Distal radius fracture treated with external fixation: A clinical study
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Abstract

Background and objective: Distal radius fractures accounts for almost 10% of all the fractures. The most common cause being fall on outstretched hand. Evidence suggests that good anatomical articular reduction with surgery reduces the complications associated with the fracture, hence the management of fractures have evolved from cast immobilization to operative interventions. Aim of this study was to observe the functional and anatomical outcome of distal radius treated with ligamentotaxis using external fixators.

Materials and Methods: The present study includes 40 cases of distal radius fractures who were operated with external fixation in Basaveshwar Hospital Kalaburagi between Jan 2017 to Dec 2018. Ethical clearance was taken from the ethical committee of the institution and Informed consent were taken from the patients. Frykman’s classification was used for the classification of fractures being treated and patients final outcome was measured by Gartland and Werley’s Score (demerit system).

Results: The present study includes 40 patients, 24 were male and 16 were female. The mean patient age was 35 years (22yrs-50yrs). Left hand was involved in 24 patients and right hand in 16 patients. The mechanism of injury was road traffic accident in majority. Most of the patients were operated within 3-4 days of injury. 12 patients with high impact type injuries had associated fractures. Most of fractures were of Type III, IV, and V of Frykman’s classification of fractures. End Results according to Demerit point system of Gartland and Werley’s among 40 patients treated with External fixation were Excellent in 20 patients, Good in 12 patients, Fair in 7 patients, and Poor in 1 patient.

Conclusion: External fixation provides good to excellent results in distal radius fractures and are found to be effective in the correction and maintenance of distal radius anatomy.

Keywords: External fixation provides good to excellent results in distal radius fractures and are found to be effective in the correction and maintenance of distal radius anatomy.

Introduction

Fractures of the distal radius are the most frequently occurring injuries and account for about 10% of the fractures in human skeleton [1]. These fractures are most commonly caused due to fall on outstretched hand. Clinically the patient complains of pain along with tenderness, bruising, and swelling. It may be associated with deformities like dorsal angulation and distal neurovascular compromise. Distal radial fracture can present in any group of patients with bimodal age and sex distribution. Patho-physiologically, the force is applied longitudinally or obliquely on to the hand and wrist is absorbed by the distal radius because it is the load-bearing bone in the forearm [2, 3].

Patients were radiographically investigated using antero-posterior and lateral radiographs. For intra-articular fractures CT scans were done to visualize fragment displacement. Despite the large number of researches done, there is no specific single approach to treat these fractures [4, 5]. The indication of reductive or operative treatment modalities is highly dependent on the patient’s age and level of activity. Among the forms of treatment described are plaster-cast immobilization, use of intra-focal, extra-focal or intramedullary percutaneous Kirschner wires, dorsal and volar plates and external fixation [6, 7, 8, 9].

Locked plate and screw systems function as a single unit for fracture fixation whereas conventional plates require compression between the implant and the bone. As the bone density is compromised in elderly, the locked plate and screw system provides greater rigidity and stabilization when compared to conventional plates. The locked plate-screw mechanism also functions as a substitute for the bone cortex, thus making it possible to use monocortical screws [10, 11, 12, 13].
External fixation is a treatment option, primarily reserved for highly unstable and severely comminuted fractures. This technique relies on ligamentotaxis, which indirectly pulls the fracture fragments through longitudinal traction. External Fixation does not directly address the reduction and maintenance of the dorsal tilt or intra-articular fragments [14]. Aim of the study was to know the surgical and functional outcome of distal radius fractures treated by external fixation.

Material and Methods
The present study includes 40 cases of distal radius fractures who had come to our hospital between Jan 2017 to Dec 2018. All the patients were operated with external fixation and final outcome was measured by Gartland and Werley Score (demerit system) [10].

Inclusion criteria
- Age group >14 years
- Patients with distal radius fracture.
- Patient fit for surgery and willing to be treated with external fixation

Exclusion criteria
- Open fractures of distal end radius.
- Delayed presentation (>1 week).
- Patients not willing for surgery
- Associated with ipsilateral forearm or hand fracture.

