Urban landscape and infection risk in free-roaming cats
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Abstract
Despite public concern on the role of free-roaming cats as reservoirs of zoonotic agents, little is known about the influence of urban and peri-urban landscapes on the exposure risk. We evaluated the seroprevalence of three zoonotic agents (Chlamydia felis, Coxiella burnetii and Toxoplasma gondii) in domestic cats (Felis catus). Two hundred and ninety-one free-roaming cats were trapped in Murcia municipality (Southeast Spain), and their sera were tested for specific antibodies against T. gondii using a modified agglutination test (MAT), and for C. felis, C. burnetii and feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) antibodies with ELISA technique. Pathogen seroprevalence at 95% CI was calculated for each sex and age category (up to and over 12 months) and compared with a chi-squared test. The role of human population density and urban landscape characteristics on the risk of pathogen exposure in the cat population was explored using generalized linear models. Seropositivity against a single pathogen was found in 60% of the cats, while 19% was seropositive for two or three pathogens. Seroprevalence of C. felis was 8% (CI95%: 5–11), 37% (CI95%: 31–42) for C. burnetii and 42% (CI95%: 36–47) for T. gondii. In addition to these three pathogens, FIV seropositivity was low (1%, CI95%: −0.1 to 2) and adult cats were more likely to be seropositive to C. burnetii than young individuals (OR: 2.3, CI95%: 1.2–4.2). No sex or age class differences in seroprevalence were observed for the rest of the pathogens. Seropositivity was correlated with water surface areas for C. felis, and not with crop areas. Coxiella burnetii seropositivity was correlated with the percentage of urban areas (continuous with only buildings and discontinuous, that include buildings, parks, and pedestrian and urban green areas), human population size and peri-urban areas with shrubs, and not correlated with other agricultural landscapes (orchards and crop areas). However, the seroprevalence of T. gondii was only associated with agricultural landscapes such as orchards. The detection of hotspot areas of high pathogen exposure risk is the basis for municipal services to implement surveillance and risk factor control campaigns in specific-risk areas, including (a) efficient health management of urban cat colonies by geographical location, population census and health status monitoring of the components of each cat colony, (b) improvement of hygiene and sanitary conditions.
at the feeding points of the cat colony and (c) free-roaming cat trapping for health monitoring and, in the long term, to know the evolution of the health status of their populations.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The domestic cat (*Felis catus*) is the most abundant carnivore in urban and peri-urban areas with high human density (Sims et al., 2008). Feral cats include not only the offspring of domestic cats adapted to living on their own in rural and urban areas, but also un-owned individuals that have left or lost their domestic home, surviving in the urban environment as stray cats (Ögan & Jurek, 1997). Both types are referred to as free-roaming cats because of their behaviour. Given that more than 75% of emerging human infectious diseases are of zoonotic origin (Jones et al., 2008), information on pathogens that may be transmitted to sympatric wildlife or humans is needed. In this context, free-roaming cats are a major public health concern due to their large populations in contact with humans and their capacity to harbour pathogens that produce disease in both domestic and wild animals (Taetzsch et al., 2018). The range of pathogens transmitted depends primarily on the host communities, with the presence and frequency of free-roaming pets having a significant effect on risk of disease transmission to humans (Polley, 2005). The prevalence of viruses, bacteria and parasites is usually higher in free-roaming cats because outdoor access (Little, 2011) and roaming behaviour (Patronek, 1998) increase the risk of infection. Indeed, free-roaming cats have unrestricted entry to public areas such as parks and playgrounds where different potential hosts (e.g. rodents, birds, lizards and snakes) are present (Montoya et al., 2018). Moreover, in many cities in Europe and other continents, free-roaming cat colonies are tolerated, deliberately fed and kept by citizens, resulting in extremely high densities of cats in certain urban and peri-urban areas (Crawford et al., 2019).

