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In eukaryotic cells, protein trafficking plays an essential role in biogenesis of proteins that belong to the endomembrane compartments. In this process, an important step is the sorting of organellar proteins depending on their final destinations. For vacuolar proteins, vacuolar sorting receptors (VSRs) and receptor homology-transmembrane-RING H2 domain proteins (RMRs) are thought to be responsible. Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) contains seven VSRs. Among them, VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 are involved in sorting storage proteins targeted to the protein storage vacuole (PSV) in seeds. However, the identity of VSRs for soluble proteins of the lytic vacuole in vegetative cells remains controversial. Here, we provide evidence that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 are involved in sorting soluble lytic vacuolar and PSV proteins in vegetative cells. In protoplasts from leaf tissues of vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 but not vsr5vsr6, and rmr1rmr2 and rmr3rmr4 double mutants, soluble lytic vacuolar (Arabidopsis aleurain-like protein: green fluorescent protein [GFP]) and carboxypeptidase Y-GFP and PSV (phaseolin) proteins, but not the vacuolar membrane protein Arabidopsis βFructosidase4-GFP, exhibited defects in their trafficking; they accumulated to the endoplasmic reticulum with an increased secretion into medium. The trafficking defects in vsr1vsr4 protoplasts were rescued by VSR1 or VSR4 but not VSR5 or AtRMR1. Furthermore, of the luminal domain swapping mutants between VSR1 and VSR5, the mutant with the luminal domain of VSR1, but not that of VSR5, rescued the trafficking defects of Arabidopsis aleurain-like protein:GFP and phaseolin in vsr1vsr4 protoplasts. Based on these results, we propose that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not other VSRs, are involved in sorting soluble lytic vacuolar and PSV proteins for their trafficking to the vacuoles in vegetative cells.

Two different types of vacuoles have been identified in plant cells. One of them is the lytic vacuole (LV) that is present in vegetative cells, and the other is the protein storage vacuole (PSV) that is present in seed cells (Frigerio et al., 2008; Zouhar and Rojo, 2009; De Marcos Louza et al., 2012). These two types of vacuoles have different functions. The LV carries out various functions such as osmotic pressure regulation, various hydrolytic activities, detoxification, and homeostasis of calcium and sodium ions. For some of these aspects LV is analogous to the vacuole in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) or lysosomes in animal cells. In contrast, the PSV is unique in plants and stores a large amount of proteins and minerals that are necessary for seed germination. To perform these functions, vacuoles need a large number of proteins.

The organelar proteins destined for vacuoles have to be transported from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via a process called protein trafficking. This has been extensively studied in many different eukaryotic cell types, including plant cells. In general, proteins that belong to various endomembrane compartments are cotranslationally translocated into the ER and then transported through the Golgi apparatus and other intermediate compartments depending on their final destinations (Jurgens, 2004; Jolliffe et al., 2005; Sato and Nakano, 2007; Hwang and Robinson, 2009; Reyes et al., 2011). Vesicles are used to transport proteins from one compartment to another. Another important aspect is the specific targeting of organelar proteins. For this, organelar proteins carry a specific sorting or targeting signal that can be a sequence motif generated intrinsically or added posttranslationally (Hadlington and Denecke, 2000; Robinson et al., 2005; Hwang,
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Two different types of sorting receptors, receptor homology-transmembrane-RING H2 domain proteins (RMRs) and vacuolar sorting receptors (VSRs), have been shown to be involved in the trafficking of vacuolar proteins. It has been proposed that RMRs function as a sorting receptor for storage proteins (Park et al., 2005; Hinz et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011a). RMRs are type I membrane proteins and those in the luminal domain specifically interact with the C-terminal vacuolar sorting sequence (ctVSS) of storage proteins (Park et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2011). In addition, overexpression of an AtRMR1 deletion mutant inhibits the trafficking of phaseolin to the PSV, but not the protein trafficking to the LV, in protoplasts from leaf cells (Park et al., 2005). VSRs have been identified from various plant species and showed to specifically interact with the sorting motif of vacuolar proteins, which is known as the sequence-specific vacuolar sorting signal (ssVSS) or N-terminal propeptide (Ahmed et al., 1997; Hadlington and Denecke, 2000; Masclaux et al., 2005; Robinson et al., 2005; Hwang, 2008). In plant cells, the majority of VSRs localize to the prevacuolar compartment (PVC), which is the intermediate organelle between the trans-Golgi network (TGN) and vacuole (Tse et al., 2004; daSilva et al., 2005; Miao et al., 2006). In addition, a minor portion of VSR1 localizes to the TGN in plant cells, which supports the notion that VSRs recycle to the TGN from the PVC for sorting of their cargo proteins (Kim et al., 2010). Recent studies in plant cells questioned this concept and proposed other mechanisms for sorting vacuolar proteins. In the alternative proposal, sorting of vacuolar proteins may occur at the ER, and the VSRs may recycle from the TGN to the ER (Castelli and Vitale, 2005; Niemes et al., 2010). VSRs that were once thought to function as sorting receptors at the TGN for the LV proteins (daSilva et al., 2005; Foresti et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010) have an additional function in the protein trafficking to the PSV in seed cells (Shimada et al., 2003). Protoplasts from wild-type and vsr1–vsr4 mutant plants exhibit a defect in protein trafficking to the PSV in seed cells (Shimada et al., 2003). AALP:GFP is a C-terminal GFP fusion of AALP (for Arabidopsis aleurain-like protein) that has both an N-terminal ssVSS and ctVSS (Sohn et al., 2003). In protoplasts, AALP:GFP is transported to the central vacuole where it is processed proteolytically into a smaller form (Sohn et al., 2003). CPY:GFP is a C-terminal GFP fusion protein of AtCPY (for Arabidopsis carboxypeptidase Y) that has an N-terminal vacuolar sorting signal and is targeted to the lumen of the LV (Rojo et al., 2003). Protoplasts from wild-type and vsr mutants were transformed with AALP:GFP or CPY:GFP, and protein extracts from transformed protoplasts were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP antibody. When the vacuolar trafficking is inhibited, vacuolar proteins are secreted into the incubation medium (Sohn et al., 2003; daSilva et al., 2005). Therefore, proteins from protoplast incubation medium were included in the analysis. At 24 h after transformation, AALP:GFP was processed to a smaller form that accumulated to 48% to 49% of total proteins in both Columbia-0 (Col-0) and Wassilewskija wild-type protoplasts. In vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutant protoplasts, although the degree of AALP:GFP secretion varies depending on these vsr mutants, a small proportion (3%–9%) of AALP:GFP was secreted into the incubation medium and the amount of the close homolog of VSR3 and VSR4, in protoplasts from wild-type plants affects trafficking of proteins to the LV (daSilva et al., 2005; Foresti et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010). In this study, we utilized various VSR and RMR mutant plants and examined the effect of these mutations on the trafficking of LV and PSV proteins in protoplasts. These studies demonstrated that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not other VSRs and RMRs, are involved in trafficking of soluble LV and PSV proteins in vegetative cells. Further, the luminal domain but not the cytosolic tail of VSRs contains the determinant for the sorting specificity.

