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Efficacy of Balloon Guide Catheter-Assisted Thrombus Repair in Stroke Treatment: A Retrospective Survey in China
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Background. The first-pass (FP) effect, defined by successful cerebral reperfusion from a single pass of an endovascular stentriever, was associated with shorter procedural times and possible improved outcomes in patients with ischemic stroke secondary to large vessel occlusion. The adjunctive use of balloon guide catheter (BGC) may increase the rates of the first-pass effect. In this retrospective study we examined the impact of BGC on the first-pass effect in acute stroke patients. Methods. We included patients with acute ischemic stroke with large vessel occlusion treated by endovascular thrombectomy from 2018 to 2019. We categorized the cases into BGC and non-BGC groups. Differences in time metrics and outcomes were compared. Result. One hundred and thirty-two patients were included, and sixty-two were in BGC group (47.0%). The median procedural time was shorter (83.0 minutes vs 120.0 minutes, \( P = 0.000 \)), and FP rate was higher in BGC group (58.1% vs 32.9%, \( P = 0.004 \)) compared with non-BGC group. Proportion of modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mMTICI) 3 was higher (66.1% vs 37.1%, \( P = 0.001 \)), and modified Rankin Scale (mRS) 0 to 2 was higher (59.7% vs 41.4%, \( P = 0.036 \)) in BGC group compared with non-BGC group. In addition, BGC was associated with successful reperfusion odds ratio, 0.383; 95% confidence interval: 0.174-0.847; \( P = 0.018 \)). The FP rate of BGC in the distal ICA was higher than that in the proximal ICA (87.5% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)), and the good clinical outcome rate at 90 days in the distal ICA was also higher than that in the proximal ICA (91.7% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)). Conclusion. We showed that BGC shortened the procedural time and increased the rate of the successful FP. We recommend that BGC could be considered the preferred technique for endovascular intervention in stroke.

1. Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of death among the elderly, with 2.5 million new cases occurring in China each year [1]. Acute ischemic stroke is the main pathological type of stroke caused by cerebral ischemia, leading to the dysfunction and degeneration of cerebrovascular components. At present, some effective treatments for acute ischemic stroke (including thrombolysis, endovascular revascularization, acute ischemic stroke reperfusion, etc.) have significantly improved the survival rate of acute ischemic stroke, but the reduction in mortality has also increased the number of survivors with complications after stroke [2].

Endovascular treatment of large vessel occlusion is superior to intravenous thrombolysis alone in patients with acute ischemic stroke secondary to large vessel occlusion within 24 hours ictal onset [3–9]. Endovascular treatment encompasses a range of techniques including lone stentriever with balloon guide catheter (BGC), contact aspiration, and combined stentriever with contact aspiration. BGC applied endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke can block blood flow during thrombus removal to prevent distal
embolization [10]. A recent meta-analysis of studies showed that the use of BGC improved the grade of reperfusion and clinical outcomes in patients who had a stent retriever as their preferred treatment modality [11]. Endovascular thrombectomy is a new treatment for cerebral infarction in recent years, including stent thrombectomy and thrombectomy. In this procedure, a small catheter is entered through an artery (usually the femoral artery) and removed along with the clot at the site of the brain stem. Thrombectomy has shown benefit and safety in patients with less severe brain damage due to a smaller area of brain cell death (ischemic core) [12]. Hesse et al. [13] showed the improved reperfusion in combined stent retriever thrombectomy with aspiration compared with either stent thrombectomy or contact aspiration alone. This led to a preference in some endovascular centers for clot retrieval by the placement of aspiration compared with either stent thrombectomy or distal access catheter [14]. However, the results from Aspiration versus Stent Retriever study (ASTER) suggested by a distal access catheter [14].

