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The availability of benthic O2 plays a crucial role in benthic microbial communities and regulates many important biogeochemical processes. Burrowing activities of macrobenthos in the sediment significantly affect O2 distribution and its spatial and temporal dynamics in burrows, followed by alterations of sediment microbiology. Consequently, numerous research groups have investigated O2 dynamics in macrofaunal burrows. The introduction of powerful tools, such as microsensors and planar optodes, to sediment analysis has greatly enhanced our ability to measure O2 dynamics in burrows at high spatial and temporal resolution with minimal disturbance of the physical structure of the sediment. In this review, we summarize recent studies of O2-concentration measurements in burrows with O2 microsensors and O2 planar optodes. This manuscript mainly focuses on the fundamentals of O2 microsensors and O2 planar optodes, and their application in the direct measurement of the spatial and temporal dynamics of O2 concentrations in burrows, which have not previously been reviewed, and will be a useful supplement to recent literature reviews on O2 dynamics in macrofaunal burrows.
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Introduction

In aquatic ecosystems, it is obvious that the volume of the water column far exceeds the volume of the upper-surface layer of benthic sediment. However, ecological processes in an aquatic system, such as the biogeochemical carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur cycles, are predominantly regulated by microbial activities in the surface layer of the sediment, typically with depths of a few millimeters or centimeters, rather than by the integrated microbial activity of the entire water column (2, 3, 4, 24, 70, 77, 78, 83, 84, 104, 144, 145). This is because volume-specific microbial activity in the sediment surface, which hosts a high density of microorganisms, is typically 100 to 1,000 times higher than that in the water column (37). For example, it was estimated that up to 80% of the nitrogen needed by primary producers in shallow seas was provided by remineralization processes in the sediment (19).

Because an estuary is a transition zone between a river and an ocean, it is subject not only to marine influences (e.g., tides, waves, and influx of nutrients and saline water) but also riverine influences (e.g., fresh-water flow, influx of particles, and natural and anthropogenic input of nutrients, metals, and organic compounds) (60, 127, 143). Inflow of both seawater and freshwater provides high levels of organic matter and nutrients in the sediment surface of an estuary. Moreover, the reduction in water flow in an estuary accelerates the accumulation of organic matter and nutrients on the sediment surface. In particular, this makes estuaries the most productive natural habitats among aquatic eco-systems (54, 102, 103). In addition, estuaries are often heavily polluted by biodegradable organic matter, nutrients, heavy metals, PCBs, hydrocarbons, pesticides and other micro-organic contaminants (47, 61, 66, 69, 93, 98, 140, 144). As a result, estuarine sediments can act as a source or sink for environmentally relevant organic compounds, gases (O2, CO2, CH4, and N2O) and inorganic compounds (NH4+, NO3−, NO2−, PO43−, SO42−, and H2S) in the aquatic ecosystem (21, 61, 77, 78). These gases and ions have a profound impact on water and air quality, and life in the biosphere (2, 5, 8, 9). Thus, it is highly important to quantify benthic turnover rates of organic and inorganic compounds, and to investigate the parameters regulating these processes.

It has long been recognized that by redistributing particles and modifying water fluxes via bioturbation and bioirrigation, macrofaunas living in the sediment (i.e., macrobenthos) have a significant effect on the physical, chemical and biological properties of the substratum and the interstitial water in these sediments. There have been many papers describing the impact of macrobenthos on elemental (C and N) cycles and on the enhanced transport and turnover reactions of organic and inorganic compounds and trace contaminants in sediments (7, 33, 37, 52, 56, 57, 61, 63, 65, 70, 74, 80, 122, 133). In contrast, surprisingly little attention, particularly in review papers, has been paid to the description of O2 concentrations and dynamics in macrofaunal burrows. O2 is a key molecule for biogeochemical and metabolic processes occurring in the sediment (30, 37). It is produced by oxygenic photosynthetic organisms (cyanobacteria, algae and plants) in the presence of light, and is consumed as the preferred terminal electron acceptor in the biological breakdown of organic and inorganic compounds, as it is the most energetically favorable electron acceptor for facultative
aerobic microorganisms (99). Therefore, a lack of available 
$O_2$ can have a serious impact on aerobic microorganisms
and macrobenthos. On the other hand, higher $O_2$ levels are
critical for anaerobic microorganisms and processes, as
well as for aerobic organisms, as the formation of reactive
oxygen species can interfere with cellular processes. The
spatial distribution of $O_2$ in the sediment can thus strongly
affect biogeochemical processes and microbial community
structures.

$O_2$ rarely penetrates deeper parts of the sediment due
to the presence of a diffusive boundary layer at the
sediment–water interface, the high microbial- and chemical-
consumption rates of dense bacterial communities, and
diffusion resistance in the sediment, as well as low solubility
in water (77, 78, 105). Typically, the $O_2$ penetration depth
is approximately a few millimeters and the boundary between
the oxic and anoxic layers is usually found as a well-
developed interface in the sediment surface (78). $O_2$
concentration can vary strongly and dynamically depending on
the activity of the macrobenthos and in response to environmental
parameters such as temperature, light, liquid flow, and the
availability of organic compounds and nutrients at the
micrometer to millimeter scale (6, 30, 37, 57, 64, 83, 88, 90,
105, 123, 124). Precise quantification of $O_2$ distribution and
dynamics is a prerequisite for understanding the regulation
of biogeochemical cycles in sediments. Such spatial and
temporal $O_2$ dynamics in sediments has been successfully
assessed with $O_2$ microsensors and $O_2$ planar optodes (126).

