Postdischarge pain, nausea and patient satisfaction after diagnostic and breast-conserving ambulatory surgery for breast cancer: A cross-sectional study

Mi Stjernberg\textsuperscript{1,2} | Ellen Schlichting\textsuperscript{3} | Tone Rustoen\textsuperscript{1,2} | Berit T. Valeberg\textsuperscript{4} | Milada C. Småstuen\textsuperscript{1,4} | Johan C. Raeder\textsuperscript{2,5}

\textsuperscript{1}Division of Emergencies and Critical Care, Department of Research and Development, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
\textsuperscript{2}Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
\textsuperscript{3}Department of Breast and Endocrine Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
\textsuperscript{4}Department of Nursing and Health Promotion, Faculty of Health Sciences, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway
\textsuperscript{5}Division of Emergencies and Critical Care, Department of Anaesthesiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence
Mi Stjernberg, Division of Emergencies and Critical Care, Department of Research and Development, Oslo University Hospital, v/ Mi Stjernberg, Postboks 4950 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway.
Email: uxmier@ous-hf.no

Funding information
The PhD-student received a funding from Raagholtstiftelsen when writing the study protocol.

Abstract

Background: The aims of this study were to assess first day postdischarge pain, nausea and patient satisfaction in ambulatory breast cancer surgical patients, after diagnostic and breast conserving procedures.

Methods: A total of 781 women, aged 18–85 years were included in this prospective, cross-sectional study. All patients received standardized multimodal pain prophylaxis with paracetamol, COX-II inhibitor, dexamethasone and wound infiltration with local anaesthetics. Nausea prophylaxis was provided with ondansetron. Most patients received general anaesthesia with propofol and remifentanil. Data were collected using a validated questionnaire during telephone follow-up on the first postoperative day.

Results: The response rate was 94.5%. NRS ≥ 4 was reported by 5.3% at rest, by 17% during activity and by 30.7% as the worst pain score. Young age was strongly associated with more pain both at rest, during activity and regarding worst pain since discharge. Postdischarge nausea was present in 17.8%, and vomiting in 1.2%. High pain score during activity and higher level of worst pain, were associated with nausea. There was no association between nausea and age, type of anaesthesia, surgical procedure or pain at rest. Patient satisfaction was high (97.8%–99.7%) regarding information, time for discharge and overall satisfaction.

Conclusion: Pain scores and incidence of nausea were generally low on the day after surgery. Young age was a strong predictor for postdischarge pain. A high worst pain score and high pain score during the activity were associated with postdischarge nausea. Patient satisfaction was high.
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Editorial Comment
This prospective study shows that in this single centre sample population, pain and nausea are rare and overall mild on the first day after surgery in cases having a simple multimodal analgesic protocol with their ambulatory breast-conserving and diagnostic procedures.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer among women in Norway, and the incidence has increased during the last decades. Ambulatory BC surgery has been practiced at Oslo University Hospital (OUH), Norway, since 1997 and today approximately 60% of these patients are discharged the same day. Even though ambulatory surgery for BC is regarded as safe, overnight stay is still predominant in many countries.

Only a few hours after surgery, day-surgical patients are discharged, which means that most of the recovery process takes place out of hospital and professional facilities. Common postdischarge symptoms associated with ambulatory surgery are pain, nausea and vomiting, which implies discomfort and may prolong the time to mobilization and resumption of normal daily activities.

Postdischarge nausea and vomiting (PDNV) may cause severe distress as the patient does not have access to fast-onset intravenous (i.v.) anti-emetics at home. The risk for PDNV can be as high as 80% without prophylaxis and it may affect more than one-third of the patients even when prophylactic drugs are administered.

Previous data from our research group indicated an incidence of 18% moderate-to-severe pain at rest and 40% when coughing or stretching the shoulder, during the first 24 h after BC surgery. At that time, dexamethasone was not a part of the multimodal regimen for pain and nausea prophylaxis.

In this study, we therefore wanted to investigate how ambulatory BC surgery patients experienced the first 24 h after discharge, especially regarding pain and nausea, after receiving a fixed multimodal prophylactic drug regimen including dexamethasone.

Recently, the Norwegian Research Centre for Health Services published a questionnaire, especially developed for telephone follow-up (TFU) on postoperative day 1 (POD1) after ambulatory surgery. This facilitated the registration of structured data on postdischarge outcomes.

