Synthesis of well-dispersive 2.0 nm Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters supported on β-cyclodextrin functionalized graphene with excellent electrocatalytic activity†
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In this work, ultrasmall Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters with a uniform size of 2.0 nm monodispersed on β-cyclodextrin functionalized reduced graphene oxide (β-CD-RGO) were successfully prepared in aqueous solution at room temperature within 30 min without the need for any organic solvent or high temperature. The integration of β-CD and RGO was responsible for the formation of the monodispersed 2.0 nm Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters. Inspired by the monodisperse, ultrasmall, and pristine properties of the Pd–Pt clusters, the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO nanohybrid displayed enhanced activity for methanol and ethanol oxidation in alkaline media in comparison with the commercial Pd/C catalysts. This facile and simple method is of significance for the preparation of bimetallic nanocatalysts with high catalytic activity on suitable supporting materials.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, extensive research has been devoted to electrocatalysis studies to improve the performance of noble metal catalysts.1 One of the most promising strategies is to design and synthesize noble metals with ultrasmall sizes because of their increased surface area and the number of edge and corner atoms, which could greatly improve their catalytic activities.2,3 In particular, ultrasmall noble metal nanoclusters (≤2 nm) with several to hundreds of atoms, have received significant attention.4 However, the surface energy increases with decreasing particle size, which usually leads to serious aggregation of the small particles in order to minimize the total surface energy.5,6 To overcome this aggregation, the metal particles must be anchored to suitable supports.6,7 For this reason, extensive interests have been drawn to the uses of sp²-hybridized carbon materials as the supporting materials.2,3,7 Among all the available supporting materials, graphene is considered as the most promising candidate for growing or anchoring metal nanoparticles because of its high surface area (2630 m² g⁻¹), high conductivity, and superior chemical inertness.8 Moreover, theoretical research based on first-principles calculations indicates that noble metals can interact with and thereby bind with the sp²-hybridized carbons of graphene because more interaction states and transmission channels are generated between both.9–11 This implies that graphene may be an ideal substrate for growing and anchoring metal nanoparticles for high-performance electrocatalytic or electrochemical devices.

The Pt-based bimetallic nanostructures have attracted considerable attention in recent years because these materials are highly promising catalysts.12–14 In comparison with monometallic Pt nanostructures, Pt-based bimetallic nanostructures show enhanced catalytic activities.5,12,13 The catalytic properties of the bimetallic nanostructures are dependent on their sizes, shapes, and compositions. Fine control of the structural features, sizes, and compositions is highly favorable for improving catalytic performance Pt-based catalysts.11,13 Among various Pt-based bimetallic nanostructures, Pt–Pd bimetallic nanostructures are considered as the most promising metallic nanostructures due to their superior catalytic activity.16 Up to now, there are numerous studies have been reported15,16,17–27 by controlling the morphology and composition to improve the catalytic activity of Pt–Pd bimetallic nanocatalyst. It is well known that the catalytic activities of noble metal particles are highly size dependent.4 For instance, ultrasmall Pd nanoparticles with a size of 3.5 nm supported on graphene oxide (GO) reported by Xie’s group have displayed enhanced electrocatalytic activity for formic acid and ethanol electrooxidation.2 Tang’s group demonstrated that 1.8 nm Au clusters could be supported on reduced GO (RGO), which displayed high electrocatalytic performance towards oxygen reduction reactions.2 Mao’s group reported electroless deposition of 4.0 nm Pd clusters on...
graphdiyne oxides with high catalytic activity for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol. Recently, a “soft” nitriding method to grow in situ 1.6 nm Au clusters on carbon reported by He’s group exhibit superior activity for methanol oxidation. However, it is still highly desirable to develop a facile and general approach for the fabrication of ultrafine bimetallic nanocatalysts such as Pd–Pt clusters with ultrasmall sizes (≤2 nm) though some monometallic clusters supported on carbon materials have been synthesized by different approaches if considering the potential industrial applications.

