Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection among Adult Females in Omu-Aran South-West Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract infection is caused by the presence and replication of microorganisms in the urinary tract. Urinary tract infection is a major health problem among females especially among pregnant women and this is caused by the physiological makeup of the female urinary tract.

Objective: This study was designed to assess the epidemiology of UTIs present amidst pregnant women and females residing in the Omu-Aran community.

Materials and Methods: A total of 100 individuals were involved of which 50 were pregnant women while the remaining 50 were non-pregnant females. Semi-structured questionnaires were distributed to all participants to obtain their data. Samples of urine (early morning midstream) were collected in universal bottles from participants. The urine specimens were cultured on four different agar plates which were; cystein lactose electrolyte deficient agar, blood agar, MacConkey agar and nutrient agar for significant bacteria growth. Microscopic and macroscopic examination was also carried out on the samples for possible detection of infections.

*Corresponding author: Email: ndako.james@lmu.edu.ng;
Results: The result of the culture showed a significant bacterial growth of 77% and 23% of the samples collected showed no significant bacterial growth. Bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus* spp., *Proteus species*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans* were isolated. With *Staphylococcus aureus* predominantly present and observed as the causative factor for (45.4%) occurrence of UTIs, closely followed by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (13.0%), *Escherichia coli* (13.0%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (9.1%) *Proteus species* (9.1%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (7.8%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1.3%). However the only fungi isolated was *Candida albicans* showing (1.3%) prevalence, Candida is the most common cause of fungal infections in humans.

Conclusion: Results obtained in this work showed a high prevalence of Urinary tract infection at our study location. A prompt enlightenment campaign and need for periodic check among females is highly advocated to reduce or out rightly eliminate the spread of common uropathogens in circulation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The major bacteria-caused infections in humans are urinary tract infections. The incidence and the growth of pathogenic organisms in the urinary tract results in urinary tract infections [1]. Reports indicate that 150 million individuals are diagnosed with urinary tract infections annually thereby placing urinary tract infection (UTI) in the classification of uncomplicated and complicated urinary tract infections (UTIs). Abnormalities caused by UTIs can be due to the presence of a multi-drug resistant infectious organism or a catheter dwelling in the infected individual and this causes an impediment in the flow of urine.

The occurrence of UTIs vary with age, presence of genitourinary complications and sexual practices [2]. Bacteriuria in healthy women is often increased with age from about 1% of female between 5-14 years of age to 20% in women of aged 80 [3].

Urinary tract infections are capable of causing three basic infections namely urethritis known as the inflammation of the urethra, cystitis defined as the inflammation of the bladder and pyelonephritis, inflammation of the kidney [4]. UTIs are prevalent among all age groups and gender but there is a higher level of susceptibility in women, majorly pregnant women due to the fairly short urethra in women which causes a relatively easy upward movement of organisms into the bladder [5]. Pregnancy is also a considerable factor responsible for an increased susceptibility of UTIs in women and this is as a reason of the effect of the pressure placed on the ureter by the gravid uterus causing a stable urine flow. Humoral and immunological changes associated with pregnancy are also likely factors alongside several other factors such as illiteracy, poor hygiene, and unsafe sexual practices.

This study is aimed at estimating the rate at which pregnant women and females are infected with UTIs, identification and isolation of UTI-causing organisms and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates gotten from the study population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

One-hundred (100) midstream urine samples were obtained from our study subjects, 50 were collected from pregnant women with another 50 samples from other Non-Pregnant female residents of the community. The samples were placed in a sterile screw-capped universal container and properly labeled before transport to the laboratory for immediate analysis. Structured questionnaires were administered to obtain relevant information from the volunteer subjects.

2.2 Sample Processing

Under appropriate aseptic conditions, the obtained samples were microscopically examined for appearance and afterwards, cultured on blood agar, nutrient agar, MacConkey agar, CLED. A urine microscopy examination was carried out thereafter. The samples were observed for physical characteristics such as color and transparency.

2.3 Isolation and Identification

Inoculation of the samples on blood agar, nutrient agar, MacConkey agar and Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient - agar (CLED) was performed and the samples were placed in the incubator for 24 hrs at 37°C. Afterwards, gram staining was done followed by biochemical identification procedures using catalase,
coagulase, indole, and citrate utilization, oxidase and urease tests for the proper identification of obtained isolates. *Candida* sp. was isolated according to the method of Lokhart [6].

### 2.4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was done on the isolates employing the agar diffusion disc procedure (Bauer et al., 1966). Relevant multidiscs containing the correct antibiotics with their corresponding minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were used and placed at room temperature for an hour. The inhibition zones were measured and recorded according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standards.

