Rapid Identification of \textit{Bacillus anthracis} In Silico and On-Site Using Novel Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms
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\textbf{ABSTRACT} \textit{Bacillus anthracis} is a spore-forming bacterium that causes life-threatening infections in animals and humans and has been used as a bioterror agent. Rapid and reliable detection and identification of \textit{B. anthracis} are of primary interest for both medical and biological threat-surveillance purposes. Few chromosomal sequences provide enough polymorphisms to clearly distinguish \textit{B. anthracis} from closely related species. We analyzed 18 loci of the chromosome of \textit{B. anthracis} and discovered eight novel single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) sites that can be used for the specific identification of \textit{B. anthracis}. Using these SNP sites, we developed software—named AGILE V1.1 (anthracis genome-based identification with high-fidelity E-probe)—for easy, user-friendly identification of \textit{B. anthracis} from whole-genome sequences. We also developed a recombinase polymerase amplification-Cas12a-based method that uses nucleic acid extracts for the specific, rapid, in-the-field identification of \textit{B. anthracis} based on these SNPs. Via this method and \textit{B. anthracis}-specific CRISPR RNAs for the target CR5_2, CR5_1, and Ba813 SNPs, we clearly detected 5 aM genomic DNA. This study provides two simple and reliable methods suitable for use in local hospitals and public health programs for the detection of \textit{B. anthracis}.

\textbf{IMPORTANCE} \textit{Bacillus anthracis} is the etiologic agent of anthrax, a fatal disease and a potential biothreat. A specific, accurate, and rapid method is urgently required for the identification of \textit{B. anthracis}. We demonstrate the potential of using eight novel SNPs for the rapid and accurate detection of \textit{B. anthracis} via in silico and laboratory-based testing methods. Our findings have important implications for public health responses to disease outbreaks and bioterrorism threats.
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The soil bacteria \textit{Bacillus cereus}, \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis}, and \textit{Bacillus anthracis}, members of the \textit{B. cereus} group of strains, have similar spore formation and genetic characteristics to the extent that they have been considered members of the same species (1). \textit{B. cereus} is known mainly as a food poisoning bacterium that causes diarrhea and vomiting but may also cause more severe infections, and \textit{B. thuringiensis} is an insect pathogen. \textit{B. anthracis} is the etiological agent of anthrax, a disease with high lethality in many animal species, including humans. \textit{B. anthracis} was considered for use as a potential biowarfare agent during the age of the Cold War and, in later years, as a bioterror agent. Therefore, it is important that we are able to unambiguously distinguish \textit{B. anthracis} isolates from other members of the \textit{B. cereus} group at the genetic level.

Wild-type \textit{B. anthracis} normally harbors two virulence plasmids, pXO1 and pXO2. Some genes on the plasmids have been used as targets to detect \textit{B. anthracis} in PCR assays (2, 3). However, data gathered in the past decade have shown that these...
plasmids may be lost from some *B. anthracis* strains, and some *B. cereus* strains can acquire pXO1/pXO2-like plasmids (4, 5). This indicates that we cannot reliably identify *B. anthracis* using only the plasmid sequences. The mobile nature of the plasmids in *B. cereus* group strains emphasizes the importance of applying chromosomal markers to differentiate *B. anthracis* from the rest of the *B. cereus* group. Compared with targets on the two virulent plasmids, targets on chromosomes are more stable and more appropriate for identifying *B. anthracis*.

Previously, a few targets on the chromosome of *B. anthracis* have been considered PCR markers. Target genes have included Ba813 (6), gyrA (7), gyrB (8), rpoB (9), SG850 (10), psl (11), purA (12), and plcR (13). The multilocus sequencing typing (MLST) loci (*glpF*, *gmk*, *ilvD*, *pta*, *pur*, *pyc*, and *tpi*) used for molecular typing of the *B. cereus* group also possess the potential to be identifying markers for *B. anthracis* (14). The CRISPR system is a widespread immune system in bacteria that holds a record of bacterial evolution (15, 16), and CRISPR loci also have the potential to distinguish *B. anthracis*. We found three CRISPR sites (CRISPR 2, 3, and 5) in *B. anthracis* strain Ames (GI: 30260195) using the CRISPR finder program (https://crispr.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/Server/). The recent availability of numerous whole-genome sequences of *B. cereus* group strains provided a basis for the reassessment of these loci with regard to whether they can be used as markers to identify *B. anthracis*. It is worth analyzing these loci and exploring the use of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) specific to *B. anthracis* because accurate and stable SNPs can be used to develop detection methods for the strain.

