Quaternary functionalized mesoporous adsorbents for ultra-high kinetics of CO₂ capture from air
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Obstacles to widespread deployments of direct air capture of CO₂ (DAC) lie in high material and energy costs. By grafting quaternary ammonium (QA) functional group to mesoporous polymers with high surface area, a unique DAC adsorbent with moisture swing adsorption (MSA) ability and ultra-high kinetics was developed in this work. Functionalization is designed for efficient delivery of QA group through mesopores to active substitution sites. This achieved ultra-high kinetics adsorbent with half time of 2.9 min under atmospheric environment, is the highest kinetics value reported among DAC adsorbents. A cyclic adsorption capacity of 0.26 mmol g⁻¹ is obtained during MSA process. Through adsorption thermodynamics, it is revealed that adsorbent with uniform cylindrical pore structure has higher functional group efficiency and CO₂ capacity. Pore structure can also tune the MSA ability of adsorbent through capillary condensation of water inside its mesopores. The successful functionalization of mesoporous polymers with superb CO₂ adsorption kinetics opens the door to facilitate DAC adsorbents for large-scale carbon capture deployments.

Limiting global warming to less than 1.5 °C over preindustrial levels with limited or no overshoot projects the Negative Emission Technologies (NETs)¹, which is expected to remove CO₂ on the order of 100 Gt by midcentury². As an important component of NETs portfolio, direct air capture (DAC) of CO₂ by chemicals has advantages of low environmental risk, convenient feedstock for CO₂ utilization and unlimited capacity in reducing atmospheric CO₂ level¹³. However, NETs are always challenged by low kinetics issues under the ultra-low CO₂ partial pressure of 40 Pa in air. Under this atmospheric environment, the rates of CO₂ uptake by photosynthesis and ocean absorption are on the order of 10⁻⁶ and 10⁻⁸ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively⁵,⁶.

Kinetics of artificial material of DAC can be hundreds of times higher than that of natural process. Decades of sorbents development for flue gas capture provides various functional parts referenced to DAC, ranging from alkali hydroxides to solid amines⁷. As both functionalization and CO₂ adsorption need channels for molecule diffusion, porous DAC adsorbents have aroused extensive concerns. During functional group impregnation, researchers found that amine molecules are prone to first filling small pores (< 10 nm) due to their relatively high surface potential⁸,⁹. This would result in complete filling of pore channels and limiting CO₂ diffusion after functionalization, especially for adsorbents with microporous. To avoid this outcome, low functional group loading (e.g. < 9 mmol N g⁻¹) is suggested to keep a certain amount of mesopores for CO₂ diffusion and microporous. To avoid this outcome, low functional group loading (e.g. < 9 mmol N g⁻¹) is suggested to keep a certain amount of mesopores (e.g. 1 cm² g⁻¹ for aerogel¹⁰, 0.35 cm² g⁻¹ for mesoporous alumina¹¹). In situ polymerization with amine-containing monomers, e.g., linear poly-l-alanine⁸ or hyperbranched aminosilica¹², is also a preference for keeping the mesoporous structure of adsorbents.

Of particular interest to this work is chemically grafting quaternary ammonium (QA) groups on mesoporous material for DAC adsorption. The QA groups possess the ability of moisture swing adsorption (MSA) which employs water to trigger the desorption, rather than heat or electrical energy. Therefore, the MSA could have a lower energy consumption than temperature-swing adsorption (TSA) process¹³. The pore structures are introduced to MSA adsorbent in this work to enhance its molecular diffusivity. The grafting of QA cations with strong ionic bonding towards anions can avoid the overlap of functional groups inside mesopores¹⁴. Also, the mesopores can provide more surface area for functionalization, compared to macroporous supports⁴,¹⁵,¹⁶ or cellulose fiber with limited pore structure¹⁷. This further provides sufficient channels for CO₂ diffusion and high efficiency ammonium sites for CO₂ to be captured. On the other hand, moisture swing adsorption (MSA) is known as
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water can distinctly alter the binding energy of QA ion pair to CO₂ through the Brønsted base mechanism. Thus, water behavior in mesopores, such as diffusion and capillary condensation, will ultimately project on the performance of moisture swing adsorbents.

