Efficacy of an interventional educational programme in mitigating post-traumatic stress in parents who have witnessed a febrile seizure: a pilot before-and-after study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To measure post-traumatic stress in parents who have witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure and to assess the impact of workshops where information is dispensed, proper reactions are demonstrated and dialogue is encouraged on the mitigation of parental stress.

Design A pilot before-and-after study, with control group, using self-reported measures from the Impact of Event Scale-Revised.

Setting Data from two French participating centres.

Participants A total of 50 parents who witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure.

Intervention Parents selected themselves into either group 1: attending a workshop (intervention group), or group 2: no further management (control group).

Primary and secondary outcome measures (1) Parental post-traumatic stress was assessed by the Impact of Event Scale-Revised a second time at a minimum of 10 weeks after the seizure (after any management). A high risk of developing post-traumatic stress disorder was indicated by a score ≥33. (2) To assess the efficacy of workshops on the mitigation of parental stress, all parents self-completed the Impact of Event Scale-Revised a minimum of 4 weeks after the seizure.

Results Four weeks after the seizure, 76% of the total parents presented an Impact of Event Scale-Revised score ≥33. At 10 weeks after the seizure, the scores were 18.1 points lower (95% CI 11.66 to 24.61, P<0.0001) in group 1 versus only 5.51 points lower (95% CI 2.76 to 8.27, P=0.0003) in group 2 (intragroup comparison), and were significantly lower in group 1 compared with group 2 (intergroup comparison), P=0.02.

Conclusions Parents who have witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure are at high risk of developing post-traumatic stress disorder 4 weeks after the seizure. Our innovative workshops are associated with a significant mitigation of the parental post-traumatic stress.

INTRODUCTION

Simple febrile seizures are the most common seizures in children <60 months and are accompanied by fever of 38°C (100.4°F) without central nervous system infection. They are recognised by healthcare professionals as a benign condition with a good prognosis; however, they can be frightening for parents who may believe that their child is dying. Reassurance, education and distribution of information leaflets are important steps in management. In this context, we developed workshops led by a multidisciplinary medical team, which inform, advise and train the parents in case of seizure recurrence.

What is already known on this topic?

► Febrile seizures can be frightening for parents.
► In management, it is crucial to highlight that they are not life threatening and to distribute information leaflets.

What this study adds?

► More than three out of four parents who have witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure are at high risk of developing post-traumatic stress disorder.
► Parental post-traumatic stress is mitigated by innovative workshops that dispense information, demonstrate reactions and encourage dialogue.
negative alterations in cognition and mood, alterations in arousal and reactivity) for >1 month, and can be screened by the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R).\textsuperscript{17}

The aims of this study were to investigate (1) the prevalence of post-traumatic stress-related symptoms in a cohort of parents who witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure and (2) assess the efficacy of workshops on the mitigation of parental stress.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

We designed a pilot before-and-after cross-sectional study, with a control group (details regarding study design are shown in figure 1). We collected verbal informed consent of the parents after they had been accurately informed, orally and by written information, of the purpose, methods, risks, benefits and alternative to the research. As the research focused on parental stress, only the participating parent consented. Parents who had witnessed their child’s first simple febrile seizure were enrolled after their child received standard care in the emergency room and were followed prospectively. Inclusion criteria were (1) mothers or fathers ≥18 years old, who had witnessed this child’s first episode of simple febrile seizure, (2) only one parent per family could be included. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) grandparents or other relatives of the child, (2) second episode of simple febrile seizure, (3) complex febrile seizures or non-febrile seizures and (4) parental psychiatric medical history. Overall two sites enrolled patients from France: site 1 was the Hopital des Enfants de Toulouse, Toulouse, France, and site 2 was the Hôpital General de Montauban, Montauban, France (online supplementary table). Among the parents some volunteered to follow our educational programme (group 1) and some did not (group 2). At 4 weeks after the seizure, parents were invited to fill out a standardised questionnaire to record demographic characteristics of the parent and the child, job/training in healthcare of the parent, previous experience of witnessing somebody else’s seizure and opportunity for the parent to discuss their experience with a healthcare practitioner. Charts from the emergency room provided relevant information regarding the characteristics of the seizure (duration, loss of contact and muscular tone abnormalities). Parents were not involved in the design of this research, but it builds on previous qualitative research exploring the experiences and beliefs of the parents.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Measurement of post-traumatic stress symptoms: Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R)

