ON SHOKUROV-TYPE B-DIVISORIAL ALGEBRAS OF HIGHER RANK

VLADIMIR LAZIĆ

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to lay the foundations for the theory of higher rank b-divisorial algebras of Shokurov type. We develop techniques to deal with such objects and propose two natural conjectures regarding Shokurov algebras and adjoint algebras. We confirm these conjectures in the case of affine curves.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X$ be a normal algebraic variety and $k(X)$ the field of rational functions on $X$. In this paper we study algebras of rational functions of rank $r$, that is subalgebras $R$ of $k(X)[T_1, \ldots, T_r]$. The key example of rank 1 is the canonical algebra

$$R(X/Z, K_X + \Delta) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X([i(K_X + \Delta)]),$$

where $\pi : X \to Z$ is a projective morphism between normal varieties, the pair $(X, \Delta)$ is klt and $K_X + \Delta$ is Q-Cartier.
Algebras of higher rank also appear naturally. The key example here is the Cox ring, that is

\[ R(X/Z, D_1, \ldots, D_r) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}^r} \pi_\ast \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor \sum m_i D_i \rfloor), \]

where \( D_i \) are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors on \( X \).

There has been a huge progress recently on finite generation of these algebras. It is shown in \cite{BCHM06} that canonical algebras are finitely generated, and also that adjoint dlt Cox rings are finitely generated (for the precise statement see \cite[Corollary 1.1.9]{BCHM06}). Therefore it is desirable to study general natural conditions that imply finite generation of \( R \).

The main new input came from \cite{Sho03}. Shokurov treats the rank 1 case and introduces boundedness and saturation conditions. Saturation was the main ingredient to prove the finite generation of the canonical algebra, and it behaves well under restriction (see Lemma 4.7 below).

The purpose of this note is to show that these techniques can be extended to the higher rank algebras and that one could expect, perhaps surprisingly, that they work.

Here we make two natural conjectures about higher rank finite generation; for the precise definitions of Shokurov and adjoint algebras see Section 4 below.

**Conjecture A.** Let \((X, \Delta)\) be a relative weak Fano klt pair projective over a normal affine variety \(Z\) where \(K_X + \Delta\) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier. Let \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}^r \) be a finitely generated monoid and let \( m: \mathcal{S} \to \text{Mob}(X) \) be a superadditive map such that the system \( m(\mathcal{S}) \) is bounded and \( A(X, \Delta) \)-saturated. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a rational polyhedral cone in \( \text{int} \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{R} \).

Then the Shokurov algebra \( R(X, m(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S})) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}_Z \)-algebra.

**Conjecture B.** Let \( \pi: X \to Z \) be a projective morphism between normal varieties, let \( \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}^r \) be a finitely generated monoid and let \( m: \mathcal{S} \to \text{Mob}(X) \) be a superadditive map such that the system \( m(\mathcal{S}) \) is adjoint. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a rational polyhedral cone in \( \text{int} \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{R} \).

Then the adjoint algebra \( R(X, m(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S})) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}_Z \)-algebra.

The definition of adjoint algebra presented in this paper is still rather tentative and should be taken with caution. We expect that its definite form will be clear very soon and similar to the current one.

Ideally we would like the conjectures to extend to the whole cone \( \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{R} \), however this is in general not possible, see Remark 5.7.
Our main contribution here is to show that these conjectures hold if $X$ is an affine curve (Theorem 6.1 below). I expect the proof in higher dimensions to proceed along the general lines of the proof presented here and to employ the techniques developed in this paper.

The major new input is to pass from discrete objects (superadditive maps from finitely generated monoids to spaces of integral b-divisors) to continuous objects (superlinear maps from rational polyhedral cones to spaces of real b-divisors). Irrationality issues encountered on the way are solved using Diophantine techniques different in flavour from those applied in \cite{Sho03, Cor07, HM07}.

The behaviour of the restricted volume function, see \cite{ELM+06}, applied to the restricted Cox ring gives a good perspective on what is happening in the general case.

Adjoint b-divisorial algebras of rank 1 appear as restrictions of canonical algebras to codimension 1 log canonical centres, see \cite{CKL08}.

Algebras of higher rank also appear naturally if one tries to prove finite generation of the canonical ring by induction on the dimension without the Minimal Model Programme. This paper is the first step in a larger programme of work towards this goal, see \cite{Laz08}.

1.1. Notation and conventions. All varieties in this paper are normal over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. Throughout I use the language of b-divisors; for an accessible introduction see \cite{Cor07}. In particular, let us recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** The group of Weil divisors on a variety $X$ is denoted by $\text{WDiv}(X)$.

A (proper) model over $X$ is a variety $Y$ with a proper birational morphism $f: Y \to X$. Therefore we have the induced homomorphism $f_* : \text{WDiv}(Y) \to \text{WDiv}(X)$. The group

$$\text{Div}(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{WDiv}(Y),$$

where the limit is taken over all models $Y$ over $X$, is called the group of b-divisors on $X$, and its elements are b-divisors on $X$. The group of rational (respectively real) b-divisors is denoted by $\text{Div}(X)_Q$ (respectively $\text{Div}(X)_R$). The trace of a b-divisor $D$ on a model $Y$ is denoted by $D_Y$.

The proper transform b-divisor $\hat{D}$ of an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$ is given by $\hat{D}_Y = f_*^{-1}D$ for every model $f: Y \to X$. I abuse the notation and write $D$ instead of $\hat{D}$.

The Cartier closure of an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $D$ on $X$ is the b-divisor $\overline{D}$ given by $\overline{D}_Y = f^*D$ for every model $f: Y \to X$. 
A b-divisor $D$ descends to a model $f: Y \to X$ if $D = \overline{D}_Y$ (considered as a b-divisor on $X$ by the isomorphism $f_\ast: \text{Div}(Y) \to \text{Div}(X)$).

A b-divisor $M$ on $X$ is mobile if there is a model $Y$ over $X$ such that $M_Y$ is free and $M = \overline{M}_Y$. Observe that if a mobile b-divisor $M$ descends to a model $W \to X$, then $M_W$ is free.

The cone of all mobile b-divisors on $X$ is denoted by $\text{Mob}(X)$, and similarly for rational and real mobile b-divisors.

The canonical b-divisor on $X$ is denoted by $K_X$.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a pair where $K_X + \Delta$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. The discrepancy b-divisor is defined to be

$$A(X, \Delta) := K_X - K_X + \Delta.$$  

We obviously have $\lceil A(X, \Delta) \rceil \geq 0$ if and only if $(X, \Delta)$ is a klt pair.

In this paper I use the adjunction formula with *differents* as explained in [K+92, Chapter 16].

I denote the sets of non-negative rational and real numbers by $\mathbb{Q}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_+$ respectively. If $S = \sum \mathbb{N}e_i$ is a submonoid of $\mathbb{N}^n$, I denote by $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ its *associated cone*, that is $S_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum \mathbb{R}e_i$. Also I denote $S_{\mathbb{Q}} = \sum \mathbb{Q}e_i$.

A monoid $S \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ is saturated if $S = S_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{N}^n$.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be generators of a monoid $S$ and let $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n$ be positive integers. The submonoid $S' = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}\kappa_ie_i$ of $S$ is called a *truncation* of $S$.

For any point $s$ in a cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the set $\mathbb{R}_+s$ is called a *ray* in $C$. A ray in $C$ is rational if it contains a rational point, otherwise it is irrational. Rays $R_1, \ldots, R_m$ are said to be linearly independent if $s_i \in R_i$ are linearly independent for any choice of $s_i$. A $k$-dimensional plane (or a $k$-plane) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin is rational if it is spanned by $k$ linearly independent rational rays, or equivalently if there are linear functions $\ell_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - k$ with rational coefficients such that $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-k} \ker(\ell_i)$.

All $k$-planes in this paper contain the origin unless explicitly stated otherwise.

For a cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, I denote $C_{\mathbb{Q}} = C \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. The *dimension* of a cone $C = \sum \mathbb{R}_+e_i$ is the dimension of the space $\sum \mathbb{R}e_i$.

In this paper the *relative interior* of a cone $C = \sum \mathbb{R}_+e_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted by $\text{relint } C$, is the topological interior of $C$ in the space $\sum \mathbb{R}e_i$ union the origin. If $\dim C = n$, we instead call it the *interior* of $C$ and denote it by $\text{int } C$.

All cones considered are convex and strongly convex, that is they do not contain lines.
If $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed cone and $R$ a ray in the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$, a tangent hyperplane to $\mathcal{C}$ through $R$ is any hyperplane $T \supset R$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in one of the half-spaces into which $T$ divides $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Definition 1.3.** A submonoid $\mathcal{S} = \sum \mathbb{N}e_i$ of $\mathbb{N}^n$ (respectively a cone $\mathcal{C} = \sum \mathbb{R}_+ e_i$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$) is called simplicial if its generators $e_i$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the $e_i$ form a basis of $\mathcal{S}$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}$).

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedral cone and let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say $f$ is piecewise linear (PL) on $\mathcal{C}$ if there is a finite polyhedral decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_i$ such that $f|\mathcal{C}_i$ is linear for every $i$. If in addition $\mathcal{C}$ and all $\mathcal{C}_i$ are rational cones then we say $f$ is rationally piecewise linear ($\mathbb{Q}$-PL).

