Abstract: A three-steps sol–gel method was used to obtain a Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure powder, deposited as film by spray pyrolysis. The porous morphology of the final heterostructure was constructed starting with fiber-like WO$_3$ acting as substrate for SnO$_2$ development. The SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ sample provide nucleation and grew sites for Cu$_2$O formation. Diffraction evaluation indicated that all samples contained crystalline structures with crystallite size varying from 42.4 Å (Cu$_2$O) to 81.8 Å (WO$_3$). Elemental analysis confirmed that the samples were homogeneous in composition and had an oxygen excess due to the annealing treatments. Photocatalytic properties were tested in the presence of three pesticides—pirimicarb, S-metolachlor (S-MCh), and metalaxyl (MET)—chosen based on their resilience and toxicity. The photocatalytic activity of the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure was compared with WO$_3$, SnO$_2$, Cu$_2$O, Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$, Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$, and SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ samples. The results indicated that the three-component heterostructure had the highest photocatalytic efficiency toward all pesticides. The highest photocatalytic efficiency was obtained toward S-MCh (86%) using a Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ sample and the lowest correspond to MET (8.2%) removal using a Cu$_2$O monocomponent sample. TOC analysis indicated that not all the removal efficiency could be attributed to mineralization, and by-product formation is possible. Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ is able to induce 81.3% mineralization of S-MCh, while Cu$_2$O exhibited 5.7% mineralization of S-MCh. The three-run cyclic tests showed that Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$, WO$_3$, and SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ exhibited good photocatalytic stability without requiring additional procedures. The photocatalytic mechanism corresponds to a Z-scheme charge transfer based on a three-component structure, where Cu$_2$O exhibits reduction potential responsible for O$_2$ production and WO$_3$ has oxidation potential responsible for HO· generation.
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1. Introduction

The agricultural sector is considered one of the larger water consumers. However, due to population growth and climate change, the water shortage represents an important challenge to overcome [1,2]. The recycled water used in crop irrigation usually contains high quantities of pesticides, due to inefficient wastewater-treatment plant technologies. The risk is even higher when the pesticides interfere with the food chain as a result of transfer from water to soil and from soil to plants through roots [3–5].

Pesticide consumption in agricultural areas has significantly increased in the last few years. Only in 2014 was the pesticide consumption reported by FAOSTAT (North America was not included) above 3,000,000 tons [6]. Epidemiological studies have shown the carcinogenic potential of certain pesticides able to produce birth defects, endocrine deficiencies, cardiovascular diseases, or even disorders of the reproductive organs [7–9]. Traditional methods used to reduce pesticides form wastewater, such as ozonation or chlorine dioxide insertion, are effective ways to address the problem, but raise economic and environmental issues [10,11]. Chlorine dioxide (ClO$_2$) is considered an alternative to NaClO products able to work as a disinfection agent for the wastewater reused in the
agricultural sector. However, the ClO$_2^-$ and ClO$_3^-$ biproducts formed during the water treatment can damage the thyroid gland and cause anemia [12,13].

Photocatalysis stands out as a modern and sustainable technology based on advanced oxidation processes (AOPs). In terms of function of the photocatalytic materials involved in the process, the wavelength may vary from UVA to the entire visible spectrum [14,15]. Under irradiation, the catalysts generate oxidative and superoxidative species that promote a complex series of oxidation reactions, aiming to induce the complete mineralization of the organic pollutants [16]. Monocomponent catalysts, such as TiO$_2$ [17], CuO [18], SnO$_2$ [19], WO$_3$ [20], ZnO [21], and Cu$_2$S [22], exhibit a series of disadvantages, such as limited light absorption, low chemical stability, and fast charge carrier recombination. In order to overcome these issues, heterostructures and composite materials have been developed. Similar systems based on metal oxide heterostructures like TiO$_2$/WO$_3$/ZnO [23], BiOI/BiOCl [24], BaTiO$_3$/KNbO [25], TiO$_2$/CuO [26], and CuO/Bi$_2$WO$_6$ [27] exhibit lower charge recombination rates and high photocatalytic efficiency toward dye molecules. The presence of sulfur compounds as part of heterostructure matrices, such as SnS$_2$/ZnInS$_4$ [28], CoTiO$_3$/ZnCdS$_2$ [29], CdS/CoO$_2$ [30], CoWO$_4$/CoS [31], and ZnCdS$_2$/MoS [32], extend the light absorbance spectrum without solving the chemical stability issues in acid or alkaline environments. Composite materials based on g-C$_3$N$_4$ [33], g-C$_4$N$_6$ [34], and r-GO [35] exhibit high surface-active materials able to efficiently eliminate the pollutants using both absorption and photocatalytic processes.

In this work, the photocatalytic activity of Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ was evaluated toward three pesticides—pirimicarb (PIR; insecticide), S-metolachlor (S-MCh; herbicide) and metalaxyl (MET; fungicide)—used in the agricultural sector. The pollutants were selected based on their toxicity and long-term persistence in soil and water. Pesticide diffusion into plants is an important source of food contamination that then expands in the human body with negative impacts on long-term health. A heterostructure powder was obtained by a three-step sol–gel synthesis followed by spray deposition as film. Each component was chosen based on its spectral absorbance and suitable position of the energy bands, able to reduce the charge carrier’s recombination rate and improve long-term photocatalytic activity. The synergic action of high Cu$_2$O visible absorption and facile charge migration through the heterostructure provides a good alternative for oxidative species generation required for pollutant mineralization. Additionally, the study focused on free-titania photocatalysts as an alternative to traditional materials. Crystalline composition influence on surface composition and film morphology were studied. Photocatalytic efficiency considers the mechanism of oxidative species generation and includes UV-vis and TOC/TN evaluation. The results indicate that the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure is suitable for pesticide removal and can be considered a sustainable future alternative.

