Production of fast-charge Zn-based aqueous batteries via interfacial adsorption of ion-oligomer complexes
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Aqueous zinc batteries are attracting interest because of their potential for cost-effective and safe electricity storage. However, metallic zinc exhibits only moderate reversibility in aqueous electrolytes. To circumvent this issue, we study aqueous Zn batteries able to form nanometric interphases at the Zn metal/liquid electrolyte interface, composed of an ion-oligomer complex. In Zn||Zn symmetric cell studies, we report highly reversible cycling at high current densities and capacities (e.g., 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\); 2.6 mAh cm\(^{-2}\)). By means of quartz-crystal microbalance, nuclear magnetic resonance, and voltammetry measurements we show that the interphase film exists in a dynamic equilibrium with oligomers dissolved in the electrolyte. The interphase strategy is applied to aqueous Zn||I\(_2\) and Zn||MnO\(_2\) cells that are charged/discharged for 12,000 cycles and 1000 cycles, respectively, at a current density of 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and capacity of approximately 0.85 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). Finally, we demonstrate that Zn||I\(_2\)-carbon pouch cells (9 cm\(^2\) area) cycle stably and deliver a specific energy of 151 Wh/kg (based on the total mass of active materials in the electrode) at a charge current density of 56 mA cm\(^{-2}\).
Reversible electrodeposition of metals has recently re-emerged as an area for fundamental and applications-oriented studies, reviving interest in rechargeable batteries that use metal anodes, such as Lithium (Li), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), zinc (Zn), and aluminum (Al)\(^1\). A large body of evidence now exists that uneven, mossy, and dendritic deposits, hinder reversibility in both expected (e.g., limiting battery lifetime through internal shorting) and un-expected (e.g., weakening the connections between deposits and the current collector to promote electronic isolation) ways. In either case, the result is that less of the active metal in the anode is available for charge storage, and consequently few, if any, of the metal anodes under consideration live up to their potential for achieving cost-effective and energy-dense storage\(^3\).

These findings have in turn renewed interest in understanding root causes and the fundamental transport and electrochemical processes that promote mossy deposition and dendrite formation over different ranges of current density, \(i\). In the low current density region—characterized as current densities well-below the classical diffusion-limited value, \(i_d = 4F\epsilon c_i L\)—classical transport-based theories that invoke hydrodynamic instability\(^4\) are not relevant. Here \(i_d\) is the limiting current; \(D\) is the cation diffusion coefficient; \(c\) the salt concentration in the electrolyte solution (assume to be dilute concentration: \(\leq 0.05\) M); \(L\) is the space between physically separated electrodes confined in the same cell; and \(F\) is Faraday constant. Thus, for \(i < i_d\), two processes are thought to be most responsible for non-uniform, wire-like and mossy deposition: (1) uneven electric field lines at irregular deposit features (e.g., bumps, edges, etc.), which promote growth of non-planar wire-like/mossy/dendritic structures\(^5\); and (2) uneven charge transport through interphases formed on the metal, leading to preferential nucleation and growth of metal deposits along particular directions. A serious consequence of the second process is that stripping of metal from underneath deposits coated by a non-uniform solid electrolyte interphase, can selectively remove portions of a non-planar deposit near its roots, which promotes breakage and electrochemical disconnection of the deposits (i.e., metal orphaning). This result in high anode irreversibility\(^6\), which typically means the reversible cycling of metal anodes is limited to a few cycles. All of these processes remain at high current density, \(i \rightarrow i_d\), and are augmented by the hydrodynamic instability termed electroconvection, which is driven by an ion-depleted, extended space charge layer that extends away from the electrode surface into the bulk\(^7\). For fundamental reasons discussed elsewhere\(^8\), electroconvection favors localized deposition on a spectrum of length scales and recent studies, including numerical simulation and experimental observation\(^9\), show that strong “electroconvection” accelerates growth of non-planar deposit morphologies formed by other processes (Fig. 1a). A consequence is that operation of metal anode batteries is generally limited to the regime \(i < i_L\;4,16–22\), which is a barrier to their use in electric grid and fast-charging electrified transportation applications where a long-term stability at high current densities is demanded.

