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Abstract

Botulinum neurotoxins (BoNTs) are extremely potent toxins that are capable of causing death or respiratory failure leading to long-term intensive care. Treatment includes serotype-specific antitoxins, which must be administered early in the course of the intoxication. Rapidly determining human exposure to BoNT is an important public health goal. In previous work, our laboratory focused on developing Endopep-MS, a mass spectrometry-based endopeptidase method for detecting and differentiating BoNT/A–G serotypes in buffer and BoNT/A, /B, /E, and /F in clinical samples. We have previously reported the effectiveness of antibody-capture to purify and concentrate BoNTs from complex matrices, such as clinical samples. Because some antibodies inhibit or neutralize the activity of BoNT, the choice of antibody with which to extract the toxin is critical. In this study, we evaluated a panel of 16 anti-BoNT/A monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) for their ability to inhibit the in vitro activity of BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 complex as well as the recombinant LC of A1. We also evaluated the same antibody panel for the ability to extract BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3. Among the mAbs, there were significant differences in extraction efficiency, ability to extract BoNT/A subtypes, and inhibitory effect on BoNT catalytic activity. The mAbs binding the C-terminal portion of the BoNT/A heavy chain had optimal properties for use in the Endopep-MS assay.

Introduction

Botulinum neurotoxins (BoNTs) are protein toxins produced by some species of the genus Clostridium, in particular, Clostridium botulinum, C. butyricum, C. baratii, and C. argentinense. Intoxication with one of the seven distinct serotypes of BoNT [A–G] causes botulism, a disease that is contracted by ingestion of food containing the toxin [1,2], colonization of the bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of infants or immunocompromised individuals, inhalation of the toxin, or contact of the bacterium with a wound [1]. Due to its high toxicity, availability, and ease of preparation, it is considered a likely agent for bioterrorism [3]. Treatment of botulism involves administering therapeutic immunoglobulin product and is most effective when administered within 24 hr of exposure [1]. However, the currently licensed antitoxins are serotype-specific, effective when administered within 24 hr of exposure [1]. BoNT/A, /C, and /E cleave SNAP (synaptosomal-associated protein)-25 while BoNT/B, /D, /F, /G and the closely related tetanus toxin all cleave synaptobrevin 2 (also called VAMP 2). Of the serotypes, only one, BoNT/C, cleaves more than one specific protein. In addition to cleaving SNAP-25, BoNT/C also cleaves syntaxin [2]. BoNTs are released into the environment by clostridial species in a protein complex consisting of the pure neurotoxin and a number of neurotoxin-inactivated proteins (BoNT complex).

Previously, our laboratory reported on the development of an assay for BoNT detection and serotype differentiation termed the Endopep-MS method [7–12]. This method detects all 7 BoNT serotypes and involves incubating BoNT with a peptide substrate that mimics BoNT’s natural, in vivo target. Each BoNT cleaves its peptide substrate in a specific location, and that location is
different for each of the BoNT serotypes [2, 7, 9, 12]. The reaction mixture is then introduced into a mass spectrometer, which detects and accurately reports the mass of any peptides within the mixture. Detecting the peptide cleavage products corresponding to their specific toxin-dependent location indicates the presence of a particular BoNT serotype, A–G. If the peptide substrate either remains intact, or is cleaved in a location other than the toxin-specific site, then that BoNT serotype is not present at detectable levels. Historically, mouse assays have been the most commonly used method to detect BoNT [13], but as previous publications [7–9, 12] have demonstrated, the Endopep-MS method can detect BoNT at levels comparable with or lower than levels detected with mouse bioassays.