RESULTS

Loss-of-Function Mutation in VSR1, VSR3, or VSR4 Causes a Minor Defect in Trafficking of Both LV and PSV Proteins in Protoplasts from Vegetative Tissues

In previous studies, the role of VSRs in vacuolar trafficking was examined by employing an approach of overexpression of wild-type or mutant forms of VSRs in wild-type protoplasts (daSilva et al., 2005; Foresti et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010). Based on the expression data in Genevestigator (Hruz et al., 2008), Arabidopsis VSR1, VSR3, VSR4, and VSR7 are expressed in multiple tissues and show relatively high expression in mesophyll cell protoplast (Supplemental Figure S1). Thus, to gain further insight into the role of VSRs in vegetative tissues, we used the loss-of-function mutant of VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 and examined the trafficking of vacuolar luminal proteins, AALP:GFP and CPY:GFP, in protoplasts from leaf tissues of vsr single mutant plants. A previous study showed that the vsr1 mutant plants exhibit a defect in protein trafficking to the PSV in seed cells (Shimada et al., 2003). AALP:GFP is a C-terminal GFP fusion of AALP (for Arabidopsis aleurain-like protein) that has both an N-terminal ssVSS and ctVSS (Sohn et al., 2003). In protoplasts, AALP:GFP is transported to the central vacuole where it is processed proteolytically into a smaller form (Sohn et al., 2003). CPY:GFP is a C-terminal GFP fusion protein of AtCPY (for Arabidopsis carboxypeptidase Y) that has an N-terminal vacuolar sorting signal and is targeted to the lumen of the LV (Rojo et al., 2003). Protoplasts from wild-type and vsr single mutant plants were transformed with AALP:GFP or CPY:GFP, and protein extracts from transformed protoplasts were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP antibody. When the vacuolar trafficking is inhibited, vacuolar proteins are secreted into the incubation medium (Sohn et al., 2003; daSilva et al., 2005). Therefore, proteins from protoplast incubation medium were included in the analysis. At 24 h after transformation, AALP:GFP was processed to a smaller form that accumulated to 48% to 49% of total proteins in both Columbia-0 (Col-0) and Wassilewskija wild-type protoplasts. In vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutant protoplasts, although the degree of AALP:GFP secretion varies depending on these vsr mutants, a small proportion (3%–9%) of AALP:GFP was secreted into the incubation medium and the amount of the
processed form was slightly reduced (Fig. 1A). CPY:GFP, another luminal vacuolar protein, also produced similar results with AALP:GFP: the amount of the secreted protein was increased to 5% in these vsr single mutant protoplasts and concomitantly the amount of the processed form was slightly reduced (Fig. 1B), indicating that vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutants exhibit a minor defect in protein trafficking to the LV. In a previous study (Zouhar et al., 2010), both AALP (AtAleurain) and AtCPY were not secreted into the apoplasts in vsr1 and vsr4 mutants. One possible explanation for the discrepancy is that both AALP:GFP and AtCPY:GFP were expressed at higher levels in protoplasts than in leaf tissues and the reduced capacity of the vacuolar trafficking pathway resulted from the mutation cannot handle the higher levels of these proteins for vacuolar trafficking.

