CiliaCarta: An integrated and validated compendium of ciliary genes
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Abstract

The cilium is an essential organelle at the surface of mammalian cells whose dysfunction causes a wide range of genetic diseases collectively called ciliopathies. The current rate at which new ciliopathy genes are identified suggests that many ciliary components remain undiscovered. We generated and rigorously analyzed genomic, proteomic, transcriptomic and evolutionary data and systematically integrated these using Bayesian statistics into a predictive score for ciliary function. This resulted in 285 candidate ciliary genes. We generated independent experimental evidence of ciliary associations for 24 out of 36 analyzed candidate proteins using multiple cell and animal model systems (mouse, zebrafish and nematode) and techniques. For example, we show that OSCP1, which has previously been implicated in two distinct non-ciliary processes, causes ciliogenic and ciliopathy-associated tissue phenotypes when depleted in zebrafish. The candidate list forms the basis of CiliaCarta, a comprehensive ciliary compendium covering 956 genes. The resource can be used to objectively prioritize candidate genes in whole exome or genome sequencing of ciliopathy patients and can be accessed at http://bioinformatics.bio.uu.nl/john/syscilia/ciliacarta/.

Introduction

Cilia are microtubule-based organelles extending from the surface of most eukaryotic cells, serving critical functions in cell and fluid motility, as well as the transduction of a plethora of sensory and biochemical signals associated with developmental processes [1]. Disruption of cilia leads to a wide range of human disorders, known as ciliopathies, characterized by defects in many different tissues and organs leading to symptoms such as cystic kidneys, blindness, bone malformation, nervous system defects and obesity [2,3]. The cilium is a complex and highly organized structure, typically comprised of a ring of nine microtubule doublets extending from a centriole-derived basal body and enveloped by an extension of the plasma membrane. Importantly, cilia are compartmentalized structures, with a protein composition of the membrane and lumen differing significantly from that of the plasma membrane and cytoplasm [4,5]. Several hundred proteins are thought to be involved in the formation and function of ciliary structures and associated signaling and transport pathways. Between Gene Ontology (GO) [6] and the SYSCILIA Gold Standard (SCGS) [7] approximately 750 genes have already been associated with ciliary function. However, it is likely that many more ciliary proteins remain to be identified, as new genes for this organelle are still frequently uncovered—often in relation to genetic disorders. [2].

Although omics data sets provide a rich source of information to determine the proteins that constitute an organelle, they are inherently imperfect. It is well established that large scale approaches often miss key players; for example, proteomics fares better at finding intracellular versus extracellular or membrane proteins [8]. Combining data sets into a single resource that exploits their complementary nature is a logical step. The power of such an approach has been demonstrated for various cellular systems such as small RNA pathways [9], the innate antiviral response [10] and mitochondrial proteins in MitoCarta [11]. The latter is a compendium of mitochondrial proteins based on the integration of various types of genomics data that has been extensively used by the biomedical community [12].
Like for the mitochondrion, approaches that exploiting signals in genomics data to predict new genes have been applied to the cilium, e.g. the specific occurrence of genes in species with a cilium[13,14], the sharing of specific ciliogenic transcription factor binding sites such as the X-box motif [15] and the spatiotemporal co-expression of ciliary genes [16]. Furthermore, an extensive database, CilDB, contains data from individual genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics approaches related to the cilium [17]. Although such databases are invaluable to researchers, it is not obvious how to handle the sometimes-conflicting information presented by independent data sources: for example, what does a negative result in one dataset and a positive result in another mean? A powerful solution lies in statistical and probabilistic integration of data sets. Multiple methods exist to combine genomics data, ranging from simply taking the genes that are reported by most data sources, to machine learning methods that take into account non-linear combinations of the data (reviewed in [18]). In this spectrum, naive Bayesian classifiers take a middle ground. They exploit the relative strengths of the various data sets while maintaining transparency of the integration. For each gene, the contribution of each data set to the prediction can be determined and new independent data sources can then simply be added to those already used to improve predictive value and coverage.

Here, we present CiliaCarta, a thorough wet-lab studies validated compendium of ciliary genes based on literature, annotation, and genome-wide Bayesian integration of a wide range of experimental data, that includes a recent large-scale protein-protein interaction data set specifically focused on ciliary proteins [19]. We extend the currently known set of cilia-related genes with 209 putative cilia-related genes, to a total of 956 genes. Based on the outcome of the probabilistic integration and the results from the experimental validations, we estimate the total size of the human cilome to be approximately 1200 genes. Furthermore, we show that objective data integration using Bayesian probabilities is capable of overcoming biases based on literature. As proof-of-principle of our approach, we show that OSCP1, previously described as a solute carrier or tumor suppressor [20,21], is also a ciliary component, required for regulating vertebrate ciliogenesis and associated tissue homeostasis.

Results

Data collection and curation

We collected and constructed a total of six new data sets from proteomics, genomics, expression and evolutionary data and complemented these with two public data sets (Table 1). The data sets include three protein-protein interaction (PPI) data sets based on three methods: tandem-affinity purification and mass spectrometry (TAP-MS) [19], stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC), and yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) screens. The latter two data sets are published as part of this work and include 1666 proteins (1301 and 365, respectively) describing 4659 interactions (4160 and 499, respectively) and an estimated positive predictive value for discovering new ciliary proteins of 0.28 and 0.54 respectively. Because the TAP-MS and SILAC data sets are based on a similar methodology and have a large bait overlap (14 out of 16 SILAC baits were used in the TAP-MS data set), we merged them into a single data set (Mass-spec based PPI) to avoid bias (see methods). Furthermore, we created three additional bioinformatics data sets: (i) a data set describing the presence or absence of conserved cilia-specific RFX and FOXJ1 transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) in the promoter regions of human genes, based on the 29 mammals project [22], (ii) a bioinformatics screen for genes that show co-expression with a set of known ciliary genes, and (iii) a comprehensive co-evolution data correlating gene presence-absence patterns with the presence of the cilium over a representative data set of eukaryotic species. Supplementing these six data sets are two published large-scale data sets of primary and motile cilia: (i) the Liu et al. study features a
proteomics data set describing the protein content of sensory cilia derived from isolated murine photoreceptor cells [23] and was mapped to the human proteome via orthology as implemented in CilDB [17]; (ii) the Ross *et al.* study comprises of a dynamic gene expression data set describing the up-regulation of genes during ciliogenesis in a time series after shearing off cilia in human lung epithelial cells [24]. Although both data sets are not among the strongest predictors for ciliary function, they have a much higher coverage of the human proteome than other published data sets (S1 Fig). The eight data sets were selected to ensure independence of the evidence types and provide comprehensive coverage of molecular signatures for ciliary genes. Importantly, each individual data set contains a highly significant signal for the discovery of ciliary genes (Table 1). A full description on each data set is given in the methods section.

### Bayesian integration of omics data sets provides gene-specific probabilities for cilia involvement

By combining the complementary sources of evidence for cillum function, we obtain a data-driven, high-confidence compendium of ciliary genes. To integrate the data sets in a probabilistic manner we assessed their ability to predict ciliary genes using the SCGS, a manually curated set of known ciliary genes (the ‘positive’ set) [7], and a set of genes whose proteins show non-ciliary subcellular localization and are most likely not involved in ciliary function (the ‘negative’ set; see methods). We divided each data set into appropriate sub-categories that reflect increasing propensities to report ciliary genes (Fig 1A). Then, for each data category we calculated the likelihood ratios of predicting ciliary genes versus predicting non-ciliary genes using Bayes’ theorem. The final log-summed likelihood ratio, which includes the prior expected probability to observe a ciliary gene in the genome, we call the ‘CiliaCarta Score’. This score represents the likelihood for a gene to be ciliary, based on all the data sets considered (Bayesian scores for all genes can be found in S1 Table). A practical interpretation of the log-summed likelihood ratio is that a positive score of 1 means that a gene is twice more likely to be ciliary than non-ciliary. Whilst a score of -1 means that a gene is twice more likely to be non-ciliary than ciliary. The integrated score readily distinguishes between the positive and negative set (Fig 1B, p-value: 2.8e-85 Mann-Whitney U test). A ten-fold cross-validation

### Table 1. Coverage and predictive power of the cillum data sets.

| Dataset                                      | # of genes in dataset | Coverage of genome | Coverage of Gold Standard | p-value  |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| TAP-MS                                       | 4410                  | 19.4%              | 64.9%                      | 1.5E-67  |
| SILAC                                        | 1397                  | 6.2%               | 21.5%                      | 3.8E-19  |
| Mass-spec based PPI (TAP-MS + SILAC)         | 4799                  | 21.1%              | 65.9%                      | 2.0E-64  |
| Y2H PPI                                      | 343                   | 1.5%               | 9.2%                       | 2.1E-14  |
| RFX/FOXI TFBS                                | 2201                  | 9.7%               | 29.4%                      | 2.2E-22  |
| Cilia co-occurrence (DDP ≤ 9)                | 1485                  | 6.5%               | 30.5%                      | 2.8E-37  |
| Expression screen (S ≥ 1.5)                  | 5448                  | 24.0%              | 75.5%                      | 2.4E-69  |
| Liu *et al.* 2007                            | 2085                  | 9.2%               | 38.4%                      | 1.4E-43  |
| Ross *et al.* 2007                           | 1204                  | 5.3%               | 26.2%                      | 2.4E-33  |

