Effect of Age and Severity of Facial Palsy on Taste Thresholds in Bell’s Palsy Patients
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Introduction

The most common symptoms in patients with Bell’s palsy include facial palsy and facial asymmetry. This condition, however, is sometimes accompanied by other symptoms, including otalgia, taste loss, dry eyes/eye discomfort, tinnitus, hearing loss, and dizziness. Bell’s palsy patients who recover incompletely from their main symptoms after treatment are often dissatisfied with their outcomes, but little is known about the link between treatment and accompanying residual symptoms and patient satisfaction [1].

The facial nerves have multiple functions, including: 1) the control of muscles responsible for facial expressions, via motor fibers, 2) the control of secretions by the lacrimal and salivary glands, via parasympathetic fibers, 3) the control of taste buds in the anterior two-thirds of the tongue, via special sensory nerve fibers, and 4) the control of general sensory nerve fibers that affect the auricles, the posterior wall of the external auditory canals, the ear lobes, and deep sensations of facial soft tissue. The special sensory nerve fibers of the facial nerve convey taste sensations from the anterior two-thirds of the tongue via the chorda tympani nerve and genic-
ulate ganglion to the nucleus tractus solitaries in the medulla oblongata. Thus, paralysis of the facial nerve may lead to dysgeusia in the anterior two-thirds of the tongue [2].

Factors affecting sensitivity to tastes include smell disorders, smoking, ingestion of alcohol, medications, degeneration with aging, endocrine changes in climacteric and pregnant women, mental and psychological factors, and xerostomia. Other factors include chronic diseases of the upper respiratory tract and laryngopharynx, chewing disorders, salivary gland disorders, poor dental hygiene and malocclusion of dental prostheses [3-5]. Many factors affecting taste acuity have been analyzed, including aging, foods, upper respiratory tract infections, olfactory sense, drinking alcohol, medications, trauma, head injury, chemical damage, accident, heavy metal poisoning, endocrine-metabolic disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, neurotransmitters, tumors, congenital abnormalities, and lifestyle patterns. To date, however, few studies have utilized electrogustometry (EGM) and chemical taste tests to assess the reduced sense of taste in Bell’s palsy patients [6,7]. This study therefore compared taste thresholds in Bell’s palsy patients and healthy subjects, as well as the effects on taste of age and the severity of facial paralysis.

Subjects and Methods

The study included 29 subjects diagnosed with Bell’s palsy in our hospital between January 2014 and May 2015; their degree of Bell’s palsy was determined using the House-Brackmann Scale. The control group consisted of 47 healthy volunteers without previous taste disorder or ENT diseases (IRB No. KMC 2014-05-108). Subjects in both groups were classified by age into those <40 and ≥40 years. Subjects were evaluated by EGM (EG-IIB; Nagashima Medical Instrument Co., Tokyo, Japan) and chemical taste tests at within 14 days from the onset. Those who met any of the following criteria were excluded from the study: lack of a sense of hygiene; medical history of secretory, oral, degenerative neuronal, endocrine, cerebrovascular or psychological disease; innate abnormalities; or tumors. Patients suspected of neurological abnormalities and those with dysosmia or dysgeusia were also excluded. Participants were instructed not to eat or drink anything besides water at least one hour before testing.

EGM was used to measure electric threshold scores at four locations on the tongue, consisting of the left and right middle portions and the anterior and posterior borders of the circumvallate papillae; and at one location on the central soft palate, 1 cm above the palatine uvula (Fig. 1). EGM delivery of electric stimuli to these locations using a steel probe has been shown to produce a metallic, sour or bitter taste, with the lowest electric current defined as the threshold value. Before the start of testing, a stimulus greater than the threshold value was administered to ensure that every subject could recognize EGM stimuli. Stimulation was initiated at the lowest current (3 μA) and was increased in 22 steps to a maximum of 400 μA until the subjects recognized the stimulus.

