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An attempt to detect transient changes in Io’s SO$_2$ and NaCl atmosphere
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**Abstract**

Io’s atmosphere is predominately SO$_2$ that is sustained by a combination of volcanic outgassing and sublimation. The loss from the atmosphere is the main mass source for Jupiter’s large magnetosphere. Numerous previous studies attributed various transient phenomena in Io’s environment and Jupiter’s magnetosphere to a sudden change in the mass loss from the atmosphere supposedly triggered by a change in volcanic activity. Since the gas in volcanic plumes does not escape directly, such causal correlation would require a transient volcano-induced change in atmospheric abundance, which has never been observed so far.

Here we report four observations of atmospheric SO$_2$ and NaCl from the same hemisphere of Io, obtained with the IRAM NOEMA interferometer on 11 December 2016, 14 March, 6 and 29 April 2017. These observations are compared to measurements of volcanic hot spots and Io’s neutral and plasma environment. We find a stable NaCl column density in Io’s atmosphere on the four dates. The SO$_2$ column density derived for December 2016 is about 30% lower compared to the SO$_2$ column density found in the period of March to April 2017. This increase in SO$_2$ from December 2016 to March 2017 might be related to increasing volcanic activity observed at several sites in spring 2017, but the stability of the volcanic trace gas NaCl and resulting decrease in NaCl/SO$_2$ ratio do not support this interpretation. Observed dimmings in both the sulfur ion torus and Na neutral cloud suggest rather a decrease in mass loading in the period of increasing SO$_2$ abundance. The dimming Na brightness and stable atmospheric NaCl furthermore dispute an earlier suggested positive correlation of the sodium cloud and the hot spot activity at Loki Patera, which considerably increased in this period. The environment of Io overall appears to be in a rather quiescent state, preventing further conclusions. Only Jupiter’s aurora morphology underwent several short-term changes, which are apparently unrelated to Io’s quiescent environment or the relatively stable atmosphere.
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1. Introduction

The atmosphere of Jupiter’s volcanic moon Io consists primarily of SO$_2$ and is generated through a combination of direct volcanic outgassing and sublimation of volcanic frost deposits (see e.g. review by Lellouch et al., 2007). The relative contribution of these two sources is subject of many studies with sometimes ambiguous results (e.g., Clarke et al., 1994; Saur and Strobel, 2004; Spencer et al., 2005; Retherford et al., 2007; Roth et al., 2011; Tsang et al., 2015; Jessup and Spencer, 2015; de Kleer et al., 2019a; Hue et al., 2019). Infrared (IR) observations of an SO$_2$ absorption band obtained over a Jupiter season revealed a stable SO$_2$ atmosphere, with moderate but clear dependence on heliocentric distance suggesting that both sublimation and (constant) volcanic outgassing are viable sources (Tsang et al., 2012). The most compelling evidence for sublimation being the dominating source was finally also provided by IR measurements: Tsang et al. (2016) detected an SO$_2$ collapse by a factor of 5 ± 2 after Io entered the shadow of Jupiter, which they explained with a decrease of surface temperature and thus of sublimation.

Besides sulfur and oxygen compounds, NaCl was detected in Io’s atmosphere with submillimeter observations (Lellouch et al., 2003). The very low NaCl vapor pressure rules out sublimation as effective source to balance the fast loss via photodissociation (Moses et al., 2002). Instead, NaCl must be sustained through volcanic outgassing, and a localized confinement to individual volcanic sites is also consistent with observations (Moulet et al., 2010, 2015). Besides direct outgassing of gaseous NaCl, vaporization of NaCl condensates might become a possible source if volcanic eruptions lead to surface temperatures above 1000 K (Von Vartenberg and Albeeht, 1921) as occasionally observed at Io (McEwen et al., 1998; Keszthelyi et al., 2007).

Through various processes, fast and slow Na atoms are generated and ejected from Io (Wilson et al., 2002) forming extended neutral clouds along Io’s orbit (Brown and Chaffee, 1974; Schneider and Bagental, 2007). Although only a rare trace species in the magnetosphere, the sodium atoms are easily detected because of their high resonance scattering efficiency and are therefore often used as a diagnostic for monitoring the Jovian neutral environments (e.g., Mendillo et al., 1990; Grava et al., 2014).

An average amount of 1 ton/s of material, primarily sulfur and oxygen, is lost from Io’s atmosphere to the magnetosphere through collisions with the corotating plasma (Broadfoot et al., 1979). Model results suggest that 80% of this mass is lost as neutrals while 20% is directly ionized in the atmosphere and picked up by the magnetic field (Saur et al., 2003). Most of the lost neutrals are also eventually ionized and accumulate along Io’s orbit where they form the Io plasma torus near the moon as well as the magnetospheric plasma sheet when radially transported outwards (see e.g., review by Thomas et al., 2004). The mass loss from Io’s atmosphere is the main source of plasma for Jupiter’s huge magnetosphere and substantially affects its dynamics and extent (Krupp et al., 2004; Khurana et al., 2004). A striking observable effect of the plasma input and transport within the magnetosphere is Jupiter’s bright main auroral emission. The region where the plasma rotation lags Jupiter’s corotation magnetically maps to the continuously present main emission of the aurora, initially explained through a stationary field-aligned electric current system (Cowley and Bunce, 2001; Hill, 2001). Recent results from the NASA Juno mission indicate that the generation of Jupiter’s main auroral emission is more diverse and dynamic, including broadband or stochastic processes (Mauk et al., 2017; Saur et al., 2019) as well as magnetic loading and unloading (Yao et al., 2019).

