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**1. INTRODUCTION**

Tellurium (Te) is one of the rarest chemical elements in the Earth's crust.1−4 Its large absence on Earth finds its origin in Earth’s formation when Te is bound to hydrogen to form tellurium hydrides. Earth’s gravity was not strong enough to retain these highly volatile hydrides, and most Te escaped into space, making it rare on Earth but not in the Universe.5 Nevertheless, Te forms compounds that are of great interest to the scientific community, mainly due to their optoelectronic properties and a wide array of biological properties whose research has been gaining interest over the last few decades. For example, cadmium telluride (CdTe) is used in flexible solar cells, displaying a 16.4% efficiency,6 while copper telluride (Cu2Te) is a thermoelectric material exhibiting a figure of merit (ZT) of around 1.1.7,8 In terms of their biological properties, most alkali–metal tellurites and tellurates are useful in microbiology, while the antioxidant effects of organo-tellurides and diorganoditellurides and the immunomodulatory effects of the nontoxic inorganic tellurane, named AS-101, are also of great interest in research.9,10

One of the most simple Te-based compounds is its oxide, tellurium dioxide (TeO2), which is an important catalyst in oxidation, hydrogenation, and dehydrogenation processes.11 TeO2 is a polymorph material that exhibits three crystalline structures: $\alpha$-TeO2 (paratellurite, gray color), $\beta$-TeO2 (tellurite, yellow color), and $\gamma$-TeO2 (metastable).12−14 $\alpha$-TeO2 displays an indirect band gap of around 2.9 eV and a direct band gap of around 3.3 eV.15 $\beta$-TeO2 displays only a direct band gap of around 2.2 eV, while $\gamma$-TeO2 only exhibits an indirect band gap of around 3.1 eV. That is why TeO2 is also used in fiber optics and waveguide applications.16 Indeed, the visible portion of the electromagnetic spectrum ranges from 1.6 to 3.3 eV; therefore, with TeO2 nanostructures displaying energy band gaps larger than $\sim 3.3$ eV, visible light will be easily transmitted through those structures.

One of the earliest applications of TeO2 in biomedical applications came from its use as an antibiotic.17 Indeed, in the pre-penicillin era, Te-based compounds were used by Alexander Fleming to inhibit the growth of many pathogens.17 Tellurium itself is not particularly toxic but its absence in the biological world may explain its efficacy against pathogens.10 When used in the nanometer size range, Te-based compounds, including TeO2, provide a dramatic improvement in their biomedical properties due to an increase in their surface-to-volume ratios and a sustained increase in reactivity with
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biological membranes. Therefore, Te-based nanoparticles (NPs) can be employed in antibacterial applications, as the sole agent,\(^{18}\) or in combination with bioactive glasses\(^ {19}\) or anticancer approaches.\(^ {20–23}\)

One of the most important factors impacting the applicability and activity of any NP is how they are made and the presence of synthetic byproducts in their final form. Nowadays, TeO\(_2\) NPs are synthesized by various techniques.

---

**Table 1. List of Studies Discussing the Synthesis of Te and TeO\(_2\) NPs by PLAL\(^ {a, b}\)**

| authors          | publication year | Liu et al.\(^ {24, 26}\) | Guisbiers et al.\(^ {27}\) | Saraeva et al.\(^ {29}\) | Khalef et al.\(^ {40}\) | this work |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|                  |                  | 2014                     | 2016                      | 2017                     | 2020                     | 2021      |
| type of laser    | Nd:YAG           | Nd:YAG                   | Nd:YAG                    | Yb-doped                 | Nd:YAG                   | Nd:YAG    |
| wavelength (nm)  | 1064             | 1064                     | 1064                      | 1040                     | 1064                     | 1064      |
| repetition rate (Hz) | 1                | 20                       | 20                        | 20,000                   | 1                        | 1,000     |
| pulse duration (ns) | ~9               | ~10                      | ~4                        | ~120                     | ~9                       | ~100      |
| irradiation time (s) | 20              | 2, 10, 180               | 900                       | 50                       | 300                      |
| solvent          | deionized (DI) water | DI water, methanol, ethanol, acetone, dichloromethane | DI water, acetone | DI water | DI water | DI water |
| target static    | static           | static                   | static                    | dynamic                  | static                   | static    |
| fluence (J/cm\(^2\)) | ~11              | ~11                      | ~2                        | ~2                      | ~284                     |
| product          | TeO\(_2\) NPs    | TeO\(_2\) NPs, Te NPs,  | TeO\(_2\) NPs, Te NPs,   | TeO\(_2\) NPs           | TeO\(_2\) NPs           | TeO\(_2\) NPs |
| application      | none             | none                     | antibacterial: S. aureus biofilms | antibacterial: E. coli, S. aureus | antibacterial: MDR E. coli, MR S. aureus | anticancer: human melanoma cells |

\(^{a}\)Nd:YAG, neodymium-doped:yttrium aluminum garnet. \(^{b}\)NPs, nanoparticles.

