The Toxicity of Secondary Lithium-Sulfur Batteries Components

Krzysztof Siczek

Department of Vehicles and Fundamentals of Machine Design, Lodz University of Technology, 90-537 Lodz, Poland; ks670907@p.lodz.pl

Received: 21 June 2020; Accepted: 3 September 2020; Published: 6 September 2020

Abstract: Currently, apart from the widely known lithium-ion batteries, there are competitive solutions in the form of, for example, Li-S batteries. While the results of studies on the toxicity of Li-ion battery components are published, such studies on the components of Li-S cells are just beginning. The purpose of the current review was to identify materials used in the production of Li-S batteries and their toxicity, especially for humans. The review showed many kinds of materials with different levels of toxicity utilized for manufacturing of these cells. Some materials are of low toxicity, while some others are of the high one. A lot of materials have assigned different hazard statements. For some of the materials, no hazard statements were assigned, although such materials are toxic. No data related to the toxicity of some materials were found in the literature. This points out the need to further studies on their toxicity and legal actions to assign appropriate hazard statements.
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1. Introduction

In order to improve energy rationalization and air quality improvement, nowadays the major vehicles makers develop new solutions equipped with electric power trains. According to De Lorenzo et al. [1], there exists three different solutions for them:

(a) Batteries electric vehicles (BEV), with the devices storing the electric energy from the electric network during the charging process and feeding an electric motor of the vehicles during the discharging process;
(b) Fuel cells electric vehicles (FCEV), with the polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) producing all the electrical energy needed to the electric motor of the vehicles. The PEFCs are fed by hydrogen accumulated in a suitable system on the vehicles board or which is steadily generated from hydrocarbons or alcohols by a proper generation system;
(c) Hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), with batteries and/or PEFCs integrated into a hybrid system feeding the electric motor of the vehicles with or without ICE.

De Lorenzo et al. [1] summarized the advantages and disadvantages of BEV and FCEV. In a HEV, the PEFCs increase its autonomy while batteries allow the reduction of its manufacturing costs. City buses are better predestined to the PEFC system, comprising the PEFC stack with its auxiliary systems and the hydrogen and electrical energy storage systems.

De Luca et al. [2] proposed also a fuel cell hybrid electric vehicle (FCHEV) predestined for an application to two-wheeled vehicles.

Fragiacomo P. et al. [3] proposed the other hybrid energy system using an anion exchange membrane fuel cell (AEMFC).

The mentioned electrical and hybrid systems utilized Li-ion batteries (LIBs) due to their availability and a good recognition of their technology.
As the standard Li-ion batteries (LIBs) are already facing the energy density limit, many of their alternatives are investigated [4]. The studies on the toxicity and hazards of materials used during manufacturing of Li-ion cells were presented, for example, in [5,6]. The lithium–sulfur batteries were intensively studied due to, i.e., nontoxicity of sulfur used as the component of the cathode material [7–9]. The restricted use of Li-S cells results from the low load of sulfur and poor cycle life caused by the weak conductivities of S and its discharge products Li$_2$S$\text{n}$, the shuttling of the soluble Li$_2$S$\text{n}$ ($4 \leq n \leq 8$) between the anode and the cathode, and the large volumetric change between S and Li$_2$S [7–9].

In [10], a comprehensive life cycle assessment (LCA) model was elaborated to study the life cycle environmental effects of Li-S cells. In this article, no emphasis was placed on the toxicity of materials used to produce components of Li-S cells.

A typical Li-S cell shown in Figure 1 possesses a composite C/S, a cathode, and a metallic Li anode with a liquid organic electrolyte between them [11]. The scheme of Li-S battery configuration with an organic liquid electrolyte is presented in Figure 1. During discharging, the S is reduced to Li$_2$S on the electrode with the simultaneous formation of intermediate polysulfides.

![Figure 1. Li-S battery configuration employing organic liquid electrolyte [11].](image)

The first Li-S cell concept was described in [12]. The first such cell was developed with elemental S as a cathode, Li anode, and Li salts dissolved in organic solvents as electrolyte [13].

Interestingly, according to [14], sulfur is a flammable solid and can cause skin irritation.

As reported in [15], sulfur is able to be harmful after swallowing or inhalation. It can induce skin irritation.

The sample information related to the hazards of sulfur are presented in Table 1.

Many new S cathodes have been developed to increase electronic conductivity and limit dissolution [7,16–24]. The conductive porous matrix, such as nanostructured carbon, conductive polymers, as a host for active sulfur forms, and physical or chemical impeding dissolution and diffusion of polysulfides (PS) to mitigate the loss of active material and limit the shuttle effect have remained the objects of researchers’ great interest. Intensive studies have been also carried out on Li-S electrolytes.
According to [25], lithium-sulfur batteries (LSBs) are non-toxic and environmentally friendly. Petr Novák [26] stated that no toxic chemicals are used in the manufacture of Li-S cells. Benveniste et al. [27] pointed that the Li-S cells showed weaker environmental impacts than lithium nickel manganese cobalt oxide (NMC) Li-ion. Li-S cells had a lower GWP (the category explained the effect of mankind in a globalized world) by 20% than that of NMC Li-ion cells, but their main shares manifested in limitation on resource depletion and human toxicity.

The goal of this review is to check actual information according to materials and their toxicity of components used in new energy-storage systems such as the Li-S cells and batteries.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Materials Used to Limit the Shuttle-Effect in Li-S Cells

Liu et al. [28] reported that the PS shuttle effect always occurs in Li-S cells with liquid electrolytes. Various endeavors have been made to decrease the unwanted PSs shuttle effect. Such attempts included, inter alia, the use of S/(CNT@MPC) composite cathode or graphene/CNT@porous carbon cathode, encapsulation sulfur into a thin wall hollow spherical structured polypyrrole (T-HSSP) to produce S@PPy composites, introduction of an electrolyte-permeable microporous carbon paper between the sulfur cathode and separator, swap of liquid electrolytes by solid ones.

Yu et al. [29] obtained a TiO$_2$–N doped graphene/sulfur (TiO$_2$–NG/S) hybrid structure. The N doped graphene was applied as a conductive matrix and the TiO$_2$ cover on the NG/S electrodes surface greatly limited the Li polysulphides shuttle.

No data directly related to the toxicity of a TiO$_2$–N doped graphene/sulfur (TiO$_2$–NG/S) were found in the literature. However, Wang and Jiang (2015) [30] investigated the toxic impacts of graphene oxide (GO) and N-doped graphene quantum dots (N-GQDs) on red blood cells (RBCs). The toxicity mechanism was uncovered by observation of the structural changes of RBCs lipid on model membranes. The adsorption of GO destructed the integrality of the membrane by extracting the lipid bilayer, causing hemolysis and aberrant forms. Contrary, N-GQDs disturbed the structure and conformation of lipid, causing merely aberrant cells.

According to [31], graphene nanoplatelets are able to form a combustible dust-air mixture. They may induce eye irritation and skin irritation and respiratory tract irritation.

As reported in [32], conductive graphene sheets can be irritant to the skin and mucous membranes. It also can cause eye irritation.

According to [33,34], the wide utilization of TiO$_2$ is related to the ratio of cost to photocatalytic (PC) activity, weak toxicity, and its band gap of 3.2 eV (when in the anatase polymorph) that is activated during irradiation of the semiconductor by ultraviolet (UV) light.

Moreover, Grande and Tucci (2016) [35] reported that titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is of weak toxicity and insignificant biological effects. The human endangering may occur via ingestion, dermal penetration, or inhalation during both the manufacturing process and utilization. The potential toxicity of TiO$_2$-NPs manifests in the reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, causing oxidative stress, inflammation, genotoxicity, metabolic change, and potentially carcinogenesis. The extent and type of cell damage is strongly affected by size, crystal structure, and photo-activation of TiO$_2$-NPs.

Some hazard statements assigned to TiO$_2$ were presented in Table 2.
As reported in [36], TiO\(_2\) may induce irritation of eyes and skin. It may induce irritation when inhaled and be of low toxicity when ingested. It is suspected of inducing cancer. It is non-flammable, except as powder.

Zhou et al. [37] utilized a TiO\(_2\) nanowire-embedded graphene (TiO\(_2\) NW/G) hybrid membrane. In such membrane, the graphene was applied as the current collector, while the TiO\(_2\) NWs impeded a PSs shuttling and as the catalyst to increase the PS reduction and oxidation.

Li et al. [38] developed a carbonized bacterial cellulose/titania (CBC/TiO\(_2\)) modified separator. No data related to the toxicity of TiO\(_2\) nanowire-embedded graphene (TiO\(_2\) NW/G) and a carbonized bacterial cellulose/titania (CBC/TiO\(_2\)) were found in literature.

However, according to [39], the acute, sub-acute, and subchronic oral toxicity assays proved that the intake of bacterial cellulose (BC) showed no adverse impacts in rats and mice. BC was also non-irritating in the rabbit models. The BC demonstrated its biocompatibility and triggered no harsh inflammatory reaction.

Guo et al. [40] produced a free-standing MnO\(_2\) nanowire and graphene nanoscroll (GNSM) interlayer, with the weight ratio of graphene nanoscroll to MnO\(_2\) nanowires equal to 4:1.

Manganese oxides (MnO\(_2\) and Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)) as pseudocapacitive electrode materials showed environmental friendliness [41–43].

Some hazard statements assigned to MnO\(_2\) are presented in Table 2.

Ji et al. [44] reported that MnO\(_x\) (i.e., MnO, MnO\(_2\), Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\), and Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)) are non-toxic.

According to [45], MnO\(_2\) is harmful after swallowing or inhalation. It is not combustible but enhances the combustion of other substances.

As reported in [46], Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) is non-flammable. It may induce irritation of eyes and skin. It is able to cause irritation when ingested or inhaled. Chronic endangering to manganese may induce impairment to the central nervous system.

Liu et al. [47] elaborated a V\(_2\)O\(_5\)-decorated carbon nanofiber interlayer for limiting the self-discharge and shuttle effect.

As reported in [48], the health hazards of carbon fibre handling are due to mechanical irritation and abrasion such as that of glass fibres. As carbon fibres are breakable during stretching, they change into a fine dust during mechanical machining and release them into the milieu. These micro fibres can stick into the human skin or mucous membranes inducing irritation.

Some hazard statements assigned to V\(_2\)O\(_5\) are presented in Table 2.

Whittingham [49] reported that the vanadium pentoxide (V\(_2\)O\(_5\)) can be used as a cathode material of low toxicity.

According to [50], V\(_2\)O\(_5\) is harmful after swallowing or inhalation. It may induce respiratory irritation. It is suspected of inducing genetic defects and of damaging the unborn child. It also induces damage to organs through prolonged or repeated endangering. It is toxic to aquatic life.

| Material   | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| TiO\(_2\)  | H351                  | [36]       |
| MnO\(_2\)  | H302, H332            | [45]       |
| V\(_2\)O\(_5\) | H302, H332, H335, H341, H361d, H372, H411 | [50]       |

H302—Harmful if swallowed; H332—Harmful if inhaled; H335—May cause respiratory irritation; H341—Suspected of causing genetic defects; H351—Suspected of causing cancer; H361d—Suspected of damaging the unborn child; H372—Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure; H411—Toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting effects.
2.2. **Cell Configuration**

The Li-S system, due to its high volumetric and gravimetric energy densities is suitable for both secondary and primary battery appliances [51].

Liu et al. [52] found that the development of hierarchical gradient cathodes, solid state electrolytes, functional separators, and anodic protection strongly affects the intensification of Li–S cells.

In the simplest arrangement [13], the Li-S cell contained the cathode that consists of solid sulfur and soot, while the Li anode and the electrolyte contained a Li salt soluble in organic solvents. A proper binder preserves the integrity of the structure [13,53] electrodes. The low discharge efficiency can result from the formation of soluble PSs diffusing between electrodes of the cell, where self-discharge takes place [54]. The insulating material of the cathode and the Li$_2$S or Li$_2$S$_2$ final product can cause the low cyclability performance [11]. To utilize a liquid cathode [55], soluble S rather than the solid one is used to increase the discharge efficacy. A PS solution (Li$_2$Sn) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) comprising LiAsF$_6$ results from the reaction of a stoichiometric quantity of S with Li$_2$S.

