Integrating Environment and Aging Research: Opportunities for Synergy and Acceleration
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Despite significant overlaps in mission, the fields of environmental health sciences and aging biology are just beginning to intersect. It is increasingly clear that genetics alone does not predict an individual's neurological aging and sensitivity to disease. Accordingly, aging neuroscience is a growing area of mutual interest within environmental health sciences. The impetus for this review came from a workshop hosted by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in June of 2020, which focused on integrating the science of aging and environmental health research. It is critical to bridge disciplines with multidisciplinary collaborations across toxicology, comparative biology, epidemiology to understand the impacts of environmental toxicant exposures and age-related outcomes. This scoping review aims to highlight overlaps and gaps in existing knowledge and identify essential research initiatives. It begins with an overview of aging biology and biomarkers, followed by examples of synergy with environmental health sciences. New areas for synergistic research and policy development are also discussed. Technological advances including next-generation sequencing and other-omics tools now offer new opportunities, including exposomic research, to integrate aging biomarkers into environmental health assessments and bridge disciplinary gaps. This is necessary to advance a more complete mechanistic understanding of how life-time exposures to toxicants and other physical and social stressors alter biological aging. New cumulative risk frameworks in environmental health sciences acknowledge that exposures and other external stressors can accumulate across the life course and the advancement of new biomarkers of exposure and response grounded in aging biology can support increased understanding of population vulnerability. Identifying the role of environmental stressors, broadly defined, on aging biology and neuroscience can similarly advance opportunities for...
INTRODUCTION

By 2050, one in five adults living in the United States will be over the age of 65 years. It is, therefore, of concern to determine how exposure to environmental factors encountered over the life span might influence the aging trajectory and affect the age-related health of individuals. How the aging process influences response to environmental toxicants and other external stressors is of concern. Health scientists have studied the impact of environmental toxicants on age-related diseases such as cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, cancer, and neurological disease as well as the role of genetic predisposition (Bektas et al., 2018; Franceschi et al., 2018). This research has identified an intersection between toxicant exposure and age-related health outcomes. Environmental health research has also identified several socioeconomic and societal factors that intersect with these adverse exposures and contribute to aging-related health inequalities among individuals and between communities.

Biological aging is closely related to environmental toxicity, starting with an individual’s diminished ability to metabolize, compensate, and recover from exposure to adverse stressors, all of which can lead to altered homeostasis of key biological systems (Bektas et al., 2018; Franceschi et al., 2018). Thus, aging processes and chronological age must be considered in assessing both how and when exposures become toxic and if there are cumulative effects that make the individual more vulnerable. Moreover, we know that other external environmental stressors experienced during the lifetime vary across individuals and populations. These stressors are diverse and include unstable, insecure, or unsafe housing conditions, food insecurity, or adverse experiences including trauma and discrimination. We are now beginning to appreciate how such stressors combined with environmental toxicants can impact human health, the aging process, and reflect age-related social vulnerability. For example, the SARS-COV-2 pandemic uncovered how environmental factors, biological phenotypes, and situational stressors can shape a population’s biological susceptibility and social vulnerability leading to an unequal burden of morbidity and mortality (Petroni et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Bourdrel et al., 2021).

Recent advances in our understanding of the normal aging process, the biological susceptibility of the aged population, and assessments within environmental health sciences set a solid framework to support and advance inter- and trans-disciplinary research efforts. Such collaborations are in their infancy but offer great potential. We acknowledge that aging is a complex physiological phenomenon that occurs across the lifecourse. Among the many factors contributing is antagonistic pleiotropy, an evolutionary mechanism of aging—with a genetic trade-off over the lifespan that may also influence how environmental toxicant exposures alter the aging process leading to variable exposure response relationships between individuals and across populations (Austad and Hoffman, 2018; Mittendorf, 2019; Byars and Voskarides, 2020). Research using systems biology approaches indicate that environmental and aging exposures appear to affect certain common key biological processes. These commonalities should facilitate a cross-integration of the two disciplines. Additionally, such research can provide an opportunity to inform novel programs and policies to improve population health and address disparities.

In June of 2020, the National Academies of Science and Engineering Standing Committee on the Use of Emerging Science for Environmental Health Decision-Making convened a workshop that focused on bridging gaps in aging research and environmental health sciences. The workshop entitled, “Integrating the Science of Aging and Environmental Health Research” convened multi-disciplinary researchers with expertise across exposure science, epidemiology, sociology, molecular biology, genetics, toxicology, and biological aging to identify knowledge gaps and research needs. The deliberations highlighted numerous opportunities to advance mechanistic understanding of the role of the environment in health and aging, while appreciating how the trajectory of aging is shaped by the broader intersection of environmental exposures. Figure 1 represents a framework that recognizes lifetime exposure to toxicants as contextually driven, acute or cumulative, dynamic, and often highly correlated with other external social stressors.

In the sections that follow, we broadly review key biological mechanisms in environmental health and aging research that could support and accelerate focused multi- and transdisciplinary partnerships. In addition to the review of key biological mechanisms of aging, we discuss advances in our understanding of the independence and intersection of environmental influences on aging processes, using examples of traditional chemical exposures as well as a more comprehensive framework that considers the totality of environmental exposures (chemical and non-chemical) (Agache et al., 2019; Niedzwiecki et al., 2019; Walker et al., 2019; Cheung et al., 2020). We end with a vision of how integration of environmental health sciences with...
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The Biology of Aging

Aging is a sequence initiated during development that sets the framework for later events (Barker et al., 1989; Wright, 2017; Ferrucci et al., 2020). The accumulation of different types of factors—biological and environmental—across the life span can modify this framework and shift or alter ongoing adaptations to age-related challenges. This can result in increased age-related biological susceptibility and/or damage later in life. The “Geroscience Hypothesis” states that strategies designed to modify biological drivers of aging will slow the progression of aging and prevent or delay the onset of chronic disease (Figure 2; Kohanski et al., 2016; Sierra, 2016a,b; Guerville et al., 2020). Within that same concept falls the idea that modifying factors, such as genetic, physiological, social, and environmental, can accelerate the process and/or diminish health status. A major premise of the hypothesis is that biological resilience represents an organism’s ability to successfully respond and adapt to challenging life conditions to maintain homeostatic balance in the face of damaging stress. This resilience is challenged by aging (Geronimus et al., 2006; Geronimus et al., 2010; Ferraro et al., 2017). Associated biological processes are highly effective at maintenance and repair early in life (Kirkwood, 2005). This is followed by a period of relative stability, becoming less so with age-related reductions in reserve biological capacity. An inflection point is reached when the ability of the body to compensate is no longer sufficient to maintain organismal homeostasis and health. As unrepaired damage accumulates beyond a functional threshold for repair, adverse health effects become evident (Ferrucci and Fabbri, 2018; Franceschi et al., 2018; Ferrucci et al., 2020).

