Antibacterial Activities of Methanol and Aqueous Extracts of Salvadora persica against Streptococcus mutans Biofilms: An In Vitro Study
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Abstract: The use of herbal products in oral hygiene care has a long history, and their use is popular today. A tree stick, named Salvadora persica (S. persica), is commonly used to remove dental plaque and clean teeth in many countries. In addition, extracts of S. persica can be used as a mouthwash, as they demonstrate antimicrobial properties. This study aimed to investigate the antibacterial effect of S. persica methanol and aqueous extracts against Streptococcus mutans (S. mutans) biofilm. A S. mutans biofilm formation assay was conducted using different concentrations of S. persica methanol or water extracts in tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% sucrose. The biofilm was stained with crystal violet dye, and the absorbance was assessed to examine biofilm formation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey tests were used to analyze the results. The S. persica methanol extract displayed a significant inhibition (p ≤ 0.001) against the S. mutans biofilm. The 10 mg/mL concentration of the S. persica methanol extract was determined as the minimum biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC). The used methanol concentration, mixed with TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose and without the S. persica extract, did not inhibit the S. mutans biofilm. The S. persica aqueous extract did not demonstrate any biofilm inhibition at any concentration (p ≥ 0.05). The findings of this study suggest the potential of using S. persica methanol extract as a mouthwash or adjunctive to oral hygiene tools.
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1. Introduction

Dental caries is one of the most prevalent oral diseases around the world. It appears when demineralization factors overcome the remineralization capabilities of the saliva reservoir, resulting in mineral imbalances within the tooth surface [1]. Two microorganisms are among the key pathogens causing dental caries: Streptococcus mutans (S. mutans) and Lactobacillus species [2]. These species can attach to the salivary dental pellicle formed over the tooth surface, utilizing the carbohydrate to produce lactic acid and demineralizing the tooth surface [3]. Various approaches have been investigated as anticaries strategies, aiming to decrease cariogenic biofilm formation via the use of antimicrobial agents [4].

Throughout the history of humankind, herbal remedies have been used to treat many infectious diseases. The validity of these remedies was based on experience and practice, rather than experimental studies or science. Some of these techniques are still utilized as a part of tradition, culture, or religious practices. Miswak is an Arabic word that refers to ‘a chewing stick’ taken from specific plants, mainly the Salvadora persica (S. persica) plant [5].
Miswak is widely used in Arab countries, India, and Pakistan as a teeth cleaner [6]. Due to the recent interest in non-antibiotic antibacterial approaches, as a result of the increased rate of antibiotic bacterial resistance, several herbal products have been explored for their antibacterial properties. Many of these approaches have targeted cariogenic bacteria [7] and periodontal pathogens [8]. *S. persica* extracts may have antimicrobial potential against several oral fungal and bacterial species, due to multiple antimicrobial compounds within the stick [9].

The popular practice of chewing *S. persica* sticks presents some drawbacks, including the difficulty in accessing the lingual and proximal surfaces of the teeth [10] and the increased risk of causing gingival recession [11]. Alternatively, providing this material as a mouthwash may eliminate such disadvantages. Therefore, several oral products that contain miswak extracts have been introduced on the market, such as Listerine® Miswak mouthwash (Johnson & Johnson Middle East FZ-LLC, Dubai, United Arab Emirates). This mouthwash, in particular, is marketed as an alcohol-free alternative. However, studies have reported conflicting outcomes related to the type of miswak extracts and their relationship to any antibacterial effect [12–14]. Considering this point, it is critical to investigate the antibacterial activity of *S. persica* using different concentrations and different extracts.

Therefore, this study aimed to explore the *S. mutans* biofilm inhibition by *S. persica* using two different extracts, aqueous and methanol, in various concentrations. We hypothesized that the *S. persica* concentration and type of extract would affect the antibacterial activities of *S. persica*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the Aqueous and Methanol Extracts

*S. persica* miswak stick was bought from Pakistan (Al-Falah IMPEX, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan). The *S. persica* stick was ground into powder, and three grams of the miswak powder was mixed with 15 mL of either water or methanol. The extracts were transferred into clean vials and stored at 4 °C for one week, to allow the water and methanol to extract the chemical components of the powder. Then, both extracts were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min [15]. The supernatant was filtered and diluted using tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% sucrose to obtain different concentrations (0.31, 0.62, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, and 40 mg/mL) of the soaked *S. persica* powder. Both extracts were kept at 4 °C, until further use.

