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Abstract—This paper presents the design of a compact triple band-notched ultra-wideband (UWB) two element multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) antenna. To validate the simulation results, the prototype of the design is fabricated and experimentally measured. From the experimental results, it is observed that the proposed design, operating in the frequency range 2.5–12 GHz, successfully rejects three interfering bands, i.e., the WiMAX band, WLAN band, and X-band satellite communication downlink channel, when a triple bandgap CSRR-loaded EBG structure is embedded close to the feedline of the UWB antenna. In the ground plane of the MIMO antenna, a rectangular slot and a mirrored pair of F-shaped stubs are added to minimize the mutual coupling between the UWB elements. The proposed MIMO antenna has good wideband isolation between the elements (> 20 dB), high diversity gain (10 dB), and low envelope correlation coefficient (< 0.02) over the entire UWB.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the year 2002, the band ranging from 3.1 to 10.6 GHz was approved and allocated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) for ultra-wideband (UWB) technology [1]. Since then, many researchers and engineers have been attracted towards developing RF circuits and antennas for this technology. UWB technology aims to provide short-range indoor wireless communications at a high data rate [2]. For the successful deployment of UWB technology low-profile antennas with ultra-wide bandwidth, high radiation efficiency, stable gain, and stable radiation pattern are required. Printed monopole antennas with a partial ground plane have been the most promising candidate for UWB technology since these antennas have low profile, low cost, easy fabrication, and large bandwidth [3–7].

However, some existing narrow-band systems operating at higher power levels interfere in the operating frequency range of UWB technology. These narrow-band systems include Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (WiMAX) operating in range 3.4–3.69 GHz, Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) operating in range 5.15–5.825 GHz, X-band satellite communication operating in range 7.25–7.75 GHz (downlink channel), and 7.9–8.4 GHz (uplink channel). To mitigate such interferences, additional band-reject filters are required to be included in the system. However, such filters increase the overall cost, size, and complexity of the system. An alternative method is to design band-notched UWB antennas that will automatically discard the interfering bands [8–14]. In the literature, several methods have been proposed to obtain band-notched UWB antennas. These methods include adding slots in the radiating patch or the ground plane [8–12], putting parasitic elements [13, 14] or tuning stubs [15] near the radiating patch, or embedding resonant structures near/on the microstrip feedline [16, 17]. To achieve multiple band-notched functionality, adding multiple stubs/tuning structures would not be practical because they will consume more space and can present strong coupling effects. Also, it would be very challenging to add more than three slots in the radiating patch because a compact UWB antenna has a very limited patch area. Multiple slots in the radiating
patch will also affect the gain, efficiency, and radiation pattern of the antenna. Moreover, these band-notch techniques are restricted to a specific design of a monopole antenna and may not be reused to achieve band-notch functionality in any other monopole antenna design.

Another technique that has been recently used by the authors to obtain band rejection is placing Electromagnetic Band Gap (EBG) structures close to the microstrip feedline of the monopole antenna [18–26]. EBG structures perform band-notch in the UWB spectrum at their bandgap resonant frequency [18]. EBG structures also offer the flexibility to tune the bandwidth and center frequency of the notch-band easily [19]. Moreover, these structures have a minimal affect on the radiation characteristics of the UWB antenna and can be easily coupled close to the microstrip feedline of the monopole antenna, independent of its design. Four Conventional Mushroom Type (CMT) EBG structures were utilized in [18] to obtain a notch in the spectrum of UWB monopole antenna at 5.5 GHz. In [19], to notch the WLAN band, a comparative study of CMT EBG and Edge Located Via (ELV) EBG was performed. Three different EBG structures were utilized in [20] and [21] to obtain a triple band-notched UWB antenna. However, the ground plane of a UWB monopole antenna is limited. For miniaturized portable hand-held wireless electronic devices, there is a demand for more compact UWB antennas. For a compact UWB antenna, the ground plane area is further reduced. It would be very difficult to accommodate in the limited ground plane area, the unit cells of three/four different sized EBG structures to design triple/quad band-notched UWB antenna [22]. Hence recently many authors have proposed UWB antennas integrated with dual/triple bandgap EBG structures [23–28] so that a single cell of EBG structure may suffice the requirement. However, all these structures have complicated designs and are difficult to fabricate.