Coverage columns denote the fraction of the genome or SCGS that are identified by each approach. P-values indicate significant overrepresentation of the SCGS compared to random by Fisher’s exact test. Mass-spec based PPI represents the union of the TAP and SILAC data sets, resulting in the integration of five new and two published data sets.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705.t001
demonstrates that the results are robust, with an area under the curve of 0.86 (S2 Fig). The top-ranking genes are strongly enriched for known ciliary genes (Fig 1C). Note that known ciliary genes which score negatively should not be considered as potential non-ciliary, but rather should be considered to be poorly represented by the experiments that were integrated. However, the Bayesian classifier outperforms any individual data set, while achieving genome-wide coverage (Fig 1D).
Experiments validate ciliary function for 67% of selected candidate genes

In order to validate the quality of our approach we performed a series of experimental tests to assess newly predicted candidate genes for ciliary localizations and/or function. We set our inclusion threshold at a False Discovery Rate of 25% (cFDR, corrected for the prior expectation to observe a cilium gene; see methods). 404 genes fall within this threshold, 285 of which were not in the training sets and thus constitute novel candidate ciliary genes. Eight genes from the negative set (HSPH1, CALM3, COL21A1, CALM1, PGES3, HSPD1, COL28A1, and PPOX) occur in the top 404 genes. Literature searches reveal no previous connection of these genes to the cilium. Some high scoring non-ciliary genes are expected due to stochasticity in the underlying experimental data, although it is possible that a few of these may still have a ciliary function. The FDR for the 285 candidate genes is 33% when excluding genes from the training sets (see methods). From the candidates not previously known to have a ciliary association, we selected a total of 36 genes, spread evenly across the top predictions, for experimental validation (Table 2). The gene selection was performed as unbiased as possible, restricted by orthology (zebrafish, Caenorhabditis elegans) and the resources available to the participating labs. We performed validations in human, mouse, zebrafish and C. elegans (roundworms) by applying six distinct approaches to determine ciliary localization and function.

Validation by phenotype. In the C. elegans hermaphrodite (959 cells), non-motile cilia extend from the dendritic endings of 60 sensory neurons. Most cilia are housed within the environmentally exposed cuticular pores, serving chemo-, osmo- and thermo-sensory roles [25]. 68 of our 285 candidate genes have one-to-one orthologs in C. elegans, and for 21 of these genes, viable alleles were available from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, USA) (S2 Table). These alleles are all nonsense or deletion mutations and predicted to severely disrupt gene function. For each available mutant, we employed dye-filling assays to indirectly assess the integrity of a subset of cilia (six amphid pairs and one phasmid pair), and foraging (roaming for food) and osmotic avoidance assays to investigate cilia-related sensory behaviors [26,27]. Nine mutants display roaming defects, with three of these mutants also showing a mild defect in dye uptake (Fig 2A and 2B, S3 Fig, and S2 Table). None of the mutants showed an abnormal osmotic avoidance response (S2 Table). Thus, 43% of assayed genes display associated mutant phenotypes consistent with a cilia-related role.

Three conserved candidate genes, srgap3, ttc18 and rab36, were investigated in zebrafish for cilia-related phenotypes after knockdown with morpholinos. The development of cilia in the Kupffer’s vesicle was examined (Fig 3A). rab36 and ttc18 morphants show significantly reduced cilia length and number in the Kupffer’s vesicle (p<0.001 and p<0.05 respectively), while the srgap3 morphant shows an increased cilia length (p<0.001), but no effect on cilia number. Pronephric ducts are several orders of magnitude larger in all three morphants during the pharyngula period (24 hpf) compared to wild type (Fig 3B, p-value < 0.001). Furthermore, all three morphants exhibit body-axis defects associated with cilium dysfunction [28,29] (Fig 3C).

Although the zebrafish morphant and C. elegans mutant allele data is consistent with ciliary functions for the associated genes, a few of these genes could orchestrate the observed phenotypes via non-ciliary roles or could be off-target effects (as is documented for morpholinos in zebrafish [30]). However, for two out of the three genes tested with zebrafish morpholinos (srgap3 and ttc18) a ciliary function has been established by others [31,32]. The high prevalence of cilia-related phenotypes in assayed mutants (e.g., roaming disruption [27,33–35]), implies a low (albeit not negligible) false negative rate and provides quantitative support for substantial enrichment of ciliary genes in CiliaCarta.
| Rank | Gene | Gene Symbol | Roaming (C. elegans) | Dyefilling (C. elegans) | Zebrafish morpho-linos | hTERT-RPE1 eCFP fusion | Lung epithelial cells (human) | hTERT-RPE1 cells | Retina cross-sections (mouse) | Ciliary phen./loc.? | Published (PubMed IDs) |
|------|------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 38   | C20orf26 | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 38 C20orf26 Ax. & BB     |
| 50   | EML1  | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 50 EML1 ++ ++            |
| 52   | RIBC2 | Ax. BB      | CC, BB, AC, PCM      |                      |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 52 RIBC2 Ax. BB          |
| 72   | ARMC3 | Ax. NCL     | CC, BB, PCR, PS      |                      |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 72 ARMC3 Ax. NCL         |
| 80   | SYNE1 | + +         |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 80 SYNE1 + +            |
| 88   | EFHC2 | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 88 EFHC2 ++ ++          |
| 96   | CFAP20 | Ax. & BB    |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 96 CFAP20 Ax. & BB       |
| 116  | MAGI2 | +           |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 116 MAGI2 +            |
| 117  | SRGAP3 | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 117 SRGAP3 ++ ++         |
| 119  | FAM65B | ++ ++      |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 119 FAM65B ++ ++        |
| 141  | CCDC113 | - ++      |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 141 CCDC113 - ++        |
| 170  | NBEA  | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 141 CCDC113 - ++        |
| 184  | CYB5D1 | Ax. & BB    |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 184 CYB5D1 Ax. & BB     |
| 186  | C6orf165 | BB NCL |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 186 C6orf165 BB NCL     |
| 190  | DMD   | -            |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 190 DMD -             |
| 198  | PPP5C | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 198 PPP5C ++ ++         |
| 202  | MYO5B | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 202 MYO5B ++ ++         |
| 218  | RALGAPA1 | ++ ++ |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 218 RALGAPA1 ++ ++      |
| 232  | CCDC147 | BB        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 232 CCDC147 BB          |
| 243  | C12orf10 | ++ ++    |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 243 C12orf10 ++ ++      |
| 257  | C15orf27 | BB        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 257 C15orf27 BB         |
| 264  | PLCB4 | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 264 PLCB4 - ++          |
| 274  | ENAH  | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 274 ENAH - ++          |
| 278  | EFCA87 | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 278 EFCA87 - ++        |
| 305  | IQCA1 | - NCL       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 305 IQCA1 - NCL          |
| 306  | HIPK1 | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 306 HIPK1 - ++          |
| 319  | TTC18 | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 319 TTC18 - ++          |
| 337  | SLC22A4 | ++ ++      |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 337 SLC22A4 ++ ++       |
| 341  | TSSC1 | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 341 TSSC1 ++ ++         |
| 347  | IPO5  | BB          |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 347 IPO5 BB             |
| 348  | HSPAL1 | BB          |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 348 HSPAL1 BB           |
| 349  | VPS35 | - ++        |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 349 VPS35 - ++          |
| 359  | SKP1  | ++ ++       |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | no              | 359 SKP1 ++ ++          |
| 379  | TEKT1 | BB          |                      |                       |                       | Ax. & BB               |                            |                  |                            | yes             | 379 TEKT1 BB            |

(Continued)
Validation by subcellular localization. Subcellular localization studies were performed for a total of nine proteins in ciliated human hTERT-RPE1 cultured cells using eCFP-tagged overexpression constructs (Table 2, S4 Fig). We found that eight proteins localized to the basal body and/or the ciliary axoneme. To account for possible localization artifacts, two

