Circulating sex hormone binding globulin: An integrating biomarker for an adverse cardio-metabolic profile in obese pregnant women
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Abstract

Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) negatively associates with pre-gestational body mass index (BMI) and gestational weight gain. The link with other cardio-metabolic risk factors in pregnant women is poorly understood. Our aim was to study the association of SHBG levels with common cardio-metabolic risk parameters in pregnant women.

Serum SHBG was quantified in 291 Caucasian pregnant women (142 with normal weight, 42 with pregestational obesity, 50 with gestational obesity and 57 with pregestational plus gestational obesity) with uncomplicated pregnancies and parturition. Cardio-metabolic [C-reactive protein (CRP), blood pressure (BP), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbAc1), glucose, C-peptide, insulin, triglycerides and high molecular weight (HMW) adiponectin], and endocrine [testosterone and estradiol] parameters were also assessed.

SHBG was negatively correlated with BMI, but also with CRP, BP, HbAc1, pre and post-load glucose, C-peptide, HOMA-IR, triglycerides; and positively with HMW adiponectin (all p<0.01 to p<0.0001). These associations were more robust in women with pregestational plus gestational obesity, who had lower SHBG, in comparison to normal-weight women (p<0.0001). In multivariate analyses in women with pregestational plus gestational obesity SHBG showed independent associations with CRP (β = −0.352, p = 0.03, R² = 8.0%), DBP (β = −0.353, p = 0.03, R² = 7.0%) and SBP (β = −0.333, p = 0.04, R² = 6.0%) independently of BMI and metabolic and endocrine parameters.

SHBG is decreased in pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity in association with common cardio-metabolic parameters. SHBG could represent an integrating biomarker for an adverse cardio-metabolic profile in pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity.
Introduction

Obesity is a well-known worldwide epidemic condition that affects men, women and children. In recent years, much attention has been paid to obesity during pregnancy, which has not only adverse effects on the mothers’ health but also on the developing fetus [1]. Pregnant women with obesity have increased risk of impaired glucose tolerance and gestational diabetes (GDM) and increased risk of delivering a large for gestational age baby [1]. Offspring of obese women have also increased risk of obesity and obesity-related negative health outcomes later in life, such as increased carotid-intima thickness, higher body mass index, increased blood pressure or adverse lipid profile throughout childhood, adolescence, and as young adults [2,3]. Women can enter pregnancy with a body mass index (BMI) in the overweight or obese range or gain excessive weight during gestation and it is difficult to determine the separate or interdependent contributions of prepregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain on the metabolic outcomes for the mother and the offspring [4].

Adiposity is significantly associated with sex hormones, and adipose tissue contributes to the production of sex hormones in women [5,6]. Increased concentrations of sexual steroids have been related to cardio-metabolic alterations such as high blood pressure, gestational diabetes mellitus, pre-eclampsia or low/high birth weight [5,7–10]. Sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) is a glycoprotein synthesized by the liver that transports sexual steroids (androgens and estrogens) in plasma, regulating their availability and access to target organs [11]. SHBG production is negatively regulated by insulin and monosaccharides and numerous studies in men, children and adolescents have shown that SHBG levels are reduced in obesity, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes [12,13]. Thus, a low level of SHBG may be a biomarker for the future development of metabolic risk factors (including hypertension, dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity and impaired glucose metabolism), and has been associated with a 2-fold increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [14]. Consistently, in postmenopausal women, low SHBG levels are related to an adverse profile of risk factors for CVD [15].

SHBG levels vary during pregnancy, being higher between 16 and 27 weeks’ gestation. The hormone is expressed in placenta as well as found in cord blood. SHBG is reduced in pregnant women with obesity and gestational diabetes [16,17]. The relationship between newborn parameters such as birth weight and maternal SHBG concentrations has been poorly investigated and is controversial, with some studies finding negative correlations and others no association [18–20]. Recent data suggest that SHBG levels during pregnancy may contribute to and predict the development of adiposity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes as children grow older [21]. If confirmed, SHBG might be a useful biomarker to detect children who are prone to develop cardio-metabolic diseases.

