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Abstract

Thinking and thought are divine urge in the Great Quran. The published S. El-Mongy theorem (L= eπrs^4) correlates επ with radius r of circular and spherical geometries by a factor s^4 (θ/10φ) to be used for calculations of arc length and astronomical distance. In this article, Sayed's formula was used to produce correlations with the well-established laws and formulas of different nuclear and radiological fields. The formula was directed to be correlated with half-life time, activity, flux, reaction rate, reactor power, mean free path, photon fluence rate, radiation dose rate and half value thickness equations. It was also oriented to calculate fuel rods circumstances of different reactor types; PWR, BWR, VVER1200 and Candu-6. The produced correlations of επ and s^4 with the above mentioned topics are given with simplified reduced forms, limitation and some comparative calculations between old and the proposed innovative formulas. New formulas for sphere volume and surface area and cylinder are also given based on επ term.
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I. Introduction

Based on Sayed’s theorem (1), different nuclear and radiological field laws and equations were correlated and formulated. It may be exceptional and remarkable job to correlate the well-known published nuclear equations with our formula to be integrated with the επ term. The science is mainly based on observations, outstanding and may be abnormal ideas. Nuclear sciences began from basic ideas and laws to be as it is in the modern developed level (2,3). The long way of scientific history, challenges and development was based on integration of the human ideas, axioms, discoveries and inventions (3,4).

II. Correlation of Sayed’s Formula with some Nuclear and Radiological Fields

In this part, Sayed’s formula was used to calculate the fuel rods circumstances for different reactor types. The formula is expressed as follows (1):

\[ L = e \pi r s^4 \]  

Where \( s^4 \) is Sayed constant (θ/10φ), e is Euler constant, \( \pi \) is Archimedes number, \( L \) is arc length and \( r \) is the radius of circular or spherical geometry.

II.1) Correlation with Half-Life Time Calculation;

The half-life time is \( T_{1/2} = 0.69315/ \lambda \) (5,6,7,8,9), where \( \lambda \) is the decay constant (sec^−1). As a matter of fact the nuclides decay and emit radiation in isotropic manner. Based on that, this formula can be given in correlation with επ term. In this case the half life time can be expressed as:

\[ T_{1/2} = e \pi /12.32 \lambda \]  

Where, ln2=0.69315 = (eπ/12.32). This correlation was validated and compared with the major \( T_{1/2} \) equation for some isotopes (\( T_{1/2} \) from seconds to \( >10^9 \) year). The simple comparative results are given in Table 1.

| Isotope       | \( T_{1/2} = 0.693/ \lambda \) | \( T_{1/2} = e \pi /12.32 \lambda \) | % difference |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| ^22O          | 2.25 sec.                      | 2.251                                | 0.044        |
| ^259Nobelium  | 58 min.                        | 58.02                                | 0.034        |
II.2) Correlation with Activity Calculation

The activity (A) is simply expressed as in the following expression (5,6,7,8,9):

\[ A = N \lambda \] (3)

It can be correlated with the term \( e^{\pi} \) by substituting equation 2 in 3 as;

\[ A = N e^{\pi /12.32} T_{1/2} \] (4)

Where, the term \( (e^{\pi /12.32}) \) equal to ln2 as mentioned above.

II.3) Correlation with Sphere Surface Area and Volume:

The radiation is emitted isotropically in every direction. So, correlation of volume and surface area of the sphere of radius \( r \) using Sayed formula (1) can be expressed as:

\[ \text{Sphere volume} = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 = 0.4905 \, e^{\pi r^3} \] (5)

\[ \text{Sphere surface area} = 4 \pi r^2 = 1.471518 \, e^{\pi r^2} \] (6)

Comparison of the calculations carried out by the abovementioned formula is given in Table 2.

