Tum1 is involved in the metabolism of sterol esters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** The only hitherto known biological role of yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Tum1 protein is in the tRNA thiolation pathway. The mammalian homologue of the yeast *TUM1* gene, the thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (a.k.a. rhodanese) *Tst*, has been proposed as an obesity-resistance and antidiabetic gene. To assess the role of Tum1 in cell metabolism and the putative functional connection between lipid metabolism and tRNA modification, we analysed evolutionary conservation of the rhodanese protein superfamily, investigated the role of Tum1 in lipid metabolism, and examined the phenotype of yeast strains expressing the mouse homologue of Tum1, TST.

**Results:** We analysed evolutionary relationships in the rhodanese superfamily and established that its members are widespread in bacteria, archaea and in all major eukaryotic groups. We found that the amount of sterol esters was significantly higher in the deletion strain *tum1Δ* than in the wild-type strain. Expression of the mouse TST protein in the deletion strain did not rescue this phenotype. Moreover, although Tum1 deficiency in the thiolation pathway was complemented by re-introducing *TUM1*, it was not complemented by the introduction of the mouse homologue *Tst*. We further showed that the tRNA thiolation pathway is not involved in the regulation of sterol ester content in *S. cerevisiae*, as overexpression of the tε1LUC, tK1UUD and tQ1UUQ tRNAs did not rescue the lipid phenotype in the *tum1Δ* deletion strain, and, additionally, deletion of the key gene for the tRNA thiolation pathway, *UBA4*, did not affect sterol ester content.

**Conclusions:** The rhodanese superfamily of proteins is widespread in all organisms, and yeast *TUM1* is a bona fide orthologue of mammalian *TST* thiosulfate sulfurtransferase gene. However, the mouse TST protein cannot functionally replace yeast Tum1 protein, neither in its lipid metabolism-related function, nor in the tRNA thiolation pathway. We show here that Tum1 protein is involved in lipid metabolism by decreasing the sterol ester content in yeast cells, and that this function of Tum1 is not exerted through the tRNA thiolation pathway, but through another, currently unknown pathway.
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**Background**

Lipids are important dynamic molecules that play vital roles, which extend beyond their structural roles in cellular membranes [1–4]. Lipid metabolism has lately gained much attention in particular due to its role in health and disease, especially in the emerging pandemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes [5, 6]. Recently, mouse thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (TST) protein was proposed to have obesity-resistance and anti-diabetes effects in mammals [7]. The mechanism of action causing the decreased accumulation of storage lipids was proposed to be increased expression and activity of the protein, resulting in augmented mitochondrial function and increased degradation of reactive oxygen species and sulfide.

* TUM1 (*YOR251c*) is a unique yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ortholog of the human *TST* gene [8]. Tum1 and TST/MPST (mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase) proteins belong to the rhodanese/cell cycle control phosphatase protein superfamily. The rhodanese superfamily contains the fold with the same name and the proteins from this superfamily span a wide range of molecular
functions. In this study we examined the molecular role of the yeast Tum1 protein which has been previously described only as a protein with a non-essential function in the tRNA wobble uridine modification pathway [9, 10]. This pathway consists also of Uba4, Ncs2, Ncs6 and Nsf1 proteins and is required for the thiolation of three tRNA molecules, specific for glutamine (tQ UUC), lysine (tK UUU), and glutamic acid (tE UUC) tRNAs, which contain 2-thioridine derive as the wobble nucleoside [11]. To date, 156 genes have been annotated to lipid metabolism in S. cerevisiae [12] and additional proteins are still being described as involved in this complex process [4]. However, no functional connection between tRNA modification and lipid metabolism has been established yet, opening a question of structural and functional conservation of the rhodanese superfamily members in general, and Tum1 and TST in particular. We therefore analysed evolutionary conservation of the rhodanese protein superfamily, investigated the role of Tum1 in lipid metabolism, and examined the putative functional connection between lipid metabolism and tRNA modification processes.