Written informed consent from the patients were obtained. Detailed history was taken from each of them and required investigations were done. X ray was considered mainstay for concluding the fracture type, site and the basis of further intervention and follow up. X-rays were taken in standard AP/Lateral views of wrist.

Postoperatively patients were followed at 4weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months.

Distal radius fractures were classified according to Frykman’s system of classification.

Post-Operative Care and Rehabilitation
Check X -rays were taken in both Antero-posterior and lateral views on first post-operative day. Confirmation of reduction of the fracture was done and any displacement of fracture was studied. Enough ligamentotaxis should be achieved by tension of the dorsal ti and intra-articular fragments. Fixation does not directly a

radiological union described as callus bridging or no fracture line after comparing the preoperative x-ray with the latest follow up x-ray.

In our study, it reveals that, Out of 40(100%) patients treated with external fixation 38(86%) had dorsiflexion within the normal functional range (minimum 45°), 42 (95%) had palmar flexion within the Normal functional range (minimum 30°), 40 (91%) had pronation within the normal functional range (minimum 50°), 42 (95%) had supination within the normal functional range (minimum50°), 44 (100%) had radialis deviation within the normal functional range (minimum 15°) and 34 (77%) patients had ulnar deviation within the normal functional range (minimum 15°). 36(82%) patients had no pain at distal radioulnar joint, 34 (77%) patients had grip strength more than 60% compared to the opposite side. (Table-1)

Subjective evaluation: Among 40 patients of external fixation 20 patients had excellent results, 12 patients had good results, 7 patients had fair results and 1 patients had poor results. (Table-2) Out of 40 patients in external fixation, 12 (30%) patients had pin tract infection and 10 (25%) patients had pin loosening which subsided with oral antibiotics.

End Results according to Gartland and Werley’s score: Among 40(100%) patients treated with External fixation the results were Excellent in 20(50%) patients, Good in 12(30%) patients, Fair in 7(17%) patients, and Poor in 1(3%) patient. (table 3)

| Movements (within normal range) | External fixation (22 patients) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dorsiflexion (min 45°)           | 38(86%)                        |
| Palmar flexion(30°)              | 42(95%)                        |
| Pronation (50°)                  | 40(91%)                        |
| Supination (50°)                 | 42(95%)                        |
| Radial deviation (15°)           | 44(100%)                       |
| Ulnar deviation (15°)            | 34(77%)                        |
| Pain at radioulnar joint         | 36(82%)                        |
| Grip strength(60% or less than on opposite side) | 34(77%) |
In a prospective randomized study, Roumen et al compared EF with closed reduction and immobilization for displaced distal radial fractures in older patients. Patients treated with External fixation had significantly better radiological results while the functional results did not show any difference [16]. Aroshi et al compared in a randomized study, the two different methods of external fixation in a cohort of elderly patients. A better radial length at 1 year in the non-bridging group was seen but there was no significant differences in functional results of both groups. Aketin et al described similar findings in a retrospective study with patients older than 65 years [17]. In our study significant number of patients had good to excellent final functional outcome.

In a study done by Cooney et al, the end results were excellent in 38% patients, good in 55% and fair in 13% [18]. Sanders et al. 1991. Found end results according to gartland and werley’s score as excellent in 34% patients, good in 34% patients, fair in 29% patients and poor in 3% patients [19].

To study the management of distal end radius fracture by utilizing the principle of ligamentotaxis as conducted by Chilakamary VK et al., it was concluded that external fixator was an effective method of treating unstable extra articular and complex intra articular fractures. Improved anatomical restoration along with early rehabilitation produced favorable functional outcome [20].

Complications associated with EF are pin-tract infection, iatrogenic lesion of the superficial radial nerve. Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) may result due to over-distruction of the wrist joint. Usually the external fixation is applied for 6 week especially to osteoporotic bone with weak hold of the pins. Loosening of the pins may occur so they have to be removed before definitive bone healing. In our study, no neurovascular complications were reported. 12 patients had pin tract infection and 10 patients had pin loosening which subsided with oral antibiotics.

The Roger-Andersen device used by Grana WA, Kopta JA [21] in the treatment of distal radius fractures had 80% excellent and good results. They felt that 4 pins gave better fixation and pin loosening was less when compared with 2 pins, results were in comparison with our study.

