Exact Multifractal Exponents for Two-Dimensional Percolation
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Abstract. The harmonic measure (or diffusion field) near a critical percolation cluster in two dimensions (2D) is considered. Its moments, summed over the accessible external hull, exhibit a multifractal (Mf) spectrum, which I calculate exactly. The generalized dimensions $D(n)$ as well as the Mf function $f(\alpha)$ are derived from generalized conformal invariance, and are shown to be identical to those of the harmonic measure on 2D random or self-avoiding walks. An exact application to the impedance of a rough percolative electrode is given. The numerical checks are excellent. Another set of multifractal exponents is obtained exactly for $n$ independent self-avoiding walks anchored at the random fractal boundary of a percolation cluster.

PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 05.45.Df, 64.60.Ak, 41.20.Cv

Percolation theory, whose tenuous fractal structures, called incipient clusters, present fascinating properties, has served as an archetypal model for critical phenomena. The subject has recently enjoyed renewed interest: the scaling (continuum) limit has fundamental properties, e.g., conformal invariance, which present a mathematical challenge. Almost uncharted territory in exact fractal studies is the harmonic measure, i.e., the diffusion or electrostatic field near an equipotential random fractal boundary, whose self-similarity is reflected in a multifractal (Mf) behavior of the harmonic measure.

Mf exponents for the harmonic measure of fractals are especially important in two contexts: diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) and the double layer impedance at a surface. In DLA, the harmonic measure actually determines the growth process and its scaling properties are intimately related to those of the cluster itself. The double layer impedance at a rough surface between a good conductor and an ionic medium presents an anomalous frequency dependence, which has been observed by electrochemists for decades. It was recently proposed that this is at heart a multifractal phenomenon, directly linked with the harmonic measure of the rough electrode. In both the above contexts, percolation clusters have been studied numerically as generic models.

In this Letter, I consider incipient percolation clusters in two dimensions (2D), and determine analytically the exact multifractal exponents of their harmonic measure. I use recent advances in conformal invariance (linked to quantum gravity), which allow for the mathematical description of random walks interacting with other random fractal structures, such as random walks, and self-avoiding walks. A further difficulty here is the presence of a subtle geometrical structure in the percolation cluster hull, recently elucidated by Aizenman et al. Excellent agreement with decade-old numerical data is obtained, thereby confirming the relevance of conformal invariance to multifractality; the exact prediction for the anomalous exponent of a percolative electrode given here also corroborates the multifractal nature of the latter. As an illustration of the flexibility of the method, I also give the set of exact multifractal exponents corresponding to the average $n$th moment of the probability for a self-avoiding walk to escape from a percolation cluster boundary.

Consider a two-dimensional very large incipient cluster $C$, at the percolation threshold $p_c$. Define $H(w)$ as the probability that a random walker (RW) launched from infinity, first hits the outer (accessible) percolation hull $\mathcal{H}(C)$ at point $w \in \mathcal{H}(C)$. We are especially interested in the moments of $H$, averaged over all realizations of RW’s and $C$:

$$Z_n = \left\langle \sum_{w \in \mathcal{H}} H^n(w) \right\rangle,$$

where $n$ can be, a priori, a real number. For very large clusters $C$ and hulls $\mathcal{H}(C)$ of average size $R$, one expects these moments to scale as

$$Z_n \approx (a/R)^{\tau(n)},$$

where $a$ is a microscopic cut-off, and where the multifractal scaling exponents $\tau(n)$ encode generalized dimensions $D(n)$, $\tau(n) = (n-1) D(n)$, which vary in a non-linear way with $n$. Several a priori results are known. $D(0)$ is the Hausdorff dimension of the support of the measure. By construction, $H$ is a normalized probability measure, so that $\tau(1) = 0$. Makarov’s theorem, here applied to the Hölder regular curve describing the hull, gives the non-trivial information dimension $\tau'(1) = D(1) = 1$. The multifractal formalism further involves characterizing subsets $\mathcal{H}_a$ of sites of the hull $\mathcal{H}$ by a Lipschitz–Hölder exponent $\alpha$, such that their local H-measure scales as $H(w \in \mathcal{H}_a) \approx (a/R)^{\alpha}$. The “fractal dimension” $f(\alpha)$ of the set $\mathcal{H}_a$ is given by the symmetric Legendre transform of $\tau(n)$:

$$\alpha = \frac{d\tau}{dn}(n), \quad \tau(n) + f(\alpha) = \alpha n, \quad n = \frac{df}{d\alpha}(\alpha).$$
Because of the ensemble average \([1]\), values of \(f(\alpha)\) can become negative for some domains of \(\alpha\) \([13]\).

