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In the original formulation of vibration-transit (V-T) theory for monatomic liquid dynamics, the transit contribution to entropy was taken to be a universal constant, calibrated to the constant-volume entropy of melting. This model suffers two deficiencies: (a) it does not account for experimental entropy differences of ±2% among elemental liquids, and (b) it implies a value of zero for the transit contribution to internal energy. The purpose of this paper is to correct these deficiencies. To this end, the V-T equation for entropy is fitted to an overall accuracy of ±0.1% to the available experimental high temperature entropy data for elemental liquids. The theory contains two nuclear motion contributions: (a) the dominant vibrational contribution \( S_{\text{vib}}(T/\theta_0) \), where \( T \) is temperature and \( \theta_0 \) is the vibrational characteristic temperature, and (b) the transit contribution \( S_{\text{tr}}(T/\theta_{\text{tr}}) \), where \( \theta_{\text{tr}} \) is a scaling temperature for each liquid. The appearance of a common functional form of \( S_{\text{tr}} \) for all the liquids studied is a property of the experimental data, when analyzed via the V-T formula. The resulting \( S_{\text{tr}} \) implies the correct transit contribution to internal energy. The theoretical entropy of melting is derived, in a single formula applying to normal and anomalous melting alike. An \textit{ab initio} calculation of \( \theta_0 \), based on density functional theory, is reported for liquid Na and Cu. Comparison of these calculations with the above analysis of experimental entropy data provides verification of V-T theory. In view of the present results, techniques currently being applied in \textit{ab initio} simulations of liquid properties can be employed to advantage in the further testing and development of V-T theory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the theoretical description of the motion of nuclei (or atoms) in real monatomic liquids. For many years, such descriptions have been available for gases and crystals, consisting in each case of an approximate but tractable “zeroth order” Hamiltonian, plus complicated but small corrections. Zeroth order for a gas is free particle motion, from Boltzmann \[1\], and the correction is potential energy. Zeroth order for a crystal is harmonic vibrational motion \[2\, 3\], and the major correction is anharmonicity. These theories are extremely valuable, as the zeroth order Hamiltonian provides a complete orthogonal basis set for the nuclear motion. Hence for any physically meaningful problem, the motion can be analyzed and statistical mechanics can be constructed in terms of the basis set. These theories account for equilibrium and nonequilibrium properties of gases and crystals to an accuracy on the order of experimental accuracy.

Our proposal for this kind of theory for monatomic liquids is vibration-transit (V-T) theory \[4\]. The key postulate is that the many-body potential energy surface is overwhelmingly dominated by intersecting macroscopically equivalent random valleys. The zeroth order Hamiltonian expresses normal mode vibrational motion in a single random valley harmonically extended to infinity. “Macroscopic equivalence” means that this Hamiltonian for any random valley gives the same statistical averages in the thermodynamic limit \[5\]. The motion of nuclei is then composed of two parts: brief periods of vibration in one random valley, interspersed with transits which carry the system between neighboring random valleys. Transits, each involving a small local group of nuclei, proceed at a high rate throughout the liquid. For calculation of the partition function, the effect of transits is to correct the potential surface for the valley-valley intersections \[1\, 6\]. For nonequilibrium calculations as, e.g., of time correlation functions, the same transits provide the diffusive jumps of the nuclei \[4\, 7\]. The vibrational motion is tractable, and is calibrated from potential properties of a single random valley. The vibrational contribution to a thermodynamic function is around 90% of the total \[4\, 6\].

The transit motion is complicated, but its contribution to a thermodynamic function is only around 10% \[4\, 6\]. This paper is mainly concerned with the vibrational and transit contributions to the entropy of monatomic liquids.

In the original formulation of V-T theory, transits are accounted for only insofar as they give the liquid access to all the random valleys. This property is modeled by multiplying the single random valley partition function by a universal number, calibrated from entropy of melting data \[4\]. For \( S_{\text{tr}} \), the transit contribution to entropy, this yields \( S_{\text{tr}} = 0.8Nk_B \), the same for every monatomic liquid. Theory for the total entropy agrees with high-temperature experimental data for normal melting elements to within ±0.2\( Nk_B \) \[4\, Fig. 2\]. Since 0.2\( Nk_B \) is approximately 2% of the total entropy for the elemental liquids, the original V-T formulation is quite satisfactory for such a simple model. Nevertheless, the original formulation suffers two deficiencies which we wish to correct here.

