Site-heterogeneous mutation-selection models within the PhyloBayes-MPI package
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ABSTRACT

Motivation: In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in the potential of codon substitution models for a variety of applications. However, the computational demands of these models have sometimes led to the adoption of oversimplified assumptions, questionable statistical methods or a limited focus on small data sets.

Results: Here, we offer a scalable, message-passing-interface-based Bayesian implementation of site-heterogeneous codon models in the mutation-selection framework. Our software jointly infers the global mutational parameters at the nucleotide level, the branch lengths of the tree and a Dirichlet process governing across-site variation at the amino acid level. We focus on an example estimation of the distribution of selection coefficients from an alignment of several hundred sequences of the influenza PB2 gene, and highlight the site-specific characterization enabled by such a modeling approach. Finally, we discuss future potential applications of the software for conducting evolutionary inferences.

Availability and implementation: The models are implemented within the PhyloBayes-MPI package, (available at phylobayes.org) along with usage details in the accompanying manual.
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1 INTRODUCTION

There is growing interest for the use of codon substitution models in several contexts, including phylogenetic inference (Gil et al., 2013), ancestral sequence reconstruction (Chang et al., 2012) and the characterization of the selective effects of specific nonsynonymous mutations (Tamuri et al., 2012). Focusing on the latter, Halpern and Bruno (1998) first showed how to devise a model that accounts for both global mutational features at the nucleotide level and site-specific selective constraints at the amino acid level. Although their approach was directed to the estimation of evolutionary distances, it was later recognized as enabling the estimation of distributions of selection coefficients from phylogenetic data (see Thorne et al., 2012, for a review of these developments). However, a serious issue with the Halpern and Bruno model, and some of the subsequent re- implementations (e.g.Tamuri et al., 2012), lies in the use of site-specific parameters optimized to maximum likelihood estimates; such an approach induces the "infinitely many parameters trap", in which each additional observation changes the form of the overall model (see Rodrigue, 2013).

Yang and Nielsen (2008) devised some simpler homogeneous mutation-selection models, along with a likelihood ratio test aimed at evaluating the significance of codon usage bias. While statistically well-justified, the homogeneity of their mutation-selection models makes them biologically unsatisfying for the purpose of estimating distributions of selection coefficients.

Subsequently, we proposed the use of a nonparametric approach based on the Dirichlet process, providing a flexible and statistically well-founded method to accommodating across-site heterogeneity of amino acid constraints (Rodrigue et al., 2010). However, our proof-of-concept implementation only allowed for its application on very small data sets, and its rate-limiting Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) updates on the Dirichlet process, based on a Chinese-restaurant approach, were not amendable to parallelization.

Working with nucleotide and amino acid level substitution models, we recently developed PhyloBayes-MPI, which, among other speedup strategies, uses message-passing-interface (MPI) and a truncated stick-breaking representation of the Dirichlet process for parallelized updating (Lartillot et al., 2013). Here, we have expanded PhyloBayes-MPI for the implementation of several types of codon substitution models, including the Dirichlet process-based site-heterogeneous mutation-selection approach. We illustrate how the software can now be used for efficient estimation of distributions of selection coefficients (scaled by the effective chromosomal population size), and discuss several future avenues that it enables.

2 METHODS

In the present application, the program is passed an alignment file of coding nucleotide sequences (of a length that is a factor of 3) and a corresponding tree topology file. The universal genetic code is assumed, but an alternative code can be specified (e.g. -m vertebr for the vertebrate mitochondrial code). As with other models with PhyloBayes-MPI, the program uses K>1 cores. At startup, the master core draws an initial model configuration from the prior, and broadcasts it using MPI to the K − 1 compute cores. All updates are data-augmentation-based, which are several orders of magnitude faster than pruning-based updates (de Koning et al., 2010). Each iteration of the MCMC includes numerous updates on global parameters, performed by the master core, whereas compute cores perform several updates of a truncated stick-breaking representation of the Dirichlet process (see Lartillot et al., 2013, for details), and sample the data augmentations.
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added up the values over a few sets of classes. The codons of the alignment. For graphical simplicity, we have S of evolutionary constraints than other sites. 

