COARSE CUBICAL RIGIDITY
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Abstract. We show that for many right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups, all cocompact cubulations coarsely look the same: they induce the same coarse median structure on the group. These are the first examples of non-hyperbolic groups with this property.

For all graph products of finite groups and for Coxeter groups with no irreducible affine parabolic subgroups of rank $\geq 3$, we show that all automorphism preserve the coarse median structure induced, respectively, by the Davis complex and the Niblo-Reeves cubulation. As a consequence, automorphisms of these groups have nice fixed subgroups and satisfy Nielsen realisation.

1. Introduction

Cubulating a group $G$ — constructing a proper $G$–action on a CAT(0) cube complex — is a particularly effective strategy to study many algebraic properties of $G$. For instance, the existence of a cocompact cubulation implies that $G$ is biautomatic [NR98], has finite asymptotic dimension [Wri12] and satisfies the Tits alternative [SW05].

More recently, cubulations have also proven particularly fruitful in the study of group automorphisms. For a right-angled Artin group $A$, a certain space of particularly nice cubulations of $A$ provides a remarkably simple (rational) classifying space for $\text{Out}(A)$ [CCV07, CSV17, BCV20], extending the classical construction of Outer Space for $\text{Out}(F_n)$ [CV86]. In addition, for a general cocompactly cubulated group $G$, automorphisms that “coarsely preserve” a given cubulation of $G$ always enjoy particularly good properties [Fio21a], for instance their fixed subgroups are finitely generated and undistorted in $G$.

In light of these results, it is tempting to study spaces of cubulations in greater generality. One promising feature is that cubulations are often completely determined by their length function [BF21, BF19]. However, the space of all cubulations of a group can be extremely vast and flexible. For instance, if $S$ is a closed hyperbolic surface, every finite filling collection of simple closed curves on $S$ gives rise to a cocompact cubulation of $\pi_1(S)$ [Sag95], and there are additional cubulations originating from subsurfaces of $S$. Even right-angled Artin groups always admit many more cubulations than those appearing in the Outer Spaces mentioned above (see e.g. Example 3.26 below).

This leads to two natural questions, which serve as our main motivation for this work.

(1) Do all cocompact cubulations of a group $G$ have anything in common?

(2) Is there a “best” cubulation for $G$?

In relation to Question (1), it is natural to wonder whether all cubulations of $G$ give rise to the same notion of “convex-cocompactness”. Specifically, we say that a subgroup $H \leq G$ is convex-cocompact in a cubulation $H \curvearrowright X$ if there exists an $H$–invariant convex subcomplex $C \subseteq X$ on which $H$ acts cocompactly.

It is well-known that, when $G$ is word-hyperbolic, all cocompact cubulations of $G$ give rise to the same convex-cocompact subgroups; in fact, convex-cocompact subgroups are precisely quasi-convex ones [Hag08, Theorem II]. However, this property can fail rather drastically for non-hyperbolic groups, whose cubulations can induce infinitely many distinct notions of convex-cocompactness.
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For instance, let \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the standard \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-action on the standard square tiling of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), with one generator \( x \) translating horizontally by 1 and the other generator \( y \) translating vertically by 1. The only convex-cocompact maximal cyclic subgroups for this action are \( \langle x \rangle \) and \( \langle y \rangle \). Precomposing the action \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^2 \) with an element \( A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) produces another action of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) on the standard tiling of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), where the subgroups \( \langle A^{-1}x \rangle \) and \( \langle A^{-1}y \rangle \) become convex-cocompact. These two actions have the same convex-cocompact subgroups if and only if \( A \) is a signed permutation matrix. Thus we get infinitely many types of actions in terms of which subgroups are convex-cocompact.

As a matter of fact, both our motivating questions are best phrased in terms of coarse median structures. Rather than the general notion introduced by Bowditch [Bow13], the following very particular instance will suffice for most of our purposes in this paper.

Recall that every \( \text{CAT}(0) \) cube complex \( X \) is equipped with a median operator \( m : X^3 \to X \) [Che00, Rol98]. If \( x, y, z \) are vertices, then \( m(x, y, z) \) is the only vertex of \( X \) that lies on a geodesic in the 1-skeleton of \( X \) between any two of the three vertices \( x, y, z \).

**Definition.** A cubical coarse median on \( G \) is a map \( \mu_X : G^3 \to G \) obtained by pulling back the median operator of a cocompact cubulation \( G \curvearrowright X \) via an equivariant quasi-isometry \( G \to X \) (see Subsection 2.4 for details). Two cocompact cubulations are said to induce the same coarse median structure if their corresponding cubical coarse medians on \( G \) are at uniformly bounded distance from each other. In other words, two cubulations induce the same coarse median structure on \( G \) if they are \( G \)-equivariantly quasi-isometric via a map that coarsely respects medians. Additional motivation for studying these “quasi-median” quasi-isometries comes from recent work of Petyt, who showed that mapping class groups are quasi-median quasi-isometric to \( \text{CAT}(0) \) cube complexes [HP21, Pet22], albeit not to any that admit geometric group actions.

The fundamental connection between coarse medians and convex-cocompactness is provided by the following result, which will be one of our main tools. It can be deduced from the first author’s work in [Fio21c] and we explain in detail how in Theorem 2.15.

**Theorem (Fio21c).** Let \( G \curvearrowright X, Y \) be cocompact cubulations. The following are equivalent:

1. \( G \curvearrowright X \) and \( G \curvearrowright Y \) induce the same coarse median structure on \( G \);
2. \( G \curvearrowright X \) and \( G \curvearrowright Y \) have the same convex-cocompact subgroups.

In view of this result and the above questions, we are interested in the following property.

**Definition.** A group \( G \) satisfies coarse cubical rigidity if it has a unique cubical coarse median structure. Equivalently, all cocompact cubulations of \( G \) induce the same notion of convex-cocompactness.

Hyperbolic groups always satisfy this form of rigidity, provided that they are cocompactly cubulated. More generally, an arbitrary hyperbolic group always admits a unique coarse median structure (not necessarily a cubical one), as shown in [NWZ19, Theorem 4.2]. By contrast, as exemplified above, for \( n \geq 2 \) the group \( \mathbb{Z}^n \) admits countably many distinct cubical coarse median structures (see Proposition 3.9 for a classification), and uncountably many non-cubical ones.

Some exceptional groups satisfy even stronger forms of cubical rigidity. For instance, many Burger–Mozes–Wise groups [BM00, Wis96, Cap19] admit a unique cocompact cubulation with no free faces [FH21, Proposition C]. However, these stronger kinds of rigidity appear to be extremely rare in general, and they are likely to never occur for right-angled Artin groups, see Example 3.26.

A weaker form of coarse cubical rigidity is the existence of a coarse median structure which is preserved by any automorphism of the ambient group. Thus, while there is not a unique coarse median structure, there is a special one.

The main goal of this paper is to develop tools for proving coarse cubical rigidity of groups, and to exhibit many new examples of groups with this property. Right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups...
provide some natural candidates and they will indeed be our main focus, though we will discuss results for graph products and Coxeter groups generally.

1.1. Right-angled Artin groups. Recall that a right-angled Artin group $A_{\Gamma}$ is obtained from a finite simplicial graph $\Gamma$ via the presentation:

$$A_{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma^{(0)} \mid [v, w] = 1 \iff v, w \text{ span an edge in } \Gamma \rangle.$$

We say that $A_{\Gamma}$ is twistless if there do not exist any vertices $v, w \in \Gamma$ with $\text{st}(v) \subseteq \text{st}(w)$. Equivalently, $A_{\Gamma}$ does not have any twist automorphisms (see Section 3 for details). In previous work, it was shown that $A_{\Gamma}$ is twistless if and only if all automorphisms of $A_{\Gamma}$ preserve the coarse median structure induced by the Salvetti complex [Fio21a, Proposition A(3)].

Thus, twistlessness is a necessary condition for $A_{\Gamma}$ to satisfy coarse cubical rigidity. Our first main result is that this condition is also sufficient.

**Theorem A.** Let $A_{\Gamma}$ be a right-angled Artin group. If $A_{\Gamma}$ is twistless (for instance, if $\text{Out}(A_{\Gamma})$ is finite), then $A_{\Gamma}$ admits a unique cubical coarse median structure.

We emphasise that Theorem A concerns arbitrary cocompact cubulations of $A_{\Gamma}$, not just the ones that make up the Outer Spaces constructed by Charney, Vogtmann and coauthors [CCV07, CSV17, BCV20]. In general, $A_{\Gamma}$ has many perfectly nice cubulations outside these Outer Spaces, even cubulations with the same dimension as the Salvetti complex and without any free faces. We demonstrate this in the case when $\Gamma$ is a hexagon in Example 3.20.

Theorem A can be rephrased as follows: every cocompact cubulation of $A_{\Gamma}$ can be obtained from the standard action on (the universal cover of) the Salvetti complex by blowing up to hyperplanes finitely many walls associated with convex-cocompact subgroups of $A_{\Gamma}$, and then possibly collapsing some hyperplanes of the Salvetti complex. See Theorem (2.15(4)) for the equivalence with the above formulation of Theorem A. When $A_{\Gamma}$ is not twistless, more complicated procedures are required to reach arbitrary cocompact cubulations (e.g. precomposition with an automorphism of $A_{\Gamma}$), because we need to be able to modify the induced coarse median structure.

We will actually prove a more general version of Theorem A that applies to all right-angled Artin groups. This is best described in terms of the Zappa–Szép product decomposition

$$\text{Aut}(A_{\Gamma}) = T(A_{\Gamma}) \cdot U(A_{\Gamma}),$$

where $T(A_{\Gamma})$ and $U(A_{\Gamma})$ are, respectively, the twist subgroup and the untwisted subgroup. The subgroup $U(A_{\Gamma})$ is defined as the subgroup of all automorphisms that fix the coarse median structure induced by the Salvetti complex [Fio21a]. In Theorem 3.18 we will show that $T(A_{\Gamma})$ acts simply transitively on cubical coarse median structures with “decomposable flats” (Definition 3.13).

Theorem A is a consequence of this last result: when $A_{\Gamma}$ is twistless, all cocompact cubulations have decomposable flats and $T(A_{\Gamma})$ is trivial. At the opposite end of the spectrum, not all cubulations of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ have decomposable flats and, in fact, there are infinitely many $\text{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$–orbits of cubical coarse median structures on $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

1.2. Right-angled Coxeter groups. Recall that the right-angled Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ is the quotient of $A_{\Gamma}$ by the normal closure of the set of squares of standard generators $\{v^2 \mid v \in \Gamma^{(0)}\}$.

On the one hand, right-angled Coxeter groups tend to behave more rigidly than general right-angled Artin groups because all their automorphisms preserve the coarse median structure induced by the Davis complex [Fio21a, Proposition A(2)].

On the other, Coxeter groups are granted some additional flexibility by the fact that their standard generators have finite order. One exotic example to keep in mind is the “$\frac{\pi}{4}$–rotated” action of the product of infinite dihedrals $D_{\infty} \times D_{\infty}$ on the square tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$: each factor preserves one of the two lines through the origin forming an angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\frac{3\pi}{4}$ with the coordinate axes, and each
reflection axis is parallel to one of these two lines. Note that products of dihedrals can sometimes act in this way even within cubulations of larger, irreducible Coxeter groups (Example 5.5).

Our second main result provides two natural conditions that prevent these exotic behaviours from occurring. An action on a cube complex \( G \act X \) is strongly cellular if, whenever an element \( g \in G \) preserves a cube of \( X \), it fixes it pointwise.

**Theorem B.** Let \( W_\Gamma \act X \) be a cocompact cubulation of a right-angled Coxeter group. Suppose that it satisfies at least one of the following:

1. the action is strongly cellular;
2. for all \( x, y \in \Gamma(0) \) not joined by an edge, the subgroup \( \langle x, y \rangle \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \).

Then \( W_\Gamma \act X \) induces the same coarse median structure on \( W_\Gamma \) as the Davis complex.

Item (1) of Theorem B holds more generally for all graph products of finite groups (Theorem 3.3). These groups also have a preferred cocompact cubulation due to Davis [Dav98], which is always strongly cellular. We will refer to this cubulation as the graph-product complex in this paper, to avoid confusion in the Coxeter case.

Item (2) of Theorem B is the result requiring the most technical proof of the paper, which will occupy the entirety of Sections 4 and 5. Importantly, Item (2) implies that cubical coarse median structures that are standard on maximal virtually-abelian subgroups of \( W_\Gamma \) must necessarily coincide with the one induced by the Davis complex.

As a consequence of Theorem B(2) and the cubical flat torus theorem [WW17], we obtain many examples of right-angled Coxeter groups satisfying coarse cubical rigidity.

**Corollary C.** If \( \Gamma \) does not have any loose squares (Definition 5.4), then \( W_\Gamma \) admits a unique cubical coarse median structure.

We emphasise that there are plenty of right-angled Coxeter groups that do not satisfy coarse cubical rigidity. A one-ended, irreducible example is provided by the left-hand graph in Figure 1 (see Example 5.5). However, we do suspect that all right-angled Coxeter groups will satisfy a slightly weaker form of rigidity, namely the following.

**Conjecture.** Let \( W_\Gamma \) be a right-angled Coxeter group.

(a) There are only finitely many cubical coarse median structures on \( W_\Gamma \).

(b) Each cubical coarse median structure on \( W_\Gamma \) is completely determined by its restriction to maximal parabolic virtually-abelian subgroups.

In view of Theorem B(2), Item (b) of the Conjecture should look rather plausible. We show in Remark 3.12 that (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (a).

1.3. **Consequences for automorphisms.** For a cocompactly cubulated group \( G \), the outer automorphism group \( \text{Out}(G) \) naturally permutes cubical coarse median structures on \( G \).

Automorphisms that preserve some cubical coarse median structure on \( G \) enjoy exceptionally nice properties. For instance, their fixed subgroups are finitely generated, undistorted and cocompactly cubulated [Fio21a, Theorem B], properties that can drastically fail for general automorphisms. In addition, a cubical version of Nielsen realisation works in this context [Fio21a, Corollary G].

All automorphisms of right-angled Coxeter groups preserve a cubical coarse median structure (the one of the Davis complex), while this can fail for right-angled Artin groups. Thus, it is natural

---

1Viewing a right-angled Coxeter group \( W_\Gamma \) as a graph product of order–2 groups, its “graph-product complex” is the first cubical subdivision of the “usual Davis complex” for \( W_\Gamma \), which is the CAT(0) cube complex having the standard Cayley graph of \( W_\Gamma \) as its 1-skeleton. Importantly, the \( W_\Gamma \)–action on the Davis complex is not strongly cellular, while the action on the graph-product complex is. We have good reason to work with both complexes associated with \( W_\Gamma \) in this paper, depending on the section, so we prefer not to call them both “the Davis complex”.

---
to wonder if this weaker form of cubical rigidity extends to two larger classes of groups: graph products of finite groups and general Coxeter groups.

We show that this is indeed the case for these graph products and for those Coxeter groups $W$ whose Niblo–Reeves cubulation is cocompact \cite{NR03}. By \cite{Wi98,CM05}, the latter happens exactly when $W$ does not have any irreducible affine parabolic subgroups of rank $\geq 3$. Note that, when the Niblo–Reeves cubulation is not cocompact, it is not even known whether $W$ admits a coarse median structure (except in a few cases that turn out to be cocompactly cubulated for other reasons\footnote{For instance, the $(2,4,4)$ Coxeter group is cocompactly cubulated, as it coincides with the automorphism group of the standard square tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$. However, its Niblo–Reeves cubulation is $4$–dimensional and it is not cocompact.}).

**Theorem D.**

(1) Let $G$ be a graph product of finite groups. The coarse median structure on $G$ induced by the graph-product complex is fixed by $\text{Out}(G)$.

(2) Let $W$ be a Coxeter group with cocompact Niblo–Reeves cubulation. The coarse median structure on $W$ induced by the Niblo–Reeves cubulation is fixed by $\text{Out}(W)$.

It is worth remarking that, if $W$ is not right-angled, the Niblo–Reeves cubulation is never strongly cellular, even after subdivisions. So the techniques used for Theorem B(1) cannot be applied here.

We also mention that, when $W$ is 2–spherical (i.e. when there are no $\infty$ labels in its Coxeter graph), the group $\text{Out}(W)$ is finite by \cite{PHM06,CM07}. However, this does not directly imply that these automorphisms fix a coarse median structure on $W$ without going through Theorem D.

### 1.4. A word on proofs.

Here we briefly sketch the proofs of Theorems A and B.

Let $G_\Gamma$ be a right-angled Artin/Coxeter group, let $G_\Gamma \curvearrowright X_\Gamma$ be the standard action on the (universal cover of the) Salvetti/Davis complex, and let $G_\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ be an arbitrary cocompact cubulation. Theorem A and Theorem B(1) can be quickly deduced from the following three ingredients:

(a) To prove that $Y$ and $X_\Gamma$ induce the same coarse median structure, it suffices to show that hyperplane-stabilisers of $G \curvearrowright X_\Gamma$ are convex-cocompact in $Y$ (Theorem 2.15).

(b) Hyperplane-stabilisers of $G \curvearrowright X_\Gamma$ are centralisers of finite-order elements in the Coxeter case, and direct factors of centralisers of infinite-order elements in the Artin case.

(c) Centralisers of (sufficiently high powers of) convex-cocompact, infinite-order elements are always convex-cocompact (Lemma 2.3(4)). Centralisers of finite-order elements are convex-cocompact in all cocompact cubulations that are also strongly cellular (Lemma 3.2).

The proof of Theorem B(2) is significantly more involved. It relies on the following key facts about a general group $G$, though in a less obvious way.

(d) Centralisers of finitely generated subgroups of $G$ are “median-cocompact” in all cocompact cubulations of $G$ (Proposition 2.8). That is, they act cofinitely on a median subalgebra of the cube complex.

(e) If $G \curvearrowright X$ is a cocompact cubulation and $H < G$ is a median-cocompact subgroup that is not convex-cocompact, then there exists a combinatorial ray $r \subseteq X$ that stays uniformly stable along $r$.
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**Figure 1.** The right-angled Coxeter group $W_{\Lambda_2}$ satisfies coarse cubical rigidity, while $W_{\Lambda_1}$ does not. The graph $\Lambda_1$ has a loose square, while $\Lambda_2$ does not.
close to an $H$–orbit $O$, but such that convex hulls of all long subsegments of $r$ go very far from $O$, and do so uniformly in their length (Theorem 4.1).

(f) Let $W_\Delta \leq W_T$ be right-angled Coxeter groups such that $W_\Delta$ is irreducible and has finite centraliser in $W_T$. If a quasi-geodesic $\alpha \subseteq W_\Delta$ spends uniformly bounded time near cosets of proper parabolic subgroups of $W_\Delta$, then $\alpha$ is Morse in $W_T$ (Lemma 5.1).

It is worth remarking that, using Chepoi–Roller duality [Che00, Rol98], Fact (d) has the following general consequence, which seems new and interesting. Rather surprisingly, we are not aware of any proofs of this result that do not rely on any abstract median-algebra or coarse-median techniques.

**Corollary E.** Let $G$ be a cocompactly cubulated group.

(1) For every finitely generated subgroup $H \leq G$, the centraliser $Z_G(H)$ is cocompactly cubulated.

(2) If $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $G_2$ has finite centre, then $G_1$ is cocompactly cubulated.

Regarding Item (1), we emphasise that it is possible that no cubulation of $Z_G(H)$ can be realised as a convex subcomplex of any cubulation of $G$. We give one such example in Example 3.13.

Also note that Item (2) in the corollary can fail if $G_2$ has infinite centre: if $W$ is the $(3,3,3)$ Coxeter group, the group $W \times Z$ is cocompactly cubulated (Example 2.9), while $W$ is not [Hag14].