1. Since \( S_{\text{tr}} \) is a universal constant, it does not account for the different behaviors of individual liquids. However, these differences largely account for the scatter which results in theoretical errors of up
to 2% in the entropy.

2. Since $S_{tr}$ does not depend on $V$ or $T$, the consistent contribution to all other thermodynamic functions is zero. But we now know that the transit contribution to internal energy at melt is around 10%, and this energy must be included to get a good theoretical value of the melting temperature $T_m$.

To correct these deficiencies, we must account explicitly for the $V$ and $T$ dependences of $S_{tr}$.

To this end, in Sec. III we extend the original analysis of experimental high-temperature entropy data to normal and anomalous melting elemental liquids. We find that all the data for $S_{tr}(T)$ at constant volume can be fitted to a single curve, providing a scaling formula for the $V$ and $T$ dependences of $S_{tr}$. The fitting yields values for each liquid of the vibrational characteristic temperature $\theta_0$ and of a new transit characteristic temperature $\theta_{tr}$. The original “universal entropy constant” has a role in the present formulation, where it is expected to vary weakly with $V$, and also to vary weakly among the elemental liquids.

In liquids, as in all condensed matter systems, the potential energy that governs the nuclear motion is the electronic ground state energy as a function of nuclear positions $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$. Hence the parameters in the liquid dynamics Hamiltonian can be calculated from electronic structure theory. Over the years, pseudopotential perturbation theory for nearly-free-electron (NFE) liquids has been extremely useful in the study of liquid dynamics for real liquids $[8, 9, 10, 11, 12]$. This is the basis of a series of tests of V-T theory for liquid Na $[7, 13, 14, 15, 16]$. Extension of this principle beyond NFE liquids, by means of density functional theory (DFT), is the subject of Sec. III. First, V-T theory for the entropy of melting is reviewed, and a single equation covering normal and anomalous melting is derived. Results from a new method $[17, 18]$, using DFT to calculate the vibrational parameters, are then applied to test the V-T theory of entropy for Na and Cu. Ab initio techniques are currently being applied to a wide range of liquid dynamics studies, and their potential in testing and developing V-T theory is noted. In Sec. IV broader application of the present reformulation of the transit entropy is described. The verification of V-T theory provided by the present ab initio test is also discussed.

II. ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL ENTROPY DATA

In V-T theory, the liquid entropy is given by

$$S(V, T) = S_{vib}(V, T) + S_{tr}(V, T) + S_{el}(V, T).$$

(1)

$S_{vib}$ describes the nuclear motion in a single random valley harmonically extended to infinity. In classical statistical mechanics,

$$S_{vib}(V, T) = 3Nk_B \{\ln [T/\theta_0(V)] + 1\}. \tag{2}$$

The characteristic temperature $\theta_0$ is given by

$$\ln(k_B\theta_0) = \langle \ln(h\omega) \rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the average over the vibrational normal mode frequencies $\omega$. The quantum corrections to Eq. 2 are straightforward $[6]$, and are negligible in the present analysis. $S_{tr}$ represents the transit motion of the nuclei. $S_{el}$ represents the excitation of electrons from their ground state with nuclear positions fixed at a random structure. Two small contributions, neglected here, express anharmonicity of the vibrational motion and the interaction between nuclear motion and electronic excitations $[6]$, Sec. 4.

The experimental data are at ambient pressure, where the volume increases with temperature. It is most helpful to remove the volume dependence of the experimental data, by correcting $S_{expt}(V, T)$ to $S_{expt}(V_m, T)$, where $V_m$ is the fixed volume of the liquid at melt. At fixed volume, the parameters of our analysis are simply constants. With $(\partial S/\partial V)_T = \beta B_T$, where $\beta$ is the thermal expansion coefficient and $B_T$ is the isothermal bulk modulus, the correction to second order is

$$S_{expt}(V_m, T) = S_{expt}(V, T) + \eta V \beta B_T + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 V^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 (\beta B_T)}{\partial V} \right)_T, \tag{4}$$

where $\eta = (V_m - V)/V$. For a given liquid the analysis requires highly accurate experimental data for the entropy and its first volume correction, at a significant range of temperatures above $T_m$. The liquids satisfying this requirement are ten NFE metals. These are listed in Table I along with $T_m$, the highest temperature of our analysis $T_h$, and references for the experimental data.

