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\textbf{Abstract}
Objectives: The objectives of this study were to measure pharmacists’ attitudes toward management of diabetes, identify current levels of pharmacy service provided to patients with diabetes, and identify barriers for further provision of diabetes-related services. Subjects and Methods: A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted on a sample of 198 pharmacists working in primary and secondary health care settings in one health region of Kuwait using a pretested self-administered questionnaire. Descriptive statistics, correlations, and comparative analysis were performed. Results: The response rate was 84.4% (n = 168). Respondents had overall positive attitudes toward management of diabetes. Pharmacists regularly provided their patients with counseling on the appropriate time to administer their medications; however, services related to hypoglycemia and management of comorbid diseases were rarely provided. A negative correlation was found between the positive overall diabetes-related attitudes and pharmacists’ involvement in providing the following diabetes-related services: glucose monitoring (r = −0.25, p = 0.001), comorbid disease management (r = −0.243, p = 0.001), and healthy living choices (r = −0.237, p = 0.002). The perception that some physicians and patients have of pharmacists as dispensers only was identified as the most important barrier to providing diabetes-related services. Conclusion: Pharmacists have positive diabetes-related attitudes; however, they provide limited diabetes-related services to their patients. Barriers to provision of pharmacy services to patients with diabetes should be addressed to enable optimum patient care delivery.

\textbf{Introduction}

International standards for diabetes care have recognized the crucial role of pharmacists in diabetes management [1, 2]. Pharmacists may provide many services beyond dispensing medications, including management of medication therapy, reconciliation of medication, preventive care services such as screening and immunization, as well as education and behavioral counseling [1, 2]. Collaboration among physicians, nurses, dieticians, and pharmacists is required to ensure optimum patient care and adequate diabetes management [3–6]. Health
care settings that adopt a multidisciplinary team approach in the management of diabetes improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness of diabetes care [3, 4]. The interventions of pharmacists have been proven to improve glycemic control [3, 5, 6], empower patients to self-management [7], and increase patients’ satisfaction and quality of life [8–10].

A report from the National Diabetes Commission suggested that an inappropriate attitude of health care professionals toward diabetes could lead to poor patient outcomes [11]. This led to the development of the Diabetes Attitudes Scale (DAS) in 1989 [12]. The DAS was designed to measure diabetes-related attitudes/beliefs, and following several modifications is now in its third version [13]. Previous studies had indicated that pharmacists’ attitudes toward diabetes are generally positive [14–16]; however, this might not correlate with the degree of their involvement in diabetes patient education [14, 15]. The frequency of providing diabetes-related services varied throughout the different studies [15, 16]. This could be linked to the diverse practice settings, evolving role of the pharmacists, differences in teaching curricula of pharmacy schools, pharmacists becoming more involved in direct patient care, and cultural diversity. Moreover, the current literature provides limited information on types of services provided by pharmacists in different practice settings (community, primary care, and hospital) and whether the setting has an impact on attitudes [15, 16].

Although globally the pharmacist’s role has changed from dispensing to drug therapy management, the pharmacist’s role in Kuwait is still largely limited to dispensing and pharmacy administration (e.g., stock management, medication orders, and record keeping) [17]. There is a lack of clear local guidelines clarifying patient-related pharmacists’ activities. The present study was therefore designed to measure pharmacists’ attitude toward diabetes management, to identify pharmacy services that are currently provided to patients with diabetes in Kuwait, and determine whether or not these activities differ between primary and secondary health care settings, and to identify barriers to provision of additional diabetes-related services.

Subjects and Methods

Study Design and Population

A descriptive, cross-sectional survey-based study was conducted in Kuwait, a Middle-Eastern country with an estimated population of 3,065,850 people; 35.6% of whom are Kuwaitis (2011 estimate) [18]. The survey was conducted during the period of Febru-
rescored with the appropriate scale conversion so that the higher scores on all items reflected more positive attitudes towards a factor [11].

