Examining Mathematics Achievement: An Analysis of Fourth and Eighth Grade TIMSS U.S. Data by Ethnicity, Gender, and Sociocultural Variables

Chi Yun Moon¹, Patricia J. Larke, and Marlon C. James
Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

Abstract: Previous research studies about mathematics performance have continuously reported race/ethnic or gender gaps. Learners have different educational experiences depending on not only their ethnicity or gender, but also grade and sociocultural factors. However, only a few studies have considered all these factors integrally. Hence, the need of examining academic performance across ethnicity, gender, grade, and sociocultural variables led this study. The purpose of this study was to examine mathematics academic achievement of 4th and 8th grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students related to students’ gender, grade, and sociocultural variables such as student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching. The guiding research question was: To what extent do students’ gender, bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching explain fourth and eighth grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students’ mathematics achievement? The participants were total 9,605 fourth (n=4,785) and eighth grade (n=4,820) African, Latinx, and Asian American students in TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use data set. According to the results of multiple linear regression analyses, parental involvement positively affects mathematics scores for all students across grade and ethnicity. In addition, engaging teaching from mathematics teachers significantly increase 4th and 8th grade Latinx American students’ mathematics achievement. This study reveals that gender, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching have different level of impacts on mathematics achievement of each group of students.
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Previous research studies about mathematics achievement have continuously examined race/ethnic or gender gaps (Larke et al., 2014; Valencia, 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Among African American, Latinx American, and Asian American groups that have been growing rapidly in the U.S. (Moon & Larke, 2020), Asian American students tend to have high achievement, and African and Latinx American students are likely to have lower scores than their counterparts (Larke et al., 2014; Moon & Larke, 2020; U.S. Department of Education, 2020). Unlike race/ethnicity achievement gap, gender differences in mathematics are unclear (Bergin & Bergin, 2018). For instance, some studies reported boys were likely to have a higher achievement than girls did on standardized mathematics tests (Cimpian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015); however, other studies (Evans, 2015; Reardon et al., 2019) insisted that there was no gender gap in mathematic achievement among U.S. schools.
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For academic achievement including mathematics, middle childhood and adolescence are important periods (Bergin & Bergin, 2018). Children in middle childhood (i.e., six to 12 years old) learn stable attitudes toward study and school, and develop their self-esteem and self-efficacy through interactions with others (Bergin & Bergin, 2018). The results of several studies indicated that by third grade, a child’s academic rank tended to be stable across later developmental stages (Bergin & Bergin, 2018; Ladd & Dinella, 2009). In the case of adolescence (i.e., 13 to 19 years old), the transitional period of development between childhood and adulthood, adolescents need to form their identity, learn social roles, and achieve emotional independence of parents or other adults. This increased independence or autonomy leads to more frequent and deeper interactions with friends and communities (Sokol, 2009) with decreasing parental involvement (Zhao & Akiba, 2009). In this period, their academic achievement is crucial in terms of a future college entrance and a career success (Gremmen et al., 2017).

To understand academic achievement during middle childhood and adolescence, students’ sociocultural environments need to be considered (Stewart, 2007). Bullying is one of sociocultural factors which negatively affect academic performance (Bergin & Bergin, 2018). It takes on a variety of forms, such as teasing, taunting, spreading rumors, hitting, kicking, etc. (Bergin & Bergin, 2018; Kokkinos et al., 2016). Students of color tend to be exposed to higher rates of peer bullying (Connell et al., 2015) and they report more academic difficulties than their counterparts (Stopbullying, 2021). Regarding the protective factors with respect to achievement, parental involvement is critical (Boonk et al., 2018). Parents that actively participate in their children’s education are found to promote their children’s academic growth regardless of students’ age, gender, and ethnicity (Boonk et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2015; Crosby et al., 2015). Many studies acknowledged that both the home-based and the school-based parental involvement played a crucial role in children’s higher academic achievement (Crosby et al., 2015; Dotterer & Wehrspann, 2016). Similarly, teachers’ teaching strategies for engaging students are important to enhancing students’ academic performance (Marks, 2000; Syed et al., 2011). Marks (2000) has underlined that teachers’ teaching strategies and support have an impact on narrowing the effect of prior achievement, socioeconomic status, and gender gap by encouraging student engagement in class.

