An experimental and numerical investigation of the effect of macro-textured tool surfaces in hot stamping
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Abstract This paper presents a method of increasing draw-ability of materials in hot stamping processes using forming tools with macro-scale textures on the tool surfaces. Firstly, a series of tool texture designs and orientations were presented and a test programme was designed for the experimental investigation. Top-hat shape drawing experiments in cold and hot stamping conditions were conducted to evaluate the effect of macro-scale tool surface textures on the material drawing. Texture directional and texture feature effects of the blankholders on the draw-ability of the material have been investigated. A finite element model of the top-hat drawing process with textured tool surfaces has been established and validated from experimental data. Further FE process modelling has been carried out and the effects of texture features and forming speed have been studied. The relationships between texture designs and material drawing results have been analysed. The developed FE model can provide a guide to design the geometry of tool textures and optimise the hot stamping process parameters.
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Introduction

The use of formed aluminium alloy sheet components has grown in popularity in both automotive and aircraft industries in recent years due to its light weight and low cost [1, 2]. In order to manufacture complex-shaped sheet components, hot stamping is used to improve the poor ductility of aluminium alloys at room temperature. Lin et al. [3] developed a novel hot stamping process for aluminium alloy sheets, which is known as “Solution Heat treatment, cold die Forming and Quenching” (HFQ™). In this process, a work-piece is heated to its Solution Heat Treatment (SHT) temperature to dissolve the precipitates within the primary α-Al matrix [4] and simultaneously stamped and quenched within cold dies. For heat-treatable aluminium alloys, the formed components can be artificially aged to maximum strength [5].

The thermal and tribology properties at the tool/work-piece interface significantly affect the draw-ability and formability for hot stamping processes [6–9]. Although these properties have been extensively investigated for the hot stamping of high strength steels, there has been limited research into the effect of these properties for hot stamping of aluminium alloys [10] and in particular for forming with HFQ conditions. With regard to reducing the friction coefficient at the tool/work-piece interface, the use of lubricants can enhance the ability to produce a good quality component [11]. To increase the effect of a lubricant treatment, a variety of surface engineering methods, such as diamond-like coatings (DLC) [12–14] and micro-scale texturing, have been applied to tool surfaces [15, 16]. The DLCs act as solid lubricants and simultaneously increase wear resistance and reduce friction [12]. Wank et al. [17] investigated the capacity of DLC coatings in lubricant free cold massive forming of AA6016, the results showed that the capacity of DLCs depended strongly on tool surface roughness. Heinrichs et al. [18, 19] also demonstrated the cold
forming of aluminium alloys including AA6082, where less material transfer between the tool and aluminium alloy work-piece occurs when a more advantageous topography is used with polishing the interfaces.

Texturing is another feasible approach to enhance the lubrication effect [20]. Costa and Hutchings [21, 22] found friction was greatly reduced when a tool surface was patterned with grooves perpendicular to the drawing direction in strip drawing processes. These grooves were believed to act as lubricant reservoirs to enhance the lubrication effect. Similar studies have also been conducted by Qiu et al. [23] to investigate the texture patterns on friction coefficient. Kovalchenko et al. studied the frictional and wear behaviour of textured tool surfaces in the lubricated condition, using laser surface texturing, where friction coefficient is reduced in conformal and relatively low-pressure lubricated contacts [24]. Research has also been conducted on the friction coefficient using textured forming dies in metal forming process [25, 26]. In addition, to evaluate the thermal effect of textured tool surfaces, Xie et al. performed experiments using multi-scale-structured surfaces and spray cooling, where a 65 % heat transfer enhancement was determined [27]. Moreover, tool surface textures have been used to obtain a tailored strength distribution on the formed part, as patented by Gestamp Hardtech for press hardening operations [28].

However, these extensive studies on the surface texturing were mainly focused on the texture dimensions at micro-scale. In practical stamping processes, the tool dimensions are normally at meter scales and macro tool surface texture could affect the frictional property and heat transfer significantly, and thus the flow and draw-in of materials in hot stamping. Compared with micro-scale surface textures, little research has been carried out on macro-scale surface textures for stamping. Franzen et al. [29] investigated friction coefficient at the tool/work-piece interfaces using selective texturing on the tool surfaces as shown in Fig. 1, a significant increase in friction coefficient was found due to the material elastic deformation near the texture area and local plastic deformation on the sheet surface. Kleiner et al. [30] investigated the friction behaviour of sheet metal in strip drawing tests using tools with different milled surfaces. The textures were oriented along and across the material flow direction and in different zigzag directions. The friction coefficient was clearly increased in the zigzag direction, while linear textures, parallel to the drawing direction, do not increase compared to non-textured, grinded tool surfaces.

