Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder joint is a common disease characterized by pain at the insertion area of the deltoid muscle and decreased range of motion. The pathophysiological process involves fibrous inflammation of the capsule and intraarticular adhesion of synovial folds leading to capsular thickening and contracture. Regarding the multidirectional limitation of motion, a limitation in external rotation is especially prominent, which is related to not only global fibrosis but also to a localized tightness of the anterior capsule. Ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging studies can be applied to rule out other structural lesions in the diagnosis of adhesive capsulitis. Hydraulic distension of the shoulder joint capsule provides pain relief and an immediate improvement in range of motion by directly expanding the capsule along with the infusion of steroids. However, the optimal technique for hydraulic distension is still a matter of controversy, with regards to the infusion volume and rupture of the capsule. By monitoring the real-time pressure-volume profile during hydraulic distension, the largest possible fluid volume can be infused without rupturing the capsule. The improvement in clinical outcomes is shown to be greater in capsule-preserved hydraulic distension than in capsule-ruptured distension. Moreover, repeated distension is possible, which provides additional clinical improvement. Capsule-preserved hydraulic distension with maximal volume is suggested to be an efficacious treatment option for persistent adhesive capsulitis.
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and trends of hydraulic distension as a therapeutic intervention.

**The clinical presentation of adhesive capsulitis**

Adhesive capsulitis is characterized by shoulder pain and gradually decreasing shoulder ROM [1]. In 1896, Duplay defined periartthritis as reduced ROM due to capsular fibrosis; in 1934, Codman defined frozen shoulder as slowly progressing shoulder pain and reduced ROM without abnormal radiographic findings; and in 1945, Neviser defined adhesive capsulitis caused pathologically by inflammation and fibrosis [2]. Various other names have also been used, including pericapsulitis and painful stiff shoulder [1,8].

Adhesive capsulitis affects 2% to 10% of the population, is more prevalent in women, and the age of onset is usually around 40 to 69 years (average, 55 years) [9]. The nondominant side is more commonly affected; however, 20% to 30% of patients are bilaterally affected and recurrence in the same shoulder is known to be relatively rare [10-12]. Adhesive capsulitis occurs more frequently in patients with endocrine diseases, such as diabetes mellitus or thyroid disease, or autoimmune disease; in particular, diabetes mellitus patients show an increased prevalence of up to 20% [13,14]. It has been rarely reported to be associated with hyperlipidemia [15,16]. Predisposing factors include long-term immobilization after trauma or surgery, calcific tendinitis, rotator cuff tear, and glenohumeral or acromioclavicular arthritis [17].

In order to diagnose adhesive capsulitis, plain radiography findings should be normal, though there may be accompanying osteopenia. Plain radiography is useful for differentiation from other diseases causing acute pain and reduced ROM [3]. The MRI and ultrasound to differentiate accompanying diseases, such as calcific tendinitis, rotator cuff tear, or bursitis, is not directly required but can help with diagnosis. Generally, clinical diagnosis through physical examination is the most important.

Pathological findings include reactive fibrosis and contracture of the joint capsule due to synovial inflammation, capsular and synovial adhesions, and dense capsular hypertrophy; these are most severe in the axillary recess and may progress as far as the attachment to the anatomical neck of the humerus [10,18-21]. According to recent research, joint capsules with reduced ROM are accompanied by not only global fibroplasia but also localized contracture of rotator cuff interval and the coracohumeral ligament [22]. This may be related to the clinical characteristic wherein external rotation shows the most severe decrease in ROM. The coracohumeral ligament is a target structure of stretching exercises and is an important pathological structure in adhesive capsulitis [23]. In addition, this could be the basis for why surgical treatment produces good outcomes even when only performed on the anterior part of the joint capsule [22].