The complex aging process represents a set of fundamental and interconnected biological processes, sometimes referred to as “hallmarks of aging” (Lopez-Otin et al., 2013; Guerville et al., 2020). The culmination of structural and functional changes that occur require continual adaptation that results in the gradual accumulation of molecular and cellular deficiencies or damage. The accumulating damage shifts normal immune and metabolic homeostasis, increasing potential for numerous aging-related diseases, frailty, and early mortality (Bektaš et al., 2018; Franceschi et al., 2018). Critical biological processes associated with aging include genomic instability, telomere attrition, epigenetic alterations, loss of proteostasis, altered metabolism, mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence, stem cell exhaustion, altered intercellular communication, macromolecular damage, and chronic low-grade inflammation.

Genomic Instability

Genomic instability, represented as DNA somatic mutation accumulation and the loss of efficient DNA repair mechanisms, has been proposed as an important driver of biological aging. DNA strand breaks resulting in epigenetic changes, transcriptional alterations, and telomere attrition are indicative of changing DNA repair mechanisms (Saha et al., 2008; Collins, 2014). Examination of telomere length as a molecular clock is supported by the observation of shortening of telomere length with age and thus, diminished protection from DNA damage response. Telomere length tends to be extremely heterogeneous across individuals, thus a challenge in biomarker development.
FIGURE 2 | Integrating the science of aging and environmental health.

(Lin et al., 2015; Puterman et al., 2015). Interestingly, changes observed in newborn telomere length may reflect the fetal and maternal environment (Mainous et al., 2014; Bosquet Enlow et al., 2019; Colicino et al., 2020; Cowell et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020).

Epigenetics
Epigenetics refers to the ensemble of mechanisms that define stable phenotypic characteristics and can modulate gene expression programs in response to environmental cues. Epigenetic modifications include DNA methylation, histone modification, chromatin remodeling, and non-coding RNA (Pal and Tyler, 2016). DNA methylation is easily assessed in circulating cells and is relatively stable over time, allowing for examination across aging and in age-related chronic diseases (Gensous et al., 2017; Belsky et al., 2018; Levine et al., 2018). During early-life periods, epigenetic mechanisms refine the genetic program that is responsive to environmental challenges; which is followed by continuous epigenetic tuning over the life span (Brieno-Enriquez et al., 2015). Epigenetic tuning is involved in multiple aspects of aging biology, including genetic repair mechanisms, inflammation and immune homeostasis, and receptor mediated responses. Changes may be adaptive or conversely contribute to adverse responses in later life, resulting in chronic disease or early mortality (Barker et al., 1989; Wadhwa et al., 2009; Pembrey et al., 2014; Ben-Shlomo et al., 2016). Several “epigenetic clocks” derived from a constellation of DNA methylation sites that track with multiple indicators of aging related biomarkers of disease and with chronological aging have been used to assess differences between chronological and biological age (Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013; Knight et al., 2016; Maierhofer et al., 2017; Sehl et al., 2017; Levine et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019). These clocks have become increasingly used to estimate resilience in responding to the long-term influence of environmental exposures (Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2016; Dhingra et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2018; Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2020; Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2021b).

Proteostasis, Mitochondrial Dysfunction, and Cellular Senescence
Alterations in cellular processes such as proteostasis and cellular senescence also occur during aging. Proteostasis is a process by which the organism repairs, recycles, and eliminates damaged macromolecules to maintain cell integrity and function (Cuervo et al., 2005; Cuervo and Macian, 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). This becomes greatly reduced during the aging process. The accumulation of damaged mitochondria and mitochondrial DNA during aging can also reduce energy availability and increases levels of reactive oxygen species leading to macromolecule damage (Elfawy and Das, 2019). Changes in mtDNA copy number and degree of heteroplasmy in human blood cells and tissue biopsies provide information on mitochondrial physiology relevant to aging and age-related diseases (Zhang et al., 2017; McDermott et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2018; Vaz Fragoso et al., 2019). Cellular senescence is a stress response mechanism involving replication arrest and other complex cellular changes (Rodier and Campisi, 2011; Munoz-Espin and Serrano, 2014; Song et al., 2020). The accumulation of senescent cells and negative effects of associated proteins on cell matrix and progenitor cells can contribute to tissue degeneration and dysfunction. Related
blood biomarkers are based on the assumption that the associated proteins in tissue are released into the circulation (Bernardes de Jesus and Blasco, 2012).

**Inflammation**

Inflammation is a common hallmark of numerous age-related diseases (Hood and Amir, 2017b; Furman et al., 2019) manifesting as chronic low-grade systemic inflammation or "inflammaging" (Franceschi and Campisi, 2014; Fulop et al., 2017; Ferrucci and Fabbri, 2018). Inflammaging also encompass alterations in mitochondrial function and dysregulation of the inflammatory response (Hood and Amir, 2017b; Costantini et al., 2018; Furman et al., 2019). As one example, the inflammasome pathway represents a complex process that is sensitive to stress/danger signals and may shift in responsivity over the life-time (Swanson et al., 2019). Several nuclear receptors involved in environmental metabolism, including the aryl-hydrocarbon receptors (AhR), have been cited as important regulators of immune homeostasis leading to chronic inflammation; these responses are often orchestrated at the cellular level (Liu et al., 2017; Guarnieri et al., 2020). Thus, a functional compromise in immune cells and/or altered immune homeostasis, either by dysregulation or immunosenescence, can lead to compromised elimination of pathogens, pre-malignant cells, senescent cells, cellular debris, and/or aberrant proteins from the tissue leading to their toxic accumulation.