Herein, we report a facile aqueous approach (Scheme 1) to prepare 2.0 nm Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters supported on β-cyclodextrin functionalized reduced graphene oxide (β-CD-RGO) at room temperature within 30 min without the need for any organic solvent or high temperature. The introduction of β-CD is used to immobilize Pd–Pt clusters on RGO and to avoid aggregation. Most importantly, it was found that the as-prepared Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO nanohybrid exhibited higher electrocatalytic activities towards methanol and ethanol oxidation.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Graphite oxide was purchased from Nanjing XFNANO Materials Tech Co., Ltd (Nanjing, China). The PdCl$_2$ (99.99%), H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (99.99%), NaOH (5.0 wt%), and commercial Pd/C (10 wt%) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). The β-CD and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid disodium salt (EDTA) were obtained from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Lo., Ltd (Shanghai, China). All other reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Milli-Q ultrapure water (Millipore, ≥18.25 MΩ cm) was used throughout the experiments.

2.2. Preparation of the β-CD-RGO nanocomposite

Graphene oxide (GO) sheets were exfoliated from graphite oxide by ultrasonication in ultrapure water at room temperature for 1 h. A water-based wet-chemical route was adopted to prepare the β-CD-RGO composite. In a typical experiment, 20 mL of 1.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ β-CD aqueous solution was mixed with 20 mL of 0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ GO aqueous suspension and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After that, a small amount of NaOH aqueous solution (1.0 M) was used to adjust the pH of the mixture to 12.0. Finally, the suspension was transferred to a flask and stirred at 90 °C in an oil bath for 4.0 h. After the reaction, the stable black suspension of the β-CD-RGO mixture was centrifuged at a relative centrifugal force (RCF) of 30 600g. After washing with ultrapure water for three times, the resulting β-CD-RGO composite was collected by freeze-drying. Additionally, the RGO was prepared with the similar procedure in the absence of β-CD.

2.3. Synthesis of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO nanohybrid

Initially, a certain amount of β-CD-RGO freeze-dried powder was dispersed in ultrapure water with the aid of ultrasonication to obtain a concentration of 1.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ β-CD-RGO homogeneous suspension. In a typical synthesis of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO, 3.0 mL of 10.0 mM PdCl$_2$, 3.0 mL of 10.0 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$, and 2.0 mL of 0.1 M EDTA were mixed together and stirred to form a homogeneous solution. After adjusting the solution pH to 9.0, twenty milliliter of 1.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ β-CD-RGO aqueous suspension was added into the above mixture and stirred for 5 min. Then, three milliliter of 200 mM sodium borohydride solution was added to the mixture and stirred for 30 min. After centrifuging and washing with ultrapure water for three times, the obtained products were collected by freeze-drying. In addition, the Pd–Pt@RGO, Pd@β-CD-RGO, and Pt@β-CD-RGO were prepared with the similar procedure.

2.4. Material characterization

The morphologies of the prepared samples were characterized by a JEM 2100 transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) measurements, high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM), and elemental mapping were made on a JEM-2100F HRTEM (JEOL, Japan) operating at 200 kV. The samples for TEM and HRTEM characterization were prepared by depositing a drop of the diluted suspension on a copper grid coated by amorphous carbon. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a D8 ADVANCE (BRUKER, Germany) diffractometer using Cu-Kα radiation with a Ni filter (λ = 0.154059 nm at 30 kV and 15 mA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with Al Kα X-ray radiation as the X-ray source for excitation, which were carried out on an ESCALAB-MKII spectrometer (VG Co., United Kingdom). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) study was performed over the wavenumber, range of 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$ by a Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC Nicolet IS10 (Massachusetts, USA) FTIR impact 410 spectrophotometer using KBr pellets. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Q50 TGA (TA Instruments, New Castle, USA), at a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ from 35 to 800 °C in nitrogen.

2.5. Electrochemical measurements

All the electrochemical measurements were carried out with a CHI 660E Electrochemical Workstation from Chenhua Instrument (Shanghai, China) and conducted using a three-electrode system, with the modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) as working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode. Before the modifications, GCE was polished carefully with 0.3 and 0.05 μm Al$_2$O$_3$ powder to obtain a mirror-
like surface, and then followed by sonication in ethanol and ultrapure water respectively. Then, the GCE was coated with the as-produced samples (5.0 μg) and dried at room temperature. Finally, 5.0 μl of Nafion (0.1 wt%) was coated on the surface of the modified GCE and dried before electrochemical experiments. Methanol and ethanol electro-oxidation measurements were performed in a solution of 1.0 M KOH containing 1.0 M methanol or 1.0 M ethanol at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The methanol or ethanol solution was deoxygenated with N₂ bubbling for 30 min before electrochemical experiments. The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of each sample was estimated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement carried out in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution under N₂ protection with a sweep rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. Mass current densities were normalized by the loaded Pt + Pd amount.

