Primary site as a novel prognostic factor for cardiovascular mortality post-radiotherapy in limited-stage small cell lung cancer: A large population-based study
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Background: The effect of primary site on cardiovascular mortality (CVM) post-radiotherapy (RT) in patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer (LS-SCLC) remains unclear.

Methods: We screened the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database between 1988 and 2013. We used cumulative incidence function (CIF) curves to compare CVM incidences, and performed Cox proportional hazards and Fine-Gray competing risk analyses to identify independent risk factors of CVM. Propensity score matching (PSM) analysis was conducted.

Results: Among enrolled 4,824 patients (median age 57 years old, 49.2% were male), CVM accounts for 10.0% of all deaths after 5 years since cancer diagnosis. Hazard ratios (HRs) for CVM were 1.97 (95% CI: 1.23–3.16, P = 0.005) for main bronchus (MB) patients, 1.65 (95% CI: 1.04–2.63, P = 0.034) for lower lobe (LL) patients and 1.01 (95% CI: 0.40–2.59, P = 0.977) for middle lobe (ML) patients compared to upper lobe (UL) patients. CIF curves showed that the cumulative CVM incidence was greater in the re-categorized MB/LL group compared to UL/ML group both before PSM (P = 0.005) and after PSM (P = 0.012). Multivariate regression models indicated that MB/LL was independently associated with an increased CVM risk, before PSM (HR_{Cox} = 1.79, 95% CI: 1.23–2.61, P = 0.002; HR_{Fine–Gray} = 1.71, 95% CI: 1.18–2.48, P = 0.005) and after PSM (HR_{Cox} = 1.88, 95% CI: 1.20–2.95, P = 0.006; HR_{Fine–Gray} = 1.79, 95% CI: 1.15–2.79, P = 0.010).

Conclusions: MB/LL as the primary site is independently associated with an increased CVM risk post-RT in patients with LS-SCLC.
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Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) is frequently used as an essential adjuvant to chemotherapy or surgery in thoracic malignancies. RT has been shown to improve cancer-specific survival; however, it has been implicated in pulmonary and cardiac complications because of reported acute and chronic radiation-induced injuries to healthy tissues in the radiation field (1–4). Some reports have focused on cardiovascular toxicities post-thoracic RT in long-term cancer survivors, including those with breast cancer and Hodgkin lymphoma (3, 5–7).

Lung cancer is a major malignancy that accounts for the highest morbidity and mortality rates worldwide (8). Adverse effects of RT on the cardiovascular system in patients with lung cancer have recently attracted wider attention and have gained increasing interest in the field of cardio-oncology. Previous studies have shown that RT could increase the incidence of cardiovascular complications in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (9–14). However, investigations on RT-related cardiovascular sequelae in patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer (LS-SCLC) remain scarce. This may be partially because, historically, LS-SCLC was considered to have an unfavorable median overall survival (OS) of approximately 1 year before the 1990s (15). Nevertheless, survival rates for patients with LS-SCLC have gradually improved due to widespread application of early chest CT screening in high-risk populations, advanced modern RT techniques, more accurate staging paradigms, and recent promising treatment strategies (16, 17). Thoracic RT combined with chemotherapy (CTX) is considered the first-line standard therapy for LS-SCLC (16, 17). However, more extensive studies are needed to evaluate RT-related cardiovascular toxicities in patients with LS-SCLC.

The primary site as a conventional clinical characteristic affecting a lung cancer treatment strategy has currently been recognized as an important prognostic factor for OS and tumor-specific prognosis (18–20). With a disparity in the distance between tumor location and heart/great vessels, potential RT-induced cardiovascular injury may be further distinctive risk (21); however, few relevant reports are available.

This study aimed to identify significant prognostic factors concerning CVM post-RT for patients with LS-SCLC, and to explore the effect of different primary site-based RTs on CVM in a large population of patients with LS-SCLC using data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database.

