Three-dimensional flow structures in X-shaped junctions: Effect of the Reynolds number and crossing angle

We study numerically the three-dimensional (3D) dynamics of two facing flows in an X-shaped junction of two circular channels crossing at an angle $\alpha$. The distribution of the fluids in the junction and in the outlet channels is determined as a function of $\alpha$ and the Reynolds number $Re$. Our goal is to describe the different flow regimes in the junction and their dependence on $\alpha$ and $Re$. We also explore to which extent 2D simulations are able to describe the flow within a 3D geometry. In the 3D case, at large $Re$’s ($\geq 50$) and $\alpha$’s ($\geq 60^\circ$), axial vorticity (i.e., parallel to the outlet axis) of magnitude increasing both with $\alpha$ and $Re$ develops in the outlet channels a structure that cannot be reproduced by 2D numerical simulations. At lower angles ($\alpha \leq 60^\circ$), instead, a mean vorticity component perpendicular to the junction plane is present: both its magnitude and the number of the corresponding vortices (i.e., recirculation zones) increase as $\alpha$ decreases. These vortices appear in both 2D and 3D simulations but at different threshold values of $\alpha$ and $Re$. At very low $Re$’s ($\leq 50$) and $\alpha$’s ($\sim 15^\circ$), the flow structure in 3D simulations is nearly 2D but its quantitative characteristics differ from 2D simulations. As $Re$ increases, this two-dimensionality disappears, while vortices due to flow separation appear in the outlet channels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many industrial and natural processes such as droplet formation, mixing enhancement, or chemical reactions require that two or more fluids be brought into contact. A simple method that does not involve moving elements and can be easily scaled down is the simultaneous injection of the fluids in crossing channels. The flow configuration is a crucial parameter, and depending on the applications and processes considered, several geometries can be used, the simplest being X-, Y-, or T-shaped junctions. Previous studies have studied the flow at the outlet of a T-shaped junction of channels of rectangular cross section as a function of the Reynolds number $Re$ by injecting dye allowing one to visualize the local structure of the flow. Above critical values ($Re_c \sim 150$), one or several vortices of axis parallel on the mean flow appear and induce a transverse transport which favors the interpenetration of the two fluids and, as a result, mixing. In the present study, we focus instead on flows in X-shaped junctions like the one sketched in Fig. 1. More specifically, we study the influence of both the crossing angle $\alpha$ and the Reynolds number $Re$ on the flow structure. The present study provides useful information for applications that require extensional flows and for the mixing of liquid species.
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ABSTRACT

We study numerically the three-dimensional (3D) dynamics of two facing flows in an X-shaped junction of two circular channels crossing at an angle $\alpha$. The distribution of the fluids in the junction and in the outlet channels is determined as a function of $\alpha$ and the Reynolds number $Re$. Our goal is to describe the different flow regimes in the junction and their dependence on $\alpha$ and $Re$. We also explore to which extent 2D simulations are able to describe the flow within a 3D geometry. In the 3D case, at large $Re$’s ($\geq 50$) and $\alpha$’s ($\geq 60^\circ$), axial vorticity (i.e., parallel to the outlet axis) of magnitude increasing both with $\alpha$ and $Re$ develops in the outlet channels a structure that cannot be reproduced by 2D numerical simulations. At lower angles ($\alpha \leq 60^\circ$), instead, a mean vorticity component perpendicular to the junction plane is present: both its magnitude and the number of the corresponding vortices (i.e., recirculation zones) increase as $\alpha$ decreases. These vortices appear in both 2D and 3D simulations but at different threshold values of $\alpha$ and $Re$. At very low $Re$’s ($\leq 50$) and $\alpha$’s ($\sim 15^\circ$), the flow structure in 3D simulations is nearly 2D but its quantitative characteristics differ from 2D simulations. As $Re$ increases, this two-dimensionality disappears, while vortices due to flow separation appear in the outlet channels.
Efficient mixing of two fluids through transverse diffusion across their interface requires that they remain in contact along the largest distance possible to increase the exchange flux between them. In an X-shaped junction, this may be achieved by injecting one of the fluids into one of the channels while the second one flows into the junction from the two channels closest to the inlet one; the two fluids get in contact inside the junction, and the mixture is evacuated through the fourth channel. A second possibility is to inject the two fluids into facing inlets, as depicted in Fig. 1: in this case, mixing takes place inside the junction and along the outlets. An interesting feature compared to the first setup is that it may generate a homogeneous extensional flow with regions of constant strain-rate and a stagnation point. This property is useful in many areas of research, including studies of polymer macromolecules dynamics,\textsuperscript{14,15} of viscoelastic fluid rheology,\textsuperscript{2,13} and of the influence of controlled deformations on cells, vesicles, or droplets: we selected therefore this multipurpose configuration for the present work.

