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ABSTRACT

This study reports on the use of oral peer feedback to minimize the students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. It was a case at the twelfth grade in one of senior high schools in Tasikmalaya. Furthermore, this study was aimed to find out the extent to which the students make errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/, how the teacher minimizes the students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback and the teacher’s reasons of using oral peer feedback to minimize the errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. Furthermore, in conducting this study the writer used qualitative research in which case study. Based on the research findings, the writer concluded three major conclusions. First, the writer concluded that the majority of the students were able to pronounce the English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ correctly that have been proven by the results of students’ pronunciation. Second, the teacher taught the students about reading comprehension that contained the sounds of /p/, /f/ and /v/ with the use of oral peer feedback. In short, it could be seen that teacher’s ways in minimizing students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback was successfully completed by the teacher through the activities in classroom observation. In addition, teacher’s ways in
implementing oral peer feedback was relevant with the theory from Brookhart (2008, p. 70) about the procedure in implementing oral peer feedback. Finally, teacher’s reasons of using oral peer feedback to minimize the errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. The writer concluded that the teacher has the main reason for choosing oral peer feedback as one of the ways to minimize students’ error in pronouncing /p/, /f/ and /v/. The teacher argued that the use of oral peer feedback was one of the ways for minimizing students’ errors because the students were demanded to give corrections toward students' errors in pronouncing the words. The students were more felt comfort when they assessed by their friends. Therefore, they will enhance their skill by the corrections given by the other students in oral peer feedback. Moreover, the writer suggests that the further researchers to investigate the cause of students’ error of EFL learner specifically.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Good pronunciation is important for English learners. It is needed in making conversation and increasing students speaking ability. According to Gilakjani (2012, p. 104), “good pronunciation brings you closer to the native accent of English. A good pronunciation provides a speaker with confidence to communicate”. That means good pronunciation makes the learners able to try making communication by pronouncing understandable speech which has meaning and easy heard by the listener.

Therefore, bad pronunciation should be avoided because it can be difficult to understand, creates a different meaning and makes misunderstanding in conversation. For example wrong pronouncing of sentence “He prepared a file for the chairman's speech this morning”, then if the word “file” sound /fi/ pronounced /fai/ become /vai/ “vile” even she/ he makes wrong pronunciation just a sound but absolutely it can create different meaning.

In this research, the writer focuses on consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. She chose it based on the research done by Saputra(2011), “The Ability of Second Semeter Students of English Education Department In Pronouncing Consonant Sounds Focusing on /f/ And /v/ of STIKIP PGRI Banjarmasin Academy Year 2010/ 2011”. The second, Hassan (2014), “Pronunciation Problems: A Case Study of English Language Students at Sudan University of Science and Technology”. 
Third, Kim (2015), “A Case Study on Online Peer Feedback for Learning English Pronunciation at University Level”.

The similarity with previous studies were focused on pronunciation consonant sounds. Differences were the methodology used by previous study was quantitatif method that used by first study while the second and third used qualitatif methode (case study), research limitation was focused on consonant sounds /f/ and /v/ and all consonants, first and second study focuse to investigate pronunciation error with different methodology both quantitatif method and qualitatif methode (case study) while the third study focus on oral peer feedback for overcome the error.

Thereby, based on the above reasons, the writer was interested in conducting a research that focuses on pronunciation error and the oral peer feedback to minimize the students’ errors. The writer took the English teacher and twelfth grade student at one of senior high school in Tasikmalaya. Because the writer realizes they were studying English in this class, Senior High School which is the high level basic formal school commonly they have to understand English well then other basic level formal school. Generally they would face national examination English subject in the final semester so this is strategic class to learn the pronunciation well for help them to overcome the error by the certain way here related with to oral peer feedback.

Based on related previous studies the writer views still there a gap that has to be completed. In this case, their study just focuses on pronunciation problems focuse to two sounds /f/ and /v/ and the other one focuse on online peer feedback for learning English pronunciation. To learn further about that, the gap was completed by research entitled: The Use of Oral Peer Feedback to Minimize the Students’ Errors in Pronouncing English Consonant Sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. (A Case Study of the Twelfth Grade at One of Senior High Schools in Tasikmalaya).

