Factor Structure and Internal Consistency on a Reduced Version of the Revised Test of Need for Cognitive Closure
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The need for cognitive closure is a construct postulated by Kruglanski that explains the motivational aspects which influence decision-making and its impact on the social environment. Initially, it was assessed through a unidimensional scale, later criticized for its poor satisfactory reliability and validity. Regarding these criticisms, Pierro and Kruglanski developed a new 14-item scale to measure two dimensions, which were not previously evaluated: urgency tendency and permanence tendency. Although the Revised Test of Need for Cognitive Closure is more economical in terms of assessment time, it would be optimal to develop a reduced test that can assess faster while maintaining validity and reliability. The present research aims to reduce the Revised Test of Need for Cognitive Closure scale to the Argentinian context. To this end, we worked on a non-experimental design, assessing this scale within a sample of 690 Argentinian university students (Women = 81.16%, Men = 18.84%), and proceeded to perform reliability, as well as confirmatory factor analysis, convergent validity, and factorial invariance analysis. The results indicate a bi-factorial structure of a Need for Cognitive Closure instrument with eight items and two dimensions: urgency tendency ($\alpha = 0.76$) and permanence tendency ($\alpha = 0.64$), suggesting good reliability in both of them. In addition, well convergent validity was checked with other validated instruments, and finally, the factor loadings were shown to be invariant. In conclusion, it was demonstrated the reliability and validity of reducing the Revised Test of Need for Cognitive Closure in our social environment.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Social cognition is a discipline of social psychology dedicated to the study of the interaction between thought processes and social interaction (Kruglanski, 2013). One theory from the perspective of social cognition that explores these psychological phenomena is the need for cognitive closure (NFC; Kruglanski, 1989), which enables not only a better understanding of how we reason and form judgments and attitudes but also to understand better how we relate and interact with people, how we function in groups and interact with groups other than our own.
The NFC is a theory that explains the motivational aspects of decision making, as well as the relationship between this aspect and the cognitive properties of the individual in the process of knowledge acquisition (Kruglanski, 2013). The construct represents a motivational tendency whose magnitude is determined by the benefits and costs of closure relative to the benefits and costs of non-closure (Roets et al., 2015). Thus, it is possible to understand NFC as the desire of individuals to seek and maintain an answer to a problem (Kruglanski et al., 2006).

Epistemic motivations are implicated in people's tendency to be closed-minded or open-minded (Kruglanski et al., 2018). Various NFC researches have enabled a better understanding of how epistemic motivation is relevant for human knowledge formation, influencing a broad spectrum of cognitive and social phenomena (Kossowska et al., 2018). Kruglanski and Webster (1996) described motivation toward closure as a continuous variable, in which there is a high need to achieve closure at one end and a high need to avoid closure at the other end. These motivational tendencies, in turn, are defined as two continuous variables (DeBacker and Crowson, 2009). The first variable is associated with motivation toward nonspecific closure, ranging from a strong desire to obtain it to a strong desire to avoid it. On the other hand, the second variable is motivation toward specific closure, which ranges from a powerful need to possess it to a powerful need to avoid it (Kruglanski et al., 2018).

To measure NFC dispositionally, Webster and Kruglanski (1994) developed a scale, which provides, using 42 items with a six-point Likert-type format, the possibility of analyzing the construct in the adult population. The authors made this scale with just one dimension through five main aspects. However, Neuberg et al. (1997), in a review of the NFC scale, pointed out on the one hand that the scale showed little inter-item homogeneity, while on the other hand, a factor analysis revealed that the instrument had a multifactorial structure.

Since the study previously mentioned, Pierro and Kruglanski (2005) developed the Revised NFC Test (RT-NFC). Using a factorial analysis, they got a first-order factor composed of the NFC and two second-order factors: the urgency tendency and the permanence tendency (Jaume et al., 2015). Regarding the urgency tendency, this stipulates an inclination to quickly get information, which allows giving rise to closure, whereas the permanence tendency refers to maintaining closure, freezing the acquired knowledge (Roets, 2017). In addition, the RT-NFC specifies 14 items, being ostensibly narrower than the original scale with its 42 items (Pierro and Kruglanski, 2005). Finally, a significant correlation with the original test scores was demonstrated in the North American population \( r = 0.92; p < 0.01 \) and in the Italian population \( r = 0.93; p < 0.01 \) (Pierro and Kruglanski, 2005).