Lee and co-workers\textsuperscript{14} studied the flow structure within two rectangular-section channels, one over the other, in tangential contact and with the separation wall removed in the region of contact. In this case, the inlets are not in the same plane and never in opposite directions. They found that both the angle between the branches of the junctions and the Reynolds number control the streamline distribution. Cachile et al.\textsuperscript{15} studied instead the flow and the distribution of the fluids in the outlets when all the channels of the X-shaped junction are in the same plane and the two inlets face each other. Except if $\alpha = 90^\circ$, the fluid injected into a given inlet flows dominantly (and, even, completely below a critical angle of $\alpha = 33.8^\circ$) out of the nearest outlet (i.e., the channel forming an acute angle $\alpha$ with the inlet). In the same paper, two-dimensional (2D) numerical simulations in the limit $Re \ll 1$ relate this partitioning of the fluids between the different outlets to the variations of the structure of the flow. For $\alpha < \alpha_c$, the occurrence of a stagnation point in the junction depends on the angle and it may be replaced by vortices spanning across the junction and of number increasing as $\alpha$ decreases. The appearance of such new structures prevents the exchange of fluids between the two sides of the junction and, therefore, reduces mixing at low $\alpha$.

In T-shaped mixers, the first axial vortices originate from the stagnation point located on the back-wall and facing the outlet.\textsuperscript{6,7} The streamlines coming from facing inlets only cross the symmetry plane of the outlet channel for $Re \geq Re_c \approx 150$. In X-shaped junctions, instead, this same stagnation point is located at the center of the junction where the axes of the different channels intersect. A recent study,\textsuperscript{10} performed on an X-shaped junction made of slots of various aspect ratios, showed that for channels of square cross sections, $Re_c$ falls to 40. This is due to the fact that it is easier to start the fluid rotation corresponding to the appearance of a vortex in the middle of the fluid volume (X-shaped junction) than close to a wall (T-shaped junction). As a result, mixing may be expected to be more efficient in X-shaped junctions than in T-shaped ones.

In view of the strong influence of the flow structure and, particularly, of the appearance of vorticity and recirculation structures on mixing in channel junctions, the present work reports numerical simulations of mixing flows of two fluids in X-shaped junctions. The two fluids are injected into two circular tubes [three-dimensional (3D) simulations] or into parallel wall channels (2D runs), facing each other, and flow out of two other facing channels. Here, we study numerically the distribution of the flows of the two fluids in the outlets and, more specifically, the vorticity components perpendicular to the junction planes and those parallel to the axis of the outlet. In all these simulations, the key control parameters are the Reynolds number of the flow and the angle between the channels. Here, we deal with low and moderate Reynolds numbers up to $Re \leq 80$: this range was chosen because it corresponds to practical applications to fluidic circuits with small channel apertures and/or to many viscous fluids of practical interest. Note that even at the upper limit of this range, flow remains stationary with a velocity field and a distribution of the fluids independent of time.

There are two objectives for the present simulations: a first one is to analyze the dependence on $\alpha$ and $Re$ of the occurrence and magnitude, in the outlet channels, of axial vorticity which may significantly influence mixing. The second objective is to determine whether full 3D simulations are mandatory in order to describe correctly the flow in the junction or if less computationally expensive 2D simulations may give acceptable approximations in some ranges of values of $\alpha$ and $Re$. This has been evaluated by performing also 2D simulations in a broad range of $\alpha$ and $Re$ values.

Puzzling physical questions arise when dealing with these objectives. Regarding the axial vorticity, the present geometry with circular tube sections and a variable angle $\alpha$ differs from that of Haward et al.\textsuperscript{16} (rectangular section of variable aspect ratio and constant angle $\alpha = 90^\circ$). It is likely that the loss of symmetry due to the deviation of $\alpha$ from $90^\circ$ in our simulations will result in a change of the threshold $Re_c$: if this is the case, will this variation be analogous to that reported by Haward et al.\textsuperscript{16} keeping $\alpha = 90^\circ$ and varying the aspect ratio of the section. Also, Haward et al. characterize quantitatively the development of the axial vorticity from the variation with $Re$ of an order parameter derived from the flow field: it will be informative to compute this parameter in our experiment and compare the two variations with $Re$ in order to test the similarity between the two phenomena. Regarding the case of lower angles $\alpha$, the recirculation zones [called vortices in the following] of axis perpendicular to the junction plane mentioned above have been previously studied in the limit of very low Reynolds numbers: how do their number...
and geometry vary when Re increases and inertial effects become significant?

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the geometry and the numerical method used to compute the flow. Section III presents the qualitative features of the flows for three different junction angles $\alpha$ and two different Reynolds numbers Re for two-dimensional (2D) and, then, three-dimensional (3D) simulations and compares them. Then, we analyze in detail the maps of the flow regimes as a function of $\alpha$ and Re and the variation of the mean vorticity components ($\omega_{axial}$) (axial, i.e., parallel to the outlet axis) and $\omega_z$ (transverse, i.e., perpendicular to the junction plane) as a function of these same variables. We then discuss the particular case of junctions of low angles in Sec. V. In Sec. VI, we discuss the dependence of the flow fields and of ($\omega_{axial}$) and ($\omega_z$) on Re and $\alpha$ and on the dimensionality (2D or 3D). We also evaluate the implications of these results on mixing and the similarity with the instability reported by Haward et al.

II. FLOW GEOMETRY AND NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

The X-shaped junction consists of two channels of same circular cross section (3D simulations) or bounded by parallel lines (2D simulations). In both cases, the channels intersect at an acute angle $\alpha$ (Fig. 1). Two identical incompressible fluids (called “red” and “blue” in the following) are injected into the two corresponding facing inlets. The two fluids flow simultaneously out of each outlet $O_1$ and $O_2$ in variable relative proportions depending on $\alpha$ and Re (the relative fractions of the fluids are exchanged between $O_1$ and $O_2$ in order to conserve mass). The flow is characterized by following the streamlines from the inlets to the outlets. The diameter $d$ of the channels (3D simulations) and the distance between the parallel, channel walls (2D simulations) are both $4 \times 10^{-3}$ m, and the kinematic viscosity of the fluid is taken equal to $v = 10^{-6} \times m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$ (close to that of water at 20 °C).