Materials and methods

Patients and data sources

The SEER database [SEER 18 Regs Custom Data (with additional treatment fields), November 2018 Sub] was queried using SEER∗Stat software (version 8.3.6). Inclusion criteria for patients were as follows: patients aged ≥18 and <65 years and diagnosed with LS-SCLC between 1988 and 2013; patients treated with external beam RT, and; patients with only one primary tumor, a positive histology, available clinical information, active follow-up, and complete dates. Older adult patients with more confounding factors such as coronary heart disease (CHD), hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (DM) were not enrolled for the purpose of alleviating, at least partially, the effects of confounders on CVM in patients post-RT (1, 2, 14), and because younger patients are reported to be more vulnerable to radiation-induced cardiovascular injury (22). The specific time period of 1988–2013 was selected because American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging for SCLC in the SEER database started in 1988, whereas 2013 was the final year for analysis in which adequate follow-up to assess post-treatment CVM was possible. Exclusion criteria included: unknown race, not the only primary cancer, receipt of surgical treatment, no/unknown external beam radiation, no/unknown chemotherapy, bilateral or unknown laterality, overlapping or unknown primary site or loss of follow-up information. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study population is outlined in Figure 1.
TABLE 1 The baseline clinical and prognostic characteristics of total study population.

| Variables          | Number | %     |
|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Total              | 4,824  | 100.0%|
| Primary site       |        |       |
| Upper lobe         | 2,890  | 59.9% |
| Middle lobe        | 247    | 5.1%  |
| Main bronchus      | 748    | 15.5% |
| Lower lobe         | 939    | 19.5% |
| Age, years         |        |       |
| ≤57                | 2,487  | 51.6% |
| >57                | 2,337  | 48.4% |
| Sex                |        |       |
| Male               | 2,373  | 49.2% |
| Female             | 2,451  | 50.8% |
| Race               |        |       |
| White              | 4,071  | 84.4% |
| Black              | 538    | 11.2% |
| Other              | 215    | 4.5%  |
| Marriage           |        |       |
| Unmarried          | 1,957  | 40.6% |
| Married            | 2,717  | 56.3% |
| Unknown            | 150    | 3.1%  |
| Year of diagnosis  |        |       |
| 1988–2003          | 2,118  | 43.9% |
| 2004–2013          | 2,706  | 56.1% |
| AJCC stage         |        |       |
| I–II               | 724    | 15.0% |
| III                | 4,100  | 85.0% |
| Laterality         |        |       |
| Left               | 1,965  | 40.7% |
| Right              | 2,859  | 59.3% |
| Prognosis          |        |       |
| CVM                | 113    | 2.3%  |
| NCVM               | 4,212  | 87.3% |
| Alive              | 499    | 10.3% |

AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CVM, cardiovascular mortality; NCVM, non-cardiovascular mortality. Percentages might not add up to 100% because of rounding.

Definition of LS-SCLC

LS-SCLC was defined as AJCC stage I–III malignancies with primary sites in the lung or bronchus [International Classification of Disease for Oncology-3 (ICD-O-3)/WHO 2008: Lung and Bronchus]. Histological types were as follows: ICD-O-3 codes: 8002, 8041-8045. Primary sites were as follows: main bronchus (MB) (C34.0), upper lobe (UL) (C34.1), middle lobe (ML) (C34.2), and lower lobe (LL) (C34.3).

Research variables

Demographics and clinicopathologic data, such as age, sex, race, marriage, year of diagnosis, AJCC stage, laterality and primary site were collected. CVM was defined as death due to cardiovascular diseases using the following ICD-10 codes: I00-I52 and I70-I79, including conditions such as diseases of the heart, hypertension without heart disease, atherosclerosis, aortic aneurysm and dissection, and other diseases of the arteries, arterioles, and capillaries. Non-cardiovascular mortality (NCVM) was defined as death due to other causes, excluding CVM.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using either R (version 3.6.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) or Stata (version 15.0, College Station, Texas, USA) software. All statistical tests were two-sided, and the significance level was set at 0.05. As the only continuous variable, age was expressed as median [with inter-quartile range (IQR)] for non-normally distributed data and compared using a Kruskal-Wallis test between the groups. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages) and then compared using a chi-square test.

We generated cumulative incidence function (CIF) curves using univariate Fine-Gray competing risk regression models to compare the cumulative incidences of CVM or NCVM between the groups. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models were applied to identify factors associated with CVM or NCVM risk. Based on results obtained from multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models, the UL and the ML as primary sites were re-categorized into a UL/ML group, and the MB and the LL were combined to form a MB/LL group. Accounting for mortality from other causes, univariate and multivariate Fine-Gray competing risk regression models (23) were used to validate factors associated with CVM risk and obtain more accurate results. The propensity score matching (PSM) method (24, 25) was used to balance the baseline bias between the UL/ML and MB/LL groups. A greedy
FIGURE 1
CVM post-radiotherapy as a proportion of all deaths within a given time period after LS-SCLC diagnosis. CVM, cardiovascular mortality; LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer.

matching algorithm was used for PSM and the caliper was set at 0.02.

