The milk fingerprint of Sardinian dairy sheep: quality and yield of milk used for Pecorino Romano P.D.O. cheese production on population-based 5-year survey
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ABSTRACT
The Pecorino Romano P.D.O. is the main sheep cheese produced in Italy and the first one among the sheep cheeses, in terms of quality and value, exported from the European Union. About half of the sheep milk produced in Italy is processed into this type of cheese by 36 dairies belonging to the Pecorino Romano Consortium. Eight million records of biweekly analyses of milk collected within a 5-year period from farms delivering their milk to the aforementioned consortium were analysed in this work. Monthly evolution curves were plotted for fat, protein, lactose, pH, NaCl, SCC, bacterial load and principal fatty acids (FAs). Due to the seasonal production systems of Sardinian sheep, monthly evolution of milk fat and protein contents and cheese yield are directly linked to the lactation curve pattern and the pastures quantity and quality. Also, the FA profile of milk is affected by grass availability and quality in both early and mid-lactation, whereas it is influenced by the energy balance of ewes in late lactation. Cheese yield equation was computed based on fat and protein contents and considering the variability among dairies in technological processes used in transforming Sarda sheep milk to Pecorino Romano P.D.O. These data could be a relevant basis to set-up future grids of milk payments based on quality standards. Moreover, they could be useful to formulate administrative policies on the dairy sector with the prospective to improve milk quality of Sardinian sheep destined to the Pecorino Romano production.

HIGHLIGHTS
• The yield of Pecorino Romano P.D.O. (PR) is linked to fat and protein content of milk and it depends on the industrial processes adopted by each dairy
• Monthly variation of milk composition is of high interest for the PR producers to program the output of their milk-processing plans
• The content and monthly evolutions of principal fatty acids are important to determine the nutritional and technological properties of PR

Introduction
Based on the official website of its Consortium of Protection (Consorzio per la Tutela del Formaggio Pecorino Romano DOP 2020), the Pecorino Romano P.D.O. (PR) is described as ‘a hard cheese, made with fresh whole sheep milk, derived exclusively from farms located in the area of production (Sardinia, Lazio and the Grosseto province in Tuscany)’. It has a thin crust with the colour of pale ivory or straw, and sometimes it is covered by a special protective material. It is an aromatic cheese with a lightly spicy and tangy taste in the table-cheese type, and an intense spicy taste in the grated-cheese type. The PR cheese wheels are cylindrical with flat top and bottom, the height of the side is between 25 and 40 cm and the diameter of top and bottom is between 25 and 35 cm. The weight of the PR cheese wheel can vary between 20 and 35 kg. The minimum ripening times for PR are 5 and 8 months for table-cheese and grating-cheese categories, respectively. PR is listed in the Official Journal of the European Communities no. 148 of 21 June 1996, Regulation 1107/96, on the registration of protected...
geographical indications (PGIs) and protected designations of origin (PDO).

With 18,170 tons and 112 millions of Euros, PR represents the first sheep cheese exported in volume and value from the European Union (CLAL 2020). PR is the main cheese produced from the Italian dairy sheep milk, with half of the national sheep milk production processed into this type of cheese (Pulina et al. 2018). The 95% of Italian PR is produced in Sardinia from the Sarda local breed of sheep, which counts 2,317,000 lactating ewes (31st December 2019, Anagrafe Nazionale Zootecnica 2020).

In Sardinia, most of the dairy sheep farms are located in the plains or in the hills, at altitudes below 500 m. The breeding system is semi-extensive, where ewes graze on natural and/or artificial pastures, which represent the main source of nutrients, constituting about 80% of the dry matter (DM) annually ingested by the flock. The remaining 20% of DM consumption is represented by roughages and concentrates. Silage-based feeding systems with limited grazing are not commonly observed except for intensive farms with feedstuff self-production.

Based on the Anagrafe Nazionale Zootecnica (2020), the average size of Sardinian dairy sheep farms is 208.3 ewes and 5.6 rams. Milk production per ewe per lactation ranges from 120 to 150 litres in 120 days in primiparous, from 150 to 230 L in secondiparous and from 180 to 260 L in multiparous, both in 180 days of milking (Pulina et al. 2018).