Methods

Sequence data mining

All database searches were performed online. The databases analysed were the prokaryotic and eukaryotic protein, transcriptome and genome databases at the National Center for Biotechnology Information [13]. To detect all available representatives of the rhodanese superfamily, database searches were performed iteratively. Comparisons were performed using the TBLASTN program [14], with the E-value cutoff set to $10^{-5}$ and default settings for other parameters. Diverse prokaryotic and eukaryotic representatives of the rhodanese superfamily [15, 16] have been used as queries. The Translate program from ExpaSy [17] was used to translate DNA sequences.

Phylogenetic analysis

Eukaryotic and prokaryotic representatives of the rhodanese superfamily [15, 16] were included in the analyses. Diverse opisthokont representatives of the rhodanese superfamily were also included in the separate analysis. The rhodanese domain in the newly discovered representatives of the rhodanese superfamily was identified by using the SMART [18], InterPro [19] and Pfam [20] domain databases. The protein sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega [21]. We used IQ Tree web server [22] to select the best maximum likelihood model of sequence evolution for the data from the rhodanese superfamily. The best fit model of amino acid substitution for our data set was determined as LG + G4 according to BIC (Bayesian information criterion). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using the maximum likelihood method [23] and the neighbor-joining method [24]. The reliability of the resulting topologies was tested by the bootstrap method. Two archaeal rhodanese superfamily representatives were used as the outgroup in the global rhodanese phylogeny, while the Arabidopsis TST1 repeat domain of rhodanese protein was used to root the Opisthokonta rhodanese tree. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with IQ Tree web server [22].

Synteny analysis of the rhodanese superfamily in vertebrates

For synteny analyses, the chromosomal locations, lengths, and the directionality of the neighbouring genes upstream and downstream of Tst and Mpst genes were extracted from Ensembl [25] and Entrez [26] genome databases. Additional synteny comparisons were conducted using Genomicus [27].

Strains and media

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild-type (WT) strain BY4741 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ ura3Δ0) and the isogenic uba4Δ deletion strain were obtained from the EUROSCARF strain collection. The tum1Δ deletion strain was constructed by replacing the TUM1 open reading frame (ORF) with the KanMX resistance cassette. A strain with re-inserted TUM1 was used as the control strain. The ORF of the Tst gene was PCR-amplified from the appropriate mouse (Mus musculus) cDNA clone and introduced into the TUM1 locus, under the control of the endogenous yeast promoter.

Strains were grown in rich medium YPD (2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% glucose) or in synthetic complete medium lacking uracil (SC-Ura). YPD plates containing 0.5 mg/ml geneticin (G418, Formedium) or 0.1 mg/ml nourseothricin (clonNAT, Werner) were used to select for geneticin or nourseothricin resistance.

Plasmid construction

DNA manipulations, plasmid preparations and bacterial transformations were performed according to standard methods. For expression of TUM1 or Tst under control of the ADH1 promoter, the respective ORFs were inserted into the pUG35 plasmid backbone [28] immediately after the ADH1 promoter. Plasmids were named according to inserts: [empty] for backbone only, [TUM1] for the plasmid expressing yeast TUM1 and [Tst] for the plasmid expressing mouse Tst.

Plasmids pABY1653 (tE UUC-tK UUU-tQ UUC) and pABY525 (control), described in [29], were kindly provided by Prof. Anders Byström, Umeå University, Sweden.

Isolation and purification of tRNA

Yeast strains were grown in 100 ml of YPD or SC-Ura medium and harvested during exponential phase of
growth (OD$_{600}$ = 0.5–0.6). Total RNA was isolated using hot acid phenol chloroform extraction. We separated tRNA molecules from other types of RNA molecules as described [30]. The isolated tRNA molecules were further purified by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis containing 10 μg/ml N-acryloylaminophenylmercuric chloride (APM). The presence of thiouridine in tRNAs was determined by the decrease of electrophoretic mobility on polyacrylamide gel.

