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Abstract—Social media, e-commerce, streaming video, e-mail, cloud documents, web pages, traffic flows, and network packets fill vast digital lakes, rivers, and oceans that we each navigate daily. This digital hyperspace is an amorphous flow of data supported by continuous streams that stretch standard concepts of type and dimension. The unstructured data of digital hyperspace can be elegantly represented, traversed, and transformed via the mathematics of hypergraphs, hypersparse matrices, and associative array algebra. This paper explores a novel mathematical concept, the semilink, that combines pairs of semirings to provide the essential operations for graph analytics, database operations, and machine learning. The GraphBLAS standard currently supports hypergraphs, hypersparse matrices, the mathematics required for semilinks, and seamlessly performs graph, network, and matrix operations. With the addition of key based indices (such as pointers to strings) and semilinks, GraphBLAS can become a richer associative array algebra and be a plug-in replacement for spreadsheets, database tables, and data centric operating systems, enhancing the navigation of unstructured data found in digital hyperspace.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Global usage of the Internet is expected to exceed 5 billion people\textsuperscript{[1]}. The volume, velocity, and variety of Internet data continues to expand. Social media, e-commerce, streaming video, e-mail, cloud documents, web pages, traffic flows, and network packets fill vast digital lakes, rivers, and oceans that we each navigate daily\textsuperscript{[2]}. Some of the most common manifestations of these data are in the form of spreadsheets, database tables, matrices, graphs, and networks. The resulting digital hyperspace is an amorphous flow of data supported by continuous streams of these objects that stretch standard concepts of type and dimension.

Fortunately, the unstructured data of digital hyperspace can be elegantly represented, traversed, and transformed via the mathematics of hypergraphs\textsuperscript{[3]–[5]}, hypersparse matrices\textsuperscript{[6]–[8]}, and associative array algebra\textsuperscript{[9]–[12]}. These mathematics have been implemented in a variety of software libraries, including the GraphBLAS standard\textsuperscript{[13]–[16]} implemented in the C/Matlab/Octave/Python/Julia languages\textsuperscript{[17]–[20]} and the RedisGraph database\textsuperscript{[21]}; the C-MPI CombBLAS parallel library\textsuperscript{[22]}; and the D4M associative array library in Matlab/Octave/Python/Julia languages\textsuperscript{[23]–[27]} with database bindings to SciDB, Accumulo, and PostGreSQL\textsuperscript{[28]–[32]}. The GraphBLAS standard has further enabled hardware acceleration of these mathematics via multithreading\textsuperscript{[33]}, GPUs\textsuperscript{[34]}, and special purpose accelerators\textsuperscript{[35]–[39]}.

Linearity is a key property of these mathematics utilized by the above implementations to leverage extensive linear systems theory\textsuperscript{[12]}. From a perspective, linearity is often manifest through the distributive property

$$a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$$

enabling the reordering of operations critical for effective parallel computation and distributed database query planning. From a data perspective, linearity provides the additive identity and multiplicative annihilator

$$a \oplus 0 = a \quad a \otimes 0 = 0$$

eliminating the need to store 0 entries (an essential property for efficient sparse computations). If, in fact, in this context, the above properties can be used to define 0 for the relevant value set, V, which may, or may not, be the standard arithmetic 0.

Collectively, these mathematical properties are defined by mathematical semirings that are directly supported by the aforementioned technologies. The increasing use of semirings for the manipulation of digital data has led to frequent coupling of distinct semirings in graph analysis\textsuperscript{[7]}, databases\textsuperscript{[11]}, and machine learning computations\textsuperscript{[40]–[42]}. This paper explores some of mathematical properties of coupled semirings, here referred to as semilinks, and offers up some potential paths forward to formalizing and applying this novel mathematics as natural extensions to existing technologies, such as the GraphBLAS standard.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. First, some mathematical preliminaries regarding hypergraphs, hypersparse matrices, and semirings are provided. Associative arrays are then summarized. Next, some general properties of semilinks are explored and some specific possible semilinks are investigated in the context of graph analysis, databases, and machine learning. Finally, some recommendations and conclusions are provided.

II. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

The navigation of diverse digital data can be enhanced by a number of mathematical concepts which underpin the broader algebra of associative arrays which are briefly described in
A. Hypergraphs

Adjacency arrays are a powerful tool for analyzing directed-weighted-graphs, but are unable to represent the diverse data that is commonly found in streaming events. These streaming events can be described as hyper-multi-weighted-directed-graphs and are best represented as incidence (or edge) arrays (see Figure 2), where

\[ \mathbf{E}_\text{out}(k, k_1) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_\text{in}(k, k_2) \neq 0 \]

implies that edge \( k \) comes out of vertex \( k_1 \) and goes into vertex \( k_2 \).