**Results**

**Design and fabrication of QMPRs.** Quaternary ammonium functionalized mesoporous adsorbents (QMPRs) are produced through a three-step process illustrated in Fig. 1a. Using this approach, three types of porous QMPRs based on MPRs with similar particle size (500–600 µm as shown in Fig. 1b,c) have been prepared (FTIR analysis and surface morphology are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1). During synthesis process, dichloromethane and methanol were selected as swelling agents, as they can overcome steric hindrance and permeate reagents into active substitution sites at a sufficiently swelled state. Carbonate is selected as the counter anion to QA group to build a strong interaction with CO₂ in air.

**Characterization of pore structure.** N₂ adsorption and desorption isotherms of different MPRs are shown in Fig. 2a. All MPRs exhibit type IV isotherms with hysteresis loops, which is associated with capillary condensation of nitrogen taking place in mesopores. The H2 hysteresis loop of MRP-1 indicates special ink-bottle-like mesopores with narrow opening, while H1 hysteresis loops of MRP-2 and MPR-3 generally suggesting cylindrical pores with more uniform size of resins. For MPR-3, it should have a certain amount of macropores (> 50 nm), as the isotherms showed no obvious adsorption saturation at large P/P₀ where fits the zone of multilayer adsorption. Quaternization didn’t change the pore type of MPRs and QMPRs based on the same type of isotherms. However, the pore volumes of QMPR-2 and QMPR-3 drop significantly compared to MPRs, especially between the pore size of 30 and 50 nm (Fig. 2b). This could be primarily contributed by the swelling process, where it generally induce a 15–25% increase in bulk volume and squeeze mesopores of resin. On the other hand, the swelling effect also result in higher surface area (Table 1) and higher amination efficiency, as the grafting of TMA may barely affect the pore structure of resin due to the small molecular size (0.66 nm) and...
monolayer grafting\(^{23}\). The decreasing surface area of QMPR-3 compare to MPR-3 may be due to the combined effect of swelling and amination.

**Quaternization with pore structure of MPRs.** The CO\(_2\) capacity of QMPRs can be predicted by nitrogen content (Q\(_N\)) or charge density (Q\(_c\)) through Eq. (1) below\(^{13,24}\), which the results are listed in Table 2.

## Table 1. Summary of textural properties of resin samples. C and N: the weight content of carbon and nitrogen from element analysis, respectively. \(S_{\text{BET}}\) (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)): the specific surface area by BET method; \(V_{\text{DFT}}\) (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) and \(D_{\text{DFT}}\) (nm): the total pore volume and average pore size calculated by DFT method, respectively.

| Resin samples | N (wt.%) | C/N | \(S_{\text{BET}}\) (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) | \(V_{\text{DFT}}\) (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) | \(D_{\text{DFT}}\) (nm) |
|---------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| MPR-1         | –        | –   | 808                                 | 1.04                                | 10.42               |
| QMPR-1        | 1.30     | 55.95 | 975                                 | 1.24                                | 11.51               |
| MPR-2         | –        | –   | 864                                 | 0.92                                | 21.64               |
| QMPR-2        | 1.41     | 50.75 | 967                                 | 0.71                                | 23.84               |
| MPR-3         | –        | –   | 662                                 | 1.11                                | 40.14               |
| QMPR-3        | 1.39     | 51.97 | 636                                 | 0.62                                | 46.68               |

## Table 2. Capacity and efficiency of polymeric resins for adsorption. \(\rho\) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)): ion charge density through Mohr titration; \(Q_N\) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)): CO\(_2\) capacity calculated from N content; \(Q_i\) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)): CO\(_2\) capacity calculated from \(\rho\); \(Q_{400\text{ppm}}\): experimental CO\(_2\) capacity at 400 ppm. FG: the grafted functional group (\(2[N(CH_3)_4]^+\cdot\text{CO}_3^{2-}\)) of QMPR.