Assume furthermore that $f$ is linear on $\mathcal{C}$ and $\dim \mathcal{C} = n$. The linear extension of $f$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the unique linear function $\ell: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\ell|\mathcal{C} = f$.
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### 2. Convex geometry

Firstly we recall a definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\| \cdot \|$ be any norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. A function $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

- superlinear if $\lambda f(x) + \mu f(y) \leq f(\lambda x + \mu y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$;
- superadditive if $f(x) + f(y) \leq f(x + y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$;
- positively homogeneous if $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $\lambda \geq 0$;
- locally Lipschitz if for every point $x \in \text{int} \mathcal{C}$ there are a closed ball $B_x \subset \mathcal{C}$ centred at $x$ and a constant $\lambda_x$ such that $|f(y) - f(z)| \leq \lambda_x \|y - z\|$ for all $y, z \in B_x$.

Every locally Lipschitz function is continuous on $\text{int} \mathcal{C}$. Therefore if a function is locally Lipschitz, we say it is locally Lipschitz continuous.

The following proposition is an easy consequence of the definitions.

**Proposition 2.2.** A function $f$ is superlinear if and only if it is superadditive and positively homogeneous.
The next result can be found in \[HUL93\].

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a concave function. Then $f$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on the topological interior of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to any norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In particular, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rational polyhedral cone and assume a function $g: \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is superadditive and satisfies $g(\lambda x) = \lambda g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Q}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Then $g$ extends to a unique superlinear function on $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proof.** Since $f$ is locally Lipschitz if and only if $-f$ is locally Lipschitz, we can assume $f$ is convex. Fix $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \text{int } \mathcal{C}$, and let $\Delta = \{(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum y_i \leq 1\}$. It is easy to check that translations of the domain do not affect the result, so we may assume $x \in \text{int } \Delta \subset \text{int } \mathcal{C}$.

Firstly let us prove that $f$ is bounded above on $\Delta$. Let $\{e_i\}$ be the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \Delta$ and let $y_0 = 1 - \sum y_i \geq 0$. Then

\[
    f(y) = f\left(\sum y_ie_i + y_0 \cdot 0\right) \leq \sum y_if(e_i) + y_0f(0) \leq \max\{f(0), f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_n)\} =: M.
\]

For each $\gamma > 0$ denote $B_x(\gamma) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|z - x\| \leq \gamma\}$. Choose $\delta$ such that $B_x(2\delta) \subset \text{int } \Delta$. Again by translating the domain and composing $f$ with a linear function we may assume that $x = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then for all $y \in B_0(2\delta)$ we have

\[
    -f(y) = -f(y) + 2f(0) \leq -f(y) + f(y) + f(-y) = f(-y) \leq M,
\]

so $|f| \leq M$ on $B_0(2\delta)$.

Fix $u, v \in B_0(\delta)$. Set $\alpha = \|v - u\|/\delta$ and $w = v + \alpha^{-1}(v - u) \in B_0(2\delta)$ so that $v = \alpha w/\alpha + 1 + u/\alpha + 1$. Then convexity of $f$ gives

\[
    f(v) - f(u) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}f(w) + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}f(u) - f(u) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}(f(w) - f(u)) \leq 2M\alpha = \frac{2M}{\delta}\|v - u\|.
\]

Similarly $f(u) - f(v) \leq 2M\|u - v\|/\delta$, giving

\[
    |f(v) - f(u)| \leq L\|v - u\|
\]

for all $u, v \in B_0(\delta)$ and $L = 2M/\delta$.

For the second claim, it is enough to apply the proof of the first part of the lemma with respect to the sup-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$: observe that $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ takes values in $\mathbb{Q}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Q}$. Applied to the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ and to
the relative interiors of the faces of $C$ shows $g$ is locally Lipschitz, and therefore extends to a unique superlinear function on the whole $C$. \hfill $\Box$

The following result is classically referred to as Gordan’s lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}^r$ be a finitely generated monoid and let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be a rational polyhedral cone. Then the monoid $S \cap C$ is finitely generated.

**Proof.** Assume first that $\dim C = r$. Let $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m$ be linear functions on $\mathbb{R}^r$ with integral coefficients such that $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{z \in \mathbb{R}^r : \ell_i(z) \geq 0\}$ and define $S_0 = S$ and $S_i = S_{i-1} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{R}^r : \ell_i(z) \geq 0\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$; observe that $S \cap C = S_m$. Assuming by induction that $S_i$ is finitely generated, by [Swa92, Theorem 4.4] we have that $S_i$ is finitely generated.

Now assume $\dim C < r$ and let $H$ be a rational hyperplane containing $C$. Let $\ell$ be the linear function with rational coefficients such that $H = \ker(\ell)$. From the first part of the proof applied to the functions $\ell$ and $-\ell$ we have that the monoid $S \cap H$ is finitely generated. Now we proceed by descending induction on $r$. \hfill $\Box$

The following lemmas will turn out to be indispensable and they show that in the context of our assumptions it is enough to check additivity (respectively linearity) of the map at one point only.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}e_i$ be a monoid and let $f: S \to G$ be a superadditive map to a monoid $G$. Assume that there is a point $s_0 = \sum s_ie_i \in S$ with all $s_i > 0$ such that $f(s_0) = \sum s_if(e_i)$ and that $f(\kappa s_0) = \kappa f(s_0)$ for every positive integer $\kappa$. Then the map $f$ is additive.

**Proof.** For $p = \sum p_ie_i \in S$, let $\kappa_0$ be a big enough positive integer such that $\kappa_0 s_i \geq p_i$ for all $i$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_0 s_i f(e_i) = \kappa_0 f(s_0) = f(\kappa_0 s_0) \geq f(p) + \sum_{i=1}^n f((\kappa_0 s_i - p_i)e_i)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f((\kappa_0 s_i - p_i)e_i)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\kappa_0 s_i - p_i)f(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_0 s_i f(e_i).$$

Therefore all inequalities are equalities and $f(p) = \sum p_i f(e_i)$. \hfill $\Box$

Analogously we can prove a continuous counterpart of the previous result.
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Lemma 2.6. Let $C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}_+ e_i$ be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^r$ and let $f: C \to V$ be a superlinear map to a cone $V$. Assume that there is a point $s_0 = \sum s_i e_i \in C$ with all $s_i > 0$ such that $f(s_0) = \sum s_i f(e_i)$. Then the map $f$ is linear.

3. Forcing Diophantine approximation

In this section I will prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}^r$ be a finitely generated monoid and let $f: S_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a superlinear map. Assume that there is a real number $c > 0$ such that for every $s_1, s_2 \in S$, either $f(s_1 + s_2) = f(s_1) + f(s_2)$ or $f(s_1 + s_2) \geq f(s_1) + f(s_2) + c$. Let $C$ be a rational polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $f|_C$ is rationally piecewise linear.

Corollary 3.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}^r$ be a finitely generated monoid and let $f: S_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a superlinear map such that $f(S) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C$ be a rational polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then $f|_C$ is rationally piecewise linear.

Remark 3.3. In Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 instead of $S \subset \mathbb{N}^r$ we can assume that $S \subset \mathbb{Q}^r$ and that $S_\mathbb{R}$ is strongly convex.

Example 3.4. The condition $f(S) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ in Corollary 3.2 is crucial. Let $S = \mathbb{N}(-1, 1) + \mathbb{N}(1, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $x_1 = (1, 0)$ and $x_n = (1/2^n, 1)$ for $n \geq 2$. Let $C_n = \mathbb{R}_+ x_n + \mathbb{R}_+ x_{n+1}$ and observe that $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C_n \cup (\mathbb{R}_+(0, 1) + \mathbb{R}_+(-1, 1))$. Define the sequences of positive rational numbers $f(x_n)$ and $\varepsilon_n$ as follows: set $f(x_2) = 3$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 23/8$. Assume $f(x_{n-1})$ and $\varepsilon_{n-1}$ are defined; then set $f(x_n) = (f(x_{n-1}) + \varepsilon_{n-1})/2$ and choose $\varepsilon_n$ such that $f(x_n) - 1/2^n < \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-1}$; we can always arrange $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Q}$. Set $f(-1, 1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) - 1$, $f(0, 1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$, $f(x_1) = 1/2$, $f(\alpha x_n + \beta x_{n+1}) = \alpha f(x_n) + \beta f(x_{n+1})$ and $f(-\alpha, \alpha + \beta) = \alpha f(-1, 1) + \beta f(0, 1)$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Obviously $f(S) \subset \mathbb{Q}$ and it is easy to check that $f$ is superlinear and continuous, but it is not PL on the subcone $\bigcup_{n \geq 2} C_n \cup \mathbb{R}_+(0, 1)$.

Throughout this section I will use without explicit mention basic properties of closed cones, see [Deb01, Section 6.3].

Lemma 3.5. Let $S = \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ and let $f: S_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a superlinear map. Assume that there is a real number $c > 0$ such that for every $s_1, s_2 \in S$, either $f(s_1 + s_2) = f(s_1) + f(s_2)$ or $f(s_1 + s_2) \geq f(s_1) + f(s_2) + c$. Let $x = (1, x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \text{int} S_\mathbb{R}$ and let $R$ be a ray in $S_\mathbb{R}$ not containing $x$.

