The Current Status of the Distribution Range of the Western Pine Beetle, *Dendroctonus brevicomis* (Curculionidae: Scolytinae) in Northern Mexico
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Abstract

The distribution range of the western pine beetle *Dendroctonus brevicomis* LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is supported only by scattered records in the northern parts of Mexico, suggesting that its populations may be marginal and rare in this region. In this study, we review the geographical distribution of *D. brevicomis* in northern Mexico and perform a geometric morphometric analysis of seminal rod shape to evaluate its reliability for identifying this species with respect to other members of the *Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimmermann (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) complex. Our results provide 30 new records, with 26 distributed in the Sierra Madre Occidental and 4 in the Sierra Madre Oriental. These records extend the known distribution range of *D. brevicomis* to Durango and Tamaulipas states in northern Mexico. Furthermore, we find high geographic variation in size and shape of the seminal rod, with conspicuous differences among individuals from different geographical regions, namely west and east of the Great Basin and between mountain systems in Mexico.
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The western pine beetle (WPB) *Dendroctonus brevicomis* LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is a bark beetle well known in Canada and the United States. It is an aggressive species capable of killing large numbers of pine trees during outbreaks (Miller and Keen 1960, Bright 1976, Six and Bracewell 2015). The WPB occurs across the west coast in southern British Columbia in Canada as far south as southern California, and from Idaho and eastern Montana to central Arizona and western Texas (Wood 1982). This range coincides with those of its principal hosts, *Pinus ponderosa* Douglas ex C. Lawson (Pinaceae) and *P. coulteri* D. Don (Pinaceae), which form pine forests at elevations between 300 and 1800 m (Miller and Keen 1960, Wood 1963, DeMars and Roettgering 1982, Strom et al. 2001, Six and Bracewell 2015).

The first record of this bark beetle species in Mexico was reported by Swaine (1918) as *Dendroctonus barberi* Hopkins, a synonym of *D. brevicomis*, in the northern region of the country. Since then, few studies have recorded its presence in this region. For example, Wood (1963, 1982) documented this species from Tres Ríos in Chihuahua state; Perusquía (1978) displayed the seminal rod of one specimen from Arroyo de los Novillos, Mesa del Huracán in the same state; Lanier et al. (1988) described the seminal rod of specimens collected in Puerto del Tarillo, 10 km south of Galeana, Nuevo Leon; and Sánchez-Martínez et al. (2007) collected specimens in funnel traps in Sierra de Arteaga, Coahuila. Other studies have suggested that *D. brevicomis* could also be present in Coahuila, Durango, and Zacatecas states (Atkinson 2017, Cibrián-Tovar et al. 1995). However, due to the lack of vouchers in entomological collections and reliable data in the literature, subsequent studies on the distribution range of the *Dendroctonus* species in Mexico considered only 10 valid records for *D. brevicomis* in Chihuahua, Durango, and Nuevo Leon states (Salinas-Moreno et al. 2004, 2010). All this information suggests that the populations of this species may be marginal and rare in Mexico.

However, recent studies carried out in *Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimmermann (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) complex species, such as *D. frontalis*, *Dendroctonus mesoamericanus* Armendáriz-Toledano and Sullivan (Curculionidae: Scolytinae), *Dendroctonus mexicanus* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) and *Dendroctonus vites* Wood (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) (Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014a,b), have demonstrated that the taxonomic identification of species from this complex in Mexico and Central
America is difficult and problematic because the wide morphological variation of their body attributes (Armendáriz-Toledano and Zúñiga 2017), the lack of diagnostic characters to differentiate them (Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014a), and the coexistence of some of species in space and time in the same host (Zúñiga et al. 1995, 1999; Moser et al. 2005). This has led some collections of D. frontalis complex species to be incorrectly identified or unrecognized, despite species can be identified with molecular marker (e.g., COI mtDNA) (Victor and Zúñiga 2016), chromosomes number (Lanier et al. 1988, Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014a, 2014b, 2017), and in some cases with cuticular hydrocarbon (Sullivan et al. 2012).

The morphology of the seminal rod, a sclerotized structure within the internal sac of the aedeagus, has been used successfully to identify species belonging to the D. frontalis complex (Vité et al. 1993, 1999; Moser et al. 2005). This has led some collections of D. frontalis complex species to be incorrectly identified or unrecognized, and consequently used to reconsider their distribution (Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014b, 2017), and in some cases with cuticular hydrocarbon (Sullivan et al. 2012).

