Fractal structure of Hastings–Levitov patterns restricted in a sector geometry
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Abstract
A generalized form of the Hastings and Levitov algorithm for the simulation of diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) restricted in a sector geometry is studied. It is found that this generalization with uniform measure produces ‘wedge-like’ fractal patterns in the physical space, whose fractal dimension and anisotropy exponent depend significantly on the opening angle $\beta$ of the sector. The morphological properties and the overall shape of the patterns are analyzed by computing the angular two-point density correlation function of the patterns. We also find that the fractal dimension of the patterns with sinusoidal distributed measure depends weakly on $\beta$ with almost the same dimension as the radial DLA cluster. The anisotropy exponent and the visual appearance of the patterns in this case are shown to be compatible with those of the advection-diffusion-limited aggregation clusters.

PACS numbers: 61.43.Hv, 82.40.Ck, 68.43.Jk, 64.60.al
(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction
Diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) was originally introduced by Witten and Sander [1] in 1981 to model the aggregates of metal particles formed by adhesive contact in a low concentration limit. This model has been then shown to describe many pattern-forming processes including dielectric breakdown [2], electrochemical deposition [3, 4], viscous fingering and Laplacian growth [5]. One of the standard approaches to simulate a Laplacian field is by random walkers, which are launched from the periphery of the system and diffuse toward the growing cluster and freeze on it. This procedure is equivalent to solving the Laplace equation outside the aggregated cluster with appropriate boundary conditions. The
walker sticks to a point on the surface of the aggregate with a probability proportional to the harmonic measure there [6].

Another powerful method for studying such growth processes in two dimensions is the iterated stochastic conformal mapping [7–9], which is known as the Hastings and Levitov (HL) method.

Since DLA enhances the instability of the growth, the resulting cluster is highly ramified and branched. The procedure of the proliferation of the fingers in the fractal structure of DLA is one of its complexity sources which is one of the purposes of the present paper.

The HL method is based on the fact that there exists a conformal map that maps the exterior of the unit circle in the mathematical \( w \)-plane to the exterior of the cluster of \( n \) particles in the physical \( z \)-plane. The complicated harmonic measure in the physical space then changes to a simple measure: a uniform distribution in the mathematical space. The HL algorithm can also be used for more generalized growth models for which the probability measure is not uniform in the mathematical plane. In a part of this paper, we also study the statistical and morphological properties of the fractal patterns produced by a novel generalization of the HL algorithm with both uniform and non-uniform probability measures in the \( w \)-plane. We restrict the algorithm to attribute the measure in the \( w \)-plane to a sector of an opening angle \( \beta \). We find that this restriction which breaks the radial symmetry in the growth process yields fractal patterns whose dimensions depend on whether a uniform or non-uniform distribution is used for the measure. For the uniform distribution, the patterns look like those of DLA in a wedge but with a fractal dimension depending on the opening angle \( \beta \). However for the non-uniform distribution of the measure, we find that the statistical properties of the patterns are compatible with advection-diffusion-limited aggregation (ADLA) [10] and their fractal dimensions take values close to that of radial DLA, i.e. \( d_f = 1.71 \) with a weak dependence on the opening angle \( \beta \).

Bazant et al [10] proposed a method based on time-dependent conformal maps to model a class of non-Laplacian growth processes such as ADLA in a background potential flow. They showed that in spite of dramatic increases in anisotropy, the fractal dimension of the patterns is not affected by advection and takes the same value as for radial DLA clusters.

The fractal dimension of the patterns is already shown to be affected by the geometrical factors. Stepanov and Levitov [11] obtained dimensions as low as \( d_f = 1.5 \) for simulations of the anisotropic growth (a different anisotropy that we consider in this paper) using the noise-controlled HL algorithm. A similar result was reported in [12] for DLA with anisotropic perturbations. Davidovitch et al [13] introduced growth models that are produced by deterministic itineraries of iterated conformal maps with different dimensions. In the channel geometry, the fractal dimensions \( d_f = 1.67 \) [14] and \( d_f = 1.71 \) [15] are reported for periodic and reflecting boundary conditions, respectively.

The comparison of the ensemble average of the DLA cluster density with the noise-free Saffman–Taylor viscous fingers [5] has been the subject of various studies in both channel and wedge geometries [16–19].

