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Abstract: The paper presents experimental results of the characteristics of strength and fracture toughness of the material from the different zones of welded joints made of different participation of the linear welding energy. Strength characteristics and fracture toughness were determined in the weld material, in the area of fusion line, in the material of the heat affected zone and in the base material.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In order to increase competitiveness and meet the requirements that are specified by the customer, the companies produce steels with favorable operating parameters (Porter, 2006). These steels are characterized by properties of high strength, hardness, wear resistance. The high level properties of the steel can extend the life-time of components, reduce the frequency and cost of replacement parts to be used, which leads to increased safety during operation of the equipment.

To fully utilize and apply the high-strength ferritic steel in structures and mechanisms is necessary to take into account the issue of its weldability. Welded joints in terms of strength are places where there is a heightened risk of the occurrence of damage, due to the different microstructures of the material in the different zones of the joints (Tasak and Ziewiec, 2006), the presence of micro-defects and welding residual stress and the geometric factor, which causes the joint to be treated as a kind of stress concentrators (FITNET, 2008; Neimitz et al., 2008). Obtaining a proper strength of the welded joint, if the base material is high-strength steel, is a difficult task to accomplish. Technology selection and filler material should be provided, so that the material characteristics in all of zones of the welded joints, were similar to the base material characteristics.

This paper presents the results of experiments carried out on the material of two types of joints, made of high-strength ferritic steel S960QC, in which the welding process had different linear energy of welding - 0.7 kJ/mm and 1.2 kJ/mm. The measurements of hardness, strength characteristics were determined and the fracture toughness critical value of the material from the different zones of welded joints.

2. MATERIALS AND RESEARCH METHODS

Joints are made of sheet metal with a thickness of 8 mm high-strength ferritic steel S960QC. Chemical composition is given in Tab. 1. As a result of thermo-mechanical processing steel obtains fine-grained microstructure of bainite-martensite (Fig. 1a) with a hardness of ≥350 HV10 and strength characteristics: $R_e \geq 960$ MPa, $R_m \geq 1000$ MPa. As a result of welding carried out with a linear speed of 1.2 kJ/mm (A) and a linear speed of 0.7 kJ/mm (B) in the welds was fine ferrite microstructure (Fig. 1b) and martensite (Fig. 1c). Hardness distributions were measured on the cross-section of the joint along the horizontal and vertical measuring lines (Fig. 2a) according to the Vickers’s method at the load of 10 N, with a frequency of every 1 mm.

| Chemical composition (in mass %) |
|-----------------|-----|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S960-QC         | 0.11| 0.25| 1.20| 0.020| 0.010| 0.07| CEV=C+Mn/6+(Cr+Mo+V)/5+ (Cu+Ni)/15 |

Strength characteristics of the tested joints were determined in the uniaxial tension test on a modernized testing machine UTS-100, equipped in the automated control system and results recording. Uniaxial tension test was carried out on the fivefold, flat specimens, cut out from the appropriate zones of the welded joint, along the weld axis (Fig. 2b). References from A1 to A4 correspond respectively to the specimens cut out from the weld material (WM), heat affected zone of the material at the fusion line (HAZFL), heat affected zone at the end of the normalization zone (HAZN), material at the end of the heat affected zone (HAZE) and base material (BM). During the uniaxial tension tests performance, $P$ force signals and extension of the measuring part of the specimen, $\Delta u$, were recorded in time.

Fracture toughness was determined on SENB specimens of dimensions: 8x16x64 mm (av/W = 0.5) on MTS-250 testing machine, equipped with the automated control system and results recording. The $a_0$ is crack length, which include notch and fatigue pre-crack. The planes $a_0$ are placed according to A1-A4.

Tests at the minus temperatures were performed in a thermal chamber in the evaporated nitrogen environment. Accuracy
of maintaining the set temperature during test was \( \pm 0.5 \, ^\circ\text{C} \). During fracture toughness determination the load signal, \( P \), specimen diffraction at the load point, \( u_{\text{ext}} \), crack opening \( u_{\text{COD}} \) and potential change, \( U \) were recorded in time. Critical value of fracture toughness was determined according to the ASTM E1820-05 (2000).

In the case of ductile fracture mechanism, the critical value of \( J \) integral, \( J_c \), was determined on a single specimen using the potential change method to determine the crack growth and to draw up the \( J_c \)-curve [1]. In the case of brittle fracture, preceded by plasticity area in front of the crack tip, the critical value of the \( J \) integral was calculated according to the formula:

\[
J_c = \frac{2A_c}{B(W - a_0)}
\]  

(1)

In eq. (1) \( A_c \) is deformation energy at the moment of cracking, calculated on a basis of the graph \( P = f(u_{\text{ext}}) \).

The critical values \( J_c \) were converted into units of the stress intensity factor:

\[
K_{jc} = \sqrt{\frac{JcE}{(1-\nu^2)}}
\]  

(2)

where: \( E \) is Young’s modulus, \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio.

