Agitation in Dementia: Real-World Impact and Burden on Patients and the Healthcare System
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Abstract.

**Background:** At least 90% of patients with dementia experience behavioral or neuropsychiatric symptoms including agitation, psychotic symptoms, apathy, depression, and sleep disturbances. Agitation has been reported to be experienced by 60% of patients with mild cognitive impairment and 76% of patients with Alzheimer’s disease.

**Objective:** We aimed to assess the impact of agitation in patients with dementia on healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) and healthcare costs.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective analysis of physician-reported patient data from a point-in-time survey. Patients included were aged \(\geq 50\) years, with early cognitive impairment or dementia. Agitated and non-agitated patients were compared. Regression analyses assessed the relationship of agitation score (calculated from number/severity of agitation symptoms) with outcomes, with covariates including age and Mini-Mental State Examination score. Sensitivity analyses compared patients with 0 and \(\geq 2\) agitation symptoms following propensity score matching on the base-case covariates.

**Results:** Data were included for 1,349 patients (agitated, \(n=693\); non-agitated, \(n=656\)). Based on regression analyses, agitation score was correlated with proportion of patients with professional caregivers \((p<0.01)\), institutionalized \((p<0.01)\), hospitalized in a psychiatric ward \((p<0.05)\), and receiving an antipsychotic/antidepressant \((p<0.001)\); number of consultations with a healthcare professional (HCP), psychiatrist, or psycho-geriatrician; number and cost of hospitalizations \((p<0.01)\); cost of HCP consultations \((p<0.001)\); and total direct healthcare costs \((p<0.001)\). Sensitivity analyses generally supported the base-case analysis.

**Conclusion:** Agitation in dementia is associated with increased HCRU and healthcare costs. Effective therapies are needed to address agitation in dementia, with the potential to alleviate patient impact, HCRU, and healthcare costs.
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INTRODUCTION

Behavioral or neuropsychiatric symptoms are experienced by more than 90% of patients with dementia at some point in the course of their disease [1, 2]; these include agitation, psychotic symptoms (hallucinations and delusions), apathy, depression, and sleep disturbances [3]. The prevalence of agitation has been reported to be 60% in patients with mild cognitive impairment (CI) and 76% in those with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [4]. A systematic review reported that the proportion of patients with agitation...
symptoms increase slightly or remain stable over the course of dementia, but that agitation severity ratings increase over time [5].

Despite the high prevalence of agitation in patients with dementia, its consideration as a distinct entity has been poor [6]. Until recently, there was no widespread agreement on what elements comprise agitation or its definition. In 2015, the International Psychogeriatric Association (IPA) published a provisional consensus on the definition of agitation: 1) occurring in patients with a CI or dementia syndrome; 2) exhibiting behavior consistent with emotional distress; 3) manifesting excessive motor activity, verbal aggression, or physical aggression; and 4) evidencing behaviors that cause excess disability and are not solely attributable to another disorder [7].

Agitation and aggression in AD have been shown to be associated with reductions in cholinergic and serotonergic markers [8]; and while treatments that are known to affect relevant pathways are commonly used, there is currently no Food and Drug Administration-approved pharmacologic treatment for agitation in dementia [9]. Pharmacologic treatments currently prescribed for dementia-associated agitation include antipsychotics, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, anxiolytics, and acetylcholinesterase inhibitors [10]. The 2016 American Psychiatric Association Practice Guidelines recommend a comprehensive personalized treatment plan, including non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic interventions, with antipsychotics recommended when agitation symptoms are severe [9].

Agitation has been reported to adversely affect patient care, increasing the chances of institutionalization and impacting the quality of life of patients [6, 7]. Furthermore, a systematic review of literature revealed a paucity of data on the economic burden of agitation in dementia [11]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the impact and burden of agitation in dementia on patients and the healthcare system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Data source**

This was a retrospective analysis of United States (US) data drawn from the 2015/16 Adelphi Real World Dementia Disease Specific Programme (DSP™), a real-world, point-in-time survey of physicians and their consulting patients.