**Table 1.** Demographic and other characteristics of respondents (n = 168)

| Parameter                        | n (%) |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)                     |       |
| 20–29                           | 61 (36.3) |
| 30–39                           | 71 (42.3) |
| ≥40                             | 36 (21.4) |
| Gender                          |       |
| Male                            | 74 (44.0) |
| Female                          | 94 (56.0) |
| Years of practice               |       |
| ≤5                              | 59 (35.1) |
| 6–10                            | 32 (19.0) |
| >10                             | 77 (45.9) |
| Practice Site                   |       |
| Primary care                    | 44 (26.2) |
| Secondary care                  | 124 (73.8) |
| Educational level               |       |
| BPharm                          | 144 (85.7) |
| MPharm                          | 16 (9.5) |
| PharmD                          | 2.0 (1.2) |
| MSc                             | 5.0 (3.0) |
| PhD                             | 1 (0.6) |
| Country of graduation           |       |
| Kuwait                          | 55 (32.7) |
| Other                           | 113 (67.3) |
| Is diabetes an area that interests you? |       |
| Yes                             | 135 (80.4) |
| No                              | 33 (19.6) |
| Have you ever completed special diabetes continuing education in the past? |       |
| Yes                             | 42 (25.0) |
| No                              | 126 (75.0) |

Data Analysis

Data analysis was accomplished using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). Demographic characteristics were summarized using frequencies and percentages. Respondents’ attitudes toward diabetes were presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR). Statistical correlation analysis (Spearman’s rank correlation) was used to measure the association between diabetes attitudes and provision of diabetes services to patients. Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to evaluate the differences in medians between the groups of predictor variables, and diabetes attitudes subscales and diabetes services. Statistical significance for all analysis was defined as \( p < 0.05 \). The predictor variables were categorized as follows: (a) age: 20–29; 30–39; 40–49, and ≥50 years; (b) gender: male and female; (c) years of practice: 0–5; 6–10, and >10 years; (d) practice sites: primary care or secondary care; (e) level of education: first degree (BPharm, Mpharm, and PharmD) and postgraduate degree (MSc and PhD); (f) university: Kuwait University and non-Kuwait University graduates; (g) interest in diabetes: yes, no; and (h) special diabetes continuing education in the past: yes, no.

With regards to the diabetes-related services, the internal consistency for each group of diabetes services that pharmacists may provide to patients with diabetes was assessed using Cronbach \( \alpha \) test. The test results were as follows: 5 statements of blood glucose monitoring (0.88), 3 statements of hypoglycemia management (0.85), 10 statements of general pharmacotherapy management including insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs (0.87), 9 statements of comorbid disease management (0.92), and 4 statements of healthy living choices (0.82).

**Table 2.** Diabetes Attitude Scale-Version 3 (DAS-3) and subscale scores (n = 168)

| Items, n | Median (IQR) |
|----------|--------------|
| Total DAS-3 | 33  | 3.8 (3.6–4.0) |
| Five subsets of DAS-3 |       |
| Need for special training | 5  | 4.4 (4.0–4.8) |
| Seriousness of type 2 diabetes | 7  | 3.8 (3.3–4.1) |
| Value of tight control | 7  | 3.6 (3.2–3.9) |
| Psychosocial impact of DM | 6  | 3.8 (3.5–4.27) |
| Patient autonomy | 8  | 3.6 (3.4–3.9) |

IQR, Interquartile range.

**Results**

Of the 198 pharmacists approached, 168 (84.8%) agreed to participate and completed the questionnaire. Respondents’ demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. Of the 168 respondents, 71 (42.3%) were in the age group of 30–39 years. The majority of respondents (n = 94; 56%) were female, 135 (80.4 %) of the respondents indicated an interest in diabetes, but 126 (75%) did not complete special diabetes continuing education.

