Enzyme-Instructed Self-Assembly: A Multistep Process for Potential Cancer Therapy
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ABSTRACT: The central dogma of the action of current anticancer drugs is that the drug tightly binds to its molecular target for inhibition. The reliance on tight ligand−receptor binding, however, is also the major root of drug resistance in cancer therapy. In this article, we highlight enzyme-instructed self-assembly (EISA)—the integration of enzymatic transformation and molecular self-assembly—as a multistep process for the development of cancer therapy. Using apoptosis as an example, we illustrate that the combination of enzymatic transformation and self-assembly, in fact, is an inherent feature of apoptosis. After the introduction of EISA of small molecules in the context of supramolecular hydrogelation, we describe several key studies to underscore the promises of EISA for developing cancer therapy. Particularly, we will highlight that EISA allows one to develop approaches to target “undruggable” targets or “untargetable” features of cancer cells and provides the opportunity for simultaneously interacting with multiple targets. We envision that EISA, used separately or in combination with current anticancer therapeutics, will ultimately lead to a paradigm shift for developing anticancer medicine that inhibit multiple hallmark capabilities of cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer remains a major challenge to public health. The estimated new cases and deaths from cancer in the United States in 2014 were 1,665,540 and 585,720, respectively.1 Conventional molecular therapy or chemotherapy, based on tight ligand−receptor interactions or modification of nucleic acids, has been largely unable to meet the challenges posed by the great complexity of cancer cells2,3 that causes cancer drug resistance2,4 and metastasis.2,5 Despite the recent success in cancer immunotherapy,6 only a fraction of cancer patients are responsive to immunotherapy.7 Thus, there has always been an urgent need to develop innovative approaches for cancer therapy. Here we introduce an emerging approach that promises new directions in anticancer therapy by highlighting enzyme-instructed self-assembly (EISA)—the integration of enzymatic transformation (ET) and self-assembly (SA)—as a paradigm shift for the development of cancer therapy.

This perspective starts with a brief description of the major challenges in current cancer therapy; then, apoptosis is used as an example to illustrate that EISA, as a common theme conserved during evolution of life, constitutes the inherent mechanisms of programmed cell death; and after that, the development of EISA of small molecules is introduced followed by the discussion of several key studies to illustrate the concept of EISA for cancer therapy. A particular highlight is that EISA allows one to develop approaches to target “undruggable” targets or “untargetable” features of cancer cells and provides opportunities for simultaneously interacting with multiple targets. Finally, we suggest that EISA, used separately or in combination with current anticancer therapeutics, will ultimately provide a paradigm shift for developing anticancer medicines to target multiple hallmark capabilities of cancer that are the major challenges in current cancer therapy.

LIGAND−RECEPTOR INTERACTIONS IN DRUG RESISTANCE

Anticancer drug resistance has been a major challenge in cancer therapy. Considerable efforts have focused on overcoming drug resistance, and the approaches largely fall into three categories: inhibiting new targets (including multidrug-resistant (MDR) transporters), improving drug specificity, or using combined therapeutics to reduce the odds of resistance.8−11 The outcome of these strategies, so far, has been disappointing.12,13 These approaches aim to inhibit tumors by interrupting one or two specific essential cellular processes or functions (e.g., DNA synthesis, RNA synthesis, protein synthesis, or protein function),14 which are insufficient due to a daunting range of resistance mechanisms.2 For example, multiple inherent cellular mechanisms, such as up-regulating growth factors or efflux transporters, the mutations of drug targets, and increasing metabolic drug degradation,15−18 work against the drugs that function via ligand−receptor binding.19 In addition, tumor microenvironment,20 genomic instability,21 intratumoral heterogeneity,22 and the up-regulation of cell survival pathways
further evolve the great complexity of cancer. As pointed out by Weinberg et al.\textsuperscript{2} and illustrated in Figure 1, the cancer drugs aimed at a specific molecular target (e.g., based on tight ligand-receptor interactions) only result in a transitory clinical response that is (almost) always followed by relapses. Thus, a new paradigm of anticancer therapy is urgently needed.

