Relationship Between Posterior Vitreous Detachment and Macular Dimensions in Myopic Eyes
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Background: Posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) can result in serious pathologic events at the vitreoretinal interface. This study aims to assess the relationship between PVD, macular thickness (MT), and the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) in myopic eyes.

Methods: This retrospective study evaluated 63 myopic subjects’ data who were examined between January 1 and June 30, 2019. The myopes were grouped on a severity scale, namely mild, moderate, high, and very high using their spherical equivalent (SE). The PVD classification was based on OCT images. The status of the PVD and MT were evaluated with the Macular Cube 200×200 images, the FAZ with an OCTA Angioplex, and the dimensions were calculated using a customized algorithm.

Results: The study population (114 eyes) had a median (range) age of 26.00 (22.00–28.00) years and the females constituted 62.3% of the dataset. In this population, 10 eyes had no PVD in any quadrant, and 73 eyes had incomplete PVD in all four quadrants. The inferior quadrant had the maximum rate of PVD occurrences and the nasal quadrant had the least number of occurrences. High myopic eyes exhibited significantly increased low foveal volume (p < 0.01). The inferior part of the para- and perimacular area showed a significant thinning in very high myopic eyes (p < 0.050). The very high myopic eyes showed a significant alteration of FAZ’s circularity index (p = 0.002).

Conclusion: In high and very high myopic eyes, an increasing trend of partial PVD is seen, most commonly in the inferior quadrant. A significant alteration in foveal volume and circularity index of the FAZ is seen in high and very high myopic eyes.
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myopes was in the third decade of life. Similarly, Itakura et al\textsuperscript{4} also reported that in high myopes ($< -8.00$ D) PVD could occur as early as the third decade of life.

Many authors have hypothesized that retinal changes occur as a result of mechanical stretching due to axial elongation in myopia.\textsuperscript{7,8,14} During axial stretching the traction of the vitreous on the fovea in myopic eyes causes structural changes.\textsuperscript{7} Chung et al\textsuperscript{15} reported no significant foveal thickness changes with the axial length up to an axial length of $25.5$ mm - $26.00$ mm. In high myopic eyes (SE $< -8.00$ D or AXL $\geq 26.00$ mm), a significantly thicker fovea was found with a steep foveal slope.\textsuperscript{7,16}

Gomaa\textsuperscript{17} and Abouhussein\textsuperscript{18} reported that retinal morphological changes are common in high myopic eyes and recommended that OCT based investigation should be made routinely. Ito et al\textsuperscript{19} reported close correlations between the degree of PVD and the stages of idiopathic macular hole. Xu et al\textsuperscript{20} classified the vitreomacular interface diseases and its sub-classifications based on vitreomacular adhesion and vitreomacular traction. Hence foveal morphological changes are expected in high myopia, especially in the superficial retinal layers\textsuperscript{21} and blood vessels. To the best of our knowledge there are no reports investigating the relationship between PVD, central macular thickness (CRT) and foveal microvascular zone (FAZ) dimensions in myopic eyes. This is the subject of this study.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The electronic medical records (which included OCTA) of all consecutive myopic subjects who visited a tertiary eye care hospital’s refractive surgery clinic, in Chennai, India between January 1 and June 30, 2019 were reviewed. A total of sixty-three subjects (114 eyes) were included in the study. Myopia was classified into four groups based on spherical equivalent (SE),\textsuperscript{22} namely low myopia ($-0.12 < SE < -3.00$ D), moderate myopia ($-3.12 < SE < -5.00$ D), high myopia ($-5.12 < SE < -10.00$ D) and very high myopia (SE $<-10.00$ D). The SE was calculated using the manifest refraction during the ophthalmic examination. Inclusion criteria for all groups were subjects with (1) best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/30 or better (2) clear ocular media to prevent poor-quality imaging of the macula and FAZ. The exclusion criteria were any prior history of any ocular injury or clinical evidence of retinal diseases.

