Lynx1 Prevents Long-Term Potentiation Blockade and Reduction of Neuromodulator Expression Caused by Aβ₁₋₄₂ and JNK Activation

M. L. Bychkov¹,², N. A. Vasilyeva¹, M. A. Shulepko¹,², P. M. Balaban³, M. P. Kirpichnikov¹,²,
E. N. Lyukmanova¹,²
¹Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gori 1, Moscow, 119234, Russia
²Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Miklucho-Maklaya Str., 16/10, Moscow, 117997, Russia
³Institute of Higher Nervous Activity and Neurophysiology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Butlerova Str., 5A, Moscow, 117485, Russia
*E-mail: ekaterina-lyukmanova@yandex.ru.
Received March 18, 2018; in final form June 27, 2018
Copyright © 2018 Park-media, Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are ligand-gated ion channels. Many neurodegenerative diseases are accompanied by cognitive impairment associated with the dysfunction of nAChRs. The human membrane-tethered prototoxin Lynx1 modulates nAChR function in the brain areas responsible for learning and memory. In this study, we have demonstrated for the first time that the β-amyloid peptide Aβ₁₋₄₂ decreases Lynx1 mRNA expression in rat primary cortical neurons, and that this decrease is associated with the activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK). In addition, we have demonstrated that the Lynx1 expression decrease, as well as the blockade of the long-term potentiation underlying learning and memory, caused by Aβ₁₋₄₂ may be prevented by incubation with a water-soluble Lynx1 analogue. Our findings suggest that the water-soluble Lynx1 analogue may be a promising agent for the improvement of cognitive deficits in neurodegenerative diseases.
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ABBREVIATIONS ACSF – artificial cerebrospinal fluid, AD – Alzheimer disease, HFS – high-frequency stimulation, LTP – long-term potentiation, fEPSPs – field excitatory postsynaptic potentials, Aβ – β-amyloid peptide, nAChR – nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, siRNA – small interfering RNA, ws-Lynx1 – water-soluble Lynx1.

INTRODUCTION Many neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD), are characterized by impaired cognitive processes associated with the dysfunction of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) [1]. In AD, oligomers of the β-amyloid peptide (Aβ) form plaques and the most toxic Aβ is Aβ₁₋₄₂ [1]. Aβ₁₋₄₂ in a 200 nM concentration inhibits α7-nAChR, the most common nicotinic cholinergic receptor in the brain; and the interaction between Aβ and the receptor leads to internalization of the latter in AD [1]. In addition, Aβ inhibits the long-term potentiation (LTP) [2] that is a generally established model for the plasticity processes underlying memory and learning [3].

Previously, we demonstrated that a water-soluble variant of the human protein Lynx1 (ws-Lynx1) [4], which modulates the α7-nAChR function in the brain [5], competes with Aβ₁₋₄₂ for binding to α7-nAChR [6]. Pre-incubation of mouse cortical neurons with ws-Lynx1 was shown to reduce the cytotoxic effect of Aβ₁₋₄₂ [6]. In addition, Western blot analysis revealed a reduced Lynx1 expression in the cortex of AD modeling transgenic mice (3×Tg-AD) compared to wild-type mice [6]. Based on these facts, we argue that Lynx1 plays an important role in AD, and that the accumulation of Aβ₁₋₄₂ down-regulates the expression of this neuromodulator in the brain and disturbs the Aβ₁₋₄₂/Lynx1 balance, causing α7-nAChR dysfunction. We studied the effect of Aβ₁₋₄₂ on Lynx1 gene expression in rat primary cortical and hippocampal neurons and evaluated the effect of ws-Lynx1 and Aβ₁₋₄₂ on LTP in mouse hippocampal slices.
RESEARCH ARTICLES

EXPERIMENTAL

A primary neuron culture was prepared from the cortex and hippocampus of newborn Wistar rats according to the previously described procedure [7]. On the 14th day, the neuron culture was supplemented with either Aβ1-42 (1 or 5 µM, Biopeptide Co) oligomerized according to the previously described protocol [8], or 5 µM Aβ1-42 (reverse peptide used as a negative control; Biopeptide Co), or 10 µM ws-Lynx1 (prepared according to [4]), or a mixture (5 µM Aβ1-42 + 10 µM ws-Lynx1), or 2.5 µM SP600125 (Tocris), or a mixture (5 µM Aβ1-42 + 2.5 µM SP600125) and incubated for an additional 24 h. For JNK knockdown, on the 10th day cortical neurons were transfected with JNK1 and JNK2 small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) or with the control siRNA (Table 1). After that, the neurons were incubated for 72 h, then they were supplemented with 5 µM Aβ1-42 and incubated for another 24 h.

Then, the total mRNA was isolated using an ExtractRNA reagent (Evrogen). The mRNA was treated with DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and cDNA was then synthesized using a MMLV RT kit (Evrogen). Real-time PCR was carried out using a 5x mixture of qPCRMix-HS SYBR + HighROX (Evrogen); the list of primers is given in Table 2. The data were analyzed using the LinReg 2017.0 software. The mRNA level was normalized to the β-actin values.