The numerical simulations of the flow within the junctions are performed using the finite element method. The boundary conditions are no-slip at all walls, the Poiseuille flow in both inlets, and zero pressure at the outlets. In both the 2D and 3D flow simulations, the fluid velocity and the pressure gradients are initially zero. At the origin time, two same Poiseuille flows are applied at the inlets $I_1$ and $I_2$ and one lets the flow velocity field establish itself until a stationary flow regime is reached. Since the inlets at $I_1$ and $I_2$ and the densities and viscosities of the two fluids are assumed to be equal, the characteristics of the flow only depend on the angle $\alpha$ and the Reynolds number $Re = V_m d/\nu$ (choosing $Re$ rather than the mean inlet flow velocity $V_m$ as the control parameter extends the validity of the results of fluids of other viscosities). In our simulations, the Reynolds numbers ranged between 10 and 80 (i.e., $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \leq V_m \leq 2 \times 10^{-2} \cdot m \cdot s^{-1}$). These values are low enough so that no oscillatory flow component appears and a stationary flow regime is reached.

The Navier-Stokes and continuity equations,

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 u, \quad (1a)$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad (1b)$$

are solved for different inflow rates and angles $\alpha$. Here, $u$ is the velocity of the flow, $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ and $\mu$ are the density and dynamic viscosity of the fluid, respectively, and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. For 3D simulations, Eq. (1) is solved through an iterative method, the Generalized Minimal RESiduals (GMRES), preconditioned using a standard multigrid algorithm. This method is preferred to direct methods because it has a good accuracy and requires shorter computing times.

In the present simulations, both time-dependent variables, i.e., the velocity field and the pressure, are solved by means of a backward differentiation scheme with adaptive time stepping.20 The typical initial time step is of order $10^{-2}$ s when the solution varies rapidly, and at long times, when the solution is near its stationary limit, it is of the order of $10^{-1}$ s. In order to estimate and control the error at each time step, we use a weighted root-mean-square norm

$$\text{norm}(E) = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{E_i(X)}{W_i} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where $E_i(X)$ is the estimate by the solver of the error on the variable $X$ corresponding to the degree of freedom $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) and occurring during a time step. The weights $W_i$ are given by

$$W_i = \text{Rtol}|x_i| + \text{Atol}, \quad (3)$$

where $x_i$ is the corresponding component of the solution vector. The absolute tolerance Atol is set to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ (with units of the corresponding variable) and Rtol = $10^{-2}$ (dimensionless). The step is accepted if norm(E) < 1.

The junction is discretized with an unstructured mesh using finer elements near the walls, corners, and in the crossing region of the junction, where the velocity gradients are larger. A convergence study is carried out to define reliable mesh parameters that ensure a reasonable balance between accuracy and computing time. Note that the number of mesh elements depends on the angle $\alpha$ due to the increase in the volume of the crossing region for decreasing $\alpha$. As an example, we use 650 000 mesh cells for $\alpha = 90^\circ$ and 11 200 000 for $\alpha = 15^\circ$.

For testing the numerical method, we consider a junction with $\alpha = 90^\circ$ and an inlet flow corresponding to Re = 10. As explained in detail below, a symmetrical extensional flow develops in the junction. The flow varies with time until a steady state solution is reached and symmetrical flow structures are obtained in the center of the junction. At higher $Re$’s, we also check the reliability of the results by comparing them to those obtained with the PARallel Direct sparse SOLver: PARDISO, an algorithm of high accuracy but computationally too expensive for a parametric study. For the values of the physical control parameters used in the 3D simulations, the typical time lapse necessary for reaching the steady state is about 1 s for the smaller angles and 10 s for the larger ones.

For 2D simulations, we employed the solver PARDISO since the smaller number of elements makes the computation time acceptable. In this case, after the convergence study, we find that using 15 000 mesh elements for $\alpha = 90^\circ$ and 200 000 elements for $\alpha = 15^\circ$ allows us to get accurate solutions. Here, the transition to the steady state requires less than 2 s.

We quantify inertial effects in the flow by varying the Reynolds number, which is done by changing the inlet flow speed. Additionally, we evaluate the volume average of the vorticity components over a volume $V_{out}$ located between two sections of the outlet tubes which is constant with $\alpha$ (in gray-blue in Fig. 1). The vorticity components of interest are the axial ($\omega_{axial}$) and transverse ($\omega_z$) ones.
defined below

\[ \omega_{\text{axial}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{out}}} \int_{V_{\text{out}}} \omega_{\text{axial}} \, dV \], \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ \omega_z = \frac{1}{V_{\text{out}}} \int_{V_{\text{out}}} \omega_z \, dV \]. \hspace{1cm} (5)

Defining the volume of integration \( V_{\text{out}} \) in this way allows us to take into account the contributions to \( \omega_{\text{axial}} \) and \( \omega_z \) of both the rotation of the fluid around the axis of the outlets and the vortices produced in the crossing region of the junction, respectively. In 2D simulations, the volume \( V_{\text{out}} \) is replaced by a band of same length as \( V_{\text{out}} \), of width equal to the diameter \( d \) of the tubes in the 3D simulations, and of area \( 17.5d^2 \).

III. QUALITATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DIFFERENT FLOW REGIMES

In this section, we describe and compare the structures of the flow fields obtained from simulations in 2D and 3D geometries. The study is particularly focused on the dependence on both \( Re \) and \( \alpha \) of the structure of the streamlines at the center of the junction. We map then the flow regimes observed in both cases as a function of \( Re \) and \( \alpha \).

A. Flow fields from 2D simulations

Figure 2 shows the flow patterns in 2D X-shaped junctions for three crossing angles. For \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) and \( 40^\circ \), each inlet flow reaches the intersection of the junction and bounces back downstream toward the outlet branch located at an angle \( \alpha \) from the corresponding inlet direction. For \( \alpha = 65^\circ \), a minor fraction of the inlet flow moves into the other outlet. A key characteristic of these flows is the development of vortices marked by “closed” streamlines in the junction region. Varying \( \alpha \) and/or \( Re \) may modify the size and number of the vortices through successive divisions or merging.

The number of vortices observed for \( Re = 10 \) decreases from two for \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) [Fig. 2(a)] to one for \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) [Fig. 2(c)] and zero for \( \alpha = 65^\circ \) [Fig. 2(f)]. These vortices are either aligned with the major axis of symmetry (segment \( AB \) in Fig. 1) or located at its center.
FIG. 3. (a) Overlap of streamlines corresponding to $Re = 10$ (dark blue) and 60 (light blue) in a 2D junction with $\alpha = 90^\circ$. Streamlines originate from each inlet. (b) Close-up view of the region bounded by a dashed rectangle in (a).

For $Re = 60$, this same number decreases from three for $\alpha = 20^\circ$ [Fig. 2(b)] to one (containing two smaller vortices) for $\alpha = 40^\circ$ [Fig. 2(d)] and zero for $\alpha = 90^\circ$ [Fig. 2(f)]: however, in this latter case, there are two small vortices with their centers aligned, this time, with the perpendicular segment $CD$ and located near the tip of the junctions of angle $\pi - \alpha$.

An important additional feature of the flow, for the highest $Re$ value investigated, is the growth of vortices attached to a wall in both outlet channels [Figs. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f)]: these vortices have a different origin and are due to flow separation at the tip of the junctions of angle $\alpha$ between the inlet and outlet channel walls. Also, when $\alpha$ decreases, the streamlines become tightly packed in their region of high curvature near these tips, implying an increased local velocity.

For $\alpha = 90^\circ$, instead, no recirculation flow is observed in the whole range of $Re$ values investigated, whether in the center part of the junction or in the outlet channels. As the inflow of each fluid reaches the junction, it splits into two equal flows moving...
downstream in opposite directions. Moreover, the flow fields observed for \( Re = 10 \) and \( Re = 60 \) are almost the same [Fig. 3(a)]; the difference is the largest downstream of the corners of the junction [Fig. 3(b)].

**B. Flow fields from 3D simulations**

Figure 4 displays streamlines obtained from 3D simulations performed for the same \( \alpha \)'s and \( Re \)'s as in the 2D geometries in order to determine the similitudes and differences. For \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) and \( Re = 10 \) [Fig. 4(a)], the inlet flows are similar to those observed in the 2D case [Fig. 2(a)] but they are separated by only one vortex at the center of the junction instead of two for 2D. Still for \( Re = 10 \), recirculation disappears for both \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) [Fig. 4(c)] and \( \alpha = 65^\circ \) [Fig. 4(e)].

In the first case, recirculation was present in the corresponding 2D simulation [Fig. 2(c)]; this confirms the reduction of the number of vortices in the 3D geometry already noted for \( \alpha = 20^\circ \). For both \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) and \( 65^\circ \), the incoming streamlines split into two sub-streams of different strengths with the appearance of a stagnation point at the center of the junction. The major sub-stream follows the higher curvature path, and the smaller one flows into the other outlet channel with a lower curvature path. The fraction of the streamlines with the smaller curvature increases with \( \alpha \) [Figs. 4(e) and 4(f)] like in 2D geometries.\(^{15} \) For \( Re = 10 \), at all angles, no clear tridimensional feature is visible on the streamlines unlike, as will be seen now, for higher Reynolds numbers.

When \( Re \) increases to \( Re = 60 \), two vortices appear for \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) (one more than for \( Re = 10 \)) and occupy most of the junction [Fig. 4(b)]. Unlike in Figs. 4(a) and 2(b), here, the vortical streamlines have “open” trajectories with clear 3D structures, as shown in Fig. 5(a). These start at the inlets, impact the lateral wall close to the stagnation points \( P \) and \( P' \), pass through the vortex, and join back the main flow in the outlets. The stagnation points \( P \) and \( P' \) separate these streamlines from those which originate in the same inlet but bounce back directly into the nearest outlet.

