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Abstract

Objective To investigate the association between use of bisphosphonates estimated from prescription information and risk of gastrointestinal cancers.

Design Series of nested case-control studies.

Setting General practices in the United Kingdom contributing to the QResearch primary care database (660) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) (643).

Participants Patients aged ≥50 with a diagnosis of a primary gastrointestinal cancer in 1997-2011, each matched with up to five controls by age, sex, practice, and calendar year.

Main outcome measures Odds ratios for incident gastrointestinal cancers (colorectal, oesophageal, gastric) and use of bisphosphonates, adjusted for smoking status, ethnicity, comorbidities, and use of other drugs.

Results 20,106 and 19,035 cases of colorectal cancer cases, 5,364 and 5,135 cases of oesophageal cancer cases, and 3,155 and 3,157 cases of gastric cancer were identified from QResearch and CPRD, respectively. Overall bisphosphonate use (at least one prescription) was not associated with risk of colorectal, oesophageal, or gastric cancers in either database. Adjusted odds ratios (95% confidence interval) for QResearch and CPRD were 0.97 (0.79 to 1.18) and 1.18 (0.97 to 1.43) for oesophageal cancer; 1.12 (0.87 to 1.44) and 0.79 (0.62 to 1.01) for gastric cancer; and 1.03 (0.94 to 1.14) and 1.10 (1.00 to 1.22) for colorectal cancer. Additional analyses showed no difference between types of bisphosphonate for risk of oesophageal and colorectal cancers. For gastric cancer, alendronate use was associated with an increased risk (1.47, 1.11 to 1.95; P=0.008), but only in data from the QResearch database and without any association with duration and with no definitive confirmation from sensitivity analysis.

Conclusions In this series of population based case-control studies in two large primary care databases, exposure to bisphosphonates was not associated with an increased risk of common gastrointestinal cancers.

Introduction

As an established drug for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis, bisphosphonates have been widely prescribed and have a long term effect. Although preclinical studies have shown that bisphosphonates have anti-tumour properties, there is still a possibility that their adverse effects on the gastrointestinal tract, such as mucosal irritation, might cause ulceration and could be linked to an increased risk of cancer.

The first publication on the possible association was from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Adverse Event Reporting System, which listed 23 cases of oesophageal cancer in users of oral alendronate between 1995 and 2008. An observational study, however, showed a reduced risk for oesophageal cancer but not gastric cancer. A nested case-control study, based on the General Practice Research Database (GPRD), showed a 30% increased risk of oesophageal cancer in bisphosphonate users, rising to more than a twofold increase in risk for more than three years’ use, but it did not find a significant association with risk of gastric or colorectal cancers. A cohort study based on the GPRD, however, did not find any significant association between bisphosphonate use and risk of gastric or oesophageal cancers. One Danish cohort study looked at gastrointestinal cancers and reported an increased risk of oesophageal cancer associated with use of alendronate and a possible protective effect of higher doses for colorectal cancer. Finally, another Danish cohort study showed a reduced risk of gastric cancer and no excess risk in oesophageal cancer in alendronate users. As for colorectal cancer, another cohort analysis that used the GPRD found a reduced risk associated with bisphosphonate use, and an Israeli study also showed a significantly decreased risk in patients taking bisphosphonates for more an year. Although a Danish study on postmenopausal women showed a reduced risk of colorectal cancer with oral bisphosphonates, the association was not time or dose dependent.
In summary, studies to date have reported conflicting findings, were based on data collected only up to 2008, and were limited by statistical power. We therefore investigated the association between bisphosphonates used for the prevention or treatment of osteoporosis and the risk of gastrointestinal cancers in the general population with a nested case-control design and including the most recent data from the QResearch database in the United Kingdom. We also replicated the analyses using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD, previously known as General Practice Research Database (GPRD)).

Methods

Study design

The protocol for this study was published in 2012 and identified the QResearch UK primary care database as a source of data. Simultaneously, with the same protocol, a replicate study was conducted with CPRD. These databases are the largest primary care datasets in the UK and contain electronic records from 660 (QResearch) and 643 (CPRD) general practices, which include patients’ demographics, referrals, tests, and prescriptions. Both have been successfully validated with other sources of information and have been used for a range of safety studies involving commonly prescribed drugs.

We identified open cohorts of patients aged ≥50 and registered with the practice at some time during the study period (January 1997 to July 2011). For this paper we selected gastrointestinal cancers (oesophageal, gastric, and colorectal) as the outcome and identified incident cases from the cohorts. The design of the study was a nested case-control study. We excluded patients aged <50 because the risk of the cancers of interest is low in this group and because bisphosphonates are rarely prescribed in younger people. We matched each case with up to five controls by age, sex, practice, and calendar year. All controls were alive and registered with the practice at the date of the first recorded diagnosis of cancer in their matched case, which we defined as the index date for each case and their matched controls. We excluded cases and controls with prescriptions for bisphosphonates licensed for anymalignancies before the index date. Patients with Paget’s disease were also excluded as their bisphosphonates were approved for the treatment of Paget’s disease before the index date. Analyses were adjusted for history of Paget’s disease, if they were diagnosed at least a year before the index date. Use of acid suppression drugs was categorised by the number of prescriptions within the observation period: none; <12 prescriptions; 12-24 prescriptions; 25-48 prescriptions; ≥49 prescriptions to distinguish between occasional and more regular use. We also included comorbidities that affect the risks of cancer (such as rheumatoid arthritis if any cancer and diabetes for colorectal cancer if they were diagnosed at least a year before the index date. Analyses were adjusted for gastrointestinal disorders if they were diagnosed before the first use of bisphosphonates or 12 months before the index date, whichever was earlier; diseases included upper gastrointestinal disease (dysphagia, oesophagitis, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, hiatus hernia, oesophageal ulcers, Barrett’s oesophagus, gastritis, duodenitis, peptic ulcers, dyspepsia), Crohn’s disease, and ulcerative colitis. The results were also adjusted for family history of cancer (this varied according to the cancer under consideration) if it was recorded at least six months before the index date. This is to reduce recall bias as patients are more likely to report a family history of cancer around the time of diagnosis.

Statistical analysis

Analyses for these two studies were carried out separately in the two databases. We used conditional logistic regression to estimate odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals for cancer for each selected site and Wald test to examine the effects of duration and the differences in these between types of bisphosphonates. Missing values for the confounding factors (BMI, smoking status, and alcohol intake) were imputed with multiple imputation with the ICE program in Stata. We created 10 imputed datasets including all potential confounders and bisphosphonate exposure in the models and combined the results using Rubin’s rules. Results from both analyses were
pooled with the Mantel-Haenszel method for fixed effect models.

