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Distinct patterns of pigment development underlie convergent hyperpigmentation between nocturnal and diurnal geckos (Squamata: Gekkota)

Aaron H. Griffing¹, Tony Gamble¹,²,³ and Aaron M. Bauer⁴*

Abstract

Background: Evolutionary transitions in temporal niche necessitates specialized morphology, physiology, and behaviors. Diurnal, heliothermic squamates (lizards and snakes) that bask require protection from ultraviolet radiation (UV) that can damage internal organs such as the brain, viscera, and gonads. Many smaller squamates have accomplished this protection by hyperpigmentation of the peritoneum and subcutaneous dorsum. Typically, nocturnal species do not require these protections from ultraviolet light. However, some nocturnal species that exhibit extreme crypsis may be exposed to sunlight and UV and require some means of mediating that damage. One such species is Gekko (Ptychozoon) kuhli, a nocturnal, arboreal gecko that uses extreme crypsis to blend in with tree bark. Hiding motionless on tree trunks leaves geckos exposed to sunlight during the day. Thus, we predict that G. kuhli will have independently evolved a hyperpigmented phenotype. To investigate this hypothesized association between temporal niche, behavior, and morphology, we characterized adult subcutaneous pigment for eight gecko species and embryonic pigment accumulation for a subset of four of these species, exhibiting diverse temporal niche and thermoregulatory behaviors. We predicted that nocturnal/potentially-heliothermic G. kuhli would exhibit hyperpigmentation of internal structures like that of diurnal/heliothermic geckos. We further predicted that embryonic pigment accumulation of G. kuhli would resemble that of diurnal/heliothermic as opposed to nocturnal/thigmothermic geckos.

Results: We found that temporal niche and thermoregulatory behavior predicted the degree of subcutaneous pigment in the eight gecko species examined. We demonstrate that G. kuhli accumulates pigment extremely early in embryonic development, unlike a diurnal/heliothermic gecko species, despite having a similar adult phenotype.

Conclusions: The evolution of hyperpigmentation in G. kuhli is likely an adaptation to limit damage from occasional daytime UV exposure caused by crypsis-associated basking behavior. Gekko kuhli achieves its hyperpigmented phenotype through a derived developmental pattern, not seen in any other lizard species investigated to date, suggesting novel temporal differences in the migration and/or differentiation of reptilian neural crest derivatives.

Keywords: Crypsis, Embryology, Gekkonidae, Melanophore, Squamate, Temporal niche

* Correspondence: aaron.bauer@villanova.edu

© The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.
Background
Temporal niche, also known as diel activity niche, is an important aspect of the biology of an organism, necessitating the evolution of specialized morphology, physiology, ecology, and behavior (e.g. [19, 41, 56, 72]). For example, many diurnal ectotherms thermoregulate through basking behavior (i.e. heliothermy), whereas nocturnal ectotherms thermoregulate through contact with surfaces of different temperatures (i.e. thigmothermy; [1, 16, 51]). Temporal niche appears to be phylogenetically conserved across major tetrapod clades [2] and thus many adaptations to specific temporal niches (diurnal, nocturnal, crepuscular, or cathemeral) are shared among closely related species. Despite its conservation in tetrapod evolutionary history [2], several squamate clades do exhibit temporal niche turnover. The crown group of geckos (Infraorder Gekkota) are hypothesized to be ancestrally nocturnal, with reversals to diurnal temporal niche occurring in at least 10 lineages [2, 24, 76]. Many of these lineages exhibit an array of diurnal-specialized adaptations, most notably eye morphologies, with oil droplets which aid in light filtering and spectral tuning [9, 55, 70, 76], concaviclivate temporal fovea to aid in binocular vision [57, 71], and ovoid retinal pigmented epithelia (RPE) to aid in light filtering and absorption [31, 65].

