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Abstract The past and present occurrence of *Elatine hydropiper* L. (eight-stamened waterwort), *E. hexandra* (Lapierre) DC. (six-stamened waterwort) and *E. triandra* Schkuhr (three-stamened waterwort) in the Netherlands is discussed. It has proved possible to distinguish the slightly curved seeds of *E. hexandra* and *E. triandra* in subfossil material on morphological grounds. *E. hexandra* is the most common species at present, but subfossil finds are confined to Late-glacial and Pre-boreal sediments of one lake in the Pleistocene area of the Netherlands. Living plants of *E. triandra* have only been found in the Netherlands in 1838–1839, but there are 17 records from five archaeological sites, all located in the western Dutch estuarine area. Several of these sites also yielded *E. hydropiper*, archaeobotanically the most common species. The occurrence of *E. triandra* and *E. hydropiper* in the Netherlands seems to have been favoured by high summer temperatures. The ecological amplitude of this combination of species gives firm clues for the reconstruction of the environment, which must have been a freshwater tidal area. Since this type of environment is strongly threatened on a worldwide scale, the presence of these species in the past may also provide interesting information for present nature development projects in the Dutch estuarine area.
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Introduction

In this volume dedicated to Corrie Bakels, we further explore one of the many tracks she set out in her long and fruitful scientific career. We will focus on an environment that has often been visited by Corrie, where she studied sites like Hekelingen, Hazendonk and Hardinxveld-Giesendam (see Bakels 1981, 1988; Bakels et al. 2001; Bakels and Van Beurden 2001). These sites are situated in the estuaries of the central Dutch riverine area, a highly characteristic environment with high and dry river dunes and high biomass production in the estuaries that attracted late Mesolithic and early Neolithic people. Nowadays, this characteristic type of wetland environment is endangered on a worldwide scale (Barendregt et al. 2006).

The reconstruction of the environment of archaeological sites is one of the main issues to be addressed in archaeobotanical research. Where the preservation conditions are favourable, pollen, wood and charcoal as well as seeds, fruits and other botanical macroremains can be used as sources of information to reconstruct the palaeoenvironment. Waterlogging is the most important condition for the preservation of uncarbonised plant remains. The western part of the Netherlands, with the estuaries of the rivers...
Rhine and Maas, forms an extensive area with waterlogged archaeological sites and related deposits. Therefore, this area offers excellent possibilities for studies into the environment in which humans were active in the past.

The present ecology is generally used as a starting point to acquire information about the past environment. Two main directions of research can be distinguished. The first can be called the synecological approach. Specific combinations of plant species form vegetation types that are characteristic of specific habitats. These combinations are called plant communities, and these can be described with characteristic species (German Kennarten) and differentiating species (German Treumnarten). This synecological approach to vegetation has been developed by Braun-Blanquet (1928) in the so-called French–Swiss school of vegetation analysis (Westhoff and Van der Maarel 1973). Relevés (German Aufnahmen), or records of plant species growing together in a particular environment, form the building stones for describing these plant communities.

The second direction for reconstructing past environments makes use of ecological characteristics of individual species and is called the autecological approach. It was provided with a scheme which allowed it to be used quantitatively by Ellenberg (1974), who attributed indicator values (German Zeigerwerte) on a nine point scale to central European plant species for the environmental factors light, nitrogen content, moisture and acidity. The indicator values for each factor of all plant species found on an archaeological site can be used to plot eco-diagrams (see Willerding 1971).

Some plant species are so highly characteristic of one particular environment that they can be used even more directly to infer past conditions, more than is possible with either of the two approaches described above. Northwestern European species of the genus Elatine (waterwort) offer such a possibility. In the following, we will discuss how environmental reconstructions may be made using the representatives of this characteristic plant genus.

**Waterwort species in the Netherlands during the past two centuries**

The three representatives of *Elatine* native in the Netherlands and other parts of northwestern Europe are *E. triandra* Schkuhr (three-stamened waterwort), *E. hexandra* (Lapierre) DC. (six-stamened waterwort) and *E. hydropiper* L. (eight-stamened waterwort). A fourth species, *E. alsinastrum* L., reaches its northwestern limit just outside the area under consideration (Meusel et al. 1978, p. 286), but its presence in earlier times should not be excluded, judging by scattered records from various parts of Germany (Haeupler and Schönfelder 1988). Several other species occur still more to the south of Europe (Tutin et al. 1968; Casper and Krausch 1981).

