Use of Monospecific Antisera and cRNA Probes to Localize the Major Changes in Keratin Expression during Normal and Abnormal Epidermal Differentiation

Andrea Stoler,* Raphael Kopan,* Madeleine Duvic,‡ and Elaine Fuchs*

* Departments of Molecular Genetics and Cell Biology and Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637; and ‡ Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas 77030

Abstract. We report here the isolation and characterization of three antisera, each of which is specific for a single keratin from one of the three different pairs (K1/K10, K14/K5, K16/K6) that are differentially expressed in normal human epidermis and in epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation. We have used these antisera in conjunction with monospecific cRNA probes for epidermal keratin mRNAs to investigate pathways of differentiation in human epidermis and epidermal diseases in vivo and in epidermal cells cultured from normal skin and from squamous cell carcinomas in vitro. Specifically, our results suggest that: (a) the basal-specific keratin mRNAs are down-regulated upon commitment to terminal differentiation, but their encoded proteins are stable, and can be detected throughout the spinous layers; (b) the hyperproliferation-associated keratin mRNAs are expressed at a low level throughout normal epidermis when their encoded proteins are not expressed, but are synthesized at high levels in the suprabasal layers of hyperproliferating epidermis, coincident with the induced expression of the hyperproliferation-associated keratins in these cells; and (c) concomitantly with the induction of the hyperproliferation-associated keratins in the suprabasal layers of the epidermis is the down-regulation of the expression of the terminal differentiation-specific keratins. These data have important implications for our understanding of normal epidermal differentiation and the deviations from this process in the course of epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation.

The normal epidermis consists of 10–20 layers whose cells possess distinct structure and properties. The cells in the basal layer proliferate; as cells leave this layer and begin to migrate outward towards the skin surface, they cease to divide and undergo a series of biochemical and morphological changes that culminate in the production of a tough, protective outer coat (stratum corneum) of dead, terminally differentiated cells. A number of changes in protein synthesis take place during the process of terminal differentiation. The major changes are in the expression of keratins, a family of proteins (40–67 kD) which assemble into 8-nm structural filaments in the cytoplasm of all epidermal cells. Basal cells express only two keratins, K5 (58 kD) and K14 (50 kD) (Nelson and Sun, 1983). From tissue sectioning and keratin extractions, it is thought that the expression of these keratins may still continue in the suprabasal layers (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982; Skerrow and Skerrow, 1983). In situ hybridizations on the other hand have indicated that K14 and K5 mRNA expression is predominantly basal (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986; Lersch and Fuchs, 1988).

Suprabasal cells express K1 (67 kD), K2 (65 kD), K10 (56.5 kD), and K11 (56 kD) (Viac et al., 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982; Tseng et al., 1982; Skerrow and Skerrow, 1983; Kopan et al., 1987). While K1 and K10 arise from the induction of new mRNAs, K2 and K11 appear later and seem to be proteolytic degradation products of K1 and K10, respectively (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Tyner and Fuchs, 1986; Kopan et al., 1987). In humans, expression of large keratins is highly restricted to keratinizing cells of epidermis, exocervix, and anus (Moll et al., 1982a). The shift to the expression of K1 and K10 is one of the earliest biochemical indications that a cell has undergone a commitment towards terminal differentiation. The extent to which the decline in K5 and K14 synthesis and the induction of K1 and K10 synthesis are linked in epidermal differentiation has not yet been fully evaluated.

In the course of human epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation, a number of different morphological and biochemical aberrations have been observed. The most significant differences seem to be the reduction in the expression of K1 and K10 (Baden et al., 1978; Hunter and Skerrow, 1981; Thaler et al., 1980; Weiss et al., 1984; McGuire et al., 1984), and the induction of a new set of keratins, K6 (56 kD) and K16 (48 kD) (Weiss et al., 1984). In normal epidermis, K6 and K16 are only expressed transiently, during wound healing (Lane et al., 1985; Mansbridge and Knapp, 1987) or when skin is placed into tissue culture medium in vitro (Sun
and Green, 1978; Fuchs and Green, 1980; Tyner and Fuchs, 1986). Most of the documented abnormalities of keratin synthesis in epidermal diseases stem from studies involving protein extraction, electrophoretic separation, or immunoblot analysis. While some immunohistochemical studies have been attempted (Raemakers et al., 1983; Thomas et al., 1984; Leigh et al., 1985; Weiss et al., 1984; Knight et al., 1985; Robinson, 1987), they have been hampered by the lack of monospecific antibodies against specific epidermal keratins. Thus, it has been difficult to assess precisely which cells of the epidermis undergo these changes and the extent to which these biochemical changes might be associated with morphological alterations.

In this report, we describe the preparation and characterization of three antisera, each of which is specific for one of the three sets of epidermal keratins (basal, keratinization associated, and hyperproliferation associated). Using three specific epidermal keratin cRNA probes in conjunction with immunohistochemical localization, we investigate the expression of keratin mRNAs and keratin proteins in normal epidermis, and in epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation. We also report the use of an epidermal cell culture method as a model system for studying the morphology and biochemistry of both normal and tumor epidermal cells in vitro. This method involves seeding epidermal cells on a lattice of collagen and fibroblasts, and then floating the artificial skin on a raft to enable epidermal cells to be fed from beneath (Lillie et al., 1980; Karasek et al., 1971; Asselineau et al., 1985; 1986; Kopan et al., 1987). Using this system to culture normal epidermal cells, and the squamous cell carcinoma cell lines SCC-13, SCC-12, and SCC-15, we examine the morphological and biochemical similarities between these cells cultured on rafts and their in vivo counterparts.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Synthetic Peptides and Monospecific Antibodies

Peptides to K1, K14, and K16 were synthesized, and then coupled to keyhole limpet haemocyanin using glutaraldehyde (K1 and K16) or m-maleimido-benzoic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (K14) to produce essentially NH2-terminally bound peptide conjugates (Paul Sheppard, Cambridge Research Biochemicals Cambridge, England). At a concentration of 300 µg/ml in Freund's complete adjuvant, these conjugates were injected into 7-8 New Zealand White male rabbits whose sera had been prestained by immunofluorescence and immunoblot analysis to make certain it contained no endogenous keratin antibodies. For each peptide, three rabbits were injected. After 3 wk, each rabbit was boosted with 300 µg of conjugate (mixed with Freund's incomplete adjuvant), and after a 10-d period, the antisera was tested for the presence of keratin antibodies. If no appreciable titer was obtained, the animals were reboosted with 300 µg of conjugate every 14 d until a strong positive reaction was obtained either by immunofluorescence or immunoblot assays. In most cases, antisera reached a maximum titer at approximately the seventh boosting. The antisera with the strongest and most specific titer was chosen for the analyses presented here. These antisera will be referred to as anti-K1 (human K1 antisemur), anti-hK14 (human K14 antisemur), and anti-hK16 (human K16 antisemur).