Figure 1. Trafficking of vacuolar soluble cargoes is partially inhibited in vsr single mutants. A to C, Western-blot analysis of AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin trafficking in vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutants. Protoplasts from the wild type and vsr single mutants were transformed with AALP:GFP (A), CPY:GFP (B), or phaseolin (C). After 24 h of incubation, protein extracts were prepared from the protoplasts (P) and from the incubation medium (S). Twenty-five percent of protein extracts from the protoplasts and incubation medium were used for western-blot analysis. To measure the targeting efficiency, the amount of precursor (Pre), processed (Pro), and secreted (S) protein was quantified using multigauge software and expressed as a value relative to the amount of total protein (proteins in protoplasts and incubation medium). Actin detected with antiactin antibody was used as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Three independent transformation experiments were performed to obtain an average targeting efficiency with SD. Error bars indicate SD (n = 3). WS, Wassilewskija wild type. D and E, Subcellular localization of AALP:GFP (D) and phaseolin (E) in vsr1 and vsr4 mutant protoplasts. After 24 h of transformation, localization of these cargo proteins was examined under the fluorescent microscope. The fluorescent signal of AALP:GFP and CPY:GFP was observed directly. Localization of phaseolin was examined by laser-scanning confocal microscopy. Transformed protoplasts were immunostained with an antiphaseolin antibody followed by an FITC-labeled secondary antibody. Arrow indicates the localization of phaseolin. CH, Chloroplast autofluorescence; WT, Col-0 wild type. Bars = 20 μm.
VSR1 is involved in sorting of PSV proteins (Shimada et al., 2008). Accordingly, we examined the trafficking of phaseolin, a storage protein of Phaseolus vulgaris (common bean) that contains a ctVSS, in vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutant protoplasts. Phaseolin was introduced into protoplasts from leaf tissues of wild-type and vsr single mutant plants, and protein extracts from protoplasts and incubation medium were analyzed by western blotting using antiphaseolin antibody. When phaseolin is targeted to the vacuole in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) leaf tissues or Arabidopsis protoplasts derived from leaf tissues, it is processed proteolytically into multiple smaller protein species (Frigerio et al., 1998; Park et al., 2004, 2005). As reported previously, approximately 50% of total expressed phaseolin was processed into smaller protein species in wild-type protoplasts. In total expressed phaseolin was processed into smaller protein species in wild-type protoplasts. In mutant protoplasts, phaseolin localized primarily to a structure with a diameter of 4 μm (Fig. 1E), as reported previously (Park et al., 2004). These results confirm that although a certain portion of these cargo proteins is secreted into the medium, vsr1, vsr3, and vsr4 mutant plants do not have any substantial effect on the localization pattern.

VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 Exhibit Functional Redundancy in Their Role for the Vacular Trafficking of Soluble Proteins in Vegetative Cells

The results shown in Figure 1 prompted us to test whether VSRs have a redundant function in vegetative tissues. Therefore, vacuolar trafficking of AALP:GFP and CPY:GFP was examined in protoplasts from vsr1vsr3, vsr1vsr4, and vsr5vsr6 double mutants. AALP:GFP or CPY:GFP was introduced into wild-type or double mutant protoplasts, and the vacuolar trafficking of these proteins was examined by western blotting using anti-GFP antibody. The amount of AALP:GFP secreted into the medium in vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 protoplasts was 15% and 29% of total expressed proteins, respectively; concomitantly, the processed form of AALP:GFP decreased to 38% and 29% of total expressed proteins in vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 protoplasts, respectively (Fig. 2A). These results indicate that the double mutant had much more severe defects in protein trafficking to the LV. In contrast, in vsr5vsr6 mutant protoplasts AALP:GFP was not secreted into the medium and the amount of the processed form was comparable with that of wild-type protoplasts, indicating that vacuolar trafficking of AALP:GFP is not affected in vsr5vsr6 double mutants. CPY:GFP produced similar results to those of AALP: GFP. In vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, the amount of CPY:GFP that was secreted was 5% and 29% of total expressed proteins, respectively, and the processed forms of CPY:GFP were reduced to 39% and 19% of total proteins, respectively, whereas the vsr5vsr6 mutant did not show any defect in vacuolar trafficking of CPY:GFP (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, a large proportion of AtCPY was secreted into the incubation medium when transiently expressed in vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, but not in wild-type protoplasts (Supplemental Figure S2). These results indicate that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not VSR5 and VSR6, are involved in vacuolar trafficking of ssVSS-containing proteins in vegetative tissues, and they are functionally redundant in protein trafficking to the LVs.

We also examined trafficking of phaseolin in these double mutants. Phaseolin was introduced into protoplasts from leaf tissues of these double-mutant plants, and its trafficking was examined by western-blotted analysis using antiphaseolin antibody. Similar to the trafficking patterns observed with ssVSS-containing vacuolar proteins, trafficking of phaseolin was defective in both vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4, but not vsr5vsr6, mutants. In vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, 28% and 42% of phaseolin was secreted into the incubation medium, respectively, whereas in vsr5vsr6 mutant protoplasts, trafficking of phaseolin was as efficient as that observed in wild-type protoplasts (Fig. 2C). These results confirm that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not VSR5 and VSR6, are involved in the trafficking of phaseolin. Together, these results suggest that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 are redundantly involved in trafficking of both LV and PSV proteins in leaf cells. As a control, we examined whether these vsr mutants have any effect on the secretory pathway. Protoplasts from wild-type, vsr1vsr3, vsr1vsr4, and vsr5vsr6 mutant plants were transformed with invertase:GFP to express a chimeric protein of secretory invertase and GFP (Kim et al., 2005), and its trafficking was examined by western-blotted analysis. Figure 2D shows that invertase:GFP was efficiently secreted in vsr double mutants including vsr5vsr6, confirming that these VSRs are specific to trafficking of proteins to the LV and PSV.

