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Abstract: Economic development is responsible for excessive sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions, environmental pressure increases, and human and environmental risks. This study used spatial autocorrelation, the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC), and the Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index model to study the spatiotemporal variation characteristics and influencing factors of SO2 emissions in the Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB) from 1997 to 2017. Our results show that the total SO2 emissions in the YREB rose from 513.14 × 104 t to 974.00 × 104 t before dropping to 321.97 × 104 t. The SO2 emissions from 11 provinces first increased and then decreased, each with different turning points. For example, the emission trends changed in Yunnan in 2011 and in Anhui in 2015, while the other nine provinces saw their emission trends change during 2005–2006. Furthermore, the SO2 emissions in the YREB showed a significant agglomeration phenomenon, with a Moran index of approximately 0.233–0.987. Moreover, the EKC of SO2 emissions and per capita GDP in the YREB was N-shaped. The EKCs of eight of the 11 provinces were N-shaped (Shanghai, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Sichuan, Guizhou, Hunan, and Chongqing) and those of the other three were inverted U-shaped (Jiangsu, Yunnan, and Hubei). Thus, economic development can both promote and inhibit the emission of SO2. Finally, during the study period, the technical effect (approximately −1387.97 × 104−130.24 × 104 t) contributed the most, followed by the economic (approximately 27.81 × 104−1255.59 × 104 t), structural (approximately −56.45 × 104−343.90 × 104 t), and population effects (approximately 4.25 × 104−39.70 × 104 t). Technology was the dominant factor in SO2 emissions reduction, while economic growth played a major role in promoting SO2 emissions. Therefore, to promote SO2 emission reduction, technological innovations and advances should be the primary point of focus.

Keywords: spatial autocorrelation; environmental Kuznets Curve; logarithmic mean divisia index; driving factor; technological innovation

1. Introduction

Sulfur dioxide (SO2) is a major atmospheric pollutant. The total global emissions (excluding volcanic eruptions) in 2014 was estimated to have been 105.4 Tg (interquartile range of 95.8–119.8 Tg) [1], and they were primarily derived from the combustion of sulfur containing minerals [2]. With rapid urbanization and industrialization, energy sources are being consumed at a substantial rate, which increases SO2 emissions [3]. High SO2 emissions can have significant impacts on human health, climate, economies, and ecosystems [1]. For example, SO2 contamination increases the risk of preterm birth [4], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [5], and acute respiratory disease [6]. A previous study found that, for every 10 μg/m3 increase in SO2, the risk of acute myocardial infarction and COPD-related hospital admissions in Iran increased by 2.7% and 2.0%, respectively [5]. Furthermore, in 2015, 3 million people died from COPD worldwide [7]. Additionally, SO2
pollution can cause acid rain, as witnessed in 1995, when SO$_2$-induced acid rain resulted in more than 110 billion yuan in damages, equivalent to 2% of the GDP [8]. Moreover, high atmospheric SO$_2$ concentrations can be detrimental to plant growth and can damage ecosystem stability [9]. Overall, SO$_2$ pollution can cause substantial socioeconomic losses, and thus is an obstacle to the sustainable economic development of various countries [10] and a major public health issue in developing countries [11]. Substantial previous research has been conducted to investigate ways to mitigate SO$_2$ pollution and achieve more sustainable development options, including adopting various methods to study SO$_2$ emissions (Table 1). For example, the spatial autocorrelation method has been used to test the spatial correlation of SO$_2$ emissions [9,12], and the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) has been used to analyze the relationship between economic growth and SO$_2$ emissions [13]. The spatial autocorrelation method incorporates both global and local spatial autocorrelation, allowing the spatial distribution characteristics of research subjects to be analyzed, as well as the heterogeneous characteristics of any spatial distribution differences [12]. For example, previous studies have used this method to study the agglomeration characteristics of pollutants such as wastewater [14], carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) [15], fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) [16], and SO$_2$ [12], and have shown that there is a positive spatial autocorrelation of SO$_2$ concentration in China; that is, the SO$_2$ concentration in an area will increase because air pollutant spread is affected by nearby areas [9,12]. The EKC has been used to explain the relationship between pollutants and economic development, such as CO$_2$ [17], SO$_2$ [13], nitrous oxide (NO$_2$) [18], and wastewater [14]. According to the EKC, the relationship between national incomes and their contribution to environmental degradation resembles an inverted U-shaped curve [19]. This means that in the early stages of economic development, the increase of economic activities and the structural changes from agricultural to industrial production often lead to an intensification of environmental pollution [20]. After a certain income level is achieved, environmental pollution is reduced through the implementation of cleaner technologies, stricter environmental policies, and structural changes towards light industry and service sectors [20]. However, numerous studies show that, in addition to an inverted U shape, the EKC can also have U, N, inverted N, monotonic increasing, and monotonic decreasing shapes [13,14,21]. Although spatial autocorrelation and the EKC can explore the characteristics of pollutant emission agglomeration and its relationship with economic development, they cannot explain the reasons behind these characteristics and relationships [22]. Researchers have used various other methods to further analyze the factors affecting SO$_2$ emissions (Table 1). For example, the stochastic impacts by regression on population, affluence, and technology (STIRPAT) model was used to study the influence of the dominant SO$_2$ emission factors [3,23]. Additionally, the Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index (LMDI) and structural decomposition analysis (SDA) have been used to study the effects of direct and indirect factors on SO$_2$ emissions [11,24–26]. These analyses showed that technological progress, industrial structure, economic growth, and population factors have obvious effects on SO$_2$ emissions; technological progress and population factors generally reduce SO$_2$ emissions [11,26], while economic growth increases the use of fossil fuels, promoting SO$_2$ emissions [10,11,13]. Owing to the accuracy of LMDI decomposition, its lack of unexplained residuals, and its high adaptability [14], LMDI was used in this study to analyze the effects of technical factors, industrial structure, population factors and economic factors on SO$_2$ emissions. The aforementioned studies on SO$_2$ emissions mostly focus on EKC analysis or the driving factors of SO$_2$ emissions, but they rarely combine these two approaches. Therefore, this study first analyzed the changes in SO$_2$ emissions during the study period, then analyzed the agglomeration characteristics of SO$_2$ emissions and emission changes with economic using spatial autocorrelation and EKC-analysis, and finally analyzed the driving factors using LMDI, so as to achieve a comprehensive analysis of SO$_2$ emissions and make reasonable policy suggestions.
Table 1. SO₂ emission driving factors and previous research methods.

