The simulation in health care in orthopedics

Abstract

The changes in education, secondary to the demand for health care in the 21st century, have exploited different strategies to improve the quality of training of health professionals, simulation in health care has had a boom in recent decades and the branch of Orthopedics has not been exempt. There are different approaches that can be reached with simulation in orthopedics, from simulation management and simulators or virtual reality programs to influence the learning curve and improve technical and non-technical skills, classify the level of those skills, assess and certify professional competencies, among others.

Most studies in orthopedics focus on surgical areas, leaving aside the approach of clinical and non-technical skills, as well as undergraduate, so one of the challenges of simulation within the area of orthopedics is to expand the vision towards these areas, since it has been demonstrated that at all levels, the simulation generates significant improvements in the training and performance of health personnel, generating a direct impact on patient safety.
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Introduction

One of the great challenges of medical education in the 21st century, both in undergraduate and postgraduate studies, is the great demand that the population has for medical care in terms of both quantity and quality; however, the ethical dilemma of practices on the patient by a physician in training is an element that acts to the detriment of the patient’s safety, generating a conflict in the application of the acquired knowledge on the part of the students when transforming them into skills.

Being able to practice in a safe environment before attending a patient that leads to a vital responsibility, is an advantage given by clinical simulation, which is defined by David Gaba as “a technique – not a technology – to replace or amplify real experiences with guided experiences that evoke or replicate aspects of the real world in fully interactive manner”.

In the last decades, especially in the last 10 years simulation has begun to be used exponentially to improve technical and non-technical skills of health professionals that impact on patient safety. In orthopedics, the use of simulation has been applied in different branches to reduce the procedural learning curve, to understand physiopathological processes, to evaluate skills, to maintain the environment of continuous training, certification, identification of novices and experts, among others.

Discussion

Simulation has been used in orthopedics to improve patient safety in different ways, one of them and the most common is the development of skills, these can be classified into clinical skills and surgical skills, where each has technical and non-technical components. One of the surgical skills whose teaching has been most influenced by the simulation (and there is a record) is arthroscopy, which requires surgical skills that can be acquired or significantly improved with the use of high-fidelity simulators or virtual reality, at repetitive intervals and with deliberate practice, to ensure a continuous training process and to maintain the skills of the physician; however the use of these simulators requires a great monetary investment due to the high cost of acquisition and maintenance of these resources.

David Gaba calls simulation a “technique” instead of a technology, denoting that a simulator is not required to carry out simulation techniques, because due to the high cost of these, it is an acquisition limitation. For this reason, institutions and areas such as orthopedics, which understand the need to implement the simulation in their curriculum program design their own simulators, as is the case of arthroscopy simulators and virtual reality programs; however, they must be validated to demonstrate that their design and applicability recreate situations similar to the real ones and that the appropriate process of meaningful learning takes place. Another use of clinical simulation within the orthopedic area is to classify the level of competence of different procedures performed by physicians through performance metrics that precisely define the performance threshold, opening the possibility of using the simulation to evaluate or certifying the level of competence.

These are lots of simulation approaches in orthopedics; although it is known that orthopedic education in the postgraduate area has great relevance, within the area of undergraduate general medical training is limited, and the evidence found regarding competencies in orthopedics, existing reports show that they lack the skills needed to diagnose, treat or to refer to the specialist.

It is necessary to implement the use of simulation in the continuous training of all levels of health personnel, both in clinical and surgical skills, technical (surgeries, sutures, exploration) and non-technical (leadership, effective communication, teamwork), which is reflected in an increase in quality of care and patient safety.

Conclusion

Orthopedics as well as other disciplines have found an ally in simulation, for the training of students in health care areas, where they can acquire skills in a safe environment and without harming the
patients they attend, reducing the ethical gap that involves practicing in a living being.

Most studies in orthopedic simulation focus on surgical and technical skills, where the conclusions usually coincide in that they require more studies that support the effectiveness of these techniques for professional training. However, the evidence of the application of simulation in the development of non-technical skills, such as leadership, effective communication, decision making, teamwork, should not lose relevance as an objective of the health professional profile, both in undergraduate and postgraduate; so the authors of this document recommend investing and developing studies that focus on this field.
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