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SUMMARY
Climate and land-use changes cause increasing stress to pollinators but the molecular pathways underlying stress responses are poorly understood. Here, we analyzed the transcriptomic response of Bombus lucorum workers to temperature and livestock grazing. Bumblebees sampled along an elevational gradient, and from differently managed grassland sites (livestock grazing vs unmanaged) in the German Alps did not differ in the expression of genes known for thermal stress responses. Instead, metabolic energy production pathways were upregulated in bumblebees sampled in mid- or high elevations or during cool temperatures. Extensive grazing pressure led to an upregulation of genetic pathways involved in immunoregulation and DNA-repair. We conclude that widespread bumblebees are tolerant toward temperature fluctuations in temperate mountain environments. Moderate temperature increases may even release bumblebees from metabolic stress. However, transcriptome responses to even moderate management regimes highlight the completely underestimated complexity of human influence on natural pollinators.

INTRODUCTION
Countering the ongoing biodiversity crisis in times of global change is one of the major challenges of our time. Insects, accounting for a large proportion of terrestrial biodiversity, play a crucial role in this crisis. As ectothermic and small organisms, they are particularly sensitive to climatic changes (Halsch et al., 2021; Paaijmans et al., 2013) and tremendously suffer from the large-scale depletion of microhabitats and local resources through agricultural intensification and landscape homogenization (Habel et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2021). An increasing number of studies have reported dramatic declines in insect populations, biomass, and richness worldwide (Hallmann et al., 2017; Seibold et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2021). Importantly, such declines in species abundances or even local extinctions of populations are always the last step in the response of an insect population to global change drivers. Signals of environmental stress, however, may already be detectable on a molecular level (Hoffmann and Willi, 2008; Somero, 2005). For example, changes in gene expressions in response to changing environmental factors can help to identify the relevant stressors and to improve our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie population declines, so far, such transcriptome responses to global change drivers remain poorly understood (Dunning et al., 2014; Keller et al., 2013).

Species with large geographical ranges, for example, bumblebees, can encounter considerable environmental heterogeneity and are excellent models to shed light on genetic variation in relation to abiotic (e.g. temperature) and biotic (e.g. resources) environments (Pimsler et al., 2020). Bumblebees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Bombus) are cold-adapted, large-bodied wild bees with primitively eusocial lifestyles, whose extant lineages started to diversify around 34 Mya during a period of substantial global cooling (Hines, 2008). On a genus level, they encompass wide geographic ranges, with diverse hotspots in both cool mountainous regions and high temperate latitudes (Williams, 1998), but also with several species in the Neotropics and Southeast Asia (Michener, 2007). In temperate mountains like the Alps, many, but not all, species have broad elevational distributions and cope with climatically heterogeneous environments (Sponsler et al., 2022).
One likely reason, why widespread bumblebees can cope with a variety of temperature regimes is that bumblebees are facultative endotherms, i.e. they can actively increase their body temperature by shivering their flight muscles (Dzialowski et al., 2014; Heinrich, 1972). Together with their relatively large body size and dense hair (Maebe et al., 2021a), this ability allows bumblebee individuals to forage at different temperatures below 0°C (Corbet et al., 1993) or even closer to the freezing point (Heinrich and Vogt, 1993). Physiologically, heat is generated by the burning of ATP, requiring the antagonistic interplay of the two enzymes fructose diphosphatase and phosphofructokinase (Heinrich, 1974, 1979; Surholt et al., 1990). Thus, along temperature gradients, such as mountain slopes, genes related to muscle function, thermogenesis, and substrate cycling can be expected to show expression patterns that reflect the increasing need for heat generation with decreasing temperatures.

Alternatively, genes associated with the critical thermal limits might show distinct expression patterns along temperature gradients. Workers of the same species (Pimsler et al., 2020) or queens of different subspecies (Maebe et al., 2021a) have already been shown to differ in their critical thermal minima (CTmin), depending on the temperature regime of their place of origin. Local adaptations in CTmin were linked to differential transcriptome responses after experimental cooling. This indicates that the limits of phenotypic plasticity can become genetically fixed or at least be transferred to the next generation (e.g. via epigenetic processes, such as DNA-methylation), which could facilitate species’ ability to occupy broad geographic ranges, by reducing the costs of plasticity in CTmin. Importantly, critical thermal maxima (CTmax) are species-specific (Martinet et al., 2021) and do neither show associations with local climates within species (Pimsler et al., 2020) nor across subspecies (Maebe et al., 2021a), suggesting that responses to warming temperatures are more constraint.

In line with relatively conserved critical thermal maxima, many bumblebee species are shifting their range toward cooler habitats regarding changing climate, either by retracting the trailing (warm) edge of their distribution (Fourcade et al., 2019), or by shifting upwards along elevational gradients (Biella et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2019; Pyke et al., 2016). The variable extents to which bumblebee species perform uphill shifts might be subject to their species-specific capacity to cope with changing environmental conditions along the elevational slope (Marshall et al., 2020; Pyke et al., 2016). Whereas some temperature extremes may be offset at the individual or colony level through behavioral temperature regulation (Vogt, 1986), most bumblebees are expected to exhibit specific plastic responses, selection in various key traits, and/or range contractions under even the mildest climate change (reviewed in Maebe et al., 2021b). Several studies suggested that the expression of genes coding heat shock proteins (hsp) and their activators (aha) allow bumblebees to adapt to heat stress (Blasco-Lavilla et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020b; Pimsler et al., 2020). Other genes involved in immune response, like cytochrome P450, rac1, and flotillin (Liu et al., 2020b; Pimsler et al., 2020), seem to play an additional role in response to stressing temperatures. However, these effects were so far only observed under extreme climatic conditions in climate chamber experiments or extreme environments (Tibetan Plateau). Whether these molecular responses explain compensations of sensitivity to hyperthermal stress (Martinet et al., 2021; Zambra et al., 2020), thus enabling the dispersal of species widely distributed in Europe in the first place, or whether they are involved in the responses along the elevational gradient is unknown.

