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Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death, and five-year survival remains poor, raising the urgency for new treatment strategies. Activation of PPARγ represents a potential target for both the treatment and prevention of lung cancer. Numerous studies have examined the effect of thiazolidinediones such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone on lung cancer cells in vitro and in xenograft models. These studies indicate that activation of PPARγ inhibits cancer cell proliferation as well as invasiveness and metastasis. While activation of PPARγ can occur by direct binding of pharmacological ligands to the molecule, emerging data indicate that PPARγ activation can occur through engagement of other signal transduction pathways, including Wnt signaling and prostaglandin production. Data, both from preclinical models and retrospective clinical studies, indicate that activation of PPARγ may represent an attractive chemopreventive strategy. This article reviews the existing biological and mechanistic experiments focusing on the role of PPARγ in lung cancer, focusing specifically on nonsmall cell lung cancer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death for both men and women in the USA. In fact, more deaths will occur this year due to lung cancer than breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers combined [1]. In spite of intensive research, 5-year survival in patients with lung cancer remains dismally low, with overall survival at 15% [2]. A major reason for this problem is the presence of metastasis at the time of diagnosis. While smoking cessation will clearly reduce the risk of lung cancer, a majority of diagnosed cases are being detected in exsmokers [3]. Therefore, in addition to new chemotherapeutic approaches, there appears to be a critical need for chemopreventive strategies which can be administered to patients at risk for developing lung cancer. In this article, we will review recent data, both from basic sciences experiments and from clinical studies indicating that activation of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) may represent a novel strategy for the treatment and prevention of lung cancer.

2. BIOLOGY OF LUNG CANCER

Lung cancers are categorized as small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC). As a group, the NSCLC constitute the bulk of lung cancers and are subdivided into squamous, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma phenotypes. Selective changes in specific oncogenes can be used to distinguish the two types of cancer. Activating mutations in ras are associated with NSCLC, with a mutation at codon 12 of the Ki-Ras gene observed in approximately 30% of adenocarcinomas, and just under 10% of other NSCLC types [4]. These mutations appear to be virtually absent from SCLC [5]. In mice, Ki-ras mutations are found in over 90% of spontaneous and chemically induced lung tumors [6]. Overexpression of the c-myc gene is also frequently observed in NSCLC, but appears to be more prevalent in SCLC [7]. Elevated expression of the HER-2/neu gene, a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family has also been observed in 35% of adenocarcinomas and a slightly lower percentage of
squamous carcinomas [8]. Alterations in tumor suppressor genes have also been reported. Mutations in p53 have been detected in 90% of SCLC and 50% of NSCLC [7]. Mutations in the retinoblastoma gene are more specific for SCLC, occurring in more than 90%, while only a small fraction of NSCLC have mutations in this gene. Recently, mRNA expression profiling has been used to define subclasses of lung adenocarcinoma, which can be defined by distinct patterns of gene expression [9, 10]. These studies suggest that NSCLC may in fact represent multiple diseases characterized by distinct molecular pathways. In contrast to most NSCLC, SCLC displays neuroendocrine features exemplified by the presence of cytoplasmic neurosecretory granules containing a wide variety of mitogenic neuropeptides including gastrin-releasing peptide, arginine vasopressin, neurotensin, and many others [11, 12]. Significantly, NaK-gastrin-releasing peptide, arginine vasopressin, neurotensin, 
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mediated through both PPARγ-dependent and independent effects. Induction of apoptosis may involve the tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-induced apoptosis in some cancer cell lines [35]; these effects appear to be mediated through PPARγ-independent pathways. Recent studies have also demonstrated that PPARγ activation induces proline oxidase, which will result in increased production of cytotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) [36]. Growth arrest may be mediated through induction of the cyclin kinase inhibitor p21 [37]. In this case, the mechanism of action involves PPARγ-dependent induction of p21 through interactions with other transcription factors. Several studies, including work from our own laboratory have demonstrated that activation of PPARγ leads to promotion of a more highly differentiated phenotype in NSCLC [32, 38]. This can be assessed by growing cells in 3-dimensional tissue culture, which has been shown to reveal epithelial features. E-cadherin is perhaps to most widely studied marker of epithelial differentiation, and both pharmacological PPARγ activators and molecular overexpression of PPARγ had shown increased protein and mRNA for E-cadherin. Epithelial mesenchymal transition has been associated with cancer progression and metastasis [39]. While this is still somewhat of a controversial area [40], activation of PPARγ in lung cancer cells appears to inhibit invasiveness, at least in part through inhibiting or reversing EMT.