**Results**

**Patient demographics and clinical characteristics**

A flowchart indicating inclusion and exclusion for the study population is outlined in Figure 1. We enrolled 4,824 patients with LS-SCLC (median age, 57 [interquartile range (IQR), 52–61] years; males, 49.2%), of whom 2,487 (51.6%) were ≤57 years old, 2,373 (49.2%) were male, and 84.4% were of White ethnicity. There were 1,957 (40.6%) and 2,717 (56.3%) unmarried and married patients, respectively. In addition, 2,118 (43.9%) patients had been diagnosed with LS-SCLC in the 1988–2003 period, and 2,706 (56.1%) patients in the 2004–2013 period. In terms of AJCC stage, 15.0% and 85.0% of patients were classified in Stage I-II and Stage III, respectively. In terms of laterality, 1,965 (40.7%) patients had left-sided tumors and 2,859 (59.3%) had right-sided tumors. In terms of primary site tumor location, 2,890 (59.9%) patients had primary site tumors in the UL, 247 (5.1%) patients had primary site tumors in the ML, 748 (15.5%) patients had primary site tumors in the MB, and 939 (19.5%) patients had primary site tumors in the LL.

The overall incidence of CVM and NCVM at the follow-up endpoint (November 2018) was 2.3 and 87.3%, respectively. Baseline clinical and prognostic characteristics concerning the study population are shown in Table 1. The percentage of deaths due to cardiovascular diseases following diagnosis was tabulated (Figure 2). Within the first year of diagnosis, 1.9% of all deaths were CVM-related and this percentage increased from 1.7% in year two to 2.7% in year three, to 3.4% in year four, to 7.7% in year five, and to 10.0% after 5 years, showing an increasing trend for percentage of deaths due to CVM along with patients’ survival time.

**Analysis of CVM based on different variables**

Primary sites were initially divided into UL, ML, MB, and LL groups. CIF curves showed no significant differences in cumulative incidences of CVM between groups according to age,
FIGURE 3
CIF curves of CVM by different variables in LS-SCLC patients. (A) Age; (B) Sex; (C) Race; (D) Marriage; (E) Year of diagnosis; (F) AJCC stage; (G) Laterality; (H) Primary site (stratified into UL, ML, MB and LL groups). CIF: cumulative incidence function; CVM: cardiovascular mortality; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer.
### TABLE 2  Cox proportional hazards regression models for predictors of CVM.

| Variables                  | Group          | Cox proportional hazards (Univariate) | Cox proportional hazards (Multivariate) |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                            |                | HR (95% CI)                           | P-value                                 |
|                            |                | HR (95% CI)                           | P-value                                 |
| Primary site               |                |                                     |                                        |
| Upper lobe                 | Reference      |                                     |                                        |
| Middle lobe                | 0.97 (0.39–2.44) | 0.957 |                                     | 1.01 (0.40–2.59) | 0.977 |
| Main bronchus              | 1.91 (1.20–3.06) | 0.007 |                                     | 1.97 (1.23–3.16) | 0.005 |
| Lower lobe                 | 1.65 (1.04–2.63) | 0.033 |                                     | 1.65 (1.04–2.63) | 0.034 |
| Age, years                 |                |                                     |                                        |
| ≤57                        | Reference      |                                     |                                        |
| >57                        | 1.41 (0.97–2.05) | 0.071 |                                     | 1.45 (1.00–2.12) | 0.052 |
| Sex                        | Male           |                                     |                                        |
| Female                     | 0.64 (0.44–0.94) | 0.021 |                                     | 0.59 (0.40–0.87) | 0.007 |
| Race                       | White          |                                     |                                        |
| Black                      | 1.15 (0.65–2.06) | 0.631 |                                     | 1.07 (0.60–1.93) | 0.813 |
| Other                      | 0.41 (0.10–1.67) | 0.213 |                                     | 0.37 (0.09–1.49) | 0.161 |
| Marriage                   | Unmarried      |                                     |                                        |
| Married                    | 0.75 (0.51–1.11) | 0.150 |                                     | 0.70 (0.47–1.04) | 0.075 |
| Unknown                    | 1.01 (0.36–2.81) | 0.982 |                                     | 1.03 (0.37–2.87) | 0.953 |
| Year of diagnosis          | 1988–2003      |                                     |                                        |
|                            | Reference      |                                     |                                        |
|                            | 0.63 (0.42–0.92) | 0.019 |                                     | 0.64 (0.43–0.95) | 0.028 |
| AJCC stage                 | I–II           |                                     |                                        |
|                            | Reference      |                                     |                                        |
|                            | 0.94 (0.59–1.50) | 0.808 |                                     | 1.03 (0.64–1.64) | 0.913 |
| Laterality                 | Left           |                                     |                                        |
|                            | Reference      |                                     |                                        |
|                            | 0.83 (0.57–1.20) | 0.316 |                                     | 0.82 (0.56–1.21) | 0.327 |