In recent years, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems have also gained popularity because of their ability to improve channel capacity, data rate, and link reliability without any additional spectral resources [29]. MIMO systems comprise multiple antenna elements at the transmitter and receiver. MIMO technology, when being combined with UWB technology, helps in further improving the data rate and channel capacity of UWB technology. A UWB MIMO/Diversity antenna is an appropriate candidate to combat the multipath fading problem in indoor environments. However since a MIMO antenna consists of multiple individual antenna elements, the overall size of a UWB MIMO antenna increases. Thus, there is a need to design compact UWB MIMO antennas that can be easily accommodated within compact portable hand-held wireless devices. For a UWB MIMO system to operate well, low correlation and mutual coupling between the individual antenna elements are desired. Several decoupling methods have been reported in the literature [29–42] to minimize the mutual coupling between the antenna elements.

In this paper, a compact triple band-notched UWB MIMO antenna system comprising two fork-shaped UWB antenna elements is proposed. The overall footprint of the antenna is \(30 \times 44 \text{ mm}^2\). To obtain the notches in the UWB antenna bandwidth, a triple bandgap EBG structure is proposed and embedded close to the microstrip feedline of the UWB antenna. To minimize the mutual coupling between the MIMO elements, a mirrored pair of F-shaped stubs and one rectangular slot are added in the common ground plane. Section 2 discusses the design of the fork-shaped UWB monopole antenna. Section 3 presents the dispersion diagram of the triple bandgap CSRR-loaded EBG structure. The triple band-notched UWB monopole antenna is studied in Section 4. Section 5 presents the design of the two-element UWB MIMO/Diversity antenna. Measurement results of UWB MIMO/Diversity antenna are presented and discussed in Section 6. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 7.

### 2. DESIGN OF THE UWB ANTENNA

A microstrip-fed monopole antenna with a fork-shaped radiating patch and a partial ground plane is used as a reference UWB antenna [43]. The top view and side view of the antenna are presented in Figures 1(a) and (b), respectively. The antenna is designed on a low-cost FR4 epoxy substrate with dielectric constant \((\varepsilon_r) = 4.4\), loss tangent \((\tan \delta) = 0.01\), and substrate height \((h) = 1.6 \text{ mm}\). Ansys High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) is used to optimize the dimensions of the antenna. Return loss and other characteristics of the antenna are also studied using the simulations performed in HFSS. The final optimized parameters of the antenna are \(L = 30 \text{ mm}, W = 20 \text{ mm}, L_1 = 12.5 \text{ mm}, L_2 = 2 \text{ mm}, L_f = 11.5 \text{ mm}, W_1 = 2 \text{ mm}, W_2 = 11 \text{ mm}, W_f = 3.4 \text{ mm}\). The overall footprint of the
antenna is 30 × 20 mm². The simulated VSWR of the antenna is presented in Figure 2. From Figure 2, it is observed that the simulated bandwidth of the antenna with VSWR < 2 is from 2.5 GHz to 12 GHz.

3. DESIGN OF TRIPLE BANDGAP EBG STRUCTURE

EBG structures are periodic metallic patches arranged over dielectric substrates [44]. Because of the two unique characteristics of EBG structures, i.e., surface wave bandgap and reflection phase bandgap, these structures have been exploited by microwave and antenna engineers for a vast number of applications [45, 46]. To explain the characteristics of EBG structures, the unit cell of via loaded EBG structure can be equated to an equivalent LC circuit, where the inductance L is accounted to the current flowing through the via, and the capacitance C is accounted to the gap between the EBG patches. The
bandgap is observed at the resonant frequency of this LC circuit. To design a multi-bandgap EBG structure, multiple LC resonant circuits must be observed per unit cell of an EBG structure.