This review aims to summarize the current knowledge on
spatial and temporal $O_2$ dynamics in macrofaunal burrows
in sediments. Effects of bioturbation on solute transport,
organic-matter mineralization activities, and biogeochemical
cycles have been studied extensively (33, 37, 56, 57, 61, 70,
74, 132, 133, 141), and it is beyond the scope of this review
to cover the entire literature on this subject. Our goals
are: (i) to present the principles behind and applications of
$O_2$ microsensors and $O_2$ planar optodes as tools for $O_2$
concentration measurements in macrofaunal burrows in
bioturbated sediments at high spatial (sub-mm scale) and
temporal (a few seconds) resolution; (ii) to provide experi-
mental examples of direct measurements of spatial and
temporal $O_2$ dynamics in such burrows; and (iii) to summarize
the current studies of $O_2$ concentration measurements in
burrows using $O_2$ microsensors and $O_2$ planar optodes.

Effects of bioturbation on sediment characteristics

Bioturbation is the activity of macrobenthos living in
sediments to disperse sediment particles by relocation, tube
construction, burrowing, and feeding. Macrobenthos living
in tubes and burrows frequently or intermittently irrigate their
burrows to introduce fresh oxygenated water for respiration
and for suspended food particles, as well as to remove toxic
metabolites; this irrigation generates liquid flow (37, 56, 76,
105, 132). Increased liquid flow in the burrow enhances solute
exchange between the sediment and the overlying water
column. The advective transport of solutes is more rapid than
by molecular diffusion because macrobenthos increase solute
flux into or out of the sediment by as much as several orders
of magnitude (92).

Bioirrigation has many consequences for the physical,
chemical and biological properties of the sediment.
Burrowing macrobenthos alter the sediment texture and
structure (e.g., sediment porosity, permeability and particle
size) by transporting particles (e.g., suspended soil, silt,
organic particles, metal oxides and bacteria) into the
sediment (1, 15, 16, 70). Labile particles are used for the
growth and respiration of macrobenthos and microorganisms,
whereas inert particles are deposited onto the burrow wall.
Macrobenthos can vary the components and concentrations
of organic compounds by breaking them down. These
metabolites are then used by the microorganisms living on
the burrow wall.

Dissolved compounds, such as $O_2$, oxidized inorganic
compounds (e.g., $NO_3^-$, $NO_2^-$, $SO_4^{2-}$ and oxidized metal ions),
organic compounds and nutrients, are also transported
through the burrows into the deep sediment by bioirrigation
(6, 15, 59, 75, 147). Their enhanced transport into the
sediment allows extension of the oxic–anoxic interface into
otherwise reduced sediment (43, 105). Metabolites from
the macrobenthos, and reduced compounds (e.g., $NH_4^+$,
$H_2S$ and reduced iron and manganese) in the deep sediment,
are removed from the burrow and into the water column in
the opposite direction. Consequently, the activities of
macrobenthos also affect water quality in the overlying water
column.

Other effects of macrobenthos are the development of
biofilms and mucus deposition on the burrow wall, which
can create a highly reactive layer and alter the radial diffusion
of solutes across the sediment–water interface (1). Thus, the
physicochemical properties of the burrow wall are different
from those on both the sediment surface and in the
surrounding sediment.

The input of various types of organic compounds and
excess nutrients to the burrow changes the redox conditions,
generating a variety of niches for both aerobic and anaerobic
microorganisms and supporting the proliferation of a large
number of highly diversified microorganisms, thus enhancing
their activities on the burrow wall in the deeper layers of the
sediment (10, 37, 61, 62, 105). In addition, irrigation during
the burrow-maintenance activities of the macrobenthos allows
the transport of aerobic microorganisms from the overlying
oxygenated water into burrows in deeper layers of sediment.
In this way, the activities of macrobenthos can affect the
abundance and activities of microorganisms on the burrow
wall by providing substrates and/or by grazing and predation.
Thus, bioirrigation has significant effects not only on the
components and concentrations of organic and inorganic
compounds, but also on the microorganisms and the rate of
associated biogeochemical processes in the sediment. For
instance, recent studies have shown that bacterial abundance
and activity on the burrow wall were 10-fold those in the
surrounding bulk sediments (61), and that the sedimentary
phosphorus cycle was also strongly enhanced through
bioturbation (98, 139).

More importantly, because macrobenthos activities vary
temporally and spatially, bioirrigation increases heterogeneity
in the sediment’s biogeochemical conditions. Bottom-
dwelling macrobenthos (e.g., polychaetes and insect larvae)
create unique physical structures (such as burrows and tubes)
in the sediment by reworking and burrowing activities. Photographs of burrows in bioturbated sediments show burrow openings, U-shaped burrows and series of tunnels and chambers (12, 42, 43, 79). Through the activity of macrobenthos, one-dimensional diagenetic stratification of physicochemical and biological microenvironments in the sediment is transformed into three-dimensional, complex and time-dependent stratification. Their burrowing activities considerably increase the area of the sediment–water interface available for diffusive solute exchange, as well as the area of oxic–anoxic boundaries in the entire sediment. Satoh et al. (105) estimated the specific surface area of the burrow walls in the upper 350 mm of the sediment to be 26 m² m⁻³. The tubes and burrows differ in size, appearance and composition, depending on the identity, mode of life and habits, density, and depth distribution of the macrobenthos that inhabit them. The presence of thalassinidean shrimp burrows has been shown to increase the sediment surface area by up to nine times (43). Teal et al. (130) calculated the global volume of bioturbated sediment to be 20,700 km³, based on a conservative estimate of 360 million km² for the ocean area. It is clear that macrobenthos provide a variety of microorganisms with a substantial number of niches in the sediment.

