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Motivated by the dramatic success of realizing cavity exciton-polariton condensation in experiment we consider the formation of polaritons from cavity photons and the amplitude or Higgs mode of a superconductor. Enabled by the recently predicted and observed supercurrent-induced linear coupling between these excitations and light, we find that hybridization between Higgs excitations in a disordered quasi-2D superconductor and resonant cavity photons can occur, forming Higgs-polariton states. This provides the potential for a new means to manipulate the superconducting state as well as the potential for novel photonic cavity circuit elements.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The question of how to access the Higgs mode of superconductors has been of interest for a long time. Beginning with the work of Littlewood and Varma [1] a number of works have studied the interaction of the Higgs mode with other types of excitations [2–4]. Of particular interest have been attempts to access the Higgs mode with light. There has been success in these endeavors, through, e.g., intense laser pulses [5–7] or Raman spectroscopy [3]. These schemes rely on couplings in the nonlinear regime since the Higgs mode does not couple to light at the linear response level [8]. However, it has recently been understood that a linear coupling between photons and the Higgs mode of a disordered superconductor can be induced with the addition of a uniform supercurrent [9], part of a pattern in which a supercurrent allows access to normally difficult-to-see superconducting modes [10]. Indeed, such a supercurrent-mediated linear coupling has recently been implemented successfully in NbN [11], allowing for observation of the Higgs mode in optical measurements.

At the same time there has been a surge in interest in the physics of superconductors coupled to cavity QED systems. A number of schemes for realizing superconductivity with novel pairing mechanisms [12–14] and for enhancing the strength of the superconducting state [15,16] have been proposed using these types of systems. Our work operates at the boundary of these two ongoing lines of inquiry, marrying developments in the coupling of cavity photons to matter with the advances in accessing the collective modes of superconductors.

In this work we derive a model of polaritons formed from cavity photons and the Higgs mode of a quasi-2D superconductor. Our primary results, presented in Fig. 1, show the two Higgs-polariton modes formed from the hybridization of a cavity photon mode and the Higgs mode. Notably, the lower polariton band is below the quasiparticle continuum and remains a well-defined excitation. Additionally, as in Ref. [10], because the light-matter coupling is the result of an externally imposed supercurrent, the extent of hybridization can be further controlled via the magnitude of this current.

Motivated by the condensation of cavity exciton polaritons seen in experiments, we speculate on the implications of forming a finite coherent density of these Higgs polaritons. Since the Higgs mode is an amplitude fluctuation, such a state would lead to a modulation of the strength of the superconducting order with a frequency given by the Higgs mode frequency.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we outline the methodology for our calculation and introduce the action describing our model. Then, in Sec. III we expand the action in terms of low-lying fluctuations and obtain the Higgs-polariton propagator. In Sec. IV we calculate the signature of these Higgs-polariton states in the transmission of photons through the cavity. Finally, in Sec. V we comment on the implications of this construction and discuss possibilities for future work.

II. MODEL

Our goal will be to obtain a coupled bosonic action of the form

\[ S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{q} \left( h(-q) A(-q) \hat{G}^{-1}(q) \left( h(q) A(q) \right) \right) \]

(1)

describing the evolution the Higgs mode \( h \) and cavity photons \( A \), where \( \hat{G} \) is the Green’s function describing mixed propagation of photons and the Higgs modes. Specifically, the diagonal elements describe propagation of photons and the Higgs mode, with their bare forms being obtained in the usual manner from actions in Secs. II A and II B, respectively, while the off-diagonal elements describe mixing of the two excitations.

To this end we will employ the following procedure:

(i) We consider a quasi-2D disordered superconductor within a planar photonic cavity, as depicted in Fig. 2.

(ii) We expand the action of the coupled system about the saddle-point solution corresponding to the BCS ground
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iii) Upon integrating out the electronic modes we generate (a) a linear coupling between the Higgs and the photons and $(b)$ self-energy terms for both bosonic fields.

At the end of this procedure, presented in Sec. III, we are left with an action in the form of Eq. (1). From the retarded component of this Green’s function we will extract the spectral function $-2\pi i A = G^R(\omega, q) - G^A(\omega, q)$ shown in Fig. 1.

Schematically, both photons and the Higgs mode couple to the low-energy modes of the system. These low-energy modes therefore mediate a coupling between photons and the Higgs, giving rise to Higgs polaritons. This follows a general pattern for the coupling of light to quasiparticle bound states and collective modes [10].

A. Cavity photons

The photon sector is described by the Keldysh action

$$S_{\text{cav}}[\bar{a}, \bar{a}] = \int_{\omega, q} \bar{a}^{\dagger}_{\omega, q} a_{\omega + i\kappa - \omega q} \left( \frac{\omega - i\kappa - \omega q}{2i\kappa N(\omega)} \right) \kappa a_{\omega, q, \alpha}$$

with equilibrium distribution $N(\omega) = \coth(\omega/2T)$. The subscript $K$ denotes that the matrix is in Keldysh space. We consider a dispersion $\omega_q = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + e^2 q^2}$, due to quantization resulting from confinement perpendicular to the plane. The frequency $\omega_0 = \pi c/L$, where $L$ is the size of the cavity, is chosen to be near the bare Higgs frequency $\Omega_{\text{Higgs}} \sim 2\Delta$.

The cavity confinement naturally leads to a quantization of the photon field into discrete modes and we consider just the lowest of these, with all higher modes at energy and far from resonance with the Higgs frequency. The decay of photons in the cavity is described by the constant $\kappa$.

The action for the photon mode operators is supplemented by the polarization vectors for the corresponding modes. In the case which we consider here, coupling to a quasi-2D superconductor at the center of a planar microcavity, the polarization vectors are

$$\epsilon_1(q, \frac{L}{2}) = i\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \hat{z} \times \hat{q}, \quad \epsilon_2(q, \frac{L}{2}) = -i\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_q} q_0 \hat{q}.$$ (3)

where the $z$ axis is perpendicular to the plane of the quasi-2D superconductor located at $z = L/2$. Note that in the limit of small $q$ these eigenvectors form an approximately orthonormal basis [17]. The vector potential is expressed in terms of mode operators $a$ as

$$A_{\omega, q} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi e^2}{\omega_q}} [\epsilon_1(q) a_{\omega, q, \alpha} + \epsilon_2(q) a_{-\omega, -q, \alpha}].$$ (4)

We take the photon field to be in the radiation gauge $\nabla \cdot A = 0$.

