Bilateral compressive optic neuropathy secondary to sphenoid sinus mucocele mimicking bilateral retrobulbar neuritis
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Abstract:
Sphenoid sinus mucoceles (SSMs) can rarely cause acute bilateral vision loss. We, hereby, report such a rare case of SSM in a 20-year-old female who presented with sudden onset bilateral diminution of vision. The best-corrected visual acuity was 20/400 in the right eye (RE) and hand movements in the left eye (LE). Both eyes had sluggish pupillary reactions. Both eyes had normal fundus. Initial treatment was started with a provisional diagnosis of bilateral retrobulbar neuritis, but imaging revealed a large SSM compressing bilateral optic nerves. The patient underwent urgent surgical decompression. Vision in RE improved to 20/20 and LE to 20/400. A high index of clinical suspicion for compressive lesions is needed in all cases of atypical optic neuritis.
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INTRODUCTION
Paranasal sinus mucoceles are encapsulated benign cystoid lesions with respiratory epithelial lining. They are most prevalent in the frontal and ethmoidal sinuses.[1,2] Sphenoid sinus mucoceles (SSMs) are of rare occurrence comprising of only 1%-2% of paranasal sinus mucoceles. The most common presenting symptoms in SSM are frontal or retro-bulbar pain, visual impairment, ocular palsy (3rd and 6th cranial nerve palsy), diplopia, proptosis, and endocrine abnormalities secondary to pituitary gland dysfunction.[1,3] Bilateral acute vision loss in SSM has been reported very rarely. Diagnosis is often missed in such cases and requires a high clinical suspicion index to ensure early imaging and surgical intervention.[3-5] We, hereby, report such a rare case of bilateral SSM masquerading as bilateral retrobulbar neuritis with unilateral complete visual recovery following surgical intervention.

CASE REPORT
A 20-year-old female presented to us with a history of sudden-onset gross diminution of vision in the left eye (LE) for 9 days and right eye (RE) for 5 days duration. Visual loss was associated with headache and bilateral mild retro-orbital pain at the onset. No history suggestive of sinusitis, nasal discharge, or any other systemic or ocular comorbidities could be elicited. On examination, the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 20/400 in RE and hand movements in LE. Pupils were 4 mm in size with sluggish reactions in both eyes. Anterior segment examination was normal in both eyes. Fundus examination in both eyes showed normal optic disc (no pallor, hyperemia, or edema) and normal macula [Figures 1a and b]. A provisional diagnosis of bilateral retrobulbar neuritis was made. Intravenous methylprednisolone 1 g daily was given for 3 days, but there was no improvement of vision. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) studies including anti-aquaporin-4 and anti-myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies were normal. Contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a normal study of the brain and spine. However, MRI images of the orbit and the paranasal sinuses (PNS) revealed a mucocele of the sphenoid sinus causing a direct compression on bilateral optic nerves in the optic canals [Figure 2a and b]. An immediate
They have varied clinical features. Our case was unique in terms of sudden onset bilateral visual impairment which is rare in the case of a SSM. Optic nerve involvement in paranasal sinus mucoceles can be due to optic neuritis (direct inflammation spread to the optic nerve sheath) or due to compressive optic neuropathy. Compressive optic neuropathy in an SSM can again be due to direct compression of the enlarging mucocele on the optic canal or due to disruption or compromise of the blood supply to the optic nerve. In our case, the visual loss was secondary to bilateral compressive optic neuropathy. Compressive optic neuropathy classically presents with gradual progressive visual loss. However, our patient had sudden onset bilateral diminution of vision. Literature review on SSM has shown that compressive optic neuropathy secondary to SSM can rarely present with acute vision loss-unilateral or bilateral as seen in our case.

The patient was initially treated as retrobulbar neuritis based on features such as acute visual loss, preceding history of fever, retrobulbar pain; sluggish pupillary reactions, and normal fundus. However, bilateral presentation and no apparent clinical response to intravenous steroids suggested the possibility of atypical optic neuritis or an alternative diagnosis. Normal MRI study of the brain and spine and normal CSF studies ruled out demyelinating aetiologies such as multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica, etc. However, MRI images of PNS and orbit confirmed the diagnosis of a SSM. Findings of this case, therefore, highlight the importance of maintaining a high index of clinical suspicion for compressive lesions in all cases of optic neuritis with atypical features. Early imaging and early intervention can prevent irreversible vision loss in such cases. Optic neuropathy secondary to compressive lesions seldom recovers if intervention is delayed by more than 7–10 days. Treatment of a large compressing mucocele involves decompression and marsupialization or surgical removal of the mucocele. Prompt surgical decompression can ensure complete recovery of vision as seen in the RE of our patient. However, other factors such as preoperative visual acuity (VA), duration of compression, and degree of compression on the optic nerve also determine the final visual prognosis. This may explain poor visual recovery in the LE due to poorer preoperative VA and longer duration of compression compared to the RE.