This Letter is organized as follows: I first present in detail the findings and their potential physical significance and applications, before proceeding with the more abstract mathematical derivation.

My results for the generalized harmonic dimensions for percolation are

\[
D(n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{24n + 1} + 1}, \quad n \in \left[\frac{-1}{24}, +\infty\right),
\]

valid for all values of moment order \(n, n \geq -\frac{1}{24}\). The Legendre transform \(\langle 3 \rangle\) of \(\tau(n) = (n - 1) D(n)\) reads

\[
\alpha = \frac{d\tau}{dn}(n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{24n + 1}},
\]

and

\[
f(\alpha) = \frac{25}{48} \left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\right) - \frac{\alpha}{24}, \quad \alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, +\infty\right).
\]

FIG. 1. Universal harmonic multifractal dimensions \(D(n)\), and spectrum \(f(\alpha)\) of a 2D incipient percolation cluster, compared to numerical results by Meakin et al. (in red).

Figure 1 shows the exact curve \(D(n)\) \([\rangle\) together with the numerical results for \(n \in \{2, \ldots, 9\}\) by Meakin et al. \([13]\), showing fairly good agreement. The slight upwards move from the theoretical curve at high values of \(n\) suggests a difference between annealed and apparent quenched averages, as in the DLA case \([20]\).

The first striking observation is that the dimension of the support of the measure \(D(0) \neq D_H\), where \(D_H = \frac{7}{4}\) is the Hausdorff dimension of the standard hull, i.e., the outer boundary of critical percolating clusters \([21]\). In fact, \(D(0) = \frac{5}{4}\) is the dimension \(D_{\text{EP}}\) of the accessible external perimeter \(\langle 22 \rangle\), the other hull sites being located in deep fjords, which are not probed by the harmonic measure. Its exact value \(D_{\text{EP}} = \frac{5}{4}\) has been recently derived in terms of relevant scaling operators describing path crossing statistics in percolation \(\langle 1\rangle\). In the scaling continuous regime of percolation, the fjords do close, yielding a smoother (self-avoiding) accessible perimeter of dimension \(\frac{5}{4}\). This is in agreement with the instability phenomenon observed numerically on a lattice: removing the fjords with narrow necks causes a discontinuity of the effective dimension of the hull from \(D_H \approx \frac{5}{4}\) to \(D_{\text{EP}} \approx \frac{5}{4}\), whatever microscopic restriction rules are chosen \([22]\).

In other respects, a 2D polymer at the \(\Theta\)-point is known to obey exactly the statistics of a percolation hull \([23]\), and the MF results \(\langle 3\rangle\) therefore apply also to that case.

An even more striking fact is the complete identity of Eqs. \(\langle 4\rangle\) to the corresponding results both for random walks and self-avoiding walks (SAW’s) \([10]\). In particular, \(D(0) = \frac{5}{4}\) is the Hausdorff dimension of a SAW, common to the external frontier of a percolation hull and of a Brownian motion \([\rangle\). Seen from outside, these three fractal curves are not distinguished by the harmonic measure. As we shall see, this fact is linked to the presence of a universal underlying conformal field theory with a vanishing central charge \(c = 0\).

