Oxidation capacity of the city air of Santiago, Chile
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Abstract. The oxidation capacity of the highly polluted urban area of Santiago, Chile has been evaluated during a summer measurement campaign carried out from 8–20 March 2005. The hydroxyl (OH) radical budget was evaluated employing a simple quasi-photostationary-state model (PSS) constrained with simultaneous measurements of HONO, HCHO, O₃, NO, NO₂, j(O₁D), j(NO₂), 13 alkenes and meteorological parameters. In addition, a zero dimensional photochemical box model based on the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCMv3.1) has been used to estimate production rates and total free radical budgets, including OH, HO₂ and RO₂. Besides the above parameters, the MCM model has been constrained by the measured CO and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including alkanes and aromatics. Both models simulate the same OH concentration during daytime indicating that the primary OH sources and sinks included in the simple PSS model predominate. Mixing ratios of the main OH radical precursors were found to be in the range 0.8–7 ppbv (HONO), 0.9–11 ppbv (HCHO) and 0–125 ppbv (O₃). The alkenes average mixing ratio was ~58 ppbC accounting for ~12% of the total identified non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs). During the daytime (08:00 h–19:00 h), HONO photolysis was shown to be the most important primary OH radical source comprising alone ~55% of the total initial production rate, followed by alkene ozonolysis (~24%) and photolysis of HCHO (~16%) and O₃ (~5%). The calculated average and maximum daytime OH production rates from HONO photolysis was 1.7 ppbv h⁻¹ and 3.1 ppbv h⁻¹, respectively. Based on the experimental results a strong photochemical daytime source of HONO is proposed. A detailed analysis of the sources of OH radical precursors has also been carried out.

1 Introduction

The physical and chemical properties of the atmosphere are influenced by the presence of trace gases like nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The oxidising capacity of the atmosphere determines the rate of their removal (Prinn, 2003), and hence controls the abundance of these trace gases. Understanding the processes and rates by which species are oxidized in the atmosphere is thus crucial to our knowledge of the atmospheric composition of harmful and climate forcing species. The term “oxidation capacity”, OC is defined in the current study as the sum of the respective oxidation rates of the molecules Yᵢ (VOCs, CO, CH₄) by the oxidant X (X=OH, O₃, NO₃) (Geyer et al., 2001):

\[ OC = \sum k_{Yi}[Y_i][X], \]

where \( k_{Yi} \) is the bi-molecular rate constant for the reaction of \( Y_i \) with \( X \).

In addition, the concentrations of the oxidant species (O₃, OH, NO₃) was also used as important indicators and key
measure of the atmospheric oxidation capacity (Liu et al., 1988). However, since the life time of the trace gases is controlled not only by the oxidant concentration but also by its second-order rate constant ($k_2$), the method of Geyer et al. (2001) is most suitable to calculate the relative importance of each oxidant in the current study. Otherwise, O$_3$ (of the highest concentration among the oxidizing species) would be always the most important oxidant. Recently, a comparable method based on the reciprocal of the life time (1/τ) of the oxidized species ($Y_i$) was also used to express the total oxidation capacity (Cheng et al., 2008).

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is the primary oxidant in the atmosphere, responsible for the oxidation and removal of most natural and anthropogenic trace gases. In addition, initiating oxidation by reaction with the OH radical leads to the formation of harmful oxidants, such as ozone (O$_3$) and peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN). Thus, the identification and quantification of the different atmospheric OH radical sources and sinks is of paramount importance. Primary sources of the OH radical include the photolysis of ozone followed by the subsequent reaction of the excited O$^+$ atom with water, photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO) in the presence of nitrogen oxide (NO), direct photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) and the reactions of unsaturated hydrocarbons with O$_3$. Ren et al. (2003) recently calculated the relative importance of the above sources of OH in New York and estimated HONO photolysis contributed up to ~60%. In other field work studies, unexpected high daytime values of HONO were observed (e.g. Zhou et al., 2002; Kleffmann et al., 2002, 2005; Acker et al., 2006a, b) and new photochemical HONO sources have been proposed (Kleffmann, 2007), some of which have recently been identified in the laboratory (Zhou et al., 2003; George et al., 2005; Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007; Bejan et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008).

Summertime urban OH and HO$_2$ radical budgets have been evaluated in several field campaigns (e.g., George et al., 1999; Holland et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003; Heard et al., 2004; Volkamer et al., 2007; Emmerson et al., 2007; Kanaya et al., 2007). In most of these studies, the experimental measurements were complemented with model simulations in order to understand the chemical mechanisms that control tropospheric urban chemistry. Interestingly, the urban nighttime OH and HO$_2$ radical budgets have been shown to be better simulated during the summer than winter, especially for high NO$_x$ environments. Ren et al. (2006) used a box model incorporating the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM; Stockwell et al., 1997), which is based on the lumping technique to simulate radical budgets in New York during a winter campaign carried out in 2004 and obtained a median measured to model ratio of 0.98 for OH. However, the RACM model significantly underestimated HO$_2$, both during day and at night, with median measured to model ratio of 6.0. Similarly, during the IMPACT campaign in Tokyo the RACM model reproduced wintertime OH well but underestimated the HO$_2$ by a median factor of 2.

However, during the summer, the RACM model generally reproduced the daytime OH and HO$_2$ reasonably well (Kanaya et al., 2007). For Mexico City, Shirley et al. (2006) reported a median measured to model OH ratio of 1.07 during the morning and night and 0.77 during the rush hour using the RACM model. For HO$_2$, median measured to model ratios of 1.17, 0.79 and 1.27 were determined during the morning rush hour, midday and night, respectively. Besides lumped mechanisms, the more explicit Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/; Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003; Bloss et al., 2005) has been used extensively to interpret field measurements, carried out under a variety of conditions, including urban environments (e.g. Mihelcic et al., 2003; Emmerson et al., 2005a, b, 2007). During the BERLIOZ campaign, which took place in Berlin in August 1998 (Mihelcic et al., 2003), the hydroxyl and peroxy radical (RO$_2$) budgets have been measured and compared to those calculated by a photochemical box model containing the MCM. The modelled OH concentrations were found to be in excellent agreement with the measurements under high-NO$_x$ conditions (NO$_x$>10 ppbv). The measured RO$_2$/HO$_2$ ratio was also well reproduced by the model. The MCM modelled radical concentrations during the TORCH campaign, which took place ~40 km NE of central London in the summer of 2003 also agreed well with measurements with only a 24% and 7% overprediction for OH and HO$_2$, respectively (Emmerson et al., 2007). During the majority of the summer campaign studies reported in the literature the daytime peak OH is well simulated, in the range of (3–10)×10$^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ (Kanaya et al., 2007 and references therein). However, model OH production rate analysis has suffered from high uncertainties due to the use of estimated HONO concentrations rather than accurate direct simultaneous measurements (e.g. Heard et al., 2004; Emmerson et al., 2005b, 2007; Kanaya et al., 2007). Using an MCM constrained box model with estimated HONO concentrations, the diurnally averaged OH concentrations during the summer of 1999 PUMA field campaigns in Birmingham city centre was underestimated by a factor of ~2 during the day especially under high NO$_x$ conditions (Emmerson et al., 2005a). This could potentially be due to an underestimation of daytime HONO concentrations from using only known gas phase chemistry (Kleffmann et al., 2005). Thus, other photochemical sources have been proposed and recently identified in the laboratory, e.g. by the photochemical heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ on natural surfaces (George et al., 2005; Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007). The net HONO photolysis (defined as the HONO photolysis rate minus the radical loss rate due to the reaction OH+NO) was not a net source of OH radicals in the atmosphere when the reaction of NO+OH was assumed as the unique HONO source in Birmingham city centre (Heard et al., 2004). Emmerson et al. (2005a, 2007) incorporate a parameterization for the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ into HONO on aerosol surfaces in their MCM model. As a result, an increase in OH concentration by only
Table 1. Instrumentations used during the Santiago de Chile field campaign.

