Acute kidney injury development in polytrauma and the safety of early repeated contrast studies: A retrospective cohort study
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BACKGROUND: The role of repeat intravenous contrast doses beyond initial contrast imaging in the development of acute kidney injury (AKI) for multiple injury patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) is not fully understood. We hypothesized that additional contrast doses are potentially modifiable risk factors for worse outcomes.

METHODS: An 8-year retrospective study of our institutional prospective postinjury multiple organ failure database was performed. Adult ICU admissions that survived ~72 hours with Injury Severity Score (ISS) of >15 were included. Patients were grouped based on number of repeat contrast studies received after initial imaging. Initial vital signs, resuscitation data, and laboratory parameters were collected. Primary outcome was AKI (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes criteria), and secondary outcomes included contrast-induced acute kidney injury (CI-AKI; >25% or >44 μmol/L increase in creatinine within 72 hours of contrast administration), multiple organ failure, length of stay, and mortality.

RESULTS: Six-hundred sixty-three multiple injury patients (age, 45.3 years [SD, 9.1 years]; males, 75%; ISS, 25 (interquartile range, 20–34); mortality, 5.4%) met the inclusion criteria. The incidence of AKI was 13.4%, and CI-AKI was 14.5%. Multivariate analysis revealed that receiving additional contrast doses within the first 72 hours was not associated with AKI (odds ratio, 1.33; confidence interval, 0.80–2.21; p = 0.273). Risk factors for AKI included higher ISS (p < 0.0007), older age (p = 0.0109), higher heart rate (p = 0.0327), lower systolic blood pressure (p = 0.0007), and deranged baseline blood results including base deficit (p = 0.0042), creatinine (p < 0.0001), lactate (p < 0.0001), and hemoglobin (p = 0.0085). Acute kidney injury was associated with worse outcomes (ICU length of stay: 8 vs. 3 days, p < 0.0001; mortality: 16% vs. 3.6%; p < 0.0001; MOF: 42% vs. 6.6%; p < 0.0001).

CONCLUSION: There is a limited role of repeat contrast administration in AKI development in ICU-admitted multiple injury patients. The clinical significance of CI-AKI is likely overestimated, and it should not compromise essential secondary imaging from the ICU. Further prospective studies are needed to verify our results. (J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2022;93: 872–881. Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.)

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Therapeutic/Care Management; Level III.

KEY WORDS: Acute kidney injury; contrast-induced acute kidney injury; multiple injury; multiple organ failure.

Contrast-induced acute kidney injury (CI-AKI), previously known as contrast-induced nephropathy, is a clinical entity defined by acute kidney injury (AKI) following the administration of intravenous (i.v.) iodinated contrast, in the absence of another etiology.1 Contrast-induced acute kidney injury has previously been reported as the third leading cause of iatrogenic renal insufficiency, although historically reported incidence of CI-AKI was unclear because of variable definitions for AKI and lack of control groups.2,3 Nonetheless, AKI associated with contrast administration increases hospital length of stay (LOS), mortality rate, and the likelihood for the need for renal replacement therapy (RRT).4,5 Mortality rates in medical patients developing renal failure have been reported to be up to 5.5 times greater than those with intact renal function.6

There is a paucity of literature about CI-AKI in trauma patients, who have an increased risk of AKI due to hypoperfusion, resuscitation, severe tissue injury, and direct kidney injury. These frequent confounders in major trauma patients make it difficult to comply with the “in the absence of another etiology” criteria for CI-AKI while most of the patients receive i.v. contrast.

Trauma patients have a reported incidence of CI-AKI between 1.9% and 19.4%, which varies because of both the inconsistency of the definition of AKI and study population.5,7 In general, higher injury severity is a well-established independent predictor of AKI in trauma patients.8-10 The development of AKI in trauma patients has been shown to worsen outcomes, but it is unclear if contrast administration is an independent risk factor for worse outcomes.1,4,7,8 Multiple injury patients after the unavoidable initial i.v. contrast-enhanced diagnostic workup and resuscitation are admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) from where frequently repeated contrast studies are performed as part of protocolled or individualized management. The trauma literature is equivocal about the significance of multiple contrast exposures on AKI.7,8,10 While the initial imaging from the resuscitation bay is hardly avoidable, secondary contrast studies during the early hospital stay could be timed and tailored better to the patients’ risk for CI-AKI and to the overall impact on outcome.

We aimed to describe the incidence, outcomes of trauma patients with repeated contrast studies after ICU admission, the association between secondary contrast studies and AKI, and the predictors of AKI in a high-risk multiple injury cohort. We hypothesized that repeated contrast studies during early ICU stay in multiple injury patients are potentially modifiable independent predictors of worse outcomes.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Ethics

This study received ethics approval from the local health districts ethics committee (AU202012-10). It adheres to the provision of privacy and confidentiality of patient data and clinical information, including the State of New South Wales Health Records and Information Privacy Act 2002.
Definitions

Acute Kidney Injury

The serum creatinine criteria of the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) was used to define AKI staging in our cohort. Briefly, AKI 1 is characterized by an increase in serum creatinine of >26.53 μmol/L (0.3 mg/dL) or 1.5 to 1.9 times increase above baseline; AKI 2 by 2.0 to 2.9 times increase above baseline; and AKI 3 by >3 times increase above baseline, an increase of >353.68 μmol/L (4.0 mg/dL), or initiation of RRT. Acute kidney injury was said to be present if these changes occurred within 72 hours from the initial contrast dose.

