Novel Spectroscopic and Electrochemical Sensors and Nanoprobes for the Characterization of Food and Biological Antioxidants
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Abstract: Since an unbalanced excess of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) causes various diseases, determination of antioxidants that can counter oxidative stress is important in food and biological analyses. Optical/electrochemical nanosensors have attracted attention in antioxidant activity (AOA) assessment because of their increased sensitivity and selectivity. Optical sensors offer advantages such as low cost, flexibility, remote control, speed, miniaturization and on-site/in situ analysis. Electrochemical sensors using noble metal nanoparticles on modified electrodes better catalyze bioelectrochemical reactions. We summarize the design principles of colorimetric sensors and nanoprobes for food antioxidants (including electron-transfer based and ROS/RNS scavenging assays) and important milestones contributed by our laboratory. We present novel sensors and nanoprobes together with their mechanisms and analytical performances. Our colorimetric sensors for AOA measurement made use of cupric-neocuproine and ferric-phenanthroline complexes immobilized on a Nafion membrane. We recently designed an optical oxidant/antioxidant sensor using N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylene diamine (DMPD) as probe, from which ROS produced colored DMPD-quinone cationic radicals electrostatically retained on a Nafion membrane. The attenuation of initial color by antioxidants enabled indirect AOA estimation. The surface plasmon resonance absorption of silver nanoparticles as a result of enlargement of citrate-reduced seed particles by antioxidant addition enabled a linear response of AOA. We determined biothiols with Ellman reagent—derivatized gold nanoparticles.
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1. Design Strategies and Accomplishments for Colorimetric Sensors and Nanoprobes of Antioxidant Detection

The accumulation of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) in the organism, unless counterbalanced by intrinsic and dietary antioxidants, may cause oxidative damage to biological macromolecules and cellular membranes under ‘oxidative stress’ conditions, eventually giving rise to certain human diseases, especially cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases and some types of cancer. Therefore, measuring antioxidant capacity/activity of food extracts and biological fluids is important for human health. Various antioxidant assay methods, extensively spectroscopic
techniques, applied to aqueous solutions have been developed to determine total antioxidant capacity (TAC) or antioxidant activity in a wide range of matrices such as biological fluids, food, and plant extracts. These methods may be broadly classified as electron transfer (ET)-based assays and hydrogen atom transfer (HAT)-based assays, without distinct boundaries. ET-assays (e.g., CUPRAC, FRAP, ferricyanide) and ET-HAT mixed-mode assays (e.g., DPPH and ABTS) usually exploit the formation or fading of colored species. Tests measuring the scavenging ability of antioxidants toward ROS/RNS cover both mechanisms as well as other side reactions targeting at initiators of reactive species, therefore those assays focusing on the measurement of reactive species as well as on their scavenger antioxidants form a special category. In this review, colorimetric sensors and nanoprobes were essentially classified according to the reaction mechanisms mentioned above, naturally with a tolerance for unavoidable interwining of these mechanisms.

1.1. Electron Transfer-Based Colorimetric Sensors and Nanoprobes for Total Antioxidant Capacity Assay

1.1.1. Optical Sensors

These sensors attract attention nowadays because they offer a number of advantages in many application processes [1]. Compared with classical instrumental methods, optical sensors have many advantages such as low cost, flexibility, speed, remote control, miniaturization and on site/in situ analysis. Optical sensors evaluate analytical information by using optical transduction techniques (absorbance, reflectance, luminescence, etc.) and may be suitable for colored and turbid samples. Reagent-based sensors (optrodes) are suitable for simple, rapid and low-cost analysis with high sensitivity and selectivity, and can also be convertible to the form of test kits and easy to use test strips for practical assessment [2,3]. However, aqueous-solution based spectrophotometric methods may require larger reagent volumes and may not work in turbid solutions, and therefore, colored complex formation on the surface of a solid sensor may be advantageous.

Our research group introduced the cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) assay in 2004 [4], and the CUPRAC method is currently being widely used in leading world research centers of food science and antioxidant research. CUPRAC is an ET-based method, utilizing the Cu(II)-Cu(I) reduction in the presence of antioxidants, where cupric-neocuproine is converted into the highly absorbing (yellow-orange colored) cuprous-neocuproine chelate by receiving an electron from antioxidant polyphenols, thiols, or vitamins (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** The CUPRAC reaction of Cu(II)-neocuproine complex with antioxidants, producing the yellow-orange colored Cu(I)-neocuproine chelate (λ<sub>max</sub> = 450 nm).

We later developed a low-cost optical antioxidant sensor (CUPRAC sensor) by immobilizing the copper(II)-neocuproine (Cu(II)-Nc) reagent onto a perfluorosulfonate cation-exchange polymer membrane matrix (Nafion), and the colored Cu(I)-Nc cation was produced on the membrane without diffusing into solution [5]. The Cu(II)→Cu(I) reduction by electron-donating antioxidants in the presence of neocuproine ligand did not cause a drastic change in the coordination geometry of the copper-chelate, and therefore both cationic species were retained on the anionic membrane, and the color change took place on the sensor. This membrane sensor provided great ease and convenience to TAC determinations, like a sensitive pH-indicator paper strip (immersed in solution) on which a series...
of neutralization indicators were previously impregnated. The CUPRAC sensor utilizing the Cu(II)-Nc complex immobilized on the Nafion membrane could measure the TAC value both colorimetrically at 450 nm (Figure 2a) and reflectometrically at 530 nm (Figure 2b) [6]. As a distinct difference from absorptimetric sensor, the reflectometric sensor was applicable to non-transparent opaque media.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram of CUPRAC antioxidant sensors: the measurement of the analytical signal (a) for absorptimetric sensor and (b) for reflectometric sensor.](image)

Optical sensor based CUPRAC methods were validated through linearity, additivity, precision, and recovery, demonstrating that the assays are reliable and robust [5,6]. The precision, which is expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) in absorptimetric and reflectometric modes of measurement within the tested concentration range, were 6.5% and 5.0%, respectively. The recoveries of spiked antioxidants, namely quercetin, ascorbic acid and α-tocopherol, for the absorptimetric and reflectometric sensors varied in the ranges of 93–100% and 92–94%, respectively.

The limit of detection (LOD) values for TR by using absorptimetric and reflectometric CUPRAC sensors were found to be 1.01 and 0.53 µmol·L$^{-1}$, respectively. The presence of 1000-fold citrate, oxalate, and tartarate ions that may be found in fruit juices and other food plant extracts did not interfere with the CUPRAC reaction forming the basis of sensor measurement.

Both of the CUPRAC sensors were used to screen the TAC values of some fruit juice samples and showed a promising potential for the preparation of antioxidant inventories of a wide range of food plants. The Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) coefficients of plant polyphenols were listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacities (TEAC) of selected antioxidants using absorptimetric- and reflectometric-CUPRAC sensors and solution-based method.

| Antioxidant Compound | TEAC Absorptimetric-CUPRAC Sensor | TEAC Reflectometric-CUPRAC Sensor | TEAC Solution-CUPRAC |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Quercetin            | 4.11                              | 3.79                             | 4.38                 |
| Morin                | 1.92                              | 2.38                             | 1.88                 |
| Fisetin              | 3.10                              | 2.70                             | 3.80                 |
| Catechin             | 1.92                              | 1.36                             | 3.09                 |
| Kaempferol           | 1.23                              | 0.91                             | 1.58                 |
| Rosmarinic acid      | 3.83                              | 2.86                             | 5.30                 |
| Gallic acid          | 2.10                              | 1.76                             | 2.62                 |
| Naringenin           | 0.60                              | 0.42                             | 0.05                 |
| Ascorbic acid        | 0.71                              | 0.42                             | 0.96                 |

In addition to food antioxidants, the sensor- and solution-based CUPRAC methods gave similar responses to plasma antioxidants such as α-tocopherol, uric acid and bilirubin. Of the three exceptional antioxidants yielding different TEAC values (Table 1), naringenin exhibited enhanced antioxidant power on Nafion possibly due to enhanced solubility in a non-polar environment, whereas catechin...
Sensors showed significantly lower TEAC coefficients on the sensor membrane (than in solution). Catechin lacks the 2,3-double bond conjugated with the 4-oxo group responsible for electron delocalization, which is considered to be an important prerequisite for high antioxidant power. The superior antioxidant ability of quercetin results from the formation of a stable aryloxy radical, due to C2–C3 double bond and the resulting planar geometry which delocalizes the radical throughout the entire molecule, whereas A and B rings are perpendicular to each other in catechin. Considering the enforced planar geometry of copper(I)-neocuproine (which is actually a tetrahedral chelate, due to the sp3 hybridization of Cu(I) having d10 electron configuration) on the sensor membrane, lack of planarity of catechin is an important drawback playing part in the decreased antioxidant power of catechin. On the other hand, in spite of the four phenolic –OH groups and excellent conjugated structure of rosmarinic acid, its TEAC coefficient in the sensor assay decreased, probably due to its large molecular size being an important parameter in optical sensor response and its low pKa = 2.8, causing repulsion from the negative perfluorosulfonate groups of Nafion.

Another Nafion membrane-based TAC sensor recently developed in our laboratory utilized the Fe(III)-o-phenanthroline (Fe(III)-phen) reagent immobilized on Nafion, to which antioxidants donated an electron to convert it to the highly colored Fe(II)-phen complex, remaining on the membrane after electron-transfer [7]. The absorbance of the orange-red colored complex retained by Nafion was measured at 510 nm, yielding a high sensitivity for antioxidants (e.g., the LOD for trolox was 0.26 µmol·L−1) and good linearity over a wide concentration range. This sensor was especially useful for colored and turbid solutions like fruit juices, and was more sensitive than the solution-phase ferric-phenanthroline method because the membrane concentrated the colored species from a larger volume solution. The TEAC coefficients of the sensor-based Fe(III)-phen method were similar to those of the solution-based method, except for rosmarinic, ascorbic and uric acids, showing smaller TEAC values with the sensor, possibly related to the size and charge of the concerned antioxidants. The sensor gave additive responses to antioxidant mixtures, in accordance with Beer’s law. The proposed sensor is small and cheap, and easily convertible to kit format for in situ antioxidant screening of complex food matrices.

Sensors capable of simultaneously measuring oxidative status and antioxidant capacity are rare in literature. Recently, we designed a solid membrane sensor for the simultaneous colorimetric determination of oxidative status and antioxidant activity, by immobilizing the pink-colored N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DMPD) quinonic cation radicals on a Nafion membrane [8]. Hydroxyl radicals generated in either a Fenton or UV/H2O2 system or superoxide anion radicals enzymatically generated by xanthine/xanthine oxidase reaction attacked the probe DMPD and oxidized it to DMPD-quinone (DMPD-Q•+), adsorbable on a cationic Nafion membrane. The mechanism of uptake of DMPD-Q•+ cationic species by the anionic R-SO3H− groups of the sensor membrane was basically electrostatic retention, yielding a high distribution coefficient (i.e., meaning that all the colored species was held by the membrane without diffusing into solution). Antioxidants, when present, caused an absorbance decrease on the membrane due to their ROS scavenging action, giving rise to less DMPD-quinone production. The decrease in absorbance (ΔA) at λmax = 514 nm of the membrane sensor in the presence of antioxidants enabled indirect TAC determination of complex samples, ΔA varying linearly with antioxidant concentration over a reasonable concentration range. Choosing three representative antioxidants in the high (epigallocatechin gallate), medium (quercetin) and low (p-coumaric acid) molar absorptivity range, the detection limit ranged within the concentration intervals of 0.2–14 µmol·L−1, depending on the radical scavenged. The method enabled simultaneous determination of oxidative status and antioxidant activity (as oxidants generated the colored DMPD-Q•+ and antioxidants attenuated this color formed on the membrane by scavenging ROS), and was validated in synthetic and real antioxidant mixtures.

Gavrilenko et al. [9] immobilized Fe(III)–1,10-phenanthroline (Fe(III)–o-phen) complex in a polymethacrylate matrix to manufacture a colorimetric TAC sensor, and the absorbance changes
associated with the formation of orange-colored Fe(II)–o-phen chelate resulting from the reaction of antioxidants with the polymer-immobilized reagent was measured at 510 nm. Although the authors used this sensor for TAC quantitation, its sensitivity can be compared with that of the CUPRAC sensor (i.e., the molar absorptivities of ascorbic acid were ≈3450 and ≈17,100 L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) with respect to this specific Fe(III)–o-phen sensor and the CUPRAC sensor, respectively). This low sensitivity probably originated from partial inactivation of the redox-active ferric centre of the coordination complex during embedding in the polymer matrix.

