Isoprenoid Phosphonophosphates as Glycosyltransferase Acceptor Substrates
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ABSTRACT: Glycosyltransferases that act on polysaccharide substrates are challenging to study because their lipid-linked substrates are difficult to isolate from natural sources and arduous to synthesize. To facilitate access to glycosyl acceptors, we assembled phosphonophosphate analogues and showed these are effective substrate surrogates for GlfT1, the essential product of mycobacterial gene Rv3782. Under chemically defined conditions, the galactofuranosyltransferase GlfT1 catalyzes the formation of a tetrasaccharide sequence en route to assembly of the mycobacterial galactan.

L ipid-linked oligosaccharide pyrophosphates serve as acceptors for a large class of glycosyltransferases. Progress in understanding these enzymes is hindered by the difficulty of isolating these biosynthetic acceptors from natural sources and the challenge of their chemical synthesis. Although some acceptors have been generated through astutely orchestrated cyclizations, the lability of the allylic pyrophosphoryl group narrows the range of transformations that can be applied to their synthesis. We envisioned addressing these barriers by synthesizing a glycosyltransferase acceptor in which the pyrophosphoryl group was replaced with a phosphonophosphate group (Figure 1, top). This modification should mimic key features of the acceptor, while augmenting its hydrolytic stability and synthetic accessibility. The impetus for testing these surrogates arose from our investigation of galactan biosynthesis.

The galactan, a critical component of the mycobacterial cell wall, is composed of galactofuranose (Gal), the energetically disfavored 5-membered ring isomer of galactose, and has not been identified in any mammalian glycans, rendering the enzymes that mediate Gal incorporation potential antimycobacterial targets. The essential Mycobacterium tuberculosis gene Rv3782 encodes a protein termed GlfT1. GlfT1 appears to be a galactofuranosyltransferase that primes galactan assembly. Its assigned role is to promote the elongation of decaprenyl-linked 1-Rha-α-(1,3)-d-GlcNAc pyrophosphate 1 by one to three Gal residues (Figure 1, bottom). The resulting lipid-linked oligosaccharide is a substrate for the related galactofuranosyltransferase GlfT2. GlfT2 can process synthetic substrates bearing even a single galactofuranose residue. In principle, therefore, GlfT1 needs only to catalyze the addition of one Gal residue to its substrate (Figure 1). Still, experiments with membrane extracts or cell lysates suggested that GlfT1 could catalyze the addition of multiple Gal residues. We sought to assess the enzyme products under chemically defined conditions, which required obtaining active GlfT1 and a suitable acceptor.

The predicted GlfT1 acceptor 1 is a demanding synthetic target; therefore, we considered which of its features would be required for function. An analysis of literature suggested that the pyrophosphate group would be important. Consistent with this analysis, we found that O-alkyl disaccharides lacking a pyrophosphate linkage, such as 12-phenoxydodec-2-enyl Rha-α-(1,3)-GlcNAc S6, were not elongated by purified, recombinant GlfT1. Attempts to synthesize substrates analogous to 1 were complicated by the lability of the allylic pyrophosphate. We therefore considered a modification that would increase the stability of intermediates en route to the target glycosyl acceptors. Phosphonophosphates can serve as pyrophosphate analogues, and their increased stability suggested that they could be more readily synthesized. We therefore targeted surrogate 2 (Figure 1, top) with the goal of ascertaining whether this compound could function as a GlfT1 acceptor.
In selecting compound 2, we recognized the potential risk of generating a phosphonophosphate that is not isosteric with the pyrophosphate. Nevertheless, this risk by the increased synthetic accessibility of compound 2 and because enzymes, such as farnesyltransferases, bind lipid-substituted pyrophosphate and truncated phosphonophosphate derivatives similarly. Because the related glycosyltransferase GlfT2 is influenced by the acceptor lipid substituted, our synthetic route was designed to access acceptors with diverse lipid groups (Scheme 1). The decaprenol substituent was replaced with a (2Z,6Z)-farnesyl group, as this shorter lipid substituent can simplify substrate handling. Glycosyltransferases can be sensitive to the polyprenol lipid alkene and its geometry, so we preserved the isoprenyl alkene geometry closest to the oligosaccharide. We reasoned that if compound 2 was inactive, we could modify our route to identify a suitable substrate.