Contrast-Induced AKI

Contrast-induced AKI was defined as a relative increase of serum creatinine >25% or an absolute increase of >44 μmol/L (0.5 mg/dL) within 72 hours from initial contrast dose. Comorbidities

Comorbidities considered relevant to risk of AKI or CI-AKI were recorded. This included congestive cardiac failure, ischemic heart disease, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and any other specific renal pathology noted within patient records.

Contrast Studies

A contrast study was defined as any i.v. contrast-enhanced computed topography imaging technique used for diagnostic purposes or any i.v. or intra-arterial angiographic procedure that used contrast. This included angiograms, angioembolization, stenting procedures, inferior vena cava filter insertion, and endovascular thoracic aortic rupture repair.

Isolated Head Injury

Isolated head injury was defined as no injuries apart from the head region with Abbreviated Injury Scale greater than one.

Multiple Organ Failure

A Denver score above 3 was used to define multiple organ failure (MOF), which was then categorized as early (present on day 3 or earlier) or late (not present on day 3 but developed later).

Figure 1. Flowchart showing patient inclusion, exclusion, and final grouping into groups based on number of repeat contrast studies.
**Study Design and Participants**

Eight-year retrospective study ending on December 31, 2019, was performed on all consecutive ICU admitted trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than 15, and 16 years and older admitted to our level 1 trauma center. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement guidelines were used to ensure that all necessary components of our study design were included (Supplemental Digital Content, Supplementary Data 1, http://links.lww.com/TA/C630). Figure 1 shows the exclusion and inclusion criteria as well as the grouping process of the various contrast exposure groups within our final population. Eight-hundred-seventy patients were considered after all patients with isolated head injuries were excluded. Further exclusions were made based on the lack of pre-ICU contrast studies (n = 140), missing precontrast and/or postcontrast laboratory data (n = 32), and death within 72 hours of admission (n = 35). After the application of all exclusions, 663 patients were considered for analysis, and they were divided into 3 contrast exposure groups based on number of repeat contrast doses received within 72 hours of initial pre-ICU dose. The three contrast exposure groups formed were those receiving no repeat contrast dose after initial pre-ICU contrast (n = 488), those receiving one repeat contrast dose (n = 153), and those receiving two repeat contrast doses within this time frame (n = 22).

**Variables and Data Collection**

Variables extracted from the Trauma Registry, prospective institutional MOF database, and focused chart review included demographics (age, sex), emergency department admission date, heart rate and systolic blood pressure (SBP) at admission, ISS, mortality, MOF, daily ICU Denver scores, ICU LOS, hospital LOS, and fluid and blood product volume within the first 24 hours of admission.

Data extracted from patient records included comorbidities relevant to CI-AKI and whether RRT was required as well as type of RRT and duration of RRT. Data relevant to contrast studies were also extracted including the timing and date, the dose of contrast, type of contrast study performed, whether i.v. or intra-arterial contrast was used, and if the procedure was suprarenal or infrarenal.

Laboratory values extracted included lactate, base deficit, hemoglobin, and creatinine before the first administration of i.v. contrast. Further laboratory values collected included daily creatinine for duration of ICU stay and the highest creatinine post i.v. contrast administration within 72 hours.

**Study Outcomes**

The primary outcome of the study was the development of AKI. Secondary outcomes included the development of CI-AKI, development of MOF, need for RRT, mortality, ICU LOS, and hospital LOS. Routine RRT for patients previously requiring hemodialysis for chronic kidney disease was not included in patients requiring RRT.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic, clinical, and hospital admission variables were compared for patients with and without AKI and were also compared by exposure group (determined by whether the patient had zero, one, or two repeat contrast procedures). Quantitative variables were summarized as mean and SD or as median and interquartile range if the distribution was skewed. Categorical variables were summarized as frequency count and percentage.

*p* Values for comparisons of AKI versus no AKI were from *t* tests or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests for quantitative variables and *χ*² tests for categorical variables. *p* Values for comparison of the three exposure groups were from analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitative variables and *χ*² tests for categorical variables.

Logistic regression was used to investigate the effect of exposure on AKI, with results presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and Wald *p* values. The exposure group variable was dichotomized as ‘any’ versus ‘no’ repeat contrast procedures because of there being only a small number of patients with two repeats. Multivariate modeling was performed to reduce confounding bias in the exposure effect estimate by adjusting for patient age, sex, injury severity, and creatinine before first contrast procedure. Other covariates such as SBP, prior base excess, and prior lactate were rejected for inclusion in the model for two reasons: there were considerable missing data for these variables, and the interval estimates for the exposure variable were similar in models with and without them. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test for goodness of fit indicated no evidence against the chosen model.

Statistical significance was set at 0.05. Analysis was performed in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**RESULTS**

**Population Characteristics**

The mean age of the study population was 45 years (SD, 19.1 years), 497 patients (75%) were male, and the median ISS was 25.8. Characteristics of the overall cohort are shown in Table 1. A total of 75 patients (11%) developed MOF. The median ICU LOS and hospital LOS within the entire cohort were 4 (1–9) days and 17 (9–33) days, respectively. Within the study population, a total of 36 patients (5.4%) died.

**Primary Outcome: Incidence of AKI Among Trauma Patients**

There was a total of 89 patients (13.4%) within the cohort who developed AKI as per the KDIGO criteria within 72 hours of initial contrast dose. Of these, 62 (70%) had stage 1, 11 (12%) had stage 2, and 16 (18%) had stage 3. Applying the most frequently used criteria for defining CI-AKI in trauma patients, it was found that 96 patients (14.5%) were classified as having CI-AKI.

