ABSTRACT

Background

The use of fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) has evolved with technical advances in noninvasive immobilization, radiation delivery, and image guidance. The application of FSRT to pituitary tumours is aimed at reducing toxicity through improved dose conformality and reduced treatment margins. The aim of the present paper is to report our own experience and to review the published data on FSRT for pituitary macroadenomas.

Methods

Between September 2000 and October 2005, 13 patients with pituitary macroadenoma underwent FSRT at our institution. In 12 patients, radiotherapy treatment followed surgical resection (transsphenoidal resection in 8, frontal craniotomy in 3, and multiple transsphenoidal resections followed by craniotomy in 1). In 4 patients, the tumours were functional (2 adrenocorticotropin hormone–secreting, 1 prolactinoma, and 1 growth hormone–secreting); the tumours in the remaining patients were clinically non-secretory. Before radiation, 3 patients had panhypopituitarism, and 6 patients had visual field defects. All patients were treated with FSRT using non-coplanar micro-multileaf collimation portals. A median dose of 50.4 Gy (range: 45–60 Gy) was prescribed to the 76.9%–95.2% isodose surface and delivered in 1.8-Gy fractions. The median planning target volume (gross tumour plus 3 mm) was 33.5 cm³ (range: 3.2–75 cm³).

Results

After a median follow-up of 24 months (range: 6–60 months), local control was 100%. One patient achieved clinical complete response. Treatment was well tolerated acutely for all patients. Neither radiation-induced optic neuropathy nor any radiation-related endocrine dysfunction was observed in our patients.

Conclusions

In accordance with published series, we found FSRT to be safe and effective in the management of large pituitary macroadenomas.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pituitary tumours account for 10%–15% of primary intracranial neoplasms. “Macroadenoma” refers to a tumour more than 10 mm in diameter. Typically, these tumours extend superiorly into the suprasellar cistern or laterally into the cavernous sinuses. In many cases, despite early benefit from surgical debulking, long-term cure from transsphenoidal surgery alone remains elusive. Radiotherapy is frequently used to treat residual or recurrent pituitary adenomas. In retrospective series, immediate postoperative radiotherapy has clearly led to significant improvements in long-term local control. Nevertheless, concerns regarding potential late complications such as brain necrosis and optic nerve injury have limited or delayed the use of radiotherapy. Classically, simple three-dimensional conformal plans (often a 3-field technique) were used to treat these central lesions, resulting in significant dose delivery to the temporal lobes and the optic apparatus.

With advances in noninvasive immobilization techniques, sophisticated planning systems, and image guidance, fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) has supplanted three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy in the treatment of macroadenomas. The FSRT technique combines the similar dose conformality, precise dose delivery, and steep dose falloff outside the target volume of stereotactic radiosurgery with the radiobiologic advantages of dose fractionation. Fractionation safely treats larger tumour volumes intimate to critical structures.
such as the optic apparatus. Here, we report our experience of FSRT in a series of 13 patients whose pituitary macroadenomas were in close relationship with the optic chiasm or the cavernous sinus, or both.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between September 2000 and October 2005, 13 patients (9 men, 4 women) with pituitary macroadenoma were treated with FSRT at the Montreal General Hospital. These patients had a median age of 56 years (range: 30–80 years). In 9 patients, the tumours were non-secreting; tumours in the other 4 patients were functional (2 causing Cushing disease, 1 causing acromegaly, and 1 being a prolactinoma). Surgical resection was the initial treatment in 12 patients: 8 had transsphenoidal resections, 3 had frontal craniotomies, and 1 had multiple transsphenoidal resections followed by craniotomy.

The indications for FSRT were postoperative residual disease in 5 patients (38.4%) at a median of 3.2 months from surgery (range: 1.2–7.4 months), recurrent disease in 7 patients (53.8%) at a median of 12.4 months from surgery (range: 5.2–53.3 months), and primary therapy in 1 patient. Table I summarizes patient characteristics. All patients underwent complete clinical evaluation before FSRT, including consultations in ophthalmology and endocrinology.

