Identification and structural analysis of the tripartite α-pore forming toxin of *Aeromonas hydrophila*

Jason S. Wilson 1,4, Alicia M. Churchill-Angus 1,4, Simon P. Davies 1,2, Svetlana E. Sedelnikova 1, Svetomir B. Tzokov 1, John B. Rafferty 1, Per A. Bullough 1, Claudine Bisson 3,3 & Patrick J. Baker 1

The alpha helical CytolysinA family of pore forming toxins (α-PFT) contains single, two, and three component members. Structures of the single component *Eschericia coli* ClyA and the two component *Yersinia enterolytica* YaxAB show both undergo conformational changes from soluble to pore forms, and oligomerization to produce the active pore. Here we identify tripartite α-PFTs in pathogenic Gram negative bacteria, including *Aeromonas hydrophila* (AhlABC). We show that the AhlABC toxin requires all three components for maximal cell lysis. We present structures of pore components which describe a bi-fold hinge mechanism for soluble to pore transition in AhlB and a contrasting tetrameric assembly employed by soluble AhlC to hide their hydrophobic membrane associated residues. We propose a model of pore assembly where the AhlC tetramer dissociates, binds a single membrane leaflet, recruits AhlB promoting soluble to pore transition, prior to AhlA binding to form the active hydrophilic lined pore.
ore forming toxins (PFTs) are critical components of the molecular offensive and defensive machinery of cells in virtually all kingdoms of life. In eukaryotes, PFTs are largely involved in the innate immune response, whilst in bacteria, PFTs form the major group of virulence factors in many pathogenic bacteria and constitute 30% of all toxins identified to date. By puncturing holes in the membrane, bacterial PFTs facilitate the takeover of host resources, destroy the osmotic balance of the target cell or insert a secondary intracellular toxin (such as with the anthrax binary toxin), through the pore formed in the membrane. Many pathogenic bacteria, including highly antibiotic resistant strains, employ PFTs in their invasive arsenal. This makes them an attractive target for the development of virulence-targeted therapies that may have broad spectrum activity against human and other pathogens, a strategy that has potential to reduce the acquired resistance seen in conventional antimicrobial therapy.

All PFTs are produced as a soluble, generally monomeric form, which recognises the target cell by binding to specific receptors, thus concentrating the proteins on the membrane surface, before exposure of the transmembrane hydrophobic regions, oligomerization, and membrane insertion. Depending on the secondary structure of the membrane component, PFTs can be classified as α-PFTs, using a ring of amphipathic helices to construct the pore or as β-PFTs, where a β-barrel is used to traverse the membrane.

Although a number of structures of α-PFTs have been determined by X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy, few are known in both pore and soluble form. One α-PFT where this transition is well understood is Cytolysin A (ClyA) from enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* species. In this protein a large conformational change occurs, involving 80% of residues, as the single soluble subunit rearranges to expose its membrane spanning hydrophobic residues (the β-tongue) and oligomerises to form the active pore of 6–12 subunits. In this pore the hydrophobic β-tongue refolds to form the ends of two adjacent helices and these insert into a single leaflet of the target bilayer, with the amphipathic N-terminal helix providing the membrane spanning component and thus a hydrophilic lined pore. A number of α-PFTs have been identified which show structural similarity to ClyA but are composed of two protein components (bipartite PFTs). These include YaxAB from the human pathogenic bacteria *Yersinia enterolitica*, the PaxAB system of the insect pathogen *Photorhabdus luminescens* and the XaxAB system from the insect pathogen *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*. The structures of the YaxAB and XaxAB pores and their component parts have been determined, and all show structural similarity to ClyA, but with low-sequence identity (approx 22% identity). In the active YaxAB pore the two proteins are arranged as ten heterodimers in a pore with C10 symmetry, while the XaxAB pore shows variability in pore structure of the membrane component, PFTs can be classified as α-PFTs, using a ring of amphipathic helices to construct the pore or as β-PFTs, where a β-barrel is used to traverse the membrane. Although a number of structures of α-PFTs have been determined by X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy, few are known in both pore and soluble form. One α-PFT where this transition is well understood is Cytolysin A (ClyA) from enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* species. In this protein a large conformational change occurs, involving 80% of residues, as the single soluble subunit rearranges to expose its membrane spanning hydrophobic residues (the β-tongue) and oligomerises to form the active pore of 6–12 subunits. In this pore the hydrophobic β-tongue refolds to form the ends of two adjacent helices and these insert into a single leaflet of the target bilayer, with the amphipathic N-terminal helix providing the membrane spanning component and thus a hydrophilic lined pore. A number of α-PFTs have been identified which show structural similarity to ClyA but are composed of two protein components (bipartite PFTs). These include YaxAB from the human pathogenic bacteria *Yersinia enterolitica*, the PaxAB system of the insect pathogen *Photorhabdus luminescens* and the XaxAB system from the insect pathogen *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*. The structures of the YaxAB and XaxAB pores and their component parts have been determined, and all show structural similarity to ClyA, but with low-sequence identity (approx 22% identity). In the active YaxAB pore the two proteins are arranged as ten heterodimers in a pore with C10 symmetry, while the XaxAB pore shows variability in pore structure of the membrane component, PFTs can be classified as α-PFTs, using a ring of amphipathic helices to construct the pore or as β-PFTs, where a β-barrel is used to traverse the membrane.

### Results

*A. hydrophila* has a tripartite α-PFT. Although single and two component α-PFTs have been identified, the only known three component ClyA family members are the tripartite NheABC and HblL1L2B systems of *B. cereus*. We thus instigated a bioinformatics search based on both the sequence and structure of the NheA, NheB and NheC proteins to discover further tripartite α-PFTs. The hypothetical protein translated from the AHX33180.1 gene of *A. hydrophila* species AL09-71, was identified with 24% sequence identity and 46% similarity, as defined by TCOffee, to NheB. The proteins coded by the upstream and downstream adjacent genes to AHX33180.1 in the *A. hydrophila* genome (AHX33179.1 and AHX33181.1, respectively) had only 6% and 9% sequence identity to NheA and NheC, yet their sequence similarity was 39% and 37%, respectively. Furthermore, hydropathy plots of these three *A. hydrophila* gene products showed a similar pattern of hydrophobic regions as those seen in the NheABC tripartite toxin (Supplementary Fig. 1). The AHX33180.1 gene product contained a possible membrane-spanning region of 63 hydrophobic residues, similar in length and position to the 54 residue predicted membrane spanning region of NheB. Similarly, the AHX33181.1 gene product had a stretch of 23 hydrophobic residues in the same place in the sequence as seen for 22 hydrophobic residues in NheC. In addition, the sequence of the AHX33179.1 gene product was devoid of significant stretches of hydrophobic residues, a pattern also observed in NheA (Supplementary Fig. 1). These three *A. hydrophila* proteins were thus provisionally identified as a tripartite α-PFT and named AhlA, AhlB and AhlC. The AhlA and AhlB sequences...
have since been annotated in NCBI as having a HBL type fold (PFAM05791).