**Characteristics Among AKI Trauma Patients**

Patients with AKI had a mean age of 50.1 years (SD, 19.5 years), and 73 (82%) of these patients were male. Median ISS was 29 (22–41), and median creatinine at time of admission before initial scan was 117 (88–141). Other laboratory values at admission, vital signs at admission, and fluid and blood product management in first 24 hours are shown in Table 1. Of the patients with AKI, 13 (15%) had comorbidities. The number of AKI patients needing an interventional radiographic contrast procedure during their admission was 16 (18%), and of these, 9 (10%) had the procedure within 72 hours. Six (75%) of these patients received suprarenal
### TABLE 1. Characteristics and Outcomes of Patients With AKI and Those Without AKI

| Characteristic                                      | Whole Cohort (n = 663) | AKI (n = 89) | No AKI (n = 574) | p     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|
| **General characteristics**                         |                        |              |                  |       |
| Age, y                                              | 45.3 (19.1)            | 50.1 (19.5)  | 44.6 (18.9)      | 0.0109|
| ISS                                                 | 25.0 (20.0, 34.0)      | 29.0 (22.0, 41.0) | 25.0 (20.0, 33.0) | 0.0007|
| Sex, male                                           | 497 (75%)              | 73 (82%)     | 424 (74%)        | 0.0985|
| Comorbidities                                       | 71 (11%)               | 13 (15%)     | 58 (10%)         | 0.2034|
| Interventional radiology procedure performed within 72 h | 53 (8.0%)              | 9 (10%)      | 44 (7.7%)        | 0.4284|
| Infrarenal intra-arterial contrast received within 72 h | 16 (31%)               | 2 (25%)      | 14 (33%)         | 0.994 |
| Suprarenal intra-arterial contrast received within 72 h | 35 (69%)               | 6 (75%)      | 29 (67%)         | 0.803 |
| Initial dose, mL                                    | 100 (75, 100)          | 100 (75, 100)| 100 (75, 100)    | 0.3963|
| **Hospital admission**                              |                        |              |                  |       |
| SBP, mm Hg                                          | 115.0 (96.5, 132.0)    | 103.0 (90.0, 124.5) | 116.0 (98.5, 134.0) | 0.0007|
| HR, bpm                                             | 99.0 (82.0, 115.0)     | 105.5 (85.0, 120.0) | 99.0 (81.0, 115.0) | 0.0327|
| Hb, g/L                                             | 135.0 (119.0, 147.0)   | 128.0 (113.0, 140.0) | 136.0 (120.0, 147.0) | 0.0085|
| Lactate, mmol/L                                     | 2.8 (1.8, 3.8)         | 3.2 (2.4, 5.1) | 2.6 (1.8, 3.6)   | <0.0001|
| BD, mEq/L                                           | −2.8 (−5.5, −0.8)      | −4.2 (−7.3, −1.3) | −2.7 (−5.3, −0.7) | 0.0042|
| Creatinine, μmol/L                                 | 92.0 (75.0, 115.0)     | 117.0 (88.0, 141.0) | 90.0 (74.0, 109.0) | <0.0001|
| **Blood and fluid product management (initial 24 h)** |                        |              |                  |       |
| Received PRBC                                       | 312 (47%)              | 64 (72%)     | 248 (43%)        | <0.0001|
| Received FFP                                        | 221 (34%)              | 47 (53%)     | 176 (31%)        | <0.0001|
| Received cryoprecipitate                            | 167 (25%)              | 35 (39%)     | 132 (23%)        | 0.0010|
| Received platelets                                  | 81 (12%)               | 26 (29%)     | 55 (9.6%)        | <0.0001|
| Received tranexamic acid                            | 28 (4.2%)              | 5 (5.6%)     | 23 (4.0%)        | 0.4820|
| Received albumin                                    | 65 (9.8%)              | 11 (12%)     | 54 (9.4%)        | 0.3836|
| Received prothrombinex                              | 6 (0.9%)               | 3 (3.4%)     | 3 (0.5%)         | 0.0083|
| Quantity PRBC                                       | 4 (2, 8)               | 6 (3, 12)    | 4 (2, 6)         | 0.0006|
| Quantity FFP                                        | 4 (2, 6)               | 4 (3, 8)     | 4 (2, 5)         | 0.0038|
| Quantity cryoprecipitats                            | 10 (5, 10)             | 10 (5, 10)   | 10 (5, 10)       | 0.1805|
| Quantity platelets                                  | 1 (1, 2)               | 1 (1, 2)     | 1 (1, 1)         | 0.2242|
| Crystalloids, mL                                    | 3,000 (2,000, 5,000)   | 4,000 (2,350, 6,797) | 3,000 (2,000, 4,900) | 0.0003|
| **AKI — KDIGO**                                     |                        |              |                  |       |
| AKI                                                  | 89 (13.4%)             |              |                  |       |
| AKI grade 1                                         | 62 (70%)               |              |                  |       |
| AKI grade 2                                         | 11 (12%)               |              |                  |       |
| AKI grade 3                                         | 16 (18%)               |              |                  |       |
| **Outcomes**                                        |                        |              |                  |       |
| ICU LOS, d                                          | 4.0 (1.0, 9.0)         | 8.0 (4.0, 14.0) | 3.0 (1.0, 7.0)   | <0.0001|
| Hospital LOS, d                                     | 17.0 (9.0, 33.0)       | 24.0 (14.0, 46.0) | 15.5 (8.0, 30.0) | <0.0001|
| Mortality                                           | 36 (5.4%)              | 14 (16%)     | 22 (3.8%)        | <0.0001|
| MOF                                                  | 75 (11%)               | 37 (42%)     | 38 (6.6%)        | <0.0001|
| Early MOF                                           | 57 (76%)               | 33 (89%)     | 24 (63%)         | <0.001 |
| Late MOF                                            | 18 (24%)               | 4 (11%)      | 14 (37%)         | <0.0001|
| RRT                                                 | 22 (3.3%)              | 17 (20%)     | 5 (0.9%)         | <0.0001|
| MOF (highest Denver score during ICU admission)      |                        |              |                  |       |
| Hepatic grade 1                                      | 74 (11%)               | 18 (20%)     | 56 (9.8%)        | <0.001 |
| Hepatic grade 2                                      | 14 (2.1%)              | 6 (6.7%)     | 8 (1.4%)         |       |
| Hepatic grade 3                                      | 9 (1.4%)               | 4 (4.5%)     | 5 (0.9%)         |       |
| Renal grade 1                                        | 50 (7.5%)              | 28 (31%)     | 22 (3.8%)        | <0.001 |
| Renal grade 2                                        | 19 (2.9%)              | 11 (12%)     | 8 (1.4%)         | <0.001 |
| Renal grade 3                                        | 25 (3.8%)              | 20 (22%)     | 5 (0.9%)         | <0.001 |
| Cardiac grade 1                                      | 145 (22%)              | 20 (22%)     | 125 (22%)        | <0.001 |
| Cardiac grade 2                                      | 103 (16%)              | 29 (33%)     | 74 (13%)         |       |
| Cardiac grade 3                                      | 25 (3.8%)              | 11 (12%)     | 14 (2.4%)        |       |
| Respiratory grade 1                                  | 71 (11%)               | 12 (13%)     | 59 (10%)         | <0.001 |

