The Transcription Factor C/EBP-β Mediates Constitutive and LPS-Inducible Transcription of Murine SerpinB2
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Abstract

SerpinB2 or plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 (PAI-2) is highly induced in macrophages in response to inflammatory stimuli and is linked to the modulation of innate immunity, macrophage survival, and inhibition of plasminogen activators. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a potent bacterial endotoxin, can induce SerpinB2 expression via the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) by ~1000-fold over a period of 24 hrs in murine macrophages. To map the LPS-regulated SerpinB2 promoter regions, we transfected reporter constructs driven by the ~5 kb 5'-flanking region of the murine SerpinB2 gene and several deletion mutants into murine macrophages. In addition, we compared the DNA sequence of the murine 5' flanking sequence with the sequence of the human gene for homologous functional regulatory elements and identified several regulatory cis-acting elements in the human SerpinB2 promoter conserved in the mouse. Mutation analyses revealed that a CCAAT enhancer binding (C/EBP) element, a cyclic AMP response element (CRE) and two activator protein 1 (AP-1) response elements in the murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter are essential for optimal LPS-inducibility. Electrophoretic mobility shift (EMSA) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays demonstrated that LPS induces the formation of C/EBP-β containing complexes with the SerpinB2 promoter. Importantly, both constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 expression was severely abrogated in C/EBP-β-null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and primary C/EBP-β-deficient peritoneal macrophages. Together, these data provide new insight into C/EBP-β-dependent regulation of inflammation-associated SerpinB2 expression.

Introduction

The inflammatory response is a double-edged sword. Properly orchestrated, it results in the clearing of foreign molecules and invading pathogens from the body. Uncontrolled, it may lead to organ damage, sepsis, and even cancer [1–3]. Many of the pathological manifestations of the inflammatory response are mediated by cytokines and other inducible gene products expressed by macrophages upon exposure to the gram-negative bacterial cell wall component LPS. As macrophages are key effectors of pathogen-induced innate immune responses, their survival is critical for initial pathogen neutralization and subsequent development of adaptive immune responses. One of the most LPS-inducible macrophage gene products known is the ovalbumin-like serine protease inhibitor (ov-serpin) SerpinB2, a widely recognized macrophage survival factor [4,5]. SerpinB2 was first identified as an inhibitor of urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) [6–8], a serine protease involved in the degradation and turnover of the extracellular matrix through the activation of plasminogen [7,9]. Such function requires SerpinB2 to be secreted from the cell yet SerpinB2 exists primarily as a nonglycosylated intracellular protein [10]. Over the past decade, intracellular roles for SerpinB2 in cell survival [11–17], proliferation and differentiation [18–21], signal transduction [15,22,23] and innate immunity [24–28], have been described.

The SerpinB2 gene is highly regulated in a cell type specific manner analogous to that of cytokines and oncogenes [29,30]. It is one of the most responsive genes known [31], and can be induced over 1000-fold by LPS [31–32], and is up-regulated by a range of inflammatory mediators [9]. LPS activates immune responses through multiple signalling pathways. The toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) is responsible for the recognition of LPS and other microbial products and plays a central role in the initiation of innate immune responses, including cytokine release. The binding of LPS to TLR4 on the surface of macrophages leads to the recruitment of adaptor molecules and the activation of protein kinases, generating signals to the nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB),
mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and/or phosphoinositide 3/PI3-kinase pathways [33].

In studies aimed at identifying LPS-inducible pro-survival factors downstream of p38 MAPK, SerpinB2 was identified as a factor whose expression was upregulated by cooperation of the IKKβ/NIK-NF-κB and p38 MAPK/CREB pathways [16]. Our previously published data indicated that SerpinB2 is distinctly regulated from other LPS-inducible genes in terms of kinetics, LPS dose response and sensitivity to IFN-γ co-stimulation [4]; however, the cis-acting elements in the SerpinB2 promoter responsible for LPS-dependent transcription in macrophages and the specific LPS-responsive transcription factors that bind the SerpinB2 promoter were not defined. Here we show that LPS induction of SerpinB2 is dependent upon cis-acting regulatory sequences in the region between nucleotides −189 and −559 of the murine SerpinB2 promoter, and is critically dependent upon a C/EBP binding site at −203/−195. C/EBP-β directly bound to this site in vivo and its deficiency abrogated constitutive SerpinB2 expression and SerpinB2 induction by LPS. Importantly, a C/EBP-β-phosphoacceptor site was found to negatively regulate LPS-induced SerpinB2 promoter activity. Together, these findings provide new insight into the transcriptional regulation of the SerpinB2 gene.

