An Ultraviolet C-Band LED device for disinfection of air, articles and indoor environment
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Abstract

Background: Commonly used items like wallets, keys, and phones are both tricky and impractical to disinfect from the dangers of harmful microbes. This study demonstrates the efficacy of UVC-LED technology in creating an efficient, useful, and practical solution.

Methods: As a demonstration of the efficacy of the UVC-LED light (275 nm), a panel of UVC LEDs was fabricated and was driven with a constant current electronic driver. Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli were placed on Petri dishes, and placed 38 cm away from the UVC-LED panel. UVC flux measured at the petri dishes was 0.093 mW/cm². The method involved exposing both the bacteria to UVC treatment for 4 and 8 minutes. For each petri dish, the number of colony forming units were compared before and after the treatment and compared to the control.

Results: A significant reduction in colony forming unit (cfu) counts was found in all samples for both sets of bacteria: 97.9% in the 4 minutes treatment (22.3 mJ/cm²), and 99.9% in the 8 minutes treatment (44.6 mJ/cm²).

Conclusion: UVC-LED technology offers an effective, simple and inexpensive approach for disinfection.
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1. Background

Given the relentless waves of viral and bacterial outbreaks with high fatality rates and contagiousness, it seems imperative that there be ways for all people to safely, effectively and conveniently disinfect surfaces and objects around them. For example, in 2009, the H1N1 flu pandemic caused over 250,000 deaths; in between 2014 and 2016, the Ebola outbreak caused over 11,000 deaths. In 2020 alone, COVID-19(SARS-CoV-2) has caused over 5 million deaths. These outbreaks are do not only cause massive loss of life – they cause immense economic damage to the global economy. Many of these deaths and illnesses could have been prevented with widespread use of more effective disinfecting devices.

Outbreaks are mainly spread by contact or close proximity with other people, in often benign interactions – those that are not thought about or are paid little heed to, such as giving a grocer your credit card or shaking hands with a neighbor. Disinfection, even when using the right disinfectants is both tricky and impractical in many situations.

UVC LED technology, however, appears to be a useful solution in recent years, as research shows the antimicrobial properties of UVC technology, and its application in the disinfection of stethoscopes from nosocomial infections[1]. UV light has already been used for such therapeutic purposes as stimulating vitamin D production and treating psoriasis, as well as for sanitizing air, water, and the environment[2]. At its typical wavelength of 200 – 280 nm, UVC radiation induces pyrimidine dimers in thymine and
cytosine, breaking DNA molecules, inactivating germs and preventing them from growing or reproducing[3].

2. Methods

2.1. LED & Driver

The commercial packaged UVC LED was obtained from Shenzhen Sxstrong Technology Co.[4], with part number SXS-S3535UVC-20. It packages the bare chip PCD-10-V1 produced by Photon Wave Co. [5]. Optical spectrum of the LED emission was measured with a UV-VIS spectrophotometer FLAME-S-UV-VIS from Ocean Insight [6] calibrated with a DH-3 Plus UV-VIS-NIR light source produced from the same vendor. The emission spectrum is shown in Figure 1.

Important performance specifications of the LED are reproduced here from the manufacturer’s datasheet: Peak Wavelength = 275 nm; Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) = 11 nm; Output Optical Power = 15 mW; Typical Forward Voltage = 5.7V; Viewing Angle ($2 \times \theta_{1/2}$) = 125°.

For the purposes of this device, these UVC LEDs were arranged in a matrix of five-in-series and five-in-parallel (5S–5P) configuration on a Printed Circuit Board (PCB) Panel of dimension 22 cm $\times$ 12 cm. As shown in Figure 2, five LEDs were connected in series with a balancing resistor or 10 Ω to form one arm. Five such arms were connected in parallel. These 25 LEDs were arranged uniformly on the PCB panel to provide uniform illumination to the working surface.
2.2. Controller

The LED matrix was driven with a Constant-Current Constant-Voltage (CC-CV) driven. Circuit design of the LED driver is shown in Figure 3. The main control loop is provided by an LED Boost Driver chip RT8485 [7], which takes a 12V wall power supply as input and provides a constant current boosted output on the terminal VLED, which in turn is connected to the LED matrix. In the given configuration, the circuit provides 500 mA of constant current at a maximum voltage of 30V. These output values may be changed by tuning the resistors R3A, R3D and R3E, formulatory guidances for which are given in the figure itself.

Further, the current output, and consequently the LED optical output can be modulated by providing a Pulse Wave Modulation (PWM) signal at the LEDCTL input of the circuit. The duty factor of the PWM signal modulates the output current. A PWM signal of frequency between 100 and 1000 Hz with a PWM range of 0 - 100% has been tested with this circuit. In a practical circuit, the LEDCTL PWM signal is typically provided from a micro-controller.

2.3. Battery Backup for Portability

It was determined that making the device function untethered to a wall supply would make it highly portable, and with appropriate personal protection, the device could be carried around to disinfect areas and objects at places other than the main device. A battery pack was therefore built and
integrated with the electronics to provide such a backup power supply.

