Convergent Evolution of Unique Morphological Adaptations to a Subterranean Environment in Cave Millipedes (Diplopoda)
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Abstract

Animal life in caves has fascinated researchers and the public alike because of the unusual and sometimes bizarre morphological adaptations observed in numerous troglobitic species. Despite their worldwide diversity, the adaptations of cave millipedes (Diplopoda) to a troglobitic lifestyle have rarely been examined. In this study, morphological characters were analyzed in species belonging to four different orders (Glomerida, Polydesmida, Chordeumatida, and Spirostreptida) and six different families (Glomeridae, Paradoxosomatidae, Polydesmidae, Haplodesmidae, Megalotylidae, and Cambalopsidae) that represent the taxonomic diversity of class Diplopoda. We focused on the recently discovered millipede fauna of caves in southern China. Thirty different characters were used to compare cave troglobites and epigean species within the same genera. A character matrix was created to analyze convergent evolution of cave adaptations. Males and females were analyzed independently to examine sex differences in cave adaptations. While 10 characters only occurred in a few phylogenetic groups, 20 characters were scored for in all families. Of these, four characters were discovered to have evolved convergently in all troglobitic millipedes. The characters that represented potential morphological cave adaptations in troglobitic species were: (1) a longer body; (2) a lighter body color; (3) elongation of the femora; and (4) elongation of the tarsi of walking legs. Surprisingly, female, but not male, antennae were more elongated in troglobites than in epigean species. Our study clearly shows that morphological adaptations have evolved convergently in different, unrelated millipede orders and families, most likely as a direct adaptation to cave life.

Introduction

Caves represent one of the world’s most intriguing ecosystems [1,2], as, unlike surface habitats, they are completely devoid of sunlight, with neither photosynthesis nor plant growth, and have constant, usually much cooler temperatures and a limited food supply [3].

The cave environment is often separated into a twilight zone near the entrance, a middle zone of complete darkness with variable temperature, and a zone of complete darkness with
nearly constant temperature in the deep interior [2]. The latter area is considered as the “true cave area” [4]. Each cave ecosystem is unique and often quite fragile; the peculiar cave-dwelling fauna are often characterized by their extreme scarcity and high endemism to a specific cave at the species level. The isolation and distinctiveness of each individual cave ecosystem, in combination with the locally evolved endemic cave species (troglobites: obligate cavernicoles), make caves important habitats for research in evolutionary adaptations [5,6].

The unique conditions inside caves and the unusual appearance of terrestrial arthropods adapted to a life inside them have captured the interest of the public and researchers alike [7–14]. The similarities in morphology of different cave arthropods have often been interpreted as examples of convergent evolution to similar ecological pressures [7,15–24]. However, recently this has been a subject of debate, as many of the presumed endemic cave taxa have been shown to have evolved not directly from surface-living (epigean) relatives, but from species already adapted to a special layer of the substrate, the so-called “Mesovoid Shallow Stratum” (MSS) [25,26] or “Shallow subterranean habitats” [27]. For example, some species that had previously been thought to be cave endemics were also discovered in the MSS [28–32].

Numerous aquatic and terrestrial arthropods are adapted to cave habitats [9,33–38]. Troglobitic species were previously thought to only evolve through climatic pressures, such as the Ice Age, or via the “cave refugium” hypothesis [39,40]. Therefore, endemic troglobitic species were considered to be absent from tropical countries. However, rich and diverse troglobites also occur in tropical and subtropical areas, especially in Asia [11,36,41–43].

Among the Myriapoda, carnivorous centipedes (Chilopoda) are rarely found in caves [44–46]. Detritivorous millipedes (Diplopoda) occur frequently in caves and form a diverse, sometimes dominant group of troglobites [3,42,47–52] that includes almost all major groups of millipedes [53], with species showing multiple, independent adaptations to a life in the cave ecosystem. Geographically, troglobitic millipede species are mainly known in Europe [54–57] and North America [51,52,58,59], but have also been recorded in South America [60], Africa [61], Australia [62] and, in the last 10–15 years, Asia [36,42,50,63,64].

The diversity, local endemism, and unusual appearance of cave millipedes (see Fig 1) have produced a rich taxonomic literature [50,65–68]. However, unlike taxonomic studies, there are only a few papers on the unusual and convergent morphological adaptations to cave life in Diplopoda [69–72]. For example, no study directly comparing a troglobite millipede with their epigean counterpart to find morphological adaptations to the cave environment has yet been conducted, most comparisons remain anecdotal [12].

