Notch activation drives adipocyte dedifferentiation and tumorigenic transformation in mice
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Liposarcomas (LPSs) are the most common soft-tissue cancer. Because of the lack of animal models, the cellular origin and molecular regulation of LPS remain unclear. Here, we report that mice with adipocyte-specific activation of Notch signaling (Ad/N1ICD) develop LPS with complete penetrance. Lineage tracing confirms the adipocyte origin of Ad/N1ICD LPS. The Ad/N1ICD LPS resembles human dedifferentiated LPS in histological appearance, anatomical localization, and gene expression signature. Before transformation, Ad/N1ICD adipocytes undergo dedifferentiation that leads to lipodystrophy and metabolic dysfunction. Although concomitant Pten deletion normalizes the glucose metabolism of Ad/N1ICD mice, it dramatically accelerates the LPS prognosis and malignancy. Transcriptomes and lipidomics analyses indicate that Notch activation suppresses lipid metabolism pathways that supply ligands to Pparγ, the master regulator of adipocyte homeostasis. Accordingly, synthetic Pparγ ligand supplementation induces redifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and tumor cells, and prevents LPS development in Ad/N1ICD mice. Importantly, the Notch target HES1 is abundantly expressed in human LPS, and Notch inhibition suppresses the growth of human dedifferentiated LPS xenografts. Collectively, ectopic Notch activation is sufficient to induce dedifferentiation and tumorigenic transformation of mature adipocytes in mouse.

INTRODUCTION

Human liposarcoma (LPS) is an aggressive soft tissue cancer, and the most common type found in adults, accounting for ∼20% of all sarcomas (Gimble et al., 2007; Dei Tos, 2014). The World Health Organization classified LPS into four major subtypes: 40–45% well-differentiated LPS (WDLPS), 15–25% dedifferentiated LPS (DDLPS), 30–35% myxoid LPS (MLPS), and ∼5% pleomorphic LPS (PLPS). Each LPS subtype can be diagnosed based on anatomical location, clinical behavior, histology appearance, and cytogenetic features (Dei Tos, 2014). WDLPS and DDLPS can be faithfully distinguished from the other two LPS subtypes based on genomic amplification of the 12q13–15 chromosomal region, which harbors the protooncogenes MDM2 and CDK4, leading to overexpression of these two proteins. MDM2 and CDK4, together with p16, constitute a panel of highly specific and sensitive diagnostic markers to distinguish WDLPS and DDLPS from other lipomatous tumors (Thway et al., 2012). LPS subtypes exhibit considerable variations of metastatic rates, from 0, 15–20, 20, and 30–50% for WDLPS, DDLPS, MLPS, and PLPS, respectively (Dei Tos, 2014). Currently, the most common therapy for LPS is surgical resection, which often results in uncontrolled local recurrence that causes an even higher mortality rate than that associated with metastasis (Dei Tos, 2014).

Despite the complex nature of LPS and its staggering consequence on human health, several crucial aspects of this cancer are largely unknown. Of special concern, the cellular or lineage origin of LPS is frequently overlooked. Intriguingly, clinical studies indicate that 5–10% of DDLPS show heterologous cellular composition of myogenic, osteogenic, and angiogenic cells (Evans et al., 1994; Binh et al., 2007). This observation suggests a multipotent stem cell origin for at least a fraction of the DDLPS. Alternatively, other mature cell types of the mesodermal lineage could have been transdifferentiated into LPS cells that partially resemble adipocyte morphology. Supporting this notion, activation of hedgehog signaling in adipocytes transformed them into muscle tumor (rhabdomyosarcoma; Hatley et al., 2012). Another equally important yet largely unknown aspect is the molecular regulation of the initiation, development, and metastasis of LPS. Although several players, including Pten, p53, miR–155, and epigenetic modification, were shown to regulate LPS malignancy (Zhang et al., 2012; Keung et al., 2015; Ou et al., 2015; Puzio-Kuter et al., 2015), it’s unknown whether single
gene mutation event is sufficient to cause the development of malignant LPS in vivo. Certainly, gaining more insights on these aspects is essential to designing specific and effective therapeutic interventions to treat human LPS.

Notch signaling is an evolutionarily conserved pathway that plays essential roles in cell fate choice, organ development, metabolism, and tumorigenesis (Gridley, 2010; Andersson et al., 2011; Guruharsha et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2014; Bi and Kuang, 2015). Notch signal transduction is initiated upon binding of a Notch receptor with a ligand located on neighbor cells, which drives sequential proteolytic cleavages of the Notch receptor and the final release of Notch intracellular domain (NICD). NICD then translocates to the nucleus, where it recruits a transcriptional complex to activate the transcription of downstream targets, including Hes and Hey family genes. The oncogenic function of Notch signaling was first described in human T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (T-ALL). Notably, more than half of T-ALL patients bear activating mutations of Notch (Weng et al., 2004; Aster et al., 2008). Subsequent studies found that Notch can also function as a tumor suppressor for certain types of solid tumors (Lobry et al., 2011). Recent studies revealed the oncogenic function of Notch signaling in osteosarcoma development (Engin et al., 2009; Tao et al., 2014). Despite the extensive research of Notch signaling in oncology, its function in LPS development is totally unknown.

RESULTS

Mice with mature adipocyte-specific activation of Notch signaling develop DDLPS

To investigate how Notch activation regulates adipocytes, we generated the Adipoq-Cre/RosaN1ICD (abbreviated as Ad/N1ICD) mouse model in which the expression of constitutively active Notch1 (N1ICD) is driven by Adipoq-Cre (Fig. 1 A). As Adipoq-Cre has been shown to drive expression specifically in mature adipocytes (Eguchi et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2013; Mullican et al., 2013; Jeffery et al., 2014), this model results in adipocyte-specific activation of Notch1 signaling. Strikingly, Ad/N1ICD mice developed solid tumors starting at 8–mo-old at various locations of the body, including diaphragm, limb, spine, retroperitoneum, and subcutaneous regions (Fig. 1, B–D). In three cases, secondary tumors were also found in the liver (Fig. 1 B). By the age of 13 mo, all Ad/N1ICD mice developed tumors (Fig. 1 C). The observed locations of Ad/N1ICD tumors are also susceptible sites for human LPS (Dei Tos, 2014). Because of adipocyte-specific activation of Notch, we suspected these tumors to be LPS.

