Objectives The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling pathway has been implicated in the pathogenesis of numerous tumor types, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Figitumumab is a fully human IgG2 monoclonal antibody against IGF-1 receptor (IGF-1R).

Methods This phase I, open-label, dose-escalation study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00603538) assessed the safety and tolerability of figitumumab (6, 10 and 20 mg/kg) in combination with carboplatin (area under the curve: 6 mg·min/mL) and paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) in Japanese patients (N=19) with chemotherapy-naïve, advanced NSCLC. Treatments were administered intravenously on day 1 of a 21-day cycle for four to six cycles. Pharmacokinetics, biomarkers, and antitumor activity were also evaluated.

Results Figitumumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel was well tolerated at doses up to 20 mg/kg; no dose-limiting toxicities were observed at this dose level. When given in combination, figitumumab plasma exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner. The approximate 2-fold accumulation following repeated administration supported the 21-day regimen as appropriate for figitumumab administration. Serum total IGF-1 and IGF binding protein-3 concentrations increased following figitumumab dosing, but a clear dose-dependent relationship was not demonstrated. Seven of 18 evaluable patients experienced a partial response. Conclusions Figitumumab 20 mg/kg in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel was well tolerated in chemotherapy-naïve Japanese patients with NSCLC. Further analysis of biomarker data is necessary for the development of figitumumab therapy.
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Introduction

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling pathway comprises IGF ligands (IGF-1 and IGF-2), IGF binding proteins (IGFBP1–6) which regulate ligand bioavailability, and IGF receptors (IGF-1R and IGF-2R) [1–3]. IGF signaling has been implicated in the development of a variety of tumors, including breast, colorectal, prostate, and lung cancers [2, 3]. IGF-1R is a receptor tyrosine kinase involved in the regulation of various biological processes, including cell growth, proliferation, and inhibition of apoptosis. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), IGF-1R is frequently over-expressed in tumor tissue and also mediates the proliferation of lung cancer cell lines [3–6]. Figitumumab (CP-751,871; Pfizer Inc, La Jolla, USA), a fully human IgG2 monoclonal antibody (mAb) against IGF-1R, is one of several agents currently in development which target the IGF pathway [7]. Figitumumab monotherapy has been well tolerated in phase I studies of patients with refractory solid tumors or multiple myeloma [8–12]. The safety and efficacy of figitumumab in combination...
with carboplatin and paclitaxel were investigated previously in a Western phase Ib/II study in patients with chemotherapy-naive, locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC [13]. Results suggested that figitumumab in combination with chemotherapy was safe and effective in this patient population.

The aim of this phase I, open-label, dose-escalation study was to assess the safety and tolerability of figitumumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in Japanese chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced NSCLC. Secondary objectives were to evaluate pharmacokinetics, biomarkers, and antitumor activity.

Materials and methods

Study population

Patients eligible for inclusion in the study were aged 20–74 years, had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1 and had previously untreated, measurable, stage IIIIB/IV NSCLC. All patients had adequate organ function assessed by hemoglobin (≥10 g/dL), platelet (≥100 000 cells/μL), and absolute neutrophil (≥2,000 cells/μL) counts; serum creatinine (≤1.5 mg/dL), albumin (≤3.0 g/dL), total bilirubin (≤1.8 mg/dL), and alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase (≤80 IU/L) levels; circulating glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) <7% and fasting plasma glucose levels <126 mg/dL.

Exclusion criteria included prior anticancer therapy for advanced NSCLC, presence of symptomatic brain metastases or central nervous system metastases, history of active malignancy other than NSCLC within the previous 5 years (skin cancer other than malignant melanoma and in situ cervical, gastric, and colorectal cancers were permitted), treatment for pleural effusions and/or pericardial effusions, gastrointestinal bleeding within the previous 3 months, treatment with systemic corticosteroids within the previous 2 weeks, or neuropathy ≥grade 2 within the past 2 weeks. Subjects with diabetes and significant cardiac disease, including myocardial infarction, angina, uncompensated congestive heart failure, and serious cardiac ventricular arrhythmia, and uncontrolled hypertension within the past 6 months were also excluded.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan, and the study conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (1996). All patients provided written, informed consent.

Study design and dosing

This was a phase I, single-center, open-label, dose-escalation study to evaluate the safety and tolerability of figitumumab in combination with carboplatin (area under the curve [AUC] 6 mg min/mL) and paclitaxel (200 mg/m²).

