Studying potential PKCδ loss of function mutation and its downstream effects in gastric cancer progression
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Abstract. PKC isoforms are involved in the modulation of cellular pathways related to tumor progression, acting as a suppressor or promoter. In cancer cells, PKCs are mutated, and most common type is loss of function. This paper focuses on the effect of PKCδ mutation in gastric cancer. LOF mutation occurs throughout catalytic and kinase domains of PKCδ, disrupting activation and function of kinase. In catalytic domain, there are various potential mutation targets, such as binding groove and zinc finger. Mutation residues detected in the kinase domain, such as DFG and APE motifs, can alter catalytic function, causing interruption of activation. Also, a critical region, called hinge region, modulates caspase-3 dependent cleavage, and such tyrosine mutation in this region reduces cleavage activity, inhibiting fully activation of kinase. Importantly, LOF mutation affects cellular activity of downstream protein, p53, through inhibiting transcription, localization, and phosphorylation. For instance, C1 domain mutant suppresses binding capacity with p53, reducing transcription of p53. Disruption of cellular component, tight junction, assembling related to PKC mutation. As identified, PKCδ correlates with ZO-1, and LOF mutation prevent translocation of ZO-1 to TJ area, leading to errors in TJ assembling, promoting tumor invasion.

1 Introduction

Protein Kinase C delta (PKCδ) is important in suppressing gastric cancer and a treatment target of several anti-cancer drugs. But in gastric cancer cells, PKCδ is commonly loss of function (LOF) mutated [1]. The mutation may cause the failure of treatments and understanding the effects of mutation on pathways related to cancers will provide more information for studying new treatment strategies. Also, the effect of PKCδ mutation on regulatory pathways or proteins involved in stomach cancer is rarely known. So this report will investigate potential mutation residues of PKCδ, and how LOF mutation affects pathways and association with other proteins related to gastric cancer.

PKC is a kinases family that functions through the phosphorylation of serine and threonine residues and identified as the molecule which provides signal and links multiple processes to diseases, such as cardiovascular dysfunctions and cancer [2]. PKC family proteins are divided into three groups, including conventional (cPKCα, β and γ), novel (nPKCδ, ε, η and θ) and atypical (aPKC ζ,ι and λ) [3]. All PKC proteins, basically, have regulatory, kinase domain, and a hinge region, and can be activated by diacylglycerol (DAG) (Figure 1). However, PKC isoforms have different characteristics based on the structure and activation mechanisms. The characterize of conventional class differing from others is that the C2 domain cannot bind to Ca²⁺ and no functional groups that mediate the binding of Ca²⁺. The newly identified and the least understood type is aPKC, which only has a C1 domain and does not interact with phorbol ester [4]. Phorbol ester, a tumor promoter, can activate PKC family, except aPKC, and is not easily metabolized.

Figure 1. PKC family proteins have regulatory and catalytic domain [5].

In cancer cells, PKC family is involved in multiple mechanisms, including apoptosis, proliferation, and survival, importantly, affecting proteins associated with cancer progression and metastasis. Gastric cancer remains a high incidence and motility rate around the world, and in carcinoma and adenocarcinoma cells, PKCs are often dysregulated and mutated, additionally, mutation of nPKC is most commonly detected [1].

PKCδ is considered as a tumor suppressor because of its essential role in the pro-apoptotic pathway and inhibiting proliferation [6]. In certain cancer types, δ type, except that the C2 domain cannot bind to Ca²⁺ and no functional groups that mediate the binding of Ca²⁺.
isoyme certainly suppress tumor growth and proliferation. For instance, in epidermoid carcinomas, the activation of PKCδ down-regulates tumorigenicity by inducing apoptosis, and overexpression of PKCδ suppress tumor phenotypes of colonic cancer [7], indicating the role of PKCδ in suppressing cancer progression. However, PKCδ has also been shown the function of promoting survival of some cancer types, such as lung cancer. Clark et al. proved that instead of wild type PKCδ, PKCδ-dead mutation enhanced apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells, indicating that PKCδ was related to survival of NSCLC cells [8]. Taken together, PKCδ can act as tumor promoter to up-regulate survival of cancer cells.

