Assuming a tricritical point of the two–flavor QCD in the space of temperature, baryon number chemical potential and quark mass, we study the change of the associated soft mode along the critical line within the Ginzburg–Landau approach and the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model. The ordering density along the chiral critical line is the scalar density whereas a linear combination of the scalar, baryon number and energy densities becomes the proper ordering density along the critical line with finite quark masses. It is shown that the critical eigenmode shifts from the sigma–like fluctuation of the scalar density to a hydrodynamic mode at the tricritical point, where we have two ordering densities, the scalar density and a linear combination of the baryon number and energy densities. We argue that appearance of the critical eigenmode with hydrodynamic character is a logical consequence of divergent susceptibilities of the conserved densities.

I. INTRODUCTION

At high temperature and/or baryon density, the system governed by QCD will show a transition from an ordinary hadronic phase to a chirally symmetric, deconfined plasma phase [1,2]. The main objective of the heavy–ion programs at RHIC and at future LHC is to create this long-sought plasma state and to study collective properties of this many–body assembly [3]. These two phases would have to be separated by a boundary with singularity if chiral symmetry and/or confinement of QCD were exact symmetry. In reality, dynamical quarks with finite masses \( m \neq 0 \) make both symmetries only approximate, and their order parameters, the quark condensate and the Polyakov loop, have non–vanishing values everywhere in the phase diagram. Thus the plasma state may be smoothly connected with the ordinary hadronic state, even though they would possess qualitatively different properties from each other.

Recently a strong possibility of a critical point in the real QCD phase diagram was suggested [4–6], based on model calculations [7–14] as well as lattice QCD results [15–17]. It is the endpoint of the first–order line, inferred from the crossover behavior along the temperature (\( T \)) axis and the first order transition along the axis of the baryon–number chemical potential (\( \mu_B \)), and is a genuine singular point with the same criticality as the \( Z_2 \) Ising model. Its location, which is sensitive to the strange quark mass \( m_s \), is expected to be within the reach of current experimental facilities. Observable implications of this \( Z_2 \) critical point (\( Z_2 \)CP)\(^1\) in heavy ion experiments have been discussed in the literature [4–6,18–23] such as large fluctuations of the low–momentum particle distributions, and the limitations on them due to the finite space–time geometry of collision events. This \( Z_2 \)CP will become a critical cornerstone in the QCD phase diagram once its location is confirmed in experiments.

Based on the approximate chiral symmetry, the scalar density is usually taken as the order parameter of the Ginzburg–Landau (GL) effective potential to describe the critical behavior at the \( Z_2 \)CP. In this description all the singularities associated with the \( Z_2 \)CP seemingly originate from softening of the scalar density fluctuations as the effective potential becomes flat there. Especially, it might be concluded that the sigma meson becomes massless as an immediate consequence of this critical point.

As a basic fact, however, we should strictly distinguish between the chiral critical point with \( m = 0 \) and the \( Z_2 \)CP with \( m \neq 0 \) — even within the chiral effective models. When the chiral symmetry is exact, the \( T–\mu_B \) plane is divided into two domains of the symmetric and broken phases with a boundary line. But the symmetry argument is unable to fix the order of the singularity of this line, especially the possible existence of the tri–critical point (TCP) on this line. Since the \( Z_2 \)CP at finite quark mass is the remnant of this TCP, the relation of the \( Z_2 \)CP to the chiral symmetry...
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formulas of the response functions in the NJL model. The results with the chiral quark model is briefly reported in
we prove the relation between the susceptibility and the response function, and in Appendix B we present the explicit
residues of the scalar response function. Sections IV and V are devoted to discussions and summary. In Appendix A
these divergences are studied with the relative weight of the mode spectra, and in detail based on the poles and
critical points are shown and discussed in relation to the divergence of the susceptibilities. The spectral origins of
the sigma meson mode at the Z$_2$CP is non–trivial.

Recent calculations of the dynamic mode in the scalar channel using the chiral models [13,25] indeed showed that
the sigma meson is massive at the Z$_2$CP. Furthermore, another scalar mode with space–like momentum dispersion is
identified as the soft mode associated with the Z$_2$CP in the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [25]. In this paper we
shall confirm the result of Ref. [25] on the more general ground using the time–dependent Ginzburg–Landau (TDGL)
approach, and extend the study to discuss the changeover of the soft modes along the critical line in the T–$\mu_B$–m
space within the TDGL approach as well as the NJL model.

Our investigation is based on two fundamental observations about the Z$_2$CP. The first point is that the proper
ordering density at the Z$_2$CP is a linear combination of the scalar, baryon number and internal energy densities
[24–26], as mentioned above. Because of this mixing all the susceptibilities of these densities diverge with the same
critical exponent at the Z$_2$CP. In contrast, in the chiral critical transition, the susceptibility of the scalar density
diverges with exponent $\gamma$ of the O(4) model in the two–flavor case, while the other susceptibilities of the baryon
number and the energy have the smaller exponent $\alpha$.

The second is a consequence on the dynamics following from the conservation of the baryon number and the energy.
The fluctuations of these conserved densities$^2$ are intrinsically soft and constitute the hydrodynamic modes, whose
excitation energies vanish as the wavevector $\mathbf{q}$ goes to zero. Susceptibilities of these conserved densities in turn
have the spectral contributions solely from these hydrodynamic modes when expressed as a sum of mode spectra
[27–29,26]. Hence the divergence of the susceptibility of a conserved density must be accompanied by critical slowing
of a hydrodynamic mode. The spectral contribution from this hydrodynamic mode may well be involved in the scalar
susceptibility through the mixing at the Z$_2$CP.

At an O(4) critical point (O(4)CP) the importance of the hydrodynamic mode depends on which phase we start
from. The hydrodynamic mode plays no critical role in the symmetric phase whereas the scalar condensate makes
the mixing possible in the broken phase. The situation becomes more subtle at the TCP, where the O(4) critical line
shifts to the first–order line. Only the scalar susceptibility diverges due to the softening of the sigma meson at the
TCP if it is approached from the symmetric phase. Otherwise, the hydrodynamic soft mode causes the divergence in
the susceptibilities of the baryon number and energy as well as the scalar one.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we briefly review generic properties of the phase diagram of
QCD with two flavors near the TCP using the GL effective potential. It is stressed that at the TCP there are two
relevant order parameters, the scalar condensate and a conserved density which is a linear combination of the baryon
number and entropy densities. Then we include the dynamics using the TDGL model. Writing the susceptibilities as
a spectral sum, we discuss the relative weight of the spectral contributions from the sigma and hydrodynamic modes.
It is pointed out that the hydrodynamic contribution generates the discontinuity of the baryon number and entropy
susceptibilities at the O(4)CP, and that this hydrodynamic mode gives the divergence at the TCP approached from
the broken phase and also at the Z$_2$CP. In §III we perform the same analysis using the NJL model as an illustration.
The GL effective potential with two ordering densities are numerically constructed there. The flat directions at the
critical points are shown and discussed in relation to the divergences of the susceptibilities. The spectral origins of
these divergences are studied with the relative weight of the mode spectra, and in detail based on the poles and
residues of the scalar response function. Sections IV and V are devoted to discussions and summary. In Appendix A
we prove the relation between the susceptibility and the response function, and in Appendix B we present the explicit
formulas of the response functions in the NJL model. The results with the chiral quark model is briefly reported in
Appendix C.

$^2$Momentum density is neglected here for simplicity.
II. GENERIC ANALYSIS

A. Structure of the phase diagram and order parameters

Let us briefly review the phase structure near the TCP [30,5,14]. It is known that the critical properties near the TCP are described, up to logarithmic corrections, with the Ginzburg–Landau effective potential

\[ \Omega = \Omega_0(T, \mu_B) + \int d^3x \left( a(T, \mu_B)\sigma^2 + b(T, \mu_B)\sigma^4 + c(T, \mu_B)\sigma^6 - h\sigma \right) \]

\[ \equiv \Omega_0(T, \mu_B) + \int d^3xf(T, \mu_B, h; \sigma), \quad (1) \]

where \( f(\Omega_0) \) denotes the (non-)singular part of the effective potential, and \( c > 0 \). The pseudo–scalar density is set to zero and neglected here in the mean field approximation. The critical exponents can be easily found from (1) at the mean field level. Along the line of the first–order transition within the symmetry plane \((h = 0)\), we have

\[ f = aa^2 + b\sigma^4 + c\sigma^6 \equiv c\sigma^2(\sigma^2 - \sigma_1^2)^2, \quad (2) \]

where three minima with \( \sigma = 0, \pm\sigma_1(T, \mu_B) \) coexist (dashed line in Fig. 1). The baryon number and entropy densities are functions of \( \sigma^2 \) due to symmetry, and discontinuous across the boundary between the symmetric phase \((\sigma = 0)\) and the broken phase \((\sigma = \pm\sigma_1)\). At the TCP, where \( a = b = 0 \), these three phases coalesce and the first order line \((b = -2\sqrt{ac})\) smoothly joins with the O(4) critical line \((a = 0, b > 0)\).

Once a small explicit breaking field \(-ha\) is exerted, the O(4) critical line disappears and the TCP is lifted to the \(Z_2\)CP. The line of \(Z_2\)CP as a function of \(h\) is determined by the condition, \(f' = f'' = f''' = 0\) \((' \equiv \partial / \partial \sigma)\), which is solved for a negative \(b\) with \(a = 3b^2/5c\), \(b = -\sigma^2/5\) and \(\sigma = \text{sign}(h)\sqrt{|h|/16})^{1/5}\). Two lines of \(Z_2\)CP with \(h \geq 0\) form the edge of the wing-like surface of the first order transition in the \(a-b-h\) space, and these lines connect smoothly to the O(4) critical line at the TCP. This wing structure is mapped into the physical phase space of \(T, \mu_B\) and \(h \sim m\) (see Fig. 1).