*B. anthracis* represents a significant public health and veterinary threat and can be used in bioterror attacks, and therefore, rapid in-the-field detection of this pathogen is important. The synthetic biologist Collins first introduced the use of CRISPR/Cas technology for nucleic acid molecular diagnosis (17), and CRISPR/Cas shows excellent sequence recognition capacity. DNA endonuclease-targeted CRISPR trans-reporter (DETECTR) (18) and one-hour low-cost multipurpose highly efficient system (HOLMES) (19) can be used to detect DNA sequences from human papillomaviruses in patient samples and both the pseudorabies virus and Japanese encephalitis virus with attomolar sensitivity and high specificity via CRISPR-Cas12a, respectively. Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) does not require template denaturation; can be run at a low, constant temperature; and has been successfully integrated into different detection platforms (20). Integration of the CRISPR/Cas system with RPA has huge application prospects in the field of on-site testing that does not require precision instruments.

We aimed to develop reliable methods for the identification of *B. anthracis* in our study. First, computer-based comparative analysis of target loci was conducted aiming to identify SNP markers specific for *B. anthracis*. We uncovered eight novel SNPs from these loci and developed publicly available software for the rapid identification of *B. anthracis* based on these SNPs. Then, we used these SNPs to develop a practical and rapid on-site *B. anthracis* detection method that employs the Cas12a detection system combined with RPA.

**RESULTS**

Initial screening of *B. anthracis* genome database. As of 10 August 2020, there were 1,992 genomes of common *B. cereus sensu lato* group members in the NCBI database, including 252 whole-genome sequences assigned as *B. anthracis*, 1,118 as *B. cereus*, and 622 as *B. thuringiensis* (see Table S6 in the supplemental material), and they were all adopted as the genomic databases for screening for specific SNPs. Among them, the genomic sequences of the other 251 *B. anthracis* strains were compared with the sequence of the reference strain *B. anthracis* Ames Ancestor (accession no. NC_007530) (Fig. 1). These strains have different genome sequencing completion statuses; some have been completely assembled, some still consist of many contigs, and some even have >1,500 contigs. To facilitate identification, the strains were renumbered (Table S7), and the 16S rRNA gene sequence, average nucleotide identity (ANI) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) values, and a “T” as base 640 of the plcR
open reading frame (ORF) (13) were used in the preliminary screening of the *B. anthracis* strains. The results showed that all 16S rRNA gene similarities between the 251 strains in the *B. anthracis* database and *B. anthracis* Ames Ancestor were >99%. Four strains (BA168_AFS095574, BA169_AFS081271, BA171_AFS057383, and BA172_AFS029941) were removed from our database because the ANI and dDDH values were below 96% and 70%, respectively (Fig. 2A). In 12 strains, base 640 in the *plcR* ORF was not "T," and three of the strains had a base deletion at this position. The genomes of 11 of the 12 strains possessed neither pXO1 nor pXO2 plasmids, nor any virulence factors of *B. anthracis* (including *pagA*, *lef*, *cya*, and *capBCAD*), while one strain (BA145_N1ZF-2; identifier [ID], GCA_001883885.1) carried *capBCAD* genes on a plasmid. Thus, we temporarily eliminated these 12 doubtful *B. anthracis* strains from the strain set, leaving 240 *B. anthracis* strains (Fig. 1 and 2A).