For gastric cancer, PKCδ is supposed to be the tumor suppressor. As, firstly, stomach cancer cells with up-regulated PKCδ have limited survival rate [9]. Also, PKCδ is involved in pathways induced by other tumor suppressor proteins, such as p53, and exhibits an essential regulatory role. The function of p53 highly depends on phosphorylation of certain residues, which is mediated by PKCδ. For instance, PKCδ induces the phosphorylation of p53 on Serine 46, promoting cell death [10]. Overall, considering the effect of PKCδ on proteins associated with cancer, δ isozyme is a tumor suppressor for gastric cancer.

Mutation is often occurred in cancers, causing disruption of normal cellular functions. Now, over 1000 mutations found in all PKC isoyme are reported and occur throughout various domains. Also, various PKC isoymes has higher mutation rate in certain cancer types, such as PKCδ is commonly mutated in stomach cancer [1]. Newton revealed that most common mutation type for PKC in cancer cells was loss of function (LOF) [6]. Based on data from cBioPortal, all already documented mutations of PKCδ detected in stomach cancer may cause functionally lost in cancer cells [11].

2 Potential mutation sites of PKCδ in gastric cancer

2.1 Regulatory domain

Similar to other PKC proteins except atypical type, regulatory region of PKCδ is composed of C1 and C2-like domain. C1 region has C1A and C1B components. In the C1B domain, there is a binding groove formed near two beta sheets. PMA will bind to this groove, and if no PMA binding, the hydrogen bond bridge will be generated between strands [12]. Also, C1B has two zinc fingers, controlling the affinity for substrate binding and the structure of protein [13]. PKCδ has a C2-like domain, as it does not have the calcium binding site, indicating that in the activation mechanism, PKCδ does not require the calcium (Figure 1) [5].

In cancer cells, for PKCδ, main mutation type is loss of function, especially in gastric cancer cells [1]. The function of C1 domain is phosphorylation or binding to the substrate which could active the protein, so the mutation should be occurred in residues which can regulate the action of the protein. Although fewer research examines mutation sites of PKCδ in gastric cancer, other classical or novel PKC proteins could be used to estimate the mutated sites as they share a similar structure and function for the C1 domain.

A research identified the structural alteration in PKCα, which shares the similar structure of C1 domain with PKCδ. The mutation residues are Trp 58 and His 75 located on the binding groove and zinc finger, disrupting the DAG binding and the phosphorylation of protein [1]. Although PKCδ does not have same residues at position 58 and 75 with alpha isozyme, mutation sites are supposed to be around the binding groove and zinc fingers.

Furthermore, tyrosine residues in the regulatory domain can regulate the nuclear translocation of PKCδ. Nuclear accumulation is essential for the apoptosis induced by PKCδ. In non-apoptotic cells, the kinase predominantly sits in the cytoplasm, and after the induction of apoptosis, PKCδ is translocated to nucleus. The finding proves that the phosphorylation of Y-64 and -155 is critical for translocation. Humphries et al. generated PKCδY64F and PKCδY155F mutants, and in cells with these mutants, PKCδ could not be detected in nucleus, indicating that both residues regulated translocation property of the protein [14].

2.2 Hinge region

PKC family has the hinge region, which links regulatory domain and catalytic domain, and most types, except atypical λ and ι, contain the caspase cleavage site (Figure 1) [5]. PKCδ is essential in regulating cell apoptosis under various stimuli, and an important mechanism for the kinase is the cleavage induced by caspase 3 [15]. The cleavage will release a catalytic fragment (PKCδCF), which plays an important role in activation and nuclear translocation. PKCδ has an autoinhibitory mechanism regulated by C2 domain, but caspase cleavage can separate PKCδCF from regulatory domain and kinase δ can escape from autoinhibition to get fully activated [16].

Caspase 3 cleaves the hinge region at D324IPD327 between Y-311 and 332 residues [15]. The phosphorylation at Y-332 has been proved the role in facilitating caspase dependent cleavage of PKCδ [17]. Also, the lower cleavage activity is detectable in PKCδ Y-332 mutant [15]. Importantly, Y-332 mutation also affects the sensitivity of tumors to cancer therapies. Lu et al utilized the mutation of Y-332 to phenylalanine (F) to test the alteration of cleavage property, founding that in cells which were treated by cisplatin, the Y332F mutant decreased the level of caspase-dependent cleavage and apoptotic effect of cisplatin treatment [15]. Hence, in gastric cancer, mutation occurred in tyrosine site inhibits not only the cleavage of PKCδ, but also the effect of tumor treatment.