The course of the disease can be divided into four stages depending on pain, duration of illness, arthroscopic findings, and pathologic appearance [18-20]. Stage 1 is the preadhesion and inflammation stage, pertaining to the first 3 months, in which severe pain is mostly experienced at night at the attachment of the deltoid muscle, but the shoulder ROM is preserved. Arthroscopy shows synovial inflammation and there are pathological findings of hypervascular synovitis. Stage 2 is the freezing stage, occurring at around 3 to 9 months, in which there is severe pain and progression of reduced ROM. Arthroscopy shows proliferative synovitis and adhesions, and there are pathological appearances of hypervascular synovitis, fibrous proliferation, and scarring. Stage 3 is the frozen stage, at 9 to 15 months, in which pain gradually decreases and is experienced at the ends of the ROM, but there is a severe decrease in ROM. In arthroscopy, hypervascularity is no longer observed, but there is hypertrophy of the joint capsule and loss of the axillary fold. Pathological findings include burned-out synovitis and dense hypercellular scarring. Stage 4 is the thawing stage, pertaining to 15 to 24 months, in which there is almost no pain and joint ROM gradually improves. Arthroscopy shows capsular scarring and mature adhesions. There have been no reports of the pathological appearance at this stage (Table 1) [18-20]. However, staging of adhesive capsulitis is difficult to implement, because it does not always fit well with the clinical symptoms. According to a recent study, 27.7% of patients with chronic adhesive capsulitis persisting for more than 2 years are not satisfied with the state of their shoulders [5]. In addition, it may be difficult to perform the arthroscopic and pathological examinations only required to determine the disease stage. Therefore, adhesive capsulitis can be considered a chronic disease that requires active treatment from the initial stages.

**Treatment approaches to adhesive capsulitis**

Adhesive capsulitis presents with pain due to inflammation of the joint capsule, progressing to fibrosis and hypertrophy, and gradually causing joint contracture. Therefore, the aims of treatment are to relieve pain by reducing inflammation and restoring joint ROM. General conservative treatments consist of physical therapy, exercise, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and intraarticular steroid injections. Interventional procedures include hydraulic distension and manipulation under anesthesia. Surgical procedures include arthroscopic capsular release and open capsulotomy. Because the inflammatory reaction causes fibrosis of the synovium,
Anti-inflammatory treatment is essential to improve symptoms and prevent fibrosis; therefore, intraarticular steroid injections, which have a strong anti-inflammatory action, are effective [4].

Clinically, decisions regarding treatment can be aided by dividing the course of the disease into stage 1, in which there is severe pain due to inflammation but ROM is preserved; stage 2, in which there is progression of inflammation and joint capsule fibrosis leading to pain and reduced ROM; and stage 3, in which inflammation recedes and fibrosis peaks, leading to improved pain and worsening ROM. Stage 1 patients show considerable improvement with conservative treatment, including intraarticular steroid injections. In stage 2 patients, the disease often cannot be managed with conservative treatment alone, and interventional procedures also need to be considered [24]. In other words, in the stage where inflammation is the main problem, inflammation needs to be treated. In the stage when joint contracture caused by fibrosis is the main problem, treatment to stretch and improve flexibility in the thickened and contracted joint capsule is more appropriate. In patients who do not show a sufficient response to conservative treatment and require interventional procedures, hydraulic distension is used, which requires injecting a sufficient volume of fluid consisting of a mixture of local anesthetic and normal saline into the intraarticular space [6]. During the common treatment for adhesive capsulitis based on the current knowledge, hydraulic distension is performed while injecting intraarticular steroids, and rehabilitation including exercise is performed to restore shoulder ROM [7]. Manipulation under anesthesia has been reported to be an effective intervention to improve pain and joint ROM [25]. However, the procedure can cause injuries such as fractures near the humeral neck and rotator cuff tears and may have a risk involved in the use of general anesthesia [26,27]. Surgical release is usually performed at the anterior part of the capsule, were local contracture is observed pathologically [22]. Arthroscopic capsule release can be attempted in patients with diabetes when manipulation under anesthesia has failed, but the cases when it is required are limited [27-29]. Open capsulotomy can rarely be attempted when capsular release fails [30,31].

