INTRODUCTION

Groin infection following arterial revascularization procedures is relatively uncommon; however, it is a potentially lethal complication in vascular surgery. The literature-reported incidence may vary between 0.7% to 7% of lower extremity open revascularization procedures [1-3]. In 1980, sartorius muscle flap (SMF) to treat groin infections was first reported by Méndez Fernández et al. [4] and has been shown to be successful in the management of vascular groin infections [5]. Current treatments for groin infection include debridement as well as broad spectrum antibiotics, SMF, and use of a vacuum-assisted wound closure (VAC) device (KCI International, San Antonio, TX, Infected Groin (Graft/Patch): Managed with Sartorius Muscle Flap
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to review the natural history, clinical outcome and safety in patients undergoing sartorius muscle flap (SMF) for groin infection, including lymphocele.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the records of patients who underwent SMF in a single center between 2000 and 2009.

Results: Thirty patients (17 male, 13 female) underwent SMF for groin infection, which included infections of 22 artificial femoral bypass grafts (including 2 cryoveins) and 5 common femoral patch grafts, and 3 lymphocele infections (2 cardiac catheterizations and 1 penile cancer lymph node dissection). Wound isolates were most commonly Gram-positive organisms (n=22) with Gram-negative isolates and mixed infections accounting for 4 and 3 cases, respectively. In 9 patients there was no growth of organisms. Adjunctive wound vacuum-assisted wound closure therapy was performed in 18 patients. Follow-up duration ranged from 8 days to 56 months (mean 14.1 months) after SMF. Reoperation was performed in 3 patients due to wound bleeding (n=1) and reinfection (n=1). One patient underwent graft excision with external bypass operation. There was 1 mortality case due to sepsis during the study period.

Conclusion: We found that muscle flap surgery provides successful single-intervention therapy for groin infections including lymphocele. Graft ligation or aggressive excision with bypass surgery should be reserved for patients requiring rapid control of sepsis for lifesaving.
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In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the natural history, clinical outcome and safety of SMF in patients with groin infection.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From September 2000 to September 2009, a retrospective analysis was performed for all patients who underwent SMF in Pusan National University Yangsan Hospital. Charts were retrospectively reviewed for patient demographics, comorbidities, clinical findings, initial vascular procedures, duration between initial operation and SMF, bacteriologic variables, use of VAC, length of stay in hospital, duration of follow-up, reintervention rates, and mortality. An early infection was defined as an infection occurring within 1 month of the revascularization procedure. A late infection was defined as one occurring after ≥1 month. Early infection was found in 11 cases and late infection in 10 cases (duration ranging from 35 days to 12 years).

When patients were diagnosed as infection (typically defined as the presence of erythema, discharge, fever, leukocytosis, wound dehiscence, or positive culture, or at the discretion of the primary vascular surgeon), all wounds were extensively opened and irrigated with sodium chloride solution. Deep swab, tissue or biofilm culture samples were taken and analyzed. Antibiotic sensitivity tests were also obtained. The graft was replaced with autogenous vein if required. The SMF was indicated for covering the exposed graft, and for treatment of uncontrolled lymphocele and bleeding (Fig. 1). Empirical intravenous broad-spectrum antibiotics (vancomycin plus piperacillin/tazobactam, or ciprofloxacin in those with penicillin allergy) were initially started. After detection of the specific microbiological organisms, culture-directed antibiotics were administered intravenously for 8 weeks. We tried to preserve the infected graft with SMF and antibiotic treatment whenever possible.

**RESULTS**

Thirty SMFs were performed in 30 patients. Patients ranged in age from 40 to 84 years (mean, 65.05). Seventeen patients were male. Patient demographics and comorbidities are listed in Table 1, and initial bypass operations, duration between initial operation and SMF, clinical findings, microbacteriology, use of VAC, length of hospital stay, and duration of follow-up are as listed in Table 2. Twenty-four patients presented with soft tissue infections surrounding the graft, 9 with sinus tracts, 5 with perigraft fluid collection, 2 with systemic sepsis, 4 with bleeding and pseudoaneurysm, and 10 with fever and malaise. *Staphylococcus* species (19 cases) were the most common pathogen. One patient had growth of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: this patient finally died due to septic condition and necrotizing fasciitis. Three patients had growth of mixed Gram-positive and negative organisms while 9 patients had no growth of bacteria.