Continued next page
intra-arterial contrast, and two (25%) received infrarenal intra-arterial contrast. The median dose of contrast received within 72 hours was 100 mL (100–150 mL).

Secondary Outcomes Among Trauma Patients With AKI

Fourteen (16%) of the 89 AKI patients died. The mortality among non-AKI patients was 22 (3.8%). Thirty-seven (42%) AKI patients developed MOF. Comparatively, 38 patients (6.6%) without AKI developed MOF. Twenty AKI patients (22%) had a grade 3 Denver renal score during their admission in ICU, and 60 (67%) of these patients needed inotropic support. The highest grades given for other components of the Denver score and the median of the highest score obtained during ICU admission are shown in Table 1. Median ICU LOS and hospital LOS for trauma patients with AKI were 8 (4–14) days and 24 (14–46) days, respectively. Seventeen (20%) of the patients with AKI needed RRT during their hospital admission.

Predictors of AKI and the Role of Additional Contrast

Injury Severity Score was found to be higher in those developing AKI (29 vs. 25, p = 0.0007). Older age (50.2 vs. 44.6, p = 0.0109), a lower SBP (103 vs. 116, p = 0.0007), and higher heart rate (99 vs. 105.5, p = 0.0327) at admission were also associated with the development of AKI. All other laboratory values showed a statistically significant difference with a greater level of derangement being seen within the AKI group. There was no statistically significant difference regarding intra-arterial contrast within the initial 72-hour period for both suprarenal and infrarenal contrast in the development of AKI. There was no difference seen in the initial contrast dose among AKI patients and non-AKI patients (100 vs. 100, p = 0.3963). Patients who developed AKI were shown to be more likely to receive additional packed red blood cells (PRBCs), fresh frozen plasma, and crystalloid (see Table 1 for incidences and p values).

Because of low numbers within the two-repeat-contrast group, this group was combined with the one-repeat-contrast group as a binary outcome for logistic regression. Univariate analysis showed that repeat contrast was associated with increased odds of AKI (odds ratio, 1.79; confidence interval, 1.12–2.87; p = 0.015). However, multivariate analysis, which adjusted for sex, age, ISS, and creatinine before scan, revealed no statistical association between repeat contrast and AKI (odds ratio, 1.33; confidence interval, 0.80–2.21; p = 0.273).

Incidence of AKI Among Contrast Groups

The no-repeat-contrast group had 56 patients (11.5%) who developed AKI. There were 29 (19%) in the one-repeat-contrast group who developed AKI, and the two-repeat-contrast group had 4 patients (18.2%) meeting AKI criteria. In the group with no repeat contrast, 41 (73%) had stage 1, 7 (13%) had stage 2, and 8 (14%) had stage 3. The one-repeat group had 18 patients (62%) with stage 1, 4 (14%) with stage 2, and 7 (24%) with stage 3. The two-repeat-contrast group, however, had no patients meeting stage 2 criteria although three patients (75%) meeting stage 1 criteria and one patient (25%) meeting criteria for stage 3. The number of patients meeting CI-AKI criteria in the no-repeat-contrast group was 60 (12.1%), 31 (20.4%) in the one-repeat-contrast group and 5 (22.7%) in the two-repeat-contrast group.

Characteristics Among Contrast Groups

The median ISS was 24 (19–33) in the no-repeat group, 29 (22–38) in the one-repeat group, and 32 (22–42) in the two-repeat group. Of the three groups, the two-repeat group had 18 males (82%), compared with 113 (74%) in the one-repeat group and 366 (75%) in the no-repeat group. Those who received an interventional contrast procedure within 72 hours from initial scan, location of contrast, and vital signs and laboratory values on admission for all three groups are shown in Table 2. The two-repeat-contrast group had a prescan creatinine median value of 105 μmol/L (76–126 μmol/L), compared with the one-repeat and no-repeat group with medians of 98 μmol/L (81–124 μmol/L) and 90 μmol/L (74–110 μmol/L), respectively. The daily median creatinine value for the first 5 days for the various groups is shown in Figure 2. The median of the absolute change from baseline creatinine for the various groups within 72 hours is shown in Figure 3. The number of patients within the two-repeat group requiring PRBCs within 24 hours of admission was 16 (73%). The one-repeat group had 97 (63%), and the no-repeat group had 199 (41%) requiring PRBC. The number of patients within the groups requiring other blood products is found within Table 2. The median volume (mL) of crystalloid solution given to the one-repeat group within 24 hours was 4,000 (2,500–5,700), and the median for those in the two-repeat group was 3,600 (2,500–5,000).

