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**Abstract**

Classical cytoplasmic male sterility (PET-1) discovered by Leclercq and a few restorer lines are being utilized for commercial hybrid seed production in sunflowers over the world since 1972. The utilisation of a single source of male sterility results in a high level of vulnerability to biotic and abiotic stresses. The goal of this study was to identify new fertility restorers for two different cytoplasmic male sterile sources, i.e., PET-1 (COSF-6A, COSF-7A, CMS-38A, ARM-248A) and CMS PEF (FMS-852A). Five CMS lines were crossed with newly developed drought tolerant 10 inbred lines in Line x Tester fashion and an evaluation of test cross progenies was conducted. All the inbreds were categorized into complete, partial restorers and maintainers through cytological observation. Six inbreds, viz., RGP-157, RGP-184, RGP-190, RGP-223, RGP-225 and RGP-233 behaved as restorers for all the CMS lines and restored fertility, while RGP-222 and 298R inbreds maintained sterility. The CMS line, FMS-852A was maintained by four inbreds indicating the involvement of different gene(s), while six inbreds, RGP-157, RGP-184, RGP-190, RGP-223, RGP-225 and RGP-233 were restored its fertility. None of the inbreds behaved as partial restorers for any of the CMS lines. The fertility restoration ranged from 91.4 to 97.4 per cent in different cross combinations. In the present study, pollen fertility of up to 80 per cent was observed for the PET-1 source. However, only 60 per cent of the pollen fertility was observed for CMS *fallax*. From the study, it was evident that among the two CMS sources, restorers for PET-1 and PEF were available. Efforts should be made to utilize CMS PEF for the production of more productive sunflower hybrids resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The availability of cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) and fertility restoring sources and the highly cross-pollinating nature of sunflower has made the exploitation of heterosis possible on a commercial scale (Rajanna *et al.*, 2001). The development and commercialization of sunflower hybrids came into existence due to the discovery of the first PET-1 cytoplasmic male sterility by Leclercq (1969) through an interspecific cross between diploid annual wild species *Helianthus petiolaris* x *H. annuus* and the identification of pollen fertility restorer sources by Kinman (1970), Leclercq (1971) and Vranceanu and Stoenescu (1971), which shifted interest from population breeding to heterosis breeding. Sunflower hybrids are more preferred by farmers compared to varieties due to hybrids offer various advantages in terms of uniformity, early maturity,
more seed setting due to high autogamy and more resistance to important diseases and insect pests (Hernández et al., 2017). In India, the first ever sunflower hybrid, BSH-1 (CMS-234A x RHA-274), was released in Bangalore (Seetharam, 1980). Afterwards, a total of twenty-nine hybrids were released from the public sector suitable for different agroclimatic situations in India (Sujatha et al., 2019). All hybrids in cultivation around the world get their cytoplasm from *H. petiolaris*. This has resulted in genetic uniformity in the sunflower’s cytoplasmic background. If the cytoplasm becomes vulnerable to a new strain of disease or pest, as happened in maize when Texas cytoplasm became susceptible to *Helminthosporium maydis* in the United States, the prevalence of genetic uniformity of this kind over a vast area could result in the genetic vulnerability of hybrids (Tatum, 1971; Anonymous, 1972). There is an urgent need to find new cytoplasmic sources to identify and utilize new restorers to increase genetic diversity. Diversification of CMS and restorer sources are likely the simplest and most effective ways available to tackle this challenge. Although several new CMS sources are available, one of the most significant challenges in employing the diverse sterile cytoplasm for commercial hybrid seed production has been the exceptionally low frequency of pollen fertility restoration genes in the currently cultivated sunflower (Anaschenko, 1974). To reduce the genetic vulnerability of commercial sunflower hybrids caused by the current usage of a single source of cytoplasm and a few fertility restoration genes, alternative sources of cytoplasmic male sterility and fertility restorers are required (Ardilla et al., 2010; Meena and Sujatha, 2013; Meena and Prabakaran 2016). Increasing the diversity of hybrid parents, especially in restorer lines, is always the main breeding goal to solve this problem (Dudhe et al., 2021). The purpose of the present study was to explore the possibility of identifying high fertility restorers based on sterility and fertility reactions in two diverse CMS sources. The simple method (Chaudhary et al., 1981) was used to determine the pollen fertility of crosses, which leads to the identification of superior inbreds as maintainers and restorers of two different CMS sources, with the goal of using these inbreds in future sunflower breeding programmes to increase the genetic diversity of sunflower hybrids. In view of this constraint, an attempt was made at the ICAR-Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, to find efficient restorers for the various CMS sources indicated above. Before adopting the best maintainer inbreds in the hybrid development programme, they must first be converted to CMS lines through the backcross method. In the hybrid programme, inbreds from the restorer gene pool can be utilized as male lines.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The sunflower breeding materials included two different cytoplasmic male sterile sources, viz., *H. petiolaris* and *H. petiolaris* sp. *fallax*. In this study, four CMS lines from the PET-1 background viz., COSF-6A, COSF-7A, CMS-38A and ARM-248A and one CMS from the CMS PEF (H. petiolaris sp. fallax) background viz., FMS-852A maintained by ICAR-Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, and newly developed ten drought tolerant inbred lines (testers) viz., RGP-118, RGP-157, RGP-173, RGP-184, RGP-190, RGP-222, RGP-223, RGP-225, RGP-233, 298R were used. The five cytoplasmic male sterile lines, five rows each from both cytoplasmic backgrounds, and two rows each of the ten testers (inbreds), were planted during the kharif 2019-20, with a spacing of 60 cm x 30 cm in a row length of 4.5 m. Staggered sowing of male and female parents, at a weekly interval, was done to synchronize the flowering. To obtain healthy plants, the recommended packages and practices were followed. At the ray floret stage, just before the start of flower opening, the heads of male sterile (female) lines and inbreds (male lines) were covered with cloth bags to avoid outcrossing. The five CMS lines from two diverse CMS sources were crossed with 10 inbred lines in Line x Tester fashion. Between 8:00 and 11:00 a.m., pollen from the inbreds was collected in a petri plate and applied to five flower heads of each of the corresponding CMS lines with a small brush, and the procedure was repeated until all disc florets had opened. To avoid contamination, precautions were taken. To determine the fertility restoration of the 10 male lines on the five female lines, F₁ seeds from each of the 50 crosses were collected separately at the maturity stage. The hybrids were raised during rabi 2020-21 at Rajendranagar Research Farm ICAR-IIOR, Hyderabad to identify inbred behaviour, with respect to maintenance and restoration of the two diverse CMS sources of sunflower. F₁ seeds from the 50 crosses were planted in a randomized block design with three replications. Two rows of 3.5 m for each F₁ entry were planted, maintaining a row-to-row distance of 60 cm and a plant-to-plant distance of 30 cm. The hybrids were classified as male fertile/male sterile based on the dehiscence and pollen shedding at anthesis. Further, pollen fertility was confirmed in the laboratory by using 1% aceticarmine. The pollen parents that resulted in sterile crosses were classified as maintainers, while those that resulted in fertile crosses were designated as restorers of the corresponding CMS lines, based on visual observation. The pollen fertility percentage was calculated using a method suggested by Chaudhary et al. (1981). Anthers were obtained from all the fertile F₁ hybrids for pollen study. Pollen grains were tweezed out of the anther on glass slide. The stained (fertile) and unstained (sterile) pollen grains were counted under the light microscope. The pollen fertility was calculated as the ratio between the number of fertile and sterile pollen grains in the microscopic field using the formula suggested by (Meena and Prabakaran, 2017).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Based on cytological observation, inbreds that produced sterile F₁,s were designated as maintainers, while those
that produced fertile F₁s were classified as restorers of the respective CMS sources (per cent pollen fertility). It can be seen from Table 1 that two inbreds, namely RGP-222 and 298R produced sterile F₁s on the PET-1 as well as the PEF CMS background. RGP-118 and RGP-173 inbreds produced sterile F₁s on PEF as well, while only six inbreds viz., RGP-157, RGP-184, RGP-190, RGP-222, RGP-223 and RGP-233 behaved as restorers on PEF cytoplasm. Though a minute fraction of aborted pollen (sterile pollen) was also observed, it can be seen from Table 1 that eight (RGP-118, RGP-157, RGP-173, RGP-184, RGP-190, RGP-222, RGP-223, and RGP-233) out of ten inbreds produced fertile F₁s with PET-1 (COSF-6A, COSF-7A, CMS-38A and ARM-248A).

