The Antiquity of the Rhine River: Stratigraphic Coverage of the *Dinotheriensande* (Eppelsheim Formation) of the Mainz Basin (Germany)
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Mammalian fossils from the Eppelsheim Formation (*Dinotheriensande*) have been a benchmark for Neogene vertebrate palaeontology since 200 years. Worldwide famous sites like Eppelsheim serve as key localities for biochronologic, palaeobiologic, environmental, and mammal community studies. So far the formation is considered to be of early Late Miocene age (~9.5 Ma, Vallesian), representing the oldest sediments of the Rhine River. The stratigraphic unity of the formation and of its fossil content was disputed at times, but persists unresolved.

**Principal Findings:** Here we investigate a new fossil sample from Sprendlingen, composed by over 300 mammalian specimens and silicified wood. The mammals comprise entirely Middle Miocene species, like cervids *Diceros elegans*, *Paradicrocerus elegantulus*, and deinotheres *Deinotherium bavaricum* and *D. levis*. A stratigraphic evaluation of Miocene Central European deer and deinother species proof the stratigraphic inhomogeneity of the sample, and suggest late Middle Miocene (~12.5 Ma) reworking of early Middle Miocene (~15 Ma) sediments. This results agree with taxonomic and palaeoclimatic analysis of plant fossils from above and within the mammalian assemblage. Based on the new fossil sample and published data three biochronologic levels within the *Dinotheriensand* fauna can be differentiated, corresponding to early Middle Miocene (late Orleanian to early Astaracian), late Middle Miocene (late Astaracian), and early Late Miocene (Vallesian) ages.

**Conclusions/Significance:** This study documents complex faunal mixing of classical *Dinotheriensand* fauna, covering at least six million years, during a time of low subsidence in the Mainz Basin and shifts back the origination of the Rhine River by some five million years. Our results have severe implications for biostratigraphy and palaeobiology of the Middle to Late Miocene. They suggest that turnover events may be obliterated and challenge the proposed ‘supersaturated’ biodiversity, caused by Middle Miocene superstites, of Vallesian ecosystems in Central Europe.
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Introduction

Since the late 18th century fluvial sediments of the Mainz Basin provide exceptionally preserved mammalian fossils, stimulating substantially the early period of vertebrate palaeontology [1], [2]. The earliest find of a fossil primate in 1820 (femur of *Paidopithex rhanus*, Pohlig 1895) and the description of 19 still valid large mammal species between 1829 and 1834 made localities like Eppelsheim famous worldwide [3]. Because of the common occurrence of deinotheres (Proboscidea) these sediments are known as *Dinotheriensande* [4] and are now defined as Eppelsheim Formation [5], [6], representing the oldest sediments of the Rhine River within the Mainz Basin [7], [6], [8]. It is broadly accepted that the Eppelsheim Formation is of early Late Miocene age (MN9/10, ~9.5 Ma), based mainly on the presence of typical Vallesian species, such as *Hippotherium primumignum*, *Tapiro priscus*, *Acratherium incisivum*, *Thalassictis robusta*, *Macheirodus aphanistes*, *Paranachaortus aegyptius*, and others [9], [10], [11], [6].

Here we describe three cervid antlers, deinothere tooth material, and a silicified wood discovered in the early 1980ies in the locality Sprendlingen (= Steinberg, Napoleonshohe, [12]), directly beneath a layer containing the only known fossil macroflora from the Eppelsheim Formation [13]. We evaluate biostratigraphic significance of Miocene deer, silicified wood, and leaf flora and analyze the latter palaeoclimatically. Our results indicate a Middle Miocene age of this Sprendlingen sample, which raises questions about stratigraphic coverage of the Eppelsheim Formation, and may have important consequences for Miocene cervid diversity, mammalian biostratigraphy, metacommunity studies, and basin evolution including the age of the Rhine River.

Geological Setting

The Sprendlingen sandpits are situated 3 km northeast of Sprendlingen (22 km southwest of Mainz), 250 meters above sea-level on the elevation Steinberg (Napoleonshohe) in the western...
part of the Mainz Basin (Fig. 1). The fossil-bearing section has been described by Meller [13] and is here named Sprendlingen 2 (coordinates N 49° 53’ 04”, E 8° 00’ 37”). It is now covered by rubbish dump. Active outcrops show basically the same sedimentary features 500 meters further east, though. According to Meller [13] and our investigations in the field the siliciclastic succession (Eppelsheim Formation) rests with erosional contact on marls of the Frankfurt Formation [14], rich in minute Hydrobia shells. The upper 25 cm comprise olive-brown, decalcified clay, containing numerous septarian limestone nodules. The base of the Eppelsheim Formation is a 45 cm thick coarse sand to medium-grained gravel with intense impregnation of ferric and manganese oxides. This layer contains all mammalian fossils, as well as the silicified Hydrobia shells. The upper ~8 m of the latter is composed of yellowish to whitish cross-bedded medium to coarse sands with rare fine-grained gravel layers intercalated. It contains layers of reworked clays and silts, as well as limonitic-filled holes of oxidized tree-trunks.

