miRNAs As Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma and Its Precursor Lesions: A Review
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ABSTRACT: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), a rare but lethal tumor, is difficult to diagnose without performing an invasive procedure. miRNAs are known to be deregulated in PDAC patients, and recent studies have shown that they can be used as diagnostic and prognostic of the disease. The detection of miRNAs in samples acquired through minimally or noninvasive procedures, such as serum, plasma, and saliva, can have a positive impact on the clinical management of these patients. This article is a comprehensive review of the major studies that have evaluated the expression of miRNAs as biomarkers in pancreatic cancer and its premalignant lesions.
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Introduction

Among all cancer types, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), although rare, is considered one of the most lethal tumors, being responsible for 3% of all new cancer cases and 7% of all cancer-related deaths. PDAC is also the fourth highest cause of cancer-related death in the United States; moreover, the National Cancer Institute estimates that in 2015 ∼48,960 people will be diagnosed with pancreatic cancer and that 40,560 of them will die because of the disease.¹ Several of PDAC’s associated features make it a devastating and lethal disease, for example, the early dissemination of tumor-derived cells in the bloodstream,² substantial morbidity associated with disease progression (which often renders the patient unsuitable for surgery or even nonsurgical disease-specific treatments),³ and widespread tumor resistance to most forms of current treatment.⁴ The only curative approach for PDAC patients is surgical resection, and only patients with localized (early stage) tumors are eligible for this therapy.⁵

At present, there is no detection method for the diagnosis of early stage PDAC; indeed, pancreatic cancer is usually a silent disease that only becomes apparent after tumor invasion of the surrounding tissues or metastatic seeding of distant organs. Therefore, the discovery of new diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in pancreatic cancer is particularly important for patient survival. The only tumor markers for pancreatic cancer currently being used in a clinical setting are carbohydrate antigen 19–9 (CA19–9) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), both of which present limitations because of low sensitivity and specificity. Besides their inability to discriminate malignant and benign disease, these biomarkers are not specific tumor markers; in fact, the serum levels of these markers show variation in many diseases.

miRNAs have recently emerged as promising biomarkers in PDAC because these molecules show tissue-specific expression patterns⁶ and are stable in circulating samples that can be easily obtained; hence, they enable disease screening in high-risk patients (as diagnostic biomarkers) and evaluation of several disease parameters (as prognostic biomarkers).⁷

In 1993, using Caenorhabditis elegans as a model, Lee et al.⁸ identified a gene that codes for small noncoding RNAs, and further studies have demonstrated that these small RNAs can regulate protein translation by binding to the 3′-UTR and consequently inhibit miRNAs.⁹ In the following years, these small noncoding RNA genes were described in different species, including humans, and they were named microRNAs (miRNAs or miRs).¹⁰,¹¹ Nowadays, miRNAs are well-known cancer biomarkers; they can fulfill this role because of their deregulation in virtually all tumors and presentation of tumor-specific profiles.
miRNAs and Cancer

An association between miRNAs and cancer was proposed for the first time in 2002, when Calin et al. demonstrated that a region commonly deleted in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) corresponded to miR-15 and miR-16 genes. The loss of this region (13q14) is the most frequent chromosomal abnormality in CLL, and this loss also occurs in other tumors, which indicates that tumor-suppressor genes could be located in this region. Calin et al. also showed that both mRNA genes are deleted or downregulated in 68% of CLL cases. In 2005, Cimmino et al. demonstrated that miR-15 and miR-16 negatively regulate BCL2, which encodes the antiapoptotic protein Bcl2. The absence of miR-15 and miR-16 in CLL promotes Bcl2 superexpression, which inhibits apoptosis and contributes to the establishment and progression of the malignant phenotype. Two years after their study describing the relationship between miRNAs and cancer, Calin et al. published a paper in which genome-wide miRNA microarray profiling was used to show, for the first time, the potential importance of miRNAs in the diagnosis and prognosis of human malignancies.

In a comprehensive study, in which bead-based flow-cytometric profiling technology was applied, the authors demonstrated that a relatively small number of miRNAs could provide a large amount of diagnostic information because the expression patterns indicated not only different human cancers types but also differentiation states. The authors also observed patterns of gene expression for each type of tumor that reflected distinct mechanisms of transformation. The feasibility and utility of monitoring the expression of miRNAs in human cancer was confirmed in this study and reinforced by others, because unlike mRNAs, miRNAs remained largely intact in routinely obtained, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues. Following the publication of this paper, several studies have shown that miRNA expression patterns are associated with different stages of carcinogenesis, and it is now well known that miRNAs can act as onco-miRNAs or tumor-suppressor miRNAs. In the first scenario, the overexpression of an miRNA that acts as an onco-miRNA would contribute to tumor formation through the negative regulation of a tumor-suppressor mRNA. Increased levels of these mature miRNAs could occur because of miRNA gene amplifications, constitutive promoter activation, increased efficiency in miRNA processing, or increased stability of the miRNA. In the second scenario, the reduction or absence of an miRNA that acts as a tumor suppressor can lead to tumor formation because low expression levels of the miRNA promotes the overexpression of miRNAs that encode oncoproteins, and this favors the development of other features necessary for tumor progression, such as increased proliferation, invasiveness, and angiogenesis. The reduction or elimination of an miRNA can be caused by defects at any stage of miRNA biogenesis. Although the correlation between miRNA and cancer was clear in 2005, the first study to show that the deregulation of a single-miRNA gene could lead to cancer was published in 2006; specifically, this study investigated a lymphoblastic leukemia/high-grade lymphoma in mice.

