Sex differences in frontal lobe connectivity in adults with autism spectrum conditions
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Autism spectrum conditions (ASC) are more prevalent in males than females. The biological basis of this difference remains unclear. It has been postulated that one of the primary causes of ASC is a partial disconnection of the frontal lobe from higher-order association areas during development (that is, a frontal ‘disconnection syndrome’). Therefore, in the current study we investigated whether frontal connectivity differs between males and females with ASC. We recruited 98 adults with a confirmed high-functioning ASC diagnosis (61 males: aged 18–41 years; 37 females: aged 18–37 years) and 115 neurotypical controls (61 males: aged 18–45 years; 54 females: aged 18–52 years). Current ASC symptoms were evaluated using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS). Diffusion tensor imaging was performed and fractional anisotropy (FA) maps were created. Mean FA values were determined for five frontal fiber bundles and two non-frontal fiber tracts. Between-group differences in mean tract FA, as well as sex-by-diagnosis interactions were assessed. Additional analyses including ADOS scores informed us on the influence of current ASC symptom severity on frontal connectivity. We found that males with ASC had higher scores of current symptom severity than females, and had significantly lower mean FA values for all but one tract compared to controls. No differences were found between females with or without ASC. Significant sex-by-diagnosis effects were limited to the frontal tracts. Taking current ASC symptom severity scores into account did not alter the findings, although the observed power for these analyses varied. We suggest these findings of frontal connectivity abnormalities in males with ASC, but not in females with ASC, have the potential to inform us on some of the sex differences reported in the behavioral phenotype of ASC.
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INTRODUCTION
Autism spectrum conditions (ASC) affect ~ 1% of the UK population,1 with a male:female prevalence ratio estimated at 2:5:1.2 The cause(s) of this sex difference remains unclear.2 One putative explanation is that only the most ‘severe’ or evident cases of females with ASC are diagnosed, as it is thought females may be more able to compensate for, or mask, their disabilities related to autism.3–7 Others have argued that ASC in females is not more severe, but represents a partially different behavioral phenotype,7 which may be under-detected by current diagnostic criteria.8 Demand avoidance and extreme determination are, for example, more commonly associated with the behavioral phenotype in females with ASC.5,4 The limited neuroimaging studies to date, have further shown that in different age ranges, neuroanatomical features of ASC in females seem to involve different structures or growth trajectories than males with ASC.3,5–15 However, to date there have been insufficient well-powered studies into the neurological basis of sex differences in ASC. This has contributed to the current difficulties in our understanding for the roots of the skewed male:female prevalence ratio.

Previous structural neuroimaging studies in females with ASC10,16,17 reported little overlap of atypical brain areas found in meta-analyses of predominantly male samples.18,19 Further, we recently reported significant differences in the regional gray and white matter neuroanatomy of ASC when directly studying differences between adult males and females with ASC.10 However, advances in neuroimaging technology have enabled research to focus on the brain as a network of connections. Also, it has been postulated that one of the primary causes of ASC is underpinned by a partial disconnection of the frontal lobe from higher-order association areas during development.20–22 Studies of connectivity in ASC, using for example diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) tractography to visualize connectivity fiber tracts are of great research interest.

The hypothesis that ASC is associated with a frontal disconnection syndrome has been supported by DTI tractography and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) studies. These studies have reported differences in the microstructure of tracts such as the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF) and uncinate fasciculus (UF) in ASC.22–24 White matter (WM) tracts central to language, the
arcuate fasciculus (AF), and socioemotional processing, such as the inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), have also been shown to have reduced FA in male-only or male-dominated studies of ASC. However, previous studies often focused on males with ASC, and it remains unclear whether these differences also exist in females with ASC. In the light of our previous findings we hypothesized there would be minimal overlap in these tracts when analyzing how males and females with ASC, respectively, differ from typically developing males and females. If correct, this would lend support to the hypothesis that sex differences in behavioral phenotype in ASC are, in part, underpinned by differences in brain connectivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and assessment

Sixty-one right-handed male adults with a diagnosis of ASC (mean age: 26.0 ± 7.0 years; range: 18–41), 61 neurological male controls (mean age: 28.5 ± 6.8 years; range: 18–45), 37 adult female ASC (mean age: 25.4 ± 6.1 years; range: 18–37) and 54 neurological female controls (mean age: 27.9 ± 7.3 years; range: 18–52) were included and underwent MRI with DTI and neurobehavioural assessment at the Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London (males with ASC: 35; male controls: 33; females with ASC: 10; female controls: 21) or the Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge (males with ASC: 26; female controls: 28; females with ASC: 27; female controls: 33) as part of the UK Medical Research Council Autism Imaging Multicentre Study (MRC AIMS).