The French IES-R has demonstrated good psychometric properties, a robust internal consistency with alpha coefficients ranging from 0.81 to 0.93 for its three subscales and total score, and a satisfactory test–retest reliability with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.71 to 0.76 for its three subscales and total score.\textsuperscript{20} The IES-R comprises 22 items that measure symptoms of intrusion, avoidance and numbing, and hyperarousal. Participants rate on a 5-point Likert scale the extent to which each item applied to their experience during the preceding 7 days. Total score on the IES-R ranges between 0 and 88. Interpretation of the IES-R scores were realised as recommended: a score between 1 and 11 indicated a small amount of post-traumatic stress symptoms, a score between 12 and 32 indicated a great amount of post-traumatic stress symptoms, and a score ≥33 indicated the possibility of PTSD.\textsuperscript{20} We also calculated the percentage of parents presenting a score ≥37 as it has been reported as a high enough score to suppress immune system functioning.\textsuperscript{21} In patients with acute PTSD experience symptoms for <3 months; chronic PTSD results in symptoms lasting ≥3 months.\textsuperscript{17,22,23}

For all parents, the validated French version of the IES-R was self-completed at two-time points: at least 4 weeks following the seizure for the first IES-R (IES-R1) and at least 10 weeks following the seizure for IES-R2. Once completed by the parents, IES-R assessments were transmitted to our department via email or mail. We used phone calls to remind parents to return their IES-R assessments. All parents in group 1 completed a workshop between the IES-R1 and IES-R2 assessments.

Workshops

Small group workshops were subdivided into three, 1-hour blocks. The first hour was used to dispense general information; presentation slides were colourful and easy to read (figure 2). The second hour was dedicated to demonstrations of how to react in case of recurrence using a medical mannequin. The last hour was reserved for a question/answer session. Workshops were led by a paediatrician specialised in paediatric neurology, two nurses and a psychologist. An anonymous standardised questionnaire was distributed to each parent at the end of the third hour, and was completed onsite. Using visual analogue scales from 0 to 10, parents recorded a self-assessment on the following items: general satisfaction regarding the workshops, theoretical knowledge on seizures, ability to react and subjective stress level before and after the workshops.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive attributes were recorded to characterise (1) parents: hospital of origin, age, gender, previous...
experience of witnessing a seizure of another child or someone else and job/training related to healthcare; (2) children: age; and (3) seizures: duration, loss of contact and muscle tone abnormalities. The comparability of the two groups was assessed using a Mann-Whitney test for continuous values (parental age, child age, IES-R1 value, delay convulsion-IES-R1, delay IES-R1 to IES-R2 and delay convulsion-IESR-2) and a Fisher exact test for categorical values (gender, previous experience of witnessing a seizure and occupation related to healthcare).

Correlations between IES-R1 scores and the age of the child and the age of the parents were analysed using a Pearson correlation test. Additionally, the mother and father’s IES-R1 scores were compared using a Mann-Whitney test. To isolate the specific effect of a workshop on the IES-R value, and to differentiate it from the effect of elapsed time, the statistical analysis included (1) an intergroup comparison of IES-R2 scores in group 1 versus group 2, using a Mann-Whitney test, and (2) an intragroup comparison of IES-R2 scores versus IES-R1 scores in groups 1 and 2, using a paired samples t-test. A conventional two-way analysis of variance was used to assess for the effect of group selection over time in evaluating the IES-R1–IES-R2 score reduction. Comparison of visual analogic scale values before and after workshop for parental theoretical knowledge on seizure, parental ability to react and parental subjective stress level were realised using a paired samples t-test. P values <0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism V.6.0.

### RESULTS
#### Descriptive characteristics
A total of 189 parents were eligible during the inclusion period of 6 months (details regarding parent demographic characteristics, as well as age of their child are summarised in table 1). Only 74 parents were approached, with 50 parents consented. All consenting parents participated in each study element, with a total of 50 available for further analysis. Of these 50 parents, roughly 80% were woman (mothers). Groups 1 and 2 were comparable in term of age and gender of parents, parental previous experience of witnessing a seizure, parental occupation related to healthcare, age of the child and characteristics of the seizure. Eight per cent of the total parents (7% in group 1% and 9% in group 2) had witnessed a seizure previously (due to their job in the healthcare system or because a sibling had seized previously). Roughly 14% of the parents (13% in group 1 and 14% in group 2) had a job or professional training related to healthcare. Only one mother who belonged to group 2 had visited a therapist to discuss her stress symptoms. Children had an average age of 2 years, and all presented a simple febrile seizure. All children had been administered care by a paediatrician or emergency room doctor after the convulsion.

