Solution structure of the *Legionella pneumophila* Mip-rapamycin complex
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Abstract

**Background:** *Legionella pneumophila* is the causative agent of Legionnaires’ disease. A major virulence factor of the pathogen is the homodimeric surface protein Mip. It shows peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase activity and is a receptor of FK506 and rapamycin, which both inhibit its enzymatic function. Insight into the binding process may be used for the design of novel Mip inhibitors as potential drugs against Legionnaires’ disease.

**Results:** We have solved the solution structure of free Mip⁷⁷–²¹₃ and the Mip⁷⁷–²¹₃-rapamycin complex by NMR spectroscopy. Mip⁷⁷–²¹₃ showed the typical FKBP-fold and only minor rearrangements upon binding of rapamycin. Apart from the configuration of a flexible hairpin loop, which is partly stabilized upon binding, the solution structure confirms the crystal structure. Comparisons to the structures of free FKBP12 and the FKBP12-rapamycin complex suggested an identical binding mode for both proteins.

**Conclusion:** The structural similarity of the Mip-rapamycin and FKBP12-rapamycin complexes suggests that FKBP12 ligands may be promising starting points for the design of novel Mip inhibitors. The search for a novel drug against Legionnaires’ disease may therefore benefit from the large variety of known FKBP12 inhibitors.

Background

The Gram-negative pathogen *Legionella pneumophila* infects phagocytic cells such as various freshwater protozoa and human alveolar macrophages [1]. The bacteria enter the human lung via aerosols generated by man-made water systems, and cause severe and often fatal human pneumonia particularly in immunocompromised patients. One major virulence factor contributing to infection is the macrophage infectivity potentiator (Mip) protein. *L. pneumophila* strains lacking Mip or expressing a
mutant of Mip with low PPIase activity were significantly attenuated in a guinea pig infection model [2]. The protein contributes to the disintegration of lung tissue and subsequent dissemination of the bacteria within the body. Transwell assays support the idea that Mip enables the bacteria to transmigrate across a barrier of lung epithelial cells and extracellular matrix [3].

Mip is a basic 22.8 kDa surface protein (pl 9.8) localized at the outer membrane of the bacteria. Cross-linking experiments revealed that it forms homodimers [4,5]. Mip belongs to the FK506 binding protein (FKBP) family exhibiting peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase activity (PPIase, EC 5.2.1.8), and is in this respect a homolog of human immunophilins like FKBP12. The crystal structure indicated that each monomer consists of a C-terminal domain, which resembles FKBP12 in its folding pattern and is termed the FK506 binding domain (FKBD). The FKBD is connected via a long (6.5 nm), flexible α-helix to an N-terminal domain which mediates homodimerisation by forming an unusual, symmetrical bundle of four helices with the other monomer [6,7].

Although macrolides like azithromycin and chinolones are commonly used and represent efficient antibiotics for treating Legionnaires’ disease, mortality rates of up to 20% may occur if older or immunocompromised patients are infected. Mip is a potential alternative target for novel antibiotic therapies. The lipophilic macrolides FK506 or rapamycin (Figure 1) both are efficient inhibitors of the PPIase activity of FKBP1s, including Mip and FKBP12 [8]. However, these drugs are also immunosuppressive [9,10]. They affect signal transduction pathways for T-cell activation and proliferation by binding to human FKBP12 [11-14], the predominant cytosolic member of the FKBP family. Targets of the emerging complexes are the human proteins calcineurin for FK506 and mTOR, the mammalian target of rapamycin. This in turn affects interleukin-2, which is required for the proper immune response. Hence, neither of the drugs is suitable for the treatment of Legionnaires’ disease. A modified ligand blocking specifically the PPIase activity of Mip but lacking the detrimental side-effects on human immune system is a putative agent against Legionnaires’ disease. Details of the Mip-rapamycin complex structure would provide insight into the binding processes and would thus allow for the identification of possible modifications of rapamycin to design an inhibitor without side effects. Apart from L. pneumophila, FKBP homologues of the Mip sub-family are also present in other human pathogens like Neisseria gonorrhoeae [15], Chlamydia trachomatis [16] or Trypanosoma cruzi [17] making the search for specific ligands even more rewarding [18].