Transversal hippocampal slices from eight-month-old C57BL/6 mice were perfused with an artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (124 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl2, 1.3 mM MgCl2, 26 mM NaHCO3, 1.27 mM NaH2PO4, and 10 mM D-glucose, pH 7.4), continuously saturated with carbogen (95% O2 + 5% CO2) at 34 °C for 1 h. Then, a portion of the slices was perfused with ACSF containing 200 nM Aβ1-42 and the other was perfused with ACSF containing 200 nM Aβ1-42 + 2 µM ws-Lynx1 for 1 h. Control slices were perfused with ACSF without Aβ1-42 and ws-Lynx1. Field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded using a SliceMaster system (Scientifica, UK) at 32°C. A recording electrode (1-3 MΩ) filled with ACSF was positioned within hippocampal CA1 stratum radiatum. Synaptic responses were evoked by paired-pulse stimulation of Schaffer collaterals in the CA3 stratum radiatum area by using a bipolar electrode. A 50-ms interpulse interval was used, unless stated otherwise. The simulations were repeated at 0.033 Hz. Stimulus intensity was adjusted to elicit 40% of maximal fEPSP amplitude.

After 20 minutes of recording test responses, a high-frequency stimulation (HFS) protocol was used to induce LTP: 10 trains with a frequency of 100 Hz (five stimuli per train) with an intertrain interval of 200 ms, four sessions with an interval of 30 s. After LTP induction, fEPSPs were recorded for 1.5 h. The obtained data were recorded, filtered, and analyzed using the Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design Limited, UK) and SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software Inc., USA). The post-tetanic tangent of the fEPSP slope was normalized to the mean slope of all fEPSPs recorded 20 min before LTP induction.

The statistical analysis of the LTP data and the data on the effect of Aβ1-42, ws-Lynx1, SP600125, and siRNA on gene expression in primary neurons was performed using the GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.) software. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the European Communities Council Directive of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC) and were approved by the ethical committees of the Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute and Institute of Higher Nervous Activity and Neurophysiology, Russian Academy of Sciences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aβ1-42–induced decrease in Lynx1 expression in neurons is associated with JNK activation

To test the hypothesis of the effect of amyloid peptide on Lynx1 expression, we incubated primary neurons of the rat cortex and hippocampus with 1 µM of oli-

| Gene   | Forward primer         | Reverse primer         | Length, bp |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| β-actin| TCATGTTTGAGACCTTCAACAC| GTCCTTTGCGGATGTCACG    | 250        |
| Lynx1  | ACCACAGCAAATTACTTCACC | ATCGTACAGGGTCTCAAAGC   | 81         |
| α7-nAChR| TGCACGCTGTCCCTGCAAGGC | GTACACGGTGAGCGGCTGCG   | 112        |

Table 1. The small interfering RNAs used in the study

| Gene   | Interfering RNA sequence                        |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|
| Control| UUCUCGGAACGUGACGUTTT                           |
| JNK1   | GGAUUGGCUAUAAAGAATT                            |
| JNK2   | GCACAGACUUAAUACAAATT                           |

Table 2. Primers used in the study
gromeric Aβ1-42 and analyzed the Lynx1 mRNA level (Fig. 1A). In hippocampal neurons, there was no significant decrease in neuromodulator expression, while a significant reduction in the Lynx1 mRNA level (up to 78.4 ± 4.4% of the control level) was observed in cortical neurons. This is consistent with a previously observed decrease in Lynx1 expression in the cortex of AD modeling mice [6]. In contrast, Aβ1-42 did not decrease the α7-nAChR mRNA level either in hippocampal or in cortical neurons (Fig. 1B). An increase in the Aβ1-42 concentration to 5 µM led to a further decrease in Lynx1 gene expression in cortical neurons (up to 65.8 ± 4.9% of the control level, Fig. 2A).

Nicotine-induced activation of α7-nAChR can regulate gene transcription through CREB phosphorylation and the activation of MAP/ERK signaling pathways, which is accompanied by an increase in the expression level of the early response c-Fos transcription factor [9]. On the other hand, binding of oligomeric Aβ1-42 to α7-nAChR leads to the activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) [10], which plays a key role in the regulation of gene expression and other vital processes, including processing of the β-amyloid peptide precursor and formation of neurofibrillary tangles in AD [10]. In turn, JNK activation may lead to the inhibition of CREB transcription factor phosphorylation and, therefore, to a decrease in the expression level of the c-Fos transcription factor [11].