The use of chromogenic radicals immobilized on solid matrices for antioxidant activity assessment was described by Steinberg and Milardović [10]. DPPH\(^*\) (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) and galvinoxyl radical (GV\(^*\)), as two stable chromogenic radicals, were immobilized in polymeric PVC (polyvinyl chloride) sensing film. The immobilized DPPH\(^*\) fades (i.e., changes color from purple to yellow) due to a mixed mechanism of electron/H-atom donation by polyphenols to the radical [11,12], resulting in a decrease of absorbance at 520 nm. The mentioned irreversible color change was traceable with the naked eye for DPPH\(^*\) but not for galvinoxyl radical (absorption maximum at 430 nm).

1.1.2. Nano Sensors/Nanoprobes

Because of their small size (1–100 nm) and very high specific surface area, noble metal (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd, Rh, etc.) nanoparticles (NPs) have attracted much attention and found applications in diverse areas, including medicine, catalysis, textile engineering, biotechnology and bioengineering, water treatment, electronics, and optics. However, the nanomaterial-based methods have rarely been used in food science (specifically antioxidant research), probably because of the substoichiometric character and variable kinetics of the concerned reduction reactions of noble metal salts by antioxidants leading to metallic NP formation. When AgNPs are dispersed in liquid media, they exhibit a strong UV–vis absorption band that is not present in the spectrum of the bulk metal. This extinction band is attributed to collective excitation of the electron gas in the particles, with a periodic change in electron density at the surface (SPR, surface plasmon resonance absorption). Thus, nanoparticle-based TAC assays rely on the principle of chemical reduction of noble metal salts/ acids by antioxidant polyphenols to produce noble metal NPs that can be easily identified/quantified via their localized SPR (i.e., charge density oscillations confined to metallic nanostructures, abbreviated as LSPR) absorption. Most applications of NPs used as probes for food antioxidants are associated with the use of Au, Ag, magnetite (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) or titania (TiO\(_2\)) nanoparticles and quantum dots (QDs), in which chemical reduction-based nanotechnological colorimetric assays of antioxidant capacity make use of the formation or enlargement of noble metal nanoparticles, and antioxidants with the highest TAC values exhibited the highest ability to produce Au/Ag-NPs from Au(III)/Ag(I) salts, as recently reviewed by Apak et al. [13].

The LSPR absorption of noble metal nanoparticles has very high molar extinction coefficients (\(\epsilon\)) and are normally expected to allow higher sensitivity in optical detection methods than conventional reagents. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the \(\epsilon\) value for AuNP and Au-nanorod formation (at the order of 108 L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) is calculated with respect to the relative concentration of NPs among all metallic noble metal atoms, i.e., when HAuCl\(_4\) is reduced with a reducing agent such as sodium citrate or borohydride, the resulting AuNP clusters may contain up to several hundred thousands of gold atoms, constituting only a small fraction of total reduced Au-atoms. Consequently, the molar absorptivities (\(\epsilon\)) and hence sensitivities of AuNP-based antioxidant assays toward reducing polyphenols are not extremely high but at the order of the \(\epsilon\) values of other well-known spectrophotometric TAC methods. However, most of the existing NP-based TAC sensors have utilized the formation rather than the enlargement of noble metal NPs upon reaction with antioxidant compounds, and therefore may not yield concentration-dependent linear responses due to kinetic factors (i.e., NP size is dependent upon the reducing power of reducing agent; polyphenols and flavonoids display a wide range of redox potentials, and may thus show slow, medium or fast kinetics for reducing noble metal salts, giving rise to a wide range of sizes for noble metal NPs with maximal wavelength shifts for LSPR absorption).
The working principle of antioxidant activity assays using noble metal NPs may be broadly classified under four categories: (i) formation and growth (enlargement), (ii) aggregation and agglomeration, (iii) disintegration, (iv) specific interaction of NPs [14], under the influence of antioxidant analytes. Certainly, there are some overlaps among these mechanistic classes. These mechanisms enable antioxidant quantitation to different extents of selectivity and sensitivity.

(i) In noble metal NP formation, antioxidants chemically reduce noble metal salts such as HAuCl$_4$, AgNO$_3$, H$_2$PtCl$_6$, H$_2$PtCl$_6$, PdCl$_2$, etc. to produce the corresponding noble metal NPs in a dispersion. Antioxidants are identified and quantified with the aid of LSPR band wavelengths and intensities of the corresponding noble metal NPs, respectively. Because stronger antioxidants produce smaller NPs and LSPR band wavelength ($\lambda_{max}$) is a function of particle size, a wide range of NP sizes will form from an antioxidant mixture, causing shifts in $\lambda_{max}$ and subsequent difficulties in antioxidant quantitation. Starting with NP seeds with the use of borohydride or citrate, and then adding antioxidant analytes to the mixture will give rise to a more controlled enlargement of NPs, with little shifts in LSPR wavelengths (in this case, antioxidant concentrations can be found from the increase in LSPR absorbance). In NP formation-enlargement procedures upon reaction with antioxidants, all antioxidants having an appreciable reducing power will react with noble metal salts, and the assay will be totalistic (i.e., measuring TAC) rather than selective. However, from another viewpoint, this is also the strength of these assays, as the TAC parameter reflects the integrated collaborative action of all antioxidants in a mixture, which may be more beneficial in health issues than individual antioxidant concentrations. Under normal circumstances, although being simple and cost-effective, most of these TAC assays cannot effectively compete with classical robust electron transfer-based TAC assays [14] because NP formation and aggregation are adversely influenced by a great many physico-chemical factors.

(ii) In aggregation procedures, certain types of antioxidants (e.g., thiols) can form a self-assembled layer on noble metal NP surfaces, and with the aid of additional donor-acceptor or electrostatic interactions, these NPs can form aggregates, shifting the $\lambda_{max}$ to longer wavelengths. These methods are generally more specific than conventional NP-based TAC assays. For example, an AuNPs aggregation-based spectroscopic method was devised for cysteine by Li et al. [15]. Through the covalent combination with the –SH group and the electrostatic binding with the –NH$_3^+$ group of cysteine, AuNPs can self-assemble to form a network structure, which results in greatly enhanced resonance light scattering and a bathochromic shift in the visible LSPR band. Li et al. [16] have demonstrated a highly sensitive and selective colorimetric detection method for cysteine using gold nanoparticles probes. This assay relies upon the distance-dependent optical properties of gold nanoparticles, the self-assembly of cysteine on gold nanoparticles, and the interaction of a 2:1 cysteine/Cu$^{2+}$ complex. In the presence of Cu$^{2+}$, cysteine could rapidly induce the aggregation of gold nanoparticles, thereby resulting in red-to-blue (or purple) color change. An LOD as low as 10 nmol·L$^{-1}$ was achieved for cysteine, with good selectivity over other $\alpha$-amino acids, glutathione, and thioglycolic acid.

(iii) Some turn-off LSPR sensors for hydrogen peroxide depend on the disintegration of AgNPs upon H$_2$O$_2$ degradation such that the test solution may turn colorless at the end [17]. These turn-off H$_2$O$_2$ sensors were synthesized from AgNPs stabilized by starch [18], PVA [19] and other polymers [20], but all of them seriously suffer from problems associated with linear response, because AgNPs act as a catalyst to degrade the analyte (H$_2$O$_2$), which, in turn, degrades the catalyst itself [17]. Due to the non-linear response of the sensor, antioxidants expected to inhibit AgNPs disintegration via H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging do not reasonably restore the LSPR absorbance signal in a concentration-dependent manner, which makes TAC estimation difficult.

(iv) Specific interaction sensors involve chemical reactions of antioxidant analytes with a modified noble NP surface, and are more specific by nature than noble metal NP formation sensors based on chemical reduction of the corresponding metal salt. Interaction sensors form a partially intersecting set with aggregation sensors mentioned in (ii). For example, additional linkages
between thiol-assembled AuNPs to form higher aggregates with a concomitant shift of LSPR band wavelength is also a specific interaction capable of separately detecting thiol antioxidants. Displacement of surface-adsorbed dyes from noble metal NPs by preferential adsorption of thiols, followed by optical absorption or fluorescence measurement of the released dyes is another example of these types of sensors specific for thiol detection. A nano-colorimetric probe for ascorbic acid (AA) works with alkyne- and azide-functionalized AuNPs in that, when (AA + Cu$^{2+}$) is added to the mixture dispersion, alkyne-azide click reaction (1,3-dipolar cycloaddition) takes place to result in AuNPs aggregation. This reaction is accelerated by AA acting as a reductant for Cu$^{2+}$, and an AA concentration-dependent color change (from red to purple) is observed to achieve a LOD of 3 nmol·L$^{-1}$ for AA [21].

By making use of the growth-enlargement mechanism, our group developed a AgNP based sensor (based on silver coating of pre-formed seeds of NPs upon reduction by polyphenols) for measuring the TAC level of complex food and biological samples with reasonable precision and accuracy [22]. We named this method as the Silver NanoParticle Antioxidant Capacity (SNPAC) assay. In this assay, Ag$^+$ was first reduced to small-sized spherical AgNPs (SNPs) with the weak reductant trisodium citrate. Upon addition of polyphenolic antioxidants for TAC measurement, the preformed AgNP seeds were coated with newly formed nanoparticle layers in a controlled manner (thereby compensating for variable kinetic effects of the tested antioxidants having variable redox potentials), and the $\lambda_{max}$ values at 423 nm did not shift with respect to different classes of polyphenols, because it was the outermost shell that was decisive on LSPR absorption (had it been initial nucleation, most polyphenols would have yielded significant shifts in LSPR-$\lambda_{max}$). The absorbance increase with respect to the blank (of pre-formed seeds) varied linearly with polyphenol concentration, and thus, unlike its counterparts, the developed SNPAC assay showed reasonable precision and accuracy for measuring antioxidant levels of complex samples. The LOD for trolox was found to be 0.23 µmol·L$^{-1}$ in the proposed method. The precision, which is expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) in the tested concentration range, was ~5.2%. The SNPAC absorbances of TR were linear within the concentration range of $1.28 \times 10^{-7}$–$1.12 \times 10^{-4}$ mol·L$^{-1}$ (as final concentrations in solution). Silver was preferred over gold in the growth of NPs, because a Ag colloid is expected to have a stronger and sharper plasmon resonance than Au, as its Mie resonance occurs at energies distinct from any bulk inter-band transition [23].

An ‘unconventional’ optical fiber reflectance sensor for catechin by immobilized 2,2′-(1,4 phenylenedivinylene) bis-8-hydroxyquinoline (PBHQ) on TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) was developed by Apak et al. [24]. The working principle of the sensor was the formation of ‘indophenol blue’ dye on PBHQ-immobilized TiO$_2$ nanoparticles as a result of $p$-aminophenol (PAP) autoxidation with dissolved O$_2$ in alkaline medium. Among quercetin, rutin, naringenin, naringin, gallic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, $p$-coumaric acid, catechin, epicatechin, epicatechin gallate, epigallocatechin, epigallocatechin gallate, and trolox, only catechin group antioxidants (tea catechins) delayed the color formation on nano-sized TiO$_2$, measured via 710 nm-reflectance. Quantitative analysis was made by recording reflectance versus time, and the difference between the areas under curve (ΔAUC) in the presence and absence of catechin was linearly correlated to catechin concentration. The selectivity of the sensor for catechins was shown in tea infusions, and was ascribed to the nonplanar structure of catechin interfering with the formation of perfectly conjugated indophenol blue on TiO$_2$ surface. The calibration curve (as ΔAUC vs. concentration) of CAT was constructed with a linear correlation coefficient close to unity (r = 0.98), with which the LOD for catechin was found to be 0.37 µmol·L$^{-1}$ in the proposed method.

