Colonization by the Mycorrhizal Helper \textit{Bacillus pumilus} HR10 Is Enhanced During the Establishment of Ectomycorrhizal Symbiosis Between \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI and \textit{Pinus thunbergii}
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There are complex interactions between mycorrhizal helper bacteria (MHBs) and ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi, with MHBs promoting mycorrhizal synthesis and ECM fungi regulating plant rhizobacterial colonization, diversity, and function. In this study, to investigate whether the ECM fungus \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI affects the survival and colonization of the MHB strain \textit{Bacillus pumilus} HR10 in the rhizosphere, the biomass of \textit{B. pumilus} HR10 was measured in the rhizosphere and mycorrhizosphere. In addition, extracts of \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI and \textit{Pinus thunbergii} were evaluated for their effect on \textit{B. pumilus} HR10 colonization (growth, sporulation, biofilm formation, extracellular polysaccharide and extracellular protein contents, flagellar motility, and expression of colonization-related genes). The results showed that inoculation of \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI significantly increased the biomass of \textit{B. pumilus} HR10 in the rhizosphere; however, while extracts of \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI and \textit{P. thunbergii} did not affect the biomass or spore formation of HR10, they did affect its biofilm formation, extracellular polysaccharide and extracellular protein production, and flagellar motility. Furthermore, the addition of symbiont extracts affected the expression of chemotaxis-related genes in HR10. When the extracts were added separately, the expression of \textit{srf} genes in HR10 increased; when the extracts were added simultaneously, the expression of the flagellin gene \textit{flIG} in HR10 increased, but there was no significant effect on the expression of \textit{srf} genes, consistent with the results on biofilm production. Thus, \textit{Hymenochaete} sp. RI and \textit{P. thunbergii} roots had a positive effect on colonization by \textit{B. pumilus} HR10 at the rhizosphere level through their secretions.
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INTRODUCTION

Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi establish symbiosis with the roots of most trees in boreal and temperate ecosystems and are major drivers of nutrient circulation between trees and the soil (Plassard and Dell, 2010; Martin et al., 2016; Moreau et al., 2019; Tedersoo et al., 2020). Thus, ECM fungi enhance the ability of trees to absorb various mineral nutrients from the soil, and their hyphae are sometimes considered extensions of the root system (Dietz et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2016; Oldroyd and Leyser, 2020). As research has progressed, mycorrhizal fungi have been found to be a complex microecological system (Guo et al., 2016). Mycorrhizal fungi-plant symbionts and rhizosphere fungi, bacteria, actinomycetes, and other microorganisms are found in close interaction patterns in physical structures, active ingredient metabolism, and functional exertion (Morgado et al., 2015; Santalhti et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Steidinger et al., 2019). On the one hand, mycorrhizal fungi interact with the soil bacterial and modify the rhizosphere microbial community. This part of the study is mostly seen in the effect of inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi on the growth of rhizosphere bacteria, and the effect of ECM fungi on rhizosphere bacteria is rarely reported. Studies have demonstrated that colonization by mycorrhizal fungi decreases (Christensen and Jakobsen, 1993; Wamberg et al., 2003; Cavagnaro et al., 2006) but also increases (Aarle et al., 2003; Albertsen et al., 2006) or have no effect (Olsson et al., 1996) on the microbial biomass due to differences in plant species, experimental duration, root growth activity, exudate composition and/or amount, and carbohydrate metabolism of the plant. On the other hand, rhizosphere bacteria can affect the growth and colonization of mycorrhizal fungi (Mechri et al., 2014). Labbe et al. (2014) analyzed the effects of 23 individual Pseudomonas strains the growth and colonization of Laccaria bicolor. Nineteen of the 23 Pseudomonas strains promoted the growth of L. bicolor, three of them had positive effects on mycorrhizal formation and one strain inhibited mycorrhization; two strains significantly inhibited the growth of L. bicolor and inhibited mycorrhization. These bacterial strains that positively influenced the establishment and functioning of mycorrhizal symbioses were categorized as mycorrhizal helper bacteria (MHBs; Garbaye, 1994). Researchers have screened for MHBs that promote mycorrhizal formation in plants from a variety of different habitats. Current theory holds that MHB play a role in promoting the mycelial growth of mycorrhizal fungi, reducing the concentration of toxic substances in the soil, promoting the development of host plant roots, and increasing mycorrhizal infection, thereby achieving the ultimate goal of improving the efficiency of mycorrhizal formation (Poole et al., 2001; Vivas et al., 2006; Deveau et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2013; Armada et al., 2016; Shinde et al., 2019).