2.3 Kinase domain

The catalysis is essential for PKC activation and function, and in cancer cells, another possible mutation occurs on
the kinase domain, which could disrupt the catalytic function. In the activation mechanism of PKCδ, the interaction between regulatory and catalytic moiety is important. For instance, phosphorylation of tyrosine 313 located in C2 domain indirectly alters PKC enzyme activity by inhibiting phosphorylating Ser359 in the ATP positioning loop of kinase domain [18].

To get activated, the phosphorylation of the activation loop located on the COOH-terminal of the kinase core is essential (Figure 2A). All PKCs have two conserved regions termed DFG (D-Aspartic acid, F-Phenylalanine, G-Glycine) (PKC ζ and ι are DYG) and APE (A-Alanine, P-Proline, E-Glutamic acid) motif on the activation loop, which could regulate the kinase catalytic activity. The activation loop starts from the DFG motif and end up with the APE motif, and within the loop, the phosphorylation site is a threonine residue (Figure 2B) [19, 20].

DFG motif also called magnesium positioning loop locates on the N-terminal of the activation loop, and various confirmation of this motif could control the kinase activity [20]. ‘DFG-in’ mode is an active structure, and in this mode, the phenylalanine (Phe) of DFG will sit in a hydrophobic pocket and aspartic acid (Asp) can bind the Mg$^{2+}$ that interacts with ATP. ‘DFG-out’ represents an inactive form. Phe will occupy the ATP binding pocket rather the hydrophobic pocket, and Asp moves out of the active site and cannot interact with Mg$^{2+}$, blocking ATP binding and protein catalysis [20]. APE motif is also important for the protein catalytic activity. Because in the active structure, activation loop is required to remain a stable connection with the F-helix, and APE motif is responsible for connecting the loop to the helix [21]. In gastric cancer, DFG and APE motif may be mutation sites which can lead to LOF. As Newton identified that both motifs could be mutated to disrupt the protein catalysis, causing a LOF mutation in the kinase domain [22].

Furthermore, PKCδ can still exhibit the function without the phosphorylation of threonine on the activation loop. As shown in Figure 2B, only PKCδ contains two Phe (Phe 498 and Phe 525) within and after the activation loop. Liu et al. explained that these Phe residues could keep PKCδ function even under the dephosphorylation of activation loop, and mutation of either Phe decreased the function dramatically [21].

Except these potential residues, some mutation sites have been identified in gastric cancer cells. As list in Table 1, the current clinical database includes various mutation sites located in the catalytic domain of PKCδ in stomach cancer cells. The frequency of mutation was quite low, and only few samples were detected mutations. But mutated samples exhibited lower expression level (Figure 3), hence, these mutation sites could inhibit the level of PKCδ in gastric cancer cells [11].

Overall, LOF mutation is detectable in all domains of PKCδ (Table 2). Gastric cancer cause functional loss of PKCδ commonly through similar ways in various domains, inhibiting binding of activation substrates and preventing phosphorylation of specific residues, indirectly affecting activation and catalysis of kinase.
Table 2. All potential mutation sites of PKCδ.

| Mutation sites                      |
|-------------------------------------|
| Regulatory domain - C1              |
| Binding groove and zinc finger      |
| Tyrosine 64                         |
| Tyrosine 155                        |
| Hinge region                        |
| Tyrosine 332                        |
| Caspase-3 cleavage site             |
| Kinase domain                       |
| DFG motif                           |
| APE motif                           |
| Phenylalanine 498                   |
| Phenylalanine 525                   |

3 The effect of PKCδ LOF mutation on the p53

PKCδ is involved in various cellular pathways, such as survival, migration and apoptosis. For cancers, the more important function of PKCδ is regulating the apoptosis, which mainly relies on the downstream protein, p53.

PKCδ is mutated in many cases of gastric cancer, and most cases are LOF mutation. Although PKCδ has been identified as the tumor suppressor, various researches and clinical data clarifies that the mutation of PKCδ is not the main cause of tumors.

Antal et al. [1] revealed that in the tumor progression, PKC family mutation were the co-factor of other major proteins, such as p53. Perletti et al examined that cancer samples with overexpressed PKCδ but downregulated p53 did not show a decrease of tumorigenicity. However, under the normal or higher level of p53, overexpression of PKCδ induced significant phenotype changes for samples, indicating that PKCδ could alter tumor progression but requiring the existence of p53 [23]. Furthermore, from the clinical data, the mutation of PKCδ will not decrease the survival rate of gastric cancer. As shown in Table 3A, the death rate for wild PKCδ sample is around 78% percent, lower than the mutation group, indicating that mutation does not promote the progression of cancer. In comparison, p53 mutation decrease the survival rate by around 9 per cent (Table 3B). Hence, based on the current research and data, PKCδ is the co-drive of p53 in stomach cancer.