Full details of the DSP methodology [12] and methods specific to the Dementia DSP [13] have been published previously. In brief, primary care physicians (PCPs) and specialists managing patients with neurodegenerative diseases from across the US were identified from publicly available lists of healthcare professionals (HCPs). They were invited to participate in the DSP™ and were included if they met eligibility criteria [13]. Physicians reported data about their practice, including total patient number under their care and number of CI/dementia patients diagnosed within the past 12 months, and completed a record form for the next nine consecutively consulting patients with CI/dementia.

**Sample selection**

Patients were eligible for inclusion in this analysis if they were aged ≥ 50 years with early CI, AD or mixed dementia (vascular/AD). Patients with dementia of purely vascular origin or due to environmental factors (e.g., traumatic head injury or alcoholism) were excluded.

**Outcomes**

Data provided by physicians included patient demographics, history/diagnosis, CI/dementia symptoms and treatments, concomitant conditions and treatments, presence of a professional or non-professional caregiver, caregiver hours/week, HCP consultation, and hospitalization history.

**Healthcare costs**

Direct medical costs related to professional caregiving, nursing home costs, HCP consultations, hospitalizations, treatment and scans in the previous 12 months were calculated as US$/year, based on reported healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) multiplied by unit costs. Given the lack of nationally representative healthcare costs for the US, unit costs were derived from a number of sources. HCP consultation costs were derived from data reported by the American Academy of Neurology, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services [14–16], the unit cost per hospital stay was taken from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality website [17], cost of scans was drawn from the New Choice Health website [18] and medication costs were derived from the US Department of Veterans Affairs National Acquisition Center cost database [19].
Analysis

Descriptive analyses were performed with patients considered agitated if they displayed $\geq 1$ of seven agitation-related physician-reported symptoms, namely: aggression, disinhibition, wandering, agitation, irritability/lability, aberrant motor behavior, or social interaction problems (thus reflecting elements of the IPA consensus on the definition of agitation [7]); patients were regarded as non-agitated if they demonstrated none of these symptoms.

An overall agitation score was derived based on the same seven symptoms. Physicians rated each symptom as not present (0), mild (1), moderate (2) or severe (3), and scores were summed to provide a score between 0 (no symptoms) and 21 (all severe symptoms). It should be noted that this scoring method assumes all symptoms are of equal consequence and that there is a linear relationship between mild, moderate, and severe symptom severity, and is not a recognized tool for assessing agitation. Multiple regression analyses were conducted with selected outcomes as the dependent variable and agitation score as the main independent variable of interest. Other regression covariates adjusted for were age, gender, time since diagnosis, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, and the number of activities of daily living (ADLs) with which they needed help. Standard errors in regressions were adjusted for possible correlation within reporting physician. The type of regression model varied according to the type of outcome of the dependent variable, with logistic regression performed for binary outcomes, negative binomial regression for frequency counts, linear regression for numerical outcomes, and generalized linear modeling with log link and gamma family for cost data (Supplementary Table 1).

Analyses were repeated using propensity score methods as a sensitivity test. Patient were grouped according to the presence of agitation symptoms, with patients having 0 symptoms considered not agitated, and those having $\geq 2$ symptoms considered agitated. Groups were matched on age, gender, time since diagnosis, MMSE score, and number of ADLs needing help with. The propensity score was estimated using a logistic regression model with 1:1 matching, with replacement and allowing for ties. Balance was assessed by calculating standardized mean differences (SMDs); an SMD between $-10\%$ and 10\% (not inclusive) was taken to be indicative of adequate balance. The treatment effect was computed by taking the average of the difference between the observed and potential outcomes for each patient. The Abadie-Imbens standard error, and the corresponding test statistic and $p$-value were calculated. All analyses were conducted in Stata v16.0 [20].

Ethics

Data collection was undertaken in line with European Pharmaceutical Marketing Research Association guidelines and as such it does not require ethics committee approval or participant consent. Each survey was performed in full accordance with relevant legislation at the time of data collection, including the US Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act 1996 and Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act legislation.

RESULTS

Physicians

A total of 150 physicians contributed to the dementia DSP database, including 20 (33\%) PCPs, 59 (39\%) neurologists, 20 (13\%) geriatricians, 20 (13\%) psychiatrists, and 1 (0.7\%) psycho-geriatrician. The majority of physicians (88; 59\%) were both hospital- and office-based, with 61 (41\%) physicians based exclusively at a hospital and 1 (0.7\%) at an office.

