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Abstract—This article presents a novel method of dealing with disturbances and control saturation in the framework of discrete-time sliding mode control (DSMC). A DSMC with decoupled disturbance compensator (DDC) was developed for SMC to recover the loss of stability in the discrete-time domain. However, windup phenomena occur in both the switching function and the disturbance estimate when control saturation occurs, and the method cannot guarantee stability. The article develops a new method for maintaining stability under both disturbances and control saturation by incorporating a novel auxiliary state into the DSMC with DDC method. The auxiliary state prevents the windup phenomena, and the developed new method preserves the asymptotic convergence property of the disturbance estimation error dynamics and the stability of the sliding mode dynamics. Also, the error states are stable under bounded disturbances and control saturation. Simulations and experiments are performed on a commonly used industrial servo system to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Index Terms—Discrete-time systems, sliding mode control (SMC), servosystems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sliding mode control (SMC) is a special kind of variable structure control and is one of the most successful approaches in general control systems with bounded and matched disturbances. SMC drives the state variables to a predesigned sliding surface in a finite time and then keeps them on the sliding surface in the presence of the bounded disturbance. Therefore, SMC exhibits invariance and finite convergence properties as well as robustness to unknowns such as external disturbances, parametric uncertainties, parameter variations, and unmodeled dynamics. In addition, it has been successfully combined with other control strategies, such as adaptive control [1], [2], backstepping control [3], and disturbance observer (DOB)-based control [4]–[9] to improve control performances or enhance its robustness against uncertainty. Super twisting control algorithms and higher order sliding mode schemes also provide excellent performance with little chattering [10]–[13]. Based on these research results, SMC has attracted attention for a wide variety of applications, such as manufacturing systems [14], robotics [15], unmanned aerial vehicles [16], and servo track writing systems [17]. In addition, there are remarkable results by applying the SMC framework to more general and complex models such as Takagi and Sugeno fuzzy systems and semi-Markovian jump systems [18]–[21].

Recently, SMC with DOB has been investigated and implemented in a variety of applications, attributed to its excellent performances of disturbance compensation. For example, an SMC method with extended sliding mode DOB has been proposed to improve the transient response of a permanent-magnet synchronous motor system [4]. An SMC method with nonlinear DOB has been developed for mismatched uncertain systems [5]. The implementation of the DOB reduced the switching gain of the discontinuous control part of SMC, which alleviated chattering. An SMC method with extended DOB has also been proposed for a general nth-order system with mismatched uncertainties [6]. This method showed accurate estimation performance in the presence of complex unmatched disturbances. An adaptive parameter estimation method and a saturation function were utilized in this controller to alleviate chattering. An integral SMC method with DOB has developed for a linear system with mismatched disturbances, and the stability was investigated using the $H_{\infty}$ control and steady-state output approaches [7]. SMC-based DOBs also have been designed in an asymmetric underactuated surface vehicle and a marine vehicle, respectively [8], [9]. By exploiting finite-time convergence property of the sliding mode observers, the external disturbances and unmodeled dynamics are precisely estimated in a short time, which provides superior tracking performances.

In the discrete-time domain, however, an ideal sliding mode is not achievable due to the finite sampling period. Instead, the
state trajectories are bounded within a small band and exhibit a zig-zag motion after the reaching mode, which is referred to as a quasi-sliding mode (QSM). Thus, the invariant properties are lost when SMC is implemented in the discrete-time domain. A discrete-time sliding mode control (DSMC) law has been proposed, which guarantees the reaching and QSMs in discrete time [22]. A new discrete reaching law has been developed, which reduces the width of QSM by using the continuous-approximation and difference functions [23]. The DSMC with DOB methods have also been analyzed. A DSMC method combined with a decoupled disturbance compensator (DDC) has been developed to recover the loss of stability for SMC in discrete-time systems [24], [25]. It can be shown that the DDC has the same structure as the conventional DOB [26], and the DSMC with DOB methods have been successfully implemented in industrial applications [27]–[29]. However, these works assumed that the control inputs are unconstrained, and they lose stability when control saturation occurs.

Control saturation dramatically degrades system performance, for example, significant overshoot, undershoot, oscillations, limit cycles, and even instability can occur. Recently, robust control schemes have been developed to deal with disturbances and control saturation. For example, an adaptive SMC method has been developed to stabilize a spacecraft with uncertainty in inertia, external disturbances, and control saturation [30]. A backpropagating constraints-based control is designed to ensure high tracking performance for a quadrotor with complex unknowns and constrained actuator dynamics [31]. Antiwindup methods are general approaches to deal with control saturation. Modern antiwindup techniques have also been researched to guarantee quadratic stability of linear control systems by formulating linear matrix inequality (LMI) [32]–[34]. However, antiwindup compensators are difficult to incorporate into a non-linear control framework such as SMC. Robust control methods combining auxiliary states have also been researched. A backstepping control method with the nonlinear DOB and the auxiliary state has been developed to estimate the unknown external disturbances for a surface vessel [35]. Backstepping control methods combined with the auxiliary state have been proposed for an autonomous spacecraft to deal with control saturation, parametric uncertainty, and external disturbances [36]–[38]. An adaptive SMC method combined with nonlinear DOB and the auxiliary state has been proposed for autonomous underwater vehicles to deal with disturbances and control saturation [39]. However, it is derived in the continuous-time domain. A novel disturbance estimation scheme has been developed for a DSMC [40]. It prevents the windup of the disturbance estimate and, thus, guarantees the asymptotic convergence of the disturbance estimation error dynamics even in the presence of control saturation. However, there is still a limitation that windup phenomenon occurs in the switching function under control saturation, and thus the method cannot guarantee the stability of sliding mode dynamics.

