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Abstract

A thorough characterization of starting materials is the precondition for further research, especially for cement, which contains various phases and presents quite a complex material for fundamental scientific investigation. In the paper at hand, the characterization data of the reference cement CEM I 42.5 R used within the priority program 2005 of the German Research Foundation (DFG SPP 2005) are presented from the aspects of chemical and mineralogical compositions as well as physical and chemical properties. The data were collected based on tests conducted by nine research groups involved in this cooperative program. For all data received, the mean values and the corresponding errors were
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calculated. The results shall be used for the ongoing research within the priority program.
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1. Data

Table 1 lists the universities, research institute, and cement company involved in the characterization of the CEM I 42.5 R and the abbreviations are explained respectively. Fig. 1 shows selected SEM pictures of cement grains with different magnifications.

1.1. Characterization data of oxide composition and phase contents

In Fig. 2 the oxide composition (CaO, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, SO₃, MgO, K₂O, Na₂O, TiO₂ and P₂O₅), insoluble residue as well as the loss on ignition (LOI) of CEM I 42.5 R measured by the different participating groups according to EN 196-2: 2013 [1] are shown. It should be mentioned that the data denominated as (1) to (3) were measured by one research group from one single batch but different bags. In Fig. 2(b) SO₃* means the value obtained by the X-ray fluorescence analysis (XRF) and SO₃** indicates the value captured by the wet chemistry method. The same meanings of * and ** are also suitable for the other data shown in Fig. 2. Unless otherwise stated, the oxide composition shown in

Specifications Table

| Subject                          | Ceramics and Composites           |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Specific subject area           | Building materials; Cement       |
| Type of data                    | Table; Image; Graph; Figure       |
| How data was acquired           | XRD; SEM; EN 196-1: 2016; EN 196-2: 2013; EN 196-3: 2016; EN 196-6: 2018; EN 196-11: 2018; EN 1097-7: 2008; ISO 13320: 2009; ISO 9277: 2010 |
| Data format                     | Raw; Analyzed                     |
| Parameters for data collection  | Chemical composition; Phase contents; Density; Specific surface area; Particle size; Calorimetry; Water demand; Setting time; Mechanical strength |
| Description of data collection  | Firstly a thorough characterization on CEM I 42.5 R was made by in total 9 research groups. Then the data were collected and compared. Furthermore, the mean values and the corresponding errors were calculated based on the collective data. |
| Data source location            | Seven universities, one research institute, and one company as shown in Table 1 |
| Data accessibility              | Repository name: Deposit Once     |
|                                 | Data identification number: https://doi.org/10.14279/depositonce-9023 |
|                                 | Direct URL to data: https://depositonce.tu-berlin.de/handle/11303/10032 |
| Related research article        | The data presented here will be cited by the upcoming research publications financed by DFG SPP 2005 |

Value of the Data

- The data are useful because a well characterization on CEM I 42.5 R from aspects of composition and properties are shown in this paper. Besides, the corresponding variation trend on cementitious materials is also included.
- All the research groups involved in the DFG SPP 2005 priority program and other related researchers can use these data for their further study.
- The data provide a solid foundation for the further research involved in the DFG SPP 2005 priority program. Besides, all researchers can refer to this variation trend on cementitious materials in their own study.
- Seven universities, one research institute and one company are involved to conduct common characterization tests on the same samples.

1. Data

Table 1 lists the universities, research institute, and cement company involved in the characterization of the CEM I 42.5 R and the abbreviations are explained respectively. Fig. 1 shows selected SEM pictures of cement grains with different magnifications.

1.1. Characterization data of oxide composition and phase contents

In Fig. 2 the oxide composition (CaO, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, SO₃, MgO, K₂O, Na₂O, TiO₂ and P₂O₅), insoluble residue as well as the loss on ignition (LOI) of CEM I 42.5 R measured by the different participating groups according to EN 196-2: 2013 [1] are shown. It should be mentioned that the data denominated as (1) to (3) were measured by one research group from one single batch but different bags. In Fig. 2(b) SO₃* means the value obtained by the X-ray fluorescence analysis (XRF) and SO₃** indicates the value captured by the wet chemistry method. The same meanings of * and ** are also suitable for the other data shown in Fig. 2. Unless otherwise stated, the oxide composition shown in
**Table 1**