When a unit cell of the EBG structure is placed close to the microstrip feedline of a UWB antenna, notch/notches are observed in the operating bandwidth of the UWB antenna. These notch/notches coincide with the bandgap/bandgaps of the EBG structure. To obtain the triple notches in the bandwidth of the fork-shaped UWB antenna proposed in the above section, placing the unit cell of the triple bandgap EBG structure near the microstrip feedline will suffice. Hence in this section, we aim to design a triple bandgap EBG structure with optimized dimensions so that it offers bandgap at the WiMAX band 3.4–3.69 GHz, WLAN band 5.15–5.825 GHz, and X-band 7.9–8.4 GHz.

The top view and side view of the proposed CSRR-loaded EBG structure are presented Figures 3(a) and (b), respectively. The proposed EBG structure is square-shaped loaded with two complementary split-ring resonators (CSRR) and an edge via. The design of this EBG structure is inspired from the reference [47]. The two CSRRs offer two additional resonances to the unit cell. Hence, three resonant circuits and consequently three bandgaps are observed per unit cell. The CSRR-loaded EBG structure is designed on an FR4 substrate with dielectric constant $\epsilon_r = 4.4$, loss tangent $\tan \delta = 0.01$, and substrate height $h = 1.6$ mm. The optimized dimensions of the EBG structure are: patch size $x_1 = 7.3$ mm, Period of EBG structure $P = 8.1$ mm (where $P = x_1 + 2 \times g$), gap between the neighbouring cells $2 \times g = 0.8$ mm, $x_2 = 5.5$ mm, $x_3 = 3.7$ mm, $g_1 = 0.5$ mm, $g_2 = 0.5$ mm, and radius of via $= 0.2$ mm. Figure 3(c) presents the dispersion diagram (from $\tau$ to $X$) of the CSRR-loaded EBG structure drawn using rectangular irreducible Brillouin-zone. From the dispersion diagram, it is observed that the CSRR-loaded EBG structure offers three bandgaps. The first bandgap observed between mode-1 and mode-2 is 3.32–3.78 GHz, centered at $f_{c1} = 3.55$ GHz. The second bandgap observed between mode-2 and mode-3 is 5.36–5.81 GHz, centered at $f_{c2} = 5.58$ GHz. The third bandgap observed between mode-3 and mode-4 is 7.84–8.35 GHz, centered at $f_{c3} = 8.08$ GHz. The fractional bandwidths of the three bandgaps are 12.95%, 8.6%, and 6.5%, respectively.

Figure 3. CSRR-loaded EBG structure. (a) Top view, (b) side view, (c) dispersion diagram.

4. DESIGN OF TRIPLE BAND-NOTCHED UWB ANTENNA

In this section, the CSRR-loaded EBG structure is placed at a distance of $d_2$ from the microstrip feedline of the UWB antenna. The dimensions of the CSRR-loaded EBG structure are taken the same
as discussed in Section 3. Figure 4(a) presents the top view of the EBG integrated antenna. The distance between the upper edge of the ground plane and the EBG structure is \(d_1\). The distances \(d_1\) and \(d_2\) play an important role in fine-tuning the center frequency and the bandwidth of the notched band. With the help of HFSS simulations, the most optimum values of \(d_1\) and \(d_2\) are observed as \(d_1 = 3\) mm and \(d_2 = 0.2\) mm. Figure 2 presents the simulated VSWRs of UWB antenna without any EBG structure and after embedding the CSRR-loaded EBG structure. It is observed from the figure that three bands are notched (with VSWR > 2) from the operating bandwidth of the EBG integrated antenna. The first notched band is 3.3–3.8 GHz, the second notched band 5.32–5.85 GHz, and third notched band 7.8–8.41 GHz. These notched bands coincide closely with the bandgaps observed in the dispersion diagram of the CSRR-loaded EBG structure. Figures 4(b), (c), and (d) respectively present the simulated surface current distributions at the antenna and the EBG structure at 3.4 GHz, 5.5 GHz, and 8.2 GHz. At the notched frequencies, current is observed to be concentrated more at the EBG structure.