This article proposes a novel DSMC with DDC method to deal with disturbances and control saturation using an auxiliary state. In specific, the closed-loop stability for a double integrator system is investigated, where the double integrator model has been applied in a wide range of practical systems [41], such as industrial servo systems [40], multiagent systems [42], [43], and autonomous underwater vehicles [44]. The main contributions of this article are as follows. First, the new auxiliary state is incorporated into the switching function of DSMC, which prevents the windup effects of both the switching function and the disturbance estimate. Therefore, the proposed method can preserve the asymptotic convergence property of the disturbance estimation error dynamics and guarantees the stability of the sliding mode dynamics in the presence of disturbances and control saturation. Second, the stability of the error dynamics is analyzed based on the existence of a quadratic Lyapunov function. Given the conditions for sector nonlinearity of the dead-zone function and the boundedness of disturbances, a sufficient condition for the stability of error dynamics is formulated based on the LMI approach. Therefore, the tracking error is eventually bounded in a small region. The proposed control laws are originally expressed in the discrete-time domain, and thus a discretization process for implementation in digital controllers is not required. In addition, the boundary layer technique for the switching function is utilized to alleviate the chattering effect. Therefore, the developed method is highly applicable to many practical applications.

The rest of this article is structured as follows. Section II provides the preliminaries of DSMC with DDC and formulates the problem of the DSMC with DDC under control saturation. In Section III, the novel DSMC with DDC method using the auxiliary state is presented, and stability analysis is carried out. Section VI studies the simulations for the DSMC with DDC and auxiliary state under disturbances and control saturation in detail. Finally, Section V demonstrates experimental results performed on an industrial ball-screw drive system, and Section VI concludes this article.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. Problem Formulations With Unconstrained Control Input

Consider the following double integrator system in the discrete-time domain with an unconstrained input and a matched disturbance:

\[ x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Bf_k \]  

(1)

where \( x_k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the state vector, \( u_k \in \mathbb{R} \) is the unconstrained control input, \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) is the system matrix, \( B = \begin{bmatrix} c^T/2 \\ c^T \end{bmatrix} \) is the input matrix, \( c \) is the model parameter, and \( f_k \in \mathbb{R} \) is the matched disturbance. The disturbance contains all unknown inputs that come from external disturbances, parametric uncertainties, parameter variations, and unmodeled dynamics. The following assumption holds through this article.

**Assumption 1**: The changing rate of the disturbance \( f_k \) is bounded by some non-negative constant \( m \), i.e., \( |f_k - f_{k-1}| \leq m \). It means that the disturbance is sufficiently slowly varying.

The switching function is designed as follows:

\[ s_k = Ge_k = G(x_k - x_k^{ref}) \]  

(2)
where \( \mathbf{e}_k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the error vector and defined as \( \mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^{ref}_k \), \( \mathbf{x}^{ref}_k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the reference vector, and \( \mathbf{G} = [g_1 \ g_2] \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the row vector that determines the slope of the sliding manifold. For (1), the DSMC with DDC law is given by

\[
\mathbf{u}_k = -\hat{f}_k + (\mathbf{GB})^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{Gx}^{ref}_{k+1} - \mathbf{GAx}_k + qsk - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{s_k}{\phi} \right) \right]
\]

(3)

\[
\hat{f}_k = \hat{f}_{k-1} + g(\mathbf{GB})^{-1} \left[ s_k - qsk_{k-1} + \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{s_{k-1}}{\phi} \right) \right]
\]

(4)

where \( q \) is the parameter that determines the reaching speed to the sliding manifold, \( \eta \) is the switching gain of the discontinuous control, \( 2\phi \) is the boundary layer thickness of the saturation function, \( \hat{f}_k \) is the estimated disturbance, and \( g \) is the gain of the DDC. The saturation function \( \text{sat}(\cdot) \) is defined as

\[
\text{sat}(u_k) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{u_k}{|u_k|} & \text{if } |u| > 1 \\
\mathbf{u}_k & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

For (1) with (3) and (4), the sliding mode dynamics and the disturbance estimation error dynamics are satisfied as follows:

\[
s_{k+1} = qsk - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{s_k}{\phi} \right) + \mathbf{GB}\hat{f}_k \]

(6)

\[
\hat{f}_{k+1} = (1 - g)\hat{f}_k + f_{k+1} - f_k
\]