Still for \( Re = 60 \), but for \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) [Figs. 4(d) and 5(b)] and \( 65^\circ \) [Figs. 4(f) and 5(c)], the flow displays one or two vortices of open three-dimensional streamlines in the junction center region (instead of none for \( Re = 10 \)). The higher velocity of the entering flow favors the division into two sub-streams following the flow impingement at the stagnation points \( P \) and \( P' \) on the lateral wall of outlet branch. For \( \alpha = 40^\circ \), the vortex structure at the center of the junction is generated by the mixing of the two smaller sub-streams (blue and red streamlines). Also, comparing Figs. 4(e) and 4(f) shows that due to the increased influence of inertia, the minor flow component which crosses the center of the junction moves from one side of the outlet channel for \( Re = 10 \) to the other for \( Re = 60 \). For \( \alpha = 65^\circ \), there are two small vortices of centers aligned along the minor symmetry axis like in the 2D case [Fig. 2(f)]. At both angles, these two sub-streamlines finally escape the vortex in opposite directions toward the outlet branches.

An interesting feature of the stagnation points \( P \) and \( P' \) observed for \( Re = 60 \) [Figs. 4(b), 4(d), and 4(f)] is that they move toward the center of the junction as \( \alpha \) increases. The vortices do the same, and while for \( \alpha = 20^\circ \), they are located along the major symmetry axis of the junction [Fig. 4(b)], and they are almost aligned with the minor axis for \( \alpha = 65^\circ \) [Fig. 4(f)]. Finally, like in the 2D geometry, there is a region of flow separation and formation of small vortices downstream of the corner of angle \( \alpha \) at the intersection of the inlet and outlet tubes (streamlines are not shown in the graph for clarity, and this region is left empty). We remark that for all flows discussed up to now, the vortex axes are perpendicular to the junction plane (z-direction).

While for \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) and \( Re = 10 \), the flow pattern is similar [Fig. 6(a)] to that in the corresponding 2D case (Fig. 3); for \( Re = 60 \), it is very different [Fig. 6(b)]. Both inlets cross the plane \( y = 0 \) in the center part of the junction, penetrating one from above and the other from below, and develop a swirling motion. Downstream, streamlines are curved and some of them move sideways inside the outlet branches. As a result, an axial vortex appears in this junction and increases the area of the interface between the two liquids, leading to a more efficient mixing. Unlike all flows discussed above for other \( \alpha \) values, the axis of the vortex is parallel to that of the outlet.
FIG. 6. Streamlines in 3D junctions for \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) and two different Reynolds numbers. In the top (side) view graphics, the streamlines originate in the inlets in the plane \( z = 0 \) (\( \alpha = 0^\circ \)).

FIG. 7. 2D simulations. Map of the different flow configurations observed as a function of \( \alpha \) vs \( Re \). Symbols indicate the number of the vortices: gray filled circles, no vortex; navy blue filled squares, one vortex; green filled upward triangles, two vortices aligned along the major axis; blue filled downward triangles, two vortices aligned along the minor axis; yellow filled diamonds, three vortices; and orange filled jagged triangles, four vortices. Red empty circles: cases presented in Figs. 2 and 3. Black symbols: results of Cachile et al. in the limit \( Re \to 0 \).

C. Flow regime maps

In this section, we present maps of 2D and 3D flow regimes in the range of values investigated: \( 15^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 90^\circ \) and \( 1 \leq Re \leq 80 \). Figure 7 displays a map of the different types of flow structures observed as a function of \( Re \) and \( \alpha \) in 2D numerical simulations. This map complements the information displayed in Fig. 2 and allows us to identify six regimes corresponding to different numbers (and types) of vortices in the junction. For instance, for \( Re = 60 \), the number of vortices aligned with the major axis \( AB \) of the junction (the inset of Fig. 1) or located at its center decreases from four for \( \alpha = 15^\circ \) to zero for \( \alpha > 67^\circ \). The flow field displayed in Fig. 2(f) for \( Re = 60 \) and \( \alpha = 65^\circ \) corresponds to the transition regime between one and zero vortices: one observes then two small vortices but, this time, aligned along the minor axis \( CD \); these may be considered as resulting from the split and size reduction of a single, larger, original vortex. Still for \( Re = 60 \) but for \( \alpha = 40^\circ \), one is near the transition between one and two vortices. One has a single large vortex at the center of the junction, but it contains two smaller vortices aligned along axis \( AB \) [Fig. 2(d)]. When the Reynolds number decreases, the number of vortices still decreases when \( \alpha \) increases but the values of \( \alpha \) corresponding to a given transition are smaller. For instance, for \( Re = 10 \), the transition between one and zero vortices takes place around \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) (instead of around \( 65^\circ \) for \( Re = 60 \)). Like in this latter case, one observes also for \( Re = 10 \) and \( \alpha = 40^\circ \) two vortices aligned along the minor axis \( AB \) [Fig. 2(o)]. The same trend in the transition angles is observed at lower angles, and, for instance, for \( \alpha = 15^\circ \), there are only three vortices at \( Re = 10 \) instead of four at \( Re = 60 \). Figure 8 displays the same map but constructed from 3D simulations. The limits of the flow regimes do not coincide with those obtained for the 2D case, but the general trend looks similar. The most important difference from the 2D map is the appearance of 3D structures of the flow field for \( Re \geq 50 \). For \( \alpha \leq 15^\circ \), no vortex; for \( \alpha = 40^\circ \), two vortices; for \( \alpha = 60^\circ \), three vortices; and for \( \alpha = 90^\circ \), four vortices. In this section, we present maps of 2D and 3D flow regimes in the range of values investigated: \( 15^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 90^\circ \) and \( 1 \leq Re \leq 80 \).