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Sketch showing the PLAL synthesis protocol. (b) Tyndall effect observed on the colloid synthesized by PLAL at 1000 Hz. The left solution is the solvent, i.e., DI water, while the right solution is the colloid containing the TeO\(_2\) NPs. (c) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the TeO\(_2\) NPs contained in the colloid synthesized by PLAL at 1000 Hz. (d) Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) line scan through one TeO\(_2\) particle.
such as biosynthesis,24 spray pyrolysis,25 thermal evaporation,26 sonochemistry,27 and pulsed laser ablation in liquids (PLAL).28,29 Among these techniques, PLAL is the one that creates NPs with a clean surface (i.e., without any surfactants or impurities attached), allowing them to interact efficiently with their environment. This advantage is particularly suitable for catalytic30−32 and antibacterial33−35 applications.

Therefore, this paper focused on the synthesis of “naked” TeO2 NPs by PLAL. The originality of our PLAL synthesis lies in the use of a high repetition rate (1 kHz) pulsed laser when irradiating a static Te target. As featured in Table 1, this study is the first to report the ablation of a pure static Te target in the kHz regime. By increasing the repetition rate from 100 Hz to 1 kHz, we noticed an increase of 36% in the production rate of TeO2 NPs. Consequently, the synthesis time can be significantly abridged to produce the same amount of NPs, as already noticed by Nikolov et al. in the case of silver NPs.36 Furthermore, this is the first time that TeO2 NPs produced by PLAL are tested against antibiotic-resistant bacteria such as multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli (MDR E. coli), methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MR S. aureus), and a cancer cell specifically human melanoma cells.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. PLAL Synthesis. TeO2 NPs were synthesized by utilizing a nanosecond Nd:YAG laser (Electro Scientific Industries) operating at 1064 nm. The pulsed laser beam was reflected off a gold-coated mirror oriented at a 45° angle with respect to the laser rail (Figure 1a). A biconvex lens (focal length = 83 mm) was placed on the laser beam path between the mirror and the target to focus the beam on the target’s surface. The laser beam’s spot size on the target’s surface was measured at around ∼45 μm. Consequently, the fluence of the laser was determined to be around ∼346 J cm−2. Indeed, the laser’s pulse repetition rate was fixed at 1 kHz with an energy output per pulse of around 5.5 mJ. However, as liquid water absorbs the 1064 nm radiation, it is important to consider the effect of the liquid height on the fluence. Here, the liquid height on top of the target was set at 8 mm. Therefore, according to Hamad et al.,31 the fluence was reduced by ∼18%, giving a value of around ∼284 J cm−2. The target consisted of bulk Te pellets (99.99% from Sigma-Aldrich 263303-25G), ∼2 mm in diameter, and the pellets were sitting immobile at the bottom of a 50 mL rounded single-neck glass flask. The flask was then filled with 5 mL of deionized (DI) water. The NPs were produced by irradiating the static target for 5 min.

2.2. Physicochemical Characterization. After synthesis, the samples were characterized by ultraviolet (UV)−visible spectroscopy (Cary 5000 from Agilent), Raman spectroscopy (EZRaman-I Series from TSI), atomic emission spectroscopy (AES, 4210 MP-AES from Agilent), dynamic light scattering (DLS, NanoBrook 90Plus DLS from Brookhaven Instruments Corporation), scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM 7000F SEM, operating at 15 kV), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Mettler Toledo), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher Kα), and X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Miniflex 600). The Raman, DSC, XPS, and XRD spectra were collected from dried sedimentation present after centrifugation of the colloid. For SEM analysis, a droplet of the colloid was deposited onto a silicon wafer, which was then dried in an environmentally controlled glovebox.