| Authors | Research Areas | Study Time Ranges (Year) | Methods | Driving Factors |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|----------------|
| [3]     | China          | 2001–2007                | The STIRPAT model | Energy investment and economic performance |
|         | China’s 29 provinces | 2002–2015 | MRIO-SDA approach | Economic growth and energy efficiency |
| [10]    | China’s 29 provinces | 2002–2015 | MRIO-SDA approach | Foreign direct investment, industrial structure, research and development investment, population size, energy intensity |
| [12]    | 26 cities of Yangtze River Delta | 2005–2018 | Moran’s Index, spatial econometrics model | Foreign direct investment, industrial structure, research and development investment, population size, energy intensity |
| [13]    | 139 Indian cities | 2001–2013 | Environmental Kuznets Curve | Economic growth |
| [23]    | China’s 30 provinces | 2004–2014 | Panel data model, coefficient of divergence, STIRPAT model | Economic scale, technological progress, total population |
| [24]    | China          | 1997–2012                | Structural decomposition analysis | China’s demand structure |
| [26]    | China          | 1995–2014                | Logarithmic mean Divisia index | Technological progress, energy structure, energy consumption |

Rapid urbanization and industrialization led China to become the largest global energy consumer in 2010 [8]. The dominant energy source in China is coal, which causes substantial SO₂ emissions and makes China a major global SO₂ emitter [27]. This abundance of SO₂ emissions has damaged socioeconomic sectors in China and its neighboring countries, including Japan and South Korea [28]. While reducing emissions is an important domestic and international goal, the economic development of China is inseparable from its energy consumption, making it vital to find a solution that can reduce SO₂ emissions without affecting economic growth [29]. The Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB) spans the eastern, central, and western regions of China. The region is home to nearly 45% of China’s population and approximately 20% of the country’s land area and accounts for 50% of its total economic output [30]. It is the economic belt with the greatest economic strength and the most important strategic position [31], making it an important region for China to achieve its 2030 sustainable development goals [30]. The YREB is the inland river economic belt with the largest population and the most complete urban system and global influence in the world [30,31]. It has complex and varied topography and diverse ecosystem structures in its upper and lower reaches. Different regions of the YREB have different basic conditions and levels of economic and social development. The downstream regions (Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang and Anhui) have a good development foundation and have entered the mature stage of urban agglomeration development; the upstream regions (Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou and Yunnan) are still in the initial stage of regional development; and the middle reaches have experienced rapid urbanization and are now advancing to a higher level of development [32]. Therefore, SO₂ emission studies in the YREB and its provinces can serve as references of China’s implementation of regional coordinated development strategies, sustainable development, and SO₂ emission reduction for other developing countries.

This study used the spatial autocorrelation, EKC, and LMDI methods to study the spatial characteristics and driving factors of SO₂ emissions in the YREB. Moreover, the time range of previous studies rarely exceeded 20 years (Table 1); therefore, to better analyze SO₂ emission changes, we set the study time range as 1997–2017. The objectives of this study were to analyze SO₂ emission characteristics of the YREB and its 11 provinces from 1997 to 2017, study the impact of four driving factors (technological effect, industrial structure, economic effect, and population effect) on SO₂ emissions in the YREB and its 11 provinces, and put forth specific suggestions for SO₂ pollution control.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Data Sources

In this study, the YREB and its 11 provinces, namely Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Yunnan, and Guizhou, were selected as the research areas (Figure 1). The study time range was 1997–2017 and data from all 11 provinces were used. The total SO₂ emissions, GDP, population number, and industrial
added value of the 11 provinces were all collected from the China Statistical Yearbook from 1997–2017. The per capita GDP of the 11 provinces was calculated by dividing the GDP by the total population.

Figure 1. Location of the Yangtze River Economic Belt.

