Identification of latent tuberculosis infection in rheumatic patients under consideration for treatment with anti-TNF-α agents
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Abstract

Introduction: Immunosuppressive therapy with anti-tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) agents in rheumatic patients modulates the immune system and may increase the risk of reactivating infections that are normally maintained in a latent state, such as tuberculosis. The purpose of this study was to analyse the value of QuantiFERON TB Gold In-Tube (QFT IT) and tuberculin skin test (TST) in BCG vaccinated patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis who were qualified to receive TNF-α blockers.

Material and methods: Ninety patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis were included in the study. The control group consisted of 20 healthy participants. Chest X-ray, TST and QFT IT were carried out in all persons.

Results: In rheumatic patients positive results of QFT IT and TST tests were identified in 15 cases (16.7%) whereas negative results of both tests were detected in 56 cases (62.2%). In the group of examined patients, 11 (12.2%) had QFT IT+/TST– status one active tuberculosis case was detected. In the control group QFT IT positive results were found in 4 cases (20%) and TST positive in 11 cases (55%). Treatment with TNF-α blockers was introduced in 26 rheumatology patients with the following test status: 3 with QFT IT+/TST+; 20 with QFT IT-/TST–; 3 with QFT IT-/TST+.

Conclusions: In the BCG vaccinated population the QFT IT assay may potentially improve the identification and selection for therapy for latent TB infection before treatment with anti-TNF agents.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and ankylosing spondylitis (AS) are chronic, progressive, and painful inflammatory rheumatic diseases that affect approximately 1% of the world population. The joint damage in these diseases is mediated through an immunological mechanism with tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) as a particularly important cytokine [1, 2].

The introduction of TNF-α blocking agents has been a major breakthrough in the therapy of these chronic inflammatory diseases [3].

The immunosuppressive therapy with anti-TNF-α agents modulates the immune system, so it may increase the risk of reactivating infections that are normally maintained in a latent state such as tuberculosis [4, 5]. It is
universally accepted that patients who are being considered for treatment with anti-TNF-α agents should be evaluated for the presence of active tuberculosis and latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) before the introduction of biological therapy [3, 4]. Until recently, screening of these patients included their history of exposure to Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), a tuberculin skin test (TST) and a chest X-ray [3].

Although diagnosis of LTBI still widely relies on the TST, the accuracy of the test in rheumatic patients is limited because of the presence of an underlying disease and immunosuppressive therapy [6-8]. Moreover, in countries like Poland whose population is universally immunized with the BCG vaccine, the TST may give false-positive results.

More recently, a new diagnostic method that can overcome the limitations of TST has been developed: QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT IT). The assay quantifies interferon-γ (IFN-γ) released by T cells after the stimulation by MTB antigens. The IFN-γ test is highly specific and unaffected by prior BCG vaccination or immune reactivity to most atypical mycobacteria. It is also more sensitive than the TST in detecting people with latent tuberculosis infection.

The aim of the present work was to analyse the utility of MTB-specific QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube and TST in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis who were qualified to receive TNF-α blocking agents.

Material and methods

Patients

Ninety patients from the Department of Rheumatology and Connective Tissue Diseases in Lublin, Poland, who suffered from rheumatoid arthritis (RA, n = 81) and ankylosing spondylitis (AS, n = 9) were included in the study. All patients enrolled in the study met the criteria of the American College of Rheumatology for classification of acute arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis [9, 10]. The study was conducted between December 2007 and December 2008. For each patient we gathered demographic information together with clinical characteristics of RA or AS. The mean age of the patients was 53 years (ranging from 19 to 82 years). The randomly assigned control group consisted of 20 healthy participants (mean age: 38.9 years). Chest X-ray, TST and QFT IT were carried out in patient and control groups. The QFT IT was performed one week after TST results were obtained. Table I summarizes the main features of patient and control groups.