Preparation of Epidermal Raft Cultures

Collagen lattices were prepared and seeded with human keratinocytes from the squamous cell carcinoma lines SCC-13, SCC-12, and SCC-15 (Wu and Rheinwald, 1981) as described previously (Asselineau et al., 1985; Kopan et al., 1987). Cells were grown submerged in culture medium for 1 wk, and then floated on metal rafts for 7-14 d before fixation and sectioning (5 µm).

Antiserum Staining of Tissue and Raft Sections

Six squamous cell carcinomas, four basal cell carcinomas, one actinic keratosis, and three psoriatic lesions were used for the studies reported here. Unless otherwise indicated, tissue and raft samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (5 µm). Most sections were subjected to immunohistochemistry using the immunogold localization technique as described by the manufacturer, Janssen Life Sciences Products (Piscataway, NJ). Briefly, after incubation of the tissue sections with the rabbit anti-keratin antibodies, samples were exposed to colloidal gold-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies. Antibody staining was then enhanced by silver precipitation. Antisera were used at the following dilutions: anti-hK14, 1:50; anti-hK1, 1:50; anti-hK16, 1:25.

Some of the samples were subjected to immunohistochemistry using the VECTASTAIN ABC Kit as described by the manufacturer (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA). Briefly, the section was first exposed to primary antibody, followed by a biotinylated secondary antibody, and then a preformed avidin and biotinylated alkaline phosphatase macromolecular complex. The enzymatic activity was localized through the use of a substrate kit (Vector Laboratories, Inc.), which coupled a soluble product (generated during the hydrolytic reaction) with a capture reagent, producing a blue insoluble precipitate.

Epidermal and squamous cell carcinoma cultures from floating rafts were fixed in Carnoy's solution and subjected to immunohistochemistry using classical indirect immunofluorescence. In these cultures, fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG was used as a conjugate.

Subcloning of Coding and 3' Noncoding Keratin cDNA Segments into Riboprobe Vectors

K14 cDNA Subclones. KB-2 is a 1,410-bp cDNA insert encoding keratin K14 (Hanukoglu and Fuchs, 1983). It has a single TGA stop codon positioned at residue 1,230. A 1,080-bp BstE II/StuI fragment (nucleotide residues 170-1,250) encompassed a major portion of the coding region of K14 and was subcloned into pSP65.

K16 cDNA Subclones. A 1,415-bp TaqI/KpnI fragment of the 1,680-bp cDNA KA-1 (Hanukoglu and Fuchs, 1983; residues 20-1,435) containing predominantly the coding region of K6 was subcloned into Escherichia coli plasmid pSP64 (Promega Biotec, Madison, WI).

K6 cDNA Subclones. The sequence for the complete gene encoding the human keratin K16 has been reported previously (Raychaudhury et al., 1986). To prepare a specific cDNA clone for this keratin mRNA, a 658-bp Pst I/Eco Rl fragment containing part of intron VII, exon VIII, and the 3' noncoding portion of the K6 gene was subcloned in the 3'-5' direction into plasmid pGEM 1 (Promega Biotec).

Radiolabeling of cRNA Probes

Cloned cDNAs were linearized, and Salmonella SP6 or T7 RNA polymerase was used to prepare 35S-labeled cRNA probes. The method of Cox et al. (1984) was followed as described by the manufacturer (Promega Biotec).

In Situ Hybridizations

In situ hybridizations were performed essentially as described by Cox et al. (1984). Briefly, tissue sections were prepared as described above and treated with 1.0 µg/ml proteinase K for 30 min at 37°C and hybridized with 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA probes that had been hydrolyzed to an average size of 150 bp by sodium carbonate treatment at 50°C. Hybridization conditions were: 50% recrystallized formamide, 0.3 M NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.02% BSA, 0.02% Ficoll, 0.02% polyvinylpyrrolidone, and 10% dextran sulfate for 12 h at 41°C. After hybridization, tissue sections were treated with RNase to remove any unhybridized probe and washed with (a) 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM sodium citrate (2× SSC) containing 10 mM DTT at 25°C for 30 min, to quench any nonspecific hybridization and (b) 0.1× SSC containing 10 mM DTT for 1 h at 30°C. Autoradiography was performed using an NTB-2 Kodak emulsion. Samples were exposed for 3-8 d as indicated in the text.

Results

Design, Preparation, and Characterization of the Antikeratin Antisera

Previously, genomic sequences have been reported for the
human epidermal keratins K1 (Johnson et al., 1985), K14 (Marchuk et al., 1984, 1985), and K16 (Ray-Chaudhury et al., 1986; Rosenberg et al., 1988). Secondary structure analyses of their predicted amino acid sequences revealed features characteristic of all keratins, namely a central alphahelical region containing hydrophobic repeats indicative of an ability to form a coiled-coil structure, and the presence of an L L E G E sequence at the carboxy-terminal end of the helical region (for review, see Geisler et al., 1982; Fuchs and Hamamoglu, 1983; Steinert et al., 1985). A comparison of amino acid sequences indicated that the most divergent portion of all keratin polypeptides is in the nonhelical domain extending from the L L E G E to the carboxy terminus. These sequences are also thought to protrude along the surface of the keratin filament (Steinert et al., 1983).

As happens for the preparation of monospecific antisera, peptides were chosen to keratin sequences which were located primarily in this nonhelical carboxy-terminal domain. Within this region, segments which either (a) share homology with other known keratin sequences, or (b) contained stretches of a single amino acid residue (e.g., serine) were avoided.

Fig. 1 shows the sequences (underlined) which were selected for the production of synthetic peptides and for antibody preparation. Except for the K16 peptide, the entire sequence chosen for peptide synthesis was also contained in the corresponding keratin protein. For anti-hK16, only the carboxy-terminal QSS corresponded to the K16 sequence (Rosenberg et al., 1988). When used at a concentration of 300 µg/ml of antiserum, a synthetic heptapeptide to the carboxy-terminal sequence FSGQQQSS of the K16 protein quantitatively and specifically preadsorbed nearly all of the K16-binding activity of the antiserum. Other proteins (e.g., K14), which have an internal QSS sequence, showed no cross-reactivity with the antiserum (data not shown). Hence, the free carboxyl group of the sequence located at the terminus of the K16 protein seems to be essential to its recognition by this antiserum.

The specificity and titers of the antisera were tested by immunoblot analyses of one- and two-dimensional SDS–polyacrylamide gels containing resolved keratins isolated from human epidermis (K1*, K2*, K5*, K10*, K11*, K14*), human breast carcinoma (MCF-7) cells (K8*, K18*, K19*), and cultured human epidermal cells (K5*, K6*, K13*, K14*, K15*, K16*, K17*) (Fig. 2). Our results indicate that all three antisera are largely monospecific for their respective keratin, with little or no cross-reactivity with any of the other keratins tested. Immunoblot analysis with whole cell extracts further verified the specificity of the antisera (not shown).