Current trends in hydraulic distension

1. Therapeutic mechanisms of hydraulic distension

Hydraulic distension, which was first reported in 1965 by Andren and Lundberg [32], is a procedure in which fluid is injected into the intraarticular space of the shoulder to expand the stiff joint capsule and eliminate adhesions that are limiting ROM. The injection of a large volume of fluid raises the intraarticular pressure, and at peak pressure, the joint capsule ruptures, eliminating adhesions and scar tissue, which is known to improve ROM [6,9,32-34]. According to current evidence, hydraulic distension has a superior effect in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis compared to other general conservative treatments. Hydraulic distension with steroids plus physical therapy was superior to physical therapy alone in the functional improvement of adhesive capsulitis [35].

In a study comparing a group of patients that received only hydraulic distension, a group that received only intraarticular steroid injection, and a group that received hydraulic distension and intraarticular steroids simultaneously, the improvement in joint

---

Table 1. Stages of adhesive capsulitis [18-20]

| Variable                  | 1 (Preadhesive) | 2 (Freezing) | 3 (Frozen) | 4 (Thawing) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| **Duration (mo)**         | 0–3             | 3–9          | 9–15       | 15–24      |
| **Symptoms and signs**    | Painful shoulder movement, Minimal restriction in motion, Pain referred to deltoid insertion | Painful shoulder movement, Progressive loss of joint motion, Stiffness | Reduced pain with shoulder movement, Severely restricted joint motion, Stiffness | Progressive improvement of joint motion, Pain minimal |
| **Arthroscopic appearance** | Fibrinous synovial inflammatory reaction, No adhesions or capsular contracture | Diffuse, thickened and proliferative synovitis, Adhesion formation in the axillary fold extending to the humeral head | Loss of axillary fold, Diminished capsular volume, No hypervascularity, Minimal synovitis with maturation | Fully mature adhesions, Capsular scar |
| **Pathologic findings**   | Hypervascular, hypertrophic synovitis, Normal capsular tissue | Hypervascular, hypertrophic synovitis, Fibroplasia and perivascular scar formation | Hypercellular, dense and collagenous tissue with a thin synovial membrane similar to other fibrosing conditions, Extensive fibroplasia, “Burned out” synovitis | Not reported |
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ROM was greatest in the group that received both hydraulic distension and steroids [36]. Thus, hydraulic distension alongside intraarticular steroids allowed not only the dose of analgesics to be reduced but also a significant improvement in ROM compared to steroid injection alone. This demonstrates that, when performing hydraulic distension, injecting steroids with the fluids is far more effective [6]. Incorporating hydraulic distension with steroids could improve the effectiveness of intraarticular steroid injection in the treatment of the adhesive capsulitis [37].

The reported adverse effects of hydraulic distension are after-pains, loss of sensation and motor control in the affected arm, flushing, nausea, dizziness, and syncope [38,39]. These were rated as mild and brief, with complete spontaneous resolution [38]. Another important point is that the clinicians need to be concerned with an adverse effect like glenohumeral joint infection [40].

In order to obtain a distension effect, a high pressure needs to be created by injecting fluid into the stiff joint capsule. Previous studies have used very diverse fluid volumes, from 2 to 150 mL, and demonstrated different effects of the procedure according to capsule rupture or preservation [9]. In freezing stage patients, hydraulic distension causing capsule rupture without the use of steroids was reported to increase joint ROM, providing evidence that capsule-ruptured hydraulic distension can produce favorable effects despite thus use of no steroids [33]. Meanwhile, one recent study reported that capsule-ruptured hydraulic distension with steroids should be considered as the first treatment for adhesive capsulitis [7]. However, capsule rupture after hydraulic distension occurs most frequently in the subscapular fossa and sometimes in the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa and the long head of biceps tendon sheath, rather than in the anterior capsule and rotator cuff interval; these sites are where adhesive capsulitis originates and are responsible for the reduced ROM [41,42]. These capsule-rupturing regions do not show increased intraarticular stiffness and do not contain rotator cuff attachments. In addition, the joint capsule in these regions is weak and thin, with a thickness of less than 1 mm [9,34,41,42]. During capsule-rupturing hydraulic distension, the intraarticular steroids leak into the surrounding soft tissues, which can cause adverse effects such as atrophy of adipose tissue, weakening of tendons and ligaments, and changes in skin pigmentation [43].

