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Abstract. The inhibitor of ethylene perception, 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP), is the basis of a new technology that is increasingly being used to improve storage potential and maintain quality of fruit and vegetables. 1-MCP is registered for use on a number of crops, including apple, apricot, avocado, banana, broccoli, kiwifruit, pear, mango, melon, peach, nectarine, persimmon, plum, and tomato. The registered crop is often specific to country. The effects of 1-MCP on quality of these crops, as well as its effects on physiological disorders and pathological diseases, are reviewed. Most available literature on 1-MCP has focused on laboratory-based trials and little information exists about its effects on product quality at the commercial level. The apple is the most significant exception, being the first crop for which 1-MCP was used commercially and extensively around the world. The apple is a crop for which limited ripening after harvest is desirable. For many other fruit, successful commercialization of 1-MCP will require an appropriate balance between 1-MCP concentrations and exposure periods that will delay but not inhibit ripening. The effects of preharvest factors, cultivar, maturity, and postharvest practices are complex and will impact commercial success of 1-MCP-based technology. For leafy or nonfruit vegetables, the advantages of 1-MCP may only be apparent under abusive conditions such as high temperatures and exogenous ethylene exposure. Finally, commercial utilization of 1-MCP-based technology will be a function of the cost of its application relative to its benefits for each product.

The recent registration of 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) to inhibit ethylene perception in horticultural products has resulted in an exciting era for postharvest scientists. 1-MCP is being used not only as a tool to increase understanding of the involvement of ethylene in ripening and senescence processes, but also as the basis of a new technology for horticultural industries. However, although control of ethylene production and action in storage and handling is a major emphasis in storage and transport of fruit, vegetables, and ornamental products (Saltveit, 1999; Watkins, 2002), commercialization of 1-MCP is not trivial. The research available so far indicates that just like any traditional technology, such as low temperature and controlled atmosphere (CA) storage (Watkins and Ekman, 2005), effects of 1-MCP on product quality can be variable (Blankenship and Dole, 2003; Watkins, 2006). In addition to the need to understand how to apply the chemical in such a way that desirable effects on product quality are obtained, identification of how the technology can be incorporated into present handling systems to obtain these benefits is of equal importance.

THE CONTEXT—A NEW ERA FOR POSTHARVEST RESEARCH

Extensive literature about the effects of 1-MCP on fruit, vegetables, and ornamental products exists, and by 2007, results for over 50 fruit and vegetables, both whole and fresh-cut, as well as ornamental products, were available (Watkins and Miller, 2006). Despite this literature, however, relatively little information concerning commercial aspects of its use is available. The exception to this, at least partly, is the apple, for which 1-MCP-based technology is available throughout the world. The reasons for limited public information on commercial aspects of 1-MCP use result from at least two factors:

1. 1-MCP is still a new product for edible horticultural crops, and registration for its commercial use is still ongoing in several countries. Commercial use of 1-MCP-based technologies for apple was launched as recently as 2002 in Chile and Argentina and 2003 in New Zealand, South Africa, and the United States, and commercial testing of 1-MCP for many other horticultural products is ongoing. Thus, issues that identify strengths and weaknesses of the technology continue to be under investigation. Results on commercialization of other products are likely to be available in the future.

2. 1-MCP is being used on 16 horticultural products, but much commercially relevant research on its effects is proprietary. For example, research using 1-MCP to increase potential for shipping longer distances or increasing market share of various fruit is being undertaken around the world under confidentiality agreements. 1-MCP commercialization represents a model for development of future technologies that represents a deviation from the classic model of “proof of concept” research by universities and research institutes followed by prolonged uptake by horticultural industries. 1-MCP research is patent-holder-driven by a company with extensive in-house research capacity that is strategically investing in research internationally with both public and private research entities. In some respects, this situation parallels the situation with fresh-cut industries in which protection of proprietary information and timeliness of obtaining results is critical, and public research is used only as required to investigate longer-term problems.

A related and interesting factor about 1-MCP-based technology is that commercial application of 1-MCP, at least for apples in the United States, is made only by AgroFresh-related companies. Storage operators who have traditionally applied postharvest treatments themselves now arrange for the applications to be made on their behalf.