**Peptide Antisera Specific for K1 and K14 Recognize Their Determinants in Human Skin In Vivo**

To test the usefulness of these antisera for immunohistochemistry, we prepared 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of human forearm skin and stained them indirectly using the immunogold staining-silver enhancement procedure or the avidin-biotinylated–alkaline phosphatase complex (ABC) staining procedure as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. 3). The anti-hK1 antiserum showed pronounced staining of all suprabasal layers of the epidermis (Fig. 3 A). This staining pattern is consistent with results obtained from tissue sectioning (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982) and from staining with broadly cross-reacting anti-s corneum keratin antibodies (Viac et al., 1980; Tseng et al., 1982; Lane et al., 1985).

Moreover, the suprabasal expression of the type II keratin K1 coincides with the suprabasal expression of its type I partner K10 (Raemakers et al., 1983; Kopan et al., 1987).

In contrast to the pattern of anti-hK1 staining, the staining of anti-hK14 revealed abundant expression of this keratin in the basal epidermal layer (Fig. 3 B; in particular, note inset). Staining was also observed in the spinous and granular layers of the epidermis, although it was not quite as prominent as in the basal layer. While these data are in agreement with earlier sectioning studies (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982), they differ from immunohistochemical data obtained with the monoclonal anti-type I keratin antibody AE-1 (Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982), which showed only basal staining of the epidermis. Our results demonstrate clearly that K14 persists in the spinous layers, and confirm that the antigenic site for type I keratins that is recognized by AE-1 is masked in these layers.

As expected, no staining of normal epidermis was observed with the anti-hK16 antiserum (Fig. 3 C). This finding is consistent with the fact that K16 protein has not been detected in keratin extracts from normal epidermis (Moll et al., 1982a, 1983; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982; Tseng et al., 1982; Tyner and Fuchs, 1986).

**Examining the Altered Pattern of Keratin Expression in Hyperproliferating Epidermis**

Psoriasis is a common disease of epidermal hyperproliferation, characterized by the lack of a well-defined granular layer and the formation of an abnormal, nucleated stratum corneum (referred to as parakeratinization; Fitzpatrick et al., 1979). The degree of parakeratinization has been correlated with the degree of abnormal keratin expression: weakly parakeratinized tissue has a keratin pattern that resembles normal epidermis (K1*, K2*, K5*, K10*, K11*, K14*), whereas the pattern seen in heavily parakeratinized tissue is almost indistinguishable from that of cultured keratinocytes (K5*, K6*, K14*, K16*) (Weiss et al., 1984). Hence, the most striking changes in keratin expression in psoriatic epidermis include a reduction in K1/K10 and an induction of...
Figure 2. Immunoblot analysis of anti-hK1, anti-hK14, and anti-hK16. (A) Human intermediate filament proteins were extracted from autopsy skin (K1+, K2+, K5+, K10+, K11+, K14+), cultured MCF-7 (breast carcinoma) cells (K8+, K18+, K19+), and cultured epidermal cells (K5+, K6+, K13+, K14+, K16+, K17+). Proteins were loaded in this order (lanes 1-3, respectively) and were resolved by one-dimensional PAGE. Proteins were either visualized by staining with Coomassie Blue (marked stain) or transferred to nitrocellulose paper by electroblotting (Towbin et al., 1979). Immunoblots were first stained with fast green to visualize the proteins, and then exposed to either anti-hK14 (α K14), anti-hK16 (α K16), or anti-hK1 (α K1). After exposure to antisera, blots were washed and then hybridized with ¹²⁵I-labeled S. aureus protein A to visualize antibody-bound proteins. Hybridized blots were exposed to x-ray film for 48 h. Keratins were identified by number according to their molecular masses and their isoelectric mobilities as indicated by Moll et al. (1982a). Note: K6 at left identifies the band in the cultured cell extract (lane 3). K10, the band seen in the skin keratin extract (lane 1), has similar electrophoretic mobility to K6. (B) Coomassie Blue staining of cultured human epidermal cell keratins, resolved by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, with a nonequilibrium pH gel in the first dimension and SDS-PAGE in the second (O'Farrell, et al., 1977). The arrow indicates changes in isoelectric mobilities of keratins due to the ability of type I and type II keratins to form complexes, which are not fully resolved in the first dimension. (C) Immunoblot of a two-dimensional gel of cultured epidermal cell keratins resolved as described in B and probed with anti-hK14 as described in A. Note that two spots were selected: one corresponding to the bona fide mobility of K14, and one corresponding to the complex formed between K14 and its partner K5, which was not resolved in the first dimension (see arrow in B). (D) Immunoblot of a two-dimensional gel of cultured epidermal cell keratins resolved as described in B and probed with anti-hK16 as described in A. Note that only one spot was selected, since K16 does not form strong complexes with type II keratins (see B).

the so called hyperproliferation-associated keratins K6 and K16 (Baden et al., 1978; Thaler et al., 1980; Hunter and Skerrow, 1981; Matoltsy et al., 1983; Bowden et al., 1983; Weiss et al., 1984; McGuire et al., 1984).

To examine how individual cells within psoriatic tissue alter their expression of keratin, we used our specific antisera to stain epidermis from samples of strongly parakeratinized psoriatic tissues (Fig. 4). K1 could readily be detected in most suprabasal cells of psoriatic tissue (Fig. 4 A). However, staining was weaker than it was for normal epidermis, particularly in the deeper suprabasal cells. K14 was also detected in psoriatic tissue (Fig. 4 B). Its localization differed slightly from normal epidermis in that anti-hK14 staining was seen in all epidermal cells, extending even to the s. corneum layer. Since no new protein synthesis takes place in this region of the skin, these data suggest that mechanisms that control proteolytic degradation of K14 in the outer layers of normal epidermis do not function in psoriatic epidermis.

