A Randomized Trial Comparing Effect of Yoga and Exercises on Quality of Life in among nursing population with Chronic Low Back Pain

Abstract

Background: Chronic low back pain (CLBP) adversely affects quality of life (QOL) in nursing professionals. Integrated yoga has a positive impact on CLBP. Studies assessing the effects of yoga on CLBP in nursing population are lacking. Aim: This study was conducted to evaluate the effects of integrated yoga and physical exercises on QOL in nurses with CLBP. Methods: A total of 88 women nurses from a tertiary care hospital of South India were randomized into yoga group ($n = 44$; age $31.45 \pm 3.47$ years) and physical exercise group ($n = 44$; age $32.75 \pm 3.71$ years). Yoga group was intervened with integrated yoga therapy module practices, 1 h/day and 5 days a week for 6 weeks. Physical exercise group practiced a set of physical exercises for the same duration. All participants were assessed at baseline and after 6 weeks with the World Health Organization Quality of Life-Brief (WHOQOL-BREF) questionnaire. Results: Data were analyzed by Paired-samples t-test and Independent-samples t-test for within- and between-group comparisons, respectively, using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Within-group analysis for QOL revealed a significant improvement in physical, psychological, and social domains (except environmental domain) in both groups. Between-group analysis showed a higher percentage of improvement in yoga as compared to exercise group except environmental domain. Conclusions: Integrated yoga showed improvements in physical, psychological, and social health domains of QOL better than physical exercises among nursing professionals with CLBP. There is a need to incorporate yoga as lifestyle intervention for nursing professionals.
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Introduction

Nursing profession is the largest chunk of health-care professionals.[1] Physical, psychological, and psychosocial challenges contribute to musculoskeletal disorders among nurses. Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is the most common musculoskeletal disorder among the nurses. It is reported that 63%–86% of nursing professionals suffer from LBP in their lifetime.[1,2] CLBP in nurses is multifactorial, and the risk factors pertain to lifestyle, physical, psychological, psychosocial, and occupational domains, namely, age, gender, physical status, smoking, workplace stress, awkward postures, poor ergonomics, carrying and repositioning of patients, prolonged standing, night shifts, working without sufficient breaks, and psychological stress are important causative/risk factors for CLBP in nurses. Nurses are required to lift and transport patients or equipment, often in difficult environment particularly in developing nations where lifting aids are not always available or practicable. These multiple factors contribute toward higher prevalence of CLBP in this population.[3] CLBP is one of the main concerns, which negatively impacts the quality of life (QOL) leading to reduced work productivity, absenteeism, and disabilities among nurses.[4] Harrington and Gill stated that LBP is the most common cause of early retirement on grounds of ill health, sickness absenteeism, job changes, and a fall in the work speed among the working population.

Especially for young nurses, the mental demands of work have a critical influence on their QOL and workability.[5] QOL measurements are being used increasingly relevant in the evaluation of disease progression, treatment, and the management of musculoskeletal disorders. QOL is recognized as a concept representing individual responses to the physical, mental, and social effects of illness on daily life in among nursing population with chronic low back pain. Int J Yoga 2018;11:208-14.
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Yoga has emerged as a popular mind-body therapy for CLBP as suggested by emerging scientific literature across the globe. Yoga adopts a multifaceted approach utilizing practices at body (postures), breath (breathing techniques), and mind levels (meditation and relaxation techniques), respectively. According to national surveys, yoga practice and research have increased exponentially and in the last decade with over 10 million Americans practicing yoga for health reasons in 2002 and over 13 million in 2007. Literature review reveals that viniyoga, hatha yoga, Iyengar yoga, and integrated yoga are the most commonly used forms to treat LBP.

In a systematic review, Chou and Huffman concluded that there was a fair evidence reflecting efficacy of yoga therapy in subacute or CLBP. In another similar review which included four randomized controlled trials (RCTs), it was observed that the intervention by Iyengar yoga and viniyoga for a period of 12–24 weeks was beneficial in CLBP. Yet, another meta-analysis consisting of eight RCTs by Cramer et al. found strong evidence for short-term effectiveness (pain, back-specific disability, and global improvement parameters) and moderate evidences (back-specific disability) for long-term effectiveness of yoga on CLBP. Yoga was not found to be associated with serious adverse events.

