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The frequency of DRD2 rs1076560 and OPRM1 rs1799971 in substance use disorder patients from the United Arab Emirates

Hiba Alblooshi1,2, Gary Hulse2,3, Wael Osman4, Ahmed El Kashef5, Mansour Shawky5, Hamad Al Ghaferi5, Habiba Al Safar4,6 and Guan K. Tay2,3,4,6*

Abstract

Background: Dopaminergic and opioid systems are involved in mediating drug reward and reinforcement of various types of substances including psychoactive compounds. Genes of both systems have been candidate for investigation for associations with substance use disorder (SUD) in various populations. This study is the first study to determine the allele frequency and the genetic association of the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP variants in clinically diagnosed patients with SUD from the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Methods: A cross-sectional case–control cohort that consisted of 512 male subjects was studied. Two hundred and fifty patients with SUD receiving treatment at the UAE National Rehabilitation Center were compared to 262 controls with no prior history of mental health and SUD. DNA from each subject was extracted and genotyped using the TaqMan® SNP genotyping assay.

Results: There were no significant associations observed for DRD2 rs1076560 SNP, OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP, and combined genotypes of both SNPs in the SUD group.

Conclusion: Further research is required with refinements to the criteria of the clinical phenotypes. Genetic studies have to be expanded to include other variants of the gene, the interaction with other genes, and possible epigenetic relationships.
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Background

The dopaminergic and opioid systems are part of a network involved in rewarding response following the consumption of opioids and other psychoactive substances [1, 2]. The dopamine system has been central to theories in reward of substance use disorder (SUD) that has been debated for several decades [3]. The consumption of addictive substances stimulates the release of dopamine into nucleus accumbens (NAc) elevating the dopamine level to above basal levels [4]. There are different mechanisms of action and target molecules in the dopamine system for the variety of substances that are commonly consumed. This dopaminergic system comprises an array of dopamine receptors, transporters, and substance-metabolising enzymes. Members of the family of the dopamine receptor genes, DRD1, DRD2, DRD3, DRD4, and DRD5, have been widely studied as risk factors for SUD [2]. The dopamine D2 receptor is a part of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that is encoded by the DRD2 gene. It is located on chromosome 11q23, spanning a region of 65.56 kilobases and comprises 8 exons separated by 7 introns. During the splicing process of the DRD2 mRNA precursor, two alternative subtypes of the D2 receptors are formed: a 443 amino acid D2L or a 414 amino acid D2S form. The longer D2L form is more common [5]. This 29 amino acid difference between the two isoforms does not appear to affect the pharmacological
properties of the dopamine D2 receptor. The D2L/S var-
iation changes the localization of the third intracellular
loop of the receptor that interacts with the G coupled
protein; hence, it affects the intracellular signalling me-
chanism. The mechanisms of dopamine receptor signal
transduction and regulation are not only mediated via G
protein signalling, but also involve G protein independ-
ent signalling events [6].

Understanding the reward and the treatment responses
highlight the necessity of reviewing the relation between
the genetic variants of these dopaminergic genes and
SUD [7]. Patriquin et al. [7] reviewed the correlation of
dopaminergic genes to SUD. The genetic variants of
DRD2 have been a focus of intense research to deter-
mine their link to SUD. Two single-nucleotide polymor-
phisms (SNPs) of the DRD2 loci; rs2283265 in intron 5
and rs1076560 in intron 6 have been reported to be asso-
ciated with cocaine use [8]. A DRD2 variant (rs1076560)
has also been studied in various populations. Clark et
al. [9] reported the association between rs1076560 and
opioid use in African Americans (AA) (p = 0.03)
and European Americans (EA) (p = 0.02). These find-
ings introduced insights into the possible roles of these
dopaminergic variants on SUD. However, the extent of
genetic variations acting as a risk factor for SUD is still
not understood.

The opioid receptor gene family has been extensively
studied to identify if there are any associations with
SUD. There three subtypes are μ, κ, and δ, encoded by
the OPRM1, OPRK1, and OPRD1 genes, respectively.
The product of the OPRM1 gene plays a role in facili-
tating the analgesia and euphoria effects of opioids. The
G protein-coupled mu opioid receptor encoded by the
OPRM1 gene is a multiple trans-membrane protein that
has a high affinity for endogenous and exogenous opioids
[10]. The OPRM1 gene consists of 9 exons which encode
over 100 variants that produce between 19 and 39 splice
forms of the protein [11]. The rs1799971 (A118G) site is
an SNP that is located in exon 1 of the OPRM1 gene. This
variant encodes a missense change in OPRM1 at posi-
tion 40 resulting in a change from an asparagine to an
aspartate (Asn40Asp) in the extracellular domain of the
receptor. This substitution eliminates an N-glycosylation
site in the extracellular domain, which affects endog-
ous opioid binding and receptor activity [12]. The role
of the rs1799971 in SUD remains in dispute [13, 14]. The
effect of the variants of rs1799971 on different classes
of substance has been extensively studied in various
populations [10, 11, 13, 15–20]. However, only two stud-
ies [21, 22] have looked into rs1799971 variants in the
Arab population. Several studies have reported associa-
tions between A118G with different substances of use in
patients from different ethnic groups [10, 11, 13, 15–20],
with others not finding significant associations with SUD
[23, 24].