CVM, cardiovascular mortality; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer.

sex, ethnicity, marital status, AJCC stage, or laterality (all *P* > 0.05, Figures 3A–D,F,G). A comparison between time periods for diagnosis showed a significantly higher cumulative incidence of CVM in the 1988-2003 period relative to the 2004–2013 period (*P* = 0.012, Figure 3E). Additionally, there was a significant difference between the four groups in terms of the primary sites (*P* = 0.034, Figure 3H).

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models showed independent predictors of CVM risk in patients with LS-SCLC, including sex (female vs. male: hazard ratio (HR) 0.59, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.40–0.87; *P* = 0.007), time period for diagnosis (2004–2013 vs. 1988–2003, HR 0.64, 95% CI 0.43–0.95; *P* = 0.028), and primary site (ML vs. UL, HR 1.01, 95% CI 0.40–2.59, *P* = 0.977; MB vs. UL, HR 1.97, 95% CI 1.23–3.16, *P* = 0.005, and; LL vs. UL, HR 1.65, 95% CI 1.04–2.63, *P* = 0.034). A summary of the results of Cox proportional hazards regression models used to predict CVM risk are listed in Table 2.

### Analysis of CVM based on primary site stratification across UL/ML and MB/LL groups before and after PSM

Based on the results obtained from multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models, patients with UL and ML primary site tumors were grouped together into a UL/ML group, and patients with MB and LL primary site tumors were combined to form an MB/LL group. The proportion of patients with left-sided primary site tumors was significantly higher in the MB/LL group than in the UL/ML group before PSM (45.1 vs. 38.4%, *P* < 0.001). To prevent baseline bias, 1,687 patients in the UL/ML group were matched 1:1 with those from the MB/LL group using the PSM method, which showed a good match in terms of demographic and clinicopathologic characteristics (Table 3). We found a higher CVM incidence at the end of the follow-up (November 2018) in patients in the MB/LL group compared to those in the UL/ML group. We observed a before PSM CVM incidence of 3.2% and 1.9% (*P* = 0.005) in the MB/LL and UL/ML groups, respectively, and 3.2 and 1.8% (*P* = 0.011) after PSM, respectively (Table 3). CIF curves showed that the cumulative CVM incidence was significantly higher in the MB/LL group than in the UL/ML group before PSM (*P* = 0.005, Figure 4A) and after PSM (*P* = 0.012, Figure 4B).

Regression analyses showed that MB/LL primary site tumors were independently associated with an increased CVM risk compared with UL/ML primary site tumors in patients with LS-SCLC before and after PSM (Tables 4, 5). Specifically, multivariate Cox models showed an HR of 1.79 (95% CI 1.23–2.61, *P* = 0.002), whereas multivariate Fine-Gray models indicated an HR of 1.71 (95% CI 1.18–2.48, *P* = 0.005) before
TABLE 3 The baseline clinical and prognostic characteristics of LS-SCLC patients stratified into UL/ML and MB/LL groups by primary site before and after PSM.