Cooney WP et al. analysed the results with four different external fixation devices in a consecutive series of 100 unstable distal radial end fractures and opined that quadrilateral frame fixation provided effective immobilization and produced good to excellent results in 86% of patients [22]. In another Prospective study in Indian rural set-up done by Pinnamaneni S et al., it was concluded that external fixation can be considered as effective treatment in elderly populations of Indian rural set up as it is a simple and cost effective procedure with good results [23].

**Conclusion**

Our study concluded that external fixation as a treatment modality for displaced distal end radius fractures proves to be minimally invasive. The risk of neurovascular damage also runs low with this mode of treatment. The removal of hardware post healing of fracture is easy. The patients also tend to be compliant. The patients could quickly switch to their daily normal routine. Hence it is a good treatment option for any age group of patients with excellent to good functional outcome.
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**Table 2: Subjective evaluation**

| Subjective evaluation | External fixation (out of 40 patients) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Excellent             | 20                                    |
| Good                  | 12                                    |
| Fair                  | 07                                    |
| Poor                  | 01                                    |

**Table 3: End result according to Gartland and Werley’s score**

| End Result     | No. of patients |
|----------------|-----------------|
| Excellent (0-2)| 20              |
| Good (3-8)     | 12              |
| Fair (9-20)    | 7               |
| Poor (>21)     | 1               |

**Image 1: Pre-op x-ray AP and lateral: Post-op AP and lateral**

**Image 2: Pre-op x-ray AP and lateral Post-op AP and lateral**

**Discussions**

In a prospective randomized study, Roumen et al compared EF with closed reduction and immobilization for displaced distal radial fractures in older patients. Patients treated with External fixation had significantly better radiological results while the functional results did not show any difference [16]. Aroshi et al compared in a randomized study, the two different methods of external fixation in a cohort of elderly patients. A better radial length at 1 year in the non-bridging group was seen but there was no significant differences in functional results of both groups. Aketin et al described similar findings in a retrospective study with patients older than 65 years [17]. In our study significant number of patients had good to excellent final functional outcome.

In a study done by Cooney et al, the end results were excellent in 38% patients, good in 55% and fair in 13% [18]. Sanders et al. 1991. Found end results according to gartland and werley’s score as excellent in 34% patients, good in 34% patients, fair in 29% patients and poor in 3% patients [19]. To study the management of distal end radius fracture by utilizing the principle of ligamentotaxis as conducted by Chilakamary VK et al., it was concluded that external fixator was an effective method of treating unstable extra articular and complex intra articular fractures. Improved anatomical restoration along with early rehabilitation produced favorable functional outcome [20].

Complications associated with EF are pin-tract infection, iatrogenic lesion of the superficial radial nerve. Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) may result due to over-distruction of the wrist joint. Usually the external fixation is applied for 6 week especially to osteoporotic bone with weak hold of the pins. Loosening of the pins may occur so they have to be removed before definitive bone healing. In our study, no neurovascular complications were reported. 12 patients had pin tract infection and 10 patients had pin loosening which subsided with oral antibiotics.

The Roger-Andersen device used by Grana WA, Kopta JA [21] in the treatment of distal radius fractures had 80% excellent and good results. They felt that 4 pins gave better fixation and pin loosening was less when compared with 2 pins, results were in comparison with our study.

Cooney WP et al. analysed the results with four different external fixation devices in a consecutive series of 100 unstable distal radial end fractures and opined that quadrilateral frame fixation provided effective immobilization and produced good to excellent results in 86% of patients [22]. In another Prospective study in Indian rural set-up done by Pinnamaneni S et al., it was concluded that external fixation can be considered as effective treatment in elderly populations of Indian rural set up as it is a simple and cost effective procedure with good results [23].

**Conclusion**

Our study concluded that external fixation as a treatment modality for displaced distal end radius fractures proves to be minimally invasive. The risk of neurovascular damage also runs low with this mode of treatment. The removal of hardware post healing of fracture is easy. The patients also tend to be compliant. The patients could quickly switch to their daily normal routine. Hence it is a good treatment option for any age group of patients with excellent to good functional outcome.
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