2.2. Effect of *S. persica* Extracts on *S. mutans* Growth

A single *S. mutans* (UA159) colony was isolated from mitis salivarius agar supplemented with bacitracin and placed in 5 mL of TSB (tryptic soy broth) for 24 h (Figure 1A). TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose was mixed with 40 mg/mL of aqueous and methanol extract and serially diluted to create a range of concentrations of *S. persica* aqueous and methanol extracts (Figure 1B). Then, 10 µL of the overnight culture of *S. mutans* (approximately 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL) in TSB was treated with 190 µL of 0.31, 0.62, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or 40 mg/mL of *S. persica* aqueous and methanol extracts mixed with TSB supplemented with 1% of sucrose for 24 h in sterile 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plates (Fisher Scientific, Newark, DE, USA) (Figure 1C). The minimum biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC) was defined as the lowest concentration that yielded a significant change in the biofilm’s optical density (OD), compared to the control [16]. Total absorbance (biofilm and planktonic growth) was measured in a spectrophotometer (SpectraMax 190; Molecular Devices Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 595 nm.

The remaining planktonic cells were discarded from the biofilm microtiter plate wells (leaving an attached biofilm), and 200 µL of 10% formaldehyde was added to each well for 30 min to fix the cells. After 30 min, the formaldehyde was removed, the biofilm cells were washed three times with deionized water, and 200 µL of 0.5% crystal violet dye was added to each well to stain the cells for 30 min. The wells were rinsed three times, and 200 µL of 2-isopropanol was placed into each well for 1 h to lyse the cells and extract the
crystal violet (Figure 1C). The wells were read with a spectrophotometer at 490 nm, to measure biofilm formation [16]. This study used two control groups: a negative control with only S. mutans overnight culture and TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose growth media, and a sterility control group with only TSB growth media, to ensure the absence of any microbial contamination.

Figure 1. Schematic drawing representing the design of the study. (A) S. mutans colonies grown in a selective agar plate were transferred into 5 mL of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and grown for 24 h. (B) S. persica methanol and water extracts were serially diluted to generate several concentrations, ranging from 0.312 to 40 mg/mL. (C) 10 µL of the overnight culture was mixed with 190 µL of TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose and incubated for 24 h. On the following day, the biofilms were read at 490 nm to measure the biofilm absorbance.

2.3. Sample Size Calculation and Statistical Analysis

Based on prior studies, the within-group standard deviation of the absorbance measurements for biofilm formation was estimated to be 0.15. Thus, this study had an 80% power to detect a difference at 5% significance level, with 4 samples in each of the 3 repeated experiments. One-way ANOVA and Tukey tests were utilized to compare the effects of miswak extracts on biofilm and total growth. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of Salvadora persica Water Extract on S. mutans Growth

Figure 2A illustrates the different concentrations of S. persica extracts assessed against S. mutans biofilms. The zero concentration refers to 10 µL of S. mutans overnight culture treated with 190 µL of TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose without S. persica water extract, which was used as a control in this assay. No inhibition was found in the total growth of the bacteria (p = 0.324), using different concentrations of S. persica water extract. It can be observed that, with 20 and 40 mg/mL of S. persica, there was a minor, but not a significant reduction compared to the control with no treatment. In Figure 2B, no biofilm inhibition is observed at any extract concentration (p = 0.135), indicating that S. persica water extract might not be suitable for extracting the antimicrobial agents from miswak stick.
Figure 2. Effect of the S. persica aqueous extract on S. mutans total absorbance (A) and biofilm formation (B). Each group consisted of 4 wells, and the experiment was repeated three times (n = 12). No differences between groups were detected.

3.2. Effect of S. persica Methanol Extract on S. mutans Growth

Figure 3A demonstrates the inhibitory effect of S. persica methanol extract on S. mutans total growth. The zero concentration refers to 10 µL of S. mutans overnight culture treated with 190 µL of TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose without S. persica methanol extract, which was used as a control in this assay. A dose-dependent inhibition was observed when the extract concentration was increased. At 5 mg/mL, the S. persica started to demonstrate a significant inhibition. More inhibition was observed when the S. persica methanol extract concentrations were 10, 20, and 40 mg/mL (p ≤ 0.001). In Figure 3B, the effect of S. persica methanol extract on S. mutans biofilm is shown. Concentrations ranging between 0.31 and 5 mg/mL were not associated with major biofilm reduction. However, 10, 20, and 40 mg/mL of S. persica were significantly effective in diminishing the biofilm growth (p ≤ 0.001). The MBIC of the S. persica methanolic extract was determined as 10 mg/mL.

