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Abstract: Multiple efforts are currently underway to develop targeted therapeutic deliveries to the site of glioblastoma progression. The use of carriers represents advancement in the delivery of various therapeutic agents as a new approach in neuro-oncology. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and neural stem cells (NSCs) are used because of their capability in migrating and delivering therapeutic payloads to tumors. Two of the main properties that carrier cells should possess are their ability to specifically migrate from the bloodstream and low immunogenicity. In this article, we also compared the morphological and molecular features of each type of stem cell that underlie their migration capacity to glioblastoma. Thus, the major focus of the current review is on proteins and lipid molecules that are released by GBM to attract stem cells.
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1. Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive primary tumor in adults. According to the classification of the World Health Organization (WHO), glioblastoma belongs to the fourth type of malignancy of intracranial neoplasms. Even though this type of tumor is the most common representative of primary brain tumors, the incidence of the disease in humans is relatively low—3.1 cases per 100,000 population (for comparison, 171.2 and 201.4 cases per 100,000 population were noted for breast and prostate tumors, respectively) [1]. It is well-established that the uncontrolled growth of glial cells stimulates aggressive tumor growth that may lead to the death of patients. Anticancer therapy could increase the survival rate of patients with neoplasms. A group of scientists demonstrated that the survival rate within five years does not exceed 5%, and the median survival rate reaches 15 months after treatment, including surgery combined with radiation and chemotherapy. As shown earlier [2], the low survival rate of patients with GBM is based on the adaptive response of tumor cells to therapy. An adaptive response is expressed in the activation of the growth of tumor cells by an autocrine mechanism and the induction of cellular factors that cause inflammation and immunosuppression of the tumor [3–5]. The standard methods of treatment do not increase the survival rate. Experimental methods of glioblastoma therapy are being actively developed that reach the effectiveness of the existing ones. On the other hand [6], the use of cancer vaccines, which are based on the activation of the acquired immunity of a patient in response to tumor-specific antigens [7], is promising for experimental oncology. A vaccine can be both individual peptides [8,9], which is promising for experimental oncology, and whole autologous antigen-presenting...
cells [10]. However, the use of a platform based on human and animal viruses seems to be a more effective approach to inducing an immune response in tumors. This is explained by the fact that the virus can be used to deliver and express a library of tumor antigens and succinates the activation of the immune response and the elimination of tumors and metastases. On the other hand, the natural mechanism of viral replication makes it possible to obtain recombinant proteins in significant volumes. There are approaches involving the use of viruses, both as vectors for gene therapy [11] and as oncolytic agents [12], delivering immunogenic proteins or antisense RNAs to tumor proteins that promote carcinogenesis [13]. At the same time, native immune responses can be one of the obstacles that decrease the anticancer efficacy of viral vectors against cancer cells [6]. For example, the pool of the neutralization of antibodies against human adenovirus type 5 decreases the efficacy of CRAd (Conditionally replicated adenoviruses)-based vectors using the human adenovirus type 5 genome [14,15]. Additionally, low diffusion through the tumor mass [16] and the activation of cellular protein kinases [17], for example, modulate the inflammatory immune response and overall decrease the therapeutic response to the selected vectors. To overcome those issues and still specifically deliver a gene therapy vector to the target cells, stem cell carriers can be of interest.

It is known that delivering proteins to pathological areas minimizes the possible off-target effects of drugs and highlights the advantages of such carriers for anti-GBM therapy [18,19]. Therefore, the design of approaches that seek GBM tumors and lack a strong immune response [20,21] can be a promising anticancer advancement. Consequently, using such promising candidates as mesenchymal and neural stem cells, demonstrating tumor chemotaxis and the ability to deliver therapeutic payloads to glioblastoma, seems convenient.

2. General Characteristics of Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSC)

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are a heterogeneous population of fibroblast-like cells. MSCs represent a small population (0.01% of all nucleated cells found in bone marrow) [22]. MSCs are multipotent and differentiate into chondrocytes, skeletal myocytes, and neurons, if applicable. As noted earlier, more than 95% of MSCs express a high level of CD73, CD90, and CD105 and a low level of protein II (the major histocompatibility complex (MHC-II)) and CD45, CD34, CD14, CD11b, and CD19 on their surface. MSCs can express other markers in various combinations dependent on the tissue specificity. In 2011, Ode et al. provided a mechanistic insight into the biological significance of surface receptors for MSC migration. CD73/CD29 were among the antigens that were described in the study and implemented in MSC migration. It turns out that MSC migration is controlled by CD73/CD29 and their downstream targets such as Lck, Fyn, and Src-family kinase activation [23].

3. MSC—Cell Vectors for Migration and Delivery of Therapeutic Proteins

MSCs expressing protein molecules have been used in preclinical studies to successfully deliver antigens and induce a therapeutic effect in human and animal glioblastoma cells. As noted previously, stem cells were used to deliver viruses [24–26], suicide proteins from herpes simplex viruses like thymidine kinase (TK) [27–29], cellular miRNA [30], exosomes [31], apoptosis-induced proteins like TNF (tumor necrosis factor)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) [32], cytosine deaminase (CD) [33], carboxylesterase 2 (sCE2, a prodrug-activating enzyme) [34], antiangiogenic thrombospondin-1 [35], and chemical agents such as paclitaxel [36] that activates the T-cell response in glioblastomas [37] (Figure 1).

Typically, MSCs are effective in delivering therapeutic proteins into diffuse tumors such as glioblastoma. This fact improves the following activation of the migration and adhesion of genetically modified MSC due to the concentration gradient mediated by SDF-1α, TGF-β1 (transforming growth factor beta), and CCL2 (C-C motif ligand 2) around the tumor. MSC demonstrated an increased migratory potential towards tumor cells [38–40].
Thus, MSC migration depends mainly on the expression of the CCR2 and CXCR4 receptors, since their inhibition with specific antibodies leads to a decrease in orchestrated cellular movements \[41,42\]. At the molecular level, binding ligands to these receptors enhances the expression of transcription factors in MSCs, which is required for stem cell differentiation \[43,44\]. Furthermore, Pavon et al. \[42\] demonstrated the endpoint of MSC migration to GBM, which is the area enriched with CD133-positive stem cells (GSC) (Figure 1). It has been shown by multiple investigators that GSCs responsible for tumor growth in vivo \[45–47\] and resistance to therapy \[48\] are located in anatomical structures. In such a periarteriolar niche \[49\] with elevated SDF-1α, various cathepsin \[50\] expressions and, adjacent to the arteriolar tunica adventitia, the CXCR4-enriched GSC interact with various cells, including MSC \[51\] (Figure 2). It has also been proposed that CXCR4, which is highly expressed in MSCs and endothelial cells (EC) \[52\], upregulates the expression of BDNF and EOMES genes, both of which are important for GSC stemness \[52\], inhibits the antitumor immune response caused by T-cell exhaustion, and regulates IFN-γ \[53\] expression. Although, in the glioma niche, the scientific evidence points to MSC-mediated direct interactions with GSC via the paracrine way \[54\], the presence of immune cells, astrocytes, and/or neural stem/precursor cells (NPC) in GBM \[55\] might also help to maintain the GSC phenotype and aggressiveness. Considering the localization of GSC in the perialveolar niche surrounded by hypoxic and highly vascularized regions, the targeting and eradication of GSC represent a clinical challenge.
of the GBM (28 days), there was an infusion in caudal vein 1 \( \times \) 104 MSCs (MION-Rh); the development of the tumor was followed for 20 days. (A)MSCs labeled MION-Rh and CD133+ GBM cells labeled Qdot 705 nm using combined fluorescence and X-ray detection. (B) CD133+ GBM cells labeled Qdot 705 nm and visualized by fluorescence detection. (C) MSCs labeled MION-Rh and visualized by fluorescence detection. (D–L) MRI (T2*-weighted images) of animal brain monitoring of the process of migration of MSCs, which were able to cross the blood–brain barrier of the animal and migrated to the tumor region, promoting GBM cell proliferation. (L) MRI (T2*-weighted images) of the animal brain without the stereotaxic implantation of cells (control group). The red dot circle shows migration assays of MSCs, and the green dot circle shows tumor propagation. (M) IHC analysis for Prussian blue staining of the MSCs labeled with MION-Rh. (N,R–T) Hematoxylin and eosin staining. (U) IHC analysis for GFAP. (O) IHC analysis for Ki67. (V) IHC analysis for p53. (P) IHC analysis for CD44 staining of the MSCs. (Q) IHC analysis for CD73 staining of the MSCs. (W,X) IHC analysis for CD63 staining of the MSC-derived exosomes. (Y,Z,Z') IHC analysis for CD9 staining of the MSCs-derived exosomes. Scale, 40 \( \mu \)m. These images are representative of all the collected MSCs and GBM samples. Image and text were copied from the original study by Pavon et al. [42] and distributed under Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

4. Methods and Factors That Increase the Migration Properties of MSCs

Earlier reports suggest that in vitro cultivation conditions can influence the cell surface receptor level [62]. Therefore, the relationship between the types of MSCs of different origins and their ability to migrate into A549 tumors [63], ovarian [64], and colon [65] cancer cells is manifestative. Recently, it has been shown that MSCs have enhanced the tropism towards glioblastoma cells treated with ionizing radiation [66]. This therapy
increases the secretion of chemokines and cytokines above the basal level [67]. Even though tumor cells induce SDF-1α [42,62,63] and CCL2, the existing gradient of chemokines and cytokines provides the migration of a small group of MSCs. The findings from earlier research showed that, when MSCs are systemically injected into laboratory animals with intracerebral glioblastoma, less than 1% of the MSCs migrate to GBM [68–70], leaving open questions about the fate of most injected MSCs at the injection/implantation site and the possibility of enhancing the migration of all MSCs into the tumor area.