Then there exists a ray $R' \subset \mathbb{R}_+ x + R$ not containing $x$ such that the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+ x + R'}$ is linear.
Proof. By induction, I assume Theorem 3.1 when \( \dim S_R = r \).

The proof consists of three parts. In Steps 2-8 I assume the components of \( x \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). In Step 9 I assume that \( x \) is a rational point while the remaining case when \( x \) is a non-rational point which belongs to a rational hyperplane is settled in Step 10.

**Step 1:** Let \( H \) be any 2-plane not contained in a rational hyperplane. Points of the form \((1, z_1, \ldots, z_r)\), where \(1, z_1, \ldots, z_r\) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), are dense on the line \( L = H \cap (z_0 = 1) \). Otherwise there would exist an open neighbourhood \( U \) on \( L \) such that for each point \( z \in U \) there is a rational hyperplane \( H_z \supset \mathbb{R}z \). But the set of rational hyperplanes is countable.

On the other hand, fix a rational point \( t \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \setminus H \) and observe rational hyperplanes containing \( \mathbb{R}t \). I claim that the set of points which are intersections of those hyperplanes and the line \( L \) are dense on \( L \). To see this, let \( y = (1, y_1, \ldots, y_r) \) be a point in \( H \) and let \( \mathcal{A} = (\alpha_0 z_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r z_r = 0) \) be any hyperplane containing \( y \) and \( t \). \( H \) is given as a solution of a system of \( r - 1 \) linear equations in \( z_0, \ldots, z_r \), thus \( y \) is a solution of a system of \( r \) linear equations and the components of \( y \) are linear functions in \( \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r \), where \( \alpha_i \) are linearly dependent over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (since \( t \in \mathcal{A} \)). Therefore, without loss of generality, wiggling \( \alpha_i \) for \( i < r \) we can obtain a point \( y' \in L \) arbitrarily close to \( y \) which belongs to a rational hyperplane \( \mathcal{A}' = (\alpha_0' z_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r' z_r = 0) \) containing \( t \). Furthermore, if \( H \) contains a rational point \( t_0 \), then \( y' \) cannot belong to a rational plane \( \hat{\mathcal{A}} \) of dimension \( < n - 1 \) since otherwise \( H \) would be contained in a rational hyperplane generated by \( \hat{\mathcal{A}} \) and \( t_0 \).

**Step 2:** In Steps 2-8 I assume that the real numbers \( 1, x_1, \ldots, x_r \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

For a real number \( \alpha \) let \( \|\alpha\| := \min\{\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor, \lceil \alpha \rceil - \alpha\} \). By [Cas57, Chapter I, Theorem VII] there are infinitely many positive integers \( q \) such that

\[
\|qx_i\| < q^{-1/r}
\]

for all \( i \). Fix such a \( q \) big enough so that the ball of radius \( 1/q \) centred at \( x \) is contained in \( \text{int} S_R \) and so that \( q^{1/r} > r \), and in particular \( \sum \|qx_i\| < 1 \). Let \( p_i \) be positive integers with \( |qx_i - p_i| < q^{-1/r} \).

Let

\[
\hat{p}_i = \begin{cases} 
\lfloor qx_i \rfloor & \text{if } p_i = \lfloor qx_i \rfloor \\
\lceil qx_i \rceil & \text{if } p_i = \lceil qx_i \rceil.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_r \) be the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \). Set

\[
u_0 = qe_0 + \sum p_i e_i \]
and

\[ u_i = qe_0 + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j e_j + \hat{p}_i e_i \]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). From (1) we have

(2) \[ \|x - u_0/q\|_\infty < q^{-1-1/r}. \]

It is easy to see that \( u_0, \ldots, u_r \) are linearly independent and that

(3) \[ \left(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|\right) u_0 + \sum \|qx_i\| u_i = qx. \]

Assume that for every open cone \( U \) containing \( x \) the map \( f|_U \) is not linear. Then in Steps 3-7 I will prove that for all \( q \gg 0 \) satisfying (1) we have

(4) \[ f(x) = \left(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|\right) f(u_0/q) + \sum \|qx_i\| f(u_i/q) + e_q, \]

where \( e_q \geq c(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|)/q \). I will then derive a contradiction in Step 8.

**Step 3:** Let \( K = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{R}_+ u_i \) and \( K_i = \mathbb{R}_+ x + \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{R}_+ u_j \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, r \); observe that \( K = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} K_i \). Define the sequences \( v_n \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1} \) as follows: set \( v_0 = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i \). If \( v_n \) is defined then, since the components of \( x \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), there is a unique \( j_n \in \{0, \ldots, r\} \) such that \( v_n \) belongs to the interior of \( K_{j_n} \). Set \( v_{n+1} = v_n + u_{j_n} \). Define the sequence of non-negative real numbers \( e_n \) by

\[ f(v_{n+1}) = f(v_n) + f(u_{j_n}) + e_n. \]

**Step 4:** In this step I assume that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) with \( j_n = 0 \) we have \( e_n \geq c \). Then we have

(5) \[ f(v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i^{(n)} f(u_i) + e^{(n)}, \]

where \( \alpha_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( e^{(n)} \geq c(\alpha_0^{(n)} - n_0) \). Observe that \( v_n = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i^{(n)} u_i \), and therefore from Lemma 3.6 we have

\[ qx = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n/n = \sum_{i=0}^r \lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_i^{(n)}/n) u_i. \]

Since \( u_i \) are linearly independent, from (3) we obtain

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_0^{(n)}/n = 1 - \sum \|qx_i\| \]

and

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_i^{(n)}/n = \|qx_i\| \quad \text{for} \quad i > 0. \]
Dividing (3) by $n$, taking a limit when $n \to \infty$ and using continuity of $f$ and Lemma 3.6 we obtain

$$f(qx) = \left(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|\right) f(u_0) + \sum \|qx_i\| f(u_i) + \hat{e}_q,$$

where $\hat{e}_q \geq c(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|)$. Dividing now by $q$ we get (4).

**Step 5:** In Steps 5-7 I assume there are infinitely many $n$ with $j_n = 0$ and $e_n = 0$. Then by Lemma 2.6 the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+v_n + \mathbb{R}_+u_0}$ is linear for each such $n$ (observe that when $r = 1$ this finishes the proof since then $x \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}_+v_n + \mathbb{R}_+u_0)$). But then we have

$$f(v_n/n + u_0) = f(v_n/n) + f(u_0),$$

so letting $n \to \infty$ and using Lemma 3.6 we get

$$f(qx + u_0) = f(qx) + f(u_0),$$

thus the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0}$ is linear by Lemma 2.6.

Let us first prove that there is an $(r+1)$-dimensional polyhedral cone $C_{r+1}$ such that $\mathbb{R}_+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0 \subset C_{r+1}$, $(\mathbb{R}_+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0) \cap \text{int} C_{r+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $f|^C_{|C_{r+1}}$ is linear. Let $t \in \text{int} S_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (\mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}u_0)$ be a rational point. By Step 1 there is a rational hyperplane $H \ni t$ such that there is a nonzero $w \in H \cap \text{relint}(\mathbb{R}_+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0)$, and there does not exist a rational plane of dimension $< n - 1$ containing $w$. By Theorem 3.1 applied to $H \cap S_{\mathbb{R}}$ there is an $r$-dimensional cone $C_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_+h_i \subset H \cap S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $w \in \text{relint} C_r$ and $f|^C_{|C_r}$ is linear. Set $C_{r+1} = C_r + \mathbb{R}_+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0$. Now if $w = \sum \mu_i h_i$ with all $\mu_i > 0$, since $f$ is linear on $C_r$ we have

$$f(x + u_0 + \sum \mu_i h_i) = f(x + u_0 + w)$$

$$= f(x) + f(u_0) + f(w) = f(x) + f(u_0) + \sum \mu_i f(h_i),$$

so the map $f|^C_{|C_{r+1}}$ is linear by Lemma 2.6.

**Step 6:** Let $C = \mathbb{R}_+g_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+g_m$ be any $(r+1)$-dimensional polyhedral cone containing $x$ such that $f|^C_{|C}$ is linear and let $\ell$ be the linear extension of $f|^C_{|C}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$. Assume that for a point $h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+h} = \ell|_{\mathbb{R}_+h}$. There are real numbers $\lambda_i$ such that

$$h = \sum_i \lambda_i g_i.$$

Then setting $e := \sum (1 + |\lambda_i|)g_i + h = \sum (1 + |\lambda_i| + \lambda_i)g_i \in C$ we have

$$f(e) = \ell\left(\sum (1 + |\lambda_i| + \lambda_i)g_i\right) = \sum (1 + |\lambda_i| + \lambda_i)\ell(g_i)$$

$$= \sum (1 + |\lambda_i|)f(g_i) + \ell(h) = \sum (1 + |\lambda_i|)f(g_i) + f(h),$$
so $f$ is linear on the cone $\mathcal{C} + \mathbb{R}_+h$ by Lemma [2.6]. Therefore the set

$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : f(z) = \ell(z) \}$

is an $(r + 1)$-dimensional closed cone.

