Ni Oxidation State and Ligand Saturation Impact on the Capability of Octaazamacrocyclic Complexes to Bind and Reduce CO₂
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Abstract: Two 15-membered octaazamacrocyclic nickel(II) complexes are investigated by theoretical methods to shed light on their affinity forwards binding and reducing CO₂. In the first complex [Ni²⁺L₀], the octaazamacrocyclic ligand is grossly unsaturated (π-conjugated), while in the second [Ni²⁺LH]²⁻ one, the macrocycle is saturated with hydrogens. One and two-electron reductions are described using Mulliken population analysis, quantum theory of atoms in molecules, localized orbitals, and domain averaged fermi holes, including the characterization of the Ni-CO bond and the oxidation state of the central Ni atom. It was found that in the [NILH] complex, the central atom is reduced to Ni₀ and/or Ni² and is thus able to bind CO₂ via a single σ bond. In addition, the two-electron reduced [³NiL]²⁻ species also shows an affinity forwards CO₂.
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1. Introduction

The catalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to C1 chemicals (or even to liquid fuels, e.g., methanol) is receiving considerable attention. Lowering the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ and/or the control of the amount of CO₂ exhausts into the atmosphere is nowadays one of the crucial challenges in the fight against the dramatic climate changes due to global warming. Several recent reviews describe the electrocatalytic and/or photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ using different molecular catalytic systems [1–7]. Many of these utilize expensive 4d and 5d transition metals, such as Ru, Rh, Pd, Re, Os, or Ir with bipyridine [8–10] or phosphate-like ligands [11–14]. In addition, mononuclear 3d transition metal (Fe, Ni, Cu) complexes with macrocyclic ligands have been reported to be active in the reduction of CO₂ [4,15–20], as well as multinuclear 3d transition metal (Fe, Ni, Cu) complexes [21–26], as summarized in several recent reviews [1,2,5,7]. As a further instance, binuclear Cu(II) tetraazacyclotetradecane units show affinity forwards carboxylates, which could be a further interesting option for a CO₂ reduction strategy [27–31].

It is generally assumed that the affinity of transition metal complexes towards CO₂, and their ability to reduce it, is closely related to a low oxidation state of the central metal atom, as found in Fe(0)-porphyrins [4], Co(I) and Ni(I) complexes of 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (denoted as cyclam) [16,17,32,33], or Co(I) and Fe(I) corroles [20,34].
Several cyclam complexes of Co(I,II) [35–43] and Ni(I,II) [44–49] have already been extensively studied, concerning their potential in electrochemical or photochemical reduction of CO$_2$ [1,2,5,7]. Wang et al. [5] summarize the electrocatalytic potentials of CO$_2$ reduction with different Ni complexes, the CO$_2$ to CO conversion potential of, e.g., [Ni(cyclam)]$^{2+}$ is $-1.0$ V (H$_2$O at pH 4.1 with a Hg working electrode) as found by Sauvage et al. [17], later also confirmed by Froehlich and Kubiak [49] using a glassy carbon electrode ($-1.3$ V).

The lowest potential with respect to CO$_2$ to CO conversion pointed out in Wang et al. [5] was achieved with the phosphine dinuclear complex [Ni$_2$(l-CNCH$_3$)(CNCH$_3$)$_2$(l$_2$-dppm)$_2$] (dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane) that could catalyse the electro-reduction of liquid CO$_2$ to CO at approximately $-0.63$ V vs. NHE [21], although a further catalytic reaction was hindered due to the capture of the evolved CO by the dinuclear nickel complex [5].

Despite the extensive experimental research in the field, the insight into their electronic structure and the understanding of the interaction between the central metal atom and the carbon atom of CO$_2$ remains relatively limited. The ability of certain macrocyclic complexes to bind CO$_2$, in contrast to other quite similar structures, which do not show CO$_2$ affinity, has not yet been sufficiently addressed by theoretical methods. Among the few reports published so far, Sakaki [50,51] studied the affinity of Ni(I,II) complexes for CO$_2$ with different combinations of NH$_3$ and F$^-$ ligands. Besides, several studies focused on the frontier orbitals features between the central metal atom and the CO$_2$ carbon, to characterize their interaction, including calculations of relevant properties such as electronic transitions [2].

Aside from the oxidation state of the central metal atom, several other experimental studies have considered the impact of $\pi$-conjugation of the macrocycle, the presence of an apical co-ligand, and solvent effects on the ability to bind and/or reduce CO$_2$ [46–48].

Herein, we focus on the analysis of the relation between the electronic structure of 15-membered octaazamacrocyclic nickel complexes and their affinity for CO$_2$. We have chosen the simplest nickel(II) complex from a previously reported series (originally denoted [NiL]$^1$, herein abbreviated [NiL], see Figure 1a) [52,53], as our trial complex with an almost completely unsaturated ($\pi$-conjugated) macrocycle. The conjugation is interrupted by an sp$^3$ hybridized methylene group carbon in the 15-membered chelate ring. Interestingly, the hydrogens of the sp$^3$-hybridized carbon in [NiL] were found to be acidic [52,53]. [NiL] does not show any affinity forwards CO$_2$ upon reduction (vide infra). In contrast, the hydrogenated (fully saturated) analogue (denoted as [NiLH], see Figure 1b), which is similar to the [Ni(cyclam)]$^{2+}$ [2,49,50,54–56], is capable of binding CO$_2$ upon reduction. The energetics and optimal geometries of the studied [NiL] and [NiLH] compounds (including the CO$_2$ adducts) are compiled in the first two results sections. Afterwards, the Mulliken population analysis (MPA), i.e., the atomic charges and atomic populations, and the quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) analysis are utilized to characterize the oxidation state and bonding in the studied complexes. In the last section, theoretical insights into the electronic structure of starting nickel complexes and their CO$_2$ adducts is offered from the perspective of localized orbitals, domain averaged fermi holes (DAFH), and frontier orbitals.