Universities, research institute and the company involved in the characterization.

| Acronym | Affiliation |
|---------|-------------|
| BAM     | Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung |
| BUW     | Bauhaus-Universität Weimar |
| FAU     | Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg |
| Heidelberg | HeidelbergCement AG |
| KIT     | Karlsruher Institut für Technologie |
| TUB     | Technische Universität Berlin |
| TUBS    | Technische Universität Braunschweig |
| TUDD    | Technische Universität Dresden |
| TUM     | Technische Universität München |

Fig. 2 is measured based on XRF analysis. Furthermore, due to the quite low content of Cl\(^-\) of 0.02 wt.% only, the amount of Cl\(^-\) is not included in Fig. 2.

In the legend of the figures of this paper, IQR means the interquartile range, namely the range between 25\(^{th}\) and 75\(^{th}\) percentiles (as shown in the area in the grey box). The specific explanation could be found on the website [2]. The error bar shows the range within 1.5 times of IQR. The median line indicates the 50\(^{th}\) percentile and the mean value is calculate based on data from all the samples within the 1.5 IQR range and does not include outliers.

**Fig. 1.** SEM pictures of CEM I 42.5 R with different magnifications.
Fig. 2. Oxide composition of CEM I 42.5 R: (a) CaO and SiO$_2$; (b) Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SO$_3$; (c) MgO, K$_2$O, loss on ignition and insoluble residue; (d) Na$_2$O, TiO$_2$ and P$_2$O$_5$. 
Fig. 3. Phase contents in CEM I 42.5 R; (a) C₃S and C₂S; (b) C₃A, C₄AF, sulfate carrier and calcite.
Fig. 4. True density of CEM I 42.5 R.

Fig. 5. Specific surface area of CEM I 42.5 R measured by the Blaine method.
Fig. 3 shows the phase contents of CEM I 42.5 R based on the results from three different groups through the method of powder-XRD combined with quantification of the patterns according to the Rietveld refinement method [3].

1.2. Characterization data of physical properties

The true density of the CEM I 42.5 R was measured by Helium pycnometer method according to standard EN 1097-7: 2008 [4]. Results are shown in Fig. 4. The same experiment was conducted by different groups, as shown by the hexagon, and then the mean value was calculated.

The specific surface area of the CEM I 42.5 R was measured by the Blaine method according to EN 196-6: 2018 [5] and the results are shown in Fig. 5.

The specific surface area of the CEM I 42.5 R was measured by the BET method according to ISO 9277: 2010 [6]. Results are shown in Fig. 6. The numbers in brackets indicate the values from the same sample but different pre-treatment methods that were conducted by the same group.

Laser diffraction was applied to measure the particle size distribution (PSD) of the cement by eight different groups according to the method described in ISO 13320: 2009 [7]. The average distribution line was calculated, as shown in Fig. 7. The shadow areas below and above this average line indicate the scope of the testing results. The characterized particle size distributions of the cement (d (0.1), d (0.5) and d (0.9)) are shown in Fig. 8.

1.3. Characterization data of other properties

Water demand, as well as initial and final setting time were measured according to the standard EN 196-3: 2016 [8]. Flexural and compressive strength were measured according to the standard EN 196-1: 2016 [9]. The results are shown in Figs. 9–11.

The cement hydration with a water to cement ratio of 0.434 at the temperature of 20 °C was characterized independently by three different groups according to the method described in EN 196-
Fig. 7. Particle size and distribution of CEM I 42.5 R measured by laser diffraction method; (a) differential curve; (b) Integration curve.
Fig. 8. Particle size distribution of CEM I 42.5 R at d (0.1), d (0.5) and d (0.9).

Fig. 9. Water demand of CEM I 42.5 R.
11: 2018 [10]. The results are shown in Fig. 12. The shadow areas below and above the average line indicate the scope of the test results.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

All samples analyzed in this campaign stemmed from the same batch of cement production. The sample amount delivered to the different research groups were between a few kilograms up to several tons. The material was stored in closed containers, and the various groups took a representative sample from their own sub-batch.