**Isolation of proteins, SDS-PAGE and western blot**
Yeast strains were grown in 20 ml of SC-Ura medium and harvested during exponential growth phase (OD$_{600}$ = 0.5–0.6). Proteins were isolated as described [31]. Mouse liver tissue whole-cell homogenates were made with RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Na-Deoxycholate in PBS) with added protease inhibitors and used directly for western blot analysis. Yeast and mouse protein mixtures were separated in duplicates on a 12.5% SDS gel with a 4% stacking gel at 30 mA and 300 V. The proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, which was afterwards blocked with 5% low fat milk in Tris buffered saline TBS, 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h, and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with the anti-TST primary antibody (EPR1164G(B), RabMAb, 1:1000). After washing with TBST, the membrane was incubated with secondary antibodies in 5% blocking buffer (Goat Anti-rabbit pod, 111–035-003, Jackson Immunoresearch, 1:10,000), and scanned.

**Lipid analysis**
Approximately 2 × 10$^9$ cells were harvested from exponentially growing cultures (SC medium) and subjected to glass bead disruption in chloroform:methanol 2:1 in a test tube shaker (Heidolph Multi Reax). Lipids were quantitatively extracted with chloroform:methanol 2:1 and analysed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) as described in [32]. For dry weight determination, the cultures were filtrated through 0.45 μm cellulose nitrate filters (Sartorius Stedim) and dried overnight at 95 °C.

**Statistical analysis**
Data were tested for normality of distribution by Shapiro-Wilk test. All pair-wise multiple comparisons were tested using the Holm-Sidak method after one-way analysis of variance. A $p$-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data are expressed as average ± standard deviation. SigmaPlot Software (Systat Software Inc) and Excel (Microsoft Office) were used for graphical representation and statistical analysis.

**Results**

**Evolutionary relationships in the rhodanese superfamily**
To determine evolutionary relationships in the rhodanese superfamily, of which $TUM1$ and $Tst$ are members, the data available in sequence databases were analysed to resolve the origin and evolutionary history of the superfamily members. The phyletic distribution pattern of the rhodanese superfamily was first analysed. According to global phylogenetic analysis, based on our collection of the representatives, the rhodanese superfamily is widespread in bacteria, archaea and in all major euakryotic groups (Fig. 1a). Global phylogenetic analysis failed to obtain any evidence for orthologous groups within the rhodanese superfamily (Fig. 1a) and rhodanese representatives from major euakryotic supergroups mostly did not group in the supergroup manner. The prokaryotic sequences were scattered among the eukaryotic representatives and did not form a monophyletic clade. Moreover, the levels of sequence identity between some prokaryotic and eukaryotic rhodanese representatives reached up to 50% at the amino acid level. The neighbor-joining method with uncorrected distances was found to produce a similar resolution in the rhodanese superfamily as the more complex maximum likelihood method (data not shown).

In the metazoan and fungi (Opisthokonta) tree the representatives of the rhodanese superfamily are relatively well separated (Fig. 1b). Human TST and MPST show 59% amino acid identity, while the human TST compared to yeast Tum1 shows 32% amino acid identity, and human MPST compared to yeast Tum1 shows 37% amino acid identity (Fig. 2).

**Conserved synteny of the rhodanese superfamily in vertebrates**
Ancestral states of the $Tst$ and $Mpst$ chromosomal positions were reconstructed from comparisons of syntenic positions between the diverse vertebrate lineages Homo, Mus, Gallus, Anolis, Amniota, Silurana and Latimeria. Specifically, the identity and relative genomic position of the genes that map to genomic regions immediately adjacent to either side (5′ vs. 3′) of all vertebrate rhodanese superfamily genes were recorded using the Ensembl and Entrez genome databases as well as the Genomecs database. Analysis of conserved synteny demonstrated that the gene duplication of rhodanese gene superfamily occurred in the ancestor of land vertebrates (∼ 359 Mya), producing $Tst$ and $Mpst$ genes. $Tst$ and $Mpst$ are duplicated genes, located in the tail to tail orientation (Fig. 3).

