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ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to study approximate analytical unsteady flow and heat transfer analysis of CNTs nanofluid over stretching sheet for the improvement of heat assignment ratio. The present work has some important application in industry and engineering because the heat transfer ratio of nanofluid is larger compared to other fluid. With the help of defined similarity transformation, the nonlinear partial differential equations is converted to nonlinear ordinary differential equations. The model of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are then solved by Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method. The impact of different parameters are then interpreted using graphs in the form of velocity and temperature profiles. The influence of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number is presented in the table form.

INTRODUCTION

Due to its important application in industry, stretching flow is an active area of research in the fluid dynamics. Among the important applications of stretching flow in industry are aerodynamic extrusion of plastic sheet, cooling of metallic plate hot rolling and so on. Sakiadis [1] for the first time introduced stretching flow, they used continuous surface to discuss boundary layer flow. Cran [2] used stretching sheet to find the closed form solution. With the help of this, they showed that the velocity is proportional to the distance from the slit, the researchers [3-9] studied the flow problem over stretching surface. Rehman et al. [10] studied analytically the effect of viscous dissipation of thin film time dependent nanofluid by using stretching surface. Common fluids, such as water, oil, and glycol, have too low a heat transfer ratio. To increase the heat transfer ratio, many methods are introduced. With the help of this method, nanofluid is introduced. Nanofluid is a stable suspended solid nanoparticle colloidal solution. The size of the nanoparticle is about 1-100 nm. From the study of nanofluid, it is observed that nanofluid is a little nanoscale division of metal oxide, nitrides, carbides and carbon nanotube (CNT). Ganguly et al. [11] investigated the consequence of line dipole and magnetic field for forced convection heat transfer. Malvandi and Ganji [12] investigated the theoretical consequence of magnetic dipole and the effect of nanoparticle moment in a perpendicular conduit. Haghshenas Fard et al. [13] used a circular tube to investigate the laminar connective heat transfer of nanofluid. Bahremand et al. [14] studied experimentally the turbulent nanofluid flow.
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used the hot-wire method to discuss nanoliquids comprising oxide nanoparticles and measured their thermal conductivity.

Maclver et al. [16] studied the specific models of $\eta$ and $Al_2O_3$. Sheikhzadeh et al. [17], Wang et al. [18], Hamilton and Crosser [19] and Maiga et al. [20] investigated the thermo physical properties of nanofluids. Ahmed et al. [21] studied analytically the influence of an operative Prandtl model flow of $\gamma Al_2O_3-C_2H_6O_2$ and $\gamma Al_2O_3-H_2O$ nanofluids. Rashidi et al. [22] used sheet movements to investigate the influence of the same nanofluid. Hayat et al. [23] used the entropy generation to investigate the influence of the same nanofluid. Anderson and Valens [24] gave the idea of magnetic field using magnetic dipole. Zeeshan and Majeed [25] used the spreading surface to explain the influence of magnetic dipole by including Jeffery fluid. Noor and Nadeem [26] used the consequence of magnetic dipole to study nanofluid flow. The most popular class of carbon family is carbon nanotube (CNT). Carbon nanotube is used as a nanomaterial to increase heat transfer. Single wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT) and multi wall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) are two subclasses of carbon nanotube. The main application of carbon nanotube in engineering are for fluidization and heat exchange. Carbon nanotube were introduced by Iijima [27]. In 1991 lijima introduced the multi wall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) using the Kraschtscher and Huddman method [27]. Donald Bethune in 1993 also studied MWCNT [28]. They discussed the diameter range of MWCNT and showed that the diameter range of MWCNT is $0.4 \times 10^{-9}m$ to $3 \times 10^{-9}m$, see also [29]. In MWCNT, there are 2 to 50 coaxial nanotubes with diameter range from $3 \times 10^{-9}m$ to $30 \times 10^{-9}m$, see [30].