**Gut Microbiome**

Microorganisms (microbiota) colonize the intestinal tract, generally believed to start after delivery, with a shift in composition and abundance of individual microbiota occurring to varying degrees through adulthood, presumably reflecting differences in lifestyle, environmental, race/ethnicity, and genetics (Lozupone et al., 2012; Gilbert et al., 2018). The gut microbiome plays a key role in regulating and maintaining host metabolism and immunity, with emerging evidence suggesting connections between significant shifts in gut dysbiosis (a major shift in composition) and development of numerous age-related diseases (Shiels et al., 2019; Castro-Mejía et al., 2020; Ragonnaud and Biragyn, 2021; Wilmanski et al., 2021). Gut dysbiosis has been linked to decline, and other neurological outcomes (Gareau, 2016; Nagpal et al., 2018; Wilmanski et al., 2021). The gut microbiome is impacted by nutritional factors and diet and age or disease-associated changes can alter general metabolic processes as well as influence the level of environmental chemicals circulating in the body and how they are excreted. Current data suggests that environmental factors can contribute to alterations in gut microbiome dysbiosis and indicate that gut microbiome composition can influence toxicant or pharmaceutical metabolism (Wilson and Nicholson, 2017), as well as be modified by such exposures (He et al., 2020; Tu et al., 2020). With age, alterations in the gut microbiome are associated with inflammation, immunomodulation, modified metabolism, changes in receptor-mediated responses, epigenetic alterations, and general gut leakiness (Kim and Jazwinski, 2018; Sharma et al., 2020; Conway, 2021). We now know that the state of the gut microbiome can be modified over time through external factors and significantly influences multiple organ systems including the nervous system (Castro-Mejía et al., 2020; Cryan et al., 2020). However, the mediating role of the microbiome in the association between environmental pollutants and aging remains unclear. Most evidence for environmental toxic effects on the microbiome comes from animal studies (Tu et al., 2020). The limited number of human studies leaves many questions unanswered.

**Circadian Rhythms**

Circadian rhythm homeostasis serves to support healthy aging and numerous aspects of aging biology (Judge et al., 2017). Circadian rhythms adapt and coordinate critical physiological functions and cellular processes within a defined time cycle. The age-associated circadian profile reflects not only timing but temperature rhythms, circulating levels of melatonin, cortisol and steroid hormones, inflammatory processes, and the expression of clock genes (Lamont et al., 2007; Roenneberg et al., 2007; Sitzmann et al., 2010; Urbanski and Sorwell, 2012; Scheiermann et al., 2013). Age-associated disruptions in circadian rhythms include altered sleep manifested as shifts in sleep cycles, tolerance for cycle change, or disrupted sleep timing (Bliwise et al., 2005; Schmidt et al., 2012; Hood and Amir, 2017a), as well as altered diet and physical activity (Wehrens et al., 2017; Queiroz et al., 2020). These behavioral disruptions can affect a range of physiological responses that can result in functional alterations at the molecular and cellular levels (Schmidt et al., 2012; Hood and Amir, 2017b).

**Intersecting and Overlapping Mechanisms Provide Valuable Insights and Approaches**

Aging research aims to better understand multiple molecular and biological pathways affecting the rate of aging in populations, but a more comprehensive systems biology approach is needed. Of the numerous biomarkers of aging, mitochondrial function, DNA methylation, telomere length, gut microbial dysbiosis and possibly cellular senescence and autophagy are at a stage to be implemented in larger epidemiological studies, with the caveat of attention to limitations and details for assaying each. However, none of the measures described represent a full picture of biological aging but rather one component of a panel of various biomarkers that reflect cumulative damage across regulatory systems. Approaches that leverage across various molecular pathways and biomarkers show the greatest promise for future evaluations of the impact of environmental exposures on the aging process and on age-related susceptibility (Geller and Zenick, 2005; Gensous et al., 2017; Ahadi et al., 2020).

Many of our insights into the fundamentals of aging processes have been gained from studies on the comparative biology of aging. Some notable species, such as the naked mole rat, show negligible senescence during aging, often
accompanied by specific adaptations such as resistance to oxidative stress (Ma and Gladyshev, 2017; Saldmann et al., 2019). These adaptations are also observed in non-mammalian species, with comparison of short- and long-lived species such as in birds (Ogburn et al., 2001). Furthermore, short-lived species show many age-related declines in physiological systems that are seen in mammals, as well as elevated disease incidence, including cancer (Gorham and Ottinger, 1986; Ottinger et al., 2004; Ottinger and Lavoie, 2007; Johnson and Giles, 2013). As such, these comparative studies offer significant insights into conserved mechanisms occurring throughout vertebrates during the aging process (National Research Council, 2014; Niedernhofer et al., 2017).

Aging, Phenotypes, and Outcomes

The consequence of shifts in aging biology over time is ultimately a set of multi-comorbid age-related diseases (Fried et al., 2001; Elliott et al., 2021), including cancer, cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders (e.g., diabetes), and neurodegenerative disorders. A culmination of chronic conditions and biological shifts occurring with aging contribute to what is often referred to as “frailty.” From a biological perspective, frailty may be defined an outcome of several systemic changes, including altered metabolism, homeostatic regulation, low-level inflammation, and neurological deficits (Walston et al., 2002; Franceschi and Campisi, 2014; Bektas et al., 2018). These systemic changes contribute to a phenotype of decreased physiological ability manifested as gait speed and overall low physical activity (Bortz, 2002; Apóstolo et al., 2017), which are associated with higher risk for falls, disability, hospitalizations, and mortality (Fried et al., 2001; Searle et al., 2008; Sternberg et al., 2011). Frailty is also associated with reduced pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic functions (Walston et al., 2002; Crome, 2003; Kinirons and O’Mahony, 2004). Frailty indices often used in clinical and community settings assess physical, psychological, and social functioning by examining activities of daily living, cognition, mood, and physical performance (Johnson et al., 2014; Apóstolo et al., 2017). Hearing and vision deficits may also be considered with other age-related co-morbidities (Apóstolo et al., 2017).