Given this, this study, inspired by the bioecological perspective that emphasizes sociocultural environments, examined the dynamic interplay among personal (gender and grade), peer (student bullying), family (parental involvement), and teacher (engaging teaching) determinants of mathematics achievement. Depending on gender (Evans-Winters & Esposito, 2010), ethnicity (Larke et al., 2014; Moon & Larke, 2020), or developmental stage (Bergin & Bergin, 2018), each student’s academic experience should vary. Moreover, the impacts of sociocultural factors such as student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching are different regarding the mathematics achievement of those diverse students. While previous research studies have been conducted on the gender achievement gap and the achievement gap among different ethnicities of students in the U.S., but only a few studies have examined their mathematics achievement within the multidimensional lens (Larke et al., 2014). The need for more research concerning each group of students’ mathematics achievement related to gender, grade, bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching prompted the investigation launched in this study. Thus, the guiding research question for this inquire was: To what extent do students’ gender, bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching explain fourth and eighth grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students’ mathematics achievement?
Literature Review

Academic Achievement Gap

The achievement gap has been discussed consistently in the U.S. The definition of academic achievement gap is a continuous, pervasive, significant and persistent disparity in educational achievement among groups of students (Larke et al., 2014; Moon & Larke, 2020). Academic achievement is typically measured by standardized tests. The previous research studies emphasized there has been a consistent disparity producing negative outcomes depending on ethnicities, gender, and classes (Larke et al., 2014). Such gaps in academic achievement affect future income level, matriculation to college, graduation rate, and the next generation’s education (Valencia, 2015).

In the case of racial/ethnic achievement gap studies, previous studies have continuously reported that African American students tended to have lower scores than their counterparts (Bohrnstedt et al., 2015; Larke et al., 2014; Paschall et al., 2018). In the case of Latinx students, they have grown the fastest and become the largest group of students of color in the U.S. (Gándara, 2010; Hemphill & Vanneman, 2010). In Texas and California, the school-age population of Latinx already reached one-half of all students (Gándara, 2017). The existing research studies (Gándara, 2017; Hemphill & Vanneman, 2010) pointed out that Latinx students were likely to have a relatively low achievement on average, and the gap existed from the first days of schooling (Gándara, 2010, 2017). On the other hand, an Asian American group has higher grades and standardized test scores and tend to attend college more than other ethnic groups (Hsin & Xie, 2014). Asian Americans constituted only 6% of the U.S. population in 2015, but they comprised more than 20% of the entering classes in America’s top universities (Lee & Zhou, 2017).

Gender is also one of the factors affecting academic achievement. Before the 1970s, research communities became interested in gender differences in academic achievement and found that girls outperformed boys in reading during the elementary and the adolescent years (Pomerantz et al., 2002; Reardon et al., 2019). By the 1970s, their attention shifted to mathematics and science in regards to the gender achievement gap (Pomerantz et al., 2002). Numerous studies detailed the gender differences in mathematics, but the pattern was not clear in the conflicting reports (Bergin & Bergin, 2018; Lee et al., 2011). For instance, some studies noticed that boys outperformed girls on a standardized test of math (Cimpian et al., 2016; Robinson & Lubienski, 2011); however, other studies (Lee et al., 2011; Reardon et al., 2019) reported that no gender gap in math among U.S. schools existed. The gender gaps in mathematics seemed to have age-related trend (Lee et al., 2011). Fahle’s (2016) study illustrated how the gender achievement gap changed as student progressed through elementary and middle school. In mathematics, the average gender gap in favor of males was growing in elementary school, but this gap was shrinking in middle school. Another study (Leahey & Guo, 2001) revealed that there was no statistically significant gender gap in early ages, but a narrow gender gap in math grew larger until the 12th grade and slight gaps favoring boys were observed.