An indication of the perceived priorities for commercialization may lie with the registration profiles for horticultural products in various countries (Table 1). This list reflects commodities of specific interest to individual countries as well as products in which perceived benefits are recognized. There is not necessarily published information available in the public domain that supports registration of each product, and given the limited information about commercial trials with 1-MCP, it is necessary to speculate about the potential for 1-MCP based on the published literature. In reviewing this literature, it is important to recognize that scientific publications tend by their nature to accentuate negative responses without necessarily providing a commercial context for the results. Storage experiments, for example, often involve “storing to exhaustion,” that is, storing produce for lengths beyond commercial viability to accentuate differences among treatments. Careless interpretation of this literature could in some cases provide misleading inferences about the commercialization of 1-MCP for some crops.
THE EFFECTS OF 1-METHYLCYCLOPROPENE ON SELECTED CROPS

Most research has been carried out on apple [Malus syloestrus (L.) Mill. var. domestica (Borkh.) Masf.], avocado (Persea americana Mill.), banana (Musa sp., AAA group, Cavendish subgroup), plum (Prunus domestica L. and Prunus salicina L.), peach and nectarine (Prunus persica L.), pear (Pyrus communis L.), and tomato (Solanum lacculentum Mill) (Watkins, 2006; Watkins and Miller, 2006). Of these fruit, however, apple appears the most straightforward for 1-MCP-based technology, partly because of its unique ripening characteristics. Fruit can be divided into two ripening types based on texture changes after harvest (Bourne, 1979). The first group represented by apple and nashi have a crisp texture after ripening, and in general, maintenance of texture similar to that at harvest is desirable in the marketplace. The second type of fruit represented by banana, peach, pear, and tomato develop a melting flesh. Softening is associated with changes of color and flavor factors such as soluble solids concentration, acidity, and aroma that are characteristic of the product. Use of 1-MCP can be more difficult for these fruit because it is necessary to delay, but not inhibit, ripening and hence requires precise modulation of 1-MCP concentration and exposure time.

The effects of 1-MCP on apple, avocado, banana, plum, peach and nectarine, pear, and tomato have been reviewed by Watkins (2006) and therefore only a summary of effects described in that review is provided here. In addition, the effects of 1-MCP on apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.), kiwifruit [Actinidia delicosa (A. Chev.) C.F. Liang at A.R. Ferguson var delicosa], melon (Cucumis melo L. reticulatus), mango (Mangifera indica L.), and persimmon (Diospyros kaki L.), for which significant information is available, and on broccoli (Brassica oleracea L.), the only nonfruit vegetable, are reviewed. 1-MCP is registered for other fruit (Table 1), but an insufficient body of work exists to speculate on the potential of the technology.

Apple. 1-MCP-based technology has been incorporated rapidly by apple industries around the world. Although the percentages of the apple crop treated with 1-MCP within various growing regions are not publicly available, anecdotal reports suggest that the majority of some cultivars stored in CA are treated with 1-MCP in New York and Washington. The reason for the popularity of 1-MCP for the apple is that treated fruit maintain firmness throughout the whole marketing chain. Firmness is a major factor in consumer preferences (Harker et al., 2002; Kupferman, 2005). Although CA storage maintains firmness of fruit during storage, softening takes place during subsequent transport and marketing. In contrast, 1-MCP-treated fruit of some cultivars soften little during shelf-life periods (Watkins et al., 2000).

The rapid incorporation of 1-MCP-based technology into apple industries has made it an excellent “test” crop for identification of strengths and weaknesses of the technology and has implications for other fruit types. The “apple” is fruit with a wide range of cultivars, each with differing ripening rates, harvest criteria, postharvest handling procedures, and storage periods in air and CA (Watkins, 2003), and it is therefore not surprising that these factors affect responses to 1-MCP (Table 2). For example, some cultivars respond poorly, either showing little response or losing effects rapidly during storage, compared with others (Fig. 1). These responses may be a combination of the effects of the internal ethylene concentration (IEC) at harvest and the physiology of the cultivars in their abilities to develop new ethylene receptor sites. The IEC at harvest for the cultivars in Figure 1 were 9.8, 0.9, 67.0, 1.8 and 78.6 μL·L⁻¹ for ‘Empire’, ‘Cortland’, ‘McIntosh’, ‘Idared’, and ‘Northern Spy’, respectively.