Inclusion criteria for the ASC group included a diagnosis of autism according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) research criteria. A childhood diagnosis was confirmed using the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R). These interviews on retrospective childhood behaviors with parents or carers confirmed all individuals with ASC exceeded cutoff scores within the domains of social interaction, communication, and repetitive and stereotypical behaviors. However, failure to reach cutoff was permitted by one point in any one of the domains. Current symptoms within the domains of impaired communication and reciprocal social interaction were measured using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), module 4. The ADOS is an observational assessment of standardized activities, which allows an examiner to observe behaviors of interest in an ASC diagnosis. The occurrence of behaviors and interactions during the activities is rated, with higher scores representing behavior more typically associated with ASC. As all study participants were adults, these observations represent current ASC severity. The Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence was used to assess overall intellectual ability. All individuals reached full-scale FSIQ.

DTI acquisition protocol and analyses

MRI scans were performed using a 3-tesla GE magnet and an 8-channel receive-only radio frequency head coil (GE Medical Systems HDx, King’s College London, UK and University of Cambridge, UK). Diffusion weighted images were acquired with a spin-echo pulse sequence together with echo-planar readout providing 2.4 mm isotropic resolution and whole head coverage. A double refocusing pulse was used to reduce eddy current induced artefacts. A set of 60 slices without slice gap was obtained with a field of view of 30.7 × 30.7 cm and an acquisition matrix of 128 × 128. At each slice location 6 non-diffusion-weighted and 32 diffusion-weighted volumes with different non-collinear diffusion directions with a b-value of 1300 s mm−2 were acquired. Using a peripheral gating device placed on the participants’ forefinger, the acquisition was cardiac gated with a repetition time (TR) equivalent to 20R-R intervals and an echo time (TE) of 104.5 ms. More details on the acquisition sequence are provided by Jones et al.

Pre-processing and generation of fiber tract data were performed using ExploreDTI. This consisted of correction for head motion and eddy current induced geometric distortions of raw diffusion-weighted data; further details can be found in Catani et al. Subsequently, the diffusion tensor was estimated and the resulting fractional anisotropy (FA) map was used as a mask to define regions of interest. A multi-fibre peak detection and fractional anisotropy (FA), a measure giving information on the degree of directionality of the diffusion tensor, was determined in each voxel. As the number of streamlines and the tract volume may vary substantially between participants, we used a region of interest approach within a recent DTI atlas (http://www.natbrainlab.com). We coregistered the individual whole-brain DTI volumes to a template using nonlinear registration as implemented in the FSL software package (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). Bilaterally, we defined five specific brain regions in each hemisphere in the FMRISSB space containing fiber tracts originating in the frontal lobe: the cingulum (the fiber bundle that runs around the corpus callosum with the cingulated gyrus), UF (the bundle of fibers connecting the medial and lateral orbitalfrontal cortex with the anterior temporal lobe), IFOF (the long ventral bundle running from the orbitofrontal cortex to the ventral occipital lobe) and anterior and long segments of the AF (anterior: connecting the precентрal, inferior frontal and middle frontal gyri, known as Broca’s territory, to Geschwind’s territory in the supramarginal gyrus; long: the fiber bundle between Broca’s territory and Wernicke’s territory in the superior and middle temporal lobes). Longitudinal fasciculus (ILF; connecting the anterior temporal lobe to the central occipital lobe) and posterior segments of the AF (linking Geschwind’s and Wernicke’s territories), in order to identify between-group differences in FA. The tracts analyzed were based on recent findings of fronto-specific abnormalities in adult males with ASC, which were absent in the ILF and posterior segments of the AF.

Statistical analyses

Statistical testing was undertaken using SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) in which statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05 (two-tailed) for all analyses.

Independent sample t-tests were used to calculate demographic differences between sexes. To compare tract-specific FA values between groups, multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) models were used. In these models tract mean FA values served as dependent variables, diagnostic group and sex as fixed factors, and scanning centre, age and FSIQ were added as covariates. We also tested whether there was an interaction effect over-and-above the main effects of sex and diagnosis separately (that is, the effect of an ASC diagnosis differs in strength and/or direction between sexes). Holm–Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple comparisons.