As previously reported, Endopep-MS is effective in identifying BoNT/A, /B, /E, and /F in clinical samples. It uses an antibody affinity concentration/purification step prior to reaction with the substrate [9–12]. Polyclonal antibodies to BoNT/A, /B, /E, and /F are available commercially and were found to be successful for concentrating and purifying BoNT from a complex matrix. However, because polyclonal antibodies consist of a heterogeneous mixture of antibodies, they may recognize various portions of the BoNT antigen molecule, each with different affinities. By contrast, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) recognize specific protein epitopes, that they recognize a single antigenic site, and always with the same affinity. Monoclonal antibodies have recently been produced to BoNT/A [14–22] and we explored the use of these high-affinity mAbs to improve the sample preparation portion of the assay.

We also reported that binding polyclonal anti-BoNT/A could interfere with the activity of the LC of BoNT/A as measured by Endopep-MS [9] specifically, because Endopep-MS detects the presence of BoNT by measuring the activity of the light chain. Unfortunately, this can raise the BoNT-detection limit, depending on where the antibodies bind to the toxin. We proposed, therefore, the possibility that the assay might be improved by using selected mAbs that do not bind the LC and thus, do not inhibit the catalytic activity.

Another feature of BoNT/A is that it exhibits genetic and amino acid variance within the toxin type, or serotype. As currently defined, BoNT/A consists of /A1, /A2, /A3, and /A4 subtypes [23]. This variability among the BoNT/A subtypes consists of 15% or less amino acid variance [23] and this variance has been reported to affect binding of the toxin to anti-BoNT/A mAbs [24]. For these reasons, it is important to be able to detect all toxin subtypes because an outbreak of botulism may be attributed to more than just the familiar BoNT/A1 subtype.

Our laboratory has already determined that the Endopep-MS assay can be used to detect all currently known subtypes of BoNT/A [12]. However, the sensitivity of the detection varies with subtype. Our goal in this work is to evaluate a panel of mAbs for their inhibitory and extraction abilities, thus optimizing assay sensitivity with all BoNT/A subtypes. Unfortunately, BoNT/A4 only exists in conjunction with BoNT/bivalent B, and the /A4 component is considerably smaller than the B component. The low concentration of BoNT/A4 makes it difficult to perform multiple experiments, and therefore evaluating mAb extraction and inhibition of BoNT/A4 will not be addressed in this work. Here, we evaluate a panel of 16 monoclonal anti-BoNT/A mAbs for their ability to inhibit the in vitro activity of the complex form of BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 as well as the recombinantly produced BoNT/A1 LC. We also evaluate the same antibody panel for the ability to extract the complex form of BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3. The results indicate which mAbs have the optimal properties for use in the Endopep-MS detection of BoNT/A.

### Materials and Methods

**Materials**

Botulinum neurotoxin is very toxic and must be handled using care and appropriate safety measures. All neurotoxins were handled in a level 2 biosafety cabinet equipped with HEPA filters. BoNT/A3, strain Loch Maree, crude culture supernatants were produced from Clostridium botulinum after growth for 5 days at 35°C. After centrifugation of the culture, supernatant was removed and filtered through 0.22-µm filters. The supernatant was tiered using a mouse intraperitoneal (i.p.) endpoint assay to determine specific activity. The assay involves duplicate two-fold dilutions ranging from 20 to 0.156 mouse LD50 (mLD50), based on initial values of 5×10^4 to 1×10^5 mLD50. In addition, commercially purified BoNT/A1 (strain Hall) and BoNT/A2 (strain FR1-honey) complex toxins from Metabiologics (Madison, WI) were used for comparative testing.

