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Abstract
The rapid development of social media, and special websites with critical reviews of products have created a huge collection of resources for customers all over the world. These data may contain a lot of information including product reviews, predicting market changes, and the polarity of opinions. Machine learning and deep learning algorithms provide the necessary tools for intelligence analysis in these challenges. In current competitive markets, it is essential to understand opinions, and sentiments of reviewers by extracting and analyzing their features. Besides, processing and analyzing this volume of data in the cloud can increase the cost of the system, strongly. Fewer dependencies on expensive hardware, storage space, and related software can be provided through cloud computing and Natural Language Processing (NLP). In our work, we propose an integrated architecture of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network to identify the polarity of words on the Google cloud and performing computations on Google Colaboratory. Our proposed model based on deep learning algorithms with word embedding technique learns features through a CNN layer, and these features are fed directly into a bidirectional LSTM layer to capture long-term feature dependencies. Then, they can be reused from a CNN layer to provide abstract features before final dense layers. The main goal for this work is to provide an appropriate solution for analyzing sentiments and classification of the opinions into positive and negative classes. Our implementations show that found on the proposed model, the accuracy of more than 89.02% is achievable.
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Introduction
Nowadays, communication technologies [1–3] and computer networks have been deployed worldwide more than ever ([4]). Developing interesting technologies such as Software Defined Networks (SDN) [5], Cognitive Radio [6–8], and LiFi [2] along with emerging infrastructure such as Big Data, Cloud, and the Internet of Things (IoT) draw a very broad expansion of advanced data networks in the time ahead. In this condition, Sentiment Analysis (SA), also called Opinion Mining (OM) and Cloud computing are the most useful steps to handle working with this giant volume of data that available.

Opinion Mining is a subset of Natural Language Processing (NLP) to build an intelligent system which can be used to review the information collected from different opinions and is a computational technique for studying people’s opinions for automatic extraction and classification of emotions, attitudes towards an entity and sentiments from reviews. This is an ongoing field in research that can use text mining [9, 10]. In practical multimedia and machine learning applications [11, 12], it is more user-friendly to use speech/speaker recognition before text mining and NLP. In [12], a method for automatic recognition of the speaker was presented. This method
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focused on its dependence on the text. They used the Mel Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients (MFCC) to extract feature vectors. These feature vectors were then applied by LBG Vector Quantization to obtain the codewords on the dataset and utilized the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) technique for recognizing the speaker. In [13], the authors proposed the main goal for analyzing opinion and sentiment is to collect and analyze the reviews and also examine the sentiment scores obtained. That was divided into four critical levels: Document level, Sentence level, Word level, and Aspect level.

The rapid growth of the Internet and websites containing user reviews require expensive hardware to save, manage, and perform the computations. The big data on cloud computing is a fast-growing technology that has prepared itself for the computer industry by providing the space required for storage, software, hardware, and services [14]. In [13], they focused on the important challenges which have an effect on scores and polarity in sentiment at the sentiment evaluation phase. SA is one of the most active researches in NLP, and it is studied in many fields such as political science, communications, and finance. This is because opinions are so important in all human activities, and we often look for others’ opinions whenever we need to make a decision [15].

In this paper, the main idea for Sentiment Analysis (SA) and Opinion Mining (OM) is based on deep learning algorithms with word embedding. At first, the features were extracted via a CNN layer and then these extracted features were sent to a bidirectional LSTM layer to learn long-term dependency. After completing these steps, we used another layer of CNN to begin learning the extracted features again. This makes the features easier to learn and the machine achieve better understanding about the classes. Obtained result showed the improved accuracy in our study. In fact, we used the CNN algorithm and the LSTM to improve the accuracy in the sentiment analysis. In our work, the word embedding was deployed for word representation. Subsequently, we considered this word representation with the polarity score feature as the set of sentiment features. This set of features were combined and fed into a CNN layer and an LSTM network layer. The second CNN layer is targeted to fulfill learning polarity in the text with the higher accuracy. Our proposed model, called ConvLSTMConv, is provided for a binary classification between negative or positive sentiment categories. The experimental results obtained shows that our method offers a classification method with acceptable performance. There are several challenges in this area, which can be pointed out as sarcasm. For example, people in positive words express their negative emotions. Word ambiguity is another challenge that makes polarization impossible because some words depend on the text. Some words also have a multipolarity, and indicate a plurality. Fake opinion, also called fake review, refer to fake and negative comments about an object to undermine its credibility, which has become a major challenge. The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