5. Modeling MSC Migration In Vitro

MSC exposure to tissue growth factor-beta (TGF-β) [62] or challenging MSCs with monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 8 (CCL-8), and/or interleukin 8 (IL-8) [71] can increase the migration of MSCs towards the tumor. Another way that increases the migration of MSCs into the tumor is the ability of MSCs to secrete metalloproteinases to cleave the basement membranes of cells and penetrate deep into the tumor. It was experimentally confirmed that cell incubation in a medium containing complement component 1q (C1q) [72], a mixture of erythropoietin and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) [73], positively affects the expression of metalloproteinases. In this case, the simultaneous addition of erythropoietin and GM-CSF (1 IU/mL and 0.1 ng/mL, respectively) to the medium increased the MMP-2 (matrix metalloproteinase-2) expression, while the number of other metalloproteinases, as well as metalloproteinase inhibitors, remained unchanged. The ability of cells to migrate was also measured if a factor was added to the medium. Cells that were exposed to the medium with the addition of both factors at the same concentration showed the greatest ability to migrate and increase the metalloproteinase expression. At this concentration of substances, the concentration of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2) proteins significantly increased as well. These conditions indicate a possible effect of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway on the migration abilities of MSCs.

The short-term exposure of cells to valproic acid increases the expression of CXCR4, C1q, and MMP2 and improves the migration towards SDF-1 [74]. Additionally, it was shown that the exposure of MSCs to C1q increases the migration activity towards SDF-1 (20 ng/mL)-level migration to SDF-1 at a higher concentration (100 ng/mL). At the same time, CXCR4 expression on the cell surface increased from 1.5% to 9.5%. The study showed that short-term (3 or 6 h) exposure of MSCs to valproic acid at a concentration of 10 mmol increases the expression of CXCR4 mRNA by 40 and 60 times, respectively. The amount of CXCR4 was not increased on the cell surface, which confirmed the results of another study. In this study, the majority of CXCR4 was isolated intracellularly and formed heterodimers with CXCR7 [75]. Cells exposed to valproic acid migrated towards low (20 ng/mL) and high (100 ng/mL) SDF-1α gradients two and four times higher, respectively. When using the AMD3100-CXCR4 antagonist, the tropism for SDF-1α almost completely disappeared. The statement that an increased tropism due to cell exposure to valproate is associated with CXCR4 was confirmed. To increase the CXCR4 receptor on the cell surface, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1); tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α); and interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β), interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), or glycogen synthase-3 beta (glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta, GSK-3β) were added. It has been shown that the addition of complement 1q to the medium increases cell migration in response to the SDF-1 concentration gradients [72].

6. Induced Hypoxia

Hypoxia is the main feature of the neoplastic process caused by glioblastoma, as well as immunosuppression and inflammation. The induction of hypoxia is based on the molecular interactions of tumor microcirculation with tumor cells. As a result of this process, GBM cells gain phenotypic properties that allow them to survive under stress and become resistant [76]. GBM cells are known for an extensive angiogenesis, mostly mediated by HIF-1α. Besides their roles in neovascularization, HIF-1α and HIF-2α initiate the induction of a panel of stemness genes [77], chemokines, and their receptors, including CXCR4, TGF-β,
CCL2, and CXCL12 [41,43]. It was noted, in some studies, that the induction of CXCR4 and CXCR7 mRNA was observed in MSC cells in the case of hypoxia (3% oxygen) due to an increase in the expression of hypoxia-induced factor (HIF-1α). The experiment was carried out to study the direct effect of hypoxic conditions on the migration properties of MSCs. The cells were first cultivated under normal conditions or hypoxic conditions, and then, the cells in containers with various concentrations (1–100 ng/mL) of SDF-1α were placed for 6 h for analysis in a Boyden chamber. As a result, hypoxia increased the migration of the studied cells at any concentration of the chemokine. Additionally, studies have shown that hypoxia enhances the adhesive properties of MSCs (by an average of 2.55 times). Further, MSCs were cultured under normal conditions or under hypoxic conditions in combination with antibodies to CXCR4 and CXCR7 to determine the role of CXCR4 and CXCR7 in cell migration, adhesion, and survival. Blocking at least one of the receptors reduces cell adhesion, inhibits CXCR4 and the migration properties of MSCs, and in the case of CXCR7, decreases cell survival. Thus, it was demonstrated that the CXCR4 receptor plays a leading role in cell migration in the case of hypoxia. Its activity is regulated by HIF-1α. The results confirmed the assumption that an overexpression of CXCR4 and CXCR7 occurs through HIF-1α activation and affects the migration and adhesion properties, as well as the survival of MSCs. Another signaling pathway that regulates the activity and expression of CXCR4 and CXCR7 is PI3K/AKT. The PI3K/AKT inhibitor allowed researchers to examine CXCR4 and CXCR7 expression in relation to HIF-1α. It turned out that the inhibition of PI3K/AKT leads to a decrease in the expression of HIF-1α, which, in turn, affects the expression of CXCR4 and CXCR7 [41]. Considering that HIF-1α also regulates the expression of MMP 2, MT1-MMP [78] enhances the adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation in some types of MSCs [79]. It can be concluded that it has a complex effect on the activity of the intracellular signaling pathways responsible for MSC adhesion and migration.

7. MSCs Have Been Genetically Modified to Increase Their Migration and Survival in Tumors

MSC migration is considered an important factor for the experimental treatment of GBM, as it increases survival in the tumor mass under hypoxic conditions. However, some scientists have noted that MSC transplantation does not cause long-term survival inside the tumor. Thus, it is necessary to find solutions that can productively increase the effectiveness of cell therapy. One such approach was proposed by Hu et al. [80]. In that study, the authors proposed to create a stable MSC clone expressing miR-211 upon lentivirus infection. At the molecular level, this miRNA regulated the activity of the STAT signaling pathway and, in particular, the stability of STAT5A mRNA. Thus, during in vivo experiments, it was possible to increase the survival rate of MSCs in heart muscle damage due to miR-211 expression. Similar experiments were performed by using MSCs for the experimental treatment of GBM with miR-4731 [81]. Furthermore, strategies for increasing MSC migration to GBM were proposed by cloning CXCR4 [82], an integrin that increases adhesion, into the genome [83].

Due to their special properties, MSCs are one of the main candidates for delivery vehicles for therapeutic proteins. One of these properties is the ability of MSCs to taxi for tumors [84,85] and high immunocompatibility [86], which makes allogeneic transplantation possible. Additionally, an undoubted advantage is an ease of obtaining these cells due to the relatively low invasiveness and the absence of ethical problems; they can be obtained from bone marrow, adipose tissue, cord blood, umbilical cord, and placental tissue [87]. Now, data from various sources indicate the relative safety of administering MSCs to the human body [86]. The risk of side effects (embolism and allergic reactions) can be minimized [88] by following the recommendations during the route of administration and cell concentrations. Many factors may influence potential tumorigenesis after MSC transplantation, including donor age, host tissue, growth regulators expressed in the recipient tissue, and mechanisms that control MSC behavior at the target site. In addition, the manipulation and long-term cultivation of MSCs in vitro can cause genetic instability.
and chromosomal aberrations. The response against many cumulative factors can be spontaneous tumor transformation. Patients who have transplanted stem cells often receive long-term chemotherapy or radiation therapy, so their immune systems do not work properly, which may lead to tumor development in the body.

8. General Characteristics of Neural Stem Cells (NSCs)

Neural stem cells are undifferentiated nerve cells that are defined based on their extensive replicative potential, their ability to differentiate into different types of neurons and glial cells of the central nervous system, and their ability to sustain long-term self-renewal [89]. In the adult mammalian CNS, active neurogenesis occurs in two separate regions: the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the dentate gyrus in the hippocampus of granule cells and the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the lateral ventricles in the forebrain of interneurons in the olfactory bulb [90]. Additionally, it is suggested that there is another zone in which NSC niches can be located—the hypothalamus [91]. It has been established that the proximity of the tumor to the SVZ directly affects the survival of patients [92], which may indicate the effect of NSC on tumor development, such as GBM [93]. Cells from the SVZ have highly expressed CXCR4 and SDF-1 markers, which have been used to preserve cell migration [94], differentiation [95], proliferation [94], and stemness [96]. Mechanistically, Liao et al. [97], for the first time, showed that CXCL12 controls the mesenchymal activation of cell signaling that is relevant to the Snail, N-cadherin, and NF-kB pathways. Most recently, Goffart et al. [98] demonstrated that CXCL12 controls mesenchymal activation via the upregulation of numerous transcriptional factors and markers, such as ESR1, ZEB2, SOX10, FOXC2, CDH2, FN1, and vimentin. Of interest, Jin et al. [99] showed that circRNA EPHB4 modulates glioma stemness via the upregulation of SOX10 and miR637 interactions.

As previously mentioned, the rapid growth of tumor cells, high concentrations of chemokines, and cytokines secreted by the tumor microenvironment and tumor cells are the most common causes of glioblastoma progression. Reportedly, the induction of a whole group of chemokines controls the migration of healthy cells into the tumor, coupled with immunosuppression and the formation of niches for glioblastoma cells. According to the literature, SDF-1 and its CXCR4 receptor are found in the niches of glioblastoma stem cells [100]. Anatomically, this niche also serves for the maturation of neural progenitor cells, so two groups of cells use the same chemokine signals for their transformation [101]. Thus, stem cell migration due to SDF-1α gradients and the suppression of tumor growth by delivering an antitumor protein will reduce the progression of GMB in tumor niches. Various types of stem cells have been used to treat GBM because of their ability to migrate, deliver recombinant antitumor proteins, and secrete antitumor-enhancing factors.

9. NSC—Cell Vectors for Migration into the Tumor

NSC has a tropism for tumors [102]. Thus, recent investigations have compared the NSC characteristics of migration to glioma tumor cells in comparison with MSC and showed that the differences in the number of migrated cells are statistically insignificant [103]. In comparison with NSCs, the MSCs do not develop a rolling stage of transmigration from the bloodstream (Figure 3). This fact is explained by the absence of fucose in the composition of glycoproteins expressed on the cell surface [104]. As a result, glycoproteins do not interact with selectins on the surfaces of endothelial cells, despite the artificial fucosylation of glycoproteins, leading to a decrease in cell migration [105]. Moreover, after this modification, the number of dead cells increases. One study showed that NSCs cannot tolerate shear stress, unlike MSCs and hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). The fucosylation of HSCs improved the migration and did not affect the viability, which explained the worsened viability of NSCs. Diaz-Coranguez et al. [104] studied the transmigration of NSCs to tumor cells in vitro. It was shown that NSC transmigration increased in the model with tumor cells. There was no rolling stage in this model. The value of the transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) decreased compared to the control, in which the cells migrated.
to astrocytes. Additionally, holes were formed on the borders between endothelial cells but were absent from the control. It has been shown that NSCs migrate through these holes.