2. Experimental
2.1. Material Synthesis and Heterostructure Deposition
2.1.1. Heterostructure Powder Synthesis

The heterostructure synthesis consisted of a three-step sol–gel (Figure 1) method followed by thermal treatments to remove all the solvent content.

Step 1. WO$_3$ powder was obtained from a precursor composed of tungsten hexachloride (99.8%, WCl$_6$, AcrosOrganics, Gell, Germany) dissolved in a mixed solvent of absolute ethanol (100%, C$_2$H$_6$O, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany) and 2-propanol (100%, C$_3$H$_8$O, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany). The precursor was magnetically stirred at 140 rpm for 240 min in the dark until a yellow homogeneous solution formed. Gel development took place after the drop-by-drop addition of 0.24 mol sodium hydroxide (99.98%, NaOH, Honeywell, Charlotte, NC, USA). The gel was kept in the dark for 12 h and the final precipitate was centrifuged. The yellow powder (Figure 1, step1) was thermally treated at 410 °C for 4 h.
Step 2. SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ powder was prepared by inserting the WO$_3$ powder previously obtained into a precursor based on tin tetrachloride (99.7%, SnCl$_4$, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany) dissolved in absolute methanol (100%, CH$_4$O, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany). The mixture was stirred at 140 rpm for 220 min in dark to ensure the uniform dispersion of WO$_3$ powder into the SnO$_2$ precursor. By slowly adding 0.18 mol sodium hydroxide (99.98%, NaOH, Honeywell, Charlotte, NC, USA) a yellowish white precipitate (Figure 1, step 2) formed, which was centrifuged and annealed at 410 °C for 6 h.

Step 3. the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure was developed by adding the SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ powder previously obtained into a precursor composed of 0.03 mol copper acetate (Cu(CH$_3$COO)$_2$, 97%, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany) and deionized water. After 120 min of magnetic stirring at 140 rpm in dark, a mixture of NaOH, glucose (C$_6$H$_{12}$O$_6$, 99.8%, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany), and deionized water was slowly added until the precipitate had formed. Due to the copper tendency to change from the +1 to +2 oxidation state, after centrifugation the reddish powder (Figure 1, step 3) was dried for 12 h at room temperature.

2.1.2. Film Deposition

The heterostructure films (Figure 1, step 4) were obtained by cold spray deposition. The deposition precursor was obtained by dispersing 50 mg Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ powder in a 50 mL mixture of ethanol and 2-propanol by ultrasound bath. A small quantity (0.1 mL) of Triton X was added to the precursor and magnetically mixed for 30 min. The 2 × 2 cm$^2$ microscope glass substrate was firstly degreased and then cleaned by successive immersion in acetone and ethanol. The clean substrate was preheated at 45 °C for 120 min and then the precursor was sprayed at 0.5 bars. Breaks of 12 min were kept between each deposition sequence in order to allow solvent evaporation.

2.2. Photocatalytic Experiments

The photocatalytic experiments were done using a rectangular photoreactor containing 4 UVA light sources (18 W, black tubes, T8, 320–370 nm, $\lambda_{max} = 360$ nm, 3Lx flux intensity, Philips) and 4 Vis sources (18 W, cold tubes, TL-D 80/865, 400–700 nm, $\lambda_{max} = 560$ nm, 28 Lx flux intensity), a ventilation engine to ensure stable humidity, and temperature sensors required to keep a stable 25 °C during the experiments. The light sources were placed in radial position to provide maximum light radiation and ensure uniform light distribution. The energy of the incident light on the sample was 12.63 mW/cm$^2$.

Pirimicarb (PIR; insecticide, C$_{11}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O$_2$, 98%, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany), S-metolachlor (S-MCh; herbicide, C$_{15}$H$_{22}$ClNO$_2$, 98.5%, LGC, Augsburg, Germany), and metalaxyl (MET; fungicide, C$_{15}$H$_{21}$NO$_4$, 98%, Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany) were used to prepare 50 mg/L aqueous solution. In 40 mL pesticide solution was inserted one 2 × 2 cm$^2$ piece of microscopic glass covered with Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$. Quartz recipients were used during the experiments. The samples were kept in the dark for 120 min to reach the k5 absorption–desorption equilibrium. Light exposure duration was 10 h and photocatalytic evaluation was done hourly.
2.3. Characterization

The crystalline composition was evaluated with an X-ray diffractometer (model D8 Discover, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) using the locked-couple option. The scan step was 0.002 degree with a rate of 0.020 s/step from a 20 to 60 degree theta angle. The samples’ morphology was studied in a high-vacuum regime with scanning electron microscopy (SEM; S–3400 N type 121 II, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerated voltage of 10 kV. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM SU8010, Fukuoka, Japan) was also used for morphological characterization, where the samples were covered with gold coating due to the low surface conductivity. The changes in the specific absorbance for each pollutant were registered by UV-vis spectrometry (Lambda 950, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The optical band gap energy of the single-component samples was estimated by the Wood and Tauc model. Pollutant mineralization was measured using a total organic carbon analyzer (TOC-L, model CPN, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and a total nitrogen analyzer (TNM-L, model RHOS, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The system was set to make three consecutive injections and to provide a mediated value. This evaluation provides essential information regarding the possible formation of by-products that are not identified during the UV-vis analysis.