The primary analyses were based on exposure to bisphosphonates excluding prescriptions in the six months before the index date. We were carried out five sensitivity analyses. Firstly, having only one prescription in their records might mean that a patient never started bisphosphonate treatment or stopped it early because of adverse side effects, so we ran an analysis defining use of bisphosphonates as at least two prescriptions, but still excluding any in the last six months before the index date. In the second sensitivity analysis we included prescriptions in the last six months and considered a patient to be a user if they had at least one prescription in their records at any time before the index date.

Another possible bias in the main analysis might arise from the different observation times for patients so we undertook a third sensitivity analysis selecting patients only if they had at least six years of records and including prescriptions only between 6 and 72 months before the index date. Because Townsend score as a measure of deprivation was available for only 49% of CPRD practices we did not include it as a confounding variable in the main analyses in either database, so a fourth sensitivity analysis, restricted to patients with a valid Townsend score and adjusting for this, was run in both databases. A fifth sensitivity analysis was run with data only for patients with complete records for BMI, smoking, and alcohol intake. For the fourth and fifth sensitivity analyses, the definitions for use of bisphosphonates and years of medical records were identical to those in the main analysis.

All available data were used in the analyses and to allow for multiple comparisons we considered P<0.01 as significant, but to create a parity of presentation with other studies we have quoted a 95% confidence interval in our results. Sample size calculations are presented in the protocol.17 Stata version 12 was used for the analyses.

Results

Study population

Within the study period, in QResearch we identified 20 106 cases of colorectal cancer, 5364 cases of oesophageal cancer, and 3155 cases of gastric cancer matched to 93 954, 25 101, and 14 715 controls, respectively. From CPRD, there were 19 035 cases of colorectal cancer, 5132 cases of oesophageal cancer, and 3157 cases of gastric cancer matched to 89 111, 24 053, and 14 686 controls, respectively.

Tables 1, 2 and 3 show the characteristics for all cases and controls for both databases. Most of the descriptive statistics were similar in QResearch and CPRD. Cases and controls from QResearch were slightly younger than CPRD cases and controls, and Townsend score as a measure of deprivation was available only for a third of cases and controls in CPRD (table 1). BMI, smoking status, and alcohol consumption had slightly fewer missing values in CPRD with higher proportions of non-smokers and moderate and high alcohol consumption than in QResearch (table 2).

Most of the comorbidities had similar proportions in cases selected from both databases and their controls (table 3). Upper gastrointestinal morbidities were recorded slightly more often in CPRD (29% in cases and 27% in controls v 22% and 20% in QResearch) with higher proportions for gastro-oesophageal reflux (9% and 8% v 6% and 5%, respectively) and dyspepsia (13% and 12% v 7% and 7%, respectively). Use of common drug treatments was similar in the databases with slightly more frequent prescribing of NSAIDs and less frequent prescribing of corticosteroids in CPRD compared with QResearch.

Prescribing of calcium supplements also had different patterns with more patients prescribed calcium in CPRD.

Patterns of bisphosphonate use

In QResearch 4.6% of cases and 4.5% of controls had one or more prescriptions for bisphosphonates, as did 4.8% and 4.6%, respectively, in CPRD. About two thirds of patients with a diagnosis of osteoporosis had been prescribed bisphosphonates (64% of cases and 65% of controls in QResearch and 61% and 60%, respectively, in CPRD) and 2% of cases and controls in both databases had prescriptions for bisphosphonates without records of osteoporosis. Bisphosphonate users were more likely to be women and to have a lower BMI. Upper gastrointestinal problems were slightly more common (QResearch: cases 25% v 22% in non-users, controls 25% v 20%; CPRD: cases 35% v 29%, controls 33% v 27%) and use of acid lowering drugs was much more common in bisphosphonate users (QResearch: cases 62% in users v 37% in non-users, controls 61% v 32%; CPRD: cases 65% v 39%, controls 63% v 35%). Among bisphosphonate users, the proportion of patients with rheumatoid arthritis was more than five times higher than in non-users, and there were similar patterns for use of anti-inflammatory drugs, non-steroidal drugs, and corticosteroids.

More than three quarters of the bisphosphonate users were prescribed only one type of drug (77% in cases and 77% in controls in QResearch and 80% and 79% in CPRD), one fifth had prescriptions for two different types (20% in cases and 20% in controls in QResearch and 17% and 19% in CPRD), and less than 3% (2% in cases and 3% controls in QResearch and 3% and 2% in CPRD) had prescriptions for three different types during the observation period. Alendronate was the most commonly prescribed type (69% in cases and 66% in control users in QResearch and 69% and 69% in CPRD) and mostly prescribed for weekly use (87% in cases, 88% in controls in QResearch and 89% and 88% in CPRD). The second most common type was etidronate (34% in cases and 36% in controls users in QResearch and 30% and 32% in CPRD) with daily use for 14 days in 90 day cycles. The third most common was risedronate (21% in cases and 22% controls users in QResearch and 22% and 21% in CPRD), prescribed mostly for weekly use (76% in cases and 80% in controls users in QResearch and 82% and 81% in CPRD). Ibandronate (2% in cases and in controls users in QResearch and CPRD) was prescribed for monthly use only and no one received it as injections. In only one case (in QResearch) was a patient prescribed zoledronic acid.

In both databases, the minimum duration of bisphosphonate prescription was one week, and over half of bisphosphonate users had prescriptions for at least 20 months (median 20 (interquartile range 7-43) and 21 (8-44) for cases and controls, respectively, for QResearch; 19 (6-4) and 20 (7-41) for CPRD). Two thirds of bisphosphonate users (64% cases and 65% controls in QResearch, and 63% cases and controls in CPRD) had no gap of longer than 60 days between the first and last prescriptions, with 17% of cases and 18% of controls in QResearch and 19% of cases and 20% of controls in CPRD having only one gap longer than 60 days.

Associations with cancer

Tables 4, 5, and 6 show the associations between regimen and duration of bisphosphonate prescriptions and different types of the drug and risk for oesophageal, gastric, and colorectal cancer.
cancer. Table 7 contains the results from the first three sensitivity analyses for short and long term use for the three cancers.

**Oesophageal cancer**

After adjustment for confounders, both studies showed no significant association between overall bisphosphonate use and risk of oesophageal cancer (adjusted odds ratio 0.97, 95% confidence interval 0.79 to 1.18, for QResearch; and 1.18, 0.97 to 1.43, for CPRD). Similarly, there were no differences for frequency of use or duration (P=1.0 for trend) in QResearch. In CPRD, although odds ratios were progressively higher for longer use of bisphosphonates, none of them nor the trend test reached significance (P=0.07 for trend). There were no significant associations for individual types of bisphosphate. None of the sensitivity analyses showed any significant associations.