The three northwestern waterwort species have in common that they are small-sized annuals with creeping stems; branches might stand up but only rise few centimetres above the soil (see Fig. 1). In general *Elatine* species are characteristic of habitats with a fluctuating water level. They may behave both as aquatic and as amphibious plants, being able to complete their life-cycles both in shallow water and in sites that have become exposed (Casper and Krausch 1981). They seem to be specialized in exploiting the superficial eutrophy of sandy water-bottoms with a thin cover of silt mixed with organic detritus (Weeda et al. 1987). Stronger accumulation of silt and detritus, which would choke the small annuals, is counteracted by water movement. Natural *Elatine* habitats are found in rivers and brooks and at the shores of lakes. Artificial counterparts of such habitats may be offered by ditches and sand pits. Several *Elatine* species have large distribution areas, especially *E. triandra* which might be termed a cosmopolitan (Hultén 1971; Meusel et al. 1978, p. 286).

Within their distribution areas, however, they tend to be of rare occurrence, both in space and in time. Often their localities lie far apart from each other, and frequently their occurrence at a certain site is of short duration. On the other hand, suitable new sites may be rapidly colonized, even if they are situated far from other stations. Presumably waterfowl are the main vector; at least they are known to transport seeds of *E. hydropiper* (Kerner von Marilaun and Hansen 1916).

In the Netherlands, *E. hydropiper* was the first species of the genus to be discovered. From 1834 to 1860 it was repeatedly recorded in the centre of the country, notably near Utrecht and Dordrecht. Then for about 70 years no single find was reported. From 1932 to 1974 it was observed again in five localities, most of them not previously known. Only at one station (in the newly reclaimed Noordoostpolder) it persisted for a longer time. Again a period without finds followed, but since 1998 three new localities have been discovered.

Many older records of *E. hydropiper* refer to plants found on banks of the lower courses of the rivers, which constitute a highly eutrophic habitat. Vegetation records of three such sites contain *Limosella aquatica* as one of its companions. The banks used to be exposed to the tidal effect but hardly or not to salt water. From about 1970 onwards the freshwater tidal area has shrunk strongly as a result of damming up the greater part of the south-western estuary. The last find of *E. hydropiper* in this area dates back as far as 1940, however. River canalisation and bank consolidation may have played their part as well.

Several other records refer to ditches and canals at the fringe of Pleistocene and Holocene deposits, notably at the
edge of river valleys. There is a notable variation in water trophic. For a brook-like pond near Utrecht, the mesotraphent (growing in mesotrophic conditions) Apium inundatum was mentioned as a companion of E. hydropiper (Hartsen 1865). In more eutrophic ditches it may be accompanied by Ranunculus circinatus, Elodea nuttallii and Potamogeton species (Jager 2000). Two of the three most recent, 21st century finds (Cuijk and Hattem) relate to sand and clay pits which have a narrow connection with a river at one side, causing a fluctuating water table, and are influenced by water from adjacent sandy deposits at the other side. Here again Limosella aquatica is present. All together the phytosociological position of Elatine hydro-

pipe is rather complicated (Jager 2000; Weeda et al. 2003, p. 164). Eleocharis acicularis is the only species that has a considerable overlap with it in various habitats. Almost all specimens of E. hydropiper collected in the Netherlands belong to the aquatic form with waterlogged tissues. Either they grew permanently submerged or they were rinsed daily as a result of tidal water movement. Only in the extremely warm summer of 2003 was a terrestrial form observed (at Hattem, near Zwolle). The specimens in Fig. 1 represent the aquatic form at the Hattem location.

The geographical and ecological pattern of the other two species is less complex. E. triandra has only been recorded in the Netherlands in two subsequent years in the first half of the 19th century, 1838–1839, according to Vuyck (1901); the record for 1859 in Mennema et al. (1980) appears to be spurious. It was almost confined to the freshwater tidal area near Dordrecht, where it co-occurred with E. hydropiper in several stations. In Utrecht one single specimen was observed. All herbarium material represents the aquatic form.

E. hexandra was the last species of the genus to be discovered in the Netherlands, in 1849 in the Uddelermeer in the central Pleistocene sand area called Veluwe. According to a 19th century description by Van Eeden (1880, p. 209) this lake must have been mesotrophic by that time, bearing Nymphaea alba on its surface and amongst others Phragmites australis, Hypericum elodes, Elatine

hexandra, Eriophorum angustifolium and Sphagnum along its shores.

