The Cultivable Surface Microbiota of the Brown Alga *Ascophyllum nodosum* is Enriched in Macroalgal-Polysaccharide-Degrading Bacteria
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Bacteria degrading algal polysaccharides are key players in the global carbon cycle and in algal biomass recycling. Yet the water column, which has been studied largely by metagenomic approaches, is poor in such bacteria and their algal-polysaccharide-degrading enzymes. Even more surprisingly, the few published studies on seaweed-associated microbiomes have revealed low abundances of such bacteria and their specific enzymes. However, as macroalgal cell-wall polysaccharides do not accumulate in nature, these bacteria and their unique polysaccharidases must not be that uncommon. We, therefore, looked at the polysaccharide-degrading activity of the cultivable bacterial subpopulation associated with *Ascophyllum nodosum*. From *A. nodosum* triplicates, 324 bacteria were isolated and taxonomically identified. Out of these isolates, 78 (∼25%) were found to act on at least one tested algal polysaccharide (agar, ι- or κ-carrageenan, or alginate). The isolates “active” on algal-polysaccharides belong to 11 genera: *Cellulophaga*, *Maribacter*, *Algibacter*, and *Zobellia* in the class *Flavobacteriia* (41) and *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Vibrio*, *Cobetia*, *Shewanella*, *Colwellia*, *Marinomonas*, and *Paraglacieciola* in the class *Gammaproteobacteria* (37). A major part represents likely novel species. Different proportions of bacterial phyla and classes were observed between the isolated cultivable subpopulation and the total microbial community previously identified on other brown algae. Here, *Bacteroidetes* and *Gammaproteobacteria* were found to be the most abundant and some phyla (as *Planctomycetes* and *Cyanobacteria*) frequently encountered on brown algae weren’t identified. At a lower taxonomic level, twelve genera, well-known to be associated with algae (with the exception for *Colwellia*), were consistently found on all three *A. nosodum* samples. Even more interesting, 9 of the 11 above mentioned genera containing polysaccharolytic isolates were predominant in this common core. The cultivable fraction of the bacterial community associated with *A. nodosum* is, thus, significantly enriched in macroalgal-polysaccharide-degrading bacteria and these bacteria seem important for the seaweed holobiont even though they are under-represented in alga-associated microbiome studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Polysaccharide-degrading bacteria are key players in the global carbon cycle and have an increasing importance in biotechnology and biomass utilization. In terrestrial environments, plants host a remarkable diversity of microbes, representing a continuum of symbioses ranging from mutualism to commensalism to pathogenic behavior (Bulgarelli et al., 2013). Among these microbes, numerous bacteria possess polysaccharidases involved in the utilization of plant cell wall polymers (Gibson et al., 2011). Soils also constitute an important reservoir of hemicellulolytic microorganisms, which carry on the mineralization of plant polysaccharides (DeAngelis et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2015). In coastal ecosystems, macroalgae are crucial primary producers, constituting a huge biomass and playing an ecological role analogous to that of plants in terrestrial environments. Brown, green, and red macroalgae constitute three independent eukaryotic lineages, and their cell walls contain highly diverse polysaccharides, notably sulfated polysaccharides having no equivalent in land plants (Popper et al., 2011). By comparison with what is known about biomass recycling in terrestrial environments, knowledge of bacterial recycling of macroalgal biomass trails far behind. Nonetheless, the discovery of glycoside hydrolases (GH) and polysaccharide lyases (PL) targeting macroalgal polysaccharides has accelerated in the last 15 years (Michel and Czjzek, 2013). These particular enzymes were found to constitute either new subfamilies within known Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes (CAZY) families [e.g., κ-carrageenases and β-porphyranases in the GH16 family (Michel et al., 2001; Hehemann et al., 2010)] or new CAZY families, such as τ-carrageenases (GH82; Barbayron et al., 2000), α-agarases (GH96; Flament et al., 2007), and fucoidanases (GH107; Colin et al., 2006).

The majority of these enzymes have been isolated from seaweed-associated bacteria (Martin et al., 2014b). MAPD bacteria have been found essentially in two classes: (i) Gammamproteobacteria, in the phylum Proteobacteria (e.g., Agarivorans albus, Alteromonas agarlytica, Pseudoalteromonas carrageenovora, Vibrio sp. PO-303) and (ii) Flavobacteria, in the phylum Bacteroidetes (e.g., Cellulophaga lytica, Flammovirga yaeyamensis, Mariniflexile fucanivorans, Zobellia galactanivorans; for reviews see Michel and Czjzek, 2013; Martin et al., 2014b). Despite the identification of these similar enzymes, most MAPD enzymes currently constitute very small protein families: e.g., GH16 κ-carrageenases (six proteins), GH16 β-agarases (41), GH16 β-porphyranases (7), GH82 τ-carrageenases (19), GH96 α-agarases (4), GH107 fucoidanases (4), and GH118 β-agarases (8) (http://www.cazy.org; Lombard et al., 2014). However, as macroalgal cell-wall polysaccharides do not accumulate in nature, MAPD bacteria and their unique polysaccharidases must not be that uncommon.

