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Abstract-Insulating liquids play an important role as insulating
media in various high voltage applications and infrastructure
installations. The dielectric strength of an insulating liquid
depends on the experimental conditions (in case of laboratory
testing) and/or the service conditions (in case of apparatuses in
service). One of the main factors affecting the dielectric strength
of insulating liquids is the so-called size effect, i.e. the effect of the
size of the electrodes, of the size of the liquid volume under stress
and of the gap spacing between the electrodes. All the
aforementioned parameters are investigated in the context of the
present short review.
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I. INTRODUCTION

For several decades the mechanism of electric breakdown
in insulating liquids has been a subject of great interest [1-3].
Although a considerable amount of data has been obtained and
promising hypotheses on the mechanism of breakdown have
been published, results often indicate serious inconsistencies
and this fact has prevented the adoption of a universally
accepted theory capable of explaining the workings of electric
breakdown in these liquids [1-2]. Comprehensive reviews on
breakdown of insulating liquids were published some years ago
[4, 5]. It is generally agreed that electrical breakdown in liquids
is statistical in nature and the dielectric strength depends on
pressure, temperature, field geometry, electrode area, liquid
volume, gap spacing, voltage waveform, electrode material and
finish, additives and impurities, past history, time and
magnitude of pre-stress.

It is the purpose of this short review to investigate the
dielectric strength of insulating liquids with reference to the so-
called size effects, i.e. the effects the gap spacing, the electrode
area and the oil volume under stress. It is understandable that
the terms “dielectric strength” and “breakdown strength” in the
context of the present paper are used interchangeably. It is also
understandable that since transformer oil played a predominant
role in high voltage engineering applications in the past several
decades, a particular emphasis is given on this specific liquid.

II. SIZE EFFECTS

The existence of a size effect for insulating liquids is
accepted by the researchers although there is no agreement
whether this should be a volume effect or an area effect. Either
can occur depending on the test conditions. The weak links
presumably have a statistical distribution of magnitude and
number, as noted in [6]. According to the weak link theory, an
increase in the size of the specimen results in increased
probability of a large weak link being present in the test gap
and a corresponding reduction in dielectric strength. The weak
links are in the liquid bulk or on the surface of the electrodes;
which particular link causes breakdown will depend on its
magnitude and the experimental conditions. If a weak link
present in the liquid bulk initiates breakdown a volume effect
should result. If a weak link present on the electrode surface
initiates breakdown, an area effect should result. As was
pointed out in [6], whether the size effect is area or volume
dependent is determined by the relative quality of the insulating
liquid compared with that of the electrode surfaces and the time
of application of stress.

III. GAP EFFECT

Many researchers reported a decrease in breakdown
strength as the electrode separation increases [1]. A definite
tendency for the dielectric strength to increase for smaller gap
spacings using spherical electrodes was reported in [7]. The authors of [7], however, did not find a similar phenomenon for larger gaps. They suggested that electrons are supplied to the liquid by emission from the cathode but breakdown will occur only if the number of electrons is adequately multiplied by an ionization process in the bulk of the liquid.

Spherical electrodes were also used in [8], where it was suggested that a surprisingly large increase in dielectric strength was noted as the gap was reduced. The author of [8] suggested that the small gaps restrict cumulative ionization. A dependence of the dielectric strength on gap spacing was reported in [9]. Furthermore, it was reported a dependence of the breakdown strength on gap for various electrode configurations using n-hexane [10]. In yet another research paper, the dependence of dielectric strength and breakdown voltage on gap was investigated [11]. Similar to [9], a relationship was found to exist between the dielectric strength and the gap spacing in [11]. It was suggested that the dependence of dielectric strength on gap length arises from a collision ionization process [11].

Another approach was proposed in [12], where the authors, investigating the electrical breakdown for long gaps and non-uniform geometries, concluded that electrical breakdown initiation using AC and DC voltages is governed to a large extent by impurities in the oil or on the electrode surfaces. In [13], a strong dependence of the breakdown voltage on gap spacing was indicated and it was remarked that for small gap lengths the electrode surface pretreatment is of additional importance [11]. In [14], an effort was made to explain the relationship between the breakdown conduction current and the gap length suggesting that longer gaps would be capable of providing more positive ions. These ions would drift to the cathode thereby forming a positive space charge thus enhancing electron emission and they would also increase the probability of liquid dissociation by energetic electrons and the subsequent bubbler formation.

