Correlations of social isolation and anxiety and depression symptoms among patients with breast cancer of Heilongjiang province in China: The mediating role of social support
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Abstract

Objectives: To explore the correlations among social isolation and symptoms of anxiety and depression among patients with breast cancer in China and to further verify the mediating role of social support in social isolation and symptoms of depression or anxiety.

Design: A cross-sectional survey.

Settings: The cluster sampling method was conducted for 456 female inpatients diagnosed with breast cancer at the Tumor Hospital Affiliated of Harbin Medical University from April 2019–September 2019.

Methods: Pearson correlation analysis was used for identifying correlations among all the variables. Mediation effect analysis was used to examine the role of social support in social isolation and symptoms of depression or anxiety.

Results: The results showed a prevalence of 73.26% and 70.44% for anxiety and depression symptoms in patients with breast cancer, respectively. Anxiety was significantly negatively correlated with social support ($r = -0.334, p < 0.01$) and significantly positively correlated with social isolation ($r = 0.369, p < 0.01$). Similarly, depression was significantly negatively correlated with social support ($r = -0.289, p < 0.01$) and significantly positively correlated with social isolation ($r = 0.466, p < 0.01$). Social support played a mediating role in social isolation and symptoms of anxiety or depression among these patients.

Conclusions: Social isolation was positively correlated with symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer, respectively. Social support for patients...
with breast cancer has a mediating effect on the patients' social isolation and symptoms of anxiety and depression. Therefore, the support of family, friends, hospitals, and organizations plays a positive role in reducing social isolation as well as symptoms of depression and anxiety in these patients.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

According to the 2020 statistics, the incidence rate of breast cancer, among various cancers, was 11.7%, accounting for 6.9% of the mortality rate worldwide (Observatory TGC GLOBOCAN, 2020). Moreover, breast cancer is the most common cancer type among females globally, and it is also one of the malignant tumours that seriously affect women’s health (Tsaras et al., 2018). Different treatments and long-term exposure to breast cancer become a huge burden for both the patients and their caregivers (Kusi et al., 2020). It also affects the mental health of patients, inducing problems such as symptoms of depression and anxiety (Ecclestone et al., 2016; Kale & Carroll, 2016; Kugbey et al., 2020).

Symptoms of anxiety and depression are the most common psychological problems among patients with breast cancer (Beatty and Kissane, 2017; Kugbey et al., 2020). Previous studies have shown that patients with breast cancer experienced different levels of anxiety and depression symptoms (Balouchi et al., 2019; Ho et al., 2013; Ju et al., 2018; Lan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2011; So et al., 2013; Tsaras et al., 2018). Results from a previous study showed that 50.5% of patients with breast cancer had at least mild depression and 42.4% had at least mild anxiety (Ju et al., 2018). Ho et al.'s (2013) study on female patients with breast cancer also showed that more than half of the respondents had symptoms of anxiety or depression, and 15.6% had symptoms of two psychopathological conditions at the same time. Moreover, a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of depression among patients with breast cancer worldwide was 32.2% (Balouchi et al., 2019). Further, the highest prevalence of depression symptoms was noted in the Eastern Mediterranean region, with middle-income countries displaying a prevalence twice as high as that in developed countries (Balouchi et al., 2019).

Various factors can account for the prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms in patients with breast cancer, including demographic characteristics (age, marital status, education level and cancer stage), social support and social isolation (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Tsaras et al., 2018). Social support refers to the functions or regulations provided by a person's social network (Duan et al., 2019). As shown by previous research, social support from family and friends significantly reduced women’s anxiety and depression levels, whereas social support from their partner reduced anxiety levels (Akdag Topal and Terzioglu, 2019; Hu et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2016). Another study showed that social support for patients with breast cancer is negatively correlated with symptoms of anxiety and depression (Liu et al., 2011). Further, it has been indicated that intimate conversations can reduce the incidence rate of depression and anxiety symptoms among patients with breast cancer (Balouchi et al., 2019).