In light of the central role that miRNAs play in carcinogenesis, they can be considered new regulators of cancer hallmarks. Several examples can be used to illustrate the importance of miRNA regulation in all tumorigenic steps, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, replicative potential, angiogenesis, immune response, tumor invasion, metastatic potential, and genomic instability. Indeed, in one elegant study, high-throughput miRNA profiling was applied to compare miRNA expression levels in premalignant stages as well as tumors and metastasis, and each stage was found to correlate with a distinct miRNA expression signature. Moreover, the wide variety of altered miRNAs was shown to reflect distinct functional roles in the acquired capabilities necessary for tumor formation and progression, the hallmarks of cancer, in a mouse model of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

According to Volinia et al., despite the evident molecular differences between tumor types, some tumors present similar miRNA expression patterns, including prostate, colon, gastric, and pancreatic cancers, whereas others, such as lung and breast cancers, presented different signatures. Although some miRNAs are typical in one tumor type or tissue, other miRNAs, such as miR-21, miR-191, and miR-17-5p, are significantly overexpressed in several different tumor types. The study of Volinia et al. showed that the most common miRNA alteration in solid tumors involves an increase in the expression levels, whereas loss of expression is less common and usually tissue specific. Finally, the authors' data provided further evidence to suggest that miRNAs function either in a dominant or recessive fashion by controlling the expression of protein-coding tumor suppressors and oncogenes.

Differential Expression of miRNAs in Premalignant Pancreatic Lesions: Pancreatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia

Most authors in the field propose that there are three possible precursor lesions to PDAC: pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN), intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN), and a less prevalent precursor lesion known as mucinous cystic neoplasm (MCN). All of these alterations harbor
Different types of dysplasia and involve a stepwise accumulation of genetic alterations that leads to the progression of the lesions from benign to malignant neoplasms.26

PanINs are found in the smaller caliber pancreatic ducts and are the most common and extensively studied of the premalignant lesions. The classic PDAC progression model is based on a sequence of molecular and histological alterations, which involves the transformation of the normal pancreatic duct in low-grade dysplasias (PanIN-IA and -IB) to high-grade dysplasias (PanIN-II and -III).27 PanIN-IA and -IB are characterized by an increase in the ductal cells with abundant mucin production; however, in PanIN-IB, the papillary architecture replaces the flat architecture. While the lesions progress, the cells acquire modified nuclear alterations (PanIN-II) with abnormal mitosis and lumen invasion, similar to those from in situ carcinoma (PanIN-III, when cells also present a severe nuclear alteration).28

The progression of premalignant lesions to invasive neoplasia is the result of successive genetic changes in a relatively predictable order in tumor-suppressor genes, oncogenes, and DNA repair genes.27 The evaluation of miRNA expression in low- and high-grade PanINs is aimed at identifying biomarkers in the early stages of pancreatic carcinogenesis. Indeed, a PanIN progression model based on miRNA differential expression was described, showing that different miRNA expression profiles correlate with each stage of premalignant lesions.29 In particular, the study investigated the expression profile of 735 human miRNAs in PanINs, PDAC, and normal tissues, and it included a principal component analysis demonstrating that miRNA expression profiles could be used to not only classify different PanIN stages but also correctly discriminate between PanIN and normal pancreatic (ductal) tissue. Sixty-five miRNAs were differentially expressed in precursor lesions relative to normal cells, and among these, 13 overexpressed miRNAs (miR-146a, -182, -193a-3p, -193b, -200a, -200b, -200c, -21, -29b, -425, -486-3p, -708, and -874) and one underexpressed miRNA (miR-296-5p) were selected for validation by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in an independent cohort (all overexpressed levels were confirmed). An interesting finding of this study was the identification of miR-196b as the most highly expressed miRNA in PanIN (compared with expression in normal cells); it was also highly expressed in PanIN-III (compared with expression in PanIN-I and -II) and expressed at even greater levels in PDAC samples. These findings led to the conclusion that miRNA expression levels can be used to discriminate patients with low-grade premalignant lesions from patients with high-grade lesions and PDAC.29

The same miRNA was also overexpressed in serum samples from humans and transgenic KPC mice (a mouse model of PDAC that expresses both oncogenic KRAS and mutant p53 in pancreatic cells). By analyzing the expression profiles of five miRNAs that were differentially expressed in PDAC (miR-21, -155, -196a, -196b, and -210) in KPC mice, Slater et al.30 found that only miR-196a and -196b were significantly overexpressed. They confirmed this finding in human serum samples and found that the expression levels of miR-196a and -196b, when measured together, discriminated PanIN-II, -III, and PDAC patients from healthy controls. This panel of miRNAs reached a perfect sensitivity and specificity (100%) when used to discriminate healthy controls from high-grade PanIN patients; thus, these miRNAs are promising biomarkers for early diagnosis. In human microdissected PanIN samples, miR-155 and miR-21 were evaluated by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR): only miR-155 expression was significantly different in high-grade PanINs versus nontumoral tissues; however, no difference in miR-155 expression was observed when PanIN-I lesions were compared with nontumoral tissue.31