**Central Macular Thickness and Foveal Microvascular Dimensions**

Both central macular thickness (Macular cube 512×128) and foveal microvascular dimensions (Angioplex) were imaged using optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA; Cirrus 5000 Angioplex; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA). The central macular thickness (CMT) and foveal volume was calculated by an algorithm inbuilt in the device. The foveal microvascular dimensions were calculated using a custom-developed MATLAB program\textsuperscript{23} and this algorithm has been used to study the FAZ dimensions in normal, diabetic and myopic eyes.\textsuperscript{24} Though the custom-developed program gives multiple dimension,\textsuperscript{23} we analyzed FAZ’s area, perimeter, circularity index (a ratio measure, which represents the compactness of a shape relative to a circle),\textsuperscript{25} and vessel density only. The macular cube utilized a 6 mm x 6 mm (vertical x horizontal) window axial scans in the macular region. The macular region was divided into 9 quadrants with 3 concentric rings\textsuperscript{7} (The central 1.0 mm diameter ring represents the fovea. The second 2.0 mm diameter ring represents the para-macular region and the outer most 3.0 mm ring represents the peri-macula; Figure 1). The macular thicknesses of every sector were defined by the ETDRS map\textsuperscript{26} and were averaged for analysis.

**PVD Classification**

A single clinical expert (RRN) classified the PVD based on the OCT radial images of both vertical and horizontal. Since most of the cases had very early PVD, the method for PVD classification given by Itakura et al\textsuperscript{4} was modified. Figure 2 shows the stages of PVDs and its classification. If no PVD was seen within the 6 mm scan it was graded as Grade 0. The Grade 1 PVD is a perimacular area attached PVD, the Grade 2 is the PVD attached to the paramacular area. Grade 3 is the foveally attached PVD. Grade 1 covers a larger area and hence it was further sub-classified to 1.1 and 1.2 based on the PDV location (Figure 2). The PVD was graded for all four quadrants (superior, nasal, inferior and temporal).
Figure 1 A relationship between PVD location and the corresponding location macular thickness and Angioplex image using the ETDRS method. (A1 and A2) a radial scan of a horizontal and vertical scan. (B) An en-face image of macular and FAZ with ETDRS segmentation (C) the ETDRS macular thickness map. F, P1 & P2 corresponding area of the fovea, para, and peri macular regions.

Figure 2 An example and a schematic diagram of a SD-OCT horizontal radial scan image. The PVD classification based on the PVD location. The above picture shows the PVD extended till perimacular area with grade 1.2.
Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). The normal distribution of quantitative variables was checked using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal Wallis analysis was performed for comparison between PVD groups. A two-sided unpaired student’s t-test was used to compare the various parameters of the sub-group myopic groups. The p-value <0.05 was considered significant for all the statistical tests.

Results

Out of the total of 114 eyes, 43 were male (37.72%) and 71 were female (62.28%). The median (IQR: interquartile range) of age, BCVA and SE of this population were 26.00 (22.00–28.00) years, 0.00 (0.00–0.00) logMAR and −5.56 D (−3.09 D–−8.06 D) respectively. The median (IQR) of CMT, FAZ area and macular cube volume (MCV) were 248.00 (235.00–260.00) µm, 0.27 (0.20–0.34) mm² and 9.80 (9.60–10.10) mm³ for the full sample. Table 1 shows the median (IQR) study sample demographic and clinical characteristics and their sub-groups of myopia.

Table 2 shows the alteration in FAZ dimension and foveal characteristics in different degrees of myopia. Only the FAZ circularity (p<0.010) and foveal volume (p<0.010) exhibited significant alteration with increases in the degree of myopia.