| Variables          | Before PSM | P-value | After PSM | P-value |
|--------------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
|                    | UL/ML (n = 3,137) | MB/LL (n = 1,687) |                  | UL/ML (n = 1,687) | MB/LL (n = 1,687) |
| Age, years         |             |         |           |         |         |
| ≤57, no. (%)       | 1,615 (51.5%) | 872 (51.7%)   | 0.915     | 850 (50.4%) | 872 (51.7%)   |
| >57, no. (%)       | 1,522 (48.5%) | 815 (48.3%)   |           | 837 (49.6%) | 815 (48.3%)   |
| Sex                |             |         |           |         |         |
| Male, no. (%)      | 1,567 (50.0%) | 806 (47.8%)    | 0.015     | 849 (50.3%) | 806 (47.8%)   |
| Female, no. (%)    | 1,570 (50.0%) | 881 (52.2%)    |           | 838 (49.7%) | 881 (52.2%)   |
| Race               |             |         |           |         |         |
| White, no. (%)     | 2,652 (84.5%) | 1,419 (84.1%)  | 0.001     | 1,430 (84.8%) | 1,419 (84.1%)  |
| Black, no. (%)     | 351 (11.2%) | 187 (11.1%)     |           | 186 (11.0%) | 187 (11.1%)   |
| Other, no. (%)     | 134 (4.3%) | 81 (4.8%)       |           | 71 (4.2%) | 81 (4.8%)     |
| Marriage           |             |         |           |         |         |
| Unmarried, no. (%) | 1,275 (40.6%) | 682 (40.4%)    | 0.704     | 683 (40.5%) | 682 (40.4%)   |
| Married, no. (%)   | 1,762 (56.2%) | 955 (56.6%)    |           | 953 (56.5%) | 955 (56.6%)   |
| Unknown, no. (%)   | 100 (3.2%)  | 50 (3.0%)       |           | 51 (3.0%) | 50 (3.0%)     |
| Year of diagnosis  |             |         |           |         |         |
| 1988–2003, no. (%) | 1,363 (43.4%) | 755 (44.8%)    | 0.401     | 739 (43.8%) | 755 (44.8%)   |
| 2004–2013, no. (%) | 1,774 (56.6%) | 932 (55.2%)    |           | 948 (56.2%) | 932 (55.2%)   |
| AJCC stage         |             |         |           |         |         |
| I–II, no. (%)      | 463 (14.8%)  | 261 (15.5%)     | 0.537     | 234 (13.9%) | 261 (15.5%)   |
| III, no. (%)       | 2,674 (85.2%) | 1,426 (84.5%)    |           | 1,453 (86.1%) | 1,426 (84.5%) |
| Laterality         |             |         |           |         |         |
| Left, no. (%)      | 1,205 (38.4%) | 760 (45.1%)     | <0.001    | 870 (45.1%) | 760 (45.1%)   |
| Right, no. (%)     | 1,352 (41.6%) | 927 (54.9%)    |           | 927 (54.9%) | 927 (54.9%)   |
| Prognosis          |             |         |           |         |         |
| CVM                | 59 (1.9%)  | 54 (3.2%)       | 0.005     | 30 (1.8%) | 54 (3.2%)     |
| NCVM               | 2,752 (87.7%) | 1,460 (86.5%)   | 0.023     | 1,472 (87.3%) | 1,460 (86.5%)  |
| Alive              | 326 (10.4%) | 173 (10.3%)     | 0.818     | 185 (11.0%) | 173 (10.3%)   |

LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer; UL/ML, upper lobe/middle lobe; MB/LL, main bronchus/lower lobe; PSM, propensity score matching; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CVM, cardiovascular mortality; NCVM, non-cardiovascular mortality. Percentages might not add up to 100% because of rounding.

PSM in patients with LS-SCLC in the MB/LL group compared with those in the UL/ML group (Table 4). After PSM, an HR of 1.88 (95% CI 1.20–2.95, P = 0.006) and an HR of 1.79 (95% CI 1.15–2.79, P = 0.010) were recorded for multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression and Fine-Gray models, respectively, for patients with LS-SCLC in the MB/LL group compared with those in the UL/ML group (Table 4).

CIF curves showed that cumulative CVM incidences were both significantly lower in the 1988–2003 period relative to the 2004–2013 period for diagnosis before PSM (P = 0.012, Figure 4C) and after PSM (P = 0.004, Figure 4D). Regression analyses, based on Cox proportional hazard regression and Fine-Gray competing risk models, showed that the 2004–2013 period was independently associated with lower CVM risk relative to the 1988–2003 before and after PSM (all P > 0.05, Tables 4, 5).

Analysis of NCVM based on different variables

There were no significant differences in cumulative NCVM incidences between the UL/ML and the LL/MB groups before PSM (P = 0.442, Figure 5A) and after PSM (P = 0.324, Figure 5B). The univariate and multivariate Fine-Gray competing risk regression analyses showed that primary site was not an independent predictor of NCVM post-RT in patients with LS-SCLC (P > 0.05, Supplementary Tables 1, 2).
FIGURE 4
CIF curves of CVM stratified into UL/ML and MB/LL groups by primary site before (A) and after (B) PSM and stratified into 1988–2003 and 2004–2013 groups by year of diagnosis before (C) and after (D) PSM in LS-SCLC patients. CIF, cumulative incidence function; CVM, cardiovascular mortality; UL/ML, upper lobe/middle lobe; MB/LL, main bronchus/lower lobe; PSM, propensity score matching; LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer.

