Cellular Inhibitor of Apoptosis Protein-1 (cIAP1) Can Regulate E2F1 Transcription Factor-mediated Control of Cyclin Transcription*§
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The inhibitor of apoptosis protein cIAP1 (cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein-1) is a potent regulator of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor family and NF-κB signaling pathways in the cytoplasm. However, in some primary cells and tumor cell lines, cIAP1 is expressed in the nucleus, and its nuclear function remains poorly understood. Here, we show that the N-terminal part of cIAP1 directly interacts with the DNA binding domain of the E2F1 transcription factor. cIAP1 dramatically increases the transcriptional activity of E2F1 on synthetic and CCNE promoters. This function is not conserved for cIAP2 and XIAP, which are cytoplasmic proteins. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments demonstrate that cIAP1 is recruited on E2F binding sites of the CCNE and CCNA promoters in a cell cycle- and differentiation-dependent manner. cIAP1 silencing inhibits E2F1 DNA binding and E2F1-mediated transcriptional activation of the CCNE gene. In cells that express a nuclear cIAP1 such as HeLa, THP1 cells and primary human mammary epithelial cells, down-regulation of cIAP1 inhibits cyclin E and A expression and cell proliferation. We conclude that one of the functions of cIAP1 when localized in the nucleus is to regulate E2F1 transcriptional activity.

Cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein-1 (cIAP1,§ also named BIRC2, HIAP2) belongs to the IAP family of proteins that all contain at least one copy of the conserved BIR (baculoviral IAP repeat) domain (1, 2). cIAP1 also contains a central CARD (caspase-activating recruitment domain) and a C-terminal RING (really interesting new gene) domain, the latter conferring to the protein an E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. cIAP1 is an important regulator of the signaling pathways activated by the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamilly members and modulates nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation (3–6). cIAP1 has the capacity to bind and ubiquitylate several signaling intermediates involved in these pathways, including TRAF2 (TNF receptor-associated factor 2) (1, 5–8), NIK (NF-κB-inducing kinase) (9), ASK1 (apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1) (10), NEMO (NF-κB essential modulator) (11), and RIP1 (12, 13).

A range of evidence suggests that cIAP1 plays a role in mammalian cancers. cIAP1-encoding Birc2 is a target gene within a chromosome 11q21 amplicon found in cervical, oral, head and neck, lung, esophageal, and hepato-cellular carcinomas (14–18). Independently of the presence of this amplicon, cIAP1 is highly expressed in cancer samples from several origins (18–22). The oncogenic properties of cIAP1 have been demonstrated in p53−/−, c-Myc-expressing mouse hepatocarcinoma cells (18), in p53−/+ mouse osteosarcoma (23), and in p53−/− mouse mammary carcinoma (24). We (6, 25, 26) and others (27–29) have shown that cIAP1 was expressed mainly in the nucleus of undifferentiated, proliferating cells and was excluded upon cell differentiation (25) or apoptosis induction (27). cIAP1 is also detected in the nucleus of primary human tumor cells (15, 16, 28, 30). In head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSSCs), the nuclear expression of cIAP1 has been associated with lymph node metastasis and advanced disease stages (16, 30), suggesting that the nuclear function of cIAP1 could account for its oncogenic properties. The nuclear function of cIAP1 remains misunderstood. In the present study, we demonstrate that cIAP1 directly interacts with the transcription factor E2F1 and stimulates its transcriptional activity when recruited on CCNE and CCNA gene promoters. These genes are important regulators of cell cycle progression and cell proliferation (31, 32), promoting the transition from G1 to S phase of the cell cycle (31, 32). E2F1 transcriptional activity is regulated by its dimerization with the co-activator DP1.
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(Dimerization Partner 1), which favors DNA binding and the recruitment of a number of other proteins (33, 34) that behave as activators or repressors (33–35). One of the functions of ciAP1 in the nucleus appears to be part of the molecular machinery that regulates the transcriptional activity of E2F1 on CCNE and CCNA promoters.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture, Chemicals, and Treatments—Human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) were purchased from Invitrogen (Cergy Pontoise, France) and grown into HUMEC ready medium (Invitrogen). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) were provided by J. Silke (Melbourne, Australia). THP-1, HT-29, U-2 OS, and CaSki cell lines were grown into RPMI 1640 and MEF and HeLa cells in DMEM medium (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Lonza). Cells were synchronized using 2 mM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich) and purchased from Qiagen.