A study by Tekur et al. had observed usefulness of yoga intervention in improving QOL in patients with CLBP. However, this study was used in general population with intense residential yoga intervention. We did not come across any study that has assessed the same in nursing population with an OPD or outdoor setup intervention (1 h/day). As discussed earlier, nursing population is more prone for CLBP due to specific demands of the occupation.

Thus, the present randomized controlled study was planned to compare the effect of integrated yoga and physical exercise of similar intensity on QOL of nurses suffering from LBP.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

This study was conducted among nursing population, who were diagnosed by an orthopedician to be suffering from CLBP. Participants were working in the tertiary care teaching hospital in Kolar district of Karnataka state in India. They were randomly divided into two groups: yoga (n = 44; age – 31.45 ± 3.47 years) and physical exercise (n = 44; age – 32.75 ± 3.71 years) using random number generator (www.randomizer.org). Participants in the two groups did not differ much in relation to their age, education, or duration of illness between the groups as shown in Table 1.

Two groups’ randomized controlled single-blind design was followed with participants from both the groups (yoga and exercise) receiving intervention for 6 weeks. Assessments for QOL were performed at two points of time at baseline and after 6 weeks of interventions. The statistician and the interviewer were unaware of the allocation status of the participants.

The inclusion requirements were as follows: (a) female nurses with diagnosis of either nonspecific LBP, lumbar spondylosis, or intervertebral disc prolapse, suffering from LBP for 3 months or more as diagnosed by an orthopedician and (b) knowledge of English, Hindi, and Kannada language. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) pain due to organic causes such as infective and inflammatory conditions, metabolic disorders, and posttraumatic condition, (b) patients with degenerative disorders of muscles, (c) patients with comorbid cardiac or neuropsychiatric illness, (d) history of major surgery or injury in the past, (e) pregnant women, and (f) patients with neurological complications of CLBP.

Written informed consent was taken from all the participants before the study and Institutional Ethical Clearance was obtained.

**Study profile**

From January 2015 to December 2016, nurses were screened and referred by the orthopedician. Out of 176 nurses referred for the study, 88 satisfied the study criteria.

| Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical variables comparison between yoga and exercises |
| Variables | Yoga | Exercises |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|
| Number of participants (only female) | 44 | 44 |
| Age (mean±SD) | 31.45±3.47 | 32.75±3.71 |
| Education | | |
| ANM | 8 | 3 |
| GNM | 28 | 32 |
| Bachelor of nursing | 8 | 9 |
| CLBP | | |
| 3 months-1 year | 34 | 37 |
| >1 year | 10 | 07 |
| Causes | | |
| Nonspecific/muscle spasm | 37 | 35 |
| Lumbar spondylosis | 6 | 3 |
| Intervertebral disc prolapse | 4 | 3 |

SD=Standard deviation, ANM=Auxiliary nursing midwifery, GNM=General nursing midwifery, CLBP=Chronic low back pain
Informed consent was obtained. Baseline assessments were done, and they were randomly allocated to yoga (n = 44) and control (n = 44) groups. They underwent intervention (either integrated yoga or physical exercise) for 6 weeks; repeat assessments were performed on both groups. There were no dropouts in the study. Figure 1 provides a flow diagram of the study profile.

Materials

Assessment

The World Health Organization Quality of Life-brief (WHOQOL-BREF) questionnaire English and Kannada version was used to assess the QOL of the participants.

WHOQOL-BREF developed by the WHO is a standardized comprehensive instrument for assessment of QOL comprising 26 items. The scale provides a measure of an individual’s perception of QOL on four domains: (1) physical health (seven items), (2) psychological health (six items), (3) social relationships (three items), and (4) environmental health (eight items). In addition, it also includes two questions for “overall QOL” and “general health” facets. The domain scores are scaled in a positive direction (i.e., higher scores denote higher QOL). The range of scores is 4–20 for each domain. The internal consistency of WHOQOL-BREF ranged from 0.66 to 0.87 (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient). The scale has been found to have good discriminant validity. It has good test–retest reliability and is recommended for use in health surveys and to assess the efficacy of any intervention at suitable intervals according to the need of the study.[19,20]