| Species | Method | Response time | Detection limit |
|---------|--------|---------------|-----------------|
| HONO    | LOPAP-technique (Long-Path-Absorption Photometer) | 4 min | 3 pptv |
| HCHO    | Hantzsch reaction based instrument, Aero Laser CH2O analyser (Model AL4001) | 3 min | 50 pptv |
| NO      | Chemiluminescence based analyzer with molybdenum converter (Model TELESYNE 200 E) | <10 s | 400 pptv |
| NO2     | DOAS-OPSIS optical system | 2 min | 0.5 ppbv |
| O3      | Short-path UV absorption (λ=254 nm), from Advanced Pollution Instruments Model 400. | 10 s | 1 ppbv |
| O3b     | UV absorption based monitor (Dasibi Model 1009-Cp) | 10 s | 1 ppbv |
| COa     | IR absorption based monitor (Interscan 4000) | 20 s | 1 ppb |
| PAN     | GC-ECD (Meteorologie Consult GmbH) | 10 min | 25 pptv |
| j(NO2), j(O1D) | Filter radiometers (Meteorologie Consult GmbH) | 1 min | – |
| C3-C10 NMHCs | GC-FID analysis (HP Model 6890) following the US Compendium Method TO-17 (EPA) | 3 h (day) and 6 h (night) | 37 pptv (4–77 pptv) |

a Measured at the Park O’Higgins station (POH) 1.8 km southeast of the USACH measurement site.
b Used to investigate the ozone interferences during the VOC sampling process.

0.03% (Emmerson et al., 2005a) and a net contribution of HONO to the radical production of 3% during hot and stagnant “heatwave” conditions of the TORCH campaign (Emmerson et al., 2007) were calculated. A similar contribution of 3% was estimated in Tokyo assuming heterogeneous production of HONO by dry deposition of NO2 to the ground with HONO subsequently produced according to the reaction 2NO2+H2O→HONO+HNO3 (Kanaya et al., 2007). During the LAFRE campaign in California, 1993 (George et al., 1999), a significant reduction in both modelled OH and HO2 has been observed when heterogeneous HONO formation on ground surfaces was removed from the model, especially in the morning. Similarly, in BERLIOZ, the RACM model predicted only 50% of the measured OH concentrations when HONO photolysis was switched off in the early morning (Allicke et al., 2003). It is clear, therefore, that the simultaneous measurement of HONO, along side other major radical precursors, is crucial in the analysis of atmospheric radical budgets (e.g. Ren et al., 2003; Kleffmann et al., 2003; Acker et al., 2006a, b).

Several studies focusing on air quality issues in Santiago de Chile have shown that severe air quality problems, including the photochemical formation of large amounts of ozone, PAN and related photooxidents, have a significant impact on health problems in the city (Rappenglück et al., 2000; Rubio et al., 2004; Rappenglück et al., 2005). However, none of these studies have observed the diurnal variation of the important OH radical precursor HONO.

The work reported here focuses on the analysis of a comprehensive suite of data taken during a summertime field campaign carried out in the city of Santiago de Chile from 8–20 March 2005. This work constitutes the first detailed evaluation of photochemistry in Santiago, Chile that takes into account all the major primary OH radical sources, namely the photolysis of HONO, formaldehyde (HCHO) and ozone (O3) and the dark reactions of ozone with alkenes, in addition to peroxy radical (HO2 and RO2) recycling reactions. Under the high NOx conditions often experienced in Santiago (Elshorbany et al., 2009), a constrained photochemical box model based around the MCM and a simple photo-stationary steady state (PSS) model were used to evaluate radical budgets and their source apportionment during late summer in order to understand the photochemistry occurring in such a highly polluted urban environment as Santiago.

2 Methodology

2.1 Measurement site

The measurements were performed downtown of the city of Santiago, Chile, on the third floor of the Physics Department of the University of Santiago (USACH) and in the Park O’Higgins station (POH), situated ~1.8 km southeast of the main USACH measurement site. The city of Santiago de Chile is located at ~33.45° latitude and 70.67° longitude, ~550 m above sea level and surrounded by two mountain ranges, the Andes and the Cordillera de la Costa.

2.2 Measurement techniques

The techniques used to measure the different parameters are listed in Table 1 with their response times and detection limits. At the USACH site HONO was measured by the sensitive LOPAP (Long Path Absorption Photometer) technique (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2002). The LOPAP instrument was recently intercompared against the DOAS
technique, both in a smog chamber and in the urban atmosphere. Excellent agreement was obtained under daytime photochemical smog conditions (Kleffmann et al., 2006), in contrast to other intercomparison studies (e.g., Appel et al., 1990; Spindler et al., 2003). The excellent agreement can be explained by the active correction of interferences and the use of an external sampling unit, minimizing artefacts in sampling lines. Potential heterogeneous HONO formation on the walls of the USACH building on which the sampling unit was fixed (~130 cm distance), was also investigated. No significant variation of the HONO concentration was observed when varying the distance of the sampling unit (20–150 cm) from the wall.

Other measured parameters at the USACH site included HCHO, NO, NO_2, PAN and photolysis frequencies j(NO_2) and j(O_1^D). The photolysis frequencies of HCHO and HONO were calculated from the measured j(NO_2) and j(O_1^D) data (Holland et al., 2003). The parameterization by Holland et al. (2003) cover a variety of meteorological conditions (zenith angle=31°–90°, 300–400 DU total ozone, 0–8 octa cloud cover, 6°–28°C ambient temperature). In Santiago, the average campaign maximum zenith angle of 32°, annual mean (1979–2004) total ozone column of 281±8 DU (Diaz et al., 2006), clear sky and ambient temperature range of 12°–32°C, lies within the range of meteorological parameters used to generate the j-parameterization by Holland et al., 2003 justifying its use.

O_3, CO and meteorological data were obtained from the POH station. C_3–C_10 NMHCs were sampled at the USACH site on adsorption tubes and analyzed by GC-FID analysis following the US EPA Compendium Method TO-17 (see Table 2). The detailed analytical procedure is published elsewhere (Niedojadlo et al., 2007). The ambient NMHCs have been sampled using an automatic system equipped with calibrated regulated flow controllers and applying an air flow of 20 ml/min on the adsorbing tubes. After sampling, the adsorption tubes were capped with Parafilm, stored in air sealed glass tubes in the refrigerator and returned to Germany for GC-FID analysis. Potential ozone interferences have been tested in the laboratory by sampling a standard VOC mixture over the same type of adsorption tubes with and without addition of ozone at a mixing ratio of 135 ppbv. Sampling periods of three hours were chosen using NMHCs mixing ratios corresponding to the minimum observed NMHCs mixing ratios during the measurement campaign. Ozone was prepared by passing a regulated flow of pure synthetic air through a mercury UV-lamp based ozoniser followed by a reaction vessel with glass rings cooled with dry ice to 203 K in order to trap the HO_3 radicals from the ozonised air. Ozone has been monitored by a commercial UV absorption based monitor (Table 1). Only reductions of as low as ~8.8% for trans-2-butene and as high as ~29.4% for cis-2-pentene were observed. The average and median O_3 values (averaged over the same time intervals of the VOC samples) were only 26 and 21 ppbv, respectively. Thus, we exclude significant negative interferences from ozone. This result is in agreement with the study of Koppmann et al. (1995) who found no significant interferences from ozone up to mixing ratios of 100 ppbv either using pressurized air samples or cryogenically collected air samples even at very low VOC concentrations.