In summary, the link of SHBG with common cardio-metabolic parameters is poorly understood in pregnancy. We aimed to study the association of circulating SHBG with cardio-metabolic parameters in a cohort of pregnant women with pregestational and/or gestational obesity. As a secondary aim, we also studied the relationship of maternal SHBG with newborn parameters.

Materials and methods

Study population and ethics

The study cohort consisted of 291 mother-newborn pairs recruited among those seen at the prenatal primary care clinics in Girona, between 2008 and 2010. Inclusion criteria were: 1) singleton uncomplicated pregnancies of Caucasian origin; 2) absence of major medical, surgical or obstetrical complications; and 3) absence of maternal pathology (hypertension, pre-
eclampsia or gestational diabetes). The exclusion criteria were: 1) fetal malformations or asphyxia; and 2) lack of data about principal variables. A total of 335 pregnant women were recruited in the prenatal cohort and 43 were excluded because they did not fulfill the inclusion criteria or had exclusion criteria.

Women were grouped according to their pregestational BMI and their end pregnancy weight gain following consensus guidelines from the Health and Medicine Division of the US National Academies [22], which are recommended by Spanish Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (SEGO). The groups were as follows: 1) Normal weight women: \[18.5 \leq \text{pregestational BMI} \leq 24.9\] and \[11.5 \leq \text{pregnancy weight gain} \leq 16\text{kg}\]; 2) Women with Pregestational obesity only \[\text{pregestational BMI} \geq 25\] and \(7 \leq \text{pregnancy weight gain} \leq 11.5\text{kg}\) or \(\text{pregestational BMI} > 30\) and \(5 \leq \text{pregnancy weight gain} \leq 9\text{kg}\); 3) Women with gestational obesity only \(\text{pregestational BMI} \leq 24.9\) and \(\text{pregnancy weight gain}> 16\text{kg}\); and 4) Pregestational plus Gestational obesity \[\text{pregestational BMI} \geq 25\] and \(\text{pregnancy weight gain}> 16\text{kg}\) or \(\text{pregestational BMI} \geq 30\) and \(\text{pregnancy weight gain}> 9\text{kg}\) respectively.

The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dr. Josep Trueta Hospital and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from the women. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Assessments and samples

Prenatal follow-up, consisting of standardized clinical exams, ultrasonograms, and laboratory tests (urine and blood), were performed in all subjects. Social, demographic, medical and reproductive features were retrieved from the mothers’ clinical records along with labor and delivery information. Maternal education was assessed as years of schooling after primary school.

Maternal weight and height were assessed at the beginning of gestation, at second trimester and again before delivery. Maternal gestational weight gain was obtained as the difference between the last weight measurement before delivery and pre-pregnancy weight. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared, Kg/m\(^2\). Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressure were measured in the sitting position on the right arm after 10 min rest; an electronic sphygmomanometer (Dinamap Pro 100, GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, United Kingdom) was used.

At delivery, placentas were weighed using a calibrated scale. Infants were weighed and measured within the first minutes after delivery using a calibrated scale for weight, a measuring board and a measuring tape for length and head circumference respectively. Gestational age- and sex-adjusted z-scores were calculated using regional norms [23]. Ponderal index was calculated as \((\text{birth weight (g)} -100)/(\text{birthlength (cm)})^3\).

Analytical methods

All serum samples for assessment of soluble SHBG and metabolic markers were obtained under fasting conditions at second trimester of pregnancy (between 24 and 28 gestation weeks), at the time of assessment of glucose tolerance. Oral glucose tolerance tests, with fasting and one hour-timed blood glucose measurements after a 50 g oral glucose load, were performed in all participants.