Here, it is necessary to mention that according to [56] LiAsF$_6$ is toxic after swallowing or inhalation and is very toxic to aquatic life.

Some hazard statements assigned to LiAsF$_6$ are presented in Table 3.

The liquid electrode holds a sure electrical contact with the current collector of the Li-S cell. Inside the cell, the reduction kinetics of the low order PSs is slow and the Li$_2$S passivation layer is developed on the cathode. The soluble Li$_2$S additives and/or complexing agents for $S^{2-}$ increase the discharge rate and capacity of the cell. The former greatly limit the development of a passivation layer at the cathode, and the latter provides the high rate of reduction of low-order PSs. The concentrated PS solution is consistent with metallic Li [55]. Li$_2$S formation on the anode passivates metallic Li and provides a high system harmony. The dendritic form of Li does not increase in the Li-S cell of a simple arrangement when the PSs can be transferred between the anode and the cathode. The change of liquid electrolyte by polymer one or gel one increases the cyclability performance of Li-S cell through slowing the PS shuttle effect [57–66]. Polymer electrolyte plays the role of both electrolyte and separator. It also limits PSs in the cathode area and the migration of S to the anode area during the cell operation. However, at room temperature, the polymeric electrolyte, such as poly (ethylene oxide) (PEO) [67] has the low ionic conductivity. Therefore, the Li-S cell with polymer electrolytes needs to operate at a high temperature to reach the satisfactory level of ion conductivity. The ionic conductivity increases with the introduction of ceramic fillers such as Al$_2$O$_3$, ZrO$_2$, etc. [66,68,69]. However, the loss of capacity is so far an unresolved issue. The use of a polymeric or gel electrolyte cannot eliminate the formation of Li dendrites. Safety problems associated with the anode can occur in the Li-S cells with polymeric electrolytes. The arrangement with only solid components utilizes the glass ceramic electrolytes [70–74]. Glass ceramics such as Li$_2$S-SiS$_2$ [75] and Li$_2$S-P$_2$S$_5$ [76] have strong conductivity at room temperature, and glass ceramic electrolytes have a number of lithium-ion transports equal to one, which is more advantageous than for the cases of polymeric electrolytes [70]. The electrolyte is a cathode component increasing its ionic conductivity [70].

The schematic cell traditional and one of new arrangements for Li–S cells are shown in Figure 2.
Some hazard statements assigned to lithium metal are presented in Table 3. According to [77], there are three possible severity grades of the Li toxicity: Mild, moderate, and severe. Mild symptoms contain nausea, vomiting, lethargy, tremor, and fatigue. Moderate intoxication contains confusion, agitation, delirium, tachycardia, and hypertonia. Severe one contains coma, seizures, hyperthermia, and hypotension.

Table 3. Hazard statements of LiAsF$_6$ and lithium metal.

| Material       | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------------|------------------------|------------|
| LiAsF$_6$      | H301, H319, H320, H331, H350, H360, H361, H362, H372, H400, H410 | [47]        |
| Lithium Metal  | H260, H314            | [78]        |

H260—In contact with water releases flammable gases which may ignite spontaneously; H301—Toxic if swallowed; H314—Causes severe skin burns and eye damage; H319—Causes serious eye irritation; H320—Causes eye irritation; H331—Toxic if inhaled; H350—May cause cancer; H360—May damage fertility or the unborn child; H361—Suspected of damaging fertility or the unborn child; H370—Causes damage to organs; H400—Very toxic to aquatic life; H410—Very toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting effects.

2.3. Materials for the Cathode

According to [28], a cathode is crucial for Li-S batteries.

To obtain good cyclability of Li-S batteries, a lot of materials have been developed for cathodes, including C/S and polymer-sulfur composites, organic sulfides, inorganic additives, and many new binders.

Many strategies were used to improve the S load and decrease the dissolution of PS intermediates. They included: Application of S host materials [79–83], protective coating layers [84–88], and interlayer between cathode and separator [89,90], promoting the springing up of the S cathodes with strong specific capacities. Most of the presented Li-S cells had the weak S content and/or weak S loading. The corresponding areal capacities were smaller than those of the LiBs [91–93].

Interestingly, no data related directly to the toxicity of C/S and polymer-sulfur composites, organic sulfides, and inorganic additives applied to cathodes in Li-S cells were found in literature. Moreover, no data related directly to the toxicity of S host materials, protective coating layers, and interlayers between cathodes and separators in the Li-S cells were available. Such a toxicity can be only roughly estimated using data available for individual chemical components of the materials used for the mentioned Li-S parts. However, this can be made without considering the effect of interaction between them on the overall toxicity of the entire chemicals.
For example, according to [94], elemental C is of very weak toxicity. A health hazard can occur when humans are exposed to carbon black, not elemental C. Chronic inhalation endangering to carbon black may cause temporary or permanent damage of lungs and heart. Pneumoconiosis occurred in employees producing carbon black. Inflammation of the hair follicles, and oral mucosal lesions occurred during skin endangering. Carbon black was not classifiable as carcinogenic to humans. Some simple C compound can be very toxic, such as carbon monoxide (CO) or cyanide (CN). No negative environmental impact of C has been reported.

2.4. Binders

The binders provide the structural integrality of the electrodes, and as a result the capacity and the cyclability of Li-S cells [95].

Frischmann et al. [96] found that binders succor in electrode processing and drying on to Al current collectors, electrolyte wetting during cell arrangement, ion transport, and mechanical integrality upon cycling to accommodate the volume changes that resulted from LiS$_8$-Li$_2$S interconversion.

Some information related to the toxicity of Al were found in literature. According to [97], Al is non-hazardous and does not create a risk to humans or the milieu. Nevertheless, any machining, or use for deposition produces dust, fume, or particulates containing component elements of this material. Endangering to these components can cause significant health hazards. Fine particulate may be a combustible dust which, when dispersed in air, may explode.

It is necessary to mention that Exley [98] reported that aluminium can be toxic for humans especially under chronic endangering.

Polyethylene oxide (PEO), gelatin, polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), Na-alginate, gum Arabic (GA), etc., can be variants to common polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) [99–104].

According to [105], polyethylene oxide may be harmful after inhalation, swallowing, or absorption through the skin. It may induce irritation of respiratory tract, skin, or eye.

As reported in [106], gelatin is generally recognized as a non-hazardous food or food ingredient. As reported in [107], polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) did not irritate or induce sensitization during application to the human skin and did not irritate the eyes of rabbits. It exhibited weak acute toxicity during administration by the intravenous route in humans or by the oral and intravenous routes in various species of animals. In humans, injections caused PVP deposits in the reticulo-endothelial system and structural changes. Repeated oral administration in rabbits, dogs, and rats caused deposits and changes in the liver and lymph nodes. No carcinogenicity was found in rats handled by the oral route, but an association occurred between tumor growth and PVP injection in rodents. No genotoxicity occurred during the Ames bacterial test and rodent injection studies.

According to [108], polyvinyl pyrrolidone may be harmful after swallowing.

Some hazard statements assigned to polyvinyl pyrrolidone are presented in Table 4.

Anderson et al. [109] investigated the impact of sodium alginate consumed by five male volunteers on their health. The ingestion of sodium alginate did not affect significantly hematological indices, plasma biochemistry parameters, urinalysis parameters, blood glucose and plasma insulin strengths, and breath hydrogen strengths. No allergic reactions occurred in any of the volunteers. The long term ingestion of sodium alginate at a high level induced no unwanted impacts.

Three pieces of information related to the toxicity of Arabic gum were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to the Arabic gum are presented in Table 4.

Abdelgadir [110] studied the effect of gum Arabic A. Senegal (Hashab), A. seyal (Talha), A. polyacantha (Kakmut), and Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (Guar gum) on blood glucose, total protein, lipid profile, and body weight. The mentioned types of gum Arabic and guar gum were free from toxic, diminishing blood glucose and body weight. All types of gum Arabic did not influence the total protein in the lipid profile. HDL enhanced for Hashab, Talha, and Kakmut, but it is not influenced by Guar. LDL diminished for Talha and enhanced for Hashab, but it is not influenced by Kakmut. TG enhanced for Hashab and diminished for Talha, Kakmut, and Guar.
According to [111], gum Arabic is able to form combustible dust concentrations in air. As reported in [112], gum Arabic causes serious eye damage/eye irritation.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of polyvinylidene fluoride were found in the literature. Some hazard statements assigned to polyvinylidene fluoride are presented in Table 4.

Inderherbergh [113] reported that polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF or PVF$_2$) is non-toxic. However, according to [114], poly(vinylidene fluoride) induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. It also may induce respiratory irritation.

Frischmann et al. [6] pointed that PVP blends with Nafion, PAMAM dendrimers, polycationic -cyclodextrins, poly(acrylic acid), poly-ethylene oxide), and carboxymethyl-cellulose: styrenebutadiene-rubber (CMC:SBR), and can be used as the binders increasing the cathode efficiency.

Three pieces of information were found related to the toxicity of Nafion. Some hazard statements assigned to Nafion are presented in Table 4.

Feng et al. [115] described the Nafion 117 membrane (N117), a polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) utilized for different chemical technologies. They carried out studies on the histopathological alterations, oxidative stress biomarker responses, and transcriptome profiles in the liver of male mice exposed to N117 and its combustion products for 24 days. Several highly altered molecular pathways, such as the metabolism of xenobiotics, carbohydrates, and lipids were identified.

According to [116], the thermal decomposition vapours of fluorinated plastics such as Nafion cast membranes may induce polymer fume fever with flu-like symptoms in humans. Such symptoms may be delayed. Repeated cases of polymer fume fever may cause persistent lung effects. Inhalation of fluorinated compounds may induce lung irritation and pulmonary oedema. Touch with the mentioned product may induce mechanical irritation of eyes and skin irritation.

As reported in [117], Nafion induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. It may induce respiratory irritation.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of PAMAM dendrimers were found in the literature. Some hazard statements assigned to PAMAM dendrimers are presented in Table 4.

According to [118], PAMAM is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It is toxic after swallowing or inhalation, in touch with skin. It also induces damage to organs.

Albertazzi et al. [119] reported that cell internalization properties and diffusion of G4 and G4-C12 modified PAMAM dendrimers in primary neuronal cultures and in the CNS of animals. G4-C12 PAMAM dendrimer induced apoptotic cell death of neurons in vitro. In contrast, G4 PAMAM induced no apoptotic cell death of neural cells in the sub-micromolar range of strength and induced weak microglia activation in the brain tissue.

It was difficult to clearly determine the toxicity of polycationic cyclodextrins (CDs). Cryan et al. [120] tested polycationic cyclodextrins (CDs) complexed with plasmid DNA on the COS-7 cells. These CDs were modified with pyridylamino, alkylimidazole, methoxyethylamino or primary amine groups at 6-positions of the glucose units. The polycationic CDs neutralised DNA to form stable nanoparticulate complexes. The transfection efficiency of these CDs was high for the present substituents with either an amino, pyridylamino, or butylimidazole group at the 6-positions and unmodified 2- and 3-hydroxyls. These CDs were good promoters of DNA cellular-uptake, the most efficient surpassing DOTAP. The uptake was affected by proteoglycan-mediated binding to the cells. The intracellular trafficking but not cellular uptake was the rate-limiting step in the transfection process.

Varan et al. [121] compared the potential of polycationic, amphiphilic cyclodextrin NPs to non-ionic amphiphilic cyclodextrins and core–shell type cyclodextrin NPs for paclitaxel delivery to breast tumors. All blank cyclodextrin NPs were non-cytotoxic against the L929 mouse fibroblast cell line. The paclitaxel-loaded NPs had a significant anticancer effect against the MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line in comparison to a paclitaxel solution in DMSO.