Social support is also considered to play a key role in the relationship between social networks and physical and mental health (Harasemiw et al., 2018; Thoits, 2011). Restricted social networking is considered social isolation, consistent with the viewpoint that social isolation is a state of having limited social contact or communication, limited participation in social activities or meeting with friends (Harasemiw et al., 2018; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015). Social isolation is also an identified risk factor for higher occurrence of symptoms of anxiety and depression among patients with breast cancer (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018). Compared to objective social isolation, perceived social isolation is more harmful to mental health (Cacioppo et al., 2011). Many mechanisms have been proposed to explain the relationship between social networks and physical and mental health (Harasemiw et al., 2018; Thoits, 2011; Tittman et al., 2016). A longitudinal mediation analysis determined that social disconnectedness predicted higher perceived isolation, which in turn predicted higher symptoms of depression and anxiety (Santini et al., 2020). A study also showed that lack of contact with close friends is significantly related to depression, anxiety and adverse mood disorders (Chou et al., 2011).

In brief, substantial research has been conducted to explore the relationships among social isolation, social support and anxiety or depression among patients with breast cancer, but few studies have investigated the role of social support in social isolation and anxiety or depression symptoms among patients with breast cancer in China. Moreover, the traditional concept of family in Chinese society has led patients with breast cancer to rely mainly on their family’s support and care during treatment (Jeong et al., 2016; Ying et al., 2011). Therefore, this study explored the correlations among social isolation and symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer, while also examining the mediating role of social support in social isolation and anxiety or depression. This can help hospitals as well as patients’ families to pay more attention to signs of social isolation, provide more social support and identify and treat any symptoms of mental illness. Based on this, we proposed the following hypotheses: (a) there is a significant correlation between social isolation and symptoms of anxiety and depression among patients with breast cancer; and (b) social support plays a mediating role in social isolation and symptoms of anxiety or depression among patients with breast cancer.
2 | METHODS

2.1 | Sample

A cross-sectional survey was conducted on 456 female patients diagnosed with breast cancer at the Tumor Hospital Affiliated of Harbin Medical University, from April–September 2019. This hospital was selected because it is the only tertiary hospital specializing in tumours in the Heilongjiang province, and therefore, most patients with breast cancer visit this hospital for treatment. Thus, it is an appropriate site to use the cluster sampling method to investigate patients with breast cancer. The inclusion criteria for the respondents were: (a) voluntary participation; (b) confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer; (c) no other chronic diseases and (d) no other traumatic events experienced during the past year.

2.2 | Data collection

The survey was conducted face-to-face using an anonymous, self-report questionnaire. Before the formal investigation, a pre-investigation was conducted, and 50 questionnaires were issued and collected; the data from these were not included in the main study. In the formal survey, an average of 38 patients were investigated in each breast surgery wards (the management, layout and environment of the wards are basically the same) and a total of 456 questionnaires were distributed and recovered. The questionnaires with missing data or invalid responses were eliminated and finally analysed 389 valid questionnaires were retained, with an effective recovery rate of 85.3%.

2.3 | Measurement instruments

Patients’ demographic data included age, weight, marital status, monthly financial support for children, monthly financial support for the elderly, the number of people who took care of the patient during hospitalization, and whether they were currently taking medications, among others.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale was developed by Zigmond and Snaith in 1983, and it is mainly used for screening anxiety and depression symptoms in hospitalized patients during the past month (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). The scale includes two subscales of anxiety and depression, each consisting of 7 items which are rated on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (never)–3 (almost all the time). The total possible scores of the anxiety and depression subscales are between 0–21. Higher scores indicate the occurrence of more severe symptoms. The scores of the two subscales were classified as follows: 0–7 for no anxiety or depression; 8–10 for mild anxiety or depression; 11–21 for obvious anxiety or depression. Previous studies have shown that the scale has high reliability and validity for the Chinese population (Lin et al., 2017; Xi et al., 2019). This study found that the Cronbach’s α coefficients for the anxiety or depression subscale were .762 and .787, respectively.

Social support was evaluated using the Chinese version of the Social Support Rating Scale (Feng et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011), which is a brief measure of the respondents’ social support after a diagnosis of breast cancer. The scale includes 10 items and three dimensions (objective support, subjective support and utilization of support). Previous studies have shown that Social Support Rating Scale is widely used in China (Li et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2017). The present study revealed that Cronbach’s α for Social Support Rating Scale was .876, and for the three subscales was .848 (subjective support), .895 (objective support) and .883 (availability of support).

