A Monoclonal Antibody Recognizing Very Late Activation Antigen-4 Inhibits Eosinophil Accumulation In Vivo
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Summary

Using an in vivo test system, the role of the β1 integrin very late activation antigen-4 (VLA-4) in eosinophil accumulation in allergic and nonallergic inflammatory reactions was investigated. Eosinophil infiltration and edema formation were measured as the local accumulation of intravenously injected 111In-labeled eosinophils and 125I-human serum albumin. The inflammatory reactions investigated were a passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (PCA) reaction and responses elicited by intradermal soluble inflammatory mediators (platelet-activating factor, leukotriene B4, C5a des Arg), arachidonic acid, and zymosan particles. The in vitro pretreatment of 111In-eosinophils with the anti-VLA-4 monoclonal antibody (mAb) HP1/2, which crossreacts with guinea pig eosinophils, suppressed eosinophil accumulation in all the inflammatory reactions investigated. Eosinophil accumulation was inhibited to the same extent when mAb HP1/2 was administered intravenously. It is interesting that HP1/2 had no effect on stimulated edema formation. These results suggest a role for VLA-4 in eosinophil accumulation in vivo and indicate a dissociation between the inflammatory events of eosinophil accumulation and edema formation.

Eosinophil accumulation is a prominent feature of allergic inflammatory disorders. Allergic patients have elevated levels of circulating eosinophils and these cells accumulate after antigenic challenge in the skin, lungs, and nasal airways. Despite much research investigating the interaction of eosinophils with cultured endothelial cells, the mechanisms that mediate and control the accumulation of eosinophils in vivo remain unclear.

Adhesion of leukocytes to microvascular endothelium is essential for their migration into inflamed tissues. This response is mediated by the interaction of adhesion molecules expressed on the cell surface of leukocytes and venular endothelial cells (1). The adherence of eosinophils to cultured endothelial cells has many functional and molecular characteristics similar to neutrophil-endothelial cell interaction. However, the recent demonstration that the α4β1 integrin VLA-4 (CD49d/CD29) is expressed on eosinophils and other leukocytes, but not the neutrophil, has led to suggestions that the VLA-4/VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule 1) adhesion pathway may be involved in specific eosinophil, as opposed to neutrophil, migration in vivo (2–4). This proposal has not, however, been directly addressed, and was the aim of the present investigation.

Using an in vivo test system we have previously demonstrated the accumulation of eosinophils in guinea pig skin induced by preformed mediators C5a, leukotriene B4 (LTB4), and platelet-activating factor (PAF), and in a passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (PCA) reaction (5, 6). In the present study, using this in vivo system we have investigated the effect of an anti-VLA-4 mAb on eosinophil accumulation. The results suggest that VLA-4 plays an important role in the accumulation of eosinophils in both allergic and nonallergic inflammatory reactions.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (300–500 g) were purchased from Harlan Olac (Bicester, Oxon, UK).

Reagents. PAF and LTB4 were obtained from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland) and Cascade Biochemical Ltd. (Berkshire, UK) respectively. Arachidonic acid (AA), zymosan, and bovine gamma globulin (BGG) were from Sigma Chemical Co. (Dorset, UK). 125I-human serum albumin (125I-HSA) and 111Indium chloride (111InCl3) were from Amersham International (Buckinghamshire, UK). Guinea pig zymosan-activated plasma (ZAP) was prepared as previously described and used as a source of C5a des Arg (5).

mAbs. HP1/2 is a mouse IgG1 mAb directed to the α4 chain of VLA-4 (CD49d) (7). The cell line was grown as an ascites, and mAb purified by protein A and gel filtration chromatography under endotoxin-free conditions. Endotoxin level of stock solution was assayed to be 0.03 U/ml resulting in <0.005 U being injected into each animal. Isotype-matched mAb 1E6, generated to human LFA3, was used as a control antibody. mAb 1E6, purified as above, was a gift from Dr. W. Meier (Biogen Inc., Cambridge, MA).

Immunofluorescence Flow Cytometry. Immunofluorescence flow cytometry was carried out based on a procedure previously described...
(8). Briefly, horse serum-induced guinea pig peritoneal eosinophils or glycogen-induced peritoneal neutrophils (>98% pure) were suspended in Ca2+/-Mg2+ free HBSS (106/ml). Cell aliquots were treated with 10–500 × 106 cells of mAb HP1/2 for 30 min at 4°C. The cells were then washed twice in fresh HBSS and resuspended in buffer containing a saturating concentration of FITC rabbit anti-mouse antibody (Dako Ltd., Buckinghamshire, UK) for 30 min at 4°C. Finally, the cells were washed twice and analyzed using a FACS® analyzer (Becton Dickinson & Co., Mountain View, CA) as previously reported (9).