Chemical tastes were performed at two locations, the midline of the tongue and the central soft palate, 1 cm above from the uvula. Five reagents were tested: sucrose for sweet taste, sodium chloride for salty taste, quinine-hydrochloride for bitter taste, tartaric acid for sour taste, and monosodium glutamate for savory taste (Fig. 2). Each reagent was tested at 10 concentrations. Several drops of each concentration of reagent were carefully placed on the two locations using a pipette. Subjects were instructed to indicate the type of taste. To wash out the previous taste, the order of the chemical stimuli was randomized, the mouth was rinsed with tap water, and 1–2 minutes were allowed to elapse until the next test.
All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The electrical threshold values of the two groups were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests. The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

**Results**

**Subjects**

The 29 patients in the Bell’s palsy group comprised 8 males and 21 females, of mean age 41.3 years (range: 14–70 years); these included 11 patients <40 years (mean age, 23.9 years) and 18 patients ≥40 years (mean age, 52 years). In comparison, the 47 healthy subjects comprised 21 males and 26 females, of mean age 37.3 years (range: 14–76 years); these included 28 subjects <40 years (mean age, 28.4 years) and 19 subjects ≥40 years (mean age, 50.4 years). There was no significant difference in age between control and facial palsy groups. (p>0.05). Of the 29 Bell’s palsy patients, 17 had right-sided and 12 had left-sided Bell’s palsy. Grading by the House-Brackmann Scale showed that 6 patients were diagnosed as Grade II; 3 as Grade III; 14 as Grade IV; and 6 as Grade V.

**Differences in taste thresholds between Bell’s palsy and control subjects**

EGM showed that the electrical taste thresholds at all five locations, four on the tongue and one on the central soft palate, were significantly higher in the Bell’s palsy than in the control group (p<0.05 each). Chemical tests for sweet, salty, bitter, sour, and savory tastes at the two locations on the tongue and central soft palate showed no significant differences in taste thresholds between the two groups (p>0.05 each) (Table 1).

**Taste thresholds as a function of severity of facial paralysis**

The Bell’s palsy patients were divided into two subgroups based on the House-Brackmann Scale: a mild-moderate group (Grades II, III, and IV; n=23) and a severe group (Grades V and VI; n=6). No significant between-group differences in electrical taste thresholds or in chemical taste tests at any locations on the tongue and soft palate were observed (p>0.05 each) (Table 2).

**Differences between Bell’s palsy group and controls aged <40 years**

Overall, the mean electrical taste thresholds in Bell’s palsy patients aged <40 years were higher than in similarly aged control subjects, with the difference in the back-right area of the tongue differing significantly (p<0.05). No significant differences in chemical taste thresholds were observed between these two groups (p>0.05 each) (Table 3).

**Differences between Bell’s palsy group and controls aged ≥40 years**

Overall, the mean electrical taste thresholds in Bell’s palsy patients aged ≥40 years were significantly higher than in the control group (p<0.05 each). Chemical tests for sweet, salty, bitter, sour, and savory tastes at the two locations on the tongue and central soft palate showed no significant differences in taste thresholds between the two groups (p>0.05 each) (Table 1).

| Table 1. Taste threshold differences in the facial palsy and control groups |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                            | Control (n=47)              | Facial palsy (n=29)         | p-value                     |
| Electricogustometry        | 22.48±59.72                 | 110.89±156.54              | 0.002*                      |
| Rt. Ant (µA)               | 48.57±114.40                | 188.72±176.72              | 0.002*                      |
| Lt. Ant (µA)               | 32.61±82.17                 | 148.2±186.31               | 0.011*                      |
| Lt. Post (µA)              | 33.57±79.33                 | 165.8±179.42               | 0.01*                       |
| SP (µA)                    | 35.55±97.28                 | 147.6±177.94               | 0.036*                      |
| Chemical taste tests       |                            |                             |                             |
| Sucrose-midline (M)        | 0.33±0.48                   | 0.33±0.38                  | 0.435                       |
| NaCl-midline (M)           | 0.37±0.31                   | 0.49±0.32                  | 0.113                       |
| Quinine-midline (M)        | 0.01±0.01                   | 0.01±0.01                  | 0.969                       |
| Tartaric-midline (M)       | 0.14±0.15                   | 0.19±0.18                  | 0.138                       |
| MSG-midline (%)            | 0.33±0.26                   | 0.41±0.3                   | 0.282                       |
| Sucrose-SP (M)             | 0.28±0.34                   | 0.2±0.15                   | 0.785                       |
| NaCl-SP (M)                | 0.36±0.3                    | 0.37±0.31                  | 0.815                       |
| Quinine-SP (M)             | 0.0096±0.016                | 0.0051±0.009               | 0.195                       |
| Tartaric-SP (M)            | 0.1±0.1                     | 0.12±0.15                  | 0.724                       |
| MSG-SP (%)                 | 0.28±0.22                   | 0.35±0.21                  | 0.042*                      |