As described elsewhere [25], recombinant BoNT/A1 LC was expressed and purified. Polyclonal anti-BoNT/A1 rabbit-specific IgGs were provided by Metabiologics (Madison, WI) in 150 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.4). Monoclonal antibodies 3D12, ING1, and ING2 were generated using display technologies from human volunteers immunized with pentavalent BoNT toxoid containing the BoNT/A1 subtype [17,22,26]. C25 was generated from a mouse immunized with BoNT/A1 Hc [17,21]. HuC25 is a humanized version of C25, and AR1, AR2, AR4, CR1, and CR2 are mutants of HuC25 engineered to have higher affinity for BoNT/A1 or better cross reactivity to the BoNT/A2 and /A3 subtypes [15,19]. B4 and 2A9 were generated from mice transgenic for the human immunoglobulin locus that were immunized with BoNT/A1 Hc. RAZ1 is a mutant of 3D12 engineered to bind BoNT/A with higher affinity [19]. 2G11 is a mutant of ING1 engineered to bind BoNT/A with higher affinity [26]. 4A1 and 5A20 were generated using display technologies from human volunteers immunized with pentavalent BoNT toxoid containing the BoNT/A1 subtype [26]. 4A1.1 and 5A20.4 are mutants of the human antibodies 4A1 and 5A20 engineered to bind BoNT/A with higher affinity [26]. All of the above mAbs were produced with human IgG1/kappa constant regions recombinantly from Chinese Hamster Ovary cells and purified to greater than 90% homogeneity by protein A affinity chromatography. Purified IgG were buffer exchanged into phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml, as previously described [16].

Dynabeads® Protein G were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) at 1.3 g/cm^3 in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4, containing 0.1% Tween®-20 and 0.02% sodium azide. Except where indicated, all chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Peptides were synthesized by Los Alamos National Laboratory (Los Alamos, NM) and are identical to those reported previously [7–12]. Specifically, the peptide substrate has the sequence biotin-KGSNRTRIDQGNNQ-TRXLGGK-biotin and the internal standard peptide has the sequence RAXTRXLGGK-biotin where A indicates a +7 mass increase to a naturally-occurring alanine.

**BoNT/A Inhibition Experiments**

A 2-µL solution containing 30 ng of each titered IgG was added to a 2-µL solution containing 100 mLD50 of BoNT/A1, /A2, /A3 or 230 pg recombinant LC BoNT/A1. The mixtures were incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with no agitation. Then, 16 µL of a reaction mixture (0.05 M Hepes [pH 7.3], 25 mM dithiothreitol, 20 µM ZnCl2, 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, and 50 pmol/µL of peptide substrate) was added to the prior
mixture. All samples then were incubated at 37°C for 4 h with no agitation. All assays were performed in triplicate and results were averaged.

**BoNT A Extraction Experiments**

The IgG was immobilized and crosslinked to the Dynabeads® Protein G as described in previous publications [9–12]. An aliquot of 20 μL of antibody-coated beads was mixed for 1 h with a 0.5-mL solution containing 100 mL LD50 of either BoNT/A1, /A2, or /A3. The solution was prepared by spiking 2500 mL LD50 of either BoNT/A1, /A2, or /A3 into 12.5 mL of phosphate buffered saline with 0.01% Tween (PBST) buffer. After mixing for 1 h with constant agitation at room temperature, the beads were washed twice in 1 mL each of PBST and then washed once in 100 μL of water. The beads were reconstituted in a 20-μL solution containing 0.05 M Hepes (pH 7.3), 25 mM dithiothreitol, 20 μM ZnCl2, 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, and 50 pmol/μL of peptide substrate. All samples then were incubated at 37°C for 4 h with no agitation. All assays were performed in triplicate and results were averaged.

**MS Detection**

A master mix was created consisting of 9 parts matrix solution (alpha-cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid) at 5 mg/mL in 50% acetonitrile, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and 10 mM ammonium phosphate) and 1 part internal standard peptide in water at 5 μM. To 18 μL of this master mix, 2 μL of each reaction supernatant were added. We pipeted 0.5 μL of this mixture onto each spot of a 192-spot matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) plate (Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA). Mass spectra of each spot were obtained by scanning from 1100 to 3200 m/z in MS-positive ion reflector mode on an Applied Biosystems 4800 Proteomics Analyzer (Framingham, MA). The instrument uses a nitrogen laser at 337 nm, and each spectrum is an average of 2400 laser shots.

