Prevalence of and risk factors for periodontal disease among pregnant women in an antenatal care clinic in Khartoum, Sudan
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Abstract
Objectives: The aim was to investigate the prevalence of and factors associated with periodontal disease among pregnant Sudanese women. A cross-sectional study was conducted at the Antenatal Care Clinic of Saad Abualila Hospital (Khartoum, Sudan) from August to October 2018. Socioeconomic-demographic information and reproductive history were gathered using a questionnaire. Body mass index was computed from the weight and height. The diagnosis of periodontal disease was performed using criterion that also evaluated bleeding upon probing.

Results: Four hundred and four women were enrolled in the study, with a mean (SD) gestational age of 30.0 (8.7) weeks. Their mean (SD) age and parity were 27.0 (5.7) years and 1.6 (1.7), respectively. Ninety-seven (24.0%) of these 404 women had periodontal disease, which was mild, moderate and severe in 49 (12.1%), 36 (8.9%) and 12 (3.0%) women respectively, while 307 (76.0%) women had no periodontal disease. In logistic regression, age, parity, education, and brushing were not associated with periodontitis, but lower gestational age was associated with periodontal disease (OR = 0.96, 95% CI 0.94–0.99, P = 0.011).
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Introduction
Periodontal disease is an inflammatory process/condition of the soft tissues that surround the teeth characterized by gradual destruction of the soft tissue that supports the structures of the teeth [1]. Periodontal disease is an infectious disease distributed worldwide that can affect up to 90% of populations [2, 3]. Poor oral hygiene, increasing age, smoking, low educational level, some ethnicities, and poor economic status have been reported as risk factors for periodontal disease [1–4].

During pregnancy, due to hormonal factors (high oestrogen and progesterone), women are more vulnerable to periodontal disease than their non-pregnant peers [5]. Pregnant women with periodontal disease are more susceptible to poor maternal and perinatal outcomes such as preeclampsia [6], gestational diabetes [7], vullovaginitis, preterm labour, foetal growth restriction [8], low birth weight [9] and perinatal mortality [10].

The prevalence of periodontal disease among pregnant women varies in different populations [11–13]. Moreover, several factors such as age, parity, lower level of education and anaemia were identified as risk factors for periodontal disease during pregnancy [4, 14]. While there is a large amount of data on periodontal disease during pregnancy in other African countries [12, 15, 16], there is no data on periodontal disease during pregnancy in Sudan. There are high rates of maternal and perinatal adverse outcomes in Sudan [17]. Hence, there is a need to investigate the epidemiology of periodontal disease to yield the basic data necessary for the treating physician as well as health planners. The current study was conducted at the antenatal clinic in Saad Abualila Hospital.
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(Khartoum, Sudan) to investigate the prevalence of and risk factors for periodontal disease.

**Main text**

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the Antenatal Care Clinic of Saad Abualila Hospital (Khartoum, Sudan) from August to October 2018.

**Section of participants**

Pregnant women with singleton pregnancy attending the antenatal care clinic for the first time were approached to be enrolled in the study. Socio-demographic, medical and obstetric history (including history of miscarriage and preterm delivery) was gathered through a questionnaire; then, women were asked specifically about teeth brushing (including number of brushing times) and antibiotic usage during the index pregnancy.

**Measures**

Body mass index (BMI) was computed from the measured weight and height. The dental examination was performed by a single calibrated examiner in the clinic using a dental chair with adequate lighting via an overhead light. Because the participants were pregnant, dental radiographs were not taken. During the examination, probing was performed at six sites per tooth. The pocket depth (the deepest measurement) was identified for each assessed tooth. Pregnant women were diagnosed as having healthy gingiva if no pocketing and no bleeding on probing were noted. We used the minimum diagnostic criteria for periodontal disease in the current study as follows: “bleeding on probing and a pocket depth of at least 4 mm and clinical attachment loss of $\geq 6$ mm, calculus with plaque deposits, and gingival recession” [18]. The moderate and severe forms of periodontal disease were defined as the presence of 4 or more sites with a pocket depth $> 3$ mm and 4 or more sites with pocket depth $\geq 5$ mm, respectively. Otherwise, periodontal disease was considered mild.

The sample size was calculated based on a 31.0% prevalence of periodontal disease during pregnancy in a previous study [13]. A total sample size of 404 women was calculated using a single population proportion formula; this sample size will yield a 95% confidence interval, precision of 5.0% and 80% power.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were entered into a computer database and SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, version 16.0) and double checked before analysis. Means and proportions for the socio-demographic characteristics were compared between the groups of women who had periodontal disease and women who had no periodontal disease using Student’s t test and the $\chi^2$ test, respectively. Logistic regression analyses were performed using periodontal disease as the dependent variable and socio-demographic characteristics as independent variables. Confidence intervals of 95% were calculated, and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results**

Four hundreds and four women were enrolled in the study, with a mean (SD) gestational age of 30.0 (8.7) weeks. The mean (SD) age and parity of the investigated women was 27.0 (5.7) years and 1.6 (1.7), respectively. Over one-third (39.0%) of these women had less than a secondary school education level, Table 1.

Of the 404 women, 73 (18.1%), 253 (62.6%) and 78 (19.3%) were brushing only once, twice and more than twice per day, respectively. Out of these 404 women, 45 (11.1%) had gingival enlargement and only two (0.5%) had pregnancy eruption. Ninety-seven (24.0%) of these 404 women had periodontal disease, which was mild in 49 (12.1%), moderate in 36 (8.9%) and severe in 12 (3.0%). The other 307 (76.0%) had no periodontal disease.

