A case of completely isolated advanced enteric duplication cyst cancer performed partial pancreatectomy
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1. Introduction

Enteric duplication cysts are rare congenital malformation that originate anywhere along the alimentary tract. The more than half of the cases are diagnosed early childhood due to symptoms, abdominal pain, gastrointestinal bleeding, intestinal obstruction, abdominal mass, and so on [1–3]. Duplication cysts commonly have connection to the gastrointestinal tract but the cysts are rarely isolated from gastrointestinal tract [4].

Malignant transformation in isolated duplication cysts is extremely rare. Here we reported a resected case of advanced cancer arising from an isolated enteric duplication cyst surrounding the pancreas that initially diagnosed a mucinous cystic neoplasm (MCN).

This report is a work based on Consensus-based surgical case report guidelines, SCARE criteria [5].

2. Case presentation

A 43-year-old female was admitted to our hospital with a chief complaint of right upper pain and palpable mass. On physical examination, there was slight tenderness and semi-movable mass in the right upper abdomen. Clinical examination did not demonstrate any persistent weak abdominal pain and mass. Her past medical and surgical history was unremarkable. Moreover, Family history and psychosocial history were also not particular.

In abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT), 130 × 100 × 90 mm huge cystic mass was demonstrated in right upper peritoneal cavity. The cyst had thickened wall and many enhanced nodules. Many nodules and the thickened wall were slightly enhanced in early phase (Fig. 1A) and gradually enhanced in delayed phase (Fig. 1B). Gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed that intra-cystic fluid was bleeding or
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mucinous fluid as the intensity was slightly high in T1WI (Fig. 1C), high in T2WI (Fig. 1D), and slightly high in fat suppression T1WI. The thickened wall of the cyst and mural nodules were high intensity in Diffusion-weighted MRI. The findings of connection with pancreatic head suggested a tumor originated from pancreas. The differential diagnoses were MCN, mucinous cystic adenocarcinoma and hemorrhagic cyst. After an extensive discussion in our institute, we planned pancreateicoduodenectomy for this abdominal tumor.

She was taken to the operating room by a surgical oncologist who primarily specialized in pancreatic resections and had been in practice for over 10 years. In surgical findings, this tumor did not attach to gastrointestinal tract and originated from pancreatic head (Fig. 2A). The aspirated fluid was bloody and the cyst had re-increased for short time in operation. In these reasons, we diagnosed the tumor as hemorrhagic cyst and selected partial pancreatectomy because this tumor was resected completely (Fig. 2B). The cystic wall was thickened and elastic soft. No tumoral change found in the surface of cystic wall (Fig. 2C). Post-operative course was good and she was discharged on post-operative day 9. CEA and CA19-9 in intra-cystic fluid were 115,060 ng/ml and 113,373 U/ml.

Final pathology demonstrated that the cystic mass had well-formed cyst wall with an inner mucosal lining, submucosal layer, and muscularis propria as gastrointestinal tract (Fig. 3A–C). As the non-tumoral epithelium in small area was similar to crypt epithelium of the stomach and immunohistochemistry of the non-tumoral mucosa was positive for CK7 (Fig. 3D), negative for CK20 (Fig. 3E), and negative for CDX-2 (Fig. 3F), the pathological finding of non-tumoral tissue was the enteric duplication cyst of gastric type. Adenocarcinoma with moderate to well differentiation invaded to subserosal layer over smooth muscle layer in broad area of the cystic wall (Fig. 4A–C). The invasive cancer cells invaded lymphatic system, venous system, and nervous system. Several lymph nodes resected together besides tumor had no metastasis. The immunohistochemistry of the tumoral mucosa was 50% positive for CK7 (Fig. 4D), positive for CK20 (Fig. 4E), and positive for CDX-2 (Fig. 4F). The final diagnosis was completely isolated advanced enteric duplication cyst cancer because this cyst was not attached to a wall of gastrointestinal tract and adjacent to pancreatic head. Therefore, this cyst was not originated from pancreas head.

During hospital stay in post-operative period, she was counseled that we identified an isolated enteric duplication cyst with advanced cancer. We recommend treatment by S-1 as adjuvant chemotherapy according to the adaptation for criteria of advanced gastric cancer. She had taken S-1 for 1 year without rest of medication and lived for 1.5 year after surgery without any evidence of malignancy.

3. Discussion

Intestinal duplication cyst are rare, seen in approximately 1/100,000 births [6,7]. These cysts can arise from the gastrointestinal tract and have a predilection for the jejunum and ileum [1,8,9]. In rare cases, the duplication cyst can be completely isolated from gastrointestinal tract. These cases were characterized in 10 reports and one case was an isolated intestinal duplication cyst surrounding the pancreatic body [9,10] (Table 1). Malignant formation was reported in only two cases. One was retroperitoneal duplication cyst seen as carcinoma in situ and the other was poorly differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma invading muscularis propria in mid abdominal cavity [11,12]. Our case of advanced cancer with the isolated intestinal duplication cyst was second reported.