U-2 OS, and CaSki cell lines were grown into RPMI 1640 and MEF and HeLa cells in DMEM medium (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Lonza). Cells were synchronized using 2 mM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich) double block. Human CD34+ progenitor cells were prepared from human umbilical cord blood (Etablissement Français du Sang) as previously described (36), cultured over 7 days in StemSpan1TM H3000, supplemented with 100 ng/ml rhFlt-3 ligand, 100 ng/ml rhSCF, 20 ng/ml rhIL-3, and 20 ng/ml rhIL-6 (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada), and then differentiated for 2 weeks into CD14+ monocytes by exposure to 25 ng/ml M-CSF (StemCell Technologies) before ChIP experiments. The pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk was from Sigma-Aldrich.

Transfections, Plasmid Constructs, and siRNA—THP-1 cells were nucleoporated using the AMAXA nucleofector kit V (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza). Stable THP1 clones expressing ciAP1 antisense were enriched by a 10-day geneticin selection (Amaxa Biosystems, Lonza).

For immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 20 mM EDTA; 0.5% Nonidet P-40; 1 mM DTT, and protease inhibitors and incubated overnight at 4 °C under shaking in the presence of anti-E2F1 Ab (C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-ciAP1 Ab (R&D Systems) coupled to Sepharose G-protein beads (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare) or ANTI-FLAG® M2 Affinity Agarose Gel (Sigma-Aldrich). Beads were washed and resuspended in Laemmli 1× buffer before immunoblot analysis. The co-precipitation experiments were performed in HeLa cells transfected with FLAG constructs and pCMV-E2F1.

Antibody Array—HeLa cells were transfected with pEGFP- NES-ciAP1 (25). The cell lysate was deposited onto a Cell Cycle antibodyArrayTM (Hypermatrix, Worcester, MA) containing 60 specific antibodies against cell cycle-related proteins following the manufacturer’s instructions and immunoblotted with anti-GFP biotin (USBiological, Swampscott, MA) and biotin-HRP (Invitrogen) antibodies.

GST-Pull-down Assay—GST fusion proteins were produced in Escherichia coli, immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences), and incubated with either HeLa cell lysates or in vitro translated [35S]methionine-labeled ciAP1 protein or recombinant human E2F1 protein (Protein One, Bethesda, MD). The bound proteins to GST-ciAP1 constructs were revealed by immunoblotting. The bound proteins to GST-E2F1 constructs were revealed by immunoblotting or by 10% SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

Gene Reporter Assay—Cells were transfected with 500 ng of pGL-based constructs, 50 ng of pCMV β-gal reporter vector, and 500 ng of IAP constructs, and/or 100 ng of E2F2 constructs. Cells were harvested 48 h after and analyzed for luciferase activity using the luciferase assay reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) and a luminometer (Lumat LB9507, Berthold, Thoiry, France). Results were normalized to the β-galactosidase activity using the β-Galactosidase Enzyme Assay System kit (Promega).