Results

Systematic Palaeontology

Class Mammalia LINNAEUS 1758
Order Artiodactyla OWEN 1848
Suborder Ruminantia COPOLI 1777
Infraorder Pecora LINNAEUS 1758
Family Cervidae GODFUS 1820
Genus Dicrocerus LARTET 1837

Type species: *Dicrocerus elegans* LARTET 1837
Valid species: *Dicrocerus elegans* LARTET 1837

Holotype: not designated
Type locality: Sansan, France
Stratigraphic range: early Middle Miocene, Langhian to Early Serravallian

Material: SSN 12SP2, NHMM P3712

Description. SSN 12SP2 is a right cervid protoantler showing the distal part of the pedicle and a protoburr with two prongs, missing most of the posterior appendage (Figs. 2, 3). The at least 44 mm long pedicle ends distally in a protoburr, clearly separated from the pedicle by an abrupt increase in diameter. Proximally the pedicle has an anteroposterior elongated sub-ellipsoid cross section, evolving distally more oval. The protoantler does not show a modern burr with a clearly defined corona of pearls but a protoburr with two sub-ellipsoid prongs with fine-grained radiating radial crenellations. There is no constriction of the protoantler above the basal plate. The plate itself has a flattened lateral side. Two simple prongs, the anterior being the shorter one, arise about 25 mm above the basis of the basal plate separated by a 15 mm inter-space. An additional small elevation is visible at the lateral side of the base, being less than 10 mm in diameter, and only a few millimeters high. The prongs are inclined medially and laterally enclose an angle of about 155° with the pedicle in anterior and posterior view, giving the protoantler a lateral expansion. The anterior inclination of the basal plate with the pedicle is less with an approximate angle of 75° to 80°. Due to intensive incrustation with iron hydroxide it cannot be cleared, whether the anterior appendage was longer originally or was broken off. The incrustation furthermore does not allow any conclusions on the ornamentation.

NHMM P3712 is also a right protoantler (Figs. 2, 3), but more fragmentarily preserved than specimen SSN 12SP2 and comprises...
Figure 2. Drawings of cervid antlers from Sprendlingen 2 with dimensions measured.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.g002
the distal part of the pedicle, a strongly fragmented protoburr and two very fragmentary prongs. Only a short part of the anterior prong is preserved. The posterior prong was probably larger. Of its length 20 mm are preserved. It shows a sub-triangular cross section. While the transition area pedicle/basal plate is clearly stepped, the posterior prong arises without distinct constriction out of the basal plate, which forms a protoburr. A corona like structure surrounding the basal plate can be reconstructed. The basal plate itself is preserved too fragmentarily to allow a reconstruction of its shape. It is inclined anteriorly and encloses an angle of about 75° with the pedicle. The pedicle shows an ellipsoid anteroposterior elongated cross section. The posterior prong does not show a strong medial inclination in posterior view and encloses an angle of about 175–180° with the pedicle, indicating an only minor lateral expansion. Although poorly preserved and incrusted with iron hydroxide, some of the protoantler’s surface ornamentation composed of intense longitudinal ridges and grooves on the posterior prong and weaker longitudinal lines along the pedicle can still be observed.

**Comparison.** According to size and morphology (Figs. 2, 3) SSN 12SP2, NHMM P3712 should be assigned to *Dicrocerus elegans*. Both protoantlers show a clear separation of the pedicle from the
protoburr, a dichotomy with a longer posterior prong, a laterally inclined basal plate (strongly in SSN 12SP2; only weak in NHMM P3712), and medially inclined prongs (clearly in SSN 12SP2), giving the antler a lateral expansion like in *Dicrocerus elegans* [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21]. Furthermore the specimens have in common with *D. elegans* the long oval cross section of the pedicle [15], [16], the derivation of the prongs immediately out of the protoburr [15], [17], [18], [19] and the presence of an interspace between the two prongs [16], [17], [18]. Measurements of the specimens are in the range of the *D. elegans*, as well [16], [17], [19].

Proantlers SSN 12SP2, and NHMM P3712 clearly differ from similar sized cranial appendages like *Paradicrocerus elegantulus* (ROGER 1898) by a clear dichotomy [22]. Furthermore specimen SSN12SP2 clearly shows a less developed lateral expansion of the basal plate proportionally to the protoantler’s expansion (indicated as well in the fragmentarily preserved NHMM P3712), than observed in *Paradicrocerus elegantulus* by [19]. Miocene cervids with dichotomous protoantlers/antlers like *Actoceras Ginsburg 1984, Procervulus Gaudy 1877, Heteroprox Stehlin 1928 and Euprox Stehlin 1928* differ from the specimens by smaller dimensions and a more delicate habitus [23], [24], [18], [25], [20]. The basal plate in *Euprox* from Steinheim shows an average length of about 30 mm (pers. obs.), while it measures more than 50 mm in length in the here described specimens. The distinct separation of the basal plate from the pedicle forming a protoburr clearly distinguishes SSN 12SP2, and NHMM P3712 from *Procervulus*, lacking a burr generally [24], [25], and *Actoceras, and Heteroprox*, normally missing a pronounced basal plate or a clearly defined burr [26], [17], [18], [25], [19], [20]. In contrast to *Euprox* the specimens have a burr without pearls and distinct constriction above the basal plate [17], [25], but show a derivation of prongs immediately out of a protoburr. Furthermore the basal plate in *Euprox* is less expanded [27], [17], [25]. In contrast to *Euprox* and *Heteroprox* from Steinheim (pers. obs.) the prongs of specimen SSN 12SP2 form a wider angle with the pedicle in anterior view giving the protoantler a larger lateral expansion. In contrast to the here described specimens the pedicle in *Amphiprotocerus Kaup 1833* is widening gradually before ending in a burr [17]. Furthermore the dichotomous furcation is higher above the burr in the latter [17].