**Gastric cancer**

After adjustment for confounders, both studies showed no significant association between overall bisphosphonate use and risk of gastric cancer (adjusted odds ratio 1.12, 95% confidence interval 0.87 to 1.44, for QResearch, and 0.79, 0.62 to 1.01, for CPRD). Daily use of bisphosphonates was associated with a decreased risk (0.60, 0.41 to 0.87; P=0.008) in CPRD, but this was not confirmed by any of the sensitivity analyses. Of the different bisphosphonates, alendronate use was significantly associated with cancer risk only in QResearch (1.47, 1.11 to 1.95; P=0.008), but a direct test between the different types of bisphosphonates was not significant (P=0.053). Short term use (<1 year) of alendronate was associated with a significantly higher risk of cancer in QResearch (1.91, 1.34 to 2.72; P<0.001), but there was no significant increase for longer term use (1.08, 0.74 to 1.59; P=0.7). These findings were also significant in the first sensitivity analysis, which classified use as two or more prescriptions (2.23, 1.54 to 3.22; P<0.001 for shorter term), but results of the second sensitivity analysis, which classified use as all prescriptions including the last six months, failed to reach significance (1.49, 1.06 to 2.08; P=0.02 for shorter term). In CPRD, the odds ratio for alendronate use (0.93, 0.71 to 1.22) was also higher than for other bisphosphonates, but the difference between drugs was not significant (P=0.2). There were no significant relations with duration for any of the drugs. No other significant associations were observed in the sensitivity analyses.

**Colorectal cancer**

Use of bisphosphonates was similar in cases of colorectal cancer and their matched controls (4.6% in both), and adjustment for the confounders did not show any association between bisphosphonate use and risk of colorectal cancer (adjusted odds ratio 1.03, 95% confidence interval 0.94 to 1.14, for QResearch; and 1.10, 1.00 to 1.22, for CPRD). Daily use had similar effects as weekly or monthly use. In CPRD data, short term use was associated with an increased risk, although this reached significance only for one to six months’ use (1.27, 1.09 to 1.48; P=0.002), but this finding was not confirmed by any of the sensitivity analyses. The relation between duration of bisphosphonate use and risk of colorectal cancer was not significant in either database (P=0.3 for trend for QResearch and P=0.5 for CPRD). For QResearch, the adjusted odds ratio for any use of alendronate was higher than for etidronate or risedronate, but it was not significantly increased and the difference between the drugs was not significant (P=0.09). For CPRD, the effect of drugs seemed to be similar (P=0.5). The results from the sensitivity analyses were in line with these. Results obtained from pooling the results from both databases presented in tables 4, 5, and 6 did not show any significant findings.

**Discussion**

**Summary**

This series of case-control studies on two large population databases found no overall association between use of bisphosphonates and risk of oesophageal, gastric, or colorectal cancer. There was a small significantly increased risk of gastric cancer associated with use of alendronate in one database, which was restricted to short term alendronate users; the risk was nearly twofold for patients who used alendronate for less than a year. This is unlikely to be a causal relation as there was no association with longer term use. For colorectal and oesophageal cancers, there were no associations that suggested an increased risk of these cancers in people using bisphosphonates.

**Strengths and limitations**

This was the first drug safety study to be undertaken with both CPRD and QResearch databases with identical definitions for confounders, exposures, and sampling to help ensure comparability. As an observational study based on routinely collected data from two large primary care research databases, it has the strengths and limitations common to such studies. Our study was substantially larger and had much greater statistical power than any previous study. This allowed analyses to be carried out to investigate the effects of duration of treatment on risk of cancer. As the data on prescriptions and potential confounding variables were routinely and prospectively collected and recorded before the index date, the study was free from recall bias. Because all eligible cases and randomly selected controls were included, there was also no selection bias.

The limitations of the study include possible uncertainty in records of diagnosis of cancer. A systematic review based on GPRD validation studies reported that, on average, 95% of diagnoses of cancer recorded on the general practice electronic record were confirmed from other data sources.41 It has been found, however, that one in five of all primary care patients with cancer were not identified through electronic searches for malignancies in general practice electronic records, although this was based on data from 1990-99.42 Any such misclassification might result in underestimation of associations with bisphosphonates, shifting odds ratios toward unity. Also, the selection of cases was based on the first record of a cancer while the exact origin site might have been determined only later, and this level of detail was not available across all records. Information about cancer stage or results of histological investigations was also not consistently recorded in general practice electronic records, although this was based on data from 1990-99.42 Another limitation is that there might have been an overestimation of bisphosphonate use. The analyses were based on prescriptions rather than actual use, and no data were available on adherence to treatment. There is, however, no reason for non-adherence to systematically differ between cases and controls.

There could have been some residual confounding as information on some risk factors such as bone mineral density, physical activity, diet, and cancer screening tests (endoscopy...
or colonoscopy) is not generally recorded so these factors were not included in the analyses.

**Bisphosphonate users**

Comparisons between bisphosphonate users and non-users reflected the recommendations for targeting groups with osteoporosis, as some characteristics and comorbidities, such as low BMI and rheumatoid arthritis in users, are associated with diagnosis of primary osteoporosis. A heightened rate of upper gastrointestinal disorders before onset of treatment for osteoporosis has also been reported elsewhere.\(^{43}\) As secondary osteoporosis is more likely to develop in patients taking acid lowering drugs\(^ {42} \) and corticosteroids,\(^ {44} \) the proportion of such patients was noticeably higher in bisphosphonate users. Although upper gastrointestinal disorders and use of acid lowering drugs could be important confounders, they might also lie on the causal pathway. Removal of them from the adjusted analyses, however, did not noticeably change the results.

**Oesophageal cancer**

Oesophageal cancer associated with bisphosphonate use has been of most concern to epidemiologists. So far, however, the studies of this association have all been much smaller than our study, which was based on 252 bisphosphonate users with oesophageal cancer in QResearch and 262 in CPRD, and have yielded inconsistent results. The study by Green and colleagues, which was based on an earlier version of CPRD with larger proportions of missing values and more exposure to etidronate than alendronate (57\(^ {v} \) 37 exposed cases), reported an association with duration of treatment, with an over twofold increase in people who had been taking these drugs for more than three years (33 such cases).\(^ {10} \) These results were, however, adjusted only for smoking status, alcohol intake, and BMI, without adjustment for the important confounders of osteoporosis and use of any drugs other than bisphosphonates associated with its treatment, so possibly overestimating cancer risk. Similarly, another recent study on CPRD data from 1995 to 2007 showed an increased risk of oesophageal cancer associated with bisphosphonate use, but only in women (adjusted odds ratio 1.43, 95% confidence interval 1.16 to 1.75), and again the results were not adjusted for osteoporosis or drugs associated with it.\(^ {46} \) There seemed to be an opposite association for men (adjusted odds ratio 0.73, 0.53 to 1.03), although it was not significant. A Taiwanese case-control study analysed prescription information from 16204 cases and 64816 controls and reported no association for overall exposure and increased risk only in rare users, but this study did not adjust for any confounding factors.\(^ {47} \) The cohort study by Cardwell and colleagues,\(^ {11} \) which also used the CPRD database, identified 79 cases of oesophageal cancer in bisphosphonate users and did not report a significantly increased risk of cancer even for cumulative exposure of more than three years (hazard ratio 0.90, 0.44 to 1.81). In our CPRD analyses, use for more than three years was associated with an increased risk (92 such cases, odds ratio 1.38, 95% confidence interval 1.04 to 1.84; \(P=0.03\), but this was not significant at the 1% level. In our QResearch analyses, we did not observe an increased risk of oesophageal cancer in bisphosphonate users but rather showed a reduced, but again not significant, risk for people who had been taking these drugs for more than three years (75 such cases, odds ratio 0.92, 0.68 to 1.25). Although Vestergaard’s study showed significantly increased risks of oesophageal cancer in etidronate and alendronate users (32 and 14 cases, respectively),\(^ {13} \) these associations were not time dependent and therefore might not be causal. Another cohort study looking at alendronate and etidronate use reported a decreased risk of oesophageal cancer for both,\(^ {14} \) although not significant, and showed even greater reduction in patients with 10 prescriptions or more, but this was still not significant.