Thiol-type (R-SH) antioxidants can be sensed by Au/Ag-NPs firstly by LSPR absorption wavelength shifts and secondly by displacement of fluorophores/chromophores upon functionalization of these nanoparticles with thiols, as the S-atom of thiols may form a strong covalent bond with Au. Our research group introduced a new optical sensor using Ellman’s reagent (DTNB)-adsorbed gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), abbreviated as DTNB-Au-NP, in a colloidal solution devised to selectively
determine thiols from biological samples and pharmaceuticals. 5,5’-Dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) as free thiol reagent was adsorbed through non-covalent interaction onto AuNPs, and the absorbance changes associated with the formation of the yellow-colored 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoate (TNB$^{2-}$) anion as a result of reaction with biothiols was measured at 410 nm [25]. TNB as a thiol compound has a relatively low pKa and may easily be the leaving group in a thiol-exchange reaction on AuNPs. The AuNPs were initially synthesized by classical citrate reduction method [26] and then derivatized with DTNB. The DTNB-Au-NP thiol-sensor gave a linear absorbance response over a wide concentration range of standard biothiols comprising cysteine, glutathione (GSH), homocysteine, cysteamine, dihydrolipoic acid and 1,4-dithioerythritol. The DTNB-Au-NP sensor could be successfully applied to complex samples. Under optimized conditions, cysteine was quantified by the DTNB-Au-NP sensor, with a detection limit (LOD) of 0.57 µmol·L$^{-1}$ and acceptable linear range from 0.4 to 29 µmol·L$^{-1}$ ($r = 0.998$).

Another noble metal NP-based sensor for the food preservative, nitrite, was developed for its colorimetric determination using 4-aminothiophenol (4-ATP) modified gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and naphthylethylene diamine (NED) as coupling agent for azo-dye formation, abbreviated as “4-ATP – AuNP + NED” colorimetric method [27]. Our selective nitrite sensor utilized the interaction of LSPR absorption of Au-NPs through 4-ATP functionalization with diazo-coupling by naphthylethylene diamine on the NPs surface. The initial citrate-stabilized AuNP colloidal solution was red, turned colorless upon derivatization with 4-ATP, and the 4-ATP − AuNP + NED functionalized NPs gave a blue to blue-violet color with nitrite, showing bathochromic shifts in LSPR absorption. The LOD for nitrite was ≤2 µmol·L$^{-1}$. Unlike its literature counterparts showing an absorbance decrease over a narrow range of nitrite concentrations, this sensor showed an absorbance increase over nearly one order-of-magnitude levels of nitrite, probably showing that a distinct dye product was formed on AuNPs conforming to Beer’s law (i.e., had it been simple agglomeration of NPs, a wide linear concentration range could not have been observed).

A portable paper-based TAC nanosensor involved the reaction between antioxidants and gold nanoparticles on filter paper, in which gold (III) ions (AuCl$_4^-$) were impregnated [28]. The quantitation of antioxidant capacity was based on the direct reduction of Au(III) to Au(0) by antioxidant compounds on the paper. The intensity of the red color on paper was proportional to the reducing power and concentration of the tested antioxidant. The semi-quantitative sensor was scanned against a white background for color intensity analysis and the mean color intensity of sensing area image was analyzed by using IMAGE J software. The repeatability and reproducibility of the assay, expressed as the intra- and inter-day RSD, were 3–6% and 7–12%, respectively, for gallic acid levels between 0.05 and 1.0 mmol·L$^{-1}$. The accuracy of the method, expressed as recovery of gallic acid (within the range of 0.01–0.1 mmol·L$^{-1}$) from various tea infusions, varied between 85% and 108%.

1.1.3. Electrochemical Sensors for Detecting Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress and Antioxidants

Electrochemical devices have simple and inexpensive equipment, and related methods depend on electron transfer reactions [29]. The design principles of electrochemical biosensors as relevant tools of antioxidant activity assessment have been excellently reviewed in literature [29–32]. The strategy is based on electrochemical measurement of oxidative damage produced on lipids, proteins or DNA, and restoration of their original electrochemical signals in the presence of protective antioxidants. Most electrochemical sensing approaches focus on cytochrome c, superoxide dismutase and DNA probes [30]. Since ROS may cause oxidation on DNA bases and on deoxyribose moieties, these disadvantages can be used for modelling ROS damage in human body. For this purpose, different DNA-based sensors were developed and used for evaluation of total antioxidant capacity of certain samples. The most widely used technique is immobilization of DNA (especially double strand DNA, dsDNA, from calf thymus) on screen printed carbon electrodes using simple adsorption techniques. In this method, mostly guanine oxidation peak was detected between +0.8 and +1.0 V against a Ag/AgCl electrode with square wave voltammetry (SWV). To obtain ROS, a Fenton solution was
used into which the electrode was dipped, and then antioxidants were added into this solution. In the proposed system, the intensity of peak current and guanine concentration were proportional, where the presence of Fenton-generated $^{\cdot}$OH caused a decrease in the signal and radical scavengers (antioxidants) caused an increase in the peak current intensity again. So TAC could be determined using this method [33,34]. Electrochemical labelling which can interact with dsDNA is also another method to measure DNA damage. This interaction means an intercalation or an interaction depending on the ionic strength. In the proposed method, redox labeled DNA layers could be measured by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). A decrease in the measured electrochemical signal was proportional to the amount of ROS in the reaction system and antioxidants protected the signal [35,36]. Bučková et al. applied this method to detect TAC in yeast polysaccharides using as a redox marker, namely 1,10-phenanthroline cobalt(III) complex, [Co(phen)$_3$]$^{3+}$ [37]. In another study, Qian et al. covalently attached dsDNA on poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM)-encapsulated Au-Pd/chitosan composite [38].

Since DNA adsorbed on modified sensor electrodes displayed slow electron-transfer kinetics, electron shuttles and redox mediators (such as NADH, methylene blue, Ru(III)- and Co(III)-bipyridyl complexes, etc.) were generally applied to speed up electrochemical reactions. The oxidation peak currents of suitable DNA bases such as adenine or guanine [34] may be measured (e.g., with the aid of a biosensor consisting of dsDNA immobilized on a screen-printed electrode) to evaluate the DNA hazard produced by hydroxyl or superoxide radicals and its subsequent restoration in the presence of protective antioxidants acting as radical scavengers. Kamel et al. immobilized purine bases on a glassy carbon electrode to manufacture a biosensor and evaluate the TAC of flavored waters. The mechanism of the biosensor involved monitoring of the changes of the intrinsic anodic response (by the electrochemical technique of SWV) of the surface-confined guanine and adenine nucleic bases, resulting from their interaction with ROS—derived from Fenton-type reactions—in the presence of tested antioxidants (ascorbic acid being used as standard) [39]. The ROS scavenging properties of antioxidants deactivated radicals such as $^{\cdot}$OH and protected nucleic bases from oxidative damage. The strong interaction of adenine and guanine layers with the electrode surface made the confined biosensor a useful device for TAC measurement of beverages with high reproducibility and constant sensitivity. The most commonly used oxidation biomarkers of damaged DNA are 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG) and purine bases: guanine and adenine. Zhang et al. made use of DNA adsorption on electrochemically modified GCE. During oxidation, $^{•}$OH was generated by a Fenton reaction (i.e., from Fe(II) + H$_2$O$_2$), whereas ascorbic acid (AA) and mannitol were added as radical scavengers. The reaction mixture was dropped to the modified GCE, and the oxidation peaks of adenine, guanine and 8-OH-dG were recorded [40]. In a similar study, a purine biosensor was used to estimate the TAC of beverages with SWV. In the study, adenine or guanine was electrochemically immobilized on GCE (i.e., an adsorptive accumulation step was used) and the resulting peak current due to the oxidation of nucleic bases was measured [41]. Mello et al. manufactured a DNA-electrochemical biosensor for investigating antioxidative properties of different plant materials by immobilizing a dsDNA layer on a screen printed electrode and using a Fenton reaction to oxidize DNA. To oxidize the immobilized calf thymus DNA, the electrode was dipped into iron(II)-EDTA reaction mixture and oxidation was initiated by H$_2$O$_2$ addition. Guanine oxidation peak was followed by the SWV technique. Fenton reaction was repeated in the presence and absence of antioxidant samples, and the observed voltammetric changes were evaluated [34].

Barroso et al. proposed a method depending adenine-rich oligonucleotide (dA21) as probe, adsorbed on carbon paste electrodes for the assessment of the antioxidant capacity. In the study, the oxidation product of adenine, produced by a Fenton reaction, acted as a catalyst in the oxidation of NADH. The increase in the electrocatalytic current was measured by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The proposed method was used to determine the TAC of certain beverages and ascorbic acid [31]. The main principle of electrochemical antioxidant sensing by DNA-modified electrodes is shown in Figure 3, and the analytical performances of a number of DNA-modified electrodes exposed to various radicals are compared in Table 2.
Another strategy for electrochemical detection of oxidative damage on biomarkers is to immobilize proteins (bovine serum albumin: BSA, hemoglobin, etc.) on modified electrodes. For this purpose, BSA was adsorbed onto a GCE surface, and Co(bpy)$_3^{3+}$ was used as a redox indicator to monitor BSA damage induced by hydroxyl radicals produced from a Fe$^{2+}$/H$_2$O$_2$ reaction [42]. Intact BSA gave a good oxidative peak due to the oxidation by Co(bpy)$_3^{3+}$, and after oxidation of BSA by the Fenton system, the peak due to the Co(III)-complex showed a sharp decrease, which was restored by antioxidants (ascorbic acid, catechin, etc.). In other studies using the same probe, BSA/poly-o-phenylenediamine (PoPD)/carbon-coated nickel (C-Ni) nanobiocomposite film modified glassy carbon electrode (BSA/PoPD/C-Ni/GCE) was developed. BSA was subjected to Fenton oxidative damage, where antioxidants restored the signal [43,44].

Figure 3. Electrochemical sensing of oxidative DNA damage and its restoration by antioxidants (immobilization method on the working electrode was adsorption on GCE).

Table 2. Comparison of performances of DNA electrochemical sensors for total antioxidant capacity.

| Sample | Target Layer | Free Radical | Linear Range | LOD      | Advantages/Disadvantages                                      | Ref. |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Flavoured water containing ascorbic acid | Adenine Guanine | Hydroxyl radical | 2–18 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.5–5 mg L$^{-1}$ | 0.1 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.08 mg L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - Reproducibility, constant sensitivity and avoidance of sample contamination, rapid and inexpensive evaluation of antioxidant capacity | [39] |
| Ascorbic acid | dsDNA | Hydroxyl radical | 1.5–2.5 mmol L$^{-1}$ | 0.82 mmol L$^{-1}$ | Disadvantage: - Narrow concentration range | [40] |
| Beverages | dA$_2$ | Hydroxyl radical | 0.05–1.00 µmol L$^{-1}$ | 50 nmol L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - Easy, rapid, reproducible preparation, low detectability, TAC evaluation | [31] |
| Fruit flavoured water beverage | Guanine Adenine | Superoxide radical | 1–5 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.5–4 mg L$^{-1}$ | 0.77 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.5 mg L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - Shorter detection time, smaller sample volume, higher accuracy, high simplicity and can be used without pretreatment | [35] |
| Fruit flavoured water beverage | Guanine Adenine | Sulfate radical | 0.5–4 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.5–4 mg L$^{-1}$ | 0.47 mg L$^{-1}$ 0.5 mg L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - Ease of preparation, rapid, reproducible. Disadvantage: Disposable | [45] |
| Orange-based beverages containing ascorbic acid | dA$_{20}$-CPE | Nitric oxide radical | 1–20 mg L$^{-1}$ | 0.23 mg L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - Inexpensive simple, stable and short response time | [46] |
| Pharm. antioxidant excipient in drugs (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_8$) | Guanine | Hydroxyl radical | 1–30 mmol L$^{-1}$ | 0.54 mmol L$^{-1}$ | Advantage: - The surface area of Guanine/TiO$_2$ NPs/MWCNTs/GCE has a large surface area and good electrochemical properties | [47] |
1.2. Colorimetric Sensors and Nanoprobes with Miscellaneous Mechanisms for Antioxidant Detection

There are other colorimetric sensors and nanoprobes for antioxidant assessment that cannot be classified under a unique mechanism. Portable ceria nano-particle based sensor (NanoCerac) assay was developed by Sharpe et al. [48] for rapid and sensitive detection of food antioxidants. The sensor was fabricated of immobilized ceria nanoparticles on filter paper by surface adsorption between the –OH rich ceria surface and the cellulosic fibers [49]. For example, CeO$_2$ reacted with ascorbic acid to yield Ce(III)-oxide and dehydroascorbic acid. As antioxidants partly reduced Ce(IV) to Ce(III), possibly a mixed-valent oxide was formed and the blue color of the sensor was related to the binding affinity and electron donating ability of antioxidants to ceria on filter paper. Sensors were scanned and processed by Adobe Photoshop program. The colorimetric response was concentration− dependent, with detection limits ranging from 20 to 400 mmol·L$^{-1}$ depending on the antioxidant involved.