The singularity at \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\) in the multifractal function \(f(\alpha)\) is due to points on the fractal boundary where the latter has the local geometry of a needle. Indeed, by elementary conformal covariance, a local wedge of opening \(\theta\) yields an electrostatic potential, i.e., harmonic measure, which scales as \(H(R) \sim R^{-\theta} \sim R^{-\alpha}\), thus, formally, \(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), and \(\theta = 2\pi\) corresponds to the lowest possible value \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\). The linear asymptote of the \(f(\alpha)\) curve for \(\alpha \to +\infty\), \(f(\alpha) \sim -\frac{\alpha}{4}\), corresponds to the lowest part \(n \to n^* = -\frac{1}{24}\) of the spectrum of dimensions. Its linear shape is quite reminiscent of the case of a 2D DLA cluster \([24]\). Define \(N(H)\) as the number of sites having a probability \(H\) to be hit. Using the MF formalism to change from variable \(H\) to \(\alpha\) (at fixed value of \(a/R\)), shows that \(N(H)\) obeys, for \(H \to 0\), a power law behavior with an exponent \(\tau^* = 1 + \lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} f(\alpha) = 1 + n^*\).

Thus we predict

\[
N(H) \mid_{H \to 0} \approx H^{-\tau^*}, \quad \tau^* = \frac{23}{24} = 0.95833\ldots
\]

(7)

This \(\tau^* = 0.95833\ldots\) compares very well with the result \(\tau^* = 0.951 \pm 0.030\), obtained for \(10^{-5} \leq H \leq 10^{-4}\) \([13]\).

Let us consider for a moment the different, but related, problem of the double layer impedance of a rough elec-
In some range of frequencies $\omega$, the impedance contains an anomalous “constant phase angle” (CPA) term $(\omega \alpha)^{-\beta}$, where $\beta < 1$. It was believed that $\beta$ would be solely determined by the Hausdorff dimension $D(0)$ of the electrode surface. From a natural RW representation of the impedance, a different scaling law was recently proposed: $\beta = \frac{D(0)}{D(\infty)}$ (here in 2D), where $D(2)$ is the multifractal dimension of the H-measure on the rough electrode. 

In the case of a 2D porous percolative electrode, our results give $D(2) \equiv \frac{1}{12}$, $D(0) \equiv \frac{1}{4}$, whence $\beta = \frac{12}{4} = 0.6875$. This compares very well with a numerical RW algorithm result [23], which yields an effective CPA exponent $\beta \approx 0.69$, nicely vindicating the multifractal description.

Let me now give the main lines of the derivation of exponents $D(n)$ by generalized conformal invariance. We focus on site percolation on the 2D triangular lattice: by universality the results are expected to apply to other 2D (e.g., bond) percolation models. The boundary lines of the percolation clusters, i.e., of connected sets of occupied hexagons, form self-avoiding lines on the dual hexagonal lattice. They obey the statistics of loops in the $\mathcal{O}(N=1)$ model, where $N$ is the loop fugacity, in the so-called “low-temperature phase”, a fact we shall recover below [21].

By the very definition of the H-measure, independent RW’s diffusing away from the hull give a geometric representation of the $n^{th}$ moment $H^n$, for $n$ integer. The values so derived for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ will be enough, by convexity arguments, to obtain the analytic continuation for arbitrary $n$’s. Figure 2 depicts $n$ independent random walks, in a bunch, first hitting the external hull of a percolation cluster at a site $w = (\bullet)$. As explained in ref. [1], such a site, to belong to the accessible hull, must remain, in the continuous scaling limit, the source of at least three non-intersecting crossing paths, noted $S_3$, reaching to a (large) distance $R$. These paths are “monochromatic”: one path runs only through occupied (light blue) sites; the other two, dual lines, run through empty (white) sites [1]. The definition of the standard hull requires only the origination, in the scaling limit, of a “bichromatic” pair of lines $S_2$ [1]. Points lacking additional dual lines are not accessible to RW’s after the scaling limit is taken, because their (white) exit path becomes a strait pinched by other parts of the (light blue) occupied cluster. The bunch of independent RW’s avoids the occupied cluster, and defines its own envelope as a set of two boundary lines separating it from the occupied part of the lattice, thus from $S_3$ (Fig. 2).