1.5. **Structure of the paper.** Section 2 collects various basic facts on convex-cocompactness, median-cocompactness and (cubical) coarse median structures. Theorem 2.15 is the most important result of the section, as it will be our main tool in all proofs of coarse cubical rigidity. We prove Corollary E in Subsection 2.3.

Section 3 is concerned with the simplest cases of coarse cubical rigidity. We prove Theorem B(1) for general graph products of finite groups in Subsection 3.2. Theorem D(1) immediately follows from that. In Subsection 3.3, we study cubical coarse median structures on virtually abelian groups, also proving that Item (b) in the Conjecture implies Item (a). Finally, we prove Theorem A in Subsection 3.4.

Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the proof of Theorem B(2). Section 4 is only concerned with median subalgebras of cube complexes, its main goal being Theorem 4.1. Then Section 5 applies this result to prove Theorem B(2) and it deduces Corollary C.

Finally, Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem D(2). Appendix A proves two basic lemmas about subsets of metric spaces with cocompact stabilisers.
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. Cube complexes. We will assume a certain familiarity with CAT(0) cube complexes and group actions on them. For an introduction to the topic, the reader can consult [Rol98, CST11, Wis12, Sag14]. In this subsection, we only fix terminology and notation.

A cubulation of a group \( G \) is a proper action on a CAT(0) cube complex. We say that \( G \) is cocompactly cubulated if it admits a cocompact cubulation. All actions on cube complexes are assumed to be cellular (i.e. by cubical automorphisms).

An action \( G \acts X \) on a cube complex is strongly cellular if the set of fixed points of each element of \( G \) is a subcomplex of \( X \) (this definition is equivalent to the one given in the Introduction). The action is non-transverse if there do not exist a hyperplane \( w \) and element \( g \in G \) such that \( w \) and \( gw \) are transverse; equivalently, \( X \) \( \ell_1 \)-embeds equivariantly in a finite product of trees. Non-transverse actions are strongly cellular, but the converse does not hold.

Every CAT(0) cube complex admits two natural metrics. The \( \ell_2 \)-metric \( d_2 \) (usually known as the CAT(0) metric) and the \( \ell_1 \)-metric \( d_1 \), namely the induced path metric obtained by equipping every cube with its \( \ell_1 \)-metric. The restriction of \( d_1 \) to the 1-skeleton is also known as the combinatorial metric. Whenever we speak of “geodesics” without any prefixes, we will always refer to \( \ell_1 \)-geodesics contained in the 1-skeleton.

Note however that, on a few occasions, it will be useful to consider \( \ell_1 \)-geodesics outside the 1-skeleton. For instance, we will need the following observation in Lemma 3.6, though this is not involved in the proof of any of the main results of the paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( X \) be a CAT(0) cube complex. Every \( \ell_2 \)-geodesic is an \( \ell_1 \)-geodesic.

*Proof.* Recall that \( (X, d_1) \) is a finite-rank median space. Let \( \alpha \subseteq X \) be an \( \ell_2 \)-geodesic. If \( \alpha \) were not an \( \ell_1 \)-geodesic, it would cross a median wall \( w \) at least twice. Every median wall has the form \( H \times \{t\} \), where \( H \) is a hyperplane with carrier \( H \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \) and \( t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \). In particular, \( w \) is \( \ell_2 \)-convex, so it cannot be crossed twice by \( \alpha \). \( \square \)

Every CAT(0) cube complex admits a median operator \( m: X^3 \to X \). The median \( m(x, y, z) \) is the only point lying on an \( \ell_1 \)-geodesic between any two of the three points \( x, y, z \).

With the exception of the proof of Lemma 3.6, we will only need the restriction of \( m \) to the 0-skeleton of \( X \). If \( x, y, z \) are vertices, then \( m(x, y, z) \) is the only vertex with the property that no hyperplane of \( X \) separates \( m(x, y, z) \) from two of the vertices \( x, y, z \).

A subset \( A \subseteq X \) is a median subalgebra if \( m(A, A, A) \subseteq A \). A full subcomplex \( C \subseteq X \) is convex if its 0-skeleton satisfies the stronger property \( m(C^{(0)}, C^{(0)}, X^{(0)}) \subseteq C^{(0)} \). This notion is equivalent to convexity with respect to either the \( \ell_1 \)- or \( \ell_2 \)-metric [Hag07].
2.2. Convex-cocompactness. Let $X$ be a CAT(0) cube complex.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $H \acts X$ be an action. We say that $H$ is *convex-cocompact in $X$* if there exists an $H$–invariant convex subcomplex $C \subseteq X$ that is acted upon cocompactly by $H$.

We define the *rank* of a finitely generated, virtually abelian group as the rank of its finite-index free abelian subgroups. If $G$ is a group, we say that a finitely generated, virtually abelian subgroup $A \leq G$ is *highest* if it is not virtually contained in a free abelian subgroup of strictly higher rank.

The following technical lemma is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorems A and B(2).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $G \acts X$ be a cocompact cubulation.

1. Finite intersections of convex-cocompact subgroups of $G$ are convex-cocompact in $X$.
2. Convex-cocompactness in $X$ is a commensurability invariant for subgroups of $G$.
3. All highest virtually abelian subgroups of $G$ are convex-cocompact in $X$.
4. If $H \leq G$ is convex-cocompact in $X$ and the action $H \acts X$ is non-transverse, then the normaliser $N_G(H)$ is convex-cocompact in $X$.

**Proof.** Part (1) is [Fio21c, Lemma 2.7]. Part (2) is immediate from the equivalence between convex-cocompactness and coarse median quasi-convexity (Proposition 2.13 below). Part (3) follows from Lemma 2.3. Part (4) is [Fio21c, Corollary 2.12(3)].

We caution the reader that the non-transversality assumption really is necessary for Lemma 2.3(4) to hold; see [Fio21c, Example 2.11].

**Remark 2.4.** Consider a product of CAT(0) cube complexes $X_1 \times X_2$ and an element $g \in \text{Aut}(X_1 \times X_2)$ preserving each factor of the splitting. Then $(g)$ is convex-cocompact in $X_1 \times X_2$ if and only if it is elliptic in one of $X_1, X_2$ and convex-cocompact in the other.

Indeed, every $\langle g \rangle$–invariant convex subcomplex $C \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ splits as a product $C_1 \times C_2$ of $\langle g \rangle$–invariant convex subcomplexes $C_i \subseteq X_i$. If $g$ were loxodromic in both $X_i$, then both $C_i$ would contain bi-infinite geodesics, hence $C$ would contain a copy of $\mathbb{R}^2$, barring cocompactness.

The following technical lemma is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorems A and B(2).

**Lemma 2.5.** Consider a cocompact cubulation $G \acts X$ satisfying all of the following conditions:

- $G = H \times K$ and $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}$;
- $H$ is convex-cocompact in $X$;
- $K$ is generated by $k_1, \ldots, k_n$, where each $\langle k_i \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $X$.

Then $K$ is convex-cocompact in $X$.

**Proof.** In the Caprace–Sageev terminology [CS11, Section 3.3], every hyperplane of $X$ is either $H$–essential, $H$–half-essential, or $H$–trivial. Of the two halfspaces of $X$ associated with an $H$–half-essential hyperplane, one is $H$–deep and the other is $H$–shallow.

Since $H$ is normal in $G$, the set of $H$–deep halfspaces bounded by $H$–half-essential hyperplanes is $G$–invariant. The intersection $Y$ of all these halfspaces is nonempty, as it contains any axis for $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $Y \subseteq X$ is a $G$–invariant convex subcomplex on which $G$ acts properly and cocompactly. Replacing $X$ with $Y$, we can safely assume that there are no $H$–half-essential hyperplanes.

Now, observing that every $H$–essential hyperplane is transverse to every $H$–trivial hyperplane, we obtain a splitting of $X$ as a product of cube complexes $X_1 \times X_0$ [CS11, Lemma 2.5]. Every hyperplane of $X_1$ is $H$–essential, while every hyperplane of $X_0$ is $H$–trivial. Since $G$ commutes with $H$, it takes $H$–essential hyperplanes to $H$–essential hyperplanes. It follows that the $G$–action respects the splitting $X = X_1 \times X_0$.

We only need three observations to conclude the proof.
Claim 1: $X_1$ is a quasi-line.

Since all hyperplanes of $X_1$ are $H$–essential, every $H$–invariant convex subcomplex of $X$ is a union of fibres $X_1 \times \{ \ast \}$. Thus, since $H$ is convex-cocompact in $X$, it must act cocompactly on $X_1$. Since all hyperplanes of $X_0$ are $H$–trivial, the action $H \curvearrowright X_0$ has a global fixed point (e.g. by [CFI16 Proposition B.8]), hence $H$ must act properly on $X_1$. In conclusion, the action $H \curvearrowright X_1$ is proper and cocompact, proving that $X_1$ is a quasi-line.

Claim 2: there exists a $G$–invariant $\ell_2$–geodesic line $\alpha \subseteq X_1$.

Indeed, the union of all $H$–axes in $X_1$ (for the $\ell_2$–metric) splits as a product of CAT(0) spaces $\mathbb{R} \times Z$ (e.g. by [BH99 Theorem II.7.1]). This subset, as well as its splitting, is $G$–invariant. Since $X_1$ is a quasi-line, the CAT(0) space $Z$ is bounded, hence the induced $G$–action on $Z$ has a global fixed point $z$. The fibre $\mathbb{R} \times \{ z \}$ is the required axis $\alpha$.

Claim 3: each generator $k_i \in K$ is elliptic in $X_1$.

This is clear if $k_i$ has finite order; suppose instead that $k_i$ has infinite order. Note that $k_i$ must be elliptic in either $X_0$ or $X_1$ by Remark 2.4, since $\langle k_i \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $X$ by hypothesis. However, no infinite-order $k_i$ can be elliptic in $X_0$. Otherwise, since $H$ is elliptic in $X_0$, the subgroup $H \times \langle k_i \rangle$ would also be elliptic in $X_0$. This would force $H \times \langle k_i \rangle$ to act properly on $X_1$, which cannot happen, since $H \times \langle k_i \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ while $X_1$ is a quasi-line.

We now finish the proof using the above claims. Since each $k_i$ commutes with $H$, preserves the $H$–axis $\alpha$, and is elliptic in $X_1$, we conclude that each $k_i$ fixes $\alpha$ pointwise. This implies that the entire $K$ is elliptic in $X_1$. Since $G = H \times K$ acts cocompactly on $X$, we deduce that $K$ must act cocompactly on $X_0$. Since $X_0$ embeds $K$–equivariantly in $X$ as a convex subcomplex, this shows that $K$ is convex-cocompact in $X$.

The following lemma is needed in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 2.6. Consider an action $G = H \times K \curvearrowright X$ with $G$ finitely generated. If $H$ and $K$ are convex-cocompact in $X$, then so is $G$.

Proof. We will only use this result in the case when $X$ is locally finite and $G$ acts properly, where one could actually give a more direct proof using [CSI11] instead of [Fio21b]. Nevertheless, we choose to prove the general statement, as this will likely be useful elsewhere.

Up to subdividing $X$, we can assume that $G$ acts without hyperplane-inversions. By Theorem 3.16 and Remark 3.17 in [Fio21b], the reduced core $\overline{C}(H,X)$ is a nonempty, $G$–invariant, convex subcomplex of $X$. In addition, there is a $G$–invariant splitting $\overline{C}(H,X) = C_1 \times C_0$, where every hyperplane of $C_1$ is skewed by an element of $H$, while the action $H \curvearrowright C_0$ factors through a finite group (see Lemma 3.22, Corollary 4.6 and Remark 3.9 in [Fio21b]). The fact that $H \curvearrowright C_0$ factors through a finite group, rather than simply being elliptic, is the key difference from [CSI11].

If $H$ is convex-cocompact in $X$, the action $H \curvearrowright C_1$ is cocompact. Indeed, if $Y \subseteq X$ is an $H$–cocompact, convex subcomplex, we can project $Y$ first to $\overline{C}(H,X)$ and then to $C_1$. The result is an $H$–cocompact, convex subcomplex $Y' \subseteq C_1$, which must be the entire $C_1$, since every hyperplane of $C_1$ is $H$–skewed. Thus, $H \curvearrowright C_1$ is cocompact.

Since the splitting $C_1 \times C_0$ is $G$–invariant, we have an action $G \curvearrowright C_0$. With another application of the above results from [Fio21b], we obtain a $G$–invariant splitting $\overline{C}(K,C_0) = C_{01} \times C_{00}$. Here $C_{01} \subseteq C_0$ is a $G$–invariant, convex subcomplex all whose hyperplanes are skewed by elements of $K$. Again, if $K$ is convex-cocompact in $X$, the action $K \curvearrowright C_{01}$ is cocompact.

In conclusion, we have found a $G$–invariant, convex subcomplex $C_1 \times C_{01} \subseteq X$ such that the actions $H \curvearrowright C_1$ and $K \curvearrowright C_{01}$ are cocompact, and the action $H \curvearrowright C_{01}$ factors through a finite group.

Now, let $A \subseteq C_1$ and $B \subseteq C_{01}$ be compact fundamental domains for the actions of $H$ and $K$, respectively. Denote by $H \cdot B$ the union of all $H$–translates of $B$; this is again a compact set, since
the action $H \acts C_{01}$ factors through a finite group. Finally, the set $A \times (H \cdot B)$ is a compact fundamental domain for the action $G \acts C_1 \times C_{01}$, showing that $G$ is convex-cocompact in $X$. □

2.3. Median-cocompactness. Let $X$ be a CAT(0) cube complex.

In some cases, one can prove convex-cocompactness of a subgroup $H \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ by first establishing a significantly weaker property — *median-cocompactness* — and then promoting this to genuine convex-cocompactness.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $H \acts X$ be an action. We say that $H$ is *median-cocompact in $X$* if there exists an $H$–invariant median subalgebra $M \subseteq X^{(0)}$ that is acted upon cofinitely by $H$.

As an example, if $X$ is the standard square tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$, the diagonal $\{(n, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a median subalgebra, although the only convex subcomplex containing it is the whole $X$. Thus, considering the standard action $\mathbb{Z}^2 \acts \mathbb{R}^2$, the diagonal subgroup $\langle (1, 1) \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is median-cocompact, but not convex-cocompact.

If $G \acts X$ is a cocompact cubulation and $H \leq G$ is a median-cocompact subgroup, then $H$ is necessarily finitely generated, undistorted and cocompactly cubulated [Fio21a, Lemma 4.12]. However, the cubulation of $H$ is “abstractly” provided by Chepoi–Roller duality and it cannot be realised as a convex subcomplex of $X$ in general.

A fundamental observation is that median-cocompactness always comes for free for *centralisers*, while this is not true of convex-cocompactness without strong additional assumptions (compare Lemma 2.3(4) above and Lemma 3.2 below).

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $G \acts X$ be a cocompact cubulation. For every finitely generated subgroup $H \leq G$, the centraliser $Z_G(H)$ is median-cocompact in $X$.

The proof of this result is best phrased in terms of coarse median structures, so we postpone it until the next subsection (Lemma 2.14). However, we deduce here Corollary E from Proposition 2.8.

**Proof of Corollary E** Let $G \acts X$ be a cocompact cubulation. If $H \leq G$ is finitely generated, Proposition 2.8 guarantees that $Z_G(H)$ acts cofinitely on a median subalgebra $M \subseteq X^{(0)}$. By Chepoi–Roller duality [Che00, Ro98], there exists an action on a CAT(0) cube complex $Z_G(H) \acts Y$ such that $M$ and $Y^{(0)}$ are equivariantly isomorphic as median algebras. It follows that the action $Z_G(H) \acts Y$ is a cocompact cubulation. This proves part (1) of the corollary.

If $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $G_2$ has finite centre, then $G_1$ is a finite-index subgroup of the centraliser $Z_G(G_2)$, which is cocompactly cubulated by part (1). Hence $G_1$ is also cocompactly cubulated, proving part (2). □

The proof of Corollary E also shows that, if a product $G_1 \times G_2$ is cocompactly cubulated, then $G_1 \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ is cocompactly cubulated for some $n \geq 1$. In general, however, this does not imply that $G_1$ is itself cocompactly cubulated, as the next example demonstrates.

**Example 2.9.** Consider the $(3,3,3)$ Coxeter group $W$, which is not cocompactly cubulated by [Hag14]. We show that, instead, $W \times \mathbb{Z}$ acts properly and cocompactly on the cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Consider the elements $\rho, \sigma \in O(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and the translations $T_0, T_1$ defined as follows:

\[
\rho(x, y, z) = (x, z, y), \quad T_0(x, y, z) = (x + 1, y + 1, z + 1),
\]
\[
\sigma(x, y, z) = (y, z, x), \quad T_1(x, y, z) = (x - 2, y + 1, z + 1).
\]

All four elements preserve the standard cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $T_0$ commutes with $\rho, \sigma, T_1$. The plane $P = \{(x, y, z) \mid x + y + z = 0\}$ is orthogonal to the axes of $T_0$ and it is preserved by the reflection $\rho$ and the rotation $\sigma$. In particular, $\sigma|_P$ is an order–3 rotation around the origin and $\rho|_P$ is a reflection in a line through the origin that is also an axis for $T_1$. This shows that $\langle \rho, \sigma \rangle$ is an
order–6 dihedral group, and the reflection axes of the restrictions to $P$ of $\phi, \phi^{-1}, (T_1 \phi \sigma^{-1})\phi(T_1 \phi \sigma^{-1})^{-1}$ intersect forming an equilateral triangle.

In conclusion, $(\phi, \phi^{-1}, (T_1 \phi \sigma^{-1})\phi(T_1 \phi \sigma^{-1})^{-1})$ is a copy of $W$ acting properly and cocompactly on the plane $P$. This group commutes with $T_1$, which translates perpendicularly to $P$, providing the required geometric action $W \times \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^3$.

Note that other pathologies of crystallographic groups cannot be cured simply by adding $\mathbb{Z}$–factors: if $\sigma' \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an order–6 rotation, then $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \langle \sigma' \rangle) \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ is not cocompactly cubulated for any $n \geq 0$ \cite[Example 5.4]{Hag14}.

2.4. Cubical coarse median structures. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group equipped with a word metric. The specific choice of word metric is inconsequential in the following discussion.

A coarse median is a map $\mu : G^3 \to G$ for which there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that, for all $a, b, c, x \in G$, the following hold:

1. $\mu(a, a, b) = a$ and $\mu(a, b, c) = \mu(b, c, a) = \mu(b, a, c)$;
2. $\mu(\mu(a, x, b), x, c)$ and $\mu(a, x, \mu(b, x, c))$ are at distance $\leq C$;
3. $d(\mu(a, b, c), \mu(x, b, c)) \leq Cd(a, x) + C$;
4. $x\mu(a, b, c)$ and $\mu(xa, xb, xc)$ are at distance $\leq C$.

Coarse medians were introduced by Bowditch \cite{Bow13}, while the above formulation is due toNiblo–Wright–Zhang \cite{NWZ19}. Condition (4) is sometimes omitted, but it is important for our purposes.

Two coarse medians $\mu, \nu$ are at bounded distance from each other if the points $\mu(a, b, c)$ and $\nu(a, b, c)$ are at uniformly bounded distance for $a, b, c \in G$. A coarse median structure on $G$ is an equivalence class $[\mu]$ of coarse medians pairwise at bounded distance.

Every cocompact cubulation $G \curvearrowright X$ induces a specific coarse median structure $[\mu]$ on $G$. This consists of the maps $\mu : G^3 \to G$ for which there exists a constant $C$ such that:

$$d(\mu(g_1, g_2, g_3) v, m(g_1 v, g_2 v, g_3 v)) \leq C, \quad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G, \quad \forall v \in X^{(0)}.$$ 

That such maps $\mu$ exist is a straightforward consequence of the Milnor–Schwarz lemma.

Coarse median structures arising this way are much better–behaved than arbitrary ones, so they deserve a special name.