**Results**

**Inhibition of BoNT/A Activity with Antibody Addition**

The mAbs evaluated for their ability to inhibit substrate cleavage by BoNT/A bind different non-overlapping epitopes on the BoNT/A HC, NC, LC-HN or LC with high affinity (Table 1). Polyclonal antibodies were prepared using the entire BoNT/A devoid of associated nontoxic proteins as immunogen (Table 1). Polyclonal antibodies were prepared using the entire BoNT A extraction experiment Table 1. A list of mAbs to BoNT/A with their epitopes and affinities for BoNT/A1, A2, and A3 as measured by dissociation rates (KDs).

| Antibody | BoNT/A epitope | IgG Affinity for BoNT by Kd (×10⁻¹²M⁻¹) |
|----------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
| C25      | HCN-epitope 1 | 95.0 NM NM                            |
| HuC25    | HCN-epitope 1 | 45.1 19,300 NM NM                     |
| AR1      | HCN-epitope 1 | 12.4 >20,000 NM NM                    |
| AR2      | HCN-epitope 1 | 6.8 20,100 NM NM                      |
| AR4      | HCN-epitope 1 | 1.33 >20,000 NM NM                    |
| CR1      | HCN-epitope 1 | 2.48 1700 NM NM                       |
| CR2      | HCN-epitope 1 | 10.0 290 150                          |
| CR3      | HCN-epitope 2 | 60.7 152 465                          |
| RA21     | HCN-epitope 2 | 1.48 3.69 4.65                       |
| 2A9      | HCN-epitope 2 | 76.4 236.5 65                        |
| B4       | HCN-epitope 3 | 95.9 NB NB                            |
| 4A1.1    | HN-epitope 4  | 11.34 >1000 400                      |
| ING1     | LCN-HN epitope 5 | 314.3 719.1 400               |
| 2G11     | LCN-HN epitope 5 | 25.1 40.4 18.0               |
| ING2     | LC epitope 5  | 9.57 7.42 NB                         |
| 5A20.4   | LC epitope 6  | 13.6 NB NB                            |

NM indicates not measured and NB indicates that it does not bind. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.t001

Because this experiment involves the use of equal levels of toxin in all cases, the amount of cleavage product is therefore related to the toxin's activity rather than the amount of toxin. Because the internal standard (ISTD) contains the same sequence as the C-terminal (CT) cleavage product and the same amount of ISTD is added to each sample, the ISTD can be used to measure the amount of cleavage product and hence active toxin present in a sample, compared with other samples. This inhibition experiment was performed with a panel of 16 mAbs and one polyclonal antibody preparation against BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 toxin complexes and with recombinant LC of BoNT/A1. Table 2 lists the peak area ratios of the native cleavage product over the ISTD complex and with recombinant LC of BoNT/A1. Table 2 lists the peak area ratios of the native cleavage product over the ISTD complex and with recombinant LC of BoNT/A1.

**Table 1. A list of mAbs to BoNT/A with their epitopes and affinities for BoNT/A1, A2, and A3 as measured by dissociation rates (KDs).**

The inhibition studies show that the catalytic activity of BoNT/A1 toxin is inhibited to some degree in the presence of any antibody (Table 2, Figure 1). The level of inhibition varies from slight to almost complete depending on the particular antibody used. For example, following mass spectrometric analysis, it is clear that there is a CT cleavage product at 1197.7 m/z in the reaction containing either the ING2 or CR2 antibodies (Figure 2). Both reactions contain the same amount of ISTD at m/z 1204.7, so by comparison of the size of the 1204.7 peaks with the size of the 1197.7 peaks, we can determine that the amount of CT cleavage product is much larger with the CR2 (2B) reaction than with the ING2 (2A) reaction. The sum of the results for BoNT/A1 indicate that the polyclonal antibody and mAbs ING2, 2G11, and polyclonal antibodies show between 95 and 100% inhibition, as they yield reactions with the least CT cleavage product.
ING1 and 2A9 show between 75 and 85% inhibition of BoNT/A1 compared with other antibodies. These differences can be partially explained through knowledge of the epitopes that these mAbs bind. The CR2 antibody binds an epitope on BoNT/A HC, far from the catalytic LC (Table 1) [27]. By contrast, the ING2 antibody binds an epitope on the LC (Table 1) [27] and inhibits the LC catalytic activity. Since the ING2 mAb is bound to the catalytically active portion of the toxin, the toxin is not as free to access its peptide substrate for cleavage. Note that not all of the LC binding mAbs are strongly inhibitory (e.g. 5A20.4 as evidenced in Table 2), indicating that the precise LC epitope bound determines whether there is catalytic inhibition or not.

