Development of Flame-Resistant Cotton Fabrics with Casein Using Pad-dry-cure and Supercritical Fluids Methods
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Abstract: Traditional pad-dry-cure (PDC) and supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO2) methods were used to study the effectiveness of cotton fabrics treated with casein from bovine milk and eco-friendly inorganic materials, urea and diammonium phosphate. Trials were completed successfully. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), microscale combustion calorimeter (MCC), 45° angle and vertical flammability (clothing textiles test) and limiting oxygen index (LOI) tests were carried out for the treated cotton fabrics. When the treated fabrics were tested using the 45° angle flame, the ignited fabrics self-extinguished and left behind a streak of char. Treated higher add-on fabrics were neither consumed by flame, nor produced glowing embers upon self-extinguishing. All untreated cotton fabrics showed limiting oxygen index (LOI) values of about 18% oxygen in nitrogen. For formulations with casein, urea and diammonium phosphate, LOI values of treated fabrics were 29-40% oxygen in nitrogen when add-on values for the formulation were 9.5-18.7wt%. Furthermore, scanning electron microscope (SEM) was employed to characterize the chemical structure on the treated fabrics, as well as, the surface morphology of char areas of treated and untreated fabrics. The results indicate that fabrics treated with casein are flame resistant. The treated fabrics exhibited improved thermal stability, as evidenced by increased ignition times and lower heat release rates. The results of this study show that casein coated flame-resistant fabrics can be readily applied to textile fabrics using a continuous process that is ideal for commercial and industrial applications.
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1. Introduction

Cotton is the most comfortable, breathable, and softest of all natural and man-made fibers. Though fiber affects every aspect of our lives, materials made with cotton are flammable. The flammability of cotton is critical not only to cotton clothes or home furnishings, but also for specialized applications encountered in other industries, such as automotive, electronic, hospitality, software, entertainment, food, chemical, etc. For years, scientists have carried out extensive research in order to improve and develop better ways to subdue this property.

To meet fire safety regulations and expand the use of cotton in textile applications that require flame resistance, a significant number of flame-retardant treatments for textiles were developed in the second half of the last century. It is known that flame retardant additives, such as phosphorus, have the capability to enhance the fire resistance of cotton materials. Several reports on organophosphorus flame retardants, or on flame retardants (FRs) in general, contain evaluations of the mechanism of phosphorus substances [1, 2]. The action of phosphorus-based FRs, whether chemical or physical action, presents in both the condense phase and in the flame [3-6] including fire inhibition, heat reduction, surface alteration by phosphoric acid containing compounds and char formation. The scientific evidence reveals that phosphorus largely remains in...
the char, which protects the main material against heat and flame[7, 8]. It is also known that the efficacy of phosphorus is enhanced by materials containing other flame retardant elements. When phosphorus is combined with silica gel[9], or with nitrogen[10, 11], the particular mixtures improve the flammability and/or thermal stability of the treated fabrics or reduce the exothermcity of treated fabrics.

Casein is the major fraction of milk protein that is obtained as a co-product during the production of skim milk. Casein is a family of phosphoproteins with a micelle structure. The high concentration of phosphorus and nitrogen present make this material potentially useful for the preparation of flame retardant formative for cotton cellulose materials[12, 13]. Phosphorus-nitrogen containing urea-derivative compounds have been shown to be better flame retardants than compounds containing either element alone. Diammonium phosphate (DAP) is often used as a flame retardant chemical to yield semi-durable finishes for cotton[14]. It causes a drop in the surface temperature of the combustion of the material, decreases the highest rates of weight loss during combustion and leads to the formation of large amounts of chars. In an effort to make flame retardant cotton fabrics, urea and DAP were used for phosphorus and nitrogen components because they are economically attractive and environmentally friendly compounds. As a non-durable or semi-durable flame retardant, DAP is broadly used for infrequently washed or disposable products[15]. It has been suggested that DAP is the most effective among non-durable and durable flame retardants in delivering flame retardant properties to cellulose materials and in yielding char[16].

