Mapping Rumen Microbial Complexity through ‘-Omics’
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Abstract

The rumen is a highly complex and dynamic ecosystem where, the microbiome displays symbiotic interactions and provides energy to ruminants through breakdown of plant fibers. The current study hypothesized that; microbiome deciphers niche specialization for nutrient utilization and engineers the rumen ecosystem comprehensively. It has raised the interest in fundamental understanding of the rumen microbiome to develop novel approaches for improving the livestock production. Present mini-review with current literature reveals upcoming ‘omics’ based approaches in order to ascertain the said purpose and would accomplish the major progress in animal phenotype improvement through Rumen microbiome understanding and their manipulation.
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Introduction

The exploration of relationship between the rumen fluid, bacteria and fiber digestion by [1-3] could isolate 200 microbial species by cultivation-based approaches, majorly using roll tube technique. It represented only about 10-20% of the total rumen microbiome thus, underestimating the true microbial diversity. A research by [4] states about prime functionalities of cultivable and uncultivable rumen microbiome in providing metabolic energy to the host animal where 1000 times more genes of rumen microbiome results into hydrolysis and fermentation of inaccessible nutrients provided to the ruminant. Therefore, it is critical to understand the complex interplay of the rumen microbiome, the host animal and the external influencing environment in order to escalate wide opportunities for improving live-stock health and productivity. Development of unique biomarkers, proteins, lipids, DNA and RNA as different units of the study are necessary for the same [5].

It further resulted into emergence of small subunit ribosomal RNA (rRNA) as the most significant tool to understand the rumen ecology. In this conjunction, 16S rDNA gene cloning approach contributed to exploration of rumen microbial diversity [6], though it couldn’t explore the microbial dynamics including the effects of environmental perturbations on microbial ecosystem. Then after, techniques including Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction Analysis (ARDRA) [7], blotting, Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH), Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE), single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) [8], Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF) [9], Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) [10], DNA microarrays [11], terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) [12], length heterogeneity PCR [13] and quantitative PCR (qPCR) [14] changed the rumen microbiology era that greatly explored the rumen microbial community’s abundance, expressions and diversity in an absolute way. However, the techniques represented pitfall in exploration of total microbiota from a particular niche at a greater depth, effect of environmental factors on microbiota and their interaction with others.

It led to a commencement of metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, proteomics and large-scale metabolomics studies [15-18] which are being endeavored in the cattle rumen recently [16]. The study inferred that; low abundant taxa may have significant role in the host physiology nullifying the concept
of abundance based functional significance [19]. In addition, focus of rumen microbiome contribution to agricultural greenhouse gas emission is shifted towards defining the rumen microbiota of efficient ruminants especially for meat and dairy sectors and studying the influence of the host genetics on molding the rumen microbiome [20]. This mini-review summarizes the current omics approaches to delve into the rumen microbial community and thereby to predict various functional levels; including gene abundance and expression, protein expression, metabolite profile and the level or intensity of metabolites that would build a tangible platform for remarkable improvement in live-stock health and production sector in coming future.

**Brief description of the current omics approaches for decoding the rumen microbiome**

Limitations of traditional rumen cultivation approaches have given new way for omics; non-cultivation-based methodologies, though its use in livestock is at preliminary level, especially for ruminants [21]. Studies were conducted towards exploration of taxonomic changes under dietary interventions. A recent global effort revealed core, diet-driven rumen microbiome using more than 700 samples [22]. Therefore, global research predicts that, microbial markers of feed efficiency can be identified through in-depth omics analyses of the rumen microbiome. In specific, metagenomics can aid in driving microbial fermentation according to microbial metabolic capacities thereby utilizing the available feed substrates more effectively [23] towards improved meat and milk production. Further, meta transcriptomic approaches can unfold the expression of fiber-degrading genes and their pattern variations with external interventions. The better understanding of their metabolic capacity can serve as a backbone in designing and optimizing the feed or external supplement (probiotics) ratios, to maximize the rumen fermentation towards high productivity. The protein expression analysis through meta-proteomics revealed over 2,000 proteins associated with rumen microbiome [24]. The development of Meta-proteome Analyzer software can also aid in the analysis and interpretation of meta-protein data [25]. The integration of sequencing and metabolomics can provide a more comprehensive analysis of the rumen system that would contribute in gathering information regarding metabolic expression of the genetic potential of the microbiome [26]. In addition, Culturomics is yet crucial for improving the reference database for ruminomics studies in order to have in vitro and in vivo experimentation in place as per the requirements. The following sections summarize individually the various omics-based approaches involved in the rumen biotechnological studies.