Let us introduce the notation $A \land B$ for two sets, $A$, $B$, of random paths, conditioned to be mutually avoiding, and $A \lor B$ for two independent, thus possibly intersecting, sets [1]. Now consider $n$ independent RW’s, or Brownian paths $B$ in the scaling limit, in a bunch noted $(\lor B)^n$, avoiding a set $S_\ell \equiv (\land \mathcal{P})^\ell$ of $\ell$ non-intersecting crossing paths in the percolation system. Each of the latter paths passes only through occupied sites, or only through empty (dual) ones. The probability that the Brownian and percolation paths altogether traverse the annulus $D(a,R)$ from the inner boundary circle of radius $a$ to the outer one at distance $R$, i.e., are in a “star” configuration $S_\ell \land (\lor B)^n$ (Fig. 2), is expected to scale for $R/a \to \infty$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_R(S_\ell \land n) \approx (a/R)^{x(S_\ell \land n)},$$

where we used $S_\ell \land n \equiv S_\ell \land (\lor B)^n$ as a short hand notation, and where $x(S_\ell \land n)$ is a new critical exponent depending on $\ell$ and $n$. It is convenient to introduce similar “surface” probabilities $\mathcal{P}_R(S_\ell \land n) \approx (a/R)^{\tilde{x}(S_\ell \land n)}$ for the same star configuration of paths, now crossing through the half-annulus $D(a,R)$ in the half-plane.
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tistical geometrical system, to the CFT on a fluctuating plane theory (CFT) in the all geometrical exponents involving the central charge.

Using the fundamental mapping of the conformal field theory (CFT) in the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), describing a critical statistical geometrical system, to the CFT on a fluctuating abstract random Riemann surface, i.e., in presence of quantum gravity [25], I have recently shown that there exist two universal functions \( U \) and \( V \), depending only on the central charge \( c \) of the CFT, which suffice to generate all geometrical exponents involving mutual avoidance of random star-shaped sets of paths of the critical system [10]. For \( c = 0 \), which corresponds to RW’s, SAW’s, and percolation, these universal functions are:

\[
U(x) = \frac{1}{3} x (1 + 2x), \quad V(x) = \frac{1}{24} (4x^2 - 1).
\]

with \( V(x) \equiv U \left( \frac{1}{2} (x - \frac{1}{2}) \right) \). Consider now two arbitrary random sets \( A, B \), involving each a collection of paths in a star configuration, with proper scaling crossing exponents \( x(A), x(B) \), or, in the half-plane, crossing exponents \( \tilde{x}(A), \tilde{x}(B) \). If one fuses the star centers and requires \( A \) and \( B \) to stay mutually avoiding, then the new crossing exponents, \( x(A \lor B) \) and \( \tilde{x}(A \lor B) \), obey the star algebra [10]

\[
x(A \lor B) = 2V \left[ U^{-1} (\tilde{x}(A)) + U^{-1} (\tilde{x}(B)) \right],
\]

\[
\tilde{x}(A \lor B) = U \left[ U^{-1} (\tilde{x}(A)) + U^{-1} (\tilde{x}(B)) \right],
\]

where \( U^{-1}(x) \) is the inverse function of \( U \)

\[
U^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{24x + 1} - 1.
\]

If, on the contrary, \( A \) and \( B \) are independent and can overlap, then by trivial factorization of probabilities, \( x(A \lor B) = x(A) + x(B) \), and \( \tilde{x}(A \lor B) = \tilde{x}(A) + \tilde{x}(B) \) [14]. The rules [11], which mix bulk and boundary exponents, can be understood as simple factorization properties on a random Riemann surface, i.e., in quantum gravity [11,14], or as recurrence relations in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) between conformal Riemann maps of the successive mutually avoiding paths onto the line \( \mathbb{R} \) [14]. On a random surface, \( U^{-1}(\tilde{x}) \) is the boundary dimension corresponding to the value \( \tilde{x} \) in \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \), and the sum of \( U^{-1} \) functions in Eq. (1) represents linearly the juxtaposition \( A \lor B \) of two sets of random paths near their random frontier, i.e., the product of two “boundary operators” on the random surface. The latter sum is mapped by the functions \( U, V \), into the scaling dimensions in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) [11]. The structure thus unveiled is so stringent that it immediately yields the values of the percolation crossing exponents \( x_\ell, \tilde{x}_\ell \) of ref. [11], and our harmonic measure exponents \( x(S_t \land n) \).