Table 3. Survival rate for PKCδ and p53 comparing wild type with mutation sample. All data were collected from cBioPortal [11]. (A) Survival condition of PKCδ mutation was around 80 per cent and wild type was around 78 per cent. (B) Survival condition of p53 mutation was 72 per cent, and the wild type was 81 per cent.

| A (PKCδ) | Mutated | Wild type | Not profiled |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 0: Alive or Dead tumor free | 77 | 7598 | 248 |
| 1: Dead with tumor | 19 | 2177 | 261 |
| Survival rate | 80% | 78% |

| B (p53) | Mutated | Wild type | Not profiled |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 0: Alive or Dead tumor free | 2621 | 5054 | 248 |
| 1: Dead with tumor | 1007 | 1189 | 261 |
| Survival rate | 72% | 81% |

3.1 Inhibiting transcription of p53

The evidence indicates that tumor promoting substance, such as phorbol ester, does not directly down regulate p53. Instead, they will inhibit PKCδ to affect the expression of p53. Notably, down-regulation of p53 is caused by preventing the transcription rather than increasing degradation [24]. Bcl-2-associated transcription factor 1 (Btf) is the transcription factor involved in the p53-dependent apoptosis, and the evidence show that Btf also correlates with PKCδ [25]. In the normal transcription process of p53, upon DNA damage, PKCδ will form a complex with Btf, following by the complex co-occupy the core promoter element of p53 (p53CPE) to induce p53 gene transcription (Figure 4) [25].

Moreover, the interaction between PKCδ and Btf is related to the activity of PKCδ. Hanshao et al. identified that blocking the activity of PKCδ decreased the binding affinity of Btf and inhibited interaction with p53CPE [25]. The activity of PKCδ is highly related to the phosphorylation of various sites, and as known, phosphorylation can change the protein folding and structure. So, the phosphorylation of specific sites can alter the structure of PKCδ, creating the specific structure for the binding of Btf and p53CPE. Also, Btf is the Bcl-2 family protein, and this family will bind to the C1 domain of PKC [26]. As described before, LOF mutation in C1 domain, such as sites around binding groove and zinc finger, inhibits the binding of substrates and the phosphorylation of proteins. Therefore, PKCδ C1 LOF mutants affect the phosphorylation process, causing no suitable structure for binding to Btf and p53, inhibiting the transcription of p53.

Figure 4. Transcription process of p53 mediated by Btf and PKCδ [25].

3.2 Affecting the nuclear accumulation of p53

In cancer cells, in response to various stress, p53 need to translocate into nucleus to induce apoptosis. For the p53 nucleus accumulation, PKCδ is a crucial element that can mediate this process. Abbas et al. identified that the suppression of p53 translocating to nucleus were not caused by the change of p53 shuttling properties. In contrast, in cells which deleted PKCδ or were treated by
rottlerin which was a PKCδ inhibitor, p53 level in nucleus exhibited the detectable reduction, which could prove that PKCδ is essential for the relocation process of p53 [24]. So, there is a probability that PKCδ LOF mutation will affect the accumulation of p53. The reduction of nuclear p53 may due to degradation of p53 mediated by Mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2) as PKCδ is critical in inhibiting degradation.

In normal cells, p53 is unstable and remains a low level because of the degradation pathway regulated by MDM2 in both cytoplasm and nucleus (Figure 5) [27]. But under various cellular stress, p53 can be phosphorylated at Ser15 to against the binding of MDM2, stopping the degradation and increasing nucleus accumulation [27]. Ser15 phosphorylation is associated with PKCδ but depends on the activation of kinase. Lee et al. utilized sodium nitroprusside (SNP) to activate PKCδ, causing phosphorylating Ser15 of p53, inhibiting the MDM2-dependent protein degradation and increasing p53 stability. However, after adding PKCδ inhibitor, the phosphorylation was decreased [28]. PKCδ LOF mutation can occur in both regulatory and catalytic domain, and all these mutations can inhibit the activation and function of PKCδ. Hence, based on the current findings, it is conceivable that PKCδ LOF mutants cannot induce Ser15 phosphorylation, leading to increased MDM2-dependent degradation.