Aqueous zinc batteries have recently been reported to provide excellent model systems for understanding interfacial processes in all batteries that employ metal anodes\(^23\). They also promise cost and performance advantages that are attractive from an applications perspective\(^24,25\). We note however that, notwithstanding the very high \(D\) values in aqueous electrolytes, the highest current density achieved in state-of-the-art Zn cells\(^4\) are still substantially below \(i_d\) (\(\leq 40\) mA cm\(^{-2}\)). A number of strategies, including deployment of viscoelastic liquid electrolytes\(^7\) and field-induced crystallographic reorientation\(^8\) of Zn deposits have been reported to show potential for suppressing electroconvection and achieving primary smooth, uniform metal electrodeposition at high current densities. These effects are conventionally discussed in terms of coupling between electroconvection (which produces a spatially non-uniform cation flux at the electrode) and secondary flows produced by polymer elasticity or viscous fluid flow produced by electrode motion, either of which can produce counter flows that render the cation flux to the interface more uniform\(^26,27\). Local Joule heating has been reported as another important strategy to achieve smooth electrodeposition at high current density\(^28\). Results from linear stability analysis and direct numerical simulations from a number of groups, show that the Damköhler number \(Da \equiv i_d/i_L\), the dimensionless ratio of exchange current \(i_d\) at the electrode to the diffusion-limited current \(i_L\) in the electrolyte determines the propensity for metals to deposit in largely planar/compact or non-planar/non-compact morphologies\(^14,26,27,29\). Here we make specific reference to findings from recent direct numerical simulations of coupled electro-hydrodynamics, transport, and interfacial reaction of metals at planar interfaces\(^14,27\), which show that as \(Da\) decreases, the lateral gradient of the surface potential creates a lateral ion flux that drives cations away from high cation concentration regions, meaning that the ion velocity \((V_\lambda)\) parallel to the electrode surface increases, while the velocity perpendicular \((V_\perp)\) decreases (Fig. 1b). This finding is in qualitative accord with reports from direct tracer particle visualization experiments\(^30\), and can be interpreted more simply in terms of a competition between kinetic processes in the following manner: by allowing arriving metal ions sufficient time to redistribute at the electrode surface before they are reduced, low \(Da\) values are advantageous for obtaining a smooth electrodeposition morphology, irrespective of the current density. The fast reaction kinetics of metal electro-reduction and large volume change at a metal anode required for practical battery operation are fundamental, un-resolved barriers.

**Fig. 1** Ion movement mechanisms at a cation-selective interphase. **a** Schematic illustrating ion movement pattern at high current density. As the Damköhler number, \(Da \geq 1\), the body force exerted on electrolyte near a cation-selective interface drives a hydrodynamic instability known as “electroconvection” drive the growth of dendrite. The flat gray plate represents Zn plate. The dark gray agglomerates represent Zn dendrite. The yellow balls represent Zn\(^{2+}\). The red dashed lines and black arrows indicate the movement direction of cations at irregular deposit features (e.g., bumps, edges, etc.). **b** However, as \(Da \leq 0.0025\), interfacial ion movement can be well regulated. The loose red layer represents nano-adsorption layer of ion-oligomer complex. The blue segments represent ion-oligomer complexes. The blue arrow represents the adsorption/desorption process of the ion-oligomer complex. The flat gray plate represents Zn plate. The dark gray flat plate represents uniform Zn deposits. The yellow balls represent Zn\(^{2+}\). The red dashed lines and black arrows indicate the movement direction of cations at low \(Da\). **c** Illustration of the ion movement at the anode and cathode side with designed ion-oligomer electrolytes. The dark gray flat plate represents Zn anode side. The dark blue flat plate represents cathode side. The loose red layers represent nano-adsorption layer of ion-oligomer complex at both anode and cathode sides. The red dashed lines and black arrows indicate the movement direction of cations at electrode surface under low \(Da\).
for creating interphases that support sufficiently low \( \frac{D}{a} \) at metal anodes in liquid electrolytes, as well as for maintaining such low \( \frac{D}{a} \) values over long cell lifetimes and under conditions where the anode volume changes significantly during cycles of charge and discharge (Fig. 1b).

Here we report that dynamic, nanometer-thick, ion-oligomer interphases in situ formed on a Zn metal anode inside a single-cell battery provide a powerful and versatile approach for suppressing non-planar deposition by suppressing the full set of instabilities (chemical, morphological, and hydrodynamic) (Fig. 1b). The approach is stable during long-term operation (>10,000 cycles) of an unusually long-time scale (>1000 h). We show further that the spontaneously formed nanolayers enable highly reversible Zn electrodes at current densities as high as 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\) in aqueous electrolytes, which is at the same order of magnitude of the limiting current density (\( i_L \approx 10^{−2} \text{mA cm}^{-2} \)), and about four times higher than the highest values reported for aqueous Zn electrodes. When paired with conversion cathodes such as I\(^2\)/activated carbon, we demonstrate that the dynamic polymer adsorption/desorption processes at the electrode/electrolyte interface. To evaluate this hypothesis, we take advantage of the following three well-known effects to create and study adsorption of Zn\(^{2+}\)-halide ions in the bulk electrolyte to create the complex, while remaining soluble. The second requirement is that the Zn\(^{2+}\)-halide ion-oligomer complex dynamically adsorbs onto the surface by the process mentioned above.