Also, within a cultivar, variations in response exist, typically later harvested fruit being less responsive that earlier harvested fruit (Mir et al., 2001; Watkins and Nock, 2000; Watkins et al., 2000), and these can affect commercial success. The responsiveness of a given cultivar to 1-MCP also can vary among growing regions (unpublished data), perhaps also related to ethylene production by fruit at the time of harvest and subsequent potential for production during storage.

Another feature of the apple is that fruit are typically accumulated for several days to fill a room before application of CA storage. Delays between harvest and treatment of fruit with 1-MCP affect responses to 1-MCP (Watkins and Nock, 2005), and longer delay periods before 1-MCP treatment are associated with increasing ethylene concentrations in the fruit (Fig. 2) and reduced effectiveness of treatment (Fig. 3). Differences among cultivars are reflected in commercial recommendations for the time between harvest and 1-MCP treatment (SmartFresh, 2006).

Formal consumer studies on the effects of 1-MCP are limited, but treated ‘Anna’ apples had less fruity, ripe, and overall aromas, but were firmer, crisper, juicier, and less mealy, and preferred over untreated fruit by sensory panelists (Lurie et al., 2002; Pre-Aymard et al., 2005). Some aroma volatiles can be associated with overripening and therefore inhibition of DPA metabolism and degradation Arquiza et al., 2005; Rudell et al., 2006

Table 1. Horticultural crops registered for commercial 1-methylcyclopropene around the world as of 2006.

| Country                  | Crop                              |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Argentina                | Apple, pear, plum, tomato         |
| Australia                | Apple, avocado, melon, tomato, and pear |
| Austria                  | Apple                             |
| Belgium                  | Apple                             |
| Brazil                   | Apple, avocado, bananas, guava, mango, melon, papaya, tomato |
| Canada                   | Apple, plum, plum                 |
| Chile                    | Apple, avocado, banana, cherimoya, guava, mango, pear, plum, tomato |
| China                    | Apple, kiwifruit, persimmon       |
| Costa Rica, Guatemala, and Honduras | Avocado, banana, mango, melon, papaya, pineapple, plantain, tomato |
| Israel                   | Apple, apple, persimmon           |
| France                   | Apple, plum                       |
| Germany                  | Apple                             |
| Israel                   | Apple, avocado, persimmon         |
| Korea                    | Apple                             |
| Mexico                   | Apple, avocado, cucumbers, dates, kiwifruit, mango, melon, nectarine, papaya, peach, pear, pepper, persimmon, plum, squash, tomato |
| New Zealand              | Apple, avocado, kiwifruit, melon, persimmon, tomato |
| Nicaragua                | Avocado, banana, mango, melon, papaya, pineapple, plantain, tomato |
| South Africa             | Apple, avocado, kiwifruit, plum, tomato |
| Switzerland              | Apple                             |
| The Netherlands          | Apple                             |
| UK                       | Apple                             |
| USA                      | Apple, apricot, avocado, banana, broccoli, kiwifruit, mango, melon, nectarine, papaya, peach, persimmon, plum, tomato |

Table 2. Summary of factors that have been shown to affect responses of apple fruit to 1-methylcyclopropene with selected references.