**Definition 2.10.** A coarse median structure on $G$ is cubical if induced by a cocompact cubulation. Let $\mathcal{CM}(G)$ be the set of coarse median structures on $G$, and $\mathcal{CM}^{\square}(G)$ the subset of cubical ones.

If $[\mu] \in \mathcal{CM}(G)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then the map $(a, b, c) \mapsto \varphi(\mu(\varphi^{-1}(a), \varphi^{-1}(b), \varphi^{-1}(c)))$ is also a coarse median. This gives a natural $\text{Out}(G)$–action on $\mathcal{CM}(G)$ and $\mathcal{CM}^{\square}(G)$, see \cite[Remark 2.25]{Fio21}.

**Example 2.11.**

1. If $G$ is word–hyperbolic, $\mathcal{CM}(G)$ is a singleton by \cite[Theorem 4.2]{NWZ19}. In particular, all cocompact cubulations of $G$ induce the same (cubical) coarse median structure.
2. Instead, $\mathcal{CM}^{\square}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is countably infinite (see \cite[Proposition 3.9]{Close19} and $\mathcal{CM}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is uncountable.

A fundamental fact is that the information of which subgroups of $G$ are convex-cocompact or median-cocompact in a given cubulation is entirely encoded in the induced coarse median structure.

**Definition 2.12.** Consider $[\mu] \in \mathcal{CM}(G)$ and a subgroup $H \leq G$.

1. $H$ is $[\mu]$–quasi-convex if $\mu(H, H, G)$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $H$.
2. $H$ is $[\mu]$–quasi-submedian if $\mu(H, H, H)$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $H$.

When the coarse median structure is understood, we will sometimes simply speak of quasi-convex or quasi-submedian subgroups. We emphasise that there is no connection between quasi-submedian subgroups and the “quasi-median graphs” studied e.g. in \cite{BMW94, CCHO20, Gen17}.
Proposition 2.13. Let $G \acts X$ be a cocompact cubulation, inducing $[\mu_X] \in \mathcal{CM}_\mathbb{Z}(G)$. Then:

1. $H \acts G$ is convex-cocompact in $X$ if and only if it is $[\mu_X]$-quasi-convex;
2. $H \acts G$ is median-cocompact in $X$ if and only if it is $[\mu_X]$-quasi-submedian.

Proof. Part (1) is [Fio21a] Lemma 3.2. Part (2) can be quickly deduced from [Fio21a Proposition 4.1] (or from [Bow18 Proposition 4.1]) as in the proof of [Fio21a Theorem 4.10]. Note that, in [Fio21a], quasi-submedian subgroups are referred to as “approximate median subalgebras”. □

In view of Proposition 2.13, Proposition 2.8 becomes an immediate consequence of the following observation about general coarse median structures.

Lemma 2.14. If $[\mu] \in \mathcal{CM}(G)$ and $H \acts G$ is finitely generated, then $Z_G(H)$ is $[\mu]$-quasi-submedian.

Proof. For simplicity, if $x, y \in G$ are at distance $\leq D$ in the chosen word metric, we write $x \approx_D y$. We also write $[x]$ for the word length of $x$. Finally, let $C$ be the constant for which $\mu$ satisfies the four conditions in the definition of coarse medians at the beginning of this subsection.

Set $Z := Z_G(H)$. Consider a point $x \in \mu(Z, Z, Z)$, say $x = \mu(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ with $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in Z$. If $h \in H$, using Conditions (4) and (3) in this order, we obtain:

$$hx = h\mu(z_1, z_2, z_3) \approx_C \mu(hz_1, hz_2, hz_3) = \mu(z_1 h, z_2 h, z_3 h) \approx_{3C(1 + |h|)} \mu(z_1, z_2, z_3) = x.$$ 

It follows that $x^{-1}hx$ lies in the finite subset of $G$ with word length at most $4C + 3C|h|$. Hence $x$ lies in a finite union of right cosets of $Z_G(h)$, call it $R(h)$.

Since $x$ was arbitrary, we have $\mu(Z, Z, Z) \subseteq R(h)$ for every $h \in H$. If $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are a finite generating set for $H$, the intersection $R(h_1) \cap \cdots \cap R(h_n)$ is a finite union of right cosets of $Z_G(h_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_G(h_n) = Z$, and it contains $\mu(Z, Z, Z)$. This shows that $\mu(Z, Z, Z)$ is contained in a bounded neighbourhood of $Z$. Since $\mu(Z, Z, Z)$ trivially contains $Z$, it is at finite Hausdorff distance from $Z$, proving the lemma. □

We now turn to an important tool to check that two cubulations of a group $G$ induce the same coarse median structure, namely Theorem 2.15 below. Before stating the theorem, we need to recall a classical construction.

If $G \acts X$ is an action on a CAT(0) cube complex and $U \subseteq \mathcal{W}(X)$ is a $G$-invariant set of hyperplanes, we can collapse the hyperplanes in $U$ and obtain a new action on a CAT(0) cube complex $G \acts X(U)$, along with a $G$-equivariant, surjective, cubical map $\pi_U : X \to X(U)$. The action $G \acts X(U)$ is known as a restriction quotient of $G \acts X$, see [CS11 Section 2.3] for details. Note that restriction quotients of cocompact actions will remain cocompact, but restriction quotients of proper actions may well stop being proper.

A map $f : M \to N$ between median algebras (in our case, subalgebras of cube complexes) is a median morphism if, for all $x, y, z \in M$, we have $f(m(x, y, z)) = m(f(x), f(y), f(z))$. Consider two actions $G \acts X$ and $G \acts Y$ on CAT(0) cube complexes. As observed in [Fio21a Proposition 2.20] (crucially exploiting [HK18 Theorem 4.1]), a $G$-equivariant surjective cubical map $f : X \to Y$ corresponds to a restriction quotient if and only if $f$ is a median morphism on the 0-skeleton. In particular, if a cocompact cubulation is a restriction quotient of another cocompact cubulation, then the two induced coarse median structures on $G$ will coincide.

The next result will be our main tool to show that two cubulations of $G$ induce the same coarse median structure. It reduces the problem to checking that finitely many subgroups are convex-cocompact. Note that Item (3) in Theorem 2.15 is deliberately asymmetric in $X$ and $Y$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $G \acts X$ and $G \acts Y$ be cocompact cubulations. The following are equivalent:

1. $G \acts X$ and $G \acts Y$ induce the same coarse median structure on $G$;
2. $G \acts X$ and $G \acts Y$ have the same convex-cocompact subgroups;
3. every $G$-stabiliser of a hyperplane of $X$ is convex-cocompact in $Y$.
(4) there exists a third cocompact cubulation $G \bowtie Z$ of which both $G \bowtie X$ and $G \bowtie Y$ are restriction quotients.

Proof. The implication (1)$\Rightarrow$(2) follows from Proposition 2.13, while the implication (2)$\Rightarrow$(3) is obvious. The implication (4)$\Rightarrow$(1) is also clear, since then $Z$ and $X$ induce the same coarse median structure on $G$, and so do $Z$ and $Y$.

We are left to prove that (3)$\Rightarrow$(4), which is the main content of the theorem. Suppose that all stabilisers of hyperplanes of $X$ are convex-cocompact in $Y$. By [Fio21c, Proposition 7.9], there exists a nonempty, $G$–invariant, $G$–cofinite median subalgebra $N \subseteq X(0) \times Y(0)$.

Let $K_X \subseteq X$ and $K_Y \subseteq Y$ be finite subcomplexes with $G \cdot K_X = X$ and $G \cdot K_Y = Y$. Consider the diagonal action $G \bowtie X \times Y$ and the finite subcomplex $K := K_X \times K_Y \subseteq X \times Y$.

Let us show that there exists a $G$–invariant, $G$–cofinite median subalgebra $M \subseteq X \times Y$ such that $K \subseteq M$. To begin with, since $K$ is compact, the set $G \cdot K$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $N$. Since the median operator $m$ is $1$–Lipschitz, it follows that the set of medians $m(G \cdot K, G \cdot K, G \cdot K)$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $m(N, N, N) = N$. Appealing to [Fio21c, Proposition 4.1] (or to [Bow18, Proposition 4.1]), this implies that the median algebra $M$ generated by the set $G \cdot K$ is also at finite Hausdorff distance from $N$. It is clear that $M$ is $G$–invariant and contains $K$. Finally, since $X \times Y$ is locally finite and $N$ is $G$–cofinite, $M$ is also $G$–cofinite.

Now, by Chepoi–Roller duality [Che00, Rol98], the median algebra $M \cap (X(0) \times Y(0))$ is the 0–skeleton of a CAT(0) cube complex $Z$ equipped with a proper and cocompact action $G \bowtie Z$. The restriction to $M$ of the coordinate projection $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ gives a $G$–equivariant cubical map $\pi_X : Z \rightarrow X$ that is a median morphism on the 0–skeleton. Since $K \subseteq M$ and $G \cdot K_X = X$, the map $\pi_X$ is surjective, hence it corresponds to a restriction quotient by [Fio21a, Proposition 2.20]. The same argument shows that $G \bowtie Y$ is a restriction quotient of $G \bowtie Z$, concluding the proof. \( \Box \)

3. First forms of coarse cubical rigidity

In this section, we prove Theorem A (Theorem 3.18) and a general version of Theorem B (1) for all graph products of finite groups (Theorem 3.3). Theorem D (1) immediately follows from the latter. In addition, in Subsection 3.3 we study cubical coarse medians on virtually abelian groups.

3.1. Strongly cellular cubulations. The general criterion leading to Theorem 3.3 is the following.

Proposition 3.1. Let $G$ be a group with a cocompact cubulation $G \bowtie X$ where every hyperplane-stabiliser is commensurable to the centraliser of a finite set of finite-order elements of $G$. Then all strongly cellular, cocompact cubulations of $G$ induce the same coarse median structure as $G \bowtie X$.

Note that the action $G \bowtie X$ in Proposition 3.1 is not required to be strongly cellular itself. We will prove Proposition 3.1 by combining Theorem 2.13 with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a group and let $Z \leq G$ be the centraliser of a finite set of finite-order elements. Then $Z$ is convex-cocompact in every strongly cellular, cocompact cubulation of $G$.

Proof. Let $G \bowtie Y$ be a strongly cellular, cocompact cubulation of $G$. Let $f \in G$ have finite order.

The subset $\text{Fix}(f) \subseteq Y$ is nonempty and, since the $\ell_2$–metric on $Y$ is uniquely geodesic, it is $\ell_2$–convex. By definition of strongly cellular action, the subset $\text{Fix}(f)$ is also a subcomplex. We conclude that $\text{Fix}(f)$ is a convex subcomplex (recall that, for subcomplexes, $\ell_2$–convexity is equivalent to $\ell_1$–convexity [Hag07]).

Observe that the action $Z_G(f) \bowtie \text{Fix}(f)$ is cocompact. In order to see this, consider a point $x \in \text{Fix}(f)$ and let $G_x \leq G$ be the finite subgroup fixing $x$. If $gx \in \text{Fix}(f)$ for some $g \in G$, then $g^{-1}fg \in G_x$. The set $\{g \in G \mid g^{-1}fg \in G_x\}$ is a finite union of right cosets of $Z_G(f)$. Hence $Z_G(f)$ acts cofinitely on every intersection between $\text{Fix}(f)$ and a $G$–orbit. Since there are only finitely many $G$–orbits of vertices in $Y$, it follows that $Z_G(f)$ acts cocompactly on $\text{Fix}(f)$. 

In conclusion, for every finite-order element \( f \in G \), the centraliser \( Z_G(f) \) is convex-cocompact in \( Y \). By Lemma 2.3, finite intersections of these subgroups are also convex-cocompact. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** Let \( G \acts X \) be a cocompact cubulation where hyperplane-stabilisers are commensurable to centralisers of finite sets of finite-order elements. Let \( G \acts Y \) be any strongly cellular, cocompact cubulation. Since convex-cocompactness is a commensurability invariant (Lemma 2.3), Lemma 3.2 implies that all stabilisers of hyperplanes of \( X \) are convex-cocompact in \( Y \). Now, Theorem 2.18 implies that \( X \) and \( Y \) induce the same coarse median structure on \( G \). \( \square \)

### 3.2. Graph products of finite groups

In this subsection, we deduce the following result from Proposition 3.1. In particular, this proves part (1) of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( G \) be a graph product of finite groups. All strongly cellular, cocompact cubulations of \( G \) induce the same coarse median structure on \( G \).

Every graph product of finite groups admits a particularly nice cocompact cubulation: its **graph-product complex**. This was shown in [Dav98] (also see [RW16] and [GM19] Theorem 2.27), but we briefly recall here the construction.

Let \( G \) be the graph product determined by the data \( (\Gamma, \{ F_v \}_{v \in \Gamma}) \). Here \( \Gamma \) is a finite simplicial graph and \( \{ F_v \}_{v \in \Gamma} \) is a collection of finite groups indexed by the vertices of \( \Gamma \). The group \( G \) is the quotient of the free product of the \( F_v \) by the normal subgroup generated by the commutator sets \( [F_w, F_{w'}] \) such that \( [w, w'] \) is an edge of \( \Gamma \).

If \( \Lambda \subseteq \Gamma \) is a subgraph, we denote by \( G_\Lambda \) the subgroup of \( G \) generated by the union of the \( F_v \) with \( v \in \Lambda \). If \( \Lambda \) is the empty-set, then we let \( G_\Lambda \) denote the trivial subgroup of \( G \).

The 0–skeleton of the graph-product complex \( D \) is identified with the collection of cosets \( gG_c \), where \( g \in G \) and \( c \subseteq \Gamma \) is a clique (possibly empty). We add edges \([gG_c, gG_{c'}]\) when \( c \subseteq c' \) and \(#c = #c' - 1\).

Fixing \( g \in G \), if \( c \subseteq c' \) are cliques with \(#c = #c' - k\), then the subgraph of \( D \) spanned by the vertices \( gG_{c''} \) with \( c \subseteq c'' \subseteq c' \) is isomorphic to the 1–skeleton of a \( k \)-cube. We complete the construction of \( D \) by glueing a cube of the appropriate dimension to each such subgraph.

Note that \( G \) permutes left cosets by left multiplication. This gives a \( G \)-action on the 0–skeleton of \( D \), which naturally extends to a cellular action on the whole \( D \).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( G \) be a graph product of finite groups.

1. The graph-product complex \( D \) is a CAT(0) cube complex.
2. The action \( G \acts D \) is proper, cocompact and strongly cellular.
3. Hyperplane-stabilisers are precisely conjugates of the subgroups \( G_{\text{lk}(v)} \) for \( v \in \Gamma^{(0)} \).

**Proof.** Checking (1) and (2) is straightforward and we leave it to the reader. We prove (3).

Let \( w \subseteq D \) be the hyperplane dual to the edge \( e = [gG_c, gG_{c'}F_v] \), where \( g \in G \) is an element and \( c \cup \{ v \} \) is a clique. Suppose \( f \subseteq D \) is an edge opposite to \( e \) in a square containing \( e \). Then \( f \) takes one of the following two forms:

- \( f = [gG_cF_w, gG_{c'}F_vF_w] \), where \( c \cup \{ v, w \} \) is a clique of \( \Gamma \);
- \( f = [ghG_{c \setminus \{ w \}}, ghG_{c' \setminus \{ w \}}F_v] \), where \( w \in c \) and \( h \in F_w \).

From this, we deduce that the edges of \( D \) dual to \( w \) are precisely those of the form:

\[ [g'G_{c'}, g'G_{c'}F_v] \]

where \( c' \cup \{ v \} \) is a clique in \( \Gamma \) and the element \( g'^{-1}g' \) is a product of elements of those \( F_w \) for which \( [v, w] \) is an edge of \( \Gamma \).

\[ \text{Footnote:} \text{For graph products of order-2 groups (i.e. right-angled Coxeter groups), the complex that we are about to describe is the first cubical subdivision of what is usually known as the Davis complex.} \]
Now, if $h \in G$, we have $hw = w$ if and only if the edge $[hg_G, hg_GF_v]$ is of the above form. This happens exactly when $g^{-1}hg$ lies in the subgroup $G_{\text{lk}(v)}$, as required. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.5.** For every vertex $v \in \Gamma$ and every $g \in F_v - \{1\}$, we have $G_{\text{lk}(v)} \leq Z_G(g) \leq G_{\text{st}(v)}$ (see e.g. [Bar07, Theorem 32]). Since $G_{\text{st}(v)} = F_v \times G_{\text{lk}(v)}$, this shows that $G_{\text{lk}(v)}$ is always commensurable to the centraliser of a finite-order element.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** It suffices to apply Proposition 3.1 to the graph-product complex of $G$, Proposition 3.4(3) and Remark 3.5 ensure that hyperplane-stabilisers have the required form. \hfill \Box

Theorem D(1) immediately follows from Theorem 3.3 and the fact that the action on the graph-product complex is strongly cellular.

### 3.3. Virtually abelian groups.

In this subsection, we completely classify cubical coarse median structures on free abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (Proposition 3.9) and on products of infinite dihedrals $D^\infty_n$ (Proposition 3.11). While $\mathbb{Z}^n$ has many cubical coarse medians — infinitely many orbits up to the natural $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$-action — there are only finitely many cubical coarse median structures on $D^\infty_n$.

The first result is used in the next subsection to study cubical coarse medians on right-angled Artin groups and prove Theorem 3.18 which implies Theorem A. The second result is needed to deduce Corollary C from Theorem B and it also shows that, in the Conjecture from the Introduction, Item (b) implies Item (a) (Remark 3.12).

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $A$ be a virtually abelian group. Every cubical coarse median structure on $A$ is induced by a proper cocompact $A$-action on the standard cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$, for some $n \geq 0$.

**Proof.** Let $A \curvearrowright X$ be a proper cocompact action on a CAT(0) cube complex. Up to passing to an invariant convex subcomplex (which does not alter the induced coarse median structure), the cubical flat torus theorem [WW17, Theorem 3.6] allows us to assume that $X$ is a product of quasi-lines $L_1 \times \ldots \times L_n$.

By the CAT(0) flat torus theorem [BH99 Corollary II.7.2], there exists an $A$-invariant $\ell_2$-convex subspace $F \subseteq X$ that is $\ell_2$-isometric to an Euclidean flat. The projection of $A$ to each factor $L_i$ is an $\ell_2$-geodesic line $\alpha_i$. Each $\alpha_i$ is also an $\ell_1$-geodesic by Lemma 2.1 hence it is a median subalgebra of $L_i$. It follows that $F = \alpha_1 \times \ldots \times \alpha_n$ is an $A$-invariant median subalgebra of $X$.

In conclusion, the actions $A \curvearrowright X$ and $A \curvearrowright F$ induce the same coarse median structure on $A$. In addition, equipped with the restriction of the $\ell_1$-metric on $X$, the flat $F$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the $\ell_1$-metric. Finally, since $A$ acts on $F$ discretely and permuting the orthogonal directions $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, it preserves a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by cuboids. Scaling to 1 all edge-lengths of these cuboids, we obtain the standard cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$ without altering the coarse median structure. \hfill \Box

As we are about to show, cubical coarse medians on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ are parametrised by the following objects.

**Definition 3.7.** A virtual basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a set $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$, where each $C_i \leq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup and $\langle C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_n \rangle$ has finite index in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We denote by $\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ the set of virtual bases.

An example of a virtual basis that is not a basis is given by $C_1 = \langle (1,1) \rangle$, $C_2 = \langle (1, -1) \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

**Remark 3.8.** If $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is a matrix with integer entries and $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$ is a virtual basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain a new virtual basis $\{C'_1, \ldots, C'_n\}$ by taking $C'_i$ to be the maximal cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ containing $A(C_i)$. Multiplying $A$ by a constant does not alter the $C'_i$, so we obtain a natural transitive action:

$$\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n).$$

As another way of making sense of this action, note that $\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ can be equivalently defined as the collection of all cardinality-$n$ general-position subsets of $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$. The action $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is then directly induced by the standard action $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ by projective automorphisms.
The stabiliser of the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is the subgroup $N \leq \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ generated by diagonal matrices and permutation matrices (i.e. the normaliser of the diagonal subgroup). This shows that we can naturally identify:

$$\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) / N \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathcal{N},$$

where $\mathcal{N} \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is again the normaliser of the diagonal subgroup.