Hone [31] found that the thermal conductivity of MWCNT is $3000 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ and for SWCNT is $6600 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ at room temperature. Haq et al. [32] investigated engine based CNT and oil based CNTs. Khan et al. [33] used stretching plate to discuss Navier slip boundary condition for CNT. Kamali and Binesh [34] used CNT base nanofluid to discuss the model of power law. Rehman et al. [35] studied analytically Marangoni convection of thin film by using stretching cylinder. Inspired by the aforesaid analysis, this paper explains the approximate analytical investigation of unsteady flow and heat transfer of CNTs nanofluid. Mekheimer et al. [38] used peristaltic flow to study the effect of the convection flow with the induced magnetic field, heat and mass transfer in the last few years because it has some key applications in several manufacturing processes. Ellahi and Riaz [39] used third grade fluid to discuss the analytical solution for MHD flow. Mukhopadhyay and Gorla [40] used stretching sheet to study unsteady magnetohydrodynamics boundary layer flow. The present work has some important application in industry and engineering because the heat assignment ratio of nanofluid is more compare to other fluid. With the help of defined similarity transformation, the given nonlinear partial differential equation (PDEs) is converted to nonlinear ordinary differential equation (ODEs). The model of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are solved with approximate analytical method. Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM) is used for the model problem. Liao [36] used this approximate analytical method for the solution of nonlinear differential equation for the first time. The impact of different parameters, are interpreted through graphs in the form of velocity profile and temperature profile. The influence of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number is presented in the form of tables. The present work is in agreement with published research.
MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Figure 1 shows the geometry of the flow problem. Consider the unsteady compressible laminar flow of CNTs nanofluid for both MWCNT and SWCNT moving over a stretching sheet. Due to the nonlinearity of the stretching sheet, flow is generated by two opposite and equal forces along x-axis. The velocity $U(x,t) = \frac{bx}{1-at}$ of the outer boundary layer is proportional to the point of inertia. $U_w(x,t) = \frac{cx}{1-at}$ is the velocity of the stretching sheet where is a positive constant and is the stretching rate. The continuity, energy and temperature equations are as follows, see [37].

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} u$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha_m} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \left[ D_h \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + D_T \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The boundary of the problem is given by

$$u = U_w(x,t), T = T_m \text{ at } y = 0$$
$$u = 0, v = 0, T \rightarrow T_\infty \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here $\rho_{nf}$ is density of nanofluid and $(C_p)_{nf}$ is specific heat constant, $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho_{nf} c}$ is magnetic parameter, $A = \frac{\beta}{c}$ $R$ is stretching parameter, $R$ is thermal radiation parameter, $Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha_m}$ is Prandtl number, and $S$ is unsteady parameter, respectively.

With the help of defined similarity transformation, the given nonlinear partial differential equation (PDEs) is converted to nonlinear ordinary differential equation (ODEs) given below

$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{cv}{\sqrt{1-at}}} x f(\eta), \eta = \sqrt{\frac{c}{\nu(1-at)}} v, \theta = \frac{T-T_m}{T_\infty-T_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
where $\Psi$ is represent stream function and is defined as $u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}$ and $v = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ which satisfies continuity equation by using this definition
\[
u = \frac{cx}{1-at} f'(\eta), \quad v = \frac{cv}{\sqrt{1-at}} f(\eta).
\] (6)

Put Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) into Eq. (2) and Eq. (3), the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation is obtained
\[
f'''' + (1 - \phi)^{2z} \left(1 - \phi + \phi \frac{(\rho L_{\text{CNT}})}{(\rho f)} \right) \left[f f''' - (f')^{2} - \frac{S}{2} \left(f' + \frac{\eta}{2} f''\right) \right] + A^{2} - M(1 - \phi)^{2z}(f'' - A) = 0
\] (7)
\[
\frac{k_{f}}{k_{f}} \theta' Pr R \left[1 - \phi + \phi \frac{(\rho C_{p})_{\text{CNT}}}{(\rho C_{p})} \right] \left[f \theta' - \frac{S}{2} (\eta \theta') \right] = 0
\] (8)

The boundary condition is given by
\[
\begin{align*}
f'(\eta) &= 1, \quad Pr f(\eta) + M \theta'(\eta) = 0, \quad \theta(\eta) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0 \\
f''(\eta) &= A, \quad \theta(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty
\end{align*}
\] (9)

**METHOD OF SOLUTION**

The approximate analytical method, namely the Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method is used to solve Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) which is given below
\[
L(f(\eta)) + N(f(\eta)) + g(\eta) = 0, B(f(\eta)) = 0
\] (10)

where $L$ is linear operator, $x$ is independent variable, $g(\eta)$ is unknown function, $N$ is nonlinear operator and $B(f)$ represents the boundary operator. We the help of the method, we construct a family of equations given below

\[
H(\phi(\eta), p) = (1 - p)[L(\phi(\eta, p)) + g(\eta)] - H(p)[L(\phi(\eta, p)) + g(\eta) + N(\phi(\eta, p))] = 0
\]
\[
B(\phi(\eta, p)) = 0
\] (11)

In Eq. (11), $p$ represents embedding parameter which lies in $[0,1]$, $H(p)$ is non-zero auxiliary function for $p \neq 0$ and $H(0) = 0$ and $\phi (\eta, p)$ is an unknown function. Using the initial guessed values and auxiliary linear operators from equations (7) and (8)

\[
f_{0} = \eta^{3} - A + e^{-\eta}
\] (12)
\[
\theta_{0} = \eta^{2} - 1 + e^{-\eta}
\] (13)

which is obtained from the linear operator
\[
f'''' + f f'' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta'' = 0
\] (14)
with constant properties

\[ L_f (C_1 + C_2 \eta + C_3 \eta^2 + C_4 \eta^3) = 0 \text{ and } L_\theta (C_5 + C_6 \eta) = 0, \]  