This study is the first study to report on the allele
frequency for the rs1076560 SNP of DRD2 and the
rs1799971 SNP of OPRM1 in individuals with SUD from
the United Arab Emirates (UAE). This case–control study
investigated the genetic association between the DRD2
rs1076560 SNP and OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and SUD
in the UAE population. The allele frequencies of DRD2
rs1076560 SNP in the UAE population were compared
to other global populations. The allele frequencies of the
OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP in this UAE study were com-
pared with SUD cohorts in other Arab populations.

Methods

Subject information

A total of 250 male nationals of the UAE were recruited
from the National Rehabilitation Center (NRC) based
on the nation’s capital of Abu Dhabi. All participants
were previously diagnosed with SUD based on the
DSM-5 criteria. Saliva samples were collected from each
patient who had agreed to participate in this study, using
the DNA Oragene saliva kit (DNA Genotek, Ottawa,
Ontario, Canada). In addition, 262 male nationals of the
UAE with no prior history of SUD or mental illness were
recruited as controls. These individuals were part of an
ongoing population study towards the establishment of
the Emirates Family Registry (EFR) [25]. The characteris-
tics of the cohort are summarised in Alblooshi et al. [26]
which includes socio-demographic data as well as the
combination and types of substances that were used. The
study was conducted in accordance with standards set
by the World Medical Association of Helsinki [27]. Spe-
cifically, approval to study human subjects was obtained
from the NRC in Abu Dhabi. In addition, reciprocal
approval was obtained from the human ethic committee
at the University of Western Australia (RA/4/1/6715).

Genotyping of single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

Genomic DNA was isolated from the cells in human
saliva samples using the laboratory protocol for man-
ual extraction of DNA as recommended by Genotek
(Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). SNP genotyping was pre-
formed using a TaqMan® SNP genotyping assay on the
viA™7 (Applied Biosystems Inc. (ABI); Foster City, CA,
USA). For quality control (QC) purposes, 10% of sam-
ple that were studied were randomly selected. These QC
samples were genotyped at least twice. There was 100%
concordance between the genotypes recorded for repli-
cates from the same individual. The Hardy–Weinberg
equilibrium (HWE) was calculated for both the cases
and controls. No significant deviation from HWE was
observed.
Identification and inclusion criteria of relevant studies for comparison purposes

Life science journal articles containing information related to genotyping studies of the two SNPs of interest: DRD2 rs1076560 and OPRM1 rs1799971 were retrieved from a search of electronic publication databases. Specifically, articles in PubMed/MEDLINE (US National Library of Medicine), EMBASE (Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and ISI Web of Science (Thomson Reuters, New York, NY, USA) that were published to 15 March 2017 were retrieved. The search process was set up to specifically identify case–control studies that examined associations between each SNP (DRD2 rs1076560 and OPRM1 rs1799971) with different types of SUD in different populations or ethnic groups. Data that were specifically extracted from these published studies for comparison included: (1) the number of cases and controls; (2) the ethnicity of the study population; (3) the genotyping method used; (4) allele and genotype frequency data; (5) information related to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; and (6) the significance of the levels of associations identified (p values and statistical tests).

Statistical analysis

Allele and genotype frequencies in the cases and controls from this study were calculated using the GenAlex package (Peakall and Smouse 2006, 2012) and association was determined using the STATA statistical software (College Station, TX, USA).

Results

The allele frequencies of the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP in the patient and control groups were compared. The Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) for DRD2 rs1076560 was the “A” allele, with a frequency of 11.80% in the substance use group compared with 13.20% in the controls. Correspondingly, the “C” allele was 88.20% in cases and 86.80% in controls (Table 1). The χ² allelic association between the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and substance use was not significant in the UAE population that was studied \[ p = 0.52, \text{ odds ratio (OR)} = 0.88 \].