| Radius | Volume \( (4/3\pi r^3) \) | New formula; \( (0.49 \, e^{\pi r^3}) \) | % Diff. |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| 0.5 cm | 0.52359833 cm\(^3\)       | 0.52359166                      | 0.00127|
| 1 cm   | 4.1887866 cm\(^3\)        | 4.188784                        | 6.2x10\(^{-5}\) |
| 5 cm   | 523.59833 cm\(^3\)        | 523.59166                       | 0.00127|
| 5 m    | 523.59833 m\(^3\)         | 523.59166                       | 0.00127|
| 10 m   | 4188.7866 m\(^3\)         | 4188.73328                      | 0.00127|
| 1000 km| 4188786666.666 m\(^3\)    | 4188733280.58                   | 0.00127|
| 10\(^{16}\) km (\~10\(^{13}\) ly)| 4.1887866 x 10\(^{78}\) km\(^3\) | 4.1887332 x 10\(^{78}\) | 0.00127|

The results of surface area calculations for the sphere using the new correlation are given in Table 3:

| Surface area | Radius | Old formula; \( (4\pi r^2) \) | New formula; \( (1.4715 \, e^{\pi r^2}) \) | % Diff. |
|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| 0.5 cm       | 3.14159| 3.14154996                   | 0.00127                         |
| 1 cm         | 12.56636 cm\(^2\) | 12.5661998                 | 0.00127                         |
| 5 cm         | 314.159 cm\(^2\)  | 314.154996                  | 0.00127                         |
| 5 m (500 cm) | 314.159 m\(^2\)    | 314.154996                  | 0.00127                         |
| 10 m         | 1256.636 m\(^2\)   | 1256.61999                  | 0.00127                         |
It can be observed the negligible difference between the well-known formulas and the correlated new ones.

In case of cylindrical geometry of height (h), the volume can be correlated as follow;

\[ \text{Cylinder volume} = \pi r^2 h = 0.368 \text{ e}^\pi r^2 h \] (7)

II.3) Correlation with Inverse Square Law Formula:

The relation between source intensity and distance is expressed as the inverse square law (5,6,7,8). The intensity (I) at surface of a sphere is proportional to the source strength (I₀) as follow.

\[ I = I_0 / 4 \pi r^2 \] (8)

Correlating the value of r of equation 1 in equation 8, one gets:

\[ I = I_0 e^{2 \pi} \Theta^2 / 4 \times 100 \pi \phi^2 L^2 \] (9)

The equation 9 can be reduced for \( \Theta = 360^0 \) to be:

\[ I = 3.14159 I_0 / L^2 \] or
\[ I = \pi I_0 / L^2 \] (11)

Also, by using equation 6 for sphere surface area, the radiation intensity can be given as;

\[ I = I_0 / 1.471518 e\pi r^2 \] (12)

II.4) Correlation with Radiation Dose Rate Calculation:

The radiation dose rate (D) at any distance r was also correlated and formulated. Using the well-known dose rate equation (5,6,7,8):

\[ D = \Gamma A / r^2 \] (13)

Where, \( \Gamma \) is the gamma constant, A is source activity and r is the distance from unshielded source. In case of a circle with radius equal to r, the radiation dose rate at any point at the circle boundary can be correlated with equation 1 in 13 to be:

\[ 10 \phi L / e \pi \Theta = \Gamma^2 A^2 / D^2 \] (14)

\[ D = (\Gamma A / L^2) (e^2 \pi^2 \Theta^2 / 100 \phi^2) \] (15)

For \( \Theta = 360^0 \), this equation can be reduced to be:

\[ D = 5.343 (\Gamma A / L^2) \] (16)

II.5) Correlation with Photon Fluence rate:

The photon fluence rate (\( \Phi_\gamma \)) from a point source is expressed by the formula;

\[ \Phi_\gamma = A I_\gamma / 4 \pi r^2 \] (17)

Where, \( \Phi_\gamma \) in Y /cm².hr, A is the source activity (decay/hr), \( I_\gamma \) is the photon yield (Y/decay) and r is the distance from a point source (cm). The correlation of equation 17 with formula number 1, the fluence rate can be;

\[ \Phi_\gamma = A I_\gamma / 4 \pi r^2 = A I_\gamma e^{2\pi\Theta^2} / 400 \phi^2 L^2 \] (18)