Problems in Pronunciation

Many students have problems in learning English especially in spoken English language. There are many problems faced by students according to Harmer (2007, p. 250), they are as follows:
1) What students can hear

The influence of mother tongue impacted to several students have great difficulty hearing pronunciation features which we want them to reproduce. Frequently, speakers of different first languages have problems with different sounds. Because every language has different features and sometimes it is not familiar with our hearing and our knowledge.

2) What students can say

Learning a foreign language often presents us with the problem of physical unfamiliarity. Our memory recognize to say such as our expectation but the speech organ said different with what we want to convey because of our lack in pronounce some phoneme or some word.

3) The intonation problem

Some of us (and many of our students) find it extremely difficult to hear tunes or to identify the different patterns of rising and falling tones. Basic dialect of some learners still influence the performance in speaking English because English has rule related intonation used in pronouncing or speaking. Falling and rising intonation notice has implicit meaning.

The students consider that pronunciation is hard to do in pronouncing English sounds. The difficulty in pronouncing English sounds caused by mother tongue and their habit. Mother tongue is the most often they used in daily conversation and habitual activity so it has strong memory in their language knowledge by repetition action.

**Definition of Error**

Error is a digression from rule of a language. Brown (2007, p.217) states, “An error, a noticeable deviation from the adult grammar of native speaker, reflects the competence of the learner”. It means that error is an absolutely deviation from the rule of a language and it reflects the competitions of students.

According to Jabeen.et.al (2015, p. 53), “Errors are the result of incomplete learning and linguistic incompetency of the learners and errors can not be self-corrected”. Error is any deviation from a selected norm of language performance, no matter what the characteristics of causes of the deviation might be.
Therefore, error pronouncing in second or foreign language learners is regarded as showing faulty or incomplete learning. This phenomenon is actually normal, it is possible that every student ever make errors in pronunciation when they learn English.

Error is different from mistakes. According to Jabeen et.al (2015, p. 53),

“Mistakes are the results of poor performance of language due to many factors like fatigue and carelessness on the part of learners etc. Learners have the knowledge of the correct linguistic form and they can self-correct themselves on the basis of their linguistic knowledge. This is the basic difference between errors and mistakes”.

It means, an error is a noticeable deviation from the adult grammar or nature speaker, reflecting the interlanguage competence of the learner. If the student makes error and they do not correct itself how the right side that called error other hand if they can correct the wrongness it is called mistake.

Errors cannot be apart from sound production from the pronunciation. It caused the differences with the correct pronunciation when the students pronounce some words. Shan-ling (2012, p. 1124) states, “Students learn a language by making errors, recognizing them, and putting them right”. However, error is important tool in the process of learning and teaching. Knowing the students errors makes them to learn the right form and for the teacher it is possible to determine areas that need reinforcement in pronunciation teaching.

**Definition of Error Analysis**

Making error is a natural phenomenon in language learning acquisition, but errors need to be corrected. Saville & Troike (2006, p. 37) state "Error analysis is an applied linguistic approach used to identify the difficulties of the students in learning language”. That means the process of analyzing the students’ error is called error analysis. Studying about errors made by the students is important for the teachers because they will understand on their students’ language acquisition by knowing and analyzing the students’ errors.

Therefore according to Hasyim (2002, p. 42),

“error analysis which is defined as a technique for identifying, classifying, and systematically interpreting the unacceptable
form produced by someone learning a foreign language, using any of the principles and procedures provided by linguistics”.

It is very important for students and teachers in language learning. Error Analysis (EA) is the first approach to the study of SLA which includes an internal focus on learners’ creative ability to construct language.

Therefore, conducting error analysis is one of the best ways of describing and explaining errors made by students, because it will give many advantages to the process of language learning in the future. In conclusion, Error analysis is invaluable information. In this research, it provided the information on the students’ error in producing consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ then the research will understand the nature of errors and it became apparent what kind of remedial work is necessary for EFL /ESL students to avoid this error.

The Nature of Oral Peer Feedback

One of the challenges faced by English as a second or foreign language teacher is the pronunciation error, today is determining not just how to provide feedback on learner pronunciation, but when to actually do. Thus, according Hattie (2009, p. 174) feedback is:

“…information provided by an agent (e.g., teacher, peer, book, parent, or one’s own experience) about aspects of one’s performance or understanding. For example, a teacher or parent can provide corrective information, a peer can provide an alternative strategy, a book can provide information to clarify ideas, a parent can provide encouragement, and a learner can look up the answer to evaluate the correctness of a response. Feedback is a “consequence” of performance”.