Treatments were administered intravenously on day 1 of a 21-day cycle for four to six cycles, unless disease progression or unacceptable toxicity was observed. Carboplatin was administered following completion of the paclitaxel infusion, and figitumumab was administered following completion of the carboplatin infusion. A standard 3+3 dose-escalation scheme was used to escalate the dose of figitumumab. The first cohort of patients received figitumumab 6 mg/kg, and the second and third cohorts received figitumumab at doses of 10 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg, respectively. To minimize the risk of hypersensitivity, patients received prophylactic anti-allergy medication prior to paclitaxel administration, per the prescribing information for paclitaxel. The 20 mg/kg dose was judged effective and tolerable in phase I/II studies in Western patients [8–11, 13] and therefore no dose-expansion cohort was enrolled in this study.

Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) were figitumumab-related grade 3 or 4 toxicities assessed during the first treatment cycle according to National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI CTCAE) v3.0. DLTs included: grade 4 neutropenia lasting ≥7 days or complicated by fever (body temperature >38.0°C); and grade 4 thrombocytopenia or grade ≥3 thrombocytopenia necessitating a blood transfusion. Grade ≥3 non-hematologic adverse events (AEs; including gastrointestinal events, hyperglycemia, and/or fatigue despite the use of adequate medical intervention), and other clinically significant treatment-related AEs identified by the investigator, were also considered as DLTs.

Assessments and analyses

All patients who received at least one dose of figitumumab, carboplatin or paclitaxel were assessed for safety. AEs were graded according to the NCI CTCAE v3.0. Laboratory tests were performed at regular intervals throughout the study (including measurement of hematology, blood chemistry, coagulation, and urinalysis parameters). Vital signs and electrocardiograms (ECGs) were also assessed during screening and at regular intervals throughout the study. Blood samples for the measurement of circulating total IGF-1 and IGFBP3 by radio-immunoassay were collected prior to chemotherapy dosing and 168 h post-figitumumab infusion in cycles 1–4, prior to dosing in cycles 5 and 6, and at the end of the study. Circulating levels of human growth hormone (hGH) and insulin (fasting) were assessed at screening, day 1 (prior to dosing with study medication), and day 22 of each cycle, and at the end of the study. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels were assessed at screening only. Circulating glucose (fasting) and other
blood chemistry parameters were assessed on days 8 and 15 of each cycle. Tumor assessments were performed at baseline, during cycles 2, 4, and 6, and at end of study treatment. Objective response was determined according to Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST v1.0) [14].

Pharmacokinetic profiles of figitumumab were obtained during cycles 1 and 4; blood samples were collected prior to figitumumab infusion, and 1, 24, 72, and 168 h after figitumumab infusion. In other cycles, samples were collected prior to figitumumab infusion, and 1 h after figitumumab infusion. An additional pharmacokinetic blood sample was collected at the end of the study. Plasma concentrations of figitumumab were determined by a validated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Briefly, an IGF-1-soluble receptor was utilized to capture figitumumab. Figitumumab bound to the receptor was detected using a biotinylated mouse anti-human IgG2, followed by Streptavidin–Horseradish Peroxidase conjugate, and visualized using SureBlue™ peroxidase substrate. The lower limit of quantitation for the assay was 120 ng/mL. Pharmacokinetic parameters, which were calculated using non-compartmental methods, included C_{\text{max}} (maximum observed plasma concentration after the end of figitumumab infusion), AUC_{(0–day22)} (area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to day 22 [the nominal time of the pre-dose sample for the next cycle]), AUC_{\tau} (AUC from time zero to tau [the actual time of the pre-dose sample for the next cycle]), and t_{\frac{1}{2}} (apparent disposition half-life). The accumulation ratio was calculated as: cycle 4 AUC_{\tau}/cycle 1 AUC_{\tau}.

