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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Recent trends like pedicle screws and other instrumentation of cervical vertebra are on the rise. However, proximity of vertebral artery coursing in vertebral artery groove (VAG) on the superior surface of the posterior arch of atlas poses a unique challenge to surgeons performing these procedures. Such vascular injuries though rare, are not uncommon and may pose immediate to delayed complications. Radiological studies of atlas vertebra & VAG are being extensively done with CT and MR Angiography, but morphometric studies of VAG in atlas vertebra in South Indian population is lacking.

Aims: To understand the morphology and dimensions of the vertebral artery groove and its variations if any, in dry atlas vertebra of South Indian population.

Settings and Design: Descriptive observational study

Methods and Material: 50 dried adult human atlas vertebra of unknown age & sex from the Anatomy Department, PSGIMS & R, Coimbatore were studied. Intact cervical vertebrae without any degenerative or traumatic disorders were included. The morphometry of VAG and its distance from midline were evaluated through six linear measurements. The parameters were inner and outer lengths of the groove, width & thickness of the groove and the distance of its medial most and lateral most edges from the midline on both sides.

Statistical analysis used: SPSS software

Results: There is no statistically significant difference between mean values on right and left side for inner length, outer length, width and thickness of vertebral artery groove. The mean inner and outer distance of the vertebral artery groove from the midline on the right is higher than the left.

Conclusions: The present study provides morphometric data of VAG & recommends a safe zone of 11.82 mm from midline for instrumentation in posterior spinal surgeries to minimize vertebral artery injuries.
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trans articular fixation for atlanto axial instability at 0% to 8.2% [10-12]. According to Lunardini, VA injuries in posterior spinal approaches amounted to 11.7% of all VA injuries; 32.4% of instrumentation associated VA injuries were caused by posterior upper cervical instrumentation [13]. Eskander has documented that in magnetic resonance angiography of cervical spinal region, 7.6% of images showed midline migration of the vertebral artery [14].

To prevent such vascular injuries it is imperative to determine the safe trajectory for screw placements with regard to the atlas vertebral morphometric dimensions in general and that of vertebral artery groove (VAG) in specific with a constant attention to the intraoperative identification of the anatomic landmarks for safe dissection and instrumentation. Hence a thorough knowledge of the quantitative anatomy of VAG is therefore necessary.

The morphometric data regarding the vertebral artery groove of the atlas vertebra of South Indian population is minimal. So this study aims at achieving such data in providing the length, width, thickness of the bone forming the groove’s floor and the distance of the medial and lateral edges of the groove from the midline and comparing it with previous studies of same & different ethnic groups.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a descriptive observational study aiming to study the morphometry of the vertebral artery groove bilaterally on the superior surface of the posterior arch of 50 dried adult human atlas vertebra of unknown age & sex from the Department of Anatomy, PSG Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Coimbatore using digital Vernier caliper accurate to 0.01 mm for linear measurements. Intact cervical vertebrae without any degenerative or traumatic disorders were included in this study.

The morphometry of VAG and its distance from midline were evaluated through six linear measurements. The parameters which were measured were the inner and outer lengths of the groove, width of the groove, thickness of the bone forming the floor of the groove and the distance of its medial most and lateral most edges of the groove from the midline. All the variables were studies on both right and left sides.

**Fig. 1:** Showing the measurements of 1. Inner length of the vertebral artery groove 2. Outer Length of the vertebral groove, 3. Width of the groove.

**Fig. 2:** Showing the Measurement of 1. Midline, 2. Distance between the medial most edge of the groove & midline, 3. Distance between the lateral most edge of the groove & midline.

All the parameters were measured according to the guidelines of Max Franco et al, Ravichandran et al & Gupta et al [15-17] at the appropriate places [Table/Fig-1 & 2].

The inner length of the groove was taken as the maximum anteroposterior distance along the inner edge of the groove.

The outer length of the groove was taken as the maximum anteroposterior distance along the outer edge of the groove.

The width of the groove is the distance between the inner and outer edges at the middle of the groove.

The thickness of the bone which formed the floor of the groove is the distance between the superior and inferior surfaces of the posterior arch at the middle of the groove. [Fig 3]

Inner distance of vertebral artery groove was measured as the distance from midline to the medial-most edge of the vertebral artery groove on inner cortex.
Fig. 3: Measuring the thickness of Vertebral artery groove.