2.3 Modelling approach

2.3.1 Simple Quasi-Photostationary State Model, PSS

OH concentrations were calculated with the steady-state approximation using the radical production rates from HONO, HCHO and ozone photolysis, alkenes ozonolysis and the radical loss rate. Under the prevailing high NOx conditions radical loss is mainly governed by the reactions of OH with NOx (c.f. George et al., 1999; Ren et al., 2006; Emmerson et al., 2005b, 2007; Kanaya et al., 2007). During the day, formation of HONO by reaction of OH with NO is essentially balanced by photolysis of HONO formed from this reaction. Radical removal by peroxy-peroxy radical reactions is unimportant under high NOx conditions (see Sect. 3.3). Thus, the net radical loss rate can be estimated from the rate of reaction of OH with NOx:

$$L_R = k_{NO_2+OH}[NO_2][OH]$$

The applied steady state approximation can be summarized as follows:

$$P_R = L_R.$$  

The total rate of radical initiation, $P_R$, is given by:

$$P_R = \Phi_{OH}[HONO] + j(O_1^D)[O_3]\Phi_{OH} + \sum k_{O_3+alkene}[alkene][O_3]\Phi_{OH},$$

for which:

$$\Phi_{OH} = 2j(HCHO_{radical})[HCHO].$$

For ozone photolysis $\Phi_{OH}$ (defined here as the fraction of O_1^D produced that will react with H_2O rather than is quenched to ground state O_3^P) was calculated using known rate constants for O_1^D quenching and reaction with water in addition to the measured water concentration. For the alkene ozonolysis reactions $\Phi_{OH}$ represents the OH yield from the respective reactions (e.g. Rickard et al., 1999).

Therefore, the steady state OH concentration is given by:

$$[OH]_{PSS} = P_R/(k_{NO_2+OH}[NO_2]).$$
### Table 2. List of the VOCs measured during the summer campaign in Santiago de Chile.

| MCM Compound name                        | $D_L$ (ppbv) | Mixing ratio (ppbv) | Max | Average | Median |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----|---------|--------|
| * propene                                | 0.07         |                     | 38.8 | 3.80    | 1.56   |
| * propane                                | 0.07         |                     | 475  | 41.8    | 11.5   |
| * i-butane (2-methylpropane)             | 0.06         |                     | 18.0 | 2.95    | 1.57   |
| 1-butene, i-butene                       | 0.20         |                     | 9.2  | 2.35    | 2.04   |
| * 1,3-butadien                           | 0.06         |                     | 0.41 | 0.15    | 0.12   |
| * n-butane                               | 0.04         |                     | 18.3 | 3.89    | 2.32   |
| * trans-2-butene                         | 0.04         |                     | 0.86 | 0.18    | 0.11   |
| * cis-2-butene                           | 0.04         |                     | 0.67 | 0.16    | 0.10   |
| * 3-methyl-1-butene                      | 0.05         |                     | 1.22 | 0.19    | 0.14   |
| * i-pentane (2-methylbutane)             | 0.06         |                     | 27.6 | 5.75    | 4.08   |
| * 1-pentene                              | 0.01         |                     | 1.77 | 0.30    | 0.18   |
| * n-pentane, 2-methyl-1-butene           | 0.06         |                     | 18.8 | 2.03    | 0.82   |
| * isoprene                               | 0.02         |                     | 1.84 | 0.67    | 0.51   |
| * trans-2-pentene                        | 0.01         |                     | 1.41 | 0.24    | 0.14   |
| * cis-2-pentene                          | 0.004        |                     | 0.74 | 0.15    | 0.10   |
| * 2-methyl-2-butene                      | 0.04         |                     | 2.10 | 0.33    | 0.20   |
| 2,2-dimethylbutane                       | 0.09         |                     | 5.20 | 1.07    | 0.63   |
| cyclopentene                             | 0.02         |                     | 0.17 | 0.05    | 0.04   |
| methyl-tert-butyl ether, 2,3-dimethylbutan, cyclopentene | 0.16       |                     | 5.84 | 1.28    | 0.89   |
| 2-methylpentane                          | 0.06         |                     | 14.4 | 3.11    | 1.94   |
| * 3-methylpentane                        | 0.05         |                     | 6.09 | 1.40    | 0.92   |
| * 1-hexene                               | 0.02         |                     | 0.80 | 0.20    | 0.14   |
| n-hexan, 2-ethyl-1-butene                | 0.06         |                     | 5.31 | 1.36    | 0.94   |
| 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene               | 0.02         |                     | 0.15 | 0.05    | 0.03   |
| methylvyclopentane, 1-methyl-1-cyclopentene | 0.07       |                     | 6.27 | 1.36    | 0.87   |
| * 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene                  | 0.02         |                     | 0.52 | 0.10    | 0.06   |
| benzene                                  | 0.08         |                     | 9.22 | 2.13    | 1.43   |
| cyclohexane, 2,3-dimethylpentane         | 0.07         |                     | 8.07 | 2.08    | 1.50   |
| * 2-methylhexane                         | 0.04         |                     | 1.71 | 0.35    | 0.22   |
| cyclohexene                              | 0.05         |                     | 0.39 | 0.13    | 0.10   |
| 1-heptene                                | 0.02         |                     | 1.01 | 0.24    | 0.17   |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane                   | 0.03         |                     | 3.81 | 0.92    | 0.68   |
| * n-heptane                              | 0.02         |                     | 2.50 | 0.55    | 0.42   |
| 1,4-cyclohexadiene                       | 0.04         |                     | 0.14 | 0.07    | 0.06   |
| 2,3,4-trimethylpentane                   | 0.03         |                     | 0.92 | 0.13    | 0.05   |
| * toluene                                | 0.01         |                     | 32.7 | 6.30    | 4.11   |
| 2-methylheptane                          | 0.03         |                     | 1.46 | 0.31    | 0.21   |
| 3-methylheptane                          | 0.02         |                     | 0.57 | 0.10    | 0.07   |
| 4-methylheptane, 1-methyl-1-cyclohexene  | 0.06         |                     | 1.57 | 0.30    | 0.19   |
| 1-octene                                 | 0.03         |                     | 0.80 | 0.18    | 0.14   |
| * n-octane                               | 0.02         |                     | 1.82 | 0.34    | 0.23   |
| * ethylbenzene                           | 0.02         |                     | 6.06 | 1.38    | 1.14   |
| m- & p-xylene                            | 0.04         |                     | 22.2 | 5.14    | 4.26   |
| * styrene                                | 0.03         |                     | 1.02 | 0.22    | 0.16   |
| * o-xylene                               | 0.04         |                     | 7.72 | 1.81    | 1.50   |
| * α-pinene                               | 0.07         |                     | 1.95 | 0.41    | 0.27   |
| * n-propylbenzene                        | 0.02         |                     | 1.68 | 0.36    | 0.26   |
| * 4-ethyltoluene                          | 0.01         |                     | 1.40 | 0.30    | 0.21   |
| * 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene                  | 0.03         |                     | 2.79 | 0.58    | 0.38   |
| * n-decane                               | 0.02         |                     | 2.94 | 0.60    | 0.42   |
| 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, tet. butylbenzene | 0.04       |                     | 6.91 | 1.42    | 0.92   |
| * 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene                  | 0.01         |                     | 1.31 | 0.27    | 0.17   |
| 1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene               | 0.02         |                     | 5.55 | 0.43    | 0.22   |