2.2. Global Spatial Autocorrelation

Spatial autocorrelation analysis is based on the first law of geography, and can be used to quantitatively measure the degree of interdependence the same variable in different spatial locations, and determine the spatial correlation and spatial heterogeneity of attribute values in different regions [12,14,33]. Previous studies have shown that SO2 emissions have strong cross-regional and agglomeration characteristics; therefore, this method can be used to explore the spatial agglomeration characteristics of SO2 emissions [9,12]. In this study global Moran’s I was used to explore the spatial correlation of SO2 emissions as follows [14]:

\[ I = \frac{n \sum_i \sum_j W_{ij} (X_i - \bar{X}) (X_j - \bar{X})}{(\sum_i \sum_j W_{ij}) \sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \]  

(1)

where n is the number of study subjects, X_i represents the total SO2 emissions in province i, X_j represents the total SO2 emissions in province j, and W_{ij} represents the spatial weights of elements i and j. The value of global Moran’s I range from [−1, 1], where the sign represents the correlation type and the absolute value represents the intensity. When I > 0, there is a positive correlation and the closer I is to 1, the more spatial agglomeration characteristics the SO2 emissions have; when I < 0, there is a negative correlation, and the closer I is to −1, the more spatially discrete characteristics the SO2 emissions have; finally, when I = 0, there is no correlation between the SO2 emissions in different regions [9,12,14,33]. Moreover, the correlation of this index was evaluated via the Z score (standard deviation) and p value (i.e., when Z < −1.96 or Z > + 1.96 and p < 0.05, the SO2 emissions had significant spatial autocorrelation) [14].

2.3. Environmental Kuznets Curve

The EKC has been widely used to study the link between environmental pollution and economic growth. Previous research has shown that the EKC has six types, the inverted U, U, N, inverted N, monotonic increasing, and monotonic decreasing curves [13,14,21]. This means that, with the improvement of income level, environmental quality can show
a downward (inverted U, inverted N, monotonic decreasing) or upward trend (U, N, monotonic increasing). This study analyzed the relationship between SO2 pollution and economic development during 1997–2017 in the YREB using Statistical Products and Services Solution 19.0 software (International Business Machines Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), as follows [22]:

\[ Y_{it} = a + b_1X_{it} + \epsilon \]  
\[ Y_{it} = a + b_1X_{it} + b_2X_{it}^2 + \epsilon \]  
\[ Y_{it} = a + b_1X_{it} + b_2X_{it}^2 + b_3X_{it}^3 + \epsilon \]

where \( Y_{it} \) represents the SO2 emission of Province i in year t; \( a \) is the intercept term; \( b_1, b_2, \) and \( b_3 \) are the coefficients of the primary term, quadratic term, and cubic term respectively; \( X_{it} \) represents the GDP of Province i in year t; and \( \epsilon \) represents the random error term.

2.4. Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index

In this study, the LMDI model was used to analyze change of SO2 emissions in the YREB between 1997 and 2017 in response to four driving factors: technology effect, industrial structure effect, economic development effect, and population effect. According to the LMDI model, SO2 emission changes within a certain period can be expressed as [14]:

\[ W^t = \sum_i^n W_{i}^t = \sum_i^n W_i V_i G_i P_i = \sum_i^n W_{tec,i} W_{str,i} W_{eco,i} W_{pop,i} \]

where \( W^t \) represents the total SO2 emissions in year t; \( W_{i}^t \) is the total SO2 emission in year t and region i; \( W_i \) is the SO2 emissions in region i; \( V_i \) is the industrial added value in region i; \( G_i \) is the GDP in region i; \( P_i \) is the total population in region i; n is the number of research areas; \( W_{tec,i} = W_i/V_i \) is the technical effect of region i; \( W_{str,i} = V_i/G_i \) is the industrial structure effect of region i, reflecting the impact of industrial structure changes on SO2 emissions; \( W_{eco,i} = G_i/P_i \) is the economic effect of region i, indicating the impact of regional economic development on SO2 emissions; \( W_{pop,i} \) is the population size effect of region i; and \( \Delta W_{tec,i}, \Delta W_{str,i}, \Delta W_{eco,i}, \) and \( \Delta W_{pop,i} \) represent the contribution value of the technology effect, industrial structure effect, economic development effect, and population effect to the SO2 emissions in region i, respectively. A contribution value greater than 0 indicates that the effect promotes SO2 emissions, and an opposite contribution value less than 0 indicates that the effect suppresses SO2 emissions. The decomposition formula is as follows [14]:

\[ \Delta W_{tec,i} = \frac{W_{i}^t - W_i^0}{\ln W_{i}^t - \ln W_i^0} \ln \left( \frac{W_{i}^t}{W_i^0} \right) \]
\[ \Delta W_{str,i} = \frac{W_{i}^t - W_i^0}{\ln W_{i}^t - \ln W_i^0} \ln \left( \frac{W_{i}^t}{W_i^0} \right) \]
\[ \Delta W_{eco,i} = \frac{W_{i}^t - W_i^0}{\ln W_{i}^t - \ln W_i^0} \ln \left( \frac{W_{i}^t}{W_i^0} \right) \]
\[ \Delta W_{pop,i} = \frac{W_{i}^t - W_i^0}{\ln W_{i}^t - \ln W_i^0} \ln \left( \frac{W_{i}^t}{W_i^0} \right) \]

where \( W_i^0 \) represents the emission of SO2 in the base year; \( W_{i}^t \) represents the technical effect of region i in year t; \( W_{str,i} \) represents the industrial structure effect of region i in year t; \( W_{eco,i} \) represents the economic effect of region i in year t; and \( W_{pop,i} \) represents the population effect of region i in year t.
3. Results