Outer distance of vertebral artery groove was measured as the distance from midline to the lateral-most edge of the vertebral artery groove on outer cortex.

All the parameters were measured at the appropriate places. The length of the groove was taken as the maximum antero posterior distance along the inner and outer edges of the vertebral artery groove. The width of the vertebral artery groove is the distance between the inner and outer edges of the groove along its middle (Fig 1). The thickness of the groove is obtained by measuring the distance between the superior and inferior surfaces of the groove along its middle (Fig3). The distance between the midline axis and the medial most and lateral most edges of the groove are also measured (Fig 2).

The mean value of each of the above described parameters were calculated. Statistical analysis was done using the SPSS version 16 package.

| Parameter                                | Right Mean | Right SD | Left Mean | Left SD | Mean difference | T value | P value |
|------------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Inner length of the groove              | 7.69       | 1.13     | 7.52      | 1.24    | 0.17           | 0.707   | 0.475   |
| Outer length of the groove              | 8.21       | 1.24     | 8.27      | 1.32    | 0.06           | -0.234  | 0.815   |
| Width of the groove                     | 7.78       | 1.21     | 8.12      | 1.44    | 0.34           | -1.278  | 0.204   |
| Thickness of the groove’s floor          | 4.76       | 0.91     | 4.62      | 1.04    | 0.14           | 0.716   | 0.475   |
| Inner distance of vertebral artery      | 12.89      | 1.06     | 10.74     | 1.12    | 2.15           | 9.859   | <0.001  |
| groove from midline                     |            |          |           |         |                |         |         |
| Outer distance of vertebral artery      | 23.86      | 1.11     | 20.19     | 1.24    | 3.67           | 15.593  | <0.001  |
| groove from midline                     |            |          |           |         |                |         |         |

DISCUSSION

Surgical procedures and instrumentation of cranio-cervical junction has increased in recent days. This is associated with a relative increase in intra operative vascular complications too. Hence more information about the bones and adjacent anatomy is necessary. The measurements done in this study may be helpful in avoiding and reducing vascular injuries to VA.

Max franco et al [15] found the mean inner...
Ravichandran et al [16], in a similar study among south Indian population found the mean inner length of VAG to be 7.71mm and 7.49mm; the mean outer length of VAG were 8.10mm and 8.24mm right and left sides respectively. In his study the mean width of VAG were 7.89mm and 8.08 mm on the right and left sides respectively.

In present study, the mean inner length of VAG were 7.69 mm and 7.52 mm; the mean outer length of VAG were 8.21mm and 8.27 mm on the right and left sides respectively. The mean width of the VAG were 7.78mm and 8.12mm on the right and left sides respectively. Based on these parameters the results of the present study done in South Indian population were found to be similar to that of studies done in Brazilian population by Max franco et al [15] and in South Indian population done by Ravichandran et al [16].

The mean thickness of VAG were found to be 4.76mm and 4.62mm on the right and left sides respectively.

Ravichandran et al [16] observed the mean thickness of VAG as 4.7 mm and 4.55mm on the right and left sides respectively. The results of the present study are similar to that of Akram et al’s [19] study done in Egyptian population and Ravichandran et al’s study [16] done in South Indian population.

The inner distance of the VAG from the midline were 12.89mm and 10.74mm on the right and left sides respectively. These values were found to be 14.26mm and 14.30mm in Ravichandran et al study [16]; 12.8mm and 13.8mm in Gupta et al ‘s study [17]; 10.73mm and 9.72mm in Mukesh et al’s study [19] on the right and left sides respectively. The outer distance of the VAG from the midline were found to be 23.86mm and 20.19mm on the right and left sides respectively. These were found to be 17.04mm and 17.82mm in Ravichandran et al’s study [16]; 23.0mm and 22.0mm in Gupta et al’s study [17]; 20.4mm and 18.86mm in Mukesh et al’s study [19] on the right and left sides respectively. The studies compared with respect to these two parameters were all done in Indian population. It is found that the inner distance of VAG from the midline has differences in all the compared studies, whereas the outer distance of the VAG from the midline was found to be similar in the present study and that by Gupta et al [17] [Table 2.]

