Abstract

Background Objectives: Haemodynamic changes are very crucial issues during regional anaesthesia. The purpose of the present study was to observe the immediate and late haemodynamic effects after adding fentanyl to bupivacaine-lignocaine mixtures in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.

Methodology: This randomized clinical study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology in Chittagong Medical College Hospital (CMCH) from March 2011 to May 2012 for a period of one year. All patients with the age group of 18 to 65 years who were selected for upper limb surgery distal to the mid arm were the study population. The random allocation of the patient was done into Group A patients (control group) who were received injection Bupivacaine (0.25%) with injection Lignocaine A (1%) with injection Normal saline (0.9%). Group B patients (treatment group) were received injection bupivacaine (0.25%) with injection Lignocaine A (1%) with injection fentanyl. With all aseptic precaution, supraclavicular brachial plexus block was done using paresthesia technique. Pulse and blood pressure were measured at baseline, intra-operatively and post-operatively.

Result: A total of 60 patients were enrolled in this study with 30 patients in each group named group A and group B. The mean age of group A and group B were 32.43±12.25 and 34.90±13.05 respectively (p=0.453). The mean pulse rate of group A (79.53±5.03) and group B (78.10±4.26) at baseline level increased at the time of injection (88.30±5.77 and 85.63±4.87 respectively). At 8 hours interval mean pulse rate of group A was 86.47±5.51 compared to that of group B (86.07±3.08). The mean systolic blood pressure of group A (126.50±18.21) and group B (120.07±11.61) at baseline level increased at 0 minute at the time of injection (129.70±15.34 and 123.17±10.57 respectively). The mean diastolic blood pressure of group A (71.73±11.20) and group B (72.73±11.45) at baseline level increased at 0 minute (75.97±12.74 and 75.80±12.85 respectively). At 8 hrs interval mean diastolic pressure of group A was 71.73 ±11.20 compared to that of group B (71.73±11.20).

Conclusion: In conclusion there is no significant immediate or late haemodynamic effects after adding fentanyl to bupivacaine-lignocaine mixtures in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.
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Introduction

Brachial plexus block is gaining popularity day by day over general anaesthesia for upper extremity surgery\(^1\). Local anesthetics with the mixture of different drugs are intended to provide faster block onset than long-acting agents which extend the duration typically seen with intermediate-acting or short-acting agents. These intermediate-acting or short-acting agents provide clinically significant advantages\(^2\). Bupivacaine is frequently in brachial plexus blocks. However, onset of action and duration of anesthesia are the limiting factors. To minimize these drawbacks, many drugs including buprenorphine, morphine, fentanyl and dexamethasone have been co-administered with local anesthetic to achieve quicker onset, improve the analgesic intensity and prolong the duration of action\(^3\).

The administration of opioid improves regional anesthesia without centrally mediated side effects, it would be useful in clinical practice\(^4\). However, number of trials have examined the peripheral analgesic effect of opioids in a large variety of surgical settings particularly arthroscopy and conduction nerve blocks\(^5\). The addition of opioids in brachial plexus block is
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reported to improve success rate and postoperative analgesia. Fentanyl could act directly on the peripheral opioid receptor. Primary afferent tissues have been found to contain opioid binding sites. Because the presence of bidirectional axonal transport of opioid binding protein has been shown, fentanyl may penetrate the nerve membrane and act at the dorsal horn. This could also account for the prolonged analgesia. However, fentanyl is reported to have a local anaesthetic action. Furthermore, fentanyl may potentiate local anaesthetic action via central opioid receptor-mediated analgesia by peripheral uptake of fentanyl to systemic circulation.

The synergistic interaction between local anaesthetics and opioids with epidural administration has been reported. It appears that local anaesthetics and opioids exert their action independently via different mechanisms. Local anaesthetics block propagation and generation of neural action potentials by a selective effect on sodium channels, whereas opioids act on the opioid receptors creating an increase in a potassium conductance. showed that morphine depressed both sodium and potassium currents associated with the action potential in squid giant axons. Therefore, the combination of local anaesthetics and opioids may effectively inhibit multiple areas of neuronal excitability.

Few clinical studies are carried out using fentanyl as an adjuvant in supraclavicular brachial plexus block. Considering the fact, this present study was undertaken to compare the immediate and late haemodynamic effects after adding fentanyl to bupivacaine-lignocaine mixtures in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.

