Claudin 18.2 expression in various tumor types and its role as a potential target in advanced gastric cancer
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Background: Alterations in claudin expression can impair tight junction function, influence signaling pathways, and act as a tumor-promoting event in some epithelial cancers. Recently, zolbetuximab, a highly potent and tumor cell-selective therapeutic antibody against claudin 18.2, has been developed and investigated in clinical trials.

Methods: We conducted a prospective study using claudin 18.2 immunohistochemistry in 430 consecutive patients with advanced gastrointestinal, genitourinary, or rare cancers between June 2012 and March 2016.

Results: Claudin 18.2 expression was evaluated in 96.3% of the patients (414/430) using immunohistochemistry. In total, 4.1% (17/414) of the patients were claudin 18.2-positive, including patients with pancreatic (16.7%, 1/6), gastric (14.1%, 12/85), biliary tract (6.3%, 1/16), genitourinary/miscellaneous (2.2%, 1/46), and colorectal (0.9%, 2/203) cancers. Twelve of 17 patients positive for claudin 18.2 had gastric cancers (GCs); this subgroup showed no statistical differences by gender, age, disease extent, primary tumor site, pathologic differentiation, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, or Epstein-Barr virus status with or without claudin 18.2 expression. However, claudin 18.2 was more frequently positive in intestinal-type compared with diffuse-type as assessed by Lauren classification (P=0.026). There was no significant difference in overall survival (OS) between patients with and without claudin 18.2 expression (P=0.101).

Conclusions: Our results add to the emerging literature about claudin 18.2 expression in various cancer types and support the need for extended clinical exploration of zolbetuximab.
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Introduction

Tight junctions are specialized membrane domains at the most apical region of polarized epithelial and endothelial cells (1). Claudins, crucial components of tight junctions, are transmembrane proteins with extracellular loops, which are potential targets for diagnostic and therapeutic modalities (2-4). They may play an important role in tumorigenesis and inflammation. Alterations in claudin expression have been shown to lead to impaired functions of tight junctions, influence signaling pathways, and act as tumor promoting events in some epithelial cancers (3-6).
In tumors, tight junctions become disrupted and claudin proteins lose their primary role. Claudins are abnormally controlled in various cancers, including gastric cancer (GC), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), biliary tract cancer (BTC), breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic cancer (PC), non-small cell lung cancer, and mesothelioma (7-15).

Claudin 18.2, a member of the claudin family, is commonly expressed in multiple cancers, including GC and PC (9,15,16). Claudin 18.2 is not expressed in any healthy tissues with the exception of gastric mucosa. Recently, zolbetuximab, a highly potent chimeric IgG1 mAb that binds to claudin 18.2 on the surface of tumour cells, was developed and investigated in clinical trials (9,17). Notably, in a phase II trial, zolbetuximab with standard chemotherapy as a first-line treatment improved the median survival in claudin 18.2-expressing patients with GC compared to chemotherapy alone (NCT01630083) (18). This promising result suggests that clinical trials using this novel agent are needed to extend its clinical application to other cancer types (19,20). Considering that patients positive for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), who are currently been treated with the novel agent trastuzumab, comprise only 10–15% of all incidences of GC (21), the broad expression of claudin 18.2 is an important and remarkable finding for cancer treatment.

Importantly, the claudin 18.2 status across different tumor types has not been well studied using immunohistochemistry (IHC). To investigate the role of claudin 18.2 as a biomarker, we conducted a prospective claudin 18.2 IHC study in a cohort of patients with various solid cancer tumors.

**Methods**

**Ethics**

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Samsung Medical Center (Seoul, Korea) (No. 2013-10-017), and all patients provided written informed consent before enrollment. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

**Patients**

Patients (n=430) with various solid cancer tumors were evaluated for claudin 18.2 expression from June 2012 to March 2016 at the Samsung Medical Center. Enrolled patients provided written informed consent before study entry. The clinicopathological characteristics for all enrolled patients were reviewed.

**IHC of claudin 18**

Representative tumor lesions were chosen, and a tissue microarray was constructed after review of a hematoxylin and eosin-stained section from the block. Two representative regions of the tumor were then sampled from the donor block. Cores of 2-mm diameter were extracted and embedded in the array block. Tumor sections from array blocks were freshly cut to 3 µm and dried at 60 °C for 30 minutes. Claudin 18 IHC was carried out using a BOND-MAX autoimmunostainer (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with BOND Polymer Refine Detection (DS9800; Vision BioSystems, Melbourne, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the slides were deparaffinized and incubated for 20 minutes with buffer (pH 6.0) in 97 °C and endogenous peroxidase blocking solution for 5 minutes. A claudin 18 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA), diluted 1:150, was used as the primary antibody, and samples were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. Claudin 18 expression was assessed based on the intensity of the membrane staining, and the IHC was interpreted as positive when a weak membrane staining was visible in >5% of tumor cells. Representative positive and negative examples are shown in Figure 1.

