Number of Calving and Its Relationship with Productive Indicators in Nelore Cows
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Abstract: The objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship of the calving number with productive indicators in Nelore cows in a grazing system in the Bolivian tropics. Retrospective data, corresponding to the period between 1991 and 2019 referred to 1,566 primiparous and multiparous Nelore breed cows were used. A decrease in the total number of animals was observed from the first to the fifth calving, it was also observed that the age of the first calving was similar in all cows without significant differences, showing that longevity is not associated with the age at the first calving. Live weight presented significant differences (p ≤ 0.05), according to the number of calvings; the fourth and fifth calving cows are the heaviest. Weight of calf at birth did not show a relationship with the number of calvings either. However, it does so in the weight of calf at weaning, which increases from the first to the fifth calving with significant differences (p ≤ 0.05). There were significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) for the interval between calvings, decreasing as the calvings progressed. It is concluded that there is a relationship between the number of calving with productive indicators in Nelore cows in a grazing system in the Bolivian tropics, fundamentally those efficiency variables where longevity is important.
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1. Introduction

The adaptation of the cow to the environment in which it will be developed is a crucial element for the sustainability of the system [1]. The age at the first calving (AFC), life expectancy and longevity of cows are of great importance in livestock, especially beef cows, because the cost of raising calves and being able to wean them largely depend on how early the cows calve and how long they remain in production during their life [2]. It is clear that an objective of any production system is the generation of productive and efficient results that would lead to maximizing economic returns [3]. Brumatti et al. [4] suggest that greater efficiency in raising cattle for meat in cows can be achieved by improving the genetic quality of cattle through selection. Functional traits, such as health, fertility, efficiency of feed utilization and milking capacity, are variables that are used to summarize the characteristics of the animals, making it possible to increase production efficiency and collaborate in the reduction of input costs [5]. Longevity is the most important functional trait in cattle selection worldwide [6]. There are previous works where they show negative correlations between the weight of the cow and the stability or the useful life of the same; this made that the main associations of meat breeds in the USA report on the permanence or fertility of cows [7]. Some of the fertility measures used in beef cow evaluations, such as length of productive life, interval between the first and second calving, and calves born at a specific age, should be incorporated into analyses of the entire life of the cow [8]. Once the genetic constitution is defined, the productive behavior in general will be subject to environmental changes, management and other non-genetic factors [9, 10] therefore the objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship of the calving number with productive indicators in Nelore cows in a grazing system in the Bolivian tropics.
2. Materials and Methods

Retrospective data corresponding to the period between 1992 and 2019 were used for the research work which belongs to the Technology Center on Agriculture and Livestock in Bolivia (Fundacion Cetabol) in Okinawa Japanese Community (17°13′12″ south latitude, 62°53′39″ west longitude), Santa Cruz, Bolivia. The community is located at 286 m above sea level and they present a tropical climate, with significant rains in most months of the year and a short dry season with little effect on the general climate. The annual average temperature is 24.3 °C with average rainfall of 986 mm in Japanese Community Okinawa, Santa Cruz.

2.1 Animals

The data corresponding to 1,566 primiparous and multiparous Nelore cows were used. Weaning occurs between seven and eight months in two or three stages depending on body and general condition. Gynecological control is performed routinely at weaning, by a technical advisor, as well as health.

2.2 Feeding and Management

The herd was fed grazing managed in intensive conditions, with cultivated pastures Brachiaria decumbens (8-12 t/ha/year of dry matter (DM)), B. humidicola (8-10 t/ha/year of DM), B. dictyoneura (8-10 t/ha/year of DM), Cynodon dactylon (10-20 t/ha/year of DM) and Panicum maximum cv. Mombaza (20-28 t/ha/year of DM) [11].

2.3 Statistic Analysis

Cows were ordered by lactation number (1-5 calvings). Variables to analyse and method of obtaining were described in Table 1. The means and standard deviations were estimated and the analysis of variance and Tukey’s comparison of means tests were applied \((p < 0.05)\) [12]. Five dispersion graphs were made taking as an independent variable the weights of the calves at weaning and as a dependent variable the accumulative production according to calving number. Statistical analyses were performed with the JMP software package in its version 5.0 for Windows (JMP®, SAS Institute, 2003).