A pretreatment 2-mm global T1 contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study was obtained for all patients and co-registered to a 3-mm treatment planning computed tomography scan. Tumour and normal structures were contoured, and a 3-mm margin was then added to the gross tumour volume to generate the planning target volume (PTV). Treatment plans were typically designed using 4–6 static 6-MV non-coplanar micro–multileaf collimation portals (Brainscan 5.21: BrainLAB AG, Heimstetten Germany). Typically, a dose of 50.4 Gy was prescribed to a median isodose surface of 90.1% (range: 76.9%–95.2%) in 1.8-Gy fractions over 5.5 weeks. A different regimen was used to treat 2 patients: one patient was treated to 45 Gy; the other, whose tumour had malignant features, received 60 Gy. Patients were immobilized using a noninvasive thermoplastic mask system (BrainLAB AG), with the patient supine in neutral head position.

Patients were followed regularly after treatment, 3 times during the first year, and then every 6 months thereafter. Follow-up included clinical assessment, MRI studies, and hormonal assays. When warranted, visual field and formalized visual acuity testing was performed.

3. RESULTS

The median PTV for all 13 patients was 33.5 cm³ (range: 3.1–75 cm³). All patients had extrasellar tumour extension. In 2 patients (15.3%), extension reached the suprasellar region, and in 11 patients (84.6%), it reached the cavernous sinus.

The use of the stereotactic technique provided appropriate conformation of the prescribed dose to the PTV with a median planning isodose–tumour volume conformity index of 1.4 (range: 1.2–1.8). Between 93.3% and 99.1% (median: 97%) of the PTV was covered by the prescription isodose. In all patients, a portion of the optic chiasm and the optic nerves was spared from receiving the prescribed radiation dose. Because of proximity to the target, a small portion of the optic apparatus could have received a dose equal to or slightly higher than the prescribed dose. Hot spots of up to 110% of the prescribed dose were on occasion delivered to 5%–16% of the volume of the optic chiasm or the optic nerves. Figure 1 shows an averaged dose–volume histogram for all patients, and Table II summarizes the treatment parameters.

Treatments were well tolerated. No acute effects at grade 2 or higher were recorded, and to date, no late toxicity has been observed. All patients completed the prescribed radiation dose without treatment interruption.

| Characteristic               | n (%) |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)                 |       |
| Median                      | 56    |
| Range                       | 30–80 |
| Sex                         |       |
| Male                        | 9 (69) |
| Female                      | 4 (31) |
| Tumour type                 |       |
| Non-functioning             | 9 (69) |
| Prolactinoma                | 1 (8)  |
| GH-secreting                | 1 (8)  |
| ACTH-secreting              | 2 (15) |
| Indication for radiotherapy |       |
| Postoperative               | 5 (38) |
| Disease progression         | 7 (54) |
| Definitive                  | 1 (8)  |
| Tumour volume (cm³)         |       |
| Median                      | 13.5  |
| Range                       | 1.1–47.6 |
| Pretreatment tumour extension|        |
| Suprasellar                 | 2 (15) |
| Parasellar                  | 11 (85) |
| Pretreatment hormonal status|        |
| Normal                      | 6 (46) |
| Hypopituitarism             | 3 (23) |
| Oversecretion               | 4 (31) |
| Pretreatment visual status  |        |
| Intact                      | 3 (23) |
| Subjective visual symptom   | 4 (31) |
| Objective visual field defect| 5 (38) |
| Oculomotor nerve injury     | 1 (8)  |
| Presenting symptom          |        |
| Visual                      | 9 (69) |
| Hormonal                    | 3 (23) |
| Headache                    | 1 (8)  |

GH = growth hormone; ACTH = adrenocorticotropic hormone.
At a median follow-up of 27 months (range: 10–82 months), the local control rate—defined as freedom from radiologic disease progression—was 100%. In 2 patients (15.4%), an objective radiologic response was achieved. Complete tumour resolution was achieved in 1 patient with a large residual adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH)–secreting macroadenoma. His follow-up MRI study revealed no radiologic evidence of disease 4 years after FSRT (Figure 2). Another patient who received primary FSRT for a growth hormone–secreting adenoma achieved a partial (50% or better) reduction in the original tumour volume. Radiologic findings were stable in the other 11 patients.