Patients

Data were analyzed for 1,349 patients; 693 (48.6\%) patients displayed $\geq 1$ symptom of agitation and 656 (51.4\%) patients displayed no agitation symptoms. Agitated patients were slightly older than non-agitated patients and were less likely to be Caucasian and working. Fewer agitated than non-agitated patients were living with their spouse/partner or alone, and a slightly higher proportion of agitated than non-agitated patients lived in a nursing home due to their dementia. Patients experiencing agitation tended to be at a more severe stage of dementia, as perceived by the physician, than those not experiencing agitation, and the most recent MMSE score was lower for agitated than non-agitated patients (Table 1).

Two symptoms of agitation (agitation and irritability/lability) were reported for $>40\%$ of agitated patients. The least commonly reported symptom ($<10\%$ of agitated patients) was aberrant motor behavior. No symptom was reported to be severe in $>10\%$ of patients (Table 1).
| Patient demographic and clinical characteristics | Total (N=1,349) | Non-agitated (N=656) | Agitated (N=693) | p      |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Age, y                                         |                |                      |                 |        |
| n                                              | 1349           | 656                  | 693             | 0.0307 |
| Mean (SD)                                      | 75.5 (9.0)     | 75.0 (8.8)           | 76.0 (9.1)      |        |
| Range                                          | 50, 90         | 50, 90               | 50, 90          |        |
| Sex, n (%)                                     |                |                      |                 |        |
| n                                              | 1346           | 655                  | 691             | 0.3254 |
| Male                                           | 625 (46)       | 295 (45)             | 330 (48)        |        |
| Female                                         | 721 (54)       | 360 (55)             | 361 (52)        |        |
| Ethnicity, n (%)                               |                |                      |                 |        |
| n                                              | 1347           | 654                  | 693             | 0.0014 |
| White/Caucasian                                | 976 (73)       | 500 (77)             | 476 (69)        |        |
| African American                               | 186 (14)       | 70 (11)              | 116 (17)        |        |
| Hispanic/Latino                                | 99 (7)         | 51 (8)               | 48 (7)          |        |
| Other                                          | 86 (6)         | 33 (5)               | 53 (8)          |        |
| Employment status, n (%)                       |                |                      |                 |        |
| n                                              | 1327           | 644                  | 683             | 0.0007 |
| Working full-time                              | 53 (4)         | 34 (5)               | 19 (3)          |        |
| Working part-time                              | 46 (4)         | 34 (5)               | 12 (2)          |        |
| Homemaker                                      | 129 (10)       | 61 (10)              | 68 (10)         |        |
| Student                                        | 4 (0.3)        | 3 (0.5)              | 1 (0.1)         |        |
| Retired                                        | 1014 (76)      | 480 (75)             | 534 (78)        |        |
| Unemployed                                     | 81 (6)         | 32 (5)               | 49 (7)          |        |
| Hospital status, n (%)                         |                |                      |                 |        |
| n                                              | 1305           | 639                  | 666             | 0.0007 |
| Outpatient                                     | 1226 (94)      | 615 (96)             | 611 (92)        |        |
| Inpatient                                      | 79 (6)         | 24 (4)               | 55 (8)          |        |
| Home circumstances, n (%)                      |                |                      |                 | <0.0001|
| n                                              | 1338           | 650                  | 688             |        |
| Lives with spouse/partner                      | 770 (58)       | 410 (63)             | 360 (52)        |        |
| Lives with other family                        | 284 (21)       | 115 (18)             | 169 (25)        |        |
| Lives alone                                    | 102 (8)        | 70 (11)              | 32 (5)          |        |
| Nursing home                                   | 121 (9)        | 30 (5)               | 91 (13)         |        |
| Sheltered housing                              | 31 (2)         | 10 (2)               | 21 (3)          |        |
| Lives with friends                             | 9 (1)          | 3 (0.5)              | 6 (1)           |        |
| Other                                          | 21 (2)         | 12 (2)               | 9 (1)           |        |
| Reason for living in a nursing home, n (%)     |                |                      |                 | 0.0232 |
| n                                              | 120            | 29                   | 91              |        |
| Dementia                                       | 104 (87)       | 21 (72)              | 83 (91)         |        |
| Other                                          | 16 (13)        | 8 (28)               | 8 (9)           |        |
| Current diagnosis, n (%)                       |                |                      |                 | <0.0001|
| n                                              | 1205           | 585                  | 620             |        |
| MCI                                            | 237 (20)       | 154 (26)             | 83 (13)         |        |
| Amnestic MCI                                   | 13 (1)         | 12 (2)               | 1 (0.2)         |        |
| Pre-dementia AD                                | 84 (7)         | 43 (7)               | 41 (7)          |        |
| Prodromal AD                                   | 49 (4)         | 28 (5)               | 21 (3)          |        |
| AD                                             | 649 (54)       | 271 (46)             | 37 (61)         |        |
| Early onset AD                                 | 106 (9)        | 54 (9)               | 52 (8)          |        |
| Mixed vascular dementia/AD                     | 61 (5)         | 19 (3)               | 42 (7)          |        |
| Other                                          | 6 (1)          | 4 (1)                | 2 (0.3)         |        |
| Physician-perceived dementia stage, n (%)      |                |                      |                 | <0.0001|
| n                                              | 1340           | 651                  | 689             |        |
| MCI                                            | 250 (19)       | 177 (27)             | 73 (11)         |        |
| Mild                                           | 473 (35)       | 270 (42)             | 203 (30)        |        |
| Moderate                                       | 482 (36)       | 183 (28)             | 299 (43)        |        |
| Severe                                         | 135 (10)       | 21 (3)               | 114 (17)        |        |
| Most recent MMSE score                         |                |                      |                 | <0.0001|
| n                                              | 1116           | 542                  | 574             |        |
| Mean (SD)                                      | 20.5 (5.7)     | 22.0 (5.4)           | 19.2 (5.7)      |        |
| Range                                          | 0, 30          | 0, 30                | 0, 30           |        |