**Effect of Tum1 on the lipid content in S. cerevisiae**
Next, we investigated the involvement of $TUM1$ in lipid metabolism of $S. cerevisiae$. Three different groups of lipids
were measured, by TLC: ergosterol (ERG), triacylglycerols (TAG) and sterol esters (SE). The lipid content was first compared in the WT and the tum1Δ deletion strains of *S. cerevisiae*. The amount of SE was 60% higher in the deletion than in the WT strain (Fig. 4a). In contrast, the TAG content did not significantly \((p > 0.05)\) differ between the cells of these two strains, and ERG levels were also comparable (Fig. 4a).
Replacement and functional complementation of yeast TUM1 gene by its mouse homologue Tst

To address the question whether the mammalian orthologue can complement the role of TUM1, we expressed the mouse Mus musculus TST protein in the tum1Δ strain, either from a plasmid under the control of a strong promoter (tum1Δ[Tst] strain) or integrated in the chromosome under the control of the TUM1 endogenous promoter (tum1Δ::Tst strain). Western blot analysis was used to confirm the presence of the mouse TST protein in the tum1Δ strain transformed with a plasmid containing mouse Tst gene, showing the expected band at 33 kDa (Fig. 4b).

Comparison of the lipid content of the tum1Δ::TUM1 and tum1Δ::Tst strains showed that the SE content of the cells expressing the protein encoded by the mammalian orthologue was significantly (p < 0.05) different from that in the strains expressing TUM1, but no different than in the tum1Δ deletion strain (Fig. 4a). Re-insertion of TUM1, on the other hand, resulted in the same lipid content as in the WT strain.

Effect of Tum1 and Tst on tRNA thiolation status in S. cerevisiae

To further investigate functional conservation of TUM1 and Tst genes, we focused on the only hitherto known biological process in which Tum1 is involved, i.e. the pathway for 2-thiolation of the wobble uridine base of the specific tRNA molecules, tE UUC, tK UUU and tQ UUG. We assessed the tRNA thiolation status in the WT strain, the uba4Δ strain (positive control), the WT strain transformed with the empty plasmid (WT[empty]), the tum1Δ deletion strain (tum1Δ[empty]), and in the tum1Δ deletion strains transformed with a plasmid containing yeast TUM1 (tum1Δ[TUM1]) or mouse Tst (tum1Δ[Tst]) genes. Loss of the slower-migrating modified-tRNA band was partial in the tum1Δ[empty] strain and complete in the uba4Δ strain (Fig. 5). The level of thiolation of the tRNAs in the tum1Δ[TUM1] strain was the same as in the WT. On the other hand, the level of thiolation in the tum1Δ[Tst] strain was indistinguishable from the tum1Δ[empty] strain (Fig. 5).
Cross-talk between the tRNA thiolation pathway and lipid content in *S. cerevisiae*