Figure 3. The migration of MSCs (A) and NSCs (B). (I) Chemotaxis-induced stem cell injection and migration. (II) The transmigration of stem cells from blood vessels to the tumor site. (III) Gaptotaxis and tumor internalization and penetration. 1—Rolling, 2—Cells activation, 3—Integrin-dependent uptake, and 4—Transmigration through the endothelium and basal membrane.

10. Methods and Factors That Increase the Migration Properties of NSC

MCP1 [106] is a chemoattractant for NSCs and other extracellular matrix proteins, including tenascin, laminin, fibronectin, vitronectin, and various types of collagens [107]. These extracellular matrix components are intensively produced by the glioblastoma stroma in the areas where tumors develop [108,109]. Laminin and tenascin are the most important for NSC migration [107]. Together, these proteins take part in the regulation of cell-to-cell signaling, cell adhesion, migration, and mechanical resilience [110]. At the molecular level, secreted extracellular matrix proteins control the maintenance and longevity of stem cells through TAG1 [111], Notch [112], and cadherins [113,114], suggesting a regulatory role in tissue homeostasis. Numerous ascending pathways in cells regulate NSC activity through transcription factors or cross-factor signaling interactions. Experimental culturing demonstrated the sensitivity of NSCs to the action of VEGF. It is known that the basal level of VEGF in the sample with glioma was 7.6 times higher than in the control cells, which explains the decrease in transmigration when we use antibodies that neutralize
the VEGF [104]. At the same time, inhibition of the VEGF led to the re-establishing of TEER values in GBM cells at the initial level, which means the participation of VEGF in the regulation of cell-to-cell contacts. Early studies on the GBM model showed a lack of relationship between the level of EGF and the efficiency of NSC transmigration, although the TEER value of the endothelium increased if the medium contained EGF with an unchanged expression of claudin 5 [104].

Epidemiological and experimental data showed clearly that glioblastoma cells produce biologically active substances that provide progression (such as MMPs) and resistance [115,116]. Most tumor cells from primary samples demonstrate a pronounced increase in cyclooxygenase [115] and prostaglandin E2 [116], which stimulates chronic inflammation and tumor resistance. Researchers in recent studies measured the amounts of prostaglandin E2 in healthy brain cells (astrocytes) and tumor cells of glioblastoma. It turned out that prostaglandin E2 reduces the value of TEER and inhibits the increase of this potential in EGF [104]. Astrocyte samples had a 17% more prostaglandin increase compared to glioma samples. However, given the almost complete absence of EGF in the medium with glioma, this amount of prostaglandin can significantly affect the TEER value. On the other hand, the use of a COX-2 inhibitor also led to a decrease in the amount of prostaglandin and an increase in the TEER value. It should be noted that the levels of the IFN-α, TNF-α, IL-12p70, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 cytokines in the glioma and astrocytes samples did not differ, which disproved the involvement of interleukins in NSC migration.

11. Conclusions

Due to the lack of many experiments directly comparing the migration properties of MSCs and NSCs, it is rather difficult to unambiguously identify the most suitable candidate for the transfer of therapeutic agents to the tumor because of the lack of such experiments. To address that issue, we summarized the known information from clinical trials using NSC and MSC cells (Table 1), a list of factors expressed in glioblastoma, and a general scheme of the therapeutic agents that can be delivered by NSC or MSC cells to glioma stem cells (Figure 2). Thus, studies have shown that NSCs do not respond well to tangential stress [105]. As a result, migration from the bloodstream is difficult. Furthermore, given the possible link between NSCs in the SVZ zone and GBM progression in several nearby anatomical areas [92], special caution should be exercised in the study design to avoid facilitating tumor progression and NSC transformation [117]. Although various studies have pointed out the anti-glioma therapeutic effect of the designed NSCs [118], some investigators, such as Wang et al. [119], showed that NSCs promote the proliferation, migration, invasion, and tumorigenicity of glioblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Although it is known that a 3-month-old embryo was the source for NSCs and isolated cells were able to produce neurospheres and exhibited a positive expression of SOX2, CD133, and NESTIN and were negative for GFAP, NeuN, and CD68 markers, there is still limited information on whether these cells meet some criteria for NSCs to demonstrate an anticancer effect. From the scientific literature, it is known that the expression of EGFR [117], selection of the most efficient time for GBM treatment [120], status of reprogramming with a viral vector that contributes to gene integration into the cellular genome [121], etc. can be critical for the anticancer effect mediated by NSCs. On the other hand, long culture NSCs [122] undergo aging, which is characterized by an upregulation of proinflammatory markers and a transient or stable induction of transcriptional factors that contribute to reprogramming and therapy resistance [123]. In the case of MSCs, these cells are adapted for transmigration [124] through the endothelium to tumors [125]. We must therefore be cautious to avoid MSC reprogramming by tumor cells, which eventually contribute to the tumor growth and spread. Several studies have reported that MSC can have both tumorigenic and antitumorigenic properties. As an anti-glioma effect of MSC results in inhibiting cell growth, promoting glioma differentiation [126] to increase tumor cell sensitivity to TMZ induces cell apoptosis [127] and cycle arrest. MSC can increase the invasiveness (due to hyaluronic acid deposition [128] or MMP activity [129]) and migration [130], as well as
promote cell proliferation [128] and stemness [131]. The data by Bajetto et al. showed
that MSC interactions (cocultures with glioma cells or releasing soluble factors) might
produce a divergent effect from the inhibition of tumor growth to the strong production
of molecules involved in inflammation, angiogenesis, cell migration, and proliferation,
such as IL-8, GRO, ENA-78, and IL-6 [132]. Therefore, the safety issues prior to using MSC
or NSC as an anticancer approach require special attention, since putting a stop to cell
reprogramming mediated by tumor cells and brain environment might prevent reversing
the phenotype and extending the therapeutic options. One method of enabling the control
of the administrated cells would be to introduce suicide genes (for example, TK or CD [133])
into the cell genome, which can be activated to prevent a possible risk from stem cells
for the long term. In that case, the development of a microfluidic system will assess the
contribution to migration of the transmigration stage through blood vessels and will allow
cell-type comparisons. Further research in the field of the cell-based delivery of therapeutic
agents should be focused on improving the migration properties of cell carriers (Table 2), as
well as an in-depth investigation of their interactions with tumor cells, in order to minimize
the negative effects of the therapy.

Table 1. List of the current preclinical and clinical trials used in the application of MSC and NSC
against glioblastoma.

| Reference          | Type of Study | Cargo                              | Treatment                          | Results                                                                 |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chen et al., 2013  | Clinical trial| -                                  | Radiation therapy for SVZ          | Radiation increases patient’s PFS and OS PFS:15.1 vs. 10.3 OS:17.5 vs. 15.6 (months) |
| Lee et al., 2013   | Clinical trial| -                                  | Radiation therapy for SVZ          | Radiation improves PFS PFS:12.6 vs. 9.9                                  |
| Portnow et al., 2017 | Clinical trial | CD                                 | HB1.F3.CD.C21; CD-NSCs + oral administration of S-FC | Migration of NSC to the tumor and locally produces chemotherapy is confirmed. |
| Aboody et al., 2013 | Preclinical study | CD                                 | HB1.F3.CD.C21; CD-NSCs + intraperitoneal injection of S-FC | Inhibition of GBM progression and prolongation of mice with GBM xenografts survival |
| Bago et al., 2016  | Preclinical study | TRAIL                              | iNSC modified with TRAIL           | Inhibition of GBM progression and prolongation of mice with GBM xenografts survival |
| Dey et al., 2016   | Preclinical study | Oncolytic Adenovirus CRAd-S-pK7    | Overexpressed CXCR4 in NSCs and loaded with CRAd-S-pK7 | Increased mice survival with GBM xenografts |
| NCT03896568       | Clinical trial | Oncolytic Adenovirus DNX-2401      | Mesenchymal stem cells loaded with a tumor selective oncolytic adenovirus, DNX-2401 | Recruiting |
**Table 1.**

| Reference                  | Type of Study | Cargo | Treatment                                                                 | Results                                      |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| NCT04657315                | Clinical trial| CD    | Mesenchymal stem cells into which cytosine deaminase the suicide gene was injected | Recruiting                                   |
| Oraee-Yazdani et al., 2021 | Clinical trial| HSV-TK| Autologous mesenchymal stem cells as HSV-TK gene vehicle                  | Biosafety of MSC PFS:23.7 OS: 32.2 (months) |
| Mohme et al., 2020         | Preclinical study| IL-12, IL-7 | Intra-tumoral administration of genetically modified MSCs that co-express high levels of IL-12 and IL-7 | Intra-tumoral administration of MSC IL7/12 induced significant tumor growth inhibition and remission of established intracranial tumors |
| Novak et al., 2020         | Preclinical study| TRAIL| TRAIL-secreting MSC/nanomedicine spheroid system                           | The hybrid spheroid inhibited the tumor growth efficiently |
| Shimizu et al., 2021       | Preclinical study| Oncolytic Adenovirus Delta-24-RGD | Bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells loaded with Delta-24-RGD | Intravascular administration of PD-BM-MSC-D24 increased the survival of mice harboring U87MG gliomas |

Abbreviations: MSC—Mesenchymal stem cells, DNX2401—former Delta-24-RGD oncolytic self-replicated adenoviral vector, BM—Bone marrow-derived, PD-1—program cell death protein 1, TRAIL—TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, PFS—progression-free survival, OS—overall survival, HSV—herpes simplex virus, NSC—Neural stem cells, TK—thymidine kinase, CXCR4—C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4, and CRAd—Conditionally replicated adenoviruses.