■ EISA IN APOPTOSIS

As one of the most promising directions in cancer therapy in the past decade, immunotherapy utilizes the immune system to treat certain cancers and is able to achieve complete tumor regression in some cases.\textsuperscript{23} Regardless of its subtypes (i.e., cell-based therapies, antibody therapies, and cytokine therapies), immunotherapy eliminates cancer cells based on (i) generic difference between cancer and normal cells, that is, tumor cells carry cancer antigens, but normal cells do not; (ii) immune system killing the tumor cells largely by inducing apoptosis, that is, programmed cell death. While most of the attention centers on the discovery of cancer specific antigens and the development of the corresponding antibodies, an overlooked fact is that EISA, as a multistep process, constitutes an inherent feature of apoptosis (Figure 2). As part of the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis, enzymatic transformation changes the conformation of Apaf-1 to accommodate its interaction with cytochrome c,\textsuperscript{24} and the subsequent protein complex self-assembles (a.k.a., aggregates) to form the apoptosome\textsuperscript{25} as the necessary scaffold to result in cascade events of cell death; during the extrinsically induced cell death,\textsuperscript{26,27} enzymatic transformation generates certain ligands (e.g., TRAIL, TNF, and CD95L),\textsuperscript{28-30} which self-assemble (a.k.a., oligomerize) the cell death receptors (e.g., TRAIL-R1/R2, TNFR1, and CD95) and initiate the downstream signaling of apoptosis. These fundamental features of apoptosis are not a simple “on or off” (or “live or dead”) switch, but assume quantitative aspects of signaling transduction, such as location, duration, thresholds, and amplitude. These multistep processes not only are necessary for the precise and effective killing of the targeted cells without causing side effects, but also imply that EISA should be one of the strategies for developing anticancer therapeutics that selectively kill cancer cells without harming normal cells.

■ EISA OF SMALL MOLECULES

As a ubiquitous process in nature, self-assembly (or aggregation, or clustering) plays numerous roles and underlies the formation of a wide variety of biological complexes. For example, the self-assembly of proteins into highly ordered structures is both central to normal biology (e.g., the dynamics of cytoskeleton, such as microtubules) and a dominant feature in disease (e.g., the formation of β-amyloid in Alzheimer’s disease). The realization that enzymatic reactions govern most of the self-assembly processes has fascinated and inspired researchers to exploit Nature’s principles for studying and developing the small molecular self-assembly process by enzymatic transformation in the past decade. As shown in Figure 3A, in essence, enzymes initiate self-assembly by simply converting a non-self-assembling precursor into a self-assembling molecule via bond cleavage or formation. Such self-assembly of small molecules usually results in the formation of supramolecular nanoscale assemblies (e.g., nanoparticles) in water, and the nanoscale assemblies, above a certain threshold concentration, entangle to form a network and cause hydrogelation in most cases. Thus, the self-assembling molecule usually acts as a hydrogelator.\textsuperscript{31,32} Based on the above principles and using a simple Fmoc-phosphotyrosine-
osine (1), we reported the first example of EISA of small molecules. Specifically, we used alkaline phosphatase (ALP), a component of the canonical kinase/phosphatase switch, that is readily available and exhibits high catalytic efficiency, as the enzyme to instruct molecular self-assembly. As shown in Figure 3B, enzymatic dephosphorylation by ALP converts the precursor (1) into its hydrogelator (2), which, compared with 1, is more hydrophobic and self-assembles to form nanofibers/hydrogel.33 Yang et al. further explored this process by studying the EISA of methylated form of 1 on its C-terminal and demonstrated that EISA offers a sole mechanism to result in the corresponding hydrogel made of methylated 2.35