**Evaluation and validation of *B. anthracis*-specific SNPs.** To find SNPs that can be used to identify *B. anthracis*, we evaluated 18 loci on the chromosome, including seven MLST loci, three CRISPR loci, and eight loci previously suggested in the literature (Fig. 1; Table S1). After the 12 doubtful *B. anthracis* strains were eliminated, the nucleotide sequences of these loci in the 240 putative *B. anthracis* strains (*n* = 1,980) were analyzed by local BLAST searches using blast-2.7.1+. After alignment, the four loci (*gmk*, *gyrB*, *pta*, and *pur*) were found to be completely homologous among some *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus*, and *B. thuringiensis* strains and were eliminated from the locus set (*n* = 14, Fig. S1). Further analysis found six loci (*crispR2*, *crispR3*, *glpF*, *gyrA*, *ilvD*, and *ptsi*) that had no specific SNP in *B. anthracis* that could be distinguished from those of the *B. cereus*-*B. thuringiensis* strain and thus were also eliminated (*n* = 8, Fig. S2). Specific SNP sites that can be used to distinguish *B. anthracis* from *B. cereus*-*B. thuringiensis* strains were identified in eight loci (one in each of Ba813, *plcR*, *purA*, *pyc*, *rpoB*, SG850, and *tpiA* and two in CRISPR5) (Table 1; Fig. S3). Because the SNP in *plcR* was the same as that previously reported (13), it was used only to prove the accuracy of the eight novel SNP sites (*n* = 7) identified in this study. Nine specific probes based on the nine SNPs, namely, eProbes_Ba for *B. anthracis* and eProbes_Bct for *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis*
We retrospectively analyzed the genomes of 1,118 *B. cereus* and 622 *B. thuringiensis* strains to determine the specificity and effectiveness of the two electronic probe (eProbe) sets (Fig. 1); none of the SNPs included in eProbes_Ba were detected *in silico* in these *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis* strains. We next analyzed the genomes of 4,707 *Bacillus* strains from 87 species in local and NCBI genome databases, not including *B. anthracis*, as a test set (Table S6) to assess the applicability of the two eProbe sets. None of the nine SNPs in eProbes_Ba were detected in these strains (data not shown). The above results suggested that the nine SNPs in eProbes_Ba can be used to distinguish *B. anthracis* from its genetic near-neighbors *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis*.

Development of identification software based on eProbes. Encouraged by the specificity and effectiveness of the two eProbe sets, we compiled software for the rapid identification of *B. anthracis* from genome sequence data using eProbes_Ba and eProbes_Bct. The software was named anthracis genome-based identification with high-fidelity E-probe (AGILE V1.1). As long as one of the eProbes_Ba is matched exactly...
by AGILE, the strain is identified as *B. anthracis*, and the more matched probes there are, the more reliable the positive result. This software can be downloaded by anyone for free from https://github.com/844844/AGILE for Windows and https://github.com/844844/Identify_B.anthracis for Python users. The identification of strains can be completed with one click by inputting sequence data (a complete sequence assembly) on a Windows-based PC. AGILE is a convenient, user-friendly tool for microbiologists, clinicians, and industry professionals.

After successful completion of the software development, the 12 doubtful *B. anthracis* strains (genome sequences available at https://www.github.com/844844/AGILE) were confirmed to be non-*B. anthracis* strains using AGILE V1.1 scanning (Fig. S4). This result is the same as that of our preliminary screening with 16S RNA, ANI, and plcR ORF described previously. The genomes of these 12 strains did not harbor any of the SNPs in eProbes_Ba but harbored all of those in eProbes_Bct (Fig. 2B). The SNP sites in Ba813, CR52, and plcR were also not harbored in several strains. The 12 strains also clustered with *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis* after minimum evolution method phylogenetic analysis. To verify these results, we combined these 12 strains with 10 strains each of *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus*, and *B. thuringiensis* as a validation set for whole-genome phylogenetic analysis.