Hence, it is generally agreed on that the mass loss from Io’s atmosphere plays a crucial role for Jupiter’s magnetospheric processes. The source of this atmosphere on the other hand is either directly (outgassing) or indirectly (frost deposit sublimation) connected to Io’s volcanic activity, which is clearly time-variable (e.g., Williams and Howell, 2007). Given the variable nature of the volcanism and Io’s role as primary magnetospheric mass source, various transient changes in the sodium neutral cloud, the plasma and neutral torus, and Jupiter’s auroral morphology and brightness were proposed to originate from a sudden change of the atmospheric mass output triggered by changes in volcanic activity such as strong eruptions. We discuss some of these studies in the following.

Earlier studies claiming such volcanic control used data from individual observing campaigns or specific events like the Cassini flyby at the Jupiter system. Brown and Bouchez (1997) observed a sharp increase in the sodium cloud followed by an increase in sulfur ion torus emissions and interpreted this as volcanic mass loading event. Delamere et al. (2004) modeled a change in torus properties detected during the Cassini survey (2000–2001) and derived a decrease of factor 3 within a month in Io’s mass loading. Bonfond et al. (2012) attributed a systematic change of Jupiter’s auroral emission in 2007 to an increase in volcanic activity, based on the imaging of the Tvashtar volcano plume during the flyby of the New Horizons spacecraft (Spencer et al., 2007). An increase in the sodium brightness happened around the same time (Yoneda et al., 2009).

Since 2013, the Io torus emissions as well as the brightness of Jupiter’s aurora were monitored systematically during Jupiter observing seasons by the Hisaki space observatory (Yoshikawa et al., 2014). The most substantial event documented by Hisaki occurred in February 2015: The oxygen neutral density in the Io torus increased by factor of 2.5 (Koga et al., 2018a), simultaneously with an increase in the sodium cloud brightness seen in ground-based observations (Yoneda et al., 2015). An emission enhancement from singly ionized sulfur in the Io torus was also measured around the same time, and with some delay the emissions from ions of higher charge states increased as well (Yoshikawa et al., 2017; Yoshioka et al., 2018). Following this period, Hisaki detected more frequent intense auroral brightenings accompanied by changes in the color ratio of auroral UV emission that reflects the energy of precipitating electrons (Tsuchiya et al., 2018; Tao et al., 2018). All these observations were explained by reconfigurations of the torus (change of densities and temperatures) and magnetosphere after some period of increased mass loading.

The above mentioned studies claimed that the observed changes were triggered by volcanic activity on Io. The volcanic activity is commonly assessed through observations of thermal IR emissions from volcanic hot spots (e.g., Blaney et al., 1995; Rathbun et al., 2004). However, the temporal and causal relation of the magnetospheric events to the thermal hot spots is not clear.

An often cited study by Mendillo et al. (2004) proposed that the hot spots emission on the sub-Jovian hemisphere, which is dominated by the brightness of Loki Patera, is positively correlated to the Na cloud brightness, but this correlation is derived from a rather small sample size (see their Fig. 2). Another method to constrain volcanic outgassing relation is provided by infrared observations of sulfur monoxide (SO) 1.7 μm forbidden emissions, which are thought to originate directly from outgassed excited SO (de Pater et al., 2002, 2007). Recently, de Kleer et al. (2019a) did not find a significant dependence of the SO emissions to thermal hot spot activity and found a particularly high SO abundance during a time of particularly low thermal emissions at Loki Patera on one occasion. The latter suggests that hot spot activity is not necessarily coupled to plume activity and gas emission.

The systematic magnetospheric changes detected by Hisaki in 2015 were claimed to be associated with one single strong eruption at Kuradalagon Patera (e.g., Yoshikawa et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2018; Koga et al., 2018a). However, the continuous monitoring by de Kleer and de Pater (2016b) and de Kleer et al. (2019b) detected 19 events between 2013 and 2019 that the authors categorized as bright eruptions like the Kuradalagon event in 2015. The argument for Kuradalagon as the trigger of the magnetospheric reconfiguration is only based on the temporal coincidence. It remains unclear why and how the Kuradalagon eruption could have affected the mass loss from Io in a way that a large magnetospheric reconfiguration occurred while other,
sometimes brighter, eruptions did not affect the magnetosphere at all. For example, the new bright outburst designated ‘UP 254’ in May 2018 had an intensity of 125 GW/μm²/sr in the L-band (de Kleer et al., 2019b) (compared to the maximum of 68 GW/μm²/sr at Kuraldagon) but did not lead to measurable changes in the torus (Tsuchiya et al., 2019).