3. Results and Discussion

The results according to the number of calving of the cows was described, where a decrease in the total number of animals from the first to the fifth calving is observed, it is also evident that the age of the first calving was similar in all cows without significant differences, showing that longevity is not associated with AFC (Table 2).

Live weight presents significant differences \((p \leq 0.05)\), according to the number of calvings; the fourth and fifth calving cows are the heaviest. Weight of calf at birth did not show a relationship with the number of calvings either. Although, it does so in the weight of calf at weaning, which increases from the first to the fifth calving with significant differences \((p \leq 0.05)\), the heaviest being the cows with the highest number of calving. For the interval between calvings, there were significant differences \((p \leq 0.05)\), decreasing as the calvings progressed.

The AFC did not show significant differences between the numbers of calvings. The values found are lower than those 1,206 ± 149 d reported by Lobo et al. [13] and the 1,180 d found by Garcia et al. [14] and similar to 1,086 ± 268 d presented by Flores and Ortiz [15]. The weights found in the analyzed cows differed \((p \leq 0.05)\) according to the number of calvings showing that the fifth calving cows are the heaviest, with weights similar to the 425.8 ± 3 kg reported by Segura-Correa et al. [16] and below the 577 ± 5 kg obtained by Foianini et al. [17]. The calves weights at birth did not show a relationship with the number of calvings, they were above the 29 kg and 32 kg reported by Santana et al. [18], Boligon et al. [19] and Chud et al. [20].

The calf index, accumulative production and the stock efficiency of the calf presented significant differences \((p \leq 0.05)\) (Table 3). The cows with the highest
Table 1  Variables to analyze.

| Variable name                      | Method of obtaining                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age at the first calving (AFC)     | The age of the first calving (date of birth-date of the first calving)               |
| Cow live weight (LW)               | Average cow weight of all the weights of her first lactation                        |
| Calf weight at birth (CBW)         | Average calf weight at birth for all calves                                         |
| Calf weight at weaning (CWW)       | Average calf weight at weaning of all calvings                                      |
| Interval between calvings (IBC)    | Average calving interval of calvings                                                |
| Calf index (CI)                    | Total production of weaned calf/longevity in days (date of discard or death–date of birth in days) |
| Accumulative production (PAC)      | Kilogram produced from calf/kg kept from cow                                        |

Table 2  Averages and standard errors of productive and reproductive variables according to calving number.

| Number of calvings | Number of cows | AFC (days) | LW (kg) | CBW (kg) | CWW (kg) | IBC (days) |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|
| 1                  | 540            | 1,018 ± 5  | 494 ± 2c| 36.7 ± 0.2| 206 ± 2b | -          |
| 2                  | 369            | 1,018 ± 6  | 512 ± 3b| 36.7 ± 0.3| 219 ± 2a | 446 ± 5a  |
| 3                  | 283            | 1,021 ± 7  | 522 ± 3ab| 36.6 ± 0.3| 219 ± 3a | 430 ± 6ab |
| 4                  | 211            | 1,017 ± 8  | 531 ± 4a| 36.4 ± 0.4| 222 ± 3a | 410 ± 7b  |
| 5                  | 163            | 1,016 ± 9  | 533 ± 4a| 36.7 ± 0.4| 222 ± 3a | 406 ± 8b  |

Different letters in the column indicate significant differences \( p \leq 0.05 \).
All values correspond to the arithmetic mean ± standard error.

Table 3  Averages and standard errors of efficiency variables according to calving number.

| Number of calvings | Number of cows | CI (kg) | PAC (kg) | SE (kg) |
|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1                  | 540            | 0.164 ± 0.001c| 110 ± 1d | 0.423 ± 0.007c |
| 2                  | 369            | 0.252 ± 0.002d| 141 ± 1c | 0.844 ± 0.008d |
| 3                  | 283            | 0.306 ± 0.002e| 156 ± 2b | 1.257 ± 0.009e |
| 4                  | 211            | 0.348 ± 0.002b| 167 ± 2a | 1.679 ± 0.013b |
| 5                  | 163            | 0.378 ± 0.003a| 173 ± 2a | 2.102 ± 0.012a |

Different letters in the column indicate significant differences \( p \leq 0.05 \).
All values correspond to the arithmetic mean ± standard error.