Authors of [15] and of [6], working independently, proposed that the breakdown voltages versus oil gaps between electrodes, line up on a straight line in a log scale paper and they postulated that the relation between breakdown voltage and gap can be expressed by a simple equation,

\[ V = K d^n \]  

where \( V \) is the breakdown voltage, \( K \) a constant, \( d \) the gap length and \( n \) takes values between 0 and 1. Moreover, it was reported that for AC ramp voltages, the gap effect is stronger than the area effect suggesting that a simple volume effect should not be expected.

IV. AREA EFFECT

An older study indicated a considerable increase in dielectric strength when used electrodes of smaller diameter were used [16]. Before that, the importance and influence of the electrode area effect was recognized in [17]. In [18], the idea that the area effect is due to the inherent variability in dielectric strength was proposed. A formula was established in [19-20], in which the modal dielectric strength was related to the electrode area, based on extreme value statistics,

\[ V_1 - V_2 = \frac{s \log(A_2/A_1)}{\sigma_N} \]  

where \( V \) is the modal dielectric strength, \( A \) the area, \( s \) the standard deviation of \( N \) measured breakdowns and \( \sigma_N \) the function of the number of \( N \) breakdowns only (it is true that, conventionally, voltages are symbolized by \( V \) and dielectric strengths by \( E \). In (2), however, we strictly followed the symbols of [19, 20]). Equation (2) was verified by the authors for impulse and 60 Hz voltages under carefully controlled conditions. In [19, 20] it was indicated that any difference, which may exist in the breakdown mechanism between impulse and 60 Hz tests, does not change the predicted area effect. The above proposed model was influenced by the “weak link” theory developed in [21], where it was pointed out that the “weakest link” concept, when applicable, may be the key to the occurrence of certain observed relationships between the strength of specimens and their size. In yet another publication [22], it was shown that from a statistical point of view, the effect of selecting the lowest breakdown from two tests on a given specimen is equivalent to a hypothetical test on twice the specimen, providing that enough measurements are taken for the full statistical meaning of the test.

In [6], it was shown that a certain decrease in breakdown strength was observed when the electrode area was increased for both impulse and AC testing. However, the data of [6] show a reduction in standard deviation with increasing area, which is in disagreement with what is predicted by the extreme value theory (the latter requires that the standard deviation remains constant). In [23], 12 pairs of spheres in parallel under oil were used, in order to investigate the statistical nature of the area effect. The authors of [23] showed that the distribution of the breakdown voltages of a random sample arbitrarily chosen from the parent population, is a Gaussian distribution, which is in contrast to the findings of [19, 20]. Both research groups established, however, the existence of the “minima of groups area effect,” which states that if from a total of \( N \) breakdowns (the stressed area of the test object being \( Q \)), only the \( p \) lowest breakdown voltages from \( p \) groups, each of \( q \) breakdown values, are considered, where \( N = p \cdot q \), the effect is the same as that of \( p \) readings taken on another test object with a stressed area of \( q \cdot Q \). In general agreement with the above, publication [4] remarked that if there is a distribution in the sizes of the asperities on the electrode surface and the most prominent of these initiates breakdown, then an increase in the area of the electrodes will lead to a greater probability of breakdown. The consequence of the area effect is that the modal values of breakdown strength as observed with flat electrodes having different areas, but at a constant gap, would decrease approximately with the logarithm of the area. As was remarked in the same publication, the electrode pretreatment affects the breakdown strength of a given oil sample. Roughening of either the cathode or the anode or both affects the strength and also the area effect, because it increases the number of preferential sites from which breakdown can be initiated by electron emission.

V. VOLUME EFFECT

A volume effect results if the weak links exist in the liquid itself. It was reported that the primary factor determining the unit dielectric strength of commercial oil of controlled quality
for electrodes of different geometries is the volume of that oil under stress [8]. In the same publication, the stressed volume was defined as the volume enclosed by the 90% equipotential surface. Another researcher [24], testing a range of electrode areas and gaps in pure oil found that the 50 Hz AC strength was a function of the stressed volume. For a gap range of 1 to 4 mm and electrode areas $10^4$ to $10^5$ cm$^2$, the mean breakdown strength associated with 'V' ccs of oil could be expressed as

$$E(V) = E_0 - K \log_{10}(V)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $E(V)$ is the mean breakdown strength, $E_0$ is the value for 1 cc and $K = 25$ kV/cm (rms).

