Treatment of Burn Scars with the Pinhole 4.0 Method Using a 10,600-nm Carbon Dioxide Laser

Background and Objectives
Treatment of burn scars can be challenging due to their variable shape, color, and thickness. Several treatment modalities for burn scars have been introduced, but an ideal procedure has not yet been found. The pinhole method is a laser modality demonstrated as an effective treatment for burn scars. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the treatment of burn scars with a pinhole 4.0 method using a 10,600-nm carbon dioxide (CO2) laser.

Materials and Methods
We conducted a retrospective chart review of patients with hypertrophic burn scars treated using the pinhole 4.0 method.

Results
Eleven Korean patients with burn scars (mean age 38.0 years; range, 19-63 years) were treated using the pinhole 4.0 method. Subjects were treated via the pinhole method in single sessions. Two blinded dermatologists evaluated photographs taken at baseline and three months after treatment and assessed improvement using a quartile grading scale. Compared with baseline, all patients showed mild to moderate improvement (mean score: 3.0). The patient satisfaction questionnaire indicated a mean improvement score of 3.0.

Conclusion
The pinhole 4.0 method using a CO2 laser may be a safe and effective treatment option in patients with refractory hypertrophic burn scars.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients with burn scars frequently have lasting cosmetic and functional problems due to their scars. These are challenging lesions to treat and can result in emotional problems for patients, including decreased self-esteem, poor body image, and social withdrawal.1,2

Burn scars can be very challenging to treat due to their variable shape, color, and thickness. Several treatment modalities for burn scars have been introduced. These include medical approaches, such as silicone gel and intralesional corticosteroids injections, as well as surgical procedures, such as excision and skin graft. Recently, laser treatment modalities have emerged as a potentially effective arm of the treatment algorithm.3-5 One of the most widely-used laser techniques employs fractional lasers in the pinhole method. The fractional laser, a relatively new modality, is able to improve texture and appearance of scars by penetrating the deep dermis.6 The pinhole method is a CO2 laser treatment technique that is effective for various skin lesions and could also be an option for scar treatment. Indeed, we previously reported mild to moderate improvement of scar appearance with the pinhole method.7 The pinhole 4.0 method combines usage of pinhole method with CO2 lasers and ablative fractional lasers.

In this study, we retrospectively reviewed our clinical experience and evaluated the efficacy and safety of the treatment of hypertrophic burn scars with the pinhole 4.0 method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We conducted a retrospective review of pinhole treatments performed in patients with hypertrophic burn scars. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the study was approved by our hospital’s medical ethics committee. The study protocol conformed to the guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatment

A total of 11 Korean patients who underwent treatment with the pinhole method at our clinic were included in this study. Patient clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. All patients were treated with a single session of the pinhole 4.0 method using 10,600-nm CO2 lasers [Ultrapulse® Encore Laser; Lumenis, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA and eCO2 Laser; Lutronic, Inc., Goyang, South Korea]. A topical eutectic mixture of 2.5% lidocaine and 2.5% propranolol (EMLA cream, AstraZeneca AB, StråZenec, Sweden) was applied as local anesthetic one hour prior to the procedure. The pinhole 4.0 method is a concurrent treatment with two different devices in which two different modes were applied in one of them; the CO2 Laser with parameter of 100 mJ and 75 spots/cm² density and Ultrapulse Encore Laser with parameter of 100 mJ and 1% density in ScarFx mode and 3.0 W in Single mode were used to make multiple small holes. Holes were approximately 0.12 to 2 mm in diameter and spaced 3 mm apart over the scar lesion.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients with hypertrophic burn scars

| Patients | Sex/Age | Location | Clinical improvement | Patient satisfaction | Adverse events‡ |
|----------|---------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1        | F/39    | Arm      | 3                    | 3                   | N               |
| 2        | F/32    | Wrist    | 4                    | 4                   | N               |
| 3        | F/47    | Buttock  | 3                    | 3                   | N               |
| 4        | F/39    | Face     | 3                    | 2                   | N               |
| 5        | M/33    | Neck     | 4                    | 4                   | N               |
| 6        | F/22    | Leg      | 3                    | 4                   | N               |
| 7        | F/36    | Leg      | 2                    | 2                   | N               |
| 8        | F/19    | Face     | 1                    | 1                   | N               |
| 9        | F/38    | Back     | 3                    | 3                   | N               |
| 10       | F/63    | Arm      | 4                    | 4                   | N               |
| 11       | F/50    | Buttock  | 3                    | 3                   | N               |

*Improvement was evaluated using a quartile grading scale; grade 1, less than 25% = minimal to no improvement; grade 2, 26% to 50% = moderate improvement; grade 3, 51% to 75% = marked improvement; and grade 4, more than 75% = near total improvement.

