Identify Lysine Neddylation Sites Using Bi-profile Bayes Feature Extraction via the Chou’s 5-steps Rule and General Pseudo Components

Zhe Ju1,* and Shi-Yun Wang1

1College of Science, Shenyang Aerospace University, Shenyang 110136, P. R. China

Abstract: Introduction: Neddylation is a highly dynamic and reversible post-translational modification. The abnormality of neddylation has previously been shown to be closely related to some human diseases. The detection of neddylation sites is essential for elucidating the regulation mechanisms of neddylation.

Objective: As the detection of the lysine neddylation sites by the traditional experimental method is often expensive and time-consuming, it is imperative to design computational methods to identify neddylation sites.

Methods: In this study, a bioinformatics tool named NedPred is developed to identify underlying protein neddylation sites. A bi-profile bayes feature extraction is used to encode neddylation sites and a fuzzy support vector machine model is utilized to overcome the problem of noise and class imbalance in the prediction.

Results: Matthew’s correlation coefficient of NedPred achieved 0.7082 and an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.9769. Independent tests show that NedPred significantly outperforms existing lysine neddylation sites predictor NeddyPred.

Conclusion: Therefore, NedPred can be a complement to the existing tools for the prediction of neddylation sites. A user-friendly webserver for NedPred is accessible at 123.206.31.171/NedPred/.
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1. INTRODUCTION

NEDD8 is an 81 amino acid polypeptide, which is 60% identical and 80% similar to ubiquitin. The process of ubiquitin-like protein NEDD8 attaching substrate lysine via isopeptide bonds is known as neddylation [1]. Neddylation is a highly dynamic and reversible protein post-translational modification (PTM), which occurs similarly to ubiquitination and needs enzyme cascades involving E1, E2 and E3 [2]. Although neddylation relies on its own E1 and E2 enzymes, no NEDD8-specific E3 has yet been identified and it is possible that the neddylation system relies on E3 ligases with dual specificity [3]. Neddylation has been demonstrated to be essential to maintain the ubiquitin ligase activity of Cullin-Roc based E3 ligases, and affects cell-cycle regulation, transcriptional regulation and signal transduction indirectly [4]. Previous studies have shown that abnormal neddylation is strongly linked to some human diseases, such as cancer, Parkinson’s disease and Alzheimer’s disease [5–7]. Therefore, exploring the biological functions of neddylation will help to reveal the pathogenesis of the above-mentioned diseases. However, compared with the ubiquitination that has been widely studied in the past two decades, the molecular mechanism and physiological functions of neddylation still not well characterized.
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2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

As demonstrated by a series of recent publications [14-25] and summarized in a comprehensive review [26], to develop a really useful predictor for PTMs sites, one needs to follow Chou’s 5-step rule: (a) collect valid PTMs sites to train the predictor; (b) encode the PTMs sites by effective feature extraction that can reflect their sequential pattern; (c) develop a robust algorithm to conduct the prediction; (d) properly perform cross-validation tests to objectively evaluate the effectiveness of the predictor; (e) establish a user-friendly and publicly accessible web-server for the predictor. Below, let us elaborate on how to deal with these five steps.

2.1. Dataset

Yavuz’s training dataset, validation dataset and independent test dataset [13] were used to train and assess NedPred. The training dataset consisted of 34 experimentally verified neddylysis sites and 687 non-neddylysis sites; the validation dataset consisted of 6 neddylysis sites and 115 non-neddylysis sites; and the independent test dataset consisted of 11 neddylysis sites and 229 non-neddylysis sites. According to Yavuz’s work and our trials (section 3.1), the window size was selected as 21. The neddylysed peptides were used as positive samples, while the non-neddylysed peptides were used as negative samples. The training dataset and the independent test dataset are provided in Supplementary material S1.