Serum glucose was analyzed by the hexokinase method. HbA1c was measured by high performance liquid chromatography with ionic exchange (D-10 Hemoglobin, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Serum immunoreactive insulin was measured by immunochemiluminiscence (IMMULITE 2000, Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, CA). Lower detection limit
was 0.4 mIU/L and intra- and inter-assay CVs were less than 10%. Fasting insulin sensitivity was estimated from fasting insulin and glucose levels using the homeostasis model assessment [HOMA-IR = (fasting insulin in mU/l) x (fasting glucose in mM)/22.5)]. Serum C-peptide was measured by immunochemiluminiscence (IMMULITE 2000; Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, CA). The detection limit was 0.05 ng/mL and CVs were less than 10%. High-molecular-weight (HMW) adiponectin was measured by sandwich ELISA (Linco, St. Charles, MO). The detection limit was 0.5 ng/mL and CVs was less than 4%. Serum levels of CRP were measured using an ultrasensitive latex immunoassay (CRP Vario; Sentinel Diagnostics, Abbott Diagnostics Europe, Milan, Italy). The lower limit of detection was 0.2 mg/L, and the intra-assay and interassay CVs were both <3%. Total serum triglycerides were measured by monitoring the reaction of glycerol-phosphate-oxidase and peroxidase. HDL cholesterol was quantified by the homogeneous method of selective detergent with accelerator. Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) were analyzed by colorimetry using automated tests (Roche diagnostics GmbH, Manheim, Germany). Intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation were less than 4% for these tests. Serum SHBG, estradiol and testosterone concentrations were measured by a chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (ARCHITECT, Abbot Laboratories SA, Texas). The within- and between-run CVs were less than 10%, and the detection limit were 0.1 nmol/L, 5 pg/mL and 0.15 nmol/L, respectively. Total testosterone was used to calculate free testosterone as previously described [24].

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Results are expressed as mean ± SD for normally distributed variables and median and interquartile range for non-normally distributed variables. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to test for normal distribution. Non-normally distributed variables were mathematically transformed to improve symmetry. Differences among pregnant women groups were examined by One-way ANOVA and DMS post-hoc test or χ² test. The relation of SHBG with maternal cardio-metabolic parameters at the second trimester of pregnancy (24–28 weeks of gestation) was analyzed by Pearson correlation followed by multiple regression analysis using the enter method to adjust for maternal age, maternal education, pregestational and gestational smoking, BMI, time of gestation, HOMA-IR, HMW adiponectin, hepatic enzymes, serum lipids and sex hormones. The same tests were used to study the association between SHBG at the second trimester of pregnancy and newborn parameters. Significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of the study subjects are summarized in Table 1. Women with pregestational, gestational obesity or pregestational plus gestational obesity showed lower SHBG values than normal weight women (p = 0.05, p = 0.05 and p<0.001 respectively; Table 1).

In the studied women, decreasing concentrations of SHBG were correlated with a less favorable cardio-metabolic profile (more CRP, DBP, SBP, BMI, HbA1c, pre and post-load glucose, C-peptide, HOMA-IR, triglycerides and less HMW adiponectin; all p<0.05 to p<0.001; Table 2). Most of these associations were not apparent in normal weight women or women with pregestational or gestational obesity only but were present in women with pregestational plus gestational obesity (Table 2).

The associations of SHBG with cardio-metabolic parameters remained significant in all subjects after controlling for maternal age and education, BMI, time of gestation, smoking,
### Maternal Clinical assessments

|                      | All subjects (n = 291) | Normal weight (n = 142) | Pregestational obesity (n = 42) | Gestational obesity (n = 50) | Pregestational + gestational obesity (n = 57) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age (yr)             | 30 ± 5                 | 30 ± 5                  | 31 ± 5                          | 30 ± 5                        | 31 ± 5                                         |
| Height(cm)           | 163 ± 6                | 163 ± 5                 | 161 ± 6                         | 165 ± 8<sup>a</sup>           | 162 ± 6                                       |
| Weight(Kg)           | 71.4 ± 12.0            | 64.1 ± 6.5              | 81.4 ± 11.6<sup>a</sup>         | 69.4 ± 7.3<sup>ab</sup>       | 84.0 ± 10.8<sup>a</sup>                       |
| BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>)| 26.8 ± 4.3             | 24.2 ± 2.2              | 30.6 ± 4.4<sup>a</sup>          | 25.6 ± 2.2<sup>ab</sup>       | 31.6 ± 3.5<sup>a</sup>                        |
| SBP (mm Hg)          | 116 ± 10               | 115 ± 10                | 118 ± 10                        | 116 ± 10<sup>b</sup>          | 121 ± 10<sup>b</sup>                         |
| DBP (mm Hg)          | 68 ± 8                 | 66 ± 8                  | 70 ± 8<sup>a</sup>              | 70 ± 9<sup>a</sup>            | 73 ± 8<sup>a</sup>                            |
| Time of gestation (wk)| 26 ± 1                | 26 ± 1                  | 26 ± 3                          | 26 ± 2                        | 26 ± 2                                        |