Of the 4 patients with functional macroadenomas, only 1 patient with an ACTH-secreting macroadenoma showed hormone level normalization from the pretreatment elevated level. This response was associated with a complete radiologic response (Figure 2). Another patient who received primary FSRT for a growth hormone–secreting adenoma achieved a partial (50% or better) reduction in the original tumour volume. Radiologic findings were stable in the other 11 patients.

Of the 4 patients with functional macroadenomas, only 1 patient with an ACTH-secreting macroadenoma showed hormone level normalization from the pretreatment elevated level. This response was associated with a complete radiologic response (Figure 2). No significant change in hormone level was observed in the other 3 patients.

Pre-existing panhypopituitarism in 3 patients (23%) required continued hormone replacement therapy during and after FSRT. At last endocrinology follow-up, no manifestations of pituitary insufficiency were observed in the other 6 patients who presented with normal pituitary function.

Before the FSRT, 5 patients had an objective visual field defect, and 1 patient had occulomotor nerve injury. These original neurologic deficits were not changed after radiation therapy. Furthermore, no abnormalities were seen in the follow-up visual assessments of the remaining patients.

4. DISCUSSION

Surgical resection remains the initial treatment of choice for symptomatic non-prolactin-secreting pituitary macroadenomas7,8. Surgery offers the advantages of pathologic confirmation, immediate decompression of the optic apparatus, and rapid reduction of pathologic hormone secretion7,9. Long-term tumour control rates after surgery alone range between 50% and 80% 10. However, a complete resection is achievable in only 44%–84% of patients with pituitary macroadenoma11. The risk of local recurrence after subtotal resection is 33%–80%. Postoperative fractionated radiation is highly effective, leading to a 15-year local control rate of 95% 9,12–14.

Despite well-established long-term tumour control rates for adjuvant radiation therapy in pituitary macroadenoma, use of radiation is limited or frequently delayed because of concerns over potential late complications involving the optic apparatus, the pituitary gland, or the brain parenchyma13,14. The most common complication after postoperative radiation therapy is pituitary hormone deficiency, whose reported rate ranges between 30% and 50% 15. The risk of developing hormone insufficiency is persistent for up to more than 15 years after radiotherapy. Brada et al. reported a need for hormonal replacement therapy in 30% and 50% of patients 10 and 19 years after radiotherapy 16. Optic neuropathy is a serious complication, but it is rare after fractionated radiotherapy to doses of 45–54 Gy; Parsons et al. reported no optic nerve injuries in 106 optic nerves that received a total radiation dose of less than 59 Gy 17. The reported risk of optic neuropathy after fractionated radiotherapy for pituitary adenomas lies between 1% and 5% 3. Radiation-induced secondary intracranial tumours in the form of
sarcomas, meningiomas, and gliomas were also reported with cumulative risks of 1.3% and 1.9% after 10 and 20 years respectively. Other reported complications include brain necrosis, cerebrovascular disease, and neurocognitive dysfunction, although these risks are not well defined nor is the contribution of radiation to these events.

The foregoing risk estimates are derived from series in which less-conformal techniques were used to deliver radiation therapy. The image-guided stereotactic techniques currently available allow for more precise targeting, more-conformal dose distributions, and steeper dose falloff beyond the target volume, thereby reducing normal-tissue exposure and, consequently, expected late toxicities. Figure 3 depicts the dosimetric difference between conventional 3-field and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy plans. Not only does the latter plan provide better target coverage (the planning target volume (PTV) is entirely within the prescription isodose), but also significant sparing of the optic chiasm.

Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), either Gamma Knife (Elekta, Stockholm, Sweden) or linear accelerator–based, has frequently been used in the treatment of pituitary adenoma, with crude local control rates ranging from 90% to 100% and widely variable hormonal cure rates ranging from 0% to 100%. In a recent retrospective comparison, no statistically significant differences were observed between SRS and conventionally fractionated conformal radiotherapy in terms of objective response rate (81.8% at 4 years) and local control rate (97% at 4 years). However, a trend toward a higher complete hormonal response rate was observed in the SRS group (43.8% vs. 36.4%), with a shorter median time to complete hormonal remission (26 months in the SRS group and 63 months in the fractionated radiotherapy group). No patients developed...
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The limitation of SRS is radiation-induced optic neuropathy after a single large radiation dose. The risk is low with doses below 10 Gy, but it increases to 26.7% at doses of between 10 Gy and 15 Gy. Doses higher than 15 Gy are associated with a markedly increased risk that may reach 77.8%\(^2\). The current consensus is to limit radiosurgery to smaller adenomas removed from the optic apparatus (usually 3–5 mm) and to keep the dose to the optic apparatus below 8–10 Gy\(^3\).

Whether the outcomes for small tumours are different for SRS and for fractionated radiotherapy remains controversial. Treatment selection is often guided by institutional preference and treatment device rather than by clinical evidence.

Currently, the use of FSRT is growing. The technique combines the precise treatment delivery of SRS with the radiobiologic advantage of dose fractionation, making it the preferred technique for the treatment of large tumours or tumours closely situated to the optic apparatus. In one of the earliest retrospective reports on FSRT, Mitsumori et al.\(^2\) reported the results of 30 patients treated with FSRT to a total dose of 45 Gy in 25 fractions, compared with 18 patients treated with SRS to a dose ranging from 10 Gy to 18 Gy. The 3-year tumour control rate was not significantly different (100% for SRS and 85.5% for FSRT). The median tumour volume in the SRS group was much smaller, at 1.9 cm\(^3\) as compared with 5.7 cm\(^3\) in the FSRT group.

The time to hormone normalization was shorter among SRS patients, at 8.5 months as compared with 18 months for FSRT patients. The authors reported no radiation-induced visual complications in either group. The rates of hormone deficiency after radiotherapy were not significantly different (23% after SRS vs. 20% after FSRT). The only significant difference noted was in the rate of radiation-induced brain necrosis, which was 27.8% in the SRS group as compared with 0% in the FSRT group (\(p = 0.02\)). The authors recommended the use of FSRT for pituitary adenoma whenever possible.

Table III summarizes the published data on FSRT for the treatment of pituitary adenoma. Although the proportions of macroadenoma in these series were not specified, most series had to have included patients with larger tumours, given the median tumour volume range of 4.1–30.2 cm\(^3\).

Most studies have short follow-up periods. The reported local control rate ranged from 85% to 100%. The reported hormonal control rates are difficult to interpret because the definition of hormonal control varied with the study and because the number of patients with secretory adenomas was small. The same uncertainty applies to the reported visual complications, which ranged from 0% to 7%. The dose to the optic chiasm and the optic nerves, the types of visual complications, and the methods used to diagnose those complications were poorly reported in most studies.

In the current series, the median tumour volume was 13.5 cm\(^3\) (range: 1.1–47.6 cm\(^3\)), with most tumours (84.5%) extending into the cavernous sinus. In terms of local control, our early results with macroadenomas are encouraging and comparable to those reported in the studies described earlier. The data are insufficient to draw conclusions with regard to hormonal response, given our relatively small and heterogeneous group of patients with secreting adenomas.