In normal cells, p53 is unstable and remains a low level because of the degradation pathway regulated by MDM2 in both cytoplasm and nucleus (Figure 5) [27]. But under various cellular stress, p53 can be phosphorylated at Ser15 to against the binding of MDM2, stopping the degradation and increasing nucleus accumulation [27]. Ser15 phosphorylation is associated with PKCδ but depends on the activation of kinase. Lee et al. utilized sodium nitroprusside (SNP) to activate PKCδ, causing phosphorylating Ser15 of p53, inhibiting the MDM2-dependent protein degradation and increasing p53 stability. However, after adding PKCδ inhibitor, the phosphorylation was decreased [28]. PKCδ LOF mutation can occur in both regulatory and catalytic domain, and all these mutations can inhibit the activation and function of PKCδ. Hence, based on the current findings, it is conceivable that PKCδ LOF mutants cannot induce Ser15 phosphorylation, leading to increased MDM2-dependent degradation.

3.3 Reducing the apoptotic effect by inhibiting the Ser46 phosphorylation of p53

The phosphorylation of Ser46 is a primary and critical determinant for the apoptotic effect of p53 and can induce the activation of downstream proapoptotic gene of p53, such as actin-interacting protein 1 (AIP1) [29]. p53-dependent induced nuclear protein 1 (p53DINP1) is the key element involved in the apoptotic pathway induced by p53 and responsible for the recruitment of p53 kinase, such as PKCδ, to phosphorylate Ser46 [30]. Furthermore, Ser46 phosphorylation required the cleavage of PKCδ. In response to the stress, PKCδ will be cleaved by caspase and release PKCδCF. The analysis of interaction between p53 and PKCδ reveals that p53 binds to PKCδCF rather than regulatory fragment [10]. However, PKCδ mutation may affect caspase-dependent cleavage process. The phosphorylation of tyrosine residue, Y-332, located in the hinge region is responsible for regulating the cleavage of PKCδ. The mutation of Y-332 reduces the cleavage activity, inhibiting the release of PKCδCF, leading to the reduction of apoptosis induced by p53.

After induction of cellular stresses, activated PKCδ go into nucleus and interact with p53. Also, caspase 3 is translocated to nucleus, indicating that the accumulation happens before cleavage [31]. Hence, nuclear accumulation of PKCδ is critical for the cleavage and interaction with p53. As mentioned, Y-64 and -155 residues in regulatory domain have been identified their role in regulating translocation of PKCδ, and their mutation can inhibit the nuclear accumulation [14]. Hence, LOF mutation has probability to affect the apoptotic function of p53 by inhibiting nuclear localization of PKCδ.

In sum, PKCδ affects function of p53 through various pathways. All possible effects and mechanisms are listed in Table 4, and related mutated residues are in throughout domains of PKCδ. However, association sites of PKCδ with some proteins, such as Btf, have not been identified. So, it is essential to conduct structural analysis to investigate interaction residues.

| Effect on p53 | Domain of PKCδ | Related mutation sites | Mechanism of mutants affect p53 |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Inhibiting transcription of p53 | C1 | Binding groove and zinc finger | Reducing binding affinity of Btf |
| Affecting the nuclear accumulation of p53 | Regulatory domain | Residues that inhibit activation of PKCδ | Increasing MDM2 mediated degradation of nuclear and cytoplasmic p53 |
| Reducing the apoptotic effect of p53 | Hinge region | Caspase-3 cleavage site or Tyrosine 332 | Inhibiting caspase 3 induced cleavage, reducing phosphorylation of p53 on Ser 46 |
| | Regulatory domain | Tyrosine 64 or 155 | Inhibiting nuclear accumulation of PKCδ, reducing cleavage |

4 Disruption of tight junction formation by PKCδ LOF mutation

Tight junctions (TJs) are important intracellular
keratin filaments 

complexes that build a selective barrier between cells [32]. TJIs are composed of various proteins, including transmembrane proteins (occludin (OCLN), claudin family (CLDN)), junctional adhesion molecules (JAM)), plaque proteins (zonula occludens (ZO)-1,2,3) and other regulatory proteins [33]. In cancers, the progression of metastasis requires to interrupt adhesion capacity between cells, providing conditions for cancer cells to dissociate from the original tumor site and invade surrounding tissues [34]. Therefore, TJs are the first step that tumor cells need to disrupt to metastasis.

