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Abstract

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is one of the most frequently injured structures during high-impact sporting activities. Gene expression analysis may be a useful tool for understanding ACL tears and healing failure. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) has emerged as an effective method for such studies. However, this technique requires the use of suitable reference genes for data normalization. Here, we evaluated the suitability of six reference genes (18S, ACTB, B2M, GAPDH, HPRT1, and TBP) by using ACL samples of 39 individuals with ACL tears (20 with isolated ACL tears and 19 with ACL tear and combined meniscal injury) and of 13 controls. The stability of the candidate reference genes was determined by using the NormFinder, geNorm, BestKeeper DataAssist, and RefFinder software packages and the comparative ΔCt method. ACTB was the best single reference gene and ACTB+TBP was the best gene pair. The GenEx software showed that the accumulated standard deviation is reduced when a larger number of reference genes is used for gene expression normalization. However, the use of a single reference gene may not be suitable. To identify the optimal combination of reference genes, we evaluated the expression of FN1 and PLOD1. We observed that at least 3 reference genes should be used. ACTB+HPRT1+18S is the best trio for the analyses involving isolated ACL tears and controls. Conversely, ACTB+TBP+18S is the best trio for the analyses involving (1) injured ACL tears and controls, and (2) ACL tears of patients with meniscal tears and controls. Therefore, if the gene expression study aims to compare non-injured ACL, isolated ACL tears and ACL tears from patients with meniscal tear as three independent groups ACTB+TBP+18S+HPRT1 should be used. In conclusion, 3 or more genes should be used as reference genes for analysis of ACL samples of individuals with and without ACL tears.
Introduction

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is an important structure in the knee and is one of the most frequently injured structures during high-impact sporting activities [1,2,3]. The ACL does not heal following lesions, and surgical reconstruction is the treatment of choice in most cases [4,5]. Reconstructive surgery aims to restore the kinematics and stability of the injured knee, which allows a return to sports and may help to prevent osteoarthritis in the long term [3,5,6,7].

Some studies have been done to elucidate the molecular basis for failure of the human ACL to heal after rupture [6,8,9,10,11,12]. An improved understanding of the regulation of gene expression in normal and injured ACL will be important for guiding patient management and the development of new therapeutic options complementary to surgery.

Because of its accuracy, sensitivity, and capacity for high-throughput analysis, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is currently considered to be the gold standard technique for evaluation of gene expression [13]; furthermore, this technique is commonly used to validate data obtained by other methods [14].

A common method for obtaining reliable data through RT-qPCR is to normalize the target gene expression by using an endogenous reference gene. The use of one or more reference genes can correct biases caused by variations in the complementary DNA (cDNA) input or in the efficiency of RT or amplification. Ideally, reference genes should be stably expressed or at least vary only slightly in expression in all tissues or cells under the conditions of the experiment [15]. Normalization with unstable internal controls may result in different values and lead to erroneous results. Thus, it is necessary to meticulously evaluate the expression profiles of the candidate reference genes for each experimental system [16].

The suitability of reference genes has been evaluated in some human musculoskeletal diseases, such as shoulder instability [17], rotator cuff tears [18], osteoarthritic articular cartilage (hip and knee) [19], human lumbar vertebral endplate with Modic changes [20], and skeletal muscle with chronic degenerative changes [16]. Ayers et al. reported that the best reference genes for comparing normal and ruptured canine cranial cruciate ligament were B2M and TBP [21]. However, 18S [8], ACTB [9], and GAPDH [10] have been used as reference genes in the study of mRNA regulation in human ACL tears.

To our knowledge, no previous studies have described the best individual or set of reference genes for gene expression analysis of samples of human ligament. In this study, we assessed the suitability of six reference genes frequently reported in the literature (18S, ACTB, B2M, GAPDH, HPRT1, and TBP) by using ACL injury samples of patients with or without concomitant meniscal tears and control samples, analyzing the gene stability with the use of five software packages and the comparative ΔCt method.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Tissue samples were obtained from 39 patients with ACL tears, including 20 samples from patients with isolated ACL tears and 19 samples from patients with ACL injury and concomitant meniscus injury. Arthroscopic ACL reconstruction was done on all patients. The following inclusion criteria were used: age between 18 and 50 years old, clinical and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) diagnosis of ACL injury, and ACL lesion at the femoral insertion or disruption. The Lachman test [22], anterior drawer test [23], and pivot-shift tests [24] were used to diagnose ACL injury [7]. The McMurray [25], Apley [26], and Steinman [27] tests were used to diagnose meniscus injury [28]. Coronal and sagittal MRI views were used to identify ACL and
meniscal lesions. All injuries were confirmed during the arthroscopic procedure and reclassified when necessary.

Additionally, 13 patients without any history of ACL tears were included in this study as a control group. These patients had been arthroscopically operated on for other knee injuries, such as isolated medial meniscus injury. All control patients were physically active. Table 1 displays the main clinical outcomes of the studied cases and controls.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil (CEP #51436). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before specimen collection.