Table 3 shows a cross correlation table between PVD location and degree of myopia. We found an asymmetry in the PVD location. The results suggest an increasing trend of PVD as the degree of myopia increases with location asymmetry. In low myopia the temporal quadrant showed the least PVD (50.0%) whereas high myopic eyes exhibited the most PVD in the inferior quadrant (97.40%). Figures 4 and 7 show the relationship between paramacular and peri-macular vessel density changes in various stages of PVD. In the paramacular area, the inferior and nasal quadrants showed a significantly decreased vessel density (p<0.05). However, in the peri-macular area, the nasal quadrant alone showed significant loss of vessel density.

Table 4 shows the comparison between PVD with different stages and clinical and foveal dimensions. No clinical parameters, other than SE were statistically significant. However, an increasing trend seen with age and BCVA showed a worsening trend which was not statistically significant. With respect to foveal dimensions an insignificant change was observed with different stages of PVD.

Discussion

The current study reports the onset of PVD was in the third decade of life but it did not show any difference in the degree of myopia. However, previous publications report the onset of PVD in high myopia (<−8.00 D SE) was in the third decade of life. This difference could be due to the methodology. In addition, the current paper reports that in patients with no PVD, high myopia patients had increased foveal thickness and macular cube volume when compared to low and
moderate myopia. Likewise, in eyes without PVD the FAZ area became smaller as the degree of myopia increased. Previous studies have shown conflicting results regarding foveal thickness and severity of myopia.\(^4\) Reports in the literature show results similar to ours. However, Lim et al\(^{28}\) showed that the average macular retinal thickness did not
significantly vary between various degrees of myopia. The possible reason for the discrepancies could be because these studies did not take into account the influence of PVD.

There was a reduction in macular volume with a degree of myopia similar in the current study. This could be due to progressive thinning of the para- and peri-foveal thickness with increasing myopia. Here we report a smaller FAZ area
in high myopia in subjects with incomplete PVD attached at the macula and there is some controversy regarding this issue of FAZ size and degree of myopia.

Table 2 FAZ and Foveal Dimensions for Different Degrees of Myopia

| Myopia    | FAZ Dimension | Foveal Characteristics |
|-----------|---------------|------------------------|
|           | Area (mm²)    | Perimeter (mm)         | Circularity† | Thickness (µm) | Volume (mm³) | Vessel Density† |
| Low       | 0.26 (0.21–0.34) | 2.02 (1.85–2.45)       | 0.79 (0.71–0.81) | 245.0 (230.0–254.0) | 9.80 (9.60–10.30) | 9.50 (6.90–11.40) |
| Moderate  | 0.28 (0.20–0.34) | 2.17 (1.87–2.30)       | 0.75 (0.73–0.78) | 251.0 (238.0–260.0) | 9.90 (9.80–10.00) | 9.90 (7.60–10.80) |
| High      | 0.29 (0.18–0.37) | 2.20 (1.78–2.47)       | 0.76 (0.67–0.77) | 249.0 (235.0–258.0) | 9.90 (9.60–10.30) | 8.85 (7.00–10.80) |
| Severe    | 0.27 (0.21–0.31) | 2.16 (1.85–2.47)       | 0.70 (0.61–0.74) | 249.0 (238.0–267.0) | 9.50 (9.10–9.80) | 7.90 (4.70–10.45) |
| Total     | 0.27 (0.20–0.34) | 2.16 (1.81–2.43)       | 0.75 (0.69–0.79) | 248.0 (235.0–260.0) | 9.80 (9.60–10.10) | 9.10 (6.80–11.10) |
| p-value   | 0.731* | 0.774* | 0.002** | 0.131* | <0.001* | 0.195* |

Notes: *One-way ANOVA, **Kruskal Wallis, †No units.