VAC therapy was used in 20 patients after SMF (Fig. 2). The mean duration of VAC therapy was 8.1 days (range, 35 days to 12 years).

**Table 1. Patient demographics with comorbidities (n=30)**

| Characteristic                  | Data          |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Male/female                    | 17/13 (56.6/43.3) |
| Age (y)                        | 65.05 (40-84)     |
| Site, right/left               | 15/15 (50.0/50.0) |
| Atherosclerosis                | 28 (93.3)       |
| Coronary artery disease        | 18 (60.0)       |
| Hypertension                   | 21 (70.0)       |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 30 (100)        |
| Tobacco use                    | 22 (73.3)       |
| Current smoking                | 8 (26.7)        |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 6 (20.0)    |
| End stage renal disease        | 4 (13.3)        |

Values are presented as number (%) or median (range).
In 16 out of 18 (88.9%) cases, a secondary closure of the wound was successfully achieved with complete healing. Complications during VAC therapy include one case of wound bleeding and one case of reinfection. The mean duration of follow-up was 14.1 months (range, 8 days–56 months) after SMF, survival rate was 97%, limb salvage rate was 97%, and reoperation was done in 3 cases (10%). There were 2 morbidity cases and 1 mortality case. These patients are reported as follows:

1) Patient 9

A 68-year-old male presented with coronary heart disease, hypertension and heavy smoking. He underwent

| Patient No. | 1st operation | Duration* (day) | Clinical finding | Microbiology | VAC | Hospital stay (day) | Follow-up (mo) |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-------------------|----------------|
| 1           | Fem-Pop (G)   | 18             | Foul odor       | Escherichia coli | Yes | 12                | 30             |
| 2           | CFA Patch (B) | 28             | Bleeding, swelling | Staphylococcus aureus | Yes | 20                | 4              |
| 3           | Aorto-Bifem (G) | 70           | Pain, swelling | No growth | No | 8                | 22             |
| 4           | Fem-Tib (G)   | 23             | Pain, swelling | MRSA | Yes | 7                | 14             |
| 5           | Fem-Pop (G)   | 161            | Serous discharge | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | Yes | 11               | 12             |
| 6           | CFA Patch (H) | 23             | Serous discharge | No growth | No | 2                | 5              |
| 7           | Fem-Fem (G)   | 21             | Fever, pain, pus | No growth | Yes | 87               | 12             |
| 8           | Fem-Fem (H)   | 41             | Fever, chill, pus | Staphylococcus aureus | No | 6                | 11             |
| 9           | Fem-Fem (H)   | 11             | Pain, pus       | MRSA | Yes | 11               | 16             |
| 10          | Fem-Tib (C)   | 385            | Pain, mass      | Streptococcus agalactiae | Yes | 6                | 15             |
| 11          | CFA Patch (A) | 60             | Pain, pus       | No growth | No | 7                | 8              |
| 12          | Fem-Pop (G)   | 35             | Pain, pus       | Staphylococcus aureus | Yes | 21               | 20             |
| 13          | Axillo-Fem (G) | 705          | Pain, pus       | No growth | Yes | 87               | 12             |
| 14          | Fem-Tib (C)   | 15             | Abscess, sepsis | Staphylococcus aureus, Sphigomonas paucimobilis, Klebsiella pneumoniae | Yes | 6                | 7              |
| 15          | Fem-Fem (G)   | 23             | Serous discharge | No growth | Yes | 14               | 13             |
| 16          | Fem-Pop (G)   | 4,322          | Sinus tract, pus | MRSA | No | 7                | 9              |
| 17          | Aorto-Bifem (D) | 40           | Pus, pulsating mass | Staphylococcus aureus | Yes | 5                | 56             |
| 18          | Penile cancer lymph node dissection | 62 | Bleeding | No growth | Yes | 12               | 39             |
| 19          | Cardiac stenting | 44         | Fever, chill | Staphylococcus epidermidis, MRSA, Enterococcus faecalis | No | 11               | 1              |
| 20          | Fem-Pop (G)   | 44             | Fever, chill    | Staphylococcus aureus | Yes | 6                | 15             |
| 21          | Fem-Pop (G)   | 20             | Bleeding        | No growth | No | 8                | 53             |
| 22          | CFA Patch (H) | 14             | Pus             | MRSA | Yes | 5                | 4              |
| 23          | Cardiac stenting | 9          | Serous discharge | Enterococcus faecalis, Pasteurella multocida | Yes | 7                | 3              |
| 24          | Fem-Fem (H)   | 45             | Serous discharge | No growth | Yes | 6                | 3              |
| 25          | Fem-Fem (H)   | 24             | Pus             | MRSA | Yes | 5                | 3              |
| 26          | Fem-Fem (D)   | 48             | Redness, pus    | MRSA | Yes | 8                | 11             |
| 27          | Fem-Tib (C)   | 14             | Pseudoaneurysm  | Staphylococcus aureus | No | 7                | 8              |
| 28          | Fem-Fem (G)   | 13             | Sepsis          | Acinetobacter baumannii | No | 22               | Death          |
| 29          | CFA Patch (G) | 120            | Serous discharge | No growth | No | 5                | 8              |
| 30          | Fem-Tib (G)   | 3              | Fever, chill    | Staphylococcus aureus | No | 8                | 41             |