Measurement of 111In-Eosinophil Accumulation and Edema Formation in Guinea Pig Skin. 111In-eosinophil accumulation and edema formation in guinea pig skin were simultaneously measured as previously reported (5). Briefly, peritoneal eosinophils were induced by multiple intraperitoneal injections of horse serum. The eosinophils were purified over a discontinuous Percoll gradient yielding eosinophil preparations of 95–100% purity. The cells were then radiolabeled with 111In and finally injected intravenously in a volume of 1 ml of HBSS containing 5 × 106–107 eosinophils mixed with 125I-HSA (5 μCi/kg).

In experiments investigating the effects of mAb HP1/2 or the control antibody, mAb 1E6, the 111In-labeled eosinophils were divided into two aliquots, one of which was treated with the mAb (50 μg/106 cells) for 15 min at room temperature before the final wash. Alternatively, the antibodies were administered intravenously (3 mg/kg) with the radioisotopes.

For the induction of a PCA reaction, animals were passively sensitized by intradermal injection of an IgG1-rich guinea pig anti-BGG antiserum (50 μl, 1:50 dilution) 20–24 h before the intravenous injection of the radioisotopes as previously described (10). 10 min after the intravenous injection of 111In-eosinophils and 125I-HSA, BGG was injected intradermally into sensitized sites and other stimuli (PAF, LTB4, ZAP, AA, and zymosan particles) were injected into naïve sites. Each test was performed in duplicate sites according to a balanced site injection plan with an injection volume of 100 μl/site. After a 2-h in vivo period, a cardiac blood sample was collected and animals killed by an overdose of anaesthetic. The dorsal skin was then removed and skin sites punched out. The 111In counts per eosinophil was determined and used to express eosinophil accumulation in terms of the number of labeled leukocytes, corrected for 107 cells injected. Exudate volumes were expressed in terms of microliters of plasma by dividing skin sample 125I counts by 125I counts in 1 μl of plasma.

Statistical Analysis. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM for n = 3–8 pairs of guinea pigs. (*) Significant difference from control, p <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Immunofluorescence Flow Cytometry. Guinea pig eosinophils bound HP1/2 with a saturating concentration of 50 μg/106 cells. At this concentration, mAb HP1/2 did not bind to guinea pig neutrophils (Fig. 1). Based on these results, HP1/2 was used at the concentration of 50 μg/106 cells to pretreat 111In-eosinophils in vitro for the in vivo experiments described below.

Effect of mAb HP1/2 on 111In-Eosinophil Accumulation and Edema Formation Induced by Exogenous Inflammatory Mediators, AA, and Zymosan Particles. Intradermal injections of PAF, LTB4, and guinea pig ZAP induced 111In-eosinophil accumulation over the 2-h test period in guinea pig skin (Figs. 2

Figure 1. Flow cytometry of guinea pig eosinophils and neutrophils stained with mAb HP1/2. Cells were incubated with mAb HP1/2 at 50 μg/106 cells followed by staining with a FITC anti-mouse antibody as described in Materials and Methods. (Broken lines) Nonspecific binding; (solid lines) specific binding.

Figure 2. Effect of mAb HP1/2 on 111In-eosinophil accumulation and edema formation induced by intradermal injections of PAF and LTB4. Radiolabeled eosinophils were untreated (control) or pretreated with mAb HP1/2 at a concentration of 50 μg/106 cells before their final wash and intravenous injection into recipient guinea pigs. Results are the mean ± SEM for n = 3–8 pairs of guinea pigs. (*) Significant difference from control, p <0.05.
HP1/2 almost completely inhibited their accumulation in response to PAF and LTB4 (Fig. 2), whilst partially inhibiting the response to ZAP (Fig. 3). This procedure also inhibited 111In-eosinophil accumulation induced by intradermal AA and zymosan particles (Fig. 4). It is interesting that the small level of eosinophil accumulation detected in sites injected with saline/BSA was also significantly inhibited. Edema formation in animals receiving treated cells was not significantly different from the responses in guinea pigs injected with control 111In-eosinophils (Fig. 2 for PAF and LTB4; data not shown for other stimuli).

mAb HP1/2 had similar inhibitory effects on 111In-eosinophil accumulation, but not edema formation, when it was administered intravenously at 3 mg/kg (Table 1 and Fig. 4). Preliminary experiments demonstrated that increasing the dose to 10 mg/kg did not enhance the inhibitory effect of HP1/2, whilst 1 mg/kg gave a greatly reduced inhibition of cell accumulation.