Cheese yield depends on milk fat and protein contents. Pirisi et al. (1994) have empirically formulated a PR yield equation based on these compounds. Monthly evolutions of milk fat and protein contents are deeply associated to the availability and quality of herbage during the milking season and to the physiological evolution of the lactation curve of sheep. Fatty acid (FA) profile of milk fat, which represents an important feature of the nutritional characteristics of sheep cheese, is also related to pasture quality and energy balance of lactating ewes (Nudda et al. 2014). Increase of milk somatic cell counts (SCCs) enhance the PR yield at 24 h due to higher humidity of the curd (Pirisi et al. 1996, 2000), thus misrepresenting the real cheese yield, that will be lower after long ripening time. Higher bacterial counts could interfere on cheese ripening because sporophytes have higher changes to survive the milk-heating process (Fuka et al. 2013).

PR Consortium of Protection has recorded, for 5 years, the monthly amount of produced cheese, the quantity of milk processed into cheese by the 36 dairies, bulk milk composition, including FA profile whose nutritional value is transferred to cheese, were bi-weekly registered at farm level. Recorded data is considered to be representative of the sheep milk produced in Sardinia and constitutes the seasonal fingerprint of its quality and aptitude to transformation in PR cheese.

This article, which analyses the largest data set ever examined on sheep milk quality, was edited to answer to some of the issues of concern for PR producers. In particular, monthly variation of milk composition is of interest because it helps to program the output of milk processing plans; moreover, the content and evolution of FA profile are important to determine the nutritional and technological prosperities of PR. Thus, aims of this article were: (a) to describe and explain the monthly evolution of Sardinian sheep milk quality and the magnitude of its variability over 5 years; (b) to evaluate the influence of month and year on PR cheese yield; (c) and to calculate the PR yield prediction equations based on fat and protein concentration of milk, considering the variability in cheese making processes adopted by the different dairies into an unique a dimensional variable.

Materials and methods

A total of 1096 records was collected from 36 dairies in a 5-year period from 2015 to 2019, for 7 months, from January to July. For each month and each dairy, total milk collected, the quota processed into PR and the cheese obtained at 24 h after curding, were considered.

The data on sheep milk composition for 28 out of 36 dairies producing PR were also elaborated. The milk composition data [fat, protein, casein, and lactose percentage, fatty acids (individual FA: C18:1t11, C18:3n3 and CLAc9,t11; groups of FA: SFA, UFA, MUFA and PUFA, standing for: saturated, unsaturated, mono-unsaturated and polyunsaturated FA, respectively) content, SCC, total bacterial counts (TBC), urea, pH and NaCl] were provided by the laboratory of ARAS (Sardinian Breeders Regional Association). Briefly, bulk milk samples were collected every two weeks from January to July and were stored at 1–4 °C to be analysed. Fat, protein, casein and lactose contents of each farm bulk milk sample were determined using a FIL-IDF procedure FIL-IDF, International Dairy Federation (2000), with a Milksoscan-6000 (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark) calibrated for sheep milk; the same equipment was used to obtain data of urea and NaCl concentration. SCC and TBC were determined using a
The mid-infrared (MIR) analysis of milk, carried out in the same ARAS laboratory, with a MilkoScanFT6000 equipment (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark), provided the estimation of the contents of three individual FA (C18:1t11, C18:3n3 and CLAc9,t11), and four groups of FA (saturated, SFA; unsaturated, UFA; monounsaturated, MUFA and polyunsaturated, PUFA). The milk content of these three individual FA and four classes of FA were obtained using previous prediction equations developed by Caredda et al. (2016). Concentrations of individual FA were expressed as grams per 100 g of total fatty acids methyl esters (FAME), whereas the concentrations of classes of FA were originally expressed as g per 100 g of milk, and then transformed (for the present work) in grams per 100 g of fat.

The composition of milk samples was summarised by the ARAS laboratory and organised per month, for each dairy, starting from a dataset of 9,537,952 records.

