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The complexity of representation of operators in quantum mechanics can be characterized by the operator space entanglement entropy (OSEE). We show that in the homogeneous Heisenberg XY spin 1/2 chains the OSEE for initial local operators grows at most logarithmically with time. The prefactor in front of the logarithm generally depends only on the number of stationary points of the quasi-particle dispersion relation and for the XY model changes from 1/3 to 2/3 exactly at the point of quantum phase transition to long-range magnetic correlations in the non-equilibrium steady state. In addition, we show that the presence of a small disorder triggers a saturation of the OSEE.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 03.67.Mn, 64.70.Tg, 75.10.Pq

Complexity of many-body quantum systems has two remarkable manifestations: on one hand, certain strongly correlated (entangled) many-body states (e.g. cluster states \[|\Omega\rangle\]) can be used to perform universal quantum computation and thus yield exponential gain over best known classical algorithms for certain tasks. On the other hand, weak entanglement (finite range of quantum correlation) is necessary for quantum states in order to be efficiently described classically \[|\psi\rangle\]. The latter fact is the reason behind the success of numerical methods, such as density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) \[\text{[4, 5, 6]}\] which approximate quantum states by their most entangled components, e.g. matrix product states (MPS) where the number of components depends on the quantum entanglement of the state. While the ground states of one-dimensional many-body systems are weakly entangled \[\text{[4, 5, 6]}\] and can be efficiently computed by the DMRG, the time evolution of generic quantum states produces entanglement which results in a growing need of resources (see e.g. \[\text{[1]}\]). Such algorithms are only efficient if the computational costs grow at most polynomially with time or, equivalently, the entanglement entropy which is a measure of quantum entanglement, grows no faster than logarithmically – which is the case only for very particular initial states and model systems.

Another option is to simulate time-dependent operators in Heisenberg picture using the same principles behind the success of numerical methods, e.g. matrix product operators (MPO) \[\text{[7, 8]}\] which can be used to perform universal quantum computation and thus yield exponential gain over best known classical algorithms for certain tasks. On the other hand, weak entanglement (finite range of quantum correlation) is necessary for quantum states in order to be efficiently described classically \[\text{[2]}\]. The latter fact is the reason behind the success of numerical methods, such as density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) \[\text{[2, 3]}\] which approximate quantum states by their most entangled components, e.g. matrix product states (MPS) where the number of components depends on the quantum entanglement of the state. While the ground states of one-dimensional many-body systems are weakly entangled \[\text{[4, 5, 6]}\] and can be efficiently computed by the DMRG, the time evolution of generic quantum states produces entanglement which results in a growing need of resources (see e.g. \[\text{[1]}\]). Such algorithms are only efficient if the computational costs grow at most polynomially with time or, equivalently, the entanglement entropy which is a measure of quantum entanglement, grows no faster than logarithmically – which is the case only for very particular initial states and model systems.

As in the evolution of quantum states, similar limitations also apply to operators which accounts to the inefficiency of time-evolution of generic operators attributed by the exponential growth of resources in time. Nevertheless, it was shown \[\text{[7, 12]}\] that local operators – on the contrary – can always be simulated efficiently in the integrable transverse Ising model with the OSEE being either finite (increasing logarithmically) for initial operators which are represented respectively as products of a finite (infinite), number of Majorana fermions [having finite (infinite) index].

In this letter we shall consider OSEE of local Heisenberg operators of infinite index in the quantum XY spin-1/2 chain, or any translationally invariant spin chain which can be solved by Wigner-Jordan transformation. We show that OSEE in such models generally increases logarithmically in time, where the prefactor is given universally as 1/6 times the number of stationary points of the quasi-particle dispersion relation. We identify two generic regimes in the XY model with prefactors 1/3 and 2/3 exactly corresponding to two quantum phases of a non-equilibrium steady state of open XY spin chain far from equilibrium \[\text{[13]}\] and the regime of the gapless XY model with a prefactor 1/6. Investigating the effect of disorder we find, as an interesting consequence of Anderson-like localization in operator space, that OSEE saturates in time even for the infinite index initial operators.