In this study, we review the geographical distribution of D. brevicomis in the mountain systems of northern Mexico and perform a geometric morphometrics analysis of seminal rods to evaluate their reliability and consistency for identifying this species with regard to members of the D. frontalis complex.

### Materials and Methods

More than 1,500 specimens of the D. frontalis complex species from 60 geographic locations in northern Mexico were reviewed; D. brevicomis was present in 31 of these locations (Table 1). The samples were collected directly from infested trees or donated by federal institutions: Comisión Nacional Forestal in Chihuahua, Durango, and Jalisco; Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias (INIFAP) campus in Aguascalientes; Laboratorio de Análisis de Referencia en Sanidad Forestal del INIFAP; Colección Científica de Entomología Forestal, División de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad Autónoma de Chapingo; and Museo de Historia Natural de la Ciudad y Cultura Ambiental de la Ciudad de Mexico.

D. frontalis complex species were identified following Armendáriz-Toledano and Zúñiga (2017). Specimens were sexed by the presence of frontal tubercles and stridulatory apparatus in males (Lyon 1958, Wood 1982). Male genitalia were removed from specimens and cleared according to Armendáriz-Toledano et al. (2014b). The seminal rod was separated from the genitalia, and both structures were semipermanently mounted on the same slide in glycerol and photographed in lateral view using a Nikon Coolpix 5000 (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) camera on a phase contrast microscope (400×).

### Table 1. Species, acronyms, state, municipality, location, and geographical coordinates from examined specimens of D. brevicomis, D. approximatus, and D. adjunctus

| Sp | Acronyms | State, municipality, and locality | Longitude | Latitude | Altitude (m) |
|----|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| CGRA | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Rincones del Aguajito | 26°58′12″ | 107°06′12″ | 2,441 |
| CGEC | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Ejido Corralitos | 26°54′40″ | 106°58′39″ | 2,417 |
| CGA | Chihuahua, Guachochi-La Angostura | 26°56′56″ | 107°06′00″ | 2,440 |
| CGRP | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Rocheachi, pesachi | 27°4′56″ | 107°12′3″ | 2,291 |
| CGMA | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Mesa del Aguaje | 27°05′39″ | 107°15′18″ | 2,384 |
| CGFL | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Frac. A, Lote 1, La Lobera | 26°53′2″ | 107°06′43″ | 2,475 |
| CGTH | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Ejido Tataluhihue Hueleyvo | 27°15′34″ | 107°22′53″ | 2,303 |
| CGTS | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Tonachi, Sibarichi | 26°56′47″ | 107°15′29″ | 2,202 |
| CGAT | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Ajolotes, Telesforo | 26°52′45″ | 107°02′29″ | 2,425 |
| CGAP | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Aboreachi, Potrero Eusebio | 27°06′26″ | 107°20′15″ | 2,213 |
| CGF4 | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Frac. 4 Rancho Roque. | 26°43′30″ | 107°10′33″ | 2,496 |
| CGA | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Samachique | 27°17′50″ | 107°32′51″ | 2,324 |
| CGP | Chihuahua, Guachochi-El peñasco | 26°53′20″ | 107°05′41″ | 2,440 |
| CGVL | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Valle de Lobos | 26°55′47″ | 107°08′23″ | 2,458 |
| CGLS | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Ejido La Soledad | 26°56′55″ | 106°59′37″ | 2,330 |
| CGRE | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Rancho La Esperanza | 26°52′40″ | 107°11′33″ | 2,492 |
| CGL2 | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Lote 2 | 26°52′20″ | 107°08′37″ | 2,455 |
| CGAZ | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Ejido Agua Zarca | 26°49′15″ | 107°08′11″ | 2,465 |
| CGT | Chihuahua, Guachochi-El Tascate | 26°47′14″ | 107°07′34″ | 2,507 |
| CGT2 | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Caborachí | 26°49′23″ | 106°55′39″ | 2,480 |
| CGPE | Chihuahua, Guachochi-Patio Elio Acosta | 26°51′38″ | 107°5′12″ | 2,418 |
| CML | Chihuahua, Madera-La Lobera | 29°37′25″ | 108′34′59″ | 1,946 |
| CMG | Chihuahua, Madera-Guadalupe Victoria | 29°13′4″ | 107°3′20″ | 2,241 |
| DSO | Durango, San Dimas-Ejido Otinapa y San Carlos | 24°02′26″ | 105°04′23″ | 2,485 |
| DSC | Durango, San Dimas-Charrería | 24°22′8″ | 105°32′48″ | 2,540 |
| DPE | Durango, Durango-Parque Ecológico Teclán | 23°56′60″ | 105°3′00″ | 2,456 |
| DAM | Durango, Durango-Ejido Altares-Mesa del Cristo | 23°56′60″ | 105°13′43″ | 2,487 |
| CAM | Coahuila, Artega-Monterreal | 24°14′8″ | 100°26′3″ | 2,209 |
| NGL | Nuevo León, Galeana-Carretta Linares-Galeana la ‘Y’ | 24°46′45″ | 100°2′42″ | 1,607 |
| NGP | Nuevo León, Galeana-Puerto Pastores | 24°46′42″ | 100′2′1″ | 1,584 |
| NCP | Nuevo León, Cerro-El Potosí | 24°52′2″ | 100′13′52″ | 3,392 |
| TGF | Tamaulipas, Gómez Farías-Ejido Unidos Venceremos | 22°52′18″ | 99°2′44″ | 1,110 |
| EAFM | Estado de México, Axapusco-Ejido Francisco I. Madero | 19°41′53″ | 98°45′19″ | 2,870 |
| JCGT | Jalisco, Ciudad Guzmán-Sierra del Tigre | 19°53′32″ | 102°58′11″ | 2,686 |