Among the zoonotic agents associated with cats that can infect a wide variety of host species are *Toxoplasma gondii*, whose only definitive hosts are felids (Elmore et al., 2010), and *Coxiella burnetii*, the etiological agent of Q fever, of which an upsurge in urban cases in both humans and animals, has been reported (Meredith et al., 2014; Shapiro et al., 2015). In Spain, *T. gondii* is widespread in domestic and wild animals, with seroprevalence ranging between 37% and 85% (Gauss et al., 2003; Millán et al., 2009; Miró et al., 2004), and *C. burnetii* has been diagnosed in animals and people in urban areas with varying seroprevalence (Espojo et al., 2014; van der Hoek et al., 2010; Ruiz-Seco et al., 2011). In addition to these pathogens, cats can also be infected with *Chlamydia felis*, an obligate intracellular bacterium with a predilection for conjunctival epithelial cells, the most common cause of feline chlamydiosis. Moreover, there is controversy about its zoonotic potential, having been described as an etiological agent of different diseases in humans (Browning, 2004; Halánová et al., 2011). In fact, pet cats seem to play an important role as sources of *C. felis* infection in humans (Trávnicek et al., 2002). Although many surveys on *C. felis* have been carried out worldwide (Sykes, 2005), limited information is available for Spain on the presence of this pathogen in cats (Millán & Rodríguez, 2009; Ravicini et al., 2016). On the contrary feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) is a pathogen specific to cats that can create concomitant immunosuppressive infections. This virus induces progressive lymphadenopathy and immunosuppression that usually ends in a fatal disease after several years of latency (Hofmann-Lehmann et al., 1997). In fact, FIV has been associated with an increased risk of co-infection with a wide range of parasites, from viruses as feline leukaemia virus (Bandecchi et al., 2006) to protozoa as *T. gondii* (Danner et al., 2007; Serrano & Millán, 2014).

Recent studies indicate that human-induced landscape changes are an important factor causing modifications in the transmission of zoonoses (Bradley & Altizer, 2007; Mackenstedt et al., 2015). This is of particular importance considering that, in urban and peri-urban environments, a complex community of wild and domestic animals, acting as reservoirs of zoonotic pathogens, is present (Despommier et al., 2006). Spatial distribution of diseases depends not only on land cover (e.g. vegetation cover, surface moisture, topography, soil types) but also on land use (e.g. landscape uses influenced by the political, social and economic context), since these environmental features determine the probability of contact between human and animal hosts (Lambin et al., 2010). In spite of the large number of methods to investigate spatiotemporal patterns of infectious diseases (Blasdell et al., 2016; Chowell & Rothenberg, 2018; Lewis...
et al., 2017; Ortega et al., 2020), these have rarely been applied to study pathogen spread in free-roaming cats from urban and peri-urban areas.

In this work, we explore the role of urban landscape features and human population density on exposure risk to three zoonotic pathogens (C. felis, C. burnetii and T. gondii) in cats from Murcia municipality (Southeast Spain). We assume that there are high densities of wild and synanthropic hosts species increasing the risk of tick infestations and amplifying the sources of C. burnetii (Barbu et al., 2013; Meredith et al., 2014; Pfäffle et al., 2013; Rizzoli et al., 2014), T. gondii (Arnonson et al., 2017; Barros et al., 2018; Hill & Dubey, 2002) and C. felis (Halánová et al., 2019). The high environmental stability of C. burnetii, which allows it to survive in soil for long periods (Eldin et al., 2017), the route of infection via inhalation (Angelakis & Raoult, 2010) and the possibility of wind currents spreading from manure accumulates (King et al., 2011; Tissot-Dupont et al., 2004) influence the prevalence of infection in agricultural landscapes with small ruminant farms (De Lange et al., 2014; Eldin et al., 2017; van der Hoek et al., 2010). In the same sense, humidity linked to green areas (agricultural and urban parks) favours the survival of infective T. gondii oocysts (Afonso et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2019; Yilmaz & Hopkins, 1972). Chlamydia felis spreads through direct contact, and transmission between cats is due to contact with infectious eye secretions (Halánová et al., 2011). Moreover, free-roaming cats usually show higher C. felis seroprevalence than cats kept strictly indoors (Helps et al., 2005), probably linked to malnutrition and a possibly weakened immune system, and increased exposure in overpopulated urban cat colonies (Halánová et al., 2019).

Our hypothesis is that the landscape influences the exposure risk to cat pathogens, especially in those urban and peri-urban areas where land cover and land use favour the persistence of infective forms in the environment. Also, areas of high landscape biodiversity would influence free-roaming cats’ interaction (direct/indirect contact or predation) with infected and spreader hosts, either conspecifics or other animal species. Finally, urban landscapes are likely to be areas with higher cat pathogens seroprevalences due to the presence of urban colonies of this feline. The objectives of this study were (a) to determine the seroprevalence of zoonotic pathogens (C. burnetii, T. gondii and C. felis) in free-roaming cats from Murcia municipality (SE Spain) and (b) to evaluate the host and environmental risk factors associated with exposure to these zoonotic pathogens.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Study area