To expand the family further, the three genes identified in A. hydrophila were used to identify orthologues in other Gram-negative bacteria. A total of 25 gene products with homology to the AhlA and 36 gene products with homology to AhlC were identified with E values <0.01, including the known bipartite Gram-negative α-PFT components YaxA, PafA and XaxA, whereas over fifty gene products were identified with homology to AhlB (Supplementary Data 1) suggesting that numerous α-PFT systems may exist in Gram-negative bacteria. Seven examples of full tripartite α-PFT systems were identified, including those in three human pathogens (Serratia marcescens, Serratia liquefaciens and Sprirosoma flaviale), and two plant pathogens (Erwinia mollitioira and Chromobacterium piscinae) (Supplementary Table 1).

All three AHL components are required for maximum AHL lysis. Having identified that the AhlABC system of A. hydrophila could potentially be a tripartite PFT, each component was cloned, expressed and purified and used in haemolytic assays with horse erythrocytes (Fig. 1a, b). In isolation, each of the Ahl components exhibited no haemolytic activity. When tested in 1:1 binary combinations the AhlB/AhlC mixture showed 50% of the lysis observed when cells were lysed by osmotic shock with water, whereas the AhlA/AhlB and AhlA/AhlC mixtures exhibited no activity. Size exclusion chromatography of AhlB mixed with an excess of AhlC in aqueous solution did not show any AhlB/AhlC association (Supplementary Figure 2), indicating that any complex formation of these two components of A. hydrophila only occurs on the membrane. This is in contrast to both YaxAB and XaxAB where the A and B components are seen to form a complex before binding the membrane11,15 and also for the B. cereus tripartite PFT where equimolar amounts of NheB and NheC produced an inhibitory complex in solution that prevented cell lysis20,31. A 1:1:1 mixture of all three Ahl components showed 95% of the lysis of the positive control, indicating that all three components of the AhlA/AhlB/AhlC toxin system are required for maximal lysis.

To elucidate the effect of the concentration of each protein on lytic activity, the ratio of individual proteins was varied within a mixture of AhlA, AhlB and AhlC by serial dilutions (Fig. 1b). When AhlA was diluted by one-half, lytic activity was reduced by 50%, to a level similar to that seen for AhlB and AhlC. However, when AhlC was diluted eightfold in the AhlA/AhlB/AhlC mixture 80% of the haemolytic activity remained. In contrast, an increasing reduction in lytic activity was seen across the dilution series when AhlB was diluted in the AhlA/AhlB/AhlC mixture. These results indicate that maximal lysis requires equal amounts of AhlA, AhlB and AhlC, and decreasing the concentration of any one of these components reduces lytic activity, with the most dramatic effects seen for AhlB and AhlA. Furthermore, when all three proteins are present at equal concentrations or increased above 1:1:1 no inhibitory effects are seen, in contrast to the B. cereus NheABC toxin where concentrations of NheC above a NheA:NheB:NheC ratio of 10:10:1 are inhibitory20.

Pre-incubation of erythrocytes with AhlC promotes rapid lysis. To determine the binding order of each protein, time course assays were run using erythrocytes incubated with one or two of the Ahl components before addition of the other components (Fig. 1c, d). When AhlA/AhlB/AhlC, or AhlB/AhlC, were incubated together with erythrocytes there was a lag time of 20 min before lysis. However, if the cells were pre-incubated with AhlC before addition of AhlA and AhlB, the lag time was removed (or reduced if just AhlB was added) indicating that AhlC may prime the membrane for pore assembly. In contrast, cells pre-incubated with AhlB prior to the addition of AhlC showed reduced lysis compared to cells incubated with AhlB and AhlC together, suggesting AhlB is inhibitory in the presence of a membrane, similar to that observed in the Nhe PFT, where pre-incubation of NheB with vero cells prevented formation of a functional complex31. Cells pre-incubated with AhlB and AhlC, prior to the addition of AhlA, showed a lag time, with lysis not reaching that of cells incubated with AhlA/AhlB/AhlC together, whereas removal of the lag time and maximal lysis occurred for erythrocytes pre-incubated with AhlA, prior to addition of AhlB and AhlC, showing that addition of AhlA to a pre-formed AhlB/AhlC pore is slower than if the full tripartite pore can assemble with all three components present. The end points of these time course assays were viewed by negative stain electron microscopy. Pores could only be seen in erythrocyte membranes incubated with AhlB, AhlB/AhlC or AhlA/AhlB/AhlC (Fig. 1e). The diameter of the AhlB pores was estimated from 100 particles to be 10 nm (s.d. = 2 nm), with the AhlB/AhlC and AhlA/AhlB/AhlC pores appearing significantly larger with a diameter of 16 nm (n = 100, s.d. = 2 nm), a similar size to that of the widest head region of the YaxAB and XaxAB pores11,15.

The interaction between the Ahl components with lipid bilayers was investigated using both liposome float assays and ultracentrifugation in the presence of detergent to separate large assemblies, (400 kDa) from soluble protein components (Fig. 1f, g). AhlA, AhlB and AhlC together bound to the liposomes with negative stain EM images showing that pores had been formed. Incubation of all three Ahl components with detergent showed they were also present in the large assemblies isolated by ultracentrifugation, with pores identified by negative stain EM (Supplementary Fig. 3). Similarly, pores and large assemblies could be identified for both AhlB and AhlB/AhlC in both liposomes and by ultracentrifugation. No pores were seen for AhlA/AhlC together, or separately, despite both these components binding to liposomes and no protein was present in the respective ultracentrifugation pellets, which implies that the pores observed for the AhlA/AhlB/AhlC mixture contained all three PFT components, with equal amounts present despite AhlB and AhlC being in excess. As no pores could be identified in negative stain EM images of the float assay control fractions without liposomes (Supplementary Fig. 3), we concluded that large complexes of both AhlB/AhlC and AhlA/AhlB/AhlC can only be formed in the presence of detergent or lipid bilayers, with a preference for the AhlABC complexes and that large oligomers of AhlB can be formed under the same conditions.

Negative stain EM showed that when AhlC was incubated together with AhlB in liposome or erythrocyte preparations, there was a dramatic increase in the number of pore-like structures in individual liposomes, compared to the situation with AhlB alone, where only a few pores were seen (Fig. 1e), indicating that AhlC modulates AhlB association with lipid. As sufficient protein was present for pores to be present in all liposomes it is interesting to note that some liposomes were saturated with AhlB pores and others remained free of pores (Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting cooperativity in pore formation. Indeed, when AhlA, AhlB and AhlC were incubated together all liposomes were saturated, a situation also observed for ClyA32.