RNA Purification, Reverse Transcription, PCR, and Real-time PCR (qPCR)—Total RNA was isolated using the Nucleospin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France) or TRIZol Reagent (Invitrogen), reverse transcribed by MMLV reverse transcriptase with oligo(dT) primers (Promega). Specific cDNAs were amplified on an iCYCLER thermocycler (Bio-Rad) or a 7500 FAST thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the SyBr Green detection protocol. Results were compared with the cyclophilin or HPRT DNA amplification.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay—Cells were formaldehyde cross-linked, and DNA was isolated and sonicated.
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Samples were immunoprecipitated using rabbit or goat anti-human clAP1 (R&D systems), rabbit anti-human E2F1 (C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-AchH3, or DiMeH3K9 pAbs (Upstate, Millipore, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France), washed, and reverse cross-linked using the chromatin immunoprecipitation kit EZ ChIP from Upstate (Millipore). For the sequential ChIP experiment, samples were first immunoprecipitated with anti-E2F1 pAb. The antibody-bound protein/DNA complexes were eluted using elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3), and a second ChIP was performed using the anti-clAP1 pAb. PCR and real-time PCR were performed as described above using primers flanking the E2F binding site in CCNE and CCNA promoters.

**Cell Cycle Analysis**—Cells were incubated for 30 min in the presence of 3 mM BrdU (Sigma Aldrich). Cells were fixed and resuspended in 30 mM HCl and 0.5 mg/ml pepsin for 30 min, then in 2 M HCl over 15 min, stained with primary anti-BrdU Ab, and with secondary anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 Ab (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and propidium iodide (PI, 10 µg/µl). Cell cycle repartition was assessed by LSRII flow cytometry using FlowJo® Softwares (Tree Star, Inc. Ashland, OR).

**Proliferation Analysis**—We used CellTrace™ CFSE Cell Proliferation Assay (for HeLa Cells) or Click-it™ EdU Cell Proliferation Assay (for HMEC and MEF) (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) to measure cell proliferation using a LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The index of proliferation was measured using ModFIT Software (Verity Software House Topsham, ME). THP1 cells were plated at the same density and counted each day.

**Statistical Analysis**—Student’s t test was used for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

**clAP1 Interacts with E2F1 through Its BIR Domains**—clAP1 has been identified in the nucleus of human normal cells, e.g. hematopoietic stem cells (25), as well as cancer cells (15, 16, 28, 30). It is also expressed in the nucleus of human colon carcinoma HT-29, human leukemia mononcytic THP1 (25, 26), and human epithelial cervix carcinoma HeLa (27) (Fig. 1A) cell lines. To identify nuclear partners of clAP1, cells were transfected with a GFP-tagged-clAP1 in which the NES had been mutated to force the nuclear overexpression of the protein (25), and cell lysates were incubated on an antibody array targeting mutated to force the nuclear overexpression of the protein (25), and cell lysates were incubated on an antibody array targeting 60 cell cycle-related proteins. Among the 18 detected positive hits, 7 were checked using a higher stringency approach in infected HeLa cells and interactions revealed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1B, supplemental Fig. S1A). TRAF2 was used as a positive control (Fig. 1B). Three potential partners were confirmed, namely TTK kinase, Rad52 (supplemental Fig. S1A), and E2F1 transcription factor (Fig. 1B). The GST pull-down experiment also confirmed a negative result of the initial screen, i.e. clAP1 did not interact with the E2F1 repressor retinoblastoma protein (Rb) (Fig. 1B). The reversed GST-pull-down assay demonstrated a binding of endogenous clAP1 with GST-E2F1 (Fig. 1C). We also detected a very weak binding of clAP2 and XIAP on GST-E2F1 (Fig. 1C). GST-clAP1 can interact with purified human E2F1 protein, indicating a direct interaction (Fig. 1D). clAP1 was co-expressed with E2F1 in the HeLa cell nucleus-enriched fraction whereas clAP2 and XIAP were detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1A). The in vivo interaction of E2F1 with clAP1 was confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. 1E).