To exclude current symptom severity (that is, determined by the ADOS) as the driving factor for significant interactions, we compared FA between ASC individuals who did and did not reach ADOS cutoff for ‘autism spectrum’ (that is, ADOS Total score of 7) scores using a MANCOVA for each sex. In addition, we calculated Bayes factors post hoc. These factors represent a weighted measure of the plausibility of the prior hypothesis that there was no difference between groups, versus the presence of a significant difference. They are particularly useful in the interpretation of null results, as they can distinguish between the two underlying causes of a null result (that is, a real absence of differences, versus insensitivity of the investigated data to provide a significant result). For computing Bayes factors, a freely available calculator was used (http://www.lifesci.sussex.ac.uk/home/Zoltan_Dienes/inference/Bayes.htm) which required the data summary (that is, mean difference between FA of those who did and did not reach ADOS cutoff scores, per sex and the standard error of this difference) and specification of the theory tested against the null hypothesis. For the latter, a uniform distribution of plausibilities of population effects was assumed, with a lower limit of 0 and upper limit defined as the maximum observed difference. Bayes factor thresholds of 0.33 and 3 were applied, where values below 0.33 suggest the data support the prior hypothesis of no difference between groups, values above 3 support the alternative hypothesis, and values in between suggest the data are insensitive to draw conclusions from Dienes et al. In addition, we determined ASC-specific sex differences with further adjustment for ADOS Total scores (that is, ADOS Total is the sum of the Social Interaction and Communication scores); together, this informed us
Table 1. Demographics of study cohort

|                         | ASC males (n = 61) mean ± s.d., [range] | Male controls (n = 61) mean ± s.d., [range] | ASC females (n = 37) mean ± s.d., [range] | Female controls (n = 54) mean ± s.d., [range] | Statistics P-value<sup>a</sup> |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age, years              | 26.0 ± 7.0, [18–41]                    | 28.5 ± 6.8, [18–45]                         | 25.4 ± 6.1, [18–37]                      | 27.9 ± 7.3, [18–52]                         | MC > FA (P = 0.028)         |
| FSIQ, WASI              | 115.3 ± 12.6, [77–137]                 | 110.9 ± 11.8, [88–133]                      | 113.7 ± 15.0, [73–136]                   | 120.0 ± 7.9, [99–137]                      | MA < FC (P = 0.016), MC < FC (P < 0.001), FA < FC (P = 0.024) |
| PIQ, WASI               | 115.2 ± 13.8, [75–138]                 | 111.3 ± 13.1, [84–138]                      | 109.1 ± 15.8, [67–137]                   | 116.0 ± 9.1, [96–134]                      | MA > FA (P = 0.048), MC < FC (P = 0.027), FA < FC (P = 0.020) |
| VIQ, WASI               | 112.3 ± 12.6, [71–137]                 | 108.3 ± 13.0, [84–139]                      | 115.3 ± 15.7, [67–144]                   | 119.1 ± 9.1, [96–141]                      | MA < FC (P = 0.019), MC < FC (P < 0.001) |
| ADI-R Total<sup>b</sup> | 36.7 ± 9.2, [20–57]                    | 33.5 ± 9.0, [21–64]                         | N.S.                                     | N.S.                                       | N.S.                        |
| ADI-R Social<sup>b</sup> | 18.1 ± 5.4, [9–28]                     | 16.3 ± 4.6, [10–29]                         | N.S.                                     | N.S.                                       | N.S.                        |
| ADI-R Communication<sup>b</sup> | 13.7 ± 4.3, [8–24]                   | 12.8 ± 4.4, [7–25]                         | N.S.                                     | N.S.                                       | N.S.                        |
| ADI-R Repetitive Behaviors<sup>b</sup> | 4.9 ± 2.2, [2–10]               | 4.4 ± 1.9, [2–10]                           | N.S.                                     | N.S.                                       | N.S.                        |
| ADOS Total<sup>c</sup> | 9.4 ± 4.3, [1–21]                      | 6.8 ± 6.0, [0–22]                           | MA > FA (P = 0.016)                      | MA > FA (P = 0.042)                        | MA > FA (P = 0.008)        |
| ADOS Social Interaction<sup>c</sup> | 6.1 ± 3.0, [1–14]                | 4.7 ± 3.8, [0–14]                           | MA > FA (P = 0.016)                      | MA > FA (P = 0.042)                        | MA > FA (P = 0.008)        |
| ADOS Communication<sup>c</sup> | 1.2 ± 1.2, [0–5]                   | 2.2 ± 2.3, [0–8]                            | MA > FA (P = 0.016)                      | MA > FA (P = 0.042)                        | MA > FA (P = 0.008)        |

Abbreviations: ADI-R, Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised; ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; ASC, autism spectrum condition; FA, females with ASC diagnosis; FC, female controls; FSIQ, Full-scale IQ; IQ, intelligence quotient; MA, males with ASC diagnosis; MC, male controls; WASI, Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence. *P-values were not corrected for multiple comparisons. n.s. not significant (P > 0.05). When Levene’s Test for Equality of Variances showed significant non-equal variances, equal variance was not assumed. <sup>b</sup>Information was available for all ASC participants. ADI-R Total is the sum of the Social interaction, Communication and Repetitive Behaviour scores for which respectively cutoff values of 10, 8 and 3 were used. Cutoff was not reached by 1 point for 2 male participants in the social interaction domain, 1 female participant in the communication domain and by 6 male and 6 female participants in the repetitive behavior domain. <sup>c</sup>Information was available for 59 male ASC participants. ADOS Total is the sum of the Social Interaction and Communication scores for which cutoff values of 7, 4 and 2 are used, respectively; 43 male and 14 female individuals passed ADOS cutoff scores for ASC.
RESULTS