The photocatalytic decolorization was evaluated by the UV-vis method. The changes in pollutant concentration were measured by UV-vis spectrometry based on the calibration curve corresponding to each pollutant. The absorption wavelength characteristics for each pollutant were PIR 315 nm, S-MCh 274 nm, and MET 267 nm. The photocatalytic efficiency was calculated based on the initial (C₀) and the final concentrations (C) using the following equation:

\[ \eta = \left( \frac{C_0 - C}{C_0} \right) \times 100 \]  

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Composition and Morphology

The crystalline composition of each sample was evaluated by X-ray diffraction, and the results are presented in Figure 2. All the analyses were done on films obtained from the same quantity of precursor without additional thermal treatment. The monocomponent sample contained WO₃ with a monoclinic structure (ICCD 83-0951). The bicomponent sample contained monoclinic WO₃ and tetragonal SnO₂ (ICCD 41-1445). After the last synthesis step, the heterostructure exhibits all three metal oxides components: monoclinic WO₃, tetragonal SnO₂, and cubic Cu₂O (ICCD 71-3645). The synthesis procedure allows WO₃ and SnO₂ to act as nucleation sites for the following component, which facilitates the formation of crystalline structure. There was no indication of mixed metal oxide formation, but the presence of amorphous compounds cannot be excluded [36,37]. Due to the synthesis procedure, no preferential orientation was observed. In order to avoid the formation of mixed copper oxides, the heterostructure powder was dried at room temperature for 12 h and the films deposited at 45 °C. Without annealing treatment, the persistence of carbonaceous species originating from the sol–gel synthesis is possible and may influence the photocatalytic activity.

The changes in crystallite sizes were calculated with the Scherrer formula in Equation (2), using the previous diffraction analysis [38]:

\[ D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]  

where \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength value (1.5406 Å for CuKα), \( \beta \) is the angular width measured at half-maximum intensity (FWHM) of the most representative peak, and \( \theta \) represents the Bragg’s angle. The instrumental broadening was considered during the calculations. The crystallite sizes are included in Table 1 for the WO₃ and SnO₂ components annealed at the same temperature, but for different periods. Using WO₃ as a substrate for SnO₂ development will have a negligible impact on the crystalline size of the first
component. Both metal oxides exhibit similar crystallite size, which may be related to the annealing process taking place at the same temperature. The annealing period was higher for WO₃, which shows the highest crystallite sizes. The same results are obtained when SnO₂/WO₃ is used as substrate for Cu₂O development. However, the absence of annealing on the last synthesis step will induce the formation of lower crystallite size values corresponding to the Cu₂O component. This behavior was also reported in other works [39,40], showing that if higher temperature is used the copper will pass in both oxidation states.

![Figure 2. XRD patterns of the photocatalysts.](image)

Table 1. Photocatalyst crystallite size values evaluated based on Scherrer formula.

| Photocatalyst                | Crystallite Size (Å) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|                             | WO₃      | SnO₂      | Cu₂O      |
| WO₃                         | 80.5     | -         | -         |
| SnO₂/WO₃                    | 81.8     | 77.1      | -         |
| Cu₂O/SnO₂/WO₃              | 81.6     | 77.3      | 42.4      |

Sample morphology was evaluated by SEM analysis, and the results are presented in Figure 3. The monocomponent sample exhibits fiber-like morphology (Figure 3a) corresponding to WO₃, which serves as substrate for SnO₂ development. The fibers have a random distribution in terms of diameter and length. The addition of the second component (SnO₂) will completely cover the WO₃ fibers and the morphology resembles overlapping pallets (Figure 3b). The final heterostructure containing all three components presents a porous morphology (Figure 3c), with Cu₂O uniformly distributed on the sample surface. Due to the low surface conductivity and the presence of aggregates, it was difficult to properly evaluate the grain size corresponding to the Cu₂O/SnO₂/WO₃ sample. The WO₃ and SnO₂ provide preferential nucleation sites for the following component and preserve a close interface contact between the components [41].

EDX analysis was used to evaluate the elemental composition of the samples and to calculate the component ratio. The analyses were done in three different areas of the samples and the results were similar (<2% abatement), which is an indicator of sample-component homogeneous distribution. The results were compared with the theoretical values calculated based on the stoichiometric compounds, and the results are presented in Table 2. In all three samples, oxygen excess was identified due to the WO₃ and SnO₂/WO₃ thermal treatment at 410 °C in oxygen-rich atmosphere. However, the heterostructure oxygen excess decreases due to the Cu₂O addition, which was not subjected to anneal-
During the annealing treatment, the number of oxygen vacancies is diminished due to the oxygen diffusion. The copper ratio compared with the other metal ions is similar which confirms that the weight–ratio relationship between the components was maintained during the synthesis and film deposition.

Figure 3. SEM images of (a) WO$_3$, (b) SnO$_2$/WO$_3$, and (c) Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ samples.

Table 2. Photocatalyst elemental composition by EDX.

| Samples          | W  | Sn | Cu | O  | O$_{th}^{1}$ |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|-------------|
| WO$_3$           | 23.8 | -  | -  | 76.2| 71.4        |
| SnO$_2$/WO$_3$   | 12.4 | 14.7| -  | 72.9| 68.9        |
| Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ | 10.6 | 11.9| 13.3| 64.2| 62.2        |

$^{1}$Theoretic content calculated based on stoichiometry.