B. Superconductor

The superconductor is described by a Keldysh nonlinear sigma model (KNLøM) [18,19]

$$i\mathcal{S}_{\text{NLøM}} = -\frac{1}{4\lambda} \text{Tr} \tilde{\Delta} (\tilde{\gamma}^q \otimes \tilde{t}_0) \tilde{\Delta} - \frac{\pi v}{8} \text{Tr} \left[ D(\partial \Phi)^2 \right] + 4\pi i [\tilde{t}_0 \otimes \tilde{\gamma}_j] \tilde{\gamma}_j + i\gamma \tilde{Q}_\text{bath} + \Delta |0\rangle,$$ (5)

where $D$, $v$ are respectively the diffusion constant and density of states of the fermionic normal state, $\lambda$ is the KNS interaction strength, and $\gamma$ is a relaxation rate describing coupling to a bath. All objects with a check (\tilde{ }) are $4 \times 4$ matrices in the product of Nambu and Keldysh spaces, with $\tilde{t}_i$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ representing Pauli matrices in the Nambu and Keldysh spaces, respectively. Tr is used to represent a trace over all matrix and spacetime indices, i.e., $\text{Tr} (\cdots) = \int dtdt' d\tau \text{tr} (\cdots)$, and $\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{B}$ indicates a matrix multiplication over all relevant indices (including convolutions over time indices). $\partial \tilde{X} = \nabla \tilde{X} - i\gamma(e/c)\tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{t}_0$ denotes a matrix covariant derivative and is the means by which the photonic sector couples to the electronic degrees of freedom. The bath is modeled in the relaxation approximation by

$$\tilde{Q}_\text{bath}(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2F(\epsilon) \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \kappa \otimes \tilde{t}_0.$$ (6)

The degrees of freedom of the model are the quasiclassical Green’s function $\tilde{Q}_\sigma(r)$, which is subject to the nonlinear constraint $\tilde{Q} \circ \tilde{Q} = 1$, the vector potential $\tilde{A} = \sum_{\sigma} A_{\sigma} \tilde{\gamma}^\sigma \otimes \tilde{t}_0$, and 2D SC layer

FIG. 2. A schematic depiction of the system we consider, a two-dimensional disordered superconducting (SC) layer with applied supercurrent at the center of a parallel mirror cavity.
and the BCS pair field $\hat{\Delta} = \sum_\alpha (\Delta_0 \hat{\gamma}^\alpha \otimes \hat{\tau}_+ - \Delta^*_0 \hat{\gamma}^\alpha \otimes \hat{\tau}_-)$, where $\hat{\gamma}^0 = \hat{\tau}_0$, $\hat{\gamma}^1 = \hat{\tau}_1$ are the Keldysh space vertices for the classical and quantum fields.

Because Eq. (5) is a somewhat compact expression it is useful to highlight how quantities of interest enter the action. In particular:

(i) The Higgs mode $h$ appears through the substitution $\hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_{BCS} + h$.

(ii) The coupling of the matter system to photons, $A$, appears through the covariant derivative term $D(\hat{\gamma})^2$.

(iii) Both the photons $A$ and Higgs field $h$ couple to the matter field $\hat{Q}$, the role of which will be to mediate a coupling between the former two fields.

It is well established that the Higgs mode of a superconductor does not couple linearly to light due to the absence of electromagnetic moments [8]. One may readily verify that for a uniform BCS state there is no linear coupling of the photons to diffusion modes in Eq. (5), and therefore no linear coupling between the Higgs mode and photons is possible. However, as was pointed out recently [9], in the presence of a uniform supercurrent Missing Ref Link of [20] there is an allowed coupling at linear order. The supercurrent can be included into the KNLSM by the addition of a constant vector potential term $A(r, t) \rightarrow A(r, t) - (c/e) p_\epsilon$, where $p_\epsilon$ is the associated superfluid momentum [21]. Following this substitution, the low-energy electronic modes $\hat{Q}$, coupled linearly to both the photons and Higgs, therefore mediate a bilinear coupling.

C. Saddle-point structure

The saddle-point equations for Eq. (5) are the Usadel equation [22]

$$\partial(\hat{\Delta}_0 \hat{Q}_{sp} \hat{\gamma}_0 + i[\hat{\gamma}_0, \hat{Q}_{sp}]) + i[\hat{\Delta} + i\gamma_{bath}, \hat{Q}_{sp}] = 0,$$

and BCS gap equation

$$\frac{\lambda}{\Delta} = \frac{1}{4\Delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \text{tr}[\hat{\tau}_- \hat{Q}_{sp}^\dagger(\epsilon)],$$

which together determine the mean-field state. At the saddle-point level, the quasiclassical Green’s function has the structure

$$\hat{Q}_{sp} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q}_R^0 & \hat{Q}^\dagger_0 \\ 0 & \hat{Q}^0_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the relation $\hat{Q}^\dagger_0 = -\hat{\tau}_3 [\hat{Q}^0_0, \hat{\tau}_3]$ due to causality, and in equilibrium $\hat{Q}^\dagger_0(\epsilon) = F_{eq}(\epsilon) \hat{Q}_R^0(\epsilon) - \hat{Q}_0^0(\epsilon)$, where $F_{eq}(\epsilon) = \text{tanh}(\epsilon/2T)$—a manifestation of the fluctuation-dissipation relation.

In what follows we choose the global $U(1)$ phase of the order parameter such that the mean-field value is real. All electromagnetic quantities use Gaussian units.

III. HIGGS POLARITONS

We now derive the action of Gaussian fluctuations about the BCS saddle point, describing amplitude mode fluctuations, the low-energy excitations of a disordered superconductor (diffusons and cooperons), and cavity photons. The technical details are presented in Secs. III A, III B, and III C. Those interested in the final answer may skip to the end of Sec. III C, where the final product of the calculation is summarized.