In general, transition metal oxide nanoparticles impregnated on filter paper proved to be effective probes for quantifying polyphenolic antioxidants. Multiple metal oxide nanoparticles with varying polyphenol binding behavior were used as active sensing materials to devise sensor arrays. The principle of polyphenol detection/quantitation was essentially polyphenol binding coupled to charge-transfer interactions. While CeO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ formed a brown color on the paper in the presence of antioxidants, titanyl oxalate formed a bright orange complex, TiO$_2$, ZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$ a yellow-green and ZnO a bright yellow color [48]. As the highest sensitivity was achieved with transition metal oxides, the authors reported a LOQ of 0.04 mmol·L$^{-1}$ for gallic acid using nanoceria on paper. Unique colors were formed as a result of charge-transfer (in the visible range) between specific HOMO-LUMO levels of chemically interacting species, i.e., polyphenol donor and transition metal oxide acceptor. As these types of sensors may not reach very low detection limits for antioxidants, an alternative strategy to increase the sensitivity of these transition metal oxide sensors is the use of redox mediators as auxiliary ligands or probes. For example, oxidase-mimic nanoceria may cause the oxidation of a peroxidase substrate redox dye to a colored product which partly bleaches with antioxidants in a concentration-dependent manner.

Palaroan et al. [50] reported an optical fiber chemiluminescence (CL) biosensor for testing antioxidants, utilizing luminol and hematein co-immobilized on a cellulosic membrane disc. Antioxidant capacity of sample was quantified via quenching of CL produced from the reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with luminol in the presence of hematein as catalyst. Under the experimental conditions, H$_2$O$_2$ generated hydroxyl radicals which in turn induced the CL reaction of luminol. Antioxidants, when present, scavenged •OH and inhibited the CL signal. The sensor response (of CL quenching) varied linearly with the logarithm of antioxidant concentration over three orders-of-magnitude. The LOD was reported as 100 nmol·L$^{-1}$ for propyl gallate and ascorbate.

A molecular imprinted polymeric microspheres (MIPm)-based flow injection chemiluminescence (FI-CL) sensor was developed for sensitive quercetin determination [51]. Adsorption of quercetin took place via hydrogen bonds between its –OH, =O groups and the –NH$_2$, =O groups of MIPm. As the alkaline luminol-H$_2$O$_2$ reagent is not selective for quercetin, the real sample solution containing quercetin was let to pass through two adsorbent tubes, one containing MIPm and the other non-molecularly imprinted polymeric microspheres (NIPm), and the difference in CL emission was taken as a specific indication of quercetin. The linear concentration range was 1.4−160 µmol·L$^{-1}$, RSD $\approx$ 3%, and LOD 0.9 µmol·L$^{-1}$ quercetin.

A simple method for antioxidant assessment based on the redox transformation of polyaniline (PANI) was reported by Li et al. [52]. PANI is a widely used conducting polymer, and the emeraldine base (EB) of PANI fibers can be reduced to leuco-emeraldine base (LB) form in the presence of antioxidants, giving rise to color changes. The absorbance of PANI at 580 nm decreased by adding increasing concentrations of antioxidant and the color of solution gradually changed from purple to blue and to light gray. In addition, EB form of PANI could efficiently quench the fluorescence of CdTe quantum dots, and the fluorescence was recovered with antioxidant addition (Figure 4). Quantum dots (comprising CdS, CdSe, CdTe, ZnSe, InAs, InP, etc.) are a special class of semiconductor
nanocrystals (of 2–6 nm diameter) playing an important role as fluorophores. They have size-tunable fluorescence, broad excitation spectra, and are especially useful for detecting thiol-type antioxidants. The fluorescence intensity of CdTe QDs ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 579$ nm) increased linearly with added antioxidant concentration in the presence of EB form of PANI. The detection limits for glutathione and ascorbic acid were estimated to be 50 nmol·L$^{-1}$ and 100 nmol·L$^{-1}$, respectively.

![Scheme of the fluorescent turn-on sensing strategy for antioxidants.](image)

A switch-on fluorescence sensor for glutathione (GSH) detection in food samples was recently reported by Xu et al. [53]. Graphitic carbon nitride quantum dots (g-CN QD)-Hg$^{2+}$ chemosensor was used in the system, the initial fluorescence signal of which was quenched by Hg$^{2+}$. However, upon the successive addition of GSH, this FL intensity was remarkably recovered due to GSH binding to Hg$^{2+}$ which was subsequently released from the surface of quantum dots. Thus, in the presence of GSH, GSH and Hg$^{2+}$ showed a competitive affinity to the functional groups on the surface of g-CN QDs, thereby switching the fluorescence sensor to the “on” state. The g-CN QD-Hg$^{2+}$ chemosensor was claimed to have important advantages such as high selectivity, sensitivity, cost-effectiveness and rapidity. Under optimal conditions, the linear concentration range was 0.16–16 µmol·L$^{-1}$, with a LOD of 37 nmol·L$^{-1}$ for GSH. The repeatability was 5.3% for standards and foods.

Ultrasensitive detection of polyphenols using CdTe QDs as optical labels was reported by Akshath et al. [54]. After catalytic oxidation with laccase enzyme and O$_2$, polyphenols were converted to mono/polyquinones which could quench QDs fluorescence. The quenching of CdTe QDs fluorescence was linear with respect to polyphenol concentration ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 450$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 582$ nm). It was possible to determine polyphenols in a wide linear range, with a limit of detection (LOD) of 1 ng mL$^{-1}$ and determination coefficient $R^2 = 0.9702$. Using the same type of quantum dots, an automated CL assay was recently developed for the TAC determination of food samples, based on luminol oxidation by in-line photogenerated radical species from l-glutathione-capped CdTe QDs [55]. Antioxidants capable of scavenging the generated free radicals prevented the reaction of ROS with luminol, quenching the CL analytical signal. The authors reported an analytical linear response range between 0.1 and 5 µmol·L$^{-1}$ of trolox and a LOD of 0.05 µmol·L$^{-1}$.

A triple-dimensional sensing chip, composed of CdSe/ZnS QDs and graphene, was developed to discriminate eight antioxidants, based on simultaneous utilization of FL, ECL and MS properties of the nanocomposites [56]. Of the plausible mechanisms, the authors focused on the number of phenolic hydroxyl groups on the analytes so as to be adsorbed on the sensing nanochip, causing fluorescence quenching of the QDs. Unknown samples randomly taken from the training set of antioxidants at concentrations of 0.7 µmol·L$^{-1}$ were successfully classified by principal component analysis (PCA) with recoveries of 92.5%. A useful property of QDs for antioxidant activity measurement is their photobleachability induced by ROS (e.g., generated by UV radiation) which can be reduced in the presence of antioxidants.

Wang and coworkers proposed a graphene oxide amplified electrogenerated chemiluminescence (ECL) of quantum dots platform [57] for the selective sensing of glutathione (GSH) among other thiol-containing compounds (e.g., cysteine and oxidized glutathione). The ECL intensity of graphene oxide amplified QDs platform showed a rapid decrease (e.g., at 120 µmol·L$^{-1}$ GSH) while CdTe QDs...
(without graphene) showed no changes with increasing GSH concentration. This platform showed a LOD of 8.3 μmol·L⁻¹ and selective quantification within the linear range of 24 to 214 μmol·L⁻¹ GSH.

Zhao and coworkers recently developed titania nanotubes (TiNTs)—functionalized indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass for flow injection analysis (FIA) to intensify the electrochemiluminescence (ECL) of luminol for sensitive detection of ROS and antioxidants [58]. Under optimal conditions, a linear concentration range of 0.1–30 mg L⁻¹ and a detection limit of 1.65 × 10⁻¹⁰ g for resveratrol was obtained by using ECL of luminol on functionalized ITO in FIA mode. TiNTs were assumed to act as an effective oxygen carrier, and the catalyst for oxygen transformation owing to its large specific surface area. As a result of a series of Haber-Weiss reactions, singlet oxygen was produced from the reduction products of O₂, i.e., H₂O₂, superoxide and hydroxyl radicals. TiNTs accelerated the formation of singlet oxygen from oxygen reduction and oxidation of luminol, resulting in enhanced ECL emission of luminol.

2. Colorimetric Sensors and Nanoprobes for Detecting Reactive Species (ROS/RNS) and for Determining Scavenging Activity of Antioxidants

2.1. Hydrogen Peroxide

2.1.1. Spectroscopic Sensors and Nanoprobes

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is an important ROS formed in tissues through oxidative processes. H₂O₂ is produced by different oxidases and decomposed by catalases. Thus, the activity of these enzymes can be determined by monitoring the production or consumption of H₂O₂ [59].

In the presence of H₂O₂, AuNPs can elicit the generation of hydroxyl radicals in acidic environment and the production of oxygen under alkaline conditions. These interesting phenomena may be attributed to: (i) catalytic properties of AuNPs (such as catalase-like activity for the decomposition of H₂O₂) and (ii) the pH dependent physical and chemical properties of H₂O₂ (Scheme 1) [60].

![Scheme 1](image_url)

Scheme 1. Schematic diagram of catalytic properties of AuNPs in decomposing H₂O₂ and scavenging superoxide anion radical.

There are methods in literature, based on the growth process of gold nanoshells (GNSs) [61,62], used to investigate the H₂O₂-scavenging activity of several phenolic acids and herbal extracts. Hydrogen peroxide induces GNSs formation by reducing [AuCl₄]⁻ to Au, deposited on the surface of SiO₂/AuNP composite with subsequent enlargement of AuNPs to form a continuous shell layer, and antioxidants, when present, inhibit this growth by their H₂O₂ scavenging action. During the H₂O₂-induced formation process of GNSs, their LSPR band shows large bathochromic shifts (i.e., to longer wavelengths) across the visible region, rendering analytical wavelength selection difficult. Phenolic acids, as well as tea and herb samples with H₂O₂-scavenging ability, prevents complete GNSs formation and their bathochromic shift. AuNPs on SiO₂ cores were enlarged by increasing concentrations of H₂O₂, concomitant with spectral changes corresponding to SPR absorption bands of AuNPs, and antioxidants restricted H₂O₂-mediated formation of GNSs, enabling the determination of their scavenging activity. The authors defined the concentration of each antioxidant to cause a
50\% wavelength inhibition ratio as IC$_{50}$, where the IC$_{50}$ values of salicylic acid (not classified as an antioxidant) and ferulic acid were 470 and 8 µmol·L$^{-1}$, respectively.

Ma et al. tested some phenolic acids to reach the conclusion that caffeic acid was the strongest H$_2$O$_2$-scavenger whereas trans-cinnamic acid was the weakest [62]. The H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging activity of phenolic acids was correlated to the substitutional pattern in the aromatic ring and the degree of hydroxylation. Chen and coworkers developed a method for assessing H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging activity with the use of SiO$_2$/AuNPs as SERS nanoprobes, where H$_2$O$_2$ reduced Au(III) to Au(0) mediating the growth of these nanoshells and subsequent gold coverage of silica cores, and antioxidants caused a drop in SERS-activity by preventing the H$_2$O$_2$-mediated growth of SiO$_2$/AuNPs [63]. The surface coverage of AuNPs on silica cores increased with increasing H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, whereas antioxidants like tannic acid (with LOD $\leq$ 5 µmol·L$^{-1}$) restricted gold nanoshell formation and the resulting SERS activity to a greater extent than L-malic acid having less –OH groups.

AgNPs have the ability to reduce ROS with Ag(0)-Ag(I) oxidation, resulting in the decomposition of AgNPs and a decrease in LSPR absorption. As the standard reduction potentials for the H$_2$O$_2$/H$_2$O and O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$ redox couples are 1.76 V and 0.70 V, respectively, and for Ag$^+$/Ag$^0$ 0.80 V, it is thermodynamically feasible for hydrogen peroxide to act both as an oxidizing agent for AgNPs and a reducing agent toward Ag$^+$ ions. This provides an opportunity for Ag$_{3}$NPs–based determination of hydrogen peroxide.