### Prevalence of post-traumatic stress symptoms
Groups 1 and 2 were comparable in term of (1) IES-R1 scores (P=0.47), (2) delay between seizure and IES-R1 (P=0.18), (3) delay between IES-R1 and IES-R2 (P=0.18) and (4) delay between seizure and IES-R2 (P=0.10) (table 2). First assessment happened at least 4 weeks after the seizure. Of the 50 parents included, 76% presented an IES-R1 score ≥33, and 24% presented a score comprised between 12 and 32; none of the parents presented an IES-R1 strictly inferior to 12. Of interest, 60% of all parents presented an IES-R1 score >37. The minimum elapsed time between the seizure and IES-R1 was 33 days. For 45 parents, this period was <3 months after the seizure, with 68% having an IES-R1 ≥33, and placing them at high risk of acute PTSD. For five parents,
Table 2  IES-R scores

| Variable               | All (n=50) | Group 1 (n=15) | Group 2 (n=35) | P value |
|------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| IES-R1, mean (SD)      | 42 (16)    | 44 (20)        | 41 (14)        | 0.47*   |
| Score ≥33              |            |                |                |         |
| 12≤ Score < 33         |            |                |                |         |
| IES-R2, mean (SD)      | 32 (13)    | 26 (13)        | 35 (14)        | 0.02*   |
| Score ≥33              |            |                |                |         |
| 12≤ Score < 33         |            |                |                |         |
| Score <12              |            |                |                |         |
| Duration from seizure to IES-R1, mean in days (SD) | 54 (20) | 57 (17) | 53 (21) | 0.18* |
| Duration from IES-R1 to IES-R2, mean in days (SD) | 69 (38) | 80 (38) | 64 (37) | 0.18* |
| Duration from seizure to IES-R2, mean in days (SD) | 123 (50) | 136 (50) | 117 (50) | 0.10* |

IES-R, Impact Event Scale-Revised.

Table 3  Analysis of the evolution of the IESR scores within total population and each group

| IES-R scores evolution analyses (paired samples t-test) | Difference of mean scores | P value |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Total population                                       | 9.3 (95%CI (6.22 to 12.39)) | <0.0001 |
| Group 1                                                | 18.13 (95%CI (11.66 to 24.61)) | <0.0001 |
| Group 2                                                | 5.51 (95%CI (2.76 to 8.27)) | 0.0003  |

IES-R1 was assessed 4 weeks after the seizure (before any workshop if applicable), and IESR-2 was assessed at a minimum of 10 weeks after the seizure (after any workshop if applicable).

*Based on Mann-Whitney test.

Evolution of IES-R score and effect of the workshops

In the total population, IES-R2 scores were always lower than IES-R1 scores (P<0.0001) (table 3). A significant diminution of the IES-R2 versus IES-R1 scores was also found in each group (intragroup comparison). However, attending a workshop allowed for a greater diminution of stress symptoms: in group 1, IES-R2 scores were 18.1 points lower than IES-R1 scores (95% CI 11.66 to 24.61, P<0.0001); group 2 had IES-R2 scores that were only 5.51 points lower than IES-R1 scores (95% CI 2.76 to 8.27, P=0.0003) (table 3, figure 3). IES-R2 scores were significantly lower in group 1 compared with group 2 (intergroup comparison) (P=0.02, figure 3). A two-way analysis of variance yielded a significant effect for the workshop attendance; the average reduction of score was significantly higher for parents who attended a workshop than for the controls (P=0.04). The effect of time was non-significant (P=0.15).

Satisfaction and self-evaluation of parents at the end of the workshops

On average, parents graded their satisfaction to our workshops with a 9.3/10. Their knowledge and ability to react improved, while their subjective stress level decreased (table 4).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to study PTSD-related symptoms in parents that have witnessed their child’s febrile seizure. These PTSD-related symptoms are very common, with 76% of all parents being at risk of developing PTSD. An interventional and educational programme constituted of workshops significantly decreased parental stress. This programme was highly...
appreciated by the participants, improved their knowledge and increased their ability to react.