During the last decade, the diversity of cave millipedes in China has been revealed. Currently, approximately 200 epigean millipede species from China are known. Between 2004 and 2016, about 100 millipede species were described from Chinese caves [63,64,66,67,73–91], with many more still awaiting description. However, not all of these species show the characters of true troglobites, and some may be shown to inhabit surface habitats. Troglobitic species in China belong to six orders and 13 different families. All troglobitic species belong to genera for which numerous epigean species are known, many of them recently described or redescribed from SE Asia [92–99]. These recent discoveries provide us with sufficient material to conduct the first comparative morphological study on the adaptations to cave life in millipedes. Species originating from southern Chinese karsts provide an additional opportunity, as the scarcity of MSS environments in this tropical to subtropical area of China means that morphological adaptations observed in cave millipedes are unique adaptations to a life in caves, rather than to a life in the MSS.

Here we compare different morphological characters in six troglobitic and epigean millipede species pairs, belonging to four different orders and six different families to identify general and convergently evolved morphological adaptations to the cave ecosystem.
Fig 1. Photographs of troglobitic cave millipedes. (A) Hyleoglomeris sp. (Glomeridae, Glomerida); (B) Epanerchodus sp. (Polydesmidae, Polydesmidae); (C) Glyphius sp. (Cambalopsidae, Spirostreptida); (D) Eutrichodesmus sp. (Haplodromidae, Polydesmidae); (E) Nepalella sp. (Megalotylidae, Chordeumatida); (F) Desmoxytes sp. (Paradoxosomatidae, Polydesmidae).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170717.g001
Material and Methods
Species selection
Species of four orders (Glomerida, Polydesmida, Chordeumatida, and Spirostreptida), six families, and six genera (Fig 1) that represent the taxonomic diversity of Diplopoda (Fig 2) were selected based on availability of specimens and relatedness. Species from each genus were chosen randomly, based on the selection criteria that both conspecific male and female specimens were available.

All troglobitic species were collected from different limestone caves in south China (see Table 1). Some were paratype specimens of recently discovered species [90,91].

All epigean species used in this study were deposited vouchers in internationally accessible museum collections (Table 1), and chosen according to availability. Ideally, epigean sister taxa to the troglobitic species would have been included; however, no phylogeny for our investigated genera is available, although we note that the morphological characters utilized here show very little interspecific variation among congeneric epigean species, and most were not even mentioned in taxonomic descriptions [66,79,91,92,98].

Character selection
Morphological characters were chosen based on the literature, and from our own observations. A detailed discussion of each character is provided in Table 2. We sampled characters from different body regions, such as the head or legs of millipedes (Fig 3). Characters were selected a priori. Unfortunately, in many cases, characters could not be scored in all six Diplopoda families as some, such as the organ of Tömösváry, were not present in all groups [101]. A total of 30 characters was selected for the analysis (Table 2), of which 10 could only be scored in a single or few families. Twenty characters were analyzed in all families.

![Fig 2. Phylogenetic tree and selected taxa.](https://example.com/fig2.png)
In order to avoid a size bias, only the general size of the specimen was measured in millimeters. All other measurements were objective and are illustrated (Fig 4). Scanning electron microscopy images, as well as camera lucida line drawings were used to measure the length/width ratio of the different characters.

Character analyses

A description of all characters is provided in Table 2. Male Diplopoda often possess longer legs and antennae than conspecific females. To avoid this sexual bias in our study, male and female characters were scored separately, i.e., a male troglobite was only compared with a male epigean specimen and vice versa. One exception was the family Polydesmidae, genus Epanerchodus, for which we could not obtain a female epigean specimen. Altogether, 60 character pairs (30 for each genus/sex) were compared.

All characters were measured and recorded using Microsoft Office Excel (version 2010) (see S1 and S2 Tables). Measured character/state pairs were directly visually compared. Of the 30 characters, 10 could not be measured in all six families, but were nevertheless included to provide the basis for future studies with more millipede groups.