| Adsorbents | \(\rho\) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)) | Capacity (mmol g\(^{-1}\)) | FG efficiency (%) |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| QMPR-1     | 0.75                        | 0.46 0.37 0.22            | 80.4 59.5         |
| QMPR-2     | 0.93                        | 0.50 0.46 0.28            | 92.0 60.9         |
| QMPR-3     | 0.75                        | 0.50 0.38 0.22            | 76.0 57.9         |

Figure 2. (a) \(N_2\) adsorption–desorption isotherms. (b) Pore size distributions calculated by density functional theory (DFT). (c) Pore characteristic schemes of gel IER, ink-bottle mesoporous, cylindrical uniform pores and cylindrical macropores.
The ion exchange efficiency of functional group (FG), $\eta_1$, is employed to measure the availability of QA group. Only the QA cations electrostatic interacting with carbonate ions are effective for CO$_2$ adsorption. Gel type ion exchange resins (IER) generally have a high $\eta_1$ of over 98%. Compared with that, the relatively lower $\eta_1$ of QMPR should be due to the uneven distribution of QA groups inside the mesopores. Ion exchange for carbonate requires two QA cations with proper distance. Cation distance larger or shorter than the size of one carbonate molecule between two adjacent cations will result in increased potential energy of ion exchange. For gel type IER, as illustrated in Fig. 2c, the cations are randomly distributed in the three-dimensional network of crosslinked polymer. The strong repulsion between cations will result in a uniform cation distance of about 0.8 nm for IER with charge density of 3.4 mmol g$^{-1}$, which provides proper space for counter anions with multivalence, e.g. carbonate, acetate, or phosphate anion. During quaternization of QMPR, the diffusion of acyl group through micropores in gel type resins would have large resistance compared to diffusion through mesopores. The QA group would be concentrated inside the pores which can be indicated by the poor content of nitrogen, or large value of C/N. Uneven spatial distribution of cations, or cations with distance of far smaller or larger than the size of carbonate ion will result in poor ion exchange efficiency. For QMPRs, the $\eta_1$ should also be related to the pore shape. Compared to QMPR-1 with ink-bottle pores and QMPR-3 with macropores, QMPR-2 with cylindrical mesopores is expected to have QA cations with more uniform spatial distance. This should be the reason why QMPR-2 has relatively higher ion exchange efficiency.

**Mechanism of water effect on capacity of QMPRs.** CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms of QMPR adsorbents are plotted in Fig. 3a, which exhibited Langmuir isotherm characteristics (Supplementary Fig. 3). No CO$_2$ adsorption is detected in MPRs (Supplementary Fig. 4). QMPR-2 exhibits the largest CO$_2$ capacity of 0.28 mmol g$^{-1}$ under relative humidity (RH) of 21% and CO$_2$ concentration of 400 ppm, while QMPR-1 and QMPR-3 have relatively lower CO$_2$ capacity. This adsorption results consist with the ion exchange capacity of QMPRs. Table 2 shows that, for all the quaternized adsorbents, the functional group efficiencies at 400 ppm, $\eta_2$,
are close to 60%. Although η₂ is larger than that of most solid amines¹¹,²⁷, it is still lower than that of gel type IER¹³. From thermodynamic point of view, the functional group efficiency under a certain CO₂ partial pressure is determined by the equilibrium constant, which reflects the binding ability of adsorbents with CO₂. For moisture swing adsorbents, the CO₂ binding energy should be primarily affected by environmental humidity²⁴ and hydrophilicity of material¹⁷. Due to the hydrophilic feature of QA groups²⁷, QMPR has higher water sorption capacity compared to MPR (Supplementary Table 1). One can assume that the increased water content after amination is concentrated around the functional groups. Therefore, it is not surprising to find that QMPR and gel IER have similar hydrophilicity, or water content per functional group. More influential effect goes to the capillary condensation of water taking place inside the pore channels, which changes the environmental humidity in local pores. The capillary condensation under equilibrium conditions is given by Kelvin’s equation:

\[
\ln \frac{p}{p_0} = - \frac{2 V_L y \cos \theta}{rRT}
\]