The route to acceptor surrogate 2 began with the glycosylation of compounds 3 and 4. The stereochemical outcome of the glycosylation was controlled by using the α-selective silylated l-rhamnosyl donor. Activation of this “super armed” donor with N-iodosuccinimide and silver trifluoromethanesulfonate provided the desired α-glycoside. The silyl protecting groups were exchanged for acetate groups, as unmasking the former late in the synthetic route was problematic. This protecting group change facilitated purification and characterization of the acylated intermediates.

The α-phosphoryl group was installed using a phosphitylation—oxidation sequence. Hydrogenolysis afforded the anomic lactol, which was exposed to dibenzyl N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite in the presence of 1H-tetrazole. The intermediate glycosyl phosphate was oxidized in situ to yield a phosphotriester. The benzyl protecting groups were removed by reductive hydrogenation in the presence of an amine base to afford phosphomonoester 6 in good yield, with 9:1 α/β selectivity favoring the desired anomer.

A critical transformation was joining disaccharide 6 with lipid 7 to form the phosphonophosphate. Activation of the phosphonyl group of either coupling partner through formation of the phospharyl chloride or phospharyl imidazolide could result in self-coupling side products or product instability under the reaction conditions. Ultimately, Moffatt–Khorana conditions were effective. The electrophilic component, C15 isoprenyl phosphonomorpholidate 7, was prepared in four steps from (2Z,6Z)-farnesol (see Supporting Information). Disaccharide 6 and morpholidate 7 were exposed to 1H-tetrazole, and the product was saponified to afford phosphonophosphate 2. Though the yield was modest, the transformation was highly reproducible. We exploited this convergent synthetic route to produce acceptors to probe the structural features required for enzymatic activity. Surrogate 8 bearing a C10 isoprenyl (neryl) lipid carrier as well as surrogate 9 bearing only a monosaccharide were generated. The stability of the allylic phosphonophosphate derivatives facilitated their synthesis and subsequent analysis.

The ability of the acceptor surrogates to serve as substrates was tested using purified GlfT1. Recombinant His6-tagged Mycobacterium smegmatis GlfT1 was produced in M. smegmatis mc2155 and isolated by affinity chromatography. Exposure of disaccharide 2 to GlfT1 in the presence of donor sugar UDP-Galf afforded oligosaccharide products (Figure 2), indicating that compound 2 is an effective substrate. Mass spectrometry analysis indicated that the major product is extension of the acceptor by +2 Galf units. NMR data for the isolated product were consistent with those of a related tetrasaccharide (see Supporting Information).

The +1 product was not observed. This result is consistent with processing of the natural substrate by microsomal preparations of GlfT1. These results indicate that the phosphonophosphate acceptor emulates the natural substrate. The absence of the +1 product is notable given that the
polymerase GlfT2 can elongate an acceptor with a single Gal residue.\textsuperscript{16} We postulate that the ability of GlfT2 to generate a polymer with faithfully alternating $\beta$-(1,5) and $\beta$-(1,6) linkages arises from GlfT1-catalyzed formation of the +2 Gal disaccharide. In this way, GlfT1 sets the register for polymerization by GlfT2.\textsuperscript{13,33,34} The +2 disaccharide product may also lead to more efficient polymerization by GlfT2. We previously showed that GlfT2 exhibits a kinetic lag phase when polymerizing a lipid-linked Gal disaccharide.\textsuperscript{13} The lag phase was abrogated with a substrate bearing a Gal/tetrasaccharide, as this olosaccharide presumably fills the monomer subunits during polymerization.\textsuperscript{33} Thus, the tetrasaccharide product generated by the action of GlfT1 should be processed rapidly by GlfT2.