We next evaluated the histology of Ad/N1ICD tumors to confirm their LPS identity. Under hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, the Ad/N1ICD tumors contained highly cellular sheets of monomorphic cells (Fig. 1, E and F), but no discernible tissue architecture was found to directly indicate their tissue origin. However, adipocytes were identified among the fibrovascular stroma at the periphery of the tumors (Fig. 1 F). In other cases, cells containing lipid-vacuoles were intermingled with the neoplastic populations (Fig. 1 E). This biphasic neoplasm with one component of atypical lipomatous tumor and a second component of high grade sarcoma is a well-defined diagnostic criterion for human DDLPS (Tseng et al., 2013).

At the molecular level, a set of human DDLPS diagnostic markers (Puzio-Kuter et al., 2015; Thway et al., 2012), Mdm2, Cdks, and p16, were detected in Ad/N1ICD LPS (Fig. 1 G). Consistently, Western blot results revealed higher expression levels of these markers, as well as of N1ICD and Hes1, specifically in Ad/N1ICD LPS, compared with WT and Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues (Fig. 1 H). However, the mature adipocyte marker Fabp4 was dramatically lower in Ad/N1ICD LPS (Fig. 1 H). We also confirmed abundant expression of N1ICD and Hes1 in Ad/N1ICD LPS by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining (unpublished data). In addition, we detected the adipocyte marker Pparg in the Ad/N1ICD LPS by IHC staining (Fig. 1 G). Furthermore, Ad/N1ICD LPS showed a twofold increase of Mdm2 genomic DNA copy number, compared with both WT and Ad/N1ICD white adipose tissue (WAT), in which assay genomic DNA of 3T3-L1 cells was used as a positive control of Mdm2 amplification (Fig. 1 I). These morphological and molecular analyses suggest that the Ad/N1ICD tumors are DDLPS.

Adipocyte origin of Ad/N1ICD DDLPS

To test whether these Ad/N1ICD LPS originated from N1ICD-activated adipocytes, we performed PCR on genomic DNA to detect the intact and Cre-recombined (Recom) DNA sequences at the RosaN1ICD locus. As a negative control of Adipoq-Cre expression, genomic DNA of liver tissues had no recombination in both WT (Cre−) and Ad/N1ICD (Cre+) mice (Fig. 2 A). In contrast, as a positive control, DNA from brown adipose tissues (BATs) showed recombination only in the Ad/N1ICD but not WT mice (Fig. 2 A). Notably, Ad/N1ICD LPS showed clear and strong recombination bands, with even higher recombination levels than those of the Ad/N1ICD BAT (Fig. 2 A). Interestingly, the few tumors found in the liver did not have DNA recombination (Fig. 2 A), and were therefore excluded from further analysis. Consistently, compared with WT adipose tissues, recombined LPS expressed 25-fold higher levels of Notch1 (Fig. 2 B) and 6.6-, 69-, and 7.4-fold higher levels of Notch target genes Hes1, Hey1, and Hey1, respectively (Fig. 2 B).

We also performed direct lineage tracing to delineate LPS cell origin. The RosaN1ICD contains an IRES-nGFP cassette that was supposed to act as a reporter. However, this nGFP reporter is not readily detectable. To overcome this problem, we introduced another Cre-inducible dual fluorescence reporter (RosamTmG) into the Ad/N1ICD mice, named as Ad/N1ICD/membrane GFP and membrane Td-tomato (mTmG) mice. In this triple transgenic mouse model, membrane-localized GFP or td-Tomato (RFP) will be expressed upon presence or absence of Cre activation, respectively. Consistently, we detected massive GFP signals in
the Ad/N1ICD/mTmG LPS (Fig. 2, D–F), whereas RFP signals were only detected in the vessels and a few other cells inside the tumors or adipose tissues (Fig. 2, C–F). As positive controls, Ad/N1ICD/mTmG adipocytes were GFP+ (Fig. 2 C). These genomic DNA and cell lineage analyses, as well as gene expression results together proved the adipocyte origin of Ad/N1ICD LPS.

In light of the adipocyte origin of the Ad/N1ICD LPS, we further compared their gene expression with Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues. Ad/N1ICD LPS abundantly expressed Pparγ (Fig. 1 G) and a 2,154-fold higher expression of the preadipocyte marker Dlk1 (Fig. 2 G; Mortensen et al., 2012), as well as significantly higher expression levels of DDLPS markers, such as Mdm2, Cdk4, and p16 (encoded by Cdkn2a; Fig. 2 H), but notably lower levels of mature adipocyte markers, such as Lep, Adipoq, Plin1, and Cfd (Fig. 2 I), compared with Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues. Collectively, these results suggest the highly dedifferentiated status of Ad/N1ICD LPS.

Precancerous Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and Ad/N1ICD LPS showed significant and specific enrichment of human LPS gene signature

We next sought to determine whether the Ad/N1ICD adipocytes gained any gene signatures of human LPS, especially the dedifferentiated subtype. To achieve this goal, we used microarray to survey the transcriptomes of inguinal WAT tissues isolated from 5-wk-old WT and Ad/N1ICD mice. Among the total 845 differentially expressed genes, 541 genes were down-regulated (by ≥1.5–fold), and 304 genes were up-regulated (by ≥1.5–fold) in Ad/N1ICD WAT (referred to as Notch-Fat gene set), when compared with WT WAT (Table S1). We then performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA; Subramanian et al., 2005) to interrogate the Notch-Fat gene set (Fig. 3 A), using a reference gene expression dataset publically available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no. GSE2553), which includes 12 types of human sarcomas isolated from 165 patients (Baird et al.,...
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Notably, we found that the Notch-Fat gene set was only significantly enriched in human LPS, but not in any of the 11 other types of human sarcomas (Fig. 3, B and C).

We further interrogated the Notch-Fat gene set with another human microarray dataset (Nakayama et al., 2007) that includes 10 types of human sarcomas from 105 patients, with annotated subtype information for LPS, including DDLPS, lipoma, MLPS, and WDLPS (Fig. 3 A). In this human sarcoma dataset, MDM2 ranked as the highest, and CDK4 appeared as the fourth highest up-regulated genes in the DDLPS samples (Nakayama et al., 2007), compared with all other human sarcomas, validating the identity of human DDLPS samples. Strikingly, the Notch-Fat gene set was only significantly enriched with gene signatures of human DDLPS, but not with those of other human LPS subtypes or sarcomas (Fig. 3, E and G). Interestingly, the Notch-Fat gene set showed the least enrichment with synovial sarcomas in these GSEA analyses (Fig. 3, B, D, and E).