An alternative cause for change in mass loss from Io, independent of volcanic events, would be a reconfiguration of the Jovian magnetosphere: A change in plasma density and temperature in Io’s orbit (through e.g. an interchange event, Bolton et al. (1997)) affects the plasma-atmosphere interaction and thus the mass loading (Saur et al., 1999). Such external triggers for transient or intermittent mass loading changes have not been studied so far to our knowledge.

Some recent studies even provided results that question the basic hypothesis that volcanic outbursts can lead to increased mass loading. In a fortunate IR high-resolution observation, Lellouch et al. (2015) measured a very strong thermal outburst in the continuum emission over the Pillan Patera. The strong continuum allowed a measurement of atmospheric line absorption in the thermal component from the hot spot, which did not reveal any measurable extra SO₂ abundance above this region. This result shows that even extreme thermal eruptions are not necessarily connected to changes in the bulk atmosphere. Furthermore, Monte Carlo simulations by McDaniel et al. (2017) suggest the active Pele plume contributes only about 1% to the atmospheric content and that the net increase from a plume to the hydrostatic atmosphere density on the dayside is only a fraction of the increase on the nightside.

Direct escape of volcanic plume gases is marginal, because the plume ejection velocities are generally below Io’s escape velocity of 2.6 km/s. For a ballistic trajectory, the highest observed plumes of 400 km would imply an ejection velocity of 1.2 km/s, the largest estimated gas temperatures of 800 K (for NaCl, Lellouch et al. (2003)) correspond to a root-mean-square velocity for SO₂ of 0.6 km/s. In addition, simulations revealed that the ejected plume gas is effectively contained by the canopy shocks further reducing the possibility to escape (Zhang et al., 2003; Geisler and Goldstein, 2007). This means that mass loading of volcanic gases probably occurs through the same processes as for the global sublimated atmosphere, namely through primarily elastic collisions of ions and neutrals and secondarily photo-ionization (Saur et al., 1999; Dols et al., 2012; Blöcker et al., 2018).

The fact that large amounts of plume gases cannot escape directly means that if volcanic eruptions indeed affect the bulk mass loss temporally, they also need to lead to measurable short-time changes in the bound atmosphere. However, volcanically induced aperiodic changes in Io’s SO₂ atmosphere have never been confirmed observationally. The SO₂ survey over 10 years by Tsang et al. (2012) revealed only seasonal changes but no stronger aperiodic deviations (see e.g. their figure 12).

In this study, we report four submillimeter observations of SO₂ and NaCl atmospheric emission lines taken over a period of four months in 2016/2017 with the goal to detect volcanically induced changes. The data acquisition and processing is described in Section 2. By fitting results from an atmosphere model to the extracted emission lines, we derive global abundances for SO₂ and NaCl on the observed hemisphere (Section 3). In Section 4, we discuss the obtained abundances on the four different days and in particular the time-variability in the atmosphere and compare them to observations of Io’s volcanic hot spots, the sodium cloud, the sulfur ion torus and Jovian aurora from the same period. Section 5 summarizes the results.

2. Observations and data processing

2.1. Observations

Io was observed by the NORehern Extended Millimetre Array (NOEMA) interferometer of the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) on four occasions, see Table 1. The observations were taken in an intermediate array configuration (C’) providing spatial resolution similar to the size of Io’s diameter (−1 arcsec). A spectral setting was selected such that four SO₂ pure rotational emission lines ([K_a-K_a = 32_{1,28}–32_{1,38}] at 258.389 GHz, 20_{1,11}–21_{6,16} at 256.677 GHz, 9_{3,7}–9_{2,8} at 258.942 GHz, and 30_{2,38}–30_{3,27} at 259.599 GHz) were covered simultaneously with a NaCl line ([J = 20–19] at 260.223 GHz) at a resolution of 0.20 MHz (or 0.24 km s⁻¹).

All four tracks were scheduled such that the anti-Jovian to trailing hemisphere is observed, where the atmospheric density is comparatively high (Spencer et al., 2005; Feaga et al., 2009; Tsang et al., 2013; Jessup and Spencer, 2015) and a large fraction of the bright transient hot spots is found (de Kleer and de Pater, 2016a). The similar longitude coverage enables a comparison of the fluxes measured during each of the observations as well as to the volcanic activity of hot spots on the same hemisphere. The ranges in Io’s central meridian West longitude for each track (CML in Table 1) are roughly centered on 245°W, corresponding to a longitude range of 155°–335°W of the entire observed hemisphere from dawn to dusk limb.

2.2. Data processing

The whole data reduction was performed using the CLIC and MAP-PING packages of the GILDAS¹ software suite (Gildas Team, 2013). For each track, we followed the same sequence of operations consisting of a standard calibration (1), flux calibration (2), and the spectral line extraction (3). The three steps are explained in more detail now, the adopted calibration parameters and references are given in Table 2.