In “Related works” section, we discuss the related work on this topic. Then, we elaborate our ConvLSTMConv model for sentiment classification in “Methodology” section. The process of experiment and simulation results are presented in “Experiment and results” section, and finally, in “Conclusion and future works” section, the conclusions and future works are presented.

Related works
Nowadays, many applications are deploying sentiment analysis (SA). A new model to carry out group decision making processes using free text and alternative pairwise comparisons was presented in [16]. It was designed to perform the SA via social networks, and it was one of the main advantages of the model. In [17], the authors applied sentiment analysis on the topic of tourism. The tourists usually are eager to share their experiences on a journey through social media. Sentiment classification with high accuracy is a major challenge, in the massive and irregular data.

In [18], a maximum entropy-PLSA (Probabilistic latent semantic analysis) model was introduced to extract emotion words. They used Wikipedia and corpus. In addition, the study of the impact of different text preprocessing steps on the accuracy of three machine learning algorithms, including Naive Bayes (NB), maximum entropy (MaxE), and support vector machines (SVM) for sentiment analysis was proposed in [19]. A computational model was presented in millions of movie reviews using machine learning and Naive Bayes classifiers on high-volume data and cloud computing execution in [20]. Furthermore, a deep CNN-based sentiment classification approach that can be used in Android applications was provided in [21]. It could classify reviews from various streaming services like Netflix and Amazon without needing server-side APIs.

Supervised learning is a subset of machine learning where the system attempts to learn a function from input to output. Supervised learning requires some input data in order to train the system. A supervised learning approach in human-annotated hotel reviews was deployed for ABSA (Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis) tasks analysis in [22]. A set of lexical, morphological, syntactic, and semantic features was extracted to train classifiers as part of the targeted ABSA tasks. In [23], a new model called GloVe-DCNN with a sentiment feature set was proposed. It was a combination of word embedding and n-grams features and also polarity score features of sentiment words that combined and integrated into a
deep CNN. In [24], the authors presented a fuzzy-based strategy approach to building a general model to compute the polarity in texts into arbitrary domains, which took advantage of the possible conceptual domains' overlaps. The fuzzy logic [25] was used for representing the polarity learned from either training sets or a training set.

In [26], a novel multi-layer architecture for representing customer reviews techniques (including word embedding and compositional vector models) was presented and then integrated into a neural network and used a backpropagation algorithm for training a model for aspect rating prediction as well as generating aspect weights.

In [27], a new method of machine learning based on Minimum Cuts was proposed, which linked the text classification techniques to the subjective parts of the document to determine the polarity of sentiments. Their method first subdivided the subjective and objective words of the documents and dispensed the rest of the words for the next step. Then, a classification algorithm was applied to extract the result [27].

In the paper [28], presented by Kennedy and Inkpen, they have investigated datasets based on two methods of determining sentiments. In the first method, they examined the effect of valence shifters in the classification and used three types of shifters: negations, intensifiers, and diminishers. The second method of classification used was a machine learning algorithm, SVM. They first started with the unigram features and later applied the bigram features, which included valence shifters and the rest of the vocabulary, and also showed that combining the two methods produced better results. In [29], a weigh word-based features technique in binary classification tasks is used. The authors used words and phrases as features, and the values were assigns equal to their frequency or TFIDF score.

In paper [30], they presented a combination of unsupervised and supervised techniques for learning word vectors that provide information about capturing semantic term–document information as well as rich sentiment content.