**Tissue samples**

For the collection of tissue samples, the patients were subjected to the standard preparation for surgical ACL reconstruction. A standard arthroscopic joint evaluation was carried out, confirming the diagnosis of ACL injury or combined ACL and meniscus injury. During surgery, samples (about 5 mm$^3$) of free edge from the injured ACL were collected for gene expression analysis; it is common to find remaining tissue in the ACL tear extremity [6]. The ACL tissue samples were obtained from the most proximal and anterior ACL local tear. After sample collection, the ACL reconstruction was concluded.

In the controls, similarly to the patients, a sample fragment of about 5 mm$^3$ was resected from the most proximal and anterior ACL fibers in the ACL without any sign of tears.

All tissue specimens were immediately immersed in Allprotect Tissue Reagent (Qiagen, USA) and stored at -20°C until RNA extraction.

**RNA extraction**

Total RNA was extracted from 10–20 mg of tissue sample using an AllPrep DNA/RNA/miRNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The mechanical lysis step was performed using the Tissue Lyser LT equipment (Qiagen, USA). RNA concentration and quality were immediately determined using a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific, USA) and the integrity of the RNA was verified by gel electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel. Aliquots of the total RNA were stored at -80°C until further use.

**RT-qPCR**

RT-qPCR gene expression quantifications were performed according to MIQE guidelines [29]. Only RNA samples with the optical density (OD)$_{260/280}$ > 1.8 were used, following the MIQE protocol.

First, cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng of RNA using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Table 1. Distribution of the clinical outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament tear patients and controls.**

| Variable                              | Cases (N = 39) | Controls (N = 13) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Age at surgery, years (mean ± SD)     | 34 ± 11.3      | 38 ± 9.7          |
| Gender (% of male)                    | 64.1%          | 69.2%             |
| Duration of condition, months (mean ± SD) | 5 ± 3         | 8.6 ± 7.4        |
| Mechanism (% of traumatic onset of symptoms) | 94.9%         | 76.9%             |

N: number of samples; SD: standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t001
To detect the range of expression of the six candidate reference genes, reactions were performed with 75 ng of cDNA input using TaqMan Low-Density Array (TLDA) cards (Life Technologies, USA) and ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, USA). Only inventoried TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Life Technologies, USA) were chosen for gene expression analysis. The final volume in each TLDA well is approximately 1 μl. All reactions were performed in triplicate.

To identify the best combination of reference genes, we also quantified the mRNA expression of targets genes, \textit{FN1} and \textit{PLOD1}, using the candidate reference genes for normalization. Fibronectin (FN), a large multidomain glycoprotein found in all vertebrates, plays a vital role in cell adhesion, tissue development, and wound healing [30]. The lysyl hydroxylases 1 (encoded by \textit{PLOD1}) promote extracellular matrix (ECM) structural stability and maturation by promoting inter- and intramolecular cross-links and the addition of carbohydrate moieties to ECM molecules.[31,32]. Therefore, \textit{FN1} and \textit{PLOD1} may have a role in ACL tears and healing.

For each sample, the candidate reference and target genes were assayed on the same card to exclude technical variations. The 6 reference genes and target genes are summarized in Table 2.

The relative threshold method (Crt method) was applied, which is a robust method that sets a threshold for each curve individually based on the shape of the amplification curve, regardless of the height or variability of the curve during its early baseline fluorescence. The expression of \textit{FN1} gene across the samples was calculated using the equation $\Delta \text{Crt}$, in which $\Delta \text{Crt} = \text{target gene (FN1 or PLOD1) Crt} - \text{the mean of reference genes Crt}$. A lower cycle threshold value (Crt) indicates higher gene expression.

### Analysis of reference gene expression stability

We categorized the tissue samples into the following 7 groups: 1) isolated ACL tear samples (N = 20); 2) ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear (N = 19); 3) ACL control samples (N = 13); 4) all injured ACL (N = 39); 5) isolated ACL tear samples and controls (N = 33); 6) ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls (N = 32); 7) all ACL samples (N = 52). Typically, gene expression studies compare transcript levels between case (i.e., the injured tissue) and control samples [9], therefore we created the groups #5, #6 and #7. However, some researchers have been investigated a possible association between gene expression and clinical variables [8,10], therefore we created the groups #1, #2 and #4. In addition, the group composed by only controls (group #3) was created since the understanding of gene expression regulation in non-injured ligaments is still necessary.

### Table 2. Summary of six reference genes and target genes.

| Gene symbol | Name                      | Gene function                                                   | Assay*                        |
|-------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 18S         | Eukaryotic 18S rRNA       | Ribosome subunit                                                | Hs99999901_s1                 |
| ACTB        | Beta-actin                | Cytoskeletal structural protein                                 | Hs01060665_g1                 |
| B2M         | Beta-2-microglobulin      | Beta-chain of major histocompatibility complex I molecules      | Hs00984230_m1                 |
| GAPDH       | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | Oxidoreductase in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis | Hs02758991_g1                 |
| HPRT1       | Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl-transferase | Purine synthesis in salvage pathway | Hs02800695_m1                 |
| TBP         | TATA box binding protein  | RNA polymerase II, transcription factor                         | Hs00427620_m1                 |
| FN1         | Fibronectin 1             | Extracellular matrix structural protein                         | Hs00365052_m1                 |
| PLOD1       | Lysyl hydroxylases 1      | Collagen cross-linking                                          | Hs00609368_m1                 |