Interestingly, the pattern of staining observed with anti-hK16 was very similar to that seen with anti-hK1, with strong staining in the upper spinous and granular layers of psoriatic tissue and none in the basal cells (Fig. 4 C). Hence a correlation seemed to exist between the reduction in the expression of the terminal differentiation-specific keratins and the in-
duction of the hyperproliferation-associated keratins. Moreover, as judged by keratin expression, the major alterations in psoriatic tissue were clearly manifested in the spinous layers, rather than in the basal cells, which still seemed to possess unique structural and biochemical properties characteristic of normal basal epidermal cells.
Figure 4. Anti-keratin staining of psoriatic epidermis. Psoriatic epidermis (from biopsy) was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, sectioned (5 μm) and exposed to anti-hK1 (A), anti-hK14 (B), and anti-hK16 (C). Bound antibodies were then visualized by indirect immunohistochemistry, using the alkaline phosphatase ABC Vectastain method described in Materials and Methods. Sections were examined by photomicroscopy. Bar, 74 μm.
Figure 5. Anti-keratin staining of squamous cell carcinomas. Tissue samples from six squamous cell carcinomas were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, sectioned (5 μm), and exposed to anti-hK1 (A, B, and G), anti-hK14 (C and D), and anti-hK16 (E, F, H-J). Antibody localization was visualized using the immunogold labeling and silver enhancement technique of Janssen Life Sciences Products. Representative samples shown were from a well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (A, C, and E), a poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (B, D, and F), a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (G and H), actinic keratosis (I), and from the epidermis adjacent to a well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (J). Note the presence of keratinizing squames in the well-differentiated and moderately differentiated tumors and the relative lack of differentiation and organization in the poorly differentiated sample. Note the relative absence of basal-like cells in the particular example shown of a moderately differentiated tumor. Note also that the expression of K1 is largely uniform in all of the cells of this tumor, as opposed to that seen in the well-differentiated tumor. Both anti-hK1 and anti-hK16 correlated well with keratinization in SQCC samples. Note the presence of K16 in the suprabasal layers of the pre-squamous cell carcinoma sample of actinic keratosis. Also note the abnormal presence of K16 in the epidermis adjacent to a well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (J). Bar: (A-H) 30 μm; (I and J) 74 μm.
Altered Patterns of Keratin Expression in Specific Cells of Squamous and Basal Cell Carcinomas

Squamous cell carcinomas (SQCCs) are typified by the presence of transformed, squamous-like keratinocytes (for review, see Fitzpatrick et al., 1979). Well-differentiated SQCCs have more organized, basal-like cells at the periphery of the tumor mass, and keratinized "pearls" of differentiated epidermal squames located in the center. Poorly differentiated SQCCs do not show much variation in cell morphology. Cells tend to be squamous-like and irregular, with little evidence of keratinization or of organized basal cells.

Previously, it was shown that while K1 and K10 expression is lower in squamous cell carcinoma tissue, the keratinized centers of well-differentiated tumors contain terminal differentiation-specific keratins (Robinson, 1987; Thomas et al., 1984). Indeed, when we stained a section of a well-differentiated SQCC with our anti-hK1 antiserum, K1 was readily detected in the keratinized centers (Fig. 5 A). Markedly reduced staining was observed towards the periphery of the tumor mass, and in the unkeratinized areas of the tumor. The association of anti-hK1 staining and squamous, keratinized morphology was observed in all samples of squamous cell carcinoma that we investigated. Thus, even in poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinomas, where the expression of K1 and K10 has rarely been detected by gel electrophoretic analyses (Weiss et al., 1984; Thomas et al., 1984; Moll et al., 1983, 1984; Breitkreutz et al., 1981), anti-hK1 staining could be seen in regions where the squamous morphology of tumor cells was greatest (Fig. 5 B). A particularly interesting example of this was in a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, where most, if not all of the cells of the tumor appeared to be squamous in morphology and stained very brightly with anti-hK1 antiserum (Fig. 5 G).

Our antiserum against hK14 consistently showed prominent staining throughout all of the cells of each squamous cell carcinoma (Fig. 5, C and D). In some cases of well-differentiated SQCCs (such as the example in Fig. 5 C), anti-hK14 staining was lower in the basal-like cells than in the central, more squamous regions. In other squamous cell carcinoma samples, wide variation in anti-hK14 staining was often observed, but no strong correlation with cell morphology could be made.

Most SQCCs showed patterns of anti-hK16 staining that were comparable to those seen for psoriatic tissue. The expression of K16 was greatest in well-differentiated tumors and seemed to be most prevalent in the squamous (central) areas of the carcinoma, with markedly reduced levels in the less differentiated (marginal) areas (Fig. 5 E). Poorly differentiated tumors showed very little anti-hK16 staining, with only a few cells staining appreciably above background levels (Fig. 5 F). Moderately differentiated tumors showed variable levels of anti-hK16 staining: in the example shown (Fig. 5 H), K16 expression was low. These findings are in agreement with previous microdissection analyses of squamous cell carcinomas (Moll et al., 1983, 1984), and further emphasize the correlation between anti-hK16 staining and squamous morphology.

Interestingly, K16 expression was readily detected in the suprabasal layers from the lesions of a patient with actinic keratosis, a premalignant hyperproliferative disease which is somewhat similar in appearance to psoriasis, (Fig. 5 I; Fitzpatrick et al., 1979). While anti-hK14 staining was similar to that seen in normal epidermis, anti-hK1 staining appeared to be somewhat reduced, and its location paralleled the anti-
Figure 7. In situ localization of K14 mRNA in normal epidermis and psoriatic epidermis. Tissue samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, sectioned (5 μm) and hybridized with 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA probe complementary to human K14 mRNA. After hybridization, samples were washed, treated briefly with single-stranded RNase, and exposed to an NTB-2 Kodak autoradiographic emulsion as described in Materials and Methods. Exposed silver grains were developed after 3 d and samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize tissue morphology. Sections were examined by photomicroscopy using both brightfield (left) and darkfield (right). Samples were from normal epidermis (A and B) and psoriatic epidermis (C–F). Sample shown in E and F represents a magnified (3.85 ×) version of an area in frame C. Bar: (A, B, E, and F) 30 μm; (C and D) 74 μm.

hK16 pattern (data not shown). Moreover, in all of the samples of squamous cell carcinoma that we investigated, abnormal expression of K16 was detected in the adjacent regions of seemingly normal epidermis (Fig. 5 J). This unusual phenomenon has been reported previously using a less specific antibody (Weiss et al., 1983). Whether this abnormal expression occurred as a consequence of some extracellular stimulus released either by the tumor cells or the surrounding damaged tissue was not determined. Thus, K16/K6 expression occurred in epidermal tissue of various and diverse morphologies. The unifying feature of cells expressing these keratins seemed to be their suprabasal location and their association with hyperproliferation or trauma.

The patterns of anti-keratin staining of relatively undiffer-
Altered Production of Keratins in Hyperproliferative Epidermal Diseases Appears to Be Largely at the Level of mRNA Expression

To examine the correlation between mRNA and protein expression for the epidermal keratins, we conducted in situ hybridization analyses using radiolabeled cRNA probes complementary to the mRNAs encoding keratins K14, K6a, and K16 (Figs. 7–10). Previously, we had conducted Northern blot analysis and in situ hybridizations to verify the specificity of these probes (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986; RayChaudhury et al., 1986; Rosenberg et al., 1988).

When an 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA probe complementary to a large portion of the coding region of the K14 mRNA was hybridized with normal foreskin epidermis, abundant grains were localized over the basal layer of normal epidermis, with low, but detectable hybridization extending into the spinous epidermal layers (Figs. 7, A and B). The dramatic decline in K14 mRNA expression seen during the transit of a normal epidermal cell from the basal to the first suprabasal layer was also observed in psoriatic tissue (C–F). Once again, some labeling was seen in the spinous layers, and even the outermost epidermal layers showed some hybridization. In both normal and psoriatic tissue, the down-regulation of K14 mRNA expression in the basal–suprabasal transition seemed to be more striking than the corresponding decrease in K14 protein.