Methodology:
Study Settings and Population: This prospective, randomized, comparative, single-blinded clinical study was conducted in the Department of Anesthesiology in Chittagong Medical College Hospital (CMCH) in collaboration with orthopedics, general surgery and plastic surgery department. The hospital had 1000 bedded tertiary care hospital for Chittagong city and the surrounding district was covering around 10 million population. The duration of the study was from March 2011 to February 2012 for a period of one year. All patients with the age group of 18 to 65 years who were selected for upper limb surgery distal to the mid arm like elbow, forearm and hand in the departments of orthopedics, general surgery and plastic surgery of CMCH were the study population fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients belonging to ASA grade I and II according to American Society of Anaesthesiologist classification normal healthy patients and patients with mild systemic illness respectively undergoing elective operation for upper limb distal to mid arm were include in his study. Patients with coagulopathy, History of allergy to local anaesthetics, History of hypertension, History of peripheral neuropathy, History of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Psychological disorder or Anatomical distortion and infection at the site of injection were excluded from this study. Protocol was ethically reviewed, approved and institutional clearance was obtained from appropriate authority of Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Chittagong.

Randomization and Blinding: For random allocation of the patient into groups there were 2 cards. One card marked ‘A’ and another marked ‘B’. Doctors on duty in the pre-anaesthetic assessment shuffled the cards and patients who consent for participating in the study were asked to draw a card blindly. Patients who drew card ‘A’ were allocated into Group-A while the patients who drew card marked ‘B’ were assigned to Group-B.

Allocation: Group A patients (control group) were received injection Bupivacaine (0.25%) 19ml with injection Lignocaine A (1%) 19ml with injection Normal saline (0.9%) 2ml (total 40ml). Group B patients (treatment group) were received injection bupivacaine (0.25%) 19ml with injection Lignocaine A (1%) 19ml with injection fentanyl 100ug (2ml) (total 40ml). Pre-anaesthetic assessment and informed written consent was taken from each of the patients who had fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria and pre-operative fasting was kept for 6 hours. In the operation theatre, baseline pulse, NIBP (noninvasive blood pressure) were recorded. Block was performed with having all the facilities of resuscitation equipments and lifesaving drugs. With all aseptic precaution, supraclavicular brachial plexus block was done using paresthesia technique. The time to achieve complete block was noted. Intravenous sedation injection diazepam (10 mg) and injection Ketorolac (30 mg IM) as an analgesic were given according to patient’s requirement. Any occurrence of side effect in the postoperative period was also noted.

Follow up and Outcome variables: Pulse and blood pressure were measured at baseline, intra-operatively
and post-operatively. The time of block was noted and the patient was monitored with pulse oxymeter, NIBP (non-invasive blood pressure).

**Statistical Analysis:** All the relevant data were compiled on a master chart first. Statistical analysis of the results was obtained by using window based computer software devised with Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS-v18). The continuous data were expressed as mean ± SD. The categorical data were expressed as number and percentage and were analyzed with the Chi-Square test. An unpaired Student’s t test was used to test for differences in means for continuous variables. A two-sided P<0.05 level of significance was selected for all analysis.

**Result:**
This study was planned to compare the anaesthetic and analgesic efficacy of adding fentanyl to bupivacaine-lignocaine mixtures in supraclavicular brachial plexus block for upper limb surgery. A total of 60 patients were enrolled in this study with 30 patients in each group named group A and group B. Group A received lignocaine-bupivacaine mixtures (1% lignocaine-A, 0.25% bupivacaine) and was considered control group. Group B received fentanyl (100µg) with the local anaesthetic mixtures. The weight range of the study population was not significantly differ. The mean age of group A and group B were 32.43±12.25 and 34.90±13.05 respectively (P=0.453). No significant difference was found between the two groups with respect to age. (Table I).

**Table-I**

| Study Groups | Mean ± SD | Range | P value |
|--------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Group A      | 32.43±12.25 | 18 to 60 | 0.453*  |
| Group B      | 34.90±13.05 | 18 to 58  |         |

*NS = Non-Significant; P > 0.05 in unpaired Student’s t test of significance

The mean pulse rate of group A (79.53±5.03) and group B (78.10±4.26) at baseline level increased at 0 minute (at the time of injection) (88.30±5.77 and 85.63±4.87 respectively) which gradually decreased up to 4 hours after operation. At 6 hours interval mean pulse rate of group A was 84.03±4.87 and that of group B was 82.67±3.93. At 8 hours interval mean pulse rate of group A was 86.47±5.51 compared to that of group B (86.07±3.08). But there was no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in pulse rate measured at different time intervals (Table II, III).