Social isolation implies that an individual is isolated from interpersonal interaction and relationships (Harasemew et al., 2018; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015). Social isolation was assessed using a self-made scale with reference from the simplified version of Lubben's Social Network (Lubben & Girona, 2003). The social isolation scale consisted of 6 items, including 3 reverse-scored items. Each item was rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost always)–5 (never). The higher the score, the greater the social isolation. Cronbach’s alpha for this scale was .875, and its Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin coefficient was .913.

2.4 | Ethical consideration

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Tumor Hospital Affiliated of Harbin Medical University. Eligible respondents were asked to sign an informed consent after they were informed of the purpose, significance and risks of the study. Moreover, they were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any point in time without penalty. All respondents participated voluntarily and anonymously and provided written informed consent.

2.5 | Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS 23.0 statistical software. A descriptive analysis was conducted for demographic characteristics, and the scores of each scale were described using means and standard deviations. The normality test was performed for the scores of each scale. The t test and one-way analysis of variance were used to test whether the demographic characteristics were statistically significant in the analysis of anxiety, depression, social isolation and social support. Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the links among anxiety and depression, social isolation and social support. The mediation analyses were based on the proposed model number 4 of Hayes 2013 and bootstrapping (1,000 bootstrap samples) using 95% confidence intervals (CI) and 5% margins of error. Statistically significant variables in the univariate analysis were included as covariates: social isolation as the independent variables (X), social support as the mediator variables (M), and anxiety and depression as the dependent variables (Y). The SPSS PROCESS can obtain direct effects, indirect effects and total effects. The effect is significant when the 95% CI does not include 0. Based on the bootstrap
mediation effect test, there were two steps. First, we tested whether \( a \cdot b \) was significant. If \( a \cdot b \) was significant, we needed to test the positivity or negativity of \( a \cdot b \cdot c \). If \( a \cdot b \cdot c \) was positive, it indicated a complementary mediation. All variables were tested for multicollinearity. A \( p \)-value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Participants’ characteristics

Among the 389 patients with breast cancer included in this study, 43.7% were 35–50 years old; 40.6% of them weighed between 50–60 kg, and 34.4% weighed between 60–70 kg. A large majority of the participants were married (86.9%). Further, 65.0% of the patients were cared for by one person; 70.2% of them were not currently taking drugs; 9.5% had lesions with metastatic disease, and breast cancer recurred in 3.3% of the patients. The demographic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Table 1.

3.2 | Prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms

The prevalence of the symptoms of depression and anxiety in patients with breast cancer was 70.44% and 73.26%, respectively. The number of patients with suspected depression and anxiety was 75 and 73, respectively, as shown in Table 2.

3.3 | Correlations between measurement variables

Table 3 shows the mean, standard deviation and correlation coefficient of each scale score. Anxiety was significantly negatively correlated with social support \( (r = -.334, p < .01) \) and significantly positively correlated with social isolation \( (r = .369, p < .01) \); depression was significantly negatively correlated with social support \( (r = -.289, p < .01) \) and significantly positively correlated with social isolation \( (r = .466, p < .01) \); and social support was significantly negatively correlated with social isolation \( (r = -.223, p < .01) \).

3.4 | Difference between respondents’ characteristics and scores of multiple variables

The correlations between the characteristics of patients with breast cancer and their scores on anxiety, depression, social support and social isolation scores are shown in Table 4. According to patient demographics, there were significant differences in depression scores for patients with different number of caregivers \( (t = -2.816, p = .005) \). Further, the scores were different based on whether or not patients were currently taking medications \( (t = 3.998, p < .001) \).

3.5 | Mediation regression models of the study variables

The results of the mediation effect analysis are shown in Table 5. The direct effect of social isolation on social support was \(-0.7297\), the direct effect of social support on anxiety was \(-0.0698\), the direct effect of social isolation on anxiety was \(-0.3366\), the indirect effect of social isolation on anxiety was \(0.0509\), and the total effect of social isolation on anxiety was \(0.3875\). The bootstrap 95% CI of the indirect effect was \((0.0199, 0.0915)\), and the confidence interval did not include 1, indicating that social support mediates the social isolation.
and anxiety of patients with breast cancer. Meanwhile, \(a \cdot b \cdot c'\) was positive, showing the mediating role of social support in explaining the relationship between the social isolation and anxiety in patients with breast cancer (Figure 1). Similarly, Table 5 also indicates that the direct effect of social isolation on depression was 0.4075, the indirect effect of social isolation on depression was 0.0353, and the total effect of social isolation on depression was 0.4428. The bootstrap 95% CI of the indirect effect was (0.0090, 0.0677), and the confidence interval did not include 1, indicating that social support mediates social isolation and depression in patients with breast cancer. Meanwhile, \(a \cdot b \cdot c\) was positive, showing the mediating role of social support in the relationship between social isolation and depression in patients with breast cancer.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to explore the correlations among social isolation, anxiety and depression symptoms in patients with breast cancer and to verify the role of social support in social isolation and symptoms of anxiety or depression.