3.1. Spatiotemporal Characteristics of the SO₂ Changes

The total SO₂ emissions along the YREB increased from 513.14 × 10⁴ t in 1997 to 974.00 × 10⁴ t in 2006 before dropping to 321.97 × 10⁴ t in 2017 (Figure 2a). The SO₂ emission trends in Guizhou, Chongqing, Sichuan, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Shanghai, Jiangsu, and Zhejiang were similar to those in the YREB, that is, the SO₂ emissions first increased and then decreased, reaching their peak in 2005–2006 (Figure 2b–d). The SO₂ emissions in Yunnan increased from 1997 to 2011 before decreasing from 2011 to 2017 (Figure 2b), and the SO₂ emissions in Anhui increased from 1997 to 2005, remained stable from 2005 to 2015, and decreased from 2015 to 2017 (Figure 2d). The SO₂ emissions of the 11 provinces in the YREB all rose sharply in 2002 and declined drastically in 2015 (Figure 2b–d). Jiangsu showed the highest average emissions (103.45 × 10⁴ t), followed by Guizhou, Sichuan, Hunan, Zhejiang, Chongqing, Hubei, Yunnan, Anhui, and Jiangxi (approximately 44.21 × 10⁴–97.96 × 10⁴ t), while Shanghai showed the lowest (32.45 × 10⁴ t) (Figure S1).
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Figure 2. SO₂ emission changes in Yangtze River Economic Belt (a) and different provinces (Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou and Yunnan, (b); Jiangxi, Hubei and Hunan, (c); Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang and Anhui, (d)) during 1997–2017.

3.2. Global Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis of SO₂ Emissions

From 1997 to 2017, the global Moran’s I values of the regional SO₂ emissions of the YREB were all greater than 0, and passed the significance test (p < 0.05), showing a trend of first increasing and then decreasing. Specifically, it increased from 0.308 in 1997 to 0.987 in 2005 and then decreased to 0.233 in 2015 (Table 2).
Table 2. Global Moran’s I of SO2 emission changes in Yangtze River Economic Belt in 1997–2017, China.

| Year | Moran’s I | z-Value | E(I) | SD  | P     | Mean |
|------|-----------|---------|------|-----|-------|------|
| 1997 | 0.308     | 4.530   | −0.100 | 0.089 | 0.001 | −0.096 |
| 1998 | 0.426     | 4.553   | −0.100 | 0.116 | 0.001 | −0.102 |
| 1999 | 0.720     | 4.510   | −0.100 | 0.182 | 0.001 | −0.102 |
| 2000 | 0.946     | 4.170   | −0.100 | 0.251 | 0.001 | −0.099 |
| 2001 | 0.940     | 4.437   | −0.100 | 0.233 | 0.001 | −0.095 |
| 2002 | 0.938     | 4.402   | −0.100 | 0.235 | 0.001 | −0.095 |
| 2003 | 0.966     | 4.115   | −0.100 | 0.260 | 0.001 | −0.103 |
| 2004 | 0.979     | 4.100   | −0.100 | 0.262 | 0.001 | −0.097 |
| 2005 | 0.987     | 4.159   | −0.100 | 0.262 | 0.001 | −0.104 |
| 2006 | 0.921     | 3.988   | −0.100 | 0.254 | 0.001 | −0.093 |
| 2007 | 0.905     | 4.046   | −0.100 | 0.248 | 0.001 | −0.096 |
| 2008 | 0.942     | 3.353   | −0.100 | 0.311 | 0.001 | −0.101 |
| 2009 | 0.914     | 4.050   | −0.100 | 0.251 | 0.001 | −0.102 |
| 2010 | 0.886     | 4.254   | −0.100 | 0.232 | 0.001 | −0.102 |
| 2011 | 0.597     | 4.317   | −0.100 | 0.161 | 0.002 | −0.097 |
| 2012 | 0.584     | 4.255   | −0.100 | 0.160 | 0.002 | −0.096 |
| 2013 | 0.484     | 4.160   | −0.100 | 0.140 | 0.002 | −0.098 |
| 2014 | 0.339     | 3.673   | −0.100 | 0.119 | 0.001 | −0.097 |
| 2015 | 0.233     | 3.188   | −0.100 | 0.103 | 0.004 | −0.095 |
| 2016 | 0.669     | 4.361   | −0.100 | 0.175 | 0.001 | −0.096 |
| 2017 | 0.265     | 3.887   | −0.100 | 0.092 | 0.001 | −0.094 |

E(I) is the value of mathematical expectation, SD is the standard deviation, P(I) is the significance level, Z represents the correlation between industrial wastewater and its location, and I is the Moran index.

