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Surface-Modified Graphene Oxide with Compatible Interface Enhances Poly-L-Lactic Acid Bone Scaffold
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Graphene oxide (GO) usually serves as a reinforce phase in polymer because of its superior mechanical strength and high specific surface area. In this work, GO was grafted with L-lactic acid monomer (denoted as GO@PLLA) to overcome the aggregation in matrix and then incorporated into the poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) scaffold fabricated by selective laser sintering. In hybrid scaffold, GO@PLLA exhibited uniform dispersion in the matrix. Furthermore, mechanical interlock between GO@PLLA and PLLA matrix formed and reinforced the interface bonding. On the other hand, the heterogeneous distributed GO acted as effective nucleating agent and resultantly enhanced the crystallization. Results showed that the tensile and compressive strength of scaffolds increased by 143.3% and 127.6%, respectively. Meanwhile, the scaffold exhibited an increased degradation rate of 37.9%, which could be attributed to the abundant hydrophilic functional groups on GO. Moreover, the scaffold exhibited favorable bioactivity and biocompatibility. Herein, the developed hybrid scaffold showed potential capacity for bone tissue engineering.

1. Introduction

Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) has been receiving intensive attention in tissue engineering due to its especially favorable biocompatibility and degradability [1, 2]. However, its insufficient mechanical properties handicap its profound application in bone tissue engineering [3–5]. Incorporating reinforcement phases to obtain a composite material is a feasible method to overcome this issue [6–8]. Nanofillers such as graphene oxide [9, 10], carbon nanotubes [11, 12], diamond nanoparticles [13, 14], and magnesium oxide nanoparticles [15–17] have been introduced into PLLA matrix to improve the mechanical performance. Amongst them, GO as a reinforcement phase was used to enhance the polymer due to its unique mechanical strength, including a strength of approximately 130 GPa and modulus of approximately 1 TPa [18]. In addition, the large specific surface area of GO is also conducive to its mechanical reinforcing effect [19]. What is more, GO exhibits suitable biological properties due to its abundant functional groups on the surface [20]. Nevertheless, the nanoreinforced effect of GO in polymer matrix was severely weakened because of its easy agglomeration in matrix [21, 22].

Surface modification is an effective strategy to promote the dispersion of reinforcement phase in polymer [23–25]. Lactic acid monomer possesses carboxyl and hydroxyl double functional groups, which could bond with the active functional groups of GO. Thus, lactic acid monomer could be grafted on GO, which then polymerized into PLLA chain through liner condensation [26]. GO grafted with PLLA (denoted as GO@PLLA) was expected to mix well with PLLA matrix [27, 28]. More significantly, GO@PLLA can intertwine with polymer matrix, which may form the mechanical interlock between matrix and GO@PLLA, thus effectively
enhancing the interfacial bonding strength. For instance, Sun and He [29] reported that GO grafted with poly (D-lactide) (GO-g-PDLA) by the polymerization method. Subsequently, PLLA/GO-g-PDLA nanocomposites were prepared and enhanced the interfacial compatibility between GO-g-PLDA and PLLA. Zhang et al. [30] proposed an approach to graft GO with poly (ethylene glycol) and then fabricated the composites nanofibrous scaffold via electrospinning technique, which exhibited enhanced the tensile strength and wettability.

Herein, GO@PLLA was prepared via in situ polycondensation method. GO@PLLA was then incorporated into PLLA to prepare hybrid scaffolds by selective laser sintering (SLS) [31]. Comparing with traditional methods, such as fused deposition modeling [32], electrospinning technique [33], and foaming technique [34], SLS exhibits great advantages including precise control of porous structure and personalized customization of bone scaffold. Then, the microstructure, mechanical properties, and degradation properties were evaluated. The enhancement mechanism was discussed and clarified. Furthermore, cell adhesion and proliferation were performed to verify the hybrid scaffolds as a potential candidate for bone regeneration.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. GO was purchased from Chinese Academy of Sciences Chengdu organic chemistry Co. Ltd., China. PLLA powder (2.0 D/Lg, \( M_w \): 150 kDa) was provided by Shandong Academy of Pharmaceutical Sciences Pilot Plant, China. The L-lactic acid aqueous solution (90%, \( M_w \): 90.08 kDa) was procured from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co. Ltd.