Results

Convergently evolved cave adaptations in millipedes

Ten characters could not be scored in all six families. Seven were only present in a single family. The Tömösváry organ (c7) was only present in the Glomerida; the shape of the paraterga (c21) was only modified in the family Paradoxosomatidae (Polydesmida); 3+3 setae on the metaterga (c22) were only present in the order Chordeumatida. Four characters, the crest(s) on the collum (Fig 5), the metazonae, the pre-anal ring (c20, 23, 30), and a modified apical spine on the walking legs (c29), were only present in the family Cambalopsidae (Spirostreptida). Three characters related to the eyes were absent from the three families in the order Polydesmida. The number (c4) and color of ocelli (c5) were reduced in all troglobitic millipede
Table 2. Character discussion.

| Characters                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **C1.** Body with color/pigmentation: according to the literature, troglobites often lose their pigmentation [12] (Fig 1). |
| **C2.** Body length (mm): some studies show that some troglobitic species have shorter bodies [70]. Body length was measured from head to telson. |
| **C3.** Midbody width (mm): midbody width was measured from left middle–lateral to right middle–lateral margin (Fig 3). |
| **C4.** No. of ocelli: numerous taxonomic studies show a reduced number of ocelli in cave millipede taxa [82–84,90]. Generally, Glomerida possess a lower number of ocelli (Fig 4H); while epigean species in the Chordeumatida and Spirostreptida usually have a relatively high number of ocelli [102]. Polydesmidae generally have no eyes [101], therefore, this character could not be scored in the three families of the Polydesmida. |
| **C5.** Color of ocelli: in taxonomic descriptions of troglobitic millipedes, the color of ocelli is often described as much lighter in troglobites than in epigean species [82,84,90]. This character could not be scored in the three families of the Polydesmida, as they have no eyes. |
| **C6.** Size of ocelli: in taxonomic descriptions of millipedes, the ocelli are often described as reduced in size [84,90] and nearly obliterated in troglobitic species [82,83]. This character could not be scored in the three families of the Polydesmida, as they have no eyes. |
| **C7.** Tömösávy organ, length/width ratio: the Tömösávy organ is present and horseshoe-shaped [103] (Fig 4H) only in the Glomerida, whereas this character was described to differ between cave taxa and epigean congener [69]. This character was not measured in the Polydesmida, Chordeumatida, or Spirostreptida, as they lack such an organ [100]. |
| **C8.** Antenna, antennomere 3, length/width ratio: in taxonomic descriptions of cave millipedes, it is often assumed that cave species have a more elongated antenna than epigean species [76,88,91]. Therefore, the length/width ratio of antennomere 3 was measured as illustrated (Fig 4A). |
| **C9.** Antenna, antennomere 4, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of antennomere 4 was measured as illustrated (Fig 4A). |
| **C10.** Antenna, antennomere 5, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of antennomere 5 was measured as illustrated (Fig 4A). |
| **C11.** Antenna, antennomere 6, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of antennomere 6 was measured as illustrated (Fig 4A). |
| **C12.** Antenna, antennomere 3–6, length/width ratio: similar to characters 8–11, the sum of length/sum of width ratio of antennomeres 3–6 was also calculated. |
| **C13.** Antenna, antennomere 6 maximal width: the maximal width of antennomere 6, which bears the apical disc (also referred to as antennomere 7), is usually located near the apical tip (Fig 4A); according to the literature, this character differs in some troglobites [72] (Fig 4B). |
| **C14.** Antenna, antenna apical cones: the apical disc often carries four visible, long apical cones (Fig 4B), but in our study, these apical cones were modified in some cave species (Fig 4A). |
| **C15.** Labrum tooth: Glomerida species carry a single tooth; Polydesmidae and Chordeumatida carry three central teeth; and Spirostreptida have 3–6 teeth [67,82]. According to the literature, the number of labral teeth is sometimes reduced in troglobites [70]. |
| **C16.** Mandible, external tooth: the millipede mandible generally carries a single external tooth [100] (Fig 4E and 4F); however, we discovered some millipede species with a different number. As cave millipedes have a different food source than epigean species, we added this and the following mandible characters to see if there was a general difference in the mandible between epigean and troglobitic millipedes. |
| **C17.** Mandible, number of cusps of internal tooth: the internal tooth consists of several cusps (Fig 4E and 4F); we discovered some modification of this character in the Spirostreptida species. |
| **C18.** Mandible, correlation of size of pectinate lamellae plus intermediate area with the size of the molar plate: according to the literature, the mandible pectinate lamellae (Fig 4E and 4F) are hypertrophied in some troglobitic species [70]. Here we measured the length of pectinate lamellae, plus intermediate area, divided by the size of the molar plate to obtain the ratio (Fig 4F). |
| **C19.** Collum, length/width ratio: the collum is used for digging in some epigean millipede species, a function that may no longer be necessary in cave millipedes. The length/width ratio of the collum was measured. |
| **C20.** Development of collum crests: taxa of the order Spirostreptida feature unusual crests on the collum [66,82]. We included this character as the collum might have a different function in cave taxa. Crests were only present in the Spirostreptida, therefore, this character not be scored in any other family. |