In the first step of the standard calibration (1) the system response is calibrated by measuring a continuum on a strong calibrator. In the second step, atmospheric and instrumental phase and amplitude variations are calibrated for, using measurements of a gain calibrator (a point source with phase and amplitude that should remain constant over the observed time). The best phase rms (root-mean-square) after calibration was obtained for 2017-Apr-29 data set while 2016-Dec-11 was observed under poor conditions (Table 2). The derived amplitude root-mean-squares (rms) were between ~10 and ~25%.

In the final step of the standard calibration, the absolute amplitude scale of the data was determined based on observations of one of the secondary flux calibrators for NOEMA where fluxes are monitored against planets all along the year. Given the high frequency and the relatively poor observing conditions this flux calibration provides a global uncertainty on the order of 15%.

The flux calibration (2) is carried out using Io’s continuum emission. Io is commonly used as primary flux calibrator and good model predictions exist for its thermal emission. Since the goal of our project was to study line emission, we could use Io’s thermal emission to improve the flux calibration as described for the last step of the standard calibration. In the first part of the flux calibration, the emission from line-free channels in the calibrated visibility spectral tables were averaged to generate continuum visibilities for a first image of Io’s continuum emission. Given the high signal to noise ratio of the obtained map,

| Table 1: Details and geometry parameters of the IRAM/NOEMA observations. |

| Obs # | Date       | Start time (UT) | End time (UT) | Sun distance (AU) | Earth distance (AU) | Io diameter (arcsec) | Io CML (degree) | Observed |
|-------|------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|
| 1     | 2016-Dec-11| 04:12          | 11:20        | 5.46              | 5.86                | 0.86                 | 221–280         |          |
| 2     | 2017-Mar-14| 22:30          | 03:53        | 5.45              | 4.55                | 1.11                 | 219–266         |          |
| 3     | 2017-Apr-06| 23:10          | 04:04        | 5.45              | 4.45                | 1.13                 | 228–269         |          |
| 4     | 2017-Apr-29| 21:58          | 01:53        | 5.45              | 4.52                | 1.12                 | 221–254         |          |

¹Io’s central meridian (West) longitude as seen from Earth.
The assumption of prograde winds is not based on a known physical process that could drive such winds, but has a more practical modeling reason. Prograde winds are corotating with Io’s rotation, thus downstream leading hemisphere.

### 3. Atmosphere modeling

The observed SO$_2$ and NaCl lines are fitted using the radiative transfer model used in Moullet et al. (2010). The atmosphere is assumed to be concentrated to a band around the equator with a uniform column density within 35°-N/S latitude, similar to the distribution in Strobel and Wolven (2001). Io’s atmosphere is furthermore assumed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium, with a gas temperature horizontally and vertically uniform. Line opacity is calculated for each 0.25 km thick layer up to two scale heights, using the transition parameters (intensity and lower level energies) from the JPL and CDMS databases (Pickett et al., 1998; Müller et al., 2001; Endres et al., 2016) as provided in the Splatalogue catalog (https://www.cv.nrao.edu/php/splat/). Then local brightness temperature is calculated over a grid of observable disk locations, taking into account airmass, assuming a surface continuum brightness temperature of 100 K (Moullet et al., 2008). Finally a disk-averaged model line is derived, directly comparable to disk-averaged observations.

On each observation date, the four simultaneously observed SO$_2$ lines strongly constrain the gas temperature. Specifically, in an optically thin regime, the ratio of line contrasts between two transitions is equal to the ratio of their line intensities. The intensity of a given transition varies with atmospheric temperature, more or less steeply depending on the transition’s lower energy level. Hence there is only a limited range of temperature solutions which can reproduce the observed line contrast ratio between two transitions. Thanks to the large span in lower energy levels in the observed lines (from 35 to 360 cm$^{-1}$), the atmospheric temperature can be tightly constrained by finding the best temperature which fits all contrast ratios between different lines. In partially optically thick regimes, one can determine simultaneously the atmospheric temperature and SO$_2$ column density, by considering both the relative and absolute contrasts, with the relative contrasts still being primary diagnostic for the temperature. The line-widths are also sensitive to gas temperature, optical depth (i.e. SO$_2$ column density) and other broadening mechanisms (e.g., planetary-scale winds or plume dynamics).

Because the spatial resolution of our observed maps is not sufficient to derive a reliable velocity field, we first assume that the atmosphere is co-rotating with the solid surface (no winds) and retrieve best-fit SO$_2$ column density and temperature. While the line contrasts could be fit well (except for the weak and noisy 258.667 GHz line on Dec 11), the line-widths were significantly underestimated by our no-wind models. In particular, we noted that in the new IRAM data, almost all SO$_2$ lines are significantly lopsided towards red-shifts. If these red-shift signatures are real, there are different possible explanations for the related global atmospheric motions away from the observer. The dominant red-shift could be related to winds from the observed trailing/anti-Jovian hemisphere towards the opposite (leading/sub-Jovian) hemisphere. Such wind direction could be driven by a pressure gradient from the dayside to the nightside or related to drag forces exerted by the flow of the surrounding plasma from the upstream trailing hemisphere to the downstream leading hemisphere.

