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We determine the shear viscosity of the ultracold Fermi gas at unitarity in the normal phase using hydrodynamic expansion data. The analysis is based on a generalized fluid dynamic framework which ensures a smooth transition between the liquid dynamic core of the cloud and the ballistic corona. We use expansion data taken by Joseph et al. [1] and measurements of the equation of state by Ku et al. [2]. We find that the shear viscosity to particle density ratio just above the critical temperature is $\eta/n|_{T_c} = 0.41 \pm 0.11$. We also obtain evidence that the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio has a minimum slightly above $T_c$ with $\eta/s|_{\min} = 0.50 \pm 0.10$.

Introduction: The dilute Fermi gas at unitarity is a very attractive physical system for studying the transport properties of strongly correlated quantum fluids [3–5]. From a theoretical point of view the unitary Fermi gas is a parameter-free, scale invariant, and intrinsically quantum mechanical many-body system. A lot of interest has centered on the question of how close the viscosity to entropy density ratio of this system comes to the proposed string theory bound $\eta/s = \hbar/(4\pi k_B)\,\!$ [6]. Experimentally, the unitary Fermi gas can be realized in dilute atomic gases using Feshbach resonances [7, 8]. The experimental control provided by Feshbach resonances implies that we can study the transition from the strongly correlated unitary Fermi gas to weakly coupled Bose and Fermi gases.

In this work we focus on the problem of extracting the shear viscosity of the unitary Fermi gas from experiments with trapped ultracold gases [1, 9–16]. Our main interest is in the low temperature regime, where the density dependence of the shear viscosity is relevant, and the minimum of $\eta/s$ is likely to be achieved. There are two main types of experiments that are relevant to this problem. The first class involves measuring the damping rate of collective excitations, and the second focuses on the expansion of the cloud after removing the trapping potential. From a theoretical perspective the damping experiments would appear to be more attractive, because even a very small viscosity leads to a clear signature in the exponential decay of the collective mode. In practice, however, the expansion experiments take place in a cleaner environment and have achieved greater accuracy. In an expansion experiment what is observed is the time evolution of the aspect ratio of the cloud. Hydrodynamic pressure gradients accelerate the cloud along the short direction, so that the aspect ratio increases as a function of time. Viscosity counteracts the pressure gradients, and slows the growth of the aspect ratio. These flow experiments are very similar to elliptic flow experiments in relativistic heavy ion physics [17–19].

The main difficulty in analyzing these experiments is that the viscosity $\eta(n, T)$ is a local quantity that varies with the density $n$ and temperature $T$ of the cloud, while the observed aspect ratio is a global property of the trapped gas. This means that the dependence of the data on initial cloud energy, particle number, and expansion time has to be unfolded to determine $\eta(n, T)$. An even more significant problem is that the viscosity is a parameter that appears in the fluid dynamic description of the cloud. However, fluid dynamics breaks down in the dilute, dissipative corona of the gas.

We have recently made significant progress in dealing with the physics of the dilute corona. We have introduced a new method, anisotropic fluid dynamics [20–22], that takes into account the effects of non-hydrodynamic modes. These modes quickly relax in the dense part of the cloud so that Navier-Stokes fluid dynamics is recovered. In the dilute corona non-hydrodynamic modes ensure a smooth transition to a free-streaming, ballistic expansion. We have checked numerically that anisotropic fluid dynamics reproduces the Navier-Stokes equation in the dense limit [20] as well as numerical solutions of the Boltzmann equation in the dilute regime [23, 24]. We have also shown that the anisotropic fluid dynamics, combined with the kinetic theory prediction for the shear viscosity $\eta = 15/(32\sqrt{\pi})(mT)^{5/2}$ [25], reproduces the high temperature expansion data obtained in [13]. Note that here and in the remainder of the paper we set $\hbar$ and $k_B$ equal to unity.

In this work we extend our studies to lower temperature. For this purpose we fit the expansion data to a systematic expansion of the viscosity in powers of the density. We show that the data clearly demand that the shear viscosity has non-trivial density dependence. We also show that the density dependence in the normal phase is quite smooth, and that the existing data place strong constraints on $\eta/n$ near $T_c$. This study requires several refinements of our previous work. We extend the fluid dynamic analysis to three dimensional systems with no axial symmetry. We include an accurate parametrization of the measured equation of state, and a more general functional form of the shear viscosity.