In [31], the research focused on the effect of syntactic information in document-level sentiment. In their model, the classification using a convolutional kernel and reducing the complexity of kernels by extracting the minimum infrastructure with a high impact by a polarity dictionary was created. Studying and evaluating diverse linguistic structures encoded as a convolutional kernel for the document-level sentiment classification problem were done in order to use syntactic structures without defining explicit linguistic rules.

In [32], a study to create a two-stage sentiment polarity classification system using a reject option was presented. Their research was a combination of both Naive Bayes (NB) classifier and Support Vector Machine (SVM)[33] models. They used a two-stages sentiment polarity classification using the rejection option to perform the sentiment classification in documents. In the first stage, an NB classifier, which was trained based on a feature representing the difference between numbers of positive and negative sentiment orientation phrases in a document review that deals with easy-to-classify documents. Remaining documents, that are detected by the NB classifier in use of rejection decision as “hard to be correctly classified”, and then secondly, are forwarded to process in an SVM classifier, where the hard documents are represented by additional bag-of-words and topic-based features [32]. Nguyen et al. [34] in 2014, also proposed a new rating-based feature in document level sentiment analysis by combining the features with unigram, bigram, trigram, and n-gram, then presented the results on the benchmark dataset published by Pang and Lee (2004). For first, they described a rating based feature which was based on regression model, and then learned from an external independent dataset with 233600 movie reviews, and then applied the learned model on the dataset from different domain and achieved state-of-the-art result with 91.6% for the sentiment polarity classification task. They used a supervised machine learning method to classify the polarity of sentiment at the document level. In addition to the N-Gram features, they used new rating-based features for training models. They rated the score rating of each document as a feature called RBF to learn the classification model and used the SVM model in LIBSVM3 to learn classification in dataset. At the first, the accuracy results of their method with SVM-based performances on the dataset was 87.6%. The accuracy based on Rbf feature was 88.2%. By a combination of unigram and Rbf features, the accuracy was 89.8%. The accuracy based on N-grans was 89.25% and finally by combining N-gram and Rbf features, they reached a new state-of-the-art performance with 91.6%.

**Methodology**

The sentiment analysis is a subset of NLP and data mining. Whenever users visit a website to buy a product, they initially look at previous reviews for the same product category. A summary of this set of comments determines the buyer’s opinion on that product. However, we need effective methods for categorizing sentiments in the documents. This is because the classification of the text involves the automatic sorting of a set of documents into specific categories from a predefined set. The sentiment analysis sometimes goes beyond the categorization of texts to find opinions and categorizes them as positive or negative, desirable or undesirable. There is a need for a classification tool or a system that can classify the sentiments of the text accurately because simple
text classification techniques are not sufficient to identify hidden parameters. The need for sentiment analysis increases due to the use of sentiment analysis in a variety of areas, such as market research, business intelligence, e-government, web search, and email filtering. Machine learning and deep learning algorithms are popular tools to solve business challenges in the current competitive markets.

Figure 1 describes the architecture of our proposed model for sentiment classification on texts. In our proposed model, at first, we modify the provided reviews by applying specific filters, and we use the prepared dataset by applying the parameters and implementing our proposed model for evaluation in the process step. The goal of this paper is to present a powerful method for binary classification. Discussions in more details are presented in the following:

Dataset
In this paper, we use the Movie Reviews (MR) dataset that was introduced by Pang et Lee in the literature [27]. It is a collection of a movie review with negative and positive texts where each review contains a sentence. Table 1 shows the details of the MR dataset.

Reviews preprocessing
Data preprocessing is an important step in data mining and machine learning projects [35–41]. The reviews are composed of incomplete sentences and contain much noise and wording with a weak structure like incorrect grammar, imperfect words, and words without application with high repetition. Also, unstructured data affects the performance of sentiment classification. First, we need a series of preprocessing on the reviews to reduce the problems and have a regular structure. Cleaning data by applying filters, dividing the data into training and test sets, and creating data sets with preferred words are some steps that have been done in our work. Without going into much detail, we prepared the data using the following method:

- Applying character filtering: Removal of all punctuation from each word in the reviews and also remaining tokens that are not alphabetic.
- Filtering out stop words: Stop words do not contain useful information in the field of sentiments for analysis, so those were deleted to modify the dataset.
- Filtering out short tokens: Removing all words with fewer repetitions. We used the words that were repeated more than once in the reviews.