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.
| Characteristic                        | No Repeat Within 72 h (n = 488) | One Repeat Within 72 h (n = 153) | Two Repeats Within 72 h (n = 22) | p       |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| **General characteristics**          |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| Age, y                               | 45.6 (19.2)                     | 44.5 (18.5)                     | 43.6 (21.3)                     | 0.7370  |
| ISS                                  | 24.0 (19.0, 33.0)               | 29.0 (22.0, 38.0)               | 31.5 (22.0, 42.0)               | <0.0001 |
| Initial dose, mL                     | 100 (75, 100)                   | 100 (75, 100)                   | 100 (100, 100)                  | 0.1572  |
| Sex, male                            | 366 (75%)                       | 113 (74%)                       | 18 (82%)                        | 0.7221  |
| Comorbidities                        | 55 (11%)                        | 13 (8.5%)                       | 3 (14%)                         | 0.5620  |
| Interventional radiology procedure performed within 72 h | 1 (0.2%)                       | 39 (25%)                        | 13 (59%)                        | <0.0001 |
| Infrarenal intra-arterial contrast received within 72 h | 1 (100.0%)                     | 12 (32%)                        | 3 (25%)                         | 0.454   |
| Suprarenal intra-arterial contrast received within 72 h | 0                              | 26 (68%)                        | 9 (75%)                         | <0.001  |
| **Hospital admission**               |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| SBP, mm Hg                           | 116.5 (100.0, 134.0)            | 108.5 (90.0, 127.0)             | 105.5 (93.0, 129.0)             | 0.0164  |
| HR, bpm                              | 99.0 (82.0, 115.0)              | 99.0 (84.0, 117.0)              | 110.0 (80.0, 120.0)             | 0.6127  |
| Hb, g/L                              | 136.0 (120.0, 147.0)            | 129.0 (113.0, 145.0)            | 132.0 (114.0, 150.0)            | 0.0442  |
| Lactate, mmol/L                      | 2.5 (1.7, 3.5)                  | 3.3 (2.3, 4.5)                  | 3.8 (3.0, 5.1)                  | <0.0001 |
| BD, mEq/L                            | −2.5 (−5.3, −0.5)               | −3.1 (−5.6, −1.3)               | −4.1 (−7.7, −2.3)               | 0.0549  |
| Creatinine, μmol/L                   | 90.0 (73.5, 109.5)              | 98.0 (81.0, 124.0)              | 104.5 (76.0, 126.0)             | 0.0013  |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                    | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2)                  | 1.1 (0.9, 1.4)                  | 1.2 (0.9, 1.4)                  | 0.0013  |
| **Blood and fluid product management (initial 24 h)** |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| Received PRBC                        | 199 (41%)                       | 97 (63%)                        | 16 (73%)                        | <0.0001 |
| Received FFP                         | 138 (28%)                       | 72 (47%)                        | 13 (59%)                        | <0.0001 |
| Received cryoprecipitate             | 103 (21%)                       | 54 (35%)                        | 10 (45%)                        | 0.0002  |
| Received platelets                   | 38 (7.8%)                       | 39 (25%)                        | 4 (18%)                         | <0.0001 |
| Received tranexamic acid             | 16 (3.3%)                       | 10 (6.5%)                       | 2 (9.1%)                        | 0.1115  |
| Received albumin                     | 44 (9.0%)                       | 19 (12%)                        | 2 (9.1%)                        | 0.4636  |
| Received prothrombinex               | 6 (1.2%)                        | 0                               | 0                               | 0.3377  |
| Quantity PRBC                        | 4 (2.6)                         | 4 (2.9)                         | 5 (3.7)                         | 0.0646  |
| Quantity FFP                         | 4 (2.4)                         | 4 (2.7)                         | 4 (2.6)                         | 0.3584  |
| Quantity cryoprecipitates            | 9 (5.10)                        | 10 (5, 10)                      | 7.5 (5, 10)                     | 0.3225  |
| Quantity platelets                   | 1 (1, 1)                        | 1 (1, 2)                        | 1 (1, 2)                        | 0.8017  |
| Crystalloid, mL                      | 3,000 (2,000, 4,686)            | 4,000 (2,500, 5,700)            | 3,600 (2,500, 5,000)            | <0.0001 |
| **KDIGO**                            |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| AKI                                  | 56 (11%)                        | 29 (19%)                        | 4 (18%)                         | 0.0486  |
| AKI grade 1                          | 41 (73%)                        | 18 (62%)                        | 3 (75%)                         | 0.7270  |
| AKI grade 2                          | 7 (13%)                         | 4 (14%)                         | 0                               |         |
| AKI grade 3                          | 8 (14%)                         | 7 (24%)                         | 1 (25%)                         |         |
| **Outcomes**                         |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| ICU LOS, d                           | 3.0 (1.0, 7.0)                  | 5.0 (2.0, 11.0)                 | 8.0 (2.0, 11.0)                 | 0.0003  |
| Hospital LOS, d                      | 15.0 (8.0, 30.0)                | 21.0 (12.0, 39.0)               | 22.0 (10.0, 59.0)               | 0.0005  |
| Mortality                            | 22 (4.5%)                       | 12 (7.8%)                       | 2 (9.1%)                        | 0.2105  |
| MOF                                  | 51 (10%)                        | 22 (14%)                        | 2 (9.1%)                        | 0.3943  |
| Early MOF                            | 38 (75%)                        | 18 (82%)                        | 1 (50%)                         | 0.5456  |
| Late MOF                             | 13 (25%)                        | 4 (18%)                         | 1 (50%)                         | 0.5456  |
| RRT                                  | 13 (2.7%)                       | 8 (5.3%)                        | 1 (4.5%)                        | 0.2737  |
| MOF (highest Denver score during ICU admission) |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| Hepatic grade 1                      | 45 (9.2%)                       | 25 (16%)                        | 4 (18%)                         | 0.099   |
| Hepatic grade 2                      | 10 (2.0%)                       | 3 (2.0%)                        | 1 (4.5%)                        |         |
| Hepatic grade 3                      | 5 (1.0%)                        | 3 (2.0%)                        | 1 (4.5%)                        |         |
| Renal grade 1                        | 32 (6.6%)                       | 16 (10%)                        | 2 (9.1%)                        | 0.050   |
| Renal grade 2                        | 12 (2.5%)                       | 7 (4.6%)                        | 0                               |         |
| Renal grade 3                        | 13 (2.7%)                       | 10 (6.5%)                       | 2 (9.1%)                        |         |
| Cardiac grade 1                      | 9 (19%)                         | 4 (29%)                         | 10 (45%)                        | 0.013   |
| Cardiac grade 2                      | 76 (16%)                        | 24 (16%)                        | 3 (14%)                         |         |
| Cardiac grade 3                      | 17 (3.5%)                       | 7 (4.6%)                        | 1 (4.5%)                        |         |