Experimental Procedures

Cell Culture

Murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cells (ATCC TIB-71) were maintained in RPMI 1640 media (Gibco BRL), supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco BRL), 10% serum supreme (Biowhittaker), 200 µg/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 25 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N-2-ethane sulfonic acid (HEPES) and 25 mM sodium bicarbonate, in 5% CO₂ and 95% humidified air atmosphere at 37°C. Wild-type (Cebpb+/+) and knockout (Cebpb−/−) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [34] were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin/glutamate solution (Cellgro). Primary peritoneal macrophages were obtained by injecting C57BL/6 mice with 3% thioglycollate broth followed by peritoneal lavage 3–5 days later, and maintained in 50% DMEM/F12 media (Gibco BRL). Precautions were taken to exclude bacterial lipopolysaccharide contamination from all cell cultures through the use of certified humidified air atmosphere at 37°C. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Strain Re595) was obtained from Sigma.

DNA Sequence Analysis

The DNA sequence of the murine SerpinB2 promoter was determined by sequencing the pUC-based plasmids pDB9406, pDB9402-41 and pDB9402-42, in addition to plasmids prepared from pDB9402-41 and pDB9402-42 containing deletions introduced by exonuclease III digestion. Plasmids pDB9406, pDB9402-41 and pDB9402-42 containing genomic DNA isolated from a 129 mouse strain, were kindly provided by Dr. Dominique Belin, University of Geneva. pDB9406 contains a 4.4 kb EcoRI/SpeI genomic fragment spanning the transcription initiation site; pDB9402-41 and pDB9402-42 contain a 1.2 kb EcoRI genomic fragment, located immediately upstream of the pDB9406 EcoRI fragment, cloned in opposite orientations. Subcloned inserts were verified by restriction enzyme digestion and DNA sequencing. The nucleotide sequence of the 4480 bp murine SerpinB2 gene 5’ flanking region was determined using the ABI PRISM dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Perkin-Elmer) and a PE 373A sequencer (Perkin-Elmer). This sequence was deposited in GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ Data Bank with Accession No. AF339731.

Western Blot Assays

Whole cell lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS), proteins were separated on 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen), and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were subsequently blocked with 5% milk in PBS-T (1X PBS, 0.1% Tween-20), and incubated with primary antibodies overnight. Affinity purified rabbit anti-mouse SerpinB2 antibodies were prepared after immunization with a purified recombinant GST-murine SerpinB2 fusion protein produced in E. coli as in [35]. Other antibodies used for western blot assays include: C/EBP-β (sc-150) (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and α/β tubulin (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.).

Construction of SerpinB2 Reporter Gene Plasmids

The PCR primers DW5’LUC, containing a Kpn I restriction site, and DW3’LUC, containing an Xho I restriction site, were used to PCR amplify and clone the SerpinB2 promoter (−3261 to +92) from pDB9406 into the Kpn I/Xho I polylinker restriction sites of pGL3 Basic (Promega) to produce pGLmP-P-3261. The EcoR I insert of pDB9402-42 was sub-cloned immediately upstream of the SerpinB2 promoter EcoRI site (−3261) of pGLmP-P-3261 to produce pGLmP-G-4480. Additional murine SerpinB2 luciferase reporter constructs [pGLmP-P-2751, pGLmP-P-2614, pGLmP-P-1806, pGLmP-P-1341, pGLmP-P-694, pGLmP-P-539 and pGLmP-P-189] were generated by digesting pGLmP-P-3261 with EcoRI and a second restriction enzyme (Sfi I, Apa I, Bst X I, BssM I, Pvu I, Hae III and Apo I respectively), blunt ending the resultant 5’ or 3’ overhangs with T4 DNA polymerase (NEB) and re-ligating the vector ends with T4 DNA ligase. The PCR primers BSPCR2 and DW3’LUC were used to subclone into the murine SerpinB2 promoter regions −87 to +92 into the Kpn I/Xho I polylinker restriction sites of pGL3 Basic to produce pGLmP-P-87. The control empty vector was pGL3 Basic (Promega).

Luciferase reporter constructs containing mutations in the SerpinB2 promoter LPS-responsive regions E box, PU.1, Oct-1 and C/EBP (pGLmP-P-539mEbox, pGLmP-P-539mPU.1, pGLmP-P-539mOct and pGLmP-P-539mC/EBP respectively) were generated as described [36]. The mutant oligonucleotide PCR primer sequences are provided in Fig. S1, and were used as follows: pGLmP-P-539mEbox: mPAI2mEboxa and mPAI2mEboxb; pGLmP-P-539mPU.1: mPAI2mPU.1a and mPAI2mPU.1b; pGLmP-P-539mOct: mPAI2mOct-2a and mPAI2mOct-2b; pGLmP-P-539mC/EBP: mPAI2mCEBPa and mPAI2mCEBPb. The oligonucleotide PCR primers used to generate mutants, pGLmP-P-539mCRE, pGLmP-P-539mAP-1a, and pGLmP-P-539mAP-1b were reported previously [37]. The flanking oligonucleotide PCR primers were
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Lentiviral shRNAs, Packaging and Transduction

pLKO.1-puro lentiviral vectors carrying short hairpin RNAs

(shRNA) specific for human and mouse cebpb were used in these

studies. Because the human and mouse cebpβ 3’ untranslated regions are not identical, these species-specific shRNAs cannot

knockdown expression of endogenous C/EBP-β when used on cells of the other species; therefore we used the human CEBPβ

shRNA as a control in these experiments as in [38]. To produce

lentiviral particles, HEK-293T cells were transfected with a mixture of plasmids: each shRNA expression plasmid (1 μg),
pCMV-AR8/2ndpr packaging plasmid (0.75 μg), and pCMV-