The primary aims of the study were to describe the incidence and severity of postdischarge pain and PDNV. Secondary aims were to assess if age, type of anaesthesia or surgical procedure were associated with pain or PDNV, and whether there was an association between postdischarge pain and PDNV.

We were also interested in patient-reported satisfaction, adherence to postdischarge analgesic instructions, sleep, resumption of daily activity, wound haemostasis problems and unscheduled healthcare contacts.

2 | METHODS AND MATERIALS

The study was a prospective, observational quality assessment study of women who underwent diagnostic or breast-conserving ambulatory surgery for BC. At OUH, the following conditions or classifications are common for BC patients scheduled for ambulatory surgery: fibroadenoma, phyllodes tumour, papilloma, diagnostic biopsies in breast, ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) I-III, breast cancer (uni- or bilateral, uni- or multifocal) and sentinel lymph node dissection (SLNB), ASA grade I-III.

As patients with ambulatory mastectomies and axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) were few and also represented a different surgical trauma compared with the rest of the study population, they were excluded from the data analyses (Figure 1).

Patients included in the study received a follow-up call on POD1, and the standardized validated questionnaire was used to collect data. Answering the questionnaire was voluntary, and all data were anonymous. The study protocol was submitted to the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of South-East Norway, and classified as a quality assurance study (ref. nr.2018/1038). The Data Protection Officer at OUH approved the study.

2.1 | Inclusion criteria

To be included for TFU on POD1, the patient had to be discharged home on the day of surgery, be 18 years or older and speak Norwegian or English. Patients admitted to overnight stay were excluded.

2.2 | Data collection

Upon discharge, the patients received a written copy of the questionnaire (see Appendix) and were informed of the data collection during the phone call next day. The questionnaire included questions on postoperative outcomes such as postdischarge pain, PDNV, sleep, wound hemostasis, resumption of daily activities, adherence to analgesic instructions, unscheduled contact with healthcare services, as well as satisfaction with information, discharge and overall patient satisfaction. Most response alternatives were fixed on a 3–5-point Likert scale, but some ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ answers and multiple-choice answers were also present. Patients rated their pain at rest, during activity and ‘worst pain since discharge’ on a numeric rating scale (NRS) from 0 (no pain at all) to 10 (worst pain imaginable).

Assigned nurses at the day surgery unit called the patients on POD1, between 11.00 am and 14.00 pm. To make sure the patient felt free to express her opinion, a nurse unknown to the patient performed the call. The data were registered in a day-surgical quality register at OUH. Demographic data on age, surgical procedure and type of anaesthesia were also collected.

2.3 | Anaesthesia and multimodal prophylaxis for pain and nausea

The standard general anaesthesia procedure was total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) with propofol and remifentanil, administered by target-controlled infusions, and ventilation by a laryngeal mask airway using a mixture of oxygen and air. A crystalloid infusion (Ringer-Acetat 500–1000 ml) was administered per- and post-operatively. During the
study, there was a time period when it was difficult to receive Propofol from the producer. Therefore volatile anaesthetics was administered to all patients for maintenance. Local anaesthetics combined with sedation was used for some minor diagnostic procedures.

All patients received a standardized multimodal pain- and nausea prophylaxis. For oral pre-medication, the patients had paracetamol 2 g (1.5 g if weight <60 kg, or >60 years) and a COX-II inhibitor, that is, celecoxib 400 mg (200 mg if weight <60 kg, or >60 years), unless contraindicated. Etoricoxib replaced celecoxib in case of sulfonamide-allergy. Peroperatively, the patients received fentanyl i.v. before end of surgery and approximately 20 ml bupivacaine 2.5 mg/ml as surgical site infiltration for pain prophylaxis. Routine i.v. prophylaxis for postoperative nausea and vomiting was provided with ondansetron 4 mg and dexamethasone 8 mg, the latter also being part of analgesic prophylaxis.

Postoperative pain was initially treated with fentanyl i.v. in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU). Oral opioids, that is, paracetamol 500 mg/codeine 30 mg or oxycodone 5 mg tablets were administered if needed. The patients had to be free from nausea and adequately pain-relieved, that is, NRS < 4, to be discharged.

All patients got a prescription for paracetamol and celecoxib to be used prophylactically after discharge. Four tablets of paracetamol 500 mg/codeine 30 mg or oxycodone 5 mg tablets were routinely given to the patients as rescue analgesia, for use at home. A few patients also received 1–4 tablets of oxycodone 5 mg in addition. All patients received oral and written instructions on analgesics administration.