In the next step, we divide the data into training and test sets. We used 100 positive reviews and 100 negative reviews as the test set (200 reviews) and the remaining 1800 reviews as the training dataset. This is a 90% split of training data and 10% of test data.

Processing
Figure 2 describes the architecture of our proposed model for evaluating sentiment analysis. In this section, more details in the context of various deep learning algorithms are discussed.
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Table 1. The details of MR dataset [27]

| Dataset   | Number of negative | Number of positive | Number of review |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|           | Train              | Test               | Train            | Test               |        |
| MR2004    | 900                | 100                | 900              | 100                | 2000    |

**Neural network architecture**

Artificial Neural Network (ANN) architectures were widely used in the literature ([15, 42, 43]). Figure 3 shows a simple feed-forward NN with 3 layers as the input layer ($L_1$), hidden layer ($L_2$), and output layer ($L_3$). There is also a connection between two neurons that has a parameter called weight and is represented by $w$ and applied to calculate the output.

Deep learning (DL), as a new generation of ANN, is a subset of a broader family of machine learning founded on ANN. It can learn how to perform tasks using multi-layer deep networks and enhance the power of learning of NNs [15].

In [43], it has been stated that NN was introduced for the first time in the field of language modeling based on Markov’s assumption. For example, the probability of the sequence of the word $w_i$ is decomposed as:

$$p(w_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} p(w_i | w_{i-n+1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

And a trigram feed-forward NN was proposed that contains equations as follows:

$$y_i = A_1 \hat{w}_{i-2} \alpha A_1 \hat{w}_{i-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$z_i = \sigma (A_2 y_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$p(c(w_i)|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \varphi (A_3 z_i) c(w_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$p(w_i|c(w_i, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \varphi (A_4 c(w_i) z_i) | w_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $\hat{w}_{i-2}$ and $\hat{w}_{i-1}$ in Eq. 2 are one-hot encoded predecessor words for $w_{i-2}$ and $w_{i-1}$ and $A_1$ is the weight matrix that applies to all and then two vectors are concatenated to build activation layer $y_i$.

A standard NN that is inspired by the biological structure of the brain consists of information processing units called neurons and are used in different layers. Input neurons are activated through the sensor of peripheral perception (sensors perceiving the environment), and other neurons are activated by the weighting connections of the previously active neurons [15, 42]. A neural network for learning should provide a set of values for weights between neurons using the information flowing through them. Each neuron reads the neuron's output in the previous layer and processes the information it needs, and produces the outputs for the next layer [15].

The general formula is the following, where $b$ is the BIAS; weights of connections are $w$, $f$ is a nonlinear activation function (AF).

$$f(W^t x) = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} W_i x_i + b \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The most common activation functions are Sigmoid function, hyperbolic tangent function (Tanh), and rectified linear function (ReLU). Their formulas are as follows:

$$f(W^t x) = \text{Sigmoid} (W^t x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp (-W^t x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$f(W^t x) = \text{tanh} (W^t x) = \frac{e^{W^t x} - e^{-W^t x}}{e^{W^t x} + e^{-W^t x}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$f(W^t x) = \text{Relu} (W^t x) = \max (0, W^t x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The Sigmoid function receives a value range between 0 and 1, and a real-valued number as the firing rate of a neuron: 0 for not firing or 1 for firing. The hyperbolic tangent functions as a zero-centered output range and uses $[-1, 1]$.  

---
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Instead of [0, 1]. For Relu function, if the input is less than 0, its activation will be thresholded at zero.