We then mapped the protein domains required for this interaction by using a co-immunoprecipitation experiment (Fig. 1F) and GST-pull down assay (Fig. 1G and supplemental Fig. S1, B and C). As TRAF2, E2F1 interacted with clAP1 full-length and with the N-terminal part of the protein that contained BIR 1–3 domains but did not interact with the C-terminal part of clAP1 that included the CARD and the RING domains (Fig. 1F and supplemental Fig. S1, B and C). Mutation L47A within the BIR1 domain that abolished the clAP1-TRAF2 interaction (37) did not modify the clAP1-E2F1 interaction (Fig. 1F). We detected an in vivo interaction of clAP1 with TRAF2 in the nucleus- and in the cytoplasm-enriched fractions by immunoprecipitation (supplemental Fig. S1D). An in vitro competition experiment indicated that E2F1 and TRAF2 could compete for clAP1 binding (supplemental Fig. S1E). GST-pull down assay performed by using in vitro translated 35S-labeled full-length clAP1 and GST-E2F1 or GST-E2F1 deletion constructs demonstrated that clAP1 interacted with the E2F1 amino acid sequence 89–191 that overlaps its DNA binding domain (DBD) (Fig. 1G).

**clAP1 Stimulates E2F1 Transcriptional Activity**—Overexpression or silencing of clAP1 did not significantly alter the expression level of E2F1 (Fig. 2A). In a luciferase gene reporter assay using a construct containing 5xE2F binding sites upstream of the LUCIFERASE gene, overexpressed clAP1 dramatically enhanced E2F1 transcriptional activity, which was still observed when a mutation was introduced within the RING domain (H588A) of clAP1 to abrogate its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Fig. 2B). We then analyzed the influence of clAP1 on CCNE and CCNA promoters, which are two well-identified E2F1 target genes. clAP1 significantly stimulated CCNE gene expression in a dose-dependent manner on its own (Fig. 2C) and substantially enhanced E2F1 activity on CCNE (Fig. 2D) and to a lower extent CCNA (Fig. 2E) gene promoters. Again, mutation within the RING (H588A) domain or within the BIR1 domain (L47A) that inhibits clAP1-TRAF2 interaction (Fig. 1F) did not inhibit the capacity of clAP1 to stimulate E2F1 activity (Fig. 2D and supplemental Fig. S2A). Mutation of E2F binding sites I, II, and III (38) in the CCNE promoter of the reporter construct abolished the transcriptional activity of both clAP1 and E2F1 and prevented the synergistic effect of clAP1 and E2F1 (Fig. 2F), suggesting that clAP1 transcriptional effect depended on intact E2F binding sites. These results were confirmed in HT-29 human colon carcinoma cells that harbor a mutated p53 and in U-2 OS osteosarcoma cells that express wild-type p53 (supplemental Fig. S2, B and C). The capacity of clAP1 to stimulate cyclin E expression was confirmed by RT-qPCR (Fig. 2G, supplemental Fig. S3) and immunoblotting (Fig. 2J) in HeLa (Fig. 2G) and HT-29 (Fig. 2I, supplemental Fig. S3) and silencing of E2F1 decreased the capacity of clAP1 to stimulate CCNE mRNA expression (Fig. 2H).

We then compared the capacity of clAP1, clAP2, and XIAP to stimulate E2F1 activity. Overexpression of clAP1 decreased...
the expression of cIAP2 and XIAP (Fig. 3A), accordingly to the capacity of cIAP1 to ubiquitinylate and stimulate the degradation of its close relatives (39). cIAP2 and XIAP were much less efficient than cIAP1 for stimulating E2F1 activity on synthetic and CCNE promoters (Fig. 3, A and B). cIAP1 could also stimulate E2F2 and E2F3a, other so-called stimulatory members of the E2F family, although more weakly than E2F1 (Fig. 3C).