AGING BIOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICANTS

Much work on the impacts of environmental toxicants on aging biology has focused on understanding the exacerbation of chronic cardio-metabolic, respiratory, and cancer risk with recent evidence showing impact on neurological and cognitive functions. Many of the current research efforts integrate an assessment of key disease-related mechanisms and pre-clinical indicators. Figure 2 presents the most prominent toxicological paradigm used in environmental sciences and the intersection with interim biomarkers of biological aging, a broader consideration of resilience and recovery, followed by potential decline. More recent thinking has developed a much broader perspective on what “environment” encompasses reflecting a composite of multiple, interrelated external factors, including chemical and physical environments and modifying social factors. A similar evolution in aging research has occurred. With the advancement of our understanding of key underlying biological mechanisms of aging, one can now consider the integration of new metrics into population health research (Lopez-Otin et al., 2013; Ferrucci and Fabbri, 2018; Franceschi et al., 2018; Juarez et al., 2020a).

Our understanding environmental exposures aging biology have been augmented by studies on the comparative biology of aging. Some notable species, such as the naked mole rat show negligible senescence during aging, often accompanied by specific adaptations such as resistance to oxidative stress (Ma and Gladyshev, 2017; Saldmann et al., 2019). These adaptations are also observed in non-mammalian species, with comparison of short- and long-lived species such as in birds (Ogburn et al., 2001). Furthermore, short-lived species show many age-related declines in neural and endocrine systems and increased incidence of cancer (Gorham and Ottinger, 1986; Ottinger et al., 2004; Ottinger and Lavoie, 2007; Ottinger, 2007; Johnson and Giles, 2013). As such, these comparative studies offer significant insights into conserved mechanisms occurring throughout vertebrates during the aging process (National Academy of Sciences, 2014; Niedernhofer et al., 2017).

Differential individual resilience may also relate to lifetime exposures to contaminants and other environmental stressors. This is an essential component of the Exposome concept in which there is consideration of cumulative impacts of exposure to contaminants and other environmental stressors (Wild, 2012; Wild et al., 2013; Juarez et al., 2014; Cifuentes et al., 2019; Juarez et al., 2020a). Often it appears that early life exposures may have more severe effects both for the immature organism and over that individual’s lifespan. A case in point is the impact of exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in the avian embryo, which result in non-lethal cardiac defects in hatchlings (Carro et al., 2013). Recent meta-analyses among human cohorts show similar cardiac defects at birth among humans are associated with in utero exposure to a range of cumulative environmental chemical exposures including pesticides, solvents, metals, and air pollutants (Nicoll, 2018). These studies reveal conserved mechanisms across species that provide a potential suite of bioindicators for effects of environmental stressors on aging processes.

Evidence from toxicology, comparative biology, and epidemiology demonstrate an association between environmental toxicant exposures and numerous age-related outcomes. Much of what we know about biological susceptibility to environmental toxicant exposure comes from children’s environmental health and is now being applied to other susceptible populations like the aged population (Craven et al., 2021; Shiels et al., 2021). Exposure and response relationships can vary based on acute, short term or long-term exposures and are dependent on the chemical structure and physiological...
Environmental pollutants/classes

- Air pollution
- Metals
- Pesticides
- Endocrine disruptors (plastics etc.)
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Aging biomarkers

- DNA methylation, chromatin and histone modification
- Non-coding and microRNA
- DNA strand breaks
- Epigenetic clocks
- Autophagy
- Receptor signalins
- mRNA changes

Aging phenotypes*

- Cancer
- Cardiovascular health
- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- Metabolic health
- Endocrine dysfunction
- Immune dysregulation

| Biology of aging | Environmental pollutants/classes (examples) | Aging biomarkers | Aging phenotypes* |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Genomic instability | Air pollution, Metals, Pesticides, Endocrine disruptors (plastics etc.) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | DNA methylation, chromatin and histone modification, Non-coding and microRNA, DNA strand breaks, Epigenetic clocks, Autophagy, Receptor signalins, mRNA changes | Cancer, Cardiovascular health, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Metabolic health, Endocrine dysfunction, Immune dysregulation |
| Epigenetics | | | |
| Telomere attrition, STEM cell exhaustion | | | |
| Altered cellular processes | Air pollutants, Metals, PAHs | MdNA copy number, Reactive oxygen species, Senescent cells, progenitor cells, Proteomic profiles, Altered cellular matrix | Neurocognitive decline, Parkinson’s, Mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer’s disease |
| Proteostasis | | | |
| Cellular senescence/signaling | | | |
| Mitochondrial function | | | |
| Altered metabolism | Heavy metals, Endocrine disruptors (plastics etc.) Air pollution | Gut microbiome dysbiosis, Metabolite changes, Lipodomic profiles, Proteomic profiles | Frailty, Early mortality, Compression of morbidity, Later mortality |
| Nutrient sensing | | | |
| Inflammation | Air pollution, Metals, Pesticides, Endocrine disruptors (plastics etc.) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | Inflammatory cytokines, Toll like receptors, Nuclear receptor signaling/gene expression, Reactive oxygen species | | *Select |
| Circadian disruption | Sleep/wake/light, Diet/physical activity, Occupation | Altered gene expression, Metabolic and immune dysregulation | |

*All phenotypes have been associated with aging biology and environmental stressors.

Properties of toxicants. Toxicant exposures early in development (in utero, or throughout childhood) are often greater in dose per body weight than in the adult, and can set in motion a cascade of altered gene expression, cellular, and other physiological processes that result in adverse effects that are not observed until later in life (Brieno-Enriquez et al., 2015; Martos et al., 2015; Nawrot et al., 2018; Ladd-Acosta et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020). The release of tissue-sequestered toxicants at different stages of life can expose an individual to a mixture of compounds stored in the tissue during sensitive developmental stages. Alternatively, changes in metabolism or processing of essential metals and nutrients that occur with age can impact the uptake and metabolic processing of environmental chemicals.

Environmental exposures occur through several different routes including inhalation, dermal, and ingestion. Each source and route of exposure may play a different role in aging biology. Dietary factors, for example, play an important role in both aging and environmental sciences. Diet quality is not only an important modifier of physiology, including gut microbiome composition which may affect detoxification. Diet is also often a source of toxicant exposure (Roy et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2019) and has been shown to alter circadian rhythm homeostasis (Gundert-Remy et al., 2015; Craven et al., 2021; Shiels et al., 2021). Diet is often linked with other lifestyle, behavioral or social factors that contribute to increased vulnerability to multiple sources of toxicants and social stressors simultaneously. This is particularly true for individuals and populations who have fewer resources and are in lower socio-economic strata. Socioeconomic position, limits access to resources and assets such as high quality food, and this is compounded by external neighborhood stress from both chemical and non-chemical sources, all of which combined can alter aging biology.