The adjacency array and the edge array are strongly coupled via array multiplication (Figure 3)

\[ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E}_\text{out}^T \mathbf{E}_\text{in} \]

where the individual values in \( \mathbf{A} \) are computed via

\[ A(i, j) = \bigoplus_k \mathbf{E}_\text{out}^T(i, k) \otimes \mathbf{E}_\text{in}(k, j) \]

The adjacency array represents a projection of edge data and is often an initial step in processing diverse digital data.

B. Hypersparse

As the dimensions of digital data expand the concept of sparsity plays an increasing role. Sensor data, such as images, are well presented by dense arrays where the number on non-zero entries \( \text{nnz}(\cdot) \) is small. Physical networks, neural networks, mesh geometries, and other systems where the dimension of the problem is known can often be well represented by sparse arrays where \( \text{nnz}(\cdot) \) is on the order of the number of rows or columns in the array. Data with dimensions that are continuously increasing can be captured by hypersparse arrays where \( \text{nnz}(\cdot) \) is much smaller than the number of rows or columns (Figure 4).

C. Semirings

Obtaining the advantages of linear systems on diverse data involves extending addition \( \oplus \) and multiplication \( \otimes \) beyond standard real numbers to include sets and strings. If the set of values is denoted by \( V \), then pairs of operations \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) that obey the distributive property on values from \( V \) will generally exhibit the desired properties of a linear system. Formally, the mathematical object with the desired mathematical properties is a semiring denoted \( (V, \oplus, \otimes, 0, I) \), where \( 0 \) is the \( \oplus \) identity and \( I \) is the \( \otimes \) identity. Some of the common combinations of addition and multiplication...
operations that have proven valuable are standard arithmetic addition and multiplication $+ \times$, union and intersection $\cup \cap$ in relational databases \cite{43–45}, and various tropical algebras that are important in finance \cite{46,47} and neural networks \cite{40–42}: max,+,-, min,+,-, max,+, min,+, max,min, and min,max. Examples of commonly used semirings are shown in Table I. For a guide to the literature on semirings and their applications see \cite{48}.

### III. Associative Arrays

The full mathematics of associative arrays and the ways they build on the mathematics of the previous section to encompass spreadsheets, database tables, matrices, graphs, networks, and higher dimension tensors are fully described in \cite{9–12}. Only the essential mathematical properties of associative arrays are reviewed here. The essence of associative array algebra is three operations: element-wise addition $\oplus$, element-wise multiplication $\otimes$, and array multiplication $\odot$. In brief, the set of associative arrays are defined as a mapping from sets of keys to values

$$A : K_1 \times K_2 \rightarrow V$$

where $K_1$ (the set of row keys) and $K_2$ (the set of column keys) can be any sortable sets, such as the integers, real numbers, or strings. $V$ is a set of values that forms a semiring $(V,\oplus,\otimes,0,1)$ with addition operation $\oplus$, multiplication operation $\otimes$, additive identity/multiplicative annihilator 0, and multiplicative identity 1. The values can take on many forms, such as numbers, strings, and sets.

Associative array algebra and its specialization in the GraphBLAS reference two main semirings. The first is the element-wise commutative semiring $(A,\oplus,\otimes,0,1)$ built from the two commutative monoids

$$M_0 = (A,\oplus,0) \quad M_1 = (A,\otimes,1)$$

where 0 is the array of all 0 and 1 is the array of all 1. Likewise, the array semiring $(A,\oplus,\odot,0,1)$ built from a commutative monoid and non-commutative monoid

$$M_0 = (A,\oplus,0) \quad M_1 = (A,\odot,1)$$

where $I(k,k) = I$ and 0 otherwise. Many of the properties of associative arrays that will be utilized in the semilink discussion are listed in Table II. Of particular practical importance are those that practically eliminate the dimensional conformance rules required in matrix operations. As a result, associative arrays are typically added and multiplied with little regard for the true dimensions of their large row and column key spaces. What is more important to producing non-trivial results that are not all 0 is some overlap in the non-zero row and column keys of the constituent associative arrays.