| Factor                        | Reference                                           |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Cultivar                      | Bai et al., 2005; Dauny and Joyce, 2002; Fan et al., 1999; Ripusinghe et al., 2000; Watkins et al., 2000 |
| Maturity                      | Mir et al., 2001; Watkins and Nock, 2005; Watkins et al., 2000 |
| Storage type (air compared with CA) | Watkins and Nock, 2005; Watkins et al., 2000 |
| Storage temperature           | Fan and Mattheis, 2001; Mir et al., 2001; Toivonen and Lu, 2005 |
| Time between harvest and 1-methylcyclopropene treatment | Watkins and Nock, 2005 |
| Repeated 1-methylcyclopropene application | Jayanty et al., 2004 |
| DPA metabolism and degradation | Arquiza et al., 2005; Rudell et al., 2006 |
| Bin materials                 | Vallejo and Beaudry, 2006 |
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these by 1-MCP can be desirable. Nevertheless, inhibition of desirable volatiles is a factor that must be taken into consideration for some cultivars. For example, ‘McIntosh’ apples have a maximum firmness preference with fruit being firmer or softer than 58 N being less preferred (Liu and King, 1978), and also is an apple that has high consumer requirements for aroma volatiles as it softens. Therefore, certain cultivars may require partial recovery from 1-MCP inhibition that allows some softening and volatile development to meet expectations of the marketplace.

Apples are susceptible to a range of physiological disorders. Interestingly, 1-MCP inhibits or delays the development of some disorders such as senescent breakdown and superficial scald while increasing sensitivity to others such as external carbon dioxide injury. The effects of 1-MCP on physiological disorders of apple and other fruit may be categorized according to the role of ethylene in causing or preventing these disorders (Table 3). The effects of 1-MCP on some disorders are not yet understood. For example, the incidence of a form of flesh-browning of ‘Empire’ apples that has been traditionally associated with low storage temperatures was higher in 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at 3 °C compared with fruit stored at 0.5 °C (Table 4). Further research is required to understand the complex interactions between ethylene and storage environments for this and other disorders.
It is likely that apple industries will continue to incorporate 1-MCP technology into their operations, but it is also likely that new issues will continue to arise as different cultivars grown under different climates are treated. It is useful to compare CA storage technology with that based on 1-MCP. Recommendations for CA regimes vary greatly according to cultivar and, within a cultivar, can also vary according to growing region. The physiological underpinnings of these differences are likely to impact strategies for 1-MCP use.

Apricot. Softening of apricots can be inhibited by 1-MCP treatment, even when fruit are ripe at the time of treatment (Botondi et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2000). Depending on cultivar and ripening stage when treated, the effects of 1-MCP on softening can be small but significant. 1-MCP can reduce ripening induced by impact injury (de Martino et al., 2006). Volatile production of treated fruit is induced by impact injury (de Martino et al., 2003).

Evaluating weather-related physiological disorders

### Table 3. Examples of the effects of 1-methylcyclopropene on physiological disorders categorized by those that are senescence, storage temperature, or storage atmosphere-related.

| Disorder category                              | Example                                      | Reference                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Senescence-related prevented by inhibition of  | Senescent breakdown                         | DeLong et al., 2004; Moran and McManus, 2005; Watkins et al., 2000 |
| ethylene production                            | Senescent scald and breakdown                | Argenta et al., 2003; Calvo and Sozzi, 2004; Ekman et al., 2004; Kubo et al., 2003 |
|                                                 | (senescent, watery, core) of pear            | Dong et al., 2002; Arquiza et al., 2005; Fan and Mattheis, 1999; Pechous et al., 2005; Watkins et al., 2000 |
| Chilling-related that are prevented by inhibition of ethylene production | Poststorage internal browning of apricot     | Hershkovitz et al., 2005; Pesis et al., 2002; Woolf et al., 2005 |
|                                                 | Superficial scald, brown core (coreflush),   | Selvarajah et al., 2001; Salvador et al., 2004 |
|                                                 | and soft scald of apple and pear             | Gal et al., 2006; Dong et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2002; Girardi et al., 2005 |
|                                                 | Internal flesh browning of avocado           | Dong et al., 2002; Dou et al., 2005; Porat et al., 1999 |
| Chilling-related that are increased by inhibition of ethylene production | Internal flesh browning of pineapple         | Jiang et al., 2004; Fan and Mattheis, 2000a; Saltheit, 2004; Tay and Perera, 2004; Wills et al., 2002 |
|                                                 | Tissue gelation of persimmon                 | Fan and Mattheis, 2000a; Wu et al., 2000; Fan et al., 2000 |
|                                                 | CI of melon                                   | Mao et al., 2004a, 2004b; DeEll et al., 2003; Zanella, 2003 |
|                                                 | CI of citrus                                 |                                                                         |
|                                                 | Browning of lettuce                          |                                                                         |
| Ethylene-induced prevented by inhibition of ethylene production | Wooliness and internal breakdown of peach and nectarine |                                      |
|                                                 | Internal reddening of plums                  |                                                                          |
|                                                 | CI of citrus                                 |                                                                          |
|                                                 | CI of bananas                                |                                                                          |
| CA-related increased by inhibition of ethylene production | External CO<sub>2</sub> injury of apple |                                                                          |