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

|                                | Total (N=1,349) | Non-agitated (N=656) | Agitated (N=693) | p    |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|------|
| **Agitation symptom severity** |                |                      |                  |      |
| Aggression, n (%)              |                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 511 (73.7)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 75 (10.8)        |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 77 (11.1)        |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 30 (4.3)         |      |
| Disinhibition, n (%)           |                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 567 (81.8)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 47 (6.8)         |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 57 (8.2)         |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 22 (3.2)         |      |
| Wandering, n (%)               |                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 526 (75.9)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 82 (11.8)        |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 53 (7.6)         |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 32 (4.6)         |      |
| Agitation, n (%)               |                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 373 (53.8)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 119 (17.2)       |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 162 (23.4)       |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 39 (5.6)         |      |
| Irritability/Lability, n (%)   |                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 403 (58.2)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 105 (15.2)       |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 155 (22.4)       |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 30 (4.3)         |      |
| Aberrant motor behavior, n (%)|                |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 628 (92.1)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 24 (3.5)         |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 22 (3.2)         |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 9 (1.3)          |      |
| Social interaction problems, n (%)|            |                      |                  |      |
| None                           | N/A            | N/A                  | 422 (62.3)       | <0.0001 |
| Mild                           |                |                     | 94 (13.6)        |      |
| Moderate                       |                |                     | 121 (17.5)       |      |
| Severe                         |                |                     | 46 (6.6)         |      |
| Agitation symptoms severity score |                |                      |                  |      |
| Mean (SD)                      | N/A            | N/A                  | 3.6 (3.1)        | <0.0001 |
| Range                          |                |                      | 1, 21            |      |

*aSeven physician-reported symptoms (including agitation) were considered to be indicative of agitation, based on the IPA definition of agitation*. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; IPA, International Psychogeriatric Association; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; SD, standard deviation.

**Caregiver presence**

Physician-reported data on caregiver presence were available for 1,341 patients; 442 (67.8%) non-agitated and 594 (86.2%) agitated patients required a caregiver (professional or non-professional); of these, 100 (15.3%) non-agitated patients and 248 (36.0%) agitated patients needed a professional caregiver.