Numerous examples from epidemiologic or toxicological research where significant overlap between toxicity endpoints and key markers of biological aging exist. Opportunities for the future lie with the integration across the fields (Table 1; Peters et al., 2021). The more established examples for environmental exposures linked to effects on the aging process include air pollution, heavy metals, and pesticides. All three are associated with numerous aging related outcomes, including cardiometabolic disease (Moon et al., 2012; James et al., 2013; Tellez-Plaza et al., 2013; James et al., 2015; Lentini et al., 2017), cancer (Hayes, 1997; Nawrot et al., 2006; National Research Council, 2013), osteoporosis (James and Meliker, 2013), and neurological disorders (Power et al., 2014). Inflammaging and genomic instability are examples used to illustrate the overlapping mechanisms between environmental health and aging research, and to highlight opportunities for advancing interdisciplinary environmental health and aging research.
Inflammaging

Environmental toxicant exposures often induce systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, which impact numerous aging processes. Many diseases are associated with changes in DNA, cellular proliferations, epigenetic regulation, microbiome function, shifts in metabolism and altered immune system homeostasis. In many cases, common age-related changes become accelerated and increasingly dysregulated. Recent research has implicated an environmental contribution to the process of inflammaging across multiple organs including the nervous system (Pezzoli and Cereda, 2013; Tellez-Plaza et al., 2013; Lucchini et al., 2019; Finch and Morgan, 2020). Chronic low-grade sterile inflammation, when left unchecked may manifest as immune-system impairment and loss of resiliency.

A well-established body of literature supports the contribution of short-term and chronic air pollution exposures to age-related diseases, including cardio-metabolic and respiratory diseases, premature mortality, and increased risk for viral and other infectious diseases including Sars-COV-2 (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002; Brugh and Grigg, 2014; Doiron et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020; Khomenko et al., 2021). Repeated exposures over long periods of time leads to chronic systemic inflammation which results in accelerated cellular proliferation, protein and DNA damage, and diminished repair mechanisms (Brugh and Grigg, 2014; Ward-Caviness et al., 2016; Vriens et al., 2019). Air pollution contains a mixture of environmental toxins—including particulate matter, black carbon, ozone, and secondary nitrates, which vary by sources (e.g., agriculture, mining or other industry, traffic). Assessment of such mixtures has shown that particulate matter (PM2.5) can penetrate deep into lungs and activate a complex immune response, which induces systemic inflammation and oxidative stress. In addition to systemic organ effects, the combination of factors that comprise air pollution are increasingly implicated in cognitive decline and with Alzheimer's disease (Tonne et al., 2014; Cohen et al., 2017; Kilian and Kitazawa, 2018; Zhang X. et al., 2018; Kulick et al., 2020; Younan et al., 2021). However, a significant gap remains in identification of mechanisms underlying neurotoxicity of both acute and chronic air pollution exposures (Costa et al., 2019; Kulick et al., 2020; Schikowski and Altuğ, 2020; Gao et al., 2021). The unlikely occurrence of direct entry of particles into the brain raises consideration of the contribution from systemic effects such as alterations in respiratory function, decreased oxygen delivery to the brain, lung based pain signals to the brain, and other systemic effects of inflammatory or organ damage. Thus, this emphasizes the complex interaction of effects that occur across multiple organ systems.

Exposure to heavy metals can induce systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, and contribute to nervous system damage. They also show significant potential to alter aging biology (Jan et al., 2015; Milnerowicz et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2021). Heavy metals often occur in mixtures in both air and drinking water, including arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), and uranium (U), with high disparity in exposure affecting the most socially vulnerable populations (Davis et al., 2016; Domingo-Relloso et al., 2019a,b; Lucchini et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2021). While also potentially contributed to adverse outcomes, trace metals such as chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), magnesium (Mg), and zinc (Zn) found naturally and in dietary sources, may be “essential” and play important roles in maintaining multi-system homeostasis. An imbalance of essential metals can occur through dietary deficiency or exposure to other toxicants leading to dysregulation of cellular and molecular signaling necessary for normal cellular function. Individually, and as mixtures, heavy metal exposures induce oxidative stress through generation of numerous reactive oxygen species (ROS) linked to metabolic changes and free radical modification of proteins, while insufficient essential metals can alter homeostasis of the immune system resulting in systemic inflammation (Milnerowicz et al., 2015). At environmentally relevant levels, changes in inflammation and ROS underly associations of metals with numerous aging related diseases, including cardiometabolic disease (Moon et al., 2012; James et al., 2013; Tellez-Plaza et al., 2013; James et al., 2015; Lentini et al., 2017), cancer (Hayes, 1997; Nawrot et al., 2006; National Research Council, 2013), osteoporosis (James and Meliker, 2013), neurological and neurocognitive decline (Power et al., 2014). Autophagy, a mechanism underlying several complex neurodegenerative diseases, is associated with exposure to several metals and metal-induced neurotoxicity (Bakulski et al., 2020).

Genomic Instability

Epigenetic modifications are important targets vulnerable to the impacts of exposure to environmental chemicals, with important implications for aging biology (Tsamou et al., 2018). Nonetheless, this field is in its infancy as the majority of work to date within populations has focused on DNA methylation markers. Epidemiologic studies of air pollution find epigenetic regulation of t-cells, which are important elements of the immune response (Zhong et al., 2017). Other elements of controlling gene expression and regulation, including microRNA, histone modification, and chromatin splicing may also be influenced by external factors (Bayarsaikhan, 2011; Jardim, 2011; Luco et al., 2011). Arsenic and lead, for example, induce genetic and epigenetic alternations by decreasing transcription enzymes and disruption of epigenetic control (Zawia, 2003; Nawrot et al., 2006; Eid and Zawia, 2016). Both metals and air pollution exposure have been associated with accelerated biological aging in different population studies (Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2016; Zhong et al., 2017; White et al., 2019; Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2020; Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2021).