aD. approximatus.

bD. adjunctus.
Geometric Morphometrics

In total, 70 images from seminal rods of *D. brevicomis* from 31 localities were analyzed (Table 1). In addition, for comparison purposes, 10 seminal rods of specimens from British Columbia, Canada and San Jacinto, California and Flagstaff, Arizona, United States were included in the analysis. Images from seminal rods of *D. frontalis* (= 5), *D. mesoamericanus* (= 4), *D. mexicanus* (= 4), and *D. vitellus* (= 5) reported in Armendáriz-Toledano et al. (2014b) and images from *D. adjunctus* (= 5) and *D. approximatus* (= 4) seminal rods freshly obtained from specimens collected in Mexican localities (Table 1) were also included in the analysis. All images were identically oriented with the seminal valve pointing upwards (Fig. 1A).

Because there is an insufficient number of suitable, well-defined homologous points on the seminal rod, we used landmarks and semilandmarks (Bookstein 1991, Zelditch et al. 2004) (Fig. 1B). To guarantee a consistent location of semilandmarks on seminal rod curvatures, a fan of 46 radiating lines was added to each seminal rod image. The fan was digitalized in the MakeFan6 application in the integrated morphometrics package (IMP) (Sheets 2003), and the points where lines met with the margin of the seminal rod constituted semilandmarks. In total, 6 landmarks (type II [1, 3, 15–17] and III [2]) and 11 semilandmarks (4–14) were defined and later digitalized in an x, y coordinates matrix using the tpsDig program, ver 1.40 (Rohlf 2004).

Given that semilandmarks were digitized as discrete points, coordinate adjustment was done in SemiLand 6 (Sheets 2003) to minimize the tangential variation of points on seminal rod curvatures after generalized Procrustes analysis (GPA) (Zelditch et al. 2004). GPA was performed in the CoordGen6 program of IMP to produce a set of partial Procrustes superimpositions of specimens without effects of size, position or rotation.

To obtain new variables that quantify the highest percentage of shape variation, relative warps analysis (RWA) was performed in PAST 3.12 using the adjusted x, y coordinates matrix (Hammer et al. 2001, Zelditch et al. 2004). RWA was performed using paired variance–covariance matrices among species, and seminal rod shape variation in a multidimensional space was plotted using the first two relative warps (RW1 vs RW2).

Changes in seminal rod geometric configuration of the specimens were visualized by thin-plate spline deformation grids in PAST 3.12, and shape variation was visualized by mean of deformation grids.

Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), with respective post hoc pairwise Hotelling’s T-test, using the first five RWs (Zelditch et al. 2004), was performed to evaluate shape differences among species. The discriminatory power of seminal rod shape among species was tested using canonical variate analysis (CVA) with coordinates generated by Procrustes in PAST 3.12.