The slope of the first–order boundary can be related to the discontinuities of the densities across the boundary via the Clapeyron–Clausius relation [9,12],

\[ \frac{dT}{d\mu_B} = \frac{\Delta \rho_B}{\Delta s}, \quad \frac{dT}{dh} = -\frac{\Delta \sigma}{\Delta s}, \quad \frac{dh}{d\mu_B} = -\frac{\Delta \rho_B}{\Delta \sigma} \quad (3) \]

with baryon number density \(\rho_B\) and entropy density \(s\). The chiral broken phases with \(\sigma = \pm\sqrt{-a/2b}\) coexist within the symmetry plane \(h = 0\), and accordingly there is no gap in \(\rho_B\) and \(s\) across this symmetry plane. Only the scalar density \(\sigma\) bifurcates as the ordering density at the O(4)CP approached from the symmetric phase. Its correlations with the “energy–like” densities vanish \(\langle \sigma s \rangle = \langle \sigma \rho_B \rangle = 0\) because of the symmetry in \(\sigma \leftrightarrow -\sigma\) in the symmetric phase.

From the relation (3) we know that all of \(\sigma, \rho_B\) and \(s\) generally have discontinuities across the wing because there is no reason for any of these slopes to vanish once \(h \neq 0\). Let us discuss the energy–like and ordering densities around the \(Z_2\)CP [24,31]. First we introduce the “temperature–like” field as a vector tangential to the coexistence boundary. Then the energy-like density is defined as the thermodynamic variable conjugate to this temperature–like field. This density has no discontinuity in the vicinity of the critical point. Since the boundary is two–dimensional, there are two independent temperature–like fields and correspondingly two energy–like densities. Next the ordering density is defined as the density whose correlations with the energy–like densities vanish at the critical point approached from the “symmetric” phase along the temperature–like direction. The conjugate field of this ordering density is no longer tangential to the coexistence boundary. There is a single ordering density at the \(Z_2\)CP, which is in general a linear combination of \(\sigma, \rho_B\) and \(s\). Since all the susceptibilities of these densities include the same singular fluctuation, they diverge with the same critical exponent at the \(Z_2\)CP.

The coexiswing wing is squeezed to be one–dimensional at the TCP, where two lines of the \(Z_2\)CP and the line of the first order transition with \(h = 0\) merge and smoothly connect to the single O(4) critical line. Thus at the TCP we have only one energy–like density, which will be a linear combination of \(\rho_B\) and \(s\). Accordingly there are two ordering densities from dimensionality. The obvious one is the scalar density \(\sigma\) related to the chiral symmetry and the other \(\varphi\) is another linear combination of \(\rho_B\) and \(s\) representing the \(Z_2\) symmetry of the potential at this particular point. It is sometimes useful to construct the effective potential with two ordering densities, \(\sigma\) and \(\varphi\), which become soft at the TCP.
FIG. 1. (a) Schematic phase diagram around the TCP in the \(a-b-h\) space. The three critical lines are shown in bold lines which meet at the origin (TCP). The curve of three-phase coexistence is drawn in a bold dashed line which ends at TCP. The two-phase coexistence surface are hatched by thin dotted lines. (b) The counterpart in the physical \(T-\mu-m\) space (NJL model).

The same observation can be made by looking at the susceptibilities directly. There are three fields \(h, a\) and \(b\) in the effective potential (1). The singular parts of the corresponding susceptibilities form a 3-by-3 matrix \((i,j=h,a,b)\),

\[
\chi_{ij} = \chi_h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\sigma & 4\sigma^3 \\ 2\sigma & 4\sigma^2 & 8\sigma^4 \\ 4\sigma^3 & 8\sigma^4 & 16\sigma^6 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(4)

with \(\chi_h\) the scalar susceptibility,

\[
\chi_h = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial^2 h} = \frac{1}{2a + 12b\sigma^2 + 30c\sigma^4},
\]

(5)

where \(\sigma\) takes the value at the extremum of the potential. When the O(4)CP is approached from the symmetric phase, \(\chi_{aa} = \chi_{bb} = 0\). In the broken phase the situation is different. The singular part of (1) gives a finite contribution \(\chi_{aa} = 1/(3b)\) as the O(4)CP is approached with \(\sigma^2 = -a/2b \to 0\), although the divergent susceptibility is still the scalar one alone. The singular contribution to \(\chi_{aa}\) eventually blows up at the TCP approached along the O(4) critical line. In fact, the TCP may be understood as a usual critical point with the ordering density \(\varphi\) conjugate to \(a\), sitting on the chiral phase boundary. When the TCP is approached from the broken phase with \(b = h = 0\), the scalar ordering density vanishes slowly \(\sigma^4 = -a/3c\), and \(\chi_{h}\) and \(\chi_{aa}\) diverge like \(1/|a|\) and \(1/\sqrt{|a|}\), respectively, while \(\chi_b\) is still non-singular. Note that the divergence of \(\chi_{aa}\) at the TCP indicates the infinities in the baryon number and energy susceptibilities, or equivalently the isothermal compressibility and specific heat, respectively.

All these susceptibilities in (4) diverge at the \(Z_2\)CP, where \(\sigma \neq 0\). With the finite condensate \(\sigma\) we can diagonalize this matrix of the susceptibilities, leaving only one singular susceptibility. The resulting eigenvalues are \((0,0,(1 + 4\sigma^2 + 16\sigma^6)\chi_h))\), with eigenvectors \(t^i(-2\sigma,1,0), t^i(-4\sigma^3,0,1), t^i(1,2\sigma,4\sigma^3)\), respectively. For small \(\sigma\) or \(h\), we see that the ordering density is approximately a linear combination of the densities \(\sigma\) and \(\varphi\).

The fluctuations of these two ordering densities \(\sigma\) and \(\varphi\) become large near the TCP as explained above, and should be included as the soft degrees of freedom, especially when we discuss the dynamic aspects. We generalize the free

\[3\]The fluctuation of the energy density is a linear combination of those of the baryon number and entropy densities. In this paper we sometimes use the energy susceptibility and the entropy susceptibility interchangeably.
energy so as to have two ordering densities,

\[
\Omega = \int d^4x \left( a_0 \sigma^2 + b_0 \sigma^4 + c_0 \sigma^6 + \gamma \sigma^2 \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 - h \sigma - j \varphi \right) + \Omega_0.
\]  

(Coupling between \(\sigma\) and \(\varphi\) must respect the underlying chiral symmetry and the simplest coupling is \(\sigma^2 \varphi\). A flat direction of this potential appears at a critical point in the \(\sigma-\varphi\) plane. In the case of the O(4)CP/TCP it is in the \(\sigma\) direction reflecting the symmetry while the direction will become a linear combination of the two densities at the \(Z_2\)CP. Eliminating the density \(\varphi\) by \(\partial \Omega / \partial \varphi = \gamma \sigma^2 + \varphi - j = 0\), we recover the original form (1) of the free energy with \(a = a_0 + \gamma j\) and \(b = b_0 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2\), up to an analytic term.

### B. Dynamics

We may introduce the dynamics to the system described by the free energy (6) phenomenologically [32]. We have seen in the previous subsection that there are two ordering densities conjugate to the fields \(h\) and \(a\) at the TCP, and that a linear combination of these two densities will become the relevant ordering density at the \(Z_2\)CP for small \(h\) or \(\sigma\). We should include at least these two densities in order to describe the soft dynamics. Furthermore, it is known that (non-linear) mode–mode coupling between the fluctuations of the ordering densities and other (non-critical) hydrodynamic modes are important in general to describe the dynamics in the critical region [32], which is beyond the scope of this work [33,34]. We will see, however, that the coupled system of the two ordering densities in the mean field approximation yields already a non-trivial result [26].

#### 1. Mixing between scalar and conserved densities

Deviation of the densities from the absolute equilibrium gives rise to time evolution of the system. Here we assume simple phenomenological equations of motion for densities \(\sigma\) and \(\varphi\) as

\[
L_\sigma (i \partial_t) \sigma = -\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \sigma}, \quad L_\varphi (i \partial_t) \varphi = -\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \varphi},
\]

where \(L_\sigma (i \partial_t)\) and \(L_\varphi (i \partial_t)\) are the differential operators. Appropriate forms of \(L_\sigma\) and \(L_\varphi\) are unknown in this description. But as a strong constraint we know that the operator \(L_\varphi\) must be consistent with the conservation of the density \(\varphi\) and describe the hydrodynamic motion. As a typical hydrodynamic evolution, we consider here the diffusion motion \(L_\varphi (i \partial_t) = -\partial_\lambda / \lambda q^2\) with wavevector \(q\). Note that the diffusion is time–irreversible. We assume propagating motion \(L_\sigma (i \partial_t) = \partial_\lambda / \lambda q^2\) for the scalar density, identifying this mode as the sigma meson which degenerates with the propagating pions at the O(4)CP/TCP. Other possible forms are considered below in this section. The transport coefficients \(\Gamma, \lambda > 0\) are treated as constants here.