In this study, we performed a retrospective study to investigate the effectiveness of BGC-assisted clot retrieval in Chinese stroke patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Setting. A total of 132 patients with anterior circulation ischemic stroke within 24 hours of stroke ictal onset were retrospectively screened to be included in our study. All patients were admitted to either Henan Provincial People’s Hospital or Nanyang Central Hospital or Zhengzhou Central Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University from January 2018 to November 2019. All patients signed the informed consent. Inclusion criteria for endovascular therapy (EV) were as follows: 18-85 years old; National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) ≥ 6; brain CT hypo intensity < 1/3 of the infarcted area; modified Rankin Scale (mRS) ≤ 2; occlusion of intracranial internal carotid artery and/or middle cerebral artery M1 segment. Exclusion criteria were as follows: intracranial hemorrhage identified by CT or MR; life expectancy less than 3 months; pregnancy; or contrast agent allergy. The decision to allocate to BGC vs no-BGC was at the physicians’ discretion. In addition, those who met the criterion of intravenous thrombolysis were treated by recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) followed by EV.

2.2. Description of EV Procedure

2.2.1. BGC Procedure. In this group, 64 patients underwent revascularization treatment using BGC proximal blood flow control technique combined with stentriever and aspiration. According to the patients’ level of consciousness and degree of cooperation, local anesthesia or general anesthesia was selected. Seldinger technique was used for puncture through the right femoral artery [20]. A short vascular access sheath (8F) was placed. 8F BGC was placed in the proximal segment of the internal carotid artery (ICA) or the distal segment of the ICA, and a 5F Navien catheter (Medtronic Corporation, 710 Medtronic Pkwy NE, Minneapolis, MN 55432, USA) was placed at the C1 level of the ICA. Aided by a 0.36 mm (0.014 inches) microguidewire, the intracranial segment of ICA or the horizontal segment occlusion of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) was accessed with a microcatheter to visualize the distal and proximal ends of the embolus. After the stentriever was placed within the embolus, 5F Navien was delivered to the proximal end of the M1 segment of the MCA or distal ICA. After the stentriever was placed within the thrombus for approximately 5 min, the BGC was inflated to arrest blood flow. Irrigation of the guide catheter by isotonic saline was stopped. Double negative suction pressure was applied to the BGC and the Navien catheter, followed by stentriever. Angiography was performed to assess angiographic outcomes.

2.2.2. Non-BGC Procedure. In the non-BGC group (n = 70), the 8F balloon guiding catheter was replaced by an 8F MPAI guiding catheter (Cordis Corporation, 14201 NW 60th Ave, Hialeah, FL 33014, USA), and the remaining endovascular techniques were the same as the BGC group.

After recanalization by the above methods, balloon angioplasty and stent implantation were performed for patients with severe stenosis or occlusion of ICA or the horizontal segment of MCA [21]. Postoperative treatment included oral aspirin 100 mg daily and clopigrel 75 mg daily at the physicians’ discretion.

2.3. Evaluation Method. Modified Thrombolysis in cerebral infarction scale (mMTICI) was used to evaluate reperfusion. MTICI grade 0 to 2a indicated failed reperfusion, while MTICI grade 2b or 3 indicated successful reperfusion. NIHSS was used to evaluate neurological function, with scores ranging from 0 to 42. The modified Rankin Score (mRS) was used to evaluate postoperative 90-day clinical outcome. mRS score ≤ 2 indicated a good outcome, while mRS score ≥ 3 indicated a poor outcome [17].

Brain CT examination, 24 hours after the procedure, was used to identify postprocedure intracerebral hemorrhage. According to the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) II criteria, intracerebral hemorrhage would be classified as non-symptomatic or symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage [22].