**Step 7:** Since $f$ is not linear in any open neighbourhood of $x$ we have $x \notin \text{int} \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Therefore there is a tangent hyperplane $T$ to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ containing $x$. Let $W_1$ and $W_2$ be the half-spaces such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = T$, $W_1 \cup W_2 = \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset W_1$. Since $(\mathbb{R}^+x + \mathbb{R}_+u_0) \cap \text{int} \hat{\mathcal{C}} \neq \emptyset$ we must have $(\mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}u_0) \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$.

By Step 1 applied to the 2-plane $\mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}u_0$, for every non-negative $\varepsilon < q^{-1-1/r} - \max\{\|qx_i\|/q\}$ let

$$x_\varepsilon = (1, x_{\varepsilon,1}, \ldots, x_{\varepsilon,r}) \in (\mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}u_0) \cap W_2$$

be such that $0 < \|x - x_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ and the components of $x_\varepsilon$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. The map $f|_{\mathbb{R}^+u_0 + \mathbb{R}_+x_\varepsilon}$ is not linear since otherwise we would have $f(x_\varepsilon) = \ell(x_\varepsilon)$. Observe that $|qx_{\varepsilon,i} - p_i| < q^{-1/r}$ for every $i$. Then as in Step 4 we have

$$f(qx_\varepsilon) = \left(1 - \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|\right)f(u_0) + \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|f(u_i) + \hat{e}_q,$$

where $\hat{e}_q \geq c(1 - \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|)$. Finally dividing by $q$ and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain (4).

**Step 8:** Therefore for all $q \gg 0$ satisfying (1) we have (4). Then since $f$ is locally Lipschitz around $x$ there is a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$c(q^{1/r} - r)q^{-1-1/r} < c\left(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|\right)/q \leq e_q$$

$$= \left(f(x) - \left(1 - \sum \|qx_i\|\right)f(u_0/q) - \sum \|qx_i\|f(u_i/q)\right)$$

$$= \left(f(x) - f(u_0/q) + \sum \|qx_i\|(f(u_0/q) - f(u_i/q))\right)$$

$$\leq L\|x - u_0/q\|_\infty + \sum \|qx_i\|L\|u_0/q - u_i/q\|_\infty$$

$$< Lq^{-1-1/r} + \sum_{i=1}^r q^{-1/r}Lq^{-1} = L(r + 1)q^{-1-1/r},$$

where I used (1) and (2). Hence $L > c(q^{1/r} - r)/(r + 1)$ for $q \gg 0$, a contradiction.

Thus if $1, x_1, \ldots, x_r$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ then there is an open cone containing $x$ where $f$ is linear, so the lemma follows. In particular there are linearly independent rational rays $R_1, \ldots, R_{r+1} \subset \text{int} S_\mathbb{Q}$ such that $R \subset \text{int}(R_1 + \cdots + R_{r+1})$ and the map $f|_{R_1 + \cdots + R_{r+1}}$ is linear.

**Step 9:** Assume now that $x$ is a rational point. By induction I assume
there does not exist a rational hyperplane containing $\mathbb{R}_+ x$ and $R$. By clearing denominators I can assume $x = (\kappa, x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ where $\kappa, x_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $q$ big enough so that the ball of radius $1/q$ centred at $x$ is contained in $\text{int} \mathcal{S}_R$ and so that $q^{1/r} > r$. Fix a positive $\varepsilon < q^{-1-1/r}$. By Step 1 there is a point

$$x_\varepsilon = (\kappa, x_{\varepsilon,1}, \ldots, x_{\varepsilon,r}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ x + R$$

such that $\|x - x_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ and the components of $x_\varepsilon$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Set $u_0 = qx$, define integers $p_i$ and $\hat{p}_i$ with respect to $x_\varepsilon$ as in Step 2 and set

$$u_i = q\kappa e_0 + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j e_j + \hat{p}_i e_i$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $u_0, \ldots, u_r$ are linearly independent and we have

$$\left(1 - \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|\right)u_0 + \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|u_i = qx_\varepsilon.$$

With respect to $x_\varepsilon$ define the sequences $v_n \in \mathbb{N}_+^r$ and $(j_n, e_n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ as in Step 3. Assume that for all $n \geq n_0$ with $j_n = 0$ we have $e_n \geq c$. Then as in Step 4 we obtain

$$(6) \quad f(qx_\varepsilon) = \left(1 - \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|\right)f(u_0) + \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|f(u_i) + \hat{e}_q,$$

where $\hat{e}_q \geq c(1 - \sum \|qx_{\varepsilon,i}\|)$. If $(6)$ stands for every $\varepsilon < q^{-1-1/r}$ then dividing $(6)$ by $q$ and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get

$$f(x) = f(x) + e_q$$

where $e_q \geq c/q$, a contradiction. Therefore there is a positive $\varepsilon < q^{-1-1/r}$ such that there are infinitely many $n$ with $j_n = 0$ and $e_n = 0$. But then as in Step 5 we have that the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+ x + \mathbb{R}_+ x_\varepsilon}$ is linear and we are done.

**Step 10:** Assume finally that $x$ is a non-rational point contained in a rational hyperplane; let $H$ be a rational plane of the smallest dimension containing $x$ and set $k = \dim H$. Let $R = \mathbb{R}_+ v$.

By Theorem 3.1 there is a rational cone $C = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}_+ g_i \subset H$ with $g_i$ being rational points such that $f|_C$ is linear and $x \in \text{relint} C$, or equivalently $x = \sum \lambda_i g_i$ with all $\lambda_i > 0$. Take a rational point $y = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i$. Then by Step 9 there is a point $x' = \alpha y + \beta v$ with $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+ y + \mathbb{R}_+ x'}$ is linear. Now we have

$$f\left(\sum g_i + x'\right) = f(y + x') = f(y) + f(x') = \sum f(g_i) + f(x'),$$
so the map $f|_{C+\mathbb{R}^r}$ is linear by Lemma 2.6. Taking $\mu = \max_i \{\alpha/(\lambda_i\beta)\}$ and setting $\hat{v} = \mu x + v \in \text{relint}(\mathbb{R}^r x + R)$, it is easy to check that
\[
\hat{v} = \sum (\mu \lambda_i - \alpha/\beta) g_i + x'/\beta \in C + \mathbb{R}^r x',
\]
so the map $f|_{\mathbb{R}^r x + \mathbb{R}^r} \hat{v}$ is linear. 

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.6.** Assume the notation from Lemma 3.5. Then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n/n = qx.
\]

**Proof.** I work with the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$; denote $w_n = v_n/(n+r+1)$. It is enough to prove $\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = qx$. By restricting to the hyperplane $(z_0 = q)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ I assume the ambient space is $\mathbb{R}^r$.

**Step 1:** Let $\sigma$ denote the simplex with vertices $u_0, \ldots, u_r$ and let $d = \sqrt{2}$ be the diameter of $\sigma$. For each $i$, let $\sigma_i$ be the simplex with vertices $qx$ and $u_j$ for $j \neq i$. The points $w_n$ belong to $\sigma$ and
\[
w_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+r+2} ((n+r+1)w_n + u_{j_n}),
\]
so we immediately get
\[
\|w_n - w_{n+1}\| \leq d/(n+r+2).
\]
For $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \binom{r+1}{2}$ let $H_\alpha$ be all hyperplanes containing the faces of the simplices $\sigma_i$ which contain $qx$.

**Step 2:** Let us prove that for each $\alpha$ and for each $n$,
\[
\text{dist}\{w_{n+1}, H_\alpha\} < \text{dist}\{w_n, H_\alpha\}
\]
if the segment $[w_n, w_{n+1}]$ does not intersect $H_\alpha$, and otherwise
\[
\text{dist}\{w_{n+1}, H_\alpha\} < d/(n+r+2).
\]
To this end, if $H_\alpha$ contains $u_{j_n}$, then obviously $\text{dist}\{w_{n+1}, H_\alpha\} < \text{dist}\{w_n, H_\alpha\}$. If $H_\alpha$ does not contain $u_{j_n}$, then $u_{j_n}$ and $w_n$ are on different sides of $H_\alpha$. Now if the segment $[w_n, w_{n+1}]$ does not intersect $H_\alpha$ then (8) is obvious, whereas otherwise (8) follows from (7).

Step 3: Now assume that for each $\alpha$, there are infinitely many segments $[w_n, w_{n+1}]$ intersecting $H_\alpha$. Then from (8) and (9) we get
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{dist}\{w_n, H_\alpha\} = 0
\]
and thus the sequence $w_n$ accumulates on each of the hyperplanes $H_\alpha$. But $\bigcap_\alpha H_\alpha = \{q_x\}$, so $\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = q_x$.