Apparently E. hexandra was very rare in the Netherlands up to ca. 1940, records being confined to the Veluwe and one single spot in the south of the country. After that year E. hexandra gradually became more common, spreading over various Pleistocene parts of the Netherlands, notably in the southern province of Noord-Brabant (see Fig. 2). In 2003 it was observed for the first time in the riverine area, at a site near Hattem bordering on the Veluwe sands, together with E. hydropiper. Unlike its relatives, E. hexandra has its main centre of distribution in Atlantic parts of Europe, notably in France (Meusel et al. 1978, p. 286), which suggests a higher warmth demand. It

![Fig. 1](Image) Plants of Elatine hydropiper with four valved fruit capsule and characteristic U-shaped seed from Hattem; b detail of fruit with seeds from Hattem (photos O. Brinkkemper)

![Fig. 2](Image) Distribution map of 19th/20th century find spots of Elatine sp. in the Netherlands
also grows in a more mesotrophic habitat than *E. triandra* or *E. hydropiper*, many stations lying in heathland reserves. Again *Eleocharis acicularis* is among the most constant companions (Hofstra and Weeda 1977; Schaminé et al. 1995, p. 134; Weeda et al. 2000, p. 202). After 1980 it was clearly favoured by nature restoration measures in heathland pools. In contrast to the other two species, *E. hexandra* is most often encountered in its terrestrial form and only rarely in its aquatic form. Increasing water table fluctuations in pools, caused by drainage of the surroundings, will therefore foster *E. hexandra* rather than *E. triandra* or *E. hydropiper*.

### Subfossil finds of waterwort species

In archaeobotanical and palaeoecological studies, the characteristically U-shaped seeds of *E. hydropiper* can easily be identified (see Fig. 3, compare also Fig. 1b). Waterlogged seeds have been found in 38 samples from 14 sites in the Netherlands (see Table 1). These are strikingly high numbers in comparison with the present rarity of this species.

Late Pleistocene and Pre-boreal records from two lakes on Pleistocene sandy soils of the Veluwe occur in lacustrine deposits (*gyttja*). They come from the Uddelermeer and the nearby Bleekemeer (*meer* = lake). Curiously enough, the Uddelermeer was a station of *E. hexandra* in the 19th century, as has been stated before. So in this particular case *E. hydropiper* represents an earlier stage of the lake than *E. hexandra*, presumably with less acid water and lower water temperatures. From the last two centuries no station of *E. hydropiper* with a similar geographical context to the Uddelermeer and the Bleekemeer is known from the Netherlands.

All later Holocene records, derived from archaeological contexts, are situated in the Dutch riverine area (see Fig. 4). With the exception of Arnhem, all sites are located in the western part of the Netherlands. They connect to the 19th century finds near Dordrecht and Utrecht, but extend considerably further in the direction of the North Sea coast.

No doubt most, if not all, sites belonged to the freshwater tidal area. The finds near the present ‘Oude Rijn’ (Vleuten, Woerden and Valkenburg) date back to the Roman period, when this was the main lower course of the Rhine. For the Arnhem site, tidal influence is not plausible. It borders on the Veluwe and may have had a similar character as the present location near Hattem and Cuijk, a contact belt between Pleistocene sands and a river valley.

Both the other native *Elatine* species have only slightly curved and weakly J-shaped seeds. They are often considered as indistinguishable and are identified as *E. hexandra/triandra*. We attempted to find diagnostic features to distinguish seeds of *E. hexandra* from *E. triandra*. For this, we studied material from Nederweert (1992), Voorthuizen (1962) and Ossendrecht (1961) from the Dutch State Herbarium in Leiden, as well as our own collected material from Hattem (2004), the Netherlands, for *E. hexandra* and from Sliedrecht (1938), the Netherlands, and Akersvika (1982), Norway, for *E. triandra*. We included *E. hydropiper* in this study, because the 20th edition of the Dutch flora mentions the seeds of this species being ‘almost straight to horseshoe-shaped’ (Van der Meijden et al. 1983, p. 246, apparently following Tutin et al. 1968). We examined dozens of plants from various Dutch origins, and without exception the seeds were strongly curved (180–330° relative to the largest straight part).

The characteristics which we examined (see Table 2) proved to be uniform for the different provenances within each species. On the basis of this data, it appears to be possible to distinguish seeds of *E. hexandra* from those of *E. triandra*. *E. hexandra* has seeds wider than 0.2 mm (in microscope slides, the pressure of the cover glass may result in flattening and widths up to 0.3 mm) with a pattern of cells clearly wider than high cells (giving a ‘scalariform’ or ladder-like appearance; see Fig. 5a). *E. triandra* has (unflattened) seeds of maximally 0.2 mm width with a pattern of cells slightly wider than high cells (‘honey-comb’ appearance, see Fig. 5b).