In this article we report on the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) investigation of the C-terminal PPIase domain of Mip, comprising about 100 residues with ~35% sequence identity to human FKBP12 [6,19]. Since dimerization is not required for the enzymatic activity we have studied the deletion mutant Mip77-213, which only consists of the FKBD with a molecular weight of 14.7 kDa. The solution structures of Mip and of the Mip-rapamycin complex are compared to the FKBP12-rapamycin complex to advance rational design of drugs against Legionnaires’ disease.

Results and discussion
Structure of Mip77-213
Mip77-213 was composed of the C-terminal FKBD and N-terminal amino acids that formed part of the α-helix connecting the two domains in full-length Mip. Statistics for the structure calculation are listed in table 1. The residues N-78 to N-95 of the shortened mutant formed a free-standing α-helix as also observed for the dimer (Figure 2). The C-terminal FKBD, which includes the active site, showed the typical fold, which was nearly identical to the crystal structure of full-length Mip. It consisted of six β-strands, which formed an antiparallel sheet with the topology β1-β2-β5-β6-β3-β4. A short helix α4 was located across this sheet. From N-terminus to C-terminus the secondary structure of Mip77-213 included helix α3 (N-78 to N-95), strands β1 (V-102 to V-103), β2 (Q-109 to N-114), β3 (T-126 to L-135), β4 (separated into two segments comprised of V-140 to S-143 and of A-151 to Q-154 by a bulge of seven residues), helix α4 (P-160 to L-166) and strands β5 (T-174 to Y-178) and β6 (L-200 to V-209). The strands were all connected by short loops except strands β3 and β5 which were connected by a long hairpin loop (V-179 to T-199). Similar to human FKBP12, a hydrophobic cavity was formed in the presumed center of PPIase activity. It was located between the α4-helix and the interior side of

![Figure 1: Structure of rapamycin. Carbon atoms are numbered.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6807/8/17)
the β-sheet and mostly composed of hydrophobic residues. The side chains of W-162 and F-202 formed the bottom of this pocket and were surrounded by Y-131, F-141, D-142, F-153, Q-157, V-158, I-159, P-193, and I-194. The crystal structure of the Mip homodimer is only slightly different from the solution structure presented here. The root mean square deviation (rmsd) between the coordinates of the backbone without the termini (A-81 to V-209) is 0.24 nm between the two structures.

Structure of the Mip77–213-rapamycin complex

An analysis of chemical shift perturbation data from the 2D 15N-HSQC experiments of free and complexed Mip77–213 indicated significant changes in the chemical environment of residues Y-102, D-142, T-144, F-153 to A-161, A-165, F-185, F-202, and K-203 upon binding of rapamycin. These residues were located in the hydrophobic cavity or in its direct vicinity, clearly indicating that the cleft was involved in binding rapamycin. This had already been assumed from the analysis of the structure of the homologous human FKBP12 in complex with FK506 and rapamycin. The data suggest that the loop between strand β4 and helix α4, where chemical shift changes were most pronounced, plays a key role in recognition of the ligand.

The average structure of Mip77–213 in complex with rapamycin was similar to the free protein with an rmsd between the backbone coordinates of only 0.26 nm for residues A-81 to V-209 (Figure 2). Structural statistics are listed in table 2. While the secondary structure of Mip remained nearly unchanged, the overlay of the average structures showed a structural rearrangement of the bulge interrupting strand β4 (residues T-144 to P-150) as well as of the hairpin loop (residues V-179 to T-199). Upon binding, the former was significantly displaced, enlarging the binding pocket to accommodate the ligand. A distance of 0.60 nm was observed between the positions of G-148 Cα in the free and bound structures. Within the hairpin loop, intrinsic changes were observed. The part of the hydrophobic cavity that was formed by P-193 and I-194 in free Mip77–213 became occupied by Y-185, for which a strong change in chemical shift had been observed. The stretch from V-190 to P-196 was bent away from the binding pocket, which was reflected in a lower rmsd of only 0.21 nm between free and complexed protein, if these seven residues were not considered.