**Gastric cancer**

In line with our findings, no association between use of any bisphosphonates and gastric cancer has been reported in previous CPRD publications.\(^ {10} \) Our CPRD analyses had similar findings to those of Green and colleagues\(^ {10} \) for gastric cancer on the same database and, despite a bigger sample, did not reach a significant level for the association of a decreased risk with bisphosphonate use. Our QResearch analyses showed an opposite association for gastric cancer and use of alendronate to the one found in the study by Abrahamsen and colleagues (39% reduced risk based on 22 exposed cases)\(^ {14} \) but our association, an almost twofold increased risk, was seen only in short term users, with no association for long term use. In our CPRD analyses, however, we found no association with alendronate use. It is possible that this short term association resulted from users stopping bisphosphonate treatment because the drugs caused side effects similar to symptoms of gastric cancer or aggravated early symptoms of gastric cancer, leading to earlier detection of existing cancer. It has been shown that alendronate in particular might be associated with gastrointestinal mucosal injury,\(^ {46} \) which would require additional tests. Given the lack of consistency between the CPRD and QResearch results, however, this might simply be a spurious chance finding, and it is unlikely to reflect a causal association.

The paper by Cardwell and colleagues noted that there are possible misclassifications of gastric and oesophageal cancers, and that study showed no association between bisphosphonate use and risk of those cancers when combined.\(^ {11} \) To check this, we ran a combined analysis to compare our findings and also did not observe any significant association in either database (overall use for QResearch odds ratio 1.02, 95% confidence interval 0.88 to 1.20; and 1.02, 0.87 to 1.18, for CPRD), all P values being greater than 0.1 except for short term alendronate use in QResearch (odds ratio 1.29, 1.03 to 1.60; \(P=0.02\)).

**Colorectal cancer**

Based on 20106 cases of colorectal cancer in QResearch and 19 035 in CPRD with, respectively, 929 and 902 cases exposed to bisphosphonates, our study found no association between bisphosphonate use and risk of colorectal cancer. The increased risk found in the CPRD analysis and associated only with short term use (up to six months) was inconsistent with the QResearch findings and not confirmed in sensitivity analyses. Similarly inconsistent results have been found in other smaller epidemiological studies.\(^ {10} \) Two studies—Cardwell et al\(^ {12} \) and Green et al\(^ {10} \)—that used CPRD and based, respectively, on 264 and 276 bisphosphonate users, found similar associations for risk of colorectal cancer, but reached significant levels only in one\(^ {12} \) (hazard ratio for any use 0.74, 95% confidence interval 0.60 to 0.91). Cardwell and colleague’s study,\(^ {12} \) however, used an earlier version of the same data source and had much higher proportions of missing data for BMI, smoking, and alcohol consumption, all factors associated with both bisphosphonate prescribing and risk of cancer. Another study, which used an Israeli health services database, also reported a decreased risk of colorectal cancer in bisphosphonate users (odds ratio for any use 0.67, 95% confidence interval 0.51 to 0.88).\(^ {15} \) This was based on only 97 cases in users and was subject to recall and selection biases, with different response rates for cases and
controls (83% and 58%, respectively). An American study on menopausal women enrolled in the Nurses Health Study reported no association either for overall use of bisphosphonates or for different terms of use. Although a recent Danish study showed an association between overall use of bisphosphonate and reduced risk of colorectal cancer (hazard ratio 0.69, 95% confidence interval 0.60 to 0.79) and even described a possible mechanism based on anti-tumour properties of the drug, the study did not show any dose or time dependent associations, and the risk of cancer was not significantly reduced in long term (more than six months) users (hazard ratio 0.78, 0.55 to 1.11).6

**Conclusion**

We have conducted a series of large population based case-control studies using the two largest primary care databases in the UK to examine the association of bisphosphonates with risks of common gastrointestinal cancers in the general population. The databases provided almost the same numbers of cases and controls and the recorded data were similar in demographics, lifestyle related factors, comorbidities, and use of drugs. Although several findings were consistent between the databases, we found no increased risk in general for any of the cancers except for an increased risk of gastric cancer in alendronate users in one of the databases, but without time associations.
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**What is already known on this topic**

Bisphosphonates have become a common treatment for osteoporosis. Although preclinical studies have shown bisphosphonates have anti-tumour properties, epidemiologic evidence concerning the associations between bisphosphonates and risk of gastrointestinal cancers has not been consistent.

**What this study adds**

This series of nested case-control studies was conducted with two large general population primary care databases and found no overall association between use of bisphosphonates and risk of oesophageal, gastric, or colorectal cancers. An increased risk of gastric cancer associated with use of alendronate was found in one database, but with no evidence of a duration response.
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# Tables

Table 1 | Baseline demographic characteristics in cases (all gastrointestinal cancers) and all matched controls by database (QResearch or CPRD). Values are percentages and numbers