The gender gaps in the previous research studies mostly have been explored for a whole sample without considering students’ ethnicities (Lee et al., 2011). There are possible interrelated effects among participants’ ethnicity and grade. Therefore, gender, ethnicity, and grade are important factors when analyzing mathematics achievement.
Sociocultural Factors on Academic Achievement

Urie Bronfenbrenner, a developmental psychologist focusing on human development and sociocultural environments, insisted that humans were active participants in continuing adaptation to environment that was mutual and reciprocal (Shelton, 2018). He explained individuals interacted with the environment which consisted of five nested systems such as family, friends, neighborhood, school, social policy, etc. and those layered multi-systems affected individual growth and development (Elliot et al., 2016). Carol Lee (2012) shared a similar view with Bronfenbrenner. She argued that some disciplinary traditions explored participants within a singular setting and assumed that individual learning was on a singular pathway. She insisted that individual traits, characteristics of the groups, and time piece interacted with one another. Therefore, the academic performance can be a result of interactions among individuals and sociocultural environments factors such as peers, parents, and teachers (Stewart, 2007), and these interactions bring about an individual’s development (Bergin & Bergin, 2018). In this regard, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching will be reviewed as sociocultural variables which have impacts on academic achievements.

Student Bullying

The influence of peers on individuals’ development is well known, as the previous studies described (Gremmen et al., 2017; Lynch et al., 2013). It supports individuals’ attitudes, behaviors, social development contributing positively or negatively to school success (Gremmen et al., 2017). Bullying is a typical form of violence among peer relationships. Student bullying refers to unwanted and repeated violent behaviors by another peer or group of peers (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; Glew et al., 2008). Student bullying can result in physical, social, emotional, and/or educational harm and distress (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021). Individuals who bully others tend to dislike school and show high levels of conduct and academic problems. On the other hand, students who are bullied tend to demonstrate a high level of social emotional problems, such as, anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, loneliness, sleep difficulties, and insecurity (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; Nansel et al., 2001). Both bullies and bullied are likely to suffer from mental health and behavioral problems (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

Studies have demonstrated that racial/ethnic minorities tended to be exposed to higher rates of peer bullying and victimization (Connell et al., 2015; Esbensen & Carson, 2009). In a study by Nansel and colleagues (2001), the first large-scale study of bullying in the U.S., Latinx youth were involved in moderate or frequent bullying. In another study (Glew et al., 2005), African American and Native American students were associated also with greater odds of being a bully. Moreover, gender differences in terms of frequency and forms of bullying were observed. Males both bullied others more and were bullied more than female students, and males reported more corporal harassment such as hitting, kicking, slapped, or pushed. On the other hand, females reported that name-calling, rumors, teasing, sexual comments, and electronic bullying were common forms of being bullied (Bergin & Bergin, 2018; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; Nansel et al., 2001). Therefore, the results of the aforementioned studies are indicative of the different frequency and forms of bullying depending on gender and ethnic groups.
Parental Involvement

Parents are considered to be the primary supporters for their children’s development and academic success (Boonk et al., 2018). Parental involvement means “parents’ behaviors in home and school setting meant to support their children’s education process” (El Nokali et al., 2010, p. 989). Positive relationships between the children’s academic performance and parental involvement across children’s age have been reported (Crosby et al., 2015; Dotterer & Wehrspann, 2016). Many studies acknowledged that both the home-based and the school-based involvement played a crucial role in children’s higher academic achievement (Chang et al., 2015; Crosby et al., 2015). Crosby and colleagues (2015) delved into the effectiveness of a parental involvement program by guiding a group of parents as to how to provide their early childhood aged children a reading lesson at home. The results revealed the children who received the parental literacy lessons at home were likely to gain a higher literacy achievement than other children with few lessons or no lessons at home from their parents. After monitoring the effectiveness of parental school involvement on their elementary school-aged children’s academic achievement, Schulting and colleagues (2005) concluded that the school-based involvement had a positive impact on children’s mathematics and reading achievement.

Some studies considered children and parents’ ethnic backgrounds (Chang et al., 2015; Syed et al., 2011). Cooper and colleagues (2009) looked into the association between parental involvement and kindergarten achievement by ethnicities. The results indicated that parents with organized activities had children with higher mathematics achievement scores in Asian, and Latinx American groups, and enrollment in organized activities also predicted higher reading scores for Asian American students. Furthermore, the home-learning activities with parents were positively linked to the Latinx students’ academic performance, and the school-based involvement had positive impacts on African American children. Chang et al. (2015) probed different types of school involvement of parents from ethnically and linguistically diverse families and the influence of parental school involvement on children’s mathematics performance. The study noted that a parent-teacher conference had significantly positive effects for almost all linguistic and ethnic groups (Chang et al., 2015). A series of meta-analysis studies for students of color and students in urban schools, as conducted by Jeynes (2003, 2005, 2007) confirmed that all of the measured ingredients of parental involvement had a significant positive impact on their child’s academic achievement, regardless of ethnicity (Jeynes, 2003) and gender (Jeynes, 2005, 2007).