Anisotropic fluid dynamics: In this section we briefly summarize the anisotropic fluid dynamics method [20]. The fluid dynamical variables that characterize a non-relativistic fluid in the normal phase are the mass density $\rho$, the momentum density $\pi = \rho \bar{u}$, and the energy density
The equations of motion follow from the conservation laws

\[ D_0 \rho = -\rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}, \tag{1} \]
\[ D_0 u_i = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_j (\delta_{ij} P + \delta \Pi_{ij}), \tag{2} \]
\[ D_0 \epsilon = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_i (u_i P + \delta \Pi_i) + \frac{P}{\rho} \Delta \rho. \tag{3} \]

Here, we defined the comoving time derivative \( D_0 = \partial_0 + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \), the energy per mass \( \epsilon = \mathcal{E}/\rho \), and the pressure \( P \). We also introduce the energy density in the rest frame of the fluid, \( \mathcal{E}^0 = \mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \vec{u}^2 \). In order for the equations to close we have to provide an equation of state \( P = \mathcal{E}^0(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \), and constitutive equations for the dissipative stresses \( \delta \Pi_{ij} \) and the dissipative energy current \( \delta \Pi_i \). For the unitary Fermi gas scale invariance implies that \( P = \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{E}^0 \).

In the Navier-Stokes approximation the dissipative stresses are expanded to first order in gradients of the thermodynamic variables. We get \( \delta \Pi_{ij} = -\eta \sigma_{ij} \) with

\[ \sigma_{ij} = \nabla_i u_j + \nabla_j u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \]

and \( \delta \Pi_i = u_i \delta \Pi \). Scale invariance implies that the bulk viscosity vanishes. We have also used the fact that in expansion experiments the effects of heat conduction are of higher order in the gradient expansion. This is related to the fact that the initial temperature is constant, and that the expansion of an ideal gas preserves the isothermal nature of the temperature profile [26].

In anisotropic fluid dynamics we treat the components of the dissipative stress tensor as independent fluid dynamical variables. The symmetries of the trap imply that the stresses are diagonal. We define anisotropic components of the pressure, \( P_a \) for \( a = 1, 2, 3 \), and define

\[ \delta \Pi_{ij} = \text{diag}(\Delta P_1, \Delta P_2, \Delta P_3), \tag{5} \]

where \( \Delta P_a = P_a - P \). We also define anisotropic components of the energy density \( \mathcal{E}_a \) such that \( \mathcal{E} = \sum_a \mathcal{E}_a \). The anisotropic components of the energy per mass satisfy the equation of motion [20]

\[ D_0 \epsilon_a = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_i [\delta_{ia} u_i P + (\delta \epsilon_a)] - \frac{P}{2 \eta \rho} \Delta P_a, \tag{6} \]

where \( \epsilon_a = \mathcal{E}_a/\rho \) and \( (\delta \epsilon_a)_i = \delta_{ia} u_j \delta \Pi_{ij} \). The anisotropic pressure is related to the anisotropic energy density by an equation of state. In the case of a scale invariant fluid we have \( P_a(\mathcal{E}_a^0) = 2 \mathcal{E}_a^0 \) with \( \mathcal{E}_a^0 = \mathcal{E}_a - \frac{1}{2} \rho u_i^2 \). Then \( P = \frac{2}{3} \sum_a P_a \) satisfies the isotropic equation of state, and eqn. (6) gives the isotropic equation of energy conservation eqn. (3) when summed over \( a \). In our previous work we have described a three dimensional fluid dynamics code that solves eqns. (1)-(3) and eqn. (6) [20, 26]. This code is based on the PPM scheme of Colella and Woodward [27, 28].

We have shown that in the limit of small viscosity, \( \eta (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}) \ll P \), the anisotropic pressure terms relax to the viscous stress tensor in Navier Stokes theory, \( \Delta P_a = -\eta \sigma_{aa} \). We observe that in the opposite limit, that of very large viscosity, eqn. (6) becomes a conservation law. This conservation law ensures that anisotropic fluid dynamics reproduces the free streaming limit. Finally, we have checked that anisotropic fluid dynamics provides a very accurate representation of numerical solutions of the Boltzmann equation in the limit that two-body scattering dominates [24].

In general the viscosity is a function of density and temperature. In the general limit scale invariance implies that \( \eta(n, T) = (mT)^{3/2} f(n \lambda^3) \), where \( \lambda = [(2\pi)/(mT)]^{1/2} \) is the de Broglie wave length. In this work we will expand the function \( f(x) \) in powers of the diluteness of the gas

\[ \eta(n, T) = \eta_0 (mT)^{3/2} \left\{ 1 + \eta_2 \left( n \lambda^3 \right) + \eta_3 \left( n \lambda^3 \right)^2 + \ldots \right\}. \tag{7} \]

We note that the leading term is purely a function of temperature, the first correction is solely a function of density, and higher order terms depend on increasing powers of the density. In general this expansion is not expected to be useful near \( T_c \), but we will show that terms that scale as \( (n \lambda^3)^2 \) and higher are surprisingly small.