**cIAP1 Is Recruited on the CCNE Promoter**—To explore whether cIAP1 could bind to the CCNE promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) using primers that flanked the E2F binding site of the promoter. Primers located inside the CCNE gene sequence are used as a negative control. As expected, E2F1 is recruited on the E2F binding site of the CCNE promoter (Fig. 4, A and B). cIAP1 was detected on the E2F binding site of the CCNE promoter in HeLa, U-2 OS, HT-29, THP1 cell lines and in primary human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) (Fig. 4, A and C) whereas neither cIAP1 nor E2F1 bound the DNA sequence of the CCNE gene (Fig. 4, B and C). The same approach was used to demonstrate that cIAP1 could also bind the E2F binding site of the CCNA promoter in the cell line and in primary human CD34+/H11001 hematopoietic cells (Fig. 4D). ChIP with E2F1 antibody and a Re-ChIP using cIAP1 antibody demonstrated that cIAP1 and E2F1 were recruited at the same promoter region of the CCNE gene (Fig. 4E). These data suggested that cIAP1 was a component of the E2F1 transcriptional complex.
The G2/M phase reached 8 h after block release was characterized by a decrease in cyclin E and an increase in cyclin B expression (Fig. 5A). The expression of cIAP1 (Fig. 5A), its subcellular localization (not shown), and its interaction with E2F1 (Fig. 5B) did not change significantly along the cell cycle progression. As observed for E2F1 (Fig. 5C, left panel; supplemental Fig. S5A), cIAP1 was mainly recruited on the CCNE promoter in early S phase (Fig. 5D, left panel; supplemental Fig. S5A). Both cIAP1 and E2F1 were also recruited onto the CCNE promoter in late S phase (Fig. 5, C and D, left panels; supplemental Fig. S5A). The recruitment of E2F1 and cIAP1 onto the CCNA promoter was also cell cycle-regulated and occurred later in S phase (Fig. 5, C and D, right panels; supplemental Fig. S5). cIAP1 and E2F1 were observed to bind the CCNA promoter in primary human

**FIGURE 2.** cIAP1 Is a Transcriptional Co-regulator of E2F1. A, immunoblot analysis of cIAP1 and E2F1 in HeLa cells transfected with cIAP1 construct or cIAP1 siRNA. HSC70: loading control. B–F, gene luciferase experiments performed in HeLa cells transfected with indicated promoter-luciferase reporter plasmids, along with control (Co) or E2F1-encoding vector and/or 500 ng or indicated amount (C) of empty (Co), cIAP1 or HS88A (B, D) encoding constructs. p(5xE2F BS): synthetic promoter containing 5xE2F binding sites (B); p(CCNE) & wt: wt CCNE promoter (B–D, F); p(CCNA): CCNA promoter (E); mutated: E2F binding site-mutated CCNE promoter. Luciferase activity was normalized to β-galactosidase activity and expressed as fold induction of promoter stimulated by empty vector alone. Mean ± S.D. of one representative experiment. Statistical analysis performed using Student’s t test. ***, p = 0.0003, n = 10 (C); *, p = 0.013, n = 3 (E). cIAP1 and E2F1 overexpression were checked by immunoblot analysis (B right panels, C lower panel). G and H, quantitative RT-PCR analysis of CCNE or birc2 mRNA in HeLa cells transfected with empty or cIAP1 encoding vectors and/or E2F1-siRNA (si-E2F1). Results are normalized to HPRT mRNA and expressed relative to empty vector (G) or expressed as % of CCNE mRNA induced by cIAP1 in the presence of control siRNA (H). Mean ± S.D. of one representative experiment. Statistically significant differences (**, p = 0.007, n = 3, Student’s t test) (G, I). I, immunoblot analysis of cIAP1 and cyclin E in HT-29 cells transfected with cIAP1 construct. The relative expression of cyclin E in cIAP1-transfected cells compared with empty vector as evaluated after quantification using ImageJ software was shown on the blot. HSC70: loading control.
CD34+ hematopoietic cells (Fig. 5E). In accordance with the previously described exclusion of cIAP1 from the nucleus in cells undergoing differentiation (25), this recruitment is decreased when CD34+/H11001 cells were induced to differentiate into CD14+/H11001 monocytes upon M-CSF exposure (Fig. 5E).