Table 1: Structural statistics for free Mip77–213

| Statistic                        | Value           |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Number of structures            | 10/40           |
| Number of restraints            |                |
| Unambiguous distance restraints | 1737            |
| Ambiguous distance restraints   | 784             |
| Total distance restraints       | 2521            |
| Dihedral angle restraints       | 230             |
| Rmsd from idealized covalent geometry | (0.2 ± 0.0) 10^-3 |
| Bonds (in nm)                   | 0.4 ± 0.0       |
| Angles (in deg)                 | 0.4 ± 0.0       |
| Improper angles (in deg)        | 0.4 ± 0.0       |
| Rmsd from experimental restraints | (2.8 ± 0.3) 10^-3 |
| Distances (in nm)               | 1.6 ± 0.1       |
| Dihedral angles (in deg)        |                |
| Rmsd values from the minimized average structure |          |
| Backbone atoms                  | 0.046 ± 0.010   |
| All heavy atoms                 | 0.085 ± 0.010   |
| Ramachandran analysis           |                |
| Most favored regions            | 81.8 ± 1.0      |
| Additionally allowed regions    | 16.3 ± 1.1      |
| Generously allowed regions      | 1.7 ± 0.5       |
| Disallowed regions              | 0.2 ± 0.4       |

Overlay of the solution structures of free and rapamycin-bound Mip77–213. The average structures are shown in cartoon representation for free Mip77–213 in red and for Mip77–213 in the complex in blue. Rapamycin is shown in black. The bulge interrupting strand β4 (residues T-144 to P-150) and the hairpin loop (residues V-179 to T-199) are highlighted.
bone and 0.15 nm for the secondary structure elements only. The overlay of an ensemble of 16 refined complex structures hinted at flexibility in these two sections. The hairpin loop appeared slightly flexible in the simulations, with a more stable N-terminal part (Figure 3).

A comparison with the crystal structure revealed that the orientation of the hairpin loop in the Mip77–213-rapamycin complex was nearly identical to the orientation in free, full-length Mip. This similar configuration resulted in a backbone rmsd value of 0.15 nm between the two structures for residues A-81 to V-209. In the solution structure of free Mip77–213, the orientation of the hairpin loop was different. Y-185, which formed the outer edge of the binding pocket in the crystal structure and in the complex, was displaced, and its position occupied by the residues P-193 and I-194 (Figure 4). This structural rearrangement in Mip77–213 may be an artifact due to the lack of the dimerisation domain. In the mutant, high flexibility of the N-terminus may have rendered side chain interactions in this part of the helix unfavorable and caused the reorientation of the loop. However, for full-length Mip in solution high flexibility of the hairpin loop was observed by NMR relaxation measurements [7]. Apart from the hairpin loop, all three structures superimposed very well. Without the loop, the rmsd values between the crystal structure and either free or bound Mip were similar (0.17 nm and 0.15 nm, respectively).

To further investigate the stabilization of the hairpin loop, heteronuclear relaxation rate constants $R_1$ and $R_2$ and Nuclear Overhauser Effects (hetNOE) were measured for rapamycin-bound Mip77–213 and compared to those for the free protein [7] (Figure 5). As had also been observed for free Mip, the relaxation data indicated the presence of a stable secondary structure in the complex. HetNOE values < 0.65, indicating the presence of fast motion on a picosecond timescale [20], were observed for most of the bulge residues (K-146 to K-149) in the complex. This