Within TJ proteins, PKCδ has been identified the role of regulation on ZO-1 [35], which are correlated with the progression with gastric cancer [36].

ZO proteins (ZO-1, -2 and -3), an important protein family in TJs, regulates the correct assembly of the barrier and responsible for connecting other TJ proteins. To form cell barriers, transmembrane proteins are necessary components, but they only accumulate in membrane and cannot form strands [37, 38]. Structural analysis reveals that ZO proteins have a N-terminal fragment, containing three 3 PSD-95/discs-large/Zonula occludens-1 (PDZ) domains and ZO unique motifs (U5, U6 and GUK), which can interact with known TJ transmembrane proteins, such as PDZ domains bind CLDN and JAM, and unique motifs interact with OCLN, indicating that ZO protein links other proteins in TJs (Figure 6) [38, 39]. Umeda et al. identified that ZO-1 deficient cells could not detect assembled TJs. However, after introducing exogenous ZO-1, claudins were polymerized into strands and TJs formation was recovered [37]. Hence, ZO-1 is important in assembling TJ proteins to form the barrier.

Within cells, ZO proteins are dynamic and translocate between the TJs and the cytoplasmic pool. PKCδ is identified to promote membrane assembly of ZO-1. Cario et al. revealed that in intestinal epithelia cells, the activation of PKCδ was related to increased barrier function through localization of ZO-1. Transepithelial resistance (TER) was the measurement of function and permeability of TJs. In PKCδ activation cells, comparing with inactivation cells or treated with PKC inhibitor, a higher TER was detected, accompanied by ZO-1 translocated to further TJs areas to form more solid lateral contacts between cells [40]. Hence, PKCδ can regulate redistribution of ZO-1 and the process strongly depends on kinase activation. The mechanism of how PKCδ regulate ZO-1 is unknown, but based on current research, PKCδ may interact with multiple domain of ZO-1 to regulate translocation. ZO-1 contains a conserved region (Figure 6), SH3-GUK, which has various functions, such as contacting with transcription factors and TJ proteins [39]. Fanning et al. proved that U5 and GUK were critical in locating ZO-1 to TJs, but U6 inhibited the translocation process [41]. Hence, it is inferable that PKCδ may interact with U5 and GUK but inhibit U6 function to promote translocation of ZO-1.

In gastric cancer, the common mutation type for PKCδ is LOF. If mutation occurs on specific residues in various domains, such as C1 domain, PKCδ cannot be activated. For instance, mutations around binding groove and zinc finger located in C1 domain prevent the binding of DAG, inhibiting activation of PKCδ. The translocation of ZO-1 is dependent on activated PKCδ, so inactivated state cannot relocate ZO-1 to TJs, resulting in the disruption of the formation of TJs and tumor cells can invade surrounding cells. Also, lack of ZO-1 in TJs increase a nuclear compound which can regulate proliferation, named ZO-1-associated nucleic acid-binding protein (ZONAB) or DNA-binding Protein A (DbpA), leading to tumor becomes more aggressive.

Figure 6. Structure of ZO proteins [39]. ZO proteins share similar N-terminal domain, including PDZ, SH3, unique (U5,GUK, U6) motif. First and third PDZ motifs bind to claudins and JAM, and the second PDZ link ZO proteins together. All ZO proteins contain a conserved region, SH3-U6. SH3 domain is responsible for the binding to transcription factors, such as ZONAB. GUK together with U5 regulate the binding of occluding and direct ZO to TJs. U6 reduce binding affinity of occluding and inhibit translocation process.

5 Discussion

In gastric cancer, although PKC δ isoform is a suppressor and involved in various pathways, such as mediate apoptotic pathway and inhibiting abnormal proliferation and metastasis, increased cancer progression is not mainly due to mutation of PKCδ alone, instead of, the effect of the mutation on downstream protein, p53, or cellular components, tight junction. Therefore, the function of PKCδ in cancer cells highly relies on downstream proteins. PKCδ, except tumor suppressor, can also enhance growth of tumor in some cancer types through inducing expression or activation of downstream proteins correlated with tumorigenicity. Cell type- and downstream protein- dependent regulator