cIAP1 Is Required for E2F1 Binding to the CCNE Promoter—Silencing of cIAP1 in HeLa cells using siRNA (Fig. 6, A–D) inhibited the capacity of E2F1 to stimulate the transcriptional expression of CCNE (Fig. 6A, left panel), and completely abolished the recruitment of cIAP1 and E2F1 on the CCNE promoter (Fig. 6, C and D, left panel). Interestingly, silencing of E2F1 (Fig. 6B) also inhibited the recruitment of cIAP1 (Fig. 6D, right panel). We confirmed these results in the CaSki human epidermoid cervical carcinoma cell line expressing the ampli
con 11q21, which contains the birc2 gene (16). As expected, we observed a very high expression of cIAP1 in both nucleus and cytoplasm-enriched fraction compared with HeLa cells (Fig. 6E). cIAP1 was also recruited on the cyclin E promoter, and silencing of cIAP1 prevented the recruitment of E2F1 on the CCNE promoter (Fig. 6F, supplemental Fig. S5B). Moreover, cIAP1 siRNA decreased the acetylation of histone H3 on the CCNE promoter that accompanied the transcriptional activation and increased the dimethylation of histone H3 on lysine 9 (H3K9), which is a feature of transcriptional repression (Fig. 6G) (40).

cIAP1 Modulates Cyclin Expression and Cell Proliferation—We analyzed the influence of cIAP1 on cyclin expression. siRNA-mediated down-regulation of cIAP1 decreased cyclin E and A mRNA (Fig. 7, A and B) and protein (Fig. 7C) expression in HeLa cells (Fig. 7, A–C) and in primary human mammary epithelial cells (Fig. 7C). A similar effect was observed by down-regulating cIAP1 with an antisense (AS) oligonu
cleotide construct in THP1 cells (Fig. 7C). Silencing of cIAP1 also decreased the cyclin E transcript in CaSki, B16F10...
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FIGURE 4. clAP1 is recruited on E2F binding site of CCN promoters. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments performed using an anti-E2F1 (A, B, E), anti-clAP1 (A, C–E), or an irrelevant antibody (lg) in HeLa (A–E), U-2 OS, HT-29, THP1, HMEC (C), and CD34+/H11001 primary myeloid cells (D). The genomic DNA region encompassing one E2F binding site of the CCNE (p(CCNE) (B, C, E), CCNA (p(CCNA) promoters (D) or a control sequence localized in CCNE gene (B, C left panels) were amplified by PCR (A) or qPCR (B–E), ChIP and re-ChIP experiments performed on HeLa cells. The sample was first immunoprecipitated with E2F1 or irrelevant antibody (lg). The protein-DNA complex was eluted, and a second ChIP was performed using clAP1 or irrelevant Ab. Results were normalized to input and expressed as relative recruitment compared with irrelevant antibody. Mean ± S.D. of one representative experiment.