**Zn\(^{2+}\)-halide ion-oligomer design and adsorption.** We designed and studied the structure of ion-oligomer complexes in aqueous electrolytes formed between Zn\(^{2+}\)-halide ion complexes and a polyethylene oxide (PEO) oligomer of average molecular weight 300 Da. Aqueous electrolytes solutions based on three zinc halide salts (ZnX\(_2\) (X = Cl, Br, I)) were prepared and the results compared with an aqueous ZnSO\(_4\) reference electrolyte solution. The structure of the solvated Zn halide complexes deduced from Raman vibrational spectroscopy of the electrolytes (Supplementary Fig. 1) are consistent with previous reports\(^{34–36}\) and show that structure of the initial ion complexes formed by Zn salts in aqueous media varies substantially from salt to salt. For ZnSO\(_4\) electrolyte, octahedral [Zn(H\(_2\)O\(_4\))\(_2\)]\(^2−\) is the dominant ionic complex in solution (Supplementary Fig. 2a); in ZnCl\(_2\) electrolyte, tetrahedral [ZnCl\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)], in which Zn ions bond with two water molecules and two chloride ions, are the main ionic states (Supplementary Fig. 2b); in ZnI\(_2\) electrolyte, in addition to the stable complexes [ZnI\(_4\)]\(^2−\) (in which Zn only bonds with iodide ions in aqueous media), several unstable complexes [ZnI\(_2\)]\(^−\), [ZnI\(_3\)]\(^−\) and [ZnI\(_4\)]\(^+\) are also present in large proportions (Supplementary Fig. 2c); in ZnBr\(_2\) electrolyte, the ion complexes states combine the structure of ZnCl\(_2\) and ZnI\(_2\) electrolyte, [ZnBr\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)], [ZnBr\(_3\)]\(^−\), [ZnBr\(_4\)]\(^−\), [ZnBr\(_5\)]\(^+\) and [ZnBr\(_6\)]\(^+\) coexist and the ratio of these ion complexes varies as the concentration of ZnBr\(_2\) change (Supplementary Fig. 2d). The different initial ion complex states result from the different anion steric structures (SO\(_4\)\(^{2−}\) and halide ions) and electronegativity (Cl, Br, I). The LUMO potential of all solvation ions was given by simulation (Supplementary Fig. 3), which explains the different reaction kinetics (exchange current) in the following sections.

Long-chain PEO (M\(_N\) > 3000) shows a great potential to regulate Zn electrodeposition due to several reasons\(^{37}\): (1) PEO can increase the viscosity of the electrolyte that has a great influence on the ion mobility; (2) due to the interfacial electrode adsorption of PEO, visualization experiments show that the ion velocity \( V_x \) highly suppressed while \( V_y \) increases (Fig. 1b) that may result from the relaxation and contraction of long polymer chain. On the basis of these results, we choose to study polyethylene glycol with a molecular weight of 300 g mol\(^{-1}\) (PEG300). This polymer is of interest because it is easily dissolved in aqueous Zn salt electrolytes to form ion-oligomer complexes. We observed different ion-oligomer complex structures when PEG300 is introduced to the four aqueous Zn salt-based electrolytes.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was used to first understand the ion-oligomer complexes formation in aqueous electrolytes containing Zn salts and PEG300. It is known that the deshielding effect results in the left shift of \( ^1H \) peak in NMR spectra, therefore, the \( ^1H \) peak in the PEG backbone (Supplementary Fig. 4) reflects the complexes’ stability of PEG300, Zn\(^{2+}\), and halide ions. In 5% PEG300 + ZnSO\(_4\) electrolyte, the \( ^1H \) peaks of PEG300 shift to the far right, indicating the strong shielding effect here, which increases as the concentration of ZnSO\(_4\) increases (Fig. 2a). We assume that it’s the hydrogen bond between Zinc solvation ions, water molecules, and PEG300 that results in this kind of effect, and further predicts the bond model (Fig. 2a). To evaluate our hypothesis, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and ab initio calculations are used to understand the hydrogen bonding effect. In FTIR (Supplementary Figs. 5–7), the
ratio between the absorbance intensity of Peak c and Peak d can reflect the level of hydrogen bond cooperativity. The value of Peak c/Peak d decreases as 5% PEG is added into the DI water, meaning that much higher hydrogen bonds cooperativity and the interaction between water molecules and PEG is stronger (Supplementary Fig. 6). 1 M ZnSO₄ salts can further decrease the Peak c/Peak d value, which can be explained by the formation of Zn solvation ions (Supplementary Fig. 6). An intense decrease of Peak c/Peak d value is observed in the 5% PEG300 + 1 M ZnSO₄ electrolyte, verifying that hydrogen bond is the main factor that facilitates the PEG300–H₂O–[Zn(H₂O)₆]²⁺ complex (Supplementary Fig. 6). Ab initio calculations further confirms the complex formation model (Supplementary Table 1). However, a different shift trend of the PEG300 ¹H peak is observed in Zn halide electrolytes. For ZnCl₂ + 5% PEG electrolytes (Fig. 2b), the shielding effect (peak shift) is not as strong as that of ZnSO₄ electrolyte, indicating lower hydrogen bond cooperativity that can be further confirmed by the increase of Peak c/Peak d value (Supplementary Fig. 6). Nevertheless, the reverse shifting trend (de-shielding effect) in ZnI₂ + 5% PEG electrolytes indicates a different bonding effect between PEG and the unstable complex [ZnI₃]⁻, [ZnI₂]⁻ and [ZnI]¹⁺, in which no water molecules take part in the ion-oligomer complex formation (Fig. 2c) and the Peak c/Peak d value highly increases (Supplementary Fig. 7). Such a behavior can be explained by the lower electronegativity and higher polarization of iodine atoms, resulting in a more uniform electron distribution and less partial charge at both Zn and I. Compared to the highly polarized Zn-Cl bond, the [ZnI₃]²⁻ complex is less prone to bond with free water (a strong dipole), but prefers bonding with the oxygen atom on PEG, whose partial charge is stabilized by the carbon backbone. The above mechanism is verified in ab initio simulations (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). For ZnBr₂ + 5% PEG electrolytes, shielding effect is observed initially (shift to the right) and then overwhelmed by the de-shielding effect (shift back to the left), indicating the ion-oligomer formation effect changes as the concentration of ZnBr₂ increases (Fig. 2d). Bromine has an intermediate electronegativity and polarization compared to chlorine or iodine, and thus demonstrates a mixed behavior of both. On the basis of the ¹H spectrum of NMR results (Fig. 2), hydrogen bond peaks of FTIR (Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7), we deducted the complex structure for the Zn halide + PEG electrolytes: (1) PEG·H₂O·[ZnCl₂(H₂O)₂] complex in ZnCl₂ electrolyte (Fig. 2b); (2) PEG·[ZnI₃]⁻, [ZnI₂]⁻ and [ZnI]¹⁺ complexes in ZnI₂ electrolyte (Fig. 2c); (3) PEG·H₂O·[ZnBr₂(H₂O)₂], PEG·[ZnBr₃]⁻, [ZnBr₂]⁻ and [ZnBr]¹⁺ complexes in ZnBr₂ electrolyte (Fig. 2d). All these models are consistent with the ab initio calculations (Supplementary Figs. 8a, b and 9).

