Prolonged survival and response to tepotinib in a non-small-cell lung cancer patient with brain metastases harboring MET exon 14 mutation: a research report
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Abstract Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have transformed the standard of care in lung cancer. A number of TKIs have been discovered that specifically target oncogenes, including MET receptor tyrosine kinase. Second-generation MET TKIs are showing improved efficacy over first-generation TKIs. Herein, we report a case of a patient with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma harboring a MET exon 14 splice site mutation who has had prolonged disease control by a second-generation MET-TKI tepotinib. A 66-yr-old man was diagnosed with stage IV lung adenocarcinoma. He was started on carboplatin, paclitaxel, and bevacizumab, but had severe toxicity. He was switched to pembrolizumab as his tumor was PD-L1 70%, and molecular testing was not yet performed because of insufficient tissue. A bronchoscopy with endobronchial ultrasound was performed and a MET exon 14 splice site mutation was detected by next-generation sequencing. Upon progression, he was then enrolled in a clinical trial of tepotinib and continues with stable disease for more than 45 cycles and 31 mo. The MET receptor tyrosine kinase and the ligand hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) have been implicated as oncogenes and drivers of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Newer MET TKIs including capmatinib and tepotinib more recently showed not only improved localized control and response, but early data suggests intracranial activity as compared to first-generation MET TKIs, both in the front-line and the refractory setting. This is a case report demonstrating an effective duration of response in a patient with widely metastatic lung adenocarcinoma harboring a MET exon 14 mutation.

INTRODUCTION

MET tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and anti-MET antibody-drug conjugates have shown favorable antitumor efficacy in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Kim and Kim 2017; Ma et al. 2019). MET-selective TKIs, such as capmatinib and tepotinib, are showing promise in clinical trials because of their on-target activity for tumors with MET exon 14 skipping (Wolf et al. 2018). We present a case of a 66-yr-old man with metastatic NSCLC with PD-L1 70% and harboring a MET exon 14 mutation who has had a prolonged, sustained response on tepotinib therapy.
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RESULTS

Clinical Presentation

A 66-yr-old Asian man, never smoker, first presented with dyspnea on exertion, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging revealed biventricular masses consistent with metastatic disease. Computed tomography detected a right upper lobe mass (4.7 × 5.7 × 5.2 cm; Fig. 1A), subcentimeter pulmonary nodules, anterior mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy, multiple biventricular endocardial masses, multiple hepatic masses, bilateral adrenal nodules, and lytic lesions. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain showed multiple subcentimeter brain lesions (Fig. 1D). A fine-needle aspiration biopsy of the liver confirmed primary stage IV lung adenocarcinoma (T3N3M1c) with PD-L1 70%, but was insufficient for molecular testing. The patient received carboplatin (AUC 6) and paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) chemotherapy for two cycles, with the addition of bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) to the second cycle. However, his treatment course was complicated by grade 3 fatigue and grade 3 neuropathy. Given these toxicities and the lack of known actionable alterations at the time, the patient was then initiated on pembrolizumab. Additionally, at this time a bronchoscopy with endobronchial ultrasound was performed and samples were sent for next-generation sequencing (OncoPlus), which revealed a MET exon 14 splice site mutation and was equivocal for amplification. Pembrolizumab was halted after 9 mo because of adrenal insufficiency and disease progression of the patient’s right upper lobe mass and lymphadenopathy (Fig. 1B). However, brain imaging demonstrated small foci that could represent treated metastases and no new metastases (Fig. 1E). The patient was then enrolled in a clinical trial (NCT02864992) and
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initiated on tepotinib (500 mg tablet daily). This patient who initially presented with diffuse metastatic disease now continues with overall stable disease for more than 45 cycles and 31 mo after initiating tepotinib therapy. The most recent computed tomography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis shows an overall decrease in size of the right upper lobe mass and that other lesions are stable (Fig. 1C). The most recent brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) shows no evidence of enhancing brain lesion or acute intracranial abnormality (Fig. 1F). The patient continues on therapy with clinically and radiologically stable disease with no treatment-related toxicities or other abnormalities.