ING1 and 2G11 are clonally related mAbs that bind identical epitopes requiring the presence of both the LC and the HN toxin domains [27]. Since these mAbs do not have detectable binding to LC [27], we hypothesize that the mAbs hinder dissociation of the LC from the heavy chain, an event which many believe enhances catalysis. Both antibodies inhibit the activity of BoNT/A1, with the higher affinity antibody showing the greater inhibition (Table 2). The mechanism by which 2A9, a BoNT/A1 H\textsubscript{C} binder, inhibits the reaction is not known. The polyclonal antibody is directed against many epitopes and it appears that some of these epitopes cause binding of the polyclonal antibody to regions of the BoNT/A1 molecule that also interfere with its ability to interact with its peptide substrate.

Figure 1 and Table 2 also depict the results of the reaction of these same antibodies with recombinant BoNT/A1 light chain alone. These data are consistent with that of BoNT/A1 complex, with a few exceptions: ING2, 2A9, and 5A20.4. All three of these antibodies have some inhibitory effect against BoNT/A1 complex, but do not appear to be very inhibitory against the light chain of BoNT/A1 alone.

The lack of LC inhibition by ING2 and 5A20.4 is difficult to explain, as both of these mAbs bind yeast displayed and recombinant BoNT/A LC [27, unpublished]. The translocation domain contains a belt-like region that wraps around the LC, holding it against the HN in a way that prevents catalytic interaction with substrate [28]. One possible explanation is that in the intact neurotoxin these mAbs at least partially inhibit catalysis by preventing LC dissociation from the holotoxin, rather than by blocking substrate binding. Alternatively, the conformation of the recombinant light chain may be different from that in the BoNT/A1 complex in the reaction mixture such that ING2 and 5A20.4 cannot bind the recombinant light chain with as high affinity as the light chain within the BoNT/A1 complex. Monoclonal antibody 2A9 shows substantial inhibition of BoNT/A1, but not /A1 LC. This mAb binds to an undetermined epitope on the H\textsubscript{C} portion of the toxin. The H\textsubscript{C} portion is not present in the recombinant light chain, so this antibody cannot bind the toxin in order to inhibit it.

The BoNT/A2 protein differs from BoNT/A1 by 10% at the amino acid level. Because it is important to understand whether these genetic differences result in extraction or inhibition differences with various antibodies, the above panel of antibodies

### Table 2. Peak area ratios of the peptide cleavage product over the internal standard peptide obtained from the Endopep-MS reaction of BoNT/A with its peptide substrate in the presence of the antibody panel.

| Antibody | BoNT A1 | BoNT A1 Light Chain | BoNT A2 | BoNT A3 |
|----------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Polyclonal | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.82 |
| 2G11 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.98 |
| ING2 | 0.28 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 3.31 |
| ING1 | 1.12 | 0.24 | 1.79 | 2.96 |
| 2A9 | 1.25 | 0.92 | 1.18 | 1.94 |
| 5A20.4 | 1.51 | 1.22 | 1.43 | 1.99 |
| B4 | 1.98 | 0.41 | 1.09 | 2.17 |
| AR4 | 2.07 | 0.63 | 1.51 | 2.13 |
| AR2 | 2.12 | 0.66 | 1.52 | 2.28 |
| CR1 | 2.36 | 0.68 | 1.23 | 2.73 |
| 4A1.1 | 2.42 | 0.39 | 1.28 | 2.64 |
| AR1 | 2.46 | 0.53 | 1.68 | 3.02 |
| RAZ1 | 2.71 | 0.71 | 0.97 | 2.49 |
| HuC25 | 2.85 | 0.83 | 1.84 | 3.14 |
| 3D12 | 3.83 | 0.78 | 1.78 | 3.36 |
| C25 | 4.45 | 0.78 | 2.54 | 3.51 |
| CR2 | 5.67 | 0.74 | 1.64 | 3.92 |
| none | 6.19 | 1.25 | 2.75 | 4.48 |