AgNPs presumably form a strongly oxidizing intermediate complex with H$_2$O$_2$. The generation of O$_2$$^{•−}$ is initiated by the H$_2$O$_2$-mediated oxidation of AgNPs [64]. The reactivity of AgNP toward H$_2$O$_2$ decreases with decreasing pH, while at high pH, reformation of AgNPs by a back reaction (from Ag$^+$ and O$_2$$^{•−}$) was essentially complete [65]:

$$\text{AgNP} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{intermediate}$$

$$\text{Intermediate} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ag}^+ + \text{O}_2$$

There is another way which is believed to lead to the generation of O$_2$$^{•−}$, the oxygen mediated oxidation of AgNPs [66]:

$$\text{AgNP} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ag}^+ + \text{O}_2$$

Hydrogen peroxide detection methods based on the catalytic degradation of AgNPs are more common in literature due to the favorability of the concerned redox potential [18–20], but measurements based on NP degradation may suffer from reproducibility problems. Nevertheless, this type of sensor—based on the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ resulting in the degradation of AgNPs, with subsequent changes in the refractive index of the nanocomposite layer and a shift in LSPR wavelength—was claimed to show high sensitivity and a wide linear range (between 10$^{-8}$ and 10$^{-1}$ mol·L$^{-1}$), fast response, and good applicability to remote and online sensing of H$_2$O$_2$ [67]. On the other hand, a rare study concerning SPR signal amplification of AgNPs relied on the reductive ability of H$_2$O$_2$ toward Ag(I) for H$_2$O$_2$ quantitation in the micromolar range. In this assay, Ag$^+$ was reduced to Ag$^0$ by O$_2$$^{•−}$ generated via UV radiation—aided decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ in alkaline media. As the conversion of glucose to gluconic acid is catalyzed by glucose oxidase accompanying the formation of H$_2$O$_2$, both H$_2$O$_2$ and glucose in blood could be determined [68]. This method could determine H$_2$O$_2$ with concentrations between 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ and 6.0 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol·L$^{-1}$, with a LOD of 0.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$.

2.1.2. Electrochemical Nanosensors

As direct electrochemical detection of H$_2$O$_2$ requires a high potential around 0.6 V at which other oxidizable species such as ascorbic acid, uric acid, and acetaminophen interfere [69], sensor electrodes are manufactured to detect the analyte at lower potentials, e.g., in the presence of AgNPs catalyzing the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ [70]. Zhao et al. [69] developed an electrochemical H$_2$O$_2$ sensor based on multi-wall carbon nanotube/silver nanoparticle nanohybrids (MWCNT/Ag nanohybrids)-modified
gold electrode; the reduction current in this electrode was larger than that of MWCNT-modified electrode in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$. Similar measurements were also carried out with MWCNT/Au electrode, but the obtained signals were found to be too low (probably due to the fact that O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$ and Ag$^+/Ag^0$ reduction potentials were quite close). Most electrochemical sensors perform H$_2$O$_2$ detection at around 0.6 V, but unfortunately, this potential is open to interference by other electro-active compounds. Thus, Zhao et al. [69] chose a voltage of −0.2 V to remove interferences, owing to the catalytic activity of their modified electrode. At the specified potential, the sensor gave a linear response between 0.05 and 17 mmol·L$^{-1}$, with a LOD of 0.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$ for H$_2$O$_2$.

Cui et al. [70] developed a novel strategy to fabricate a H$_2$O$_2$ sensor electrode by electrodepositing AgNPs on a glassy carbon electrode modified with three-dimensional DNA networks. The electrochemical sensor had a favorable catalytic ability for the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$. The DNA network was claimed to prevent agglomeration and allow homogeneous deposition of AgNPs onto the electrode surface, resulting in an increase in the catalytic effect of the electrode in H$_2$O$_2$ detection. The linear concentration range of the AgNPs/DNA/GCE electrode was 4 µmol·L$^{-1}$–16 mmol·L$^{-1}$ with a detection limit of 1.7 µmol·L$^{-1}$; minimal interference was seen from electroactive substances like ascorbic and uric acid [70].

Redox mediators have been used to decrease the overpotential and enhance the electron transfer kinetics of H$_2$O$_2$ [71]. The glassy carbon electrode was modified with cobalt oxide nanoparticles in pH 7 phosphate buffer (PBS). The resulting Co$_3$O$_4$-NPs/GC electrode showed excellent electro-catalytic activity for hydrogen peroxide. The contribution of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles to electrode performance comprised decreased overpotential and accelerated electron transfer kinetics. The electrode was used for determination of H$_2$O$_2$ via cyclic voltammetry within the potential range of 0.4 V–1.0 V in pH 7 PBS. A linear response was obtained from 0.1 to 9 µmol·L$^{-1}$, and the detection limit was 0.05 µmol·L$^{-1}$ at the anodic potential of 0.75 V. Rotated (at 2000 rpm) Co$_3$O$_4$-NPs/GC electrode was also used for amperometric determination of H$_2$O$_2$, enabling a linear calibration range of 4–80 nmol·L$^{-1}$ and a LOD of 0.4 nmol·L$^{-1}$ at 0.75 V.

### Table 3. Comparison of the electroanalytical performance of different H$_2$O$_2$ nanosensors.

| Electrode | Linear Range | LOD | Stability | Ref. |
|-----------|---------------|-----|-----------|-----|
| MWCNT/Ag nanohybrids/Au | 0.05–17 nmol·L$^{-1}$ | 30 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 90% remains after 30 days | [69] |
| AgNPs/DNA/GCE | 4 µmol·L$^{-1}$–16 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1.7 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [70] |
| Ag-DNA/GCE | 2 µmol·L$^{-1}$–2.5 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.6 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [72] |
| Ag-3D catalyst/G | 50 µmol·L$^{-1}$–2.5 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 92% remains after 30 days | [73] |
| AgNPs/CILE | 2 µmol·L$^{-1}$–250 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.7 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [74] |
| AgNPs/ZrONRs/FTO | 8 µmol·L$^{-1}$–1 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.9 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [75] |
| HRP/Ag-DNA/Au | 1.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$–2 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 15 days | [76] |
| Co$_3$O$_4$NPs/GCE | 0.01–0.9 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 2 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [77] |
| Hb/CIN-Chitosan/GCE | 3.1 µmol·L$^{-1}$–4 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1.2 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [78] |
| Hb/ZrO$_2$/DMSO/PG | 1.5–30.2 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.14 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [79] |
| CuO-NPs/CILE | 1 µmol·L$^{-1}$–2.5 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 100 days | [80] |
| Nano-CuO/Ni/ Au | 0.15 µmol·L$^{-1}$–9 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.06 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 21 days | [81] |
| Pt$_4$Pd$_2$Fe$_2$O$_7$/NPs/GCE | 20 nmol·L$^{-1}$–100 nmol·L$^{-1}$ | 5 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [82] |
| Co$_3$O$_4$NPs/GCE | 4–80 nmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.4 nmol·L$^{-1}$ | 20 days | [71] |
| PnP$_2$/PANI/MSF/IITO | 1 µmol·L$^{-1}$–2 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.24 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [83] |
| Cu$_2$O/Ni/Au | 0.25 µmol·L$^{-1}$–5 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.12 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 94.6% remains after 30 days | [84] |
| RGO/PANI-PtNPs/GCE | 20 µmol·L$^{-1}$–8 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1.1 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 88% remains after 30 days | [85] |
| RGO/Cu$_2$O$_2$/CPE | 2–200 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.52 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 95.8% remains after 14 days | [86] |
| RGO/CeO$_2$/AgNPs/SPE | 0.5 µmol·L$^{-1}$–12 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.21 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 90.7% remains after 42 days | [87] |
| Ag-MnO$_2$/MWCNTs/GCE | 5 µmol·L$^{-1}$–104 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1.7 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 90% remains after 30 days | [88] |
| PDDA/ERGO-ATP-PtNPs/GCE | 0.1 µmol·L$^{-1}$–10 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 0.016 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Not reported | [89] |
| GN-CS/AuNPs/GCE | 5 µmol·L$^{-1}$–35 mmol·L$^{-1}$ | 1.6 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | 98.5% remains after 14 days | [90] |

Abbreviations: MWCNT: Multiwalled carbon nanotubes; GCE: glassy carbon electrode; CILE: carbon ionic liquid electrode; ZrONRs: zinc oxide nanorods; FTO: fluorine-doped tin oxide; HRP: horse-radish peroxidase; Hb: haemoglobin; CIN: carbon-coated iron nanoparticles; NF: Nafton; DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide; PG: pyrolytic graphite. MSF: mesoporous silica film; CPE: carbon-paste electrode; RGO: reduced graphene oxide; ERGO: electrochemically reduced graphene oxide; PtNP: platinum nanoparticles; SPE: screen-printed electrode; PDDA: Poly diallyldimethylammonium chloride; Graphene: GN; Chitosan: CS.
Although enzyme-immobilized sensor electrodes have also been used for electrocatalytic H₂O₂ determination, these enzymes are quite expensive and may lose activity during measurement. Noble metal (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd) nanoparticles have been suggested as electrocatalysts for sensing H₂O₂ due to their larger specific surface area, excellent conductivity and electrocatalysis. The analytical performances of some electrochemical nanosensors were compared in Table 3.

2.2. Superoxide Anion Radical

2.2.1. Spectroscopic Sensors and Nanoprobes

Superoxide anion radical (O₂•⁻) is the precursor of hydrogen peroxide, singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical in the body which causes cell damage by initiating the peroxidation of the membranal lipids [91]. Most common techniques used for detecting superoxide anion radicals are electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy, chemiluminescence, fluorescence spectroscopy and electrochemical detection. Among these methods, fluorescent probes show high sensitivity and selectivity. Hydroethidine (HE) has been known as a superoxide radical probe by measuring the fluorescence of ethidium (E⁺) thought to be its characteristic reaction product. Carbon-dots (CD) conjugated with HE were used as selective ratiometric fluorescent sensors for biosensing superoxide radical in living cells [92]. The ratio of the fluorescence emission intensity monitored at 610 nm in the presence of increasing concentration of O₂•⁻ to the constant emission intensity of CD-HE probe, observed at 525 nm, was evaluated to compensate for background fluorescence. This fluorescent probe exhibited lower LOD (~100 nmol·L⁻¹) in comparison to other O₂•⁻ probes [93,94] and remained independent of pH in neutral-to-weakly alkaline solution. However, the reaction rate decreased as the rate of O₂•⁻ production increased, probably owing to the catalytic effect of HE on the dismutation of O₂•⁻ [95].

Li et al. designed a selective fluorescent nanoprobe to eliminate fluorescence signals of other reactive oxygen species. 2-Chloro-1,3-dibenzothiazolincyclohexene (DBZTC) was loaded to Ag@SiO₂ core/shell nanoparticles; 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) was added to these core/shell NPs to enable amine binding to the silica surface. Enhancement of fluorescence emission of DBZTC was related to the silica shell thickness, and this highly specific recognition of O₂•⁻ provided 1000-fold stronger selectivity over H₂O₂ in living cells [96].

A ready-to-use fluorometric biosensor for detecting O₂•⁻ was developed [97], based on the release of H₂O₂ which reacted with nonfluorescent Amplex Red (N-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine) probe in the presence of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) enzyme to produce the fluorescent compound resorufin (λex at 563 nm; λem at 587 nm). Amplex red was used for the fluorometric estimation of trace H₂O₂ [98]. SOD and HRP enzymes and the probe were immobilized at the same time to SiO₂ sol-gel glass slides. The submicromolar concentrations of O₂•⁻ were detected and LOD value was measured close to 20 nmol·L⁻¹ (linear range up to 6 µmol·L⁻¹ of xanthine). Although this sensor may be satisfactory for superoxide anion detection in phospholipid model membranes, foods, and drinks, it should be borne in mind that its measurement principle is based on H₂O₂ detection after superoxide dismutation, and therefore it may not be useful in biological media because the steady-state concentration ratio of H₂O₂/superoxide is around 100:1 in the mitochondrial matrix and 1000:1 in the cytosol [96].