2.4. Follow-Up Method. Outpatient follow up was conducted 90 days after EV, and patients who could not attend the clinic were followed up by telephone interviews.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. SPSS 21.0 was used for statistical processing. Measurement data were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR), and t-test was used for comparison between groups. Classification variables were described by frequency or percentage, and nonparametric
test was used. The multivariate logistic regression model was performed to investigate the association between independent variables, and outcomes. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Baseline Characteristics

One hundred and thirty-two patients were included in the study, 62 patients in the BGC group and 70 patients in the non-BGC group. The median age was 64 years, and 77 of the patients (58.3%) were men. The baseline characteristics for age, male gender, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation, NIHSS score, time to ED, ASPECT, intravenous rt-PA, and arterial occlusion sites in the BGC and non-BGC groups were not significantly different between the groups (Table 1, \( P > 0.05 \)). Intravenous rt-PA was used in 25.8% (16 of 62) and 17.1% (12 of 70) of patients in the BGC and non-BGC groups, respectively (\( P = 0.287 \)). Two examples of thrombectomies performed with BGC and non-BGC were presented in Figures 1 and 2.

#### Table 1: Baseline characteristics.

|                                | Non-BGC group (\( n = 70 \)) | BGC group (\( n = 62 \)) | Total (\( n = 132 \)) | t/F/Z  | \( P \) value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|
| Age, M(IQR), year              | 65 (55.6, 72.3)             | 64 (54.8,70.0)            | 64 (55.3, 70.8)       | 0.997 | 0.321        |
| Gender/male, \( n \) (%)       | 39 (55.7)                   | 38 (61.3)                 | 77 (58.3)             | 0.421 | 0.597        |
| Hypertension, \( n \) (%)      | 38 (54.3)                   | 33 (53.2)                 | 71 (53.8)             | 0.015 | 1.000        |
| Diabetes, \( n \) (%)          | 19 (27.1)                   | 17 (27.4)                 | 36 (27.3)             | 0.001 | 1.000        |
| Hyperlipidemia, \( n \) (%)    | 11 (15.7)                   | 9 (14.5)                  | 20 (15.2)             | 0.037 | 1.000        |
| Atrial fibrillation, \( n \) (%)| 9 (12.9)                    | 9 (14.5)                  | 18 (13.6)             | 0.077 | 0.805        |
| NIHSS on admission, M (IQR)    | 16.0 (11.8, 18.0)           | 15.5 (11.0, 18.0)         | 16.0 (11.0, 18.0)     | 0.408 | 0.684        |
| Time to ED, M (IQR), (min)     | 486.0 (297.0, 724.5)        | 480.0 (284.3, 724.5)      | 480.0 (288.0, 720.0)  | 0.176 | 0.861        |
| ASPECT, \( n \) (%)            |                             |                           |                       |       |              |
| <7                             | 9 (12.9)                    | 12 (19.4)                 | 21 (15.9)             | 1.038 | 0.347        |
| \( \geq 7 \)                   | 61 (87.1)                   | 50 (80.6)                 | 111 (84.1)            |       |              |
| Occlusion sites, \( n \) (%)   |                             |                           |                       |       |              |
| ICA + M1                       | 42 (60.0)                   | 36 (58.1)                 | 78 (59.1)             | 0.051 | 0.860        |
| M1                             | 28 (40.0)                   | 26 (41.9)                 | 54 (40.9)             |       |              |
| Intravenous tPA, \( n \) (%)   | 12 (17.1)                   | 16 (25.8)                 | 28 (21.2)             | 1.477 | 0.287        |

ED: emergency department; t: t test; F: fisher test; Z: ranksum test; BGC: balloon guided catheter; NIHSS: national institutes of health stroke scale; ASPECT: alberta stroke program early CT score.

#### Figure 1: Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) of a 67-year-old woman with middle cerebral artery M1 segment occlusion undergoing endovascular therapy using a balloon guide catheter (BGC). (a) Left middle cerebral artery occlusion on DSA. (b) BGC balloon being inflated to control the blood flow temporarily. (c) The stentriever of Trevo is released at the occluded segment, and Navien is placed at the proximal of the occluded segment. (d) Modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mMTICI) 3 after withdrawing the stentriever.
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with non-BGC (58.1% vs 32.9%, \( P = 0.004 \)). The rate of MTICI grade 3 was higher in the BGC group (66.1% vs 37.1%, \( P = 0.001 \)).