A. Saddle-point solution

Due to the causality structure it is sufficient to solve for the retarded component of the quasiclassical Green’s function,

$$\hat{Q}_R^0 (\epsilon) = \cosh(\theta_\epsilon) \hat{\tau}_3 + i \sinh(\theta_\epsilon) \hat{\tau}_2,$$

where $\theta_\epsilon$ is a complex angle parametrizing the solution of the retarded Usadel equation

$$\Delta \cosh \theta_\epsilon - (\epsilon + i\gamma) \sinh \theta_\epsilon = i \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sinh(2\theta_\epsilon),$$

and $\Gamma = 2D |p_\epsilon|^2$ is the depairing energy associated with the supercurrent. Conjugating Eq. (11) and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon$ establishes the useful relation $-\theta^*_\epsilon = \theta_\epsilon$. In the absence of supercurrent the Usadel equation is solved by

$$\cosh \theta^0_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{\xi_R(\epsilon)}, \quad \sinh \theta^0_\epsilon = \frac{\Delta}{\xi_R(\epsilon)},$$

where we have defined $\xi_R(\epsilon) = \pm \text{sgn} \epsilon \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$ [23]. We provide an exact solution of Eq. (11) in the presence of finite supercurrent in Appendix A.

The Usadel equation is supplemented by the BCS gap equation Eq. (8) to form a closed, self-consistent system of equations for the saddle point corresponding to the disordered limit of the usual BCS self-consistency problem.

B. Gaussian fluctuations

Now we parametrize fluctuations of $\hat{Q}$ about the saddle-point solution as

$$\hat{Q} = \hat{Q}_0 \circ e^{-\hat{W}/2} \circ \hat{\tau}_3 \circ e^{\hat{W}/2} \circ \hat{R},$$

similarly to Refs. [19,21], where in frequency space

$$\hat{R}(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\epsilon/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\epsilon/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{t}_0 & F_{eq}(\epsilon) \hat{t}_0 \\ 0 & -\hat{t}_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

In this parametrization the first matrix describes the spectrum, while the second enforces the fluctuation-dissipation structure on the matrix $\hat{Q}$. One can verify that for $\hat{W} = 0$ Eq. (13) reproduces Eq. (10).

The matrix $\hat{W}$ anticommutes with $\hat{\tau}_3 \otimes \hat{\tau}_1$ and describes fluctuations on the soft manifold $\hat{Q} \circ \hat{Q} = 1$. There are in total 8 independent components of $\hat{W}$ but only 4 of these couple to the amplitude mode or photon. We therefore write the matrix $\hat{W}$,

$$\hat{W}_{cl}(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\epsilon} \hat{\tau}_1 & d^{cl} \hat{t}_0 \\ d^q \hat{t}_0 & e^{i\epsilon} \hat{\tau}_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

in terms of the cooperon $e^{R/4}$ and diffusion $d^q$ fields.

The Higgs mode is introduced by the substitution $\hat{\Delta} \rightarrow (\Delta_0 \hat{\gamma}^0 + h_\epsilon \hat{\gamma}^0) \otimes \hat{\tau}_2$, with $\Delta_0$ a real constant. Having made these substitutions, we expand the action to second order in the fields $c, d, h, \gamma$, and $A$. Only the second-order terms are of significance as the 0th-order terms do not include the fluctuation fields and the first-order terms vanish due to the
where the dependence on the momentum $q$ corresponds to the first term.

saddle-point equation and gauge condition. We are left with

$\mathcal{I} = \pi v \int_{q, \epsilon, \lambda, \beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[ \bar{\pi}_{ee} \tilde{D}_{ee}^{-1} \pi_{ee} + \bar{\pi}_{ee} \tilde{D}_{ee}^{-1} \pi_{ee} \right] + \bar{\lambda}_{ee} \tilde{D}_{ee}^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{ee} \right\}$

where the notation $D \equiv (X^c, X^q)$, and

$\bar{D}_{ee}^{-1} = \tilde{D}_{ee}^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{D}_{ee}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$. \hspace{1cm} (16)

The fluctuation propagators can be expressed in terms of the function $\theta$,

$D_{ee} = \{ -Dq^2 + \mathcal{E}^R(\epsilon) + \mathcal{E}^A(\epsilon) + \Gamma[1 - \cosh(\theta_e - \theta^*_e)] \} ^{-1}$

$C_{ee} = \{ -Dq^2 + \mathcal{E}^{R/(A)}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{E}^{R/(A)}(\epsilon') \} ^{-1}$

$\mathcal{E}^R(\epsilon) = (\mathcal{E}^A)^* = i\epsilon \cosh(\theta_e - \theta^*_e)$. \hspace{1cm} (17)

The three terms of Eq. (16) each represent a different process within the system. The first term is responsible for the dynamics of the diffusion modes of the disordered system. The latter two terms of Eq. (16) constitute a linear coupling to the diffusion modes of the disordered system.

C. Hybrid bosonic action

Upon integrating out the diffusion modes this generates a linear coupling between the Higgs mode and photon field, depicted schematically in Fig. 3, as well as additional terms in the action for each individually:

$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega, q} \left( \tilde{h}(-q) \tilde{A}(-q) \right) G^{-1}(\omega, q) \left( \tilde{h}(q) \tilde{A}(q) \right)$

with [24]

$G^{-1}(\omega, q) = \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{\theta} + \hat{\Pi}_A(\omega) \right)^{-1} \hat{g}(\omega)$

$D_{0,A}(\omega, q)$ is the correlator of the vector potential and can be obtained from the action for the photon mode operators Eq. (2) and the relation Eq. (4). That is, along with the explicit expressions for its elements, Eqs. (23), (25), and (26), constitutes one of the main results of this work.