For small deviations \(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + \tilde{\sigma}\) and \(\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \tilde{\varphi}\) from the equilibrium values, we linearize these equations of motion with respect to \(\tilde{\sigma}\) and \(\tilde{\varphi}\) to obtain

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
L_\sigma (i \partial_t) + \Omega_{\sigma\sigma} & \Omega_{\sigma\varphi} \\
\Omega_{\sigma\varphi} & L_\varphi (i \partial_t) + \Omega_{\varphi\varphi}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{\sigma} \\
\tilde{\varphi}
\end{pmatrix} = 0,
\]

where \(\Omega_{\sigma\sigma} = \delta^2 \Omega / \delta \sigma \delta \sigma |_{eq},\) etc. The soft eigenmodes of the system are determined by the condition

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
-\omega^2 + \Gamma (\chi_h^{-1} + 4 \gamma^2 \sigma^2 + \kappa q^2) & 2 \gamma \sigma \sqrt{\Gamma \lambda q^2} \\
2 \gamma \sigma \sqrt{\Gamma \lambda q^2} & -i \omega + \lambda q^2
\end{vmatrix} = 0,
\]

where \(\chi_h\) is the scalar susceptibility given in (5), and we introduced a term \(\kappa (\nabla \sigma)^2 / 2\) in \(\Omega\). The eigenmodes for small \(q\) are found as \(\omega = \pm \omega_0, \omega_d\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
-\omega_0^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma} & = - (\chi_h^{-1} + 4 \gamma^2 \sigma^2) - \left( \kappa + \frac{\lambda}{\chi_h} \frac{4 \gamma^2 \sigma^2}{\chi_h^2 + 4 \gamma^2 \sigma^2} \right) q^2, \\
-\frac{i \omega_d}{\lambda q^2} & = - \frac{\chi_h^{-1}}{\chi_h^{-1} + 4 \gamma^2 \sigma^2} \equiv - \chi_j^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]
where $\chi_j$ is the susceptibility of the density $\varphi$.

The eigenmode $\omega_o$ is oscillating while $\omega_d$ has the diffusion-like hydrodynamic character. The $\omega_o$ vanishes at the O(4)CP, being the critical eigenmode. Although the hydrodynamic mode $\omega_d$ is an intrinsic soft mode of the system, it does not show the critical slowing there. When the TCP is approached from the symmetric phase, the situation is the same. On the other hand, at the TCP approached from the broken phase, both frequencies slow down, reflecting the divergence of the susceptibilities, which seems reflecting the existence of two independent ordering densities there. At the $Z_2$CP the susceptibilities $\chi_h$ and $\chi_j$ diverge with the same exponent due to the linear mixing. The propagating $\omega_o$ is a fast mode there due to the non-zero condensate $\sigma = (h/16)^{1/2}$, whereas the hydrodynamic slow mode $\omega_d/q^2 = -i\chi_j^{-1}$ becomes the critical mode ($\chi_j^{-1} \to 0$) associated with the $Z_2$CP. This result is similar to the level-crossing phenomenon where the mode coupling makes the lower energy mode lowered further. The explicit $q^2$ factor of $\omega_d$ stemming from the hydrodynamic character results in the larger dynamic critical exponent phenomenon where the mode coupling makes the lower energy mode lowered further. The explicit $q^2$ factor of $\omega_d$ stemming from the hydrodynamic character results in the larger dynamic critical exponent $z = 4$ in the mean field level, which makes $\omega_d$ apparently slower than the $\omega_o$ mode. In contrast, at the O(4)CP, the linear mixing is banned by the underlying chiral symmetry.

2. Susceptibility as a spectral sum

Inverse of the differential operator (9) with the retarded boundary condition is the response function

$$\chi(\omega, q) = \frac{\Gamma\lambda q^2}{(-\omega + i\varepsilon)^2 + \omega^2_d(-\omega + |\omega_d|)} \left( \frac{\omega + 1}{-2\gamma\sigma} + \frac{-2\gamma\sigma}{\gamma \sigma + \chi_h^{-1} + 4\gamma^2\sigma^2 + \kappa q^2} \right),$$

which characterizes the time–dependent response of these densities to the external fields, $h$ and $j$, within the linear approximation. The susceptibility is obtained in the limit of $|\omega_d| \to 0$. The response function is analytic in the upper complex–$\omega$ plane, which fact allows us to express generally the susceptibility as a sum of the mode spectra [27]:

$$\chi(0, q) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \text{Im} \chi(\omega, q),$$

where a ultra–violet regularization is understood if necessary. This expression shows that the divergence at a critical point should come from an infrared enhancement of the spectral function because the spectral function itself is usually integrable.

Using this expression we can examine the relative weight of each mode contribution to the susceptibility. In our case, the oscillating and diffusion modes give spectral contributions as

$$\chi_h = \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \text{Im} \chi_h(\omega, q) = \chi_h \left( \frac{\chi_h^{-1}}{\chi_h^{-1} + 4\gamma^2\sigma^2} + \frac{4\gamma^2\sigma^2}{\chi_h^{-1} + 4\gamma^2\sigma^2} \right),$$

and

$$\chi_j = \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \text{Im} \chi_j(\omega, q) = \chi_j(0 + 1).$$

Here the first term in the bracket originates from the poles $\pm\omega_o$ and the second from $\omega_d$.

First, we note that only the diffusion–like $\omega_d$ pole contributes to the susceptibility of $\varphi$. This is a robust result following from the conservation of the density $\varphi$. Existence of the current $j$ such that $\partial_t \varphi + \nabla \cdot j = 0$ dictates that the frequencies of the modes contributing to the $\varphi$ susceptibility must vanish as $q$ goes to zero. We can formally show that the spectrum of the $\varphi$ response function behaves as $\text{lim}_{q \to 0} \text{Im} \chi_j(\omega, q)/\omega \propto \delta(\omega)$ (see Appendix A). Conversely, we can state that softening of the hydrodynamic mode must accompany the divergence of $\chi_j$.

Second, the ratio of the $\omega_d$ spectral contribution to the total in the scalar susceptibility,

$$R \equiv \frac{4\gamma^2\sigma^2}{\chi_h^{-1} + 4\gamma^2\sigma^2} = 1 - \chi_j^{-1},$$

goes to unity at the TCP approached from the broken phase and at the $Z_2$CP, which means that the leading divergence of the scalar susceptibility is also generated by the $\omega_d$ spectrum at these critical points. Even at the O(4)CP approached from the broken phase the $\omega_d$ spectrum gives a finite portion of the divergence $0 < R < 1$ since $\chi_h^{-1} \sim \sigma^2 \to 0$. This result can be understood by rewriting the scalar response function as

6
\[
\chi_h(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \chi_h^{(0)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \frac{1}{1 - \Omega_{\varphi \chi}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \Omega_{\varphi \chi}(\omega, \mathbf{q})}
\]

where \(\chi_h^{(0)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = 1/(L_{\varphi}(\omega + i\varepsilon) + \Omega_{\varphi})\) and \(\chi_j^{(0)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = 1/(L_\chi(\omega) + \Omega_{\varphi})\). The denominator expresses the linear mixing between the “bare” \(\varphi\) and \(\chi\) modes through the coupling \(\Omega_{\varphi \chi} \propto \sigma\). Even though the coupling becomes smaller as the O(4)CP is approached from the broken phase, softening of the mediating “bare” \(\varphi\) propagator provides \(1/\sigma^2\) factor, which results in the finite mixing of the \(\omega_{\chi}\) mode in the scalar channel. This is a simple example indicating the importance of the mode coupling near the critical point.

In summary, the \(\varphi\) and \(\chi\) fluctuations mix and form two kinds of eigenmodes, \(\omega_{\varphi}\) and \(\omega_{\chi}\). We find that along the O(4) critical line approached from the broken phase, the critical eigenmode shifts from the sigma–meson like \(\omega_{\varphi}\) to the diffusion like \(\omega_{\chi}\) mode at the TCP. In contrast, when we approach the TCP from the symmetric phase, the scalar susceptibility \(\chi_h\) is given completely by the critical \(\omega_{\varphi}\) spectrum without any mixing of the \(\chi\) fluctuation. At the Z\(_2\)CP the \(\omega_{\chi}\) mode becomes a fast mode whereas the whole divergence comes from the critical softening of the \(\omega_{\varphi}\) spectrum with the hydrodynamic character.

### 3. Cases with other types of motion

In more microscopic NJL model calculation in the later section, the mode with the hydrodynamic character is provided as the mode of landau-damping type, contrary to the macroscopic analysis in the previous subsection, and we may change the time evolution operator accordingly as

\[
L_{\varphi}(\omega) = -i\omega/\lambda\sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2}\quad \text{and} \quad L_{\chi}(\omega) = -\chi_j^{-1}.
\]

Note that the typical mode frequencies of the diffusion, landau-damping type and sound-like dispersions vanish \(\omega \to 0\) as \(\mathbf{q} \to 0\), and satisfy the spectral property following from the conservation law

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \frac{1}{L_{\varphi}(\omega) + \chi_j^{-1}} \to \omega \delta(\omega) \chi \quad \text{as} \quad |\mathbf{q}| \to 0.
\]

Finally we note that these hydrodynamic modes drops out in the \(\omega\) limit of the response function:

\[
\chi^{(0+)}(\mathbf{q}) = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega - i\varepsilon} \text{Im} \chi(\omega', 0) = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega - i\varepsilon} \omega' \delta(\omega') \chi = 0.
\]

### III. NAMBU–JONA LASINIO MODEL WITH A TRICRITICAL POINT

As an definite illustration, we shall study the spectral contributions of the collective modes at critical points in the NJL model, and confirm that the result is consistent with the TDGL approach. We remark here that, unlike in the TDGL approach, there are no bare bosonic modes. The bosonic modes are dynamically generated through the interaction between the quarks and their softening causes the divergences at the critical points.