In the preliminary data editing, inconsistent records (4 rotational records) were discarded. The monthly evolution of milk collected by all dairies, expressed as % of the annual overall, was regressed on the seven months under study to ascertain the dynamic of milk availability over the production season of PR.

A combined parameter, named yield, given by the ratio between the produced PR 24 h_cheese (kg), and litres of milk used, was analysed by using two different mathematical models. The first was aimed to compare the mean yield differences across years, months and their interaction. The following two factorial ANOVA model was used:

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1d_i + b_2f + b_3p + e_{ij} \quad (with \ i = 1, \ldots, \ 28)$$

where, $y_i$ is the yield in one of the 28 diaries; $b_0$ is the overall intercept; $d$ is the categorical variable representing the 28 dairies ($i=1, \ldots, 28$ levels); fat ($f$) and protein ($p$) percentages are the two covariates and $e$ is the random residual. The model produces 28 regression equations, which differ from each other only for the parameter $b_{ij}$ (one different value for each dairy), being $b_2$ and $b_3$ the same for the 28 dairies.

Finally, a one-factor ANOVA model was developed to test for possible monthly differences of chemical (fat, protein, casein, lactose, pH, urea, NaCl, SFA, UFA, MUFA, PUFA, C18:1t11, CLAc9,t11 and C18:3n3) and cellular composition (SCC and bacterial load) of milk. Also in this case, the Tukey test was used for pairwise comparisons and significance was declared at $p < .05$. Descriptive statistics (mean±SD) were calculated and statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software (ver. 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results and discussion**

**Milk collected and transformed in PR**

Milk litres transformed in PR showed a fluctuating trend across the years, being the maximum close to 190 million litres (2016) and the minimum around 150 million litres (2019). The studied dairies transformed almost 70% of the total milk collected into PR, with this value ranging from 63.4% in 2019 to 77.7% in 2015. The remaining milk was usually transformed into Pecorino Sardo P.D.O. or other categories of soft and hard sheep cheeses. Monthly evolution of milk collected by dairies, expressed as percent of the annual overall, fits a quadratic model (Figure 1), with the maximum in April and minimum in July, which reflects the seasonality of the dairy sheep farming system mainly based on the pasture growth seasonality.

As showed in Figure 2, Mediterranean pastures reach medium productivity in autumn, low productivity in winter, high productivity in spring and scarce productivity in summer. Molle et al. (2008) described the pattern of the feeding strategy most adopted in Sardinia to manage pastures and integrate dairy sheep diets to maximise productivity and animal welfare.
Season has a strong effect both on the biomass availability and quality.

**Cheese yield**

The average yield of PR was 0.174 kg of 24 h_cheese per litre of milk, with a standard deviation of 0.017. The general output of the model indicated that the yield was different for year and month, with a significant interaction between these two factors. The yield in 2018 (0.176 kg of 24 h_cheese per litre of milk) was significantly higher than the one in 2016 (0.173; \( p < .05 \)), whereas the other years did not differ from each other (0.175, 0.175 and 0.174 kg of 24 h_cheese per litre of milk for 2015, 2017 and 2019, respectively; \( p > .05 \)). Regarding the months of production (Figure 3), April, June and July showed significantly different yields from the other months. In particular, the lowest yield (i.e. more litres of milk needed per kg of cheese) was recorded in April, whereas the highest in June and July (i.e. less litres of milk per kg of cheese).

