Utilization of antibacterial edible coating and nano-silver to enhance the quality of fresh and dried onion
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ABSTRACT
The effect of edible coating on shelf life of fresh and dried onion was studied using different coating materials such as carboxy methyl cellulose (A), alginate (B), starch (C), carboxy methyl cellulose incorporated into nano-silver (D), alginate incorporated into nano-silver (E), starch incorporated into nano-silver, (F) and nano-silver (G). Chemical analysis included (moisture content, total soluble solids, total sugars, total phenol content, vitamin C), and microbial analysis included (total count of bacteria, fungi and yeasts) were determined. The results indicated that the lowest percentage of weight loss at the end of the storage period was an average of 0.12%, 0.16% for fresh onions (whole - slices) for treatment (D). The lowest percentage weight gain for dried onion was an average of (0.03%)) for treatment (D) at the end of the storage period. The fresh onion color value 277 did not change until the end of the storage period for the treatments (D, E, F, G). Also, the color value was constant until the end of the storage period for the dried onion slices under all treatments except for the control treatment where the average color value decreased up to 313. It was noticed that treatment (D) preserved the largest percentage in both total soluble solids, total sugars, total phenols, vitamin C and also pungency until the end of the storage period of fresh and dried onion samples. Lowest value for total bacterial count was an average 1.91 CFU x 10^2/g for the treatments (D, E, F, G) this is in the case of fresh onions (whole - slices). Also, these treatments kept dried onion slices without bacterial growth until the end of the storage period. The lowest value for the total count for fungi and yeasts was with a mean 0.97 CFU x 10^2 /g for the treatments (D, E, F, G) this is in the case of fresh onions (whole - slices) for treatment. Also, these treatments kept dried onion slices without fungi and yeast growth until the end of the storage period. Sensory evaluation of the quality overall acceptability was excellent for all treatments (D, E, F, G). It was observed that the treatments (A, B, C) were average and that the control samples were not acceptable. The moisture content decreased from 86% to 9% during the drying period, which ranged between 4-6.5 hours under the influence of different treatments, while the drying rates in the first stage of the drying process ranged between 0.494 to 0.3196 Kg/ hour under the influence of different treatments, while was lowest specific energy consumption during the drying process is 12.61 Kj / (Kg at treatment (G). The lowest cost of evaporating one kilogram of water during the drying process was 1.71 LE/Kg for treatment (G) and the largest percentage of moisture absorption during rehydration was 56.8% for treatment G.
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INTRODUCTION
Onions (Allium cepa L.) is one of the oldest vegetable crops grown under the Allium family, they are a major source of flavor in fresh and cooked foods, and they also have great healing values. Onions work as a good medicinal compound for cataracts, cardiovascular diseases and cancer due to its cholesterol-lowering and antioxidants effects (Jurgiel et al., 2015). Egypt’s production of onions in 2017 reached 2914,503 tons produced from an area of 200,500 acres. According to the data of the (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2017). New onion varieties of different colors, shapes, scents and flavors are available on the market. At the same time, clients are now more health-oriented and aware of healthy eating habits and are interested not only in the appearance of onions but also their nutritional value and preventive and medicinal values. And often farmers suffer heavy losses due to low prices due to abundance of supply and lack of demand, where the percentage of damaged onions ranges from 30% to 60% due to physiological weight loss and the percentage of losses due to mold ranges from 25% - 30% (Goudra et al., 2014).

In addition, the contamination of the skin of the onion leads to biochemical deterioration such as brown coloration, and the breakdown of texture, which causes the presence of microorganisms that cause diseases in humans such as salmonella bacteria as well E. coli O157: H7 was involved in food outbreaks by consuming (Bowen et al., 2006).

Edible coatings have the ability to carry Active ingredients such as antioxidants, spices and antimicrobials, which reduce the risk of pathogens growing on vegetables and fruits, which prolongs the shelf life of the product. It
enhances the sensory properties and nutritional value of foods (Pranoto et al., 2005). Starch is a polysaccharide and is found in legumes, tubers and grains and is low-cost and most starches contain two types of glucose polymers: a linear series glucose polymer called amylose and a glucose branching polymer called amylpectin (Rodriguez et al., 2006). Mark et al., (1966) noted that Starch alone does not consist of suitable mechanical properties (low levels of elongation, tensile strength and external strength) unless it is treated with plasticizers or other genetic or chemical materials. With complete biodegradation in mixtures, it can be achieved by adding biodegradable plasticizers. Common plasticizers of water-loving polymers, such as starch, are Glycerol and other low-molecular-weight Polyhydroxy compounds, polyether, and urea. Starch is a polysaccharide that is commonly used as an edible coating.

Glucomannan is a polysaccharide found in starch. This will act as a film-forming agent. Since starch has a compact structure and a low solubility, it makes an excellent oxygen barrier in edible coatings (Retno et al., 2020). Baldwin et al. (1996) noted that one of the advantages of edible coatings is that they are biodegradable in the environment and therefore their use reduces the synthetic non-degradable waste packaging. So, research focuses on developing edible coatings to extend the storage life of fresh vegetables and fruits and also improve quality attributes. Alginate is commonly used as a thickening agent, stabilizer, emulsifier, chelating agent, encapsulation, swelling, a suspending agent, or to shape gels, films, and membranes in various industries such as food, beverage, clothing, printing, and pharmaceutical. The most popular salt of alginate is sodium alginate (Tugce et al., 2018).

Eça et al. (2014). pointed out that the use of essential oils can be mixed with edible paint materials and also can be combined with other synthetic chemicals such as potassium sorbate or sodium benzoate and this is due to the fact that the essential oils are distinguished by having anti-microbial properties and are barrier to moisture and oxygen and work to protect fresh fruits and vegetables and prolong the storage period (Sarsavadia et al., 2007). They studied the kinetics of drying with a thin layer of salted onion slices in a tunnel dryer at different levels of temperatures ranging between 50-80 ° C and at four air speeds ranging from 15 - 60 m / min It was noted that the drying period at a fixed rate was not visible in the drying of the onion slices.

The dried product not only has a longer shelf life, but less storage space and cheaper transportation. Quality characteristics (taste, aroma, flavor and overall acceptability) are also affected by the circumstance of the drying process of vegetables and fruits in terms of exposure to a high temperature for a long time. It is necessary to choose the appropriate drying method (Workneh et al., 2011). Sarsavadia et al. (1999) studied the kinetics of drying with a thin layer of salted onion slices in a tunnel dryer at different levels of temperatures ranging between 50 - 80 ° C and at four air speeds ranging from 15 - 60 m/min It was noted that the rate of drying Onion slices increases with increasing temperature and decrease with increasing air speed. It was observed that the drying period at a fixed rate was not visible in the drying of the onion slices.