This paper is dedicated to prof. Linus Pauling as a part of the Special Issue in his honour. We try to communicate the insights into chemical bonding from the perspective of the different approaches ubiquitous in computational chemistry. Aside from the orbital picture, we explore the common features of distinct theoretical methods and seek the complementarity in diversity, as well as their direct comparison, in the characterization of the bonding interactions in the studied complexes. We particularly focus on the oxidation state of the central Ni atom and the N-CO$_2$ bond.
Figure 1. Optimized molecular structures of (a) $[\text{NiL}]^0$ and (b) $[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$ complexes with atom labelling.

2. Results
2.1. Energetics

Total energies and enthalpies of studied compounds ($[\text{NiL}]$ and $[\text{NiLH}]$) are compiled in Table S1, including the energetics of their optimized geometries with CO$_2$ and the free CO$_2$ molecule itself. To further distinguish and characterize the complexes studied, the following notation is applied where appropriate: e.g., $[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$ denotes the $[\text{NiLH}]$ species in a doublet spin state, Ni in oxidation state I and a total charge of +1. The B3LYP/6-311G* reaction energy ($E$) and enthalpy ($H$) were evaluated in the following manner:

$$\Delta E_R = E_{\text{NiCO}_2} - (E_{\text{Ni}} + E_{\text{CO}_2}) \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta H_R = H_{\text{NiCO}_2} - (H_{\text{Ni}} + H_{\text{CO}_2}) \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta E_I = E_{\text{NiCO}_2} - \left(E_{\text{NiCO}_2} + E_{\text{Ni}}\right) \tag{3}$$

where subscript $R$ denotes reaction energy/enthalpy and subscript $I$ denotes interaction energy. The optimized structure of a given complex is denoted as $\text{Ni}$, the complex with CO$_2$ is denoted as $\text{NiCO}_2$, and the free carbon dioxide is denoted as CO$_2$ in Equations (1) and (2). In Equation (3), the molecular fragments are denoted as $\text{NiCO}_2$ and $\text{Ni}$ and represent the geometry of CO$_2$ and the Ni complexes in the particular NiCO$_2$ complex, respectively.

The BSSE uncorrected reaction and interaction $E$ and $H$ values for all the studied species, as well as the counterpoise corrected (CPC) interaction energy $\Delta E_I^{\text{CPC}}$ and the distances between Ni and the carbon of CO$_2$ (Ni-C$_{\text{CO}_2}$) are collected in Table 1. According to the B3LYP/6-311G* calculations, the reduced species $[\text{Ni}^0\text{LH}]^0$ binds CO$_2$ in the ground state (see Table 1 and Figure 2d) as well as in the $[\text{Ni}^1\text{LH}]^+$ state, as found previously for similar aliphatic Ni$^1$ complexes [49]. In addition, the reduced species $[\text{Ni}^2\text{L}]^{2-}$ is also able to bind CO$_2$ (see Table 1 and Figure 2c) although the triplet state is above the singlet ground state, hence it has to be considered as an excited state (see Table S1). The remaining species do not show the ability to bind CO$_2$, i.e., the Ni-C$_{\text{CO}_2}$ distances found are above 3.5 Å and the BSSE uncorrected interaction energies do not exceed $-8$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. According to the calculated energetics, the $[\text{Ni}^0\text{LH}]^0$ complex shows a considerably larger affinity to CO$_2$ compared to $[\text{Ni}^2\text{L}]^{2-}$ and $[\text{NiLH}]^+$, which is true for both $\Delta E_I$ and $\Delta E_R$ (see Table 1), with the CO$_2$ molecule being the most bent in $[\text{Ni}^0\text{LH}]^0$ (see Table S2). Even though the values obtained with the CPC approach are higher, the conclusions remain the same. Furthermore,
it should be noted that when using the CPC approach the solvent effect is not accounted for. For $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^\text{+}$, the interaction energy indicates that CO$_2$ binding with this complex is weaker than with $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^2$ and $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$. The small negative $^{4}[\text{NiLH}]^\text{+}$ reaction energy $\Delta E_R$ suggests only a lightly exothermic process, whereas for the other two complexes $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^2$ and $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$ the binding of CO$_2$ is considerably more exothermic (see Table 1).

It is pertinent to note that in the calculations of molecular complexes, especially with smaller basis sets as is the case herein, the BSSE plays an important role when deciding about the strength of the interaction. This error can be considerable and lead to overestimated interaction energies ($\approx$108 kJ/mol in the case of $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$ or $\approx$40 kJ/mol in the case of $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^\text{+}$).

Due to the predicted affinity of $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$, $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^+$, and $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^2$ for binding CO$_2$, these complexes (including their CO$_2$ adducts $^{1}[\text{NiLH}-\text{CO}_2]^0$, $^{2}[\text{NiLH}-\text{CO}_2]^+$, and $^{3}[\text{NiL}-\text{CO}_2]^2$) will be used as the reference compounds in our endeavor to identify the characteristics of the electronic structure that promotes a high affinity forward CO$_2$.