To elucidate whether the decreased Lynx1 expression level in cortical neurons incubated with oligomeric Aβ1-42 was associated with JNK activation, we incubated cortical neurons with Aβ1-42 and SP600125, a selective inhibitor of JNK1, JNK2, and JNK3 which is considered now as one of the potential drugs for AD treatment [10]. Indeed, co-incubation of neurons with Aβ1-42 and SP600125 prevented the decrease in Lynx1 expression, indicating a possible association of this decrease with JNK activation (Fig. 2B). To confirm the role of JNK in the regulation of Lynx1 transcription, we used knockdown of the JNK1 and JNK2 genes with small interfering RNAs. As expected, incubation of neurons with blocked JNK1 and JNK2 expression in the presence of Aβ1-42 led to a recovery of the Lynx1 mRNA expression level (Fig. 2B). In this case, transfection of the neuronal culture with control siRNA that did not inhibit gene transcription had no effect on the decrease in Lynx1 mRNA expression caused by Aβ1-42 (data not shown). Knockdown of JNK1 and JNK2 in the absence of Aβ1-42 did not cause significant changes in the Lynx1 expression level (Fig. 2B), which confirms the association of the amyloid peptide, JNK activation, and decreased neuromodulator transcription.

An analysis of the human LYNX1 gene promoter in the human genome browser (chr8: 143841246 – chr8: 143879640) and the mouse Lynx1 gene promoter (chr15: 74573409 – chr15: 74603409) revealed two potential binding sites for the AP-1 transcriptional complex formed by the c-Jun and c-Fos transcription factors (Fig. 2C). Aβ1-42-induced activation of JNK is simultaneously accompanied by c-Jun activation [10] and c-Fos down-regulation [11]. For that reason, a possible imbalance between c-Jun and c-Fos can cause a disruption in the AP-1 transcriptional complex formation and lead to the decrease in Lynx1 gene transcription. In accordance with this suggestion, incubation of cortical neurons together with ws-Lynx1 and Aβ1-42 was
accompanied by recovery of the Lynx1 mRNA level (Fig. 2B). Apparently, ws-Lynx1 competes with Aβ1-42 for binding to α7-nAChR [6] and activates α7-nAChR in a nicotine-like manner, which leads to the c-Fos up-regulation [9] and recovery of Lynx1 transcription. 

Ws-Lynx1 prevents Aβ1-42–induced LTP blockade 

Using SP600125, it was previously demonstrated that the LTP blockade observed during the incubation of hippocampal slices with oligomeric Aβ1-42 was associated with JNK activation [12]. To study the effect of Lynx1 on the recovery of the synaptic plasticity impaired by the interaction between oligomeric Aβ1-42 and α7-nAChR, as well as JNK activation, we investigated the influence of 200 nM Aβ1-42 on LTP in the surviving mouse’s hippocampal slices in the presence and absence of 2 µM ws-Lynx1. Pre-perfusion of the slices in a solution containing Aβ1-42 for 1 h led to a significant decrease in the post-tetanic fEPSP, noticeable in the first minutes after LTP induction. In this case, the fEPSP slope averaged over the first 10 minutes of recording decreased almost 1.5-fold compared to the control fEPSP slope (Fig. 3B). A significant decrease in the fEPSP slope caused by Aβ1-42 to the baseline fEPSP values was observed during the entire period after LTP induction. However, incubation of hippocampal slices in a medium containing both Aβ1-42 and ws-Lynx1 restored the LTP level almost to the control values (Fig. 3). The mean fEPSP slope upon simultaneous application of Aβ1-42 and ws-Lynx1 was significantly higher than that of the fEPSP observed during incubation with Aβ1-42 alone and was not statistically different from the mean fEPSP slope in the control throughout the recording time after HFS (Fig. 3B). Therefore, ws-Lynx1 prevents the inhibitory effect of Aβ1-42 and facilitates the complete recovery of LTP.

Fig. 2. Ws-Lynx1 and JNK inhibition cancels the decrease in Lynx1 expression in a primary culture of cortical neurons treated with Aβ1-42. (A) Effect of Aβ1-42, Aβ42-1, and ws-Lynx1 on Lynx1 expression. (B) Effect of Aβ1-42, JNK inhibition by SP600125, and knockdown of the JNK1 and JNK2 genes on Lynx1 expression. The data are presented as % of the control ± s.e.m. (n = 4). Data indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.0001) mean a statistically significant difference between groups according to the one-sided ANOVA test, followed by the Tukey’s/hoc test. (C) Schematic structure of the Lynx1 gene. Red lines denote the c-Jun and c-Fos binding sites.
**CONCLUSION**

Hereby, the presence of oligomeric \( \text{A}\beta_{1-42} \) in the neuronal environment leads to a significant decrease in the expression of the Lynx1 neuromodulator that regulates \( \alpha 7\)-nAChR functioning in the brain. We have demonstrated for the first time that this decrease is associated with JNK activation and can be prevented by incubation with the water-soluble Lynx1 analogue. In addition, ws-Lynx1 is capable of correcting \( \text{A}\beta_{1-42} \)-induced impairments of hippocampal synaptic plasticity, which underlies memory impairment and other cognitive dysfunctions in AD.
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