The present study is for solve a problem facing minimally processed fresh onion factories, as well as onion drying factories. Where peeled onions happen enzymatic changes that lead to a change in color and aroma, which affects the quality of the final product. The objectives are as follows: Extend the shelf life of fresh onions in limited preparation (whole - sliced), and the dried by using different coating materials without a major loss of flavor, taste, color and aroma. The fresh minimally processed onion (whole - sliced) stored in a cold storage at (5±1°C) and relative humidity of 70-75%, while the dried onion slices were stored under room temperature for a period of 35 days. Reducing the operating costs of dried onion slices with a hot air dryer and various coating materials. Rationalizing the specific energy consumption needed to vaporize a kilogram of onion moisture during the drying process using the convection oven.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Methods:
2.1.1. Mass of onion:
The mass of onion samples was measured using an electrical digital balance model D-type with a maximum capacity of 400 g and measured to an accuracy of 0.01 g
2.1.3. Weight loss:
According to Han et al. (2004), the weight loss of onion samples during storage was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Weight loss} \% = \frac{\text{initial weight of samples} - \text{samples weight during storage period}}{\text{initial weight of samples}} \times 100\rightarrow (3)
\]

2.1.4. The initial moisture content of samples:
The initial moisture content (m) on wet basis of samples was determined vacuum drying oven method according to (A. O.A.C. 1990).
2.1.5. Moisture content of sample during drying:
The moisture content was calculated once every 30 minutes during the experiments. The moisture content was estimated according to Tayel et al. (2012) using the following equation:

\[
M_i = \frac{B}{A}(1 + M_i) - 1 \rightarrow (2)
\]

Where (A) is the mass of fresh sample (g), (B) mass of sample at any time (g) and (M_i) initial moisture content, w.b. %.
2.1.6. Drying rate:
The drying rate was calculated once every 30 minutes during the experiments according to the following equation:

\[
\text{D.R.} = \frac{M_i - M_f}{D} \rightarrow (3)
\]

Where:
Mi= Product moisture content at the beginning of drying time (w.b.)
Mf= Product moisture content at the final of drying time (w.b.)
D = The duration time (hour) (t = t2 – t1)

2.1.7. Quality evaluation:
2.1.7.1. Rehydration ratio:
The rehydration capacities of dried slices were evaluated by immersing 10g of dried samples in boiled distilled water. Samples were removed at regular time intervals (each 2 min.) and weighed until difference in successive weighing was insignificant. Rehydration ratio was calculated using the following equation according to Sacilik and Unal, (2005):

\[
\text{Rehydration capacity} = \frac{\text{Weight of water absorbed during rehydration (Kg)}}{\text{Weight of water removed during drying (Kg)}} \times 100 \rightarrow (4)
\]

2.1.7.2. General appearance:
General appearance was determined according to Larmond, (1977). laboratory methods for sensory evaluation of foods.
2.1.7.3. Color measurement:
The internal colors of L were measured for freshly minimally processed onion values and also dried onion slices using the Minolta Chroma Meter, Model CR - 200. Calibration was performed by a white plate before use. Color changes were determined for the L value indicating lightness, and a value indicating the yellow tone (Barbagallo et al., 2012).
2.1.7.4. Specific energy consumption:
The specific energy consumption requirement for moisture removal was calculated according the following equation:
\[ \text{Sp. Energy} = \frac{\text{Total energy consumption (kJ)}}{\text{Quantity of lost water (kg)}} \] → (5)

The energy consumption during the drying process is the sum of energy required for heating the ambient air. For each experimental run, the energy consumption was calculated using the following relationship (Afzal et al., 1999).
\[ \text{Total energy consumption} = P \cdot D = I \cdot V \cdot D \ (kW) \] → (5-1)

Where:
P = power consumption \ W
I = amperage \ eA
V = voltz \ eV
D = drying time \ h
\[ \text{Cost} \ (LE/kg_{\text{water removed}}) = SEC \times \text{price of kW} \cdot h \] → (5-2)

2.1.8 Material
2.1.8.1 Preparation of coabed samples:
Onion was purchased at ripening stage in mid-June, then washed using calcium hypochlorite 0.25 g/lit distilled water for 10 sec, then running water. Samples were sliced with special cutting tools.
Whole onion and slices were prepared in three groups as follows:
First group: Whole fresh peeled onion.
Second group: fresh onion slices (3mm) thickness.
Third group: dried onion slices (3 mm) thickness.
Onion samples prepared were immersed in coating solutions for 15 min as follows:
(A) CMC (carboxy methyl cellulose).
(B) Alginate.
(C) Starch.
(G)Nano-Silver.
Samples were packed with a weight of 400 grams in metallic bags. The fresh onion (whole-sliced) stored in refrigerator storage at (5±1°C) and relative humidity of 70-75%, while the dried onion slices were stored under room temperature for a period of 35 days.

2.1.9. Preparation of different treatments:
(a) Carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) Coating:
1g of (CMC) was dissolved in 100 mL distilled water, Glycerol was added as plasticizer at 0.4 g/100 mL. Then potassium sorbate (1 g/100 mL) was added to coating solutions. Coating solutions were heated until reached to 85 ° C for 10 min. Then cooled to room temperature (Sarasayanjali et al., 2011).
(b) Alginate Coating:
dissolving 3.0 g of alginate in 200 mL of distilled water at 70 ° C under mechanical stirring for 30 min. After complete dissolution, glycerol (0.243 g/g of alginate) was added as a plasticizer (Rhim, 2004).
(c) Starch Coating:
Were prepared by casting aqueous modified maize starch solution (1.5 gram / 100 ml), then gelatinized at 90 ° C for one hour using water bath, Glycerol (3%) and xanthenes modified mixture (0.5%) were added to the forming solution. The solution was homogenized 4000 rpm for 5 min, Danijela et al. (2016).
(d) Carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) /Nano silver coating:
Transactions (e) are represented by adding 0.3% nano silver to the solution(a) (Wittmar, 2012).
(e) Alginate coating /Nano silver coating:
Transactions (f) are represented by adding 0.3% nano silver to the solution (b) (Wittmar, 2012).
(f) Starch coating /Nano silver coating:
Transactions (g) are represented by adding 0.3% nano silver to the solution (c) (Wittmar, 2012).
2.1.10. Specifications of convection dryer used in experiments.
A standard oven model (EBT 82) equipped with three shelves was used. Heat was generated by 2 electric heaters (3 kW.h) installed on the side walls of the dryer, hot air was distributed using an electric fan (0.1 KW.h) with constant air speed of 0.5 m/s. The air temperature of the drying oven was controlled by a thermostat and a wind gauge, (Sato Sk-73D) was used to measure the air speed of the drying room.

2.2. Analytical Methods:
2.2.1. Drying process:
Treated and coated samples were dried using constant air speed 0.5 m/s, there were eight experiments, each one contain 4 kg of onion slices (3mm thickness) placed on oven trays which were placed in drying chamber. Oven temperature reached 90 °C for the first stage then 50 °C for the second stage. Also, the samples were weighed every one hour until weight stability (Muller and Heindl, 2006).

2.2.2. Chemical analyzes
The chemical composition was determined by analysis of moisture, total soluble solids, total sugars, pungency, and vitamin C, made in duplicate and following standard methods, according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists A.O.A.C. (1990).

Determination of total Phenol’s content: Total Phenols content were extracted using the method of Kahkonen et al., (1999).

* Carboxy methyl cellulose, alginate, starch and nano-silver were purchased from Technogen Chemicals Company – Giza - Egypt.

*MBOPP metalizebixial orientedpolypropylene poly propylene purchased from Egy-warp Company 6th October - Giza - Egypt.