Step 4: Finally let $\alpha_0$ be such that no segment $[w_n, w_{n+1}]$ intersects $H_{\alpha_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{dist}\{w_n, H_{\alpha_0}\} = \rho > 0$ (the sequence $\text{dist}\{w_n, H_{\alpha_0}\}$ converges by (8)). Therefore there is a hyperplane $H_\rho$ parallel to $H_{\alpha_0}$ such that $\text{dist}\{H_\rho, H_{\alpha_0}\} = \rho$ and the sequence $w_n$ accumulates on $H_\rho$: let $W_1$ and $W_2$ be the two half-spaces such that $W_1 \cup W_2 = \mathbb{R}^r$ and $W_1 \cap W_2 = H_\rho$. Relabelling we can assume $u_0, \ldots, u_{r-1}, q_x \in W_1$ and $w_n, u_r \in W_2$ for all $n \geq n_0$; observe that then $u_{j_n} \in \{u_0, \ldots, u_{r-1}\}$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

By change of coordinates I may assume that $H_{\alpha_0}$ contains the origin. Fix a nonzero vector $a$ perpendicular to $H_{\alpha_0}$ such that $W_2 \subset \{z \in \mathbb{R}^r : \langle a, z \rangle \geq 0\}$. Since $W_2 \cap H_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ the linear function $\langle a, \cdot \rangle$ attains its minimum $m > 0$ on the compact set $W_2 \cap \sigma$. Then since $\langle a, u_{j_n} \rangle \leq 0$ for $n \geq n_0$ we have
\[
\text{dist}\{w_n, H_{\alpha_0}\} - \text{dist}\{w_{n+1}, H_{\alpha_0}\} = \frac{\langle a, w_n - w_{n+1} \rangle}{\|a\|} = \frac{\langle a, w_n - u_{j_n} \rangle}{(n + r + 2)\|a\|} \geq \frac{m}{(n + r + 2)\|a\|},
\]
and therefore
\[
\text{dist}\{w_{n_0}, H_{\alpha_0}\} \geq \frac{m}{\|a\|} \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{1}{n + r + 2} = +\infty,
\]
a contradiction. $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ be a finitely generated monoid and let $f : S_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a superlinear map. Assume there is a real number $c > 0$ such that for every $s_1, s_2 \in S$, either $f(s_1 + s_2) = f(s_1) + f(s_2)$ or $f(s_1 + s_2) \geq f(s_1) + f(s_2) + c$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a polyhedral cone in $\text{int} S_\mathbb{R}$.

Then for every 2-plane $H$ the map $f|_{\mathcal{C}\cap H}$ is piecewise linear.

**Proof.** If $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_i$ is a finite subdivision of $\mathcal{C}$ into rational simplicial cones, then $f|_{\mathcal{C}\cap H}$ is PL if and only if $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i\cap H}$ is PL for every $i$, so I assume...
\( C \) is simplicial. Take a basis \( g_1, \ldots, g_{r+1} \in S \) of \( C \), set \( s := \sum g_i \) and let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) be a rational number such that \( g_i + \alpha(s - g_i) \in \text{int} S_{\mathbb{R}} \) for all \( i \). Take \( g'_i \in S \cap \mathbb{R}_+(g_i + \alpha(s - g_i)) \). It is easy to check that \( g'_i \) are linearly independent and that \( C \subset \text{int}(\sum \mathbb{R}_+ g'_i) \). Therefore I can assume \( S = \mathbb{N}^{r+1} \).

By Lemma 3.5, for every ray \( R \subset C \cap H \) there is a polyhedral cone \( C_R \) with \( R \subset C_R \subset C \cap H \) such that there is a polyhedral decomposition \( C_R = C_{R,1} \cup C_{R,2} \) with \( f_{|C_{R,1}} \) and \( f_{|C_{R,2}} \) being linear maps, and if \( R \subset \text{relint}(C \cap H) \), then \( R \subset \text{relint} C_R \).

Let \( \| \cdot \| \) be the standard Euclidean norm and let \( S = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1} : \| z \| = 1 \} \) be the unit sphere. Restricting to the compact set \( S \cap C \cap H \) we can choose finitely many polyhedral cones \( C_i \) with \( C \cap H = \bigcup C_i \) such that each \( f_{|C_i} \) is PL. But then \( f_{|C \cap H} \) is PL. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( f \) be a superlinear function on a polyhedral cone \( C \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \) with \( \text{dim} C = r+1 \) such that for every 2-plane \( H \) the function \( f_{|H \cap C} \) is piecewise linear. Then \( f \) is piecewise linear.

**Proof.** I will prove the lemma by induction on the dimension.

**Step 1:** Fix a ray \( R \subset C \). In this step I prove that for any ray \( R' \subset C \) there is an \((r+1)\)-dimensional cone \( C_{r+1} \subset C \) containing \( R \) such that the map \( f_{|C_{r+1}} \) is linear and \( C_{r+1} \cap (R + R') \neq R \).

Let \( H_r \supset (R + R') \) be any hyperplane. By induction there is an \( r \)-dimensional polyhedral cone \( C_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_+ e_i \subset H_r \cap C \) containing \( R \) such that \( f_{|C_r} \) is linear and \( C_r \cap (R + R') \neq R \). Set \( e_0 = e_1 + \cdots + e_r \). Let \( H_2 \) be a 2-plane such that \( H_2 \cap H_r = \mathbb{R}_+ e_0 \). Since \( f_{|H_2 \cap C} \) is PL, there is a point \( e_{r+1} \in H_2 \cap C \) such that \( f_{|\mathbb{R}_+ e_0 + \mathbb{R}_+ e_{r+1}} \) is linear. Set \( C_{r+1} = \mathbb{R}_+ e_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+ e_{r+1} \). Then we have

\[
 f \left( \sum e_i \right) = f(e_0 + e_{r+1}) = f(e_0) + f(e_{r+1}) = \sum f(e_i),
\]

so the map \( f_{|C_{r+1}} \) is linear by Lemma 2.6. Observe that choosing \( e_{r+1} \) appropriately we can ensure that the cone \( C_{r+1} \) is contained in either of the half-spaces into which \( H_r \) divides \( \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \).

**Step 2:** Fix a ray \( R \subset C \) and let \( C_{r+1} \) be any \((r+1)\)-dimensional cone such that \( f_{|C_{r+1}} \) is linear. Let \( \ell \) be the linear extension of \( f_{|C_{r+1}} \) to \( \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \). Let \( \hat{C} = \{ z \in C : f(z) = \ell(z) \} \); it is a closed cone by Step 6 of the proof of Lemma 3.5.

I claim \( \hat{C} \) is a locally polyhedral cone (and thus polyhedral). Otherwise, fix a boundary ray \( R_{\infty} \) and let \( H \) be any hyperplane containing \( R_{\infty} \) such that \( H \cap \text{int} \hat{C} \neq \emptyset \). Let \( R_n \) be a sequence of boundary rays which converge to \( R_{\infty} \) and they are all on the same side of \( H \).
Let $T \supset R_\infty$ be any hyperplane tangent to $\hat{C}$. Fix an $(r - 1)$-plane $H_{r-1} \subset T$ containing $R_\infty$ and let $H_{r-1}^\perp$ be the unique 2-plane orthogonal to $H_{r-1}$. For each $n$ consider a hyperplane $H_{r-1}^{(n)}$ generated by $H_{r-1}$ and $R_n$ (if $R_n \subset H_{r-1}$ then we can finish by induction on the dimension). Let $\| \cdot \|$ be the standard Euclidean norm and let $S = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1} : \| z \| = 1 \}$ be the unit sphere. The set of points $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (S \cap H_{r-1}^{(n)} \cap H_r^{(n)})$ has a limit $P_\infty$ on the circle $S \cap H_{r-1}^{(n)}$ and let $H_r^{(\infty)}$ be the hyperplane generated by $H_{r-1}$ and $P_\infty$; without loss of generality I can assume all $R_n$ are on the same side of $H_r^{(\infty)}$.

Now by the construction in Step 1, there is an $(r + 1)$-dimensional cone $C_\infty$ such that $C_\infty \cap H_r^{(\infty)}$ is a face of $C_\infty$, $f|_{C_\infty}$ is linear and $C_\infty$ intersects hyperplanes $H_r^{(n)}$ for all $n \gg 0$. In particular $R_n \subset C_\infty$ for all $n \gg 0$ and $\text{int}C_\infty \cap \hat{C} \neq \emptyset$. Let $w \in \text{int}C_\infty \cap \hat{C}$ and let $B \subset \text{int}C_\infty$ be a small ball centred at $w$. Then the cone $B \cap \hat{C}$ is $(r + 1)$-dimensional (otherwise the cone $\hat{C}$ would be contained in a hyperplane) and thus $C_\infty \cap \hat{C}$ is an $(r + 1)$-dimensional cone. Therefore the linear extension of $f|_{C_\infty}$ coincides with $\ell$ and thus $C_\infty \subset \hat{C}$. Since $R_n \not\subset \text{int}\hat{C}$ we must have $R_n \subset C_\infty \cap H_r^{(\infty)}$, and we finish by induction on the dimension.

Step 3: Again fix a ray $R \subset C$. By Steps 1 and 2 there is a collection of $(r + 1)$-dimensional polyhedral cones $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_R}$ such that $R \subset C_\alpha \subset \hat{C}$ for every $\alpha \in I_R$, for every ray $R' \subset \hat{C}$ there is an $\alpha \in I_R$ such that $C_\alpha \cap (R + R') \neq R$ and for every two distinct $\alpha, \beta \in I_R$ the linear extensions of $f|_{C_\alpha}$ and $f|_{C_\beta}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ are not the same function. I will prove that $I_R$ is a finite set.