It has been shown in [20] that there exists a critical angle \( \eta \approx 60^\circ \text{–} 70^\circ \) in viscous fingers and DLA growing in a wedge, indicative of a typical angular spread of a major finger. In this paper, for the patterns produced by the restricted HL algorithm with uniform measure, we find that their visual appearance is like a DLA cluster in a wedge and we compute the average angle between two major fingers. To estimate the angle, we measure the angular density–density correlation function. We also obtain the relation between the minimum opening angle of a wedge which contains the cluster in the \( z \)-plane and the opening angle \( \beta \) considered in the \( w \)-plane.
2. Restricted HL algorithm in a sector geometry

HL employed the conformal-mapping tool to describe the complicated boundary of a growing DLA cluster. Their approach [7] was based on iteratively applying the function \( \phi_{\lambda,0}(w) \) which maps a unit circle to a circle with a bump of a linear size \( \sqrt{\lambda} \) at the point \( w = e^{i\theta} \):

\[
\phi_{\lambda,0}(w) = w^{1-a} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda}{2w} (1 + w) \left[ 1 + w + w \left( 1 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4w}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4w}} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \right)^a.
\]

(1)

\[
\phi_{\lambda,\theta}(w) = e^{i\theta} \phi_{\lambda,0}(e^{-i\theta} w).
\]

(2)

The parameter \( 0 \leq a \leq 1 \) determines the shape of the bump; for higher \( a \) the bump becomes elongated in the normal direction to the boundary \( \partial C \) (e.g. it is a line segment for \( a = 1 \)). In this paper, we set \( a = \frac{1}{2} \) for which the bump has a semicircle shape.

A cluster \( C_n \) consisting of \( n \) bumps can be obtained by using the following map on a unit circle:

\[
\Phi_n(w) = \phi_{\lambda_1,\theta_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_2,\theta_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\lambda_n,\theta_n}(w),
\]

(3)

which corresponds to the following recursive relation for a cluster \( C_{n+1} \):

\[
\Phi_{n+1}(w) = \Phi_n(\phi_{\lambda_{n+1},\theta_{n+1}}(w)).
\]

(4)

In order to have bumps of fixed size on the boundary of the cluster, since the linear dimension at point \( w \) is proportional to \( |\Phi_n'(w)|^{-1} \), one obtains

\[
\lambda_{n+1} = \frac{\lambda_0}{|\Phi_n'(e^{i\theta_{n+1}})|^2}.
\]

(5)

To produce an isotropic radial DLA, the harmonic measure of the \( n \)th growth probability \( p(z,n) \) has to be conformally mapped onto a constant measure \( p(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \) on a unit circle, i.e. \( 0 \leq \theta_n \leq 2\pi \) (more details of the simulation algorithm which have also been considered in this paper are given in our previous work [21]).

In this paper we use a generalized form of the HL algorithm in which \( \theta_n \)s are restricted to be selected from a fixed interval \( 0 \leq \theta_n \leq \beta \) on a unit circle, with both uniform and non-uniform measures. This measure

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \theta_n \leq \beta \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

induces a complicated harmonic measure on the boundary of the patterns in the \( z \)-plane.

3. Scaling properties of the produced fractal patterns

The function \( \Phi_n(w) \) in equation (3) has the following Laurent series expansion:

\[
\Phi_n(w) = F_1^{(n)} w + F_0^{(n)} + F_{-1}^{(n)} w^{-1} + \cdots,
\]

(7)

where \( F_1^{(n)} \) is called the conformal radius and \( F_0^{(n)} \) is the center of charge of the cluster \( C_n \). It is possible to analytically show that [9] these coefficients contain descriptive information about the morphology of the clusters. In particular, for the coefficient of the linear term in equation (7), it can be shown that [9]

\[
F_1^{(n)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + \lambda_k)^a.
\]

(8)
Figure 1. Some typical clusters of size $n = 10^5$, generated by using the restricted HL algorithm with uniform measure and (a) $\beta = 36^\circ$, (b) $\beta = 324^\circ$, (c) $\beta = 144^\circ$ and (d) $\beta = 216^\circ$.

which scales with the cluster size $n$ as

$$F_1^{(a)} \sim n^{1/d_f} \sqrt{\lambda_0},$$

(9)

where $d_f$ denotes the fractal dimension of the cluster.

The scaling behavior of the next Laurent coefficient $F_0^{(n)}$ can also explain about the isotropicity of the clusters. For an isotropic DLA cluster, this coefficient scales according to the following relation:

$$|F_0^{(0)}| \sim n^{1/d_0},$$

(10)

with $2/d_0 = 0.7$ [9]. This also holds for the anisotropic growth phenomena but with a different exponent $d_0$, e.g. $d_0 \sim 1.71$ is obtained for ADLA [10]. Since our produced patterns are anisotropic, in order to have a quantitative measure and compare them with those of the other studied models, we check the scaling relation (10), and compute the exponent $d_0$ as a function of the opening angle $\beta$ (for convenience, we address $d_0$ as an anisotropy exponent, in this paper). We compute $F_0^{(0)}$ by using the recursion equation given in [9] for the Laurent coefficients.