(7)

where \( \hat{f}_k \) is the disturbance estimation error and is defined as follows:

\[
\hat{f}_k = f_k - \hat{f}_k
\]

(8)

Equations (6) and (7) are the key dynamics of the DSMC with DDC. The performance of the DSMC with DDC can be enhanced by increasing \( g_1 / g_2, 1 - g, \eta, \) and \( g \). Consider the system described by (1) with Assumption 1 and control parameters that satisfy \( 0 < \eta/\phi < q < 1, 0 < q < 1 \) and \( \eta > GB(m/g) \). If the disturbance estimation law is chosen as (4), the disturbance estimation error is ultimately bounded by \( m/g \), i.e., \( |\hat{f}_k| < m/g \) for \( k \geq k' \) where \( k' \) is a positive integer. In addition, if the control law is chosen as (3), the sliding mode dynamics (6) are stable. Rigorous proofs of these claims are given in [24]. However, in practice, the magnitude of the control input is limited, so neither (6) nor (7) is satisfied under control saturation, which is discussed in the following subsection.

### B. Problem Formulations With Constrained Control Input

Consider the following constrained input system:

\[
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{Ax}_k + \mathbf{Bu}_k \text{sat} \left( \frac{u_k}{u_{\text{lim}}} \right) + \mathbf{Bf}_k
\]

(9)

where \( u_{\text{lim}} \) is the positive limit of the control input. The saturation nonlinearity in (9) can be expressed in terms of the deadzone nonlinearity as follows:

\[
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{Ax}_k + \mathbf{Bu}_k - \mathbf{Bq}_k + \mathbf{Bf}_k
\]

\[
\mathbf{q}_k = \text{dz}(u_k) = u_k - u_{\text{lim}} \text{sat} \left( \frac{u_k}{u_{\text{lim}}} \right)
\]

(10)

(11)

where \( d \) is the deadzone function. The graphical representation of \( dz(\cdot) \) is depicted in Fig. 1. If the control methods (3) and (4) are used, then the disturbance estimation error dynamics are derived as follows:

\[
\hat{f}_k = (1 - g)\hat{f}_{k-1} + f_k - f_{k-1} + gq_{k-1}
\]

(12)

Comparing (12) with (7), the disturbance estimation error dynamics include the additional term \( gq_{k-1} \). Generally, the nature of \( q_k \) is abrupt and unpredictable. Thus, \( \hat{f}_k \) may not converge asymptotically when control saturation arises. To guarantee the asymptotic convergence of the disturbance estimation error dynamics under control saturation, a new disturbance estimation method was developed [40]. For (9) with Assumption 1, consider the DSMC law (3) and the following disturbance estimation law with control parameters that satisfy \( 0 < \eta/\phi < q < 1, 0 < q < 1 \) and \( \eta > GB(m/g) \):

\[
\hat{f}_k = (1 - g)\hat{f}_{k-1} + f_k - f_{k-1} + g\phi \eta
\]

(13)

Then, the disturbance estimation error dynamics of the DSMC with DDC will converge asymptotically even if control saturation occurs. The disturbance estimation error dynamics are given by

\[
\hat{f}_k = (1 - g)\hat{f}_{k-1} + f_k - f_{k-1}
\]

(14)

which is equal to (7). The validity and effectiveness of the disturbance compensator (13) were also demonstrated with experimental results [40]. However, the stability of the sliding mode dynamics are not guaranteed as follows:

\[
s_{k+1} = \mathbf{Ge}_{k+1} = \mathbf{G} \left( \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^{ref}_{k+1} \right)
\]

\[
= \mathbf{G} \left( \mathbf{Ax}_k + \mathbf{Bu}_k - \mathbf{Bq}_k + \mathbf{Bf}_k \right) - \mathbf{Gx}^{ref}_{k+1}
\]

\[
= \mathbf{GAx}_k - \mathbf{GB} \hat{f}_k
\]

\[
+ \left( \mathbf{Gx}^{ref}_{k+1} - \mathbf{GAx}_k + qsk - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{s_k}{\phi} \right) \right)
\]

\[
- \mathbf{GBq}_k + \mathbf{GBf}_k - \mathbf{Gx}^{ref}_{k+1}
\]

\[
= qsk - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{s_k}{\phi} \right) + \mathbf{GB} \hat{f}_k - \mathbf{GB}q_k
\]

(15)
Due to the remaining term $GBq_k$ in (15), which is abrupt and unpredictable, the windup phenomenon of the switching function arises. Therefore, there is a possibility of undesirable effects, such as transient overshoot, undershoot, oscillations, limit cycles, and instability.