\(V_L\) is the molar volume of water, \(y\) is the surface tension of water, and \(R\) is the gas constant. For a hydrophilic surface (contact angle, \(\theta < 90^\circ\)), the saturation vapor pressure inside the pores \((p)\), as a function of pore width \((d)\) and temperature \((T)\), is smaller than that in bulk phase \((p_0)\). Condensation can, therefore, take place under higher relative humidity²⁸. As illustrated in Fig. 3b, under water vapor partial pressure of 500 Pa at 20 °C (21% RH in ambient air), the local relative humidity in pores smaller than 10 nm could be over 30%. The increased number of water molecules surrounding by QA groups will increase the free energy of water dissociation for hydroxide ion and lead to decreased binding ability of adsorbents with CO₂²⁴. The moisture swing adsorption property of QMPR-2 is depicted by the CO₂ adsorption isotherms at different relative humidity (Fig. 3c). By adsorbing 400 ppm CO₂ at 21% RH, and desorbing at RH of 100%, a stable swing capacity of 0.26 mmol g⁻¹ can be obtained (cyclic adsorption shown in Fig. 3d).

Adsorption kinetics of QMPRs. Figure 4a demonstrates that CO₂ adsorption kinetics of QMPRs is governed by the surface area of adsorbent. QMRP-3, which owns the smallest surface area among adsorbents, has the lowest adsorption rate. QMPR-1 and QMPR-2 have similar surface area and their adsorption rates are also close at initial state. Meanwhile, it is interesting to find that the adsorption rate of QMPR-1 drops more rapidly with increased CO₂ saturation. This should be due to the special ink-bottle-like micro-pore structure as indicated by the hysteresis loop of QMRP-1. Previous isothermal studies²⁴ revealed that quaternary ammonium adsorbent will release part of hydrated water during CO₂ adsorption, e.g. 2.7 to 3.7 mol H₂O mol⁻¹ CO₂. During CO₂ adsorption of QMPR-1, the released water could be gradually trapped by the ink-bottle-like microstructure. This would result in fast accumulation of humidity in local pores, and ultimately deteriorate its adsorption kinetics.

Adsorption half time of QMPRs, which is defined as the time to reach half of CO₂ capacity, could be calculated through a mixed 1,2-order equation²⁹ (Fitting result is shown in Supplementary Table 2). QMMPR-2 exhibits an exciting feature of kinetics with half time of 2.9 min (Fig. 4b), which is the highest kinetics value reported among DAC adsorbents. Enhanced kinetics of MSA gives great opportunities to facilitate the deployment of direct air capture in large-scale carbon capture. Adsorption quadrantal diagram with two indicators of adsorption capacity and adsorption half-time is employed to evaluate the state-of-the-art MSA adsorbents for DAC. Due to the high extent of quaternization during sol–gel fabrication of commercial resin³⁴, the MSA adsorbents based on commercial quaternized resins generally have CO₂ capacity as high as 1.5 mmol g⁻¹, and are scattered.
at quadrant I. Recently synthesized MSA adsorbents have high kinetics and located in quadrant III as they are bottom-up designed based on backbone with porous structure. The ideal MSA adsorbent, which has both high capacity and high kinetics, can be expected by grafting functional group into mesoporous residues during sol–gel fabrication process.