4. Restricted HL patterns with uniform measure

In this section, we investigate the effect of the restriction made on the angular distribution of the measure in the mathematical plane, on the statistical properties of the patterns produced by using the algorithm described in section 2, with $p(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{\beta}$ and $0 \leq \theta_n \leq \beta$.

We grew 400 clusters of size $n = 10^4$, and 30 clusters of size $n = 10^5$ for different opening angles in the range $4.5^\circ \leq \beta \leq 360^\circ$. Having looked at the sample patterns shown in figure 1, the appearance of a wedge-like shape in all the patterns is evident. Each of the two interval limits for the distribution of $\theta_n$s, i.e. $\theta_n = 0$ and $\beta$, can represent itself as a boundary of the wedge (which due to the conformal maps are not necessarily straight lines) in the physical plane. Despite that the harmonic measure in the $w$-plane is uniform, the growth probability
looks much larger around the boundaries in the $z$-plane. For small angles of $\beta \lesssim 36^\circ$ there always appears only one finger with sidebranches on a boundary. For larger $\beta$ there also exists at least one finger which has selected a boundary to grow on. In the range angle where two main branches coexist, because of the attraction of the boundaries, the average angle between branches increases by increasing $\beta$.

The snapshots of an example of the growing cluster with $\beta = 216^\circ$ in figure 2 graphically show the procedure of the branch formation in the growing fractal pattern.

4.1. Scaling exponents

To have a quantitative understanding of the behavior of our clusters, let us now measure some of their statistical quantities. The first quantity we would like to measure is the fractal dimension which can be obtained using the scaling relation in equation (9). Two sets of results, one averaged over 400 clusters of size $10^4$ and the other over 30 clusters of size $10^5$ at each opening angle $\beta$, are reported in figure 3. The fractal dimension shows a significant dependence on the opening angle $\beta$. For narrow angles the dimension takes values very close to unity which is dominated by the boundary effects. By increasing $\beta$, it reaches a relative maximum at around $\beta \simeq 18^\circ$ which is almost the half-maximum angle interval in which there exists only one main branch. There also exists a local minimum of the dimension around $\beta \simeq 144^\circ$ which seems to be a typical angle up to which only two main branches coexist. For greater angles, the dimension increases until $\beta = 360^\circ$ where an isotropic radial DLA with $d_f = 1.71$ is expected. For DLA clusters in a wedge produced by the original definition [1], the fractal dimension is not affected by the wedge geometry of the opening angle $\alpha$ [20], and it depends weakly, if at all, on $\alpha$.

The other quantity which we measure for our clusters is the exponent describing the scaling behavior of the position of the center of charge $|F_0^{(n)}|$ with the cluster size $n$, defined in equation (10). We find such a scaling behavior only in the interval $9^\circ \leq \beta \leq 180^\circ$. It has also been observed that the corresponding exponent $d_0$ depends on $\beta$ in this range. The results for the two mentioned sets of averages are shown in figure 4.

4.2. Overall shape and the morphology of the clusters

In this subsection we discuss about the overall shape and the morphology of the clusters. As mentioned before, for sectors of angle $\beta \lesssim 36^\circ$ there exists only one main branch, and for greater angles up to $\beta \simeq 144^\circ$ there are two main coexistent branches, and for angles $\beta \gtrsim 144^\circ$
more than two main branches appear. To quantify this observation and obtain the average angle between two main branches of the patterns, we follow the same reasoning as in [20], for our clusters. Kessler et al [20] studied the building block of DLA clusters in a wedge by computing the angular two-point density correlation function in the constitutive sectors of a cluster.

Consider two sectors separated by the angle \( \phi \). The density–density correlation function is then read off from

\[
c(\phi) = [(\rho(\theta + \phi)\rho(\theta)) - \langle \rho \rangle^2]|_\theta ,
\]

(11)

**Figure 3.** The fractal dimension \( d_f \) of the patterns produced by using the restricted HL algorithm with uniform measure as a function of the opening angle \( \beta \) in the \( w \)-plane. The averages were taken over 400 clusters of size \( n = 10^4 \) (circles) and 30 clusters of size \( n = 10^5 \) (squares).

**Figure 4.** The exponent \( d_0 \) as a function of \( \beta \) in the scaling region, according to equation (10).
Figure 5. Schematic description of the procedure expressed in the text to compute the angular correlation function according to equation (11).