Besides climate change, land use change is believed to be the strongest stressor for pollinators. In the Alpes, livestock grazing by cattle is an important component of the cultivated landscape and even part of the protection program in strongly protected areas, as it can increase e.g. plant diversity, but is highly dependent on the amounts of grazing mammals (Milchunas et al., 1988; Olff and Ritchie, 1998). The potential impact of cattle grazing on bumblebee fitness is little understood, but could affect wild bees directly or indirectly through various mechanisms, including effects on bee nesting and floral resource composition (Augustine and McNaughton, 1998; Carvell, 2002; Moreira et al., 2019; Roulston and Goodell, 2011; Sjödin, 2007). Additionally, grazing may fundamentally change soil, nectar and pollen characteristics by introducing new nitrogen substrates (Potts et al., 2015) (Ceulemans et al., 2017; Pers-Kamczyc et al., 2020) Even though little is known about the impact of grazing on pollinators, the substantial change in bumblebee’s environment alone likely causes variation in gene expression.

In this study, we aim to identify common regulatory factors that contribute to the (plastic) adaptation of bumblebees to different climates and farming systems. For this, we focus on the study of transcriptional changes in B. lucorum. On the one hand, this species occurs along an elevational gradient of 1400m,
corresponding to a temperature gradient from 9 to 4.6°C mean annual temperature (a 0.5°C decrease per 100 m difference in elevation), and is also widely distributed over a large geographical area in Europe (Rasmont et al., 2015). Studies along an elevational gradient enable the prediction of long-term ecological responses to climate change using space-time substitution scenarios (Körner, 2007; Mayor et al., 2017). On the other hand, the species is considered more cold-adapted compared with its sister species Bombus terrestris (Geue and Thomassen, 2020) and is likely to be displaced by the latter under climate change (Herbertsson et al., 2021). It was further observed to spread to higher elevations (Pyke et al., 2016). With this, B. lucorum is a suitable model organism to observe plastic transcriptome changes related to temperature changes and may lead to predictions about how widespread bumblebees may adapt to changing climate. In addition, B. lucorum is a ground-nesting bumblebee species that is likely to suffer the most from grazing livestock. First, we examine the transcriptomic response along the elevation gradient (Figure 1A). To verify that elevational patterns in the transcriptome can be assigned to the change in temperature and not to co-varying factors (e.g. dropping partial pressure of respiratory gases, radiation, or vegetation), we, second, compared gene expression patterns from the elevational gradient with gene expression differences between individuals that were sampled during warm and cool days, but within the same elevational belt. In parallel, we examine critical thermal limits along the elevational gradient (Figure 1B). Finally, we analyzed the effect of livestock grazing on gene expression, as an alternative or additional stressor along the elevational slope. Overall, this approach allows us to identify regulatory factors that contribute to adaptation to global change stressors for bumblebees in temperate climates.

RESULTS

Bombus lucorum gene expression patterns along elevation

We analyzed the expression of 10,581 protein-coding genes based on RNA-seq data (Table S4). When equally splitting the elevational gradient and comparing these elevation belts with each other, we detected 18 and 20 upregulated genes in the highlands compared with lowlands and mid-elevations, respectively (Figures 2A and 2B). The mid-elevation area showed 17 and 20 upregulated genes compared with lowland and highland, respectively (Figures 2B, 2C, and Table S5). The greatest amounts of upregulated genes were observed for the bumblebees from lowland sites with 44 vs mid-elevation and 97 vs highland (Figures 2A, 2C, and Table S5). A check for genes that are important in temperature or elevation stress responses (calumenin, flotillin, cytochrome P450, chaperone DnaJ, BAG proteins, phosphofructokinase, heat shock proteins, cold shock proteins) (Liu et al., 2020a, 2020b; Pimsler et al., 2020; Sivan et al., 2017) did not show a significant change between the elevational belts (Figures 2, S1 and S2). One-third of the significantly regulated genes do not currently have annotation in the reference bumblebee (B. terrestris) genome (Table S5), and the other significantly regulated genes did not show a clear association with a particular functional group of enzymatic pathways. However, if we analyzed the different pathways based on the log2fold change via GSEA analyses, which considers all genes involved in one pathway in parallel, we observed an upregulation from mid-elevation and highland B. lucorum samples of the carbon metabolism (Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis, Fructose and mannose metabolism, Pyruvate metabolism, TCA cycle, Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism) and oxygen transformation pathways compared with lowland bumblebees (Figures 3A, 3B, and Table S6). Additionally, in mid-elevation bumblebees N-glycosylation pathways were upregulated compared with lowland and highland bumblebees, whereas detoxification pathways were upregulated compared with lowland bumblebees (Figures 3A, 3C, Table S6). Overall, the transcriptome from bumblebees sampled in mid-elevation was more like the transcriptome from highland samples, than from lowland samples. Even though we find more single downregulated genes in mid-elevation and highland when compared with lowlands (Figure 2 and Table S5), these genes could not be grouped into specific pathways (Figure 3 and Table S6).