2.3. Biological Characterization. Strains of one Gram-negative, multidrug-resistant E. coli (MDR E. coli) (ATCC BAA-2471; ATCC, Manassas, VA) bacteria, and one Gram-positive, methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MR S. aureus) (ATCC 4330; ATCC, Manassas, VA) bacteria, were used in this study to determine the antibacterial activity of the TeO2 NPs after 8 h of culture. Both bacteria were cultured according to the ATCC instructions. The entire protocol is described in refs 42, 43. All experiments were repeated in triplicate (N = 3) unless otherwise indicated to ensure the reliability of the results. Statistical significance was assessed using Student’s t-tests, setting an α value of less than 0.05 as statistically significant compared to the controls. Results were displayed as the mean ± standard deviation using Prism 9 software, 2021 version. Relevant parameters to the biomedical use of the NPs were calculated following modeling methods in the same software.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) were quantified using 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA) following the instructions of the kit. Briefly, human melanoma cells were seeded in a 96-well plate in the presence of different

Figure 2. (a) XRD spectra. The peak positions of Te and α−TeO2 were obtained from the crystallography open database entries 1011098 and 1530871, respectively. (b) Raman spectra.
concentrations of nanoparticles with the appropriate positive and negative controls. The ROS indicator was reconstituted in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), the cell medium was then removed, and the cells were washed twice with buffer. Afterward, a fixed volume of the indicator in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to each one of the wells at a final concentration of 10 μM. The cells were incubated for 30 min, and fresh medium was added, and the cells were allowed to recover for a short time. Positive controls were included, stimulating the oxidative activity with hydrogen peroxide to a final concentration of 50 μM. The intensity of fluorescence was then observed by flow cytometry at 530 nm when the sample was excited at 485 nm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Physicochemical Tests. To be classified as a colloid, the liquid-containing structures should scatter the laser light and make the laser beam visible when shining a laser pointer through the colloid. This effect is known as the Tyndall effect.44,45 In Figure 1b, two cuvettes are displayed: the left one is filled with DI water and serves as a reference, while the right one is our sample. By looking at the reference cuvette, the laser beam is not visible as the size of the water molecules was too small compared to the pointer laser wavelength (∼650 nm). However, the laser beam becomes visible when going through the sample, consequently, confirming the presence of NPs within the liquid (Figure 1b). The gray color of our colloidal solution is similar to that observed by Khalef et al.37 who also synthesized TeO2 NPs by PLAL. The NPs were then observed by SEM, as shown in Figure 1c, where the shape of NPs was identified as being spherical. The EDX line scan across one spherical NP confirmed that TeO2 was formed uniformly across the NP (Figure 1d).

Further investigation by XRD (Figure 2a) confirmed that the colloid was made of α-TeO2 (89.5 ± 4.7%) and Te (10.5 ± 4.7%). The crystalline phase of α-TeO2 was then further identified by Raman spectroscopy by displaying peaks at 116 cm⁻¹ (E), 136 cm⁻¹ (A₁), 262 cm⁻¹ (B₂), 386 cm⁻¹ (A₁), and 644 cm⁻¹ (E)13,46 (Figure 2b). Clearly, the phonon states in TeO2 can be distinguished into two groups: librational and...
The two modes at frequencies lower than 150 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the librational modes of TeO$_4$ units. Indeed, the Te atoms in the $\alpha$-TeO$_2$ paratellurite structure have four neighboring O atoms so that the elementary structural unit is a TeO$_4$ disphenoid, and from such TeO$_4$ units sharing corners, the $\alpha$-TeO$_2$ paratellurite structure is built. The three modes at frequencies above 150 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the deformational modes of the TeO$_4$ units. Specifically, the B$_2$ mode at 262 cm$^{-1}$ is a stretching mode of the Te–O chemical bond; the A$_1$ mode at 386 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the bending mode of O–Te–O, and the E mode at 644 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the stretching mode of the Te–O chemical bond.

