Altered nutrition behavior during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown in young adults
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Abstract

Purpose The COVID-19 pandemic and the implemented lockdown strongly impact on everyone’s daily life. Stressful situations are known to alter eating habits and increase the risk for obesity. In our study, we aimed to investigate the effect of the lockdown measures on nutrition behavior among young adults.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, we enrolled 1964 voluntary participants from Bavarian universities. All participants were asked to complete an online questionnaire, semi-quantitatively evaluating the amount and type of food before and during pandemic lockdown. Study subjects were inquired to give information about acquisition and food procurement. The primary outcome was the change in food amount, secondary outcomes included alterations of food composition and procurement.

Results Our study cohort (mean age 23.3 ± 4.0 years, 28.5% male) had a mean body mass index of 22.1 ± 4.5 kg/m². The overall food amount increased in 31.2% of participants (n = 610) during lockdown and decreased in 16.8% (n = 328). A multinominal regression model revealed that an increased food intake was less likely in male participants (OR, 0.7 [CI 0.6–0.9]) and more likely with increasing BMI (OR, 1.4 [CI 1.3–2.0]), increased sports activity (OR, 1.3 [CI 1.2–1.8]), augmented mental stress (OR 1.4 [CI 1.1–1.7]), and an alteration of alcohol consumption (reduced alcohol amount, OR, 1.4 [CI 1.1–1.7], increased alcohol, OR, 1.9 [CI 1.4–2.5]). Increase in food intake was mainly triggered by consumption of bread (increased in 46.8%, n = 284) and confectionary (increased in 64.4%, n = 389).

Conclusion The COVID-19 pandemic lockdown significantly affected eating habits in young adults. Further investigation to evaluate long-term effects on weight change and comorbidities are warranted.
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Introduction

In December 2019, the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) emerged in the Chinese city of Wuhan, causing an atypical pneumonia named COVID-19 [1]. From China, the new virus rapidly spread throughout the world and developed into a pandemic. The exponential growth of COVID-19 cases challenged the capacity of health-care systems in an unprecedented manner, so that governments were forced to impose a lockdown with restrictions concerning all areas of life.

On March 21, the German federal state Bavaria imposed a countrywide lockdown involving closure of shops, restaurants, bars, markets and beer gardens (Fig. 1). Alongside the Saarland, it was the first region in Germany to introduce these measures. All German states introduced similar measures one after another, mainly enforcing social distancing...
Eating behavior is strongly influenced by cultural and social aspects: sharing a meal with friends, family or colleagues is a common habit, which is deeply rooted in our culture and society [2]. It is well known that eating behavior is different when eating alone compared to eating with other people [3]. Furthermore, dietary choices converge with those of close social connections, which may be explained by shared social and cultural expectations and norms [4].

On the other hand, eating behavior has a major impact on health condition and the development of various diseases. Dietary habits are crucial for the development of cardiovascular diseases and even for all-cause mortality. Therefore, a so-called healthy diet plays a key role in both, primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention, and is given a class I recommendation in current guidelines [5]. Further, unhealthy diet patterns may have pro-inflammatory properties with the risk of the development and aggravation of inflammatory diseases including pulmonary infections [6].

So far, data on behavioral and dietary changes during the COVID-19 lockdown are scarce and are mainly limited to observational studies for certain patient cohorts [7] and regions [8]. However, the impact of lockdown measures on healthy young adults has not been addressed yet.

We hypothesized that lockdown measures would influence nutrition behavior among young adults. Therefore, we aimed to explore how food consumption changed during lockdown and to determine which factors impacted these changes.

**Methods**

**Study oversight**

The COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown in Young Adults (COLA) trial was a cross-sectional study, performing an online survey among young adults. The ethics committee at the Ludwig-Maximilians-University (LMU) of Munich, Germany, approved the study. Participants of the online survey were informed and consented to all recorded data being used for study purposes. The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov with the accession identifier NCT04361877.