3.2. Photocatalytic Activity

Three pesticide molecules were chosen based on their toxicity and residual persistence in water and soil. Pirimicarb (PIR) is an insecticide that can cause skin irritation, damage to brain functions, and is suspected of causing cancer [44,45]. S-metolachlor (S-MCh) is a herbicide inducing allergic skin irritation, anemia, and has a very toxic potential to aquatic life with long-lasting effects [46,47]. Metalaxyl (MET) is a fungicide with direct impact on the respiratory system and has long persistence in the aquatic environment [48]. This study integrated the large spectrum of pesticide to compare the influence of the photocatalysts on the pollutant molecule. It must be underlined that all the experimental conditions in terms of pollutant concentration, photocatalyst dosage, radiation type, and exposure periods were the same.
3.2.1. Degradation Efficiency and Kinetics

The lowest photocatalytic efficiencies (Figure 4a,c,e) correspond to bare Cu$_2$O sample, which exhibit 10.5%, 11.7%, and 8.2% toward PIR, S-MCh, and MET, respectively. Similar photocatalytic activities were obtained for SnO$_2$ and Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$, where coupling Cu$_2$O with WO$_3$ induced the presence of a high potential gap between the valence energy of Cu$_2$O and that corresponding to WO$_3$. The WO$_3$ monocomponent sample was able to remove 29.8% of PIR, 32.5% of S-MCh, and 12% of MET. Due to this band-gap energy (3.31 eV), the WO$_3$ photocatalyst used only a small fraction of the light radiation found at the border between UV and Vis spectra. When the second component is added, the coupled SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ benefits from the synergic effect of simultaneous charge carrier photogeneration in both semiconductors [49]. The photocatalytic removal efficiencies corresponding to SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ sample increase at 41.5% for PIR, 53.1% for S-MCh, and 26.8% for MET. The higher photocatalytic efficiencies correspond to the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure, which uses the extended UV and Vis spectra due to the Cu$_2$O insertion with a band gap of 2.14 eV. In this case, the photocatalytic removal efficiency was 79.7% for PIR, 86.5% for S-MCh, and 50.6% for MET. The heterostructure photocatalytic removal efficiency is not a sum of the monocomponent sample efficiencies, as the contact between components induces a shift of the energy bands with consequences on the charge carrier’s mobility. The lower MET photocatalytic removal efficiency values compared with the other pesticides indicate that the oxidation process is influenced by the pollutant molecule structure and the chemistry compatibility with the photocatalyst surface [50].

The kinetic evaluation was done using the simplified Langmuir–Hinshelwood mathematical Equation (3) and the results are presented in Figure 4b,d,f.

\[
\ln \frac{C}{C_0} = -kt
\]

The results indicate superior constant rates for S-MCh removal compared with PIR and MET pollutants. Additionally, for all three pollutants the photocatalytic activity of the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure is 2× faster than that of SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ and 3× faster than that of the WO$_3$ monocomponent sample. As predicted, the lowest constant rates correspond to bare Cu$_2$O, which exhibits limited photocatalytic activity. However, when Cu$_2$O is put in contact with SnO$_2$ the constant rates increase 3×, which is a clear indicator of the heterostructure’s ability to decrease the recombination rates and efficiently use the charge carries for oxidative species generation. These values indicate the contribution of each heterostructure component on the overall photocatalytic efficiency toward the pollutant’s molecules. The heterostructure synthesis method has allowed the formation of stable interfaces that maintain high photocatalytic activity during the light irradiation [51].

In order to differentiate the mineralization induced by the photogenerated oxidative species from the partial oxidation products, TOC and TN were investigated (Figure 5a,c,e), as well as the corresponding kinetics (Figure 5b,d,f and Table 3). The TOC measurements for PIR and S-MCh indicate small differences on the photocatalytic activity. The lowest TOC reduction was registered for bare Cu$_2$O, followed by Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$ and bare SnO$_2$. The TOC reduction corresponding to the WO$_3$ sample was 27.3% for PIR and 27.9% for S-MCh. Similarly, SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ (39.3% for PIR and 46.7% for S-MCh) and Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ (73.4% for PIR and 81.3% for S-MCh) recorded slightly TOC reduction efficiency, indicating that not all the carbon components were mineralized. However, the TN evaluation confirms the photocatalytic activity presented in Figure 4, meaning that the nitrogen removed during the irradiation was completely mineralized. An interesting finding was observed for MET pollutant, where both TOC and TN confirm that the photocatalytic removal efficiencies can be attributed to mineralization. It must be outlined that more work must be done to increase the photocatalytic efficiency above 86% for S-MCh, 80% for PIR, and 50% for MET in order to have a significant impact on the long-term effects. The mineralization mechanism of pesticides considers the following steps: (i) charge carriers’ development during the irradiation (Equation (4)); (ii) oxidative species (HO·) production during the reaction
between the photogenerated holes and water molecules (Equation (5)); (iii) superoxidative species (\(\cdot O_2^-\)) development during the reaction between photogenerated electrons and dissolved oxygen (Equation (6)), and (iv) interaction between the (super)oxidative species and pesticide molecules (Equation (7)).

\[
\text{Heterostructure} + h\nu \rightarrow e^- + h^+ \quad (4)
\]

\[
h^+ (\text{Heterostructure}) + H_2O \rightarrow HO\cdot + H^+ \quad (5)
\]

\[
O_2 + e^- (\text{Heterostructure}) \rightarrow \cdot O_2^- \quad (6)
\]

\[
Pesticides + HO\cdot + \cdot O_2^- \rightarrow xCO_2 + yH_2O + NO_2 \quad (7)
\]

Figure 4. Photocatalytic removal efficiency and the corresponding kinetics toward PIR (a,b), S-MCh (c,d), and MET (e,f).
The kinetic evaluation indicates that the WO$_3$ monocomponent sample has small and similar constant rates for all three pollutant molecules, which is a consequence of reduced light absorbance and high charge carrier recombination. Larger differences in the constant rates were obtained for SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ and Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ samples, which confirm the photocatalytic removal efficiency results. The insertion of an additional semiconductor component will increase the photocatalytic efficiency where each partner will play a significant role on the charge carrier photogeneration and mobility. These characteristics are essential in order to produce oxidative (·OH) and superoxidative (·O$_2$$^-$) species able to induce pollutant mineralization [52–54].