In this paper, the effects of macro-scale surface textures on the HFQ stamping process of aluminium alloys are described. Top-hat part drawing experiments were conducted, in which a channel section with flanges (top hat section) was drawn. The effects of various macro-scale tool textures on draw-ability were compared for cold and hot alloy sheet to identify the detailed effects of the textured tool surfaces. A flange ratio was defined to compare effects of texture orientation and texture ratio on material draw-ability. Part shapes formed using tools with different surface texture designs were compared. FE simulations were carried out using the commercial FE code Pamstamp. Using this thermal-mechanical coupled FE simulation, the effect of a non-uniform temperature field could be captured. FE simulation results were validated using experimental data of flange ratios and normalised thickness distribution in shapes with different forming parameters. The validated FE model was then applied to investigate more detailed aspects of material flow in the drawing processes.

**Experimental set-up and test programme**

**Material and test-piece**

Commercial AA6082-T6 condition aluminium sheets with a thickness 1.5 mm were used as test-pieces. The chemical composition is provided by the materials supplier [31] and given in Table 1. The typical solution heat treatment temperature for the alloy is 525 °C [32].

Rectangular test-pieces with dimensions: 240 mm length and widths of 86, 90, and 94 mm were produced by laser cutting. For the cold stamping tests, test-pieces were tested in the annealed condition by heating the as received T6 material to 415 °C and soaking for 1 h and subsequently furnace cooling [33]. Hot stamping test samples used were the received T6 condition and tested under HFQ conditions by first solution heat treating at 525 °C for 2 min.

**Experimental set-up and tool preparation**

In order to perform the top-hat drawing tests, a tool was mounted onto a 1MN ESH hydraulic press with a maximum

---

**Fig. 1** Selective surface texturing on the tool surfaces in parallel, perpendicular and zigzag orientation corresponding to the material flow direction [29]
forming speed of 600 mm/s and a total stroke of 100 mm. The tool used for the tests is shown in Fig. 2a. As can be seen, the top-hat shaped die is attached to the ram whereas the rectangular punch is fixed to the base of the tool. Rectangular blankholders (120 × 100 mm) for locating the test-piece material are positioned on either side (both left and right) of the punch and are secured to the middle plate of the tool. The blankholders can be replaced with blankholders of varying textures in order to test macro-texture effects on drawing. Moreover, located above the blankholder and secured to the die are inserts located on both the left and right hand side of the die. As with the blankholders, these are replaceable and allow different textures and surface treatments to be applied without the need to machine multiple dies. The material used for the punch and die was H13 tool steel whereas G3500 cast iron [34] was used for the blankholders and inserts.

During testing, the test-piece is positioned onto the blankholders. The ram subsequently moves downwards closing the gap between the die and blankholders. Once the die is in contact with the blankholders, the assembly moves down over the stationary punch which subsequently draws the material into the die. During the entire forming process, the blankholding force can be adjusted through the use of blankholding force bars attached to a gas cushion positioned beneath the tool. By altering the pressure of the gas spring system located beneath the test rig, the blankholding force and in-die quenching force can be varied. In this system shown in Fig. 2b and c, there are a total of 28 gas springs, with a maximum extrusion load of 50 kN. This gas spring system can be divided into two groups. The first group consists of four gas springs connected to the blankholding force bars in order to support the middle plate and supply

| Table 1 Chemical composition of AA6082 [31] |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Element    | Mn     | Fe     | Mg     | Si     | Cu     | Zn     | Ti     | Cr     | Al     |
| %          | 0.4–1.0| 0–0.5  | 0.6–1.2| 0.7–1.3| 0–0.1  | 0–0.2  | 0–0.1  | 0–0.25 | Balance|

Fig. 2 The stamping test rig design and set-up details
the blank-holding force (Fig. 2b). The second group consists of the remaining 24 gas springs which support the bottom plate of the test rig, and provide the in-die quenching force for the formed component.

The effect of macro-scale textures on the draw of material were tested by applying textures of depth 1 mm onto the blankholders as shown in Fig. 3a. A texture ratio $a/L$ was defined, where $a$ is the width of the texture and $L$ is the distance between the beginning of one texture to the beginning of the subsequent texture. In this case, a value of $a/L=1.0$ indicates no texture i.e., full contact between the test-piece and the blankholder surface whereas $a/L=0$ indicates a knife-edge contact to the test-piece. For the purpose of work conducted in this paper, texture ratios of 0.3, 0.5 and 1.0 were used which were machined onto the blankholders through the milling process as in Fig. 3b. In order to assess the effect of texture direction, the above texture ratios were machined onto the blankholder in both the parallel and perpendicular direction to the material draw.