The expression of K14 mRNA in squamous cell carcinomas was quite variable (Fig. 8, A and B). In well-differentiated regions of the squamous cell carcinomas, clusters of tumor cells frequently showed high grain density (e.g., the lower right cluster of cells in A and B). Leading portions of tumor masses, especially those near the skin surface, also showed high levels of K14 mRNA. This pattern of K14 mRNA distribution was similar to that observed in normal epidermis, and was frequent in more organized regions of the tumor and in cells having the most basal-like appearance (see Fig. 8, C and D, close-ups in E and F).

Some masses of SQCC cells showed levels of K14 mRNAs which were substantially lower than the adjacent regions of normal epidermis (see for example, the small cluster at the upper right in Fig. 8, A and B). This reduction in keratin mRNA expression was also observed for cells of some basal cell carcinomas (Fig. 8, G and H; compare basal layer of overlying epidermis with tumor mass in H). These data suggest that the overall level of keratin mRNA expression may be significantly down-regulated in some malignant keratinocytes.

To determine the extent to which transcriptional and post-transcriptional controls play a role in the induction of the hyperproliferation-associated keratins in different epidermal diseases, we used in situ hybridization with specific radiolabeled K6a and K16 cRNA probes to examine the level of K6 and K16 mRNAs in normal skin, psoriatic skin, and basal and squamous cell carcinomas. After hybridizations with equal concentrations of radiolabeled probes specific for and complementary to (a) the coding portion of the human K6a mRNA and (b) the noncoding portion of the human K16 mRNA, tissue sections were exposed to photographic emulsion for 8 d (normal epidermis) or 3 d (all samples) before developing the exposed silver grains (Figs. 9 and 10). As had been observed previously (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986), at long exposure, K6a mRNA could be detected throughout all of the living layers of normal epidermis at a low, but significant level (Fig. 9, A and B). This was true even when a 3' noncoding probe was used to identify K6a mRNA (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986; Lersch and Fuchs, 1988). Upon shorter exposures, the presence of this mRNA was more difficult to verify (Fig. 9 C). The expression of K16 mRNA closely paralleled that of its partner K6 mRNA in normal epidermis (Fig. 9 D). This was also true for other epidermal samples that we examined.

In psoriatic tissue, the level of K6a mRNA expression was greatly elevated over that seen in normal epidermis (Fig. 9, E and F). This increase in mRNA was largely confined to the spinous layers. An increase in the grain density was also observed in the suprabasal layers of psoriatic tissue hybridized with the K16 probe (Fig. 9 G). Hence, the increase in K16 and K6 mRNAs correlated well with the appearance of K16 (and presumably K6) protein in the suprabasal cells of psoriatic tissue.

The expression of K16 and K6 mRNAs was also elevated in some squamous cell carcinoma tissues (Fig. 10). Once again, the localization of these mRNAs showed a pattern which was often opposite to that seen for the K14 mRNA probe. In well-differentiated tumors, K6 and K16 mRNAs were usually concentrated in the inner, more keratinized masses of the tumors, with lower levels in the basal-like cells (Fig. 10, A and B). Lower levels of K6/K16 mRNAs were also found in all of the cells of poorly differentiated SQCCs (Fig. 10, C and D). However, the levels of expression of K6 and K16 mRNAs in at least some of the regions of all squa-

*Figure 8.* In situ localization of K14 mRNA in squamous and basal cell carcinoma. Tissue samples were fixed and subjected to in situ hybridization with 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA K14 probe as described in the legend to Fig. 7. (Left) Brightfield; (right) darkfield. (A and B) A well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma: note wide variability in hybridization for keratinized cells (lower cluster of cells, with strong hybridization), less keratinized cells (upper cluster, with weak hybridization) and leading edges of the tumor (at left); (C and D) peripheral regions of a well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma; (E and F) enlargement (3.85 ×) of the area contained within the dotted box shown in C; (G and H) a basal cell carcinoma: note that the overall expression of K14 mRNA in this basal cell carcinoma was lower than in the basal layer of the epidermis adjacent to the tumor (comparison indicated by the arrows). Bar: (A–D and G and H) 74 μm; (E and F) 30 μm.
Figure 9. In situ localization of K6/K16 mRNAs in normal and psoriatic epidermis. Tissue samples were fixed and subjected to in situ hybridization with 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA probe to either K6 or to K16 as described in the legend to Fig. 7. Samples were from: (A and B) normal epidermis hybridized with a K6a probe and exposed for 8 d (brightfield, A; darkfield, B); (C) normal epidermis hybridized with a K6a probe and exposed for 3 d (brightfield); (D) normal epidermis hybridized with a K16 probe and exposed for 3 d (brightfield); (E and F) psoriatic epidermis hybridized with a K6a probe and exposed for 3 d (brightfield, E; darkfield, F); (G) psoriatic tissue hybridized with a K16 probe and exposed for 3 d (brightfield, magnified 2.5× over other samples). Note the suprabasal location of K6/K16 mRNAs in psoriatic tissue. Bar: (A-D and G) 30 μm; (E and F) 74 μm.
Figure 10. In situ localization of K6/K16 mRNAs in squamous and basal cell carcinomas. Tissue samples were fixed and subjected to in situ hybridization with 35S-UTP-labeled cRNA probe to either K6α or to K16 as described in the legend to Fig. 7. Hybridizations were similar for both K6α and K16 probes, and only one of the two hybridizations is shown for each sample. (A, C, and E) Brightfield; (B, D, and F) darkfield. (A and B) A well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma hybridized with a K6α probe; (C and D) a poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma hybridized with a K6α probe; (E and F) a basal cell carcinoma, hybridized with a K16 probe. The tumor boundaries in B and D are indicated by the dotted lines. Note that the expression of K6/K16 mRNAs is high in the inner regions of the squamous cell carcinoma, whereas the number of grains in the tumor mass of the basal cell carcinoma was negligible. Note also that the epidermis adjacent to the tumor shows an increase in K16 grain density (indicated by the arrow in F). In E: e, epidermis; d, dermis; t, basal cell tumor. Bar: (A-D) 30 μm; (E and F) 74 μm.

Squamous cell carcinomas were always higher than in normal epidermis. In addition, high levels of K6/K16 mRNA expression were observed for the suprabasal squamous cells of the actinic keratosis sample that we examined (data not shown). Hence an increased expression of these mRNAs may precede the malignant transformation leading to the development of squamous cell carcinomas.