Table 2. (Continued)

| Gene Rank | Gene Symbol | Roaming (C. elegans) | Dye filling (C. elegans) | Zebradish morpho-linos | hTERT-RPE1 eCFP fusion | Lung epithelial cells (human) | hTERT-RPE1 cells | Retina cross-sections (mouse) | Ciliary phen./loc.? | Published (PubMed IDs) |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 395       | RAB36       | -                    | +                       | decreased cilia length in kv, decreased cilia number in kv, dilated phagocytic duct | yes                     | yes                         | yes           | yes                         | yes            | yes                    |
| 402       | OSCP1       | -                    | +                       |                        | yes                     | yes                         | yes           | yes                         | yes            | yes                    |

Empty cells means not tested. Eleven proteins have been published as ciliary proteins since the start of the validation experiments. Pubmed identifiers are provided in the final column. Ax.: axonemal, BB: basal body, NCL: non-ciliary localization, CC: connecting cilium, AC: adjacent centriole, PCM: periciliary membrane, PCR: periciliary region, PS: photoreceptor synapse, Rtlt: Rootlet, PIS: photoreceptor inner segment. Roaming: “++” normal, “-” defective (p<0.0001). Dye uptake: “++” normal, “+” slightly reduced uptake, “-” mild reduced dye uptake, “--” defective dye uptake.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705.1002

Validation by worm phenotype. Subcellular localization studies were performed for a total of nine proteins in ciliated human hTERT-RPE1 cultured cells using eCFP-tagged overexpression constructs (Table 2, S4 Fig). We found that eight proteins localized to the basal body and/or the ciliary axoneme. To account for possible localization artifacts, two

![Fig 2. Validation by worm phenotype.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705.g002)

Fig 2. Validation by worm phenotype. A) C. elegans dye uptake assay. In wild type worms, DiI dye is taken up by 6 pairs of amphid (head) and one pair of phasmid (tail; not shown) neurons via their environmentally exposed sensory cilia. In the mutants shown, the amount of incorporated dye is modestly reduced, although most or all neurons still uptake the dye. The mks-5 mutant functions as control for ciliary disfunction. Scale bars: 20 μm. B) Single worm roaming assays. Bars represent mean ± S.E.M (n≥20) independent experiments, normalized to wild type control. * p<0.05 (unpaired t-test; vs. WT).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705.g002
representative photos are taken per eCFP fusion protein, containing at least one ciliated cell from the same slide. Cells transfected for c15orf22::eCFP and c16orf80::eCFP ciliated only when expression of the eCFP fusion protein was low. Fig 4A shows representative examples, with C20orf26 and CCDC147 localizing to the basal body, whilst IQCA1 was not enriched at the cilium.

Four proteins (RIBC2, ARMC3, CCDC113 and C6orf165) were investigated for ciliary distribution of the endogenous protein by immunofluorescence microscopy. RIBC2, ARMC3 and CCDC113 co-localize with acetylated alpha tubulin, a marker for the ciliary axoneme in human respiratory cells, while C6orf165 specifically accumulates to the ciliary base (Fig 4B).

We also tested these four proteins in other model systems to investigate if their ciliary localization is model-specific. Location was validated in murine retinal sections by immunofluorescence and at the ultrastructural level via immunogold electron microscopy (Fig 4C–4F).

All four proteins associated with the sensory cilia of photoreceptor cells. However, in hTERT-RPE1 cells only RIBC2 showed localization at the basal body suggesting that ciliary targeting can be cell type specific (Fig 4G). Concurrent to our efforts other labs recently identified ciliary involvement for RIBC2 [36], ARMC3 [37] and CCDC113 [38].
Fig 4. Validation by ciliary localization. A) Fluorescence microscopy of eCFP fused to C20orf26, CCDC147 and IQCA1 in hTERT-RPE1 cells. Acetylated alpha tubulin (red) is used to mark the axoneme. DAPI (blue) staining is used to mark the cell nucleus. IQCA1 does not appear to co-localize with acetylated alpha tubulin. B) Localization of CCDC113, RIBC2, ARMC3 and C6orf165 (red) compared with acetylated alpha tubulin (green) in human lung epithelial cells. C) Localization of CCDC113 in the primary sensory cilium of mature mouse photoreceptor cells. On the left: indirect 2-color immunofluorescence of CCDC113 (green) and centrin-3 (Cen3, red), a marker protein for the connecting cilium (CC), the
Overall validation performance. Combining the results of all the validation experiments, we observed a ciliary localization or putative cilium-associated phenotype for 24 of the 36 candidates tested (Table 2), i.e. a positive predictive value (PPV) of 0.67. There appears to be a notable performance difference between the localization- and phenotype-based validation assays (PPV of 0.92 and 0.56 respectively, Fig 4H). This difference is likely attributable to the fact that knockdown of a ciliary gene does not necessarily lead to a detectable phenotype. The overall observed experimental PPV corresponds with the theoretical PPV of 67%, which corresponds to the FDR of 33% calculated for the candidate genes from the integrated CiliaCarta score (expected validation rate: 24.1 out of 36, 
$p(x = 24 \text{ given } 33\% \text{ FDR}) = 0.15$, hypergeometric test, Fig 4H). The tested candidate genes have not been fully characterized, and thus it is possible that some might be false positives. However, even if we assume the lowest PPV of 40%, the experimental validation rate is significantly higher than expected by chance, based on the estimated prior distribution of ciliary versus non-ciliary genes in the human genome (expected 1.8 out of 36, 
$p = 3.34\text{e-23 for PPV} = 67\% \text{ and } p = 7.64\text{e-10 for PPV} = 40\%; \text{ hypergeometric test}$).

The experimental verification of our candidate genes therefore validates our Bayesian classifier.

OSCP1, a novel ciliary protein
Unbiased integration of large-scale genomics data can give rise to apparent inconsistencies with previous literature reports. For instance, organic solute carrier partner 1 (OSCP1, or oxidized-nitro domain-containing protein 1, NOR1), scores high on our CiliaCarta list (ranked 402), despite reports of varied functions not obviously consistent with a ciliary role, such as regulation of inflammation, apoptosis, proliferation and tumor suppression [21,39,40]. OSCP1 was first implicated as a tumor suppressor in nasopharyngeal cancer [21] and later found to modulate transport rates of organic solutes over the plasma membrane in rodents [20,41]. These two facets of OSCP1 function have never been connected to each other in literature. Further research indicated a role for OSCP1 in regulation of inflammation and apoptosis [39], and that it is specifically expressed in mouse testes [42]. The availability of a substantial set of literature on OSCP1 without any indication of ciliary involvement, would have suggested that OSCP1 could have been a false positive in our Bayesian method. However, our C. elegans phenotype screen suggested that C. elegans oscp-1 (R10F2.5) mutant alleles might possess modest sensory cilia defects (Fig 2A and 2B). Therefore, we targeted OSCP1 for more detailed investigation of OSCP1 in C. elegans, zebrafish and mammalian cells.
OSCP1 locates at the base and axoneme of the cilium in human, mouse and C. elegans cells. In *C. elegans*, expression of GFP-tagged OSCP-1, driven by its endogenous promoter, is exclusive to ciliated sensory neurons (the only ciliated cells in the nematode), indicating a high likelihood of a cilium-associated function (Fig 5A and S5 Fig). Analysis of the subcellular distribution pattern confirmed this by showing that OSCP-1::GFP localizes specifically to ciliary axonemes, including the ciliary base (Fig 5A and S5 Fig). In human hTERT-RPE1 cells, OSCP1::eCFP localizes at the basal body and daughter centriole of ciliated cells and at the centrioles of non-ciliated cells, indicating a basal body/centriole role for OSCP1 in this epithelial cell type (Fig 5B). In some cells an additional clear punctate localization in the cytoplasm can also be observed.

To assess the localization of the endogenous OSCP1 protein in various mammalian cells, we used commercially available antibodies from three suppliers (Proteintech, Atlas and Biorbyt). In human multi-ciliated respiratory cells, OSCP1 shows an increased concentration at the base of the cilia (Fig 5C). In murine photoreceptors OSCP1 is localized at the inner segments (Fig 5D), where it is particularly abundant at the base of the connecting cilium (the equivalent of the transition zone in primary cilia), and at low concentration at the adjacent centriole. Immunogold electron microscopy analysis also shows OSCP1 at the basal body, occasionally at the connecting cilium and sporadically within the inner segment (Fig 5D). Serum-starved IMCD3 cells (murine inner medullary collecting duct cells) showed ubiquitous punctate cytoplasmic staining with increased signal at the basal body and along the ciliary axoneme (Fig 5E and S6 Fig). In ATDC5 cells (murine pre-chondrocyte cells) OSCP1 is mainly localized to the ciliary axonemes, although the ciliary base signal is less apparent (S6 Fig).

Together, these exhaustive subcellular localization analyses place OSCP1 at ciliary structures, including the ciliary base and axoneme, although there are some subtle differences between cell types. We also frequently observed extensive non-ciliary signals for OSCP1, consistent with published reports for OSCP1 localizations at other organelles (ER, Golgi, Mitochondria) and within the cytosol[43,44].