**Genus Paradicrocerus Gabunia 1959**

Type species: *Paradicrocerus floresi Gabunia 1959, Paradicrocerus elegantulus* (ROGER 1898)

**Paradicrocerus elegantulus** (ROGER 1898)

*Holotype*: frontal with fragmentary antlers (NMA 795004)

*Type locality*: Statzing, Germany

*Stratigraphic range*: early Middle Miocene, Langhian

*Material*: SSN 12SP3

**Description**. Specimen SSN 12SP3 is a right protoantler, with abrupt pedicle and prongs (Figs. 2, 3). The transitional area pedicle/basal plate shows a gradual expansion. It is not stepped. At least three irregularly prongs arise from the basal plate. The pedicle shows an elongated ellipsoid, the basal plate a rounded lanceloate cross section. The latter is only little inclined medially to the longitudinal axis of the pedicle. A protocoronet is developed as a distinct collar at the median side, comprising several small elevations, whereas on the lateral side the ornamentation is quite weak. The posterior appendage has the largest base and erupts directly out of the protocoronet. Supposedly it comprises two or more prongs evolving very close to each other and splitting more distally. The largest definitely single branch is the anterior one. Its cross section is sub-triangular to sub-rounded. The base of the bifurcation of the two main branches is about 15 mm above the base of the collar like structure. Laterally a third much smaller prong erupts about 10 mm above the protocoronet. As it is broken off rather distally an original length of roughly 15 mm could be estimated. The basal plate forms a wide and shallow plane. Its dorsal surface shows rests of ridge-like structures sub-parallel to its longitudinal axis. Most of the protoantler’s expansion originates from the large size of the antler base, while the prongs contribute only little to it. With an angle of 175° enclosed by the pedicle and the anterior prong no lateral expansion is caused by medial inclination of the prongs. Although abraded, the protoantler’s surface still shows an ornamentation consisting of weak longitudinal lines.

**Comparison.** The specimen shows great affinities to holotypic and paratypes of *Paradicrocerus elegantulus* from Statzing [28], [22]. With this species it has in common the rounded lanceloate cross section of the basal plate, the extension of the protoantler mainly by the basal plate [29], [28], [22], the gradually widening of the pedicle ending in the protoantler base and the possession of more than two dominant prongs [29], [28], [22]. Furthermore general dimensions and habitus of SSN 12SP3 are in the range of the type series (NMA 795004, holotype), NMA 795047, NMA 795041-3, NMA 795046; best consistency with holotype. Especially the shallow but wide basal plate with ridges covering the dorsal surface fit well to observations on the type series, distinguishing specimen SSN 12SP3 furthermore from other Miocene cervids, not showing this feature in burr/protoburr. A protocoronet, as observed in *Paradicrocerus elegantulus* [30], is still recognizable in the specimen even though its surface is slightly abraded. Like in *Dicrocerus* and *Paradicrocerus* the prongs erupt directly out of the basal plate in the specimen and the distal pedicle is laterally compressed [15]. With more than two distinct prongs the antler clearly differs from Miocene cervids with dichotomous protoantlers and antlers like *Actoceras, Dicrocerus, Procervulus, Heteroprox, and Euprox* [16], [17], [24], [18], [25], [19], [20], [21]. Furthermore the furcation and length of the prongs contributes more to the extension of the antler in these species than does the basal plate [16], [17], [24], [18], [25], [19], [21], whereas it is vice versa in the here described specimen, as mentioned above. The gradual widening at the distal part of the pedicle resulting in the basal plate distinguishes the antler furthermore from *Euprox* and *Dicrocerus* both showing a stepped transition area pedicle/burr [16], [17], [25], [19], [20].

The rounded, lanceloate cross section of the basal plate differs from *Dicrocerus* [16], [17], which has a rounded to ellipsoid basal plate shape. In contrast to *Heteroprox, Procervulus*, and lagomerycids [15], [17], [24], [25], [19], [20] the specimen possesses a protocoronet.

**Order Proboscidea Illiger 1811**

**Family Deinotheriidae Bonaparte 1845**

**Genus Deinotherium Kaup 1829**

Type species: *Deinotherium giganteum* Kaup 1829

Valid species: *Deinotherium giganteum* Kaup 1829, *D. cuvieri* Kaup 1832, D. bavaricum von Meyer 1831, D. levis Jourdan 1861, D. gigantissimum Stefanescu 1892 (= D. pravum Eichwald 1835)

**Deinotherium bavaricum** von Meyer 1831

**Lectotype**: right p3 (BSPG AS 1220)

*Type locality*: Georgensgmuend, Bavaria, Germany

*Stratigraphic range*: early Middle Miocene, Langhian to Early Serravallian

*Material*: SSN 12SP 4–11, 13, 14, 16–21, 24, 28–30, 35–39; NHMM P 3688–3692, 3696, 3697, 3700, 3736, 3742, 3863, 3865–3870, 3872, 3876, 3877, 3881, 3886, 3888, 3892, 3895, 3995.

**Deinotherium levis** Jourdan 1861

**Lectotype**: right maxilla (Natural Science Museum, Lyon, ML L.Gr. 962)**
Type locality: La Grive Saint-Alban M, Isère, France
Stratigraphic range: late Middle Miocene, Late Serravallian
Material: SSN12SP 12, 13, 22, 23, 25–27, 31–34; NHMM P 3698, 3699, 3073, 3889.

Tooth morphology of different deinotheres is quite similar and species are mainly distinguished by size [31], [32], [33], [34], [35]. We compare the Sprendlingen 2 deinotheres with well described and rich samples from three different time periods, comprising three species following the taxonomic concept of Ginsburg and Chevrè [34]. For Deinotherium bachani we choose the sample from the Falun de Touraine and Anjou (early Middle Miocene marine sediments representing the Langhian transgression; 15 ± 0.5 Ma; assigned to mammal ‘zone’ MN 5), described by Ginsburg and Chevrè [34]. A representative sample of D. giganteum comes from Montreodon (a late Vallesian MN 10 site) from Hérald, France; ~9.5 Ma), described by Tobien [36]. Stratigraphic intermediate comparative samples comprise specimens either described as D. levius (early Middle Miocene MN 8 sites from France and Germany; La Grive, St. Gaudens, Touron, Massenhauen, Hinterauerbach; 13 to 11.5 Ma; [32], [34]) or as D. giganteum (early Late Miocene/early Vallesian MN 9 sites from Germany and Austria; 11.5 to ~10 Ma; [32], [35]), including the type material from Eppelsheim described by Kaup [37].