#### A. Effective potential and susceptibilities

We analyze the simplest version of the NJL model [35–37] \(\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(i\gamma^\mu - m)q + g((\bar{q}q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\gamma^\mu\gamma^5q)^2)\) in the mean field approximation \((\bar{q}q) = \sigma=\text{const}, (\bar{q}i\gamma^\mu\gamma^5q) = \sigma=0\). The thermodynamics is described by the effective potential [38],

\[
\Omega(T, \mu, m; \sigma)/V = -\nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [E - T \ln(1 - n_+) - T \ln(1 - n_-)] + \frac{1}{4g}(2g\sigma)^2,
\]
FIG. 2. Effective potentials of the NJL model at three critical points, (a) O(4)CP, (b) TCP and (c) Z\(_2\)CP. In the upper panels shown are the potentials (20) measured from the minima as functions of a single ordering density \(\sigma\). The middle and lower panels are the contour plots of the potentials (24) with two ordering densities, \((\sigma, \rho)\) and \((\sigma, s)\), respectively.

where \(n_\pm = (e^{\beta(E \mp \mu)} + 1)^{-1}\), \(E = \sqrt{M^2 + k^2}\), \(M = m - 2g\sigma\), and \(\nu = 2N_f N_c = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 12\) with \(N_f\) and \(N_c\) the numbers of flavor and color, respectively. Here \(\mu\) is the quark chemical potential. The true thermodynamic state is determined by the extremum condition, \(\partial \Omega / \partial \sigma = 0\), and the corresponding grand potential is \(\Omega(T, \mu, m)\). We define the model with the three–momentum cutoff \(\Lambda\) and with the coupling constant \(g\Lambda^2 = 2.5\) which allows the TCP. In the following, all the dimensionful quantities are expressed in the units of \(\Lambda\).

Expansion of the effective potential around \(\sigma = 0\) with \(m = 0\) gives rise to

\[
\frac{\Omega(T, \mu, 0; \sigma)}{V} = -\nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [k - T \ln(1 - n_0^\sigma) - T \ln(1 - n_0^\rho)] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2g} - J^0 \right)(2g\sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4} I^0(2g\sigma)^4 + \cdots,
\]

(21)

where the superscript 0 indicates the quantity evaluated in the massless limit. The first term is the non-singular part of the free energy in the GL description. The integrals \(I^0\) and \(J^0\) are given in Appendix B. The TCP determined by \(a = b = 0\) appears at \(T_t/\Lambda = 0.20362\) and \(\mu_t/\Lambda = 0.49558\).

As explained in §II, it is useful to introduce the effective potential with another relevant ordering density besides the \(\sigma\) in studying the behavior of the quark number susceptibility and specific heat near the TCP and the \(Z_2\)CP. From the physical grand potential \(\Omega(T, \mu, m)\), we can construct the Landau effective potential with two ordering densities \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) in the following way: first we introduce the free energy \(F(T, \rho, \sigma)\) via

\[
\frac{F(T, \rho, \sigma)}{V} = \frac{\Omega(T, \mu, \tilde{m})}{V} + \tilde{\mu}\rho - \tilde{m}\sigma,
\]

(22)

where \(\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}(T, \rho, \sigma)\) and \(\tilde{m} = \tilde{m}(T, \rho, \sigma)\) are defined by inverting the functions

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}(T, \mu, m), \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial m}(T, \mu, m).
\]

(23)
Then introducing new parameters $\mu$ and $m$, we define the Landau-type effective potential as

$$\tilde{\Omega}(T, \mu, m; \rho, \sigma)/V = F(T, \rho, \sigma)/V - \mu \rho + m \sigma = \Omega(T, \bar{\mu}, \bar{m})/V + (\bar{\mu} - \mu) \rho - (\bar{m} - m) \sigma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The extremum condition for the densities $\rho$ and $\sigma$ yields $\mu = \bar{\mu}$ and $m = \bar{m}$, recovering the physical grand potential $\Omega(T, \mu, m)$. Use of the entropy density $s$ instead of the quark number $\rho$ is straightforward. It is known that the effective potential constructed in this way must be convex and cannot be defined in the mixed phase. Fortunately in the NJL model we can bypass this difficulty by supplementing the unphysical grand potential $\tilde{\Omega}(T, \rho, \sigma)$, which is shown in the upper panels. The flat curvature of this potential means the divergence of the susceptibilities at a critical point is related to the appearance of a particular flat direction in the GL effective potential.

In Fig. 2 we show the effective potential with two ordering densities at three critical points, (a) O(4)CP, (b) TCP, and (c) Z2CP. The critical instability at these points is usually discussed using the effective potential (20) with a single order parameter $\sigma$ based on chiral symmetry, which is shown in the upper panels. The flat curvature of this potential means the divergence of the scalar susceptibility. At the O(4)CP it is clear from the potential with two ordering densities $(s, \rho)$, or $(\sigma, s)$, that the $\sigma$ axis is indeed the symmetry direction of the system. The densities $\rho$ and $s$ depend on $\sigma^2$ when calculated from (20) with $m = 0$. This fact is seen here as a quadratic bending of the potential valley. Thus the fluctuation of these densities are weaker than that of $\sigma$, and the susceptibilities of the quark number and the entropy have the smaller exponent $\alpha$.

At the Z2CP, on the other hand, the flat direction of the GL potential is not parallel to the $\sigma$ axis in the $\sigma-\rho$ and $\sigma-s$ planes. The proper flat direction is a linear combination of the three densities of $\rho$, $\sigma$, and $s$, and all the susceptibilities of them diverge with the same exponent at the Z2CP.

It will be very instructive to introduce the GL function with single ordering density by eliminating $\sigma$ by $\partial \tilde{\Omega}/\partial \sigma = 0$ in favor of $\rho$, as shown in Fig. 3. The curvature at the extremum coincides with the inverse of the quark number susceptibility. In case of the O(4)CP the curvature does not vanish, implying the finite susceptibility $\chi_{\mu\mu}$. It takes different values depending on from which side we approach the equilibrium value of $\rho$. Since the $\sigma^2$ and $\sigma^4$ terms of the potential (21) disappear at the TCP and $\rho$ changes with $\sigma^2$ along the potential valley (see Fig. 2 (b)), the $\rho$ potential becomes flat on the side corresponding to the broken phase as shown in Fig. 3 (b). This indicates the critical point for $\rho$. On the higher density side, in contrast, the curvature is non–vanishing. At the Z2CP, the potential Fig. 3 (c) is essentially the same as the potential (20), and we may equally well choose $\rho$ or $s$ as the ordering density instead of $\sigma$ to describe this criticality.

We show in Fig. 4 the quark number susceptibility $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ as a function of $\mu$ along the O(4) critical line, across which $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ is discontinuous. The value of $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ on the O(4) critical line approached from the broken phase grows up toward the TCP and eventually diverges there as is described with the GL potential ($\chi_{\mu\mu} \propto 1/b$) [14]. The $\chi_{TTT}$ also behaves in the same way. The only qualitative difference is that at the point $\mu = 0$ the $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ is continuous across the phase boundary because no linear coupling with $\sigma$ is allowed due to the symmetry under $\rho \leftrightarrow -\rho$.  

**FIG. 3.** Effective potentials of the NJL model as functions of a single ordering density $\rho$ at three critical points, (a) O(4)CP, (b) TCP and (c) Z2CP. The dashed line indicates the critical density in each case.

**FIG. 4.** $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ (solid line) along the O(4) critical line approached from the broken phase. $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ approached from the symmetric phase is shown in a dashed line. Inset: $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ vs. $(\mu_{\pm} - \mu)/\Lambda$.  
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B. Response functions and mode spectra

The spectral origin of the critical divergence can be investigated by studying the spectral function which is obtained as the imaginary part of the response function. We discuss here the structure of the collective eigenmode, which couples with the relevant susceptibilities and shows softening at the critical point.

The response functions in the NJL model are calculated as [36–38]

$$\chi_{ab}(i\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \Pi_{ab}(i\omega, \mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{1 - 2g\Pi_{mm}(i\omega, \mathbf{q})} 2g\Pi_{mb}(i\omega, \mathbf{q}), \quad (a, b = \mu, m, \beta). \tag{25}$$

Here the polarizations are defined with the imaginary–time quark propagator $S(\tilde{k}) = 1/(\tilde{k} + M)$ as

$$\Pi_{ab}(i\omega, \mathbf{q}) = -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} T \sum_n tr_{\text{fCD}} S(\tilde{k}) \Gamma S(\tilde{k} - \mathbf{q}) \Gamma', \tag{26}$$

where $q_4 = 2\pi T (l \in \mathbb{Z})$, $\tilde{k} = (k, k_4 + i\mu)$, $\Gamma$ is an appropriate Dirac matrix, and the trace is taken over the flavor, color and Dirac indices. $\Gamma = 1$ for the scalar, $i\gamma_4$ for the baryon number, and $\mathcal{H}_{MF}$ for $\beta$ with

$$\mathcal{H}_{MF} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla i} + M + i\mu \gamma_4. \tag{27}$$

We calculate here the response function with $\mathcal{H}_{MF}$ the energy operator in the mean field approximation instead of the entropy, because the entropy has no microscopic expression as it is defined only in equilibrium. The real–time response function is obtained from the imaginary–time propagator through the usual replacement $i\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\epsilon$ in the final expression. The static response function in the long wavelength limit reduces to the corresponding susceptibility,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} \chi_{ab}(0, \mathbf{q}) = \chi_{ab}. \quad \text{Especially, } \chi_{\beta\beta} = T^2 \chi_{TT}. \tag{28}$$

The one–loop polarizations $\Pi_{ab}(\omega, \mathbf{q})$ are the response functions of the free quark gas with mass $M$, and contain no contributions from the collective mode. In our simple NJL model, the collective mode is generated by the bubble sum encoded in the denominator of Eq. (24) and there are two kinds of collective motion [25]: the sigma meson mode and the particle–hole (p–h) mode. In Ref. [25] it is argued that the soft mode associated with the $Z_2$CP is not the sigma meson but the p–h motion.