In striking contrast to squamous cell carcinomas, basal cell carcinomas showed a little or no evidence of K6/K16 mRNA expression (Fig. 10, E and F). However, as can be seen by the arrow in Fig. 10 F, the level of expression of the hyperproliferation-associated mRNAs is elevated in the apparently normal epidermis adjacent to the tumor as compared with more distant regions. This increase in K6 and K16
Table I. Summary of Keratin Protein and mRNA Expression in Human Epidermal Diseases

|                         | Anti-hK1 | Anti-hK14 | Anti-hK16 | K14 mRNA | K6 mRNA | K16 mRNA |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Normal epidermis        |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Basal                   | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Spinous                 | +++++    | +         | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| S. corneum              | +++++    | -/+       | -         | +        | +       | +       |
| Psoriatic Epidermis     |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Basal                   | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Spinous                 | +        | +         | +         | +        | +       | +       |
| Parakeratinized         | +        | +         | +         | -/+      | +       | +       |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Well differentiated     |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| leading edges           | -/+      | +++++     | -/+       | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| inner, keratinized      | ++++++   | +++++     | ++/+      | ++++++    | +       | +++++   |
| Moderately differentiated| +++++   | +++++     | +++++     | +++++     | +++++   | +++++   |
| Poorly differentiated   |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Basal                   | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Spinous-inner           | -/+      | +        | +         | +        | +       | +       |
| Spinous-outer           | +++++    | +++++     | +++++     | +++++     | +       | +       |
| S. corneum              | +++++    | -/+       | +         | +        | -        | +       |
| Well differentiated     |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| leading edges           | -/+      | +++++     | -/+       | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| inner, keratinized      | ++++++   | +++++     | ++/+      | ++++++    | +       | +++++   |
| Moderately differentiated| +++++   | +++++     | +++++     | +++++     | +++++   | +++++   |
| Poorly differentiated   |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Basal                   | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Spinous-inner           | -/+      | +        | +         | +        | +       | +       |
| Spinous-outer           | +++++    | +++++     | +++++     | +++++     | +       | +       |
| S. corneum              | +++++    | -/+       | +         | +        | -        | +       |
| Basal cell carcinoma    |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| All tumor cells         | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Adjacent epidermis      |          |           |           |           |         |         |
| Basal                   | -        | +++++     | -         | +++++     | -/+     | -/+     |
| Spinous-inner           | -/+      | +        | +         | +        | +       | +       |
| Spinous-outer           | +++++    | +++++     | +++++     | +++++     | +       | +       |
| S. corneum              | +++++    | -/+       | +         | +        | -        | +       |

Wherever slashes are shown, the levels of antibody staining or the expression of mRNAs were variable. In these cases, the value at the left of the slash indicates the minimum levels detected, while the value at the right of the slash indicates the maximum levels detected.

mRNA expression in the suprabasal cells of the epidermis adjacent to tumor masses was also observed for squamous cell carcinoma samples. Thus, for all of the tumor samples that we examined, the spinous-like cells which expressed K16 protein also showed a corresponding increase in K6 and K16 mRNAs.

A summary of the immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization data obtained for normal, psoriatic, and tumor skin tissue is provided in Table I. K14 mRNA and protein expression was most prominent in basal epidermal cells and in less differentiated cells of squamous and basal cell carcinomas. K1 expression was seen in terminally differentiating cells in epidermis and in keratinized regions of SQCCs, but not in basal cell carcinomas. K1 expression was down-regulated in psoriatic tissue and in tissue from actinic keratosis. Expression of the hyperproliferation-associated keratins was limited to the suprabasal cells of psoriatic tissue and actinic keratosis tissue, to the squamous, well-differentiated cells of squamous cell carcinomas, and to the epidermis surrounding a tumor. Basal epidermal cells showed no evidence of K6/K16 expression at the protein level, and only very low levels at the mRNA level. K6/K16 induction in suprabasal cells was always accompanied by a corresponding and dramatic increase in mRNA expression. Whether the low, base level expression of K6 and K16 mRNAs observed in normal epidermis might functionally contribute to the early stages of wound healing or in the development of epidermal disease remains to be determined.

Morphology and Pattern of Expression of Keratins in Normal and Squamous Cell Carcinoma Cells Cultured on Floating Collagen–Fibroblast Rafts In Vitro Is Parallel to That Seen In Vivo

When normal human epidermal cells are cultured on collagen–fibroblast rafts that are subsequently (at confluence) floated at the air-medium interface, they stratify extensively and undergo many of the biochemical features characteristic of terminal differentiation (Fig. 11 A; see also Asselineau et al., 1986; Kopan et al., 1987). These features include the expression of low, but significant levels of K1 and K10 in the suprabasal layers (Fig. 11 B; Kopan et al., 1987). The expression of K5/K14 and K6/K17/K6 in epidermal rafts has been reported (Asselineau et al., 1986; Kopan et al., 1987), but it has not been localized.

When sections of epidermal rafts were stained with anti-hK14, K14 was detected throughout the epidermal layers, with expression being greatest in the basal layer, but with marked persistance in the suprabasal layers (Fig. 11 C). When rafts were stained with anti-hK16, K16 was most abun-
Figure 11. Morphology and keratin expression in floating rafts of cultured human epidermal cells. Epidermal cells were cultured on floating rafts as described in Materials and Methods. "Rafts" were fixed in Carnoy's fixative and sectioned (5 μm). Sections were either stained with hematoxylin and eosin (A) or subjected to indirect immunofluorescence (B-D) with anti-hK1 (B), anti-hK14 (C), or anti-hK16 (D). Dotted line in D indicates the boundary between the epidermal culture and the artificial dermis which in this case did not detach from the sample. Bar: (A and D) 30 μm; (B and C) 20 μm.

dant in the suprabasal layers (Fig. 11 D). Hence, the pattern of keratin expression in epidermal rafts seemed to be very similar to that observed for psoriatic tissue (compare with Fig. 4), and for epidermis in the vicinity of either squamous or basal cell tumors (compare with Figs. 5 and 6).

The finding that raft cultures of normal epidermal cells in vitro are similar to hyperproliferating epidermis in vivo led us to wonder whether SQCC lines grown on rafts might display morphological and biochemical features related to SQCCs in vivo. To test this possibility, the SQCC lines SCC-12 (facial epidermis), SCC-15 (tongue), and SCC-13 (facial epidermis) were cultured on floating lattices of collagen and fibroblasts for 10-14 d. Sections of these cultures revealed marked disorganization of the cells within the “tissues” and clear evidence of abnormal keratinization (Fig. 12, A-C). Each tumor line exhibited basal-like, spinous-like, and granular-containing cells (BL, SP, and GR in Fig. 12 C); however, the differentiative process varied greatly for each line. The SCC-12 line was interesting in that it seemed to invade the collagen–fibroblast layer, with epidermal growth extending deep into this lattice (Fig. 12 A). The other two lines showed little or no evidence of this behavior, but nonetheless had morphologies which were clearly abnormal (Fig. 12, B and C). In all three lines, a number of mitotic cells could be observed even in the outermost layers of some of the sections.