**Experimental parameters:** We will analyze the expansion data reported in [1]. This work represents the most complete set of elliptic flow measurements for the unitary Fermi gas over a wide range of temperatures currently available. The gas is released from a harmonic trap \( V_{ext} = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \) with trap frequencies \( (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = (2\pi/2210, 830, 64.3) \) Hz. After the optical trap is turned off there is a residual magnetic bowl characterized by \( \omega_{mag} = 2\pi \cdot 21.5 \) Hz. The total energy per particle of the gas varies between \( E/(N E_F) = (0.56 - 1.91) \). Here, \( N \) is the number of particles and \( E_F \equiv (3N)^{1/3} \bar{\omega} \), where \( \bar{\omega} \) is the geometric mean of the trap frequencies.

The energy and temperature of the cloud are extracted using absorption images and an equation of state \( \mathcal{E}^0(n, T) \). We describe a parametrization of the equation of state measured by the MIT group [2] in the Supplemental Material, see also [26, 29, 30]. Based on this equation of state we find that the critical energy where superfluidity occurs at the center of the trap is \( E/(N E_F) = 0.70 \). In the high temperature limit many relations simplify. For example, the total cloud energy is given by \( E = 3N \). We will characterize the initial temperature using the dimensionless ratio \( T/T_F \), where \( T_F = E_F \).

**Scaling of the aspect ratio with the initial energy:** Expansion experiments measure the time evolution of the aspect ratio \( A_R(t) \) for different initial energies and particle numbers. The experiment of Joseph et al. [1] focuses on the ratio \( \sigma_x/\sigma_y \), which reaches its asymptotic behavior more quickly than \( \sigma_x/\sigma_z \) or \( \sigma_y/\sigma_z \). The radii \( \sigma_i \) are determined from a Gaussian fit to two-dimensional absorption images. As noted in [23] it is important to follow this definition when analyzing the data using transport
theory. In particular, there is a significant difference between the ratio of rms radii, $\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle}/\sqrt{\langle y^2 \rangle}$, and the ratio of Gaussian fit radii, $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$. This is the case even if the initial density distribution is a Gaussian.

Joseph et al. observed that the main information about the density and temperature dependence of $\eta(n, T)$ is not carried by the time dependence of $A_R(t)$ for fixed initial energy, but by the dependence of $A_R(t^*)$ at a fixed time $t^*$ on the initial energy. In Fig. 1 we show $A_R(t^*) = \sigma_x/\sigma_y$ as a function of $E/(NE_F)$ at $t^* = 1.2$ msec. Note that the plot covers a fairly narrow range in $A_R$. Individual data points are more accurate than previously published data, which spanned a much larger range in aspect ratio.

A difficulty in interpreting the results is that the data points correspond to a range of particle numbers. The data are clustered around a mean $\bar{N} = 1.94 \cdot 10^5$, and the variance in $N^{1/3}$, which is relevant to the effective viscosity, is about $7\%$. We show all the data points on the same plot, but when performing hydrodynamic fits we use the correct number of particles for each individual data point.

Figure 1 shows a fit to the data based on the high temperature theory only. This means that we use the free gas equation of state, and only the first coefficient, $\eta_0$, in the virial expansion of the shear viscosity. The best fit to the high temperature data gives $\eta_0 = 0.301$ which is somewhat higher than the value $\eta_0 = 0.264$ predicted by kinetic theory. The best fit value shifts slightly if the full equation of state is used, but the shape of $A_R(t^*)$ as a function of $E/(NE_F)$ does not change. We observe that the data at lower energy clearly demand a more complicated functional form of the shear viscosity.

Figure 2 shows a fit to the data above the superfluid transition based on the full equation of state and an expansion of the shear viscosity up to second order in density. The best fit is

$$\eta_0 = 0.265 \pm 0.02, \quad \eta_2 = 0.060 \pm 0.02,$$

and $\eta_3 = -(2 \pm 8) \cdot 10^{-4}$. We observe that the $n^2$ coefficient is consistent with zero within error bars. We also find that the fit is stable with respect to including higher order terms in $n$. The $\chi^2/N_{\text{ dof}}$ of the fit is of order unity, indicating that this simple model provides a very good representation of all the data in the entire regime above the superfluid phase transition. We note that $\eta_0$ agrees to better than $1\%$ with the kinetic theory prediction $\eta_0 = 0.264$.