Studies have shown that MHBs have a benign interaction with mycorrhizal fungi, which is reflected not only in the promotion of EMC fungal growth and morphology by MHBs but also in the positive effect of EMC fungi on the biomass and colonization of MHBs (Frey-Klett et al., 2005; Deveau et al., 2010; Marupakula et al., 2016; Velez et al., 2018). During mycorrhization, the proliferation of MHBs in the rhizosphere prior to symbiosis can improve the receptivity of the roots to mycorrhizal formation (Aspray et al., 2006). Proliferating MHBs guarantee the supply of growth-promoting substances, which may also promote the growth of the fungus in its saprotrophic state in the soil or at the root surface, triggering or accelerating the germination of fungal propagules in soil (Nazir et al., 2010). Frey-Klett et al. (1997) showed that the survival of the MHB strain Pseudomonas fluorescens BBc6R8 in soil was significantly improved by the presence of the ECM strain Laccaria bicolor S238N; however, the biomass of P. fluorescens BBc6R8 was found to significantly decrease in the nonmycorrhizal Douglas-fir in the presence of L. bicolor S238N, indicating that this bacterial strain is more dependent on the presence of fungi than on the presence of roots. Additionally, under pure culture conditions, P. fluorescens BBc6R8 adheres to the surface of the mycelium of L. bicolor S238N, forming a biofilm-like structure (Frey-Klett et al., 2007). Glomus mosseae, an AM fungus, has improved the long-term survival of P. fluorescens 92rk, an MHB strain, in the rhizosphere of tomato plants (Gamalero et al., 2004, 2005).

Studies have shown that the interaction between MHBs and mycorrhizal fungi is mutualistic, and we isolated an MHB strain, Bacillus pumilus HR10, from the Pinus thunbergii—Rhizopogon luteus mycorrhizosphere, which can significantly promote the mycorrhizas and growth of P. thunbergii (Sheng et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2021), but the effect of the mycorrhizas on the rhizosphere of B. pumilus HR10 is not yet clear. Therefore, studies on the effects of mycorrhizas on B. pumilus HR10 rhizosphere colonization and biofilm formation are particularly important for understanding the interaction between mycorrhizal fungi and MHBs to promote pine growth, this not only supports the interaction between MHBs and mycorrhizal fungi, but also provides a basis for the application of the B. pumilus HR10 strain in the field. In these experiment, the biomass of B. pumilus HR10 in the mycorrhizosphere was detected by the plate counting method, and the growth, biofilm formation, extracellular polysaccharide and extracellular protein production ability, and flagellar motility of B. pumilus HR10 after treatment with Hymenochaete sp. Rl mycelium and P. thunbergii root extracts were investigated to understand the effect of mycorrhizas on the rhizosphere of B. pumilus HR10, and provide a theoretical basis for further utilization and development of B. pumilus HR10 to increase pine growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microbial Strains and Culture Conditions

Bacillus pumilus HR10 was originally isolated from the rhizosphere soil of mycorrhizal seedlings of Pinus thunbergii (Sheng et al., 2014); it is a mycorrhizal helper bacterium that promotes the formation of P. thunbergii—Hymenochaete sp. Rl mycorrhizal symbiosis. Bacillus pumilus HR10 can also secrete antagonistic proteins for effective control of Sphaeropsis shoot blight (Dai et al., 2021) and can control pine seedling damping-off.
disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* because of its efficient colonization capacity (Zhu et al., 2020). It was maintained at −80°C in Luria-Bertani (LB; 10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, and 5 g L⁻¹ NaCl) medium with 25% glycerol. It was first grown on LB agar plates overnight at 30°C. Then, individual colonies were collected from culture plates to inoculate 25 ml of liquid LB medium, followed by incubation at 28°C and 200 rpm until an OD₆₀₀nm value of 1.0 was reached before their use for growth, spore formation, biofilm formation, and flagellar motility assays. *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl as the supplied experimental ectomycorrhizal fungus was originally isolated from the Zixi Mountain Forest Park, Yunnan Province, China (Yang, 2004). Fungal cultures were maintained on ZPD medium (boiled juice of 200 g L⁻¹ potato, 20.0 g L⁻¹ glucose, 2.5 g L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 1.5 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.05 g L⁻¹ vitamin B₆, and 15.0 g L⁻¹ agar added to solid medium).