Cytochrome c (Cyt c) is a highly water soluble small protein in cellular structure. O₂•⁻ could be measured with the aid of reduction of Cyt c according to the given reaction:

\[
\text{Cytochrome}^{3+} \text{c} + \text{O}_2^{•⁻} \rightarrow \text{Cytochrome}^{2+} \text{c} + \text{O}_2
\]

A selective and sensitive surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)-based nanosensor was performed using oxidized cytochrome c-functionalized AuNPs [99]. By observing the differences between the SERS spectra of oxidized and reduced forms of Cyt c, O₂•⁻ could be indirectly quantified by estimating cytochrome²⁺ c. The developed nanosensor could be delivered to living HeLa and human liver cells, enabling O₂•⁻ estimation in real time noninvasively in biological systems. However,
the reduction reaction of cytochrome$^{3+}$ c is nonspecific because of the natural interference by many other reducing compounds such as Vitamin C or other antioxidants [100].

In another study, a fluorescent probe was developed utilizing the conjugation of oxidized Cyt c with negatively capped CdSe/ZnS quantum dots [101]. QD-oxidized Cyt c system proved to be an effective probe to detect superoxide anion radical where the fluorescence of QDs was enhanced significantly. The partially lost fluorescence intensity of the QD-oxidized Cyt c conjugate (relative to that of negatively capped CdSe/ZnS QDs) was significantly restored in the presence of O$_2$$^{•−}$ acting as a reductant toward Cyt c, which can be used to sense superoxide radical in HeLa and human liver cells. This probe did not interfere with other biologically relevant substances and ROS, except l-glutathione (GSH) which is present in the concentration ratio of 1000-fold O$_2$$^{•−}$.

A novel fluorescent nanoprobe [102] was developed via immobilization of fluorescein-labeled hyaluronic acid, end-capped with dopamine to the surface of AuNPs. Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a natural polymer which can be used to detect hydroxyl and superoxide anion radicals. Catechol group in dopamine provides more stable immobilization of HA to AuNPs in intracellular environment. When HA was end-capped with thiol molecules unlike dopamine, background fluorescence intensity was enhanced by increasing concentration of glutathione present in intracellular medium. The working principle of the sensor is cleavage and fragmentation of the HA chains immobilized on the surface of the AuNPs by intracellular ROS, resulting in strong fluorescence-recovery signals proportional to the concentrations of O$_2$$^{•−}$ and •OH. This probe was stable and sensitive for ROS in a highly reducing environment, but may be less selective than other similar NP-probes for ROS detection [99,103]. In the presence of ROS, the probe showed strong fluorescence intensity and lower detection limits (0.3 μmol L$^{−1}$ for O$_2$$^{•−}$, 1 μmol L$^{−1}$ for •OH) among other reactive species such as H$_2$O$_2$, ONOO$^{•}$, OCl$^{•}$. In this study, ROS scavenging capacities of various antioxidants including ascorbic acid, p-coumaric acid, quercetin and α-tocopherol were evaluated by monitoring the decrement of fluorescence belonging to the nanoprobe, where α-tocopherol exhibited the highest scavenging activity due to its hydrophobic character providing permeability through cell membranes.

A novel ratiometric fluorescent sensor for superoxide anion detection was developed with suffocated polystyrene nanoparticles (PS-SO$_3$H@terbium (Tb)/guanine (G) nanoscale coordination polymers (PS-SO$_3$H@Tb/G NCPs). The fluorescence of PS-SO$_3$H was slightly weakened as a reference, and the fluorescence of Tb$^{3+}$ was largely weakened in the presence of O$_2$$^{•−}$, resulting in a selective ratiometric fluorescent sensor toward O$_2$$^{•−}$. The ratio of fluorescence intensity linearly decreased with increasing O$_2$$^{•−}$ concentration in the range of 10 nmol L$^{−1}$–6 μmol L$^{−1}$ with a detection limit of 3.4 nmol L$^{−1}$ [104].

Advantages/disadvantages and analytical performances of some nanoprobes and sensors for spectroscopic detection of O$_2$$^{•−}$ are listed in Table 4.

### 2.2.2. Electrochemical Nanosensors

Xanthine/xanthine oxidase (XOD)–generated superoxide radicals can be effectively detected by electrochemical sensors with the aid of the following reactions:

\[
\text{xanthine} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{XOD}} \text{uric acid} + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2^{•−} \\
2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{O}_2^{•−} \xrightarrow{\text{SOD}} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2
\]

These reactions describe the catalytic dismutation of superoxide radical to hydrogen peroxide and oxygen by superoxide dismutase (SOD) on an electrode surface. The first-generation O$_2$$^{•−}$ biosensors were based on the direct measurement of the electrochemical response from the enzymatic product (H$_2$O$_2$) at the electrode [105]. The second-generation O$_2$$^{•−}$ biosensors involved specific ‘redox mediators’ that were able to shuttle electrons between the electrode and the reactive sites of SOD [106]. The third-generation O$_2$$^{•−}$ biosensors exploited direct electron transfer between the redox sites of the enzyme (i.e., SOD-Cu(I,II) sites responsible for redox cycling) and the electrode without any mediator [107,108].
Table 4. Nanoprobes and sensors for detecting superoxide anion radical.

| Type of Material                       | Probe                        | Detection Type         | LOD          | Linear Range                  | Advantages/Disadvantages                                      | Ref.  |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Carbon-dots                            | Hydroethidine                | Fluorometric (\(\lambda_{ex}=488\text{ nm}\)/\(\lambda_{em}=525\text{ nm}\)) | 100 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | \(5 \times 10^{-7}\)–\(1.4 \times 10^{-4}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) | Advantage: -Selective to O\(_2^{•−}\)  
-Could not be sensitive for quantification of O\(_2^{•−}\) | [92]  |
| Ag@SiO\(_2\) core/shell nanoparticles   | 2-chloro-1,3-dibenzothiazoline cyclohexene (DBZTC) | Fluorometric            | 0.73 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | -                            | Advantage: -Sensitive detection towards other ROS (very high selectivity over hydrogen peroxide)  
-Applicable to living cells  
Disadvantage: Relatively low selectivity over NO\(^•\) and ONOO\(^•\) | [96]  |
| Silica Sol-gel glass                   | Amplex Red-\(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (in SOD/HRP enzyme system) | Fluorometric (\(\lambda_{exc}=530\text{ nm}\)/\(\lambda_{em}=590\text{ nm}\)) | 20 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | \(\leq 1.0 \times 10^{-6}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) of xanthine | Advantage: -This biosensor has ready-to-use and reusable properties  
Disadvantage: -The sensor is not expected to be of use in biological media where the concentration of hydrogen peroxide is relatively higher than that of superoxide | [97]  |
| Gold nanoparticles                     | Cytochrome c                 | Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) | 10 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | \(2.3 \times 10^{-6}\)–\(2.8 \times 10^{-6}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) | Advantage: -Suitable for qualitative/quantitative analysis of O\(_2^{•−}\) in biosystems  
-Other ROS do not interfere with the method  
Disadvantage: -SERS signals may show relatively high RSD values | [99]  |
| CdSe/ZnS quantum-dots                 | Cytochrome c                 | Fluorometric            | -            | \(0.08\)–\(1.49\) \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\) | Advantage: -High selectivity and specificity in living cells  
-Low cytotoxicity  
Disadvantage: -Cytochrome c may be reduced by a variety of biological electron carriers other than superoxide | [101] |
| Suffocated polystyrene nanoparticles/terbium-guanine nanoscale coordination polymers (PS-SO\(_3\)H@Tb/G NCPs) | Terbium(III) | Fluorometric            | 3.4 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | \(10.12 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}\)–\(6.0 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}\) | Advantage: -High selectivity | [104] |
Thiol (e.g., cysteine) self-assembled on gold (or AuNPs-modified) electrodes may be used as electron transfer promoters in this regard. For example, a third-generation biosensor for O$_2^{•-}$ was fabricated by immobilizing SOD on such an electrode (i.e., SOD/cysteine/Au electrode) to record the enhanced anodic and cathodic current responses resulting from the oxidation and reduction of O$_2^{•-}$, respectively, where the enhanced response was mediated by the SOD confined on the electrode [107]. The working potentials of the polarized electrode were suitable to eliminate interferences arising from physiological levels of H$_2$O$_2$, ascorbic and uric acids, and neurotransmitter metabolites.

Braik et al. [109] developed a novel electrochemical biosensor for the direct determination of O$_2^{•-}$ using SOD enzyme. This biosensor consisted of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) together with the conducting polymer poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) in different configurations (PEDOT/CNT/GCE and CNT/PEDOT/GCE) (Figure 5).

![Figure 5. Schematic presentation of SOD biosensors based on PEDOT and CNT.](image)

The biosensor with carbon nanotubes (CNT) on top of PEDOT layer gave optimal analytical performance and was applied to the determination of the TAC value of beverages. The determination of superoxide at SOD/CNT/PEDOT/GCE was performed using fixed potential amperometry in neutral PBS buffer (LOD = 1 µmol·L$^{-1}$). Superoxide radical anion detection is based on the enzymatic redox mechanism of SOD which can catalyze the dismutation of O$_2^{•-}$ to O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ via a redox cycle of the (Cu$^{+}$/Cu$^{2+}$) redox couple in Cu–Zn SOD.

The reactions involved in this catalytic redox cycle are as follows:

$$\text{SOD (Cu}^+\text{)} + \text{O}_2^{•-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{SOD (Cu}^{2+}\text{)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$$

$$\text{SOD (Cu}^{2+}\text{)} + \text{O}_2^{•-} \rightarrow \text{SOD (Cu}^+\text{)} + \text{O}_2$$

The working principle of the biosensor is based on the amperometric signal linked to the first equation, generating the current that becomes more cathodic upon addition of O$_2^{•-}$, proportional to the change in concentration of superoxide radical in solution.

Chen and coworkers prepared a hemin-modified pyrolytic graphite electrode (hemin-PGE) and successfully applied it to the electrochemical determination of O$_2^{•-}$ [110]. This electrode was used to detect O$_2^{•-}$ produced by xanthine oxidase-catalyzed hypoxanthine oxidation. Since the dismutation of superoxide generates H$_2$O$_2$, its possible interference was eliminated by catalase. The antioxidant activity of uric acid (i.e., emerging as the hypoxanthine oxidation product) was also detected using the hemin-modified electrode, because uric acid was not oxidized directly on the electrode at the potential studied.

The concerned electrode reactions were as follows:

$$\text{Hemin (Fe}^{3+}\text{)} + \text{O}_2^{•-} \rightarrow \text{Heme (Fe}^{2+}\text{)} + \text{O}_2$$

$$\text{Heme (Fe}^{2+}\text{)} \rightarrow \text{Hemin (Fe}^{3+}\text{)} + \text{e}^-$$

The amperometric response of the electrode corresponded to the hemin-catalyzed oxidation of superoxide. The measurement of SOD activity or any other antioxidant activity was based on the detection of enhanced decomposition of superoxide.

A cytochrome c-based electrochemical sensor was developed to indirectly determine antioxidant capacity by the measurement of superoxide concentration [111]. The mechanism of this method
is the reduction of Cyt c immobilized on the surface of a multilayer electrode (i.e., consisting of alternating layers of Cyt c and poly(aniline-sulfonic acid) on a gold wire electrode) by O$_2$•$^-$ in solution. The electrochemical activity of Cyt c was claimed to increase with the number of layers on the electrode. Superoxide radical measurement involved the reaction between Cyt c and superoxide followed by further oxidation at the electrode surface. The oxidation current showed the changes in proportion to the O$_2$•$^-$ concentration in solution. The measurement of change of the superoxide concentration due to the addition of an antioxidant is shown in Figure 6.

![Diagram of Cyt c sensor](image)

**Figure 6.** Cyt c sensor in determining superoxide scavenging activity of antioxidant (Cyt c: Cytrome c; AOX: Antioxidant; HX: Hypoxanthine; XOD: Xanthine oxidase).