Test procedure

For the performance of cold stamping tests, the test-piece material was first annealed according to the process presented in “Material and test-piece”. Moreover, a range of texture ratios and texture orientations of $a/L=0.3$, 0.5 and 1.0 in both the parallel and perpendicular direction were tested. The tests were performed by maintaining the texture ratio of the right-side blankholder as $a/L=1.0$ whilst varying the textures on the left-side blankholder. By positioning different blankholders on each side, the amount of material drawn from each blankholder will vary, which allows the effect of the textures to be assessed. Moreover, in order to assist material flow, Omega 35 lubricant was applied evenly on both blankholders, punch and die insert surfaces.

For hot stamping tests, the test-pieces were solution heat treated at 525 °C for 2 min and quickly transferred to the tools and formed within 7 s resulting in a maximum temperature loss of no greater than 55 °C, in order to maintain the temperature above the precipitate formation temperature. Finally, the formed top-hat shape was quenched within the cold dies to room temperature. The blankholder ratios and orientation used for the tests were the same as those of cold stamping. In addition to varying texture design, hot stamping tests involved the variation of blank-holding force at 5, 10 and 15 kN. The ram speed was fixed at 150 mm/s.

Experimental results

Effect of texture orientation

As stated above, varying the texture of the left blankholder whilst maintaining a constant texture on the right blankholder will affect the material draw on each blankholder. This can be quantified by assessing the length of the flange region material. In order to reflect the flange difference on the two sides, a flange ratio $\zeta$ can be defined as a ratio of the lengths of the two flange regions as shown in Eq. (1).

$$\zeta = \frac{L_{\text{left}}}{L_{\text{right}}}$$

Where $L_{\text{left}}$ is the flange length of the formed part corresponding to the left-hand side blank-holder, $L_{\text{right}}$ is the flange length of the formed part corresponding to the right-hand side. The flange length is defined as the distance between the flange edge and the edge of the radius on the formed part. As there may be variation of flange length through the depth of the part, the flange lengths are calculated as the average value between the front and the back to minimise the geometry error as shown in Fig. 4a.

Figure 4 presents cold stamped parts with tool textures: (a) no surface texture $a/L=1.0$, (b) perpendicular texture $a/L=0.3$ and (c) parallel texture $a/L=0.3$ on the left-hand side blankholder, while the right-hand side blank-holder was fixed with no texture ($a/L=1.0$). The flange ratio $\zeta$ in Fig. 4b increased to 1.35, compared with 1.03 shown in Fig. 4a, which indicated that the material on the left-hand side of (b) was constrained from being drawn into the die, hence resulting in a longer flange length on the left blankholder. Examination of the underside view of the flange regions (Fig. 4a) shows a smooth surface finish whereas the region of Fig. 4b involving the perpendicular blankholder shows an obvious ploughing effect. This is due to the texture edge applying a constraining
Effect on the deformed material as illustrated in Fig. 5 and caused scratches on the flange area as shown in Fig. 4b. As shown in Fig. 5, when a blank-holding force is applied on the blank using a perpendicular texture orientation, the blank material is deformed elastically and a relative thickness variation will occur with the texture edge constraining material draw and causing the ploughing phenomena.

Figure 4c shows the formed part using a parallel texture $a/L = 0.3$, where the flange ratio $\zeta$ was 1.02, which is not a significant change compared with Fig. 4a. This indicates that the ploughing effect is not obvious when the texture orientation is aligned in the parallel direction to material flow. Material deformation could still be observed on the underside view of the contact surface. Another typical characteristic of the parallel texture is the wave profile at the outer edge of the flange resulting from friction force distribution. Material in contact with the blank-holder was constrained by friction whereas material located above the groove of tool texture was free to flow.

**Effect of tool texture feature**

With regard to the constraining effect of perpendicular tool texture on the material draw, cold and hot stamping using parallel tool textures with different texture ratios were conducted. Parallel tool texture blankholders (Left: $a/L = 0.3$ and Right: $a/L = 1.0$) were used with blank-holding forces of 30 kN when performing cold formed components and 15 kN for hot formed components. For the cold formed part shown in Fig. 6a, the flange ratio $\zeta$ was 1.17, a 13.6 % increase from that in Fig. 4a, which might illustrate that the parallel tool texture had a constraint effect at large blank-holding force, but not as severe as the perpendicular texture.