**Conclusions:** Our determination of $\eta/n$ for the homogeneous Fermi gas is shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The result

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**FIG. 1:** Aspect ratio $A_R = \sigma_x/\sigma_y$ at $t^* = 1.2$ msec as a function of the energy $E/(NE_F)$ of the cloud. Data (gray points) compared to hydrodynamic fits based on the equation of state of a free gas. The solid red line corresponds to the shear viscosity $\eta = \eta_0 (mT)^{3/2}$ predicted by kinetic theory, and the dashed and dotted line show the ±25% and ±50% range in $\eta_0$. The thick green line is the best fit to the high energy data, corresponding to $\eta_0 = 0.301$.
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**FIG. 2:** Aspect ratio $A_R = \sigma_x/\sigma_y$ at $t^* = 1.2$ msec as a function of the energy $E/(NE_F)$ of the cloud. Data (gray points) compared to hydrodynamic fits based on the measured equation of state. The red short-dashed line shows the high temperature fit, the blue dashed line includes density corrections, and the green solid line contains a density squared term.
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**FIG. 3:** In this figure we show the reconstructed ratio $\eta/n$ as a function of $T/T_F$ for a homogeneous gas. The local Fermi temperature is defined as $T_F = k_F/(2m)$, where $k_F$ is defined via the density of the gas, $n = k_F^3/(3\pi^2)$. The red line shows the density expansion together with the error band described in the text. The curves terminate at $T_c$. The gray dots show the reconstruction obtained in [1], and the dashed line shows the $T$-matrix calculation of Enss et al. [31].
is shown as a function of $T/T_F^{loc}$, where $T_F^{loc} = k_F^2/(2m)$ is the local Fermi temperature of the gas. The best fit to the data, shown as the thick red line in Fig. 3, is

$$\eta/n = 2.773 x^{3/2} + 0.251 - 0.0013 x^{-3/2},$$

where $x = T/T_F^{loc}$. The coefficients in equ. (9) are given by the central values of $\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2$ normalized by the density $n$. The local Fermi moment $k_F$ is defined in terms of the density of the gas, $n = k_F^3/(3\pi^2)$. We show the reconstruction for temperatures above the critical temperature $T_c = 0.167(13) T_F^{loc}$ [2]. We find that the value of the viscosity at $T_c$ is $\eta/n|_{T_c} = 0.41 \pm 0.11$. We have not attempted to reconstruct the shear viscosity below $T_c$, since a proper treatment of this regime requires superfluid hydrodynamics.

For comparison the gray data points show the reconstructed values of $\eta/n$ obtained in the experimental work of Joseph et al. [1]. These results are based on the same expansion data, but involve a number of assumptions [35]. The main assumption is that there is a critical radius $R_F^{crit}$ so that the atomic cloud inside this radius can be described as a viscous fluid, and the particles outside the radius are a non-interacting gas. The critical radius is assumed to be a constant fraction of the cloud size. The overall constant is adjusted to reproduce the expected behavior of the high temperature viscosity, $\eta \sim \eta_0(mT)^{3/2}$. This implies that the agreement of the data points with kinetic theory for large $T/T_F^{loc}$ is not a result, but an input. In contrast, the agreement of our reconstruction with kinetic theory is a non-trivial result. There is some discrepancy between the two reconstructions in the regime $T = (0.2-1.0)T_F^{loc}$. In this regime our result for $\eta/n$ is systematically lower. This makes sense if one assumes that as the temperature is lowered and the viscosity drops the effective fluid radius increases. This implies that assuming a constant radius of the fluid core leads to an overestimate of the viscosity. It is interesting that directly at $T_c$ the two reconstructions agree.

We also show the $T$-matrix calculation of Enss et al. [31], which agrees quite well with our reconstructed viscosity near $T_c$. It will be interesting to study the physical consequences of this result, for example possible implications for quasi-particle models. We also show the lattice calculation of Wlazlowski et al. [32]. The calculation does not match the shape of our reconstruction, and has a substantially smaller $\eta/n|_{T_c}$.

Finally, Fig. 5 shows the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density, based on our reconstruction of $\eta/n$ and the measurement of $s/n$ by the MIT group [2]. The result is compared to high and low temperature predictions for $\eta/s$ in kinetic theory [25, 33]. We find a shallow minimum of $\eta/s|_{min} = 0.50 \pm 0.10$ slightly above $T_c$. The minimum is related to the fact that the entropy per particle drops significantly as $T_c$ is approached from above, whereas no structure is seen in $\eta/n$. We note that at present we can only weakly exclude (at about $1\sigma$) a minimum in $\eta/s$ at or below $T_c$. A minimum in $\eta/s$ above $T_c$ was predicted in [34], but is in tension with the Monte Carlo data in [32].
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