The second volume correction is negligible until the first volume correction reaches a magnitude around $0.3Nk_B$. The second volume correction is calculated for Hg, since the data are sufficient for this purpose $[32]$. For the alkali metals, the second volume correction is estimated from experimental data in the vicinity of the melt curve $[34]$. For the remaining five liquids, the highest temperature $T_h$ is such that the second volume correction can be neglected.

The analysis will now be confined to the volume $V_m$, and the corresponding notation will be suppressed. The first step is to find $S_{tr}$ from $S_{expt}$, using Eq. (1) in the form

$$S_{tr}(T) = S_{expt}(T) - S_{vib}(T) - S_{el}(T). \tag{5}$$

$S_{el}(T)$ is calculated from free electron theory in the leading Sommerfeld expansion. This is sufficiently accurate for the liquids studied here, because $S_{el}(T) < 0.02S_{expt}(T)$ throughout the analysis. $S_{expt}(T)$ is calculated from Eq. (2) with an initial choice for $\theta_0$. At this point, eight curves of $S_{tr}$ vs. $T$ have clear maxima, with the exceptions being Pb and Ga. For the eight, the temperature at the maximum is denoted $\theta_{tr}$, and we graph $S_{tr}(T)$ vs. $T/\theta_{tr}$. The curves look like they will fall on
The curves must have a common maximum of 0

The analysis is Eqs. (1)-(3), plus the constraint that all

To show its magnitude, $S_{nuc}(T_m)$ is also listed in Table I.

Aside from experimental data, the information used in the analysis is Eqs. (1)-(3), plus the constraint that all the curves must have a common maximum of 0.8 $Nk_B$ at $T = \theta_{tr}$. For each liquid, this information plus the parameters $\theta_0$ and $\theta_{tr}$ constitute a fit of the experimental entropy data at volume $V_m$. However, we do not expect a precise common maximum to hold for all monatomic liquids, nor do we expect this maximum to be volume independent. For a more quantitative analysis of this issue, we turn next to the entropy of melting.

### III. Testing V-T Theory

#### A. Theory for the entropy of melting

The experimental information relating to the universality of the transit entropy is the entropy of melting. To use this information we need to express the entropy of melting theory in terms of the entropy formulation of Sec. II. To keep the notation simple we shall continue with our standard notation for the liquid, and use a superscript $c$ to denote the crystal. Hence $S$ and $S^c$ are the entropy of the liquid and crystal respectively, and $V_m$ is the liquid volume at the melting temperature $T_m$. The measured constant-pressure entropy of melting, corrected so that both crystal and liquid have the same volume $V_m$, is

$$\Delta S(V_m, T_m) = S(V_m, T_m) - S^c(V_m, T_m).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

For all the normal melting elements for which accurate experimental data are available to determine this quantity, the mean and standard deviations are

$$\Delta S(V_m, T_m) = (0.80 \pm 0.10) Nk_B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The distribution is essentially the same when $\Delta S$ is evaluated at the crystal volume $V_m^c$, so the volume dependence is weak. Among the elements for which accurate experimental data are available, six do not belong to this distribution and are called anomalous. Their values of $\Delta S$ in units of $Nk_B$ are 1.48 (Sn), 2.37 (Ga), 2.68 (Sb), 2.62 (Bi), 3.76 (Si), and 3.85 (Ge). The anomalous $\Delta S$ are not only larger than the normal value, they are much larger.

### Table I: Results of the high-temperature entropy analysis for ten liquids. $T_m$ is the melting temperature and $T_h$ is the highest temperature of the analysis. Experimental data are from the references cited. Sn and Ga are anomalous melters [19]. $S_{nuc}$ is given by Eq. (6), and $\langle \delta S_{expt} \rangle$ is the estimated mean error in the high-$T$ entropy data after correction to $V = V_m$ (see Appendix A).