It was reported [25], that a volume effect for both AC and impulse voltages is possible. It the aforementioned publication, the stressed volume was defined as in [8]. The distribution function was shown to be Gaussian although the data could be plotted equally well on extreme value probability paper. The AC breakdown strength of the oil was also found to be affected by the level of particle contamination. In [15], it was reported that the oil breakdown could be described by its stressed oil volume in a wide range from $10^2$ to $10^5$ cm$^3$. It was also pointed out that oil breakdown probability, Gaussian or extreme value distribution, might be affected by the test conditions. According to [15], larger volumes of oil give larger standard deviation and their distribution function approaches more closely the extreme value distribution.

In [26], it was shown that the experimental results were more consistent with a volume theory rather than with a simple area effect for both impulse and AC voltages. In the same publication, however, it was pointed out that the adoption of the stressed volume as the dominant factor affecting the breakdown does not imply that breakdown is uninfluenced by the nature of the electrode surface. In [6], although it was indicated that for impulse voltages a simple volume effect exists since the area and gap effects were approximately equal, for AC voltages the dielectric strength dependence on gap spacing was much greater than on area. Therefore, a simple volume effect was not observed.

VI. SOME FURTHER REMARKS ON THE SIZE EFFECTS

Researching further the question of size effects on transformer oil, it was reported that for rather small areas (up to 20 cm$^2$), the breakdown strength decrease with increasing electrode area was at first 70 kV/cm/decade, while it falls at about 35 kV/cm/decade for areas in the range of 30 to 1000 cm$^2$ and it almost disappears for electrode areas larger than 1000 cm$^2$ [27]. A non-linear relationship exists between the breakdown strength and the logarithm of the electrode area. This is due to the fact that transformer oil breakdown strength has a minimum value and a leveling off the line relating the strength with the area should be expected. A similar downward trend was observed in [15]. The average change of approximately 35 kV/cm/decade noted in [27] was also in agreement with data reported earlier in [6]. In [27] it was also pointed out that the breakdown strength reduces with increasing the gap spacing. It was further remarked that, up to an electrode area of 1240 cm$^2$, the gap effect was approximately 80 kV/cm/decade. The gap spacing effect is a complex phenomenon. The breakdown voltage increase is somewhat less proportional to the gap length for uniform electrode arrangements [27]. This is in agreement with earlier research data [13].

An increase of the gap can also increase the population of impurities entering the gap, which might act as nucleation sites and subsequently reduce the breakdown strength, as was suggested in [14, 28]. In [29], it was reported that the charge of a particle in contact with an electrode is proportional to gap voltage and not to the local electric field, a conclusion not unjustified in the light of the work done in [27]. The effect of gap spacing on breakdown strength is complicated since there are many influencing factors, such as physical size factors (for example, flushing action is better for larger gaps but the higher voltage level produces more decomposition products at breakdown) and weak links existing in the oil volume and/or on the electrode surfaces.

If a simple volume effect exists (i.e. a volume effect which can be fully traced to the gap effect and the area effect), area and gap effects should be equal. However, it is realized that the gap effect seems to be stronger than the area effect, suggesting thus that a simple volume effect should not be expected [27]. An increase of specimen size leads inevitably to the introduction of imperfections which may vary from those of molecular dimensions up to gross defects arising from inclusions [30]. The question, however, as to whether a size effect can be attributed to a volume effect or to an area effect seems to be open since in [31] it was reported that dielectric degradation can be attributed to either the electrode area or the stressed oil volume.

VII. LATER RESEARCH ON SIZE EFFECTS

The cleanliness of electrodes is of paramount importance since clean electrodes restrict the sites from which streamers can emanate [32]. Besides the cleanliness of the electrodes, other factors such as the rate of voltage rise play a role in determining the variance in the time to breakdown, when impulse voltages are applied [32]. Initiation sites from the electrodes, in quasi-uniform arrangements, play a dominant role in determining discharge initiation in mineral oil of technical purity [33]. However, as the electrode radius becomes larger, the volume effect gains in importance [33]. This is somehow contradicted in [34], where particular attention was paid to the electrode surface since the probability density function of streamer inception on a small element of electrode area depends on local electric field. Such a function, described as $\mu(E)$, depends on the electrode radius for hemispherical electrodes. It is to be noted, however, that in the same publication [34], it is also remarked that in the case of narrow gaps, the effective area of hemispherical electrodes is proportional to the product of the radius of electrode to the gap length, leaving thus some room for further discussion as to the possibility of a volume effect.