**Table III** Summary of series on fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy

| Study                  | Patients (n) with Non-functioning tumour | Functioning tumour | Median tumour volume (cm\(^3\)) | Median dose (Gy) | Median follow-up (mo.) | Control (%) | Toxicity (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Mitsumori et al., 1998\(^2\) | 12                                      | 18                 | 5.73                           | 45              | 34                     | 85.3        | 23           | 0            | 20           |
| Milker–Zabel et al., 2001\(^2\) | 42                                      | 20\(^a\)           | 30.2                          | 50.4–52.2       | 38.7                   | 93          | 25           | 7            | 4.8          |
| Milker–Zabel et al., 2004\(^2\) | 0                                       | 20\(^a\)           | 26.2                          | 52.2            | 59.8                   | 100         | 80\(^a\)     | 5            | 10           |
| Canón Rodríguez et al., 2005\(^2\) | 24                                      | 32                 | 8.9                           | 54              | 51                     | 92          | NA           | 3.5          | 46           |
| Colin et al., 2005\(^2\) | 63                                      | 47                 | 4.2                           | 50.4            | 82                     | 99          | 42.5         | 0            | 36.7         |
| Paek et al., 2005\(^3\) | 68                                      | 0                  | 6.2                           | 46–50.4         | 30                     | 98\(^b\)    | —            | 2.9          | 6            |
| Voduc et al., 2005\(^2\) | 19                                      | 17                 | 4.1                           | 50.4            | 19.1                   | 100         | 30           | 0            | 20           |
| Minniti et al., 2006\(^2\) | 67                                      | 25                 | 12.2                          | 54              | 32                     | 98\(^b\)    | 36           | 1            | 22           |
| Selch et al., 2006\(^2\) | 33                                      | 6                  | 10.5                          | 48.6            | 32                     | 100         | 0            | 0            | 19           |
| McClelland et al., 2007\(^3\) | 7                                       | 5                  | 21.8                          | 50.4            | 22.5                   | 100         | NA           | 0            | 0            |
| Present study          | 9                                       | 4                  | 13.5                          | 50.4            | 27                     | 100         | 25           | 0            | 0            |

\(^a\) Twelve patients replicated between these two studies.

\(^b\) Actuarial 5-year progression-free survival.

NA = not assessed.
5. CONCLUSIONS

Although studies with long-term follow-up are lacking, fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy appears to combine effective tumour control with a low incidence of radiation-related morbidity in patients treated for pituitary macroadenoma.

6. REFERENCES

1. Hardy J, Vezina JL. Transsphenoidal neurosurgery of intracranial neoplasm. Adv Neurol 1976;15:261–73.
2. Roberge D, Shenouda G, Souhami L. Pituitary. In: Halperin EC, Perez CA, Brady LW, eds. Perez and Brady’s Principles and Practice of Radiation Oncology. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2008: 751–64.
3. Brada M, Ajithkumar TV, Minniti G. Radiosurgery for pituitary adenomas: an intermediate review of its safety, efficacy, and role in the neurosurgical treatment armamentarium. J Neurosurg 2005;102:678–91.
4. Paek SH, Downes MB, Bednarz G, et al. Integration of surgery with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for treatment of non-functioning pituitary macroadenomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:795–808.
5. Minniti G, Jaffrain–Rea ML, Osti M, Cantore G, Enrici RM. Radiotherapy for nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas: from conventional to modern stereotactic radiation techniques. Neurosurg Rev 2007;30:167–76.
6. Dekkers OM, de Keizer RJ, Roelfsema F, et al. Progressive improvement of impaired visual acuity during the first year after transsphenoidal surgery for non-functioning pituitary macroadenoma. Pituitary 2007;10:61–5.
7. Dekkers OM, Pereira AM, Roelfsema F, et al. Observation alone after transsphenoidal surgery for nonfunctioning pituitary macroadenoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91:1796–801.
8. Comtois R, Beauregard H, Somma M, Serri O, Aris–Jilwan N, Hardy J. The clinical and endocrine outcome to trans-sphenoidal microsurgery of nonsecreting pituitary adenomas. Cancer 1991;68:860–6.
9. Laws ER Jr, Fode NC, Redmond MJ. Transsphenoidal surgery following unsuccessful prior therapy. An assessment of benefits and risks in 158 patients. J Neurosurg 1985;63:823–9.
10. Bohinski RJ, Warnick RE, Gaskill–Shipley MF, et al. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging to determine the extent of resection of pituitary macroadenomas during transsphenoidal microsurgery. Neurosurgery 2001;49:1133–44.
11. Brada M, Burchell L, Ashley S, Traish D. The incidence of cerebrovascular accidents in patients with pituitary adenoma. J Neurosurg 2005;102:1004–12.
12. Brada M, Rajan B, Traish D, et al. The role of radiation therapy after surgical resection of nonfunctional pituitary macroadenomas. Neurosurgery 2004;55:100–7.
Correspondence to: Thierry Muanza, Montreal General Hospital, 1650 Cedar Avenue, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1A4.
E-mail: thierry.muanza@mcgill.ca

* Department of Radiation Oncology, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, QC.
† Department of Medical Physics, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, QC.