**Intervention**

Integrated approach of yoga therapy (IAYT) is based on the basic principle that there are five layers of the existence to human beings, namely, Annamaya Kosa (physical level), Pranamaya Kosa (subtle energy level), Manomaya Kosa (emotional level), Vijnanamaya Kosa (level of intellect), and Anandamaya Kosa (level of bliss). Yogic pathophysiology propounds that the disturbances at the emotional level (adhi) percolate to the physical level (vyadhi) through the layer of prana. Furthermore, all layers are interrelated and they affect each other indirectly. The IAYT is an approach which consists in not only dealing with physical layer but also includes using techniques to operate on different layers of our existence. The practices at body level (Annamaya Kosa) include yogasanas, loosening practices, at subtle energy level (Pranamaya Kosa) include breathing practices and pranayama, and at the mind level (Manomaya Kosa) are meditations and relaxation techniques.

A 1-h integrated yoga therapy module (IYTM) was designed after reviewing the literature in the field of yoga and LBP by utilizing the components of yoga at the body, subtle energy, and mind level, respectively. The designed IYTM was validated by subject experts.[21] Tekur et al. used as a similar intervention in an earlier study.[22] This yoga module was practiced 5 days a week for 6 weeks. The details of yoga practice are provided in Table 2.

| List of practices in IYTM for CLBP | List of physical exercises |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Supta udarakarshanasana (folded leg lumbar stretch) | Standing hamstring stretch |
| Shava udarakarshanasana (crossed leg lumbar stretch) | Cat and camel |
| Pavanamuktasana (wind-releasing pose) | Pelvic tilt |
| Setu bandhasana breathing (bridge pose lumbar stretch) | Partial curl |
| Vyaghrasana (tiger breathing) | Piriformis stretch |
| Bhujangasana (serpent pose) | Extension exercise |
| Shalabhasana breathing (locust pose) | Quadriceps leg raising |
| Uttanapadasana (straight leg raise pose) | Trunk rotation |
| Ardha kati chakrasana (lateral arc pose) | Double knee to chest |
| Ardha chakrasana (half wheel pose) | Bridging |
| Quick relaxation techniques | Hook lying march |
| Nadi shuddhi (alternate nostril breathing) | Single knee to chest stretch |
| Bhramari (humming bee breath) | Lumbar rotation |
| Nadanusandhana (A, U, M, AUM chanting) | Press up |
| Deep relaxation technique | Curl ups |
| Laghoo shankhaprakshalana (yogic colon cleansing) (weekly once) | |

IYTM=Integrated yoga therapy module, CLBP=Chronic low back pain

---

**Table 2: Intervention: Integrated yoga therapy module versus physical exercises**

**Statistical Analysis**

**Report writing**

---

**Figure 1: Trail profile**
Control group intervention

Control group practiced physical exercise of similar intensity as IYTM for the same duration and frequency as shown in Table 2 provides the details of control intervention.

Data collection

Data were taken at the same time of the day on the 1st and 43rd day. Orientation to yoga program was given to the participants for 3 days, and then on the next day, predata collection was done after satisfactory performance. WHOQOL-BREF assessments were done on day 1 and day 43 (after 6 weeks). A trained psychologist assisted in data collection.

Data analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) - (Version 21.0., Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used for all analyses. Data of all four domains were normally distributed on Shapiro–Wilk test. Hence, the parametric tests were used. “Paired-samples t-test” and “Independent-samples t-test” were used to analyze within- and between-group data, respectively.

Results

Within-group comparisons in yoga group

Within-group pre- and postcomparison showed that, after the yoga intervention, there was a significant improvement in three domains of WHOQOL-BREF, namely, physical \((P < 0.01)\), psychological \((P < 0.01)\), and social \((P < 0.01)\) with a trend of insignificant positive impact in environmental domain \((P = 0.07)\) [Table 3].

Within-group comparisons in exercise group

Similar to yoga group, exercise group also showed a significant improvement in three domains, namely, physical \((P < 0.01)\), psychological \((P < 0.01)\), and social \((P < 0.01)\) with no significant difference in the environmental domain \((P = 0.95)\) [Table 4].