Need for cognitive closure is an individual construct that determines how people obtain and transmit information and judgments (Kruglanski et al., 2006). In this sense, NFC represents individual differences in the desire for a quick, definitive, and stable answer to a question (Kruglanski and Fishman, 2009). Thus, several studies demonstrate the relationship between NFC and intrapersonal and interpersonal communications, such as cognitive control (Szymowska and Kossowska, 2016), social cognition (Szymowska and Kossowska, 2017), and social behaviors (Brizi and Biraglia, 2021), among others. This construct also affects the process of knowledge construction as it is often influenced by external agents, considered as epistemic authorities, that modify individual judgments. Because of these external agents, individuals compensate for the possible presence of logical inconsistencies within their everyday lives, offering individuals a state of certainty and control over the shared reality (Kruglanski et al., 2009).

The NFC comes from the theory of motivated social cognition, which argues that cognitive activities are not exempt from a motivational basis (Kruglanski, 1989). Thus, for Kunda (1990), motivation is present in all the different phases of the cognitive process. These phenomena imply that the NFC impacts the social environment, demonstrating that decisions are not made in an aseptic and rational place outside all motivation but powerfully influenced by it (Kruglanski et al., 2013).

Concerning the last paragraph, there are studies which showed that the construct could serve an adaptive purpose, as it enables favoritism and loyalty within the group (Kruglanski et al., 2002), enhanced feelings of solidarity toward the in-group (Orehek et al., 2010), and stable transmission of cultural norms (Liviti et al., 2015). Despite this, it also could be a factor leading to culturally considered negative behaviors; such as intergroup hostility (Dugas et al., 2018), authoritarianism (De Keersmaecker et al., 2017), sexism (Pica et al., 2018), and prejudice in general (Van Hiel et al., 2004; Dhont et al., 2011; Burke et al., 2017; Baldner et al., 2019). That is why the NFC scale has been consistently correlated with constructs such as Right-Wing Authoritarianism (RWA; De Keersmaecker et al., 2017; Mawana et al., 2018), and Social Dominance Orientation (SDO; Van Hiel et al., 2004; Dhont et al., 2011).

On the other hand, although the RT-NFC is shorter than the original, contemporary times, characterized by acceleration and immediacy, demand new and faster evaluation forms than the old times. The scales elaborated with a great length require too much time to be answered, usually generating exhaustion in the respondents (Grillo Canelo, 2013). Because of this, the answers may be contaminated.

Therefore, the present research aims to explore the possibility of developing a reduction of the RT-NFC scale, obtaining the same results as with the initial set of items and thus preserving its psychometric properties.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Research Design**

We worked based on a non-experimental, cross-sectional design. In addition, to fulfill the proposed objectives, an ex post facto prospective single-group study was carried out (Montero and León, 2007) since its purpose was to analyze the relationships between social dominance orientation, right-wing authoritarianism, and the participants’ need for cognitive closure.

**Sample**

The sample was non-probabilistic, purposive, composed of 690 Argentinian university students (Women = 81.16%,...
Men = 18.84%). The reference population comprised students of 19 until 51 years of age, (Mage = 28.11; SDage = 5.73). Participants were students recruited during classes at the University of Buenos Aires. Participants did not receive any compensation for their participation. Responses were collected using paper and pencil. In addition, the inclusive criteria were composed of university students of the University of Buenos Aires who were more than 18 years old.