Due to the exploratory nature of this study, enrollment was dependent upon the observed safety profile and confirmatory inferential analyses were not planned. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient characteristics, safety, antitumor activity, and pharmacokinetic parameters. Analyses of the relationships between antitumor activity and circulating levels of total IGF-1, IGFBP3, hGH, and insulin were conducted. Summary statistical data are shown; statistical associations between biomarker levels and clinical outcome were not investigated due to the exploratory nature of these analyses and the small patient numbers.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Nineteen patients were enrolled across three figitumumab dose levels: 6 mg/kg, n=6; 10 mg/kg, n=7; 20 mg/kg, n=6. All patients were Japanese, and demographic and baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Most patients (n=15; 78.9%) presented with stage IV NSCLC. Fourteen patients (73.7%) had adenocarcinoma, four patients (21.1%) had squamous cell carcinoma, and one patient had their histology classified as ‘NSCLC not otherwise specified’.

Eighteen patients completed the first treatment cycle. One patient in the 10-mg/kg cohort discontinued the study due to a serious paclitaxel-related AE (hypersensitivity); this patient did not receive figitumumab. The median number of treatment cycles started for the 6-, 10-, and 20-mg/kg figitumumab dose levels was 4 (range 2–6), 4 (range 1–6), and 4 (range 3–6), respectively.

**Safety and tolerability**

DLTs were experienced by one patient at the figitumumab 6-mg/kg dose level (grade 4 thrombocytopenia) and by two patients at the 10-mg/kg dose level (one patient had grade 4 hyperuricemia, grade 3 hypermagnesemia, grade 3 hyponatremia, and grade 3 hyperkalemia, and another patient had grade 4 thrombocytopenia). No DLTs occurred in

**Table 1 Patient characteristics at baseline**

| Characteristic                        | Figitumab dose level* |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                       | 6 mg/kg | 10 mg/kg | 20 mg/kg |
| Enrolled patients, n                  | 6       | 7        | 6        |
| Median age (years)                    | 54      | 40       | 63       |
| Range                                 | 45–69   | 21–61    | 37–74    |
| Gender, n                             |         |          |          |
| Male                                  | 3       | 4        | 5        |
| Female                                | 3       | 3        | 1        |
| ECOG performance status, n            |         |          |          |
| 0                                     | 5       | 7        | 4        |
| 1                                     | 1       | 0        | 2        |
| NSCLC histologic subtype, n           |         |          |          |
| Adenocarcinoma                        | 4       | 6        | 4        |
| Squamous cell carcinoma                | 1       | 1        | 2        |
| Not otherwise specified                | 1       | 0        | 0        |
| Disease stage, n                       |         |          |          |
| IIIB                                  | 0       | 1        | 3        |
| IV                                    | 6       | 6        | 3        |
| Smoking history, n                    |         |          |          |
| Never smoker                          | 4       | 3        | 0        |
| Smoker                                | 2       | 2        | 2        |
| Ex-smoker                             | 0       | 2        | 4        |

*AUC area under the curve, ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, NSCLC non-small cell lung cancer

*Figitumumab in combination with carboplatin (AUC 6 mg·min/mL) and paclitaxel (200 mg/m²)
patients treated at the highest figitumumab dose level of 20 mg/kg (Table 2).

The most common all-causality, non-hematologic AEs of all grades across all dose levels and cycles were peripheral sensory neuropathy \((n=16 [84.2\%])\), anorexia \((n=14 [73.7\%])\), and diarrhea \((n=9 [47.4\%])\). Grade 3 and 4 treatment-related hematologic and non-hematologic AEs occurring at each figitumumab dose level are presented in Table 3. The only grade \(\geq 3\) non-hematologic AEs to occur in two or more patients across all dose levels and cycles were hyponatremia/blood sodium decrease \((\text{grade } 3, n=3 [15.8\%])\), anorexia \((\text{grade } 3, n=2 [10.5\%])\), and hyperuricemia \((\text{grade } 4, n=2 [10.5\%])\); hyperuricemia was the only grade 4 non-hematologic AE reported. There were no cases of grade 3 or 4 hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia. Most grade 3 or 4 non-hematologic AEs were observed during cycle 1, and figitumumab dose level did not appear to influence the frequency of grade 3 or 4 AEs.

Grade 3 treatment-related hematologic AEs (across all dose levels and cycles) were neutropenia \((n=5 [26.3\%])\), leukopenia \((n=4 [21.1\%])\), anemia \((n=2 [10.5\%])\), and thrombocytopenia \((n=1 [5.3\%])\), and grade 4 treatment-related hematologic AEs were neutropenia \((n=11 [57.9\%])\) and thrombocytopenia \((n=3 [15.8\%]; \text{Table } 3)\). No treatment-related deaths occurred at any figitumumab dose level.