The overall DAS-3 and subsets scores are given in Table 2. In general, all the respondents expressed positive attitudes in all DAS-3 subscales. Higher positive attitudes were found among all the participants scoring high in the “need for special training in education” subscale (median 4.4, IQR [4.0–4.8]) compared to other subscales. In comparison with secondary care pharmacists, it was found that pharmacists working in primary care (n = 44, 26.2%) held significantly more positive attitudes with regards to the psychosocial impact of the diabetes subscale (p = 0.01). There were no significant differences between those working in primary and secondary care regarding the overall DAS-3 and other subscales (p > 0.05).
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Table 3. Frequency of providing diabetes-related services to patients with diabetes (n = 168)

| Services related to blood glucose monitoring | Frequency of providing this service to patients, n (%) | Median (IQR) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Counsel on the use of a blood glucose meter, including how to obtain a blood sample | Never: 64 (38.1) Rarely: 41 (24.4) Often: 37 (22.0) Always: 26 (15.5) | 2 (1–3) |
| Counsel on the appropriate times to check blood glucose | Never: 43 (25.6) Rarely: 57 (33.9) Often: 51 (30.4) Always: 17 (10.1) | 2 (1–3) |
| Counsel on the current treatment targets for blood glucose | Never: 34 (20.2) Rarely: 51 (30.4) Often: 61 (36.3) Always: 22 (13.1) | 2 (1–3) |
| Counsel on the interpretation of A1C results | Never: 52 (31.0) Rarely: 59 (35.1) Often: 47 (28.0) Always: 10 (6.0) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide drug therapy recommendations to the physician to help the patient reach blood glucose targets | Never: 47 (28.0) Rarely: 56 (33.3) Often: 38 (22.6) Always: 27 (16.1) | 2 (1–3) |
| Overall median | | 2 (1–3) |

| Services related to hypoglycemia management | Frequency of providing this service to patients, n (%) | Median (IQR) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Counsel on signs and symptoms of hypoglycemia | Never: 22 (13.1) Rarely: 59 (35.1) Often: 56 (33.3) Always: 31 (18.5) | 3 (2–3) |
| Identify possible causes of hypoglycemia | Never: 20 (11.9) Rarely: 65 (38.7) Often: 58 (34.5) Always: 25 (14.9) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide recommendations for treatment of hypoglycemia to the patient | Never: 21 (12.5) Rarely: 46 (27.4) Often: 68 (40.5) Always: 33 (19.6) | 3 (2–3) |
| Overall median | | 3 (2–3) |

| Services related to pharmacotherapy | Frequency of providing this service to patients, n (%) | Median (IQR) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Conduct a drug history, including | Prescription medications | Never: 18 (10.7) Rarely: 45 (26.8) Often: 49 (29.2) Always: 56 (33.3) | 3 (2–4) |
| Over-the-counter products | Never: 32 (19.0) Rarely: 48 (28.6) Often: 62 (36.9) Always: 26 (15.5) | 3 (2–3) |
| Herbal and natural products | Never: 38 (22.6) Rarely: 55 (32.7) Often: 51 (30.4) Always: 24 (14.3) | 2 (1–3) |
| Review the patient’s drug refill history to identify poor adherence | Never: 26 (15.5) Rarely: 51 (30.4) Often: 54 (32.1) Always: 37 (22.0) | 3 (2–3) |
| Counsel on the appropriate use of syringes and needles (e.g., sharp disposal) | Never: 18 (10.7) Rarely: 36 (21.4) Often: 71 (42.3) Always: 43 (25.6) | 3 (2–4) |
| Counsel on the appropriate use of insulin pens | Never: 9 (5.4) Rarely: 28 (16.7) Often: 74 (44.0) Always: 99 (58.9) | 4 (3–4) |
| Counsel on the appropriate storage of insulin | Never: 7 (4.2) Rarely: 21 (12.5) Often: 61 (36.3) Always: 79 (47.0) | 3 (3–4) |
| Counsel on appropriate insulin administration (mixing insulin, injection technique, timing of injection, rotation of sites) | Never: 11 (6.5) Rarely: 40 (23.8) Often: 56 (33.3) Always: 61 (36.3) | 3 (2–4) |
| Describe the appropriate time to administer each oral antidiabetic drug | Never: 5 (3.0) Rarely: 12 (7.1) Often: 52 (31.0) Always: 99 (58.9) | 4 (3–4) |
| Describe potential adverse effects of each oral antidiabetic drug | Never: 10 (6.0) Rarely: 51 (30.4) Often: 70 (41.7) Always: 37 (22.0) | 3 (2–3) |
| Overall median | | 3 (2–3) |