The Softmax function is used as the output neuron and is a logistic function. The function definition is as follows:

\[
\sigma(x)_j = \frac{e^{x_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{x_k}} \text{ for } j = 1, ..., K
\]

In general, Softmax is usually used for the final classification at the final layer of a NN.

**Convolutional layer architecture**

CNN is a kind of feed-forward neural network used in deep learning, which was originally used in computer vision and included a convolutional layer to create the local features and a pooling layer for summarizing the representative features [44, 45].

Convolution layers in the artificial neural network play the role of a feature extractor that extracts the local features. This means that CNN establishes the specific local communication signals using a local connection pattern between neurons in the adjacent layer. Such a feature is useful for classifying in NLP, as it is expected that strong local clues should be found for the class, but these clues may appear in different places at the input. Convolutional and pooling layers allow CNNs to find local indicators, regardless of their location.

**LSTM layer architecture**

One of the presented models in a recurrent neural network (RNN) is a Long short-term memory (LSTM) network that can learn long-term dependencies. Some problems, such as gradient vanishing and exploding problems in the standard RNN was a reason to develop the LSTM model as a good solution [46]. The standard LSTM network has an architecture with an input layer that is connected to the LSTM layer. It contains the recurrent connections that are connected from the cell output units to the cell input units, input gates, output gates, forget gates, and then cell output units are connected to the output layer [47]. We can calculate them as in the following equations [47]:

\[
W = n_c \times n_c \times 4 + n_i \times n_c \times 4 + n_c \times n_o + n_c \times 3
\]

The number of the memory cell is \( n_c \), \( n_i \) is equal to the number of input units; the number of output units is \( n_o \).

For computations of the LSTM network, there is a mapping of an input sequence as \( x = (x_1, ..., x_T) \) and an output sequence \( y = (y_1, ..., y_T) \) with the activations, using the following formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_t &= \sigma(W_{ix}x_t + W_{im}m_{t-1} + W_{ic}c_{t-1} + b_i) \\
    f_t &= \sigma(W_{fx}x_t + W_{mf}m_{t-1} + W_{fc}c_{t-1} + b_f) \\
    c_t &= f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot g(W_{cx}x_t + W_{cm}m_{t-1} + b_c) \\
    o_t &= \sigma(W_{ox}x_t + W_{om}m_{t-1} + W_{oc}c_t + b_o) \\
    m_t &= o_t \odot h(c_t) \\
    y_t &= W_{ym}m_t + b_y
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2 shows all the variables with their descriptions that have been used in the above formulas. With the proposed LSTM architecture with both recurrent and non-recurrent projection layers, the equations are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_t &= \sigma(W_{ix}x_t + W_{ir}r_{t-1} + W_{ic}c_{t-1} + b_i) \\
    f_t &= \sigma(W_{fx}x_t + W_{fr}r_{t-1} + W_{fc}c_{t-1} + b_f) \\
    c_t &= f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot g(W_{cx}x_t + W_{cr}r_{t-1} + b_c) \\
    o_t &= \sigma(W_{ox}x_t + W_{or}r_{t-1} + W_{oc}c_t + b_o) \\
    m_t &= o_t \odot h(c_t) \\
    r_t &= W_{rm}m_t \\
    p_t &= W_{pm}m_t \\
    y_t &= W_{yr}r_t + W_{yp}p_t + b_y
\end{align*}
\]
Table 2 Variables and their description

| Variables | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| W         | weight matrix |
| Wix       | the matrix of weights from the input gate to the input |
| b         | bias vector |
| bi        | the input gate bias vector |
| Σ         | the logistic Sigmoid function |
| i         | input gate |
| f         | forget gate |
| o         | output gate |
| c         | cell activation vector m |
| g         | the cell input activation functions |
| h         | the cell output activation functions |
| ⊙         | the product of the vectors |
| r         | the recurrent unit activations |
| p         | optional non-recurrent unit activations |

Pooling layer architecture

The Pooling layer is one of the most widely used elements in CNN. One of its applications is dimension reduction for abstract representation, reducing the number of parameters that are used and consequently reducing the computation time of models. One of the most common models of pooling structure is called Max pooling. We use this pooling layer after the convolutional layer, and its filter size is usually set to $2 \times 2$ pixels ([48, 49]).