For each $\alpha \in I_R$ let $x_\alpha$ be a point in $\text{int}C_\alpha$ and let $H_\alpha = (R + \mathbb{R}^+x_\alpha) \cup (-R + \mathbb{R}^+x_\alpha)$. Let $R_\alpha \subset H_\alpha$ be the unique ray orthogonal to $R$. Let $R_\alpha^\perp$ be the hyperplane orthogonal to $R$. For each $\alpha$ let $S \cap R_\alpha^\perp \cap H_\alpha = \{ Q_\alpha \}$. If there are infinitely many cones $C_\alpha$, then the set $\{ Q_\alpha : \alpha \in I_R \}$ has an accumulation point $Q_\infty$. Let $H_\infty = (R + \mathbb{R}^+Q_\infty) \cup (-R + \mathbb{R}^+Q_\infty)$, let $H_n$ be a sequence in the set $\{ H_\alpha \}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_n = Q_\infty$ where $S \cap R_\alpha^\perp \cap H_n = \{ Q_n \}$, and let $C_n$ be the corresponding cones in $\{ C_\alpha \}$.

By assumptions of the lemma there is a point $y \in H_\infty$ such that $f|_{R + \mathbb{R}^+y}$ is linear. Let $x$ be a point on $R$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be any hyperplane such that $\mathcal{H} \cap (R x + \mathbb{R}^+y) = \mathbb{R}(x + y)$. By induction there are $r$-dimensional polyhedral cones $C_{1}, \ldots, C_k$ in $\mathcal{H} \cap C$ such that $x + y \in C_i$ for all $i$, there is a small $r$-dimensional ball $B_{(r)} \subset \mathcal{H}$ centred at $x + y$ such that $B_{(r)} \cap C = B_{(r)} \cap (C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_k)$ and the map $f|_{C_i}$ is linear for
every $i$. Fix $i$ and let $g_{ij}$ be generators of $\mathcal{C}_i$. Then

$$f\left(\sum_j g_{ij} + x + y\right) = \sum_j f(g_{ij}) + f(x + y) = \sum_j f(g_{ij}) + f(x) + f(y),$$

so $f$ is linear on the cone $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_i = \mathcal{C}_i + \mathbb{R}_+ x + \mathbb{R}_+ y$ by Lemma \ref{lem:linear_extension}. Therefore if we denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{C}_k + \mathbb{R}_+ x + \mathbb{R}_+ y$, then $f|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is PL and there is a small ball $B_{r+1}$ centred at $x + y$ such that $B_{r+1} \cap \mathcal{C} = B_{r+1} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Take a ball $B_\varepsilon$ of radius $\varepsilon < 1$ centred at $x + y$ such that $x \notin B_\varepsilon$ and $B_\varepsilon \cap \mathcal{C} = B_\varepsilon \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\|Q_n - Q_\infty\| < \varepsilon$ for $n > 0$, then considering the subspace generated by $R, Q_n$ and $Q_\infty$ we obtain that $H_n$ intersects int $B_\varepsilon$ for $n > 0$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_i$, there is an index $i_0$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_0} \cap \text{int } B_\varepsilon$ intersects infinitely many $H_n$. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_0} \cap \text{int } C_n \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many $n$ and therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_0} \cap C_n$ is an $(r+1)$-dimensional cone as in Step 2. Thus for every such $n$ the linear extensions of $f|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_0}}$ and $f|_{C_n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ are the same since they coincide with the linear extension of $f|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_0} \cap C_n}$, which is a contradiction and $I_R$ is finite.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** By Corollary \ref{cor:linear_extension} and Lemma \ref{lem:finite_extension} the map $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is PL; in other words we can choose finitely many polyhedral cones $\mathcal{C}_i$ with $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_i$ such that $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is linear for each $i$. We can assume the linear extensions of the maps $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ and $f|_{\mathcal{C}_j}$ to $\mathbb{R}^r$ are not the same by Step 6 of the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:finite_extension}.

Let $H$ be a hyperplane which contains a common $(r-1)$-dimensional face of cones $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_j$ and assume $H$ is not rational. Then similarly as in Step 1 of the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:finite_extension} there is a point $x \in \mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j$ whose components are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. By the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:finite_extension} there is an $r$-dimensional cone $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $x \in \text{int } \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and the map $f|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is linear. But then as in Step 2 of the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:finite_extension} the cones $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{C}_j$ are $r$-dimensional and linear extensions of $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ and $f|_{\mathcal{C}_j}$ coincide since they are equal to the linear extension of $f|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$, a contradiction. Therefore all $(r-1)$-dimensional faces of the cones $\mathcal{C}_i$ belong to rational hyperplanes and thus $\mathcal{C}_i$ are rational cones. Thus the map $f|_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-PL. \qed
4. b-Divisorial algebras

**Definition 4.1.** Let $X$ be a variety and let $S$ be a submonoid of $\mathbb{N}^r$. If $\mathfrak{m}: S \to \text{Div}(X)$ is a superadditive (respectively additive) map, the system of b-divisors $\mathfrak{m}(S) = \{ \mathfrak{m}(s) \}_{s \in S}$ is called superadditive (respectively additive).

The system $\mathfrak{m}(S)$ (respectively the map $\mathfrak{m}$) is called bounded if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- there is a reduced divisor $F$ on $X$ such that $\text{Supp} \, \mathfrak{m}(s)_X \subset F$ for every $s \in S$, that is $\mathfrak{m}$ has bounded support on $X$,
- for every $s \in S$, the limit $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \mathfrak{m}(\kappa s)/\kappa$ exists in $\text{Div}(X)_R$.

If $S'$ is a truncation of a finitely generated monoid $S$, the superadditive system $\mathfrak{m}(S')$ is called a truncation of the system $\mathfrak{m}(S)$.

Let $\pi: X \to Z$ be a projective morphism of normal varieties and let $\mathfrak{m}: S \to \text{Mob}(X)$ be a bounded superadditive map such that $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{m}(s))$ is a coherent sheaf for all $s \in S$. Let us consider a b-divisorial $S$-graded $\mathcal{O}_Z$-algebra

$$R(X, \mathfrak{m}(S)) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{m}(s)).$$

$R(X, \mathfrak{m}(S))$ is canonically a graded subalgebra of $k(Z)[T_1, \ldots, T_r]$.

Since here we are interested primarily in finite generation questions, I almost always assume that $Z$ is affine.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $X$ be a variety, let $S$ be a monoid and let $\mathfrak{m}: S \to \text{Mob}(X)$ be a superadditive map. Let $F$ be a b-divisor on $X$ with $[F] \geq 0$.

We say the system $\mathfrak{m}(S)$ is $F$-saturated (or that it satisfies the saturation condition with respect to $F$) if for all $s, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ such that $s = \xi_1 s_1 + \cdots + \xi_n s_n$ for some non-negative rational numbers $\xi_i$, there is a model $Y_{s, s_1, \ldots, s_n} \to X$ such that for all models $Y \to Y_{s, s_1, \ldots, s_n}$ we have

$$\text{Mob}[\xi_1 \mathfrak{m}(s_1)_Y + \cdots + \xi_n \mathfrak{m}(s_n)_Y + F_Y] \leq \mathfrak{m}(s)_Y.$$

If the models $Y_{s, s_1, \ldots, s_n}$ do not depend on $s, s_1, \ldots, s_n$, we say the system $\mathfrak{m}(S)$ is uniformly $F$-saturated.

**Remark 4.3.** It is important to understand that the numbers $\xi_i$ in the previous definition are rational, and that $s$ is not merely an integral combination of $s_i$. This fact is crucial in proofs.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $X$ be a variety, let $S$ be a monoid and let $\mathfrak{m}: S \to \text{Mob}(X)$ be a superadditive map. Let $F$ be a b-divisor on $X$ with $[F] \geq 0$. The system $\mathfrak{m}(S)$ is $F$-saturated if and only if for all $s \in S$
and all positive integers $\lambda$ and $\mu$, there is a model $Y_{s,\lambda,\mu} \to X$ such that for all models $Y \to Y_{s,\lambda,\mu}$ we have

$$\text{Mob}[(\lambda/\mu)m(\mu s)_Y + F_Y] \leq m(\lambda s)_Y.$$  

**Proof.** Necessity is clear. For sufficiency, fix $s, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ and fix non-negative rational numbers $\xi_i$ such that $s = \xi_1 s_1 + \cdots + \xi_n s_n$. Let $\lambda$ be a positive integer such that $\lambda \xi_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i$. Then on all models $Y$ higher than $Y_{s,1,\lambda}$ we have

$$\text{Mob}[\xi_1 m(s_1)_Y + \cdots + \xi_n m(s_n)_Y + F_Y] = \text{Mob}[(1/\lambda)(\lambda \xi_1 m(s_1)_Y + \cdots + \lambda \xi_n m(s_n)_Y) + F_Y] \leq \text{Mob}[(1/\lambda)m(\lambda s)_Y + F_Y] \leq m(s)_Y.$$  

Therefore we can take $Y_{s,s_1,\ldots,s_n} := Y_{s,1,\lambda}$. □

**Definition 4.5.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a relative weak Fano klt pair projective over an affine variety $Z$ where $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a finitely generated monoid. A Shokurov algebra on $X$ is the b-divisorial algebra $R(X, m(S))$, where $m: S \to \text{Mob}(X)$ is a superadditive map such that the system $m(S)$ is bounded and $A(X,\Delta)$-saturated.

**Remark 4.6.** If $\dim S_S = 1$, the previous definition reduces to the definition of the Shokurov algebra as given in [Cor07].