Discussion
The moisture swing separation process, which adsorb CO₂ at dry atmosphere and desorb CO₂ at high relative humidity, provides a promising approach to low cost CO₂ capture from air. In this work, the quaternary ammonium functional group was grafted onto mesoporous polymers to develop MSA adsorbent with high kinetics. In order to provide sufficient mass transfer channels for diffusion of functional group during grafting, Dichloromethane and methanol were selected as swelling agents as they can overcome steric hindrance and permeate reagents into active substitution sites at a sufficiently swelled state. The swelling effect also results in increased surface area and amination efficiency which are quite different from traditional amine functionalized adsorbents. Furthermore, cylindrical mesopores, which exhibits type IV isotherms with H1 hysteresis loops, are expected to have quaternary ammonium functional group with more uniform spatial distance and higher amination efficiency. The adsorbent synthesis employing proper swelling agents and polymer support with optimized mesoporous structure achieved adsorption half time of 2.9 min under atmospheric environment. This is the highest kinetics value reported among DAC adsorbents. Moreover, the behavior of water is significantly affected by mesopores, which further alters the binding energy of quaternary ammonium ion pair to CO₂. Mesopores have lower saturation vapor pressure according to Kelvin’s equation capillary and have higher environmental humidity in local pores. The increased hydration water can distinctly decrease the binding energy of quaternary ammonium ion pairs to CO₂ through the Brønsted base mechanism. This can result in poor functional group efficiencies at 400 ppm, or moisture swing ability, compared to gel type ion exchange resin. Further improvement of MSA adsorbent with high capacity and kinetics can be expected by grafting quaternary ammonium into mesoporous matrix with hydrophilic groups.

Methods
Fabrication of QMPRs. Commercial nonpolar porous resins (XAD-4, XAD-16, and XAD-1180N, purchased from Aladdin, China) were pretreated by 0.1 M HCl, 5 wt.% NaOH and deionized (DI) water to remove the impurities. After dried at 60 °C under vacuum, 4.00 g mesoporous resin (MPR) sample was placed in a four-necked flask (equipped with constant pressure funnel, reflux condenser, thermometer, and mechanical stirring). 20 mL dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) was added as solvent and the mixture was stirred for 12 h to fully swell the microspheres. Chloroacetyl chloride (reagent) and powdered anhydrous aluminum chloride (Lewis catalyst) were slowly added to the mixture under N₂ protection (molar ratio of MPR : reagent : catalyst is 1:1:1). After 4.5 h room-temperature reaction, the mixture was suction-filtered and washed with tetrahydrofuran, 0.1 M HCl, and DI water in sequence, until chloride ion could not be detected in the solution. The resulting light-brown microspheres were named as MPR-CA-1, MPR-CA-2, and MPR-CA-3, respectively. The 4.00 g MPR-CA then swelled in 20 mL MeOH for 6 h at room temperature. Accompanied with 60 wt.% trimethylamine (TMA, 3.0 e.q. based on chloroacetyl chloride), the mixture was reacted in the flask with an oil bath (fitted reflux) pre-heated to 70 °C. After 4 h, the obtained resin microspheres (MPR-Cl) were packed in a column, washing with MeOH and DI water to remove the residuals. The obtained product was dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. After ion-exchange with 1 M Na₂CO₃ solution, the synthesized quaternary ammonium anion exchange mesoporous resins (QMPRs) were produced.

Characterization. Pore characteristics of MPRs and QMPRs were analyzed using N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms (ASAP2020, Micromeritics, USA). Total pore volume was based on the adsorbed amount of N₂ at P/P₀ = 0.99. The specific surface area was calculated using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method (0.01 < P/P₀ < 0.1), and pore-size distribution was obtained by density functional theory (DFT). Chemical structure of resin samples was identified by a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, Digilab BioRad FTS 6000 spectrometer, ATR mode), which scans from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹. The element contents, including carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen of resins, were determined though vario MAX cube and Elementar equipment. Surface morphology of resin samples were observed by a Hitachi SU-8010 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The Mohr titration was used to quantify the chloride ion amount in exchanging residue of QMPRs. By getting charge density (Qₐ) of QMPR, an ideal CO₂ capacity can be calculated from it. Thus, it further gives the ion exchange efficiencies (ηₑ) of functional group (FG) and FG efficiencies at 400 ppm (ηᵦ) of QMPRs.