Gerloczy et al. [122] reported that the complexation of cholesterol and of bile acids by cyclodextrin derivatives had a little impact on the excretion of cholesterol from the gastrointestinal tract. The various untoward impacts occurred after chronic large oral doses of hydroxypropyl β-cyclodextrin that are
administered were not induced by increased excretion of some vital lipophile or enzyme but resulted from the solubilization and increased absorption of toxic contaminants of the ingested food.

Two studies related to toxicity of acrylic acid were found. According to [123], poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(sodium acrylate) (NaPAA) are used as a food additive, due to their low toxicity.

As reported in [124], acrylic acid is used in the production of acrylic esters, as a monomer for polyacrylic acid and salts, and as a co-monomer with acrylamide for polymers used as flocculants, with ethylene for ion-exchange resin polymers, with methyl ester for polymers, and with itaconic acid for other co-polymers. The acrylic acid induced low to moderate acute toxicity by the oral route and moderate acute toxicity by the inhalation and dermal routes. The acrylic acid was corrosive or irritant to the skin and eyes and strongly irritant to the respiratory tract. This acid was not teratogenic and had no adverse impacts on reproduction.

There was no direct information related to the toxicity of carboxymethyl-cellulose: styrenebutadiene-rubber (CMC:SBR).

However, according to [125], carboxymethyl cellulose is able to form combustible dust concentrations in air.

Mondal and Yeasmin [126] evaluated the sub-chronic oral toxicity of food-grade carboxymethyl cellulose in Swiss albino mice. No treatment-related abnormality in terms of haematological and biochemical parameters was found. Water intake, urine production, and urinary sodium excretion increased with the increase of CMC doses. The weekly body weight did not vary significantly. No abnormalities in the histopathology of liver, heart, lung, and kidney occurred.

Patterson et al. [127] reported a case of a woman that experienced anaphylaxis following endangering to carboxymethyl cellulose.

Healy [128] reported studies on the carboxymethyl cellulose effects on inflammation, microbiota-related metabolic syndrome, and colitis.

Martino et al. [129] reported that carboxymethyl cellulose causes inflammation of the gut, altering microbiota, and is a triggering factor of inflammatory bowel diseases such as ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease.

Fieldturf Tarkett [130] pointed that styrenebutadiene-rubber can be safely used both for people and milieu.

The use of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) composites-carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as a binder increases the cell capacity and load of sulfur compared to polyvinyl pyrrolidon (PVP) and PTFE-polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) composite used as binders [131], but no cyclic performance is reported. The capacity can increase when a PTFE-CMC composite is used as a binder [132]. Jung and Kim [133] reported that the discharging capacity and cyclability performance can be increased using a combination of PVP and polyethylene imine (PEI) compared to a single component PVP binder. The chemical reaction between PVP and PEI increases the stability of the PVP-PEI composite in the electrolyte, resulting in a good mechanical strength of the cathode.

Gelatin can also be a binder for a sulfur cathode. The cathodes using gelatin as a binder have a higher initial and cyclic capacities than those using PEO as a binder [95,134–136]. Gelatin shows the high stability in electrolytes, effective adhesion, and dispersion of cathode materials.

Li et al. [103] reported that an Acacia Senegal, GA—based binder was being non-toxic and balanced for high-performance Li-S cells.

Three pieces of information were found related to the toxicity of PTFE. Sajid and Ilyas [137] reported that PTFE-coated cookware releases various gases and chemicals of mild to severe toxicity. The toxicity and fate of ingested PTFE coatings remain not clear. The well-known toxic environmental pollutant Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) is also used in the synthesis of PTFA. The PFOA can occur in the gas phase released from the cooking utensils under normal cooking temperatures. Due to toxicity concerns, PFOA is replaced with GenXs, but these alternatives can also
have similar toxicity. Therefore, more studies are needed on the human endangering and toxic impacts to PTFE, PFOA, and GenX as well as other alternatives.

Shimizu et al. [138] stated that PTFE can cause flu-like symptoms in humans-polymer fume fever.

According to [139], polytetrafluoroethylene is of low oral toxicity, but ingestion can cause gastrointestinal tract irritation. Dust and vapors or fumes that evolved during thermal processing can induce irritation of the respiratory system. It can induce irritation of skin and eyes. It is of low toxicity to aquatic organisms.

Three pieces of information were found related to the toxicity of polyvinyl alcohol.

BrookeTaylor and Verger [140] reported no apparent toxic or carcinogenic effects in studies concerning polyvinyl alcohol as a whole, the very poor absorption of preparations of polyvinyl alcohol complying with the specification after oral administration, and no impacts on the gastrointestinal tract in the long term study in rats.

According to [141], orally administered PVA was relatively harmless. The acute oral toxicity of PVA was very low. The orally administered PVA was very poorly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and did not accumulate in the body. The PVA was not mutagenic or clastogenic.

As reported in [142], polyvinyl alcohol may be harmful if inhaled or swallowed. It can induce irritation of respiratory tract, skin, and eyes.

Some other modern binders were characterized below.

Peled et al. [143] analyzed the electrochemical behavior, during prolonged cycling, of Li$_2$S-based cathodes containing different binders, such as poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF-HFP), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), mix of PVP with polyethyleneimine (PEI), polyaniline (PANI), and lithium polyacrylate (LiPAA).

As reported in [144], PVDF-HFP may be harmful after inhalation or swallowing. It may induce irritation of respiratory tract, skin, and eyes.

According to [145], polyethyleneimine induces serious eye irritation. It is harmful after swallowing. It may induce an allergic skin reaction. It is also toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

Three pieces of information were found related to the toxicity of polyaniline.

According to [146], polyaniline may induce irritation of skin and eyes.

Ibarra et al. [147] appraised the acute toxicity impacts of polyaniline nanoparticles (PANI-Np) in different dispersants on embryos and larvae of Rhinella arenarum. The LC$_{50}$ of PANI-Np dispersed in polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) was equal to 1.5 g/L, while LC$_{50}$ by PANI-Np dispersed in PVP+PNIPAM (polyN-isopropylacrilamide) exhibited a highest toxicity (1.17 g/L). The embryo teratogenicity enhanced with the increase of the exposure strength in both kinds of PANI-Np although PANI-Np1 showed an enhanced teratogenic impact affected by the polymer stabilizer PVP.

Humpolíček et al. [148] demonstrated the biocompatibility of macroporous polyaniline cryogel through the cytotoxicity test on mouse embryonic fibroblasts and through the embryotoxicity test utilizing the creation of beating foci within spontaneous differentiating embryonic stem cells.

Some information related to the toxicity of lithium acrylate were also found. Some hazard statements assigned to lithium acrylate were presented in Table 4.

He et al. [149] proposed a ‘water-in-ionomer’ type of electrolyte. Therein, organic solvents were replaced by water and toxic fluorinated Li salts by a non-fluorinated and non-toxic ionomer, Li polyacrylate.

According to [150], the aqueous-based binder systems are milieu friendly and non-toxic, and they can replace PVDF. The authors utilized aqueous-based binders (LiPAA and NaCMC).

As reported in [151], lithium polyacrylate is harmful after swallowing.

Zhang [152] proposed a poly(acrylamide-co-diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) (AMAC) as the cationic polyelectrolyte binder of high S loading cathodes.
According to [153], poly(acrylamide-co-diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) is harmful to aquatic life with long lasting impacts. Some hazard statements assigned to poly(acrylamide-co-diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) (AMAC) were presented in Table 4.

Exon [154] reported that acrylamide proved to be a neurotoxicant, reproductive toxicant, and carcinogen in animal species. Just the neurotoxic effects occurred in humans and just at high levels of endangering in occupational settings.

Additionally, as reported in [155], the diallyldimethylammonium chloride (DADMAC) is harmful after swallowing. It induces burns and a risk of serious damage to the eyes. It is very toxic to aquatic organisms.

Hwa et al. [156] proposed a redox-active supramolecular polymer binder. It used a perylenebisimide (PBI) scaffold presenting four carboxylic acid moieties lithiatable by treatment with LiOH.

Frischmann et al. [96] used the stacked perylenebisimide (PBI) molecules as strongly networked, redox-active supramolecular polymer binders in S cathodes for Li-S cells.

No information related directly to the toxicity of direct perylenebisimide (PBI) was found in literature.

Jiao et al. [157] obtained a multi-functional polar binder (AHP) by polymerization of hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) with ethylenediamine (EDA) bearing many amino groups, which were applied to electrode creation with S powder cathodes.

Wang et al. [158] stated that hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) is aliphatic that may induce less toxicity.

Cary et al. [159] reported that EDA shows moderate acute toxicity in animals. It is a primary irritant, being corrosive when undiluted, and is a skin sensitizer.

Cheng et al. [160] prepared a sulfonated polystyrene (SPS) binder via an homogeneous reaction. Rogers and Li [161] reported that swallowing of sulfonated polystyrene often caused intestinal disturbances such as loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, and constipation. In rare cases, they were accompanied by colonic necrosis.

2.5. Electrolytes

The electrolyte is also a significant part of the Li-S cell. Each component of the electrolyte affects the cell performance [55,162,163].

2.5.1. Liquid Electrolytes

Liquid electrolytes comprise Li salts dissolved in organic solvents.
Ether-Based Electrolytes

Actually, the ether-based electrolyte is usually applied in the Li-S cell. It consists of 1 M amidium bis-trifluoromethanesulfonylimide (LiTFSI) in a binary solvent 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) with a small volume of LiNO₃ as additive [24,164–166]. Ether solvents have a good stability in contact with polysulfides (PS) [167–169] and strong ion conductivity and stronger electrolyte/electrode contact due to weak viscosity and good wettability [165].

According to [170], LiTFSI is toxic in touch with skin and after swallowing, induces severe skin burns and eye damage. It is also harmful to aquatic life with long lasting impacts.

As reported in [171], dimethyl ether can affect a man when breathed in. Vapor can induce eye, nose, and throat irritation. High endangering can induce headache, dizziness, light-headedness, and loss of consciousness. During skin touch it can induce severe frostbite.

According to [172], dimethyl ether is an extremely flammable gas. It usually is stored under pressure and is able to explode if heated. It may induce drowsiness or dizziness.

As reported in [173], dioxolane showed mild toxicity by oral administration or by inhalation. After acute endangering to high levels, reversible CNS depression occurred. After repeated-dose or subchronic administration, impacts on the blood-forming systems such as a reduction in WBCs and platelets and changes in spleen weight occurred in rats. Dioxolane had a low genotoxic potential.

According to [174], 1,3-dioxolane is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It induces serious eye irritation.

Some hazard statements assigned to LiTFSI, dimethyl ether, and 1,3-dioxolane were shown in Table 5.

| Material            | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| LiTFSI              | H301, H311, H314, H373, H412 | [170]      |
| dimethyl ether      | H220, H280, H336      | [172]      |
| 1,3-dioxolane       | H225, H319           | [174]      |

H220—Extremely flammable gas; H280—Contains gas under pressure; may explode if heated; H336—May cause drowsiness or dizziness; H373—May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure.

Solvents

Many studies were carried out on one-component, two-component, and even ternary solvents with Li salts. One-component solvents comprise THF [175–177], dimethoxyethane (DME) [178], 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) [132], tri (ethylene glycol) dimethyl ether (triglyme) [179], tetra (glycol ethylene) dimethyl ether (TEGDME) [132,179,180], and polyethylene glycol dimethyl ether (PEGDME) [179]. A one-component solvent cannot meet all three electrolyte conditions [132].

A chain ether such as DME, polyethylene glycol dimethyl ether (PEGDME), and ethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) are usually utilized as a single solvent in Li-S cells [57,176,181,182].

A mixture of DOL and DME exhibits a good cyclability performance [183]. Polymerization DOL can protect the Li anode.

According to [184], also 2-Ethoxyethylether (EEE) was used as the solvent in Li-S cells.