Results

Distribution Range

*D. brevicomis* was identified in the following localities in Mexico (Fig. 2, Table 1): Chihuahua, Guachochi municipality: Ricones del Aguaquito, 26° 58’12”, 107° 06’12” (New record); Ejido Corralitos, 26° 54’40”, 106° 58’39” (New record); La angostura, 26° 56’56”, 107° 06’00” (New record); Rocheachi-Pesachi, 27° 4’56”, 107° 12’3” (New record); Mesa del Aguaje, 27° 05’39”, 107° 15’18” (New record); Fraccionamiento A, Lote 1, La lobera, 26° 53’2”, 107° 06’43” (New record); Tatalhuici-Hueleyvo, 27° 15’34”, 107° 22’53” (New record); Tonachi-Sibarichi, 26° 56’47”, 107° 15’29” (New record); Ajolotes-Telesforo, 26° 52’45”, 107° 02’29” (New record); Aboreachi-Potrero Eusebio, 27° 06’26”, 107° 20’15” (New record); Fraccionamiento 4 Rancho Roque, 26° 43’50”, 107° 10’33” (New record); Samachique,
The curvature between both processes and the curvature of the distal component had a slightly longer ventral process than dorsal process in the proximal region (Fig. 3A). Specimens with positive values for this character had an elongated ventral process, whereas specimens with negative values had a much wider distal edge than the seminal valve. Specimens with positive RW1 values had a thin, whole distal area almost as wide as the seminal valve, whereas specimens with negative values had a much wider distal edge than the seminal valve and distal edge divided into ventral and dorsal processes (Fig. 3A).

RW2 showed deformations in the dorsal and ventral processes identified by morphometric analysis of the seminal rod, and the presence of homogeneous short pubescences on elytral declivity. Locality acronyms are shown in Table 1. CH (Chihuahua); DG (Durango); NL (Nuevo Leon); COH (Coahuila); TM (Tamaulipas).

Geometric Morphometrics

The first three RWs explained 98.3% of the observed total variation (RW1: 62.3%, RW2: 20.9%, RW3: 11.7%, RW4: 2.9%). The deformation grids corresponding to RW1 explained deformations in the distal region of the seminal rod, dorsal border and seminal valve. Specimens with positive RW1 values had a thin, whole distal area almost as wide as the seminal valve, whereas specimens with negative values had a much wider distal edge than the seminal valve and distal edge divided into ventral and dorsal processes (Fig. 3A).

The scatter plot between RW1 and RW2 showed the formation of seven groups, four of them with a slight overlap, given that one specimen of D. vitezii was mixed with D. mexicanus, and two specimens of D. approximatus were mixed with D. brevicomis (Fig. 3A).

The scatter plot between RW1 and RW2 showed the formation of seven groups, four of them with a slight overlap, given that one specimen of D. vitezii was mixed with D. mexicanus, and two specimens of D. approximatus were mixed with D. brevicomis (Fig. 3A).

Significant differences were found in the form of seminal rods among species (MANOVA: $\lambda_{\text{Wilks}} = 0.009578$, $F = 78.35$, d.f. = 12,102, $P \leq 0.001$). The respective paired Hotelling's $T$-test supported differences in the shape of this structure between D. brevicomis and the rest of the analyzed species: D. adjunctus ($P \leq 0.001$), D. approximatus ($P \leq 0.005$), D. frontalis ($P \leq 0.001$), D. vitezii ($P \leq 0.001$), D. mesoamericanus ($P \leq 0.001$), and D. mexicanus ($P \leq 0.001$).

The CVA explained 97.6% of total variation in the first three canonical vectors (CV1: 68.6%, CV2: 20.42%, CV3: 8.5%). Scatter plots between CV1 versus CV2, and CV1 versus CV3, and discriminant function correctly grouped and classified 100% of the specimens according to seven analyzed species (Fig. 3B).

