A 76-year-old man presented to our hospital with abdominal distention and loss of appetite. The 10% of weight loss relative to this patient in 1 month. Abdominal computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging revealed a giant mass, with a major axis of 23 cm, containing solid components, not involving the upper abdominal organs. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy showed extramural compression from the middle gastric body to the antrum, as well as a normal mucosal surface. These findings were suggestive of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor attached to the anterior wall of the stomach without metastasis or invasion. Partial gastrectomy was performed for tumor resection, and the patient was subsequently treated with adjuvant imatinib. We report a rare case of a large extramural gastrointestinal stromal...
A Giant Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor of the Stomach with Extramural Growth

Introduction

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are mesenchymal tumors that may develop anywhere within the gastrointestinal tract. The annual incidence of GISTs is 12.7 per million [1]. They are defined as CD117-(C-kit) or CD34-positive spindle cell tumors and are derived from interstitial cells of Cajal. The most common site of growth is the stomach, accounting for 60–70% of the entire gastrointestinal tract, followed by the small intestine, large intestine, and esophagus [2]. In the stomach, developmental morphology is classified as exogastric (30–40%), intramural (29–44%), endogastric (18–22%), and mixed type (16–22%) based on the Skandalakis classification for leiomyomas [3].

The GIST treatment guidelines show risk classifications combining tumor diameter and karyokinesis count, which is an index of cell proliferation potential. Tumors with a diameter of ≥5 cm and karyokinesis count of ≥5/HPF are classified into the high-risk group. Other factors that increase recurrence risk in malignant cases include hematogenous metastasis, invasion of surrounding tissue, peritoneal metastasis, and tumor rupture.

We treated a patient with a giant submucosal tumor of the stomach with extramural growth that was pathologically diagnosed as a classical GIST postoperatively. We hereby report our case and discuss a few previous reports.

Case Report

A 76-year-old man presented to our hospital with a feeling of abdominal fullness and poor appetite for approximately 1 month; he had lost 7 kg, a 10% weight loss relative to his initial weight. Of note, he had a medical history of myocardial infarction. During a regular checkup at the cardiovascular medicine department at our hospital, a computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdominal region revealed a giant, solid mass occupying the epigastrium. He was introduced to our department. An irregular-shaped solid mass with elastic hardness, of the size of an infant’s head, was palpated in the epigastrium. No pain or tenderness was noted. Blood test results after admission indicated mild normocytic and normochromic anemia (hemoglobin, 11.3 g/dl) and elevated inflammatory response (C-reactive protein, 10 mg/dl; table 1). Contrast-enhanced abdominal CT revealed a giant, solid mass with a diameter of 23 cm occupying the epigastrium, and the gastric corpus was markedly displaced to the left. In the arterial phase, an aneurysm formed within the tumor and the contrast agent was pooling. The left gastric artery had also developed as the feeding vessel. Gallstones were detected; otherwise, no obvious metastatic lesions in other organs were seen. Abdominal contrast magnetic resonance imaging showed an irregularly shaped tumor with hypoechoic signals on T1 images, and mixed areas of high and low intensity were noted on T2 images in the epigastrium. The tumor had dominant borders, with a poorly enhanced area in the center, indicating internal necrosis. Much of the tumor was in contact with the liver, which was being excluded. However, it was clearly demarcated, with regular borders and no apparent invasion (fig. 1). Extramural growth from the posterior wall of the middle stomach to the pyloric region was seen during esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). Passage from the stomach to the duodenum was possible.
Based on these findings, the diagnosis of a giant gastric submucosal tumor with extramural growth was established. Despite blood transfusions, anemia progressed.

Surgical findings indicated that a tumor had developed from the lesser curvature side of the stomach. It adhered to the transverse mesocolon, liver, and gallbladder; however, no invasion and metastatic lesions were detected. Therefore, distal gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction were performed. Cholecystectomy was also performed for the gallstones. Macroscopic findings of the resected specimen showed a giant tumor of 23 × 20 × 13 cm on the gastric serosal side. Most of the tumor was covered in a capsule, but the capsule had broken in some places and parenchyma was disintegrating. The removed mass was pale pink, solid, and clearly demarcated. Severe bleeding necrosis was observed (fig. 2).