VAC, vacuum-assisted wound closure; Fem, femoral; Pop, popliteal; G, Gorex graft; CFA, common femoral artery; B, Bovine patch; Bifem, bifemoral; Tib, tibial; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; H, Hemashield graft; C, Cryovein; A, Autogenous vein; D, Dacron graft.

*Duration between initial operation and sartorius muscle flap.
Revascularization with femoro-femoral bypass using a Dacron graft. He subsequently showed foul odor discharge with fever and chilling after eleven days of operation. In the reoperation, wide wound debridement and irrigation was done, the SMF was turned over to cover the wound, and VAC was applied. After the reoperation, bleeding was detected at the bypass graft site requiring a second-look operation. The patient underwent re-myoplasty with vein patch grafting. He was discharged without any complications and free from infection during a follow-up period of 16 months.

2) Patient 17

A 44-year-old woman presented with peripheral arterial disease and hypertension, and was a current smoker. She underwent aorto-bifemoral bypass operation using a Dacron graft. Forty days later, she had pus discharge and a pulsating mass in the groin. The patient’s groin was cleaned, the SMF was turned over to cover the wound and VAC was placed. After 85 days, the patient’s wound was found to be swollen, with pain and with a pulsating mass. We found infected and aneurysmal changes in the anastomosis site. She underwent evacuation of the wound and ligation of the groin vessel. After 3 days, she was operated by axillo-popliteal bypass with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) graft. Eventually she improved and was disease-free during her last follow-up at 56 months.

3) Patient 28

A 40-year-old female presented with diabetes mellitus (DM), end stage renal disease (ESRD), hypertension, coronary artery disease, and poor general condition. She underwent left femoro-femoral bypass using a PTFE graft. The operation time was 5 hours due to uncontrolled bleeding. She presented 13 days later with purulent material in the left groin wound. Wound cultures grew Acinetobacter baumannii. The patient subsequently underwent wide debridement with SMF and was treated with massive antibiotics. After 4 days, the sartorius flap was found to be necrotic, therefore the extensive necrotic tissue and the graft were removed, and a transtibial amputation was done. Unfortunately after 10 days, she died due to sepsis with necrotizing fasciitis.

DISCUSSION

In the previous era, the treatment of groin graft infections was total excision of the graft with massive debridement and extra-vascular reconstruction. Limb loss and mortality rates were reported as 10%-79% and 9%-58%, respectively [3,5]. In 1963, Carter et al. [7] reported in a seven-patient case series the feasibility of a conservative approach in which the original infected Dacron grafts were retained and aggressive wound debridement, antimicrobial therapy, and healing by secondary intention were performed. The authors reported improved rates of graft salvage and decreased amputation and mortality rates compared with traditional treatment methods. The SMF to treat prosthetic graft infections was first reported in 1980 [4]. According to a reported series during a 20-year period assessing outcome using SMF, the imb salvage rate was 71%-94% [5].

The sartorius muscle is supplied by segmental branches of the superficial femoral artery (SFA, type IV circulation pattern, segmental supply). Therefore, vascular surgeons might hesitate to use the proximal SMF in patients with an occluded SFA [8]. It also lacks bulk and has a limited arc rotation, making it suboptimal for large wounds. In contrast, the rectus femoralis muscle flap can be used in larger groin infections, since the rectus femoralis muscle provides significant bulk and good arc rotation,
but it also needs a longer incision in the thigh [9]. Perler et al. [10] suggested that rotational muscle flaps can be successfully used for this purpose, and has been reported to be particularly effective in early groin infections. In our case, SMFs with VAC therapy for groin infections showed acceptable results in large open wounds.