Discussion

Prior works

Studies have shown that RT can increase incidence of cardiovascular complications in lung cancer patients (9–14, 26, 27). For instance, Lally et al. (9) implicated postoperative RT with increased cardiac mortality in NSCLC patients. In the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0617 NSCLC trial, heart V5 (volume of heart receiving 5 Gy) and heart V30 were associated with increased risk of cardiac events (CE) as well as inferior survival rates (10). Dess et al. (11) presented a long-term grade 3 CE incidence, exceeding 10%, among a prospective locally advanced NSCLC (LA-NSCLC) cohort. In an analysis of prospective dose-escalation LA-NSCLC trial, Wang et al. (12) demonstrated that the radiation dose delivered to the heart was an independent predictor of CE. In addition, results of a SEER database analysis (13) among 52,624 LA-NSCLC patients receiving thoracic RT, showed that cardiac-specific mortality (CSM) in left-sided patients was significantly higher than that in right-sided patients. A recent study suggested that mean heart dose was a risk factor for major adverse cardiac events and all-cause mortality in a single-institution retrospective cohort study of LA-NSCLC patients (14).

In recent years, research focus has been directed toward long-term RT-related cardiovascular sequelae in patients with SCLC, due to parallels with NSCLC and the rise in life expectancy (16, 17). Ferris et al. (26) performed a data analysis using the SEER database and found that RT was associated with an approximate 10% absolute increase in CE at 5 years in patients with LS-SCLC and multivariate analysis has shown an independent association between RT and CE. A recent SEER database study showed an increased CSM in left vs. right-sided patients with LS-SCLC receiving thoracic RT (27). Currently, no prior study has investigated the effect of primary site on cardiovascular complications especially concerning CVM in patients with LS-SCLC post-RT. Our study has contributed an enhanced understanding to this research field.
### TABLE 4 Univariate Cox proportional hazards and Fine-Gray competing risk regression models for predictors of CVM before and after PSM.

| Variables          | Group        | Before PSM | After PSM |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
|                    |              | HR (95% CI) | P-value   |
|                    |              | HR (95% CI) | P-value   |
|                    |              | HR (95% CI) | P-value   |
|                    |              | HR (95% CI) | P-value   |

| Primary site       | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| MB/LL              | 1.78 (1.22–2.58) | 0.003 | 1.71 (1.18–2.49) | 0.005 | 1.86 (1.19–2.91) | 0.007 | 1.78 (1.14–2.78) | 0.012 |
| Age, years ≤57     | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
|                    | 1.41 (0.97–2.05) | 0.071 | 1.13 (0.78–1.64) | 0.516 | 1.58 (1.02–2.44) | 0.039 | 1.25 (0.81–1.92) | 0.310 |
| Sex Male           | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| Female             | 0.64 (0.44–0.94) | 0.021 | 0.76 (0.52–1.11) | 0.156 | 0.60 (0.39–0.94) | 0.024 | 0.73 (0.48–1.13) | 0.161 |
| Race White         | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| Black              | 1.15 (0.65–2.06) | 0.631 | 1.04 (0.58–1.85) | 0.904 | 1.53 (0.83–2.83) | 0.173 | 1.35 (0.73–2.50) | 0.336 |
| Other              | 0.41 (0.10–1.67) | 0.213 | 0.40 (0.10–1.62) | 0.199 | 0.56 (0.14–2.31) | 0.426 | 0.56 (0.14–2.26) | 0.412 |
| Marriage Unmarried | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| Married            | 0.75 (0.51–1.11) | 0.150 | 0.88 (0.60–1.28) | 0.503 | 0.73 (0.47–1.13) | 0.156 | 0.87 (0.56–1.43) | 0.527 |
| Unknown            | 1.01 (0.36–2.81) | 0.982 | 1.11 (0.40–3.07) | 0.844 | 1.08 (0.33–3.50) | 0.900 | 1.16 (0.36–3.77) | 0.800 |
| Year of diagnosis  | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| 1988–2003          | 0.63 (0.42–0.92) | 0.019 | 0.62 (0.43–0.90) | 0.012 | 0.53 (0.34–0.84) | 0.007 | 0.52 (0.34–0.81) | 0.004 |
| AJCC stage II      | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| III                | 0.94 (0.60–1.50) | 0.808 | 0.68 (0.43–1.07) | 0.098 | 0.83 (0.50–1.39) | 0.481 | 0.58 (0.35–0.97) | 0.038 |
| Laterality Left    | Reference    | Reference   | Reference |
| Right              | 0.83 (0.57–1.20) | 0.316 | 0.86 (0.59–1.25) | 0.439 | 0.90 (0.58–1.38) | 0.616 | 0.97 (0.63–1.49) | 0.873 |