Different methods, including pad-dry-cure (PDC) and inclusion, have been used to incorporate FRs into cotton textiles. Conventional pad-dry-cure is extensively used to incorporate FRs into cotton fabric by dipping the fabric into the FR solution, padding to remove excess solvent, and drying and curing the fabric[17, 18]. Inclusion is an impregnation process achieved when one molecule is non-covalently enclosed within another molecular structure; however, a drawback of this method is the release of molecules due to the re-swelling of cotton fabric with water[19, 20]. Given its limitations, inclusion is not widely used to incorporate FRs. An alternative method to enclose molecules into fabrics involves the use of a supercritical fluid (SCF). Supercritical fluids (SCF) are widely applied as a media to process biopolymers and synthetic polymers. They provide an alternative technique to modify natural cellulose[21]. SCF are non-toxic, inexpensive, environmentally benign, nonflammable, and are known for their high diffusion in organic matter. Furthermore, SCF can eliminate or reduce the use of organic solvents and water when dyeing or impregnating hydrophobic or hydrophilic compounds into cotton textiles[22, 23]. A commonly used SCF is supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO2), which has been used for extractions, impregnations, drying, and dyeing fabrics or polymers[24, 25]. Current scCO2 impregnation studies have not been performed on cotton fabrics treated with molecules having no reactive functional groups, such as the flame retardants reported in this study. Herein, we investigate the use of scCO2 to impregnate flame retardants into cotton fabric.

Thermal degradation of untreated control and treated fabric samples were studied using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in a nitrogen atmosphere to determine char content at 600°C. The ASTM D2863-09 procedure[26] was used to establish the limiting oxygen index (LOI) values for untreated and treated samples to determine how much oxygen in nitrogen was needed for combustion. Finally, the flammability of fabric samples was assessed using the 45° angle (clothing textiles test, ASTM D1230-01; 16 CFR 1610)[27] and vertical (ASTM D-6413-11)[28] flammability tests. The surface morphology of the burned areas was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to understand the burning behavior of each compound.

2. Experimental
2.1. Materials

Urea (ACS reagent, 99.0 – 100.5%), casein powder (casein from bovine milk, pure powder), and diammonium phosphate dibasic (BioUltra, ≥ 99.0%) were obtained from Aldrich chemical company and used without further purification. In the testing experiments, mercerized twill (258 g/m², Style 423) cotton fabrics were obtained from Test Fabrics Inc. and used as received. This fabric was desized (starches removed), bleached, and free of all resins and finishes.

2.2. Pad-Dry-Cure (PDC) Method

The PDC layering process consisted of two steps, the immersion of the cotton fabrics in the 1.5wt% urea-DAP solution, which passed through a roller, immediately followed by immersion of the fabrics in the 1.5wt% casein solution, which also passed through a roller. The two steps were repeated for each formulation, without rinsing, for a total of 2, 5, and 10 cycles using a roller speed of 3 m/min and a pad pressure of 3 bar (300 kPa). Cotton twill test fabric 423 was bleached, mercerized, and scoured prior to use and coated cotton fabrics were dried at 110°C and cured at 140°C via a continuous dryer (Mathis, model KTF-S) set to a speed of 2 m/min. Once removed from the curing dryer, the fabric was immediately placed in a desiccator to cool to room temperature, and its weight was obtained after cooling. All samples were weighed before and after the treatment, and the values were fitted to the equation (1) to obtain add-on percent (or add-on levels):

\[
\text{Add-on (%) } = \left( \frac{\text{weight after treatment} - \text{weight before treatment}}{\text{weight before treatment}} \right) \times 100
\]  

2.3. High Pressure Supercritical Carbon Dioxide (scCO2) Reactor Method

The supercritical reactor consisted of ultra-high purity carbon dioxide, a series II Prime/Purge pump, and a high-pressure series temperature control reactor. The cotton fabrics were immersed in 1.5wt% solutions containing various percentages of casein, urea and DAP and drip dried. The
fabric was then wrapped around a metal wire cage, which prevents the fabric from being entangled with the stirrer and placed into the scCO2 reactor. They were stirred in scCO2 in a 2L vessel, heating up to 90°C. Treating in scCO2 was carried out at 90°C for 3 hours under 1800-2000 psi pressure. Fabric samples were then dried at 100°C for 5 min and cured at 140°C for 3 min.

2.4. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Untreated control and treated fabric samples with casein and urea/DAP were tested by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for thermal stability (TA Instrument, Q500). Under a continuous nitrogen atmosphere, samples (5-7mg) were analyzed at a heating rate of 10°C/min starting at room temperature and ending at 600°C.

2.5. Micro-scale Combustion Calorimeter (MCC)

The MCC (Govmark, MCC-2) consisted of a sample mounting post with a thermocouple in the post with its tip at the top directly below the sample holder to monitor temperature. A small fabric sample was inserted in a ceramic cup and placed on the sample holder. This assembly was then inserted into a furnace so that everything was inside the furnace. The heat release combustion (HRC) correlated directly to flow rates of the gases involved in the combustion process and to the oxygen concentrations. HRC used oxygen reduction as a determining factor.