**III. PROPOSED METHOD**

In this section, a novel DSMC method for dealing with disturbances and control saturation is presented. For the system (9), the following auxiliary dynamics are newly introduced as follows:

$$z_k = \alpha z_{k-1} + (GB) q_{k-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $z_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is the auxiliary state, and $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the gain of auxiliary dynamics (16). A novel switching function, $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as follows:

$$\sigma_k := Ge_k + z_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Note that the auxiliary state is incorporated into the switching function, which is the main idea of this article. Using (16) and (17), the new control and disturbance estimation laws are derived as follows:

$$u_k = -\hat{f}_k + (GB)^{-1}$$

$$\times \left( \text{G}x_{k+1}^{\text{ref}} - \text{G}A\text{x}_k - \alpha z_k + q\sigma_k - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_k}{\phi} \right) \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\hat{f}_k = \hat{f}_{k-1} + g(GB)^{-1} \left[ \sigma_k - q\sigma_{k-1} + \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\phi} \right) \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

Using the auxiliary state, the dimension of the sliding hyperplane increases by one. Fig. 2 shows an example of a state trajectory with the proposed control method. The proposed auxiliary state plays a significant role for the DSMC with DDC structure under control saturation. When the control saturation arises, the auxiliary state stores the amount of windup for the switching function with the decaying rate of $\alpha$. Therefore, the sliding mode is always guaranteed to be stable under disturbances and control saturation which is discussed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** Consider the constrained input system (9) with Assumption 1. The auxiliary state and the switching function are defined as (16) and (17), respectively. If the control law (18) and disturbance estimation law (19) are chosen as with control parameters that satisfy $0 < \eta/\phi < g < 1$, and $\eta > GB(m/g)$, then the sliding mode dynamics and the disturbance estimation error dynamics are satisfied as follows:

$$\sigma_{k+1} = q\sigma_k - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_k}{\phi} \right) + GB\hat{f}_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$\hat{f}_{k+1} = (1-g) \hat{f}_k + f_{k+1} - f_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

**Proof:** By substituting (9) and (18) into (17), (20) is easily obtained as

$$\sigma_{k+1} = G \left( \text{x}_{k+1} - \text{x}_{k+1}^{\text{ref}} \right) + z_{k+1}$$

$$= G\text{Ax}_k + GBu_k - GBq_k$$

$$+ GB\hat{f}_k - G\text{x}_{k+1}^{\text{ref}} + \alpha z_k + GBq_k$$

$$= G\text{Ax}_k - GB\hat{f}_k + G\text{x}_{k+1}^{\text{ref}}$$

$$- G\text{Ax}_k - \alpha z_k + q\sigma_k - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_k}{\phi} \right)$$

$$+ GB\hat{f}_k - G\text{x}_{k+1}^{\text{ref}} + \alpha z_k$$

$$= q\sigma_k - \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_k}{\phi} \right) + GB\hat{f}_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

From (22), the following equation holds:

$$\hat{f}_{k-1} = (GB)^{-1} \left( \sigma_k - q\sigma_{k-1} + \eta \text{sat} \left( \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\phi} \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Substituting (23) into (19), the disturbance estimation law is written as follows:

$$\hat{f}_k = \hat{f}_{k-1} + g\hat{f}_{k-1}$$

$$= (1-g) \hat{f}_{k-1} + g\hat{f}_{k-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

With (8) and (24), the disturbance estimation error dynamics are derived as follows:

$$\hat{f}_k = f_k - \hat{f}_k$$

$$= f_k - (1-g) \hat{f}_{k-1} - g\hat{f}_{k-1} + \hat{f}_{k-1} - \hat{f}_{k-1}$$

$$= (1-g) \hat{f}_{k-1} + f_k - \hat{f}_{k-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

which is the same as (21).

The two equations in (20) and (21) are the key dynamics in this article. The two dynamics are always satisfied even in the presence of disturbances and control saturation. The following lemma provides the ultimate boundedness of the disturbance estimation error dynamics.

**Lemma 1:** For a system (9), if Assumption 1 holds and $0 < g < 1$, the disturbance estimation error is ultimately bounded by $m/g$, i.e., $|\hat{f}_k| < m/g$ for $k > k'$ where $k'$ is a positive integer. In addition, for a constant disturbance, the disturbance estimation error asymptotically converges to zero.
Proof: Consider the following scalar discrete dynamical system:

\[ v_k = (1 - g) v_{k-1} + m \]  

(26)

where \( v_k \) is a convergent sequence with \( v_0 = \tilde{f}_0 \). Obviously, (26) can be written as follows:

\[ v_k = (1 - g)^k (v_0 - m/g) + m/g. \]  

(27)

Thus, \( v_k \) approaches \( m/g \). Similarly, consider another scalar discrete dynamical system

\[ w_k = (1 - g) w_{k-1} - m \]  

(28)

where \( w_k \) is a convergent sequence with \( w_0 = \tilde{f}_0 \). Thus, \( w_k \) approaches \(-m/g\). By using the comparison lemma [45], \( \tilde{f}_k \) is sandwiched between \( v_k \) and \( w_k \). Therefore, the absolute value of the disturbance estimation error is ultimately bounded by \( m/g \). In addition, for a constant disturbance, i.e., \( m = 0 \), the disturbance estimation error asymptotically converges to zero.