Figure 5. TOC, TN (inset), and the corresponding kinetics toward PIR (a,b), S-MCh (c,d), and MET (e,f).
Table 3. Kinetic parameters for TOC and TN evaluation.

| Kinetic Parameters | PIR | S-MCh | MET |
|-------------------|-----|-------|-----|
|                   | TOC | TN    | TOC | TN    | TOC | TN |
| WO₃               | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.038 | 0.030 | 0.032 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.997 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.994 |
| Cu₂O              | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.911 | 0.947 | 0.919 | 0.928 | 0.920 | 0.866 |
| SnO₂              | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.020 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.978 | 0.989 | 0.982 | 0.965 | 0.981 | 0.969 |
| SnO₂/WO₃          | 0.049 | 0.047 | 0.062 | 0.074 | 0.045 | 0.043 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.995 | 0.994 | 0.998 | 0.998 |
| Cu₂O/SnO₂         | 0.031 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.031 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.989 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| Cu₂O/WO₃          | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.972 | 0.987 | 0.975 | 0.978 | 0.973 | 0.963 |
| Cu₂O/SnO₂/WO₃     | 0.135 | 0.137 | 0.168 | 0.195 | 0.120 | 0.119 |
| k (s⁻¹)           | 0.998 | 0.994 | 0.990 | 0.986 | 0.997 | 0.998 |

3.2.2. Reusability and Mechanism of Charge Carrier Generation

The mechanism of charge carrier generation through the heterostructure can explain the role of each component in enhancing the photocatalytic efficiency toward the pesticide molecules. The band energy diagram (Figure 6a) was constructed considering the experimental band gap corresponding to each heterostructure component based on the Wood and Tauc model, as presented in Figure 6b–d. The methodology has been already presented in another paper [55] and is in good agreement with the literature [56,57]. The band gap may submit minor shift during the heterostructure development. The methodology takes into consideration the band-gap changes during the heterostructure’s internal energy field developed during the irradiation. The diagram includes the energy band position based on Equations (8)–(11), which consider several key parameters: \( E_e \), representing the free electron energy vs. hydrogen, \( \chi_{\text{cation}} \) (eV), representing the absolute cationic electronegativity, \( \chi_{\text{cation}} \) (P.u.) is the cationic specific electronegativity, where P.u. corresponds to the Pauling units, \( E_g \) is the band-gap energy, and \( \chi_{\text{semiconductor}} \) represents the electronegativity of each semiconductor.

\[
E_{VB} = \chi_{\text{semiconductor}} - E_e + 0.5E_g \quad (8)
\]
\[
E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g \quad (9)
\]
\[
\chi_{\text{semiconductor}} \text{(eV)} = 0.45 \times \chi_{\text{cation}} \text{(P.u.)} + 3.36 \quad (10)
\]

\[
\chi_{\text{cation}} \text{(eV)} = \frac{\chi_{\text{cation}} (\text{P.u.}) + 0.206}{0.336} \quad (11)
\]

During the semiconductors’ interface development, the band gap may shift due to the internal energy field. The diagram corresponds to a Z-scheme charge transfer mechanism where the electrons generated during the light irradiation from the Cu₂O conduction band (−0.35 eV) will transit the SnO₂ conduction band on the way to the WO₃ conduction band (+0.14 eV). The photogenerated electrons from SnO₂ and WO₃ conduction bands and the photogenerated holes from the Cu₂O valence band (+1.79 eV) are not involved in oxidative species development, owing to their potential. In this case, some of the charge carriers will recombine. However, the useful photogenerated electrons from the Cu₂O conduction band and the photogenerated holes from the SnO₂ (+2.45 eV) and WO₃ (3.44 eV) valence bands possess stronger redox ability and cannot recombine due to the electric field development in the charged separation region. The charge carrier’s mobility is sustained by the combined drift and diffusion effect. Consequently, the synergetic effect provided by the heterostructure semiconductor components enhances the production of oxidative and superoxidative radicals responsible for pollutant mineralization. The heterostructure
requires further improvement in order to reduce the charge recombination and to increase the overall photocatalytic efficiency toward pesticides.

Figure 6. Heterostructure mechanism (a) and component band-gap values (b–d).

The reusability evaluation (Figure 7) was performed on the samples with the highest photocatalytic efficiency using a three-cycle assessment. The results indicate that for all three pollutant molecules, the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ exhibits good stability with negligible photocatalytic changes between cycles. The WO$_3$ and SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ show small abatement of less than 5%, mostly on the second cycle. The changes can be induced by pollutant molecule adsorption at the photocatalyst surface active centers, which may require a longer desorption/degradation period. The results indicate that the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure can be used for multiple cycle assessment without influencing the photocatalytic activity toward pesticide molecules.
Figure 7. Photocatalytic activity during the 3-cycle sample reusability for (a) PIR, (b) S-MCh and (c) MET pesticides.

4. Conclusions

A heterostructure based on Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ was synthesized using a three-step sol–gel method and deposited as films on glass substrate by spray deposition. The sample contains tetragonal SnO$_2$ and monoclinic WO$_3$ with similar crystallite sizes ($\approx 80$ Å), while cubic Cu$_2$O exhibits significantly lower crystallite sizes ($\approx 30$ Å). The porous morphology of the final heterostructure was constructed starting with fiber-like WO$_3$, which serves as substrate for SnO$_2$ development, and SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ provides the nucleation and growing sites for Cu$_2$O formation. The elemental analysis confirms that the samples are homogeneous in composition and exhibit oxygen excess due to the annealing treatments. The EDX measurements were done on three different areas, indicating that the final heterostructure preserves the component:weight ratio used during the synthesis.