This analysis will be further extended by comparison with chosen [NiL] complexes to examine in further detail their failure to bind CO$_2$, namely $^{1}[\text{NiL}-\text{CO}_2]^0$ and $^{1}[\text{NiL}_2\text{CO}_2]^0$. The complex $^{1}[\text{NiL}-\text{CO}_2]^0$ represents the optimized geometry, with d(Ni–C$_{\text{CO}_2}$) being equal to 3.576 Å, i.e., no Ni–C$_{\text{CO}_2}$ bond (see Table 1). For the geometry optimization of $^{1}[\text{NiL}_2\text{CO}_2]^0$ the d(Ni–C$_{\text{CO}_2}$) distance has been frozen at 1.913 Å as found in $^{1}[\text{NiLH}-\text{CO}_2]^0$, so as to simulate an artificially constrained system and to observe the resulting effect on the electronic structure.

### 2.2. Optimized Geometries

Bond distances and bond angles of chosen B3LYP/6-311G* optimized complexes are summarized in Table S2a,b. The studied [NiL] complexes contain a 15-membered octaazamacrocyclic ligand with one sp$^3$-hybridized carbon atom in the macrocycle, which leads to a non-planar geometry (see Figure 1). Optimized structures of all species derived from the [NiL] complexes show only a small deviation from planarity in the NiN$_4$ coordination polyhedron, angles NiN(1)N(2) and NiN(5)N(6) are between 132–133° and 131–132°, respectively. However, a significant distortion from the planar geometry can be seen when saturating the macrocyclic ligand with hydrogen atoms (i.e., for complex $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^2+$, see Figure 1) which also leads to the out of the plane displacement of the N(2) atom (NiN(1)N(2) = 110.77°). In general, when comparing [NiLH] to the [NiL] complexes, a slight elongation of the N-N bond lengths in [NiLH] can be observed, as well as further bending within the coordination polyhedron (see Table S2a,b). Furthermore, in the case of the $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$ complex, we observe that the Ni–N(1) and Ni–N(5) distances become grossly elongated in comparison to those in $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^2+$.

Hence, the coordination polyhedron in $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^0$ is considerably distorted from a square-planar geometry.

**Table 1.** The reaction and interaction energies/enthalpies of the studied [NiL] and [NiLH] complexes with CO$_2$ in different spin states, including Ni–C$_{\text{CO}_2}$ bond lengths.

|       | $\Delta E_R$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] | $\Delta E_I$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] | $\Delta E_I^{\text{CPC}}$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] | $\Delta H_R$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] | d(Ni–C$_{\text{CO}_2}$) [Å] |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^2$ | −27.16                     | −210.59                       | −159.49                                  | −88.13                    | 2.051                      |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^2$ | −7.70                      | −11.08                        | 1.21                                     | −0.33                     | 3.576                      |
| $^{2}[\text{NiL}]^0$ | −5.27                      | −1.11                         | −1.11                                    | −3.24                     | 3.603                      |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^0$ | −4.37                      | −0.33                         | 3.576                                    | 1.887                     |                            |
| $^{2}[\text{NiL}]^+$ | −2.68                      | −1.11                         | −3.24                                    | 2.079                     |                            |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^+$ | −1.86                      | −1.11                         | −3.24                                    | 2.079                     |                            |
| $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^0$ | −160.655                   | −343.55                       | −150.26                                  | 1.887                     |                            |
| $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^+$ | −7.906                     | −66.32                        | −3.24                                    | 2.079                     |                            |

More negative values imply a more favoured bonding interaction.
Figure 2. Structure of studied complexes with CO$_2$: (a) $[^1\text{NiL-CO}_2]^0$, (b) $[^1\text{NiL}_{\text{fr}}\text{CO}_2]^0$, (c) $[^3\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2^-$, (d) $[^1\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$, and (e) $[^2\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$. Colour scheme: green Ni, yellow S, blue N, grey C, and white H.

Changes in the geometry upon CO$_2$ binding are the most pronounced for the $[^1\text{NiLH}]^0$ complex. The shortening of the and Ni–N(5) (−0.5 Å) distance shows the largest difference, while the Ni–N(1) becomes 3.08 Å long, see Table S2. The CO$_2$ molecule itself is bent in the complex, leading to the O–C–O angle of 129° in $[^1\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$, 147° in $[^2\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$, and 138° in $[^3\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2^-$, in contrast to the linear geometry (180°) of the gas phase CO$_2$ molecule, or CO$_2$ that did not directly bind to the Ni centre in the $[^1\text{NiL-CO}_2]^0$ complex (see Figure 2a and Figure S1). In $[^1\text{NiL}_{\text{fr}}\text{CO}_2]^0$ (see Figure 2b), the structure optimization also resulted in a bent CO$_2$ geometry (145°).

2.3. MPA and QTAIM Charges

MPA Ni charges (see Table 2 and Table S3a,b) agree well with those obtained from QTAIM (see Table 2 and Table S4a,b). The MPA charges of the remaining atoms in the studied systems are compiled in Table S3a,b, and the QTAIM charges are shown in Table S4a,b. The difference between MPA and QTAIM charges are particularly large for the carbon and oxygen atoms of CO$_2$. 