**OSCP1 is required for cilium formation in multiple zebrafish tissues, but dispensable in C. elegans sensory neurons.** To investigate possible ciliogenesis roles for OSCP1, we first examined cilia in zebrafish morphants depleted for *oscp1* in fertilized eggs. In embryos at 2 days post fertilization (dpf) developmental phenotypes were observed that are often associated with ciliary defects: a curved body axis, small eyes and melanocyte migration defects (Fig 5F). Likewise, the 4 dpf morphants presented with pronephric cysts, small eyes, heart edema, small heads and short bodies (Fig 5F and 5G). The cilia in the medial portion of the pronephric ducts of *oscp1* morphants were shortened and disorganized (Fig 5H and 5I), and in the Kupffer’s vesicle cilium length and number was decreased compared to control injected larvae (Fig 5J). Co-injecting human OSCP1 mRNA together with the morpholino partially rescued the phenotype, indicating that is specific for loss of *oscp1* function (Fig 5K and S7 Fig). Therefore, in zebrafish, *oscp1* is required for cilium formation and associated functions in many tissue types and organs.

In contrast, analysis of the *oscp-1* (gk699) null allele in *C. elegans* revealed that OSCP-1 is not required for cilium formation. Using fluorescence reporters and transmission electron microscopy, the amphid and phasmid channel cilia appeared to be intact and full length (S5 and S9 Figs). In addition, *oscp-1* does not appear to be functionally associated with the transition zone at the ciliary base; disruption of this prominent ciliary domain (‘gate’) in the *mks-5* mutant [45] does not affect OSCP-1::GFP distribution, and loss of *oscp-1* itself does not influence the localization of several transition zone proteins (S5 Fig). Thus, OSCP1 is differentially required for cilium formation in worms and zebrafish. These distinctions could reflect redundancy of OSCP1 function with another ciliary protein in the nematode, but not in zebrafish, or...
Fig 5. OSCP1 localizes to the cilium and regulates ciliary function in vivo. A) GFP-tagged OSCP-1 driven by its endogenous promoter is specifically expressed in ciliated sensory neurons in *C. elegans*, and the GFP-fusion protein is concentrated along the length of the cilium. Shown are fluorescent images of OSCP-1::GFP and XBX-1::tdTomato (ciliary marker) localization in amphid and phasmid cilia. Basal bodies (bb) and cilia are indicated. B) OSCP1::eCFP localization in hTERT-RPE1 cells. OSCP1 localizes to the basal body and daughter centriole as well as in the cytosol in a punctate manner. C) OSCP1 localization in human respiratory cells (red) co-stained with acetylated tubulin (green). OSCP1 localizes...
to the cytosol, but specifically to the base of the ciliated crown of these multi-ciliated cells. D) Indirect high magnification immunofluorescence of OSCP1 (green) and centrin-3 (Cen3, red), a marker protein for the connecting cilium (CC), the basal body (BB) and the adjacent centriole (Ce), in the photoreceptor cilium region of an adult mouse. Immunoelectron microscopy of CCDC113 confirms the localization of OSCP1 at the base of the cilium. The schematic represents a zoom of the ciliary region of a photoreceptor stained for OSCP1 and Cen3 in the according colors. IS, inner segment; OS, outer segment. E) Immunostaining of serum-starved murine IMCD3 cells with OSCP1 antibodies. OSCP1 is expressed in a punctate pattern along the axoneme. Acetylated tubulin and γ-tubulin (green), OSCP1 (Protein tech, 12598-1-AP, red) and nuclei (blue). Scale bar 5 μm. F) Zebrafish embryos injected with 4 ng of oscp1 splice morpholino and 4 days post-fertilization (dpf). The characteristic ciliary phenotype with a curved body, small eyes and melanocyte migration defects at 2dpf. 4 dpf morphants display obvious pronephric cysts, small eyes, heart edemas, small heads and short bodies. Scale bar 500 μm. G) Left panel: details of the head of 2 dpf zebrafish embryos showing small eyes and small head in the oscp1 morphants. Scale bar 500 μm. Right panel: dorsal view of 4 dpf zebrafish embryos. Left embryo is a 4dpf oscp1 morphant. Right embryo is a control. Scale bar 200 μm. Note the small eyes, melanocyte migration defects and small fin buds (white arrows) in the oscp1 morphants compared with the control fin buds (black arrows). H) Immunofluorescence staining of pronephric cilia at 24 hpf (acetylated α-tubulin). 4 ng oscp1 morphants display shortened and disorganized cilia in the medial portion of the pronephric ducts. I) Detail of a pronephric cyst (outlined) in a 4 dpf zebrafish morphant. Scale bar 500 μm. J) Oscp1 morphants Kupffer’s vesicle staining. Oscp1 morphants show smaller Kupffer’s vesicles with reduced cilia number per Kupffer’s vesicle (56 controls vs. 33 oscp1 morphants) and shorter cilia (controls: 7.1 μm vs oscp1 morphants: 5.7 μm. Significance was determined by t-test p-value<0.01. K) Dose dependent phenotype of oscp1 morphants. After injecting 4 ng of oscp1 morpholino the percentage of embryos with a weak phenotype is 51% and embryos with a strong phenotype is 28%. Those percentages change when 6 ng of morpholino are used with 31% of embryos showing with a weak phenotype and 27% with a strong phenotype, (strong and weak phenotypes described in S7 Fig). The number of dead embryos increases when 6ng of morpholino are used (38%) compared with 4 ng of oscp1 morpholino (10%). We injected zebrafish embryos at one cell stage with 4 ng of oscp1 morpholino and 100 pg of human OSCP1 mRNA. The rescue increased the normal phenotype percentage from 8% to 43% and decreased the weak phenotype from 51% to 27% and the strong phenotype from 28% to 9.5%. Significance was determined by χ2 test, p<0.0001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705.g005

differences in cell type requirements (nematode sensory neurons versus zebrafish epithelial cells). Clearly, based on its restricted expression in ciliated cells and localization to the ciliary axoneme, *C. elegans* OSC-1 is serving a ciliary function, although the specifics of this role remain to be elucidated.

**Discussion**

With the current interest in cilia biology, it is certain that many new genes will be implicated in ciliary function for several years to come. With the advent of systems biology and the need to understand the cilium as a whole the research community requires an inventory of genes and proteins involved in ciliary structure and function. The obvious sources for such an inventory, GO [6] and the SCGS [7], currently only cover 747 human genes (677 in GO as of March 20th 2018, 302 for the SCGS). By applying a naive Bayesian integration of heterogeneous large-scale ciliary data sets we have expanded this set by 28% to 956 human genes, adding 209 putative genes to the known ciliary gene repertoire (S8 Fig). We put forward these putative ciliary genes, together with the SCGS and the GO annotated genes, as the “CiliaCarta”, a compendium of ciliary components with an estimated FDR of 7% (S3 Table). This community resource can be used to facilitate the discovery of novel cilium biology and to identify the genetic causes of cilia related genetic disease. CiliaCarta therefore has a different purpose than the SCGS; it serves as a tool for discovery of new genes, rather than as a reference of known ciliation genes. Due to the additive nature of the naïve Bayesian classifier, researchers can easily apply the CiliaCarta score to their own results by sorting their candidate genes by the CiliaCarta score or by applying the mathematics detailed in S1 File.

Additional studies will help validate the newly found cilia gene candidates in CiliaCarta and will likely uncover other candidates. Estimates of the ciliary proteome range from one to two thousand proteins depending on the techniques used and on the types of cilia and the species studied [23,46]. In addition to obtaining the CiliaCarta list of ciliary genes, our Bayesian analysis allows us an objective estimate of the total number of ciliary genes. Using the posterior probabilities and the outcome from the validation experiments we estimate the size of the ciliome to be approximately 1200 genes (Methods).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216705
Predicting the genes responsible for an organelle structure or function poses the question of where we draw the boundary between that organelle and the rest of the cell. For example, does the basal body in its entirety belong to the cilium or are some components to be considered exclusive to the centrioles? Furthermore, one can argue that in the case of the cilium, proteins that are not part of the cilium, but play a role in the transport of proteins to the ciliary base or regulation of cilium gene expression, can be regarded as components of the 'ciliary system'. In practice, what we regard here as a ciliary component depends on the definition within the SCGS, which is used to weigh the data sets, and on our experimental validations. In both we have taken a rather inclusive approach by regarding genes whose disruption cause ciliary phenotypes as ciliary genes. This approach makes our predictions relevant to human disease. Indeed KIAA0753, which falls within our 25% cFDR list of cilium genes, was shown to interact with OFD1 and FOR20 at pericentriolar satellites and centrosomes, and gives rise to oral-facial-digital syndrome [47], a phenotype associated with disruption in the ciliary transition zone and the basal body.