Participant demographics
ASC groups were matched for age and severity of childhood autistic symptoms (Table 1). ADOS scores were significantly higher in ASC males (ADOS Total score males: 9.4 ± 4.3; females: 6.8 ± 6.0, P = 0.016). Full scale IQ (FSIQ) did not differ between sexes in the ASC groups, but FSIQ scores of female controls were higher than those of females with an ASC diagnosis and both male diagnostic groups. Comparisons between verbal and performance IQ scores showed similar results. To adjust for the IQ differences, FSIQ was included as a covariate for all following analyses.

Table 2. Diagnosis effects and the sex-by-diagnosis interaction effect on fractional anisotropy values in frontal and non-frontal connectivity tracts

| Frontal tracts | Diagnostic effect in males | Diagnostic effect in females | Sex-by-diagnosis interaction |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                | F  | P-value | F  | P-value | F  | P-value |
| Anterior segment AF left | 12.75 | 0.001* | 0.09 | 0.764 | 8.80 | 0.003* |
| Anterior segment AF right | 8.90 | 0.003* | 1.56 | 0.215 | 10.97 | 0.001* |
| Long segment AF left | 7.21 | 0.008* | 0.18 | 0.675 | 5.32 | 0.022* |
| Long segment AF right | 0.60 | 0.444 | 0.32 | 0.753 | 1.22 | 0.272 |
| Cingulum left | 3.15 | <0.001* | 0.01 | 0.943 | 7.67 | 0.006* |
| Cingulum right | 9.87 | 0.002* | 0.01 | 0.937 | 6.66 | 0.018* |
| Uncinate left | 14.13 | <0.001* | 0.30 | 0.588 | 8.96 | 0.002* |
| Uncinate right | 12.06 | 0.001* | 1.37 | 0.245 | 12.33 | 0.001* |
| IFOF left | 11.80 | 0.001* | 0.09 | 0.771 | 5.89 | 0.016* |
| IFOF right | 10.75 | 0.001* | 0.00 | 0.988 | 6.04 | 0.015* |
| Non-frontal tracts | | | | | | |
| Posterior segment AF left | 5.06 | 0.026* | 0.00 | 0.975 | 2.73 | 0.100 |
| Posterior segment AF right | 4.30 | 0.040* | 0.11 | 0.737 | 3.14 | 0.078 |
| ILF left | 8.92 | 0.003* | 0.70 | 0.408 | 3.08 | 0.081 |
| ILF right | 6.02 | 0.016* | 0.01 | 0.946 | 2.82 | 0.095 |

Abbreviations: AF, arcuate fasciculus; IFOF, inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus; IQ, intelligence quotient. Scanning centre, age and full scale IQ, were all included as covariates. *P-values are significant at a level < 0.05 after Holm-Bonferroni correction.

Sex-specific effects and sex-by-diagnosis interaction effects
Comparison of tract mean FA values of male and female controls revealed comparable microstructural integrity levels in all frontal tracts. However, of the non-frontal tracts, the right ILF was shown to have significantly higher mean FA in females, FA = 0.44004, than males, FA = 0.43191 (F(1,113) = 6.82, P = 0.010).

In males we found significant diagnostic effects of lower tract mean FA values in the ASC group compared to neurotypical controls in all frontal tracts except the long segment of the right AF (F(1,120) = 0.60, P = 0.444) and all investigated non-frontal tracts (Table 2). No significant diagnostic effects were found between the female ASC and control groups. Significant interaction effects were found for all frontal tracts (Figure 1) (suggesting that the diagnostic group effects in males are significantly different from the diagnostic group effects in females) except for the right long segment of the AF. The non-frontal tracts revealed no sex-by-diagnosis interactions (Table 2).

Effects of ASC severity
To explore how current symptom severity influenced our results, we first completed analyses between those who scored above and below ADOS ‘autism spectrum’ cutoff (that is, ADOS Total score of 7)29 within both males and females with a childhood autism diagnosis, as confirmed by the ADI-R. 28 ADOS cutoff groups only differed on levels of current symptom severity; they were age and IQ matched. These analyses revealed no significant differences within either sex. To further explore this null result, Bayes factors were computed. These supported the findings of no difference (N.B. Bayes factors for the left UF and right IFOF in males, and left posterior segment of the AF in females, exceeded the set threshold of 0.33; Table 3).

We subsequently investigated whether correcting for ADOS scores altered the sex effect on tract differences within the ASC group. In this analysis we focussed on tracts with significant interaction values to minimize multiple comparisons effects. We found that all differences remained significant after this adjustment (Table 4). Given our sample size (N = 57: total number of males and females for whom the ADOS total score was available) and number of groups (k = 2: sex), a power analysis on the ADOS adjusted ASC-specific sex differences suggested that the observed power of sex differences varied between 0.53 and 0.95 at a specified alpha level of 0.05.

