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Abstract

Background: Direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have revolutionized the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV). The impact of DAAs on recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) after liver transplantation (LT) remains uncertain. Objective: We aimed to evaluate the risk of HCC recurrence in LT recipients cleared of HCV with DAAs at the time of LT compared to a control group of LT recipients who were viremic at LT (HCV+ group). Methods: The study was a single-center, retrospective cohort study of patients undergoing LT for HCV-related HCC from 2002 to 2017. We compared time to post-LT HCC recurrence in patients with a sustained virological response (SVR) from DAAs prior to LT (DAA group) to patients who were viremic at LT (HCV+ group) using Kaplan-Meier analysis. We performed a secondary analysis comparing post-LT HCC recurrence in the DAA group to LT recipients with SVR from interferon-based treatment prior to LT (IFN group). Results: One hundred fifty-one patients underwent LT for HCC related to HCV: 34 patients in DAA group, 95 patients in HCV+ group, and 22 in IFN group. Kaplan-Meier estimates of being HCC free were 96.2, 96.2, and 78.8% at 6, 12, and 24 months in DAA group, respectively, and 100, 98.6, and 95.8% at 6, 12, and 24 months in the HCV+ group, respectively; p = 0.08. There was no difference observed for HCC recurrence between the DAA and IFN groups. In a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model, DAA use increased the risk of post-LT HCC recurrence (HR 5.2, 95% CI 0.9–29.81, p = 0.07). Conclusions: A strong
trend was observed on both Kaplan-Meier and multivariate analyses toward increased post-LT HCC recurrence in patients who achieved SVR prior to LT with DAAs compared to patients who were viremic at LT. Caution is required when considering pre-LT treatment of HCV with DAAs in patients with HCC.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide [1]. Liver transplantation (LT) for HCC is curative for cirrhosis and offers excellent long-term overall and cancer-free survival in patients within Milan criteria [2]. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network data show that 14.4% of all liver transplants performed in 2016 were for a primary diagnosis of HCC [3].

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is the most common cause of cirrhosis and HCC in the Western world [4–6]. Direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy has revolutionized the management of HCV by markedly improving efficacy with few side effects as compared to interferon-based treatment [7–10]. In LT, the use of DAAs pre- or post-LT has reduced post-transplant recurrence of HCV and has improved posttransplant graft and patient survival [11–13].

Recent reports have reached differing conclusions regarding the occurrence and recurrence of HCC in patients with sustained virological response (SVR) after treatment with DAAs. Two studies have reported higher rates of de novo or recurrent HCC in patients with SVR after DAAs undergoing locoregional therapy (LRT) or resection for HCC [14, 15]. In contrast, other reports have shown no difference in recurrence of HCC in patients treated with DAAs after LRT on the LT waitlist [16, 17]. A recent large multicenter study concluded that DAA therapy was not associated with HCC recurrence in patients treated after complete response to a variety of therapies [18]. To date, only 1 brief report has evaluated recurrence of HCC in LT recipients with HCV after treatment with DAAs, which showed a higher rate of HCC recurrence in patients who achieved SVR with DAAs, which did not reach statistical significance [19]. We evaluated the risk of HCC recurrence in HCV+ LT recipients with SVR after treatment with DAAs prior to LT compared to a control group transplanted for HCC and HCV who remained viremic at the time of LT.

Materials and Methods

Patients

We conducted a single-center, retrospective cohort study of all patients undergoing LT for HCC in HCV(+) recipients from 2002 to 2017. Data were collected using the Transplant Information Services at our institution. Data unable to be acquired this way were obtained through manual chart review with approval of the local Institutional Review Board. Patients were excluded if they were <18 years of age, outside Milan criteria for LT for HCC at the time of LT, underwent re-transplant, had a history of hepatitis B infection or other concurrent liver disease, if they achieved SVR with boceprevir or telaprevir, or if the medical record was incomplete. Data regarding demographics, clinical features, and HCC characteristics were collected. Tumor factors studied included size at diagnosis and just before transplant, number of lesions, treatment received, and explant pathology.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of this study was HCC recurrence post-LT based on standard imaging criteria or biopsy. Subjects were divided into 2 groups: (1) patients who achieved SVR12 from DAAs prior to LT (DAA group), and (2) patients who were either not treated or did not achieve SVR12 (HCV+ group). HCC-free
survival post-LT was compared between the 2 groups. We also performed a secondary analysis comparing HCC recurrence in the DAA group to patients who had achieved SVR12 after interferon-based treatment prior to LT (IFN group).