Continued next page
Secondary Outcomes Among Contrast Groups

Two patients (9.1%) died in the two-repeat-contrast group. Within the other groups, those receiving one repeat contrast had 12 patients die (7.8%), and those not receiving repeat contrast had 22 (4.5%). Median ICU LOS was 8 (2–11) days in the two-repeat group, 5 (2–11) days in the one-repeat group, and 3 (1–7) days in the no-repeat group. Hospital LOS showed a median of 22 (10–59) days in the two-repeat group, 21 (12–39) days in the one-repeat group, and 15 (8–30) days in the no-repeat group. The number of patients with MOF for the no-repeat group, one-repeat group, and the two-repeat group was 51 (10%), 22 (14%), and 2 (9.1%), respectively. The proportion of those with late and early MOF is shown in Table 2. Within the two-repeat group, 14 patients (64%) required some degree of inotropic support. Comparatively, there were 75 patients (50%) needing inotropic support in the one-repeat group and 184 (39%) in the no-repeat group. Renal replacement therapy was required by 1 patient (4.5%) within the two-repeat group, 8 (5.3%) within the one-repeat group, and 13 (2.7%) within the no-repeat group.

DISCUSSION

This study was a retrospective observational study aimed at investigating the role of additional contrast doses on the development of AKI in multiple injury patients. Using the KDIGO criteria applied within 72 hours after initial contrast dose, we found an overall AKI incidence of 13.4%. Multivariate analysis demonstrated no statistically increased risk of AKI with additional contrast within the initial ICU period within our cohort. We found higher ISS, older age, higher heart rate, lower SBP, and deranged baseline blood results (base excess, creatinine, lactate, and hemoglobin) to be associated with the development of AKI. Outcomes such as mortality, LOS, and the development of MOF were all worse in those with AKI.

The complexity and multifactorial nature of AKI in multiple injury patients make its etiology difficult to distinguish, occurring as the result of various physiological insults including hemorrhagic shock, rhabdomyolysis, infectious complications, organ cross-talk, and nephrotoxic drug administration.27–30 The pathophysiology of trauma related AKI is therefore complex, involving multiple mechanisms and pathways. It is then

| TABLE 2. (Continued) |
|----------------------|
| Respiratory grade 1  | 49 (10%)   | 18 (12%) | 4 (18%) | 0.692 |
| Respiratory grade 2  | 127 (26%)  | 46 (30%) | 7 (32%) |       |
| Respiratory grade 3  | 37 (7.6%)  | 11 (7.2%)| 2 (9.1%)|       |
| Denver score — hepatic median | 0 (0, 0) | 0 (0, 0) | 0 (0, 1) | 0.0124 |
| Denver score — renal median | 0 (0, 0) | 0 (0, 0) | 0 (0, 0) | 0.0065 |
| Denver score — cardiac median | 0 (0, 1) | 0 (0, 1) | 1 (0, 1) | 0.0294 |
| Denver score — respiratory median | 0 (0, 2) | 0 (0, 2) | 1 (0, 2) | 0.3435 |

Quantitative variables are summarized as either mean (SD) or median (quartile 1, quartile 3). Categorical variables are summarized as frequency count and percentage. p Values for comparison of exposure groups were from t tests or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests for quantitative variables and χ² tests for categorical variables.

BD, base deficit; Hb, hemoglobin; FFP, fresh frozen plasma.
important to appreciate that contrast likely plays a limited role in the development of AKI within this cohort.

Our study reported an incidence of AKI of 13.4% in a cohort of ICU patients at high risk for MOF. The incidence of AKI in trauma patients varies greatly with rates being reported between 6% and 74%.9,29,31–33 The variety in incidence is likely due to differences in defining AKI, length of follow-up, and the severity of trauma, which varies between studies. Incidence of AKI using the KDIGO criteria has been reported between 13% and 64%.13–15 It is important to note that, within our study, we used a follow-up period of 72 hours to focus results on the acute ICU period, which is shorter than most other studies. We did not identify any other studies that used the latest KDIGO criteria within a similar cohort and follow-up period to compare to our results.