Between-group comparisons in yoga versus control group

Preintervention data

There was a no significant difference between the yoga and control groups at the baseline for all the four domains of WHOQOL-BREF: (a) physical \((P = 0.296)\), (b) psychological \((P = 0.987)\), (c) social \((P = 0.661)\), and (d) environmental \((P = 0.904)\) as shown in Table 5.

Postintervention data

There was a significant difference between the yoga and control groups after the intervention in the following domains of WHOQOL-BREF: (a) physical \((P < 0.01)\), (b) psychological \((P < 0.01)\), and (c) social \((P < 0.01)\) with the scores of yoga group being higher than those of the control group for all the three domains, respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups for environmental domains \((P = 0.249)\).

---

### Table 3: Within yoga group (pre and post) comparison of World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF scores

| Variables          | Pre/post | Yoga group | Mean±SD | Percentage change | \(P\)  |
|--------------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| Physical domain QOL| Pre      | Yoga       | 41.27±6.603 | 44.12              | <0.001|
|                    | Post     | Yoga       | 59.48±9.041 |                    |       |
| Psychological domain QOL | Pre | Yoga       | 34.91±5.356 | 97.07              | <0.001|
|                    | Post     | Yoga       | 68.80±13.428 |                  |       |
| Social domain QOL  | Pre      | Yoga       | 43.07±12.705 | 55.02              | <0.001|
|                    | Post     | Yoga       | 67.77±12.004 |                  |       |
| Environmental domain QOL | Pre | Yoga       | 55.70±5.325 | 2.81               | 0.078 |
|                    | Post     | Yoga       | 57.27±6.028 |                    |       |

QOL=Quality of life, SD=Standard deviation

### Table 4: Within exercise group (pre and post) comparison of World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF scores

| Variables          | Pre/post | Exercise group | Mean±SD | Percentage change | \(P\)  |
|--------------------|----------|----------------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| Physical domain QOL| Pre      | Exercise       | 39.82±6.377 | 25.33              | <0.005|
|                    | Post     | Exercise       | 49.91±8.575 |                    |       |
| Psychological domain QOL | Pre | Exercise       | 34.93±7.315 | 20.89              | <0.001|
|                    | Post     | Exercise       | 42.23±7.358 |                    |       |
| Social domain QOL  | Pre      | Exercise       | 44.09±8.757 | 14.49              | <0.001|
|                    | Post     | Exercise       | 50.48±8.609 |                    |       |
| Environmental domain QOL | Pre | Exercise       | 55.84±5.278 | 0.089              | 0.957 |
|                    | Post     | Exercise       | 55.89±5.136 |                    |       |

QOL=Quality of life, SD=Standard deviation

---

### Table 5: Between group (yoga vs. exercise) comparison of World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF scores

| Variables          | Pre/post | Group | Mean±SD | \(P\)  |
|--------------------|----------|-------|---------|-------|
| Physical domain QOL| Pre      | Yoga  | 41.27±6.603 | 0.296 |
|                    | Post     | Yoga  | 59.48±9.041 |       |
| Psychological domain QOL | Pre | Exercise | 34.91±5.356 | 0.987 |
|                    | Post     | Exercise | 49.91±8.575 |       |
| Social domain QOL  | Pre      | Yoga  | 43.07±12.705 | 0.661 |
|                    | Post     | Yoga  | 68.80±13.43 |       |
| Environmental domain QOL | Pre | Exercise | 55.70±5.325 | 0.904 |
|                    | Post     | Exercise | 57.27±6.03 | 0.249 |

with the scores of yoga group being higher than those of the control group for all the three domains, respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups for environmental domains \((P = 0.249)\).
Discussion

At the end of 6 weeks of intervention as mentioned before, we observed that both the groups showed significant improvements in physical, psychological, and social domains of WHOQOL-BREF, whereas the environmental domain did not show significant improvements in either of the groups. As compared to the control group, patients who performed yoga reported significantly higher scores on the psychological domain (yoga – 97.7% and control – 20.89%). It was further observed that percentage improvement in physical and social domains was higher in the yoga group as compared to the exercise group (physical domain: yoga – 44.12% vs. control – 25.33%; and social domain: yoga – 55.02% vs. control – 14.49%).