The expression levels of a panel of five miRNAs (miR-10b, -21, -148a, -196a, and -217) were analyzed in PanINs and PDAC by Xue et al.32 The authors corroborated the results of previous studies; they found that miR-196a was overexpressed in PanIN and PDAC compared with its expression in benign pancreatic tissue. Furthermore, miR-10b was upregulated in PanIN, miR-21 was upregulated in PDAC, and miR-217 was underexpressed in PDAC samples (compared with their expression in normal tissue). miR-148a expression was inversely correlated with PDAC progression because its levels were found to be high in normal pancreatic tissue samples and low in PDAC samples [with intermediate levels observed in chronic pancreatitis (CP) and PanINs]. These findings suggested that miR-148a could be a good marker of disease progression.

Because miR-21 is a well-known oncogenic miRNA in many cancers,33 including PDAC, its presence is common in various miRNA panels. duRieu and cols found that together with other miRNAs (eg, miR-221, -222, -200, and -205), miR-21 was found to be overexpressed in PanIN, with levels increasing as the lesion progresses and reaching its highest levels in PanIN-II and -II. In this study, the authors also identified the overexpression of let-7 (a well-characterized miRNA that inhibits cell proliferation) in PanIN-II and -III as well as its underexpression in PDAC (as expected, according to Torrisani et al.34 and Ali et al.35,36), thereby characterizing this miRNA as a potential biomarker for differential diagnosis in tissue samples.37

Finally, in another study, the expression levels of miR-145 were also correlated with PanIN progression. PanIN-I showed high levels of miR-145, whereas PanIN-II and -III as well as PDAC tissues presented with low or absent miR-145 expression.38

**Differential Expression of miRNA in Premalignant Pancreatic Lesions: Mucinous Lesions**

In addition to PanINs, two other premalignant lesions, IPMNs and MCNs (both containing a mucinous component), can play an important role in pancreatic carcinogenesis. IPMNs are the most common cystic precursor lesions of PDAC. These lesions are mucin-producing epithelial...
neoplasms that arise within the main pancreatic duct or one of its branches. They are considered potentially malignant and, being composed of columnar cells, are macroscopically visible via imaging technology.\(^9\) IPMNs are organized into three categories depending on the degree of cytoarchitectural atypia. Lesions with low-grade dysplasia are characterized by a single layer of well-polarized cells, small pleomorphism, and rare mitoses. Intermediate-grade dysplasia shows nuclear stratification, loss of polarity, and crowding. Finally, IPMN lesions with high-grade dysplasia show severe dysplastic epithelial changes, marked loss of polarity, and loss of differentiated cytoplasmic features.\(^40\)

MCNs of the pancreas are single spherical lesions macroscopically visible by imaging (mean diameter: 6–10 cm); they are most often found in the pancreatic body and tail, and they do not communicate with the pancreatic ductal system.\(^71,42\) According to the grade of intraepithelial dysplasia, the World Health Organization has classified MCNs into three categories: MCNs with low, intermediate, and high-grade dysplasia.\(^42\)

The potential of miRNAs as biomarkers in mucinous lesions has been evaluated in several studies, given that once these lesions are diagnosed by imaging there is neither a way to evaluate the level of epithelial dysplasia nor a method to predict whether the lesion will progress to adenocarcinoma and how long this might take. The potential of miRNAs as biomarkers in mucinous lesions has been evaluated in several studies.