Discussion

Range Distribution

Our study confirms the presence of D. brevicomis in Chihuahua, Coahuila, Durango, and Nuevo Leon states, where this species had been previously reported (Atkinson 2017, Lanier et al. 1988, Salinas-Moreno et al. 2010, Wood and Bright 1992) and provides 30 new records in Mexico. Of these new records, 26 are in Chihuahua and Durango states in the Sierra Madre Occidental (SMOC) and four in Nuevo Leon and Tamaulipas states in the Sierra Madre Oriental (SMOR). The Tamaulipas record constitutes the most southern distribution site. Voucher representatives of all locations analyzed were deposited in the Museo de Historia Natural de la Ciudad y Cultura Ambiental and Colección Nacional de Insectos del Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (CNIN), Mexico City, Mexico.
The increase in the records number of *D. brevicomis* suggests that its occurrence in northern Mexico has often been overlooked, perhaps due to incorrect determination or because it is far less abundant compared with other *D. frontalis* complex species. We found that in >90% of analyzed samples, the WPB was present in small proportions (~1:100) compared with other bark beetles (e.g., *D. mexicanus* or *D. frontalis*).

The majority of *D. brevicomis* records in Canada and the United States has been reported in *Pinus ponderosa* Douglas ex Lawson 1836 and *P. coulteri* D. Don 1836 (Wood 1982, Lanier et al. 1988, Wood and Bright 1992, Six and Bracewell 2015) and occasionally in other species such as *Pinus arizonica* Engelmann ex Rothrock 1878 (Pinales: Pinaceae), and *Abies concolor* (Gordon et Glendinning) Hildebrand 1861 (Pinales: Pinaceae) (Atkinson 2017, Bright 1976). In Mexico, scarce records of this species were reported for *Pinus estesi* Martinez 1982, *Pinus leiophylla* Schiede ex Schlechtendal et Chamisso 1831, *Pinus montezumae* Lambert 1832, and *Pinus teocote* Schiede ex Schlechtendal et Chamisso 1830 (Cibrián-Tovar et al. 1995, Salinas-Moreno et al. 2010). In this study, we found *D. brevicomis* in *P. engelmannii*, *P. leiophylla*, *P. montezumae*, and *P. teocote*.

The distribution of *D. brevicomis* in the northern regions of SMOC and SMOR in Mexico, its discontinuous range in both regions, and the larger number of hosts recorded compared with Canada and the United States should be used to reconsider the potential ecological role that this aggressive species might have in Mexico; in fact, in these last years the collection of this species have been more frequent in these mountain systems, although outbreaks in these mountains have been attributed to other *Dendroctonus* species.

![Fig. 3](https://academic.oup.com/jinsectscience/article-abstract/17/5/92/4103413)
the analysis of this structure in individuals from species belonging to (Vité et al. 1974, 1975; Lanier et al. 1988). Recently, a quantitative morphological character for identifying complex species, Seminal rod shape has been proposed repeatedly as a useful morphological character for identifying complex species (Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014a,b). Our results show that when members of this complex are discriminating these species (Armendáriz-Toledano et al. 2014a,b). Particularly, these differences were consistent even after specimens of D. brevicomis from other localities were included.

Thus, D. brevicomis can be differentiated from D. approximatus by the presence of a thin distal area of the seminal rod body with very pronounced distal–dorsal and distal–ventral edges and seminal valves thinner than the seminal rod body.

Intraspecific Variation of the Seminal Rod of D. brevicomis

Our results show that the seminal rod displays a wide geographic variation in terms of size and shape (Fig. 4). Specimens from British Columbia and California have longer seminal rods than those from Arizona, which, in turn, are longer than those from SMOC (Chihuahua and Durango) specimens. Further, specimens from British Columbia and western California show seminal rods with scarcely pronounced elongated dorsal and ventral curvatures compared with specimens from eastern Arizona, which also have elongated seminal rods but conspicuously pronounced dorsal and ventral curvatures. On the other hand, specimens from Mexican localities present more complex seminal rod shape patterns. Specimens from SMOR have thinner seminal rods than specimens from any other locality, with very pronounced curvatures.

Other attributes of taxonomic value (e.g., pubescence length in elitral declivity) in the WPB show variation patterns similar to those of seminal rods. Specimens from British Columbia and California present variable pubescence length in elitral declivity that does not exceed interestriu width, while specimens from Arizona, Chihuahua, Durango, and Nuevo Leon display a more uniform pubescence length. Additional studies of these and other morphological characteristics across all distribution range of D. brevicomis must be performed to determine the limits of this species, because morphological, molecular, and chemical ecology evidence (Hopkins 1909; Kelley et al. 1999; Pureswaran et al. 2008, 2016) suggests the presence of cryptic species between western and eastern populations of the Great Basin in the United States and possibly also in Mexican populations.
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