Remark 1: The disturbance estimation error dynamics depend only on the parameter \( g \), so the disturbance estimation performance can be tuned by adjusting the parameter of \( g \). The analysis for selecting the values of \( g \) will be discussed in Section VI.

The following theorem guarantees the stability of sliding mode dynamics in DSMC with DDC by utilizing the auxiliary state under disturbances and control saturation.

Theorem 2: For system (9) with Assumption 1 and controllers as Theorem 1, the states enter into the small region, i.e., \( |\sigma_k| < \frac{\text{GB}(m/g) - \eta}{1 - q - \eta/\phi}, \) where \( \text{GB}(m/g) < \phi \). It means that the sliding mode dynamics are always guaranteed under disturbances and control saturation.

Proof: The strict condition for Lyapunov stability of the DSMC with DDC will be verified based on the comparison lemma [45]. Consider the case \( \sigma_k > \phi \). Then \( \sigma_k \) is upper-bounded by \( \sigma_k' \), whose dynamics are given by

\[ \sigma_k' = q \sigma_k' - \eta + \text{GB}(m/g) \]  

(29)

where \( \sigma_0' = \sigma_0 \). Thus, \( \sigma_k' \) can be represented by the following sequence:

\[ \sigma_k' = q^k \left( \sigma_0' - \frac{\text{GB}(m/g) - \eta}{1 - q} \right) + \frac{\text{GB}(m/g) - \eta}{1 - q}. \]  

(30)

It can easily check that \( \sigma_k' \) converges to \( \frac{\text{GB}(m/g) - \eta}{1 - q} \), which is less than zero. Therefore, \( \sigma_k \) eventually enters in \( |\sigma_k| < \phi \). In the same way, when \( \sigma_k < -\phi \), \( \sigma_k \) enters in \( |\sigma_k| < \phi \). Finally, when \( |\sigma_k| \leq \phi \), \( \sigma_k \) is sandwiched between the following two sequences:

\[ \sigma_k^m = q^k \left( \sigma_0'' \right) + \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \frac{2}{\phi}} \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \frac{2}{\phi}} \]  

(31)

\[ \sigma_k^m = q^k \left( \sigma_0''' \right) - \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \frac{2}{\phi}} \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \frac{2}{\phi}} \]  

(32)

where \( \sigma_0'' = \sigma_0''' = \sigma_0 \). Therefore, \( \sigma_k \) eventually enters in \( |\sigma_k| < \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \eta/\phi} < \phi \) by using the following inequalities:

\[ \text{GB}(m/g) < \eta + \phi (1 - q). \]  

(33)

By dividing both sides of (33) by \( 1 - q + \eta/\phi \) which is larger than zero, the inequality \( \frac{\text{GB}(m/g)}{1 - q + \eta/\phi} < \phi \) holds. Therefore, the sliding mode dynamics are stable.

Remark 2: When \( \alpha \) is closer to one, the auxiliary dynamics will be slower. In that case, the closed-loop response of the DSMC with DDC under control saturation is smoother. However, the dynamics of the auxiliary state will be dominant, and the response will be slow. Therefore, the tradeoff relationship needs to be considered.

Assumption 2: The peak of \( f_k \) is bounded by \( M \geq 0 \), which is smaller than \( u_{\text{lim}} \), i.e., \( |f_k| \leq M < u_{\text{lim}} \).

Assumption 3: Under control saturation, the reference model satisfies the following equation in finite time:

\[ x_{k+1}^\text{ref} = Ax_k^\text{ref}. \]  

(34)

Remark 3: Assumption 2 is a trivial condition in practice. For example, if the maximum control limit is less than the amplitude of disturbance, any control method does not guarantee stability or the desired performance. Therefore, the maximum control limit should be sufficiently large against the peak of disturbance. In physical systems, such as servo systems with gravity or friction effects, the bounded-peak condition for disturbance is very common compared to the bounded-energy condition. Assumption 3 is rather constrained, but it is admissible in general robust tracking control system [46], [47]. For these applications, control saturation generally arises when the reference profile is given with high acceleration. Thus, the acceleration component of the reference model should be restricted in a finite time to stabilize the system. Therefore, the velocity of the reference is assumed to be constant under control saturation, so that the reference model can be represented as (34).

Remark 4: When control saturation does not arise, the control law (18) and the disturbance estimation law (19) are the same as the original DSMC law (3) and the DDC law (4), respectively. The auxiliary state activates only under control saturation, and thus, the additional dynamics do not occur when the control input is not saturated and the initial value of the auxiliary state is zero. Therefore, the asymptotic convergence property of the disturbance estimation error dynamics and the closed-loop stability proposed in [24] are preserved in the presence of control saturation.

The next theorem provides the robust stability of the error dynamics for the proposed method under disturbances and control saturation.