To examine the pattern of keratin expression, sections of SCC-13 rafts were stained with anti-keratin antisera. SCC rafts were unusual in that they showed only patchy staining with anti-hK1 (Fig. 12, D and E). This keratin was restricted to suprabasal cells, and staining was most abundant in the central regions of the raft (Fig. 12 D). Less-stratified regions showed less K1 expression, which was also restricted to the suprabasal cells located in the centers, rather than the growing edges of these areas (Fig. 12 E). In well-stratified portions of the raft, K14 protein was detected in all layers, with strongest staining in the inner layers (see Fig. 12 F). In less-stratified portions on the raft, K14 expression seemed to be most abundant in the inner and outer layers of the tissue (Fig. 12 G).

The pattern of K16 expression in SCC-13 rafts was similar to that obtained for K1 (Fig. 12, H and I). Hence, only suprabasal cells showed K16 staining, and this was most prominent in more stratified regions of the culture (Fig. 12 H). In most cases, poorly stratified areas at the growing edges of the raft cultures showed little or no K16 staining (Fig. 12 I).
Figure 12. Morphology and keratin expression in floating rafts of cultured human squamous cell carcinoma lines. Keratinocytes from squa-
mous cell carcinoma lines of skin, SCC-12 and SCC-13, or tongue, SCC-15, were cultured on floating rafts as described in Materials and
Methods. Rafts were fixed in Carnoy's fixative and sectioned (5 μm). Sections were either stained with hematoxylin and eosin (A–C) or
subjected to indirect immunofluorescence (D–I) with anti-hK1 (D and E), anti-hK14 (F and G), or anti-hK16 (H and I). Samples were
from rafts of: SCC-12 (A), SCC-13 (C and D–I), and SCC-15 (B). In D–I, the left portion of each panel shows staining of a well-differentiated
portion of the raft; the right portion shows staining of the growing edge of the raft. BL, basal-like cells; SP, spinous-like cells; and GR
granulocytes. Bar: (A–C, E, G, and I) 30 μm; (D, F, and H) 40 μm.

Collectively, our studies indicate that certain morphologi-
cal and biochemical features of the terminal differentiation
process are maintained in squamous cell carcinoma cultures,
but that the process is grossly abnormal when compared with
primary epidermal cultures (Fig. 11). In all cases, both K1
and K16 were detected, and their expression seemed to be
greatest in the squamous, more stratified regions of the rafts.
These results are in good agreement with the findings ob-
tained for SQCCs in vivo and suggest that the raft system
closely approximates the morphological and biochemical
aberrations of SQCCs. Whether this culture method will
provide a parallel between the degree of differentiation of an
SQCC in situ and the morphology of the corresponding tu-
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Discussion

Do the Monospecific Antisera Detect Their Keratins in All Epidermal Cells Where They Are Present?

One of the major concerns with immunohistochemical studies is whether a negative result represents the absence or the masking of a protein. For the keratins, masking of antigenic sites has already been observed in some in vivo studies (Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982; Weiss et al., 1984).

Physicochemical studies on keratins have suggested that the nonhelical end domains of the polypeptides may protrude along the surface of the keratin filament (Steinert et al., 1983; 1985; Roop et al., 1984; Albers and Fuchs, 1987), indicating that these domains might provide the best antigenic sites to guard against antibody masking. Since the carboxy terminus is also the most highly divergent region of the keratin polypeptide, this region seemed to be most suitable candidate for the production of monospecific anti-keratin antibodies.

Our studies involving anti-hK14 have indicated that not only is this antiserum monospecific for K14, but in addition, it seems to detect K14 where it is present. This antiserum demonstrated clearly the abundant expression of K14 in the basal epidermal layer, with extension of expression to the suprabasal cells as well. While tissue-sectioning experiments (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982) and mRNA analyses (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986) have suggested that expression of K14 might continue in the suprabasal layers, previous studies with other antibodies have not been able to verify this notion.

In this report, we have shown that our anti-hK1 antiserum is also monospecific, and the K1 expression patterns obtained with this antiserum are consistent with previous mRNA studies (Fuchs and Green, 1980), tissue-sectioning experiments (Fuchs and Green, 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982), and immunohistochemical studies (Viac et al., 1980; Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982). Although we cannot be unequivocally certain that the anti-hK1 antigenic site is exposed in all cells of epidermal diseases, the patterns of expression which we observed with the antiserum are consistent with our data on normal epidermis and indicate that K1 is only expressed in terminally differentiating cells.

The presence of anti-hK1 staining in all suprabasal layers of human skin, including the s. corneum, is somewhat different from that seen with an anti-mouse K1 peptide antiserum which showed only spinous and granular layer staining of mouse skin (Roop et al., 1984). This difference may be due to the fact that the anti-mouse K1 antiserum was prepared against the extreme carboxy-terminal tridecapeptide of the mouse K1, whereas our anti-human K1 antiserum was prepared against a 17-mer located just to the carboxyl side of the L E G E alpha-helical sequence (see Fig. 1). Previously, it was observed that posttranslational processing of the 67-kD K1 to a 65-kD by-product (possibly K2) takes place in the outer layers of human skin (Fuchs and Green, 1980). Collectively, the data suggest that at least some of the residues following the L E G E sequence in K1 are retained in the s. corneum layers, while the carboxy terminus may be lost.

Before our study, little was known about the pattern of expression of K6/K16 in cultured epidermal cells or in epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation. Early studies on keratin expression in cultured keratinocytes indicated that the cells were largely basal-like in character, and led to initial speculation that all four cultured cell keratins (K5, K6, K14, and K16) might be expressed in basal epidermal cells (Fuchs and Green, 1980). More recent studies have indicated that K6/K16 are not present in normal epidermis and when they are expressed (e.g., during hyperproliferation or in culture), they may have a suprabasal location. In one such study, cell separation of cultured rabbit corneal epithelial cells suggested a suprabasal location (Schermer et al., 1986). Several other studies using the monoclonal antibody AE1 showed only basal staining of normal epidermis (Woodcock-Mitchell et al., 1982), but basal and spinous staining of psoriatic epidermis (Weiss et al., 1984). Since the repertoire of keratins cross-reacting with AE1 include K16 and since K16 is an induced component of the psoriatic cytoskeleton, it was suggested that K16 might be preferentially localized in the suprabasal layers of psoriatic skin (Weiss et al., 1984). However, because AE1 also cross reacts with K10 and K14 in the epidermis, and because the antigenic determinant recognized by AE1 is known to be masked for both K14 and K10 in the suprabasal cells of normal epidermis, but unmasked for K14 in the basal layer, the use of this antibody as a tool to identify the presence or absence of a particular keratin could not be made with certainty.

Our finding that the hK16 antiserum cross reacts with the cytoskeleton of suprabasal cells of psoriatic epidermis is consistent with the early predictions by Weiss et al. (1984). While possibilities of masking cannot be excluded with anti-hK16, they are less likely considering that (a) the antiserum is polyclonal, and (b) the antiserum is against the carboxy-terminal end of the polypeptide chain. The increased levels of K16 and K6 mRNAs in the suprabasal layers of psoriatic epidermis support the immunohistochemical localization of these proteins.