Engaging Teaching

One of the greatest challenges confronting educators today is that of engaging a diverse group of students. Student engagement has a strong positive relationship with learning and achievement among various age and ability groups (Harbour et al., 2015). Engaging teaching refers to teacher’s teaching strategies which encourage students’ involvement in class (Finn, 1989, 1993). Several previous studies, inspecting the effect of engagement on academic achievement, consistently reported that engagement was key to improving the achievement (Kimbark et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018), and a high level of engagement had positive relationships with students’ learning, cognitive development, persistence, increased graduation, retention, and pursuit of higher education (Sinatra et al., 2015).

According to existing research, girls are more engaged than boys across all levels in elementary, middle, and high school (Lietaert et al., 2015; Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2015). In terms of students’ ethnicities, inconsistent results were observed. For instance, Johnson and her colleagues (2001) indicated that African American students were inclined to be engaged by going to class, paying attention, and doing homework more than Hispanic and European
American students in grades 7 through 12. However, in the Mark’s (2000) study, no different engagement level was observed among African Americans, Hispanics, and European Americans across elementary, middle, and high school. Previous studies have underlined that teacher’s engaging teaching strategies, skills, and support are critical for improving students’ engagement. When teachers assigned students a cognitively challenging work in mathematics or social studies class and connected those works to the real world beyond the classroom, students’ engagement across elementary, middle, and high school tended to be higher (Klem & Connell, 2004; Marks, 2000). Moreover, these teaching strategies reduced the effect of prior achievement and SES in terms of student engagement (Marks, 2000). Quin’s (2017) recent meta-analysis study confirmed that teachers had impacts on students’ academic grades, classroom behavior, participation, and alienation. As noted, through the high quality of engaging teaching, student engagement can be improved. Through the engaging teaching, students engage more with class, and they gain more opportunities to understand diverse concepts deeply and to expand their knowledge widely (Harbour et al., 2015).

In summary, this section explored relevant literature surrounding the topic of the academic achievement gap and the sociocultural variables which influence students’ academic achievement were presented. As noted in this literature review, students’ educational experience should vary depending on their ethnicity, developmental stage, and sociocultural factors such as bullying, parental involvement and engaging teaching.

Methodology

In this study, a quantitative research design was implemented. This type of design allowed researchers to analyze TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use data including large enough populations and to understand each ethnic group of students’ academic performance in mathematics related to sociocultural variables. The data, participants, instruments, and data analysis will be presented in the following sections.

Data

This study used TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use data which was the latest released U.S. data set as of Summer 2021. The basic sample design for TIMSS 2015 is a stratified two-stage cluster sample design, where schools were selected first, and then intact classes from the target grade of the participating schools were chosen (Mullis et al., 2016). To consider the selection probabilities and sampling outcomes, the sampling weight in the TIMSS 2015 consisted of weighting components at school, class, and student levels. A list of different sampling weight variables was explained in the U.S. TIMSS 2015 and TIMSS Advanced 2015 Technical Report and User’s Guide (Averett et al., 2018; Mullis et al., 2016) for the TIMSS 2015 U.S. national fourth and eighth grade data. In this study, the Total Student Weight Variables (TOTWGT) was used because this weighted variable sums to the national population size (Mullis et al., 2016). Gender was coded 0 for male and 1 for female. Ethnicity was coded 0 for African Americans, 1 for Latinx Americans, and 2 for Asian American students.