Importantly, elevation and mean annual temperature (MAT) are strongly correlated (Persons R = 0.99). Accordingly, grouping the elevational gradient in lowlands, mid-elevations and highlands equals a categorization in three different MAT categories (warm, medium, cold), and produces the same results.

Bombus lucorum gene expression patterns under low and high sampling temperature

To verify that the upregulation of the carbon metabolism and oxygen transformation pathways in bees sampled in mid- and highlands compared with lowland samples is a response to the different temperature regimes, we investigated transcriptomic responses to different sampling temperatures. We assume that
those will show similar patterns if temperature is the driving force. Indeed, when splitting the lowland samples into lower (14.8–18.5°C) and higher (20.6–23.5°C) environmental temperature during sampling, we observed a similar trend for bumblebee samples during low sampling temperature as for the higher elevation samples. Parts of the carbon cycle (TCA cycle) were upregulated under lower sampling temperatures (Figure 4B and Table S8). In these analyses, we found 501 single expressed genes up-, and 258 down-regulated when bumblebees were collected during higher sampling temperatures and compared with bumblebees collected during lower sampling temperatures (Figure 4A and Table S7). Around 25% of these up- and down-regulated genes originate from uncharacterized proteins. Within the downregulated genes at high temperatures, 15 expressed genes could be linked to the fatty acid metabolism and 11 transcripts to the carbon metabolism based on KEGG annotation. From the upregulated genes during high sampling temperatures, 11 expressed genes can be grouped together in the formation of lysosomes, 11 genes belonged to amino sugar and nucleotide sugar formation, and 8 genes were involved in neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction.

**Bumblebee CT\textsubscript{min} and CT\textsubscript{max} along the elevation gradient**

We observed no difference in *B. lucorum* physiological thermal tolerance (mean CT\textsubscript{min} and CT\textsubscript{max}), when testing individuals from different elevational belts against each other (Figures 5 and Table S3) (ANOVA, CT\textsubscript{min}: df = 2, F = 0.64, p = 0.532; CT\textsubscript{max}: df = 2, F = 0.82, p = 0.45). Both CT\textsubscript{min} and CT\textsubscript{max} were neither affected by body size of the specimen, which we approached by measuring the intertegular distance (ITD) (CT\textsubscript{min}: F = 0.60 p = 0.444; CT\textsubscript{max} F = 0.21 p = 0.648) nor by dry body weight (CT\textsubscript{min}: F = 0.42 p = 0.522; CT\textsubscript{max} F = 0.33 p = 0.569).
The GSEA analyses of changes in the different KEGG pathways showed an upregulation of genetic information processes (e.g. DNA replication, Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis,Mismatch repair, Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes) from *B. lucorum* on sites with livestock grazing pressure (Figure 6B and Table S10). Additionally, oxygen transformation processes (reactive oxygen species, oxidative phosphorylation) are upregulated. On unmanaged grasslands, especially amino acid metabolism, environmental information processing, and transport/catabolism were upregulated in the transcriptome of *B. lucorum* (Figure 6B and Table S10). Besides the up- or downregulation of whole pathways, we observed 28 single downregulated genes in *B. lucorum* from sites with livestock grazing and 20 upregulated genes (Figure 6A and Table S9). Thirty percent of these genes were uncharacterized, whereas the remaining could not be assigned to a certain KEGG pathway.

**DISCUSSION**

Transcriptional regulation of *B. lucorum* in different elevations

Along the elevational gradient in the Berchtesgaden National Park, where the mean annual temperature changes from 0 to 4.6°C, we identified several genes associated with elevation and thus also with temperature. However, candidate genes already associated with thermal stress in other studies did not show significant expression changes with the temperature changes studied in the experimental design of the elevational gradient (Liu et al., 2020a, 2020b; Pimsler et al., 2020). Some of those candidates, such as HSP are known to be responsive toward very different stressors such as heat, cold, osmotic, or oxidative stress, hypoxia, exposure to toxic substances, or infections as a protection mechanism for other proteins (Feder and Hofmann, 1999). Given that there was no regulation of HSP and other important stress-induced genes (Figures S1 and S2), we assume that *B. lucorum* is resilient against temperature changes in the range that occurs on the studied elevational gradient. Thermal stress was potentially not strong enough to trigger extra protection for protein functioning (Liu et al., 2020a). Finding no significant difference in the expression of candidate genes between elevational belts paralleled with the finding, that *B. lucorum* workers showed no elevational pattern in their physiological thermal tolerances (Figure S). Again, temperature differences during the time of colony growth (May-August) are not reaching the critical thermal conditions to select for physiological adaptation or to trigger specific metabolic protection mechanisms. Alternatively, adaptive differences in critical minimum thermal limits, as detected in climate-chamber raised workers (Pimsler et al., 2020), might also have remained undetected in our study, because the foraging workers, which we collected in the field, may stem from colonies that are located at much lower or higher...
Elevations; the collected workers may therefore be acclimatized to other environmental temperatures than those of the sampling locality.