Nausea or vomiting in the PACU was primarily treated with i.v. metoclopramide 10 mg or a repeated dose of ondansetron. An anti-histamine (cyclizine) was added if needed.

### 2.4 | Outcome measures

The 0–10 NRS for pain was further divided into four sections: 0 = none, 1–3 = mild, 4–6 = moderate, 7–10 = severe.\(^{17,19,20}\) For statistical analyses in this study, we merged the two latter categories into a ‘4–10’ category of moderate-to-severe pain.\(^{19,21}\)

Age was divided into three groups: 18–39, 40–59 and 60–89 years. Postdischarge nausea, postdischarge vomiting and wound hemostatis were dichotomized into ‘yes’ or ‘no’. Three different types of anaesthesia were used: TIVA, volatile anaesthetics (i.e. desflurane) and local anaesthetics with sedation. For satisfaction with information, time for discharge and overall patient satisfaction, the response alternatives ‘Mostly satisfied/To a large degree’ and ‘Very satisfied/Yes’ were merged into ‘yes’.

In consultation with a Senior Consultant at the Department of Breast and Endocrine Surgery (co-author ES), the surgical procedures were classified as described in Table 1.

### 2.5 | Statistical analyses

Continuous data were described with mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (Q1–Q3) when not normally distributed. Categorical data were presented as counts and percentages. Differences between responders and non-responders were analysed using independent-samples t test for continuous data and Pearson’s chi-square test for categorical variables. Pearson’s chi-square test was also used to assess the possible association between pairs of categorical variables.
To quantify possible associations between pain (NRS in three categories) and selected variables, we fitted ordinal logistic regression models. The following predictive factors were entered for the three different outcomes of pain (i.e. at rest, during activity, worst pain): age, type of anaesthesia, surgical procedure and nausea. The model assumptions were fulfilled and the model fit was satisfactory.

Associations between a binary outcome of nausea and selected covariates were estimated using multivariate logistic regression with age, type of anaesthesia, surgical procedure and the three different variables of postdischarge pain entered into the model.

Only the surgical categories comprising more than 50 patients were entered into the regression models. The results were expressed as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

All analyses were considered exploratory, so no correction for multiple testing was done and p-values <.05 were considered statistically significant. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp), was used for statistical analyses.

3 | RESULTS

Between August 2015 and November 2018, a total of 875 patients met the criteria for TFU. Response with TFU on POD1 was successful in 827 (94.5%), all willing to answer the questionnaire. Patients with mastectomy and ALND (n = 46) were excluded from analyses, as described above, leaving 781 patients included for further analyses (Figure 1). Missing rate for each variable in the questionnaire varied between 0 and 2.8%. The mean age was 51.4 (SD 14.9), ranging from 18 to 85 years. TIVA was used in 94% of the respondents, and 43% had breast-conserving surgery (Table 1). Among women with breast-conserving surgery + SLNB, 5.4% were 18–39 years, and 49.5% were 60 years or older. Diagnostic biopsies were more common in younger women, 18–39 years (41.5%), than in women over 60 years (19.5%). There was no statistically significant association between type of anaesthesia and surgical procedure, nausea or age.

There were no differences between responders and non-responders concerning the surgical procedure. However, non-responders had almost exclusively TIVA, and were significantly younger than responders, with a mean age of 44.9 (SD 15.2) versus 51.4 (SD 14.9).

3.1 | Postdischarge pain and adherence to analgesics instructions:

On POD1, nearly 50% were totally pain-free (i.e. score of 0) at rest, and 94.7% reported a pain score less than 4 at rest. During the activity, pain was scored less than 4 in 83% of the patients. When assessing worst pain since discharge, 69.3% reported a pain score below 4, whereas 4.3% reported a worst pain score of 7 or more (Table 2).

The adherence to instructions for postdischarge analgesics was high (86.5%), but 7.6% reported pain even when following the prescribed doses, and 5.3% with non-adherence to analgesics instructions reported pain (Table 2). Low pain score was significantly associated with adherence to instructions for analgesics (p < .001).

3.1.1 | Predictive factors for postdischarge pain

In the regression analysis, there were no statistically significant differences in pain scores between the four surgical procedures. Patients having local anaesthetics with sedation, were about two time more likely to report postdischarge pain compared to patients with TIVA. This association was statistically borderline significant both at rest and at worst pain (Table 3).