**Statistics**

Descriptive statistics are presented as proportions and medians. Data are also shown as number (%) for categorical variables. Correlation of the status of claudin 18.2 and clinicopathologic features was evaluated with the t-test or the Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate, or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Kaplan-Meier estimates were used in the analysis of all time-to-event variables, and the 95% confidence interval (CI) for the median time to event was computed.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The clinicopathologic features of all 430 patients are shown in Table 1. The most frequent tumor type was gastrointestinal cancer. Colorectal cancer (CRC) (n=203,
47.2%), and GC (n=85, 19.8%) were common. Most patients (78.6%, 338/430) presented with stage IV disease and 173 patients with stage IV disease (51.2%) had two or more metastatic lesions.

Claudin 18.2 expression by tumor type

Nearly all patients (414/430, 96.3%) were included in the claudin 18.2 expression study using IHC. Irrespective of the tumor type, 4.1% (17/414) were claudin 18.2-positive according to a weak membrane staining in ≥5% of tumor cells. Claudin 18.2 expression by tumor type is shown in Table 2. It was positive in 16.7% of patients with PC, 14.1% of those with GC, 6.3% of those with BTC, 2.2% of those with genitourinary (GU) cancer/miscellaneous tumors, and 0.9% of those with CRC. Representative images of claudin 18.2 IHC staining are shown in Figure 1.

Correlation between the claudin 18.2 status and clinicopathologic features in GC

Among the 17 patients positive for claudin 18.2, the majority (12) had some form of GC. Thus, we analyzed the correlation between claudin 18.2 positivity and clinicopathologic features in GC. Four tumor samples from 85 patients with GC (4.7%) were not sufficient to analyze claudin 18.2 expression by IHC. Table 3 shows that there was no statistical difference in the various clinicopathologic features between tumors with and without claudin 18.2 expression. Only Lauren classification was observed to be different according to the claudin 18.2 status.

Impact of claudin 18.2 expression on survival of patients with metastatic solid cancer types

Among patients with metastatic solid cancer tumors, the influence of claudin 18.2 expression on survival was analyzed, based on the anatomic tumor type. Data were available for 325 metastatic solid cancer tumors including CRC, GC, BTC, PC, and GU/miscellaneous cancers. There was no significant difference in overall survival (OS) between patients with and without claudin 18.2 expression (P=0.101) (Figure 2).

Figure 1 Representative image of claudin 18.2 IHC staining of tumors. (A) Positive membrane staining in tumor cells (x400); (B) negative staining pattern in tumor cells (x200).
Table 2 Claudin 18.2 expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) across anatomic tumor types. Claudin 18.2+ is defined as ≥ % of tumor cells staining with the antibody clone

| Tumor type                                | Total (n=430) | Claudin 18.2+, n (%) | Claudin 18.2−, n (%) | Non-evaluable, n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Gastric cancer (GC)                       | 85            | 12 (14.1)            | 72 (84.7)            | 4 (4.7)              |
| Colorectal cancer (CRC)                   | 203           | 2 (0.9)              | 195 (96.1)           | 6 (3.0)              |
| Genitourinary tract cancers (GU)          | 46            | 1 (2.2)              | 45 (91.3)            | 3 (6.5)              |
| Biliary tract cancer (BTC)                | 16            | 1 (6.3)              | 15 (93.7)            | 0 (0.0)              |
| Pancreatic cancer (PC)                    | 6             | 1 (16.7)             | 5 (83.3)             | 0 (0.0)              |
| Sarcoma                                   | 37            | 0 (0.0)              | 35 (94.6)            | 2 (5.4)              |
| Melanoma                                  | 8             | 0 (0.0)              | 7 (87.5)             | 1 (12.5)             |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)            | 15            | 0 (0.0)              | 15 (100.0)           | 0 (0.0)              |
| Other                                     | 14            | 0 (0.0)              | 14 (100.0)           | 0 (0.0)              |

+, claudin 18.2 immunohistochemical membrane staining in >5% of tumor cells; −, claudin 18.2 immunohistochemical membrane staining negative or less than 5% of tumor cells.

Discussion

The identification of novel targets for drugs is paramount in precision medicine. Novel targets are capable of identifying patients most likely to benefit from a given therapy, while sparing potential physical and socioeconomic consequences for those unlikely to benefit. Recently, claudin 18.2 has been considered as an emerging novel target and a new agent selectively targeting claudin 18.2 has been developed (3,22,23). However, there are little data available on the expression of claudin 18.2 across tumor types. In the present study, we identified claudin 18.2 expression in 4.1% of 430 patients with various solid tumors, and 14.1% of 85 patients with GC showed an expression of claudin 18.2.