|                | QResearch Cases (n=28 625) | Controls (n=133 770) | CPRD Cases (n=27 324) | Controls (n=27 850) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| **Sex:**       |                             |                      |                       |                      |
| Male           | 59.9 (17 146)               | 59.9 (80 083)        | 58.1 (15 871)         | 58.1 (74 310)        |
| Female         | 40.1 (11 479)               | 40.1 (53 687)        | 41.9 (11 453)         | 41.9 (53 540)        |
| **Age band (years):** |                 |                      |                       |                      |
| 50-54          | 4.9 (1401)                  | 4.8 (6455)           | 4.9 (1338)            | 4.9 (6247)           |
| 55-64          | 20.5 (5876)                 | 20.5 (27 424)        | 20.1 (5502)           | 20.3 (25 954)        |
| 65-74          | 33.6 (9632)                 | 34.0 (45 511)        | 31.7 (8668)           | 32.3 (41 286)        |
| 75-84          | 34.0 (9723)                 | 34.2 (45 688)        | 32.1 (8765)           | 32.5 (41 491)        |
| ≥85            | 7.0 (1990)                  | 6.5 (8692)           | 11.2 (3051)           | 10.1 (12872)         |
| **Ethnicity:** |                             |                      |                       |                      |
| White          | 23.1 (6600)                 | 21.1 (28 228)        | 7.7 (2107)            | 7.1 (9069)           |
| Not recorded*  | 76.0 (21 754)               | 77.8 (104 022)       | 88.9 (24 281)         | 89.6 (114 513)       |
| Other          | 0.9 (271)                   | 1.1 (1520)           | 3.4 (936)             | 3.3 (4268)           |
| Asian          | 0.4 (111)                   | 0.6 (741)            | 0.2 (45)              | 0.3 (414)            |
| Black          | 0.4 (103)                   | 0.4 (506)            | 0.1 (40)              | 0.1 (169)            |
| Other          | 0.2 (57)                    | 0.2 (273)            | 3.1 (851)             | 2.9 (3685)           |
| **Deprivation (5th of Townsend score):** |                 |                      |                       |                      |
| 1 (most affluent)† | 25.4 (7059)                | 26.1 (33 900)        | 28.0 (2737)           | 29.6 (13 542)        |
| 2*             | 21.4 (5944)                 | 22.5 (29 169)        | 24.2 (2358)           | 24.0 (10 970)        |
| 3*             | 20.7 (5768)                 | 20.2 (26 163)        | 20.1 (1966)           | 19.8 (9029)          |
| 4*             | 18.3 (5099)                 | 17.8 (23 131)        | 16.4 (1605)           | 15.8 (7212)          |
| 5, most deprived† | 14.2 (3966)                | 13.4 (17 376)        | 11.2 (1092)           | 10.8 (4922)          |
| Not recorded   | 2.8 (789)                   | 3.0 (4031)           | 64.3 (17 566)         | 64.3 (82 175)        |

*Assumed white for analyses.
†Proportion only within recorded data.
Table 2  Baseline characteristics in cases (all gastrointestinal cancers) and all matched controls by database (QResearch or CPRD). Values are percentages and numbers unless stated otherwise

| Characteristic                  | QResearch Cases (n=28625) | QResearch Controls (n=133770) | CPRD Cases (n=28625) | CPRD Controls (n=127850) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                                |                           | Control                    |                     | Control                  |
|                                | Baseline characteristics  |                             |                     |                          |
|                                |                            |                             |                     |                          |
| Body mass index (BMI):          |                           |                             |                     |                          |
| 15-24*                          | 35.0 (7729)                | 36.2 (35826)                | 39.9 (8242)         | 37.7 (39188)             |
| 25-29*                          | 42.0 (9290)                | 42.1 (41650)                | 41.4 (9363)         | 41.6 (43319)             |
| 30-49*                          | 23.0 (5088)                | 21.7 (21424)                | 22.2 (5029)         | 20.7 (21535)             |
| Not recorded                    | 22.8 (6518)                | 26.1 (34870)                | 17.2 (4690)         | 18.6 (23808)             |
| Years since recorded†           | 2.6 (1.5-5.6)              | 2.7 (1.5-5.9)               | 2.6 (1.5-5.9)       | 2.8 (1.5-6.2)            |
| Smoking status:                 |                           |                             |                     |                          |
| Non-smoker*                     | 43.1 (11230)               | 47.3 (55708)                | 50.1 (12904)        | 54.4 (63608)             |
| Ex-smoker*                      | 39.4 (10268)               | 37.2 (43894)                | 33.5 (8621)         | 30.6 (35979)             |
| Current light*                  | 6.1 (1591)                 | 5.5 (6485)                  | 3.8 (985)           | 3.6 (4200)               |
| Current moderate*               | 7.5 (1958)                 | 6.7 (7870)                  | 8.7 (2233)          | 7.9 (9217)               |
| Current heavy*                  | 3.9 (1010)                 | 3.3 (3919)                  | 3.9 (997)           | 3.4 (3927)               |
| Not recorded                    | 9.0 (2568)                 | 11.9 (15894)                | 5.8 (1584)          | 8.5 (1019)               |
| Years since recorded†           | 0.9 (0.3-3.0)              | 1.1 (0.4-3.6)               | 1.0 (0.3-3.2)       | 1.2 (0.4-3.8)            |
| Use of alcohol:                 |                           |                             |                     |                          |
| No use*                         | 30.5 (6925)                | 30.8 (31788)                | 26.4 (6114)         | 27.0 (28540)             |
| Ex-user*                        | —                          | —                            | 2.6 (601)           | 2.4 (2489)               |
| Light*                          | 57.8 (13140)               | 59.8 (61764)                | 55.7 (12924)        | 57.3 (60633)             |
| Moderate and more*              | 11.7 (2653)                | 9.4 (9731)                  | 15.4 (3564)         | 13.4 (14192)             |
| Not recorded                    | 20.6 (5907)                | 22.8 (30487)                | 15.1 (4121)         | 17.2 (21996)             |
| Years since recorded†           | 2.1 (0.6-5.5)              | 2.4 (0.7-6.0)               | 3.0 (0.9-7.0)       | 3.3 (1.0-7.4)            |

*Proportion only within recorded data.
†Median (interquartile range) time in years between recorded value and index date.
Table 3  Medical history at baseline in cases (all gastrointestinal cancers) and all matched controls by database (QResearch or CPRD). Values are percentages and numbers.