The inhibitory effect of mAb HP1/2 was not due to a reduction in the number of circulating radiolabeled leukocytes in the guinea pigs, e.g., percentage of control labeled eosinophils and mAb HP1/2-treated 111In-eosinophils circulating at the end of the 2-h in vivo test period were 10.3 ± 3.1% and 7.0 ± 2.7% (percent injected cells; mean ± SEM, n = eight pairs of animals), respectively. Pretreatment of labeled eosinophils with a control mouse IgG (mAb 1E6) or the intravenous administration of mAb 1E6, did not significantly affect 111In-eosinophil accumulation, e.g., with intravenous 1E6, percent changes in 111In-eosinophil accumulation over un-

Table 1. Effect of Intravenous mAb HP1/2 on 111In-eosinophil Accumulation and Edema Formation Induced by Inflammatory Mediators

|                     | 111In-eosinophils/site (x 10^3) | µL Plasma |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
|                     | Control | Intravenous HP1/2 | Control | Intravenous HP1/2 |
| Saline/0.1% BSA     | 1.02 ± 0.29 | 0.4 ± 0.07*       | 16.23 ± 3.66 | 16.65 ± 6.52 |
| LTB4 (5 × 10^{-9} mol/site) | 3.07 ± 0.84 | 1.61 ± 0.48*       | 20.38 ± 2.51 | 19.04 ± 2.27 |
| PAF (10^{-9} mol/site) | 6.45 ± 2.27 | 1.86 ± 0.35*       | 94.70 ± 21.64 | 90.53 ± 17.0 |
| ZAP (100%)          | 19.79 ± 3.25 | 8.75 ± 2.0*       | 44.35 ± 8.64 | 52.65 ± 7.32 |

mAb HP1/2 was administered intravenously (3 mg/kg) 10 min before intradermal administration of LTB4, PAF, and ZAP. 111In-eosinophil accumulation and edema formation in guinea pig skin were measured over a 2-h in vivo test period as described in Materials and Methods. Results are mean ± SEM for n = 4-5 pairs of animals.

*p < 0.05, a significant difference from control.
Figure 5. Effect of mAb HP1/2 pretreatment on $^{111}$In-eosinophil accumulation in the PCA reaction. To elicit the PCA reaction, antigen (BGG) was administered intradermally into previously sensitized sites. Control skin sites injected with BGG or antisera (AS) alone were also included. Radiolabeled eosinophils were untreated (control) or pretreated with mAb HP1/2 at a concentration of 50 μg/10^6 cells before their final wash and intravenous injection into recipient guinea pigs. Results are the mean ± SEM for 4–6 pairs of animals. (*) Significant difference from control, p < 0.05.

The treated cells were 22.3 ± 19.3% and 10.6 ± 26.4% (n = four pairs) for PAF and LTβ4, respectively.

Effect of mAb HP1/2 on $^{111}$In-Eosinophil Accumulation in the PCA Reaction. Injection of antigen (BGG) into sensitized sites led to a marked and dose-dependent $^{111}$In-eosinophil accumulation in the guinea pig skin, whilst BGG and antisera alone induced very small responses (Fig. 5).

As observed with the exogenous mediators, AA and zymosan particles, the in vitro pretreatment of $^{111}$In-eosinophils with mAb HP1/2 significantly inhibited their accumulation in the PCA reaction. HP1/2 also inhibited the small cell accumulation induced by BGG and antisera where small inflammatory responses were possibly induced.