Number of calvings showed the highest values in the three efficiency indicators used. Calves weights at weaning were related to the number of calvings showing significant differences \( (p \leq 0.05) \), noting that cows from the third to the fifth are those that wean heavier calves, this can be explained because they do not have the requirement to continue growing and they can allocate it to greater milk production. The same occurs with the interval between calvings values, with a significant relationship \( (p \leq 0.05) \) with the calving number where the cows with the highest calving number have the lowest interval between calving values, being those that they best suited the system. The calf index, accumulative production and stock efficiency values showed significant differences according to the number of calvings, being a logical result that the longest-lived cows accumulate better values, it is precisely with efficiency indicators that the advantages of having longer-lived cows are demonstrated at rodeos.
The relationship between accumulative production and weaning weight, in this case for the first calving cows was described in Fig. 1. There is an initial growth of accumulative production, and then its growth decreases until it reaches an asymptote. The best fit was achieved with a second degree polynomial equation $y = 80.195\ln(x) - 317.32$, $R^2 = 0.3609$, $p \leq 0.001$. The maximum value of accumulative production does not coincide with the highest weight at weaning.

The relationship between accumulative production and calf weight at weaning was detailed, in this case for the second calving cows (Fig. 2). There is an initial growth of accumulative production and then its growth decreases until obtaining an asymptote. The best fit was achieved with a second degree polynomial equation $y = 111.03\ln(x) - 456.58$, $R^2 = 0.4249$, $p \leq 0.0001$. The maximum value of accumulative production does not occur with the highest calf weight at weaning.

The relationship between accumulative production and calf weight at weaning was explained, in this case for the third calving cows (Fig. 3). It was fitted with a linear equation $y = 0.651x + 10.938$, $R^2 = 0.6049$, $p \leq 0.0001$.

The relationship between accumulative production and calf weight at weaning was presented, in this case for the fourth calving cows (Fig. 4). In this case, it was also the linear equation $y = 0.7369x$, $R^2 = 0.6501$, $p \leq 0.0001$.

The relationship between accumulative production and calf weight at weaning was plotted, in this case for the fifth calving cows (Fig. 5). The linear equation $y = 0.764x$, $R^2 = 0.7068$, $p \leq 0.0001$ reported the best fit. The maximum value of accumulative production does not agree with the greater weight at weaning, as in the previous Figs. 3-5.

There is a need to use aggregated or integrated indicators in the cow-breeding systems for meat, because it would allow the evaluation of the behavior of both the genotypes and racial groups involved as well as the impact of the management strategies (non-genetic) on the both biological and economic
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Fig. 2  Relation of PAC and CWW in the second calving Nelore cows.

\[ y = 111.03 \ln(x) - 456.58, \quad R^2 = 0.4249 \]

Fig. 3  Relation of PAC and CWW in the third calving Nelore cows.

\[ y = 0.651x + 10.938, \quad R^2 = 0.6049 \]
Fig. 4  Relation of PAC and CWW in the fourth calving Nelore cows.

Fig. 5  Relation of PAC and CWW in the fifth calving Nelore cows.
efficiency of the evaluated systems. This would coincide with what was reported by Ikeda et al. [21] here using indicators of this nature would help to avoid the overvaluation of one of the characters involved in the characterization of a suitable cow for meat and would allow identifying the biotypes most adapted to the different environments existing in the place of evaluation. This is demonstrated with Figs. 3-5 where from the third parturition it would make sense to search for a greater calf weight at weaning, since the relationship between the calf weight at weaning and accumulative production is linear, that is, as the cow progresses in its calvings it would allow the use of efficiency indicators. The results found allow to advance in this sense, where the look of the search for a cow adapted to its environment is reflected by its longevity and reproduction, two of the traits associated with biological efficiency or fitness [22].

4. Conclusions

It is concluded that the Nelore cows in a grazing system in the Bolivian tropics that had a greater number of calvings, showed a better performance for the productive and reproductive variables studied. In addition, it was shown that from the third lactation it makes sense to seek to obtain a greater weight at weaning, since the relationship between weight at weaning and accumulative production is linear.
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