Finally, we investigated the putative connection between storage lipid content and the tRNA thiolation in *S. cerevisiae*. The measurement of the storage lipid content in the *uba4Δ* strain showed that the SE content did not differ from the WT strain (data not shown). To address this putative cross-talk from a different angle, we inserted into the *tum1Δ* deletion strain the pABY1653 plasmid encoding tE$^{UUC}$, tK$^{UUU}$ and tQ$^{UGG}$ tRNAs, which has been shown to rescue the phenotype caused by the deletion of the genes involved in the tRNA thiolation pathway [10]. The amount of SE, however, did not differ from the *tum1Δ* deletion strain or from the same strain transformed with an empty (control) plasmid. Additionally, we determined that the SE content in the *uba4Δ* deletion strain overexpressing the three tRNAs molecules was the same as in the *uba4Δ* deletion control strain.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to determine evolutionary relationships in the rhodanese superfamily and to investigate the molecular function of one superfamily member, Tum1 protein, encoded by the yeast homologue of the mammalian *Tst* gene. To establish evolutionary relationships between *TUM1*, *Tst*, and its paralogue *Mpst*, the analysis was done both at the global level, including genomes from bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes, and at the level of animals and fungi (*Opisthokonta*). The protein sequences in the rhodanese superfamily are challenging for a phylogenetic analysis, as they are divergent and produce low bootstrap values in the phylogenetic trees. We found that the reconstruction of evolutionary relationships in the rhodanese superfamily is relatively simple on the short evolutionary timescale (e.g., in *Opisthokonta*), but it becomes more difficult on the larger evolutionary timescale. In the reconstruction of the evolutionary history in the rhodanese superfamily it was helpful that prokaryotes and eukaryotes contain only a single lineage. The analysis demonstrated that rhodanese superfamily genes are widespread in bacteria, archaea and in all major eukaryotic groups. The prokaryotic sequences do not form a monophyletic clade, but are instead scattered among the eukaryotic representatives, indicating the possibility of horizontal gene transfer, which is further supported by relatively high levels of sequence identity between some prokaryotic and eukaryotic rhodanese representatives.

Tum1 and TST/MPST proteins are representatives of the multidomain sulfurtransferase (rhodanese) superfamily [33]. According to this database, yeast *S. cerevisiae* possesses 11 proteins that belong to the rhodanese superfamily. These proteins belong to three protein families, to
the “multidomain sulfurtransferase (rhodanese)” (Tum1, Rdl1, Rdl2 and Ubp7), to the “cell cycle control phosphatase, catalytic domain” (Doa4, Ptp3, Ubp5, Ych1, Mih1 and Arr2) and to the “single domain sulfurtransferase” (Uba4). Tum1 and TST possess the same domain organization of two duplicated TST repeat domains, while the other yeast representatives of the multidomain sulfurtransferase (rhodanese) superfamily – Rdl1, Rdl2 and Ubp7 – are multidomain proteins with the fused rhodanese domain. In contrast to yeast, humans contain a much larger number of proteins that belong to the rhodanese superfamily, i.e. 71 proteins in total according to the Superfamily database [33].

Synteny analyses can be a powerful tool for establishing gene homology relationships and for providing clues about the mechanisms of origins of new genes, as the order and orientation of genes tend to remain the same over relatively long evolutionary time. We therefore reconstructed the ancestral state of the chromosomal positions of the rhodanese superfamily genes. Analysis of conserved syntenies showed clearly that the gene duplication of the rhodanese superfamily occurred ~359 My ago in the ancestor of land vertebrates, producing Tst and Mpst genes.

Having established a direct orthologous relation between TUM1 and Tst genes, we addressed the question of their functional similarity. We found that the amount of SE, but not of TAG or ERG, was significantly higher in the strain lacking TUM1. The increased levels of SE in the mutant strain indicate higher activity of the ergosterol pathway, resulting in storage of excess ERG as SE. Namely, the main role of SE is assumed to be storage of excess ERG, in order to keep the concentration of ERG in the membrane constant. According to this interpretation, Tum1 inhibits the ergosterol biosynthetic pathway. Another explanation is also possible, however: while the difference in the ERG content between the WT strain and the strain lacking TUM1 is not significant, our data indicate that it may be slightly lower in the mutant, meaning that the total ergosterol levels (i.e. ERG + ergosterol in SE) do not differ so considerably between the strains. The difference in distribution of ERG could be a consequence of difference in the cell size, resulting in different membrane surface for the same biomass. Indeed, we observed that cells of the tum1Δ strain are approximately 55% (p < 0.01) larger than those of the WT strain, in accordance with the latter interpretation. Still, such redistribution of ERG between membranes and as SE in lipid droplets has not been described for other strains which differ in cell size from the WT, and, based on our data, it can only be assumed that Tum1 has a direct effect on the ergosterol pathway. These results thus indicate that Tum1 is indeed involved in lipid metabolism, specifically in the control of the squalene and/or ergosterol pathway.