Three pesticide molecules (pirimicarb, S-metolachlor, and metalaxyl) were used as pollutants reference and were chosen based on their resilience and toxicity. The photocatalytic activity of the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure is superior to that of bare oxides or tandem systems. The lowest photocatalytic activity ($\approx 10\%$) corresponds to bare Cu$_2$O, followed by SnO$_2$ and Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$. The highest photocatalytic efficiency was obtained toward S-MCh (86%) using the Cu$_2$O/SnO$_2$/WO$_3$ sample and the lowest corresponds to MET (12%) removal using the WO$_3$ monocomponent sample. However, the TOC analysis indicates lower mineralization efficiencies that can be attributed to secondary product formation. The photocatalytic mechanism corresponds to a Z-scheme charge transfer where Cu$_2$O exhibits reduction potential and WO$_3$ oxidation potential. The charge car-
rrier’s mobility sustained by the combined drift and diffusion effect provides a synergic effect where the heterostructure semiconductor components enhance the (super)oxidative radicals’ production. The reusability tests indicate that Cu2O/SnO2/WO3 exhibits similar photocatalytic efficiency after a three-cycle assessment, which recommends this material for further experiments.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.E.; methodology, A.E.; software, L.A.; validation, A.E. and L.A.; formal analysis, L.A.; investigation, L.A.; resources, A.E.; data curation, L.A.; writing—original draft preparation, A.E.; writing—review and editing, A.E.; visualization, L.A.; supervision, A.E.; project administration, A.E.; funding acquisition, A.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation, and Digitization, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-PCE-2021-1020 (PCE87), within PNCDI III.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors acknowledge the experimental investigation support given by the Tokyo University of Science and the advice given by L. Isac during the experimental work.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Alexandrino, D.A.M.; Almeida, C.M.R.; Carvalho, M.F. Revisiting pesticide pollution: The case of fluorinated pesticides. *Environ. Pollut.* **2022**, 292, 118315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Fu, H.; Tan, P.; Wu, Z. Advances in organophosphorus pesticides pollution: Current status and challenges in ecotoxicological, sustainable agriculture, and degradation strategies. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, 424, 127494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Quaglia, G.; Joris, I.; Seuntjens, P. A spatial approach to identify priority areas for pesticide pollution mitigation. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2019**, 246, 583–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. An, X.; Wu, Z.; Yu, B. Biochar for simultaneously enhancing the slow-release performance of fertilizers and minimizing the pollution of pesticides. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, 407, 124865. [CrossRef]

5. Tsaboula, A.; Menexes, G.; Papadopoulou-Mourkidou, E. Assessment and management of pesticide pollution at a river basin level part II: Optimization of pesticide monitoring networks on surface aquatic ecosystems by data analysis methods. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, 653, 1612–1622. [CrossRef]

6. Dudita, M.; Bogatu, C.; Enesca, A.; Duta, A. The influence of the additives composition and concentration on the properties of SnOx thin films used in photocatalysis. *Mater. Lett.* **2011**, 65, 2185–2189. [CrossRef]

7. Kaushal, J.; Khatri, M.; Arya, S.K. A treatise on Organophosphate pesticide pollution: Current strategies and advancements in their environmental degradation and elimination. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* **2021**, 207, 111483. [CrossRef]

8. Gong, W.; Barrett, H.; Qu, H. Application of biochar: An approach to attenuate the pollution of the chiral pesticide fipronil and its metabolites in leachate from activated sludge. *Process Saf. Environ. Eng.* **2021**, 149, 936–945. [CrossRef]

9. Sahin, C.; Ekrem Karpuzcu, M. Mitigation of organophosphate pesticide pollution in agricultural watersheds. Mitigation of organophosphate pesticide pollution in agricultural watersheds. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 710, 136261. [CrossRef]

10. Wolejko, E.; Jabłońska-Trypuć, A.; Lozowsicka, B. Soil biological activity as an indicator of soil pollution with pesticides—A review. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **2020**, 147, 103356. [CrossRef]

11. Parada, J.; Rubilar, O.; Tortella, G.R. Combined pollution of copper nanoparticles and atrazine in soil: Effects on dissipation of the pesticide and on microbiological community profiles. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2019**, 361, 228–236. [CrossRef]

12. Solé, M.; Bonsignore, M.; Freitas, R. Exploring alternative biomarkers of pesticide pollution in clams. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2018**, 136, 61–67. [CrossRef]

13. Wan, N.F.; Ji, X.Y.; Li, B. An eco-engineering assessment index for chemical pesticide pollution management strategies to complex agro-ecosystems. *Ecol. Eng.* **2013**, 52, 203–210. [CrossRef]

14. Enesca, A.; Duta, A.; Schoonman, J. Influence of tantalum dopant ions (Ta5+) on the efficiency of the tungsten trioxide photocathode. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **2008**, 205, 2038–2041. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Horne, G.P.; Zalupski, P.R.; Mincher, B.J. Radiolytic degradation of formic acid and formate in aqueous solution: Modeling the final stages of organic mineralization under advanced oxidation process conditions. *Water Res.* **2020**, 186, 116314. [CrossRef]