Data integration through objective quantification of the predictive value of individual large-scale data sets enables the finding of new functions and associations, minimizing bias from previous studies. As a case in point we report here that OSCP1, not previously implicated in ciliary functions based on the existing published literature, is validated as a ciliary protein in four species as determined by multiple independent experimental methods. Re-evaluation of previous experimental evidence on OSCP1 function does not exclude ciliary involvement. Nevertheless, the cilium contains several specific ion-channels in its membrane [48] and the organelle has been implicated to play a role in the development of cancer [49–52]. Therefore, connecting OSCP1 to the cilium might provide the missing link to connect previously observed effects of OSCP1 on organic solute in-/efflux and its role in nasopharyngeal cancer. Our results therefore provide a cellular target and biomolecular framework to further unravel OSCP1 function.

Systematic integration of heterogeneous large-scale cilia data sets by employing Bayesian statistics combined with medium throughput experimental validation is a powerful approach to identify many new ciliary genes and provides a molecular definition of the cilium. The experimental observations of a potential ciliary role for selected high-confidence candidates, together with the results from the cross validation, indicates that the top tier of the Bayesian ranked human genome is highly enriched for ciliary genes. The genome-wide CiliaCarta Score and ranking, should therefore facilitate efficient and objective prioritization of candidate genes in order to discover novel ciliary genes and their functions.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement concerning human and animal subjects and tissue sampling

Analysis of human respiratory cells obtained by nasal brush biopsy is included in PCD-study protocols (2010-298-b-S; 2015-104-f-S) that were approved by the German Institutional Ethics Review Board from the University Hospital Muenster and University of Muenster (der Ärztekammer Westfalen-Lippe und der Medizinischen Fakultät der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität), in agreement with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Healthy control subjects provided informed consent to analyze respiratory cells by immunofluorescence microscopy.

All the zebrafish work has been performed under the ASPA UK Home Office regulation (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/guidance-on-the-operation-of-the-animals-scientific-procedures-act-1986) within the Procedure Project License PPL number 70/7892. The adult...
zebrafish where maintained in compliance with the ASPA (Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986) that regulates the use of animals in experiments and testing.

C57Bl/6J wild-type mice were kept on a 12 h light-dark cycle with unlimited access to food and water at the University’s Translational Animal Research Center (TARC) in Mainz, Germany. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation; the eyes were enucleated and immediately embedded and frozen. All procedures were in accordance with the guidelines set by the ARVO statement for the use of animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and the national and local laws on animal welfare (approved by the Kreisverwaltung Mainz-Bingen, Germany, with the animal breeding allowance number 41a/177-5865-§11 ZVTE).

Data set collection and mapping
All data sets were mapped to the ENSEMBL human gene set version 71, release April 2013 [53]. Resources using other identifiers (i.e. Entrez, Uniprot) were mapped to ENSEMBL gene IDs using ENSEMBL BioMART (version 71). Orthologs from non-human data sets were mapped using the ENSEMBL Compara ortholog catalogue from ENSEMBL 71, with exception of Sanger sequences from Y2H screens based on the Bovine cDNA library that were mapped to Bovine genome sequences by the BLAT tool [54] in the UCSC genome browser [55] and subsequently mapped to human orthologs using the 'non-cow RefSeq genes track' from this browser.

DNA constructs
Bait protein selection was based on the association of proteins with ciliopathies (including mutant vertebrates showing ciliopathy features), involvement in IFT or part of our candidate list of ciliary proteins. Gateway-adapted cDNA constructs were obtained from the Ultimate ORF clone collection (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or generated by PCR from IMAGE clones (Source BioScience) or human marathon-ready cDNA (Clontech) as template and cloning using the Gateway cloning system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s procedures followed by sequence verification.

Yeast two-hybrid system
A GAL4-based yeast two-hybrid system was used to screen for binary protein-protein interactions with proteins expressed from several different cDNA libraries as described previously [56]. Yeast two-hybrid constructs were generated according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the Gateway cloning technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by LR recombination of GAL4-BD Gateway destination vectors with sequence verified Gateway entry vectors containing the cDNA’s of selected bait proteins.

Constructs encoding full-length or fragments of bait proteins fused to a DNA-binding domain (GAL4-BD) were used as baits to screen human oligo-dT primed retinal, brain (Human Foetal Brain Poly A+ RNA, Clontech), kidney (Human Adult Kidney Poly A+ RNA, Clontech) or testis cDNA libraries, or a bovine random primed retinal cDNA library, fused to a GAL4 activation domain (GAL4-AD). The retina and testis two-hybrid libraries were constructed using HybriZAP-2.1 (Stratagene), the brain and kidney two-hybrid libraries were constructed using the “Make Your Own Mate & Plate Library System” (Clontech).

The yeast strains PJ96-4A and PJ96-4α (opposing mating types), which carry the HIS3 (histidine), ADE2 (adenine), MEL1 (α-galactosidase), and LacZ (β-galactosidase) reporter genes, were used as hosts. Interactions were identified by reporter gene activation based on growth on selective media (HIS3 and ADE2 reporter genes), α-galactosidase colorimetric plate assays (MEL1 reporter gene), and β-galactosidase colorimetric filter lift assays (LacZ reporter gene).
Affinity purification of protein complexes using SILAC

**DNA constructs and cell culture.** Experiments were essentially performed as described before [57]. In short, N-terminally SF-TAP-tagged bait proteins that were obtained by LR recombination of TAP-destination vector with sequence verified Gateway entry vectors containing the cDNA’s of selected bait proteins using Gateway cloning technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific). HEK293T cells were seeded, grown overnight, and then transfected with SF-TAP-tagged bait protein constructs using Effectene (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. HEK293T cells were grown in SILAC cell culture medium as described [57].

**Affinity purification of protein complexes.** For one-step Strep purifications, SF-TAP-tagged proteins and associated protein complexes were purified essentially as described previously [57]. In short, SILAC labeled HEK293T cells, transiently expressing the SF-TAP tagged constructs were lysed in lysis buffer containing 0.5% Nonidet-P40, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails II and III (Sigma-Aldrich) in TBS (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 150 mM NaCl) for 20 minutes at 4°C. After sedimentation of nuclei at 10,000 g for 10 minutes, the protein concentration was determined using a standard Bradford assay. Equal protein amounts were used as input for the experiments to be compared. The lysates were then transferred to Strep-Tactin-Superflow beads (IBA) and incubated for 1 hour before the resin was washed 3 times with wash buffer (TBS containing 0.1% NP-40 and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails II and III). The protein complexes were eluted by incubation for 10 minutes in Strep-elution buffer (IBA). The eluted samples were combined and concentrated using 10-kDa cutoff VivaSpin 500 centrifugal devices (Sartorius Stedim Biotech) and prefractionated using SDS-PAGE and in-gel tryptic cleavage as described elsewhere [58].

**Quantitative mass spectrometry.** After precipitation of the proteins by methanol-chloroform, a tryptic in-solution digestion was performed as described previously [59]. LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on a NanoRSLC3000 HPLC system (Dionex) coupled to a LTQ Orbitrap XL, respectively coupled to a LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by a nano spray ion source. Tryptic peptide mixtures were automatically injected and loaded at a flow rate of 6 μl/min in 98% buffer C (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in HPLC-grade water) and 2% buffer B (80% acetonitrile and 0.08% formic acid in HPLC-grade water) onto a nanotrap column (75 μm i.d. × 2 cm, packed with Acclaim PepMap100 C18, 3 μm, 100 Å; Dionex). After 5 minutes, peptides were eluted and separated on the analytical column (75 μm i.d. × 25 cm, Acclaim PepMap RSLC C18, 2μm, 100 Å; Dionex) by a linear gradient from 2% to 35% of buffer B in buffer A (2% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid in HPLC-grade water) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min over 33 minutes for EPASIS samples, and over 80 minutes for SF-TAP samples. Remaining peptides were eluted by a short gradient from 35% to 95% buffer B in 5 minutes. The eluted peptides were analyzed by using a LTQ Orbitrap XL, or a LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer. From the high-resolution mass spectrometry pre-scan with a mass range of 300–1,500, the 10 most intense peptide ions were selected for fragment analysis in the linear ion trap if they exceeded an intensity of at least 200 counts and if they were at least doubly charged. The normalized collision energy for collision-induced dissociation was set to a value of 35, and the resulting fragments were detected with normal resolution in the linear ion trap. The lock mass option was activated and set to a background signal with a mass of 445.12002 [60]. Every ion selected for fragmentation was excluded for 20 seconds by dynamic exclusion.