The microbial spectrum of groin infections in our study was similar to other reports in the literature [11,12]. Twenty one of 30 patients showed positive culture. An “early” infection was defined as an infection occurring within 30 days of the revascularization operation. A “late” infection was defined as one occurring after 30 days. Dosluoglu et al. [13] reported 26 early groin infections versus 7 late infections. Our case presented 11 early groin infections versus 10 late infections. The duration of late infections varied from 41 days to 4,322 days.

Calligaro et al. [14] reported only 40% graft salvage when the offending organism was Pseudomonas. Pseudomonas infection was associated with 60% limb loss in a series reported by Seify et al. [3] and Dosluoglu et al. [13] recommended graft removal in early Pseudomonas groin infections with exposed grafts. In contrast with previous reports, we underwent SMF with VAC in 2 patients who had pseudomonas infection with success. Some authors also observed similar good outcome with Pseudomonas infection [10,15].

Infections due to Gram-negative bacteria such as Acinetobacter baumannii are very virulent. Our patient with this infection and with poor general status (DM, ESRD, coronary heart disease, hypertension) progressed to an extreme septic condition. Therefore, the need for accurate perioperative microbiologic testing from wound swabs and graft specimens must be highlighted. In our opinion, patients with suspected or proven surgical site infection with Acinetobacter baumannii should be considered for aggressive treatment including graft removal with reconstruction or amputation. Engin et al. [16] reported the effectiveness of 16 different surgical methods for the treatment of groin vascular infections, including lateral femoral bypass in 5 cases, obturator bypass in 5, revascularization with homograft in 5, and femoro-femoral bypass in 1. Nevertheless, excellent limb-salvage rates (97%) and survival rates (97%) were achieved in our cases.

The proximal SMF as a successful single intervention therapy for groin lymphatic complications should also be noted [17]. Soots et al. [18] reported a group of 12 patients that were successfully treated for persistent lymphatic wound drainage after vascular surgery in the groin. In our institution, nine patients were treated by wound revision with SMF and the lymphatic drainage ceased. Complete wound healing was achieved without complications.

VAC therapy can be a useful adjunct to the management of vascular groin infections and dehiscence, but it must be used with caution [6]. In a smaller series of 26 early (<30 day) vascular groin infections, Dosluoglu et al. [2] concluded that debridement, antibiotics and VAC therapy may be an effective treatment modality for groin wounds in patients who are too medically unstable to undergo muscle flap closure. Kotsis and Lioupis [19] also reported on the use of adjunctive VAC therapy in vascular graft infections as an effective alternative to routine muscle flap closure. We had one early bleeding and one unhealed wound in 20 patients with vascular groin infections treated by VAC. VAC can be used in patients with exposed grafts/suture lines, but close monitoring is necessary for possible bleeding, which is likely due to residual infection at the anastomotic line.

Several patient characteristics (obesity, smoking history), past medical and surgical history (DM, ESRD, prior groin surgery), and physical examination findings (groin wound drainage) have been shown to increase the risk of surgical site infections [20-22]. Muscle transposition flaps (total 29 flaps: 21 sartorius, 6 rectus femoris, and 2 gracilis) are an effective means of graft salvage in the setting of groin wound complications following lower extremity revascularization and should be considered for infection prophylaxis in high-risk patients [9]. Furthermore, Fischer et al. [23] reported prophylactic muscle flaps in vascular surgery. The SMF is an ideal prophylactic flap in high-risk groin surgery patients because of its proximity, easy mobility, effectiveness, and low complication rate.

**CONCLUSION**

Our data demonstrated that SMFs for the treatment of groin wound infection, including lymphoceles, after massive debridement and broad spectrum antibiotics are associated with excellent limb-salvage rates of 97% and survival rates of 97%. Its use for pseudomonas infections is still relatively controversial. VAC therapy can be useful in patients with exposed grafts/suture lines, but close monitoring is necessary for possible bleeding. Finally, SMF treatment of groin infections should be approached early and based on patient general condition.
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