Moreover, we compared the transcriptomes of Ad/N1ICD LPS and Ad/N1ICD WAT by RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). Analysis of the RNA-seq data identified 2,322 and 1,975 genes that were significantly down-regulated and up-regulated by at least 1.5-fold, respectively, in Ad/N1ICD LPS compared with Ad/N1ICD WAT (Table S2). We annotated this 1,975 up-regulated gene list as Notch-LPS gene set. Again, this gene set was specifically enriched in human DDLPS, but not in other types of human sarcomas (Fig. 3, F and H). Together, these GSEA analyses reveal striking similarity between Ad/N1ICD DDLPS and human DDLPS, establishing Ad/N1ICD mouse as a relevant model to study human DDLPS in the future.

Considering the adipocyte origin of Ad/N1ICD DDLPS, and its similar expression signatures with human DDLPS, genes that co-up-regulated or co-down-regulated in all three datasets would possibly represent important regulators of both Ad/N1ICD and human DDLPS. With this aim, we identified 11 and 8 genes that are co-up-regulated (CD300A, PDGFRB, LPHN3, ASPN, BMP1, DLC1, ESM1, NR2F1, ANK2, MR2, MR2C, and SHC3) and co-down-regulated (HSD17B10, MET, SLCE5A10, TPPP, COX7C, PTGER3, AK2, and GTF2R1D1), respectively (Fig. 3, I and J).

In addition, we analyzed a published microarray dataset of...
human LPS cell lines (Keung et al., 2015) and found that Notch ligands and target genes were expressed at significantly higher levels in human DDLPS (LPS224a and LPS224b) compared with WDLPS cell lines (LPS247b and LPS029b; Fig. 3 K). These results indicate the involvement of Notch activation in human DDLPS.

To directly examine the effect of Notch inhibition on human DDLPS cells, we treated immortalized cells derived from normal human adipose tissues and WDLPS and DDLPS tumors by immunohistochemistry (IHC; n = 4/group). N1ICD signals were not detected in normal adipose tissues, but detectable in three of the four WDLPS and DDLPS samples. However, the signal intensity was much stronger in DDLPS than in WDLPS samples (Fig. 4 A). These results demonstrate activation of Notch signaling in human DDLPS.

Figure 3. Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS showed significant enrichment of human LPS gene signature. (A) Cartoon illustration of experiment design for B–H. (B) GSEA analysis of the human sarcoma gene expression database (GEO accession no. GSE2553), interrogated with Ad/N1ICD microarray gene set. NES, normalized enrichment score. FWER, familywise-error rate. #, number of patients. (C and D) Gene enrichment plots for analysis in B. (E and F) GSEA analysis of the human sarcoma gene expression database (GEO accession no. GSE6481) interrogated with Ad/N1ICD microarray gene set (E) and Ad/N1ICD LPS RNA-seq gene set (F). (G and H) Gene enrichment plots for analysis in E (G) and F (H). (I and J) Venn diagrams to show intersections among the three gene datasets. (K) Relative expression of Notch ligands (JAG2 and DLL4) and Notch target genes in human DDLPS cell lines, compared with WDLPS cell lines. Data were extracted and analyzed from GEO under accession no. GSE57754. (DDLPS, n = 6; WDLPS, n = 6). *, P < 0.05. Bar graphs indicate mean ± SEM.
from human DDLPS (LPS246; Peng et al., 2011) with the well-established γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT (10 µM). DAPT significantly inhibited the colony growth of LPS246 cells, visualized by crystal violet staining (Fig. 4 B and C). We detected significant reduction of Notch target gene HES1 mRNA after DAPT treatment (Fig. 4 D). Immunostaining of these cells with Ki67, a proliferation marker, and cleaved caspase-3, an apoptosis marker, revealed dramatic reduction of cell proliferation after DAPT treatment (Fig. 4 E and F), without affecting apoptosis of these cells (Fig. 4 E). These results demonstrate the antiproliferation effect of Notch inhibitor on human DDLPS cells.

Adult onset of adipocyte dedifferentiation and metabolic syndromes in Ad/N1ICD mice
To better understand the development of LPS in Ad/N1ICD mice, we examined the adipose tissues in juvenile mice. The relative mass, and expression of mature and immature adipocyte markers, were indistinguishable between WT and Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues at 3 wk of age (Fig. 5, A and B). We confirmed the Cre activation in these young adipocytes, indicated by td-Tomato expression in isolated Adipoq-Cre/RosαN1ICD/Rosαtd-Tomato (Ad/N1ICD/td-Tomato) adipocytes (Fig. 5 C). However, adult Ad/N1ICD mice gradually developed lipodystrophy, resulted in ∼90% reduction of adipose tissue weights (Fig. 5 E), compared with those of WT mice. Furthermore, epididymal WAT was not visible in Ad/N1ICD mice that were older than 5 mo. Because of the lack of adipose tissue, Ad/N1ICD mice were resistant to high-fat diet (HFD)–induced body weight gain (Fig. 5 D), and even normalized the obesity phenotype in the ob/ob (Leptinob) mice (Fig. 5 F). In contrast, weights of Ad/N1ICD livers were much heavier than those of control livers (Fig. 5 E), indicative of hepatic steatosis. Indeed, H&E staining reveals very high fat content in the livers of Ad/N1ICD mice (Fig. 5 G), accompanied by significantly elevated expression levels of genes.
involved in lipid metabolism (Srebp1a, Fabp4, and Acc), and the Notch target gene Heyl (Fig. 5 H). This observation is consistent with the finding that Notch signaling drives fatty liver formation (Pajvani et al., 2013; Valenti et al., 2013).