Hydraulic distension is not yet standardized and continually developing. Previously, hydraulic distension was mostly performed using arthrography; ultrasound-guided hydraulic distension has become more common in recent years as ultrasound devices have advanced. Capsule-preserving hydraulic distension, in which the intraarticular pressure is measured during fluid injection to prevent capsule rupture in real-time, is more effective than capsule-rupturing hydraulic distension [44-49].

2. Capsule-preserving hydraulic distension
Capsule-preserving hydraulic distension is performed per the protocol described below [45-47]. The skin is properly disinfected, with the subject in a seated position. A disposable pressure sensor and a 3.5-inch 22-gauge needle connected to the hydraulic distension device are placed in the posterior shoulder capsule under ultrasound guidance. The hydraulic distension device is used to inject 49 mL of 0.5% lidocaine solution and 40 mg of triamcinolone (50 mL total) into the intraarticular space at a constant rate of 5 mL/minute. While injecting the solution, the intraarticular pressure is monitored in real-time. In order to ensure preservation of the joint capsule, ultrasound is used to check that the injection solution is expanding the joint capsule and remaining in the intraarticular space without leakage (Fig. 1) [46,48].

Some previous studies have reported that capsule-rupturing hydraulic distension is better than capsule-preserving hydraulic distension; however, only a small volume of fluid (2–10 mL) was actually injected into the intraarticular space [36]. When hydraulic distension is performed while monitoring the intraarticular pressure, the mean capsule-preserving volume was reported to be 25.1 ± 6.9 mL [49]. The joint capsule ruptures after a mean injected volume; therefore, the previous studies might not properly reflect the effects of capsule-preserving hydraulic distension.

In capsule-preserving hydraulic distension, the maximum volume fluid is injected at a constant rate without causing capsule rupture, and the intraarticular pressure is measured in real-time to preserve the fluid in the capsule for a long time. Monitoring reveals a pressure-volume curve with three phases: phase I (initial filling) shows a flat curve, phase II (elastic deformation) shows a steep linear increase in pressure, and phase III (plastic deformation) shows a shallow curve [44]. This is similar to the three phases of the stress-strain curve of soft tissue in response to extension [49]. When the fluid is injected, there is a flat curve of pressure in phase I until the pressure rapidly rises in phase II and the slope of the steep curve increases, and then, after a sufficient volume has been injected, the curve becomes shallow in phase III; immediately after this, a sudden loss of pressure is observed, indicating capsule rupture (Fig. 2) [45,49]. For this reason, in order to obtain the maximum distension effect, fluid injection should be stopped at the start phase III, which occurs immediately before capsule rupture. ‘Pre-rupture signs’ were verified to be when phase III of the pressure-volume curve is observed, or the intraarticular pressure exceeds 500 mmHg [46,47]. The mean capsule-preserving volume of hydraulic distension in adhesive capsulitis patients was reported to be 25.1 ± 6.9 mL therefore, the smallest volume for effective
capsule-preserving hydraulic distension is suggested to be approximately 18 mL [49]. In the case of real-time pressure monitoring of intraarticular hydraulic distension is not available, hydraulic distension using a total fluid volume of 18 mL under ultrasound guidance to ensure preservation of the joint capsule may be effective. It is important to stop fluid injection immediately before capsule rupture. To this end, a real-time pressure-volume profile monitoring system is used to measure the intraarticular pressure, predict the time of capsule rupture, and stop fluid injection immediately before rupture. A sufficient volume of fluid needs to be injected and maintained in capsule for as long as possible without rupture in order to produce a large treatment effect. Moreover, the intraarticular steroids can be retained within the intraarticular space for a long time without leakage, resulting in better inflammation control [46,49].