(Continued)
species that had eyes (Fig 5). The size of the ocelli (c6) was smaller in the Glomerida and the family Cambalopsidae, but no difference was observed in this character between epigean and troglobitic species. This character was not only scored in the family Paradoxosomatidae, order Polydesmida.

C22. 3+3 setae on metaterga, length: species of the order Chordeumatida have a characteristic arrangement of three setae (Fig 1E) on each side of the tergites [100]. As these setae have a potential sensory function, we investigated whether the length of these setae varied between epigean and troglobitic species. This character was not applicable to the other millipede orders.

C23. Development of metazonae crests: the crests on the metazonae [66,82] (Fig 1C) are only present in the Spirostreptida, therefore, this character was not scored in any other family.

C24. Midleg, femur, length/width ratio: taxonomic descriptions often mention that troglobitic millipede species have elongated legs than those of their epigean counterparts [76,78,80]. The length/width ratio of the femur was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C).

C25. Midleg, postfemur, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the postfemur was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C).

C26. Midleg, tibia, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the tibia was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C).

C27. Midleg, tarsus, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the tarsus was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C).

C28. Midleg, claw, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the claw was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C).

C29. Midleg, claw length/accessory spine length ratio: a midleg tarsus claw with an accessory spine was only present in the Spirostreptida [66,82] (Fig 4C and 4D). The claw length/accessory spine length ratio was measured. This character could not be applied to any other family.

C30. Pre-anal crest: the pre-anal ring often carries a crest in the Spirostreptida [66,82], but in our study they appeared to be modified in cave species (Fig 4G). This character was not applicable in other families.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170717.t002

Table 2. (Continued)

| Characters |
|---------------------------|
| C21. Shape of the paraterga: the paraterga are known to be modified only in Desmoxytes (Polydesmida, family Paradoxosomatidae). Troglobitic cave species of the Polydesmida family Paradoxosomatidae were described as having long-spiniform paraterga, while epigean species have more wing-like ones [91]. This character was only scored in the family Paradoxosomatidae, order Polydesmida. |
| C22. 3+3 setae on metaterga, length: species of the order Chordeumatida have a characteristic arrangement of three setae (Fig 1E) on each side of the tergites [100]. As these setae have a potential sensory function, we investigated whether the length of these setae varied between epigean and troglobitic species. This character was not applicable to the other millipede orders. |
| C23. Development of metazonae crests: the crests on the metazonae [66,82] (Fig 1C) are only present in the Spirostreptida, therefore, this character was not scored in any other family. |
| C24. Midleg, femur, length/width ratio: taxonomic descriptions often mention that troglobitic millipede species have elongated legs than those of their epigean counterparts [76,78,80]. The length/width ratio of the femur was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C). |
| C25. Midleg, postfemur, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the postfemur was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C). |
| C26. Midleg, tibia, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the tibia was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C). |
| C27. Midleg, tarsus, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the tarsus was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C). |
| C28. Midleg, claw, length/width ratio: see above; the length/width ratio of the claw was measured as illustrated (Fig 4C). |
| C29. Midleg, claw length/accessory spine length ratio: a midleg tarsus claw with an accessory spine was only present in the Spirostreptida [66,82] (Fig 4C and 4D). The claw length/accessory spine length ratio was measured. This character could not be applied to any other family. |
| C30. Pre-anal crest: the pre-anal ring often carries a crest in the Spirostreptida [66,82], but in our study they appeared to be modified in cave species (Fig 4G). This character was not applicable in other families. |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170717.g003

Fig 3. Epanerchodus sp. (Polydesmidae, Polydesmida). Morphological characters selected to compare cave and epigean millipede species.
Five of the 20 characters only differed in one of the six families between epigean and troglobitic species pairs. The maximal width of antennomere 6 (c13) only differed in the Glomerida; only the Spirostreptida showed several cephalic characters (c15–17) and differently shaped antennal apical cones (c14).