Regression analysis showed no difference in the proportion of patients with a caregiver based on agitation score (p = 0.329), but the proportion of patients with a professional caregiver increased with increasing agitation score (p = 0.006).

Sensitivity analysis using ≥ 2 symptoms to define an agitated patient, following propensity score matching, confirmed that agitated patients were more likely than non-agitated patients to have a professional caregiver (p = 0.015).

**HCRU**

Based on descriptive data, physicians reported agitated patients requiring more hours/week of caregiving (professional or informal/non-professional) than non-agitated patients. The number of consultations
Table 2

Impact of agitation on HCRU

|                          | Total (N=1,349) | Non-agitated (N=656) | Agitated (N=693) | p       |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Non-professional caregiver time/week, hours |                 |                      |                  |         |
| n                        | 1253            | 609                  | 644              | <0.0001 |
| Mean (SD)                | 40.8 (58.4)     | 33.3 (54.2)          | 48.0 (61.4)      |         |
| Range                    | 0, 280          | 0, 280               | 0, 224           |         |
| Professional caregiver time/week, hours |                 |                      |                  |         |
| n                        | 1321            | 646                  | 675              | <0.0001 |
| Mean (SD)                | 19.1 (47.5)     | 10.6 (36.7)          | 27.2 (54.7)      |         |
| Range                    | 0, 175          | 0, 168               | 0, 175           |         |
| PCP consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  |         |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              | 0.0201  |
| Mean (SD)                | 2.1 (2.2)       | 2.0 (1.8)            | 2.2 (2.5)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 30           | 0, 13                | 0, 30            |         |
| Neurologist consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.3 (1.5)       | 1.1 (1.3)            | 1.5 (1.7)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 10           | 0, 6                 | 0, 10            |         |
| Geriatrician consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 93               |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.6 (1.6)       | 0.4 (1.2)            | 0.8 (1.9)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 12           | 0, 12                | 0, 12            |         |
| Psychiatrist consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.8 (2.1)       | 0.5 (1.5)            | 1.1 (2.5)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 20           | 0, 15                | 0, 20            |         |
| Psycho-geriatrician consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.1 (0.9)       | 0.0 (0.3)            | 0.2 (1.2)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 16           | 0, 4                 | 0, 16            |         |
| Memory specialist consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | 0.7591  |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.0 (0.3)       | 0.0 (0.2)            | 0.0 (0.3)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 5            | 0, 4                 | 0, 5             |         |
| Total HCP consultations in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 5.4 (4.9)       | 4.3 (3.0)            | 6.5 (6.0)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 52           | 0, 29                | 0, 52            |         |
| Institutionalized |                 |                      |                  |         |
| n (%)                    | 1336            | 649                  | 687              | <0.0001 |
| n (%)                    | 103 (7.7)       | 21 (3.2)             | 82 (11.9)        |         |
| Hospitalizations for any reason in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | <0.0001 |
| n                        | 1349            | 656                  | 693              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.3 (0.7)       | 0.2 (0.6)            | 0.4 (0.9)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 10           | 0, 6                 | 0, 10            |         |
| Hospitalizations relating to CI in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | 0.0012  |
| n                        | 1316            | 631                  | 685              |         |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.1 (0.4)       | 0.0 (0.3)            | 0.1 (0.4)        |         |
| Range                    | 0, 6            | 0, 6                 | 0, 6             |         |
| Spent time on psychiatric ward in previous 12 months |                 |                      |                  | 0.3762  |
| n                        | 1316            | 631                  | 685              |         |
| n (%)                    | 5 (0.4)         | 1 (0.2)              | 4 (0.6)          |         |

CI, cognitive impairment; HCP, healthcare practitioner; PCP, primary care physician; SD, standard deviation.

with all types of HCPs in the 12 months prior to data collection was higher for agitated than non-agitated patients, although the number of memory specialist consultations was very low for both groups of patients and did not differ between agitated and non-agitated patients. A higher proportion of agitated than non-agitated patients was institutionalized and more hospitalizations, for any cause or due to CI, were reported for patients demonstrating agitation symptoms compared with those not demonstrating
agitation symptoms in the 12 months prior to data collection (Table 2).