| Table I Patients' characteristics |
|----------------------------------|
| **Features** | **Study group** (N = 90) | **Control group** (N = 20) |
| Gender, n (%): |  |  |
| Male | 23 (25.5) | 7 (35.0) |
| Female | 67 (74.4) | 13 (65.0) |
| Age [years], mean (range) | 53.1 (19-82) | 38.9 (26-58) |
| Primary disease, n (%): |  |  |
| RA | 81 (90) | – |
| AS | 9 (10) | – |
| Previous TB treatment, n (%): |  |  |
| Yes | 2 (2.2) | – |
| No | 88 (97.7) | – |
| Close TB contact, n (%): |  |  |
| Yes | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| No | 89 (98.8) | 20 (100.0) |
| Chest X-ray, n (%): |  |  |
| Positive | 5 (5.6) | 0 (0.0) |
| Negative | 85 (94.4) | 20 (100.0) |
| TST results**,** n (%): |  |  |
| Positive | 26 (28.9) | 11 (55.0) |
| Negative | 64 (71.1) | 9 (45.0) |
| QFT results**,** n (%): |  |  |
| Positive | 20 (22.2) | 4 (20.0) |
| Negative | 67 (74.7) | 16 (80.0) |
| Indeterminate | 3 (3.3) | 0 (0.0) |

*Total agreement between the QFT IT and TST in study group = 0.82 (0.95 CI: 0.7155-0.8881), \( \kappa = 0.53 \) (0.95 CI: 0.3306-0.7294), **total agreement between the QFT IT and TST in control group = 0.35 (0.95 CI: 0.1633-0.5905), \( \kappa \) is not calculated.

**Diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection**

The presence of LTBI was assessed by medical history and screening tests: chest radiography, TST and QFT IT. The evaluation of the medical history included: current symptoms, prior history of treatment for tuberculosis and close contact with active pulmonary tuberculosis within the last year.

Tuberculin skin test: The TST was performed on the volar surface of the forearm according to the Mantoux method. A 2 TU dose (0.1 ml) of tuberculin purified derivative (PPD RT23; Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark) was injected intracutaneously and the induration was measured after

Patients with i) established severe active RA or AS, ii) persistent symptoms and signs of active disease and those who iii) failed adequate therapy with conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARD) were qualified to receive anti-TNF-α therapy with either infliximab (INFIL), etanercept (ET) or adalimumab (ADA) with standard doses.
2 h, with 5 mm diameter used as the cut-off for a positive test result in the patient group and 10 mm in the control group [11].

Quantiferon-TB Gold In-Tube test: The test was performed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, the test was performed in two stages. In the first stage, whole heparinized blood was collected into tubes with ESAT-6, CFP-10 and TB7.7 antigens as well as T-cell mitogen phytohaemagglutinin and a negative control and incubated for 16 h to 24 h. After centrifugation the plasma samples were harvested.

In the second stage, plasma samples and conjugate were loaded onto a QFT ELISA plate and the detection of IFN-γ levels was performed simultaneously with duplicate kit standards. Substrate reagent was added after 2 h incubation, Stop Solution was added 0.5 h later, then the OD values of all samples were read on an ELISA Multiscan reader (Labsystem, Finland). The results were interpreted using QFT Analysis Software. The result was considered positive when the concentration of IFN-γ was greater than or equal to 0.35 IU/ml and negative when it was less than 0.35 IU/ml after subtraction of the respective nil value. Apart from this, an indeterminate result was generated when the IFN-γ concentration in the TB antigen was less than 0.35 IU/ml and less than 0.5 IU/ml in the mitogen tube after subtraction of the respective nil value.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis the weighted κ coefficient was used where a κ value = 0 is classified as poor agreement; κ values from 0.01 to 0.20 constitute slight agreement; from 0.21 to 0.40 constitute fair agreement; from 0.41 to 0.60 constitute moderate agreement; from 0.61 to 0.80 constitute substantial agreement; and κ values ≥ 0.81 constitute perfect agreement. For statistical analysis results were expressed as the mean 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Results

The study group characteristics are summarized in Table I. A total of 67 female and 23 male patients with a mean age of 53.1 years were enrolled in the patient group. Of 90 patients 81 (90%) had a diagnosis of RA and the remaining 9 (10%) had AS. Eighty-two patients were selected for treatment with TNF inhibitors, and 8 patients were undergoing treatment with anti-TNF-α blocking agents. Five patients had chest X-ray findings suggestive of pulmonary tuberculosis. One of them had recent close contact with a patient with active TB.

The TST and QFT IT tests were performed in all cases. Twenty (22.2%) patients had positive QFT IT results. The TST was found positive for 26 (28.9%) patients. The total agreement between the QFT IT and TST test results was 0.82. Cohen’s κ coefficient was moderate, with a value of 0.53. In the control group QFT IT positive results were found in 4 cases (20%) and TST positive in 11 cases (55%). Total agreement between the QFT IT and TST in the control group = 0.35; κ was not calculated. In rheumatic patients the positive results of both tests were concordant in 15 (16.7%) cases (Table II). Among these 15 patients 3 started biological therapy with ET (Table II). Twelve patients did not qualify for biological treatment. Of these patients one person was diagnosed with active TB and the remaining 11 were directed for diagnostics of tuberculosis (Tables II, III).