In a reduced form for \( \Theta = 360^0 \), the fluence rate is;

\[ \Phi_\gamma = 3.14 A I_\gamma / L^2 = A I_\gamma \pi / L^2 \] (19)

By using equation 6, the correlation is;
Φᵣ = A Iᵣ /1.47 eπr²  

(20)

II.6) Correlation with Mean Free Path of Photons and Neutrons:

The mean free path (mfp) is the average distance a photon travels before an interaction takes place. It is the reciprocal of the linear absorption coefficient μ. The mfp of photon can be expressed as 1/μ, where μ is the linear attenuation coefficient (cm⁻¹). The half value thickness is expressed as (5,6,7,8,9):

H.V.T = ln2/μ = 0.693/μ  

(21)

This equation can also be correlated with the term eπ to produce the following one;

(mfp) = 12.32 H.V.T/eπ  

(22)

It can also be reduced to be;

mfp = 1.44267 H.V.T  

or

H.V.T = 0.693/mfp  

(23)

The H.V.T can also be correlated as;

H.V.T = eπ(mfp)/12.32  

(24)

For neutrons, the mean free path (mfp) is given by the inverse of the macroscopic cross section (1/Σ) for a given material, which has the dimensions of a distance, does have an easily visualized meaning. For example, the quantity 1/Σa equals the average distance that a neutron will travel before being absorbed by the material, and is known as the absorption mean-free-path (mfp). Similarly, the inverse of the macroscopic scattering cross-section, 1/Σs, is equal to the average distance traveled by a neutron between scattering collisions. The macroscopic cross-section equal Σ = No. Where, N is the number of atoms per cm³ and σ is the microscopic cross section (9). The mean free path to absorption is mfp = Σ⁻¹. The flux (ϕ) is the total neutron track length laid down in one second in one cm³, so dividing flux by the length of track required (on average) for one absorption, we get the total number of absorptions that is (9 new):

Rₐ = total track length (per s per cm³)/ neutron mean free path to absorption  

(25)

Rₐ = ϕ/mfp  

or

mfp = ϕ/Rₐ  

or

Rₐ = ϕ/Σa  

(26)

With ϕ in cm⁻² s⁻¹ and Σa in cm⁻¹, Rₐ has units cm³ s⁻¹.

II.7) Correlation with Reaction Rate Calculation:

The reaction rate, R, is the number of reactions per second per cubic centimeter of material. To calculate the reaction rate (RR) of mono-energetic neutrons with gas atoms in a spherical ion chamber for example, it can be given as follow (5,7,8,9,10,11):

RR = σ n ϕ /4 πr²  

(27)

Where, σ is the microscopic cross section, n number of atoms and ϕ is the flux (n/cm².sec.).

From the formula 1 and by substitution in equation 27,

RR = σ n ϕ /4 πr² = σ n ϕ /4 π (10L ϕₛ / e π Θ)²  

(28)

RR = σ n ϕ e² π² Θ² /400 π L² ϕₛ²  

(29)

RR = 3.14159 σ n ϕ/L²  

(30)

RR= π σ n ϕ/L²  

(31)

Using equation 6 (for sphere surface area), the reaction rate can also be expressed as;

RR = σ n ϕ /1.471518 eπr²  

(32)

II.8) Correlation with Flux Calculation of Neutrons Sources:

In case of unshielded neutron source (e.g. ²²⁶Ra-²⁹Be), it emits fast neutrons distributed isotropically over spherical geometry, its flux can be given by the following equation (5,9,10):
Flux = No. of neutron produced per sec. (Pn) / surface area

Flux = \( P_n / 4 \pi r^2 \) \hspace{1cm} (33)

By substituting value of \( r \) in Sayed formula 1, it produces;

Flux = \( P_n e^2 \pi^2 \Theta^2 / 400 L^2 \phi^2 \) \hspace{1cm} (34)

For \( \Theta = 360^\circ \), the flux due to the neutron source can be simply expressed as;

Flux = \( \pi P_n / L^2 \) \hspace{1cm} (35)