Even though single candidate genes showed no significant up- or downregulation between elevational belts we detected a significant upregulation of pathways involved in energy generation with increasing elevation (Figure 3). Especially the carbon metabolism was upregulated in mid-elevation and highlands compared with lowland bumblebees. It is known that bumblebees utilize carbohydrates to power their flight; thus, these insects support flight by using sugars from plant nectar rather than using the fat body (Suarez et al., 2005). Additionally, besides the carbohydrate metabolism, we observed an upregulation in ATP generation through oxidative phosphorylation with higher elevation. Oxidative phosphorylation is known to generate cellular ATP in the mitochondrion of eukaryotic cells (Tripoli et al., 2005). It was shown that it can provide up to 70–95% of the ATP necessary for eukaryotic cells (Li et al., 2017; Mitterboeck et al., 2017). In contrast to a recent study (Masson et al., 2017) we showed that cold adaption in nature is not only supported by ATP production through oxidative phosphorylation, but by a combination with the carbohydrate metabolism. *Bombus lucorum* is responding to temperature and elevation changes through increased ATP production like bumblebee species from the high-elevation Tibet Plateau (Liu et al., 2020a, 2020b). This plastic “energy boost” might allow *B. lucorum* to occupy a large geographic range with different temperature regimes. To verify whether gene expression differences detected between elevational belts were related to temperature differences, we checked whether we find the same transcriptome response, when comparing individuals collected during different sampling temperatures, but within the same elevational belt (lowlands). Indeed, also in this subset, we could detect an enrichment in the carbohydrate ATP production pathways under cool sampling temperature. The differences in pathway

---

**Figure 3. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) between the different elevation belts**

Enrichment map representation of the ranked GSEA results obtained for two-way comparative analysis between *B. lucorum* samples from each elevation gradient group: highland vs lowland (A); highland vs mid-elevation (B); mid-elevation vs lowland (C). Enrichment maps from GSEA showing gene sets in an interaction network with nodes of transcripts received by RNA-seq with moderately conservative statistical significance ($p < 0.005$, FDR < 0.05, and overlap coefficient = 0.2). Clustered sub networks of nodes (depicted as dotted circles) reflect generic functional networks. Nodes represent enriched gene sets, where node size corresponds to the number of genes and color intensity corresponds to normalized enrichment score. Edges represent overlap between gene sets with line thickness correlating to the degree of overlap. Pathways were manually grouped into different categories based on their KEGG assignment.
regulation between sampling temperature categories were less statistically supported than the differences between elevational belts. Nevertheless, they were clear enough that the response to elevation was mainly driven by temperature and not by, for example, oxygen partial gas pressure differences between low and high elevation. Also, in the single significantly upregulated genes we could find an increase in 11 genes that are related to the carbon metabolism. Other regulated genes showed no clear pattern in a specific directed change in metabolic or functional pathways. Under the assumption that collected specimens originate from colonies nesting at lower altitudes, this suggests that energy-intensive long-distance flights from lower to higher altitudes alone do not trigger the observed transcriptional responses. How a frequent activation of “energetic boosters” impact colony fitness and growth, remains little understood. However, data from another study from that area suggests that bumblebee abundance increased in mid- and high elevations (but not in low elevations), when comparing a warmer year (2019) with a cooler year (2009) (Figure S3 and Maihoff et al., under revision). This may indicate, with the limitation of only two sampling years, that relative environmental stress-resistant bumblebees such as B. lucorum may initially profit from warming temperatures at higher elevations, as foraging flights become more energy-efficient and, therefore, more energy can be invested in colony growth.

**Livestock grazing triggers transcriptome response in B. lucorum**

Here we show, that even extensive livestock grazing, as conducted in the National Park Berchtesgaden, triggers a transcriptome response in the bumblebee B. lucorum. These responses are detected despite the limitation that we do not know whether captured, foraging bumblebees also nested on the respective grazed sites. The majority
of pathways upregulated under the grazing treatment were involved in genetic information processes like transcription, translation, replication, and repair of DNA (Figure 6). This suggests that a certain, yet unknown interaction with livestock is forcing bumblebees to express mechanisms to cope with damage or interference in their genome. Especially the mismatch repair system, which is conserved through the complete Tree of Life, is important for organisms to deal with DNA damage processes occurring from either environmental factors or metabolic processes inside the cell (Lee et al., 2016; Li, 2008). First, interactions with cattle dung itself, containing nitrogen and sulfur compounds, could be potentially harmful to the ground-nesting bumblebees and trigger the observed responses (Jensen, 2003). It is known that the accumulation of NOx up to a certain threshold can have a toxic effect, mainly through an increase in methaemoglobin, a hemoglobin derivative that cannot bind oxygen but prevents hemoglobin from releasing its oxygen, ultimately leading to hypoxia (Bachmann, 1937; Jensen, 2003; Lefevre et al., 2011). Data from the same study sites shows that the introduction of cattle is significantly increasing the concentration of nitrite and nitrate (NOx) in the soil (with livestock grazing: ~29 mg NOx/kg soil; unmanaged: ~6 mg NOx/kg soil; Table S11 and Figure S4). The toxicity of NOx to insects has so far been studied mainly in freshwater ecosystems and has received little attention in terrestrial systems (Camargo and Alonso, 2006; Eytcheson and Leblanc, 2018; Soucek and Dickinson, 2012; Tesfai et al., 1977; Throop and Lerdau, 2004).