Claudins are major tight junction proteins; they comprise at least 27 member proteins that are expressed in a tissue-specific manner (23,24). Among the various types of claudin proteins, claudin 18.2 has been the most widely studied across several tumor types, including GC and PC (8,15,22), especially after the development of zolbetuximab and after its clinical trials showed promising outcomes (8,9,17). GC is a heterogeneous disease that shows different biological behaviors in ethnic subgroups, with varying tumor responses to targeted agents. In the present study of Korean population, the expression of claudin 18.2 was observed in 14.1% of patients with GC. This relatively low prevalence disagrees with previous reports, and there are several possible reasons (8,9,18). First, the heterogeneity of the clinicopathologic features of the analyzed patient...
Table 3 The clinicopathologic features according to the status of claudin 18.2 in 81 GC available for Claudin 18.2

| Variables                      | Claudin 18.2+ (n=12) | Claudin 18.2− (n=69) | P value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gender, n (%)                  |                      |                      | 0.755   |
| Male                           | 8 (66.7)             | 41 (59.4)            |         |
| Female                         | 4 (33.3)             | 28 (40.6)            |         |
| Age, n (%)                     |                      |                      | >0.999  |
| ≤65                            | 9 (75.0)             | 51 (73.9)            |         |
| >65                            | 3 (25.0)             | 18 (26.1)            |         |
| Disease extent, n (%)          |                      |                      | 0.097   |
| Locally advanced disease       | 7 (58.5)             | 21 (30.4)            |         |
| Metastatic disease             | 5 (41.7)             | 48 (69.6)            |         |
| Tumor site, n (%)              |                      |                      | 0.106   |
| Cardia                         | 0 (0.0)              | 3 (4.3)              |         |
| Body                           | 10 (83.3)            | 33 (47.8)            |         |
| Antrum                         | 2 (16.7)             | 33 (47.8)            |         |
| Pathologic differentiation, n (%)|         |                      | 0.886   |
| Well                           | 0                    | 0                    |         |
| Moderate                       | 4 (33.3)             | 23 (33.3)            |         |
| Poor                           | 7 (58.7)             | 32 (46.4)            |         |
| Mucinous                       | 0 (0.0)              | 2 (2.9)              |         |
| Signet ring cell type          | 1 (8.3)              | 12 (17.4)            |         |
| Lauren classification, n (%)   |                      |                      | 0.026   |
| Intestinal type                | 4 (33.3)             | 20 (29.0)            |         |
| Diffuse type                   | 2 (16.7)             | 37 (53.6)            |         |
| Mixed type                     | 5 (41.7)             | 10 (14.5)            |         |
| NE                             | 1 (8.3)              | 2 (2.9)              |         |
| HER2 status, n (%)             |                      |                      | 0.095   |
| Negative                       | 8 (66.7)             | 60 (87.0)            |         |
| Positive                       | 4 (33.3)             | 9 (13.0)             |         |
| EBV status (n=75), n (%)       |                      |                      | 0.101   |
| Negative                       | 9/12 (75.0)          | 59/69 (85.5)         |         |
| Positive                       | 3/12 (25.0)          | 4/69 (5.8)           |         |
| NE                             | 0/12 (0.0)           | 6/69 (8.7)           |         |

HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus.
populations among studies. Second, the antibody against claudin 18.2 used for IHC testing was not standardized; thus, the antibodies used against claudin 18.2 in each study were different (19,20,25,26). Third, standard criteria for the expression of claudin 18.2 have not yet been established.

Changes in claudins at tight junctions are related to damages of tight adhesion and polarity in the epithelia. These structural abnormalities can cause increased cellular proliferation, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, invasion, and metastasis (12,27,28). Therefore, the loss of claudin 18.2 was seen as an indicator of poor prognosis in some tumor types (29). We analyzed the prognostic role of claudin 18.2 in 325 patients with solid tumors that had been evaluated for their claudin 18.2 status. Our analysis revealed that there was no significant difference in OS according to the status of claudin 18.2 expression.

Our data expand the current knowledge of claudin 18.2 expression by analyzing various tumor types. In the present study, a relatively low prevalence (4.1% from a cohort of 430 patients) of claudin 18.2 expression was reported for all tumor types compared with previous studies (16,30). Previous studies revealed a prevalence of 50% or more claudin 18.2-positive expression in GC and PC. Patient heterogeneity, antibody differences, and lack of established testing criteria may also explain this discrepancy. In particular, a standard antibody and defined pathological criteria to detect the expression of claudin 18.2 must be established for the clinical application of claudin 18.2 as a novel biomarker.

Currently, claudin 18.2 is considered a novel target in various tumor types. Zolbetuximab is a promising agent against claudin 18.2 expressed in patients with cancer. As zolbetuximab showed remarkable success against GC, it should be considered for extended clinical exploration in the treatment of other cancers. Overall, our results add to the emerging literature about the expression of claudin 18.2 in various cancer types and support the need for extended clinical exploration of zolbetuximab. A prospective basket trial assessing and treating claudin 18.2-expressing tumors with zolbetuximab would be an interesting study to advance precision medicine.
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