VSV-G envelope plasmid (0.25 μg) using Lipofectamine 2000

reagent (Invitrogen). The lentiviral supernatant was

collected after incubation with Protein G coated magnetic beads

(American Bioanalytical) for 24 hrs. The lentiviral supernatant was

eluted in ChIP Elution Buffer (Millipore) and the proteins were

immunoprecipitated at 4°C overnight with at least 1 μg of the following antibodies: C/EBP-β (sc-150X), p-C/EBP-β (T217) (sc-16993X), normal rabbit IgG

(sc-2027)(Santa Cruz Biotecnologies) and RNA polymerase II

Clone CTD4H8)(Millipore). Immunoprecipitated products were

collected after incubation with Protein G coated magnetic beads

(Millipore). The beads were washed, the bound chromatin was eluted in ChIP Elution Buffer (Millipore) and the proteins were
digested with Proteinase K for 2 hrs at 62°C. The DNA was then

purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). DNA

was amplified by semi-quantitative PCR or by qPCR using the

SYBR green method and primers specific for the SerpinB2

proximal promoter: forward (−338/−315) 5′-AAGACTCCCAA-

CAGATGTTGCTGTGTTG-3′, reverse (−5/+19) 5′-TTTTGGAAAAAGCTGGCATGTGTA-3′.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SEM per group. Results were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Student’s t
test where relevant. P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

The SerpinB2 Gene is Highly Responsive to LPS

When RAW264.7 macrophages were exposed to LPS, SerpinB2

mRNA was detectable as early as 30 min following LPS challenge,

reaching maximal levels at 24 hrs (Fig. 1A). A similar strong

induction of LPS-inducible SerpinB2 mRNA expression has been

reported previously in murine peritoneal macrophages and human

peripheral blood mononuclear cells [4;32]. LPS-induced SerpinB2

expression involves both an increase in gene transcription and
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stabilization of the mRNA [5;29;42–44]. SerpinB2 protein expression was also induced as has been reported in other cell types [31], and detectable after 8 hrs of LPS treatment (Fig. 1).

The SerpinB2 Proximal Promoter Confers LPS Responsiveness

To investigate cis-acting regulatory elements responsive to LPS in the 5′ flanking region of the murine SerpinB2 gene, nucleotides −4480 to +92 and a series of generated deletion mutants of the 5′ flanking region were cloned upstream of a promoter-less firefly luciferase reporter gene (pGL3 Basic) (Fig. 2A). The reporter constructs were then transiently transfected into sub-confluent RAW264.7 macrophages and assayed for luciferase activity in the presence and absence of LPS or PMA, for comparison. PMA-induced SerpinB2 gene regulation has been extensively studied in human macrophage cell lines [45–47], and has been shown to occur through several proximal and distal AP-1 responsive elements [30;37;47–51]. As shown in Fig. 2B, the SerpinB2 5′ flanking region from −4480 to +92 directs both PMA- and LPS-inducible transcription, approximately 2-fold and 7-fold, respectively. Deletion of the murine SerpinB2 promoter from −1680 to −1341 increased LPS-inducibility to approximately 16-fold, indicating the presence of a silencer element in this region. Further deletion beyond −539 abolished the LPS-response of the promoter, indicating the presence of an essential LPS response element in the region between −539 and −189; however, the response of the murine SerpinB2 promoter to PMA is less affected by this deletion. While deletion of the SerpinB2 promoter from −189 to −87 eliminated the LPS response and marginally reduced the PMA response, the −87 murine SerpinB2 promoter construct was still partially responsive to PMA, indicating that cis-acting elements mediating the response of the murine SerpinB2 promoter to PMA also lie downstream of nucleotide −87.