Younger patients (18–59 years) experienced more pain than the oldest (60–89 years); both at rest, during activity and regarding worst pain since discharge (Table 3).

Absence of nausea was significantly associated with lower odds for experiencing high pain scores at rest, during activity and worst pain since discharge (p < .001) (Table 3).

3.2 | Postdischarge nausea and vomiting

Nausea occurred in 137 patients (17.7%), and 9 patients (1.2%) reported vomiting (Table 4).

There was no association between nausea and age, anaesthetic procedure, type of surgery or pain at rest, but the higher the level of pain during activity, the higher were the odds for nausea. A higher level of worst pain since discharge was also associated with higher odds for nausea (Table 4).
Patient satisfaction and other postdischarge data

During the first night after surgery, 64.8% slept less than normal, stating the most common causes were unusual sleeping position (26%), restlessness (16.4%) and pain (9.1%). On POD1, 81.9% were back to normal or close to normal daily activity. Twenty-five patients (3.2%) made unanticipated contact with healthcare services after discharge. No patient had serious complications. The overall patient satisfaction of the day-surgical concept was 99.2%, and satisfaction with information and time for discharge were also high (Table 5).

| Variable | OR | 95% CI | p-value |
|----------|----|--------|---------|
| A) Postdischarge pain at rest POD1 | | | |
| Age | | | |
| 18–39 | 3.98 | 2.48; 6.37 | <.001 |
| 40–59 | 2.12 | 1.49; 3.02 | <.001 |
| 60–89 (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Nausea | | | |
| No nausea | 0.42 | 0.28; 0.63 | <.001 |
| Nausea (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Type of anaesthesia | | | |
| Volatile anaesthetics | 2.10 | 0.91; 4.84 | .08 |
| Local anaesthetics + sedation | 2.60 | 1.00; 6.79 | .05 |
| TIVA (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Surgical procedure | | | |
| Breast conserving surgery + SLNB | 1.02 | 0.58; 1.79 | .96 |
| Breast conserving surgery | 1.11 | 0.52; 2.33 | .79 |
| Incision or diagnostic biopsy breast | 0.76 | 0.43; 1.36 | .35 |
| Re-excision breast (ref.) | 1 | | |

B) Postdischarge pain during activity POD1

| Variable | OR | 95% CI | p-value |
|----------|----|--------|---------|
| Age | | | |
| 18–39 | 5.57 | 3.47; 8.95 | <.001 |
| 40–59 | 2.38 | 1.70; 3.34 | <.001 |
| 60–89 (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Nausea | | | |
| No nausea | 0.33 | 0.22; 0.49 | <.001 |
| Nausea (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Type of anaesthesia | | | |
| Volatile anaesthetics | 1.02 | 0.45; 2.31 | .96 |
| Local anaesthetics + sedation | 2.26 | 0.89; 5.74 | .09 |
| TIVA (ref.) | 1 | | |
| Surgical procedure | | | |
| Breast conserving surgery + SLNB | 1.30 | 0.75; 2.23 | .35 |
| Breast conserving surgery | 1.48 | 0.72; 3.05 | .28 |
| Incision or diagnostic biopsy breast | 0.90 | 0.52; 1.58 | .73 |
| Re-excision breast (ref.) | 1 | | |

C) Worst pain since discharge

| Variable | OR | 95% CI | p-value |
|----------|----|--------|---------|
| Age | | | |
| 18–39 | 5.45 | 3.43; 8.64 | <.001 |
| 40–59 | 2.30 | 1.65; 3.21 | <.001 |
| 60–89 (ref.) | 1 | | |

3.3 Patient satisfaction and other postdischarge data

During the first night after surgery, 64.8% slept less than normal, stating the most common causes were unusual sleeping position (26%), restlessness (16.4%) and pain (9.1%). On POD1, 81.9% were back to normal or close to normal daily activity. Twenty-five patients (3.2%) made unanticipated contact with healthcare services after discharge. No patient had serious complications. The overall patient satisfaction of the day-surgical concept was 99.2%, and satisfaction with information and time for discharge were also high (Table 5).