| Locus     | Base change | SNP position | eProbe start | eProbe end | Nucleotide sequence of eProbe |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| Ba813     | A-G         | 4564265(−)   | 4564240      | 4564289    | CACCGTCAATGATGCAATTTCAATTGCCAATGTGCAAATTTGTAGTTT (SEQ ID no. 1) |
| crispRS_1 | C-T         | 5015039(−)   | 5015014      | 5015063    | AGGTTGCTGCAAGGCAGAAGCTGCACTCTGATGAAAGCTGACACATAATG (SEQ ID no. 2) |
| crispRS_2 | C-A         | 5014970(−)   | 5014945      | 5014994    | AAGCGGCAACGCAAACGCAAGAAGCTGCACTCTGATGAAAGCTGACACATAATG (SEQ ID no. 3) |
| pyc       | T-C         | 3810008(−)   | 3810003      | 3810052    | ATGCGCAGCCGCGACAGCTAGACTAGATTTACAACACAAAGCAAAGTGATG (SEQ ID no. 4) |
| rpoB      | T-C         | 109410(+)    | 109399       | 109448     | TTATACTTGGACAATCAATGCTGCACTCTATTTATAGTTATGCTCTGTA (SEQ ID no. 5) |
| SGB50     | A-C         | 1491472(+)   | 1491458      | 1491507    | AGCCGGGTGTAGAAGCGGAAAACTGTTGATATCTGCTATGCAATTTT (SEQ ID no. 6) |
| tpiA      | G-T/A       | 4861968(−)   | 4861992      | 4861992    | TCCACGTCTATCTTCTAGAGGGCTGCTGAGGACGGACTAGGAAACGTATTG (SEQ ID no. 7) |
| purA      | G-A         | 5207983(−)   | 5207958      | 5208007    | ATATTGATGATGATCCTGTTGATTTGATGAGTACCCATTTACATTTAAAATG (SEQ ID no. 8) |
| plcR      | T-G/C       | 5081303(−)   | 5081278      | 5081327    | TTATACTTGGCAATCAATCAGAATTTGCAATTTAAGCGCTTTGTCATGCAAT (SEQ ID no. 9) |

*Bold and underlined letters indicate SNP sites. SNP position is based on the chromosome of *B. anthracis* Ames Ancestor strain (NC_007530). SEQ ID, sequence identifier.*
Furthermore, we collected genomic information on 11 Bacillus strains isolated on the International Space Station (ISS; from the experimental modules of three different countries) (24). Previous research (24) indicated that the 11 ISS isolates could be B. anthracis based on ANI and dDDH values and gyrB sequences, but these strains were confirmed as not being B. anthracis when later phenotypic trait and whole-genome analyses were used. After the genome sequences were entered into the AGILE software, these 11 ISS strains were identified as B. cereus or B. thuringiensis (Fig. 3B). The 11 ISS strains are marked in red in Fig. 3B. All nine SNP sites in our set were identical in 10 of the strains; the eProbe sequence in purA was absent from the genomic information for one strain (ISS-GCA-002151595). The 11 ISS strains clustered together with B. cereus and B. thuringiensis, among others, in a maximum-likelihood tree. Our findings indicate that AGILE is an accurate and powerful method for identifying B. anthracis.

Detection of B. anthracis based on novel SNP sites by RPA combined with Cas12a.

Having confirmed that the nine SNPs in eProbes_Ba efficiently differentiated B. anthracis from B. cereus and B. thuringiensis in silico, we developed a CRISPR/Cas12a-based-detection method with a naked-eye readout under blue light (Fig. 4A). Genomic DNA was extracted from each bacterium and used as the detection substrate. The target gene fragments were amplified within 30 min at 37°C, followed by a CRISPR/Cas12a reaction at 37°C (Fig. 4A). For the specific detection of B. anthracis genomic DNA, CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) corresponding to the eight novel SNPs on the chromosome of B. anthracis Ames were designed and evaluated in the laboratory (Fig. 4B).