| Services related to comorbid disease management | Frequency of providing this service to patients, n (%) | Median (IQR) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Recommend antiplatelet therapy to the patient’s physician | Never: 70 (41.7) Rarely: 50 (29.8) Often: 31 (18.5) Always: 17 (10.1) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide education on the importance of controlling blood pressure in diabetes | Never: 26 (15.5) Rarely: 59 (35.1) Often: 58 (34.5) Always: 25 (19.9) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide drug therapy recommendations to the physician to help the patient reach blood pressure targets | Never: 55 (32.7) Rarely: 48 (28.6) Often: 46 (27.4) Always: 19 (11.3) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide education on the importance of regular screening of nephropathy (e.g., type of test, where to get the test, how often to test) | Never: 50 (29.8) Rarely: 56 (33.3) Often: 39 (23.2) Always: 23 (13.7) | 2 (1–3) |
| Review the patient’s drug profile to identify drugs that are really cleared | Never: 56 (33.3) Rarely: 52 (31.0) Often: 35 (20.8) Always: 25 (14.9) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide education on the importance of regular screening for neuropathic pain | Never: 50 (29.8) Rarely: 59 (35.1) Often: 39 (23.2) Always: 20 (11.9) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide education on the importance of regular screening for retinopathy | Never: 50 (29.8) Rarely: 56 (33.3) Often: 46 (27.4) Always: 16 (9.5) | 2 (1–3) |
| Counsel on good foot care techniques | Never: 46 (27.4) Rarely: 49 (29.2) Often: 47 (28.0) Always: 26 (15.5) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide education on the importance of diabetes foot care | Never: 47 (28.0) Rarely: 53 (31.5) Often: 38 (22.6) Always: 31 (17.9) | 2 (1–3) |
| Overall median | | 2 (1–3) |

| Services related to healthy living choices | Frequency of providing this service to patients, n (%) | Median (IQR) |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Provide education about the importance of immunization for influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia in diabetes | Never: 72 (42.9) Rarely: 52 (31.0) Often: 33 (19.6) Always: 11 (6.5) | 2 (1–3) |
| Provide basic information on diet as it relates to diabetes management | Never: 26 (15.5) Rarely: 51 (30.4) Often: 66 (39.3) Always: 25 (14.9) | 3 (2–3) |
| Provide basic information on exercise as it relates to diabetes management | Never: 23 (13.7) Rarely: 49 (29.2) Often: 69 (41.1) Always: 27 (16.1) | 3 (2–3) |
| Overall median | | 2 (1–3) |

Younger pharmacists aged 20–29 years (n = 61; 36.3%) and 30–39 years (n = 71; 42.3%) had significantly more positive attitudes toward the need for special training compared to the group aged ≥40 years (n = 36; 21.4%) (p = 0.03 and 0.02, respectively). Female pharmacists (n = 94; 56.0%) held more positive attitudes regarding the value of tight control of blood glucose than male pharmacists (n = 74; 44.0%) (p = 0.01). In addition, pharmacists who graduated from Kuwait University (n = 55; 32.7%) had the following more significantly compared to graduates from other universities (n = 113; 67.3%): positive overall attitudes towards diabetes (p = 0.01), the need for special training (p = 0.03), the severity of type 2 diabetes (p = 0.001), and the value of tight control of blood glucose (p = 0.005). There were no significant differences between the other respondents’ characteristics and their diabetes-related attitudes (p > 0.05).
The frequency of providing services to patients with diabetes is shown in Table 3. The overall medians (IQR) for each group of services were as follows: blood glucose monitoring: 2 (1–3), hypoglycemia management: 3 (2–3), general pharmacotherapy management including insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs: 3 (2–3), comorbid disease management: 2 (1–3), and healthy living choices: 2 (2–3).