Sequence Conservation within the Human and Murine SerpinB2 Proximal Promoters

To look for potential cis-acting elements that might mediate transcription of the murine SerpinB2 gene and the response to LPS, we aligned the murine and human SerpinB2 5′ flanking regions. We reasoned that the presence of evolutionarily conserved, potential transcription factor binding sites in this region might play a role in the regulation of SerpinB2 gene expression [32]. The presence of several repetitive sequence elements delineated five broadly homologous regions (A-E) between the human and murine promoters (Fig. 2C). The proximal promoter (Region E), which contains the essential LPS response element, exhibited the greatest homology. Further analysis of Region E revealed that several of the cis-acting regulatory elements defined in the human SerpinB2 proximal promoter are conserved in the murine SerpinB2 promoter (Fig. 3). Specifically, a TATA consensus sequence is

Figure 1. LPS induction of SerpinB2 mRNA and protein expression in murine macrophage cells. RAW264.7 macrophages were treated for the indicated times with 100 ng/ml LPS. (A) qPCR analysis of murine SerpinB2 mRNA levels, relative to β-actin. The plot is representative of at least two independent experiments performed in triplicate. (B) Immunoblot analysis of SerpinB2 protein expression in whole cell lysates. Blot was reprobed for GAPDH as a loading control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g001
Figure 2. Deletion reporter gene analysis of LPS and PMA-responsive regions in the murine SerpinB2 promoter. (A) Schematic representation of the murine SerpinB2 promoter and 5’ deletion luciferase reporter constructs. The murine 5’ flanking region from −4480 to +92 was...
located 23 to 29bp upstream from the transcription initiation site, similar to the position of the TATA box of the human SERPINB2 gene [53;54]. A putative CCAAT enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) site is present at −192/−203, two potential activator protein 1 (AP-1) binding sites are found at nucleotides −88/−94 and −106/−102, and a putative cyclic AMP response element (CRE) is present at −172/−177. Both of the AP-1 sites are identical in sequence to those identified in the human SERPINB2 promoter, and the CRE differs in the identity of a single central nucleotide [37;55]. A consensus E box (−538/−533), as well as potential binding sites for PU.1 (−412/−407) and Oct-1 (−296/−298) were also identified within the proximal promoter region (Fig. S2). Further upstream, a retinoic acid response element at −1349/−1340, a PAUSE-1 silencer element at −1540/−1528 and a NF-κB p65 binding site at −1342/−1351 (Fig. S2) are also well conserved [16;30;56].

A C/EBP, CRE and Two AP-1 Sites in the Murine SerpinB2 Gene Proximal Promoter are Essential for Optimal LPS-inducible Transcription

To investigate regulatory elements between −539 and −189 essential for LPS-inducible transcription, several candidate binding sites for transcription factors previously reported to mediate LPS-inducible transcription in other genes [57] were targeted by nucleotide substitution designed to disrupt transcription factor binding to the pGLmP-539 murine SerpinB2 promoter-luciferase reporter gene construct (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4B, mutation of the C/EBP site (−539/−533) completely eliminated promoter activity, similar to the levels observed for the −189 SerpinB2 promoter deletion construct.

Figure 3. Potential cis-acting regulatory elements in the LPS responsive region of the murine SerpinB2 promoter −563/−1. Mouse and human nucleotide sequences were aligned using Clustal W software. Cis-acting elements conserved between the human and murine SerpinB2 promoters are boxed and labeled. AP-1 = activator protein 1; C/EBP = CCAAT enhancer binding protein; CRE = cAMP response element; Oct1 = octamer transcription factor 1/POU2F1; PU.1 = purine box binding protein 1. The putative transcription initiation site (tis) is indicated and exon 1 is presented in uppercase. The location of the 5’ ends of the −539, −189 and −87 reporter constructs are also shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g003
Others have also recently implicated this C/EBP site in LPS-induced activation of the \textit{SerpinB2} gene [58]. Considering the conserved sequence and position of the CRE and two AP-1 sites in the murine \textit{SerpinB2} promoter and their demonstrated involvement in the PMA-responsiveness of the human \textit{SERPINB2} promoter [37], these sites were also mutated by nucleotide substitution to investigate whether they played a role in the LPS response of the murine \textit{SerpinB2} promoter. As shown in Fig. 4B, mutation of the CRE at -177/-172 or either of the two AP-1 sites at -106/-100 and -94/-88, completely or significantly reduced LPS-inducible luciferase activity from the murine \textit{SerpinB2} promoter. These data show that the C/EBP element, as well as the CRE and both AP-1 cis-acting elements are critical for LPS-inducible transcription from the \textit{SerpinB2} proximal promoter.

The C/EBP Element is Bound by an LPS-induced Nuclear Factor from RAW 264.7 Macrophages

Nuclear factor binding to the putative C/EBP element (-203/-192) was investigated by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using nuclear extracts from untreated and LPS-treated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Three different double stranded oligonucleotide probes were used for EMSA, representing (1) the putative \textit{SerpinB2} C/EBP element (-203/-192), (2) a mutant \textit{SerpinB2} element containing the same mutation as in pGLnP-539mC/EBP and (3) the rat albumin promoter distal element 1 (DEI) region containing a high affinity C/EBP binding site. Four bands of different mobilities, representing DNA-nuclear protein complexes were detected (Fig. 5A). Three of these bands (I, II, III) represent complexes with single stranded DNA (Fig. 5A) while the uppermost (slowest migrating) complex was induced by LPS. The LPS-inducible complex was not detected using the mutant C/EBP oligonucleotide probe and could be abolished by an excess of unlabeled double-stranded oligonucleotide, carrying either the same sequence (Fig. 5B, lanes 4 and 5) or the sequence of a known C/EBP binding site from the rat albumin promoter (Fig. 5B, lanes 8 and 9), but not by the mutated oligonucleotide (Fig. 5B, lanes 6 and 7). Together these data indicate that the putative \textit{SerpinB2} C/EBP site at -203/-192 binds a LPS-inducible complex that is likely to contain a member of the C/EBP family of transcription factors.