2.2.3. Microbial analysis:
Total microbial count, mold and yeast counts were determined according to Marchall (1992).

2.2.4. Sensory evaluation of onion samples:
Sensory assessment was done on a 10-point hedonic scale, with 1 - 3 indicating bad, 4 - 6 indicating average, and 7 - 10 indicating excellent. The following qualities were considered in terms of quality: appearance, colour, aroma, taste, and overall acceptability. The Ministry of Trade and Industry’s Food and Agriculture Technology Center (FAITC) conducted the sensory assessment. In May 2019, seven onion samples (whole - sli) were compared.

2.2.5. Statistical analysis
The SAS program was used to perform an ANOVA procedure for statistical analysis of the data. The difference between means was determined using the least significant difference test. At $p≤0.05$, significance was presumed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1. Physicochemical properties of fresh onion:
The physicochemical properties of fresh onion samples are shown in Table 1. The moisture content of fresh onion (wet basis) was (86 percent) + 0.19, total soluble solids (12%) 0.65, total sugars (43 %) +1.15g/100), and pungency was (10 +0.32 umol /) of fresh onion, according to the findings (Jurgiel et al., 2015). The total phenolic content was 7.581.66 (mol/g), and the total phenolic content was 7.581.66 (mol/g).

Table (1). The Physical and chemical composition of fresh onion (On a wet weight basis).

| Properties                         | Fresh onion       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Moisture %                        | 86±0.1901         |
| total soluble solids%             | 12±0.65           |
| Total sugars (g/100 g dry solids) | 43.00 ±1.15       |
| Pungency (μmol/g, as pyruvic acid)| 10±0.32           |
| The total phenolic content (mg/g) | 75 ±1.66          |
| Vitamin C (mg/100 g dry solids)   | 4841 mg           |
| Color index                       | 277±0.003         |
3.1.1 Effect of different coating materials on physiochemical properties of fresh and dried onions during storage:

3.1.2 Weight loss percent:

Table (2) shows the effect of edible coating on weight loss percent for fresh and dried onion samples during storage time. Weight loss percent for both whole peeled onion and fresh onion slices increased with storage time (0, 7, 21, 35 days) for all treatments except treatment (C) and control samples, which could not be consumed after 7 days. Treatment (D) achieved the lowest percentage loss in fresh onion weight (whole - sliced) 0.15% and 0.22%, respectively, compared with other treatments.

The differences in weight loss between fresh (whole-sliced) onion samples for treatment (D) and coated samples (A - B - E - F - G) were statistically significant (P˂ 0.05).

The weight percentage of dried onion slices increased during storage period, and the slightest increase in weight was (0.04%) for the treatment (D), and the highest weight increased was for the control sample (0.36%) at the end of the storage period. The weight differences between the dried onion samples coated with all coatings and the dried control onion samples were statistically significant (P˂ 0.05).

This is probably because the coatings have the advantage of acting as a strong moisture and oxygen barrier after adding some plasticizers (Cha and Chinnan, 2004).

Table (2). Effect of edible coating on the percentage loss in the weight of minimally processed fresh onion samples (whole – slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7                     | -       | 0.16 | 0.18 | -   | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.09 |
| 21                    | -       | 0.24 | 0.26 | -   | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| 35                    | -       | 0.38 | 0.40 | -   | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.18 |
| Mean                  | -       | 0.260 | 0.280 | -   | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.153 | 0.130 |
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.5319 | T = 0.7715 | S&T = 0.0329 |
| Fresh onion slices |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7                     | -       | 0.25 | 0.29 | -   | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 |
| 21                    | -       | 0.39 | 0.42 | -   | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| 35                    | -       | 0.59 | 0.63 | -   | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.26 |
| Mean                  | -       | 0.410 | 0.446 | -   | 0.160 | 0.180 | 0.210 | 0.190 |
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.8138 | T = 1.1482 | S&T = 0.0503 |
| Dried onion slices |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7                     | 0.15    | 0.050 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21                    | 0.291   | 0.09 | 0.128 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.042 | 0.055 | 0.049 |
| 35                    | 0.36    | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.066 | 0.060 |
| Mean                  | 0.267   | 0.086 | 0.122 | 0.153 | 0.03 | 0.046 | 0.061 | 0.055 |
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.4152 | T = 0.5418 | S&T = 0.0243 |

3.1.3 Color measurements:

Color is one of the most important attributes for consumer acceptance of fresh food. Edible coating reduces the amount of color change during storage due to some compounds, such as amino-acids and phenolic related to the enzymatic browning and reduction of L* value (Oliveira et al., 2011).

It is noticed from Table (3) that the L value of the whole peeled onion and fresh onion slices decreased in the treatments (A, B) by 271 and 268, respectively, while it was fixed at 277 for the treatments (C, D, E, F, G) as for the control treatments, C They were not suitable for consumption after 7 days from the beginning of the storage period. The (L*) values recorded a significant decrease (P˂ 0.05) in the treatments (A, B) compared to the treatments (D, E, F, G) of fresh onion samples.
As for dried onion slices it was observed that the different coating materials maintained L values until the end of the storage period, except for the control treatment, in which the (L*) value decreased to 305, and the sample was very poor. The (L*) values recorded a significant decrease (P˂ 0.05) in the treatment (Control) compared to the treatments (A,B,C,D, E, F, G) of dried onion samples, these results are similar to Geraldine et al., (2008) and Aguayo et al. (2003) who indicated that there is a big difference in the color of the fresh, cut, uncoated fruit compared to coated with an edible layer and also indicated that the use of large concentrations of essential oils loaded on the paint material leads to more whiteness of fresh fruit than lower concentrations of essential oils.

**Table (3).** Effect of edible coating on color change of minimally processed fresh onion samples (whole, slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Storage period (day) | Control | A  | B  | C  | D  | E  | F  | G  |
|----------------------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Whole peeled fresh onion | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 0                    | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 7                    | -   | 276 | 273 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 21                   | -   | 274 | 271 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 35                   | -   | 271 | 268 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| Mean                 | -   | 274.50 | 272.25 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |

**L.S.D.**

S = 57.173 T = 55.972 S&T = 5.5365

| Fresh onion slices | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0                  | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 7                  | -   | 276 | 273 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 21                 | -   | 274 | 271 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| 35                 | -   | 271 | 268 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| Mean               | -   | 274.50 | 272.25 | -   | 277 | 277 | 277 | 277 |

**L.S.D.**

S = 57.173 T = 55.972 S&T = 5.5365

| Dried onion slices | 320 | 290 | 292 | 295 | 279 | 280 | 281 | 279 |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0                  | 320 | 290 | 292 | 295 | 279 | 280 | 281 | 279 |
| 7                  | 317 | 290 | 292 | 295 | 279 | 280 | 281 | 279 |
| 21                 | 310 | 290 | 292 | 295 | 279 | 280 | 281 | 279 |
| 35                 | 305 | 290 | 292 | 295 | 279 | 280 | 281 | 279 |
| Mean               | 313.00 | 290.00 | 292.00 | 295.00 | 279.00 | 280.00 | 281.00 | 279.00 |

**L.S.D.**

S = 0.9809 T = 3.2961 S&T = 6.2721

**3.1.4. Total soluble solids:**

Table (4) illustrates the effect of edible coatings on total soluble solids of onion samples during the storage period. The results indicated that total soluble solids for whole peeled onion and fresh onion slices and also dried onion slices decreased during storage period (0,7,21,35 days) for all treatment except the control treatments, C that is not suitable for consumption after 7 days from the beginning of the storage period.

