Efficacy assessment of biocides or repellents for the control of *Sarcoptes scabiei* in the environment
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Sarcoptes scabiei* infection is a contagious disease affecting both humans and animals. The transmission occurs either by direct contact or from the environment where mites could survive several days remaining infective. The number of products available for environmental control of *S. scabiei* is very limited. The objective of the present study was to assess the efficacy of biocides or repellents against *S. scabiei* var *suis*.

**Methods:** Tested products included pyrethroids: permethrin, esdepallethrin and bioresmethrin, bifenthrin, cypermethrin and imiprothrin, cyfluthrin, tetramethrin and sumithrin. We also tested repellents: DEET, icaridin and IR3535. *Sarcoptes scabiei* var *suis* mites were collected from experimentally-infected pigs. For each test, 20 live mites of all motile stages were placed in a plastic Petri dish and sprayed uniformly by each product. Control mites were sprayed by distilled water. The study was performed in triplicate under room conditions and the mites were inspected under a stereomicroscope at intervals (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 min, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 24 h) after exposure to the products.

**Results:** All the products, except the combination of tetramethrin and sumithrin (A-PAR\(^a\)), were able to kill all mites within 24 h. The median survival time was 50 ± 30.4 min, 120 ± 309 min, 10 ± 5.9 min, 40 ± 36.8 min, 15 ± 7.3 min, 180 ± 417 min and 1440 ± 600 min when mites were exposed to permethrin 4 %, permethrin 0.6 %, esdepallethrin and bioresmethrin, bifenthrin, cypermethrin and imiprothrin, cyfluthrin, tetramethrin and sumithrin, respectively. The median survival time was 20 ± 6.5 min, 15 ± 4.3 min, 30 ± 42.1 min and 15 ± 4.9 min for DEET 25, DEET 50, icaridin 20 and IR3535 20 %, respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results of the present study could support evidence-based use of biocides and repellents in households, hospitals and farms.
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**Background**

Scabies, or mange as it is called in animals, is a common ectoparasitic infection caused by the mite *Sarcoptes scabiei* [1]. This has been reported in 104 species across 27 families of domestic and wild animals [2]. Scabies is now recognized as an emerging/re-emerging infectious disease in humans, with an estimation of 100–300 million cases per year worldwide [3]. *Sarcoptes scabiei* burrows in the stratum corneum and stratum granulosum of the skin in both humans and animals [4, 5] and the transmission of scabies/mange acts through direct and indirect contact. Arlian *et al.* demonstrated that away from their hosts, mites are able to survive and remain infective for 24-36 h at 21 °C and 40-80 % relative humidity, and can even survive longer at lower temperatures with higher levels of humidity [6]. Generally, female and nymph mites survive longer than larvae and males in comparable
conditions, with nymphs surviving up to 21 days at 10 °C and 97 % relative humidity [7].

Studies carried out on pigs and foxes showed that the transmission of S. scabiei occurred when uninfected animals were exposed to fomites [8, 9]. Mites were found from fomites in a survey in homes and nursing homes of scabies patients [10]. These factors coupled with the survival and infectivity of mites suggest that fomites could be a source of infection, especially in cases of crusted scabies which is characterized by the presence of thousands of mites [11–13]. On the other hand, Arlian et al. [11] showed that good environmental practices such as regular housekeeping, frequent bed linen changes and good hygiene practice could minimize fomite contamination.

In order to prevent possible infection from fomites, current protocols for treating scabies patients and mange animals include environmental measures such as by applying acaricidal spray, usually pyrethroids or pesticide [12, 14]. Surprisingly, no specific data on scabicide effect is available, which hinders appropriate choices made by doctors and veterinarians. The main limitation has been the lack of a regular supply of adequate numbers of mites. The successful establishment of rabbit and pig models have made these tests possible [15–17].

So far, there have been plenty of commercially available products that can be used for environmental control of fleas, lice, ticks and mosquitoes. The objective of the present study is to assess the efficacy of biocides or repellents against S. scabiei var. suis. Tested products included pyrethroids and repellents.

**Methods**

*Sarcoptes* mites

*Sarcoptes* mites were collected from pigs maintained at CRBM (Centre de Recherche Bio Medicale), Maisons-Alfort, France. They were experimentally-infected as described by Mounsey [16]. All animals were maintained in strict accordance with good animal practices as defined by the French and European code of practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes (approval No. 02515.01). Inoculation was done by directly introducing mite-infected skin crusts deep into the ear canals of five-week old female piglets. Glucocorticoid treatment was initiated in naive piglets one week prior to inoculation and continued throughout the study period. With the pig model, first cutaneous lesions are visible two weeks after experimental infection and encrustment usually occurs after four weeks. The ear is the first place to develop lesions then lesions spread to the entire body of the pigs. The clinical score slowly increases after experimental infection and is stable after week 7. For the present study, mites were collected from the pigs in weeks 9 and 10. Crusts in the external ear canal were gently removed in a sterile Petri dish. Mites crawled out of the crusts in about half an hour. Then they were picked one by one with a needle and placed into a Petri dish.