**Table 2.** Growth factors expressed in glioblastoma and their roles in therapy and patient survival.

| Factors | Receptor Presence at the Stem Cells | Clinical Significance for the Patients with GBM |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| SDF-1   | + [134]                             | Inhibition of SDF-1α enhances anti-VEGF therapy [138] |
| IL6     | + [134]                             | Inhibition Il6 negatively affects GBM viability No correlation [139] |
| IL1β    | + [134]                             | Inhibits tumor stem-like cells [142] |
| HGF     | + [84]                              | Anti-VEGF treatment inhibits angiogenesis and strongly increases cell invasion and tumor hypoxia [143] |
| VEGF    | + [141]                             | Inhibition uPA/uPAR attenuates invasion, angiogenesis in glioblastoma cells [145] |
| uPA     | + [136]                             | |

[134] [135–137] [138] [139] [140] [141] [142] [143] [144] [145] [146]
| Factors | Receptor Presence at the Stem Cells | Clinical Significance for the Patients with GBM |
|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | MSC | NSC | Impact for Therapy/References | Correlation with Survival |
| Tumor-Derived Factors |       |       |                             |                           |
| PDGFAA/BB | + [66,147] | + [148] | Ablation of PDGF signaling sensitizes anti-VEGF/VEGFR treatment in GBM | [139] |
| FGF ligands |       | + [148] | FGF1/FGFR signaling axis sustains the stem cell characteristics of GBM cells | [150] |
| EGF | + [151] | + [140,148] | Inhibition EGF suppresses GBM migration | [152] |
| IGF | + [147] | + [148] | Inhibition IGF reduces GBM proliferation | [153] |
| Annexin A2 |       | + | Annexin A2 acts at multiple levels in determining the disseminating and aggressive behavior of GBM cells | [154] |
| TNF-a | + [134] | + | EGFR plus TNF inhibition is effective in TMZ-resistant recurrent GBM | No correlation [139] |
| TGF b | + [134] | + [148] | TGF-β1 modulates temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma | [157] No correlation [139] |

Abbreviations: “+”-presence on the surface of the cells, SDF-1—Stromal cell-derived factor-1, HGF—Hepatocyte growth factor, VEGF—Vascular endothelial growth factor, uPA—Urokinase, PDGF—Platelet-derived growth factor, FGF-1—acidic fibroblast growth factor, TNF—Tumor necrosis factor, and TGF b—Transforming growth factor beta.

**Author contributions:** S.T., conceptualization, methodology, investigation, visualization, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing; N.M.D., conceptualization, writing—original draft, and visualization. P.S.T., review; I.V.U., conceptualization, supervision, and writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation within the framework of state support for the creation and development of World-Class Research Centers “Digital biodesign and personalized healthcare” (Grant No. 075-15-2020-926) and by the Russian Science Foundation under grant No. 21-15-00213 (IU, description of mesenchymal stem cells).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Ostrom, Q.; Gittleman, H.; Liao, P.; Rouse, C.; Chen, Y.; Dowling, J.; Wolinsky, Y.; Kruchko, C.; Barnholtz-Sloan, J. CBTRUS statistical report: Primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2007–2011. *Neuro-Oncology* 2014, *16*, iv1–iv63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Omuro, A.; DeAngelis, L.M. Glioblastoma and other malignant gliomas: A clinical review. *JAMA* 2013, *310*, 1842–1850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Bredel, M.; Scholtens, D.M.; Harsh, G.R.; Bredel, C.; Chandler, J.P.; Renfrow, J.J.; Yadav, A.K.; Vogel, O.H.; Scheck, A.; Tibshirani, R.; et al. A network model of a cooperative genetic landscape in brain tumors. JAMA 2009, 302, 261–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Yadav, A.K.; Renfrow, J.J.; Scholtens, D.M.; Xie, H.; Duran, G.E.; Bredel, C.; Vogel, H.; Chandler, J.P.; Chakravarti, A.; Robe, P.A.; et al. Monosomy of chromosome 10 as-sociated with dysregulation of epidermal growth factor signaling in glioblastomas. JAMA 2009, 302, 276–289. [CrossRef]
5. Patel, A.P.; Tirosch, I.; Trombetta, J.J.; Shahel, A.; Gillespie, S.M.; Wakimoto, H.; Cahill, D.P.; Nahed, B.V.; Curry, W.T.; Martuza, R.L.; et al. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science 2014, 344, 1396–1401. [CrossRef]
6. Sack, B.K.; Herzog, R.W. Evading the immune response upon in vivo gene therapy with viral vectors. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. 2009, 11, 493–503.
7. Plotkin, S.A. Vaccines: Past, present and future. Nat. Med. 2005, 11, S5–S11. [CrossRef]
8. Schuster, J.; Lai, R.K.; Recht, L.D.; Reardon, D.A.; Paleologos, N.A.; Groves, M.D.; Mrugula, M.M.; Jensen, R.; Baehring, J.M.; Sloan, A.; et al. A phase II, multicenter trial of rindopepimut (CDX-110) in newly diagnosed glioblastoma: The ACT III study. Neuro-Oncology 2015, 17, 854–861. [CrossRef]
9. Sampson, J.H.; Heimberger, A.B.; Archer, G.E.; Aldape, K.D.; Friedman, A.H.; Gilbert, M.R.; Herndon, J.E.; 2nd; McLendon, R.E.; Mitchell, D.A.; et al. Immunologic escape after prolonged progression-free survival with epidermal growth factor receptor variant III peptide vaccination in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2010, 28, 4722–4729. [CrossRef]
10. Thomas, A.A.; Ernstoff, M.S.; Fadul, C.E. Immunotherapy for the treatment of glioblastoma. Cancer J. 2012, 18, 59–68. [CrossRef]
11. Robbins, P.D.; Ghivizzani, S.C. Viral vectors for gene therapy. Pharmacol. Ther. 1998, 80, 35–47. [CrossRef]
12. Kaufmann, J.K.; Chiocca, E.A. Glioma virus therapies between bench and bedside. Neuro-Oncology 2014, 16, 334–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Rohle, D.; Popovici-Muller, J.; Palaskas, N.; Turcan, S.; Grommes, C.; Campos, C.; Tsoi, J.; Clark, O.; Oldrini, B.; Komisopoulou, E.; et al. An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. Science 2013, 340, 626–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Yang, W.-X.; Zou, X.-H.; Jiang, S.-Y.; Lu, N.-N.; Han, M.; Zhao, J.-H.; Guo, X.-J.; Zhao, S.-C.; Lu, Z.-Z. Prevalence of serum neutralizing antibodies to adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) and 41 (Ad41) in children is associated with age and sanitary conditions. Vaccine 2016, 34, 5579–5586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Yu, B.; Zhou, Y.; Wu, H.; Wang, Z.; Zhan, Y.; Feng, X.; Geng, R.; Wu, Y.; Kong, W.; Wu, Y. X. Seroprevalence of neutralizing antibodies to human adenovirus type 5 in healthy adults in China. J. Med. Virol. 2012, 84, 1408–1414. [CrossRef]
16. Mok, W.; Stylianopoulos, T.; Boucher, Y.; Jain, R.K. Mathematical Modeling of Herpes Simplex Virus Distribution in Solid Tumors: Implications for Cancer Gene Therapy. Clin. Cancer Res. 2009, 15, 2352–2360. [CrossRef]
17. Gonzalez-Morales, A.; Zabaleta, A.; Garcia-Moure, M.; Alonso, M.M.; Fernandez-Irigoyen, J.; Santamaria, E. Oncolytic adenovirus Delta-24-RGD induces a widespread glioma proteotype remodeling during autophagy. J. Proteom. 2019, 194, 168–178. [CrossRef]
18. Tran, C.; Damaser, M.S. Stem cells as drug delivery methods: Application of stem cell secretome for regeneration. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2014, 82–83, 1–11. [CrossRef]
19. Daley, G.Q.; Scadden, D.T. Prospects for stem cell-based therapy. Cell 2005, 123, 544–548. [CrossRef]
20. Xu, F.; Zhu, J.-H. Stem cells tropism for malignant gliomas. Neurosci. Bull. 2007, 23, 363–369. [CrossRef]
21. Xinaris, C.; Morigi, M.; Beneventi, D.; Loffredo, A.; Squarcina, E.; Benigni, A.; Gagliardini, E.; Remuzzi, G. A novel strategy to enhance mesenchymal stem cell migration capacity and promote tissue repair in an injury specific fashion. Cell Transplant. 2013, 22, 423–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Park, H.-J.; Shin, Y.-J.; Lee, B.R.; Kim, H.O.; Lee, P.H. Mesenchymal stem cells augment neurogenesis in the subventricular zone and enhance differentiation of neural precursor cells into dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra of a parkinsonian model. Cell Transplant. 2012, 21, 1629–1640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Ode, A.; Kopf, J.; Kurtz, A.; Schmidt-Bleek, K.; Scharde, P.; Kolar, P.; Buttigerei, F.; Lehmann, K.; Huttmacher, D.; Duda, G.; et al. CD73 and CD29 concurrently mediate the mechanically induced decrease of migratory capacity of mesenchymal stromal cells. Eur. Cells Mater. 2011, 22, 26–42. [CrossRef]
24. Srivivasan, V.M.; Gumin, J.; Camstr, K.M.; Collins, D.E.; Chen, M.M.; Tripal, E.J.; Kerrigan, B.C.; Johnson, J.N.; Chen, S.R.; Fueyo, J.; et al. Endovascular Selective Intra-Arterial Infusion of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Loaded with Delta-24 in a Canine Model. Neurosurgery 2020, 88, E102–E113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Ahmed, A.; Tyler, M.A.; Thaci, B.; Alexiades, N.G.; Han, Y.; Ulasov, I.V.; Lesniak, M.S. A comparative study of neural and mesenchymal stem cell-based carriers for oncolytic adenovirus in a model of malignant glioma. Mol. Pharm. 2011, 8, 1559–1572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Yong, R.L.; Shinojima, N.; Fueyo, J.; Gumin, J.; Vecil, G.G.; Marini, F.C.; Bogler, O.; Andreeff, M.; Lang, F.F. Human bone mar-row-derived mesenchymal stem cells for intravascular delivery of oncolytic adenovirus Delta24-RGD to human gliomas. Cancer Res. 2009, 69, 8932–8940. [CrossRef]
27. Dührsen, L.; Hartfuss, S.; Hirsch, D.; Geiger, S.; Maire, C.L.; Sedlacik, J.; Guenther, C.; Westphal, M.; Lamszus, K.; Hermann, F.G.; et al. Preclinical analysis of human mesenchymal stem cells: Tumor tropism and therapeutic efficiency of local HSV-TK suicide gene therapy in glioblastoma. Oncotarget 2019, 10, 6049–6061. [CrossRef]