A higher proportion of non-agitated than agitated patients were currently receiving no treatment, while a higher proportion of agitated than non-agitated patients were receiving acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, memantine, antipsychotics, antidepressants, and benzodiazepines (Table 3).

Based on regression analysis (Fig. 1), the level of agitation experienced by patients was observed to be associated with the use of a number of healthcare resources. Within the 12 months prior to data collection, as agitation score increased, so did the number of consultations with psychiatrists \((p = 0.001)\), psycho-geriatricians \((p < 0.001)\), and all HCPs \((p < 0.001)\). The proportion of patients institutionalized increased with increasing agitation score \((p = 0.004)\). The number of hospitalizations in the 12 months prior to data collection increased with increasing agitation score, whether for any reason or for CI \((p = 0.001)\). The likelihood of spending time on a psychiatric ward in the previous 12 months was also associated with agitation score \((p = 0.035)\). The proportion of patients receiving no treatment decreased with increasing agitation score \((p = 0.016)\), while the proportion of patients receiving either an antipsychotic or an antidepressant increased with increasing agitation score \((p < 0.001)\).

On propensity score analysis, the number of consultations in the past 12 months with psychiatrists \((p = 0.024)\), psycho-geriatricians \((p = 0.006)\) and all HCPs \((p < 0.001)\) was higher in agitated than non-agitated patients. Propensity score analysis also indicated a higher proportion of agitated than non-agitated patients was institutionalized \((p = 0.019)\), and that the proportions of patients receiving antipsychotics \((p = 0.016)\), antidepressants \((p < 0.001)\), and benzodiazepines \((p = 0.017)\) were all higher in agitated than non-agitated patients.

**Healthcare costs**

Mean (standard deviation) total direct healthcare costs in the 12 months prior to data collection were $9,243 ($17,725) for non-agitated patients and $20,041 ($26,495) for agitated patients (Supplementary Table 2).

Based on regression analysis (Fig. 2), the level of agitation experienced by patients was observed to be associated with the cost of hospitalizations \((p = 0.003)\), HCP consultations \((p < 0.001)\), scans \((p < 0.001)\), and total direct healthcare costs \((p < 0.001)\) in the 12 months prior to data collection. The costs of professional caregiving \((p = 0.880)\), nursing homes \((p = 0.528)\), and treatment \((p = 0.266)\), however, were not correlated with agitation score.

Propensity score sensitivity analysis indicated that the cost of professional caregiving, HCP consultations and scans in the previous 12 months were higher in agitated than non-agitated patients when agitation was defined as \(\geq 2\) symptoms \((p < 0.001)\).
Fig. 1. Impact of agitation score on HCRU – regression analysis. CI, confidence interval; HCP, healthcare professional; IRR, incidence rate ratio; OR, odds ratio.
Fig. 1. (Continued)
Fig. 2. Impact of agitation score on healthcare costs – regression analysis. CI, confidence interval; GLM, generalized linear modeling; HCP, healthcare professional.
DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated the incremental impact and burden of agitation in patients with dementia on patients, HCRU, and healthcare costs using real-world US data. Patients showing symptoms of agitation were perceived by their physicians to be at a more severe stage of dementia and were more likely to be diagnosed with AD rather than mild CI compared with patients without agitation symptoms. This reflects findings reported in the literature, including observational studies from the US, the Netherlands, Australia, and Japan, showing agitation to be associated with dementia severity [21–24].

There was clear evidence of agitation adding to the presence of a caregiver (professional or informal/non-professional), with the proportion of patients with a caregiver and the number of caregiving hours higher in agitated than non-agitated patients, and the proportion of patients with professional caregivers correlated with agitation score.

We also observed HCRU and healthcare costs in patients with dementia to be associated with agitation. The total number of consultations with all HCPs, psychiatrists, and psycho-geriatricians in the previous 12 months were correlated with the agitation score. The likelihood of being institutionalized was more than three times higher in agitated than non-agitated patients, and the proportion of institutionalized patients increased with increasing agitation level. The number of hospitalizations (for any cause or due to CI) in the previous 12 months was related to agitation score, as was the proportion of patients who spent time on a psychiatric ward.