It was noted that treatment (D) achieved at the end of the storage period the largest percentage of total soluble solids in both fresh onion samples (whole-slices) and dried onion slices 11.70, 11.00 and 15.40 respectively. The difference between all coated onion samples and untreated onion samples were statistically significant (P˂ 0.05).The reason for the decrease in TSS with the increase in storage period to the high rate of loss of sugar level during breathing and loss of water through transpiration Omar (2008).In general, there was a decrease in the total soluble solids (TSS) for the dried onion slices coated during the normal storage period but less than the deterioration in the untreated dried onion slices as reported after drying the onions by Abano et al., (2011).
Table (4). Effect of edible coating on total soluble solids of minimally processed fresh onion samples (whole-slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A     | B     | C     | D     | E     | F     | G     |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                     | 12.65   | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 |
| 7                     |         | 12.00 | 11.99 |       | 12.60 | 12.55 | 12.40 | 12.50 |
| 21                    |         | 10.99 | 10.00 | 12.00 | 11.80 | 11.20 | 11.60 |       |
| 35                    |         | 10.00 | 9.78  | 11.70 | 11.50 | 11.00 | 11.20 |       |
| Mean                  |         | 11.41 | 11.10 | 12.23 | 12.12 | 11.81 | 11.98 |       |
| L.S.D.                | S = 2.3287 |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Fresh onion slices    |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                     | 12.65   | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 | 12.65 |
| 7                     |         | 11.54 | 11.20 | 12.00 | 11.30 | 11.00 | 11.10 |       |
| 21                    |         | 10.30 | 9.85  | 11.80 | 10.90 | 10.60 | 10.70 |       |
| 35                    |         | 9.68  | 9.00  | 11.00 | 10.60 | 10.30 | 10.50 |       |
| Mean                  |         | 11.04 | 10.67 | 11.86 | 11.36 | 11.13 | 11.23 |       |
| L.S.D.                | S = 2.1833 |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Dried onion slices    |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                     | 15.85   | 15.85 | 15.85 | 15.85 | 15.85 | 15.85 | 15.85 | 15.85 |
| 7                     | 14.50   | 15.20 | 14.90 | 14.60 | 15.75 | 15.50 | 15.30 | 15.40 |
| 21                    | 13.00   | 15.00 | 14.50 | 14.30 | 15.60 | 15.30 | 15.00 | 15.20 |
| 35                    | 11.30   | 14.60 | 14.00 | 13.60 | 15.40 | 15.00 | 14.80 | 15.00 |
| Mean                  | 13.66   | 15.16 | 14.81 | 14.58 | 15.65 | 15.41 | 15.24 | 15.36 |
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.4504 |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |

3.1.5. Total sugars:

Table (5) shows the amount of total sugar for different samples of onion (A, B, C, D, E, F and G) during storage period (0,7,21,35 days). The results indicated that the total sugars of fresh whole peeled and sliced onions decreased with increasing storage period for all treatments except the control treatments, which is not suitable for consumption after 7 days from the beginning of the storage period. The results indicate that treatment (B) had a lowest total sugar during the storage period for fresh onion samples (whole - slices) 39.3 and 38.70, respectively, while treatment (D) maintained the largest number of total sugars during the storage period of fresh onion samples (whole - sliced) 41.4 and 41.10, respectively. It was noted from the results that samples (D, E, F, G) preserved total sugars without loss during the storage period of dried onion slices 35.0, while the treatments (A, B, C) had a slight loss of total sugars during the storage period for dried onion slices 34.57, 34.00 and 33.76 respectively, compared with control treatment (28.37) at the end of the storage period. The sugar content in all fresh onion samples showed a significant decrease (P < 0.05) compared to the dried onion samples. These results agreed with results of Sara et al. (2000) who stated that the reason for the low sugar content may be due to Millard's reaction which results from the interaction between amino acids, proteins and sugars.
Table (5). Effect of edible coating on total sugars (g/100 g dry solids) of different onion samples during the storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 43.00   | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
| 7                     | -       | 42.25 | 42.00 | -   | 42.80 | 42.55 | 42.29 | 42.32 |
| 21                    | -       | 41.00 | 39.80 | -   | 42.29 | 41.89 | 41.51 | 41.72 |
| 35                    | -       | 39.50 | 39.30 | -   | 41.40 | 41.10 | 40.35 | 40.85 |
| Mean                  | -       | 41.43 | 41.02 | -   | 42.37 | 42.05 | 41.78 | 41.97 |
| L.S.D.                | S = 12.258 | T = 15.164 | S&T = 1.2892 |

| Fresh onion slices |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0                  | 43.00   | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
| 7                  | -       | 41.80 | 41.30 | -   | 42.50 | 42.25 | 42.00 | 42.10 |
| 21                 | -       | 39.40 | 39.00 | -   | 42.00 | 41.50 | 41.25 | 41.35 |
| 35                 | -       | 39.00 | 38.70 | -   | 41.10 | 39.70 | 39.35 | 39.50 |
| Mean               | -       | 40.80 | 40.50 | -   | 42.15 | 41.61 | 41.40 | 41.48 |
| L.S.D.             | S = 11.507 | T = 15.774 | S&T = 1.2397 |

| Dried onion slices |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0                  | 35.00   | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| 7                  | 30.00   | 34.80 | 34.20 | 33.75 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| 21                 | 26.50   | 34.50 | 33.80 | 33.30 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| 35                 | 22.00   | 34.00 | 33.30 | 33.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| Mean               | 28.37   | 34.57 | 34.07 | 33.76 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| L.S.D.             | S = 1.6768 | T = 4.1892 | S&T = 0.5073 |

3.1.6. Total phenol contents:
The effect of edible coating on total phenol content (mg/g) of fresh onion samples and dried slices during storage is shown in Table (6). The results show that as the storage time increased the quantity of phenols decreases with the exception of (control and C), which cannot be consumed until 7 days from the start of the storage period. The lowest amounts of phenols were 48, 38,8 (mg/g) for fresh onion samples (whole - sliced) and dried onion slices, respectively, while the largest number of total phenols for treatments (D, E) for fresh onion samples (whole - sliced) 62 and 58 (mg / g), respectively. The largest number of total phenols is 21 (mg / g) for dried onion slices was for treatments (D, E, F, G) at the end of the storage period. The results show total phenol content of fresh and dried onion samples coated with coatings without nanomaterials. A significant decrease (P< 0.05) compared to onion samples coated with nano-mixed coatings.