Structure of the soluble form of AhlB. The structure of the soluble form of AhlB was determined to 2.3 Å resolution (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4a). AhlB folds into a compact five helical bundle structure (a1–a5), with an associated domain constructed from a mix of three alpha helices and three beta strands. The
overall structure of soluble AhlB is very similar to that seen in the soluble forms of ClyA (pdb:1QOY), MakA (pdb:6EZV), NheA (pdb: 4K1P) and HblB (pdb:2NRJ) (Fig. 2a). Within this compact soluble structure, residues G191–A222, identified from hydropathy plots as a hydrophobic domain that possibly inserts into the membrane (Supplementary Fig. 1), fold into two antiparallel β-strands (β1 and β2; the β-tongue) (Fig. 2b). These hydrophobic residues are thus shielded from solvent by packing against residues of helix a4 (E254–V270), a3a (residues V170–L186), a4a (residues G225–G239), the N-terminal helix (α1, residue G12–V34) and the C-terminus (residues T331–A359), which forms a short beta strand (β3) and helix (α5a). In this soluble
Fig. 1 Lytic activity of the AHL toxin against horse erythrocytes. a Percentage lysis when each protein was incubated with horse erythrocytes alone and in combination at 1:1 ratios for 1 h. b % Lysis against varying AhlA, AhlB or AhlC concentration, when all three proteins were incubated together for 1 h. The other two proteins were at a fixed concentration of 1 µM. c % Lysis against time when erythrocytes were incubated with 500 nM AhlA, AhlB and AhlC (green), or pre-incubated with 500 nM AhlA (black), AhlC (blue), AhlB and AhlC (purple), or AhlA and AhlC (orange), for 1 h before addition of the remaining proteins at time 0. d % Lysis against time when erythrocytes were incubated with 500 nM AhlB and AhlC (green), or pre-incubated with 500 nM AhlC (blue) or AhlB (purple) for 1 h before addition of the second protein at time 0. e TEM negative stain images of AhlB, AhlBC and AhlABC pores in both erythrocytes and liposomes. Scale bars on magnified images represent 10 nm, and pores are highlighted in red circles. f Liposome flotation assay to determine lipid bilayer binding ability of each Ahl toxin component. Membrane binding ability of each AhlA, AhlB and AhlC alone and AhlAB+C, and AhlA+AhlB+AhlC (–) was assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis of the six top and six bottom fractions (left to right gel lanes with molecular weight indicated, kDa), together with a control without liposomes (–). A schematic of the ultracentrifuge tube shows the location of the top and bottom fractions and in which fractions liposomes and protein are expected. TEM negative stain EM images are shown of top fractions of AhlB (top), AhlBC (centre) and AhlABC (bottom), with pores circled in red. g SDS-PAGE of soluble (sol) and pelleted (pel) fractions from ultracentrifugation of AhlA, AhlB and AhlC with detergent, alone and in combination. Invitrogen Mark12 ladder (L) is labelled in the first lane. All assays were carried out in triplicate (n = 3) with error bars corresponding to the mean ± standard deviation. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Table 1 X-ray data collection and refinement statistics for AhlB structures

| AhlB soluble (PDB: 6GRK) | AhlB pore SeMet (PDB: 6GRJ) | AhlB pore Form 2 (PDB: 6H2F) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Data collection           |                             |                               |
| Beamline                  | 103                         | 103                           |
| Wavelength (Å)            | 0.9794                      | 0.9794                        |
| Space group               | C2                          | C2                            |
| Cell dimensions           | a, b, c (Å)                 | 133.5, 79.8, 111.0            |
| α, β, γ (°)               | 90, 90, 90, 90              |
| Molecules per asymmetric unit | 3                           | 10                            |
| Resolution (Å)            | 2.33±0.58                   | 2.94±0.58                     |
| Total reflections         | 162,245                     | 115,0390                      |
| Unique reflections        | 49,042                      | 16,188                       |
| Rmerge, a,b               | 0.074                       | 0.070                         |
| Rmerge, c                 | 0.070                       | 0.070                         |
| Mean I/σ(I)              | 9.1 (2.0)                   | 9.1 (2.0)                     |
| Completeness (%)          | 98.1 (99.8)                 | 99.1 (98.0)                   |
| Multiplicity              | 3.3 (3.5)                   | 6.8 (6.4)                     |
| Refinement               | 1.025                       | 1.025                         |
| No. of non-H atoms        | 7,875                       | 2,438                         |
| Rwork/Rfree              | 0.22/0.25                   | 0.22/0.24                     |
| Average B factors (Å)     | 49                          | 70                            |
| Bond length rmsd (Å)      | 0.0095                      | 0.011                         |
| Bond angle rmsd (°)       | 1.41                        | 1.57                          |
| Ramachandran             | 95.8/100                    | 97.9/100                      |
|favoured/allowed (%)       | 0.186                       | 0.186                         |

αValues in brackets are for data in the high-resolution shell

Structure of the pore form of AhlB. We determined the structure of an oligomeric pore conformation of AhlB, by growing crystals in the presence of MPD, a reagent which has been previously shown to induce pore formation in PFTs34. The structure of AhlB was determined to 2.9 Å in space group C2 (Form 1), using Se-Methionine SAD and subsequently the resolution was extended to 2.5 Å, using data from a crystal in space group C2221, (Form 2, Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). The structures of both crystal forms are closely related (rmsd = 0.78 Å), with the asymmetric unit in each case containing ten copies of AhlB arranged as a ring of subunits in C5 symmetry, forming a funnel shape around a central pore, with an overall length of 143 Å and an external diameter of 115 Å at the large end of the funnel (the tail), reducing to a minimum diameter of 30 Å at the neck before finally expanding to a final diameter of 46 Å (the head), dimensions in agreement with those observed in negative stain EM for AhlB pores (Fig. 3a). The internal dimensions of the pore were calculated using HOLE35, which showed a minimal internal diameter at the neck of 20 Å (Fig. 3b).

Each subunit of the pore is constructed from two extended α-helices (α3 and α4) that join the head of the pore to the five α-helices that fold into the pore tail. Major conformational changes have occurred when compared to the soluble structure, which are centred on rotations about two hinges, hinge 1 (K152-L160 and R248-A254) and hinge 2 (L186-G191 and A222-G225; Fig. 4). In this soluble to pore transition, changes in φ and ψ angles of up to 180° for the hinge residues and movements of up 94 Å result in both the β-tongue and α3a/α4a (D156-L186 and G225-Q246) unpacking and the secondary structure rearranging to form the two 140 Å extended helices (α3 and α4), constructing the head of the funnel and presenting this hydrophobic head to the membrane (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Movie 1). The stretch of adjacent hydrophobic head residues at the C-terminus of α3 (G176–A201) and the N-terminus of α4 (V212–L234), each of length 39 Å is sufficient for these two helical segments to insert fully through both leaflets of a lipid bilayer and are highly conserved in all Gram negative bacteria (Supplementary Fig. 5), with the extent of the insertion of the helices into the membrane defined by rings of tyrosine residues on α4 (Y245) and phenylalanine residues on α3 (F203; Fig. 3c), residues that are known to delineate transmembrane helices36. In the two crystal forms of the AhlB pore, the helices of the head domain adopt slightly different arrangements at their distal ends, due to differences in crystal packing between the two structures (Supplementary Fig. 6), indicating that there is some flexibility in the packing in the transmembrane part of the pore.