It has become evident during the past several years, that while genetic changes in cancer cells are critical for tumor initiation, progression and metastasis entail a critical contribution from the tumor microenvironment [41]. Specifically, interactions of tumor cells with vascular cells, innate immune cells, and fibroblasts control tumor angiogenesis and promote a more aggressive phenotype. These cell-cell interactions are mediated through cytokines and growth factors initially produced by the tumor cells which recruit stromal cells. Among these cytokines are factors such as MCP-1 and CCL5, critical for macrophage recruitment, and VEGF and other proangiogenic cytokines such as IL-8 which recruit vascular cells [42]. Transcriptional control of these factors is mediated by multiple transcription factors, but specifically, it has been shown that two specific factors, NF-κB and HIF-1, are critical for many of these molecules. Several studies have demonstrated that PPARγ activation can inhibit activation of NF-κB in NSCLC [43, 44]. While effects on HIF-1 have not been documented in lung cancer cells, PPARγ has been shown to inhibit HIF-1 in other systems [45]. These data indicate that activation of PPARγ may disrupt communication between cancer cells and the surrounding tumor microenvironment, thus blocking progression and metastasis, distinct from antiproliferative effects on the tumor cells. In lung cancer, where metastasis has often occurred at the time of diagnosis, agents, which specifically target tumor-stromal interactions, represent a novel therapeutic approach.

6. UPSTREAM ACTIVATION OF PPARγ

While TZDs have received most of the attention as PPARγ activators, it is becoming apparent that activation of PPARγ can occur as a consequence of activation of other signaling pathways (see Figure 1). Phosphorylation by the ERK members of the MAP kinase family has been shown to decrease
that Wnt7a signaling through its receptor Fzd9 inhibits appears to be more complex. Our studies have demonstrated the role of the Wnt pathway in nonsmall cell lung cancer has been implicated as promoting colon carcinogenesis, of the Wnt signaling pathway. While canonical Wnt signaling
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indicated that this pathway leads to increased PPAR activity through activation of ERK5, and that this increase in PPAR activity mediated the antitumorigenic effects of Wnt7a/Fzd9 signaling [49].

A connection has also been made between prostacyclin and activation of PPARγ. Prostaglandin I2 (PGI2, prosta-
cyclin), produced through the cyclooxygenase pathway via prostacycin synthase (PGIS), is a bioactive lipid with anti-
flammatory, antiproliferative, and potent antimitastatic properties [50, 51]. Our laboratory has shown that trans-
genic mice with selective pulmonary PGI2 synthase (PGIS) overexpression exhibited significantly reduced lung tumor multiplicity and incidence in response to either chemical carcinogens or exposure to tobacco smoke [52, 53], suggest-
ing that manipulation of the arachidonic acid pathway downstream from COX is a target for lung cancer prevention. Iloprost, a long-lasting prostacyclin analog, also inhibits lung tumorigenesis in wild-type mice. PGI2 can signal through a specific cell surface receptor, designated IP, which is a member of the G-protein coupled receptor family, and signals through increases in cAMP [54]. However, PGI2 has been shown to signal through activation of PPARs, with reports of both PPARγ [55] and PPARδ activation [56, 57]. To define the downstream effector of PGI2 in the chemoprevention of lung cancer, studies were performed in which mice overexpressing PGIS were crossed with mice deficient in IP (A. M. Meyer et al., unpublished observa-
tions). In a chemical carcinogenesis model, lack of IP did not affect protection against lung tumorigenesis mediated by PGIS overexpression, suggesting IP-independent pathways. Further study is required to whether prostacyclin can activate PPARγ in vivo, and whether this effect is mediated through IP or represents a direct, IP-independent activation.

To test the role of PPARγ in chemoprevention of lung cancer, we have developed transgenic mice overexpressing PPARγ under the control of the surfactant protein C promoter, which targets expression to the distal lung epithelium. In a chemical carcinogenesis model, these mice showed a marked protection against developing lung tumors [44]. While the connection between prostacyclin analogs and PPARγ activation needs to be more precisely defined, from a therapeutic standpoint, the ability to activate PPARγ through non-TZD mechanisms represents an attractive strategy that may avoid some of the deleterious effects seen with TZD administration.