The majority of the Sprendlingen 2 teeth (51 out of 66), representing all permanent tooth positions, fall within the range of the small-sized species Deinotherium bacani from the early Middle Miocene (Fig. 4). Sizes of P4, p3, p4, and m1 correspond best to the upper range of the Falun sample. However, 15 teeth (D3, M1, M2, M3, p3, p4, and m2) are significantly larger and fall within variation of the larger sized deinotheres species D. levius and D. giganteum. According to Graf [32] Deinotherium levius and D. giganteum are metrically similar, but can be differentiated by morphologic characters on p3 [32], [38], contra [35]: 244). In the p3 of D. levius metaconid and protoconid are separated like in D. bacani (Fig. 4), whereas they are fused in D. giganteum. The metaconid is shifted slightly posterior and the anterior tubercle is reduced in D. levius in comparison to D. bacani [32], [38], [39]. In the Sprendlingen 2 material all large sized p3 (SSN12SP 31–33) show well separated anterior conids (Fig. 4), a metaconid slightly shifted posterior, and a reduced anterior tubercle. These characters clearly distinguish the specimens from the same sized teeth of D. giganteum, and the smaller sized teeth of D. bacani (Fig. 4). We therefore conclude that beside the small-sized Deinotherium bacani a second, large-sized species is present in Sprendlingen 2, which should be referred to Deinotherium levius, based on the morphology of the p3.

Taphonomy
With iron-hydroxide incrustation also of aborted parts of pedicle and prongs, as well as signs of abrasion the protoconids (especially SSN 12SP2, and NHMM P3712) show indications for redeposition. In his revision of the Dinotheriensand cervids Haupt [17] observed that antlers of Amphiprox anoporus show only little abrasion, whereas those of Euprox furcatus and especially Heteroprox latitii and Dioceros elegans exhibit the most intense evidence for physical reworking.

Sprendlingen 2 deinotherid teeth, regardless their taxonomic attribution, show only minor signs of physical alteration on enamel cusps, although roots are commonly abraded or broken.

The Stratigraphy of Miocene Deer in Central Europe
To evaluate stratigraphic distribution of both Sprendlingen 2 deers, Dioceros elegans and Paradicrocerus elegans, we investigate the stratigraphy of the family Cervidae (excluding Lagomeryx) in Central Europe during the Miocene. This stratigraphic up-date is based on recent advances in Central European chronostratigraphy and mammal biostratigraphy (e.g.: [40], [41], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47], [48]. In total we explored 66 cervid-bearing localities mainly from the North Alpine Foreland Basin and the Central Paratethyan Vienna and Styrian Basins (excluding localities from the Donotheriensande), spanning 9 million years from 10.5 to 9.5 Ma. This comprehensive database provides a far more detailed insight into chronostratigraphy of Central European cervids, well beyond the resolution of the MN-’zonation’.

During the investigated time-period 13 non-Lagomeryx cervid species are known from Central Europe (Fig. 5). The late Early Miocene (late Buriogalian; Ottmaning and Karpattian) is characterized by four species of the genera Procervulus (P. poulcenides, P. dichotomus) and Heteroprox (H. latitii, H. eggeri). At the beginning of the Middle Miocene (Langhian; Early Badenian) Dioceros elegans immigrates, soon followed by Paradicrocerus elegantulus, both coexisting with H. latitii. The rare species Euprox minutus appears in the late Early Badenian. Around the Middle Badenian (or the Early-Late Badenian transition) Paradicrocerus and possibly the last representative of Procervulus disappear, whereas H. latitii and D. elegans still coexist during the earlier part of the Late Badenian. Contemporary with the last occurrence of Paradicrocerus and Procervulus the first representatives of Euprox furcatus immigrate. This species represents the only deer in Central Europe from the late Middle Badenian until the beginning of the Late Miocene (Tortonian, Pannonian). In the early Pannonian a new turnover event occurs, with the replacement of E. furcatus by several immigrants or syntopically evolving species like E. dicranocerus, Amphiprox anoporus, Cervatavius variabilis, Pracoprus loczi, and Lucencia aff. priensis. Stratigraphically younger record is not investigated here, but literature data suggest low cervid diversity (Cervatavius, Pracoprus) from the late Pannonian till the end of the Miocene [49].

Biosтратigraphy of the Sprendlingen Flora
The rich fossil seed and leaf flora discovered above the bone-bearing level was described by Meller [13]. The flora documents a riparian association of a mixed-mesophytic forest, with a dominance of Salix, Ulmus and Betulaeae. Stratigraphically most interesting elements are Taxodium cf. hantkei, Fagus cf. heidingeri, Quercus kubinyi, and Daphnogene polymorpha. This association shows strong similarities (as already noticed by Meller [13] to late Middle Miocene floras from the North Alpine Foreland Basin (NAFB) in Bavaria. In southern Germany these four plant taxa characterize localities such as MassenhAUSEN [50], [51], Achdorf [52], [51], [53], and partly Lerch and Gumpersdorf 2 [54], [55], confined to the late Middle Miocene lithostratigraphic units Obere Serie, Moldanubische Serie, and Südlächischer Vollschotter. In younger lithostratigraphic units like the Hangenbergsrather (terminal Middle Miocene) or the early Late Miocene Kötaburgwald Schotter and Kohlstein Serie in Upper Austria, as well as in the Pannonian of the Vienna Basin the lauraceous taxon Daphnogene has already disappeared [53], [56], [57], [58], [39].

