Case Report

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention on split left coronary artery: Two case reports
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Abstract

The split left coronary artery (LCA) is an anomaly of coronary arteries connection related to the aorta, presenting more often in patients who underwent invasive coronary angiography compared to coronary computed tomography angiography. Although this anomaly causes no hemodynamic impairment, failure to recognize may lead to incorrect diagnosis and prolonged procedures during acute myocardial infarction resulting in serious complications. We report 2 cases of split left coronary artery presenting with acute myocardial infarction who underwent primary percutaneous coronary interventions (pPCI) with excellent outcomes. In the both cases, electrocardiogram demonstrated ST-segment elevation and cardiac biomarkers were increased. Also, before coronary angiography in both patients echocardiographic examination was performed revealing hypokinesis who corresponded with the territory of occluded coronary arteries. During invasive further coronary examinations split left artery was found, besides the culprit lesion in the left anterior descending artery (LAD). Successful percutaneous stenting was performed on LAD achieving TIMI flow grade 3 in both cases. Prompt recognition of split LCA in the setting of acute myocardial infarction during pPCI, it is essential to achieve appropriate treatment and avoid potential clinical consequences.
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Introduction

Congenital coronary artery anomalies (CAAs) have a prevalence of 0.21%-5.79% [1]. Based on embryological-anatomical classification, one of anomalies of coronary arteries is split coronary left artery, which is found in 0.41% of patients who undergo coronary angiography [1-3]. This anomaly in contrast to other CAAs is found more often by invasive coronary angiography (ICA) compared to coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) [4]. The anomaly, denoting separate left-sided origins of both main arteries may be challenging during invasive treatment of acute myocardial infarction [5].

We present 2 cases with split left coronary artery and acute myocardial infarction who underwent primary percutaneous coronary interventions.

Case presentation no. 1

A 50-year-old, male, presented with typical chest pain in last 2 hours prior to admission. Regarding risk factors for coronary artery disease, patient referred that was an active smoker.

Electrocardiogram on presentation demonstrated ST-segment elevation in leads V1-V4. Cardiac biomarkers were as follows: initial cardiac troponin (cTn) level of 0.14 ng/mL (0-0.02 ng/mL), creatine kinase (CK) level of 2080 U/L (38-171 U/L), and creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) level of 210ng/mL (5-25 ng/mL). Echocardiography examination revealed hypokinesis of mid anteroseptal segment, apical anterior segment, apical septal segment, and apex with low left ventricular systolic function. Urgent coronary angiography was performed. The right coronary system was engaged first and it showed no significant lesions. During the effort to engage the left coronary system in the left anterior oblique caudal (LAO-caudal) projection it was possible to visualize only the left circumflex artery (LCx) but not the left anterior descending artery (LAD) (Fig. 1A). In the same projection, by gently pulling the catheter back and testing injections of contrast, we were able to identify separate origin of LAD (Figs. 1B and C). In the right anterior oblique cranial (RAO-cranial) projection a separate origin of LAD and LCx was demonstrated, and culprit lesion in mid-LAD (subocclusion) was identified (Fig. 1D). Subsequently, LAD was engaged and percutaneous intervention was performed with a drug-eluting stent achieving TIMI flow grade 3 (Figs. 2A and B).

Fig. 1 – Coronary angiography showing split left coronary arteries (case no.1). (A) Separately engaged LCx (arrow). (B) Separately engaged LAD (arrow). (C) Separate ostia for LAD and LCx (arrows). (D) Culprit lesion in mid-LAD (arrows).
Case presentation no. 2

A 63-year-old male presented to emergency department complaining of chest pain, an hour and half before admission to hospital. He had history of hypertension and smoking. Electrocardiogram showed infero-lateral acute changes. Initial cardiac troponin (cTn), creatine kinase (CK) and creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) levels were 0.10 ng/mL (0-0.02 ng/mL), 2510 U/L (38-171 U/L) and 253 ng/mL (5-25 ng/mL), respectively. Transthoracic echocardiography revealed hypokinesis of apical anterior segment, apical septal segment, apical inferior segment, and the apex with left ventricular ejection fraction of 50%. Coronary angiography showed no significant stenosis in the right coronary artery. The left main coronary artery was split into the left anterior descending artery (LAD) and the left circumflex artery (LCX) (Figs. 3A and B). Since there was no common left main coronary artery, LAD was separately engaged in posterior anterior cranial [PA-cranial] projection and LCX in left anterior oblique cranial (LAO-cranial) projection, confirming the culprit lesion (occlusion) in distal LAD (Figs. 3C and D). Finally, it performed PCI in the LAD with a drug-eluting stent which resulted in TIMI flow grade 3 (Figs. 4A and B).

Discussion

Based on invasive further examinations, computed tomography (CT) and data form autopsies coronary arteries congenital anomalies are presented with a prevalence of 0.21%-5.79% [1,2]. Absent left main coronary trunk (split left coronary artery) is classified among anomalies of coronary arteries (CAs) connection to the aorta/systemic circulation. Split origin of LAD and LCx is found in 0.41% of patients who underwent coronary angiography [1-3]. CAs in humans, are meant that originate during angiogenesis from the endothelium [6]. Members of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family are regulators of endothelial cells (ECs) penetration to the aorta [7]. The VEGF-C mediates the establishment of the peritruncal vessels that surround and are anastomosed with aorta, morphological steps that are required for CA stem patterning [8]. Thereby, abnormal CA stem patterning results from failure to complete either morphological steps.

Most of these anomalies are asymptomatic, may reveal with serious clinical outcomes as coronary artery disease, heart failure, heart rhythm disturbances or sudden cardiac death [2,3]. Clinical manifestations depend on long courses of coronary arteries, angulation, intramural courses, vasospasm, endothelial injury, and the compression of the aberrant artery [9,10]. Usually not existing left main coronary artery (LMCA) causes no hemodynamic harm, is considered benign, failure being aware may lead to prolonged procedures, in particular during acute coronary syndromes or inaccurate diagnosis with a possibility of resulting in serious complications [11,12]. When the LAD and LCx arise from separate ostia in the left coronary cusp, separately engagement either of the LCx or the LAD with only one branch visualized may be confused with an occluded companion branch.

Coronary anomalies can be detected with both imaging modalities, ICA and CCTA. The split LCA was the most frequently observed anomaly, occurring in 36% of the patients who underwent ICA, while occurred in only 11.6% of patients who underwent CCTA [4].

Therefore, the clinical challenge of coronary anomalies presented with acute myocardial infarction is understanding of their anatomical variability towards a correct diagnosis and early treatment [13,14].
Fig. 3 – Coronary angiography showing absence of left main coronary artery (case no. 2). (A and B) LAD and LCx arising from separate ostia in the left coronary sinus (arrows). (C) Separately engaged LAD detecting culprit lesion (arrow). (D) Separately engaged LCx (arrow).

Fig. 4 – Primary percutaneous coronary intervention in LAD (case no 2). (A) The stent balloon is inflated, deploying the stent. (B) The final angiographic result.
Conclusions

During acute coronary syndromes, in order of achieving optimal treatment, on time detection of split LCA is essential, which will help in avoiding potential consequences too. Therefore, coronary anomalies should be kept in mind, as overlooking them may lead to coronary artery disease misdiagnosis.
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