Electrochemical biosensors applied to O$_2$•$^-$ detection are summarized in
Table 5. Biosensors for electrochemical detection of superoxide anion radical.

| Biocomponent | Immobilization | Working Electrode a (Technique) | Radical Source | Linear Range | LOD | RSD (%) | Response Time | Stability | Advantages/Disadvantages | Ref. |
|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----|---------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|
| SOD          | Chemical cross-linking | Pt (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 20–2000 µmol L⁻¹ | 10 µmol L⁻¹ | - | 60 s | 30 days | Advantage: -Sensitive, reusable, stable; -First time immobilization of SOD on gelatin | [112] |
| SOD          | Physical adsorption | H₂O₂ (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 20–2000 µmol L⁻¹ | 10 µmol L⁻¹ | ≤5 | ≤100 s | ≥7 days | Advantage: -Short response time, long life and robust; -Easily miniaturized and perform in situ analyses Disadvantage: -Possible long-term degradation of functional biocomponents | [105] |
| SOD          | Electropolymerization | GCE (Amp.) | KO₂ | 20–3000 µmol L⁻¹ | 1 µmol L⁻¹ | 1.8 (n = 3) | - | 2 months | Advantage: -Fast, selective response to O₂•⁻, high sensitivity, low detection limit | [109] |
| Hemin       | Physical Adsorption | PGE (Amp.) | HX/XOD | - | - | 6.4 (n = 12) | 10–20 s | <5 h | Advantage: -Easily prepared and regenerated. High surface concn. of active species, but high current density | [110] |
| SOD, Cys    | Self-assembly monolayer | ITO (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 0.5–2.5 µmol L⁻¹ | 0.3 µmol L⁻¹ | <5 | 4 s | 5 days | Advantage: -Low detection limit, shorter response time, good stability, reproducibility | [108] |
| SOD, Cys    | Self-assembly | CFME (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 13–105 n mol L⁻¹ | - | - | < 5 s | 7 days | Advantage: -Good stability, high selectivity, sensitivity for in vivo monitoring of O₂•⁻ in biological systems | [113] |
| SOD          | Sol-gel encapsulation | Au (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 0.05–0.4 µmol L⁻¹ | - | 4.8 (n = 7) | - | 60 days | Advantage: -Easily manufactured, has high sensitivity and long-term stability | [114] |
| SOD          | Sol-gel encapsulation | Au (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 0.2–1.6 µmol L⁻¹ | 0.1 µmol L⁻¹ | 3.2 (n = 6) | - | 1 month | Advantage: -Easily manufactured; low reduction potential minimizes interferences; high sensitivity and long-term stability | [115] |
| Zn-SOD      | Electrodeposition | ITO (Amp.) | KO₂ | 0.12–250 µmol L⁻¹ | 0.1 µmol L⁻¹ | - | 4 s | 7 days | Advantage: -Biocompatible and easily prepared | [116] |
Table 5. Cont.

| Biocomponent | Immobilization | Working Electrode * (Technique) | Radical Source | Linear Range | LOD | RSD (%) | Response Time | Stability | Advantages/Disadvantages | Ref. |
|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----|---------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|
| Non-enzymatic | Pt nanoparticles covalently bonded to thiolated MWCNTs | GCE (Amp.) | KO₂ | 0.1–3000 µmol L⁻¹ | 0.1 µmol L⁻¹ | 3.2 (n = 7) | 3 s | 1 month | Advantage: Sensitivity (low LOD) and selective determination of O₂•⁻ without enzyme; wide linear range, good storage stability | [117] |
| SOD | Ultrasonic electrodeposition | GCE (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 5.6–2700 nmol L⁻¹ | 1.7 nmol L⁻¹ | 4.4 (n = 10) | <5 s | 44 days | Advantage: Wide linear range, fast amperometric response; low LOD (nM), high selectivity for O₂•⁻ detection | [118] |
| Cyt c | Self-assembly monolayer | Polycrystalline gold (Amp.) | HX/XOD | 0.4–1.2 nmol L⁻¹ | 500–600 nmol L⁻¹ | - | 20 s | - | Advantage: Less selective than SOD-based sensor and heme protein is not specific for O₂•⁻ | [119] |
| Cyt c | Self-assembly monolayer | Au (Amp.) | HX/XOD | 0.4–1.5 µmol L⁻¹ | - | - | 5–8 s | - | Advantage: Sensitive, fast and stable for O₂•⁻ detection | [111] |
| Cyt c | Electrodeposition | NPG films covered SPE (Amp.) | XA/XOD | 5–61 nmol L⁻¹ | 3.7 nmol L⁻¹ | - | - | - | Advantage: High sensitivity in measuring biologically released O₂•⁻; Disadvantage: Electrode is not sensitive to tiny amounts of O₂•⁻ released extracellularly | [120] |
| Non-enzymatic | Physical adsorption (SDS-MWCNTs); Electrodeposition (AgNPs) | GCE (Amp.) | KO₂ | 0.669–268 µmol L⁻¹ | 0.0897 nmol L⁻¹ | 2.61 (n = 5) | 60 s | - | Advantage: Sensitive and selective determination of O₂•⁻ without enzyme; wide linear range, low detection limit; reproducible and stable; Can detect O₂•⁻ released from living cells. Antioxidants were tested | [121] |

* Working electrode or transducer; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; XOD: Xanthine oxidase; XA: Xanthine; HX: Hypoxanthine; Cyt c: Cytochrome c; Cys: Cysteine; NPG: Nanoporous gold; SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate; MWCNTs: Multiwalled carbon nanotube composite; AgNPs: Silver nanoparticles; PGE: Pyrolytic graphite electrode; ITO: Indium-doped tin oxide; ME: Micro electrode; GCE: Glassy carbon electrode; CFME: Carbon fiber microelectrodes; SPE: Screen-printed electrode; RSD: Relative standard deviation.
2.3. Peroxyl Radical

Peroxyl radicals (ROO•) are mostly formed by a direct reaction of oxygen with alkyl radicals (R•) [122]. Autoxidations of a majority of biologically important compounds including lipids proceed by free-radical chain processes involving peroxyl radicals. ROO• is soluble in membranes and is known to be the main generator of lipid peroxidation which causes diseases such as cancer and liver injury [123]. Nanoprobès or sensors developed for screening peroxyl radical scavenging ability of antioxidant compounds are very limited in literature. The possible reason for this scarcity may be the fact that most conventional peroxyl radical scavenging assays are based on the fluorescence decay of a suitable probe molecule (like fluorescein, the probe molecule of the “oxygen radical absorbance capacity” or ORAC assay of antioxidant activity measurement) upon ROO• attack [124], and noble metal nanoparticles (like AuNPs) are known to quench fluorescence themselves [125]. A rare example in this regard (but not limited to ROO• detection) was the portable ceria nano-particle based sensor (NanoCerac) assay for rapid and sensitive detection of food antioxidants [48]. The colorimetric determination of the scavenging activity of surface-adsorbed peroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals was accomplished by quantifying the ability of antioxidants to inactivate these radicals from the ceria NPs surface. This indirect method could not quantify inhibition at low concentrations. It was generally not applicable to all antioxidants and was poorly reproducible. Identification of peroxyl radicals (ROO•) generated by the adsorption of O2 onto unmodified or polyethylene glycol (PEG)-functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) in a cell-free system was performed [126]. The SWCNT-mediated oxidation of 2’,7’-dichlorodihydrofluorescein (H2DCF) to 2’,7’-dichloro-fluorescein (DCF) was accurately quantified by capillary electrophoresis (CE). The high separation power of CE eliminated the fluorescence quenching exerted by SWCNTs—which poses a main problem to microplate readers—and enabled the screening of quite different ROS scavengers, including small molecules, surfactants and proteins.

In the presence of certain ROS scavengers, inhibition of H2DCF was monitored, where oxidation of H2DCF was significantly weakened by α-tocopherol, ascorbic acid and trolox. DMSO (hydroxyl radical suppressor) and sodium azide (singlet oxygen scavenger) did not show any effect on DCF production. This method provides enhanced detection specificity by CE system.

2.4. Hydroxyl Radical

2.4.1. Spectroscopic Sensors and Nanoprobes

Among ROS, hydroxyl radical (•OH) is known as the most reactive short-lived species with the highest redox potential, which can oxidize many different biological molecules including amino acids, sugars, lipids, proteins, and DNA. Two basic strategies for •OH detection involve the measurement of (i) probe conversion under radical attack, and (ii) generation of long-lived radical species with a scavenger followed by reaction with the probe [127]. Adding to the advantages of fluorescence probes in specificity and sensitivity, ratiometric nanoprobes are used to resolve certain shortcomings and limitations of available probes for monitoring and detection of •OH [128,129].

As the stability of fluorescent probes is sensitive to instrumental artifacts and environmental factors (e.g., temperature and pH), ratiometric detection can be utilized to reduce such limitations [130]. This detection uses the ratio of two signals: the first signal is given by a reporting dye that responds to reactive species while the second signal is given by a reference dye corrected for instrumental and environmental artifacts. The reporting dye can be encapsulated in polymeric nanocomposites. The proportion of these two fluorescence intensities (i.e., of analyte and reference signals) is independent of the probe concentration, permitting a more reliable and quantitative determination [131]. The selectivity of •OH sensors and nanoprobes can be regulated by redox potentials; the exceptionally high potential of •OH is compatible with selective spectroscopic probes which can only be converted at high potentials (e.g., of hydroxyl radicals) such that other ROS remain unsuccessful in oxidative probe conversion. A good example of sensor selectivity toward hydroxyl
radicals was given by Bekdeşer et al. who used a terephthalate probe and a cupric-neocuproine sensor (on Nafton) for the detection of hydroxyl radicals and its scavenging by amino acids, thiol and plasma antioxidants [132], because terephthalate had no significant reactivity against other ROS such as superoxide anion radical, singlet oxygen and H₂O₂. In addition, the hydroxylation of terephthalate gave rise to a single hydroxylated product (i.e., 2-hydroxyterephthalate) instead of a mixture of isomers, due to the symmetrical structure of the probe. Immobilized Cu(II)-neocuproine complex on a Nafton membrane [5] was reduced to Cu(I)-Nc chelate as a result of reaction with the hydroxylated probe. Scavengers competed with terephthalate for •OH and attenuated probe hydroxylation giving rise to a drop in sensor absorbance, indicative of •OH scavenging activity of antioxidants. The analytical performances of •OH nanoprobes and sensors are comparatively listed in Table 6.

King and Kopelman developed a ratiometric nanoprobe for detecting •OH, using coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (C3C) as a reporter dye capable of direct reaction with •OH to generate the highly fluorescent product 7-hydroxy-C3C. For ratiometric measurements, Texas Red-dextran (reference dye) was encapsulated within amine-functionalized polyacrylamide nanoparticles, where the reporter dye C3C was covalently attached to amine groups on nanoprobe [133]. In a similar study performed by Ganea et al., ratiometric COumarin-NEutral Red (CONER) nanoprobe was developed to detect •OH in vitro using viable breast cancer cells [130]. Neutral red (reference dye) was encapsulated within biocompatible poly (lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanoparticles. Hydroxyl radical concentration was calculated as a function of the fluorescence intensity ratio (I₄₅₀/I₅₂₈) at the emission wavelengths of 7-OH-C3C (450 nm) and neutral red (528 nm) when excited at λₑₓ = 410 nm. Since •OH could only react with C3C existing on the nanoparticle surface, the formation of 7-OH-C3C would be hindered when C3C was encapsulated in the polymer matrix. The CONER nanoprobe was more selective to •OH over other ROS including H₂O₂, O₂, O₂− and OCl⁻.
| Type of Material | Probe | Detection Type | LOD | Advantages/Disadvantages | Ref. |
|------------------|-------|----------------|-----|--------------------------|------|
| Poly lactide-co-glycolide nanoparticles | Coumarin-3-carboxylic acid Neutral red (reference dye) | Fluorometric | - | Advantage:  - Compared with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, $\text{HOCl}$, $\text{O}_2$, probe is more selective for $\cdot\text{OH}$ | [130] |
| Amine-functionalized polyacrylamide nanoparticles | Coumarin-3-carboxylic acid Texas Red-Dextran (reference dye) | Fluorometric | - | - | [133] |
| Upconversion nanoparticle | Modified orange G | Fluorometric | 1.2 fmol·L$^{-1}$ | Advantage:  - Low LOD  - High selectivity and stability  - Low cytotoxicity  - Fine cellular uptake | [103] |
| Gold nanoparticle protected by BSA | 2-[6-(4'-hydroxy)phenoxy-3H-xanthen-3-on-9-yl] benzoic acid | Fluorometric | 0.68 µmol·L$^{-1}$ | Advantage:  - Relatively low selectivity over ONOO$^-$ and HOCI | [134] |
| Thiol-capped CdTe and CdTe/ZnS quantum dots | GSH-CdTe@ZnS MPA-CdTe@ZnS TGA-CdTe MPA-CdTe | Fluorometric | $8.5 \times 10^8$ mol·L$^{-1}$ (for GSH-CdTe@ZnS) | Advantage:  - Reasonable selectivity over $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and ONOO$^-$ | [135] |
| XAD-7 methacrylate | Nitrophenol | Spectrophotometric | Linear range: $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$–$8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ mol·L$^{-1}$ | Advantage:  - Multiple end product formation between nitrophenol and *OH | [136] |
| Nafion membrane | Terephthalate | Spectrophotometric | - | Advantage:  - Sensitive and specific biomarker due to single product formation | [132] |
In another study, a ratiometric fluorescence biosensor utilizing gold nanoclusters (AuNC) was developed for monitoring hydroxyl radicals in live cells by Zhuang et al. [134]. AuNC protected by bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as a reference fluorophore and the organic molecule 2-[6-(4’-hydroxy)phenoxy-3H-xanthen-3-on-9-yl]benzoic acid (HPF) was the specific recognition element for *OH. Although HPF was nearly nonfluorescent, the fluorescence of HPF product after reaction with *OH (i.e., dianionic fluorescein) gradually increased with the addition of *OH. The developed fluorescent sensor showed a high selectivity for *OH over other ROS and RNS (such as O$_2^•$−, 1O$_2$, OCl$^−$, alkylperoxy radical, peroxynitrite, H$_2$O$_2$ and *NO), metal ions, and other small biological molecules (such as amino acids and glucose), as well as high accuracy and sensitivity with a low LOD around 0.68 μmol·L$^{-1}$. On the other hand, Gomes et al. [128] reported that the fluorescence intensity of HPF increased in the presence of peroxynitrite anion, and that HPF was classified as an advisable probe for determining *OH, peroxynitrite anion and HOCl [137].