The results of the study are novel; however, we acknowledge several limitations. First, the small number of patients included suggests the necessity to confirm the results in larger samples. Despite our effort to inform the emergency room teams about the study, only 40% of parents who visited the emergency room for first simple febrile seizure were approached. This can be explained because in an emergency room setting, doctors have limited time to dedicate to each family. This study could be repeated in coordination with paediatricians in private practice to increase the number of participants. Second, the samples we obtained may not be representative of the population intended to be analysed because parents self-selected themselves to the intervention or comparison group. However, both groups were comparable in terms of IES-R1 scores and demographic characteristics; thus, the self-selection did not seem to affect the initial stress or the demographic repartition among the groups. Third, we enrolled mostly mothers (80%) who could have different psychological profiles compared with fathers, which could have slightly modified the initial feminine representation was homogeneous among the groups, and thus did not alter the comparison between the two groups. Also, this feminine ratio is representative of the parents taking their child to the emergency room in Toulouse. Fourth, group 1 was only composed of parents from site 1 and group 2 mostly of parents from site 2. We hypothesise that this occurred because the workshops were geographically located on site 1, and further (at about 40 min driving distance) from site 2; this seems to have dissuaded most parents from group 2 to register for workshops. This difference in the recruiting centre can be questionable as management of parents could have been different at the two sites. However, we assumed that paediatric simple febrile seizure is a well-known entity so that the care and information given to parents was standardised, and thus similar between both groups. Based on oral conversations with the parents, the small number of parents included in group 1 could also be due to workshops being scheduled in the afternoons, making it difficult for most parents who have a daytime job to attend.

Despite the small number of patients included, all of them participated in every step of the study. We believe this can be explained (1) because we followed up with parents via phone calls as a reminder for them to send back their IES-R assessments, and (2) because parents were very supportive of the study, as shown in the survey responses. The parents were satisfied with the medical team because they showed personal concern for their stress.

In all groups, and even in group 2, IES-R2 scores were always lower than IES-R1 scores. The majority of adults with post-traumatic stress symptoms do recover over the course of months or years without receiving any form of treatment. However, the greater reduction of the scores in group 1 highlights the efficacy of the workshops in decreasing parental stress.

A previous study, conducted from an anthropological point of view, had gathered the parental mental representations regarding the word ‘seizure’, and the actual experience of a seizure; the representations and knowledge of the parents were poor, referring to the old beliefs since antiquity. This seemed to directly contribute to the intensity of the parental stress. In this previous study, parental representations did not differ according to gender nor socioeconomic status.

Even though all parents received reassurance and information leaflets from the emergency room doctors before returning home, parental stress remained high and parental knowledge remained low. We hypothesise that this information was delivered during the acute period when the parent was too scared to be able to receive and incorporate the medical reassurance. Workshops organised in a dedicated time a few weeks after the seizure would provide a more efficient mechanism for the parents to be informed and reassured. We note that a third of patients can present a major depressive disorder after a traumatic event. These workshops represent a first step towards a multidisciplinary approach in paediatrics; however, specific psychological interventions that specifically treat trauma remain critical. Future work will establish a connection between our paediatric team and

| Knowledge: | Before workshop | After workshop | Difference of mean scores VAS1 vs VAS2 |
|------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| median (min–max) | 3 (0–7) | 8 (6–10) | 4.8 (95% CI 4.04 to 5.56) (<0.0001) |
| Ability to react: | | | |
| median (min–max) | 5 (1–10) | 8 (6–10) | 3 (95% CI 1.55 to 4.45) (0.0006) |
| Stress level: | | | |
| median (min–max) | 8 (4–9) | 4 (1–8) | −2.93 (95% CI −3.86 to −2.01) (0.0001) |

VAS1 was assessed before workshop and VAS2 was assessed after workshop. VAS: visual analogue scale.
CONCLUSIONS

Our results demonstrate that parents who witness their child’s first simple febrile seizure present a high risk of developing PTSD. Despite the limitations of our pilot study, these findings are particularly relevant because understanding the impact of a simple febrile seizure on parental psychological health is an active area for improvement in attention, assessment and management in paediatrics. An interventional and educational programme, such as our multidisciplinary workshops, aids in parental psychological health by giving access to medical information and training, and encouraging communication among parents and with healthcare providers. Our results provide a path forward for the development of multidisciplinary workshops on simple febrile seizure in leading paediatric centres.
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