The mismatch in line widths, with broader observed lines than estimated by the models, is a recurring issue in the modeling of Io’s atmospheric submillimeter lines. Moullet et al. (2010) showed that a strong prograde wind, as observed in Moullet et al. (2008), could be introduced in the radiative transfer model to fit line-widths. The assumption of prograde winds is not based on a known physical process that could drive such winds, but has a more practical modeling reason. Prograde winds are corotating with Io’s rotation, thus producing broader model lines at reasonable wind speeds of a few

### Table 3

| SO$_2$ lines | NaCl line
|-------------|-------------|
| Obs         | 258.389 GHz | 258.667 GHz | 259.942 GHz | 259.979 GHz | 259.999 GHz | 260.223 GHz |
| #           | (K km/s)    | (K km/s)   | (K km/s)   | (K km/s)   |
| 1           | 14.9 ± 1.0  | 6.2 ± 2.1  | 15.7 ± 1.8 | 13.9 ± 1.8 |
| 2           | 15.3 ± 1.0  | 5.8 ± 0.9  | 18.2 ± 0.8 | 16.7 ± 0.9 |
| 3           | 18.1 ± 1.5  | 5.9 ± 1.2  | 20.8 ± 1.4 | 17.6 ± 1.2 |
| 4           | 16.5 ± 1.2  | 5.8 ± 1.3  | 19.4 ± 1.1 | 19.4 ± 1.0 |
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Fig. 1. IRAM/NOEMA maps of the emission for the 258.942-GHz SO$_2$ line and the NaCl line (260.223 GHz) after self-calibration for the four observation days. The levels of the contour lines (thin black) are in 2-sigma steps. Io’s disk is shown in solid white. The beam size is illustrated in the inlet in the lower left and given in Table 2 for the SO$_2$ line.

Fig. 2. Disk-averaged spectra (red) of the four SO$_2$ lines (left four columns) and the NaCl 260.223 GHz line (rightmost column) for the four IRAM observing tracks. The continuum was subtracted. The model results for fitting the brightness temperature (simultaneously for the four SO$_2$ lines) are shown in black. The corresponding images for the SO$_2$ 258.942 GHz line and the NaCl line are shown in Fig. 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
hundreds of meters per second, similar to the expected sound speed at low altitudes (Strobel et al., 1994). For a better agreement with the observations, we also included prorgrade winds in our modeling with the wind speed as free parameter in addition to the gas temperature and column density. We emphasize however that our disk-integrated data does not enable a characterization of any wind pattern. Earlier spatially resolved observations by Moullet et al. (2008) were not in agreement for a better agreement with the model (Fig. 2, right column). If real, this extended wing might originate from a specific eruption, e.g. located on the dusk hemisphere which moves away from the observer.

The resulting NaCl/SO$_2$ mixing ratio are on the low side of previously derived ratios (Lellouch et al., 2003; Moullet et al., 2010). As discussed in Lellouch et al. (2003) the derived values can vary by more than an order of magnitude for different assumptions on the NaCl distribution. When assuming a localized abundance only in plumes the fitted NaCl abundance would be higher.

Given the trends in SO$_2$ and NaCl, the NaCl/SO$_2$ mixing ratio decreases from 11 December 2016 to the observations taken on 14 March, 6 and 29 April in 2017 (Table 4, rightmost column). During the period in March and April it remains stable within uncertainties. Again relating the difference between December 2016 and March/April 2017 to the stable 2017 ratio, we find a relative decrease by 64%±13%.

The stability of the NaCl column density might be interpreted as a quiet atmospheric state, where volcanic outgassing sources are small. Low volcanic activity would imply low supply of NaCl through outgassing. The increase in SO$_2$ abundance on the contrary suggests an increase in the atmospheric sources related to volcanic activity. Seasonal changes (due to the heliocentric distance) and related changes in sublimation yields are negligible within the studied period.

In the following sections, we compare our results with the variability observed in the presence of hot spots on Io, the Jovian neutral sodium cloud, the sulfur ion torus and Jupiter’s polar aurora.

### 4.2. Hot spot activity

The thermal emission from volcanic hot spots has been monitored since 2013 with high cadence by the Keck and Gemini telescopes (de Kleer and de Pater, 2016b; de Kleer et al., 2019b). Here, we focus on the brighter hot spots on the hemisphere covered by IRAM and use the power measured in the L’ filter (3.8 μm) as diagnostic for the activity level. In 26 IR images taken between mid-November 2016 and mid-May 2017 the hemisphere targeted by IRAM was (partly) observed by Gemini or Keck. The times of these 26 observations are shown by gray (sometimes overlapping) vertical lines in Fig. 3b (for complete list of images and CMLs see table 4 in de Kleer et al., 2019b). A selection of six Gemini images is shown in the top panel (a). Hot spot emission in the category of bright eruptions (>20 GW/μm/steradian) as defined in de Kleer et al. (2019b) were measured on four different locations: Marduk, Plutus (orange diamonds in Fig. 3b), Pillan Patera (blue), Loki Patera (red), and an unnamed patera designated P13 (green). The power of all other hot spots (gray) did not exceed 20 GW/μm/steradian, even when including the measurements of the opposite hemisphere not shown in the figure. We first discuss possible relations to the changes at Loki Patera, because of its particular role as periodically brightening patera (de Kleer et al., 2019c) and because it is the brightest hot spot in this period.