XPS was performed to determine the surface state of the TeO$_2$ NPs (Figure 3). The software Avantage from Thermo Scientific was used for the acquisition and analysis. Avantage uses a mixture of Gaussian and Lorentzian to fit the peaks; the mixture ratio can be fixed or be a variable in the fitting routine. The O 1s peak found around $\sim$530 eV is assigned to the existence of bridging oxygen atoms Te–O–Te$^{49}$ (Figure 3a). Furthermore, it is possible to identify the Te oxidation states using the satellite peak features of Te 3d (Figure 3b). There are strong satellite peaks around $\sim$576 and $\sim$586 eV indicating the presence of TeO$_2$ at the surface, while there are two weak peaks around $\sim$573 and $\sim$583 eV indicating the presence of Te$^{50}$. Based on the surface area of the peaks corresponding to TeO$_2$ and Te, the TeO$_2$/Te ratio is around $\sim$3, meaning that there is 3 times more TeO$_2$ in the colloid than in Te, i.e., $\sim$75% of the colloid is made of TeO$_2$, while $\sim$25% is made of Te (Figure 3b). Remember that XPS is a surface analysis technique, which is why there is a slight discrepancy concerning the TeO$_2$/Te ratio with the XRD measurements as XRD also measures the core of the NPs and not only the surface. It means that TeO$_2$ is not only found at the surface of the NPs but also located at the very core.

The colloid was then analyzed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The reason for performing DSC (Figure 4a) is to demonstrate that there are no Te nanoparticles within the colloid (Figure 5). (a) Intensity size distribution as measured by DLS on the colloid synthesized at 1000 Hz. Inset: number size distribution measured by DLS on the colloid synthesized at 1000 Hz. The number size distribution is centered around $\sim$70 nm. (b) The $\zeta$-potential was measured to be $\sim$8 ± 1 mV, meaning that the colloid was not stable with time.

Figure 6. (a) UV–visible spectra of the colloid shown in Figure 1b. (b) Tauc plot displaying an energy band gap of around $\sim$5.2 eV.
the colloid and clearly identify that the origin of Te detected by XRD comes from some chunks or dust of the Te target that got detached during the irradiation. Indeed, the first peak popping up at 451 °C in Figure 4a corresponds to the bulk melting temperature of Te, but no peaks appear below 451 °C, consequently confirming the absence of Te nanoparticles. There are also two other peaks appearing at 630 and 665 °C, which corresponds to two populations of TeO2 NPs. Indeed, those peaks appeared above 451 °C and below 732 °C, which is the bulk melting temperature of TeO2; therefore, those two populations cannot be made out of Te but should be made of TeO2 displaying nanometer size dimensions, as the melting temperature of the nanoparticles decreased with the size of the nanoparticle. Figure 4b shows the TeO2 NPs and some Te microscopic chunks/dust of the target being ejected upon the impact of the laser beam. To confirm the possible agglomeration of NPs, the z-potential of the NPs was determined and found to be around ~8 ± 1 mV, which is well below the threshold value of 30 mV corresponding to a stable colloid (Figure 5b). Consequently, the value of z-potential confirmed the instability of TeO2 NPs with time. To be complete, the pH of the colloid was measured at 5.2 ± 0.1.

By using UV–visible spectroscopy (Figure 6a), the direct energy band gap of the TeO2 NPs was measured at around ~5.2 eV (Figure 6b). This value is in excellent agreement with the value reported by Khalef et al. who measured a value of ~5 eV for TeO2 NPs having sizes around ~55 nm. The strong absorption band in the UV region of the absorbance spectra (Figure 6a) is due to the transition from the valence band (p-nonbonding triplet) to the conduction band (p-antibonding triplet) of TeO2.

3.2. Biological Tests. Finally, within those synthesis conditions, TeO2 spherical NPs with a “naked” surface and a size distribution of around ~70 nm were obtained, which is in the optimal size range to interact with biological cells. Consequently, the spherical TeO2 NPs were tested against MDR E. coli and MR S. aureus, two harmful pathogens, one Gram-negative and one Gram-positive, that developed a resistance to antibiotics (Figure 7). The NPs were active against both pathogens at a range of concentrations between 2 and 10 ppm, showing a clear dose-dependent inhibition that was more lineal and substantial in MR S. aureus. Indeed, the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria such as MR S. aureus includes a layer of peptidoglycan as well as teichoic acid and abundant pores that allow foreign nanoparticles to penetrate, resulting in cell membrane damage and cell death, while the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria such as MDR E. coli is composed of lipopolysaccharides, lipoproteins, and phospholipids, which form a penetration barrier to nanoparticles. Therefore, the TeO2 NPs showed an effective bacterial inhibition at concentrations of ~10 ppm, which was much less than the concentration of ~25 ppm of selenium (Se) NPs prepared also by PLAL required to fully inhibit the growth of MR S. aureus and MDR E. coli in a previous study. The size distribution of those Se NPs was centered around 43 ± 20 nm. Consequently, the TeO2 NPs were more effective than the Se NPs synthesized by the same technique in terms of antimicrobial effectiveness. As TeO2 (oxidation state +4) and Se (oxidation state 0) are both chalcogenide compounds, bacteria are using the same metabolic machinery associated with sulfur (S) in the production of amino acids; therefore, the difference in their antibacterial efficiency could come from their oxidation state being different. Another possible cause of TeO2’s higher efficiency is its ability to interact with Se present in some selenoproteins and enzymes, which could disturb the vital functions of the bacteria.