**Metagenomics:** Metagenomics conquered the necessity of microbial culturing and thus became a critical approach towards exploration of full genomic potential residing inside the rumen microbiome. Xue et al. [27] predicted 27,555 genes and 90 different proteins through metagenome technology; 57% of which had association with fiber hydrolysis. Metagenomics currently offers the ability of whole and fragmented genomes assemblage, gene prediction, mapping and discovering of enzymes and pathways, quantification of abundance of functional genomic elements across and between samples leading to exemplary insights of rumen metagenome [5]. The advancement of sequencing technology confers greater depth in sequencing, where bioinformatic algorithms are used to generate kmer patterns unique to each microbe that sort the metagenomic fragments [28]. The accuracy of metagenomics is based on improved algorithms and shall have future contribution from Hungate1000 project.

**Meta-transcriptomics:** An expression-based omics approach; the Meta-transcriptomics involves the profiling of community-wide expressed genes (mRNA), also termed as RNA-seq. The approach permits evaluation of diversity and predicts functional capacity of the microbiome, providing insights into actual microbiome function via gene expression [5]. Furthermore; MetaRib-o-Seq a ribosome profiling tool that is currently at infancy level of meta-transcriptomics = demands validation of rumen microbiome and has emerged due to weak co-relations between mRNA and protein levels during meta-transcriptomics analytics.

**Metaproteomics:** It is an emerging technology, termed as the entire protein complement of the microbiota in an environment at a given point in time; that stands between the established DNA, RNA sequencing and metabolomics approach in order to characterize the functional activity of the rumen microbiome (29). Due to the tedious processing and challenges, very few reports focused on rumen meta-proteomics. In the current date, development of next generation mass spectrometers and software enabled protein identification *en masse* using the approach similar to shotgun sequencing. In this context, [24] deciphered over 2000 proteins associated with rumen microbiome. Also, the current approach by Hart [29] states about comparison between meta-proteomic data and meta-transcriptomics information, that can decode various proteins associated with rumen functionalities. The prime software Meta-proteome Analyzer can aid to infer the meta-protein data [25].

**Metabolomics:** Metabolomics is defined as the comprehensive analysis of metabolites from microbiome or biological system in qualitative and quantitative ways [30]. There are very few reports available on rumen metabolome research. Metabolomics mainly targets low molecular mass molecules (<1,000 Da), that can be functionally assigned [31]. The inferences of metabolomics are based on the usage of analytical techniques including; LC-MS, GC-MS, NMR etc. The combinatorial studies between sequencing and metabolomics are uncommon and can provide diligent analysis of the rumen system, regarding metabolic expression of the genetic potential [26].

**Culturomics:** Since the Hungate 1966 project, technology has rapidly advanced, to establish the backbone of Hungate1000 project [32] led by AgResearch, New Zealand. This is targeted...
towards genome sequencing of 1000 rumen strains, out of which, sequencing of 420 genomes has been concluded, representing only 117 bacterial species and 3.6% of operational taxonomic units from RDP database [33]. Furthermore; Rumen Microbial Genomics (RGM) network was established in 2011, in order to comprehend the synergistic mechanisms of rumen microbiome and improvement of reference databases to isolate yet uncultured microorganisms. The culturing ability of rumen microbiome has been expanded through research work conducted by [34] and [35]. Indeed, there are many technologies emerging towards cultivation of yet unculturable rumen bacteria.

**Conclusion**

The rumen environment contains multitude of molecules of microbial, plant and animal origins. The ‘omics’ based rumen characterization would comprehend the rumen microbiome and their interactions, functionalities, pathways and proteins associated therein. The focus of exploration of rumen ecological foundation may deliver improved apprehension of rumen, novel molecules and unexplored metabolic pathways that would lead to insights of this vast community. Key focused interventions based on outcomes of omics approaches could lead to fulfillment of worldwide demands of ruminant meat and milk.
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