The influence of the ion-oligomer complexes on interfacial polymer adsorption was studied using a quartz-crystal microbalance (QCM), ellipsometry, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). QCM (Fig. 3a, b and Supplementary Fig. 10a–d) is very sensitive to the interfacial polymer adsorption process and can be used to characterize the amount, thickness, reversibility, and interfacial physical properties of the adsorbed polymer layer. We employed three electrolyte flowing steps protocol. First, flowing the blank 1 M ZnSO₄ aqueous electrolyte solution or Zn halide electrolyte solution to establish the effect of salts adsorption on the frequency shift. Second, 5% PEG300 + 1 M ZnSO₄ or Zn halide electrolytes flow through QCM sensor, we can observe the frequency change (Δf) and dissipation change (ΔD) (“dissipation” is the sum of all energy losses in the flowing
system per oscillation cycle) which can be related to physical properties of the polymer adsorbate (including thickness, viscosity, and modulus) as well as the viscosity increment produced in the electrolyte bulk. Finally, after the stabilization of the second step, we re-flow the blank 1 M ZnSO₄ aqueous electrolyte solution or Zn halide electrolyte solution. In this step, if the frequency returns to the value in the first step, the polymer adsorption in the second step is considered reversible.

Analysis of the QCM experiments revealed a number of important features of the interphases formed by polymer adsorption: (1) Ion-oligomer adsorption is a reversible process since the frequency and dissipation values plummet to zero when the flowing fluid is switched back to the initial solution (1 M ZnSO₄ or 1 M ZnX₂), not containing polymer; (2) as shown in Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 10e, the average thickness is related to the interfacial coverage, meaning the thicker the adsorbate layer, the greater the coverage. The designed complex of ZnCl₂–halide ions–PEG₃₀₀ complex. Figure 4a–c, Supplementary Fig. 12a–d and Supplementary Table 4 show that much lower interfacial impedance is observed in electrolytes containing ZnI₂ and that these values are reduced slightly in electrolytes containing PEG oligomer additives. These results are consistent with our previous report³³, which showed the strong interfacial adsorption of unstable iodide and bromide ion complexes facilitate interfacial ion transport. Our earlier results showed further that these complexes are stabilized by adsorption to PEG oligomers, which we believe results in the reduced interfacial impedance observed (Fig. 4d).