Even though they had a leaner body composition, the Ad/N1ICD and Ad/N1ICD/Leptinob mice had very high blood glucose levels, ranging from 294 to 500 mg/dl under different physiological conditions (Fig. 5 I), whereas WT mice maintained glucose levels under 200 mg/dl (Fig. 5, I and J). As a result of hyperglycemia, Ad/N1ICD mice showed 15- and 40–fold increases (compared with WT mice) in circulating insulin levels under fasted and re-fed conditions,
respectively (Fig. 5 K), indicating severe insulin resistance. Indeed, Ad/N1ICD mice failed to respond to insulin in all time points examined during the 2-h insulin tolerance tests, in both chow diet (Fig. 5 L) and HFD regimes (Fig. 5 M). Together, the adult-onset lipodystrophy in Ad/N1ICD mice elicited a broad range of metabolic syndromes, including fatty liver disease, hyperglycemia, and severe insulin resistance.

Consistent with the lipodystrophy phenotype, adipose tissues of adult Ad/N1ICD mice expressed dramatically lower levels of mature adipocyte markers (Fig. 5 N). However, such changes were not observed in adipose tissues of 3-wk-old Ad/N1ICD mice (Fig. 5 N). The dedifferentiated white adipocytes, labeled as GFP in the cross section of Ad/N1ICD/mTmG inguinal WAT, totally lost the typical morphology of mature adipocytes (Fig. 5 O). These results confirm normal initial formation of WATs, and further suggest that the lipodystrophy of Ad/N1ICD mice is caused by the dedifferentiation of mature adipocytes, but not a consequence of developmental defects of adipose tissues.

**Pten deletion rescues metabolic dysfunction, but accelerates DDLPS development of Ad/N1ICD mice**

The lipodystrophy phenotype of the adult Ad/N1ICD mice raises the interesting possibility that LPS may be driven by metabolic dysfunction. To examine this possibility, we took advantage of the adipocyte-specific Pten knockout mice, which has recently been shown to exhibit adipocyte hypertrophy, improved insulin sensitivity and better systemic metabolism (Morley et al., 2015). We generated the Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice that bear concomitant Notch activation and Pten deletion in adipocytes, by breeding Ad/N1ICD mice with the Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mouse strain with loxP flanking exon 5 of Pten (encoding the phosphatase domain). Notably, Pten deletion reversed the hyperglycemia of Ad/N1ICD mice to a level that was even lower than that of WT mice (Fig. 6 A). Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice also showed normalized response to glucose challenge, similar to the WT mice (Fig. 6 B). The BAT of Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice displayed robust hypertrophy, accompanied by presence of large unilocular adipocytes, which were absent in the Ad/N1ICD BAT (Fig. 6 C). However, Pten deletion failed to rescue the lipodystrophy of WAT in Ad/N1ICD mice (unpublished data).

Despite normal glucose metabolism, the Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice developed malignant sarcomas much earlier (at 3 mo old) than the LPS onset (at 8 mo old) in Ad/N1ICD mice (Fig. 6, D–G). These tumors are in similar locations as Ad/N1ICD LPS, with the exception that tumors were also found in the thoracic cavity of Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice (Fig. 6 E). Notably, multiple tumors (at least six) of various sizes were found in all the Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice examined (Fig. 6 F), suggesting more devastating malignancy in addition to the earlier onset. None of the Ad/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> mice showed tumor even when they were older (Fig. 6 G), suggesting that N1ICD, but not Pten deletion or systemic metabolism, is the primary driver of tumorigenesis.

As expected, Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> tumors showed strong and specific recombination at the Rosa<sup>N1ICD</sup> and Pten<sup>F/F</sup> loci (Fig. 6 H). Histological examination of Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> tumors reveals classical biphasic neoplasm with one component of atypical lipomatous tumor and a second component of high-grade sarcoma (Fig. 6 I), which is a well-defined diagnostic criterion of human DDLPS. In addition, Ad/N1ICD/ Pten<sup>F/F</sup> tumor cells are positive for the human DDLPS diagnostic markers Mdm2, CDK4, and p16 (Fig. 6 J). Interestingly, a unique feature of Ad/N1ICD/Pten<sup>F/F</sup> DDLPS is the heavy infiltration of inflammatory cells (Fig. 6 I, right), which are Cd45<sup>+</sup>/Ki67<sup>+</sup> in immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 6 K).

At molecular level, we detected dramatic reduction of Pten levels were dramatically lower than those of WT adipose tissues (Fig. 6, M and N). Together, Pten deletion accelerates the prognosis and enhances the malignancy of Notch-driven LPS without altering their molecular features.

**Notch activation suppresses lipid metabolism pathways in adipocytes**

To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying dedifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS development, we conducted ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) on the Ad/N1ICD adipose tissue microarray data (Fig. 7 A). Notably, IPA accurately predicted the metabolic phenotypes of Ad/N1ICD mice, including reductions of adipose tissue mass, increases of lean body mass, hyperglycemia, and hepatic steatosis (Fig. 7 B). Interestingly, IPA also revealed the down-regulations of fatty acid oxidation, lipid uptake, and oxygen pathways in Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues, compared with those of WT mice (Fig. 7, B and C). Similar pathway changes were found in IPA analysis of the RNA-seq results of Ad/N1ICD DDLPS (Fig. 7 D). In addition, IPA analysis predicted activation of cell transformation, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and inflammation pathways, as well as inhibition of cell differentiation and lipid synthesis pathways in Ad/N1ICD DDLPS (Fig. 7 D).

To understand the molecular mechanisms for dedifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and subsequent transformation into DDLPS, we performed ingenuity upstream regulator analysis. This assay predicted the activation of Notch- and TNF-mediated inflammation pathways in Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues (Fig. 7, C and E). Notably, Pparγ, and its natural ligands (fatty acids; Moya-Camarena et al., 1999; Varga et al., 2011; Ahmadian et al., 2013), as well as Pparγ synthetic ligand rosiglitazone, were pinpointed as the upstream regulators whose functions were significantly suppressed (Fig. 7 E). In contrast, Pparγ antagonist GW9662 was identified as one of the activated upstream regulators (Fig. 7 E), indicating deficient activation of Pparγ in Ad/N1ICD adipocytes. Consistent with the prediction, full scan of lipid metabolites (Fig. 7 F) and targeted scan of fatty acids (not depicted) using mass spec-
trometry detected significant reductions of arachidonic acid, oleic acid, α-linoleic acid, and linoleic acid levels, in young (3-wk-old) Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues. In summary, these results strongly suggested that Pparγ ligand deficiency, caused by suppression of fatty acids metabolism, was the molecular mechanism that triggered the dedifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes, followed by development of LPS. Intriguingly, we detected even increases in the protein levels of Pparγ, but reduced expression of Pparγ target genes in dystrophic Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues and LPS, compared with WT adipose tissues (Fig. 7 G). We hypothesize that the Pparγ up-regulation in Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS represents a compensatory mechanism in response to the Pparγ ligand deficiency.