From the composition of the pathways that are regulated, we would exclude a direct effect, like soil compaction damaging potential or existing ground nesting sites (Murray et al., 2012) of cattle activity on the sampled bumblebees, as this would probably not trigger a response in genetic information processes.

Alternatively, grazing might change the composition of plants, with plants being potentially toxic to bumblebees becoming more dominant on pastures, either due to a higher nitrogen input from NOx compounds or due to the selective consumption of other plants by cattle (Augustine and McNaughton, 1998; Carvell, 2002; Roulston and Goodell, 2011). It is known that several plants may contain certain secondary compounds (specific alkaloids or steroids) that are also present in their nectar or pollen and thus potentially toxic to bumblebees except the evolutionary specialized species—(Adler, 2000; Trunz et al., 2020; Villalona et al., 2020), or at least triggering the observed transcriptome responses (Ku et al., 2013). Interestingly, the presence of fertilizer itself has been shown to alter the chemical composition of nectar and pollen (ratio of essential amino acids is changing, and lower glucose and fructose levels, respectively) in the plant species Succisa pratensis (Family: Caprifoliaceae), which...
is also present in our study region, leading to higher mortality rates in the larvae of *B. terrestris* and less living workers (Ceulemans et al., 2017). We observe a downregulation in pathways that are involved in amino acid metabolisms, which could hint into the direction that grazing indeed is either changing the composition of amino acids of the available plants either directly or indirectly. However, to really show a causality between these effects, would require knowledge about which resources were actually used by the foraging bumblebees and the respective amino acid and sugar content of the flowers.

To date, there are no transcriptomic analyses of plant pollen or nectar toxins on the transcriptome of bumblebees or other bees, which makes a comparison with our dataset difficult. A comparison of our study with transcriptome work on the influence of insecticides revealed no overlap in the regulation of genetic processes; only oxidative stress seems to be a common trigger for the bees’ response to different kinds of stressors (Fent et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2017).

**Conclusion**

In this study, we analyzed the transcriptome of *B. lucorum* workers, sampled along an elevational gradient from differently managed grassland sites in and around the National Park Berchtesgaden. We conclude that potential temperature constraints may be compensated by higher metabolic energy productions in our study system rather than by causing a transcriptional thermal stress response. Livestock Grazing, in contrast, led to an unexpected upregulation of processes involved in stress response, immunoregulation, and DNA-regeneration/repair. Although the underlying mechanisms remain partly enigmatic, our study demonstrates the complexity and resilience of the molecular response of natural pollinators to drivers of global change. As under expected global change, *B. lucorum* will most likely face land use change and temperature increase we conclude that rising temperature facilitates *B. lucorum* to colonize higher elevations, whereas fitness implications of even minor intensification of land use practices should raise our concern. A more comprehensive study, ideally of different species, in which whole colonies, with similar conditions, are monitored for several weeks, is needed to uncover the variables that lead to the effects we observed and to determine whether these changes ultimately affect the fitness of bumblebees in these regions.

**Limitations of the study**

In this study, we provide an extensive transcriptomic dataset of *B. lucorum*, a widespread bumblebee species, which is due to its cold tolerance also common in alpine regions.

The bumblebees used in this study were caught while foraging at different study sites along the elevational gradient. Whereas this method has the advantage of capturing bumblebees in their natural environment, i.e. with all realistic abiotic and biotic interactions, it has the disadvantage that we have neither information on the age of individuals or colony identity, nor on the location of the nesting site. Vertical flights or age differences could therefore have masked existing patterns in the transcriptome response. Also, we are unable to link transcriptomic changes to the fitness of bumblebee colonies.
Another limitation is that we have only analyzed the data of one bumblebee species. Therefore, we cannot know whether the reported transcriptome responses to temperature and grazing, are general responses of bumblebee species in temperate alpine communities. In the next approach, it would be interesting to include more bumblebee species, also those with e.g. narrower elevational ranges.
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**STAR METHODS**