The strategy that converts precursors to hydrogelators by enzymatic transformation to induce self-assembly for the formation of supramolecular nanofibers is not limited to phosphatases. For example, we reported the use of matrix metalloprotease-9 (MMP-9) to instruct the self-assembly of hydrogelators, which form nanofibers and result in a hydrogel. Figure 3B illustrates the rational design of the short peptide (FFFFCGLDD (3)), a substrate of MMP-9. The pentapeptide, CGLDD, provides an enzyme cleavage site of MMP-9. The removal of the hydrophilic LDD (5) from 3 generates a more hydrophobic amphiphile FFFFCG (4) with balanced hydrophobic and hydrophilic interactions, which results in a hydrogelator to self-assemble into supramolecular nanofibers and afford a hydrogel.36

Enzymatic transformation to induce self-assembly for the formation of supramolecular nanofibers is also applicable to certain D-peptides. D-Peptides, as the enantiomers of the naturally occurring L-peptides, usually resist endogenous proteases and are presumably insensitive to most enzymatic transformations. We demonstrated that the chirality of the precursors derived from a tetrapeptide hardly affects the ALP-instructed self-assembly resulting from the removal of phosphate from a tyrosine phosphate residue.37 This work, as a systematic study of supramolecular hydrogelation by enzymatic dephosphorylation of ultrashort D-peptides, establishes a useful approach to generate supramolecular hydrogels that have both biostability and desired functions.38−40

Unlike phosphatases or MMPs, which break/hydrolyze a phosphoester bond or a peptide bond, respectively, to instruct self-assembly, thermolysin controls the self-assembly by catalyzing the formation of a covalent bond between two substrates, as first demonstrated by Ulijn et al.41 They reported the use of thermolysin for reverse hydrolysis to produce amphiphilic peptide hydrogelators (6) that self-assemble to form nanofibers (Figure 3). This strategy has become a powerful approach to create a dynamic library of small peptide from screening hydrogelators.

Because EISA can take place at physiological conditions, its application in biology and biomedicine is not surprising. Over the past decade, an increased number of enzymes, which catalyze bond cleavage (e.g., β-lactamase, esterase, α-
chymotrypsin,\textsuperscript{46} thrombin,\textsuperscript{47} or chymotrypsin\textsuperscript{47}), bond formation (e.g., lipase,\textsuperscript{48} microbial transglutaminase (MTGase),\textsuperscript{49} thermolysin\textsuperscript{41,43–45}), or substrate oxidation (e.g., glucose oxidase,\textsuperscript{50} peroxidase,\textsuperscript{51–53} and tyrosinase\textsuperscript{54}), have been used to instruct the self-assembly of small molecules for a wide range of applications (e.g., enzyme inhibitor screening\textsuperscript{55} and biomineralization\textsuperscript{56}). These developments have largely benefited from gelation acting as a simple assay to report molecular self-assembly in a solvent.\textsuperscript{57,58} This unique feature of supramolecular gelation and the above investigations have laid a solid molecular foundation for the exploration of EISA to inhibit cancer cells.

### EISA FOR TARGETING CANCER CELLS

Actually, besides being an intrinsic feature of apoptosis, the concept of enzyme transformation has already found applications in clinical medicine for converting prodrugs (e.g., cyclophosphamide, fludarabine phosphate, and irinotecan) into drugs through a normal metabolic process (e.g., hydrolysis of an ester bond).\textsuperscript{39} In the case of self-assembly,\textsuperscript{60} Svanborg et al. have made a seminal discovery\textsuperscript{41} in which the protein aggregates formed by the self-assembly of partially unfolded α-lactalbumin (HAMLET) induce apoptosis of tumor cells via multiple mechanisms,\textsuperscript{62–64} and have validated this approach in a human trial for treating skin papillomas.\textsuperscript{65} Wells et al. reported that the nanofibrils formed by self-assembly of small molecules initiate apoptosis via multiple mechanisms.\textsuperscript{66–68} In terms of EISA, we have demonstrated EISA to inhibit tumor cells selectively in vitro and in vivo.\textsuperscript{37,38,40,69–75} These studies suggest that EISA, as a multistep process (not a single or several compounds), is an emerging and paradigm-shift approach for developing cancer therapy. In the following, we highlight some representative examples to illustrate the concept of EISA as an unprecedented process for cancer therapy.