| Table 2: Structural statistics for Mip77–213-rapamycin-complex |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Number of structures                                         | 16/80 |
| Number of restraints                                         |      |
| unambiguous intramolecular distance restraints (Mip77–213)    | 1692  |
| ambiguous intramolecular distance restraints (Mip77–213)      | 2509  |
| intermolecular distance restraints                            | 179   |
| total distance restraints                                     | 4380  |
| dihedral angle restraints                                     | 230   |
| rmsd from idealized covalent geometry                        | 3     |
| bonds (in nm)                                                 | (0.7 ± 0.0) 10^{-3} |
| angles (in deg)                                               | 0.9 ± 0.0 |
| impropers (in deg)                                           | 1.0 ± 0.1 |
| rmsd from experimental restraints                             | 3     |
| intramolecular distances (in nm)                              | (5.6 ± 0.2) 10^{-3} |
| intemolecular distances (in nm)                               | (21.5 ± 1.8) 10^{-3} |
| dihedral angles (in deg)                                      | 2.0 ± 0.0 |
| rmsd values from the minimized average structure              | in nm |
| backbone atoms                                                | 0.036 ± 0.009 |
| all heavy atoms                                               | 0.081 ± 0.007 |
| Ramachandran analysis (Mip77–213)                            | in %  |
| most favored regions                                          | 90.5 ± 1.0 |
| additionally allowed regions                                  | 8.0 ± 1.0  |
| generously allowed regions                                    | 1.3 ± 0.6  |
| disallowed regions                                            | 0.2 ± 0.4  |
observation provided further evidence for flexibility and fast motion, in accordance with the results of the structure calculations. In free Mip77–213, similar values were found for these residues, indicating that the local dynamics of the bulge were not restricted by the presence of rapamycin. Small differences (hetNOE values were slightly lower in the complex) may be due to the structural reorientation of the bulge. Different observations were made for the hairpin loop. For residues R-188 to G-192 of the free enzyme, hetNOEs were smaller than 0.65 and the \( R_1/R_2 \) values were elevated. Upon binding of rapamycin, NOE values were larger for these residues and \( R_1/R_2 \) values were not elevated. These differences reflect a decreased flexibility in this part of the hairpin loop in complexed Mip as compared to the free protein. The overall correlation time \( \tau_{c_{ee}} \) was derived from the measured \( R_1/R_2 \) ratios assuming isotropic tumbling. The correlation time was 8.3 ns for free Mip and 11.6 ns for the Mip-rapamycin complex. In order to assess whether this large change is in agreement with the solution structure of the complex, the correlation time for molecular tumbling was calculated from the expected hydrodynamic radius using HYDRONMR [21]. \( \tau_{c} \) values of 9.7 ns and 10.8 ns were obtained for free Mip and the complex, respectively, confirming that molecular tumbling was considerably slowed down by the increased hydrodynamic radius of the complex. The larger increase observed experimentally was most likely due to higher rigidity of the complexed protein, which was not considered in the theoretical model.

Rapamycin was bound to Mip with the pipecolyl ring (C2–N7, see Figure 1 for nomenclature) penetrating deep into the hydrophobic cavity. The ring was surrounded by the aromatic side chains of Y-131, F-153, W-162, and F-202 in the complex structure in blue, the aromatic ring of Y-185 moves into the hydrophobic patch displacing P-193 and I-194, (highlighted in grey) to form contacts with the ligand. In the crystal structure of free Mip (green) Y-185 shows the same orientation as in the complex.
Between Y-185 OH and the rapamycin carbonyl group at C8, an intermolecular hydrogen bond was observed in all of the calculated ensemble structures. Another hydrogen bond involved Y-185 OH and N7. However, there were more possible acceptors for the hydrogen of Y-185 OH at the inner side of the macrolide ring pointing towards the protein in the ensemble. Another hydrogen bond was formed by Y-131 OH and the carbonyl group at C9 of rapamycin. Intermolecular contacts were also found for both oxygen atoms of residue D-142 and the OH-group at C10.

**Sequence conservation**

It has been demonstrated by Wintermeyer et al. that both D-142-L and Y-185-A mutations resulted in strongly reduced PPIase activity of the recombinant Mip proteins (5.3 and 0.6% activity compared to wild-type Mip, respectively) [22]. In the complex, both amino acids were observed to be within the hydrophobic cavity and to form hydrogen bonds stabilizing the Mip-rapamycin complex. Since binding of rapamycin efficiently inhibits PPIase activity [8], the hydrophobic cavity of the protein is most likely the active site of the enzyme.