CI = chilling injury.

### Table 4. Flesh browning (%) of ‘Empire’ apples harvested from three orchards in the Hudson Valley and Western New York untreated or treated with 1 μL·L<sup>−1</sup> 1-methylcyclopropene for 24 h.

| Storage temp (°C) | Hudson Valley | Western New York |
|------------------|---------------|------------------|
|                  | −1-MCP | +1-MCP | −1-MCP | +1-MCP |
| 0.5              | 6 b    | 2 b    | 12 c   | 14 c   |
| 3.0              | 0 c    | 54 a   | 21 b   | 41 a   |

* Fruit were stored in 2% CO<sub>2</sub> and 2% O<sub>2</sub> at 0.5 °C or 3 °C for 10 months and evaluated after a further 7 d at 20 °C (Watkins and Nock, unpublished data). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between means within each region.

It is likely that apple industries will continue to incorporate 1-MCP technology into their operations, but it is also likely that new issues will continue to arise as different cultivars grown under different climates are treated. It is useful to compare CA storage technology with that based on 1-MCP. Recommendations for CA regimes vary greatly according to cultivar and, within a cultivar, can also vary according to growing region. The physiological underpinnings of these differences are likely to impact strategies for 1-MCP use.

### Apricot. Softening of apricots can be inhibited by 1-MCP treatment, even when fruit are ripe at the time of treatment (Botondi et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2000). Depending on cultivar and ripening stage when treated, the effects of 1-MCP on softening can be small but significant. 1-MCP can reduce ripening induced by impact injury (de Martino et al., 2006). Volatile production of treated fruit is induced by impact injury (de Martino et al., 2003).

Quality of 1-MCP-treated fruit in cold storage can be poorer than that of untreated fruit (Dong et al., 2002). Internal browning of fruit is higher in cold-stored apricots, but the incidence of the disorder decreases if fruit are treated after removal from cold storage (Dong et al., 2002).

### Avocado. Responses of avocado fruit to 1-MCP are usually “concentration × exposure time”-dependent (Feng et al., 2000; Jeong et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2003). Successful use of 1-MCP requires delayed but not inhibited ripening.

1-MCP shows promise as a tool to reduce physiological disorders such as internal but not external chilling injury (CI) (Pesis et al., 2002; Woolf et al., 2005). Also, excessive delays of ripening can increase decay development (Adkins et al., 2005; Hofman et al., 2001; Woolf et al., 2005). Thus, extending storage periods is not necessarily beneficial per se; fruit resistance to infection and greater inoculum control in the field before harvest may become more important for successful storage (Adkins et al., 2005).

### Banana. 1-MCP increases the green life of banana, responses usually being “concentration × exposure time”-dependent (Bagnato et al., 2003; Harris et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 1999).

Successful use of 1-MCP requires delayed, and then uniform, ripening, but strategies to achieve this may be difficult. A wide range of finger maturity in banana bunches can result in uneven color development (Harris et al., 2000), and 1-MCP can cause partial disruption of ripening events (Golding et al., 1998; Pelayo et al., 2003). An interesting feature about the application of 1-MCP to banana is that this crop represents one for which harvest, handling, and transport is tightly managed by a limited number of companies. It is possible that successful commercialization of 1-MCP may occur with little publicly available information.