CVM, cardiovascular mortality; PSM, propensity score matching; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; UL/ML, upper lobe/middle lobe; MB/LL, main bronchus/lower lobe.

### Main findings

This study was the first to report the effects of primary site on CVM post-RT in patients with LS-SCLC. Our results showed that patients in the MB/LL group had a significantly higher cumulative CVM incidence than those in the UL/ML group. MB/LL as the primary site was associated with an increased risk of CVM, and the primary site was a novel prognostic factor for CVM post-RT. This study has many highlights and high reliability of the results. First, we used PSM to balance demographic and clinicopathologic characteristics. These characteristics, especially laterality, have been previously shown to affect occurrence of cardiovascular events in patients with cancer treated with thoracic RT (9, 13, 27). Previous SEER-based analyses involving patients with LA-NSCLC (13) or patients with LS-SCLC (27) receiving thoracic RT showed that CSM in patients with left-sided tumors was significantly higher than that in patients with right-sided tumors. In our study, the percentage of patients with left-sided tumors was significantly greater in the MB/LL patient group than in the UL/ML patient group; therefore, PSM was performed to eliminate possible laterality bias on CVM. Second, rather than using the Kaplan-Meier method, Fine-Gray competing risk regression models (23) that can correctly estimate the probability of an event in the presence of competing events were used in survival analysis to validate the results of Cox proportional hazards regression models. Third, we restricted our analysis to patients aged <65 years. Bias may be present and affect CVM results when comparing patients among all age groups in terms of an unbalanced burden of cardiovascular comorbidities. To address this challenge, we only enrolled patients aged <65 years to help determine any correlation between thoracic RT and CVM risk. We envisaged that this would minimize the effect of underlying cardiovascular risk factors or comorbidities on CVM occurrence. After taking these matters into account, we consider that this study provides a more accurate and reliable evaluation of the effect of thoracic RT on CVM in patients with SCLC.

### Risk factors affecting CVM and potential mechanisms

Thoracic RT has been shown to result in injury to the heart and coronary artery, as well as to other vessels in the radiation field, including the aorta and pulmonary artery, resulting in aortic valve disease, porcelain aorta, and pulmonary artery aneurysm (28–31). The relative anatomical position between a tumor primary site and heart/great vessels might influence...
TABLE 5 Multivariate Cox proportional hazards and Fine-Gray competing risk regression models for predictors of CVM before and after PSM.