To obtain independent evidence for the role of VSR1 and VSR4, localization of AALP:GFP was examined in the vsr1vsr4 mutant. AALP:GFP and ER-localized chaperone binding protein:monomeric red fluorescent protein (BiP:mRFP) were introduced into vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, and localization of these proteins was examined under a fluorescence microscope. In vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, the majority of protoplasts produced...
the vacuolar pattern and also network pattern, which colocalized with an ER marker protein, Bil:mRFP (Fig. 3A), indicating that trafficking of AALP::GFP is inhibited and some proportion of AALP::GFP localizes to the ER. Next, we examined the localization of phaseolin in vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts were cotransformed with phaseolin and Bil::mRFP, and the localization of phaseolin was examined by immunostaining with antiphaseolin antibody. The majority of transformed protoplasts displayed the network pattern instead of the speckle pattern. The network pattern of phaseolin overlapped with that of BiP:mRFP (Fig. 3B), indicating that traficking of membrane proteins to the LV. To further test the role of VSRs in vacuolar trafficking and their functional redundancy, we examined whether VSR1 can complement the defect in vacuolar trafficking of both AALP::GFP and phaseolin to the LV and the subcellular structure that gives the speckle pattern, respectively.

Next, we tested whether VSRs are also involved in trafficking of membrane proteins destined for the tonoplasts of the LV. We examined trafficking of AtβFruct4::GFP, a chimeric protein of AtβFructosidase4 and GFP in vsr double mutants (Jung et al., 2011). It has been proposed that AtβFruct4::GFP is delivered and incorporated into the tonoplast first, and then subsequently released into the lumen of the LV by proteolytic processing (Jung et al., 2011). As reported previously, in wild-type protoplasts AtβFruct4::GFP was efficiently targeted to the central vacuole when examined by western-blot analysis using anti-GFP antibody. In addition, in vsr double-mutant protoplasts AtβFruct4::GFP was targeted to the LV as efficiently as it was in wild-type protoplasts (Fig. 4A), indicating that VSR1 and VSR4 are not involved in trafficking of membrane proteins to the LV. To confirm this, we examined the localization of AtβFruct4::GFP in vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts. The majority of transformed vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts gave green fluorescent signals in the central vacuole (Fig. 4B), confirming that it is targeted to the LV. These results suggest that VSRs are not involved in the vacuolar trafficking of membrane proteins.

Transient Expression of VSR1 and VSR4, But Not VSR5, Rescues the Defect in Trafficking of Both Lytic and PSV Proteins in Protoplasts of vsr1vsr4 Double Mutant Plants

To further test the role of VSRs in vacuolar trafficking and their functional redundancy, we examined whether VSR1 can complement the defect in vacuolar trafficking of AALP::GFP in protoplasts from vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. Varying amounts of the epitope hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged VSR1 (VSR1:HA) plasmid were introduced into vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts together with AALP::GFP, and the vacuolar trafficking of AALP::GFP was analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP antibody. The C-terminal HA epitope-tagged
with double mutant protoplasts. Wild-type protoplasts were transformed with VSR1:HA (Fig. 5A), indicating that secreted AALP:GFP was gradually decreased with increasing amounts of VSRs in protoplasts (Kim et al., 2005). The amount of vacuolar proteins accumulate in the ER in vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin in the subcellular localization of phaseolin in the PVC, indicating that the HA epitope tag at the C terminus does not interfere with its proper localization of these proteins in vegetative tissues.

Among the double mutants, vsr5vsr6 protoplasts did not have any noticeable defect in the trafficking of vacuolar and PSV proteins. This raised the possibility that VSR5 and VSR6 are not involved in the trafficking of these proteins. To test this possibility, we examined whether VSR5 can complement the defect in the trafficking of vacuolar and PSV proteins in protoplasts from vsr1vsr4 double-mutant plants. AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin were introduced into vsr1vsr4 protoplasts together with increasing amounts of VSR5:HA, and trafficking of these proteins was determined by western-blot analysis. VSR5:HA did not complement the defect in vsr1vsr4 mutants (Fig. 6, A and B; Supplemental Figure S4). These results strongly suggest that VSR1 and VSR4 are functionally redundant in trafficking of both ssVSS- and ctVSS-containing cargo proteins in vegetative tissues.

The Luminal Domain of VSRs Determines the Specificity of VSRs in Vacuolar Trafficking

The luminal proteinase-associated (PA) domain contains a binding site for the vacuolar targeting signal (Cao et al., 2000). The seven AtVSRs are divided into two groups based on the sequence homology of the PA domains (Zouhar et al., 2010; De Marcos Lousa et al., 2012): one group with VSR1, VSR2, VSR3, and VSR4 and the other group with VSR5, VSR6, and VSR7. Therefore, it is
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Figure 3. Vacuolar proteins accumulate in the ER in vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. A, Subcellular localization of AALP:GFP in the vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts. Wild-type protoplasts were transformed with AALP:GFP and vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts were cotransformed with AALP:GFP and Bip:mRFP. At 24 h after transformation, localization of these proteins was examined by fluorescence microscopy. CH: chloroplast autofluorescence. Bar = 20 μm. B, Subcellular localization of phaseolin in the vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts. Wild-type protoplasts were transformed with phaseolin and vsr1vsr4 protoplasts were cotransformed with phaseolin and Bip:mRFP. Localization of phaseolin was determined by immunostaining with an antiphaseolin antibody followed by an FITC-labeled secondary antibody. Bip:mRFP was observed directly. Bar = 20 μm.