C/EBP-\(\beta\) is a LPS-induced Nuclear Factor that Binds to the C/EBP Element of the \textit{SerpinB2} Proximal Promoter

The C/EBP family of basic leucine zipper transcription factors are known for their roles in cellular differentiation and inflammation.
C/EBP-β Mediates both Constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 mRNA Expression in MEFs and Inflammatory Primary Macrophages

To investigate the importance of C/EBP-β to endogenous SerpinB2 mRNA expression in response to LPS, we utilized C/EBP-β-null (Cebp-/-) and wild-type MEFs (Cebp+/+), since RAW264.7 cells constitutively express endogenous C/EBP-β. Wild-type MEFs express low levels of endogenous SerpinB2 and the absence of C/EBP-β attenuated endogenous SerpinB2 mRNA expression. LPS stimulated an increase in SerpinB2 mRNA expression in wild-type MEFs (Fig. 6A), whereas LPS-stimulated SerpinB2 mRNA expression was significantly dampened Cebp-/- MEFs as compared to wild-type. We next tested if similar effects could be seen in thioglycollate-elicited inflammatory macrophages in which C/EBP-β expression was knocked down using species-specific lentiviral shRNAs (Fig. 6B, left). As was observed in MEFs, both constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 mRNA expression was significantly decreased in Cebp-/- macrophages (Fig. 6B, right). These data show that C/EBP-β is critical for mediating constitutive and LPS-inducible transcription of endogenous SerpinB2 mRNA.

C/EBP-β Binds the Murine SerpinB2 Proximal Promoter in vivo in an LPS-inducible Manner

We investigated the temporal dynamics of C/EBP-β recruitment to the murine SerpinB2 promoter in response to LPS in vivo by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). RAW 264.7 cells were stimulated with LPS for up to 8 hrs, soluble chromatin was immunoprecipitated with antibodies against DNA binding proteins, and the enriched DNA amplified by both semi-quantitative and qPCR using SerpinB2 proximal promoter specific primers (illustrated in fig. 4A). The results showed that C/EBP-β is constitutively present at the SerpinB2 promoter as demonstrated by its association with the promoter in unstimulated macrophages and increased temporally in response to LPS reaching as much as 10-fold over unstimulated cells after 8 hrs (Fig. 7). Since changes in C/EBP-β phosphorylation states can affect C/EBP-β’s ability to transactivate target genes [38;63;64], we investigated recruitment to the SerpinB2 proximal promoter of the T217 phosphorylated C/EBP-β isoform (p-C/EBP-βT217), which has been associated with cell survival [65]. p-C/EBP-β

with the −212/−185 probe by after preincubation with the indicated specific C/EBP antibody. The LPS-inducible complex is indicated with an arrowhead.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g005

[59]. Consisting of six members, the C/EBP transcription factors can homo/heterodimerize and display similar DNA binding specificities [60]. Four family members, C/EBP-α, C/EBP-β, C/EBP-δ and C/EBP-ε, are present in myeloid cells and play different roles in differentiating myeloid cells depending on the extracellular environment [61]. To determine which C/EBP proteins were involved in the formation of the different nucleo-protein complexes, and particularly of the LPS-inducible complex, EMSA was performed after incubating the nucleo-protein complexes with antibodies specific for C/EBP-α, C/EBP-β, C/EBP-δ and C/EBP-ε. Each antibody detects the carboxy-terminal DNA-binding region of the respective protein, so that pre-incubation of antibody with nuclear extract is expected to abolish DNA binding by EMSA [62]. Only antibodies against C/EBP-β abolished the formation of the LPS-inducible complex (Fig. 5C). Taken together these data show that the LPS-induced complex with the C/EBP element (−203/−192) contains C/EBP-β.

Figure 5. The LPS-inducible nuclear factor binding the murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter C/EBP site contains C/EBP-β. (A) EMSA with nuclear extracts from untreated (UN) and 10 hr LPS treated (LPS) RAW 264.7 cells incubated with either the murine SerpinB2 promoter −212/−185 probe containing an intact (SerpinB2 C/EBP) or mutated (mutant C/EBP) C/EBP site or with the rat albumin promoter DEI region C/EBP (rat albumin C/EBP) probe. (B) Cold competition EMSAs performed with the radiolabelled murine SerpinB2 promoter −212/−185 probe and a 100-fold molar excess of each of the double stranded oligonucleotides described in (A) demonstrate the specificity of the DNA-protein complexes. (C) Supershift assays were performed
βT217 was constitutively bound to the SerpinB2 proximal promoter and also present after 1 hr of LPS stimulation (Fig. 7). In contrast to total C/EBP-β, the binding affinity of p-C/EBP-βT217 for the SerpinB2 proximal promoter diminished with LPS stimulation at later timepoints (4 and 8 hrs)(Fig. 7). These data show an inverse relationship between C/EBP-β and p-C/EBP-βT217 recruitment, and indicate that T217-phosphorylated C/EBP-β may not be responsible for increased transcription from the SerpinB2 promoter in response to LPS.