In [50, 51], Max pooling is defined as a downsampling operation where the application is the extraction of the most important features. The Max pooling layer is a layer that takes the input feature and converts to a feature with lower dimensions, and the Max pooling is calculated using the equation below:

$$ r_{si} = \max\{[h_j]_i, \ldots, [h_{n-k+1}]_i\}, \quad (26) $$

where $[h_j]_i$ shows the $i_{th}$ element in the vector $h_j$.

$$ x_{p,ij}^n = f(\max_{0 \leq u,v \leq M_n-1} X_{p,Su+u,SV+v}) \quad (27) $$

In Equation (27), it is used without weight. Node $(i, j)$ are also connected to the input nodes in an $M \times M$.

Experiment and results

In this paper, we used deep learning algorithms such as CNN and LSTM using Python and Keras environment for sentiment analysis. We used the word embedding layer, called GloVe, a pre-trained word vectors, and an unsupervised learning algorithm, to obtain vector representations for words.

Experimental environment

We evaluated our ConvLSTMConv-based binary classification model on the MR2004 database [27]. MR2004 contains 2000 reviews in negative and positive polarities and each of which has 1000 samples. Some examples are presented in Fig. 4. For fair evaluation, we chose the training and the test sets as the same for preprocessing. The training and the test sets contain 90% and 10% of total samples, respectively. We described the training criteria and improvement techniques in the previous section. These training criteria and improvement techniques can be combined in various ways. In all experiments, we trained in the mini-batch mode with size 8.

We conducted our experiments on Google services. We used Google Drive to store our dataset, which is a cloud-based file storage service provided by Google, and allows users to store files on the servers and share files. We also used the Google Colaboratory system for our work which, is a free cloud service from Google for AI developers that supports Jupyter notebooks. In Google Colaboratory, we can use Python with additional libraries such as Keras, OpenCV and etc., to develop deep learning applications.

Numerical environment

In this section, we elaborate more details about numerical values of parameters and also hyperparameters in our proposed models and the results. We define a model with an

**Fig. 4** A simple example of a test file from MR2004 [27] after preprocessing.
input channel for processing the movie review text. The channel consists of the following elements:

- The input layer that specifies the length of the input sequences
- Embedding layer that is regulated to 100-dimensional vocabulary size
- Two convolution1D layers with separate filters and kernel size
- A bidirectional GRU layer
- A convolution1D layer with filters and kernel size
- MaxPooling1D layer to stabilize output from the convolution layer
- Dropout layer with $p = 0.4$
- Flatten layer to reduce 3D output to 2D for concatenation

This channel reaches into a single vector and is processed by a dense layer with 15 neurons and Softmax activation function and an output layer with one neuron and Sigmoid activation function. More details are as follows:

After the input layer, this model uses an embedding layer as the hidden layer. The embedding layer requires vocabulary size, real value vector space size, and the maximum length of input documents. We used a pre-trained Glove model with a 100-dimensional vector space. Then we used two convolution1D layers with 64 and 32 filters respectively with kernel size 4 and Relu activation function, a bidirectional GRU layer with 80 neurons, and another convolution1D layer with the filter size 16, kernel size 4, and Relu activation function.

By changing the parameters, we are looking for better results. By reducing the two convolution layer to a single layer with a filter of 32 and changing the bidirectional GRU layer to a bidirectional LSTM layer with 50 neurons and a dropout with $p = 0.2$, we achieved a more acceptable result than before. The model details are as follows:

- The input layer
- Embedding layer that is regulated to 100-dimensional vocabulary size
- A convolution1D layer with filters of 32, kernel size of 4 and Relu AF
- A bidirectional LSTM layer with 50 neurons
- A convolution1D layer with filters of 16, kernel size of 4 and Relu AF
- MaxPooling1D layer with a pool size of 2
- Dropout layer with $p = 0.2$
- Flatten layer

This channel reaches into a single vector and is processed by a dense layer with 15 neurons and Softmax activation function and an output layer with one neuron and Sigmoid activation function, same as before. Running this model after 100 epochs, in the best performance of this model, was achieved in the training dataset with 89.17% accuracy and 83.00% in the test dataset, which is higher than the previous model.