**Pharmacokinetics**

When given in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, plasma concentrations of figitumumab declined in a multi-exponential manner (Fig. 1a, b). Both \(C_{\text{max}}\) and AUC within the first cycle increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner (Table 4). As indicated by the accumulation ratio, repeated administration of figitumumab every 21 days resulted in moderate accumulation (an approximate 2-fold increase in plasma exposure in the limited number of patients with sufficient data in cycle 4; Table 4). The \(t_{1/2}\) of figitumumab was at least 248 h (more than 10 days) for all three dose levels, and achieved the \(t_{1/2}\) of endogenous IgG2 (approximately 21 days) in some patients.

**Biomarkers**

Serum total IGFBP3, IGF-1 and hGH concentrations increased following figitumumab dosing compared with baseline values for each dose level (Fig. 2a-c). However, a clear dose-dependent relationship was not demonstrated, and the levels of insulin levels were not changed clearly prior and after figitumumab administration (data not shown).

**Antitumor activity**

Of 18 evaluable patients, seven experienced a partial response \((\text{PR}; 38.9\%); \text{one PR was observed at the figitumumab } 6\text{-mg/kg dose level, and three PRs were observed at each of the } 10\text{- and } 20\text{-mg/kg dose levels. PRs were observed in patients with adenocarcinoma (five of 14 patients [35.7\%]) and in patients with squamous cell carcinoma (two of four patients [50.0\%]). No patient had a complete response. Stable disease (SD) was observed in eight patients (44.4\%; three at each of the } 6\text{- and } 20\text{-mg/kg dose levels, and two at the } 10\text{-mg/kg dose level), and progressive disease (PD) was observed in two patients (11.1\%; both at the } 6\text{-mg/kg dose level).**

**Relationship between antitumor activity and biomarkers**

Serum total IGFBP3 and IGF-1 concentration–time profiles were stratified by best response \((\text{PR, SD, and PD, respectively})\) to evaluate the relationship between concentrations of biomarkers and clinical response. No clear

---

Table 2  Planned dose levels and observed DLTs

| Dose level | Figitumumab\(^a\) (mg/m\(^2\)) | Paclitaxel (mg·min/mL) | Carboplatin (mg·min/mL) | \(N\) DLTs |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 6 mg/kg | 200 | 6 | 6 Grade 4 thrombocytopenia \((n=1)\) |
| 2 | 10 mg/kg | 200 | 6 | 7 Grade 3: hyperkalemia, hypermagnesemia, hyponatremia; grade 4 hyperuricemia \((n=1)\) Grade 4 thrombocytopenia \((n=1)\) |
| 3 | 20 mg/kg\(^b\) | 200 | 6 | 6 None |

\(^a\)If none of the three patients in the 6 mg/kg cohort experienced a DLT during cycle 1, subjects were enrolled onto the next dose level. If one DLT was observed, the cohort was to be expanded to six patients. If none of the three or two or less of the six patients experienced a DLT, then dose escalation was to be continued and three patients were enrolled to the 10 mg/kg cohort. In a similar manner depending on observed DLTs, the 10 mg/kg cohort could be expanded to six patients and dose escalation continued to a 20 mg/kg cohort of six patients. If two or more of the three, or three or more of the six patients experienced a DLT, dose escalation would be stopped.

\(^b\)Six patients dosed, and 20 mg/kg deemed tolerable if two or fewer of the six patients experienced a DLT.
differences were observed in the IGFBP3 concentration–time profiles according to clinical response, or when baseline IGFBP3 concentration was stratified by best response (Fig. 3a, b). However, the serum total IGF-1 concentration–time profile in patients with PR as their best response was higher than the profiles in both SD and PD patients (Fig. 3c). Higher baseline serum total IGF-1 concentrations were also observed for patients with PR compared with patients with SD/PD as best response (Fig. 3d).

**Discussion**

Figitumub in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel was well tolerated at doses up to 20 mg/kg in chemotherapy-naïve Japanese patients with advanced NSCLC in this phase I study. No DLTs were observed at the highest figitumub dose level of 20 mg/kg. In addition, no grade 3 or 4 AEs appeared to show dose dependency, and there was no apparent tendency towards cumulative toxicity.