The emerging metagenomic exploration of marine environments (Venter et al., 2004; Yooshep et al., 2007; Gómez-Pereira et al., 2012) offers the promise of increasing the discovery of novel enzymes, either through data mining or through activity screening of metagenomic libraries (Lee et al., 2010; Ferrer et al., 2015). Marine metagenomics has yielded disappointing results, however, as regards MAPD enzymes. For instance, in the entire Global Ocean Survey (GOS) dataset (Yooshep et al., 2007), there is not a single κ-carrageenase gene, only one τ-carrageenase gene, 30 coding DNA sequences (CDSs) for α-1,3-(3,6-anhydro)-L-galactosidase (the terminal enzyme of agar degradation, AhgA, GH117) and five times fewer CDSs for β-agarase and β-porphyranase, and seventeen PL17 alginate lyases (Hehemann et al., 2010; Rebuffet et al., 2011; Neumann et al., 2015). Clearly, this relative lack of success in finding MAPD enzymes in the water column suggests that bacterioplankton rarely possess such enzymes. However, as most MAPD enzymes have been isolated from seaweed-associated bacteria, one should think that seaweed-associated microbiome datasets contain plenty of these specific enzymes. In fact, in the only two existing studies sequencing the microbiota associated with macroalgae (with Delisea pulchra and Ulva australis), no polysaccharidase gene is discussed (Fernandes et al., 2012), or only genes related to alginate metabolism are briefly mentioned (Burke et al., 2011). Unfortunately, we couldn’t find out if MAPD enzymes were present in the these macroalgae associated microbiota, as the metagenomic datasets of these two studies were deposited on the CAMERA database (http://camera.calit2.net/) that has shut down and its successor, iMicrobe (http://data.imicrobe.us/), does not contain these datasets. Also, a transcriptomic analysis of the microbiota of the red macroalgae Laurencia dendroides has been performed by RNA-Seq, but again, algal-polysaccharidase genes were either overlooked or absent from the dataset (de Oliveira et al., 2012). The metatranscriptomic datasets associated to L. dendroides is publicly available on the MG-Rast database (de Oliveira et al., 2012). We searched in the protein files corresponding to these datasets sequence identities with the characterized MAPD enzymes (Michel and Czjzek, 2013; Martin et al., 2014b) using BlastP (NCBI), but we did not find any homologous protein (data not shown). Concerning functional metagenomic analyses of seaweed-associated microbiota, only two studies have previously been carried out. On the one hand, the microbial community associated with Ulva australis was searched for antibacterial proteins (Yung et al., 2011). On the other hand, our group has recently screened the microbiota of the brown alga Asphoeyllum nodosum for hydrolytic enzymes, especially glycoside hydrolases. As proof of feasibility, we used established activity tests and identified 13 esterases from Alphaprotobacteria and Gammamproteobacteria members, a GH3 β-glucosidase from an alpahpotobacterium, and a GH5 cellulase from a gammamproteobacterium (Martin et al., 2014a). Taking advantage of the ability of κ- and τ-carrageenans, agar, and alginate to form gels, we subsequently developed activity tests for screening a second A. nodosum metagenomic library for the corresponding polysaccharidases. The quality of this metagenomic library was validated and several esterases and β-glucosidases were again isolated. Surprisingly, however, we did not find any MAPD enzymes (unpublished results).

This disappointing result and the almost absence of MAPD enzymes from other metagenomic studies on seaweed-associated microbiota raised several questions. Are MAPD bacteria

Abbreviations: MAPD, Macroalgal-polysaccharide-degrading bacteria.
sufficiently abundant at the surface of macroalgae? Are metagenomic analyses of entire seaweed-associated microbiota the most adequate approach to finding MAPD enzymes? As a first step toward answering such questions, we have chosen to focus on the cultivable subpopulation of bacteria associated with *A. nodosum* and to establish a direct link with the catabolic capacities of these microorganisms. For this we have isolated, on a marine medium, 324 cultivable bacteria from triplicate *A. nodosum* samples. We have taxonomically identified these bacterial isolates and have screened them for agarases, κ-carrageenases, ι-carrageenases, and alginate lyases. Bacterial isolates found to display at least one such activity were further investigated.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Phylogenetic and Statistical Analyses of the Bacterial Communities Isolated from the Three *Ascophyllum nodosum* Samples**

Healthy *A. nodosum* plants were collected in triplicate from the foreshore in Roscoff (Brittany, France) at the end of March, 2014. The samples were rinsed three times with sterile seawater to remove loosely attached microorganisms and used immediately for microbial isolation. Cultivable microorganisms were isolated by swabbing algal surfaces with sterile cotton tips as in our previous functional metagenomics study (Martin et al., 2014a) and then inoculating plates of marine medium (Marine Agar, Difco). One plate per sample was inoculated and for each sample we used 20 cm of thallus. Plates were left at room temperature. After 4 days, 108 isolated colonies, representative of our total isolated colonies, were recovered at random from each plate (324 colonies in all) in 96-well plates with marine medium (Marine Broth, Difco). Glycerol (final concentration 20%) was added to the liquid bacterial cultures in the 96-well plates and conserved at −80°C.