Figure 3. Effect of the S. persica methanol extract on S. mutans total absorbance (A) and biofilm formation (B). Each group consisted of 4 wells, and the experiment was repeated three times (n = 12). * Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared to the control samples with no treatment.

Methanol is a strong toxic chemical compound. In this study, it was used to extract the chemical components of S. persica and then transferred at a low concentration to be tested against S. mutans. Therefore, it is possible that the methanol carried out the antibacterial effect observed in Figure 3, rather than the S. persica extract. To exclude this possibility, another set of experiments were conducted, where the S. mutans biofilm was exposed to 20 µL of methanol mixed with 170 µL TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose. The 20 µL
of methanol was prepared with and without *S. persica* (Figure 4). The control refers to 10 µL of *S. mutans* treated with 190 of TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose only. Using methanol alone at a methanol concentration of 10 mg/mL, methanol extract did not harm the *S. mutans* biofilm, as the absorbance value was comparable to the control with no treatment. However, the use of 10 mg/mL of the *S. persica* methanol extract was associated with a significant biofilm inhibition (*p* ≤ 0.05). Such results indicate that the biofilm inhibition was dependent on the *S. persica* methanol extract.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Effect of 20 µL of methanol mixed with 170 µL of tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% sucrose on *S. mutans* biofilm with and without the *Salvadora persica* extract. Using the methanol-TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose mixture did not affect the *S. mutans* biofilm. However, the same methanol concentration containing 10 mg/mL of *S. persica* methanol extract in the TSB supplemented with 1% sucrose significantly inhibited the *S. mutans* biofilm growth. Each group consisted of four wells, and the experiment was repeated three times (*n = 12*). * Asterisk indicates a significant difference compared to the control samples with no treatment.

4. Discussion

Herbal medicine has been the first choice, if not the only, method of health and oral care in large populations, mainly in developing countries. Based on the popular belief that chewing sticks can efficiently clean the teeth, several investigators have directed their research to explore their antibacterial properties [17]. With the limitation of an in vitro study, our results demonstrate that the miswak–methanol extract was able to inhibit the biofilm formation of *S. mutans*. The MBIC was reported as 10 mg/mL. On the contrary, the miswak water extract was not effective in diminishing the growth of *S. mutans*. Our results indicated that the type of extract and its concentration are essential factors to achieve an antimicrobial effectiveness with *S. persica*.

The antimicrobial activities of *S. persica* are attributed to the release of chemical compounds, such as isolated benzyl isothiocyanate (BITC), when the stick is rubbed against the tooth surface [18]. BITC is recognized as a wide-spectrum bactericidal material and can restrict the acid production and the growth of *S. mutans* [5]. In addition, sulfur compounds were found in high quantity in miswak, as antimicrobial materials [5,9,19]. Besides, the essential oil in miswak extracts might increase the buffering capacity of the saliva or increase the antimicrobial activity [5,9,19]. In addition, the presence of other substances such as β-sitosterol, chlorides, salvadourea, organic compounds, piperidene derivatives, glycosides, and flavonoids has been reported [20]. Furthermore, *S. persica* was found to have a considerable amount of fluoride, calcium, and phosphorous, contributing to the remineralization process [5,9,19].
Our findings corroborate the results observed previously in the literature regarding the antimicrobial effects of miswak. In one in vitro study, *S. mutans* biofilm formation was developed on orthodontic brackets and exposed to chlorhexidine and two *S. persica* miswak extracts, hexane, and ethanol extracts [21]. The results exhibited no significant differences regarding the absorbance values of the three groups [21]. Moreover, some epidemiological studies found a lower caries incidence among miswak users than toothbrush users [22,23]. In one investigation, *S. persica* extract was found to be more potent than other chewing stick extracts, from *Azadirachta indica* and *Mangifera indica*, in inhibiting *S. mutans* growth [24]. The capability of *S. persica* to compromise the quorum sensing of *S. mutans* was also demonstrated [12]. Moreover, *S. persica* at 50% concentration was found to be effective for inhibiting other streptococcus species, such as *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus sanguis* [25].