**Statistics**
Qualitative data were distributed by frequency and percentage and quantitative data were described by median (interquartile range). In the comparison between different subgroups, quantitative variables were compared using Wilcoxon tests. Categorical variables were compared using $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate. Time to HCC recurrence between groups was compared using Kaplan-Meier analysis with a log-rank test and with a Cox proportional hazards model in the multivariate setting. Patients were censored at last follow-up, graft failure, or death. The $p$ value <0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analyses. All analyses were performed in R version 3.4.3.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**
A total of 151 patients underwent LT for HCC related to HCV: 34 patients in the DAA group, 95 patients in the HCV+ group, and 22 patients in the IFN group. At the time of LT, patients in the DAA group were older (60.2 vs. 57.2 years, $p = 0.002$), had lower alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) (8.15 vs. 22.3 ng/mL, $p < 0.01$), and had a lower calculated model for end-stage liver disease score (9 vs. 13, $p < 0.01$). All patients in the DAA group underwent deceased donor LT as compared to 82.1% in control group ($p = 0.01$). Patients in the DAA group spent
longer time on the liver transplant waiting list compared to the control group (1.16 vs. 0.58 years, \( p < 0.01 \)). There were no differences between the DAA group and the control group with regard to donor characteristics (Table 1).

Sofosbuvir-ledipasvir was the most common DAA regimen used pre-LT (10 patients, 29.4%), with genotype 1 being the most common HCV genotype in both the groups (Table 2). The median time between SVR and LT in the DAA group was 139 days (interquartile range, 0–350 days) (Fig. 1).

Twenty-two patients achieved SVR with interferon-based therapy prior to LT. In comparison to the DAA group, a lower proportion of patients in the IFN group underwent deceased donor LT (81.8 vs. 100%, \( p = 0.02 \)) and a higher proportion of patients had trans-
arterial chemoembolization (TACE) (85 vs. 50%, \( p = 0.02 \)). Time on the wait-list prior to LT was similar between the 2 groups (1.16 vs. 1.1 years, \( p = 0.07 \)). There were no differences between the 2 groups with regard to donor characteristics (see online suppl. Table 1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000510341).

**Tumor Characteristics**

There were no differences in the mean diameter of the largest HCC lesion or the mean number of lesions pre-LT between the 2 groups. Of note, 1 patient in the DAA group was successfully down-staged with LRT prior to listing for transplant. TACE was the most common liver-directed treatment for HCC pre-LT in both groups (Table 3). However, a higher proportion of patients underwent TACE in the HCV+ group (76.2 vs. 50%, \( p = 0.01 \)) and higher proportion underwent microwave ablation in the DAA group (32.4 vs. 2.38%, \( p < 0.01 \)). There was no significant difference between the DAA group and HCV+ group for viable tumor on explant pathology (61.8 vs. 59.5%, \( p = 0.99 \)). In patients with viable tumor on explant, there was a significant difference with regard to tumor differentiation but no differences with regard to number of viable tumors, largest tumor size, or presence of vascular invasion (Table 3).

| Table 3. Tumor characteristics (n (%) or median [IQR]) |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Pre-LT**                                    |
| Size at diagnosis, cm                        | 2.50 [2.20; 3.10] | 2.50 [1.95; 3.45] | 0.53 |
| No. of lesions at diagnosis, n (%)           | 20 (60.6)          | 36 (56.2)          |     |
| 1                                            | 8 (24.2)           | 20 (31.2)          | 0.57 |
| 3                                            | 4 (12.1)           | 8 (12.5)           |     |
| 4                                            | 1 (3.03)           | 0 (0.00)           |     |
| Size before transplant, cm                   | 1.60 [1.50; 2.10]  | 2.00 [1.60; 2.75]  | 0.08 |
| LRT pre-LT, n (%)                            | 17 (50.0)          | 64 (76.2)          | 0.01 |
| TACE                                         | 5 (14.7)           | 11 (13.1)          | 0.77 |
| Radioembolization                            | 7 (20.6)           | 2 (2.41)           | <0.01|
| Microwave ablation                           | 11 (32.4)          | 2 (2.38)           | <0.01|
| Explant pathology, n (%)                     | 21 (61.8)          | 50 (59.5)          | 0.99 |
| Viable tumor present                         | 9 (45.0)           | 28 (56.0)          |     |
| No. of viable tumors, n (%)                  | 5 (25.0)           | 8 (16.0)           |     |
| 1                                            | 2 (10.0)           | 1 (2.0)            | 0.33 |
| 3                                            | 1 (5.0)            | 1 (2.0)            |     |
| 4                                            | 3 (15.0)           | 12 (24.0)          |     |
| Largest tumor size, cm                       | 2.90 [1.72; 3.50]  | 2.20 [1.70; 3.35]  | 0.61 |
| Vascular invasion present, n (%)             | 1 (5.0)            | 5 (10.0)           | 0.67 |
| Differentiation of tumor, n (%)              | 6 (31.6)           | 9 (23.1)           |     |
| Well                                         | 7 (36.8)           | 27 (69.2)          | 0.02 |
| Moderate                                     | 3 (16.0)           | 3 (7.69)           |     |