In general, pharmacists provided limited diabetes services to patients, with no significant differences between those working in primary and secondary care (p > 0.05). Respondents aged 30–39 years (n = 71; 42.3%) and ≥40 years (n = 36; 24.1%) provided the following services to patients more frequently than the younger age group (n = 61; 36.3%): blood glucose monitoring (p = 0.001 and 0.004, respectively), hypoglycemia management (p = 0.02 and 0.04, respectively), general pharmacotherapy management including insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs (p = 0.003 and 0.005, respectively), comorbid disease management (p = 0.04 and <0.001, respectively), and healthy living choices (p = 0.04 and 0.009, respectively).

Male pharmacists (n = 74; 44%) more frequently provided educational services related to blood glucose monitoring (p = 0.03) and hypoglycemia management (p = 0.02) than female pharmacists. Pharmacists practicing for more than 10 years (n = 77; 45.9%) more frequently provided services such as blood glucose monitoring (p = 0.03), hypoglycemia management (p = 0.01), general pharmacotherapy management including insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs (p = 0.004), and comorbid disease management (p = 0.01) compared to those with less experience. Moreover, graduates not from Kuwait University (n = 113; 67.3) provided diabetes services to patients more frequently (p < 0.001) than graduates of Kuwait University. There were no significant differences between the type of diabetes services provided to patients and respondents’ educational level, interest in diabetes, and completion of special diabetes continuing education (p > 0.05).

The correlations between DAS-3 subscales and all groups of diabetic services are shown in Table 4. The respondents with overall more positive diabetes-related attitudes were less frequently involved in providing glucose monitoring, comorbid disease management, and healthy living choices. Respondents identified “the image that some physicians or patients have of pharmacists as dispensers only” as the most important barrier to providing diabetes care to patients and “lack of facilities (e.g., counseling room)” as the least important barrier. Other potential barriers in decreasing order included lack of collaboration with other health care providers, lack of organization, administrative factors, and inadequate documentation.

### Discussion

The key findings of this study showed that pharmacists had a general positive attitude toward diabetes management. The most positive attitude was “the need for special training in patient education.” Despite their positive attitude, they rarely provided advanced diabetes services to their patients, such as comorbid disease management. They regularly provided basic services such as patient counseling. The most important barrier to providing diabetes-related services was the perception that some physicians and patients have of pharmacists as dispensers only.

The present study revealed that pharmacists had a general positive attitude toward diabetes management, which is consistent with findings from previous studies [14–16] that used DAS-3 as a tool to measure pharmacists’ diabetes-related attitudes. However, younger pharmacists

---

**Table 4. Correlations between DAS-3 subscales and all groups of diabetes services (r values)**

|                         | Blood glucose monitoring | Hypoglycemia management | Pharmacotherapy management | Comorbid disease management | Healthy living choices |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| DAS-3                   | -0.251**                 | -0.173*                  | -0.104                     | -0.243**                    | -0.237**               |
| Need for special training | -0.139                | -0.224**                 | -0.039                     | -0.198*                     | -0.100                 |
| Seriousness of type 2 diabetes | -0.173*                   | -0.081                   | -0.081                     | -0.212**                    | -0.231**               |
| Value of tight control  | -0.386**                 | -0.316**                 | -0.219**                   | -0.277**                    | -0.283**               |
| Psychosocial impact of DM | -0.073                  | -0.107                   | -0.051                     | -0.091                      | -0.049                 |
| Patient autonomy        | 0.003                    | 0.122                    | -0.017                     | 0.028                       | -0.005                 |