Wang et al. [134] utilized five compositions of DME electrolyte: DOL = 4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, and 1:4 as a solvent and LiClO₄ as the Li salt.

Barghamadi [185] used dimethyleneglycol dimethyl ether (DEGDME) possessing a glyme structure as a solvent in Li-S cells.

Barchasz et al. [186] utilized different kinds of solvents for Li-S cells, such as a binary solvent containing TEGDME and DOL in a various volume ratio and also a single TEGDME or DOL.

Kim et al. [187] studied the ternary diglyme system (DGM) -DOL-DME.

The unwanted phenomenon of PS transfer can be limited through the addition of an adsorbent to a cathode or utilizing a PEGDEG-based electrolyte [179,188].
Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of THF were found in the literature. Some hazard statements assigned to THF were shown in Table 6.

According to [189], THF was a polar solvent and monomer easily absorbed by each route of endangering. The THF exhibited low to moderate acute toxicity by each route. Direct touch with it can cause irreversible corrosive damage to the eyes. Nevertheless, THF was neither a skin irritant, nor sensitizer.

As reported in [190], tetrahydrofuran (THF) is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It is harmful after swallowing. It induces serious eye irritation and may induce respiratory irritation. It is also suspected to induce cancer.

Moreover, two pieces of information related to the toxicity of ethylene glycol were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to ethylene glycol were shown in Table 6.

According to [191], ethylene glycol was poisoning when drinking. The initial symptoms were intoxication, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Later symptoms were a diminished level of consciousness, headache, and seizures. Long term outcomes could be kidney failure and brain damage. Toxicity and death can occur after drinking a minor dose.

As reported in [192], ethylene glycol is harmful after swallowing. It may induce damage to organs (kidney) via prolonged or repeated endangering.

According to [193], triethylene glycol was minimally irritating to the skin and eyes. In humans, triethylene glycol was not a skin sensitizer. Triethylene glycol did not exhibit teratogenic potential but could decrease fetal weights and delay skeletal growth in the offspring.

As reported in [194], the endangering to triethylene glycol dimethylether (triglyme) induced irritation of the skin and eyes, abdominal pain, coughing, extrasystoles, nausea, and vomiting. This compound was harmful by inhalation, ingestion, or skin absorption. After heating to decomposition this compound emitted acrid smoke and irritating fumes containing CO and CO₂. It caused irritation of the skin and eyes. It is able to damage the unborn child and is suspected of damaging fertility. This chemical is also combustible. Some hazard statements assigned to triglyme were shown in Table 6.

Some information related to the toxicity of DEGDME and TEGDME were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to them were shown in Table 6.

According to [195], DEGDME and TEGDME ethers were classified for reproductive toxicity.

As reported in [196], DEGDME is a flammable liquid and vapor. It is able to damage fertility or the unborn child.

According to [197], TEGDME is able to damage fertility or the unborn child.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of PEDGME were found in literature.

According to [198], PEGDME have high stability, retain their integrity during the anodization process, and have low toxicity.

As reported in [199], PEGDME may induce irritation of skin and eyes. It may be harmful after absorption through the skin, inhalation, or swallowing.

According to [200], the 2-ethoxyethyl ether is moderately toxic if ingested. It causes irritation of eyes. When heated to decomposition it emits acrid smoke and irritating fumes. Some hazard statements assigned to 2-Ethoxyethyl ether were shown in Table 6.

No data related to the toxicity of dimethyleneglycol dimethyl ether were found in literature. However, two pieces of information related to the toxicity of diglyme (DGM) were found. Some hazard statements assigned to diglyme were presented in Table 6.

According to [201], diglyme (DGM) exhibits the low acute toxicity after oral endangering or inhalation. Diglyme causes slight irritation of the skin or eyes. Diglyme is a strong teratogen.

As reported in [202], diglyme is a flammable liquid and vapor. It is able to damage fertility and is able to damage the unborn child.

Gillett et al. [203] informed that PEG exhibits the low toxicity and is utilized as an active ingredient of medical products such as laxatives and as a binder and lubricant in tablets.
Schier et al. [204] reported that diethylene glycol (DEG) can result in renal and neurological toxicity if ingested.

Methyl acetate (MA), toluene, and gamma butyrolactone (GBL) affect the capacity and cyclability of Li-S cells [132,205]. Toluene can form a sure contact between electrode/electrolyte causing an improvement of both the initial capacity and the cyclability [180,205]. The addition of imidazolium salts into mixed DME-DOL electrolytes improved the cyclability by enhancing the electrochemical reaction of PSs and increasing the negative stability of the Li electrode [178]. Introduction of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF$_6$) to the electrolyte had a similar impact [133].

Two proper solvents for the electrolytes in Li-S cells are dimethyl ether (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL). Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of methyl acetate were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to methyl acetate were presented in Table 6.

According to [206], methyl acetate irritated the mucous membranes of the respiratory tract in a man after short-term endangering and could be narcotic. Liquid methyl acetate irritated the eyes but not the skin.

As reported in [207], methyl acetate is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It induces serious eye irritation and damage to organs such as eyes and the central nervous system. It may induce respiratory irritation, drowsiness, or dizziness.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of toluene were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to toluene were presented in Table 6.

According to [208], toluene irritates the skin, eyes, and respiratory tract. It can induce systemic toxicity when ingested or inhaled and is slowly absorbed through the skin. The usual route of endangering is through inhalation. Symptoms of toluene poisoning can be the following: CNS effects (headache, dizziness, ataxia, drowsiness, euphoria, hallucinations, tremors, seizures, and coma), ventricular arrhythmias, chemical pneumonitis, respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, and electrolyte imbalances.

As reported in [209], toluene is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It induces skin irritation. It is suspected of damaging fertility or the unborn child. It may induce drowsiness or dizziness, damage to organs such as central nervous system, liver, heart via prolonged or repeated endangering. It may be fatal after swallowing and enters airways. It is also harmful to aquatic life.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of GBL were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to GBL were presented in Table 6.

According to [210], there are some disadvantageous reactions to GBL. Signs and symptoms are the following: Euphoria, relaxation, decreased inhibition, and sedation progressing to vomiting, urinary and faecal incontinence, agitation, convulsions, bradycardia, respiratory depression, coma, and death.

As reported in [211], GBL may be harmful after inhalation, swallowing, or absorption through the skin. It induces burns of the eyes and skin.

Some information related to the toxicity of imidazolium salts were found in literature.

Cho et al. [212] studied 1-propyl-3-methylimidazolium [PMIM], 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium [BMIM], 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium [HMIM], and 1-octyl-3-methylimidazolium [OMIM] with a bromide anion. Their toxicity decreased in the following order: [OMIM] > [HMIM] > [BMIM] > or = [PMIM]. The [OMIM] [Br] was the most toxic to S. capricornutum. Although [BMIM] [Br] and [PMIM] [Br] were rather less toxic than [OMIM] and [HMIM], their toxicity enhanced with the elongation of the incubation time. Such ionic liquids may become more toxic after releasing into the freshwater ecosystem.

Biczak et al. [213] studied the impact of salts comprising the shared 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium [EMIM] cation as linked with: Bromide [Br], nitrate [NO$_3$], p-toluenesulfonate (tosylate) [Ts], dimethylphosphate [dMP], and methanesulfonate [MS] on the growth and progress of higher land plants—spring barley and common radish. They found the negative impact of Ionic Liquids (ILs) on the tested plants and the toxic impact of imidazolium salts was affected by the used ionic liquids strength.
Some hazard statements assigned to tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate and LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ were presented in Table 6.

According to [214], tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF$_6$) may be harmful after inhalation or swallowing. It induces irritation of respiratory tract, skin, and eyes.

In [164], a study was reported on the influence of solvents with 1 M lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiCF$_3$SO$_3$) on the efficiency of Li-S cells.

As reported in [215], LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ is thermally stable, non-toxic, and insensitive to moisture as compared with LiPF$_6$.

**Table 6.** Hazard statements of tetrahydrofuran, ethylene glycol, triglyme, DEGDME, TEGDME, 2-ethoxyethyl ether, diglyme, methyl acetate, toluene, gamma-butyrolactone, TBAPF$_6$, and LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

| Material | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------|------------------------|------------|
| Tetrahydrofuran | H225, H302, H319, H335, H351 | [190] |
| ethylene glycol | H302, H373 | [192] |
| Triglyme | H360Df | [194] |
| DEGDME | H226, H360Df | [196] |
| TEGDME | H360Df | [197] |
| 2-ethoxyethyl ether | H227, H319 | [200] |
| Diglyme | H226, H360Df | [202] |
| methyl acetate | H319, H335, H336, H370 | [206] |
| Toluene | H225, H315, H361, H336, H373, H304, H402 | [209] |
| gamma-butyrolactone | H302, H318, H336 | [211] |
| TBAPF$_6$ | H315, H319, H335, H336 | [214] |
| LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ | H315, H319, H335 | [216] |

H226—Flammable liquid and vapor; H227—Combustible liquid; H304—May be fatal if swallowed and enters airways; H318—Causes serious eye damage; H360Df—May damage the unborn child. Suspected of damaging fertility; H402—Harmful to aquatic life.

However, as reported in [216], LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ induces irritation of skin and serious eye irritation. It may also induce respiratory irritation.

**Lithium Salts**

Zhu [217] stated that the kind of lithium salt in electrolytes strongly affects the proper operation of Li-S cells.

Until now, Lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate LiSO$_3$CF$_3$ (LiTf), Bis (Trifluoromethane) Sulfonimide Lithium Salt (LiN(SO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$), and Lithium bis (trifluoromethane sulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) are usually used in Li-S cells, ensuring stronger ionic conductivity and lower corrosion on the aluminium (Al) substrate [165,218].

Moreover, LiTFSI and Li triflate LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ (LiTf) are often utilized in the Li-S cells [219–221].

Table 7 presented some hazard statements assigned to LiTf and LiN (SO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$.

According to [216] LiTf may induce irritation to the respiratory tract. It may be harmful after swallowing. It induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation.

As reported in [222], LiN (SO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ is toxic after swallowing or in touch with skin. It induces severe skin burns and eye damage. It may induce damage to organs via prolonged periods or repeated endangering. It is also harmful to aquatic life with long lasting impacts.

The intermediate anode stability can be provided by bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide (Li [N (SO$_2$F)$_2$], LiFSI) [223], and 4,5-dicyano-2-trifluoromethylimidazolidine hydride (LiTDI) [224,225]. The Li perchlorate (LiClO$_4$) is also promising [134]. Kim and Jeong [163] studied LiClO$_4$ and found its efficiency lower than that of LiTFSI or LiTf.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide were found in literature. Table 7 presented some hazard statements assigned to bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide, LiTDI, and LiClO$_4$. 
According to [226], bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide (Li [N (SO$_2$F)$_2$], (LiFSI) is harmful after swallowing. It induces severe skin burns and eye damage. It is also able to damage fertility or the unborn child.

Table 7. Hazard statements of LiSO$_2$CF$_3$, LiN(SO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$, bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide, LiTDI, and LiClO$_4$.

| Material                          | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| LiSO$_2$CF$_3$                    | H315, H319, H335       | [216]      |
| LiN(SO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$             | H301, H311, H314, H373, H412 | [222]      |
| bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide        | H314, H318             | [227]      |
| LiTDI                             | H301, H315, H319, H335 | [228]      |
| LiClO$_4$                         | H271, H272, H315, H319, H335 | [229]      |

H271—May cause fire or explosion; strong oxidizer; H272—May intensify fire; oxidizer.

As reported in [227], bis (fluorosulfonyl) imide induces severe skin burns and eye damage. According to [228], lithium 4,5-dicyano-2-trifluoromethylimidazolide hydride (LiTDI) is toxic after swallowing. It may induce irritation of skin and respiratory tract, and serious irritation of eyes.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of LiClO$_4$ were found in literature. As reported in [229], LiClO$_4$ may induce fire or explosion and intensify fire. It induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. It also may induce irritation of respiratory tract.