**Table-II**

| Time       | Groups   | Pulse/min (Mean± SD) | P value |
|------------|----------|----------------------|---------|
| Baseline   | Group A  | 79.53±5.06           | 0.240NS |
|            | Group B  | 78.10±4.26           |         |
| 0 minute   | Group A  | 88.30±5.77           | 0.058NS |
|            | Group B  | 85.63±4.87           |         |
| 5 Minutes  | Group A  | 85.13±3.23           | 0.053NS |
|            | Group B  | 83.67±2.45           |         |
| 15 Minutes | Group A  | 81.34±3.43           | 0.058NS |
|            | Group B  | 79.66±2.56           |         |
| 30 Minutes | Group A  | 77.80±4.59           | 0.069NS |
|            | Group B  | 75.87±3.42           |         |
| 60 Minutes | Group A  | 74.10±3.91           | 0.164NS |
|            | Group B  | 72.93±2.30           |         |

*NS = Non-Significant; (P > 0.05) in unpaired Student’s t test of significance

The mean systolic blood pressure of group A (126.50±18.21) and group B (120.07±11.61) at baseline level increased at 0 minute at the time of injection (129.70±15.34 and 123.17±10.57 respectively) which gradually decreased up to 2 hrs after operation. At 6 hr interval mean systolic blood pressure of group A was 128.13±9.58 and that of group B was 123.70±10.14. At 8 hrs interval mean systolic pressure of group A was 124.43±14.18 compared to that of
group B (124.40±9.96). At 10 hrs interval mean systolic blood pressure of group A was 126.27±18.08 and that of group B was 120.07±11.61. But there was no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in systolic blood pressure measured at different time intervals (Table IV, V).

**Table-IV**

| Time         | Groups  | Systolic BP (Mean± SD) | P value |
|--------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| Baseline     | Group A | 126.50±18.21           | 0.108NS |
|              | Group B | 120.07±11.61           |         |
| 0 minute     | Group A | 129.70±15.34           | 0.060NS |
|              | Group B | 123.17±10.57           |         |
| 5 Minutes    | Group A | 126.40±13.37           | 0.083NS |
|              | Group B | 121.03±9.93            |         |
| 15 Minutes   | Group A | 125.40±14.05           | 0.073NS |
|              | Group B | 120.04±10.08           |         |
| 30 Minutes   | Group A | 124.47±14.02           | 0.687NS |
|              | Group B | 123.17±10.57           |         |
| 60 Minutes   | Group A | 122.13±13.31           | 0.145NS |
|              | Group B | 117.70±9.66            |         |

**Table-V**

| Time         | Groups  | Systolic BP (Mean± SD) | P value |
|--------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| 2 Hours      | Group A | 123.03±10.90           | 0.962NS |
|              | Group B | 123.17±10.57           |         |
| 4 Hours      | Group A | 127.10±9.55            | 0.136NS |
|              | Group B | 123.17±10.57           |         |
| 6 Hours      | Group A | 128.13±9.58            | 0.087NS |
|              | Group B | 123.70±10.14           |         |
| 8 Hours      | Group A | 124.43±14.18           | 0.992NS |
|              | Group B | 124.40±9.96            |         |
| 10 Hours     | Group A | 126.27±18.08           | 0.119NS |
|              | Group B | 120.07±11.61           |         |
| 12 Hours     | Group A | 124.43±14.18           | 0.992NS |
|              | Group B | 124.40±9.96            |         |

*NS = Not Significant (P > 0.05); Student’s t test of significance

The mean diastolic blood pressure of group A (71.73±11.20) and group B (72.73±11.45) at baseline level increased at 0 minute (at the time of injection) (75.97±12.74 and 75.80±12.85 respectively) which gradually decreased up to 2 hrs after operation. Then it began to rise and at 6 hrs interval mean diastolic blood pressure of group A was 80.97±10.61 and that of group B was 80.97±10.61. At 8 hrs interval mean diastolic pressure of group A was 71.73±11.20 compared to that of group B (71.73±11.20). But there was no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in diastolic blood pressure measured at different time intervals (Table VI, VII).

**Table-VI**

| Time         | Groups  | Diastolic BP (Mean± SD) | P value |
|--------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| Baseline     | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 0.734NS |
|              | Group B | 72.73±11.45             |         |
| 0 minute     | Group A | 75.97±12.74             | 0.960NS |
|              | Group B | 75.80±12.85             |         |
| 5 Minutes    | Group A | 75.97±12.74             | 0.960NS |
|              | Group B | 75.80±12.85             |         |
| 15 Minutes   | Group A | 74.95±12.60             | 0.876NS |
|              | Group B | 73.88±12.05             |         |
| 30 Minutes   | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 71.73±11.20             |         |
| 60 Minutes   | Group A | 75.97±12.74             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 75.97±12.74             |         |