2.2. Preparation of GO@PLLA. GO@PLLA was prepared by in situ melt polycondensation in vacuum autoclave. The process to prepare GO@PLLA was demonstrated in Figure 1. A certain amount of GO (0.5 g) was added into the L-lactic acid aqueous solution (100 mL). The mixed solution was magnetically stirred 30 min under room temperature and then sonicated for 60 min to ensure GO homogeneously dispersed in the solution, followed by the adding of zinc oxide (5 mg) into the solution as the initiator. The polycondensation process was executed in vacuum autoclave accompanied by magnetic stirring and the reaction at 120°C for 12 h. After the polycondensation was completed, the condensation product was dissolved in excessive ethyl alcohol and centrifuged under the speed of 3000 r/min. Abovementioned process was repeated until the unreacted substances were removed. The final production was dried at 55°C under vacuum until weight unchanged.

2.3. GO@PLLA Characterization. The variation of chemical structure of GO@PLLA was obtained by X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS; Thermo ESCALAB 250XI, USA). The thermal stability was evaluated by a thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA, 209 F3 Tarsus Netzsch). Each sample was heated from room temperature to 800°C at a rate of 10°C/min in nitrogen environment. After keeping for 3 min to eliminate heat history, the samples were cooled to room temperature. The weight loss was recorded. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR; Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer) spectrometer was employed to measure the functional groups of composites within the range of 4000 to 400 cm\(^{-1}\). Raman spectra of the composites were acquired by a Raman microscope system (LabRam HR Evolution, Horiba) equipped with a laser wavelength of 532 nm and laser power of 20 mW. The dispersions of GO and GO@PLLA in alcohol were observed via solubility test. 0.5 mg GO and GO@PLLA were, respectively, added into 50 mL alcohol to prepare homogenous suspension with stirring and ultrasonic. The suspension state was observed immediately and static after 12 hours.

2.4. Preparation PLLA and Its Hybrid Scaffolds. PLLA, PLLA/GO (1.0 wt.%), and PLLA/GO@PLLA (1.0 wt.%) composite powders were prepared through a series of processes involving dissolving, magnetic stirring, sonicating, centrifuging, and vacuum drying. A self-designed 3D printing system was used to prepare the scaffolds. The preparation procedure was as follows: the scaffold model was imported into 3D printing system after designing and then converted to STL files. Consequently, the laser beam scanned the printing area selectively layer by layer according to the scaffold model. The 3D printing was performed at a series of optimum parameters: laser power 3.5 W, scanning speed 180 mm/s, scanning interval of 0.1 mm, and powder-bed depth of 0.1 mm. The scaffolds were obtained with approximately 600 \( \mu \)m pore size and 450 \( \mu \)m strut size.

2.5. Microstructural Characterization. The crystallization of PLLA, PLLA/GO, and PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds were analyzed on a differential scanning calorimetry (DSC; 214Polyma, Netzsch) accompany with a 50 mL/min nitrogen pumping. The composites (about 10 mg) were heated from room temperature to 200°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min. X-ray diffraction spectra of PLLA, PLLA/GO, and PLLA/GO@PLLA composites were acquired by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD; X'Pert PRO MPD). In detail, the specimen of the scaffolds was cut to thin slices (10 × 20 × 15 mm\(^3\)) and was scanned at the diffraction ranging from 10 to 80° at a scanning speed of 2°/min. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra within a reflectance mode of 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\) were obtained to determine the surface properties of composite scaffolds. Raman spectrometer was used to detect the functional group of scaffolds with a wavelength of 532 nm at the range from 400 and 2500 cm\(^{-1}\).

The brittle fracture surface morphologies of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM; Nova 450). The specimens were coated with gold for 150 s using a high-vacuum sputter coater ion sputter before SEM observation.