To assign taxa to the 324 bacteria isolated from the three alga samples, the genus-specific V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA (~400 bp) was amplified and sequenced. First, 5 µl stock of bacteria in glycerol was mixed with 10 µl PCR water and heated at 95°C for 10 min to lyse the bacteria. Then 5 µl bacterial lysate was added to the PCR mix [200 µM dNTP, 0.4 µM primer F (AllBactF 5′-TCCTACGGGAGGCAGCTT-3′), 0.4 µM primer R (AllBactR 5′-GGACTACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTGTT-3′; Nadkarni et al., 2002; Stroobants et al., 2014), PCR buffer 1x, Taq DNA polymerase, final volume 50 µl] for the PCR [94°C-7°C, 35 × (95°C-30°C), 60°C-30°C, 65°C-1°C, 68°C-7°C]. Primers were produced at Eurogentec (BE) and PCR reagents were provided by Roche. PCR were realized in 96-well plates and amplicons were sequenced by Sanger Sequencing at GATC using the AllBactF forward primer (GATC Biotech, Germany). Sequences were aligned with sequences from the GenBank_Bacteria and SILVA SSU databases (E-value threshold 0.01) and each bacterium was taxonomically identified at the genus, family, order, class, and phylum level. Averages and percentage ranges of the resulting query coverages and identity percentages have been summarized for each sample and for the total isolated microbiota in the Supplementary Material (Table S1). A Principal Coordinates Analyses (PCoA) was realized with the relative abundance of each genus in each sample to represent the differences in composition of the three samples (Figure 1). Relative abundances of the genera were log transformed and Bray Curtis matrix distance was used. For each genus, a weight average was calculated based on the abundance of this genus in each sample and the axes-coordinates of the sample, in order to reveal affinities between a genus and one, two or the three samples. The PCoA plot was performed using the VEGAN Package (Dixon, 2003; Oksanen et al., 2008) implemented in the R statistical software on the bacterial genera identified on the three algae samples (Figure 1).

**Isolation of Bacteria with Polysaccharidase Activities**

The 324 isolated colonies were grown at 22°C for 24 h in 96-microwell plates containing marine broth and then plated on marine broth containing 1.5% agar, 1% κ-carrageenan, 2% iota-carrageenan, or on modified Žobell medium (24.7 g/l NaCl, 6.3 g/l MgSO4, 0.7 g/l KCl, 5 g/l tryptone, 1 g/l yeast extract) with 5% alginate salts and 40 mM MgCl2. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. After 48 h to 1 week, bacteria showing hydrolytic activity (a hole in the jellified medium for agarase or κ-carrageenase activity or complete liquefaction of the medium for ι-carrageenase or alginate lyase activity) were recovered and plated in order to obtain isolated colonies. Activity was confirmed by testing again the isolated colonies for the observed activity/activities.

**16s rRNA Sequence of the Bacterial Candidates Showing Polysaccharidase Activity**

The 16S rRNA genes were amplified by PCR (Taq DNA polymerase, Roche) directly from the isolated colonies. Universal primers were used (8F, 5′-AGAGTTTGTGATCCTGAGCTCAG-3′ and 1492R, 3′-TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-5′). The amplified genes were sequenced by Sanger sequencing from both ends (forward and reverse; GATC Biotech, Germany). A consensus sequence was produced from the overlapping reads, for the 16S rRNA gene of each MAPD isolate. For each sequence, low quality 5 and 3′ extremities were manually trimmed, in order to keep only high quality sequences (DNA base peaks with quality scores above 30). Finally, the BLAST program (NCBI) was used to compare the obtained sequences against the GenBank database and closest neighbors were found by alignment with type strains with verified species names using EzTaxon (Kim et al., 2012). Sequences were manually aligned and curated with a representative set of 120 sequences of identified members of the family Flavobacteriaceae (Figure 2) and 355 of the class Gammaproteobacteria (Figure 3, Figure S1). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with these alignments using the Neighbor Joining Method (Saitou and Nei, 1987). Evolutionary distances were calculated according to Kimura’s two-parameter model using the gamma distribution (Kimura, 1980).
Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers
Sequence data of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene of the non-MAPD isolates and the partial 16S rRNA of the 78 MAPD isolates were submitted to the EMBL database under accession numbers LN881131 to LN881422.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The Cultivable Microbiota of Ascophyllum nodosum: Comparison with the Total Bacterial Community of Brown Algae and Highlight of a Host-Specific Common Core
A. nodosum is a large brown alga (up to 2 m) of the Fucaceae family which is common on both sides of North Atlantic ocean (Olsen et al., 2010). This macroalga is dominant along sheltered intertidal rocky shores and is a very long-lived species (10–15 years). The microbial population of A. nodosum was studied long ago by culturing (Chan and McManus, 1969) and electronic microscopy (Cundell et al., 1977). Surprisingly, these two old studies are the only ones concerning microorganisms associated with this brown seaweed. Cundell et al. (1977) describe the microbial epiphytes of A. nodosum as “a complex assemblage of end-attached bacteria, filamentous bacteria, flexibacteria, yeasts, and pennate diatoms.” We here report the first extensive characterization of the cultivable bacterial community of this ecologically relevant macroalga.