*NS = Not Significant (P > 0.05); Student’s t test of significance

**Table-VII**

| Time         | Groups  | Systolic BP (Mean± SD) | P value |
|--------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| 2 Hours      | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 71.73±11.20             |         |
| 4 Hours      | Group A | 75.97±12.74             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 75.97±12.74             |         |
| 6 Hours      | Group A | 80.97±10.61             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 80.97±10.61             |         |
| 8 Hours      | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 71.73±11.20             |         |
| 10 Hours     | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 71.73±11.20             |         |
| 12 Hours     | Group A | 71.73±11.20             | 1.000NS |
|              | Group B | 71.73±11.20             |         |

*NS = Not Significant (P > 0.05); Student’s t test of significance
Discussion:
Brachial plexus block offers many advantages over general anesthesia for upper limb surgeries such as sympathetic block, better post-operative analgesia and fewer side effects\(^9\). Various approaches can be used to block brachial plexus providing anaesthesia and analgesia for upper extremity surgery\(^1\). In supraclavicular approach the plexus is blocked where it is most compactly arranged at the level of the nerve trunks. As a result, a block with rapid onset can be achieved. This approach also offers a high success rate for elbow, forearm, hand surgery because all the branches of the brachial plexus can be reliably blocked\(^1\). The popularity of this approach was limited in the past because of the risk of pneumothorax. The reported incidence of pneumothorax after supraclavicular block is 0.5% to 6.1%\(^6,7,9\). The incidence of pneumothorax is likely reduced by operator experience and using shorter needles with caution\(^5,9,10\). Local anaesthetics are used for this purpose. Bupivacaine is the most commonly administered drug in this purpose. However, it is cardiotoxic and its delayed onset of action is the limiting factors.

In this study, fentanyl has been used as an adjuvant along with bupivacaine and lignocaine-A local anaesthetic mixtures. A total of 60 patients were enrolled in the study after fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria. They were divided into two groups by card sampling method with 30 patients in each group. Patients of group A received 0.25% bupivacaine and 1% lignocaine-A and was considered control group. Patients of group B received fentanyl (100ìg) along with bupivacaine and lignocaine-A mixtures.

The mean age of group A and group B were 32.43±12.25 and 34.90±13.05 respectively (P=0.453). No significant difference was found between the groups with respect to age. Currently bupivacaine along with opioids are used intrathecally for cesarean section\(^13\). Neuraxial administration of opioids along with local anaesthetic improves the quality of intraoperative analgesia and also provide postoperative pain relief for longer duration. It has been postulated that the total duration of effective analgesia was significantly prolonged (259.4 ± 32.53 min) in Group receiving bupivacaine and fentanyl. In a study by Shashikala et al\(^14\) and Aboel-Enin et al\(^15\) conclude that addition of fentanyl to local anaesthetic mixtures fastens the onset and prolong the duration of akinesia (294 ± 17.89 min) and improve quality of postoperative pain (3.25±0.67hr) in peribulbar block (p=0.00).

This study documents that the mean pulse rate of group A (79.53±5.03) and group B (78.10±4.26) at baseline level increased at the time of injection (88.30±5.77 and 85.63±4.87 respectively) which gradually decreased up to 4 hours after operation. At 6 hour interval mean pulse rate of group A was 84.03±4.87 and that of group B was 82.67±3.93. It seems that the patients of group A required 1st analgesic dose around 6 hours after operation (373.33±12.68). It seems that sensation of pain may have increased the pulse rate. But there was no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in pulse rate measured at different time intervals.

From the result of the present study, it seems that that the mean systolic blood pressure of group A (126.50±18.21) and group B (120.07±11.61) at baseline level increased at the time of injection (129.70±15.34 and 123.17±10.57 respectively) which gradually decreased up to 2 hours after operation. But there was no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in systolic blood pressure measured at different time intervals. Similarly, no statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to changes in diastolic blood pressure measured at different time intervals was found in this study. This notion is consistent with reports of previous studies\(^13,15\) which have shown that adding of fentanyl with the local anaesthetics results in improved anaesthetic and analgesic outcome without any side effects/ complications.

In this study no nausea, vomiting, pruritus, hematoma formation or respiratory distress have been reported. In addition no severe adverse events are also found. Marashi et al\(^16\) have performed a similar study and have reported that there is no significant adverse events or severe adverse events are found which is consistent with the present study. This indicates that the drugs are not harmful to the patients.

Conclusion:
In conclusion this prospective, randomized comparative study of supraclavicular brachial plexus block with local anaesthetic mixtures, with or without fentanyl revealed that addition of fentanyl does not cause significantly pulse and early or late changes of systolic and diastolic
blood pressure. No significant difference is found between the groups with respect to age. Therefore, no significant difference has been observed regarding changes in pulse, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure.
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