Genetic instability also includes shortened telomeres and cellular metabolism. Recent work in Bangladesh shows associations with telomere length (Zhang C. et al., 2018) and suggests that genetics interact with arsenic metabolism and toxicity via an epigenetic mechanism (Pierce et al., 2019). Cadmium exposure has been associated with decreased leukocyte telomere length in the NHANES adult population (Zota et al., 2015). Cadmium is a toxic metal with a very long biological half-life (up to 40 years) (Diamond et al., 2003) and as the body burden of cadmium increases with age, zinc deficiency increases due to interference with absorption and transport into cells and distortion of metalloenzymes in cells, thus, promoting the aging process (Garfinkel, 1986).
Gene-by-environment interactions may play a substantial role in shaping the aging trajectory and risk of adverse aging-related outcomes by altering biological susceptibility to subsequent environmental chemicals. Mapping the human genome has provided tremendous insight into the heritability of disease, particularly aging-related disease, but it is clear that genetics alone are only one element of the larger disease risk equation. As an example, mutations in the WDR45 gene increase endoplasmic reticulum stress, impair control and alter organelle autophagy linked with early neurological and Parkinson’s disease in young children and adolescents. These negative processes can be exacerbated by metal ions suggesting potential for gene-by-environment interactions underlying neurological disease pathology in older adults (Haack et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2020). Genetic mutations alter toxicity pathways making some individuals less likely to detoxify and recover from environmental exposures.

Beyond genetics, there are multiple windows of biological susceptibility during which exposure to environmental pollutants have greater impact on compensatory mechanisms than others, again altering the shape of the aging trajectory within and across generations (Swanson et al., 2009; Olvera Alvarez et al., 2018). Biological perturbations during pre- and post-natal periods, and even later in adolescence, can influence health and disease trajectories, including rate of decline (Swanson et al., 2009; Wright, 2017; Finch and Morgan, 2020). Epigenetic changes and telomere length, two well-established biomarkers of aging, have been altered in utero from environmental exposures including air pollution, metals, and endocrine disruptors (Kohanski et al., 2016; Cardenas et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2020), resulting in intergenerational effects (Brieno-Enriquez et al., 2015; Martos et al., 2015; Nawrot et al., 2018; Ladd-Acosta et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020). Early-life exposure impacts on aging biomarkers and understanding which alterations are malleable to interventions over the life-course are important areas for future research and policy development.

Given the demonstration of early life impacts of environmental stressors on physiological systems and healthy aging, our attention must also focus on the societal (including economic factors) that conspire to exacerbate adverse outcomes overtime. Health disparities, defined as “a health difference that adversely affects defined disadvantaged populations, based on one or more health outcomes,” are generally considered preventable and unjust (Duran et al., 2019). This definition highlights the sources of disparities in aging research are largely environmental versus innate or genetic. A better integration of the broadly defined complex of environmental factors—that may contribute to excessive and premature aging would contribute to understanding the independent and collective impact of these modifiable factors on health outcomes overall and in terms of disparities. The intersections between environmental toxic exposures and social determinants, and their influence on biological markers of aging, may be key drivers in aging-related health outcomes, differential life expectancy, and quality of life.

Another aspect of aging contributing to disparities in aging outcomes and premature mortality are inherent structural factors that shape where people live as they age. Life expectancy research shows disparities across urban and rural continuums and by social gradient in the United States pointing to an important role for environmental factors. Socially vulnerable or disadvantaged older adult populations, including racial and ethnic minorities, tend to age in place (and likely across the life-course and for generations) but little research exists to document the effects of structural factors on aging or age-related disease (Clarke and Nieuwenhuijzen, 2009; Michael and Yen, 2014; Vanleerberghhe et al., 2017). Disadvantaged older adults are more likely to be exposed to environmental toxicants and physical hazards than older adults with resources and assets (Michael and Yen, 2014; Vanleerberghhe et al., 2017). Disadvantaged older adults also face a greater likelihood of other psychological stressors include financial strain, insufficient mental stimulation, fewer opportunities for social engagement, less access to material and social resources (e.g., senior centers), healthcare services, safety, and adequate transportation (Clarke and Nieuwenhuijzen, 2009; Won et al., 2016; Wu and Tseng, 2018; Ahn et al., 2020; Black and Jester, 2020). Disadvantage across the life-course, including early childhood has been associated with accelerated aging, including increased risk of chronic disease from which vulnerability contributes to increased biological susceptibility and reduced resilience (Marini et al., 2020).

Demographers and social scientists have focused on how shared experiences across the life course contributes to biological weathering, which is similar to frailty as a composite of chronic conditions (Krieger, 2005; Geronimus et al., 2006). Biological weathering and frailty are similar in concept to allostatic load, as an indicator of biological aging where adverse experiences can lead to stress and ultimately affect the ability of the body to maintain “allostasis” or physiological stability despite environmental challenges (Forde et al., 2019; Shiels et al., 2019; Guidi et al., 2021). Allostatic load is a maladaptive process referring to the gradual dysregulation between the autonomic nervous system, the hypothalamic pituitary axis, and the metabolic, cardiovascular, and immune systems in response to physical or psychological stress hindering the body’s ability to maintain “allostasis” or to maintain homeostasis while responding to accurate stressors or challenges (Geronimus, 2001). The effect of neighborhood as a component of environmental factors has been associated with shortening of telomeres (Park et al., 2015; Gebreab et al., 2016; Alexeeff et al., 2019). Neighborhood factors have also been associated with epigenetic predictors of all-cause mortality (Ward-Caviness et al., 2020a) and with accelerated epigenetic age (Martin et al., 2021). Accelerated epigenetic aging has also been associated with traffic-related air pollution (Ward-Caviness et al., 2020b) and fine particulate matter (PM2.5) as well as with organochlorine pesticides (Lind et al., 2018).