**Instruments**

Data were collected through a self-administered completed by pencil and paper evaluation instrument, ensuring the anonymity of the participants. The instrument consisted of:

**Revised Test for Need for Cognitive Closure**

The version adapted to the Argentinian context was used to assess the construct (Jaume et al., 2015), which includes the suggestions made by Horcajo et al. (2011). The scale is an adaptation of the Revised NFC Test (RT-NFC; Pierro and Kruglanski, 2005) and is composed of 14 items grouped in the dimensions: Urgency Tendency (NFC-U; \( \alpha = 0.79 \)) (e.g., “En caso de incertidumbre, prefiero tomar una decisión inmediata, sea la que sea”; “Cuando me encuentro frente a varias alternativas potencialmente válidas, me decido a favor de una rápidamente y sin vacilaciones”; “Prefiero decidir de acuerdo con la primera solución disponible, en vez de considerar en detalle qué decisión debería tomar”) and Permanence Tendency (NFC-P; \( \alpha = 0.70 \)) (e.g., “Me siento incómodo cuando no logro dar una respuesta rápida a un problema al que me enfrento”; “Cualquier solución a un problema es mejor que permanecer en un estado de incertidumbre”; “Prefiero actividades en las que está siempre claro qué es lo que hay que hacer y cómo hay que hacerlo”), which together make up the NFC construct. The response format is Likert-type with six anchors, depending on the degree of agreement of the participants, with 1 = Completamente en desacuerdo and 6 = Completamente de acuerdo.

**Social Dominance Orientation**

The version adapted to the Argentinian context was used (Etchezahar et al., 2014). This scale is composed of 14 items grouped into the dimensions: Group Dominance (SDO-DG; \( \alpha = 0.74 \)) (e.g., “Para salir adelante en la vida, algunas veces es necesario pasar por encima de otros grupos de personas”; “Los grupos superiores deberían dominar a los grupos inferiores”) and Opposition to equality (SDO-OI; \( \alpha = 0.83 \)) (e.g., “Habrá menos problemas si tratáramos a los diferentes grupos de manera más igualitaria”; “La igualdad entre grupos de personas debería ser nuestro ideal”), which together make up the SDO construct (\( \alpha = 0.85 \)). The response format is Likert-type with five anchors, depending on the degree of agreement of the participants, with 1 = Completamente en desacuerdo and 5 = Completamente de acuerdo.

**Right Wing Authoritarianism**

A reduced version of the right wing authoritarianism (RWA) scale composed of a six-item dimension was used to assess the construct (e.g., “Nuestro país necesita un líder poderoso que

**RESULTS**

**Outliers and Multivariate Normality**

We performed the robust Minimum Covariance Determinant test of Leys et al. (2018) to detect multivariate outliers, using the MASS package of Venables and Ripley (2002). Also, multivariate normality calculation was performed with the MVN package of Korkmaz et al. (2014). Confirmatory factor analysis and factorial invariance analysis were performed with the help of the Lavaan package of Rosseel (2012). On the other hand, correlations calculation and descriptive statistics were performed with the psych package by Revelle (2019). The said packages are part of the R Core Team (2020). Finally, the probability value \( p \leq 0.05 \) was used for all calculations performed.

The non-existence of multicollinearity was ascertained and, through the use of the Mardia (1970) test, it was found that the data did not represent multivariate normality, so we proceeded to evaluate the model fits through the Weighted Least Squares with Means and Variances adjusted (WLSMV), thus complying with the review of the recent literature discussion on the CFA estimator (Li, 2016).
According to the indications of Hu and Bentler (1999), we can consider a model as adequate when its fit takes the following values: Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) ≤ 0.08, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) ≤ 0.06, Comparative Fit Index (CFI) ≥ 0.95, Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) ≥ 0.95. Although other authors, for example, Marsh et al. (2004), highlight the importance of evaluating the complexity of the model and the sample size. Following the authors’ indications, the ideal fit indices for evaluating a simple model consisting of the SRMR and the χ²/df for the case of the sample size of the present study.

**Purification Measures**

Through an exploratory factorial analysis, the bi-factorial structure of the instrument was ascertained, which is in line with the study conducted by Jaume et al. (2015). Based on this, the first confirmatory factor analysis was performed with its 14 original items.

