Computational Assessment Of Ion Distribution On Applying Multivariate Analysis Using Geographic Information Systems
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Abstract
Study on geochemical aspects of ground water carried out by collecting samples from the existing bore well and open wells apart from surface water bodies i.e., lakes and tanks in Miryalaguda area. Electrical conductivity, pH, TDS and concentration of di and momo-valent elements controlling the parameters of drinking water carried out. The area is composite of crystalline granites of Precambrian age. The groundwater is hard water that varies from acidic to alkaline. Dissolution of fluorine into groundwater mainly by weathering of rocks is primarily involved with water pollution and water borne ailments.
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Introduction
Major ion geochemical study of groundwater in study area carried out to asses groundwater quality apart from fluoride concentration used as major resource for drinking in the region. Under natural conditions, it has the self-purification process, play a large role in controlling the concentrations to a certain extent. The tremendous increase in population urbanization, industrialization, agriculture, etc is the major cause of pollution [1].

Fluoride has more impact on teeth and bones rather than other elements. Flourine content lower than 0.6 mg/lit lead to cavitation in teeth and > than 1.2 mg/lit causes fluorosis [2, 3]. Increase of concentration in drinking water is the problem across the globe. India is also facing fluorosis in 17 states including Telangana effecty 62 million people in the form of dental sketal and/or non skeletal fluorosis [4]. Studies carried out now and earlier indicate concentration of fluoride is due to granitic gneiss rocks [5, 6]. The present work is to demarcate the area of the region in to safe zone and unsafe zones by analyze groundwater with refer to concentration of fluoride. It is done by adopting simulation methods to reduce the usage of natural instead of chemical fertilizers to reduce groundwater contamination levels and to bring the quality of water for drinking [7]. The integrated groundwater prospects maps are preferred by using different geochemical studies [8].

The area of study is between latitude from 17º5’ to 17º55’ and East longitude 79º30’ to 79º40’ form part in the survey of India toposheet No. 56 P/9 (Fig.1). Grey and pink granites are formed by magmatic origin, are subjected to high degree of weathering. This can be inferred by the field studies and presence of boulders in plain terrain. Tropical climate in led to variation in temperature, ranging from [42ºC to 47ºC] very hot in summer with low humidity. The origin of these rocks is due to the “parental” magma [9].
Materials and Methods

Groundwater analysis carried out by collecting underground source and surface water bodies (Fig. 2). For using standard methods major ion concentration carried out [10]. Hydrogen ion concentration and Electrical conductivity are measured. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) are computed by multiplying the electrical conductivity by a factor (0.55 – 0.75) depending on the relative concentration of ions. Fluoride was analyzed standard method. Total alkalinity as CaCO₃, Carbonate (CO₃²⁻) and bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) were estimated. Total hardness as CaCO₃ and Calcium (Ca²⁺) were analyzed. Magnesium concentration analyzed by taking the difference between TH and Ca²⁺ values where as Sodium and Potassium content analyzed by Flame Photometer. Chloride ion was estimated by standard AgNO₃ titration and the values are mentioned in (Fig 2).

Results & Discussion

Analysis of groundwater tabulated in (Table 1). Groundwater pH varies from 7.2 to 8.5 indicate it is acidic to alkaline nature. TDS varies from 236 to 1322 mg/l hardness ranges from 125 to 1690 mg/l. Hardness of these samples were correlated with standard values [11]. Indicate 75.86% water is hard water. Carbonate and bicarbonate ions in groundwater vary from 0 to 45 mg/l and 27.1 to 225.7 mg/l respectively (Table-2). Bicarbonate content is within the limits. Sodium varies from 21.9 to 151.8 mg/lit and it is within limit of 200 mg/lit drinking water, Potassium ranges from 0.6 to mg/lit to 53.6 mg/lit indicate suitability for drinking. Calcium ranges from 20 mg/lit to 514 mg/lit with refer to prescribed limit of calcium 75 mg/lit [12]. Indicate 79.37% of groundwater samples a fall under drinking water category.

Drinking water prescribed limit is 30 mg/lit as against the sample show magnesium from 1.2 mg/lit to 147.1 mg/lit. Indicate 56.89% of the samples are as per specification. Content of Fluoride with refer to standard 1.5 mg/lit[13] and Optimum various from 0.8 to 1.2 mg/lit. 89% of samples it is less than 0.8 mg/lit lower indicate water is suitable for drinking [14]. Concentration of fluoride correlated of certain dissolved other constituent concentration. The weathering caused by alternative wet and dry conditions of semi arid climate is responsible for the leaching of fluoride from minerals in the soils and rocks [5, 15, 6, and 16]. In the contour map Fig. 3. Distribution fluoride concentration mentioned.