2.2. Feature Extraction

It is well-known that how to express a biological sequence with a discrete model or a vector is one of the most difficult problems in computational biology. This is because the machine learning algorithms (such as “Optimization” algorithm [27], “Covariance Discriminant” algorithm [28, 29], “Nearest Neighbor” algorithm [30], and “Support Vector Machine” algorithm [31]) can only handle vectors [32]. To avoid completely losing the sequence-pattern information for proteins, the pseudo amino acid composition (PseAAC) [26, 33] was proposed. The PseAAC has been widely used in the areas of bioinformatics [34-44]. As PseAAC has been widely and increasingly used, four powerful open-access software, called ‘PseAAC’ [45], ‘PseAAC-Builder’ [46], ‘Propy’ [47], and ‘PseAAC-General’ [48], were established to generate pseudo amino acid composition features. The former three are for generating various modes of Chou’s special PseAAC [49]; while PseAAC-General is for those of Chou’s general PseAAC such as “Functional Domain” mode, “Gene Ontology” mode, and “Sequential Evolution” or “PSSM” mode [26]. Encouraged by the successes of using PseAAC to deal with protein/peptide sequences, the concept of PseKNC (Pseudo K-tuple Nucleotide Composition) [50] was developed for generating various feature vectors for DNA/RNA sequences [51-53]. Particularly, recently a very powerful web-server called ‘Pse-in-One’ [54] and its updated version ‘Pse-in-One2.0’ [55] have been established that can be used to generate any desired feature vectors for protein/peptide and DNA/RNA sequences according to the need of users’ studies.

Bi-profile bayes (BPB) is an effective feature coding method which can be covered by the general PseAAC. The BPB coding has been applied to many bioinformatics problems [56-60]. Here, BPB was used to encode neddylysis sites. Given a sequence fragment \( S = s_1s_2...s_n \), where \( s_j (j = 1, 2,..., n) \) stands for one amino acid and \( n \) denotes the length of the sequence fragment. \( S \) belongs to category \( C_1 \) or \( C_2 \), where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) represent neddylysis sites and non-neddylysis sites, respectively. Based on Bayes’ rule, assume that \( s_j (j = 1, 2,..., n) \) are mutually independent, the posterior probability of \( S \) for \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) can be given by:

\[
P(c_1 | S) = P(S | c_1)P(c_1)/P(S) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(s_j | c_1)P(c_1)/P(S) \quad (1)
\]

\[
P(c_2 | S) = P(S | c_2)P(c_2)/P(S) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(s_j | c_2)P(c_2)/P(S) \quad (2)
\]

Therefore, (1) and (2) can be rewritten as:

\[
\log(P(c_1 | S)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(P(s_j | c_1)) - \log(P(S)) + \log(P(c_1)) \quad (3)
\]

\[
\log(P(c_2 | S)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(P(s_j | c_2)) - \log(P(S)) + \log(P(c_2)) \quad (4)
\]

Assume that \( P(c_1) = P(c_2) \), the decision function can be written as formula (5):

\[
f(S) = \text{sgn} (\log(P(c_1 | S)) - \log(P(c_1 | S))) = \text{sgn} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(P(s_j | c_1)) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(P(s_j | c_2)) \right) \quad (5)
\]

Based on the results of the literature [56], formula (5) can be rewritten as:

\[
f(S) = \text{sgn}(w \cdot p)
\]

where \( \text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ -1, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \) is weigh vector: \( p = (p_1, p_2,..., p_n, p_{n+1},... ,p_{2n}) \) is the posterior probability vector; \( p_1, p_2,..., p_n \) (\( p_{n+1}, p_{n+2},... ,p_{2n} \)) represent the posterior probability of each amino acid at each position in the category \( C_1 \) (C2). In this study, the posterior probability \( p \) was given by the frequency of each amino acid in the training peptides. Therefore, every training peptide was encoded by BPB encoding as 42-dimensional vectors.

