Homometry in the light of coherent beams
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The possibility to shape coherent X-ray beams from synchrotron light sources [1] and to get naturally coherent beams from X-ray free electron lasers (XFEL) [2], has revolutionized the way X-ray diffraction experiments are performed and analyzed. One of the most fascinating property of coherent diffraction is the possibility to measure speckle patterns [3], which are much more informative than the diffuse scattering obtained by classical diffraction. Together with the development of novel sources, phase retrieval algorithms have also emerged, allowing the reconstruction of the diffracting objects under certain conditions [4, 5]. However, the reconstruction of a structure is not always possible nor necessary to study the physics of materials. For example, measuring correlation lengths close to phase transitions [6] or slow dynamics with X-ray photon correlation spectroscopy [3, 7] does not require the full reconstruction of the system under study.

The purpose of this letter is to show that statistical analysis of speckle patterns can yield information on orders hidden to conventional X-ray analysis. In this respect, we are in line with recent works showing that four-point correlation functions, and to show their effect on the statistics of speckle patterns. Consequences for the study of real materials are discussed.

PACS numbers: 61.05.cc,61.43.-j,02.50.-r

INTRODUCTION

Two systems are homometric if they are indistinguishable by diffraction. We first make a distinction between Bragg and diffuse scattering homometry, and show that in the last case, coherent diffraction can allow the diffraction diagrams to be differentiated. The study of the Rudin-Shapiro sequence, homometric to random sequences, allows one to manipulate independently two-point and four-point correlation functions, and to show their effect on the statistics of speckle patterns. Consequences for the study of real materials are discussed.

The second term is the diffuse scattering intensity, which only depends on pair correlation function (CF).

The first term gives the intensity of the Bragg reflections, and the fringes due to finite size effects. For a crystal of \(N\) cells of structure factor \(F(q)\), it can be written as:

\[I_B(q) = |\langle F(q) \rangle|^2 \frac{\sin^2 q(N + 1)/2}{\sin^2 q/2}\]

In practice, the fringes given by the sine functions are only visible with a coherent beam illumination (for conditions of observation and examples see [3]).

The second term is the diffuse scattering intensity, which only depends on pair correlation function (CF). For random disorder, it reduces to the well-known Laue formula:

\[I(q) = N x (1 - x) (f_A - f_B)^2,\]
random refers to the vanishing of the pair CF (i.e. \( \langle \Delta f_0 \Delta f_m \rangle = \langle \Delta f_0 \rangle \langle \Delta f_m \rangle = 0 \) for \( m \neq 0 \)).

The third term gives rise to speckles. Like fringes, speckles only exist if the incident beam is coherent enough, and if the system does not explore too many configurations during acquisition time \( T \) (non-ergodicity condition \( \langle \Delta m \rangle_T \neq 0 \)). Interestingly enough, working out of coherent conditions has the effect of averaging out \( \Delta m \), which yields ensemble averaged quantities.

**HOMOMETRY**

Homometry - etymologically *same distance* - is a word coined by A. Patterson \[15, 16\], to describe the property of non-congruent sets of points to possess the same pair distances (or the same difference sets) \[17\]. Homometric sets have thus the same diffraction pattern, as Eq. (1) demonstrates. A simple example of homometry is given by the two sets \( S = \{0, 1, 4, 10, 12, 17\} \) and \( S' = \{0, 1, 8, 11, 13, 17\} \) \[17\]. Indeed, the structure factors \( F(q) \) of the two sets have the same amplitude squared at the Bragg positions \( q = 2\pi h/8 \) but not out of Bragg positions. Eq (4) shows that the fringes intensity, revealed by coherent diffraction, gives out-of-Bragg values of \( |F(q)|^2 \) which, at least in theory, allows to distinguish \( H \) and \( H' \), and solves the B-homometry issue. This is well-known and corresponds to the oversampling requirement of the phase retrieval algorithms \[18, 19\]. It is clear however that if the atomic basis is homometric itself, like e.g. in crystals with \( S \) or \( S' \) basis, the problem cannot be solved, coherence or not.

**DIFFUSE SCATTERING HOMOMETRY**

Surprising examples of D-homometry were designed by Welberry et al. \[14, 20\]. They consist in substitutionally disordered lattices with triplet (or quadruplet) short range ordered (SRO) CF \[21\], but zero two-point correlations (Fig. 2a). Diffraction diagram of these lattices present the same Bragg and diffuse scattering intensity \[14\]. But, as shown in Fig. 2, their speckle patterns are different, which breaks the D-homometry.