| Author | Mean Length (±SD) | Mean Width (±SD) | Mean Thickness (±SD) | Inner distance of VAG from the midline (±SD) | Outer distance of VAG from the midline (±SD) |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Max Franco [15][Brazil,2007] | INNER: Right : 7.58 ± 1.50 | Right : 8.49±1.43 | Right: 3.87 ± 0.83 | --- | --- |
| | OUTER: Right : 9.68 ± 2.18 | Left : 7.96 ± 1.57 | Left : 3.92 ± 1.10 | --- | --- |
| Akram [19][Egypt,2009] | --- | --- | Right: 4.48 ± 0.9 | --- | --- |
| | | Left : 4.49 ± 0.9 | --- | --- |
| Ravichandran [16] [South India, 2011] | INNER: Right : 7.71 ± 1.16 | Right : 4.7 ± 0.98 | Right: 14.26 | Right: 12.8 | Right: 17.04 |
| | OUTER: Right : 8.1 ± 1.58 | Left : 8.08 ± 1.37 | Left : 4.55 ± 0.84 | Left : 14.30 | Left : 17.82 |
| Gupta[17] [South India,2013] | --- | --- | --- | Right: 12.8 | Right: 23.0 |
| | | Left : 13.8 | Left : 13.8 | Left : 22.0 |
| Mukesh [18] [India, 2014] | --- | --- | --- | Right: 3.79 ± 1.08 | Right: 20.4 ± 1.82 |
| | | Left : 4.05 ± 0.86 | Left : 9.72 ± 2.56 | Left : 18.86 ± 1.58 |
| Present study [South India, 2021] | INNER: Right : 7.69±1.13 | Right : 4.76±0.91 | Right: 12.89±1.06 | Right: 23.86±1.11 | Right: 20.19±1.24 |
| | LEFT: 7.52±1.24 | Left : 4.62 ± 1.04 | Left : 10.74±1.12 | Left : 20.19±1.24 | Left : 20.19±1.24 |
| | OUTER: Right : 8.21±1.24 | Left : 8.12±1.44 | Left : 8.12±1.44 | Left : 8.12±1.44 |

The studies compared with respect to these two parameters were all done in Indian population. It is found that the inner distance of VAG from the midline has differences in all the compared studies, whereas the outer distance of the VAG from the midline was found to be similar in the present study and that by Gupta et al [17] [Table 2.]
Various authors have quoted the safe zone for surgical manipulations on the posterior arch of the atlas to avoid iatrogenic injury to the vertebral artery. According to Simpson et al [20], the surgical exposure of the posterior arch should not exceed 15 mm from the midline in adults and 10 mm in children. Max Franco [15] suggested that the posterior dissection of the posterior arch should be limited to a distance of 11.2 mm from the midline.

Stauffer ES [21] recommends a safe zone of 10 mm from the posterior midline. Ebraheim et al [22] noted the safe zone as 10 mm for males and 9 mm for females from the posterior midline. All these data belong to the Western literature. Our results coincide with the results which were observed by Max Franco [15]. Ravichandran [16] had recommended a safe zone of 11.26 mm from the midline. The standard textbooks on posterior exposure suggest a safe distance of 15 mm from the midline [23,24]. The present study the mean distance between the midline and the edge of the VAG as observed in our study would be 11.82 mm, which could be taken as the safe zone from the midline.

CONCLUSION

This study which was done on 50 atlas vertebra provides morphological data about the vertebral artery groove. The knowledge of the safe zone for surgical manipulations from the posterior midline in the local population is mandatory for the surgeons who operate in this area. The present study recommends a safe zone of 11.82 mm from the midline in the posterior approach for the atlas vertebra. However, the standard textbooks on posterior exposure suggest a safe distance of 15 mm from the midline [23,24]. The present study the mean distance between the midline and the edge of the VAG as observed in our study would be 11.82 mm, which could be taken as the safe zone from the midline.

This study aims at helping and assisting the spine surgeons in gauging the depth of dissection in posterior spinal approaches with prior outlining of the medial and lateral borders of vertebral artery groove to avoid untoward vascular complications.
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