Two inbreds, namely RGP-118 and RGP-173 acted as restorers on PET-1 CMS source but maintainers on PEF CMS lines. It is suggested that the cytoplasm of FMS-852A is distinct from those of other PET-1 CMS lines. Similar conclusions were drawn regarding the differences between three new CMS sources and the French CMS source PET 1 (Petrov and Nenov, 1992). In terms of the frequency of fertility restoration, the current findings support Spirova (1990) conclusion. This is clear in the case of FMS-852A, whose fertility was restored by only six inbreds evaluated in the present study (Table 2). The data clearly indicates that at least 40% of the inbreds tested behaved as maintainers for the new CMS source. For diverse CMS backgrounds, similar results of differences in fertility restoring genes have been observed (Whelan, 1981; Virupakshappa et al., 1991). Many other authors also reported a lack of fertility restorers other than PET-1 (Rukminidevi et al., 2006; Sujatha and Reddy, 2008; Venkanna et al., 2008; Channamma, 2009; Satish Chandra et al., 2011; Zhao Liul et al., 2013). In general, only 60% of the inbreds tested behaved as restorers for the new CMS sources. Even the identified effective restorers (RGP-118 and RGP-173) of the traditional PET-1 cytoplasm behaved mostly as maintainers under study on PEF. Similar observations were also made by Serieys and Vincourt (1987) and Bijral et al. (1987). In the material under research, the same pollen parent demonstrated varied types of fertility restoration behaviour in different CMS lines.