mouse melanoma and in L929 mouse fibroblast cell lines (Fig. 7D). We did not detect such an effect in murine embryonic fibroblast (MEF) (Fig. 7D), and MEF from deleted mice (MEF clAP1−/−) did not show a decrease in the cyclin E transcript and protein when compared with wild-type MEF (supplemental Fig. S6, A and B). The cell fractionation experiment revealed that, in contrast to HeLa (Fig. 1A), HMEC (Fig. 7E), CaSki (Fig. 6E), B16F10, and L929 cell lines (Fig. 7E) in which clAP1 is detected in the nuclear-enriched fraction, the expression of clAP1 is almost restricted to the cytoplasm compartment in MEF (Fig. 7E), especially in the G0/G1 and S phase of the cell cycle, when E2F1 activity is maximal (supplemental Fig. S6C). Transfection of MEF clAP1−/− with a clAP1 construct induced clAP1 expression in both nuclear and cytoplasm compartments (Fig. 7F) and enhanced E2F1 activity (supplemental Fig. S6D) and cyclin E expression (Fig. 7G). The analysis of cell proliferation and cell cycle repartition showed that down-regulation of clAP1 (Fig. 7C) slowed down the cell proliferation (Fig. 8, A and B), decreased S phase of cell cycle (Fig. 8C) and increased G0/G1 (Fig. 8, C and D in HeLa (Fig. 7C and Fig. 8, A, C, D) and THP1 (Fig. 7C and Fig. 8, B and D) cells. We did not detect any sign of
apoptosis (not shown), and the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk did not affect the capacity of cIAP1-siRNA to decrease HeLa cell growth rate (Fig. 8A). Moreover, the effect of cIAP1-siRNA on cell proliferation could be reverted by co-expression of the cIAP1-encoding vector (Fig. 8A).

Silencing of cIAP1 (Fig. 7C) also decreased the proliferation rate as evaluated by 24 h EdU incorporation in primary HMEC (Fig. 8E). Moreover, expression of cIAP1 in the nuclear compartment of MEF cIAP1−/−/H11002 (Fig. 7F) stimulated cell proliferation (Fig. 8F).

**DISCUSSION**

In contrast to its closest homologs cIAP2 and XIAP that are localized in the cytoplasm, cIAP1 is expressed in the nucleus of a majority of normal cells until terminal differentiation (25, 27, 29) and in the nucleus of many cancer cells (15, 16, 25–28, 30). The present report identifies a nuclear function for cIAP1. The protein appears to be a co-regulator of E2F1-dependent transcriptional activity.

The E2F family of transcription factors includes 8 members subdivided into subgroups based on structural and functional homologies. These transcription factors are potent cell cycle regulators through their capacity to regulate the expression of genes involved in G1-S phase transition, including CCNE and CCNA genes. E2F proteins promote either activation or repression of gene transcription, depending on the target gene, the pattern of co-regulator partners, and the cellular context (34, 41–43). Molecular partners affect E2F1 transcriptional activity e.g. Rb interaction with E2F1 is associated with transcription inhibition (44, 45). We show that cIAP1 binds a protein sequence of E2F1 (amino-acids 89–191) that overlaps with its DNA binding domain and favors E2F1-mediated transcriptional activation of CCNE and CCNA genes. cIAP1 appears to be important for optimal E2F1 mediated-cyclin E expression. Silencing of cIAP1 inhibits the recruitment of E2F1 on CCNE promoter, suggesting that cIAP1 is required for DNA binding of E2F1. Another molecular partner of E2F whose heterodimerization promotes the transcription factor binding to gene promoters is the co-activator DP1 (32, 46). We did not detect an interaction of cIAP1 with DP1 and DP1 did not
affect the capacity of cIAP1 to stimulate E2F1 (not shown). cIAP1 can directly interact with E2F1 in all stage of cell cycle. However, the recruitment of cIAP1 on the cyclin gene promoter is cell cycle-regulated, peaking when the E2F1 activity is maximal. Additional partners or protein modifications may be required for the binding of this heterodimer to DNA at specific phases of the cell cycle.

cIAP1 acts in collaboration with the TRAF2 protein to regulate the TNFR signaling pathway. TRAF2 is also observed to be associated with cIAP1 in the nuclear compartment (supplemental Fig. S1D). However, mutation within the BIR1 domain of cIAP1 that abolishes its binding to TRAF2 does not interfere with the capacity of cIAP1 to interact and stimulate E2F1, suggesting that the transcriptional regulation activity of cIAP1 is independent of TRAF2. Most of the cIAP1 functions identified so far involve its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (1, 3, 47, 48). The ability of cIAP1 to promote E2F1 transcriptional activity could have been related to the ubiquitination of E2F1 or other E2F1