2.3. Fuzzy Support Vector Machine

As one of the effective machine learning algorithms, SVM has been used in the detection of protein PTMs sites, such as succinylation sites [61], glycation sites [62], crotonylation sites [63], propionylation sites [38] and citrullination sites [9]. In the standard SVM model, each training sample was assigned to the same weight. However, there may be some noisy samples in the training dataset. Therefore, it is more reasonable to assign different weight values to different samples based on their importance and imbalance than to assign the same weight value. Here, the fuzzy SVM model was used to construct the classifier.
To facilitate the description, the training set is denoted as \((x_i, t_i), i = 1, 2, ..., l\). Assume that the first \(p\) training examples are positive (i.e., \(t_i = 1, i = 1, 2, ..., p\)), and the rest \(l - p\) training examples are negative (i.e., \(t_i = -1, i = p + 1, p + 2, ..., l\)). The fuzzy SVM can be formulated as follows:

\[
\min_{\omega, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \| \omega \|^2 + C^+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \xi_i - C^- \sum_{i=p+1}^{l} \xi_i \\
\text{s.t.} \, t_i (\omega \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i
\]

where \(C^+\) and \(C^-\) are the penalty factors; \(\xi_i\) (\(i = 1, 2, ..., l\)) are slack variables, and \(\Phi(x)\) is the non-linear mapping; \(s^+_i\) and \(s^-_i\) are the fuzzy memberships.

As described in the literature [64], in this study, the fuzzy memberships are defined as follows:

\[
s^+_i = 1 - \frac{d^{\text{cross}}_i}{\max_j (d^{\text{cross}}_j) + \delta}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., p
\]

\[
s^-_i = 1 - \frac{d^{\text{cross}}_i}{\max_j (d^{\text{cross}}_j) + \delta}, \quad i = p + 1, p + 2, ..., l
\]

where \(d^{\text{cross}}_i = \| x_i - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j \|\), \(d^{\text{norm}}_i = \| x_i - \frac{1}{l-p} \sum_{j=p+1}^{l} x_j \|\); \(\delta\) is a very small positive value guaranteeing the value of fuzzy membership always higher than zero. The observation was treated more important and assigned higher fuzzy membership values when they were closer to their class center; whereas the observation was treated as less important (such as noises or outliers) and assigned lower fuzzy membership values when they were farther away from their class center.

Based on the results reported by Batuwita and Palade [65], to handle the problem of class imbalance in the prediction, the penalty factors \(C^+\) and \(C^-\) were set to \((l-p)C\) and \(C\), respectively. The Gaussian kernel function

\[
K(x, x_i) = \Phi(x)^T \Phi(x_i) = \exp(-\gamma \| x - x_i \|^2)
\]

is used in the fuzzy SVM [38, 63] The kernel parameter \(\gamma\) was selected from \(\{2^{-10}, 2^{-9}, \ldots, 2^{0}\}\); penalty parameters \(C\) was selected from \(\{2^0, 2^1, \ldots, 2^5\}\); the Libsvm-weights-3.20 package [66] was used to implement the fuzzy SVM models.

### 2.4. Cross-validation and Performance Assessment

K-fold cross-validation test, jackknife test and independent dataset test are often adopted to evaluate the performance of a predictor. As the jackknife test can always yield a unique result for a given training dataset, it is the most objective and least arbitrary among the above three test methods [26]. However, to reduce computational time, the 10-fold cross-validation was adopted to evaluate our model. Here, the 10-fold cross-validation is repeated 10 times.

Five widely-accepted measurements, including sensitivity (Sn), specificity (Sp), accuracy (ACC) and Matthew’s correlation coefficient (MCC) and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC), were used to evaluate prediction performances of NedPred in. In accordance with Eq. (14) [18], they are defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sn} &= 1 - \frac{N^+}{N^-} \\
\text{Sp} &= 1 - \frac{N^-}{N^+} \\
\text{ACC} &= 1 - \frac{(N^- + N^+)(N^+ + N^-)}{(N^- + N^+)(N^- + N^+)(N^- + N^+)(N^- + N^+)}
\end{align*}
\]

Where \(N^+\) is the total number of the neddylation sites investigated, while \(N^-\) is the number of the sites incorrectly predicted as the non-neddylation sites, and \(N^\text{ned}\) is the total number of the non-neddylation sites investigated, while \(N^\text{nned}\) is the number of the non-neddylation sites incorrectly predicted as the neddylation sites. The AUC can measure the overall performance of a given prediction system. The closer the AUC is to 1, the better the prediction system is.