Nine of the 20 characters were ambiguous, as they were distinct between some troglobitic and epigean species, but not in all families. For example, antennomeres 3–6 (c8–12) were more elongated in troglobitic species from four of the families, but not in the Polydesmidae, or Spirostreptida. The molar plate of the mandible was smaller in troglobitic species than the part covered by the pectinate lamellae (c18), except in the Polydesmidae. The postfemur, tibia, and claw of walking legs (c25, 26, 28) was elongated in many troglobitic species, but not in the species from the families Polydesmidae or Paradoxosomatidae, nor in the Glomerida.

Four characters differed in all troglobitic/epigean species pairs. Two of the characters were body color (c1) and body length (c2). Troglobites were much lighter and slightly larger than their epigean congener. For the other two characters, the femora (c24) and tarsi (c27), but not other leg joints, were more strongly elongated in troglobitic millipedes than in their epigean counterparts (Fig 6).

Sexual differences

Generally, there were little sex based differences in morphological characters as the differences observed in the 30 studied morphological characters between troglobitic and epigean millipede species were identical in males and females (see S1 and S2 Tables). However, antennomere 3 (c8) was always more elongated in female troglobitic species; the length/width ratio of antennomeres 3–6 (c12) was greater in troglobitic female millipedes than in epigean females, but neither of these differences were observed in their male conspecifics (Fig 7).
Discussion
Morphological character adaptations to cave life present in single Diplopoda orders

Only 12 characters differed between troglobitic and epigean species within a single order. In the pill millipedes of the order Glomerida, the Tömösváry organ (Table 2: c7) in troglobites

Fig 6. Male midbody legs of each of the six families. Red color represents the leg of a troglobite; black color marks the epigean congener. 1: coxa; 2: prefemur; 3: femur; 4: postfemur; 5: tibia; 6: tarsus.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170717.g006
was slenderer and longer than in epigean species. Tabacaru [69] also found that the slit of the Tömösváry organ was wider in troglobitic pill millipedes of the genus *Trachysphaera* than in epigean congeners from Romania and was also differently ornamented. Golovatch and
Enghoff [72] mentioned that the maximal width of antennomere 6 and the length/width ratio of the Tömösvéry organ might represent potential synapomorphy of the *Glomeris alluaudi* group, which contains several presumed troglobites, on the Canary Islands. While this character has not been mentioned in the taxonomic descriptions of many cave pill millipede species, the maximal width of antennomere 6 (Table 2: c13) was found at the apex in our troglobitic *Hyleoglomeris* species, but centrally in its epigean counterpart. Both the organ of Tömösvéry and the antennae are clearly of a sensory function.

Our species pair in the family Paradoxosomatidae, order Polydesmida, showed the same adaptations as those recorded in the literature [91]. Our troglobitic species of the genus *Desmoxytes* possessed modified paraterga (long and spiniform) (Fig 1F), while the epigean species of the same genus had wing-shaped paraterga (Table 2: c21). The function of the paraterga in Polydesmida species has not been analyzed; they could provide protection at the base of the legs against predators, or enhance the spread of the poisonous defense fluid released by species in the order [104]. Both are defensive functions that might be modified or lost in species adapted to the cave environment.

In the Chordeumatida, the 3+3 setae on the metaterga (Table 2: c22) were much shorter in the troglobitic species of the genus *Nepalella* than in their epigean counterparts. We have no explanation for this observation, as the setae are thought to have a sensory function that might be useful in a cave environment. As the Chordeumatida are a common and species-rich order of cave fauna in North America and Europe [47,52,57,65], this character should be studied in other families of the order to see if a shortening of these characteristic setae is a common adaptation to a troglobitic life.