Approximately 3.10^3 bacteria were isolated from the surface of three A. nodosum thallus samples. A total of 324 bacteria were recovered (108/sample) for further analysis. We succeeded in amplifying and sequencing the V3-V4 16S rRNA region from a total of 297 bacteria (98 from S1, 97 from S2, 102 from S3). These sequences were aligned to those from the GenBank and SILVA SSU databases (data not shown), with 291 out of 297 isolates being assigned to a genera. As a proof of quality sequence alignments, we had high average query coverage of 97.2% and identity percentage of 98.9% for the V3-V4 region sequences of these isolates (Table S1). For each A. nodosum sample, tables were made with the relative abundance and the frequency of each bacterial genus, family, order, class, and phylum identified (Table S2).

In the total isolated bacterial population, 36 different genera were identified. The most abundantly represented were Marinomonas (13.1%), Cellulophaga (10.1%), and Pseudoalteromonas (9.1%). Eighteen bacterial families were found, the major ones being Flavobacteriaceae (42.8%), Oceanospirillaceae (15.5%), and Rhodobacteraceae (11.1%). The most abundant phylum was Proteobacteria (56%, with class Alpha: 11.4%, Gamma: 44.1%, Beta: 0.7%), closely followed by Bacteroidetes (class Flavobacteria 42.8%). Firmicutes (1.0%) members were identified only on sample S3 and constituted a very minor fraction of the cultivable community.

Previous molecular studies on the kelp Laminaria hyperborea (Bengtsson and Øvreås, 2010; Bengtsson et al., 2012) and on Fucus vesiculosus (Lachnit et al., 2011; Stratil et al., 2013, 2014), closely related to A. nodosum, reveal the phyla- and class-level structures of their associated microbial communities to be markedly different from the here identified population (see...
for review Martin et al., 2014b). *Alphaproteobacteria* emerged as a dominant phylum of the total bacterial community of these molecular studies (25–60%), whereas it represents only a minor fraction of the isolated cultivable fraction (11%). In contrast, the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* and *Gammaphytoeubacteria* members is two- to three-fold higher in our cultivable fraction. Surprisingly, no *Planctomycetes* and *Cyanobacteria* were isolated in our cultivable population even if they represent dominant phyla in the above mentioned reports of microbiota associated with other brown algae. Concerning the absence of *Cyanobacteria*, to our knowledge no representative of this autotrophic class has yet been identified by culturing methods dealing with algal microbatics (Hollants et al., 2013). Furthermore, as our samples were taken in March 2014, a seasonal shift is likely to explain both the absence of *Cyanobacteria* and the high percentage of *Gammaphytoeubacteria* members among our isolates, as previously observed for *F. vesiculosus* (Lachnit et al., 2011). The absence of isolated *Planctomycetes* is more surprising, as these heterotrophic bacteria are expected to grow readily on complex culture media.