Because measurement of O₂ concentrations inside the burrows is methodologically difficult, several different techniques have been tried. In early studies, O₂ concentrations, as well as other nutrient concentrations (e.g., inorganic nitrogenous compounds), in the burrows were measured using ex situ approaches. Koike and Mukai (53) measured concentrations of O₂ and inorganic nitrogenous compounds in burrows occupied by the shrimps Callianassa japonica and Upogebia major by directly collecting liquid samples from inside the burrows. These studies provided only net results, integrating the spatial and temporal O₂ dynamics of the macrofaunal activities, because the O₂ concentration measured was the mean value throughout the burrow. Kristensen (58) monitored the O₂ consumption of Nereis virens, N. succincta and N. diversicolor by monitoring incurrent and excurrent water. This method tends to underestimate the effects of macrobenthos activities because the macrobenthos under consideration were confined to an artificial tube, causing shifts in their behavior due to the stress associated with the unnatural environmental conditions. Thus, such approaches are not suitable for studies of O₂ concentrations in real macrofaunal burrows (14). To overcome these obstacles, an electrochemical O₂ microsensor has been employed to determine in situ O₂ concentrations in and around a single macrofaunal burrow at high spatial and temporal resolution (10, 105, 121).

**Oxygen microsensors**

**Characteristics.** An O₂ microsensor is a needle-shaped electrochemical sensor with a tip diameter of approximately 10 µm. Its unique characteristics allow for O₂ concentration measurements in the sediment at very high temporal and spatial resolution. The 90% response time for a typical O₂ microsensor is less than 1 s (85, 86, 87, 95, 96, 109). O₂ concentration measurements in microbial communities are recommended at intervals of twice the tip diameter (112), and hence the spatial resolution of the O₂ microsensor will be approximately 20 µm. Therefore, the O₂ microsensor is, at present, one of the best tools for the direct in situ measurement of O₂ concentrations at the sediment surface.

Three types of electrochemical microsensors are most frequently used in environmental applications: amperometric microsensors, potentiometric microsensors and microbiosensors, which are actually amperometric microsensors that incorporate a biological or enzymatic reaction into the sensor tip (17, 20, 22, 36, 68, 71, 94, 95, 102, 113). The O₂ microsensor falls into the amperometric category, which measures the current caused by the electrochemical reaction (an oxidation–reduction reaction) of O₂ at the tip of the microsensor. In recent work on the measurement of O₂ in sediments and biofilms, a miniaturized Clark-type O₂ sensor with a guard cathode has been preferred to the cathode-type O₂ microsensor (82, 95, 96, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 135).

**Construction and measuring principle.** In the Clark-type O₂ microsensor (Fig. 1), the tip is coated with an electrically insulating membrane of silicone rubber, which is extremely permeable to O₂ (96). The tip of a working cathode, which is a platinum wire electroplated with gold, is fixed just behind the membrane, otherwise its response time becomes too long. The microsensor is filled with an electrolyte solution of 1 M KCl, into which an internal guard cathode and a reference electrode, which are silver wires electrochemically coated with AgCl (Ag/AgCl wire), are immersed (95). If air bubbles remain in the microsensor, they must be removed so that vacuum conditions are in place. The working cathode, the reference electrode and the guard cathode are connected to a very sensitive ammeter (Fig. 2), termed a picoammeter, with a range down to 1 pA (Unisense A/S, Aarhus, Denmark) (http://www.unisense.com/). The electrochemical reaction is driven by the potential difference between the working cathode and the reference electrode. For O₂ measurement, the working cathode is polarized by the battery to about −0.8 V against the internal reference electrode. It should

![Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of a Clark-type O₂ microsensor.](attachment:image.png)
be mounted on benthic landers for to minimize electrical noise, allowing the O

Because of the small size and short diffusion path, the presence of O₂ is registered. The current originating from the reduction of O₂ at the working cathode tip should be proportional to O₂ partial pressure in the surrounding solution. The picoammeter converts the reduction current to a signal.

Because of the small size of the cathode tip, diffusion is rapid relative to the convective transport of O₂ to the cathode tip. Because of the small size and short diffusion path, the 90% response time can be less than 0.5 s (95). The electrolyte solution serves as electrical shielding for the working cathode to minimize electrical noise, allowing the O₂ microsensor to be mounted on benthic landers for in situ measurements (37). The internal guard cathode is also polarized and removes all O₂ diffusing toward the working cathode from the internal electrolyte reservoir, thus minimizing zero-current and polarization time. The signal from a typical O₂ microsensor is much more stable than that from a cathode-type O₂ microsensor, and current drift is 1% per hour (13). As the O₂ microsensor responds linearly to changes in O₂ concentrations, two-point calibration is sufficient (e.g., zero mg L⁻¹ O₂ and full air saturation).

**Oxygen planar optodes**

Although O₂ concentration dynamics in burrows have been successfully monitored with O₂ microsensors (10, 105, 121, 137), the monitoring of spatial and temporal O₂ dynamics in the bioturbated sediment poses a further challenge. Problems arise in this case because of the patchiness of the macrobenthos and microorganisms, and their activities. Because the O₂ microsensor measures O₂ concentration at a single point, one-dimensional O₂-concentration profiles at several distinct positions are obtained by stepwise insertion in the sediment. Therefore, from these one-dimensional results it is difficult to extrapolate two- or three-dimensional O₂ distributions in complex bioturbated sediment on larger scales (centimeter to meter scales). Simultaneous measurement of O₂ concentrations at several points requires a series of O₂ microsensors with associated recording devices, which is expensive and impractical in most cases. Thus, due to limited horizontal resolution, attributed to the one-dimensional nature of O₂ microsensors, monitoring two-dimensional O₂ distribution in bioturbated sediments using O₂ microsensors is a very difficult and time-consuming, if not impossible, task. Furthermore, measuring several O₂ profiles at several points in the sediment in order to simultaneously describe temporal O₂ dynamics is laborious, especially under non-steady-state conditions. Recently, however, the development of planar optodes has enabled us to visualize two-dimensional O₂ distribution in the sediments.