Rosiglitazone reversed dedifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS cells, and fully rescued diabetes of Ad/N1ICD mice

Our hypothesis that Pparγ ligand deficiency underlies the metabolic and tumorigenic phenotypes of Ad/N1ICD mice
predicts that Ppar ligand supplementation should rescue such phenotypes. To directly test this, we evaluated the effect of a synthetic Ppar ligand, rosiglitazone (also a commonly prescribed antidiabetic drug), on Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS. Consistent with in vivo observations, cultured Ad/N1ICD adipocytes were also lipodystrophic, manifested by the fibroblastic morphology and lack of lipid accumulation (Fig. 8 A). Strikingly, a very low concentration of rosiglitazone (0.2 µM) rescued the lipid content and adipocyte-specific gene expression in Ad/N1ICD adipocytes, to levels comparable to rosiglitazone-treated WT adipocytes (Fig. 8, A and B).

Similar rescues were also achieved in animal experiments in which supplementation of rosiglitazone in diet (0.005%) significantly increased the size and weight of Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues (Fig. 8, C and D), accompanied by reactivated expressions of mature adipocyte markers and fatty acid synthase (Fasn; Fig. 8, E and F). Rosiglitazone also dramatically activated expression of Cidec (Fig. 8 E), whose mutation is associated with human lipodystrophy (Fiorenza et al., 2011; Garg, 2011). Remarkably, rosiglitazone treatment completely rescued the diabetes in both Ad/N1ICD and Ad/N1ICD/Leptinob mice within 1 wk (Fig. 8 G).

Next, we evaluated the response of LPS-derived cancer cells to rosiglitazone. In the absence of rosiglitazone, primary cells from Ad/N1ICD LPS never effectively differentiate into lipid-laden adipocytes (Fig. 8 H, first row) even after stimulation with the adipogenesis cocktails. Strikingly, in the presence of rosiglitazone (0.2 µM), Ad/N1ICD LPS cells redifferentiated into adipocytes, which were Perilipin+ by immunostaining, and showed morphological features that were nearly indistinguishable from the WT adipocytes (Fig. 8 H, first row). With the mTmG dual reporter, we further confirmed the Ad/N1ICD cancer cell origin of these adipocytes, which are labeled as GFP+ (Fig. 8 H, second row). Consistently, rosiglitazone also greatly stimulated expression of adipocyte markers (Fig. 8 I). Furthermore, rosiglitazone diet supplementation starting from 7 mo of...
age prevented LPS formation in Ad/N1ICD mice at 15 mo old, whereas all the Ad/N1ICD mice on control diet developed LPS at around 11–12 mo of age (Fig. 8 J). Similarly, rosiglitazone diet supplementation starting from 3 wk of age also abolished LPS development in Ad/N1ICD mice at 5 mo of age, but all mice fed with a control diet developed tumors at 3 mo of age (Fig. 8 K). In summary, these results validated that Pparγ ligand deficiency underlies the metabolic and tumorigenic phenotypes of Ad/N1ICD mice (Fig. 9).

**Figure 8. Dedifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS cancer cells is rescued by the Pparγ ligand rosiglitazone.** (A) Oil-red 0 staining of primary adipocytes of WT and Ad/N1ICD mice with or without rosiglitazone (Rosi; 0.2 µM; n = 5). (B) Relative expression of mature adipocyte markers in primary adipocytes cultured as shown in A (n = 5). Veh, vehicle; NS, not significant. (C and D) Macroscopic images (C) and relative weights (normalized to gastrocnemius muscle; D; n = 3 pairs) of adipose tissues from Ad/N1ICD/LeptinF/F mice fed on control (Ctrl) diet or Rosi diet (0.005%) for 4 wk starting at 4–5 mo of age. (E and F) Relative mRNA (E) and protein (F) levels of mature adipocyte markers and lipodystrophy gene Cidec in inguinal WAT of Ad/N1ICD/LeptinF/F mice (n = 4). Protein marker size was labeled on right. (G) Blood glucose levels of 3-mo-old mice fed with control or Rosi diet for 1 wk (n = 5). (H) Phase and fluorescent images of cultured Ad/N1ICD or Ad/N1ICD/mTmG LPS-derived cancer cells stimulated with adipogenic cocktails. (I and J) Relative expression of mature adipocyte markers in Ad/N1ICD LPS-derived cancer cells as in H (n = 3). (J and K) Percentages of tumor-free mice at different ages. Ad/N1ICD and Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F mice were switched to rosiglitazone diet at ~7 mo and 21 d of age, respectively. P = 0.014 for log-rank test between Ctrl diet–fed Ad/N1ICD and Rosi-diet fed Ad/N1ICD mice; P = 0.008 for log-rank test between control-diet fed Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F and Rosi-diet fed Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F mice. Ad/N1ICD mice: n = 3 for control diet; n = 4 for Rosi diet. Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F mice: n = 4 for both control diet and Rosi diet. Bar, 30 µm. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Bar graphs indicate mean ± SEM.

**Notch inhibitor suppresses DDLPS xenograft growth**

Consistent with our observation of cell culture experiments, administration of Notch inhibitor dibenzazepine (DBZ) significantly attenuated the growth of human LPS246 xenograft in immunodeficient NSG mice (Fig. 9, A–C). Gene expression analysis confirmed the potent inhibition of Notch signaling by DBZ, indicated by the dramatic reductions of Notch target gene expression (Fig. 9, D and E). Furthermore, immunostaining of xenograft sections revealed marked
reductions of HES1+ and Ki67+, but increases of cleaved Caspase-3+ cells (Fig. 9, E–H). These results indicate that DBZ treatment inhibits the proliferation, while increasing the apoptosis of LPS246 cells in vivo, suggesting that inhibition of Notch signaling may represent an effective therapeutic approach to treat human DDLPS.