The spectral function $\rho_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q})$ of the scalar response function [39] yields

$$\rho_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = 2\text{Im} \chi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = 2\text{Im} \frac{1}{2g} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2g\Pi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q})} - 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{2\text{Im} \Pi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{[1 - 2g \text{Re} \Pi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q})]^2 + [2g \text{Im} \Pi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q})]^2}. \tag{28}$$

FIG. 5. Spectral functions of the scalar channel in the $\omega$–$q$ plane near the chiral critical point $(T_c/\Lambda, \mu_c/\Lambda) = (0.3419, 0.3)$. (a) $T/\Lambda = 0.350$ and (b) $T/\Lambda = 0.339$ with $\mu = \mu_c$ fixed.
FIG. 6. Spectral functions of the scalar channel in the $\omega-q$ plane near the TCP. (a) $T/\Lambda = 0.210$ and (b) $T/\Lambda = 0.2035$ with $\mu = \mu_t$ fixed.

We notice that the spectrum $2\text{Im}\Pi_{mm}(\omega, q)$ of the free quark gas is enhanced by the bubble-type correlation in the denominator.

The scalar spectral functions are shown at $T/\Lambda=0.350$ and 0.339 near the O(4)CP with $(T_c/\Lambda, \mu_c/\Lambda)=(0.3419, 0.3)$ in Fig. 5. One should keep in mind that the $\delta(\omega - 2M)$ spectrum of the sigma meson at $q = 0$ in the broken phase is hard to be seen in this figure. The sigma meson spectrum is softening just above and below the O(4)CP (see also §III.D). Besides the sigma spectrum we clearly find the p–h mode spectrum in the space–like momentum region, whose strength looks stronger in the broken phase. As we approach the TCP, as is shown in Fig. 6, this p–h mode spectrum grows in the small $q$ region in the broken phase, while in the symmetric phase it does not show such an enhancement.

We show in Fig. 7 the spectral functions of the scalar channel as well as the vector channel (quark number response) at the $Z_2$CP with $m/\Lambda = 0.01$. In the scalar channel clearly seen are the two spectral peaks of the sigma meson and the p–h motion in medium, respectively [25]. This spectral structure is to be compared with that of the free quark case given in Appendix B. The most significant feature in $\rho_{mm}$ is the critical enhancement in the $\omega \sim 0$ region provided by the p–h mode, which gives rise to the divergence of the scalar susceptibility. Although the sigma meson shows the clear spectral peak in this model, the mode is massive due to the explicit symmetry breaking by the current quark mass.

The spectral function of the quark number response, $\rho_{\mu\mu}(\omega, q)$, also contains these two spectral contributions, but the sigma spectrum strongly diminishes as $q \to 0$. It is worthwhile to note the fact that $\Pi_{\mu\mu}(\omega > 0, 0) = 0$ and $\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\mu}(\omega, 0) \propto \omega \delta(\omega)$ as $q \to 0$, which reflects the conservation of the quark number. Thus the response function $\chi_{\mu\mu}(\omega, q)$ obtained in (25) in the random phase approximation (RPA), too, shares the same property, and the sigma meson cannot couple to the quark number susceptibility $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ at $q = 0$. Therefore the divergence of $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ at the $Z_2$CP must come solely from the softening of the p–h spectrum. The same is true for $\chi_{TT}$.

C. Spectral sum along the critical line

In the previous subsection we have identified the soft mode associated with $Z_2$CP as the p–h motion [25] generated in the scalar channel whereas at the O(4)CP the sigma meson mode becomes soft. Let us examine how the changeover of the soft mode from the sigma meson to the p–h one occurs along the critical line. Once we noticed that the difference between the two limits, $\chi_{mm}(0^+, 0)$ and $\chi_{mm}(0, 0^+)$, is caused by the hydrodynamic mode spectrum $\omega \delta(\omega)$ as $q \to 0$, it is easy to calculate the ratio of the spectral strength of the two types of spectral contributions. In these limits the explicit form of the RPA scalar response functions in the broken phase (with $m = 0$) yields, respectively,
FIG. 7. Spectral functions of the scalar (a) and quark number (b) response functions at the Z$_3$CP with $m/\Lambda = 0.01$.

$$\chi_{mm}(0^+, 0) = \frac{1}{2g} \left( \frac{1}{2g \cdot 4M^2 I(0^+, 0)} - 1 \right),$$

$$\chi_{mm}(0, 0^+) = \frac{1}{2g} \left( \frac{1}{2g \cdot 4M^2 I(0, 0^+)} - 1 \right),$$

where function $I(\omega, q)$ is given in Appendix B. Then we can define the ratio $R$ of the hydrodynamic spectrum to the total strength of the scalar susceptibility in the NJL model as

$$R \equiv \frac{\chi_{mm}(0, 0^+) - \chi_{mm}(0^+, 0)}{\chi_{mm}(0^+, 0)} = \frac{I(0^+, 0) - I(0, 0^+)}{I(0, 0^+)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

On the other hand, these limits in the symmetric phase result in the same value

$$\chi_{mm}(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2g} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2gJ^0} - 1 \right),$$

which means no hydrodynamic contribution to the scalar susceptibility there ($R = 0$). The p–h mode must be decoupled from $\chi_{mm}$ in the symmetric phase. Meanwhile we know that the corresponding ratios for the susceptibilities of the conserved quantities are always unity ($R = 1$), which can be explicitly seen with the expressions given in Appendix B.

The ratio $R$ (30) is shown in Fig. 8 as a function of $\mu$ along the critical line. We find that even in the O(4) chiral transition at zero baryon number density ($\mu = 0$) the hydrodynamic spectrum contributes to the divergence by a finite fraction. This contribution of the hydrodynamic spectrum increases toward the TCP, and eventually gives the leading divergence at the TCP, where $I(0, 0^+) = I^0 = 0$ but $I(0^+, 0) \neq 0$. This behavior is completely in parallel with the TDGL approach.

The fact that the p–h mode gives a finite fraction of the divergence at the O(4)CP might be again unexpected from the viewpoint of the sigma meson as the associated soft mode there. Indeed, the sigma meson spectrum generates the total divergence when the critical point is approached from the symmetric phase. We should note here that the mixing of the scalar fluctuation in the broken phase is the origin of the discontinuity of the baryon number and energy susceptibilities across the boundary and that only the scalar p–h mode with the hydrodynamic character can couple with the fluctuations of these conserved quantities. Since the transitions between the scalar and other channels is proportional to $M$, the p–h spectral strength in the scalar is necessarily of order $1/M^2$ so as to bring a finite contribution to $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ and $\chi_{TT}$.

We note that the scalar p–h motion of the NJL model is possible only in medium, but always possible in medium even in the symmetric phase, where the p–h contribution should be decoupled from the scalar susceptibility. One may ask the reason for this decoupling of the p–h spectrum. The absorption amplitude of the collective p–h mode with momentum $q$ by a left–handed quark $q_L(k)$ is proportional to a spinor product $\bar{u}_R(k + q)u_L(k)$. In the symmetric
D. Behavior of poles and residues

Let us discuss a little more details of the spectral contributions to the susceptibility, studying the the poles and the residues of the scalar response function

$$\chi_{mn}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2g} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2gJ(\mathbf{q}) + 2g(4M^2 - q^2)I(\omega, \mathbf{q})} - 1 \right)$$

(32)

near the NJL critical points. It is useful to represent the spectral contributions as

$$\chi_{mn}^{\text{pole}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=\pm\sigma,\text{ph}} \frac{R_i(\mathbf{q})}{-\omega + \omega_i(\mathbf{q})}$$

(33)

with the poles corresponding to the sigma meson ($\pm\sigma$) near $\pm 2M$ and the p–h (ph) mode on the negative imaginary axis [25]. We would obtain the susceptibility as $\mathbf{q} \to 0$ after setting $\omega = 0$. As for the p–h contribution, however, we take into account the kinematic condition $|\omega/\mathbf{q}| < 1$ for the spectrum via

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-|\mathbf{q}|}^{|\mathbf{q}|} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} 2\text{Im} \frac{R_{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{q})}{-\omega + \omega_{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{q})} = \frac{R_{\text{ph}}}{\omega_{\text{ph}}} \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{|\omega_{\text{ph}}|}.$$  

(34)

The scalar susceptibility in this approximation is expressed as a sum of the sigma and p–h pole contributions:

$$\chi_{mn}^{\text{pole}}(0, 0^+) = 2 \frac{R_{\sigma}}{\omega_{\sigma}} + \lim_{\mathbf{q} \to 0} \frac{R_{\text{ph}}}{\omega_{\text{ph}}} \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{|\omega_{\text{ph}}|}.$$  

(35)

In Fig. 9 we show numerical results of the poles and residues of the scalar response function (32) as functions of $t = |T - T_c|/T_c$ with fixed $\mu = \mu_c$. The behavior of them can be understood as follows:
Across the $O(4)CP$ In the broken phase ($1-2gJ(0) = 0$), the sigma pole with $q = 0$ locates at $\omega_{\sigma} = 2M$ on the real axis, whose residue is $R_{\sigma} \sim 1/(MI(2M,0))$. These quantities scale as $1/R_{\sigma} \sim M \sim \chi_{mm}^{-1}/t \sim t^{1/2}$. In the symmetric phase ($M = 0$), $\chi_{mm}^{-1} \sim 1 - 2gJ(0) \sim t$. The complex sigma meson pole appears at $\omega_{\sigma} = \sqrt{-1/\chi_{mm}/I(\omega_{\sigma},0)} \sim t^{1/2}$ with the residue $\sim 1/\sqrt{-1/\chi_{mm}I(\omega_{\sigma},0)} \sim t^{-1/2}$. In both cases, the sigma mode gives the appropriate strength of the divergence $R/\omega_{\sigma} \sim \chi_{mm}^{-1} \sim t^{-1}$.