The exact chronostratigraphic position of the lithostratigraphic units Obere Serie, Moldanubische Serie, and Südlächischer Vollschotter is still under investigation. However, their large mammal fauna with Deinotherium levius (= D. aff. giganteum in MassenhAUSEN [32], [50] and Achdorf [52], Euprox furcatus and advanced Listrodont splendens in MassenhAUSEN [50], [60], [61], as well as the lack of hipparionine horses in these localities, clearly indicate an age between 13 and 12 Ma.
Palaeoclimatic Analysis of the Sprendlingen Flora

Based on comparisons with selected, climate sensitive Nearest Living Relatives (NLRs) of the palaeoflora from Sprendlingen, Meller [13] estimated mean annual temperature (MAT) to be between 11–15°C and mean annual precipitation (MAP) to be between 1000 and 1200 mm. Additionally, she estimated January temperatures to be around the freezing point, although she stated that the average temperatures may have been below 0°C for up to three months, and temperatures of the warmest month (WMMT) to be above 22°C. Later, on Uhl et al. [62] estimated MAT to be at 13.6–15.8°C based on the Coexistence Approach [63], utilizing a large number of NLRs.

Here we re-analyzed the palaeoflora using the Coexistence Approach (CoA) with an updated database. Estimates for selected palaeoclimate parameters are presented in Table 1. These data generally support the overall climate interpretation of Meller [13] but for all temperature parameters slightly higher temperatures have been reconstructed with the CoA as compared to the more “intuitive” approach utilized by Meller [13]. MAP is only marginally higher with CoA, and CoA precipitation reconstructions for wettest (P_{wet}), driest (P_{dry}), and warmest (P_{warm}) months suggest a moderate seasonality in precipitation during deposition of the clay lens.

The slightly warmer temperatures, as compared to Meller [13], fit well with the assumed late Middle Miocene age of the flora.

Silicified Wood from Sprendlingen 2

From the same level as the vertebrate remains a single piece of silicified wood has been recovered during excavations. Visible anatomic characters of the wood (Fig. 6) point to an affiliation with Cupressaceae. A more specific affiliation is not possible due to the lack of diagnostic characters. Cupressaceae are also known from the macroflora described by Meller [13], in particular taxa belonging to taxodioid Cupressaceae like *Taxodium* and probably...
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**Table 1.** Selected climate parameters reconstructed with the CoA based on the Sprendlingen flora.

| Climate parameter | CoA          |
|-------------------|-------------|
| MAT [°C]          | 14.1–14.5   |
| WMMT [°C]         | 25.3–26.4   |
| CMMT [°C]         | 0.6–1.7     |
| MAP [mm]          | 1231–1237   |
| \(P_{\text{dry}}\) [mm] | 43–43     |
| \(P_{\text{wet}}\) [mm] | 116–170    |
| \(P_{\text{warm}}\) [mm] | 81–94     |

Mean annual temperature (MAT), temperature of the warmest month (WMMT), temperature of the coldest month (CMMT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), precipitation of the wettest month \(P_{\text{wet}}\), precipitation of the driest month \(P_{\text{dry}}\), precipitation of the warmest month \(P_{\text{wet}}\).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.t001

**Sequoia.** Especially *Taxodium* is an element of the swamp vegetation, and was probably growing near the river banks. Silicified wood has also been reported from other localities, which are assumed to belong to the Eppelsheim Formation [64], but these woods have not been investigated in detail so far and it is thus not clear whether these specimens may represent much older (i.e. Late Palaeozoic) material which has been reworked or not. Wagner [65] mentioned also silicified angiosperm wood from the Dinothiensande, but nothing is known about the sedimentological context of these remains and unfortunately these remains have never been investigated in detail.

**Stratigraphic Distribution of Silicified Wood in the Neogene of Central Europe**

Also the occurrence of silicified Cupressaceae wood within the bone-bearing level directly below the leaf flora in Sprendlingen 2 provides stratigraphic information. The silicification of wood is a permineralization process by silica solution or colloid [66], [67]. Silica saturated waters can be supplied under the influence of volcanic activities or intense silica weathering, which is most amplified under warm and humid climate. In southern Germany, the silicification of wood is a common process from the late Early Miocene up to the early Middle Miocene [68]. This corresponds to the warm and humid Miocene Climatic Optimum [69], [70]. The youngest silicified wood from the NAFB is about 14.5 Ma old, and associated with intensely weathered gravels [68]. Younger Middle Miocene sediments contain fresh feldspar grains (e.g. *Moldanubische Serie*, [71]) and fossil wood is always non-silicified (usually preserved as limonitic-filled holes, like in the middle part of the Sprendlingen 2 section). These data indicate that in Central Europe favorable climatic (weathering) conditions for the silicification of wood may have terminated by the end of the Miocene Climatic Optimum around 14 Ma, when mean annual temperatures in southern Germany decreased from between 18.6 and 20.8°C at around 15 Ma to below 16°C after 14 Ma [72]. Silicified wood in the bone-bearing level therefore indicates an age older than 14 Ma.

The only other period from which silicified wood is known in the source region of the Eppelsheim Formation is the Late Palaeozoic (i.e. the Late Carboniferous and the Early Permian; [73]) but wood from this period does definitively not belong to Cupressaceae as fossils belonging to this family are only known from Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediments (i.e from the Jurassic or even Middle Triassic onwards) [74].

In Eppelsheim, where the type locality of the Eppelsheim Formation is located, only limonitic woods have so far been discovered [75] but Wagner [65] mentioned the occurrence of silicified angiosperm wood from other localities belonging to the Eppelsheim Formation. Unfortunately no further details are known about this material.

**Discussion**

**Biostratigraphy of the Fossil Horizons from Sprendlingen 2**

The lower 150 cm of the Sprendlingen 2 section revealed rich fossil assemblages in two horizons: mammalian fossils and the silicified wood were found in the lowermost 45 cm of coarse sand to medium-grained gravel, leaf and seed flora originate from the upper 80 cm of clay.