Within the oligomeric pore, AhlB adopts two subunit conformations, which vary at both the tail and head regions. In the Type 2 conformation residues from both hinges adopt helical
conformations, forming sections of α3 and α4, whereas in the Type 1 conformation, the hinge 1 residues in α3 (K152–L160) remain in a loop, indicating that the Type 2 conformation is the fully extended form. Indeed, the transition to form AhlB Type 2 from AhlB Type I is an extension of the same hinge movement observed between the soluble and type I conformations (Supplementary Movie 1). Furthermore, in Type 1 the N-terminal helix (α1) is sandwiched between helices α4 and α5, whereas in the Type 2 conformation the first 15 residues of α1 are disordered, with the N-terminus of α1 packing against the C terminus of α5 in an end-to-end arrangement (Fig. 3c). Thus, the Type 1 conformation has a five-helix bundle at the tail, whereas

Fig. 2 β-tongue structure of soluble AhlB. a Structure of Soluble AhlB and comparison with other related structures. The region of the head that forms the two membrane spanning helices in the pre-pore form of AhlB are highlighted in green, below are soluble structures of ClyA, Hbl-B, MakA and NheA, the proposed hydrophobic regions coloured green with the exception of NheA where the amphipathic β-tongue is coloured green (hydrophobic) and blue (hydrophilic), RMSD and % sequence Identity to soluble AhlB are given. b Hydrophobic β-tongue of soluble AhlB, coloured as in (a). All non-Ala/Gly hydrophobic residues of the head are highlighted as sticks.
Fig. 3 Structure of the AhlB pore. 

a Cartoon representation of AhlB pore, Type 1 conformation is pale yellow while Type 2 is coloured green. Y245 is shown as orange spheres and delineates the beginning of the hydrophobic head, with the ring of F203 highlighted as yellow spheres at the end of the head. Below is a negative stain EM image of AhlB pores in liposomes next to the crystal structure, orientated as seen in the EM, with the a view looking down the pore from the tail. 

b Surface rendering generated in PyMOL shows hydrophobic (white), negative (red), positive (blue) and polar (cyan) surfaces. The membrane bound head domain is entirely hydrophobic, with a blue rectangle defining the proposed membrane region. The internal radius against position along the vertical pore axis is plotted with the narrowest region in the neck labelled, calculations were performed using HOLE. 

c Side view of the AhlB Type 1 and Type 2 protomers. Protomers are coloured dark (N-terminus) to light (C-terminus). The Head, neck and tail are highlighted along with residues F203 and Y245 coloured as in (a). 

d Interactions between α5a with the neighbouring subunits in the Type 1/Type 2 dimer, with hydrophobic residues highlighted in white and Y245 coloured orange.
the Type 2 conformation has a four-helix bundle at the tail. The packing arrangement of the N and C terminal helices in the tail of Type 1 is the same as that observed in soluble AhlB, as well as soluble ClyA, NheA, Hbl-B and MakA. In contrast, the Type 2 conformation in this tail region packs into an arrangement similar to that seen in the pore structures of both YaxB and XaxB (Supplementary Fig. 7), suggesting that the fully extended Type 2 conformation of AhlB is likely to be the conformation present in the active AhlABC pore.

In the AhlB pore one of each of the subunit conformations of AhlB pack together to form a dimer and five of these Type 1/Type 2 dimers assemble into the decameric oligomer (Fig. 3). The interface between dimers is constructed from α5a of the Type 2 AhlB monomer (residues I350, A251, F353, L357 and L360) packing against α4 of the Type 1 AhlB monomer (residues L256 and I257) and α3 in AhlB Type 2 (residues V146 and V147) of the neighbouring dimer. The first 15 N-terminal residues of the Type 2 subunits point towards the centre of the oligomer where
discontinuous electron density is observed (Supplementary Fig. 8), perhaps suggesting that these residues may form a plug in the entrance to the funnel resulting in an inactive pore, possibly explaining the lack of haemolytic activity of AhlB alone. We note, however, that the equivalent residues in the structures of the active YaxAB and XaxAB pores are also disordered.

**Crystal structure of AhlC soluble tetramer.** As we have shown that the binary complex of AhlB and AhlC is partially haemolytic, the structure of the soluble form of AhlC was determined to 2.8 Å resolution in space group P6$_2$22 using Se-Methionine SAD, and refined to higher resolution (2.35 Å) using native data (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 9). The asymmetric unit contained a dimer of AhlC (chains P and Q), which by rotation about the crystallographic twofold axis formed a 222 tetramer (subunits PP’, QQ’). (Fig. 5), consistent with gel filtration results where AhlC eluted as a tetramer (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Each subunit of AhlC had a very similar structure to that of the Type 2 conformation of the AhlB pore structure, (rmsd 2.4 Å, Fig. 6a), with a bundle of $\alpha$-helices forming the tail and helices $\alpha$3 and $\alpha$4 running the entire length of the protein and extending from the tail by 50 Å to form the neck and head domain (Fig. 5a). A stretch of 16 residues (L155-V171) at the extending from the tail by 50 Å to form the neck and head end of $\alpha$suf.

However, these sections of the two helices only extend over 15 Å, clearly indicating a possible method of membrane attachment.

AhlC (chains P and Q), which by rotation about the crystallographic twofold axis formed a 222 tetramer (subunits PP’, QQ’). (Fig. 6a), with a bundle of $\alpha$-helices forming the tail and $\alpha$-helices $\alpha$3 and $\alpha$4 running the entire length of the protein and extending from the tail by 50 Å to form the neck and head domain (Fig. 5a). A stretch of 16 residues (L155-V171) at the end of $\alpha$3 and the start of $\alpha$4 in the head domain are hydrophobic, clearly indicating a possible method of membrane attachment. However, these sections of the two helices only extend over 15 Å, sufficient to span just one leaflet of the membrane, unlike AhlB where the hydrophobic parts of the equivalent structural elements can span the whole bilayer. The extent of this hydrophobic head domain is marked by a group of conserved lysine residues (K150, K151 and K152) and a tyrosine (Y154) on $\alpha$3, which would delineate the extent of membrane insertion (Fig. 5b).