The p–h mode arises from the $\omega$ dependence of the function $I(\omega,q)$. Since we are interested in the behavior in the small $\omega$ and $q$ region with $u \equiv \omega/q < 1$, we may approximate the function $I$ as

$$I(\omega,q) = I(0,0^+) + iu\text{Im}I(0)^{\prime},$$

where $\text{Im}I(u)^{\prime} = (d/du)\text{Im}I(u|q|)q = 0$. In the broken phase, the condition, $I(\omega,q) = 0$ gives the pole as $\omega_{ph} \sim -i|q|I(0,0^+)$, whose residue $R_{ph} \sim -i|q|/M^2 \sim t^{-1}|q|$. Similarly in the symmetric phase the condition $1/(2g) - J(0) - \omega^3/|q| \cdot i\text{Im}I(0)^{\prime} = 0$ fixes the pole position as $\omega_{ph} \sim -i(\chi_{mm}^{-1}|q|^{1/3} \sim \sqrt{t}|q|^{1/3}$ with the residue $R_{ph} \sim -i|q|/(\chi_{mm}^{-1}|q|^{2/3} \sim t^{-2/3}|q|^{1/3}$.

Then according to Eq. (34) the spectral contribution is estimated to be

$$\frac{R_{ph}}{\omega_{ph}} \tan^{-1} \frac{|q|}{\omega_{ph}} \sim \frac{1}{t},$$

(37)

$$\frac{R_{ph}}{\omega_{ph}} \tan^{-1} \frac{|q|}{\omega_{ph}} \sim \frac{1}{t} \tan^{-1} \frac{q^{2/3}}{t^{1/3}} \to 0$$

(38)

for the broken and symmetric cases, respectively, as $|q| \to 0$. We note that the p–h mode gives finite portion of the divergence at the $O(4)CP$ approached from the broken phase due to the enhancement of the residue by $1/M^2$, despite that the frequency $\omega_{ph} \sim |q|$ shows no critical slowing. The decoupling of the p–h mode in the symmetric phase is correctly described by the behavior of the pole.

Across the TCP At the TCP, $I(0^+,0) \neq I(0^+,0) = I^0 = 0$. When we approach from the broken phase $\chi_{mm}^{-1} = (2g)^24M^2I(0,0^+) \sim t$ while $\omega_{\sigma} \sim M \sim t^{1/4}$. Then the sigma mode slows down, but cannot generate the leading divergence because $R_{\sigma}/\omega_{\sigma} \sim t^{-1/2}$ whereas $\chi_{mm} \sim t^{-1}$. The fact $I(0,0^+) \sim t^{1/2}$ changes the scaling of the p–h mode into $\omega_{ph} \sim t^{1/2}|q|$ with the residue $t^{-1/2}|q|$, which gives rise to the correct order of the divergence

$$\frac{R_{ph}}{\omega_{ph}} \tan^{-1} \frac{|q|}{\omega_{ph}} \sim \frac{1}{t} \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \sim \frac{1}{t}.$$  

(39)

The p–h mode must correspond to the critical eigenmode. On the other hand, if the TCP is approached from the symmetric phase, the p–h mode is decoupled from the scalar susceptibility and the critical sigma mode generates the total divergence. It is very interesting that the soft mode associated with the TCP is different between the symmetric and broken phases.

At the $Z_2CP$ The sigma meson mode has a finite energy gap of order $2M$ in our model. The pole position of the p–h mode can be evaluated as $\omega_{ph} \sim -i\chi_{mm}^{-1}|q| \sim t^{2/3}|q|$ with its residue $-i|q|$, which gives rise to
From this estimate, we see that the p–h mode properly accounts the divergence of the scalar susceptibility at the $Z_2$CP, and therefore the softening of the p–h mode is the origin of the critical divergence at the $Z_2$CP.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

In the microscopic calculation with the NJL model, we have seen that the collective p–h mode, besides the sigma meson mode, is generated in the scalar channel and brings the spectral contributions to the channels of the conserved quantities through the mixing when $M \neq 0$. This p–h spectrum makes the susceptibilities of $\chi_{\mu\mu}$ and $\chi_{TT}$ discontinuous across the O(4) critical line, and eventually gives rise to the critical divergences at the TCP and the $Z_2$CP. This role of the p–h mode is consistent with the behavior of the hydrodynamic mode in the TDGL analysis. We remark here that this p–h mode in the NJL model is the time–reversible landau–damping type. In the phenomenological TDGL approach, on the other hand, we assumed the time–irreversible diffusion motion for the conserved density, which seems more appropriate to the non-equilibrium soft dynamics. It would be very interesting to study how a time–irreversible equation of motion emerges out of the time–reversible microscopic theory (see, e.g., Refs. [40–43]).

The flat curvature of the effective potential is usually referred to as the vanishing screening mass, which naively hints the reduction of a kind of particle mass. As we approach the O(4)CP, the sigma meson mass actually gets reduced to cause the critical divergence. However, approaching the $Z_2$CP, we see that the flat curvature leads to the vanishing diffusion constant of the hydrodynamic mode [25,26,33]. In general, the potential curvature expresses the stiffness of the system with respect to the variation of the ordering density. The dynamic quantity related to this stiffness can be the particle mass, sound velocity, relaxation constant or diffusion constant, depending on the equation of motion of the critical eigenmode.

In the $Z_2$CP case, the linear mixing of the conserved densities in the proper ordering density dictates that the critical eigenmode should have hydrodynamic character. We have seen that the sigma–meson like mode is massive and is decoupled from the slow dynamics. It is explicitly argued in Ref. [33] that the remaining set of slow modes is equivalent to that of the liquid–gas critical point [25,26]. In the course of heavy ion events passing by the $Z_2$CP, it is important to study the observable implications of critical slowing of hydrodynamic fluctuations in baryon number and entropy densities [5,18–23]. The growth of the diffusive fluctuations within the finite space–time would get renewed interest [5,18].

The critical soft mode of the TCP is different between the symmetric and broken phases. The sigma mode becomes the critical eigenmode and the hydrodynamic one behaves normally if the critical point is approached from the exactly symmetric phase. Otherwise the critical eigenmode bears the hydrodynamic character and the sigma mode slows down only moderately, which implies the importance of the hydrodynamic fluctuations near the TCP: the endpoint of the first order line sitting on the chiral phase boundary. Theoretically and also experimentally it is worthwhile to elaborate and classify the dynamic critical behavior at the TCP as well as other critical points of QCD [26,33,34,44,45].

In the QCD thermodynamics the first order transition is believed to occur at finite temperature in the massless three–flavor case [2] and in the pure gluonic case [1], where the chiral symmetry and the $Z_3$ center symmetry are exact, respectively. As varying masses of the quarks from these two limits, we will have critical points (line) at the edge of the first–order region. For example, in Ref. [24], a $Z_3$CP is studied in the $T–m$ plane with three quark flavors of equal mass in lattice QCD. There a particular linear combination of the quark condensate and the energy density is identified as a proper order parameter, which should be mapped to the magnetization in the $Z_3$ Ising model. We expect that a hydrodynamic mode related to the energy fluctuation shows critical slowing at this $Z_3$CP, whose spectrum may be detected in the lattice QCD. The dynamic critical behavior of this point is also of importance. It could be different from that of the $Z_2$CP at finite $\mu_B$ because of no linear mixing with the baryon number fluctuation due to the symmetry $\rho_B \leftrightarrow -\rho_B$. Furthermore, the lines and surfaces of the QCD critical points in the $T–\mu_B–m_{ud}–m_s$ space are speculated [46]. One can also extend the space to the isospin channel [47]. Generally at such a critical point the proper ordering density becomes a linear combination involving conserved densities. Since the critical eigenmode must have the hydrodynamic character in this case, the dynamic critical nature would be quite different from the case with (e.g.) the exact chiral symmetry.

Our identification of the soft modes along the critical line is done within the mean–field approximation. Fluctuations around the mean fields are known to become crucial for describing the singular behavior at the critical points correctly. To this end we should utilize mode-coupling theory or dynamic renormalization group method. The mean–field analysis provides a good starting point to identify an appropriate set of the slow modes.
V. SUMMARY

The fundamental points about the $Z_2$CP are following: (1) in the absence of the chiral symmetry, the ordering density becomes a linear combination of the scalar density, the baryon number density and the energy density, in general, and their susceptibilities have the same critical exponent. In describing the static property of this critical point one may equally well take any of these densities as the ordering density. (2) Then the critical eigenmode must be the hydrodynamic one which can cause the critical divergence of the susceptibility of the conserved density. On the other hand, in the chiral transition approached from the symmetric phase, the exact chiral symmetry prohibits the linear mixing of the hydrodynamic mode in the fluctuation of the ordering density. We have showed these points using the TDGL approach as well as the microscopic NJL model.

We have studied the change of the critical eigenmode along the $O(4)$ critical line. When the critical point is approached from the symmetric phase, the soft mode is indeed the sigma meson mode. On the other hand, approaching from the broken phase we see the scalar condensate allows the linear mixing between the sigma and hydrodynamic modes, and eventually at the TCP the hydrodynamic mode turn out to be the critical eigenmode which generates the leading critical divergence. Thus the shift of the critical mode from the sigma meson to the hydrodynamic mode occurs at the TCP. And the soft mode at the TCP crucially depends on from which phase one is approaching the point.

The criticality of the $Z_2$CP is given by the softening of a hydrodynamic mode. The sigma mode remains as a fast mode due to the explicit breaking $m$ and is decoupled from the soft dynamics. Based on this understanding, we should study fluctuations with the hydrodynamic character, such as baryon number and entropy fluctuations [5,18–23,48] in locating the $Z_2$CP experimentally.

In the QCD thermodynamics with three quark favors, several kinds of end points are speculated. One should keep in mind that at these points the hydrodynamic mode will become the critical eigenmode once a conserved density is.