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Biological samples** | | |
| In total 81 Bombus lucorum workers for transcriptomic analyse | Foraging in the National Park Berchtesgaden and its vicinity, Germany in 2019 (47,10° N, 12,15° E) | Identification by AIM - Advanced Identification Methods, Leipzig, Germany |
| In total 71 Bombus lucorum workers for thermal tolerance analyse | Foraging in the National Park Berchtesgaden and its vicinity, Germany in 2020 (47,10° N, 12,15° E) | NA |
| **Deposited data** | | |
| Raw and analyzed RNA-seq data | This paper | GEO: GSE198931 |
| Raw sequence fasta file for bumblebee identification | This paper | NCBI: SUB11540607 |
| Supplementary Tables | This paper | Zenodo Data: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7077851 |
| Bumblebee abundance data | This paper | https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.80gb5mkf1 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| RNeasy Mini Kit | Qiagen, Hilden, Germany | https://www.qiagen.com |
| RNA 6000 Nano Kit Guide | Agilent, Santa Clara, United States | https://www.agilent.com |
| TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit | Illumina, San Diego, United States | https://www.illumina.com |
| **Software and algorithms** | | |
| R 4.1.2 | The R Project for Statistical Computing (R Development Core Team, 2008) | https://www.r-project.org |
| Cutadapt (v2.5) | (Martin, 2011) | https://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/stable/ |
| STAR (v2.7.2b) | (Dobin et al., 2013) | https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR |
| featureCounts (v1.6.4) | (Liao et al., 2014) | http://subread.sourceforge.net/ |
| DESeq2 (v1.24.0) | (Love et al., 2014) | http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html |
| ClusterProfiler (v3.12.0) | (Yu et al., 2012) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ClusterProfiler.html |
| EnhancedVolcano | (Blighe et al., 2019) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/EnhancedVolcano.html |
| **Other** | | |
| FastPrep beat-beating system | Savant Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany | https://www.thermofisher.com |
| FastPrep FP120-230 | Agilent, Santa Clara, United States | https://www.agilent.com |
| 2100 Bioanalyzer | Illumina, San Diego, United States | https://www.illumina.com |
| NextSeq 500 platform | Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany | https://www.eppendorf.com |

### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact: Dr. Kristof Brenzinger (kristof.brenzinger@uni-wuerzburg.de).

#### Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.
Data and code availability

- RNA-seq data have been deposited at NCBI and are publicly available as of the date of publication (GEO: GSE198931). Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Raw sequence fasta file for bumblebee identification have been deposited at NCBI and are publicly available as of the date of publication (SUB11540607). Additional data, metadata files and in general all Supplementary tables are deposited at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7077851.

- This paper does not report original code.

- Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Study sites and bumblebee sampling

The study was conducted within the National Park Berchtesgaden and its vicinity (47,10° N, 12,15° E). The National Park is located within the limestone Alps in southern east Germany a region characterized by coniferous forest, alpine meadows, and mountain pastures. The later are grazed extensively by either cattle or sheep, used for hay production, or abandoned within the last 150 years. We collected bumblebees on 27 study sites in three elevational levels: lowlands (10 study sites from 641–1017 m a.s.l.), mid-elevations (9 study sites from 1105–1448 m a.s.l.), highlands (8 study sites from 1579–2032 m a.s.l.) (Figure 1A), corresponding to a temperature gradient from 9 to 4.6°C from lowland to highland study sites. Half of the study sites were used actively as pastures during the sampling season and in previous years; the other half comprised grasslands without livestock grazing (Hoiss et al., 2012). Study sites with and without livestock grazing were equally distributed along the climatic gradient such that both factors were uncorrelated and could be independently analysed.

Bumblebee collection and species identification for transcriptome analyses

In total, 81 individuals (Lowlands: 30; mid-elevation: 25; highlands: 26; Table S1) of B. lucorum were collected via sweep nets on 16 different study sites (lowlands: 6 study sites from 641–987 m a.s.l.; mid-elevations: 5 study sites from 1140–1420 m a.s.l; highlands: 5 study sites from 1579–1930 m a.s.l.) between end of July and mid of August 2019 between 9 a.m. and 7 p.m. We collected 5 workers per study site except for the highest site, where on one site less individuals were found, therefore on another site more individuals were captured. Immediately after catching, specimens were killed by severing the head from the thorax with sterilized scissors (flaming with 95% ethanol). Legs, wings, and the gut were removed with sterilized forceps before thorax and abdomen were transferred to DNA/RNA shield (Zymo Research, Eching, Germany), and roughly cut to allow shield absorption. The dissection procedure was conducted within 1 min to minimize the confounding effects of preparation on gene expression status. Samples were immediately stored on ice in the field. Legs were also placed on ice in separate vials for later species identification. After field trips, all samples were continuously stored at –20°C in a freezer until further processing. Species identification of bumblebees were confirmed by DNA-barcoding. Legs of all bumblebees were isolated and subsequently analysed via DNA-barcoding based on the cytochrome oxidase CO1 gene (Hebert et al., 2003, 2004) (AiM - Advanced Identification Methods, Leipzig, Germany) and annotated through BLAST and BOLD (The Barcode of Life Data System) databases (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007). All samples were accurately assigned to B. lucorum with >98.8% reference gene matching (Table S1). All sequence fasta files are stored at NCBI with the submission number SUB11540607. Unfortunately, we could not identify any clusters of specimens based on COI that could indicate colony affiliation or degree of relationship. Therefore, our study is limited in that we consider free-flying individuals with unknown relationships. Although it cannot be ruled out that individuals caught on a site belong to the same colony, we are confident that our design (several geographically distant sites in one altitude category or temperature category, see Figure 1) compensates for possible colony effects.