---

**Table 3** Treatment-related AEs with maximum CTC grade ≥3, in cycle 1 and all cycles. The numbers of patients are shown for grades 3 and 4 AEs separately, and for all grades

| Figitumub dose level | Cycle 1 | All cycles | Cycle 1 | All cycles | Cycle 1 | All cycles |
|----------------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
|                      | All     | G3 G4      | All     | G3 G4      | All     | G3 G4      |
|                      |         |            |         |            |         |            |
| AEs, hematologic     |         |            |         |            |         |            |
| Anemia               | 4 0 0   | 5 0 0      | 3 0 0   | 3 1 0      | 4 0 0   | 5 1 0      |
| Leukopenia           | 6 0 0   | 6 1 0      | 6 1 0   | 6 1 0      | 5 0 0   | 6 2 0      |
| Neutropenia          | 6 2a    | 3 6 0      | 6 1 2   | 6 3 2      | 5 2 1   | 6 2 4      |
| Thrombocytopenia     | 4 0 1   | 5 0 1      | 5 0 1   | 5 0 1      | 4 1 0   | 6 1 1      |
| AEs, non-hematologic |         |            |         |            |         |            |
| Anorexia             | 2 1 0   | 3 2 0      | 5 0 0   | 6 0 0      | 5 0 0   | 5 0 0      |
| Diarrhea             | 3 0 0   | 3 0 0      | 1 0 0   | 2 1 0      | 3 0 0   | 4 0 0      |
| Hyperkalemia         | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      | 1 1 0   | 1 1 0      | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      |
| Hypermagnesemia      | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      | 1 1 0   | 1 1 0      | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      |
| Hypersensitivity     | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      | 1 1 0   | 1 1 0      | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      |
| Hyperuricemia        | 0 0 0   | 0 0 0      | 2 0 1   | 2 0 1      | 2 0 1   | 2 0 1      |
| Hyponatremia         | 2 1 0   | 2 1 0      | 1 1 0   | 1 1 0      | 1 0 0   | 3 1 0      |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy | 3 0 0 | 5 0 0 | 4 0 0 | 5 0 0 | 2 0 0 | 6 1 0 |
| Vomiting             | 1 0 0   | 2 1 0      | 2 0 0   | 2 0 0      | 1 0 0   | 1 0 0      |

*AE adverse event, All all grades, CTC Common Terminology Criteria, G grade

a Two patients with grade 3 neutropenia during cycle 1 experienced worsening of symptoms to grade 4 after cycle 2.
No cases of grade 3 or 4 hyperglycemia (treatment-related or all-causality) were reported in the present study (grade 2 hyperglycemia was reported in one patient). Hyperglycemia has been reported in other studies of figitumumab and in studies of other IGF-1R-targeted mAbs [8–11, 13, 15–17]. Hyperglycemia may be a characteristic of the anti-IGF-1R class of compounds; however, its mechanism is unknown.

Incidences of grade 3 and 4 treatment-related neutropenia and thrombocytopenia in the figitumumab arm of the larger Western phase II randomized study of figitumumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in chemotherapy-naïve NSCLC were 28% and 7%, respectively, compared with 84% and 21% in the current trial [13]. Similar ethnic differences in the incidence of neutropenia have also been observed in a Japanese–US common-arm analysis of carboplatin plus paclitaxel in advanced NSCLC, and were suggested to be related to differences in allelic distribution of genes associated with DNA repair and paclitaxel disposition [18]. However, such an ethnic difference was not observed for thrombocytopenia [18]. Further studies would be required to determine whether there are pharmacogenetic or other reasons for ethnic differences in the incidence of thrombocytopenia in patients treated with figitumumab.

In line with previous phase I studies [8–11], figitumumab plasma exposure increased in an approximately dose-proportional manner and concentrations declined in a multi-exponential manner when figitumumab 6–20 mg/kg was given in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in the present study. The approximate 2-fold accumulation following repeated administration supported the 21-day regimen as appropriate for figitumumab administration in Japanese patients; similar accumulation was reported in Western studies [8–11]. No relationship between ethnicity and pharmacokinetics was expected, since figitumumab (as a mAb) extravasates mainly by convection and is eliminated by catabolism and/or target-mediated clearance.