In the species of the Cambalopsidae, order Spirostreptida, numerous differences were observed between epigean and cave species of the genus *Glyphiulus*; none of the characters were observed in any other millipede family. The antennal apical cones (Table 2: c14) were much shorter in troglobitic species of *Glyphiulus*. The number of labral teeth (Table 2: c15), as well as the number of external (Table 2: c16) and internal teeth (Table 2: c17) on the mandible were reduced in troglobitic *Glyphiulus* species. These mandible characters could be related to the different food sources available to troglobitic *Glyphiulus* species that are known consumers of bat guano [42], which is a much softer food than leaf litter. Troglobitic *Glyphiulus* also differed from epigean congeners by the presence of flat, nearly obliterated crests on the collum (Table 2: c20), the metazonae (Table 2: c23) and the pre-anal ring (Table 2: c30). These crests probably fulfill a defense function that might no longer be necessary in the cave environment, where vertebrate predators are rare or entirely absent. In addition, the claw in troglobitic *Glyphiulus* species was larger than its accessory spine (Table 2: c29).

Based on these highlighted potential order- or family-specific cave adaptations, future studies focusing on a single taxonomic group might discover if these characters are indeed common cave adaptations in these groups or artifacts of our randomized species sampling.

**Convergent cave adaptations observed in Chinese Diplopoda compared to those of earlier studies**

Enghoff [70,71] studied the mouthparts of semi-aquatic cave millipedes, which evolved convergently in troglobitic European species belonging to the orders Polydesmida and Julida. The mandibles are modified to filter food from cave streams, similar to Baleen whales [105]. Such adaptations were not observed in any of our studied millipede species from Asia.

Our study is the first to compare a diverse set of characters in different troglobitic millipede species with those in congeneric epigean species reflecting the diversity of the Diplopoda (four orders and six families) and including representatives of both sexes.
Elongation of the antennae as an adaptation to a troglobitic life

The relative antennal length and the number of antennomeres are generally treated as troglobomorphic characters that are more elongated with an increased adaptation to subterranean life [106]. Therefore, our discovery that the antennae were not more elongated in the troglobites than in epigean millipede species was surprising. However, Culver et al. [20] observed that epigean populations of the aquatic amphipod *Gammarus minus* Say, 1818 possess longer antennae than populations of the same species living in troglobitic habitats. In other amphipod species of the genus *Stygobromus* Cope, 1872, no difference in antennal length was found between epigean and troglobitic species [107]. In pill millipedes of the genus *Trachysphaera*, clearly more elongated antennae were described in cave species than in epigean species [69]. In our study, the male antennae were elongated only in four of the six millipede families analyzed: weekly in Glomeridae, Megalotylidae, and Haplodesmidae, and strongly elongated in the Paradoxosomatidae (Fig 7). They were not elongated either in the Cambalopsidae or in the Polydesmidae. Therefore, antennal elongation appears to be a cave adaptation present in many cave millipede species, but not a general adaptation to cave life. Antennae are an important sense organ to gather environmental information [108], such as finding mating partners, food sources, or predators. We have no clear explanation for the fact that only the female antennae were more elongated than those of their epigean counterparts in our study. A comparative examination of the antennal length in different individuals of the troglobitic millipede taxa should be undertaken in the future, although we caution that specimens of cave millipede species are scarce, with only very limited numbers of specimens being available in collections.

Cave adaptations or MSS adaptations?

The “climatic relict hypothesis” [3] suggests that many troglobites have evolved from surface-dwelling ancestors that sought refuge from climatic stress, notably, during the periods of Quaternary glaciations. However, other factors may have been involved in the colonization of caves by millipedes, especially in tropical areas. For example, many troglobophilic and troglobitic Spirostreptida of the family Cambalopsidae from southeastern Asia are strongly associated with the presence of bat guano [42], and this food source may have been as important as the buffered climatic conditions in caves in determining their colonization success.

Our results show that the body and ocelli of troglobites were much lighter than in epigean species, with a strongly reduced number of ocelli in troglobites. However, these character traits can also be observed in the few known Diplopoidea species that inhabit the MSS, such as *Ostinobolus subterraneus* Wesener, 2009 (Spirobolida) or *Propopolydesmus germanicus* Verhoeff, 1896 (Polydesmida). Unfortunately, no clear MSS species are currently known from the genera studied here, as this habitat type is rare in South China; therefore, no MSS species were included in our analysis. However, the observed elongation of the femora and tarsi in troglobitic millipede species appears to be a unique morphological adaptation to a life in the cave ecosystem. Generally, MSS millipede species have shorter legs than their epigean counterparts [30,109]. The elongated legs of cave millipedes could be directly related to their underground lifestyle. Most troglobitic millipede species are observed walking on the walls of the cave, while epigean millipede species are often found buried in leaf litter, while MSS species “swim” within the soil. This “open” style of living of troglobitic species might reduce the evolutionary pressure towards shorter legs, or make locomotion with longer legs energetically more beneficial [110,111]. Why only the femora and tarsi, but not the other podomeres, were elongated in troglobitic species in our study remains unknown.