Primary outcome was the self-reported change in food consumption since introduction of lockdown. Secondary outcomes were the change in food procurement and composition of food.

**Survey conduction**

The online survey was organized and conducted as described before. The questionnaire was prepared using EvaSys (Electric Paper Evaluationssysteme GmbH, Lüneburg, Germany). The large-scale online survey consisted of 10 questions with 18 items (2 multiple choice items, 3 open ended, and 13 closed-ended items, see Appendix). The first part of the questionnaire collected information on participants’ demographics (gender, weight, height, age). The body mass index (BMI) was categorized based on the distribution of the data (< 20 kg/m²; 20–25 kg/m²; > 25 kg/m²). In the second part, participants answered questions about their lifestyle habits, including food consumption, sports activity, smoking and alcohol consumption since implementation of lockdown in Bavaria (21st of March 2020) compared to the time before on a 3-level scale (“decreased”, “unchanged”, “increased”). Similarly, they were asked to quantify the overall amount of food consumed as well as different types of food (i.e., bread, dairy, vegetables, fruit, meat, confectionary) they consumed compared to before lockdown. Additionally, changes in food procurement (convenient/delivery/restaurant, cafeteria, home-cooked) were recorded. An English translation of the questionnaire is attached in the Supplementary Appendix.

The questionnaire was distributed via accessible mailing lists of students at LMU Munich (n = 7752). Additionally, the main offices of five other Bavarian universities were contacted with the request to forward the survey to their students (students contacted: n = 500). The survey was active for 2 weeks in March and April 2020 (Fig. 1).
Statistical analysis of survey data

SPSS version 25 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), Prism 8 for mac version 8.4.2 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA) and Adobe Illustrator version 24.0.3 (Adobe Inc., San Jose, USA) were used for statistical analysis and design of graphs.

Analyses were performed stratified for participants who declared altered eating habits (eating “more” or “less”, respectively) since the lockdown. Participants that were over the age of 50 years (n = 16) were excluded from the analysis. There were no pre-specified subgroup analyses and the study was intended to be merely descriptive.

For data description, absolute and relative frequencies were calculated. Categorical variables (gender, age, BMI, Corona-test results) were compared using Chi-squared test. Non-categorical variables (age, BMI) were compared using Kruskal–Wallis test. Normal distribution was tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05. Using bivariate analyses, potential influence factors on the outcome variable (changes in food consumption since lockdown) were included into a multinomial logistic regression model. To adjust for potential confounding, age was included into the regression model, though it did not show a significant correlation with the outcome in the bivariate analyses.

Results

Study demographics

A total of 1980 students from six different Bavarian universities participated in the large-scale online survey (response rate, 24%). Due to the age restriction, n = 16 (0.008%) were excluded from the analyses. Only participants with available data on change in the amount of consumed food were analyzed, which led to a study sample of n = 1957. Participating students had a mean age of 23.4 ± 4.0 years (mean ± standard deviation), 71.5% (n = 1383) were female, and most participants had a normal body mass index (BMI) (22.1 ± 4.5 kg/m²).

Changes in food procurement due to COVID-19 lockdown

Since the implementation of lockdown, food procurement has changed dramatically among study participants (Fig. 2). While home-cooked food remains the most frequently reported source of food (94.8%, n = 1861 before, and 98.5%, n = 1935, during lockdown), the number of people visiting restaurants (46.4%, n = 911–1.9%, n = 37) or cafeterias (48.5%, n = 953–2.5%, n = 50) decreased starkly.

Almost a quarter of participants stated to be demanding food delivery services before lockdown. Although the overall fraction did not change during lockdown (23.0%, n = 452, vs. 22.4%, n = 440), 234 (51.8%) participants stopped ordering food. While obese participants (odds ratio (OR), 1.56 [95% confidence interval (CI), 1.06–2.25], p = 0.03) and smokers (OR, 2.43, [95% CI 1.53–3.76], p < 0.01) as well as participants with changed amounts of sports activities were more likely to do so, age, gender or the change in amount of food consumed during lockdown had no influence. No predictors for starting to use food delivery could be identified (Supplemental Table S1).