PKCδ has emerged as a novel regulator of progression of pancreatic cancer, but the regulation is promoting tumor rather than suppressing. In ductal pancreatic carcinoma cells, PKCδ is overexpressed comparing with normal tissues. Also, PKCδ induces the expression of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), a molecule regulating cancer progression, and extracellular receptor kinase (ERK), an essential compound in the mitogenic pathway. The overexpression of PKCδ together with PI3K and ERK significantly increases growth of cancer cells in an anchorage-independent manner, which is a hallmark of carcinoma [42]. In pancreatic cancer, an important tumor promoting protein, Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), which regulate invasion and survival or death of cancer cells, also requires PKCδ. From the research,
PKCδ phosphorylates STAT3 at Tyrosine 705, enhancing activation of STAT3, leading to survival and invasion of tumor cells [43]. Hence, PKCδ exhibits a complex role in tumor progression based on cell types and interaction with downstream proteins. Importantly, therapies targeting PKCδ is varied using in different cancers.

Although there are various inhibitors, such as rottlerin and PKC-412, designed for PKC, current approved inhibitors target different PKC proteins and not specific for PKCδ (Table 5). Treatment of gastric cancer using these inhibitors is effective, but they may act in a PKCδ-independent manner. For instance, Song et al. proved that rottlerin promoted apoptosis of stomach cancer cell lines. However, tumor inhibition by rottlerin may be not through suppressing PKCδ activity [44]. Hence, if specifically taking PKCδ as a gastric cancer therapy target, δ activators are appropriate. As the role of PKC in gastric cell is a suppressor, which engages in apoptotic pathway induced by p53 and assemble of tight junctions. Ingenol, a PKCδ activator, is emerged as an antitumor agent and utilized in skin cancer (91). However, it is not known whether ingenol is also useful in gastric cancer. Also, LOF mutation may cause failure in activator treatment.

Table 5. Information of various inhibitors targeting PKC family.

| Name      | Chemical structure | Targets (PKC) | IC50       |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| Rottlerin [45] | ![Chemical Structure](image1) | δ, α, β, γ, ε, η | 3-6 µM, 30-42 µM, 80-100 µM |
| PKC-412 [46] | ![Chemical Structure](image2) | δ, α, β, γ, ε, η | 12 – 15nM |
| Gö 6850 [47] | ![Chemical Structure](image3) | α, β1, δ, ε, ζ | 8.4 nM, 18 nM, 210 nM, 132 nM, 5.8 nM |

Moreover, chemotherapy agents used for tumors not only inhibit PKCδ but also engage in other pathways induced by other PKC proteins. For instance, resveratrol is a chemotherapy agent due to its properties in inhibiting tumor growth. In stomach cancer cells, resveratrol promotes apoptotic pathway involved p53 and inhibits PKCδ level, but meantime, PKCa will be increased [48]. Also, resveratrol only affects membrane associated PKCδ level, not inhibits cytosolic protein pool, which may not affect the regulation of p53 by PKCδ as p53 requires cytosolic and nuclear PKCδ [48]. Hence, inhibitors treat gastric cancer may through regulating other PKC proteins.

Considering mechanisms of PKC inhibitors or chemotherapy agents, there is a potential treatment strategy for gastric cancer involving PKCδ, combining tumor-suppressor gene therapy with chemotherapy medication. Tumor-suppressor gene therapy is functioning through delivering therapeutic genes to targeted cells to prevent growth of tumor cells. For instance, delivering p53, a well-known tumor suppressor, to cancer cells to restore mutated or functionally inactivated p53 [49]. Clinical studies reveal that p53 gene therapy is well tolerated and no significant side effects on patients. So PKCδ gene therapy has the possible to restore LOF mutation and inhibits gastric cancer. But in gene therapy, a problem is that some cancer cells can resume growing due to instable genes [49]. Chemotherapy agents inhibit cancer cell properties by interacting with tumor suppressor proteins, hence, it is conceivable that gene therapy, if combining with chemotherapies, can increase sensitivity and response of cancer cells to medications.

6 Conclusion

PKCδ is involved in several pathways related to proliferation or apoptosis, and the disruption of these regulations cause the progression of gastric cancer. Some findings identified that PKCδ is mutated in gastric cancer, and the most common type is LOF mutation. PKCδ is composed of two moieties, including regulatory (C1 and C2) and kinase domain, and mutation occurred throughout these domains, inhibiting activation, cleavage, and translocation of PKCδ. Furthermore, mutation of PKCδ also affects p53 dependent apoptotic pathway and the formation of tight junctions.
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