The study area covers the Municipality of Murcia, located in the Southeast of Spain (37°59′10″ N, 1°7′49″ W, Figure 1), which is a complex geomorphologic territory. Broadly, the study area is made up of two large tertiary basins occupied by areas of high agricultural activity, separated by the Alpine massif of the Sierra de Carrascoy, which is part of the Penibetic System of the Baetic Mountain Ranges (Estrella et al., 2018) and divides the municipality into Southern/Western (predominantly unirrigated landscape) and Northern (predominantly irrigated and urbanized landscape) regions. The city of Murcia, settled in the basin to the north of the Sierra de Carrascoy, is drained by the Segura River, an area that has been traditionally cultivated giving rise to the ‘Huerta de Murcia’, one of the six orchard landscapes that exist in Europe (Martí-Ciriquián & Moreno-Vicente, 2014). In the southern basin, the traditional predominant use was unirrigated agriculture, but in recent years, this has changed to intensive irrigated agriculture, especially in an extensive area known as Campo de Cartagena. The study area has a hot semi-arid climate, with mild winters and very hot summers, sometimes with absolute maximum temperatures above 45°C. The average annual rainfall is less than 300 mm, showing irregular but intense rains, which can cause large floods (Espín Sánchez et al., 2018). From a social point of view, the municipality of Murcia has a population of 459,403 inhabitants (INE, 2020), unevenly distributed due to the fact that the city of Murcia and its surroundings comprise more than 90% of the study area, with a human population density of 525 inhabitants/km². Administratively, the study area is divided into 55 districts (called ‘pedanías’, Figure 2) formed from small population centres scattered throughout the territory. The land covers and uses are very heterogeneous, showing an unequal distribution throughout the study area. The municipality covers 88,473 hectares, of which 10% is dedicated to anthropic uses (half of which is urban area), with agricultural activity accounting for the largest part at 65%, and natural surfaces cover the remaining 25% (Corine Land Cover (CLC), 2018).

2.2 | Cat sampling

In the period 2005–2007, 291 free-roaming cats were trapped in the study area (Figure 2), as a part of an annual programme run by the Department of Public Health of Murcia. Animals were trapped during October, November and December in different urban and peri-urban areas and euthanized for sanitary reasons (according to European Union protocols regarding animal welfare and bioethics) by official Veterinary Services at Centro Municipal de Control de Zoonosis de la ciudad de Murcia (CMCZ). Cats were grouped by sex, age and provenance (district where they were trapped). Sex was determined by visual inspection during the necropsy, and the age of the animals assigned by observing tooth replacement (The Humane Society of United States, 2006). Two classes were considered for age: young (up to 12 months old) and adult (more than 12 months old). The sample population included 128 males, 162 females, 69 young and 221 adult cats. The age and sex of one of the animals could not be determined.
FIGURE 1 Geographic location of the municipality of Murcia. Demarcation and description of the landscape of the municipal districts.
2.3 Serological analysis of samples

Immediately after death, a blood sample was taken from each cat, which was then allowed to coagulate at laboratory temperature for 24 hr to obtain the serum samples. The serum obtained was kept in aliquots at −20°C until analysis. Sera were tested for *C. felis*- and *C. burnetii*-specific antibodies using a commercially available ELISA kit according to the manufacturer protocols (CHEKIT® Chlamydia, Bonmelli Diagnost 2356789 ics. IDEXX Laboratories B.V. Netherlands; CHEKIT® Q-fever *C. burnetii* Antibody Test Kit, IDEXX Switzerland AG, Bern, Switzerland). The test showed a sensitivity of 90.9% and specificity of 85.9% to detect *Chlamydia* LPS antigen, and a sensitivity of 99% and specificity of 88.57% to detect an inactivated mixture of *C. burnetii* phase I and II anFIVtigens (Nine Mile strain). Both tests were modified in house with a monoclonal goat anti-cat IgM antibody HRP conjugate (Bethyl A20-100P©, Bionova Científica S.L. Madrid, Spain), according to the recommendations of Candela et al. (2017). The samples were also tested for FIV antibody detection using INGEZIM FIV-R.16.FIV.K.1© (Ingenasa, Inmunología y Genética Aplicada, S.A., Madrid, Spain), assuming that the presence of virus-specific antibodies in serum is indicative of FIV infection (Fish & Altman, 1989).

A modified agglutination test (MAT) was used to detect *T. gondii* antibodies using a commercial test kit; the antigen (Antigène ToxoAD, Catalogue No. 7-542-2, Lot No. 640558-A) and control serum

![FIGURE 2](image_url) Number of cats sampled per district in the municipality of Murcia
(Toxotrol A, Catalogue No. 7-543-1, Lot No. 613896-A) were obtained from bioMerièux (Marcy l’Etoile, 69260-Charbonnieres-les-Bains, France).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Pathogen seroprevalence (i.e. number of seropositive animals over the total sampled) was calculated for each sex and age class and compared with a chi-squared test ($\chi^2$ test). Differences were considered significant at p-values <.05. Odds ratio (OR) was used as a measure of the strength of association between variables. Confidence intervals (CI) at 95% were also calculated using Epi Info 7.0 version (Dean et al., 2000).