2.6. Mechanical Properties Evaluation. The universal testing machine (WD-D1, LTD, China) was used to evaluate the mechanical properties of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds. Tensile tests were performed at a speeding of 2 mm/min. All tensile specimens were manufactured to dumbbell shapes (13 × 5 × 2 mm\(^3\)). Compression tests were detected at a speed.
of 2 mm/min according to GB/T1041-92 standard. Accordingly, all compression specimens were printed to square shapes with 5 mm. Three times testing were performed during the measurement.

2.7. In Vitro Degradation Measurement. The degradation behavior of PLLA and its hybrid scaffold was evaluated in the phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution at room temperature. The initial specimens of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds were weighed by a balancing scale as the control group. At 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks, the specimens were taken out and washed with distilled water to erase the residual. After that, the specimens were dried in a vacuum oven at 55°C for 12 h and the residual weight of specimens was weighted. The residual weight fraction (Φ) was calculated by the following:

\[
\Phi = \frac{W_i}{W_r} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

where \(W_i\) and \(W_r\) are the initial weight and the residual weight of specimens after incubation, respectively.

2.8. Cell Tests. MG-63 cells were used to perform the cell culture experiments. In detail, the cells are maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium at 37°C in a CO2 condition. The culture medium was changed every 2 days. Prior to cell culture on the scaffolds, the scaffold samples (10 × 10 × 2 mm³) were washed with PBS solution and sterilized with ultraviolet light for 0.5 h and then placed in 12-well plates. After that, cell suspension at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/mL was seeded on the surface of the scaffolds. Meanwhile, enough culture medium was added to each well of the plate. The medium was changed each day during culture period. After culturing for 1, 4, and 7 days, the samples were taken out and rinsed thrice with PBS to remove residue and then gradient dehydrated with ethanol. The dehydrated samples were dried in a vacuum oven at 55°C for 24 h. Finally, the cell-cultured samples were gold-coated for 2 min to observe cell morphology via SEM.

Live/dead cell staining assay was executed to evaluate cell spreading on the scaffold samples. After culturing for 1, 4, and 7 days, the samples were washed gently with PBS and immersed in 4% formaldehyde at 37°C for 20 min. The fixed cells were stained with 2 µg/mL calcein acetoxymethyl ester and 1 µg/mL ethidium homodimer at 37°C for 20 min, respectively. Then, the stained cells were dehydrated gradually with ethanol and dried under vacuum. Finally, the scaffolds fixed with stained cells were imaged by fluorescence microscope (Olympus, BX63).

Cell viability on PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds were evaluated through cell counting kit-8 assay (CCK-8). The MG-63 cell was cultured on the scaffolds for the desired time before the CCK-8 experiment. After the culture medium was removed, CCK-8 reagent was added into each plate. Cells were further incubated at 37°C for another 4 h. Finally, the optical density (OD) value at 450 nm was recorded by a spectrophotometric microplate reader.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. All the experiment was performed independently in triplicate to exhibit the difference between the different groups. The data was represented as means ± standard deviation with the one-way ANOVA. Data with *p < 0.05 present significant difference.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characteristic of GO and GO@PLLA. XPS was employed to corroborate the changes of chemical functional groups for GO@PLLA. The peeks of functional groups of GO were exhibited in Figure 2(a). There were five peaks at 284.5 eV, 285.3 eV, 287.0 eV, 288.2 eV, and 289.3 eV, which were assigned to the functional groups of C=O-C-C, C-OH, C-O, C=O, and O-C=O, respectively [24]. The spectrum of GO@PLLA was presented in Figure 2(b). There were six peaks. The component of -OH of GO was reduced.
significantly. Conversely, the components of C-H and O=C=O of GO@PLLA were increased due to the grafted PLLA chains. Furthermore, the intensity of C-OH peak exhibited a relative decrease, while the intensity of C-H and O-C=O enhanced in some degree.