DAA, direct-acting antiviral; LRT, locoregional therapy; LT, liver transplantation; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; TACE, trans-arterial chemoembolization; HCV, hepatitis C virus. \(^a\) Complete data unavailable on 1 patient.
In the secondary analysis, a higher proportion of patients in the IFN group underwent TACE than those in the DAA group (85 vs. 50%, p = 0.02). There were no other significant differences for tumor characteristics between patients in the DAA group and the IFN group (online suppl. Table 2).

**Primary Outcome**

The Kaplan-Meier estimates of HCC-free survival were 96.2, 96.2, and 78.8% at 6, 12, and 24 months in the DAA group, respectively, and 100, 98.6, and 95.8% at 6, 12, and 24 months in the HCV+ group, respectively (Fig. 2). The difference in HCC-free survival between the 2 groups was not statistically significant (log-rank p = 0.08).

In the secondary analysis, the estimates of HCC-free survival were 100, 90.2, and 90.2% at 6, 12, and 24 months in the IFN group. There was no difference in HCC-free survival between the DAA group and the IFN group (log-rank p = 0.45) (online suppl. Fig. 1).

In a multivariate analysis adjusting for several factors, the impact of DAA use on HCC recurrence after LT did not reach statistical significance, but did show a strong trend (HR 5.17, 95% CI 0.9, 29.81, p = 0.07). Deceased donor LT showed a trend toward decreased HCC recurrence after LT as compared to living donor LT (HR 0.25, 95% CI 0.05–1.23, p = 0.09). Age, model for end-stage liver disease score, time on the waiting list, platelet count, serum albumin, serum AFP, TACE, and the presence of viable tumor on explant pathology had no effect on HCC recurrence after LT (Table 4).
Discussion

There has been much debate regarding the role of DAAs in both the development and recurrence of HCC. Our retrospective cohort study showed a strong trend in reduced HCC-free survival in LT recipients who achieved SVR from DAAs prior to LT for HCC, compared to viremic controls. Although our secondary analysis was limited by low numbers, this difference did not exist when comparing LT recipients who achieved SVR from DAAs to those who achieved SVR from interferon-based treatment, suggesting a unique pathophysiology related to DAA use and post-LT HCC recurrence.

Furthermore, multivariate analysis showed that pre-LT SVR with DAAs increased the risk of HCC recurrence in LT recipients. Our model incorporated a number of factors associated with increased HCC recurrence, including laboratory abnormalities associated with decompensated liver disease, time on the waiting list, and posttransplant pathology. Of note, in our cohort, SVR with DAAs prior to LT was associated with HCC recurrence despite longer wait-list times than controls.

Although our results did not reach statistical significance, the potential clinical impact of our findings is high with a 5.17-fold increased relative risk of HCC recurrence. It is also important to emphasize that with a p value of 0.07, this result was very close to achieving the traditional definition of statistical significance, that is, 95% confidence. Moreover, this was the primary outcome and not a secondary analysis.

The implementation of the Milan criteria for LT has revolutionized the management of HCC, but post-LT recurrence continues to be a problem today [2]. Recurrent HCC after LT is estimated between 11 and 18% and can be a devastating event [20]. Risk factors for post-LT HCC recurrence include elevated serum AFP prior to LT, vascular invasion of the tumor, poor tumor differentiation on histopathology, and history of prior hepatectomy [21, 22]. Data reported by the A2ALL study group have also shown that the risk of HCC recurrence is higher in patients undergoing living donor LT for HCC when than in deceased donor LT, presumably related to shorter times on the LT waiting list, which is consistent with the trend seen in our multivariate analysis [23, 24]. Our study suggests that SVR with DAAs for HCV before LT could be an additional risk factor for HCC recurrence after LT.