**Indicators.** The setup for planar-optode measurement has previously been described in detail (40, 46) and is thus only briefly presented here. The measuring principle behind the O₂ planar optode is based on the dynamic quenching of a luminescent fluorophore by O₂ (O₂ indicator), where O₂ decreases the fluorescence quantum yield of the O₂ indicator (40, 46, 51). The most frequently employed O₂-quenchable fluorophores are ruthenium(II)-tris-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (28, 40, 46, 101, 116, 117, 134), platinum(II)-meso-tetra(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin (32, 116), and platinum-octaethyl-porphyrine (46). Staal et al. (116) recently developed a new transparent optode for life-time-based microscopic imaging of O₂, which is based on the use of iridium(III) acetylacetonato-bis(3-[benzothiazol-2-yl]-7-[diethylamino]-coumarin) as the O₂ indicator. Compared to O₂ optodes based on the ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complex or the platinum(II) porphyrin, the new planar optode has the advantages of being brighter, having a more homogeneous and smaller pixel-to-pixel variation over the sensor area, and having lower temperature dependency. These characteristics allow for much shorter exposure times and thus lead to very short response times, less noisy O₂ image analysis and simplification of the calibration procedure. A short response time is critical in O₂ optodes, for example, when the O₂ concentration in a sample changes quickly under non-steady-state conditions.

**Construction and measuring principle.** The O₂ indicator is immobilized on a support foil, a microscope slide, a transparent polyethylene terephthalate carrier foil with plasticized PVC, or an organically modified sol-gel or polystyrene (28, 32, 40, 46, 101, 116, 134). The typical thickness of the O₂ indicator layer in the planar optodes is less than 20 μm. The planar optode is placed on the inside of an aquarium wall (Fig. 3). Excitation light with specific wavelengths for each O₂ indicator is supplied from the outside. The image of the O₂-dependent fluorescent signal emitted by the planar optode is recorded by a digital charge-coupled device (CCD) camera, and thus yields a description of two-dimensional O₂ distribution. Therefore, the spatial resolution of the O₂ planar optode is dependent on the optical performance of the setup, properties of the indicators, and blurring of the signal due to oxygen diffusion in the O₂ indicator layer (32). Spatial resolution can easily be changed by modifying the optical configuration in front of the CCD camera; for example, images covering an area of 26×25 mm and 70×50 mm...
correspond to spatial resolutions of 50 μm per pixel (54) and 105 μm per pixel, respectively (142).

In the presence of O₂, the fluorescence intensity of the O₂ indicator decreases predictably due to the quenching process (40). In contrast to the O₂ microsensor described above, the calibration curve for the O₂ planar optode, based on dynamic quenching of the luminescence of the O₂ indicator, is nonlinear. Instead, the signal of the O₂ planar optode can be described by the Stern-Volmer equation,

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{SV} C}$$

where $I_0$ and $I$ are fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of O₂, respectively; $K_{SV}$ is the Stern-Volmer constant; and $C$ is the O₂ concentration (81). This simple Stern-Volmer equation is, however, only strictly valid for ideal systems, such as dissolved solutions of fluorophore in a liquid solvent. Thus, slightly modified versions of the Stern-Volmer equation are sometimes preferred because they more adequately describe the response of the optodes (32, 39, 46, 134).

In addition, the fluorescence lifetime (i.e., decay time) of the O₂ indicator can be used for O₂ measurements (46, 131). In this case, the measuring principle relies on dynamic quenching of the indicator luminescence in response to O₂. The decay time is a direct function of the phase of the luminescent light, which can be used directly for O₂ detection. Luminescence-lifetime imaging has advantages over intensity-based imaging and allows enhancement of the contrast and background suppression of unwanted luminescence contributions in the image (46). Moreover, lifetime imaging does not depend on intensity variations due to photobleaching and variable indicator concentrations, and calibration-free sensing applications are also possible. The basic working principles of lifetime-based optodes can be found in previous reports (40, 46, 67, 131). In addition to the modifications described above, many different O₂ indicators and imaging setups have been developed to meet the requirements of various specific experimental purposes (46, 54, 81, 92, 115).

An example of fine-scale oxygen measurements in macrofaunal burrows with an O₂ microsensor

Introduction. In this section, an example of the application of O₂ microsensors to measure O₂ concentrations in burrows constructed by polychaete (Tylorrhynchus heterochaetus) is presented. Many studies have reported direct O₂ concentration measurements in burrows without sampling (see next section) and have shown evidence of enhanced mass transport through the burrows. However, measurements with O₂ microsensors were limited to depths of just a few centimeters from the sediment surface due to poor accessibility of the O₂ microsensor, and the exact position of the burrow was unknown. Because the macrobenthos commonly live in deeper parts of the sediment (e.g., >100 mm below the sediment surface) and play an important role in mass transport into the sediment, measurements of solute concentrations in deeper parts of the sediment are essential to investigate the effect of the macrobenthos on mass transport. Therefore, we studied O₂ concentrations in burrows with O₂ microsensors to provide direct evidence of mass transport into deeper parts of the sediment through burrows.