2.6. 45° Angle, Vertical Flammability and LOI

All control and treated fabrics were tested for 45° angle flammability (Govmark Organization Inc.) and LOI (Dynico Inc.). The details of all tests have been described elsewhere [15, 16]. 45° angle flammability and LOI employed standard test specimens, 15 x 6, and 13 x 6 cm, respectively, mounted in the U-shaped metal frames. For the 45° angle flammability test, the interpretation of the results, such as the time of flame spread in seconds and the ease of ignition, consolidates the fabrics into categories: Class 1, 2 or 3. In each test, for each fabric, trials were conducted until they yielded the same results twice consecutively and one representative image was reported with its results. The samples of control twill and all treated fabrics were subjected to the vertical flammability and limiting oxygen index tests. Vertical flame tests were performed on strips of fabric (30 cm x 7.6 cm). In the LOI test, the reported average concentration of percent oxygen of 4 to 7 trials sorted the fabrics into categories: slow burning, self-extinguishing or flammable in air.

2.7. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

The morphology of the specimens was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Philips, XL 30). The samples were coated with a gold-palladium alloy, and images were taken with an accelerating voltage of 6 keV and a beam current of 0.5 nA.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Fabric Treatment

Cotton fabric was treated with formulations (casein: urea: DAP) using modified pad-dry-cure (PDC) and scCO2 as the impregnation methods. Formulation and percent add-on values (wt%) of 2, 5, and 10-layer coated cotton fabric samples are shown in Table 1. All casein/urea/DAP layer coating solutions were prepared as following: 1.5% casein solution in deionized water, and 10% urea and 10% DAP in deionized water. Formulations of casein with urea and DAP treatment, PDC-1 (2 layers), PDC-2 (5 layers) and PDC-3 (10 layers), showed 6.1, 6.3 and 9.5 add-ons (wt%) for cotton fabrics, respectively.

In the supercritical carbon dioxide treatments, the fabrics were soaked in a beaker containing the 1.5wt% casein and various concentration of urea-DAP solution (5wt% and 10wt%) for 1 h. The fabric was removed from the beaker, drip dried, and then wrapped around a metal wire cage, which prevented the fabric from being entangled with the stirrer and placed into the scCO2 reactor. The remaining solution was then poured into the chamber, and the chamber was sealed. Pressure (1500 psi) was applied at a constant temperature of 60°C to obtain the desired add-on of formulations of casein with urea and DAP treatment, SC-1, and SC-2, and showed 14.6 and 18.7 add-ons (wt%) for cotton fabrics, respectively. After being dried and cured, the treated fabrics appeared white in color.

| Sample symbol | Formulations (casein: urea: DAP) - # of layer | Add-ons (wt%) | Onset of Degradation (°C) | Char Yield at 600°C (%) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Control       | N/A                                           | 0             | 330.7                     | 13.9                   |
| PDC-1         | (1.5%: 10%: 10%) - 2 layers                   | 6.1           | 287.7                     | 26.9                   |
| PDC-2         | (1.5%: 10%: 10%) - 5 layers                   | 6.3           | 288.2                     | 29.5                   |
| PDC-3         | (1.5%: 10%: 10%) - 10 layers                  | 9.5           | 278.9                     | 33.1                   |
| SC-1          | (1.5%: 5%: 5%)                                | 14.6          | 143.8 / 268.8             | 33.8                   |
| SC-2          | (1.5%: 10%: 10%)                              | 18.7          | 141.6 / 250.5             | 36.1                   |

3.2. Thermal Properties

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measures the change in mass with respect to temperature and was performed to investigate the thermal stability of casein with urea/DAP. A nitrogen atmosphere was used for all tests. Onset temperature is the temperature when weight loss begins. Char percent yield and onset temperature were determined for the treated fabrics...
and are summarized in Table 1. As the add-on increased, char yield increased, and onset temperature decreased. Degradation of various add-ons (wt%) of casein with urea/DAP treated samples, PDC-1, PDS-2 and PDC-3, along with untreated control twill, are presented graphically in Figure 1. Untreated twill fabric showed an onset of degradation temperature at 330.7°C, and char residue of 13.9% of the original weight at 600°C. The flame retardants lowered the onset point of the textile when compared to the control. Two stages were usually presented in thermal degradation curves of treated fabrics. The degradation of the flame retardants, the chemicals, was first followed by the degradation of main materials, the fabrics. Three stages of weight loss were exhibited with the control twill (untreated cellulose) fabric. The initial stage (slow weight loss) was found between 100-120°C (dehydration), the main stage (rapid weight loss) between 320-375°C, and the final stage, which is the decomposition of remaining char formed in the main stage (slow weight loss) after 400°C [29, 30]. During the main stage, oxidative decomposition of the products occurred. This involved the creation of carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO₂), formation of carboxyl and carbonyl groups, and development of carbonaceous residue. Research has shown that phosphorus additives decrease the onset temperature of treated cellulose in the second stage by 50-150°C [17, 31].