The incidences of nonsymptomatic hemorrhage, symptomatic hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and embolism and cerebral hernia were not significantly different between the two groups. The proportion of 90-day mRS of score 0 to 2 was higher in the BGC group than in the non-BGC group (59.7% vs 41.4%, \( P = 0.036 \)). The mortality was not significantly different between the 2 groups (Table 2).

We performed binary logistic regression analysis which showed that BGC was associated with successful reperfusion odds ratio, 0.383; 95% confidence interval: 0.174-0.847; \( P = 0.018 \), Table 3).

The FP rate of BGC in the distal ICA was higher than that in the proximal ICA (87.5% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)), and the good clinical outcome rate at 90 days in the distal ICA was also higher than that in the proximal ICA (91.7% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in procedural time, MTICI, stent implantation, symptomatic hemorrhage, embolization, and cerebral hernia (Table 4, \( P > 0.05 \)).

4. Discussion

In our retrospective study, we showed that BGC proximal blood flow control technique for thrombectomy of large vessel occlusion led to shorter procedural time and higher rates of FP recanalization.

We performed binary logistic regression analysis which showed that BGC was associated with successful reperfusion odds ratio, 0.383; 95% confidence interval: 0.174-0.847; \( P = 0.018 \), Table 3).

The FP rate of BGC in the distal ICA was higher than that in the proximal ICA (87.5% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)), and the good clinical outcome rate at 90 days in the distal ICA was also higher than that in the proximal ICA (91.7% vs 39.5%, \( P = 0.000 \)). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in procedural time, MTICI, stent implantation, symptomatic hemorrhage, embolization, and cerebral hernia (Table 4, \( P > 0.05 \)).

4. Discussion

In our retrospective study, we showed that BGC proximal blood flow control technique for thrombectomy of large vessel occlusion led to shorter procedural time and higher rates of FP recanalization.

The proximal blood flow blocking technique with balloon guided catheter was invented by Massari et al. [23], Massachusetts medical school in the United States of

### Table 2: Procedural outcomes.

|                                | Non-BGC group (n = 70) | BGC group (n = 62) | Total (n = 132) | t/F/Z   | \( P \) value |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Procedure time, M (IQR), (min) | 120.0 (93.8, 165.0)    | 83.0 (50.8, 128.3) | 113.0 (72.0, 149.5) | 4.612  | 0.000**     |
| Stent implantation, n (%)      | 24 (34.3)              | 31 (50.0)          | 55 (41.7)      | 3.340  | 0.079       |
| Using of tirofiban, n (%)      | 44 (62.9)              | 45 (72.6)          | 89 (67.4)      | 1.415  | 0.267       |
| FP, n (%)                      | 23 (32.9)              | 36 (58.1)          | 59 (44.7)      | 8.452  | 0.004**     |
| mTICI-3, n(%)                  | 26 (37.1)              | 41 (66.1)          | 67 (50.8)      | 11.052 | 0.001**     |
| mTICI-2b-3, n (%)              | 64 (91.4)              | 57 (91.9)          | 121 (91.7)     | 0.011  | 1.000       |
| Nonsymptomatic cerebral hemorrhage, n (%) | 4 (5.7) | 4 (6.5) | 8 (6.1) | 0.031 | 1.000 |
| Symptomatic cerebral hemorrhage, n (%) | 10 (14.3) | 10 (16.1) | 20 (15.2) | 0.087 | 0.811 |
| SAH, n (%)                     | 1 (1.4)                | 3 (4.8)            | 4 (3.0)        | 1.301  | 0.341       |
| Embolism, n (%)                | 15 (21.4)              | 7 (11.3)           | 22 (16.7)      | 2.433  | 0.161       |
| Cerebral hernia, n (%)         | 2 (2.9)                | 4 (6.5)            | 6 (4.5)        | 0.979  | 0.419       |
| Mortality, n (%)               | 6 (8.6)                | 8 (12.9)           | 14 (10.6)      | 0.651  | 0.573       |
| 90d-mRS (0-2), n (%)           | 29 (41.4)              | 37 (57.9)          | 66 (50.0)      | 4.380  | 0.036*      |