The next result says that saturation is preserved under restriction.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a relative weak Fano pair projective over an affine variety $Z$ and let $S$ be a prime component in $\Delta$. Let $S$ be a finitely generated monoid and assume the system of mobile b-divisors $\{M_{s\Delta}\}_{s \in S}$ on $X$ is $(A(X,\Delta) + S)$-saturated. Assume also that $S$ is not contained in $\text{Supp} M_{s\Delta}$ for any $s \in S$. Then the system $\{\text{res}_S M_{s\Delta}\}_{s \in S}$ on $S$ is $A(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$-saturated.

**Proof.** This is analogous to [Cor07], Lemma 2.3.43, Lemma 2.4.3. In particular, the claim follows as soon as we have the surjectivity of the restriction map

$$H^0(Y, [\sum \xi_i M_{s_i Y} + (A(X,\Delta) + S)_Y]) \to H^0(S_Y, [\sum \xi_i M_{s_i Y|S_Y} + A(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))_{S_Y}])$$  

for all $\xi_i \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and all $s_i \in S$, on log resolutions $f: Y = Y_{s_1,\ldots,s_n} \to X$ where $M_{s_i Y}$ is free for every $i$. The obstruction to surjectivity is the
group
\[ H^1(Y, \left[ \sum \xi_i M_{a,Y} + A(X, \Delta) \right] ) \]
\[ = H^1(Y, K_Y + \left[ - f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum \xi_i M_{a,Y} \right] ) . \]

But this group vanishes by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing since \(-(K_X + \Delta)\) is nef and big and all \(M_{a,Y}\) are nef.

\[ \square \]

**Definition 4.8.** Let \(\pi: X \to Z\) be a projective morphism of varieties, let \(S \subset \mathbb{N}^r\) be a finitely generated monoid and let \(\delta: S \to \mathbb{N}\) be an additive map. Assume \(\{B_s\}_{s \in S}\) is a system of effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-b-divisors on \(X\) such that

1. the system \(\{\delta(s)B_s\}_{s \in S}\) is superadditive and bounded,
2. for each \(s \in S\) there is a divisor \(\Delta_s\) on \(X\) such that \(K_X + \Delta_s\) is klt and \(\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} (1/\kappa)B_{\kappa s X} \leq \Delta_s\),
3. for each \(s \in S\) there is a model \(Y_s\) over \(X\) and a mobile b-divisor \(M_s\) such that \(M_sY = \text{Mob}(\delta(s)(K_Y + B_{a,Y}))\) for every model \(Y\) over \(Y_s\).

Let \(m: S \to \text{Mob}(X)\) be the superadditive map given by \(m(s) = M_s\) for all \(s \in S\).

If the system \(m(S)\) is \(F\)-saturated for some b-divisor \(F\) with \([F] \geq 0\), we say the system \(m(S)\) is \(\text{adjoint}\) and that the algebra \(R(X, m(S))\) is an \(\text{adjoint algebra}\) on \(X\).

5. Finite generation revisited

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \(X\) be a variety projective over an affine variety \(Z\), let \(S = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}e_i\) be a monoid and let \(m: S \to \text{Mob}(X)\) be a superadditive map. If there are positive integers \(\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n\) and a truncation \(S' = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N} \kappa_i e_i\) of \(S\) such that \(R(X, m(S'))\) is finitely generated, then the algebra \(R(X, m(S))\) is finitely generated.

**Proof.** It is enough to observe that \(R(X, m(S))\) is an integral extension of \(R(X, m(S'))\): for any \(\varphi \in R(X, m(S))\) we have \(\varphi^{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n} \in R(X, m(S'))\). That concludes the proof.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \(X\) be a variety projective over an affine variety \(Z\). Assume a monoid \(S\) is finitely generated and let \(m: S \to \text{Mob}(X)\) be a superadditive map. If there exists a rational polyhedral refinement \(S_R = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Delta_i\) such that \(m_{\Delta_i, S}\) is an additive map up to truncation for each \(i\), then the algebra \(R(X, m(S))\) is finitely generated.
Thus the algebra $R$ set of generators of $R$ Cox ring $R$. The canonical projection $\hat{\text{Fix}}$ 2.8. The canonical projection $\hat{\text{Fix}}$ 5.3. Let $\kappa$ 2.4 and let $G$ be a superadditive map to a monoid for each $i$. From here we have $\sum_{j \in I_i} \mu_j e_{ij} = \sum_{j \in I_i} \mu_j e_{ij}$.

From here we have $e = \kappa \sum_{j \in I_i} \mu_j e_{ij} \in \sum_{j \in I_i} \kappa e_{ij}$ and therefore $\Delta_i \cap S' = \sum_{j \in I_i} \kappa e_{ij}$ is a truncation of $\sum_{j \in I_i} \kappa e_{ij}$; in particular $m_{\Delta_i \cap S'}$ is additive for each $i$.

I claim the algebra $R(X, m(S'))$ is finitely generated, and thus the algebra $\bar{R}(X, m(S))$ is finitely generated by Lemma 5.1. To this end let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a model such that $m(\kappa e_{ij})$ for all $i, j$ descend to $Y$. Let $s = \sum_{j \in I_i} \nu_j \kappa e_{ij} \in \Delta_i \cap S'$ for some $i$ and some $\nu_j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$m(s) = \sum_{j \in I_i} \nu_j m(\kappa e_{ij}) = \sum_{j \in I_i} \nu_j \frac{m(\kappa e_{ij})Y}{m(s)_Y},$$

and thus $m(s)$ descends to $Y$ and

$$R(X, m(S')) = \bigoplus_{s \in S'} H^0(Y, m(s)_Y).$$

Fix $i$ and consider the free monoid $\hat{S}_i = \bigoplus_{j \in I_i} \kappa e_{ij}$; the associated Cox ring $\overline{R}(Y, \{m(\kappa e_{ij})_Y\}_{j \in I_i})$ is finitely generated by [HK00, Lemma 2.8]. The canonical projection $\hat{S}_i \rightarrow \Delta_i \cap S'$ gives the surjection

$$R(Y, \{m(\kappa e_{ij})_Y\}_{j \in I_i}) \rightarrow R(X, m(\Delta_i \cap S')),$$

thus the algebra $R(X, m(\Delta_i \cap S'))$ is finitely generated for each $i$. The set of generators of $R(X, m(\Delta_i \cap S'))$ for all $i$ generates $R(X, m(S'))$ and the claim follows.

**Definition 5.3.** Let $S$ be a monoid and let $f : S \rightarrow G$ be a superadditive map to a monoid $G$.

For every $s \in S$, the smallest positive integer $\iota_s$, if it exists, such that $f(\mathbb{N}_s s)$ is an additive system is called the index of $s$ (otherwise we set $\iota_s = \infty$).

I can finally make a connection with superlinear functions.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $X$ be a variety, $S$ a finitely generated monoid and let $f : S \rightarrow G$ be a superadditive map to a monoid $G$ which is a subset of $\text{WDiv}(X)$ or $\text{Div}(X)$, such that for every $s \in S$ the index $\iota_s$ is finite.
Then there is a unique superlinear function $\tilde{f}^\sharp: S_\mathbb{R} \to G_\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $s \in S$ there is a positive integer $\lambda$ such that $f(\lambda s) = \tilde{f}^\sharp(\lambda s)$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rational polyhedral subcone of $S_\mathbb{R}$. Then $f|_{\mathcal{C}\cap S}$ is additive up to truncation if and only if $f|_{\mathcal{C}}^\sharp$ is linear.

**Proof.** The construction will show that $f^\sharp$ is the unique function with the stated properties. To start with, fix a point $s \in S_\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\kappa$ be a positive integer such that $\kappa s \in S$. Set

$$ f^\sharp(s) := \frac{f(\kappa s)}{\kappa}. $$

This is well-defined: take another $\kappa'$ such that $\kappa' s \in S$. Then by the definition of the index we have

$$ f(\kappa \kappa' s) = \kappa' \kappa f(\kappa' s) = \kappa' \kappa' \kappa f(\kappa s), $$

so $f(\kappa s)/\kappa = f(\kappa' s)/\kappa'$. 

Now let $s \in S_\mathbb{Q}$, let $\xi$ be a positive rational number and let $\lambda$ be a sufficiently divisible positive integer such that $\lambda \xi s \in S$. Then

$$ f^\sharp(\xi s) = \frac{f(\lambda \xi s)}{\lambda \xi s} = \frac{f(\lambda \xi \lambda s)}{\lambda \xi \lambda} = \xi f^\sharp(s), $$

so $f^\sharp$ is positively homogeneous (with respect to rational scalars). It is also superadditive: let $s_1, s_2 \in S_\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\kappa$ be a sufficiently divisible positive integer such that $f(\kappa s_1) = f^\sharp(\kappa s_1)$, $f(\kappa s_2) = f^\sharp(\kappa s_2)$ and $f(\kappa(s_1 + s_2)) = f^\sharp(\kappa(s_1 + s_2))$. By superadditivity of $f$ we have

$$ f(\kappa s_1) + f(\kappa s_2) \leq f(\kappa(s_1 + s_2)), $$

so dividing the inequality by $\kappa$ we obtain superadditivity of $f^\sharp$.

Let $E$ be any divisor on $X$, respectively any geometric valuation $E$ over $X$, when $G \subset \text{WDiv}(X)$, respectively $G \subset \text{Div}(X)$. Consider the function $f^\sharp_E$ given by $f^\sharp_E(s) = \text{mult}_E f^\sharp(s)$. Proposition 2.3 applied to each $f^\sharp_E$ shows that $f^\sharp$ extends to a superlinear function on the whole $S_\mathbb{R}$.