The negative results of QFT IT and TST tests were concordant in 56 (62.2%) cases (Table II). Twenty

| Biological treatment | Yes | No |
|----------------------|-----|----|
| QFT+/TST+, n = 15 (16.7%) | – | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 56 (62.2%) | 7 (7.8%) | 20 (22.2%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 11 (12.2%) | 1 (1.1%) | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT+/TST–, n = 5 (5.6%) | – | – |
| QFT–/TST–, n = 3 (3.3%) | – | – |
| Total | 8 (8.9%) | 26 (28.9%) |

Cohen’s κ coefficient, agreement

| Biological treatment | Yes | No |
|----------------------|-----|----|
| QFT+/TST+, n = 15 (16.7%) | – | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 56 (62.2%) | 7 (7.8%) | 20 (22.2%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 11 (12.2%) | 1 (1.1%) | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT+/TST–, n = 5 (5.6%) | – | – |
| QFT–/TST–, n = 3 (3.3%) | – | – |
| Total | 8 (8.9%) | 26 (28.9%) |

Cohen’s κ coefficient, agreement

| Biological treatment | Yes | No |
|----------------------|-----|----|
| QFT+/TST+, n = 15 (16.7%) | – | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 56 (62.2%) | 7 (7.8%) | 20 (22.2%) |
| QFT–/TST+, n = 11 (12.2%) | 1 (1.1%) | 3 (3.3%) |
| QFT+/TST–, n = 5 (5.6%) | – | – |
| QFT–/TST–, n = 3 (3.3%) | – | – |
| Total | 8 (8.9%) | 26 (28.9%) |

Cohen’s κ coefficient, agreement

*Treatment of LTBI concomitantly

**Table II. Treatment of rheumatic diseases according to test results (N = 90)**
patients were qualified for biological treatment: 7 with INF-L, 7 with ET, 6 with ADA. In 36 (40.0%) cases biological treatment was not taken into consideration (Table II).

In the analysed group of patients with rheumatological diseases, 11 had QFT IT+/TST+ test results. Even though the TST results were positive in 3 patients they received biological treatment: 1 with INF-L and 2 with ET. These patients did not have recent contact with active TB patients, they did not have abnormal chest X-rays suggesting LTBI, nor were they inefficiently treated for tuberculosis in the past.

In the group of patients with QFT IT+/TST- status (n = 5; 5.6%) none started biological treatment as one active tuberculosis case was detected and the remaining 4 patients were directed for microbiological evaluation of TB (Tables II and III). For three patients with indeterminate QFT IT and negative TST results a treatment other than biological was introduced (Table II).

In the group of patients qualified for biological treatment the κ coefficient was substantial, with a value of 0.61, in comparison to the group of patients who were not qualified for biological treatment, with substantial agreement of 0.74 and κ = 0.39 (Table II).

Discussion

The proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α plays a key role in the pathogenesis of some rheumatic diseases such as RA and AS but also has a critical role in the host’s immune response against MTB. Since TNF-α has a major role in sequestering tuberculous bacilli in granuloma and preventing their dissemination, using TNF blocking agents may result in the reactivation of LTBI. It is confirmed that there is a 4-8-fold increased risk of TB reactivation in patients taking agents that antagonize the biological role of TNF-α and more than 5-fold increased risk in patients on steroid therapy [4].

On the other hand, using anti-TNF-α drugs is the most effective method in treatment of RA or AS [6]. Active TB or reactivation of LTBI always presents a critical and serious complication in patients receiving TNF-α inhibitors. Therefore, it is a challenge in immunocompromised patients to rule out LTBI before anti-TNF-α therapy is started [4].

In Poland tuberculosis incidence rates are among the highest in the European Union but incidences are decreasing. In 2008 an incidence rate of 21.2 per 100,000 population was reported. The prevalence of LTBI is unknown largely due to lower diagnostic value of the skin test in a population that is universally immunized for BCG and has had multiple TST examinations [12]. In our study we observed 55% of healthy individuals with TST positive results, whereas only 20% were positive by QFT IT. Such discordance could be due to previous BCG vaccination.