The results showed that the prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms in patients with breast cancer was 70.44% and 73.26%, respectively. This finding was higher than previous studies which used the same scale to measure the prevalence of symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer (Hassan et al., 2015; Ng et al., 2017; Park et al., 2018). Moreover, the prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms was also higher than that found in other Asian (i.e. Malaysia, Korean and Jordan) women with breast cancer (Hassan et al., 2015; Jang et al., 2013). The results were also significantly higher than the previously reported results in patients with breast cancer, using different scales (Maass et al., 2015; Tsaras et al., 2018). Our results were similar to the study conducted by Fanakidou et al. (2018); however, the prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms was found to be higher. The possible reasons for the different results are listed as follows: (a) different designs and methods used in various studies; (b) cultural differences in the study population and differences in self-evaluation of anxiety and depression among different groups; and (c) different scales with different critical value ranges (Abou Kassm et al., 2018; Tsaras et al., 2018).

It is worth noting that social isolation is positively correlated with anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer, consistent with the results of previous studies (Matthews et al., 2016; Santini et al., 2020). One possible reason for this phenomenon is that patients with breast cancer have few social ties or participate less in social activities and lack support (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018); these conditions aggravate the response to the disease, reduce their coping abilities and increase the risk of anxiety and depression (Santini et al., 2020).

The strengths of this study included finding that social support played a mediating role between social isolation and symptoms of anxiety or depression in patients with breast cancer. It implies that proper social support can help reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer, which are caused due to social isolation. Generally, patients with breast cancer receive social support from families, friends, hospitals and work units (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Wondimagegnehu et al., 2019).

Family is the most important source of support among patients with breast cancer in China (Li et al., 2018). Family members play the role of long-term companions for patients, and they are the most important part of social support for patients (Li et al., 2018). The alienation of family relationships has a great impact on patients’ psychology (Li et al., 2018; Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Wondimagegnehu et al., 2019). The closeness of family relationships directly affects the quality of support for patients with breast cancer. If the family relationships are loosely tied, family support to the patients is limited, and patients’ social activities are reduced; hence, the risk of depression and anxiety symptoms caused by social isolation may be greater (Li et al., 2018; Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Wondimagegnehu et al., 2019). A study also indicated that intimacy was negatively correlated with depression symptoms (Lee et al., 2013). Friends are chosen based on mutual affinity, often having similar life experiences, and provide different types of support and social interaction as compared to family members. Results of a study by Taylor et al. (2018)

| Variables               | M ± SD  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   |
|-------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Anxiety                 | 7.66 ± 3.60 | 1   |     |     |     |
| Depression              | 7.01 ± 4.00 | 0.711** | 1   |     |     |
| Social support          | 39.77 ± 7.54 | −0.334** | −0.289** | 1   |     |
| Social isolation        | 12.45 ± 3.91 | 0.369** | 0.466** | −0.223** | 1   |