| Element | $T_m$ (K) | $T_h$ (K) | References | $\theta_0$ (K) | $\theta_{tr}$ (K) | $S_{nuc}(T_m)$ ($Nk_B$) | $\langle \delta S_{expt} \rangle$ ($Nk_B$) |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Na      | 371.0     | 1100      | [20, 21, 22, 23] | 97.6          | 570             | 7.725                | 0.07                 |
| K       | 336.4     | 1040      | [22, 23, 24, 25] | 58.0          | 570             | 8.986                | 0.11                 |
| Rb      | 312.6     | 900       | [22, 24, 26]    | 35.8          | 530             | 10.183               | 0.12                 |
| Cs      | 301.6     | 948       | [22, 24, 26]    | 26.0          | 540             | 11.032               | 0.11                 |
| Al      | 933.5     | 1400      | [21, 27, 28, 29] | 198.0        | 980             | 8.451                | 0.09                 |
| Pb      | 600.6     | 1023      | [21, 27, 28, 30] | 53.3          | 580             | 11.041               | 0.09                 |
| In      | 429.8     | 920       | [21, 31]        | 74.2          | 600             | 9.040                | 0.16                 |
| Hg      | 234.3     | 630       | [21, 32]        | 52.5          | 260             | 8.284                | 0.04                 |
| Sn      | 505.1     | 1173      | [21, 27, 26]    | 73.7          | 640             | 9.567                | 0.15                 |
| Ga      | 302.9     | 773       | [21, 33]        | 99.6          | 360             | 7.148                | 0.07                 |

![Figure 1: (Color online) Transit entropy for ten liquids calculated from the data referenced in Table I.](image-url)
As a fiducial for elemental metals, the liquid entropy at melt is $S_m \approx 10 N k_B$. In comparison, experimental error is $\approx 0.5\%$, the width of the normal $\Delta S$ distribution is very small at $\approx 1\%$, and the anomalous $\Delta S$ is very large at $10 - 30\%$.

To rationalize these experimental results, we shall write the V-T equation for $\Delta S(V_m, T_m)$. The crystal entropy, with classical harmonic vibrations, is

$$S^c(V, T) = 3 N k_B \left\{ \ln \left[ T/\theta^*_0(V) \right] + 1 \right\} + S^c_{el}(V, T). \quad (9)$$

Then with Eqs. (11) and (9),

$$\Delta S(V_m, T_m) = 3 N k_B \ln \left[ \theta^*_0(V_m)/\theta_0(V_m) \right] + S^c_{el}(V_m, T_m) + \Delta S_{el}(V_m, T_m). \quad (10)$$

In normal melting, there is no significant change in the electronic structure, so that the internuclear forces are nearly the same in liquid and crystal, and so is the electronic density of states. Hence $\theta^*_0(V_m) \approx \theta_0(V_m)$ and $\Delta S_{el}(V_m, T_m) \approx 0$, so that from Eq. (10), $\Delta S(V_m, T_m) \approx S_{tr}(V_m, T_m)$. It then follows from Eq. (8) that

$$S_{tr}(V_m, T_m) \approx (0.80 \pm 0.10) N k_B \quad (11)$$

for normal melting elements.

In contrast, anomalous melting is accompanied by a change in the electronic structure. Si and Ge melt from covalent crystal to metallic liquid ([36], Chap. 3), while Sb and Bi melt from semimetal crystal to metallic liquid ([10], p. 81). For Sn and Ga, the electronic structure change upon melting becomes apparent through compression. Si, Ge, Sb, and Bi all have a triple point on the melt curve at modest compression ([37], and so do Sn and Ga ([37, 38]). The interpretation is that compression drives a relative shifting of electronic bands, the shift being continuous with compression for the liquid, but being concentrated at the crystal-crystal transition in the solid. Hence the melting is anomalous in the vicinity of a triple point. The classic example is Cs ([39, 40, 41]), where melting is normal at ambient pressure, but becomes anomalous under compression when the triple point is approached ([12], Fig. 26.5).

Because of the change in electronic structure, the internuclear forces and electronic density of states are significantly different between liquid and crystal. Hence in addition to the normal $S_{tr} \approx 0.8 N k_B$ on the right hand side of Eq. (10), the terms in nuclear vibration and electronic excitation are both important. These terms have positive sum because melting is entropy driven, and the term in $\ln(\theta^*_0/\theta_0)$ is usually dominant.