The importance of the residues involved in binding of rapamycin is confirmed by their good or strict conservation in species of different kingdoms (Figure 6). Galat has investigated FKBPs with a molecular weight of about 12 kDa and 13 kDa from diverse organisms [23]. The residues corresponding to Y-131, F-141, D-142, F-153, V-158, and W-162 in Mip are well conserved in all sequences among the two groups. I-159, Y-185, and F-202 are even...
strictly conserved. Except for Y-185, which plays a key role in binding of rapamycin, these residues form the hydrophobic cavity of free Mip77–213. Additional residues of Mip involved in binding without being conserved are Q-157, I-194, and especially P-193, which is rarely observed at this position in other FKBDs. The two amino acids of the flexible loop P-193 and I-194 form part of the hydrophobic cavity in free Mip77–213, while Q-157 is located on its edge.

Comparison with human FKBP12-rapamycin complex

The homology of human FKBP12 and L. pneumophila Mip is reflected in a high degree of similarity of their hydrophobic cavities. This cavity is formed by the residues Y-26, F-36, D-37, F-46, E-54, V-55, I-56, W-59, Y-82, and F-99 in FKBP12. All residues occupy identical positions as their counterparts in Mip, where F-46 is the only exception. The functional analogue in Mip is F-153, while the corresponding sequence position is occupied by A-151. Interestingly, the sequence position corresponding to F-153 in Mip is occupied by F-48 in FKBP12, which does not directly contribute to binding in the FKBP12-drug complex. This functional substitution forces a rotation in the side chain of F-153 by about 100° as compared to F-48 in the crystal structure of the FKBP12-rapamycin-complex (Figure 7). The orientation of F153 was experimentally well defined by a total of 73 intramolecular (non-intraregional) NOEs and 42 intermolecular NOEs to rapamycin. Apart from FKBP12, there are other FKBDs with a triad -FXF- at this sequence position, which is either substituted by -XXF- or -FXX- among other representatives of this group of proteins (Figure 6). This might represent an example of a compensatory mutation [24] during the evolution of the FKBDs. Interestingly, the conformation of the side chain of F-48 in the FKBP12-rapamycin complex is similar to that of one of F-153 in the solution structure of free Mip77–213, while the side chain conformation of F-153 in the Mip77-213-rapamycin complex is similar to the crystal structure of free Mip (Figure 8). For the secondary structure of bound Mip this has the consequence that the C-terminal segment of strand $\beta_4$ is slightly steeper than in free Mip or in bound FKBP12 (Figure 8). This difference explains the observed displacement of the bulge of sheet $\beta_4$. A further structural difference between the two pro-
proteins is that Y-82 forms part of the hydrophobic cavity in both the crystal [25] and the solution structure of free FKBP12. The respective counterpart in Mip is Y-185, which is part of the binding pocket only in the crystal structure, and replaced by P-193 and I-194 in the solution structure. As a consequence, structural rearrangements upon binding with rapamycin are less pronounced in FKBP12 [27,28] than for Mip (Table 3).

Previous NMR investigations of the FKBP12-FK506 [29] complex revealed that, in contrast to the uncomplexed FKBP12, the residues Y-82 to H-87 of the hairpin loop (P-78 to A-95) were rigidly fixed. The flexibility was reduced due to stabilizing interactions by the side chains of H-87 and I-91, as well as by a hydrogen bond from Y-82 to the C8 carbonyl of FK506. The conclusion that Y-82 is a key residue in FKBP12 was further supported by its substitution with leucine [30]. The results for the Mip77–213-rapamycin complex are completely analogous to these observations. Y-185, the counterpart of Y-82 in FKBP12, plays the same role in the hairpin loop in Mip. Since this is a common scheme in both proteins, flexibility of the loop may be crucial for the recognition of the protein targets calcineurin and mTOR, respectively, and also for selectivity of the binding.