In regard to the spatial analysis, the landscape information covered land use and land cover characteristics potentially linked to zoonosis risk at the district level (Barros et al., 2018; McFarlane et al., 2013) and cat ranging behaviour (Hammer et al., 2017; Metsers et al., 2010). Land cover is related to landscape attributes such as vegetation cover, surface moisture, topography and soil types, among others. Land uses are related to anthropogenic landscape uses influenced by the political, social and economic context (Lambin et al., 2010). All landscape variables used were representative of the study area, selected to respond to the hypotheses proposed on the risk of potential contact of free-roaming cats with the pathogens selected and, consequently, to achieve the objectives of our study. Some similar or spatially under-represented landscape categories were grouped into a new spatial variable. We have used nine landscape categories, which group specific landscape variables and characteristics and are detailed in Table 1. The proportion of land cover in each district was calculated intersecting a shapefile containing the urban districts in SIOSE (Sistema de Información sobre Ocupación del Suelo de España, downloaded from Instituto Geográfico Nacional, https://www.ign.es/web/ign/portal). We checked for collinearity between landscape characteristics using variance inflation factors (VIF) in the ‘HH’ package Version 3.1-43 (Heiberger, 2018). Highly correlated variables were removed based on their multicollinearity (VIF > 5) (Kim, 2019) (Table 1). Information on human population size was used as an additional covariate. Details on the covariates are provided in Table 1. Some districts were excluded because of the low sample size (e.g. less than one cat sampled).

Quantitative analysis of risk factors was performed using generalized linear models (GLM) with binomial family and logit link. These were fit using the function glm (R Core Team, 2018). Pathogen occurrence (0 = absence and 1 = presence) was considered as a response variable and landscape characteristics at the district level as the explanatory variables (Table 1).

Model selection was done using a backward approach based on the Akaike information criterion (Akaike, 1973) (Table S2). The models with the lowest AIC were used. Goodness of fit was evaluated using diagnostic plots of the residuals. Overdispersion, however, was assessed using the ‘testOverdispersion’ function implemented in the DHARMa package (Harting, 2019). All statistical analyses were conducted in the R software 3.5.2 version (R Core Team, 2018). The final model for each pathogen was used to build a risk map using QGIS 3.6.0 version (QGIS Development Team, 2017).

3 Results

The overall seroprevalence was 8% (CI$_{95\%}$: 5–11) for C. felis, 37% (CI$_{95\%}$: 31–42) for C. burnetii and 42% (CI$_{95\%}$: 36–47) for T. gondii. There were only three FIV positive cats (P: 1%, CI 95%: −0.1 to 2); they were all adults, specifically one female and two males. Seroprevalence for all the different groups is presented in Table 2. Statistically significant differences were observed between age and the seroprevalence of C. burnetii, with the adult cats at higher risk (OR: 2.3, CI 95%: 1.2–4.2, $\chi^2 = 6.4$, p-value = .01). All the information provided in Table 1.

| Variable | Description | Source |
|----------|-------------|--------|
| Human population size | Number of resident human population at district level | Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE) https://www.ine.es/ |
| % of crops area | The category includes herbaceous crops different from rice | SIOSE (Sistema de Información sobre Ocupación del Suelo de España) downloaded from Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN) https://www.ign.es/web/ign/portal |
| % of unproductive area | The category includes rocky outcrops, nude soil, ground without construction | |
| % of orchards and other farming area | The category includes citrus fruit plants, non-citrus fruit plants, olive grove, vineyard and other woody plants. | |
| % of pasture area* | The category includes pasture | |
| % of urban area | The category includes buildings (as continuous urban areas), and buildings mixed with parks, pedestrian and urban green areas (as discontinuous urban areas) | |
| % of water surface area | The category includes water courses and artificial water surfaces | |
| % of wood area | The category includes coniferous trees and leafy trees | |
| % of shrubs and small bush areas | The category includes scrub and small brushes | |
related to odds ratio and chi-squared values performed with sex and age variables is detailed in Table S1.

Seropositivity against more than two pathogens was found in 19% of the cats studied (56/291). Specifically, 16% (47/291) were positive to two pathogens and 3% (9/291) to three. Among the cats that tested positive to three pathogens, two were positive to FIV.