VSR1 (VSR1:HA) colocalizes primarily with GFP:VSR1 at the PVC, indicating that the HA epitope tag at the C terminus does not interfere with its proper localization of VSRs in protoplasts (Kim et al., 2005). The amount of secreted AALP:GFP was gradually decreased with increasing amounts of VSR1:HA (Fig. 5A), indicating that transiently expressed VSR1:HA rescues the defect in the vacuolar trafficking of AALP:GFP in the vsr1vsr4 double mutant background. Similarly, transiently expressed VSR1:HA reduced the amounts of secreted CPY:GFP and phaseolin in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5B; Supplemental Figure S3A).

In a reciprocal experiment, we examined whether VSR4 can complement the vacuolar trafficking defect of vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. Varying amounts of the VSR4:HA plasmid were introduced into vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts together with AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin, and their trafficking efficiency was determined by western-blot analysis using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. At 5 μg of VSR4:HA, AALP:GFP was no longer secreted into the medium (Fig. 5C). CPY:GFP and phaseolin showed similar recovery in their vacuolar trafficking from coexpression of VSR4:HA, confirming that VSR4 complements the defect in trafficking of vacuolar and PSV proteins in vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants (Figure 5D; Supplemental Figure S3B). These results strongly suggest that VSR1 and VSR4 are functionally redundant in trafficking of both ssVSS- and ctVSS-containing cargo proteins in vegetative tissues.
possible that the specificity of VSRs in vacuolar trafficking may be determined by the luminal domain. To test this idea, we generated domain-swapping mutants between VSR1 and VSR5 by exchanging their luminal domains, denoted as V1N-V5C:HA and V5N-V1C:HA (Fig. 7A). These constructs were examined for complementation of the defect in protein trafficking in the vsr1vsr4 mutant. V1N-V5C:HA or V5N-V1C:HA were cotransformed into protoplasts from vsr1vsr4 mutants with AALP:GFP, and vacuolar trafficking of AALP:GFP was examined by western-blot analysis using anti-GFP antibody. V1N-V5C:HA, but not V5N-V1C:HA, efficiently complemented the defect in trafficking of AALP:GFP in the vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts (Fig. 7B and C). Similar results were obtained with the PSV protein phaseolin (Supplemental Figure S5, A and B). These results strongly suggest that the cargo specificity is determined by the luminal domain of VSRs, and the luminal domains of VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 encode different cargo specificity from that of VSR5 and VSR6.

An alternative possibility is that these two groups of VSRs may localize to different organelles. However, previous studies show that all seven VSRs localize to the PVC (Li et al., 2002; Tse et al., 2004). To rule out this possibility, we examined the localization of VSR1 and VSR5 in protoplasts. VSR5:HA was cotransformed into wild-type protoplasts together with GFP:VSR1 or GFP: SYP21, and the localization of these proteins was examined by immunostaining using anti-HA antibody. VSR5:HA exhibited a punctate staining pattern that closely overlapped with that of both GFP:AtVSR1 and GFP: SYP21 (Fig. 7D and E). This result further supports the idea that the failure to complement the defect of protein trafficking in the vsr1vsr4 double mutants by VSR5 likely results from the difference in cargo specificity in the PA domains between VSR5 and VSR1 or VSR4.

**rnr** Double Mutants Do Not Display a Defect in the Vacuolar Trafficking of Soluble Cargo Proteins

Previous studies suggested that RMR1 is a cargo receptor for storage proteins (Park et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2011). To gain insight into the role of RMRs in the vacuolar trafficking in vegetative tissues, we examined the trafficking of soluble cargoes in protoplasts from rnr1mut and rnr3mut double mutants. AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin were introduced into wild-type or mutant protoplasts, and the vacuolar trafficking of these proteins was examined by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. In rnr1mut and rnr3mut mutant protoplasts, trafficking of AALP:GFP or CPY:GFP was as efficient as that observed in wild-type protoplasts. These proteins were not secreted into the medium and the amount of the processed form was comparable with that of wild-type protoplasts (Fig. 8, A and B). Similar results were obtained with the cVSS-containing cargo protein phaseolin. rnr1mut and rnr3mut mutant did not exhibit any defect in the trafficking of phaseolin (Fig. 8C). Thus, these results from the rnr mutants did not agree with those of previous studies where overexpression of a dominant negative form of AtRMR1 was used (Park et al., 2005). One possible explanation is that seven AtRMR isoforms are...
functionally redundant and mutations in two out of seven may not cause any significant defect in vacuolar trafficking in leaf protoplasts.

It has been shown that both RMR1 and VSR4 interact with cVSS-containing peptide (Suen et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2011). Thus, it is possible that RMR1 and VSRs may cooperate in the trafficking of phaseolin. To test this idea, we examined whether AtRMR1 can complement the vacuolar trafficking defect of the vsr1vsr4 double mutant. AtRMR1:HA was introduced into vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts with AALP:GFP or phaseolin, and the vacuolar trafficking of these proteins was examined by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. Expression of AtRMR1:HA was detected by anti-HA antibody. Actin was detected as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form.