*TaqMan probes were purchased as assays-on-demand products for gene expression (Life Technologies, USA).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t002
For comparisons of candidate reference gene stability we used the software programs NormFinder (http://www.mdl.dk/publications/normfinder.htm), geNorm (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/), BestKeeper1 (http://www.gene-quantification.de/bestkeeper.html) and DataAssist (http://www.lifetechnologies.com/us/en/home/technical-resources/software-downloads/dataassist-software.html) and the comparative ΔCt method [33]. We also used the RefFinder software (http://www.leonxie.com/referencegene.php) which integrates the results of geNorm, Normfinder, BestKeeper, and the comparative ΔCt method to compare and rank the tested candidate reference genes.

NormFinder accounts for both intra- and inter-group variations when evaluating the stability of each single reference gene [34]. The stability values and standard errors are calculated according to the transcription variation of the reference genes. Stably expressed genes, which have low variation in expression levels, present low stability values. NormFinder analysis also calculated the stability value for two reference genes.

geNorm calculates the expression stability value (M) for each gene based on the average pairwise expression ratio between a particular gene and all other reference genes. geNorm sequentially eliminates the gene that shows the highest variation relative to all the other genes based on paired expression values in all the studied samples. The most stably expressed gene yields the lowest M value, and then the two most stable reference genes are determined by step-wise exclusion of the least stable gene [35]. Because of the elimination process, geNorm cannot identify a single suitable reference gene, and ends up by suggesting a pair of genes that shows high correlation and should be suitable for normalization of qPCR studies.

Bestkeeper was used to rank the 6 reference genes based on the standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variance (CV) expressed as a percentage of the cycle threshold (Ct) level [36]. The more stable reference gene presents the lowest CV and SD. Bestkeeper also uses a statistical algorithm wherein the Pearson correlation coefficient for each candidate reference gene pair is calculated along with the probability of correlation significance of the pair.

DataAssist software provided a metric to measure reference gene stability based on the geNorm algorithm. In contrast to the other programs, DataAssist uses RQ to calculate the stability value of individual candidate reference genes. The lower score represents the more stable the control.

The comparative ΔCt method is based on the comparing relative expression of pairs of possible reference genes within each sample. The stability of the candidate housekeeping genes is ranked according to reproducibility of the gene expression differences among studied samples.

Lastly, RefFinder assigns an appropriate weight to an individual gene and calculated the geometric mean of their weights for the overall final ranking based on the rankings from geNorm, Normfinder, BestKeeper, and the comparative ΔCt.

GenEx software (http://genex.gene-quantification.info/) was used to determine the optimal number of reference genes by calculating the accumulated standard deviation (Acc.SD). If larger number of reference genes is used, random variation among the genes partially cancel reducing the SD. A minimum in the Acc.SD plot indicate the number of reference genes that give the lowest SD.

Statistical analysis

To compare FN1 and PLOD1 expression between the groups, we first verified the distribution of the data using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test for the determination of the appropriate tests for the subsequent statistical comparisons. FN1 and PLOD1 expression (ΔCt) was normally distributed. Therefore, the independent T-test was performed to compare FN1 and PLOD1 expression between the studied groups, and the values are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

Reference gene expression levels

Fig 1 presents the distribution of Crt values for each of the 6 candidate reference genes. These genes showed a wide range of expression levels, with 18S presenting the highest expression level (mean Crt value ± SD: 10.85 ± 1.63). In contrast, TPB (29.96 ± 1.36) and HPRT1 (29.69 ± 1.30) had the lowest expression levels in the ACL samples.

Reference gene expression stability

S1 Table shows the stability value ranking of the single candidate reference genes, as determined by the different software packages and the comparative ΔCt method. In our analysis, all the reference genes for all the groups presented M values less than the geNorm threshold of 1.5, which is considered as stable (S1 Table). However, B2M presented a high SD of Crt (SD = 1.12) in the analysis of all samples with the use of the BestKeeper software, in which any studied gene with SD higher than 1 can be considered inconsistent.

Although neither the software packages nor the comparative ΔCt method suggested the same rank of reference genes in the studied sample groups, the methods applied did generate similar rankings of reference gene stability for each analysis group (S1 Table).

Table 3 shows the most suitable reference gene based on the different software packages. In the present study, ACTB was found to be the most suitable reference gene for the study of ACL samples. As previously described, gene expression studies typically compare transcript levels between injured and non-injured tissue samples. When the isolated ACL tear samples and the
controls were evaluated together, \(\text{ACTB}\), followed by \(18\text{S}\), was found to be the most suitable reference gene. When the ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and the controls were considered, \(\text{ACTB}\), followed by \(\text{TBP}\), was the most stable gene. When all ACL tear samples and all control samples were considered, \(\text{ACTB}\), followed by \(\text{TBP}\), was also the most stable gene (Table 3, S1 Table).

