Effect of Heat Treatment and Gamma Irradiation on In Vitro Protein Digestibility of Selected Millet Grains
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ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted to find out the effects of heat or irradiation combined with heat on in-vitro protein digestibility (IVPD). Sorghum, pearl millet, foxtail millet were used in the study. Whole (WC) and dehulled (DC) grains were treated either with heat (170°C) or irradiation at 1.0kGy/2.5kGy and stored for 90 days. There was a significant (p<0.05) effect of treatments, storage and grain and their interactions with increase in IVPD. The IVPD in DC and WC was 54.86 and 52.16 percent, which were improved by irradiation combination treatment by 2.59 and 2.13 percent in DC and WC respectively. 2.5kGy dose had higher effect than 1.0 kGy on IVPD. Among the grains studied foxtail millet has highest IVPD followed by sorghum and pearl millet. In dehulled grains the percent increase of IVPD was highest in Foxtail millet, followed by pearl millet and sorghum; in contrast it was highest in sorghum followed by pearl millet and foxtail millet in whole grains. With the decreasing content of protein there was an increasing percentage IVPD. During 90 days storage there was an increase of IVPD to an extent ranging from 3.1 to 5.0 percent.

KEYWORDS
Heat treatment, Gamma irradiation, Millet grains.

Introduction

Irradiation is one of the processing technologies currently available for the inactivation of microorganisms, and it has proven successful in ensuring the safety and extending the shelf life of foods (Mahapatra et al., 2005). Irradiation is also recognised to cause fewer overall physical and sensory changes than cooking, freezing, or canning (Molins, 2001). As irradiation is a physical process, no external additives are involved. The irradiation process is, therefore, useful and desirable as an alternative in the preservation and processing of various fresh, perishable, and high-protein foods, with or without chemical additives or biological controls (Lochhead, 1989 and Murray, 1990). During storage there may be some nutritional changes to the cereals, although for dry grains these changes will be small even over a period of several months. If grains are stored with a higher than ideal moisture content, grain and microbial amylases may begin to breakdown the starch, leading to a deterioration of grain quality. Several methods of drying are employed to reduce the moisture content to desirable levels. The effect of heating before irradiation is additive or slightly more than additive, ionizing
radiation applied before heating is strongly synergistic in the inactivation of bacterial spores (Gombas and Gomez, 1978). High temperatures applied before radiation sensitize insects to radiation and hence allow the use of low dose (Tilton and Browser, 1987).

Gamma irradiation is capable of hydrolyzing chemical bonds, thereby leaving large molecules of starch into smaller fragments of dextrin that may be either electrically charged or uncharged as free radicals. Irradiation of gamma rays on bud wood can produce higher frequencies of mutation, leading to the creation of new variants compared to the control. Macronutrients (carbohydrates, proteins and lipids) content are relatively stable against irradiation doses up to 10 kGy, on the other hand, gamma irradiation affects proteins by causing conformational changes, oxidation of amino acids, rupturing of covalent bonds and formation of protein free radicals (Issa et al., 2011).

Contribution of millets to world cereal production is about 1%, but their vital importance as food crops with respect to the agro-ecosystems is significant. The global millet production was about 27 million tons in 2009 (FAOSTAT, 2011). Digestibility may be used as an indicator of protein availability. It is essentially a measure of the susceptibility of protein to proteolysis. A protein with high digestibility is potentially of a better nutritional value than one of low digestibility, because it would provide more amino acids for absorption on proteolysis. The protein nutritional quality of food depends on content, digestion, absorption and utilization of amino acids (FAO, 1995).

**Materials and Methods**

Millet processing and heating was carried out at millet processing centre; grains were irradiated at irradiation unit of PJTSAU. The chemical analysis was conducted at the department of foods and nutrition, Post Graduate and Research centre of the university. Sorghum and foxtail millet grains were collected from RARS, Nandyal, ANGRAU and pearl millet from RARS, Palem, PJTSAU. All the grains were stored in polythene bags until used under dry and cool conditions away from insects and pests. The grains were dehulled in an abrasive dehuller (Gurunanak Engineering Co, Hyderabad) up to 17 % removal of bran. In the present experiment electric rotary dryer (S K Engineering, New Delhi) was used which can be operated continuously for large quantity of grain.

**Grain treatment**

High moisture content of the grains is one of the factors for grain spoilage. The moisture content can be reduced by giving heat treatment. Many types of dryers can be used; however using rotary drier is more effective as there will be continuous agitation during drying providing uniform heat to all the grains. In the present experiment electric rotary dryer (S K Engineering, New Delhi) was used which can be operated continuously for large quantity of grain.

It has provision for adjusting the temperature and the rpm. Whole and dehulled grains of 5kg all three millets were exposed to heat treatment at a temperature of 150-170°C for 1.5 min at 300 rpm.