* Compounds included in the MCM model (see Sect. 3.5).
2.3.2 The Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM

A zero dimensional photochemical box model based on the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCMv3.1 (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM) has been used to evaluate the radical bud-
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\[a\] scaling factor, calculated from the ratio of measured and
model calculated \(j(\text{NO}_2)\) values, which takes into account
the effects of varying cloud cover and aerosol scattering. A
series of rate of production analyses (ROPA) were carried out
in order to identify the most important photochemical pro-
cesses driving the formation and loss of OH and \(\text{HO}_2\). The
MCM photochemical model was run for a period of five days,
with the model being constrained with the same measured
campaign average parameters each day, in order to gener-
ate realistic concentrations for the unmeasured intermediate
species. By the fifth day the free radicals in the model have
reached a photostationary state, which has been used for the
data evaluation.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Measurements results analysis

For the data evaluation, all measurements were averaged over
10 min time intervals. The trace gases data of the whole cam-
paign are shown in Fig. 1 while the 10 min average diurnal
variation profiles are shown Fig. 2a. During the campaign
sunny weather conditions were prevailing with temperatures
ranging from 285 K to 305 K during the daytime. The wind
speed was relatively low ranging from 0.2 m s\(^{-1}\) to 4.1 m s\(^{-1}\),
and the average relative humidity was 49\%, reaching up to
100\% during the night. The maximum \(\text{HONO}\) mixing ratio
during rush hour reached \(\sim 7\) ppbv on the 10th March at \(\sim 9\) h.
For the campaign averaged data maximum and minimum
\(\text{HONO}\) mixing ratios of 3.7 ppbv at around 8 h and 1.5 ppbv
around 17 h were obtained. For CO and NO a similar rush
hour peak at \(\sim 9\) h on the 10th March was also observed with
maximum concentrations of 3.6 ppmv and 480 ppbv, respectively.

The average daytime rush hour maxima for CO and NO
were 1.38 ppmv and 180 ppbv, respectively (see Fig. 2a).
The \(\text{NO}_2\) maximum was shifted later owing to small di-
rect emissions and formation by the reaction of NO with
peroxy radicals and \(\text{O}_3\). From the slope of the correlation
plot of \(\text{HONO}\) against \(\text{NO}_x\) a mean \(\text{HONO}/\text{NO}_x\) ratio of
0.008 was estimated during the rush hour peaks, which is

Fig. 1. 10 min average data of HONO, NO, CO, HCHO, NO\(_2\), \(\text{O}_3\),
\(j(\text{NO}_2)\) and \(j(\text{O}_1\text{D})\) during the field campaign in Santiago de Chile,
8–20 March 2005.

Fig. 2. (a) Average diurnal 10 min data of HONO, NO, NO\(_2\), PAN, \(\text{O}_3\),
and HCHO and (b) average diurnal HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio.
in excellent agreement with direct tunnel measurements in Europe (Kurtenbach et al., 2001).

PAN, HCHO and O₃ showed typical diurnal variations with average daytime maxima at about 14 h of 3 ppbv, 7 ppbv and 65 ppbv, respectively, demonstrating their photochemical formation. However, from the fast increase of HCHO in the early morning, when the O₂ (NO₂+O₃) increase was still small, a significant contribution from direct emissions was also identified (see Sect. 3.8.1). In addition to the maximum at ~14 h, the ozone diurnal variation profile is characterized with an afternoon shoulder at 18 h, which has become a typical feature under photochemical smog conditions in Santiago (Rappenglück et al., 2000, 2005). The daytime HONO concentrations are significantly higher than in other polluted urban areas such as New York, Milan or Rome, where the minimum mean daytime concentrations were 0.3–0.6 ppbv (Ren et al., 2003; Kleffmann et al., 2006; Acker et al., 2006b). The high mixing ratios and the daytime maximum of the HONO/NO₃ ratio (see Fig. 2b) in Santiago points to a very strong daytime HONO source.

53 measured NMHCs have been identified (see Table 2). The remaining 127 unidentified NMHCs represents in average about 43% of the total measured NMHCs. Total average measured NMHCs of ~900 ppbC and known average measured NMHCs of ~490 ppbC were determined, which correspond to average diurnal VOC/NO₃ ratios of 14 and 7, respectively. According to the VOC/NO₃ ratio rule (Sillman, 1999) the first value corresponds to a NOₓ-sensitive photochemical regime while the second correspond to a VOC-sensitive photochemical regime. However, the VOC/NOₓ ratio may not correctly represent the sensitivity of a photochemical regime. An explicit VOC-NOₓ-O₃ sensitivity analysis showed that the photochemical regime in Santiago is clearly VOC sensitive (Elshorbany et al., 2009). Alkanes have the highest contribution (ppbC) to NMHCs (56%) followed by aromatic hydrocarbons (32%) and finally alkenes (12%). The BTEX compounds (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes) contribute ~80% of the total aromatic hydrocarbons and ~25% of the total NMHCs.

### 3.2 Oxidation capacity

The loss rate of the VOCs and CO due to reactions with OH, O₃ and NO₃ has been calculated using the MCM model. The average oxidation capacity of OH, O₃ and NO₃ radicals through the entire day was 3.7×10⁷, 4.3×10⁶ and 1.2×10⁵ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹ representing 89.4, 10.3 and 0.3% of the total oxidation capacity, respectively. Clearly, the OH radical was the dominant oxidant during daytime contributing by a maximum of 3.2×10⁸ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹ (94%) to the total oxidation capacity at about 15 h. The ozone contribution to the oxidation capacity during daytime ranged from 6% to 11% while it reached >50% during the night, mainly due to alkene ozonolysis. In general, the nitrate radical had a negligible contribution during both the day and at night, which was mainly caused by the high NO concentrations during the campaign. The modelled NO₃ concentrations showed two peaks of 1.0×10⁸ molecules cm⁻³ at about 13 h and of 8.4×10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ at 19 h. The total number of the depleted molecules per day due to oxidation by OH, O₃ and NO₃ were 6.4×10¹², 7.4×10¹¹ and 2.0×10¹⁰ molecules cm⁻³, respectively. Accordingly, the OH radical is the driving force of the oxidation capacity of the atmosphere in Santiago and thus, only the sources and sinks of the OH radical are further considered in this study.

### 3.3 Radical production and destruction rates

The total production and destruction rates of OH and HO₂ calculated by the MCM model, constrained to campaign averaged data, are shown in Fig. 3a with the ratios of the radical production/destruction shown in Fig. 3b. The ratio around unity throughout the day for the hydroperoxy radical whilst the ratio for the hydroxyl radical reaches a maximum of ~1.7 during the early morning, which may be caused by the photolysis of night time accumulated HONO.