When each group of ACL samples was evaluated individually, \(\text{HPRT1}\), followed by \(\text{ACTB}\), was observed to be the most stable gene for the isolated ACL tear samples. \(\text{ACTB}\) was also identified as the most stable gene in the ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and in the control samples. When all injured ACL samples were considered, \(\text{ACTB}\) was also identified as the most stable gene (Table 3, S1 Table).

### Analysis of the best combinations of reference genes

Table 4 shows the best combinations of reference genes, as suggested by the software packages, the comparative \(\Delta\text{Ct}\) method, and visual inspection of all the ranks generated by these analyses. Overall, the \(\text{ACTB} + \text{TBP}\) and \(\text{ACTB} + 18\text{S}\) pairs of genes were the most frequently identified. \(\text{ACTB} + 18\text{S}\) was the most frequently identified pair in the analysis of samples of (1) isolated ACL tear samples and (2) isolated ACL tear samples and controls. In contrast, \(\text{ACTB} + \text{TBP}\) was the most frequently identified pair in the analysis of samples of (1) ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear, (2) all injured ACL samples, (3) ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls, and (4) all ACL samples. In addition, \(\text{GAPDH} + \text{HPRT1}\) was the most frequently identified pair of reference genes in the analysis of control samples.

The NormFinder, geNorm, DataAssist, and BestKeeper software packages indicated only up to 2 genes as the best combination of reference genes. Visual inspection of all the ranks generated by the software and comparative \(\Delta\text{Ct}\) method indicated that \(\text{ACTB} + \text{TBP} + 18\text{S}\), followed by \(\text{ACTB} + \text{HPRT1} + 18\text{S}\), was the best trio of reference genes.

We used the GenEx software package to determine whether reliable normalization would require more than 2 reference genes. In this analysis, the optimal number of reference genes was indicated by the lowest SD. In all analyses, the Acc.SD of 2 reference genes did not differ

---

**Table 3. Best reference gene for each group of sample.**

| Groups                          | NormFinder<br>  | GeNorm<br>  | BestKeeper<br> | DataAssist<br> | \(\Delta\text{Ct}\)<br> method | RefFinder<br> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Isolated ACL tear samples       | \(\text{HPRT1}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/18\text{S}\) | TPB             | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}\)             | \(\text{HPRT1}\) |
| ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/\text{TBP}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) |
| ACL controls                    | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}/\text{GAPDH}\) | TPB             | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\)             | \(\text{ACTB}\) |
| All injured ACL samples         | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/\text{TBP}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\)             | \(\text{ACTB}\) |
| Isolated ACL tear samples and controls | \(18\text{S}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/18\text{S}\) | TPB             | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\)             | \(\text{ACTB}\) |
| ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/18\text{S}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\)             | \(\text{ACTB}\) |
| All ACL samples                 | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}/18\text{S}\) | \(\text{HPRT1}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\) | \(\text{ACTB}\)             | \(\text{ACTB}\) |

*Best reference gene determined considering the intragroup and intergroup variation.  
*When the intragroup and intergroup variation was not considered, \(\text{ACTB}\) was the best reference gene by NormFinder. Bold letters: best pairs of reference genes by more than one of the methods commonly used (NormFinder, GeNorm, BestKeeper, DataAssist, \(\Delta\text{Ct}\) method and RefFinder). ACL: anterior cruciate ligament.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t003
by more than 0.1 from the observed metric when using more than 2 genes (Fig 2). However, in the analysis of ACL control samples, the Acc.SD of 1 reference gene was more than 0.1 from the observed metric when using more than 3, 4, 5, or 6 genes (Fig 2). Moreover, in the analysis of isolated ACL tear samples and controls, the Acc.SD of 1 reference gene was more than 0.1 from the observed metric when using 5 or 6 genes (Fig 2). Conversely, in the analysis of ACL tear samples of patients with a meniscal tear, the lowest Acc.SD was observed when only one reference gene (ACTB) was used. In this group of samples, we observed that the Acc.SD of 6 reference genes was more than 0.1 from the metric observed with 1 gene (Fig 2).

### Table 4. Best combination of reference genes for each group of sample.

| Groups                              | Best pair of reference genes by software | Top genes by \( \Delta Ct \) method | Top genes by RefFinder | Best pair of reference genes\(^a\) | Best trio of reference genes\(^c\) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Isolated ACL tear samples           | ACTB + HPRT1                           | ACTB + 18S                          | ACTB + 18S             | HPRT1 + 18S                        | ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S               |
| ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear | ACTB + TBP                            | ACTB + TBP                          | ACTB + TBP             | ACTB + TBP                         | ACTB + TBP + 18S                 |
| ACL controls                        | ACTB + 18S                            | GAPDH + HPRT1                       | ACTB + TBP             | ACTB + TBP                         | ACTB + TBP + 18S                 |
| All injured ACL samples             | ACTB + 18S*                           | ACTB + TBP                          | ACTB + 18S             | ACTB + TBP + 18S                   | ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S               |
| Isolated ACL tear samples and controls | ACTB + HPRT1**                        | ACTB + 18S                          | ACTB + HPRT1           | ACTB + 18S                         | ACTB + TBP + 18S                 |
| ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls | ACTB + TBP                            | ACTB + 18S                          | ACTB + 18S             | ACTB + TBP + 18S                   | ACTB + TBP + 18S                 |
| All ACL samples                     | ACTB + TBP                            | ACTB + 18S                          | ACTB + 18S             | ACTB + TBP + 18S                   | ACTB + TBP + 18S                 |

\(^a\)Best combination of two genes determined considering the intragroup and intergroup variation;

\(^b\)Best combination of two genes determined considering the correlation values (r);

\(^c\)Best combination is based in a visual inspection of all the ranks generated by the four software.