To determine whether TUM1 and Tst genes remained functionally complementary over the 1.2 billion to 1.5 billion years of evolution [34], we replaced the yeast nonessential TUM1 gene with the mouse Tst, and assayed for the complementation of altered SE content. However, mouse TST could not complement the function of yeast Tum1 protein in its lipid metabolism-related function. Focusing on the pathway for 2-thiolation of the wobble uridine base of the specific tRNA molecules, which hitherto is the only known biological process in which Tum1 is involved, a similar result was obtained. Tum1 is not absolutely required for the biosynthesis of 2-thiouridine, contrasting its role to that of Uba4, but its activity is necessary for wild-type levels of 2-thiouridine [9–11]. On the other hand, mouse TST protein has never been implicated in the tRNA thiolation pathway. The level of tRNA thiolation was only partially decreased in the tum1Δ deletion strain, whereas it was completely absent in the uba4Δ strain, in agreement with previous findings [9, 10]. Tum1 deficiency in the thiolation pathway was, however, not complemented by the introduction of the mouse homologue Tst. We thus demonstrated that the mouse TST protein cannot functionally replace Tum1 deficiency in the tRNA thiolation pathway in yeast, too. It should be noted, however, that even when orthologues perform similar functions in different organisms, it may not be possible to replace one for the other, particularly if the organisms are as widely diverged as mouse and yeast [35]. This result therefore cannot be extended to imply the molecular function of Tst in mammals.

Are the roles of Tum1 in lipid metabolism and in tRNA modification directly linked? We found no evidence of the effect of deletion of UBA4 on lipid content. Since Uba4 is downstream from Tum1 in the tRNA thiolation pathway [9, 10], this strongly indicates that tRNA thiolation and storage lipid content pathways are not connected. In addition, over-expression of tRNAs that can rescue the phenotype caused by the deletion of the genes involved in the tRNA thiolation pathway, did not rescue or suppress the lipid phenotype of the tum1Δ deletion strain, nor did it alter the lipid content in the uba4Δ deletion strain. These results strongly support the conclusion that the tRNA thiolation pathway is not involved in the regulation of SE content in S. cerevisiae. Notably, the existence of a function for Tum1 in an additional, yet currently unknown pathway has been proposed previously [10].

Conclusion

The aim of functional genomics has been to determine the function of all the genes comprising a given genome. As several well-studied examples have shown, the property of executing more than one molecular function is far from
exceptional. In light of this, determining all the functions for a given gene/protein will most likely be one of the next challenges in functional genomics. The importance of this challenge is further exacerbated when the function of orthologous genes is supported or predicted based on the known function of only one from the pair of the orthologues. Moreover, interactions between cellular pathways or processes are sometimes inferred from common annotated genes/proteins. Such interactions can be mistaken when the gene/protein in question exerts several disparate functions. A case in point is the molecular function of the yeast gene TUM1, an orthologue of the mammalian gene Tst that was proposed as a candidate obesity-resistance gene [7]: we show here that TUM1 and Tst are direct orthologues, yet the mammalian orthologue cannot replace the yeast gene. We also assigned to yeast Tum1 a new function in lipid metabolism, demonstrated by increased SE content in the gene’s deletion mutant strain, which is most likely exerted through the regulation of the squalene and/or ergosterol pathway. We demonstrate that while the molecular mechanism for this effect is not known, it is not applied through the tRNA modification pathway, the previously described process to which TUM1 has been annotated. While the evolutionary relationships were clearly established here, the functional relations between yeast TUM1 and mammalian Tst will need to be resolved further.
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