At lower taxonomic rank, molecular studies have provided evidence that total bacterial communities can differ markedly from one individual alga to another and that the core community specific to the host is limited (∼15%; Burke et al., 2011; Bengtsson et al., 2012). Here, looking solely at the cultivable community of *A. nodosum*, we reach partly similar conclusions as there is some variability between the communities isolated on the three samples, as regards both the genera observed and their relative abundances (Figure 1, Table S2). On the PCoA plot (Figure 1), one can see that the samples are equally distant (dissimilar in their bacterial composition) from each other (Bray-Curtis distances between S1–S2 = 0.45; S1–S3 = 0.412; S2–S3 = 0.453). Moreover, some genera are specific to one sample (e.g., *Hydrogenophaga*, *Ruegeria*, and *Tenacibaculum* were found only on S1) or common to just two samples (e.g., *Leucothrix*, *Psychroserpens*, and *Psychrobacter* were identified only on S2 and S3). However, despite this genus diversity, 12 genera were consistently found on all three *A. nodosum* samples: (i) six *Flavobacteriia* genera, all of the *Flavobacteriaceae* family (*Algibacter*, *Cellulophaga*, *Dokdonia*, *Formosa*, *Maribacter*, and *Zobella*); (ii) five *Gammaphytoeubacteria* genera; four genera of the order *Alteromonadales* but of different families (*Colwellia*, *Glaciecola*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Rhodobacteraceae* family); and (iii) one *Alphaproteobacteria* genus (*Sulfobacter*, *Rhodobacteraceae* family; Figure 1). These genera represent a core community of 33% of the cultivable subpopulation (12 out of 36 genera in total), which is twice the proportion observed in whole seaweed-associated microbatics (Burke et al., 2011).
FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic tree with the Gammaproteobacteria. 16S rRNA sequence of 355 representatives of the class Gammaproteobacteria were aligned with those of our 37 MAPD Gammaproteobacterial isolates. Sequence were manually curated and phylogenetic trees were constructed with these alignments using the Neighbor Joining Method. Evolutionary distances were calculated according to Kimura’s two-parameter model using the gamma distribution (Kimura, 1980).
This common core includes several host-specific genera which are well known to associate with macroalgae. Cellulophaga, Pseudolateromonas, Shewanella, Sulfitobacter, and Zobellia, for example, are among the 33 genera isolated from all three macroalgal groups (brown, green, and red seaweeds), as determined on the basis of a review of 161 studies (mainly culture-based) dealing with bacteria associated with 159 macroalgal species (Hollants et al., 2013). Formosa, Dokdonia, Marinomonas, and Glaciecola have been also identified on other brown algae like Fucus vesiculosus (Lachnit et al., 2011; Goecke et al., 2013), F. evanescens (Ivanova et al., 2004a) or Laminaria sp. (Wiese et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2012). To our knowledge, Colwellia is the only genus retrieved here from our three alga samples (representing 3.4% of our total bacterial isolates) that has not previously been isolated from brown algae. The Colwelliaceae family (comprising the genera Colwellia and Thalassomonas) has already been identified on the red alga Delisea pulchra (Fernandes et al., 2012). Fernandes et al. found this family to be present on diseased thalli and absent from healthy thalli of this red alga.

The Cultivable Microbiota from A. nodosum is Enriched in MAPD Bacteria: Novel Activities and Putative Novel Species
The 324 isolated bacteria were plated and tested on culture media gelified with agar, κ-carrageenan, τ-carrageenan, or alginate salts. We have found 78 isolates (24%) with polysaccharidase activity against at least one of the tested substrates (Table 1). Similar proportions of such bacteria were isolated from the three specimens collected (S1, 20%; S2, 23%; S3, 34%). Thus our study clearly indicates that the cultivable microbiota of A. nodosum is significantly enriched in MAPD bacteria as compared to the total bacterial community (based on molecular studies and on the difficulty to identify MAPD enzymes by functional genomics). Nonetheless, MAPD bacteria remain a minority even within the cultivable microbiota (24%).

The entire 16S rRNA genes of the various candidates were amplified and sequenced. Sequences were aligned with those of type strains with valid species names (EzTaxon, Kim et al., 2012). The percentage of identity to the closest type strain and the polysaccharidase activities of each isolate are listed in Table 1. The first remarkable result is that all the MAPD isolates belong to only two bacterial classes, despite the taxonomic diversity of the cultivable community: 41 isolates were identified as Flavobacteria members and 37 as Gammaproteobacteria members (Table 1).

These isolates were assigned to 11 genera (out of the 36 genera isolated) and 8 families. Among the ten most abundant genera in our total isolated population (Figure 4A), only four (Marinomonas, Cellulophaga, Pseudolateromonas, and Marinibacter) are represented by isolates with at least one polysaccharolytic activity. The genera Cellulophaga and Pseudolateromonas are exceptional, being both abundant in the cultivable community (the second and third most abundant genera, respectively), and representing together more than 60% of the MAPD isolates (27/78 and 22/78, respectively; Figures 4A,B). In contrast, the most abundant genus (Marinomonas) is represented here by only one MAPD isolate (with a single, alginolytic activity). Similarly, Formosa is the 6th most abundant genus, but none of the Formosa isolates displays any MAPD activity. This is particularly unexpected, since the characterized species Formosa agariphila is agarolytic (Nedashkovskaya, 2006) and its genome analysis has revealed a broad potential for degrading algal polysaccharides (Mann et al., 2013). This striking example is a reminder that extrapolating the biological or ecological function of a particular species to a larger bacterial group can lead to incorrect interpretations. Among the less abundant genera, Zobellia (13th, 10/297), Vibrio (15th, 7/297), and Shewanella (17th, 6/297) are also remarkable by their overrepresentation among the MAPD isolates (Figure 4B). Notably, 100% of the Zobellia isolates display MAPD activity.