The first study to evaluate miRNA expression in IPMNs was published in 2009 by Habbe et al, and it included a sample set containing 13 IPMN adenomas, 31 borderline IPMNs, and 20 IPMNs with carcinoma in situ, plus 54 matched non-neoplastic pancreatic tissue samples. First, the authors conducted a pilot study in which the expression of 12 miRNAs (described in the literature as deregulated in pancreatic cancer) was compared in 15 IPMN samples and matched normal pancreatic tissues. Expressions levels for 10 of these miRNAs differed significantly between cases and controls. miR-21 and miR-155 had the highest fold-changes, 12.1 and 11.6, respectively. The expression levels of these two miRNAs were subsequently evaluated by using locked nucleic acid in situ hybridization in 64 IPMN lesions and 54 controls, which confirmed their overexpression in the IPMN samples. Expression levels of these two miRNAs were also assessed by qRT-PCR. Expression levels of miR-21 did not differ between benign cystic tumors (comprising IPMN, MCN, and serous microcystic adenoma), PDAC, and carcinoma ex-IPMN (which is an invasive malignancy that arises from IPMN). The expression of miR-126 did not differ between IPMN, MCN, and the other groups, whereas miR-16 was overexpressed in IPMN samples relative to PDAC samples.\(^47\) Ryu et al used a categorization strategy in their study, which involved investigating a panel of miRNAs, including two recurrently analyzed miRNAs (miR-21 and miR-155) plus miR-221, miR-17-3p, and miR-191 to discriminate mucinous (IPMN and MCN) from nonmucinous lesions [serous cystadenomas (SCAs) and other benign cysts]. Although the first four miRNAs in the aforementioned list could be used to discriminate between the groups, none of the miRNAs allowed differentiation between IPMN and MCN.\(^48\) Using a slightly different approach in which cystic lesions were categorized into three risk categories (benign, corresponding to SCAs; premalignant, corresponding to IPMN and MCN; and malignant, corresponding to adenocarcinoma), Henry et al.\(^49\) showed that a panel of nine miRNAs could be used to correctly classify these groups. In another study, Lee et al identified a MCN classifier, which included a panel of four miRNAs (miR-10b-5p, miR-202-3p, miR-210, and miR-375) whose expression levels could be employed to discriminate MCN from other pancreatic cystic neoplasms and PDAC with a sensitivity and specificity of 100%.\(^50\)
Matthaei et al.\textsuperscript{53} used a DiffPairs approach to identify novel biomarkers and analyze the expression profiles of 750 miRNAs in IPMN and aspirated cystic fluid samples, thereby identifying 26 and 37 candidate miRNAs for these respective samples. By using these candidate miRNAs, the authors were able to distinguish between high-risk lesions (high-grade IPMNs) and low-risk lesions (low-grade IPMNs and SCA). By narrowing their analysis down to a panel of nine miRNAs, they were able to discriminate both groups with a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 100%; furthermore, they could distinguish low-grade IPMNs/SCA from unusual cysts as cystic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and solid pseudopapillary tumors. Using a similar approach, Lubezky et al.\textsuperscript{52} analyzed 846 human miRNAs in 55 specimens, including low-, moderate-, and high-grade IPMNs, PDAC, and normal pancreatic tissues. Fifteen miRNAs were differentially expressed in benign lesions (low- and moderate-grade IPMNs) compared with malignant lesions (high-grade IPMN and invasive carcinoma arising in IPMN), including overexpression of miR-155, miR-708, miR-424*, miR-21, miR-503, miR-214*, miR-150, miR-146a, and miR-21* and underexpression of miR-130b, miR-375, miR-148a, miR-216b, miR-216a, and miR-217.

More recently, studies used high-throughput methods to reveal new deregulated miRNAs in premalignant lesions, including miR-100, miR-99a, miR-99b, miR-342-3p, and miR-130a as well as miR-126. In microarray analysis, all of these miRNAs were underexpressed in high-risk IPMNs, relative to low-risk IPMNs, and this trend was confirmed by qRT-PCR in a different cohort. Moreover, an miRNA profile composed of miR-99b, miR-130a, and miR-342-3p reached an area under curve (AUC) value of 0.74 in the validation phase.\textsuperscript{53} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{54} employed next-generation sequencing (SOLiD platform) to identify patients with low-grade and high-grade dysplasia, and they found 13 overexpressed and 2 underexpressed miRNAs in cystic fluid samples from patients with IPMN and MCN (compared with expression in pancreatic tumor samples). However, these results were not statistically significant, perhaps because of small sample sizes. Only miR-216 was significantly overexpressed in high-risk patients; therefore, this single miRNA discriminated low-risk patients from high-risk patients.

Unfortunately, authors have used divergent nomenclature to categorize IPMN lesions in various stages, which hampers comparisons between different studies. A schematic progression model of precursor lesions (PanINs and IPMNs) and miRNAs deregulated in each stage can be seen in Figure 1.

### Differential Expression of miRNAs in PDAC

More than 90% of patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer die from the disease,\textsuperscript{55} and accurate diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers are absent. Therefore, identification of new biomarkers that provide informative data regarding diagnosis and prognosis, as well as elucidate important aspects of tumor biology, is valuable for providing appropriate patient management.

In 2006, Lee et al.\textsuperscript{56} used qRT-PCR in an effort to provide insights into deregulation of miRNAs in pancreatic cancer. Consequently, they published the first study comparing expression patterns of miRNA precursors in PDAC tumors, adjacent benign tissues, CP specimens, normal pancreatic tissues, and pancreatic cancer cell lines. The expression profile of 201 miRNAs showed a specific pattern for each sample type, with tumors, normal tissues (obtained from normal pancreas),
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and benign tissues (adjacent non-tumoral tissues, classified as normal tissues) profiled correctly (and differentially); a finding that reinforced the hypothesis that miRNA expression profiles may generate a unique molecular signature for each tissue. Although some miRNAs were exclusively expressed in pancreatic tumors cells (eg, miR-221, -376a, and -301), some of the most aberrantly expressed miRNAs (eg, miR-155, -21, -221) also showed abnormal expression in other cancer types. The correct categorization of different tissue types was also possible using a panel of 25 differentially expressed miRNAs (21 overexpressed and 4 underexpressed), as demonstrated by Bloomston et al. These authors also showed that miRNA expression patterns could differentiate PDAC from normal pancreatic tissue and CP in 90% of all tested cases. A second panel containing 15 overexpressed and 8 underexpressed miRNAs differentiated PDAC tumors from CP with an accuracy of 93%. The authors also investigated whether absolute levels of miRNA expression could be applied to discriminate between short-term and long-term survivors with node-positive diseases, and statistical analyses revealed a subgroup of six overexpressed miRNAs in patients with long-term survival. Specifically, two miRNAs could be used to reliably predict survival: patients with increased miR196a-2 and miR-219 expression showed short-term survival (median survival of 14.3 and 13.6 months, respectively), whereas patients with low expression of these miRNAs showed long-term survival (26.5 and 23.8 months, respectively). It is interesting to note that nodal status, T stage, and histologic grade were not predictive of survival, which reinforces the specific usefulness of miRNA profiles in diagnosis and prognostic analyses. By using a set of 94 miRNAs, Szafranska et al. found that the global miRNA expression in CP tissues is intermediate between normal and PDAC tissues, and they showed that expression of this panel clearly distinguished PDAC from normal and CP tissues. This study aimed to establish a pancreatic miRNome, ie, the first comprehensive miRNA expression profile in normal pancreatic tissue, CP, and PDAC. The authors found that 84 miRNAs were differentially expressed between normal pancreatic tissues and PDAC samples. Among these miRNAs, 41 were downregulated and 32 were upregulated (at least two-fold), while 11 other miRNAs were strongly enriched in PDAC.