Readymade food was consumed by 353 participants (18.0%) before and 315 (16.0%) during lockdown. 146 (41.4%) participants stopped consuming readymade food during lockdown. Abandoning readymade food was positively influenced by an increase in sports activities (OR, 2.30 [95% CI 1.42–3.87], p < 0.01) and a reduced amount of food during lockdown (OR, 1.64 [95% CI 1.04–2.55], p = 0.03). Factors influencing the start of using readymade food included male sex (OR, 1.74 [95% CI 1.16–2.59], p < 0.01), BMI > 25 kg/m² (OR, 1.99 [1.19–3.22], p < 0.01), decreased sports activities (OR, 2.22 [95% CI 1.34–3.88], p < 0.01) and increased mental stress (OR, 1.66 [1.10–2.55], p = 0.02, see Supplemental Table S2).

Amount of food consumption after lockdown

Most participants (52.1%, n = 1019) stated to not have altered the amount of food they consume during lockdown (Fig. 3). Almost one-third of study subjects stated to be

![Fig. 2 Food procurement before and during lockdown: Participants were asked in multiple choice questions to name their main ways of food procurement before and during COVID-19 lockdown. Home cooking is the main food source before (94.8%, n = 1861) and during (98.5%, n = 1935) lockdown. A dramatic decline was seen in restaurants (46.4%, n = 911 to 1.9%, n = 37) and cafeterias (48.5%, n = 953–2.5%, n = 50). No changes were seen for food deliveries or readymade food (18.0, n = 353–16.0, n = 315)](image-url)
eating more (31.2%, \( n = 610 \)), whereas 16.8% (\( n = 328 \)) of the participants ate less compared to the time before lockdown. Characteristics of these three subgroups are given in Supplemental Table S3. Descriptive analyses on changes in consumption (Table 1) showed that an increase was more frequent in women (\( n = 453, \) 32.8% vs \( n = 147, \) 26.6%) and in the age group of 17–25 years (\( n = 480, \) 31.7%).

### Determinants of a changed food amount

We found that participants with a BMI < 20 kg/m\(^2\) and people with a normal BMI did not alter their consumed food amount as much as participants with a BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\), (decreased: \( n = 58, \) 22.7%, increased: \( n = 88, \) 34.6%, Fig. 4). The distribution of changes in food consumption was almost similar in participants with a decreased or increased amount of sports activity. An increased alcohol consumption (42.3%), smoking (42.0%) and mental stress (35.4%) during lockdown were associated with eating more.

![Change of food amount during lockdown](image)

**Fig. 3** Quantification of food quantity before and during lockdown: (A) participants were asked if the amount of food intake had changed after the lockdown. Most participants, 52.1% (\( n = 1019 \)) reported to not have altered their food amount. 16.8% (\( n = 328 \)) stated to eat less and 31.2% (\( n = 610 \)) to eat more compared to before the lockdown.