The increase in sulfur compounds and terpenoids present in coating materials is most likely to blame for the decreases in total phenolic content during storage, according to Bozin et al. (2008). Little difference in the phenol content of dried onion slices in different ways (Ruenroengklin et al., 2009). High temperatures during the drying process can cause phenolic compounds to be lost through enzymatic oxidation such as peroxidase that stimulates the oxidation of phenolic compounds (Mazza and Le Maguer, 1979).
Table (6). Effect of edible coating on total phenol contents (mg/g) of different onion samples during the storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Whole peeled fresh onion | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 7 | - | 63 | 60 | - | 71 | 69 | 66 | 68 |
| 21 | - | 55 | 52 | - | 67 | 64 | 58 | 60 |
| 35 | - | 48 | 48 | - | 62 | 62 | 55 | 55 |
| Mean | - | 60.25 | 58.75 | - | 68.75 | 67.50 | 63.50 | 64.50 |

L.S.D. $S=1.1841$ $T=1.2986$ $S&T=0.0686$

Fresh onion slices

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 7 | - | 60 | 57 | - | 68 | 66 | 63 | 65 |
| 21 | - | 52 | 49 | - | 64 | 60 | 55 | 57 |
| 35 | - | 38 | 38 | - | 58 | 58 | 50 | 50 |
| Mean | - | 56.25 | 54.75 | - | 66.25 | 64.75 | 60.75 | 61.75 |

L.S.D. $S=1.1841$ $T=1.2986$ $S&T=0.0686$

Dried onion slices

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 7 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 |
| 21 | 14.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 |
| 35 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
| Mean | 18.00 | 22.75 | 22.75 | 22.75 | 25.75 | 25.75 | 25.75 | 25.75 |

L.S.D. $S=1.222$ $T=1.5583$ $S&T=0.0563$

3.1.7. Vitamin C:

Vitamin C is evidence of food quality, and its levels decrease due to long storage and also drying by heat treatment (Podsędek, 2007). Table (7) shown the effects of edible coating, storage time, and drying on the amount of vitamin C. The highest values of vitamin C (3 mg/100 g dry solids) and (2.48 mg/100 g dry solids) for whole onion and slices, using treatment D respectively except for samples (control and C), which is not appropriate for consumption after 7 days, while the lowest value of vitamin C was in the case of dried onion slices (1.68 mg/100 g dry solids) for treatments (D, E, F, G) after 35 days of storage. A significant decrease (P< 0.05) compared to onion samples coated with nanomaterial. These findings are in agree with those of Idah and Obajemihi (2014). The drying temperature causes oxidation of vitamin C, in which ascorbic acid is converted to dehydro ascorbic acid, which can be easily converted to other compounds of lesser biological value (Munyaka et al., 2010). This indicated that when drying onions at 70 °C, the level of Vitamin C decreased by 35%.
Table (7). Effect of edible coating on the total Vitamin C(mg/100 g dry solids) of minimally processed fresh Onion samples (whole, slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   |
|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 0                     | 4.84    | 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84|
| 7                     | -       | 3.25| 2.88| -   | 4.41| 4.12| 3.54| 3.77|
| 21                    | -       | 2.58| 2.21| -   | 3.77| 3.52| 3.00| 3.46|
| 35                    | -       | 2.00| 1.88| -   | 3.00| 2.90| 2.54| 2.70|
| Mean                  | -       | 3.077| 2.95| -   | 3.91| 3.84| 3.48| 3.69|
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.3544 | T = 0.4722 | S&T = 0.0255 |
| Fresh onion slices |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 0                     | 4.84    | 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84| 4.84|
| 7                     | -       | 3.00| 2.22| -   | 4.02| 3.89| 3.21| 3.59|
| 21                    | -       | 2.00| 1.46| -   | 3.52| 3.35| 2.57| 3.15|
| 35                    | -       | 1.57| 1.19| -   | 2.48| 2.29| 2.00| 2.11|
| Mean                  | -       | 2.85| 2.43| -   | 3.71| 3.59| 3.15| 3.42|
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.3544 | T = 0.4722 | S&T = 0.0255 |
| Dried onion slices |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 0                     | 1.68    | 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68|
| 7                     | 1.32    | 1.61| 1.56| 1.5 | 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68|
| 21                    | 1.13    | 1.55| 1.51| 1.44| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68|
| 35                    | 0.957   | 1.46| 1.38| 1.24| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68|
| Mean                  | 1.27    | 1.57| 1.532| 1.46| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68| 1.68|
| L.S.D.                | S = 0.6761 | T = 0.8466 | S&T = 0.0294 |

3.1.8. Evaluate the quality of onion Pungency:
Onion is notorious for its pungency, which is caused by a volatile oil called allyl-propyl disulphide. When onions are chopped, they emit a very strong odor. This is due to the disruption of sulfur-amino acids and the release of the enzyme allinase from the vacuoles caused by cellular disruption. The measurement of pyruvic acid as an indicator of onion pungency has become a standard practice to ensure the quality of the product (TeareKetter and Randle, 1998). Table (9) shows the effect of edible coating on onion pungency during storage period where the value of the Pungency (pyruvic acid content) of fresh onion was 10 μmol/ml before storage.

The highest level of pyruvic acid content was found in both whole and fresh onion slices treated with D and E after 35 days of storage (7.77mol/ml). After 7 days of storage, samples coated with starch treatment (C) as well as control samples were spoilage.

The Pungency value for the treatments (Control, A, B, C) decreased from 5 mol / ml to (2.00, 3.23, 3.00, 2.88 mol / ml) after 35 days of storage, and remained constant (5 mol / ml) for the treatments (D, E, F, G) until the end of the storage period.

The differences between the dried onion samples for the treatments (D, E, F, G) were compared with the treatments (control, A, B, C) was significant (P <0.05).

which may be due to the high temperature in the first stage of the drying process, as well as the type of coating material, oxygen reservation power, and water vapor penetration into the coating material during storage which agreed with the findings of Praveen et al. (2005), Sharma and Prasad (2001). stated that lower pyruvic acid content may be due to cell damage in onion slices during drying and storage temperatures. Also, Horbowicz and Bąkowski (1997) studied that dipping onion samples in...
the coating material generally before drying removes mono sugars from the surface layer of onion, and decrease Millard reactions.

Table (8). Effect of edible coating on the Pungency of minimally processed fresh onion samples (whole, slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Pungency         | Storage period (days) | Control | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Whole peeled fresh onion | 0         | 10.00   | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|                  | 7         | -       | 8.36  | 8.25 | -   | 9.55 | 9.00 | 8.48 | 8.66 |
|                  | 21        | -       | 7.40  | 7.30 | -   | 8.74 | 8.10 | 7.57 | 7.89 |
|                  | 35        | -       | 6.59  | 6.44 | -   | 7.77 | 7.77 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Mean             |           | -       | 8.09  | 7.997 | -   | 9.01 | 8.72 | 8.26 | 8.38 |
| L.S.D.           |           | S = 7.6787 | T = 7.7705 | S&T = 0.8431 |
| Fresh onion slices | 0        | 10.00   | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|                  | 7         | -       | 7.88  | 7.52 | -   | 9.12 | 8.64 | 8.00 | 8.27 |
|                  | 21        | -       | 7.00  | 6.69 | -   | 8.33 | 7.71 | 7.22 | 7.24 |
|                  | 35        | -       | 6.59  | 6.59 | -   | 7.77 | 7.77 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Mean             |           | -       | 7.86  | 7.70 | -   | 8.81 | 8.53 | 8.05 | 8.13 |
| L.S.D.           |           | S = 7.5509 | T = 7.7278 | S&T = 0.8335 |
| Dried onion slices | 0        | 5.00    | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
|                  | 7         | 3.50    | 4.22  | 4.00  | 3.85  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
|                  | 21        | 3.00    | 3.86  | 3.59  | 3.39  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
|                  | 35        | 2.00    | 3.23  | 3.00  | 2.88  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
| Mean             |           | 3.37    | 4.077 | 3.90  | 3.78  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
| L.S.D.           |           | S = 1.542 | T = 1.4937 | S&T = 0.7328 |

3.1.9 Microbial evaluation of fresh and dried onion:

3.1.9.1. Total bacterial counts:
The incorporation of a ratio of essential oils to edible coating has an effect on the activity of microbes with the aim of reducing the total count of bacteria during the storage period and this is a modern form of active packaging techniques whose function is to extend shelf life of food products and provide the consumer with microbial safety because they work to reduce or prevent growth Pathogenic microorganisms in packaged food products (Avila-Sosa et al., 2012).

