1. Introduction

Advances in low-loss magnetic materials continue to maintain an interest in a well founded power control technology - the magnetic amplifier (MagAmp) [1] and [2]. Numerous topologies for MagAmp regulator schemes were proposed in literature [3] - [5]. The main advantages of MagAmp are its simplicity and ruggedness. MagAmp can be controlled by relatively simple control circuitry and can provide good output regulation under wide load variations [6] and has good dynamic characteristics [7] - [10]. Studies showed that at 10 kW and 10 kHz the IGBT converter losses are about 100 W, whereas with a Magamp design the losses are as low as 25 W. At 80 kHz the IGBT losses are about 355 W while with a Magamp design they are only about 25 W [11]. Better efficiency also implies weight reduction of cooling system. Moreover, contrast with the abrupt switching of the semiconductor switch, MagAmp has a smooth switching trajectory dictated by the hysteresis loop. Consequently, MagAmp has soft switching properties and, hence, generates lower electro-magnetic interference. In addition, MagAmp switch requires neither snubbers [12] nor over-voltage, nor short circuit protection circuitry. On top of that, MagAmp regulator can facilitate zero voltage soft switching of the active devices of the driving power stage [13]. Recently, front end multiple output LLC resonant converter with Magamp post regulation was demonstrated operating at 110 kHz [14] and [15]. However, MagAmp is known to operate at even higher switching frequencies up to several hundred kHz [16] and [17]. Therefore, application of high-frequency MagAmps is a viable solution for implementing converters with multiple isolated high-current regulated outputs.

Recent regulations regarding the line current quality have made Power Factor Correctors (PFC) a standard utility interface stage for most electronic equipment that uses ac-line power. However, the high output voltage of the PFC corrected rectifiers requires down-stream dc-dc converter having larger step down ratio. This also increases the voltage stress on the semiconductor switches. Clearly, operation at higher voltage has an effect on the overall efficiency of the off line ac-dc converter.

This paper evaluates the performance of magnetic amplifier regulator in isolated off-line power supplies with power factor correction stage. A research of operation modes of power converter, based on high frequency magnetic amplifiers, with power factor correction was conducted for the first time. Simplified controller circuitry is suggested and analyzed. Experimental results are reported.
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2. General concept

In order to achieve low-harmonic line current, isolation capability and dc output regulation a three stage approach is considered as shown in Fig. 1 [18]. One Cycle Controlled (OCC) Power Factor Pre-regulator is applied to attain high power factor utility interface, transformer isolated self oscillating half-bridge power oscillator implements an isolated high frequency link, whereas MagAmp post-regulator is employed to provide output voltage regulation.
2.2 The power oscillator stage

The Power Oscillator, shown in Fig. 3, is a modified self-oscillating half-bridge circuit derived from [4]. The circuit is comprised of power transistors Q1 and Q2, free-wheeling diodes D9 and D10, splitting capacitors C5 and C6, which are also used as the hold-up capacitors, and the power transformer T2. The drive signal is obtained by the feedback transformer T3 placed in parallel to the oscillator output. The drive transformer T1 has two split anti-phase drive windings N1 for each power transistor and a proportional base drive winding N2. The advantage of the half-bridge stage is that it applies only half of the supply voltage to the transformer primary and so provides additional voltage step down. This allows lowering the transformer turn ratio as well as transformer’s primary magnetizing inductance.
The oscillator cycle is determined by the time required for the saturable reactor, SR1, to slew from positive to negative saturation and then back again to positive saturation and is a function of SR1 parameters, that is, number of turns, effective core cross section area and maximum flux density of the saturable reactor SR1. \( N_{SR1}, A_{SR1}, B_{max} \), and applied voltage. The voltage across SR1 equals the voltage across five conducting diodes \( 5V_{on} \) reflected towards the saturable reactor via the drive transformer with turns ratio of \( N_{T} \). Therefore, the approximate expression for the oscillator frequency can be derived as:

\[
f_s = \frac{5N_{SR1}V_{on}}{4N_{T}N_{SR1}AB_{max}}
\]

(1)

The advantage of the proposed scheme is that the saturable reactor SR1 keeps the positive and negative half-cycles of the oscillator’s output voltage waveform in perfect balance. This prevents saturating the power transformer. Thus, no dc blocking capacitor is needed at the transformer’s primary.

2.3 A quick review of MagAmp post-regulator and control circuit

The MagAmp post-regulator is implemented as a push-pull full-wave circuit [5] at the secondary of the power transformer, T, as shown in Fig. 4. The post-regulator is comprised of a pair of the MagAmp switches SR1 and SR2; power rectifier diodes D1-D2; a free-wheeling diode DFW and a second order LC output filter.

As compared to a single ended MagAmp circuit, the push-pull MagAmp configuration has the advantages of the symmetrical operation and doubled dc output voltage.