**Products**

The tested products were chosen from the products that were utilized for environmental control of mites, lice, fleas and other insects; and a repellent for mosquitoes, ticks and flies. These products are available in pharmacies, veterinary clinics or supermarkets in France. The components of biocides are mostly pyrethroids, some with one active compound, and others with more than one. Brands like Insect Ecran® and Pyréflor® have different targets and consequently include different compounds. All the products are sprays or aerosols. The list is shown in Table 1.

**Efficacy tests**

Mites were tested within 3 h after they were harvested from the pigs. Live mites of all motile stages (n = 20) were placed in a plastic sterile Petri dish (3 cm in diameter). In each Petri dish, mites were sprayed uniformly until they were completely covered by the tested product. A control Petri dish was sprayed by distilled water. All Petri dishes were placed at room conditions (25 °C, 30-70 % relative humidity). The mites were examined under a stereomicroscope after 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 min, 2, 3, 4, 5, 24 h. Persistent immobility, even when stimulated with a needle was considered as death [18]. The study was performed in triplicate.

**Statistical analyses**

The data were analyzed by Kaplan Meier survival curves; median survival times of scabies mites and significant differences between survival curves were calculated by a Log-rank test using JMP 11.0 software.

**Results**

With the *in vitro* test, we were able to demonstrate the scabicide activity of several biocides and repellents. During the tests, we also observed that larvae and males were usually killed before females and nymphs. The survival curves for the different products are presented in Fig. 1. The median survival time of *Sarcoptes* mites varied from 10 to 1440 min (Table 2). Except A-PAR® (Table 1, product number 11), all the products kill all mites within 24 h (Fig. 1a and b). Statistically significant differences in mite survival time were observed for all tested products with the distilled water used as control. Although the main components of 7 products were pyrethroids, the variability in survival times of the mites was obvious. All mites were dead within 2 h when using Insect Ecran® (product No. 5), Pyréflor® (product No. 6),
| No. | Active compounds and concentration | Targets | Product names | Companies |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|
| 1   | IR3535 20 %                       | Repellent for lice | Paranix® 100 mL | Omega Pharma Barcelona, Spain |
| 2   | DEET 25 %                         | Repellent for mosquitoes, ticks & flies | Insect Ecran® 100 mL | Cooper, Melun, France |
| 3   | DEET 50 %                         | Repellent for mosquitoes, ticks & flies | Insect Ecran® 100 mL | Cooper, Melun, France |
| 4   | icaridin 20 %                     | Repellent of mosquitoes | Insect Ecran® 75 mL | Cooper, Melun, France |
| 5   | permethrin 4 %                    | Insecticide | Insect Ecran® 100 mL | Cooper, Melun, France |
| 6   | esdepallethrin 2.1 g/L, bioresmethrin 0.45 g/L | Environmental control of lice | Pyréflor® 150 mL | Clément-Thékan, France |
| 7   | bifenthrin 0.67 g/L               | Environmental control of lice | Pyréflor® 150 mL | Ferlux, Cournon d’Auvergne, France |
| 8   | cypermethrin 0.10 %, imiprothrin 0.10 % | Insecticide | Raid® 400 mL | S.C. Johnson, Mijdrecht, The Netherlands |
| 9   | permethrine 0.6 %, pyriproxyfen 0.05 % | Environmental control of fleas | Parastop® 500 mL | Virbac, Carros, France |
| 10  | cyfluthrin 0.16 g/L, pyriproxyfen 0.2 g/L | Environmental control of fleas | Advanhome® 250 mL | Bayer HealthCare Animal Health, Puteaux, France |
| 11  | tetramethrin 0.95 g/L, sumithrin 0.95 g/L | Disinfectant against Sarcoptes mites, lice, fleas & bedbugs | A-PAR® 200 mL | Omega Pharma, Châtillon, France |
Pyréflor® (product No. 7) and Raid® (product No. 8). Of these, Pyréflor® (product No. 7) and Raid® (product No. 8), with a median survival time of $10 \pm 5.87$ min and $15 \pm 7.31$ min, showed a strong scabicidal effect. In contrast, approximately 5, 10 and 70% of mites were still alive after 5 h sprayed with Parastop® (product No. 9), Advanthome® (product No. 10) and A-PAR® (product No. 11), respectively. A dose-dependent change in median survival time of permethrin-based products was observed, with the median survival time of $50 \pm 30.4$ min and $120 \pm 309$ min for permethrin 4 (product No. 5) and permethrin 0.6 % (product No. 9), respectively.

The four repellent products were active against *S. scabiei* mites (Fig. 1c and d). DEET and IR3535 products killed all mites within 1 h, while icaridine took 3 h to kill all mites. DEET revealed small-scale dose-dependent scabicidal activity, the median survival times were $20 \pm 6.5$ min and $15 \pm 4.3$ min for 25 and 50% of DEET, respectively.