Mammal fossils consist of about 300 isolated large mammal teeth and very few (<20) cranial and postcranial bones. A faunal list, including the number of specimens is given in Table 2. The majority of teeth belong to Rhinocerotidae (180 teeth), followed by Deinotheriidæ (70 teeth), and Gomphotheridæ (49 teeth). Amphiroidæ are represented by two teeth and Chalicotheriidæ only by a middle phalange from the third digit of the hand, which is identical in morphology and dimensions to *Anisodon grande* described by Zapfe [76] from Devinska Nova Ves Fissure and Korotkevich and Suliminski [77] from Przeworono 2. Cervids are represented by the described antlers only.

This mammal collection found at the base of the Eppelsheim Formation is exceptional, because no other locality in Europe outside the *Dinothiensande* shows a comparable faunal association. Elsewhere, the individual species recorded in Sprendlingen 2 in one layer, occur in different stratigraphic periods only. This indicates the existence of at least two biostratigraphic levels within this basal-bone-bearing layer. The older adheres both deer species, *Dicerorthus elegans* and *Paradicerorhus elegansulus*, the deinotherid *Deinotherium bavaricum*, the gomphothere *Gomphotherium angustidens*, as well as the silicified *Taxodioxylon* wood. This association is restricted to the early Middle Miocene (Langhian, Early Badenian). In southern Germany and Central Europe in general (but also in France, [78]) these four mammal species co-occur between 15.3 and 14.2 Ma, together with abundant silicified wood. The biostratigraphic position of the gomphothere *Zygalophodon turicensis* and the chalicotherd *Anisodon grande* is somewhat ambiguous because they occur throughout the Middle Miocene. In contrast, both, the deinotherid *Deinotherium levius* and the gomphothere *Tetralophodon longirostris* coexist during the late Middle Miocene. This stratigraphic position is supported by the late Middle Miocene age of the flora from the overlying clays. There is no biostratigraphic indication for a Late Miocene age in the basal layer of the Eppelsheim Formation at Sprendlingen 2.

These results suggest, that at least the lower part of the Sprendlingen 2 section was buried during the late Middle Miocene, whereby reworking older (early Middle Miocene) fluvial sediments containing the typical Langhian/Early Badenian fauna and flora.

**Biostratigraphy of Older Collections from Sprendlingen (Sprendlingen 1)**

Interestingly, beside the here described large fossil sample collected by V. Knorzer and A. and H. Stapf in one fluvial channel deposit during the early 1980ies (Sprendlingen 1), Wagner [65]: 172 mentioned another fossil collection form Sprendlingen, probably destroyed during World War II (Sprendlingen 1). He describes an accumulation deposited likewise in a single fluvial
Figure 5. Stratigraphic distribution of Miocene Cervidae (excluding *Lagomeryx*) in Central Europe. Cervid species documented from the Eppelsheim Formation [17] are shown in bold. Yellow to orange shaded areas display stratigraphic distribution of *Deinotherium* species. Reference to all localities can be found in supplementary Table S2.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.g005

Figure 6. SEM images of the silicified Cupressaceae wood from the Eppelsheim Formation of Sprendlingen 2. A: tracheids (probably latewood) in cross section, in the upper part cell lumina are free of silica, whereas in the lower part of the images both cell walls and lumina have been silicified. B: tracheids with two to three (rarely four) seriate, oppositely arranged pits in radial view, a character typical for several members of the Cupressaceae [103]. C: tracheids with wood rays in radial view. D: close-up of crossfields with 2 pits per field. E: overview of wood with numerous wood rays in tangential view. F: close up of wood rays (each 6 cells high) in tangential view. Anatomical features observable in this specimen point to an affiliation to the (taxodioid) Cupressaceae (cf. [103]), although a more specific determination is not possible.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.g006
channel at the base of the Dinotheriensande. Over 400 teeth and bones excavated on 25 square meters reveal according to Wagner ([79]), whereas diversity drops occur when rainfall intensifying the hydrologic cycle (washhouse climate periods sensu Central Europe (Fig. 7; [70]). Cervid diversity peaks correlate interesting similarities to the regional humidity evolution in Euprox furcatus, Heteroprox larteti and Dicrocerus elegans have been reworked from older deposits.

Moreover, looking in detail on the faunal list from the type locality Eppelsheim, and other localities of this formation ([17], [65], [32], [85], [86], [83], [87], [88], [89], [10], [90], [6], several species can be noticed, which typically occur in the Middle Miocene. These are, besides the proboscidians Deinotherium bavaricum, D. levius, Zygolophodon turicensis and Gomphotherium angustidens, the pigs Hyotherium soenneringi, Conohyus munensis, Parachilotherium huenermanni, and Listrodon splendens, the palaeomercy Palaemeryx eminus, the deers Heteroprox larteti and Euprox furcatus, as well as the crocodile Diplochelydon. Except D. levius, P. huenermanni, L. splendens, and E. furcatus all these taxa co-occur with Dicrocerus elegans and Paradiocerous elegans in the NAFB during the early Middle Miocene. Listrodon splendens and E. furcatus have their First Occurrence Date in Central Europe at ~14.2 Ma in Klein-Hadersdorf ([20], [60], P. huenermanni between 14 and 13 Ma in Breitenbrunn ([89], [41], and own data), and D. levius between 13 and 12.5 Ma in La Grive, and respectively in early Sarmatian sediments of Austria ([91], [34]. These Species (except the rare P. huenermanni) represent a very characteristic association of the late Middle Miocene in Central Europe ([92], [91].

Based on these data we therefore can differentiate at least three biochronologic levels within the large mammals of the classic Dinotheriensande fauna (excluding Dorn-Dürkheim (Table 3), corresponding to the early Middle Miocene (MN5 and MN6; late Orléanien to early Astarian), late Middle Miocene (MN7/8; late Astarian), and early Late Miocene (MN9; early Vallesian), covering at least six million years. Small mammals may even point to early Vallesian (MN10) or early Turollian (MN11) ages ([10], [93], [94].