The generated terms $g$ and $\Pi$ are then expressed in terms of the couplings $s$ and $r$ for a fixed the diffusion and cooperon propagators $D$ and $\mathcal{O}^{(R,A)}$. Explicitly, defining

$\mathcal{F}[\omega, \hat{s}, \hat{r}] = -iv \int d\epsilon \left\{ [\tilde{s}_{ee, ee}^c] \tilde{\sigma}_{ee, ee} + [\tilde{s}_{ee, ee}^d] \tilde{\sigma}_{ee, ee} \right\}$

we have

$\hat{\Pi}_A(\omega) = \mathcal{F}(\omega, \hat{s}, \hat{r})$

$\hat{\Pi}_R(\omega) = \mathcal{G}_{ee} \mathcal{D}_{ee} \hat{F}(\omega, \hat{s}, \hat{r}) + \hat{\Pi}_{MB, ij}$

$\hat{g}(\omega) = \mathcal{G}_{ee} \mathcal{D}_{ee} \hat{F}(\omega, \hat{s}, \hat{r})$. \hspace{1cm} (22)

where the polarization operator arising from the saddle point and $\epsilon_\pm = \epsilon \pm \omega/2$. We will be particularly interested in the retarded Green’s function, which is the q-cl propagator $\mathcal{O}^{(R)}$ (Eq. (20)) in Keldysh space and as such below we give the explicit forms for the elements of the retarded Green’s function.

In evaluating these terms we set $q \rightarrow 0$ in the fermionic bubbles since any finite $q$ terms are an extra factor of $v_F/c$ smaller. In the absence of a supercurrent, the action for the Higgs mode gives the well-known result $\text{Re} \mathcal{O}_{Higgs} = 2\Delta_0 + \Omega(q^2)$, with the finite imaginary part arising only from quasiparticle damping. Nonetheless, the Higgs mode is still damped due to branch cuts in the complex plane. It is this analytic structure that gives rise to the asymptotic decay $h(t \rightarrow \infty) \propto \cos^{(2\Delta t)} / \sqrt{t}$ derived by Volkov and Kogan [25].

While the calculation for the Green’s function can performed for arbitrary supercurrent (cf. Appendices A and C) the results can be understood by considering the behavior at small supercurrent. Working to lowest order in $p_S$ we can drop the supercurrent dependence everywhere but the prefactor to $\hat{g}(\omega)$ in Eq. (22). Using the gap the Higgs component of the retarded propagator takes the form

$[G_h(\omega)]^{-1} = v \int_0^\infty d\xi \left\{ \frac{2\Delta_0^2 - \omega \xi}{\zeta(\xi(+) \zeta(\xi(-)) \xi[\xi(+) + \xi(\xi(-))]} \right\}$

$\times F(\xi(-)) \xi(\xi(-)) \left[ \zeta(\xi(+) \zeta(\xi(-)) \xi[\xi(+) + \xi(\xi(-))] \right]$

$\times [F(\xi(-)) - F(\xi(-))]$. \hspace{1cm} (23)
In the limit of infinitesimal damping this reduces to the familiar expression
\[
\left[ G_h^R(\omega) \right]^{-1} = 2\nu \int_{\Delta_0}^{\infty} d\epsilon \frac{F(\epsilon)}{\omega^2 - 4\Delta_0^2} - 4\epsilon^2 - 4\epsilon^2. \tag{24}
\]
Substituting in the expressions for \(s\) and \(r\) allows us to write
\[
g^R(\omega) = 4\epsilon_c D p_3 i\Delta_0 v
\times \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \left\{ \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-} F(\epsilon_-) 
- \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-} F(\epsilon_+), 
+ \epsilon \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_+ + \zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)\zeta_-} F(\epsilon_-) \right\}
\times [F(\epsilon_+) - F(\epsilon_-)]), \tag{25}
\]
where \(\epsilon_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4\Delta_0^2} / 2\), \(\epsilon_{\pm} = \pm i\sqrt{\omega^2 - 4\Delta_0^2} / 2\), in agreement with Ref. [9], and \(\zeta_{R/A}\) is as in Eq. (12). Additionally, we can see that Higgs mode couples only to the component of \(A\) along \(p_3\). As discussed in Sec. II, for small enough \(\mathbf{q}\) the photon polarizations, Eq. (3), form an orthonormal basis in the plane and we can rotate into a frame where one photon mode is polarized along \(p_3\) and one is polarized perpendicular. We may then focus our attention on the former for the consideration of polarization formation as this is the only component for which Eq. (25) is nonzero in this basis.

Finally, the contribution to the photonic self-energy is exactly the current-current correlator responsible for the Mattis-Bardeen optical conductivity [26]. Explicit calculation gives
\[
\Pi^R_{MB} = iD^2 v \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \left\{ \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)^2 + \Delta_0^2}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)} \right\}[F(\epsilon_+) - F(\epsilon_-)] 
+ \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)^2 + \Delta_0^2}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)} F(\epsilon_-) 
- \frac{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)^2 + \Delta_0^2}{\zeta_R(\epsilon_+)\zeta_R(\epsilon_-)} F(\epsilon_+). \tag{26}
\]

Summary. At this point it is worth recapitulating what we have obtained. By tracing out the low-lying diffusive modes of the superconductor we have found that the bare photon and Higgs sectors are renormalized. Additionally a bilinear coupling between sectors is induced, mediated by the electronic degrees of freedom. We are then left with a \(2 \times 2\) bosonic retarded Green’s function in Higgs-photon space
\[
[\hat{G}^R(\omega)]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
    [G_h^R(\omega)]^{-1} & g^R(\omega) \\
g^R(\omega) & [D^R(\omega)]^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}
\]
Here \(G_h\) and \(D\) describe the propagation of Higgs modes and photons, respectively, and \(g\) provides an amplitude for mixed propagation. Due to these off-diagonal elements the bosonic eigenmodes are of a mixed light-matter character.