![Degradation thermogram curves of the untreated control and treated cotton fabrics coated with PDC layer process (PDC-1, PDC-2, and PDC-3) and scCO₂ process (SC-1 and SC-2).](image)

Casein/urea/DAP formulations provided a significantly lower decomposition temperature for cellulose. The onset of degradation temperature for casein/urea/DAP treated, PDC-1, PDC-2 and PDC-3, fabric samples was ranged between 278.9-288.2°C, which was 51.8-42.5°C lower than untreated fabrics. The onset temperatures for scCO₂ fabrics sample, SC-1 and SC-2, were 250.5-268.8°C, which were comparable to the onset temperatures of 278.9-288.2°C for PDC treated fabrics. The cause of this may be the acceleration of fabric degradation because of the in-situ formation of phosphonic acid derivatives. The onset of degradation temperature range between 250.5-268.8°C resulted from the liberation of water and ammonia by the phosphorylation chemical reaction [32]. The pyrolysis system was also quenched as cellulose dehydration was catalyzed by the byproduct of phosphoric acid. At the lower temperature, the decomposition reactions became slow and produced more stable char. Overall, SC-1 and SC-2 fabric samples yielded high char during the thermal degradation process.

As the add-on of the PDC samples increased from 6.1 to 9.5wt%, increases in char yield were observed from 26.9-33.1% and decreases in onset temperature were observed from 288.2-278.9°C. These results support an add-on threshold of PDC-1 and PDC-2; lower add-on values did not provide effective flame retardancy. Greater add-on of PDC-3 had a char yield of 33.1%, and an on-set temperature of 278.9°C. ScCO₂ treated fabrics with a 14.6 and 19.7wt% add-on presented char yields of 33.8 and 36.1%, when compared to PDC treated fabrics with char yields of 26.9-33.1%. The char amount at 600°C for all treated fabric was 26.9-36.1%, more than double that of untreated fabric. The chars shielded the fabric against heat and flame spread because they generated thermally stable cohesive phases. These phases had decomposition temperatures that exceeded the temperatures of the oxidizing zones of flames. In addition, these chars were intumescing, so they foamed and released gases that decreased flammability. It is crucial to create flame-retardants that promote intumescing and char formation.

### 3.3. Microscale Combustion Calorimeter (MCC)

MCC measures the rate which the heat of fuel gasses is released from a solid under inert conditions [33]. HRR (heat release rate) versus temperature of the untreated control fabric and casein/urea/DAP treated fabrics are shown in Figure 2. The flammability parameters, heat release combustion (HRC), peak heat release rate (pHRR), total heat release (THR), and temperature of maximum of heat release combustion (T_max), were computed and are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Microscale combustion calorimetry (MCC) data of untreated control and treated cotton fabrics coated with PDC layer process (PDC-1, PDC-2, and PDC-3) and scCO2 process (SC-1 and SC-2), (reported value is the average from three observations).

| Sample symbol | Add-ons (wt%) | HRC (J/gK) | pHRR (W/g) | THR (KJ/g) | T_max (°C) |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Control       | 0           | 270.0     | 269.4     | 12.0      | 390.0     |
| PDC-1         | 6.1         | 163.7     | 163.7     | 4.73      | 314.2     |
| PDC-2         | 6.3         | 156.0     | 155.8     | 4.70      | 312.8     |
| PDC-3         | 9.5         | 132.7     | 132.4     | 3.27      | 302.2     |
| SC-1          | 14.6        | 113.0     | 113.1     | 2.85      | 291.9     |
| SC-2          | 18.7        | 57.3      | 56.9      | 1.53      | 281.3     |