It is well established that living in disadvantaged neighborhoods can lead to more exposure to environmental hazards (Morello-Frosch et al., 2011; Mascarenhas et al., 2021).
These environmental hazards also often coincide with other detrimental social and economic factors including lower income households, poorer housing and higher social stress (Morello-Frosch and Jesdale, 2006; Mohai et al., 2009; Morello-Frosch et al., 2011). In the United States, historical racism, including red-lining and other social stratification limited options for where Black and other communities of color could purchase or rent housing. Communities deemed less than ideal were also located in close proximity to industrial factories, factories, hazardous waste sites, and less than desirable lands at the same time that these same communities were struggling to obtain equal job opportunities (Bullard et al., 2008). Thus, many lower income communities of color experience increased toxicant exposures and social stressors (Huang and Barzyk, 2017). Extensive environmental justice research shows environmental toxicant exposures are highest among non-white and lower income communities in the United States (Mohai et al., 2009; Morello-Frosch et al., 2011; Mohai and Saha, 2015). These communities are also those with limited access to resources and assets such as healthy food outlets, high quality healthcare, green space and other opportunities for recreation and physical activity. Recent studies have used hierarchical clustering methods to show that neighborhood socioeconomic and sociodemographic factors characterizing disadvantaged communities are associated with adverse cardiovascular and metabolic outcomes (Mirowsky et al., 2017; Weaver et al., 2022) and that exposure to fine particulate matter (PM2.5) exacerbates this in a neighborhood cluster characterized as urban, low socioeconomic status, and non-white (Weaver et al., 2019). Among a general population-based sample, individuals who felt their neighborhoods were poorly maintained, experienced stress from crime, or reported their neighborhood as not well maintained were found to have a lower lung function (FEV 1) associate with chronic PM 2.5 exposure at with exposures less than 10 ug/m3 PM 2.5, the World Health Organization health based standard prior to 202 (Malecki et al., 2018). Neighborhood psychosocial hazards together with lead exposure have also been shown to be associated with persistent cognitive decline in older adults (Glass et al., 2009). Evidence is growing to support the need to include both chemical toxicant and non-chemical stress exposure in environment and aging research (McEwen and Tucker, 2011; Gaston and Jackson, 2021; Jackson et al., 2021; Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2021a).

**INTEGRATING THE SCIENCE OF AGING AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH**

Technological advances have opened up new avenues of molecular and biological research to better identify key hallmarks of aging (Peters et al., 2021). These hallmarks represent overlapping biological mechanisms that shape the aging trajectory. Technology provides increasingly more accessible and cost-effective analyses, allowing more multi-omic platforms to be used in toxicology and molecular epidemiology. With these advances, integrating environmental health and aging biology becomes more intriguing and opens new avenues for transformational research.

As the underpinnings of aging biology continue to emerge, there are numerous opportunities to advance our understanding of how aging phenotypes are shaped by environmental factors—broadly speaking—and more specifically with respect to environmental toxicants. The aging-related alterations in the genetic and physiological systems and co-morbidities discussed throughout this paper highlight the reduced capacity for the biological compensatory mechanisms in older adults to maintain homeostasis in the face of exposure to external stressors. Research at the intersection of aging and the environment has the opportunity to inform important knowledge gaps regarding malleable features of aging and inform prevention and policies to protect population health and address health equity. The integration of these fields can fill critical gaps in identification of modifiable risk factors, opportunities for new therapeutic interventions, and support for new policies and programs. Critical new approaches and pathways for understanding environmental health that can be integrated with aging research to address many knowledge gaps and shape future policy directions.

**The Exposome Framework in Environment—Cumulative Exposures, Systems Biology, and Aging Research**

Considering the substantial contribution of external environmental factors on aging biology compared to genetics, new research is needed to examine the intersection of environmental toxins with key elements of aging biology. The exposome concept was originally proposed by Chris Wild, in 2005, to include all exposures from conception onward, including diet, lifestyle, and environment. This concept now shapes much of new environmental health sciences research. The concept has been expanded to also explicitly acknowledge that social factors tend to shape the exposome through numerous social and other determinants. In the United States, for example, historical racism and widening wealth gap have left many disadvantaged communities sharing an unequal burden of environmental exposures, and these communities face additional mental health, economic, and social stressors. With increased understanding that only a fraction of aging is shaped by genetics, the exposome concept supports a new framework for advancing both aging and environmental health sciences. Defined as “the cumulative measure of environmental influences and associated biological responses throughout the lifespan including exposures from the environment, diet, behavior, and endogenous processes,” the exposome approach represents an unprecedented opportunity to more comprehensively investigate both the fundamental processes of aging and aging disparities (Cifuentes et al., 2019; Juarez et al., 2020a; Ogojiaku et al., 2020).

The Exposome Framework highlights the value of technological advances in shaping these new approaches and to advance environment and aging research. High resolution mass-spectrometry allows for identification of exogenous and endogenous small molecules used to characterize thousands
of circulating environmental chemicals and metabolites simultaneously (Vermeulen et al., 2020). Combined with additional epigenetic and microbiome and phenotypic data, the contribution of environmental mixtures on biological systems and aging biology can begin to be explored. For example, in animal models metabonomics has been used to differentiate normative aging from aging related to Alzheimer’s disease (Hunsberger et al., 2020). This same experimental design could be used to better understand the contribution of toxic chemicals in altering the normative aging metabolic profiles and impacts on the Alzheimer’s disease profiles. To date, these integrated assessments have not been conducted despite increased understanding of cellular and tissues specific metabolisms in aging biology (Zhang et al., 2021). Thus, this integrated approach can support new discoveries in aging research through identification of previously unidentified mixtures of chemicals and associations with hallmarks of aging. The integration of these transdisciplinary methods can also help identify clusters of environmental exposures in certain geographical areas and their biological impact in a manner that can inform effective interventions at multiple levels.

Expanding the biological exposome framework with a social-exposome can advance exposure characterization, incorporate new statistical methods and technologies, as well as strengthen cumulative risk models. For example, new mass-spectrometry approaches combined with next gen sequencing, questionnaire, and GIS methods can holistically characterize the broad range of environmental and social exposure pathways relevant to aging biology. The exposome approach also overcome several common study design limitations in environmental health and aging research. In particular, a lack of longitudinal cohorts among younger and middle age adults limits understanding of how aging trajectories in populations differ in time and space. The exposome approach also begins to address the historical one pollutant and one endpoint approaches to toxicology, and environmental epidemiology studies that often lack data necessary to translate findings into real-world setting (Juarez et al., 2020a). To this end, the social exposome allows researchers to better determine biological mechanisms beyond genetics by which environmental and social factors intersect to accelerate biological aging and health disparities (Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2021b).