### Maternal Laboratory variables at second trimester of pregnancy:

|                      | Pre-load glucose (mg/dL) | Post-load glucose (mg/dL) | HbA1C (%) | Fasting insulin (μIU/mL) | HOMA-IR | C-peptide (ng/mL) | HMW-adiponectin (mg/L) | CRP (mg/L) | Triacylglycerol (mg/dL) | HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | SHBG (nmol/L) | AST (U/L) | ALT (U/L) | GGT (U/L) | Estradiol (pg/mL) | Free Testosterone (pg/mL) | Placental weight (g) | Weight (g) | Weight SDS | Length (cm) | Length SDS | Head circumference (cm) | Head circumference SDS |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                      | 79 (75–84)              | 121 (97–143)              | 5.0 (4.8–5.2) | 6.6 (1.9–8.2)            | 1.3 (0.4–1.5) | 1.5 (1.3–2.1) | 6.0 (3.7–7.7)          | 0.5 (0.2–0.7) | 159 (116–192)          | 72 (63–81)              | 570 (463–662) | 14 (11–18) | 15 (12–18) | 8 (7–11) | 13884 (11034–16618) | 0.04 (0.03–0.06)          | 606 ± 123            | 3295 ± 453 | 0.05 ± 1.04 | 49.4 ± 2.0 | -0.23 ± 1.16 | 34 ± 1               | -0.86 ± 1.46            |

(Continued)
metabolic (HOMA-IR, hepatic enzymes and serum lipids) and endocrine parameters (HMW adiponectin, free testosterone and estradiol) in multiple regression analyses. CRP ($\beta = -0.151$, \(p = 0.009\)) and DBP ($\beta = -0.129$, \(p = 0.024\)) were independent predictors of SHBG levels in their respective models, explaining, together with BMI, GGT and sex hormones, 25% of SHBG variance (Table 3). In women with pregestational plus gestational obesity, SHBG showed independent associations with CRP ($\beta = -0.352$, \(p = 0.032\), $R^2 = 8.0\%$), DBP ($\beta = -0.353$, \(p = 0.035\), $R^2 = 7.0\%$) and SBP ($\beta = -0.333$, \(p = 0.046\), $R^2 = 6.0\%$) independently of BMI and metabolic and endocrine parameters (Table 3).

SHBG levels showed negative associations with newborn parameters including placental weight, birth weight, birth length, head circumference and ponderal index (all \(p < 0.05\); Table 2). In pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity, maternal SHBG levels correlated with birth weight SDS and birth length SDS (\(p < 0.05\); Table 2). However, these parameters were not significantly related to SHBG in multivariate analysis after adjusting for confounding variables (Data not shown).

**Discussion**

SHBG levels are decreased in pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity and are correlated with a less favorable cardio-metabolic profile (more CRP, DBP, SBP, insulin, C-peptide, HOMA-IR and less HMW adiponectin).

It is well known that SHBG levels decrease with increasing obesity [25] and rise with weight loss [26]. We also observed that women with pregestational obesity and/or gestational obesity showed lower SHBG values than normal weight women.

As expected from the current literature [1,11,27] negative associations were found between SHBG levels and metabolic parameters. Except for BMI, these correlations were not apparent in normal weight women but were present in women with pregestational plus gestational obesity suggesting that the insulin resistance state secondary to maternal obesity could elicit these associations. Interestingly, it appears that the combined contribution of pregestational plus gestational obesity increases the cardio-metabolic risk in pregnant women, since each factor alone elicits weak or absent associations.