Theorem 3: For (9) with Assumptions 1–3, the proposed methods (16)–(19) are used. Suppose that \( |\sigma_k| < \phi \) is based on Theorem 2. Then the error states are stable and ultimately bounded by an ellipsoid region. In addition, for a constant disturbance, the error states converge asymptotically to zero.
Proof: In the boundary layer, the control input is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
u_k &= -\hat{f}_k + \left(GB\right)^{-1} \times \left(Gx_{k+1}^{ref} - GAx_k - \alpha z_k + \left(q - \frac{n}{\phi}\right)\sigma_k\right) \\
&= -\hat{f}_k - \left(GB\right)^{-1} \times \left(GAe_k + \alpha z_k - \left(q - \frac{n}{\phi}\right)\left(Ge_k + z_k\right)\right) \\
&= -\hat{f}_k - \left(GB\right)^{-1}G \times \left(A - \left(q - \frac{n}{\phi}\right)I\right)e_k - \left(\text{GB}^{-1}\left(\alpha - q + \frac{n}{\phi}\right)\right)z_k \\
&= -\hat{f}_k - Ke_k - \delta z_k \tag{35}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(K = [k_1, k_2] = (GB)^{-1}G(A - \left(q - \frac{n}{\phi}\right)I)\).

Using (9) and (35) with Assumption 3, the error dynamics can be represented as follows:

\[
e_{k+1} = (A - BK)e_k - \delta Bz_k - Bq_k + B\hat{f}_k. \tag{36}
\]

With (16), (24), and (36), the following closed-loop system of DSMC with DDC and auxiliary state can be formulated:

\[
\begin{align*}
w_{k+1} &= \Phi w_k + \Gamma_1 q_k + \Gamma_2 f_k \\
u_k &= -Hw_k \\
y_k &= Cw_k \tag{37}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(w_k = \begin{bmatrix} e_k \\ f_k \\ z_k \end{bmatrix}\), \(\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK & -B & -\delta B \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & 1 - g & 0 \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}\), \(\Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\), \(\Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ g \end{bmatrix}\), \(H = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 \delta \end{bmatrix}\), and \(C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times 3} \end{bmatrix}\).

The dimensions of \(w_k\), \(\Phi\), \(\Gamma_1\), \(\Gamma_2\), \(H\), and \(C\) are appropriately defined. In this case, the performance output of (37) is defined as the first entry of \(w_k\). The closed-loop system (37) can be depicted in Fig. 3.

To guarantee the Lyapunov stability for (37), three conditions should be considered; first, the difference between \(V(w_{k+1})\) and \(V(w_k)\) should be negative, i.e., \(\Delta V(w_k) = V(w_{k+1}) - V(w_k) < 0\) for \(\forall k\). Second, the magnitude of disturbance input should be restricted because the region where the states remain including the origin depends on the maximum value of the disturbance input. As the upper bound of the disturbance \(M_1\) is smaller, the area of the region is smaller. The following definitions will be useful to express the region.

Definition 1 (Reachable set with bounded-peak input, \(\mathbb{R}\)): The set of all states that are visited by any trajectories that start from the origin with bounded-peak input

\[
\mathcal{R} = \{w_k \in \mathbb{R}^4 | w_k \text{ and } f_k \text{ satisfy (37)}, w_0 = 0, f_k^2 < M^2\}. \tag{38}
\]

Definition 2 (Ellipsoid, \(E\)): The set that satisfies

\[
E = \{w_k \in \mathbb{R}^4 | \text{\(Q w_k \leq 1\)}\}. \tag{39}
\]

Lemma 2 [48]: Suppose that there exists a quadratic function \(V(w_k) = w_k^T Q w_k\), where \(Q \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}\) is symmetric and positive definite, and \(\Delta V(w_k) < 0\) for all \(w_k\) and \(f_k\) satisfying (37), \(f_k^2 \leq M^2\) and \(V(w_k) \geq 1\). Then the ellipsoid given in (39) contains the reachable set \(\mathcal{R}\).

The proof of Lemma 2 is given at the end of this section. By Definition 1, the states can reach out at most the boundary of \(\mathcal{R}\). In that case, the set \(\mathcal{R}\) can be bounded by the ellipsoid \(E\) by Lemma 2. Then the following inequality can be formulated for the bounded-peak condition:

\[
\tau w_k^T P w_k - \tau M^2 f_k^2 \geq 0 \tag{40}
\]

where \(\tau\) is an arbitrarily positive constant. Although the initial condition of \(w_k\) is not zero in practice, the states will be ultimately bounded by the ellipsoid \(E\). Last, the sector condition of the deadzone function should be considered. For the deadzone function, multiplication of the input and output always yields the following inequality:

\[
2q_k (-Hw_k - q_k) \geq 0. \tag{41}
\]

In summary, by synthesizing the three conditions and applying S-procedure [48], the following sufficient condition is derived for the closed-loop stability:

\[
\Delta V(w_k) + 2q_k (-Hw_k - q_k) + \tau w_k^T P w_k - \tau M^2 f_k^2 < 0. \tag{42}
\]