---

**Figure 2.** Structure of studied complexes with CO$_2$: (a) $[^1\text{NiL-CO}_2]^0$, (b) $[^1\text{NiL}_{\text{fr}}\text{CO}_2]^0$, (c) $[^3\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2^-$, (d) $[^1\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$, and (e) $[^2\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$. Colour scheme: green Ni, yellow S, blue N, grey C, and white H.
According to MPA, the Ni charges of [NiL] are close to one (Table 2) in all of the investigated oxidation states of these complexes. This points to a ligand-centred reduction for both the one and two-electron reduced species, without a significant change on the central atom. For the two-electron reduced ⁰[NiL]²⁻ species, the Ni MPA charge changes only by 0.11 e compared to ¹[NiL]⁰ and by 0.27 e for ³⁰[NiL]²⁻. Still, the AO populations in the case of ³⁰[NiL]²⁻ change only due to a stronger Ni ligand interaction (raise of the sₓ and dₓ²−ᵧ² populations), while the presence of dₓ²−ᵧ² spin density suggests a reduction of Ni to the oxidation state I in ³⁰[NiL]²⁻, see Table 3 and the coming section. In the [NiLH] complex, we observed a decrease in the Ni charge upon subsequent reductions from one to almost zero (1.05 e for ¹[NiLH]²⁺, 0.76 e for ²[NiLH]⁺ and 0.04 e for ³⁰[NiLH]⁰), which points to the reduction on the central atom, unlike in the ²[NiL]⁻ and ³⁰[NiL]²⁻ complexes. These physical MPA charges can be attributed to oxidation states II, I, and 0 in the case of ¹[NiL]⁰/¹[NiLH]²⁺, ²⁰[NiL]²⁻/²[NiLH]⁺ and ³⁰[NiLH]⁰, respectively. More details on the considered oxidation states will be given below (see the MPA d-orbitals Ni populations, Localized orbitals and DAFH analysis sections).

The presented charges point to an increase of the Ni charge when the CO₂ molecule binds to ¹[NiLH]⁰, ²[NiLH]⁺, and ³⁰[NiL]²⁻ (see Table 2 and Table S3a,b), i.e., a charge transfer from Ni to CO₂ is observed, where Ni is oxidized and CO₂ is reduced. The most significant change in Ni charge in the presence of CO₂ is found for ¹[NiLH-CO₂]⁰, with a loss of ca. 0.8 (0.53) e when compared to ¹[NiL]⁰ MPA (QTAIM) charges. Furthermore, the bound CO₂ molecule in ¹[NiLH-CO₂]⁰ has an MPA (QTAIM) charge of −0.93 (−0.98) e. Hence, the central Ni atom becomes oxidized (from 0 to I) and the CO₂ molecule reduced (formally from 0 to −1) when comparing ¹[NiLH-CO₂]⁰ with ¹[NiL]⁰ and the free CO₂ molecule (see Table 2). In ²[NiLH-CO₂]⁺ and ³⁰[NiL-CO₂]²⁻, the Ni MPA (QTAIM) charge has increased by ca. +0.39 and +0.38 (+0.23 and +0.20) e with respect to ²[NiLH]⁺ and ³⁰[NiL]²⁻. The bound CO₂ molecule carries an MPA (QTAIM) charge of −0.47 (−0.46) e in ²[NiLH-CO₂]⁺ and −0.71 (−0.66) e in ³⁰[NiL-CO₂]²⁻. On the contrary, an Ni charge of ¹[Ni₄CO₂]⁰ and ³⁰[NiL-CO₂]⁰ changes only slightly or remains unchanged, when compared to ¹[NiL]⁰. Hence, the polarization of the CO₂ molecule experiences only a slight change in ¹[NiL-CO₂]⁰ when compared to the free CO₂ (see Table 2). In ¹[Ni₄CO₂]⁰, the CO₂ molecule gains 0.43 (0.39) e based on MPA (QTAIM), although the actual change of Ni charge is only 0.1 e. Nevertheless, such charge transfer, from the macrocycle ligand to CO₂, seems to be energetically disfavoured.

Table 2. B3LYP/6-311G* MPA and QTAIM charges of chosen atoms of the studied complexes.

|        | Ni     | C       | O *      |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|
|        | MPA    | QTAIM   | MPA      | QTAIM   | MPA    | QTAIM   |
| ³⁰[NiL]²⁻ | 0.73   | 0.74    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ¹[NiL]²⁻ | 0.89   | 0.89    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ²[NiL]  | 0.96   | 0.94    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ³⁰[NiL]⁰ | 1.00   | 0.96    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ²[NiL]⁺ | 1.05   | 0.99    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ³⁰[NiL]²⁺ | 1.10  | 1.03    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ³⁰[NiL-CO₂]²⁻ | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.11 | 1.66 | −0.41 | −1.16 |
| ²[NiL₄CO₂]²⁻ | 1.10 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.81 | −0.32 | −1.10 |
| ³⁰[NiL-CO₂]⁰ | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.48 | 2.15 | −0.25 | −1.08 |
| ³⁰[NiLH]⁰ | 0.04   | 0.15    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ²[NiLH]⁺ | 0.76   | 0.66    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ³⁰[NiLH]²⁺ | 1.05  | 0.94    | -        | -       | -      | -       |
| ³⁰[NiLH-CO₂]⁰ | 0.84 | 0.68 | 0.03 | 1.44 | −0.48 | −1.21 |
| ²[NiLH-CO₂]⁺ | 1.15 | 0.89 | 0.19 | −0.10 | −0.33 | −0.08 |
| CO₂    | -      | -       | 0.53     | 2.15    | −0.26 | −1.08 |

* average value of the O atoms charge from the CO₂ molecule
2.4. MPA d-Orbital Ni Populations