Dynamics of the quantum XY spin 1/2 chain of length \[n\] is described in terms of Pauli operators \[\sigma^{x,y,z}_{j}, j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\] by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1 + \gamma}{2} \sigma^{x}_{j} \sigma^{x}_{j+1} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} \sigma^{y}_{j} \sigma^{y}_{j+1} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma^{z}_{j}, \quad (1)
\]

which is conveniently expressed as a quadratic form \[H = w \cdot \mathbf{H} w\] in terms of 2n Hermitian Majorana operators

\[
w_{2j-1} = (\prod_{l<j} \sigma^{z}_{l}) \sigma^{x}_{j}, \quad w_{2j} = (\prod_{l<j} \sigma^{z}_{l}) \sigma^{y}_{j}, \quad (2)
\]

obeying the anticommutation relation \[\{w_{j}, w_{k}\} = 2\delta_{jk}\], and \[2n \times 2n\] antisymmetric Hermitian matrix \[\mathbf{H}\]. For the XY-model \[\mathbf{H}\] the only upper-diagonal elements of \[\mathbf{H}\] read \[H_{2j,2j+1} = -(i/2)\frac{\hbar}{2}, H_{2j-1,2j+2} = (i/2)\frac{\hbar}{2}, H_{2j-1,2j} = -(i/2)\hbar\] for \[j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}\].
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As shown in Refs. \cite{12, 14}, we identify $4^n$ dimensional Pauli algebra with a Fock space of operators describing $2n$ adjoint fermions (a-fermions), with an orthonormal canonical basis $|P_\alpha\rangle_\beta = |w_1^{\alpha_1}w_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots w_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}}\rangle_\beta$, $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1\}$. We define a set of adjoint annihilation linear maps $\hat{w}_j$ defined as $\hat{w}_j |P_\alpha\rangle_\beta = \alpha_j |w_j P_\alpha\rangle_\beta$ which satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations $\{\hat{w}_j, \hat{w}_l\} = 0$, $\{\hat{w}_j, \hat{w}_l^\dagger\} = \delta_{jl}$ for $j, l \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$. The Heisenberg dynamics in the adjoint (operator) space is given by a formal Schrödinger equation $i(d/dt)A(t) = \hat{H}A(t)$, or for the Majorana generators $i(d/dt)\omega(t) = 4\mathbf{H}\omega$, which defines the adjoint Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -ad H \equiv \{\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{H}\} = -4\hat{w}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{H}\hat{w}_j$.

The operator space entanglement entropy (OSEE) of an arbitrary operator $A = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha P_\alpha$ is defined as the bipartite entanglement entropy of the adjoint state ($a$-state) $|A\rangle_\beta = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha |P_\alpha\rangle_\beta$ in the operator space. The transformation between the physical basis $\{\sigma_1^{n_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{n_n}\}$ and the basis $\{P_\alpha\}$ is a simple permutation (with multiplications by $\pm 1$ or $\pm i$) and despite the nonlocality it maps the first $n/2$ spin operators to the first $n$ a-fermions and vice versa. Therefore, the OSEE of initially product a-state can be calculated by essentially following Ref. \cite{4} using the correlation matrix $\Gamma_{jl} = \langle A|\hat{w}_j^\dagger \hat{w}_l|A\rangle_\beta$ for $j, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The correlation matrix is Hermitian and the OSEE is calculated from its eigenvalues $\gamma_j$ as

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} H_2(\gamma_j)$$

(3)

for $H_2(x) = -x \ln x -(1-x) \ln(1-x)$. In Fig. 1, schematic examples of finite (i) and infinite (ii) index operators in operator Fock space.

In this work we will only be interested in the time evolution of initially local operators i.e. products of a finite number of Pauli operators $\sigma_j^{n_j}$. This implies that, either such operator $A$ has (i) a finite index in Majorana representation (see Fig. 1) i.e. a finite number of occupied a-fermion states or (ii) $A$ has an infinite index (in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$). It was shown analytically in Refs. \cite{12} for the transverse Ising chain ($\gamma = 1$) that in the case (i) the OSEE saturates in time while in the case (ii) the numerical results give firm evidence that the OSEE grows logarithmically as

$$S = c \ln t + c'$$

(4)

where the coefficient $c$ is the same for any infinite-index operator $A = FB$ where $F = w_1 \cdots w_n$ and $B$ is a finite-index operator. Thus we will eventually consider only the simplest infinite-index operator $F$ corresponding to a half-filled Fermi sea of a-fermions (Fig. 1 case ii). Despite the nonlocality of the operator $F = i^{n/2} \sigma_1^{n_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{n_n}$, its entanglement properties are similar to those of a local operator $\sigma_x^{n/2} = i^{-n/2}e^{i\mathbf{H}}w_n$.