Thus, if a positive and symmetric matrix \(P\) that satisfies the following LMI exists, then the Lyapunov stability for (37) is guaranteed

\[
P > 0
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi^T P \Phi - P + \tau P & \Phi^T P \Gamma_1 - H^T & \Phi^T P \Gamma_2 \\
* & \Gamma_1^T P \Gamma_1 - 2 & \Gamma_1^T P \Gamma_2 \\
* & * & \Gamma_2^T P \Gamma_2 - \tau M^2
\end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{43}
\]

where the matrices denoted by * are the transposes of the entries above the diagonal. Therefore, the error states are ultimately bounded by a small region, so the Lyapunov stability holds for (37). In addition, for a constant disturbance, the disturbance
estimation error goes to zero by Lemma 1. In the same manner, the LMI using (16), (36), and (41) with $\tilde{f}_k = 0$ can be formulated again. Then the global asymptotic stability of $e_k$ and $z_k$ can be achieved. Therefore, the error states are asymptotically stable.

Proof of Lemma 2: If the initial states lie on the outside of ellipsoid $E$, then the states enter into the ellipsoid even with $f^2_k \leq M^2$, and they cannot escape from $E$. Therefore, the reachable set can be estimated by considering the conditions for $f^2_k \leq M^2$ and $V(w_k) \geq 1$.

IV. SIMULATION EXAMPLES

Consider the following double integrator system with matched disturbance:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{1,k+1} \\
x_{2,k+1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & T \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{1,k} \\
x_{2,k}
\end{bmatrix} + 1420 \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{T^2}{T} \\
\frac{T}{T}
\end{bmatrix}
\left(5 \cdot \text{sat}\left(\frac{u_k}{5}\right) + f_k\right)
$$

where $T = 0.125$ ms. The disturbance model is given as follows:

$$
f_k = \begin{cases} 
0 & 0 \leq kT < 0.1 \text{ s} \\
1 + 0.5\sin(2\pi \cdot 10 \cdot kT) & kT \geq 0.1 \text{ s}
\end{cases}
$$

The reference model is given as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{1,ref,k+1} \\
x_{2,ref,k+1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{1,ref,k} \\
x_{2,ref,k}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{T} \\
\frac{1}{cT}
\end{bmatrix} u_{ref,k}.
$$

The reference profile is depicted in Fig. 4(a) and (b). The error states are defined as $e_{1,k} = x_{1,k} - x_{1,ref,k}$ and $e_{2,k} = x_{2,k} - x_{2,ref,k}$, respectively. To compare the proposed controller over the existing methods, both the original DSMC with DDC [24] and the DSMC with DDC in [40] are tested. The terms of three control methods, i.e., the original DSMC with DDC method [24], the DSMC with DDC [40], and the proposed DSMC with DDC and auxiliary state method are referred as Method 1, Method 2, and Method 3, respectively. The parameters of the three methods are equally applied and given in Table I. In this case, the positive

| Parameters | \( G \) | \( q \) | \( \eta \) | \( \phi \) | \( \gamma \) | \( \alpha \) |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Values     | 200 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 10  | 0.03 | 0.97 |

and symmetric matrix $P$ exists and can be calculated by the LMI control toolbox solver in MATLAB. The calculation result is as follows:

$$
P = \begin{bmatrix}
669.1610 & 139.4721 & 5.6514 & -5.0376 \\
139.4721 & 14.9606 & 1.1369 & -8.2392 \\
5.6514 & 1.1369 & 30.9570 & -0.4992 \\
-5.0376 & -8.2392 & -0.4992 & 52.9190
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(47)

where $\tau$ is selected as one. In Fig. 4(c), the disturbance estimation error of Method 1 diverges due to the saturation effect. On the other hand, the disturbance estimation errors of Method 2 and Method 3 do not diverge. Also, Method 1 and 2 almost compensate for the constant component of disturbance with short transients. In addition, they reduce the 10-Hz component of disturbance by 25% in the steady state. In Fig. 4(f), the switching function of Method 1 diverges under control saturation due to the windup of both the estimated disturbance and the switching function as explained in (12) and (15). The switching function of Method 2 converges to zero in the steady state but has a quite large value due to the windup of the switching function, which leads to large values of the error states, as explained in (15). On the other hand, the switching function of Method 3 is bounded by a very small region because the auxiliary state contains the amount of windup for switching function and replaces the error dynamics of (36) with slower dynamics, which is the fundamental role of the auxiliary state. Therefore, the sliding mode dynamics are always stable as explained in (20). Fig. 4(g) shows the values of the auxiliary state for Method 3. The auxiliary state eventually converges to zero with the condition of $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Fig. 4(h), Method 3 has the smallest time interval in control saturation and has the smoothest control input. Finally, the error states are given in Fig. 4(c) and (d). The first peaks of $e_{1,k}$ and $e_{2,k}$ occur for three. After the acceleration component of the reference satisfies Assumption 2, i.e., in the constant velocity region of the reference, Method 3 shows a superior tracking performance compared to the other methods without the second peak in the position error. In conclusion, the auxiliary state for Method 3 preserves the fundamental dynamics, i.e., (20) and (21), under disturbances and control saturation. Therefore, the tracking performance of Method 3 exhibits the most desirable performance under disturbances and control saturation.