Genomic Analysis
A next-generation sequencing panel of more than 50 genes identified MET c.3082G > A (NM_001127500.2) alteration located at the exon 14/intron 14 boundary of the MET proto-oncogene and a MET amplification equivocal. The testing also showed a number of variants of unknown significance including NFE2L2 c.1346G > A p. R449H (NM_006164.4), NOTCH1 c.2705G > A p.R902H (NM_017617.4), PPP2R1A c.807 + 4del (NM_014225.5), and PIK3CA c.1610G > T p.R537L (NM_006218.3) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION: THE ROLE OF TARGETED THERAPY IN METex14-MUTATED NSCLC
The MET receptor tyrosine kinase and the ligand hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) have been implicated as oncogenes and drivers of NSCLC (Cipriani et al. 2009). Various aberrations in MET have different oncogenic potential, and the MET exon 14 skipping mutation in particular has been shown to be a strong oncogenic driver and can often function mutually exclusively from other oncogenes. This patient’s tumor harbored a somatic mutation in exon 14 that has been characterized to result in exon 14 skipping, which leads to stabilization and constitutive activation of the mutated MET protein. Of note, this patient’s point mutation was c.3082G > A (Salgia et al. 2020). MET alterations are substantially prevalent in lung cancer with an incident rate of 6% in lung adenocarcinoma and 3% in lung squamous cell carcinoma (The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network 2012; Collisson et al. 2014). Our group was first to identify MET exon 14 skipping mutations in SCLC and NSCLC (Ma et al. 2003, 2005), which were later found to be clinically actionable in 2015, with inhibitors crizotinib and cabozantinib (Paik et al. 2015; Drilon et al. 2020), and are estimated to have an incidence rate of 3%–4% (Fujino et al. 2019). More recently, based on the results of the Phase II GEOMETRY mono-1 study, capmatinib, a selective type 1b MET inhibitor (Recondo et al. 2020; Salgia et al. 2020), with a median PFS of 9.13 months and overall response rate of 67.9%, was granted U.S. Federal Drug Administration (FDA) approval as a novel MET inhibitor in the metastatic NSCLC setting and became the first approved MET tyrosine-kinase inhibitor (Wolf et al. 2019). Capmatinib also had activity in a cohort of 69 previously treated patients with objective response in 40% of cases and 75% of patients had stable disease (Wolf et al. 2017, 2018). More recent data also shows that capmatinib may have intracranial activity and that out of 13 patients with brain metastases, 7 (54%) had intracranial response (Heist et al. 2019).

Similarly, tepotinib, a novel selective type 1b MET inhibitor that inhibits MET phosphorylation and downstream signaling, has also been shown to be effective in patients with MET exon 14 mutations with a long duration of response (Paik et al. 2019). Although the initial results are promising, there is a shortage of information concerning the response to tepotinib therapy in patients with diffusely metastatic disease. Therefore, we described a patient with MET exon 14 mutation who sustained a complete resolution of multiple brain lesions and stable metastatic lesions while on tepotinib therapy. Tepotinib initially showed potent
Table 1. Variant table

| Gene       | Chromosome | HGVS DNA reference | HGVS protein reference | Variant type     | Predicted effect                        | dbSNP/dbVar ID |
|------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| MET        | 7q31.2     | NC_000007.14: g.116699490G > A | p.V136I | Single-nucleotide variant | NM_001324402.1:c.-91 + 26913G > A—intron variant | rs199701987 |
|            |            | NG_008996.1: g.32086G > A | NP_001120972.1: p.Val136Ile |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001127500.2: c.406G > A | LRG_662p1: p.Val136Ile |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NC_001324402.1: c.-91 + 26913G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | LRG_662c: c.406G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | LRG_662g: g.32086G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NC_000007.13: g.116339544G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001127500.1: c.406G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
| NFE2L2     | 2q31.2     | NC_000002.12: g.177231257C > T | NP_001138884.1: p.Arg433His | Single-nucleotide variant | NM_006164.4:c.1346G > A—missense variant | rs181294188 |
|            |            | NM_001145412.3: c.1298G > A | NP_001138885.1: p.Arg426His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001145413.3: c.1277G > A | NP_001300829.1: p.Arg433His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001313900.1: c.1298G > A | NP_001300830.1: p.Arg433His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001313901.1: c.1298G > A | NP_001300831.1: p.Arg433His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001313902.1: c.1298G > A | NP_001300832.1: p.Arg433His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001313903.1: c.1298G > A | NP_001300833.1: p.Arg433His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_001313904.1: c.1127G > A | NP_006155.2: p.Arg449His |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_006164.4: c.1046G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_006164.4: c.1346G > A |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NC_000002.11: g.178095985C > T |                |                |                                        |                |
| NOTCH1     | 9q34.3     | NC_000009.12: g.136497258G > A | NP_060087.3: p.Pro2161Ser | Single-nucleotide variant | NM_017617.4:c.6481C > T—missense variant | rs201518848 |
|            |            | NG_007458.1: g.53529C > T |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NM_017617.4: c.6481C > T |                |                |                                        |                |
|            |            | NC_000009.11: g.139391710G > A |                |                |                                        |                |