Table 1. The average angle between two main branches $\eta$, and the average opening angle of the wedge-like patterns in the $z$-plane $\alpha$ for a different opening angle $\beta$ in the $w$-plane.

| $\beta$ | $\eta$ | $\alpha$ |
|---------|--------|----------|
| 36$^\circ$ | There is only one main branch | 15$^\circ$ |
| 90$^\circ$ | 31$^\circ$–34$^\circ$ | 44$^\circ$ |
| 144$^\circ$ | 81$^\circ$–88$^\circ$ | 97$^\circ$ |
| 198$^\circ$ | More than two main branches exist | 164$^\circ$ |

where $\rho(\theta)$ is the density of particles in the cluster in a $\delta \theta = 1^\circ$ sector around $\theta$ (see figure 5), and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes for averaging over the sectors in the $z$-plane. The computed correlation functions for a different opening angle $\beta$ averaged over 30 realizations are shown in figure 6. As can be seen in the figure, for clusters consisting of one main branch there is an anticorrelation between the origin and other angles. Appearance of the second peak in the function with positive correlation is indicative of the second coexistent main branch in the cluster.

The location of the second peak shows approximately the angle between two main coexisting branches $\eta$ in the physical plane which is reported in the second column of table 1.

For a given opening angle $\beta$ in the $w$-plane, the produced patterns have a wedge-like shape in the $z$-plane. To have an estimate relation between two angles $\beta$ and the average opening angle of the wedge-like patterns in the $z$-plane $\alpha$, we report some of these corresponding
angles in the third column of table 1. The averages are taken over 30 clusters of size \( n = 10^5 \) for each reported \( \alpha \).

5. Restricted HL patterns with sinusoidal measure

As discussed in section 4, the boundary effects are evident in the visual appearance and statistical properties of the patterns. So this motivated us to force the measure to be distributed away from the boundaries, i.e. \( \theta_n = 0 \) and \( \beta \) in the \( w \)-plane. By inspiration from [10], we produced patterns according to the algorithm described in section 2, with \( p(\theta_n) \sim \sin(\pi \theta_n / \beta) \) for \( 0 \leq \theta_n \leq \beta \). We generated 400 clusters of size \( n = 10^4 \), and some of size \( n = 10^5 \) for different opening angles in the range \( 36^\circ \leq \beta \leq 360^\circ \). Some of the clusters are shown in figure 7. There exists a significant difference between the overall shape of the patterns in figures 1 and 7. Due to the non-uniform measure, the visual appearance of the patterns in figure 7 is not affected by the boundary.

This difference can also be observed in the fractal dimension of the patterns. As plotted in figure 8, except for small opening angles, the fractal dimension depends weakly on \( \beta \) and takes values close to \( d_f \approx 1.7 \).

Due to the sinusoidal distribution of the measure, even for \( \beta = 360^\circ \) the clusters are anisotropic. The growth process is dominated by advection toward a certain direction with a spatial extent which depends on the opening angle \( \beta \). The morphology of these patterns is very similar to that of ADLA clusters.

ADLA is one of the simplest examples of transport-limited aggregation (TLA) in which the released random walkers are being drifted in the direction of a background potential flow. The difference between simulations of TLA and DLA by the HL algorithm is in the sequences of the angles \( \theta_n \). In TLA the angles are chosen from a time-dependent (non-harmonic) measure \( p(\theta, t_n) \).

We have also examined the scaling relation (equation (10)) for our clusters with sinusoidal measure and obtained the dependence of the exponent \( d_0 \) on the opening angle \( \beta \). As shown
Figure 7. Some typical clusters of size $n = 10^5$, generated by using the restricted HL algorithm with sinusoidal measure, i.e. $\sin(\pi \theta_n / \beta)$ distribution and (a) $\beta = 90^\circ$, (b) $\beta = 180^\circ$, (c) $\beta = 270^\circ$ and (d) $\beta = 360^\circ$.

Figure 8. The fractal dimension $d_f$ and the scaling exponent $d_0$ as a function of $\beta$, computed for the patterns generated by using the restricted HL algorithm with sinusoidal measure.

In figure 8, $d_0$ is weakly dependent on $\beta$ with values a little less than $d_f$ which is roughly in agreement with the same scaling behavior of ADLA which is reported in [10] for a special case $\beta = 360^\circ$.

6. Conclusions

The fractal structure and statistical properties of the patterns generated by a generalized HL method restricted in a sector geometry were studied. It is found that the restriction with uniform measure leads to the production of fractal patterns whose fractal dimension and anisotropy
exponent depend significantly on the opening angle $\beta$ of the sector in the mathematical $w$-plane. The overall shape of these patterns is governed by a wedge-like DLA appearance which has been shown to be the characteristic feature of the uniform measure. The boundary effects lead to a nontrivial dependence of the average angle between two major coexistence branches on the angle $\beta$. The proliferation of the main branches was studied by computing the angular density–density correlation function giving a quantitative understanding of the morphology of the patterns as a function of $\beta$.

It is also found that the sinusoidal distribution of the measure on the sectors gives fractal patterns with almost the same fractal dimension for all values of $\beta$. The anisotropy exponent and the visual appearance of these patterns are shown to behave very similar to those of ADLA clusters.
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