*p<0.05, SP: soft palate, Rt.: right, Lt.: left, Ant: anterior, Post: posterior, MSG: monosodium glutamate*
Electrogustometry was statistically significant (similarly aged control subjects, but none of these differences in chemical taste thresholds were observed between these two groups of older subjects (p>0.05) (Table 4).

**Table 2.** Taste thresholds in Bell’s palsy patients according to the House-Brackmann Scale

|                     | Mild-moderate (n=23) | Severe (n=6) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------|
| Electrogustometry   |                      |              |         |
| Rt. Ant (µA)        | 95.95±146.32         | 168.16±195.11| 0.786   |
| Rt. Post (µA)       | 180.82±173.88        | 219±201.17   | 0.46    |
| Lt. Ant (µA)        | 115±173.5            | 275.5±193.44| 0.091   |
| Lt. Post (µA)       | 146.34±177.9         | 240.5±181.64| 0.256   |
| SP (µA)             | 116.3±160.6          | 267.6±205    | 0.224   |
| Chemical taste tests|                      |              |         |
| Sucrose-midline (M) | 0.36±0.42            | 0.22±0.14    | 0.62    |
| NaCl-midline (M)    | 0.49±0.33            | 0.46±0.34    | 0.89    |
| Quinine-midline (M) | 0.0082±0.01          | 0.016±0.015  | 0.23    |
| Tartaric-midline (M)| 0.16±0.13            | 0.31±0.27    | 0.319   |
| MSG-midline (%)     | 0.42±0.28            | 0.39±0.39    | 0.681   |
| Sucrose-SP (M)      | 0.21±0.15            | 0.16±0.13    | 0.47    |
| NaCl-SP (M)         | 0.38±0.29            | 0.35±0.42    | 0.564   |
| Quinine-SP (M)      | 0.0049±0.008         | 0.0059±0.011 | 0.87    |
| Tartaric-SP (M)     | 0.95±0.11            | 0.22±0.22    | 0.09    |
| MSG-SP (%)          | 0.33±0.2             | 0.43±0.23    | 0.162   |

Mild-moderate group, House Brackmann Grades II, III, and IV; severe group: House-Brackmann Grade V. Rt.: right, Lt.: left, Ant.: anterior, Post.: posterior, MSG: monosodium glutamate, SP: soft palate