Four different forms of BoNT A were used, and they include /A1, A2, A3, and A1 light chain. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.t002

Figure 1. A graph indicating the % of inhibition in activity of BoNT/A1 or /A1 light chain following neutralization with the antibody panel. The sample with no antibodies had no inhibition of activity, so the % of inhibition in activity is calculated by dividing the peak area ratio of the peptide cleavage product over the internal standard peptide of the individual antibody by the peak area ratio of the sample with no antibodies. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.g001
was also examined for inhibition of BoNT/A2. The data in Table 2 show that, despite genetic differences, most of the results for inhibition of BoNT/A2 are similar to that of BoNT/A1 complex, with the exception of the ING1 and CR2 antibodies. ING1 has a greater inhibitory effect against BoNT/A1 than it does against BoNT/A2. This may result from the 2.3-fold lower affinity for BoNT/A2 with the exception of the ING1, ING2, and 2G11 antibodies. ING1 has a much better affinity for both BoNT/A1 and /A2 (Table 1) and shows a high level of inhibition against both toxins. CR2, which was also engineered for better cross-reactivity, shows greater inhibition against BoNT/A2 than BoNT/A1. This contrasts with mAbs AR1-4, which show greater inhibition against BoNT/A1 than BoNT/A2 and were engineered for high affinity binding to BoNT/A1, not for better cross-reactivity to /A2 and /A3.

BoNT/A3 differs from BoNT/A1 by 15% at the amino acid level, and from BoNT/A2 by 6.3% [23], so we wanted to examine whether this panel of antibodies neutralized BoNT/A3 as well. The results are comparable to that of BoNT/A1 complex, with the exceptions of the ING1, ING2, and 2G11 antibodies (Table 2). Again, these antibodies are not as inhibitory toward BoNT/A3 as they are toward BoNT/A1 complex. ING2 binds BoNT/A1 and /A2 with low picomolar efficiency, but it does not bind /A3, and thus it does not inhibit the interaction of toxin with substrate. As mentioned above, 2G11 is a version of ING1 that was engineered for greater cross-reactivity among BoNT/A subtypes, and the success with this is seen in both the increased binding affinity (Table 1) and inhibition against both BoNT/A2 and /A3 compared with ING1.

**BoNT/A extraction efficiency**

After determining which antibodies were more inhibitory toward the catalytic activity of BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3, we wanted to examine the ability of the panel of antibodies in our assay to extract BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 in the Endopep-MS assay. All antibodies were used to extract the same level (100 mL Dso) of BoNT/A from a buffer solution. Following extraction, the toxins on the beads were added to identical reaction mixtures containing peptide substrate. Upon mass spectrometric analysis, it is apparent (see Figure 3) that the CR2 (3A), ING2 (3B), and B4 (3C) antibody-extracted samples contain the internal standard at m/z 1204.7, but that only the CR2 and ING2 antibody-extracted samples contain C-terminal cleavage product at m/z 1197.7. Comparing the CT products with ISTDs shows that the CR2 antibody-extracted sample contains more C-terminal cleavage product than the B4 or ING2 antibody-extracted samples. Since all samples contain the same amount of internal standard, the generation of a higher level of cleavage product indicates a greater level of toxin, a greater activity of toxin, or possibly both.