### Broccoli. The only nonfruit vegetable that has been registered for 1-MCP use is broccoli (Table 1). 1-MCP delays yellowing and development of decay in a “concentration × exposure time”-dependent manner (Fan and Mattheis, 2000b; Gong and Mattheis, 2003; Wu and Will, 1999). However, as is the situation with many studies with leafy vegetables, nonclimacteric fruit, and ornamental plants, effects of 1-MCP cannot be detected unless broccoli are treated with exogenous ethylene. Commercial utilization of 1-MCP for broccoli and other similar crops may be effective only where abusive conditions occur such as higher temperatures and the presence of exogenous ethylene as would be found during handling, transportation, and marketing.

### Kiwifruit. Kiwifruit are extremely sensitive to exogenous ethylene, and exposure to the gas can result in premature ripening (MacRae et al., 1989). The effects of 1-MCP on ripening of kiwifruit are variable. 1-MCP delays softening when fruit are treated at harvest (Boquete et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2001; Minniti et al., 2005), but the effects are lost during cold storage, even at high (10 and 100 μL·L<sup>−1</sup>) 1-MCP concentrations (Kim et al., 2001). Colelli and Amodio (2003) detected no effect of 1-MCP on fruit treated after cold storage. Advantages of 1-MCP technology may lie in providing flexibility in grading, packaging, and transportation.
operations (Boquete et al., 2004), but overall, benefits of 1-MCP appear limited for kiwifruit.

Mango. Days to ripen, as indicated by softening and loss of chlorophyll, can be increased, and increases in soluble solids concentrations and aroma volatiles can be delayed by 1-MCP treatment (Alves et al., 2004; Hofman et al., 2001; Jiang and Joyce, 2000; Lal et al., 2003). In these studies, the effects of 1-MCP were “concentration × exposure time”-dependent. However, in Brazilian studies, low (30 and 120 nL·L⁻¹) 1-MCP concentrations delayed ripening (Alves et al., 2004, and ripening was accelerated by 500 nL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP (Cocozza et al., 2004). In contrast, the 1-MCP concentrations necessary to obtain effects in other studies are very high (25 to 100 μL·L⁻¹; Hofman et al., 2001; Jiang and Joyce, 2000; Lal et al., 2003), perhaps because of the skin diffusion characteristics of the fruit. Although 1-MCP treatment in combination with sealed polyethylene bags could provide a feasible technology where ambient temperature storage is required (Jiang and Joyce, 2000), such high 1-MCP concentrations could make 1-MCP uneconomic. Vacuum infiltration can reduce the effective 1-MCP concentrations to as low as 1 μL·L⁻¹; whereas 5 μL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP increased the storage period from 8 d to 12 d (Wang et al., 2006). Whether the benefits in storage time would justify the expense of vacuum technology is uncertain. 1-MCP also doubled the decay incidence (stem rots) compared with untreated fruit (Hofman et al., 2001).

Melon. The rate of softening of melons is decreased by 1-MCP (Alves et al., 2005; de Lima et al., 2004; Ergun et al., 2005; Gal et al., 2006). Ripening stage at time of treatment can be important; inhibition of softening by 1-MCP is greater in green than yellow fruit but may result in unacceptable maintenance of firmness (Gal et al., 2006). High 1-MCP concentrations cause a dissociation of ripening recovery between mesocarp and epidermal tissues, especially in less mature fruit (Ergun et al., 2005). Softening of yellow melons was inhibited in the study of Ergun et al. (2005), but not that of Gal et al. (2006), perhaps because of higher 1-MCP concentrations used in the former study. Treatment of fruit at the green–yellow stage with 300 nL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP resulted in desirable delays in yellowing and softening (Gal et al., 2006). 1-MCP can double the storage life of melons (Alves et al., 2005). Internal quality of treated fruit is superior to untreated fruit (de Lima et al., 2004) with lower sensitivity of fruit to CI and decay (Gal et al., 2006). Melon appears to be a suitable candidate for 1-MCP technology given appropriate attention to cultivar and ripening stage in relation to 1-MCP concentration applied.