Several studies have investigated the associations between SHBG and cardiovascular risk parameters including CRP and blood pressure [28]. However, these studies were mostly performed in men [29–31]. Studies on the association between androgens and CVD in women have been conducted mainly in the setting of polycystic ovarian syndrome, a condition that has been strongly associated with cardiovascular risk factors including obesity, insulin resistance, and lipid abnormalities [32]. The available studies of SHBG in pregnant women were focused in GDM [33–37]. Interestingly, low SHBG concentrations before pregnancy have also been associated with increased risk of GDM, suggesting that SHBG could be used as a
biomarker for early detection of GDM [38]. However, none of these studies has assessed the potential association of SHBG and cardiovascular risk markers during pregnancy. Hence we show, for the first time, that SHBG associated with CRP and BP independently of metabolic (HOMA-IR, HbAc1, hepatic enzymes and serum lipids) and endocrine (HMW adiponectin, testosterone and estradiol) parameters in pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity. Although we cannot demonstrate a direct role of SHBG in real cardiovascular risk, the clinical relevance of these data relies on the independent association of SHBG with a more adverse cardio-metabolic profile. Accordingly, SHBG levels measured in young adulthood were negatively associated with markers of subclinical CVD in a cohort study of young adult women followed for 18 yr. The associations were independent of BMI and HOMA-IR. In contrast, testosterone (either total or free) levels showed no associations with SHBG [39].