Peer feedback the evaluation do by two students or more for correction the error made by their friends conveyed orally. Oral feedback used to minimize the errors in pronouncing consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. Peer feedback can be defined as “a communication process through which learner sent into dialogues. That means feedback is a strategy can be used to evaluate the performance did by the student, in oral related to performance and standards”. (Lui and Carless, 2006, p. 280). It means that oral peer feedback doing both or among students by making dialogues reflecting on and evaluating the performance of their peers, students
can develop objectivity in relation to standards which can be then transferred to their own work.

Berg, at. al (2006, p.135), states “…peer feedback was focused on evaluation…”. Giving more feedback in evaluation will help students accurately in their own use of language. By giving feedback, actually can reduce students’ errors. Consequently, students would be more confident in pronouncing English words.

METHOD

The purposes of the study were to find out how the teacher teaches oral peer-feedback to minimize the students’ error in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ in reading text aloud and the teacher minimize the students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback, and why does the teacher use oral peer feedback to minimize the errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. Based on the research questions and the purposes mentioned previously, this study applied a qualitative approach. Qualitative approach emphasizes on describing in-depth and detail of a particular activity, situation, field, behaviour of people and field (Fraenkel and Wallen, 2007, p. 422). The writer chose a class of twelfth students related to pronunciation errors because the reason as explained former.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the analysis of each student in pronouncing the English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/, the writer found that almost of the students have error in pronouncing those words, just the fourth students who complety correct in pronouncing those words. To emphasize, the writer presented the frequency of students’ error in pronouncing those word and its percentage from each words that could be seen in the following table.

| No | The sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ | Frequency of students’ corrections | Percentage |
|----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
|    |                             | Correct | Incorrect | Correct | Incorrect |
| 1  | Chapel                      | 4       | 2         | 5,50%   | 2,70%     |
| 2  | Parents                     | 4       | 2         | 5,50%   | 2,70%     |
| 3  | Popular                     | 5       | 1         | 6,90%   | 1,30%     |
Table 1.1 The frequency of students’ errors in pronouncing /p/, /f/ and /v/

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Finance | 4 | 2 | 5.50% | 2.70% |
| 5 | Farm    | 5 | 1 | 6.90% | 1.30% |
| 6 | Fans    | 5 | 1 | 6.90% | 1.30% |
| 7 | Seven   | 4 | 2 | 5.50% | 2.70% |
| 8 | Very    | 4 | 2 | 5.50% | 5.50% |
| 9 | February| 5 | 1 | 6.90% | 1.30% |
|10 | Moved   | 5 | 1 | 6.90% | 1.30% |
|11 | Comprehensive | 3 | 3 | 4.10% | 4.10% |
|12 | Divorced| 5 | 1 | 6.90% | 1.30% |
|   | Subtotal | 53 | 19 | 73% | 27% |
|   | Total    | 72 |     | 100% | 100% |

In short, based on the data from the tables in order to answer the research question on to what extent does the students make errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/, the writer concluded that the majority of the students were able to pronounce the English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ correctly that have been proven by the results of students’ pronunciation.

In this case, the writer found out that the teacher taught the students about reading comprehension that contained the sound of /p/, /f/ and /v/ with the use of oral peer feedback. In short, it could be seen that teacher’s ways in minimizing students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback was successfulely completed by the teacher through the activities in classroom observation. In addition, teacher's ways in implementing oral peer feedback was relevant with the theory from Brookhart (2008, p. 70) about the procedure in implementing oral peer feedback.

To sum up, the writer concluded that the teacher has the main reason for choosing oral peer feedback as one of the ways to minimize students error in pronouncing consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. The teacher argued that the use of oral peer feedback was one of the ways for minimizing students errors because the students were demanded to gave corrections toward students' errors in pronouncing the words. The students were more felt comfort when they assessed by their
friends, Therefore, they will enhance their skill by the corrections given by the other students in oral peer feedback.

To support the findings, the case of the use oral peer feedback to minimize the students error in pronouncing sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ have been widely discussed. The subsequent point to be discussed was the previous study. The study conducted by Hassan (2014) entitled, “Pronunciation Problems: A Case Study of English Language Students at Sudan University of Science and Technology”. The result showed Sudanese Spoken Arabic, had problems with the pronunciation of English vowels that have more than one way of pronunciation in addition to the consonant sound contrasts e.g. /z/ and /d/, /s/ and /θ/, /b/ and /p/, /ʃ/ and /tʃ/. Based on the findings, the study concluded that factors such as interference, the differences in the sound system in the two languages, inconsistency of English sounds and spelling militate against Sudanese Students of English (SSEs) competence in pronunciation.

