrie program got introduced. So when I came home, everytime [my partner] said how’s your heart, I said the Corrie program’s got it, I’m gonna be OK. Because I trusted in the program, because she sold me on it, that it would help me get better. And that was the only way for the first few months that I could think, because otherwise I remembered how it hurt, and I didn’t want to remember that. If you asked me that day I would’ve been crying, I’m trying not to. But it really did, I thought I was going to die, I didn’t know if I was makin’ it. I just didn’t know what was gonna happen. And I think about it all the time. When I put my watch on in the morning and when I go to work, it’s gonna be a good day for Corrie. And when I say that, I’m talking about my heart, because as good as I did, I owe it to the program.

While hospitalised, she was enrolled in Corrie Myocardial infarction, Combined-device, Recovery Enhancement (MiCORE) study, which was a trial primarily aimed to determine if type I MI patients using Corrie have lower all-cause unplanned 30-day hospital readmissions and related healthcare costs compared with a historical comparison group. Corrie consists of (1) a smartphone application for medication management, education, vitals and care coordination, (2) cooperative sensors including an Apple Watch and an iHealth wireless blood pressure cuff and (3) a data backend platform. This intervention engages patients early during the hospitalisation and facilitates adoption of guideline-directed medical treatment and lifestyle modifications known to improve health outcomes. At the time of enrolment, the patient owned a flip phone and had never used a smartphone. She was provided with an iPhone preloaded with the Corrie app and Apple Watch and wireless blood pressure monitor to participate in the study. She was briefly trained for 30 min on how to use the app, and was provided with an orientation packet highlighting key features of the app.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

With the help of reminders from the Corrie app on both her phone and watch, she tracked adherence to her cardiac medications and follow-up appointments with her primary care doctor and cardiologist (figure 1A,D). She monitored her step count and increased her exercise to climbing stairs and/or walking 3–5 miles daily (figure 1B). She learnt more about cardiovascular health and her recent diagnosis through a curriculum consisting of brief, easy to understand and visually engaging educational videos (figure 1C). She also changed her lifestyle, as recommended in the videos, by quitting smoking, avoiding fast and fried foods, eating heart healthy foods and reducing her soda intake by half. At 30 days, and at an interview conducted 2.4 years after initial enrolment, she was continuing healthy daily habits, avoiding both post-MI complications and hospital readmission.

**Learning points**

- A revamp of the hospital discharge process with patient-centred mobile health (mHealth) technology has potential to enhance the myocardial infarction recovery process, in part through improved adherence to guideline-directed medical treatment and lifestyle modifications.
- mHealth is a promising approach to reinforce guideline-directed medical therapy and lifestyle modification.
- Underserved patients face significant barriers to achieving goals, in part driven by systemic hurdles and in part driven by the high cost of a healthy lifestyle (diet, exercise).
- mHealth typically is unable to reach underserved patients such as ours, due to the cost of digital technology; however, this case demonstrates that mHealth interventions are a promising modality to narrow health disparities, and empower patients in self-management.
DISCUSSION

The world of mHealth interventions is at the cusp of a revolution, owing to the rapid adoption of smartphones, smartwatches and other digitally connected health peripherals. In the past few years, researchers have begun to investigate the potential for digital health to enhance the prevention, diagnosis, management and treatment of diseases in nearly all specialties.9–17 mHealth interventions aimed specifically at improving the post-MI recovery process have targeted various aspects of the recovery process, from increasing medication adherence and lifestyle changes, to enhanced vital sign monitoring and digitalisation of cardiac rehabilitation.8–17 The electronic modality of such digital health interventions has been varied, and ranges from simple SMS (short messaging service) text messaging platforms, to integrated smartphone app-based and/or web-based portals. The Corrie platform, which our patient used, was a broad integration of these aforementioned features aimed at enhancing patient education, medication adherence, lifestyle changes, vital sign monitoring and care coordination. The Corrie platform’s comprehensive approach to recovery, paired with multi-device integration (smartphone, smartwatch, blood pressure cuff), is a unique and powerful approach to augmenting patient engagement and recovery by providing several layers of direct support to the patient. The intangible aspects of patient support (emotional, psychological) are multifaceted, as expressed through the patient’s own words in her commentary.

Randomised controlled trials studying mHealth interventions, though identifying benefit in post-MI patients, typically exclude patients who do not already own smartphones,9 14 and by extension present socioeconomic bias as wealthier patients are more likely to own smartphones. According to the Pew Research Center, as of 2019, more than 81% of adults in the USA owned a smartphone and considering individuals of low socioeconomic status, as defined by a salary of less than US$30,000, 71% owned a smartphone. Meanwhile, only 43% of individuals in developing nations owned one, leaving 19% of adults in the USA and 55% in developing nations without ready access to smartphone-based mHealth interventions. A key implementation issue for mHealth is access to mobile technology from a population health perspective.

There is often a large gap between what the patient does after hospital discharge, and what the clinician counsels the patient to do after hospital discharge. One of the greatest contributors to this disconnect is the inadequacy of the decades-old process of hospital discharge, wherein clinicians verbally communicate postdischarge teaching and instructions within a short period of time, then compile an after visit summary for the patient. mHealth, as showcased in this case and other larger scale studies,4 has demonstrated its utility in ensuring proper patient adherence to posthospital discharge instructions, even at 2.4 years follow-up. mHealth interventions are still an unusual novelty, but with active efforts at integration into the healthcare system, will likely become a mainstream channel to modernise antiquated healthcare practices. mHealth has great potential to accelerate our push into 21st century precision medicine, with tailored medical care that optimises care for each individual patient. One of the greatest advantages of mobile technology is its facile scalability on a population level while remaining personalised to each individual patient’s circumstances.

Ultimately, mHealth enabled our patient to transition home safely and improve her health despite a suboptimal hospital discharge system, medical comorbidities, socioeconomic disadvantage and unfamiliarity with smartphones. Her story highlights the potential for mHealth to improve the process of hospital discharge for all patients, including socioeconomically disadvantaged populations new to smartphones. While she obtained a smartphone through the study’s loaner programme, patients who cannot afford to purchase a smartphone could theoretically receive assistance through insurance reimbursement or health system investment based on expected savings in healthcare expenditures.

In conclusion, mHealth demonstrates the potential to transform the care of patients after hospital discharge and promote health equity, an approach deserving study and investment.
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