The final changes in the next model, and the change in the parameters yielded a more acceptable result than the previous models. The detail of the proposed network structures is presented in Table 3.

After applying this model, the training dataset was achieved 89.02% accuracy and 89.02% accuracy in the test dataset. The best results were obtained with these modifications compared to previous models. The experiment results for several steps are presented in Table 4. The details about the hyperparameters are as follows:

- The input layer
- Embedding layer that is regulated to 200-dimensional vocabulary size

| Layers | Type       | #of feature map | Feature map size | Window size | #of parameters |
|--------|------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|
| E1     | Embedding  | 200             | —                | —           | 8,855,400      |
| C2     | Convolution| 32              | 32×32            | 4×4         | 25,632         |
| L3     | Bi-LSTM    | 200             | —                | —           | 106,400        |
| C4     | Convolution| 16              | 16×16            | 4×4         | 12,816         |
| P5     | Max pooling| 16              | —                | 2×2         | 0              |
| D6     | Dropout    | 16              | —                | —           | 0              |
| F7     | Flatten    | —               | —                | —           | 0              |
| D8     | Dense      | 15              | —                | —           | 164,895        |
| D9     | Dense      | 1               | —                | —           | 16             |

Total parameters 9,165,159
Trainable 9,165,159
Non-trainable 0
Table 4 Results of train and validation in ConvLSTMConv

| Epochs | Loss     | Accuracy |         |         |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
|        | Train    | Validation | Train | Validation |
| 1      | 0.9886   | 0.9755   | 0.5006  | 0.5000  |
| 2      | 0.9635   | 0.9516   | 0.5222  | 0.5500  |
| 3      | 0.9407   | 0.9298   | 0.5244  | 0.5350  |
| 4      | 0.9197   | 0.9098   | 0.5556  | 0.5300  |
| 5      | 0.9004   | 0.8914   | 0.5250  | 0.5300  |
| ...    | ...      | ...      | ...     | ...     |
| 20     | 0.7363   | 0.7361   | 0.5872  | 0.5700  |
| 21     | 0.7258   | 0.7251   | 0.6117  | 0.5950  |
| 22     | 0.7131   | 0.7127   | 0.6328  | 0.6150  |
| 23     | 0.6931   | 0.6879   | 0.6689  | 0.6550  |
| 24     | 0.6775   | 0.6822   | 0.6794  | 0.6550  |
| ...    | ...      | ...      | ...     | ...     |
| 50     | 0.3586   | 0.4461   | 0.8711  | 0.8250  |
| 51     | 0.3564   | 0.4691   | 0.8822  | 0.8050  |
| 52     | 0.3867   | 0.4027   | 0.8650  | 0.8400  |
| 53     | 0.3545   | 0.3726   | 0.8902  | 0.8902  |

- A convolution1D layer with filters of 32, kernel size of 4 and Relu AF
- A bidirectional LSTM layer with 100 neurons
- A convolution1D layer with filters of 16, kernel size of 4 and Relu AF
- MaxPooling1D layer with a pool size of 2
- Dropout layer with p = 0.35
- Flatten layer

This channel reaches into a single vector and is processed by a dense layer with 15 neurons and Softmax activation function with L1 and L2 regularizeres. The Combined regularization method is deployed for optimization and reducing overfitting with \( l_2 = 0.01 \) (kernel regularizer) and \( l_1 = 0.001 \) (activity regularizer). The output layer also designed with one neuron and Sigmoid activation function, as said before. For the compilation step, we used Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) as the optimizer input parameter with a learning rate of 0.09, the decay of 0.0009, and momentum of 0.8.