**Table 3.** Differences in taste thresholds in Bell’s palsy patients and control subjects aged <40 years

|                     | Control (n=28) | Facial palsy (n=11) | p-value |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| Electrogustometry   |               |                     |         |
| Rt. Ant (µA)        | 12.42±20.22   | 60.63±116.69        | 0.094   |
| Rt. Post (µA)       | 8.14±6.02     | 110.18±146.03       | 0.044*  |
| Lt. Ant (µA)        | 14.57±24.49   | 119.27±180.77       | 0.099   |
| Lt. Post (µA)       | 13.89±15.31   | 118±155.82          | 0.197   |
| SP (µA)             | 10.07±15.18   | 85.81±141.57        | 0.289   |
| Chemical taste tests|               |                     |         |
| Sucrose-midline (M) | 0.2±0.16      | 0.25±0.23           | 0.551   |
| NaCl-midline (M)    | 0.29±0.29     | 0.3±0.3             | 0.849   |
| Quinine-midline (M) | 0.01±0.01     | 0.01±0.01           | 0.666   |
| Tartaric-midline (M)| 0.12±0.14     | 0.11±0.09           | 0.709   |
| MSG-midline (%)     | 0.35±0.26     | 0.37±0.3            | 0.987   |
| Sucrose-SP (M)      | 0.18±0.18     | 0.19±0.16           | 0.664   |
| NaCl-SP (M)         | 0.28±0.28     | 0.21±0.2            | 0.701   |
| Quinine-SP (M)      | 0.0091±0.018  | 0.0019±0.02         | 0.252   |
| Tartaric-SP (M)     | 0.08±0.11     | 0.05±0.01           | 0.249   |
| MSG-SP (%)          | 0.3±0.23      | 0.31±0.2            | 0.404   |

*p<0.05. Rt.: right, Lt.: left, Ant.: anterior, Post.: posterior, MSG: monosodium glutamate, SP: soft palate

Similarly aged control subjects, but none of these differences was statistically significant (p>0.05). No significant differences in chemical taste thresholds were observed between these two groups of older subjects (p>0.05) (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The most common cause of facial nerve paralysis is Bell’s palsy, also known as idiopathic facial nerve palsy. Additional causes include trauma, herpes zoster oticus, tumors, infections, birth defects including congenital diseases, hemifacial spasm, lesions of the central nervous system, and atypical Bell’s palsy. Less frequent causes include toxicities and metabolic and iatrogenic factors [8]. Bell’s palsy can occur at any age, but its incidence is lowest in children under 10 years of age and older people [9] and highest in pregnant women.
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Table 4. Differences in taste threshold in Bell’s palsy patients and control subjects aged ≥40 years

|                      | Control (n=19) | Facial palsy (n=18) | p-value |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| **Electrogustometry**|               |                     |         |
| Rt. Ant (µA)         | 37.31 ± 90.06 | 141.61 ± 172.39     | 0.053   |
| Rt. Post (µA)        | 108.15 ± 164.63 | 236.72 ± 180.18     | 0.086   |
| Lt. Ant (µA)         | 59.21 ± 122.89 | 165.88 ± 192.56     | 0.12    |
| Lt. Post (µA)        | 62.57 ± 119.34 | 195.05 ± 190.98     | 0.053   |
| SP (µA)              | 73.1 ± 146.08 | 185.38 ± 190.74     | 0.205   |
| **Chemical taste tests** |              |                     |         |
| Sucrose-midline (M)  | 0.54 ± 0.69   | 0.38 ± 0.45         | 0.95    |
| NaCl-midline (M)     | 0.5 ± 0.32    | 0.6 ± 0.28          | 0.31    |
| Quinine-midline (M)  | 0.01 ± 0.01   | 0.01 ± 0.01         | 0.865   |
| Tartaric-midline (M) | 0.16 ± 0.16   | 0.24 ± 0.2          | 0.223   |
| MSG-midline (%)      | 0.3 ± 0.26    | 0.44 ± 0.31         | 0.147   |
| Sucrose-SP (M)       | 0.41 ± 0.45   | 0.21 ± 0.14         | 0.161   |
| NaCl-SP (M)          | 0.48 ± 0.31   | 0.47 ± 0.33         | 0.963   |
| Quinine-SP (M)       | 0.01 ± 0.01   | 0.007 ± 0.01        | 0.304   |
| Tartaric-SP (M)      | 0.13 ± 0.11   | 0.16 ± 0.17         | 0.715   |
| MSG-SP (%)           | 0.25 ± 0.22   | 0.37 ± 0.22         | 0.055   |

**Legend:** Rt.: right, Lt.: left, Ant.: anterior, Post.: posterior, MSG: monosodium glutamate, SP: soft palate

[10], individuals with diabetes mellitus, and patients with a previous medical history of Bell’s palsy [11]. Studies of symptoms accompanying Bell’s palsy have found that, of patients with this condition, 67% have excessive tearing in the eyes, 52% have postauricular pain, 34% have taste disorders, and 14% have phonophobia [1,9].