Figure 6b shows the hot formed part using the same tool texture design with Fig. 6a. The flange length on the left-hand side (parallel $a/L = 0.3$) was much shorter than the right-hand side ($a/L = 1.0$). The flange ratio $\zeta$ was significantly decreased to 0.49, which illustrates that surface texture has a significant effect on improving the draw-ability in hot stamping. Regarding the non-uniform temperature field of the test-piece, temperature of the punch nose region was higher than that of flange area. As the material strength is higher at lower temperatures, the strength of straight side wall and flange area material is higher than the punch nose area material. Due to this, deformation may concentrate on the high temperature region which might cause failure to occur once necking occurred at a higher blank-holding force. Using a blank-holder with surface texture on the left-hand side, the contact area becomes much smaller compared with the full contact case of the right-hand blankholder. Hence, the flange area
temperature of the left flange would be much higher, and closer to the punch nose temperature when compared to the large temperature difference between the punch nose area and the flange area on the right side using the non-texture blank-holder. Therefore, the lower temperature difference on the left-hand side might contribute to a more uniform material deformation and avoid the concentrated material deformation at the punch nose area. Further analysis will be given according to FE simulation results presented in the following sections.

Numerical modelling

Material model for AA6082

As hot stamping involves deformation of material at elevated temperature, both temperature and strain rate dominate alloy visco-plastic deformation characteristics [36]. In this paper, a set of dislocation-based hardening constitutive equations developed by Lin and Liu [37] are used to model the visco-plastic flow of AA6082 under the HFQ forming conditions, where the damage parameter for AA6082 was determined by Mohamed et al. [4] and used in this work. The full set of the constitutive equations are listed as Eq. (2) to Eq. (6) below.

\[
\dot{\epsilon}_p = \left( \frac{\sigma}{(1-f_d)R-k} \right) n_1 \tag{2}
\]

\[
\bar{R} = 0.5B\bar{p}^{-0.5} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\bar{\rho} = A\left(1-\bar{\rho}\right)|\dot{\epsilon}_p|^{-C\bar{\rho}^2} \tag{4}
\]

\[
f_d = D_1\dot{f}_d \epsilon_p^{d_1} + D_2\dot{f}_d \cosh(D_3\epsilon_p) \tag{5}
\]

\[
\sigma = E(1-f_d)(\epsilon_T-\epsilon_p) \tag{6}
\]

Equation (2) describes the visco-plastic material flow using a power law, where \(\dot{\epsilon}_p\) is the plastic strain rate and \(n_1\) is the strain rate-hardening exponent [37]. \(R\) is the isotropic hardening variable, \(k\) is the initial yield stress of the material, and \(f_d\) is the area fraction of damaged material. Equation (3) describes the material hardening based on the accumulation of dislocation density, \(\bar{R}\) is the isotropic hardening rate, which is related to the normalised dislocation density \(\bar{\rho}\) in the material, \(\bar{p} = \rho_s/\rho_m\), where \(\rho_m\) is the material initial dislocation density, \(\bar{\rho}\) varies from 0 (initial state) to 1 (dislocation network saturated state) [32], and calculated by Eq. (4). Equation (5) represents the damage parameter and models diffusion and plasticity induced cavity expansion [4], \(f_d = r_d/l^2\), where \(r_d\) is an effective cavity size that includes the radial extent of diffusion zone, and \(l\) is the cavity spacing. The damage parameter increases from the initial state prior to deformation \(f_d=0\), up to a critical value taken as 0.7 in this study [4]. Equation (6) is based on Hooke’s law, where \(\sigma\) is the flow stress, \(E\) is the Young’s modulus of the material, \(\epsilon_T\) and \(\epsilon_p\) are the total and plastic strain respectively.

The parameters \(K, k, B, C, D_1, D_2\) are temperature-dependent material parameters. \(A, n_1\) and \(n_2\) are temperature-independent material constants. \(D_3, d_1, d_2, d_3\) are material constants. The temperature-dependent parameters can be calculated as Arrhenius equations and are presented in Eq. (7)–(13), with \(R_g\) being the universal gas constant.

\[
K = K_0\exp\left(\frac{Q_K}{R_g T}\right) \tag{7}
\]

\[
k = k_0\exp\left(\frac{Q_k}{R_g T}\right) \tag{8}
\]

\[
B = B_0\exp\left(\frac{Q_B}{R_g T}\right) \tag{9}
\]

\[
C = C_0\exp\left(-\frac{Q_C}{R_g T}\right) \tag{10}
\]

\[
D_1 = D_{10}\exp\left(-\frac{Q_{D_1}}{R_g T}\right) \tag{11}
\]

\[
D_2 = D_{20}\exp\left(-\frac{Q_{D_2}}{R_g T}\right) \tag{12}
\]
\[ E = E_0 \exp \left( \frac{Q_E}{R_g T} \right) \] (13)

The constants for these equations determined by [4] are shown in Table 2.