(Continued on next page.)
| Gene                  | Chromosome | HGVS DNA reference | HGVS protein reference | Variant type            | Predicted effect              | dbSNP/dbVar ID |
|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| PPP2R1A c.807 + 4del, p.? (NM_014225.5) | 19q13.41   | NM_017617.3: c.6481C > T | NM_014225.5: c.544C > T | Single-nucleotide variant   | Noncoding transcript variant  | rs786205227   |
|                       |            | NC_000019.10: g.52212726C > T | NP_055040.2: p.Arg182Trp |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | NG_047068.1: g.27925C > T | P30153: p.Arg182Trp | Single-nucleotide variant |                               |                |
|                       |            | NM_014225.5: c.544C > T |                               |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | NC_000019.9: g.52195979C > T |                               |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | NR_033500.1: n.738C > T |                               |                         |                               |                |
| PIK3CA c.1610G > T, p.R537L (NM_006218.3) | 3q26.32    | NC_000003.12: g.179234297A > G | NM_006218.3: c.3140A > G | Single-nucleotide variant   | Noncoding transcript variant  | rs121913279   |
|                       |            | NG_012113.2: g.90775A > G | NP_006209.2: p.His1047Arg |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | NM_006218.3: c.3140A > G | LRG_310: p.His1047Arg | Single-nucleotide variant |                               |                |
|                       |            | LRG_310: c.3140A > G | P4236: p.His1047Arg |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | LRG_310: g.90775A > G | P4236: p.His1047Arg |                         |                               |                |
|                       |            | NC_000003.11: g.178952085A > G |                               |                         |                               |                |
inhibitory activity against MET in cancer cell lines and antitumor activity in mouse xenograft models prior to in-human clinical trials. It also uniquely inhibits MET regardless of HGF-dependent or -independent MET activation (Bladt et al. 2013). In recent data from the phase II VISION study, 97% of patients had metastatic disease at study entry. The objective response rate was 46% with a median duration of response of 11.1 mo and median progression-free survival of 8.5 mo. All responses were partial in independent review, although two patients were deemed to have a complete response by investigators. These results were superior to capmatinib in the pretreated group, with a response rate of 56% (vs. 41%) suggesting the utility of tepotinib in the pretreated refractory setting (Heist et al. 2019).

Eleven percent of patients had brain metastases at study entry, although these were non-target lesions, and the median duration of response for these patients was 9.5 mo and median progression-free survival was 10.9 mo (Paik et al. 2020). The incidence of brain metastases at diagnosis in patients with NSCLC harboring a MET exon 14 mutation is unknown, though intracranial resistance to first-generation MET TKIs such as crizotinib has been reported (Klempner et al. 2017). In the phase II VISION study, patients with brain metastases had a response rate of 55% (Paik et al. 2020). The patient described in this case has had no recurrence of his brain metastases, which had resolved while on pembrolizumab, and stable disease for his other sites of disease for 31 mo on tepotinib therapy. Taken together, this suggests that tepotinib has activity in the front-line and the refractory setting, including in the brain.

It is noteworthy that this patient’s tumor had high PD-L1 expression in addition to the MET exon 14 mutation. This patient had complete resolution of his brain metastases while on pembrolizumab therapy, and although he ultimately had progression of his primary lung mass and involved lymph nodes, he has had a sustained complete response of his brain metastases while on tepotinib therapy. It has been reported that ~40% of patients with NSCLC with a MET exon 14 skipping mutation express high PD-L1, but they have an overall response rate of about one-third to pembrolizumab monotherapy (Sabari et al. 2017, 2018). In a study of 951 NSCLC East Asian patients, 16 were found to harbor a MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and PD-L1 was found to be highly expressed in the patients harboring MET exon 14 skipping (69.2% vs. 17.3%, P < 0.01) (Xu et al. 2020). Examination of clinical and molecular characteristics of 298 patients with NSCLC harboring a MET exon 14 mutation but not concurrent MET amplification showed that 88.3% of patients had low or intermediate low mutation burden (TMB), and this characterization has been suggested as a possible explanation for the low response rate to pembrolizumab (Schrock et al. 2016). However, TMB has been a disappointing biomarker thus far, and as such its significance remains unclear. In this patient, it is clear that the MET exon 14 mutation is a driver mutation, but as this patient has had a durable response of his brain metastases without recurrence while on tepotinib, either he has a durable response of these lesions because of pembrolizumab or perhaps pembrolizumab has some sensitizing role that enhances the activity of subsequent TKI therapy, which is an area needing further exploration.

Genomic analysis also identified this patient’s tumor to be equivocal for MET amplification, which at this time is not clinically actionable. De novo MET amplification has been identified as a carcinogenic driver and reported in 2.2% of patients with NSCLC (Collisson et al. 2014). It has been noted that tumors with de novo MET amplification have greater sensitivity to TKIs compared to tumors without it, and although the patient’s MET amplification is equivocal, perhaps it contributes to this patient’s prolonged response to tepotinib (Camidge et al. 2014; Suryavanshi et al. 2017).

Finally, genomic analysis of this patient’s tumor also identified a variant of uncertain significance (VUS) in exon 10 of the PIK3CA gene resulting in an arginine to leucine substitution at amino acid 537 in the patient’s tumor. However, this was present in <10% of the sequencing reads, which is less than the stated limit of detection of the assay per the report.
Mutations in *PIK3CA* occur in ~7% of NSCLCs, and the most common mutated hotspots for this gene are in exon 9 (Collisson et al. 2014). This alteration has been demonstrated in vitro as a possible mechanism of primary resistance to MET-TKIs in patients harboring a MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and continued attention to alterations in this gene, particularly in patients whose tumors also harbor a pathogenic mutation in *MET*, is certainly warranted (Jamme et al. 2020).

**METHODS**

The patient’s tumor tissue was analyzed with OncoPlus (v2.0), somatic testing using next-generation sequencing. The panel is a commercial targeted panel service available as an insurance-reimbursable CLIA assay (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2).
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The pathogenic variant was submitted to ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and can be found under accession number VCV000983225.1.
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