### 3. RESULTS

One hundred (100) females were involved in this study. The rate of samples that yielded positive growth of uropathogen was 77%, while 55% of the positive samples were obtained from the pregnant women. A total of seven uropathogen isolated from the pregnant women includes; *Staphylococcus aureus* (25.9%), *Escherichia coli* (9.1%), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (7.8%), *Proteus mirabilis* (3.9%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (3.9%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (2.6%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1.3%).

45% of the samples that tested positive were obtained from the non-pregnant women giving a total of eight uropathogen isolated as follows; *Staphylococcus aureus* (19.5%), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (5.2%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (5.2%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (5.2%), *Escherichia coli* (3.9%), *Proteus mirabilis* (3.9%), *Proteus vulgaris* (1.3%) and *Candida albicans* (1.3%).

A higher level of positive result in the urine microscopy and culture conducted were detected among pregnant women compared to the non-pregnant women. Fig. 1a depicts the study population based on their age distribution. Educational distribution of the study population was also considered and these include: those without formal education, those with primary education, those with secondary education and those with tertiary institution as shown in Fig. 1b.

| Table 1a. Age distribution of study population |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age | Pregnant women | Non pregnant women | Total |
|-----|----------------|---------------------|-------|
| 15-20 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| 21-25 | 12 | 14 | 26 |
| 26-30 | 20 | 16 | 36 |
| 31-35 | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| 36-40 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 100 |

| Table 1b. Educational distribution of study population |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Educational status | Pregnant women | Non-pregnant women | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| None | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Primary school | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Secondary school | 16 | 19 | 35 |
| Tertiary institution | 23 | 24 | 47 |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 100 |

![Fig. 1a. Age distribution of the study population](image_url)
Fig. 1b. Educational level of subjects recruited for the study

Table 2. Distribution of study population based on clinical history

| Clinical history             | Pregnant women | Non-pregnant women | Total |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Previous diagnosis of UTIs   | 10             | 4                  | 14    |
| Cloudy Urine                | 12             | 8                  | 20    |
| Pain during urination        | 2              | -                  | 2     |
| Total                        | 24             | 12                 | 36    |

Fig. 2. Distribution of study population based on clinical history
Fig. 3. Comparative prevalence of UTI among pregnant women and non-pregnant women

Table 3. Organism isolated from the study population

| Organism isolated                  | Total number (n=77%) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Staphylococcus aureus             | 35(45.4)             |
| Staphylococcus saprophyticus      | 10(13.0)             |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis        | 6(7.8)               |
| Proteus mirabilis                 | 6(7.8)               |
| Escherichia coli                  | 10(13.0)             |
| Klebsiella spp.                   | 7(9.1)               |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 1(1.3)               |
| Proteus vulgaris                  | 1(1.3)               |
| Candida albicans                  | 1(1.3)               |

Isolated organism in relation to their frequency among pregnant women and non-pregnant women

| Organism isolated                  | Pregnant women (%) | Non-pregnant women (%) | Total (n=77) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Staphylococcus aureus             | 20(25.9)          | 15(19.5)               | 35          |
| Staphylococcus saprophyticus      | 6(7.8)            | 4(5.2)                 | 10          |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis        | 2(2.6)            | 4(5.2)                 | 6           |
| Proteus mirabilis                 | 3(3.9)            | 3(3.9)                 | 6           |
| Proteus vulgaris                  | 3(3.9)            | 1(1.3)                 | 1           |
| Escherichia coli                  | 7(9.1)            | 3(3.9)                 | 10          |
| Klebsiella oxytoca                | 3(3.9)            | -                      | 3           |
| Klebsiella pneumonia              | -                 | 4(5.2)                 | 4           |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa            | 1(1.3)            | -                      | 1           |
| Candida albicans                  | -                 | 1(1.3)                 | 1           |

Key: Values in parentheses = percentage of each isolated organism

4. DISCUSSION

Urinary Tract infections (UTIs) is a major infection affecting humans irrespective of their age or gender [7]. From the study, a prevalence rate of 77% was recorded and the major uropathogens isolated are shown on Fig. 4.

The prevalence of Staphylococcus spp and Escherichia coli as the leading uropathogen is in agreement with a previous research carried out by Otajewo and Eriagbor [8]. This observation is similar to the report of other authors who affirms that Escherichia coli is the leading uropathogen followed by Staphylococcus spp. 42(54.5%) of the isolated uropathogen were obtained from pregnant women, while 35(45.5%) of the isolated uropathogen were obtained from other female group in the study population. The result obtained from this research is showed a high occurrence of 71.3%, 39.69%, 77.3%, 77.4% and 86% as reported by several authors [8-11] respectively.
Fig. 4. Organisms isolated from the study population

Fig. 5. Showing the percentage of organisms isolated from pregnant and non-pregnant women
Fig. 6. Prevalence of UTI among pregnant women based on trimesters of pregnancy