Materials and methods. Sediment from the Niida River estuary in Hachinohe City, Japan, was selected, in which a large number of burrows have been constructed by a macrobenthos, Tylorrhynchus heterochaetus, which inhabits the intertidal zone of Japanese estuaries. To directly measure O₂ concentration profiles in the burrows and bulk sediment, we constructed a continuous-flow aquarium with agar slits in one side (105). River water and sediment samples were collected in the intertidal area of the Niida River, which is located approximately 1.5 km from the river mouth (77, 78, 105). Grab samples of sediments were collected in November 2002. The sediment samples were passed through 1-mm mesh to remove pebbles, large detritus particles and indigenous macrobenthos.

The concentration profiles of O₂ and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were measured in the laboratory using microsensors, as described by Satoh et al. (105). The microsensors for ORP, which were made from a platinum wire coated with a glass micropipette, were constructed and calibrated as described by Jang et al. (48). All ORP data reported in this paper were relative to the Ag/AgCl reference sensor. To directly determine O₂ concentrations around and inside the burrows, we constructed an aquarium from acrylic plates (105). Forty-five slits (5×50 mm) filled with 3% agar plate were made in one side of the aquarium (Fig. 4), allowing us to determine the burrow structure and microsensor position in the burrow. The aquarium was filled with the sediment collected at the study site. When a macrobenthos (T. heterochaetus) was placed on the sediment surface in the aquarium, it immediately began to dig a burrow in the sediment. River water was continuously fed through the aquarium at a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹. The aquarium was maintained at 20°C and in dark conditions. After 3 d, the macrobenthos had created visible burrows down to 400 mm.

To measure O₂ concentrations in the burrow or bulk sediment in deeper parts of the sediment, the O₂ microsensor was inserted into the burrow through the agar plate to the center of the aquarium (i.e., 10 mm from the agar surface). An O₂ microsensor was mounted on a motor-driven micromanipulator (model ACV-104-HP; Chuo Precision Industrial Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) (Fig. 2). O₂ concentration profiles in the biofilms were obtained by using the micromanipulator at intervals of 50 to 500 mm from the agar surface (or bulk liquid) into the sediment. The position of the microsensor tip
was determined with a dissecting microscope (model Stemi 2000; Carl Zeiss). In order to determine $O_2$ concentrations inside the burrow, on the burrow wall (i.e., the burrow–sediment interface) and in the bulk sediment, the $O_2$ microsensor was inserted into four different points in the sediment, positioned laterally at a depth of 80 mm from the sediment surface (see Fig. 2). In addition, the $O_2$ microsensor was inserted from the top of the aquarium to measure a two-dimensional contour plot of $O_2$ concentrations at the sediment surface (Fig. 2). Eleven vertical $O_2$-concentration profiles were measured at 0.5 mm to 3 mm intervals along a transection of the sediment surface. A contour plot was constructed from the profiles. Microprofiles for $O_2$ and ORP were determined only once at different positions in the sediment.

The profiles of ORP levels were also measured at a cross section of the sediment in a flow cell filled with a synthetic medium (105). To ensure that steady-state profiles were obtained, the sediment was incubated in the medium at 20°C for more than 30 min before taking the measurements. The details of the measurements are described elsewhere (105).

Results. Many burrow openings (approximately 2000 ind. m$^{-2}$), with diameters of approximately 5 mm, were found on the sediment surface at the study site during low tide. The visible burrows extended down to a depth of at least 400 mm. The burrow walls were covered with thin oxidized light-brown layers (Fig. 5) (105). The color of the burrow wall was similar to that of the sediment surface. Further information on the sediment characteristics at the study site and the physical and chemical parameters in the river water can be found elsewhere (77, 78, 105).

A representative two-dimensional contour plot of $O_2$ concentrations at the sediment surface, constructed from 11 vertical $O_2$ concentration profiles, is shown in Fig. 5. In this case, the $O_2$ concentration in the overlying water was ca. 80 µM. The contour plot of $O_2$ around the burrow opening is parallel to the burrow structure, demonstrating that $O_2$ was transported into the sediment through the burrow. Fluffy brown biofilm developed on the surface and burrow wall. Biofilm thickness was ca. 5 mm. During the microsensor measurements, we occasionally found that suspended particles flowed in the burrow and the fluffy biofilm on the burrow wall oscillated, which proved that water did actually flow through the burrow.

The $O_2$ microsensor was inserted horizontally through the agar plate of the aquarium into 4 different points in the sediment at a depth of 80 mm from the sediment surface (Fig. 6A). Fig. 6B shows $O_2$-concentration profiles in the burrow and in the surrounding bulk sediment. In this case, the $O_2$ concentration in the overlying water was ca. 190 µM. The $O_2$ concentration of ca. 210 µM at the agar surface decreased to 60–90 µM in the agar plate. When the $O_2$ microsensor was inserted at the center of the burrow (point 1 indicated in Fig. 6A), the $O_2$ concentration increased toward the center of the burrow (at a point 10 mm from the agar surface in Fig. 6B). In contrast, the $O_2$ concentration remained almost unchanged at the burrow–sediment interface (point 2). $O_2$ concentrations in the surrounding bulk sediment decreased further, down to less than 10 µM at a point 10 mm from the agar surface (points 3 and 4). These results clearly show the horizontal $O_2$-concentration profile from the center of the burrow to the surrounding anoxic bulk sediment.