Systemic steroids in a mucocele may be beneficial in reducing any associated tissue edema in the optic canal. Steroids can also treat any associated optic neuritis. However, they can flare up any underlying occult infection. Steroids should, therefore, be withheld in any case of suspected infective etiologies. In our case, intravenous steroids were initially administered for 3 days before the surgical intervention. This was followed by a course of oral steroids in tapering doses. Although steroids did not cause any clinical improvement in vision initially, the course of steroids would have probably prevented any further worsening of vision by reducing edema in the optic canal secondary to compression. However, it is prudent to always perform imaging first in all cases of suspected optic neuritis and rule out any compressive lesion before starting systemic steroids.

In conclusion, compressive optic neuropathy secondary to SSM can occur, albeit, rare. Prompt diagnosis by imaging and timely intervention can prevent irreversible vision

**DISCUSSION**

SSM is quite rare. Although rare, they often pose a serious threat due to misdiagnosis and proximity to vital structures of the brain, orbit, cavernous sinus, carotid arteries, pituitary gland, and the optic nerve. They have varied clinical features including headache, orbital pain, visual disturbance, visual field defect, cranial nerve palsies secondary to cavernous sinus involvement, endocrine abnormalities, proptosis, etc., Most of the visual symptoms are unilateral. Our case was unique in terms of sudden onset bilateral visual impairment which is rare in the case of a SSM. Optic nerve involvement in paranasal sinus mucoceles can be due to optic neuritis (direct inflammation spread to the optic nerve sheath) or due to compressive optic neuropathy. Compressive optic neuropathy in an SSM can again be due to direct compression of the enlarging mucocele on the optic canal or due to disruption or compromise of the blood supply to the optic nerve. In our case, the visual loss was secondary to bilateral compressive optic neuropathy. Compressive optic neuropathy classically presents with gradual progressive visual loss. However, our patient had sudden onset bilateral diminution of vision. Literature review on SSM has shown that compressive optic neuropathy secondary to SSM can rarely present with acute vision loss-unilateral or bilateral as seen in our case.

The patient was initially treated as retrobulbar neuritis based on features such as acute visual loss, preceding history of fever, retrobulbar pain; sluggish pupillary reactions, and normal fundus. However, bilateral presentation and no apparent clinical response to intravenous steroids suggested the possibility of atypical optic neuritis or an alternative diagnosis. Normal MRI study of the brain and spine and normal CSF studies ruled out demyelinating aetiologies such as multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica, etc. However, MRI images of PNS and orbit confirmed the diagnosis of a SSM. Findings of this case, therefore, highlight the importance of maintaining a high index of clinical suspicion for compressive lesions in all cases of optic neuritis with atypical features. Early imaging and early intervention can prevent irreversible vision loss in such cases. Optic neuropathy secondary to compressive lesions seldom recovers if intervention is delayed by more than 7–10 days. Treatment of a large compressing mucocele involves decompression and marsupialization or surgical removal of the mucocele. Prompt surgical decompression can ensure complete recovery of vision as seen in the RE of our patient. However, other factors such as preoperative visual acuity (VA), duration of compression, and degree of compression on the optic nerve also determine the final visual prognosis. This may explain poor visual recovery in the LE due to poorer preoperative VA and longer duration of compression compared to the RE.

Systemic steroids in a mucocele may be beneficial in reducing any associated tissue edema in the optic canal. Steroids can also treat any associated optic neuritis. However, they can flare up any underlying occult infection. Steroids should, therefore, be withheld in any case of suspected infective etiologies. In our case, intravenous steroids were initially administered for 3 days before the surgical intervention. This was followed by a course of oral steroids in tapering doses. Although steroids did not cause any clinical improvement in vision initially, the course of steroids would have probably prevented any further worsening of vision by reducing edema in the optic canal secondary to compression. However, it is prudent to always perform imaging first in all cases of suspected optic neuritis and rule out any compressive lesion before starting systemic steroids.

In conclusion, compressive optic neuropathy secondary to SSM can occur, albeit, rare. Prompt diagnosis by imaging and timely intervention can prevent irreversible vision.
loss. Neuroimaging should, therefore, be routinely advised in retrobulbar neuritis with an atypical presentation to rule out compressive optic neuropathy. Furthermore, a multidisciplinary approach involving the ophthalmologist, otolaryngologist, and radiologist is needed in such a scenario to ensure prompt intervention and appropriate management.[2,5,7]
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