| Ref. No. | EBG Type       | unit cell size (mm\(^2\)) | No. of vias/unit cell | No. of bandgaps | bandgap center frequency \(f_{c1}/f_{c2}/f_{c3}\) (GHz) | cell size in terms of \(\lambda\) of first bandgap center frequency |
|----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| [23]     | M-EBG          | 8 \times 8.2             | one                   | two            | 3.6/5.5                         | 0.096\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.098\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| [24]     | CMT EBG with slits | 4.5 \times 4.5       | one                   | two            | 5.5/8.2                         | 0.083\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.083\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| [25]     | Slotted EBG    | 9.5 \times 4.5           | one                   | three          | 3.63/5.48/7.35                  | 0.115\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.055\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| [26]     | TVEL EBG       | 6 \times 6               | two                   | two            | 3.9/7.45                        | 0.078\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.078\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| [27]     | TVDS EBG       | 11 \times 11             | two                   | two            | 4.54/5.92                       | 0.166\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.166\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| [28]     | TBMV EBG       | 12 \times 12             | three                  | three          | 2.17/3.73/4.77                  | 0.086\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.086\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |
| P.W.     | CSRR-loaded    | 7.3 \times 7.3           | one                   | three          | 3.4/5.5/8.2                     | 0.083\(\lambda_{c1}\) \times 0.083\(\lambda_{c1}\)          |

P.W. = Proposed Work
structures that have been utilized to obtain notches in the bandwidth of UWB antennas. From Table 1, it is observed that the CSRR-loaded EBG structure is multi-bandgap, and as compared to other reported EBG structures more compact, and simpler to fabricate.

5. DESIGN OF TRIPLE BAND-NOTCHED UWB MIMO/DIVERSITY ANTENNA

With the help of MIMO technology, the problem of multipath fading in conventional UWB systems can be resolved. This technology also helps to improve the quality of transmission. In this section, two UWB monopoles along with two CSRR-loaded EBG structures are placed on a common FR4 substrate to obtain a two-port UWB MIMO/Diversity antenna system. To minimize the mutual coupling among the UWB monopoles, a mirrored pair of F-shaped stubs are added to the common ground plane. These F-shaped stubs act as a reflector and separate the radiation of each monopole, thus reducing the mutual coupling between the antenna elements [35]. To further reduce the mutual coupling, a rectangular slot is also added in the middle of the ground plane. Figure 5(a) presents the layout of the proposed MIMO antenna. The dimensions of the F-shaped stub are as follows: \(a = 8\) mm, \(b = 1.5\) mm, \(c = 0.4\) mm, \(d = 1\) mm, and \(e = 3\) mm. The slot dimensions are: \(m = 4\) mm, \(n = 2\) mm. The other dimensions of the UWB monopoles and EBG structures are same as discussed in the above sections. The overall footprint of the proposed MIMO/Diversity antenna is \(30 \times 44\) mm\(^2\). Figure 5(b) presents the surface current distribution on the ground plane of MIMO antenna at 5 GHz, when Port 1 is excited. From the figure it is observed that the current coupled to the second monopole antenna is very small. Thus, it can be concluded that good isolation is achieved between the radiators.