The PR yield is a function of the general percentage of bulk milk fat and protein processed in the 28 dairies (see below) and can be expressed by the following linear regression model with both categorical and continuous variables:

\[
y_i = b_0d + 0.007498 \text{ fat}\% + 0.00874 \text{ protein}\% \\
R^2 = 70.4; \quad \text{Error adj MS} = 0.000024
\]

where, \( b_0d \) is different for each of the \( i \)-dairies \( (i = 1, \ldots, 28) \) with mean value 0.0757 and SD 0.0059. This relationship highlighted a higher value of protein than fat in cheese yielding, with a ratio between them equal to 1.16. This value was slightly lower than the one reported by Pirisi et al. (1994): 1.16 vs 1.32, respectively (being 1.747 and 1.272 the slope coefficients for protein and fat in the yield of PR found by Pirisi et al. (1994) equation). The contribution of each dairy (summarised in the specificity of the processes of the transformation adopted by each of them) accounted on average for the 43% of the cheese yield variability. Cheese yield change, among the other features, according to the 24 h cheese moisture, total protein and fat contents. Moisture is probably the variable with the largest effect on this trait. Indeed, fluctuations in cheese moisture can raise or decrease the cheese yield. Moreover, the content of casein protein fraction in milk plays a dominant factor by changing curd firmness, synaeresis rate, retention of moisture and then, the cheese characteristics. Results of this study evidenced a strong relationship \( (R^2 = 0.941) \) between the casein and the total protein content in Sarda sheep milk. On average, the casein accounted for the 77.02 ± 0.62% of the total protein content and, therefore, the effect of this fraction on PR cheese yield was considered limited.
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**Figure 1.** The monthly evolution of milk collected in 5 years by the 36 dairies member of the Pecorino Romano Consortium, expressed as percent of the annual overall (intercept not significantly different from zero).
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**Figure 2.** Quantitative seasonal pattern of biomass availability in the Sardinia dairy sheep farming system (adapted from Molle et al. 2008).
**Milk quality**

The descriptive statistics of milk physicochemical traits (fat, protein, casein, lactose, SCC, TBC, pH, NaCl and C18:1t11, C18:3n3, CLAc9,t11, SFA, UFA, MUFA and PUFA) of the 28 dairies producing PR are summarised in Table 1.

Average fat and protein contents were around 6.5 and 5.6%, respectively, whereas lactose content was about 4.8% and SCC was higher than 1 million per ml. As far as the FAs composition is concerned, SFA represented more than 68% of the total FA, whereas MUFA and PUFA accounted for about the 25 and 6%, respectively.

Monthly evolution of fat (Figure 4) was associated to the quantity of the milk delivered, confirming the concentration effect common to all dairy species (Pulina et al. 2003). Lowest fat content was observed in April (5.85%), whereas the highest one in July (7.52%). The evolution of C18:1t11 (vaccenic acid), C18:3n3 (alpha-linolenic acid) and CLAc9,t11 (rumenic acid) was coherent with the maturation trend of Mediterranean pasture grass, being these FA clearly related to C18:3n3 content in grass that is maximum in April and deceases rapidly until July (Dewhurst et al. 2006; Mele 2009).

On the other hand, the evolution of FAs classes showed in Figure 5 highlights the interaction between pasture grass quality and energetic metabolism of ewes. PUFAs, as reported above, are associated with the C18:3n3 content in the fresh herbage (Cabiddu et al. 2005; Meluchová et al. 2008). On the other hand, higher SFAs in April and their decrease in the next months, followed by the specular trend of UFA and in particular of MUFA, can be associated to the losses of weight occurring in lactating sheep in the last part of lactation (Gallagher et al. 1966), caused by the worsening of grass quality (Molle et al. 2008) and the stress caused by the high temperature (Seijan et al. 2017). Indeed, in these conditions, an increase in body reserve mobilisation can occur, with consequences on the milk FA composition. In particular, an increase in C18:1cis-9 concentration (MUFA are composed in large part by this FA) and a decrease of de novo synthetised FA (representing a great proportion of the SFA), have been related to the body fat mobilisation (Moallem et al. 2000). The association of body fat metabolism with the feed restriction was pointed out in a recent work on Sarda dairy sheep (Correddu et al. 2021).