Based on suggestions for scale development (DeVellis, 2017), items were eliminated that (a) by inspection of modification indices did not load more on their desired factor; (b) exhibited a large number of standardized residuals > 2.58 with other items; (c) had standardized factor loadings < 0.50; (d) were highly redundant in terms of wording with other items; and (e) had extremely high standardized factor loadings (> 0.90). To preserve as much content coverage as possible (Smith et al., 2000), we analyzed the deleted items and noted that no content domains were removed from the main measure. Thus, the RT-NFC was reduced to 8 items and, using the method proposed by Saris et al. (2009), residual covariances were created for those items loading on the same factor so that the psychometric characteristics could be substantially improved.

After the purification measure, the item assignment is as follows. Urgency: (Item 1) Cuando estoy frente a varias alternativas potencialmente válidas, me decido a favor de una rápidamente y sin dudarlo, (Item 3) En situaciones de incertidumbre, prefiero tomar decisiones rápidas. (Item 5) Suelo tomar decisiones rápidas y sin pensar demasiado. (Item 7) Me gusta tomar decisiones rápidas. Permanence: (Item 2) Me siento muy incómodo cuando las cosas a mi alrededor no están en su sitio. (Item 4) Prefiero estar con personas que tienen las mismas ideas y gustos que yo. (Item 6) Me gustan más las actividades en las que está siempre claro qué es lo que hay que hacer y cómo hay que hacerlo. (Item 8) Prefiero cosas a las que estoy acostumbrado que aquéllas que no conozco y no puedo predecir.

Since high correlations were found between both dimensions, a second-order model and a uni-factor structure were tested. **Table 1** shows all the models developed. On the one hand, the original instrument, the RT-NFC-14-2F with two dimensions and 14 items, the NFC-8-2F with two dimensions and eight items, the NFC-8-SO with a second-order structure and eight items, and the NFC-8-1F with a single dimension and eight items. Although the uni-factor model obtained a superior model fit, it should be noted that the fits of the eight-item, two-factor model were also found to be in a suitable range according to the classification of Hu and Bentler (1999) and Marsh et al. (2004). Next, we assessed in sample A the correlations between the NFC-U factor of RT-NFC-14-2F and RT-NFC-8-2F (r = 0.952, p < 0.001) and, subsequently, between the NFC-U factor of RT-NFC-14-2F and RT-NFC-8-2F (r = 0.900, p < 0.001).

**Convergent Validity**

The convergent validity of the two-factor version was tested to assess whether the use of both dimensions would be justified, taking into account the favorable psychometric values of the uni-factor and bi-factor versions of the short form. For this analysis, Pearson correlations of NFC-U and NFC-P with SDO-DG, SDO-OI, and RWA were considered. **Table 2** shows the NFC-P factor has a correlation of medium value with RWA, while the correlation between NFC-U and RWA is a low value (Cohen, 1988). Comparing the correlations between the short and long versions of the instrument had with RWA and SDO, it is observed that these correlations were found to be in similar ranges.

**Factorial Invariance**

A multiple-group analysis was conducted about gender to analyze whether the model represented factorial invariance. **Table 3** shows the results of the analysis multiple-group, in which CFI and RMSEA changes were used to assess factorial invariance. It was found to be within appropriate ranges with ∆CFI ≥ −0.01 according to Cheung and Rensvold (2002) and ∆RMSEA ≥ 0.015 according to Chen (2007).

**Descriptive Statistics of the Psychometric Instruments**

Internal consistencies of RT-NFC-14-2F (sample A) were α = 0.84 for NFC-U and α = 0.73 for NFC-P. According to the Spearman–Brown formula (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994), the estimated reliability of the short form was α = 0.66 for NFC-U and α = 0.50 for NFC-P. As shown in **Table 4**, the values obtained by RT-NFC-8-2F were above these. Although the internal