Let us apply these results to Fig. 1. Equation (11) holds for normal melting, and since $S_{tr}(V_m, T)$ changes little between $T_m$ and $\theta_{tr}$, according to Fig. 1

$$S_{tr}(V_m, \theta_{tr}) \approx 0.8 N k_B$$

for normal melting elements. But this is a purely liquid quantity, independent of the melting process, so this relation should be valid for monatomic liquids in general. Let us denote this common maximum $\chi(V)$, a function of volume. The above argument suggests

$$\chi(V_m) \approx 0.8 N k_B. \quad (12)$$

In Fig. 1, the approximation is taken to be an equality. In principle, $\chi(V)$ is a material parameter, but as the following test shows, we are not yet able to resolve specific material dependence.

### B. Testing through ab initio calculations

The test reported here is the comparison of $\theta_0$ from ab initio calculations with results from the analysis of experiment for Na and Cu. The technique ([17, 18]) calculates the electronic ground state by DFT (using the VASP code [42]) for a system of $N$ atoms in a cubic cell, with periodic boundary conditions on the nuclear positions. The system is quenched to a structure, where the frequencies and eigenvectors of the normal vibrational modes are calculated, and $\theta_0$ is evaluated from Eq. (3). The eigenvalues (mass times squared frequencies) are always positive, except for the three expressing translation, which are zero to numerical accuracy. The structures found are numerically dominated by random ones. Each calculation is done at the density of the liquid at melt, and $\theta_0(DFT)$ is listed in Table II.

Table II also lists $\theta_0(expt)$, which is from Table I for Na. For Cu, with insufficient data for the analysis of Sec. III $\theta_0(expt)$ is estimated separately. The estimation procedure is general and is outlined for Cu in Appendix B. The agreement between theory and experiment in Table II is certainly better than we should expect.

The application of DFT to liquid dynamics research is currently making notable progress. From ab initio MD, melting properties of Si have been calculated by Sugino and Car ([43], and Wang et al. ([44] calculated the carbon phase diagram. This work points to the possibility of an ab initio test of Eq. (10) for anomalous melting. High pressure melting curves have been calculated for Pb by Cricchio et al. ([45] and also for Ta by Taioli et al. ([46]. In each case the shape of $T_m(P)$ suggests normal melting, and this again can be tested by calculating the quantities in Eq. (10). Kresse’s summary of DFT calculations of the static structure factor and pair distribution function for group IIIIB-VIB elements shows better results for metallic than nonmetallic liquids ([47]). While the metallic liquids should be well described by random valleys, the molecular character of As, Se, and Te, possessing strong and weak bonds, poses a challenge regarding the underlying

| Liquid | $\rho$ (g/cm$^3$) | $\theta_0(DFT)$ (K) | $\theta_0(expt)$ (K) |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Na    | 0.935           | 98.4 ± 3.0        | 97.6 ± 2.3        |
| Cu    | 8.00            | 171 ± 5           | 171.4 ± 5.4       |
potential energy surface. A similar challenge is posed by Ge, whose \textit{ab initio} static and dynamic structure factors compare well with experiment as shown by Chai et al. [48], and whose primarily metallic liquid appears to have some tetrahedral coordination in its fluctuation spectrum at $T_m$.

The example of highly compressed Na has attracted much attention. It was predicted from theory by Neaton and Ashcroft [49] that under compression crystalline Na will transform to low symmetry structures that include semimetallic behavior, and tend ultimately to semiconducting. Experiments by Hanfland et al. [50] and Syassen [51] confirmed the structural changes to 120 GPa, and work by Gregoryanz et al. [52] revealed a change from normal to anomalous melting. This was in turn confirmed by \textit{ab initio} MD calculations by Raty et al. [53], which also showed that the liquid undergoes electronic structure changes analogous to those in the solid. We notice that the anomalous melting regime in Na involves 2s and 2p electrons entering the valence, and is related theoretically to the sequence of anomalous melting elements Sn, Ga, Sb, and Bi mentioned in Sec. III A.

A novel theoretical technique uses Monte Carlo perturbation theory to make accurate first principles calculations of the liquid free energy at arbitrary temperatures [54, 55]. This technique, as well as \textit{ab initio} MD, will make possible more accurate tests of V-T theory than we can obtain through analysis of experimental data, as in the present study.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we are able to improve the formulation of the transit contribution to thermodynamic functions, and to carry out an \textit{ab initio} test of the V-T theory of liquid entropy. The broad implications of these results will be discussed.

