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Thromboembolic Events Secondary to Endoscopic Cyanoacrylate Injection: Can We Foresee Any Red Flags?
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Background. Gastric varices (GV) are associated with high morbidity and mortality in patients with portal hypertension. Endoscopic cyanoacrylate injection is the first-line recommended therapy for GV obliteration. This study aims to explore the reason behind related adverse events and better prevent its occurrence. Methods. A retrospective case series study was conducted from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2016, to identify patients who experienced severe adverse events secondary to endoscopic cyanoacrylate injection. A literature review of similar cases was performed on two medical databases, Medline and Embase. Results. A total of 652 patients underwent cyanoacrylate injection at our center within the study duration. Five cases of severe adverse events related to the use of tissue adhesives were identified. Detailed clinical presentation, patient treatment, and outcomes were reviewed and analyzed. Twenty-seven similar cases were identified based on the literature review providing further insight into the study. Conclusion. Although rare in incidence, systemic embolism associated with cyanoacrylate injection is often fatal or debilitating. This report may raise awareness in treatment protocol, including the necessity of preoperative angiographic studies, to avoid similar adverse events in clinical practice.

1. Introduction

Variceal hemorrhage is a fatal presentation of portal hypertension, commonly seen in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Current treatment protocol for gastroesophageal varices includes primary prophylaxis, management of acute bleeding, and secondary prophylaxis [1]. According to the Baveno VI consensus, a combination of nonselective beta blockers (NSBB) and endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) for esophageal varices and cyanoacrylate injection for gastric varices are recommended as first-line therapy [2]. Compared to esophageal varices, gastric varices are lower in prevalence but are associated with a higher risk of hemorrhage and mortality [3]. The use of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (NB2-CYA) for gastric variceal obliteration was first reported in 1986 and is currently well recognized as first-line therapy with a high hemostasis rate [4–6]. Large cohort studies have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of cyanoacrylate injection; however others have highlighted individual adverse events [7–9]. Occurrence of systemic embolization is often associated with patient morbidity and mortality. We hereby report a series of adverse events associated with cyanoacrylate injection for the treatment of gastric varices.

2. Methods

A retrospective case series study was conducted at a tertiary hospital. The hospital database was reviewed; approval was granted by the hospital’s institutional review board (IRB). All patients who underwent endoscopic procedure had
A thorough review of the inpatient and endoscopy database was carried out from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2016. A total of 652 patients who underwent N-butyl-cyanoacrylate (NBCA) injection as secondary prophylaxis for gastric variceal hemorrhage were identified. Based on the a priori established inclusion criteria, the detailed hospital record and treatment protocol of 5 patients were reviewed for the purpose of this study. Three of the five patients were male, ranging from 50 to 74 years. The cause of cirrhosis was PBC in the two female patients, while the remaining were due to HBV, HCV, or alcohol, respectively. Three patients were classified as Child-Pugh Class A, while the remainder were Child-Pugh Class B. Two of the five patients were admitted to our hospital due to an episode of acute variceal hemorrhage, while others had either achieved hemodynamic stability or were admitted for a follow-up endoscopic examination. Prior to the procedure, two patients (patients (4) and (5)) received a combination of hemostatic agents and somatostatin. None of the patients had concurrent HCC or hepatic encephalopathy.

Detailed patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Based on the findings of the routine endoscopy, one patient had IGV Type 1, one had GOV Type 1, while three had GOV Type 2 (Figure 1). All gastric varices were treated with the sandwich technique injection of lauromacrogol and cyanoacrylate. The total volume of cyanoacrylate used ranged from 1.0 to 3.5 ml (average 2.7 ml), without exceeding 1.5 ml per injection site. Patients with concurrent esophageal varices were treated with either endoscopic band ligation (EBL) or endoscopic sclerotherapy (EIS).