Irradiation is one of the processing technologies currently available for the inactivation of microorganisms, and it has proven successful in ensuring the safety and extending the shelf life of foods (Mahapatra et al., 2005). The millet grains were irradiated using cobalt – 60 gamma sources. Two different dosages 1.0kGy and 2.5 kGy were
used. Grains of 500 g were packed in polythene pouches and exposed to the irradiation.

Procedure

The in vitro protein digestibility of the samples was determined by enzymatic method. This was estimated according to the procedure of Singh and Jambunathan (1981). Known weight of the sample containing 6.75 mg nitrogen was taken and incubated with 5 ml of pepsin solution for 16 hrs at 37°C and further incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C with 2 ml of pancreatin solution. 2-3 drops of toluene was added during incubation and the reaction was stopped by adding 20 ml of 10% TCA. The suspension was centrifuged and supernatants were made up to 25 ml of 5% TCA. An aliquot of 5 ml was taken and evaporated to dryness at low temperature (80-90°C) and Nitrogen content was determined by the micro Kjeldal procedure. Then digestive of each sample was calculated in the following way.

\[
IVPD = \frac{N_{\text{in sample supernatants}} - N_{\text{in bank}}}{N_{\text{in starting material}}} \times 100
\]

Storage studies

All the treated grains with a control samples of 500 g were stored for 30, 60, 90 days in HDPE pouches at 34°C to 36°C of temperature and 23% of humidity. The estimations were done at the end of 30th day, 60th day and 90th day.

Study design

The study was conducted using 3x8x4 factorial design, which means 3 types of grains, 8 types of treatments and 4 levels of storage used for the study. The effects of these factors were studied on in-vitro protein digestibility (Table 1). All the results were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics 20 software. Multifactor ANOVA technique was used to test to find out the significant effect of treatments on IVPD of the millet grains (Table 2).

Results and Discussion

In the study whole and dehulled grains of Sorghum, Pearl millet and foxtail millet were used as controls to investigate the effects of heating and irradiation on in vitro protein digestibility. Within irradiation 1.0kGy and 2.5 kGy dosages were used. Table 3 shows the IVPD of the millets. In untreated grains (control) the IVPD ranged from 48.23 to 54.85 percent with a significant difference (p<0.05). Pearl millet had significantly lower IVPD than those other two millets studied, which is in agreement with the studies of Elshazali et al., (2011) where the IVPD of the whole raw flour was 46.43 and 51.23% while that of the dehulled raw flour was 50.54 and 55.28% for Ashana and Dembi varieties of pearl millet. However the IVPD can be higher depending upon the varieties as shown by Hag et al., (2002), where IVPD of two different pearl millet cultivars were reported as 72.7 and 70.4 percent.

When the effect of treatment is considered maximum IVPD was observed in the dehulled grains (54.86%) and least in the whole grain. This is due to the established fact that as the proportion of pericarp and germ material becomes less the IVPD improves (Duodu et al., 2002). Dehulling decreases the anti-nutrients that interfere with the IVPD.

Babiker and Eltinay (1993) also reported the improvement in IVPD is likely due to reduction in antinutrients during traditional treatments. High molecular weight polyphenols are known to precipitate proteins, reduce protein digestibility and produce off-
coloured products (Hulse et al., 1980). Irradiation had higher effect on dehulled grains than on whole grains (Fig. 1). Irradiation had improved IVPD by 2.59 and 2.13 percent in DC and WC respectively. The pair wise comparisons revealed that there is no significant difference between DC and DEHE (p<0.05). This indicates that heat treatment used in the present study had no adverse effect on IVPD. In contrast, IVPD in whole grain was found to have a significant improvement, where in the IVPD increased from 52.15 percent to 52.35 with heat treatment (p<0.05). Hassan (2011) reported that all heat treatments significantly improved the IVPD of peanut seeds. Roasting in both brown and white sesame seeds partially eliminated the studied antinutrient and improved IVPD (increased by 10% and 9.1%, respectively).

Irradiation combination treatment resulted in still higher IVPD i.e., 54.48% in WC and 57.46 in DC grains. Further it was also found that with increase of irradiation dosage from 1KgY to 2.5 KgY there is an increase in the IVPD, suggesting that irradiation has beneficial effect on protein digestibility. Our results are in agreement with an earlier study (Fombang et al., 2005). An improvement of 12–18% was brought about in protein digestibility of sorghum porridge by irradiation of dry flour at 10 KgY. It is hypothesized that irradiation cleaved disulphide bonds in sorghum prolamin proteins, as observed by Koksel et al., (1998) for wheat, resulting in unfolding of protein structure. This would result in a more open protein network that would expose more protein sites to proteolytic enzymes, and hence improve digestibility. Sorghum prolamin proteins have a high content of disulphide bonds (Shull et al., 1991) and these can be cleaved by irradiation (Koksel et al., 1998). Splitting of these bonds by irradiation will no doubt modify protein structure. Shawrang et al., (2007) also reported that treatment of soybean meal and canola meal with gamma irradiation was successful in reducing degradation of protein by rumen microorganisms and increasing protein intestinal digestibility.