Anderberg (1994, p. 92 and Plate 117) described and illustrated the seeds of the three northwest European *Elatine* species and also those of *E. alsinastrum* and *E. orthosperma* Düb. The latter two species have seeds that are 0.7–0.9 mm in length, and are therefore larger than recent *E. hexandra/triandra* seeds and our subfossil material. According to her table, the interspaces of the reticulate cell pattern of *E. hexandra* are wider, but that is not corroborated by the dimensions she gives in the descriptions. Seeds of *E. hexandra* have more acute bases, while in *E. triandra* the seed base is more or less obtuse. The width of seeds of *E. hexandra* is reported to be larger (0.2–0.3 mm) than for *E. triandra* (0.1–0.2 mm). This corresponds well with the SEM photos provided by her (1994, Plate 117, all B-illustrations). The differences in width agree

---

![Fig. 3 Sub fossil seeds of *Elatine hydropiper* from a Rotterdam-Randstad rail and b Uddel-Uddelermeer (photos O. Brinkkemper and S. Bohncke); scale bar 0.5 mm](Image)
perfectly with our measurements. A consequence of the very narrow width of the seeds of *E. triandra* is that they might pass sieves with meshes of 0.25 mm, generally the smallest mesh size used in archaeobotanical studies. However, all samples yielding these seeds have been processed with this smallest mesh size, so in practice this does allow the detection of these seeds, probably because of the considerably greater length.

On the basis of this data, the subfossil seeds from the western Netherlands can be attributed to *E. triandra* (because of their relatively small width and their cell pattern), and the Late-glacial to Pre-boreal finds from the Meke-lermeer to *E. hexandra*.

The seeds of *E. triandra* have been found in 18 samples from six sites (for locations see Fig. 4). All sites are situated in the western part of the Netherlands, and three of

| Table 1 Finds of *Elatine hydropiper* in archaeobotanical and palaeoecological samples from the Netherlands. Dates are given in calibrated calendar years |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Site | Begin date | End date | Sample code | Number | Reference |
| Dordrecht-Tolbrug | 1450 | 1600 | DDTB107 | 4 | Pals (1972) |
| Dordrecht-Tolbrug | 1400 | 1500 | DDTB114 | 1 | Pals (1972) |
| Dordrecht-Tolbrug | 1400 | 1500 | DDTB112 | 1 | Pals (1972) |
| Dordrecht-Tolbrug | 1300 | 1400 | DDTB122 | 1 | Pals (1972) |
| Dordrecht-Tolbrug | 1300 | 1400 | DDTB123 | 1 | Pals (1972) |
| Vlaardingen-Gat in de Markt | 1225 | 1275 | V-GidM-1095 | 8 | Brinkkemper (2005) |
| Valkenburg-Marktveld | 150 | 250 | VAMA32’539 | 1 | Brinkkemper (unpublished) |
| Papendrechtse Stroomrug | 150 | 200 | PAP-227 | 33 | Stuijt et al. (1999) |
| Papendrechtse Stroomrug | 150 | 200 | PAP-245 | 5 | Stuijt et al. (1999) |
| Valkenburg-Marktveld | 90 | 150 | VAMA45’177 | 1 | Brinkkemper (unpublished) |
| Vleuten-De Meern Veldhuizen | 75 | 100 | VI-2-3-23 | 8 | Van Haaster and Vermeeren (2001) |
| Woerden-Molenstraat | 50 | 250 | WMK_9038 | 5 | Brinkkemper and De Man (1999) |
| Valkenburg-Marktveld | 25 | 75 | VAMA2a-45’200 | 10 | Noordam and Pals (1987) |
| Geldermalsen-Kalenberg | –500 | –250 | GDM-6/2/31 | 5 | De Man (2002) |
| Streefkerk-de Meerendonk | –4200 | –4000 | MRDK | ca. 20 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-47 | 2 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-32 | 4 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-27 | 17 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-22 | 72 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-17 | 88 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-12 | ca. 400 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4030 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-7 | ca. 425 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4040 | –3940 | BRW-pr50-1 | 40 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4220 | –4100 | BRW-27-7 | ca. 1500 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4220 | –4100 | BRW-43,542 | ca. 5700 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4220 | –4100 | BRW-13,124 | ca. 2000 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof | –4220 | –4100 | BRW-pr50-50 | 2 | Kuijper (unpublished) |
| Hardinxveld-De Bruin | –4700 | –4450 | Bru-294 | 64 | Bakels et al. (2001) |
| Rotterdam-Randstadrail | –5600 | –5400 | Rand-103-15 | 4 | Brinkkemper (2003) |
| Arnhem-Schuytgraaf | –6450 | –4200 | Schuyt-182/520 | 2 | Van Haaster (1998) |
| Arnhem-Schuytgraaf | –6450 | –4200 | Schuyt-90/245 | 2 | Van Haaster (1998) |
| Arnhem-Schuytgraaf | –6450 | –4200 | Schuyt-148/345 | 20 | Van Haaster (1998) |
| Uddel-Bleekemeer | –8500 | –8000 | Late Pre-boreal | 1 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Uddel-Uddelermeer | –9700 | –8000 | Pre-boreal | 8 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Uddel-Uddelermeer | –10700 | –9700 | Younger Dryas | 1 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Uddel-Uddelermeer | –10800 | –10700 | Allerød/Younger Dryas tr. | 4 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Uddel-Uddelermeer | –11300 | –10800 | Allerød Pinus phase | 5 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Uddel-Uddelermeer | –13000 | –12000 | Bolling | 3 | Bohnccke and Wijmstra (1988) |
these six sites also yielded seeds of *E. hydropiper*. The co-occurrence of *E. triandra* with *E. hydropiper* in the freshwater tidal area in the 19th century further substantiates the reliability of the discrimination between *E. triandra* and *E. hexandra*.