**Laboratory Conditions for Colonization Community Interactions**

Two community conditions were considered in this experiment: pine with *B. pumilus* HR10; and pine with both *B. pumilus* HR10 and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl. Pine seedlings were grown in tissue culture bottles containing soil, sand, and vermiculite (2:1:1). The mixture was crushed, passed through a 2 mm sieve, and autoclaved at 121°C for 90 min to eliminate the native microflora. The seeds were germinated by soaking in water for 24 h, and sterilized in 30% H₂O₂ for 30 min. Then these seeds were sown on water agar plates and incubated at 25°C in a greenhouse.

*Hymenochaete* sp. Rl was the first community member introduced into the bottle communities. The fungus was grown on liquid ZPD medium at 25°C for approximately 3 weeks with shaking at 150 rpm. The fungus was collected and washed three times with sterile water, and the hyphae were cut into small hyphal segments with a tissue crusher. The seedlings were transferred to bottles each containing 100 g of soil, which were introduced with 0.05 g of mycorrhizal inoculum. For nonmycorrhizal conditions, an equivalent volume of sterile water was added instead of fungal slurry. Around 10 days after the pine seedlings were planted, 5 ml bacterial suspensions [10⁸ colony forming units (cfu)/g soil] were slowly poured into each bottle around the stem of the seedling. The *B. pumilus* HR10 bacterial culture inoculum was grown in 20 ml of LB medium overnight at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm. After harvesting by centrifugation at 1,500 g for 10 min, the sediments were washed and resuspended in sterile water to obtain an approximate bacterial number of 5 x 10⁶ cfu per ml. All seedlings were grown in greenhouse at 25°C (12-h light, 12-h dark time). The seedlings were transplanted after the cotyledons developed. The experiment was performed with three biological replicates per experimental condition for a total of six bottles that were sealed with sealing film to ensure pure cultures. The communities were allowed to grow for an additional 3 months after the addition of bacteria and then harvested to measure the biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 in the rhizosphere soil and the pine root surfaces.

**Biomass of Bacillus pumilus HR10 Assay**

The roots were rinsed with sterile water to remove surface soil and then observed by scanning electron microscopy. In addition, 0.1 g of rhizosphere soil was placed in a shake flask containing 10 ml of sterile water. After shaking at 200 rpm for 10 min at 30°C, the mixture was diluted by the appropriate amount and coated in solid LB medium. After 24 h, the number of colonies was recorded; the number of colonies was used to estimate the extent of colonization. The root surface biomass was measured by replacing 0.1 g of rhizosphere soil with a sample of the root system (10 cm in length) after gentle rinsing with sterile water in a shake flask.

**Growth and Spore Formation of Bacillus pumilus HR10 in vitro**

Preparation of the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts: A certain amount of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* roots were ground into a powder in liquid nitrogen, 10 volumes (w/v) of PBS buffer were added, and the samples were sterilized with a 0.22 μm membrane filter. Then, the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts were obtained. Cultures of *B. pumilus* HR10 were grown to an OD₆₀₀nm value of 1.0 and diluted 100-fold in LB medium supplemented with an extract of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and/or *P. thunbergii* roots, and then shaken at 30°C and 200 rpm. Samples taken every 6 h were diluted by an appropriate multiple and coated in LB solid medium. After 24 h, the number of colonies was recorded. Continuous measurements over 120 h formed a growth curve of *B. pumilus* HR10. Sampling for the spore formation curve of *B. pumilus* HR10 was identical to the growth curve except that the samples were warmed at 85°C for 10 min before dilution.