4 DISCUSSION

The incidence of 24 h postdischarge pain and nausea after diagnostic and breast-conserving ambulatory BC surgery was low in the
TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Variable                      | OR  | 95% CI     | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-----|------------|---------|
| Nausea                        |     |            |         |
| No nausea                     | 0.31| 0.21; 0.46 | <.001   |
| Nausea (ref.)                 | 1   |            |         |
| Type of anaesthesia           |     |            |         |
| Volatile anaesthetics         | 1.27| 0.56; 2.86 | .57     |
| Local anaesthetics + sedation | 2.60| 1.01; 6.73 | .05     |
| TIVA (ref.)                   | 1   |            |         |
| Surgical procedure            |     |            |         |
| Breast conserving surgery     | 0.96| 0.56; 1.63 | .87     |
| surgery + SLNB                |     |            |         |
| Breast conserving surgery     | 0.97| 0.48; 1.96 | .93     |
| Incision or diagnostic biopsy | 0.67| 0.39; 1.16 | .15     |
| breast                       |     |            |         |
| Re-excision breast (ref.)     | 1   |            |         |

Abbreviations: POD1, postoperative day 1; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy; TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

We found no association between pain and surgical procedure, which is in contrast to the study by Rehberg et al. Our result may be related to the overall low surgical invasiveness in our day-surgical study population. At OUH, all patients with planned mastectomy are offered an immediate reconstructive procedure, unless contraindicated, and subsequently transferred to inpatient care. Patients with axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) and extensive oncoplastic operations are usually admitted for an overnight stay because of surgical drains.

Type of anaesthesia may affect both nausea and pain after surgery. Propofol-based TIVA seems to be associated with a lower risk for postoperative nausea and less pain during the first 12 h after surgery. Whether type of anaesthesia affects pain-perception as long as 24 h after surgery is uncertain, but propofol-based anaesthesia has been reported to reduce the need for analgesics up to 24 h postoperatively. Patients receiving volatile anaesthetics and especially local anaesthetics with sedation in our study, reported more pain at rest and also a higher worst-pain score, than patients with TIVA. However, there were few patients treated with local anaesthetics with sedation or volatile anaesthetics, and therefore we do not have sufficient statistical power to conclude on the effects of these anaesthetic methods on postoperative pain.

Recent pain-related research on BC surgery focuses on the benefits of invasive blocks, such as the paravertebral (PVB) or pectoral nerves blocks (PECS I or II), although these may not be needed for routine day cases. Our results may indicate that the multimodal prophylaxis regimen used in the study, with no nerve blocks, is adequate for ordinary ambulatory BC surgical procedures. The regimen is also in accordance with the PROSPECT pain management recommendations for oncological breast surgery, except for the non-use of gabapentinoids in our setting. Gabapentinoids are known for sedation-related adverse effects, and should be used with caution in ambulatory surgery, due to reports on dizziness and patients falling after coming home.

The high adherence to detailed advice on postdischarge analgesics in our study may explain the rather low incidence of moderate-to-severe pain. However, for 7.6% of the patients the prescribed drug regimen was proved less than adequate. This is a problem also reported by Fahmy et al., who experienced that 33% rated the efficacy of discharge analgesics as inadequate. Non-adherence to analgesics instructions is another common problem that may lead to unnecessary pain. In our study, 5.3% were non-adherent and still had pain, that is, prophylactic analgesics were not used as prescribed, or they had not taken the opioids they had received as rescue medication. Recently, Valeberg et al. reported 37% non-adherence to instructions on analgesic use, even though an intervention with extensive information and follow-up was applied. A frequent cause for the non-adherence in that study was that patients rather endured pain than risking the common known side-effects of the opioids.

4.1 | Postdischarge pain

Pain intensity is usually at its highest on the day after surgery but only 5.3% of our patients reported moderate-to-severe pain at rest on POD1. In a similar study population, Ballarini et al. found an incidence of 6.7% moderate-to-severe pain, also with the use of multimodal analgesic techniques. In contrast, Susini et al. recently reported 40.6% postdischarge pain of NRS 4 or higher after ambulatory BC surgical procedures comparable to ours, but with a less extensive pain prophylaxis. In our study, younger patients had significantly 2–5 times higher odds for postdischarge pain than older patients, which is similar to prior studies.

We found no association between pain and surgical procedure, which is in contrast to the study by Rehberg et al. Our result may be related to the overall low surgical invasiveness in our day-surgical study population. At OUH, all patients with planned mastectomy are offered an immediate reconstructive procedure, unless contraindicated, and subsequently transferred to inpatient care. Patients with axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) and extensive oncoplastic operations are usually admitted for an overnight stay because of surgical drains.