Effect of the ion-oligomer complex layer on the Zn electrodeposition. Figure 5 reports the effect of the ion-oligomer complex layer on the Zn electrodeposition process. First, we interrogate the effect of the adsorbed polymer on the exchange
current density (Fig. 5a, b and Supplementary Fig. 13). In all electrolytes studied, the PEG is seen to markedly lower \( i_0 \) (Fig. 5b), which we attribute to ion-oligomer adsorption, which can increase the de-solvation energy of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) by the aforementioned mechanism. Next, limiting current densities extracted from the current–voltage \((i–v)\) curve (Supplementary Fig. 14) can further reflect the interfacial ion diffusion kinetics. Zn halide + PEG electrolytes show much higher limiting current densities than \( \text{ZnSO}_4 + \text{PEG} \) electrolytes (Fig. 5c), which results from the strong interfacial adsorption of Zn-halide ion complexes (such as \([\text{ZnI}_3^{-}\])\(^{33}\), further supporting the speculation that halide ions can improve the interfacial adsorption of ion-oligomer complex. Based on these results, we can combine the influence of reaction kinetics and diffusion kinetics by \( D_a \) (\( D_a \equiv i_0/\nu \)). Previous numerical simulations\(^{14,27}\) show that when \( D_a \) reaches a critical low value of 0.0025, a uniform ion flux parallels \((\nu)\) at the electrode surface can be achieved. As shown in Fig. 5d, \( D_a \) values below this theoretical threshold are observed in \( \text{ZnBr}_2 + \text{PEG300} \) \((D_a \approx 0.0033)\) and \( \text{ZnI}_2 + \text{PEG300} \) \((D_a \approx 0.0028)\) electrolytes. Chronoamperometry experiments were performed to more directly correlate the transient current response and electro-deposition morphology under conditions approaching the transport limiting current range. The inflection in the current versus time \((i–t)\) plots for the pure Zn salt and \( \text{ZnSO}_4 + \text{PEG} \) electrolytes is associated with the Sands time (Fig. 5e), indicative of the time-scale required for the space charge to develop and mixing due to formation of electroconvection rolls to begin\(^5\). The inflection disappears in \( \text{ZnX}_2 \) \((X = \text{Cl, Br, I}) + \text{PEG} \) electrolytes (Fig. 5f–h). Significantly, smooth and uniform Zn deposits were observed in the \( \text{ZnBr}_2 + \text{PEG} \) and \( \text{ZnI}_2 + \text{PEG} \) electrolytes (Fig. 5i–l), even at current densities in the classical over-limiting electrokinetic regime \((-2.1 \text{ V vs AgCl/Ag})\). Our finding that the Zn electrodeposits morphology is uniform even at the high voltages used in the study implies that it should be possible to create stable Zn batteries able to operate at high current densities as discussed in the following section.

Assembly and testing of aqueous Zn metal lab-scale cells. To evaluate the reversibility of Zn deposits in our designed ion-oligomer electrolytes at the high current density, we measured the electrochemical cycling performance of Zn anodes in Zn||Zn carbon cloth half-cell. The plating/stripping Coulombic efficiency (CE %) of Zn deposits in each cycle reveals the ratio between the amount of metal that can be stripped and the amount that is plated in the previous cycle. Figure 6a and Supplementary Fig. 15a show the reversible Coulombic efficiency (CE %) for a long time cycling at current density 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and areal capacity 2.6 and 1.1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). Compared with \( \text{ZnI}_2 \) (Supplementary Fig. 15b), \( \text{ZnSO}_4 + \text{PEG300} \) (Supplementary Fig. 16a) and \( \text{ZnCl}_2 + \text{PEG300} \) electrolytes (Fig. 6a), \( \text{ZnBr}_2 + \text{PEG300} \) and \( \text{ZnI}_2 + \text{PEG300} \) electrolytes (Supplementary Figs. 16b, 17, and 18), show more stable and higher CE (99.2% for \( \text{ZnBr}_2 \) and 99.5% for \( \text{ZnI}_2 \), respectively) and better reversible cycling performance (5000 cycles at 2.6 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) and 8000 cycles at 1.1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\)). It is worth noting that the stable reversible cycling performance at a current density of 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\) was achieved on a Zn anode (Fig. 6).

Benefiting from the long cycle life of the Zn anode at high current density (160 mA cm\(^{-2}\)), fast-charge aqueous batteries can be produced using \( \text{ZnI}_2 + \text{PEG} \) electrolytes. For \( \text{ZnI}_2 + \text{PEG} \) electrolyte, we choose active carbon (AC) as cathode, which can provide sufficient adsorption site\(^{33}\) for the oxidation product \( I_3^- \rightarrow I_2^- + 2e^- \). Here, to compensate for the relatively low