DISCUSSION

We report sex differences in frontal lobe connectivity in ASC. More specifically, we report frontal abnormalities in adult males with ASC that are absent in adult females with ASC. These results are consistent with previous volumetric and diffusion imaging findings10,11 and provide further support to the a priori hypothesis that sex differences in the behavioral phenotype of ASC might be underpinned by differences in brain connectivity.

Alternative explanations for sex differences in brain connectivity
The neuroanatomical differences found suggest intrinsic differences in WM organization of adult females with ASC compared to their male counterparts. In addition, a normative sex difference was found in the right ILF of control subjects, highlighting the presence of structural differences in brain connectivity independent of an ASC diagnosis. However, the sex differences in ASC were unique to connections originating from the frontal lobe. The frontal specificity of our finding is of potential importance because of the involvement of the frontal lobe in higher-order cognitive...
functioning affected in ASC, and the postulated 'disconnection syndrome' underlying ASC during development.\textsuperscript{20–22} The neuroanatomical sex differences observed in the current study may partially account for the different behavioral phenotype of ASC females.\textsuperscript{7}

It could also be argued that our findings are due to a skewed pattern of ASC symptom severity. It has been proposed, for example, that in order for women to reach the threshold for a clinical ASC diagnosis, they require the presence of more severe brain abnormalities as they are better able to compensate for, or
scores, the sex-by-diagnosis interactions remained signi
post hoc. Further analyses also found that, after correction for the ADOS scores, the sex-by-diagnosis interactions remained significant.

### Table 3. Sex-specific differences between fractional anisotropy values in adults with an ASC diagnosis with and without severe current symptoms as measured using the ADOS total score

| Frontal tracts | Males (N = 59) 16 ADOS− and 43 ADOS+ | Females (N = 36) 22 ADOS− and 14 ADOS+ |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                | F | P-value | Bayes factor | F | P-value | Bayes factor |
| Anterior segment AF left | 0.37 | 0.546 | 0.23 | 1.90 | 0.178 | 0.02 |
| Anterior segment AF right | 1.13 | 0.293 | 0.19 | 0.92 | 0.346 | 0.05 |
| Long segment AF left | 0.59 | 0.444 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.407 | 0.15 |
| Long segment AF right | 0.11 | 0.742 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.648 | <0.01 |
| Cingulum left | 0.27 | 0.607 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.492 | 0.04 |
| Cingulum right | 0.03 | 0.870 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.734 | 0.02 |
| Uncinate left | 0.01 | 0.939 | 0.41 | 3.48 | 0.072 | 0.01 |
| Uncinate right | 0.29 | 0.595 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.899 | 0.15 |
| IFOF left | 0.36 | 0.550 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.761 | 0.09 |
| IFOF right | 0.32 | 0.577 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 0.826 | 0.54 |
| Non-frontal tracts | | | | | | |
| Posterior segment AF left | 0.35 | 0.557 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.826 | 0.54 |
| Posterior segment AF right | 0.06 | 0.802 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.515 | 0.03 |
| ILF left | 0.13 | 0.718 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.634 | 0.32 |
| ILF right | 0.18 | 0.671 | 0.21 | 0.93 | 0.342 | 0.05 |

Abbreviations: ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; ADOS−, ASC participants not reaching ADOS cutoff score of 7; ADOS+, ASC participants reaching ADOS Total cutoff score of 7; AF, arcuate fasciculus; ASC, autism spectrum conditions; IFOF, inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus; IQ, intelligence quotient. Scanning centre, age, and full scale IQ, were all included as covariates. *Bayes factors (> 0.33) indicate data sensitivity was insufficient to draw conclusions from.

### Table 4. ASC-specific sex differences in fractional anisotropy values of frontal tracts corrected for current symptom severity as measured using the ADOS total score

| Frontal tracts | Sex difference ADOS corrected | Observed power |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
|                | F | P-value |                |
| Anterior segment AF left | 4.02 | 0.048 | 0.53 |
| Anterior segment AF right | 8.83 | 0.004 | 0.86 |
| Long segment AF left | 8.80 | 0.004 | 0.86 |
| Cingulum left | 6.80 | 0.011 | 0.76 |
| Cingulum right | 4.64 | 0.034 | 0.60 |
| Uncinate left | 4.70 | 0.033 | 0.60 |
| Uncinate right | 7.01 | 0.010 | 0.77 |
| IFOF left | 8.11 | 0.005 | 0.83 |
| IFOF right | 12.29 | 0.001 | 0.95 |

Abbreviations: ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; AF, arcuate fasciculus; ASC, autism spectrum conditions; IFOF, Inferior Frontal Occipital Fasciculus; IQ, intelligence quotient. Scanning centre, age and full scale IQ, were all included as covariates. *P-values are significant at a level < 0.05.