A. Transit contribution to thermodynamics

In the original formulation, transits are accounted for through the multiplicative factor $\exp(N \ln w)$ in the partition function, where $\ln w = 0.8$ is calibrated from entropy of melting data. Hence the transit free energy is $-Nk_B \ln w$, the transit entropy is the universal constant $Nk_B \ln w$, still a good approximation, but the transit internal energy is zero. Now, in Sec. II the experimental high-temperature entropy data are analyzed, and Fig. 1 is found for $S_{tr}(V, T)$ at constant $V$. In principle one can integrate the constant-volume relation $dU = T dS$ to find $U_{tr}(V, T)$ up to a volume-dependent constant of integration. We then have the transit free energy $F_{tr}(V, T)$ to replace our original $-Nk_B \ln w$ in the liquid free energy. This accomplishes the goal set out in the Introduction, and provides in principle the transit contribution to every thermodynamic function.

The shape of the transit entropy curve in Fig. 1 can be understood from qualitative properties of transits. At very low temperatures ($T \ll T_m$) the system freezes into a single random valley and becomes an amorphous solid. The motion is entirely vibrational, there are no transits, and $S_{tr} = 0$, as is the corresponding $U_{tr}$. Upon warming, transits begin at a given temperature and both $S_{tr}$ and $U_{tr}$ increase from zero. This behavior is seen in MD simulations of supercooled liquid Na, where the mean potential energy increases from its pure vibrational value of $(3/2)Nk_BT$ at around 140 K ([13], Fig. 4). This is confirmed as a transit effect by observing that self diffusion increases from zero at approximately the same temperature ([13], Fig. 10). With increasing temperature, $S_{tr}$ and $U_{tr}$ saturate and have zero slope at the common temperature $\theta_{tr}$. The reason for the saturation of $S_{tr}$ and $U_{tr}$, and their subsequent decrease with increasing $T$, is the truncation of the random valley potential surface at the intervalley intersections. A model for part of this decrease, the boundary effect, has been applied to the high-temperature specific heat of Hg [56]. Now we have more information than specific heat data, since Fig. 1 shows both the low-$T$ increase and high-$T$ decrease of the transit entropy. Figure 1 explains the previously disorganized behavior of liquid specific heat curves ([50], Fig. 2). Figure 1 will be helpful in modeling the statistical mechanics of transits, and especially in modeling the transit free energy.

In the past we have used $T_m$ as a scaling temperature for liquid properties. This is not satisfactory in principle, because $T_m$ depends partially on properties of the crystal. Not surprisingly, $T_m$ utterly fails as a liquid scaling temperature for anomalous melting elements ([19], Figs. 3 and 4). We now have a two-component theory for the nuclear motion entropy, where each component has its independent scaling temperature, $\theta_{0}(V)$ for $S_{vib}$ and $\theta_{tr}(V)$ for $S_{tr}$. This is a purely liquid theory, with no parametric dependence on crystal properties.

B. \textit{Ab initio} testing of V-T theory

At the time of the original formulation [4], no potential energy property of any random valley had been calculated. Indeed, the existence of the random and symmetric classes of valleys, and their contrasting potential energy properties, was only hypothesized in that first paper. At the time, we adopted the approximation $\theta_{0}(V_m) \approx \theta_{0}^0(V_m)$ for normal melting elements, since $\theta_{0}^0$ was available from force-constant models calibrated to experimental dispersion curves [57]. This is still a respectable approximation, probably accurate to 3–4% on average for normal melting elements (but not for anomalous melting elements; see [58], Tables I and II). But now, with \textit{ab initio} values of $\theta_{0}(V)$, the correct theoretical $S_{vib}(V, T)$ can be calculated from Eq. (2) and compared with $S_{vib}(V, T)$ extracted from experiment. This is essentially the comparison made in Sec. III B. But that
comparison goes much deeper than a casual glance would suggest. That test provides the following extensive support of V-T theory.

1. The test verifies the original hypothesis that the random valleys are numerically dominant and hence account for the entire statistical mechanics as $N \to \infty$, and that the random valleys are uniform in their potential properties so that a single example is sufficient as $N \to \infty$. The verification results from the fact that a single random valley is used for the calculation of $\theta_0$ for each liquid, while the experiment samples enormous numbers of valleys of all types.