Table 4. Microbial identification table

|                          | S. aureus | E. coli | S. saprophyticus | P. aeruginosa | P. mirabilis | P. vulgaris | Kleb |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| Gram (rxn)               | +         | -       | +                | -             | -            | -           | -    |
| Morph                    | Cocci     | Rod     | Cocci            | Rod           | Rod          | Rod         | Rod  |
| Arrang                   | clusters  | Single  | Clusters         | Single        | Single       | Single      | Single|
| Pigment                  | [-]       | [-]     | [-]              | Green/blue    | [-]          | [-]         | [-]  |
| Motility                 | +         | -       | +                | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Catalase                 | +         | +       | +                | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Coagulase                | +         | -       | -                | -             | -            | -           | -    |
| Indole                   | [-]       | +       | [-]              | -             | +            | -           | -    |
| Citrate                  | [-]       | -       | [-]              | +             | -            | -           | -    |
| Urease                   | [-]       | -       | [-]              | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Sucrose                  | +         | -       | +                | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Glucose                  | +         | +       | +                | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Lactose                  | +         | +       | -                | +             | +            | +           | +    |
| Mannitol                 | +         | +       | +                | -             | -            | -           | -    |

Key: [-] = Not applicable, +ve=positive reaction, -ve=negative reaction, rxn=reaction

Table 5. Susceptibility profile of isolated organism after 24 hours of incubation

| Isolated Uropathogen       | ERY | STR | CHL | CPR | AUG | GEN | NIT | OFL | NAL | NOV |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Staphylococcus aureus      | +   | +   | +   | -   | +   | -   | +   | -   | +   | -   |
| Staphylococcus saprophyticus|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Escherichia coli           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Klebsiella sp              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Proteus sp                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

Key: ERY = Erythromycin, STR = Streptomycin, CHL = Chloranphenicol, CPR = Ciprofloxacin, AUG = Augmentin, GEN = Gentamycin, NIT = Nitrofurantoin, OFL = Ofloxacin, NAL = Nalid, xic acid, NOV = Novobiocin

Results from this study revealed that 42 out of 50 pregnant women screened recorded bacterial growth of uropathogen giving a prevalence of 84% among pregnant the women in the study population. The dominant pathogens isolated are shown on Table 5 and Fig. 5. This is in concordance with research carried out by Obiogbolu et al. [12]. These studies reported an increased incidence rate of 58%, 66%, 47.5%, 30-60% and 54% respectively. Similar studies conducted in Asia showed that a prevalence of 8.8% was recorded among urology patients [13] and this contrasts a 37% rate recorded for UTI prevalence in Mexico by Alfredo et al. [14]. The increase in UTIs observed in this research could be as a result of poor environmental and personal sanitation, low socio-economic standing, among pregnant women in the study population as well as lack of good housing and drainage system observed among.
the study population [15]. The proximity of the female urethra to the anus, which may likely enhance the movement of bacteria from the rectum to the urethra where they cause infection [16].

Hormonal changes observed during pregnancy are another important cause of high prevalence of UTIs among pregnant women. These hormonal changes bring about the reduction of the ureteric muscular tone and this brings about powered pressure from the gravid uterus and lead to stability in urine flow. Urinary stasis enhances the growing of pathogenic bacteria in urine because it is a rich culture media [17]. As a result of these, women in their second and third trimester has a higher incidence of UTIs compared to women in their first trimester, this observation is consistent with the research conducted by Okonko et al. [5] who similarly observed an increased prevalence of UTIs in the second and third trimester of pregnancy, this result also agrees with the work of Okonko et al. [5].

Antibiotics susceptibility testing was performed on all uropathogens isolated except Candida albicans. A total of ten antibiotics were used these include; Erythromycin, Streptomycin, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Gentamycin, Nalidixic acid, Nitrofurantoin, Ofloxacin and Novobiocin. The organisms were observed to be highly sensitive to Nitrofurantoin closely followed by Nalidixic acid and Ofloxacin.

This susceptibility test result obtained in this work is consistent with the observations of several authors [18,19] in a similar work conducted among UTI patients.

5. CONCLUSION

The prevalence rate of urinary tract infections obtained from this research is of major concern as this implies the degree of health threat posed to females in our area of study. Consequently, the need to put up measures at curtailing further complications from UTI and urgency at controlling further spread of the infection in the community is given prompt attention.

Similarly, creation of awareness on the infection and adequate enlightenment protocols be established for the populace. Policy makers are also urged to improve on the provision of facilities and services rendered to efficiently prevent and treat pregnant women, this also defines the importance of routine screening and the need to ensure that accurate laboratory diagnosis is carried out on patients alongside administration of proper antimicrobial agents due to the asymptomatic and symptomatic nature of urinary tract infections in most cases.