Within the tetrameric assembly of soluble AhlC residues L156, L158, L160 and L163 in the $\alpha$3-$\alpha$4 hydrophobic head of subunit P pack against the hydrophobic residues L246 and L249 in the tails of both subunit Q and the symmetry related subunit P’, to form leucine zippers in the head to tail arrangement of the tetramer. Y154 of subunit P also forms $\pi$-stacking interactions with F250 in P’, and K152 of subunit P forms an ionic interaction with E257 of chain Q (Fig. 5b). The head of subunit P’ adopts the equivalent interactions in the tetramer. The other two hydrophobic heads from subunits Q and Q’ are more exposed on the tetramer surface and in the crystal pack against neighbouring hydrophobic heads from symmetry related tetramers (Supplementary Fig. 10). We have also determined the structure of a second crystal form of soluble AhlC in space group P2$_1$ (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 9), which contains a complete tetramer in the asymmetric unit. In this second crystal form the head domains of subunit Q and S do not form crystal contacts and the electron density for these areas is poor, indicating that these hydrophobic head domains are flexible within the tetramer (Supplementary Fig. 10). Thus, AhlC appears to use assembly of the quaternary structure to hide its hydrophobic head from the cytosol, rather than the conformational change mechanism seen in AhlB. Alignment of AhlC with YaxA or XaxA in their respective pores show that AhlC is closely related to both these structures, but that the extended conformation of AhlC subunit Q is more similar to YaxA or XaxA than

### Table 2 X-ray data collection and refinement statistics for AhlC structures

| Structure                | AhlC Form 1 (SeMet) PDB: 6H2E | AhlC Form 1 PDB: 6H2E | AhlC Form 2 PDB: 6H2D | AhlC head mutant PDB: 6R1J |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| **Data collection**     |                                |                       |                       |                           |
| Beamline                | I04                            | I04                   | I03                   | I04                       |
| Wavelength (Å)          | 0.9763                         | 0.9763                | 0.9763                | 0.97951                   |
| Space group             | P6$_2$22                       | P6$_2$22              | P2$_1$                | P6$_2$22                  |
| **Cell parameters**     |                                |                       |                       |                           |
| a, b, c (Å)             | 134.6, 134.6, 145.3             | 134.7, 134.7, 145.3   | 65.1, 61.7, 130.0     | 88.5, 88.5, 291.0         |
| a, b, c (°)             | 90, 90, 120                     | 90, 90, 120           | 90, 90, 120            | 90, 90, 120               |
| Molecules per asymmetric unit | 2                              | 2                     | 4                     | 2                         |
| Resolution (Å)         | 2.81-29.54                     | 2.35-38.33            | 2.62-46.74            | 1.92-76.68                |
| Total reflections a,b   | 751,732                        | 1,293,084             | 105,013               | 720,965                   |
| Unique reflections a    | 19,468                         | 32,942                | 31,617                | 52,765                    |
| $\text{R}_{	ext{merge}}$ a,b | 0.129                          | 0.138                 | 0.074                 | 0.084                     |
| $\text{R}_{	ext{ave}}$ a,c | (1.013)                        | (3.967)               | (0.710)               | (2.073)                   |
| Mean I/σ(I)            | 28.1 (4.8)                     | 19.8 (1.4)            | 11.0 (1.8)            | 16.1 (0.9)                |
| Complement (%)         | 99.8 (98.1)                    | 99.8 (99.8)           | 99.7 (100)            | 100 (100)                 |
| Multiplicity a          | 38.6 (37.7)                    | 39.3 (40.7)           | 3.4 (3.4)             | 18.7 (9.0)                |
| Mid-slope              | 1.36                           |                       |                       |                           |
| df/F                   | 0.059                          |                       |                       |                           |
| **Refinement**         |                                |                       |                       |                           |
| No. of non-H atoms      | 3866                           | 6152                  | 4108                  |                           |
| $\text{R}_{	ext{work}}$/R$_{\text{free}}$ | 0.22/0.27                     | 0.27/0.33             | 0.23/0.28             |                           |
| Average B factors (Å$^2$) | 68                             | 58                    | 51                    |                           |
| Bond length rmsd (Å)   | 0.012                          | 0.011                 | 0.0092                |                           |
| Bond angle rmsd (°)    | 1.50                           | 1.48                  | 1.61                  |                           |
| Ramachandran favoured/allowed (%) | 96.4/100                      | 93.7/100              | 99.0/100              |                           |

*Values in brackets are for data in the high-resolution shell

$\text{R}_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Sigma_{j} l_{ij} - l_{ij} / \Sigma_{j} l_{ij}$, $\text{R}_{\text{ave}} = \Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j} V_{i} | l_{ij} - l_{ij} / \Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j} l_{ij}$, where $l_{ij}$ and $l_{ij}$ are the observed intensity and mean intensity of related reflections, respectively.
that of AhlC subunit P, where the head is buried within the tetramer (rmsd 2.8 and 2.7 Å; and 4.0 and 2.8 Å, for subunits Q and P against YaxA and XaxA, respectively, Fig. 5c).

To determine if disassembly of the tetramer is required for membrane binding, we cross-linked soluble AhlC with glutaraldehyde to produce equal proportions of AhlC monomer and AhlC dimer, whereas when AhlC was cross-linked with both glutaraldehyde and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide, the dimeric species was formed exclusively (Supplementary Fig. 11a). Haemolytic assays using these cross-linked samples showed that lysis was abolished for the purely dimeric form and reduced by about 50% for the mixture of monomeric and dimeric AhlC. Based on the length of the hydrophobic head of AhlC, its delineating charged residues and the observation that it is not lytic in isolation, we suggest that AhlC inserts into a single leaflet of the bilayer. We thus constructed a triple leucine to threonine mutation (α3 L156T, and α4 L160T, L161T) of AhlC to reduce the hydrophobicity of the head. Haemolytic assays using the triple mutant of AhlC resulted in an almost 80% reduction in activity of the AhlABC complex (Supplementary Figure 11b). We also determined the structure of this triple mutant of AhlC (Table 2), which showed it adopts the same tetrameric structure as that observed for the wild-type AhlC (rmsd 0.6 Å). Taken together, these results show that monomeric AhlC is required for lysis, and that disassembly of the tetramer of AhlC and the hydrophobic nature of the head of AhlC are both vital for pore formation.

**Discussion**

Within the greater ClyA family there are a number of requirements that need to be met in constructing a fully active pore
forming system. These include masking the hydrophobic membrane attachment residues from solvent in the soluble form of the protein; the transition from soluble to pore form; the initial attachment to the membrane and the oligomerization to form the active pore. For the simplest member of the family, the E. coli protein ClyA itself, these four functions are carried on the same polypeptide. The ClyA protomer unpacks from a compact soluble form, refolding its β-tongue region into the hydrophobic α3–α4 head that inserts into one leaflet of the membrane, whilst further conformational changes expose the amphipathic N-terminal helix which penetrates both membrane leaflets and forms the lining of the pore upon oligomerization9,10.