Acidic climatic conditions are primary factor for fluoride concentration in groundwater. Dissolution of fluoride from minerals, ion exchange [17, 18, 19, 20], evaporative concentration, led to higher concentration of which is mainly influenced by local, regional geology and hydrological conditions. The chief source of fluoride is from minerals (Fluoride, Fluorapatite, Cryolite and Pophylite) as well as fluoride replacing OH ion ferromagnesium silicates (amphiboles and micas) and soils consisting of clay minerals [21, 22, 23, 24]. The degrees for weathering and leachable fluoride in terrain is more important indicate fluoride content in water rather than more presence from the rocks [23, 25].
Fig 1: Location map of the study area

Fig 2: Sample location map
Fig 3: Fluoride Distribution map of the study area

Table 1: Correlation matrix of the study area

|     | pH   | Ec   | TH   | TDS  | Mg   | Na   | K    | Cl   | Ca   | CO3  | HCO3 | NO3  | SO4  | F    |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| pH  | 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Ec  | -0.273| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| TH  | -0.135| 0.479| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| TDS | -0.265| 0.999| 0.471| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Mg  | -0.127| 0.323| 0.833| 0.317| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Na  | -0.042| -0.003| 0.064| -0.003| 0.107| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| K   | 0.127 | 0.299| 0.051| 0.321| -0.054| -0.017| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Cl  | -0.151| 0.456| 0.162| 0.460| 0.081| 0.015| 0.050| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Ca  | -0.066| 0.447| 0.848| 0.439| 0.453| 0.005| 0.116| 0.167| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |
| CO3 | 0.523 | -0.171| -0.019| -0.168| 0.072| -0.030| 0.050| -0.230| -0.089| 1.000|      |      |      |      |
| HCO3| -0.477| 0.654| 0.141| 0.647| 0.062| -0.012| 0.251| 0.310| 0.124| -0.306| 1.000|      |      |      |
| NO3 | -0.103| 0.038| 0.253| 0.036| 0.249| 0.008| 0.003| 0.056| 0.188| -0.051| -0.042| 1.000|      |      |
| SO4 | 0.076 | 0.258| -0.055| 0.257| -0.055| -0.004| 0.023| 0.023| -0.055| -0.034| 0.389| -0.024| 1.000|      |
| F   | -0.140| 0.206| -0.001| 0.202| 0.019| 0.000| 0.134| -0.076| -0.022| 0.028| 0.133| -0.047| -0.094| 1.000|
Table 2: Statistical parameters of ground water samples

|          | pH  | Ec  | TH  | TDS | Mg  | Na  | K   | Cl  | Ca  | CO₃  | HCO₃  | NO₃ | SO₄ | F  |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|----|
| Mean     | 7.9 | 1165| 559 | 749 | 54  | 70  | 3.6 | 144 | 137 | 14.1 | 113   | 27  | 14  | 0.7|
| Median   | 7.9 | 1102| 460 | 706 | 35  | 78  | 1.9 | 126 | 128 | 15.0 | 107   | 17  | 12  | 0.7|
| Mode     | 8.2 | 1888| 685 | 1208| 35  | 86  | 0.9 | 121 | 52  | 15.0 | 159   | 33  | 12  | 0.6|
| Standard Deviation | 0.3 | 469 | 326 | 305 | 42  | 35  | 7.2 | 96  | 81  | 9.8  | 47    | 79  | 14  | 0.2|
| Skewness | -0.1| 0.1 | 1   | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.5  | 0.2   | 7.3 | 7   | 5.1|
| Range    | 1.3 | 1602| 1565| 1085| 146 | 130 | 53.0| 579 | 494 | 45.0 | 199   | 606 | 108 | 1.5|
| Minimum  | 7.2 | 370 | 125 | 236 | 1.2 | 22  | 0.6 | 36  | 20  | 0.0  | 27    | 2   | 5   | 0.5|
| Maximum  | 8.5 | 1972| 1690| 1322| 147 | 152 | 53.6| 614 | 514 | 45.0 | 226   | 608 | 113 | 2.0|

Conclusion
The study reveals that the groundwater of the regions is acidic to alkaline in nature. The climatic condition of the region lead to high rate A high rate of evapotranspiration and prolonged contact with weathered zone and stagnation in the led to increase in fluoride content beyond the limit of the region.
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