The B3LYP/6-311G* MPA d-orbital populations, as well as the excess of $s_\sigma$ (sum of $s$-population minus six electrons assumed to occupy 1s, 2s and 3s shells) of the central Ni atom, are summarized in Table 3. Eight closed-shell d electrons ($d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_z^2$) have been found on Ni for all [NiL] species, as well as in the case of the $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$ complex, and therefore one can assign a formal oxidation state of II to the nickel (albeit the physical, and/or total, d population is between 8.3–8.5). In the case of $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$, the value of the total d population (dtotal) is close to nine (8.8 e) which together with the 1.1 e $s_\sigma$ population indicate an oxidation state of 0 on nickel in $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$. In particular, the occupation of Ni d-orbitals agrees with the crystal field theory prediction for a square-planar geometry of both [Ni$^{111}\text{L}$] and [Ni$^{1111}\text{LH}$]-like species, with the doubly occupied $d_{xz}$, $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xy}$ orbitals, an almost doubly occupied $d_{z^2}$, and the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, involved in the $\sigma$ coordination. When comparing $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^{0}$ with the oxidized ($^{2}[\text{NiL}]^{+}$ and $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^{2+}$) and reduced ($^{2}[\text{NiL}]^{−}$) species, there are no significant changes in the d-orbital populations. On the other hand, comparing $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^{0}$ with $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^{2−}$, one can identify an increase in the $d_{z^2}$ orbital population (0.35 e) and $s_\sigma$ (0.1 e) populations for the doubly charged species $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^{2−}$ (including the presence of a nonnegligible spin population in $d_{x^2-y^2}$, see Table 3). In the case of [NiLH], the most significant changes have been revealed for the $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$ complex, where the $d_{z^2}$ population decreased by 0.09 e and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $s_\sigma$ increased their populations by 0.35 and 0.48 e, respectively, when compared to $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$. In $^{2}[\text{NiLH}]^{+}$, a significant change of −0.36 e is observed for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital population compared to $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$. Thus, the individual d-populations closely follow the conclusion derived from the MPA and QTAIM charge analysis that the reduction of [NiLH] occurs on the central atom unlike in [NiL] complexes. Still, the particular d-populations of $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$ can be less straightforwardly assigned to a close-shell or formally open-shell character as suggested in the crystal field theory. This is caused by the large distortion of the coordination polyhedron from a square-planar structure in $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$ as mentioned above.

For completeness, Ni atomic orbitals that change their populations when the studied complexes bind to CO$_2$ are $d_{x^2-y^2}$, $d_{z^2}$, and $s_\sigma$. This is especially true for $^{1}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^{0}$, where $d_{z^2}$ and $s_\sigma$ decrease their populations by 0.32 and 0.57 e, respectively, and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ increases by 0.11 e. In the case of $^{3}[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^{2−}$ and $^{1}[\text{NiL}_4\text{CO}_2]^{0}$, $d_{z^2}$ populations de-

### Table 3. B3LYP/6-311G* formal oxidation state of Ni and Mulliken d-orbital populations on the central atoms of the studied complexes (spin population for chosen triplet state complexes in parenthesis).

| Formal ox. State of Ni | $d_{xz}$ | $d_{yz}$ | $d_{xy}$ | $d_{x^2-y^2}$ | $s_\sigma$ | d_{total} |
|------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^{2−}$ | I       | 1.745   | 1.878    | 1.830       | 1.146     | 1.886   | 0.693   | 8.487   |
|                        |         | (0.043) | (0.009)  | (0.032)     | (0.068)   | (0.006) | (−0.055) | (0.858) |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^{2−}$ | II      | 1.797   | 1.925    | 1.903       | 0.846     | 1.910   | 0.684   | 8.381   |
|                        |         | 1.820   | 1.924    | 1.908       | 0.796     | 1.912   | 0.639   | 8.360   |
| $^{2}[\text{NiL}]^{0}$ | II      | 1.835   | 1.920    | 1.906       | 0.791     | 1.911   | 0.599   | 8.363   |
|                        |         | 1.851   | 1.903    | 1.908       | 0.790     | 1.913   | 0.562   | 8.365   |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^{2+}$ | II      | 1.868   | 1.893    | 1.886       | 0.803     | 1.915   | 0.527   | 8.365   |
| $^{3}[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^{−}$ | II     | 1.420   | 1.918    | 1.915       | 1.205     | 1.911   | 0.553   | 8.343   |
|                        |         | (0.273) | (0.009)  | (0.012)     | (0.774)   | (0.009) | (−0.012) | (1.077) |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}_4\text{CO}_2]^{0}$ | II     | 1.562   | 1.922    | 1.938       | 0.951     | 1.923   | 0.490   | 8.296   |
|                        |         | 1.833   | 1.919    | 1.907       | 0.794     | 1.911   | 0.602   | 8.364   |
| $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{0}$ | I       | 1.649   | 1.861    | 1.890       | 1.527     | 1.829   | 1.135   | 8.756   |
|                        |         | 1.736   | 1.919    | 1.908       | 1.123     | 1.897   | 0.662   | 8.583   |
| $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$ | II      | 1.856   | 1.941    | 1.933       | 0.762     | 1.928   | 0.588   | 8.400   |
|                        |         | 1.329   | 1.892    | 1.870       | 1.636     | 1.890   | 0.577   | 8.617   |
| $^{2}[\text{NiL-H}_4\text{CO}_2]^{+}$ | I      | 1.504   | 1.928    | 1.929       | 1.165     | 1.923   | 0.426   | 8.448   |
crease by ca. 0.3 e, $s_\alpha$ a decrease of 0.1 e while $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{xy}$ becomes only slightly more populated. A similar situation is also found in the $^{2}\text{[NiL-CO]}_2^+$, where $d_{z^2}$ and $s_\alpha$ populations decrease by ca. 0.24 e, while $d_{x^2-y^2}$ remains unchanged.