### Table 2. Pearson correlation analyses of SHBG with clinical and laboratory parameters in the studied pregnant women.

| SHBG                        | All subjects (n = 291) | Normal weight (n = 142) | Pregestational obesity (n = 42) | Gestational obesity (n = 50) | Pregestational + gestational obesity (n = 57) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                             | r          | P          | r      | P          | r      | P          | r      | P          | r      | P          | r      | P          |
| Maternal assessments        |            |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| Pregestational weight       | -0.301     | <0.001     | -0.155 | Ns         | -0.358 | 0.020      | -0.171 | Ns         | -0.022 | Ns         |        |            |
| Pregestational BMI          | -0.311     | <0.001     | -0.231 | 0.006      | -0.256 | Ns         | -0.029 | Ns         | -0.150 | Ns         |        |            |
| Gestational weight gain     | -0.098     | Ns         | 0.055  | Ns         | -0.074 | Ns         | -0.054 | Ns         | 0.092  | Ns         |        |            |
| Gestational age at delivery | -0.023     | Ns         | 0.003  | Ns         | 0.061  | Ns         | 0.052  | Ns         | 0.321  | 0.018      |        |            |
| BMI                         | -0.359     | <0.001     | -0.250 | 0.004      | -0.226 | Ns         | 0.004  | Ns         | -0.191 | Ns         |        |            |
| SBP                         | -0.175     | 0.005      | -0.089 | Ns         | 0.055  | Ns         | -0.037 | Ns         | 0.419  | 0.003      |        |            |
| DBP                         | -0.238     | <0.001     | -0.165 | Ns         | 0.065  | Ns         | 0.004  | Ns         | 0.459  | 0.001      |        |            |
| Pre-load glucose            | -0.192     | 0.001      | -0.082 | Ns         | -0.374 | 0.015      | -0.468 | Ns         | 0.071  | Ns         |        |            |
| Post-load glucose           | -0.156     | 0.008      | -0.094 | Ns         | 0.039  | Ns         | -0.294 | 0.040      | 0.098  | Ns         |        |            |
| HbA1C                       | -0.123     | 0.03       | 0.026  | Ns         | 0.343  | 0.026      | 0.012  | Ns         | -0.262 | 0.049      |        |            |
| Fasting insulin             | -0.192     | 0.001      | -0.017 | Ns         | -0.229 | Ns         | -0.141 | Ns         | -0.304 | 0.021      |        |            |
| HOMA-IR                     | -0.201     | 0.001      | -0.022 | Ns         | -0.254 | Ns         | -0.149 | Ns         | -0.295 | 0.026      |        |            |
| C-peptide                   | -0.325     | <0.001     | -0.144 | Ns         | -0.226 | Ns         | -0.364 | 0.010      | -0.432 | 0.001      |        |            |
| HMW-adiponectin             | 0.192      | 0.001      | 0.146  | Ns         | 0.107  | Ns         | 0.091  | Ns         | 0.159  | Ns         |        |            |
| CRP                         | -0.288     | <0.001     | -0.149 | Ns         | -0.004 | Ns         | 0.080  | Ns         | -0.328 | 0.013      |        |            |
| Triacylglycerol             | -0.127     | 0.03       | 0.124  | Ns         | 0.146  | Ns         | -0.204 | Ns         | -0.111 | Ns         |        |            |
| HDL cholesterol             | 0.002      | Ns         | 0.001  | Ns         | 0.051  | Ns         | 0.172  | Ns         | -0.146 | Ns         |        |            |
| AST                         | 0.037      | Ns         | 0.080  | Ns         | 0.038  | Ns         | 0.360  | 0.010      | -0.067 | Ns         |        |            |
| ALT                         | -0.001     | Ns         | 0.012  | Ns         | -0.141 | Ns         | 0.355  | 0.011      | -0.063 | Ns         |        |            |
| GGT                         | -0.264     | <0.001     | -0.252 | 0.003      | -0.250 | Ns         | 0.010  | Ns         | -0.238 | Ns         |        |            |
| Estradiol                   | 0.203      | 0.01       | 0.156  | Ns         | 0.217  | Ns         | 0.080  | Ns         | 0.095  | Ns         |        |            |
| Free testosterone           | -0.479     | <0.001     | -0.368 | <0.001     | -0.419 | Ns         | -0.541 | 0.008      | -0.412 | 0.019      |        |            |
| Newborn assessments         |            |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| Placental weight            | -0.125     | 0.02       | 0.099  | Ns         | 0.217  | Ns         | -0.133 | Ns         | 0.155  | Ns         |        |            |
| Weight                      | -0.120     | 0.03       | -0.101 | Ns         | 0.028  | Ns         | -0.112 | Ns         | 0.394  | 0.003      |        |            |
| Weight SDS                  | -0.077     | Ns         | 0.062  | Ns         | 0.093  | Ns         | -0.163 | Ns         | 0.296  | 0.030      |        |            |
| Length                      | -0.108     | 0.05       | -0.089 | Ns         | 0.065  | Ns         | -0.170 | Ns         | 0.479  | <0.001     |        |            |
| Length SDS                  | -0.021     | Ns         | -0.053 | Ns         | 0.109  | Ns         | -0.251 | Ns         | 0.389  | 0.004      |        |            |
| Head circumference          | -0.131     | 0.04       | -0.044 | Ns         | -0.070 | Ns         | -0.066 | Ns         | 0.129  | Ns         |        |            |
| Head circumference SDS      | -0.106     | Ns         | -0.022 | Ns         | -0.005 | Ns         | -0.159 | Ns         | 0.060  | Ns         |        |            |
| Ponderal index              | -0.128     | 0.03       | -0.088 | Ns         | -0.054 | Ns         | -0.013 | Ns         | 0.173  | Ns         |        |            |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205592.t002
SHBG regulates the levels of active sex hormones. Sex hormones can control adipose tissue metabolism by stimulating receptors that trigger several phases of lipolysis and lipogenesis. Increased signaling by estrogens and androgens could be aimed at preparing the adipose tissue for the catabolic phase in late pregnancy in a depot-specific manner [40]. Knockout mice for estrogen receptor suffer from metabolic dysfunction together with increased adiposity, glucose intolerance, insulin resistance and endothelial alterations [41]. Although SHBG was believed to be only a transport glycoprotein, there is growing evidence suggesting that SHBG may have an independent biological function through the binding to its receptor in target tissues [42]. A possible direct effect of SHBG on diabetes mellitus was suggested by a recent report [17]. Direct effects of SHBG on the vasculature are therefore also plausible. In a study of coronary artery disease, long repeats in the SHBG gene promoter (the (TAAAA)n) were associated with low SHBG and with increased severity of coronary artery disease on angiography [43]. SHBG has been suggested to act through the steroid signal transduction system of cell membranes [44].