The results of this UAE study were compared with published data that included association studies between the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and the use of different substances (e.g., alcohol, cocaine, opioids, and poly-substances) in a number of different populations (e.g., Caucasians, African Americans, Asians, and Jordanian Arabs) (Table 1). Six relevant publications matched the selection criteria described in the “Methods” section. All the studies identified were case versus control studies. Clark et al. [9] studied a relatively large population of EA and AA (999 EA cases versus 656 EA controls and 278 AA cases versus 750 AA controls) and showed that the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP was significantly associated with opioid use in both

| Population          | Substance | Phenotype | Number | Allele’s frequency (%) | p     | OR (95% CI) | References |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|
|                     |           |           |        |                        |       |             |            |
| Caucasians          | Alcohol   | Case      | 171    | 79.00 21.00            | 0.14  | 1.34 (0.90–1.98) | [30]       |
|                     |           | Control   | 160    | 83.00 17.00            |       |             |            |
| African Americans   | Opioid    | Case      | 278    | 88.00 12.00            | 0.03  | 1.43 (1.04–1.97) | [9]        |
|                     |           | Control   | 750    | 91.00 9.00             |       |             |            |
| European Americans  | Opioid    | Case      | 999    | 83.00 17.00            | 0.02  | 1.27 (1.04–1.54) |            |
|                     |           | Control   | 656    | 86.00 14.00            |       |             |            |
| African Americans   | Cocaine   | Case      | 45     | 94.00 6.00             | 0.53  | 0.66 (0.18–2.40) | [27]       |
|                     |           | Control   | 31     | 92.00 8.00             |       |             |            |
| Caucasians          | Alcohol   | Case      | 74     | 76.00 24.00            | 0.003 | 2.74 (1.38–5.45) |            |
|                     |           | Control   | 63     | 90.00 10.00            |       |             |            |
| Japanese            | Alcohol   | Case      | 297    | 59.90 40.10            | 0.03  | 1.30 (1.02–1.66) | [29]       |
|                     |           | Control   | 425    | 66.00 34.00            |       |             |            |
| Jordanian Arabs     | Poly-substance | Case | 220 | 84.30 15.70 | 0.03 | 1.53 (0.90–2.68) | [30]       |
|                     |           | Control   | 240    | 89.20 10.80            |       |             |            |
| UAE Cohort          | Mixed⁴    | Case      | 250    | 88.20 11.80            | 0.52**| 0.88 (0.61–1.28) | This study |
|                     |           | Control   | 262    | 86.80 13.20            |       |             |            |

CI confidence intervals, OR odds ratio

** p value of Armitage test using the status of mixed opioids (n = 250) versus no addiction (n = 262)

⁴ Mixed: include single substance and poly-substance users
populations with $p$ values of 0.02 and 0.03, respectively. The MAF of the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP was higher in EA case group (17.0%) when compared to EA control group (14.0%) as well as in AA cases (12.0%) versus AA controls (9.0%) [9]. Moyer et al. [28] studied cocaine users in the same two ethnic groups (EA and AA) and showed that the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP was associated with cocaine use in EA ($p = 0.003$, OR = 2.74), but not in AA ($p = 0.53$, OR = 0.66). A polish study of European alcohol users reported results that were not significant ($p = 0.14$, OR = 1.34). In a study of Japanese patients, the risk allele “A” of the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP was associated with alcohol use ($p = 0.03$, OR = 1.30) [29]. To date, there has only been one other study of patients of Middle Eastern descent. Al-Eitan et al. [30] found the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP to be associated with poly-substance use in a Jordanian Arab population ($p = 0.03$, OR = 1.53).

The $OPRM1$ rs1799971 SNP genotype frequencies studied in two case–control studies of SUD in populations of Arab descent are summarised in Table 2. In this study, the MAF “G allele” was 15.4% in cases and 18.9% in controls. Overall, the association of the $OPRM1$ rs1799971 SNP was not significant in the UAE patients with SUD ($p = 0.12$, OR = 0.78). In comparison with an Egyptian Arab population, no significant association was reported between the $OPRM1$ rs1799971 SNP and Tramadol use ($p = 0.54$, OR = 0.73) with MAF “G allele” of 5.2% in cases and 7.0% in controls. Simple combinations in both populations indicate significant association between the MAF “G allele” with SUD ($p = 0.04$, OR = 0.73). This enhanced the odd ratio value with no heterogeneity observed. The combined data were adjusted using Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test that was close to the UAE cohort.