**Biofilm Formation of Bacillus pumilus HR10**

After culturing overnight, the *B. pumilus* HR10 dilution was coated in LB solid medium supplemented with the above extract of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and/or *P. thunbergii* roots, and the colony morphology was observed by Zeiss microscopy after standing at 28°C for 24 h. Biofilm formation was measured using the microplate assay. Briefly, cultures of *B. pumilus* HR10 were grown to an OD₆₀₀nm value of 1.0, and then diluted 100-fold in LB medium supplemented with an extract of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium or and/or *P. thunbergii* roots. The diluted culture was dispensed into a 96-well polypropylene microtiter plate with 200 μl per well. After incubation at 30°C for 48 h, the culture medium was removed, and unattached cells were washed off by rinsing each well with 250 μl of 10 mM PBS (pH 7.2). A total of three washes were performed. Subsequently, 2 ml of 0.1% (v/v) crystal violet solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 30 min and rinsed three times with deionized water (250 μl per rinse). Crystal violet was dissolved by the addition of 250 μl ethanol. The absorption of the eluted stain was measured at a wavelength of 590 nm.

Overnight cultures of *B. pumilus* HR10 were inoculated into LB medium (0.1%, v/v) supplemented with extracts of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and/or *P. thunbergii* roots, and
the mixture was shaken at 200 rpm and 30°C to an OD₆₀₀≈ 1.5. The culture was allowed to stand for 48h. The OD₆₅₀ was measured by a microplate reader, and then the samples were washed three times with PBS buffer to remove floating bacteria. Then, 1 ml of a 0.01 M KCl solution was added and mixed to obtain suspensions. These suspensions were sonicated (5 s each time, 5 s gap, 5 cycles). The samples were centrifuged at 4,000 g for 20 min at 4°C, and sterilized by a 0.22 μm membrane filter. Then 200 μl of concentrated sulfuric acid was added to 100 μl of the above filtrate, and after standing for 30 min, 25 μl of phenol solution (6%) was added. The OD₆₅₀ was determined after incubating at 90°C for 5 min, and the relative content of the extracellular polysaccharide was determined based on the OD₆₅₀/OD₆₅₀ value. Then, 200 μl of formic reagent solution was added to 40 μl of the above filtrate and after standing for 10 min at room temperature, 20 μl of formic reagent B was added, followed by a 30 min incubation at room temperature. Finally, the OD₇₃₀ was determined, and the relative content of the extracellular protein was calculated from the OD₆₅₀/OD₆₅₀ value.

Swarming and Swimming Motility Assay
To measure the swarming motility of B. pumilus HR10, motility agar (5 g L⁻¹ agar, 10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, and 5 g L⁻¹ NaCl) was used. Swimming motility assays were performed on 0.5% (w/v) agar LB plates supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) glucose (Hou et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019). After solidification, plates were briefly dried at room temperature and spot inoculated with 2 μl aliquots taken directly from overnight LB cultures, and these plates were incubated face up at 28°C for 16 h. Experiments were repeated in triplicate and the data are presented as averages over three replicate plates.

Real-Time PCR Assay
Bacillus pumilus HR10 was cultured on LB agar plates containing an extract of Hymenochaete sp. Rl mycelium or/and P. thunbergii roots. After 12 h, the cells were harvested and subjected to total RNA extraction using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a HiScript II Q RT SuperMix for qPCR Kit (R223-01, Vazyme, China). The reaction mixture consisted of 2 μl template cDNA, 12.5 μl ChamQ™ SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Q311-02, Vazyme, China), 0.5 μl each of the forward and reverse primers (10 mM; Table 1), and 9.5 μl RNA free water. Amplification was performed with a Step-One Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems 7500, United States) and consisted of 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 95°C for 10 s, and extension at 60°C for 43 s. The gyrB gene was used as housekeeping control (Zhao et al., 2011). The result was analyzed by the 2⁻ΔΔCT method.