![Figure 5. UWB MIMO antenna. (a) Top view, (b) ground plane surface current distribution.](image)

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To validate the performance, the proposed UWB MIMO antenna is fabricated on an FR4 substrate with dielectric constant \((\varepsilon_r) = 4.4\), substrate height = 1.6 mm, and loss tangent \((\tan \delta) = 0.01\). The front and back views of the fabricated prototype are shown in Figure 6. At the end of the microstrip feedline of each UWB monopole, two 50Ω SMA connectors are connected. For all the measurements Port 1 is excited, while Port 2 is terminated with a 50Ω load.

6.1. Return Loss and Mutual Coupling

Figure 6 compares the simulated and measured return loss values of the UWB MIMO antenna. It is observed from the graph that the measurement results closely follow the simulation results. However, minor variations are observed, which can be attributed to the soldering of the SMA connector and
fabrication tolerances. From the measurement results, it is observed that the impedance bandwidth of
the fabricated prototype ($S_{11} < -10 \text{ dB}$) is from 2.5 GHz to 12 GHz, while the antenna simultaneously
rejects three interfering frequency bands ($S_{11} > -10 \text{ dB}$) from 3.43–3.86 GHz, 5.26–6 GHz, and 7.85–8.71 GHz.

The mutual coupling between the antenna elements is measured in terms of transmission coefficient
from Port 1 to Port 2 or vice versa, i.e., $S_{12}/S_{21}$. Figure 7 compares the simulated and measured
transmission coefficient values. It is observed that throughout the entire UWB, the value of $S_{21}$ is less
than $-20 \text{ dB}$ which is considered acceptable for a good performance. Thus the decoupling structures,
I.e., the F-shaped stubs and slot in the common ground plane helped in a significant reduction of mutual
coupling.

**Figure 6.** Simulated and measured $S_{11}$ of UWB MIMO antenna and photograph of fabricated
prototype.

**Figure 7.** Simulated and measured mutual coupling ($S_{21}$) of the proposed UWB MIMO antenna.
6.2. Radiation Pattern and Gain

In an anechoic chamber, the far-field radiation performance of the fabricated antenna is measured. At three dissimilar frequencies, the simulated and measured $E$-plane and $H$-plane radiation patterns of the MIMO antenna are presented in Figure 8. A good agreement between simulated and measured results has been observed. The radiation pattern of the proposed MIMO antenna is dumbbell-shaped in the $E$-plane and almost omnidirectional in the $H$-plane. Such a radiation pattern is typical for a monopole antenna. However, at higher frequencies some deterioration is observed in the radiation pattern.

Figure 9 presents simulated peak gain graph of the proposed MIMO antenna varying with frequency. It is observed that the gain of the antenna is acceptable for the entire UWB except at the notched frequency bands where a sharp decrease in antenna gain is observed.

6.3. Envelope Correlation Coefficient and Diversity Gain

The diversity performance of the proposed antenna is evaluated in terms of Envelope Correlation Coefficient (ECC) and Diversity Gain (DG). The formula for the evaluation of ECC of the MIMO
antenna using the $S$-parameters is given below [48]:

$$ECC = \frac{|S_{11}^*S_{12} + S_{21}^*S_{22}|^2}{(1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{21}|^2)(1 - |S_{22}|^2 - |S_{12}|^2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The value of ECC should ideally be zero for an uncorrelated MIMO antenna. However for practical circumstances, the preferred limit is $ECC < 0.5$. Figure 10 presents the graph of ECC versus frequency of the proposed UWB MIMO antenna. From the figure it is observed that the ECC $< 0.02$ for the proposed UWB MIMO antenna in the entire UWB range.

Diversity gain is also an important parameter to evaluate the diversity performance of the antenna.

Figure 8. Simulated and measured radiation patterns of proposed UWB MIMO antenna. (a) $E$-plane at 4.5 GHz, (b) $H$-plane at 4.5 GHz, (c) $E$-plane at 6.5 GHz, (d) $H$-plane at 6.5 GHz, (e) $E$-plane at 9.5 GHz, (f) $H$-plane at 9.5 GHz.

