Analysis and Design of PMBLDC Motor for Three Wheeler Electric Vehicle Application
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Abstract. This paper deals with analysis and design of permanent magnet brushless dc machine (PMBLDCM), primarily aimed for three wheeler applications. The motor sizing accounts for the forces acting on the motor and the design variables such as number of stator and rotor slots, stator and rotor dimensioning, air-gap approximation, slot sizing, flux per pole and permanent magnet sizing has been explained using simplified equations. The designed motor rated at 1.5 kW, 3000 rpm, 120 V radial flux surface mounted permanent magnet rotor, is then assessed using analytical tools for design such as ANSYS’s RMXprt to verify the analytically obtained results. These results are then verified using the computer aided analysis tool, finite element analysis, using ANSYS Maxwell, to obtain the electromagnetic characteristics of the motor for further modification of design.

1 Introduction

OF late, due to issues like increasing global oil demand and automobile emissions due to various factors [1], there has never been a higher demand for research and development of environmentally safer technologies to prevent this global resource depletion. One of the fastest technologies to develop among these has been in the field of electric vehicles (EVs). It has shown prominent advancements in the past 10-15 years. EVs can prove to be a very viable alternative to petroleum powered automobiles due to their features like zero tailpipe emissions, higher power efficiencies and lower costs compared to their gasoline counterparts [2].

Past developments in EV technologies, can be generally classified into these three subsystems: Battery technology/ energy storage, electric motors and their drives. As a key element of the EV system, electric motors have to offer high efficiency, wide-speed range, high power density and maintenance-free operation [3].

Fig. 1 shows the different types of motors being developed / used for EV applications.

Conventional motors like Induction machines are still widely accepted due to their low costs, high reliability and maintenance-free operation. However, conventional control methods cannot provide the required performance in EVs. With newer drive configurations rapidly developing due to advent of power electronics and control methods [4-12], conventional motors have also been able to provide desired performance, but lack efficiency in the lower speed ranges due to higher losses and have a limited constant power range. Permanent magnet (PM) motors, namely PM-synchronous motor and the Brushless-DC (BLDC) motors’ use is becoming more prevalent in the EV market [13], due to their high power density, high efficiency, linear torque-speed nature, efficient thermal operation and availability of power electronics for efficient control, which make their use appropriate for traction applications. PM motors, as their name suggests, employ permanent magnets to generate the required operating torque. Despite recent increases in the price of permanent magnets, they have proved to still be profitable in operation costs. Many
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different types of design topologies for PM motors have been developed for various applications [14-18] to improve their efficiencies, optimize PM sizes and reduce unwanted cogging torque.

The paper aims to design a permanent magnet brushless dc motor for three-wheeler application, which has proved to be one of the more staple modes of transport in East-Asian countries, like India, Thailand, China, Vietnam and others. The design criteria and its principles are discussed including sizing and analytical design methods. Computer aided design and analysis is done using 2D FEM (ANSYS Maxwell) for electromagnetic modelling.

2 Design Methodology

Fig. 2 shows the overview of the design methodology for calculation of average power required for sizing of the electric motor for the EV. Iterative loops are not mentioned here, but have been implemented in the procedure, where ever required.

![Fig. 2. Methodology followed to calculate the average power needed for the EV](image)

Initial vehicle parameters include the mass of the vehicle under study. The parameters added are, mass of the vehicle ($M_v$), the coefficients of drag and rolling resistance ($C_d$, $\mu_r$) etc. The vehicle taken for reference is a popular model of three-wheeler vehicle, the ‘Bajaj RE-2S’, shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Bajaj RE-2S three-wheeler as reference model](image)

The parameters for the reference vehicle are presented in Table 1, showing the various metrics used for the force model [2].

| Sl.no | Component/Parameter | Values               |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | Vehicle dimensions  | 1300mm x 2625 mm x 1710 mm |
| 2     | Tires (Front-Rear, R) | 4.00-8, 4PR         |
| 3     | Drag coefficient ($C_d$) | 0.45               |
| 4     | Rolling resistance ($\mu_r$) | 0.01               |

These parameters are then input into a force model to calculate the net tractive effort needed to be generated to accelerate, and run the vehicle at required speeds.