First, for a set \( S_t = (\vee P)^\ell \) of \( \ell \) crossing paths, we have from the recurrent use of (11)

\[
x_\ell = 2V \left[ U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_1) \right], \quad \tilde{x}_\ell = U \left[ U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_1) \right].
\]

For percolation, two values of half-plane crossing exponents \( \tilde{x}_\ell \) are known by elementary means: \( \tilde{x}_3 = 1, \tilde{x}_3 = 2 \) [11]. From (13) we thus find \( U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_1) = \frac{1}{2} U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_2) = \frac{3}{4} U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_3) = \frac{3}{2}, \) (thus \( \tilde{x}_1 = \frac{3}{2} \) [23]), which in turn gives

\[
x_\ell = 2V \left( \frac{3}{2} \ell \right) = \frac{1}{12} (\ell^2 - 1), \quad \tilde{x}_\ell = U \left( \frac{3}{2} \ell \right) = \frac{\ell}{6} (\ell + 1).
\]

We thus recover the identity, previously rigorously established in ref. [11], of \( x_\ell = x^{O(N = 1)}_\ell, \tilde{x}_\ell = \tilde{x}^{O(N = 1)}_\ell \) with the \( L \)-line exponents of the associated \( O(N = 1) \) loop model, in the “low-temperature phase”. For \( L \) even, these exponents also govern the existence of \( k = \frac{1}{2} L \) spanning clusters [21,11], with the identity \( x_k = x_{L-k} = \frac{1}{15} (4k^2 - 1) \) in the bulk [21], and \( \tilde{x}_k^* = \tilde{x}_{k=2k-1} = \frac{1}{15} (2k^2 - 1) \) in the half-plane [22,23]. The non-intersection exponents of \( k! \) Brownian paths are also given by \( x_k, \tilde{x}_k \) for \( k = 2k' \) [8], so we observe a complete equivalence between a Brownian path and two percolating crossing paths, in both the plane and half-plane.

For the harmonic exponents in [8], we fuse the two objects \( S_t \) and \( (\vee B)^n \) into a new star \( S_t \land n \) (see Fig. 2), and use (11). We just have seen that the boundary \( \ell \)-crossing exponent of \( S_t \), \( \tilde{x}_\ell \), obeys \( U^{-1}(\tilde{x}_\ell) = \frac{1}{2} \ell \). The bunch of \( n \) independent Brownian paths have their own half-plane crossing exponent \( \tilde{x}(\langle \vee B \rangle^n) = n \tilde{x}(B) = n \), since the boundary dimension of a single Brownian path is trivially \( \tilde{x}(B) = 1 \). Thus we obtain

\[
x(S_t \land n) = 2V \left( \frac{1}{2} \ell + U^{-1}(n) \right).
\]

Specifying to the case \( \ell = 3 \) finally gives from (13,12)

\[
x(S_t \land n) = 2 + \frac{1}{2} (n - 1) + \frac{5}{24} (\sqrt{24n + 1} - 1),
\]

from which \( \tau(n) \) [8], and \( D(n) \) Eq. (3) follow, QED.

This formalism immediately allows many generalizations. For instance, in place of \( n \) random walks, one can consider a set of \( n \) independent self-avoiding walks \( P \), which avoid the cluster fractal boundary, except for their common anchoring point. The associated multifractal exponents \( x(S_t \land ((\vee P)^n)) \) are given by the same formula (13), with the argument \( n \) in \( U^{-1} \) simply replaced by the boundary scaling dimension of the bunch of independent SAW’s, namely [11] \( \tilde{x}(\langle \vee P \rangle^n) = n \tilde{x}(P) = n \tilde{x}, \)
for $\ell = 3$. These exponents govern the universal multifractal behavior of the $n$th moments of the probability that a self-avoiding walk escapes from the random fractal boundary of a percolation cluster in two-dimensions. I thus find that they are identical to those obtained when the random fractal boundary is taken as the frontier of a Brownian path or a self-avoiding walk [10].
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