| Variables       | Group          | Before PSM | After PSM |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
|                 |                | HR (95% CI) | P-value   | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Primary site    | UL/ML Reference| 1.79 (1.23–2.61) | 0.002     | Reference | 1.88 (1.20–2.95) | 0.006    |
|                 | MB/LL          | 1.71 (1.18–2.48) | 0.005     | Reference | 1.79 (1.15–2.79) | 0.010    |
| Age, years      | ≤ 57 Reference | 1.16 (0.80–1.68) | 0.439     | Reference | 1.66 (1.07–2.58) | 0.023    |
|                 | > 57 1.45 (0.99–2.10) | 0.055 | Reference | Reference | 1.30 (0.85–2.00) | 0.230 |
| Sex             | Male Reference | 0.73 (0.50–1.07) | 0.110     | Reference | 0.71 (0.46–1.10) | 0.123    |
|                 | Female 0.60 (0.41–0.87) | 0.008  | Reference | Reference | 0.57 (0.37–0.89) | 0.013    |
| Race            | White Reference | 1.00 (0.56–1.79) | 0.996     | Reference | 1.42 (0.76–2.66) | 0.271    |
|                 | Black 1.08 (0.60–1.94) | 0.806  | Reference | Reference | 1.30 (0.71–2.40) | 0.399    |
|                 | Other 0.37 (0.09–1.49) | 0.160  | Reference | Reference | 0.91 (0.70–1.10) | 0.116    |
|                 | Reference 1.02 (0.37–2.83) | 0.974  | 0.49 (0.12–2.00) | 0.162 | 0.319 | 0.50 (0.12–2.06) | 0.341 |
| Marriage        | Unmarried Reference | 1.04 (0.38–2.86) | 0.940     | Reference | 1.09 (0.33–3.53) | 0.891    |
|                 | Married 1.04 (0.60–1.94) | 0.074  | Reference | Reference | 1.10 (0.70–1.74) | 0.416    |
|                 | Unknown 1.02 (0.37–2.83) | 0.974  | 0.70 (0.44–1.09) | 0.310 | 0.116 | 0.82 (0.53–1.26) | 0.360 |
| Year of diagnosis| 1988–2003 Reference | 1.00 (0.56–1.79) | 0.996     | Reference | 1.09 (0.33–3.53) | 0.891    |
|                 | 2004–2013 0.64 (0.43–0.95) | 0.025  | 0.55 (0.34–0.87) | 0.016 | 0.010 | 0.54 (0.35–0.84) | 0.006 |
| AJCC stage      | I–II Reference | 1.04 (0.46–1.12) | 0.143     | Reference | 0.94 (0.56–1.58) | 0.812    |
|                 | III 1.04 (0.65–1.66) | 0.860  | Reference | Reference | 0.91 (0.56–1.58) | 0.812    |
| Laterality      | Left Reference | 0.89 (0.62–1.30) | 0.555     | Reference | 0.86 (0.56–1.33) | 0.507    |
|                 | Right 0.83 (0.57–1.21) | 0.322  | Reference | Reference | 0.96 (0.63–1.48) | 0.865    |

CVM, cardiovascular mortality; PSM, propensity score matching; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; UL/ML, upper lobe/middle lobe; MB/LL, main bronchus/lower lobe.

FIGURE 5 CIF curves of NCVM post-radiotherapy stratified into UL/ML and MB/LL groups by primary site before (A) and after (B) PSM in LS-SCLC patients. CIF, cumulative incidence function; CVM, cardiovascular mortality; UL/ML, upper lobe/middle lobe; MB/LL, main bronchus/lower lobe; PSM, propensity score matching; LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer.
radiation exposure, especially heart and great vessel tissues located adjacent to the tumor in patients whose primary site is located in the MB and in the LL, consequently making them more vulnerable to radiation-induced injury. Recent clinical studies have reported that patients with NSCLC with primary sites in the left MB and left LL have lower OS rates (18–21). To date, the specific mechanism to explain this remains unclear, although it may be attributed, at least in part, to increased RT-induced severe adverse cardiovascular events in patients receiving RT with primary sites in the MB and LL (9–14). This explanation accords with our study findings. Two studies have shown that in patients with cancer receiving thoracic RT, left-sided laterality was associated with an increased incidence of cardiovascular complications, due to a shorter distance between the left-sided radiation field and the heart compared with patients with right-sided primary sites (13, 27). This finding provides support for the potential mechanisms involved concerning distinct incidences of CVM in different primary sites in our study.

Limitations

Although this study provides novel and clinically significant insights into CVM post-RT for patients with non-surgical LS-SCLC, there remain some limitations inherent to any retrospective analysis. First, the SEER database contains limited data concerning pre-existing cardiovascular comorbidities and risk factors. Next, similar to previous RT studies based on data from the SEER database (13, 26), we were unable to assess many important therapeutic parameters, such as total radiation dose, the dose per fraction, the volume of heart/great vessels irradiated, and chemotherapy agents. The validity of reporting RT using SEER data has been questioned. However, one recent study reported a high sensitivity and positive predictive value between RT records and the actual implementation of RT (32). Additionally, given the post-hoc nature of this study, limitations in terms of retrospective analyses apply. Nonetheless, MB/LL and UL/ML groups were matched to obviate the potential effect of unbalanced variables on CVM.

Conclusions

MB/LL as the primary site was found to be associated with an increased risk of CVM post-RT in patients with LS-SCLC. This study presented a propensity score-matched competing risk analysis in a large, population-based, real-world cohort, with which to analyze RT-linked sequelae and to stratify CVM risk during clinical decision-making. Our findings suggested that patients with MB/LL tumors undergoing RT may require better radioprotection not only for the heart, but also for the great vessels. More comprehensive cardiovascular management and closer follow-up are needed for patients with LS-SCLC undergoing RT.
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