The combined genotype frequencies for the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP and $OPRM1$ rs1799971 SNP are summarised in Table 3. There were no significant associations between the combined genotypes of both SNPs in cases ($p = 0.88$) and controls ($p = 0.23$). The combined genotype CC/AA was the highest in cases (55.6%) and controls (50.8%). This was followed by the combined genotype CC/AG with similar representation in cases (22.0%) and controls (22.9%). The combined genotype of the AC/GG was not observed in any individuals in the case group. Whereas, this combined genotype was observed in 1.2% of the control group. There were no cases or controls subjects with the combined genotype, AA/GG.

**Table 2** Distribution of the allele frequency of rs1799971 among Arab population

| SNP | Case | Control | p* | OR (95% CI) | p-hetero** |
|-----|------|---------|----|-------------|------------|
| UAE | 175  | 171     | 0.12 | 0.78 (0.56–1.08) | 0.75 |
| Egypt-Arabs | 69  | 43      | 0.54 | 0.73 (0.26–2.07) | 0.78 (0.57–1.06) |
| Simple combination | 244 | 214    | 0.04 | 0.73 (0.51–0.99) | 0.75 |

CI confidence intervals, MAF minor allele frequency, OR odds ratio
* $p$ value of Cochran–Armitage test using allelic model
** $p$-hetero: $p$ value of heterogeneity of Breslow–Day of homogeneity test

**Table 3** $DRD2$ rs1075650 and $OPRM1$ rs1799971 genotype combination among case–control of this cohort

| SNPs | $OPRM1$ rs1799971 Genotype | Case | Control | p |
|------|---------------------------|------|---------|---|
| DNA  | AA | AG | GG | AA | AG | GG |
| $DRD2$ rs1076560 genotype | CC | 55.60 | 22.00 | 0.80 | 50.76 | 22.90 | 1.91 | 0.23 |
|  | AC | 13.20 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 12.60 | 8.78 | 1.15 |
|  | AA | 1.20 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 1.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

**Discussion**

In the UAE cohort represented in this study, there was no significant genetic association between the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP ($p = 0.52$) and SUD. The MAF of the $DRD2$ rs1076560 SNP was higher in the controls (13.2%) when compared to the substance users (11.8%). This was similar to the observations made in an AA population studied by Moyer et al. [28], where the MAF in cocaine use.
users (6.0%) was lower than in the control group at 8.0%. In general, Moyer et al. [28] reported an association between the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and cocaine use, in EA but not the AA. The overall odds ratio of 1.94 in population (n = 214) was attributed to an artefact arising from the small sample size that was studied [9]. In a more recent study, Clark et al. [9] replicated the Moyer et al. [28] study by increasing sample size. The DRD2 rs1076560 SNP was found to be associated with opioid use disorder in the two populations examined in this subsequent study (EA: p = 0.02, AA: p = 0.03), but not cocaine use (EA: p = 0.23, AA: p = 0.19). The MAF of the DRD2 rs1076560 SNP, the “A allele”, was found to be higher in the cases when compared to controls in both populations: EA at 17.0% versus 14.0%, respectively, and in AA at 12.0% versus 9.0%, respectively [9].

The different association outcomes between the studies may account for the differences in the substance of use or the pattern of use in the cohorts that were studied. Table 1 summarises the type of substances in each study, which included alcohol, opioid, cocaine, and poly-substance use. Stratifying these studies based on the type or pattern of substance used is important to identify more specific genetic risk variants [31]. Iacono et al. [32] suggested that specific substances influenced the nature of the genes that are involved in the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of that substance [31, 32]. However, it is a challenge to stratify patients according to substance of use, as often there is no single substance that is used by patients and there are overlaps between the substances are used. Clark et al. [9] investigated associations with a single substance. However, their study was plagued with difficulties related to overlap between different types of the used substances [9]. In addition, the differences in the genetic architecture between populations could dictate whether a variant is associated or not (Table 1). For example, the association between DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and alcohol use was statistically significant (p = 0.03, OR = 1.30) in a Japanese population [29]. However, the same SNP was not statistically significant in the Polish patients with alcohol use disorder (p = 0.14, OR = 1.30) [33]. Even though the findings of Malecka et al. [33] were not significant, the MAF “A allele” in the group of alcohol users was higher in the cases (21.0%) than in the controls (17.0%). In contrast, the MAF “A allele” in this UAE study and the AA group in Moyer et al. [28] were opposite, where the MAF “A allele” was higher in controls than in cases (Table 1).