Pear. Responses of pears to 1-MCP are “concentration × exposure time”-dependent (Argenta et al., 2003; Ekman et al., 2004). However, the 1-MCP concentrations that delay but not inhibit fruit ripening are variable and are affected by cultivar, variations of fruit maturity at harvest, storage conditions, and storage period (Argenta et al., 2003; Bai et al., 2006; Calvo and Sozzi, 2004; Ekman et al., 2004; Kubo et al., 2003; Moya-Leon et al., 2006; Trinchero et al., 2004). These factors make it difficult to develop correct 1-MCP concentrations for commercial application of 1-MCP, and failure of fruit to ripen is a common observation in 1-MCP studies. In addition, lack of uniformity of response to 1-MCP (Trinchero et al., 2004) and dissociation of ripening processes such as skin color and softening (Ekman et al., 2004) could be problematic at the retail level. One approach to overcoming undesirable sensitivity of pears to 1-MCP is a preconditioning treatment that involves selection of 1-MCP concentration, storage time and atmosphere, and temperature (Bai et al., 2006).

An important benefit of 1-MCP is that it reduces susceptibility of fruit to vibration and impact bruising, and to skin browning, suggesting that its use could lead to benefits during postharvest handling operations (Calvo and Sozzi, 2004; Ekman et al., 2004). Successful commercial application of 1-MCP will be reliant on choice of appropriate cultivars and postharvest handling strategies.

Persimmon. 1-MCP delays softening of persimmon with or without astringency removal procedures (Harima et al., 2003; Luo, 2007; Nakano et al., 2002; Ortiz et al., 2005; Salvador et al., 2004). 1-MCP concentrations required to obtain effects vary greatly, however, from 0.1 μL·L⁻¹ (Harima et al., 2003) to 3 μL·L⁻¹ (Luo, 2007), differences perhaps related to cultivar. Delays between harvest and treatment reduce beneficial effects of 1-MCP, and Harima et al. (2003) recommend treatment within 12 h of harvest. Interestingly, the availability of gas treatment facilities for removal of astringency provides facilities for 1-MCP treatment at little additional cost. 1-MCP also reduces calyx abscission and softening associated with CI development, suggesting that 1-MCP-treated fruit could be stored at lower temperatures to increase storage potential of the fruit (Salvador et al., 2004).

Plum. Softening and skin color changes of plums are delayed by 1-MCP (Dong et al., 2002; Martinez-Romero et al., 2003; Valero et al., 2003, 2004). Plums are “concentration × exposure time”-dependent for normal ethylene-producing cultivars, but not for suppressed climacteric cultivars (Abdi et al., 1998; Martinez-Romero et al., 2003). Effects of 1-MCP are also affected by fruit maturity and can be effective at later maturity stages when better-quality characteristics have developed (Salvador et al., 2003; Valero et al., 2003). Although results suggest that plums may be a suitable fruit for 1-MCP-based technology, the most important issue may be the extent to which “1-MCP concentration × exposure time” relationships can be calibrated for different cultivars. Interestingly, the plum is one of few fruit other than apple for which postharvest handling issues have been investigated; Valero et al. (2004) found that packaged plum fruit responded better to 1-MCP than bulk-packed fruit.

Tomato. 1-MCP can delay ripening of tomato fruit, but effects are related to cultivar (Krammes et al., 2003), “1-MCP concentration × exposure time,” and ripening stage (Hurr et al., 2005; Mir et al., 2004; Mostofi et al., 2003; Opioyo and Ying, 2005; Sisler et al., 1996; Wills and Ku, 2006). Fruit treated at the mature green stage do not ripen as uniformly as fruit that are treated at more mature ripening stages (Hurr et al., 2005; Mostofi et al., 2003). Benefits of 1-MCP treatment, and thus potentially better fruit quality for the consumer, can be detected even for fruit treated when ripe (Guillen et al., 2006; Hurr et al., 2005) Inconsistent ripening within the fruit (locular compared with pericarp tissues) may affect acceptability of fresh-cut slices from 1-MCP-treated tomatoes (Mir et al., 2004).

THE EFFECTS OF 1-METHYLCYCLOPROPENE ON PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PATHOLOGICAL DISORDERS
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