Participants

TIMSS is designed to describe entire fourth and eighth grade students’ achievement, so their target population are comprehensive eligible students. However, there are some cases for which certain groups of schools and students should be excluded from the target population. For example, if schools have only four or fewer students in the target grade, schools offer
radically different grade structure or curriculum from the mainstream education, or schools provide instruction solely to students with disabilities, those schools and students had to be excluded. Moreover, students who have physical disabilities and cannot perform in the TIMSS testing situation, students who are emotionally, mentally, and intellectually unable to follow the instructions of the test, or students who are unable to read or speak the language of the test were excluded from the target population. For the non-native language speakers, a student receiving less than one year of instruction in the language of the test had to be excluded (Joncas & Foy, 2016). Through this process, total of 9,605 fourth (n=4,785) and eighth grade (n=4,820) African American, Latinx, and Asian students were analytic participants from the TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use data. Of the participants, 50.61% (4,862) students were girls, and 49.38% (4,743) students were boys. When identifying the participants’ racial/ethnic group, 27.53% (2,644) students were African American, 61.97% (5,952) students were Latinx, 10.50% (1,009) students reported as Asians. Students’ information on their ethnicity was obtained from the students’ self-responses to TIMSS 2015 fourth grade and eighth grade Student Questionnaire. The summary of the participants is placed in the Table 1 below.

Table 1
Participants of TIMSS 2015 Fourth and Eighth Grade Tests

|                | 4th grade (N=4,785) | 8th grade (N=4,820) |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                | Boy (N=2,320)       | Girl (N=2,465)      | Boy (2,423) | Girl (N=2,397) |
| African Americans | 649                | 672                | 664       | 659               |
| Latinx Americans | 1,389              | 1,527              | 1,555     | 1,481             |
| Asians Americans | 282                | 266                | 204       | 257               |

Instruments

The TIMSS questionnaires include a lot of variables that might be related to an outcome variable (Mills & Holloway, 2013). In this study, student bullying scale, parental involvement scale, and engaging teaching scale were chosen among the TIMSS 2015 Context Questionnaires.

Student bullying scale. A total nine items were used to measure student bullying in this study. Student bullying scale asked how often students experienced bullying behaviors by using 4-point Likert-type scale: At least once a week, Once or twice a month, A few times a year, Never, which ranged from 1=At least once a week to 4=Never. A high score indicated a low level of experience of bullying. The Cronbach alpha reliability estimate of student bullying scale for fourth graders was .860 and that for eighth graders was .865.

Parental involvement scale. There were four items which related to parental involvement among context questions in the TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use datasets. These items captured how much parents are involved their child’s learning by using a 5-point Likert-type scale: Very low, Low, Medium, High, Very High, which ranged from 1=Very low to 5=Very high. A high score indicated a high level of experience of parental involvement. The Cronbach alpha reliability estimate of parental involvement scale for fourth graders was .943 and that for eighth graders was also .943.
**Engaging teaching scale.** Engaging teaching scale asked how students agree with the ten statements related to their teacher’s engaging teaching in mathematics lessons by using 4-point Likert-type scale: Never, A few times a year, Once or twice a month, At least once a week, which ranged from 1=Never to 4=At least once a week. A high score indicated a high level of experience of engaging teaching. The Cronbach alpha reliability estimate of engaging teaching scale for fourth graders was .886 and that for eighth graders was .937.

**Data Analyses**

TIMSS 2015 U.S. national public-use data were analyzed. The SPSS was mainly used for analysis and International Database (IDB) Analyzer assisted SPSS by generating SPSS syntax. The IDB analyzer easily merges the various data file types of the TIMSS 2015 International Database and considers the sampling information and the multiple imputed achievement scores. Therefore, the IEA IDB analyzer provided accurate statistical results (Foy, 2017). The step-by-step data analysis were conducted. The researchers downloaded the data, and the data were merged, cleaned, and weighted to adjust the error variances because of the complex sampling procedures. And then we calculated reliability coefficients, descriptive statistics, correlation coefficients, and Tolerance/VIF values. Lastly, multiple linear regression models were conducted to identify the strength of the effects of gender, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching on fourth and eighth grade African American, Latinx, and Asian American students’ mathematics achievement, respectively. Before running the regression models with the data, we checked correlation among variables to be assured that there were no multicollinearity issues. As a result, correlation r ranged from -.17 to .36, indicating there were no highly correlated predictors, and these variables were appropriate for multivariate analysis. For the multiple linear regression models, 4th and 8th grade overall mathematics scores of each ethnic group were selected as dependent variables, and students’ gender, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching were used as independent variables. The correlations among variables are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2**
**Correlations**