### IV. Semirings to Semilinks

The overlap between three monoids and two semirings commonly used in associative arrays suggests investigating them as a potentially new mathematical concept referred to here as a semilink

$$(A,\oplus,\odot,\oplus,\odot,0,1,1)$$

Among the standard (albeit somewhat rare) algebraic structures admitting three binary operations are residuated lattices \cite{49}, Poisson algebras \cite{50}, exponential fields \cite{51}, and quasigroups \cite{52}. The closest in flavor to our semilink that of a composition ring, though even when working over a ring or field the semilink above does not satisfy the identities required to be a composition ring. In addition to being closed under any combination of operations $\oplus,\otimes,$ and $\odot$ on associative arrays, such a combination of monoids/semirings would seem to have several properties. As part of semirings the pairs of operations $\oplus,\otimes$ and $\oplus,\odot$ retain their properties within...
Likewise, if \( \text{row}(A) \cap \text{row}(B) = \emptyset \) or \( \text{col}(B) \cap \text{row}(C) = \emptyset \) then

\[
(A \otimes B) \oplus \odot C = 0
\]

which implies that

\[
\text{row}(A) \cap \text{row}(B) = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \text{col}(B) \cap \text{row}(C) = \emptyset
\]

then

\[
A \otimes (B \oplus \odot C) = (A \otimes B) \oplus \odot C = 0
\]

V. Examples

An important motivation for exploring the semilink concept is their common occurrence in practical applications. In this section several semilinks are explored in the context of graphs, databases, and neural networks.

A. Graph Analytics

The general semilink

\[
(A_1 \oplus \odot, \oplus, \odot, 0, 1, I)
\]

covers a number of important operations in graph analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the duality between the fundamental operation of graphs (breadth-first-search) and the fundamental operation of arrays (array multiplication) \( \oplus \odot \). Figure 5 shows how element-wise addition \( \oplus \) and element-wise multiplication \( \odot \) correspond to graph union and graph intersection, which are also important graph operations. In these graph operations, the essence of the calculation is topological and is determined by the presence of non-zero values in the result and not the exact value itself. Thus, the core topological aspects of graph breadth-first-search, graph union, and graph intersection operations hold for any semiring on the values of the corresponding associative array, including all the semirings listed in Table I.

B. Database Operations

Many database table operations can be mapped onto well-defined mathematical operations with known mathematical properties (see Figure 9). For example, relational (or SQL) databases [33]–[55] are described by relational algebra [43]–[45] that corresponds to the union-intersection semiring \( \cup \cap \). Triple-store databases (NoSQL) [57]–[61] and analytic databases (NewSQL) [31], [62]–[66] follow similar mathematics [11]. The table operations of these databases are further encompassed by associative array algebra, which brings the beneficial properties of array mathematics and sparse linear systems theory, such as closure, commutativity, associativity, and distributivity [12]. These mathematical properties provide strong correctness and linearity guarantees that are independent of scale and particularly helpful when trying to reason about massively parallel systems.

The full mathematics of associative arrays and the ways they encompass relational algebra are described in the aforementioned references [11], [12], [56]. In brief, an associative array \( A \) is defined as a mapping from sets of keys to values. The row keys are equivalent to the sequence ID in a relational database table. The column keys are equivalent to the column...
Applying the mask with \( P \) whose values are converted to \( \emptyset \) of all the columns in these rows is constructed by union next operation. The term \( A \cap \emptyset \) is essential to database query planning.

For many databases, the relevant semilink is the SQL \texttt{select} statement that returns the columns \( k \) of rows in a table \( A \) that satisfy a specific condition, such as the value in column \( k(i) \) is \( v \)

\[
\text{select } k(1), \ldots, k(n) \text{ from } A \text{ where } k(i) = v
\]

In terms of the associative array notation listed in Table II, the above \texttt{select} can be concisely written as

\[
A(\text{row}(A(k(i), \cdot) = v), k)
\]

For many databases, the relevant semilink is

\[
(A, \cup, \cap, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, 1, 1)
\]

where each entry in \( 1 \) is \( \mathcal{P}(V) \) and \( I(k, k) = \mathcal{P}(V) \) and \( \emptyset \) otherwise. The associative array version of the \texttt{select} statement can be written in terms of this semilink as

\[
\{(A \cup \cap I(k(i))) \cap v\} \cup \cap 1 \cap A
\]

The term \( A \cup \cap I(k(i)) \) selects column \( k(i) \) from \( A \). The next operation \( \cap v \) selects the entries corresponding to \( v \). A mask of all the columns in these rows is constructed by \( \cup, \cap, 1 \), whose values are converted to \( \mathcal{P}(V) \) with the zero norm \( \| \cdot \|_0 \). Applying the mask with \( \cap A \) selects the corresponding rows.

**C. Deep Neural Networks**

Machine learning has been the foundation of artificial intelligence since its inception \cite{74}–\cite{81}. Standard machine learning applications include speech recognition \cite{76}, computer vision \cite{77}, and even board games \cite{78}, \cite{82}.