The high total production and destruction rates are dominated by the recycling reactions of the peroxy radicals (RO₂+NO and HO₂+NO). The main loss of RO₂ is due to its reaction with NO with an average daytime loss rate of ~34.6 ppbv h⁻¹, which accounts for most of the HO₂ production. The next most important HO₂ sources are the reactions of OH with CO and HCHO with average daytime production rates of ~0.5 and ~1.1 ppbv h⁻¹, respectively. HCHO photolysis accounts for the Pₜₜₜₜ, (prim) (see Sect. 2.3.1) with an average daytime production rate of 0.54 ppbv h⁻¹ (Figs. 4b and 5). In contrast to the other secondary oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs), HCHO is considered here as a net source of HO₂ (Pₜₜₜₜ, (prim)) since on average only 28% of the HCHO is formed photochemically (see Sect. 3.8.1). The main destruction route of HO₂ is through its reaction with NO reaching rates up to 71 ppbv h⁻¹, with a daytime average of ~28.4 ppbv h⁻¹ which corresponds to ~90% of the total destruction rate, and is comparable to those of the TORCH, ~99% (Emmerson et al., 2007) and BERLIOZ campaigns, >80% (Mihelcic et al., 2003). The loss rates due to the HO₂ self-reaction and its cross-reactions with RO₂ are very small with daytime averages of <0.01 and

![Fig. 3. (a) Production and destruction rates of OH and HO₂. (b) Ratio of the rates of production to destruction of OH and HO₂.](https://example.com/fig3.png)
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POH (HO2 → OH) recycled, for which:

P_{OH}(HO2→OH)_{recycled}=P_{OH}(HO2→OH)−P_{HO2}(prim),

where:

P_{OH}(HO2→OH)=k_{HO2+NO}[HO2][NO].

P_{OH}(HO2→OH)_{recycled} reached a maximum production rate of 70.5 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) with a daytime average of \(\sim 27.8\) ppbv h\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4a). The \(P_{OH}(HO2→OH)\) route accounts for \(\sim 80\%\) of the total OH radical production. This value is comparable to that simulated during TORCH, 80\% (Emmerson et al., 2007) and BERLIOZ, >70\% (Mihelcic et al., 2003) and Mexico City, >80\% (Shirley et al., 2006 and Sheehy et al., 2008). However, the oxidation of hydrocarbons results in the production of other radical precursors namely, O3 and HCHO as by-products in addition to alkene ozonolysis as a subsequent process. These processes, in addition to HONO photolysis, constitute the net radical production term, \(P_R\) as shown in Fig. 4a. The rest of the OH production term (1.1 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)) is mainly due to the ozonolysis of the secondary alkenes produced from the oxidation process which are not constrained by the measurements (as illustrated in Fig. 5). The balance between \(P_{OH}(HO2→OH)_{recycled}\) and \(L_{OH}(OH+VOC)\) (see Sect. 3.5), results in the NO2 + OH (termination) reaction becoming the net dominant sink for OH with a maximum loss rate of 6.4 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) and a daytime average loss rate of \(\sim 3.4\) ppbv h\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 5). An accompanying sensitivity analysis showed that only under very low NOx conditions reaching <5\% of the current levels HO2 recycling through its reaction with NO could be a limiting factor (Elshorbany et al., 2009). Under these conditions hydrocarbon oxidation could be a net sink for OH radicals, which in turn will also lead to a reduction in the OH sources, i.e. O3 and HCHO photolysis as well as alkenes ozonolysis.

Fig. 4. Production and destruction rates of (a) OH and (b) HO2 and e) modelled OH reactivity.

Fig. 5. Average daytime (08:00 h–19:00 h) fluxes of the net radical sources and sinks as calculated by the MCM model. Units are in ppbv h\(^{-1}\). HONO net contribution (~52\%) in this diagram is slightly lower than in the text because \([HONO]_{ppb}\) is calculated here using \([OH]\) calculated by the MCM (~4\% higher).

0.02 ppbv h\(^{-1}\), respectively, in agreement with other urban studies (e.g., George et al., 1999; Ren et al., 2006). The main OH loss route is through its reaction with hydrocarbons, followed by reactions with NO and NO2. The rate of OH destruction due to hydrocarbon oxidation depends on the detailed chemical mechanism and can be estimated using the following relationships:

\[
\text{LOH(OH + VOC)} \approx \text{LOH(total)} - k_{\text{NO2+OH}}[\text{NO2}]
\]

\text{[OH]} - k_{\text{OH+NO}[\text{NO}][\text{OH}]}

(1)

or

\[
\text{LOH(OH + VOC)} \approx \sum k_i[\text{VOC}_i][\text{OH}]
\]

(2)
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3.4 OH reactivity

The OH reactivity defined as the reciprocal of the OH radical lifetime has been calculated as $L_{\text{OH}}$ (total)/[OH]. The mean day average modelled OH reactivity is about 42 s$^{-1}$ reaching a maximum of 105 s$^{-1}$ during rush hour (Fig. 4c) and a night-time peak of 60 s$^{-1}$. These numbers are slightly higher than the average and night-time peaks measured in Mexico City of 25 and 35 s$^{-1}$, respectively, while the maximum measured OH reactivity in Mexico City of 120 s$^{-1}$ exceeded that of Santiago (Shirley et al., 2006). Sheehy et al. (2008) have also reported a modelled total reactivity of 110 s$^{-1}$ during the morning rush hour and 45–50 s$^{-1}$ at night in Mexico City. Both, Ren et al. (2006) and Yoshino et al. (2006) reported OH reactivity in Mexico City of 25 and 35 s$^{-1}$ than the average and night-time peaks measured in Mexico City of 25 and 35 s$^{-1}$.

OH reactivity using relationship

3.5 Radical propagation

Although hydrocarbon oxidation consumes most of the OH radicals ($L_{\text{OH}}$(OH+VOC)=28.4 ppbv h$^{-1}$ on average), it also regenerates these radicals through the secondary production of OH, $P_{\text{OH}}$ (sec.), (28.9 ppbv h$^{-1}$) given by the sum of $P_{\text{OH}}$(HO$_2$→OH)$_{\text{recycled}}$ (27.8 ppbv h$^{-1}$) and other secondary sources of 1.1 ppbv h$^{-1}$ (mainly, secondary alkenes+O$_3$, see Fig. 5). This result is in good agreement with the study of Emmerson et al. (2007) for which a similar balance was reported for stagnant “heatwave” periods during TORCH 2003, which were associated with high pollutant concentrations, low wind speed and high temperatures, which is probably similar to the situation in Santiago. On the contrary, for lower pollutant concentrations, OH→RO$_2$ and HO$_2$→OH were not balanced (Emmerson et al., 2007).

While all the measured hydrocarbons were quantified, not all could be defined (see Sect. 3.1). In addition, not all defined hydrocarbons could be included in the MCM model because either some of these compounds were measured as a mixture of two compounds (or more) or not defined in the MCM (see Table 2). Thus, to further investigate the recycling process, an additional MCM model scenario has been run, in which the concentrations of all aromatic hydrocarbons and alkenes in addition to isoprene and propene have been increased by a factor of 2 while the rest of alkenes have been left unchanged. The reason for including only isoprene and propene is because of their relatively high reactivity with OH but their low potential for OH production through ozonolysis (see Sect. 3.8.2). Only ∼1% increase in the modelled OH concentration was observed for this additional scenario. In addition, although the fluxes $P_{\text{OH}}$(HO$_2$→OH)$_{\text{recycled}}$ and $L_{\text{OH}}$(OH+VOC) increased by almost a factor of 2, they were still balanced. These results clearly demonstrate that the main net radical sources and sinks were not affected by the VOC level and that the secondary radical sources (e.g. OVOC photolysis) and sinks (e.g. RONO$_2$) are included in the recycling process, i.e. do not add to the net initiation sources or termination reactions. In the main, this can be explained by the high NO concentrations during daytime in Santiago and the fast recycling through the reactions RO$_2$+NO and HO$_2$+NO.