The behavior of the hybrid modes is more easily seen by considering the spectral function \(-2\pi i\text{tr}A = G_h^R(\omega, \mathbf{q}) - G_h^R(\omega, \mathbf{q})\). The eigenmodes of the eigenfunctions can be observed by considering \(\text{tr}A(\omega, \mathbf{q})\), shown in Fig. 1. For our numerical calculations, we used \(T_c = 9.5\,\text{K},\, v = 1.6m_e/(2\pi),\, D = 9.4\,\text{cm}^2/\text{s},\,\) and \(T = T_c/2\). The depairing energy \(\Gamma\) was taken to be \(0.1\Delta\). Cavity parameters were \(\omega_0 = 1.5\Delta\) and \(\kappa = 0.1\Delta\). As expected, the upper polariton branch is in the continuum and overdamped. The lower polariton branch, however, is below the two-particle gap, and well defined. This may be clearly seen by looking at cuts of the spectral function for fixed \(|\mathbf{q}|\) as shown in Fig. 4.

IV. HIGGS-POLARITON SIGNATURE IN PHOTON TRANSMISSION

As is the case for exciton polaritons, the clearest way to observe these new Higgs polariton states in experiment is to measure the spectrum of emitted photons after the cavity photon modes have been driven [27]. Because the polariton states have finite overlap with the cavity photon modes, this allows for imaging of the dispersion of the polariton modes.

Here we now derive the transmission of photons through the superconductor-cavity system we have considered thus far, following the usual input-output formalism [28] for a double-sided cavity [29]. An alternative calculation using standard functional integral techniques is presented in Appendix E. The two approaches lead to the same formula for the transmission, Eq. (36), discussed below.

As the first step to obtaining the transmission, we rewrite the action Eq. (19), solely in terms of photon creation and annihilation operators. This is accomplished by first integrating over the Higgs field \(h\) to obtain a photon self-energy term, and then changing basis from the vector potential to the photon occupation operators using Eq. (4). Discarding the counterrotating terms, and making use of the approximate form of the polarization vectors at small \(\mathbf{q}\), we obtain the cavity photon Green’s function
\[
\hat{D}^{-1}_\omega(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = (\omega - \omega_0, \mathbf{q}) \delta_1 - 4\pi c^2 \left[ \hat{\Pi}_{MB}(\omega) + \hat{g}^T (\omega) \hat{G}_h(\omega) \hat{g}(\omega) \right]. \tag{28}
\]
The subscript \(a\) distinguishes the propagator for the photon operators from that for the vector potential, which appears in

![Fig. 4. Cut of the polariton spectral function \(A\) at \(q = 0\) (dashed line) and \(q = \Delta\) (solid line). The upper polariton is a broad feature as a function of frequency and is overdamped, but the lower polariton lies below the particle-hole continuum and appears as a sharp peak.](image-url)
Sec. III. The damping rate $\kappa$ does not appear in Eq. (28). We will introduce damping by coupling the photon modes to a white-noise bath on either side of the cavity, which we will see reproduces the action in Eq. (2) as well as allows us to compute the transmission within the input-output formalism. In particular, the coupling to the bath is

$$S_{a-b} = \sum_i \int_{\omega,\mathbf{q},\Omega} \Gamma_{i;\Omega}(\mathbf{q})[\tilde{b}_{i;\Omega}(\omega, \mathbf{q})\tilde{\sigma}_1 a(\omega, \mathbf{q}) + c.c.]$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

where the index $i \in \{l, r\}$ indicates the left and right sides of the cavity, $\Gamma_i$ is the coupling to each bath, and the bath action is

$$S_{\text{bath}} = \sum_i \int_{\omega,\mathbf{q},\Omega} \tilde{b}_{i;\Omega}(\omega, \mathbf{q})(\omega - \Omega)\tilde{b}_{i;\Omega}(\omega, \mathbf{q}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

The saddle-point equations of motion for the photon fields are then

$$\left[D^R_{\mathbf{q}}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \mathbf{q})\right]^{-1}a^R(t, \mathbf{q}) = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{i;\Omega}(\mathbf{q})b^R_{i;\Omega}(t, \mathbf{q}),$$

$$\left(\frac{i}{\partial t} - \Omega\right)b^R_{i;\Omega}(t, \mathbf{q}) = \Gamma_{i;\Omega}(\mathbf{q})a^R(t, \mathbf{q}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)$$

Henceforth we suppress the superscript cl. We now make the Markovian approximations $\Gamma_{i;\Omega}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{\kappa}$ and furthermore assume that the coupling to the two baths is the same: $\kappa_l = \kappa_l = \kappa$. If we define the input and output fields in the usual way,

$$b_{i;\text{in(out)}(t, \mathbf{q})} = \int_{\Omega} b_{i;\Omega}(t, \mathbf{q})e^{-i(\omega t - \mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r})},$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)$$

Eq. (32) allows us to obtain the boundary condition

$$b_{i;\text{out}} - b_{i;\text{in}} = \sqrt{\kappa} a.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

Furthermore, plugging the retarded solution of Eq. (32) into Eq. (31) gives

$$\left[D^R_{\mathbf{q}}\right]^{-1}a \equiv \left((D^R_{\mathbf{q}})^{-1} + i\kappa\right)a = -i\sqrt{\kappa}b_{i;\text{in}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

We now see that $[D^R_{\mathbf{q}}]^{-1}$ corresponds to the retarded propagator in Eq. (2) plus the self-energy from the coupling to the superconductor. We now consider the case where the input signal comes only from the left side of the cavity, $b_{l;\text{in}} \neq 0, b_{r;\text{in}} = 0$. Going to Fourier space, we can readily solve Eq. (35) and (34) to obtain the transmission coefficient

$$t(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{b_{r;\text{out}}(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{b_{l;\text{in}}(\omega, \mathbf{q})} = -i\kappa D^R_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

The transmission probability $T(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = |t(\omega, \mathbf{q})|^2$ is plotted in Fig. 5. Using the definition of the photonic spectral function $A_{\text{phot}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = -(1/\pi) \Im D^R_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega, \mathbf{q})$ we can express the transmission probability as

$$T(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\pi \kappa^2 A_{\text{phot}}(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{\Im D^R_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega, \mathbf{q})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

Peaks in the transmission then indicate the polariton branches filtered through the photonic states.
cavity losses and the Mattis-Bardeen absorption contribution from the thin film with the latter generally being the stronger of the two in our system. If the photonic lifetime is long enough then the polaritons could come into equilibrium with each other before decaying, allowing for the formation of a quasithermal ensemble. More rigorous work must certainly be done to make a definitive case for condensation, but many of the necessary ingredients are immediately evident.