### 4.2.1. Loki Patera

Mendillo et al. (2004) suggested that the activity level at Loki is positively correlated to the Jovian sodium cloud brightness through the volcanic outgassing of NaCl to the atmosphere. In our studied period, Loki awakened after a quiet period reaching strong emissions near the later IRAM observations in April. The NaCl abundance is stable and
Fig. 3. Comparison of various measurements obtained in the period from 15 Nov 2016 until 15 May 2017 that are possibly related to Io’s atmosphere: (a) Gemini images (3.8 μm) of volcanic hot spots on the hemisphere (CML in white) observed by IRAM (Table 1) (b) Hot spot brightnesses extracted for specific volcanic regions (colored) from all Gemini and Keck IR images that covered the studied hemisphere (dates of used images shown by gray vertical line). (c) Atmospheric column densities for SO$_2$ (black) and NaCl (green, multiplied with 300) on the four days of our IRAM observations and averages (dotted lines). (d) Brightness of the Na D$_1$ and D$_2$ lines of the sodium cloud measured east (red crosses) and west (blue crosses) of Jupiter and half-month averages (solid lines). (e) Total radiated power of the Io torus (dominated by sulfur ion emissions) measured in the EUV channel of the Hisaki space observatory (crosses) and half-month averages (solid line). (f) Classification timeline of the morphology of Jupiter’s aurora from HST images by Grodent et al. (2018). The “injection” classes (I, in red) are suggested to be related to enhanced mass loss from Io’s atmosphere. The light blue vertical bars are added to guide the eye for the comparison with the IRAM observations. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

the NaCl/SO$_2$ mixing ratio even significantly drops in the period of the increased thermal activity at Loki. Thus, our results dispute the hypothesis that Loki feeds the sodium cloud through outgassing of NaCl.

On the other hand, the increasing activity at Loki Patera coincides with the obtained increase in SO$_2$ abundance, possibly suggesting a positive correlation of volatile (SO$_2$) abundance and thermal hot spot brightness. However, this is in fact rather unexpected, based on the current understanding of the periodic brightening at Loki Patera as overturning lava lake (Rathbun et al., 2002; Davies, 2003; de Kleer et al., 2017). The volatile content in the repeatedly erupting lava is instead expected to be low and thus thermal eruptions not be accompanied by outgassing events. The lack of spatial resolution in the IRAM observations, however, prevents further investigations of the correlation of the minor increase in SO$_2$ and the wakening of the Loki Patera.

4.2.2. Other volcanic spots

Apart from Loki, activity via hot spots detections was found at Pillan patera and the patera designated P13. The bright eruption at P13 was seen only in one image (February 5) and the power had already significantly decreased until February 23, where 2.2 GW/μm²sr (L’-band) were measured. It is unlikely that this activity affected the atmosphere density measured on March 14 or later by IRAM, given the atmospheric SO$_2$ lifetime of a few days (Strobel and Wolven, 2001; Lellouch et al., 2007).

The transient brightening at the Pillan Patera was seen in late February and early March. A faint signal from the site can be seen in the Gemini image from 3 April (Fig. 3a). Hence, the temporal coincidence makes Pillan a possible candidate for causing the increase in SO$_2$ abundance between Dec 2016 and March 14. However, previous observations did not reveal a measurable effect on the SO$_2$ abundance during an even brighter eruption at Pillan patera (Lellouch et al., 2015).
The IR images from early March revealed a few other hot spots with L’-band brightnesses $>5$ GW/$\mu$m/sr (Fig. 3b, gray diamonds), which potentially could be related to the SO$_2$ increase.

The Marduk Fluctus hot spot on the other hand remains relatively bright over the observed period, similar to the behavior observed in the years before the studied period (de Kleer and de Pater, 2016b). A measurable change of the SO$_2$ abundance due to the activity is thus not expected.

We note also that the maximum power of the bright hot spots (other than Loki) did not reach the high level of other events detected in the years before and after (de Kleer et al., 2014, 2019b).

Taken together, the presented data sets do not allow a reliable conclusion on the relation between hot spots and atmospheric abundances. The only detectable change in the IRAM data occurred during the long observational gap between Dec 2016 and March 2017 and it is generally difficult to relate this change to some volcanic event in this longer period. Given the short atmospheric life times, a volcanic outburst might lead to only transient changes over a few days. The sparsity of hot spot observations directly before the IRAM observations in March and April 2017 further hampered the interpretation.