Another phenotype that is typically correlated with diurnal temporal niche in vertebrates is the hyperpigmentation of internal structures, such as the overlying connective tissues of the brain, gonads, subcutaneous dorsum, and peritoneum [13, 34, 39, 50]. These dense collections of melanophores are hypothesized to protect internal structures from injuries and mutagenic UV radiation, which heliotherms encounter more frequently than thigmotherms [12, 13, 38, 50, 52]. Though heliothermy is correlated with hyperpigmentation of internal structures, some gecko species exhibit a disconnect between thermoregulatory behavior and temporal niche. For example, Sphaerodactylus geckos (Sphaerodactylidae) are primarily diurnal, but are active underneath leaf-litter and are thus thigmothermic [33]. Alternatively, Strophurus geckos (Diplodactylidae) are primarily nocturnal, yet occasionally bask during daylight hours [25]. This “occasionally-heliothermic” classification is supported by Strophurus exhibiting hyperpigmented peritonea [25].

Parachute geckos (Subgenus Ptychozoon) of the genus Gekko comprise 12 described species which inhabit dipterocarp forests of southeast Asia [11, 32, 68, 81]. This clade is characterized, in part, by a suite of specialized traits, including expanded trunk folds, expanded caudolateral folds, and elaborate interdigital webbing, which allow for a gliding predator escape behavior [8]. Following Russell’s [59] step-wise hypothesis, gliding behavior through these elaborate cutaneous folds was exapted from use of the folds to reduce shadows (i.e. cryptic behavior) and thus, in conjunction with cryptic coloration, conceal the animal from predators [5, 28–30, 49, 58, 64, 67, 73]. Though chiefly nocturnal, Gekko (Ptychozoon) kuhlii can occasionally be found on exposed tree trunks and branches during the day [28–30, 66]. This is likely a byproduct of cryptic behavior, as remaining motionless on tree trunks and branches throughout the day may result in exposure to direct sunlight and suggests an occasionally-heliothermic thermoregulatory classification. As mentioned previously, prolonged exposure to direct sunlight necessitates adaptations to tolerate higher temperatures and increased UV. We therefore hypothesize that behavioral crypsis, as implemented by G. kuhlii, can lead to occasional heliothermy and the correlated phenotypic changes despite exhibiting a nocturnal temporal niche. To further investigate this hypothesized association between temporal niche, behavior, and pigment phenotype, we qualitatively characterized subcutaneous (fascial, visceral, and peritoneal) pigment for eight gecko species exhibiting diverse temporal niche and thermoregulatory behaviors. We predicted that nocturnal/potentially-heliothermic G. kuhlii would exhibit hyperpigmentation of internal structures like that of diurnal/heliothermic geckos. Furthermore, to characterize patterns of pigment accumulation through embryonic development, we examined embryos at various stages of development from four gecko species exhibiting all combinations of temporal niche and thermoregulatory character states. We predicted that embryonic pigment accumulation of G. kuhlii should also resemble that of diurnal/heliothermic as opposed to nocturnal/thigmothermic geckos.

Results
Adult nocturnal/thigmothermic species exhibited no pigment on the subcutaneous dorsal fascial surface (Fig. 1). Of these five species, only Hemidactylus plathyurus exhibits pigment on the inside of the body cavity — the gonadal serosa is lightly pigmented, the peritoneum is lightly pigmented, and the intestinal serosa is black (Fig. 2; Table 1). The only diurnal/thigmothermic species, Sphaerodactylus leonardovaldesi, exhibits no pigment on the subcutaneous dorsal fascial surface, with the exception of a lightly pigmented area posterior to the parietals (Fig. 1). Internally, S. leonardovaldesi exhibits a lightly pigmented peritoneum and liver (Fig. 2; Table 1). The diurnal/heliothermic Phelsuma laticauda exhibits a black subcutaneous dorsal fascia surface along the skull, through the parietal region and along the trunk, shifting from black to dark pigmentation near the pelvic region (Fig. 1). Internally, P. laticauda exhibits a
lightly pigmented liver, darkly pigmented gonadal serosa, both light and black areas of the peritoneum, and black intestinal serosa (Fig. 2; Table 1). Finally, the nocturnal/potentially-heliothermic *Gekko kuhli* exhibits a black subcutaneous dorsal fascial surface along the trunk and a darkly pigmented parietal region and remaining skull (Fig. 1). Internally, *G. kuhli* exhibits a lightly pigmented peritoneum and no pigment on the remaining viscera Fig. 2; (Table 1).