| Comorbidities                      | QResearch          | CPRD            |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                   | Cases (n=28 625)   | Controls (n=133 770) | Cases (n=27 324) | Controls (n=127 850) |
| Comorbidities                      |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Upper gastrointestinal             | 22.1 (6339)        | 20.1 (26 935)   | 29.3 (8016)     | 26.8 (34 247)      |
| Oesophagitis                       | 7.3 (2103)         | 6.3 (8475)      | 7.4 (2032)      | 6.1 (7846)         |
| Oesophageal ulcer                  | 0.3 (86)           | 0.1 (176)       | 0.4 (109)       | 0.2 (225)          |
| Barrett’s oesophagus               | 1.0 (299)          | 0.6 (746)       | 1.1 (312)       | 0.5 (691)          |
| Dysphagia                          | 1.4 (400)          | 1.1 (1440)      | 2.8 (755)       | 2.3 (2954)         |
| Gastro-oesophageal reflux          | 5.6 (1593)         | 5.1 (6858)      | 8.8 (2397)      | 8.0 (10 223)       |
| Hiatus hernia                      | 4.7 (1352)         | 4.2 (5560)      | 6.1 (1673)      | 5.4 (6923)         |
| Gastritis                          | 2.9 (822)          | 2.7 (3630)      | 3.9 (1065)      | 3.5 (4417)         |
| Peptic ulcer                       | 6.5 (1863)         | 5.6 (7529)      | 8.0 (2179)      | 6.8 (8750)         |
| Duodenitis                         | 0.7 (199)          | 0.7 (910)       | 0.9 (245)       | 0.9 (1141)         |
| Dyspepsia                          | 7.1 (2029)         | 6.6 (8839)      | 12.9 (3525)     | 12.1 (15 456)      |
| Diabetes                           | 11.0 (3157)        | 9.2 (12 258)    | 11.3 (3093)     | 9.6 (12 324)       |
| Crohn’s disease                    | 0.2 (60)           | 0.2 (321)       | 0.3 (70)        | 0.3 (360)          |
| Ulcerative colitis                 | 0.9 (255)          | 0.6 (836)       | 0.8 (214)       | 0.7 (864)          |
| Chronic kidney disease             | 4.1 (1178)         | 3.9 (5277)      | 4.6 (1269)      | 4.5 (5726)         |
| Rheumatoid arthritis               | 1.5 (422)          | 1.6 (2081)      | 1.7 (471)       | 1.7 (2233)         |
| History of osteoporosis            |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Osteoporosis/osteopenia            | 4.0 (1146)         | 4.1 (5501)      | 4.6 (1269)      | 4.7 (5985)         |
| Osteoporotic fractures             | 3.9 (1128)         | 4.0 (5326)      | 3.0 (811)       | 2.9 (3699)         |
| Family history of cancer           |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Colorectal cancer                  | 0.3 (73)           | 0.2 (238)       | 0.3 (85)        | 0.3 (360)          |
| Gastrointestinal cancer            | 1.1 (315)          | 0.9 (1217)      | 0.3 (74)        | 0.2 (220)          |
| Drugs used (excluding last 6 months) |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Acid lowering drugs                | 38.4 (10 980)      | 33.7 (45 048)   | 40.6 (11 099)   | 36.0 (45 968)      |
| NSAIDs                             | 53.6 (15 904)      | 54.1 (72 346)   | 58.6 (16 012)   | 59.3 (75 769)      |
| Corticosteroids                    | 15.1 (4330)        | 13.6 (18 129)   | 14.4 (3929)     | 13.1 (16 696)      |
| Calcium                            | 1.1 (311)          | 1.1 (1534)      | 7.5 (2057)      | 7.6 (9667)         |
| Vitamin D                          | 5.7 (1634)         | 5.6 (7490)      | 6.0 (1629)      | 6.1 (7736)         |
| Bisphosphonates                    |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Any                                | 4.6 (1322)         | 4.5 (5996)      | 4.8 (1303)      | 4.6 (5868)         |
| Alendronate                        | 3.2 (906)          | 3.0 (3976)      | 3.3 (894)       | 3.2 (4038)         |
| Etidronate                         | 1.6 (451)          | 1.6 (2160)      | 1.4 (391)       | 1.4 (1850)         |
| Risedronate                        | 1.0 (273)          | 1.0 (1301)      | 1.1 (287)       | 1.0 (1236)         |
| Ibandronate                        | 0.1 (24)           | 0.1 (134)       | 0.1 (24)        | 0.1 (103)          |
| Other osteoporosis drugs           |                    |                 |                 |                    |
| Any                                | 0.3 (94)           | 0.3 (451)       | 0.4 (116)       | 0.4 (506)          |
| Raloxifene                         | 0.2 (59)           | 0.2 (261)       | 0.2 (62)        | 0.2 (289)          |
| Strontium                          | 0.1 (26)           | 0.1 (137)       | 0.2 (46)        | 0.1 (172)          |
| Calcitonin                         | 0.0 (14)           | 0.1 (77)        | 0.0 (13)        | 0.1 (71)           |

NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.
| Table 4 | Bisphosphonate use in oesophageal cancer cases and controls, numbers and odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) compared with non-use by database |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | **QRResearch**                                                                                                                  | **CPRD**                                                                 | **Combined analysis** |
|         | **Cases/controls**                                                                                                               | **Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI)** | **P value** | **Cases/controls** | **Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI)** | **P value** | **Pooled odds ratio (95% CI)** | **P value** |
| Overall use |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| None     | 5112/24 030                                                                                                                     | —                               | —          | 4870/23 110      | —                               | —          | —                          | —          |
| At least 1 prescription | 252/107                                                                                                                            | 0.97 (0.79 to 1.18) | 0.7       | 262/943                                                                 | 1.18 (0.97 to 1.43) | 0.09 | 1.07 (0.93 to 1.23) | 0.3 |
| Regimen of use |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| Daily    | 103/387                                                                                                                          | 1.09 (0.84 to 1.40) | 0.5       | 96/337                                                                 | 1.16 (0.89 to 1.51) | 0.3 | 1.12 (0.93 to 1.35) | 0.2 |
| Weekly or monthly | 149/684                                                                                                                           | 0.88 (0.70 to 1.12) | 0.3       | 166/606                                                                 | 1.20 (0.95 to 1.50) | 0.1 | 1.03 (0.88 to 1.22) | 0.7 |
| Duration of use |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| Short term (<1 year) | 94/367                                                                                                                             | 1.03 (0.80 to 1.34) | 0.8       | 89/361                                                                 | 1.05 (0.81 to 1.37) | 0.7 | 1.04 (0.87 to 1.25) | 0.7 |
| Long term (≥1 year) | 158/704                                                                                                                            | 0.92 (0.73 to 1.16) | 0.5       | 173/582                                                                 | 1.28 (1.02 to 1.60) | 0.03 | 1.09 (0.93 to 1.28) | 0.3 |
| 1-6 months | 55/226                                                                                                                             | 1.00 (0.72 to 1.38) | 1.0       | 58/222                                                                 | 1.12 (0.82 to 1.54) | 0.5 | 1.06 (0.84 to 1.33) | 0.6 |
| 7-36 months | 122/512                                                                                                                            | 0.97 (0.76 to 1.23) | 0.8       | 112/428                                                                 | 1.10 (0.86 to 1.41) | 0.4 | 1.03 (0.87 to 1.22) | 0.7 |
| 37-72 months | 49/234                                                                                                                             | 0.90 (0.63 to 1.28) | 0.6       | 63/211                                                                 | 1.31 (0.94 to 1.81) | 0.1 | 1.10 (0.87 to 1.40) | 0.4 |
| >72 months | 26/99                                                                                                                              | 1.02 (0.63 to 1.64) | 0.9       | 29/82                                                                 | 1.63 (1.03 to 2.59) | 0.04 | 1.30 (0.93 to 1.81) | 0.1 |
| Trend for months of use | —                                                                                                                                  | —                               | —          | —                               | —                               | —          | 0.07 | —                          | 0.2 |
| Alendronate |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| Any use  | 163/721                                                                                                                          | 0.91 (0.73 to 1.14) | 0.4       | 167/650                                                                 | 1.03 (0.83 to 1.28) | 0.8 | 0.97 (0.83 to 1.13) | 0.7 |
| <1 year  | 81/309                                                                                                                            | 1.03 (0.78 to 1.37) | 0.8       | 72/297                                                                 | 0.97 (0.73 to 1.30) | 0.8 | 1.00 (0.82 to 1.23) | 1.0 |
| ≥1 year  | 82/412                                                                                                                            | 0.82 (0.62 to 1.08) | 0.2       | 95/353                                                                 | 1.10 (0.84 to 1.44) | 0.5 | 0.95 (0.78 to 1.16) | 0.6 |
| Trend for months of use | —                                                                                                                                  | —                               | —          | —                               | —                               | —          | 0.2 | —                          | 0.8 |
| Etidronate |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| Any use  | 99/363                                                                                                                            | 1.17 (0.91 to 1.50) | 0.2       | 89/306                                                                 | 1.11 (0.85 to 1.45) | 0.4 | 1.14 (0.95 to 1.37) | 0.2 |
| <1 year  | 35/141                                                                                                                            | 1.02 (0.69 to 1.51) | 0.9       | 34/126                                                                 | 1.06 (0.71 to 1.58) | 0.8 | 1.04 (0.79 to 1.37) | 0.8 |
| ≥1 year  | 64/222                                                                                                                            | 1.24 (0.91 to 1.69) | 0.2       | 55/180                                                                 | 1.15 (0.82 to 1.60) | 0.4 | 1.20 (0.96 to 1.50) | 0.1 |
| Trend for months of use | —                                                                                                                                  | —                               | —          | —                               | —                               | —          | 0.2 | —                          | 0.8 |
| Risedronate |                                                                                                                                  |                                |            |                  |                              |            |                          |            |
| Any use  | 50/236                                                                                                                            | 0.82 (0.59 to 1.16) | 0.3       | 60/207                                                                 | 1.14 (0.83 to 1.57) | 0.4 | 0.98 (0.78 to 1.24) | 0.9 |
| <1 year  | 22/107                                                                                                                            | 0.79 (0.48 to 1.29) | 0.3       | 24/103                                                                 | 0.91 (0.57 to 1.45) | 0.7 | 0.85 (0.60 to 1.19) | 0.3 |
| ≥1 year  | 28/129                                                                                                                            | 0.81 (0.52 to 1.26) | 0.4       | 36/104                                                                 | 1.39 (0.93 to 2.09) | 0.1 | 1.09 (0.86 to 1.46) | 0.6 |
| Trend for months of use | —                                                                                                                                  | —                               | —          | —                               | —                               | —          | 0.3 | —                          | 0.9 |