---

**FIGURE 6. Contribution of cIAP1 in the transcriptional activity of E2F1.** A, quantitative RT-PCR analysis of ccne (right panel), e2f1 (medium panel), and birc2 (left panel) mRNAs in HeLa cells transfected with empty or E2F1-encoding vector and control (Co) or cIAP1-targeted siRNA. Results were normalized to cyclophilin mRNA and expressed relative to empty vector. Mean ± S.D. of one representative experiment. Statistically significant differences (*, p < 0.05, n = 3, Student’s t test). B, efficacy of cIAP1 or E2F1-targeted siRNAs was checked by an immunoblot analysis. HSC70: loading control. C, D, and F, G, chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments performed using an anti-E2F1 or an anti-cIAP1 (C, D, F), an anti-acetyl histone H3 (Ac H3) or an anti-dimethyl histone H3 on lysine 9 (diMe H3K9) (G) or an irrelevant antibody (Ig) in HeLa (C, D, G) or CaSki (F) cells. The genomic DNA region encompassing the E2F-binding site of the CCNE promoter was amplified by PCR (C) or qPCR (D, F, G). Results are normalized to input and expressed as relative recruitment compared with irrelevant antibody. Mean ± S.D. of one representative experiment (D, F, G) is shown. MW: molecular weight. The efficacy of cIAP1-targeted siRNAs was checked by an immunoblot analysis (F, upper panel). HSC70: loading control. E, immunoblot analysis of cIAP1, XIAP, E2F1, cyclin E, and cyclin A in the cytoplasm (C)- and nucleus (N)-enriched fractions of HeLa and CaSki cells. HSC70: loading control.
molecular partners. Actually, we did not detect a ubiquitination of E2F1 by cIAP1 (not shown), and a mutation that suppressed this E3 ligase activity did not abolish the ability of cIAP1 to stimulate the E2F1 transcriptional activity in a luciferase gene reporter assay.

MEFs from animals in which the studied gene has been deleted is a useful tool to check the function of a studied protein. Unfortunately, these cells could not be used to explore the ability of cIAP1 to promote E2F1 transcriptional activation as cIAP1 is localized in the cytoplasm of these differentiated cells (supplemental Fig. S5), in accord with our previous observation that cIAP1 migrates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in cells undergoing terminal differentiation (25, 26). We show that nuclear cIAP1 is recruited on the CCNA gene promoter in undifferentiated hematopoietic stem cells and cannot be detected on this promoter in monocytes obtained by M-CSF-induced differentiation of these cells. Redistribution of cIAP1 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm could favor the decrease in cell proliferation and cell cycle exit that characterizes terminal cell differentiation. Additional studies will indicate whether this redistribution of cIAP1 could also favor the repression, inhibition, or degradation of E2F1 that is required for normal occurrence of differentiation in several cellular models (44, 49, 50).

The influence of cIAP1 on tumor development has been well demonstrated in several mouse carcinoma models (18, 23, 24). Down-regulation of cIAP1 decreases tumor cell growth \textit{in vivo} (18, 23, 24) and decreases the proliferation in human breast
cancer cell line MCF-7 (51) and mouse primary carcinoma cells (24). Accordingly, we also observe a decrease in cell proliferation after cIAP1 down-regulation, which is accompanied by a decrease in cyclin E and A expression. Interestingly, the murine hepatocellular carcinoma harboring 9qA1 amplicon, which contains cIAP1-, cIAP2-, and yap1-encoding genes were...
observed to overexpress cyclin E (18). Moreover, a recent report showed that the 9A1 amplicon could be substituted by an inactivation of the E2F-repressor Rb in p53−/− mouse mammary carcinogenesis (24). The ability of cIAP1 to promote E2F1-mediated transcription activity of CCN genes, whose overexpression was associated with poor prognosis in several tumor types (52), could account for the oncogenic properties of the protein.
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