Comparison of the soluble and pore structures of AhlB shows that a major rearrangement of the protein occurs between them.
in a similar way to that seen in the ClyA pore formation. The hydrophobic β-tongue of AhlB is shielded from solvent by packing against α3a, α4a, the N-terminal helix and C-terminal helix of the tail domain in the soluble conformation. A bifold hinge mechanism operates to unpack the β-tongue from the tail domain to form the 140 Å extended α3 and α4 helices, refolding the hydrophobic β-tongue into a α-helical conformation to provide the membrane spanning α3–α4 head domain, in ClyA these conformational changes have been proposed to occur via a molten globule state10. Unlike in ClyA where the α3 and α4 head inserts into just one leaflet9, the equivalent hydrophobic head region of AhlB is of sufficient length to cross both membrane leaflets, indicating that AhlB may be the pore forming component of the system. A similar role is played by both YaxB and XaxB in their respective bipartite pores, where the α5–α6 head (equivalent to α3–α4 in AhlB) inserts through both leaflets of the membrane, with a similar, but smaller conformational change to that seen for AhlB or ClyA10,11,15 (Fig. 4). The α5–α6 head of both YaxB and XaxB promoters is formed from amphiphilic helices making a hydrophobic pore lining, whereas both the head in AhlB, and thus the pore lining are hydrophilic.

The attachment of a toxin component to a single leaflet of the target bilayer occurs in ClyA, and for the YaxA and XaxA components of the YaxAB and XaxAB bipartite PFTs10,11,15. The extent of hydrophobic residues in the α3–α4 head of AhlC is only sufficient to insert into one leaflet and thus AhlC most likely carries this role in the AhlABC tripartite PFT, supported by liposome float assays and associated negative stain EM which show that AhlC associates with a bilayer, but that no pores are formed from AhlC alone. In addition, the triple Leu–Thr head mutant of AhlC designed to decrease its hydrophobicity, reduces lytic activity by 80%, emphasising the head’s critical role. We suggest the single leaflet insertion of AhlC is the initial binding event, as the lag time for lysis is abolished when erythrocytes are pre-incubated with AhlC, a situation also observed for the Nhe and Hbl tripartite PFTs of B. cereus where Nhec and Hbl-B (each equivalent to AhlC) have been shown to attach to membrane lipids to start pore assembly37,38. For AhlC membrane attachment the hydrophobic head must become exposed. In ClyA this is achieved during the conformational change from the soluble to pore form9,10. However, the AhlC subunits of the soluble tetramer adopt a pore type conformation with the hydrophobic heads buried within the tail domains of symmetry related subunits. Thus some disassembly of the AhlC tetramer must occur before membrane attachment, supported by the cross-linking of AhlC, which abolishes lytic activity. Flexibility of the head to dissociate from the tetramer when presented with a hydrophobic surface is also shown in the crystals of AhlC, where the α3–α4 hydrophobic heads of two subunits protrude from the tetramer to pack against equivalent regions of symmetry related molecules in one crystal form and make no contacts and are disordered in the other. Such disassembly of the AhlC tetramer on binding to membrane would produce a distinct concentrating effect of initiating subunits on the membrane surface, explaining the rapid lysis of erythrocytes pre-incubated with AhlC before addition of the other pore components. As the structure of AhlC is very similar to the AhlB Type 2 conformation, with 30 out of the 55 conserved residues between AhlB and AhlC present at the AhlB Type1/Type 2 interface (Fig. 6) a further role for AhlC may be to instigate the conformational change in AhlB by providing a similar structure and binding surface to that seen between protomers in the AhlB pore.

Multiple sequence alignment of the C components of the α-PFTs that we have identified from other Gram-negative bacteria show that residues involved in the packing interactions that conceal the hydrophobic head in the AhlC tetramer are conserved (Supplementary Fig. 12). Residues K152 and E257 which form a salt bridge between the head and tail domains in AhlC are identical in all the proteins with the exception of Moritella sp., Janthinobacterium lividum and Serratia sp. where conservative substitutions occur (K152Y and E257S, respectively). The hydrophobic heads of all the C components are 15 residues long and are rich in Leu and Ile with L158 in α3, L163 in α4 and L249 in α5 conserved and could thus form the same leucine zippers as seen in AhlC. This suggests that the tetrameric assembly of soluble AhlC is conserved across these tripartite α-PFTs of Gram-negative bacteria (Supplementary Fig. 12). It thus appears that in the tripartite AhlABC system the initial transition from soluble to membrane forms of AhlC and binding into a single leaflet occurs via a different mechanism to ClyA, based on the disassembly of a tetramer rather than the conformation change mechanism seen in ClyA2,10. In contrast, the hydrophobic residues of YaxA and XaxA (equivalent to AhlC) are not concealed in their respective monomeric soluble structures, although these residues do form hydrophobic interactions in their crystal lattices, perhaps suggesting that soluble YaxA and XaxA may fold in a manner similar to ClyA or AhlB to hide their hydrophobic residues.

The structures of the tripartite Ahl PFT proteins AhlC and the pore form of AhlB presented here are closely related to those of the bipartite PFTs YaxAB and XaxAB (Supplementary Figs. 7 and 13). The decameric AhlB pore is constructed from five dimers of the Type1 and Type 2 conformations, with the Type 1 conformation an intermediate on the soluble to pore transition (Supplementary Movie 1). As we have shown that AhlB pores are partially active, and as an equal number of the single leaflet insertion heads surround the membrane spanning pore in both the ClyA and YaxAB/XaxAB pores, it would thus seem reasonable to propose that AhlC and AhlB could assemble into a pore containing 10 copies of AhlB and 10 of AhlC, with the ring of AhlB subunits surrounded by a ring of AhlC subunits (AhlC is equivalent to YaxA). However, as 80% lysis can also be achieved with a ratio of AhlC:AhlB:AhlA of 0.25:1:1 (Fig. 1b), pores with fewer copies of AhlC might also be lytic. Nevertheless, using the YaxAB pore as a guide, we modelled AhlB and AhlC into a decameric pore. Using alternating AhlB Type 1 and Type 2 conformations with AhlC produced a pore with severe steric clashes at the head. However, if a pore is made using the AhlB type 2 conformation alone with AhlC, no steric clashes occur (Supplementary Fig. 14), further indicating that the AhlB Type 2 conformation is likely to be that of the active pore structure.