Table (9) illustrates the changes in total bacterial count of fresh onion samples (whole-slices) and dried onion slices coated using different treatments (A, B, C, D, E, F and G) during storage period (0, 7, 21, 35 days).

The results indicated that coating material effects on total bacterial counts, total bacterial counts increased with increasing storage period. samples coated with starch treatment (C) were spoiled after 7 days of storage and as well as control samples. It was noted that the total number of bacteria reached (8.5 CFU x 10-2/ g) for the treatments (A, B) of fresh onion samples. The lowest bacterial count was (2.39 CFU x 10-2/ g) when treatments (D, E, F and G) at the end of the storage period for fresh onion samples. The differences were between all minimally processed fresh onion samples coated with Nano-loaded coatings and minimally processed fresh onion samples coated without nano coatings are statistically significant (P˂ 0.05).

While the bacterial count increased for the control treatment to 5.00 and for the treatments (A, B, C) it was 3.60. It was observed that the treatments (D, E, F and G) of the dried onion slices did not have bacteria growth until the end of the storage period. There were significant differences (P˂ 0.05)
between dried onion samples coated with nonmaterial incorporated with different coating materials compared to dried onion samples coated without nano and also control. These results are consistent with the results of Pérèza et al. (2011). Where he used garlic oil to coating fresh garlic it was found to be anti-bacterial and indicated the application of the coating in many nutritional applications. In general, it was noted that the incorporation of nano materials into coating materials had an effect of inhibiting bacterial growth during the storage period.

3.1.9.2. mold and yeast counts:
Table (10) illustrates the changes in the mold and yeast counts of fresh onion samples (whole- slices) and dried onion slices coated using different treatments (A, B, C, D, E, F and G) during storage period (0,7,21,35 days). The results indicated that coating material effects on the mold and yeast counts, the mold and yeast counts increased with increasing storage period. Samples coated with starch treatment (C) were spoiled after 7 days of storage and as well as control samples. It was noted that the mold and yeast counts reached (2.10 CFU x 10^2 / g) for the treatments (A, B) of fresh onion samples. The lowest the mold and yeast counts was (1.60 CFU x 10^2 / g) when treatments (D, E, F and G) at the end of the storage period for fresh onion samples. The differences were between all minimally processed fresh onion samples coated with nano-loaded coatings and minimally processed fresh onion samples coated without nano coatings are statistically significant (P< 0.05).

The type of coating material and drying temperature had an effective effect on mold and yeast count, as it was (0.30 CFU x 10^2 / g) in the case of fresh onion and disappeared after drying. While coating with nano material only or merging it with carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate or starch has preserved dried onion slices for 35 days without mold and yeast counts counting it is mentioned that the coating of dried onion slices with carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate or starch without merging with nano structure allowed the growth of a mold and yeast counts (1.20CFU x 10^2 / g) in comparison, the mold and yeast counts increased with the uncoated dried onion slices to (7.00 CFU x 10^2 / g) at the end of the normal storage period. The differences between all coated dried onion samples and untreated dehydrated onion samples were statistically significant (P< 0.05). Similar results were reported by Rojas-Graú et al. (2007) who indicated that edible coatings with essential oils were effective to control bacteria and fungi.

Table (9) Effect of edible coating on total count (CFU x 10^2 / g) of different samples of onion during storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 1.25    | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 7                     | -       | 3.0      | 3.0      | -       | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| 21                    | -       | 6.5     | 6.5     | -       | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 |
| 35                    | -       | 8.50    | 8.50    | -       | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 |
| Mean                  | -       | 4.81    | 4.81    | -       | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.91 |
| L.S.D.                | S&T = 0.0738 | T = 1.091 | S = 0.6549 |
| Fresh onion slices    |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 1.25    | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 7                     | -       | 3.0 | 3.0 | - | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| 21                    | -       | 6.5 | 6.5 | - | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 |
| 35                    | -       | 8.50 | 8.50 | - | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 |
| Mean                  | -       | 4.81 | 4.81 | - | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.91 |
| L.S.D.                | S&T = 0.0693 | T = 1.186 | S = 0.5965 |
| Dried onion slices    |         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 0                     | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7                     | 2.90    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21                    | 3.50    | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 35                    | 5.00    | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mean                  | 3.80    | 2.90 | 2.90 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| L.S.D.                | S&T = 0.0341 | T = 0.1025 | S = 0.0972 |
growth in fresh fruit and vegetable. In general, it was noted that the incorporation of nano materials into coating materials had an effect of inhibiting mold and yeast count growth during the storage period.

**Table (10)** Effect of edible coating on mold and yeast count (CFU x 10^{-2}/g) of minimally processed fresh Onion samples (whole, slices) during refrigerated storage period and dried onion samples during normal storage period.

| Storage period (days) | Control | A     | B     | C     | D     | E     | F     | G     |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Whole peeled fresh onion |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                    | 0.30    | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  |
| 7                    | -       | 1.70  | 1.70  | -     | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.80  |
| 21                   | -       | 2.00  | 2.00  | -     | 1.20  | 1.20  | 1.20  | 1.20  |
| 35                   | -       | 2.10  | 2.10  | -     | 1.60  | 1.60  | 1.60  | 1.60  |
| Mean                 | -       | 1.52  | 1.52  | -     | 0.97  | 0.97  | 0.97  | 0.97  |
| L.S.D.               |         |       |       |       | S&T = 0.1705 | T = 2.0398 | S = 1.5996 |
| Fresh onion slices   |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                    | 0.30    | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  |
| 7                    | -       | 1.70  | 1.70  | -     | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.80  |
| 21                   | -       | 2.00  | 2.00  | -     | 1.20  | 1.20  | 1.20  | 1.20  |
| 35                   | -       | 2.10  | 2.10  | -     | 1.60  | 1.60  | 1.60  | 1.60  |
| Mean                 | -       | 1.52  | 1.52  | -     | 0.97  | 0.97  | 0.97  | 0.97  |
| L.S.D.               |         |       |       |       | S&T = 0.1659 | T = 2.0314 | S = 1.5376 |
| Dried onion slices   |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0                    | 0.00    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| 7                    | 1.00    | 0.60  | 0.60  | 0.60  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| 21                   | 1.50    | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.80  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| 35                   | 7.00    | 1.20  | 1.20  | 1.20  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Mean                 | 3.17    | 0.87  | 0.87  | 0.87  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| L.S.D.               |         |       |       |       | S&T = 0.0945 | T = 0.5007 | S = 0.3652 |