The first external pigment cells to accumulate in all gecko embryos are restricted to the RPE (Fig. 3 [31];). Accumulation of melanophores, outside of the RPE, during embryonic development of *G. kuhli* begins shortly after oviposition Stage 29 (i.e. mid-limb bud stage; Fig. 3a,b). These initial sparse accumulations are located in the epidermis along the dorsum, outside of the developing optic tectum, and adjacent to the eye (Fig. 3a,b). At Stage 30, sparse melanophore accumulation spreads over the pharyngeal arches and the majority of the craniofacial region (Fig. 3a,b). By Stage 31, sparse accumulation has reached the forelimbs and the pigment accumulation along the
dorsum and craniofacial region is more dense (Fig. 3a,b). From Stage 31 to Stage 36, melanophore accumulation becomes denser and covers the entire surface of the embryo and begins to resemble the color pattern of near-hatchling G. kuhli: little pigment on the ventral surface, dense pigment on the dorsum creating faint chevron patterns, and dense pigment adjacent to the eye forming a dorsolateral stripe (Fig. 3a–c). By comparison, embryos of L. lugubris do not exhibit visible melanophores outside of the RPE during equivalent stages of development (Stages 29–36; Fig. 3d–f). Indeed, regardless of temporal niche or basking behavior, all gecko embryos examined, with the exception of G. kuhli, lacked visible accumulation of pigment outside of the RPE until Stage 38–39 (Fig. 4). Sparse pigment accumulates along the center of the dorsum in Stage 38, and eventually spreads to the craniofacial region in Stage 39 (Fig. 4). During Stage 39, the pigment faintly resembles the eventual pattern of the near-hatchling animal and is colocated with the epidermal papillae that will give rise to scales (i.e. Stage 42; Fig. 4).

Discussion
As predicted, G. kuhli exhibits darkly pigmented to black subcutaneous dorsal fascia while none of the other nocturnal gecko species examined exhibit dorsal fascia pigmentation (Fig. 1). As expected, and similar to G. kuhli, the diurnal/heliothermic gecko, P. laticauda, also exhibits black dorsal fascia pigmentation (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the diurnal/thigmothermic gecko, S. leonardovaldesi exhibits an intermediate phenotype: light dorsal fascia pigmentation near the braincase (Fig. 1). The only previous in-depth
investigations into gecko subcutaneous pigmentation was performed by Duncker [20–22], who examined 20 species. Duncker, who noted the extreme pigmentation of *Phelsuma* spp., also described fascial pigmentation in the largely nocturnal but often heliothermic *Tarentola* spp. [61] as well as pigmented nervous and vascular tissue of the largely nocturnal but heliothermic *Ptyodactylus hasselquistii* [3, 79]. The peritonea and the serosa of various visceral elements are pigmented in *G. kuhli*, *P. laticauda*, and *S. leonardovaldesi*. Duncker [21] reported pigmented intestine of *G. kuhli*, though we did not find this. There are multiple explanations for this discrepancy. First, Duncker’s *G. kuhli* specimens may represent a different species from the *G. kuhli* specimens we examined, and *G. kuhli*, like many other species in the genus, may be a species complex comprised of multiple undescribed taxa [11, 17]. Second, *G. kuhli* is a widespread species in Southeast Asia [11] and there may be intraspecific, regional variation. Interestingly, the gonads, intestines, and peritoneum of *H. platyurus* are pigmented. *Hemidactylus platyurus*, similar to *G. kuhli*, is known to parachute, use elaborate body folds to aid in cryptic behavior, and is occasionally known to bask [35, 59, 62, 64, 69], supporting the hypothesis that nocturnal geckos with cryptic diurnal behavior are exposed to ultraviolet radiation more frequently than other nocturnal gecko species and therefore require specialized protection. Indeed, the nocturnal and behaviorally cryptic, *Uroplatus fimbriatus* exhibits pigmentation in the digestive tract and the cloaca [77]. These hyperpigmented patterns represent similar evolutionary routes to protect the various internal delicate organs from UV and suggests species can take similar evolutionary paths to achieve similar functional goals in different structures [7, 44, 75]. When compared to *G. kuhli*, the lower degree of subcutaneous pigmentation exhibited by *H. platyurus* may be explained by behavioral differences between the species. Though *H. platyurus* is indeed behaviorally cryptic, anecdotal evidence suggests its behavioral cryptis is less effective than that of *G. kuhli* [64]. Taylor [66] noted that *Gekko (Pychozoon) lionotus* can be reluctant to move from their cryptic positions and will flee only following “considerable disturbance,” whereas *H. platyurus* flee from similar positions with little disturbance [62]. Field observations also suggest that *H. platyurus* regularly use crevices in trees, rocks, gardens, and houses near human activity as day-time hiding locations ([10, 64, 66]; pers. observation in Philippines by AHG and TG) and are less likely to be exposed during the day compared to *G. kuhli*. This preliminary association between cryptic behavior and hyperpigmented phenotype, though promising, requires further corroboration through robust taxon sampling.