Figure 6. C/EBP-β is essential for constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 mRNA expression. (A) Endogenous SerpinB2 mRNA expression is abrogated in Cebpb−/− MEFs compared to Cebpb+/+ MEFs in the absence and presence of LPS. qPCR analysis of murine SerpinB2 mRNA expression in untreated Cebpb+/- and Cebpb−/− MEFs, and after simulation with LPS (100 ng/ml) for the indicated times. (B) Endogenous SerpinB2 expression is abrogated in C/EBP-β-deficient inflammatory macrophages. Thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages (TG macs) were infected with human and murine specific lentiviral shRNAs. Human CEBPB shRNA serves as the non-silencing control since it does not target the murine Cebpβ sequence [38]. Lentiviral transduced macrophages were stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 4 hrs. Left: Western blot analysis shows effective knockdown of endogenous C/EBP-β following infection with murine Cebpβ shRNA and not human CEBPB shRNA. Right: qPCR analysis of murine SerpinB2 mRNA expression in the lentiviral transduced peritoneal macrophages. The results represent the mean and SEM of two independent experiments performed in duplicate or triplicate. (*, p<0.05, two-way ANOVA).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g006

C/EBP-β Promotes LPS-inducible Murine SerpinB2
Proximal Promoter Activity
Since C/EBP-β binds to the SerpinB2 proximal promoter in an LPS-inducible manner both in vitro and in vivo, we wanted to address the question of whether C/EBP-β was an essential factor for driving transcription from the SerpinB2 promoter in cells in response to LPS. The ability of endogenous C/EBP-β to direct transcription from the SerpinB2 proximal promoter was examined by transfection of the pGLnP-339 murine SerpinB2 luciferase reporter construct into Cebpb+/+ and Cebpb−/− MEFs. We found that LPS-stimulated SerpinB2 promoter activity was significantly increased in Cebpb+/+ MEFs and abrogated in Cebpb−/− MEFs (Fig. 8A), indicating that endogenous C/EBP-β is required for LPS-induced SerpinB2 proximal promoter activity.

Phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at Serine 64 negatively Regulates LPS-stimulated SerpinB2 Promoter Activity
Phosphorylation of C/EBP-β is well recognized to modulate its transactivation potential [63]. To investigate C/EBP-β phosphorylation sites that may be important for LPS-stimulated SerpinB2 proximal promoter activity (Fig. 8B), we co-expressed several C/EBP-β phospho-acceptor mutants in which the critical threonine or serine residue was mutated to an alanine, along with the pGLnP-339 murine SerpinB2 luciferase reporter in Cebpb−/−
MEFs. Re-expression of wild-type C/EBP-β in Cebpb-/- MEFs significantly stimulated SerpinB2 luciferase reporter gene expression in the presence of LPS by 3 fold (Fig. 8C, left), confirming the importance of C/EBP-β to LPS-induced SerpinB2 gene transcription. Expression of the C/EBP-β phospho-acceptor mutant, C/EBP-βT217A, in Cebpb-/- MEFs did not significantly increase SerpinB2 promoter activity above that of wild-type C/EBP-β (Fig. 8C, right); confirming that phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at T217 is not a major factor in the regulation of SerpinB2 promoter activity in response to LPS. 

C/EBP-β contains additional phosphorylation sites, C/EBP-βT188 and C/EBP-βS64 (Fig. 8B), which may be involved in modulating C/EBP-β-dependent SerpinB2 gene transcription. C/EBP-βT188 is implicated in regulating DAPK1, an IFNγ-inducible gene involved in the regulation of cell cycle and apoptosis [38], processes with which SerpinB2 has also been associated [17]. C/EBP-βS64 is important for LPS-induced transcription of the cytokines IL-6 and MCP-1 [64]. Similarly, SerpinB2 is induced by LPS and regulated in a manner similar to cytokines [29]. Given the similarities in the functional significance of these phospho-specific isoforms of C/EBP-β and SerpinB2, we investigated whether these phospho-acceptor sites may play a role in SerpinB2 gene expression. C/EBP-βT188A-transfected MEFs exhibited SerpinB2 promoter activity similar to that of wild-type C/EBP-β-transfected MEFs, whereas the expression of C/EBP-βS64A potentiated SerpinB2 promoter activity in response to LPS (Fig. 8C, right). These data suggest that phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at S64 acts to negatively regulate SerpinB2 proximal promoter activity.