16. Mukherjee, A.; Mullick, A.; Moulik, S. Performance and energetic analysis of hydrodynamic cavitation and potential integration with existing advanced oxidation processes: A case study for real life greywater treatment. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2020**, 66, 105116. [CrossRef]
17. Chen, C.J.; Wu, C.C.; Hsieh, L.T.; Chen, K.C. Treatment of Trichloroethylene with Photocatalyst-Coated Optical Fiber. *Water 2019*, 11, 2391. [CrossRef]

18. Zhu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Pu, M.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Cheng, C. The Effect of Sintering Oxygen Partial Pressure on a SmBiO$_3$ Buffer Layer for Coated Conductors via Chemical Solution Deposition. *Coatings 2016*, 6, 50. [CrossRef]

19. Wu, S.; Dai, W. Microwave-Hydrothermal Synthesis of SnO$_2$-CNTs Hybrid Nanocomposites with Visible Light Photocatalytic Activity. *Nanomaterials 2017*, 7, 54. [CrossRef]

20. Antoniadou, M.; Arfanis, M.K.; Ibrahim, I.; Falaras, P. Bifunctional g-C$_3$N$_4$/WO$_3$ Thin Films for Photocatalytic Water Purification. *Water 2019*, 11, 2439. [CrossRef]

21. Dong, H.; Virtanen, S. Anodic ZnO Microsheet Coating on Zn with Sub-Surface Microtrenched Zn Layer Reduces Risk of Localized Corrosion and Improves Bioactivity of Pure Zn. *Coatings 2021*, 11, 486. [CrossRef]

22. Liao, Y.K.; Liu, Y.T.; Hsieh, D.H.; Shen, T.L.; Hsieh, M.Y.; Tzou, A.J.; Chen, S.C.; Tsai, Y.L.; Lin, W.S.; Chan, S.W.; et al. Breakthrough to Non-Vacuum Deposition of Single-Crystal, Ultra-Thin, Homogeneous Nanoparticle Layers: A Better Alternative to Chemical Bath Deposition and Atomic Layer Deposition. *Nanomaterials 2017*, 7, 78. [CrossRef]

23. Ramesh, K.; Gnanavel, B.; Skkir, M. Enhanced visible light photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A (BPA) by reduced graphene oxide (RGO)-metal oxide (TiO$_2$, ZnO and WO$_3$) based nanocomposites. *Diam. Relat. Mater. 2021*, 118, 108514. [CrossRef]

24. Zhang, P.; Liang, H.; Li, C. A novel Z-scheme BiO$_x$/BiOCl nanofibers photocatalyst prepared by one-pot solvothermal with efficient visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity. *Mater. Chem. Phys. 2021*, 272, 125031. [CrossRef]

25. Zhang, Y.; Shen, G.; Fan, W. The effect of piezo-photocatalysis on enhancing the charge carrier separation in BaTiO$_3$/KNbO$_3$ heterostructure photocatalyst. *Appl. Surf. Sci. 2021*, 562, 150164. [CrossRef]

26. Baneto, M.; Enesca, A.; Mihoreanu, C.; Lare, Y.; Jondo, K.; Napo, K.; Duta, A. Effects of the growth temperature on the properties of spray deposited CuInS$_2$ thin films for photovoltaic applications. *Ceram. Int. 2015*, 41, 4742–4749. [CrossRef]

27. Koutavarapu, R.; Syed, K.; Shim, J. An effective CuO/Bi$_2$WO$_6$ heterostructured photocatalyst: Analyzing a charge-transfer mechanism for the enhanced visible-light-driven photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline and organic pollutants. *Chemosphere 2022*, 287, 132015. [CrossRef]

28. Sridharan, M.; Maiyalagan, T. Recent progress in Tungsten disulphide based Photocatalyst for Hydrogen Production and Environmental Remediation. *Chem. Eng. J. 2021*, 424, 130933. [CrossRef]

29. Liu, T.; Yang, K.; Jin, Z. Visible-light driven S-scheme MnO$_2$/Cd$_{0.8}$S/CdTiO$_3$ heterojunction for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Renew. Energ. 2021*, 173, 389–400. [CrossRef]

30. Cui, Z.; Zhang, D. Caihong Fang, CdS/CeO$_2$ heterostructures as visible-light photocatalysts for the reduction of nitro to amine organics. *J. Alloys Compd. 2021*, 883, 160961. [CrossRef]

31. Flihh, S.M.; Ammar, S.H. Fabrication and photocatalytic degradation activity of core/shell ZIF-67@CoWO$_3$@Co heterostructure photocatalysts under visible light. *Environ. Nanotech. Monit. Manag. 2021*, 16, 100595. [CrossRef]

32. Zhang, Y.; Shen, G.; Fan, W. The effect of piezo-photocatalysis on enhancing the charge carrier separation in BaTiO$_3$/KNbO$_3$ heterostructure photocatalyst. *Appl. Surf. Sci. 2021*, 562, 150164. [CrossRef]

33. Semenov, M.Y.; Semenov, Y.M.; Silaev, A.V.; Begunova, L.A. Assessing the Self-Purification Capacity of Surface Waters in Lake Baikal Watershed. *Water 2019*, 11, 1505. [CrossRef]

34. Li, J.-Q.; Liu, L.; Fu, X.; Tang, D.; Wang, Y.; Hu, S.; Yan, Q.-L. Transformation of Combustion Nanocatalysts inside Solid Rocket Motor under Various Pressures. *Nanomaterials 2019*, 9, 381. [CrossRef]

35. Domene-López, D.; Sarabia-Riquelme, R.; García-Quesada, J.C.; Martin-Gullon, I. Custom-Made Chemically Modified Graphene Oxide to Improve the Anti-Scratch Resistance of Urethane-Acrylate Transparent Coatings. *Coatings 2021*, 11, 244–246, 111574. [CrossRef]