*Adjusted for BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ethnicity, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis and fractures, use of other osteoporosis drugs, vitamin D, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, acid lowering drugs, years of data, and family history of gastrointestinal cancer.
| Table 5 | Bisphosphonate use in gastric cancer cases and controls, numbers and odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) compared to non-use by database |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | QResearch        |                 | CPRD            |                 | Combined analysis |
|                 | Cases/controls   | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Cases/controls   | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Pooled odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
| **Total**       | 3155/14 715     | —               | 3157/14 686     | —               | —               | —               | —               | —               |
| **Overall use** |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| None            | 3014/14 135     | —               | 3018/13 992     | —               | —               | —               | —               | —               |
| At least 1 prescription | 141/580 | 1.12 (0.87 to 1.44) | 0.4 | 139/694 | 0.79 (0.62 to 1.01) | 0.06 | 0.93 (0.78 to 1.11) | 0.4 |
| **Regimen of use** |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Daily           | 56/226          | 1.18 (0.84 to 1.67) | 0.3 | 38/247 | 0.60 (0.41 to 0.87) | 0.008 | 0.87 (0.67 to 1.12) | 0.3 |
| Weekly or monthly | 85/354       | 1.07 (0.79 to 1.45) | 0.6 | 101/447 | 0.91 (0.69 to 1.19) | 0.5 | 0.98 (0.80 to 1.20) | 0.8 |
| **Duration of use** |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Short term (<1 year) | 62/195       | 1.37 (0.98 to 1.90) | 0.07 | 60/279 | 0.84 (0.61 to 1.15) | 0.3 | 1.06 (0.84 to 1.33) | 0.6 |
| Long term (≥1 year) | 79/385       | 0.96 (0.71 to 1.31) | 0.8 | 79/415 | 0.75 (0.56 to 1.01) | 0.06 | 0.85 (0.68 to 1.05) | 0.1 |
| 1-6 months      | 33/109          | 1.32 (0.86 to 2.02) | 0.2 | 37/170 | 0.85 (0.58 to 1.26) | 0.4 | 1.04 (0.78 to 1.38) | 0.8 |
| 7-36 months     | 73/285          | 1.13 (0.83 to 1.53) | 0.4 | 76/332 | 0.90 (0.67 to 1.20) | 0.5 | 1.00 (0.81 to 1.24) | 1.0 |
| 37-72 months    | 23/131          | 0.83 (0.50 to 1.36) | 0.5 | 20/138 | 0.53 (0.32 to 0.89) | 0.02 | 0.67 (0.47 to 0.95) | 0.03 |
| >72 months      | 12/55           | 1.23 (0.62 to 2.41) | 0.6 | 6/54 | 0.43 (0.18 to 1.03) | 0.06 | 0.83 (0.49 to 1.41) | 0.5 |
| Trend for months of use | —            | —               | 0.8 | — | —               | 0.02 | — | 0.08 |
| **Alendronate** |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Any use         | 102/361         | 1.47 (1.11 to 1.95) | 0.008 | 100/457 | 0.93 (0.71 to 1.22) | 0.6 | 1.16 (0.95 to 1.41) | 0.1 |
| <1 year         | 59/153          | 1.91 (1.34 to 2.72) | <0.001 | 47/212 | 0.94 (0.66 to 1.34) | 0.7 | 1.34 (1.05 to 1.73) | 0.02 |
| ≥1 year         | 43/208          | 1.08 (0.74 to 1.59) | 0.7 | 53/245 | 0.93 (0.66 to 1.31) | 0.7 | 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) | 1.0 |
| Trend for months of use | —            | —               | 0.6 | — | —               | 0.02 | — | 0.5 |
| **Etidronate**  |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Any use         | 49/222          | 0.93 (0.65 to 1.32) | 0.7 | 31/212 | 0.59 (0.39 to 0.88) | 0.01 | 0.76 (0.58 to 0.99) | 0.05 |
| <1 year         | 26/87           | 1.20 (0.75 to 1.94) | 0.4 | 15/97 | 0.62 (0.35 to 1.08) | 0.09 | 0.91 (0.63 to 1.31) | 0.6 |
| ≥1 year         | 23/135          | 0.76 (0.47 to 1.22) | 0.3 | 16/115 | 0.56 (0.33 to 0.98) | 0.04 | 0.67 (0.47 to 0.96) | 0.03 |
| Trend for months of use | —            | —               | 0.6 | — | —               | 0.04 | — | 0.1 |
| **Risedronate** |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Any use         | 29/137          | 0.84 (0.54 to 1.30) | 0.4 | 29/149 | 0.83 (0.54 to 1.27) | 0.4 | 0.83 (0.61 to 1.13) | 0.2 |
| <1 year         | 16/67           | 0.89 (0.50 to 1.60) | 0.7 | 13/74 | 0.75 (0.41 to 1.38) | 0.4 | 0.82 (0.54 to 1.25) | 0.4 |
| ≥1 year         | 13/70           | 0.72 (0.39 to 1.35) | 0.3 | 16/75 | 0.91 (0.51 to 1.61) | 0.7 | 0.82 (0.54 to 1.25) | 0.3 |
| Trend for months of use | —            | —               | 0.5 | — | —               | 0.7 | — | 0.5 |