Bumblebee collection for the assessment of thermal tolerances

In total, 71 workers of B. lucorum (Table S3) were collected on 20 study sites (lowlands: 5 study sites from 714–1017 m a.s.l.; mid-elevations: 8 study sites from 1140–1448 m a.s.l.; highlands: 7 study sites from 1579–2032 m a.s.l.) between June and August 2020, the study sites included the same 9 out of 16 sites used for collecting bumblebees for transcriptome analyses and used 11 additional sites (Figure 1C). We are much aware of the fact that works of B. lucorum cannot be reliably differentiated from B. terrestris, B. cryptarum.
and *B. magnus* by morphological characters alone (Bossert et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2012). Unfortunately, financial constraints did not allow us to barcode the respective samples. However, since out of 117 randomly collected individuals in the study region (81 were used for transcriptomic data) we could identify only one male of *B. terrestris* and 116 females of *B. lucorum* by DNA barcoding (see above), we conclude that our study region is vastly dominated by *B. lucorum*.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Bumblebee abundance comparing a warmer and a hotter year (2009 vs. 2019)**

The bumblebee abundance data, as well as the data of this paper, were generated within the Adapt project ("Adaptation of alpine pollinators in Times of global change") but will be published by F.M. embedded in a larger dataset soon. Upon acceptance of this manuscript raw data will be available at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.80gb5mk1.

In addition to the 27 study sites used in this study, wild bees were observed in a standardized manner on a further 6 sites over the entire season (May to September) in 2009 and 2019. Details of the sampling method can be found in Hoiss et al. (2012), which published extended data of the sampling conducted in 2009. While the mean annual temperature on the selected sites was in 2019 0.9°C warmer than 2009. The temperature in the region has also increased over the 10-year period.

**RNA extraction and sequencing**

RNA was extracted from whole bumblebee bodies without the gut (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), because of the role of musculature for thermogenesis/thermal tolerance (Andersen and Overgaard, 2019) and the importance of homogenous tissues in RNAseq (Johnson et al., 2013). Thorax and abdomen were disrupted in a FastPrep bead beating system (Savant FastPrep FP120-230, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany). Afterwards, the RNA was extracted in accordance with manufacturer’s protocol.

RNA quality was checked using a 2100 Bioanalyzer with the RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States). The RIN for the samples was from 2.7 – 9.0. DNA libraries suitable for sequencing were prepared from 400 ng of total RNA with oligo-dT capture beads for poly-A-mRNA enrichment using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, United States) according to manufacturer’s instructions (½ volume, 4 samples: full volume). After 15 cycles of PCR amplification, the size distribution of the barcoded DNA libraries was estimated ~285 bp by electrophoresis on Agilent DNA 1000 Bioanalyzer microfluidic chips. Sequencing of pooled libraries, spiked with 1% PhiX control library, was performed at 22–42 million reads/sample in single-end mode with 75 nt read length on the NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina) using 5 High Output sequencing kits.

**Processing of RNA-seq data**

Demultiplexed FASTQ files were generated with bcl2fastq2 v2 20.0.422 (Illumina). To assure high sequence quality, Illumina reads were quality- and adapter-trimmed via Cutadapt (Martin, 2011) version 2.5 using a cutoff Phred score of 20 in NextSeq mode, and reads without any remaining bases were discarded (command line parameters: –nextseq-trim = 20 -m 1 -a AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC). Processed reads were subsequently mapped to the bumblebee genome (GCF_000214255.1/Bter_1.0 primary assembly) using STAR v2.7.2b with default parameters based on RefSeq annotation release 102 for Bter_1.0 (Dobin et al., 2013). Read counts on exon level summarized for each gene with gene_biotype protein_coding were generated using featureCounts v1.6.4 from the Subread package (Liao et al., 2014). Multi-mapping and multi-overlapping reads were counted strand-specific and reversely stranded with a fractional count for each alignment and overlapping feature (command line parameters: -s 2 -t exon M O -fraction). The raw data is stored at GEO: GSE198931.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

RNA-seq read counts were utilized to identify differentially expressed genes using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) version 1.24.0. Read counts were normalized by DESeq2 and fold-change shrinkage was applied by setting the parameter “betaPrior = TRUE.” For pairwise comparison between groups, three independent analyses were conducted based on different sample sets and group assignments. At first, all *B. lucorum* samples were assigned to three categories along the elevation gradient (lowland, mid-elevation, highland). Next, to check for a temperature effect independent of an elevation effect (like changes
in oxygen pressure, radiation, or vegetation), an additional analysis was conducted using only the lowland samples, which were subdivided into two groups based on temperature during sampling (high T: 20.6 – 23.5°C vs. low T: 14.8–18.5°C; Table S2). For these analyses, we could only use the samples from the lowlands and not from higher elevational belts, as those were the only samples which we could group into two similarly sample-sized groups that could be sufficiently distinguished by temperature. For a third analysis, all samples were alternatively grouped based on collection at grazing and non-grazing sites (Table S1). Differential expression of genes was assumed at an adjusted p-value (padj) after Benjamini-Hochberg correction < 0.05 and |log2FoldChange| ≥ 0.5. clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012) version 3.12.0 was used to perform functional enrichment analyses based on Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways. Here, the GSEA function (Subramanian et al., 2005) was applied for gene set enrichment analysis considering the DESeq2 log2FoldChange of all analysed genes and the function emapplot was used for result visualization (Reimand et al., 2019). This method was used in other recently studies on bee transcriptomes (Chen et al., 2021; Holman et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021).

Measurement of thermal tolerance of B. lucorum

To measure the thermal tolerance limits of B. lucorum, specimens were transported in 1.5 mL, ventilated plastic tubes to the laboratory (field station) or laboratory substitute (mountain hut). Each individual was offered cotton wool soaked with sugar water during the time of transport, but at least ten minutes immediately before the start of the experiment to avoid an influence of the hunger state on thermal performance. The interest in the sugar solution always decreased before the 10 minutes had elapsed (indicated by animals’ movement away from the sugar source in the transport vial). Individuals showing injuries or strong signs of lethargy from transport after the feeding, were removed from the experiment.