Statistical Analysis
Three replicate trials were carried out for each sample, and all the experiments were repeated three times. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using DPS 9.50 software, and expressed as the means ± SDs. Statistical significance was considered at the p < 0.05 level. All graphs were drawn with Excel 2010 software.

| TABLE 1 | Primer sequences used in this study. |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Gene name | Forward primer sequences | Reverse primer sequences |
| gyrB      | GAGGGAGTCGCTGATTATGTTCTTCTTCTGAG | CGAACGTGCGTTTAAAACCGG |
| sfp       | GAAGATATCAACGGAATTGAAAA          | GCTTCTCTTCCGACCCATAAC |
| fliG      | TACCCAAGGGCGGGCCAGGTCC | CGACCATACTGCGGACAGA |
| CheR      | CAAGTTCTTCCTAAGCCGTCTCA | TACGCCAGGATGCGGTAA |

RESULTS

The Biomass of Bacillus pumilus HR10 Was Improved in a Pinus thunbergii-Hymenochaete sp. Rl Mycorrhizal Rhizosphere
Sterile 1-month-old black pine seedlings were inoculated with Hymenochaete sp. Rl or/and B. pumilus HR10. After 3 months, the root surface was observed by scanning electron microscopy, and the rhizosphere soil and pine roots were taken to measure the biomass of B. pumilus HR10. The results showed (Figure 1) that the number of B. pumilus HR10 colonies on the root surface of the pines was higher than that in the rhizosphere soil, indicating that B. pumilus HR10 interacts with the roots of pine to increase its biomass and colonize the pine root surface. The biomass of B. pumilus HR10 in the rhizosphere of pines was affected by Hymenochaete sp. Rl, with the B. pumilus HR10 colony number increasing significantly in both the rhizosphere soil and the root surface of the pines inoculated with Hymenochaete sp. Rl; therefore, the mycorrhizal symbiosis formed by Hymenochaete sp. Rl-infected P. thunbergii can also improve B. pumilus HR10 survivability in the rhizosphere soil. The same result was observed by scanning electron microscopy.

Extracts of Pinus thunbergii and Hymenochaete sp. Rl Had No Effect on the Growth or Spore Formation of Bacillus pumilus HR10
Luria-Bertani medium was added to the filter-sterilized extracts of Hymenochaete sp. Rl mycelium or/and the pine roots. Bacillus pumilus HR10 was inoculated in different treatment media, and then the number of B. pumilus HR10 thalli and spores in the different treatment media were measured. The statistical results showed that the extracts of P. thunbergii and Hymenochaete sp. Rl had no significant effect on the biomass or spore formation of B. pumilus HR10 under the experimental conditions tested (Figure 2).

Biofilm Formation of Bacillus pumilus HR10 Was Affected by Extracts of Pinus thunbergii and Hymenochaete sp. Rl
Microscopic observation of the colony morphology of B. pumilus showed that supplementation of the media with both Hymenochaete sp. Rl mycelium and P. thunbergii root extracts significantly increased the number of wrinkles on the surface of the colonies. Followed by the treatments with extracts of
Hymenochaete sp. RI mycelium or *P. thunbergii* roots, the colonies treated with only 1xPBS had the fewest folds on their surfaces (Figure 3A). The production of extracellular polysaccharide and extracellular protein by *B. pumilus* HR10 was significantly enhanced by adding *Hymenochaete* sp. RI mycelium or/and *P. thunbergii* root extracts. *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 extracellular...
polysaccharide and extracellular protein were the most abundant when both *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts were added to the medium, followed by treatment with *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium extract alone (Figure 3B). *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 was cultured to the logarithmic growth phase in LB medium supplemented with *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and/or *P. thunbergii* root extracts, and then 2 ml was transferred to 24-well plates and the plates were statically cultured. It was observed that the addition of *P. thunbergii* root extract and the combined extract of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts resulted in the strongest *B. pumilus* HR10 swimming activity. *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 swimming was significantly inhibited by the *P. thunbergii* root extracts alone (Figure 4A). The *B. pumilus* HR10 colony size was minimal in the swarming detection medium when the extracts of *P. thunbergii* roots or both extracts of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts were added (Figure 4B).

The Motility of *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 Was Affected by Extracts of *Pinus thunbergii* and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl

The swarming of *B. pumilus* HR10 was significantly enhanced by the extract of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium. The combined treatment of extracts of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* roots resulted in the strongest *B. pumilus* HR10 swimming activity. *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 swimming was significantly inhibited by the *P. thunbergii* root extracts alone (Figure 4A). The *B. pumilus* HR10 colony size was minimal in the swarming detection medium when the extracts of *P. thunbergii* roots or both extracts of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* roots were added (Figure 4B).