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were calculated to quantify the static effects of the NPs in the studied bacterial strains. MIC values were 4.3 ± 0.9 and 3.7 ±
0.2 ppm for MDR E. coli and MR S. aureus, respectively. These values are in correlation with similar nanostructures of Te, such as Te/Te oxide NPs,\textsuperscript{42} Te nanorods,\textsuperscript{56} and composites of gold and silver with Te.\textsuperscript{57}

To then assess the cytocompatibility of the NPs, cell studies were performed with HDF and human melanoma cells as in vitro models for potential skin treatments (Figure 8). The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) values were calculated with the aim to study the potency of the TeO\textsubscript{2} NPs to inhibit the growth of both HDF and human melanoma cells. These values were found at 5.5 ± 0.2 and 1.6 ± 0.7 ppm for HDF and human melanoma cells, respectively. These IC\textsubscript{50} values are in concordance with similar nanostructures based on Te found in the literature and show that the NPs can be safely used in the presence of HDF cells and cause a remarkable cytotoxic behavior when exposed to human melanoma cells.\textsuperscript{21,42,58}

To then assess the cytocompatibility of the NPs, cell studies were performed with HDF and human melanoma cells as in vitro models for potential skin treatments (Figure 8). The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) values were calculated with the aim to study the potency of the TeO\textsubscript{2} NPs to inhibit the growth of both HDF and human melanoma cells. These values were found at 5.5 ± 0.2 and 1.6 ± 0.7 ppm for HDF and human melanoma cells, respectively. These IC\textsubscript{50} values are in concordance with similar nanostructures based on Te found in the literature and show that the NPs can be safely used in the presence of HDF cells and cause a remarkable cytotoxic behavior when exposed to human melanoma cells.\textsuperscript{21,42,58}

SEM microscopy of human melanoma cells exposed to a concentration of 4 ppm of NPs showed signs of necrosis and apoptosis all over the cells. Visual cues, such as smoothing, loss of microvillous structures, blebbing, and shrinking, are often markers of apoptosis (Figure 9a),\textsuperscript{59} while necrosis can be observed in those cells that partially disintegrate, leaving granular particles (Figure 9b).\textsuperscript{60}

Lastly, to elucidate the potential mechanism of action, a reactive oxygen species (ROS) test was conducted in the presence of human melanoma cells. The four ROS types are the superoxide radical (O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}), the hydroxyl radical (\textsuperscript{\bullet}OH), hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}), and singlet oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}).\textsuperscript{55} Results indicated that there is a significant release of ROS from the NPs to the cell media even at the lowest concentrations compared with the controls and that it linearly increases with larger amounts of NPs present in the media (Figure 10). These findings are in concordance with previously published studies by Gupta et al.,\textsuperscript{61} who showed that the antimicrobial effects of TeO\textsubscript{2} NPs were attributed to the generation of ROS inside the bacterial cells.

**3.3. Mechanism of Tellurium Dioxide Formation.** The solvent in PLAL (DI water in our case) confines the plasma plume and also provides a reactive medium to generate a compound based on the target’s chemical element,\textsuperscript{62} in this case, Te. When the laser beam hits the Te target, it starts releasing Te into the solvent and it also breaks down water molecules according to the water splitting reaction\textsuperscript{63}

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2
\]  

When the plasma cools down (the laser beam is off), Te, H\textsubscript{2}, and O\textsubscript{2} start reacting together to form Te-based compounds according to the following chemical reactions...
and essential amino acids for bacterial function, respectively. Then, those amino acids, which comprise proteins and enzymes, can consequently disrupt the metabolism of the bacteria. Moreover, TeO₂ NPs displayed a greater cytotoxic effect against human melanoma cells than human dermal fibroblasts. More work is currently underway to design other Te-based nanodrugs by PLAL and to further elucidate the mechanism by which these novel TeO₂ NPs kill antibiotic-resistant bacteria and cancer cells.
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