The effects of stretching are observed when a prolonged constant tension, which is enough to induce plastic elongation of the shortening tissue, is applied [50]. In the case of adhesive capsulitis, continuous stretching of the contracted connective tissue is possible when a large volume of fluid is injected into the intraarticular space and it maintains expansion of the adhered capsule without causing rupture [47]. Conversely, if fluid is injected until the capsule ruptures, although it is possible to deliver the greatest load, this effect may disappear immediately after rupture. Following rupture, the intraarticular pressure drops rapidly as the injected solution leaks outside of the capsule. It then becomes difficult to stretch and expand the adhered capsule, and the treatment effect may decrease. When clinical improvements in pain and joint ROM were evaluated, compared to capsule rupture, injecting the maximum volume while preserving the joint capsule resulted in superior effects [46].

Hydraulic distension with steroids can be repeated in the case of...
failure or unsatisfactory results from a single hydraulic distension, and repeated procedures are more effective for pain alleviation than a single procedure [51]. When the hydraulic pressure causes capsule rupture during repeated distension, the intraarticular fluid leaked through the previously rupture area, and the clinical effect was diminished. Furthermore, capsule-preserving hydraulic distension with steroids showed a greater improvement after repeated procedures [47]. In order to investigate the effects of repeated hydraulic distension in adhesive capsulitis patients, a study performed three capsule-preserving distension procedures at 1-month intervals [47,51]. Following the second repeated procedure, there were significant improvements in the outcomes of not only biomechanical factors, such as reduced capsule stiffness and increased capacity, but also clinical outcomes, with increased ROM and reduced pain. Since biomechanical changes in the contracted joint capsule were accompanied by improvements in the clinical indices of ROM and pain, repeated capsule-preserving hydraulic distension can be considered a very useful treatment method for adhesive capsulitis [47,51].

In adhesive capsulitis secondary to previous surgery or trauma, there may be stiffness due to outer adhesion of the rotator cuff. This also may be due to postoperative scarring or soft tissue injury. In particular, secondary adhesive capsulitis after fracture is less effective in hydraulic distension; it may be accompanied by a combination of capsule contractures and extraarticular adhesions [52]. Thus, further studies are needed to verify whether implementing repeated capsule-preserving hydraulic distension could produce better outcomes than conventional treatment methods, even for adhesive capsulitis patients with a poor prognosis or recurrent pain.

**Conclusion**

Treatments for adhesive capsulitis are available to reduce inflammation and improve flexibility of the joint capsule. Of these, hydraulic distension is an effective interventional procedure. Capsule-rupturing hydraulic distension is the method of rupturing the adhered capsule after injecting fluid under image guidance. However, the area that is actually ruptured, is not the area showing adhesions, but instead the subscapular fossa, which is a weak and thin area of the joint capsule. In capsule-preserving hydraulic distension, a real-time pressure-volume profile monitoring system is used to measure the intraarticular pressure while injecting the maximum volume of fluids immediately before capsule rupture. It can expand the adhered joint capsule for as long as possible by stretching the contracted tissue continuously. As the injected steroid can be retained within the intraarticular space for longer, this procedure is better at controlling inflammation and shows a superior treatment effect compared to capsule-rupturing hydraulic distension. Furthermore, considering the mean maximal capsule-preserving volume of hydraulic distension (25.1 ± 6.9 mL), the smallest volume for effective capsule-preserving hydraulic distension is indicated to be approximately 18 mL. Therefore, hydraulic distension using a total fluid volume of 18 mL with steroid under ultrasound guidance to ensure preservation of the joint capsule may be available. In conclusion, capsule-preserving hydraulic distension is a safe treatment method that can be used repeatedly. As a result, we believe that it will also be helpful for treating adhesive capsulitis patients who respond poorly to treatment or show recurrence.
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