Extracts of *Pinus thunbergii* and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl Affected the Expression of Genes Related to Colonization in *Bacillus pumilus* HR10

The assay showed that the expression of the *sfp* gene was increased by the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium extract and by *P. thunbergii* root extract; the *sfp* gene is involved in the synthesis of surfactins that play an important role in the formation of biofilms. Expression of the *flIG* gene, which regulates flagellar motility, was also increased by treatment with both extracts. The gene *CheR* encodes the chemotaxis receptor that catalyzes methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (MCP) methylation in the flagellar motility system; its expression was downregulated by the addition of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and *P. thunbergii* root extracts added to the medium.
mycelium or/and Pinus thunbergii root extracts (Figure 5). This finding suggests that the secretions of the ectomycorrhizal fungus Hymenochaete sp. RL or pine roots may play an important role in the colonization of the rhizosphere by Bacillus pumilus HR10.

**DISCUSSION**

Mycorrhizal helper bacteria are plant growth-promoting rhizosphere microorganisms that have a positive interaction with mycorrhizal fungi and host plants (Garbaye, 1994; Frey-Klett et al., 2007). MHBs can promote mycorrhizal mycelium growth (Schrey et al., 2005; Deveau et al., 2007, 2010; Zhao et al., 2013), increase host plant root development (Deveau et al., 2007; Labbé et al., 2014), increase opportunities for mycorrhizal fungi to come into contact host root systems, and influence mutual recognition between mycorrhizal fungi and host plants (Schrey et al., 2005). In addition, mycorrhizal fungi and host plants not only provide habitats for MHBs but also provide rich carbon sources, such as trehalose (Duponnois and Kisa, 2006). The interaction between MHBs and mycorrhizal fungi not only promotes the formation of mycorrhizae, but also enhances the function of the established mycorrhizal symbiosis, which in turn increases the biomass of the host plant. The role played by helper bacteria after mycorrhizal synthesis is mainly reflected in improving the level of plant mineral uptake (Jayasinghearachchi and Seneviratne, 2006; Calvaruso et al., 2007) and protecting the root system from pathogenic agents and damage (Frey-Klett and Garbaye, 2005; Nanjundappa et al., 2019). Therefore, studying colonization by MHBs is particularly important for their application in production.

In the present study, the presence of Hymenochaete sp. RL significantly improved Bacillus pumilus HR10 biomass in the rhizosphere soil and on the root surface, which was consistent with the results of previous studies (Frey-Klett et al., 2007). EMC fungi form symbioses with roots, which allows the
roots to not only increase their surface area to absorb mineral elements but also to expand their sphere of influence in the soil (Bais et al., 2006). EMC fungi, along with plants, are responsible for the release of various carbonaceous compounds into the soil environment, mycorrhizosphere, and microsphere, which can be used by soil bacteria, and other microorganisms as carbon and energy sources, thereby increasing the biomass of soil bacteria that can utilize these carbon and energy sources (Artursson et al., 2006; Calvaruso et al., 2007; Warmink et al., 2009). In addition, the biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 on the root surface was significantly higher than that in the rhizosphere soil, which may be related to the quorum sensing of MHB. The surface of the roots with mycorrhizae was particularly obvious. This may be due to the increase in the number of lateral pine roots of the same length, which we simultaneously inoculated *B. pumilus* HR10 and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl. The root surface area was increased and the biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 was significantly increased when the roots were inoculated with *B. pumilus* HR10 and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl together compared with that in presence of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl only. It is also possible that the inoculation of EMC fungi can change the yield and chemical composition of the root exudates to stimulate the growth of MHB or affect the quorum sensing of MHBs to promote colonization of the mycorrhizae (Loh et al., 2002; Johansson et al., 2004; González-mula et al., 2018).