*When the intragroup and intergroup variation was not considered, ACTB + TBP was the best pair of reference gene by NormFinder.

**When the intragroup and intergroup variation was not considered, ACTB + 18S was the best pair of reference gene by NormFinder. Bold letters: best pairs of reference genes by more than one of the methods commonly used (NormFinder, GeNorm, BestKeeper, DataAssist, \( \Delta Ct \) method and RefFinder). Underlined letters: best pairs of reference genes by visual inspection and of the methods commonly used. ACL: anterior cruciate ligament.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t004

### Effects of reference gene choice

To evaluate the effect of appropriate reference gene selection, an expression analysis was done by comparing the data from (1) ACL tear samples of patients with and without a concomitant meniscal tear, (2) isolated ACL tear samples and controls, (3) ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls, and (4) injured ACL samples and controls. This analysis was done with FN1 and PLOD1 as the target gene. The above-mentioned most frequently identified pairs (ACTB + TBP and ACTB + 18S) were used as reference genes. Gene expression analysis was also done by using 3 reference genes (ACTB + TBP + 18S and ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S), 4 reference genes (ACTB + TBP + 18S + HPRT1), and only 18S [8], ACTB [9], or GAPDH [10], as previously described in the literature.
Although the normalized expression quantities differed between the various combinations of reference genes, the distributions of the targets gene expression in the studied samples were similar (Fig 3).

Table 5 shows the $FN1$ expression when the different reference gene combinations were used for data normalization. The $FN1$ expression was significantly reduced in the ACL tear samples compared with the controls ($p < 0.05$), as well as in the isolated ACL tear samples compared with the controls ($p < 0.05$).

On the other hand, the $FN1$ expression was significantly reduced in the ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear compared with the controls only when using $GAPDH$ ($p = 0.021$) as reference gene.

When the isolated ACL tear samples were compared with the ACL tear samples of patients with meniscal tears, $FN1$ was observed to be significantly different between the groups when its expression was normalized by $18S$ ($p = 0.030$), $GAPDH$ ($p = 0.029$), $ACTB + 18S$ ($p = 0.049$), and $ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S$ ($p = 0.045$).

Table 6 shows the $PLOD1$ expression when the different reference gene combinations were used for data normalization. The $PLOD1$ expression was significantly increased in the ACL tear samples compared with the controls only when $ACTB$ ($p = 0.008$), $ACTB + 18S$ ($p = 0.013$) and $ACTB + HPRT1 + 18$ ($p = 0.049$) were used for its expression normalization. Moreover, $PLOD1$ was observed to be significantly different between the isolated ACL tear samples and controls when its expression was normalized by $ACTB$ ($p = 0.009$) and $ACTB + 18S$ ($p = 0.038$).

**Discussion**

RT-qPCR is one of the most commonly used approaches in functional genomics research, and its use in gene expression analysis may become routine. To minimize the influence of differences in mRNA extraction, RT, and PCR [37] between samples, it is necessary to normalize the target gene expression by a known factor. Consequently, the use of suitable reference genes
Fig 3. FN1 (A) and PLOD1 (B) expression normalized by different combinations of candidate reference genes in anterior cruciate ligament specimens. 18S: target expression normalized by 18S; ACTB: target expression normalized by ACTB; GAPDH: target expression normalized by GAPDH; A_T: target expression normalized by ACTB + TBP; A_18S: target expression normalized by ACTB + 18S; A_T_18S: FN1 expression normalized by ACTB + TBP + 18S; A_H_18S: target expression normalized by ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S; A_T_H_18S: target expression normalized by ACTB + TBP + HPRT1 + 18S; ACL-I: isolated anterior cruciate ligament tear samples; ACL-M: anterior cruciate ligament tear samples of patients with concomitant meniscal tear; ACL-C: anterior cruciate ligament samples of controls.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.g003
Table 5. FN1 expression normalized by different combinations of reference genes in the anterior cruciate ligament samples.