*Adjusted for body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ethnicity, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis and fractures, use of other osteoporosis drugs, vitamin D, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, acid lowering drugs, years of data, for family history of gastrointestinal cancer.
### Table 6 | Bisphosphonate use in cases of colorectal cancer and controls, numbers and odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) compared with non-use by database

| Regimen of use | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Pooled odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| **Overall use** |                             |         |                             |         |                           |         |
| None           | 1.07 (1.00 to 1.14)          | 0.07    | 1.31 (1.00 to 1.34)          | 0.05    |                           |         |
| At least 1 prescription | 1.07 (1.00 to 1.14)          | 0.07    | 1.31 (1.00 to 1.34)          | 0.05    |                           |         |
| **Regimen of use** |                             |         |                             |         |                           |         |
| Daily          | 1.03 (0.94 to 1.14)          | 0.5     | 1.05 (0.91 to 1.21)          | 0.5     | 1.03 (0.93 to 1.13)       | 0.6     |
| Weekly or monthly | 1.05 (0.93 to 1.18)          | 0.4     | 1.13 (1.01 to 1.27)          | 0.03    | 1.09 (1.01 to 1.19)       | 0.03    |
| **Duration of use** |                             |         |                             |         |                           |         |
| Short term (<1 year) | 1.02 (0.90 to 1.17)          | 0.7     | 1.17 (1.03 to 1.33)          | 0.02    | 1.10 (1.00 to 1.20)       | 0.06    |
| Long term (≥1 year) | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.17)          | 0.5     | 1.06 (0.94 to 1.19)          | 0.4     | 1.05 (0.97 to 1.14)       | 0.3     |
| 1-6 months     | 1.02 (0.87 to 1.20)          | 0.8     | 1.27 (1.09 to 1.48)          | 0.002   | 1.15 (1.03 to 1.28)       | 0.02    |
| 7-36 months    | 1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)          | 0.6     | 1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)          | 0.6     | 1.03 (0.95 to 1.13)       | 0.5     |
| 37-72 months   | 0.99 (0.83 to 1.18)          | 0.9     | 1.03 (0.86 to 1.23)          | 0.8     | 1.01 (0.89 to 1.14)       | 0.8     |
| >72 months     | 1.19 (0.94 to 1.52)          | 0.1     | 1.13 (0.87 to 1.46)          | 0.3     | 1.16 (0.98 to 1.39)       | 0.09    |

*Adjusted for, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ethnicity, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis and fractures, use of other osteoporosis drugs, vitamin D, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, acid lowering drugs, years of data, and for family history of colorectal cancer, diabetes, colitis, and Crohn’s disease.
| Table 7 | Sensitivity analyses by definition of length of use of bisphosphonates (short term (≤1 year) and long term (>1 year)) and varying definitions of use |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Term of use** | **QRResearch** | **CPRD** |                                                                 |
|          | Exposed cases | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value | Exposed cases | Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
| Oesophageal cancer | | | | | | |
| Use defined as at least 2 prescriptions in observation period | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 74 | 1.03 (0.77 to 1.36) | 0.9 | 65 | 0.88 (0.65 to 1.18) | 0.4 |
| >1 year | 158 | 0.92 (0.73 to 1.16) | 0.5 | 173 | 1.23 (0.98 to 1.54) | 0.07 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription before index date | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 111 | 1.23 (0.96 to 1.56) | 0.1 | 103 | 1.17 (0.91 to 1.50) | 0.2 |
| >1 year | 171 | 0.94 (0.75 to 1.18) | 0.6 | 182 | 1.23 (0.99 to 1.53) | 0.06 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription between 72 and 6 months before index date in patients with at least 6 years of records | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 75 | 0.99 (0.74 to 1.32) | 0.9 | 78 | 1.09 (0.81 to 1.45) | 0.6 |
| >1 year | 142 | 0.93 (0.73 to 1.18) | 0.5 | 145 | 1.26 (0.98 to 1.60) | 0.07 |
| Gastric cancer | | | | | | |
| Use defined as at least 2 prescriptions in observation period | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 53 | 1.49 (1.04 to 2.13) | 0.03 | 50 | 0.75 (0.53 to 1.06) | 0.1 |
| >1 year | 79 | 0.97 (0.71 to 1.31) | 0.8 | 79 | 0.73 (0.55 to 0.99) | 0.04 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription before the index date | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 61 | 1.17 (0.84 to 1.62) | 0.3 | 70 | 1.02 (0.76 to 1.38) | 0.9 |
| >1 year | 88 | 0.93 (0.69 to 1.25) | 0.6 | 90 | 0.82 (0.61 to 1.08) | 0.2 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription between 72 and 6 months before index date in patients with at least 6 years of records | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 54 | 1.21 (0.84 to 1.75) | 0.3 | 52 | 0.85 (0.60 to 1.21) | 0.4 |
| >1 year | 66 | 0.90 (0.64 to 1.26) | 0.5 | 65 | 0.72 (0.52 to 0.99) | 0.04 |
| Colorectal cancer | | | | | | |
| Use defined as at least 2 prescriptions in observation period | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 273 | 1.02 (0.88 to 1.18) | 0.8 | 291 | 1.09 (0.94 to 1.25) | 0.3 |
| >1 year | 584 | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.16) | 0.5 | 544 | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.16) | 0.6 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription before the index date | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 384 | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.18) | 0.5 | 386 | 1.12 (0.99 to 1.27) | 0.07 |
| >1 year | 633 | 1.02 (0.91 to 1.14) | 0.7 | 589 | 1.06 (0.94 to 1.18) | 0.4 |
| Use defined as at least 1 prescription between 72 and 6 months before index date in patients with at least 6 years of records | | | | | | |
| ≤1 year | 298 | 1.02 (0.88 to 1.18) | 0.8 | 285 | 1.13 (0.97 to 1.30) | 0.1 |
| >1 year | 492 | 1.00 (0.88 to 1.13) | 1.0 | 476 | 1.08 (0.96 to 1.23) | 0.2 |

*Adjusted for BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ethnicity, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis and fractures, use of other osteoporosis drugs, vitamin D, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, acid lowering drugs, and years of data and for family history of colorectal cancer, diabetes, colitis, and Crohn’s disease.