Fig. 4 Interfacial resistance of 1M ZnSO\(_4\) and 1M ZnX\(_2\) (X = Cl, Br, I) aqueous electrolytes containing 5 wt% PEG300. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of (a) 1M ZnSO\(_4\) and ZnX\(_2\) (X = Cl, Br, I) aqueous electrolytes; (b) 1M ZnSO\(_4\) and ZnX\(_2\) aqueous electrolytes containing 5 wt% PEG300. Inset reports results from EIS analysis of Zn in a 1M ZnI\(_2\) transport limiting current range. The in deposition morphology under conditions approaching the directly correlate the transient current response and electro-
reaction rate at the cathode side, we designed an intermittent charging method for Zn||I₂(AC) full cell: charging 10 s at 160 mA cm⁻², resting 10 s to enable the interfacial ion equilibrium and then charging another 10 s. As shown in Fig. 6c, voltage increase can be observed in both charging stages, while the voltage drop during the 10-s rest is minimal, demonstrating stable charging behavior. During the discharging process, a stable discharge plateau at 8 mA cm⁻² proves the effectiveness of this charge process and the stability of the electrode at ZnI₂⁺PEG electrolytes. Significantly, Zn||I₂(AC) full cell with 1 M ZnI₂⁺5% PEG electrolytes shows stable cycle performance: at a charge current density of 160 mA cm⁻² and discharge current density of 8 mA cm⁻², we achieved a specific areal capacity of 0.88 mAh cm⁻² over 12,000 cycles (Fig. 6d), benefiting from the stable Zn electrodeposition and intermittent charging method (Supplementary Fig. 19). Importantly, the designed 1 M ZnI₂⁺5% PEG electrolytes and intermittent charging method can also be applied to pouch cells with 20-μm thick zinc foil (Supplementary Fig. 20).

By using ZnI₂⁺5% PEG electrolytes and 20 μm Zn foil, Zn||I₂(AC) pouch cell can be charged at a high current density of 56 mA cm⁻² and run stably over 100 cycles. For pouch cell, we employed a similar intermittent charging method: the pouch cell for 1 min at 56 mA cm⁻², then rest for 10 s, and repeat three times (Fig. 6e). Similar to coin cell, a distinct discharge plateau can be observed with discharge current density of 11 mA cm⁻², proving the effectiveness of our ion-oligomer electrolyte system and intermittent charging method. Notably, a specific energy of 555 Wh kg⁻¹AC at a current of 0.506 A can be achieved based on the mass of AC, and 151 Wh kg⁻¹ can be retained considering the total mass of AC and 20 μm Zn anode (Fig. 6f).

Investigation on the interfacial ion movement mechanism. On the basis of previous simulation results¹⁴,²⁶,²⁷ and our experimental results, we investigated the interfacial ion movement mechanism at the ion-oligomer nanolayer adsorbed on the electrode. Without the ion-oligomer adsorption layer, the high Da value leads to dendrite growth by physical and hydrodynamic instability at high current densities (Fig. 1a). Simulation results show that a viscoelastic polymer coating layer¹⁴,²⁶,²⁷ can regulate the interfacial ion movement by lowering Da (≤ 0.0025). With a small Da, the interfacial ion movement velocity (Vx and Vy) can be regulated as mentioned before and smooth electrodeposition can be achieved. However, considering the mechanical stability of the viscoelastic polymer coating layer, the stagnant coating layer is not suitable for long-term battery cycling. Here, the small Da of “self-healing” dynamic ion-oligomer adsorption layer in ZnBr₂⁺PEG300 (Da ≈ 0.0033) and ZnI₂⁺PEG300 (Da ≈ 0.0028) electrolytes indicate the interfacial ion movement regulation effect also exists here (Fig. 1b). To prove such an effect, we firstly obtained different i–v curves at different concentrations in these four Zn salts (Supplementary Fig. 14). The voltage stability window ΔV extracted from the i–v curve is consistent with the bonding effect and the intensity of the ion-oligomer adsorption layer, proving that the ion movement is influenced by the ion-
oligomer adsorption layer (detailed explanation see Supplementary Note 1).