Previously, Tekur et al. demonstrated the usefulness of a 7 day intensive residential integrated yoga in improving QOL in 80 patients with CLBP in a highly controlled setting where patients were away from their occupational and other duties. They observed a significant improvement in all the four domains of WHOQOL-BREF in the yoga-based lifestyle module as compared to physical exercise-based lifestyle change module. One of the limitations with such trials is that they are not practical for working young nursing population and difficult to replicate such studies. In our study, we used 1-h yoga program which included all major components of yoga therapy, namely, asanas, pranayama, and relaxation. The exercise group also followed similar duration and frequency of intervention. We also observed improvement in physical, psychological, and social domains in both the groups but not in the environmental domain. The percentage improvements were higher in yoga group than the exercise group for physical, psychological, and social domains, respectively. This may be because the intervention offered by Tekur et al. was much more intensive than ours and the residential setup involved exposure to such an environment which was significantly different from the workplace. We performed this research in much more pragmatic setup and observed similar outcomes.

Underlying mechanism of integrated yoga therapy module

The probable mechanism of action of yoga may be through improvement of autonomic functions through triggering neurohormonal mechanisms that suppress sympathetic activity through downregulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. Mindfulness-based practices may also enhance cognitive flexibility, which may further reduce stress, anxiety, and pain, thereby improving QOL. Additionally, the cellular effects of mechanical and fluid pressure on structures such as cartilage suggest that yoga postures might alter joint function. Low levels of intermittent fluid pressure, as occur during joint distraction, have been shown in vitro to decrease production of catabolic cytokines, such as interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor. Yoga may be one way to provide the motion and forces on joints needed to preserve integrity. In addition, pranayama, meditations, and relaxation techniques following yogasanas help to relax joints and muscles, reduce oxidative stress, and calm the mind. This study implicates a probable role of integrated yoga therapy in the management of patients suffering from CLBP.

In a cross-sectional study on 501 nurses from different hospitals of Turkey, it was observed that there was a positive correlation between QOL as assessed by WHOQOL-BREF and job satisfaction (assessed using Short-Form Minnesota Questionnaire). Similarly, another cross-sectional study on 435 female nurses from five regional centers in Taiwan revealed that associations between scores on the sleep-quality and QOL scales were statistically significantly inversely correlated. Another survey on 1534 nursing professionals from eight different hospitals in Taiwan found that improved QOL of nurses translated into better workability (which may indirectly contribute to better health-care service delivery to the patients). In the above study, it was also observed that mental demands of work were a critical influence on QOL and workability, especially in young nursing professionals. The authors further recommended countermeasures such as enhancing the ability to cope with the job’s mental demands for improving and maintaining the workability of nurses.

Yoga may be considered one such intervention which has been found useful in enhancing the ability to cope with mental demands and thereby improve QOL and workability of nurses. An anonymous E-mail survey was conducted between April and June 2010 of North American nurses interested in mind-body training to reduce stress. Of the 342 respondents, 96% were women and 92% were Caucasian. Most (73%) reported one or more health conditions, notably anxiety (49%), back pain (41%), gastrointestinal problems such as irritable bowel syndrome (34%), or depression (33%). Their median occupational stress level was 4 (0 = none and 5 = extreme stress). Nearly all (99%) reported already using one or more mind-body practices to reduce stress. The most common mind-body practices used by the nurses were as follows: intercessory prayer (86%), breath-focused meditation (49%), healing or therapeutic touch (39%), yoga/tao chi/qi gong (34%), or mindfulness-based meditation (18%). The greatest expected benefits were for greater spiritual well-being (56%); serenity, calm, or inner peace (54%); better mood (51%); more compassion (50%); or better sleep (42%).