**DISCUSSION**

Emerging evidence has suggested critical roles of Notch signaling in regulating metabolism (Bi and Kuang, 2015). Our previous work showed that inhibition of Notch signaling promotes beige adipocyte development in WATs and protects mice from developing obesity (Bi et al., 2014; Gridley and Kajimura, 2014; Stern and Scherer, 2015). Here, we found that activation of Notch signaling in mature adipocytes did not affect the initial development of white adipocytes, indicated by normal adipocyte-specific gene expression and adipose tissue size. This may be explained by the mature adipocyte-specific expression pattern of Adipoq (Berry and Rodeheffer, 2013), which results in the activation of Notch signaling in postmitotic adipocytes.

Despite normal WAT development, Ad/N1ICD adipocytes gradually dedifferentiated and finally caused lipodystrophy, which is a relatively rare human disease that can be either inherited due to genetic defects or acquired from HIV therapy or other autoimmune disease (Huang-Doran et al., 2010; Fiorenza et al., 2011). Interestingly, lipodystrophy patients displayed metabolic complications that are also frequently associated with obesity, the other extremity of adiposity relative to lipodystrophy disease (Fiorenza et al., 2011). Although Ad/N1ICD mice were protected from developing HFD- and genetic-induced obesity, they showed severe diabetes and fatty liver disease (Chartoumpekis et al., 2015). Analysis of Ad/N1ICD adipose tissue transcriptomes predicted the metabolic phenotypes of Ad/N1ICD mice, even the abnormality in liver. It indicates that adipocyte dystrophy is the primary cause of the fatty liver disease, which was also observed in several other lipodystrophy mouse models (Pajvani et al., 2005; Huang-Doran et al., 2010; Safar Zadeh et al., 2013).

Notably, the oncogenic function of Notch activation in DDLPS development was greatly enhanced by deletion of Pten, indicated by the much earlier onset and higher malignancy (in terms of tumor numbers) of LPS in Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F mice. This observation is consistent with the tumor suppressor function of Pten (Smith et al., 2013; Ou et al.,
Mdm2 and Notch1 can antagonize p53 by promoting its normal adipose tissues. Intriguingly, it's reported that both Ad/N1ICD/PtenF/F mice showed even better glucose metabolism than WT mice. This comparison strongly suggests that Notch activation itself, but not its consequence on metabolic dysregulation, determines the oncogenic transformation of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes. Accordingly, there was no report of tumorigenesis in other lipodystrophy mouse models or human patients.

Epidemiological studies found that obesity and its associated metabolic syndromes are risk factors for several types of cancer (Esposito et al., 2012; Bhandari et al., 2014). However, the cellular and molecular basis of whether and how dysregulated peripheral tissues participate in tumor development is unknown. Our study exemplified that, in addition to causing metabolic syndromes, dysregulated adipocytes can also cell autonomously give rise to malignant DDLPS. Although our results didn’t rule out any other possible cell lineage origins of LPS, we provided solid cellular and genetic evidence that mature adipocyte can be a source of DDLPS.

Interestingly, GSEA analysis revealed specific enrichment of human DDLPS gene signatures, but not of all other sarcomas, in juvenile, precancerous Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues. This identified a gene set with 47 genes that are enriched in both Ad/N1ICD (versus WT) adipocytes and human DDLPS (versus other sarcomas). To probe the oncogenic mechanism of Ad/N1ICD adipocytes, we did IPA analysis of these genes. Interestingly, we found significant changes of the pathways related with cell gap and adhesion remodeling. Among them, Gucy1a3, the guanylyl cyclase, was reported as a direct target of Notch signaling, involved in endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of developing heart (Chang et al., 2011). Because EMT in cancer progression and metastasis recaptures EMT in developmental programs, Gucy1a3 may play important roles in the remodeling of Ad/N1ICD LPS as well.

Similar to human DDLPS, expression of Mdm2 and Cdk4, at both mRNA and protein levels, were easily detected in Ad/N1ICD DDLPS. Notably, Mdm2 is a positive regulator of Notch signaling through at least two distinct mechanisms on the posttranscriptional level. First, as an E3 ubiquitin ligase, Mdm2, degrades Numb, a major negative regulator of Notch signaling (Sczaniecka et al., 2012). Second, Mdm2 can directly activate Notch signaling by nondegradative ubiquitination of the Notch1 receptor (Pettersson et al., 2013). Analysis of one deposited human sarcoma cell line expression dataset revealed higher expression of several genes on Notch pathway, indicating the activation of Notch signaling in DDLPS, compared with WDLPS cell lines. We also confirmed higher HES1 protein level in human DDLPS than in WDLPS and normal adipose tissues. Intriguingly, it’s reported that both Mdm2 and Notch1 can antagonize p53 by promoting its degradation (Moll and Petrenko, 2003). Therefore, it’s important to know how the protooncogene MDM2 synergizes with Notch signaling to affect the clinical behaviors of the tumor, and whether p53 was involved in mediating such effects. The elevated levels of HES1 in human DDLPS compared with WDLPS and adipose tissues indicate higher Notch activity in DDLPS. However, we only detected activated NOTCH1 in 1 out of 4 human DDLPS samples, suggesting that other NOTCH receptors (NOTCH2–4) may also be involved in activating Notch signaling in human DDLPS.

Intriguingly, activation of Notch signaling was observed in obese adipose tissues and diabetic hepatocytes (Pajvani et al., 2013; Bi et al., 2014). Considering the oncogenic function of Notch signaling in liver cancer (Villanueva et al., 2012), Notch activation may facilitate the transformation of diabetic hepatocytes into liver tumors, a scenario similar to the transformation of mature adipocyte into LPS in Ad/N1ICD mice. Indeed, Notch signaling was moderately activated in fatty liver of Ad/N1ICD mice too, followed by development of liver cancer, though at very low incidence. In the genomic DNA recombination assay, we didn’t detect any recombination in the RosCh1 locus of Ad/N1ICD liver and liver tumor genomic DNA. Therefore, the activation of Notch in Ad/N1ICD liver is not a consequence of leaky expression of Adipoq-Cre, and liver tumor formation is likely a consequence of chronic liver damage caused by severe fatty liver disease (Starley et al., 2010).