\* \( P < 0.05 \), ** \( P < 0.01 \); t: t test; F: fisher test; Z: ranksum test; mRS: modified rankin scale; mTICI: modified thrombolysis in cerebral infarction scale; BGC: balloon guided catheter.
The purpose of this technique was to improve the rate of successful reperfusion, FP rate and to reduce distal nontarget embolization. Previous studies have shown that successful reperfusion can be achieved in more than half of the patients by BGC-assisted thrombectomy [24, 25]. It was shown that fusion between stentriever and thrombus was improved compared with conventional techniques. Compared with the stent thrombectomy alone, BGC-assisted thrombectomy shortened procedure time by on average 11 minutes [26, 27]. In addition, proximal blood flow blockade by BGC reduced the incidence of distal microemboli [16, 18, 28]. However, due to the lack of access to BGC, there was no published study on the safety and effectiveness of BGC in China.

Velasco et al. [18] have performed a meta-analysis on the clinical outcomes of stroke patients treated with balloon guided catheter control. The study showed that the proportion of mRS 0 to 2 was 59.7% for patients treated with BGC at 90 days, and the mortality rate was 13.7%. In our study, we found that the clinical outcome in the BGC group at 90 days, and the mortality rate was 13.7%. In addition, our study also showed no statistical significance in mortality, symptomatic hemorrhage, embolism, cerebral hernia, and subarachnoid hemorrhage between the two groups. This provided reassurance of the safety and effectiveness of BGC proximal blood flow control in the treatment of cerebral infarction caused by large vessel occlusion.

In this study, it was found that BGC was associated with successful reperfusion odds ratio; the 95% confidence interval was 0.174-0.847. Besides, the FP rate of BGC at the distal ICA was higher than that at the proximal ICA, and the good clinical outcome rate at 90 days was also higher than that at the proximal ICA. Velasco et al. [29] found that the FP rate of BGC located at the distal ICA was 70%, while that at the proximal ICA was only 43%, which was consistent with the results of this study. The reason may be that when BGC is placed at the distal ICA, there are no obvious collateral vessels, which can effectively control forward flow, increase the rate of the FP, and have a good clinical outcome. However, in procedural time, stent implantation, MTICI, symptomatic hemorrhage, and embolization and cerebral hernia, there was no statistical significance between the two groups, which was inconsistent with previous studies. This may be due to the bias caused by the small sample size, which needs to be confirmed by larger, prospective clinical studies.

Nonetheless, there are some limitations to this study. This was a retrospective design, and it has a small sample size. Therefore, it is not free of selection bias. In addition, prevention of embolus escape is one of the most important functions of balloon guiding catheter. But the study did not prove the difference in embolus escape between BGC group and non-BGC group.

5. Conclusions

Mechanical thrombectomy assisted by BGC blocking antegrade blood flow is an effective method for acute anterior circulation large vessel occlusive stroke. The procedure time was significantly shortened when using BCG. It can increase the FP rate and complete recanalization rates of occluded

---

**Table 3: Binary logistics regression analysis of independent variables and clinical outcome (mRS ≤ 2).**

| Variable                        | β    | S.E  | Wals   | Sig.   | OR    | 95% CI     |
|--------------------------------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|------------|
| Age                            | 0.009| 0.016| 0.339  | 0.561  | 1.009 | 0.978-1.041|
| mTICI                          | 0.223| 0.299| 0.557  | 0.455  | 1.250 | 0.696-2.243|
| NIHSS on admission             | -0.311| 0.313| 0.991  | 0.319  | 0.733 | 0.397-1.352|
| BGC VS non-BGC                | -0.959| 0.404| 5.620  | 0.018* | 0.383 | 0.174-0.847|

mRS: modified rankin scale; mTICI: modified thrombolysis in cerebral infarction scale; NIHSS: national institutes of health stroke scale.