The differential diagnoses for intra-abdominal cystic tumor around pancreas are MCN, pancreatic pseudocyst, mesenteric and omental cysts, and so on. In imaging modality, the cystic wall indicated thickened and enhanced partially. As the intra-cystic fluid had seen serous or bloody, the density and intensity were various. Therefore, the definitive diagnosis was difficult and this case was diagnosed with MCN. CEA and CA19-9 level in intra-cystic fluid...
was often high in MCN and IPMN and it was useless to distinguish malignancy [13].

Histologically, in stricture and histomorphology, enteric duplication cysts are similar to normal bowel wall and consist of mucosa, submucosa, muscularis propria and serosa. The mucosal type is reported as gastric and jejunal type by thickness of mucosa and submucosa and structure formation of villi and crypt [8].

As it was not reported treatment for an advanced isolated duplication cyst cancer, there is no standard treatment and surgical resection have been only managed. This case was advanced cancer and we have treated her by adjuvant chemotherapy, S-1 (100 mg/day, 6 week/cycle, 1 year), as advanced gastric cancer. No standard treatment has been established for advanced isolated duplication cyst cancer because of its rarity and variable extent of this disease, and the management would be performed based on one of gastrointestinal tract cancer for the time being.

This case was second report of the isolated intestinal duplication cyst with advanced cancer and performed R0 resection. The

---

**Table 1**

| References | Year | Age | Gender | Clinical Feature | Size (cm) | Site | Mucosal type | Malignancy |
|------------|------|-----|--------|------------------|-----------|------|--------------|------------|
| Kim et al. [14] | 2003 | 28  | M      | Incidental       | Not mentioned | Mesentery of ligament of Treitz | Gastric  | No         |
| Lee et al. [15] | 2010 | 21  | F      | Palpable mass   | 3.5 × 2.5   | Mesentery of jejenum | No epithelial lining | No         |
| Nichols et al. [16] | 2011 | 27  | F      | Abdominal fullness | 9 × 4 × 1 | Mesentery of descending colon | Simple columnar epithelium | No         |
| Metehan et al. [17] | 2011 | 28  | M      | Abdominal pain and palpable mass | 25 × 6 | Mesentery of ileum | Not mentioned | No         |
| Blank et al. [12] | 2012 | 51  | M      | Incidental       | 10 × 4     | Mesentery of ileum | Vili, crypts, numerous mucous cells | Por |
| Pant et al. [18] | 2012 | 1   | M      | Abdominal pain and distension | 8         | Mesentery of ileum | Gastric  | No         |
| Kyriakos et al. [19] | 2013 | 20  | M      | Abdominal pain and fever | 7 × 4     | Lateral region of ascending colon | Not mentioned | No         |
| Park et al. [13] | 2014 | 36  | F      | Abdominal pain | 12 × 8.5 × 6 | Mesentery of ileum | Mixed    | No         |
| Weitman et al. [10] | 2017 | 48  | F      | Abdominal pain | 6.5 × 4.5 × 2.5 | Peripancreas | Jejunal  | No         |
| Faraji et al. [11] | 2017 | 64  | F      | Abdominal pain and fatigue | 6.9 × 6.6 × 6.1 | Retropertioneum | Columnar epithelium with high grade dysplasia | CIS |
| Our case   | 2018 | 43  | F      | Abdominal pain and palpable mass | 13 × 10 × 9 | Peripancreas | Gastric  | Tub2 > pap |

---

**Fig. 3.** The pathological findings of non-tumoral tissue (A–C). Non-tumoral epithelium was similar to crypt epithelium of the stomach. The immunohistochemistry was positive for CK7 (D), negative for CK20 (E), and negative for CDX-2 (F). Bar = 100 μm.

**Fig. 4.** The pathological findings of tumoral tissue (A–C). Adenocarcinoma with moderate to well differentiate invaded to suberosal layer in broad area of the cystic wall. The immunohistochemistry of the tumoral mucosa was 50% positive for CK7 (D), positive for CK20 (E), and positive for CDX-2 (F). Bar = 100 μm.
work indicated the findings of clinical examinations, pathology, and course of treatment.

4. Conclusion

We experienced an extremely rare case of completely isolated advanced enteric duplication cyst cancer. Unique to this case, the preoperative diagnosis was suspect of mucinous cystic neoplasm arising from pancreas head and partial pancreatectomy was performed. However, in the pathological findings, this cyst diagnosed advanced enteric duplication cyst cancer.
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