The thermal stabilities of GO, PLLA, and GO@PLLA were tested by TGA, as presented in Figure 2(c). For GO, the weight loss at the range of 50 to 150 °C was ascribed to the evaporation of moisture content [35]. At the following stage, the mass loss was mainly attributed to that the surface functional groups decomposed into CO, CO₂, and H₂O [36]. As for GO@PLLA, the weight loss of GO@PLLA which occurred at 50 to 160 °C was caused by the evaporation of water. Subsequently, the pyrolysis turned slow due to the successfully grafted PLLA chain on graphene. In addition, it could be seen that the PLLA chain grafted on GO was pyrolyzed completely before 400 °C, which is consistent with the obtained TGA curve.

Figure 2(d) exhibits the FTIR spectrum of PLLA, GO, and GO@PLLA. For the spectra of GO, the characteristic peaks located at 3430, 1728, and 1632 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the O-H, C=O, and C-O stretching vibrations, respectively [37]. As for GO@PLLA, the peak intensity around 3430 cm⁻¹ decreased significantly. It was believed that the esterification reaction between functional groups of L-lactic acid and GO resulted in the reduction of hydroxyl groups. At the same time, the peak position of C-O stretching vibrations was gradually blue shifted from 1728 to 1750 cm⁻¹, which could be ascribed to the increased ester groups caused by the graft of PLLA chains on GO. In addition, some typical resonances peaks of PLLA appeared at the spectrum of GO@PLLA. Therefore, it was demonstrated that PLLA chains in situ grafted on GO.

The conformational changes of GO@PLLA were assessed by Raman spectroscopy, as exhibited in Figure 2(e). For the Raman spectra of GO, the bands of D and G around 1342.1 and 1581.2 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the vibration of sp² and sp³ bonded carbon atoms, respectively [38]. As for GO@PLLA, the peaks located at 1345.6 and 1591 cm⁻¹ indicated a blue shift of 3.5 and 9.8 cm⁻¹, respectively, which was closely related with the reaction of PLLA chain and GO [39].

The sedimentation experiment was executed to test the dispersity of GO and GO@PLLA in anhydrous ethanol, with obtained images demonstrated in Figure 2(f). GO and GO@PLLA powders formed uniform dispersion in anhydrous ethanol after magnetic stirring and ultrasonic. However, GO precipitated from the solution and a layer of aggregation appeared after 12 h. As a comparison, GO@PLLA powders remained stable at this condition. Therefore, GO@PLLA powders were promising for potential biomedical applications.
still presented uniform dispersion state in suspension. This indicated that a strong interaction between organic solvent and GO@PLLA improved the dispersion.

Based on above results, it was confirmed that the PLLA chains in situ grafted on GO successfully. GO provided abundant active sites for the grafted process due to its two-dimensional nanosheet structure. Furthermore, GO served as initiator accelerated the reaction between macromolecule and surface-active groups. According to some literature, the monomer grafted on the GO surface induced by initiation or crystal during the initial step [36, 40]. Meanwhile, the formed free polycondensations coupled with the functional groups on GO. At last, GO was covered with PLLA chains with the continuous polycondensation reaction.

3.2. PLLA and Nanohybrid Scaffolds Properties. The SLS system and the as-prepared scaffold as shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). The uniform dispersion of the nanofiller and good interfacial compatibility between the nanofiller and the matrix play a positive role for the composites. In this study, dispersion of GO in matrix was observed via SEM, as shown in Figures 3(c)–3(f). The neat PLLA scaffold exhibited relatively smooth surface morphology, while PLLA hybrid scaffolds showed rougher surface morphologies. As showed in Figure 3(d), the aggregation of GO was observed and disjointed with the matrix, which might be attributed to the poor interfacial compatibility. For the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold, the well-distributed GO@PLLA firmly embedded in matrix, which exhibited enhanced interfacial bonding with PLLA matrix, as shown in Figure 3(e).