4.3. Magnetospheric neutral and plasma environment

4.3.1. Sodium cloud

The sodium cloud surrounding Jupiter’s magnetosphere was observed frequently between 23 Dec 2016 until later in 2017 from Mt. Haleakalā, Maui, Hawaii. The measured brightness relates to the resonantly scattered sunlight from the sodium D$_1$ and D$_2$ lines. For more details on instrument, method and data processing, see Yoneda et al. (2009). Fig. 3d shows each measurement on the eastern (red crosses) and western sides (blue crosses) of Jupiter at a distance of 25 R$_J$ to the planet. The majority of the measurements are consistent (within the measurement uncertainties, not shown in plot) with the average brightnesses of 17 R (East) and 18 R (West) of this period. These average brightnesses are also similar to values previously found for periods of stable sodium cloud brightness (Yoneda et al., 2009).

When comparing the early period (23 Dec to 15 Jan) to the period of March and April 2017, there is a minor decrease of 10% (East) and 14% (West). This could be related to the decrease in NaCl/SO$_2$ mixing ratio in the IRAM data (Table 4). However, a change in total abundance of NaCl in the atmosphere was not derived and the only minor change in the cloud prevent further conclusions. For comparison, in an extreme case in early 2015, these sodium brightnesses increased to values higher than 60 R within about one month (Yoneda et al., 2015). Therefore, the period studied here shows a quiescent sodium cloud.

Of the detected bright volcanic eruptions, none appears to have affected the sodium cloud. In the same time period where the sodium cloud slightly decreases, the volcanic activity overall rather undergoes an increase (see discussion above and panels a and b in Fig. 3). In particularly, the Loki Patera, where the activity strongly increased from February 2017 (hardly detectable) until May 2017 (>80 GW/$\mu$m/sr), seems to be uncorrelated to the sodium cloud.

4.3.2. Plasma and neutral torus

Next, we look at the sulfur ion emissions from the Io torus, which was monitored by the Hisaki satellite (Yoshikawa et al., 2014) from November 2016 until 13 April 2017. Fig. 3e shows the total radiation power of the Io plasma torus integrated over the wavelengths between 65 and 78 nm, including line emissions of sulfur ions, S$^+$, S$^{2+}$, and S$^{3+}$ (Kimura et al., 2017). We used the level-2 spectrograph image, for which detected photons are accumulated for 1 min (Kimura et al., 2019). The level-2 images obtained during one Hisaki orbital period around the Earth (~106 min) were integrated with resulting total integration times of 30–60 min.

There is a decrease by 15(±8)% from the average brightness of 370 GW in December 2016 down to 310 GW averaged over March and April 2017. Even though this trend is clear and significant, it is again only a minor change when compared for example to the event in 2015 where the power almost doubled in less than two months (e.g., Yoshioka et al., 2018; Kimura et al., 2018).

The decrease in the torus coincides with the observed 30% increase in SO$_2$ abundance in the atmosphere. The time scales derived for the changes in the neutral and plasma torus emissions measured by Hisaki in 2015 are between 10 and 40 days (Yoshioka et al., 2018; Koga et al., 2019). The different trends can thus hardly be explained by temporal delay of the response from the torus. The anti-correlation disagrees with the general understanding that higher atmospheric abundances leads to higher mass loss and thus higher torus. The torus trend could originate e.g. from changes in the electron temperature which affects the torus EUV emission in addition to the torus densities. In optical torus emission measurements, which are more sensitive to density, Schmidt et al. (2018) did not report unusual SI brightness in the period March–May 2017 either.

The torus brightness decrease is, however, similar to the found decrease in the sodium cloud brightness (although the sodium monitoring started only later on Dec 23). This similar trend in ion torus emissions and sodium emissions together with opposed trend in the SO$_2$ atmosphere is more consistent with a change that originated in the magnetosphere but inconsistent with an atmospheric change as trigger.

The Hisaki data on the neutral oxygen torus emissions (Koga et al., 2018a,b) from the studied period are not yet calibrated and are therefore not included in this discussion.

4.3.3. Aurora activity

Using the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), Grodent et al. (2018) systematically imaged Jupiter’s aurora in the period between 30 November 2016 and 18 July 2017. They systematically classified the observed emission morphologies into six aurora families. Fig. 3f shows the changes between the morphology families ‘quiet’ (Q), unsettled (U), narrow (N), injection (I, in orange), strong injection (I, in red), and external perturbation (X). The two ‘injection’ morphologies were interpreted to arise from magnetospheric plasma injections into the magnetosphere from Io after a strong volcanic eruption (or several strong eruptions) (Grodent et al., 2018; Bonfond et al., 2012).

HST detected clustered ‘injections’ morphologies (including ‘strong injection’) during several periods in late 2016 and early 2017. One such period happened around the first IRAM observation in December 2016, where the SO$_2$ abundance was lowest. Another one between the second and third IRAM observation. None of these periods with multiple ‘injection’ morphology detections seem to be reflected by any of the other data discussed here, although the observing times are not always overlapping. For example, there were frequent IR observations of Io’s volcanic activity before the ‘strong injection’ aurora period in late January 2017, but the only brighter detected hot spot was at the ‘Marduk Fluctus’ region, which had been constantly active long before (see panel b). Further comparisons are difficult, as the aurora morphology is very dynamic and changes quickly on time scales of days. These changes are probably dominated by various processes of the magnetospheric dynamics and not by the mass loss from Io.