The method to calculate the net tractive force on the vehicle is as follows:

a. The net tractive effort on any vehicle comprises of four main forces: Aerodynamic drag force ($F_w$), rolling resistance force ($F_r$), acceleration force ($F_a$), and gradient force ($F_g$).

b. Aerodynamic force ($F_w$) is force is produced due to the friction of the vehicle moving through air. It is a function of the body shape and area of the vehicle.

$$F_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho A C_d (V + V_o)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

c. Rolling resistance ($F_r$) is mainly caused due to the hysteresis in the tire material. The asymmetric distribution of the ground reaction forces on the tires when the vehicle is moving, causes rolling resistance. Depends on the weight of the vehicle.

$$F_r = \mu_r M_v g$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

d. Acceleration force ($F_a$) is the force required to take the vehicle from one speed to another.

$$F_a = M_v a$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

e. Gradient force ($F_g$) is the force required to drive the vehicle up a slope. It is caused by the weight component of the vehicle along the downward direction. This force, either adds or opposes the forward motion of the vehicle based on descent or ascent.

$$F_g = M_v g \sin \alpha$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

The net tractive force ($F_{TE}$) is the sum of all these forces,

$$F_{TE} = F_w + F_r + F_a + F_g$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

All the above mentioned forces can be seen in the vehicle dynamic force model in Fig.4.
Now that we have established all the performance variables, it is now necessary to evaluate the power needed for the vehicle under evaluation. The net force is calculated for a varying driving cycle input to simulate realistic driving behavior of the vehicle under different environments like urban or metropolitan cities. The driving cycle chosen for this study is the Modified Indian Driving Cycle (MIDC), developed by ARAI. This drive is to simulate realistic driving models for Indian roads. Fig. 5 shows the MIDC, as a function of varying velocity versus time.

![Fig. 5: Modified Indian Driving Cycle](image)

Every point on the graph in Fig. 4 has an initial velocity and a final velocity. For each of these points, the net tractive force is multiplied with the velocity difference to get the required power at that point.

The average power can be calculated and estimated as:

\[ P = F_{TE} \times V \text{ watts} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

After calculating the power for each point on the drive cycle, its average value is the required power rating of the motor. The calculated average power rating of the motor, for the vehicle is about 1531 W or about 1.5 kW.

### 3 Motor Design

The structure of the PMBLDC motor under design is shown in Fig. 6. It shows all the primary dimensions required for sizing and designing the motor. [19-21]

The PMBLDC motor type selected for design is a surface-permanent magnet type, meaning the permanent magnets are attached to the outer surface of the rotor.
3.2 Stator Slot/Pole Selection

The number of slots on the stator is selected to be 24 \( (N_s) \) and the number of rotor poles is selected to be 4 \( (N_p) \). Proper slot/pole selection is very important to reduce effects like crawling and/or cogging.

3.3 Ratings of the motor

The motor to be designed has a power rating of 1.5kW, with an input voltage of 120V DC. The required speed range is 3000 to 4000 rpm.

Motor power, \( P = 2EI\eta \cos \phi \) \( \text{(7)} \)

Now, armature current, \( I_a = \frac{P}{2EI\eta \cos \phi} \) \( \text{(8)} \)

3.4 Back EMF Constant \( (K_E) \)

For a rated speed of 3000 rpm, and an input voltage of 120 V, the back EMF constant, \( K_E \) will be equal to 0.3437 V-s/rad.

3.5 Stator Outer and Inner Diameters \( (D_{in} & D_{out}) \)

The stator outer diameter is chosen to be 120 mm \( (D_{out}) \) and the stator inner diameter is calculated to be 69 mm.

3.6 Stack length of the motor \( (L_{stack}) \)

The following assumptions have been considered to calculate the stack length of the motor.

a. The airgap magnetic flux density is expected to be about 0.9 T.

b. The stator line current density for a 4 pole motor should be approximately \( A_{m} = 30500 \text{ A/m} \).

c. The winding coefficient \( k_w \) is 0.96

d. The no-load EMF to phase voltage ratio \( \epsilon \) is 0.83.

The output coefficient \( (\sigma_p) \) now becomes,

\[ \sigma_p = \frac{P \epsilon}{D_{in}^3 L_{stack} N_i} = 0.5\pi^2 k_w A_{m} B_{mg} \cos \phi \] \( \text{(10)} \)

Solving, we get \( \sigma_p = 105334 \text{ VAs/m} \), the length of the stack, \( L_{stack} \) is equal to 50 mm.

3.7 Air gap \( (g) \) and rotor diameter \( (D_r) \)

The air gap is kept at an optimum 0.5 mm, and therefore the inner rotor diameter is equal to 68 mm.

3.8 Magnet thickness \( (h_m) \) and rotor yoke diameter \( (D_{ry}) \)

The thickness of the magnetic pole is chosen to be 2.5 mm, and therefore the rotor yoke diameter \( (D_{ry}) \) will be equal to 63 mm.

3.9 Surface area \( (A_{pole}) \) and total volume of PM \( (V_m) \)

With the above given dimensions, the area of each PM is 2474 mm\(^2\)/pole and the total volume of PMs is 24740 mm\(^3\).

3.10 Armature turns per phase \( (N_1) \)

The number of armature turns per phase is equal to

\[ N_i = \frac{A_{m} \rho T}{m_i I_a} \] \( \text{(11)} \)

Substituting all the values, the value of \( N_i \) is equal to 118 turns.