For one site in Vlaardingen, tidal activity has been attested by archaeological finds. At Vlaardingen-Hoogstad, a system of culverts from the Roman period has been recovered. Several of these culverts are of a type with a valve that allows water flow in only one direction. This system only functions in areas with tidal differences. At low tide, water from the hinterland can be discharged through the culvert as the water pressure opens the valve. At high tide, the water level is above that of the culvert, and the water pressure, now from the other side, closes the valve. Inundation of the hinterland is prevented in this way (De Ridder 1999).

Moreover, several of the sites with *Elatine* remains yielded mollusc shells of *Mercuria confusa*, a species that is confined to freshwater tidal areas. At present, these animals live in places that become dry during low tide in creeks and along broader rivers in the Netherlands. In the western part of our country, fossil remains of *M. confusa* are only present in the Holocene sediments of the estuaries of the large rivers (Kuijper 2000, 2004). All Dutch finds of *E. triandra* (see Table 3) are from contexts where gullies are involved. The prehistoric ones are all gullies that border river dunes, which formed Pleistocene outcroppings in the Holocene perifluvial environment.

As to the previous indigenous status of *E. triandra* in the Netherlands, the archaeological finds show that the finds in 1838–1839 were not accidents. Instead, they document its last ‘flourishing’ before its complete disappearance.

The only subfossil Dutch find spot of *E. hexandra* is the Mekelermeer. This is a small lake in a Pleistocene sandy area in the northeastern province of Drenthe, rather similar to the Uddelermeer. In 1952, a single specimen of *E. hexandra* was observed in this same lake (Vegter 1953). Up to now, only one other locality of this species is known in Drenthe (Werkgroep Florakartering Drenthe 1999). Again, the present ecology is in good accordance with the identification to this species. It is more probable that the mesotraphent *E. hexandra* was involved in the Allerød find in the Mekelermeer than the ‘tide indicator’ *E. triandra*.

---

**Table 2** Morphological characteristics of seeds of the three Dutch *Elatine* species

|                        | *Elatine hexandra* | *Elatine triandra* | *Elatine hydropiper* |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| **Shape**              | Slightly curved (J)| Slightly curved (J)| Strongly curved (U)  |
| **Length (mm)**        | 0.5–0.6            | 0.45–0.65          | 0.5–0.6              |
| **Width (mm)**         | 0.2–0.25           | 0.15–0.2           | 0.2                  |
| **Cell rows**          | 9–10               | ca. 10             | ca. 8                |
| **Cell width (μm)**    | 50 (40–70)         | (30–) 50           | 50–55                |
| **Cell form**          | Clearly wider than high (scalariform) | Slightly wider than high (honey-comb shaped) | Slightly wider than high (honey-comb shaped) |
The history of 19th and 20th century finds of *E. hexandra* suggests a rather recent appearance followed by a gradual increase, whilst the Mekelermeer record from the Allerød points to a much longer history.

Apart from the finds of seeds, some pollen of *Elatine* has been identified as well. Nine grains from four different spectra from the Hazendonk have been identified tentatively by A. Louwe Kooijmans (unpublished data, W.A. Out, personal communication). These records show hardly any correlation with the layers containing *Elatine* seeds from the same site. Some ca. 18th century sediments of the Uddelermeer also yielded *Elatine* pollen (unpublished data, S. Bohncke).