7. MECHANISMS OF PPARγ ACTION IN LUNG CANCER CELLS

In spite of intensive study examining the biological effects of PPARγ activation in lung cancer, much less is know regarding the direct targets of PPARγ (see Figure 2). As a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, PPARγ is a ligand-activated transcription factor. Thus, one assumes that there are direct transcriptional targets, where PPARγ, in combination with the RXR receptor, binds to regulatory elements and induced transcription. These targets have been difficult to identify in cancer cells. In fact, most of the responses that have been demonstrated involve suppression of target genes (e.g., cytokines). While PPARγ has been shown to upregulate E-

cadherin in NSCLC, there are no studies demonstrating direct binding of PPARγ to the E-cadherin promoter. A family of transcription factors have been identified which act as suppressors of E-cadherin expression. Members of this family include Snail1, Snail2 (Slug), ZEB1, and Twist [58, 59] are potent inducers of EMT. Both Snail and Twist appear to play critical roles in breast cancer metastasis [60, 61]. Overexpression of ZEB-1 has been implicated in mediating EMT in NSCLC cells [62].

Several studies have reported increased expression of the protein and lipid phosphatase PTEN in response to PPARγ activation [63, 64]. Increased expression/activity of PTEN would be anticipated to inhibit signaling through PI-3 kinase/Akt, and downstream effectors such as mTOR. Decreased activation of Akt could lead to inhibition of NF-
κB signaling [65–67], although the molecular mechanisms are not well defined.

Elevated expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is common in NSCLC, and mediates increased production of PGE2 [68]. Activation of PPARγ has been shown in inhibit COX-2 expression and decrease PGE2 production in NSCLC [44, 69]. While the mechanisms whereby PGE2 contributes to growth and progression of NSCLC are not completely under-
stood, recent data in colon cancer have shown that PGE2 acting through its cell surface receptor can engage β-catenin signaling, leading to proliferation [70]. Consistent with such a model, TZDs also inhibit expression of the EP2 receptor, which couples to β-catenin signaling [71]. Regulation of PGE2 production by TZDs can also occur through PPARγ-independent pathways. Both rosiglitazone and pioglitazone can directly activate 15 hydroxyprostaglandin dehydroge-

nase, promoting breakdown of PGE2.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Activation of PPARγ appears to inhibit lung tumorigenesis at several different stages. Animal studies indicate that increased PPARγ may be chemopreventive against developing lung tumors, suggesting that it can block the early stages of epithelial transformation. In established lung cancer, activa-
tion of PPARγ can inhibit proliferation, induce apoptosis, and promote a less invasive phenotype through promoting epithelial differentiation, and perhaps blocking EMT. Finally, through disruption of tumor-stromal communication via inhibition of chemokine production, PPARγ can negatively
impact tumor progression and metastasis. These data make PPARγ activators attractive agents for the treatment and prevention of lung cancer.

However, a number of significant issues remain to be resolved. In many of the studies described in this article, it is not clear if the biological responses are mediated through “on-target” activation of PPARγ, or through other “off-target” effects. A strategy to address this issue is the use of molecular approaches, either overexpressing or silencing PPARγ in cancer cells to complement studies with pharmacological agents. Genetic mouse models using targeted knockouts of PPARγ in either cancer cells or stromal compartments will also be informative. This strategy also applies to defining the mechanisms mediating the adverse cardiovascular events reported in patients taking TZDs. Defining the molecular targets of TZDs mediating a specific response will be critical in the further development of second-generation PPARγ drugs. If adverse cardiac events are mediated through “off-target” effects, then a more selective PPARγ activator would be therapeutically effective, without leading to adverse cardiac events. Alternatively, if the antitumorigenic effects of TZDs are mediated through “off-target” effectors, then identifying these pathways would lead to novel therapeutic targets. Finally, the majority of studies have focused on NSCLC. Studies defining mechanisms of activation and downstream targets in SCLC are needed to determine if PPARγ represents a therapeutic target for treating these forms of lung cancer.
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