One female patient (patient (1)) suffered from cardiac arrest during the procedure. The bedside echocardiogram revealed an enlarged right ventricle and right atrium, widened vena cava, and shrunken left ventricle. Despite aggressive measures including drug and equipment resuscitation, the patient did not survive. Patient (2) experienced fever, severe abdominal pain, and rebound tenderness after the

### Table 1: Summary of patient characteristics, preoperative management, endoscopic findings, and subsequent treatment.

| Patient | Cause of PH | Child-Pugh Class | Acute bleed | Preoperative drug | Endoscopic findings | Endoscopic treatment | Volume of cyanoacrylate |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| (1) 57 y/F | PBC | A | No | None | F0/IGV1 | NBCA | 3.5 ml |
| (2) 74 y/M | Alcohol | A | No | None | F2/GOV2 | NBCA + EIS | 3 ml |
| (3) 50 y/M | HCV | A | No | None | F3/GOV2 | NBCA + EBL | 3.5 ml |
| (4) 51 y/M | HBV | B | Yes | Aminomethylbenzoic acid 0.4 g Etamsylate 2 g Carbazochrome 80 mg Hemocoagulase 11U Somatostatin 6 mg | F3/GOV2 | NBCA + EBL | 2.5 ml |
| (5) 52 y/F | PBC | B | Yes | Carbazochrome 80 mg Hemocoagulase 11U Somatostatin 6 mg | F3/GOV2 | NBCA + EBL | 1 ml |

### 3. Results

A thorough review of the inpatient and endoscopy database was carried out from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2016. A total of 652 patients who underwent N-butyl-cyanoacrylate (NBCA) injection as secondary prophylaxis for gastric variceal hemorrhage were identified. Based on the a priori established inclusion criteria, the detailed hospital record and treatment protocol of 5 patients were reviewed for the purpose of this study. Three of the five patients were male, ranging from 50 to 74 years. The cause of cirrhosis was PBC in the two female patients, while the remaining were due to HBV, HCV, or alcohol, respectively. Three patients were classified as Child-Pugh Class A, while the remainder were Child-Pugh Class B. Two of the five patients were admitted to our hospital due to an episode of acute variceal hemorrhage, while others had either achieved hemodynamic stability or were admitted for a follow-up endoscopic examination. Prior to the procedure, two patients (patients (4) and (5)) received a combination of hemostatic agents and somatostatin. None of the patients had concurrent HCC or hepatic encephalopathy. Detailed patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Based on the findings of the routine endoscopy, one patient had IGV Type 1, one had GOV Type 1, while three had GOV Type 2 (Figure 1). All gastric varices were treated with the sandwich technique injection of lauromacrogol and cyanoacrylate. The total volume of cyanoacrylate used ranged from 1.0 to 3.5 ml (average 2.7 ml), without exceeding 1.5 ml per injection site. Patients with concurrent esophageal varices were treated with either endoscopic band ligation (EBL) or endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (EIS).

One female patient (patient (1)) suffered from cardiac arrest during the procedure. The bedside echocardiogram revealed an enlarged right ventricle and right atrium, widened vena cava, and shrunken left ventricle. Despite aggressive measures including drug and equipment resuscitation, the patient did not survive. Patient (2) experienced fever, severe abdominal pain, and rebound tenderness after the
endoscopic procedure due to a large area splenic infarct (Figure 2), confirmed via CTA of the portal venous system. Two patients (patients (3) and (5)) became lethargic and confused and experienced loss of consciousness following endotherapy. Based on clinical symptoms and cerebral MRI findings, both were diagnosed with acute cerebral infarction (Figure 3). The last patient (patient (4)) experienced pain around the umbilical region with a low-grade fever (37.9°C) after the procedure. A subsequent abdominal CT and intestinal mesenteric CTA revealed intraluminal filling defects consistent with acute mesenteric ischemia (Figure 4). Detailed postoperative findings are listed in Table 2.

All patients received hemostatic medication after the endoscopic procedure as part of the standard protocol at our

---

*Figure 1: Endoscopic findings of gastroesophageal varices (IGV Type 1 and F3/GOV Type 2) with red wale sign.*

*Figure 2: Large area splenic infarct based on CT angiography of the portal venous system.*

*Figure 3: Diffuse hyperdense signals (—) on the cerebral MRI, indicative of acute cerebral infarction.*

*Figure 4: Intraluminal filling defect along the mesenteric vein and edema of the bowel wall (—).*
Table 2: Postoperative events including subsequent severe adverse event (SAE), patient outcome, and probable cause.