Underlying mechanism of integrated yoga therapy module

The probable mechanism of action of yoga may be through improvement of autonomic functions through triggering neurohormonal mechanisms that suppress sympathetic activity through downregulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. Mindfulness-based practices may also enhance cognitive flexibility, which may further reduce stress, anxiety, and pain, thereby improving QOL. Furthermore, the cellular effects of mechanical and fluid pressure on structures such as cartilage suggest that yoga postures might alter joint function. Low levels of intermittent fluid pressure, as occur during joint distraction, have been shown in vitro to decrease production of catabolic cytokines, such as interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor. Yoga may be one way to provide the motion and forces on joints needed to preserve integrity. In addition, pranayama, meditations, and relaxation techniques following yogasanas help to relax joints and muscles, reduce oxidative stress, and calm the mind. This study implicates a probable role of integrated yoga therapy in the management of patients suffering from CLBP.

In a cross-sectional study on 501 nurses from different hospitals of Turkey, it was observed that there was a positive correlation between QOL as assessed by WHOQOL-BREF and job satisfaction (assessed using Short-Form Minnesota Questionnaire). Similarly, another cross-sectional study on 435 female nurses from five regional centers in Taiwan revealed that associations between scores on the sleep-quality and QOL scales were statistically significantly inversely correlated. Another survey on 1534 nursing professionals from eight different hospitals in Taiwan found that improved QOL of nurses translated into better workability (which may indirectly contribute to better health-care service delivery to the patients). In the above study, it was also observed that mental demands of work were a critical influence on QOL and workability, especially in young nursing professionals. The authors further recommended countermeasures such as enhancing the ability to cope with the job’s mental demands for improving and maintaining the workability of nurses.

Yoga may be considered one such intervention which has been found useful in enhancing the ability to cope with mental demands and thereby improve QOL and workability of nurses. An anonymous E-mail survey was conducted between April and June 2010 of North American nurses interested in mind-body training to reduce stress. Of the 342 respondents, 96% were women and 92% were Caucasian. Most (73%) reported one or more health conditions, notably anxiety (49%), back pain (41%), gastrointestinal problems such as irritable bowel syndrome (34%), or depression (33%). Their median occupational stress level was 4 (0 = none and 5 = extreme stress). Nearly all (99%) reported already using one or more mind-body practices to reduce stress. The most common mind-body practices used by the nurses were as follows: intercessory prayer (86%), breath-focused meditation (49%), healing or therapeutic touch (39%), yoga/tao chi/qi gong (34%), or mindfulness-based meditation (18%). The greatest expected benefits were for greater spiritual well-being (56%); serenity, calm, or inner peace (54%); better mood (51%); more compassion (50%); or better sleep (42%).
percentage of improvement in the yoga group compared to exercises therapy group may be credited to better reduction in stress, anxiety, and depression.[31,32]

Social domain of WHOQOL-BREF features questions relating to problems in interpersonal relationships and social support. Yoga also acts like cognitive behavioral therapy; this may be the reason for the superior impact of yoga intervention compared to physical exercises in nurses with CLBP.

Environmental domain deals with problems relating to financial resources, physical safety, and physical environment such as pollution, noise, and climate. As working environment remained same throughout, this might have been the reason, we did not able to notice any significant changes in the environmental domain in both the groups.

Thus, yoga appears to be an integrated therapeutic tool and feasible intervention for improving QOL in nursing professionals compared to physical exercise as it offers holistic approach.

The strengths of the study are as follows: (a) this multidisciplinary study encompasses the fields of yogic science, orthopedics, and psychology; (b) a large sample of 88 CLBP patients were enrolled for the study with no dropouts, (c) no earlier study has reported effect of integrated yoga intervention on QOL of nurses suffering from CLBP; (d) because the study involved a pragmatic approach, the acceptability and adherence to therapy were good; and (e) as yoga and control program was delivered through a standard protocol, it could be reproduced in the exact way for future interventions.

This study has a few limitations, namely: this study was a preliminary attempt to assess the response of nursing population suffering from CLBP, and future studies should incorporate more objective variables such as electromyography, radio-imaging, biochemical measures, and other advanced objective variables of autonomic functions.

Conclusions

IYTM improves physical, psychological, and social health domains of QOL among nursing professionals with CLBP more than the physical exercises. There is a need to incorporate yoga as lifestyle intervention for nursing professionals with CLBP.
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