Assuming that a situation can be engineered where these objects form a condensate, the question naturally arises as to the nature of that state. Since the polariton states have a nonzero overlap with both cavity photon and Higgs modes, a finite coherent population of polaritons implies that both the photon field and the Higgs field acquire a nonzero expectation value. However, it is a highly nontrivial task to write down a theory for the condensed state. The Higgs mode is known to decay asymptotically as \( \cos(2/t) \sqrt{t} \) in the ring-down regime following its excitation [25]. Other related time-dependent solutions have been considered by Yuzbashyan, Levitov, and others, who found a rich variety of integrable dynamics; however they all describe evolution of the order parameter following a quench in the clean BCS model [32–34]. Complicating matters in our case is the presence of disorder and the inherent time dependence of the Higgs mode that would necessarily be reflected in the solution.

Condensation could be potentially realized by the now standard optical parametric oscillation technique, wherein the nonlinearity of the lower polariton branch allows pairs of excitations near the inflection point of the dispersion to decay into a higher-energy idler excitation and a near-zero momentum signal excitation, as has been used to great effect in the experimental realization of exciton-polariton condensation [35,36]. Thus, sufficiently strong driving of the modes near the inflection point should allow for incoherent population of the small momentum states at the minimum of the dispersion, giving the opportunity for condensation without externally imposed coherence. Compared with the usual exciton-polariton case, here there is an additional tuning parameter for achieving this regime in the form of the supercurrent.

Because the Higgs mode represents a change to the magnitude of the superconducting order parameter, accurately describing condensation’s impact on superconductivity requires a new self-consistent solution of a time-dependent Usadel equation. The condensation of Higgs polaritons would likely yield a diversity of dynamical behaviors, involving oscillatory and other types of steady state dynamics of the gap \( \Delta(t) \), depending on the nature of the drive and the details of thermalization and relaxation.
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**APPENDIX A: SOLUTION OF THE BULK USADEL EQUATION WITH A UNIFORM SUPERCURRENT**

Writing the retarded quasiclassical Green’s function as

\[
\hat{G}^r(\epsilon) = \cosh \theta_\epsilon \hat{f}_\epsilon + i \sinh \theta_\epsilon \hat{t}_\epsilon,
\]

one obtains the retarded Usadel equation in the form

\[
\Delta \cosh \theta_\epsilon - \epsilon \sinh \theta_\epsilon = i \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sinh 2\theta_\epsilon.
\]

In the absence of a supercurrent it is straightforward to solve the Usadel equation for a bulk superconductor:

\[
\tanh \theta_\epsilon = \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}.
\]

For a finite supercurrent the solution is not so simple. It is convenient to reparametrize the problem using the Ricatti parametrization:

\[
\cosh \theta_\epsilon = \frac{1 + \xi^2_\epsilon}{1 - \xi^2_\epsilon}, \quad \sinh \theta_\epsilon = \frac{2\xi_\epsilon}{1 - \xi^2_\epsilon}.
\]

In terms of the Ricatti parameter \( \xi \) the Usadel equation can be rewritten

\[
\xi^4 + 2(\bar{\epsilon} + i\bar{\Gamma})\xi^3 - 2(\bar{\epsilon} - i\bar{\Gamma})\xi - 1 = 0,
\]

where we have defined \( \bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon/\Delta \) and \( \bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\Delta \). This rewriting introduces two extraneous roots of complex magnitude \( 1 \), with the remaining two roots describing the advanced and retarded solutions of the Usadel equation. Being a quartic equation, there is a closed form solution. The difficulty arises in uniquely determining the root corresponding to the retarded solution for every \( \epsilon \). Here we may use our knowledge of the structure of the solution and the limiting cases to simplify things.

First, we note that Eq. (A5) is a self-inversive polynomial. In this case, this implies that for any root \( x \), \(-1/x^* \) is also a root. We also know that there are always at least two unimodular roots. This means that there are two possible cases: either there are four unimodular roots or there are two unimodular extraneous roots and two distinct physical roots \( x \), \(-1/x^* \).

Equation (A5) can be rewritten

\[
(e^{-i\phi}\xi^2 - 2\xi \rho + e^{i\phi})(e^{i\phi}\xi^2 + 2i\xi \mu - e^{-i\phi}) = 0,
\]

with \( \mu, \rho, \) and \( \phi \) currently undetermined. By matching the coefficients of the linear and cubic terms and comparing with the original equation we obtain a system of equations which can be solved for the relations

\[
\rho = \sec 2\phi(\bar{\epsilon} \cos \phi + \bar{\Gamma} \sin \phi),
\]

\[
\mu = -\sec 2\phi(\bar{\Gamma} \cos \phi + \bar{\epsilon} \sin \phi).
\]

The remaining nontrivial equation comes from the quadratic term and gives us the depressed cubic
equation
\[ y^3 + (\Gamma^2 + \bar{\varepsilon}^2 - 1)y + 2\bar{\varepsilon}\Gamma = 0 \] (A8)
for \( y = \sin 2\phi \). Defining the quantities
\[ p = \Gamma^2 + \bar{\varepsilon}^2 - \Delta^2, \quad q = 2\bar{\varepsilon}\Gamma, \] (A9)
the nature of the solutions is different depending on the sign of \( 4p^3 + 27q^2 \). This is the position of the branch point. For \( 4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0 \) there is only one real solution to Eq. (A8). For the other case we must however choose the correct root. We do so by choosing the solution that is continuously connected to the real solution for \( 4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0 \). In this way we arrive at