### Table 1

| Changes in food amount | \( n_{\text{missing}} \) | Decreased | Unchanged | Increased | \( p \) value |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Gender                 |                        |           |           |           |              |
| Male                   | 22                     | 17.6 (97) | 55.8 (308)| 26.6 (147)| 0.031        |
| Female                 | 16.5 (228)             | 50.8 (702)| 32.8 (453)|           |              |
| Age                    |                        |           |           |           |              |
| 17–25                  | 3                      | 16.7 (252)| 51.6 (781)| 31.7 (480)| 0.770        |
| 26–35                  | 17.0 (71)              | 53.0 (221)| 30.0 (125)|           |              |
| 36–50                  | 16.7 (4)               | 62.5 (15) | 20.8 (5)  |           |              |
| BMI                    |                        |           |           |           |              |
| BMI < 20 kg/m\(^2\)   | 12                     | 16.3 (74) | 54.1 (245)| 29.6 (134)| 0.018        |
| BMI 20–25 kg/m\(^2\)  | 15.8 (195)             | 53.0 (655)| 31.2 (386)|           |              |
| BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\)   | 22.7 (58)              | 43.0 (110)| 34.4 (88) |           |              |
| Changes in sports activity since lockdown | |           |           |           |              |
| Decreased              | 14                     | 18.2 (158)| 48.8 (423)| 33.0 (286)| 0.001        |
| Unchanged              | 11.4 (50)              | 59.5 (262)| 29.1 (128)|           |              |
| Increased              | 18.4 (117)             | 51.3 (326)| 30.3 (193)|           |              |
| Changes in alcohol consumption since lockdown | |           |           |           |              |
| Decreased              | 14                     | 18.8 (153)| 49.1 (400)| 32.1 (262)| < 0.001      |
| Unchanged              | 16.4 (140)             | 57.3 (489)| 26.3 (225)|           |              |
| Increased              | 12.8 (35)              | 44.9 (123)| 42.3 (116)|           |              |
| Smoking                |                        |           |           |           |              |
| Yes                    | 24                     | 18.5 (22) | 39.5 (47) | 42.0 (50) | 0.012        |
| No                     | 16.5 (300)             | 52.9 (960)| 30.5 (554)|           |              |
| Mental stress          |                        |           |           |           |              |
| Decreased              | 20                     | 17.3 (58) | 55.8 (187)| 26.9 (90) | 0.015        |
| Unchanged              | 16.4 (137)             | 54.3 (453)| 29.3 (244)|           |              |
| Increased              | 17.1 (131)             | 47.5 (365)| 35.4 (272)|           |              |

Data are given as percentage (absolute numbers), \( p \) values from Chi-squared test

Bold values indicate statistically significant \( p \) values < 0.05

*BMI* body mass index
The observation that a BMI > 25 kg/m² had a significant effect on the types of food consumed (increase in 24.5% (n = 146) and 6.8% (n = 22), respectively). No relevant differences were found in fruit, vegetable or dairy product consumption. In fact, more than half the participants reported an unchanged amount in these categories. Changes in food categories among people who stated to not have changed the amount of food they consume are presented in Supplemental Fig. S1. There were hardly any differences between men and women (Supplemental Fig. S2). BMI groups had no relevant effect on the types of food consumed (data not shown).

### Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic dramatically affects daily life for citizens all over the world. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the immediate impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on food procurement and dietary habits in a cohort of young adults. In this cohort of almost 2000 young adults, we were able to show the following. 1. Government lockdown significantly altered the amount of food intake. 16.8% of the participants reported a reduced daily food intake compared to 31.2% who showed an increase. 2. The food amount was mainly influenced by gender, BMI, sports activity, smoking, mental stress and alcohol consumption. 3. Food procurement and composition changed after implementation of the lockdown, with an enhanced consumption of confectionary.

On the 21st of March, the government in Bavaria implemented a general lockdown and activities outside the place of residence were restricted [9]. To slow the transmission of COVID-19, people were advised to do food shopping as infrequently as possible. However, since the beginning of the crisis, a lot of people reacted with “panic buying” and stocked up groceries [10].

In a first step, we asked our cohort to provide data on the change of daily consumed food amount during COVID-19 pandemic. Interestingly, about one-third of our study subjects reported to have increased the daily food amount, which correlates with the current literature [8, 11, 12]. There are several possible explanations for this response. In a multinominal regression, we identified mental stress,
BMI > 25 kg/m², altered sports activity and altered alcohol consumption as risk factors for increased eating. First, changes in eating patterns are partly triggered by augmented psychological stress during the COVID-19 crisis.
Our results show a higher risk for an increased food intake in people who state to be mentally stressed. Similarly, Pellegrini et al. could demonstrate a weight gain during the COVID-19 lockdown of over 3 kg in patients with self-perceived anxiety during the crisis [14]. Data from the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) study containing a representative cohort of adults revealed that psychosocial stress contributes to changes in dietary behaviors that again results in significant weight gain [15]. Interestingly, weight gain in that cohort was more pronounced in people with higher body mass indexes.