Overall, the flow velocity maps obtained in the present section agree qualitatively with the distributions of the flow between the two outlets reported previously\(^\text{(15)}\) for X-shaped junctions of channels with square cross sections. These authors had also noted experimentally the appearance of 3D structures of the flow field for \( Re \geq 50 \). For \( \alpha = 90^\circ \), the present results at such Reynolds numbers are qualitatively similar to those obtained by other authors\(^\text{(15)}\) for rectangular channels and will be compared to them in Sec. VI. Regarding this latter work, we performed one validation test in the same geometry as these authors for \( Re = 60 \) which displayed an excellent agreement with both their numerical and experimental results.
high α’s and Re’s of a region where the axis of the vortices is not parallel to the z-direction but to the axis of the outlet channels (red symbols in Fig. 8). The maximum number of vortices is three in this diagram while for 2D up to four vortices were observed.

IV. AXIAL AND TRANSVERSE VORTICITY COMPONENTS

One of the most striking features of the previous results, with strong relevance to mixing processes, is the transition from vortices of axis perpendicular to the junction plane (observed for most values of the angle α) to vortices of axis parallel to that of the outlet channels (observed for high α and Re values, typically Re ≥ 50 and α ≥ 65°).

In order to analyze quantitatively this transition as well as the structure of the flow at low angles, we studied the variation of the volume averaged z-vorticity component ⟨ωz⟩ and of the volume averaged axial vorticity ⟨ωax⟩ with α and Re. Note that by symmetry, the global contributions of the Poiseuille components of the flow at both outlets cancel. Thus, ⟨ωz⟩ characterizes the nonsymmetrical contributions to the vorticity [Eq. (5)]. In the case of ⟨ωz⟩, we have also compared the variations obtained from 2D and 3D simulations (⟨ωax⟩ is, of course, zero in the 2D case).

Figure 9(a) displays the variations of ⟨ωax⟩ (3D) as a function of Re for different angles. For α = 90°, ⟨ωax⟩ increases "suddenly" at Re ∼ 50 from 0.02 s⁻¹ to 0.15 s⁻¹ and, then, continues increasing linearly with Re. This increment corresponds to the appearance of an axial vortex at Re ∼ 48, as shown in Fig. 8. For smaller α’s, the trend is similar, but Re increases as α decreases and the variation close to Re is smoother. One notices that for α = 40°, ⟨ωax⟩ is negligible over the whole range of Re values explored. When ⟨ωax⟩ is nonzero, its value for a given Re increases with α. Finally, the axial vorticity due to the swirling motion decreases with the down-flow distance due to viscous damping so that most of the contribution to the average comes from the vicinity of the crossing region.

Figures 9(b) and 9(c) display the variation of the transverse vorticity ⟨ωz⟩ with Re for 3D and 2D simulations, respectively.

FIG. 9. Variations of the averages ⟨ωax⟩ and ⟨ωz⟩ of the axial [(a) 3D simulations] and transverse [(b) 3D] and [(c) 2D] vorticities as a function of Re for different angles: α = 90° (empty squares), α = 69° (empty circles), α = 65° (empty upward triangles), and α = 40° (empty downward triangles). The vorticities are averaged over the volume Vout defined in Fig. 1.
V. FLOW STRUCTURE AT LOW JUNCTION ANGLES

Here, we investigate 3D flow at low angles: this is a particularly interesting case because the flow structure is more similar to the 2D one, particularly at low Reynolds numbers.

Figure 11 displays the streamlines corresponding to $\alpha = 15^\circ$ at $Re = 5$ and $Re = 60$. Streamlines are plotted at three different heights to depict the internal flow structure. At a low $Re = 5$, the 3D streamline pattern closely resembles that observed in the 2D case and the flow field has an essentially 2D configuration at each height [Fig. 11(c)]. Two vortices with closed streamlines separate the two fluids, as can be seen in Fig. 11(a). The 3D effect is only shown in Fig. 11(e) by the small curvature in the $y$-$z$ plane of the upper and lower sets of streamlines. The recirculation is localized in the center zone of the junction and occupies a small part of the volume of the intersection region. For the same values of $\alpha$ and $Re$, we observed in the 2D simulations the formation of three vortices instead of two here (see Fig. 7).

At $Re = 60$, the flow structure is much more three-dimensional even though, in the intersection zone, fluid particles move
essentially in planes parallel to (x, y). The flow displays only one vortex in the center of the junction and two vortical structures on each side [Fig. 11(b)]. The central vortex retains a 2D configuration of closed streamline layers [see Fig. 11(f)], while the two side vortices present open streamlines and occupy a large part of the junction. These vortical structures are formed of entering streamlines that move toward the center of the junction after impacting the lateral wall in the outlet branch. These streamlines eventually leave the vortex and join the main flow near the outlet. A 3D flow pattern is observed in these two vortices in the region close to the mean flow, where the z-coordinate of the streamlines varies [Fig. 11(d)].

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The present numerical study has characterized extensively the variations of the velocity and vorticity fields in X-shaped junctions with their angle \( \alpha \) and the Reynolds number \( \text{Re} \). A first important result is that axial vortical structures [Fig. 6(b)] of axis parallel to that of the outlet tubes, in addition to being only present in 3D simulations, appear only at large values of \( \alpha \) and \( \text{Re} \). A major characteristic of these structures is that they may strongly enhance the efficiency of mixing in the junctions as will be shown now.