Advancing Aging and Environmental Health Sciences Research
Environmental Justice and Health Disparities—Integrating Social Sciences in Environment and Aging Research

Beyond biological susceptibility, population level vulnerability to chemical exposures also varies across time and place with greater potential for exposure to adverse chemical mixtures and non-chemical stressors in more disadvantaged communities (Davis et al., 2016; Lucchini et al., 2019). The interaction between the broad spectrum of factors that represent the “environment” and influence on aging beyond early development and childhood are only recently being investigated to any significant level (Carro et al., 2013). Current research and intervention approaches have not resulted in reduced disparities and have not provided sufficient information to target interventions that address the fundamental causes of disparities. Despite the growing recognition regarding the complexity of environmental determinants of health, researchers have traditionally taken an over-simplified, reductionist approach to investigate the health impacts of myriad chemical or non-chemical stressors. Until recently, each factor has been studied independently and in isolation. Even as research on toxic effects of chemical mixtures has evolved, the role that non-chemical stressors including diet and social disadvantage play in healthy aging over the life-course has been largely overlooked. Environmental justice recognizes that social and other factors shape unequal distribution of physical and chemical hazards in the environment, increasing vulnerability for adverse exposures in some communities more than others. At the same time, these disadvantaged communities face greater social stressors that shape biological aging. More holistic research that captures and comprehensively investigates the complex nature of environmental determinants of health and disparities needs to be conducted across diverse populations. Social scientists have long known that place matters to health and aging, and with the advancement of biomarker research, there is a unique opportunity to begin to integrate biology with social and environmental science to understand how and why communities and individuals age differently and what can be modified to reduce significant and persistent disparities. This research could provide the evidence-base to support key tenants of environmental justice and lead to the enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies in a fair and meaningfully way across the entire population.

Climate, the Built Environment, and One Health

Aging must also be considered in the context of other environmental factors such as the built environment, climate change, environmental policy, programs, and interventions. Accelerated aging has also long been an indicator of population social vulnerability to climate change, as older adults are more likely to live in institutional settings and/or have lower mobility and capacity to live independently. Also, within an aged population, multiple chronic conditions and frailty make overcoming the physical hazards inherent in extreme weather events, and subsequent changes to water quality and physical environment, more challenging.

One health acknowledges that human health is closely related to shared environments and health of animals within these environments (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018; Mackenzie and Jeggo, 2019). One health is closely linked to climate change as key drivers of climate change including land use changes such as deforestation, intensive farming and shifting habitat conditions increase the probability of human contact and for diseases in animals to pass to humans (Daszak et al., 2001; Hoelzer et al., 2017). Examples of diseases that have shifted overtime due to changing landscapes include Lyme disease, Salmonella, rabies, and COVID-19 (Jones et al., 2008). Other zoonotic diseases of public health concern including antimicrobial resistance, and
Environmental Regulations, Policy Development, and Research Translation

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the Clean Air Act (CAA), and the Frank R Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century (TSCA Reform) Act have existing provisions for protecting susceptible sub-populations, including older adults (Hooper and Kaufman, 2018). According to the Lautenberg Act, the term ‘potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation’ means a group of individuals within the general population identified by either greater susceptibility or greater exposure, may be at greater risk than the general population of adverse health effects from exposure to a chemical substance or mixture. This includes infants, children, pregnant women, workers, or older adults. The SDWA and CAA include similar language with the intent to protect susceptible groups and life-stages. The United States Environmental Protection Agency’s Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter includes discussion of the evidence-base for increased susceptibility associated with aging and with co-morbidities associated with aging (Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). The US Food and Drug Administration has offered interim guidance documents for consideration of older adults in clinical trials (Food and Drug Administration, 2020). Despite such regulatory acknowledgment, to advance policy and decisions that impact the population, underlying evidence at the intersection of aging biology and environmental health sciences is required. Identifying an approach to advance the integration of aging biomarkers and underlying mechanisms of action in this risk assessment process is necessary. Additional research would support renewed emphasis on aging populations as susceptible sub-populations and offer more sensitive endpoints for integration into existing regulatory decision-making. With increasing availability of big data readily accessible to environmental health scientists, including genomic, metabolomics, proteomic, and lipodomic approaches, researchers are able to more readily identify interim biomarkers of exposure and response that can identify subtle biological changes related to environmental exposures that can proceed clinical diagnosis of age-related diseases. Another challenge that systems biology approaches can overcome is the increased understanding of how low-level exposures accumulated over the life-course may also play in exacerbating the risk of age-related phenotypes and altering the process of biological aging.

Environmental risk assessment continues to evolve with increased understanding of systems biology in determining adverse effects of environmental pollutants on human health. Traditional approaches to environmental health sciences including dose-response assessment in toxicity and environmental epidemiology have long acknowledged the importance of timing of exposure and response in determining chemical toxicity. Regulatory paradigms for estimating environmental chemical toxicity have focused on individual chemicals. However, individuals are exposed to complex mixtures of both environmental and social stressors and buffers throughout their life that can increase or decrease resilience and recovery and contribute to aging trajectories.

A renewed emphasis is needed on research translation from bench to bedside and into the community. Gerontologists may not always be aware of the cumulative environmental exposures that are currently impacting clinical care and outcomes, or the cumulative exposures that have shaped the aging phenotypes of patients. In addition, the potential for interactions with polypharmacy for older adults associated with comorbidities is likely not appreciated (Ginsberg et al., 2005). Too often, the general public, policymakers, clinicians, and researchers in domains outside of environmental health do not consider the important role that environmental chemical and non-chemical stressors play in shaping aging biology and longevity. Primary prevention to reduce exposures would reduce the contribution of environmental stressors on the aging trajectory and minimize the adverse effects of chemical stressors on individuals as they age. Advancing environmental health literacy will also increase support for primary prevention strategies, including reducing environmental exposures among the Nation’s most vulnerable populations.

CONCLUSION

To achieve these policy and research goals, a new multi-agency perspective is needed, with increased attention toward team science and gerotoxicology research. Integrating aging biology and environmental health sciences will lead to transformational research, better policy development, and better clinical outcomes. The toxicity of environmental chemicals in older adulthood also...
brings health disparities in longevity and health status among older adults into sharper focus (Nwanaji-Enwerem et al., 2021b). Aging alters the fundamental pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic processes of chemicals, and research is needed to examine how these drivers of biological susceptibility intersect with social vulnerability (Geller and Zenick, 2005). Increased understanding may support new and alternative treatments that consider patient metabolism and toxicity in older aged adults and provide the basis for effective regulatory activity. Reducing toxic exposures in older adulthood may also extend quality of life and opportunities to remain disease free.
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