According to [230], accidental ingestion of the LiClO$_4$ may be damaging to the human health. Lithium, in large doses, may induce dizziness and weakness. The endangering to perchlorates can induce shortness of breath, difficulty breathing, and a bluish discoloration of the skin. Nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea can appear after chlorate poisonings usually with upper stomach pain. The LiClO$_4$ can induce eye irritation and damage, inflammation of the skin on touch, and respiratory irritation in humans. Long-term endangering to respiratory irritants may cause disease of the airways involving difficult breathing and related systemic problems.

Electrolytes Based on Ionic Liquids

Ionic liquid electrolytes can increase the initial discharging capacity and cyclic capacity (due to diminished PS dissolution) in comparison to these utilizing organic solvents such as polyethylene glycol dimethyl ether (PEGDME) or dimethyl carbonate (DMC) [231,232]. The combination of the organic solvent with liquid ionic electrolytes improves the cyclability Li-S cells [233].

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of dimethyl carbonate were found in literature. Table 8 showed some hazard statements assigned to dimethyl carbonate.

As reported in [234], dimethyl carbonate is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. Kuenen et al. [235] reported that dimethyl carbonate (DMC) received much attention due to its versatile use, low toxicity, and fast biodegradability.

Shahzad et al. [236] reported that ionic liquids (ILs) were not considered completely benign in terms of toxicity and degradability.

As the first, Yuan et al. [231] used pure Ionic liquids IL as a matrix electrolyte for Li-S cells. They elaborated ILN-methyl-N-butyl-piperi-bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (PP14-RTIL) operating at room temperature, maintained by 1 M LiTFSI.

No data directly related to N-methyl-N-butyl-piperi-bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (PP14-RTIL) were found in literature. However, according to [237], 1-Butyl-1-methylpiperidinium bis ( trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide is toxic after swallowing or in touch with skin. It induces severe skin burns and eye damage.

Park et al. [238] compared two Li-S systems: The first one with 0.64 M LiTFSI IL electrolyte composed of tetravalent N, N-diethyl-N-methyl-(2-methoxyethyl) ammonium ammonia (DEME), and TFSI cations and the second one utilizing 0.98 M LiTFSI added to the TEGDME electrolyte.

As reported in [239], diethylmethyl (2-methoxyethyl) ammonium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide is not a hazardous substance or mixture.
Wang et al. [232] confronted the operation of a composite S-cathode/mesoporous carbon in two Li-S cells: The first one utilizing an electrolyte composed of 1 M 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (EMIM TFSI)/LiTFSI and the second one with an electrolyte composed of 1 M LiTFSI/PEGDME. The IL electrolytes had both improved cyclability and discharging capacity due to the lower solubility of the PS in the IL-based electrolyte.

Two pieces of information related to toxicity of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide were found in literature. Table 8 presented some hazard statements assigned to it.

According to [240], 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide is toxic if swallowed.

As reported in [241], an accidental ingestion of the 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (EMIM TFSI) can damage the human health. Such a material can induce eye irritation and damage, inflammation of the skin on touch, and respiratory irritation in humans.

Tachikawa et al. [242] used the so-called ‘quasi-IL’ [LiG4] [NTf2] (G4 = tetraethyleneglycoldimethylether, TEGDME), complex electrolytes of molten aglyme-Li salts. The [LiG4]+ cation was an equimolar complex of G4 and Li+. The utilized cells with S supported on 3D ordered macroporous C, as a cathode material.

No data related to the toxicity of [LiG4] [NTf2] were found in literature.

Yan et al. [243] selected the [P1A3] [TFSI] (P1A3Dn-methyl-N-Allylp yrrolidinium) for IL utilizing an Si/C anode in place of metallic Li.

Table 8. Hazard statements of dimethyl carbonate, 1-butyl-1-methylpiperidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazoliumbis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide, 1-allyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(pentafluoroethylsulfonyl)imide, and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate.

| Material                                           | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| dimethyl carbonate                                 | H225                  | [234]      |
| 1-butyl-1-methylpiperidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide | H301, H311, H314      | [237]      |
| 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazoliumbis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide | H301                  | [240]      |
| 1-allyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide | H315, H319            | [244]      |
| 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(pentafluoroethylsulfonyl)imide | H301                  | [245]      |
| 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate     | H315, H319            | [246]      |

No data related directly to the toxicity of [P1A3] [TFSI] (P1A3Dn-methyl-N-Allylp yrrolidinium) were found in literature. However, according to [244], 1- Allyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium Bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. Some hazard statements assigned to it are shown in Table 8.

Kim et al. [178] prepared the IL-organic mixture, adding various amounts of EMIMBETI imidazolium salts and BMIMP_6 to 0.5 M LiTFSI or 0.5 M LiPF_6 to DOL:DME (1:4 v/v).

Table 8 presented some hazard statements assigned to 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (pentafluoroethylsulfonyl) imide and 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate.

According to [245], 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (pentafluoroethylsulfonyl) imide [EMIM] BETI is toxic after swallowing.

As reported in [246], 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate induces skin irritation and serious irritation of eyes.

Carbonate-Based Electrolytes

Carbonate solvents are not proper electrolytes for Li-S cell, however, PAN-S [247–249] or AC-S [250] composite electrodes provided very strong reversible capacities in mixtures:
Ethylene carbonate-dimethyl carbonate (WE-DMC) [69,247,248,251] or propylene carbonate-ethylene carbonate-diethyl carbonate (PC-EC-DEC) [249,250] comprising 1 M LiPF$_6$.

Zhang et al. [252] used 1 M LiPF$_6$ in PC/EC/DEC (1:4:5 v/v/v) as the electrolyte for the S/C cathode spheres.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of acrylonitrile were found in literature.

Table 9 presented some hazard statements assigned to acrylonitrile (CH$_2$ = CHCN), ethylene carbonate, diethyl carbonate, propylene carbonate, and LiPF$_6$.

According [253], acrylonitrile (CH$_2$ = CHCN) is a flammable liquid that is highly toxic after ingestion and is a known carcinogen. PAN is non-hazardous compared to the monomer.

**Table 9.** Hazard statements of acrylonitrile (CH$_2$ = CHCN), ethylene carbonate, diethyl carbonate, propylene carbonate, and LiPF$_6$.

| Material               | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| acrylonitrile (CH$_2$ = CHCN) | H225, H301, H311, H315, H317, H318, H331, H335, H350, H411 | [254]       |
| ethylene carbonate     | H302, H315, H318, H319, H335, H373 | [255]       |
| diethyl carbonate      | H226, H315, H319, H333 | [256]       |
| propylene carbonate    | H319                   | [257]       |
| LiPF$_6$               | H302, H311, H314       | [258]       |

As reported in [254], acrylonitrile is a highly flammable liquid and vapor. It is toxic after swallowing, inhalation, or in touch with skin. It induces irritation of skin and serious eye damage. It may induce an allergic skin reaction, respiratory irritation, and cancer. It is also toxic to aquatic life with long lasting impacts.

No data related directly to the toxicity of active carbon (AC) were found in literature.

According to [255], ethylene carbonate (EC) is harmful after swallowing. It induces irritation of skin and respiratory tract, and also serious eye damage. It also induces damage to organs through prolonged or repeated endangering.

As reported in [256], diethyl carbonate (DEC) induces irritation of skin and respiratory tract, and serious eye irritation. It is also a flammable liquid and vapor.

According to [257], propylene carbonate induces serious irritation of eyes.

As reported in [258], LiPF$_6$ induces serious eye damage and severe skin burns. It is harmful after ingestion, has a strong corrosive impact on mouth and throat to the danger of perforation of oesophagus and stomach. It may induce irritation after inhalation.

2.5.2. Solid Electrolytes

Liu et al. [28] classified solid electrolytes in Li-S cells as polymer, gel, and glass/ceramic ones.

All-solid-state polymer electrolytes, [66,69] gel polymer electrolytes (GPEs; obtained by the hot-press, [69] phase inversion, [205,259] or electrospinning [260] approaches), glass–ceramic electrolytes, [70,261], and fast ionic conductors, [262–265] can be utilized in Li–S cells.

Solid Polymer Electrolytes

Solid polymer electrolyte (SPE) contains lithium salts added to a high molecular weight polymeric host functioning as solid solvents [266]. In the all-solid Li-S cell system, SPE limits dissolution and diffusion of PS, and also the transfer phenomenon, increasing the cyclability performance of the cell [267].

Poly (ethylene oxide) (PEO), PPO, PAN, PMMA, PVC, poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), and poly (vinylidene fluoride)-hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP) can be a solid host due to high power of solvation and ion transport capacity. PEO based on PEO has the high solvation power, complexing ability, and ion transport mechanism, directly affected by alkaline Li salts [268].
According to [269], polyphenylene ether (PPO) is available as pellets with a slight or no odor. Such a material is able to burn in a fire creating toxic smoke. As a molten, plastic can induce severe thermal burns. Its fumes produced during melt processing may induce irritation of eyes, skin, and respiratory tract. Severe over-endangering may cause nausea, headache, chills, and fever. Its mechanical operations can produce dust causing an explosion or respiratory hazard.

Manoukian et al. [270] reported that PMMA has great mechanical properties and low toxicity. According to [271], PVC contains chemicals with adverse impacts to the human health. Endangering to such a material often results in contact with phthalates and chlorine. Manufacturing, burning, or landfilling PVC releases dioxins. Phthalates, dioxins, and BPA can be endocrine disruptors.

As reported in [272], as a solid or dust, PVDF causes irritation or corneal injury due to mechanical action. It is essentially not irritating to the skin. It may induce mechanical injury only. As a molten material, it is able to burn skin. Its dust may induce irritation to the upper respiratory tract.

According to [273], PVDF-HFP is not a health hazard substance. No specific health hazards are known. However, its dust may induce irritation of eyes and the respiratory tract. Prolonged contact may induce dryness of the skin.

Weston and Steele [274] combined LiClO$_4$, LiTFSI, LiBF$_4$, and LiPF$_6$ with PEO. Liu et al. [28] reported the polymer electrolytes composed of Li salts in PEO.

Jeon et al. [275] tested the PEO-LiClO$_4$ combination as an electrolyte for the Li-S cell. Due to the poor ionic conductivity at room temperature, the PEO-LIX electrolytes are not proper for the Li-S cells. Such a problem can be resolved using the inorganic ceramic fillers, such as SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, ZrO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and LiAlO$_2$ in the polymer matrix of the host, to improve the ionic conductivity of the SPE [63–66,276–281].

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of SiO$_2$ were found in literature. Sergent et al. [282] reported that SiO$_2$-25 and SiO$_2$-100 nm induced a rather limited cytotoxic and genotoxic impacts on HT-29 cells after a 24 h exposure. However, inverse dose-dependent relationships occurred for SiO$_2$-100 nm NPs. The higher the dose of SiO$_2$-100 nm related to the weaker the cytotoxic/genotoxic impacts.

According to [283], SiO$_2$ induces serious irritation of eyes and respiratory irritation. It also may induce damage to organs (lung) through prolonged or repeated endangering (if inhaled).

According to [36], TiO$_2$ may induce irritation of eyes and skin. It exhibits low toxicity after ingestion and can induce irritation when inhaled. It can also induce cancer.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of ZrO$_2$ were found in literature. Arefian et al. [284] found that ZrO$_2$ increased ROS generation and the ROS induced the generation of free radicals in turn. Those free radicals induced damage to different tissues. Following, to damage to the liver, its enzymes leaked into the bloodstream excessively.

According to [285], ZrO$_2$ may induce irritation of eyes and skin. It may induce irritation when ingested or inhaled. It is also of a low aquatic toxicity.

As reported in [286], Al$_2$O$_3$ causes serious irritation of eyes and damage to organs through prolonged or repeated endangering. It may induce irritation of respiratory tract.

According to [287], LiAlO$_2$ may induce irritation of eyes and skin. The irritation can occur after ingestion or inhalation.