A more tractable (though subtle) example of D-homometry is provided by the geometrically ordered (GO) \[22\] Rudin-Shapiro (RS) sequence \[23\], whose...
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\[
g_4(n) = \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_{n+1}.
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We first decreased $\eta_4$ while keeping $g_4$-LRO and $g_2$-disorder by using the "Bernoullization" procedure as defined in \cite{2}. It consists in changing the sign of each $\sigma_n$ with probability $p$, in order to build sequences intermediate between the pure RS ($p = 0,1$) and BS ($p = 0.5$) sequences. The order parameter $\eta_4$ was found to vary as $\eta_4(p) \sim (1 - 2p)^4/4$.

Typical density probabilities density shown in Fig. 3(b), exhibit a continuous evolution as a function of $p$. The step-function behavior is rapidly lost as $p \to 0.5$, with the best sensitivity close to the small intensity values $P(I = 0) \approx P_0$. Simulations show that $P_0(p)$ closely follows $1 - 2\eta_4(p)$, and that sizeable deviation from the normal law starts from $p \gtrsim 0.8$.

Effect of $g_4$-SRO on the speckle pattern was studied by shifting the sequence by two lattice periods at certain points, randomly selected with probability $p$. This ensures to achieve $g_4$-SRO, clearly seen by the broadening of the $g_4(\pi/2)$ peak, while keeping the $g_2(\eta)$ correlation to zero. Figure 3(c) shows the results for different $p$ values, corresponding to the average distances between faults $\xi$ given in the caption. We checked that $P(I)_{RS}$ is unaffected for $\xi \lesssim N/40$. This shows that the extent of the four-point order has also an effect on the density probability, much larger than in the Bernoullization procedure.

Finally, let us mention that the problem of C-homometry, which is somehow the true homometry, is clearly related to the unicity of inverse problems, which is beyond the scope of this paper. It is important to note, however, that the use of ptychography \cite{29}, in which diffraction patterns are obtained by shifting illumination on the sample, can solve difficult problems of phase retrieval \cite{22}, including C-homometry.

**DISCUSSION**

It might seem pointless to discuss the problem of homometry while phase retrieval algorithms and ptychography can provide the full structural information, including high-order correlation functions. However, the full measurement of 3D speckle patterns is time consuming and in many situations it is not possible to get the data needed for such inversions. Methods of speckle analyses on quickly measured diagrams are thus needed to get novel information on the materials.

As stressed in ref. \cite{31}, real cases of true B-homometry are rare. On the contrary, because disorder is concerned, D-homometry is very frequent especially when systems are large. Moreover, it is related to high-order CF, which are hardly accessible to experiments. \cite{8,10,31,32}. However, although D-homometric systems have almost always different speckle patterns, it is not yet clear whether this difference is quantifiable. Indeed, though the probability density $P_{RS}(I)$ of our test bed sequence is strikingly different from its homometric BS, the observed effects are very sensitive to disorder, and are almost invisible when four-point correlation lengths are too short. In this respect, we checked that the probability density of the lattice shown in Fig. 4 does not present sizeable deviation from the normal law. Qualitatively, the "spikiness" of speckle patterns is quickly reinforced by the introduction of randomness, which makes deviation to the negative exponential curve delicate to observe.

Consequently, though it is tempting to conclude from this study that high-order correlation functions are directly observable through speckle statistics analysis, much theoretical work is still needed to find the relevant parameters controlling the statistics. Such an effort could be supported by more sophisticated analyses such as the use of second-order (or higher) probability functions \cite{22}. The simple fact that the lattices of Fig. 4 can be reconstructed with minimum information shows that high-order CF are somehow hidden in the speckle repartition.

An experimental difficulty lies in the presence of two-point correlations in all real systems (the classical SRO), which could obviously mask the speckle distribution analysis. In this respect, we have checked that, at least for SRO lattices with no high-order correlations, dividing the speckles pattern intensity by its associated diffuse scattering one $I_{DD}$ (by smoothing, averaging or fitting) makes $P(I/I_{DD})$ follow the normal decreasing exponential law. This can help disentangling high-orders effects from two-point ones.

Another issue might be the partial coherence of the beam, which reduces the speckles contrast and makes the previous analyses difficult. This could be overcome by the analysis of the speckles maximum intensities, which exhibit similar statistical properties (not shown here).

In conclusion, we suggest the that speckle statistics analysis could be used as another tool to test for the presence of high-order correlations. Indeed much physics could be explored with the measurement of high-order correlations, still hidden to experiments (see ref. 31 for examples). We hope this work will impulse theoretical and experimental studies on the role of high-order correlation functions on coherent diffraction diagram.
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