In [35], it is implied tacitly that a volume effect is at work since the effect of the shape of the electrodes is not considered stronger than the effect of gap length. It must be pointed out that in [35] different electrode geometries were used, such as sphere-sphere, plane-plane, mushroom-mushroom and sphere-plane. The conclusions of [35] are not fundamentally at
variance with those of [29]. In [36], an emphasis was put on the electrode configuration, remarking that when the flux lines are more uniformly distributed higher breakdown voltages are expected. It was reported that electrode arrangements such as Rogowski-plane or sphere-plane should be preferred to arrangements such as rod-plane [36]. No indication was given however, as to a clear area or volume effect with the tested arrangements. Although [37] did not tackle the problems of either area or volume effect, it pointed out that the type of the statistical distribution of breakdown voltage values in transformer oil testing depends on whether the oil is of poor quality (in this case a positively skewed distribution results), of relatively good quality (when we are likely to have a negatively skewed distribution) or of moderate quality (when it is expected a more or less normal distribution). It is tacitly implied that such quality variations may also have an effect on whether an area effect or a volume effect will appear. Reference [37] is in agreement with an earlier publication [38], where it was remarked that larger volumes and larger areas increase the probability to find larger elongated particles in the vicinity of the electrodes and thus to facilitate streamer initiation. Reference [38] tends to support the view that a particle effect seems to be more probable than a pure area or volume effect. The views expressed in [38] were already expressed in earlier publications [39], where the possibility that the observed decrease in breakdown voltage with an increase in particle count may be related more to the presence of large particles at the higher particle concentrations than to the higher concentration itself. It must, however, be remarked that the approach of [38] was more elaborate than the one in [39]. Emphasis on the role of foreign particles was also given in [40] and it was remarked that the measured AC breakdown voltage of an insulating liquid mostly represents its quality rather than its characteristics. Relatively recently, there was a report on size effects [41], where the authors used an old idea proposed in [8], by using the notion of the 90% equigradient surface (which in [41] is named effective electrode area $A_{eff}$). The conclusions of [41] were that the breakdown strength $E$ can be expressed both as a function of the effective electrode area $A_{eff}$ as well as a function of the gap length. Although no clear proposal as to a pure area effect or a volume effect was formulated, one of the points made was that the effective electrode area is equivalent to the pit area, i.e. the electrode area affected by the activity of discharges.

VIII. SOME FURTHER COMMENTS

It is true that most of the research on area effect and volume effect was carried out not in the most recent decades. Testing larger and smaller electrode areas as well as larger and smaller oil volumes was of interest more in earlier times than it is nowadays. The advent of modern techniques, such as the Schlieren technique, shifted the interest of the researchers from the macroscopic investigations to the microscopic level and more to the mechanism and the dynamics of breakdown in insulating liquids [5, 42-46]. The size effects were investigated thereafter in conjunction with these or similar techniques [34]. As the years passed by, there were also other ways to approach the questions concerning the transformer oil insulation [47]. There was, so to speak, a shift in research interests to the more fundamentals of insulating liquid breakdown.

It is also true that, from the experience of past decades with various insulating liquids and, in particular, with transformer oil, evidently the electrode surface quality as well as the quality of the liquid quality determine to a significant extent whether there may be an area or a volume effect. This is not, however, to imply that the questions regarding the size effects in insulating liquids have all been answered. New insulating liquids require further work w.r.t. the size effects, such as liquids in very low temperatures [48-50] as well as the nanofluids [51-53], not to forget challenging alternatives to transformer oil, such as silicone fluids [54] and vegetable oils [55]. Publications [56, 57] refer to a variety of possibilities regarding new promising insulating liquids and one can easily draw the conclusion that there is a lot more research to be done w.r.t. the size effects. Furthermore, such size effects must also be investigated in conjunction with the new proposed insulating liquids with solid insulation [30, 58]. Furthermore, since transformer oil is subjected to repetitive pulse stresses in some applications, it would be interesting to investigate the size effects also in relation to such pulse stresses [59]. In the aforementioned case, it is of interest to see whether foreign particles may play a role as suggested before [38] with other kinds of stresses.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

This short review presented aspects of older and more recent work on the size effects in insulating liquids. It seems that sometimes it is difficult to separate the area effect from the volume effect since the two intermingle and depend on the state of the liquid itself. The gap effect also plays a role affecting to a great extent the volume effect. The purity of the insulating liquid seems to play a determining role since it strongly influences the distribution of the breakdown voltage values.
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