Using equation 6, the flux can also be correlated as:

\[ \text{Flux} = P_n / 1.471518 e\pi r^3 \] \hspace{1cm} (36)

II.9) Correlation with Reactor Power formula:

The power released in a reactor can be calculated by multiplying the reaction rate by the volume of the reactor results in the total fission rate for the entire reactor. By dividing the number of fissions per watt-sec., results in the power released by fission in the reactor in units of watts (9,10,11,12,13,14,15). This relationship is mathematically shown in the next equation number 37

\[ P = \phi_{th} \Sigma_f V / 3.12 \times 10^{10} \text{ fission/watt. sec.} \] \hspace{1cm} (37)

Where, \( P \) is the power (watts); each watt of power requires about \( 3.1 \times 10^{10} \) fissions/s. \( \phi_{th} \) is the thermal neutron flux (neutrons/cm\(^2\)-sec), \( \Sigma_f \) is the macroscopic cross section for fission (cm\(^{-1}\)) and \( V \) is the volume of core (cm\(^3\)). By correlating this equation with Sayed formula for volume (spherical core), it produces:

\[ P = \phi_{th} \Sigma_f (4000 \pi \phi^3 L^3 / e^2 \pi^2 \Theta^2 x 3.12 \times 10^{10}) \] \hspace{1cm} (38)

It can be reduced to be;

\[ P = 5.567 \times 10^{-13} \Sigma_f \phi_{th} L^3 \] \hspace{1cm} (39)

The reactor power can also be expressed by substituting the volume of sphere in the formula number 5 in equation 37 to produce;

\[ P = \phi_{th} \Sigma_f (\pi r^2 F) / 3.12 \times 10^{10} \text{ fission/watt. sec.} \] \hspace{1cm} (40)

Where, \( F \) is 0.4905059. In a reduced form, the reactor power in watt sec. is expressed as;

\[ P = 0.15x10^{-10} \Sigma_f \phi_{th} e\pi r^3 \] \hspace{1cm} (41)

For cylindrical core, the correlation will be;

\[ P = \Sigma_f \phi_{th} h (100 \pi \phi^3 L^2 / e^2 \pi^2 \Theta^2 x 3.12 \times 10^{10}) \] \hspace{1cm} (42)

The reduced form can be given as;

\[ P = 2.567 \times 10^{-12} \Sigma_f \phi_{th} L^2 h \] \hspace{1cm} (43)

Using equation 7 for cylindrical geometry, the power can also be correlated as:

\[ P = 0.11795 \times 10^{-10} \Sigma_f \phi_{th} e\pi r^3 \] \hspace{1cm} (44)

II.10) Correlation with Reactor Fuels Rods Dimensions/Circumstances:

The characteristics of the fuel rods for different reactor types (e.g. Pressurized water reactor, Boiling water reactor, Candu reactor and Russian VVER reactor) are given in Table 4 (9,10,11,12,13,15,16,17,18).

**Table 4:** Fuel Rods Characteristics of different Reactor types

| Parameter / Reactor type | PWR 17x17 | BWR 8x8 | VVER1200 | Candu-6 |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Clad diameter            | 0.94 cm   | 1.23 cm | 0.91 cm  | 0.654 cm|
| Fuel pellet diameter     | 0.8       | 1.04    | 0.76     | 0.6122  |
This formula as given in equation 1 can be reduced for a circle of central angle $\Theta = 360^\circ$ to be:

$$L = 6.28319 r \quad \text{or} \quad r = L/0.15915$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Where $L$ is the circumstance of fuel rod; Clad, pellet and gap, and $r$ is the fuel rod radius. The results of different reactors fuel rod type calculations are given in the following Table 5:

| Parameter / Reactor type | PWR 17x17 | BWR 8x8 | VVER1200 | Candu-6 |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| $L_{\text{Clad}}$       | 5.9032    | 7.7244  | 2.8574    | 4.10712 |
| $L_{\text{fuel pellet}}$| 5.0238    | 6.5312  | 2.3864    | 3.8446  |
| $L_{\text{Gap}}$        | 2.4806    | 3.41318 | 0.2543    | ----    |
| $L_{\text{clad}}/L_{\text{fuel pellet}}$ | 1.175     | 1.18269 | 1.197     | 1.06827 |
| $L_{\text{Clad}} - L_{\text{fuel pellet}}$ | 0.8792    | 1.1932  | 0.471     | 0.2625  |

The calculated values shown in table 5 are identical to the fuel pellet, clad and gap circumstances as calculated by using the old circular circumstance formula; $2 \pi r \theta /360$ (1).