| Constructs | Correlation Matrix |
|------------|--------------------|
| African Americans | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4th grade | 1. Gender | - | | | | |
| | 2. Student Bullying | .01** | - | | | |
| | 3. Parental Involvement | -.03** | .12** | - | | |
| | 4. Engaging Teaching | -.02** | .15** | .02** | - | |
| | 5. Math Achievement | -.06** | .14** | .20** | .05** | - |
| Latinx Americans | 1. Gender | - | | | | |
| | 2. Student Bullying | .03** | - | | | |
| | 3. Parental Involvement | .01** | .06** | - | | |
| | 4. Engaging Teaching | .06** | .22** | .06** | - | |
|                | 5. Math Achievement | 4. Engaging Teaching | 3. Parental Involvement | 2. Student Bullying | 1. Gender          |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| **Asian Americans** |                     |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 1. Gender       | -                   |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 2. Student Bullying | .06**              |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 3. Parental Involvement | -.04**             | 0.1**                |                         |                     |                   |
| 4. Engaging Teaching | .16**              | .22**                | .01**                   |                     |                   |
| 5. Math Achievement | -.17**             | .13**                | .36**                   | .02**               |                   |
| **8th grade African Americans** |                      |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 1. Gender       | -                   |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 2. Student Bullying | -.01               |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 3. Parental Involvement | .05               | .01                  |                         |                     |                   |
| 4. Engaging Teaching | .01                | .03                  | .04                     |                     |                   |
| 5. Math Achievement | .02                | .09*                 | .19**                   | .01                 |                   |
| **Latinx Americans** |                      |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 1. Gender       | -                   |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 2. Student Bullying | -.05*              |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 3. Parental Involvement | -.01              | .04                  |                         |                     |                   |
| 4. Engaging Teaching | -.03               | .13**                | -.01                    |                     |                   |
| 5. Math Achievement | -.04*              | .07**                | .22**                   | .13**               |                   |
| **Asian Americans** |                      |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 1. Gender       | -                   |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 2. Student Bullying | .09                 |                      |                         |                     |                   |
| 3. Parental Involvement | -.06               | .07                  |                         |                     |                   |
| 4. Engaging Teaching | -.03               | .16**                | .03                     |                     |                   |
| 5. Math Achievement | -.10               | .08                  | .33**                   | .01                 |                   |

*Note. *p<.05, **p<.001

**Results**

**Fourth Grade African American Students**

Fourth grade African American boys have a small advantage over girls, but this difference is not statistically significant (β=-6.31, [n.s.]). In this model, student bullying (β=9.72, p<.001) and parental involvement (β=13.70, p<.001) significantly affect their achievement. However, engaging teaching does not significantly increase fourth grade African American students’ mathematics achievement (β=3.72, [n.s.]).
Fourth Grade Latinx American Students

When mathematics achievement of fourth grade Latinx Americans is explained, it is found that gender is not a significant variable (β=-6.38, [n.s.]). However, student bullying (β=11.78, p<.001), parental involvement (β=16.53, p<.001), and engaging teaching (β=8.38, p<.05) significantly have impacts on mathematics achievement of the fourth grade Latinx American students.

Fourth Grade Asian American Students

In this model, gender is a statistically significant variable (β=-24.60, p<.001) to explain the mathematics achievement of fourth grade Asian American students. Moreover, student bullying (β=17.19, p<.001) and parental involvement (β=28.99, p<.001) significantly affect fourth grade Asian American students’ mathematics achievement. However, engaging teaching does not significantly enhance their mathematics achievement (β=.43, [n.s.]). Table 3 displays fourth grade regression models.

Table 3
Fourth Grade Regression Models

| Grade 4                  | African Americans \( R^2=.05 \) | Latinx Americans \( R^2=.06 \) | Asian Americans \( R^2=.18 \) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (Constant)              | 421.88** (24.30)                 | 401.23** (18.02)                | 452.74** (47.88)              |
| 1. Gender               | -6.31 (4.43)                     | -6.38 (3.68)                    | -24.60** (7.16)               |
| 2. Student Bullying     | 9.72** (3.78)                    | 11.78** (2.87)                  | 17.19** (6.14)                |
| 3. Parental Involvement| 13.70** (3.92)                   | 16.53** (2.89)                  | 28.99** (8.17)                |
| 4. Engaging Teaching    | 3.72 (5.23)                      | 8.38* (4.01)                    | .43 (9.99)                    |

Note. *p<.05, **p<.001

Eighth Grade African American Students

For the eighth grade African Americans, girls have a small advantage over boys, but this difference is not statistically significant (β=1.13, [n.s.]). Student bullying (β=11.04, p<.05) and parental involvement (β=18.25, p<.001) significantly affect their mathematics achievement on this regression model. However, engaging teaching does not significantly increase eighth grade African American students’ mathematics achievement (β=3.49, [n.s.]).