For quantitative analysis, MS raw data were processed using the MaxQuant software [61] (version 1.5.0.3). Trypsin/P was set as cleaving enzyme. Cysteine carbamidomethylation was selected as fixed modification and both methionine oxidation and protein acetylation were allowed as variable modifications. Two missed cleavages per peptide were allowed. The peptide
and protein false discovery rates were set to 1%. The initial mass tolerance for precursor ions was set to 6 ppm and the first search option was enabled with 10 ppm precursor mass tolerance. The fragment ion mass tolerance was set to 0.5 Da. The human subset of the human proteome reference set provided by SwissProt (Release 2012_01 534,242 entries) was used for peptide and protein identification. Contaminants like keratins were automatically detected by enabling the MaxQuant contaminant database search. A minimum number of 2 unique peptides with a minimum length of 7 amino acids needed to be detected to perform protein quantification. Only unique peptides were selected for quantification.

**Protein-protein interaction data processing**

Based on three ciliary protein-protein interaction (PPI) data sets, we inferred proteins to “interact with ciliary components” as a proxy for being part of the cilium. First, we obtained protein complex purification data from a large-scale study on the identification of ciliary protein complexes by tandem-affinity purification coupled to mass spectrometry (TAP-MS) for 181 proteins known or predicted to be involved in ciliary functions [19]. Since integration of this data set into the CiliaCarta many pull-downs were repeated and reverse experiments included. As a result, our data set includes 539 found interactors that are not part of the now published final data set (4702 proteins, S2 Table from Boldt et al. [19]), and does not include 679 new interactors that have been identified since June 2013. The complete and current data is available at [http://landscape.syscilia.org/](http://landscape.syscilia.org/) and IntAct [IM-25054]. Second, we obtained affinity purification data for 16 bait proteins from a more sensitive and quantitative approach using affinity purification combined with stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC). In total 1301 interactors were identified by SILAC in 57 experiments (S4 Table). Third, we also obtained direct protein-protein interaction data from several independent yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) screens against cDNA libraries derived from hTERT-RPE1 (retinal pigment epithelial) cells, as well as brain, kidney, retina and testis tissue. In total 69 Y2H screens were performed using 27 baits, identifying a total of 343 interacting proteins (S5 Table).

The SILAC and Y2H studies were focused on finding new interactors for selected ciliary proteins of interest and were not part of a systematic analysis. Parts of the resulting PPIs were published in previous studies (four out of 16 baits for SILAC [57,62,63] and nine out of 27 baits for Y2H [63–71]), however here we consider the entire PPI data sets. The complete data sets are publicly available in S4 and S5 Tables. Because the TAP-MS and SILAC data sets are based on similar methodology and have a large bait overlap (14 out of 16 SILAC baits were used in the TAP-MS data set), we merged them into a single data set (Mass-spec based PPI) with 4799 unique proteins identified to interact with 184 bait proteins.

The Y2H, SILAC and TAP-MS data were transformed to genome wide data sets by defining genes as 1 (“found”) when the gene product was found to interact with the baits, and as 0 (“not found”) when the gene product was not found to interact. Due to the large overlap in baits and the largely similar methods used in the TAP-MS and SILAC data sets we decided to combine the data sets in order to avoid counting the interacting proteins multiple times and thereby artificially overestimating their CiliaCarta Scores. The mass-spectrometry data sets and Y2H data sets were found to be sufficiently different to include them as separate data sets (positive set correlation is 0.13, S10 Fig).

**Expression screen data set**

In expression screening [72] separate gene-expression data sets are weighted for their potential to predict new genes for a system by measuring, per data set, the level of co-expression of the known genes. We have already successfully applied this method to predict TMEM107 as part
of the ciliary transition zone [73] and now extend the approach to the complete cilium. An integrated cillum co-expression data set was constructed by applying the weighted co-expression method WeGet [74] to ciliary genes in 465 human expression data sets available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus [75]. For individual genes, correlations of their expression profiles were determined with expression profiles of the set of ciliary components. The contribution of each data set to a final co-expression score per gene was weighed by how consistently the set of cilia components were expressed together, i.e. how well the data set in question is able to detect ciliary components [74]. To avoid circularity with the training of the Bayesian classifier the expression screen was performed using a gene set of ciliary components from GO (GO:Cilium) and removed any overlap from the positive set used to evaluate this data set for the Bayesian classifier. The data set from Ross et al.[24], which has been included in the Bayesian classifier, has been excluded from the microarray data sets used in the expression screen.

Ciliary co-evolution data set

Given the large number of independent losses of the cilium in eukaryotic evolution (we counted eight independent loss events throughout the eukaryotic kingdom) [76–78], presence/absence profiles have a high value for predicting new cilium genes [14,73]. We constructed a comprehensive co-evolution data set from a comparative genomics analysis of presence-absence correlation patterns over a representative data set of eukaryotic species. We correlated the occurrence of orthologs of 22,000 human genes in 52 eukaryotic genomes to that of cilia or flagella using differential Dollo parsimony (DDP)[79]. A perfectly matched profile pair would obtain a DDP of 0 (all events match, no differences), while mismatching profile pairs would receive a DDP equal to the number of evolutionary events that did not occur at the same time in evolution (e.g. the gene was lost in a lineage still maintaining a cilium, or the gene was maintained in a lineage in which the cilium has been lost). Thus we obtained an objective measure for each human gene that describes how well its evolutionary trajectory (i.e. point of origin and independent loss events) matches that of the ciliary system. Due to the topology of the species tree we observed a complicated distribution of genes from the positive and negative sets (S11A Fig): for low DDP scores (0–6) we did not observe a single negative gene, which would result in unrealistic log odd scores (i.e. infinity). To avoid these unrealistic log odds, we decided to combine the DDP scores into two categories, namely genes with a DDP \( \leq 9 \) and genes with a DDP \( \geq 10 \). Ciliary genes are generally overrepresented among genes with a score between 0 and 9 (S11B Fig).

Transcription factor binding sites data set

The RFX and FOXJ1 transcription factors play an important role in the regulation of ciliogenesis [80,81]. X-box (RFX) or a FOXJ1 transcription factor binding site (TFBS) have been used to predict novel ciliary genes in Caenorhabditis elegans (nematode) and Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly) [82–84]. We processed the publicly available data sets from the 29 mammals project[22] to obtain human genes with a conserved X-box or FOXJ1 TFBS in their promoters, which were defined as 4 kilobase (kb) windows centered (i.e. 2kb upstream and 2kb downstream) at all annotated transcription start sites of the gene. The restriction that the TFBS motifs are conserved among mammalian species infers a higher level of confidence that these motifs are indeed relevant and not spurious hits. The final data set was constructed by defining two categories, namely: “Gene has a X-box and/or FOXJ1 TFBS”, represented as 1, and “Gene does not have a X-box and/or FOXJ1 TFBS”, represented as 0. We found relatively limited overlap with the previous invertebrate X-box TFBS data sets: 13% of the genes with an X-box in C. elegans (225 out of 1695 genes) [82–84] and 15% in D. melanogaster (71 out of 470)
have a conserved X-box in human. This low overlap may result from differences in the sensitivity detecting functional X-box sequences. It might also indicate that the X-box motifs are transient in the genome; i.e. often gained and lost, as has also been observed in vertebrate evolution of other TF binding sites [85].

Published data sets

There are a number of high-throughput cilia data sets available from the CilDB [17] that can complement the data sets mentioned above. We only included data sets from mammalian species to avoid significant issues with orthology (i.e. avoid mapping to paralogous genes) and which had a broad coverage of the entire genome/proteome (S1 Fig). We excluded data sets that focused specifically on the centriole/basal body, since this structure is also affected by the cell cycle [86,87] and therefore could potentially skew the Bayesian classifier towards this process. We avoided redundancy in the data sets by selecting only one data set per experiment type (e.g. proteomics, expression). We only considered proteomics datasets specifically generated for the cilium as opposed to whole cell proteomics data sets to minimize false positives. The data from Ross et al.[24] (expression) and Liu et al. [23] (proteomics) were selected based on these criteria. These data sets were extracted from CilDB [17] and implemented using the predefined confidence categories from CilDB (Low confidence, medium confidence, high confidence). Genes not covered by these data sets were assigned to the “not found” category.

Training sets

We used the SYSCILIA Gold Standard (SCGS) [7] as our positive training set. We did not use GO annotations as at the time we regarded the SCGS, which has been annotated by experts in the cilium field, of higher quality. Furthermore, having an independent cilium genes dataset allowed us to prevent circularity in the expression screening analysis (see below). The SCGS, or positive set, contains a total of 302 manually curated human ciliary genes. For details about the negative set and about measures undertaken to avoid overtraining see S1 File. We constructed a negative set by selecting genes annotated in GO to function in processes and cellular compartments we deemed least likely to be (in)directly involved in ciliary processes. We selected genes annotated with at least one of the following GO Cellular Component terms: extracellular, lysosome, endosome, peroxisome, ribosome, and nucleolus. We ensured that the positive and negative training sets do not overlap by removing genes found in both from the negative set. Since the majority of human genes are expected to be non-ciliary the similarity between the score distribution of the negative set and the remaining “other genes” indicates that the negative set overall gives an excellent representation of what we reasonably can expect to be non-ciliary genes. The final negative set contains 1275 genes.