Controversy currently exists regarding the role of DAAs in the development of HCC in patients with HCV-related cirrhosis. Our results concur with earlier reports raising the concern for the role of DAAs in the recurrence of HCC after treatment. The first study to link DAA use to recurrence of HCC showed that 27.6% of patients treated with DAAs unexpectedly developed early recurrent HCC following complete radiological response to various therapeutic interventions [15]. By contrast, a large retrospective study from France showed a low rate of HCC recurrence in patients treated with DAAs, and no difference between patients exposed to DAAs as compared to those who were not [25]. Recently, 2 large retrospective studies demonstrated no differences in the occurrence of HCC in patients treated with DAAs compared to those not receiving DAAs and a large multicenter study from North America drew a similar conclusion [18, 26, 27].

Our study is the largest to examine recurrence of HCC in LT recipients undergoing treatment with DAAs prior to transplantation. Two studies have looked at the HCC recurrence in patients treated with DAAs and who have received LT. One brief report described a high proportion of early post-LT HCC recurrence in patients treated with DAAs prior to LT but was limited by a small sample size [19]. Another study reported a very low rate of HCC recurrence in LT recipients treated with DAAs after transplantation albeit a high proportion (~42%) of these patients presented with metastatic disease [25].

The primary theory postulated to explain why DAAs may increase HCC recurrence rates after SVR pertains to modification of immune surveillance posttreatment. HCV cure with inter-
Feran has been shown to reduce the incidence of HCC possibly by direct action against cancer cells or by stimulating the immune system to act against them [28]. DAAs may alter immunosurveillance of cancer cells due to a relatively abrupt change in the immune milieu with SVR [15]. Indeed, the absence of HCV has been shown to reduce the presence of NK cell-stimulating cytokines [29]. Another hypothesis is that there are now more patients at higher risk for the development of HCC (older patients and decompensated liver disease) undergoing treatment for HCV due to improved efficacy and reduced side effect profile of DAAs. These patients would not have undergone HCV treatment in the era of interferon-based therapy [30].

In LT recipients, it is possible that the risk of HCC recurrence after SVR from DAAs is higher as a result of the use of immunosuppression. Immunosuppression is associated with an increased risk of de novo malignancies in LT recipients with patients at particular risk for the development of skin and hematological cancers [31, 32]. Several retrospective studies have implicated higher levels of immunosuppression in the development of recurrent HCC after LT [33–35]. The functional impairment of the immune system that results from immunosuppression in addition to reduced immunosurveillance of tumor cells following SVR with DAAs may provide the ideal environment for HCC recurrence in susceptible LT recipients.

Our study is unique in that we were able to apply appropriate survival analytics with patients censored at last follow-up, graft failure, or death because our center conducts lifetime follow-up on all LT patients, including documentation of malignancies. Other studies have only examined short-term recurrence. Moreover, our study contains extensive data on the tumor characteristics both before LT and upon examination of the explant. This study is also the first to examine the role of DAAs in the recurrence of HCC in patients undergoing microwave ablation. A notable proportion of our cohort received microwave ablation as LRT for HCC, significantly more in the DAA group, reflecting a culture change in management of early-stage HCC at our institution. Microwave ablation was first reported as a treatment for HCC in 1993 and is comparable to radiofrequency ablation with regard to cancer-free and overall survival [36–39].

Our study is limited by its retrospective, single-center nature. The relatively low numbers of patients in the secondary analysis made it difficult to draw any clear conclusions when comparing HCC recurrence in patients with SVR from DAA to interferon-based treatment. In addition, the relatively short follow-up of patients in the DAA group limits our ability to comment on long-term recurrence of HCC in patients treated with these agents.

In summary, we report a statistically insignificant but strong trend toward increased HCC recurrence when comparing LT recipients who achieved SVR with DAA therapy prior to transplantation to viremic controls, which we believe to be very clinically relevant. The decision to treat HCV prior to transplant remains a very individualized one that must balance the undoubtable benefits to post-LT graft function with access to hepatitis C-positive organs [40–42]. Until larger studies with long-term follow-up are performed, our results suggest that caution is still required when considering the use of DAAs to treat HCV in patients with HCC prior to LT.
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