| Reference genes | ACL-I ± SD | ACL-M ± SD | p-value | ACL-I ± SD | ACL-C ± SD | p-value | ACL-M ± SD | ACL-C ± SD | p-value | ACL-I + ACL-M ± SD | ACL-C ± SD | p-value |
|-----------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------------------|------------|---------|
| 18S             | 14.46 ± 1.38 | 13.88 ± 1.15 | 0.030*  | 14.46 ± 1.38 | 12.96 ± 1.71 | <0.001* | 13.88 ± 1.15 | 12.96 ± 1.71 | 0.223 | 14.17 ± 1.29 | 12.96 ± 1.71 | 0.001* |
| ACTB            | 3.81 ± 1.40 | 3.41 ± 1.35 | 0.094   | 3.81 ± 1.39 | 2.53 ± 1.82 | 0.001*  | 3.51 ± 1.35 | 2.53 ± 1.82 | 0.181 | 3.66 ± 1.37 | 2.53 ± 1.82 | 0.017* |
| GAPDH           | 1.83 ± 1.42 | 1.45 ± 1.21 | 0.029*  | 1.84 ± 1.42 | 0.15 ± 1.61 | <0.001* | 1.45 ± 1.21 | 0.15 ± 1.61 | 0.021* | 1.65 ± 1.32 | 0.15 ± 1.61 | <0.001* |
| ACTB + TBP      | -0.52 ± 1.42 | -0.75 ± 1.38 | 0.194   | -0.52 ± 1.42 | -1.83 ± 1.75 | 0.002*  | -0.75 ± 1.38 | -1.83 ± 1.75 | 0.117 | -0.63 ± 1.38 | -1.83 ± 1.75 | 0.011* |
| ACTB + 18S      | 9.13 ± 1.38 | 8.69 ± 1.23 | 0.049*  | 9.13 ± 1.38 | 7.75 ± 1.76 | <0.001* | 8.69 ± 1.23 | 7.75 ± 1.76 | 0.220 | 8.92 ± 1.31 | 7.75 ± 1.76 | 0.016* |
| ACTB + TBP + 18S | 4.47 ± 1.40 | 4.13 ± 1.28 | 0.082   | 4.47 ± 1.40 | 3.10 ± 1.72 | 0.001*  | 4.13 ± 1.28 | 3.10 ± 1.72 | 0.153 | 4.31 ± 1.34 | 3.10 ± 1.72 | 0.011* |
| ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S | 4.63 ± 1.39 | 4.22 ± 1.35 | 0.045*  | 4.62 ± 1.39 | 3.13 ± 1.74 | <0.001* | 4.21 ± 1.35 | 3.13 ± 1.73 | 0.066 | 4.42 ± 1.37 | 3.13 ± 1.74 | 0.004* |
| ACTB + TBP + HPRT1 + 18S | 2.56 ± 1.40 | 1.91 ± 1.37 | 0.071   | 2.26 ± 1.40 | 1.91 ± 1.37 | <0.001* | 1.91 ± 1.37 | 0.81 ± 1.72 | 0.089 | 2.09 ± 1.38 | 0.81 ± 1.72 | 0.005* |

* A lower cycle threshold value (Crt) indicates higher gene expression.
* p < 0.05 by independent T-test.
SD: standard deviation; ACL-I: isolated anterior cruciate ligament samples; ACL-M: anterior cruciate ligament samples of patients with concomitant meniscal tear; ACL-C: anterior cruciate ligament samples of controls.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t005
### Table 6. PLOD1 expression normalized by different combinations of reference genes in the anterior cruciate ligament samples.

| Reference genes | PLOD1 expression (ΔCt; mean ± SD)a | ACL-I | ACL-M | p-value | ACL-I | ACL-C | p-value | ACL-M | ACL-C | p-value | ACL-I + ACL-M | ACL-C | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|----------------|-------|---------|
| 18S            | 16.09 ± 0.79 15.97 ± 0.82 0.628     | 16.09 ± 0.79 | 16.41 ± 0.46 | 0.020 | 15.97 ± 0.82 | 16.41 ± 0.46 | 0.089 | 16.03 ± 0.79 | 16.41 ± 0.46 | 0.113 |
| ACTB           | 5.45 ± 0.67 5.59 ± 0.76 0.523       | 5.45 ± 0.67 | 5.98 ± 0.43 | 0.009* | 5.60 ± 0.76 | 5.98 ± 0.43 | 0.113 | 5.52 ± 0.71 | 5.98 ± 0.43 | 0.008* |
| GAPDH          | 3.48 ± 0.40 3.54 ± 0.54 0.718       | 3.48 ± 0.40 | 3.60 ± 0.39 | 0.399 | 3.54 ± 0.54 | 3.60 ± 0.40 | 0.705 | 3.51 ± 0.47 | 3.60 ± 0.39 | 0.515 |
| ACTB + TBP     | 1.12 ± 0.80 1.34 ± 0.84 0.399       | 1.12 ± 0.80 | 1.62 ± 0.54 | 0.056 | 1.34 ± 0.84 | 1.62 ± 0.54 | 0.299 | 1.23 ± 0.82 | 1.62 ± 0.54 | 0.111 |
| ACTB + 18S     | 10.77 ± 0.72 10.78 ± 0.75 0.962     | 10.77 ± 0.72 | 11.19 ± 0.40 | 0.038* | 10.78 ± 0.75 | 11.20 ± 0.40 | 0.082 | 10.78 ± 0.72 | 11.20 ± 0.40 | 0.013* |
| ACTB + TBP + 18S | 6.11 ± 0.78 6.21 ± 0.79 0.674     | 6.11 ± 0.78 | 6.55 ± 0.48 | 0.055 | 6.22 ± 0.79 | 6.55 ± 0.48 | 0.186 | 6.16 ± 0.78 | 6.55 ± 0.48 | 0.098 |
| ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S | 6.26 ± 0.69 6.30 ± 0.76 0.857     | 6.26 ± 0.69 | 6.59 ± 0.34 | 0.085 | 6.31 ± 0.76 | 6.59 ± 0.34 | 0.169 | 6.28 ± 0.71 | 6.58 ± 0.34 | 0.049* |
| ACTB + TBP + HPRT1 + 18S | 3.89 ± 0.75 4.00 ± 0.80 0.671 | 3.89 ± 0.75 | 4.26 ± 0.43 | 0.089 | 4.00 ± 0.80 | 4.26 ± 0.43 | 0.305 | 3.95 ± 0.77 | 4.25 ± 0.43 | 0.079 |