However, these analyses were underpowered for some tracts (for example, the left anterior AF segment, right cingulum and left UF) and larger studies are still needed to verify these findings.

Another issue to consider is the developmental nature of ASC. Although the observed variance in WM organization in our adult sample might represent an innate sex difference, it is also plausible that it is secondary to other experiential factors. For example, due to culturally defined sex differences, girls with ASC may receive more social interaction, and subsequently adopt more intrapersonal skills than boys. This may exert a protective effect on ASC etiology and/or a modulating effect on neurodevelopment in females. Equally, early diagnosis of ASC in males and under-detection of the condition in females may lead to differences in the pharmacological management of common comorbidities (for example, depression, anxiety and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder) during development. Differential exposure to medications could in turn influence critical periods of brain development, such as myelination and pruning. Finally, sex-specific physiological features, such as sex hormones (see below), may also affect sexual differentiation of the brain. Longitudinal studies of ASC are required to elucidate the sex-specific effects of these factors on lifespan development in individuals with ASC.

Possible biological explanations: biological differences

ASC is a complex condition that involves multiple genetic variations. The biological basis of sex differences in frontal brain connectivity in ASC may additionally involve an interaction between sex hormones and sex chromosomes. It has been hypothesized, for example, that genes on the paternal X chromosome protect against social and communication impairments. This protective effect is absent in males due to their inheriting a single maternal X chromosome. Fetal testosterone concentration has been reported to be positively associated with a number of autistic traits in neurotypical males and females. Fetal testosterone also influences brain structures associated with language and communication in boys with ASC. Our findings therefore raise
the question of whether (fetal) testosterone modulates the neurodevelopment of frontal connectivity in ASC. Modulation of frontotemporal functional connectivity by testosterone levels has already been reported in neurotypical individuals,54 but to date we are unaware of any studies on the putative effects of fetal testosterone on WM organization. In brief, the contribution of sexual differentiation mechanisms to sex-specific risks of developing ASC should be a key area for future studies.2

Future investigations should also include other regions of interest and WM connections beyond those analyzed in the present study. These could, for example, include the cerebellum59,60 and temporoparietal junction as both regions have previously been reported to exhibit sex differences in white and/or gray matter volume. Such studies may also benefit from the application of a 2 x 2 factorial design and TBSS. The main advantage of TBSS is that it is a fully automated, operator-independent approach that allows a ‘whole brain’ analysis of global patterns of white matter integrity. It therefore has the potential to identify WM differences in brain regions not previously considered to be of importance and is resistant to operator-bias.

CONCLUSION
We report sex differences in brain connectivity in ASC, with frontal abnormalities in adult males with ASC that are absent in adult females with ASC. These differences may explain some of the sex differences reported in the behavioral phenotype of ASC. Larger and longitudinal studies are required to replicate these findings and to explore differences in brain connectivity between other brain regions that could contribute to the sex differences seen in behavioral phenotypes.
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are in alphabetical order: Anthony J. Bailey (Oxford), Simon Baron-Cohen (Cambridge), Patrick F. Bolton (IoP), Edward T. Bullmore (Cambridge), Sarah Carrington (Oxford), Marco Catani (IoP), Bhismadev Chakrabarti (Cambridge), Michael C. Craig (IoP), Eileen M. Daly (IoP), Sean C. L. Deoni (IoP), Christine Ecker (IoP), Francesca Happé (IoP), Julian Henty (Cambridge), Peter Jeazzard (Oxford), Patrick Johnston (IoP), Derek K. Jones (IoP), Meng-Chuan Lai (Cambridge), Michael V. Lombardo (Cambridge), Anya Madden (IoP), Diane Mullins (IoP), Clodagh M. Murphy (IoP), Declan G. M. Murphy (IoP), Greg Pasco (Cambridge), Amber N. V. Ruigrok (Cambridge), Susan A. Sadek (Cambridge), Debbie Spain (IoP), Rose Stewart (Oxford), John Suckling (Cambridge), Sally J. Wheelwright (Cambridge), Steven C. Williams (IoP) and C. Ellie Wilson (IoP).