Interestingly, the polysaccharidase activities identified here do not necessarily reflect the cell wall composition of A. nodosum. Brown algal cell walls are largely composed of alginites and sulfated fucoidans, whereas red macroalgae mainly contain sulfated galactans (agars or carrageenans; Popper et al., 2011). Unexpectedly, we have found similar proportions of bacterial isolates degrading polysaccharides of red (64/78) and brown (61/78) macroalgae (Figure 4B, Table 1), but these activities are not equally distributed between Gammaproteobacteria and Flavobacteria. Most gammaproteobacterial isolates (26/37) exclusively degrade alginate (including all Cobetia, Marinomonas, and Vibrio isolates). All Pseudoalteromonas isolates are alginolytic, but a minority is also able to hydrolyze carrageenans (22%), and none of them is agarolytic. MAPD activities are more evenly distributed in Shewanella, all isolates being both alginolytic and carrageenolytic. More surprisingly, the Paraglaciecola and Colwellia isolates are not alginolytic, but can hydrolyze both agars and carrageenans. In contrast, the Flavobacteria isolates are much more generalistic degraders. All the MAPD Flavobacteria isolates hydrolyze red algal sulfated galactans (agars and/or carrageenans) and most of them (26/41) also alginate. This suggests that the MAPD Gammaproteobacteria isolates are more specific to brown algae, while the MAPD Flavobacteria strains or species isolated from A. nodosum are likely to be found also on the surfaces of agarophytic and carrageenophytic red seaweeds.

It is also noteworthy that we have discovered MAPD activities in genera that were not previously known to include MAPD bacteria (Marinomonas and Colwellia strains) or to display the activities observed here (τ- and κ-carrageeenases in Algibacter and Marinibacter isolates, respectively; Table 2). These novel activities may be explained by the likely isolation of novel MAPD species. Indeed, 63% of the MAPD isolates identified here have less than 98.65% sequence identity at 16S rRNA level to a known species (Figure 5). Therefore they could represent putative novel species even if there is still some discussion regarding the threshold percentage of 16S rRNA gene identity at which two species can be distinguished. A commonly accepted value is 97%. Recently, Kim et al. (2014), having compared the average nucleotide identities of almost 7000 prokaryotic genomes and their 16S rRNA gene identities, propose a threshold of 98.65%, while Tindall et al. (2010) stress that the 16S rRNA alone does not describe a species but only provides...
TABLE 1 | Identified MAPD isolates, each with the closest type strain, the corresponding percentage of 16S rRNA gene similarity and the observed polysaccharidase activities.

| Isolated bacterial strain | Closest bacterial strain (EZTaxon) | % identity | Polysaccharidase activities<sup>a</sup> | Ag | i-C | x-C | AL |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|----|-----|-----|----|
| **FLAVOBACTERIA**<br>FLAVOBACTERIACEAE<br>ALGIBACTER sp.** |                                    |             |                                       |    |     |     |    |
| An67                      | Algibacter miyuki WS-MY6           | 97.75       | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| **CELLULOPHAGA sp.**      |                                    |             |                                       |    |     |     |    |
| An8                       | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 97.88       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An10                      | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 97.88       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An42                      | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 97.66       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An44                      | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 96.28       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An47                      | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 96.6        | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An48                      | Cellulophaga geojensis M-M6        | 97.65       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An11                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 95.56       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An31                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 97.22       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An38                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 97.51       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An39                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 98          | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An40                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 96.75       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An41                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 97.58       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An43                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 96.83       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An46                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 96.82       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An49                      | Cellulophaga fucicola NN015860     | 97.65       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An9                       | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.27       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An13                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.64       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An17                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 97.75       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An18                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.49       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An19                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.20       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An20                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 97.45       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An22                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 95.87       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | –  |
| An28                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 96.32       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An45                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.63       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An50                      | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 97.68       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An110                     | Cellulophaga baltica NN015840      | 98.53       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An66                      | Cellulophaga pacifica KMM3664      | 96.21       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| **MARIBACTER sp.**        |                                    |             |                                       |    |     |     |    |
| An21                      | Maribacter aestuarii GY20          | 95.33       | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An70                      | Maribacter forseti KT02ds 18-6     | 99.28       | –                                     | +  | –   | –   | +  |
| An72                      | Maribacter forseti KT02ds 18-6     | 99.06       | –                                     | –  | +   | –   | +  |
| **ZOBELLIA sp.**          |                                    |             |                                       |    |     |     |    |
| An1                       | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 99.4        | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |
| An14                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 97.63       | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An73                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 97.7        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An75                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 98          | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An76                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 97.8        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | +  |
| An78                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 97.5        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An79                      | Zobellia galactanivorans DsJ        | 97.8        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An74                      | Zobellia laminariae KMM3676        | 97.6        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An77                      | Zobellia laminariae KMM3676        | 96.8        | +                                     | +  | +   | –   | –  |
| An80                      | Zobellia laminariae KMM3676        | 98.9        | +                                     | +  | +   | +   | +  |