Until recently, clinical rheumatology practice required negative TST before beginning biological therapy. However, the utility of this test for the diagnosis of LTBI has been questioned due to the high rate of false results. The TST interpretation is specifically difficult in immunosuppressed individuals, such as those with RA or AS, taking steroids and/or DMARD. For these reasons, the Polish Rheumatology Experts Group’s proposal of recommendations for prophylaxis and management of tuberculosis in patients treated with TNF-α antagonists suggests IFN-γ-release assays, such as QFT IT instead of TST, together with chest radiography and medical history for the diagnosis of LTBI [13]. The suggestions have been obligatory in Poland since 2008.

In our study 20 (22.2%) patients were considered to have LTBI as detected on the basis of positive QFT IT and 26 (28.9%) were TST positive. In comparison, Cobanoglu et al. [14] from Turkey reported that 10.3% of rheumatic patients, all BCG vaccinated, had positive QFT results while 50.5% were TST positive. Similarly to Poland, Turkey is a country with a relatively high prevalence of tuberculosis with a total incidence rate of 25/100,000 in 2007. In contrast, in the study of Pratt et al. [15] from a low prevalence country like the UK (13 per 100,000 in 2007) 6.9% of RA patients were QFT positive.

Among the 90 patients for whom QFT IT and TST were used for LTBI detection there was 82% total agreement between the two tests. Accordingly, the κ statistic between QFT IT and TST was moderate, with a value of 0.53. In the Turkish study of Inanc et al. performed in RA patients from a BCG vaccinated population the total agreement of 61% with kappa coefficient 0.29 between QFT IT and TST results was obtained [16].

QFT IT confirmed the positive TST results in 15 cases (16.7%). In 16 (n = 11 and n = 5) cases the re-
sults of QFT IT and TST were discordant. Among them there could be false positive as well as false negative test results. In Polish patients, false positive TST results are most likely due to cross reactivity to BCG or boosting effects as everybody born after 1955 had to be vaccinated with BCG against TB [17]. Furthermore, false negative TST results are frequently associated with long-term immunosuppressive treatment with DMARD. False negative TST results are particularly dangerous for patients on biological treatment because of the progression of LTBI to TB in a short time. In our study it was shown as the difference in number of negative TST results between patient and control groups (71.1% vs. 45%, respectively). All the facts support the usefulness of IFN-γ-releasing assays for screening purposes in rheumatological patients [18]. QFT IT may yield indeterminate results in immune suppressed patients taking steroids or DMARD but does not occur frequently (5-13%) [19]. In our study 3 (3.3%) patients had indeterminate QFT IT results. These patients were initially treated with gold salts, DMARD and steroids for an average of 10 years. At the time of the study the patients were treated with methotrexate, leflunomide and 5 mg prednisone. Such treatment may cause immunodeficiency resulting in T-cell anergy. The indeterminate QFT IT results are important diagnostic indicators, unlike TST, which is either positive or negative without information about patients’ immune status [20]. Moreover, the higher diagnostic accuracy of QFT IT, including the availability of internal positive and negative controls, is a relevant advantage of the test.

Rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis are chronic rheumatic diseases so not only is QFT IT useful at the initial decision to treat with biological treatment, but also the subsequent therapy is often dependent on the result of the test. In our setting QFT IT was performed for the first time in patients during biological treatment. It was undertaken to avoid the risk of reactivating a latent infection to become an active disease in cases of false negative TST results as well as the burden of unnecessary treatment of LTBI in cases of false positive TST results. In our study, in 2 patients with previous false negative TST results treatment was discontinued because of QFT IT positivity. QFT IT should be the test of choice to eliminate false positive TST results due to BCG vaccination and to reduce the number of false negative results due to immune suppression in this cohort of patients [4].

In conclusion, as there is a lack of gold standard tests for LTBI detection, it is difficult to ascertain the true sensitivity and specificity of QFT IT. Our findings suggest that QFT IT may be helpful for screening purposes in patients prior to anti-TNF-α therapy. In the Polish population the QFT IT assay seemed to be a more accurate test for the detection of LTBI in rheumatic patients compared with TST before the treatment with anti-TNF agents. Moreover, the introduction of QFT IT in populations with high incidence rates of tuberculosis increases the possibility of active TB identification among patients with LTBI. To sum up, the QFT IT test can be used for LTBI detection in BCG-vaccinated rheumatic patients and can successfully replace the TST.
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