3.3. EKC Study of SO2 Emissions

During 1997–2017, the EKC of the total SO2 emissions and per capita GDP in the YREB was N-shaped (Figure 3a, Table S1), the EKCs of Jiangsu, Yunnan, and Hubei were inverted U-shaped (Figure 3b, Table S1), and the EKCs of Shanghai, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Sichuan, Guizhou, Hunan, and Chongqing were N-shaped (Figure 3c, Table S1).
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Figure 3. Classification of EKC of Yangtze River Economic Belt (a) and different province (inverted U type, (b); N type, (c)) during 1997–2017.

3.4. Driving Factors of SO2 Emissions

The contribution value of technology in the YREB was greater than 0 from 1998–2000 and less than 0 from 2001–2017. It decreased from $130.24 \times 10^4$ t in 1998 to $-1387.97 \times 10^4$ t in 2014, and then increased to $-1330.47 \times 10^4$ t by 2017 (Table 3). The contribution value of the structural effect was less than 0 in 1998–2000 and greater than 0 in 2001–2017. It increased from $56.45 \times 10^4$ t in 1998 to $343.90 \times 10^4$ t in 2010, and then decreased to $129.03 \times 10^4$ t by 2017 (Table 3). The contribution value of the economic and population effects were greater than 0 from 1998–2017, showing increasing trends followed by decreasing trends. The contribution value of the economic effect reached its maximum value of $1255.59 \times 10^4$ t in 2014, while the contribution value of the population effect reached its maximum value of $39.70 \times 10^4$ t in 2015 (Table 3). Among the four driving factors, the technical effect contributed the most (approximately $-1387.97 \times 10^4$–$130.24 \times 10^4$ t),
followed by the economic effect (approximately $27.81 \times 10^4$–$1255.59 \times 10^4$), the structural effect (approximately $-56.45 \times 10^4$–$343.90 \times 10^4$), and then the population effect (approximately $4.25 \times 10^4$–$39.70 \times 10^4$) (Table 3).

Table 3. Decomposition analysis results of SO\textsubscript{2} emission changes in Yangtze River Economic Belt in 1997–2017, China (unit: $10^4$ t).

| Year | $\Delta W_{tec}$ | $\Delta W_{str}$ | $\Delta W_{eco}$ | $\Delta W_{pop}$ |
|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1998 | 130.24           | -56.45           | 27.81            | 4.25             |
| 1999 | 32.21            | -31.22           | 58.51            | 7.91             |
| 2000 | 24.06            | -21.11           | 118.47           | 8.21             |
| 2001 | -90.12           | 1.51             | 158.71           | 16.59            |
| 2002 | -182.43          | 32.09            | 215.00           | 19.39            |
| 2003 | -142.97          | 101.07           | 352.69           | 28.35            |
| 2004 | -296.23          | 170.99           | 474.67           | 32.93            |
| 2005 | -415.76          | 230.13           | 618.25           | 12.64            |
| 2006 | -572.17          | 285.48           | 731.74           | 15.81            |
| 2007 | -767.79          | 307.41           | 851.70           | 18.53            |
| 2008 | -946.23          | 342.93           | 931.76           | 21.71            |
| 2009 | -1011.43         | 325.81           | 974.45           | 24.73            |
| 2010 | -1154.11         | 343.90           | 1078.82          | 29.24            |
| 2011 | -1274.68         | 340.41           | 1160.52          | 31.11            |
| 2012 | -1323.21         | 317.42           | 1193.84          | 33.13            |
| 2013 | -1366.91         | 294.51           | 1229.86          | 35.68            |
| 2014 | -1387.97         | 260.35           | 1255.39          | 37.63            |
| 2015 | -1386.69         | 214.06           | 1254.68          | 39.70            |
| 2016 | -1343.98         | 160.07           | 1041.50          | 34.62            |
| 2017 | -1330.47         | 129.03           | 976.72           | 33.56            |

$\Delta W_{tec}$ represents the contribution of science and technology to SO\textsubscript{2} emission, $\Delta W_{str}$ represents the contribution value of industrial structure to SO\textsubscript{2} emission, $\Delta W_{eco}$ represents the contribution value of economic development to SO\textsubscript{2} emission, $\Delta W_{pop}$ represents the contribution value of the total population to SO\textsubscript{2} emission.

The average contribution value of the technological effect in the 11 provinces ranged from $-161.33 \times 10^4$ to $-24.08 \times 10^4$ t, primarily showing negative effects (Table 3). The average contribution value of the economic effect in the 11 provinces ranged from $32.07 \times 10^4$–$124.50 \times 10^4$ t, showing a primarily positive influence. In 10 of the provinces, the structure effect was predominantly positive (approximate average contribution value: $1.10 \times 10^4$–$34.30 \times 10^4$ t), while its average contribution value in Yunnan was $-1.47 \times 10^4$ t (Table S2). The population effect was positive ($0.15 \times 10^4$–$9.30 \times 10^4$ t) in eight of the provinces, but negative in Sichuan ($-1.18 \times 10^4$ t), Hubei ($-0.29 \times 10^4$ t), and Chongqing ($-1.16 \times 10^4$ t) (Table S2). These results show that the technological effect contributed the most to SO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the 11 provinces, followed by the economic and structural effects, while the population effect contributed the least (Table S2).