The occurrence of *Elatine* in relation to climatic conditions

The sudden increase in the number of find spots of *E. hydropiper* in the Netherlands leads to the question as to how this increase might be explained. The exceptionally warm summers at the start of the 21st century triggered the idea of a possible relation to climatic conditions. To further explore this idea, the subfossil finds of both *E. hydropiper* and *E. triandra* were plotted on a time scale by using the average between begin and end date of the samples concerned, rounded off to hundreds of years. The number of samples with presence of either species (not the numbers of seeds) were then plotted in Fig. 6 together with the normalized Polar Circulation Index (PCI) from the GISP2 ice-core (Mayewski et al. 1997, as published by Magny 2004). Negative values of this index indicate warm temperatures. The comparison of both graphs shows that the large number of finds from the fourth millennium B.C. correspond to the warmer Atlantic period temperatures. The finds in the fifth millennium B.C. seem to be less clearly related to higher temperatures, but the records from Arnhem-Schuytgraaf, plotted at 5300 B.C. have a wide dating range that extends from 6450 up to 4200 B.C. These finds of *E. hydropiper* could therefore also fall in the group of records from the fourth millennium.

The records around the start of our era again correspond to more negative PCI values and thus with warmer temperatures. The occurrence of *Elatine* in the second millennium A.D. generally fall before the start of the Little Ice Age in the 16th century. Only one relatively widely dated sample of A.D. 1450–1600 from Dordrecht might correspond with the start of this cold period.

### Table 3: Finds of *Elatine hexandra* and *E. triandra* in archaeobotanical and palaeoecological samples from the Netherlands. Dates are given in calibrated calendar years

| Site name                             | Begin date | End date | Sample code | Number | Reference                  |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|--------|----------------------------|
| *Elatine triandra*                    |            |          |             |        |                            |
| Vlaardingen-Schravendijkplein         | 1150       | 1325     | VLSP-90     | 8      | Brinkkemper and De Ridder (2003) |
| Vlaardingen-Hoogstad 5.19             | 150        | 175      | VI5_19-444  | 8      | Brinkkemper and De Ridder (2001) |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4030      | –3940    | BRW-pr50-32 | 4      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4030      | –3940    | BRW-pr50-27 | 4      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4030      | –3940    | BRW-pr50-22 | 8      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4030      | –3940    | BRW-pr50-17 | 4      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4030      | –3940    | BRW-pr50-7  | 9      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4220      | –4100    | BRW-27.71   | ca. 200| Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Brandwijk-Kerkhof                     | –4220      | –4100    | BRW-43.542  | ca. 750| Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Streetkerk-de Meerdonk                | –4200      | –4000    | MRDK        | ca. 3  | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Molenaarsgraaf-Hazendonk             | –4020      | –3960    | Haz-B3-94   | 5      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Molenaarsgraaf-Hazendonk             | –4020      | –3960    | Haz-B3-89   | 2      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Molenaarsgraaf-Hazendonk             | –4020      | –3960    | Haz-B3-83   | 1      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Molenaarsgraaf-Hazendonk             | –4500      | –4300    | Haz-B3-6    | 1      | Kuijper (unpublished)       |
| Rotterdam-Randstadtrail              | –5600      | –5400    | Rand-107-2  | 4      | Brinkkemper (2003)          |
| Rotterdam-Randstadtrail              | –5600      | –5400    | Rand-107-1  | 84     | Brinkkemper (2003)          |
| Rotterdam-Randstadtrail              | –5600      | –5400    | Rand-103-15 | 10     | Brinkkemper (2003)          |
| Rotterdam-Randstadtrail              | –5600      | –5400    | Rand-103-13 | 2      | Brinkkemper (2003)          |
| *Elatine hexandra*                    |            |          |             |        |                            |
| Gees-Mekelermeer                      | –11300     | –10800   | Allerød Pinus phase | 1 | Bohncke and Wijmstra (1988) |
| Gees-Mekelermeer                      | –11800     | –11300   | Allerød Betula phase | 1 | Bohncke and Wijmstra (1988) |
The total number of samples per century in the Dutch archaeobotanical database RADAR was also assessed for all coastal and riverine archaeological regions. These numbers are shown by the dotted line in Fig. 6. This line clearly illustrates that the absence of *Elatine* finds in colder phases cannot be attributed to a lack of samples studied. The restriction of subfossil finds of *E. hexandra* to Late-glacial sediments and the lack of Holocene records do not permit a well-founded discussion of the relationship of this species to climatic conditions. It neither shows the sudden recent increase of *E. hydropiper*, but instead has been found regularly throughout the last and present century.