**Proposition 3.9.** The sets $\mathcal{CM}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence, equivariantly with respect to the action $\text{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \curvearrowright \mathcal{CM}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and the left-multiplication action $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathcal{N}$.

The correspondence pairs each coarse median structure $[\mu]$ with the set $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$ of maximal, cyclic, $[\mu]$–quasi-convex subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.6, every cubical coarse median on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is induced by a $\mathbb{Z}^n$–action on the standard cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which we denote by $S$. We have $\text{Aut}(S) = T \rtimes \text{O}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $T \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the translation subgroup, and $\text{O}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the group of signed permutation matrices.

Up to passing to a finite-index subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (for instance, the intersection of all subgroups of index $\leq \# \text{O}(n, \mathbb{Z})$), we can assume that all our actions $\mathbb{Z}^n \curvearrowright S$ factor through $T$.

We equip $T$ with its standard basis $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ (where each $t_i$ translates in only one coordinate direction), as well as the coordinate-wise median operator $m$ associated with this basis. Note that $[m]$ is precisely the coarse median structure on $T$ induced by the action $T \curvearrowright S$.

The above discussion shows that every cubical coarse median structure $[\mu]$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ can be obtained by pulling back $[m]$ via an embedding $\iota: \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow T$. To each such structure $[\mu]$, we associate the virtual basis $\{\iota^{-1}(t_1), \ldots, \iota^{-1}(t_n)\} \in \mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, which is precisely the set of maximal, cyclic, $[\mu]$–quasi-convex subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

It is straightforward to see that $[\mu]$ is completely determined by this element of $\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Finally, every element of $\mathcal{VB}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ arises in this way, as every action by translations $H \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n$ of a finite-index subgroup $H \leq \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be extended to a $\mathbb{Z}^n$–action by translations, which will preserve a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by sufficiently fine cubes. This concludes the proof. \[\square\]

Having classified cubical coarse median structures on $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we move on to the case of $D^\infty_2$.

**Remark 3.10.** There are two obvious cubical coarse median structures on $D^2_2$. The first (or “standard”) structure is induced by the action on the Davis complex: it is the action on the standard square tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with each reflection axis parallel either to the $x$–axis or to the $y$–axis. The second (or “$\frac{\pi}{4}$–rotated”) structure is induced by the action on the standard tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with all reflection axes meeting the $x$– and $y$–axes at an angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\frac{3\pi}{4}$. This is just the first action rotated by $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and, unlike it, it is not strongly cellular.

The next result shows that there are no other cubical coarse median structures on $D^2_2$. Similarly, all cubical coarse medians on $D^\infty_2$ are simply obtained by choosing the “$\frac{\pi}{4}$–rotated” structure on some $D^2_2$–factors and the “standard” one on the rest.

**Proposition 3.11.** The set $\mathcal{CM}(D^\infty_2)$ is finite. More precisely, for every $[\mu] \in \mathcal{CM}(D^\infty_2)$, there is a product splitting $D^\infty_2 = A_1 \times \ldots \times A_k \times B_1 \times \ldots \times B_{n-2k}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, where all $A_i$ and $B_j$ are $[\mu]$–quasi-convex, we have $A_i \simeq D^2_2$ and $B_j \simeq D_\infty$, and the restriction of $[\mu]$ to each $A_i$ is the $\frac{\pi}{4}$–rotated structure.

**Proof.** Let again $S$ denote the standard cubical tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$. By Lemma 3.6, every cubical coarse median on $D^\infty_2$ arises from a proper cocompact action $D^\infty_2 \curvearrowright S$. The latter corresponds to a homomorphism $\rho: D^\infty_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) = T \rtimes \text{O}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ with finite-index image, where $T \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Note that $\rho$ must be faithful. Indeed, the kernel of $\rho$ is necessarily finite, and $D_\infty$ (and hence $D^\infty_2$) does not have any nontrivial finite normal subgroups.
Now, choose a reflection \( r_i \) in each factor of \( D_\infty^n \) and consider the subgroup \( R := \langle r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \). Let \( \pi: \text{Aut}(S) \to O(n, \mathbb{Z}) \) be the projection with \( \ker \pi = T \). Since \( T \) is torsion-free and \( \rho \) is injective, the homomorphism \( \pi \rho \) must be injective on \( R \). Thus, \( \pi \rho(R) \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \) and it is a subgroup of \( O(n, \mathbb{Z}) \), the group of signed permutation matrices.

Every element of \( \pi \rho(R) \) has order 2, hence it is \( O(n, \mathbb{Z}) \)-conjugate to a block-diagonal matrix, with each block chosen from:

\[
(\pm 1), \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \( \pi \rho(R) \) is abelian, its elements can be simultaneously block-diagonalised. Since \( \pi \rho(R) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \), it follows that \( \pi \rho(R) \) is \( O(n, \mathbb{Z}) \)-conjugate to a subgroup of the form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} R_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & R_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( 0 \leq k \leq n/2 \) and each \( R_i \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \) is the group of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices \( \{\pm(01), \pm(10)\} \).

Finally, the coarse median structure that \( \rho \) induces on \( D_\infty^n \) is completely determined by the datum of which pairs of indices \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \) are such that \( \langle r_i, r_j \rangle \) corresponds to one of the \( 2 \times 2 \) blocks \( R_i \) in the above decomposition. Indeed, this datum determines which infinite cyclic subgroups of \( D_\infty^n \) translate in exactly one coordinate direction in \( S \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \) and are thus convex-cocompact. And the latter determines the coarse median structure by applying Proposition 3.9 to a free abelian finite-index subgroup of \( D_\infty^n \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.12.** Item (b) implies Item (a) in the Conjecture from the Introduction.

Indeed, if \( W_T \) is a right-angled Coxeter group, \( W_T \) has only finitely many maximal virtually-abelian parabolic subgroups \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \) up to conjugacy. Each of them has a finite-index subgroup \( P'_i \simeq D_\infty^{m_i} \) for some \( m_i \geq 0 \). By Lemma 2.3(3) and Proposition 2.13, all \( P_i \) and \( P'_i \) are \( [\mu] \)-quasi-convex with respect to each \( [\mu] \in \mathcal{CM}(W_T) \), so they inherit cubical coarse median structures from \( [\mu] \). By Proposition 3.11 there are only finitely many possible restrictions of \( [\mu] \) to the \( P_i \). Thus, if these restrictions completely determine \( [\mu] \), then the set \( \mathcal{CM}(W_T) \) is finite.

The following example, mentioned in the Introduction, shows that Proposition 2.8 cannot be improved. In general, we cannot expect centralisers to be convex-cocompact even if we have the freedom to choose the cubulation.

**Example 3.13.** Consider \( G = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n \), where the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) acts by permuting the standard basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^n \). For \( n = 3 \) or \( n \geq 5 \), the centraliser \( Z_G(\mathfrak{S}_n) \) is not convex-cocompact in any cocompact cubulation of \( G \).

Indeed, \( Z_G(\mathfrak{S}_n) \) is the infinite cyclic subgroup generated by the element \( v := (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). If \( \langle v \rangle \) were convex-cocompact in a cocompact cubulation of \( G \), then, by Proposition 3.9 there would exist a virtual basis \( \langle v \rangle, C_1, \ldots, C_{n-1} \) of \( \mathbb{Z}^n \) that is permuted by \( \mathfrak{S}_n \). In particular, the subgroups \( C_1, \ldots, C_{n-1} \) would be permuted by \( \mathfrak{S}_n \), since \( v \) is fixed. However, for every maximal infinite cyclic subgroup \( C < \mathbb{Z}^n \) with \( C \neq \langle v \rangle \), the orbit \( \mathfrak{S}_n \cdot C \) contains at least \( n \) distinct subgroups.

Let us prove this last statement. Suppose that \( C \) is generated by an element \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). If the absolute values \( |x_i| \) are not all equal, then, without loss of generality, there exist an integer \( a > 0 \) and an index \( 1 \leq k < n \) such that \( |x_i| = a \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( |x_i| < a \) for \( k < i \leq n \). Since \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) acts \( k \)-transitively on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), it follows that the orbit \( \mathfrak{S}_n \cdot C \) contains at least \( \binom{n}{k} \) distinct subgroups. If instead all the \( |x_i| \) are equal, we can assume that there exists \( 1 \leq k < n \) such that \( x_i = 1 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( x_i = -1 \) for \( k < i \leq n \). In this case, the orbit \( \mathfrak{S}_n \cdot C \) has cardinality \( \binom{n}{k} \) if \( n \neq 2k \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot \binom{n}{k} \) if \( n = 2k \). When \( n \geq 5 \), even this last quantity is \( \geq n \), completing the proof.
3.4. Right-angled Artin groups. Let $A_\Gamma$ be a right-angled Artin group. In this subsection, we study the set $\mathcal{CM}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$. The main result is Theorem 3.18 which implies Theorem A from the Introduction, but also concerns right-angled Artin groups that are not twistless.

Let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X_\Gamma$ be the standard action on the universal cover of the Salvetti complex. Let $[\mu_\Gamma] \in \mathcal{CM}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$ be the induced coarse median structure on $A_\Gamma$. We refer to $[\mu_\Gamma]$ as the standard coarse median structure on $A_\Gamma$.

We will obtain Theorem 3.18 by adapting the proof of Proposition 3.9. The main differences are that: (1) we cannot exploit torsion, and (2) stabilisers of hyperplanes of the Salvetti complex are not commensurable to centralisers. On the other hand, no analogue of the ‘strongly cellular’ assumption will be required. The following notion will play an important role.

**Definition 3.14.** A cocompact cubulation $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has **decomposable flats** if every maximal abelian subgroup $A \leq A_\Gamma$ admits a basis $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ with each $\langle a_i \rangle$ convex-cocompact in $X$.

An example of a cubulation that fails to have this property is provided by the $\mathbb{Z}^2$–action on the standard tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$ where the standard generators of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ translate by $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ respectively.

**Remark 3.15.** Here is some motivation for considering the above condition.

1. The standard action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X_\Gamma$ on the universal cover of the Salvetti complex has decomposable flats, since each $v \in \Gamma$ generates a convex-cocompact subgroup.

2. Whether or not a given cocompact cubulation $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has decomposable flats only depends on the induced coarse median structure on $A_\Gamma$, since this is true of convex-cocompactness.

3. The action $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma) \curvearrowright \mathcal{CM}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$ preserves the property of having decomposable flats.

Indeed, let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be a cocompact cubulation with decomposable flats. Consider $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ and denote by $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X^\varphi$ the action on $X$ precomposed with $\varphi$. If $A \leq A_\Gamma$ is a maximal abelian subgroup, then so is $\varphi(A)$, which then admits a basis $a_i$ of convex-cocompact elements for the action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$. It follows that the $\varphi^{-1}(a_i)$ form a basis of $A$ and are convex-cocompact for $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X^\varphi$. Thus, $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X^\varphi$ has decomposable flats.

4. For a general cocompact cubulation $G \curvearrowright X$ of a general group and a convex-cocompact abelian subgroup $A \leq G$, it is only possible to find a basis $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ of a finite-index subgroup of $A$ such that each $\langle a_i \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $X$. Compare Proposition 3.9.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be a cocompact cubulation with decomposable flats. Let $A \leq A_\Gamma$ be a (not necessarily maximal) abelian subgroup that is both convex-cocompact in $X$ and closed under taking roots. Then $A$ admits a basis $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ with each $\langle a_i \rangle$ convex-cocompact in $X$.

**Proof.** Let $A' \leq A_\Gamma$ be a maximal abelian subgroup containing $A$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a basis of $A'$ such that each $\langle x_i \rangle$ is convex-cocompact. If an element $x_{i_1}^{a_{i_1}} \cdots x_{i_s}^{a_{i_s}}$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_s \neq 0$ lies in $A$, then the fact that $A$ is convex-cocompact implies that $\hat{A}$ must contain nontrivial powers of $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}$ (for instance, by the argument for [Fio21a, Lemma 3.16]). Since $A$ is closed under taking roots, it must then contain $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}$ themselves.

This shows that $A$ contains all $x_i$ required to write any of its elements. They provide the required basis of $A$. \qed

The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is generated by elementary automorphisms described by Laurence and Servatius [Lau95, Ser89]. In the terminology of [CSV17, Section 2.2], these are known as **graph automorphisms, inversions, partial conjugations and transvections**; the latter are in turn divided into **folds** and **twists**.

The only automorphisms that will be important for us are twists.

**Definition 3.17.** If $v, w \in \Gamma$ are distinct vertices with $\text{st}(v) \subseteq \text{st}(w)$, there is a well-defined automorphism of $A_\Gamma$ that fixes all generators in $\Gamma - \{v\}$ and maps $v \mapsto vw$. We denote this
automorphism by $\tau_{v,w}$ and refer to it as a twist. The twist subgroup $T(A_\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is the subgroup generated by all twists.

We say that $A_\Gamma$ is twistless if the twist subgroup $T(A_\Gamma)$ is trivial. Equivalently, we never have $\text{st}(v) \subseteq \text{st}(w)$ for distinct vertices $v, w \in \Gamma$.

The right-angled Artin group $A_\Gamma$ is twistless precisely when the entire group $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ fixes the standard coarse median structure $[\mu]\text{[Fio21a]}$ Proposition A]. This is always the case when $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ is finite.

We are now ready to state the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 3.18. Let $A_\Gamma$ be a right-angled Artin group.

1. The twist group $T(A_\Gamma)$ acts simply transitively on the subset of $\mathcal{CM}(A_\Gamma)$ corresponding to cubulations with decomposable flats.

2. If $A_\Gamma$ is twistless, all cocompact cubulations of $A_\Gamma$ have decomposable flats.

Remark 3.19. Let $U(A_\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be the subgroup generated by all Laurence–Servatius generators except for twists, i.e. graph automorphisms, inversions, folds and partial conjugations (including inner automorphisms). This is known as the untwisted subgroup $\text{CSV17}$. As shown in $\text{[Fio21a]}$, $U(A_\Gamma)$ is precisely the stabiliser of the standard coarse median structure on $A_\Gamma$.

It is clear that $U(A_\Gamma)$ and $T(A_\Gamma)$ generate $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$, but Theorem 3.18(1) implies that there is even a Zappa–Szép product decomposition $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma) = T(A_\Gamma) \cdot U(A_\Gamma)$. Neither $U(A_\Gamma)$ nor $T(A_\Gamma)$ is normal in general, so this is not a semi-direct product. The existence of this splitting also follows from $\text{[BCV20]}$ Corollary 7.12.

We begin the proof of Theorem 3.18 with a few elementary observations on the twist group.

Remark 3.20. We have $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n) = T(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rtimes O(n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $T(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the twist subgroup, while $O(n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ is the group of signed permutation matrices (here $S_n$ denotes the symmetric group). The finite subgroup $O(n, \mathbb{Z})$ permutes the elements of the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, possibly inverting some of them.

The action $T(A_\Gamma) \rtimes A_\Gamma$ can be completely described in terms of the behaviour on certain free abelian subgroups of $A_\Gamma$ (see Remark 3.22 below). For this purpose, we associate to each vertex $v \in \Gamma$ a clique $\kappa(v) \subseteq \Gamma$ containing $v$.

We refer to $\kappa(v)$ as the characteristic clique of $v$, and define it precisely in the next lemma:

Lemma 3.21. For every vertex $v \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$\kappa(v) := \cap\{\text{maximal cliques in } \Gamma \text{ containing } v\} = \{w \in \Gamma^{(0)} | \text{st}(v) \subseteq \text{st}(w)\}.$$ 

Proof. If $w \in \Gamma$ is a vertex such that $\text{st}(v) \not\subseteq \text{st}(w)$, there exists $x \in \text{st}(v) - \text{st}(w)$. Then, considering a maximal clique $c \subseteq \Gamma$ containing the clique $\{x, v\}$, we see that $w \notin c$.

Conversely, suppose $c \subseteq \Gamma$ is a maximal clique containing $v$. Then $c \subseteq \text{st}(v)$. If there existed $w \in \Gamma - c$ with $\text{st}(v) \subseteq \text{st}(w)$, the set $c \cup \{w\}$ would also be a clique, violating maximality of $c$. □

Remark 3.22. By Lemma 3.21, we have $\varphi(A_{\kappa(v)}) = A_{\kappa(v)}$ for every $v \in \Gamma$ and every $\varphi \in T(A_\Gamma)$. Clearly, $\varphi$ is the trivial automorphism if and only if it has trivial restriction to all the free abelian subgroups $A_{\kappa(v)}$. It follows that the $T(A_\Gamma)$–stabiliser of the standard coarse median structure on $A_\Gamma$ is trivial, since this is true in the free abelian case.

We now prove a few lemmas from which Theorem 3.18 can be quickly deduced.

Lemma 3.23. Let $A_\Gamma \rtimes X$ be a cocompact cubulation with decomposable flats. For every vertex $v \in \Gamma$, there exists an automorphism $\varphi_v \in T(A_\Gamma)$ such that:

- $\langle \varphi_v(v) \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $A_\Gamma \rtimes X$;
\begin{itemize}
\item \( \varphi_v(w) = w \) for all \( w \in \Gamma \) such that \( \langle v \rangle \) is convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \).
\end{itemize}

**Proof.** We can assume that \( \langle v \rangle \) is not convex-cocompact in \( X \), as otherwise we can take \( \varphi_v = \text{id}_{A_{\Gamma}} \).

If \( c \subseteq \Gamma \) is a maximal clique, then \( A_c \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \) by Lemma 2.3(3). Since \( \kappa(v) \) is the intersection of all maximal cliques containing \( v \), it follows from Lemma 2.3(1) that \( A_{\kappa(v)} \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \). Write \( \kappa(v) = \{ v \} \cup \{ w_1, \ldots, w_k \} \) and choose \( 0 \leq s \leq k \) so that \( \langle w_{s+1} \rangle, \ldots, \langle w_k \rangle \) are convex-cocompact in \( X \), while \( \langle w_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle w_s \rangle \) are not.

Since the action \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \) has decomposable flats, Lemma 3.10 guarantees that there exists a basis \( x_0, \ldots, x_k \) of \( A_{\kappa(v)} \) such that all \( \langle x_i \rangle \) are convex-cocompact in \( X \). Up to permuting and inverting the \( x_i \), we can assume that \( x_i = w_i \) for \( s + 1 \leq i \leq k \), since \( A_{\kappa(v)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \) has exactly \( k + 1 \) convex-cocompact directions by Proposition 3.9.

Let \( \psi \in \text{Aut}(A_{\kappa(v)}) \) map the basis \( \{ v, w_1, \ldots, w_k \} \) to the basis \( \{ x_0, \ldots, x_k \} \) while fixing the elements \( w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_k \). In particular, \( \langle \psi(v) \rangle \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \). In view of Remark 3.20, we can take \( \psi \in T(A_{\kappa(v)}) \). In addition, by Lemma 3.21, every element of \( T(A_{\kappa(v)}) \) is the restriction of an element of \( T(A_{\Gamma}) \) fixing \( \Gamma - \kappa(v) \) pointwise.

In conclusion, there exists \( \varphi_v \in T(A_{\Gamma}) \) fixing \( \Gamma - \{ v, w_1, \ldots, w_s \} \) and mapping \( v \mapsto \psi(v) \). This is the required automorphism, concluding the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.24.** Let \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \) be a cocompact cubulation with decomposable flats. Then there exists \( \varphi \in T(A_{\Gamma}) \) such that each subgroup \( \langle \varphi(v) \rangle \) with \( v \in \Gamma \) is convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \).