Age, parity, education, history of preterm labour, and brushing were not significantly different between the two groups, but gestational age was significantly lower in women with periodontal disease than in women who had no periodontal disease [27.8 (9.8) vs. 30.7 (8.2), $P = 0.004$, Table 2].

Likewise, in logistic regression, age, parity, education, and brushing were not significantly different between the two groups, but gestational age was significantly lower in women with periodontal disease than in women who had no periodontal disease [27.8 (9.8) vs. 30.7 (8.2), $P = 0.004$, Table 2].

**Table 1 Basic socio-demographic characteristics of women (n = 404) who were enrolled in the study**

| Variable                  | Mean (SD) of the |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Age, years                | 27.0 (5.7)      |
| Parity                    | 1.6 (1.7)       |
| Gestational age, weeks    | 30.0 (8.7)      |
| Body mass index, kg/m²    | 24.2 (3.8)      |
| Educational level         | 157 (38.8)      |
| History of miscarriage    | 85 (21.3)       |
| History of preterm delivery | 14 (3.4)     |
| Brushing once             | 73 (18.1)       |
| Twice                     | 253 (62.6)      |
| More than twice           | 78 (19.3)       |
| Antibiotic usage          | 51 (12.6)       |
Discussion
The main findings of the current study were that there was a high (24.0%) prevalence of periodontal disease among pregnant Sudanese women and that periodontal disease was associated with decrease gestational age. A low (14.2%) prevalence of periodontal disease was recently reported among pregnant Tanzanian women [12]. Likewise, Gomes-Filho et al. reported a low prevalence (17.24%) of periodontal disease among pregnant women in Brazil [19]. A slightly higher prevalence (31%) of periodontal disease was documented in pregnant women in Jordan [13]. Interestingly, Govindasamy et al. recently reported that over half (54.8%) of postpartum women in India had periodontal diseases [11]. Moreover, much higher rates (84.7% and 73.9%) of periodontal disease were reported among women of childbearing age (preconception) in China [20, 21]. Older age, a lower level of education and unemployment were factors associated with periodontal disease in pregnant women in Bangladesh [4]. The difference between our results and the findings of previous studies could be explained by the different cultures and other socio-demographic variables in the different settings and communities.

The current study found no association between BMI and periodontal disease. Recently, Gomes-Filho et al. reported no association between obesity and periodontal disease among pregnant women in Brazil [19]. On the other hand, Lee et al. reported a significant association between obesity and periodontitis in pregnant women [26]. Although an inflammatory process triggered by a bacterial infection is the main factor involved in periodontal disease,

Table 2 Comparing socio-demographic characteristics of women who had periodontal disease and women who had no periodontal disease

| Variable                        | Women who had periodontal disease (n = 97) | Women who had no periodontal disease (n = 307) | P   |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|
| Age, years                      | 27.1 (5.8)                               | 26.8 (5.6)                                    | 0.578|
| Parity                          | 1.6 (1.7)                                | 1.4 (1.5)                                     | 0.200|
| Gestational age, weeks          | 27.8 (9.8)                               | 30.7 (8.2)                                    | 0.004|
| Education < secondary level     | 31 (32.0)                                | 126 (41.0)                                    | 0.121|
| History of miscarriage         | 23 (23.7)                                | 62 (20.2)                                     | 0.476|
| History of preterm delivery    | 3 (3.1)                                  | 11 (3.6)                                      | 0.830|
| Brushing once                   | 13 (13.4)                                | 60 (19.5)                                     | 0.313|
| Twice                           | 62 (64.0)                                | 191 (62.2)                                    |      |
| More than twice                 | 22 (22.7)                                | 56 (18.2)                                     |      |
| Antibiotic usage                | 16 (16.5)                                | 35 (11.4)                                     | 0.219|
| Body mass index, kg/m²          | 24.3 (3.5)                               | 24.1 (4.1)                                    | 0.067|

Table 3 Factors associated with periodontal disease according to logistic regression analyses

| Variable                        | OR          | 95% CI       | P   |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|
| Age, years                      | 0.99        | 0.94–1.04    | 0.773|
| Parity                          | 0.92        | 0.77–1.10    | 0.388|
| Gestational age < 30 weeks      | 0.96        | 0.94–0.99    | 0.011|
| Educational level < secondary   | 0.67        | 0.40–1.13    | 0.139|
| History of miscarriage          | 0.85        | 0.47–1.52    | 0.595|
| History of preterm delivery     | 0.89        | 0.62–1.29    | 0.566|
| Brushing                        | 1.30        | 0.88–1.93    | 0.176|
| Antibiotic usage                | 0.64        | 0.32–1.25    | 0.195|
| Body mass index, kg/m²          | 1.00        | 0.89–1.12    | 0.953|
a variety of other factors such as age, nutrition, smoking and genetic susceptibility can influence the progression/severity of the disease [1]. It is worth to be mentioned that our results were mainly on the non-adjusted OR of the logistic regression.

Conclusions
The current study showed a high prevalence of periodontal disease, which showed no association with age, parity or BMI. Further research on the maternal and perinatal outcomes and periodontal disease is needed. Moreover the outcome of the treatment of periodontal disease needs to be assessed among pregnant Sudanese women.

Limitations of the study
We failed to follow up these women; hence, the outcomes of the pregnancies were not known. This was a cross-sectional study and a cause-effect relationship was not determined.

In summary, the current study showed a high prevalence of periodontal disease, which showed no association with age, parity and BMI.
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