For the characterizations of the CEM I 42.5 R, EN 196-2: 2013 was applied for the assessment of the oxide composition, insoluble residue and loss on ignition. Density was measured according to EN 1097-7: 2008; specific surface area by the Blaine method was measured according to EN 196-6: 2018 and by BET based on ISO 9277: 2010. Water demand and setting times were tested based on EN 196-3: 2016; flexural and compressive strength were obtained following EN 196-1: 2016. Isothermal heat flow calorimetry was measured according to EN 196-11: 2018. Particle size distribution was evaluated based on ISO 13320: 2009. For the other characterization methods of the CEM I 42.5 R, the specific experiment design and methods are explicated below.

SEM images were recorded on uncoated cement powder with a Nova NanoSEM 230 (FEI, Netherlands) equipped with a field-emission gun (Schottky emitter). For lower magnification, a low-vacuum-detector (LVD) applying 12 kV acceleration voltage and 0.9 mbar was used. For higher magnification, a through the lens detector (TLD) at 2 kV and 22 pA electric current was used under high vacuum conditions.

For the characterization of phase contents, powder-XRD combined with quantification of the patterns was used. In different research groups, different XRD devices with different analysis software were used. In one research group, XRD was performed in a Siemens D5000 with operation parameters given elsewhere [11]. Rietveld refinement was performed with the software Profex (3.12.1). In the software package, the fundamental parameters approach for Rietveld refinement was applied [12]. In another research group, the software package of Bruker Topas V5.0 was used for Rietveld refinement. In the software package, the fundamental parameters approach for Rietveld refinement was
Fig. 11. Mechanical strength of hardened cement mortars after curing for certain time; (a) Compressive strength; (b) Flexural strength.
implemented [13]. Additionally, an external standard [14] was applied in order to estimate the amorphous content of the CEM I 42.5 R, which was found to be negligible.
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Appendix. Average values and the standard deviation calculated based on the results from different groups

Table 2

| Composition (wt.-%) | CaO | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | MgO | K₂O | Na₂O | TiO₂ | P₂O₅ | Mn₂O₃ | SO₃ | SO₂ | LOI | Cl | Insoluble residue | Sum |
|---------------------|-----|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|------------------|-----|
|                     |     |      |       |       |     |     |      |      |      |       |     |     |     |    |                   |     |
| Standard deviation  | 0.85| 0.16 | 0.19  | 0.21  | 0.15| 0.09| 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02  | 0.35| 0.24| 0.05| 0.003| 0.12| 0.25          |

Fig. 12. Calorimetry curve of cement paste with water to cement ratio of 0.434 at the temperature of 20 °C.
Table 3
Phase contents of CEM I 42.5 R and the corresponding standard deviation.

| Composition (wt.-%) | C3S | C2S | C3A | C4AF | Anhydrite | Bassanite | Arcanite | Calcite | Quartz | Periclase | Sum |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|-----|
| Standard deviation  | 1.79| 0.45| 0.58| 0.50 | 0.97      | 0.27      | 0.45     | 0.23    | 0.19   | 0.21      | 0.11| 0.50 |

Table 4
Physical properties of CEM I 42.5 R and the corresponding standard deviation.

| Density (kg/dm³) | Specific surface area (cm²/g) | Specific surface area (m²/g) | Particle size (μm) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Average value    | 3.115                          | 3615                         | 1.235             |
| Standard deviation| 0.0068                         | 122.6                        | 0.0584            |
|                   |                                 |                              |                   |
| d (0.1)          | 1.5                            |                              |                   |
| d (0.5)          | 14.8                           |                              |                   |
| d (0.9)          | 44.6                           |                              |                   |

a Measured by Blaine method.
b Measured by BET method.

Table 5
Other properties of CEM I 42.5 R and the corresponding standard deviation.

| Water demand (wt.-%) | Setting time (h) | Compresive strength (MPa) | Flexural strength (MPa) |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                      | Initial          | Final                      | 1 d         | 2 d | 7 d | 28 d     | 1 d | 2 d | 7 d | 28 d |
| Average value        | 29.4             | 2.7                        | 3.7          | 19.9 | 30.3 | 45.9    | 56.8 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 8.1 |
| Standard deviation   | 1.09             | 0.19                       | 0.36         | 1.77 | 2.46 | 2.08    | 1.40 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.63 |
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