28. Shi, S.; Zhang, M.; Guo, R.; Miao, Y.; Li, B. Bone Marrow–Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cell–Mediated Dual-Gene Therapy for Glioblastoma. Hum. Gene Ther. 2019, 30, 106–117. [CrossRef]

29. Wei, D.; Hou, J.; Zheng, K.; Jin, X.; Xie, Q.; Cheng, L.; Sun, X. Suicide Gene Therapy Against Malignant Gliomas by Local Delivery of Genetically Engineered Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Cellular Vehicles. Curr. Gene Ther. 2019, 19, 330–341. [CrossRef]

30. Kurogi, R.; Nakamizo, A.; Suzuki, S.O.; Mizoguchi, M.; Yoshimoto, K.; Amano, T.; Amemiya, T.; Takagishi, S.; Iihara, K. Inhibition of glioblastoma cell invasion by hsa-miR-145-5p and hsa-miR-31-5p co-overexpression in human mesenchymal stem cells. J. Neurosurg. 2018, 130, 44–55. [CrossRef]

31. Harasztí, R.A.; Didiot, M.-C.; Sapp, E.; Leszky, J.; Shaffer, S.A.; Rockwell, H.E.; Gao, F.; Narain, N.R.; DiFiliglia, M.; Kiebish, M.A.; et al. High-resolution proteomic and lipodimics analysis of exosomes and microvesicles from different cell sources. J. Extracell. Vesicles 2016, 5, 32570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Jiang, X.; Fitch, S.; Wang, C.; Wilson, C.; Li, J.; Grant, G.A.; Yang, F. Nanoparticle engineered TRAIL-overexpressing adi-pose-derived stem cells target and eradicate glioblastoma via intracranial delivery. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, 13857–13862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Chang, D.-Y.; Jung, J.-H.; Kim, A.A.; Marasini, S.; Lee, Y.J.; Paek, S.H.; Kim, S.-S.; Suh-Kim, H. Combined effects of mesenchymal stem cells carrying cytotoxic deaminase gene with 5-fluorocytosine and temozolomide in orthotopic glioma model. Am. J. Cancer Res. 2020, 10, 1429–1441. [PubMed]

34. Yin, J.; Kim, J.K.; Moon, J.H.; Beck, S.; Piao, D.; Jin, X.; Kim, S.H.; Lim, Y.C.; Nam, D.H.; You, S.; et al. hMSC-mediated concurrent delivery of endostatin and carboxylesterase to mouse xenografts suppresses glioma initiation and recurrence. Mol. Ther. 2011, 19, 1161–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Choi, S.H.; Tamura, K.; Khajuria, R.K.; Bhere, D.; Nesterenko, I.; Lawler, J.; Shah, K. Antiangiogenic variant of TSP-1 targets tumor cells in glioblastomas. Mol. Ther. 2015, 23, 235–243. [CrossRef]

36. Pacioni, S.; D’Alessandri, Q.G.; Giannetti, S.; Morgante, L.; De Pascalis, I.; Coccè, V.; Bonomi, A.; Pasucci, L.; Alessandri, G.; Pessina, A.; et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells co-loaded with paclitaxel induce cytotoxic damage in glioblastoma brain xenografts. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 2015, 6, 194. [CrossRef]

37. Choi, S.H.; Stuckey, D.W.; Pignatta, S.; Reinshagen, C.; Khalsa, J.K.; Rozendaal, N.; Martinez-Quintanilla, J.; Tamura, K.; Keles, E.; Shah, K. Tumor Resection Recruits Effector T Cells and Boosts Therapeutic Efficacy of Encapsulated Stem Cells Expressing IFNbeta in Glioblastomas. Clin. Cancer Res. 2017, 23, 7047–7058. [CrossRef]

38. Chavakis, E.; Urbich, C.; Dimmeler, S. Homing and engraftment of progenitor cells: A prerequisite for cell therapy. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2008, 45, 514–522. [CrossRef]

39. Naderi-Meshkin, H.; Matin, M.M.; Heirani-Tabasi, A.; Mirahmadi, M.; Irfan-Maqsood, M.; Edalatmanesh, M.A.; Shahriyari, M.; et al. Homing and engraftment of human mesenchymal stem cells: Exploitation of SDF-1/CXCR4 axis toward enhancing the efficacy of stem cells’ homing. Cell Biol. Int. 2016, 40, 730–741. [CrossRef]

40. Huang, W.; Wang, T.; Zhang, D.; Zhao, T.; Dai, B.; Ashraf, A.; Wang, X.; Xu, M.; Millard, R.W.; Fan, G.C.; et al. Mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing CXCR4 attenuate remodeling of postmyocardial infarction by releasing matrix metalloproteinase-9. Stem Cells Dev. 2012, 21, 778–789. [CrossRef]

41. Liu, H.; Xue, W.; Ge, G.; Luo, X.; Li, Y.; Xiang, H.; Ding, X.; Tian, P.; Tian, X. Hypoxic preconditioning advances CXCR4 and CXCR7 expression by activating HIF-1α in MSCs. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2010, 401, 509–515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Pavon, L.F.; Sibov, T.T.; De Souza, A.V.; da Cruz, E.F.; Malheiros, S.M.F.; Cabral, F.R.; De Souza, J.G.; Boufleur, P.; Oliveira, D.; De Almeida, F.H.; et al. hMSC-mediated concurrent delivery of endostatin and carboxylesterase to mouse xenografts suppresses glioma initiation and recurrence. Mol. Ther. 2011, 19, 1161–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Kuan, I.-I.; Lee, C.-C.; Chen, C.-H.; Lu, J.; Kuo, Y.-S.; Wu, H.-C. The extracellular domain of epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) enhance multipotency of mesenchymal stem cells through EGFR–LIN28–LET7 signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2019, 294, 7769–7786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Ratajczak, M.Z.; Majka, M.; Kucia, M.; Drukala, J.; Pietrzkowski, Z.; Peiper, S.; Janowska-Wieczorek, A. Expression of functional CXCR4 by muscle satellite cells and secretion of SDF-1 by muscle-derived fibroblasts is associated with the presence of both muscle progenitors in bone marrow and hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells in muscles. Stem Cells 2003, 21, 363–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Singh, S.K.; Hawkins, C.; Clarke, I.D.; Squire, J.A.; Bayani, J.; Hide, T.; Henkelman, R.M.; Cusimano, M.D.; Dirks, P.B. Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. Nature 2004, 432, 396–401. [CrossRef]

46. Bao, S.; Wu, Q.; McLendon, R.E.; Hao, Y.; Shi, Q.; Hjelmeland, A.B.; Dewhirst, M.W.; Bigner, D.D.; Rich, J.N. Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. Nature 2006, 444, 756–760. [CrossRef]

47. Ohkada, M.; Suzuki, S.; Togashi, K.; Sugai, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Kitanaka, C. Targeting Folate Metabolism Is Selectively Cytotoxic to Glioma Stem Cells and Effectively Cooperates with Differentiation Therapy to Eliminate Tumor-Initiating Cells in Glioma Xenografts. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 11633. [CrossRef]
Biomedicines 2022, 10, 986

15 of 19

48. Lathia, J.D.; Gallagher, J.; Heddeleton, J.M.; Wang, J.; Eyler, C.E.; MacSwords, J.; Wu, Q.; Vasanji, A.; McLendon, R.E.; Hjelmeland, A.B.; et al. Integrin alpha 6 regulates glioblastoma stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2010, 6, 421–432. [CrossRef]

49. Hira, V.V.; Wormer, J.R.; Kakar, H.; Breznik, B.; Van Der Swaan, B.; Hulsbos, R.; Tigchelaar, W.; Tonar, Z.; Khursheed, M.; Molenaar, R.J.; et al. Periarteriolar Glioblastoma Stem Cell Niches Express Bone Marrow Hematopoietic Stem Cell Niche Proteins. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2018, 66, 155–173. [CrossRef]

50. Grassi, E.S.; Jeannot, P.; Pantazopoulou, V.; De Jong, A.L.; Mlakar, J.; Oostra, R.-J.; Khurshed, M.; Lah, T.T.; Van Noorden, C.J.F. Cysteine cathepsins B, X and K expression in peri-arteriolar glioblastoma stem cell niches. Histochem. J. 2018, 49, 481–497. [CrossRef]

51. Hira, V.V.; Breznik, B.; Vittori, M.; de Jong, A.L.; Mlanak, J.; Oostra, R.-J.; Khursheed, M.; Molenaar, R.J.; Lah, T.; Van Noorden, C.J. Similarities Between Stem Cell Niches in Glioblastoma and Bone Marrow: Rays of Hope for Novel Treatment Strategies. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2019, 68, 33–57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Truong, D.; Fiorelli, R.; Barrientos, E.S.; Melendez, E.L.; Sanai, N.; Mehta, S.; Nikkhah, M. A three-dimensional (3D) organotypic microfluidic model for glioma stem cell—Vascular Interactions. Biomatter 2018, 198, 63–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Warriner, N.M.; Agarwal, P.; Kumar, P. Integrative Analysis to Identify Genes Associated with Stemness and Immune Infiltration in Glioblastoma. Cells 2021, 10, 2765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Pillat, M.M.; Oliveira-Giacomelli, A.; Oliveira, M.D.N.; Andrejew, R.; Turrini, N.; Baranava, J.; Turnšek, T.L.; Ulrich, H. Mesenchymal stem cell-glioblastoma interactions mediated via kinin receptors unveiled by cytometry. Cytom. Part A 2021, 99, 152–163. [CrossRef]

55. Uyar, R. Glioblastoma microenvironment: The stromal interactions. Pathol. Res. Pract. 2022, 232, 153813. [CrossRef]

56. Vinogradov, S.; Wei, X. Cancer stem cells and drug resistance: The potential of nanomedicine. Nanomedicine 2012, 7, 597–615. [CrossRef]

