Starting with PD Enables Diversity of Later Treatments
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Abstract

Background: Various reasons for “Peritoneal Dialysis (PD) First” rather than hemodialysis (HD) have been presented, such as better preservation of residual renal function (RRF), longer survival, and lower incidence of hospitalization. In spite of these advantages for “PD First”, in Japan as well as in the United States the annual rate of patients receiving PD has been reduced to less than 10%. One of the major reasons against selecting PD is that a large proportion of PD patients are transferred from PD to HD in less than 5 years. Our “PD First” policy is based on the diversity of modalities available after discontinuing PD therapy. The purpose of this study was to examine the follow-up of patients who selected “PD First” as the initial treatment of end-stage renal disease (EDRD) between April 1997 and December 2010.

Methods: Sex, age, primary underlying diseases and selection of modalities were collected retrospectively.

Results: A total of 377 (59.2 ± 8.3 years old; female/male: 255/122) patients were introduced to PD therapy as “PD First.” Patients who were very elderly, with cardiovascular problems, senile dementia, and neoplasms that were forced to select PD were excluded. One hundred and sixty patients started HD as complementary dialysis therapy and then continued with PD + HD in combination until transfer to HD, transplantation or home HD. Among them, 10 patients received transplants and 22 patients were transferred to home HD. One hundred and twenty eight patients were switched from PD to HD for various reasons. Overall patients’ survival after 5 and 10 years was 84.8% and 55.8%, respectively.

Conclusion: Our data shows a diversity of modalities for selection after discontinuing PD therapy alone, as well as providing a rationale to support PD as the initial renal replacement modality for end-stage renal disease patients.
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Introduction

The selection of a dialysis modality is important for patients who start Renal Replacement Therapy (RRT). However, more than 90% of patients submit to in-center Hemodialysis (HD) without receiving sufficient information. There are at least three modalities of RRT: transplantation, continuous ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (PD), and HD. These modalities differ clinically, and offer advantages and disadvantages for an individual patient. At present, of the triad of these modalities, transplantation would be the ideal. However, in Japan, several factors such as the shortage of donors, advanced age of patients, and increases in diabetic patients preclude transplantation as the ideal. However, in Japan as well as in the United States the annual rate of patients receiving PD has been reduced to less than 10%. One of the major reasons against selecting PD is that a large proportion of PD patients are transferred from PD to HD in less than 5 years. Our “PD First” policy is based on the diversity of modalities available after discontinuing PD therapy. The purpose of this study was to examine the follow-up of patients who selected “PD First” as the initial treatment of end-stage renal disease (EDRD) between April 1997 and December 2010.

Methods: Sex, age, primary underlying diseases and selection of modalities were collected retrospectively.

Results: A total of 377 (59.2 ± 8.3 years old; female/male: 255/122) patients were introduced to PD therapy as “PD First.” Patients who were very elderly, with cardiovascular problems, senile dementia, and neoplasms that were forced to select PD were excluded. One hundred and sixty patients started HD as complementary dialysis therapy and then continued with PD + HD in combination until transfer to HD, transplantation or home HD. Among them, 10 patients received transplants and 22 patients were transferred to home HD. One hundred and twenty eight patients were switched from PD to HD for various reasons. Overall patients’ survival after 5 and 10 years was 84.8% and 55.8%, respectively.

Conclusion: Our data shows a diversity of modalities for selection after discontinuing PD therapy alone, as well as providing a rationale to support PD as the initial renal replacement modality for end-stage renal disease patients.

Patients and Methods

This was a retrospective observational cohort study. Incident PD patients aged 18 years or older at Saitama Medical University Hospital between April 1997 and December 2010 were screened for study eligibility. All patients were regularly monitored at our center and had started their PD therapy at least 6 months before enrollment.

A total of 377 (59.2 ± 8.3 years old; female/male: 255/122) patients were introduced to PD therapy as “PD First.” All patients were followed till death or to the end of the study on December 31, 2010. Demographic data, the cause of end-stage renal disease, and relevant biochemical data will be validated.

We have utilized the sequence of PD first, PD and HD in combination, and home HD (HHHD) and renal transplantation at the Kidney Disease Center, Saitama Medical University Hospital. The serial data obtained from these patients are expected to provide some clues for selection and alternation of dialysis modalities. The aim of this study was to examine the diversity of RRT when PD therapy was unable to maintain adequate solute and/or fluid removal. If this diversity prolonged patients’ survival, proposal of “PD” first as an initial RRT will be validated.
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data were collected. Transplantation and frequent HD were censored observations. The causes of death were categorized as cardiovascular, malignancy, and others. Patients on PD were permanently transferred to HD due to inadequate dialysis, peritonitis, ultrafiltration failure, exit-site infection, tunnel infection, and mechanical or operational problems.

Baseline data were collected regarding patients’ demographic characteristics, laboratory values and duration on HD.

Informed consent was obtained at the start of PD therapy. This study was performed in accordance with the principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and was conducted in the Kidney Disease Center in Saitama Medical University Hospital, Saitama, Japan.