Eighth Grade Latinx American Students

Gender (β=-6.11, [n.s.]) and student bullying (β=6.23, [n.s.]) are not statistically significant variables when eighth grade Latinx American students’ mathematics achievement is explained. However, parental involvement (β=13.70, p<.001) and engaging teaching (β=13.70, p<.001) positively affect mathematics achievement of eighth grade Latinx American students.
Eighth Grade Asian American Students

Gender ($\beta=-5.90$, [n.s.]), student bullying ($\beta=11.09$, [n.s.]), and engaging teaching ($\beta=-1.63$, [n.s.]) are not statistically significant variables when eighth grade Asian American students’ mathematics achievement was explained. Only parental involvement ($\beta=26.49$, $p<.001$) positively improves mathematics achievement of eighth grade Asian American students. Table 4 shows eighth grade regression models.

Table 4
Eighth Grade Regression Models

| Grade 8       | African Americans $R^2=.05$ | Latinx Americans $R^2=.07$ | Asian Americans $R^2=.12$ |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| (Constant)    | 368.89** (24.47)            | 385.90** (23.89)           | 451.19** (40.96)          |
| 1. Gender     | 1.13 (6.32)                 | -6.11 (3.43)               | -5.90 (7.63)              |
| 2. Student    | 11.04* (4.94)               | 6.23 (4.05)                | 11.09 (10.10)             |
| Bullying      |                             |                            |                           |
| 3. Parental   | 18.25** (5.19)              | 17.66** (4.72)             | 26.49** (7.01)            |
| Involvement   |                             |                            |                           |
| 4. Engaging   | 3.49 (4.16)                 | 12.55** (3.01)             | -1.63 (8.13)              |
| Teaching      |                             |                            |                           |

*p<.05, **p<.001

Discussion

The need for more research concerning each group of students’ mathematics achievement related to peer, parent, teacher variables prompted the investigation launched in this study. Given this, the purpose of this study is to explore mathematics academic achievement of fourth and eighth grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students by gender, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching. In this study, multiple linear regressions were performed to determine the strength of the effects of gender, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching on fourth and eighth grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students’ mathematics achievement.

According to the results in this study, statistically significant variables that have impacts on the mathematics achievement are different across grade and ethnicity. On the fourth and eighth grade African American students’ regression model, student bullying and parental involvement significantly affect their mathematics achievement. For fourth grade Latinx Americans, student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching have statistically impacted on their mathematics grade, while only parental involvement and engaging teaching significantly affect eighth grade Latinx American students’ achievement. In the case of fourth grade Asian American students, gender, student bullying, and parental involvement are statistically significant variables which affect their mathematics achievement. However, on the eighth-grade Asian American students’ regression model, only parental involvement has a significantly impact on their mathematics performance. More importantly, overall, parental involvement significantly increases the mathematics achievement across all grade and ethnic groups, and engaging teaching enhances only achievement of Latinx American students on both fourth and eighth grade.

The findings identify that parental involvement is a crucial factor to mathematics achievement across all grades and ethnic groups. It supports previous research which revealed that parents were the primary supporters for students’ academic success (Boonk et al., 2018) across ethnicity (Chang et al., 2015). Interestingly, engaging teaching is significant only for
Latinx American students’ achievement on both fourth and eighth grade. The previous study conducted by Wentzel et al. (2016) aligned with this result. In that study, the teacher and parent variables were significant, while peer variables were not significant factors of the academic functioning of Latinx American students (Wentzel et al., 2016). Engaging teaching is well known regarding its impact on students’ academic achievement (Kimbark et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). However, in this study, engaging teaching does not have impacts on both fourth and eighth grade African and Asian American students’ achievement. To deeper understand the effects of engaging teaching on diverse students’ mathematics achievement, future research studies need to delve into this topic considering students’ ethnicity and grade.