Discussion

Eosinophils have been implicated in the pathogenesis of a wide variety of inflammatory disease states including allergic disorders. The mechanisms that mediate and regulate the selective accumulation of eosinophils in sites of allergic inflammation remain unclear. Both neutrophils and eosinophils respond to chemoattractants such as C5a, LTβ4, and PAF and exhibit enhanced adhesion to cytokine-activated endothelial cells in a CD18-dependent manner (11, 12). Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), an important ligand for CD11a/CD18 and CD11b/CD18 (13–15) has been shown to be involved in neutrophil and eosinophil adhesion in vitro (15–17) and accumulation in vivo (18, 19). E-selectin has also been implicated in neutrophil and eosinophil adhesion to cytokine-activated endothelial cells in vitro (2, 16, 17), however, of the two leukocyte types, only eosinophils express VLA-4 that binds to VCAM-1 on activated endothelial cells (2–4). The characterization of the VLA-4/VCAM-1 adhesion pathway has led to suggestions that this interaction may mediate the accumulation of eosinophils, as opposed to neutrophils, into sites of eosinophilic inflammation. In the present study using a neutralizing anti-VLA-4 mAb, which blocks both VLA-4/VCAM-1 and VLA-4/fibronectin interactions (7), we have demonstrated a role for VLA-4 in eosinophil accumulation in vivo.

Intradermal chemoattractants PAF, LTβ4, and C5a des Arg (in ZAP) induced eosinophil accumulation in guinea pig skin which was inhibited by mAb HP1/2, whether used to pretreat the $^{111}$In-eosinophils in vitro or given intravenously. These chemoattractants, which do not appear to activate endothelial cells, are believed to stimulate eosinophil adhesion in the venule lumen primarily by a CD18-dependent mechanism. It was therefore interesting to observe that an anti-VLA-4 mAb inhibited eosinophil accumulation induced by these mediators, although other workers have shown that VLA-4 is not upregulated by a chemoattractant such as PAF and the antibody does not inhibit eosinophil adherence in vitro to endothelial cells induced by PAF (3). Whilst HP1/2 almost completely inhibited the responses induced by PAF and LTβ4, it only partially suppressed eosinophil accumulation induced by C5a des Arg. These findings indicate that VLA-4 plays an important role in chemoattractant-induced eosinophil accumulation in vivo, but other VLA-4–independent adhesion pathways perhaps involving CD18 and ICAM-1 may also be involved in eosinophil accumulation induced by C5a des Arg. HP1/2 also inhibited eosinophil accumulation induced by the endogenous generation of mediators in response to AA, zymosan, and in PCA reactions. However, in no case was inhibition of edema formation seen when using HP1/2. These findings indicate a dissociation between the inflammatory events of eosinophil accumulation and plasma protein leakage. Edema formation induced by chemoattractants in this model, as found in other in vivo models (20, 21), may be mediated by the process of neutrophil accumulation.

Although in vitro studies have shown that VLA-4 can interact with VCAM-1 (22), fibronectin (23), and a ligand involved in leukocyte homotypic aggregation (7), the existence of as yet uncharacterized VLA-4 ligands is strongly suggested (7, 24). In the present in vivo study, whilst demonstrating an important role for VLA-4 in eosinophil accumulation, we have not attempted to identify the possible ligands with which VLA-4 may be interacting. A possible candidate is clearly VCAM-1, which may be basally expressed on venular endothelial cells in vivo. In addition, upregulation of VCAM-1 expression in vivo may be involved. Time course experiments with cytokine-activated cultured endothelial cells have shown that significant levels of VCAM-1 can be detected as early as 1–2 h, though expression peaks after 6–10 h of cytokine treatment (25, 26). It is possible that induction of VCAM-1 is faster on venular endothelial cells in vivo to account for the rapid appearance of eosinophils. The availability of mAbs to guinea pig VCAM-1 will allow us to investigate directly the involvement of this molecule in eosinophil accumulation in our in vivo model and to determine the time course of
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VCAM-1 expression within skin sites by immunohistology. In addition, whilst numerous studies have investigated the interaction of VLA-4 with VCAM-1 with respect to leukocyte-endothelial cell adhesion, very few have addressed the involvement of this adhesion pathway in the process of leukocyte transendothelial cell migration. VLA-4 may interact with a different ligand to VCAM-1 during this process. Clearly, further in vivo studies are required to dissect the involvement of endothelial cell adhesion molecules in the process of eosinophil accumulation in vivo. The interaction of VLA-4 with fibronectin may also partly explain the present results. Fibronectin can be deposited on the luminal surface of the endothelium at sites of skin inflammation (27), and its interaction with circulating leukocytes may contribute to the process of leukocyte migration in vivo (28).

In summary, the results presented here strongly indicate a role for VLA-4 in the process of eosinophil accumulation in both allergic and nonallergic inflammatory reactions. Although the ligands with which VLA-4 is interacting in vivo are yet to be determined, our findings suggest that VLA-4 blockers may be highly effective therapeutic tools in the treatment of inflammatory conditions where eosinophil accumulation is a prominent feature.
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