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by induction on the number \( N \geq 0 \) of standard generators that fail to be convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \). The base step \( N = 0 \) is trivial, taking \( \varphi = \text{id}_{A_{\Gamma}} \).

For the inductive step, suppose that the lemma has been proved for cubulations in which at most \( N - 1 \) standard generators are not convex-cocompact. Pick a generator \( v \in \Gamma \) such that \( \langle v \rangle \) is not convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \). Let \( A_{\Gamma} \cap Y \) denote the action \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \) precomposed with the automorphism \( \varphi_v \in T(A_{\Gamma}) \) provided by Lemma 3.23.

By Remark 3.15(3), \( A_{\Gamma} \cap Y \) is again a cocompact cubulation with decomposable flats. In addition, by the choice of \( \varphi_v \), there are at most \( N - 1 \) standard generators that are not convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap Y \). By the inductive hypothesis, there exists an automorphism \( \psi \in T(A_{\Gamma}) \) such that each element \( \psi(x) \) with \( x \in \Gamma \) is convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap Y \). In other words, the elements \( \varphi_v \psi(v) \) are all convex-cocompact in \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \). We conclude by setting \( \varphi := \varphi_v \psi \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.25.** Let \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \) be a cocompact cubulation. If \( \langle v \rangle \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \) for every \( v \in \Gamma^{(0)} \), then the induced coarse median structure on \( A_{\Gamma} \) is the standard one.

**Proof.** Hyperplane-stabilisers of the standard \( A_{\Gamma} \)-action on the universal cover of the Salvetti complex are of the form \( A_{k(v)} \) with \( v \in \Gamma^{(0)} \), so our goal is to show that these subgroups are all convex-cocompact for \( A_{\Gamma} \cap X \). Then we can apply Theorem 2.15.

First, we show that all centralisers \( A_{st(v)} = Z_{A_{\Gamma}}(v) \) are convex-cocompact in \( X \). In order to see this, note that \( v^n \) must act non-transversely on \( X \) for some \( n \geq 1 \) (e.g. by [BF21 Proposition 2.7(5)]). The subgroup \( \langle v \rangle \) is convex-cocompact by hypothesis, so Lemma 2.3(4) implies that \( Z_{A_{\Gamma}}(v^n) \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \) (since it is commensurable to \( N_{A_{\Gamma}}(v^n) \)). Finally, since we are in a right-angled Artin group, we have \( Z_{A_{\Gamma}}(v^n) = Z_{A_{\Gamma}}(v) \).

Now, we know that both \( \langle v \rangle \) and \( A_{st(v)} = \langle v \rangle \times A_{k(v)} \) are convex-cocompact in \( X \). In addition, \( A_{k(v)} \) is generated by elements \( w \in \Gamma \), which all generate convex-cocompact subgroups by hypothesis. Lemma 2.5 thus implies that \( A_{k(v)} \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \), as required. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.18** The combination of Lemmas 3.24 and 3.25 shows that \( T(A_{\Gamma}) \) acts transitively on the set of coarse median structures induced by cocompact cubulations with decomposable flats. Proving that \( T(A_{\Gamma}) \) acts simply transitively then amounts to proving that the \( T(A_{\Gamma}) \)-stabiliser of the standard structure is trivial, which was shown in Remark 3.22. This proves part (1).
Figure 2. Top left: the hexagon graph $\Gamma$. Right: the $n+6$ squares making up the square complex $C_n$. Bottom left: the reduced link of the single vertex of $C_n$.

For clarity, the vertex of $\overline{lk}(v)$ labelled $e_1$ has degree 2, while the vertices labelled $e_2, \ldots, e_n$ all have degree 1.

Regarding part (2), note that, when $A_\Gamma$ is twistless, all characteristic cliques $\kappa(v)$ are singletons, because of Lemma 3.21. We have already observed that Lemma 2.3 implies that $A_\kappa(v)$ is convex-cocompact in all cocompact cubulations of $A_\Gamma$. Thus, each $\langle v \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in all cocompact cubulations of $A_\Gamma$, which implies that all these cubulations have decomposable flats.

Theorem A might (wrongly) lead us to believe that, under the right assumptions, right-angled Artin groups have only finitely many distinct cocompact cubulations. A natural guess would be that this holds when $Out(A_\Gamma)$ is finite, if we restrict to cubulations with no free faces. After all, it was shown in [FH21, Proposition C] that many Burger–Mozes–Wise groups have a unique cubulation with no free faces (while they have many essential ones).

The next example shows that such guesses are incorrect and right-angled Artin groups are way too flexible for this kind of result to hold.

Example 3.26. Let $\Gamma$ be a hexagon, as in Figure 2. The group $Out(A_\Gamma)$ is finite and $A_\Gamma$ satisfies coarse cubical rigidity by Theorem A. Nevertheless, $A_\Gamma$ has infinitely many 2-dimensional cocompact cubulations with no free faces, as we are about to show.

The rough idea is that there should exist cocompact cubulations of $A_\Gamma \rtimes X$ such that the action of each free group $\langle x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \rangle$ on its own essential core in $X$ is an arbitrary tree in the Outer Space of $\langle x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \rangle$ (modulo some compatibility conditions). We only prove a special case of this, where four of these trees are standard and the remaining two have a simple form.

For each $n \geq 0$, let $C_n$ be the finite square complex described on the right-hand side of Figure 2. Note that $C_n$ has a single vertex (call it $v$) and its 1-skeleton is a rose with $n+6$ petals, which we name $a_1, \ldots, a_6$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. It is clear that $C_n$ is 2-dimensional and does not have any free faces. In addition, $C_0$ is simply the Salvetti complex of $A_\Gamma$.

Each complex $C_n$ is non-positively curved. In order to see this, it suffices to check that the link of the only vertex $v$ does not contain any 3-cycles. Note that $lk(v)$ contains a pair of non-adjacent vertices for each edge of $C_n$. The graph $\overline{lk}(v)$ obtained from $lk(v)$ by collapsing these pairs of vertices (and identifying any resulting pairs of edges with the same endpoints) is pictured in Figure 2 below on the left. Any 3-cycle in $lk(v)$ would give rise to a 3-cycle in $\overline{lk}(v)$, but the latter does not contain any, proving that $C_n$ is non-positively curved.
The description of $C_n$ given in Figure 2 yields the following presentation for its fundamental group:

$$\pi_1(C_n, v) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_6 \mid [a_1, a_2], [a_2, a_3], [a_3, a_4], [a_4, a_5], [a_5, a_6], [a_6, a_1 a_3^3] \rangle.$$ 

This can be rewritten as follows, replacing $a_1$ with $\overline{a}_1 := a_1 a_3^2$:

$$\pi_1(C_n, v) = \langle \overline{a}_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6 \mid [\overline{a}_1 a_3^{-n}, a_2], [a_2, a_3], [a_3, a_4], [a_4, a_5], [a_5, a_6], [a_6, \overline{a}_1] \rangle = \langle \overline{a}_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6 \mid [\overline{a}_1, a_2], [a_2, a_3], [a_3, a_4], [a_4, a_5], [a_5, a_6], [a_6, \overline{a}_1] \rangle,$$

where we have used the fact that $[a_2, a_3]$ is one of the relators. This shows that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi_n : A_\Gamma \to \pi_1(C_n, v)$ with $\varphi(x_1) = a_1 a_3^2$ and $\varphi(x_i) = a_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq 6$.

The deck-transformation actions $A_\Gamma \ltimes \overline{C}_n$ given by the isomorphisms $\varphi_n$ are the required 2–dimensional cocompact cubulations of $A_\Gamma$ with no free faces.

In order to check that these cubulations are truly pairwise distinct, we consider the essential core of the free group $\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle$. First, notice that the loops $a_1, a_3$ form a convex rose in $C_n$, which lifts to a convex tree in $\overline{C}_n$. Thus, the action of the free group $\langle a_1, a_3 \rangle$ on its essential core in $\overline{C}_n$ coincides with the action of $\langle a_1, a_3 \rangle$ on its standard Cayley graph (corresponding to the generating set $\{a_1, a_3\}$).

Now, since $\varphi_n(x_1) = a_1 a_3^2$ and $\varphi_n(x_3) = a_3$, the action of the free group $\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle$ on its essential core in $\overline{C}_n$ is rather the point of Outer Space obtained by twisting the standard Cayley graph (corresponding to the generating set $\{x_1, x_3\}$) by the outer automorphism $(x_1, x_3) \mapsto (x_1 x_3^3, x_3)$. This completes our example.

One could also wonder about the essential cores of the other free groups $\langle x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \rangle$ in $\overline{C}_n$. For $\langle x_2, x_4 \rangle, \langle x_3, x_5 \rangle, \langle x_4, x_6 \rangle, \langle x_5, x_2 \rangle$, the essential core is just the standard Cayley graph, whereas for $\langle x_5, x_1 \rangle$ it is the subdivision of the standard Cayley graph where one of the two orbits of edges gets subdivided into $n + 1$ orbits of edges. To see the latter, note that $a_1, a_3, a_5$ also form a convex rose in $C_n$.

Thus, the essential core of $\langle a_1, a_3, a_5 \rangle$ in $\overline{C}_n$ is a copy of the standard Cayley graph of $F_3$, and, in there, the minimal subtree for $\langle a_5, a_1 a_3^2 \rangle$ gives the essential core of $\langle x_5, x_1 \rangle$.

Finally, we emphasise that the construction described in this example applies more generally to the case when $\Gamma$ is a cycle of length at least 6.

4. Uniformly non-quasi-convex rays

Having shown Theorem A and Theorem B(1), we now embark in the proof of Theorem B(2), which will occupy the current section and the next. This section is devoted to proving the following result, which is the most important ingredient in the proof of Theorem B(2).

Recall that quasi-convexity was introduced in Definition 2.12. If $A \subseteq X$ is a subset of a CAT(0) cube complex, we denote by $\text{Hull}_X(A)$ the smallest convex subcomplex of $X$ containing $A$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $G \ltimes X$ be a cocompact cubulation. Let $M \subseteq X^{(0)}$ be a median subalgebra that is preserved and acted upon cofinitely by a subgroup $H \leq G$. If $M$ is not quasi-convex, then there exists a (combinatorial) ray $r : [0, +\infty) \to X$ such that:

1. $r$ stays at bounded distance from $M$;
2. there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that, for all $t \geq s \geq 0$, the set $\text{Hull}_X(r|_{[s,t]})$ contains points at distance $\geq \lfloor \frac{t-s}{K} \rfloor$ from $M$.

4.1. Terminology, notation and conventions. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex and $M \subseteq X^{(0)}$ a median subalgebra.

All distances, neighbourhoods and geodesics should always be understood to correspond to the combinatorial metric on the 0-skeleton of $X$. The notation $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ will always refer to this metric. We write $\mathcal{N}_R(A)$ for the (closed) $R$-neighbourhood in $X^{(0)}$ of a subset $A \subseteq X^{(0)}$. 

22
Equipped with the restriction of the median operator of \( X \), the subalgebra \( M \) is a median algebra (see [Rol98, Bow13] for some background) and we will make use of the corresponding terminology. Specifically, a subset \( A \subseteq M \) is said to be convex (in \( M \)) if \( m(A, A, M) \subseteq A \). A subset \( \mathcal{h} \subseteq M \) is a halfspace if both \( \mathcal{h} \) and \( \mathcal{h}^* := M - \mathcal{h} \) are convex and nonempty. A wall of \( M \) is an unordered pair \( \{ \mathcal{h}, \mathcal{h}^* \} \), where \( \mathcal{h} \subseteq M \) is a halfspace. See Remark 4.3(2) below for the relation between halfspaces of \( M \) and \( X \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{H}(M) \) and \( \mathcal{W}(M) \) the sets of halfspaces and walls of \( M \), respectively. Similarly, \( \mathcal{H}(X) \) and \( \mathcal{W}(X) \) are the sets of halfspaces and hyperplanes of the cube complex \( X \), or, equivalently, the halfspaces and walls of the median subalgebra \( X^{(0)} \). If \( A, B \subseteq X \) are subsets, we write:

\[
\mathcal{H}(A|B) := \{ \mathcal{h} \in \mathcal{H}(X) \mid A \subseteq \mathcal{h}^*, \ B \subseteq \mathcal{h} \},
\]

and denote by \( \mathcal{W}(A|B) \subseteq \mathcal{W}(X) \) the set of hyperplanes bounding these halfspaces. Note that \( \mathcal{h} \in \mathcal{H}(A|\mathcal{h}) \) and \( \mathcal{h}^* \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{h}|A) \) for every subset \( A \subseteq \mathcal{h}^* \).

Halfspaces \( \mathcal{h}, \mathcal{t} \in \mathcal{H}(M) \) are transverse if all four intersections \( \mathcal{h} \cap \mathcal{t}, \mathcal{h} \cap \mathcal{t}^*, \mathcal{h}^* \cap \mathcal{t}, \mathcal{h}^* \cap \mathcal{t}^* \) are nonempty. In this case, we also say that the corresponding walls are transverse. Two sets \( A, B \) of halfspaces/walls are transverse if every element of \( A \) is transverse to every element of \( B \). If \( \mathcal{h} \subseteq \mathcal{t} \) or \( \mathcal{t} \subseteq \mathcal{h} \), we say that \( \mathcal{h} \) and \( \mathcal{t} \) are nested.

By Chepoi–Roller duality [Che00, Rol98], the median algebra \( M \) is canonically isomorphic to the 0–skeleton of a CAT(0) cube complex \( \Box(M) \), equipped with its natural median operator. The sets \( \mathcal{W}(\Box(M)) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(\Box(M)) \) are naturally identified with \( \mathcal{W}(M) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(M) \). In general, \( \Box(M) \) is not a subcomplex of \( X \), let alone a convex one. Note that \( M \) inherits its own intrinsic metric from \( \Box(M) \), but this will not cause any ambiguity: in our cases of interest, the metric inherited from \( \Box(M) \) coincides with the restriction of the combinatorial metric of \( X \) (see Remark 4.3(3)).

In fact, we will mostly deal with median algebras satisfying the following additional property (studied e.g. in [Fio21a Subsection 4.4.1]).

**Definition 4.2.** A subset \( A \subseteq X^{(0)} \) is edge-connected if, for all \( x, y \in A \), there exists a sequence of points \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A \) such that \( x_1 = x, x_n = y \) and, for all \( i \), the points \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \) are joined by an edge of \( X \).

**Remark 4.3.** The following three observations explain our interest in edge-connected subalgebras.

(1) Suppose that \( H \leq \text{Aut}(X) \) is a finitely generated subgroup that preserves \( M \) and acts cofinitely on it. Suppose further that \( X \) is locally finite. Then \( M \) is contained in an edge-connected, \( H \)-invariant, \( H \)-cofinite subalgebra \( M' \subseteq X^{(0)} \).

Indeed, since \( H \) is finitely generated, its orbits in \( X \) are coarsely connected. Thus, since \( M \) is \( H \)-cofinite, there exists \( R \geq 0 \) such that \( \mathcal{N}_R(M) \) is an edge-connected subset of \( X \). By [Fio21a Lemma 4.21], the subalgebra \( M' \) generated by this subset is also edge-connected. Finally, \( M' \) is at finite Hausdorff distance from \( M \) by [Fio21a Proposition 4.1], hence it is \( H \)-cofinite because \( X \) is locally finite.

(2) Let \( \mathcal{H}_M(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X) \) be the subset of halfspaces \( \mathcal{h} \) such that both \( \mathcal{h} \cap M \) and \( \mathcal{h}^* \cap M \) are nonempty. We have a map:

\[
\text{res}_M : \mathcal{H}_M(X) \to \mathcal{H}(M), \quad \text{res}_M(\mathcal{h}) := \mathcal{h} \cap M.
\]

This map is always surjective by [Bow13 Lemma 6.5]. It is also clear that it is a morphism of pocsets, i.e. that \( \text{res}_M(\mathcal{h}^*) = \text{res}_M(\mathcal{h})^* \) and \( \mathcal{h} \subseteq \mathcal{t} \Rightarrow \text{res}_M(\mathcal{h}) \subseteq \text{res}_M(\mathcal{t}) \). On the other hand, \( \text{res}_M \) is injective if and only if \( M \) is edge-connected [Fio21a Lemma 4.20].

Note that, even when \( M \) is edge-connected, \( \text{res}_M \) does not preserve transversality: halfspaces \( \mathcal{h}, \mathcal{t} \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \) can be transverse even if \( \text{res}_M(\mathcal{h}) \) and \( \text{res}_M(\mathcal{t}) \) are not. In fact, this always happens, unless \( M \) is the vertex set of a convex subcomplex of \( X \).
(3) Denote for a moment by $d_M$ the metric on $M$ corresponding to the combinatorial metric on $\square(M)$, the cube complex given by Chepoi–Roller duality. Recall that $d$ is instead the restriction of the combinatorial metric of $X$.

The metrics $d$ and $d_M$ coincide on $M$ if and only if $M$ is edge-connected (in general, we only have $d_M \leq d$). This is an immediate consequence of Item (2) above, recalling that, if $x, y \in M$, the distance $d_M(x, y)$ is the number of walls in $\mathcal{W}(M)$ separating $x$ and $y$, while $d(x, y)$ is the number of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{W}(X)$ separating them.

In addition, if $M$ is edge-connected, the dual cube complex $\square(M)$ can be realised as a subcomplex of $X$: it is simply the union of all cubes of $X$ whose 0–skeleton is contained in $M$. In general, $\square(M)$ is not a convex subcomplex of $X$. Nevertheless, if an edge path is contained in $\square(M)$, then it is a geodesic in $X$ if and only if it is a geodesic in $\square(M)$.

We conclude this subsection with some more notation. First, the map $\mathrm{res}_M : \mathcal{H}_M(X) \to \mathcal{H}(M)$ introduced in Remark 13.2 has an obvious twin

$$\mathrm{res}_M : \mathcal{W}_M(X) \to \mathcal{W}(M),$$

where $\mathcal{W}_M(X) \subseteq \mathcal{W}(X)$ is the subset of hyperplanes bounding halfspaces in $\mathcal{H}_M(X)$.

We will speak of $X$–transverse or $M$–transverse hyperplanes/halfspaces depending on the poset of interest: $\mathcal{H}(X)$ or $\mathcal{H}(M)$. This will be important in order to avoid confusion, since the maps $\mathrm{res}_M$ are bijections in our cases of interest and they do not preserve transversality.

For a hyperplane $w \in \mathcal{W}(X)$, we denote by $C_X(w) \subseteq X$ its $X$–carrier: this is the convex subcomplex of $X$ spanned by all edges crossing $w$. On the other hand, for a wall $u \in \mathcal{W}(M)$, we denote by $C_M(u) \subseteq M$ its $M$–carrier: this is the intersection between the 0–skeleton of $\square(M)$ and the carrier in $\square(M)$ of the hyperplane of $\square(M)$ determined by $w$. In other words, a point $x \in M$ lies in $C_M(u)$ when there exists $y \in M$ such that $u$ is the only wall of $M$ separating $x$ and $y$.

Finally, if $h$ is a halfspace bounded by a hyperplane/wall $w$, it is convenient to write $C_X(h)$ and $C_M(h)$ for the intersections $C_X(w) \cap h$ and $C_M(w) \cap h$.

**Remark 4.4.** For every $w \in \mathcal{W}_M(X)$, we have $C_M(\mathrm{res}_M(w)) \subseteq C_X(w) \cap M$, but in general this inclusion can be very far from an equality, even at the level of 0–skeleta.

For instance, let $X$ be the strip $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ with its standard decomposition into squares, let $w$ be the hyperplane corresponding to the $[0, 1]$–factor, and let $M$ be the 0–skeleton of the subcomplex $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, 1]$. Then $C_X(w) \cap M = M$, while $C_M(\mathrm{res}_M(w)) = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$.