### Table 1. Evaluation of hybrids for pollen fertility

| Inbred     | COSF-6A | COSF-7A | CMS-38A | ARM-248A | FMS-852A |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
|            | SP      | USP     | TP      | %PF      | SP       | USP     | TP      | %PF      | SP       | USP     | TP      | %PF      |
| RGP-118    | 313     | 22      | 335     | 93.4     | 333      | 17      | 350     | 95.1     | 341      | 32       | 373     | 91.4     | 326      | 12       | 338     | 96.4     | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| RGP-157    | 317     | 18      | 335     | 94.6     | 320      | 18      | 338     | 94.6     | 323      | 18       | 341     | 94.7     | 330      | 15       | 345      | 95.6     | 318      | 25       | 343      | 92.7     |
| RGP-173    | 332     | 11      | 343     | 96.8     | 336      | 13      | 349     | 96.2     | 332      | 16       | 348     | 95.4     | 321      | 19       | 340      | 94.4     | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| RGP-184    | 326     | 10      | 336     | 97.0     | 329      | 12      | 341     | 96.4     | 336      | 10       | 346     | 97.1     | 332      | 16       | 348      | 95.4     | 334      | 12       | 346      | 96.5     |
| RGP-190    | 321     | 10      | 331     | 97.0     | 338      | 18      | 356     | 95.0     | 332      | 12       | 344     | 96.5     | 333      | 11       | 344      | 96.8     | 319      | 21       | 340      | 93.8     |
| RGP-222    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| RGP-223    | 317     | 8       | 325     | 97.5     | 337      | 19      | 356     | 94.6     | 334      | 14       | 348     | 96.0     | 320      | 25       | 345      | 92.7     | 310      | 21       | 331      | 93.6     |
| RGP-225    | 322     | 10      | 332     | 97.0     | 332      | 20      | 342     | 94.1     | 336      | 14       | 350     | 96.0     | 321      | 23       | 344      | 93.3     | 317      | 20       | 337      | 94.0     |
| RGP-233    | 328     | 9       | 337     | 97.3     | 328      | 25      | 353     | 93.0     | 342      | 9        | 351     | 97.4     | 325      | 17       | 342      | 95.0     | 326      | 20       | 346      | 94.2     |
| 298R       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |

SP = Stained pollen grains USP = Unstained pollen grains TP = Total pollen grains %PF = Per cent pollen fertility

### Table 2. Maintainer and restorer behaviour of 10 inbreds in two diverse CMS sources of sunflower

| Inbred     | COSF-6A | COSF-7A | CMS-38A | ARM-248A | FMS-852A |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| PET-1 CMS  |         |         |         |          |          |
| H. annuus  |         |         |         |          |          |
| L.        |         |         |         |          |          |
| RGP-118    | R       | R       | R       | R        | M        |
| RGP-157    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| RGP-173    | R       | R       | R       | R        | M        |
| RGP-184    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| RGP-190    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| RGP-222    | M       | M       | M       | M        | M        |
| RGP-223    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| RGP-225    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| RGP-233    | R       | R       | R       | R        | R        |
| 298R       | M       | M       | M       | M        | M        |

https://doi.org/10.37992/2022.1302.041
CMS line combinations. The restoration ability of restorer lines for the same cytoplasm varied, suggesting that the cytoplasm of CMS interacts differently with different restorer lines. According to Divya Ambati (2010), the varied pollen parent behaviour on different CMS sources indicated significant diversity among cytoplasmic sources and fertility restoration inbreds. Different types of fertility restoration behaviour were observed among the crosses, with some inbreds restoring fertility on one source while failing to restore fertility on another, indicating that different genes are involved in fertility restoration (Venkanna et al., 2008). Similar differences in fertility restoration in different CMS backgrounds have been reported (Hu, 1983). The distinct behaviour of the inbreds for fertility as well as sterility reaction may be due to the genetic architecture, particularly the number of genes controlling and their interactions with cytoplasm in restoring fertility.