Figure 5 shows the accuracy and loss functions for our proposed model in sentiment analysis, respectively. Finally, Table 5 compares our best achievement with previous works on MR2004. The obtained results indicate that our proposed model based on ConvLSTMConv outperforms other approaches.

Conclusion and future works

The main goal of sentiment analysis for the market prediction is the recognition of customer’s opinion about the available products. It can pave the way for improvement and prevent future defects and flaws. In this paper, we presented a simple model for analyzing sentiment and opinions, which includes determining the positive and negative sentiments of the films. Our proposed model includes preprocessing on raw texts, feature extraction, and classification methods for classification and analysis. The preprocessing section is an important part that includes the correction of problems such as incomplete sentences, weak grammatical words, and words without application with high repetition for sentiment analysis that have a profound effect on classification performance. Applying changes to these raw texts can improve the results.

In our work, a word embedding model for word representation and a combination of feed-forward neural networks models (the CNN) and recurrent models (the LSTM) with parametric changes for sentiment analysis are presented. We examined our experiments through storage on Google Cloud and computing on Google Colaboratory. In our proposed model, feature learning and training were combined in one step. While many researchers are focusing on very deep and complex architectures for different tasks, we have deployed two CNNs in combination with an LSTM layer. In this work, we have implemented a binary classification model for analyzing sentiments in texts at different stages with varying parameters and optimizing it several times, to get performance improvement as much as possible. At the beginning of our work we used the layers of Conv, GRU and Conv and we were able to obtain acceptable results by parametric optimization. With deploying our unique strong proposed structure as Conv-LSTM-Conv, and optimization of parameters shown in Table 3 and Fig. 2, we were able to achieve a result of 89.02% with low number of epochs and the minimum time required.

The best result on the Pang and Lee dataset (2004) was obtained by Nguyen et al., which is an empirical study for the sentiment polarity classification. They used rating-based features based on a linear regression model from external independent dataset with 233600 movie reviews, and then checked rating-based and N-gram features into a machine learning-based approach to the Pang and Lee dataset. Because they used the N-Gram features and 10 fold cross validation model, it requires complexity and high execution time to run the training on a stand-alone database. Our proposed model is more suitable and applicable to the design of embedded and mobile systems because of the simplicity of the model and the speed of execution and the acceptable results obtained.

This article focused only on the sentiment classification into two classes of positive and negative class (binary classification) but the SA is not limited just to...
the determination of positive and negative polarity. In real world, there are different situations such as happiness, anger, hatred, sadness and so on which can affect the opinion of reviewers. There are many influential factors in this area, some of which are fleeting and affecting opinions at that moment. Some comments may also include sarcasm that makes it difficult to achieve the right result. Automatic generation of coherent and meaningful text using some advanced deep learning approaches such as generative adversarial network (GAN) in particular with

Table 5 Comparison among our proposed model and previous works

| Author            | Accuracy% | Method Description                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pang and Lee [27] | 87.20%    | Subjective summarization based on minimum cuts                                        |
| Kennedy and Inkpen [28] | 86.20%    | Contextual Valence Shifters                                                          |
| Martineau and Finin [29] | 88.10%    | TFIDF Weighting                                                                     |
| Maas et al. [30]  | 88.90%    | A mix of unsupervised and supervised techniques to learn word vectors                |
| Tu et al. [31]    | 88.50%    | Word embedding using vector kernel + tree-based word dependency integrated with grammar relations |
| Nguyen et al. [32] | 87.95%    | Two-step classification of support vector machine and Naive Bayes                    |
| Our proposed model | 89.02%    | The combination of the convolution layer and the LSTM layer and the convolution layer (ConvLSTMConv) |
emphasizing on conditional text are targeted as our future works. With using conditional text GAN, we will be capable not only to create our synthetic datasets but also we can customize it for more complex SA classification problems. It is hoped that we will focus on these factors in future work and take an effective step in improving the accuracy results.
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