This study also aimed to determine the incidence of CI-AKI. When the common criteria for CI-AKI in trauma patients are applied to our cohort, we found an incidence of 14.5%. This incidence is greater than the overall rate of AKI as per the KDIGO criteria and is likely an overestimation of the true incidence of CI-AKI. To assign all possible contrast for the development of AKI in these patients ignores the various other possible etiologies that have likely led to an initial rise in creatinine. Studies that have applied these criteria to trauma patients have reported incidences of CI-AKI between 1.9% and 19.4% with higher incidences relating to more severely injured cohorts.1,4,5,7,8,10,16,17 It is likely that the current literature has overestimated the true incidence of CI-AKI and created undue fear regarding the administration of contrast in trauma patients. This highlights the need for a more nuanced criteria that consider the various etiologies that exist within trauma patients.

Within nontrauma patients, there is a growing body of literature suggesting that the administration of contrast is of little consequence to patients’ renal function. In critically ill ICU patients, it was found that contrast is not a risk factor for the development of AKI.18 For nontrauma medical patients, it has been shown that contrast is not a risk factor for the development of AKI, that contrast exposure does not worsen outcomes for those admitted for AKI, and that transient rises of serum creatinine meeting the criteria of CI-AKI occur sporadically in hospitalized medical patients without contrast exposure.19–22 These findings are important to consider when reevaluating the concern for contrast administration in trauma patients.

Within trauma patients, while concern for CI-AKI exists, it is inevitable that patients will receive an initial contrast dose as a part of their workup. Most noncritically injured patients will not require further repeat contrast scans past this point.23 However, the subset of patients requiring ICU admission and who are already at high risk for MOF frequently require further contrast studies beyond initial emergency department work-up.24,25 It is therefore repeat doses in the ICU for these high-risk patients that must be tailored to balance the benefit of diagnostic imaging and emergency angiography with the risk of AKI. Notably, multivariate analysis showed that patients who received additional contrast doses within 72 hours from their initial dose were not associated with increased odds of developing AKI. There are currently conflicting results in the trauma literature regarding contrast exposure as a risk factor for AKI. Contrast dose and exposure have been found to be a risk factor for the development of AKI within trauma patients.7,14 However, conflicting results have been reported, demonstrating contrast exposure and/or dose to not be associated with a risk of AKI development.5,8–10,31 The latter findings support our results and the notion that the benefit of adequate imaging studies and angiography far outweighs the risk of repeat contrast exposure within multiple injury patients.

Older age, higher ISS, higher heart rate, and lower SBP at admission were found to be risk factors for the development of AKI. These are well-defined risk factors for AKI among trauma patients with increased injury severity and physiological derangement being important driving forces for the development of AKI in trauma patients.8,9,29,31 This relationship would explain our findings that showed a higher incidence of blood product administration within those patients who developed AKI. There have been other risk factors that have been identified for AKI in trauma patients that our study did not control for including genitourinary trauma, arterial injuries, sepsis, ethnicity, and the use of nephrotoxic drugs.7,13,26 The literature currently is equivocal regarding the risk posed by emergency angiography in trauma patients. Our study found that emergency angiography in trauma patients did not increase the risk of AKI. This finding has been previously reported with angioembolization being found to not increase the risk of AKI in trauma patients.29 However, a potential risk for AKI with angiography has been demonstrated with an incidence rate of 1.1% compared with 0.5% (p < 0.01) in a cohort of 230,776 patients.34

As expected, AKI within our cohort was linked to an increase in mortality, MOF, LOS in both ICU and hospital, and need for RRT. These are well-defined outcomes for trauma patients developing AKI.4,5,9,13,29

Limitations

There are several important limitations to our study. First, the retrospective nature of the study lends itself to a variety of well-known potential issues including selection bias. It is possible that patients with a perceived increased risk of CI-AKI were not given additional contrast by clinicians during the early stages of their ICU admission. Another limitation is the incomplete nature of the variables that were collected that may have cofounded results. Importantly, the low patient numbers in the two-repeat group did not allow for a more nuanced statistical analysis of the effect of exposure to additional repeat contrast procedures. There were also no data available for dose of contrast received during interventional radiology procedures. This prevented statistical analysis of contrast dose and its association with outcomes.

CONCLUSION

We found an AKI incidence of 13.4% within 72 hours from admission for multiple injury patients admitted to ICU. Acute kidney injury was associated with increased mortality, MOF, hospital, and ICU LOS and need for RRT. Importantly, repeat contrast exposure in the initial ICU period within multiple injury patients does not appear to be associated with the development of AKI.

AUTHORSHIP

T.G. contributed in the literature review, study design, data collection, data interpretation, and writing. Z.I.B. contributed in the conception, design, writing, data interpretation, critical revision, and supervision. N.W. contributed in the data analysis and data interpretation. A.V. contributed in the data collection. T.L.W. contributed in the data collection. C.A. contributed in the critical revision. P.C. contributed in the critical revision.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.
REFERENCES

1. Kelemen JA, Kaserer A, Jensen KO, Stein P, Seifert B, Simmen HP, et al. Prevalence and outcome of contrast-induced nephropathy in major trauma patients. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2020;48(2):907–913.

2. Davenport MS, Perazzella MA, Yee J, Dillard JR, Fine D, McDonald RJ, et al. Use of intravenous iodinated contrast media in patients with kidney disease: consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. Radiology. 2020;294(3):660–668.

3. Nash K, Haferz A, Hou S. Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(5):930–936.

4. Bashir AA, Kong V, Skinner D, Bruce J, Laing G, Bysiewicz P, et al. Contrast-induced nephropathy following CT scan for trauma is not rare and is associated with increased mortality in South African trauma patients. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2018;45(6):1129–1135.