Patient monitoring

Patients were followed every month during the study period. At each clinic visit, serum creatinine, electrolyte concentrations, complete blood count, and other serum chemistries (uric acid, glucose, and liver enzymes) were measured. Indices of the adequacy of dialysis, including weekly Ccr, were calculated using the Adequest computer program, version 2.0 (Baxter Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan) for Windows. Chest radiographs were obtained regularly, and cardiothoracic index was calculated according to established methods.

During the study, target home blood pressure (BP) was 130/80 mmHg or lower and home BP measurements were encouraged. The selection of an antihypertensive agent depended on the physicians’ preference. Subjects were treated with recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) as necessary and their hemoglobin levels were maintained between 10 to 11 g/dL. Subjects were given oral iron supplementation if they were diagnosed with iron deficiency.

Subjects with parathyroid hormone levels greater than 500 pg/ml were treated with 1,25(OH)2D3 and CaCO3 supplements, while patients with levels lower than 70 pg/ml were treated with CaCO3 to reduce the degree of hypophosphatemia. Doses were adjusted based on serum levels of calcium and phosphate. Lipid lowering drugs, primarily statin derivatives, were administered if serum cholesterol levels exceeded 240 mg/dl.

Regular treatment modality in the kidney center in saitama medical university

More than 60% of patients were treated with a standard PD regimen that consisted of three to four daily exchanges of 1.5 or 2 liters of dialysate, while other patients used one to two daily exchanges of dialysate. The strength of the bags was individualized to maintain the desired weight. Dwell times were also individualized to maximize overall ultrafiltration volumes. Mean daily dietary intake was recorded from individual 24-hour food records during a three-day period at the start of the study. All subjects consumed between 0.8 and 1.0 g of protein/kg/day and their energy intake exceeded 25 kcal/kg/day and salt intake was restricted to less than 9 g daily throughout the study.

Combination of PD and HD

The criteria for introduction of HD as in combination therapy was determined as less than creatinine clearance of 45 L/week calculated by Adequest or as fluid overload. A 4 hr HD was added once a week after 6 consecutive days of PD. On the morning of HD, the PD dialysate was drained before HD. HD was carried out using a bicarbonate dialysate and a dialyzer with a polysulfone dialysis membrane.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analyses used the Student’s t-test for unpaired samples and the Mann–Whitney test for comparison of means. Survival times were estimated from Kaplan-Meier curves. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. The analyses were performed using JMP software, version 9 (JMP, A Business Unit of SAS, NC USA).

Results

Clinical characteristics at the start of CAPD

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics at the start of PD for all patients. A total of 377 were analyzed in our study with an average age of 59.2 years (male, 67.6%). Chronic glomerulonephritis, diabetes mellitus and hypertension accounted for the three most common causes of ESRD. Laboratory data including serum albumin, uric acid, creatinine, and hemoglobin are shown in Table 1.

Outcome

The mean follow-up period was 12.3 ± 3.9 years (range 3-16 years). As shown in Figure 1, patients who selected PD as the initial RRT, were diverted from various modalities of dialysis therapy.

In the Table 2, the following data is shown.

Baseline characteristics of patients utilized PD and HD in combination

The baseline characteristics of patients who utilized PD and HD in combination are shown. A total of 160 were analyzed in our study with an average age of 52.4 years (male, 67.5%). Chronic glomerulonephritis,
of starting with PD, followed by the combination of PD + HD, and then finally with HHD or renal transplantation when required.

Baseline characteristics of patients utilized PD and HD in combination transferred to HD

The baseline characteristics of patients who utilized PD and HD in combination and were ultimately transferred to HD are shown. A total of 71 were analyzed in our study with an average age of 48.3 years (male, 74.2%). Chronic glomerulonephritis and diabetes mellitus accounted for the two most common causes of ESRD. Duration of PD including combination therapy was 3.7 years.

Baseline characteristics of patients on PD were directly transferred to HD

The baseline characteristics of patients on PD who were directly transferred to HD are shown. A total of 57 were analyzed in our study with an average age of 64.1 years (male, 76.9%). Chronic glomerulonephritis and diabetes mellitus accounted for the two most common causes of ESRD. Duration of PD was 4.2 years.

Baseline characteristics of patients on PD were transferred to HHD or renal transplantation

The baseline characteristics of patients on PD who were transferred to HHD or renal transplantation are shown. A total of 22 patients were transferred to HHD and 10 received renal transplants. Ages of these patients were 48.1 and 45.7 years old, respectively. Duration of PD was 6.8 and 8.4 years respectively. Chronic glomerulonephritis accounted for the most common causes of ESRD in both group.

Patient survival

A Kaplan-Meier curve of patients’ survival is shown in Figure 2. Cumulative proportional patient survival at 3, 5 and 10 years was 98.1%, 84.8% and 55.8%.