Our understanding of the Central European early Vallesian as a ‘phase of supersaturation’ ([95]: 441 in biodiversity [96] is biased by large-mammal faunas from the Eppelsheim Formation (proto-Rhine), but also from the Hollabrunn-Mistelbach Formation (proto-Danube) in Lower Austria where complex faunal mixing was documented only recently [46]. Therefore, the result of stratigraphically mixed and inhomogeneous Dinotheriensande has sever implications not only for the understanding of basin evolution (see below), biostratigraphy and paleobiology (e.g. the problem of Middle Miocene superspecies in the Late Miocene and thereby the obliteration of turnover events), but also for macro-scaled palaeoenvironmental studies, like the concept of a permanently stable, humid and forested but ‘strangely elusive’ ([95]: 444 Central European bioprovince. Although some Central European early Vallesian sites are certainly stratigraphically unbiased (e.g. Hovenegg, Rudabanya), we argue that only a careful re-examination of old collections, together with fieldwork evidence and new well documented excavations (like those accomplished by the Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg and the Natural History Museum Mainz since 1996 in Eppelsheim [3], [97], [11]) can help clarifying the various palaeobiologic events around the Middle to Late Miocene transition.

**Table 2.** Faunal list of Sprendlingen 1 (after Wagner 1947) and Sprendlingen 2 (this study).

| Sprendlingen 1 | Sprendlingen 2 |
|----------------|----------------|
| Deinotherium giganteum (3) | Amphyconidae indet. (2) |
| Zygolophodon turicensis (1) | Deinotherium bavaricum (51) |
| Tetralophodon longirostris (4) | Deinotherium levius (15) |
| Tapirus priscus (3) | Gomphotherium angustidens (35) |
| Aceratherium incisivum (8) | Zygolophodon turicensis (10) |
| Dihoplus scheermacheri (1) | Tetralophodon longirostris (4) |
| Chalicotherium goldfusi (1) | Brachyoptherium sp. (120) |
| Hippotherium primigenium (5) | Aceratherium s.l. sp. (60) |
| Propalaeochoerus palaeochoerus (3) | Anisodon grande (1) |
| Euprox vel Amphiprox (3) | Dicrocerus elegans (2) |
| Amphiprox schleiermacheri, H. primigenium | Paradicerochos elegans (1) |
| The approximate number of individuals (Sprendlingen 1) and specimens (Sprendlingen 2) is given in brackets. 

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.t002

**Implications for European Large Mammal Chronology**

As mentioned above the discovery of a mixed Middle Miocene mammal association in the Eppelsheim Formation of Sprendlingen 2 demonstrates the stratigraphic inhomogeneity of vertebrate fossils from the Dinotheriensande in Rheinhessen. This was already suggested by some earlier authors (so-called Mischfauna of Haupt [80] and Klahn [81]), but subsequently disputed by others ([82], [83], [84]. Haupt [17] studied the Cervidae and stated that *Amphiptherium anoporum* is the ‘real’ Late Miocene Dinotheriensande dear, whereas *Euprox furcatus*, *Heteroprox larteti* and *Dicrocerus elegans* have been reworked from older deposits.

Moreover, looking in detail on the faunal list from the type locality Eppelsheim, and other localities of this formation ([17], [65], [32], [85], [86], [83], [87], [88], [89], [10], [90], [6], several species can be noticed, which typically occur in the Middle Miocene. These are, besides the proboscidians *Deinotherium bavaricum*, *D. levius*, *Zygolophodon turicensis* and *Gomphotherium angustidens*, the pigs *Hyotherium soenneringi*, *Conohyus munensis*, *Parachilotherium huenermanni*, and *Listrodon splendens*, the palaeomercy *Palaemeryx eminus*, the deers *Heteroprox larteti* and *Euprox furcatus*, as well as the crocodile *Diplochelydon*. Except *D. levius*, *P. huenermanni*, *L. splendens*, and *E. furcatus* all these taxa co-occur with *Dicrocerus elegans* and *Paradiocerous elegans* in the NAFB during the early Middle Miocene. *Listrodon splendens* and *E. furcatus* have their First Occurrence Date in Central Europe at ~14.2 Ma in Klein-Hadersdorf ([20], [60], *P. huenermanni* between 14 and 13 Ma in Breitenbrunn ([89], [41], and own data), and *D. levius* between 13 and 12.5 Ma in La Grive, and respectively in early Sarmatian sediments of Austria ([91], [34]. These Species (except the rare *P. huenermanni*) represent a very characteristic association of the late Middle Miocene in Central Europe ([92], [91].

Based on these data we therefore can differentiate at least three biochronologic levels within the large mammals of the classic Dinotheriensande fauna (excluding Dorn-Dürkheim (Table 3), corresponding to the early Middle Miocene (MN5 and MN6; late Orléanien to early Astarian), late Middle Miocene (MN7/8; late Astarian), and early Late Miocene (MN9; early Vallesian), covering at least six million years. Small mammals may even point to early Vallesian (MN10) or early Turollian (MN11) ages ([10], [93], [94].