Li et al. developed a sandwich-structured upconversion nanoparticles (SWUCNPs) sensor for in vivo determination and monitoring of *OH concentration [133]. These nanoparticles (doped with rare earth metal ions) had a successful performance in biosensing and bioimaging applications due to their deeper tissue diffusion and excitation within near-infrared range where biomolecules showed negligible auto-fluorescence. The mechanism of the nanoprobe was associated with NIR-light excited luminescence resonance energy transfer-based sensing. The nanoprobe was composed of two functionalities: upconversion NPs with sandwich structure and bared surface as the energy donor, and mOG, a modified Orange G azo dye with tunable light absorption, serving as both the energy acceptor and the *OH recognizing ligand. The energy transfer from SWUCNPs to mOG was inhibited because of the oxidation and degradation of azo dye in the presence of *OH. The sandwich structure of the nanoprobe significantly enhanced the extent of luminescence quenching, and consequently, extremely low levels of hydroxyl radical (LOD ≈ 1.2 fmol·L$^{-1}$) could be detected. The SWUCNPs were also claimed to exhibit high selectivity and stability, low cytotoxicity and favorable cellular uptake.

Surface modification of quantum dots could provide an improvement to the sensitive and selective detection of ROS, especially *OH. Adegoke and Nyokong synthesized different thiol-capped (thioglycolic acid, 3-mercaptopropionic acid, glutathione) luminescent CdTe and CdTe/ZnS QDs for *OH detection. A decrease in the fluorescence of QDs was due to electron transfer from QDs to *OH having a high electron affinity, and CdTe@ZnS had a better performance than CdTe QDs in this regard, because ZnS increased the non-radiative recombination pathway providing an increment in quenching efficiency. GSH-CdTe@ZnS was found to be the most sensitive QDs with LOD = 85 nmol·L$^{-1}$. The CdTe@ZnS QDs had limited selectivity of fluorescence quenching toward hydroxyl radicals over H$_2$O$_2$ and ONOO$^−$ [135].

2.4.2. Electrochemical Nanosensors

Wang and coworkers developed a simple and sensitive electrochemical method for the determination of antioxidant capacity via nanoparticles [138]. In this study, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (4-HBA) was used as a trapping agent for photogenerated (i.e., produced by photocatalytic oxidation of water with TiO$_2$-NPs) *OH radicals, leading to 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (3,4-DHBA) subsequently measured by square wave voltammetry (SWV). After addition of antioxidant compounds, the 4-HBA probe competed with antioxidants for *OH radicals, and consequently, the peak current due to 3,4-DHBA was decreased, depending on the *OH scavenging ability of antioxidants. The IC$_{50}$ values (as 50% scavenging concentrations for hydroxyl radicals) of different antioxidant compounds were calculated for trolox, lipoic acid, caffeic acid, glutathione, ascorbic acid, purified mint flavonoids, crude mint flavonoids and dandelion phenolics as; 22.15 μmol·L$^{-1}$, 1.75 μmol·L$^{-1}$, 2.72 μmol·L$^{-1}$, 13.52 μmol·L$^{-1}$, 60.55 μmol·L$^{-1}$, 1.0 mg L$^{-1}$, 1.1 mg L$^{-1}$ and 1.3 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively. The developed electrochemical method provided a good alternative for antioxidant assessment due to its fast response, accuracy and inexpensive apparatus.
The production of \( ^{•}\text{OH} \) at a palladium oxide nanoparticles-modified ITO electrode was investigated during concomitant reduction of palladium oxide and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). The catalytic current was shown to stem from the reoxidation of freshly exposed palladium metal by hydroxyl radicals. In the presence of \( ^{•}\text{OH} \) scavenging antioxidants, the catalytic reduction current decreased. As a result, the hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of antioxidants were sorted as: lipoic acid > glutathione > gallic acid > Vitamin E > Vitamin C > uric acid > Trolox, and the detection limits for these antioxidants were 0.5, 0.5, 10, 25, 25, 25, 25 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \), respectively. Since palladium oxide reduction occurred at negative potentials where antioxidants are usually not oxidized, this electrochemical method proved to be more selective toward antioxidants than other similar methods exploiting electrochemical oxidation of antioxidants at anodic potentials.

2.5. Reactive Nitrogen Species

Reactive nitrogen species (RNS) take part in cell signalling under various physiological conditions and also provide host defense against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Unfortunately, very few efforts have been spent to detect RNS by selective nanoprobes. One non-specific application makes use of reduced glutathione (GSH) on the surface of AuNPs that can readily be desorbed via formation of glutathione disulfide (GSSG) upon ROS/RNS attack, and destabilized particles can aggregate to generate the plasmonic couplings between AuNPs that trigger a bathochromic shift in the visible spectrum and solution color change to blue. The absorbance difference in the absence and presence of NO\(^{•} \) (i.e., \( \Delta A_{325\text{nm}} \)) showed a linear dependence \( (R^2 = 0.9873) \) on ROS concentration ranging between 1.29 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \)–1.29 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \), with a LOD of 129 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \) (for NO\(^{•} \)). For the reaction kinetics of the nanoprobe with ROS/RNS, the change in absorbance with time was examined for fixed reaction conditions. For the non-radical oxidant, hydrogen peroxide, the proposed method could be more efficiently applied by converting \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) into measurable ROS (basically \( ^{•}\text{OH} \)) via Fenton reaction. In the test system, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \cdot ^{•}\text{OH} \), and ClO\(^{-} \) proved to be stronger and faster oxidants than NO\(^{•} \) and O\(_2\)\(^{•} \). Using this system, the authors claimed to better distinguish cancerous and normal cells, based on their differential ROS and RNS production. Pu et al. developed a semiconductor NP-based NIR probe for RNS, relying on the resistance of the reporter cyanine dye to degradation by RNS, but although the fluorescent nanoprobe was capable of real-time fingerprinting of RNS in the tissues of living mice, it was not specific for RNS. The cyanine dye derivative was attached to the semiconductor nanoparticle surface by a carbodiimide-activated coupling reaction. Thus, the surface of the semiconducting core, which did not react with ROS/RNS under normal conditions, was coated with fluorophore molecules (with a primary emission peak at 678 nm), and in the presence of ROS/RNS species in the medium, the fluorophore groups were disrupted to increase the emission intensity (due to the abolishment of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) within the nanoprobe). As a result, with the exception of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), an increase was found in the signals for ONOO\(^{−} \), ClO\(^{−} \), \( ^{•}\text{OH} \), O\(_2\) and NO\(^{•} \), and quite linear results \( (R^2 > 0.99) \) were obtained up to 0.5 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \) concentrations, with a LOD as low as 10 \( \text{nmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \). From the emission signals, it was observed that the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine effectively scavenged both ClO\(^{−} \) and ONOO\(^{−} \). Chen et al. [143] developed a colorimetric probe of gold nanoparticles for the detection of peroxynitrite and its scavengers, based on the stabilizing effect of ssDNA on AuNPs. Citrate-stabilized AuNPs were further stabilized by adsorption of ssDNA, exhibiting the normal LSPR band in red colored solution. As peroxynitrite (ONOO\(^{−} \)) attack on AuNPs broke up ssDNA, the color of the solution turned to blue, because the formation of smaller DNA fragments eliminated the stabilizing effect, causing aggregation of NPs at high ionic strength. The absorbance signals were linear with respect to peroxynitrite concentration \( (R^2 = 0.986) \) between 9.8 and 20.8 mmol.L\(^{-1} \). Antioxidants showed a restoration effect by scavenging peroxynitrite in the order of ascorbic acid > gallic acid > caffeic acid, and turning the solution color to red. The ONOO\(^{−} \) scavenging ability of ascorbic acid showed a linear concentration dependence between 3.2 and 12.8 \( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \), with a determination coefficient of \( (R^2 = 0.986) \).
Electrochemical nanosensors were claimed to provide better selectivity for RNS over other reactive species. For example, Quinton et al. fabricated a gold ultramicroelectrode network (Au-UME) for the separate determination of •NO and ONOO⁻, where they electrodeposited thin bilayers of poly(eugenol) and poly(phenol) on UME network for electrochemical detection of •NO at a potential of 0.8 V (less interfered by other similar substances) versus Ag/AgCl in PBS, while they used uncoated Au-electrode to detect ONOO⁻ at −0.1 V versus Ag/AgCl in the same buffer [144]. It was known from literature that bilayer coatings of poly(eugenol) and poly(phenol) is selective for •NO [145]. The modified electrodes (i.e., Pt ultramicroelectrodes modified with electropolymerized phenol, eugenol, o-phenylenediamine and aniline thin layers) gave a linear calibration (R² = 0.997) with a LOD value of 27 nmol·L⁻¹ at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. Nitrite, hydrogen peroxide, ascorbic acid and dopamine did not interfere, while fibronectin caused 20% decrease in sensitivity. Cruz et al. described an electrochemical DNA sensor for measuring the antioxidant activity against nitric oxide (•NO) radicals. The sensor consisted of dA₂₀ (ssDNA composed of 20 adenine moieties) adsorbed onto carbon paste electrode (CPE). When this dA₂₀-CPE was damaged upon •NO attack, the peak current at +1.20 V versus Ag/AgCl (due to undamaged DNA-based material) decreased, whereas antioxidants (i.e., ascorbic acid and phenolic-cinnamic acids) restored the signal by nitric oxide scavenging [46]. For increasing concentrations of •NO ranging between 1.8 and 180 µmol·L⁻¹, DNA damage increased from 21 to 79%. The protective effect of ascorbic acid within the concentration range 1–20 mg L⁻¹ gave a linear calibration curve (R² = 0.988) with a LOD of 0.23 mg L⁻¹, and reproducibility (RSD) of 4.9% at 10 mg L⁻¹ concentration.

3. Conclusions and Future Trends

In this review, innovative spectroscopic and electrochemical sensors and nanoprobes for the characterization of food antioxidants have been described, with special emphasis on home-made colorimetric sensors of antioxidant capacity/activity assessment. Colorimetric CUPRAC, ferric-phenanthroline and DMPD sensors that we developed effectively measure antioxidant and oxidant species on a cation-exchanger membrane, respectively, as simple as a pH paper showing solution acidity. Our colorimetric silver nanoparticle probe estimates antioxidant capacity with an electron-transfer mechanism, while the DTNB-functionalized gold nanoparticles exchange thiols enabling their colorimetric sensing. This review also summarizes the measurement of antioxidant activity of ROS/RNS scavengers with the aid of spectroscopic and electrochemical nanoprobes and sensors, however in most sensing applications designed for reactive species, the role of antioxidants acting as their scavengers has largely been neglected. Some of the future challenges regarding the design of new sensors and nanoprobes for food antioxidants should address specificity, sensitivity, stability and surface inactivation, response time and extended use, repeatability/reproducibility, and lack of linkage between science, technology and commercialization. More and more engineered nanoparticles are expected to be developed for specific purposes, and future trends may involve increased integration of antioxidant sensors to human health issues and development of point-of-care devices.
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