### Table 2 Comparisons of Log-rank test pairwise survival time of *S. scabiei* var suis sprayed with different products in comparison with distilled water (negative control)

| Product names               | Median survival time (min) | Standard deviation | P value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Pyréflor® esdelpellithrin   | 10                          | 5.9                | <.001   |
| Insect Ecran® DEET 50 %     | 15                          | 4.3                | <.001   |
| Paranix®                    | 15                          | 4.9                | <.001   |
| Raid®                       | 15                          | 7.3                | <.001   |
| Insect Ecran® DEET 25 %     | 20                          | 6.5                | <.001   |
| Insect Ecran® icaridin      | 30                          | 42.1               | <.001   |
| Pyréflor® bifenthrin        | 40                          | 36.8               | <.001   |
| Insect Ecran® permethrin    | 50                          | 30.4               | <.001   |
| Parastop®                   | 120                         | 309.0              | <.001   |
| Advanthome®                 | 180                         | 417.0              | <.001   |
| A-PAR®                      | 1440                        | 600.0              | <.002   |
| Distilled water             | 1440                        | -                  | -       |
Discussion

There are several studies that used in vitro tests to assess the efficacy of current acaricides or essential oils that could be recommended for the treatment of scabies [18–21]. To the authors’ knowledge, the present study is the first one to assess products for the control of S. scabiei in the environment. The finding that larvae and males were killed before females and nymphs is in accordance with Arlian’s observation that female and nymph mites survived longer in comparable conditions [6]. Since we tested all motile stages of mites in the study, the varied number of females and nymphs could influence the result, especially for the products with low activity. Moreover, this can explain why the standard deviation values of some tested products were high. For the tests, we used distilled water as control, which is probably not always a good control to determine the specific action as solvents might also be involved. However, in biocides or repellents products used in the present study, the solvent was not mentioned by the manufacturers.

The active components of all the biocide products tested here belong to the pyrethroid family that is widely used in public health and agriculture throughout the world and generally considered to be the safest class of insecticides/ acaricides available. They are known to work on voltage-dependent sodium channels in the nerve membrane [22]. The present study demonstrated that pyrethrroids differed a lot regarding the speed of activity against Sarcoptes mites. Pyrélflor* (product No. 6) was the most effective of all. It includes the active component esdepalithrin which is used for the treatment of human scabies in France [23]. Permethrin is not only used for the treatment of scabies, but is also recommended for environmental control of S. scabiei. In previous studies of in vitro tests against S. scabiei, the lethal time of 5 % permethrin was 480 min and 1320 min, respectively [19, 20], whereas it was 120 min with 4 % permethrin in the present study. This may be attributed to the method used for efficacy assessment of permethrin. In the current study permethrin was sprayed directly on the mites while Walton et al. [19, 20] applied 0.1 g of permethrin in a thin layer, using cotton swabs, on the bottom, top and sides of Petri dishes and then placed a mite into each Petri dish. Another explanation would be that the widespread use of permethrin in Australia since 1994 induced tolerance to this compound [24]. However, a previous study on the resistance marker gene KDR did not detect resistance to pyrethrroids of scabies mites in France [23]. Compared to other biocide products, permethrin-based products were not so efficient in the present study. Raid® (product No. 8) is a common and cheap product used for household control of insects. Even though the concentration of its active components cypermethrin and imiprothrin was as low as 0.10 %, the efficacy on mites was notable. This result suggested that mites might be more susceptible to some pyrethrroids. A-PAR* (product No. 11) is used in some French hospitals for the environmental control of S. scabiei. However, the present study showed that A-PAR* should not be recommended for this purpose.

Repellents are supposed to prevent arthropods from landing on the surface where they are applied (without a necessary killing effect). However, previous studies showed that DEET and IR3535 display insecticidal as well as acaricidal activity [25, 26]. In the present study, the repellents DEET, IR3535 and icaridine had acaricidal activity and differed in their effects on mites. Although the exact mode of action and molecular target of repellents remain controversial, there is evidence that repellents exert their effects through interactions with odorant receptors and gustatory receptors in insects [27]. It was also demonstrated that DEET induced a neurotoxic effect on insects by disrupting the calcium equilibrium in the nerve cells [28]. Faulde et al. showed that Both DEET and IR3535 revealed a dose-dependent insecticidal as well as acaricidal activity, and DEET exhibited a higher knockdown effect and mortality than IR3535 [26]. From the present study, DEET also showed a small-scale dose-dependent scabical activity, but IR3535 may work better than DEET against S. scabiei. Although the repellent products, especially DEET and IR3535, caused relatively high scabical effect, one cannot infer that repellents work better than pyrethrroids.

As commercial products are complex in their composition and varied in concentration, further investigation is necessary to determine the scabical effect of individual chemicals.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that two biocides: Pyréflor* (product No. 6) and Raid® (product No. 8) and three repellents: Paranix* (product No. 1), Insect Ecran* (product No. 2) and Insect Ecran* (product No. 3) were able to kill S. scabiei within 40 min when they were sprayed on the mites. On the contrary, A-PAR* (product No. 11) did not prove to be a good choice for environmental control of Sarcoptes mites. The results of the present study might support evidence-based use of biocides and repellents for households, hospitals and farms but should be repeated as insecticide pressure and subsequent resistance may change results over time.
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