---

**Figure 5.** Expression of VSR1 or VSR4 rescues the vacuolar trafficking defect in vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts. A and B, Effect of VSR1:HA expression on the trafficking of AALP:GFP and phaseolin in vsr1vsr4 double mutants. Protoplasts derived from vsr1vsr4 mutant plants were cotransformed with the indicated amount of VSR1:HA together with either AALP:GFP (A) or phaseolin (B). At 24 h after transformation, protein extracts were prepared from transformed protoplasts (P) and incubation medium (S) and analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. Expression of VSR1:HA was detected by anti-HA antibody. Actin was detected as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form. C and D, Effect of VSR4:HA expression on the trafficking of AALP:GFP and phaseolin in the vsr1vsr4 double mutants. Protoplasts derived from vsr1vsr4 mutant plants were cotransformed with the indicated amount of VSR4:HA together with either AALP:GFP (C) or phaseolin (D), and protein extracts prepared from transformed protoplasts (P) and incubation medium (S) were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. Expression of VSR4:HA was detected by anti-HA antibody. Actin was detected as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form.

**Figure 6.** Ectopic expression of VSR5:HA increases the secretion of cargo proteins in vsr1vsr4 double mutant protoplasts. A and B, Effect of VSR5:HA expression on the trafficking of AALP:GFP and phaseolin in the vsr1vsr4 double mutant. Protoplasts derived from vsr1vsr4 mutant plants were cotransformed with the indicated amount of VSR5:HA together with either AALP:GFP (A) or phaseolin (B). Protein extracts from transformed protoplasts (P) and incubation medium (S) were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. Expression of VSR5:HA was detected by anti-HA antibody. Actin was detected as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form.
and AtVSRs may play a role at different stages of vacuolar trafficking in leaf cells.

**DISCUSSION**

The seven Arabidopsis VSRs are divided into three classes based on sequence homology: class 1 contains VSR1 and VSR2; class 2 contains VSR3 and VSR4; and class 3 contains VSR5, VSR6, and VSR7 (De Marcos Lousa et al., 2012). Among the seven VSRs, the members of class 1 and 2 are more closely related to each other, whereas members of class 3 are more distantly related to members of class 1 and 2. This study provided evidence that three VSRs belonging to class 1 and 2 are involved in trafficking of soluble proteins to the LV in vegetative cells, including VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4. However, no evidence for involvement in trafficking to the LV was found for the class 3 members VSR5 and VSR6. When examined in vsr1vsr4 mutant protoplasts, two LV proteins, AALP:GFP and CPY:GFP, and a PSV protein, phaseolin, were secreted into the incubation medium. These proteins also accumulated to higher levels in the double mutant vsr5vsr6. The underlying mechanism by which VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not VSR5 and VSR6, are involved in vacuolar trafficking was tested by investigating two different possibilities. One possibility was a difference in their subcellular localization. A previous study showed that VSRs are localized to the plasma membrane in growing pollen tubes (Wang et al., 2011b).
Figure 8. rmr double mutants do not display a defect in the vacuolar trafficking of soluble cargo proteins. A to C, Western-blot analysis of AALP:GFP, CPY:GFP, or phaseolin trafficking in protoplasts from rmr1rmr2 and rmr3rmr4 mutant plants. Protoplasts from wild-type and rmr mutant plants were transformed AALP:GFP (A), CPY:GFP (B) or phaseolin (C). Protein extracts from protoplasts (P) and incubation medium (S) were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibody. Actin was detected using antiactin antibody as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form. D and E, Failure to complement the vacuolar trafficking defect in the vsr1vsr4 double mutants by over-expression of AtRMR1:HA. Protoplasts from vsr1vsr4 mutant plants were cotransformed with the indicated amount of AtRMR1:HA together with either AALP:GFP (A) or phaseolin (B). Protein extracts from protoplasts (P) and incubation medium (S) were analyzed by western blotting using anti-GFP or antiphaseolin antibodies. Expression of AtRMR1:HA was detected by anti-HA antibody. Actin was detected using antiactin antibody as a control for protein leakage from protoplasts and as a loading control. Pre, precursor form; Pro, processed form.

However, in protoplasts from leaf tissues, both VSR1 and VSR5 localize primarily to the PVC, thus arguing against this possibility. For the second possibility, we tested whether these two different groups of VSRs differ in their recognition of cargos by using luminal domain-swapping mutants. The results show that replacement of the luminal domain of VSR5 with that of VSR1 can complement vsr1vsr4 double mutants, whereas replacement of the luminal domain of VSR1 with that of VSR5 cannot complement the double mutant plants. These results support the second possibility and demonstrate that the luminal domains of VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, but not VSR5 and VSR6, can recognize both LV and PSV cargoes. The luminal domains of BP80 of pea, a close homolog of VSR3 and VSR4, and Arabidopsis VSR1 contain binding sites for ssVSS and ctVSS (Kirsh et al., 1994; Cao et al., 2000; Shimada et al., 2003). Current data do not provide information about the functional role of VSR5 and VSR6 in plants.