4. Discussion

4.1. Spatiotemporal Variation of SO\textsubscript{2} Emissions

Total SO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the YREB fell from $513.14 \times 10^4$ t in 1997 to $321.97 \times 10^4$ t in 2017 (Figure 2a), showing that the SO\textsubscript{2} pollution situation in the YREB is gradually improving. This decline may be owing to the implementation of emission policy and emission reduction measures [9]. For example, in December 2007, the State Council issued the “Eleventh Five-Year Plan for National Environmental Protection”, which required SO\textsubscript{2} emissions in 2010 to be reduced by 10% compared with those from 2005 [34]. Furthermore, in 2013, the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan was released [35] and the Twelfth Five-Year Plan proposed a target of further reducing SO\textsubscript{2} emissions by 10% by the end of 2015 compared with those from 2010 [36]. To achieve these goals, a series of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions reduction measures have been adopted in various YREB regions. For example, in 2008, Jiangsu increased the desulfurization facilities of coal-fired units by 6.59 million kilowatts
and closed and eliminated 4326 “small chemical” production enterprises [37], while Sichuan implemented total coal consumption control in 14 cities and eliminated 120,000 old cars in 2013 [38]. SO$_2$ emissions from the YREB and its 11 provinces rose sharply in 2002, potentially resulting from the economic growth acceleration that China experienced after 2002, which increased energy-intensive and polluting industry investments and caused massive energy consumption, boosting SO$_2$ emissions. For example, the GDP growth rate of the YREB rose from 11% in 2002 to 16% in 2003, and the growth rate of national energy consumption rose from 9% in 2002 to 16% in 2003 [39]. SO$_2$ emissions from the YREB and its 11 provinces plummeted in 2015 (Figure 2), likely owing to the Prevention and Control of Atmospheric Pollution Law that came into force on 1 January 2016, which strengthened supervision and made specific provisions on prominent issues in the prevention and control of SO$_2$ pollution, such as prohibiting the import, sale, and burning of coal that does not meet quality standards and encouraging the burning of high-quality coal [40].

Emission decline began in Yunnan in 2011 and Anhui in 2015, while that of the other nine provinces began during 2005–2006 (Figure 2), showing that their SO$_2$ emissions reduction measures, such as desulfurization facility construction in Yunnan [41] and increasing structural emission reduction intensity and eliminating 250,000 kilowatts of power generation capacity in Anhui in 2010 [42], have not offset the role of economic growth in promoting the increase in SO$_2$ emissions. This may be owing to the “strategy for the development of the western region” and the “rise of the central region” ideals, which have accelerated the modernization of inland areas [43]. Moreover, the developed coastal provinces and some underdeveloped provinces will transfer SO$_2$ emissions to the central and western provinces with abundant energy resources and low productivity through industrial transfer and intermediate product trade [29].

4.2. Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis

Moran’s $I$ has been used to study the spatial concentration of pollutant emissions [14]; for example, a study of industrial sewage at different scales in China found that the degree of concentration of prefectural-level industrial wastewater discharge was higher than that of provincial industrial wastewater [14], while another study analyzed Moran’s $I$ of CO$_2$ emission intensity in China from 1991 to 2010 and found that there was a significant aggregation in both high- and low-discharge provinces [15]. Additionally, Moran’s $I$ has been used to study the degree of spatial agglomeration of PM$_{2.5}$ [16]. Our Moran’s $I$ results showed that, during the study period, there was a strong positive spatial auto-correlation between neighboring provinces in the YREB, with a trend of first increasing and then decreasing (Table 2), showing that the SO$_2$ emissions in the YREB have significant agglomeration, and the emission intensity of one province affects that of the neighboring provinces. This effect is caused by the positive guidance by high-emission provinces of their neighboring provinces, promoting their learning and introducing them to new technologies to improve SO$_2$ emission efficiency [44]. Therefore, when conducting emission reduction work, the SO$_2$ emission accumulation phenomenon should be broken. Specifically, provinces should strengthen cooperation with other regions, realize cross-regional joint environmental governance, and establish a mechanism for coordination and co-governance of local governments [44]. The Chinese government should also promote the sharing and exchange of information and technology among provinces and formulate corresponding policies to strengthen development among provinces [15]. For example, the Yangtze River Delta region has set up a cooperation group for air pollution prevention and control, established and constantly improved a regional cooperation mechanism for pollution prevention and control, implemented multi-field information sharing, and carried out cross-regional and multi-department law enforcement linkage to win the blue sky battle [45].