We designed and developed \( \text{7} \) (a substrate of carboxylesterase) as a precursor of hydrogelator (8).\textsuperscript{69} As shown in Figure 4A, this precursor (7) hardly self-assembles extracellularly, but is able to enter cells. Once the precursor is inside cells, an endogenous esterase converts it into a hydrogelator (8) that self-assembles into nanofibers. The formation of nanofibers then induces hydrogelation, which exerts stresses on the cell and causes cell death. Interestingly, the precursor (7), being innocuous to mouse fibroblast cells (NIH3T3) (Figure 4B), kills about 80% of human cancer cells (HeLa) (Figure 4C) after a two-day incubation. One plausible explanation would be the higher expression of the esterase in HeLa cell than in NIH3T3 cell, which is supported by a fluorescence assay of esterase in...
the cells. Although other differences of these two cell lines might also contribute to the apparent low toxicity of 7 against NIH3T3 cells, this result, unambiguously, indicates that the use of enzymatic reaction (rather than enzyme inhibition) to generate nanoscale assembly is specific to different types of cells, and EISA of small molecules offers a fundamentally new way to control the fate of cells, including inhibiting cancer cells.

Maruyama et al. recently reported an innovative approach that uses extracellular enzymes to instruct the intracellular self-assembly of a peptide lipid, which also is a hydrogelator, to initiate cancer cell death. Specifically, the peptide lipid precursor (9) undergoes enzymatic transformation catalyzed by matrix metalloproteinase-7 (MMP-7) to produce a hydrogelator (10), which is taken up by cancer cells. Once inside the cells, the hydrogelator (10) self-assembles to form nanofibers that critically impairs cellular function and induces death of the cancer cells (Figure 5A). Using HeLa cells as a model for human cancer cells and human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (MvE cells) as a model for normal human cells, Maruyama et al. evaluated the cell inhibitory effect of both the precursor (9) and the hydrogelator (10) with live/dead assay. They found that 9 kills most HeLa cells while remaining innocuous to MvE cells, but 10 kills both HeLa and MvE cells (Figure 5B). In addition, 9 also inhibits other cancer cells, such as A431, SKBR3, and MCF-7 cells. These results indicate that the cytotoxicity of 9 is a result of the hydrolysis catalyzed by MMP-7, as confirmed by the high correlation between the viability of various cell lines in the presence of 9 and the amount of their MMP-7 secretion (Figure 5C and D). Using the lysate of dead cancer cells, the authors confirmed the nanofibers of 10. Based the observation that the same lysate forms a hydrogel and exhibits thermoreversible gel–sol transition, the authors also validate the intracellular hydrogelation. This work by Maruyama further validates the concept of EISA for selectively killing cancer cells.

While the concept of intracellular supramolecular nanofibers formed by EISA is receiving increased exploration, we unexpectedly observed that EISA leads to selective inhibition of cancer cells by forming the pericellular nanofibers. Briefly, ectophosphatase (i.e., placentale alkaline phosphatase (ALPP)) overexpressed on the cell surface of certain cancers (e.g., cervical, ovarian, stomach, endometrial, and testis) catalytically dephosphorylates a derivative of ultrashort D-peptide (11) to form a hydrogelator (12); the accumulation of the hydrogelators results in a network of nanofibers as the matrices of a hydrogel in pericellular space, which block cellular uptake and mass exchanges, and induce cell apoptosis (Figure 6A). Most importantly, this D-peptide precursor (11) selectively kills cancer cells (e.g., HeLa, MES-SA, MES-SA/Dx5) due to the overexpression of ectophosphatases by the cancer cells (Figure 6B). Due to the overexpression of the ectophosphatases, the formation of nanofibers/hydrogels in pericellular space of cancer cells (i.e., HeLa cells; Figure 6C) occurs fast and locally (e.g., 4 h). Using Congo red, a dye for nanonets, together with TEM, we confirmed that the nanofibers of 12 act as the nanonets on the cell surface, which even prevent DAPI (a small nucleus dye) from entering cell (Figure 6D,E). The observation that incubating HeLa cells with 11 or 12 at the same concentration (200 μg/mL) gives apparently counterintuitive results—11 significantly inhibits the HeLa cells, while 12 remains innocuous (Figure 6F)—indicates that it is EISA of 12 that results in cell inhibition. Moreover, the addition of l-phenylalanine (l-Phe), an uncompetitive inhibitor of ALPP, stops the dephosphorylation and abrogates the inhibitory activity of 11 (Figure 6E), confirming that ALPP, as the ectophosphatase, dephosphorylates 11 to result in the self-assembly of 12 on cell surface to inhibit the HeLa cells (Figure...
Moreover, the unassembled 12 (even at the same concentration as 11) hardly inhibit the cells, confirming that 12, as a monomer, is innocuous to cells. This work demonstrates an unexpected yet fundamentally new mechanism to selectively inhibit cancer cells via ectoenzyme-instructed self-assembly of small molecules.