Our study provides evidence that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 are involved in LV trafficking in vegetative cells. Previous studies showed that these three VSRs, VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4, play a role in trafficking of proteins to the PSV (Shimada et al., 2003; Zouhar et al., 2010). The vsr1 mutant plants exhibit secretion of PSV proteins to the apoplasts in seeds (Shimada et al., 2003). However, in leaf tissues of vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4, but not vsr5vsr6 double mutants, also exhibited defects in trafficking of the LV proteins AALP:GFP and CPY:GFP, and the PSV protein phaseolin. These proteins were secreted into the incubation medium, and the unprocessed full-length proteins increased to high levels. Moreover, the results from trafficking assays of both LV and PSV proteins in protoplasts of vsr single and double mutants support the earlier notion that VSR1 and VSR2 are involved in LV trafficking (daSilva et al., 2005; Foresti et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010).

The results from the trafficking assay in protoplasts of mutant leaf tissues are both consistent and inconsistent with those from intact mutant plants. Endogenous AtAleurain (AALP) was secreted into the apoplasts in leaf tissues of vsr1vsr4 double mutants (Zouhar et al., 2010), which was consistent with our results in vsr mutant protoplasts. However, AtAleurain was not secreted into apoplasts in vsr1 or vsr4 single mutants, which was in contrast with the results showing that AALP:GFP was secreted into the incubation medium at higher levels in vsr1 vsr4 protoplasts than in wild-type protoplasts. Furthermore, our results showed that CPY:GFP was secreted in protoplasts derived from the vsr single mutants and from the vsr1vsr3 and vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants. Thus, the secretion of CPY:GFP in these mutants is in contrast with the behavior of CPY in intact mutant plants (Zouhar et al., 2010). Endogenous CPY was not secreted in intact vsr1vsr4 double mutant plants, nor was
it secreted in intact *vsr1* and *vsr4* single mutant plants. A simple explanation for this discrepancy in the behavior of CPY and AtAleurain (AALP) in intact plants versus protoplasts is that GFP-fused constructs with AtAleurain (AALP) and CPY behave differently from their untagged endogenous proteins. Another explanation is that the amount of proteins loaded into the vacuolar trafficking pathways is different in intact plants and protoplasts, or that the amount of proteins loaded into the protoplasts was higher than that in intact plants. Protein levels can easily be overexpressed in transformed protoplasts (Denecke et al., 2012). In intact plants, the capacity of vacuolar trafficking pathways in the *vsr* single and double mutants is still large enough to handle the amount of expressed CPY proteins, although the capacity is reduced in the mutants by the loss of VSR1, VSR4, or both. Similarly, the capacity of the vacuolar trafficking pathway in the *vsr* single mutants is still large enough to handle the amount of AtAleurain, whereas that in the *vsr1vsr4* double mutant is further reduced to a level so that the amount of expressed AtAleurain could be greater than the capacity of the vacuolar trafficking pathways. This suggests that minor changes in the capacity of vacuolar trafficking pathways cannot be detected if the amount of proteins transported to the vacuole is still within the capacity of trafficking pathways. In contrast, a change in the capacity of the vacuolar trafficking pathways in protoplasts can be easily assessed by applying varying amounts of cargo proteins.

Among the seven VSRs that have been identified in Arabidopsis, the results show that VSR1, VSR3, and VSR4 are involved in both lytic and PVS trafficking pathways. This raises an intriguing question of how proteins are specifically transported to the two different vacuole types, the LV and the PVS, because other molecular machineries involved in vacuolar trafficking differentiate between these two types of vacuoles. For example, the VTI11 isoform of VTI (a v-SNARE localized in trafficking from the TGN to the PVC) is primarily involved in the LV pathway, whereas the VTI12 isoform appears to be primarily involved in the PVS pathway, although they are functionally redundant to a certain degree (Surpin et al., 2003; Sammartin et al., 2007). Among these VPS35 isoforms, a certain degree of specificity can be observed between the lytic and PVS pathways; VPS35a is primarily involved in the LV pathway and VPS35c is primarily involved in the PVS pathway (Yamazaki et al., 2008; Hashiguchi et al., 2010). The epsin-like monomeric adaptors EpsinR1 and EpsinR2 show specificity toward the VTI isoforms; EpsinR1 interacts with VTI11, whereas EpsinR2 interacts with VTI12 (Song et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2007). Currently, it is not clearly understood how this occurs. One possibility is that the trafficking of proteins to these two vacuoles may not require specific sorting because the PVS is specific to seed cells, whereas the LV exists in vegetative tissue cells (Frigero et al., 2008; Zouhar and Rojo, 2009; De Marcos Lousa et al., 2012). Therefore, the cell-type-specific presence of these two vacuole types may be enough to confer specific targeting of proteins to these two organelles. However, there are also reports showing that two different types of vacuoles exist in single cells in vegetative tissues. The presence of a structure to which phaseolin is targeted in protoplasts from leaf tissues of Arabidopsis has been demonstrated, and localization of two different potassium channel isoforms in two different vacuoles has been reported in rice (Oryza sativa; Park et al., 2004, 2005; Isayenkov et al., 2011). Another possibility is that other factors are involved in specificity determination. However, current evidence in support of this possibility is lacking. Further studies are necessary to elucidate how the specificity is determined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth**

The *vsr* and *rmr* mutants have been described previously (Zouhar et al., 2010). The *vsr1* mutant is in the Wassilewskija background and the other mutants are in the Col-0 background. Plants were grown on B5 plates in a culture room under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle. Leaf tissues of 2- to 3-week-old plants were used to isolate protoplasts.