2.5. QTAIM BCP Analysis

Additional information on the electronic structure, besides the robust atomic charges (spins) discussed above, can be obtained from the QTAIM analysis including the bond critical point (BCP) characteristics (electron density ($\rho$), its Laplacian ($\Delta \rho$) and ellipticity ($\varepsilon$)) and the delocalization index (DI). DI can be used to estimate the formal bond order and/or bond strength. BCP characteristics and DIs are compiled in Table S5a,b. The change in the Ni–N BCP characteristics upon reduction are the most obvious for $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}^0$. The Ni–N(1) and Ni–N(5) bond lengths become elongated after reduction to 2.96 and 2.77 Å (BCPs are still present), which leads to lower values of BCP $\rho$, $\Delta \rho$, and DIs and we observed a significant increase in the value of Ni–N(1) and Ni–N(5) BCP ellipticities $\varepsilon = 0.79$ and 0.38, respectively. The Ni–N(5) bond becomes shorter once CO$_2$ binds to the complex and this BCP ellipticity value in $^{1}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^+$ decreases and becomes numerically reasonable, see in the coming text. The coming text with the Ni–N(1) and Ni–N(5) ellipticities of $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}^0$ is the large interatomic distance which leads to small BCP Hessian eigenvalues ($\lambda_i$), which grossly affect the ellipticity (where ellipticity is defined as $\varepsilon = \lambda_1/\lambda_2 - 1$), BCP Hessian eigenvalues are presented in Table S5a,b. Although this issue does not completely diminish for Ni–N(1) with a bond length of 2.97 Å and $\varepsilon = 0.33$. The Ni–N(3) and Ni–N(7) bonds become slightly longer when comparing $^{1}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^-$ and $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}^0$, which similarly affects the particular BCP characteristics, as found for $^{3}\text{[Nil]}^2^+$. In the $^{1}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^0$ complex, the BCP value of $\rho$ (0.93), $\Delta \rho$ (3.50), as well as the value of DI (0.86) of the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bond are the largest, indicating the strongest dative interaction between the $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}$ complex and CO$_2$, which naturally correlates with the shortest Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bond length. In the $^{2}\text{[NiL-CO]}_2^2^-$ and $^{2}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^+$ complexes, the changes in the Ni–N BCP characteristics after binding of the CO$_2$ molecule are not dramatic, and we observe only a small elongation of the Ni–N bonds, which in turn leads to lower values of BCP $\rho$, $\Delta \rho$, and DIs. When compared to the free CO$_2$, we have also observed a small increase of the C–O bond ellipticity which correlates with the bent structure of the bound CO$_2$. Still, the C–O DIs even in $^{1}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^0$ decrease only by 0.12 with respect to the free CO$_2$. In the case of $^{3}\text{[NiL}_2^4\text{CO]}_2^0$ with the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ distance frozen, as well as in the case of $^{2}\text{[NiLH-CO]}_2^+$, we have not observed any differences in the C–O BCP characteristics compared to the free CO$_2$, despite a non-zero Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ DI. In the case of $^{4}\text{[NiL-CO]}_2^0$, the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ BCP has very low $\rho$, $\Delta \rho$, and DI values (0.003, 0.010 and 0.008, respectively) and the outcome of the QTAIM analysis when comparing the NiL unit in $^{1}\text{[NiL-CO]}_2^0$ and $^{1}\text{[NiL]}^0$ is the same (not shown).

2.6. Localized Orbitals, Frontier Orbitals and DAFH Analysis

To further elucidate the relation between the electronic structure and the CO$_2$ affinity of the studied complexes, localized orbitals (LOC) and domain averaged Fermi holes (DAFH) analysis has been performed. In the present cases, the Ni and CO$_2$ atoms have been chosen as DAFH domains for the inspection of the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bonding situation. As mentioned in the Methods—Computation Details section, the LOC and DAFH eigenvectors were analysed using the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bond aligned geometries (the Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bond was aligned in the z-axis direction). LOC populations are shown in Table 4 and DAFH eigenvectors and eigenvalues are compiled in Figure 3. The essential Ni–C$_{\text{CO2}}$ bond contributions are coming from the d$_{z^2}$ atomic orbital of the Ni atom and in the C atoms case, from 2$s$ and 2$p_z$ atomic orbitals (AOs), according to LOC populations in Table 4 and the DAFH eigenvector shapes in Figure 3. The localized d-orbitals on the central atom are compiled in Table S6a,b, indicating a d$^8$ electronic configuration in the [NiL] complexes except for $^{3}\text{[Nil]}^2^+$. In the $^{3}\text{[Nil]}^2^-$ case, the localization procedure yields a formal d population of 9 on the Ni atom, which is distributed over 5$x$ and 4$\beta$ LOCs. In the $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}^0$, $^{2}\text{[NiLH]}^+$ and $^{1}\text{[NiLH]}^{2-}$
complexes, the localization procedure leads to formally d^{10}, d^9, and d^8 Ni LOCs, which correspond to the formal oxidation states 0, I, and II, respectively. The DAFH analysis of [NiLH]^0 and [NiL]^0 agrees with the d^{10} and d^9 configuration, 5 and 4 eigenvectors with occupation numbers [1.93, 1.92, 1.88, 1.83, 1.62] and [1.92, 1.92, 1.87, 1.85] are present in the Ni basin, respectively. In the [NiLH-CO]^0, the DAFH eigenvectors indicate a small polarization of the Ni–C=O bond towards the CO2 molecule, i.e., the Ni basin contains 1.04 electrons, as well as the CO2 basin, in the Ni–C=O eigenvector/bond (see Figure 3). Both DAFH eigenvectors show an interaction between the 2s and 2p2z orbitals of the carbon atom and the d_{2z} orbital of nickel. The DAFH analysis is in reasonable agreement with the results of the LOC procedure. Nevertheless, the LOC procedure shows a larger polarization of the Ni–C=O bond with 1.48 electrons on the C atom and 0.80 electrons on the Ni atom (see Table 4), which would indicate a more dominant contribution from C to the unevenly shared electron pair. (This overestimated polarization seems to be an artefact of the AO based analysis in the LOC procedure when compared to the QTAIM based atoms definition in DAFH). In the [2NiLH-CO]^+ and [3NiL-CO]^2−, the polarization of the Ni–C=O bond is opposite to [1NiLH-CO]^0 and these Ni–C=O DAFH eigenvectors show the dominant contribution from the Ni atom. More specifically, the Ni basin contains the following part of the Ni–C=O eigenvectors: α(0.88)/β(0.63) for [2NiLH-CO]^+ and α(0.84)/β(0.57) for [3NiL-CO]^2− (a total two electrons). As a complement, the CO2 basin has the following composition of eigenvalue shared with Ni: α(0.13)/β(0.38) and α(0.21)/β(0.47), respectively, see Figure 3. The LOC procedure is in qualitative agreement with the particular DAFHs of [2NiLH-CO]^+ (see Table 4 and Figure 3). In [3NiLr-CO]^0, the contribution of the C atom to the unevenly shared electron pair of this bond is only 0.44 electrons for both methods (see Table 4 and Figure 3). However, it should be noted that this is an enforced interaction between Ni and C.

