Nest architecture of group-living wasps *Microstigmus* (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae): role of the pedicel as a defense against ants
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Abstract: The nest pedicel of a social sphecid wasp *Microstigmus nr. thripoctenus* plays an important role in protecting the brood against ant predators, as inferred from data on artificial nests. A pair of artificial nests with or without pedicels was suspended from the undersides of leaves (*Carludovica palmata*) for 30 min to assess rates of discovery and removal of food (tuna bait) by ants (*N* = 36 pairs). Three-hundred and forty-three individuals of five ant species removed food at a higher frequency from nests without pedicels (83.3% of 36 nests) than from nests with pedicels (11.1% of 36 nests).
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Observations of natural and artificial nests were made between 22 September and 15 October 1997 on Barro Colorado Island, Republic of Panama (for details on the site, see Leigh et al. 1990). We constructed replicas of nests of *Microstigmus nr. thripoctenus* by gluing saw-dust to threads (pedicels), and attached them to cells constructed of clay; cells were baited with small pieces of tuna. The dimensions of artificial nests were similar to natural ones (e.g., Matthews 1968, West-Eberhard 1977): “pedicels” were ~15 mm, and “nests” were pear-shaped and ~17 mm long. Additionally, we constructed replicas without pedicels. On each leaf of different individuals of *Carludovica palmata* R. & P. 1798 (Cyclanthaceae), we attached two artificial nests—one with and one without a pedicel. The thread was inserted into a small hole made...
with a needle, and the artificial nest was suspended from the underside of a leaf. Non-pendant nests were pinned directly to the undersides of leaves. Each of 36 nest pairs were observed for 30 min, and 3 replicates were observed during one day.

Differences in frequencies of attacks by ants on artificial nests with and without pedicels were compared with a $X^2$ test.

Voucher specimens of the model species, *Microstigmus nr. thripoctenus*, are deposited in the G. B. Fairchild Museum of Invertebrates, University of Panama, and the Snow Entomological Division, Natural History Museum, University of Kansas.

RESULTS

Nests without pedicels were attacked by 343 individuals of 5 ant species (*Ectatomma* sp., *Pheidole* sp., *Monomorium* sp., *Formica* sp. and *Camponotus* sp.), while only 5 individuals of *Ectatomma* sp. discovered nests suspended from pedicels. Ants removed bait from a significantly greater proportion of artificial nests without pedicels (83.3% of 36 trials) than for nests with pedicels (11.1% of 36 trials) ($X^2 = 37.66, d.f. = 1, P < 0.001$). Nine ants began descending the artificial pedicels, stopped halfway, and returned to the leaf, indicating they were capable of descending the structure.

DISCUSSION

In our study, ants discovered and removed food more frequently from artificial nests without pedicels than those with pedicels. These findings suggest that this architectural feature can impede ant predation, without a chemically-defended pedicel like that known for some paper wasps (Vespidae) (e.g., Jeanne 1972, 1979; Kojima 1993). Instead, this deterrence may result from a decreased likelihood that ants detect potential food that is farther from the substrate, or from a decreased probability of encountering a nest because the slender pedicel reduces the point of contact between the nest and substrate. Alternatively, the pedicel might be too difficult to descend.

During several hundred hours of observations of nests of *M. comes* in Costa Rica, Matthews and Starr (unpubl. data, cited in Matthews 1991) rarely observed foraging ants crawl onto a nest pedicel, and ant predation does not seem to be a major source of brood mortality in *M. comes* (Matthews 1991). Matthews and Starr (op. cit.) showed that *Solenopsis* ants routinely walked down pedicels during experimental manipulations, and thus are capable of reaching a *Microstigmus* nest. Matthews (1991) suggested that low rates of predation are related to the small biomass in *Microstigmus* nests, and the small payoff to predators. A slender pedicel further reduces the payoff.
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RESUMEN

La suspensión pedicelar en la arquitectura del nido de la avispa social *Microstigmus nr. thripoctenus* juega un importante papel en la protección de las crias contra los depredadores, especialmente hormigas, basado de estudios con nidos artificiales. Debajo de las hojas de *Carludovicapalmata* un nido artificial con pedicelo y otro sin pedicelo fueron suspendidos por 30 min para determinar la frecuencia de descubrimiento y eliminación de un cebo (atún) ($N = 36$ pares). El porcentaje de depredación fue menor (11.1%) en los nidos con pedicelo que en nidos sin pedicelo (83.3%). Se observaron 343 individuos de cinco especies de hormigas atacando los nidos artificiales.
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