The identity of the lipid carrier can affect a substrate’s ability to serve as a glycosyl acceptor. We therefore analyzed the efficiency of elongation of substrates bearing different lipids by quantifying the amount of UDP released upon GlfT1 addition. Specifically, the assay employed couples UDP production to the luciferase/luciferin reaction, wherein UDP production by GlfT1 is related linearly to increases in luminescence (Figure 3, top).\textsuperscript{35}

As expected, no GlfT1 activity was observed with monosaccharide 9, highlighting that GlfT1 requires a substrate bearing the disaccharide $\alpha$-Rha-(1,3)-$\beta$-GlcNAc (Figure 3, bottom). The difference between C_{16}-linked 8 and C_{15}-linked 2 was pronounced. GlfT1 treatment produces almost 5 times as much UDP in the presence of acceptor 2 than with 8. These data indicate that acceptor 2, with its longer (2Z,6Z)-farnesyl lipid, is a superior enzyme substrate. We used this assay to compare the kinetics of elongation by GlfT1 and determined an apparent $K_m$ of 86 $\pm$ 25 $\mu$M for acceptor 2 and an apparent $V_{max}$ of 1.53 $\pm$ 0.16 $\mu$mol/min. We previously found that the lipid substrate is important for the binding and processing of acceptors by GlfT2,\textsuperscript{13} and our results suggest the lipid is also an important component for GlfT1 acceptor substrates.

The finding that phosphonophosphate analogues serve as effective substrates reveals fundamental features of GlfT1. These acceptors, the closest mimics of the natural substrate available, are processed to lipid-linked olosaccharides by GlfT1. These observations indicate that the activity of GlfT1 outside of the endogenous mycobacterial milieu is robust—it does not require the presence of membranes or cell envelope components. Though similar GT-A domains and significant amino acid sequence identity (24% by ClustalO alignment) suggest an evolutionary link between GlfT1 and GlfT2, our data highlight their distinct roles in galactan assembly. Specifically, GlfT1 does not efficiently process O-alkyl disaccharides, but it does elongate lipid-linked pyrophosphate or phosphonophosphate acceptors substituted with the disaccharide $\alpha$-Rha-(1,3)-$\beta$-GlcNAc by two to three Gal residues. Unlike the polymerase GlfT2, GlfT1 does not generate longer polymers and its substrate preference appears to be more narrowly defined. Indeed, GlfT2 is a relatively promiscuous carbohydrate polymerase that can act on varied truncated lipid-linked acceptors.\textsuperscript{16,36} The high specificity of GlfT1 and its ability to append at least two Gal residues should yield substrates that can be rapidly polymerized by GlfT2 to afford polysaccharides of defined sequence. These data highlight the key role of GlfT1 in controlling galactan biosynthesis.

Our studies of GlfT1 highlight the utility of the phosphonophosphate-containing acceptor substrates. The substitution of a phosphonophosphate for a pyrophosphate can facilitate the synthesis of complex glycosyltransferase acceptors. Glycosyltransferases in prokaryotes, eukaryotes, and archaea that act on pyrophosphate-linked acceptors are ubiquitous, including enzymes that mediate peptidoglycan assembly\textsuperscript{6,37} and that generate O-\textsuperscript{38,39} and N-linked\textsuperscript{5} glycans. We anticipate that the approach described herein will facilitate characterization of glycosyltransferase activity of the large family of enzymes that act on pyrophosphate-containing acceptors.

![Figure 3. Top: Glycosyltransferase activity promotes UDP release, which is monitored using a luminescence assay. Bottom: Relative output of UDP-Gal turnover by GlfT1 with acceptors 2, 8, and 9.](image-url)
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