57. Zheng, Z.Q.; Chen, J.T.; Zheng, M.C.; Yang, L.J.; Wang, J.M.; Liu, Q.L.; Chen, L.F.; Ye, Z.C.; Lin, J.M.; Lin, Z.X. Nestin+/CD31+ cells in the hypoxic perivascular niche regulate glioblastoma chemo-resistance by upregulating JAG1 and DLA4. Neuro-Oncoology 2021, 23, 905–919. [CrossRef]

58. Grassi, E.S.; Jeannot, P.; Pantazopoulou, V.; Berg, T.J.; Pietras, A. Niche-derived soluble DLK1 promotes glioma growth. Neoplasia 2020, 22, 689–701. [CrossRef]

59. Kvisten, M.; Mikkelsen, V.E.; Solheim, O.; Van Der Want, J.; Torp, S.H. Microglia and macrophages in human glioblastomas: A morphological and immunohistochemical study. Mol. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 11, 31–36. [CrossRef]

60. Wang, Q.; He, Z.; Huang, M.; Liu, T.; Wang, Y.; Xu, H.; Duan, H.; Ma, P.; Zhang, L.; Zamvil, S.S.; et al. Vascular niche IL-6 induces alternative macrophage activation in glioblastoma through HIF-2α. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 559. [CrossRef]

61. Bordji, K.; Grandval, A.; Cuhna-Alves, L.; Lechapt-Zalcman, E.; Bernaudin, M. Hypoxia-inducible factor-2alpha (HIF-2alpha), but not HIF-1alpha, is essential for hypoxic induction of class III beta-tubulin expression in human glioblastoma cells. FEBS J. 2014, 281, 5220–5236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Li, M.; Zeng, L.; Liu, S.; Dangelmaier, S.; Kahlerd, U.D.; Huang, H.; Han, Y.; Chi, X.; Zhu, M.; Lei, T. Transforming Growth Fac-tor-beta Promotes Homing and Therapeutic Efficacy of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Glioblastoma. J. Neurosurg. Exp. Neurol. 2019, 78, 315–325. [CrossRef]

63. Layek, B.; Sadhukha, T.; Panyam, J.; Prabha, S. Nano-Engineered Mesenchymal Stem Cells Increase Therapeutic Efficacy of Anticancer Drug Through True Active Tumor Targeting. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2018, 17, 1196–1206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Uyar, R. Glioblastoma microenvironment: The stromal interactions. Pathol. Res. Pract. 2022, 232, 153813. [CrossRef]

65. Karp, J.M.; Teo, G.S.L. Mesenchymal stem cell homing: The devil is in the details. Cell Stem Cell 2009, 4, 206–216. [CrossRef]

66. Smith, C.L.; Chaichana, K.L.; Lee, Y.M.; Lin, B.; Stanko, K.M.; O’Donnell, T.; Gupta, S.; Shah, S.R.; Wang, J.; Wijesekera, O.; et al. Pre-exposure of human adipose mesenchymal stem cells to soluble factors enhances their homing to brain cancer cells. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 2015, 4, 239–251. [CrossRef]

67. Bexell, D.; Gunnarsson, S.; Torrin, A.; Darabi, A.; Gisselsson, D.; Roybon, L.; Scheding, S.; Bengzon, J. Bone marrow multipotent mesenchymal stroma cells act as pericyte-like migratory vehicles in experimental gliomas. Mol. Ther. 2009, 17, 183–190. [CrossRef]

68. Velpula, K.K.; Dasari, V.R.; Rao, J.S. The homing of human cord blood stem cells to sites of inflammation: Unfolding mysteries of a novel therapeutic paradigm for glioblastoma multiforme. Cell Cycle 2012, 11, 2303–2313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Liu, Y.; Marquez-Curtis, L.A.; Janowska-Wieczorek, A. Mesenchymal stromal cells derived from umbilical cord blood migrate in response to complement C1q. Cytotherapy 2012, 14, 285–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Yu, Q.; Chen, L.; You, Y.; Zou, C.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Cheng, F. Erythropoietin combined with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor enhances MMP-2 expression in mesenchymal stem cells and promotes cell migration. Mol. Med. Rep. 2011, 4, 31–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
74. Marquez-Curtis, L.A.; Janowska-Wieczorek, A. Enhancing the migration ability of mesenchymal stromal cells by targeting the SDF-1/CXCR4 axis. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 561098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Zhang, Y.; Foudi, A.; Geay, J.; Berthebaud, M.; Buet, D.; Jarrier, P.; Jallil, A.; Vainchenker, W.; Louache, F. Intracelular localization and constitutive endocytosis of CXCR4 on human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells. *Stem Cells* **2004**, *22*, 1015–1029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Liao, J.; Qian, F.; Tchabo, N.; Mhavech-Fauceglia, P.; Beck, A.; Qian, Z.; Wang, X.; Huss, W.J.; Lele, S.B.; Morrison, C.D.; et al. Ovarian cancer spheroid cells with stem cell-like properties contribute to tumor generation, metastasis and chemotherapy resistance through hypoxia-resistant metabolism. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e84941. [CrossRef]

77. Bache, M.; Rot, S.; Kessler, J.; Güttler, A.; Rachmilewitz, H.; Taubert, H.; Söling, A.; Bilkenroth, U.; et al. mRNA expression levels of hypoxia-induced and stem cell-associated genes in human glioblastoma. *Oncol. Rep.* **2015**, *33*, 3155–3161. [CrossRef]

78. Annabi, B.; Lee, Y.; Turcotte, N.; Naud, E.; Desrosiers, R.R.; Champagne, M.; Eliopoulos, N.; Galipeau, J.; Béliveau, R. Hypoxia promotes murine bone-marrow-derived stromal cell migration and tube formation. *Stem Cells* **2003**, *21*, 337–347. [CrossRef]

79. Valorani, M.G.; Montelatici, E.; Germani, A.; Biddle, A.; D’Alessandro, D.; Strollo, R.; Patrizi, M.P.; Lazzari, L.; Nye, E.; Otto, W.R.; et al. Pre-culturing human adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells under hypoxia increases their adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation potentials. *Cell Prolif.* **2012**, *45*, 225–238. [CrossRef]

80. Hu, X.; Chen, P.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, W.; Wu, R.; Webster, K.A.; Yu, H.; et al. MiR-211/STAT5A Signaling Modulates Migration of Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Improve its Therapeutic Efficacy. *Stem Cells* **2016**, *34*, 1846–1858. [CrossRef]

81. Allahverdi, A.; Arefian, E.; Soleimani, M.; Ai, J.; Nahanmoghaddam, N.; Yousefi-Ahmadipour, A.; Ebrahim-Bargouthi, S. MicroRNA-4731-5p delivered by AD-mesenchymal stem cells induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in glioblastoma. *J. Cell Physiol.* **2020**, *235*, 8167–8175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Bobis-Wozowicz, S.; Miekus, K.; Wybieralska, E.; Jarocha, D.; Zawisz, A.; Madeja, Z.; Majka, M. Genetically modified adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing CXCR4 display increased motility, invasiveness, and homing to bone marrow of NOD/SCID mice. *Exp. Hematol.* **2011**, *39*, 686–696.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Kumar, S.; Ponnazhagan, S. Bone homing of mesenchymal stem cells by ectopic α4 integrin expression. *FASEB J.* **2007**, *21*, 3917–3927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Vogel, S.; Peters, C.; Etminan, N.; Börger, V.; Schimanski, A.; Sabel, M.C.; Sorg, R.V. Migration of mesenchymal stem cells towards glioblastoma cells depends on hepatocyte-growth factor and is enhanced by aminolaevulinic acid-mediated photodynamic treatment. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2013**, *431*, 428–432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Bennewitz, M.F.; Tang, K.S.; Markakis, E.A.; Shapiro, E.M. Specific chemotaxis of magnetically labeled mesenchymal stem cells: Implications for MRI of glioma. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **2012**, *14*, 676–687. [CrossRef]

86. Consentius, C.; Reinke, P.; Volk, H.-D. Immunogenicity of allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells: What has been seen in vitro and in vivo. *Regen. Med.* **2015**, *10*, 305–315. [CrossRef]

87. Hass, R.; Kasper, C.; Böhm, S.; Jacobs, R. Different populations and sources of human mesenchymal stem cells (MSC): A comparison of adult and neonatal tissue-derived MSC. *Cell Commun. Signal.* **2011**, *9*, 12. [CrossRef]

88. Deuse, T.; Stubbendorf, M.; Tang-Quan, K.; Phillips, N.; Kay, M.A.; Eiermann, T.; Phan, T.T.; Volk, H.-D.; Reichenspurner, H.; Robbins, R.C.; et al. Immunogenicity and immunomodulatory properties of umbilical cord lining mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Transplant.* **2015**, *24*, 655–667. [CrossRef]

89. Ming, G.-L.; Song, H. Adult neurogenesis in the mammalian central nervous system. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **2005**, *28*, 223–250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Zhao, C.; Deng, W.; Gage, F.H. Mechanisms and functional implications of adult neurogenesis. *Cell* **2008**, *132*, 645–660. [CrossRef]

91. Pellegrino, G.; Trubert, C.; Terrien, J.; Pifferi, F.; Leroy, D.; Loyens, A.; Migaud, M.; Barocci, C.; Fontaine, C.; et al. A comparative study of the neural stem cell niche in the adult hypothalamus of human, mouse, rat and gray mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*). *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2017**, *526*, 1419–1443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Mistry, A.; Hale, A.T.; Chambless, L.B.; Weaver, K.D.; Thompson, R.C.; Ihrie, R.A. Influence of glioblastoma contact with the lateral ventricle on survival: A meta-analysis. *J. Neuro-Oncology* **2016**, *131*, 125–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Lee, J.H.; Lee, J.E.; Kahng, J.Y.; Kim, S.H.; Park, J.S.; Yoon, S.J.; Um, J.Y.; Kim, W.K.; Lee, J.K.; Park, J.; et al. Human glioblastoma arises from subventricular zone cells with low-level driver mutations. *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 243–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Filipponi, T.R.; Galindo, L.T.; Barnabe, G.F.; Ariza, C.B.; Mello, L.E.; Juliano, M.A.; Juliano, L.; Porcionatto, M.A. CXCL12-N-terminal end is sufficient to induce chemotaxis and proliferation of neural stem/progenitor cells. *Stem Cell Res.* **2013**, *11*, 913–925. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Zhu, C.; Yao, W.-L.; Tan, W.; Zhang, C.-H. SDF-1 and CXCR4 play an important role in adult SVZ lineage cell proliferation and differentiation. *Brain Res.* **2016**, *1657*, 223–231. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Ho, S.Y.; Ling, T.Y.; Lin, H.Y.; Liou, J.T.; Liu, F.C.; Chen, I.C.; Lee, S.W.; Hsu, Y.; Lai, D.M.; Liou, H.H. SDF-1/CXCR4 Signaling Maintains Stemness Signature in Mouse Neural Stem/Progenitor Cells. *Stem Cells Int.* **2017**, *2017*, 2493752. [CrossRef]