The statistically significant factors selected in the final GLMs were (a) for *C. felis*, the percentage of water surface areas (OR: 1.74e−08, CI 95%: 1.3e−18–0.065) with a *p*-value equal to the cut-off alpha; (b) for *C. burnetii*, the percentage of shrub area (OR:4.15e−09, CI 95%:3.7e-16–0.01, *p*-value: .01), the percentage of urban area (OR: 5.06, CI 95%:1.3–20, *p*-value: .02) and the human population size (OR:0.99, CI 95% 0.993– 0.99, *p*-value: .02); and (c) for *T. gondii*, the percentage of orchards (OR: 0.13, CI 95%: 0.02–0.7, *p*-value: .02) in peri-urban areas.

Final models for each pathogen species are shown in Table 3. Neither residual patterns nor overdispersion was observed in our GLM. All models with their AIC values and weights are available in the Table S2.

A map of infection risk for each pathogen at the district scale is shown in Figures 3–5. The probability of *C. felis* occurrence is low in all districts ranging from 0.09 to 0.18 (mean: 0.11; Figure 3), whereas for *C. burnetii*, it ranged from 0 to 0.70 (mean: 0.38) with some districts at higher risk (probability: 0.68–1, Figure 4). Contact risk for *C. burnetii* in urban landscapes was higher than in peri-urban areas (OR: 6.86). On the contrary, cats from peri-urban areas are more prone to contact with *T. gondii* (probability from 0.34 to 0.67) than their counterparts living in more urbanized areas (0.21–0.22, Figure 5).

4 | DISCUSSION

This work aimed to explore the exposure of free-roaming cats to three selected zoonotic pathogens by understanding the landscape variables that influence pathogen contact rates with cats. This approach was based on the use of complementary research tools to determine risk factors associated with the environmental characteristics of the study area. This provides more precise knowledge of the risk factors and, consequently, allows for more effective and localized implementation of control plans for these zoonoses in urban and peri-urban environments. Here, we provide a comprehensive assessment of the effect of landscape on the exposure of cats to *C. felis, C. burnetii* and *T. gondii* in a highly urbanized landscape. One of the limitations of our study has been that the sampled cats

| Table 2 | Seroprevalences (%) and CI95% of *Chlamydia felis*, *Coxiella burnetii*, *Toxoplasma gondii* and feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) according to host sex and age |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Agent** | **Sex** | **Age** |
| | **Male** | **Female** | **Young** | **Adult** |
| *C. felis* | 9 (4–10) | 9 (4–12) | 3 (0–6) | 10 (6–14) |
| *C. burnetii* | 34 (26–42) | 40 (30–46) | 23 (5–33) | 40 (34–47) |
| *T. gondii* | 40 (30–46) | 45 (37–52) | 32 (12–42) | 45 (39–51) |
| FIV | 1.5 (0–3) | 0.6 (0–1) | 0 | 1.3 (0–2) |

| Table 3 | GLM results for *Chlamydia felis*, *Coxiella burnetii* and *Toxoplasma gondii* |
|---|---|---|
| **Predictors** | **OR (CI95%)** | **p-value** |
| Reduced GLM of *C. felis* | | |
| Percentage of crop area | 0.04 (0.0003–1) | .14 |
| Percentage of water surface area | 1.74e−08 (1.3e−18–0.065) | .05 |
| AIC: 69 | quasi R²: .23 |
| Reduced GLM of *C. burnetii* | | |
| % of crop area | 0.12 (0.006–1) | .15 |
| % of orchard and other farming areas | 6.86 (0.89–56) | .067 |
| % of shrub and small bush areas | 4.15e−09 (3.7e–16–0.01) | .01 |
| % of urban area | 5.06 (1.3–20) | .02 |
| Human population size | 0.99 (0.9999873–0.99999885) | .02 |
| AIC: 93 | quasi R²: .45 |
| Reduced GLM of *T. gondii* | | |
| % of orchard and other farming areas | 0.13 (0.02–0.7) | .02 |
| AIC: 87 | quasi R²: .16 |
FIGURE 3  Probability of occurrence and intervals of *Chlamydia felis* prevalence in the municipality of Murcia
FIGURE 4  Probability of occurrence and intervals of *Coxiella burnetii* prevalence in the municipality of Murcia
Probability of occurrence and intervals of Toxoplasma gondii prevalence in the municipality of Murcia.

FIGURE 5  Probability of occurrence and intervals of Toxoplasma gondii prevalence in the municipality of Murcia.
could not be accurately geo-referenced. Another limitation has been the number of samples per district, which in some cases was less than 5. Finally, although serological studies based on the detection of antibodies should be interpreted with caution, since they do not unequivocally indicate that the animal is a carrier of the pathogens investigated, they are useful to know, indirectly, the spatial distribution of pathogens, since contact with them generates a serological response in the host.