Discussion

Macrophages are key mediators of the innate immune response, and consequently provide the first line of defense against pathogens. Pro-inflammatory stimuli, such as the bacterial endotoxin LPS, stimulate macrophages to mount an anti-

Figure 7. Differential recruitment of C/EBP-β and C/EBP-βT217 to the murine SerpinB2 promoter in vivo. Transcription factor occupancy on the SerpinB2 proximal promoter in vivo was determined by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. RAW264.7 macrophages were treated in the presence or absence of LPS for the indicated times and chromatin immunoprecipitation performed using antibodies against C/EBP-β or p-C/EBP-β (T217). Soluble chromatin (600–700 ng) was immunoprecipitated with antibodies against C/EBP-β, p-C/EBP-β (T217), RNA Polymerase II (RNAPII), or a rabbit IgG (rIgG) control. (A) Typical PCR pattern obtained in ChIP assays using murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter-specific primers, 338/−315 and −5/+19, as diagrammed in top. Recruitment of RNAPII to the SerpinB2 promoter suggests active transcription following LPS stimulation. Minimal background was detected using the rabbit IgG control, indicative of the specificity of the ChIP reaction. (B) qPCR analysis of chromatin immunoprecipitated with antibodies against C/EBP-β, p-C/EBP-β (T217), and the rIgG control. The data are represented relative to rIgG signal using the 2−ΔΔCt method. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g007
Figure 8. C/EBP-β is necessary for SerpinB2 proximal promoter activity. (A) The SerpinB2 proximal promoter is significantly activated in LPS-stimulated Cebpb<sup>+/+<sup> MEFs and not in Cebpb<sup>−/−<sup> MEFs. Murine SerpinB2 gene promoter activity measured in Cebpb<sup>+/+<sup> and Cebpb<sup>−/−<sup> MEFs expressing the pGLmP-539 SerpinB2 promoter-luciferase reporter in the presence or absence of LPS (100 ng/mL). Cells were co-transfected with the pGLmP-539 SerpinB2 promoter-luciferase reporter and  β-galactosidase reporter plasmids, and luciferase units normalized to β-galactosidase activity. (B) C/EBP-β gene schematic depicting gene structure and phospho-acceptor sites. (C) Phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at Serine 64 negatively regulates LPS-stimulated SerpinB2 promoter activity. Cebpb<sup>−/−<sup> MEFs were co-transfected with expression plasmids encoding wild-type C/EBP-β or the indicated C/EBP-β phospho-acceptor mutants, along with the pGLmP-539 SerpinB2 promoter-luciferase reporter and β-galactosidase reporter plasmids, and stimulated with LPS for 4 hrs. Luciferase activity was quantified and normalized to β-galactosidase activity. The western blot (below the graphs)
confirms the expression of the respective C/EBP-β1 phospho-acceptor mutants. The C/EBP-β1T217A-transfected MEFs express both the 38 kDa and 35 kDa isoforms of C/EBP-β. The results represent the mean and SEM of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (*, p<0.05, one-way ANOVA).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057855.g008

pathogenic response which involves massive induction of the prosurvival factor SerpinB2. SerpinB2 is transcriptionally induced by cross talk between the IKKβ/NF-κB and p38MAPK signaling modules in response to LPS [16]. Here we report that SerpinB2 gene transcription in response to LPS is conferred by the SerpinB2 proximal promoter and is greatly dependent upon C/EBP-β. LPS-induced C/EBP-β was shown to specifically bind the C/EBP response element in the SerpinB2 proximal promoter in vitro and in vivo, and loss of C/EBP-β abrogates constitutive SerpinB2 gene transcription and the response to LPS.

The murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter region between nucleotides -539 and +92 mediated both PMA- and LPS-inducible gene transcription, with induction by PMA being less intense and more transient than that by LPS. Inspection of the murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter sequence shows that a CRE and two AP-1-like elements, demonstrated to mediate PMA-stimulated transcription of the human SERPINB2 gene [37], also are present in the murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter between nucleotides -189 and -87. These sites may therefore also play a role in mediating PMA-inducible transcription of the murine SerpinB2 gene. In contrast to the pattern of incremental increases in PMA-induced transcriptional activity conferred by regions of the murine SerpinB2 promoter containing these sites, most of the LPS-inducible response is dependent upon cis-acting regulatory sequences in the region between nucleotides -189 and -539. LPS responsiveness absolutely required the C/EBP binding site located in the region between nucleotides -189 and -539, with the downstream CRE and AP-1-like elements also being critical. Of note, there are previous reports of combinatorial interactions between C/EBP-β and CRE binding proteins (CREB) and AP-1 [63], and C/EBP-β has been reported to physically interact with AP-1, and NF-κB to promote gene expression of inflammatory mediators [63;66]. Additionally, CREB has been shown to control transcription of the C/EBP-β gene [67].