CO₂ adsorption measurements. CO₂ adsorption isotherms and kinetics were performed in a self-made system (Supplementary Fig. 5). CO₂ leakage was tested before each measurement. By repeatedly injecting 0.5 ml of CO₂ using syringe, the leakage rate was tested as 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ ppm s⁻¹ under a CO₂ concentration difference of 500 ppm. This verifies that CO₂ leaking issue could be negligible compared to the injected amount.

Isothermal and kinetics models. 4.00 g QMPR was loaded in the reaction chamber, dried by ultra-high-purity (UHP) N₂ before adsorption. Relative humidity (RH) was controlled at 21% under 20 °C. CO₂ was injected into chamber repeatedly once adsorption equilibrium was reached. An isotherm related between CO₂ concentration and adsorbed amount can be obtained as:


\[ Q_e = \frac{Q_{inj} - c_e V_s}{m_{ad} V_m} \]  

where \( Q_e \) and \( Q_{inj} \) are \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorbed and injected volume. \( c_e \) is the \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration at equilibrium. \( V_s \) is the volume of the system (8 L). \( m_{ad} \) is the adsorbent weight. \( V_m \) is the molar volume.

Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin isotherm models are employed to fit the MSA equilibrium. These models can be reformed by linear relationship between monotonic function of \( Q_e \) and \( P \).

- **Langmuir isotherm:**
  \[
  \frac{1}{Q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_{max}} + \frac{1}{K'Q_{max}P}
  \]

- **Freundlich isotherm:**
  \[
  \ln Q_e = A_1 + B_1 \ln P
  \]

- **Temkin isotherm:**
  \[
  Q_e = A_2 + B_2 \ln P
  \]

where \( Q_e \) and \( Q_{max} \) are \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorbed amount at equilibrium and 100% saturation, respectively. \( A_1, B_1, A_2, \) and \( B_2 \) are adsorption parameters calculated by linear fitting. \( K' \) is the effective equilibrium constant of adsorption.

The normalized adsorption rate at a certain \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration is determined by calculating the slope of \( \theta(t) \sim t \) curve. By measuring the \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration \( (C_t) \) at time \( t \), the saturation of adsorbent \( (\theta_t) \) can be calculated as:

\[
\theta(t) = \frac{Q_{inj} - C_t V_s}{Q_{max}}
\]

Pseudo-first-order (PFO), pseudo-second order (PSO), and mixed 1,2-order (MOE) rate models are employed to investigate the adsorption kinetics, which expressed as:

- **PFO rate model:**
  \[
  Q_t = Q_{e_1}(1 - e^{-k_1t})
  \]

- **PSO rate model:**
  \[
  Q_t = \frac{k_2Q_{e_2}^2t}{1 + k_2Q_{e_2}^2t}
  \]

- **MOE rate model**:
  \[
  Q_t = Q_{e_1}(1 - e^{-k_1t}) + \frac{k_2Q_{e_2}^2t}{1 + k_2Q_{e_2}^2t}
  \]

\( f_2 = \frac{k_2Q_{e_2}}{k_1 + k_2Q_{e_2}} \)

where \( Q \) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)) is \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorbed amount at time \( t \), \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are rate constants for PFO and PSO models, respectively. \( f_2 \) is the percentage of PSO equation in MOE rate model.

**\( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) adsorption analysis.**  \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) adsorption capacity of MPRs and QMPRs were obtained using a gravimetric method. Sample of resin (~ 1.00 g) were first dried in the chamber using UHP N\(_2\), note \( m_d \) (g) as its dry mass. Humid N\(_2\) (N\(_2\) pass through humidity controller) was then introduced to the chamber, and record \( m_w \) (g) as the mass of sample saturated at a certain RH. This process was operated multiple times until \( m_w \) obtained from the electronic balance was stable. \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) adsorbed amount of each sample, \( Q_w \) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)), was expressed as:

\[
Q_w = \frac{1000(m_w - m_d)}{18m_d}
\]

---
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