### FE model and simulation process

FE simulations were performed using a range of parallel tool textures to evaluate effects of texture ratios on the material drawing. The simulations were performed using the commercial finite element software Pamstamp under 3D conditions to provide a better understanding of the part temperature field and material deformation during the forming processes. The simulation of the forming process, including the pre-deformed blank shape, the blankholder textures, punch, as well as the post formed top-hat shape, dimensions, loading and boundary conditions are shown in Fig. 7.

For the tools and test-piece material, shell elements (Belytschoko-Tsay) were used, with the tools being treated as rigid bodies. The number of test-piece elements is 20640 which is sufficiently large to guarantee the simulation accuracy, while those of the tools are 2160, 3040 and 576 for punch, die and blankholder (full contact case) respectively to improve the simulation efficiency. The process parameters used for the simulations are presented in Table 3.

To model the HFQ process, the initial test-piece (AA6082) temperature before forming was set to 470 °C, due to the temperature loss that occurs during transfer of the test-piece from the furnace to the tool as determined experimentally in “Test procedure”. The initial temperature of the punch, die and blank-holder tooling components was set to a room temperature of 20 °C. The element type selected for the blank was Belytschoko-Tsay with one integration point at the mid-plane in order to reduce simulation time without significant loss to numerical accuracy of the model as the temperature variation across the thickness of the sheet material is small owing to the thickness dimensions being an order of magnitude smaller than the width and depth dimensions. For tool shell elements, the thermal thickness was defined as 6 mm.

The thermal conductivity of AA6082 blank was defined as 170 mW/mm.K [38] and the thermal conductivities of tool steel and cast iron were defined as 20 and 40 mW/mm.K for cast iron respectively [39]. Moreover, the specific heat of the blank, tool steel and cast iron were defined as 8.9E-9, 6.5E-8 and 4.6E-8 mJ/tonne.K respectively [39]. For heat transfer analysis, Newton’s cooling law was applied to investigate the heat transfer between the tool and test-piece. As the interface heat transfer coefficient is a function of gap and pressure [40], the interface heat transfer coefficient between aluminium alloy and tool material was determined from the literature [38, 41], as shown in Tables 4 and 5 and implemented into the FE code by a look-up window. The large forming speed and short forming time allowed for the effects of convection and radiation between the test-piece and air to be neglected.

Other material properties such as density, Young’s modulus and Poisson ratio were applied as: 2.7 E-9 tonne/mm³, 70 GPa, 0.33 for aluminium and 7.8E-9 tonne/mm³, 210 GPa, 0.3 for tool steel, and 7.5E-9 tonne/mm³, 130 GPa, 0.3 for cast iron material [36, 39]. The friction coefficients in the simulation were selected as, \( \mu = 0.09 \) for cold forming and \( \mu = 0.1 \) for hot forming as was determined by the friction coefficient tests using lubricant Omega-35 by Foster et al. [38].

In the FE simulation, the HFQ process was divided into three stages: blank positioning, clamping, and forming. In the blank positioning stage, the encastre boundary condition was applied on the die, to restrict all degrees of freedom. The test-piece was positioned onto the die, using an implicit convergence test to calculate the end of this stage. In the blank positioning stage, the encastre boundary condition was still applied on the die, with the blank-holders having all degrees of freedom restricted except in the vertical direction (z direction). The end of the clamping stage was defined when the gap between the blank-holder and die equals the work-piece thickness. The subsequent forming stage was applied to deform the test-piece into the die cavity shape. As HFQ process is a high speed forming process, an explicit calculation method was applied for this stage. During this stage, the encastre boundary condition was used for the die and blank-holders, with the punch being allowed to move in the vertical direction. The end of the forming stage was controlled by the pinch test between the punch and die. In-die quenching, which is the