We adapted the positive training set for the PPI data sets as well as the expression screen data set to avoid overtraining. Since many of the baits used in the PPI data are known ciliary components and therefore part of the positive training set, this could lead to a potential overestimation of the predictive value of the data sets. Therefore, we excluded the bait proteins from the positive set for evaluating the Y2H, TAP-MS and SILAC data. The training of the expression screen was performed using a gene set of ciliary components from GO (GO:Cilium) and to avoid overtraining we subtracted the overlap from the positive set used for the Bayesian classifier. In this way we avoided inflation of the predictive values for these data sets.
Bayesian classifier

The Bayesian classifier approach was based on Van der Lee et al. [10], which itself was an adoption of the methods as used by Pagliarini et al. [11]. The method, including equations, is discussed in S1 File.

Validation and OSCP1 experiments

Candidate selection. The number of genes tested per method, the model organisms and cell-lines were determined by time and resources available to the participating labs. Orthologs in *C. elegans* were identified for the candidate list. For 21 orthologs null alleles were obtained from the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, USA) and tested. Candidates for the eCFP localization studies in hTERT-RPE1 cells were randomly selected. The candidates for the immunofluorescence in human lung epithelial and murine retina were selected based on the availability of a suitable antibody in the collaborating labs. Candidates tested in zebrafish were randomly selected based on the presence of unambiguous 1–1 orthologs. An equal spread of the selected candidates throughout the ranked list was taken into account as much as possible as is shown in Fig 4H.

Localization studies in hTERT-RPE1 cells. Expression constructs were created with Gateway Technology (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. These constructs encoded eCFP fusion proteins of OSCP1 (transcript variant 1; NM_145047.4), CFAP61 (C20orf26, NM_015585), CFAP20 (C16orf80, NM_013242.2), CYB5D1 (NM_144607), CCDC147 (M_001008723.1), CFAP161 (C15orf26, NM_173528), IQCA1 (NM_024726.4), IPO5 (NM_002271), HSPA1L (NM_005527), and TEKT1 (NM_053285). The sequences for all entry clones were verified by Sanger sequencing. Human TERT-immortalized retinal pigment epithelium 1 (hTERT- RPE1) cells were cultured as previously described[88]. Cells were seeded on coverslips, grown to 80% confluency, and subsequently serum-starved for 24 hr in medium containing only 0.2% foetal calf serum for inducing cilium growth. The cells were then transfected with eCFP expression construct using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, treated with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and blocked in 2% BSA in PBS for 20 min. Cells were incubated with the primary antibody GT335 (cilium and basal body marker, 1:500) diluted in 2% BSA in PBS, for 1 hr. After washing in PBS, the cells were incubated with the secondary antibody for 45 min. Secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse Alexa 568 (1:500; Life Technologies) was diluted in 2% BSA in PBS. Cells were washed with PBS and briefly with milliQ before being mounted in Vectashield containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories). The cellular localization of eCFP-fused proteins was analyzed with a Zeiss Axio Imager Z1 fluorescence microscope equipped with a 63x objective lens. Optical sections were generated through structured illumination by the insertion of an ApoTome slider into the illumination path and subsequent processing with AxioVision (Zeiss) and Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems) software.

Immunofluorescence microscopy of cells. IMCD3 and ATDC5 cells were growth to 80% confluence in DMEM-Glutamax medium with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum. Then cells were serum-starved for 24 hours and fixed in cold methanol for 5 minutes, PBS washed and blocked with 1% Bovine Serum Albumin for 1 hour before incubating with primary antibodies overnight at room temperature. Antibodies and concentrations were anti-acetylated tubulin (Sigma 6-11B-1, T7451) 1/200, anti-gamma-tubulin (Sigma GTU-88, T6557) 1/200, anti-OSCP1 Proteintech 12598-1-AP 1/100, anti-OSCP1 ATLAS HPA028436 1/100 and anti-OSCP1 Biorbyt 185681 1/100. Human respiratory cells were analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy as previously described [89]. The following rabbit polyclonal antibodies were
purchased from Atlas antibodies: anti-CCDC113 (HPA040869), anti-RIBC2 (HPA003210), anti-ARMC3 (HPA037824), anti-C6orf165 (HPA044891) and anti-OSCP1 (HPA028436).

**Mouse handling and experiments.** C57Bl/6J wild-type mice were kept on a 12 h light-dark cycle with unlimited access to food and water. All procedures were in accordance with the guidelines set by the ARVO statement for the use of animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and the local laws on animal protection. The following antibodies were used for immunofluorescence/immuno-EM analysis of murine retina sections: rabbit anti-CCDC113 (1:500/1:500), anti-RIBC2 (1:250/1:250), anti-EFHC1 (1:500/-), anti-WDR69 (1:250/-), C6orf165 (1:50/1:200), anti-ARMC3 (1:250/1:500), anti-OSCP1 (for IF 1:100, biorbyt, Cambridge, UK; 1:100, proteintech, Manchester, UK; for EM: 1:100, Atlas, Stockholm, Sweden), mouse anti-centrin-3 (1:100; [90]), rabbit anti-rootletin (1:100, [91]). Sections were counterstained with DAPI (1 mg/ml) Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany, and, where applicable, with FITC-labeled peanut agglutinin (PNA, 1:400, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, Alexa 555, and Alexa 568 (1:400) were purchased from Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) and CF-640 (1:400) from Biotrend Chemikalien GmbH (Cologne, Germany). For pre-embedding electron microscopy, we used biotinylated secondary antibodies (1:150; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). For immunofluorescence microscopy, the eyes of adult C57Bl/6J mice were cryofixed sectioned, and immunostained as described previously [92]. We double-stained the cryosections for CCDC113, RIBC2, EFHC1, WDR69, C6orf165, ARMC3, OSCP1, and centrin-3 as a molecular marker for the connecting cilium, the basal body, and the adjacent centriole of photoreceptor cells [93] at 4˚C overnight. Sections stained for C6orf165 were counterstained with FITC-labeled cone photoreceptor marker peanut agglutinin (PNA[94–96]). After one-hour incubation at room temperature with the according secondary antibodies and the nuclear marker DAPI, sections were mounted in Mowiol 4.88 (Hoechst, Frankfurt, Germany). Images were obtained and deconvoluted with a Leica LEITZ DM6000B microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and processed with Adobe Photoshop CS with respect to contrast and color correction as well as bicubic pixel interpolation. We applied a pre-embedding labeling protocol as previously introduced for immunoelectron microscopy of mouse photoreceptor cells [97–99]. Ultrathin sections were analyzed with a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Tecnai 12 BioTwin; FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Images were obtained with a charge-coupled device camera (SIS MegaView3, Olympus, Shinjuka, Japan) and processed with Adobe Photoshop CS (brightness and contrast).

**Zebrafish handling and experiments.** Wild-type (AB × Tup LF) zebrafish were maintained and staged as described previously in [100]. Antisense MO oligonucleotides (Gene Tools) were designed against the start codons and against splice sites, as described in S6 Table. MOs were injected (4–6 ng) into embryos at the 1- to 2-cell stage and reared at 28.5˚C until the desired stage. For cilia immunostaining, 6 somite-stage or 24 hpf (hours post fertilization) embryos were dechorionated and fixed in 4% PFA overnight (O/N) at 4˚C, dehydrated through 25%, 50% and 75%, methanol/PBT (1% Triton X-100 in PBS) washes and stored in 100% methanol −20˚C. The embryos were rehydrated again through 75%, 50% and 25% methanol/PBT washes. Embryos of 24 hpf were permeabilized with Proteinase K (10ug/ml in PBT) for 10 minutes at 37˚C, and subsequently refixed in 4% PFA. Prior to immunostaining, embryos were incubated in block buffer (5% goat serum in PBT) blocked with 5% goat serum (in PBT) for 1 h and subsequently incubated O/N at 4oC with mouse monoclonal anti-γ-tubulin (1:200, GTU-88, Sigma) and anti-acetylated tubulin (1:800, 6-11B-1, Sigma) diluted in blocking buffer. Secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse IgG1 488, Alexa Fluor donkey anti-mouse IgG2b 568, and Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse IgG2b 594 (Molecular Probes). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst and embryos were mounted in Citofluor. Z-stack
images were captured using a Zeiss 710 Confocal Microscope. For rescue experiments, the aforementioned human OSCP1 cDNA was cloned into pCS2+ using gateway technology. OSCP1 plasmids were linearized using NotI and mRNA was synthesized using Ambion mMessage mMachine kit for the sense strand. 100 pg of mRNA was injected into the cell of one cell–stage embryos. These embryos were subsequently injected with 4 ng oscp1 MO at the two–cell stage, and embryos were allowed to develop at 28.5˚C.