Our understanding of the Central European early Vallesian as a ‘phase of supersaturation’ ([95]: 441 in biodiversity [96] is biased by large-mammal faunas from the Eppelsheim Formation (proto-Rhine), but also from the Hollabrunn-Mistelbach Formation (proto-Danube) in Lower Austria where complex faunal mixing was documented only recently [46]. Therefore, the result of stratigraphically mixed and inhomogeneous Dinotheriensande has sever implications not only for the understanding of basin evolution (see below), biostratigraphy and paleobiology (e.g. the problem of Middle Miocene superspecies in the Late Miocene and thereby the obliteration of turnover events), but also for macro-scaled palaeoenvironmental studies, like the concept of a permanently stable, humid and forested but ‘strangely elusive’ ([95]: 444 Central European bioprovince. Although some Central European early Vallesian sites are certainly stratigraphically unbiased (e.g. Hovenegg, Rudabanya), we argue that only a careful re-examination of old collections, together with fieldwork evidence and new well documented excavations (like those accomplished by the Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg and the Natural History Museum Mainz since 1996 in Eppelsheim [3], [97], [11]) can help clarifying the various palaeobiologic events around the Middle to Late Miocene transition.
Figure 7. Miocene humidity and deer diversity in Central Europe. A: Relative mean annual precipitation (MAPt/MAP₀ ×100%) for Central and Eastern Europe during the Miocene (from [70]; horizontal black bars represent the raw data with age uncertainties). B: Species diversity (gamma diversity) of Miocene deer in Central Europe.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.g007

Table 3. Large herbivore mammals and crocodiles from the Eppelsheim Formation and their biostratigraphy.

| early Middle Miocene (MN5, 6) | late Middle Miocene (MN7/8) | early Late Miocene (MN9) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Deinotherium bavaricum        | Deinotherium levis          | Deinotherium giganteum   |
| Gomphotherium angustidens     | Tetralophodon longirostris | Tetralophodon longirostris |
| Zygodontodon turicensis       | Zygodontodon turicensis     | Stegotetralophodon gigantoraeonis |
| Anisodon grande               | Anisodon grande             | Chalicotherium goldfussi |
| Anchitherium aurelianense     | Anchitherium sp. indet.     | Tapirus priscus          |
| Hyotherium soemmerringi        | Conohyes simorrensis        | Tapirus antiquus         |
| Dicrocerus elegans            | Parachleuastochoerus huenermanni | Hippotherium primigenium |
| Paradiccreous elegans         | Listiodon splendens         | Propalaecrotherium palaeochoerus |
| Palaeomeryx eminens           | Palaeomeryx eminens         | Microstonyx antiquus     |
| Diplocynodon sp.              | Dorcatherium naui           | Dorcatherium naui        |
|                               | Euprox furcatus             | Euprox dicanocerus       |
|                               |                             | Amphiprax anocerus       |
|                               |                             | Miotragocerus pannoniae  |

Note that Zygodontodon turicensis, Anisodon grande, and Palaeomeryx eminens occurred throughout the Middle Miocene, Tetralophodon longirostris and Dorcatherium naui in the late Middle and early Late Miocene.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036817.t003
Implications for Lithostratigraphy and Palaeohydrology of the Mainz Basin

Our biostratigraphic results imply that the Eppelsheim Formation correlates chronostratigraphically to the early Middle to early Late Miocene. This has consequences for the regional stratigraphic correlation, and the understanding of basin development and palaeohydrologic evolution of the Mainz Basin and the Rhine Graben in general.

Adjacent to the Mainz Basin, in the Upper Rhine Graben and the Hanau Basin, a significant change in sedimentation style occurred between the late Early Miocene Praunheim and Staden Formations (Fig. 1), with a switch from brackish-lacustrine, carbonate dominated sedimentation, to siliciclastic fluvial sedimentation with paleosols [98]. Along this transition, dated to about 17 Ma, the carbonate sedimentation (Kalktertiär) ended in this area. The siliciclastic Staden Formation and the lithologically practically identical Bockenheim Formation are separated by the tholeiitic Untermain-Basalt Formation, which gave radiometric K-Ar ages between 16.3 and 14.8 Ma [99]. Our stratigraphic results from the Eppelsheim Formation of the Mainz Basin point to a more-or-less contemporary onset of siliciclastic, fluvial sedimentation in the Mainz Basin, and the Upper Rhine Graben and Hanau Basin around the Early to Middle Miocene transition. The early Middle Miocene (16 to 15 Ma) onset of fluvial sedimentation in the Mainz Basin shifts back the origination of the proto-Rhine (e.g. the Kaiserstuhl-Rhine of [7], the first drainage system connecting Upper and Middle Rhine Graben with the Lower Rhine Embayment) by some 5 million years (Fig. 8). This age fits with the oldest heavy-mineral deposit reflecting an Upper Rhine Graben source area in the Lower Rhine Embayment [100]. In the Mainz Basin, low basin subsidence during the Neogene [97] prevents an accumulation of thick fluvial packages and rather facilitates a cannibalistic reworking during younger stages of Rhine River sedimentation.

Materials and Methods

The Sprendlingen 2 fossil material studied here is stored in the Naturhistorisches Museum Mainz (MNHM) and the Paläontologisches Museum Nierstein (SSN). It has been excavated in the early 1980ies by Volker Knörzer, Arnulf Stapf and Harald Stapf.

Institutional abbreviations
NHMM: Naturhistorisches Museum Mainz
NMA: Naturmuseum Augsburg
SSN: Paläontologisches Museum Nierstein
ML: Museum of Lyon
BSPG: Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie

Palaeoclimate Analysis
The Sprendlingen macroflora was analysed using the Coexistence Approach, a technique based on comparisons with Nearest Living Relatives [63]. For application of the Coexistence Approach we followed standard protocols using an updated version of the PALAEOFLORA database [101], which led to slightly different MAT estimates for Sprendlingen as published by Uhl et al. [62] based on an older version of this database.

Anatomical Analysis of Silicified Wood
Pieces of the wood were investigated closely under the binocular to identify areas which are likely to provide anatomical information under the SEM. Small fragments of the wood which were identified as suitable samples were extracted mechanically and mounted on standard stubs with LeitC (Plano GmbH, Germany), and subsequently examined with the aid of a JEOL JSM 6490 LV SEM (at 15 kV) at the Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum Frankfurt.

Supporting Information
Table S1 Metric data of upper and lower P3-M1 molars of Deinotherium species as used in Fig. 4 of the manuscript. (DOCX)
Table S2 List of cervid localities from Central Europe and the reference regarding taxonomy and/or biostratigraphy. (DOCX)
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