**p < 0.01
| Variables | Anxiety | Depression | Social support | Social isolation |
|-----------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
|           | $M \pm SD$ | $M \pm SD$ | $M \pm SD$ | $M \pm SD$ |
| Age       |         |            |               |                 |
| $\leq 35$ | 7.75 ± 3.34 | 7.25 ± 3.95 | 40.25 ± 7.27 | 12.48 ± 3.89 |
| 35–50     | 7.80 ± 3.58 | 6.92 ± 4.04 | 40.71 ± 6.82 | 12.41 ± 3.84 |
| 50–65     | 7.46 ± 3.79 | 6.95 ± 4.05 | 38.93 ± 8.08 | 12.64 ± 4.03 |
| $>65$     | 7.73 ± 3.01 | 7.68 ± 3.64 | 37.32 ± 8.73 | 11.32 ± 3.75 |
| $F/t$     | 0.253 | 0.297 | 2.372 | 0.737 |
| $p$       | .859 | .828 | .070 | .530 |
| Weight (kg) |         |            |               |                 |
| $\leq 50$ | 7.83 ± 2.97 | 7.19 ± 3.77 | 39.92 ± 7.67 | 12.33 ± 4.07 |
| 50–60     | 7.61 ± 3.40 | 6.69 ± 3.98 | 39.18 ± 7.70 | 12.59 ± 3.82 |
| 60–70     | 7.72 ± 4.01 | 7.11 ± 4.14 | 40.88 ± 7.66 | 12.28 ± 4.18 |
| $>70$     | 7.62 ± 4.21 | 6.55 ± 4.27 | 38.78 ± 6.54 | 11.47 ± 3.28 |
| $F/t$     | 2.755 | 0.459 | 1.657 | 1.215 |
| $p$       | .042 | .711 | .176 | .304 |
| Marital status |         |            |               |                 |
| Unmarried | 7.36 ± 4.01 | 6.36 ± 4.34 | 39.55 ± 9.68 | 12.45 ± 4.50 |
| Married   | 7.71 ± 3.63 | 7.09 ± 3.99 | 40.11 ± 7.42 | 12.45 ± 3.78 |
| Divorce/Separation/Widowed | 6.89 ± 3.03 | 6.11 ± 3.82 | 37.03 ± 7.30 | 12.33 ± 5.03 |
| $F/t$     | 0.965 | 1.215 | 3.041 | 0.017 |
| $p$       | .382 | .298 | .049 | .983 |
| Monthly financial support for children (CNY) |         |            |               |                 |
| $\leq 2,000$ | 7.52 ± 3.58 | 7.09 ± 3.96 | 39.85 ± 7.62 | 12.48 ± 3.81 |
| 2,000–4,000 | 7.95 ± 3.01 | 7.07 ± 3.95 | 40.41 ± 6.51 | 12.45 ± 3.80 |
| $>4,000$  | 8.33 ± 5.87 | 6.58 ± 5.28 | 39.42 ± 6.72 | 13.83 ± 5.91 |
| $F/t$     | 0.534 | 0.094 | 0.134 | 0.707 |
| $p$       | .587 | .910 | .875 | .494 |
| Monthly financial support for the elderly (CNY) |         |            |               |                 |
| $\leq 2,000$ | 7.75 ± 3.57 | 7.02 ± 3.98 | 39.64 ± 7.47 | 12.40 ± 3.79 |
| 2,000–4,000 | 8.15 ± 4.52 | 7.20 ± 4.63 | 39.05 ± 7.41 | 13.30 ± 4.86 |
| $>4,000$  | 12.00 ± 2.83 | 7.00 ± 5.66 | 41.50 ± 7.78 | 14.50 ± 3.54 |
| $F/t$     | 1.473 | 0.019 | 0.123 | 0.802 |
| $p$       | .231 | .981 | .884 | .449 |
| Taken care of by a few people |         |            |               |                 |
| 1         | 7.51 ± 3.73 | 6.62 ± 4.10 | 38.75 ± 8.07 | 12.21 ± 4.02 |
| $\geq 2$  | 8.06 ± 3.53 | 7.82 ± 3.83 | 42.44 ± 5.15 | 12.75 ± 3.67 |
| $F/t$     | −1.413 | −2.816 | −5.486 | −1.302 |
| $p$       | .158 | .005 | <.001 | .194 |
| Are you taking medications |         |            |               |                 |
| No        | 7.86 ± 3.47 | 7.53 ± 3.86 | 39.93 ± 7.62 | 12.85 ± 3.67 |
| Yes       | 7.17 ± 3.85 | 5.79 ± 4.08 | 39.39 ± 7.38 | 11.49 ± 4.30 |
| $F/t$     | 1.736 | 3.998 | 0.645 | 3.172 |
| $p$       | .083 | <.001 | .519 | .002 |