To probe the mechanisms that led to lipodystrophy and LPS development in Ad/N1ICD mice, we applied IPA analysis to predict upstream regulator of Ad/N1ICD phenotypes. Interestingly, these analyses pinpointed the Pparγ ligand deficiency in Ad/N1ICD adipocytes and LPS. Rosiglitazone, a synthetic Pparγ ligand, dramatically stimulated the expansion of Ad/N1ICD adipose tissues and reactivated adipocyte marker expression in Ad/N1ICD adipocytes, accompanied by a full rescue of diabetic phenotype of both Ad/N1ICD and Ad/N1ICD/Leptinob mice. In parallel, inhibition of PGC1α (Pparγ coactivator) expression by Notch activation in white adipocytes could also represent an important mechanism underlying the reduced Pparγ activity. Pparγ is currently the only known regulator that is both essential and sufficient for adipogenesis (Rosen and MacDougald, 2006). Specifically, no factor can induce adipogenesis in the absence of Pparγ (essential), and forced expression of Pparγ is sufficient to induce the adipogenic program of fibroblast (Tontonoz et al., 1994).

The redifferentiation of Ad/N1ICD LPS cancer cells is consistent with the reports that Pparγ ligand can induce terminal differentiation of human LPS cells (Tontonoz et al., 1997; Demetri et al., 1999). However, a phase II clinical trial aiming to evaluate the effect of rosiglitazone in treating patients with LPS yielded unsatisfactory results (Debrock et al., 2003), which can be explained by the observation that tumors of distinct lineage origins may exhibit completely different clinical responses to the same pharmacological intervention (Abraham et al., 2014). Currently, another phase II clinical trial using rosiglitazone to treat LPS is under way.
(ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00004180). Our study demonstrates that aberrant activation of Notch signaling drives the dystrophy of mature adipocytes and their subsequent transformation into LPS, and that Pparγ agonism can efficiently ameliorate the metabolic dysfunction of Ad/N1ICD mice and induce redifferentiation of DDLPS of cultured Ad/N1ICD cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All procedures involving mice were performed in accordance with Purdue University’s Animal Care and Use Committee. The following mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory: Adiponectin-Cre (Eguchi et al., 2011), RosaNiICD (Murtaugh et al., 2003), PtenF/F (Groszer et al., 2001), Leptinrb (Coleman and Hummel, 1973), RosaNRasG (Muzumdar et al., 2007), and RosaAl-loxP (Madsen et al., 2010). Mice were in C57BL/6J and 129S4 mixed background and housed in the animal facility with free access to water and standard rodent chow food or HFD (TD.06414; Harlan). Littermate control mice were used for comparisons with mutants. RosamTmG (Madisen et al., 2010). Mice were 2007), and Rosatd-T omato (Madisen et al., 2010). Mice were

Genomic DNA recombination and gene copy number quantification assays

Genomic DNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform, and analyzed by PCR using specific primers that detect the intact loxP sequence and deletion of loxP-flanked sequence at RosaNiICD loci (Canalis et al., 2013). DNA copy numbers were quantified by real-time PCR (Roche Light Cycler 480 PCR System) with SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche) using Mdm2 primer (forward, 5′-TTAGGGCGTAGTCTA GGGTATG-3′; Reverse, 5′-GTAGAGGAGGTGAAAGAT TTAG-3′). Approximately 100 ng genomic DNA was used in each amplification. The 2−ΔΔCt method was used to analyze the relative changes after normalization with glucagon genomic DNA (Fan et al., 2012).

Blood glucose and insulin measurement

5 µl blood collected from tail vein was dropped onto a glucose test strip (Accu-Check Active; Roche) and measured by a glucometer (Accu-Check Active, Roche). For insulin tolerance test (ITT), mice were fasted for 4 h before i.p. administration of human insulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; 0.75 U Kg−1 body weight), and tail blood glucose levels were monitored. For ITT, mouse was singly caged with blinded cage number and random orders. The 5 µl of blood was used for ELISA analysis of insulin concentration, using a mouse insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem).

Lipid extraction and mass spectrometry

Inguinal WAT samples were lysed in RIPA buffer, and centrifuged 20 min at 13,000 at 4°C. The top lipid layer was diluted in 200 µl chloroform, mixed well, and centrifuged 10 min at 16,000 g. A volume of 15 µl polar phase was diluted with 200 µl CHCl3/MeOH/water with 300 mM ammonium acetate at the proportion of 300/665/35 (vol/vol/v), and 130 µl of chloroform. Samples were then loaded by a G1377A microunместropy. An Agilent 6410 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer was used to monitor the m/z of each free fatty acid or full mass scan in negative ion mode. Next, 8 µl of the lipid extract was delivered by flow injection to the ESI source of the instrument. Solvent used was CHCl3/MeOH/water (300/665/35 [vol/vol/vol]) with 300 mM ammonium acetate. Data were processed using MassHunter software and exported for further data analysis. Values of ion intensity of each free fatty acid/ion of the full mass spectra were normalized by the total ion intensity.

Cell culture and chemical treatment

Human DDLPS cell line (LPS246) was provided by Y. Lu (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; Peng et al., 2011). LPS cells (passages #37–40) were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS with DMSO or DAPT (10 µM) for 2 d. At the end of the experiments, cells were collected for RNA extraction, or fixed and stained with crystal violet to visualize colony density, and quantified with densitometry using Image J software (National Institutes of Health). Mouse stromal-vascular fraction (SVF) cells were isolated from subcutaneous WAT unless otherwise stated. Adipose tissue was minced and digested with 1.5 mg ml−1 collagenase at 37°C for 1.5–2 h. The digestions were stopped with DMEM containing 10% FBS, filtered through 100-µm filters, and centrifuged at 450 g for 5 min. SVF cells were seeded and cultured in growth medium containing DMEM, 20% FBS, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 3 d. Upon confluence, preadipocytes were induced to differentiate with induction medium containing DMEM, 10% FBS, 1 µg ml−1 insulin, 5 µm dexamethasone (DEXA; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-methylxanthine (IBMX; Cayman Chemical), 1 mM T3 for 4 d, and then 4 d in differentiation medium contains DMEM, 1 µg ml−1 insulin and 1 nM T3 until adipocytes mature. During the induction and differentiation, cells
were treated with 0.2 µM rosiglitazone or vehicle control (DMSO). Oil red-O staining was performed as previously described (Liu et al., 2012), and the multi-well images were taken with an imaging system (FluorChem R, FR0116). Cells were used for immunostaining with Perilipin antibody (sc-67164) obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.