Vertebrate pigment cells are ultimately derived from neural crest cells, which begin migrating from the neural tube during the 6–9 somite stage in *Chamaeleo calyptratus* [18, 40]. In avian reptiles and mammals, these unpigmented precursor cells migrate to the epidermis where mature melanocytes synthesize pigment which can then be deposited to epidermal appendages such as hair or feathers [63, 82].

### Table 1 Hyperpigmentation in geckos

| Species         | Temporal niche / thermoregulatory behavior | Fascial Pigment (Anterior, Posterior) | Peritoneal Pigment | Visceral Pigment                        |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|
| *G. kuhli*      | N/H                                       | 2, 3                                 | 1                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |
| *G. badenii*    | N/T                                       | 0, 0                                 | 0                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |
| *L. lugubris*   | N/T                                       | 0, 0                                 | 0                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |
| *H. frenatus*   | N/T                                       | 0, 0                                 | 0                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |
| *H. platyurus*  | N/T                                       | 0, 0                                 | 1                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (3), gonads (1) |
| *P. laticauda*  | D/H                                       | 3, 3                                 | 1–3                | liver (1), stomach/ intestines (3), gonads (2) |
| *S. leonardovaldesi* | D/T                       | 1, 0                                 | 1                  | liver (1), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |
| *C. brevis*     | N/T                                       | 0, 0                                 | 0                  | liver (0), stomach/ intestines (0), gonads (0) |

Pigment levels are coded as follows: 0, no melanophores or no pigment; 1, scattered melanophores or lightly pigmented; 2, many melanophores or darkly pigmented; and 3, opaque coating of melanophores or black. D, diurnal; H, heliothermic; N, nocturnal; T, thigmothermic. Names of organs are listed with their associated serosal pigment level.
Alternatively, non-avian reptiles, amphibians, and fishes produce three common types of chromatophores (xanthophores, iridophores, or melanophores) as well as more phylogenetically restricted pigment cell types (e.g. cyanophores, leucophores), for which developmental trajectories are still not well understood [4, 23, 36, 48]. Despite this diversity, there is considerable conservation in molecular pathways responsible for melanocyte and chromatophore development [15, 47, 48]. The overall spatial pattern of pigment accumulation exhibited by G. kuhli appears similar to the other gecko species examined — pigment accumulates along the epidermis overlaying the developing brain and the dorsum, adjacent to the anterior portion of the neural tube. However, the early onset temporal pattern of pigment development exhibited by G. kuhli has not been described in any other gecko species to date [26, 31, 37, 43, 45, 74, 78, 80, 83], let alone other lizard species (e.g. [18, 42, 46, 53]). Heterochrony, specifically an early onset of melanophore migration, maturation, or pigment production, may explain the hyperpigmented adult phenotype of G. kuhli (Fig. 3). However, the same cannot be said for the hyperpigmented adult phenotype of P. laticauda or the intermediate pigmented phenotype of S. macrolepis (Fig. 4) highlighting how distinct developmental programs can lead to convergent phenotypes [60, 75]. Further studies of squamate