Drawing inspiration from biological neurons to implement machine learning was the topic of the first paper presented at the first machine learning conference in 1955 \cite{74}, \cite{75} (see Figure 7). It was recognized very early on in the field that direct computational training of neural networks was computationally infeasible with the computers that were available at that time \cite{74}. The many-fold improvement in neural network computation and theory has made it possible to create neural networks capable of better-than-human performance in a variety of domains \cite{83}–\cite{86}. The production of validated neural networks with 100,000s of input features, \( N \), and 100s of layers, \( L \), that are capable of choosing from among 100,000s categories, \( M \) (see Figure 3).

The primary mathematical operation performed by a DNN network is the inference, or forward propagation, step. Inference is executed repeatedly during training to determine both the weight matrix \( W_\ell \) and the bias vectors \( b_\ell \) of the DNN.

The inference computation shown in Figure 8 is given by

\[
y_{\ell+1} = h(y_\ell W_\ell + b_\ell)
\]

where \( h() \) is a nonlinear function applied to each element of the vector. The Sparse DNN Challenge uses the standard graph community convention whereby \( W(i,j) \neq 0 \) implies a connection between neuron \( i \) and neuron \( j \). In this convention \( y_\ell \) are row vectors and left array multiplication is used to progress through the network. A commonly used function is the rectified linear unit (ReLU) given by

\[
h(y) = \max(y, 0)
\]

which sets values less than 0 to 0 and leaves other values unchanged. When training a DNN, or performing inference on many different inputs, it is usually necessary to compute multiple \( y_\ell \) vectors at once in a batch that can be denoted as the array \( Y_\ell \). In array form, the inference step becomes

\[
Y_{\ell+1} = h(Y_\ell W_\ell + B_\ell)
\]

where \( B_\ell \) is a replication of \( b_\ell \) along columns given by \( B_\ell = b_\ell[Y_\ell 1]_0 \) and \( 1 \) is a column array of 1’s, and \( \| \cdot \|_0 \) is the zero norm.

If \( h() \) were a linear function, then the above equation could be solved exactly and the computation could be greatly simplified. However, current evidence suggests that the non-linearity of \( h() \) is required for a DNN to be effective. Interestingly, the inference computation can be rewritten as a linear function over two different semirings:

\[
y_{\ell+1} = y_\ell W_k \otimes b_k \oplus 0
\]
while the $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ operation are performed over the $\max,+,$ semiring

$$S_2 = (\{-\infty \cup \mathbb{R}\}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$$

Thus, the ReLU DNN can be written as a linear system that oscillates over two semirings $S_1$ and $S_2$. $S_1$ is the most widely used of semirings and performs standard correlation between vectors. $S_2$ is also a commonly used semiring for selecting optimal paths in graphs. Thus, the inference step of a ReLU DNN can be viewed as combining correlations of inputs to choose optimal paths through the neural network. This DNN semiring pair is more complex than what is described in by the semilink concept and may require extending the semilink concept to encompass DNNs.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The unstructured data of digital hyperspace can be elegantly represented, traversed, and transformed via the mathematics of hypergraphs, hypersparse matrices, and associative array algebra. Within this context this paper has explored a new mathematical concept, the semilink, that combines pairs of semirings to provide the essential operations for graph analytics, database operations, and machine learning. The formal mathematical specification of GraphBLAS includes monoid, semiring, and closure under element-wise addition, element-wise multiplication, and array multiplication and naturally supports linked semirings.

The specification was written from an associative array algebra perspective with intentionally minimal constraints on the internal implementation of the opaque GrB_Matrix data structure. This has allowed the GraphBLAS (in its SuiteSparse implementation) to support a myriad of different data structures: sparse, hypersparse, bitmap, and full. It uses each of them when appropriate, and switches between them automatically, with little or no involvement from the user application. In the future, this will enable distributed-memory and GPU accelerations as well. This flexibility has enabled the GraphBLAS standard to support hypergraphs, hypersparse matrices, and the mathematics required for semilinks, and seamlessly performs graph, network, and matrix operations. With the addition of key based indices (such as pointers to strings) and semilinks,
GraphBLAS can become a richer associative array algebra and be a plug-in replacement for spreadsheets, database tables, and data centric operating systems [95], [96], enhancing the navigation of unstructured data found in digital hyperspace.

From an applied mathematical perspective, the more complex pairing of operations in the DNN context is worth additional exploring. Likewise, in the context of abstract algebra, [27] considers semirings in which the multiplicative identity can be local, so that in any small part of the structure there is a multiplicative identity as far as that part of the structure is concerned. It would be worth exploring this concept in the context of infinite key spaces where identity matrices are a challenge.
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