Remark 5: If the parameter $g$ is increased, the peak value of disturbance estimation error decreases. Fig. 5 shows the comparison results for the disturbance estimation error with different gains of $g$ by using Method 3. In the steady-state region near 0.2 s, the peak value of the disturbance estimation error for Method 3 with $g = 0.12$ is reduced by 3.9 times compared to the case when $g = 0.03$. The disturbance estimation performance of Method 2 is similar to or little less than that of Method 3. The simulation results of the estimation error ratio are summarized in Table II. In the transient region near 0.1 s, the convergence speed of the disturbance estimation error increases as $g$ increases. In practice, however, there is a restriction for the gain level of $g$ due to the fast disturbance or time delay that reduces the stability margin in the frequency domain [26]. Therefore, this represents the tradeoff of gain for DDC, which needs to be considered in real implementation.

V. EXPERIMENTS

Experiments on a commercial ball-screw drive system are performed to show the effectiveness of the proposed method. The drive system consists of a 400-W ac servo motor, an incremental encoder with $2^{23}$ counts/revolution (RS Automation, Korea), a 400-W servo driver (RS Automation, Korea), and a ball-screw drive load which is mechanically coupled with a servo motor. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 7, and the block diagram of the servo system is shown in Fig. 8. A second-order
Fig. 6. Simulation results of Method 2 and Method 3 with different $\alpha$.

Butterworth-type low-pass filter (LPF) with cutoff frequency at 2000 Hz is cascaded right after the controller to ameliorate the high-frequency noises in the measurement from the encoder. In addition, two bi-quad notch filters with center frequencies at 871 Hz and 1615 Hz are cascaded right after the LPF to suppress the high-frequency resonances in the ball-screw drive system. The resonance frequencies of the system were found by an online frequency estimator [49] in prior. By utilizing the LPF and the two NFs, the system can be modeled as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_{k+1} \\
\omega_{k+1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & T \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_k \\
\omega_k
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
k_i T^2 / 2J \\
k_i T / J
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_{\text{lim}} \text{ sat}(\frac{u_k}{u_{\text{lim}}}) + f_k
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(48)

where $\theta_k$ and $\omega_k$ are the angular position and the angular velocity of the motor, respectively. The maximum control input $u_{\text{lim}}$ is 5 A. The sampling period $T$ is 0.125 ms. The torque constant $k_i$ is 0.33 N·m/A. The total inertia $J$, which combines the motor inertia and the load inertia, is $2.32 \times 10^{-4}$ kg·m².

The gain parameters of the DSMC with DDC and auxiliary state are given in Table I. In this test, the desired position is 15 turns, and the desired velocity is 2000 rev/min. The acceleration and deceleration times of reference are both 5 ms. Fig. 9 shows the reference profile. The control algorithms of Methods 2 and 3 that are introduced in the simulation Section IV are implemented in the digital signal processor of the servo driver.

Fig. 7. Experimental setup.
Due to the discrete-time domain representation, the proposed method can be directly implemented to the embedded systems without discretization process.

Fig. 10 shows the experimental results for Methods 2 and 3. Method 1 cannot be tested in the system due to its instability under control saturation as shown in Section IV. The zoomed-in plot of Fig. 10 is depicted in Fig. 11. In Fig. 11(a), Method 2 exhibits the second peak in the position error due to the windup effect of the switching function. On the other hand, the second peak does not occur when Method 3 is applied. The third peak of velocity error for Method 2 occurs as shown in Fig. 11(b). On the other hand, the third peak does not occur when Method 3 is applied. Method 3 has a smaller amount of time duration in control saturation compared to that of Method 2 as shown in Fig. 11(c). Chattering is significantly ameliorated because the boundary layer technique is applied in both cases. In Fig. 11(c), there are a small quantization noise in the measurement and a small vibration due to unmodelled dynamics in the ball-screw drive system, which can be further reduced by tuning the parameters of the LPF and the two NFs. The disturbance estimates for Method 2 and 3 are not completely zero because they estimate and compensate for disturbances such as frictions and model uncertainties as shown in Fig. 11(d). In consistency with the simulation results in Section IV, Method 3 provides smoother responses than Method 2, attributed to the auxiliary state. Table III summarizes the experimental results in Fig. 11. These results quantitatively show that the proposed DSMC with DDC, and auxiliary state method is highly effective under disturbances and control saturation.

VI. CONCLUSION

This article developed a novel DSMC with DDC method to effectively compensate for disturbances and control saturation using the auxiliary state. For a constrained input system, the stability of the original DSMC with DDC was not guaranteed. On the other hand, the proposed method preserves the asymptotic convergence property of the disturbance estimation error dynamics and maintains the stability of SMC in
the presence of disturbances and control saturation. To show the effectiveness of the proposed method, simulations and experiments on an industrial ball-screw drive system were performed. Tracking performances under disturbances and control saturation were significantly improved by using the proposed method.
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