**Construction of Plasmid DNAs**

AtCPY (At*Eg10410*) was isolated by PCR from an Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) cDNA library using specific primers CPY-F and CPY-R. The PCR products was digested with XbaI/BamHI and ligated to a pUC-based expression vector. To generate the AtCPY-GFP fusion construct, the termination codon of AtCPY was deleted by PCR using CPY-F and CPY-G primers, and fused to the N terminus of the GFP-coding region. For the construction of AtVSR4-HA, full-length AtVSR4 cDNA obtained from the RIKEN Arabidopsis cDNA collection (Seki et al., 1998; 2002) was amplified by PCR using VSR4-F and VSR4-R primers. VSR4s (At*Eg34940*) was isolated by PCR from an Arabidopsis cDNA library using specific primers VSR5-F and VSR5-R. The PCR products were digested with XbaI/BamHI and ligated to a pUC-based expression vector containing the 35S cauliflower mosaic virus promoter, HA epitope, and nopaline synthase terminator. VSR1 and VSR5 domain-swap mutants were generated using a two-step PCR strategy. The luminal domain of VSR1 was amplified using the VSR1-F and V15-R primers. Likewise, the C-terminal domain of VSR5 was amplified using the VSR5-F and VSR5-R primers. VSR4 was amplified with primer HA-R and V15-F containing an extended region complementary to the 3’ end of the VSR1 fragment. These two fragments were the templates for a second round of PCR using VSR1-F and HA-R primers, resulting in *VSN-VIC*-containing nucleotides 1–1,689 of VSR1 and nucleotides 1,687–1,857 of AtVSR5. VSN-VIC was produced using the same approach. VSN-VIC consisted of nucleotides 1–1,686 of VSR5 and nucleotides 1,693–1,872 of VSR1. The PCR products were digested with XbaI/XhoI and ligated to a pUC-based expression vector. The primer sequences used to prepare the constructs are shown in Supplemental Table S1. The sequence of all constructs was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Transient Expression and Microscopy**

The plasmids used in protoplast transformation were purified using Qagen columns according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Arabidopsis protoplasts were prepared from leaf tissues, and purified DNA plasmids were introduced into protoplasts by polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation (Jin et al., 2001). Expression of these constructs was monitored at various time points after transformation. Images were obtained using a fluorescent microscopy (Axioplan 2; Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 40×/0.75 objective (Plan-NEOFUAR) and a cooled charge-coupled device camera (Senicaam; PCO Imaging). The filter sets used were XF116 (exciter, 474AF20; dichroic, 500DRLP; emitter, 510AF25) and XF333 (exciter, 530DF35; dichroic, 570DRLP; emitter, 605DF50; Omega, Inc.) for GFP/fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and RFP/tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC), respectively. For the laser-scanning confocal microscopy, transfected protoplasts were observed with a Zeiss LSM 510 META laser-scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss).
Excitation/emission wavelengths were 488/505 to 530 nm for GFP, and 543/560 to 615 nm for RFP. Captured images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS5.

Immunohistochemistry
Transformed protoplasts were used for immunohistochemistry. Transformed protoplasts were allowed to adhere to poly-L-lys-coated slides (Sigma) for 30 min, fixed in W6 buffer (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl2, 2.5 mM malsalt, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2) containing 4% paraformaldehyde for 60 min, and permeabilized in TSW buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.9% NaCl, 0.25% gelatin, 0.02% SDS, 0.1% Triton X-100) for 10 min. Fixed protoplasts were subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-HA (Roche) or anti-phaseolin (Frigero et al., 1998) antibodies in the same buffer. After washing three times with TSW buffer, protoplasts were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with TRITC-conjugated goat anti-rat, anti-mouse IgG or FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies (Zymed Laboratories). After another three washes in TSW buffer, protoplasts were mounted in Moviol (Hoechst), containing 2.5% 1,4-diazobicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Sigma).

Protein Preparation and Western-Blot Analysis
Transformed protoplasts were harvested at 24 h after transformation and resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM H2O2, 2 mM EGTA, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). Protoplasts were lysed by brief sonication and subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 × g at 4°C for 15 min. After washing with acetone, protein pellet was dissolved in a solution of 0.1 N NaOH. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using blotting apparatuses (Hoefer). For the western blotting, monoclonal anti-GFP (Clontech), anti-phaseolin (Frigero et al., 1998), monoclonal antioxidant (MP Biomedicals), and anti-ACTCPY antibody (Zouhar et al., 2010) were used. Protein blots were developed using western-blot detection solution (Supex) and visualized using the LAS3000 image capture system (FUJIFILM). The immunoblots were quantified by measuring the intensity of the protein bands with LAS3000 software.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Genbank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: vrsd, N594467; vrs5, N548991; vrs6, N873290; mrv1, N100448; mrv2, N870412; mrv3, N552180; and mrv4, N24974.
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Supplemental Figure S1. Expression analysis of AtVSR5 in Arabidopsis.
Supplemental Figure S2. Vascular trafficking of ACTCPY is inhibited in the vsr1 vsr4 double mutant.
Supplemental Figure S3. Ectopic expression of AtVSR1 or AtVSR4 rescues the vascular trafficking of ACTCPY-GFP in vsr1 vsr4 double mutant protoplasts.
Supplemental Figure S4. Expression of AtVSR5:HA increases the secretion of ACTCPY-GFP in vsr1 vsr4 double mutant protoplasts.
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