Most studies used water or alcoholic solutions to extract miswak components. However, there were conflicting results regarding this, and some studies indicated that methanol extracts were more efficient [12,13], while others reported that water extraction was more potent [14]. One study investigated the effect of five different extracts, methanol, ethanol, chloroform, acetone, and aqueous extracts [12]. It was found that the methanol group reduced the biofilm formation by 87.92%, more than any other extract. However, the other extracts showed a significant inhibition, as the lowest inhibition rate was associated with aqueous extract, at 58.68% [12]. In this study, it seems that methanol was more effective in extracting the bioactive components of *S. persica*. Such observations may suggest trying other alcoholic solutions to dissolve *S. persica* components.

The limited spectrum of *S. persica* was reported in other studies [26,27]. It was found that *S. persica* miswak extract could have an antimicrobial effect against *S. mutans*, but not against *Lactobacillus* [26]. In addition, Almas et al. conducted a study regarding the efficiency of nine non-alcohol mouthrinses against *Streptococcus faecalis* (*S. faecalis*), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (*S. pyogenes*), *S. mutans*, *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*), *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (*S. epidermidis*) [27]. The authors concluded that miswak extract has a minimal antimicrobial activity in comparison to other commercial mouthrinses. The reported limited effectiveness of herbal chewing sticks could be due to the lack of well-designed protocols using this material. In the literature, several concentrations and different extract solutions were discussed [12–14], which may explain the conflicting outcomes and emphasize the need to optimize and develop a well-designed product/protocol for use in daily oral hygiene practice.

In clinical practice, many oral hygiene products contain miswak extracts as the main bioactive ingredient. Several kinds of miswak toothpaste and mouthwashes are available on the market. Some in vivo investigations demonstrated the ability of commercially available *S. persica* mouthwashes (Persica™) to reduce the load of *S. mutans* [28], *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*), and *C. albicans* [29]. Furthermore, miswak ingredient-containing toothpaste was found to eliminate dental plaque and reduce the risk of gingivitis [30]; even though these data demonstrate the potent activities of miswak-containing products, more randomized clinical trials are needed to optimize and increase their effectiveness [31].

Various commercial mouthwashes contain alcohol in their contents. Mouthwashes containing alcohol and their possibility of causing soft tissue irritation and oral cancer have been extensively discussed in the dental literature [32]. Despite the existing controversy, there is no clear evidence that mouthwashes containing alcohol cause oral cancer [32]. In our study, we used methanol to extract the chemical components of *S. persica*. The concentration was very low, so it did not harm the *S. mutans* biofilm (Figure 4). Therefore, it is unlikely that the used methanol concentration would harm the oral tissues. Future investigations examining the relation between alcohol in mouthwashes and oral cancer are required, to validate or exclude alcohol use in oral hygiene products.
The effect of *S. persica* on biofilm formation in this study could be underestimated for two reasons. First, mechanical removal of dental plaque was not achieved in the study. Dental plaque is the main reservoir for cariogenic pathogens, to initiate the demineralization process. Therefore, rubbing the *S. persica* stick against the tooth structure will release antimicrobial chemical components in the oral cavity and remove the dental plaque mechanically. Many studies stated the ability of miswak sticks to reduce plaque scores in the oral cavity [10,33], while some reported no significant differences between miswak sticks and toothbrushes in reducing plaque scores [34,35]. Second, chewing miswak can increase salivary stimulation, which might increase the buffering capacity inside the oral cavity [19]. Oral health care providers should be careful in interpreting the data of this study. Toothbrushes, pastes, and flosses are the standards of oral care, while the use of other products such as mouthwashes, waterpicks, and proxabrushes are considered complementary tools. Patients should also consider consulting oral health care providers in using other techniques to maintain their oral hygiene status. For instance, the aggressive use of miswak sticks may harm the gingival tissues, causing gingival irritation [11]. Therefore, monitoring oral care techniques is critical for achieving an optimum hygiene practice, without compromising surrounding tissues.

5. Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrated the ability of *S. persica* methanol extract at a 10 mg/mL concentration to inhibit *S. mutans* biofilm formation, highlighting its potential for use as a mouthwash. Future in vivo investigations are required to confirm the clinical benefits of using *S. persica* extract as a mouthwash to prevent plaque formation on teeth.
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