Six cylindrical 18650–F5P Lithium NCM rechargeable cells from Cham[8] were connected in a three-in-series and two-in-parallel (3S-2P) configuration. Each of these cells are 18 mm in diameter and 65 mm in length, and have a nominal voltage of 3.7V with a nominal capacity of 2.2 Ah. In this implementation, each cell was allowed to charge up to 4V and discharge down to 3V. So, in the 3S configuration, the maximum and minimum voltages were 12V and 9V, respectively.

The cells are tabbed into a 3S-2P configuration, pigtailed, and packed into a plastic case with hot-melt adhesive dispensed into the case. The pigtail connections are: 3+, 2+, 1+ and 1-, with maximum voltages of 12V, 8V, 4V and 0V, respectively.

In the control circuit of the device, provisions are made to linearly charge the battery pack from the wall supply, monitor the voltages, and balance the cells. Algorithms are also implemented in the microcontroller to estimate the State of Health of the battery pack, and report to the user in the event of a change of battery is warranted. These circuit diagrams and algorithms are out of scope of the theme of this paper, but could be provided upon request to the author.

2.4. Construction Materials & System Integration

Different views of the device are shown in Figure 4. The device consists of two sections: Detachable Lid and Sanitization Bin.
The Detachable Lid contains the UVC LED panel on the inside. On the outside, it has an LCD status screen, a control switch, a handle, and a fan. Hidden away from the view, behind the LED panel are a control board and the battery pack. The control board consists of the LED driver discussed earlier, and a micro-controller that controls/reads the LED Driver, switch, LCD, fan and any interlocking mechanisms. In this device the micro-controller PIC18F45Q10 from Microchip[9] was used. The Detachable Lid is molded out of ABS-PC.

The Sanitization Bin is molded out of ABS-PC, but is loaded with 3.5% Barium Sulfate (BaSO\(_4\)) powder with mean diameter of 1.2 \(\mu\)m. Barium Sulfate is known to increase the reflectivity of UV-C band light[10], which would increase and reuse the flux of light incident on objects placed in the bin. Bottom of the Sanitization Bin has several vent holes. Ambient air is drawn into the device with the fan (at a speed of up to 30 ft\(^3\)/min), partially sanitized in the volume of the device and blown out back into the ambient through these vent holes, thus cycling and sanitizing the ambient air.

A pair of straps, when engaged, keep the Detachable Lid and Sanitization Bin together so that the unit can be carried around with the handle.

The Detachable Lid itself can be carried around with the handle and used to disinfect objects and surfaces other than those placed in the Sanitization Bin.
3. Results

Killing rates for the two different germs for two different UVC exposure times are summarized in Table 1.

It may be observed that while a 4 min exposure (dose of 22.3 mJ/cm\(^2\)) gets a decent killing rate of about 98%, an 8 min exposure (dose of 44.6 mJ/cm\(^2\)) offers an almost perfect disinfection at 99.9% log reduction.

4. Discussion

The MF-SAN device operating on the principle of UVC-LED technology can be used in many different ways.

The device as it is can be used to sanitize the room air. The fan draws the air in through the top, and blows it through the bottom vent holes, while the UVC-LEDs sanitize the air in the volume of the device. It is estimated that a room of size 15’ x 10’ x 10’ can be sanitized at > 98% within 15 minutes.

The device can be used to sanitize a number of different objects, such as utensils, phones, personal items, fruits & vegetables, as shown in Figure 5.

The device can also be used as a portable UVC gun to disinfect high-contact surfaces, such as in clinics and offices, as shown in Figure 6.

It has been estimated that the MF-SAN device may be produced rather inexpensively. At a production quantity of 10,000 units, the cost is estimated to be about USD 150 per unit.
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Figure 1: Optical emission spectrum of the UVC LED used in this device.
Figure 2: Electrical configuration of UVC LED array.
Figure 3: Modulated Constant Current Driver circuit for UVC LED array.
Figure 4: Photos of the final system. (a) Top isometric view of the device; (b) Bottom isometric view of the device; (c) Detachable Lid; (d) Sanitization Bin.
Figure 5: Many types of objects can be disinfected with MF-SAN.
Figure 6: High-contact surfaces can be disinfected by using the MF-SAN in battery-supported portable mode.
| Test Organism | Time of Exposure (min) | UVC dose (mJ/cm²) | Control count (cfu/ml) | Count for irradiated samples (cfu/ml) | Killing Rate | Average Killing Rate |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| E. coli       | 4                      | 22.3              | 2600 2400              | 49 58                                 | 98.1% 97.6% | 97.9%               |
| E. coli       | 8                      | 44.6              | 2600 2400              | 2 3                                   | 99.9% 99.9% | 99.9%               |
| S. aureus     | 4                      | 22.3              | 2100 2800              | 52 48                                 | 97.5% 98.3% | 97.9%               |
| S. aureus     | 8                      | 44.6              | 2100 2800              | 2 3                                   | 99.9% 99.9% | 99.9%               |

Table 1: Killing Rate of E. coli and S. aureus for different UVC exposure doses. Incident UVC flux at the working surface was 0.093 mW/cm².