The high recycling efficiency of the peroxy radicals can be demonstrated by the relatively low HO$_2$/OH ratio evaluated by the MCM model (see Fig. 6a). The low maximum in the HO$_2$/OH ratio of ∼11 is typical for highly polluted conditions (e.g. Mihelcic et al., 2003) and implies a high recycling efficiency towards OH. The RO$_2$/HO$_2$ ratio (Fig. 6b) of 1–1.5 is similar to that reported in Berlin with a maximum modelled ratio of 1.3 (Mihelcic et al., 2003) but much lower than that of 3.9 calculated for the TORCH campaign (Emmerson et al., 2007). While the RO$_2$/HO$_2$ and HO$_2$/OH ratios both reach a minimum in the morning at about 09:30 h, the HO$_2$/OH ratio reaches its afternoon maximum at about 14:30 h when the NO levels reach a minimum. The average daytime maximum HO$_2$ radical concentration of 6.3 pptv (see Fig. 7a) is very similar to that measured in Tokyo, 2004 (Kanaya et al., 2007). The average daytime
maximum total peroxy radical concentration of 15 pptv is relatively low when compared with other studies (Mihelcic et al., 2003; Shirley et al., 2006) and can be explained by the high NO concentrations in the city of Santiago. This is also in agreement with the expected anti-correlation between the HO₂/OH ratio and NO as shown in Fig. 7b in agreement with other studies (e.g. Emmerson et al., 2007 and references therein).

3.6 Net radical sources

Evaluation of the total rates of radical initiation and termination required a simple steady state approach (see Sect. 2.3.1) that takes into account only the net radical sources and sinks. The net photolysis of HONO, HCHO, ozone and the reaction of ozone with alkenes are considered as initiation reactions while reaction of the OH radical with NO₂ is the main termination reaction. According to this assumption, the radical production rates, P_R, of the main corresponding species were evaluated with the same rate constants used in MCMv3.1. The average absolute and relative diurnal contributions to radical production are shown in Fig. 8a and b respectively. For daytime conditions (08:00 h–19:00 h) HONO photolysis has by far the highest contribution of ∼55% followed by alkenes ozonolysis (∼24%), HCHO photolysis (∼16%) and ozone photolysis (∼5%).

The high relative contribution of HONO is in excellent agreement with other recent studies (Ren et al., 2003, 2006; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Acker et al., 2006a, b), in which an integrated contribution of up to 56% was reported. For average daytime conditions (08:00 h–19:00 h), high net mean and maximum OH production rates by HONO photolysis of 1.7 ppbv h⁻¹ and 3.1 ppbv h⁻¹, respectively, have been determined, the latter being even higher than the ∼2 ppbv h⁻¹ reported by Ren et al. (2003) for New York City. Only in the study of Acker et al. (2006b) was a higher maximum OH production rate by HONO photolysis of up to 6 ppbv h⁻¹ reported for the city of Rome. However, this number is an upper limit since in their estimations the back reaction of NO+OH was not considered. During the morning, for which the maximum production rate was reported by Acker et al. (2006b), high NO concentrations can especially lead to a strong overestimation of net OH production rates (see Sect. 3.8.3). On a 24-h basis, HONO photolysis was also the dominant radical source contributing ∼52% to P_R followed by alkenes ozonolysis, ∼29%, HCHO photolysis ∼15% and ozone photolysis ∼4%. During almost the entire daytime the HONO photolysis contribution was higher than any other primary source except in the early evening when the contribution from alkene ozonolysis starts to dominate. This is caused by the decreasing light intensity with the ozone concentrations remaining high. In the early morning, the photolysis of HONO is the dominant source representing ∼80% of the total radical budget. This is due to its low dissociation energy threshold and the high concentrations accumulated during night-time.

A high morning peak production rate that slows down during the day has been previously observed in Los Angeles, Milan, Pabstthum (downwind of Berlin) and Mexico City (George et al., 1999; Alicke et al., 2002, 2003; Volkamer et al., 2007, respectively). However, in contrast to these studies, where the net OH production was very low in the afternoon, the relative contribution of the OH production by HONO photolysis never falls below 40% for Santiago (see Fig. 8b). This high daytime contribution of HONO is in good agreement with other recent studies under urban conditions (Ren et al., 2003; Acker et al., 2006b). The reason for the difference between the two sets of studies in which the contribution of HONO to afternoon radical production is either significant or negligible is still unclear. One potential explanation would be an overestimation of HONO due to interferences and sampling artefacts for all studies, in which wet chemical instruments were used (see Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008). However, the LOPAP instrument used in the present study corrects for interferences and was successfully validated against the DOAS technique in a recent urban study in Milan (Kleffmann et al., 2006). In addition, a simple PSS analysis of the HONO data from the Milan campaign showed that HONO was also a strong net source of OH radicals during daytime, a result confirmed by the parallel co-located DOAS measurements (Kleffmann et al., 2006). This result is in contradiction to other DOAS measurements carried out at the same place under similar meteorological conditions and time of the year (Alicke et al., 2002). The reason for this difference is still unclear. Another explanation for the different daytime contributions of HONO in different studies may be the different sampling altitudes and strong vertical gradients during daytime. However, in the study of Alicke et al. (2002) the light path of the DOAS was even lower than the sampling height during the present study and no gradients were observed during daytime (Stutz et al., 2002). In addition, in the present study no horizontal gradients were observed towards the wall of the building on which the external sampling unit was fixed, excluding strong local wall sources. In conclusion, the reason for the different daytime contribution of HONO to the OH production in different studies remains unclear. The high contribution of HONO observed in the present study may be explained by the unique geographical situation of Santiago under very high pollution levels.
Fig. 9. Average diurnal variation of OH concentration calculated by both the MCM and PSS.

Fig. 10. Correlations between the radical production rate, $P_R$ and (a) $j(\text{NO}_2)$ and (b) $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$.

The average diurnal variation of the OH concentration calculated by both the MCM and PSS models are shown in Fig. 9. The maximum estimated OH concentrations of $1.4 \times 10^7$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ occurs approximately one hour after the maximum in $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$. Using different simplified photo-stationary state approaches, Rappenglück et al. (2000) and Rubio et al. (2005) estimated much lower values of $\sim 2.6 \times 10^6$ and $\sim 8.8 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, respectively. Possible reasons for these differences are that Rappenglück et al. (2000) did not consider HONO photolysis and alkenes ozonolysis while Rubio et al. (2005) did not consider alkenes ozonolysis. The excellent agreement between the OH concentration profiles evaluated by both the MCM and PSS models shows that the major OH radical sources and sinks are included in the PSS model and that the sinks OH$\rightarrow$RO$_2$ are balanced with the sources RO$_2$$\rightarrow$OH.

3.7 Correlation of OH with $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$ and $j(\text{NO}_2)$

In spite of the complexity of the mechanisms controlling OH concentrations, the OH correlation with $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$ has shown to have a linear pattern in both urban and rural environments and for long and short time periods (Rohrer et al., 2006, Kanayama et al., 2007). For Santiago, the calculated OH radical concentration also correlates well with $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$ ($R^2=0.54$), and $j(\text{NO}_2)$ ($R^2=0.56$). An even stronger correlation between the measured daytime OH and $j(\text{NO}_2)$ has been obtained in other studies (e.g. Kanayama et al., 2007 and references therein). In addition, a better correlation between the total rate of radical initiation, $P_R$, and $j(\text{NO}_2)$ compared to the correlation with $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$ was observed in the present study, especially for low $j$ values in the morning and evening (see Fig. 10a and b). This can be explained by the much broader diurnal profile of $j(\text{NO}_2)$ compared to $j(\text{O}_1\text{D})$. The results demonstrate the importance of the UV-A rather than UV-B region for the production of OH during daytime which is dominated by the daytime production of HONO.