An aurora morphology similar to the ‘injection’ class that did not coincide with any known volcanic activity of magnetospheric changes was observed before for example by Badman et al. (2016). Explanations other than enhanced mass loading for the particular aurora morphology could be that a global magnetospheric reconfiguration that includes the inward injections is triggered further out in the magnetosphere (Louarn et al., 2014; Haggerty et al., 2019).

5. Summary and open questions

We have observed line emissions from SO$_2$ and NaCl in Io’s atmosphere over roughly the same hemisphere on four days between 11 December 2016 and 29 April 2017. By fitting simulated fluxes from
an atmosphere model to the observed fluxes, we find that the SO$_2$ abundance on the three days in 2017 is stable with a column density of N$_{SO_2}$ = 1.1 x 10$^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$. Compared to this stable period, a 30% lower column density was derived for December 2016. The model fits for the simultaneously measured NaCl line revealed a roughly stable NaCl abundance of N$_{NaCl}$ = 1.2 - 1.4 x 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ for all four dates. Our abundances are generally consistent with earlier observations, but we note that the absolute abundances of the species depend on model assumptions, and the focus of this work is on relative changes between the observations.

The increase in SO$_2$ from December 2016 to March/April 2017 cannot be explained by seasonal variations, suggesting that it is instead related to changes in the volcanic source of the atmosphere. The constant abundance of the volcanic trace gas NaCl (and thus decreasing NaCl/SO$_2$ mixing ratio) does however not support this possible change in volcanic supply to the atmosphere. Although the change in SO$_2$ has a 2-$\sigma$ significance, we note that the observing conditions were least favorable during the Dec 2016 track (unfavorable weather, smallest angular size of Io) possibly affecting the signal.

The change in the bulk SO$_2$ atmosphere observed by IRAM coincides with a significant increase of the Loki hot spot brightness. However, a significant effect on the SO$_2$ from Loki is not expected given our understanding of the patera as periodically overturning lava lake Rathbun et al. (2002).

Mendillo et al. (2004) had suggested that the jovian sodium cloud brightness is correlated to Loki’s activity via the outgassing of NaCl. Dissociation of NaCl in Io’s atmosphere and production of fast Na atoms is generally agreed on to be the primary source for the sodium cloud. A change in the sodium cloud would thus require a change in atmospheric NaCl, which is not seen in the IRAM data. Furthermore, the sodium cloud brightness became fainter during the period of the wakening of the activity at Loki and the EUV torus brightnesses faded as well. Taken together, the presented data sets contradict the results of the study by Mendillo et al. (2004), which is often cited as main evidence for a connection of volcanic activity to mass loss from the moon.

The other hot spot activity during the observed period revealed considerable brightenings (at the lower end of the “bright eruption” category of de Kleer et al. (2019b)) at two sites (Pillan Patera and P13) between the first and later IRAM observations. The eruptions at these hot spots could possibly be related to the increase in the bulk SO$_2$ atmosphere, but the limited coverage and overlap prevent further conclusions.

The monitoring of the jovian sodium cloud and Io plasma torus in general revealed a quiescent (i.e., no transient changes) environment, consistent with a stable atmosphere. Observed frequent appearances of a fainter, more extended main emissions in Jupiter’s aurora, earlier suggested to relate to increased mass loading (Bonford et al., 2012), are not reflected in any of the other data and are thus likely unrelated.

Taken together, both the atmosphere and the jovian environment can be considered quiescent in the studied period. This prevents further conclusions on the volcanic changes of the magnetospheric environment. Hence, the detection of an unambiguous transient volcanic change in Io’s bulk atmosphere remains an unresolved task. Independently of the observational evidence, key questions on the influence of changes in Io’s volcanic activity on the magnetospheric environment through the atmospheric mass loss remain unanswered:

- Can changes in volcanic activity lead to significant changes in the bulk atmospheric loss?
- If so, what are the characteristics of such ‘volcanic mass loading events’ and under what conditions do they happen?
- What are the time scales of the involved processes?

Possible scenarios could be that the outgassing level from the volcanic sites undergoes global changes that are not directly observable through hot spot emissions or otherwise. The location of the outgassing sites could also play a role for the effectiveness of atmospheric sputtering to allow the plume gas to escape. However, a change in mass loading from Io’s atmosphere through the ion-neutral collisions can also be caused by an ‘external trigger’ in plasma torus properties like an increase in density not triggered or initiated by Io’s volcanic activity (as mentioned in the introduction). In order to address and possibly resolve this issue in the future, continuous dedicated monitoring of key atmospheric species (such as SO$_2$ and NaCl) with spatial resolution across Io’s disk and optimally during a period of strong changes in the plasma environment is needed.
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