SHBG is present in the fetal circulation and in cord blood [36]. In general, low levels of SHBG have been described in newborns, followed by an increase until the end of infancy [45]. Children born at low birth weight show reduced levels of SHBG at prepubertal stages [46]. Low circulating SHBG levels in childhood and adolescence have been related to hyperinsulinaemia/insulin resistance [47]. However, the relationship between neonatal and maternal SHBG concentrations has been controversial. While no association was initially found between maternal SHBG concentrations and infant’s birth weight [19,20], a recent study reported that SHBG concentrations were inversely related to birth weight [17]. Similar results were

### Table 3. Multivariate linear models of SHBG as dependent variable in pregnant women according to obesity status.

| SHBG (nmol/L) | All subjects (n = 291) | Normal weight (n = 142) | Pregestational obesity (n = 42) | Gestational obesity (n = 50) | Pregestational + gestational obesity (n = 57) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                | Beta       B            | Sig.       | Beta       B            | Sig.       | Beta       B            | Sig.       | Beta       B            | Sig.       | Beta       B            | Sig.       |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | -0.143     -5.0         0.018     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| GGT (U/L)      | -0.187     -5.3         0.001     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| Free Testosterone (pg/mL) | -0.266   -2254.1 <0.001 | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| CRP (mg/L)     | -0.151     -54.4        0.009     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| R²             | 25%        | 15%        | 0%         | 0%         | 8%         |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | -0.173     -6.1         0.004     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| GGT (U/L)      | -0.183     -5.2         0.002     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| Free Testosterone (pg/mL) | -0.268    -2273.3 <0.001 | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| SBP (mmHg)     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| R²             | 24%        | 14%        | 0%         | 0%         | 6%         |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | -0.157     -5.5         0.009     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| GGT (U/L)      | -0.186     -5.3         0.001     | -0.193     -5.7         0.026     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| Estradiol (pg/mL) | 0.112    0.01         0.041     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| Free Testosterone (pg/mL) | -0.259   -2190.9 <0.001 | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| DBP (mmHg)     | -0.129     -2.3         0.024     | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| R²             | 25%        | 15%        | 0%         | 0%         | 7%         |

Three separate models are shown: one for each of the studied cardiovascular parameters. R² is shown for the combined effect of the predictive variables in the model. Non-predictive variables: age, maternal educational, pregestational and gestational smoking, time at sampling during gestation (time of gestation), HOMA-IR, HMW adiponectin, AST, ALT, serum lipids.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205592.t003

SHBG in obese pregnant women
previously obtained from SHBG measured in umbilical cord [18]. We observed that SHBG levels showed negative associations with placental weight, birth weight, birth length, head circumference and ponderal index, however, these parameters were not significantly associated with SHBG in multivariate analysis after adjusting for confounding variables. Differences in design and study populations could account for the disparity in the reported results.

An important strength of our study is the availability of a large representative population-based sample with detailed information on the cardio-metabolic profile for each individual. However, the limitations of our study also merit attention. No data about socio-economic variables of the families or physical activity of the mothers that could act as confounders was available; it would be interesting to test the contribution of these factors in future studies. The cross-sectional design does not allow us to address the temporality or cause-effect of the observed associations, thus whether elevated SHBG is causing increased cardiovascular risk or is definitely a mere consequence. In this line, future studies with long term follow-up of these women should assess whether these associations are transitory during pregnancy or permanently increase the risk of developing cardio-metabolic diseases in later life, and determine if this applies only to Caucasian population or to other ethnicities. Finally, study of the association between newborn SHBG levels at birth and maternal SHBG or maternal parameters should be considered, however not performed in this current study due to the unavailability of neonatal blood samples.

In summary, SHBG is decreased in pregnant Caucasian women with pregestational plus gestational obesity in association with common cardio-metabolic parameters. We suggest that SHBG could represent an integrating biomarker for an adverse cardio-metabolic profile in pregnant women with pregestational plus gestational obesity.

Supporting information
S1 Appendix. Raw data.
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