*a lower cycle threshold value (Ct) indicates higher gene expression.
*p < 0.05 by independent T-test. SD: standard deviation; ACL-I: isolated anterior cruciate ligament samples; ACL-M: anterior cruciate ligament samples of patients with concomitant meniscal tear; ACL-C: anterior cruciate ligament samples of controls.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133323.t006
with stable expression in the studied tissue (normal and/or injured) is essential for effective
data normalization and the acquisition of accurate and meaningful biological data.

Reference genes have been described for RT-qPCR studies on several diseases and tissues
[16,19,20,38,39,40,41,42]. Recently, our group identified the most stable reference genes in the
glenohumeral capsule of patients with and without shoulder instability [17] and in patients
with and without rotator cuff tears [18]. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has
aimed to identify suitable reference genes for gene expression analyses by quantitative
approaches in human ACL.

In the present study, we used 5 software packages (NormFinder, geNorm, BestKeeper,
DataAssist, and RefFinder) and the comparative $\Delta$Ct method to evaluate the stability of refer-
cence gene expression. Because each analysis uses distinct algorithms, different results can be
expected. Therefore, it is important to use more than one software package or method to iden-
tify the most suitable reference genes among a set of candidates. Although the analyses differed
in their rankings of reference gene stability and in their identification of the most suitable gene
pair, at least two programs produced results that showed agreement among almost all the anal-
yses. Our results indicate that the use of 5 statistical tools and the comparative $\Delta$Ct method
aids in the identification of the best reference genes.

Surprisingly, NormFinder, geNorm and BestKeeper from RefFinder did not yield the same
outcome obtained from the NormFinder, geNorm, and Bestkeeper interface (data not shown),
probably due to the different versions of the algorithm. This lack of agreement was previously
reported in the literature [43].

All the reference genes in this study presented an M value less than the geNorm threshold of
1.5, which is considered as stable under the different experimental conditions tested. However,
B2M presented a high SD of Crt in the analysis of all samples with the use of the BestKeeper
software. Therefore, these reference genes should not be used in an analysis involving different
conditions of ACL (injured and non-injured).

Overall, ACTB seemed to be the most suitable gene based on the analyses of different
groups. This reference gene was used in a previous study on injured and non-injured human
ACL samples [9]. Although an earlier study on ACL tear samples used GAPDH as a reference
gene [10], our analysis revealed that this gene is not the most stable in this type of tissue sam-
ples. 18S was also previously used as reference gene in gene expression studies on human ACL
tears [8]; however, this gene was observed to be the most stable only in some analyses with the
geNorm software and in the analysis of isolated ACL tear samples and controls with the use of
NormFinder.

It is increasingly clear that in most situations, a single reference gene is not sufficiently stable
[44]. Here, we observed that the Acc.SD of 1 reference gene was more than 0.1 from the
observed metric when using more than 3 or more genes in the analysis of ACL control samples
and when using 5 or 6 genes in the analysis of isolated ACL tear samples and controls. The
reproducibility of real-time PCR equipment is rarely less than 0.1 cycle (estimated as the SD of
technical replicates); meanwhile, our results reinforce that the use of a single reference gene
may not be suitable, at least when a control group of non-injured ACL samples is investigated.

Although different combinations of reference genes were determined as the most suitable
for the various analysis groups, $\text{ACTB} + \text{TBP}$ and $\text{ACTB} + 18S$ were the most frequently iden-
tified pairs, and $\text{ACTB} + \text{HPRT1} + 18S$ and $\text{ACTB} + \text{TBP} + 18S$ were the most frequently identi-
fied trios. The selection of the appropriate combination of reference genes should consider the
group of ACL samples that will be investigated.

To identify the best combination of reference genes, we evaluated the $\text{FN1}$ and $\text{PLOD1}$
expression in samples of ACL tissue from the cases and controls. The statistical comparison
revealed that the $\text{FN1}$ expression differed between the isolated ACL tear samples and the
controls, as well as when all the ACL tear samples were compared with the controls. When the ACL tear samples of patients with meniscal tears were compared with the controls, no significant difference was observed, except when the FN1 expression was normalized only by GAPDH, which is not the most stable gene in the ACL samples. Therefore, our results reinforce that GAPDH is not the most suitable reference gene for gene expression studies on ACL tears.