Type of anaesthesia may affect both nausea and pain after surgery. Propofol-based TIVA seems to be associated with a lower risk for postoperative nausea and less pain during the first 12 h after surgery. Whether type of anaesthesia affects pain-perception as long as 24 h after surgery is uncertain, but propofol-based anaesthesia has been reported to reduce the need for analgesics up to 24 h postoperatively. Patients receiving volatile anaesthetics and especially local anaesthetics with sedation in our study, reported more pain at rest and also a higher worst-pain score, than patients with TIVA. However, there were few patients treated with local anaesthetics with sedation or volatile anaesthetics, and therefore we do not have sufficient statistical power to conclude on the effects of these anaesthetic methods on postoperative pain.

Recent pain-related research on BC surgery focuses on the benefits of invasive blocks, such as the paravertebral (PVB) or pectoral nerves blocks (PECS I or II), although these may not be needed for routine day cases. Our results may indicate that the multimodal prophylaxis regimen used in the study, with no nerve blocks, is adequate for ordinary ambulatory BC surgical procedures. The regimen is also in accordance with the PROSPECT pain management recommendations for oncological breast surgery, except for the non-use of gabapentinoids in our setting. Gabapentinoids are known for sedation-related adverse effects, and should be used with caution in ambulatory surgery, due to reports on dizziness and patients falling after coming home.

The high adherence to detailed advice on postdischarge analgesics in our study may explain the rather low incidence of moderate-to-severe pain. However, for 7.6% of the patients the prescribed drug regimen was proved less than adequate. This is a problem also reported by Fahmy et al., who experienced that 33% rated the efficacy of discharge analgesics as inadequate. Non-adherence to analgesics instructions is another common problem that may lead to unnecessary pain. In our study, 5.3% were non-adherent and still had pain, that is, prophylactic analgesics were not used as prescribed, or they had not taken the opioids they had received as rescue medication. Recently, Valeberg et al. reported 37% non-adherence to instructions on analgesic use, even though an intervention with extensive information and follow-up was applied. A frequent cause for the non-adherence in that study was that patients rather endured pain than risking the common known side-effects of the opioids.

4.2 | Postdischarge nausea and vomiting

Female gender is an independent risk factor for PDNV, which is relevant since all participants in our study were women. Even though all patients received two standard prophylactic anti-emetics, and only 3.3% received volatile anaesthesia, 17.8% experienced nausea and 1.2% vomited after returning home. In contrast, Ballarini et al. reported only 1.5% nausea, but among the patients converted to inpatients in their study, 22% were admitted because of persistent nausea/vomiting. Wesmiller et al. on the other hand, recently reported 35% nausea and 8.2% vomiting up to 48 h after BC surgery, when using a multimodal prophylaxis. They found that pain scores and quantity of opioids taken postoperatively were significantly higher for women with PDNV, which is in consistent with previous research. In our study, we have no exact data on postdischarge opioid-consumption, but the patients had the option of using...
codeine as a part of their postdischarge analgesics regimen, and were instructed to use them if pain was medium or strong. A few patients had access to oxycodone in addition. As most of our patients adhered to the instructions, we may assume that a high number of our patients used opioids after discharge. This may partly explain the strong association between pain and nausea in our study, that is, pain initiates the use of opioids with subsequent nausea. However, we cannot rule out an alternative hypothesis that pain per se may provoke nausea. This may be an area of further studies with better monitoring of timing sequence between onset of pain and nausea, and subsequent results of rescue treatment for either.

### 4.3 Patient satisfaction

There is no consensus on how to define patient satisfaction, even though satisfaction is commonly used to document quality in health care. Jaensson et al. suggest that both patients’ experiences and expectations of care will influence the overall level of satisfaction. A systematic review of ambulatory BC surgery reported high patient satisfaction, similar to our results. The patients in our study expressed high satisfaction with information, time for discharge and overall satisfaction. The satisfaction with information provided by the nurse was somewhat higher than by the surgeon, which is in consistence with the study by Marchal et al.

### 4.4 Strengths and limitations

The study was performed at a single center, which may question the generalizability of the results. However, a large sample size with a high response rate, standardized pain- and nausea prophylaxis and perioperative routines, may enhance the validity of our findings.