For the statement on cones, necessity is clear. Assume $f^\sharp|_\mathcal{C}$ is linear and by Lemma 2.4 let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be generators of $\mathcal{C} \cap S$. For $s_0 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ we have

$$ f^\sharp(s_0) = f^\sharp(e_1) + \cdots + f^\sharp(e_n). \quad (10) $$

Let $\mu$ be a positive integer such that $f(\mu s_0) = f^\sharp(\mu s_0)$ and $f(\mu e_i) = f^\sharp(\mu e_i)$ for all $i$. From (10) we obtain

$$ f(\mu s_0) = f(\mu e_1) + \cdots + f(\mu e_n), $$
and Lemma 2.3 implies that \( f^\sharp \) is additive on the truncation \( \hat{\mathcal{S}} = \sum \mathbb{N}\mu e_i \) of \( \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S} \). 

**Definition 5.5.** In the context of Lemma 5.4 the function \( f^\sharp \) is called the straightening of \( f \).

**Remark 5.6.** In the context of the assumptions of Lemma 5.4 let \( s \in \mathcal{S} \). Let \( \lambda \) be a positive integer such that \( f^\sharp(\lambda s) = f(\lambda s) \). Then for every positive integer \( \mu \) we have

\[
f(\mu \lambda s) \geq \mu f(\lambda s) = \mu f^\sharp(\lambda s) = f^\sharp(\mu \lambda s) \geq f(\mu \lambda s),
\]

so \( f(\mu \lambda s) = \mu f(\lambda s) \). Therefore the index \( \iota_s \) is the smallest integer \( \lambda \) such that \( f^\sharp(\lambda s) = f(\lambda s) \).

**Remark 5.7.** The formulations of Conjectures A and B are in general the best possible, that is we cannot extend the results to the boundary of the cone \( \mathcal{S}_R \). For let \( X \) be a variety, let \( \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{N}^2 \) and assume \( m: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Mob}(X) \) is a superadditive map such that the system \( m(\mathcal{S}) \) is bounded and \( \mathbf{F} \)-saturated. Let \( n: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Mob}(X) \) be the superadditive map given by

\[
n(s) = \begin{cases} m(s), & s \in \mathcal{S}_R \setminus \text{int} \mathcal{S}_R, \\ m(2s), & s \in \text{int} \mathcal{S}_R. \end{cases}
\]

Since saturation is the property of rays by Lemma 4.3 the system \( n(\mathcal{S}) \) is again \( \mathbf{F} \)-saturated. However the algebra \( R(X, n(\mathcal{S})) \) is not finitely generated since the map \( n^\sharp \) is not continuous on the whole \( \mathcal{S}_R \).

### 6. Curve case

In this section I will confirm Conjectures A and B on an affine curve.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( X \) be an affine curve, let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a finitely generated submonoid of \( \mathbb{N}^r \) and let \( m: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Mob}(X) \) be a superadditive map such that the system \( m(\mathcal{S}) \) is bounded and \( \mathbf{F} \)-saturated. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a rational polyhedral cone in \( \text{int} \mathcal{S}_R \).

Then the algebra \( R(X, m(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S})) \) is finitely generated.

**Remark 6.2.** Observe that on a curve b-divisors are just the usual divisors. Also all divisors move in the corresponding linear systems, so the saturation condition reads

\[
[(\mu/\nu)m(\nu s) + \mathbf{F}] \leq m(\mu s)
\]

for every \( s \in \mathcal{S} \) and all positive integers \( \mu \) and \( \nu \). By boundedness the limit \( \lim_{\mu \to \infty} m(\mu s)/\mu \) exists for every \( s \in \mathcal{S} \). Therefore for each \( s \in \mathcal{S} \) the algebra \( R(X, m(\mathcal{N}s)) \) is finitely generated, see [Cor07, 2.3.10], and
thus the index of every \( s \in S \) is finite. Furthermore the map \( m^\sharp|_E \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-PL if and only if for every prime divisor \( E \) in the support of \( m(S) \) the function \( m^\sharp|_E \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-PL, see the proof of Lemma 5.4. Also the saturation condition on a curve is a component-wise condition, so from now on I assume the system \( m(S) \) is supported at a point.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( X \) be an affine curve, let \( S \) be a finitely generated monoid and let \( m: S \to \text{Mob}(X) \) be a superadditive map such that the system \( m(S) \) is bounded, supported at a point \( P \) and \( F \)-saturated. Let \( m^\sharp: S_\mathbb{R} \to \text{Mob}(X)_\mathbb{R} \) be the straightening of \( m \).

Then there exists a constant \( 0 < b \leq 1/2 \) with the following property: for each \( s \in S \) either \( m^\sharp(s) = m(s) \) or \( m^\sharp(s) = m(s) + e_sP \) for some \( e_s \) with \( b \leq e_s \leq 1 - b \).

**Proof.** Let \( F = -fP \) with \( f < 1 \). Fix \( s \in S \) and assume \( m^\sharp(s) \neq m(s) \).

Then there is the smallest positive integer \( \lambda \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda m(s) & \neq (\lambda + 1)m(s) + e_{\lambda s}P \\
\lambda m(s) & \neq (\lambda + 1)m(s) + e_{\lambda s}P \\
\end{align*}
\]

From the saturation condition we have

\[
\left\lceil \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} m((\lambda + 1)s) - fP \right\rceil \leq m(\lambda s),
\]

that is

\[
\left\lceil m(\lambda s) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1}\right) e_{\lambda s}P - fP \right\rceil \leq m(\lambda s).
\]

This implies \( \lambda/(\lambda + 1) \leq f \), and so \( 1/(\lambda + 1) \geq 1 - f \). Therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
m^\sharp(s) & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} m((\lambda + 1)s) = m(s) + \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} e_{\lambda s}P \\
& \geq m(s) + (1 - f)P.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, let \( \kappa \) be a positive integer such that \( m^\sharp(\kappa s) = m(\kappa s) \). Then saturation gives

\[
\left\lceil (1/\kappa) m(\kappa s) - fP \right\rceil \leq m(s),
\]

that is

\[
\left\lceil m^\sharp(s) - fP \right\rceil \leq m(s).
\]

Hence

\[
m^\sharp(s) - m(s) \leq fP.
\]

In particular if \( f \leq 1/2 \) then \( m^\sharp(s) = m(s) \) for every \( s \in S \). Set \( b := \min\{1 - f, 1/2\} \).

\( \square \)
Lemma 6.4. Let $X$ be an affine curve, let $S$ be a finitely generated monoid and let $m: S \to \text{Mob}(X)$ be a superadditive map such that the system $m(S)$ is bounded, supported at a point $P$ and $F$-saturated. Let $b$ be the constant from Lemma 6.3. Then for each $s \in S$ we have $\iota_s \leq 1/b$.

Proof. Let $m^\sharp: S_\mathbb{R} \to \text{Mob}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ be the straightening of $m$. Observe that Lemma 6.3 implies that $m(s) = \lfloor m^\sharp(s) \rfloor$ for each $s \in S$, and this in turn implies that the index $\iota_s$ is the smallest integer $\lambda$ such that $m^\sharp(\lambda s)$ is an integral divisor (cf. Remark 5.6). Now fix $s \in S$, assume $\iota_s > 1$ and let $m^\sharp(t_s s) = m(t_s s) = \mu_s P$. Notice that $t_s$ and $\mu_s$ must be coprime: otherwise assume $p$ is a prime dividing both $t_s$ and $\mu_s$. Then

$$m^\sharp((t_s/p)s) = (\mu_s/p)P$$

is an integral divisor and so $t_s$ is not the index of $s$, a contradiction. Therefore there is an integer $1 \leq \kappa \leq t_s - 1$ such that $\kappa \mu_s \equiv 1 \pmod{t_s}$, and therefore

$$m^\sharp(\kappa s) = (\kappa \mu_s/t_s)P \quad \text{and} \quad m(\kappa s) = ((\kappa \mu_s - 1)/t_s)P.$$

Combining this with Lemma 6.3 we obtain

$$bP \leq m^\sharp(\kappa s) - m(\kappa s) = (1/t_s)P,$$

and finally $t_s \leq 1/b$. \hfill \Box

Finally we have

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By Lemma 2.4 the monoid $S' = \mathcal{C} \cap S$ is finitely generated and let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be its generators. We have $S'_\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{C}$ and $m^\sharp$ is continuous on $S'_\mathbb{R}$. Setting $\kappa := \lfloor 1/b \rfloor$ for $b$ as in Lemma 6.3 and taking the truncation $\hat{S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N} \kappa e_i$ of $S'$ we have that $m^\sharp(s) = m(s)$ for every $s \in \hat{S}$ by Lemma 6.4 and $S'_\mathbb{R} = \hat{S}_\mathbb{R}$. By Remark 6.2 I assume the system $m(S)$ is supported at a point.

By Corollary 3.2 applied to the monoid $\hat{S}$ the map $m^\sharp|_{\hat{S}_\mathbb{R}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-PL and thus the algebra $R(X, m(S'))$ is finitely generated by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4. \hfill \Box
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