### Table 1 The details of treatments used for the study

| Sno | Treatments (8)          | Grains (3) | Storage period(4) |
|-----|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| 1   | Control –Whole grain    | Sorghum    | 0 Day             |
| 2   | Control- Dehulled grain | Pearl Millet| 30th day          |
| 3   | Heat treated –Whole grain| Foxtail Millet | 60th day          |
| 4   | Heat treated –Dehulled grain |          | 90th day          |
| 5   | Heat and 1.0kGy Irradiated -Whole grain |          |                  |
| 6   | Heat and 2.5kGy Irradiated - Whole grain |          |                  |
| 7   | Heat and 1.0kGy Irradiated -Dehulled grain |          |                  |
| 8   | Heat and 2.5kGy Irradiated - Dehulled grain |          |                  |
**Table 2** ANOVA for the Effects of treatment, grain type and storage on *in vitro* protein digestibility

| Source          | DF $^a$ | IVPD $^e$ | $F^c$ | $P^d$ |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| MAIN EFFECTS    |         | $MS^b$    |       |       |
| Treatment (T)   | 7       | 104.089   | 73827.20 | 0.0000 |
| Grain Type (G)  | 2       | 325.023   | 230529.94 | 0.0000 |
| Storage(S)      | 3       | 44.0951   | 31275.41 | 0.0000 |
| INTERACTIONS    |         |           |       |       |
| TG              | 14      | 2.98778   | 2119.15 | 0.0000 |
| TS              | 21      | 0.241051  | 170.97  | 0.0000 |
| GS              | 6       | 0.532923  | 377.99  | 0.0000 |
| TGS             | 42      | 0.165774  | 117.58  | 0.0000 |

*a- Degrees of Freedom, e- in vitro-protein digestibility
b- Mean Square,
c- F-Ratio, d- p- value

**Table 3** Effect of treatment, storage and grain type on *in-vitro* protein digestibility

| Effects          | IVPD (%) |
|------------------|----------|
| **Treatment**    |          |
| DC               | 54.8642$^b$ |
| DEHE             | 54.8667$^b$ |
| DEHEI1.0         | 56.4796 |
| DEHEI2.5         | 58.4558 |
| WC               | 52.1583 |
| WHE              | 52.3508 |
| WHEI1.0          | 53.7783 |
| WHEI2.5          | 55.19  |
| **Storage**      |          |
| 0 day            | 53.6408 |
| 30$^{th}$ day    | 54.3835 |
| 2th day          | 55.2113 |
| 3th day          | 55.8363 |
| **Grains**       |          |
| Foxtail Millet   | 56.2428 |
| Sorghum          | 55.8872 |
| Pearl Millet     | 52.1739 |

*Significant at p=0.05, values with similar superscripts are not significantly different with each other (p>0.05)
Protein digestibility of maize porridge was affected differently by irradiation compared to the two sorghum varieties. Digestibility decreased significantly in maize porridge made from wet-irradiated flour in comparison to porridge from unirradiated flour. Part of the reason could be the lower concentration of radiation-susceptible disulphide bonds in maize prolamin proteins (Duodu et al., 2002). When the effect of storage is considered the first significant increase was observed in the IVPD from zero days to 30 days and further significant improvement at 60 and 90 days. Improvement of IVPD due to irradiation compensates the reduction in protein content. The increase in IVPD in the present study and some earlier studies can be attributed to the hydrolysis of some protein into amino acid during storage. Similar observations were made by Sudesh Jood and Kapoor, (1992) in sorghum, wheat and maize storage.

Irrespective of treatments and storage, maximum IVPD was observed in foxtail grain followed by sorghum and pearl millet. The interaction effect of grain and treatment showed that the IVPD of the pearl millet is significantly lower than sorghum and foxtail millet. In the present study the pearl millet used was bio fortified grain for increased iron and zinc content. The interaction effect of storage and treatments revealed that DEHEI 2.5 samples had maximum IVPD at all the periods of storage.

The irradiation significantly improved the IVPD in both dehulled and whole grains. However the effect was more pronounced in dehulled grains (2.58%) than in whole grains (2.13%). Irradiation might have cleaved disulphide bonds in sorghum prolamin proteins, resulting in unfolding of protein structure giving more open protein network that would expose more protein sites to proteolytic enzymes, and hence improved digestibility. Heat alone did not improved IVPD in dehulled grains; however in whole grains there was a marginal yet a significant improvement. The first significant increase was observed in the IVPD from zero days to 30 days and further significant improvement at 60 and 90 days. Improvement of IVPD due to irradiation compensates the reduction in protein content.
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