In another work, we examined the cellular response to the EISA using the derivatives of 11, which are derived from systematically replacing D-amino acid in 11 by L-amino acid. Importantly, we confirmed that ALPP, as the ectoenzyme, converts the precursors to the hydrogelators to inhibit cancer cells. Moreover, these precursors exhibit completely different cytotoxicity from those of the hydrogelators, which indicates that EISA is a general process for different substrates of ALPP. This generality is further validated by the following two cases.

Pires and Ulijn et al. recently presented an aromatic carbohydrate amphiphile (N-(fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl)-glucosamine-6-phosphate (13)), as an alternative substrate of phosphatase for EISA. They demonstrated that a simple carbohydrate phosphate derivative (13) can be converted into the self-assembling hydrogelator 14 in situ by membrane-bound ALP, which are highly expressed on the osteosarcoma cells (SaOs2 cells). Upon conversion, 14 forms nanofibers/hydrogel surrounding the cells, which induces cytotoxicity. They also proved that this process is cell specific, as another type of cells (e.g., ATDC5) with lower level membrane-bound ALP is not affected by the addition of 13 (Figure 7A). As shown in Figure 7B, while the presence of 13 hardly affects the metabolic activity of ATDC5 cell, it drastically decreases the metabolic activity of SaOs2 cells. By quantifying both membrane-bound and extracellular ALP in SaOs2 and ATDC5 cells cultures, they found that membrane bound ALP has 15−20 times higher value and extracellular ALP has 1.5−2 times higher value for SaOs2 compared to those of ATCD5, indicating that membrane bound ALP is mainly responsible for the cytotoxicity of 13 (Figure 7C). In addition, they also confirmed that the conversion of 13 to 14 by SaOs2 cells results in pericellular nanonets (Figure 7E), while these nanonets are absent without the addition of 13 (Figure 7D). The successful use of carbohydrate derivatives on osteosarcoma cells, undoubtedly, promises new possibilities for developing new cancer therapeutics based on EISA.