There are a few published studies on the impact of agitation on HCP consultations, institutionalizations, or hospitalizations in patients with dementia. A cross-sectional study of patients in nursing homes in the Netherlands indicated that agitation might increase consultations with psychiatrists, as we observed, as agitation was reported to be the primary reason for a psychiatric consultation [25]. Agitation in adults aged 71 years with CI was also shown to be associated with a significantly increased risk of institutionalization in a Japanese study [26].

We observed the likelihood of receiving no treatment was lower in agitated than non-agitated patients and decreased with increasing agitation score, that more agitated than non-agitated patients were receiving treatment with acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, memantine, antipsychotics, antidepressants, and benzodiazepines, and that the proportion of patients receiving an antipsychotic or antidepressant increased with increasing agitation score. There is limited evidence of efficacy and a risk of adverse effects for pharmacologic agents in the management of agitation symptoms in dementia; consequently, drug therapy should be considered only if symptoms are severely distressing for patients or caregivers [9, 27]. However, a Cochrane review reported the antidepressants sertraline and citalopram to be associated with a reduction in symptoms of agitation when compared to placebo [28]; meanwhile, brexpiprazole, an atypical antipsychotic, has been shown to have the potential to improve agitation in AD compared with placebo [29]. A sequential treatment algorithm with risperidone, aripiprazole or quetiapine, carbamazepine, citalopram, gabapentin, and prazosin has been proposed [30].

We observed increasing healthcare costs to be associated with increasing agitation. Cross-sectional data from a large patient cohort from eight European countries showed a significant difference in cost of care between dementia patients experiencing and not experiencing agitation, in both home care and institutional long-term care settings [31]. A prospective cohort study of data from UK patients with AD showed health and social care costs to vary significantly between patients with and without agitation, with the authors calculating an excess cost associated with agitation of £2 billion/year for all AD patients in the UK [32]. An analysis of a population-based sample of patients with dementia in the US also showed an increase in agitation/aggression led to increased costs of informal care [33].

Given the association of agitation with more severe/advanced dementia that we observed, and that has been reported in the literature [21–24], it might be speculated that underlying disease severity is driving the higher HCRU and healthcare cost that we noted in patients with agitation. However, as both disease severity (assessed by the MMSE) and time since diagnosis were covariates in the regression analyses, it would appear that the presence of agitation is an independent factor in the higher economic burden seen in the group of patients experiencing agitation symptoms.

Our study had a number of potential limitations. The DSP data collection included the next nine consecutively consulting patients, resulting in a pseudo-random, rather than truly random, study sample. As with all surveys of this type, the methodology relied on accurate reporting by physicians; missing data were to be expected and may have influenced results.
This was a point-in-time rather than a longitudinal survey and data may have been used to assess the association between factors but not causality. The survey was restricted to physician office and hospital settings, excluding residential care facilities; more severe dementia cases might not have been included in the physicians’ consulting practices, and hence would not have been reflected in this sample. It is likely that healthcare costs varied by region, but costs were calculated based on a number of national data sources; this might have resulted in the impact of agitation on healthcare costs being underestimated. In our analysis, agitation was defined to reflect elements of the IPA consensus on the definition of agitation [7] as closely as possible given this was a retrospective analysis, and therefore utilized data available in the data source. The inclusion of additional symptoms, or the exclusion of any of the symptoms used in our analysis, might result in the re-classification of some patients from agitated to non-agitated, or vice versa. Finally, it is important to consider that the agitation scoring method gave equal weighting to all symptoms and assumed a linear relationship between mild, moderate, and severe symptom severity. While acknowledging these limitations, a substantial body of data from a representative population of almost 1,500 patients with varying degrees of dementia in the real-world setting in the US was included in the analysis, providing valuable insight into the incremental burden of agitation in dementia.

Our data demonstrated an association between agitation in patients with dementia with increased HCRU and healthcare costs. There remains a largely unmet need for effective therapeutic interventions to address neuropsychiatric symptoms, including agitation, in dementia, with the potential to alleviate patient suffering and societal costs.
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