| Patient | Postoperative drug use | Adverse event | Treatment | Hospital stay | Outcome | Probable cause |
|---------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------------|
| (1)     | None                   | Acute pulmonary embolism | BCLS     | 1 day        | Death   | Large spontaneous gastrorenal and splenorenal shunt |
| (2)     | Carbazochrome 80 mg, Vitamin K1 10 mg, Somatostatin 6 mg | Acute splenic infarction | Dalteparin 5000 IU, Antibiotics (meropenem + vancomycin) | 64 days | Survival | Regurgitation of tissue adhesive through the portovenous system or probable AVM |
| (3)     | Carbazochrome 80 mg, Somatostatin 3 mg, Hemocoagulase 2 U | Acute cerebral infarction | Dalteparin 5000 IU, Edaravone, Mannitol, Dexamethasone | 13 days | Survival | Spontaneous portorenal shunt |
| (4)     | Hemocoagulase 1 IU, Somatostatin 6 mg | Acute superior mesenteric infarction | LMWH 4000 IU, Simethicone p.o. | 9 days | Death | Regurgitation of tissue adhesive through the portovenous system or probable AVM |
| (5)     | Hemocoagulase 1 IU, Somatostatin 6 mg | Acute cerebral infarction | LMWH 4000 IU, Citicoline, GM-1, Dexamethasone | 42 days | Survival | Spontaneous portoazygous shunt |

Hospital to prevent postoperative hemorrhage (Table 2). Once the patient developed signs of systemic embolization, all hemostatic agents were suspended. All patients were treated with a subcutaneous injection of low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH). Three of the four patients responded well to therapy and were subsequently discharged. Follow-up interviews confirmed survival in all three patients. However, one patient (patient (4)) developed a recurrent GI bleed, presented as melena, after 5 days of anticoagulation treatment. The patient also developed hepatic encephalopathy and deteriorated rapidly. Extraordinary life sustaining measures were refused and the patient died 9 days after the initial procedure. The overall rebleeding rate was 20% and mortality rate was 40% in the five patients who experienced SAE after cyanoacrylate injection. Of the three patients who survived (60%), only 2 received follow-up endoscopy examination. Complete variceal obliteration was observed in one patient (50%), while the other patient had recurrent gastroesophageal varices (GOV Type 2) treated with consolidation EBL plus cyanoacrylate injection.

A retrospective review of the radiological studies was conducted in attempt to identify a potential explanation for the occurrence of an embolic event. Three of the 5 patients had evident spontaneous portosystemic shunts upon review of imaging studies, including one case of portorenal shunt (patient (3), cerebral infarction), one case of portoazygous shunt (patient (5), cerebral infarction), and one case of concurrent portorenal and portosystemic shunt (patient (1), pulmonary embolism). The remaining cases of mesenteric and splenic infarction had no prominent vascular anomaly.

In order to further identify similar reports of adverse events in present literature, a detailed search of Medline (R), from 1946 to present with daily updates, and Embase, from 1974 to March 20, 2017, was conducted (the Appendix). A total of 43 and 119 reports were retrieved from each database, respectively. Forty-two duplicates were removed and a thorough review of title and abstract of 120 articles was performed. Ninety-seven reports were further eliminated due to irrelevance and finally 24 articles, along with 4 case reports identified from other sources, were included for the purpose of this literature review.

Of the 27 studies included, majority of reported adverse events were pulmonary embolism, 12/27 (44.44%), and splenic infarction, 9/27 (33.33%), while others include cases of portal vein, renal vein embolism, sclerosant extravasation, myocardial infarction, diaphragmatic embolism, cerebral infarction, right atrium emboli, esophageal variceal embolism, and subsequent sepsis or DIC. Several adverse events were attributed to cardiac abnormalities such as patent foramen ovale, prompting right-to-left shunt. Other hypotheses include volume and speed of injection or intravariceal pressure, resulting in regurgitation through the portovenous system. Interestingly, many authors presumed the presence of spontaneous portovenous shunt, such as gastrosplenorenal shunt or anomalous arteriovenous shunts, as a culprit for distant embolization. However, none of the reports provided radiological or morphological evidence of the vasculature anomaly. The results of the literature review were summarized in Table 3.