3. Results and discussion

The β-CD-RGO composite was successfully prepared as proved by FTIR and TGA characterizations. As shown in Fig. S1, the β-CD displayed the typical CD absorption features. It is found that the FTIR spectrum of RGO is featureless except the stretching vibrations of –OH (3400 cm⁻¹), C=C conjugation (1630 cm⁻¹), and C–C band (1190 cm⁻¹), etc. Whereas the FTIR spectra of β-CD-RGO exhibit the typical CD absorption features of the coupled C=C/C–C stretching/O–H bending vibrations at 1032 cm⁻¹, the coupled C–O–C stretching/O–H bending vibrations at 1152 cm⁻¹, the C–H/O–H bending vibrations at 1410 cm⁻¹, the CH₃ stretching vibrations at 2925 cm⁻¹, and O–H stretching vibrations at 3400 cm⁻¹. The presence of these peaks confirmed that the β-CD molecules were successfully attached to the surface of the RGO. Additionally, the TGA was used to determine the amount of β-CD molecules on the surface of RGO. As shown in Fig. S2, the β-CD showed an abrupt mass loss when the temperature was approximately 260 °C. The pristine RGO, the loss in mass (17.0 wt%) at a temperature of approximately 600 °C was ascribed to the pyrolysis of the remaining oxygen-containing functional groups in RGO. The β-CD-RGO composite revealed an abrupt mass loss when the temperature was approximately 260 °C because of the decomposition of β-CD; the mass loss reached about 45.0 wt% when the temperature was 600 °C. The amount of β-CD grafted to RGO was estimated to be approximately 28.0 wt%.

The Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO and the related materials were characterized by TEM. As shown in Fig. 1A, the TEM image of Pd@β-CD-RGO indicates that the Pd clusters with uniform size could be obtained by using β-CD-RGO as the support. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Fig. 1E) shows that the size of the Pd cluster is approximately 2.1 ± 0.3 nm with narrow size distribution, as demonstrated by the size distribution histogram (Fig. 1G). The well-dispersive Pd clusters might be attributed to abundant –OH groups on β-CD that are favorable for the coordination of cationic Pd²⁺ precursor via electrostatic interactions, followed by the in situ formation of the corresponding clusters on the surface of RGO. A similar function of –OH groups was also reported by Zhang et al. for the immobilization of Pd nanoparticles on hydroxypropyl-β-CD modified C₆₀. The TEM image of Pt@β-CD-RGO was illustrated in Fig. 1B. However, the Pt clusters showed an increased size with serious aggregation when using anionic PtCl₆²⁻ precursor. Thus, the nucleation of nanoclusters on the β-CD-RGO is somewhat dependent on electrostatic interactions. These results are similar to that reported by Liu et al. using nitrided carbon as support to load metal clusters. In their work, the positive charged N-doped carbon could load and stabilize Au clusters to avoid overgrowth due to its strong affinity to anionic AuCl₄⁻. Fig. 1C shows the representative TEM image of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO nanohybrid. It can be seen that the Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters with a uniform size are well monodispersed on the surface of β-CD-RGO. The HRTEM image (Fig. 1F) reveals that the size of the Pd cluster is approximately 2.0 ± 0.2 nm with narrow size distribution, as proved by the size distribution histogram (Fig. 1H). The size of Pd–Pt in the bimetallic Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO material is also very small. It is well known that Pd and Pt are very easy to form an alloy through the co-reduction of Pd and Pt salts in the solution phase because they have a very small lattice mismatch of only 0.77% and similar redox potential Pd²⁺/Pd (0.62 V versus RHE); PtCl₆²⁻/Pt (0.74 V versus RHE). Thus, the very small size and uniform dispersibility of Pd–Pt clusters in the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO material may be ascribed to the fact that the Pd and Pt formed an alloy or heterostructure. The HRTEM image shows that the Pd–Pt clusters contain defects (several steps, corners, and edges), which can act as highly active sites in catalytic reactions. In order to further investigate the effect of surface properties of the support on the formation of Pd–Pt clusters, a control experiment was carried out by using RGO as support under the same conditions except for the absence of β-CD. As shown in Fig. 1D, the TEM image of Pd–RGO reveals that the Pd–Pt bimetallic clusters exhibit an obviously increased size and serious aggregations. This confirms that β-CD plays a key role in controlling the size and the monodispersion of the Pd–Pt clusters. Therefore, well-dispersive Pd–Pt clusters with a uniform size and a narrow distribution could be obtained using β-CD-RGO as the composite support.