It would be interesting to explore further the relation between *E. hydropiper* and *E. triandra* and climate in the future with a larger data set of records of both *Elatine* species.

**Subfossil finds of *Elatine* seeds in northwestern Europe**

Archaeobotanical records of *Elatine* species elsewhere in northwestern Europe are very scarce. Knößer (1996, p. 101) reported *E. hydropiper* from a ninth century A.D. deposit of drift litter along the river Rhine as the first find of this species in the lower Rhine area. Knößer and Meurers-Balke (2002, p. 144) published seeds identified as *E. hexandra* from an Iron Age (La Tène) site in Porz-Lind (noting that this is the first find of this genus in the lower Rhine area, which does not agree with the find mentioned above). They do not consider *E. triandra*, probably because their site is outside the supposed distribution area of this species.

The British database for archaeobotanical remains contains three records, all of *E. hydropiper*. Two are from estuarine areas (Graveney boat; tenth century A.D., and Brigg Raft; undated, see Wilson and Conolly 1978; Hillman 1981), a third record is from four samples from channel-fill deposits at Southwark, the oldest possibly Roman, the youngest certainly late to post-medieval (Tyers 1988).

*Elatine* seeds are already known much further back in time, from Miocene deposits (5.3–23 Ma; Mai and Walther 1988). These authors also mention *E. pseudoalsinastrum* from the Pliocene (2.6–5.3 Ma) in Germany. The oldest Dutch finds of *E. hydropiper* are from Tegelen in the province of Limburg (Verkuil 1981; Langeveld 2005). They date from the first Pleistocene Interglacial, the Tiglian (1.8–2.4 Ma).

From the Middle Pleistocene, we know some German finds. *E. alsinastrum* has been recorded from the Kärlicher Interglacial (385–425 Ka) at the Kärlich type site (Bittmann 1992, 1995). *E. hydropiper* has been found in several Holstein Interglacial (300–335 Ka) deposits (Kempf 1966).

Against the background of its present rarity, the relatively large number of Holocene records of *E. hydropiper* and, to a lesser extent, *E. triandra* from the Netherlands demands an explanation. Whilst the western part of the country had the character of a river delta, the freshwater tidal area must have been quite extensive and would have offered excellent habitats for both *Elatine* species, provided that the climatic conditions were favourable. The more this delta was controlled (by construction of dykes), the less room remained for *E. hydropiper* and *E. triandra*. Lately the rivers have been cautiously freed from control here and there, and the former species has already started to benefit from the new opportunities.

Because of their remarkably narrow ecological requirements, the presence of *E. hydropiper* and/or *E. triandra* offers the archaeobotanist clear information about the presence of freshwater tidal areas in the past, which is crucial for the reconstruction of former environments inhabited by people, and which brings us back to one of the focal points of research of Corrie Bakels, to whom this volume of *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* is devoted. On the other hand, the past occurrence of these species also provides interesting points of view for the present natural development projects carried out in estuarine areas.

**Acknowledgments** This contribution is dedicated to Prof. Dr. Corrie Bakels on the occasion of her 65th birthday. With this article,
the first author expresses his gratitude to her for introducing him in the fascinating world of wetland archaeobotany. The authors wish to express their gratitude towards Dr. Allan Hall (University of York) for British archaeobotanical references of Elatine and to Dr. Felix Bittmann (Niedersächsisches Institut für historische Küstenforschung) for German references. Dr. Laura Kooistra (BIAX Consult, Zaandam) and Dr. Allan Hall gave valuable comments on earlier versions of this article.

References

Anderberg A-L (1994) Atlas of seeds, part 4. Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm

Bakels CC (1981) Neolithic plant remains from the Hazendonk, prov. of Zuid-Holland, the Netherlands. Zeitschr Archaö 15:141–148

Bakels CC (1988) Hekelingen, a neolithic site in the swamps of the Meuse estuary. In: Kûster H (ed) Der prähistorische Mensch und seine Umwelt. Festschrift U. Kûrber-Grohne. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden-Württemberg 31:155–161

Bakels CC, van Beurden LM (2001) Archeobotanische. In: Louwe Kooijmans LP (ed) Archeologie in de Betuweroete. Hardinxveld-Giessendam Polderweg. Een Mesolitisch jachtkamp in het rivierengebied (5500–5000 v. Chr.) [A Mesolithic hunting camp in the river area (5500–5000 B.C.)]. Rapportage Archeologische Monumentenzorg 83, pp 325–378