(Continued)
## TABLE 1 | Continued

| Isolated bacterial strain | Closest bacterial strain (EZTaxon) | % identity | Polysaccharidase activities<sup>a</sup> |   |   |   | AL |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------|---|---|---|----|
| **GAMMAPROTEOBACTERIA**   |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **ALTEROMONADACEAE**      |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **PARAGLACIECOLA** sp.    |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An27                      | Paraglaciecola mesophila KMM241   | 96.49      | + + +                                  |   |   |   |    |
| **COWELLIACEAE**          |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **COWELLIA** sp.          |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An23                      | Colwellia meonggei MA1-3          | 96.17      | + + +                                  |   |   |   |    |
| **HALOMONODACEAE**        |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **COBETIA** sp.           |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An107                     | Cobetia litoralis KMM 3880       | 99.31      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An108                     | Cobetia litoralis KMM 3880       | 98.96      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| **OCEANOSPIRILLACEAE**    |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **MARINOMONAS** sp.       |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An109                     | Marinomonas ushuaiensis U1        | 97.14      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| **PSEUDOALTEROMONODACEAE**|                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **PSEUDOALTEROMONAS** sp. |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An88                      | Pseudoalteromonas aliena KMM 3562| 99.57      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An89                      | Pseudoalteromonas aliena KMM 3562| 99.2       | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An97                      | Pseudoalteromonas aliena KMM 3562| 98.3       | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An98                      | Pseudoalteromonas aliena KMM 3562| 99.4       | – + + +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An99                      | Pseudoalteromonas aliena KMM 3562| 99.1       | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An100                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 98.4    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An101                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 98.3    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An102                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 98.4    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An103                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 98.7    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An104                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 98.4    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An105                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 99.05   | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An106                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 99.7    | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An107                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 99.5     | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An108                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 99.9     | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An109                     | Pseudoalteromonas aliena NCIMB 2127| 99.0     | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| **SHEWANELLACEAE**        |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **SHEWANELLA** sp.        |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An36                      | Shewanella pacifica KMM3597       | 98.3       | – + + +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An37                      | Shewanella pacifica KMM3597       | 97.6       | – + + +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An71                      | Shewanella pacifica KMM3597       | 97.6       | – + + +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An4                       | Shewanella japonica KMM 3299      | 98.3       | – + + +                               |   |   |   |    |
| **VIBRIONACEAE**          |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| **VIBRIO** sp.            |                                   |            |                                        |   |   |   |    |
| An91                      | Vibrio hemicentroti AlyHp32       | 99.17      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An92                      | Vibrio splendidus ATCC 33125      | 97.2       | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An102                     | Vibrio splendidus ATCC 33125      | 98.99      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An103                     | Vibrio splendidus ATCC 33125      | 99.14      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An104                     | Vibrio splendidus ATCC 33125      | 99.21      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |
| An105                     | Vibrio splendidus ATCC 33125      | 99.28      | – – – +                               |   |   |   |    |

16S rRNA percentage lower than 97% were indicated in red. <sup>a</sup>Ag, agarase; ι-C, ι-carrageenase; κ-C, κ-carrageenase; AL, alginate lyase.
a putative indication of a novel species. Nevertheless, further taxonomic analyses and DNA-DNA hybridization experiments should be performed or average nucleotide identities determined to confirm this (Tindall et al., 2010; Stackebrandt, 2011). However, to strengthen the taxonomic identification of these MAPD isolates and the assumption that most of these isolates represent new species, phylogenetic trees of entire 16S rRNA genes were constructed for the Flavobacteriaceae (Figure 2) and Gammaproteobacteria members (Figure 3, Figure S1). The Flavobacteriaceae phylogenetic tree strongly suggests that we have identified three novel Zobellia species (represented by An80, An77, and the seven strains of the An14 clade) and a novel Maribacter species (An21; Figure 2). Furthermore, in this phylogenetic tree, the Cellulophaga genus clearly appears non-monophyletic as the MAPD Cellulophaga isolates are separated into two clades, 12 of them having a common ancestor with C. baltica and the other 16 a common ancestor with C. lytica. This result appears to confirm the doubts raised in Bergey’s manual of Systematic Bacteriology concerning the monophyletic character of the Cellulophaga genus (Krieg et al., 2011). In the detailed Gammaproteobacteria tree (Figure S1), the Colwellia sp. An23, the Paraglaciecola sp. An27, the four Shewanella isolated and the Marinomonas sp. An109 seem very likely to represent novel species.

Last but not least, one can observe that the proportion of MAPD bacteria increases dramatically while looking only into the MAPD isolates.
TABLE 2 | Activities identified in our study and previously described for MAPD species or strains from the genera to which our 78 MAPD isolates were assigned.