K1 Localization, Cell Morphology, and Terminal Differentiation

The association of K1 and its partner K10 with the process of terminal differentiation has been well documented for normal epidermis (for review, see Fuchs et al., 1987). Their localization in SQCC has been more difficult to determine due to the irregular morphology of the tumors, and at present, the data have yielded somewhat conflicting results. While the microdissection studies of Moll et al. (1983) showed no correlation between the presence of K1/K10 and the appearance of highly keratinized pearls within well-differentiated SQCCs, the immunohistochemical studies of Thomas et al. (1984) and Robinson (1987) indicated that the terminal differentiation-specific keratins are most abundant in SQCC-pearls. Our monospecific antiserum against human K1 (anti-hK1), has provided evidence that supports the previous immunohistochemical results. Moreover, the correlation between K1 expression and squamous-like morphology extends even to a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma where all of the cells of the tumor appeared squamous in character and expressed K1.

K14 Expression and Basal-like Morphology

While K1 expression is strongly associated with squamous-
like morphology and terminal differentiation, the solitary expression of the K14/K5 pair of keratins appears to be a major feature of all basal-like cells. Hence, both in vivo and in vitro, K14 seems to be the only type I protein expressed in the basal cells of both normal and hyperproliferating epidermis, and in the basal-like cells of basal and squamous cell carcinomas. A high level of K14 mRNA also seems to correlate with a basal-like character. However, while K14 mRNAs are abundant in basal cells of normal and psoriatic tissue, their expression varies considerably in tumor cells. Whether the low level of K14 expression in the basal-like cells of some tumors reflects a less differentiated state than true basal cells of adult epidermis remains to be determined; however, it is interesting to note that some basal cell carcinomas seem to be less differentiated in that islands of tumor cells can develop into hair and glandular-like structures, a capacity that is normally lost early during embryonic development (Fitzpatrick et al., 1979).

**K6/K16 Expression and Suprabasal Cells**

A major finding of our studies is that only suprabasal cells express K6 and K16 during hyperproliferation in the epidermis. This was true for psoriatic tissue, actinic keratosis, and regions of epidermis adjacent to tumors. Even in SQCCs, only the more squamous-like cells rather than the basal-like cells, expressed K6/K16. Moreover, the induction of K6/K16 expression in hyperproliferating epidermal cells seemed to coincide with a down-regulation in K1/K10 expression. Our investigations revealing the opposing behavior of K6/K16 and K1/K10 in the suprabasal cells of hyperproliferating epidermis provide direct experimental evidence in support of earlier hypotheses (Weiss et al., 1984; Schermer et al., 1986).

The detection of K6/K16 in all and only the suprabasal cells of hyperproliferative diseases such as psoriasis revealed a marked biochemical distinction between the basal and suprabasal cells. This distinction was further illuminated by (a) the uniform decrease in K1 expression in all suprabasal cells, and (b) the presence of high levels of K14 mRNAs in only the basal cells. Our data is also in agreement with that of Leigh et al. (1985), who examined other biochemical markers of basal and suprabasal psoriatic cells. It could be that the pattern of keratin gene expression in basal cells is controlled by the particular differentiation-state of an epidermal cell. Alternatively, the pattern of keratins might be determined by the close association of basal cells either with the basement membrane or with the dermis. In either case, the increased population of cells in psoriatic epidermis does not seem to express markers typical of basal epidermal cells, and yet they differ from normal spinous cells in their expression of K6/K16 and in their reduced expression of K1/K10. Whether these biochemical differences contribute to the increased proliferative rate of the suprabasal population in psoriasis (Lavker and Sun, 1983; Weinstein and Van Scott, 1965; Leigh et al., 1985) remains to be determined.

If basal epidermal cells do not produce K6/K16, then a priori, we would not expect undifferentiated basal cell carcinomas to express these keratins. In fact, none of the four samples of solid or superficial basal cell carcinomas investigated in our study showed appreciable staining with our anti-hK16 antiserum, nor did they express significant levels of K6 or K16 mRNAs. Thus, it seems most likely that the occasional report of either significant or trace amounts of K6 and K16 in basal cell carcinomas (Moll et al., 1982b, 1983; Breitkreutz et al., 1981; Kubilus et al., 1980; Weiss et al., 1984) reflects either (a) the detection of induced K16 and K6 in adjacent epidermis contaminating the tumor sample, or (b) a basal cell tumor capable of undergoing some, perhaps limited or altered, form of differentiation. More comprehensive studies using our antisera and cRNA probes to investigate additional basal cell carcinomas should help to clarify the extent to which basal cell carcinoma cells might be able to express K6/K16.

**K6/K16 Expression May Be Regulated at Both the Transcriptional and the Posttranscriptional Levels**

Previously, we had shown that K6 mRNAs are present at a low level throughout normal epidermis even though no K6 protein is detected (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986). These RNAs seem to be translatable in a reticulocyte lysate system in vitro, and they are also of the proper size (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986). Thus, posttranscriptional controls seem to be operating to prevent the synthesis of K6/K16 in normal epidermis. However, when epidermis is placed into tissue culture medium, an increase of up to ninefold is seen in K6 mRNA levels, indicating that additional (possibly transcriptional) controls might also be important in regulating the expression of these keratins (Tyner and Fuchs, 1986).

Our initial studies led us to wonder whether the induction of hyperproliferation-associated keratins in psoriasis and in squamous cell carcinomas is accompanied by an increase in their mRNAs, or alternatively, whether changes in the translatability of existing mRNAs might dominate. Our results have clearly demonstrated that in both diseases, the levels of K16 and K6 mRNAs are greatly elevated in the cells that are induced to express these keratins. Thus, both in normal skin placed in culture medium and in epidermal diseases of hyperproliferation, a substantial increase in K6/K16 mRNA levels takes place concomitantly with the induction of K6/K16 expression. Since these changes take place only in the suprabasal epidermal cells, it is likely that only suprabasal cells have the capacity to sense the external stimulus that triggers the hyperproliferative response.

We do not yet know whether the low levels of K16 and K6 mRNAs observed in normal epidermis are functional in vivo. Posttranscriptional controls might enable the hyperproliferative response to occur more rapidly than if regulation is strictly at the transcriptional level. If expression of K6 and K16 is important, either to create a cytoskeleton more compatible with cell division, or to enable a greater number of divisions to occur before terminal differentiation, then recruiting premade mRNAs to produce these keratins might facilitate the response. However, even if a base level of mRNA expression is important to the initial events leading to hyperproliferation, the maintenance of the response seems to be fulfilled by a mechanism that leads to a large increase in the pool size of K6/K16 mRNAs. Further studies using both in vivo and in vitro models of epidermal hyperproliferation should help to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying this complex and fundamentally important biological response.
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