CONCLUSIONS

Having discussed and analyzed the previous chapter, in this section the writer delivered the conclusions of the research. The first conclusion was based on the first research question on to what extent does the students make errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/, the writer concluded that the majority of the students were able to pronounce the English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ correctly that have been proven by the results of students’ pronunciation.

Furthermore, in responding the second research question on teacher’s ways in minimizing students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback, the writer concluded that the teacher taught the students about reading comprehension that contained the sound of p/, /f/ and /v/ with the use of oral peer feedback. In short, it could be seen that teacher’s ways in minimizing students’ errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/ by means of oral peer feedback was successfully completed by the teacher through the activities in classroom observation. In addition, teacher's ways in
implementing oral peer feedback was relevant with the theory from Brookhart (2008, p. 70) about the procedure in implementing oral peer feedback.

Finally, in responding the last research question on teacher’s reasons of using oral peer feedback to minimize the errors in pronouncing English consonant sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/, the writer concluded that the teacher has the main reason for choosing oral peer feedback as one of the ways to minimize students error in pronouncing sounds /p/, /f/ and /v/. The teacher argued that the use of oral peer feedback was one of the ways for minimizing students errors because the students were demanded to gave corrections toward students’ errors in pronouncing the words. The students were more felt comfort when they assessed by their friends. Therefore, they will enhance their skill by the corrections given by the other students in oral peer feedback.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J. (2006). Positioning place : polylogic approaches to research methodology. *Qualitative research journal, 10*, 5.

Berg, et al. (2006). Designing student peer assessment in higher education: analysis of written and oral peer feedback. Utrecht University, The Netherlands. Teaching in Higher Education *Vol. 11, No. 2*, April 2006, pp. 135/147.

Bernaus, M., Masgoret, A., Gardner, R., & Reyes, E. (2004). Motivation and attitudes towards learning language in multicultural classrooms. *International Journal of Multilingualism, 1*(2), 75-89.

Brookhart, S. (2008). *How to Give Your Students Effective Feedback*. Printed in the United States of America. Cover art copyright by ASCD.

Brown, H.D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching*. America. Fifth Edition. Pearson Education.Inc..

Chin, Grace, Paul.(2009). *An Investigation into Effectiveness of Peer Feedback*. China. *Journal of Applied Foreign Languages Fortune Institute of Technology, June, 2009 Volume 3*.

Cohen L., et al. (2007). *Research Methods in Education*. published in the USA and Canada.
Cresswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research 4th Edition*. United States of America: Pearson.

Crystal, D. (2003). A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics. Oxford: Blackwell.

Derwing, T. M., & Munro, M. J. (1997). Accent, intelligibility and comprehensibility: Evidence from four L1s. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 19*, 1-16.

Emilia, E. (2011). *Pendekatan Genre-Based dalam pengajaran bahasa Inggris: Petunjuk untuk guru*. Bandung: Rizqi Press.

Etikan, I. (2016). Comparison of Convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American journal of theoretical and applied statistics, 5 (1)*: 1-4

Fachun, Z. & Yin, P(2009). A Study of Pronunciation Problems of English Learners in China. *Asian Social Science. 5/6*. Page 141-14.

Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E., Hyun, H.H. (2009). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education 8th Edition*. New York: The McGraw Hill Company.

Gilakjani, P & Ahmadi. (2012). *The Significance of Pronunciation in English Language Teaching*. Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad Universify, Lahijan, Iran. Vol. 5, No. 4; April 2012.

Grabe, W & Kaplan, R.B. (1996). *Theory and Practice of Writing An Applied Linguistics Perspective*. Longman, New York.

Harmer, J. (2007). *How to Teach English*. Pearson Education Limited: England.

Hassan, I. (2014). *Pronunciation Problems: A Case Study of English Language Students at Sudan University of Science and Technology*. Sudan. English Language and Literature Studies; Vol. 4, No. 4; 2014 ISSN 1925-4768 E-ISSN 1925-4776 Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education.

Hasyim, S. (2002). *Error Analysis in the Teaching of English*. EFL Journal, *Volume 4, No. 1*, June 2002: 42 – 50. Indonesia.

Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Florence, US: Routledge.

Hatano, M. A. (1988). The effects of reading aloud in promoting literacy and enhancing desire to read. Unpublished master’s thesis, Kean College, New Jersey. *(ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED367970)*
Ilker, S. Musa, iAlkassim.(2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics. Vol. 5, No. 1, 2016, pp. 1-4. doi: 10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11.*

Irons, A. (2007).Enhancing Learning through Formative Assessment and Feedback. London : Routledge.

Jabeen, A, Bahram, Mustafai, &Shahbaz.(2015). The Role of Error Analysis in Teaching and Learning of Second and Foreign Language. *Education and Linguistics Research ISSN 2377-1356 2015, Vol. 1, No. 2*. Iran.http://elr.macrothink.org.

Johansson, R. (2003). Case Study methodology. *Nordic journal of architectural research, 13*, no. 2 13-19

Kelly, G. (2000). *How to Teach Pronounciation :second impression*. Bluestone Press.Charlbury, oxfordhire, UK.

Kenworthy, J. (1988). *Teaching English Pronunciation*. Published in the United State America : Longman Inc.

Kim, S. M. & Lee, C. H. (2015).A case study on online peer feedback for learning English pronunciation at university level. *Multimedia-Assisted Language Learning, 18*(4),42–69. Retrieved from http://journal.kamall.or.kr/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/

Kreidler, C. (2004). *The Pronunciation of English*. Second edition. United Kingdom. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Lenneberg, E. H. (1967). *The geological foundations of language*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Lin, W. (2009). Exploring students’ perception of integrating Wiki technology and peer feedback into English writing courses. *English teaching practice and critique. July, 2009, Vol. 1 number 2*

Liu, N-F. & Carless, D. (2006) Peer feedback: the learning element of peer assessment. *Teaching in Higher Education, 11*(3), pp.279-290

Marinova-Todd, S. H., Marshall, D. B., & Snow, C. E. (2000). Three misconceptions about age and L2 learning. *TESOL Quarterly, 34*(1), 9-34.

Masgoret, A., & Gardner, R. (2003). Attitudes, motivation, and second language learning: *A meta-analysis of studies conducted by Gardner and associates. Language Learning, 53*(Suppl. 2), 167-210.
Mathew, I. (2005). Errors in Pronunciation of Consonants By Learners of English as A Foreign Language Whose First Languages are Indonesian, Gayo And Acehnese. *Monash University Linguistics Papers Volume Three*, Number Two.

Mendonça, C and K.E. Johnson. (1994). Peer review negotiations : review activities in ESL writing instruction. *TESOL Quarterly, 28/4*:745-69

Na'ama, A. (2011). An Analysis of Errors Made By Yemeni University Students in The English Consonant- Clusters System. *Damascus University Journal, Vol.27 No.3+4.*

Odgen, R. (2009). *An introduction to English Phonetics*. Edinburgh : Edinburgh University Press.

Roach, P. (2009). *English Phonetics and Phonology: A Practical Course 4th Edition*. United Kingdom, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rodicio, F. (2014). Aloud reading and silent reading. Which form of reading in the classroom results in better comprehension?. *Proceedings of INTED2014 Conference 10th-12th March 2014*, Valencia, Spain

Shan-ling, L. (2012). The Application of Error Analysis in College English Teaching. China. *Sino-US English Teaching, ISSN 1539-8072 May 2012, Vol. 9, No. 5, 1124-1131.* University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai,

Saputra, I. (2011). *The Ability Of Second Semester Students Of English Education Department In Pronouncing Consonant Sounds Focusing On /F/ And /V/ Of STIKIP PGRI Banjarmasin Academy Year 2010/2011.*

Saville, Muriel – Troike. (2006). *Introducing Second Language Acquisition*. New York. Published in the United States of America: Cambridge University Press.

Schaetzel, K & Low, E. L. (2009). *Teaching Pronunciation to Adult English Language Learners*. Center For Applied Linguistics. Washington, DC 20016-1859.

Widodo, H. (2013). *Doing Qualitative Research: A Step-by-Step Guide for Undergraduate Students Discipline of Linguistics*. University of Adelaide.

Wiserman, A. (2011). Interactive read alouds: Teachers and students constructing knowledge and literacy together. *Reading Teacher, 38*(6), 431-438.