Several surveys have been carried out on domestic ruminants, highlighting the relevant role of *C. burnetii* as a zoonotic agent (Astobiza et al., 2012; García-Pérez et al., 2009; Ruiz-Fons et al., 2010), although the risk of transmission from cats to humans has not been previously investigated in the Iberian Peninsula. Candela et al. (2017) reported *C. burnetii* infection in free-living European wildcats (*Felis sylvestris sylvestris*) in Central Spain, suggesting that this wild feline should be considered as part of the epidemiological cycle, just like domestic and feral cats. Other authors have reported high seroprevalence of *C. burnetii* in cats; specifically, Meredith et al. (2014) found a prevalence of 61.5% in rural cats in the United Kingdom, and Komiya et al. (2003) detected a prevalence of 41.6% in stray cats in Japan. Our study revealed a high probability of *C. burnetii* exposure (seroprevalence close to 40%) in adult free-roaming cats from urban and peri-urban areas. Together with the above-mentioned studies, our findings provide a valuable overview of high seroprevalence of *C. burnetii* in cats and, consequently, the potential zoonotic risk to humans should be of wide interest, considering the public health threat posed by this pathogen (Espejo et al., 2014; Murcia et al., 2002; Ruiz-Seco et al., 2011).

The GLM analysis highlighted the link between urban and peri-urban landscapes and the risk of pathogen infection at the district level. We detected a significant relationship between *C. burnetii* exposure, not only with more urbanized and densely populated areas, but also with the more populated traditional orchard areas surrounding the metropolitan area of Murcia. *C. burnetii* has a very wide host range that may serve to amplify hosts, favouring transmission to humans in densely populated urban areas (Comer et al., 2001; Meredith et al., 2014). These hosts include domestic animals (cats, dogs and cattle), commensal rodents (*Rattus norvegicus and Mus musculus*), wild birds and lagomorphs. Important landscape alterations have occurred in the metropolitan area of Murcia over the centuries, resulting in alterations to ecotones and the creation of new and multiple human–domestic–wild interfaces, which have shaped changes in density and distribution of wildlife species (Mata Olmo & Fernández Muñoz, 2004). Under this perspective, free-roaming cats have the opportunity to consume wild prey, which can be reservoirs of *C. burnetii* (Meredith et al., 2014). Other factors that may increase contact between conspecifics, and thus, the risk of disease transmission in urban areas is the smaller (Dards, 1978) and overlapping home ranges of free-roaming cats, due to the greater abundance of trophic resources, either because of prey abundance (Fitzgerald & Karl, 1979; Lieber, 1980) or the supplementary food provided to cat colonies by local residents (Natoli et al., 2006).

Although the transmission of *C. burnetii* by ticks is still debated, the rise of tick populations in urban and peri-urban areas is of particular importance considering that this arthropod is able to amplify sources of *C. burnetii* infection by vertical transmission to their progeny (i.e., transstadially and transovarially) (Walker & Fishbein, 1991). In urban and peri-urban habitats in Europe, rodents, hedgehogs, shrews, birds, lizards and pets (dogs and cats), as well as red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in peri-urban areas, play a major role as hosts and reservoirs of tick-borne pathogens (Pfäffle et al., 2013). Some authors have also claimed that ticks may play an important role in the maintenance of *C. burnetii* infection in rodents and lagomorphs (Marrie et al., 1993), and thus, a very complex network of transmission could be present in an urban area. In fact, increasing urbanization may alter the biology and population density of ticks and hosts and, accordingly, could lead to an increased risk of vector-borne disease emergence in peri-urban and urban areas (Barbu et al., 2013; Rizzoli et al., 2014).

It is interesting to note that the percentage of shrub and small bush areas in peri-urban zones in the selected model shows a protective effect, which contradicts our initial hypothesis that hedges, rows and shrubs, which harbour greater biodiversity and therefore more ticks, may favour exposure to *C. burnetii*. Interpreting this result is difficult, but it is known that in semi-arid landscapes (such as Murcia), shrubs and small bushes are important determinants of biodiversity (Chu et al., 2015; Giladi et al., 2007) and ecosystem enrichment (Eldridge et al., 2011). Thus, these landscapes favour the presence of predators (medium-sized birds of prey, lizards, snakes, etc.) that reduce rodent populations in urban and peri-urban areas (Aronson et al., 2017; Lepczyk et al., 2017). No correlation was detected between seroprevalence and unirrigated agricultural landscapes, frequent in the south of Murcia, and with a greater presence of sheep and goat farms.