APPENDIX A: SUSCEPTIBILITY AND RESPONSE FUNCTION

The susceptibility of a (bosonic) density $\phi_a$ in a system described with the grand potential $\Omega = -T \ln \text{tr}(\exp(-\beta \hat{K}))$ with $\hat{K} = \hat{H} - \sum_a \int d^3 x \hat{\phi}_a$ is defined as

$$\chi_{ab} \equiv -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial a \partial b}. \quad (A1)$$

This susceptibility is obtained as $q$-limit of the response function [27,28] because

$$\chi_{ab} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} e^{\beta \Omega} \text{tr}(\hat{\phi}_a(0,0)e^{-\beta \hat{K}})$$

$$= e^{\beta \Omega} \beta \int_0^1 ds \int d^3 x \text{tr}(\hat{\phi}_a(0,0)e^{-s\beta \hat{K}} \hat{\phi}_a(0,x) e^{-(1-s)\beta \hat{K}}) - \phi_a \phi_b$$

$$= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3 x \chi_{ab}^{-}\langle -i\tau, x \rangle = \lim_{q \to 0} \chi_{ab}(i\omega_n, q)|_{n=0} = \lim_{q \to 0} \chi_{ab}(0, q), \quad (A2)$$

where we used a formula, $\frac{d}{da} e^{A(a)} = \int_0^1 ds e^{sA(a)} \frac{dA(a)}{da} e^{(1-s)A(a)}$ with a matrix–valued function $A(a)$, and the time dependence of the operators are defined by $\hat{\phi}(-i\tau) = e^{i\tau \hat{K}} \hat{\phi}(0) e^{-i\tau \hat{K}}$. The imaginary–time correlation and the response function are introduced as $\chi_{ab}^{-}(-i\tau, x) = \langle \hat{\phi}_a(-i\tau, x) \hat{\phi}_b(0,0) \rangle_c$ and $\chi_{ab}(t, x) = i\theta(t) \langle [\hat{\phi}_a(t, x), \hat{\phi}_b(0,0)] \rangle_c$, respectively.
Once we establish the relation between the susceptibility and the response function, it is easy to express the susceptibility as an integral over the spectral density:

$$\chi_{ab} = \chi_{ab}(0, q \to 0) = \lim_{q \to 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} 2\text{Im}\chi_{ab}(\omega, q).$$

(A3)

Specifically, when $\phi$ is conserved, $[\hat{K}, \hat{\phi}_a] = 0$, we can freely change the position of the operator $\hat{\phi}_a$ in the trace and the susceptibility is directly related to the equal–time correlation function $S$ via [27,28]

$$\chi_{ab} = \beta \int d^3x \langle \hat{\phi}_b(0, 0) \hat{\phi}_a(0, x) \rangle_c$$

$$= \beta \int d^3x S_{ab}(0, x) = \beta \lim_{q \to 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} S_{ab}(\omega, q)$$

$$= \beta \lim_{q \to 0} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} 2\text{Im}\chi_{ab}(\omega, q).$$

(A4)

In the last equality we used the fluctuation–dissipation theorem, which relates the fluctuation $S$ to the dissipative part $\text{Im}\chi$ of the response function. Noting the spectral condition, $\text{sign}(\omega) \text{Im}\chi(\omega, q) \geq 0$, we conclude that these two expressions for the susceptibility coincide if and only if $\lim_{q \to 0} \text{Im}\chi(\omega, q) = \pi \delta(\omega)\omega\chi$. Physically this is a consequence of the existence of the current $j_a$ such that $\partial_t \phi_a + \nabla \cdot j_a = 0$.

**APPENDIX B: EXPLICIT EXPRESSIONS**

1. response functions

We present the explicit formulas and procedures to evaluate for the one–loop polarization functions. First working in the imaginary time formalism [38], we derive the expressions for the polarization functions with make use of the frequency sum formulas:

$$\mathcal{I}(i\omega_l) \equiv T \sum_n \frac{1}{k_{E}^2 + M^2} \frac{1}{(k - q)^2_{E} + M^2}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4E_1E_2} \left( \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-2}}{i\omega_l - E_1 - E_2} - \frac{n_{-1} - n_{-2}}{i\omega_l + E_1 - E_2} + \frac{n_{+1} - n_{+2}}{i\omega_l - E_1 + E_2} - \frac{1 - n_{-1} - n_{+2}}{i\omega_l + E_1 + E_2} \right).$$

(B1)

$$\mathcal{I}_\omega(i\omega_l) \equiv T \sum_n \frac{1}{k_{E}^2 + M^2} \frac{1}{(k - q)^2_{E} + M^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4E_2} \left[ \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-2}}{i\omega_l - E_1 - E_2} + \frac{n_{+1} - n_{+2}}{i\omega_l - E_1 + E_2} + \frac{1 - n_{-1} - n_{+2}}{i\omega_l + E_1 + E_2} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4E_1} \left[ \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-2}}{i\omega_l - E_1 - E_2} - \frac{n_{+1} - n_{+2}}{i\omega_l + E_1 - E_2} - \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-2}}{i\omega_l + E_1 + E_2} \right].$$

(B2)

where $\omega_l = 2l\pi T$ is the bosonic Matsubara frequency, $\vec{k} = (k, -\omega_n + i\mu)$ the quark momentum with $\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi T$, $k_{E}^2 = k^2 + i\pi T$, $E_1 = \sqrt{M^2 + k_1^2}$, $E_2 = \sqrt{M^2 + (k - q)^2}$, and $n_{\pm1,2} = n_{\pm} \left(E_{1,2}\right)$. The $q$- and $k$-dependences are implicit through these quantities. Then the analytic continuation to the real frequency is done by the replacement $i\omega_l \to q_0 + i\epsilon$, which uniquely gives the retarded functions with the asymptotic behavior $\propto 1/q_0$ as $|q_0| \to \infty$.

Since the retarded function is analytic in the upper half plane, we can reconstruct it from the imaginary part using the dispersion integral,

$$\Pi_{ab}(\omega, q) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' \frac{\text{Im}\Pi_{ab}(\omega', q)}{\omega' - \omega - i\epsilon}.$$ 

(B3)

This relation is quite useful when we evaluate the polarization functions with finite $q$ because the imaginary part is easier to calculate. The imaginary part comes from two physical processes in our model: the $q$–$\bar{q}$ creation/annihilation and the mode-absorption/emission by a quark $q$ or an anti-quark $\bar{q}$ as shown in Fig. 10. Kinematically the former occurs for the time–like momentum with $q^2 = q_0^2 - q^2 > 4M^2$. The latter is possible for $q^2 < 0$, resulting in the
processes of (a). The $\sigma$ and search the poles on the unphysical Riemann sheet (see Fig. 10). We can obtain the response functions with finite $|\omega|$. After performing the angular integration using the delta function imposed by the on-shell condition, the imaginary part for $\omega = -q$ and $q$ is from the lower processes of (a). The $\sigma$ meson pole and the p-h pole on the unphysical Riemann sheet are shown with $\times$.

Landau damping. Then the complex $\omega$ plane has two kinds of cuts in the case of finite $q$. Analytic continuation of the retarded function to the lower half plane (Im$z < 0$) across one of these cuts is defined by

$$\Pi(z, q) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\text{Im}\Pi(\omega, q)}{\omega - z} + 2\text{Im}\Pi^{\text{im,sp}}(z, q),$$  

(B4)

where $\Pi^{\text{im,sp}}(z, q)$ is the imaginary part in the time-like or the space-like region, depending on across which cut the function is continued. Substituting these expressions to Eq. (25), we can obtain the response functions with finite $q$ and search the poles on the unphysical Riemann sheet (see Fig. 10).

The one–loop polarization function in the scalar channel yields

$$\Pi_{mm}(q_0, q) = \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-1}}{E_1} + \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (q^2 - 4M^2) I(q_0 + i\epsilon) \equiv J(|q|) + (q^2 - 4M^2) I(q_0 + i\epsilon),$$

(B5)

where we have shifted the momentum as $k \rightarrow k + q/2$ and $E_{1,2} = \sqrt{M^2 + (k \pm q/2)^2}$, and then introduce the cutoff at $k_{\text{max}}^2 = \Lambda^2 - q^2/4$ for this new $k$. In the second line we defined the functions $J$ and $I$, whose massless limits appear in the expansion series of the NJL effective potential in terms of $\sigma$, Eq.(21). The integral $I^0$ is seemingly divergent logarithmically in the infrared region, but is actually finite because $1 - n^+_0 - n^-_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow 0$.

After performing the angular integration using the delta function imposed by the on–shell condition, the imaginary part of the scalar polarization for $q_0 > 0$ yields

$$\text{Im}\Pi_{mm}(q_0, q) = \frac{\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|q|} \frac{q^2 - 4M^2}{\sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4}} D(q_0, q^2),$$

(B6)

where $q^2 = q_0^2 - q^2$ and $E^2 = M^2 + k^2$. Due to the on-shell condition of the imaginary part, the quark energies in $n_{\pm}$ are set to be $E_{1,2} = \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{q^2}} \pm \sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4}$ for $q^2 > 4M^2$ and $E_{1,2} = \sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4} \pm \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{q^2}}$ for $q^2 < 0$. The last $k$–integration can be done analytically. The imaginary part for $q_0 < 0$ is obtained by $\text{Im}\Pi(-q_0, q) = -\text{Im}\Pi(q_0, q)$.