C. elegans handling and experiments. C. elegans were maintained and cultured at 20˚C using standard techniques. Mutant strains were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, USA); the alleles used are shown in S2 Table. Assays for dye uptake (DiI), roaming and osmotic avoidance were performed as previously described[27]. Briefly, for the dye-filling assay, worms were placed into a DiI solution (diluted 1:200 with M9 buffer) for 1 hour, allowed to recover on NGM plates, and then imaged (40x objective, Texas Red filter set) on a compound epi-fluorescence microscope (Leica DM5000B), fitted with an Andor EMCCD camera. For the osmotic avoidance assay, young adult worms were placed within a ring-shaped barrier of 8M glycerol and scored during 10 minutes for worms that crossed the barrier. For the roaming assay, single young adult worms were placed for 16 hours onto seeded plates and track coverage assessed using a grid reference. For transmission electron microscopy, oscp-1(gk699) worms were first outcrossed 2 times with wild type worms (to remove unlinked mutations), using primers that flank the gk699 deletion. Day 1 adults were fixed, sectioned and imaged as described previously[27]. Translational reporters were introduced into oscp-1(gk699) by standard mating methods. The translational construct for oscp-1 (R10F2.5 and R10F2.4) was generated by fusing the genomic region, including 853 bp of the native promoter, to GFP with the unc-54 3’ UTR. Standard mating procedures were used to introduce OSCP-1::GFP into the mks-5(tm3100) mutant background.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Predictive values (in Bayesian log odds) versus human genome coverage for each mammalian data set available in CilDBv2. The choice for the Liu et al. and Ross et al. data sets is based on several aspects we needed to consider. First is the overall quality of the dataset, second is the coverage, and third is the specific technique used to obtain the data. The data set with the highest predictive value is the McClintock data set, but it has a relatively low coverage. The Liu set is about average in predictive value but has a coverage of over 11%. The data sets that can be included need to be dissimilar in technique and experimental design to meet the independence assumption of the naive Bayesian integration method. Hence, we limited ourselves to two data sets (marked in red) of dissimilar experimental design and technique and chose accordingly based on a balance of quality and coverage.

(PDF)

S2 Fig. 10-fold cross validation of the Bayesian classifier. The receiver-operator characteristics curve based on the 10-fold cross validation (red) and has an area under the curve of 0.86 and overlaps with the curve of the classifier trained with the full set (black). The similarity of the distributions of the negative and positive sets for each fold validation indicates that the results are very robust.

(PDF)

S3 Fig. Images showing dye uptake in C. elegans null mutant strains for DMD, MAGI2 and OSCP1. Dye uptake in wild type is provided for comparison.

(PDF)
S4 Fig. Localization of nine eCFP fusion constructs of candidate genes in hTERT-RPE1 cells. Per eCFP fusion protein two representative photos are taken, containing at least one ciliated cell from the same slide. Cells transfected for c15orf22::eCFP and c16orf80 ciliated only when expression of the eCFP fusion protein was low. Exposure times used to image the eCFP protein are shown above each panel. For all constructs, except IQCA, ciliary and/or basal body localization could be observed with “optical sectioning using structured illumination” under normal exposure times (100ms-3000ms). Images with digitally increased gamma are shown for IQCA1::eCFP, demonstrating absence of eCFP fusion protein in and around the cilium. Poly glutamylated tubulin (red) is used to color the ciliary axoneme.

(SPDF)

S5 Fig. Localization of OSCP-1::GFP fusion in C. elegans amphid and phasmid cilia. a) OSCP-1 localization is not altered in mks-5(tm3100) worms with disrupted ciliary transition zones. Shown are phasmid cilia of worms expressing GFP-tagged OSCP-1 and XBX-1::tdTomato (ciliary marker). Scale bar; 5 μm. b) The ciliary transition zone is intact in oscp-1(gk699) mutant worms. Shown are images of phasmid cilia of worms expressing markers for transition zone proteins (MKS-5, NPHP-4 and MKS-2), the periciliary membrane (TRAM-1; normally excluded from the ciliary membrane), and the ciliary axoneme (DYF-11 and CHE-11). NPHP-4, MKS-2 and MKS-5 localizations are unaffected in oscp-1 worms, indicating that the composition of the transition zone is not dramatically affected. TRAM-1 remains excluded from the ciliary membrane of oscp-1 mutant indicating the membrane diffusion barrier at the transition zone membrane is intact. The ciliary axoneme markers (see also XBX-1 marker in the top panels) show that phasmid cilia are assembled and of grossly normal length in oscp-1 mutant worms. Scale bar; 5 μm.

(SPDF)

S6 Fig. Localization of OSCP1 in ciliated murine ATDC5 and IMCD3 cells using three different antibodies. Scale bar; 5 μm.

(SPDF)

S7 Fig. oscp1 zebrafish morpholino phenotypes. Zebrafish strong oscp1 mo phenotype: short body, small eye, obvious pronephric cyst development, and obvious heart edemas. Zebrafish weak oscp1 mo phenotype: short body, small eye, small heads, but no obvious pronephric cyst or heart edemas.

(TIF)

S8 Fig. Venn diagram showing the overlaps of the individual components of the CiliaCarta resources. GO, the SYSCILIA Gold Standard (SCGS), and the top predictions of our Bayesian integration. Surface size of each circle and overlap corresponds to the size of the set enclosed.

(PDF)

S9 Fig. Ultrastructure of amphid channel cilia in oscp-1 mutant. Shown are transmission electron microscopy (TEM) serial cross-section images of wild-type and oscp-1(gk699) amphid channel cilia. Like wild type controls (N2 worms), the amphid channels of oscp-1 mutants contain a full complement of 10 ciliary axonemes, each demonstrating intact distal segment (DS; singlet A-tubules), middle segment (MS; doublet A/B tubules), transition zone (TZ; with Y-links), and periciliary membrane (PCMC; swelling at distal dendrite ending immediately proximal to the ciliary axoneme) compartments. Also, the integrity of the ciliary membranes and microtubules were normal in oscp-1 worms. Schematics show the amphid channel in cross
section and longitudinal orientations (only 3 axonemes shown for simplicity in longitudinal cartoon). Numbers above images indicate the position of the section relative to the most anterior section (at '0'); section positions also indicated in schematic by arrows. Scale bars; 200 nm.

(S10 Fig. Pairwise correlations of CiliaCarta datasets. a) Pairwise correlations between data sets according to the positive training set. b) Pairwise correlations between data sets according to the negative set.

(S11 Fig. Cilia co-occurrence frequencies of positive and negative set per DDP score. a) Frequency of training sets per DDP score. For low DDP scores, no negatives were counted, which would result in unrealistic Bayesian log odds for these categories. b) Cumulative frequencies. For \( x \leq 9 \) in figure b the positive set is significantly overrepresented. We therefore used DDP \( \leq 9 \) as a threshold in the Bayesian classifier to define the two sub-categories.

(S1 Table. CiliaCarta Bayesian scores, gene rank and data table. The table lists the genome wide CiliaCarta Scores and results from the individual data sets integrated. For each human gene the rank, CiliaCarta Score, false discovery rate and data from each data set is listed including whether a gene belongs to the positive (P) or negative set (N).

(S2 Table. Table listing all 21 candidate genes tested in \textit{C. elegans} for structural cilia defects by the dye-filling essay (Dye uptake), and behavioral defects by roaming (Roaming) and osmotic avoidance (Osm) essays. The N2 strain (wild type) is included as a negative control, while PT709 \textit{nphp-4}(tm925), \textit{him-5}(e1490), FX3100 \textit{mks-5}(tm3100), and PR813 \textit{osm-5}(p813) were included as positive controls. The mutants are scored as follows: “++” normal, “+” mild effect, “−” severe effect. Specifically for roaming: “++” normal, “−” defective (\( p < 0.05 \) unpaired t-test vs. WT). For dye uptake: “++” normal, “+/++” slightly reduced uptake, “+” mild reduces dye uptake, “−” defective dye uptake. For osmotic avoidance: “++” normal. For NBEA (F10F2.1 \textit{sel-2}) two mutants were tested but only one (Million Mutation Project strain) exhibited the roaming defect.

(S3 Table. The CiliaCarta. Contains the combined lists of the SYSCILIA Gold Standard, Gene Ontology and the validated candidate list.

(S4 Table. The protein-protein interactions obtained by SILAC.

(S5 Table. The protein-protein interactions obtained by Y2H cDNA library screens.

(S6 Table. Zebrafish morpholino oligonucleotides used in this study.

(S7 Table. Bayesian log odds per data set sub category.

(S1 File. Information on the Bayesian classifier.
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