**Table 4: Comparison of the results between the distal and proximal BGC groups.**

|                     | Proximal ICA (n = 38) | Distal ICA (n = 24) | Total (n = 62) | P value |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|
| BP, n (%)           | 15 (39.5)             | 21 (87.5)           | 36 (58.1)      | 0.000** |
| Procedural time(min), M (IQR) | 95.0 (72.0,136.5) | 78.0 (50.0,128.3) | 83.0 (50.8, 128.3) | 0.980   |
| Stent implantation, n (%) | 19 (50.0)               | 12 (50.0)            | 31 (50.0)      | 0.799   |
| mTICI 2b-3, n (%)   | 34 (89.5)             | 23 (95.8)           | 57 (91.9)      | 0.337   |
| Symptomatic hemorrhage, n (%) | 8 (21.1)               | 2 (8.3)              | 10 (16.1)      | 0.291   |
| Embolization, n (%) | 6 (15.8)              | 1 (4.2)             | 7 (11.3)       | 0.232   |
| Cerebral hernia, n (%) | 4 (10.5)               | 0 (0.0)              | 4 (6.5)        | 0.151   |
| 90d-mRS (0-2), n (%) | 15 (39.5)             | 22 (91.7)           | 37 (59.7)      | 0.000** |

**P < 0.005, ICA: internal carotid artery; mRS: modified rankin scale.**
vessels without increasing the risk of hemorrhagic transformation. And it makes a better clinical outcome at 90-day.
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rt-PA: Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator  
ICA: Internal carotid artery  
MCA: Middle cerebral artery  
ECASS: European cooperative acute stroke study  
IQR: Interquartile range.

**Data Availability**

The datasets used and analyzed in the current study would be available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Ethical Approval**

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethical Committee of the Henan Provincial People’s Hospital.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Research and Extension Project on appropriate technology for intervention in high-risk groups of stroke (GN-2016R0006 and GN-2018R0007).

**References**

[1] S. Wu, B. Wu, M. Liu et al., “Stroke in China: advances and challenges in epidemiology, prevention, and management,” The Lancet Neurology, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 394–405, 2019.

[2] F. Herpich and F. Rincon, “Management of acute Ischemic stroke,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 1654–1663, 2020.

[3] O. A. Berkhemer, P. S. Fransen, D. Beumer et al., “A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2015.

[4] B. C. Campbell, J. F. Mitchell, T. J. Kleinig et al., “Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 11, pp. 1009–1018, 2015.

[5] M. Goyal, A. M. Demchuk, B. K. Menon et al., “Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 11, pp. 1019–1030, 2015.

[6] T. G. Jovin, A. Chamorro, E. Cobo et al., “Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 24, pp. 2296–2306, 2015.

[7] J. L. Saver, M. Goyal, A. Bonafe et al., “Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 24, pp. 2285–2295, 2015.

[8] G. W. Albers, M. P. Marks, S. Kemp et al., “Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 378, no. 8, pp. 708–718, 2018.

[9] R. G. Nogueira, A. P. Jadhav, D. C. Haussen et al., “Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 378, no. 1, pp. 11–21, 2018.

[10] R. B. Goldhoorn, N. Duijsters, C. B. L. M. Majoie et al., “Balloon guide catheter in endovascular treatment for acute Ischemic stroke: results from the MR CLEAN registry,” Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1759–1764.e6, 2019.

[11] W. Brinjikji, R. M. Starke, M. H. Murad et al., “Impact of balloon guide catheter on technical and clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 335–339, 2018.

[12] P. Papanagiotou and G. Ntaios, “Endovascular thrombectomy in acute ischemic stroke,” Circulation. Cardiovascular Interventions, vol. 11, no. 1, article e005362, 2018.