The crystal structures of the Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composites were investigated by wide-angle X-ray diffraction XRD. The XRD patterns (Fig. 2A) of the synthesized materials show four peaks corresponding to (111), (200), (220), and (311) of face-centered cubic (fcc) Pd/Pt. The ratio (3.3) between the intensities of the (111) and (200) peaks is higher than the value reported for some conventional samples (approximately 2.2), indicating that the Pd–Pt clusters are mainly covered with {111} facets. Moreover, a broad diffraction peak (002) centered at 2θ = 23.9° was observed, which was ascribed to the reduction of GO. The corresponding energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra revealed the peaks of C, O, Pd, and Pt elements, indicating the existence of bimetallic Pd–Pt clusters on the surface of β-CD-RGO (Fig. 2B). The Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composite was also characterized by high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) (Fig. 2C). It is difficult to see the Pd–Pt clusters in the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composite due to small size. The positional distribution of Pd, Pt, C, and O in the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO was revealed by element mapping.
analysis. Fig. 3A–D show that the Pd, Pt, C, and O elements are uniformly distributed in the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composite. The Pd–Pt nanostructures are usually alloy structure or heterostructure in published works. However, it is difficult to distinguish whether the present Pd–Pt cluster is alloy structure due to the too small size. This can be ascribed to the fact that resolution of the instrument is not enough to distinguish the too small Pd–Pt clusters.

XPS analysis was used to study the electronic structure and compositions of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composite. As shown in...
Fig. 4A, the survey spectrum confirms the presence of Pd, Pt, C, and O in the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO composite. The Na signal is attributed to residual NaOH. Fig. 4B is the C 1s spectrum, which reveals that there are three components of carbon bond, namely sp² C (C=C, 284.6 eV), sp³ C (C–C, 285.3 eV), and C–O (286.8 eV) are present in the composite. The high-resolution spectrum of Pd 3d is illustrated in Fig. 4C. The peaks centered at 335.2 and 340.5 eV can be assigned to 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂ peaks, respectively, which are attributed to metallic Pd. In addition, the spectrum of Pt 4f is depicted in Fig. 4D. The 4f₇/₂ and 4f₅/₂ peaks at approximately 71.2 and 74.5 eV are ascribed to metallic Pt.

The electrochemical active surface areas (ECSAs) of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO, Pd–Pt@RGO, Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and commercial Pd/C catalysts were determined by the CV measurements in the N₂ saturated electrolyte of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at a scanning rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. As shown in Fig. S3, all the CV curves exhibit obvious multiple peaks in the lower potential range of −0.3 to 0 V associated with hydrogen adsorption/desorption and Pt/Pd oxide formation/reduction. The anodic peaks at the higher potential approximately 0.6 V and its cathodic counterparts in the potential range of 0.45 to 0.33 V are due to the Pt/Pd oxide formation/reduction. The ECSAs were estimated by the integrated charge (Q_H) in the hydrogen desorption region after deduction of the double-layer region. On the basis of the assumption that the charge density for the formation of a fully covered Pd(OH)₂ monolayer was 430 µC cm⁻², according to the equation ECSA = Q_H/(430 µC cm⁻² × Pd loading), the ECSA for Pd/C and Pd@β-CD-RGO were 27.9 and 38.0 m² g⁻¹, respectively. According to the equation ECSA = Q_H/(210 µC cm⁻² × Pt loading), the ECSA of Pt@β-CD-RGO was 21.4 m² g⁻¹. According to the equation ECSA = Q_H/(210 µC cm⁻² × Pt + Pd loading), the ECSA of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO and Pd–Pt@RGO were 51.0 and 41.3 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The higher ECSA of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO is favorable to the electrocatalytic activity for methanol or ethanol oxidation.