Figure 12 displays the distribution of the two fluids in an outlet section (in green in Fig. 1) for several values of \( \alpha \) and \( \text{Re} = 10 \) or 60 (thumbnail pictures). The red and blue domains in the figures correspond to zones where the section is intersected by flow-lines corresponding to either the “red” or the “blue” fluid. For \( \text{Re} = 10 \), at low angles \( \alpha \), a dominant fraction of the outlet area is occupied by one of the fluids while the two fractions are of the same order at large angles. In both cases, the geometry of the boundary is a smooth, low curvature line: mixing of the two fluids would require, even for \( \alpha = 90^\circ \), molecular diffusion over a distance of the order of the tube radius or more. At \( \text{Re} = 60 \), at low angles, the geometry of the interface is more complex but there is still a very dominant fraction of one of the fluids and mixing requires molecular diffusion along a large distance and remains inefficient. At large angles, instead, the interface takes a spiral like geometry favoring mixing: it is produced by the axial vorticity which has a large value in these two cases as shown in Fig. 10.

A simple quantitative characterization of these effects is provided by the variation with \( \alpha \) and \( \text{Re} \) of the dimensionless length \( l_c/d \) of the boundary between the regions occupied by the two fluids (blue and red on the thumbnail pictures). For \( \text{Re} = 10 \) (gray filled upward triangles), we have \( l_c/d \approx 1 \) due to the smooth, low curvature geometry of the interface. For \( \text{Re} = 60 \) (gray filled circles), \( l_c/d \) is larger
than for $Re = 10$ due to the more complex interface geometry but remains of the order of 2 for $\alpha \leq 60^\circ$. Instead, at higher angles, $l/d$ increases sharply up to 7 for $\alpha \leq 90^\circ$. This increase reflects the spiral geometry resulting from the large axial vorticity and will increase strongly the efficiency of mixing in practical cases. When molecular diffusion is present, the diffusion distance necessary to obtain a homogeneous mixing is of the order of the thickness of the arms of the spirals and is much smaller than when they are not present. The present choice of X-shaped junctions with two inlet channels facing each other (respectively, two outlet channels) favors the appearance, at high $\alpha$ and $Re$ values, of axial vorticity (enhancing mixing) due to the location of the flow stagnation points away from the walls. For T-shaped junctions and $Re \leq 150$, the symmetry of the flow is different and the stagnation points are located near the wall facing the outlet. Swirling takes then place as pairs of vortices, each one involving only one of the fluids, with a limited influence on mixing or related processes.

In the present work, axial vortices appear in the upper range of junction angles ($\alpha \geq 65^\circ$) and Reynolds numbers investigated ($Re \geq 50$ in Fig. 8). The emergence of these vortices is detected from the variations of the axial vorticity ($\omega_{axial}$) averaged over the outlet volume $V_{out}$; this latter definition cancels the influence of the axial vorticity component due to the Poiseuille flow and makes ($\omega_{axial}$) very sensitive to the onset of axial vortex structures, as seen in Figs. 9(a) and 10(a). The critical number $Re_\alpha$ of this instability is determined from the variation of ($\omega_{axial}$) with $Re$ at a constant $\alpha$: it decreases as $\alpha$ increases down to $Re_{\alpha} = 50$ for $\alpha = 90^\circ$. The increase in the axial vorticity with $Re$ above the threshold is abrupt at this angle [Fig. 9(a)] and smoother at lower $\alpha$. The values of $Re_{\alpha}$ for the present X-shaped junctions are always lower than the corresponding ones in T-shaped junctions.

It is interesting to compare these results to those of Haward et al. obtained in junctions of channels of rectangular cross sections with different aspect ratios and $\alpha = 90^\circ$. These authors characterize the amplitude of this instability by the ratio $\psi$ of the maximum along the axis ($z$) of the transverse velocity component $v_y$ and the mean velocity $V_m$ in the outlet (see Fig. 1). Their results are plotted as open symbols in Fig. 13. We have superimposed in the same graph data which we obtained in a similar way (see the figure caption) for $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $75^\circ$, and $69^\circ$. We note that in all cases, $\psi$ is nonzero at $Re = Re_c$, implying that one deals with a subcritical instability, like for $\frac{dl}{du} > 0.55$ in Ref. 16: this is a logical result, particularly for $\alpha = 90^\circ$, if one approximates the tubes by rectangular channels with $\frac{dl}{du} = 1$.

As commonly done for subcritical instabilities, the points corresponding to $\varepsilon < 0$ are obtained by letting first $Re$ reach a value larger than $Re_c$, letting the instability develop, and then, reducing $Re$ to the desired value lower than $Re_c$.

For $\alpha = 90^\circ$ and $75^\circ$, the variations of $\varepsilon$ with $\psi$ are well fitted (continuous lines) in our experimental range by the polynomial variation found by Haward et al. Moreover, these variations are qualitatively similar to those obtained for $\frac{dl}{du} = 1$ and 0.75 implying a similar type of instability in spite of the difference of the control parameters. For $\alpha = 69^\circ$, the variation is only well fitted up to $\varepsilon \sim 0.15$ and is then much slower. This variation is also very different from those obtained by Haward et al. for $\frac{dl}{du} = 0.6$ and $\frac{dl}{du} = 0.5$. This difference is likely due to the fact that in this range of angles, the vorticities ($\omega_{axial}$) and ($\omega_c$) become of the same order of magnitude.