---

**Table 1** Model adjustments of psychometric instruments, sample B (N = 339).

|                | χ² WLSMV | df | χ²/df | p     | RMSEA (90% CI) | SRMR | CFI | TLI |
|----------------|----------|----|-------|-------|----------------|------|-----|-----|
| RT-NFC-14-2F   | 155.574  | 76 | 2.047 | 0.000 | 0.056 (0.043–0.068) | 0.061 | 0.897 | 0.877 |
| RT-NFC-8-2F    | 20.731   | 17 | 1.219 | 0.239 | 0.025 (0.000–0.058) | 0.034 | 0.989 | 0.982 |
| RT-NFC-8-SO    | 20.731   | 17 | 1.219 | 0.239 | 0.025 (0.000–0.058) | 0.034 | 0.998 | 0.982 |
| RT-NFC-8-1F    | 7.176    | 10 | 0.718 | 0.070 | 0.000 (0.000–0.045) | 0.017 | 1.000 | 1.023 |

F, factor; SO, second order; χ² WLSMV – Scaled Chi-Square using Weighted Least Squares with Means and Variances adjusted; df, degrees of freedom; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; SRMR, standardized root mean square residual; CFI, comparative fit index; and TLI, Tucker lewis index.
addition, it is a reliable instrument for the Argentinian context, with eight items is within a suitable range according to the attempted. The results obtained show that an NFC model in the present study, a reduction of the RT-NFC scale was consistent of the NFC-P was found to be slightly below the value suggested by Smith et al. (2000) ($\alpha > 0.70$), it can be noted that other authors, e.g., Hinton (2014), consider an internal consistency of $\alpha > 0.50$ as adequate.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, a reduction of the RT-NFC scale was attempted. The results obtained show that an NFC model with eight items is within a suitable range according to the classification of Hu and Bentler (1999) and Marsh et al. (2004). In addition, it is a reliable instrument for the Argentinian context, since the NFC-U obtained an $\alpha = 0.76$ (see Table 4), which demonstrates good reliability similar to both the research of Horcajo et al. (2011) and Jaume et al. (2015), while on the other hand, the NFC-P presents an $\alpha = 0.64$ (see Table 4), which is an acceptable value and shows slightly below the study of Horcajo et al. (2011; $\alpha = 0.70$). Likewise, we were able to demonstrate a favorable analysis in terms of its bifactoriality (see Table 1), thus corroborating the data obtained by both Pierro and Kruglanski (2005) and Horcajo et al. (2011).

The correlations of the NFC with the other instruments used were significant, which also demonstrated the convergence validity of this model. Also, the association between NFC, SDO, and RWA has been shown (see Table 2). Initially, a positive relationship was found between NFC-U and NFC-P, and RWA, as well as between NFC-U and NFC-P, and SDO-DG, and a correlation between these instruments could be affirmed as in numerous investigations (e.g., Van Hiel et al., 2004; Dhont et al., 2011; De Keersmaecker et al., 2017; Makwana et al., 2018). Thus, it is possible to conclude that there is a relationship between attitudinal aspects with motivational aspects of individuals.

Additionally, for greater robustness to this study, the factorial invariance was evaluated, which shows to be within the appropriate ranges with a $\Delta$CFI $\geq -0.01$ according to Cheung and Rensvold (2002) and a $\Delta$RMSEA $\geq 0.015$ according to Chen (2007), suggesting that the loading factors are invariant between the groups of men and women.

Even though the practice of shortening measurement scales still often lacks methodological rigor, we followed the theoretical model, and we tested the fit model. Furthermore, a shortened version, the RT NFC-8, possesses similar properties to the original and offers the advantage of brevity. To do this, we complied with the methodology to develop new CMSs (composite measurement scales; Goetz et al., 2013), including consideration of different psychometric properties.

To improve the shortening process and the validity of our resulting RT NFC-8 scale, we follow the six steps for new CMSs (Goetz et al., 2013). Firstly, we documented the validity of the original RT-NFC and the aim of its shortening. Secondly, we took the conceptual model into account. In the third and fourth steps, we preserved the content validity and the psychometric properties. Fifthly, we documented the reasons for item selection.