3.8 Source apportionments of the main OH radical precursors

3.8.1 Formaldehyde (HCHO) contribution

HCHO is a main photochemical oxidation precursor contributing $\sim 16\%$ of the total primary radical sources, $P_R$, during the daytime in Santiago. HCHO is both primarily emitted and produced photochemically from the oxidation of VOCs (Friedfeld et al., 2002; Garcia et al., 2006). In this study, we have used O$_3$ and NO$_x$ as HCHO tracers for which NO$_x$ has been assumed as an indicator for primary HCHO resulting from direct emissions and O$_3$ as a photochemical indicator. The measured HCHO was described by:

$$[\text{HCHO}]_{\text{measured}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times [\text{O}_3] + \beta_2 \times [\text{NO}_x]$$

where $\beta_0$ is the background HCHO (BKG), which stands here for the residual fraction of HCHO that can not be accounted as photochemical or primary, and the factors $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ are the average weighted slopes of HCHO to O$_3$ and NO$_x$, respectively. For the whole campaign, values of $\beta_1=0.062$ and $\beta_2=0.018$ ppbv/ppbv, respectively were determined. The photochemically formed HCHO (PHOT) comprises up to $\sim 70\%$ of the observed HCHO in the afternoon (Fig. 11a). In contrast, during the early morning rush hour the primary HCHO (traffic) comprised up to 90% (Fig. 11a). Averaged on a daily basis, $\sim 34\%$ of the measured HCHO is due to direct emissions while photochemical and background HCHO account for $\sim 28\%$ and $\sim 38\%$, respectively. The value of the direct emitted fraction is very similar to the $32\pm 16\%$ previously reported by Rubio et al. (2006) while the sum of
the photochemical and background fractions is similar to the secondary fraction reported during the summer in Santiago, 79±23% (Rubio et al., 2006) and London, 74% (Harrison et al., 2006). Since only 28% of the HCHO is photochemically formed as a result of hydrocarbon oxidation, HCHO was considered as a net source of HO2 (P_HO2(prim)) in the present study.

Photochemical HCHO production has also been simulated using the MCMv3.1 photochemical box model constrained with all measured trace gases including the NMHCs except the measured HCHO. The photochemical HCHO calculated using O3 as tracer matched well that calculated by the MCM model with a gap in the late afternoon (see Fig. 11b). This gap however, is due to the afternoon ozone shoulder, which has become a typical feature during photochemical smog episodes in Santiago de Chile (Rappenglück, 2000, 2005). Primary HCHO starts to build up in the early morning at about 06:30 h, nearly one hour before sunrise, and becomes the dominant source until ∼09:00 h. The photochemical formation of HCHO follows the light intensity, and starts to increase nearly an hour after the sunrise, becoming dominant at around ∼13:00 h and reaching a maximum at ∼16:00 h nearly 3 h after the maximum in j(NO2). The photochemical HCHO contribution starts to decline at ∼19:00 h, about 3 h after the j(NO2) starts decreasing, while the primary HCHO turns again to be the dominant source until 02:00 h due to night time emissions. The average background baseline of HCHO is less than 2 ppbv representing about 20% of the total HCHO throughout the day (red dotted line in Fig. 11a). The baseline of the background determines the average HCHO background values during the early morning and afternoon and is in agreement with other studies (Garcia et al., 2006). However, unpredicted high background concentrations of HCHO, reaching a maximum of up to 5 ppbv at ∼10:00 h, have been evaluated. One explanation is an underestimation of photochemical produced HCHO by the use of O3 as tracer, since photochemically produced O3 is first efficiently titrated by the morning rush-hour NO. In this case, photochemical HCHO would become even more important after ∼09:00 h. The use of O3 (O3+NO2) as tracer was not possible, since NO2 is also linked to direct emissions (Carslaw and Beevers, 2005). Another explanation for the high HCHO background peak may be direct HCHO emissions that are not traced by NOX (Garcia et al., 2006). These emissions should then however, be limited to the time period 09:00 h–14:00 h (see Fig. 11a), which is unreasonable. Finally, the high background HCHO could also be caused by mixing of surface air masses with the residual layer in the morning when the boundary layer height is increasing. The concentration of HCHO in the residual layer could remain high from the previous day. Rappenglück et al. (2005) has also observed a similar background carbonyl peak at noon-time in Santiago.

The contribution of each of the VOC classes (alkenes, alkanes, aromatics) to the photochemically formed formaldehyde has been determined by the MCM model. As expected, the alkenes are the dominant photochemical precursor contributing alone more than 70%, followed by aromatics, 18%, and alkanes, 12%. These contributions are in good agreement with those reported in Mexico City (Volkamer et al., 2007). Of the alkenes, oxidation of isoprene contributes alone about 23% to the photochemical produced HCHO, propene 11% and α-pinene 9%. From the aromatics class, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene represents 6% followed by orthoxylene, 4%, and toluene, 3%. Of the alkanes, 2-methylbutane, decane and 3-methylpentane are the major sources contributing to about 3%, 2% and 1.6% respectively. OH is the dominant oxidant responsible for nearly 85% of the total HCHO produced by the oxidation of hydrocarbons followed by alkenone ozonolysis, 14%. The contribution of NO3 was found to be negligible.

3.8.2 Alkene ozonolysis contribution

Unlike the other OH radical sources, alkene ozonolysis can occur at night as well as during the day (Paulson and Orlando, 1996; Johnson and Marston, 2008). In this study, the ozonolysis of alkenes was found to be the second most important radical initiation source after HONO photolysis, accounting for 29% of the OH formed on 24-h basis. Although their total concentrations are only ∼19% of the total measured alkenes, internal alkenes contribute 86% to the total alkenic OH radical production, P_OH (alkenes) given by Σ_kOH+alkene[alkene][O3]ΦOH (see Sect. 2.3.1), and nearly 21% to the total primary radical production, P_R, as shown in Fig. 12a. The order of efficiency in OH production from the reactions of ozone with alkenes is:

internalalkenes->cycloalkenes->terminalalkenes.

Among the internal alkenes, 2-methyl-2-butene and 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene have the highest contributions to P_OH (alkenes) with 37% and 33%, respectively (see Fig. 12b). Cycloalkenes are represented by α-pinene alone and contribute about 6.6% to the total alkenic concentration, ~9% to total alkene OH production and ~2% to P_R. The other measured cycloalkenes are not yet included in the MCM. Terminal alkenes, while representing 75% of the alkenes concentration, contribute only ~5% to the total alkene OH production rate and about 1% to P_R (Fig. 12a).

3.8.3 Contribution of HONO during daytime

As already discussed, over the last few years it has been demonstrated that the contribution of nitrous acid to the primary radical production, P_R, has been frequently underestimated (e.g. Ren et al., 2003; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Acker et al., 2006a). High measured daytime concentrations point to an additional strong HONO source (Kleffmann, 2007), for
which several photochemical reactions have recently been proposed from laboratory studies (Zhou et al., 2003; George et al., 2005; Bejan et al., 2006; Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007).