In this study, C/EBP-β was found to be a major requirement for both constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 gene transcription. In a previous microarray expression profiling study, SerpinB2 was identified as gene whose induction in C/EBP-β-deficient peritoneal macrophages by LPS and IFNγ was severely impaired compared to wild-type macrophages [68]. Our qPCR results validate this finding, as we found that both constitutive and LPS-inducible SerpinB2 mRNA expression is significantly abrogated in C/EBP-β-shRNA transfected peritoneal macrophages, emphasizing the link between C/EBP-β and SerpinB2 gene transcription. While C/EBP proteins can act as either homodimers or heterodimers [63], we identified C/EBP-β as the only LPS-inducible C/EBP isofrom to bind the SerpinB2 C/EBP response element, suggesting a predominant role for C/EBP-β in LPS-induced SerpinB2 gene expression. C/EBP-β, like SerpinB2, plays an important role in inflammation, as it is upregulated by LPS and a host of other inflammatory cytokines [39;62]. Furthermore, C/EBP-β-null mice are susceptible to bacterial infection [69;70] and SerpinB2 has been demonstrated to protect from bacterial and viral-induced cell death [14–16;25]. Thus the regulation of SerpinB2 gene expression by C/EBP-β is consistent with its functional role in inflammation.

Phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at several different amino acid residues has been shown to modulate transactivating of its target genes [63;71;72]. C/EBP-β phosphorylated on T217 has been reported to rescue macrophages from apoptosis induced by Bacillus anthracis lethal toxin (LT) [65], an activity that has also been attributed to SerpinB2 [16]. However, expression of a C/EBP-β phospho-acceptor site mutant, C/EBP-βT217A, in Cebpb−/− MEFs did not increase SerpinB2 proximal promoter activity over that of wild-type C/EBP-β, even though recruitment of C/EBP-βT217 to the SerpinB2 promoter was observed to decrease following LPS stimulation of RAW264.7 cells. These data indicate that the T217 phospho-acceptor site is not important for the regulation of SerpinB2 gene expression. Similarly, expression of the C/EBP-β phospho-acceptor site mutant, C/EBP-βT188A, did not affect SerpinB2 promoter activity differently from that of wild-type. In contrast, expression of C/EBP-βS64A significantly enhanced LPS-induced SerpinB2 promoter activity, indicating that phosphorylation of C/EBP-β at S64 negatively regulates LPS-induced SerpinB2 promoter activity. Since C/EBP-β S64 is constitutively phosphorylated in both RAW264.7 cells and MEFs [73], it is likely that dephosphorylation at this site may be a critical event during LPS-induced transcription of SerpinB2 to increase its promoter activity. Roy and colleagues demonstrated that Mixed lineage kinase-3 (MLK3)-driven dephosphorylation of C/EBP-β S64 was important for IFNγ-regulated signaling pathways [73]. Our data suggest that MLK3-driven dephosphorylation of S64 may also be involved in LPS-signaling pathways.

In recent years it has become apparent that persistent infection is integrally linked to chronic inflammation and cancer, and immune cells such as macrophages can either promote or attenuate cancer progression [2;74–76]. SerpinB2 expression has been associated with both inflammation and cancer, and is a favorable or unfavorable prognostic indicator depending on cancer type [77]. The presence of SerpinB2 has been shown to modulate cytokine profiles which can affect immune cell polarization [27;28;77;78]. Interestingly C/EBP-β has also been shown to modulate cytokine secretion from immune cells, thereby modifying their phenotype [27;79–81]. Our study has demonstrated that C/EBP-β plays an important role in mediating both constitutive and LPS-induced transcription of the SerpinB2 gene, which may have implications for the inflammatory phenotype of infiltrating immune cells in the tumor microenvironment.

In summary, our studies show that the C/EBP site (−203/−195) in the murine SerpinB2 proximal promoter is necessary to support both constitutive and LPS-induced SerpinB2 gene expression. Importantly, we were able to uncover a previously unknown role for C/EBP-βS64 in negatively regulating SerpinB2 promoter activity. Taken together these data provide new insight into the regulation of inflammation-associated SerpinB2 gene expression.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 PCR primer sequences used to generate murine SerpinB2 reporter constructs. (top) PCR primer sequences used to subclone SerpinB2 promoter sequences into pGL3 Basic luciferase reporter plasmid and generate deletion constructs as indicated in Experimental Procedures. KpnI (GGTACC) and XhoI (CTCGAG) restriction sites are underlined. (bottom) mutant oligonucleotide PCR primers used to mutate LPS-responsive regions in the SerpinB2 proximal promoter. Mutated nucleotides are shown in bold. (TIF)
Figure S2 Conserved response elements in the murine and human SerpinB2 promoter. Location and nucleotide sequences of the cis-acting elements conserved between the human and murine SerpinB2 promoters are listed as indicated. (TIF)
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