4.3. Environmental Kuznets Curve

The relationship between environmental pollution and economic level is usually expressed by the EKC [46]. The EKC assumes that environmental quality is initially
degraded during the improvement of per capita income level, but gradually improves when
the income reaches the inflection point, that is, the relationship between environmental
quality and income is an inverted U-shaped [47]. Previous studies have shown that the
EKC shows other trends, including type U, N, inverted N, monotonous decreasing, and
monotonous increasing trends [14,18]. We found that the EKC of SO₂ emissions and per
capita GDP in the YREB is N-shaped (Figure 3a) and that the 11 provinces in the YREB show
N-shaped and inverted U-shaped curves (Figure 3b,c). Among them, the inverted U-shape
indicates that as the economy grows, SO₂ emissions eventually show a trend of rising and
then falling. Overall, SO₂ emissions in the YREB have slowed down and the pollution
due to SO₂ emissions in these provinces (Jiangsu, Yunnan, and Hubei) has improved
with regional economic development. For these regions, the political measures that the
Chinese government was committed to promoting, such as technological innovation,
market-oriented reform, and environmental regulations [48], have achieved good results.
The progress of green technology and the improvement of production efficiency promoted
by economic development [49] can also greatly reduce SO₂ emissions. Therefore, as long as
these provinces continue to maintain SO₂ emission management policies and measures and
actively create and introduce new technologies in future development, they can achieve
SO₂ emission reduction. However, the overall EKC of the YREB is N-shaped, indicating that
with economic development, SO₂ emission pollutions first deteriorate, then improve, and
finally deteriorate again. Notably, the EKCs of most YREB provinces are N-shaped. This
suggests that the Chinese government issuing a series of SO₂ emission reduction policies,
such as those during the “11th five-year plan” period; shutting down backward technology
to reduce the high pollution emissions of small, aging production facilities; and asking new
factories to adopt advanced production technology; strictly abide by the emission standards,
etc. played a positive role, allowing all provinces along the YREB to reach the EKC turning
point [50]. However, in provinces with N-shaped EKCs, SO₂ pollution will still increase
with increasing economic level, indicating that economic development cannot ultimately
solve the problem of SO₂ pollution [10]. This may be because, as environmental protections
in a province are strengthened, pollutants will migrate to areas with weak environmental
protections, thus increasing the outflow of labor and capital in the province [19], leading to
a decline in its environmental protection level. Economic development leads to an increase
of industrial activities, resulting in an increase in production pollutants; however, research
into innovative and environmentally friendly technologies to address these pollutants
may be too costly to continue [19]. Therefore, these regions can increase green research
and development investments [27], develop the use of renewable energy to optimize the
energy structure [26], and improve energy efficiency by improving the quality of fossil
fuels and the technological level of the energy industry [3]. To cope with SO₂ emission
increases caused by the increase of industrial activities with economic development, the
government can raise pollution tax and formulate a tax rate that reflects the emission
reduction costs [8]. Additionally, enterprises can be encouraged to upgrade production
technology by means of financial subsidies [9]. Through the above analysis, it can be seen
that with economic development, the EKC of the YREB and its provinces reached the
first inflection point, showing an inverted U-shape. This is because, in the early stage of
development, people pay more attention to economic development than to environmental
protection, technology is used to develop resources, and residents lack the economic
resources to pay for emission reduction, thus leading to a large amount of SO₂ emissions in
the early stage [43]. However, in the later stage of industrialization, with the development
of economy and technology, people began to pay attention to environmental pollution,
formulate strict environmental laws and regulations to prevent environmental destruction,
and use technology and resources to reduce the emission of SO₂, thus reaching the first
inflection point [43]. However, as the economy continues to develop, some provinces reach
a second inflection point, forming an N-shaped curve. This is because as the economy
grows, more pollutants are produced, and the costs related to environmental protection rise
[13]. In addition, highly polluting enterprises in economically developed areas may
migrate to nearby underdeveloped areas with weak environmental protection systems, which will lead to capital loss in economically developed areas, affect their environmental protection fund investment, and ultimately cause environmental degradation [13].

4.4. Influence of Driving Factors of SO₂ Emissions

The LMDI is often used to analyze the driving factors of pollutant emissions [25,26]. For example, CO₂ [51], NOₓ [52], industrial wastewater [14,22], PM₂.₅ [53], and SO₂ [26]. One study found that energy consumption increases were the dominant reason for the increases of SO₂ emissions, while technological effect was the dominant factor in SO₂ emission reduction [26]. Our research results also showed that technological effect (approximately $-1387.97 \times 10^4$–$130.24 \times 10^4$ t) was the primary factor for reducing SO₂ emissions in the YREB (Table 3). After 2006, China started to build new flue gas desulfurization equipment and the existing coal-fired power plants installed flue gas desulfurization equipment [28], the proportion of desulfurization in the iron and steel industries increased from 0 to 15.6% in 2010 [50]. The SO₂ emissions in the YREB also began to decline in 2006 (Figure 2a); however, our study further found that the contribution value of the technology effect eventually decreased (Table 3), potentially owing to the hysteresis of the technological effect [54] and the high cost of technological research, which leads to the speed of technological progress being unable to catch up to the increase of SO₂ production with economic growth. Additionally, technology has a double-sided effect. Technological progress can reduce pollution but may also bring new pollution risks [54]. It is crucial for governments at all levels to assign importance to technology. Therefore, in the future development of the YREB, investment in scientific and technological research and development can be increased to improve the innovation level of enterprises to promote the technological improvements [9]. Meanwhile, governments can also encourage enterprises to adopt advanced green and energy-efficient technologies, such as biological desulfurization technologies [55].