Either the set of conventional metrics copied from math books or the intuitive metrics derived from the Chou’s symbols [67-69] are valid only for the single-label systems. For the multi-label systems, whose existence has become more frequent in system biology [70-75] system medicine [76, 77], and biomedicine [17], a completely different set of metrics, as previously defined [78] is needed.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Performance of NedPred

The optimal parameters (window size, penalty factor \(C\) and kernel parameter \(\gamma\)) of the proposed model were determined by the highest AUC value in 10-fold cross-validation performances. The proposed model achieved the highest AUC value of 0.9769 when using the window size 21, \(C = 2^5\) and \(\gamma = 0.5\). Therefore, the optimal window size was selected as 21. As shown in Table 1, the predicted Sn, Sp, ACC and MCC values were 79.41%, 97.09%, 97.09%, and 0.7082, respectively. Moreover, NedPred was also implemented by the jackknife test with the optimal parameter obtained in the 10-fold cross-validation. NedPred also achieved a satisfactory performance with a Se of 79.41%, an Sp of 97.09%, an ACC of 96.26%, an MCC of 0.6569 and an AUC of 0.9789.

To assess the performance of the fuzzy SVM, it was compared with the standard SVM and biased SVM [65]. The comparison results of the above SVM algorithms were shown in Table 2. The fuzzy SVM reached the highest Sn, ACC and MCC values of 79.41%, 97.09% and 0.7082, respectively. Although the Sp value of the standard SVM (99.56%) was slightly higher than that of the fuzzy SVM (97.96%), the Sn value of the standard SVM (44.12%) was much lower than that of the standard SVM (79.41%). In short, the fuzzy SVM showed better results as compared with standard SVM and biased SVM.
Table 1. The 10-fold cross-validation results of NeddPred with different window sizes.

| Window Size | Sn(%) | Sp(%) | ACC(%) | MCC  | AUC  |
|-------------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|
| 11          | 76.47 | 93.01 | 92.23  | 0.4853 | 0.9331 |
| 13          | 73.53 | 91.27 | 90.43  | 0.4259 | 0.9096 |
| 15          | 67.65 | 93.89 | 92.65  | 0.4554 | 0.9329 |
| 17          | 76.47 | 97.96 | 96.95  | 0.6893 | 0.9592 |
| 19          | 73.53 | 96.80 | 95.70  | 0.6039 | 0.9592 |
| 21          | 79.41 | 97.96 | 97.09  | 0.7082 | 0.9769 |
| 23          | 76.47 | 97.82 | 96.81  | 0.6800 | 0.9756 |
| 25          | 79.41 | 97.09 | 96.26  | 0.6569 | 0.9723 |
| 27          | 82.35 | 95.78 | 95.15  | 0.6138 | 0.9721 |

Table 2. Comparison of fuzzy SVM with standard SVM and biased SVM.

| Method      | Sn  | Sp  | ACC  | MCC  | AUC  |
|-------------|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Standard SVM| 44.12 | 99.56 | 96.95 | 0.5936 | 0.9747 |
| Biased SVM  | 79.41 | 97.38 | 96.53 | 0.6729 | 0.9716 |
| Fuzzy SVM   | 79.41 | 97.96 | 97.09 | 0.7082 | 0.9769 |

3.2. Comparison of BPB with Other Feature Extraction Technologies

To demonstrate the effectiveness of BPB, it was compared with the most widely used feature extraction technologies in computational biology, including amino acid composition (AAC) [79], split amino acid composition (SplitAAC) [80], amino acid factors (AAF) [81], binary encoding (BE) [82] and composition of k-space amino acid pairs (CKSAAP) [83]. For comparison, CKSAAP with k=0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 was performed, and the peptide in SplitAAC was divided into three parts: 7 amino acids of N termini, 7 amino acids of C termini, and the region between these two termini. The performance of 10-fold cross-validation with various features was shown in Table 3. The model with BPB reached the highest value of AUC. The results indicated that BPB encoding is more effective for extracting the sequence information around the neddylation sites than other encoding schemes.