2. The test verifies that vibrational motion in a harmonically extended random valley is the correct theory for that part of the experimental entropy which is identified with $S_{ vib}$. This is because that part of the experimental entropy is reproduced by $ab$ initio evaluation of Eq. (2) over the entire temperature range of the available experimental data for each liquid tested.

3. The test is consistent with $\chi(V_m) = 0.8Nk_B$ for Na and Cu. The expected material dependence of $\chi(V_m)$ is a refinement remaining for future work.

V-T theory is unique in that it offers a Hamiltonian theory capable of unifying equilibrium and nonequilibrium theories of liquid dynamics. Further testing will help to develop a robust theory. Techniques currently being applied in $ab$ initio simulations can be employed to advantage in the development of V-T theory.

**APPENDIX A: ERROR ESTIMATES**

Error in $S_{\text{expt}}(V_m, T)$ arises from experimental error in the high-$T$ entropy data, and the experimental error in the volume correction. We estimate the mean of each error over the range from $T_m$ to $T_h$, and add their magnitudes to obtain the total mean experimental error $\langle \delta S_{\text{expt}} \rangle$, as listed in Table I. Much of this error is already present in the data for $S_{\text{expt}}(V_m, T_m)$. The remaining error is $T$-dependent and has an average around zero for each liquid. This error is large enough to cause a noticeable error in the shape of Fig. 1.

The relative experimental error in $\theta_0$ is $\delta\theta_0/(\theta_0)$, and is almost entirely due to $\langle \delta S_{\text{expt}} \rangle$. From Eq. (2) it follows that

$$\frac{\delta\theta_0(\text{expt})}{\theta_0} = \frac{\langle \delta S_{\text{expt}} \rangle}{3Nk_B}. \quad (A1)$$

This gives a range of $1 - 5\%$ for the experimental error in $\theta_0$ in Table I. The error in $\theta_{tr}$ is entirely due to the $T$-dependent error, because $\theta_{tr}$ depends on the shape of the curve and not on its magnitude. The error in $\theta_{tr}$ in Table I can reach 10%.

Moments of the frequency distribution can be calculated from DFT to an accuracy of 1% for elemental crystals. These crystal calculations are done in the infinite lattice model [2], where an arbitrary number of Brillouin-zone $k$-points is possible. In contrast, the liquid system has only $3N$ normal models for an $N$-atom system. Because of the small system size ($N = 150$) for our DFT calculations, the present error in $\theta_0(DFT)$ is allowed as 3%.

**APPENDIX B: ESTIMATION OF SCALING TEMPERATURES FOR Cu**

The slope of $S_{\text{tr}}(T_m)$ is $C_{\text{tr}}(T_m)$, the transit contribution to the specific heat, which can be found from data as follows. The experimental specific heat at constant volume is $C_{\text{expt}}$, while the vibrational contribution in classical statistical mechanics is $C_{\text{vib}} = 3Nk_B$, so that $C_{\text{tr}} = C_{\text{expt}} - 3Nk_B - C_{\text{el}}$. Matching this quantity, with error estimates, to the slope of a smooth curve fitted to Fig. 1 yields $T_m/\theta_{tr}$ in the range $0.8 - 1.3$ for Cu at $T_m$. Then Fig. 1 implies that $S_{\text{tr}}(V_m, T_m)$ is $(0.78 - 0.80)Nk_B$. From experimental entropy data for Cu, $S_{\text{nuc}}(T_m) = 10.00Nk_B$, so that $S_{\text{vib}}(T_m) = (9.21 \pm 0.01)Nk_B$, giving $\theta_0 = (171.4 \pm 0.6) K$. For Cu, $\langle \delta S_{\text{expt}} \rangle$ is just the estimated experimental entropy error at $T_m$, namely 0.086 $Nk_B$.

This method becomes inaccurate when $|C_{\text{tr}}|$ is large. For a compilation of $C_{\text{vib}} + C_{\text{tr}}$ for elemental liquids at melt, see [3].
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Macroscopic equivalence applies to one liquid at one density. Statistical properties of random valleys vary with density, and vary from one liquid to another.

In the years between [19] and [R], we realized that Tl should be removed from the analysis because available crystal data are for hcp, while melting proceeds from bcc. This changes the average $\Delta S/Nk_B$ insignificantly, from 0.79 to 0.80.
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