To access maximal thermal tolerance (CTmax) and minimal thermal tolerance (CTmin), we recorded the irreversible thermal tolerance limit in each treatment, i.e. the minimal and maximal temperature at which postural control was lost (Hamblin et al., 2017) and controlled mobility (i.e. a posture that allows normal walking or sitting) cannot be reactivated anymore by stimuli (= loss of the so called “righting response”) (Kellermann et al., 2012; Oyen et al., 2016). Note that the loss of postural control also includes described behaviours such as motor spasticity and body curling (Oyen and Dillon, 2018). These extreme behaviours were not distinguished here from motionless behaviours as it excludes an ecologically relevant behaviour (foraging/flying).

In the assessment, we exposed single bees within 2 mL (small bees) or 5 mL (large bees) plastic vials to decreasing or respectably increasing temperatures generated within a programmable thermocycler (Eppendorf ThermoStatC, Hamburg, Germany) (Diamond et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2016). To ensure free exchange of gas during the cause of the experiment, vials were closed with fresh cotton balls and placed into the thermocycler. We programmed two temperature patterns that guaranteed a stepwise time-regulated temperature drop or temperature rise. To identify CTmin (n = 35), specimens were held ten minutes at a common initial temperature of 14°C. Then temperature was two times reduced by 2°C (up to 10°C), and from then in 1°C intervals. For the assessment of CTmax (n = 36), specimens were kept ten minutes at a common initial temperature of 20°C. Temperature was first increased by 2°C to 24°C, followed by 1°C intervals. This approach with first 2°C and then 1°C steps is since in preliminary studies all individuals tolerated 10°C or 26°C and thus we could shorten the total duration of the experiment. In both treatments, each temperature was held for five minutes; time counting started when the set temperature was reached. After each 5 minutes at the given temperature gently flicking the vial was used as a stimuli to induce a righting response (Kellermann et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2016). Our approach to determine the CTmin, first applied to multiple taxa (Diamond et al., 2012), is also suitable for bumblebees (Peters et al., 2016). However it comes along with some limitations: As bumblebees have thermogenic capabilities, the temperature at which we observed the loss of postural control may not correspond to thoracic temperatures of bee (Oyen and Dillon, 2018), why our measurements are not directly comparable with more precise measurements of CTmin. Further righting response are discussed to be influenced by individuals’ motivation and hence causes variation which not necessary reflects pure physiological thresholds (Lutterschmidt and Hutchison, 1997; Oyen and Dillon, 2018). Compared to other methods with a continuous temperature increase, our stepwise temperature increases with 5 min hold time is rather coarsely resolved. Also, the rate of temperature increase per minute is reduced, which can lead to higher CTmin and lower CTmax values (Oyen and Dillon, 2018). Nevertheless, our approach should be precise enough to capture intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance.
As variation in thermal tolerances can be influenced by body size (Peters et al., 2016) and vial size during measurement (Oyen and Dillon, 2018), we assessed body size by measuring the intertegular distance and body dry weight of each individual (Cane, 1987) and tested all three variables (body size, weight, vial size) using a linear model (lm: ~ body size + weight + vial size) and subsequent ANOVA type II. Neither vial size during experiment had no significant an effect on bee thermal tolerances (CTmin: F = 0.01 p = 0.981; CTmax F = 0.75 p = 0.392). We tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA, typ I for balanced data) whether the thermal tolerance (mean CTmin and CTmax) differed between the elevational belts. These analyses were done using R version 4.1.2 (Core TEAM, 2020).

Analyses of NOx composition along the elevation gradient
Soil samples were collected in summer 2020 from similar sites where the bumblebees were catch. Soil was non-destructively sampled using a soil core (diameter x height: 5 x 15 cm) in a random sampling approach, in total 5 replicates were taken per site. Nutrient concentrations in the soil samples (NOx-) were determined in 1 M KCl (1:5 dilution) extract using a SEAL QuAAtro SFA autoanalyzer (Beun-de Ronde B.V. Abcoude, the Netherlands) at the Netherland Institute of Ecology (Wageningen, the Netherlands). Data is stored in the Table S10.

Temperature extraction
Mean annual temperature (MAT) of the sampling year (2019) for each study site and temperature during sampling (mean temperature one hour before and after the specimen was caught) was predicted from temperature data extracted from 17 adjacent climate stations. The respective climate stations were located in and around the national park, covering an elevational range from 653 to 2645 m a.s.l. Climate stations recorded temperature in 10-min intervals, which were first averaged per day (MAT) or hour (temperature during sampling) respectively. For the prediction of MAT, we then fitted generalized additive models (GAMs) with a smooth term of elevation, day length in minutes (as a proxy for season), and date (to account for daily weather conditions on respective days). Predicted daily temperatures were then averaged per year for each study site. For the prediction of temperature during sampling, we fitted GAMs with a smooth term of elevation and day lengths in minutes (as a proxy for season), and a combined factor for date and hour (e.g. 2019-01-01_hour10, to account for the hourly weather conditions on the respective day). Precise elevations of climate stations and study site centres were extracted from a digital elevational model with 1-m resolution.