It can be observed that the proposed innovative correlated formulas cover different topics and axes in the nuclear and radiological fields.

**Conclusion**

Based on S. El-Mongy theorem and formula, the proposed correlations of the term $e \pi$ and $s^4$ with different well established radiological and nuclear laws and formulas (e.g. half-life time, activity, flux, reaction rate, reactor power, mean free path, photon fluence rate, radiation dose rate and half value thickness) were mathematically performed in this article. The correlated formulas may be competitive and could be used as alternatives according to the data available and the unknown parameters to be calculated. The sphere volume and surface area were also correlated with $e\pi$.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no any conflicts of interest with anyone.

**Acknowledgment**

*My Lord, please accept my deep thanks and acknowledge your endless support.*

**References**

1. Sayed A. El-mongy, “New theorem for arc length calculation with trigonometric approach application in astrophysics”, IJAP, vol. 17, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24297/jap.v18i.8914
2. Khairi Abdullah, “Fundamentals in nuclear physics”, University of Duhok, Iraq, 2014.
3. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_science, updated in December 2020.
4. Ian Stewart. “17 Mathematical Equations that Changed the world”, Basic Book, USA, 2013.
5. H. Cember and T. E. Johnson, “Introduction to health physics”, 4th edition, McGraw –Hill, USA, 2009.
6. G. F. Knolls, “Radiation detection and measurement”, 4th edition, Wiley, USA, 2010.
7. D.L. Bailey, J.L. Humm A. Todd–Pokropek, A. van Aswegen, “Nuclear Medicine Physics: A Handbook for teachers and students”, IAEA, Austria, 2014.
8. James T. (Tom) Voss, “Radiation Monitoring Notebook”, Los Alamos, LA-UR-00-2584, USA. 2001.
9. Technical group. “Reactor Physics, Science and Reactor Fundamentals”, CNSC, Canada, 2003.
10. Hiroshi Sekimoto, “Nuclear Reactor Theory”, Tokyo Institute of Technology, COE-INES Textbook, 2007.
11. Lamarsh, John R., “Introduction to Nuclear Reactor Theory”, American Nuclear Society, USA, 2002.
12. Nuclear physics and reactor theory, Module 2: Neutron Characteristics, DOE, USA, 2011.
13. Glasstone, Samuel and Sesonske, Alexander, “Nuclear Reactor Engineering”, 4th Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, USA, 1994.
14. B. Rouben Manager, “Introduction to Reactor Physics”, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., Canada, 2002.
15. John H. Bickel., “Fundamentals of Nuclear Engineering”, Neutron Induced Reactions, USA, 2012.
16. A. S. M. Fakhrul Islam, “Modeling of neutron interaction inside a 2D reactor using Monte Carlo method”, M.Sc. thesis in nuclear engineering, University of South Carolina, USA, 2019.
17. Allen G. Croll, “Reactors and fuels”, Introduction to nuclear chemistry and fuel cycle separations, Vanderbilt university, Tennessee, USA, 2008.
18. Kenneth D. Kok, “Nuclear engineering handbook”, CRC Press, USA, 2009.

Biography:

Prof. Dr. Sayed Ali El-Mongy is currently a nuclear affairs consultant and scientific supervisor. He was v. Chairman of Egypt nuclear regulatory authority (ENRRA). He was Head of nuclear safeguards department and member of the international atomic energy authority (IAEA) for NSGC committee and IRRS mission. He participated in regional and international meetings and conferences in the USA, Canada, Russia, EU states, S.Korea, IAEA and most of the Arab league states. He has a publication list of more than 100 articles.