Cats play a central role in the epidemiology of *T. gondii* (Robert-Gangneux & Dardé, 2012) because felids are the only definitive hosts that can excrete oocysts in the environment. Although cats shed oocysts for a short period after primoinfection (Dubey, 2010), some individuals may have new episodes of oocyst shedding during their lifetime (Zulpo et al., 2018). In this study, the seroprevalence of *T. gondii* was the highest among the pathogens studied (42%). A comparison to other studies in the Iberian Peninsula shows a similar prevalence, within the range observed in the central (52%, Gauss et al., 2003) and north-eastern part of the Iberian Peninsula (37%, Miró et al., 2004). In these same studies, a statistically significant higher prevalence in adults was found. A similar difference was found in our study, although not statistically significant. However, these studies are difficult to compare due to different epidemiological situations of the cat populations. The prevalence of *T. gondii* may differ between specific areas of a country and even within a single city (Barros et al., 2018; Dubey, 2010). This is because the prevalence depends on factors such as the presence of intermediate hosts (mainly birds and small mammals), the availability of infected prey (Hill & Dubey, 2002; although some studies did not detect a relationship between prevalence in rodents and infected cats—see
De Feo et al., 2002) and oocyst accumulation in the soil (Shapiro et al., 2019). In urban areas, the survival of oocysts can be influenced by the increase in green spaces (Aronson et al., 2017; Yilmaz & Hopkins, 1972) and by the patterns of defecation of cats. Cat colonies often defecate repeatedly in specific areas called latrines, and areas favourable for cat defecation (such as urban parks and gardens) have been shown to increase the presence of oocysts in the soil (Afonso et al., 2008; Simon et al., 2019).

In our study, *T. gondii* seroprevalence does not appear to be influenced by urbanization and human population density, nor by the increased presence of intermediate hosts associated with peri-urban environments (Aronson et al., 2017; Barros et al., 2018; Hill & Dubey, 2002). The risk of *T. gondii* is medium to high (0.34–0.67) in almost the entire municipality of Murcia, and based on results from the GLM analysis, the presence of orchard and farming areas was a decreasing factor to infection in peri-urban environments. Studies indicate that rural cats are more likely to be infected than urban cats (Oi et al., 2015), because in rural areas, which have a higher percentage of permanent crop areas, cats may have larger home ranges and thus less focused deposition places. The seroprevalence found in the peri-urban territory studied does not correlate with non-irrigated cropland landscapes, and decreases in our case, with the existence of trees and permanent crops in irrigated areas. However, further studies should be carried out to elucidate these issues.

The presence of trees and permanent crops in irrigated peri-urban areas, and shrubs and bushes in dryland areas, are landscape features that reduce the exposure of free-roaming cats to pathogens such as *T. gondii* and *C. burnetii*. Conversely, urbanization and population density increase the contact with *C. burnetii*. Therefore, seroprevalence in cats in a given area may serve as a sentinel not only for the prevalence of *T. gondii* infection in the definitive host (Dubey et al., 1995), but also for anthropogenic disturbance for the maintenance of *T. gondii* infections (Barros et al., 2018), bacteria and oocyst environmental contamination and, consequently, for the health risk to the human population in this area.

To our knowledge, very few studies have been carried out on *C. felis* in the Iberian Peninsula. Specifically, Millán and Rodríguez (2009) reported a seroprevalence of 27% in wild cats in central Spain, and Ravicini et al. (2016) found that 2.6% of stray cats were positive to *C. felis* with PCR in Catalonia. Several studies have reported *C. felis* prevalence in feline populations in other countries; in Slovakia, an overall positivity of 17.1% was found by PCR in cats living in different environments (Halánová et al., 2011); in central China, a seroprevalence of 5.9% was found in domestic cats (Wu et al., 2013), and a 20% seroprevalence was found in Iran (Maazi et al., 2016). In our study, *C. felis* seroprevalence was lower (8%) in comparison with most of these studies. Differences in *C. felis* exposure could be due to different environmental and climatic factors. Specifically, Murcia is characterized by the typical Mediterranean semi-arid subtropical climate, with hot summers and mild winters, which could reduce the extra-host survival of the bacterium (Gruffydd-Jones et al., 2009), a pattern that was well depicted by the risk map, with a low probability of *C. felis* occurrence in the whole municipality. The GLM identified the percentage of water surface as a protective factor. *C. felis* seems to be highly unstable outside the host and remains infectious for a short time in the environment (Halánová et al., 2019), although other Chlamydia-like organisms are heat-resistant and able to survive in the environment and in artificial water networks (Coulon et al., 2012). Considering the dry landscape of Murcia and the surrounding neighbourhood, most water areas are human-made water supplies to agriculture. The presence of these areas implies a reduction in biological and ecological diversity. Thus, they might be interpreted as a proxy of low animal density as well as a low richness of potential host for *C. felis*.