Table 10 presented some hazard statements assigned to SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$.

| Material | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------|-----------------------|------------|
| SiO$_2$  | H319, H335, H373      | [283]      |
| TiO$_2$  | H351                  | [36]       |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | H319, H335, H372   | [286]       |
The cyclability of Li-S cell with polymer electrolyte can be increased by the use of a PEO$_{20}$LiCF$_3$SO$_3$-ZrO$_2$ membrane smelled with 1 M LiPF$_6$ and a saturated Li$_2$S solution in EC-DMC [68,69,251].

The addition of ZrO$_2$ to the electrolyte in Li-S cell was reported in [68,288,289]. Liang et al. [290] utilized PEO 18LiTFSI-10 wt% SiO$_2$ as an electrolyte with a mesoporous C sphere with homogenous channels (OMC) supporting S as a cathode.

No data related directly to the toxicity of PEO$_{20}$LiCF$_3$SO$_3$-ZrO$_2$ were found in literature.

Gel Polymer Electrolytes

Xu and Ye [291] elaborated the gel polymer electrolyte (GPE) containing the liquid component tightly trapped in the polymer matrix.

The polymer films utilizing PEO, PVDF, and PVDF-HFP can be used in Li-S cells [69,249,292–295]. Wang et al. studied a gel electrolyte poly (vinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP) with plasticizers, such as EC-DMC and PC-EC-DEC at room temperature. The ionic conductivity was stronger than that for a PEO-based polymeric electrolyte. A strong discharging capacity and load of S are received with PAN-S [248,249] and C-S [247,250] electrodes. The combination of PVDF [294] or PVDF-HFP with TEGDME as a plasticizer [175] resulted in a poor use of sulfur. Combining TEGDME with EC as a plasticizer for PVDF-HFP microporous gel electrolytes allowed an improvement of Li-S cells [65].

Ryu et al. [294] combined a polymer matrix utilizing PVDF with LiTf/tetraglymeas electrolyte for Li-S cells.

No data directly related to the toxicity of EC-DMC, PC-EC-DEC and TEGDME-EC were found in literature.

According to [296], tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (tetraglyme) is able to damage fertility or the unborn child. Some hazard statements assigned to tetraglyme are shown in Table 11.

Hassoun and Scrosati [69] reported a metallic system without mercury using the Sn/C anode and the Li$_2$S/C cathode. They utilized a gel-type polymer membrane produced by the capture of LiPF$_6$/EC:DMC in a PEO/LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ polymer matrix as an electrolyte with a dispersed ceramic zirconium filler as an additive.

As reported in [297], the organic tin bonds are very dangerous for humans. The relatively short hydrogen bonds of triethyltin makes it much dangerous for human health than a tin substance with longer hydrogen bonds. Humans can absorb tin bonds via food and breathing and via the skin. Tin induces irritation of eyes and skin, headaches, stomach-aches, sickness and dizziness, severe sweating, breathlessness, urination troubles. It may also induce long-term impacts such as depressions, liver damage, malfunctioning of immune systems, chromosomal damage, shortage of red blood cells, brain damage (causing anger, sleeping disorders, forgetfulness, and headaches).

According to [298], zirconium compounds are generally considered to be of low toxicity.

Liu et al. [299] prepared a pentaerythritol tetraacrylate (PETEA)-based gel polymer electrolyte. The acrylate-based gel polymer electrolyte was in situ gelated into a polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)-based electrospun nanofiber network. The structural and synergetic conformity between the nanofiber network and gel polymer electrolyte allowed a high uptake ability and a high ionic conductivity of the electrolyte, accompanied by an ester-rich structure, resulted in the high electrochemical performances [300].

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of pentaerythritol triacrylate were found in literature. Some hazard statements assigned to pentaerythritol triacrylate are presented in Table 11.

As reported in [301], pentaerythritol triacrylate caused skin papillomas in the genetically modified mouse model used in these studies.

According to [302], pentaerythritol triacrylate induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. It may induce an allergic skin reaction.
Non-Polymer Electrolytes

Non-polymer electrolytes are suitable for all-solid Li-S cells, due to high thermal and chemical stability of the Li anode in the ambient atmosphere [303–306]. Different inorganic semiconductor electrolytes such as phosphates of the LiSICON type, perovskite type La(2/3)3xLi3xTiO3 (LLTO), Li3N, and Li4SiO4 [70,72,261,265,307] were investigated.

No data related to LiSICON and thio- LiSICON were found in literature.

According to [308], ionic conducting solid materials are non-toxic solid electrolytes. A family of the perovskite structure of La(2–3)x–Li3xTiO3 (hereafter abbreviated to LLTO) has the excellent Li ionic conductor. However, this information does not give enough information of non-toxicity of La(2–3)x–Li3xTiO3.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of Li4SiO4 were found in the literature. Some hazard statements assigned to Li4SiO4 are presented in Table 11.

According to information given in [309], Li4SiO4 may induce irritation of eyes, skin, and respiratory tract. Inorganic silicon compounds may be acute inhalation irritants. Prolonged inhalation may induce silicosis. Serious amounts of Li compounds may induce vomiting, diarrhea, ataxia, intestinal irritation, kidney injury, central nervous system suppression, and a drop in blood pressure.

As reported in [310], Li4SiO4 may induce respiratory irritation. It induces irritation of skin and eyes.

The family of sulphide compounds forming a solid amorphous electrolyte is the best known inorganic electrolyte in the solid state. Several studied on the glass electrolyte Li2S–P2S5 were reported in [71,311–314]. Agostini et al. [315] reported, that a solid-state Li–S cell with the Li2S–P2S5 electrolyte exhibited the enhanced specific energy, steadiness, and low-cost. Yamada et al. [316] found that in the all-solid-state Li–S cell with a glass-type P2S5–Li2S electrolyte, the solid electrolyte component physically prevented Ps migration.

The mechanically ground glass Li2S–20P2S5 (mol%) had a very high conductivity at room temperature, due to the creation of Li7PS6 [317], described as “superionic” and crystallographic analogs of thio-LiSICON [318].

Hayashi et al. [70] used the Li2S–P2S5 ceramic electrolyte in the Li–S cell with the S/CuS cathode. Nagao et al. [261] used a S/C composite electrode and Li2S–P2S5 ceramic glass electrolyte.

No data related to the toxicity of Li2S–P2S5 were found in literature.

According to [319], Li2P2S11 containing the similar component as Li2S–P2S5 can be toxic after swallowing or inhalation. It may induce severe skin burns and eye damage. It is very toxic to aquatic life. However, this information does not give enough information about non-toxicity of Li2S–P2S5.

Recently, the related compound Li10GeP2Si12 was applied in the Li–S system [320].

Moreover, cells with anodes made of the Li–In alloys and Li10GeP2Si12 as a fixed electrolyte were combined [321]. The cathode material was obtained by a mechanical-chemical treatment of a mixture of Li1.5PS3.3, S, and C.

No data related to the toxicity of Li–In alloy and to Li1.5PS3.3 were found in literature.

According to [322], Li10GeP2Si12 is harmful after swallowing. It may induce irritation of skin, eyes, and respiratory tract. Some hazard statements assigned to Li10GeP2Si12 are shown in Table 11.

The nanoporous β-Li3PS4 was reported in [323]. Nanometric Li2S molecules coated with β-Li3PS4 were the active substance for the cathode described in [324].

Lin et al. [325] used a S-rich PS Li phosphate as the cathode for the Li–S cell utilizing a solid Li3PS4 electrolyte.

According to [326], β-Li3PS4 is toxic after swallowing and harmful after inhalation. It induces severe skin burns and eye damage. It is also very toxic to aquatic life.

As reported in [327], it is a flammable solid. In contact with water, it releases flammable gas. It is toxic after swallowing and harmful after swallowing or inhalation. It induces skin irritation and serious eye damage. It may induce respiratory irritation. It is also very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.
Table 11. Hazard statements of Li$_4$SiO$_4$, Li$_7$P$_5$S$_{11}$, Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$, β-Li$_3$PS$_4$, Li$_3$PS$_4$, SiS$_2$, and Li$_4$PS$_3$Cl.

| Material       | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------------|------------------------|------------|
| Li$_4$SiO$_4$   | H315, H320              | [310]      |
| Li$_7$P$_5$S$_{11}$ | H228, H260, H301, H302, H332, H314, H318, H400 | [319]      |
| Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$ | H302, H315, H319, H335 | [322]      |
| β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ | H228, H260, H301, H314, H318, H332, H400 | [326]      |
| Li$_3$PS$_4$   | H228, H261, H301, H302, H332, H315, H318, H335, H410 | [327]      |
| SiS$_2$       | H261, H300, H315, H319, H335 | [330]      |
| Li$_4$PS$_3$Cl | H228, H260, H301, H314, H318, H332, H400 | [332]      |

H261—In contact with water releases flammable gas; H300—Fatal if swallowed.

Some hazard statements assigned to β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ and Li$_3$PS$_4$ are presented in Table 11. Li$_2$S-SiS$_2$ and Li$_2$S-P$_5$S$_{12}$ ceramic glasses are suitable electrolytes in Li-S cells with all solid components. Conductivity increases by crystallization of 80Li$_2$S-20P$_2$S$_{12}$ (mole%) by mechanical grinding [70,71,76]. The high capacity was maintained for the use of CuS as a cathode, and metallic ion as a current collector for lithium deposition [312]. A glass 60Li$_2$S-40SiS$_2$ electrolyte was reported in [73,74]. A 60Li$_2$S-40SiS$_2$ glass electrolyte was reported in [312]. The lithium-ion conductors, such as thio-LISICON (Li$_{1.25}$Ge$_{0.25}$P$_{0.75}$S$_4$) and 3Li$_2$SiS$_2$·0.5La$_2$S$_3$ were reported in [328,329]. Kobayashi et al. [72] used a thio-LISICON as a solid electrolyte.

No data related to the toxicity of 80Li$_2$S-20P$_2$S$_{12}$, Li$_2$S-SiS$_2$, 60Li$_2$S-40SiS$_2$, 3Li$_2$SiS$_2$·0.5La$_2$S$_3$ and CuS were found in literature.

According to [330], SiS$_2$ is fatal after swallowing. It induces skin irritation and serious eye irritation. It may induce respiratory irritation. Some hazard statements assigned to SiS$_2$ are shown in Table 11.

As reported in [331], indium is toxic if more than a few milligrams are consumed and can affect the development of an embryo or fetus.

Table 12. The use of LiNO$_3$ polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as the carbon precursor, and Li$_x$NO$_3$ form an appropriate surface coating preventing reaction of Li with PS.
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Polysulphides

Polysulphides were also added to the Li-S electrolyte allowing the building of SEI anodes [335,336]. Xiong et al. [336] investigated the symmetric cells Li/Li and Li/S with 0.2 M Li$_2$S$_6$ as a co-salt in electrolyte 0.8 M LiTFSI DOL:DME (1:1 v/v).

The long-chain PSs added to the electrolyte eliminated the formation of insoluble Li$_2$S$_2$ and Li$_2$S within the carbon matrix and allowed the creation of a protective layer SEI on the Li electrode.

Xiong et al. [337] used the mixture of LiNO$_3$ and Li PSs allowing the creation of the SEI film in Li-S cells. Such a SEI film had two sublayers. The dense bottom layer contained Li$_2$S$_2$, Li$_2$S, and LiN$_x$O$_y$. The upper layer contained stable Li$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ and Li$_2$S$_4$O$_4$ compounds.

No data related to the toxicity of long-chain PSs were found in literature.

According to [338], in contact with water, Li$_2$S is able to release flammable gas. It is toxic after swallowing. It induces severe skin burns and eye damage. Some hazard statements assigned to Li$_2$S are shown in Table 12.