The catalytic performance of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO toward methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) was investigated with three-electrode system in the N₂ saturated electrolytes of 1.0 M KOH and 1.0 M CH₃OH at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ at room temperature. For comparison, the catalytic performance of the Pd–Pt@RGO, Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and commercial Pd/C was also tested. The currents were normalized to the loading amount of Pt + Pd in order to compare the mass activity of different catalyst. As shown in Fig. 5A, the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO exhibits the highest catalytic activity with a mass-normalized current density of 1609 mA mg⁻¹, which is approximately 1.5, 2.7, 3.8, and 5.3 times greater than that of Pd–Pt@RGO (1077 mA mg⁻¹).
mA mg\(^{-1}\)), Pd@β-CD-RGO (595 mA mg\(^{-1}\)), Pt@β-CD-RGO (426 mA mg\(^{-1}\)), and commercial Pd/C (305 mA mg\(^{-1}\)) catalyst, respectively. The detailed electrochemical parameters of the as-prepared catalysts obtained from the CV curves were listed in Table 1. It should be noted that the specific activity of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO (3.15 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) was also higher than that of Pd–Pt@RGO (2.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\)), Pd@β-CD-RGO (1.57 mA cm\(^{-2}\)), Pt@β-CD-RGO (1.99 mA cm\(^{-2}\)), and commercial Pd/C (1.09 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). Moreover, at the same current density in the positive scan (as indicated by the dashed line in inset of Fig. 5A), the corresponding oxidation potential on the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO was the lowest, suggesting the enhancement of the methanol oxidation kinetics on the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO.\(^{13}\) The improved electrooxidation kinetics of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO may originate from the bimetallic Pd–Pt composition and the too small size (2.0 nm). In addition, the ratio of the mass current density values in two sequential forward and backward sweeps (\(J_f/J_b\)), (as considered to be an important indicator of the catalyst tolerance to poisoning species\(^{14}\)) showed significant differences for these catalysts. A higher ratio indicates more effective removal of the poisoning species on the catalyst surface.\(^{18}\) The \(J_f/J_b\) values were 5.8, 5.6, 2.4, 2.3, and 2.7 for Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO,
Pt–Pd/C, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and commercial Pd/C, respectively. Thus, the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO exhibited best tolerance to the poisoning species than other and the Pd/C catalysts.

The Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and commercial Pd/C were further studied for ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR) in 1.0 M KOH containing 1.0 M C₂H₅OH under N₂ protection. As illustrated in Fig. 5B, the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO also displayed the highest catalytic activity with a mass current density of 1274 mA g⁻¹, which is 1.6, 3.4, 3.7, and 4.6 times higher than that of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO (820 mA g⁻¹), Pt@β-CD-RGO (376 mA g⁻¹), Pt@β-CD-RGO (346 mA g⁻¹), and Pd/C (272 mA g⁻¹) catalyst, respectively. The catalytic activity follows the order: Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO > Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO > Pt@β-CD-RGO > Pt@β-CD-RGO > Pt@β-CD-RGO, which is similar to the order of MOR. As shown in Table 1, the specific activity for EOR of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO (2.50 mA cm⁻²) was also higher than that of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO (1.98 mA cm⁻²), Pt@β-CD-RGO (0.99 mA cm⁻²), Pt@β-CD-RGO (1.62 mA cm⁻²), and commercial Pd/C (0.97 mA cm⁻²). The onset potential (inset of Fig. 5B) of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO was more negative than those of other catalysts, also indicating the higher electrocatalytic performance of the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO toward ethanol oxidation. For the EOR, the J/J₀ value of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO (1.5) was also higher than that of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO (1.4), Pt@β-CD-RGO (1.2), Pt@β-CD-RGO (1.3), and Pd/C (0.9), suggesting the better tolerance of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO to the poisoning species other than other catalysts. In addition, the activity of the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO catalyst is also higher than those of recently reported Pt–Pd bimetallic catalysts as summarized in Table 2.

The enhanced electrocatalytic activity of the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO could be attributed to three main factors: (a) the Pt–Pd clusters with very small size (2.0 nm) would offer more accessibility methanol or ethanol oxidation, thus remarkably improving the atomic utilization efficiency; (b) the excellent monodispersity and uniformity of Pt–Pd loaded on β-CD-RGO with high surface area might be favorable for improving the catalytic performance; (c) the formation of bimetallic surface atom arrangement (coexistence of surface Pt and Pt sites) and/or the synergistic effect originating from the coexistence of surface Pd and Pt atoms should also be emphasized. The TEM images of Pd/C were investigated and displayed in Fig. S4.† The size of Pd in Pd/C is approximately 7.5 ± 2.0 nm. From these results, we can conclude that decreasing the size of noble metal nanoparticles can significantly improve the catalytic activity.