3.3. Comparison of NeddPred with Existing Predictor

At present, only one predictor named NeddyPreddy [13] was proposed for the prediction of neddylation sites. It is considered that NeddPred and NeddyPreddy were both trained on Yavuz’s dataset [13] which contained 34 neddylation sites and 687 non-neddylation sites. It is interesting to compare NeddPred with NeddyPreddy. As shown in Table 4, NeddPred outperforms NeddyPreddy significantly, whether on the training dataset, validation set and independent test set. For example, NeddPred revealed about 26% higher MCC than NeddyPreddy. These results showed that NeddPred can predict more reliable neddylation sites from protein sequences than NeddyPreddy. The ROC curves for NeddPred by 10-fold cross-validation, jackknife test, validation set test and independent test are shown in Fig. (1). The results indicated that NeddPred can be an effective predictor for the prediction of neddylation sites. There are two factors for the improvement of NeddPred. One is the fuzzy SVM that can effectively handle the problem of the noise in the prediction of neddylation sites. Another factor is that the BPB feature used in NeddPred outperforms sequence properties, position-specific scoring matrices, and disorder used in NeddyPreddy.

3.4. Prediction Server of NeddPred

As pointed out previously [84], user-friendly and publically accessible web-servers are the future direction for developing useful bioinformatics tools [85-89]. To provide convenience for the experimental scientists, NeddPred has been implemented as a web-server which was trained on all available data (training data, validation data and independent testing data, i.e., 34+6+11=51 neddylation sites and 687+115+229=1031 non-neddylation sites) using the optimal parameters (window size 21, C = 2^4 and γ = 0.5). The web-server for NeddPred is now available at http://123.206.31.171/NeddPred/. As shown in Fig. (2), users can enter query protein sequences (FASTA) or batch-upload the query protein sequences (FASTA) as a file for the prediction. The CKSAAP_NeddSite server will output a CSV-formatted file with prediction results.
Table 3. The predictive performance of 10-fold cross-validation using various training features.

| Feature | Sn(%)  | Sp(%)  | ACC(%) | MCC(%) | AUC(%) |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| AAC     | 77.73  | 38.24  | 75.87  | 0.0804 | 0.6319 |
| SplitAAC| 44.12  | 88.21  | 86.13  | 0.2017 | 0.7096 |
| AAF     | 23.53  | 99.71  | 96.12  | 0.4212 | 0.6649 |
| BE      | 26.47  | 99.27  | 95.84  | 0.3955 | 0.6912 |
| CKSAAP  | 32.35  | 96.94  | 93.90  | 0.3015 | 0.7717 |
| BPB     | 79.41  | 97.96  | 97.09  | 0.7082 | 0.9769 |

Table 4. Comparison of NeddPred with NeddyReddy under different evaluation strategies.

| Method       | Evaluation Strategy | Sn     | Sp     | ACC   | MCC   | AUC   |
|--------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| NeddyReddy² | 10-fold cross-validation | 0.76   | 0.91   | 0.91  | 0.45  | 0.95  |
| NeddPred     |                      | 0.7941 | 0.9796 | 0.909 | 0.7082| 0.9769|
| NeddyReddy² | Validation set       | 0.67   | 0.91   | 0.90  | 0.39  | 0.83  |
| NeddPred     |                      | 1.00   | 0.9913 | 0.9917| 0.9218| 1.00  |
| NeddyReddy² | Independent testing set | 0.64   | 0.91   | 0.90  | 0.35  | 0.80  |
| NeddPred     |                      | 1.00   | 0.9520 | 0.9542| 0.6899| 1.00  |

² The corresponding results were obtained from the literature (Yavuz et al., 2015).