Zhang et al. analyzed expression levels of 95 miRNAs (chosen based on their involvement in cancer biology, cell development, and apoptosis) not only in PDAC and normal pancreatic tissues but also in pancreatic cell lines. They demonstrated that expression patterns in pancreatic cancer tissues and pancreatic cancer cell lines were significantly different from those observed in a normal human pancreatic ductal epithelial cell line and normal pancreatic tissues obtained from patients. They also showed that each pancreatic cancer tissue and cell type presented a unique profiling pattern, which indicated that significant intertumoral heterogeneity exists. This study identified several novel miRNAs associated with pancreatic cancer and revealed that eight miRNAs (miR-196a, miR-190, miR-186, miR-221, miR-222, miR-200b, miR-15b, and miR-95) were significantly upregulated in most PDAC tumors from patient samples and cell lines.

A meta-analysis conducted by Ding et al. corroborated the findings of most published articles when it revealed that multiple-miRNA profiling assays are more accurate than single-miRNA profiling assays for diagnosing PDAC (AUC 0.92% and 0.82%, respectively).

| Reference | Overexpressed (<4 fold) | Overexpressed (>4 fold) | Normal pancreas |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Lubecky et al., 2013 | Let-7i, miR-100, miR-10a, miR-146a, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-199b-3p, miR-214, miR-221, miR-345, miR-886-5p, miR-99a | miR-100, miR-10a, miR-146a, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-199b-3p, miR-214, miR-221, miR-345, miR-886-5p, miR-99a | |
| Lubecky et al., 2013 | Let-7i, miR-100, miR-10a, miR-146a, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-199b-3p, miR-214, miR-221, miR-345, miR-886-5p, miR-99a | miR-155, miR-31 | |
| Lubecky et al., 2013 | Let-7i, miR-100, miR-10a, miR-146a, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-199b-3p, miR-214, miR-221, miR-345, miR-886-5p, miR-99a | miR-100, miR-10a, miR-146a, miR-199a-3p, miR-199a-5p, miR-199b-3p, miR-31 | |

Figure 1. PanIN (A) and IPMN (B) progression models and corresponding miRNA differential expression. Normal duct cells (up) accumulate several histological and molecular abnormalities, which leads to an invasive PDAC (down).
Several recent studies have demonstrated the deregulation of miRNA expression in pancreatic cancer, which highlights their utility as diagnostic and prognostic markers. Different methodologies have been used to assess miRNA expression, including northern blotting, qRT-PCR assays developed for the amplification of precursor molecules, miRNA array, modified Invader assays, qRT-PCR developed to assay mature miRNA (either by using TaqMan or SYBR Green strategies), and analysis of RNA-seq data.

Regardless of the methodology used, certain miRNAs appear to have a more central role in pancreatic carcinogenesis. For example, miR-21 is consistently reported as being overexpressed in PDAC, and studies suggest that this miRNA contributes to cell proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. Indeed, PDAC patients whose tumors present low miR-21 expression have a better response to adjuvant treatment, and lymph node-negative patients with high (tumoral) miR-21 expression levels have shorter survival times than similar patients with low miR-21 expression levels. Similar results have been reported when progression-free survival was analyzed.

miR-34a is an important component of the p53 pathway and acts as a tumor suppressor; it is frequently underexpressed in PDAC cell lines, and its expression is known to decrease according to several mechanisms. In a p53-mutant pancreatic cancer cell line, restoration of miR-34a expression restored the tumor-suppressing function of p53 and sensitized the tumor cells to chemotherapy and radiation. Recent studies in PDAC patients showed that patients with high miR-34a expression levels had a better survival following resection with curative intent.

Overexpression of miR-155 is common in several different tumor types, and this miRNA was found to be upregulated by approximately three-fold in 11 of 21 PDAC cell lines. One of its targets, the p53-regulated stress-induced gene TP53INP1, is downregulated in PDAC and correlates with tumor progression. High miR-155 expression levels are observed in the tumors of patients with worse survival curves.