DAS-3, Diabetes Attitude Scale-Version 3; DM, diabetes mellitus. * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
showed significantly more positive attitudes towards the need for special training compared to older pharmacists because of their inexperience. This is consistent with a previous study [20] in which it was reported that younger and less experienced pharmacists were less prepared to perform patient education and other pharmaceutical care duties. Evidence has shown that people express their attitudes according to the knowledge gained throughout their lives [21]. Additionally, it was found that pharmacists who graduated from Kuwait University showed significantly more positive attitudes towards the following subscales: the need for special training, the severity of type 2 diabetes, and the value of tight control compared to non-Kuwait University pharmacists. This is consistent with a previous study, which indicated that pharmacy students in Kuwait University had positive attitudes and perceived that they were well prepared to provide pharmaceutical care to patients in Kuwait [22].

Despite their general positive attitude towards diabetes management, pharmacists in this study reported that they regularly provided patients with counseling about the appropriate time to administer medication; but not diabetes services related to hypoglycemia and comorbid disease management. This is consistent with previous reports that only basic diabetes services, such as patient counseling was given on a regular basis [15, 16], but not the more complex tasks such as reviewing patients’ drug profiles to identify causes for poor blood pressure control. Equally important, pharmacists in both primary and secondary health care systems provided similar activities to patients with diabetes despite different caseloads. This might be due to the lack of local guidelines clarifying the accepted duties for pharmacists in wards or dispensaries, which may prevent pharmacists from participating in wider clinical roles in hospitals in Kuwait. Such guidelines and job descriptions are necessary for the improvement of pharmacy practice in Kuwait [17]. Hence, it is necessary to have a collaborative multilevel actions that could involve a broad stakeholder group to improve pharmaceutical care services provided to patients in Kuwait. Stakeholders could include the Ministry of Health as a policymaker, directors of hospitals and primary care centers as the managers of health care services, pharmacists as health care providers, and the Faculty of Pharmacy as the only public school in Kuwait.

In this study, there was a negative correlation between overall DAS-3 scores/three DAS-3 subscales, and some of the diabetes-related services, which is consistent with a previous study [15] that identified a negative correlation between the attitudes toward the difficulty of treating diabetes and pharmacists’ involvement in patient education. This negative correlation could indicate that pharmacists were not active in providing diabetes-related services to patients despite their beliefs that diabetes needs extensive patient education. This might be due to the less confidence of the study participants in applying the knowledge and skills that they gained from their pharmacy education. Hence, they suggested the need for special diabetes training directed toward behavioral and communication skills.

The present study revealed that the highest ranked barrier to providing diabetes related services to patients was the perception that some physicians or patients have of pharmacists as dispensers only. This is consistent with other studies [17, 23, 24] in which it was reported that acceptance from physicians and patients were among the top 5 greatest barriers to providing pharmaceutical care. This might be due to a lack of awareness of the pharmacists’ role in direct patient care among health care providers and the public. Hence, the need for closer cooperation between pharmacists and physicians is needed in Kuwait to build trust and confidence between health care professionals [25]. Increased population awareness about the pharmacists’ role in the community would also aid in ensuring a wider public acceptance of pharmacists’ contributions to patient care [26].

The limitations of this study included the convenience sampling strategy based on only 1 health region out of the 6 health care regions in Kuwait. The cross-sectional design represented 1 point in time and, therefore, could not reflect any dynamic changes in respondents’ attitudes and practice. In addition, respondents might have offered favorable answers to conform to the more socially accepted view. Responses were taken at face value with no way of verifying respondents’ claims.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that pharmacists had positive diabetes-related attitudes; however, they provided limited diabetes-related services to patients. Barriers to providing increased pharmaceutical care services to patients with diabetes should be addressed to enable delivery of optimum care for people with diabetes in Kuwait.
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