### Table 2 Material constants of the visco-plastic constitutive equations for AA6082 [4]

| \( B_0 \) (MPa) | \( k_0 \) (MPa) | \( K_0 \) (MPa) | \( Q_E \) (J/mol) | \( Q_C \) (J/mol) | \( Q_D \) (J/mol) | \( Q_K \) (J/mol) | \( Q_B \) (J/mol) | \( Q_K \) (J/mol) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 4.91           | 0.89           | 0.219          | 12.986          | 3393.4          | 11625.8         | 6679           |
| \( d_2 \)      | \( d_3 \)      | \( D_{10} \)   | \( D_{20} \)    | \( D_5 \)       | \( C_0 \)       | \( A \) |
| 27.687         | 6408.4         | 119,804        | 322.81          | 5               | 1.8             | 1.2            |
| 1.0101         | 0.5            | 10.32          | 5.49E-19        | 26.8            | 0.26            | 13             |
terminal stage of the process that improves material microstructure and strength was ignored in the simulations as this stage does not affect material draw and the evaluation of post-formed component strength is not subject to the current study.

Computational results

FE model verification

In order to validate the FE model, experimental and computational results of normalised thickness variations in Eq. (14) and flange ratios in Eq. (1) were compared for different tool designs in cold and hot stamping conditions. The experimental and simulation normalised thickness distributions \( t/t_0 \) were compared by taking a cross-section 10 mm from the component from the beginning of the left flange to the end of the right flange, defined as the section S-S in Fig. 8a, taking the edge of left side as the origin point and measuring in 5 mm intervals along the cross-section curve by digital Vernier calipers. In addition, flange ratios \( \zeta \) predicted by the simulation were compared with measured flange lengths of the formed components using digital callipers. In order to minimise geometry error, the front flange length and back flange length were both measured according to the definition in Fig. 4 “Effect of texture orientation”.

\[
\hat{t} = \frac{t}{t_0}
\]

(14)

Where \( t \) is the part thickness, \( t_0 \) the initial thickness and \( \hat{t} \) the normalised thickness.

Figure 8a shows the simulation of a hot stamped part displaying the major strain distribution. The tool texture designs used are: Left: parallel \( a/L = 0.3 \) and 0.5 Right: \( a/L = 1.0 \), with a blank-holding force 15 kN at a forming speed 150 mm/s. The flange length difference could be captured by FE simulation. By comparing to an experimentally formed component under these conditions, the difference in flange ratio was 10.4 and 1.5 %, for texture ratios \( a/L = 0.3 \) and 0.5 respectively. Further flange ratio validations under different forming conditions are shown in Fig. 10 in “Effect of texture feature”.

Figure 8b shows the normalised thickness variations of the cold and hot stamped parts at different positions along section S-S, using different tool designs: Left: parallel \( a/L = 0.3 \) and 0.5, Right: \( a/L = 1.0 \). The symbols indicate the measured experimental normalised thickness and the solid lines indicate the computational normalised thickness. The maximum differences between experimental data and simulation data were, for texture ratio \( a/L = 0.3 \) and \( a/L = 0.5 \), 2 and 1 % in the cold stamping condition and 4.9 and 4.6 % in the hot stamping condition. The larger variation in the hot

| Table 3 | Process parameters in FE simulation |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Process parameters | Cold stamping | Hot stamping |
| Texture orientation | Parallel | Parallel |
| Texture ratio | 0.1–1.0 | 0.1–1.0 |
| Temperature (°C) | Test-piece: 20 Tool: 20 | Test-piece: 470 Tool: 20 |
| Forming speed (mm/s) | 150 | |
| BHF (kN) | 10,20,30,40 | 5,10,15 |

| Table 4 | Interface heat transfer coefficient as a function of gap [38] |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Gap width (mm) | 1.05 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Heat transfer coefficient (ml/mm².s.K) | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.8 |
stamping results may be due to the test-piece temperature loss during transfer from the furnace to the tool being greater than the estimated amount, as well as variations in the friction coefficient value defined in the FE simulation.

By comparing the normalised thickness between the experimental and simulation results for both cold and hot stamping, good agreements have been demonstrated for the macro-textures and texture ratios used. Hence, it is assumed that the FE model developed in this paper can be used to predict and investigate the material flow in the top-hat shape drawing processes using macro-textures.