The findings of this study provide a foundation for future research studies to build upon the intersection of ethnicity, gender, and sociocultural variables when it comes to mathematics academic achievement of fourth and eighth graders. Moreover, the results of this study suggest a thorough analysis of TIMSS mathematics data to improve mathematics achievement in classrooms which will be of interest to parents, teachers, school leaders, and policy makers. The findings also can assist in the decision-making related to mathematics instruction and teaching strategies.

Despite important findings from this study, there are several limitations. First, only the TIMSS U.S. national public-use data and TIMSS U.S. national restricted-used data include the information of diverse ethnicities of students. As a result, the results in this study of African, Latinx, and Asian American students in the U.S. cannot be compared to other countries in TIMSS. Moreover, according to Bronfenbrenner’s theory, there are many other sociocultural variables which have impacts on students’ mathematics achievement. However, due to the limited variables of the data set and effectiveness of the models, only student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching were considered as sociocultural variables in this study.

Based on the results of this study, several recommendations are suggested:

1. This study indicates that the parental involvement is significant to explain all groups of students’ mathematics achievement. The impact of parental involvement on the mathematics achievement may vary depending on the types of the involvement, parents’ gender, family structure, or content domains under the overall mathematics assessments. Therefore, the disaggregation of the parental involvement should be considered in future research studies.

2. In this study, engaging teaching affects only Latinx American students’ mathematics achievement. Other large-scaled data such as Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) or State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) also include information of students’ gender and ethnicity. Comparing the results from different assessments will help to understand not only Latinx American students, but also trends of each group of students’ mathematics achievement.

3. TIMSS assessment is useful to capture progress across grade because a fourth-grade cohort would be the eighth-grade cohort in the next cycle of the TIMSS assessment. In other words, the cohort of fourth graders of 2015 became the cohort of eighth graders in 2019. Once the data are released, similar research needs to be conducted. The analyses from these participants can reveal how mathematics achievement, and the effect of other factors, may change over time.

4. This study explores student bullying, parental involvement, and engaging teaching as sociocultural variables. According to Bronfenbrenner’s model (1994), many other sociocultural variables such as school system, policy, teachers’ backgrounds, etc. (Bergin & Bergin, 2018) influence mathematics achievement of students of color. Hence, future research studies need to be conducted considering different sociocultural factors.
Conclusion

Mathematics provides an essential skill for students to make wise decisions in a productive personal life as well as to participate in civic affairs (Mullis et al., 2016). Research studies have continuously reported gender and ethnic mathematics achievement gaps in the U.S. education system (Larke et al., 2014; Valencia, 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Students have different academic experiences depending on not only their ethnicity or gender, but also their developmental stage and sociocultural variables (Bergin & Bergin, 2018; Larke et al., 2014). However, there are limited numbers of studies which examine the mathematics achievement by gender, ethnicity, and sociocultural variables integrally. According to Bronfenbrenner’s model (1994), individual students negotiate the social influence based on gender, ethnicity, and other sociocultural variables on their educational experience. To have more equitable educational system, research should critically examine and deeply understand academic performance of diverse students.

The results of this study indicate several implications for research and practice. It is important that all students should experience academic success in education (Ladson-Billings, 1995; Larke et al., 2014; Moon et al., 2020). Latinx American students have become the fastest growing group of students of color in the U.S.; however, their mathematics achievement is lower than other ethnic groups of students (Gándara, 2017). The results in this study point out that engaging teaching is effective for both fourth and eighth grade Latinx American students’ mathematics performance. Therefore, the current findings in this study suggest that teachers need to conduct effective engaging teaching methods in mathematics education to enhance Latinx American students’ mathematic achievement. In addition, educators need to find more ways to involve parents of diverse students in their child’s mathematics achievement by providing appropriate coaching skills at home (Boonk et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2015). As previous studies indicated, this study supports that parental involvement has a significant impact on students’ mathematics achievement across grade and ethnicity. Therefore, parental involvement should be an integral component in the policies and practices of all schools across the U.S.

This study has attempted to explain fourth and eighth grade African, Latinx, and Asian American students’ mathematics achievement with intersectionality lens. We hope that this study will contribute to understanding of mathematics achievement of each group of students and to provide educational supports for these groups.
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