Proteins content evolution (Figure 6) was quite different from that of fat in the second and third month of lactation: the relative higher value found in February and March, compared to January, April and

---

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistic (mean, median, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, minimum and maximum), of the milk composition traits of Sarda dairy sheep.

| Milk traits            | Mean  | Median | SD   | CV   | Min  | Max  |
|------------------------|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| Fat, %                 | 6.48  | 6.33   | 0.602| 9.28 | 5.24 | 10.89|
| Protein, %             | 5.59  | 5.57   | 0.180| 3.22 | 5.18 | 6.59 |
| Casein, %              | 4.31  | 4.29   | 0.143| 3.32 | 3.94 | 5.19 |
| Lactose %              | 4.79  | 4.89   | 0.180| 3.22 | 3.94 | 5.19 |
| SCC (<1000/mL)         | 102.15| 1093.52| 328.123| 29.77 | 307.24 | 2866.90 |
| Bacterial load (>1000/mL) | 286.19 | 223.66 | 54.23 | 25.05 | 36.66 | 5.07 |
| Urea, mg/dl            | 33.55 | 35.20  | 7.861| 23.43 | 9.90 | 51.80 |
| pH                     | 6.74  | 6.74   | 0.355| 0.51 | 6.49 | 6.92 |
| NaCl, mg/100 mL        | 141.63| 131.59 | 27.368| 19.32 | 103.44 | 250.20 |
| Milk fatty acids       |       |        |      |      |      |      |
| SFA, g/100g fat        | 68.69 | 68.70  | 2.585| 3.76 | 52.07 | 75.02 |
| UFA, g/100g fat        | 32.18 | 31.68  | 2.855| 8.87 | 25.24 | 52.17 |
| MUFA, g/100g fat       | 25.57 | 25.02  | 3.000| 11.74 | 18.51 | 43.06 |
| PUFA, g/100g fat       | 6.16  | 6.36   | 0.860| 13.97 | 3.71 | 8.56 |
| C18:1t11, g/100g FAME  | 2.51  | 2.79   | 0.993| 39.56 | 0.23 | 4.68 |
| C18:3n3, g/100g FAME   | 1.09  | 1.12   | 0.243| 22.37 | 0.05 | 1.70 |
| CLAc9,t11,g/100g FAME  | 1.24  | 1.29   | 0.367| 29.52 | 0.09 | 2.10 |

CV: coefficient of variation; MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids; SD: standard deviation; SCC: somatic cell count; SFA: saturated fatty acids; UFA: unsaturated fatty acids.

---
Figure 4. Monthly evolution (means ± SD of 5 years) of milk fat concentration of C18:1t11 (vaccenic acid), C18:3n3 (alpha-linolenic acid) and CLAc9,t11 (rumenic acid); different letters indicate significant differences ($p < .05$).

Figure 5. Monthly evolution (means ± SD of 5 years) of milk fatty acid classes (g/100g of fat): SFA (saturated fatty acids), UFA (unsaturated fatty acids), MUFA (monounsaturated fatty acids) and PUFA (polyunsaturated fatty acids); different letters indicate significant differences ($p < .05$).
May, reflected the energy balance of the ewes, which is mainly positive; on the contrary, the rapid increase in the last two months reflects the concentration effect, as seen for fat content. Monthly evolution of casein percentage overlays the one of protein; milk urea was higher in winter and early spring, according to the soluble nitrogen and protein contents of grass (Pulina et al. 2006; Molle et al. 2008). The milk urea curve usually follows the availability and quality of the pastures, with high values during spring months (Molle et al. 2008). In the last decade, a strong decrease in Sardinia’s milk urea values in pastures has also been achieved, leading to a major decrease in the nitrogen excretion in the urine of the ewes reared in Sardinia (Nudda et al. 2020); this was mainly due to the use of urea as a nutritional protein feeding index (Cannas et al. 1998; Giovanetti et al. 2019). Milk urea is also strongly and negatively correlated to SCC in Sarda sheep milk (Nudda et al. 2020) and is reduced by dietary concentration of tannins (Molle et al. 2008; Correddu et al. 2019).

Milk pH monthly evolution shows a significant narrowed wavy trend (Figure 6), remaining in the range of normality for all lactation period (Park et al. 2007).