![Fig. 8 Comparisons of experimental (symbols) and computation (solid curves) normalised thickness variations in both cold and hot stamped parts using different tool designs. Blank-holding force 20 kN for cold stamping and 15 kN for hot stamping at a forming speed 150 mm/s](image)

| Pressure (GPa) | 0   | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
|---------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Heat transfer coefficient (mJ/mm².s.K) | 0.4 | 17.748 | 20.303 | 20.512 | 20.531 | 20.531 |

Table 5 Interface heat transfer coefficient as a function of pressure [41]
Effect of temperature

Figure 9 shows the temperature distributions and evolution in the hot formed part using macro-texture blank-holders (Left: parallel $a/L=0.3$, Right: $a/L=1.0$) with a blank-holding force 15 kN at a forming speed 150 mm/s. The test-piece temperature reduces due to heat transfer to the forming tools during forming. Significant heat transfer phenomena could be

![Figure 9](image)

(a) Temperature contour of the hot stamped part using parallel macro-textured left blankholder

(b) Temperature contours of the hot stamped tools using parallel macro-textured left blankholder

(c) Temperature evolution of the hot formed part
observed between hot sheet blank and tools as shown in Fig. 9a and b. Hence the use of a macro-textured blank-holder generates a non-uniform temperature field at the flange zones of the test-piece in Fig. 9a. Unless significant thickness deformation occurs, the test-piece contacts the peaks of macro-texture on the blank-holders but not the grooves. Due to this, the temperature of the metal in these zones is believed to decrease quicker than the material without tool contact because of the heat conduction between the tool and test-piece. From the temperature distribution contour in Fig. 9a, on the right-hand side of this top-hat part, the punch nose area temperature was highest and the flange area was the lowest. This large temperature distribution may cause non-uniform deformation when a large blank-holding force is applied. The reason for this is that the material at the punch nose area has the highest temperature, as during deformation there is conduction on only the punch side, and therefore the material has the lowest strength. As the flange area material strength is higher, it therefore becomes easier to have localised deformation on the punch nose area rather than to draw the flange material into the die once necking occurs in this nose area. However, compared with the full contact case between the die and blankholder on the right-hand side, the use of macro-scale surface textures on the left-hand side maintains an elevated temperature for test-piece material at the flange area and side wall area as shown in Fig. 9a. As the temperature difference between the left flange and punch nose is smaller than when compared to the right flange and punch nose, it becomes easier to draw the left flange material into the die, because the strength of material at the punch nose area and side wall area material are relatively higher.

In addition, in the depth (y) direction of the flange area shown in Fig. 9a, the temperature varies at discrete distances. The temperature evolution of the left flange and right flange areas was selected by taking sections L-L and R-R at a distance of 10 mm from the outer flange edge. In order to quantitatively reflect temperature evolution during forming, a time stage factor \( N_t \) is defined, which is equal to the time from start to an instant time point during forming divided by the whole forming time, \( N_t=0 \) indicates the initial stage of the forming process, and \( N_t=1.0 \) indicates the final stage of the forming process. A value of \( N_t=0.3 \) is an intermediate stage of forming.

Figure 9c shows the temperature evolution at different time stages. The solid line indicates section L-L, while the dash line indicates section R-R. When \( N_t=1.0 \), the minimal temperature drops from the initial temperature 470 to 302.2 °C (35.7 %) on the left-hand side and 124.6 °C (73.5 %) on the right-hand side. In addition, considering the non-uniform temperature field on the left side of the test-piece due to the tool texture (Fig. 9b), nodal temperature without tool texture contact is higher than that with contact. Therefore, it can be concluded that macro-textures have a significant effect on reducing the temperature loss during forming, thus maintaining the test-piece at a higher temperature.

**Effect of texture feature**

Figure 10 shows the texture feature effect on material drawability. The defined flange ratio \( \zeta \) in Eq. (1) is used to reflect the material drawing condition on the two sides with different texture ratios. In order to minimise the number of tests, the right-hand side surface was fixed with a texture ratio \( a/L=1.0 \), while the left-hand side blank-holders had different texture ratios \( a/L=0.1 \) to 1.0 with a 0.1 increment in the FE simulation. The comparison of experimental flange ratios with computational results in Fig. 10 could further validate the FE model.

Figure 10a shows that the flange ratio \( \zeta \) increases with the increase of the texture ratio on the left side for the same blank-holding force. This may be due to two reasons: firstly, the contact area was smaller using a small texture ratio, and the test-piece temperature at the flange area was maintained higher, and the temperature difference between the punch nose area and flange area was smaller compared with non-textured side as discussed above. At a sufficiently high blank-holding force, once necking occurred, this large temperature difference could enlarge the non-uniform deformation on the non-textured side and cause the flange length to differ. In addition, a small texture ratio results in a small area being compressed between the blank-holders and hence there is less material subject to friction during draw, which could help material flow into the die and decrease the flange difference between the two sides. It was found that the lowest flange ratio \( \zeta \) is 0.48 with a blank-holding force 15 kN in the simulation.