(15)

The residuals error is accessible by Liao [36], so the equations (7) and (8) can be written as

\[ \varepsilon^f_m = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \kappa_f \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\eta)_{\eta=j\eta} \right) \right], \]  

(16)

\[ \varepsilon^\theta_m = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \kappa_\theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\eta)_{\eta=j\eta} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta(\eta)_{\eta=j\eta} \right], \]  

(17)

\[ \varepsilon^*_m = \varepsilon^f_m + \varepsilon^\theta_m. \]  

(18)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 2: Effect of stretching parameter \( A \) on the velocity distribution

Figure 3: Effect of unsteady parameter \( S \) against the velocity distribution
Figure 4: Effect of magnetic field $M$ against the velocity distribution

Figure 5: Consequence of thermal radiation parameter $R$ against the velocity distribution

Figure 6: The impress of nanoparticles volume fraction $\phi$ on the velocity distribution
Figure 7: Impress of the Prandtl number $Pr$ on temperature distribution

Figure 8: Impression of the thermal radiation parameter $R$ against temperature distribution

Figure 9: Influence of the stretching parameter on temperature distribution
Figure 10: Influence of $\phi$ on temperature distribution

Table 1: Effect of skin friction for MWCNT and SWCNT when $Pr = 5.6$, $R = 0.1$, $A = 1$

| $\phi$ | $M$ | MWCNT | SWCNT |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|
| 0.01  | 0.1 | 0.5297 | 0.4947 |
| 0.03  |      | 0.5416 | 0.5794 |
| 0.05  |      | 0.5811 | 0.5926 |
| 0.2   |      | 0.7100 | 0.6699 |
| 0.3   |      | 0.8760 | 0.9372 |
|       |      | 0.9684 | 0.9546 |
|       |      | 0.9981 | 0.9764 |

Table 2: Influence of the Nusselt number for the two nanofluids when $\phi = 0.1$, $M = 0.2$, $A = 1$

| $Pr$ | $R$ | MWCNT | SWCNT |
|------|-----|-------|-------|
| 5.6  | 1   | 0.81231 | 0.89077 |
| 6.6  |      | 0.79341 | 0.88237 |
| 7.6  |      | 0.77451 | 0.87397 |
|      | 2   | 0.75614 | 0.85647 |
|      | 3   | 0.73776 | 0.84897 |
|      |     | 0.72795 | 0.83121 |
|      |     | 0.65021 | 0.81346 |

Table 3: The convergence control parameter for SWCNT when $Pr = 6.7$, $M = 0.1$, $R = 0.1$, $\phi = 0.01$, $A = 1$

| $m$ | $\varepsilon_n^{\text{SWCNT}}$ | $\varepsilon_n^{\theta\text{SWCNT}}$ |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5   | 1.36438x10^{-1}                | 2.86775x10^{-1}                   |
| 10  | 7.14094x10^{-3}                | 1.48738x10^{-2}                   |
| 15  | 5.209443x10^{-7}               | 1.07298x10^{-4}                   |
| 20  | 4.37298x10^{-9}                | 8.54131x10^{-5}                   |
| 25  | 3.95787x10^{-11}               | 7.94423x10^{-6}                   |
This section explains the influence of different parameters, like $\phi, M, A, Pr, R, S$ (nanoparticle volume fraction, stretching parameter, magnetic field parameter, Prandtl number, thermal radiation parameter, and time dependent parameter for both velocity and temperature distribution in graphical form). The effect of different parameter on velocity and temperature distribution is presented from Figures 1-10, Figures 2-6 show the influence of different parameters on velocity distribution and Figures 7-10 show the influence of different parameters on temperature distribution. The impact of skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number and
convergence for both velocity and temperature distribution of the given approximate analytical method is presented in Tables 1-4. Table 1 shows the influence of dissimilar parameter on Skin friction. From Table 1 we observe that the skin friction coefficient is the increasing function of nanoparticle volume fraction and magnetic field parameter in both SWCNT and MWCNT. Table 2 shows the influence of different parameter on Nusselt number. From Table 2 we observe the influence of Prandtl number and thermal radiation parameter on Nusselt number, and we see that the relation between Nusselt number, Prandtl number and thermal radiation parameter is inverse in both SWCNT and MWCNT. Tables 3 and Table 4 show the convergence control parameter for the given problem. From Table 3 and Table 4 we see that as we increase the number of iterations, the residual error is decreasing and solid convergence is attained in both SWCNT and MWCNT. The influence stretching parameter $A$ on velocity distribution is presented in Figure 2. We see from Figure 2 that the relation between velocity distribution and stretching parameter is directly related, where velocity distribution increases by increasing velocity distribution parameter as shown in Figure 2. This effect is due to the position of the fluid particle change. As a result, moment of particles rise, so the velocity field is enhanced by the growing value of stretching parameter $A$. The influence of time dependent parameter $S$ is plotted in Figure 3. From Figure 3 we see that velocity distribution is declining as a function of time dependent parameter as the increasing value of unsteady parameter decreases the velocity field. The impact of magnetic field parameter on velocity distribution is presented in Figure 4. From Figure 4, we see that the relation between velocity distribution and magnetic field parameter is inverse, where the large value of magnetic field parameter decreases the velocity field as shown in Figure 4. This effect is due to the increasing magnetic field $M$, resistance forces is produced, which opposes the motion of fluid particle which then decreases the velocity distribution. The influence of thermal radiation parameter is presented in Figure 5. From Figure 5 we see that the relation between velocity distribution and thermal radiation parameter is inverse, where the greater value of thermal radiation parameter decreases the velocity distribution. By enhancing the thermal radiation parameter $R$, resistance forces are produced, which decreases the moment of the fluid particle, so as a result velocity distribution is decreasing. The influence of nanoparticles volume fraction on velocity distribution is presented in Figure 6. From Figure 6 we see that the relation between velocity distribution and nanoparticles volume fraction is inverse, where increasing nanoparticles volume fraction decreases the velocity distribution.