The milk monthly trends of lactose, NaCl and SCC (Figure 7), showed the classical involution of the mammary gland: decrease of principal osmotic component at lobular level, twined with the increase of endogenous cellular component, partially caused by re-modulation of the secretive tissue (Nguyen and Neville 1998). Finally, bacterial count remained constantly low across the seasons, except for the last month (July) probably because of the combined effects of high temperatures and the less strict sanitary routine protocol at the end of the productive season.

The quality fingerprint of the Sardinian sheep milk represents the complex combination of: (a) lambing seasonality, with the majority of the multiparous ewes delivering in late autumn and the primiparous and the remainders multiparous (usually the 10–15% of flock) in late winter (Sitzia et al. 2015); (b) the lactation curve and the pattern of milk delivery, which in good feeding conditions, follow the Wood model (Cappio-Borlino et al. 1995); (c) the trends of pasture grass quality and quantity, that in Mediterranean areas reach the highest values in April (Molle et al. 2008). A recent survey (unpublished data), carried out within the EU life project Forage4Climate during the year 2016–2017, analysed together 12 dairy sheep farms, with a broad range of flock size ranging from 190 to 1570 among multiparous and primiparous ewes. A peak in the milk deliveries observed in April was in correspondence of the higher number of lactating animals during the year and of the largest availability of pastures (Figure 2; from Molle et al. 2008) and their
quality trends that, in Mediterranean pastures usually reach their lower quality in late-spring, due to the increase of maturity stage of the plants (high NDF and low CP). To compensate pasture quality variability and scarcity, sheep farms usually integrate animal diets with grain and mixes concentrates from both local and international markets. It has to be noticed that milk FA composition is also related to the herbage quality and to the intake of glucogenic and lipogenic carbohydrates; elevated intake of FA precursors from high digestible forages is associated with increases in \textit{de novo} FA (Woolpert et al. 2017). Thus, an increase in \textit{de novo} FA is expected in winter and early-spring with high herbage availability and intake, whereas a decrease is expected late-spring and summer because the lower pasture fibre quality.

**Conclusions**

This work is the biggest picture population-based of the Sarda sheep milk quality, its monthly evolution and of its yield rate for the PR production.

The yield in PR depends, for more than half of its variability, on milk fat and protein contents, but it is also influenced, by 43% of the variability, by the technologies adopted by the dairies.

The monthly trends of Sardinian sheep milk quality and PR yield reflected the combination among animal factors (lactation curve), environmental constraints (pasture grass and climate seasonal evolutions) and technical choices made by the shepherds (dynamics of seasonal lambing and feeding strategies). The data here reported, because based on large majority of Sardinian sheep population, represent the reference values of Sarda dairy sheep performances regarding milk quality and its ability to be transformed into PR cheese. These data could be a relevant basis to set up future grids of milk payments based on quality standards at regional and dairy levels, to formulate administrative policies on dairy sector aimed to improve milk quality of Sardinian sheep milk, and moreover for the milk destined to PR production and diversification. Data showed in the present study will be also relevant to support basic and applicative research on sheep milk quality of Sarda dairy sheep.

A couple of take-home messages can be drawn by the results obtained in this work. First, pasture-based system of Sardinian dairy sheep industry leads to a strong seasonality not only in milk yield, but in cheese yield rate too causing scheduling problems for the cheese factories. Different lambing programs, which provide for the continuous production of milk throughout the year by splitting the flock into two breed-season groups, and feeding strategies that are able to absorb the quantitative and qualitative variability of pasture, are mature technologies that could
be easily implemented at farm level for a majority of Mediterranean dairy sheep enterprises.

Second, the high dependence level of cheese yield on technologies adopted at the specific cheese factory, demonstrates that the final transformation value of milk in PR cheese depends less than an half of its variability on milk quality, suggesting that the milk market have to be thought as unique at regional level and opening wide rooms to improve the competitiveness among transformers.

Ethical approval

Because the work did not involve animals, it was not necessary to obtain permission from the ethics committee. All the used data were provided by the Pecorino Romano Consortium.

Acknowledgements

Danilo Muggiano of ARAS is thanked for the first elaboration of the milk analysis original data set. The authors thank the anonymous referee for helping them to make statistics used in this paper more understandable.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with the paper.