Temporal changes in the $O_2$ concentration in the burrow were monitored by inserting and fixing an $O_2$ microsensor at the center of the burrow (i.e., 10 mm from agar surface) at a depth of 170 mm from the sediment surface, and

![Fig. 4. Schematic drawing of an aquarium: 1, sideboard; 2, sediment; 3, infaunal burrow; 4, agar plate; 5, an infauna; 6, tank filled with river water; 7, pump. (A) Front view. (B) Side view. (C) Close-up view of the side view enclosed by the box in panel B.](image)

![Fig. 5. A representative two-dimensional contour plot of $O_2$ concentration in the sediment (left) and drawing of a cross section of the sediment indicated in the left panel. A microsensor was inserted at 11 points (arrows in the right panel).](image)
continuously recording O₂ concentrations (Fig. 7). In this case, the O₂ concentration in the overlying water was ca. 190 µM. The O₂ concentration was ca. 90 µM when the O₂ microsensor was inserted (0 s). The O₂ concentration started to decrease at 170 s, reached the minimum value (ca. 55 µM) at 380 s, and then finally returned almost to the initial level (ca. 85 µM) at 750 s. Interestingly, we found that the macrobenthos wriggled during the period when the O₂ concentration decreased. Movement of the macrobenthos and changes in the O₂ concentration in the burrow were not observed for at least 2,000 s after the initial 750 s. The burrows of the macrobenthos extended into anoxic sediment; thus, they must pump overlying oxic water through their burrows to meet their respiratory needs. Burrow irrigation can also be required for feeding, metabolite removal, and avoidance of the toxic effects of sulfide in anoxic pore waters (34). Bioirrigation introduces O₂ and nutrients into an otherwise anoxic sediment, which enhances solute exchange between the water column and pore waters, thereby influencing the biogeochemical cycling of nutrients.

Many studies have aimed to measure solute concentrations in burrows with microsensors (11, 38, 72, 73, 138); however, all of the data were limited to the upper parts of the sediments (a few cm deep). In this study, we constructed and used an aquarium with agar slits in a side panel to overcome this limitation. To determine the O₂ concentration profile along the burrow structure, the O₂ microsensor was inserted through the agar slits of the aquarium into the center of the burrow to different sediment depths (105). In this case, the O₂ concentration in the overlying water was ca. 190 µM. The O₂ concentration in the burrow decreased from this value to 120 µM at a depth of 80 mm due to respiration of the macrobenthos and microorganisms, but below this depth, the decrease in O₂ concentration was moderate. Interestingly, O₂ still existed in the burrow even at a depth of 350 mm (105). This was attributed to the irrigation activity of the macrobenthos to exchange water with low concentrations of O₂ and metabolites with the overlying fresh water (Fig. 7) (58). In contrast, the O₂ penetration depth was only a few millimeters into the sediment surface around the burrow opening (Fig. 5). Using the newly developed aquarium, we could directly determine, for the first time, the O₂ concentration profile in and around the burrows in deeper parts of the sediment. This result provided direct evidence of the contribution of the macrobenthos to O₂ transport, through the burrow, to deeper sediment.

Our aquarium had the advantages of being able to observe the exact positions of the burrows in the sediment and to directly measure the O₂ concentration profiles in and around the burrows in deeper parts of the sediment. The main disadvantage was, however, that O₂ diffused into the burrow and sediment through the agar plate, as indicated by the O₂ concentration gradients in the agar plate (Fig. 6B). The O₂ transport rates through the agar plate corresponded to ca. 5% of the total O₂ consumption rates in the burrow wall (105). Thus, O₂ concentrations in the burrow were slightly overestimated in this study; however, this does not negate the observed trends in the results presented here.

Moreover, steady-state ORP profiles on burrow walls were measured in a cross-section of the sediment, which was collected without disturbing the physical structure of the cross-section of the sediment at the same sampling site (105). ORP microsensors were inserted into 3 points of the cross.
section; the burrow wall at depths of 5 mm (point 1) and 50 mm (point 3) and the bulk sediment at a depth of 50 mm (point 4) from the sediment surface (Fig. 8B). The ORP level declined sharply in the upper 0.5 mm of the bulk sediment (point 4) in comparison to at the burrow wall (points 1 and 3) (Fig. 8C). ORP levels at a depth of ca. 2 mm from the cross section were ca. +50 mV at the burrow wall (points 1 and 3), whereas ca. −30 mV in the bulk sediment (point 4), indicating that the burrow-wall sediment was more oxygenated than the bulk sediment. These results clearly indicate that the layered structure of oxygenated and reduced zones, found at the sediment surface, was created at the burrow wall.

The higher levels of O$_2$ and ORP in the burrow walls altered the abundance, diversity and activity of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) in the burrow walls rather than in the bulk sediment (105). Real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) assay demonstrated that AOB and Nitrospira-like NOB-specific 16S rRNA gene copy numbers in the burrow walls were comparable with those in the sediment surfaces and higher than those in the bulk sediment at the same depth. The 16S rRNA gene-cloning analysis revealed that betaproteobacterial AOB communities in the sediment surface and burrow walls were dominated by Nitrosomonas sp. strain Nm143-like sequences. The second most frequently detected clones recovered from the sediment surface were affiliated with the Nitrosomonas marina lineage, whereas they were affiliated with the Nitrosospira briensis lineage in the burrow walls. Microelectrode measurements showed higher NH$_4^+$ consumption activity at the burrow wall than in the surrounding sediment. These results clearly demonstrated that the infaunal burrows stimulated O$_2$ and mass transport into the sediment in which otherwise reducing conditions prevailed, resulting in the development of high NH$_4^+$ consumption capacity. Consequently, the infaunal burrow became an important site for NH$_4^+$ consumption in the intertidal sediment.