REFERENCES
1 Baird G, Simonoff E, Pickles A, Chandler S, Loucas T, Meldrum D et al. Prevalence of disorders of the autism spectrum in a population cohort of children in South Thames: The Special Needs and Autism Project (SNAP). Lancet 2006; 368: 215–219.
2 Lai M-C, Lombardo MV, Auyeung B, Chakrabarti B, Baron-Cohen S. Sex/gender differences and autism: setting the scene for future research. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2015; 54: 11–24.
3 Baron-Cohen S, Lombardo MV, Auyeung B, Ashwin E, Chakrabarti B, Knickmeyer R. Why are autism spectrum conditions more prevalent in males? PLoS Biol 2011; 9: e1001081.
4 Kopp S, Gillberg C. The Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ)-Revised Extended Version (ASSQ-REV): an instrument for better capturing the autism phenotype in girls? A preliminary study involving 191 clinical cases and community controls. Res Dev Disabil 2011; 32: 2875–2888.
5 Dworzynski K, Ronald A, Bolton P, Happé F. How different are girls and boys above and below the diagnostic threshold for autism spectrum disorders? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2012; 51: 788–797.
6 Fombonne E. The epidemiology of autism: a review. Psychol Med 1999; 29: 769–786.
7 Lai M-C, Lombardo MV, Pasco G, Ruigrok AN, Wheelwright SJ, Sadek SA et al. A behavioral comparison of male and female adults with high functioning autism spectrum conditions. PLoS One 2011; 6: e20835.
8 Andersson GW, Gillberg C, Miniscalco C. Pre-school children with suspected autism spectrum disorders: do girls and boys have the same profiles? Res Dev Disabil 2013; 34: 413–422.
9 Bloss CS, Courchesne E. MRI neuroanatomy in young girls with autism: a preliminary study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2007; 46: 515–523.
10 Lai M-C, Lombardo MV, Suckling J, Ruigrok AN, Chakrabarti B, Ecker C et al. Biological sex affects the neurobiology of autism. Brain 2013; 136: 2799–2815.
11 Beacher FD, Minati L, Baron-Cohen S, Lombardo MV, Lai M-C, Gray MA et al. Autism attenuates sex differences in brain structure: a Combined Voxel-Based Morphometry and Diffusion Tensor Imaging Study. Am J Neuroradiol 2012; 33: 83–89.
12 Nordahl CW, Lange N, Li DD, Barnett LA, Lee A, Buonocore MH et al. Brain enlargement is associated with regression in preschool-age boys with autism spectrum disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108: 20195–20200.
13 Nordahl CW, Isos A-M, Young GS, Perry LM, Dougherty R, Lee A et al. Sex differences in the corpus callosum in preschool-aged children with autism spectrum disorder. Mol Autism 2015; 6: 1–11.
14 Schaer M, Kochalka J, Padmanabhan A, Supelkar K, Menon V. Sex differences in cortical volume and gyriﬁcation in autism. Mol Autism. Molecular Autism 2015; 6: 42.
15 Lai M-C, Lerch JP, Floris DL, Ruigrok AN, Pohl A, Lombardo MV et al. Imaging sex/gender and autism in the brain: Etiological implications. J Neurosci Res 2017; 95: 380–397.
16 Craig MC, Zaman SH, Daly EM, Catterall WJ, Robertson DMW, Hallahan B et al. Women with autistic-spectrum disorder: magnetic resonance imaging study of brain anatomy. Br J Psychiatry 2007; 191: 224–228.
17 Calderoni S, Retico A, Biagi L, Tancredi R, Muratori F, Tosetti M. Female children with autism spectrum disorder: An insight from mass-univariate and pattern classification analyses. Neuroimage 2012; 59: 1013–1022.
18 Radua J, Via E, Catani M, Mataix-Cols D. Voxel-based meta-analysis of regional white-matter volume differences in autism spectrum disorder versus healthy controls. Psychol Med 2011; 41: 1539–1550.
19 Via E, Radua J, Cardoner N, Happé F, Mataix-Cols D. Meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in autism spectrum disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2011; 68: 409.

Translational Psychiatry (2017), 1 – 8
Geschwind DH, Levitt P. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental disconnection syndromes. 

Curr Opin Neurobiol 2007; 17: 103–111.

Belmonte MK, Allen G, Beckel-Mitchener A, Boulanger LM, Carper RA, Webb SJ. Autism and abnormal development of brain connectivity. J Neurosci 2004; 24: 9228–9231.

Catani M, Dell’Acqua F, Budisavljevic S, Howells H, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Froudist-Walsh S et al. Frontal networks in adults with autism spectrum disorder. Brain 2016; 139: 616–630.

Kumar A, Sundaram SK, Sivaswamy L, Behen ME, Makki MI, Ager J et al. Altered white matter connectivity as a neural substrate for social impairment in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Cereb Cortex 2010; 20: 2103–2113.

Shukla DK, Kehnin B, Müller R-A. Tract-specific analyses of diffusion tensor imaging show widespread white matter compromise in autism spectrum disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2011; 52: 286–295.

Bilcici L, Calderoni S, Tosetti M, Catani M, Muratori F. White matter connectivity in children with autism spectrum disorders: a tract-based spatial statistics study. BMC Neurol 2012; 12: 148–163.