This process is to aid the timely identification of asymptomatic urinary tract infections that may eventually develop into symptomatic UTIs resulting in possible renal damage among the study population.

Health authorizes are hereby encouraged to ensure greater emphasis on the personal sanitation of every pregnant woman during the antenatal care visit, particularly among pregnant women of low socio-economic status.

CONSENT

Following international standard; informed and written participant consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study was permitted by the Landmark University Medical center and a letter of permission was obtained from the Local Government Department of Health services ethical committee in Omu-Aran community.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The entire Medical Laboratory Scientist/Technicians of the LUMC and the Staffs of Microbiology Laboratory, LMU are deeply appreciated.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Chee WT, Maciej PC. Urinary tract infections in adults. Singapore Med J. 2016;57(9):485–90.
2. Colgan R, NI, MA. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults. Am Fam Physician. 2006;74:985–90.
3. Urinary tract infection. Heal Promot Board Singapore; 2016. Available: http://www.healthhub.sg/a-z/diseases-and-conditions/210/urinarytractinfection
4. Ana LFM, Jennifer NW, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13(5).

5. Okonko IO, Ijandipe LA, Ilusanya OA, Donbraye-Emmanuel, O. Ejembi BJ, Udeze AO, Egun OC, et al. Incidence of urinary tract infectin (UTI) among pregnant women in Ibadan, South-Western Nigeria. African J Biotechnol. 2009;8(23).

6. Lokhart S. Current epidemiology of Candida infection. Clin Microbiol News. 2014;36(7):131-6.

7. Tandogdu Z, Wagenlehner F. Global epidemiology of urinary tract infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2016;29(1):73–9.

8. Otajewo FD, Eriagbor C. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection occurrence among students of a private university in western Delta, Nigeria. World J Med Med Sci. 2014;2(5):1–26.

9. Alabi OS, Onyenwe NE, Satoye KA, Adeleke OE. Prevalence of extended beta lactamase producing isolates from asymptomatic bacteriuria among students in a tertiary institution in Ibadan, Nigeria. Nat Sci. 2014;12(4):111–4.

10. Bankole, Henry Oladeinde. Richard O, Mitsan O, Joshua AA. Urinary tract infection in a rural community of Nigeria. Natl Am J Med Sci. 2011;3(2):75–7.

11. Agbagwa OE, Ifeanacho EJU. The prevalence of UTI pathogens in urine specimen obtained from a Hospital in Rivers State Nigeria. J Microbiol Res. 2015;5(5):143–8.

12. Obiogbolu CH, Okonko IO, Anyamere CO, Adedeji AO, Akanbi AO, Ejembi J, et al. Incidence of Urinary Tract Infections (UTIs) among pregnant women in Akwa metropolis, Southeastern Nigeria. Sci Res Essay. 2009;4(8):820–4.

13. Hyun-Sop C, Seung-Ju L, Yong-Hyun C, Mete Ç, Zafer T, Bjerklund-Johansen E, et al. Aspects of urinary tract infections and antimicrobial resistance in hospitalized urology patients in Asia: 10-year results of the Global Prevalence Study of Infections in Urology (GPIU). J Infect Chemother. 2018;24(4):278–83

14. Alfredo, Ponce-de-Leon. Eduardo R-N, Rayo M-O, Dora P, Cornejo-Juárez JCT, Areli Martínez-Gamboa, Carmen J. Gaona-Tapia MLG-A, Alexandra Martin-Onraët JLVC, Sifuentes-Osornio J. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-negative bacilli isolated from intra-abdominal and urinary-tract infections in Mexico from 2009 to 2015: Results from the Study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends (SMART). Clim Chang Heal; 2018.

15. Kolawole AS, Kolawole OM, Kandakai-Olukemi YT, Batatunde SK, Durowade KA, Kolawole CF. Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infections (UTI) among patients attending Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Int J Med Med Sci. 2009;1(5):163–7.

16. Ebie MY, Kandakai-Olukemi YT, Ayanbadejo J, Tanyigna KB. Urinary tract infections in a Nigerian Military Hospital. J Microbiol. 2001;15(1):31–7.

17. Obiogbolu CH. Incidence of urinary tract infection amongst pregnant women within Akwa Metropolis. A B.Sc. Project in the Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Nnamdi Azikwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria; 2004.

18. Nwadiona SI, Nwokedi EE, Jombo GA, Kasnibu E, Alao OO. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogenic bacterial isolates from community and hospital acquired urinary tract infections in a Nigeria tertiary hospital. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;8(1):001–8.

19. Allen VM, Yudin MH, Bouchard C, Boucher M, Caddy S, Castillo E, et al. Infectious diseases committee, society of obstetricians and gynaecologists of Canada. Management of Group B Streptococcal bacteriuria in pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2012;34(5):482–6.

© 2020 Ndako et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.