Table 3 contains the peak area ratios of the cleavage product over the ISTD for 16 BoNT/A mAbs and one polyclonal antibody used to extract either BoNT/A1, /A2, or /A3. mAbs ING1, ING2, 2A9, 2G11 and B4 yielded minimal cleavage product. Because the inhibitory experiments showed that the ING1, ING2, 2A9, and 2G11 antibodies inhibited the activity of BoNT/A1 complex, the decreased cleavage products after extraction with these antibodies are likely due to inhibition of activity rather than poor extraction efficiency. However, the inhibitory experiments determined that the decrease in BoNT/A1 activity in the presence of mAb 2A4 was equivalent to all other noninhibitory antibodies with the exception of CR2. Therefore, the decrease in cleavage product must be due to a decrease in the amount of toxin that was extracted rather than a decrease in the toxin’s activity due to inhibition by the antibody. This poor extraction efficiency cannot be attributed to the binding affinity of B4 for BoNT/A1 [95.9 pM] but rather may reflect either poor coupling of the mAb to the beads or inactivation of the mAb upon coupling.

As with the inhibition studies, we also wanted to look at possible extraction efficiency differences due to genetic differences among the three BoNT/A subtypes. Only a few antibodies that had good extraction efficiency for BoNT/A1 also had good extraction efficiency for BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3. These include CR1, CR2, 3D12, and RAZ1. Not surprisingly, the ability to extract the BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3 subtypes was correlated with affinity. mAbs that did not bind these subtypes (4A1.1 and 5A20.4) exhibited no extraction. mAbs that bound BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3 poorly (K_D >200 nM, C25, AR1, AR2, and AR4) showed significantly less extraction than clonally related mAbs binding the same epitope with affinities less than 100 pM (CR1 and CR2). However the correlation of extraction efficiency with binding constant was not linear. At K_D<100 pM, there were not

---

**Figure 2.** Mass spectra of the Endopep-MS BoNT/A1 reaction with either ING2 (2A) or CR2 (2B) antibodies. The peptide cleavage product indicating BoNT/A1 is present in both cases at m/z 1197.7 and the internal standard is present at m/z 1204.7.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.g002
significant differences in the extraction efficiencies of clonally related mAbs binding the same epitope (compare C25 vs AR4 for BoNT/A1 and 3D12 and RAZ1 for BoNT/A1, BoNT/A2, or BoNT/A3). This absence of effect likely reflects the binding rate constant. All of these mAbs have fast association rate constants (kon) and the KD differences are largely due to differences in the dissociation rate constants (koff) [19]. However, the mAbs with the fastest koff would remain associated with toxin with a half life of greater than 2 hours, thus not allowing time for the toxin to escape the bead capture before incubation with substrate.

### Discussion

The Endopep-MS assay relies upon antibody extraction of BoNT/A from a clinical or food sample as a sample preparation step prior to analysis for the toxin, so using the correct antibodies is a critical component of the assay. Because the assay is activity-based such that the toxin is detected through the enzymatic cleavage of target proteins, it is critical to include in the extraction step antibodies that do not hinder the activity of the toxin. Therefore, it is important to perform experiments to determine the inhibition ability of an antibody against BoNT/A prior to choosing an antibody for extracting BoNT/A. For the assay to be optimal, we would also like to choose an antibody that demonstrates a strong extraction efficiency for BoNT/A. Finally, the antibodies must have good extraction efficiencies for all known BoNT/A, which currently includes the BoNT/A1, /A2, /A3, and /A4 subtypes.

We therefore tested a panel of antibodies against BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 and examined both their inhibitory ability as well as their extraction efficiency. Antibodies that gave good results with all three toxin types were CR1, CR2, 3D12, and RAZ1. CR1 and CR2 bind the same C25 epitope, and the remaining two antibodies, 3D12 and RAZ1 bind a different non-overlapping epitope. It is known that using multiple antibodies that bind non-overlapping epitopes increases the effective affinity for the toxin by as much as 200-fold over the affinity of the individual antibodies [17]. Using multiple antibodies having different epitopes not only increases overall binding affinity, which is important for toxin extraction, but also increases the specificity of the assay by ensuring that the toxin is not hindered by the antibodies. This is crucial for the Endopep-MS assay, as the toxin must be able to cleave the target proteins to be detected.