The FTIR spectrum of PLLA and hybrid scaffolds is presented Figure 4(a). The peak at 1753 cm⁻¹ was shifted to high wavenumber, indicating slightly interaction due to the intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonding between GO and the PLLA matrix. In addition, the shift of the peak was more significant for PLLA/GO@PLLA as compared to PLLA/GO. Raman spectroscopy was used to diagnose the chemical structure, as shown in Figure 4(b). The prominent peaks of the PLLA scaffold at 1769, 1453, and 873 cm⁻¹ were observed, which assigned to C=O stretching, -CH₃ asymmetric deformation mode, and the C-COO stretching, respectively. In PLLA hybrid scaffolds, the peak located at 1596 and 1345 cm⁻¹ represented an intense tangential mode and a disorder induced mode of GO. For the PLLA/GO scaffold, the peaks of C=O stretching and -CH₃ asymmetric deformation mode shifted to 1772 and 1455 cm⁻¹, respectively. It might be ascribed to that strong hydrogen interaction between PLLA and GO [41]. Furthermore, the upper shift was observed in the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold, which was caused by the stronger interaction between PLLA and GO@PLLA.

DSC was employed to evaluate the crystallization behavior of PLLA and its nanohybrids under nonisothermal condition. The second heating range from 30 to 200°C at the heating rate of 10°C was displayed in Figure 4(c). The melting temperature \(T_m\) of PLLA, PLLA/GO, and PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds was about 176.7, 178.5, and 180.1°C, respectively. Obviously, after incorporating the nanofiller, the location of endothermic peak was slightly shifted. It might be attributed to mobility of the PLLA chains was restrained due to the effective combination between PLLA and GO [42]. Moreover, the thermal stability of PLLA/GO@PLLA was higher than PLLA/GO, which might be ascribed to uniform dispersion of GO@PLLA and interfacial bonding with PLLA matrix [43].

The influence of adding GO@PLLA on the crystalline structure of PLLA composites was analyzed by XRD, as presented in Figure 4(d). For the PLLA scaffold, two peaks typically located at 16.3° and 18.6° exhibited the crystallization in a form [44]. After incorporating the nanofiller into the PLLA scaffold, two peaks at 15.0 and 22.4° were observed, which belong to (001, 210) reflection peaks of GO, respectively. Interestingly, it could be observed that the diffraction peaks of the PLLA hybrid scaffold blue shifted with incorporation of GO and GO@PLLA [45]. Meanwhile, the intensity of characteristic peak at 16.8° increased for PLLA/GO@PLLA, which indicated an improved crystallinity due to stronger bonding between GO@PLLA and PLLA matrix.

3.3. Mechanical Properties. Bone scaffolds should possess suitable mechanical properties to withstand stress conduc- tion in bone defect so as to provide support for the new bone growth [46, 47]. In this study, the tensile and compressive experiments were conducted to evaluate the mechanical strength of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds. The stress-strain curves and tensile properties of the scaffolds are presented in Figure 5(a). For the PLLA/GO scaffolds, the tensile strength increased from 8 to 16 MPa, and the tensile modulus increased from 100 to 120 MPa, respectively. As for PLLA/GO@PLLA, the tensile strength improved from 6 to 8 MPa and the compression modulus increased to 80 MPa. More obviously, the compressive strength and modulus of the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold further improved to 16 MPa and 190 MPa, respectively. The mechanical properties of the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold are affected by many factors. Firstly, the grafted PLLA chains promoted homogenous dispersion of GO in the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold. Furthermore, GO@PLLA interwined with PLLA matrix to form mechanical interlock, which promoted the better interfacial bonding. As a result, it suppressed the crack extension during tensile process through transferring the interior stress to GO sheets [48, 49], which could effectively bear the external force. In addition, the crystallinity of the PLLA scaffold has been improved after adding the GO@PLLA. Base on the above, significant improvement of mechanical properties was acquired. In the PLLA/GO scaffold, the GO tended to aggregate in PLLA matrix due to its higher specific surface energy, which might be the main reason for the undesirable enhancement.

3.4. In Vitro Degradation Behavior. The in vitro degradation property of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds were evaluated. Figure 6(a) exhibits residual weight fraction of the scaffold during immersion test. The mass loss of PLLA reached 7.95% after 4-week immersion. Compared with the PLLA scaffold, the hybrid scaffolds exhibit obviously promoted
The degradation rate. The mass loss of PLLA/GO and PLLA/-GO@PLLA scaffolds was 9.80% and 10.97%, respectively. The result indicated that GO expedited the degradation of the PLLA scaffold. The pH values of the residual PBS solution soaked with scaffolds were detected, with results shown in Figure 6(b). Corresponding to the initial PBS solution, the pH value decreased from 7.4 to 7.2, 7.12, and 7.1, respectively, for PLLA, PLLA/GO, and PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds.