The impact of Prandtl number on temperature distribution is presented in Figure 7. From Figure 7 we see that the relation between temperature distribution and Prandtl number is inverse, where the large value of Prandtl number decreases the temperature distribution. The influence of thermal radiation parameter $R$ on temperature distribution is presented in Figure 8. From Figure 8 we see that the relation between temperature distribution and thermal radiation parameter is opposite. Where enhancing the value of thermal radiation parameter decreases the temperature distribution. The impact of stretching parameter on temperature distribution is presented in Figure 9. From Figure 9 we see that the relation between temperature distribution and stretching parameter is linear, where enhancing the value of the stretching parameter, increases the temperature profile. By growing the stretching parameter $A$, moment of the fluid particle increases and crash with each other. As a result, the temperature field increases. The impact of nanoparticles volume fraction on temperature field is presented in Figure 10. From Figure 10 we see that the relation between temperature distribution and nanoparticles volume fraction is inverse. That is temperature distribution decreases as the value of the nanoparticles volume increases.
CONCLUSION

The approximate analytical study of CNTs nanofluid for both MWCNT and SWCNT and heat transfer analysis over a stretching sheet has been explained in this research paper. With the help of defined similarity transformation, the given nonlinear partial differential equation (PDEs) is converted to nonlinear ordinary differential equation (ODEs). The model of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are solved with approximate analytical. Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM) is used for the model problem. Liao [36] used this approximate analytical method for the first time. The impact of different parameters, are interpreted through graphs in the form of velocity distribution and temperature distribution. The influence of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number is presented in the form of tables. The present research is in agreement with published work. The attained results are deliberated as follows:

• The greater value of nanoparticles volume fraction \( \phi \), declines the velocity distribution.
• The large value of stretching parameter \( A \), increases the velocity distribution.
• The large value of thermal tradition parameter \( R \), decreases the velocity distribution.
• The large value of Prandtl number \( Pr \), decreases the temperature distribution.
• The large value of stretching parameter \( A \), increases the temperature distribution.
• The large value of magnetic field \( M \), decreases the velocity distribution.

NOMENCLATURE

| Parameter | Symbol |
|-----------|--------|
| Stretching parameter | \( A \) |
| Unsteadiness parameter | \( S \) |
| Density of the nanofluids | \( \rho_{nf} \) |
| Thermal radiation parameter | \( R \) |
| Heat generation/absorption parameter | \( Q \) |
| Local Temperature | \( T \) |
| Magnetic field | \( M \) |
| Nusselt number parameter | \( Nu \) |
| Prandtl number parameter | \( Pr \) |
| Specific heat of the nanofluid | \( C_p \) |
| Stretching velocity of the sheet | \( W_w \) |
| Similarity variable | \( \eta \) |
| Skin friction | \( C_f \) |
| Solid particle volume fraction | \( \phi \) |
| Thickness of the liquid film | \( \delta \) |
| Temperature at the free surface | \( T_o \) |
| Thermal conductivity of the nanoparticle’s | \( k_{nf} \) |
| Velocity components | \((u, w)\) |
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