97. Liao, A.; Shi, R.; Jiang, Y.; Tian, S.; Li, P.; Song, F.; Qu, Y.; Li, J.; Yun, H.; Yang, X. SDF-1/CXCR4 Axis Regulates Cell Cycle Pro-gression and Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition via Up-regulation of Survivin in Glioblastoma. *Mol. Neurobiol.* **2016**, *53*, 210–215. [CrossRef]
98. Goffart, N.; Lombard, A.; Lallemand, F.; Kroonen, J.; Nassen, J.; Di Valentin, E.; Berendsen, S.; Dedobbeleer, M.; Willems, E.; Robe, P.A.; et al. CXCL12 mediates glioblastoma resistance to radiotherapy in the subventricular zone. Neuro-Oncology 2017, 19, 66–77. [CrossRef]

99. Jin, C.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, M.; Li, J.; Liu, B.; Bin Liao, X.; Liao, Y.; Liu, J. CircRNA EPHB4 modulates stem properties and proliferation of gliomas via splicing miR-637 and up-regulating SOX10. Mol. Oncol. 2020, 15, 596–622. [CrossRef]

100. Hira, V.V.; Verbovsek, U.; Breznik, B.; Srdic, M.; Novince, M.; Kakar, H.; Wormer, J.; der Swaan, B.V.; Lenarcic, B.; Juliano, L.; et al. Cathepsin K cleavage of SDF-1alpha inhibits its chemotactic activity towards glioblastoma stem-like cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell. Res. 2017, 1864, 594–603. [CrossRef]

101. Marques-Torrejon, M.A.; Gango, S.; Pollard, S.M. Modelling glioblastoma tumour-host cell interactions using adult brain organotypic slice co-culture. Dis. Model. Mech. 2017, 11, 031435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Aboody, K.S.; Brown, A.; Rainov, N.G.; Bower, K.A.; Liu, S.; Yang, W.; Small, J.E.; Herrlinger, U.; Ouедniki, V.; Black, P.M.; et al. Neural stem cells display extensive tropism for pathology in adult brain: Evidence from intracranial gliomas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 12846–12851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Lee, D.-H.; Ahn, Y.; Kim, S.U.; Wang, K.-C.; Cho, B.-K.; Phi, J.H.; Park, I.H.; Black, P.M.; Carroll, R.S.; Lee, J. Targeting rat brainstem glioma using human neural stem cells and human mesenchymal stem cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 2009, 15, 4925–4934. [CrossRef]

104. Díaz-Coránguez, M.; Segovia, J.; López-Omelas, A.; Puerta-Guardo, H.; Lu, Y.; Liu, S.; Snyder, E.Y.; O’Rourke, D.M.; Watson, D.J. Role of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1/CC2L) in migration of Neural Stem Cells across the Blood Brain Barrier Induced by Glioma Cells. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e60655. [PubMed]

105. Goncharova, V.; Das, S.; Nile, W.; Schraufstatter, I.; Wong, A.K.; Miller, L.; Snyder, E.Y.; Khaldoyanidi, S.K. Homing of neural stem cells from the venous compartment into a brain infarct does not involve conventional in-teractions with vascular endothelium. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 2014, 3, 229–240. [CrossRef]

106. Magge, S.N.; Malik, S.Z.; Royo, N.C.; Chen, H.I.; Yu, L.; Snyder, E.Y.; O’Rourke, D.M.; Watson, D.J. Role of monocyte chemo-attractant protein-I (MCP-1/CC2L1) in migration of neural progenitor cells toward glial tumors. J. Neurosci. Res. 2009, 87, 1547–1555. [CrossRef]

107. Ziu, M.; Schmidt, N.O.; Cargioli, T.G.; Aboody, K.S.; Black, P.M. Glioma-produced extracellular matrix influences brain tumor tropism of human neural stem cells. J. Neuro-Oncology 2006, 79, 125–133. [CrossRef]

108. Friedlander, D.R.; Zagzag, D.; Shiff, B.; Cohen, H.; Allen, J.C.; Kelly, P.J.; Grumet, M. Migration of brain tumor cells on extracellular matrix. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 2013, 6, 1939–1947.

109. Jin, C.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, M.; Li, J.; Liu, B.; Bin Liao, X.; Liao, Y.; Liu, J. CircRNA EPHB4 modulates stem properties and proliferation of gliomas via splicing miR-637 and up-regulating SOX10. Mol. Oncol. 2020, 15, 596–622. [CrossRef]

110. Ferent, J.; Zaidi, D.; Francis, F. Extracellular Control of Radial Glia Proliferation and Scaffolding during Cortical Development and Pathology. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 2020, 8, 578341. [CrossRef]

111. Zhang, Y.-Z.; Lou, J.-Y.; Bai, H.-Y.; Wang, Y.-L.; Li, J.-F.; Yin, H.-L. Protective effect of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells on PC12 cells apoptosis mediated by TAG1. Int. J. Exp. Pathol. 2015, 8, 12093–12100. [PubMed]

112. Suman, S.; Das, T.P.; Damodaran, S. Silencing NOTCH signaling causes growth arrest in both breast cancer stem cells and breast cancer cells. Br. J. Cancer 2013, 109, 2587–2596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Mohamet, L.; Lea, M.L.; Ward, C.M. Abrogation of E-cadherin-mediated cellular aggregation allows proliferation of pluripotent mouse embryonic stem cells in shake flask bioreactors. PLoS ONE 2010, 5, e12921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Sadler, N.M.; Harris, B.R.; Metzger, B.A.; Kirshner, J. N-cadherin impedes proliferation of the multiple myeloma cancer stem cells. Am. J. Blood Res. 2013, 3, 271–285.

115. Cook, P.J.; Thomas, R.; Kingsley, P.J.; Shimizu, F.; Montrose, D.C.; Marnett, L.J.; Tabar, V.S.; Dannenberg, A.J.; Benezra, R. N-cadherin increases glioma cell migration and survival through EGF signaling. Oncotarget 2015, 6, 6840–6849. [CrossRef]

116. Ayuso-Sacido, A.; Molinero, J.A.; Kratovac, S.; Kapoor, G.S.; O’Rourke, D.; Holland, E.C.; Garcia-Verdugo, J.M.; Roy, N.S.; Boockvar, J.A. Activated EGFR signaling increases proliferation, survival, and migration and blocks neuronal differentiation in post-natal neural stem cells. J. Neuro-Oncology 2009, 97, 323–337. [CrossRef]

117. Careya, M.A.G.; Hagler, S.B.; Bomba, H.N.; Goetz, M.M.J.; Bago, J.R.; Hingtgen, S.D. Developing Bioinspired Three-Dimensional Models of Brain Cancer to Evaluate Tumor-Homing Neural Stem Cell Therapy. Tissue Eng. Part. A 2021, 27, 857–866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. Wang, J.; Liu, J.; Meng, H.; Guan, Y.; Yin, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Sun, G.; Wu, A.; Chen, L.; Yu, X. Neural stem cells promote glioblastoma formation in nude mice. Clin. Transl. Oncol. 2019, 21, 1551–1560. [CrossRef]

119. Wang, J.; Liu, J.; Meng, H.; Guan, Y.; Yin, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Sun, G.; Wu, A.; Chen, L.; Yu, X. Neural stem cells promote glioblastoma formation in nude mice. Clin. Transl. Oncol. 2019, 21, 1551–1560. [CrossRef]

120. Tobias, A.L.; Thaci, B.; Auffinger, B.; Rincón, E.; Balyasnikova, I.V.; Kim, C.K.; Han, Y.; Zhang, L.; Aboody, K.S.; Ahmed, A.U.; et al. The timing of neural stem cell-based vireotherapy is critical for optimal therapeutic efficacy when applied with radiation and chemotherapy for the treatment of glioblastoma. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 2013, 2, 655–666. [CrossRef]
121. Chakritbudsabong, W.; Sariya, L.; Jantahiran, P.; Chaisilp, N.; Chaiwattanarungruengpaisan, S.; Rungarunlert, S. Generation of Porcine Induced Neural Stem Cells Using the Sendai Virus. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *8*, 806785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Han, M.J.; Lee, W.J.; Choi, J.; Hong, Y.J.; Uhm, S.J.; Choi, Y.; Do, J.T. Inhibition of neural stem cell aging through the transient induction of reprogramming factors. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2020**, *529*, 595–604. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Soriano-Canton, R.; Perez-Villalba, A.; Morante-Redolat, J.M.; Marques-Torrejon, M.A.; Pallas, M.; Perez-Sanchez, F.; Farinas, I. Regulation of the p19(Arf)/p53 pathway by histone acetylation underlies neural stem cell behavior in senescence-prone SAMP8 mice. *Aging Cell* **2015**, *14*, 453–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Nitzsche, F.; Müller, C.; Lukomska, B.; Jolkkonen, J.; Deten, A.; Boltze, J. Concise Review: MSC Adhesion Cascade—Insights into Homing and Transendothelial Migration. *Stem Cells* **2017**, *35*, 1446–1460. [CrossRef]

125. Gouiran, V.; Guillamond, F.; Vasseur, S. Influence of the Tumor Microenvironment on Cancer Cells Metabolic Re programming. *Front. Oncol.* **2018**, *8*, 117. [CrossRef]