**Implications for drug design**

The high structural similarity between the Mip-rapamycin and FKBP12-rapamycin complexes suggests that FKBP12 ligands are suitable leads for drug design. Rapamycin itself appears as a promising starting point for two reasons. First, rapamycin is an approved and widely used drug, making undesired side effects of its derivatives less probable than for totally new agents. Second, substances based on rapamycin have the potential to be highly active against Legionnaires' disease, because unmodified rapamycin is a subnanomolar inhibitor of FKBP12 [31,32] (Kᵢ = 0.2 nM) and on the other hand has been shown to inhibit penetration of a lung epithelial barrier by *L. pneumophila* in vitro [3]. The immunosuppressive properties of novel derivatives may be avoided according to the dual domain concept, which implies separate FKBP binding and effector domains in the drug. Immune modulation is mediated by binding a target protein to the effector domain. In the Mip-rapamycin complex molecular contacts are found exclusively to the FKBP binding domain of rapamycin, suggesting that the removal of the effector domain would not influence affinity. Inhibitors composed only of the FKBP binding domain but lacking the effector domain were suggested to have no influence on the immune response. Drug molecules such as, for example, the sub-nanomolar inhibitor V-10,367 (Kᵢ = 0.5 nM) [33], or a series of sub-micromolar inhibitors of FKBP12 [34], do not affect the immune response or calcineurin activity [35], respectively. However, it has been shown that FK506 (Kᵢ = 0.6 nM for FKBP12) [31] as well as V-10,367 foster nerve regeneration in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells [36]. These side effects call for further investigations and clinical trials before a novel drug may be approved.

---

**Table 3: Rmsd values for the hairpin loop between different average structures**

| Structure                                | Mip (residues V-179 to T-199) | FKBP12 (residues I-76 to T-96) |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Solution structure                        | 0.240                         | 0.094                          |
| Crystal structure                         |                               |                                |
| Solution structure                        | 0.258                         | 0.123                          |
| Solution structure of rapamycin complex   |                               | 0.048                          |
| Crystal structure of rapamycin complex    |                               |                                |
Conclusion

Structural similarity between the Mip-rapamycin and the FKBP12-rapamycin complexes suggest an identical binding mode of inhibitors in both proteins. The vast number of FKBP12 inhibitors may therefore be used to find a novel agent against Legionnaires’ disease. Strategies to avoid unwanted immune modulation caused by interference with calcineurin presumably pertain to Mip as well. Rational drug design starting from known derivatives of rapamycin may take advantage of the presented solution.
structure of the Mip77-213-rapamycin complex. Compared to strategies relying solely on the dual domain concept or bottom-up design this approach potentially offers a better chance to avoid unwanted side effects.

Methods
Sample preparation
Escherichia coli harboring a plasmid encoding the PPIase domain of Mip and part of the connecting α-helix were used to overproduce Mip77-213 in 13C- and 15N-labeled medium (Martek M9). The enzyme was purified from these bacteria as described previously [2]. The NMR sample contained 2.5 mM of double labeled (98% 13C, 98% 15N) Mip77-213 dissolved in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer in 90% H2O and 10% D2O at pH 6.5. For the experiments with the complex, unlabeled, dry rapamycin (LC Laboratories, Woburn, USA) was added to the protein solution until the non soluble drug precipitated. The solution containing a 1:1 complex of rapamycin and Mip77-213 was then centrifuged.

NMR Experiments
The NMR experiments were performed on Bruker Avance 600, 700, and 800 MHz spectrometers at a temperature of 298 K. X-filtered spectra of the complex were acquired on a Bruker Avance 700 with CryoProbe. The data were processed using NMRPipe [37]. Sequence-specific backbone and side chain resonance assignments of free Mip77-213 were obtained as described previously [38]. Assignments for the backbone resonances of rapamycin-bound Mip77-213 were analyzed using 3D HNCO, HNCA, HNCA-CB, and CBCA(CO)NH spectra. HNHA, HBHA(CO)NH, C(CO)NH and HCCH-TOCSY were used for aliphatic side chain 1H and 13C assignments. Assignments for the amino groups were obtained by 3D CBCA(CO)NH, 2D HSQC and 3D 15N-NOESY spectra. The aromatic 13C resonances were assigned from 15N-edited NOESY, 13C 2D HSQC and 3D NOESY centered at the aromatic frequency. For the assignments of intermolecular distance restraints 13C-filtered, 13C-edited 3D NOESY spectra were recorded separately for aliphatic and aromatic 13C resonances. Both peak picking and visualization of the spectra were performed using NMRView [39].