Luckily, when $X$ and $M$ have geometric group actions, the distinction between $C_M(\mathrm{res}_M(w))$ and $C_X(w) \cap M$ will not be quite so drastic. See Lemma 1.10 below.

We record here also the following observation for later use.

**Remark 4.5.** Let $A \subseteq X^{(0)}$ be a subset of a CAT(0) cube complex. Set $\delta := \dim X$.

1. If $m(A, A, X) \subseteq N_R(A)$, then $\mathrm{Hull}_X(A) \subseteq N_{2^\delta R}(A)$. See [Fio21a, Lemma 2.10] for this formulation, though this is essentially the same as [Hag08, Theorem II].

2. In particular, $A \subseteq X$ is quasi-convex (in the sense of Definition 2.12) if and only if $A$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $\mathrm{Hull}_X(A)$.

4.2. Quadrants, grids and quasi-convexity. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex and let $M \subseteq X^{(0)}$ be a median subalgebra. The goal of this subsection is to rephrase (failure of) quasi-convexity for the subalgebra $M$ in terms of “grids” of hyperplanes of $X$. The important result in this regard is Corollary 4.3.

Just like a convex subcomplex of $X$ is the complement of the union of halfspaces disjoint from it, an (edge-connected) median subalgebra of $X$ is the complement of the union of quadrants disjoint from it. The next lemma proves a stronger version of this fact.

Recall that the subset $\mathcal{H}_M(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X)$ was introduced in Remark 13.2).
**Lemma 4.6.** Let $C \subseteq X$ be a convex subcomplex with $C \cap \text{Hull}_X(M) \neq \emptyset$.

1. If $C \cap M = \emptyset$, there exist distinct halfspaces $h, \ell \in \mathcal{H}_M(X)$ with $C \subseteq h \cap \ell$ and $M \subseteq h^* \cup \ell^*$.
2. If, in addition, $M$ is edge-connected, then $h$ and $\ell$ are $X$–transverse.

**Proof.** The poset $\mathcal{H}_M(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X)$ is naturally identified with $\mathcal{H}(\text{Hull}_X(M))$, and a halfspace $h \in \mathcal{H}_M(X)$ contains $C$ if and only if it contains $C \cap \text{Hull}_X(M)$. Thus, up to replacing $X$ with $\text{Hull}_X(M)$ and $C$ with $C \cap \text{Hull}_X(M)$, we can assume that $\mathcal{H}_M(X) = \mathcal{H}(X)$.

Now, we prove part (1). Consider the subset $\sigma_C \subseteq \mathcal{H}(M)$ defined as follows:

$$\sigma_C := \{h \cap M \mid h \in \mathcal{H}(X) \text{ and } C \subseteq h\}.$$

Note that $\sigma_C$ cannot contain any infinite descending chains $h_1 \cap M \supseteq h_2 \cap M \supseteq \ldots$. Indeed, the halfspaces $h_i \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ would be pairwise distinct, giving infinitely many elements of $\mathcal{H}(p|C)$. This is impossible since $d(p, C)$ is finite.

Also note that the elements of $\sigma_C \subseteq \mathcal{H}(M)$ cannot pairwise intersect. Otherwise, since $\sigma_C$ does not contain any infinite descending chains, there would be a point $p \in M$ that lies in all elements of $\sigma_C$ (this is clear viewing $M$ as the 0–skeleton of the cube complex $\Box(M)$ via Chepoi–Roller duality). By the definition of $\sigma_C$, we would also have $p \in C$, contradicting the assumption that $C \cap M = \emptyset$.

In conclusion, there exist two disjoint elements of $\sigma_C$. This means that there exist halfspaces $h, \ell \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $C \subseteq h \cap \ell$ and $M \cap h \cap \ell = \emptyset$. This proves part (1).

Regarding part (2), recall that $h$ and $\ell$ intersect $M$, as they lie in $\mathcal{H}_M(X)$. Since $M \cap h \cap \ell = \emptyset$, the intersections $h \cap \ell^*$ and $h^* \cap \ell$ must intersect $M$, hence they are nonempty. The intersection $h \cap \ell$ is also nonempty, as it contains $C$.

Finally, if $M$ is edge-connected, it contains the vertex set of an edge-path in $X$ joining a point of $M \cap h \cap \ell^*$ to a point of $M \cap h^* \cap \ell$. Since this path cannot intersect $h \cap \ell$, which is disjoint from $M$, it must intersect $h^* \cap \ell^*$. This proves that $h^* \cap \ell^*$ is nonempty, hence $h$ and $\ell$ are $X$–transverse. $\square$

The next lemma shows that, if a convex subcomplex and a median subalgebra of $X$ are far from each other, then they are separated by a large grid of hyperplanes of $X$. This configuration is depicted in Figure 3.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $M$ be edge-connected. Let $C \subseteq X$ be a convex subcomplex with $C \cap \text{Hull}_X(M) \neq \emptyset$. Set $\delta := \dim X$. If $d(C, M) > (2^d + 1)\delta n$ for some $n \geq 0$, then there exist halfspaces $h_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq h_n$ and $\ell_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \ell_n$ in $\mathcal{H}_M(X)$ such that each $h_i$ is $X$–transverse to every $\ell_j$, and $C \subseteq h_n \cap \ell_n$ and $M \subseteq h_0 \cup \ell_0$.

**Proof.** Set $C' := \text{Hull}_X(N_{\delta n}(C))$. Since the median operator is 1–Lipschitz in each coordinate, we have $m(N_{\delta n}(C), N_{\delta n}(C), X) \subseteq N_{2\delta n}(C)$, hence Remark 3.5 guarantees that $C' \subseteq N_{(2^d+1)\delta n}(C)$. It follows that $C' \cap M = \emptyset$.

By Lemma 4.6, there exist $X$–transverse halfspaces $h, \ell \in \mathcal{H}_M(X)$ such that $C' \subseteq h \cap \ell$ and $M \subseteq h^* \cup \ell^*$. Since $N_{\delta n}(C) \subseteq C'$, we have $d(C, h^*) > \delta n$ and $d(C, \ell^*) > \delta n$.

By Dilworth’s lemma, the sets $\mathcal{H}(h^*|C)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\ell^*|C)$ each contain a chain of halfspaces of length $n + 1$. Also note that $\mathcal{H}(h^*|C)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\ell^*|C)$ are contained in $\mathcal{H}_M(X)$, since $h, \ell \in \mathcal{H}_M(X)$ and $C \cap \text{Hull}_X(M) \neq \emptyset$. Finally, each $j \in \mathcal{H}(h^*|C)$ is $X$–transverse to each $j' \in \mathcal{H}(\ell^*|C)$: the proof of this fact is identical to that of Lemma 4.6(2). This concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** Let $M$ be edge-connected. Then $M$ fails to be quasi-convex if and only if, for every $n \geq 0$, there exist halfspaces $h_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq h_n$ and $\ell_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \ell_n$ in $\mathcal{H}_M(X)$ such that each $h_i$ is $X$–transverse to every $\ell_j$, and $M \subseteq h_0 \cup \ell_0$.

**Proof.** Recall from Remark 4.5 that $M$ is quasi-convex if and only if it is at finite Hausdorff distance from $\text{Hull}_X(M)$. Now, if $\text{Hull}_X(M)$ contains points arbitrarily far from $M$, then Lemma 4.7 yields the required hyperplane grids. Conversely, if there exist halfspaces $h_i, \ell_j$ as in the statement of the
corollary, then \( \text{Hull}_X(M) \cap h_n \cap t_n \neq \emptyset \) by Helly’s lemma and \( d(h_n \cap t_n, M) > n \). Hence \( \text{Hull}_X(M) \) contains points arbitrarily far from \( M \), showing that \( M \) is not quasi-convex.

4.3. Constructing uniformly non-quasi-convex geodesics. Let \( X \) be a \( \text{CAT}(0) \) cube complex with a geometric action \( G \curvearrowright X \). Let \( M \subseteq X(0) \) be a median subalgebra. We assume that \( M \) is edge-connected and that there exists a subgroup \( H \leq G \) acting on \( M \) with finitely many orbits.

The following is the main result of this subsection. We will quickly deduce Theorem 4.1 from it in the next subsection.

Recall that \( \square(M) \) is canonically realised as a (non-convex) subcomplex of \( X \), since \( M \) is edge-connected (Remark 4.3(3)). Hyperplanes of \( \square(M) \) are precisely intersections with \( \square(M) \) of hyperplanes of \( X \) (though this is not true of carriers). Also recall that we defined the carrier of a halfspace as the intersection of the halfspace with the carrier of the corresponding wall/hyperplane.

**Proposition 4.9.** There exists a constant \( K' \geq 0 \) such that the following holds. For all \( X \)–transverse halfspaces \( h, t \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \) with \( M \cap h \cap t = \emptyset \), there exists a geodesic \( \alpha \subseteq \square(M) \subseteq X \) from a vertex in the \( M \)–carrier \( C_M(\text{res}_M(h^*)) \) to a vertex in \( C_M(\text{res}_M(t^*)) \) with the following property. For all integers \( t \geq s \geq 0 \), the set \( \text{Hull}_X(\alpha|_{s,t}) \) contains points at distance \( \left\lfloor \frac{K't}{s} \right\rfloor \) from \( M \).

Before embarking in the proof of Proposition 4.9 we need to make the following key observation. It exploits cocompactness of \( M \) and \( X \) to deduce that \( M \)–carriers are not too different from intersections of \( X \)–carriers with \( M \) (recall Remark 4.4). We denote by \( I(x, y) \) the interval in \( X \) with endpoints \( x \) and \( y \), that is, the union of all combinatorial geodesics in \( X \) joining \( x \) and \( y \).

**Lemma 4.10.** There exists a constant \( K \geq 0 \) with the following property. Consider a halfspace \( h \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \) and a geodesic \( \beta \subseteq \square(M) \subseteq X \) connecting a point \( x \in C_M(\text{res}_M(h)) \) to a point \( y \in M \cap C_X(h) \). If \( d(x, y) > K \), then \( I(x, y) \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(h)) \) contains a vertex other than \( x \).

**Proof.** For every halfspace \( h \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \), its stabiliser \( G(h) \leq G \) acts cocompactly on \( C_X(h) \). Since \( H \) acts cofinitely on \( M \), Lemma A.2 shows that the intersection \( H \cap G(h) \) acts cofinitely on the set \( M \cap C_X(h) \). Note that there are only finitely many \( H \)–orbits of halfspaces in \( \mathcal{H}_M(X) \), since this set is equivariantly in bijection with \( \mathcal{H}(M) \). Thus, there exists a constant \( K \geq 0 \) such that, for every \( h \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \), all orbits of the action \( H \cap G(h) \curvearrowright M \cap C_X(h) \) are \( K \)–dense.

The set \( M \cap C_X(h) \) contains the subset \( C_M(\text{res}_M(h)) \), which is \((H \cap G(h))\)–invariant and non-empty. Hence, for every \( h \in \mathcal{H}_M(X) \), the subset \( C_M(\text{res}_M(h)) \) is \( K \)–dense in \( M \cap C_X(h) \).
Now, consider points \( x \in C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \) and \( y \in M \cap C_X(\mathfrak{h}) \) with \( d(x, y) > K \). By the previous paragraph, there exists a point \( z \in C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \) with \( d(z, y) \leq K \). Setting \( w := m(x, y, z) \), we have \( w \in M \cap I(x, y) \) and \( w \neq x \). We are left to show that \( w \) lies in \( C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \).

Since \( x, z \in C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \), there exist \( x', z' \in C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \) with \( d(x, x') = d(z, z') = 1 \). Consider the point \( w' = m(x', y, z') \). It is clear that \( w' \in M \cap \mathfrak{h}^* \) and that \( d(w, w') = 1 \). This shows that \( w \in C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \), concluding the proof. \( \Box \)

Now, we begin by describing how to construct the geodesic \( \alpha \) appearing in Proposition 4.9. Then we will show that it satisfies the required properties, which will take a few lemmas. The reader might find Figure 4 helpful while working through Construction 4.11 and the subsequent Lemma 4.12.

**Construction 4.11.** Consider \( X \)-transverse halfspaces \( \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t} \in H(X) \subseteq H(\bar{X}) \) with \( M \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \). Since \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}) \) and \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) \) are disjoint halfspaces of \( M \), we can consider their bridge \( B \subseteq \square(M) \); see [CF16] Section 2.4 or [Fio19] Section 2.2 for a definition.

Let \( p \) be any point in \( B \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}^*)) \). Let \( q \in B \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \) be the unique point such that every hyperplane (of \( \square(M) \) or \( X \)) separating \( p \) and \( q \) also separates \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}) \) and \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) \); this point exists by the properties of bridges.

Let \( \mathcal{G}_M(p, q) \) be the set of all geodesics from \( p \) to \( q \) contained in \( \square(M) \subseteq X \). For \( \beta, \beta' \in \mathcal{G}_M(p, q) \), write \( \beta \prec \beta' \) if there exists an integer \( 0 \leq t_0 \leq d(p, q) \) such that:

\[
d(\beta(t_0), \mathfrak{t}) < d(\beta'(t_0), \mathfrak{t}), \quad \text{and} \quad d(\beta(t), \mathfrak{t}) = d(\beta'(t), \mathfrak{t}), \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \leq t < t_0.
\]

This is a total order on the set of equivalence classes \( \mathcal{G}_M(p, q)/\sim \), where we write \( \beta \sim \beta' \) if we have \( d(\beta(t), \mathfrak{t}) = d(\beta'(t), \mathfrak{t}) \) for all \( 0 \leq t \leq d(p, q) \). We emphasise that we are interested in distances to \( \mathfrak{t} \), not \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) \).

Since \( \mathcal{G}_M(p, q) \) is finite, there exists a \( \prec \)-minimal element \( \alpha \). This will be our geodesic. We orient \( \alpha \) from \( p \) to \( q \).

Observe that, for every halfspace \( j \in H(p|\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) \subseteq H(X) \), we have:

\[
j \cap \mathfrak{t}^* \supset \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}) \neq \emptyset, \quad j^* \cap \mathfrak{t} \ni p, \quad j^* \cap \mathfrak{t} \supset \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) \neq \emptyset,
\]

where the third equation is due to the fact that walls of \( M \) crossing the bridge \( \mathcal{B} \) must either separate \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h}) \) and \( \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) \), or be \( M \)-transverse to both. The fourth intersection \( j \cap \mathfrak{t} \) can be empty or not, and this gives rise to a partition:

\[
H(p|\text{res}_M(\mathfrak{h})) = \Omega|| \cup \Omega||
\]

More precisely, for every \( j \in \Omega|| \), we have \( j \cap \mathfrak{t} = \emptyset \) in \( X \). Instead, each \( j \in \Omega|| \) is \( X \)-transverse to \( \mathfrak{t} \) (although we have \( \text{res}_M(j) \cap \text{res}_M(\mathfrak{t}) = \emptyset \) by the properties of bridges). Note that \( \mathfrak{h} \in \Omega_\perp \).

We say that a segment \( \beta \subseteq \alpha \) is a \( \parallel \)-segment (resp. a \( \perp \)-segment) if all halfspaces entered by \( \beta \) lie in \( \Omega|| \) (resp. in \( \Omega_\perp \)). The next lemma collects the key properties of the geodesic \( \alpha \).

**Lemma 4.12.** The oriented geodesic \( \alpha \) obtained in Construction 4.11 satisfies the following.

1. If some \( j|| \in \Omega|| \) is entered by \( \alpha \) before some \( j_\perp \in \Omega_\perp \), then \( j|| \) is \( X \)-transverse to \( j_\perp \).
2. All \( \parallel \)-segments and \( \perp \)-segments of \( \alpha \) have length \( \leq K \), with \( K \) as in Lemma 4.10.
3. If \( \alpha \) contains a \( \parallel \)-segment \( j|| \) immediately followed by a \( \perp \)-segment \( j_\perp \), and if \( j|| \) and \( j_\perp \) are any halfspaces entered, respectively, by \( j|| \) and \( j_\perp \), then \( M \cap j|| \cap j_\perp = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Property (1) is almost immediate. For every \( j|| \in \Omega|| \) and \( j_\perp \in \Omega_\perp \), the intersection \( j|| \cap j_\perp \) is nonempty, as it contains \( \mathfrak{t} \cap j_\perp \neq \emptyset \). Thus, if \( \alpha \) enters \( j|| \) before \( j_\perp \), these two halfspaces must be \( X \)-transverse.

We now prove Property (2), beginning with some preliminary remarks.

In Construction 4.11 we introduced an equivalence relation \( \sim \) on \( \mathcal{G}_M(p, q) \) and a total order \( \prec \) on its set of equivalence classes. What matters for these relations is the function \( t \mapsto d(\beta(t), \mathfrak{t}) \),
where $\beta \in \mathcal{G}_M(p,q)$. In turn, this function is completely determined by the order in which $\beta$ enters elements of $\Omega_\parallel$ and $\Omega_\perp$: entering some $j \in \Omega_\parallel$ does not change the value of $d(\beta(t),t)$, since $j$ is $X$–transverse to $t$; on the other hand, entering some $j \in \Omega_\perp$ increases $d(\beta(t),t)$ by 1, since $j \cap t = \emptyset$.

If $\beta \in \mathcal{G}_M(p,q)$ enters halfspaces $\mathcal{J}_1,\mathcal{J}_2$ consequently and if $\mathcal{J}_1,\mathcal{J}_2$ are $M$–transverse, then there exists another geodesic $\beta' \in \mathcal{G}_M(p,q)$ only differing from $\beta$ in the fact that it enters $\mathcal{J}_2$ before $\mathcal{J}_1$. If $\mathcal{J}_1,\mathcal{J}_2$ both lie in $\Omega_\parallel$, or both lie in $\Omega_\perp$, then $\beta' \sim \beta$. Instead, if $\mathcal{J}_1 \in \Omega_\parallel$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 \in \Omega_\perp$, then $\beta' \prec \beta$.

With these observations in hand, we complete the proof of Property (2) by the following two claims. Let $\alpha_\parallel \subseteq \alpha$ and $\alpha_\perp \subseteq \alpha$ be, respectively, a maximal $\parallel$–segment and a maximal $\perp$–segment. Let $K$ be the constant provided by Lemma 4.10.

**Claim 1.** The segment $\alpha_\perp$ has length $\leq K$.

**Proof of Claim 1.** First, suppose that $\alpha_\perp$ is an initial segment of $\alpha$. Since all elements of $\Omega_\perp$ are $X$–transverse to $t$, we have $\alpha_\perp \subseteq C_X(t^*)$. Every halfspace $j$ entered by $\alpha_\parallel$ satisfies $\text{res}_M(j) \cap \text{res}_M(t) = \emptyset$, since $\alpha$ joins the points $p$ and $q$ in the bridge $B$. Thus, every point of $\text{Hull}_X(\alpha_\perp)$ (other than $p$) lies in the difference $C_X(t^*) - C_M(\text{res}_M(t^*))$. Lemma 4.10 then shows that $\alpha_\perp$ has length $\leq K$.