The thermal decomposition of the control sample started around 300°C. The thermal decomposition, as indicated by rising HRC, strengthened as the temperature increased. Then, it attained a maximum point at 390.0°C and ended around 420°C with an approximate value of 12.00 kJ/g in THR. The control exhibited a significantly higher pHRR value of 269.4 W/g, whereas treated fabrics pHRR values ranged between 56.9-163.7 W/g. Higher pHRR values indicate an increased amount of heat released during the burning process, which may result from rapid pyrolysis and combustion of treated fabrics [34]. This may account for the sharp HRR profiles of treated fabrics. Generally, as the add-on levels of casein/urea/DAP increased, pHRR, THR, and T_MAX values decreased. The PDC treated fabrics had a pHRR range of 132.4-163.7 W/g (6.1, 6.3, and 9.5 wt%), and were much higher compared to the pHRR range of 56.9-113.1 W/g (14.6 and 18.7 wt%) obtained for scCO2 fabrics. An add-on of 6.1-6.3 wt% had THR and T_MAX values of 4.70-4.73 kJ/g and 312.8-314.2°C, respectively. As the add-on increased to 9.5 wt%, the THR and T_MAX values decreased to 3.27 kJ/g and 302.2°C, respectively. These values were lower than the THR and T_MAX values obtained for the control fabric, 12 kJ/g and 390°C, respectively. Supercritical carbon dioxide treated fabrics exhibited a different calorimetric performance. The scCO2 fabrics at 18.7 wt% add-on had a lower THR value of 1.53 kJ/g.

All treated fabrics had lower THR, HRC and T_max values than the untreated control. The protective layer then prevented the cotton fabrics from igniting and reduced the normal thermal degradation of cotton fabrics and structural disintegration of the char to release volatiles and gases. Once the urea had burned, the main material started to burn and gave rise to the second peaks at around 250-320°C. These peaks on the same shoulder slightly decreased with increasing add-on values from 14.6 to 18.7 wt%.

3.4. Flame Retardant Properties

Flammability requirements for all clothing textiles, before sale or introduction into trade, are instituted in the 45° angle flammability test. The standard offers a consistent method to test textiles, and divides textile products used for clothing into three classes of flammability performance, thus limiting the use of flammable clothing in textiles. During the test procedure, a 16 mm (5/8 in) flame is positioned to touch the bottom of a specimen fixed at a 45° angle for 10 seconds. The fabric sample burns its full length, a distance of 127 mm (5 in), or until the thread that stops the timer is broken. Class 1 and 2 specimens meet the requirements of the standard. Class 3, rapid and intense burning, does not meet the requirements of the standard. Table 3 shows that the untreated control fabric was completely burned and was totally consumed during the test. The test also showed the effectiveness of PDC treated (PDC-1, PDC-2, and PDC-3) and scCO2 treated samples (SC-1 and SC-2). The control fabric burned for a total of 77 s, which was expected due to
the release of flammable gases upon combustion of cotton fabric. The PDC treated fabric with an add-on of 6.1 and 6.3 wt% burned for a total of 59-69 s and resulted in a reduced burning time compared to the control. As the add-on increased to 9.5 wt%, the fabric was self-extinguished. Treated fabrics with an add-on of 9.5 wt% and higher did not ignite. For sCO2 treated fabrics, add-ons of 14.6 and 18.7 wt% were self-extinguishing.

During the vertical testing, there was no occurrence of melting or dripping of the burning fabrics. Moreover, the after-glow burning did not happen for any add-on levels upon the removal of the flame. As can be seen in Table 3, the low add-ons (6.1 and 6.5 wt%) treated fabrics are more combustible than the higher add-on fabrics. Although in the first case the fabrics burn the entire length of 30 cm, their after-flame time is longer than that of the control fabric. Among the burned treated fabrics (PDC-1 and PDC-2), the higher the add-on they have, the longer after-flame time they exhibit. Obviously, all burned treated fabrics still hold up, while the controls are destroyed. Overall, PDC treated fabric, 9.5 wt%, and sCO2 treated fabrics add-ons of 14.6 and 18.7 wt% pass the vertical flame test with small char length and char width (<50% of the original length of 30 cm) and the after-flame and after-glow times were between 0 and 2 s), while the rest of the samples fail the test with their over-the-limit values.

### Table 3. Summaries of LOI, 45° angle (ASTM D-1230-01) and vertical (ASTM D-6413-11) flammability tests for different add-ons (wt%) of PDC and sCO2 treated fabrics. All values for 45° angle and vertical tests are averages from two observations on the same fabric type.

| Add-on (wt%) | Control | PDC-1 | PDC-2 | PDC-3 | SC-1 | SC-2 |
|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| LOI values (vol%) | 18 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 14.6 | 18.7 |

**45° angle flame test**

| Class | Average time (s) |
|------|-----------------|
| Class I | 77 |
| Class II | 69 |
| DNI | 59 |

* Average time after ten seconds and all specimens are Class I and Class II. Did not ignite (DNI).

