Changes in Trends of Spondylitis in Korea Based on a Nationwide Database
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Infectious spondylitis is increasing with the growing population of older adults and immunocompromised individuals, the increased use of pain intervention, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Cases of infectious spondylitis may be classified into the following three types: pyogenic, tuberculous, or nontuberculous (parasitic) spondylitis.

Until the early 2000s, nontuberculous spondylitis and pyogenic spondylitis were rare: most cases of infectious spondylitis in Korea were thought to be tuberculous spondylitis because of the high prevalence of tuberculosis in the past. However, the prevalence of tuberculosis has been decreasing, which might lead to a consequent decrease in the incidence of tuberculous spondylitis. Meanwhile, however, pyogenic spondylitis has been increasing since the mid-2000s. The most common cause of pyogenic spondylitis is spontaneous, although iatrogenic causes are increasing. Accordingly, spinal surgeons have turned their attention to the diagnosis and treatment of pyogenic spondylitis.

Management of the growing number of cases of pyogenic spondylitis is complicated by the ambiguity of its symptoms and difficulty in differentiating between pyogenic and tuberculous spondylodiscitis. In pyogenic spondylitis especially, it is important to distinguish spontaneous pyogenic spondylitis caused by immune system compromise, such as that associated with diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, or chronic steroid use, and pyogenic spondylitis due to iatrogenic factors, because their approaches to treatment and prognosis can differ. In addition, treatment of this disease is further impeded by delayed treatment onset, bacterial antibiotic resistance, and disease recurrence. Therefore, understanding trends in infectious spondylitis and its subtypes is important for the clinical decision making required to manage this disease.

We used customized data provided by the National Health Insurance Service to calculate the total prevalence and incidence of infectious spondylitis over a period of 11 years (2005 to 2015, IRB No. 2017-52). The National Health Information Database is a public database containing health care applications, health evaluations, sociodemographic variables, and mortality data for the entire Korean population that is maintained by the National Health Insurance Service. The data include information from more than 50 million people, and in 2014, the participation rate in national health screening programs was 74.8%. Patients with a previous history of infective spondylitis were excluded, and the incidence was measured based on newly diagnosed patients who were treated at an inpatient or outpatient clinic with infectious spondylitis between 2005 and 2015. From 2005 to 2015, the total number of infectious spondylitis increased 2.7 times (from 11051 patients to 29796 patients). Also, the incidence of infectious spondylitis increased 2.5 times (from 23.3 patients to 58.4 patients). Among them, the number of patients with pyogenic spondylitis increased 2.6 times in 11 years (10323 patients in 2005 to 27321 patients in 2015) (Fig. 1A). The incidence rate of change of pyogenic spondylitis was much higher than that of tuberculous spondylitis. Furthermore, in 2005, the proportion of patients with infectious spondylitis who were younger than 60 years of age was 40.2%; this proportion increased to 51.4% in 2015. Previously, infectious spondylitis had been noted to occur in older people with impaired immunity; however, this disease is now seen in younger patients, owing to iatrogenic factors (Fig. 1B).
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The causes of pyogenic spondylitis are classified as iatrogenic factors or as spontaneous (no incidental reason and cause unknown). The iatrogenic factors are defined as various pain interventions and surgeries performed within 3 months of the diagnosis of pyogenic spondylitis, specifically acupuncture, pain relief procedures performed in the spinal and para-vertebral muscles, or spinal surgery. If two or more procedures have been performed, the one performed most recently was considered. In 2005, within 3 months of the diagnosis of pyogenic spondylitis, 1.0% patients had undergone pain relief procedures. In 2015, this proportion increased to 10.0%, and epidural block was the most common pain relief procedure. Similarly, the proportion of patients who utilized acupuncture steadily increased from 2.6% in 2005 to 4.6% in 2015 (Fig. 1B). There are significant differences in pain relief procedures and acupuncture (p<0.0001). Furthermore, in 2015, patients had most commonly undergone pain relief procedures (10%), followed by acupuncture (4.6%) and spinal surgery (1.7%).
bility of pyogenic spondylitis.
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