| Genera to which the 78 MAPD-isolates were assigned | Activities identified in our study a | Previously described activities a | References |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| FLAVOBACTERIIA                                    |                                     |                                  |            |
| Algibacter                                        | •                                   | •                                | Park et al., 2013; Tanaka et al., 2015 |
| Cellulophaga                                      | • •                                 | • •                             | Johansen et al., 1999; Park et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2013 |
| Maribacter                                        | •                                   | •                                | Barbayron et al., 2008 |
| Zobellia                                          | •                                   | •                                | Barbayron et al., 2001; Nedashkovskaya et al., 2004 |
| GAMMAPROTEOBACTERIA                               |                                     |                                  |            |
| Paraglaciecola                                    | • •                                 | •                                | Romanenko et al., 2003; Yong et al., 2007 |
| Colwellia                                         | ■                                   | •                                | Browman, 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015 |
| Cobetia                                           | •                                   | •                                | Lechat et al., 2015 |
| Marinomonas                                       | • •                                 | •                                | Macián et al., 2005; Lucas-Elío et al., 2011 |
| Pseudolateromonas                                 | •                                   | •                                | Ivanova et al., 2001, 2003, 2004b; Wang et al., 2014 |
| Shewanella                                        | •                                   | •                                | Sugano et al., 1993; Kim et al., 2013 |
| Vibrio                                            | •                                   | •                                |            |

a Activities found in our study and found previously for species/strains of this genus; ■ Novel activities, that weren’t identified for any species/strains of this genus previously. Ag, agarase; i-C, ι-carrageenase; κ-C, κ-carrageenase; AL, alginate lyase.

FIGURE 5 | Ranges of 16S rRNA identity percentages for the identified MAPD isolates vs. known species. Two third of the MAPD isolates (~98.65% 16S rRNA identities) likely represent novel species. Indeed, 97% is the commonly accepted threshold percentage at which two species can be distinguished and 98.65% is the threshold proposed by Kim et al. (2014) which have compared the average nucleotide identities of almost 7000 prokaryotic genomes and their 16S rRNA gene identities.

at the core group of cultivable bacteria. Indeed, MAPD activity was detected in 75% of the core genera (Algibacter, Cellulophaga, Colwellia, Glaciecola Maribacter, Marinomonas, Pseudoalteromonas, Shewanella and Zobellia; Figure 1, Table 1). Thus, even though MAPD bacteria constitute a minor fraction of both the total and cultivable bacterial communities, they apparently belong to the core group of bacteria living at the surface of A. nodosum and likely exert functions that are important for their macroalgal host and/or within the microbiota as a whole. How harboring MAPD bacteria might be beneficial to the host is not obvious. Such bacteria have mostly been described as detrimental to macroalgae, being responsible for diseases, providing an entry for opportunistic bacteria, or accelerating algal degradation (Goecke et al., 2010; Egan et al., 2013; Hollants et al., 2013). Recently, Marzinelli et al. (2015) compared microbial communities on healthy and bleached thalli of the brown kelp Ecklonia radiata. They found Flavobacteriaceae and Oceanospirillaceae representatives to be more present on diseased tissues. Within these families, however, some genera were found in much higher proportion on healthy samples than on bleached ones, suggesting a role favorable to the macroalgal host. Interestingly, these genera include several of those represented by MAPD isolates obtained from A. nodosum: Zobellia, Maribacter, Pseudoalteromonas, Vibrio, Marinomonas, and Cobetia. Beyond their MAPD activities, species of these genera may have additional metabolic capacities advantageous for their hosts. This hypothesis is plausible at least for Zobellia species, which are known to synthesize an algal morphogenesis inducer (Matsuo et al., 2003). The role of MAPD bacteria within the total seaweed-associated microbiota is more obvious. These bacteria are essential for degrading intact cell-wall polysaccharides, and thus for releasing hydrolys
products assimilable by the much more abundant bacteria (e.g., \textit{Alphaproteobacteria}) lacking these unique MAPD enzymes.

\section*{CONCLUSION}

In terrestrial environments, the bacteria involved in recycling plant polysaccharides are essentially found both on living plants and in the soils that immediately surround them. The situation is more complex for marine macroalgae. They live attached to rocks, and when algal fragments are released, they are quickly dispersed by the waves and tides. The available marine metagenomic data show that the water column is a habitat poor in MAPD bacteria and, for a macroalga, not equivalent to a surrounding soil. Tidal sediments could be crucial reservoirs of MAPD bacteria, but this remains an open question. A third environment likely to be a habitat for MAPD bacteria is the surface of the macroalgae themselves. We have shown here that this is indeed the case and that the cultivable microbiota of healthy \textit{A. nodosum} specimens is enriched in MAPD bacteria. These bacteria, however, are not the most abundant ones associated with brown seaweeds; they constitute a minority fraction even within the cultivable subpopulation. An attractive hypothesis is that this low abundance of MAPD bacteria is due to active and/or passive defense systems of the macroalga, preventing proliferation of these potentially harmful bacteria. Evidence of such defense systems in macroalgae has been accumulating over the last decade (Potin et al., 2002; Egan et al., 2014). If this hypothesis is correct, one can expect MAPD bacteria to bloom on weakened or dead macroalgae, thus contributing significantly to recycling of macroalgal biomass. As regards bioprospecting, our work demonstrates that cultivating (combined, for instance, with subsequent genome sequencing of cultivable isolates) is an efficient strategy for finding new MAPD bacteria and their corresponding polysaccharidases.
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