**Vertical flame test**

| After-flame time (s) | 29.9 | 31 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|----------------------|------|----|----|---|---|---|
| After-glow time (s)  | 98.0 | 55 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Char length (cm)     | >30 (no char) | >30 (no char) | >30 (no char) | 5 | 5 | 2 |

### 3.5. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

Scanning electron microscope images of the burned control fabric and burned region of 18.7 wt% (sCO2 treated SC-2) are shown in Figure 3. All samples were obtained after vertical flammability testing. The burned samples were collected from the area where the flame contacted directly with the fabrics and turned the fabrics to char. Under the influence of flame and heat, the shape and the appearance of the control untreated fibers were completely destroyed. The sCO2 treated fibers, however, exhibited a noticeable change in surface morphology and developed particulate-like aggregates on the surface, indicating a successful deposition of casein/urea/DAP. When the treated fabrics are burned, the FRs decompose first to release the nonflammable gases that are trapped under the coated layer of the chemicals. The results of this trapping are the swollen blisters on each fiber. After a long burning, more
gas is released that inflates these swollen areas. The introduction of casein/urea/DAP to the fabrics increases the thermal stability of the fibers during the burning, while the burned control is destroyed completely to ashes. The occurrence of the swollen fibers and blisters on the treated and burned fabric surfaces introduces evolved decomposition products to the adjacent flame, which may in turn modify the respective FR effectiveness depending on whether they are flammable or not. These types of protective coatings were observed in earlier studies where the burned surface morphology was linked to the FR ability of the phosphoramide and phosphorus–nitrogen containing FRs [35]. This result indicates that the structure of the chars on the surface of each fiber provides the resistance of heat transfer and retards the degradation of underlying materials effectively. Therefore, combustion cannot be self-sustained.

Figure 3. SEM Micrographs of untreated control (left) and highest (18.7 wt%) add-on (SC-2) fabrics after burn (right) at a magnification between 500x-2500x.

4. Conclusions

Eco-friendly supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO2) and pad-dry-cure (PDC) layering processes were used when casein was introduced into the urea and diammion phosphate (DAP) flame retardant chemical system. There are no reported methods for creating casein containing flame retardant cotton fabrics using scCO2. As a result of this study, economic inorganic flame-retardant finishing treatments based on urea and diammion phosphate have been applied to cotton fabrics using scCO2 and PDC methods (for comparison). Tests performed on TGA showed that char yield for scCO2 treated fabric samples is higher than that of PDC samples, and scCO2 samples provided lower onset of degradation than PDC ones. Fabrics treated with 14.7 and 18.6 wt% of scCO2, and 9.5 wt% PDC passed the vertical flammability test. In the MCC experiments, a better reduction in heat of combustion was shown through the lower values found for THR, HRC and $T_{\text{max}}$ for all scCO2 treated with casein/urea/DAP fabrics when compared to PDC treated with casein/urea/DAP fabric. All the casein/urea/DAP treated fabrics above 9.5 wt% add on showed a substantial resistance to flame application during limiting oxygen index (LOI), 45° angle, and vertical flammability tests. Casein/urea/DAP treated samples using scCO2 passed vertical flame test at the levels of 14.7 and 18.6 add-ons (wt%), and all samples are regarded as class 1 (flame retardant) fabrics after 45° angle testing. scCO2 treated fabric samples had higher LOI values when compared with PDC samples. SEM micrographs indicated treated fabrics could form a protective layer, which prevented the fabric from being burned completely. scCO2 treated samples formed a layer wrapping around the cotton fibers to protect them from being destroyed by heat and flame. The superior action of scCO2 samples could be attributed to the ability to degrade into more gas products arising from the nitrogen atom attached to the phosphorus in phosphorus moiety. While the differences observed in the performance of the treated fabrics were due to the sample preparation methods, more studies will need to be performed to determine why a lower performance in PDC method was observed compare with scCO2 method. In conclusion, both processing methods are promising for applications of flame retardants to cotton textiles, however scCO2 method shows a more beneficial advantage in FR performances. Further studies on durability properties using standard Laboratory Practice for Home Laundering will be carried out and presented in a future publication.
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