Histopathological findings indicated that tumor cells were spindle shaped and oval nuclei exhibited tight, intricate hyperplasia in bundles below muscularis propria of the stomach. Karyokinesis count was 7–8/HPF (400 × 10 field mean value). On immunohistochemistry, the tumor cells were indicated to be CD117 (C-kit)(+), CD34(+), S-100(−), α-SMA (α-smooth muscle actin)(−), and desmin(−) (fig. 3). The Ki67 positivity rate was approximately 40%. No metastatic lesions were noted in the greater omentum. Thus, a diagnosis of classical GIST was made, and the tumor was classified into the high-risk group due to the high karyokinesis count and larger diameter.

Imatinib (300 mg/day) was initiated as adjuvant therapy on post-operative day 84.

Discussion

We performed a literature search on the American Medical Abstracts Society for articles published from 2006 through 2015 using the keywords gastric GIST and giant and excluded meeting minutes. We found only 7 reports of lesions with a maximum diameter of 20 cm or greater over 10 years. Five of these (71%) were in males and most patients (six patients, 86%) were 50–60 years old. The most common complaint was a feeling of fullness in the abdominal region (four patients, 57%), followed by abdominal pain (two patients, 29%) (table 2) [4–10].

Because GIST of the stomach developed extramurally, there were few clinical symptoms until it grew large, and it was difficult to detect. When tumors become exceedingly large, they displace surrounding organs and it can be difficult to identify the primary onset site and perform differential diagnosis. Based on frequency, malignant tumors of the abdominal region requiring differentiation include GISTs, leiomyosarcomas, liposarcomas, desmoid tumors, and malignant fibrous histiocytomas. GISTs more than 4 cm often involve necrosis, which is observed as a non-contrasted area on enhanced CT, and we made similar observations in our case. In our case, CRP level was elevated up to 10 mg/dl; however, it normalized after surgical resection. Thus, it appeared that necrosis in the GIST was causing the stimulated inflammatory response.

The utility of imaging modalities such as CT in definitive preoperative diagnosis of GISTs is limited. In many cases, tumor biopsy with endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration is performed. However, we did not perform this procedure on our patient in consideration of the risk of hemorrhage, as we had noted the formation of an aneurysm within the tumor. It has been reported that in GISTs of 10 cm or larger, the surface is nodular due to countless large vessels and the tissue weakens, making it prone to hemorrhage [11]. Therefore, in cases of giant tumors, eligibility for tumor biopsy for the purpose of preoperative diagnosis should be carefully considered taking into account the risk of hemorrhage and
metastasis. There were no clinically malignant findings in our patient but the tumor was classified into the high-risk group based on the diameter and karyokinesis count.

The first choice for GIST treatment is complete surgical resection. Surgery should be performed taking into account the possibility of invasion of and adhesion to organs surrounding the tumor that cannot be identified on preoperative diagnostic imaging and the need for extended surgery. If a definitive diagnosis can be established based on histopathological findings, preoperative chemotherapy may also be considered as an option. Preoperative chemotherapy is performed to improve the complete resection rate, preserve organ function, and improve surgical safety [12]. However, it has also been reported that sudden reductions in tumor size may lead to adverse events such as tumor hemorrhage and tumor penetration. Postoperatively, it is recommended that adjuvant therapy with imatinib be administered for moderate and high-risk group tumors [13]. According to a recent placebo-controlled randomized trial, adjuvant therapy for initial-onset GISTs (C-KIT-positive, 3 cm or larger) involving 400 mg/day of imatinib for one year was reported to be safe and suppress recurrence [13]. Thus, the recurrence-free survival rate was statistically significantly higher in the imatinib group. It has been reported that in the high-risk group, a postoperative recurrence rate of approximately 60% is observed but that adjuvant therapy is effective [14]. The recent randomized trial that comparatively investigated groups administered imatinib for 1 year and 3 years found that the recurrence-free survival period and overall survival period were better in the 3-year-administration group [15].