Suppose now instead that $\alpha_\parallel$ is not an initial segment of $\alpha$. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ be the last hyperplane crossed by $\alpha$ before the start of $\alpha_\parallel$; let $e \subseteq \alpha$ be the edge crossing $w$. The hyperplane $w$ bounds an element of $\Omega_\parallel$, by maximality of $\alpha_\parallel$. So, by part (1), $w$ is $X$–transverse to every hyperplane crossed by $\alpha_\parallel$ and hence $\alpha_\parallel \subseteq C_X(w)$. If $\alpha_\parallel$ had length $> K$, Lemma 4.10 would imply the existence of a point $x \in \text{Hull}_X(\alpha_\parallel) \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(w))$ other than the initial vertex of $\alpha_\parallel$. Let $x' \in M$ be the point with $\mathcal{W}(x|x') = \{w\}$. Then, replacing the segment $e \cup \alpha_\parallel \subseteq \alpha$ with a geodesic in $\Box(M) \subseteq X$ passing through $x'$ and $x$, we would find an element $\alpha' \in \mathcal{G}_M(p,q)$ with $\alpha' \prec \alpha$, a contradiction. □

**Claim 2.** The segment $\alpha_\parallel$ has length $\leq K$.

**Proof of Claim 2.** This is entirely analogous to the previous proof.

First, suppose that $\alpha_\parallel$ is a terminal segment of $\alpha$. Note that all elements of $\Omega_\parallel$ are $X$–transverse to $h$ (by part (1), since $h \in \Omega_\perp$). So we have $\alpha_\parallel \subseteq C_X(h^*)$. Again, by the properties of bridges, every halfspace $j$ entered by $\alpha_\parallel$ satisfies $\text{res}_M(j^*) \cap \text{res}_M(h) = \emptyset$. As in Claim 1, every point of $\text{Hull}_X(\alpha_\parallel)$ (other than $q$) lies in the difference $C_X(h^*) - C_M(\text{res}_M(h^*))$ and Lemma 4.10 shows that $\alpha_\parallel$ has length $\leq K$.

Suppose instead that $\alpha_\parallel$ is not a terminal segment of $\alpha$. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ be the first hyperplane crossed by $\alpha$ after the end of $\alpha_\parallel$; let $e \subseteq \alpha$ be the edge crossing $w$. The hyperplane $w$ bounds an element of $\Omega_\parallel$, so, by part (1), it is $X$–transverse to every hyperplane crossed by $\alpha_\parallel$ and $\alpha_\parallel \subseteq C_X(w)$. If $\alpha_\parallel$ had length $> K$, Lemma 4.10 would imply the existence of a point $x \in \text{Hull}_X(\alpha_\parallel) \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(w))$ other than the terminal endpoint of $\alpha_\parallel$. If $x' \in M$ is the point with $\mathcal{W}(x|x') = \{w\}$, we can form a new geodesic $\alpha' \in \mathcal{G}_M(p,q)$ by replacing the segment $e \cup \alpha_\parallel \subseteq \alpha$ with a geodesic in $\Box(M) \subseteq X$ that follows $\alpha_\parallel$ up to $x$, then crosses $w$ to reach $x'$ and finally moves to the vertex in $e - \alpha_\parallel$. We have $\alpha' \prec \alpha$, a contradiction. □

Finally, we prove Property (3). Consider $\beta_\parallel, \beta_\perp$ and $j_\parallel, j_\perp$ as in the statement. Let $x$ be the point where $\beta_\parallel$ and $\beta_\perp$ meet. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $M \cap j_\parallel \cap j_\perp \neq \emptyset$. Then $j_\parallel$ and $j_\perp$ are $M$–transverse (since $j_\parallel$ is entered before $j_\perp$ by a geodesic contained in $\Box(M)$).

Without loss of generality, suppose that $x \in C_M(\text{res}_M(j_\parallel)) \cap C_M(\text{res}_M(j_\perp^*))$; otherwise it suffices to replace $j_\parallel$ and $j_\perp$ by a different pair of $M$–transverse halfspaces entered by $\beta_\parallel, \beta_\perp$, corresponding to walls in $\mathcal{W}(M)$ that are closer to $x$. This does not affect the assumption that $j_\parallel$ and $j_\perp$ are $M$–transverse.

Up to changing the order in which $\beta_\parallel$ and $\beta_\perp$ enter the respective halfspaces (which yields $\alpha' \sim \alpha$), we can also assume that $j_\parallel$ is the last halfspace entered before $x$ and that $j_\perp$ is the first entered after it. Now, since $j_\parallel$ and $j_\perp$ are $M$–transverse, we can swap the order in which these halfspaces are entered to produce $\alpha'' \prec \alpha$, a contradiction. □
We only need one last simple combinatorial lemma before proving Proposition 4.9.

**Lemma 4.13.** Suppose some geodesic in $X$ enters halfspaces $h_1, \ldots, h_N$ in this order (not necessarily consecutively), and also halfspaces $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N$ in this order. Consider $n \geq 0$. If $N > 2n \dim X$, there exist an index $1 \leq k \leq N$ and indices $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n < k$ and $k < j_1 < \cdots < j_n \leq N$ such that:

$$h_{i_1} \supset h_{i_2} \supset \cdots \supset h_{i_n} \supset h_k, \quad \ell_k \supset \ell_{j_1} \supset \cdots \supset \ell_{j_n}.$$  

Proof. Define functions $f, g : \{1, \ldots, N\} \to \{0, \ldots, N\}$ as follows. The function $f(k)$ is the longest length of a chain of $h_1$ all strictly containing $h_k$, while $g(k)$ is the longest length of a chain of $\ell_j$ all strictly contained in $\ell_k$. We need an index $k$ so that $f(k) \geq n$ and $g(k) \geq n$ hold simultaneously.

For an integer $i$, consider the halfspaces $h_j$ with $f(j) = i$. It is clear that they must be pairwise transverse. Hence $\# f^{-1}(i) \leq \dim X$ and, similarly, $\# g^{-1}(i) \leq \dim X$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. It follows that $\# f^{-1}([0, n-1]) \leq n \dim X$ and $\# g^{-1}([0, n-1]) \leq n \dim X$, which implies the lemma. □

The next result immediately implies Proposition 4.9.

**Corollary 4.14.** Let $\alpha$ be the geodesic obtained in Construction 4.7. Consider $n \geq 0$. For all integers $0 \leq s \leq t \leq d(p, q)$ with $t - s \geq 2K(2n \dim X + 2)$, the segment $\alpha_{[s,t]}$ enters halfspaces $h_0, \ldots, h_n \in \Omega_\perp$ and $\ell_0, \ldots, \ell_n \in \Omega_\perp$ such that, for all $i, j$, we have $M \cap h_i \cap \ell_j = \emptyset$ and $h_i$ is $X$–transverse to $\ell_j$. In particular, $\text{Hull}_X(\alpha_{[s,t]})$ contains points at distance $> n$ from $M$.

Proof. After an initial segment of length $\leq K$, the geodesic $\alpha_{[s,t]}$ contains a $\perp$–segment $\beta_1$ followed by a $\perp$–segment $\gamma_1$, and so on up to a $\perp$–segment $\beta_N$ and a $\perp$–segment $\gamma_N$, for some $N \geq 0$. Each $\beta_i$ and $\gamma_i$ has length $\leq K$ by Lemma 4.12(2) and the sum of their lengths is $\geq t - s - 2K$. Thus $N \geq \frac{t - s - 2K}{2K} > 2n \dim X$.

Let $h_i \in \Omega_\perp$ and $\ell_j \in \Omega_\perp$ be arbitrary halfspaces entered by $\beta_i$ and $\gamma_i$, respectively. By Lemma 4.12(3), we have $M \cap h_i^* \cap \ell_j = \emptyset$, hence $\text{res}_M(\ell_j) \subseteq \text{res}_M(h_i)$. Lemma 4.13 (applied to the cube complex $\square(M)$) ensures the existence of a chain:

$$\text{res}_M(\ell_{j_1}) \supset \cdots \supset \text{res}_M(\ell_{j_n}) \subset \text{res}_M(h_k) \subset \text{res}_M(h_1).$$

It follows that $M \cap h_i^* \cap \ell_j = \emptyset$ for all indices $i, j$, while Lemma 4.12(1) guarantees that $h_i$ and $\ell_j$ are $X$–transverse. These are the required halfspaces.

Finally, by Helly’s lemma, there exists a point $z \in \text{Hull}_X(\alpha_{[s,t]})$ lying in all $h_i^*$ and all $\ell_j$, (as well as $h_k^*$ and $\ell_k$). We clearly have $d(z, M) \geq n + 1$, concluding the proof. □

4.4. **Conclusion.** We finally prove Theorem 4.1 by combining Proposition 4.9 and Corollary 4.8.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Since $H$ acts cofinitely on the subalgebra $M$, Lemma 4.12 shows that $H$ is finitely generated. Thus, we can argue as in Remark 4.3(1) and thicken $M$ to an edge-connected subalgebra $M \subseteq M' \subseteq X(0)$ that is still $H$–invariant and $H$–cofinite.

Since $M'$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $M$, it is not quasi-convex. Hence Corollary 4.8 guarantees that, for every $n \geq 0$, there exist $X$–transverse halfspaces $h_n, \ell_n \in \mathcal{H}_M'(X)$ with $d(\text{res}_M'(h_n), \text{res}_M'(\ell_n)) > n$.

Proposition 4.9 yields geodesics $\alpha_n \subseteq \square(M')$ from $C_M'(\text{res}_M'(h_n^*))$ to $C_M'(\text{res}_M'(\ell_n^*))$ with the property that, for all $t \geq s \geq 0$, the set $\text{Hull}_X(\alpha_n_{|[s,t]})$ contains points at distance $> \frac{t-s}{2K}$ from $M'$. Note that the length of the $\alpha_n$ diverges with $n$, since $d(\text{res}_M'(h_n), \text{res}_M'(\ell_n)) > n$.

Exploiting $H$–cocompactness of $M'$, we can assume that the initial vertices of the $\alpha_n$ all lie in a given finite subset of $M'$. Passing to a subsequence, the $\alpha_n$ converge to a combinatorial ray $r \subseteq \square(M')$. Every segment of $r$ is a segment of some $\alpha_n$ for large $n$, so it is still true that $\text{Hull}_X(r_{|[s,t]})$ contains points at distance $> \frac{t-s}{2K}$ from $M'$.

Finally, since $r \subseteq \square(M')$ and $M'$ is at finite Hausdorff distance from $M$, the ray $r$ stays at bounded distance from $M$. This concludes the proof. □
5. The standard coarse median structure of a RACG

Subsections 5.1 and 5.2 are devoted to the proof of Theorem A(2), which is Theorem 5.3 below. Then, in Subsection 5.3 we deduce Corollary C.

5.1. Notation and a preliminary lemma. Let $W_T$ be a right-angled Coxeter group.

In this case, the graph-product complex $\mathcal{D}$ defined in Subsection 3.2 is the cubical subdivision of a simpler CAT(0) cube complex, which is usually known as the Davis complex and which we denote by $\mathcal{D}_T$. The 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{D}_T$ is naturally identified with the Cayley graph of $W_T$ with respect to the generating set $\Gamma^{(0)}$. Thus, every edge and every hyperplane of $\mathcal{D}_T$ is labelled by a vertex of $\Gamma$.

Let $[\mu_T] \in \mathcal{CM}_\square(W_T)$ be the coarse median structure induced on $W_T$ by $\mathcal{D}_T$. We refer to $[\mu_T]$ as the standard coarse median structure on $W_T$.

We say that a graph $\Delta$ is irreducible if it is not a join of two proper subgraphs; equivalently, $W_\Delta$ is not a direct product of proper subgroups. For a (full) subgraph $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$, we write:

$$\Delta^\bot := \{v \in \Gamma^{(0)} \mid \Delta \subseteq \text{lk}(v)\}.$$

The following is fairly classical, but we were not able to find a proof in the literature.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ be an irreducible full subgraph such that $\Delta^\bot$ spans a (possibly empty) clique. Let $\alpha: [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{D}_\Delta$ be an infinite edge path in $\mathcal{D}_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{D}_T$. If we have

$$K := \sup_{g \in W_\Delta, v \in \Delta} \text{diam} \alpha^{-1}(gD_{\Delta^\bot-v}) < +\infty,$$

then $\alpha$ is a Morse quasi-geodesic in $\mathcal{D}_T$.

Proof. For every (oriented) edge $e \subseteq \alpha$, denote by $\gamma(e) \in \Delta$ its label, by $\mathfrak{w}(e) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ the hyperplane it crosses, and by $\mathfrak{h}(e) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ the halfspace it enters. We say that $e$ is good if $\alpha(0)$ lies in $\mathfrak{h}(e)^*$ and the unbounded connected component of $e-e$ is entirely contained in $\mathfrak{h}(e)$.

Furthermore, for $n \geq 0$, let $e_n$ be the edge connecting $\alpha(n)$ and $\alpha(n+1)$.

Claim 1. For every vertex $w \in \Delta$ and every sub-path $\alpha_0 \subseteq \alpha$ of length $> K$, there exists a good edge $e \subseteq \alpha_0$ with $\gamma(e) = w$.

Proof of Claim 1. Recall that the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{D}_\Delta$ labelled by $w$ are pairwise disjoint, and that the connected components of the complement of their union are precisely the translates $gD_{\Delta^\bot-w}$ with $g \in W_\Delta$ (with some shrds of cubes attached). Let $[m, n] \subseteq [0, +\infty)$ be a maximal interval such that the vertices $\alpha(m)$ and $\alpha(n)$ lie in the same translate of $D_{\Delta^\bot-w}$. Let $gD_{\Delta^\bot-w}$ be this translate, where $g \in W_\Delta$. Then $\gamma(e) = w$ and $\gamma(t) \in \mathfrak{h}(e_n)$ for all $t \geq n+1$. If $m \neq 0$, we also have $\gamma(e_{m-1}) = w = \mathfrak{w}(e_{m-1}) = \mathfrak{w}(e_{m})$; otherwise, $\alpha(m-1)$ and $\alpha(n+1)$ would lie in some other translate $g'D_{\Delta^\bot-w}$, contradicting maximality of $[m, n]$. This shows that either $m = 0$ or $\alpha(0) \in \mathfrak{h}(e_m)^* \subseteq \mathfrak{h}(e_n)^*$, hence $e_n$ is always a good edge.

Now, let $\alpha(t)$ be the initial vertex of $\alpha_0$ and let $[m, n]$ be an interval containing $t$ that is maximal in the above sense. By definition of $K$, such an interval exists and we have $n-m \leq K$. Hence $n \leq t+K$ and $e_n$ is contained in $\alpha_0$. This is the required good edge, proving the claim.

Now, fix a vertex $v \in \Delta$. Since $\Delta$ is irreducible, its complement graph $\Delta^c$ (where two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in $\Delta$) is connected. Let $D$ be its diameter. Choose a sequence of integers $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$ such that each edge $e_{n_k}$ is good, with $\gamma(e_{n_k}) = v$ and:

$$(2D-1)(K+1) < n_{k+1} - n_k \leq 2D(K+1).$$

This is possible by Claim 1. Set $N := \#\Delta^\bot$.

Recall that two hyperplanes of a cube complex are said to be $L$-separated, for some $L \geq 0$, if they are disjoint and at most $L$ hyperplanes are transverse to both.

Claim 2. For $k \neq k'$, the hyperplanes $\mathfrak{w}(e_{n_k})$ and $\mathfrak{w}(e_{n_{k'}})$ are $N$-separated in $\mathcal{D}_T$. 30
Proof of Claim 2. We have \( h(e_{n_0}) \supseteq h(e_{n_1}) \supseteq \ldots \) by construction. For every \( w \in \Delta \) and \( k \geq 0 \), it is standard to show that the hyperplanes \( w(e_{n_k}) \) and \( w(e_{n_{k+1}}) \) are separated by a hyperplane labelled by \( w \) (using Claim 1 and the inequality \( n_{k+1} - n_k > (2D-1)(K+1) \), where \( D = \text{diam} \Delta^e \)).

Hence, if some \( u \in \mathcal{W}(D_T) \) is transverse to \( w(e_{n_k}) \) and \( w(e_{n_{k+1}}) \), then \( u \) is transverse to hyperplanes labelled by all vertices of \( \Delta \), hence \( u \) must be labelled by a vertex of \( \Delta^e \). Since \( \Delta^e \) is a clique, it follows that at most \( N \) hyperplanes are transverse to \( w(e_{n_k}) \) and \( w(e_{n_{k+1}}) \), proving the claim.

For each \( k \geq 0 \), let \( p_k \) be the gate-projection of \( \alpha(0) \) to the carrier of \( w(e_{n_k}) \). Let \( \beta \subseteq D_\Delta \) be a geodesic ray obtained by concatenating geodesics from \( \alpha(0) \) to \( p_0 \) and from each \( p_i \) to \( p_{i+1} \).

Claim 3. We have \( d(p_k, \alpha(n_k)) \leq N + 2D(K+1) \) for all \( k \geq 0 \).

Proof of Claim 3. Denote by \( C_k \) the carrier of \( w(e_{n_k}) \) and by \( P_k \) the gate-projection of the halfspace \( h(e_{n_{k-1}})^* \) to \( C_k \). Both \( p_k \) and \( \alpha(n_k) \) lie in \( C_k \), with \( p_k \) in fact lying in \( P_k \). Since \( w(e_{n_k}) \) and \( w(e_{n_{k-1}}) \) are \( N \)-separated, the convex subcomplex \( P_k \) is crossed by at most \( N \) hyperplanes, and so it has diameter \( \leq N \). By the properties of gate-projections, we have:

\[
d(\alpha(n_k), P_k) \leq d(\alpha(n_k), h(e_{n_{k-1}})^*) \leq d(\alpha(n_k), \alpha(n_{k-1})) \leq 2D(K+1).
\]

Recalling that \( p_k \in P_k \) and \( \text{diam}(P_k) \leq N \), we obtain the claim.

Finally, Claim 3 shows that the distances \( d(p_k, p_{k+1}) \) are uniformly bounded, so Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 2.14 in [CS15] imply that \( \beta \) is Morse. It is clear that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are at finite Hausdorff distance from each other, so it follows that \( \alpha \) is a Morse quasi-geodesic.

\[\square\]

5.2. The main argument. Lemma 5.1 allows us to translate Theorem 4.1 into the following practical result, which will quickly yield Theorem 5.2.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( W_T \acts X \) be a cocompact cubulation. Suppose that there exists an irreducible subgraph \( \Delta \subseteq \Gamma \) such that \( W_\Delta \) is median-cocompact in \( X \). Suppose further that, for every \( x \in \Delta \), the subgroup \( W_{\Delta - \{x\}} \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \). Then:

(i) either \( W_\Delta \) is itself convex-cocompact in \( X \),

(ii) or the centraliser \( Z_{W_T}(W_\Delta) = W_{\Delta^c} \) is infinite.

**Proof.** Let \( M \subseteq X^{(0)} \) be a median subalgebra on which \( W_\Delta \) acts cofinitely. Suppose that \( W_\Delta \) is not convex-cocompact in \( X \), that is, that \( M \) is not quasi-convex in \( X \) (Proposition 2.13).

Theorem 4.1 gives us a ray \( r \subseteq X \) at bounded distance from \( M \), and a constant \( K \) such that, for every segment \( \sigma \subseteq r \) of length \( \ell \), the set \( \text{Hull}_X(\sigma) \) contains points at distance \( \geq \frac{\ell}{K} \) from \( M \).

Fix a basepoint \( p \in M \) and, for every \( x \in \Delta \), denote \( \mathcal{O}_x := W_{\Delta - \{x\}} \cdot p \). Since \( W_{\Delta - \{x\}} \) is convex-cocompact in \( X \), Proposition 2.13 guarantees that there exists a constant \( R \geq 0 \) such that \( m(\mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{O}_x, X) \subseteq N_R(\mathcal{O}_x) \) for every \( x \in \Delta \). Setting \( \delta := \text{dim} X \), Remark 1.4 implies that \( \text{Hull}_X(\mathcal{O}_x) \subseteq N_{2^\delta R}(\mathcal{O}_x) \subseteq N_{2^\delta R}(M) \), hence \( \text{Hull}_X(g\mathcal{O}_x) \subseteq N_{2^\delta R}(M) \) for every \( g \in W_\Delta \).

It follows that, for every constant \( C \geq 0 \), there exists a constant \( C' \geq 0 \) such that the intersection between \( r \) and the \( C \)-neighbourhood of any \( g\mathcal{O}_x \) with \( g \in W_\Delta \) has diameter at most \( C' \).