Here, both MagAmp switches operate with current reset. The reset current \( i_R \) is provided by the controller as discussed below. The steering diodes DR1 and DR2 naturally steer the reset current \( i_R \) towards the device to be reset. Each MagAmp is wound using two parallel strands of litz wire on the same core. The parallel connection of the MagAmp windings lowers the equivalent resistance of the conduction path and accordingly, lowers the power losses of the power stage under high load current.

There are different approaches to control circuit design [21]. The proposed MagAmp control circuit is shown in Fig. 5. This circuit is a simplification of that used in [9]. The circuit is fed directly by the output voltage, \( V_{out} \). Resistor divider \( R_1, R_2 \) determines the feedback ratio, breakdown diode D8 provides the voltage reference, whereas the PNP transistor Q3 realizes a transconductance error amplifier, \( Gm \). The compensation network is constituted of \( R_{e1}, R_{e2}, C_e \). The collector current of Q3 is applied to MagAmp switches as the reset current \( i_R \).

Under closed loop action, assuming also that the voltage drop across Re1 is negligible, the converter’s steady state output voltage is established according to:

\[
V_{out} = (V_c + V_{ZB8}) \left( 1 + \frac{R_i}{R_K} \right).
\]

(2)

\( V_c \) is the breakdown voltage of D8 and \( V_{ZB8} \) is the ON voltage of Q3 emitter – base junction.

2.4 Derivation of approximate MagAmp large signal relationships

In the blocking state the MagAmp has to sustain the pulse voltage \( V_p \) with only a negligible current \( i \) flowing through the device. Thus, according to the Faraday’s law:

\[
V_p = \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \left( \frac{d\lambda}{dt} \right) \frac{di}{dt}.
\]

(3)

where \( \lambda \) is the flux linkage.

Due to the hysteresis of the core material, \( \frac{d\lambda}{dt} \) is a non linear function. However, modern materials recommended for implementation of MagAmps have a relatively sharp hysteresis loop. The sharpness property allows defining the average...
permeability $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$ of the MagAmp core along the steep segment of the B-H curve. This linearization of the B-H curve is useful to obtain simple approximate results to facilitate easy design of the converter. Relying on the assumption above, a simple approximate model of the MagAmp regulator is derived introducing the average inductance of the MagAmp defined as:

$$L_{av} = \frac{\Delta A}{\Delta t} = N_{ma}^2 \frac{\mu A_r}{I_{s}}$$  (4)

where $A_r$ is the MagAmp core effective area; $I_s$ is the MagAmp core effective length; $N_{ma}$ is the number of turns of the MagAmp.

Rearranging (3) and applying (4), the MagAmp blocking time, $\Delta t$ can be expressed as:

$$\Delta t = L_{av} \frac{\Delta I}{V_p} = L_{av} \frac{I_{max} + I_s}{V_p}$$  (5)

where:

$$\Delta I = I_{max} - (-I_s)$$  (6)

is the current swing from the reset operating point $R$ established by the (negative) reset current ($-I_s$) to the maximum (positive) current $I_{max}$ on the verge of MagAmp saturation, approximated by:

$$I_{max} = \frac{H_{max} I_s}{N_{ma}} = \frac{B_{max} I_s}{IN_{ma}}$$  (7)

Here, $B_{max}$ is the maximum flux density of the MagAmp core material.

As shown in Fig. 5, MagAmp switches operate in a complementary fashion either in the positive or negative half cycle. Once saturated at instance $\Delta t$, the MagAmp remains in saturation till the rest of the half-cycle $\frac{1}{2} T_s$. Thus, the MagAmp allows the voltage to appear at the input terminals of the output filter for the duration of:

$$T_{on} = \frac{1}{2} T_s - \Delta t = \frac{1}{2} T_s - \frac{L_{av} I_{max}}{V_p} - \frac{L_{av} I_s}{V_p}$$  (8)

Hence, the average voltage applied to the input terminals of the output filter by the push-pull MagAmp stage is:

$$V_{av} = \frac{2}{T_s} (V_p T_{on}) = V_p - 2f_s L_{av} I_{max} - 2f_s L_{av} I_s =$$

$$= V_{av_{max}} - 2f_s L_{av} I_s$$  (9)

where $f_s = \frac{1}{T_s}$ is the switching frequency, and $V_{av_{max}}$ is the maximum average voltage that could be attained with zero reset current:

$$V_{av_{max}} = V_p - 2f_s L_{av} I_{max} = V_p - 2f_s N_{ma} A_r B_{max}$$  (10)

Equations (9), (10) constitute a simple approximate average large signal behavioral model of the MagAmp switch. More accurate model of MagAmp was derived in [10] and [11], however, the relative complexity of those makes it inconvenient to be used by a circuit designer.