Similarly, other polarization functions and their imaginary parts for $q_0 > 0$ are found and given below:

$$\Pi_{\mu\mu}(q_0, q) = \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1 - n_{+1} - n_{-1}}{E_1} + \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (q_0^2 - 4E^2) I(q_0),$$

(B8)

$$\text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\mu}(q_0, q) = \frac{\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|q|} \frac{q_0^2 - 4E^2}{\sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4}} D(q_0, q^2),$$

(B9)
\[ \Pi_{\mu\mu}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = -2M\nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{I}\omega(q_0), \]  
\[ \text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\mu}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = -\frac{4M\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|\mathbf{q}|} \mathcal{D}\omega(q_0, q^2), \]  
\[ \Pi_{\beta\beta}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{1-n_{+1}-n_{-1}}{E_1} (E^2 + \mu^2) \right. \]  
\[ + \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ ((q_0^2 - 4E^2)(E^2 + \mu^2) - E^2 \mathbf{q}^2 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2) \mathcal{I}(q_0) + \mu(q_0^2 - 4E^2)\mathcal{I}_\omega(q_0) \right], \]  
\[ \text{Im}\Pi_{\beta\beta}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|\mathbf{q}|} \left[ \frac{(q_0^2 - 4E^2)(E^2 - q^2/4 + \mu^2)}{\sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4}} \mathcal{D}(q_0, q^2) + 2\mu(q_0^2 - 4E^2)\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(q_0, q^2) \right], \]  
\[ \Pi_{m\mu}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = M\nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{1-n_{+1}-n_{-1}}{E_1} \right. \]  
\[ + M\nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ (q_0^2 - 4E^2)\mathcal{I}(q_0) - 2\mu\mathcal{I}_\omega(q_0) \right], \]  
\[ \text{Im}\Pi_{m\mu}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{M\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|\mathbf{q}|} \left[ 4\sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4} \mathcal{D}(q_0, q^2) - 4\mu\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(q_0, q^2) \right], \]  
\[ \Pi_{\mu\beta}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{1-n_{+1}-n_{-1}}{E_1} \mu \right. \]  
\[ + \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \mu(q_0^2 - 4E^2 + \mathbf{q}^2)\mathcal{I}(q_0) + \frac{1}{2}(q_0^2 - 4E^2)\mathcal{I}_\omega(q_0) \right], \]  
\[ \text{Im}\Pi_{\mu\beta}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|\mathbf{q}|} \left[ \frac{q_0^2 - 4E^2 + \mathbf{q}^2}{\sqrt{E^2 - q^2/4}} \mathcal{D}(q_0, q^2) + (q_0^2 - 4E^2)\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(q_0, q^2) \right]. \]  
\[ \mathcal{D}_\omega(q_0, q^2) \equiv \pm(n_{+1} + n_{-2} - n_{-1} - n_{+2}) \]  
with the sign ‘+’ for \( 4M^2 < q^2 < 4(M^2 + \kappa_{\text{max}}) \) and ‘–’ for \( q^2 < 0 \).

It is known that the response functions have a non–analytic property at the origin of the \( \omega-q \) plane when the \( p\hbar \) mode spectrum exists. For demonstration we show in Fig. 11 the real and imaginary parts of \( \Pi_{mm}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \) with \( \mathbf{q}/\Lambda = 0.1 \). An abrupt change of the real part is seen in the region \( \omega/\Lambda < 0.1 \), which is clearly caused by the \( p\hbar \) spectrum in accord with the dispersion relation. In the \( \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0 \) limit, the imaginary part becomes proportional to \( \omega\delta(\omega) \), which leads to the discontinuity of the real part of \( \Pi_{mm} \) as mentioned in Eq. (19) and shown in Fig. 11. In the case of the massless quarks, on the other hand, the scalar channel does not couple to the \( p\hbar \) motion in the \( \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0 \) limit due to the chiral symmetry. Hence the real part is non-singular at the origin as shown in Fig. 12.

In Appendix A it is shown that the spectral function for a conserved density fluctuation must be proportional to \( \omega\delta(\omega) \) as \( \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0 \) in general. We confirm that this requirement is fulfilled by these one-loop polarizations, \( \Pi_{\mu\mu}, \Pi_{m\mu}, \Pi_{\beta\beta}, \) and \( \Pi_{m\beta} \).

2. susceptibilities

The susceptibilities in free quark gas with mass \( M \) are found as

\[ \text{Im}\Pi_{m\mu}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = -\frac{4M\nu}{16\pi} \int \frac{dkk}{|\mathbf{q}|} \mathcal{D}(q_0, q^2), \]
FIG. 11. One–loop scalar polarization function $\Pi_{mm}$ (at the Z_{2}CP). (a) The real part $1/(2g) – \text{Re}\Pi_{mm}$ and the imaginary part $\text{Im}\Pi_{mm}$ are shown in solid and dashed lines, respectively, with fixed $q/\Lambda = 0.1$. (b) The real part as a function of $(\omega, q)$. (c) The imaginary part as a function of $(\omega, q)$.

FIG. 12. One–loop scalar polarization function with massless quarks (at the TCP): (a) the real part $1/(2g) – \text{Re}\Pi_{mm}$ and (b) the imaginary part $\text{Im}\Pi_{mm}$.

$$\chi^{(0)}_{mm} = \nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{1}{E} \left( 1 - n_+ - n_- \right) - \frac{M^2}{E^2} \left( \frac{1}{E} \left( 1 - n_+ - n_- \right) + n'_+ + n'_- \right) \right],$$
$$\chi^{(0)}_{mBH} = \nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M}{E} \left( n'_+ - n'_- \right),$$
$$\chi^{(0)}_{mT} = \nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M}{E} \left[ \frac{E - \mu T}{T} n'_+ + \frac{E + \mu T}{T} n'_- \right],$$
$$\chi^{(0)}_{\mu\mu} = -\nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( n'_+ + n'_- \right),$$
$$\chi^{(0)}_{T\mu} = -\nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \left( \frac{E - \mu T}{T} \right)^2 n'_+ + \left( \frac{E + \mu T}{T} \right)^2 n'_- \right],$$
$$\chi^{(0)}_{TT} = -\nu \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{E - \mu T}{T} n'_+ - \frac{E + \mu T}{T} n'_- \right].$$

(B19)

These expressions coincide with the static one-loop polarizations in the $q \to 0$ limit. Through this limiting procedure we find that the terms containing $n'_\pm$ are related with the p–h spectrum parts in functions $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\omega$, and that all susceptibilities, except for the scalar, must accompany $n'_\pm$ because of their hydrodynamic nature.

We also notice the fact of no mixing of the scalar fluctuation with others in the massless quark gas $M = 0$. In the
case of $\mu = 0$, the vector fluctuation does not mix with others. Both of these originate from the symmetries. If we write down the GL effective potential, it must be invariant under $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$ and/or $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$, respectively, and therefore any linear mixing with other densities is impossible.

**APPENDIX C: CHIRAL QUARK MODEL**

The chiral quark model can be used to perform the same analysis as in the NJL model:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi q} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi_\alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi_\alpha^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (\phi_\alpha^2)^2 + h \sigma + gq[i\Phi - g(\sigma + i\gamma_5 \tau_a \pi_a)]q,$$

(C1)

where $\phi_0 = \sigma$, $\phi_\alpha = \pi_\alpha$, and $m^2 < 0$. The meson mode is introduced here as an elementary field with the kinetic term. Integrating out the quark field, we obtain the effective potential within the mean field approximation for $\sigma$ and $\pi$ as

$$\Omega_{\chi q}(T, \mu; \sigma)/V = -h \sigma + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \sigma^4 - \nu \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [E - T \ln(1 - n_+) - T \ln(1 - n_-)]$$

(C2)

with $E = \sqrt{M^2 + k^2}$ and $M = g\sigma$. This potential is almost the same as that of the NJL model (20), and this model is expected to have the same phase structure. The subtle point is that the divergent vacuum quark fluctuation in the integrand of Eq. (C2) requires a regularization and renormalization. Instead of the three momentum cutoff used in our NJL model calculation, here we adopt a simple prescription following (e.g.) Ref. [13]; we assume that the renormalization is already done in the vacuum and discard the vacuum polarization term. Then the parameters are chosen so as to reproduce the pion decay constant, the pion and sigma masses, and the constituent quark mass in the vacuum. We found the $Z_2$CP at $(T_c, \mu_c) = (117.7, 176.2)$ MeV.

Within the same level of the approximation, the scalar response function is calculated as

$$\chi_h = \frac{1}{-q^2 + m^2 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda \sigma^2 - g^2 \Pi_{mm}},$$

(C3)

where the polarization $\Pi_{mm}$ is defined in Eq. (26) with $\Gamma = 1$, but whose vacuum part is removed. The four–point interaction of the NJL model is replaced by the non–local one here. Other response functions

$$\chi_{ab} = \Pi_{ab} + \Pi_{mm} \frac{g^2}{-q^2 + m^2 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda \sigma^2 - g^2 \Pi_{mm}} \Pi_{mb}$$

(a, b = $\mu, \beta$)

(C4)

have the same structure as the NJL result (25) because we assume the same scalar–type interaction between quarks.

In the numerical calculation with this chiral quark model at the $Z_2$CP, we confirmed the spectral enhancement in the space–like momentum region, similar to Fig. 7, and found a pole responsible for this enhancement on the negative imaginary axis in the complex–$\omega$ plane, just as in the NJL model. The ratio $R$ defined in Eq. (30) also goes to unity as the $Z_2$CP approached. Therefore our conclusion on the importance of the hydrodynamic mode at the $Z_2$CP is unaltered here.

We should note, however, that the semi–positivity condition on the spectral function is violated in our numerical result in the time–like momentum region. This is because we replaced the term $1 - n_{\pm 1} - n_{\mp 2}$ in the expression of $\Pi_{mm}$ with $-n_{\pm 1} - n_{\mp 2}$ to remove the divergence (see Appendix B). This simple regularization breaks the detailed balance relation which is essential to assure thermal equilibrium. Hence the result of the spectrum in this chiral quark model should be interpreted with caution. The vacuum subtraction also results in the unexpected infra–red divergence of the quartic term, $-\gamma + 3g^4 \Gamma_0$, in the expansion of (C2) around $\sigma = 0$. Because of this difficulty, we could not find the TCP in this model with the regularization adopted here. In order to properly discuss the spectral structure in the chiral quark model we need the regularization scheme which satisfies the condition of thermal equilibrium [13,49].
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