*In vitro*, neither extract had an affect the growth, spore formation, or biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 during fermentation. The reason that *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl, *P. thunbergii* roots, and the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl-*P. thunbergii* mycorrhizal symbiosis increase the rhizosphere biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 may be because of their influence on its biofilm formation. Biofilm formation is an important factor affecting the colonization of MHB in the rhizosphere (Flemming et al., 2016). Bacterial strains adhere to the root surface through their self-synthesized hydrated polymers and they aggregate with other cells to form microcolonies; once the bacteria multiply and aggregate to a certain level, they begin to form the three-dimensional structure of the biofilm by secreting extracellular polysaccharides and other substances, ultimately colonizing the root surface (de Weert et al., 2004; Kohlmeier et al., 2005; Warmink and van Elsas, 2009; Miquel Guennoc et al., 2018). *Bacillus pumilus* HR10 biofilm formation and production of extracellular polysaccharides and extracellular proteins were significantly enhanced in the presence of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium and/or *P. thunbergii* root extracts, especially when the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium extract and the *P. thunbergii* root extracts were added together, resulting in efficient colonization of *B. pumilus* HR10 on the root surface. Because of the huge differences between experimental conditions and the soil environment, the effects of *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl, *P. thunbergii* roots and the *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl-*P. thunbergii* mycorrhizal symbiosis on the growth of *B. pumilus* HR10 in the rhizosphere environment cannot be ruled out. Numerous studies have shown that EMC fungi affect the growth of MHBs by releasing appropriate carbon sources, altering root exudates or improving the rhizosphere soil environment (Johansson et al., 2004; Toljander et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009; Pivato et al., 2009; Meharg and Cairney, 2016; Zhou et al., 2017). The results we obtained showed that the secretions from *P. thunbergii* roots and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl had little effect on the growth of *B. pumilus* HR10; however, the effects on the rhizosphere soil and the release of compounds after the interaction between *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl and *P. thunbergii* need further study.

We also found that *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl mycelium extracts and *P. thunbergii* root extracts can affect the chemotaxis of *B. pumilus* HR10, which might include its motility, attachment, growth and possibly swarming motility phases. The chemotactic properties are determined by the strength of bacterial flagellar motility (Lapidus et al., 1988; Pion et al., 2013; Pankratova et al., 2018). The colonization tendency and effect of root microorganisms on the root surface are related to their swimming on soft agar surfaces and swimming inside soft agars (Matthysse and Mcmahan, 2001; Esfahani et al., 2009; Hou et al., 2019). The addition of the two extracts could significantly promote the swimming of *B. pumilus* HR10 and the expression of the fliG gene, indicating that the addition of these extracts can improve the flagellar movement of *B. pumilus* HR10. Chemotaxis toward fungal hyphae has been observed in several studies. Kohlmeier et al. (2005) revealed that the movement of bacteria through soil, allowing them to occupy the microhabitats at the fungal hyphae, occurs by virtue of a thin water layer that surrounds the fungal hyphae. In support of the role of motility, Kohlmeier et al. (2005) observed that intrinsic motility (swimming and/or swarming) of the bacteria was required for bacterial translocation along fungal highways, as only flagellated bacterial strains could move along the hyphal surface. Toljander et al. (2006) conducted an experiment on soil bacteria tagged with green fluorescent protein to analyze the variability of bacterial attachment to AM fungal extraradical hyphae. They concluded that bacteria differ in their ability to colonize vital and nonvital hyphae and that attachment is also influenced by the fungal species involved. As bacterial motility is positively, albeit one-sided, correlated with the ability to comigrate with the growing fungal partner, a role for chemotaxis is indicated (Warmink et al., 2009; Nazir et al., 2010). Adding both extracts had no significant effect on biofilm formation or srf gene expression, and there was a difference between the *B. pumilus* HR10 biomass results in the rhizosphere and on the mycorrhizal surface. We hypothesize that this may be due to the interaction of *P. thunbergii*, *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl, and *B. pumilus* HR10 in the rhizosphere and that the interaction between *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl and *P. thunbergii* alters the yield or chemical composition of the root exudates of *P. thunbergii*, thus causing different impacts on the chemotaxis of *B. pumilus* HR10.

In conclusion, *B. pumilus* HR10 and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl inoculation increased the biomass of *B. pumilus* HR10 in the rhizosphere soil and on the root surface compared with *B. pumilus* HR10 inoculation solely, while extracts of *P. thunbergii* and *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl enhanced biofilm formation and the expression of colonization-related genes in *B. pumilus* HR10, indicating that the ectomycorrhizal mycorrhizal fungus *Hymenochaete* sp. Rl-*P. thunbergii* mycorrhizal symbiosis could promote the survival and colonization of *B. pumilus* HR10 in
the rhizosphere. This study provides support for the tripartite interactions of MHBs, mycorrhizal fungi and host plants.
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