2.5 Control loop small signal relationships

The MagAmp controller is implemented entirely on the secondary side of the power transformer and employs a single voltage loop. Average model of MagAmp control loop after [9] is shown in Fig. 6. Here, $H_{mag}(s)$ is the average MagAmp small signal transfer function, $H_f(S)$ is the MagAmp delay transfer function and $H_o(S)$ is the output filter transfer function. The voltage reference $V_{ref}$, the feedback $\beta$, the summer and the error amplifier transfer function $G_s(S)$, are implemented by the controller circuitry. The resulting MagAmp post-regulator loop-gain, see Fig. 8, is obtained as:

$$G_L(s) = \beta G_m(s) H_{mag}(s) H_f(s) H_o(s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\frac{R_1}{R_1+R_2}} \left(1 + \frac{S}{\omega_c} \right) \left(1 + \frac{S}{\omega_r} \right) \left(1 - \frac{S}{\frac{4f_s}{\omega_c}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{S}{\frac{4f_s}{\omega_r}} \right)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{1 + \frac{S}{\omega_c} + \frac{S}{\omega_r}}$$

Fig. 6 Average model of MagAmp control loop

3. Experimental results

The experimental converter circuit was built and tested. The converter was designed to operate from the universal line 90-240 Vac and provide 24 Vdc output at 10 A load current. The switching frequency of the power factor pre-regulator was 85 kHz whereas the isolation stage half-bridge was operated at 50 kHz. The converter exhibited stable and reliable operation within the entire load and line range. The experimental characteristics of the proposed converter were obtained for 230 Vac, 115 Vac, 90 Vac line, whereas the load power was varied in the 10%-100% range.

3.1 Experimental steady state characteristics
Steady state characteristics of the experimental converter are presented in Figs. 7 and 8.

*Fig. 7 Power Factor as function of line voltage and output power*

![Graph showing Power Factor as a function of output power (Pout) and line voltage (Vline). The graph includes three lines for different Vline: 90V, 115V, and 230V. The x-axis represents Pout in W, ranging from 0 to 250 W, and the y-axis represents PF ranging from 0.80 to 1.0.](image)

*Fig. 8 Overall efficiency of the experimental converter as function of output power and line voltage.*

![Graph showing overall efficiency as a function of output power (Pout) and line voltage (Vline). The graph includes three lines for different Vline: 90V, 115V, and 230V. The x-axis represents Pout in W, ranging from 0 to 250 W, and the y-axis represents Efficiency in %.](image)

3.2 Experimental waveforms

Experimental waveforms of MagAmp regulator and line voltage and current are presented in Figs. 9 and 10 respectively.

*Fig. 9 Experimental waveforms of MagAmp regulator under different loading conditions: Pout=240 W (a); Pout=120 W (b); Pout=25 W (c). Ch1: Power transformer secondary voltage (50 V/Div); Ch2: Magamp voltage (50 V/Div); Ref. A: Input voltage of output filter (20 V/Div); Ref. B: Output voltage ripples (200 mV/Div). Horizontal scale 5 uSec.*

![Waveform graph showing experimental waveforms.](image)
Fig. 10 Experimental waveforms of line voltage and current: (a) $P_{out}=240$ W, $V_{line}=90$ Vrms, Ch2: Line current (1.33 Amp/Div); (b) $P_{out}=120$ W, $V_{line}=115$ Vrms, Ch2: Line current (1.33 Amp/Div); (c) $P_{out}=25$ W, $V_{line}=90$ Vrms, Ch2: Line current (0.67 Amp/Div). Ch1: Line voltage (100 V/Div); Horizontal scale 5 mSec.

4. Conclusion

This paper reevaluated the performance of MagAmp regulated, isolated, ac-dc converter with high power factor pre-regulator. A simple MagAmp control circuit was proposed.

The experimental converter was designed to provide 24 Vdc at 10 A output and provided adequate characteristics within a wide range of the load current. Under full load a 0.1 V output ripple in the steady state was observed. The overall efficiency of the converter reached peak value of 91% at medium power level. The experimental data suggests that the PF pre-regulator stage conduction losses have a severe impact on the converter efficiency, which deteriorates as the line voltage is lowered.

Particularly under light load conditions the efficiency drops to the minimum of 65% as the controller power and switching losses become comparable to the load power.

As can be seen from the experimental waveforms, MagAmp created favorable switching conditions to the power oscillator transistors so that no overshoot or ringing was observed in the power oscillator waveform. The regulator circuits are implemented entirely on the low voltage secondary side so that no isolated feedback circuits are required. Simplicity, ruggedness and reliability as well as soft switching make MagAmp a viable solution for implementing converters with multiple isolated high-current regulated outputs.
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