Several other miRNAs have been associated with pancreatic carcinogenesis and are briefly discussed here. miR-196a has been shown to be virtually absent in normal pancreatic tissue, but it increased by 14-fold in PDAC tissues and cell lines. In addition, miR-196a expression levels correlated well with survival and disease progression, with levels already altered in PanINs and in all other stages up to PDAC. The miR-200 family of miRNAs mainly targets transcription factors, ZEB1 and ZEB2, which negatively regulate E-cadherin; this ultimately promotes progression of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which ensures mobility to tumor cells and favors metastasis. Khan et al. showed (through an invasion assay) that miR-145 reduces the amount of invading cells and is also able to enhance the effects of gemcitabine in PDAC cell lines. miR-145, which negatively regulates the MUC13 protein (which increases tumorigenic cell signaling pathways in PDAC), is virtually absent in pancreatic cancer tissues, but it is highly expressed in normal pancreatic cells. miR-125b is overexpressed in a gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic cancer cell line (BxPC3-GZR) and advanced PDAC tumor tissues, and its suppression also causes a partial reversal of the mesenchymal phenotype and increases the response to gemcitabine treatment in BxPC3-GZR and PANC-1 cell lines. Finally, deregulation of another miRNA, miR-376a, in PDAC was first described in 2006 when its expression was shown to be increased by sevenfold in PDAC tissues. The exclusive expression of this miRNA in pancreatic tumor cells (it was not found in benign pancreatic acini or stromal cells) suggested that it could be a good PDAC biomarker. Years later, overexpression of miR-376a was also observed in rats in a study that showed that serum levels of this miRNA reflected tumoral status.

**Circulating miRNAs as Biomarkers in PDAC**

It is often difficult to diagnose pancreatic cancer because of limited access and the need for invasive diagnostic procedures; thus, substantial research effort has been applied to the identification of diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in circulating samples. In 2008, two different studies showed the presence of miRNAs in serum and plasma samples, thereby highlighting the potential of miRNAs as minimally invasive biomarkers for diagnosis as well as prognostic purposes. In addition, Mitchell et al demonstrated that miRNAs are stable in plasma because they are protected against endogenous RNase activity. In an experiment with prostate cancer xenografts, miRNAs were shown to originate from tumors and enter circulation, where their expression levels could be used to robustly distinguish xenografted mice from controls. In humans, serum levels of miR-141 distinguished patients with prostate cancer from healthy controls, and this finding led the authors to propose that measurement of tumor-derived miRNAs in serum or plasma would be a useful method for blood-based detection of human cancer. Several more recent studies have demonstrated that circulating miRNAs are included in lipid or lipoprotein complexes, such as apoptotic bodies, microvesicles (up to 1 µm), and exosomes (small membrane vesicles of endocytic origin, 50–100 nm in size), or protected by Argonaute protein 2. Therefore, they are highly stable and could be used as biomarkers for different tumor types and different sampling strategies could be employed, including minimally invasive procedures to obtain serum and plasma and noninvasive procedures to obtain samples such as saliva.

In PDAC, miRNAs were reported as useful circulating biomarkers for the first time in 2009 when Wang et al demonstrated that a panel of four miRNAs (miR-21, -210, -155, and -196a) overexpressed in PDAC tissues could also be detected in the plasma of PDAC patients and that these
miRNAs clearly distinguished patients with and without cancer (sensitivity of 64% and specificity of 89%). Another study measured the plasmatic levels of miR-210, (which increase in hypoxia situations) and found that expression of this miRNA significantly increased (four-fold) in PDAC patients relative to controls, confirming the potential of miRNAs as diagnostic biomarkers in PDAC. miR-21, miR-155, and miR-196a were also evaluated by Kong et al., who investigated a larger panel of miRNAs (miR-21, -155, -196a, -181a, -181b, -221, and -222). Using serum samples, they found that miR-21, -155, and -196a could be used to correctly discriminate PDAC patients from controls. Circulating levels of miR-196a were also shown to predict prognosis: patients with unresectable PDAC (stages III and IV) had significantly higher miR-196a levels, whereas patients with low miR-196a expression levels were in the early stages of the disease (stages I and II). Finally, serum miR-196a levels were found to be a good predictor of median survival time in PDAC patients; patients with high-level miR-196a expression had a median survival of 6.1 months, whereas patients with low miR-196a levels had a median survival of 12 months. Morimura et al. evaluated miRNA expression levels in the plasma and tissue of the same patients. They analyzed the expression levels of the miR-17–92 cluster in the tissue and plasma of PDAC patients and healthy controls and found that, within the cluster and considering concordant expression levels in plasma and tissue, miR-18a expression distinguished patients with and without the disease.

In a large study performed in 2012, Liu et al. identified 44 overexpressed miRNAs and 19 underexpressed miRNAs in the serum of PDAC patients (where expression was compared with age- and sex-matched cancer-free controls). Surprisingly, some of the miRNAs reported as deregulated in this study were different from those described in previous studies that analyzed circulating samples from PDAC patients. Among these miRNAs, only seven (miR-20a, -21, -24, -25, -99a, -185, and -191) were expressed at significantly different levels in the serum of PDAC patients versus controls, and these could be used to distinguish cancer-affected patients from CP patients. Patients who expressed high levels of miR-21 had a lower survival rate than those with low miR-21 expression levels. In addition, a prospective study used this seven-miRNA panel to screen and follow 55 clinically suspected cases of PDAC across several months. With the pathological diagnosis considered as a standard, the seven-miRNA panel could be employed to identify PDAC patients with an accuracy of 83.6%, which was significantly higher than the accuracy of CA19-9 (56.4%), the only currently available peripheral biomarker for PDAC, and CEA (36.4%) for the same sample set. In the same year, another group also described plasmatic levels of two miRNAs (miR-196a and miR-16) as early and independent biomarkers for PDAC, more effective than CA19-9 for early diagnosis. Furthermore, the combination of miRNAs with CA19-9 significantly improved the diagnostic accuracy of the miRNA panel or CA19-9 alone.