The correlation matrix $\Gamma$ for a time dependent initially product a-state $|A(t)\rangle_\beta$ is calculated employing the Heisenberg picture in the operator space using $\langle A(t)|\hat{w}_j^\dagger \hat{w}_l|A(t)\rangle_\beta = \langle A|\hat{w}_j^\dagger (t) \hat{w}_l(t) A\rangle_\beta$ where $\hat{w}_j(t)$ is obtained from $i(d/dt)\hat{w}_j = -i[\hat{w}_j, \hat{H}]$ as

$$\hat{w}(t) = \Phi^T \hat{w}_0$$

where $\Phi = e^{-4i\mathbf{H}t}$. (5)

Note that the matrix $\Phi$ is real for any $w$-quadratic Hamiltonian with Hermitian anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{H}$. The correlation matrix used to calculate the OSEE thus reads $\Gamma_{jl}(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{2n} \phi_{j\mu}^* \phi_{\mu l} (|A|\hat{w}_j^\dagger \hat{w}_l|A\rangle_\beta$.

In Fig. 2, showing phase diagram $\gamma - h$ of OSEE in the XY-model at fixed large time $t = 800$, two distinct regimes are identified (plus a critical regime at $h = 1$). We will later show that these two regions are bordered by the critical field strength $h_c = |1 - \gamma|^2$ also observed in the open nonequilibrium quantum XY chain [15] regardless of the way the chain was coupled to the reservoirs. Therefore, the origin of such phenomena is attributed to the adjoint Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ which gives exactly the unitary part in the master equations governing the density matrix evolution of the boundary open spin chain [14].

In the thermodynamic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we expect that the dynamics will not change significantly if periodic boundary conditions in Majorana space are imposed. For finite-index initial operators being a product of Majorana operators near the center of the chain (Fig. 1 case (i)) the OSEE indeed agrees with the open boundary result which is also consistent with the area law as the area of the boundary remains the same.
For infinite-index operators however the area of the boundary doubles when periodic boundary conditions in Majorana space are imposed which results in a OSEE multiplied by a factor of two. Dividing the OSEE for periodic case accordingly, we obtain a perfect agreement with the results for the open boundary case as seen in Fig. 3. The upper-most line will be described later.

The advantage of periodicity in Majorana space is that \( \hat{H} \) can be diagonalized using the Fourier expansion

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{w}_{2j-1} \\ \hat{w}_{2j} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\phi_k} \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{u}_{2k-1} \\ \hat{u}_{2k} \end{array} \right), \quad \phi_k = \frac{2\pi k}{n} \tag{6}
\]

followed by a Bogoliubov transformation. First we rewrite \( \hat{H} \) in terms of \( \hat{u}_k \) which satisfy the same anti-commutation relations as \( \hat{w}_j \),

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k \hat{u}_{2k-1}^\dagger \hat{u}_{2k-1} + a_k^* \hat{u}_{2k-1}^\dagger \hat{u}_{2k}) \tag{7}
\]

with \( a_k = 2(i(\cos \phi_k - h) - 2\sin \phi_k) \). Introducing \( \hat{a}_{\pm k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \pm \frac{a_k}{|a_k|} \hat{u}_{2k-1} + \hat{u}_{2k} \right) \) we diagonalize (7) and obtain a quasi-particle hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k (\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k - \hat{a}_{-k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-k}) \tag{8}
\]

with eigenvalues \( \epsilon_k = |a_k| \) given by a dispersion relation

\[
\epsilon_k \equiv \epsilon(\phi_k) = 2\sqrt{(h - \cos \phi_k)^2 + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \phi_k}. \tag{9}
\]

The OSEE is calculated by transforming \( \hat{u}_k \) back to \( \hat{w}_j \) which gives us the time evolution of the canonical maps \( \hat{w}_j(t) \) and therefore the evolution matrix \( \Phi \),

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{w}_{2j-1}(t) \\ \hat{w}_{2j}(t) \end{array} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{cc} f_{l-j}(t) & g_{l-j}(t) \\ -g_{l-i}(t) & f_{l-i}(t) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{w}_{2l-1} \\ \hat{w}_{2l} \end{array} \right) \tag{10}
\]

where \( f_j, g_j \) are real functions given as Fourier series

\[
\begin{align*}
(f_j(t)) & = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-ij\phi_k} \left( \cos \epsilon_k t - i\frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_k} \sin \epsilon_k t \right), \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

Comparing the dispersion relation (Fig. 3) for \( (\gamma, h) \) with the OSEE (Fig. 3) we make the following Conjecture: The prefactor \( c \) in the logarithmic growth (4) of OSEE for infinite-index operators and open boundaries is given by the number \( m \) of stationary points of the quasiparticle dispersion relation \( \epsilon(\phi) \) as \( c = m/6 \), if all stationary points are non-degenerate.