To illustrate further differences between [1NiL]^0 and [1NiLH]^0, we will briefly discuss the frontier orbitals, see Figure S2a,b (pictorial representation without CO2) as well as S3a and S3b (pictorial representation with CO2). From Figure S2a,b, it can be seen that the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) as well as the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of [1NiL]^0 are both localized mainly on the macrocycle ligand, and are involved in π interactions with only a small antibonding contribution of d(Ni) orbitals. The HOMO-1 of [1NiL]^0 has a 24.7% d_{xz} contribution, see Figure S2a. Additional d contributions of Ni in MOs of [1NiL]^0 can be found in the lower orbitals HOMO-4 (70.7% d_{xz}), HOMO-6 (34.9% d_{yz}), HOMO-7 (29.0% d_{xz}), or HOMO-10 (53.7% d_{yz}) (not shown). The situation with the Ni d-character in the frontier orbitals of [1NiL]^0 is not much different from [1NiL]^0. The HOMO and HOMO-1 orbitals have π ligand character and the Ni d-population becomes significant in the lower orbitals, i.e., HOMO-2 (31.7% d_{xz}), HOMO-3 (30.4% d_{z2}, 17.9% d_{yz}), HOMO-4 (46.5% d_{z2}, 14.1% d_{yz}), HOMO-5 (42.7% d_{yz}), or HOMO-9 (35.9% d_{xz} and 11.2% d_{z2}) (not shown). On the other hand, in the [1NiL]^0 complex, HOMO to HOMO-4 are all localized predominantly on Ni (see HOMO and HOMO-1 in Figure S2b). In [2NiL]^2−, HOMO-1, HOMO, and LUMO have a d(Ni) character, although being still involved in antibonding or non-bonding (d(Ni)-p(N)) interactions with the macrocycle ligand (see Figure S2a,b). The Ni–C=O interactions in [1NiLH-CO]^0, [2NiLH-CO]^0, and [3NiL-CO]^2− can be seen in the plots of HOMO, β-HOMO, and β-LUMO, respectively, see Figure S3. It is still fair to stress that for example in [1NiLH-CO]^0, it is not only the HOMO that is involved in the Ni–CO interactions, but also, e.g., HOMO-1 to HOMO-4. Hence, the bonding picture taken with respect to a single MO can have some qualitative significance, but additional bonding features are often spread among a larger number of MOs due to the orthonormality conditions of the Hartree–Fock (Kohn-Sham) equations.
Table 4. B3LYP/6-311G* LOC AO populations and atomic total contributions.

|                  | AO Populations |          |          |       |       |       |
|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
|                  | s(Ni) | d$_z^2$(Ni) | s(C)    | p$_x$(C) | Ni    | C     |
| $^{1}[\text{NiL}_f\text{CO}_2]^0$ | 0.18  | 1.38     | 0.17    | 0.27  | 1.56  | 0.44  |
| $^3[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2$ | 0.08  | 0.74     | 0.04    | 0.07  | 0.82  | 0.11  |
| $^3[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2$ | 0.12  | 0.36     | 0.32    | 0.30  | 0.48  | 0.62  |
| $^2[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$ | 0.09  | 0.81     | 0.02    | 0.05  | 0.93  | 0.07  |
| $^2[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2$ | 0.14  | 0.53     | 0.17    | 0.23  | 0.66  | 0.40  |
| $^1[\text{Ni LH-CO}_2]^0$ | 0.18  | 0.62     | 0.62    | 0.52  | 0.80  | 1.16  |

Figure 3. (a) $^1[\text{NiL}_f\text{CO}_2]^0$ (1.62); (b) $^3[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2$ α(0.84)/β(0.57); (c) $^1[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$ (1.04); (d) $^2[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$ α(0.88)/β(0.63); (e) $^1[\text{NiL}_f\text{CO}_2]^0$ (0.44); (f) $^3[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2$ α(0.21)/β(0.47); (g) $^1[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$ (1.04); (h) $^1[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$ α(0.13)/β(0.38). DAFH Ni-C$_2$O$_2$ eigenvectors at B3LYP/6-311G* level of theory (isovalue 0.04, red is negative and blue is positive). The Ni atom and CO$_2$ have been chosen as the domain to analyse the bonding situation via DAFH analysis. DAFH eigenvalues for the particular basin/domain are given in parentheses.
For instance, the HOMO of $^{1}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$ has a 67.4% Ni and 22.3% CO$_2$ composition (MPA%), which formally gives a bond description like that present in $^{1}[\text{NiL}_2\text{CO}_2]^0$ LOCs in Table 4, i.e., the bond polarization is not appropriate, suggesting a Ni to CO$_2$ dative (donor) interaction. If we consider $^{3}[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2−$, the HOMO and HOMO−1 do not show a bonding contribution at all, although CO$_2$ is bound. Still, the frontier orbitals are useful when identifying the locus of a redox process (addition or removal of an electron), or when arguing about how the ligand π-system in [NiL] hampers the metal reduction, which is not the case in [NiLH].