**TABLE 2** Pearson correlations between RWA and SDO and the dimensions of the RT-NFC-14-2F (sample A), and RT-NFC-8-2F (sample B).

| Model fitting and model comparison for genders ($n = 339$). |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Model | Model adjustment | $\chi^2$ WLSMV | df | RMSEA | CFI | $\Delta \chi^2$ WLSMV | $\Delta$df | $\Delta p$ | $\Delta$RMSEA | $\Delta$CFI |
|-------|------------------|-----------------|----|-------|------|---------------------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|
| M1: Config. | WLSMV | 36.220 | 34 | 0.020 | 0.992 | | | | | |
| M2: Weak | WLSMV | 38.544 | 40 | 0.017 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| M3: Strong | WLSMV | 45.308 | 46 | 0.017 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| M4: Strict | WLSMV | 58.933 | 54 | 0.030 | 0.991 | | | | | |

$\chi^2$ WLSMV – Scaled Chi-Square using Weighted Least Squares with Means and Variances adjusted; df, degrees of freedom; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; and CFI, comparative fit index.

**TABLE 4** Descriptive statistics and internal consistencies of RT-NFC, RWA, and SDO ($n = 339$).

| M | SD | Mdn | Min | Max | Asymmetry | Kurtosis | Q 0.25 | Q 0.50 | Q 0.75 | $\alpha$ |
|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| RWA | 15.29 | 5.82 | 16.00 | 6.00 | 30.00 | 0.02 | −0.85 | 10.00 | 16.00 | 20.00 | 0.83 |
| SDO-DG | 9.69 | 3.35 | 9.00 | 5.00 | 21.00 | 0.46 | −0.30 | 7.00 | 9.00 | 12.00 | 0.62 |
| SDO-OI | 20.80 | 3.064 | 21.00 | 7.00 | 25.00 | 0.80 | 0.16 | 19.00 | 21.00 | 24.00 | 0.77 |
| NFC-U | 2.18 | 0.78 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 0.58 | 0.27 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.75 | 0.76 |
| NFC-P | 2.92 | 0.81 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 4.75 | −0.13 | −0.33 | 2.25 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 0.64 |

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; Mdn, median; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; Q, quartile; and $\alpha$, Cronbach’s alphas.
Last but not least, we validated the short-form in an independent sample. In this sense, our results demonstrate the possibility of using an 8-item NFC, which could increase the studies in Social Psychology and the possible search for relationships with other scales.

Limitations
Our results indicate the possibility of evaluating shortly and quickly the NFC construct in the Argentinian context. However, the study has limitations that can be overcome in future research, such as using a sample of university students that barely represents the population. In turn, this is related to the fact that the sample drawn is from a white, educated, industrialized, wealthy, and democratic (WEIRD) population. This reliance on a limited population of participants poses a threat to the external validity of our results. In this sense, it would be interesting to add samples from other social settings to increase the representativeness of the results.

CONCLUSION
Our goal with this study was to reduce the RT-NFC scale which, would allow for greater ease of use, as well as a shortening the time of taking it. The burden of long scales and the increasing need for multiple instruments in the same study have created a need to shorten measurement scales. In this paper, we tried to reduce the number of items of the scale RT NFC while we pretended to preserve or improve its psychometric properties, generating a shorter and faster test to evaluate the NFC construct.

This tool will allow us to understand individuals’ motivational aspects and also the social environment where they belong. That’s how some individuals become themselves as epistemic authorities for others, generating and or shaping people’s opinions. Adherence to the perspective of authority epistemic compensates for the possible presence of logical inconsistencies within our everyday life, offering individuals a state of certainty and control over the shared reality. Although the decrease of uncertainty can be positive in some situations, in other cases, it generates harmful effects in the social environment. It could be seen, for example, in the case of following authoritarian leaders who contribute to rise intergroup conflicts and undermine democratic attitudes. Another phenomenon where the pros and cons of the NFC can be seen is prejudice, where it is convenient to have a crystallized knowledge that serves to act in a moment of emergency without hesitation. Nevertheless, in everyday conditions where survival is not at risk, a high NFC can collaborate and influence the increase of prejudice in its various manifestations (e.g., sexism, xenophobia, racism, anti-Semitism). For this reason, the evaluation of the NFC can be used to monitor and, if necessary, reduce these behaviors.

Finally, although the results point to the use of this reduced scale in the Argentinian context, there is still a long way to go to understand whether this tool can be implemented in other contexts different from the one in which we have worked.
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