4. Discussion

Gastric varices are associated with a high morbidity and mortality rate in patients with portal hypertension. The current recommendation for first-line treatment is endoscopic injection of tissue adhesives. Obliteration can be achieved in one session, but sometimes repeat sessions are required [39]. Although cyanoacrylate injection has proven to be safe and effective, several reports on related adverse events have also
| Study          | Year | Country   | Patient | Glue mixture (ratio), volume | Adverse event                                      | Treatment                                      | Outcome         | Probable cause                                                                 |
|---------------|------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shim et al.   | 1996 | S. Korea  | 59 y/M  | (0.5 : 0.8) 7 ml + 2 ml     | Portal and splenic vein thrombosis                | NA                                            | NA              | Large volume injection                                                        |
| Battaglia et al. [13] | 2000 | Italy     | 65 y/F  | (1 : 1) 6 ml                | Intraparenchymal subcapsular hematoma of the spleen | Splenectomy                                   | Survival        | Resin occluded branches of splenic vascularization or embolized intraparenchymal vessels and had been eliminated by macrophage action |
| Türl er et al. [14] | 2001 | Germany   | 18 y/M  | (1 : 1) 5 ml + 2 ml         | Pulmonary embolism and left renal vein; recurrent left kidney abscess (5 months) | Thrombectomy and ventilation support; operative and CT-guided drainage (kidney abscess) | Survival        | Spontaneous splenorenal shunt                                                |
| Tan et al. [15] | 2002 | Malaysia  | 53 y/M  | (0.5 : 0.7) 6 ml +1 ml      | Pulmonary and splenic infarction                  | Supportive treatment and antibiotics            | Survival        | Collateral portosystemic circulation and presumable anomalous arteriovenous pulmonary shunts |
| Cheng et al. [16] | 2004 | Taiwan    | 65 y/F  | (1 : 1) 3 ml                | Sclerosant extravasation                          | Antibiotics and supportive treatment           | Survival        | High intravascular pressure and large volume or high injection speed of tissue adhesive |
| Rickman et al. [17] | 2004 | USA       | 55 y/M  | (1 : 1) 4 ml + 2 ml         | Pulmonary emboli, splenic infarction              | Oxygen support                                 | Survival        | NA                                                                            |
| Kok et al. [18] | 2004 | S. Africa | 24 y/F  | (1 : 1) 2 ml + (1 : 2) 5 ml | Pulmonary infarction and septicaemia              | TIPS surgery                                   | Death           | Collateral vessels, size of varices, volume of injection, dilution of lipiodol |
| Upadhyay et al. [19] | 2005 | Oman      | 65 y/M  | (1.5 : 2.1)                 | Inferior wall myocardial infarction and cortical blindness | Percutaneous occlusion of PFO followed by TIPS surgery | Survival        | Patent foramen ovale                                                          |
| Alexander et al. [20] | 2006 | Australia | 52 y/M  | (1 : 3) 4 ml + 2 ml         | Pulmonary embolism                                | Prednisolone and supportive treatment         | Survival        | Large volume injection                                                        |
| Liu et al. [21] | 2006 | Taiwan    | 42 y/M  | (1 : 1) 2 ml                | Splenic vein thrombosis                           | Antibiotics and supportive treatment           | Survival        | Volume of injection                                                           |
| Martins Santos et al. [22] | 2007 | Brazil    | 53 y/M  | (1 : 1) 1 ml                | Splenic infarction                               | Antibiotics and supportive treatment           | Death           | Arteriovenous shunt (probable)                                               |
| Yu et al. [23] | 2007 | Taiwan    | 57 y/M  | (1 : 0.7) 1.7 ml            | Diaphragmatic embolism                            | Supportive treatment with narcotic analgesic and short course of terlipressin | Survival        | Portophrenic shunt (probable)                                                |
| Study                        | Year | Country   | Patient | Glue mixture (ratio), volume | Adverse event                      | Treatment | Outcome | Probable cause                                                                 |
|------------------------------|------|-----------|---------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chang et al. [24]            | 2008 | Taiwan    | 53 y/M  | (1:1) 2 ml                   | Pyogenic Portal venous thrombosis  | Antibiotics | Death   | Direct injection or regurgitation of tissue adhesive along the short gastric vein and splenic vein into the portal vein |
| Marion-Audibert et al. [25]  | 2008 | France    | 77 y    | (1:1) 1.5 ml                 | Pulmonary embolism                 | BCLS protocol | Death   | Portosystemic vascular shunt (gastrosplenorenal shunt) (reconstruction with animal model) |
| Abdullah et al. [26]         | 2009 | Malaysia  | 40 y/M  | (1:1) 3 ml                   | Cerebral infarction                | NA        | Survival| Patent foramen ovale                                                            |
| Park et al. [27]             | 2010 | S. Korea  | 34 y/M  | NA                           | Right atrium emboli extended from inferior vena cava | NA        | Survival| Gastrorenal shunt                                                              |
| Chen et al. [28]             | 2011 | Taiwan    | 57 y/F  | (1:1) 4 ml + 6 ml            | Esophageal variceal embolism       | Cyanoacrylate hemostasis | Survival| NA                                                                              |
| Kazi et al. [29]             | 2012 | Australia | 44 y/F  | (1:1) 4 ml                   | Pulmonary emboli and pulmonary infarct, resulting in DIC | Blood transfusion to correct coagulopathy | Survival| NA                                                                              |
| Miyakoda et al. [30]         | 2012 | Japan     | 76 y/F  | (1:0.5) 1.5 ml + (1:0.5) 3.5 ml | Right atrium emboli                | Heparin   | Death   | NA                                                                              |
| Chan et al. [31]             | 2012 | Malaysia  | 44 y/F  | (0.5 : 0.8) 1.3 ml           | Splenic infarction                 | Conservative treatment (analgesics, antihistamine, and antiemetic) | Survival| NA                                                                              |
| Singer et al. [32]           | 2012 | USA       | 75 y/M  | (1:1) 3 ml                   | Pulmonary infarction               | Empiric antibiotics and supportive care | Survival| NA                                                                              |
| Mourin et al. [33]           | 2012 | France    | 69 y/M  | NA                           | Pulmonary infarction               | Anticoagulant treatment + pneumonectomy | Death   | Presumed portosystemic vascular shunts                                         |
| Köksal et al. [34]           | 2013 | Turkey    | 33 y/F  | (1:1) 2 ml                   | Splenic infarction                 | Supportive treatment                | Survival| Retrograde embolization through the splenic vein                                |
| Myung et al. [35]            | 2013 | S. Korea  | 55 y/F  | (1:1) 2 ml                   | Splenic infarction and cerebral infarction | NA        | Survival| Patent foramen ovale                                                            |
| Nawrot et al. [36]           | 2014 | Poland    | 54 y/F  | (0.5:0.8) 12 ml              | Pulmonary embolism with sepsisemia | Antibiotics | Survival| Large spontaneous splenorenal shunt                                           |
| Chew et al. [37]             | 2014 | UK        | 34 y/M  | (1:1) 4 ml                   | Pulmonary emboli                   | Intravenous diuretics, empiric antibiotics | Survival| NA                                                                              |
| Burke et al. [38]            | 2017 | Australia | 25 y/F  | 1 ml + 3 ml                  | Pulmonary emboli                   | BCLA protocol | Death   | Presumed collateral circulation                                                  |
been documented [7]. Seewald et al. have emphasized the importance of a standardized technique, which can minimize the risk of embolization and local complications but also decrease variceal recurrence or rebleeding by effectively obliterating vessel tributaries. The recommended mixture proportion of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate to lipiodol is 0.5 ml:0.8 ml, and injection of over 1 ml glue mixture may increase the risk of embolization [8, 40]. Researchers have also explored alternative treatments for gastric varices obliteration, minimizing or eliminating the use of tissue adhesives. Tan et al. conducted a randomized control trial comparing the efficacy of gastric variceal band ligation versus cyanoacrylate injection [41]. Meanwhile, Romero-Castro et al. reported fewer complications with endoscopic ultrasound-guided coil injection compared to that of traditional cyanoacrylate injection [42].