Now, by the Milnor–Schwarz lemma, there exists a \( W_T \)-equivariant quasi-isometry \( q: D_T \to X \) with \( q(D_\Delta) \subseteq M \). Let \( \alpha \subseteq D_\Delta \) be a quasi-geodesic edge path such that \( d_{\text{Haus}}(q(\alpha), r) < +\infty \). By the previous paragraph and the fact that \( \alpha \) is a quasi-geodesic, we see that \( \alpha \) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1.

If \( \Delta^c \) were a clique, then Lemma 5.1 would show that \( \alpha \), and hence \( r \), is Morse. However, this would imply that \( d_{\text{Haus}}(r, \text{Hull}_X(r)) < +\infty \), contradicting the fact that \( \text{Hull}_X(r) \) contains points arbitrarily far from \( M \).

Thus, there must exist vertices \( x, y \in \Delta^c \) that are not connected by an edge. The subgroup \( \langle x, y \rangle \) is infinite and it is contained in \( Z_{W_T}(W_\Delta) = W_{\Delta^c} \), proving the proposition.

\[\square\]
We are finally ready to prove Theorem B(2), which is the following result.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $W_\Gamma \subset X$ be a cocompact cubulation where, for all $x,y \in \Gamma$, the subgroup $\langle x,y \rangle$ is convex-cocompact. Then $W_\Gamma \subset X$ induces the standard coarse median structure on $W_\Gamma$.

**Proof.** We prove the statement by induction on $\# \Gamma^{(0)}$. The base case where $\Gamma$ is a singleton is obvious. We now discuss the inductive step.

Hyperplane-stabilisers for the action $W_\Gamma \subset D_\Gamma$ are subgroups of the form $W_{lk(v)}$ with $v \in \Gamma$. In view of Theorem 2.15, it suffices to show that these subgroups are all convex-cocompact in $X$.

Fix a vertex $v \in \Gamma$.

**Claim 1.** For every $\Delta \subseteq lk(v)$, the subgroup $W_\Delta$ is median-cocompact in $X$.

**Proof of Claim 1.** Since $W_{lk(v)}$ has index 2 in the centraliser of $v$, Proposition 2.8 guarantees that it is median-cocompact in $X$. Let $M \subseteq X$ be a median subalgebra on which $W_{lk(v)}$ acts cofinitely. By Chepoi–Roller duality, there exists a cocompact cubulation $W_{lk(v)} \subset Y$ such that $Y^{(0)}$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $M$ as a median algebra. For every $x,y \in lk(v)$, the fact that $\langle x,y \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $X$ implies that it is also convex-cocompact in $Y$.

Since $lk(v)$ is a proper subgraph of $\Gamma$, the inductive hypothesis implies that $Y$ induces the standard coarse median structure on $W_{lk(v)}$. Using Proposition 2.13, this implies that, for every $\Delta \subseteq lk(v)$, the subgroup $W_\Delta$ is median-cocompact in $Y$, and hence in $X$. $\blacksquare$

Now, suppose for the sake of contradiction that $W_{lk(v)}$ is not convex-cocompact in $X$. Let $\Delta_0 \subset lk(v)$ be a minimal subgraph such that $W_{\Delta_0}$ is not convex-cocompact in $X$. Note that $\Delta_0$ exists and has at least 3 vertices, by our assumptions.

By Claim 1, $W_{\Delta_0}$ is median-cocompact in $X$ and, by minimality of $\Delta_0$, all subgroups $W_{\Delta_0 - \{x\}}$ with $x \in \Delta_0$ are convex-cocompact in $X$. Minimality also implies that $\Delta_0$ is irreducible, because of Lemma 2.6. Thus, Proposition 5.2 guarantees that $W_{\Delta_0}$ is infinite, that is, there exist $z,z' \in \Gamma$ such that $\langle z,z' \rangle \simeq D_\infty$ and $\Delta_0 \subseteq lk(z) \cap lk(z')$.

**Claim 2.** The subgroup $W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$ is convex-cocompact in $X$.

**Proof of Claim 2.** The proof of this fact is almost identical to that of Lemma 2.25.

We have $Z_{W_\Gamma}(zz') = \langle zz' \rangle \times W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$. The subgroup $\langle zz' \rangle \simeq Z$ is convex-cocompact in $X$ by our assumptions, since it has finite index in $\langle z,z' \rangle$. Choosing $n \geq 1$ such that $(zz')^n$ acts non-transversely on $X$, Lemma 2.3(4) implies that $Z_{W_\Gamma}((zz')^n)$ is convex-cocompact in $X$. Note that $Z_{W_\Gamma}((zz')^n) = Z_{W_\Gamma}(zz')$. In addition, the index--2 subgroup of $W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$ consisting of words of even length is generated by elements $uu'$ with $u,u' \in lk(z) \cap lk(z')$, which all generate infinite cyclic subgroups that are convex-cocompact in $X$, by assumption. Finally, Lemma 2.5 implies that $W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$ is convex-cocompact in $X$. $\blacksquare$

Now, let $C \subseteq X$ be a convex subcomplex on which $W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$ acts cocompactly. Again, since $lk(z) \cap lk(z')$ is a proper subgraph of $\Gamma$, the inductive hypothesis implies that $W_{lk(z) \cap lk(z')}$ inherits the standard coarse median structure from its action on $C$. Using Proposition 2.13, it follows that $W_{\Delta_0}$ is convex-cocompact in $C$, and hence in $X$. This is the required contradiction. $\square$

5.3. **Loose squares.** In this subsection, we prove Corollary 3.11 by combining Theorem B(2) with the cubical flat torus theorem [WW17] and our study of cubical coarse medians on products of dihedrals (Proposition 3.11). But first, we need to define loose squares.

**Definition 5.4.** A *loose square* is a full subgraph $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ such that:

- $\Delta$ is a square (so $W_\Delta \simeq D_\infty \times D_\infty$);
- for every maximal full subgraph $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $W_\Lambda$ is virtually abelian, either $\Delta \subseteq \Lambda$ or $\Delta \cap \Lambda$ generates a finite (possibly trivial) subgroup.
Proof of Corollary $\text{[F]}$. Suppose $\Gamma$ has no loose squares. Let $W_\Gamma \cap Y$ be a cocompact cubulation.

Let $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ be a maximal (full) subgraph such that $W_\Lambda$ is virtually abelian. Note that $W_\Lambda$ is a product of a finite group and $D^\infty_n$, for some $n \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.3(3), $W_\Lambda$ is convex-cocompact in $Y$. By Proposition $\text{[F]}$, either $W_\Lambda$ inherits the standard coarse median structure from $Y$ (i.e. that of the Davis complex for $W_\Lambda$), or there exists a square $\Delta = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $W_\Delta$ is convex-cocompact in $Y$, but the subgroups $\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle \simeq \langle x_2, x_4 \rangle \simeq D^\infty_\infty$ are not.

However, since the square $\Delta$ cannot be loose, there exists an infinite intersection $W_\Delta \cap W_{\Lambda'} \leq W_\Delta$, where $\Lambda' \subseteq \Gamma$ is a maximal subgraph with $W_{\Lambda'}$ virtually abelian. By Lemma 2.3(3), the subgroup $W_{\Lambda'}$ is convex-cocompact in $Y$ and, by Lemma 2.3(1), so is the intersection $W_\Delta \cap W_{\Lambda'}$. However, this intersection is commensurable to either $\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle$ or $\langle x_2, x_4 \rangle$, which are not convex-cocompact, a contradiction.

This shows that, for every maximal subgraph $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $W_\Lambda$ is virtually abelian, $Y$ induces the standard coarse median structure on $W_\Lambda$. If $x, y \in \Gamma$ are vertices with $\langle x, y \rangle \simeq D^\infty_\infty$, we certainly have $\langle x, y \rangle \subseteq \Lambda$ for one such graph $\Lambda$, so the subgroup $\langle x, y \rangle$ is convex-cocompact in $Y$.

Finally, Theorem $\text{[F]}$ implies that $Y$ induces the standard coarse median structure on $W_\Gamma$, proving the corollary.

We conclude the section by giving an example of a right-angled Coxeter group $W_\Gamma$ that fails to satisfy coarse cubical rigidity. Of course, we have seen that $W_\Gamma = D^\infty_n$ is such a group for $n \geq 2$, and it is also easy to come up with examples splitting over finite subgroups.

Instead, the group we are about to exhibit is one-ended and directly irreducible.

Example 5.5. Consider the graph $\Gamma$ from Figure 5. Let $\Gamma_1$ be the subgraph spanned by the vertices $\{a, b, c, d\}$, let $\Gamma_2$ be the subgraph spanned by the vertices $\{a, e, f, c\}$, and let $\Gamma_3$ spanned by the vertices $\{a, c\}$. We have the following amalgamated product decomposition $W_\Gamma = W_{\Gamma_1} \ast W_{\Gamma_3} W_{\Gamma_2}$.

Let $X_1$ be the usual square tiling of $\mathbb{E}^2$. See Figure 6. Each vertex $x \in \Gamma_1$ acts by reflection about the line $l_x$. Note that the subcomplex $l$ is preserved by $W_{\Gamma_3}$.

Let $C$ be a 2-cube with all its vertices identified, and let $X_2$ be the universal cover of $C$ (see Figure 7). We define an action of $W_{\Gamma_2}$ on $X_2$ by letting $e$ and $f$ act by reflections about the lines $l_e$ and $l_f$ respectively. Let $a$ (resp. $c$) act as a reflection about the line perpendicular to $l_e$ (resp. $l_f$) and through the vertex $v_a$ (resp. $v_c$). Note that there is an infinite subcomplex $l'$ (shown in blue) that is preserved by $W_{\Gamma_3}$.

Let $T$ be the Bass-Serre tree associated to $W_\Gamma = W_{\Gamma_1} \ast W_{\Gamma_3} W_{\Gamma_2}$. We define a blowup $X$ of $T$. We blowup each vertex $v$ of $T$ corresponding to a coset of $W_{\Gamma_1}$ (resp. $W_{\Gamma_2}$) to a copy $X_v$ of the complex $X_1$ (resp. $X_2$). For each edge $[u, v]$ of $T$ corresponding to a coset $gW_{\Gamma_3}$, we glue $gl \subset X_u$ to $gl' \subset X_v$ where, up to relabelling, we are assuming that $X_u$ is a copy of $X_1$ and $X_v$ is a copy of $X_2$. Even though we are gluing over non-convex subcomplexes, it is not hard to see that the cube complex $X$ is CAT(0). The result is a cocompact cubulation $W_\Gamma \cap X$ that is not strongly cellular.

The induced coarse median structure on $W_\Gamma$ is not the standard one, since $\langle a, c \rangle$ is not convex-cocompact in $X$, as is evident from Figure 6.
6. **General Coxeter groups**

In this section, we prove Theorem D(2).

Let \((W, S)\) be a general Coxeter system. A subgroup of \(W\) is special if it is generated by a subset of \(S\), and it is parabolic if it is conjugate to a special subgroup. An element of \(W\) is an involution if it has order 2, and it is a reflection if it is conjugate to an element of \(S\).

Involutions in Coxeter groups were fully classified by Richardon \[Ric82\]. We record his results in the following lemma. For a more recent account, the reader can also consult \[Kan01, \S 27.2–27.4\].

**Lemma 6.1.**

1. Let \(P\) be a finite irreducible Coxeter group. The centre \(Z(P)\) is nontrivial if and only if \(Z(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\). In this case, \(Z(P) = \langle w_P \rangle\) for an element \(w_P \in P\) that is the longest element of \(P\) with respect to any Coxeter generating set.

2. Let \(W\) be a general Coxeter group. Every involution in \(W\) is the longest element \(w_P\) of a parabolic subgroup \(P \leq W\) of the form \(P = P_1 \times \ldots \times P_k\), where each \(P_i\) is a finite irreducible Coxeter group with nontrivial centre. In particular, we have \(w_P = w_{P_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{P_k}\).

**Remark 6.2.** Let \((W, S)\) be a Coxeter system with \(W\) finite. Let \(w_o \in W\) be the longest element with respect to \(S\). Since \(w_o\) is longest, any reduced expression for \(w_o\) will involve all elements of \(S\), so \(w_o\) does not lie in any proper special subgroup of \(W\). In general, however, \(w_o\) can lie in a proper parabolic subgroup of \(W\). For instance, this happens when \(W\) is the dihedral group with 6 elements.

Things are different if we suppose that \(W = P\), where \(P\) has the form from Lemma 6.1(2). In this case, the longest element \(w_P \in P\) is not contained in any proper parabolic subgroup of \(P\). Indeed, since \(w_P\) is central, it is contained in a proper parabolic subgroup if and only if it is contained in a proper special subgroup of \(W\) (keeping a Coxeter generating set fixed).

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \(W\) be a Coxeter group and let \(W \acts X\) denote its Niblo–Reeves cubulation. Stabilisers of hyperplanes of \(X\) are precisely conjugates of centralisers \(Z_W(r)\) with \(r \in W\) a reflection.

**Proof.** Let \(\Sigma\) be the presentation 2–complex of \(W\) associated with the standard presentation. For all \(s, t \in S\) with \((st)^m = 1\), there is a \(W\)–orbit of \(2m\)–gons in \(\Sigma\) with edges alternately labelled by \(s\) and \(t\). There are some natural walls in \(\Sigma\), uniquely determined by the following property: if \(w\) is a wall and \(C \subseteq \Sigma\) is a 2-cell, then \(w \cap C\) is either empty or a segment joining midpoints of opposite edges of \(C\). The Niblo–Reeves cubulation of \(W\) is precisely the CAT(0) cube complex associated with this collection of walls \[NR03\].

For each reflection \(r \in S\), there is a unique wall \(w_r \subseteq \Sigma\) intersecting the edge \([1, r]\) in its midpoint. Every wall in \(\Sigma\) is in the \(W\)–orbit of one of the walls \(w_r\). Thus, it suffices to show that the \(W\)–stabiliser of the wall \(w_r \subseteq \Sigma\) is precisely the centraliser \(Z_W(r)\).
Note that $r$ fixes the wall $w_r$ pointwise and swaps its two sides. In fact, $r$ is the only element of $W$ with this property, since $W$ acts freely on the $0$–skeleton of $\Sigma$. Now, if $g \in W$, we have $gw_r = w_r$ if and only if $grg^{-1}$ again fixes $w_r$ pointwise and swaps its two sides. Thus, $gw_r = w_r$ if and only if $grg^{-1} = r$, i.e. $g \in Z_W(r)$ as required. 

Lemma 6.4. Let $W$ be Coxeter group with cocompact Niblo–Reeves cubulation $W \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}$. Then, for every involution $\sigma \in W$, the centraliser $Z_W(\sigma)$ is convex-cocompact in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.1 we have $\sigma = w_P$ for a finite, parabolic subgroup $P = P_1 \times \ldots \times P_k$, where each $P_i$ is finite and irreducible.

We begin by showing that the centraliser $Z_W(w_P)$ is contained in the normaliser $N_W(P)$. Indeed, if $g \in W$ commutes with $w_P$, then $w_P \in P \cap gPg^{-1}$. Note that $P \cap gPg^{-1}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $P$ by [Dav08, Lemma 5.3.6], but it cannot be a proper parabolic subgroup because of Remark 6.2. We conclude that $P \leq gPg^{-1}$ and, since $P$ and $gPg^{-1}$ are finite groups of the same cardinality, we must have $gPg^{-1} = P$. This shows that $g \in N_W(P)$, as required.

Now, we have a chain of inclusions $Z_W(P) \leq Z_W(w_P) \leq N_W(P)$. Since $P$ is finite, $Z_W(P)$ has finite index in $N_W(P)$, hence $Z_W(P)$ has finite index in $Z_W(w_P)$ as well.

Finally, observe that $Z_W(P)$ is convex-cocompact in $\mathcal{X}$. Indeed, $P$ is generated by finitely many reflections $r_1, \ldots, r_k$. Each centraliser $Z_W(r_i)$ is the stabiliser of a hyperplane of $\mathcal{X}$ by Lemma 6.3, hence it is convex-cocompact in $\mathcal{X}$. Thus $Z_W(P) = \bigcap_i Z_W(r_i)$ is convex-cocompact in $\mathcal{X}$ by Lemma 2.3(1). Lemma 2.3(2) implies that $Z_W(w_P)$ is convex-cocompact, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $W \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}$ be the Niblo–Reeves cubulation of $W$, which is cocompact by the assumptions of the theorem. Consider an automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(W)$ and let $W \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}^\varphi$ denote the standard action on the Niblo–Reeves cubulation precomposed with $\varphi$.

We want to show that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^\varphi$ induce the same coarse median structure on $W$ by invoking Theorem 2.15. By Lemma 6.3 up to conjugacy, stabilisers of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{X}^\varphi$ are of the form $\varphi^{-1}(Z_W(r))$, with $r \in W$ a reflection. Observing that $\varphi^{-1}(Z_W(r)) = Z_W(r')$ for the involution $r' := \varphi^{-1}(r)$, Lemma 6.4 shows that all these subgroups are convex-cocompact in $\mathcal{X}$, as required for Theorem 2.15.

Appendix A. Cocompactness of intersections

A collection $C$ of subsets of a metric space $X$ is locally finite if every ball in $X$ intersects only finitely many elements of $C$.

Lemma A.1. Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a proper cocompact action on a metric space. A closed subset $A \subseteq X$ is acted upon cocompactly by its $G$–stabiliser if and only if the orbit $G \cdot A$ is locally finite.

Proof. The backward arrow is [HS20, Lemma 2.3], since $X$ is a proper metric space (as it admits a geometric group action).

For the forward arrow, denote by $G_A \leq G$ the stabiliser of $A$ and assume that the action $G_A \curvearrowright A$ is cocompact. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that a ball $B \subseteq X$ intersects infinitely many pairwise distinct translates $g_n A$ with $g_n \in G$. Then the balls $g_n^{-1} B$ all intersect $A$. Since $G_A \curvearrowright A$ is cocompact and $G \curvearrowright X$ is proper, the elements $g_n^{-1}$ all lie in a product set $F \cdot A$ with $F \subseteq G$ finite. Hence all $g_n$ lie in $F^{-1} \cdot G_A$, contradicting that there are infinitely many distinct sets $g_n A$.

Lemma A.2 (Cocompact Intersections). Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a proper cocompact action on a metric space. Let $A, B \subseteq X$ be closed subsets that are invariant and acted upon cocompactly by subgroups $H, K \leq G$, respectively. Then the action $H \cap K \curvearrowright A \cap B$ is cocompact (possibly, $A \cap B = \emptyset$).

Proof. Suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. We first prove that $A \cap B$ is acted upon cocompactly by its $G$–stabiliser. In view of Lemma A.1 it suffices to show that the orbit $G \cdot (A \cap B)$ is locally finite.
Suppose for the sake of contradiction that \( g_n(A \cap B) \) are pairwise distinct translates intersecting a ball \( L \subseteq X \). The collections \( \{g_nA\} \) and \( \{g_nB\} \) also intersect \( L \), so they must be finite by Lemma [A.1]. Hence there are only finitely many possible intersections \( g_nA \cap g_nB \), contradicting our assumption.

Now, we know that \( A \cap B \) is acted upon cocompactly by its \( G \)-stabiliser \( G_{A \cap B} \). A finite-index subgroup of \( G_{A \cap B} \) must stabilise \( A \), since \( A \cap B \) is contained in all \( G_{A \cap B} \)-translates of \( A \), and there are only finitely many such translates of \( A \) by local finiteness. Since \( H \) acts cocompactly on \( A \), it must have finite index in the \( G \)-stabiliser of \( A \), hence a finite-index subgroup of \( G_{A \cap B} \) is contained in \( H \) (and, similarly, in \( K \)). In conclusion, a finite-index subgroup of \( G_{A \cap B} \) acts cocompactly on \( A \) and is contained in \( H \cap K \), which concludes the proof. □
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