**Spatial and temporal dynamics of oxygen concentrations in macrofaunal burrows: a review of the recent literature**

In previous studies, spatial and temporal O$_2$ dynamics in macrofaunal burrows in sediments were measured with O$_2$ microsensors and O$_2$ planar optodes at high spatial and temporal resolution. Table 1 summarizes these papers, listing the macrobenthos species that created the burrows and the range of O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows. To date, studies to investigate O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows have focused mainly on the burrows created by polychaetes and insect larvae, which inhabit sediments in estuarine, lake or river waters.

O$_2$ was introduced into burrows in deeper sediment layers by the activities of the macrobenthos (e.g., bioirrigation). Microsensor measurements demonstrated that O$_2$ was present in the burrows, at least at the points where the O$_2$ microsensor was inserted (at depths of 3.5 to 50 mm). O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows differed depending on the burrow inhabitant. Furthermore, O$_2$ planar optodes allowed O$_2$-concentration measurements in deeper parts of the sediments (to depths of 500 mm) than when using O$_2$ microsensors. It should be noted that our aquarium also allowed for O$_2$ measurements in burrows in deeper parts of the sediment (to depths of 350 mm) (105).

O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows fluctuated over time and ranged from 0% to 100% of air saturation in response to bioirrigation activity of the macrobenthos (Table 1). The burrows were intermittently irrigated in a sequence of pumping events by the macrobenthos, followed by a period of rest, which could be interpreted from the temporal variation in the O$_2$ concentration (91). In our study, the time interval between the initial O$_2$ decrease, due to the discharge of water with lower O$_2$ concentration and with metabolites from the macrobenthos and microorganisms, and the moment the concentration returns to its peak after replacement with fresh oxygenated water, is considered to be the duration of the pumping event (170 s to 750 s in Fig. 7). The duration of the maximum O$_2$ concentration is considered to be the rest period. Due to discontinuous irrigation, O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows were highly variable over a timescale of minutes. The dynamic range of the O$_2$ concentrations in the burrows...
and the cycle of pumping and resting events, differed depending on the macrobenthos species (138) and temperature (6), probably because the activities of the macrobenthos vary among species and with the environmental conditions in the burrows.

Macrobenthos are quantitatively important with respect to the area of the oxic–anoxic interface in sediments on a global scale. Teal et al. (130) calculated the global volume of bioturbated sediment to be 20,700 km$^3$, based on a conservative estimate of the ocean area of 360 million km$^2$, indicating a significant impact of the macrobenthos activities on chemical environments in sediments on a global scale.

Microsensors can detect $O_2$ concentrations in the burrows directly and with minimum disturbance and a rapid response. As other types of microsensors (for $H_2S$, $NH_4^+$, and $NH_3$), and depth distribution of the macrobenthos. Teal et al. (130) calculated the global volume of bioturbated sediment to be 20,700 km$^3$, based on a conservative estimate of the ocean area of 360 million km$^2$, indicating a significant impact of the macrobenthos activities on chemical environments in sediments on a global scale.

### Table 1. Summary of $O_2$ concentrations and their temporal fluctuations in burrows created by different macrobenthos species reported in previous studies. $O_2$ concentrations were determined with an $O_2$ microsensor or $O_2$ planar optode. The macrobenthos species and the location of the study site are presented. Each source is listed by number in the literature cited.

| Species | Location | $O_2$ concentration ($\mu M$ or %)$^a$ | Temporal fluctuation ($\mu M$ or %)$^a$ | Ref. |
|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| **$O_2$ microsensors** | | | | |
| Tylorrhynchus heterochaeus (polychaete) | Intertidal area, Japan | 70 at 350 mm | 55–90 at 170 mm | This study |
| Neotrypaea Californiensis (ghost shrimp) | Shallow lagoon, USA | 45 at 3.5 mm | 0–350 at 0 mm | 105 |
| Hexagenia limbata (mayfly) | Lake Saint Joseph, Canada | 350 at 12 mm | 0–350 at 0 mm | 10 |
| Sialis velata (alderfly) | Lake Saint Joseph, Canada | 350 at 12 mm | 0–350 at 0 mm | 34 |
| Chironomus riparius larvae (dipitera) | Freshwater sediments | <200 at 8 mm | 0–200 at 6 mm | 121 |
| Arenicola marina (polychaete) | Near-coastal sandflat in fjord, Denmark | 5–100% at 50 mm | — | 134 |
| Campsorus notatus (ephemerid) | Lake Batata, Brazil | 200 at 44 mm | 190–230 at 25 mm | 64 |
| Ephoron virgo larvae (mayfly) | River Rhine, Germany | 190–230 at 25 mm | 140–240 at 15 mm | 120 |
| Unidentified | Aarhus Bay, Denmark | 50 at 9 mm | 270–360 at 25–30 mm | 38 |
| Hexagenia limbata (mayfly) | Lake Saint Joseph, Canada | 170 at 26 mm | 20–380 at 25–30 mm | 138 |
| Sialis velata (alderfly) | Near-coastal sandflat in fjord, Denmark | 5–100% at 50 mm | — | 134 |
| Corophium volutator (amphipod), Nereis sp. (polychaete), and chironomid larvae | Inner, low-salinity part of a small fjord estuary, Denmark | 170 at 6 mm | — | 11 |
| **$O_2$ planar optodes** | | | | |
| Chironomus plumosus larvae (dipitera) | Shallow lake, Denmark and Germany | 180–30 at 4°C at 20–30 mm | 170–100 at 15°C at 20–30 mm | 6 |
| Earthworms | Peat soil, Denmark | 50% at 35–45 mm | 170–100 at 15°C at 20–30 mm | 136 |
| Arenicola marina (polychaete) | Intertidal area, Germany | 40% at 150 mm | 0–40% at 150 mm | 125 |
| Nereis diversicolor (polychaete) | Carteau cove, France | 75% at 100 mm | — | 89 |
| Nereis virens (polychaete) | Channel, France | 75% at 100 mm | — | — |
| Chironomus plumosus larvae (polychaete) | A freshwater lake, Germany | 170 at 15 mm | 20–120 at 12 mm | 91 |
| Hediste diversicolor (polychaete) | Harbour, Denmark | 50% at 10 mm | 0–90% | 55 |
| Hediste diversicolor (polychaete) | Shallow water subtidal site, Denmark | 30% at 30 mm | — | 142 |