The emission reduction of SO₂ should not rely solely on technological improvements, but should consider SO₂ emission reduction at the source. For example, energy consumption reduction, renewable energy development [26], and energy utilization rate improvements. In this study, economic growth was the most important cause of SO₂ emission increases because economic development has brought about a significant increase in personal income, which promotes a greater demand for energy products [53], leading to an increase in energy consumption [56]. However, economic growth will increase investment in green technological innovation [53], improve technological level, and reduce SO₂ emissions. Previous studies have found that economic development cannot dissolve SO₂ pollution on its own [10]. Additionally, although the economic effect on the SO₂ emissions of each province eventually showed a downward trend, its positive contribution value was still the largest in our study (Table S2). Therefore, to reduce SO₂ emissions, future development in the YREB needs to accelerate the transformation of the economic development mode from a high-speed growth to a high-quality development mode [53] and adjust the energy consumption structure to improve energy utilization efficiency [56]. We also found that the industrial structure effect played a significant role in SO₂ emissions. During the study period, the effect of industrial structure inhibited SO₂ emissions in Shanghai and Yunnan, but promoted SO₂ emissions in the remaining nine provinces (Table S2). However, this showed that the adjustment of the industrial structure in these provinces has great potential for SO₂ emission reduction. Therefore, these provinces can achieve SO₂ emission reduction goals by optimizing industrial structures. Specifically, the government can encourage non-industrial enterprises to drive economic development, reduce the proportion of secondary industry, and increase the proportion of primary and tertiary industry enterprises in the industrial structure [57].

We also found that the population effect had the smallest impact on SO₂ emissions among the four driving factors (Table S2) and had different effects on the different provinces. For most provinces, the population effect ultimately showed a positive effect on SO₂ emissions; however, it had a negative impact on Sichuan and Guizhou (Table S2). This may
be because although the increase of population produced environmental pressures, it also improved the efficiency of urban infrastructure [56]. Citizens use public opinion to cause government pressure and attention to the environment, thus promoting the formation of informal environmental regulations, improving energy efficiency, and ultimately reducing SO$_2$ emissions [56]. The government can increase urban infrastructure, reduce the sharing of polluting facilities, form informal environmental regulations [56], improve residential awareness of environmental protection, and advocate green and low-carbon lifestyles [9] to eliminate the positive impact of population effect on SO$_2$ emissions.

4.5. Limitations

There are two limitations to this study. First, this study only discussed the spatiotemporal characteristics and influencing factors of SO$_2$ emissions in the YREB at the provincial scale but ignored the differences between different cities within a province and the different effects of the same driving factors at different scales. Second, there is no data collected after 2017, thus restricting the study period to 2017. In the five years since, the spatiotemporal characteristics of SO$_2$ emissions and the role of its driving factors may have changed. Therefore, on the premise of available data, future studies can use the latest SO$_2$ emission data to analyze the spatiotemporal characteristics and influencing factors of SO$_2$ emissions in the YREB at different scales.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we used spatial autocorrelation and the EKC and LMDI models to analyze the spatiotemporal characteristics and driving factors of SO$_2$ emissions in the 11 provinces of the YREB from 1997 to 2017. Our results show that the total SO$_2$ emissions in the YREB increased from $513.14 \times 10^4$ t in 1997 to $974 \times 10^4$ t in 2006 and then decreased to $321.9 \times 10^4$ t in 2017. SO$_2$ emissions increased before decreasing in 11 provinces, but the turning points were different. For example, emissions began decreasing in 2011 and 2015 in Yunnan and Anhui, respectively, while emissions in the remaining nine provinces began decreasing in 2005–2006. Additionally, the SO$_2$ emissions of the YREB show a significant agglomeration phenomenon, and Moran’s I increased from 0.308 to 0.987 and then decreased to 0.233. Furthermore, the EKC of SO$_2$ emissions and per capita GDP in the YREB is N-shaped, and the EKCs of the 11 provinces were either N-shaped (Shanghai, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Sichuan, Guizhou, Hunan, and Chongqing) or inverted U-shaped (Jiangsu, Yunnan, and Hubei). Thus, economic development can both promote and inhibit the emission of SO$_2$. Finally, during the study period, the technical effect contributed the most (approximately $-1387.97 \times 10^4$–$130.24 \times 10^4$ t), followed by the economic effect (approximately $27.8 \times 10^4$–$1255.59 \times 10^4$ t), the structural effect (approximately $-56.45 \times 10^4$–$343.90 \times 10^4$ t), and finally, the population effect (approximately $4.25 \times 10^4$–$39.70 \times 10^4$ t). Among these, the technical effect contributed most significantly to SO$_2$ emission reduction, and the economic effect contributed most significantly to SO$_2$ emission increases. Our results show that in 1997–2017 overall YREB SO$_2$ emission reduction was most strongly promoted by science and technology, and local governments should establish collaboration and work mechanisms, promote information and technology sharing and communication between provinces, increase technological research and development investments, and encourage enterprises to use green and advanced energy saving technology.
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