Although the appearance of male sterile or fertile plants in a few hybrids is a routine phenomenon in sunflower, in the present investigation none of the crosses displayed segregation with one or two fertile/sterile plants in their progeny. It indicates that the inbred lines used for crossing were stable in terms of maintainer or restorer genes. Virupakshappa et al. (1991) reported segregation in F₁ sunflower hybrids and pointed out that it is because of contamination of foreign pollen or the heterozygosity of the lines to restorer genes or perhaps because of the modifying effects of genes (Dominguez-Gimenez and Fick, 1975). In the absence of pollinators, partial restoration of male fertility causes a reduction in the amount of viable pollen, which reduces seed setting and ultimately reduces the seed yield. The degree of fertility restoration mediated by such partial restorer lines is heavily influenced by environmental factors as well as seed parent-pollinator line interactions. In the present investigation, all the inbreds studied displayed a complete restorer (> 90% pollen fertility) reaction, while maintainer lines showed no pollen in F₁ hybrids. To determine plant fertility, the proportion of stained pollen and/or the percentage of aborted pollen should be employed. Pollen fertility may be a key factor in determining fertility, according to the majority of studies. The percentage of fertile pollen was the ultimate criterion for fertility in rice (Ahirwar et al., 2013) and wheat (Gouri Shankar et al., 2007). The pollen study is very important because it provides a clear picture of the restorer or maintainer behaviour of any useful breeding material. A complete restorer male parent (> 90% pollen fertility) is very essential for the development of good hybrids in sunflowers. (Table 3).

In the current investigation, 80 per cent of pollen fertility was reported for PET-1. The maximum per cent of pollen fertility (80%) was observed for all PET-1 CMS lines (COSF-6A, COSF-7A, CMS-38A and ARM-248A) (Table 4). However, only a 60 per cent frequency of restorer was observed for CMS PEF. The maximum frequency (40%) of tested inbreds as maintainers was recorded for CMS PEF. This finding is in line with Hu (1983), who reported that most of the lines reported fertility restorers for classical cytoplasm PET-1. Hardly a small number of inbreds could act as fertility restorers for new CMS sources. Only two inbreds out of 40 were successful in restoring CMS PF fertility, while three inbreds were successful in restoring H. lenticularis fertility (Satish Chandra et al., 2011). For different CMS sources, many more researchers from India and elsewhere reported a very low frequency of fertility restoration genes (Gouri Shankar et al., 2007). Because effective restorers for these novel CMS sources were not available, they concluded that hybrids could not be generated. The findings of this study revealed that the fertility restoration

### Table 3. Classification of F₁ hybrid based on pollen fertility

| Class               | Pollen fertility per cent | Number of hybrids |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Complete restorer   | >90                       | 38                |
| Partial restorer    | 10-80                     | -                 |
| Complete maintainer | <1 or 0                   | 12                |

### Table 4. Per cent pollen fertility frequency in tested sunflower inbred lines

| CMS line  | Number of inbred lines tested | Maintainer (M) | Percent | Restorer (R) | Percent | Segregating types (SG) | Percent | Partial restorer (PR) | Percent |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| COSF-6A   | 10                            | 2              | 20      | 8            | 80      | -                      | -       | -                     | -       |
| COSF-7A   | 10                            | 2              | 20      | 8            | 80      | -                      | -       | -                     | -       |
| CMS-38A   | 10                            | 2              | 20      | 8            | 80      | -                      | -       | -                     | -       |
| ARM-248A  | 10                            | 2              | 20      | 8            | 80      | -                      | -       | -                     | -       |
| FMS-852A  | 10                            | 4              | 40      | 6            | 60      | -                      | -       | -                     | -       |
reaction of genotypes differs depending on the genetic background of CMS lines. Success in identifying restorers for PET-1 from the limited number of genotypes tested indicates the availability of restorer gene(s) in the cultivated populations of sunflower. Because only six restorers for CMS PEF could be identified, it was concluded that PEF was different from the commercially utilized PET 1 cytoplasm. As a result, it may be concluded that at least PEF can be exploited for the development of commercial sunflower hybrids. The newly identified maintainers will also be more useful in developing new and superior agronomic backgrounds as well as new CMS lines with good general combining ability, for further utilization in hybrid breeding programmes to generate diverse hybrids with better heterosis and resistance to biotic stress. The new restorers identified for the two different CMS sources will help in generating hybrids with a broad cytoplasmic base, which could lead to increased production and productivity of sunflowers by breaking the yield stagnation and enhancing heterosis and intensifying the future hybrid sunflower breeding programme.
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