Funding

This work was founded by Consortium Pecorino Romano DOP, Macomer (Italy) and by the “Finanziamento straordinario una tantum per la ricercar - Università degli Studi di Sassari” (one-time extraordinary research funding), University of Sassari (Sassari, IT).

ORCID

Giuseppe Pulina [http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5579-0677](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5579-0677)
Alberto Stanislao Atzori [http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5083-241X](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5083-241X)
Corrado Dimauro [http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6588-923X](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6588-923X)
Fabio Correddu [http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6098-7519](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6098-7519)
Anna Nudda [http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6588-923X](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6588-923X)

References

Anagrafe Nazionale Zootecnica. 2020. Consistenza Capi Allevati e Censimento. [Accessed 2020 Jun 23]. [http://www.vetinfo.it/j6_statistiche/#/report-pbi/29](http://www.vetinfo.it/j6_statistiche/#/report-pbi/29).

Cabiddu A, Decandia M, Addis M, Piredda G, Pirisi A, Molle G. 2005. Managing Mediterranean pastures in order to enhance the level of beneficial fatty acids in sheep milk. Small Rumin Res. 59(2-3):169–180.

Cannas A, Pes A, Mancuso R, Vodret B, Nudda A. 1998. Effect of Dietary Energy and Protein Concentration on the Concentration of Milk Urea Nitrogen in Dairy Ewes. J. Dairy Sci. 81(2):499–508.

Cappio-Borlino A, Pulina G, Rossi G. 1995. A non-linear modification of Wood’s equation fitted to lactation curves of Sardinian dairy ewes. Small Rumin Res. 18(1):75–79.

Caredda M, Addis M, Iba I, Leardi R, Scintu MF, Piredda G, Sanna G. 2016. Prediction of fatty acid content in sheep milk by mid-infrared spectrometry with a selection of wavelengths by genetic algorithms. LWT-Food Sci Technol. 65:503–510.

CLAL. 2020. Official website. [accessed 2020 Jul 2]. [https://www.clal.it/index.php?section=produzioni_pecorino](https://www.clal.it/index.php?section=produzioni_pecorino)

Consorzio per la Tutela del Formaggio Pecorino Romano DOP. 2020. [accessed 2020 Jun 23]. [https://www.pecorinoromano.com/en/pecorino-romano/characteristics](https://www.pecorinoromano.com/en/pecorino-romano/characteristics).

Correddu F, Fancellu F, Chessa L, Atzori AS, Pulina G, Nudda A. 2019. Effects of supplementation with exhausted myrtle berries on rumen function of dairy sheep. Small Rumin Res. 170:51–61.

Correddu F, Murgia MA, Mangia NP, Lunesu MF, Cesarani A, Deiana P, Pulina G, Nudda A. 2021. Effect of altitude of flock location, season of milk production and ripening time on the fatty acid profile of Pecorino Sardo cheese. Int Dairy J. 113:104895–104898.

Dewhurst RJ, Shingfield KJ, Lee MRF, Scollan ND. 2006. Increasing the concentrations of beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cows in high-forage systems. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 131(3-4):168–206.

FIL-IDF, International Dairy Federation. 2000. Whole Milk, Determination of milk fat, protein and lactose content. Brussels (Belgium), IDF, Standard 141C.

Fuka MM, Wallisch S, Engel M, Welzl G, Havranek J, Schloter M. 2013. Dynamics of bacterial communities during the ripening process of different croatian cheese types derived from raw ewe’s milk cheeses. PLoS One. 8(11):e80734.

Gallagher JR, Watkin BR, Grimes RC. 1966. An evaluation of pasture quality with young grazing sheep. I. Live-weight growth and clean wool production. J Agric Sci. 66(1):107–111.

Giovanetti V, Boe F, Decandia M, Bomboi GC, Atzori AS, Cannas A, Molle G. 2019. Milk urea concentration in dairy sheep: Accounting for dietary energy concentration. Animals. 9(12):1–16.