The photostationary state concentration of HONO, \( [\text{HONO}]_{\text{PSS}} \), was calculated from the known gas phase chemistry by the following equation:

\[
[\text{HONO}]_{\text{PSS}} = k_{\text{OH+NO}}[\text{OH}][\text{NO}] / (j(\text{HONO}) + k_{\text{OH+HONO}}[\text{OH}]).
\]

On average, \( [\text{HONO}]_{\text{PSS}} \) was found to account for about 69% of the observed HONO concentration reaching its maximum contribution during the hush peak time at \( \sim 10:00 \) h coinciding with the NO peak. During the early afternoon (12:30–15:00 h), when the absolute production rate of OH by HONO photolysis was highest, the PSS contributed on average \( \sim 66\% \) of the measured HONO. Thus, one reason for the extreme high HONO daytime concentrations observed is the daytime production of HONO by the gas phase reaction of NO+OH caused by the very high levels of OH and NO. However, this reaction and the uncertainty in the PSS concentration by only gas phase chemistry (see below) cannot explain the measured daytime values of HONO alone. If the heterogeneous dark conversion of NO\(_2\) (see Sect. 3.8.4) is included, the PSS increases by only 4% during noon, thus, an additional daytime source of HONO is needed. The most important uncertainty in the calculation of the PSS concentration besides the measured \( [\text{NO}] \), \( [\text{HONO}] \) and \( j(\text{HONO}) \) values is modeled \( [\text{OH}] \). However, an average maximum OH concentrations of \( 2.2 \times 10^7 \) molecules cm\(^{-3} \), which is about 155% of the modeled OH, is required to get \( [\text{HONO}]_{\text{PSS}} \) equal to measured values. The OH simulated by the MCM model was validated through different field intercomparisons and showed excellent agreement with that measured, especially under such high NO\(_x\) conditions (Mihelcic et al., 2003; Sheehy et al., 2008). Recently, Sheehy et al. (2008) reported maximum OH over prediction by the MCM of 20% during afternoon. In contrast, for Santiago an under prediction of the modeled OH level by \( \sim 55\% \) would be necessary to explain the daytime concentrations of HONO. Therefore, additional average daytime HONO sources of 1.7 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) are necessary. These additional daytime HONO sources become obvious from the diurnal variation of the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio (Fig. 2 b). While the nighttime behaviour, with a linear increase of the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio from 2–5%, is typical for urban conditions and can be explained by known emission and heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\) on ground surfaces (Alicke et al., 2002; Kieffmann et al., 2002, 2003; Vogel et al., 2003), the second daytime maximum, reaching almost 8%, has not been observed in our previous urban studies in such a pronounced manner. A daytime maximum under urban conditions was however observed for the city of Rome (Acker et al., 2006b) and is also typical for remote and mountain site measurements (see e.g., Huang et al., 2002; Kieffmann et al., 2002; Acker et al., 2006a, Kieffmann and Wiesen, 2008). A daytime maximum in the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio can only be explained by a very strong additional photochemical HONO source. Three photochemical mechanisms were identified recently, two of them being well correlated to \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) (George et al., 2005; Bejan et al., 2006; Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007), while the photolysis of nitric acid (Zhou et al., 2003) would better correlate to \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \), caused by the much lower wavelength range of the nitric acid photolysis. This was tested by plotting the campaign averaged net production rate of OH radicals due to HONO photolysis against \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \). Both plots \( j(\text{NO}_2), R^2=0.62 \) and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}), R^2=0.45 \) show that the daytime source is correlated with the light intensity, confirming former assumptions of a photochemical production of HONO. However, since a better correlation was obtained when \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) was used, especially for low \( j \)-values, the heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\) on photosensitized organics (George et al., 2005; Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007) and gas phase photolysis of organic nitrogen compounds (e.g. nitrophenols, Bejan et al., 2006) may be of higher importance compared to the nitric acid photolysis in Santiago. Similar results were obtained when plotting \( \text{Pr} \) against \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) (see Fig. 10 and Sect. 3.7).

### 3.8.4 HONO dark sources

Besides photochemical daytime sources of HONO, formation of HONO during the night by heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\) on humid surfaces is well known (Alicke et al., 2002). The dark heterogeneous rate constant of HONO formation, \( k_{\text{het}} \), due to the first order conversion of
NO$_2$ on humid surfaces (NO$_2$+X→HONO) has been estimated from the increase of the HONO/NO$_2$ ratio during the night (see also Alicke et al., 2002). An average $k_{het}$ of $(3.5\pm1.9)\times10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ has been obtained, which is similar to that of $(3.3\pm1.4)\times10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ obtained by Alicke et al. (2002). This heterogeneous rate constant has been found to correlate inversely with the wind speed ($R^2=0.65$) confirming heterogeneous formation on ground surfaces during the night (Klimeffmann et al., 2003). However, almost no correlation of $k_{het}$ with relative humidity was observed ($R^2=0.086$) in contrast to the study by Stutz et al. (2004). The lack of water dependence can be explained by the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ into HONO on adsorbed organics (Arens et al., 2002; Gutzwiller et al. 2002; Ammann et al., 2005), which are persistent on any urban surface. For this type of reaction only a moderate humidity dependence was observed in the laboratory (Arens et al., 2002) for a humidity range comparable to the present study. In addition, NO$_2$ conversion on organic surfaces is much faster than the typical proposed reaction of NO$_2$ with water on surfaces (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003) at atmospheric NO$_2$ levels and thus is a more reasonable source for night-time formation of HONO in the atmosphere.

4 Conclusion

The oxidising capacity of the atmosphere over the urban area of Santiago, Chile, has been studied for the first time during an extensive measurement campaign in the summer 2005. A zero dimensional photochemical box model containing the detailed gas phase mechanism MCMv3.1 was constrained with a suite of ancillary measurements including HONO, HCHO, O$_3$, NO$_x$, PAN, VOCs, $j$(O$_1^D$), $j$(NO$_2$) and meteorological parameters. The average ratio of total production/destruction rates of the hydroperoxy radical (HO$_2$) was around unity throughout the day, whilst the production/destruction ratio for the hydroxyl radical (OH) reaches a maximum of ~1.7 during the morning. HO$_2$ radical production was dominated by the RO$_2$+NO reaction while HO$_2$ destruction was dominated by its reaction with NO, which was also the strongest OH source (~80%). OH loss was dominated with its reaction with hydrocarbons (~79%). The high recycling efficiency was demonstrated by the low HO$_2$/OH ratio of ~11. The RO$_2$/HO$_2$ ratio of 1–1.5 is comparable to that of other urban studies. Both, the MCM and simple PSS models predict the same OH concentrations showing that the main radical precursors included in the PSS model are dominant and that the OH→RO$_2$ sinks are balanced by the RO$_2$→OH sources. This balance was verified by an additional MCM model scenario that was run under a different VOC reactivity regime. The high modelled OH concentrations show that the high daytime concentrations of HONO cannot be explained by known gas phase chemistry and suggest the presence of an additional strong daytime source of HONO. This conclusion is further supported by the observation of a second daytime maximum in the HONO/NO$_x$ ratio. HONO was the most important direct OH source with daytime average contribution of 55% followed by alkenes ozonolysis, 24%, HCHO photolysis, 16%, and O$_3$ photolysis, 5%. The better correlation of the daytime HONO source with $j$(NO$_2$) compared to $j$(O$_1^D$) shows that daytime HONO formation cannot be explained by the recently proposed nitric acid photolysis channel. Alkene ozonolysis represented the second most important direct source of OH radicals with internal alkenes contributing ~86% to the OH radical formation. HCHO source apportionment has been achieved using NO$_x$ and O$_3$ as direct emission and photochemical tracers, respectively. Photochemical HCHO comprises up to >70% of the observed HCHO during the afternoon. The HCHO photochemical source apportionment has revealed that alkenes contribute most by 70% followed by aromatics, 18%, and alkanes, 12%. The major contribution of HONO to the direct OH radical production is in good agreement with several recent studies and highlights the importance of HONO measurements in studies which focus on the radical chemistry of the atmosphere.
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