Fig. (1). The ROC curves of NeddPred with different evaluation strategies. (A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).
Table 5. The 42 BPB features ranked by the F-score method.

| Order | Amino Acid Position | F-score | Order | Amino Acid Position | F-score |
|-------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| 1     | Pos_8\(^i\)         | 0.5889  | 22    | Neg_-1             | 0.0995  |
| 2     | Pos_-4              | 0.3916  | 23    | Pos_-9             | 0.0885  |
| 3     | Pos_-3              | 0.3843  | 24    | Neg_1              | 0.0832  |
| 4     | Pos_1               | 0.3752  | 25    | Pos_6              | 0.0744  |
| 5     | Pos_-2              | 0.3665  | 26    | Neg_10             | 0.0521  |
| 6     | Pos_-7              | 0.3549  | 27    | Neg_-5             | 0.0229  |
| 7     | Pos_-5              | 0.3474  | 28    | Neg_3              | 0.0195  |
| 8     | Pos_-1              | 0.3353  | 29    | Neg_-10            | 0.0124  |
| 9     | Pos_7               | 0.3276  | 30    | Neg_-4             | 0.0092  |
| 10    | Pos_-10             | 0.2673  | 31    | Neg_9              | 0.0063  |
| 11    | Pos_5               | 0.2653  | 32    | Neg_2              | 0.0056  |
| 12    | Pos_10              | 0.2608  | 33    | Neg_6              | 0.0023  |
| 13    | Pos_-6              | 0.2416  | 34    | Neg_-6             | 0.0012  |
| 14    | Pos_-4              | 0.2403  | 35    | Neg_-8             | 0.0009  |
| 15    | Pos_2               | 0.2290  | 36    | Neg_-2             | 0.0005  |
| 16    | Pos_3               | 0.2105  | 37    | Neg_4              | 0.0005  |
| 17    | Pos_-8              | 0.2005  | 38    | Neg_-7             | 0.0004  |
| 18    | Pos_9               | 0.1940  | 39    | Neg_-9             | 0.0003  |
| 19    | Neg_-3              | 0.1856  | 40    | Neg_5              | 0.0000  |
| 20    | Neg_7               | 0.1383  | 41    | Pos_0              | -1.0000 |
| 21    | Neg_8               | 0.1133  | 42    | Neg_0              | -1.0000 |

\(^i\)Pos_\(i\) and Neg_\(j\) mean position \(i\) in neddylated peptides and position \(j\) in non-neddylated peptides, respectively.
3.5. The Significant Features

As previously described, every lysine site in neddylated proteins was encoded as a 42-dimensional vector through BPB encoding. To clarify the contribution of different features for the prediction of neddylation sites, we used the F-score feature selection method to rank the 42 BPB features [90] (Table 5). The higher the F-score of a feature is, the more important a feature will be.

Moreover, the position-specific residue composition of lysine-centric peptides was characterized by Two-Sample-Logo [91] in Fig. (3). As shown in Table 5 and Fig. (3), the ‘Pos. 8’ feature was ranked at the top of the 42 BPB features, which imply that asparagine residue in position 8 around neddylation sites may play a key role in the identification of neddylation sites. The residues in positions (-4, -3, 1 and -2) around neddylation sites may play a relatively important role. The 42 BPB features ranked by the F-score may provide clues for deciphering the molecular mechanisms of neddylation.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, a bioinformatics tool named NeddPred was developed to identify neddylation sites using BPB encoding and fuzzy SVM. Experimental results showed that NeddPred yielded better performance than the existing neddylation sites predictor. Therefore, NeddPred will be a useful predictor for the accurate identification of neddylation sites. To provide convenience for researchers to study neddylation, a web-server for NeddPred was established. Feature analysis shows that BPB features at some positions may play a key role in the prediction of neddylation sites.
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