Almost as many nonsynonymous mutations in the nearly neutral distribution, however, seems more plausible than that obtained S/C0 between 0 and 5. The red distribution, however, seems more plausible than that obtained using a parametric site-specific approach (Rodrigue, 2013), which inferred most nonsynonymous events with S between 0 and −5.

Panel c displays a site-specific assessment of the distributions of S for nonsynonymous mutations, focusing on the first 100 codons of the alignment. For graphical simplicity, we have added up the values over a few sets of classes. The −10 < S ≤ −2 class, in blue, is the most represented—as previously revealed from panel b—but some sites, e.g. codon 75, have almost as many nonsynonymous mutations in the nearly neutral class, −2 < S ≤ 2, indicating that they are under less stringent evolutionary constraints than other sites.

### 4 Future Directions

Our implementation enables numerous potential applications. For instance, the last panel of Figure 1 suggests studies on the distributions of S over classes of sites. Distributions could even be generated for all possible types of nonsynonymous mutations at each site. The software could also serve in the development of approaches that explicitly incorporate structural features (e.g. Meyer and Wilke, 2013), and already includes mutation-selection models using finite mixtures, homogeneous versions and models based on a univariate factor on nonsynonymous rates (α). Applications of these models will be the focus of future papers.

Developed within the PhyloBayes-MPI package, the models we have implemented here inherit the ability to perform a variety of types of posterior predictive model assessments, cross-validation comparisons, ancestral sequence reconstruction, as well as phylogenetic inference per se. Much more work is needed in these contexts, to assess what insights may be gained from the mutation-selection framework, and from codon substitution models in general. The present application should help engage such work.

### Acknowledgement

The authors thank Claus Wilke, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments on the manuscript.

**Funding:** Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (N.R. and N.L.), Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (N.R.), the University of Calgary (N.R.) and l’Université de Montréal (N.L.).

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

### References

Chang, B.S.W. et al. (2012) The future of codon models in studies of molecular function: ancestral reconstruction and clade models of functional divergence. In: Cannarozzi, G.M. and Schneider, A. (eds) Codon Evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 145–163.

GilM. et al. (2013) CodonPhyML: fast maximum likelihood phylogeny estimation under codon substitution models. Mol. Biol. Evol., 30, 1270–1280.

Halpern, A.L. and Bruno, W.J. (1998) Evolutionary distances for protein-coding sequences: modeling site-specific residue frequencies. Mol. Biol. Evol., 15, 910–917.

Lartillot, N. et al. (2013) PhyloBayes-MPI: phylogenetic reconstruction with infinite mixtures of profiles in a parallel environment. Syst. Biol., 62, 611–615.

Meyer, A.G. and Wilke, C.O. (2013) Integrating sequence variation and protein structure to identify sites under selection. Mol. Biol. Evol., 30, 36–44.

Rodrigue, N. (2013) On the statistical interpretation of site-specific variables in phylogeny-based substitution models. Genetics, 193, 557–564.

Rodrigue, N. et al. (2010) Mutation-selection models of coding sequence evolution with site-heterogeneous amino acid fitness profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 4629–4634.

Tamuri, A.U. et al. (2012) Estimating the distribution of selection coefficients from phylogenetic data using sitewise mutation-selection models. Genetics, 190, 1101–115.

Thorne, J.L. et al. (2012) Codon models as a vehicle for reconciling population genetics with inter-specific sequence data. In: Cannarozzi, G.M. and Schneider, A. (eds) Codon Evolution. Oxford University Press, pp. 97–110.

Yang, Z. and Nielsen, R. (2008) Mutation-selection models of codon substitution and their use to estimate selective strengths on codon usage. Mol. Biol. Evol., 25, 568–579.