The surface morphologies of the immersed scaffolds were observed via SEM to further characterize the degradation behavior. Figures 6(c)–6(e) show the surface morphologies of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds after immersion for 4 weeks. The PLLA scaffold exhibited the relatively smooth surface with some tiny holes. The PLLA/GO scaffold showed relatively coarse surface. Some cracks concentrated in a relatively concentrated area, which may due to the aggregation of GO. Comparing with the PLLA/GO scaffold, the PLLA/-GO@PLLA scaffold shown a relatively uniform corrosion morphology, and the erosion was much more serious. The in vitro degradation of scaffolds was accelerated after adding GO, which might be explained by the hydrophilicity of hybrid scaffolds [50]. The addition of GO improved the hydrophilicity of PLLA scaffold, thereby the surface wettability of scaffold becomes better. Furthermore, uniformly
Figure 4: (a) FTIR, (b) Raman spectra, (c) DSC heating curves, and (d) XRD analysis of PLLA, PLLA/GO, and PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds.

Figure 5: (a) Tensile stress-strain curves, (b) tensile strength, (c) tensile modulus, (d) compressive stress-strain curves, (e) compressive strength, and (f) compressive modulus of PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds.
dispersed GO and PLLA formed more two-phase interfaces in the scaffold, which provided more degrade sites and solution exchange channels for the PBS solution. It benefits the influx of the aqueous media into scaffolds [51, 52].

3.5. Cytocompatibility. The SEM images of cells adhesion on different PLLA scaffolds after 1, 4, and 7 days were present in Figure 7. It could be seen that the cells fixed and spread on the scaffolds with culture time increasing. After culturing for 4 days, more cells and extracellular matrix exhibited typical morphologies and covered the surface of hybrid scaffolds. With the culturing time increased to 7 days, the cells on the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds appeared mature and plumper shape [53]. It was indicated that incorporating GO@PLLA into scaffolds benefited cell adhesion and spreading.

Live and dead staining experiment was performed to further evaluate the biocompatibility of the PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds. The fluorescence images were presented in Figure 8. Almost no dead cells were observed in all scaffolds. Compared to PLLA and PLLA/GO scaffolds, more live cells were found in the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds during the same period. It was indicated that the incorporation of GO@PLLA exhibited beneficial factor for cell growth. The CCK-8 assay was performed to quantitatively investigate the osteoblasts proliferation on the scaffolds, the results were present Figure 8(b). Obviously, the OD values increased gradually with culture period increasing for all scaffolds, and the addition of GO promoted cells proliferation. Notably, the OD values of the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold have significant difference in comparison to the PLLA scaffold throughout culture period, which showed higher cell viability. It was indicated that GO have intrinsic biocompatibility. Moreover, good dispersion in matrix after GO grafted with the PLLA chains, which may provide a suitable microenvironment for cells proliferation [54, 55].

Figure 6: (a) Weight loss, (b) pH value, and SEM morphologies of (c) PLLA, (d) PLLA/GO, and (e) PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffolds after immersion in PBS solution.

Figure 7: SEM micrographs of MG63 cells on PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds after 1, 4, and 7 days of culture.
4. Conclusions

In this study, GO@PLLA was prepared through in situ polycondensation. PLLA and its hybrid scaffolds were successfully fabricated via SLS. GO@PLLA could uniformly dispersed in PLLA matrix. Moreover, stronger interface bonding was formed between GO@PLLA and PLLA matrix. As a result, the mechanical properties of the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold were significantly enhanced. Meanwhile, the weight loss tests indicated that GO enhanced the degradation rate of the PLLA scaffold. The cytocompatibility experiments showed that the PLLA/GO-p-PLLA scaffold exhibited favorable biological performance. Therefore, the PLLA/GO@PLLA scaffold was a potential substitute for bone tissue engineering.
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