Bakels CC, van Beurden LM, Vennimmen TJJ (2001) Archeobotanische. In: Louwe Kooijmans LP (ed) Archeologie in de Betuweroete. Hardinxveld-Giessendam De Bruin. Een kamppaats uit het Laat-Mesolithicum en het begin van de Swifterbant-cultuur (5500–4450 v.Chr.) [A camp site from the Late Mesolithic and the start of the Swifterbant culture (5500–4450 B.C.)]. Rapportage Archeologische Monumentenzorg 88, pp 369–433

Barendregt A, Whigham D, Meire P, Baldwin A, van Damme S (2006) Wetlands in the tidal freshwater zone. In: Bobbink R, Belding B, Verhoeven JTA, Whigham DF (eds) Wetlands: functioning, biodiversity conservation, and restoration. Ecological studies 191, Springer, Berlin pp 117–148

Bittmann F (1995) Vegetationsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen am Mittel- und Jungpleistozenen Ablagerungen des Neuwieder Beckens (Mittelrhein). Jb RGZM Mainz 38:193–206

Bonncke S, Wijmstra L (1988) Reconstruction of Late-Glacial lake-sequences from the transition between fresh and salt areas. De Nederlandse Faunistische Mededelingen 12:41–120

Bredemeijer O, de Man R (1999) Archeobotanisch onderzoek aan een afzetting in een gueil uit de Midden-Ijzertijd te Geldermalsen-Kalenberg. Interne Rapporten Archeobotanik ROB 2002/1

De Ridder T (1999) De oudste delta werken van West-Europa. Tweeduizend jaar oude dammen en diukers in Vlaardingen. [The oldest deltaworks from Western Europe. Two thousand year old dams and culverts in Vlaardingen]. Tijdschrift voor Waterstaatsgeschiedenis 8:10–22

Ellenberg H (1974) Zeigerwerte der Gefäßpflanzen Mitteleuropas. Scripta Geobotanica 9

Haecker H, Schönfelder P (1988) Atlas der Farn- und Blütenpflanzen der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Ulmer, Stuttgart

Hartens FA (1865) Een botanische wandeling in de omstreken van Rijsenburg. Probe eener topographische plantkunde [A botanical trip in the surroundings of Rijsenburg. Trial of a topographical botany]. Album der Natur 1865:289–304

Hillman G (1981) Macroscopic remains of an estuarine flora. In: McGrail S (ed) Brigg raft. British archaeological reports, British series 89:147–152

Hofstra JH, Weeda EJ (1977) Over de vegetatie met Elatine hexandra (Lappierre) DC in de kleine plas van het Lonnekermeer [About the vegetation with Elatine hexandra (Lappierre) DC in the small lake of the Lonnekermeer]. Gorteria 8:193–206

Hultén E (1971) The circumpolar plants. II. Dicotyledons. Kungl. Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, 4 ser., 13

Jager HJ (2000) Elatine hydropiper L. (Klein glaskroos) in Nederland teruggevonden [Elatine hydropiper L. (small waterwort) retrieved in the Netherlands]. Gorteria 26:227–229

Kempf EK (1966) Das Holstein-Interglazial von Tönisberg im Rahmen des nörddeutschen Pleistozäns. Einszitalter und Gegenwart 17:5–60

Kern Van Marilaun A, Hansen A (1916) Pflanzenleben, vol. 3 Die Pflanzenarten als Floren und Genossenschaften (Abs- tummungslehre und Pflanzengeographie), 3rd edn. Verlag des bibliographischen Instituts, Leipzig

Kno¨ rzer K-H, Meurers-Balke J (2002) Archa¨ obotanische Untersuchungen zur Late`ne-Siedlung von Porz-Lind. Rheinische Ausgrabungen 31:155–161

Krausch H-D (1981) Su ¨ wasserflora von Mitteleuropa, Teil 2. Pteridophyta und Anthophyta, 2. Teil. Spektrum, Stuttgart, New York

Kuijper WJ (2000) De weekdieren van de Nederlandse brakwatergebieden (Mollusca) [The molluscs of the Dutch brackish water areas]. Nederlandse Faunistische Mededelingen 12:41–120

Kuijper WJ (2004) Holocene climate variability as reflected by mid-European lake-level fluctuations and its probable impact on prehistoric human settlements. Quat Int 113:65–79

Magny M (2004) Holocene climate variability as reflected by mid-European lake-level fluctuations and its probable impact on prehistoric human settlements. Quat Int 113:65–79

Maai DH, Walther H (1988) Die ploidizänen Floren von Thüringen, Deutsche Demokratische Republik. Quartärpaläontologie 7:55–297