5. McGillicuddy EA, Schuster KM, Kaplan LJ, Maung AA, Lui FY, Maerz LL, et al. Contrast-induced nephropathy in elderly trauma patients. J Trauma. 2010;68(2):294–297.

6. Levy EM, Viscoli CM, Horwitz RI, Rich GF. The effect of acute renal failure on mortality: A cohort analysis. Surg Anesthesiol. 1997;41(5):310.

7. Bethea A, Keslar L, Silhy R, Samanta D, Chumbe JT. An evaluation of the contrast exposure safe margin among the highest risk trauma patients? J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72(1):61–66.

8. Matsushima K, Peng M, Schaefer EW, Pruitt JH, Kashuk JL, Frankel HL. Posttraumatic contrast-induced acute kidney injury: minimal consequences or significant threat? J Trauma. 2011;70(2):415–419.

9. Kim DY, Kobayashi L, Costantini TW, Chang D, Fortlage D, Curry T, et al. Is contrast exposure safe among the highest risk trauma patients? J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72(1):61–66.

10. Colling KP, Irwin ED, Byrnes MC, Reicks P, Dellich WA, Reicks K, et al. Risk and benefit of intravenous contrast in trauma patients with an elevated serum creatinine. J Trauma. 2005;59(5):1162–1166.

11. Ehrmann S, Badin J, Savath L, Pajot O, Garot D, Pham T, et al. Acute kidney injury in the critically ill: is iodinated contrast medium really harmful? Crit Care Med. 2013;41(4):1017–1026.

12. Naicker S, Muteba M, Duarte R, Manga P, Dis-Peek T, Naicker S, et al. Risk factors and outcomes of contrast-induced nephropathy in hospitalised South Africans. S Afr Med J. 2016;106(7):699–703.

13. Newhouse JH, Kho D, Rao QA, Starren J. Frequency of serum creatinine changes in the absence of iodinated contrast material: implications for studies of contrast nephrotoxicity. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;191(2):376–382.

14. Goolden R, Rowe BH, Abrahamowicz M, Stumpf E, Tamblyn R. Association of intravenous radiocontrast with kidney function: a regression discontinuity analysis. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(6):767–774.

15. Yan P, Zhang NY, Luo XQ, Wang M, Deng YH, Wu T, et al. Is intravenous iodinated contrast medium administration really harmful in hospitalized acute kidney injury patients: a propensity score-matched study. Eur Radiol. 2021;32(2):1163–1172.

16. Livingston DH, Lavrey RF, Passannante MR, Skurnick JH, Fabian TC, Fry DE, et al. Admission or observation is not necessary after a negative abdominal computed tomographic scan in patients with suspected blunt abdominal trauma: results of a prospective, multi-institutional trial. J Trauma. 1998;44(2):273–280; discussion 80-2.

17. Tremblay LN, Tien H, Hamilton P, Brennenman FD, Rizoli SB, Sharky PW, et al. Risk and benefit of intravenous contrast in trauma patients with an elevated serum creatinine. J Trauma. 2005;59(5):1162–1166.

18. Fröhlich M, Lefering R, Probst C, Paffrath T, Schneider MM, Maegle M, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of multiple-organ failure after multiple trauma: an analysis of 31,154 patients from the TraumaRegister DGU. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;76(4):1021–927.

19. Chang E, Li J, Wang N, Raghavendran K, Park P, Napolitano L, et al. Acute kidney injury after serious motor vehicle crashes. Crit Care Med. 2014;42:A1617.

20. Messerer DAC, Halgbuegner R, Nilsson B, Ravenstäd R, Radermacher P, Huber-Lang M. Immunopathophysiology of trauma-related acute kidney injury. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2019;17(2):91–111.

21. Harrois A, Libert N, Duranteau J. Acute kidney injury in trauma patients. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2017;23(6):447–456.

22. Harrois A, Soyer B, Gauss T, Harnada S, Raux M, Duranteau J. Prevalence and risk factors for acute kidney injury among trauma patients: a multicenter cohort study. Crit Care. 2018;22(1):344.

23. Stewart IJ, Faulk TI, Sosnow JA, Clemens MS, Elterman J, Ross JD, et al. Rhabdomyolysis with critically ill combat casualties: associations with acute kidney injury and mortality. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(3):492–498.

24. Skinner DL, Harrois A, Ruckdeschel RN, Muckart DJ. The incidence and outcome of contrast-induced acute kidney injury among trauma patients with multiple-blunt trauma: results of a prospective, multi-institutional trial. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72(1):61–66.

25. Sovik S, Isachsen MS, Nordhaus KM, Tveiten CK, Eken T, Sunde K, et al. Acute kidney injury in trauma patients admitted to the ICU: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45(4):407–419.

26. Emigh BJ, Sahi SL, Teal LN, Blake JC, Heron CH, Teixeira PG, et al. Incidence and risk factors for acute kidney injury in severely injured patients using current kidney disease: improving global outcomes definitions. J Am Coll Surg. 2020;231(3):326–332.

27. Bjornstad EC, Smith ZH, Murat N, Bilgiç B, et al. Contrast-induced nephropathy following CT scan for trauma is not rare and is associated with increased mortality in South African trauma patients. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2018;45(6):1129–1135.

28. Harrois A, Soyer B, Gauss T, Harnada S, Raux M, Duranteau J. Prevalence and outcome of contrast-induced acute kidney injury in critically-ill trauma patients. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2017;44(4):597–605.

29. Yamamoto R, Cestero RF, Yoshizawa J, Maeshima K, Sasaki J. Emergency angiography for trauma patients and potential association with acute kidney injury. World J Emerg Surg. 2021;16(1):56.