The durability of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO and commercial Pd/C was compared in 1.0 M KOH solution containing 1.0 M methanol using chronoamperometry and CV. At a fixed potential, the intermediate products such as CO from the methanol oxidation would adsorb and accumulate on the electrode surface, which might lead to the catalysts inactivation and the rapid current attenuation. As shown in Fig. 6A, the current density of Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO catalyst retains the highest current than Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO and commercial Pd/C modified electrodes at the initial stage. The currents on these modified electrodes gradually decay and achieve a pseudo-steady state. Obviously, the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO catalyst retains the highest current than other catalysts for the whole time. This result confirms that Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO catalyst has the higher poison tolerance and better electrochemical stability than commercial Pd/C. The electrocatalytic cycling stabilities of these catalysts and commercial Pd/C in 1.0 M KOH solution containing 1.0 M methanol have also been investigated using CV, as shown in Fig. 6B. It can be observed that the forward peak current density

### Table 1 The electrochemical parameters of the as-prepared different catalysts

| Catalyst         | Potential (V) | Methanol | Ethanol | ECSA (m² g⁻¹) | Mass activity (mA mg⁻¹) | Ethanol | Specific activity (mA cm⁻²) | Methanol | Ethanol | Methanol | Ethanol |
|------------------|---------------|----------|---------|--------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Pd/C             | –0.20         | –0.23    | 27.9    |              | 305                   | 272     | 1.09                        | 0.97     |         |          |         |
| Pd@β-CD-RGO      | –0.08         | –0.23    | 38.0    |              | 595                   | 376     | 1.57                        | 0.99     |         |          |         |
| Pt@β-CD-RGO      | –0.10         | –0.23    | 21.4    |              | 426                   | 346     | 1.99                        | 1.62     |         |          |         |
| Pd–Pt@RGO        | –0.20         | –0.24    | 41.3    |              | 1077                  | 820     | 2.60                        | 1.98     |         |          |         |
| Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO   | –0.24         | –0.24    | 51.0    |              | 1609                  | 1274    | 3.15                        | 2.50     |         |          |         |

### Table 2 Comparison of the Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO with similar catalysts for methanol oxidation

| Catalysts | Shapes of Pd–Pt | Potential (V) | ECSA (m² g⁻¹) | Specific activity (mA cm⁻²) | Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|
| PtPt nanoalloys | Islands        | 0.60          | 21.7         | 1.08                        | 20   |
| Pt–Pd       | Cubes          | 0.85          | –            | 1.49                        | 14   |
| Pt–Pd       | Nanodendrites  | 0.70          | 48.0         | 1.04                        | 13   |
| Pd@Pt       | Porous particles | 0.70        | 38.2         | 1.31                        | 24   |
| Pt–Pd@β-CD-RGO | Clusters      | –0.24         | 51.0         | 3.15                        | This work |
for methanol oxidation on Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO modified electrode decreases by about 11.8% within the 400 scans. However, the peak current density on Pd–Pt@RGO, Pd@β-CD-RGO, Pt@β-CD-RGO, and commercial Pd/C modified electrodes declines about 17.6%, 39.8%, 32.2%, and 52.8% in the same scans. The good cycling stabilities may be attributed to the more durable nature of the {111} facet of Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoalloys and the synergetic effect of the Pd and Pt atoms, which has been reported in the MOR with Pd–Pt bimetallic electrocatalysts. The TEM image of Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO after durability was obtained as shown in Fig. S5.† From the TEM image, we can see that part of the Pd–Pt nanoclusters have begun to aggregate. This aggregation may result in the decrease of the activity of the Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO catalyst. This implies that the small size and uniform dispersibility are indeed very important for the catalytic activity of the catalyst. Thus, we will further study on this problem in our lab for improving the durability of such catalyst.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a facile aqueous method to load Pd–Pt bimetallic nanoclusters with a uniform size of 2.0 nm on β-CD-RGO. The integration of β-CD and RGO was responsible for the formation of the monodispersed 2.0 nm Pd–Pt bimetallic clusters. The β-CD functionalization enhanced the affinity between supports and metal precursors, thus preventing aggregation and overgrowth of nanocrystals. Moreover, compared with commercial Pd/C catalyst, the β-CD-RGO supported Pd–Pt nanoclusters exhibited better electrocatalytic activities for methanol and ethanol oxidation. The Pd–Pt@β-CD-RGO nanocatalyst may also be used in other potential applications such as CO oxidation or hydrogenation reaction.
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