In contrast to these previous studies, Cote et al. reported that miR-21 expression levels in plasma were not significantly different between PDAC patients and healthy controls. Through measurement of 10 candidate miRNAs in plasma and bile aspirates of PDAC patients, CP patients, and healthy controls, the authors identified a panel of five miRNAs (miR-10b, miR-155, miR-160b, miR-30c, and miR-212) that could distinguish cases from controls with accuracy in both sample types. When considering only plasma samples, seven miRNAs (miR-10b, -30c, -106b, -155, -181b, -196a, and -212) showed significantly different expression across all three groups and between individuals with PDAC and CP.

Although the use of miRNA panels seems to be the most effective approach to diagnosis and prognosis, some individual miRNAs also show potential. For example, circulating levels of miR-182 were shown to be increased in PDAC patients compared to those in patients with CP and healthy controls, and this trend was significantly associated with advanced clinical stages and lymph node metastases. Moreover, this single miRNA was able to predict outcome after pancreatectomy: PDAC patients with high levels of miR-182 had shorter disease-free survival and overall survival compared with those of patients with low miR-182 levels. A recent study from our group also revealed that the expression of two miRNAs (miR-21 and miR-34a) in serum samples could individually discriminate PDAC and healthy controls. Indeed, when both variables were considered together, only a discrete improvement was observed.

In the last few years, a growing number of researchers have employed high-throughput methodologies to analyze hundreds of miRNAs and collect data that are considered more robust. One of the first studies to achieve this was a multicenter study in which 245 samples (129 tissue and 116 blood samples) from PDAC patients, CP patients, and healthy controls were assessed for the expression of 863 miRNAs using the Geniom Biochip miRNA Homo sapiens. In blood samples, 87 miRNAs differentiated PDAC patients from healthy controls, whereas 18 miRNAs distinguished CP patients from controls. Interestingly, the study did not identify a single circulating miRNA that could be used to distinguish between PDAC and CP patients. In the second study with a case–control design, 754 miRNAs were analyzed in the whole blood of 409 PDAC patients, 25 CP patients, and 312 healthy controls (organized into discovery, training, and validation cohorts) with the aim of finding an miRNA panel suitable for diagnosis of PDAC. Using a TaqMan human microRNA assay to access miRNA expression, two diagnostic panels (indexes I and II) were created that comprised 4 (miR-145, miR-150, miR-223, and miR-636) and 10 (miR-26b, miR-34a, miR-122, miR-126*, miR-145, miR-150, miR-223, miR-505, miR-636, and miR-885-5p) miRNAs, respectively. Both panels provided satisfactory results, and when they were combined with CA19-9, index I had an AUC of 0.94 (85% sensitivity and 98% specificity) and index II had an AUC of 0.93 (85% sensitivity and 90% specificity). Furthermore, the
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AUC for the combination of CA19-9 and miRNA panels was significantly higher than that for CA19-9 used alone.\textsuperscript{106}

Finally, Lin et al.\textsuperscript{107} analyzed differential expression of 1,711 miRNAs in the serum of six PDAC patients and six controls, and they demonstrated that 22 miRNAs were upregulated, while 23 were downregulated. Among these miRNAs, they chose the eight most deregulated (upregulated: miR-1238, miR-296-5p, miR-4290, and miR-483-5p; downregulated: miR-1280, miR-492, miR-595, and miR-663a) for validation in a larger cohort of 49 PDAC and 27 control serum samples. Receiver operating characteristic analysis showed that miR-492 and miR-663a yielded the largest AUCs, 0.787 and 0.870, respectively. In an analysis that was even more comprehensive, Kojima et al.\textsuperscript{108} performed a 3D-Gene assay, ie, a sensitive microarray developed to detect 2,555 human miRNAs registered in the miRBase (release 20; http://www.mirbase.org/), using 100 serum samples from PDAC patients and 150 from healthy controls, in addition to other digestive cancers samples. Notwithstanding the finding that miR-6836-3p showed high sensitivity and specificity when used alone (89.4% and 82.3%, respectively), the authors found that a combination of eight miRNAs (miR-6075, miR-4294, miR-6880-5p, miR-6799-5p, miR-125a-3p, miR-4530, miR-6836-3p, and miR-4476) had an even higher sensitivity (80.3%) and specificity (97.6%). As expected, CA19-9 and CEA showed lower sensitivities (65.6% and 40%, respectively) and specificities (92.9% and 88.6%, respectively) in the same sample cohort. These results suggest that the assessment of miRNA expression levels, when miRNAs are used alone or organized into different panel types, is clinically valuable for identifying patients with pancreatic tumors who could benefit from surgical intervention, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy.