Cave gigantism is not necessarily viewed as a troglobomorphic feature and this character was not included in recent lists of general troglobitic characters of other cave fauna [17,112]. Our
study indicates that troglobitic millipedes were larger than their epigean counterparts, which might be related to the fact that there are no space constraints and few predators in the cave ecosystem [113]. In our study, the measurement of body length in the pill millipede species (Glomerida) was difficult as the specimens were partly rolled up. Comparisons of more troglobitic millipede species with their epigean counterparts should be conducted in the future to examine whether “cave gigantism” is restricted to our particular species pairs, or represents a general characteristic/trend.

Problems with the comparison of characters

Mandibular characters are rarely explored in millipedes [100,114], but already show promising variations in some studied cave millipede species [70,71]. However, in our study the length and width ratio of different parts of the mandible proved to be especially difficult to compare because of the different angles of observation and these results should, therefore, be treated with caution [115]. However, the number of labral teeth was generally quite consistent in the Diplopoda [116]. Only the troglobitic species of Cambalopsidae (order Spirostreptida) had a reduced number of labral teeth (see above).

Cave adaptations in millipedes compared to those of other terrestrial arthropod taxa

For terrestrial arthropods, similar environmental pressures are expected to produce similar external morphological adaptation in taxa to living a troglobitic life. For example, Opiliones (Chelicerata) show numerous convergent adaptations to the cave ecosystem [117,118], including a reduction or absence of eyes, increased length of legs, and reduced pigmentation/sclerotization. Cave adaptations and potentially troglomorphic convergent characters were also studied in the Arachnida, especially species of the genus Anthrobia Tellkampf, 1844 [22]. Within this genus, troglobites exhibited the following putative adaptations to cave life: loss of eyes, elongation of the legs, and reduction of the tracheal system. The tergal cuticle of the troglobitic terrestrial isopod Titanethes albus Koch, 1841 was analyzed by Hild et al. [23]. They found that cave species had a poorer resistance against water loss from the epicuticle and a low mechanical strength and rigidity of the cuticle as compared to epigean species.

Among the insects, Coleoptera also have numerous species with morphological and anatomical adaptations to cave life [119]. The evident morphological changes in cave beetles include the reduction or complete lack of eyes, the loss of pigmentation, a thinner cuticle, fused elytra, as well as elongation of the body, antennae, and legs, which become longer and slenderer. The internal anatomical modifications include huge vesicles in the fat body, and absent or smaller unicellular glands. Therefore, in other troglobitic terrestrial arthropod groups, the adaptations also include many internal modifications. In order to get better insights in the adaptations to cave life in animals, all these character complexes should be studied in millipedes in the future.

Future directions

Our study focused mainly on external morphological characters. As mentioned above, our dataset should be expanded to include internal morphological characters, such as the tracheal system [22] and the thickness of the cuticle [23]. The scarcity of cave specimens currently prevents such invasive studies, but with the advent of non-invasive CT-technology [100,120] such research might be possible in the future.

In addition, our study focused on SE Asian cave millipedes and their epigean counterparts. The much more diverse (or better known) North American and European cave millipede
faunas should also be studied to examine whether the general morphological adaptations observed in SE Asian genera and families also occur in other taxa of the Diplopoda. Ideally, phylogenetic analyses would be conducted using better-accessed European millipede genera, which would allow a direct comparison of potential epigean/troglobitic sister taxa.

During the last decade, molecular data have become available for subterranean taxa and their corresponding surface relatives, which has improved insights into the evolution of cave fauna [121]. Finally, some transcriptomes are available for cave-dwelling animals, including a cave beetle species, an aquatic isopod crustacean, and three different species of cave fish [122]. Comparing transcriptomes of troglobitic millipedes with those of epigean congeners might provide additional evidence of the genetic pathways that contribute to their survival and evolution in the unusual ecosystem of the cave.
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