This model of an AhlBC pore has a constriction diameter of approximately 30 Å, slightly larger than that seen in the AhlB pore structure (20 Å), but similar in size to both the YaxAB and XaxAB structures (31 Å11,15 and consistent with the observation that molecules larger than ~20 Å cannot pass through a similar NheBC pore from the tripartite B. cereus Nhe system22. However, this proposed AhlBC pore has a hydrophobic pore lining, as the α3–α4 head of AhlB is formed exclusively from hydrophobic residues. This is in direct contrast to ClyA, XaxAB and YaxAB, where the pore lining itself is hydrophilic. As maximal lysis only occurs when all three components of the AhlABC system are present, AhlA must play an important role in the pore construction. Indeed, the liposome float assays and complementary ultracentrifugation experiments with detergent show that all three components are present in pores formed from AhlA, AhlB and AhlC and EM images of liposome preparations show that efficient pore formation, with pores visible in every liposome, only occurs when AhlA, AhlB and AhlC are incubated together with the membranes, compared to the situation with AhlB/AhlC alone, when pores can be seen in just some of the liposomes (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 3e).
One possible function of AhlA is that it provides the hydrophilic pore lining. AhlA shares closest sequence similarity with B. cereus NheA (39%) enabling a structure based sequence alignment to be constructed between NheA (PDB code 4k1p) and AhlA (Supplementary Fig. 15). In this alignment, β1 of NheA (N196–T214) is equivalent to residues D189–T207 of AhlA, with residues K230–S248 in AhlA equivalent to β2 of NheA (T217–A235). There is an insertion in the AhlA sequence between β1 and β2, compared to NheA, which is also seen in the other A components identified in Gram-negative bacteria. Assuming a similar bifold soluble to pore transition for AlhA as seen in AhlB, then these β1–β2 residues would form the extended membrane spanning helices (α3–α4) and would be of sufficient length to pass through both leaflets of the membrane, with S208–A229 in AhlA forming a intracellular loop between them. The sequence of these helices shows that α3 of the head would be amphipathic with α4 hydrophilic (Supplementary Fig. 15). These helices could pack together via their hydrophilic surfaces to produce a membrane-spanning element with an overall amphipathic character. It is, therefore, plausible that within the fully active pore, AhlA produces the hydrophilic pore lining with the hydrophobic surface of AhlA α3 packing against the hydrophobic surface of AhlB, and the hydrophilic α4 lining the inside of the pore. As the kinetic assays show that equal amount of AhlA and AhlB are present in the most active pores, the pore could be formed with alternating AhlA and AhlB subunits at the tail and a ring of AhlA α3–α4 heads providing the hydrophilic lining of the membrane-spanning region. AhlA could be accommodated within the pore without reducing the internal diameter by movement of the AhlB heads, which have been shown to be flexible between the two crystal forms of the AhlB pore (Fig. 7). Such an AhlABC pore would necessitate an increased outside diameter for the transmembrane region, compared to the AhlB or AhlBC pores alone, as this pore model would have a splayed funnel shape, like YaxAB and XaxAB, the pore diameter at the funnel entrance would only have to be slightly bigger in the AhlABC pore than the AhlB pore, in agreement with negative stain EM images that show a similar size for the AhlABC and AhlB pores. Flexibility in assembling pores is shown in both ClyA and XaxAB PFTs, where pores of variable protomer number

**Fig. 7** A proposed assembly schematic of AhlB, AhlBC and AhlABC pores. **a** Assembly of AhlB pores; soluble AhlB (orange) reconfigures to the Type 1 pore conformation (yellow) on exposure to the lipid bilayer and recruits more AhlB monomers to form an inactive pore of mixed Type 1, Type 2 (green) conformation. Assembly of AhlBC pores; when AhlC (cyan) is present tetramers of AhlC disassemble at the membrane and monomers insert into one leaflet. Soluble AhlB (orange) is recruited to the lipid bilayer where it unpacks (green) to form a hetero dimer with AhlC. Further AhlB and AhlC are recruited until a complete pore is formed with a ring of AhlC on the outside and a hydrophobic ring of AhlB on the inside. Assembly of AhlABC pores; AhlC inserts in to the membrane as in AhlBC pore assembly, soluble AhlA and AhlB are then recruited to the membrane where they associate with AhlC and further AhlA, AhlB and AhlC are recruited until a complete pore is formed with a hydrophilic lining from AhlA on the inside of the membrane spanning region. Shown below is a cross-section views through the membrane bound region of each pore, with AhlB type 1 (yellow), AhlB type 2 (green), AhlC (blue) and AhlA (pink) with hydrophobic surfaces (orange) and hydrophilic (light blue) highlighted. Each oval represents the two α3, α4 head helices. Relative sizes of the proposed pores are not implied by these schematics. **b** Schematics of the ClyA and the YaxAB/XaxAB pores. Below is a cross-section view through the membrane bound region of each pore. ClyA and YaxB/XaxB are coloured orange, while YaxA/XaxA is coloured blue. Hydrophilic (blue) and hydrophobic (orange) surfaces are highlighted. Both pores have a hydrophilic internal lining.
have been observed\(^{15,32}\), which may also be the case in AhlABC,
(for example, with alternating protomers of AhlA and AhlB
providing the membrane spanning region), but the overall
architecture would be the same. The amphipathic α3 and
hydrophilic α4 sequence pattern of AhlA is also conserved within
the A component of the other tripartite Gram-negative bacteria
α-PFTs we have identified, suggesting that a similar role for the A
component may operate throughout the tripartite family (Supple-
mental Fig. 15c).

The large scale conformational change seen in both AhlB and
ClyA and proposed for AhlA presumably also occurs for at least
the tripartite PFT components \(B.\ cereus\) NheA and HblB, as
structures of the soluble forms of these two proteins are in the
same conformation as seen in the soluble forms of AhlB and ClyA
(Fig. 2). In addition, the \textit{Vibrio cholerae} MakA protein, of the
motility associated cytotoxin operon, also shares the same general
structure as soluble AhlB, but as yet it is unclear whether the mak
operon encodes a ClyA family PFT\(^{33}\). A similar, but smaller scale
conformational change occurs for the bipartite YaxB and XaxB
proteins\(^{11,15}\), indicating that this mechanism occurs across the
whole ClyA family.

It is thus clear that initial insertion into a single leaftlet by two
short hydrophobic α helices is a common feature of all these α-
PFT’s, carried out by AhlC in the three component AhlABC
system, by YaxA or XaxA in the two component YaxAB or
XaxAB pores and by the β-tongue in the single component ClyA
pore, albeit the method by which the hydrophobic residues are
occluded in the soluble forms is different. The membrane span-
noping pore itself is then assembled using the N-terminal helix of
ClyA or the components YaxB or XaxB in the bipartite YaxAB and
XaxAB pores. However, it appears that in the tripartite
AhlABC pore each component carries a separate role. AhlC
provides the initial single leaftlet insertion, assembly with AhlB
then producing an oligomeric hydrophobic pore, and finally
recruitment of AhlA produces a hydrophilic fully active AhlABC
pore. Structural and sequence analysis suggests this method of
pore assembly may well occur throughout the tripartite α-PFT
family.