3. Methods-Computational Details

Geometry optimizations of [NiL] and [NiLH] complexes with different charges and/or in various spin states were performed using the Gaussian16 [57] program suite employing the B3LYP/6311-G* computational protocol [58–64]. The unrestricted DFT formalism was used for open-shell systems (UB3LYP). The effect of dichloromethane as a solvent was approximated via the integral equation formalism polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) [65,66] as implemented in Gaussian16. The stability of the optimized structures was confirmed by vibrational analysis. The counterpoise correction (CPC) method was applied to the interaction energy calculations to mitigate the basis set superposition error (BSSE), without including solvent effect [67,68].

The Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ bond character was analysed using the domain-averaged fermi holes (DAFHs) [69–71] in the DGrid 5.1 program package [72] employing the B3LYP/6-311G* fchk files from the Gaussian16 program package. The localized orbitals (LOC) and their Mulliken atomic population analysis (MPA) were obtained via the ORCA 4.2.0. package [73]. The cartesian z-axis has been aligned with the particular Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ bond (denoted along bond aligned geometries), to allow for a further analysis of the AO contributions. The exploration of the topology of electron density utilized the quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) [74] analysis using the AIMAll [75] package and the fchk Gaussian16 files. The Molekel package [76] was used for the visualization of the molecular orbitals and DAFH eigenvectors.

4. Summary and Outlook

The electronic structure of the $^{1}[\text{NiL}]^0$ complex is compared with the hydrogenated $^{1}[\text{NiLH}]^{2+}$ analogue. The analysis of the single and two-electron reduction has been performed and affinity of CO$_2$ binding has been explored. Our results indicate that the CO$_2$ reduction could possibly be achieved by involving the investigated complexes as mediators in electrocatalysis or electro-photocatalysis. We have identified a change of the oxidation state of the central Ni atom upon the reduction of $^{1}[\text{NiL}^1\text{H}]^{2+}$, which leads to the affinity of Ni$_i^1$/Ni$_i^0$ in the reduced species to CO$_2$. Subsequently, CO$_2$ is (partially) reduced and the creation of the Ni$^{II}$-CO$_2$$^5−$/Ni$_i$-CO$_2$$^−$ couple adduct as described in the literature [1,2] is confirmed. We have identified only a single Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ σ-bond even in the doubly reduced $^{1}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$ species. In the case of [NiL], the one or two-electron reduction does not change the oxidation state of Ni$^{II}$. Hence, no affinity of the central atom in [NiL] towards CO$_2$ is found in the ground state, while the triplet (excited) state of the double reduced $^{3}[\text{NiL}]^{2−}$ species is capable of binding CO$_2$.

The DAFH eigenvectors, as well as LOC orbitals, indicate a Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ polarization from the reduced CO$_2$− species towards Ni$_i^1$ in $^{1}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$, with the Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ bond being the strongest among the studied CO$_2$ complexes (according to DI, energetics and/or the bond length). In $^{1}[\text{NiL}_2\text{CO}_2]^0$, one finds an enforced Ni(d$_z^2$) to C coordination (dative interaction). The Ni–C$_2$O$_2$ interaction in $^{2}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^+$ and $^{3}[\text{NiL-CO}_2]^2−$ can be considered an intermediate between $^{1}[\text{NiLH-CO}_2]^0$ in the β DAFHs or LOCs and $^{1}[\text{NiL}_2\text{CO}_2]^0$ in the α ones.

Hence, the activation of [Ni$^{II}$L] towards CO$_2$ binding would require a two-electron reduction and an optical promotion to the triplet state to activate the Ni$^{II}$ oxidation state. Otherwise, the π-conjugated (unsaturated) ligand remains the locus of the [Ni$^{II}$L] reduction
and the NiI\textsuperscript{I} central atom shows no affinity forwards binding or reducing CO\textsubscript{2}. In the case of [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH]\textsuperscript{2+}, the single electron reduction is already sufficient to reduce the central NiI\textsuperscript{I} atom to NiI\textsuperscript{I} and thus activate the affinity for bind and (partially) reduce CO\textsubscript{2}. A further reduction step of [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH]\textsuperscript{2+} leads to a considerably distorted geometry from the square planar one, with a NiI\textsuperscript{I} central atom, but the strong affinity forwards binding and reducing CO\textsubscript{2} leads to a smaller distortion in [\textit{Ni}LH-CO\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{0} when compared to [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH]\textsuperscript{0}. Hence, after the first reduction step, [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH] binds CO\textsubscript{2} and is also able to accept one more electron with an electron transfer to the CO\textsubscript{2} moiety and forming a stable [\textit{Ni}LH-CO\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{0} species, with the CO\textsubscript{2} moiety experiencing the largest bend among the studied species. A further challenge to the possible working catalytic cycle of [\textit{Ni}LH-CO\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{0} is the split into [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH]+ and CO\textsubscript{2}– species and/or to avoid the [\textit{Ni}\textsuperscript{II}LH]\textsuperscript{0} species occurrence, which can be assumed to be unstable due to the considerable distortion of the coordination polyhedron.
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