(Continues)
confirmed the importance of friendship and proved that it is harmful to be separated from friends subjectively. Therefore, the support of friends contributes to reducing the occurrence of depression and anxiety symptoms (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Wondimagegnehu et al., 2019). During treatment, patients may be more dependent on the medical staff for social interaction. The attitude of medical staff in providing services and the frequency of communication with patients can reduce the symptoms of depression and anxiety caused by social isolation (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018). In addition, patients can experience the support of the organization through the support of leaders and colleagues, which can help alleviate their social isolation, and thereby reducing the occurrence of depression and anxiety (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018; Wondimagegnehu et al., 2019). In brief, patients with a high level of social isolation do not have a suitable outlet for pressure release (Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018). Strong social relationships can distract the patients, pull them out of social isolation and painful emotions, directly enhance their sense of self-worth and play an important role in alleviating anxiety and depression (Forsythe et al., 2014; Puigpinós-Riera et al., 2018).

Some limitations of this study also need attention. First, this was a cross-sectional study, which cannot explain the causal relationship between the variables. A longitudinal study may be able to solve this problem. Second, the depression and anxiety scale was used to investigate the situation of patients in the preceding month; therefore, there may be a recall bias. Finally, the sample size of this study was small and limited to one province; thus, the results of this study need to be further tested using a national sample.

4.1 Implications for clinical practice

- Anxiety and depression symptoms are common among patients with breast cancer.

---

**TABLE 4 (Continued)**

| Variables                  | Anxiety | Depression | Social support | Social isolation |
|----------------------------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                            | M ± SD  | M ± SD     | M ± SD         | M ± SD          |
| Metastasis of breast cancer|         |            |                |                 |
| Yes                        | 8.03 ± 2.87 | 7.11 ± 3.54 | 38.95 ± 7.92  | 13.24 ± 3.24    |
| No                         | 7.62 ± 3.67 | 7.00 ± 4.05 | 39.85 ± 7.50  | 12.36 ± 3.97    |
| F/t                        | 0.803    | 0.159      | -0.691         | 1.303           |
| p                          | .426     | .874       | .490           | .193            |
| Recurrence of breast cancer|         |            |                |                 |
| Yes                        | 7.00 ± 3.14 | 7.08 ± 4.92 | 40.23 ± 6.42  | 12.00 ± 3.19    |
| No                         | 7.68 ± 3.61 | 7.01 ± 3.97 | 39.75 ± 7.58  | 12.46 ± 3.94    |
| F/t                        | -0.670   | 0.062      | 0.225          | -0.416          |
| p                          | .503     | .951       | .822           | .678            |

*The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale was assessed the symptoms of depression and anxiety among patients with breast cancer; social support and social isolation of patients with breast cancer were evaluated using the Chinese version of the Social Support Rating Scale and Social Isolation Scale, respectively.

**TABLE 5 Analysis results of mediation effect**

| Models                  | a        | b        | c'       | a*b      | 95% CI of a*b | c    | SE   | R²   |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 1.SI→SS→A              | -0.7297  | -0.0698  | 0.3366   | 0.0509   | (0.0199, 0.0915) | 0.3875 | 0.0186 | .1679 |
| 2.SI→SS→D              | -0.6194  | -0.0570  | 0.4075   | 0.0353   | (0.0090, 0.0677) | 0.4428 | 0.0151 | .2325 |

Note: A, Anxiety; a*b, indirect effect; c’, direct effect; c, total effect; D, Depression; R², variance accounted for; SE, Bootstrap regression standard error; SI, Social Isolation; SS, Social Support.

*p < 0.05.; **p < 0.01.

**FIGURE 1** Model 1 of social isolation, social support and anxiety in patients with breast cancer. N = 389; controlled by several people and currently taking medications; A, anxiety; a, direct effect of SI on SS; b, direct effect of SS on A; c, total effect of SI on A; c’, direct effect of SI on A; SI, social isolation; SS, social support.
Hospitals and nursing professionals should pay attention to patients’ mental health.

- Family members of patients also need to pay attention to the mental health of patients and give more encouragement and support to reduce their social isolation.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the social isolation was positively correlated with symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with breast cancer, respectively. The results also indicated that social support for patients with breast cancer has a mediating effect on the patients’ social isolation and symptoms of anxiety and depression. The hospitals and patients’ family and friends should pay more attention to the patients so that they experience and utilise social support to reduce the occurrence of social isolation and overcome feelings of anxiety and depression associated with the illness.
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