In our study, the prevalence rate for FIV was low and in accordance with those reported in other areas of Spain (Arjona et al., 2000; Ravicini et al., 2016). Of the three cats positive for FIV, two were also seropositive for other pathogens. About 20% of free-roaming cats tested were found to have two pathogens, and 15% had three pathogens, suggesting that some areas have higher exposure to various pathogens than others, and that there are risk factors that favour these rates of pathogen contact in cats (Candela et al., 2009).

To ensure public health in urban and peri-urban landscapes, preventive measures need to focus on cat colony management, environmental enrichment, conservation of dryland bush landscapes and health education for sheep and goat farmers. To reduce pathogen exposure in free-roaming cats and cat colonies in the Municipality of Murcia, we recommended (a) enhancing sanitary surveillance measures in cat colonies (serological testing, complying with the principles of hygiene, deworming, therapy and vaccination of animals) because contact with free-roaming cats or cats living in colonies could be a *C. burnetii* risk factor for keepers and veterinarians (Komiya et al., 2003); (b) free-roaming cat population surveillance, taking into account that these animals in urban landscapes are more likely to be infected with *C. burnetii* than indoor pet cats and are considered an important route to transmission to humans (Ma et al., 2020); (c) implementing population control measures in cat colonies, and controlling cat feed support and over-densification through planned captures of cats for sterilization (Natoli et al., 2006), because high population density may be associated with the spread of *C. felis* and with disease severity (Gonsales et al., 2016; Halánová et al., 2019); (d) eliminating free-roaming cat feeding points that do not provide adequate sanitary and hygiene conditions to prevent *C. felis* human disease (Wu et al., 2013); and (e) measuring soil contamination by *T. gondii* oocyst estimation in green areas near cat colonies (Afonso et al., 2008).

Trees and permanent crops in irrigated peri-urban areas, and shrubs and bushes in dryland areas, are landscape features that reduce the exposure of feral cats to pathogens such as *T. gondii* and *C. burnetii*. Conversely, urbanization and population density increase the presence of *C. burnetii*. To reduce the risk of human exposure to these pathogens by increasing biodiversity, the environmental restoration of vegetation loss in urban areas and its conservation in peri-urban environments is likely necessary (Aronson et al., 2017; Barros et al., 2018; Lepczyk et al., 2017). On
the contrary, cats suspected of having been exposed to *C. burnetii* at a positive farm might shed infective forms during parturition, becoming a potential and mobile vector for spreading the infection to other conspecifics, nearby farms and homes in the area (Cyr et al., 2021). In addition, health education of small ruminant farmers should be provided, especially regarding sheep vaccination, indoor lambing, appropriate disposal of placentas and litter to prevent the spread of the pathogen to other domestic and wild species that might consume these lambing remains. Wind spreading of manure infected with *C. burnetii* in unirrigated agricultural landscapes is a factor that can only be monitored and not prevented. Finally, tick prevention measures are recommended (Egberink et al., 2013).

Operational strategies to increase the effectiveness of zoonotic pathogen detection and apply appropriate prevention and control measures should be focussed on those hotspots where pathogen spread or risk factors have been detected (Ortega et al., 2020). The detection of seroprevalence in cats in a given area may serve as a proxy not only for the prevalence of infection in the host (Dubey et al., 1995), but also for anthropogenic disturbance for the maintenance of infections (Barros et al., 2018), bacteria and oocyst environmental contamination, and consequently for the health risk to humans in this area. Interdisciplinary collaboration of policy makers and public health, veterinary and human health professionals is required to improve our understanding on the dynamics of zoonoses in urban areas.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

Disease dynamics in urban and peri-urban areas are complex, as they involve the presence of varied hosts in a human–domestic–wild interface. Therefore, a One Health approach, bringing together professionals from human medicine, veterinary medicine, biology, geography and landscaping, is necessary to address the challenges posed by the spread of zoonotic pathogens in areas with high human population density. Our study indicates that (a) free-roaming cats are sentinels for detecting zoonotic pathogens in anthropized areas, (b) peri-urban agricultural areas influence the contact of free-roaming cats with *C. felis*, *C. burnetii* and *T. gondii*, and (c) urbanization is a risk factor for *C. burnetii* infection in free-roaming cats. These results should be the basis of further studies to deepen knowledge on the epidemiology of these pathogens. The findings of our study highlight the potential public health threat posed by cats in urban areas, if proper preventive and control measures, as well as proper hygienic practices, are not applied.
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