Taste buds, which are distributed throughout the anterior two thirds of the tongue, are innervated by the chorda tympani and by special sensory nerve fibers of the facial nerve [12]. The posterior one-third of the tongue is innervated by the glossopharyngeal nerve, and taste buds of the soft palate are innervated by the greater petrosal nerve of the facial nerve. In this study, four locations on the tongue were tested, corresponding to the chorda tympani (2 cm from the midline of the tongue apex laterally); and the glossopharyngeal nerves, including the circumvallate and foliate papillae. The central soft palate 1 cm from the palatine uvula was also tested. Since Bell’s palsy patients develop unilateral facial paralysis, tongues were tested bilaterally [6].

Gustatory function tests can be classified as qualitative or quantitative based on their characteristics. EGM and chemical taste tests involve the administration of stimuli, whereas whole-mouth/local mouth tests are based on the locations of the tongue [5]. In this study, both EGM and chemical taste tests were performed throughout the entire mouth, thus better reflecting the taste sensations perceived during actual food ingestion. EGM showed that the electrical taste thresholds at five locations, four on the tongue and one on the central soft palate, were significantly higher in the Bell’s palsy than in the control group. These results are consistent with previous reports showing that patients with Bell’s palsy and herpes zoster oticus experience taste disorders, which are triggered by paralysis of the facial nerve [7,13]. EGM testing showed greater differences in taste thresholds between the Bell’s palsy and control groups than did chemical taste tests. This finding suggests that EGM makes it easier to control stimulus strength and to objectively determine any mild taste disorder with few subjective symptoms [6,14].

The results of both EGM and chemical taste tests did not differ between patients with mild-moderate and severe Bell’s palsy. These results suggest that normal or abnormal taste sensations at paralyzed sites are more important factors than the severity of taste disorders. Previous studies testing the effects of age on taste thresholds revealed that subjects aged >40 years had a higher threshold than those aged <40 years, although the magnitude of these differences between the two groups differed when assessing sour [15], sour/bitter [16], sweet [17], and sour/salty [18] tastes [19].

A study of Korean subjects showed that EGM thresholds increased significantly with age in both males and females [20]. Although a second study using chemical function tests found that all thresholds for sweet, salty, bitter and sour tastes increased, only the increase in salty taste threshold was statistically significant [21]. Changes in taste threshold with aging differed in men and women, with men showing gradual increases in taste thresholds from their 10s to 60s, whereas women aged <40 years showed no differences and those aged ≥40 tears experiencing radical changes [20]. Similarly,
in this study, therefore, subjects aged <40 years with Bell’s palsy showed significantly greater increases in EGM-determined taste thresholds than the control subjects. In patients aged ≥40 years, the EGM-determined taste thresholds were higher in the Bell’s palsy than in the control group, but the taste test-determined thresholds were not. This result suggested that taste sensitivity was similar in Bell’s palsy patients and controls aged >40 years. Similar to the other senses (i.e., sight, hearing, smell and touch), taste loss may occur with age [19]. Many older patients take multiple medications because of chronic degenerative diseases [21], and taste function may be affected by factors such as gender [22], smoking [23], and medication [24]. At present, there are no widespread criteria for judging the presence of taste disorders at various ages [21].

The present study had several limitations. We could not compare changes in taste in Bell’s palsy patients from before to after treatment or after stratifying patients by age or by intensity of Bell’s palsy (House-Brackmann grade) due to the small sample size. In addition, we were unable to assess the relationship between EGM and chemical taste tests or the effects of previous medications, especially steroids, used to treat facial palsy.

In conclusion, the electrical taste thresholds were higher in patients with Bell’s palsy than in healthy controls. In particular, the taste thresholds were higher among younger than older Bell’s palsy patients.
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