This study found no significant genetic association between the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP (p = 0.12) with SUD among patients from the UAE population. The association between the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and various phenotypes of SUD has been studied and includes being a risk factor to different types of substances of use including tobacco consumption [34], alcohol use and sensitivity [13, 19, 34, 35], and opioid use [16, 36]. Other studies looked into inducing clinical symptoms or mediating responses to therapeutic treatment [11, 17, 37, 38]. The association between the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and SUD failed to reach statistical significance in our study (p = 0.12) and in a previous study by Enabah et al. [22] (p = 0.54). In addition, the MAF of the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP or “G allele” in our study (case = 15.4%, control = 18.9%) was distributed in a similar pattern to Enabah et al. [22] (case = 5.2%, control = 7.0%), where the MAF “G allele” was lower in cases than in the controls. However, by combining the two cohorts, as shown in Table 3, the increase in numbers resulted in a significant association between the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and substance use. This suggests that a larger population size in future studies is required. The OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP association varies and appears to depend on the study population and the nature of the substance of use. For example, Chen et al. [35] examined the association between the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and alcohol use disorder in two different populations (Asian and Caucasian) in a meta-analysis study. They reported an association with the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP in Asians (p < 0.001) but not in Caucasian (p = 0.76). Other studies have reported a lack of association with alcohol use [24] and with heroin and/or cocaine use [16, 23]. Since the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP has been widely studied in different populations, we focused on compiling data based on the Arab studies (Table 2). Another Arab study by Al-Etait et al. [21] investigated the role of OPRM1 variants including the rs1799971 SNP on the outcomes of therapeutic treatment for opioids. This association between OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP and the possibility of an increased chance of relapse in patients undergoing Naltrexone treatment for opioid use disorder in Jordanian patients was not significant (p = 0.55). The variability of the findings from the range of studies conducted to date highlights some contribution by the OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP. However, the variability in associations found to date requires further study to understand the contribution of this SNP.

This study is the first to examine if there is any association between combined genotypes of two genes (DRD2 rs1076560 SNP and OPRM1 rs1799971 SNP) and the susceptibility to SUD in patients of Arabian ancestry. There was no significant association found between the combined genotype frequencies of the two SNPs and disease in this case–control study (cases p = 0.88 and controls p = 0.23). Although some studies support the combined effect of variants of these two genes (DRD2 and OPRM1), the exact mechanism remains elusive. This may suggest the involvement of other genetic variants within or in the
vicinity of the \( DRD2 \) and \( OPRM1 \) genes. For example, Zhang et al. [10] examined 13 SNPs in the \( OPRM1 \) gene using haplotype analysis in an association study involving substance use patients from two populations: European and Russian ancestries [10]. They reported the involvement of the intronic variants of \( OPRM1 \) (rs511435, rs534731, rs3823010, rs2075572, and rs609148) in increasing risk to SUD. Some of these SNPs were located in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with \( OPRM1 \) rs1799971 SNP and others have been postulated to be involved in transcription regulation or alternative gene splicing. The findings in Zhang et al. [10] highlighted the limitation of selecting a single SNP of a candidate gene to examine the genetic association with SUD.

Interaction between the \( DRD2 \) and \( OPRM1 \) genes with other genes has been examined across different substances of use. For instance, Lechner et al. [39] examined the combined effect of the \( OPRM1 \) rs1799971 SNP and the \( DRD4 \) exon 3 VNTR variants on cigarette craving after alcohol consumption. The study reported that the presence of the G allele is associated with an increase in cigarette craving after alcohol consumption. However, no significant association between the exon 3 VNTR variants of the \( DRD4 \) were found with the condition [39]. In addition, Sullivan et al. [40] reported a gene–gene interaction between the dopamine receptors gene (\( DRD2 \)) and the dopamine transporter gene (\( DAT \)) in cocaine users. The interaction between the regulatory variant of \( DRD2 \) (rs2283265) and dopamine transporters gene altered \( DAT \) protein activity, supporting the possibility that variants being a risk factors for cocaine use [40].

**Conclusion**

This study provides insights into two major genes that are thought to be risk factors of substance use. Specifically, the \( DRD2 \) rs1076560 SNP and the \( OPRM1 \) rs1799971 SNP were studied in substance use patients from the UAE population. No significant association between the \( DRD2 \) rs1076560 SNP, the \( OPRM1 \) rs1799971 SNP, and the combined genotype of the two SNPs and SUD was identified in this cohort. Nevertheless, future studies must consider stratification of the disease phenotype to assess possible association with \( DRD2 \) and \( OPRM1 \). In addition, the findings of this study might suggest the involvement of other variants or genes in the mechanism of the disorder. Haplotype analyses for \( DRD2 \) and \( OPRM1 \) variants can be considered in future studies to evaluate the interaction of variants on each gene. Alternatively, genome-wide association study (GWAS) could be more objective strategy, since it does not rely on any previous conclusions from other populations.
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