Furthermore, if the ion movement regulation effect can work well for the Zn anode, the same kind of influence can also work on the intercalation/de-intercalation cathode at high current density (Fig. 1c). Generally, high current density leads to much more serious problems including electrochemical and hydrodynamic instability for intercalation/de-intercalation cathode materials. Hence, we choose layered MnO2 cathode (Supplementary Fig. 21) and ZnBr2 + PEG electrolyte here. The same intermittent charging method for Zn||MnO2 full cell is applied here: charging 10 s at 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\), resting 10 s, and then charging another 10 s. The voltage–capacity curve and X-ray diffraction experiments (Fig. 7a, b) show that without regulation of polymer, Zn\(^{2+}\) is hard to be extracted from MnO2 cathode at super high current density, which should be the main reason that the MnO2 is unable to discharge at blank ZnBr2 electrolyte. However, as shown in Fig. 7c, a slight voltage increase can be observed in both charging stages, demonstrating a potential voltage plateau, while the voltage drop during the 10-s rest is minimal, demonstrating stability. On the other hand, during the discharging process, a stable discharge plateau at 8 mA cm\(^{-2}\) proves the ion movement at cathode sides can also be regulated by the ion-oligomer adsorption layer (Supplementary Fig. 22 and Fig. 7d). Considering the simplicity and effectiveness of the approach, we wondered whether it could be used to enable fast charging of non-aqueous Li-based batteries. Supplementary Fig. 23 reports results showing that the concept is effective for lithium-iodine cells. Specifically, we find that Li||I\(_2\) cell that use LiI and 1% PEG300 in a DOL-based electrolyte, can be charged at current densities as high as 40 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Supplementary Fig. 23a). Compared with the electrolyte systems without PEG300 additive (Supplementary Fig. 23b), the LiI + 1% PEG additive can well stabilize the lithium electrodeposition and lead to high Coulombic efficiency (93%) in Li||I\(_2\) cell over 200 cycles (Supplementary Fig. 23c). However, the results reported still need to be further optimized the ion-oligomer complex and electrolyte solvent for Li. Taken together with the more in-depth results for the Zn system, the Li results nonetheless lead us to conclude that the interfacial ion regulation mechanism achieved by the ion-oligomer nano-adsorption layer is quite versatile.

In summary, we report that controlling the Zn electrodeposition process at high current density (e.g., 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) can be achieved by an interfacial ion-oligomer interphase with small Damkohler number \(Da\). By designing the Zn\(^{2+}\)-halide ion-PEG complex, reversible interfacial ion-oligomer adsorption can meet both the experimental and theoretical requirements (small \(Da\)). Small \(Da\) indicates that the ion-oligomer adsorption layer can effectively regulate the interfacial ion movement, and this kind of ion regulation effect can be applied to both anode and cathode sides. More importantly, a highly reversible Zn anode at a high current density of 160 mA cm\(^{-2}\) enables the fast-charge aqueous...
coin cell with noticeable stability (160 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 12,000 cycles) and fast-charge pouch cell with good cycling performance (56 mA cm\(^{-2}\), over 100 cycles) (Supplementary Fig. 24). Finally, we perform initial proof of concept studies to show that the findings are also relevant for achieving reversible, fast charge characteristics at reactive metal electrodes like Li tested in non-aqueous electrolyte environment.

**Methods**

**Materials.** ZnSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O, ZnCl\(_2\), ZnBr\(_2\), ZnI\(_2\). Polyethylene glycol 300 (PEG300) and Deuterium oxide (D\(_2\)O, 99.999%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. In all, 0.25 mm Zn foil (99.994%) was bought from Alfa Aesar; 0.1 mm Zinc foil (99.999%), AC, DOL, LiNO\(_3\), LiTFSI and LiI were bought from Sigma. Chemicals are used as-is without any modifications. For 20-μm Zinc foil, 0.1 mm Zinc foil was rolled many times until reaching the desired thickness. Deionized water was obtained from Milli-Q water purification system. The resistivity of the deionized water is 18.2 M\(\Omega\). ZnSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O, ZnCl\(_2\), ZnBr\(_2\), ZnI\(_2\) and ZnCl\(_2\), ZnBr\(_2\) and ZnI\(_2\) were dissolved in deionized water to prepare 0.05 M, 0.5 M, 1 M electrolyte, respectively. Free-standing interwoven carbon fibers (Plain carbon cloth 1071 HCB) were purchased from Fuel Cell Store.

**Preparation of electrolytes.** For electrodeposition and cell performance characterization, ZnSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O, ZnCl\(_2\), ZnBr\(_2\), and ZnI\(_2\) were dissolved in deionized water to prepare 1 M electrolyte, respectively. 5 wt.% PEG300 was added to the 1 M ZnCl\(_2\), ZnBr\(_2\) and ZnI\(_2\) electrolyte, respectively. For Li-containing non-aqueous electrolyte solution, 2 M LiTFSI, 0.5 M LiNO\(_3\) and 1 M LiI were added to the DOL solvent. For polymer-containing electrolyte, 1 wt% PEG400 was added.

**Electrochemical measurements.** Galvanostatic charge/discharge performance of coin cells were tested on Newark battery test systems at room temperature (25 ± 1 °C). No climatic/environmental chamber was used. Electrochemical studies were performed using CR2032 coin cells. The area of electrodes in this study is 0.3175 cm\(^2\). Half cells were assembled with 0.25 mm Zn foil and carbon cloth and separated by a glass fiber (GF/A, WHA1821047, thickness 0.88 mm, pore size 1 μm). In each cell, ~100 μL electrolyte was added by pipette.