The 1H-15N relaxation experiments were performed at 600 MHz, using pulse sequences from Dayie and Wagner [40,41]. The R1 values were determined by performing 11 experiments with eight different delays [5.38 (two times), 32.20, 64.38, 128.73 (two times), 300.35, 697.21 (two times), 1297.87, and 1995.06 ms]. To determine the R2 values, 11 experiments with five different delays [16.96 (two times), 50.84 (two times), 101.65 (three times), 152.47 (two times), and 203.28 ms (two times)] were performed. For the measurement of the heteronuclear 1H-15N NOE, the relaxation delay was set to 4 seconds. Proton saturation was achieved by applying 600 high-power pulses with an interpulse delay of 5 ms for the final 3 s of the relaxation delay in the saturation experiment. Correlation times (τc) averaged over different regions of the protein were calculated using the TENSOR2 software package [42,43] assuming isotropic tumbling. Only relaxation rates of residues showing a HetNOE of > 0.65 were used. HYDRONMR [21] was used to estimate the correlation times (τc) from the atomic coordinates files.

Structure Calculation
Structure calculations were performed on Opteron based multi-core compute servers with 16 GB RAM under Linux. The structure of free Mip77-213 was calculated with ARIA/CNS [44,45] using 1737 unambiguous and 784 ambiguous NOE distance restraints (Table 1). The backbone dihedral angle restraints for the structures of Mip77-213 were determined with TALOS [46]. Calculation of the complex structure was carried out in two steps. First, the structure of rapamycin-bound Mip was calculated with both ARIA/CNS and Xplor-NIH 2.16 [47,48], using 1692 unambiguous and 2509 ambiguous NOE distance restraints (Table 2), which corresponded to 6720 ambiguous atom-to-atom distances in the Xplor-NIH calculations. The backbone rmsd between the average structures obtained with ARIA/CNS and Xplor-NIH was 0.070 nm (0.055 nm for the binding pocket), showing that the different programs introduced only minor deviations compared to the structural differences originating from binding of rapamycin (Table 3).

In the second step, the final average structure of rapamycin-bound Mip was used as input for the calculation of the complex with rapamycin. This significantly decreased the required computing time compared to random structure starting coordinates. Parameters for rapamycin were created by PRODRG2.5 [49] from the crystal structure of its complex with human FKBP12 [27]. To facilitate first assignments of intermolecular NOEs rapamycin was placed manually in the putative binding pocket of Mip. This system was solvated in a periodic box with 10774 SPC water molecules [50] and a 3 ns molecular dynamics run was executed using GROMACS 3.3 [51-53] as described previously [7]. The resulting model was solely used in the first assignment round and not for any further structure calculations.

Complex structures were calculated with Xplor-NIH 2.16 using intra- and intermolecular distance restraints starting with molecules separated by more than 7 nm. The resulting complex structures were compared to the NOEs in the spectra. Reassignment and new calculations were performed in an iterative fashion. In each step, new intermolecular distances were taken into account and the distances violated the most were reassigned or removed.
After 14 iterations, 179 intermolecular NOEs were assigned. Refinement of the energetically lowest complex structure after the final run was performed using the modified example script for protein G of Xplor-NIH. Out of the 80 calculated structures, the 16 lowest energy structures were selected for analysis with PyMOL [54] and PROCHECK [55]. All graphical representations of structures were generated using PyMOL.

**Hydrogen bonds**
A distance of less than 0.36 nm between donor and acceptor was assumed to be sufficient for an intermolecular hydrogen bond in the Mip77–213-rapamycin structures. Thermal noise in the ensemble and the flexibility of residues T-144 to P-150 and A-179 to E-199 caused slight differences in the 16 refined structures. Hydrogen bonds were therefore only accepted if they occurred in at least half of the individual structures.

**Multiple sequence alignment**

The sequences were aligned with the ClustalW [56] program and arranged with ClustalX [57].

**Coordinates and chemical shifts**
The coordinates of the structures of free Mip77–213 and the Mip77–213-rapamycin complex have been deposited at the protein data bank (accession codes 2uz5 and 2vcd, respectively) [58]. Chemical shifts are available at BMRB database (access code 6334 and 15507, respectively).
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