The non-registration of exact opioid consumption after discharge is a limitation in the interpretation of incidence of pain and nausea, and also the pain–nausea relationship.

The risk for recall bias should be limited as data were collected on POD1. Trained nurses in dialogue with the patient during data collection may have contributed to the quality of the data. The questionnaire we used was especially developed for TFU; therefore, a slight limitation lies within the restricted amount of data, even though all seems clinically relevant.

Despite the large sample size, there was limited precision in some of our estimates as reflected in broad confidence intervals. Thus an even larger sample would provide a higher level of statistical power.

### 5 Conclusion

Postdischarge pain scores and nausea-incidence were generally low on the day after surgery, when using a simple multimodal oral- and i.v. pain- and nausea prophylaxis. Young age was a strong predictor for postdischarge
pain in this study. A higher level of worst pain and high pain during activity were associated with increased risk for nausea. There were no serious complications, few unscheduled re-contacts and patient satisfaction was high.
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TABLE 5 (Continued)

| Satisfactory information given by the doctor/surgeon (n = 774) | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                                                   | 4 (0.5) |
| Somewhat satisfied                                          | 13 (1.7) |
| Mostly satisfied                                            | 124 (16.0) |
| Very satisfied                                              | 633 (81.8) |

| Satisfactory time for discharge (n = 772) | n (%) |
|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                               | 0     |
| To some degree                           | 9 (1.2) |
| To a large degree                        | 55 (7.1) |
| Yes                                      | 708 (91.7) |

| Overall patient satisfaction (n = 778) | n (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                           | 2 (0.3) |
| Somewhat satisfied                   | 4 (0.5) |
| Mostly satisfied                     | 74 (9.5) |
| Very satisfied                       | 698 (89.7) |

Abbreviation: POD1, Postoperative day 1.

More than one answer was possible.

Valid percent of the study population.

TABLE 5 Other postdischarge assessments and patient satisfaction

| How did you sleep the first night after surgery (n = 778) | n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                                             | 30 (3.9) |
| To some degree                                         | 272 (34.9) |
| To a large degree                                      | 202 (26.0) |
| Normal sleep                                           | 274 (35.2) |

| Cause of impaired sleep (n = 504) | n (%) |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Pain                             | 68 (9.1) |
| Restlessness                      | 123 (16.4) |
| Headache                          | 30 (4.0) |
| Nightmare                         | 6 (0.8) |
| Unusual sleeping position         | 195 (26.0) |
| Other                             | 197 (26.2) |

| Resumption of normal daily activity POD1 (n = 766) | n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                                        | 9 (1.2) |
| To some degree                                    | 130 (17.0) |
| To a large degree                                 | 248 (32.4) |
| Normal activity                                   | 379 (49.5) |

| Bleeding from surgical wound after discharge (n = 762) | n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                                             | 748 (98.2) |
| To some degree                                         | 14 (1.8) |
| To a large degree                                      | 0     |

| Contact with health care services after discharge (n = 776) | n (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| No                                                         | 751 (96.8) |
| Yes                                                        | 25 (3.2) |

| Cause of contact with health services after discharge (n = 26) | n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Pain                                                          | 2 (0.3) |
| Bleeding                                                      | 4 (0.5) |
| Nausea/Vomiting                                               | 0     |
| Breathing problems                                            | 0     |
| Heart palpitations                                            | 0     |
| Fainting                                                      | 0     |
| Other                                                         | 20 (2.6) |

| If yes: Who did you contact? (n = 25) | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Day surgery unit                    | 7 (0.9) |
| Emergency department                | 6 (0.8) |
| Outpatient clinic                   | 11 (1.4) |
| Surgeon                             | 0     |
| Emergency Medical Service           | 0     |
| General Practitioner                | 1 (0.1) |
| Other                               | 0     |

| Satisfaction with information given by the nurse (n = 770) | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Not at all                                                  | 1 (0.1) |
| Somewhat satisfied                                          | 1 (0.1) |
| Mostly satisfied                                            | 87 (11.3) |
| Very satisfied                                              | 681 (88.4) |

Abbreviation: POD1, Postoperative day 1.

More than one answer was possible.

Valid percent of the study population.

pain in this study. A higher level of worst pain and high pain during activity were associated with increased risk for nausea. There were no serious complications, few unscheduled re-contacts and patient satisfaction was high.
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