The structures of the tripartite AhlABC toxin components
described here show that are related with those from the greater
ClyA family, although low sequence similarity is seen to the
greater family members. The known importance of ClyA,
NheABC, HblI-I1-L-B, YaxAB and XaxAB for virulence in their
respective organisms\(^{31,17,20,21}\), suggests that the large number of
similar α-PFT systems that we have identified in pathogenic
Gram-negative bacteria may also be important for the virulence
of these organisms. Disruption of the pore assembly may well
thus provide a means to develop new virulence-targeted therapies.
We also note that biotechnological applications of protein
membrane pores to encapsulate enzymes for biosensors\(^{39}\) and for
nucleotide sequencing\(^{40}\) are increasing and identification of this
greater tripartite α-PFT family may well provide a wider variety of
different assemblies to be exploited.

Methods

**Bioinformatic search.** The amino acid sequence of \(B.\ cereus\) NheB was submitted to Blast\(^{41}\), which identified the gene AXH33180.1 (ahlB) from \textit{A. hydrophila}.
Upstream and downstream analysis of the \textit{A. hydrophila} genome identified genes AXH33179.1 (ahlA) and AXH33181.1 (ahlC). All three genes were aligned with the respective NheABC components using Tcoffee\(^{48}\) and hydrophobicity plots were generated for each gene using ProtScale\(^{45}\). The amino acid sequences of
AXH33180.1, AXH33179.1 and AXH33181.1 were submitted to Blast\(^{41}\) excluding \textit{Aeromonas} species to identify orthologues with \(E<0.01\).

**Protein cloning and purification.** The ahl genes ahlA, ahlB and ahlC were amplified from genomic DNA of \textit{A. hydrophila} strain AL09-71 using polymerase chain reaction with synthetic oligonucleotide primers (Eurofins) and a Q5 high-fidelity polymerase (NEB) (Supplementary Table 2). The amplified fragments were subjected to double restriction digest using NdeI/Xhol (NEB) for AhlB, AhlC and AhlABC, and NdeI/HindIII (NEB) for AhlA, before ligating to T4 ligase (NEB) into a pET21a expression vector (Novagen). The AhlC head mutant was generated from the cloned AhlC wild-type gene using a Q5 mutagenesis kit (NEB; for primer sequences see Supplementary Table 2). All constructs were designed to contain a C-
terminal His tag.

Each protein was expressed in an \textit{E. coli} BL21 DE3 expression cell line (NEB). All cultures were grown in LB media at 37 °C, until an \(OD_{600}\) of 0.6 was reached, at which point protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM isopropyl β-
thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). For AhlA, protein expression was carried out at 16 °C with 1 mM IPTG for AhlB and wild type proteins using ProtScale\(^{45}\). The amino acid sequence of AhlA is also conserved within the family.

Ultracentrifugation. Totally, 10 μM of purified AhlA, AhlB or 5 μM of purified AhlC were incubated with 45 μM N-heptyl-thioglycolopipraside at a total volume of 100 μl for 1 h at 25 °C. A third sample containing a mixture of 10 μM AhlB and 5 μM AhlC, or 10 μM AhlA, and 5 μM AhlC, was incubated with 45 μM N-
heptyl-thioglycolopipraside at a total volume of 100 μl for 1 h at 25 °C. After incuba-
tion, samples were spun for 30 min at 21 450 g in a Beckman Optima MAX
ultracentrifuge at 4 °C. Supernatant was separated from the pellet and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 and 0.5 M NaCl. Finally, the soluble fraction and pelleted fraction from each sample was analysed by SDS-PAGE. In the ultracentrifugation assays AhlA partially degraded in the absence of other components, possibly due to disruption of the stabilising interactions between AhlA and the buffer components Glu and Arg, required to stabilise soluble AhlA.

Liposome floatation assay. Liposomes were prepared from \textit{E. coli} total lipid extract (Avanti Polar Lipids), using the extrusion method\(^{46}\). Totally 100 mg of solid
lipids were resuspended in 1 ml of 2% chloroform:methanol. A total of 100 µl of this solution was dried with nitrogen gas and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, before further resuspension (Sartorius), and then added to 30 µM pH 7.4 TBS and resuspended in 1 ml of 10 mM PBS pH 7.4 and vortexed until fully dissolved. The lipid solution was then extruded through a 100 µm filter 11 times to generate a uniform 100 µm liposome suspension, as gauged by negative stain electron microscopy. Totally, 300 µg of liposomes with 100 µg of protein for were incubated for 15 min at 37 °C before addition of 100 µM PBS buffer. This mixture was then incubated for a further 45 min at 37 °C. After incubation the total volume was made up to 800 µl with 55% (w/v) sucrose in PBS. The liposome mixture was transferred to an ultracentrifugal ultracentrifuge tube (Beckman Coulter SW 55 Ti rotor). Six 100 µl fractions were taken from the top of the tube, 3.8 ml removed and a further six 100 µl fractions taken from the bottom, which were analysed by SDS-PAGE.

**Conclusion of AhlC.** AhlC was cross-linked using both glutaraldehyde and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) in a two step reaction. First 10 µl of 25% w/v glutaraldehyde was added to 90 µl of 1.4 mg/ml AhlC and incubated at room temperature for 15 min, to give 50% cross-linked AhlC, before buffer exchanging into 0.1 M MES pH 6 buffer using a Zebaspin 7K desalting column (Sartorius). Native AhlC was cross-linked at 16 °C by sitting drop vapour diffusion with 0.2 M MgCl2, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.0, and 0.05% (w/v) PEG 10,000 (200:200 nl drop). Se-Met derivatized AhlC was cross-linked using the same conditions, with crystals growing in a week. Prior to cooling in liquid nitrogen, crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor containing an additional 20% (v/v) ethylene glycol.

**Cross-linking of AhlC.** X-ray diffraction data were collected from a single crystal of Se-Met AhlC on beamline 10 of the Diamond Light Source a wavelength of 0.979 Å. Images were integrated and scaled using FastDP47. The crystals diffracted to 2.8 Å resolution and belonged to the space group P622, (Form 1, Table 1). Heavy atom sites and a final model due to poor electron density.

The second crystal form of AhlC also crystallised in a week by sitting drop vapour diffusion in 0.1 M tris HCl pH 7.0, and 10% Peg 8000 (100:100 nl drop). Se-Met derivatized AhlC was crystallised using the same conditions, with crystals growing in a week. Prior to cooling in liquid nitrogen, crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor containing an additional 20% (v/v) ethylene glycol.

**AhlC crystallisation and structure determination.** The soluble form of AhlB was concentrated to 10 mg/ml using a Vivaspain 30 kDa MWCO concentrator (Sartorius), and buffer exchanged into 50 mM Tris pH 8, 0.1 mM NaCl with a Zebaspin desalting column (Sartorius). Native AhlC was crystallised at 16 °C by sitting drop vapour diffusion with 0.2 M MgCl2, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.0, and 0.05% (w/v) PEG 10,000 (200:200 nl drop). The crystals were then air dried before storing for up to 5 weeks at room temperature. Electron microscopy was undertaken using Dali59. Structural alignments described in this paper were undertaken using Dali59.
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