We observe that the nontrivial stationary points where \( \epsilon'(\phi) = 0 \) only exist when \( |h| \leq |1 - \gamma^2| \) which determines the magnitude of the critical field separating the regions of \( m = 2 \) and \( m = 4 \),

\[
h_c = |1 - \gamma^2|. \tag{12}
\]

We verify the conjecture by considering more general Wigner-Jordan solvable spin chains, say by adding a term \( \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y \sigma_{j+2}^y - \) or \( \imath \omega_{2j-1} \omega_{2j+1} \) expressed with Majorana operators – to the XY-hamiltonian \( H \) in (1)

\[
H' = H + \mu \sum_j \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x \sigma_{j+2}^x. \tag{13}
\]

Choosing \( \mu = 0.4 \) we obtain a dispersion relation with 6 stationary points (Fig. 4) which agrees with the result for the OSEE growth with the prefactor 6/6 (Fig. 3).

The idea of stationary points is supported by studying disordered chains where the Fourier transformation – which would result in the dispersion relation – is not applicable. We introduce disorder of strength \( \varepsilon \) to either \( \gamma \) or \( h \), as \( \gamma_j = \gamma + \varepsilon_j \) or \( h_j = h + \varepsilon_j \), where \( \varepsilon_j \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \) are uniformly distributed random numbers. Although we shall only present data for \( h \)-disorder, similar behavior has been observed also in the other case.

We first check for a finite-index initial operator \( \sigma_n^{z/2} \) for which we find that the OSEE saturates in time, both in homogeneous and disordered cases (inset of Fig. 5).
result is expected since for any finite-index initial product operator $A$ (product of $w_j$'s) OSEE is upper-bounded by $S(t) \leq K \log 2$ where $K = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} |\langle A|\hat{w}_k^*|A\rangle|^2$ is the Majorana index. The upper bound applies to any Hamiltonian quadratic in Majorana operators and can be derived by a straight-forward generalization of the proof for the critical quantum transverse Ising chain in \cite{12}. However, no statement can be made on whether the OSEE for finite-index operators in the disordered model is higher or lower than in the corresponding non-disordered case.

The OSEE of infinite-index initial operators is not bounded in general and the disorder significantly affects the dynamics of entanglement. Again, we will restrict our interest to the simplest infinite-index operator $F = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ as the results are qualitatively similar also for e.g. $\sigma_{n/2}^x$ or $\sigma_{n/2}^y$. For a weak disorder, three stages can be identified in the evolution of infinite-index operators (Fig. 5). Up to the time proportional to $1/\varepsilon$, the OSEE roughly follows the non-disordered case, after which it grows with a rate proportional to $\varepsilon$ until it finally saturates to a plateau. The saturation phenomenon is a consequence of Anderson localization of eigenvectors of $H$ in the Majorana space and must be contrasted with the logarithmic growth of the OSEE in time for the corresponding non-disordered model. The plateau value of OSEE decreases with $\varepsilon$ although the quantitative relation cannot be established at present.

We have made an additional test where the external field is not randomly disordered but periodic such as $h_j = h + (-1)^j h'$. Due to periodicity of $h_j$ we can again establish a dispersion relation which agrees with the logarithmic growth of the OSEE with the prefactor determined from the number of stationary points.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a discontinuous transition in the quantum XY-model at the critical field strength $h_c = |1 - \gamma^2|$ which separates two phases of different entanglement production rate in the temporal dynamics of operators. The transition exactly corresponds to a far-from-equilibrium phase transition in the steady state of the open XY chain \cite{13}. Beyond the XY model, a general relation has been conjectured which connects the prefactor of the logarithmic entanglement growth to the number of stationary points of the quasiparticle dispersion relation. Interestingly, this temporal scaling of the operator space entanglement entropies is strongly reminiscent of the size scaling of the ground state entanglement entropy found for the critical (gapless) XY models \cite{16, 17}, where the prefactor $c = m/6$ is related to the number of sign changes $m$ of the so-called symbol of an appropriate Toeplitz determinant. However, in our context the logarithmic growth (versus the saturation) of the entanglement entropy is not determined by gapless (gapped) nature of the Hamiltonian, but rather by the infinite (finite) index of the initial operators.
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{OSEE for the XY model with $\gamma = 0.2$ in a randomly disordered magnetic field $h_j \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ averaged over 1000 realizations for disorder strength $\varepsilon \in \{0.05, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.5\}$. While main figure corresponds to the infinite-index operator $F(t)$, the inset shows data for finite index operator $\sigma_{n/2}(t)$.}
\label{fig5}
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig6.png}
\caption{Upper bound on OSEE for the XY model with $\gamma = 0.2$ in a randomly disordered magnetic field $h_j \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ averaged over 1000 realizations for disorder strength $\varepsilon \in \{0.05, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.5\}$. While main figure corresponds to the infinite-index operator $F(t)$, the inset shows data for finite index operator $\sigma_{n/2}(t)$.}
\label{fig6}
\end{figure}
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