[13] A. C. Hesse, D. Behme, A. Kemmling et al., “Comparing different thrombectomy techniques in five large-volume centers: a real world observational study,” Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 525–529, 2018.

[14] V. Maus, D. Behme, C. Kabbasch et al., “Maximizing first-pass complete reperfusion with SAVE,” Clinical Neuroradiology, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 327–338, 2018.

[15] C. Ducroux, M. Piotin, B. Gory et al., “First pass effect with contact aspiration and stent retrievers in the aspiration versus stent retriever (ASTER) trial,” Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 386–391, 2020.

[16] J. Y. Chueh, A. L. Kuhn, A. S. Puri, S. D. Wilson, A. K. Wakhloo, and M. J. Gounis, “Reduction in distal emboli with proximal flow control during mechanical thrombectomy: a quantitative in vitro study,” Stroke, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1396–1401, 2013.

[17] T. N. Nguyen, T. Malisch, A. C. Castonguay et al., “Balloon guide catheter improves revascularization and clinical outcomes with the solitaire device: analysis of the north American solitaire acute stroke registry,” Stroke, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 141–145, 2014.

[18] V. Velasco, B. Buerke, C. P. Stracke et al., “Comparison of a balloon guide catheter and a non-balloon guide catheter for mechanical thrombectomy,” Radiology, vol. 280, no. 1, pp. 169–176, 2016.

[19] J. M. Pederson, N. L. Reierson, N. Hardy et al., “Comparison of balloon guide catheters and standard guide catheters for acute Ischemic Stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis,” World Neurosurgery, vol. 154, pp. 144–153, 2021.

[20] A. Chatziioannou, C. Ladopoulos, D. Mourikis, K. Katsenis, G. Spanomihos, and L. Vlachos, “Complications of lower-extremity outpatient arteriography via low brachial artery,” Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 31–34, 2004.
[21] J. Y. Chueh, M. G. Marosfoi, O. W. Brooks, R. M. King, A. S. Puri, and M. J. Gounis, “Novel distal emboli protection technology: the EmboTrap,” *Interventional Neurology*, vol. 6, no. 3-4, pp. 268–276, 2017.

[22] V. Larrue, R. R. von Kummer, A. Muller, and E. Bluhmki, “Risk factors for severe hemorrhagic transformation in ischemic stroke patients treated with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator: a secondary analysis of the European-Australasian acute stroke study (ECASS II),” *Stroke*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 438–441, 2001.

[23] F. Massari, N. Henninger, J. D. Lozano et al., “ARTS (aspiration-retriever technique for stroke): initial clinical experience,” *Interventional Neuroradiology*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 325–332, 2016.

[24] D. C. Haussen, L. C. Rebello, and R. G. Nogueira, “Optimizing clot retrieval in acute stroke: the push and fluff technique for closed-cell Stentriever,” *Stroke*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 2838–2842, 2015.

[25] K. van der Marel, J. Y. Chueh, O. W. Brooks et al., “Quantitative assessment of device-clot interaction for stent retriever thrombectomy,” *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 1278–1282, 2016.

[26] G. Jindal, Y. Serulle, T. Miller et al., “Stent retrieval thrombectomy in acute stroke is facilitated by the concurrent use of intracranial aspiration catheters,” *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 944–947, 2017.

[27] O. Nikoubashman, A. Nikoubashman, M. Busen, and M. Wiesmann, “Necessary catheter diameters for mechanical thrombectomy with ADAPT,” *AJNR. American Journal of Neuroradiology*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 2277–2281, 2017.

[28] J. Y. Chueh, D. H. Kang, B. M. Kim, and M. J. Gounis, “Role of balloon guide catheter in modern endovascular thrombectomy,” *Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Association*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 14–25, 2020.

[29] A. Velasco Gonzalez, D. Gorlich, B. Buerke et al., “Predictors of successful first-pass thrombectomy with a balloon guide catheter: results of a decision tree analysis,” *Translational Stroke Research*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 900–909, 2020.