\[ y = \begin{cases} 
2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin \left( \frac{1}{3} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{2q}{\sqrt{p} \sqrt{3}} \right) \right), \\
2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos \left( \frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{2q}{\sqrt{p} \sqrt{3}} \right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right),
\end{cases} \]
\[ \quad 4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0 \cap p < 0, \]
\[ \quad 4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0 \cap p > 0, \] (A10)
We must now choose the correct angle \( \phi \). The four possible choices of \( \phi \) correspond to a permutation of the form of the roots. In general, we can choose a prescription for \( \phi \) such that the full solution can then be written in the form
\[ \xi_\epsilon = e^{i\phi}[\rho_\epsilon - \sqrt{(\rho_\epsilon + i0)^2 - 1}], \] (A11)
which is to be compared with the supercurrent-free result
\[ \xi_\epsilon^0 = \bar{\epsilon} - \sqrt{(\bar{\epsilon} + i0)^2 - 1}. \] (A12)
The correct prescription is
\[ \sin^{-1}(\cdots) \in [-\pi, \pi], \]
\[ \phi = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} y, & |\epsilon| > \Gamma; \\
-\frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} y, & |\epsilon| < \Gamma. 
\end{cases} \] (A13)
All the above is done for the case of infinitesimal damping. The finite-damping case can be solved by analytically continuing the above solution from \( \epsilon + i0 \mapsto \epsilon + i\Gamma' \).

**APPENDIX B: EVALUATION OF THE DIFFUSIVE MODE VERTICES**

The vertices \( \hat{r}_{\epsilon e} \) and \( \hat{s}_{\epsilon e} \) appearing in Eq. (16) can be expressed in terms of the parametrization, Eq. (14), of the saddle-point solution as
\[ \left[ s_{\epsilon e}^d \right]_{(R/A)} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \hat{I}_{R/A} \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3}, \]
\[ \left[ s_{\epsilon e}^d \right]_{(cl/q)} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \hat{s}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3}, \]
\[ \left[ r_{\epsilon e}^d \right]_{(R/A)} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \hat{I}_{R/A} \left( \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^0 \hat{x}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3} + \hat{x}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3} \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^0 \right), \]
\[ \left[ r_{\epsilon e}^d \right]_{(cl/q)} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \hat{r}_{\epsilon e} \left( \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^0 \hat{x}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3} + \hat{x}_{\epsilon e}^{\beta_3} \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^0 \right). \] (B1)
where we have defined
\[ \hat{X}_{\epsilon e}^0 = \hat{R}_0 \hat{s}_{\epsilon e} \hat{R}_0^{-1} \hat{s}_{\epsilon e} \] (B2)
and \( \hat{I}_{R/A} = (\hat{s}_0 \pm \hat{s}_3)/2 \). If we define \( \bar{\theta}_\pm = (\theta_\epsilon \pm \theta_\epsilon) / 2 \) and \( \bar{\theta}_\pm = (\theta_\epsilon \pm \theta_\epsilon^*) / 2 \), and use the shorthand notation \( F = F(\epsilon) \) and \( F' = F(\epsilon') \), we can express the traces as

\[ \begin{align*}
\hat{s}_{\epsilon e}^d &= \begin{bmatrix} -\cosh \bar{\theta}_+ & -F \cosh \bar{\theta}_+ \\
\cosh \bar{\theta}_+ & -F' \cosh \bar{\theta}_+ \end{bmatrix}, \\
\hat{r}_{\epsilon e}^d &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sinh 2\bar{\theta}_+ \cosh \bar{\theta}_- & 0 \\
0 & \sinh 2\bar{\theta}_+ \cosh \bar{\theta}_- \end{bmatrix}, \\
\hat{r}_{\epsilon e}^d &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (F - F') \cosh \bar{\theta}_+ \sinh \bar{\theta}_- & (1 - FF') \cosh \bar{\theta}_+ \sinh \bar{\theta}_- \\
0 & \sinh 2\bar{\theta}_+ \sinh \bar{\theta}_- \end{bmatrix}. \end{align*} \] (B3)

where \( \theta_\epsilon^d \) is the spectral angle for the quasiclassical Green’s function in the absence of a supercurrent [cf. Eq. (12)]. In terms of the Ricatti parametrization introduced in Appendix A we have
\[ \tan \phi_\epsilon = \frac{\Delta(1 + \xi_\epsilon^2) - 2z\epsilon_\epsilon}{z(1 + \xi_\epsilon^2) - 2\Delta \epsilon_\epsilon}. \] (C2)
where \( z = \epsilon + i\gamma \). Using this parametrization the inverse cooperon and diffuson propagators are

\[
D_{e, e}^{-1} = -D_0^2 + \Im \xi_\gamma(e_+) \cosh \phi_+ + i\xi_A(e_-) \cosh \phi_- - \frac{\Gamma}{\xi_\gamma(e_+)^2 \xi_A(e_-)^2} \left[ \xi_\gamma(e_+) \xi_A(e_-) + (z_+ - \Delta_0^2) \cosh(\phi_+ - \phi_-) \right]
\]

\[
- \Delta_0(\omega + 2i\gamma) \sinh(\phi_+ - \phi_-) \left[ (z_+ + \Delta_0^2) \cosh(\phi_+ + \phi_-) + 2\Delta_0\epsilon \sinh(\phi_+ + \phi_-) \right],
\]

\[
\left[ C_{e, e}^{(R/A)} \right]^{-1} = -D_0^2 + \Im \xi_\gamma(e_+) \cosh \phi_+ + i\xi_A(e_-) \cosh \phi_- - \frac{\Gamma}{\xi_\gamma(e_+)^2 \xi_A(e_-)^2} \left[ \xi_\gamma(e_+) \xi_A(e_-) + (z_+ - \Delta_0^2) \cosh(\phi_+ - \phi_-) - \Delta_0\omega \sinh(\phi_+ - \phi_-) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ (z_+ - \Delta_0^2) \cosh(\phi_+ + \phi_-) + 2\Delta_0\epsilon \sinh(\phi_+ + \phi_-) \right].
\]

where we have defined \( z' = \epsilon - i\gamma \). Note that while \( z' = z^* \) for real \( \epsilon \), the distinction is important if we wish to extend the function to the complex plane. The above, in combination with the matrix elements derived in Appendix B, can be inserted into Eq. (22) to obtain the Gaussian bosonic propagator to all orders in the supercurrent.