3. TESTS RESULTS

The hardness distribution for joints with linear welding energy (LWE) 1.2 kJ/mm is shown in figure 3a. The lowest hardness (~170 HV10) is observed in the weld material. With increasing distance from the axis of the weld hardness gradually increases reaching a value of 360-370 HV10 in the base material. In the joint of LWE = 0.7 kJ / mm, weld material hardness is close to the level of the weld material (Fig. 3b). The minimum level of hardness (~250 HV10) was recorded in the material HAZFL. Next, with increasing distance from the axis of the weld showed a gradual increase in hardness of the material to a level corresponding to the base material. Vertical lines marked the place, where the notches are made in the SENB specimens for determining fracture toughness. From these places also were cut out the flat specimens to determine the strength characteristics (sch. 2b).
The change of hardness in the thickness direction of the joints A and B are shown in the fig. 4. In the weld material of the joint A hardness increases slightly from the face to the root (Fig. 4a). In the zone with distance 8 mm from the axis of the weld the nature of the hardness change is not regular. In the zones which are located at a distance from the axis of 8-18 mm, the hardness value remains approximately constant at thickness, and increases along with the distance from the weld. At a distance of more than 18 mm from the weld axis a significant change in hardness was not observed, indicating no thermal effect on the base material.

In the joint B hardness distributions in the weld material are comparable to the base material (Fig. 4b). The lowest level of hardness was observed in the material near the fusion line. Next, as the distance from the weld, hardness in areas increases and at 10 mm reaches a level appropriate to the base material.

The measurements of hardness helped to establish the width of the heat affected zone in the joints: in the joint A (LWE= 1.2 kJ/mm) it is twice more than in the joint B (LWE= 0.7 kJ/mm). Measurements of hardness allowed to determine in the joints the zones of low hardness, which is expected to be a low level of strength characteristics. On the basis of hardness measurements were selected areas, in which mechanical properties and fracture toughness would determined.

For the joint A (LWE = 1.2 kJ / mm) the lowest yield stress, $R_y$, and ultimate strength, $R_m$, at the same time of the highest elongation value, $\varepsilon(R_m)$, obtained in the weld material (Tab. 2). In the following zones the strength characteristics values of $R_y$ and $R_m$ increase, and the level of $\varepsilon(R_m)$ decreases. In the case of the joint B, (LWE = 0.7 kJ/mm) the lowest level of strength characteristics, $R_y$ and $R_m$, and the highest $\varepsilon(R_m)$ has HAZFL material (Table 2). For WM, HAZN level of $R_y$ and $R_m$ increases, and $\varepsilon(R_m)$ - decreases. The highest levels of $R_y$ and $R_m$ at the lowest $\varepsilon(R_m)$ in both joints correspond to the HAZE material. The results shown in Tab. 2 demonstrate that the welding regime B allows to higher strength characteristics of material for all areas of joint, than the of regime A.

**Fig. 4. Distribution of hardness in the thickness direction:**
(a) joint A; (b) joint B

| Linear welding energy | A: LWE=1.2 kJ/mm | B: LWE=0.7 kJ/mm |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Joint zone            | BM   | WM  | HAZFL | HAZN | HAZE | BM   | WM  | HAZFL | HAZN | HAZE |
| $E, (GPa)$            | 185  | 190 | 192   | 193  | 190  | 178  | 187 | 185   | 191  |
| $R_y, (MPa)$          | 1005 | 535 | 607   | 670  | 1125 | 850  | 678 | 963   | 1183 |
| $R_m, (MPa)$          | 1090 | 667 | 728   | 862  | 1180 | 1090 | 912 | 975   | 1208 |
| $n$                   | 55   | 13.3| 16.37 | 13.16| 85   | 14.2 | 11.33| 123   | 111  |
| $\varepsilon(R_m),(\%)$ | 3.1 | 16  | 8.5   | 5.8  | 2.5  | 5.1  | 6.2 | 2.7   | 2.2  |

**Fig. 5. The change of strength characteristics on hardness**

*Tab. 2. The mechanical properties of welded joints materials*

Depending on the critical values of fracture toughness $K_{fc}$ of test temperature for the material from different weld joints A and B shown in Figs. 6a and 6b, and for the base material in Fig. 6c. At room temperature the weld material in the joint A, with microstructure of fine ferrite (Fig. 1b), has high fracture toughness (~ 300 MPam$^{1/2}$, Fig. 6a).
Fracture toughness of the joint B and A is comparable, the level of the strength characteristics is higher in the joint B, which indicates that generally the joint B is stronger than the joint A, especially for temperatures lower than -20 °C. Nevertheless, the fracture toughness of the joint A and B is comparable, the level of the strength characteristics is higher in the joint B, which indicates that generally the joint B is better. Tensile tests carried out on specimens without notches with joints A and B showed that the joint B strength is higher of ~ 15% [5].

4. SUMMARY

The mechanical properties and fracture toughness of the material from the different zones of welded joints made of high-strength ferritic steel was determined. Welding with higher LWE (1.2 kJ/mm) leads to the formation of a fine-grained ferritic microstructure in WM of strength characteristics level ~0.5Rm and 0.5Rm relative to BM. Fracture toughness of WM is a bit higher than BM. Welding of the lower LWE = 0.7 kJ/mm leads to the formation of the martensite microstructure in the WM of strength characteristics level ~0.85Rm and 0.85Rm relative to BM. However, fracture toughness in this case is low: 0.5Kc BM for temperature [-60 °C] and 0.8Kc BM for T = -100 °C.

The weakest link in terms of fracture toughness in both joints is HAZFL material. Lower boundaries of the scattered data of Kc for the HAZFL material in both joints are similar for T ≤ -20 °C. Nevertheless, the fracture toughness of the joint A and B is comparable, the level of the strength characteristics is higher in the joint B, which indicates that generally the joint B is better. Tensile tests carried out on specimens without notches with joints A and B showed that the joint B strength is higher of ~ 15% [5].
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