†Patients were surveyed three months after treatment about their overall level of satisfaction as follows: 4-very satisfied, 3-satisfied, 2-slightly satisfied, and 1-unsatisfied.

‡N, none.
Evaluation

A clinical photograph of the hypertrophic burn scar was taken with the same camera at every visit. Clinical photographs of the scars before and three months after treatment were assessed using side-by-side comparison and objectively assessed by two independent dermatologists for all patients. Patients and dermatologists evaluated the efficacy of improvement using a quartile grading scale (Grade 1, <25% improvement; Grade 2, 25-50% improvement; Grade 3, 51-75% improvement; and Grade 4, >75% improvement).

RESULTS

All eleven patients in this study were Korean. One patient was male and ten were female. The age at presentation ranged from 19 to 63 years (mean age, 38.0 years). Lesions were on the face, neck, arm, wrist, hands, back, and buttock. Two independent dermatologists’ comparisons of the baseline and three months after treatment photographs showed mild to moderate improvement for all patients (Grades 1-4; mean score: 3.0). Three patients (27%) showed Grade 4 improvement; six (55%) Grade 3; one (9%) Grade 2 improvement; and one (9%) Grade 1 improvement. The patient satisfaction questionnaire three months after treatment revealed a mean improvement score of 3.0. Four patients reported a satisfaction rating of Grade 4; four, Grade 3; two, Grade 2; and one, Grade 1 (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Hypertrophic scars are a fibrotic pathology arising from the fibroproliferation that occurs after damage to the deep...
dermis by burns or trauma. Hypertrophic burn scars may induce, or are frequently associated with, erythema with contractures, itching, and pain. Several different modalities have been developed to improve hypertrophic burn scars, including medical procedures such as pressure therapy, silicone gel, introlesional corticosteroid injections, surgical procedures, and laser therapy. However, there are limitations to using these treatments alone.

Because of these limitations, combination therapy has been attempted by several groups. For example, Cho et al. showed that the combination of pinhole and microneedle-induced collagen induction therapy result in a greater improvement in the scar than either method alone. Similarly, Lee et al. suggested that combination therapy with laser-cision and a pinhole method using a CO2 laser is effective for improving hypertrophic burn scars.

The pinhole method is an attractive option for treating burn scars. This method involves making multiple small holes that penetrate from the epidermis to the deeper dermis using a CO2 laser. This induces breakdown of irregular and thick collagen bundles resulting from thermal damage, as well as regeneration and realignment of collagen bundles at the microscopic level. Clinically, this manifests as improves texture and thickness of the scar tissue. However, the existing pinhole method requires several sessions to achieve satisfactory results.

In this study, we investigated the efficacy of a combination therapy—the pinhole 4.0 method—for hypertrophic burn scars using a CO2 laser and ablative fractional laser. The method is a concurrent technique with three different modes: eCO2, ScaarFx, and CO2 modes. The novel pinhole 4.0 method demonstrated clear improvement with just one session by affecting the fundamental cause of the scar with these various lasers. In particular, the ScaarFx-mode is able to penetrate up to 4 mm beneath the skin, which allows for effective treatment of thick scars that cannot be treated with other lasers.

This study is limited by a small sample size, a short follow-up period, and its retrospective design. Therefore, additional larger, prospective, randomized-controlled trials will be needed to determine whether a single session of the pinhole 4.0 method is more effective than several sessions of previous pinhole method.

In this study, we report that the efficient pinhole 4.0 method can be used for burn scar treatment and that it shows no severe complications other than temporary erythema or post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation. We suggest that the pinhole 4.0 method using a CO2 laser may be a safe and effective treatment option for patients with refractory hypertrophic burn scars.
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