In our data, participants with BMI > 25 kg/m² reported more frequently to have increased food intake compared to the subgroups of participants with a normal or reduced BMI. In the regression model, BMI > 25 kg/m² was found to be an independent risk factor for an increased food intake (OR 1.8 [CI 1.3–2.7]). Similar to the former MIDUS study, individuals with a high BMI have been shown to increase their food consumption considerably and gained more weight during COVID-19 lockdown [11]. However, this contrasts with the comprehensive analysis of Di Renzo et al. who reported no difference in BMI in study participants eating more [8].

Nevertheless, a higher BMI has been found to be associated with a lower level of sports activity and sports activity is an important factor for a reduction and maintenance of body weight [16, 17]. In two cohort studies of obese patients in Italy during COVID-19 lockdown, a further decrease in sports activity was observed and resulted in a significant additional weight gain [7, 14]. In line with this, in our study, a self-reported modification of sports activity was associated with lower food intake and an increase in sports activity was found to predict a lower food intake (OR 1.9 [CI 1.3–2.8]).

These data are also important from a clinical perspective, since obesity significantly increases the risk of developing severe pneumonia in COVID-19 infections [18], and obesity is a common risk factor for respiratory failure leading to invasive mechanical ventilation [19].

Previous studies have assessed the impact of natural disasters on food and nutrition management [20]. A retrospective cohort study evaluated the impact of the devastating earthquake and tsunami in Japan in 2011 in preschool children. They found a significant increase in BMIs 1 month after the earthquake [21]. Moreover, in 200 adult subjects, results of physical examinations and laboratory tests after the Great East Japan Earthquake revealed higher values of body weight, body mass index, waist circumference and HbA1c compared with pre-earthquake levels [22].
Another important information from our subjects is the change of food procurement during the pandemic lockdown. Most subjects reported to self-prepare and cook food at home—as they did before lockdown implementation. Other studies could demonstrate a reduction of delivery food intake [8]. Interestingly, in this study, there was no relevant change in the overall frequency of people getting their food from a takeaway or from restaurants offering a delivery service, but a considerable fraction of participants changed their attitude towards readymade food and food delivery services. Male sex, high BMI and decreased sports activities during lockdown were identified as factors promoting the consumption of readymade food.

Among participants of our study reporting to consume more food after lockdown, there was a detectable shift toward carbohydrate-containing food such as confectionaries and bread. This observation has been made in Italy [12] and Poland [11], too. Unfortunately, our data also indicate that increased confectionary consumption had a higher chance of decreased fruit and vegetable consumption. An increase of carbohydrate consumption may promote cardiovascular diseases, obesity, and diabetes [23]. A previous large cohort study could further demonstrate a strong association of a high caloric diet with higher risk of all-cause mortality [24]. However, long-term effects of the observed nutrition shift on morbidity and mortality are not assessable at this time.

Our study has some limitations. It is a cross-sectional study and the resulting changes in weight/BMI in this study cohort cannot be reassessed in the future. Conclusions drawn are based on self-reported amounts of food consumption. Therefore, the analyses included in the study need to be interpreted with caution. The analysis focused on young...
adults who are registered at Bavarian Universities and does not fully represent young adults throughout Germany. However, the homogenous study cohort provides valuable insights into COVID-19 pandemic related changes of food and nutrition management in healthy young individuals in Germany.

In summary, we were able to show for the first time a change in the food amount, its underlying determinants, acquisition of food as well as food composition among healthy young adults during the COVID-19 crisis. Further longitudinal studies investigating long-term effects are warranted.
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