In the present study, the FN1 expression was significantly different between isolated ACL tear samples and the ACL samples of patients with meniscal tears when 18S, GAPDH, ACTB + 18S, or ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S were used for expression normalization. Although the mean FN1 expression was slightly different between the isolated ACL tear samples and the ACL samples of patients with meniscal tears, we did not have the statistical power to prove this difference in the studied sample because no significant difference was observed between these two groups of samples when the best pair (ACTB + TBP), the best trio (ACTB + TBP + 18S), or four reference genes were used. The patterns of meniscal injury after impact trauma resulting in ACL rupture are not well understood. An unconstrained high-intensity impact on the tibiofemoral joint can lead to meniscal damage in conjunction with ACL ruptures [45]. This fact seems to contribute to the larger heterogeneity observed in the ACL samples of patients with meniscal tears even when more suitable reference genes were used for FN1 normalization. Moreover, this larger heterogeneity may also explain why the FN1 expression did not differ between the ACL samples of patients with meniscal tears and the controls.

Concerning PLOD1 expression, it was significantly different between ACL tear samples compared with the controls only when ACTB, ACTB + 18S and ACTB + HPRT1 + 18 were used as reference genes. Moreover, PLOD1 was observed to be significantly different between the isolated ACL tear samples and controls when its expression was normalized by ACTB and ACTB + 18S. In these set of analyses, we did not observe a significant difference between the groups when the best trio (ACTB + TBP + 18 and ACTB + HPRT1 + 18, respectively) or four reference genes were used. Therefore, the use of one, two, three, or more reference genes may lead to differences in the statistical analysis result of some group comparisons. PLOD1 expression analysis reinforce that the selection of the appropriate normalization should consider the group of ACL samples that will be investigated.

Furthermore, our results also show that the use of only two reference genes may be not suitable for some ACL gene expression studies. ACTB + 18S was the best pair for the analysis involving isolated ACL tear samples and controls according most of the software, with the exception of NormFinder and the classic ΔCt method. NormFinder is the only software that takes in account the intergroup variation. When the intergroup variation was not considered, ACTB + 18S was also the best pair of reference gene by NormFinder in this group of samples. Thus, although ACTB and 18S seem to be stable, their expression may present some variation between isolated ACL tear samples and controls.

When a larger number of reference genes is used, the SD of the normalization factor (mean of reference gene expression) is reduced, and the random variation among the expression of the tested genes is partially cancelled. In using the GenEx software, we observed that in most of the analysis groups, the Acc.SD value of 2 reference genes differed by no more than 0.1 from that observed when 3 or more reference genes were used. Because the inclusion of additional reference genes increases the time and money required for the analysis, it is important to consider the degree of improvement and overall noise contributed by reference genes when deciding how many reference genes are required. The design of the study always need to be consider; however, taking together all the results shown in this study, we suggest that 3 or more reference genes should be used for gene expression normalization in ACL samples.

It is important to note that ACTB + HPRT1 + 18S was the best trio for the analysis involving isolated ACL tear samples and controls. On the other hand, ACTB + TBP + 18S was the best
trio in the analysis involving ACL tear samples of patients with a concomitant meniscal tear and controls. Therefore, if the gene expression study aims to compare non-injured ACL, isolated ACL tears and ACL tears from patients with meniscal tear as three independent groups, four reference genes should be used. \(ACTB + TBP + HPRT1 + 18S\) were the top ranked stable genes in most of the analysis (S1 Table).

Additionally, we evaluated the effect of the use of different combinations of reference genes in the expression of 9 other extracellular matrix genes (data not shown). The analysis reinforces that it is not appropriate to use only one reference gene for gene expression normalization in the study of ACL samples. Furthermore, for the studied genes, no significant difference was found between isolated ACL tear samples and the ACL samples of patients with meniscal tears.

Our study presented some limitations. First, we included only a limited number of candidate reference genes; it is likely that some other genes may also be used as internal references for gene expression studies in ACL samples from patients with or without a history of ACL tear. Second, the number of samples available for the independent t-test was reduced, especially in the control group. However, to the best of our knowledge, only one previous study evaluated the RNA expression in human non-injured ACL samples [9]. Third, our results apply directly only to ACL samples. It is unclear how well our results could be extended to other joint ligaments. Therefore, when other ligament samples are used, we suggest doing specific gene expression studies to identify the most stable reference genes for normalization. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that our results may be relevant to the study of both ACL tears and normal ACL.

**Conclusions**

The results of the present study indicate that the use of suitable reference genes for reliable gene expression evaluation by RT-qPCR should consider the type of ACL samples investigated (injured or non-injured). Based on the evaluation of different analysis groups, \(ACTB\) seems to be the most suitable reference gene and \(ACTB + TBP\) seems to be the best pair of reference genes. However, the use of only one or two reference genes does not seem suitable for gene expression normalization in ACL tear studies. \(ACTB+HPRT1+18S\) is the best trio for the analyses involving isolated ACL tears and controls. Conversely, \(ACTB+TBP+18S\) is the best trio for the analyses involving (1) injured ACL tears and controls, and (2) ACL tears of patients with meniscal tears and controls. Therefore, if the gene expression study aims to compare non-injured ACL, isolated ACL tears and ACL tears from patients with meniscal tear as three independent groups \(ACTB+TBP+18S+HPRT1\) should be used. The results of this work may benefit future studies on ACL that require more accurate gene expression quantification.
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