3.11 Computation of the flux per pole

The flux in the air gap due to magnets, \( \phi_m = B_m \times 4A_{pole} \) \( \text{(12)} \)

The flux per pole is equal to \( \phi_{magnet} = B_m \times A_{pole} \)

The flux per pole, \( \phi_{magnet} \) is equal to 8.1642e-4 webers for a \( B_m \) 3.3 kG.
3.12 Slot sizing

After establishing the no. of conductor turns/slot and the no. of parallel paths (a), we can now calculate the dimensions of the slot, the useful slot area, $A_{su}$, provided we adopt a slot fill factor, $K_{fill}$. Slot fill factor for round wire ranges from 0.35 to 0.65. The slots designed are rounded trapezoidal in shape.

The useful slot area, $A_{su}$ is given as,

$$A_{su} = \frac{\pi d_w^2 a n_i}{4 K_{fill}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The key dimensions for the stator slot geometry are shown in fig. 8.

![Stator slot dimensions](image)

**Fig. 8:** Stator slot dimensions

| Sl.no | Dimension | Value (in mm) |
|-------|-----------|---------------|
| 1     | $b_{ts}$  | 5.25          |
| 2     | $b_{os}$  | 2             |
| 3     | $h_{os}$  | 1             |
| 4     | $h_{w}$   | 2             |
| 5     | $b_{sl}$  | 4.57          |
| 6     | $b_{12}$  | 4.67          |
| 7     | $h_{s}$   | 11.77         |
| 8     | $b_{cs}$  | 10.5          |

4 Analytical Results

After obtaining the required dimensions, analytical results of values such as torque, power, phase current, resistance and back EMF are estimated to be as follows.

| Sl.no | Dimension           | Estimated result   |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1     | Shaft torque        | 4.77 Nm            |
| 2     | Output power        | 1500 W             |
| 3     | No load input current | 6.57 A           |
| 4     | Back EMF            | 120 V              |
| 5     | Per phase resistance | 0.25 ohms         |
| 6     | No load speed       | 3400 rpm           |
| 7     | No load air-gap flux density | 0.74998 T |
| 8     | Cogging torque      | 0.657 Nm           |
| 9     | Full load input current | 13.49 A          |
| 10    | Full load efficiency | 92.59%            |
| 11    | Full load torque    | 5.23 Nm            |

Table 3 shows that the performance of the motor is well within the required ratings. But since analytical methods of calculations, always offer various types of estimation to be considered beforehand to obtain the necessary results.

To get more accurate results, 2D finite element analysis is done on the motor. This offers a high precision method to calculate various parameters of the motor using the finite element method (FE).

5 Final Element Analysis (2D)

Finite element method is employed into this design using ANSYS’s Maxwell package, which offers both 2D and 3D FE solution methods for any electromagnetic problem type. The motor can be designed in Maxwell’s 2D environment or can be imported from ANSYS’s RMxprt package.

After designing the motor in the 2D environment, the software meshes the model, to simplify the problem and obtain solutions. The fineness of the mesh is directly proportional to the number of nodes formed. More the nodes, therefore more accurate the output result.

![Optimized mesh for 2D analysis](image)

**Fig. 9:** Optimized mesh for 2D analysis

Fig. 9. shows the optimized mesh for a quarter-fraction model of the motor in ANSYS’S’s Maxwell environment. After solving the 2D FE problem, the following results are obtained for the motor outputs.

5.1 Torque output

![Output torque](image)

**Fig. 10:** Output torque

Fig. 10. shows the characteristic torque output for a BLDC motor, the rated torque is averaged at 5 Nm. There are also ripples in the characteristic curve due to high cogging torque in a BLDC motor. These can be reduced using methods to reduce cogging torque.
5.2 Output Speed

Fig. 11. Output speed

Fig. 11 shows the output speed for the motor, rated at the required speed of 3000 rpm.

5.3 Winding Currents

Fig. 12 Phase winding currents

Fig. 12 shows the phase winding currents in the motor. In addition to this, the FE method also can display accurate distributions of several fields, namely flux density, current density and their vectors. These can be utilized to understand the distribution of flux, saturation, temperature etc. and improve the model by optimizing to reduce the undesired effects.

Fig. 13, 14, and 15 show the plot distribution for flux density, current density, flux lines and current distribution plots. Fig 13. shows the distribution of flux along the cross section of the motor. The maximum flux density along the cross section does not exceed 2T, which is still lesser than the saturation limits of the ferronagnetic material used. Current density plot (A/m) in Fig.14, and flux distribution in Fig. 15 are both within practical permissible limits.

6 Conclusions

A PMBLDC motor has been proposed and analyzed for the application of three wheeler rickshaw. Complete design of motor is carried along with analytical calculations and finite element analysis is used to analyze the performance of the motor. The results obtained show a good design with reduced losses, higher efficiency, and current density within limits. Further work can be done in optimization of the same motor and be realized in hardware to test actual performance also.
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