For Li-containing non-aqueous electrolyte solution, 2 M LiTFSI, 0.5 M LiNO\(_3\) + 1% PEG300 and the membrane is Celgard 2400. The cathode side is AC. The Li||I\(_2\)-AC cell was assembled in Ar-filled glovebox. The specific energy of pouch cell was calculated by:

\[
\text{Specific energy (Wh kg}^{-1}\) = \frac{\text{Average discharge voltage (V)} \times \text{discharge capacity (Ah)}}{\text{total mass (kg) active materials on cathode side (Zn electrode)}}
\]

For Li||I\(_2\)-AC cell, all procedures are the same as Zn||I\(_2\)-AC cell. The electrolyte is DOL + 2 M LiTFSI + 0.5 M LiNO\(_3\) + 1% PEG300 and the membrane is Celgard 2400. The cathode side is AC. The Li||I\(_2\)-AC cell was assembled in Ar-filled glovebox.

EIS measurements was performed using a Solartron in a frequency range from 50 to 1 Hz with an AC polarization of 10 mV. The measurements used two-electrode zinc symmetric cells. Overall, 56 points were collected for each test. Before carrying out the EIS measurement, the open-circuit voltage–time applied was 2 h.

For i–v curve, a three-electrode system, including a working electrode made of glassy carbon, a counter electrode made of zinc foils and a reference electrode (AgCl/Ag), was used to measure the i–v curve of these four zinc salts electrolyte with various concentration (0.05 M, 0.5 M and 1 M). The glassy carbon electrode was purchased from Pine Research. The scan rate of all experiments is 5 mV s\(^{-1}\). Limiting current can be extracted from the i–v curve. During the scanning process, no bubbling is observable near the working electrode, which is attributable to the sluggish kinetics of the H\(_2\) evolution reaction in this system.

Exchange current: cyclic voltammetry was performed using a CHI 660E electrochemical workstation and symmetrical zinc cells, in which the glass fiber is
used as a separator. Teflon plots of 1 M ZnSO₄ and various ZnX₂ aqueous electrolytes derived from cyclic voltammetry measurements with a scan rate of 0.2 V s⁻¹. Exchange current density calculated from the Tafel plots.

**Characterization of materials.** For ¹H NMR sample preparation, 25 µL PEG300 was first diluted in 500 µL D₂O, and then desired amount of ZnSO₄·7H₂O, ZnCl₂, ZnBr₂, and ZnI₂ were slowly dissolved in this solution. Various amount of ZnSO₄·7H₂O, ZnCl₂, ZnBr₂, and ZnI₂ were added in 500 µL D₂O with 25 µL PEG300 solution to prepared 0.1 M, 0.5 M, 1 M, 1.5 M, 2 M and 4 M Znₙ polymer electrolytes.

For Ellipsometer experiments, a silicon wafer with a 2 x 2 cm² area was used. For each silicon wafer, we tested three points and get the average thickness. For each kind of electrolyte, we tested three samples (three silicon wafers), which means totally we tested nine times for the PEG adsorption thickness and then extracted the average value.

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy was carried out on Zeiss Gemini 500 Scanning Electron Microscope equipped with Bruker energy dispersive spectroscopy detector to study the electrodeposition morphology of Zn. ¹H NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker AV III HD (500 MHz) spectrometer with a broad band Prodigy cryoprobe and referenced to D₂O solvent shifts (D₂O = 4.78 ppm). Linear sweep voltammetry was performed using a CH 600e electrochemical workstation. Impedance measurements of all electrolytes were measured using frequency–domain dielectric relaxation measurements in the range 10⁻¹–10⁵ Hz using a Novacore Broadband dielectric spectrometer. AFM/FTIR spectra were conducted using a Thermo Scientific spectrometer. Renishaw inVia confocal Raman microscope is used for Raman tests of electrolytes (excitation wave-length: 785 nm).

QCM-D measurements were performed with Q-sense Explorer system (Biolin Scientific AB) at 25 °C. Fundamental frequency and three harmonics (third, fifth and seventh) were recorded simultaneously along with the corresponding dissipation factors. Gold coated AT-cut quartz crystal (fundamental frequency ~4.95 MHz) was purchased from a Q-sense (QX301). Before the experiment, the crystal was cleaned by immersing in 5:1:1 solution of water, ammonia (25%) and deionized water. The crystal was subsequently dried with nitrogen gas and finally UV treated for 10 min in UV/Ozone Procleaner™ (Bioforce, Nanosciences). 1 M PEG₃₀₀ complex adsorption thickness was performed at ALPHA-SE ELLIPSOMETER. The First-principles calculations were based on the restricted Hartree Molecular Electronic Structure System (GAMESS-US), version 2019 R1 patch 1. All studied by ab initio quantum chemistry calculations using the General Atomic and Functionalized model of water (ε = 78.3553) and a gradient convergence tolerance of 1.39 Å. Together with a linear PEG basis set assuming the atomic radius of Zn is 1.39 Å. Descending incremental energy extracted from the ab initio model. An example of our GAMESS header can be found below.

```
$GAMESS header example:
  0 MAXIT = 100 NSTEP = 0000 NSTEP = 0000
  0 GAMESS header example:
```
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All datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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