126. Kolosá, K.; Motaln, H.; Herold-Mende, C.; Koršíč, M.; Lah, T.T. Paracrine effects of mesenchymal stem cells induce senescence and differentiation of glioblastoma stem-like cells. *Cell Transplant.* **2015**, *24*, 631–644. [CrossRef]

127. Dasari, V.R.; Velpula, K.K.; Kaur, K.; Fassett, D.; Klopfenstein, J.D.; Dinh, D.H.; Gujrati, M.; Rao, J.S. Cord blood stem cell-mediated induction of apoptosis in glioma downregulates X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP). *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e11813. [CrossRef]

128. Akimoto, K.; Kimura, K.; Nagano, M.; Takano, S.; Salazar, G.; Yamashita, T.; Ohneda, O. Umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells inhibit, but adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells promote, glioblastoma multiforme proliferation. *Stem Cells Dev.* **2013**, *22*, 1370–1386. [CrossRef]

129. Onzi, G.R.; Ledur, P.F.; Hainzenreder, L.D.; Bertoni, A.P.; Silva, A.O.; Lenz, G.; Wink, M.R. Analysis of the safety of mesenchymal stromal cells secretome for glioblastoma treatment. *Cytotherapy* **2016**, *18*, 828–837. [CrossRef]

130. Hossain, A.; Gumin, J.; Gao, F.; Figueroa, J.; Shinojima, N.; Takezaki, T.; Priebel, W.; Villarreal, D.; Kang, S.G.; Joyce, C.; et al. Mesenchymal Stem Cells Isolated from Human Gliomas Increase Proliferation and Maintain Stemness of Glioma Stem Cells Through the IL-6/gp130/STAT3 Pathway. *Stem Cells* **2015**, *33*, 2400–2415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

131. Bajetto, A.; Pattarozzi, A.; Corsaro, A.; Barbieri, F.; Daga, A.; Bosio, A.; Gatti, M.; Pisaturo, V.; Sirit, R.; Florio, T. Different Effects of Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells on Glioblastoma Stem Cells by Direct Cell Interaction or Via Released Soluble Factors. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **2017**, *11*, 312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Duarte, S.P.; Carle, G.; Faneca, H.; de Lima, M.P.; Pierrefite-Carle, V. Suicide gene therapy in cancer: Where do we stand now. *Cancer Lett.* **2012**, *324*, 160–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Al-Khabrooosh, R.; ReFaey, K.; Lara-Velazquez, M.; Grewal, S.S.; Imitola, J.; Quiñones-Hinojosa, A. Inflammatory Mediators in Glioma Microenvironment Play a Dual Role in Gliomagenesis and Mesenchymal Stem Cell Homing: Implication for Cellular Therapy. *Mayo Clin. Proc. Innov. Qual. Outcomes* **2020**, *4*, 443–459. [CrossRef]

134. Richardson, P.J. CXCR4 and Glioblastoma. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* **2016**, *16*, 59–74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Zhao, D.; Najbauer, J.; Garcia, E.; Metz, M.Z.; Gutova, M.; Glackin, C.A.; Kim, S.U.; Abody, K.S. Neural stem cell tropism to glioma: Critical role of tumor hypoxia. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **2008**, *6*, 1819–1829. [CrossRef]

136. Bagó, J.R.; Okolie, O.; Dumitrutz, R.; Ewend, M.G.; Parker, J.S.; Werff, R.V.; Underhill, T.M.; Schmid, R.S.; Miller, C.R.; Hingtgen, S.D. Tumor-homing cytotoxic human induced neural stem cells for cancer therapy. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *9*, 375. [CrossRef]

137. Deng, L.; Stafford, J.H.; Liu, S.C.; Chernikova, S.B.; Merchant, M.; Recht, L.; Brown, J.M. SDF-1 Blockade Enhances Anti-VEGF Therapy of Glioblastoma and Can Be Monitored by MRI. *Neoplasia* **2017**, *19*, 1–7. [CrossRef]

138. Choiorean, R.; Braciu, C.; Florian, I.S.; Leucuta, D.; Monteafresco, C.; Crisan, D.; Berindan-Neagoe, I.; Cernea, V. Quantitative expression of surface biomarkers involved in angiogenesis and metastasis in patients with glioblastoma multiforme: Correlations with clinical data. *Cancer Biomark.* **2014**, *14*, 185–194. [CrossRef]

139. Zhang, S.; Xie, R.; Zhao, T.; Yang, X.; Han, L.; Ye, F.; Lei, T.; Wan, F. Neural stem cells preferentially migrate to glioma stem cells and reduce their stemness phenotypes. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2014**, *45*, 1989–1996. [CrossRef]

140. Schichor, C.; Birnbaum, T.; Ebner, N.; Schnell, O.; Grau, S.; Miebach, S.; Aboody, K.; Padovan, C.; Straube, A.; Tonn, J.-C.; et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor A contributes to glioma-induced migration of human marrow stromal cells (hMSC). *Exp. Neurol.* **2006**, *199*, 301–310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

141. Rath, P.; Lal, B.; Aja, O.; Li, Y.; Xia, S.; Kim, J.; Laterra, J. In Vivo c-Met Pathway Inhibition Depletes Human Glioma Xenografts of Tumor-Prolonging Stem-Like Cells. *Transl. Oncol.* **2013**, *6*, 104–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

142. Keunen, O.; Johansson, M.; Oudin, A.; Sanzey, M.; Rahim, S.A.A.; Fack, F.; Thorsen, F.; Tacht, T.; Bartos, M.; Jirik, R.; et al. Anti-VEGF treatment reduces blood supply and increases tumor cell invasion in glioblastoma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 3749–3754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

143. Sproull, M.; Mathen, P.; Miller, C.A.; Mackey, M.; Cooley, T.; Smart, D.; Shankavaram, U.; Camphausen, K. A Serum Proteomic Signature Predicting Survival in Patients with Glioblastoma. *J. Biochem. Anal. Stud.* **2020**, *4*, 117.
145. Gondi, C.S.; Lakka, S.S.; Yanamandra, N.; Siddique, K.; Dinh, D.H.; Olivero, W.C.; Gujrati, M.; Rao, J.S. Expression of antisense uPAR and antisense uPA from a bicistronic adenoviral construct inhibits glioma cell invasion, tumor growth, and angiogenesis. *Oncogene* 2003, 22, 5967–5975. [CrossRef]

146. Bindal, A.K.; Hammoud, M.; Shi, W.M.; Wu, S.Z.; Sawaya, R.; Rao, J.S. Prognostic significance of proteolytic enzymes in human brain tumors. *J. Neuro-Oncology* 1994, 22, 101–110. [CrossRef]

147. López Ponte, A.; Marais, E.; Gallay, N.; Langonné, A.; Delomé, B.; Herzault, O.; Charbord, P.; Domenech, J. The in vitro migration capacity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: Comparison of chemokine and growth factor chemotactic activities. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 1737–1745. [CrossRef]

148. Heese, O.; Disko, A.; Zirkel, D.; Westphal, M.; Lamszus, K. Neural stem cell migration toward gliomas in vitro. *Neuro-Oncology* 2005, 7, 476–484. [CrossRef]

149. Liu, T.; Ma, W.; Xu, H.; Huang, M.; Zhang, D.; He, Z.; Zhang, L.; Brem, S.; O’Rourke, D.M.; Gong, Y.; et al. PDGF-mediated mesenchymal transformation renders endothelial resistance to anti-VEGF treatment in glioblastoma. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 3439. [CrossRef]

150. Hsu, Y.C.; Kao, C.Y.; Chung, Y.F.; Lee, D.C.; Liu, J.W.; Chiu, I.M. Activation of Aurora A kinase through the FGF1/FGFR signaling axis sustains the stem cell characteristics of glioblastoma cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2016, 344, 153–166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

151. Sato, H.; Kuwashima, N.; Sakaida, T.; Hatano, M.; Dusak, J.E.; Fellows-Mayle, W.K.; Papworth, G.D.; Watkins, S.; Gambotto, A.; Pollack, I.F.; et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor-transfected bone marrow stromal cells exhibit enhanced migratory response and therapeutic potential against murine brain tumors. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2005, 12, 757–768. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

152. Liang, Y.; Bollen, A.W.; Aldape, K.D.; Gupta, N. Nuclear FABP7 immunoreactivity is preferentially expressed in infiltrative glioma and is associated with poor prognosis in EGFR-overexpressing glioblastoma. *BMC Cancer* 2006, 6, 97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

153. Lin, Y.-C.; Hou, S.-C.; Hung, C.-M.; Lin, J.-N.; Chen, W.-C.; Ho, C.-T.; Kuo, S.-C.; Way, T.-D. Inhibition of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor by CHM-1 blocks proliferation of glioblastoma multiforme cells. *Chem. Interact.* 2015, 231, 119–126. [CrossRef]

154. Maule, F.; Bresolin, S.; Rampazzo, E.; Bosso, D.; Della Puppa, A.; Esposito, G.; Porcù, E.; Mitola, S.; Lombardi, G.; Accordi, B.; et al. Annexin 2A sustains glioblastoma cell dissemination and proliferation. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 54632–54649. [CrossRef]

155. Guo, G.; Gong, K.; Puliyappadamba, V.T.; Panchani, N.; Pan, E.; Mukherjee, B.; Damanwalla, Z.; Bharia, S.; Hatanpaa, K.J.; Gerber, D.E.; et al. Efficacy of EGFR plus TNF inhibition in a preclinical model of temozolomide-resistant glioblastoma. *Neuro-Oncology* 2019, 21, 1529–1539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

156. Nie, E.; Jin, X.; Miao, F.; Yu, T.; Zhi, T.; Shi, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Xie, M.; You, Y. TGF-beta1 modulates temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma via altered microRNA processing and elevated MGMT. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 435–446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

157. Pan, Y.-B.; Zhang, C.-H.; Wang, S.-Q.; Ai, P.-H.; Chen, K.; Zhu, L.; Sun, Z.-L.; Feng, D.-F. Transforming growth factor beta induced (TGFBI) is a potential signature gene for mesenchymal subtype high-grade glioma. *J. Neuro-Oncol.* 2018, 137, 395–407. [CrossRef]