**Table (11):** illustrates Sensory evaluation of onion by scaling method using 10-point scale number of samples used.

| Sensory evaluation | Type of samples | Type of coating material | Color | Taste | aroma | overall acceptability |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| Control            | 10.00           | 10.00                    | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |                       |
| A                  | 8.00            | 5.00                     | 5.00  | 6.00  |       |                       |
| B                  | 7.00            | 5.00                     | 5.00  | 5.00  |       |                       |
| C                  | -               | -                        | -     | -     |       |                       |
| D                  | 10.00           | 9.00                     | 9.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| E                  | 9.00            | 8.00                     | 8.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| F                  | 9.00            | 7.00                     | 7.00  | 7.00  |       |                       |
| G                  | 10.00           | 7.00                     | 7.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| Control            | 10.00           | 10.00                    | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |                       |
| A                  | 8.00            | 5.00                     | 5.00  | 5.00  |       |                       |
| B                  | 7.00            | 4.00                     | 4.00  | 4.00  |       |                       |
| C                  | -               | -                        | -     | -     |       |                       |
| D                  | 10.00           | 9.00                     | 9.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| E                  | 9.00            | 8.00                     | 8.00  | 8.00  |       |                       |
| F                  | 9.00            | 7.00                     | 7.00  | 7.00  |       |                       |
| G                  | 10.00           | 7.00                     | 7.00  | 8.00  |       |                       |
| Control            | 10.00           | 10.00                    | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |                       |
| A                  | 7.00            | 7.00                     | 7.00  | 7.00  |       |                       |
| B                  | 6.00            | 6.00                     | 6.00  | 6.00  |       |                       |
| C                  | 5.00            | 4.00                     | 4.00  | 3.00  |       |                       |
| D                  | 10.00           | 9.00                     | 9.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| E                  | 9.00            | 9.00                     | 9.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| F                  | 8.00            | 9.00                     | 9.00  | 9.00  |       |                       |
| G                  | 10.00           | 8.00                     | 8.00  | 10.00 |       |                       |
3.1.11 Sensory evaluation:
Edible coating and storage time can cause changes in the sensory properties of the product, so it's best if food coated with various materials which appropriate to consumers. Table (11) illustrates sensory evaluation of (Rojas-Graü et al., 2009) by scaling method using 10-point scale number of different samples studied. Sensory evaluations of coated and uncoated onion samples were conducted after 35 days of storage for color, taste, aroma, and overall acceptability. It’s important to note that all edible coatings using nano material had excellent general acceptance in the range of (7-10) that didn’t change dramatically when compared to other material, which had an average acceptability of (4-6) that was significantly higher P<0.05, while the overall acceptability of the dried onion slices coated with nano materials had excellent acceptability (9) compared with the overall acceptability of the dried ranged between (4-7) as compared with untreated dried onion slices. The overall acceptability was significantly reduced (P 0.05) within limits (3) at the end of the normal storage period. Compact coatings distinguished with nano preserving both color – taste, the strong aroma of fresh and dried onion. Samples coated with starch treatment (C) were spoiled after 7 days of storage as well as control samples. These findings are consistent with those of Anju and Salil. (2010) who discovered that the taste of dried onion slices is superior to that of fresh onion slices, owing to the higher concentration of total sugars in dried onion slices compared to fresh onions.

3.1.12 Drying curve of onion slices under the influence of different coating materials:

![Figure (1)](image1)

**Figure (1)** Effect of the type of coating material on the moisture content and drying time comparing untreated dried onion slices (control).

![Figure (2)](image2)

**Figure (2)** Effect of the type of coating material on the drying rate and drying time of comparing untreated dried onion slices (control).
3.1.12. Moisture content and drying rate of onion slices during the drying process:

Onion samples were dried at initial moisture content 86.19% for all treatments in two stages using a convection oven at a constant air velocity of 0.5 m/s. The drying temperature of the first stage was 90 °C for 60 minutes to remove of 53.057% to 65.264% of the initial moisture content during the drying process of onion slices under all treatments. The temperature used in the second stage was 60 °C for 3-5.3 hours until the weight stabilized to reach a final moisture content of 9% for all dried onion samples.

Figures (1) and (2) shows the effect of drying time on moisture content and drying rate for different samples of coated and uncoated onion it was observed that the moisture content decreased rapidly at the beginning of the drying process then decreased slowly with increasing time of drying. Also, the drying rate increase for the first 60 min. then began to decrease with increasing drying time, due to the fact that the high temperature in the first stage of the drying process gives high thermal energy that works in conjunction with nano materials and different coatings to increase the size of the interstitial distances of onion chip cells, which leads to an increase in the rate of evaporation of water relative to time during this stage.

Initial moisture content decreased from 86.19% to 53.05% and the highest drying rate was 0.49 (Kg) / (hour) for onion samples treated with nano silver (G). Followed by onion slices treated with (D or E) as initial moisture content was decreased from 86.19% to 54.36% with drying rate 0.47 (Kg) / (hour), then treatment (F) with moisture content 55.23% and drying rate 0.46 (Kg) / (hour) followed by treatment A, B, C and control samples, the initial moisture content has decreased from 86.19% to 65.26%, with the lowest drying rate was 0.31 (Kg)/ (hour). It is also noted that the remaining moisture was slowly eliminated with an increase in drying time during the second stage of the drying process to reach a final moisture content of 9% during a drying time of 4 hours fin the case of coating onion slices with nano material only, 4.30 hours for onion slices coated with nano materials incorporated into carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate, 5 hours for onion slices coated with nano materials incorporated into starch, 5.30 hours onion slices coated with carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate or starch also onion slices that are not coated (control).

These results agreed with Holdsworth (1986) and Mundi et al. (1988) stated that drying process of onion slices with a thickness of 3 mm is carried out in four stages - the first stage at a temperature of 90 °C to remove 50% of the moisture while the second stage at a temperature of 80 °C to remove from 50 to 40% of the moisture while the third at a temperature of 70 °C to remove from 40 to 30% of the moisture. The fourth stage at a temperature of 50 °C to reach a moisture content of 8% can be used to save 25% of the drying time compared to the time required for two-stage drying.

3.1.13. Specific energy consumption and cost:

The cost of a kg of evaporated water was determined by multiplying the amount of specific energy needed to evaporate a kg of water by the price of one kw on the basis that the price of kw is 1.52 pounds, as shown in Table (13). The results shown in Table (13) indicate that onion slices coated with CMC, alginate and starch as well as control samples achieved the lowest percentage of evaporated water 27.11% in the first stage of the drying process and the largest drying time was 6.50 hours who reached the greatest value of specific energy consumption 20.49 kJ/kg water. Onion samples coated with nano material reached the highest percentage of evaporated water 42.93% for the first stage of the drying process and the least drying time was 4 hours and the largest percentage of specific energy consumption was (38.46%) compared with dried control samples. Onion slices coated with treatments (D, E). The proportion of evaporated water in the first stage of drying process was (41.24%) and the rate of decrease in both the drying time and the specific energy consumption reached 30.76%. For onion slices coated treatment (G) the proportion of evaporated water in the first stage of the drying process was 40.11%, and the percentage of decrease in both the drying time and the specific energy consumption reached 23.07%, these results agreed with Afzal et al. (1999).