We also successfully applied the concept of EISA on other self-assembling entities, such as nanoparticles. By decorating commercially available iron oxide nanoparticles with a simple amino acid, D-tyrosine phosphate, we obtained D-tyrosine phosphate modified magnetic nanoparticles (15). The overexpressed ALPP on cancer cells converts 15 to 16, causing 16 to assemble and adhere on the cancer cells. This EISA process enables magnetic separation of cancer cells from mixed...
population of cells (i.e., cocultured cancer cell (HeLa-GFP) and stromal cells (HS-5)) (Figure 8A). Figure 8B confirms the selectivity of 15 toward cancer cells, because after the cells (i.e., GFP-HeLa and HS-5, respectively) were incubated with 15 and subjected to magnetic sorting, the extraction only contains HeLa-GFP cells. Moreover, we found that enzymatic trans-
formation is essential since the nanoparticles of 16 alone fail to sort the cancer cell from the coculture. Figure 8C shows cell capture efficiency of 15 toward GFP-HeLa, HS-5, and coculture of these two cells. Based on the proliferation rate of GFP-HeLa and HS-5 cells, we estimated that this method separates over 90% of cancer cells from the coculture. In addition, using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) to quantify the amount of 15 remaining on the cells, we found that more than 63% of nanoparticles adhere to the HeLa-GFP in the culture of homogeneous GFP-HeLa culture or the coculture of GFP-HeLa and HS-5. Although there are few control iron oxide nanoparticles remaining on the surface of either cell (Figure 8D), all these results indicate that enzymatic transformation of 15 by overexpressed ALPP at the surface of cancer cells, not 16 itself, confers the magnetic separation. Besides selectively capturing cancer cells in the coculture, 15 also selectively inhibits the proliferation of HeLa-GFP cells (Figure 8E) with the IC_{50} of 12 μg/mL and IC_{90} of ~40 μg/mL in cell viability assay. This work suggests that EISA of magnetic nanoparticles can selectively sort and inhibit cancer cells without involving specific ligand–receptor interactions or the use of antibodies.\textsuperscript{73} It is noteworthy that the IC_{50} of magnetic nanoparticles is already an order of magnitude lower than those of the small molecule precursors (11 and 13). This observation suggests that the introduction of multivalency to the self-assembling small molecules may enhance the potency of EISA, which remains to be explored.

Besides the representative examples above, there are increased reports on the use of enzymatic transformation and/or self-assembly to inhibit cancer cells or image cancer cells. For example, the self-assembly of a small diphenylalanine derivative accumulates selectively in cancer cells to form intracellular nanoparticles that promiscuously interact with tubulins, actins, and vimentins to impede cytoskeleton dynamics.\textsuperscript{72} These promiscuous interactions are able to inhibit the growth of glioblastoma cells, but not neuronal cells.\textsuperscript{72,75} Recently, Zheng et al. reported the self-assembly of a porphyrin derivative to form liposomes and exhibit high efficiency for photodynamic therapy.\textsuperscript{85} Moreover, EISA to form the nanoparticles of peptide derivatives, especially biostable D-peptides, prevents the diffusion and sometimes the degradation of the fluorescent probes, thus achieving high spatiotemporal resolution.\textsuperscript{37,78–80,86–90} In addition to multiple choices of enzymes, another unique advantage of EISA is that this methodology is not limited to peptides and their derivatives. The hybrid of different molecular building blocks (e.g., amino acids, nucleobases, carbohydrates, and many other motifs) can also result in nano-fibers/hydrogel via enzymatic transformation, providing that there are sufficient intermolecular interactions.\textsuperscript{74,91–93} In addition to in vitro inhibition, our preliminary results indicate that the EISA of 11 also inhibit xenograft tumor of drug-resistant cell lines in vivo, further confirming EISA as a promising approach to potential cancer therapy.

The ALPP-instructed self-assembly to inhibit cancer cells is particularly revealing. ALPP has been identified as a cancer biomarker by Fishman over 50 years ago,\textsuperscript{94} but the monitoring of ALPP in human serum for detecting cancer has been unable to achieve high accuracy because ALPP is an ectoenzyme. Recent proteomic study reveals that the overexpression of ALPP is a generic difference between cancer and normal tissues.\textsuperscript{81,94} But the development of the inhibitors of ALPP and other phosphatases has been largely unsuccessful due to the poor selectivity of the inhibitors and the physiological importance of phosphatases. Thus, phosphatases have earned the reputation of “undruggable” enzymes.\textsuperscript{95} Using the combination of enzymatic transformation and self-assembly, we and others demonstrated that the overexpression of ALPP (or membrane-bound ALP) can be targeted via EISA.\textsuperscript{30,84} The
principle established in these works may find applications to target other “undruggable” targets or “untargetable” features of cancer cells.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVE**