High serum total IGF-1 and low IGFBP3 levels have been associated with higher incidence of NSCLC [19]. Hepatic IGF-1 production is stimulated by hGH, and hGH production is regulated by IGF-1 through negative feedback [20]. A previous phase I study demonstrated that single-agent figitumumab (20 mg/kg) altered the endocrine feedback mechanisms regulating hGH [8]. In the present study, both hGH and serum total IGF-1 concentrations appeared to increase following dosing with study medication, and this suggests blockade of IGF-1R with loss of IGF-1 regulatory feedback at the pituitary. In a phase I

| Table 4 Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters (mean ± SD) of figitumumab given in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Figitumumab dose level (mg/kg) | Cycle 1 | Cycle 4 | Accumulation ratio |
| | n | C_{max} (mg/L) | AUC(0–day22) (mg·h/L) | t\(\frac{1}{2}\) (h) | n | C_{max} (mg/L) | AUC\(_{tau}\) (mg·h/L) | |
| 6 | 6 | 113±16 | 22,400±4,050 | 264ª | 4 | 178±35 | 39,000, 66,000b | 1.7, 2.6b |
| 10 | 6 | 197±33 | 36,700±10,400 | 301ª | 4 | 294±61 | 96,100, 98,800b | 2.2, 2.2b |
| 20 | 6 | 485±59 | 82,700±11,200 | 248ª | 5 | 550±89 | 116,000, 190,000b | 1.6, 2.1b |

AUC\(_{0–day22}\) area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to day 22, AUC\(_{tau}\) AUC from time zero to tau (the actual time of the pre-dose sample for the next cycle). C\(_{max}\) maximum observed plasma concentration after the end of figitumumab infusion, SD standard deviation, t\(\frac{1}{2}\) apparent disposition half-life

ªn=4 at 6 mg/kg, n=1 at 10 mg/kg, and n=4 at 20 mg/kg (sampling was not sufficient to capture terminal disposition phase in other patients)

bN=2

Fig. 2 Circulating biomarker concentrations during study treatment: concentration–time profile of serum IGFBP3 (panel a), serum total IGF-1 (panel b), and serum hGH (panel c). Data shown are mean ± standard deviation (panel a and panel b) and mean + standard deviation (panel c)
study in myeloma patients and in the Western phase II trial in NSCLC patients described above, dose-dependent sustained elevations of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP3 concentrations were observed following administration of figitumumab [10, 21], indicating dose-dependent blockade of IGF-1R by figitumumab. However, a similar dose-dependent relationship between figitumumab and circulating IGF-1 and IGFBP3 concentrations was not clearly demonstrated in the current phase I study. Large interindividual variability in serum total IGF-1 and IGFBP3 concentrations is known to occur naturally, and the differences between the studies may reflect the small number of patients included in each dose cohort level in the current study. Alternatively, the lack of a dose-dependent elevation of IGF-1 noted in this study may be related to the Japanese patients in this study having lower body mass index (BMI) compared with patients in other figitumumab studies. This possibility is supported by a report which suggests a relationship between BMI and IGF-1 levels [22].

Closure of the phase III studies of figitumumab in NSCLC (ADVIGO [ADVancing IGF-1R in Oncology] 1016 and 1018) due to potential futility of the combination regimens (figitumumab with paclitaxel plus carboplatin, and with erlotinib, respectively) has underscored the need to identify patients most likely to benefit from anti-IGF-1R therapy [23]. Studies have indicated that baseline levels of circulating free IGF-1 may be a positive biomarker for clinical response to figitumumab [13, 21, 23]. In the present study, serum total IGFBP3 and IGF-1 concentration–time profiles were stratified by best response as part of an exploratory analysis of the relationship between biomarker levels and antitumor activity. No clear differences were observed in the IGFBP3 concentration–time profiles according to clinical response, or when baseline IGFBP3 concentration was stratified by best response. However, the serum total IGF-1 concentration–time profile in patients with PR as their best response was higher than the profiles in both SD and PD patients. Additionally, baseline serum total IGF-1 concentration appeared higher in patients with PR compared with patients having SD/PD as their best response. Although the relationship between outcome and biomarkers was not examined statistically due to the exploratory nature of these investigations and the small number of patients, these observations suggest that serum
total IGF-1 concentrations prior to the start of treatment may also be a positive biomarker for response.

In summary, figitumumab 20 mg/kg in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel was well tolerated in chemotherapy-naïve Japanese patients with advanced NSCLC. Serum total IGF-1 is a potential biomarker for clinical response to figitumumab and requires further investigation.
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