The genomic DNA concentrations of each bacterium was 10^6 aM (Table S9). Cas12a-mediated detection of each of the five target SNPs produced a robust signal within 60 min when the detection substrate was the product of B. anthracis vaccine strain.
A16R(pXO1+, pXO2−) genomic DNA amplification by RPA, which is derived from A16 by exposure to UV radiation (25) (Fig. 5A). Cas12a-mediated detection produced a weak signal within 60 min when the detection substrate was the product of another strain (B. cereus, BC307 and NC7401; B. thuringiensis, HD73; Bacillus subtilis, Bs168) genomic DNA amplifications by RPA. Cas12a-mediated detection allowed B. anthracis to be distinguished from its near-neighbor bacteria by a fluorescent signal. The five target SNPs that can be used to distinguish B. anthracis via RPA are located in the tpiA, SG850, CR5_1/2, and Ba813 loci (Fig. 5A). The sensitivity of the CRISPR/Cas12a assay

FIG 4 CRISPR/Cas12a-based detection workflow and schematics for B. anthracis detection with a naked-eye readout under blue light. (A) Schematics of the Cas12a-based assay for rapid visual nucleic acid detection. After recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) for 30 min, the Cas12a enzyme cleavage takes an additional 60 min. The green fluorescent signal of a positive detection of B. anthracis can be observed by the naked eye under blue light with an orange filter. (B) Schematics of the crRNA design for the five novel SNP sites. SNP sites are generally located at bases 1 to 6 downstream of the PAM sequence. The crRNA can target both the coding strand and the noncoding strand.

FIG 5 CRISPR/Cas12a-based detection of Bacillus spp. with naked-eye readout. (A) These B. anthracis-specific CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) corresponding to five SNP sites can distinguish B. anthracis (strain A16R) from B. cereus (strains BC307 and NC7401), B. thuringiensis (strain HD73), and B. subtilis (strain Bs168) within 90 min (one-way repeated-measure analysis of variance). Genomic DNA concentrations are shown in Table S9 and other files in the supplemental material. (B) Sensitivity assay using the five crRNAs to detect the B. anthracis strain A16R genomic DNA over a dilution gradient from 5.14 × 10^5 to 5.14 × 10^−3 aM (one-way analysis of variance). ****, P ≤ 0.0001; ***, P ≤ 0.001; **, P ≤ 0.05; ns, not significant.
was also determined based on the resulting fluorescence intensity. To determine the detection threshold, genomic DNA of B. anthracis (A16R) was diluted from $5.14 \times 10^5$ aM to $5.14 \times 10^{-2}$ aM (Fig. 5B; Table S9). The crRNAs for the target SNPs CR5_2, CR5_1, and Ba813 were the most sensitive and can be used to detect 5 aM of genomic DNA (Fig. 5B). Thus, we chose CR5_2, CR5_1, and Ba813-crRNA for a B. anthracis screening assay. The remaining target loci can be used for confirmatory diagnostic assays.

The other three target SNPs (in purA, pyc, and ropB) can be used to identify B. anthracis via PCR amplification but not via RPA amplification (Fig. S5). In the future, we aim to simultaneously detect multiple SNPs, which would avoid misidentifications due to single SNP mutations, thereby improving the accuracy of the B. anthracis identification.

**DISCUSSION**

In previous reports, clinically similar cases of cutaneous anthrax lesions and inhalation anthrax were described as being caused by Bacillus pumilus (26), B. cereus containing B. anthracis toxin genes (27), and B. cereus (28). The characterization of members of the B. cereus group is crucial for decision-making by clinicians and staff at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. As described in the introduction, SNPs adopted previously for the identification of B. anthracis have been invalidated as increasingly more genome sequence data have become available (6, 29). Constructing a UPGMA tree is a good way to distinguish strains, but this requires work by skilled bioinformatics professionals.

In this study, we analyzed the genomes of nearly 2,000 B. cereus group strains downloaded from NCBI, identified eight novel SNPs that are characteristic of B. anthracis, and developed software named AGILE that can identify strains based on eProbes (eProbes_Ba and eProbes_Bct). AGILE is a powerful tool for distinguishing B. anthracis from other members of the B. cereus group, which are often not distinguishable by conventional 16S rRNA gene sequencing and BLAST searching. By applying AGILE to validation and test data sets, we discovered 12 non-B. anthracis strains that had been assigned as B. anthracis in the NCBI database. The 12 strains have also been found to not belong to the B. anthracis lineage by other international research institutions (30, 31), verifying our results.