For our patient, we started imatinib postoperatively. As of 2 years after surgery, no recurrence has been observed. We will carefully monitoring in further follow-ups.
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Fig. 1. Contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen demonstrated a giant, solid mass with a diameter of 23 cm, with homogeneous enhancement (arrows). In the arterial phase, formation of an aneurysm within the tumor was noted and the contrast medium was pooling (a). Contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdominal region showed an irregularly-shaped tumor with hypoechoic signals (arrow head) on T1 images (b), and mixed hypoechoic and hyperechoic signals (asterisk) on T2 images (c) were noted in the epigastrium.
Fig. 2. Macroscopic findings of the resected specimen showed a giant tumor of 23 × 20 × 13 cm on the gastric serosa side. Most of the tumor was covered in a capsule but the capsule had broken in some places and parenchyma was disintegrating (a). The cut surface was clearly demarcated, pale pink, and solid. It was accompanied by severe bleeding necrosis (b).

Fig. 3. Hematoxylin and eosin stained section indicated that cells with spindle-shaped and oval nuclei exhibited tight, intricate hyperplasia in bundles below the muscularis propria of the stomach (a). Immunohistochemistry results indicated that tumor cells were CD117 (C-kit) (+) (b) and CD34 (+) (c). Negative control staining for the immunohistochemistry were S-100(−) (d) and α-SMA(−) (e).
### Table 1. Laboratory results at admission

| Parameter          | Result (normal value)       | Biochemistry parameter | Result (normal value) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| WBC, /μl           | 7,000 (3,300–8,600)         | AST, IU/l              | 27 (10–33)            |
| RBC, /μl           | 355×10⁴ (410–550×10⁴)       | ALT, IU/l              | 9 (6–35)              |
| Hb, g/dl           | 11.3 (13.5–16.5)            | LDH, IU/l              | 252 (200–450)         |
| Ht, %              | 33.7 (40.0–50.0)            | LDH1, %                 | 9 (6–7.5)             |
| MCV, fl            | 94.9 (83.0–101.0)           | LDH2, %                 | 35 (6.7–8.3)          |
| MCH, pg            | 31.8 (27.0–34.0)            | LDH3, %                 | 19 (6.7–8.3)          |
| Plt, /μl           | 34.7×10⁴ (15.0–35.0×10⁴)    | LDH4, %                 | 8 (6.7–8.3)           |
| Coagulation        |                              |ilha                   | 9 (6–7.5)             |
| PT, %              | 30 (70–70)                  | TP, g/dl               | 6.3 (6.7–8.3)         |
| APTT, s            | 57.5 (24.0–36.0)            | CA19-9, U/ml           | 378 (220–530)         |
| Fbg, mg/dl         | 564 (150–400)               | TP Ab                  | 206 (96–300)          |
| FDP, μg/ml         | 6 (0–5)                     | LDH, IU/l              | 51 (12–65)            |
| D-dimer, μg/ml     | 1.5 (0.1–1.0)               | IL2R, U/ml             | 169 (39.9–466)        |
| GTP, IU/l          |                              | CRP, mg/dl             | 10.02 (–0.30)         |

### Table 2. Published reports of patients with a maximum diameter of 20 cm or greater between 2006 and 2015

| Case | Year | Author | Age | Sex | Chief complaint          | Tumor size, cm | Treatment | Mitosis | Follow-up |
|------|------|--------|-----|-----|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| 1    | 2007 | Panukcuoglu [9] | 68  | M   | abdominal distension    | 24×16×13       | S + CT    | 8–12/50 HPF | 2 months  |
| 2    | 2008 | Funahashi [10]  | 59  | M   | diarrhea and anal bleeding | 18×25×11   | S         | 12/10 HPF   | 6 years   |
| 3    | 2008 | Cruz Jr [11]    | 37  | M   | abdominal pain           | 32×25×21     | S + CT    | 10/50 HPF  | 1 year    |
| 4    | 2013 | Colovic [12]    | 52  | F   | fullness and discomfort  | 20.5×16      | S + CT    | NM       | 2 years    |
| 5    | 2013 | Cappellani [13] | 67  | M   | abdominal pain           | 37×24×13     | S + CT    | 5/50 HPF   | 4 years    |
| 6    | 2013 | Notani [14]     | 58  | F   | abdominal distension     | 22×19×20     | S + CT    | 5–10/50 HPF| 1 year    |
| 7    | 2015 | Ionescu [15]    | 66  | M   | abdominal distension     | 25×20×27     | S + CT    | 21/5 mm²  | NM        |
| 8    | 2015 | our case        | 76  | M   | abdominal distension     | 23×20×13     | S + CT    | 7–8/50 HPF | 18 months  |

S = Surgery; CT = chemotherapy.