Phosphorus Pentasulfide

Another additive, phosphorus pentasulfide (P$_2$S$_5$) was reported in [339].

| Material | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------|------------------------|------------|
| LiNO$_3$ | H272, H302, H319       | [334]      |
| Li$_2$S  | H261, H301, H314       | [338]      |
| P$_2$S$_5$ | H228, H260, H302, H332, H400 | [340] |

According to [340], P$_2$S$_5$ is a flammable solid. In contact with water it releases flammable gases igniting spontaneously. It is harmful after swallowing or inhalation. It is also very toxic to aquatic life. Some hazard statements assigned to P$_2$S$_5$ are shown in Table 12.

2.6. Anode Materials

Metallic lithium is commonly utilized as an anode material for Li-S cells, but because of its instability in organic electrolytes, generates problems related to the safety of cells. According to [52], a Li anode needs to be protected against the side deposition and corrosion of PSs.

The electrolyte additives, including LiNO$_3$ [341–343] and InI$_3$ [344], and anodic protection interlayers, such as PEDOT-co-PEG [345] and Li$_3$N [346] limited the unwanted lithium dendrite development.

According to [347], indium (III) iodide may induce serious irritation of eyes, an allergic skin reaction, or irritation of respiratory tract. It is also able to damage fertility or the unborn child.

No data related to the toxicology of PEDOT-co-PEG were found in literature. However, according to [348], poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-blockpoly (ethylene glycol) is a flammable liquid and vapor. It is harmful after swallowing and suspected of causing cancer.

As reported in [349], in contact with water, Li$_3$N releases flammable gases igniting spontaneously. It induces severe skin burns and serious eye damage.

Some hazard statements assigned to indium (III) iodide, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-blockpoly (ethylene glycol), and to Li$_3$N are presented in Table 13.
Table 13. Hazard statements of indium (III) iodide, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-block-poly (ethylene glycol), and Li$_3$N.

| Material                                           | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Indium (III) iodide                                 | H314, H317, H334, H361 | [347]      |
| poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-block-poly (ethylene glycol) | H302, H226, H351 | [348]      |
| Li$_3$N                                             | H314, H318, H260       | [349]      |

H334—May cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled.

2.6.1. Lithium Anode

The lithium anode degrades during cyclic operation, creating dendrites decreasing the cyclability performance, and creating safety problems for renewable batteries [350–353]. These problems can be solved by adding LiNO$_3$, PS, or P$_2$S$_5$ to electrolytes. Changing the amounts of PSs and LiNO$_3$ in the electrolyte, Li et al. [354] obtained a stable and even SEI built on the Li surface. That greatly limited the side reactions and dendrite development. Yan et al. [355] used a 0.02 M Li$_2$S$_5$ (0.10M sulfur)-5.0 wt% LiNO$_3$ based ether electrolyte to increase the cycling stability of the Li metallic anode.

No data related to the toxicity of 0.02 M Li$_2$S$_5$ (0.10M sulfur)-5.0 wt% LiNO$_3$ were found in literature. Protected anodes from metallic Li can limit the corrosion problems of the anodes in Li-S cells. According to [356], several hosts for Li metal anode can limit the Li dendrite development, stabilizing the Li metal/electrolyte interface, diminishing volume change, and enhancing the electrode efficiency. Such hosts are the following: Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, graphene–CNT hybrid, C nanofiber, porous C, graphite particles, graphite microtubes, TiC-C hybrid, 3D Cu current, Cu-Ni hybrid, metal foam, AlF$_3$ framework, Li alloys, and glass fibers. Such matrices control the nucleation and growth of Li ion by the lithiophilic sites and limit the Li dendrite development by the enhanced surface areas. The above-mentioned hosts are merely matrices without Li in them. The most promising are Li metal anode half cells or full cells with the routine Li-containing oxide or phosphate counter electrodes.

No data directly related to the toxicity of graphene–CNT hybrid, C nanofiber, porous C, graphite microtubes, TiC-C hybrid, 3D Cu current, Cu-Ni hybrid, metal foam, AlF$_3$ framework, Li alloys, and glass fibers used as host anode material were found in literature. According to [357], carbon nanotubes cause serious eye irritation and may induce respiratory irritation. As reported in [358], graphite powder may induce irritation of eyes, skin, and respiratory tract. It may induce gastrointestinal irritation with nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea.

According to [359], TiC is a flammable solid. It is able to induce irritation of eyes and skin. The irritation can occur after ingestion or inhalation. It is of low aquatic toxicity.

As reported in [360], granular copper is a combustible dust. It is fatal if swallowed or inhaled. It may induce damage to the digestive system, hematopoietic system, kidneys, nose, respiratory system, and skin via prolonged or repeated endangering if inhaled. It induces serious irritation of eyes. It is able to induce an allergic skin reaction and genetic defect. It is also very toxic to aquatic life.

According to [361], copper nickel is a flammable solid. It may induce an allergic skin reaction and respiratory irritation. It induces serious irritation of eyes and damage to organs via prolonged or repeated endangering if inhaled. It is suspected of causing cancer. It is also of the high aquatic toxicity.

Additionally, as reported in [362], nickel is a flammable solid. It may induce an allergic skin reaction. It is suspected of causing cancer. It induces damage to organs via prolonged or repeated endangering via inhalation. It is also very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting impacts.

According to [363], AlF$_3$ is fatal after swallowing. It induces severe skin burns and damage of eyes.

Table 14 presented some hazard statements assigned to carbon nanotube, TiC, granular copper, CuNi, Ni, and AlF$_3$. 

---
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Table 14. Hazard statements of carbon nanotube, TiC, granular copper, CuNi, Ni, and AlF₃.

| Material        | Class of EC 1272/2008 | References |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------|
| carbon nanotube | H319, H335             | [357]      |
| TiC             | H228                   | [359]      |
| copper granular | H300, H330, H319, H317, H340, H373, H400 | [360] |
| CuNi            | H228, H317, H319, H335, H351, H372 | [361] |
| Ni              | H228, H317, H351, H372, H410 | [359] |
| AlF₃            | H300, H314             | [360]      |

H330—Fatal if inhaled; H340—May cause genetic defects.

From the high temperature alloying reaction of Li and B, Cheng et al. [364] derived a 3D fibrous Li₇B₆ matrix used as an inert host for elemental Li.

A next composite Li metal anode was received by a melting process [365–368]. Utilizing the LiF surface passivation on a 3D layered Li-rGO electrode a composite Li metallic anode was obtained and rendered Li−S cells [369].

No data related to toxicity of 3D fibrous Li₇B₆, LiF, and 3D layered Li-rGO were found in literature.

2.6.2. Protected Anodes from Metallic Lithium

A stable thin protective layer can be performed on the Li anode using the plasma polymerization, which aids the corrosion of the anode [370].

The Li₂CO₃ protective layer can be formed on the anode by exposing the carbon dioxide electrode [371,372].

According to [373], Li₂CO₃ is harmful after swallowing. It induces skin irritation, serious eye irritation, and eye damage. It may induce irritation of respiratory tract and damage fertility or the unborn child. It also induces damage to organs through prolonged or repeated endangering.

The reaction between Li and DOL also creates a protective layer on the lithium surface, which increases the durability of the Li anode [183].

No data related to the toxicity of such protective layer were found in literature.

The lifetime of Li-S cell can be prolonged using the LiAl alloy layer on the lithium surface [374] or LiNO₃ and organic nitrate additives in liquid electrolytes [375].

No data related to the toxicity of LiAl were found in literature.

Li et al. [354] obtained thicker and less severe morphology of Li fibers deposited in the LiNO₃ electrolyte in comparison to that obtained at the lack of LiNO₃. They found that LiNO₃ alone cannot limit the growth of dendrites. Moreover, a density of the arising Li particles decreased with the addition of both Li₂S₈ and LiNO₃ to the electrolyte.

According to [356], surface coating can provide a protective layer on the Li metallic anode. Such coating materials included Al₂O₃, some polymers, and alloys. The Li−S cell with a thin Li−Al alloy coating layer on the Li anode has a higher cyclability than one with pure Li anode.

Three pieces of information related to the toxicity of Al₂O₃ were found in literature.

According to [376], Al₂O₃ is harmful after inhalation.

As reported in [377], aluminas are nuisance dusts but their role in fibrogenic lung disease is still unclear. Serious aluminium levels occurred in the lungs of subjects in the long-term exposed to alumina dust. Animal experiments showed that prolonged inhalation of alumina increased the Al concentration in the brain. An Al, Al₂O₃, and aluminium hydroxide Al(OH)₃ induced no pulmonary impacts. In some of aluminium smelter employees, either minimal or absent fibronodular disease and no excess mortality accompanied by pneumoconiosis were observed. Some researches pointed that alumina can generate aluminosis while others proposed the utilization of powdered alumina by inhalation as a therapeutic method for silicosis. The chronic endangering to serious concentrations of alumina can induce a light pulmonary fibrogenic reaction and the existence of small opacities on the chest X-ray. A fibrosis can occur after the prolonged endangering to alumina during the production of abrasives.
Independently from endangering to other pneumotoxicants, massive inhalation of aluminium or alumina can favor the development of interstitial fibrosis. An asthmatic form syndrome can occur among potroom workers endangered to volatile fluorides. A respiratory obstructive syndrome can occur among employees of the manufacture of aluminium fluoride.

Sadiq et al. [378] found the growth inhibitory impact of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ NPs on microalgae. Bulk alumina also exhibited toxicity though to a lesser extent. A chlorophyll content decreased in the treated cells compared to the untreated ones, more intensively in the case of nanoparticles. Interaction of the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ NPs with the cell surface can occur.

Cha et al. [379] used 2D molybdenum disulfide ($\text{MoS}_2$) as a protective layer for Li-metal anodes to increase the efficiency of Li-S cells. The utilization of $\text{MoS}_2$ allowed obtaining a stable Li electrodeposition and limiting dendrite nucleation sites.

Chen et al. [380] reported that cytotoxicity and patch tests confirmed the weak toxicity of molybdenum disulfide ($\text{MoS}_2$) atomically thin film.

Table 15 presented some hazard statements assigned to $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.

| Material     | Class of EC 1272/2008     | References |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|
| $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ | H302, H315, H318, H319, H335, H360, H372 | [373] |
| $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | H332 | [376] |

2.7. Separators

Separator is a key element in the Li-S cell [381–383].

Yao et al. [378] elaborated the cell with the polypropylene (PP) separator and the conducting cover of different materials, such as MWCNTs or a Super P.

Microporous polyolefin membranes are the usually used separators for lithium cells.

In the beginning, a polymer separator was modified by covering with a Super-P carbon layer on one side [384].

In [385], a Nafion layer was introduced on a PP/PE/PP separator.

No data directly related to the toxicity of microporous polyolefin membranes and PP/PE/PP separator were found in literature.

Hwang et al. [386] described that the accumulation of microplastic particles in humans can induce cytotoxicity, hypersensitivity, unwanted immune response, and acute response such as hemolysis. They studied the cellular responses of secondary polypropylene microplastics (PP particles) in various conditions and sizes to normal cells, immune ones, blood ones, and murine immune ones. The analyzed PP particles exhibited a weak cytotoxicity impact in size and concentration way. They can induce pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF alpha, and histamine causing a local immune response.

Analyzing the multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) of comparable length, Allegri et al. [387] observed that for the size above 40 nm, there was no detrimental impacts on the cell viability of macrophages. The mild cytotoxicity occurred for the size between 15 and 40 nm, with a mitigating impact of functionalization. Substantial mitigation of the changes induced by pristine MWCNT occurred both with carboxyl- and amino-functionalized MWCNT. It meant that the impact was affected not only by a negative or positive surface charge. Instead, either functionalized preparation strongly tended to agglomeration strictly affected by the presence of proteins. Either carboxyl- or amino-functionalized MWCNT adsorbed more serum proteins than pristine counterparts. The formation of larger agglomerates, affected by various protein coronae, mitigated the biological impacts of functionalized MWCNT in protein-rich biological media.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of Super P carbon were found in literature.