Using the same texture ratio on the left side blank-holder, the flange ratio increases with decreasing blank-holding force. Using high blank-holding force provides a high contact pressure, where the temperature of the work-piece drops at a greater rate than a low blank-holding force case. The temperature difference between the two blank-holders is enlarged, which results in a larger flange length difference, and hence a decreasing flange ratio \( \zeta \). Taking texture ratio 0.5 as an example, the experimental flange ratio \( \zeta \) could be decreased from 0.91 to 0.66 (27.5 %), for blank-holding forces 5 and 15 kN respectively.

Comparing the experimental data (symbols) and the computational results (solid lines) in Fig. 10a, the maximum difference is 26 %, occurring at a texture ratio 0.3. This difference is significantly less, at 9.2 % for the texture ratio 0.5 and 2.4 % for the texture ratio 1.0. Using a small texture ratio, the actual contact area between the tool and test-piece was small. The increased deviation for smaller texture ratios could be the result of machining tolerances of the small textured surface, as a deviation on a small texture ratio will have a greater effect compared to a large texture ratio. Furthermore, another cause of deviation could be due to the thermal expansion of the test-piece at elevated temperatures which may cause unequal initial flange lengths on each side of the work-piece. Such thermal expansion phenomena were not included in simulation work.
Figure 10b shows the effect of material flow with textured blankholders compared to forming speed. The flange ratio $\zeta$ increases with increasing texture ratio at a given forming speed, similar to the trend shown in Fig. 10a. Another feature which can be seen is that for a given texture ratio, an increase in forming speed reduces the overall forming time, which results in a lower temperature loss on both blankholders. Therefore, for a low forming time, the work-piece temperature difference on either blankholder will exhibit less variation and hence result in a more uniform deformation and a larger flange ratio $\zeta$.

Effect of forming speed

Figure 11 shows the effect of forming speed on the normalised thickness distribution of hot stamped parts for a given tool texture design: Left: parallel $a/L=0.3$; Right: $a/L=1.0$. Three forming speeds, 75, 150 and 600 mm/s, were chosen to investigate the effect of forming speed on the draw-ability in hot stamping using macro-texture tools. A section is located on the centre-line of the part, taking the outside edge of the left side flange as the origin. The normalised thickness distributions for forming speeds 150 and 75 mm/s have a similar
trend, the maximum thinning is located at the top surface (punch nose section), shifting to the right side for this blankholder combination. Normalised thickness increased with increasing forming speed, which means less thinning occurs at a higher forming speed. This can be explained by the influence of forming speed on material hardening, where the hardening effect is greater at high forming speeds due to the strain rate effects on forming the visco-plastic alloy [36]. In addition, a higher forming speed reduced the contact time between the tool and test-piece and thus heat loss was reduced, resulting in a temperature that was higher and more uniform and hence leading to higher ductility and more uniform flow. As shown in Fig. 11, at a forming speed of 600 mm/s, the hot stamped part had no obvious necking, unlike the parts formed at 75 and 150 mm/s. High speed thus enhances the isothermal nature of the process [36].

Conclusions

The work described in this paper has investigated the effect of rectilinear macro-textures on metal flow in the cold and hot stamping of a top-hat shape; a channel with flanges. Perpendicular tool textures corresponding to the material flow direction had constraint effect on material flow as the material deformation due to the large blank-holding force, the flange ratio was increased to 1.35, compared with 1.03 using non-texture on the two sides in cold stamping process.

In hot stamping processes, a texture parallel to metal flow can significantly improve draw-ability. Good agreement exists between FE computed and experimental flange ratios and normalised thickness distributions. The minimal flange ratio was 0.46 for \( a/L = 0.3 \) in comparison with the full contact case \( a/L = 1.0 \) at a BHF 15 kN and forming speed 150 mm/s, which verified the improved draw-ability using macro-texture tools.

The improved draw-ability is mainly due to the non-uniform temperature field generated by the macro-tool texture at the flange area. Using such kind of macro-tool texture, simulation work has shown that the temperature of the test piece drops from the initial temperature 470 to 302.2 °C (35.7 %) on the left-hand side \( (a/L = 0.3) \) and 124.6 °C (73.5 %) on the right-hand side \( (a/L = 1.0) \). With the decrease of texture ratio, the lower contact area contributed to a higher test-piece temperature which was reflected by decreasing flange ratio.
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