**APPENDIX D: SMALLNESS OF COUPLING IN THE CLEAN SYSTEM**

Consider the case of a clean BCS superconductor (in Coulomb gauge) in the presence of a superfluid velocity \( v_s \). In this case the Nambu Green’s function is given by

\[
\hat{G}^{-1}(\epsilon_n, k) = i\epsilon_n + v_s \cdot k - \xi F \cdot \tau_3 - \Delta \tau_1
\]

and couples to the external vector potential via the vertex \( e(v_k \hat{F} + v_s \hat{T}) \cdot A \). The supercurrent-mediated coupling between photon and Higgs is proportional to the bubble diagram

\[
\chi_{BA}(\omega_m, q \to 0) = -T v_s \sum_{k_0} \text{tr} [\hat{G}(\epsilon_n + \omega_m, k) \hat{T}_1 \hat{G}(\epsilon_n, k) \hat{T}_3].
\]

Inserting the Green’s functions in the form

\[
\hat{G} \equiv \frac{i\epsilon_n + v_s \cdot k - \xi \tau_3 - \Delta \tau_1}{(i\epsilon_n + v_s \cdot k)^2 - E^2},
\]

in terms of the BdG quasiparticle energy \( E = \sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta^2} \), and performing the trace and Matsubara sums, we obtain

\[
\chi_{BA}(\omega_m, q \to 0) = -4\Delta v_s \int_{-E_F}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi E \xi v(\xi)}{2E_F} \phi^*(n_F(v_s \cdot k + E) - n_F(v_s \cdot k - E))\phi
\]

\[
\times \int d\Omega \hat{h}_{\Omega} \hat{h}_{\Omega} \left[ \delta_1 a(\omega, q) + \delta_1 a(\omega, q) \right],
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \cdot \rangle_\phi \) indicates an angular average. We now write \( k = k_F[1 + \text{sgn}(\xi) \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{E_F}}] \), and define \( F(E, k) \equiv (n_F(v_s \cdot k + E) - n_F(v_s \cdot k - E))\phi \), which allows us to write

\[
\chi_{BA}(\omega_m, q \to 0) = -4\Delta v_s \int_{-E_F}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi E \xi v(\xi)}{2E_F} \phi^*(n_F(v_s \cdot k + E) - n_F(v_s \cdot k - E))\phi
\]

\[
\times \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} F^{(n)}(\xi, k_F) (\text{sgn} \xi \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{E_F}})^n
\]

where \( n \) is an angular average. Using standard functional integral techniques. To do so, we consider the case of Higgs polaritons coupled to a white-noise bath on either side of the cavity, through a coupling that preserves transverse momentum:

\[
S = S_{\text{polariton}} + \sum_i \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \delta \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\hat{h}_i(\omega, q) \hat{h}_i^{\dagger}(\omega, q) + \delta_1 a(\omega, q)
\end{array} \right].
\]

Taking the quasiclassical approximation \( \xi \ll E_F \) and \( \omega \to \omega_F \) we see that

\[
\chi_{BA}(\omega_m, q \to 0) = -4v_F \Delta v_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi E}{2\omega} \phi^*(F(\xi, k_F) \delta_1 a(\omega, q) + \delta_1 a(\omega, q))
\]

\[
\times \int d\Omega \hat{h}_{\Omega} \hat{h}_{\Omega} \left[ \delta_1 a(\omega, q) + \delta_1 a(\omega, q) \right],
\]

where have made the Markov approximation above. We are interested in the probability to transition from any bath state on one side to the other

\[
t_i(\omega, q) = \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \delta \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\hat{h}_i(\omega, q) \hat{h}_i^{\dagger}(\omega, q) + \delta_1 a(\omega, q)
\end{array} \right].
\]

To obtain this, we introduce the source field \( j \) coupled to the bath fields as

\[
\sum_i \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \delta_1 \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \hat{h}_i(\omega, q) + \text{c.c.,}
\]

which allows us to write

\[
t_i(\omega, q) = (-i)^2 \frac{\delta^2 Z[j]}{\delta j_{ij}(\omega, q) \delta j_{ij}(\omega, q)} \bigg|_{j \to 0}.
\]
We can then integrate out \( b \), followed by \( a \). The integration over \( b \) can be performed by first making the shift, \( b_{i;\Omega} \to b_{i;\Omega} - \sqrt{\Omega} \bar{D}_{b;\Omega} \tilde{\sigma}_{i}(j + a) \). Making the definition \( \bar{g}_b(\omega) = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \int (d\Omega/2\pi) \bar{D}_{b;\Omega}(\omega) \) this leads to a self-energy term

\[
\Sigma_b(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_i \kappa_i \bar{g}_b(\omega),
\]

a coupling between \( j \) and \( a \)

\[
\Sigma_{a-j} = -\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \sqrt{\kappa_i} \times [\tilde{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \bar{g}_b(\omega)] \tilde{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) + \text{c.c.},
\]

and a term quadratic in \( j \) which we can ignore as it does not couple the two baths. Using the Sokhotski-Plemelj theorem, and the white-noise form \( \left[ D_{b;\Omega}^{R} \right]^{-1}(\omega) = \omega + i0 - \Omega \), we can evaluate \( \bar{g}_b = \tilde{\sigma}_2 + \tilde{\sigma}_b^R(\sigma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_3)/2 \). In terms of the renormalized Green’s function

\[
\hat{D}_a^{-1} = \hat{D}_{0a}^{-1} - \hat{\Sigma}_b - \hat{\Sigma}_a,
\]

we can perform the shift \( a \to a - \hat{D}_a \bar{g}_b j \) and then integrate out \( a \). We are left with

\[
Z[j] = \exp \left[ -i \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \times \sum_i \tilde{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \bar{g}_b(\omega) \tilde{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \hat{D}_{a}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \tilde{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \right].
\]

Taking the functional derivatives we obtain

\[
t(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = -i\sqrt{\kappa l} \hat{D}_b(\omega, \mathbf{q})
\]

from which, upon setting \( \kappa_r = \kappa_l = \kappa \), we recover Eq. (36) as used in the main text.
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