We report five cases of adverse events that occurred after the endoscopic injection of cyanoacrylate for the treatment of gastric varices. All cases involved the formation of systemic embolus, including cerebral vascular infarction, mesenteric infarction, splenic infarction, and pulmonary embolism. A retrospective review of radiological studies revealed presence of spontaneous portosystemic shunt (SPSS) in 3 patients with distant systemic emboli, including one case of portorenal shunt, one case of portoazygous shunt, and one case of concurrent portorenal and portosplenic shunt (Figure 5). Based on the clinical presentation and radiological findings, three cases can be ascertained as glue emboli, including the case of pulmonary embolism and two cases of cerebral infarction. The formation of spontaneous portosystemic shunts (SPSS) may serve as a shortcut for acute glue embolization, which calls into question the necessity of angiographic studies prior to endoscopic intervention and whether patients with diverging shunts should be tackled with a different therapeutic approach [43]. Our center has previously performed BRTO assisted cyanoacrylate injection for patients with large gastrorenal shunt or splenorenal shunt (data reported elsewhere). This procedure prevents the occurrence of systemic glue emboli for patients with evident portosystemic shunt; however, it is poorly tolerated by patients. BRTO assisted cyanoacrylate injection requires the patient to lay in a supine position with only local anesthesia and an angiography of the portosystemic system is performed via femoral access. After the portosystemic shunt is located a balloon is deployed and secured, while the endoscopist performs the subsequent cyanoacrylate injection.