$^a$ The concentrations are indicated as $\mu M$ or percentage of air saturation.
measurements in deeper parts of the sediment than possible with the O₂ microsensor (Table 1). In addition, the O₂ planar optode allows for two-dimensional time-lapse measurements; however, the O₂ planar optode is not always in direct contact with the burrows, resulting in the underestimation of O₂ concentrations in the burrow. Likewise, the optode could not detect O₂ in either the feeding pocket or in the advective zone (134). In addition, O₂ measurements in sediments with the O₂ planar optode potentially result in physical changes to the sediment structure due to insertion of the optode into the sediment (6). Photobleaching of the indicator can hinder reliable and long-term measurements. The O₂ microsensor also infrequently suffers from drift. Consequently, the choice of appropriate tools is an important prerequisite to accurately measure O₂ concentrations in the bioturbated sediment. The combined use of an O₂ planar optode and O₂ microsensor allowed for more accurate and reliable measurements of O₂ concentrations in sediments (41, 90).

Conclusions and future directions

During the last couple of decades, much effort has been devoted to investigating O₂ concentrations in bioturbated sediments. Currently, O₂ concentrations can be measured at high spatial and temporal resolution with O₂ microsensors and O₂ planar optodes. Extensive studies have provided considerable insight into O₂ distributions and their spatial and temporal dynamics in macrofaunal burrows in sediments, as described above. Microsensor measurements clearly demonstrate that the macrofaunal burrow facilitates O₂ transport into deeper sediment, in which otherwise reducing conditions prevail. O₂ was detected in macrofaunal burrows at depths of 500 mm in the sediment, whereas the O₂ penetration depth at the sediment surface was only a few millimeters. Thereby, the area of the oxic–anoxic interface in the sediments was enhanced by up to 9 times that of the area of the sediment surface as a result of the burrowing activity of the macrobenthos. Moreover, O₂ distribution patterns were spatially and temporally dynamic in response to a sequence of pumping events by the macrobenthos followed by a period of rest.

However, O₂ microsensors and O₂ planar optodes have only been used to a very limited extent in studies concerning the distribution, transport and dynamics of O₂ in macrofaunal burrows and sediments; therefore, up until now, little has been known about the biogeochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen and sulfur in the bioturbated sediment. Future investigations should aim to map the concentrations of CO₂, CH₄, NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, NO, N₂O, H₂S, metals and the pH in the burrows. Planar optodes selective for CO₂ (115, 147), NH₄⁺ (128) and pH (114, 118, 146) are available; however, the types of indicators for planar optodes are limited. Hafuka et al. (44) reported a fluorescent molecule capable of recognizing heavy-metal ions, which suggests the possibility of developing corresponding planar optodes. In contrast, microsensors for H₂S, H₂, N₂O, NO, pH, and redox potential are commercially available (Unisense A/S), and LIX-based microsensors (NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻ and pH) are easy to construct (23, 36). In situ two-dimensional distribution of H₂S has also been determined by diffusive gradients in the thin films (DGT)-computer-imaging densitometry (CID) technique (26). The combination of O₂ microsensors and planar optodes with those for other dissolved gases and ions can enhance our understanding of the biogeochemical processes occurring in bioturbated sediments.

The biogeochemical processes in bioturbated sediments are not only affected by dissolved gases and ions, but also by many other factors, such as particle size and porosity in the sediment, transport properties (diffusion and advection), and the abundance, distribution and diversity of microorganisms. Although a microsensor for flow-velocity measurements is commercially available (Unisense A/S) (100), and permeability can be estimated by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (18), determination of physical properties in the sediment on the submillimeter scale is still difficult. Development of technology to determine the physical properties of burrows and sediments is urgently needed. On the other hand, the abundance and diversity of microbial communities has been frequently studied using molecular techniques, such as 16S rRNA gene clone libraries, FISH, DGGE and T-RFLP (25, 31, 35, 45, 50, 111, 129). Knowledge of these physical and/or microbial properties in burrows could be related directly or indirectly to the results obtained with O₂ microsensors and O₂ planar optodes.

Most of the studies discussed in this review were conducted in experimental mesocosms in laboratories. Only a few studies have performed in situ measurements of O₂ concentrations in sediment, accomplished using autonomous benthic-lander systems that carry benthic chambers and profiling units (37, 41, 142). Although the in situ temperature and bottom-water O₂ concentrations of the recovered sediment cores were maintained as closely as possible in the laboratory, the measurements introduced artifacts that affected O₂ distribution, as well as microbial activities due
to the disturbance of the sediment structure during core sampling and improper establishment of in situ conditions during the measurements. Hence, trustworthy results can only be obtained by in situ analysis.
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