Jou RJ, Jackowski AP, Papademetris X, Rajeevan N, Staib LH, Volkmar FR. Diffusion tensor imaging in autism spectrum disorders: preliminary evidence of abnormal neural connectivity. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2011; 45: 153–162.

Ameis SH, Catani M. Altered white matter connectivity as a neural substrate for social impairment in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Cortex 2015; 62: 158–181.

Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism diagnostic interview-revised: a revised diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 1994; 35: 659–685.

Lord C, Heemsbergen J, Jordan H, Mawhood L, Schopler E. Autism diagnostic observation schedule: a standardized observation of communicative and social behavior. J Autism Dev Disord 1989; 19: 185–212.

Wechsler D. Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI). Harcourt Assessment: San Antonio TX, 1999.

Jones DK, Williams SCR, Gasston D, Horsfield MA, Simmons A, Howard R. Isotropic resolution diffusion tensor imaging with whole brain acquisition in a clinically practicable time. Hum Brain Mapp 2002; 230: 216–230.

Leemans A, Jeurissen B, Sijbers J. ExploreDTI: a graphical toolbox for processing, analyzing, and visualizing diffusion MR data. 17th Sci Meet Int Soc Magn Reson Med Honolulu 2009; 245: 3537.

Leemans A, Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. Magn Reson Med 2009; 61: 1336–1349.

Jones DK, Basser PJ. "Squashing peanuts and smashing pumpkins": how noise distorts diffusion-weighted MR data. Magn Reson Med 2004; 52: 979–993.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, Froudist WH, Bizzä A, Dell’Acqua F, Allin M, Walshie M et al. Atlasising location, asymmetry and inter-subject variability of white matter tracts in the human brain with MR diffusion tractography. Neuroimage 2011; 54: 49–59.

Catani M, Dell’Acqua F, Vergani F, Malik F, Hodges H, Roy P et al. Short frontal lobe connections of the human brain. Cortex 2012; 48: 273–291.

Catani M, Thiebaut de Schotten M. Atlas of Human Brain Connections. Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2012.

Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TEJ, Johansen-Berg H et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. Neuroimage 2004; 23(Suppl 1): S208–S219.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, Cohen L, Anemiyio E, Braga LW, Dehaene S. Learning to read improves the structure of the arcuate fasciculus. Cereb Cortex 2014; 24: 989–995.

Dienes Z. Using Bayes to get the most out of non-significant results. Front Psychol 2014; 5: 1–17.

Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods 2007; 39: 175–191.

Murphy DGM, Beecham J, Craig MC, Ecker C. Autism in adults. New biological findings and their translational implications to the cost of clinical services. Brain Res 2011; 1380: 22–33.

Schumann CM, Blos CS, Carter Barnes C, Wideman GM, Carper RA, Akshoomoff N et al. Longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study of cortical development through early childhood in autism. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 4419–4427.

Jacquemont S, Coe BP, Hersch M, Duyzend MH, Krumm N, Bergmann S et al. A higher mutational burden in females supports a “female protective model” in neurodevelopmental disorders. Am J Hum Genet 2014; 94: 415–425.

Kreiser NL, White SW. ASD in females: are we overestimating the gender difference in diagnosis? Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev 2014; 17: 67–84.

Cheslack-Postava K, Jordan-Young RM. Autism spectrum disorders: toward a gendered embodiment model. Soc Sci Med 2012; 74: 1667–1674.

Chugani DC. Pharmacological intervention in autism: targeting critical periods of brain development. Clin Neuropsychiatry 2005; 2: 346–353.

Li AA, Baum MJ, McIntosh LJ, Day M, Liu F, Earl Gray L. Building a scientific framework for studying hormonal effects on behavior and on the development of the sexually dimorphic nervous system. Neurotoxicology 2008; 29: 504–519.

Skuse DH. Imprinting, the X-chromosome, and the male brain: explaining sex differences in the liability to autism. Pediatr Res 2000; 47: 9–16.

Baron-Cohen S, Lutchmaya S, Knickmeyer R. Prenatal Testosterone in Mind. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2004.

Auyeung B, Baron-Cohen S, Ashwin E, Knickmeyer R, Taylor K, Hackett G. Fetal testosterone and autistic traits. Br J Psychol 2009; 100: 1–22.

Auyeung B, Taylor K, Hackett G, Baron-Cohen S. Foetal testosterone and autistic traits in 18 to 24-month-old children. Mol Autism 2010; 1: 11–18.

Lombardo MV, Ashwin E, Auyeung B, Chakrabarti B, Taylor K, Hackett G et al. Fetal testosterone influences sexually dimorphic gray matter in the human brain. J Neurosci 2012; 32: 674–680.

Volman I, Toni I, Verhagen L, Roelofs K. Endogenous testosterone modulates prefrontal-amygdala connectivity during social emotional behavior. Cereb Cortex 2011; 21: 2282–2290.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017