The remaining cases of mesenteric infarction and splenic infarct remain controversial and cannot be ascertained as the presence of SPSS. A plausible explanation could be due to the injection of cyanoacrylate into the arterial system, which in some cases is located adjacent to the varix or is connected via an arteriovenous malformation. Glue emboli of the splenic artery may result in a large area splenic infarct as seen in patient (2). Another explanation is the regurgitation of tissue adhesives through the portovenous system, potentially due to high speed or volume injection or high intravariceal pressure. Patients with end-stage cirrhosis are also prone to clot formation, especially in the portal venous system [44]. The use of various hemostatic agents combined with a decrease in blood flow velocity, exacerbated by a stress event (endotherapy), may also be a probable explanation for an acute thrombus formation. Unlike other studies, our center employs lauromacrogol instead of lipiodol as a diluting agent for cyanoacrylate via sandwich technique [11]. Therefore, glue embolization is difficult to differentiate from a thrombus formation on imaging studies.

Antithrombotic treatment with LMWH is a fairly standard treatment protocol. However, in cases with recent interventional procedure or hemorrhagic episode, the use of LMWH can be precarious [45]. Development of a rebleed in such patients can be just as fatal as the adverse event itself. Anticoagulants are effective in the treatment of blood thrombus; however, the effect on glue emboli or improvement of patient outcome remains questionable.

The detailed literature review provided some further insights based on case reports of embolic events experienced after cyanoacrylate injection. Many authors theorized the presence of spontaneous portosystemic shunt as a probable explanation for embolization of tissue adhesives. However, no radiological or morphological evidence of vasculature malformation was provided. In our study, we meticulously reviewed the radiological imaging of all 5 patients and were able to identify the presence of spontaneous portosystemic shunt in 3/5 (60%) subjects.

Overall, the use of cyanoacrylate for gastric variceal obliteration is widely accepted with promising results. The safety of tissue adhesive injection is often guaranteed when endoscopist abides by the standardized sandwich technique [8, 40]. However, the necessity of preoperative imaging of the portovenous system should also be considered to identify patients with spontaneous portosystemic shunt (SPSS). In such cases, the risk of traditional endoscopic glue injection should be thoroughly vetted, or alternative treatment measures such as coil injection, TIPS, BRTO, or surgical therapy should be referred to. Utility of pre- and postoperative hemostatic agents should also be carefully considered to achieve a desirable hemostatic balance. Adverse events associated with tissue adhesives are often fatal and debilitating for patients; any red flags before endoscopic therapy should
be well recognized by physicians, prompting well-rounded consideration to effectively avoid the occurrence of adverse events.

Appendix

Detailed Search Strategy

The search strategy used was Ovid Medline (R), from 1946 to present with daily updates, and Embase, from 1974 to March 20, 2017 (see Table 4).
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