36. Ma, D.; Sun, D.; Shi, J.W. The synergy between electronic anchoring effect and internal electric field in CdS quantum dots decorated dandelion-like Fe-CeO$_2$ nanoflowers for improved photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2019*, 549, 178–188. [CrossRef]

37. Enesca, A.; Duta, A. Tailoring WO$_3$ thin layers using spray pyrolysis technique. *Phys. Status Solidi C 2008*, 5, 3499–3502. [CrossRef]

38. Güneri, E.; Göde, F.; Saatçii, B. The effect of Cu$_2$O layer on characteristic properties of n-CdS/p-Cu$_2$O heterojunction. *J. Mol. Struct. 2021*, 1241, 130679. [CrossRef]

39. Li, X.; Raza, S.; Liu, C. Directly electrospinning synthesized Z-scheme heterojunction TiO$_2$@Ag@Cu$_2$O nanofibers with enhanced photocatalytic degradation activity under solar light irradiation. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2021*, 9, 106133. [CrossRef]

40. Fentahun, D.A.; Tyagi, A.; Kar, K.K. Numerically investigating the AZO/Cu$_2$O heterojunction solar cell using ZnO/CdS buffer layer. *Optik 2021*, 228, 166228. [CrossRef]

41. Moghanlou, A.O.; Bezaatpour, A.; Salimi, F. Cu$_2$O/rGO as an efficient photocatalyst for transferring of nitro group to amine group under visible light irradiation. *Mater. Sci. Semicon. Proc. 2021*, 130, 105838. [CrossRef]

42. Zhou, M.; Guo, Z.; Liu, Z. FeOOH as hole transfer layer to retard the photocorrosion of Cu$_2$O for enhanced photoelectrochemical performance. *Appl. Catal. B 2020*, 260, 118213. [CrossRef]

43. Zhu, Z.; Chu, H.; Jiang, L. Anti-microbial corrosion performance of concrete treated by Cu$_2$O electrodeposition: Influence of different treatment parameters. *Com. Concr. Comp. 2021*, 123, 104195. [CrossRef]

44. Jeppson, P.C.; Murray, K.; Neumeister, L. Selection of pesticides to reduce human and environmental health risks: A global guideline and minimum pesticides list. *Lancet Planet. Health 2020*, 4, e6–e63. [CrossRef]
45. Bhandari, G.; Atreya, K.; Geissen, V. Concentration and distribution of pesticide residues in soil: Non-dietary human health risk assessment. *Chemosphere* 2020, 253, 126594. [CrossRef]
46. Jiang, M.; Zhang, W.; Gong, W. Assessing transfer of pesticide residues from chrysanthemum flowers into tea solution and associated health risks. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* 2020, 187, 109859. [CrossRef]
47. Mandić-Rajčević, S.; Rubino, F.R.; Colosio, C. Establishing health-based biological exposure limits for pesticides: A proof of principle study using mancozeb. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharm.* 2020, 115, 104689. [CrossRef]
48. Gamboa, L.C.; Díaz, K.S.; van Wendel de Joode, B. Passive monitoring techniques to evaluate environmental pesticide exposure: Results from the Infant’s Environmental Health study (ISA). *Environ. Res.* 2020, 187, 109243. [CrossRef]
49. Mouchaal, Y.; Enesca, A.; Mihoreanu, C.; Khelil, A.; Duta, A. Tuning the opto-electrical properties of SnO$_2$ thin films by Ag$^{+1}$ and In$^{+3}$ co-doping. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 2015, 199, 22–29. [CrossRef]
50. Zhang, L.; Li, Y.; Wang, H. Well-dispersed Pt nanocrystals on the heterostructured TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$ nanofibers and the enhanced photocatalytic properties. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2014, 319, 21–28. [CrossRef]
51. Hamadanian, M.; Jabbari, V.; Mutlay, I. Preparation of novel hetero-nanostructures and high efficient visible light-active photocatalyst using incorporation of CNT as an electron-transfer channel into the support TiO$_2$ and PbS. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2013, 44, 748–757. [CrossRef]
52. Wang, Z.; Liang, X.; Zhu, R. Ag and Cu$_2$O modified 3D flower-like ZnO nanocomposites and evaluated by photocatalysis oxidation activity regulation. *Ceram. Int.* 2019, 45, 23310–23319. [CrossRef]
53. Tobajas, M.; Belver, C.; Rodríguez, J.J. Degradation of emerging pollutants in water under solar irradiation using novel TiO$_2$-ZnO/clay nanoarchitectures. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, 309, 596–606. [CrossRef]
54. Mohammadiyan, E.; Ghafuri, H.; Kakanejadifard, A. Synthesis and characterization of a magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$@CeO$_2$ nanocomposite decorated with Ag nanoparticle and investigation of synergistic effects of Ag on photocatalytic activity. *Optik* 2018, 166, 39–48. [CrossRef]
55. Enesca, A.; Andronic, L.; Duta, A. The influence of surfactants on the crystalline structure, electrical and photocatalytic properties of hybrid multi-structured (SnO$_2$, TiO$_2$ and WO$_3$) thin films. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2012, 258, 4339–4346. [CrossRef]
56. Gao, C.; Li, J.; Shan, Z.; Huang, F.; Shen, H. Preparation and visible-light photocatalytic activity of In$_2$S$_3$/TiO$_2$ composite. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 122, 183–187. [CrossRef]
57. Mise, T.; Nakada, T. Low temperature growth and properties of Cu–In–Te based 433 thin films for narrow bandgap solar cells. *Thin Solid Films* 2010, 518, 5604–5609. [CrossRef]