With the wider application of whole-genome sequencing in the field of public health, our AGILE tool will become increasingly important: using genome sequence data, AGILE can be used to identify B. anthracis from near-neighbor bacteria *in silico* based on eProbes in seconds. As Fig. 3 shows, 11 isolates from the ISS were unambiguously identified as B. cereus or B. thuringiensis, not B. anthracis, using AGILE. Venkateswaran and colleagues (24) stated that the gyrB sequence, DDH and ANI values, and dDDH analysis supported that the 11 ISS isolates were similar to B. anthracis but distant from B. cereus and B. thuringiensis. Phenotypic (motility, positive hemolysis, lack of a capsule, and resistance to gamma phage/penicillin) and genomic (lack of pXO1 and pXO2 plasmids) data were collected, and MLST and whole-genome SNP analyses were performed by them. A large number of the experimental results performed by Venkateswaran et al. provided reasons to exclude the ISS isolates from B. anthracis, which is consistent with the results of the AGILE analysis.

AGILE uses multiple SNP sites; therefore, strains are not missed during the detection process due to poor-quality genomic sequencing. For example, although the nonsense mutation at position 640 in plcR proved to be truly unique to B. anthracis, this locus is shortened in several strains of B. cereus and the bases cannot be matched at position 640, which is related to the quality of the sequencing data (Fig. 2A and B). The SNP sites identified in this study are not on virulence plasmids and so are relatively stable. The use of chromosomal molecular markers can identify B. anthracis (pXO1 and/or pXO2) strains, which provides more information for bacterial traceability analysis. Compared with BTyper (32), a command-line tool for classifying B. cereus group isolates via gene detection, AGILE does not involve complicated calculations, processes, or
coding operations. It is easy for users to understand the analytical results of AGILE, share data with colleagues, and make definite judgments on the suspected isolates.

Using the SNPs on the chromosome, we have also developed an RPA-Cas12a-based method for the rapid, on-site detection of *B. anthracis*. The CRISPR5_2, CRISPR5_1, and Ba813 crRNAs can be used to detect *B. anthracis* with as little as 5 aM genomic DNA. The detection sensitivity is as low as that of HOLMES for detecting the two viruses (19). No precision instruments are required, only a blue light is needed, and detection can be completed in 1.5 h at 37°C.

AGILE based on eProbes_Ba and eProbes_Bct can be used only to identify *B. anthracis* and distinguish the *B. cereus* group from other *Bacillus* spp., and other species in the *B. cereus* group cannot be classified in more detail. However, *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus* are common pathogens in this group, and their early and unambiguous diagnostic detection is essential for panic elimination, successful treatment, and disease prevention. We have provided software for use after nucleic acid sequencing and RPA-Cas12a on-site detection for improving the rapid identification of *B. anthracis*. This paves the way for the development of microbiological diagnostic kits or chips for distinguishing *B. anthracis* from other *B. cereus* group members. This technology may aid in rapid responses to anthrax terrorist attacks and help ensure public health security.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data resources and initial screening of genomes. Genome sequences of strains were obtained from the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/). As shown in Fig. 1, we performed preliminary screening of the downloaded *B. cereus* group genomic data in local genome database by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (33), calculated the average nucleotide identity (ANI) (34, 35) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) (35–37) values, and detected the base at position 640 in the open reading frame (ORF) of the gene plcR (13). The dDDH value was attained and analyzed via https://ggdc.dsmz.de/ggdc.php. The other 251 *B. anthracis* strains from the NCBI database were compared with the genomic sequence of *B. anthracis* strain Ames Ancestor (GenBank accession no. NC_007530), which is the reference genome for *B. anthracis*.