Table 3 A Cross Correlation Table Between PVD Location and Degree of Myopia

| Myopia   | Low   | Moderate | High | Severe | Average |
|----------|-------|----------|------|--------|---------|
| Superior | 78.60 | 83.30    | 89.50| 83.30  | 83.68   |
| Temporal | 50.00 | 83.30    | 63.30| 62.50  | 64.78   |
| Inferior | 82.10 | 95.80    | 97.40| 87.50  | 90.70   |
| Nasal    | 64.30 | 79.30    | 81.30| 70.80  | 73.93   |
| Average  | 68.75 | 85.43    | 82.88| 76.03  |         |

Note: Values are in percentage (%).
The custom-developed automated algorithm used in this study could detect boundaries with an error of 1.99% compared to the manufacturer’s inbuilt algorithm with 6.42% error excluding orientation. The thresholding method in the custom algorithm dilates the irrelevant part of the image without distorting the actual FAZ boundary and thus reduces the number of false positives.

Kumagai et al described the changes in OCT characteristics after an asymptomatic PVD and showed a thinning of the central foveal thickness, deepening of the pit, and widening of the foveal floor after PVD. The mechanism by which the PVD alters the foveal shape and regional macular thickness could be due to the release of the tractional force on the fovea by the peri-foveal Incomplete PVD. An anterior centrifugal traction could cause a thickening of the fovea, and the release of this traction would then presumably reduce the foveal thickness. Likewise, mechanical forces might explain the smaller FAZ in high myopia with Incomplete PVD attached at the macula. The clinical significance of these results, namely that there is an increasing trend of partial PVD has definite clinical implications and needs to be further explored.

A caveat is that we had a small sample size in the various myopia sub-groups. In addition, in this retrospective study there were very few cases with axial length data. Since the FAZ area is affected by the axial magnification during OCT image acquisition, it should be rectified by correcting for this factor. We hope to further expand on the datasets as well as pathological conditions in future research.

**Conclusions**

In summary, this study reports characteristic retinal changes in high and very high myopic eyes. An increasing trend of partial PVD is seen in eyes classified as having high and very high myopia. An asymmetric PVD location was observed and the most commonly involved quadrant was the inferior quadrant. A statistically significant alteration was observed in foveal volume and the FAZ circularity index. However, our data did not show any relationship between PVD and macular thickness and foveal microvascular parameters in high myopic eyes.
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**Table 4 Comparison Between Different Stages of PVD and Foveal Dimensions Including Clinical Parameters**

| PVD Group (n)   | Grade 0 (10)       | Grade 1.1 (35)      | Grade 1.2 (66)      | Grade 2 (3)       | p-value |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (years)     | 24.90 ± 5.69       | 25.43 ± 4.43        | 25.94 ± 4.44        | 26.00 ± 1.00      | 0.96*   |
| SE (D)          | −4.73 ± 5.80       | −6.35 ± 4.33        | −6.92 ± 4.93        | −14.17 ± 6.76     | 0.05*** |
| BCVA (LogMAR)   | 0.01 ± 0.07        | 0.01 ± 0.07         | 0.01 ± 0.07         | 0.03 ± 0.06       | 0.85*** |
| FAZ Area (mm²)  | 0.32 ± 0.13        | 0.28 ± 0.09         | 0.25 ± 0.09         | 0.30 ± 0.03       | 0.11*   |
| FAZ Perimeter (mm) | 2.32 ± 0.48      | 2.13 ± 0.49         | 2.06 ± 0.41         | 2.49 ± 0.26       | 0.16*   |
| FAZ Circularity† | 0.74 ± 0.08        | 0.73 ± 0.15         | 0.73 ± 0.08         | 0.63 ± 0.12       | 0.11*** |
| Foveal Thickness (µm) | 244.13 ± 11.97 | 244.43 ± 15.42      | 251.63 ± 17.10      | 236.67 ± 19.14    | 0.09*   |
| Foveal Volume (mm³) | 9.88 ± 0.24       | 9.87 ± 0.36         | 9.83 ± 0.36         | 9.10 ± 0.00       | 0.20*   |
| Vessel Density† | 8.44 ± 3.49        | 8.62 ± 2.61         | 9.04 ± 3.35         | 5.90 ± 3.66       | 0.37*   |

Notes: *One-way ANOVA, **Kruskal Wallis, †No units.
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