Figure 9. Simulated realized gain of UWB MIMO antenna.
The relation to calculate DG of the MIMO antenna is as given below:

\[
DG = 10\sqrt{1 - (ECC)^2}
\]

\[ (2) \]

**Figure 10.** Plot of ECC and Diversity versus frequency for the proposed UWB MIMO antenna.

**Table 2.** Performance comparison of the proposed UWB MIMO antenna with previously published work.

| Ref. | Freq range of antenna (GHz) | Area (mm\(^2\)) | No. of notched bands | No. of MIMO elements | Isolation (dB) | Isolation Technique |
|------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| [29] | 3.1–10.6                   | 1400             | –                    | Two                  | > 16           | Tree like structure |
| [30] | 2.8–8                      | 3491             | –                    | Two                  | > 17           | Separated ground planes |
| [31] | 3–6                        | 3000             | –                    | Two                  | > 21           | Double layer EBG structure |
| [32] | 3.4–15                     | 1600             | Two                  | Two                  | > 15           | Polarization diversity with protruded stub |
| [33] | 2.8–11.5                   | 1400             | One                  | Two                  | > 18           | Pattern diversity |
| [34] | 2.8–11                     | 1260             | One                  | Two                  | > 20           | T-shaped stubs |
| [35] | 2.4–14.5                   | 1500             | –                    | Two                  | > 20           | F-shaped stubs |
| [36] | 2.3–10.6                   | 2610             | Three                | Two                  | > 15           | Decoupling strips and slot in ground plane |
| [37] | 3.1–12.3                   | 1430             | –                    | Two                  | > 20           | Pattern diversity |
| [38] | 31–12                      | 2116             | Two                  | Two                  | > 17           | Minkowski fractal structure |
| [39] | 3–11                       | 1750             | –                    | Two                  | > 25           | Fence like decoupling structure |
| [40] | 3–16                       | 1472             | Two                  | Two                  | > 20           | Periodic strip branches |
| [41] | 2.36–12                    | 1724             | Two                  | Two                  | > 21           | Double Y-shaped branch |
| Proposed | 2.5–12                 | 1320             | Three                | Two                  | > 20           | F-shaped stubs and slot in ground plane |
The variation of DG of the proposed UWB MIMO antenna with frequency is also plotted in Figure 10. It is observed that the value of DG of the proposed UWB MIMO antenna is approximately 10 dB for the entire UWB frequency range.

### 6.4. Comparison with Other Reported Work

Table 2 compares the proposed UWB MIMO antenna with other UWB MIMO antennas reported in literature in terms of bandwidth, overall footprint of antenna, number of notched bands, isolation achieved, and the isolation technique used. In the comparison table, only two element MIMO antennas are taken so that a fair comparison of the area occupied by proposed antenna with other reported antennas can be made. It can be observed that the overall area of the proposed MIMO antenna is less than many of the reported antennas. Moreover, the proposed antenna exhibits acceptable bandwidth and good isolation between the individual antenna elements. The proposed antenna also rejects three interfering bands while using only a single cell of a triple bandgap CSRR-loaded EBG structure per antenna element. Additionally, the CSRR-loaded EBG structure is compact in size and easy to fabricate, as can be observed from Table 1.

### 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a compact two-element triple band-notched UWB MIMO antenna is proposed. The proposed MIMO antenna is fabricated and tested. The antenna offers a wide impedance bandwidth of 2.5–12 GHz. Three notches in the the UWB bandwidth are achieved by embedding single cell of a compact CSRR-loaded EBG structure near the feedline of UWB monopole antenna. From the measurement results, the notched bands are 3.43–3.86 GHz, 5.26–6 GHz, and 7.85–8.71 GHz. A mirrored pair of F-shaped stubs and a slot in the common ground plane of the MIMO antenna help in improving the isolation between MIMO elements. The MIMO antenna also exhibits good diversity performance with value of ECC < 0.02, and DG ≈ 10 dB for the entire UWB band.
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