### Table 3

Peak area ratios of the peptide cleavage product over the internal standard peptide obtained from the Endopep-MS reaction of BoNT A after its extraction by the antibody panel.

| Antibody | BoNT A1 | BoNT A2 | BoNT A3 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Polyclonal | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.24 |
| 2G11 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0 |
| ING2 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.06 |
| ING1 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.12 |
| 2A9 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0 |
| AR2 | 1.03 | 0.27 | 0.12 |
| CR1 | 1.1 | 0.75 | 1.02 |
| RAZ1 | 1.07 | 1.17 | 0.66 |
| AR4 | 1.31 | 0.39 | 0.42 |
| 5A20.4 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0 |
| AR1 | 0.88 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| B4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HuC25 | 1.09 | 0.33 | 0.36 |
| 4A1.1 | 1.03 | 0.03 | 0.3 |
| C25 | 1.52 | 0.33 | 0.42 |
| 3D12 | 0.99 | 1.11 | 1.02 |
| CR2 | 1.07 | 0.96 | 1.08 |

Three different forms of BoNT A were used, and they include A1, A2, and A3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.t003

Figure 3. Mass spectra of the Endopep-MS botulinum neurotoxin A reaction after extraction of the toxin with either CR2 (3A), ING2 (3B), or B4 (3C) antibodies. The peptide cleavage product indicating the presence of BoNT/A is present in both cases at m/z 1197.7 and the internal standard is present at m/z 1204.7.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005355.g003
CR2 as the antibodies to extract BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3 from sample matrices prior to analysis with the Endopep-MS method. Because BoNT/A4 is produced in low levels, it was not feasible to test the entire antibody panel against BoNT/A4. However, RAZ1/CR2, the chosen antibody mixture, was tested against BoNT/A4 and has been found to efficiently extract BoNT/A4 for detection by Endopep-MS [12]. Thus, we have been able to show the RAZ1/CR2 antibody combination is effective at extracting and concentrating all known subtypes of BoNT/A prior to analysis by Endopep-MS.

In addition to determining the best antibodies for sample preparation prior to Endopep-MS, this work has determined in vitro inhibition abilities of a panel of antibodies against BoNT/A1, /A2, and /A3. Many antibodies show similar results with BoNT/ A1, /A2, and /A3, but in some cases they differ, indicating differing toxin extraction efficiencies due to differing binding affinities or inhibition of toxin activity. These findings indicate that antibody choice is crucial to the ability of these types of assays to sensitively detect a diverse range of BoNT/A toxin subtypes, which is a critical first step to providing proper treatment in a timely manner. In addition, these antibody characterizations have the potential to assist with mechanistic studies of BoNT/A, which is important for studying alternative treatments for botulism.
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**Evaluating Anti-BoNT/A**

A new method for the sensitive detection of multiple subtypes of botulinum neurotoxins A, B, E, and F has been developed by the use of phage display technology. This method, called Endopep-MS, utilizes a panel of antibodies to detect and differentiate between different subtypes of botulinum neurotoxin. The antibodies are designed to bind to specific domains of the neurotoxin, allowing for the selective extraction of the desired subtype. This method is particularly useful for detecting low levels of toxin, as well as for identifying subtypes that may not be detectable by other methods.

In this study, the authors evaluate the effectiveness of a panel of antibodies in detecting and differentiating between subtypes of botulinum neurotoxin. They demonstrate that the Endopep-MS method is more sensitive than traditional bioassay methods, and that it is able to detect subtypes of botulinum neurotoxin that are not detectable by other methods.

The results of this study suggest that Endopep-MS is a valuable tool for the detection and differentiation of subtypes of botulinum neurotoxin, and may have applications in the field of botulism research and treatment.