The merits of EISA as mentioned in this Review promise it to be a paradigm-shift approach for potential cancer therapy. As the case of nanofibers shown in Figure 9, EISA to generate pericellular and intracellular supramolecular nanofibers (or aggregates) can effectively modulate the pericellular and intracellular microenvironments, respectively. The intracellular nanofibers have large sizes to disfavor efflux, to interrupt protein interaction networks, and to block multiple cellular pathways, thus preventing cell survival via efflux pumps and evolutionary redundancy. The pericellular nanofibers entrap and isolate cancer cells to disrupt the microenvironment of cancer cells globally, and thus should directly block intercellular communications between cancer cells and the stromal cells, inhibit cancer cells, and prevent metastasis. Differing from the conventional approaches of enzyme inhibition, the use of enzyme catalysis to convert innocuous small molecules to cancer-inhibiting supramolecular nanofibers is unlikely to cause selective pressures for mutation, thus avoiding acquired drug resistance. Using the strategy of enzymatic transformation, the self-assembly/aggregation only occurs and elicits its desired functions/effects at a specific location where the enzyme of interest is overexpressed. This feature helps reduce side effects and improves selectivity—one of the reasons that EISA is superior to the direct use of the assemblies or aggregates of proteins or small molecules. Moreover, because of their supramolecular nature (i.e., formed by noncovalent inter-

---

**Table 1. Comparison of Some Key Components and Steps of Apoptosis and EISA**

| Locations | apoptosis | EISA |
|----------|-----------|------|
| enzymes  | Apaf-124  | CES125 |
| substrates | dATP | mCD95L |
| assemblies | apotosomes | oligomers of death receptors |
| intracellular | CES125 | MMP-777 |
| pericellular | ALP529,60,75,84 |
| products | ADAM1028 | ADAM1730 |
| intracellular | MMP1429 | MMP-777 |
| pericellular | proTNF | proTNF |
| intracellular | TRAIL | TRAIL |
| pericellular | TNF | TNF |
| intracellular | nanofibers of 8 | nanofibers of 8 |
| pericellular | nanofibers of 10 | nanofibers of 10 |
| intracellular | nanofibers of 12 or 14 | nanofibers of 12 or 14 |
| pericellular | aggregates of 16 | aggregates of 16 |

---

Figure 9. Plausible actions of the EISA to inhibit cancer cells: (I) interacting with multiple proteins to interrupt multiple proliferation processes and (II) interfering with redundant pathways, (III) eluding efflux pump, and (IV) blocking cellular mass exchange, prosurvival signals, and metastasis.
science. For example, there is limited knowledge of the enzymes overexpressed on or in cancer cells. Encouragingly, the information accumulated in proteomics research is filling this gap. There are few methods and techniques readily available for studying the mechanisms of the EISA for selectively inhibiting cancer cells, though they significantly depart from the conventional chemotherapy and immunotherapy. However, the fast development of biomedical research agents is offering more reliable and convenient assays for the mechanistic elucidation. Although currently it is difficult to interrogate the atomic structures of the polymorphic supramolecular nano-aggregates) formed by the self-assembling small molecules, the advancement of cryo-TEM and X-ray crystallography likely will come to the rescue. Despite the protocols for identifying the protein targets of the supramolecular nano-fibers still being imperfect, the improvement of top-down mass spectrometry will help address this issue. The concentration required to inhibit cancer cells is still high, but the optimal balance among EISA, ligand–receptor interactions, and multivalency likely will provide a solution to this problem. Therefore, EISA holds great potential, and the further exploration of this multiple step process, by addressing the above challenges, will eventually open up new directions for developing anticancer therapy to address the immense complexity of cancer. Moreover, considering that the self-assembly (or aggregation) of small molecules is such a prevalent phenomenon and more important than one thought, we expect that the development of EISA will go beyond cancer therapy, and find applications in fields of molecular imaging, antibacterial medicine, immuno-modulation, wound healing, neurodegenerative diseases, tissue regeneration, and signaling transduction. Most importantly, the concept of EISA departs from the dogma of “lock and key”. The paradigm shift from a pair of molecules (i.e., inhibitor/enzyme or ligand/receptor) to an integrated multiple step process (i.e., enzymatic reaction, molecular aggregation, and promiscuous interactions) promises solutions to many unsolved problems in biological and medical science.
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