Besides plasma and serum biomarkers, some authors have focused on salivary biomarkers because saliva is composed of a complex combination of enzymes, hormones, antibodies, etc., which makes saliva samples as informative as blood in certain clinical situations.\textsuperscript{109} Moreover, obtaining a saliva sample is noninvasive and involves low cost, and several studies have shown that salivary molecules can be useful as cancer biomarkers.\textsuperscript{110–113} In 2010, Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{114} demonstrated that a panel of four salivary miRNAs (KRAS, MBD3L2, ACRV1, and DPM1) distinguished PDAC patients from CP patients and healthy controls with 90% sensitivity and 95% specificity. More recent studies have shown that miRNAs can be detected in saliva samples and used as biomarkers.\textsuperscript{115,116} Indeed, Xie et al.\textsuperscript{116} performed a pilot study using the saliva samples of eight PDAC patients and eight healthy controls, which were analyzed with the human miRNA microarray (Agilent; capable of profiling the expression of 2,006 miRNAs). Considering certain criteria, the authors chose the 10 most deregulated miRNAs and tested them by using qPCR in the same cohort. Among the miRNAs, miR-3679-5p and miR-940 showed significant differential expression between the two groups, and when these were assayed in a larger cohort, miR-3679-5p was found to be downregulated and miR-940 upregulated in PDAC patients compared with expression levels in healthy controls. Both miRNAs reached satisfactory sensitivities (82.5% and 90%, respectively) but not specificities (45% and 40%, respectively). In a recent study, miRNA expression was assayed in saliva samples and similar issues with specificity were not observed; specifically, miR-21, miR-23a, miR-23b, and miR-29c were significantly upregulated in PDAC patients compared with controls, and their specificity was 100%, while their sensitivities were variable (71.4%, 85.7%, 85.7%, and 57%, respectively).\textsuperscript{117} Another pilot study using salivary samples did not obtain statistically significant results and found (using qRT-PCR) high Ct values, indicating an almost undetectable expression of the miRNAs assayed.\textsuperscript{104}

Conclusions

Usually, early stage pancreatic cancer is a silent disease; it becomes apparent only after tumor invasion of surrounding tissues or metastatic seeding of distant organs. Suspected PDAC cases are primarily identified through imaging (eg, ultrasonography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance), but this approach only identifies a pancreatic mass, which could be related to nonmalignant pancreatic disorders. Thus, imaging is usually insufficient for providing the final diagnosis of malignancy, CP, or other benign pancreatic lesions. Definitive diagnosis is usually obtained through invasive procedures, such as biopsies, which are usually accomplished by endoscopic ultrasonography, with diagnostic confirmation only possible in some cases after a laparotomy; thus, the definitive diagnosis of PDAC or pancreatic precursor lesions is almost impossible when using only noninvasive procedures.\textsuperscript{118,119}

The biomarkers most commonly used in clinical settings, CEA and CA19-9, are not sufficiently accurate for the detection of pancreatic cancer, but they can be useful in follow-ups to assess disease progression in patients who have been already diagnosed. Therefore, the identification of highly sensitive and specific diagnostic and/or prognostic biomarkers is important for avoiding multiple surgical procedures and helping to distinguish, without invasive procedures, patients with and without cancer as well as patients with different premalignant lesions.

Several different approaches have revealed a number of miRNAs that could be used as biomarkers in PDAC, but it is important to also highlight some limitations. Only a few miRNAs have been appropriately validated in independent cohorts or investigated for their function in carcinogenesis. The wide variety of analytical methods employed in different studies is a barrier to comparing existing published results, a fact that reinforces the need to confirm the differential expression of miRNAs encountered in distinct populations. In some studies, sample size is also an issue, and the low prevalence of pancreatic cancer and its precursor lesions should stimulate efforts to conduct more collaborative projects. Furthermore, the use of circulating miRNAs as biomarkers is also limited by their heterogeneous origin. Blood and endothelial cells are
the origin of most of the cell-free miRNAs in the blood, and this could be a confounding factor for tumor-specific miRNAs. Indeed, circulating miRNAs are only stable (ie, protected against RNase activity) because of their association with other structures (eg, apoptotic bodies, exosomes, high-density lipoprotein vesicles, and mainly proteins from the Argonate family,90–92,120). This primordial interaction adds another bias if we consider that differences in miRNA expression levels could be because of differential degradation in different environments. The future perspectives in the miRNA field should focus on overcoming these limitations to find a robust panel of miRNAs for clinical use.

As shown in this review, miRNAs, considered either individually or in panels, are key players in a wide variety of applications. Although making robust comparisons is difficult because of the different analytical approaches used to date, some miRNAs have been consistently reported as biomarkers, either for diagnostic or prognostic purposes. For example, miR-21, miR-155, and miR-196 can be used to distinguish between normal, premalignant, and PDAC tissues in a tissue-specific approach, and they discriminated healthy controls from PDAC patients in serum and plasma samples in more than one independent study. Other deregulated miRNAs in different sample types are shown in Table 1. Despite the aforementioned limitations, substantial progress has been made toward the discovery of novel diagnostic and prognostic PDAC biomarkers, and miRNAs show particular promise in this field.
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