Where the lowest cost of evaporation was one kilogram of water when drying onion, slices coated with nano-silver only 1.71 pounds. Followed by onion slices coated with nano-silver mixed with carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate where the cost of evaporation of one kilogram of water was 1.93 pounds. Followed by onion slices coated with nano-silver mixed with starch, the cost of evaporating one kilogram of water was 2.14 pounds. While each of the onion slices coated with carboxy methyl cellulose or alginate or starch and onion slices, the control achieved the highest cost of evaporating one kilogram of water 2.79 pounds.
Table (13) The effect of different coating materials on specific energy consumption drying time, and the cost of evaporation of 1 kg of water during drying process of 4 kg of onion slices using convection oven.

| Type of coating material | Specific energy consumption (KJ) / (Kg) to remove 3.54 kg of water | Proportion int he specific energy consumption (%) | Drying time (hour) | Proportion in the drying time (%) | Weight of evaporated water in the first stage (kg) | Proportion of evaporated water in the first stage, from a total of 3.540 kg of water | Cost of evaporation of 3.54 kg of water (LE/ Kg) or cost of drying 4 kg onion (LE/ Kg) | Cost of evaporation of 1 kg of water (LE/ Kg) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Control                  | 20.49                                          | 0%                                          | 6.50             | 0%                              | 0.96 Kg                                       | 27.11 %                                        | 9.88                                            | 2.79                              |
| A                        | 20.4915                                        | 0%                                          | 6.50             | 0%                              | 0.96 Kg                                       | 27.11 %                                        | 9.88                                            | 2.79                              |
| B                        | 20.49                                          | 0%                                          | 6.50             | 0%                              | 0.96 Kg                                       | 27.11 %                                        | 9.88                                            | 2.79                              |
| C                        | 20.49                                          | 0%                                          | 6.50             | 0%                              | 0.96 Kg                                       | 27.11 %                                        | 9.88                                            | 2.79                              |
| D                        | 14.18                                          | 30.7693%                                    | 4.50             | 30.76%                          | 1.46 Kg                                       | 41.24 %                                        | 6.84                                            | 1.93                              |
| E                        | 14.18                                          | 30.7693%                                    | 4.50             | 30.76%                          | 1.46 Kg                                       | 41.24 %                                        | 6.84                                            | 1.93                              |
| F                        | 15.76                                          | 23.0768%                                    | 5.00             | 23.07%                          | 1.42 Kg                                       | 40.11 %                                        | 7.6                                             | 2.14                              |
| G                        | 12.61                                          | 38.4614%                                    | 4.00             | 38.46%                          | 1.52 Kg                                       | 42.93 %                                        | 6.08                                            | 1.71                              |

3.1.14. Rehydration ratio of dried onion:

Figure (3) shows the effect of coating material on rehydration ratio. The amount of moisture absorbed increased with rehydration time, and then decreased to reach saturation level. Rehydration was stabilized in about 8-15 minutes. It was observed that the onion slices coated with nano silver absorbed the largest percentage of the lost water (56.8%), followed by the onion slices coated with the blend of nano-silver and CMC or alginate (52.98%) the onion slices coated with the blend of nano silver and starch48.98% then onion slices coated with CMC, alginate or starch and control onion samples, that reached the lowest rehydration ratio (41.96%). The results indicate that the onion slices coated with nano material only or coated with nano material mixed with different coating materials have the ability to rehydration large proportion of the water evaporated during the drying process and this is due to the high temperature in the first stage gives high thermal energy that works in conjunction with the nano material. And other coatings to increase the interfacial spaces between the cells of the onion slices, resulting in increased rates of moisture evaporation. As a result, it has the ability to rehydration the largest proportion of the water lost during the drying process compared to the control sample and other coatings. These results are consistent with those of Tayel et al. (2012).

Figure (3) Effect of the type of coating material on the rehydration ratio, weight of absorbed water and weight of lost water of onion slices compared to the control.
4. Conclusions:
Coating of fresh onion (whole - slices) and also sliced onion prepared for drying with nano-silver combined in carboxymethyl cellulose resulted in the preservation of the chemical composition to a degree close to that of fresh onions during storage for 35 days., which has improved the quality properties in terms of color, taste and aroma. And extended the shelf life of the fresh onion. While the use of Nano silver material had an effective effect of evaporating 42.9378% of water in the first stage of the drying process, which led to a decrease in the drying time by 38.4614% with the lowest specific energy consumption 12.61017 kJ/kg water. This led to a decrease in the cost of evaporating one kilogram of water to 1.716 LE / kg by a convection oven, compared to the control samples. Also, the use of nano-silver had an effective effect on the absence of brown during the drying process and also during the storage period at room temperature. It is recommended to use nano silver combined with carboxy methyl cellulose to coat fresh onions as well as dried onions due to its quality and enhancing properties.
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الأستفادة من الأغشية الصالحة للأكل والفضة النانوية المضادة للبكتيريا لتحسين جودة البصل الطازج والمجفف

عمرو شحاتة يونس
معهد بحوث تكنولوجيا الأغذيه - مركز البحث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر

تمت دراسة تأثير الأغشية الصالحة للأكل وفترة صلاحية البصل الطازج والمجفف باستخدام غشية مختلفة. وشمل التحليل الكيميائي (محتوي الرطوبة، المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية، السكريات الكلية، محتوى الفينولات الكلي، فيتامين C، حارافية). وتحليل البكتيريا شمل ( العد الكلي للبكتيريا والفطريات والخُمار).

أقل نسبة للفقد في الوزن عند نهاية فترة التخزين كانت بمتوسط 0.16% للمعاملة D في حالة البصل الكامل وشرائح البصل الطازج. كانت أقل نسبة للزيادة في الوزن بمتوسط 0.03% للمعاملة (D) في نهاية فترة تخزين شرائح البصل المجفف، ثم تتغير قيمة لون البصل الطازج. وكذلك كانت قيمة اللون ثابتة حتى نهاية فترة التخزين لشرائح البصل المجفف تحت جميع المعاملات. أما تحليل العد البكتيري شمل (CFU × 10^2) المجمع، فقد حافظت معاملة الكنيرول على النسبة الأكبر لكل من المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والفيتامينات والكولي. وكانت أقل قيمة للعد الكلي للفطريات والخُمار بمتوسط 0.97 CFU × 10^2 جم للمعاملة G، وحل في حالة البصل الكامل وشرائح البصل الطازج. أيضا، حافظت هذه المعاملات على شرائح البصل المجفف دون نمو بكتيري حتى نهاية فترة التخزين. كان أقل قيمة للعد الكلي للبكتيريا وشرائح البصل المجفف على التوالي (G، F، E، D) في حالة البصل الكامل وشرائح البصل الطازج. أيضا، حافظت هذه المعاملات على شرائح البصل المجفف دون نمو الفطريات والخُمار حتى نهاية فترة التخزين. تحسين الحسية للقبول العام كانت ممتازة لأن عينات التحكم غير مقبولة. Adjustment متوسط وعيب الحساسة للفائدة عمليات التجفيف متوسط 86% - 9% خلال فترة التجفيف والتي تراوح بين 6.5 - 7 ساعات تحت تأثير المعاملات المختلفة، بينما تراجعت معدلات التجفيف في المرحلة الأولى من عملية التجفيف بين 0.3196 - 0.494 كجم / (ساعة ) تحت تأثير المعاملات المختلفة. بينما كان أقل استهلاك نوعي للماء أثناء عملية التجفيف كانت 1.71 جبة (G). كجم / (كمية) بكتيريا كيلوغرام واحد من المواد أثناء عملية التجفيف كانت 56.8% للمعالجة G.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الأغشية الصالحة - البصل المجفف - البكتيريا - الفطريات - نانومترية