Discussion

The clinical results from our observations suggest that the sequence of starting with PD, followed by the combination of PD + HD, and finally with HHD or renal transplantation is a useful and successful sequence of treating a subset of patients with ESRD. This approach of starting PD in patients that choose to do so, adding HD if indicated, and eventually switching to HHD or renal transplantation when combination therapy is no longer indicated, would fit well with the concept of case integration. Van Biesen et al. [15] showed that patients who were started on PD and then transferred to HD in an integrated approach had better outcomes than patients who were treated with HD alone.

Previously, we reported that the mean age of patients who selected “PD first” were younger compared with that of patients on HD [16]. In the present study, there were no differences in mean age of patients who needed HD as complementary dialysis modality and those patients who started PD as “PD first”, indicating that a larger portion of PD patients needed HD as complementary dialysis modality as stated elsewhere [12]. Besides, in spite of treatment with PD and HD in combination, approximately 45% of patients left from PD therapy. This was probably due to younger and diabetic patients, because young subjects needed more dialysis and diabetic patients had various complications.

A PD-first recent analysis attempted to look at this model of integrated dialysis care by performing a retrospective analysis of patients who started on PD and then switched to HD, as compared with those patients who spent their entire ESRD course on HD policy may also have particular advantages for those likely to receive a kidney transplant within 2-3 years of initially dialysis. There is a strong likelihood that such patients will maintain RRT with its associated advantages until the transplant is performed. Most importantly, patients themselves should be intimately involved in the decision-making throughout their treatment period [17]. Our goal as nephrologists is to prolong life while maintaining QOL. In achieving this, patient preference is an important consideration. All subjects acknowledged that their quality of life had improved after they began combination therapy. Unfortunately, their quality of life before and after the study started was not evaluated. This subjective assessment of improved quality of life induces increases food...

Table 2: Characteristics of patients followed.

|                          | HD and PD | PD and HD to HD | Directly to HD | HHD | Transplantation |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-----------------|
| Total number             | 160       | 71              | 57             | 10  | 22              |
| Sex ratio (M/F)          | 108/52    | 52/19           | 41/16          | (2/8)| (18/4)         |
| Age (years)              | 52.4 ± 9.5| 48.3 ± 7.2      | 65.1 ± 8.9     | 47.5 ± 9.2 | 46.8 ± 11.5   |
| Underlying diseases      |           |                 |                |     |                 |
| Glomerulonephritis       | 87        | 29              | 30             | 7   | 19              |
| Diabetes Mellitus        | 51        | 30              | 15             | 1   | 0               |
| Hypertension             | 15        | 7               | 3              | 1   | 2               |
| Others and Unknown       | 7         | 5               | 9              | 0   | 1               |
| Duration of PD (years)   | 3.3 ± 1.9 | 3.7 ± 2.1       | 4.2 ± 2.9      | 6.8 ± 2.5 | 8.4 ± 1.1     |

PD: peritoneal dialysis; HD: hemodialysis; HHD: home hemodialysis; M: male; F: female

The clinical results from our observations suggest that the sequence of starting with PD, followed by the combination of PD + HD, and finally with HHD or renal transplantation is a useful and successful sequence of treating a subset of patients with ESRD. This approach of starting PD in patients that choose to do so, adding HD if indicated, and eventually switching to HHD or renal transplantation when combination therapy is no longer indicated, would fit well with the concept of case integration. Van Biesen et al. [15] showed that patients who were started on PD and then transferred to HD in an integrated approach had better outcomes than patients who were treated with HD alone.
intake and may have contributed to the increase in serum albumin.

Patient survival of PD patients have been reported from Asian countries are greater than those in other countries. For example, in Japan, patient survival rates were 67.4% at 5 years and 48.6% at 10 years [18]. In Korea, 5- and 10- year patient survival rates were reported 69.8% and 51.8% respectively [19]. In our study, patients who selected as an initial therapy for RRT survived longer than those reported previously. The previous observational data discussing the superiority of survival rate between HD and PD without HD complementation failed to conclusion, because of complicated obstacles such as baseline data [2]. From our present study combining with the previous data, “PD” first might be one of the selections for RRT at least in Asian countries.

Our study is limited by its observational nature. We were unable to determine any absolute contraindications to home dialysis that were otherwise unknown. We also did not study the perception and availability of caregivers. Furthermore, this study was performed in a center that favored a "home dialysis first" approach. Our home dialysis prevalence statistics showing PD of 25% and HHD of 6% is relatively higher than current Japanese statistics [1].

Finally, this study is not a multicenter study, and a center-specific effect may exist. Furthermore, we are not suggesting that all patients with ESRD should be started on PD rather than HD. There are a significant number of patients for whom PD is not suitable for medical reasons. There are others who, for reasons of their own, are simply not willing to take on a home-based modality. Moreover, in this study, the causes of withdrawal from PD therapy were not analyzed. Our results will help to understand the origin of patients choosing a dialysis modality and reinforces the complementary nature of these dialysis modalities.

In conclusion, the clinical results from our observations suggest that PD first, followed by combined mode therapy, is a useful and successful sequence of treating a subset of patients with ESRD.
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