The second important set of quantitative results provided by the simulations is the compared variations of the transverse vorticity ($\omega_c$) with $\alpha$ and $Re$ in the 2D and 3D cases. As mentioned in Sec. 1, an important issue is whether 2D simulations can represent a less expensive alternative to 3D ones in some ranges of values of $\alpha$ and $Re$.

For practical applications, unlike axial vortices, vortices of axis perpendicular to the plane of the junction do not enhance mixing but, instead, keep the flows of the two fluids separate because they bounce back directly into the nearest channel after entering the junction. If only one vortex is present like in Fig. 4(a), mixing only takes place if both fluids diffuse into the vortex and mix there: this will be quite slow but may be of practical interest in the case of reactive fluids requiring a rather long residence time to interact. Such exchange processes were previously studied for recirculations created by cellular instabilities. When the junction angle is further reduced, additional vortices appear in the central region of the junction so that it is even more difficult to mix the incoming fluids. If, instead, the angle $\alpha$ is larger than a critical value $\alpha_c(Re)$, there are no vortices (see Figs. 7 and 8) and the two fluids flow side-by-side in the outlet channels: mixing may then be achieved through transverse molecular diffusion across the interface which remains a slow process but may be enhanced by axial vorticity (only in the 3D case) and/or time dependent flow components.

At low Reynolds numbers and angles $\alpha$, the structure of 3D flows in junctions has been shown to be nearly bidimensional, as can
be seen in Figs. 11(a), 11(c), and 11(e) for $\alpha = 15^\circ$ and $Re = 5$. However, the comparison of the 2D and 3D flow regime maps (Figs. 7 and 8) shows that even at these low Reynolds numbers, the number of transverse vortices corresponding to a given set of values of $Re$ and $\alpha$ is generally lower in the 3D case than in the 2D one. Similarly, the critical angles of transition between different numbers of transverse vortices are significantly lower in 3D than in 2D. When the Reynolds number increases, the 3D flow fields differ still more from 2D ones due to the increasingly 3D structure of the streamlines, as seen, for $Re = 60$, in Figs. 5(a)–5(c) and Figs. 11(b), 11(d), and 11(f). Finally, the flow separation vortices appearing in the outlet channels downstream of the junction are much larger in the 2D case [Figs. 2(d) and 4(d)].

Regarding the quantitative variations of the vorticity components, $(\omega_x)$ decreases steadily toward a low value in a similar way in the 2D and 3D cases as $a$ increases from $15^\circ$ to $60^\circ$; this latter limit is close to the angle at which, in 3D, $(\omega_{x,\text{aux}})$ starts to increase from zero when an axial swirl appears. This suggests that the two phenomena might be related in the 3D case and might reflect a variation of the tilt angle of the vorticity with $Re$: further studies would be needed to test these hypotheses. Above $\alpha = 60^\circ$, $(\omega_x)$ increases in both cases, but more weakly in 3D, and reaches a shallow maximum. On the other hand, the dependence of $(\omega_x)$ on $Re$ is remarkably different in the 2D and 3D simulations. While for 2D $(\omega_x)$ increases always steadily with $Re$, its variation in the 3D geometry depends on $\alpha$. At small angles for which $(\omega_{x,\text{aux}}) = 0$ at all $Re$’s investigated, the behaviors in the 2D and 3D cases are similar. Instead, for larger angles at which $(\omega_{x,\text{aux}})$ is not negligible, $(\omega_x)$ retains a low value up to $Re = 50$ and increases sharply at higher $Re$’s. Finally, $(\omega_x)$ is negligible at $\alpha = 90^\circ$ for all $Re$’s in both 2D and 3D simulations. This suggests an influence of the 3D nature of the flow on $(\omega_x)$ even at moderate Reynolds numbers and an influence of the axial component of the vorticity when it appears.

Generally, the comparison of 2D and 3D simulations has shown that several features of the flow and of the vorticity $(\omega_x)$ are qualitatively similar in the 2D and 3D simulations, particularly at low enough $a$ and $Re$. However, many quantitative characteristics such as the variations of the transverse vorticity and the detailed map of existence of the different flow regimes differ significantly in the two types of simulations. These comparisons show therefore that while 2D simulations may provide simple models of physical transport mechanisms in junctions, they cannot make valid quantitative predictions even at low Reynolds numbers.

The present work uses junctions of circular channels relevant to many applications; it will be interesting to perform the same 3D simulations for junctions of channels with square or rectangular sections representative of some microfluidic circuits. In addition to these latter applications, this will allow one to determine whether a part of the difference between the variations of $(\omega_x)$ in the 2D and 3D cases might be due to the use of a circular section (a rectangular or square one might give results more similar to the 2D ones). The Reynolds number has also been limited to low and moderate values ($Re \leq 90$) at which flows remain time independent, even though inertia plays an important part, as shown by the appearance of strongly tridimensional structures. It will be important, in future work, to determine the threshold for the onset of time dependent flows which, in particular, may enhance the interpenetration of the different fluids involved.\cite{25,26}
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