Fig. 3 Embryonic comparison between two nocturnal gekkonids: potentially heliothermic, cryptic Gekko kuhli and thigmothermic, non-cryptic Lepidodactylus lugubris. Note the early accumulation of dorsal and craniofacial pigment in G. kuhli while none is visible in L. lugubris. Row a Lateral view of whole G. kuhli embryos, stages 29–36. Row b Lateral view of G. kuhli embryos craniofacial region, stages 29–33. Row c Lateral view of G. kuhli embryos craniofacial region, stages 34–36. Row d Lateral view of whole L. lugubris embryos, stages 29–36. Row e Lateral view of L. lugubris embryos craniofacial region, stages 29–33. Row f Lateral view of L. lugubris embryos craniofacial region, stages 34–36. White arrows indicate area of pigment accumulation. Scale bars = 2 mm.
neural crest development are necessary to investigate interspecific variation in melanophore migration, specifically with regards to hyperpigmented peritonea or dorsal fascia [18, 54].

Conclusions
Herein we propose the hypothesis that behavioral crypsis can lead to situations which require heliothermic adaptation. *Gekko kuhli*, a nocturnal gliding gecko with behavioral crypsis, exhibits a degree of subcutaneous pigmentation that is typically only seen in diurnal/heliothermic geckos such as *Phelsuma* spp. Another behaviorally cryptic, nocturnal gecko, *H. platyurus*, exhibits similar elaborate pigmentation on some viscera but not the dorsal fascia. Further investigations into this connection between thermoregulatory behavior and pigment phenotypes should test whether *G. kuhli* and *H. platyurus* can tolerate higher temperatures and are exposed to less ultraviolet damage than sister taxa with less pigment. Furthermore, *G. kuhli* appears to exhibit hyperpigmentation throughout most of postovipositional embryonic development, a developmental pattern which differs from other geckos, including heliothermic species. Due to this unique pattern, we suggest *G. kuhli* as a model to study temporal changes to typical reptilian patterns of neural crest derivative migration.

Methods
We qualitatively characterized subcutaneous (fascial, visceral, and peritoneal) pigment for six gekkonid gecko species exhibiting a diversity of temporal niche and thermoregulatory behaviors: *Gekko kuhli* (nocturnal/
potentially-heliothermic), Gekko badenii (nocturnal/thigmothermic), Lepidodactylus lugubris (nocturnal/thigmothermic), Hemidactylus frenatus (nocturnal/thigmothermic), Hemidactylus platynus (nocturnal/thigmothermic), and Phelsuma laticauda (diurnal/heliothermic). This taxon sampling allows us to compare dorsal fascial pigmentation of 3 of the 4 possible character state combinations and spanning the diversity of the Gekkonidae [24]. We also compare two outgroups: one sphaerodactyloid (Sphaerodactylus leonardovaldesi) and one eublepharid (Coleonyx brevis) which exhibit diurnal/thigmothermic and nocturnal/thigmothermic charter states, respectively. Each individual (N = 8) was euthanized humanely using MS222 following Conroy et al. [14], skinned and eviscerated to reveal subcutaneous pigmented structures, and finally observed and photographed using a Nikon SMZ 74ST stereoscope. We characterized degree of pigmentation following Bauer [6]: no melanophores (no pigment), scattered melanophores present (lightly pigmented), many melanophores present (darkly pigmented), and complete opaque coating of melanophores present (black).

We collected eggs from captive colonies of four gecko species exhibiting all combinations of character states to observe embryonic patterns of pigment development: 49 embryos of G. kuhli (nocturnal/potentially-heliothermic), 141 embryos of L. lugubris (nocturnal/thigmothermic), 13 embryos of P. laticauda (diurnal/heliothermic), and 26 embryos of Sphaerodactylus macrolepis (diurnal/thigmothermic). Because embryos of S. leonardoveldesi were unavailable, we collected embryos of S. macrolepis as a congeneric proxy. We collected embryos (N = 229) following protocols described by Griffin et al. [27]. To briefly summarize, we removed embryos from eggs using #5 watchmaker’s forceps while immersed in diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) treated, RNase free 1% phosphate-buffered saline, and visualized and photographed using a Nikon SMZ 74ST stereoscope. As geckos exhibit interspecific variation between the precise time points (days post-oviposition; DPO) of developmental stages (Noro et al., 2009 [26, 37, 74, 80, 83]), we discretized and assigned developmental stages based on external morphology using previous embryonic staging series of geckos rather than characterizing by DPO [26, 80].
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