Chaudhuri et al. [388] considered a carbon black (Super P) as a poorly soluble, weak toxicity (PSLT) particle. However, carbon black may be directly genotoxic, and is also able to induce reproductive
toxicity. The carbon black cannot directly interact with DNA. Under its high concentrations, carbon black induces inflammation and oxidative stress in the lung leading to mutations. Carbon black can induce non-specific inflammatory effects under serious exposure doses. An oral gavage investigation reported no adverse reproductive or developmental impacts at the highest dose tested. The carbon black is not a direct genotoxic or reproductive toxicant.

As reported in [389], Super P carbon may induce irritation of eyes and skin. According to [390], polyolefin particulates are able to scratch eye surfaces and induce mechanical irritation. According to [341], separators comprise anodic alumina with a thickness of 60 microns and exhibit a well-fitting nanopores throughout their thickness.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of aluminium were found in literature. According to [391], the aluminium was studied for its potential to induce toxic impacts in humans exposed via inhalation, oral, or dermal contact. The lungs and nervous system were the most sensitive targets of toxicity following inhalation endangering.

Wang et al. [392] conducted the in vitro nanotoxicity investigation using anodic alumina nanotubes (AANTs). Cytotoxicity studies were carried out with RAW 264.7 mouse macrophage cells and MDA-MB 231-TXSA human breast cancer cells. The obtained toxicity was affected by the cell-type and AANTs dose, length of time, and the AR window of AANTs. Long AANTs triggered enhanced cell death, morphological changes, tumor necrosis factor a (TNF-a) release, lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP), and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress than short AANTs. The toxic AR window of AANTs was equal to 7.8, which was shorter than that of other high aspect ratio (HAR) nanomaterials.

Liu et al. [52] reported the separator coated by a thin layer of C, polymer or oxide on the cathode side or grafted by suitable functional groups. Super-P, graphene, mesoporous C, multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT)@PEG, Nafion, polydopamine, glassy fiber paper, black P, sulfonated acetylene black, and carboxyl functional groups can decorate commercial separators and increase the immobilization function.

Zhou et al. [90] elaborated a graphene secured separator used to create a sandwich-structured S cathode with the graphene-separator bilayer.

Chen et al. [393] studied the toxicity of mesoporous carbon nanomaterials MCNs in the lung under the scenario of moderate environmental endangering. At a weak concentration MCNs induced biophysical inhibition of natural pulmonary surfactant and decreased the viability of J774A.1 macrophages and lung epithelial A549 cells. Incubating with nature pulmonary surfactant decreased the cytotoxicity of MCNs. Such nanomaterials activated macrophages in vitro, and stimulated lung inflammation in mice after inhalation endangering, associated with lung fibrosis. The size of MCNs affected cytotoxicity and their pro-inflammatory potential. The larger size caused more pronounced cytotoxic and pro-inflammatory impacts than the smaller one.

Zhao et al. [394] reported that polydopamine (PDA) nanoparticles had a strong anti-inflammation therapeutic impact on acute inflammation-induced injury. PDA with enriched phenol groups eliminated reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during inflammations.

Hesterberg et al. [395] determined that the chronic biological impacts in Fisher 344 rats inhaled the respirable fractions of fibrous glass (FG). FG endangering was linked with a nonspecific inflammation in the lungs that stabilized during long term endangering. These cellular changes were convertible and congruous to impacts caused by inhalation of inert dust. No lung fibrosis occurred in the FG-exposed rats. Further, FG endangering caused no mesotheliomas and no enhancing in lung tumor incidence. The respirable fibrous glass exhibited no insignificant hazard for fibrotic or neoplastic lung disease in humans.

Sun et al. [396] studied in vitro and in vivo toxicity of black phosphorus BP nanosheets. The BP nanosheets decreased the viability of human bronchial epithelial cells in a time- and dose-dependent manner. They interfered with the mitochondrial membrane potential increasing the intracellular ROS. These responses further activated the caspase-3 and ultimately dictated cells to undergo apoptosis.
The single injection of BP nanosheets caused no toxicity to mice in a short period of time, whereas multiple injections of BP nanosheets disrupted the liver and renal function of mice. Such functions returned to normal after a recovery period.

Kalgutkar and Scott [397] reported that a carboxylic acid-containing NSAIDs were withdrawn from clinical use due to their induction of hepatotoxicity. Acylglucuronides and acyl CoA thioesters caused toxicity associated with this functional group. Reactions of such metabolites with critical proteins can disturb the ion cellular functionality or may induce an immune response, causing adverse impacts that can be fatal.

Yu et al. [398] elaborated the PP separator grafted by facile carboxyl functional groups.

Zhao et al. [399] presented that the a G-LTO/PP separator coated with a dense hybrid system comprised orientation adjusted graphene layers and evenly embedded Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ NPs.

No data directly related to the toxicity of grafting facile carboxyl functional groups and a G-LTO/PP were found in literature.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ were found in literature.

Kim D. and Kim J. [400] stated that the non-toxic Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ can be utilized as an anode for lithium ion cell.

Jo et al. [401] reported that the in vitro cytotoxicity test of phosphidated-Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ proved a high cell viability, indicating that the phosphidation is helpful in resolving the toxicity problem of NPs.

According to the review made by Ou et al. [402], the toxicity of graphene-family nanomaterials (GFNs) in animals or cell models was affected by the lateral size, surface structure, functionalization, charge, impurities, aggregations, and corona effect. The GFN toxicity is manifested through physical destruction, oxidative stress, DNA damage, inflammatory response, apoptosis, autophagy, and necrosis. In the mentioned mechanisms, (toll-like receptors-) TLR-, transforming growth factor β- (TGF-β-), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) dependent-pathways created the signaling pathway network strongly affected by oxidative stress.

Sironval et al. [403] reported that LTO particles caused an acute inflammation and long persistence in mice lungs after administration but no inflammation or fibrosis occurred. The low toxicity of LTO resulted from low-toxic TiO$_2$ content therein. TiO$_2$ induced low acute inflammation and no fibrosis when inhaled.

Zhuang et al. [404] elaborated a ternary-layered separator comprising a macroporous PP matrix layer, GO barrier, and Nafion retarding layer applicable to Li–S batteries.

Zhuang et al. [405] elaborated a porous PAN/GO nanofiber membrane separator.

Two pieces of information related to the toxicity of PAN/GO were found in literature.

Sharma et al. [406] elaborated graphene-oxide-based hydrophobic PAN/GO nanofibers. The graphene oxide nanofibers can photo-catalytically degrade the carcinogenic dyes from industrial water.

Lee et al. [407] elaborated the polyacrylonitrile-graphene oxide (PAN–GO) composite nanofibers utilized during formation of membrane sheets. Such composite membranes can be used in the purification of water. The suitable integration of GO into the PAN nanofibers provide the high protein rejection rate and water flux during the filtration process.

Bai et al. [408] presented a separator based on a metal–organic framework (MOF)/GO composite film. No data directly related to the toxicity of (MOF)/GO composite were found in literature.

Filippousi et al. [409] found that both extracellular and intracellular homeostasis depends on the occurrence of metal atoms in the MOFs coordinated bonds structure. The degree of the homeostatic changes is strongly affected by the material’s composition and structure.

During tests on human epithelial cells from fetal cervical carcinoma (HeLa) and murine macrophage cell line (J774), Tamames-Tabar et al. [410] evaluated the toxicity of fourteen porous metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with various constitutions (Fe, Zn, and Zr carboxylates or imidazolates) and arrangements. The MOF NPs showed weak cytotoxicity. The less toxic were the Fe carboxylate NPs and the more toxic were the Zn imidazolate ones. The cytotoxicity values, higher in J774 cells than
in HeLa cells, were strongly affected by their constitution and cell internalization capacity. The cell uptake of Fe-MOF-NPs showed faster kinetics of cell penetration within J774 than within HeLa cells.

Ruyra et al. [411] evaluated the toxicity of sixteen uncovered MOFs in exposed zebrafish embryos. They found that the toxicity was affected by the material setup and the individual-related leaching of metal ions.

Ren et al. [412] reported that the toxicity of IRMOF-3, a rigid cubic zinc-based MOF was affected by time and strength during tests on rat pheochromocytoma cells.

Grall et al. [413] reported that mesoporous MOFs based on Fe, Al, or Cr exhibited low toxicity during tests on hepatic cells (HepG2 and Hep3B) and lung cells (A549 and Calu-3).

Wagner et al. [414] tested in a model-way the toxicity of a MIL-160, a microporous hydrophilic aluminium-based MOF with a five-membered ring and an oxygen heteroatom, and ZIF-8, a hydrophobic framework of zeolitic imidazolate on the human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B) cells.

Chang et al. [415] reported a separator modulated by the single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs).

In animal studies reviewed by Kobayashi et al. [416], CNTs could cause inflammation, fibrosis, and lung cancer after long-term inhalation and gene damage in the lung. CNTs were also highly bio-persistent. Mice administered with MWCNTs could exhibit fetal malformations, fetal loss, changed behavior for offsprings and the first litter delayed. Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) could cause embryolethal and teratogenic changes in mice. Tubes could cause death and growth delay in chicken embryos. The carcinogenicity of CNTs could be lower if the fibers are shorter.

Kumar et al. [417] reported that Celgard separators are often used in the Li-ion batteries.

Weng et al. [418] discussed a Celgard separator covered with lihiathed Nafion/polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), i.e., PVDF-Nafion covered Celgard (PNC) developed for blocking PS ions. They studied nonaqueous lithium/organosulfides battery (LOB) using such PNC separator.

Deepa et al. [419] reported the utilization of a porous organic polymer-coated Celgard 2320 (POP-CG) separator in the Li–S cell.

One piece of information related to the toxicity of the Celgard polypropylene-polyetherlene-polypropylene separator was found in literature.

According to [420], no specific danger relates to the normal use of the product. However, the plastic film left on the ground is slippery and during converting of film rolls electrostatic discharges can occur. When exposed to flames, polyethylene film burns, giving off harmful fumes. Molten droplets of polymer can be produced, igniting the adjacent flammable and/or combustible materials. By direct ignition the product burns with flames, developing H2O, CO2, and CO. The fire products are irritant and toxic. In the growing fires, hydrocarbons and aldehydes (acetaldehyde, crotonaldehyde) may be formed.

3. Materials and Methods

Using ‘logical’ database searches, literature from electronic databases such as ‘ISI Web of Science’, ‘Scopus’, and ‘Google Scholar’ was obtained. The period studied in the literature on lithium-sulfur cells ranged from 1962 to 2020. Only articles published in English are included, as they are widely available to readers around the world.

4. Summary

The review of materials used for components of different Li-S cells and the toxicity and hazards of these materials has been done. The review showed lots of kind of materials with different levels of toxicity utilized for manufacturing of this new class of cells. Some materials, for example, vanadium pentoxide is of low toxicity and some others, for example, DEGDME and TEGDME ethers are of the high toxicity. Unstudied toxicity may relate to the final form of the Li-S cell component or, more rarely, to the raw material used to manufacture the component or material particles during recycling of the cell component. A lot of materials, for example, LiClO4 have assigned different hazard statements. For some of materials, for example, Al2O3, no hazard statements assigned to it were found in literature, although such materials are toxic. No data related to the toxicity of some materials, for example,
dimethylene glycol dimethyl ether were found in literature. This pointed to the necessity of further studies on their toxicity and legal actions to assign appropriate hazard statements. Suggestions can be made with caution regarding the least toxic materials used for the main components of Li-S cell. These suggestions are shown in Table 16.

| Material                       | Li-S Cell Component | Comments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gelatin                        | Binder              | Practically non-toxic                                                    |
| Gelgrad                        | Separator           | Practically non-toxic                                                    |
| Perovskite type La_{2/3}Li_{3/3}TiO_3 (LLT) | Electrolyte | Ionic conducting solid materials are the least electrolytes              |
| Lithium protected by DOL layer | Anode               | Protecting layer limits the toxicity of pure lithium                     |
| Carbon + Sulfur                | Cathode             | C is much lower toxic than S. The content of S should be limited to the necessary minimum. |
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