Specific SNP analysis. As shown in Fig. 1, MLST loci, CRISPR loci, and other loci (pp08, gyrA, gyrB, Ba813, plcR, pts1, purA, and SG850) were used to identify species-specific SNPs and thus distinguish among species (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The sequences of the seven MLST genes can be obtained from the *B. cereus* MLST website, http://pubmlst.org/bcereus_seqdef/&page=downloadAlleles&species=B. cereus and the exact answer is provided directly as output.

The sequences of a selected locus in *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus*, and *B. thuringiensis* were compared. Using local BLAST (blast-2.7.1+)

The nucleotide sequence of the selected locus in *B. anthracis* Ames Ancestor was used as reference for comparison with the sequences of the *B. cereus*-*B. thuringiensis* strains. These sequences were imported into MEGA-X software for comparison and analysis to find specific SNP sites that distinguish *B. anthracis* from its genetic near-neighbors *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis* (Fig. 1).

**Construction of eProbes.** As shown in Fig. 1, taking the identified SNP in each locus as the base point, 24 bp upstream and 25 bp downstream of the SNP were used to create an electronic probe (eProbe) of 50 bp. A FASTA-format file composed of each eProbe (containing the sequences from all strains) was imported into MEGA software for comparison and analysis. If this tag was identical in all *B. anthracis* strains, and at least one base in the tag was different from that in all *B. cereus* and *B. thuringien-

The software was created with Python version 3.7 and employs an in silico combination of eProbes_Ba and eProbes_Bct using nucleotide sequencing data. Whether the data to be tested match the probe is used to judge whether the strain is *B. anthracis*. This does not rely on comparison scoring, and the exact answer is provided directly as output.

**Detection of *B. anthracis* based on Cas12a-RPA.** The CRISPR/Cas12a system contained the Cas12a protein, the target DNA, *B. anthracis*-specific CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs), and a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) reporter. To enable on-site detection, the ssDNA (a 12-base probe) was labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) and the quenching group BHQ1: 5′-FAM–GAGACCGACCTG-3′-BHQ1. When the target DNA of *B. anthracis* was found by the detection system, the Cas12a/cRNA binary complex formed a ternary complex with the target DNA, and the ssDNA probe was cleaved by Cas12a; the quencher BHQ1 was thus separated from the fluorescent probe FAM, and the resulting green fluorescence could be seen with the naked eye under blue light in the wavelength range of 450 to 480 nm (Fig. 4A). The Cas12a reaction was conducted at 37°C for 60 min (Fig. 4A) in a 20-μL volume (Table S5). Fluorescence intensities were detected at 60 min using a Bio-Rad real-time PCR CFX96 instrument in FAM mode (Life Science, Hercules, CA, USA) or with the naked eye under blue light (Fig. 4A).
The formation of a Cas12a/crRNA/DNA ternary complex requires the target DNA to contain a protospacer-adjacent motif sequence (PAM; a TTTN sequence), which can be added to the primers and introduced during amplification (Fig. 4B). The sequences of the RPA primers used are shown in Table S3, and RPA was performed using the TwistAmp liquid exo kit (TwistDX, Maidenhead, UK). The G + C mol% content of the RPA primers (Table S3) was between 20% and 70%, the melting temperature ($T_m$) was between 50°C and 100°C, and the RPA reaction was performed at 37°C for 30 min (Table S4). To shorten the reaction time, the length of the DNA product was kept between 100 bp and 150 bp.

The formation of a Cas12a/crRNA/DNA ternary complex requires crRNA. The 17-base crRNA sequence of the target DNA and the universal sequence (5'-AAUUUCACUGUUGUAGAU-3') formed a complete crRNA (Table S3) (38). The detection efficiencies of crRNAs for SNP sites located at six different base positions downstream of the PAM were inconsistent. The crRNA targeting the noncoding strand was designed in the same way (Fig. 4B). The sequences of the chosen RPA oligonucleotide primers and crRNAs after preexperimental analysis using PCR (Vazyme catalog no. PS10-01; Nanjing, China) are shown in Table S3. The RPA oligonucleotide primers, crRNAs, and single-stranded DNA probes were synthesized by General Biosystems Co. Ltd. (Anhui, China).
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