**LPS246 xenograft and DBZ treatment**

Human LPS246 cells (2 × 10⁶) were injected subcutaneously into the flank of 16–17-wk-old NSG mouse. Before injection, the cells were suspended in 50 µl HBSS and mixed with 50 µl Matrigel. DBZ was purchased from TOCRIS Bioscience and dissolved in DMSO at a 100-mM concentration. Immediately before administration, the stock was suspended at 1:100 dilution in a solution containing 0.5% Methocel E4M (wt/vol; Dow Chemical) and 0.1% Tween-80 (wt/vol; Sigma-Aldrich) in H₂O. The working solution was mixed by vortex and sonication for 1 min, and i.p. injected at a dosage of 10 µmol DBZ/kg mouse body weight every 2 d starting from day 15 after LPS246 cell injection. Control group mice were injected with equal volumes of DMSO diluted in E4M/Tween-80 solution. Control and DBZ treatment groups were randomly grouped.

**Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cells or tissues using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I to remove contaminating genomic DNA. The purity and concentration of total RNA were determined by a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000c; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 260 and 280 nm. Ratios of absorption (260/280 nm) of all samples were between 1.8 and 2.0. Next, 5 µg of total RNA were reverse transcribed using random primers with M-MLV. Ratios of absorption (260/280 nm) of all 12 libraries was clustered on two lanes of a HiSeq 2033. The 2 −ΔΔCt method was used to analyze the relative changes in each gene’s expression normalized against 18S rRNA expression.

**Microarray, RNA-seq, IPA, and GSEA analysis**

RNA was extracted from 5-wk-old WT and Ad/N1ICD mice, four mice for each genotype. Gene expression was analyzed by microarray with Agilent SurePrint G3 Mouse GE 8 X 60 K chip as previously described (Wirbisky et al., 2015). For RNA-sequencing, RNA was extracted from 8 Ad/N1ICD LPS samples and four 3-wk-old Ad/N1ICD inguinal WATs. The libraries were constructed from total RNA using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep kit after the kit’s instruction. Eight cycles of PCR were amplified. Individual libraries were pooled based on titering using KAPA Library Quantification performed on an Applied Biosystems Step-One qPCR machine. A single pool of all 12 libraries was clustered on two lanes of a HiSeq 2500 High Output chemistry run. The list of significantly changed genes with a fold change ≥ 1.5-fold was used to generate a volcano plot, as well as analyzed through the QIAGEN’s Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA; QIAGEN). GSEA analysis was performed as instructed in the manual (Subramanian et al., 2005). The microarray and RNA-seq data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession nos. GSE80215 and GSE80433.

**Venn diagram**

The up- or down-regulated gene lists were used to generate Venn diagrams with the online Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Genomics tool (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/).
Protein extraction and Western blot analysis
Protein was isolated from cells or tissue using RIPA buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS. Protein concentrations were determined using BCA Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by measurement with NanoDrop. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate PAGE (SDS-PAGE), transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore), blocked in 5% fat-free milk for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated with primary antibodies diluted in 5% milk overnight at 4°C. Cdk4 (sc-260), p16 (sc-1207), Ppary (sc-390740), C/ebp-a (sc-61), Fabp4 (sc-271529), Adiponectin (sc-26497), Hes1 (sc-25392), Pgc1α (sc-13067), and Gapdh (sc-32233) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Pten (#9188) antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. The Mdm2 (OP46) antibody was obtained from Millipore. N1ICD antibody was purchased from Abcam (ab8925). The HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (anti–rabbit IgG or anti–mouse IgG; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was diluted at 1:5,000. Immunodetection was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) Western blotting substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and detected with an imaging system (FluorChem R FR0116). Alternatively, the membrane was incubated with an infrared secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 790 goat anti–mouse IgG; A11357; Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti–rabbit IgG, A21109; Life Technologies) diluted 1:10,000, and the signals were detected by using the Odyssey infrared image scanning system.

Immunofluorescent staining
Tissue sections or cultured cells were fixed in 4% PFA, quenched with glycine (100 mM glycine, 0.2% Triton X-100, and 0.1% sodium azide in PBS), and blocked in PBS containing 2% BSA, 5% goat serum, and 0.2% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 45 min. Tissues or cells were then incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in the same blocking solution at 4°C overnight, and finally visualized with fluorescein isothiocyanate–conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti–mouse IgG, A21124 Life Technologies, and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti–rabbit IgG H&L, ab150077). The primary antibodies used were as follows: mouse monoclonal anti-Ki67 (BD), rabbit anti-cleaved caspase-3 (Asp175) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), and rabbit anti-Perilipin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI that was mixed with secondary antibodies.

H&E and IHC staining
Tissues were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 h at room temperature. Then the tissues were embedded into paraffin and cut 4-µm thick, deparaffinized, and rehydrated through xylene, ethanol, and water by standard methods. Slides were used for H&E staining and the whole slide digital images were collected at 20× magnification with an Aperio Scan Scope slide scanner (Aperio). For IHC, 5-µm thick tissue sections of deparaffinized and antigen-retrieved using the 2100-Retriever (Electron Microscopy Sciences) with antigen unmasking solution (Vector Laboratories). Human tissue arrays were purchased from Biomax (# SO242), which includes four normal human adipose tissue cases, four WDLPS cases, and four DDLPS cases, duplicate core per case. Then sections were blocked by incubating in 3% H2O2 for 5 min to block endogenous peroxidase activity, followed by 1 h in M.O.M. blocking buffer (Vector Laboratories) at 4°C for overnight. Slides were incubated in primary antibodies (1:100 dilutions except for N1ICD as 1:1,000) at 4°C for overnight. Hes1 antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (sc-25392) and N1ICD was purchased from Abcam (ab8925). Biotinylated secondary antibodies were used at 1:200 dilution for 30 min at room temperature. IHC development was performed using Vector reagents and DAB (diaminobenzidine) peroxidase substrate (Vector). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin to visualize nucleus.

Statistics
Trial experiments or experiments done previously were used to determine sample size with adequate statistical power. Measurement values that are beyond fence as determined by interquartile range were considered as outlier and excluded from statistical analysis. All analyses were conducted with Student’s t test with two-tail distribution. Comparison with P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Online supplemental material
Tables S1 and S2, available as Excel files, show lists of differentially expressed genes extracted from the microarray and RNA-seq. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem. 20160157/DC1.
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