Mele M. 2009. Designing milk fat to improve healthfulness and functional properties of dairy products: from feeding strategies to a genetic approach. Proceedings of the 18th ASPA Congress; June 9-12; Palermo. It. J Anim Sci. 8(sup2):365–374.

Meluchova B, Blasco J, Kubinec R, Górová R, Dubravská J, Margetin M, Sojak L. 2008. Seasonal variations in fatty acid composition of pasture forage plants and CLA content in ewe milk fat. Small Rumin Res. 78(1-3):56–65.

Moallem U, Folman Y, Sklan D. 2000. Effects of somatotropin and dietary calcium soaps of fatty acids in early lactation on milk production, dry matter intake, and energy balance of high-yielding dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 83(9):2085–2094.

Molle G, Decandia M, Cabiddu A, Landau SY, Cannas A. 2008. An update on the nutrition of dairy sheep grazing Mediterranean pastures. Small Rumin Res. 77(2-3):93–112.
Nguyen DAD, Neville MC. 1998. Tight junction regulation in the mammary gland. J Mamm Gland Biol Neoplasia. 3(3): 233–246.

Nudda A, Battacone G, Neto OB, Cannas A, Francesconi AHD, Atzori AS, Pulina G. 2014. Feeding strategies to design the fatty acid profile of sheep milk and cheese. R Bras Zootec. 43(8):445–456.

Nudda A, Atzori AS, Correddu F, Battacone G, Lunesu MF, Cannas A, Pulina G. 2020. Effects of nutrition on main components of sheep milk. Small Rumin Res. 184:1–10.

Park YW, Juarez M, Ramos M, Haenlein GFW. 2007. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. Small Rumin Res. 68(1-2):88–113.

Pirisi A, Murgia A, Scintu MF. 1994. Previsione della resa in formaggio Pecorino Romano e Pecorino Sardo in funzione del contenuto in proteine e grasso del latte di pecora. Sci Tec Latt-Cas. 45:476–483.

Pirisi A, Piredda G, Podda F, Pintus S. 1996. Effect of somatic cell count on sheep milk composition and cheesemaking properties. In: R. Rubino, Editor. EAAP International Symposium on Somatic Cells and Milk of Small Ruminants; 25-27 September 1993, Bella, Italy. EAAP Publication No. 77, Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, Netherlands, p. 245–252.

Pirisi A, Piredda G, Corona M, Pes M, Pintus S, Ledda A. 2000. Influence of somatic cell count on ewe’s milk composition, cheese yield and cheese quality. In: Proceeding of the 6th great lakes dairy sheep symposium, Nov 2-4, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. p. 47–59.

Pulina G, Milán MJ, Lavin MP, Theodoridis A, Morin E, Capote J, Thomas DL, Francesconi AHD, Caja G. 2018. Invited review: current production trends, farm structures, and economics of the dairy sheep and goat sectors. J Dairy Sci. 101:1–15.

Pulina G, D’Andrea F, Di Mauro C, Cappio-Borlino A. 2003. Kinetics of fat and protein excretion in dairy cattle, sheep goats and buffaloes. Ital J Anim Sci. 2:296–298.

Pulina G, Nudda A, Battacone G, Cannas A. 2006. Effects on nutrition on sheep milk content of fat, protein, somatic cell count, aromatic compounds and undesirable substances. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 131(3-4):255–291.

Seijan V, Bhatta R, Gaughan J, Malik PK, Maqvi SMK, Lal R. 2017. Sheep production adapting to climate change. Singapore: Springer.

Sitzia M, Bonanno A, Todaro M, Cannas A, Atzori AS, Francesconi AHD, Trabalza-Marinucci M. 2015. Feeding and management techniques to favour summersheep milk and cheese production in the Mediterranean environment. Small Rum Res. 126:43–58.

Woolpert ME, Dann HM, Cotanch KW, Melilli C, Chase LE, Grant RJ, Barbano DM. 2017. Management practices, physically effective fiber, and ether extract are related to bulk tank milk de novo fatty acid concentration on Holstein dairy farms. J Dairy Sci. 100(6):5097–5106.