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Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) tryptophan-proline-proline (WPP)-domain proteins, WPP1 and WPP2, are plant-unique, nuclear envelope-associated proteins of unknown function. They have sequence similarity to the nuclear envelope-targeting domain of plant RanGAP1, the GTPase activating protein of the small GTPase Ran. WPP domain-interacting tail-anchored protein 1 (WIT1) and WIT2 are two Arabidopsis proteins containing a coiled-coil domain and a C-terminal predicted transmembrane domain. They are required for RanGAP1 association with the nuclear envelope in root tips. Here, we show that WIT1 also binds WPP1 and WPP2 in planta, we identify the chaperone heat shock cognate protein 70-1 (HSC70-1) as in vivo interaction partner of WPP1 and WPP2, and we show that HSC70-1 interacts in planta with WIT1. WIT1 and green fluorescent protein (GFP)-WIT1 are targeted to the nuclear envelope in Arabidopsis. In contrast, GFP-WIT1 forms large cytoplasmic aggregates when overexpressed transiently in Nicotiana benthamiana leaf epidermis cells. Coexpression of HSC70-1 significantly reduces GFP-WIT1 aggregation and permits association of most GFP-WIT1 with the nuclear envelope. Significantly, WPP1 and WPP2 show the same activity. A WPP1 mutant with reduced affinity for GFP-WIT1 fails to decrease its aggregation. While the WPP-domain proteins act on a region of WIT1 containing the coiled-coil domain, HSC70-1 additionally acts on the C-terminal transmembrane domain. Taken together, our data suggest that both HSC70-1 and the WPP-domain proteins play a role in facilitating WIT1 nuclear envelope targeting, which is, to our knowledge, the first described in planta activity for the WPP-domain proteins.

The cytoplasmic Ran GTPase activating protein RanGAP is critical to establishing a functional RanGTP/RanGDP gradient across the nuclear envelope (NE) and is associated with the outer surface of the NE in metazoan and higher plant cells (Matunis et al., 1996; Rose and Meier, 2001). Plant RanGAP1 association with the NE requires a plant-specific targeting domain, named the Trp-Pro-Pro (WPP) domain (Rose and Meier, 2001). Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) WPP1 and WPP2 are small (155- and 180-amino-acid residues, respectively) plant-unique proteins of unknown function, which are similar to the WPP domain of RanGAP proteins. WPP1 and WPP2 are located in the cytoplasm, with a concentration at the NE (Patel et al., 2004). They are characterized by a 104-amino-acid-long WPP domain, predicted to consist of a β-strand and three α-helices and shown to be sufficient for NE targeting (Patel et al., 2004). They are also associated with cytoplasmic speckles most likely representing Golgi (Patel et al., 2005). Reduced expression of the WPP protein family causes decreased mitotic activity in roots of Arabidopsis, resulting in shortening of primary roots and decreased number of lateral roots (Patel et al., 2004). RanGAP1 association with the NE in the Arabidopsis root tip requires two families of NE-localized, plant-specific, WPP domain-interacting proteins (WPP domain-interacting protein [WIP] and WPP domain-interacting tail-anchored protein [WIT] families) that are characterized by the presence of a coiled-coil domain and a C-terminal predicted transmembrane domain (TMD; Xu et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008). Based on sequence analysis, both the WIP and WIT protein family were classified as putative tail-anchored (TA) proteins, proteins that associate with membranes posttranslationally (Borgese et al., 2003).

The heat shock protein 70 family (HSP70) contains both heat-inducible and constitutively expressed members, called heat shock cognate proteins (HSC70). HSC70 chaperones assist in folding newly synthesized proteins (Bukau and Horwich, 1998), are involved in posttranslational translocation of secretory proteins across endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondrial...
membranes (Chirico et al., 1988; Deshaies et al., 1988), prevent irreversible aggregation of their substrates (Ngosuwan et al., 2003), and facilitate degradation of misfolded proteins (Meacham et al., 2001). Recently, mammalian HSC70 has also been implied in assisting the membrane insertion of a subset of TA proteins (Abell et al., 2007).

The Arabidopsis genome encodes five different cytosolic HSP70s, three of which are expressed constitutively (HSC70-1, HSC70-2, and HSC70-3). While expressed in all organs, Hsc70-1 and Hsc70-2 expression levels are highest in leaves and Hsc70-3 in leaves and roots. All three genes can be further induced by heat shock and cold stress (Sung et al., 2001). Constitutive overexpression of Arabidopsis Hsc70-1 in transgenic plants leads to changes in growth and development, increases thermotolerance (Sung and Guy, 2003), and decreases the plant’s ability to respond to pathogen attack (Noel et al., 2007). Recently, specific interactions of HSC70-1 with SGT1 (for Suppressor of G2 allele of skp1; Noel et al., 2007) and HSC70-3 with turnip mosaic virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Dufresne et al., 2008) were identified, suggesting a role of HSC70 in viral replication and pathogenesis. Both HSC70-1 and HSC70-3 can be detected in the nuclei and the cytoplasm of Nicotiana benthamiana epidermal cells (Noel et al., 2007; Dufresne et al., 2008).

Here, we identified Arabidopsis HSC70-1 as an in vivo interaction partner of WPP1 and WPP2 and demonstrated that HSC70-1 associates with WIT1. Using transient expression in N. benthamiana, we show that when expressed at a high level, WIT1 accumulates in large fluorescent bodies in the cytoplasm that may represent aggregates. Upon coexpression in the same system, WPP1, WPP2, and HSC70-1 are all able to prevent the aggregation of overexpressed WIT1 and enable WIT1 association with the NE. While WPP-domain proteins act on a region of WIT1 containing the coiled-coil domain, HSC70-1 additionally acts on the C-terminal TMD. We propose that WPP1 and WPP2 play a chaperone-like role reflected in preventing the aggregation of the coiled-coil region of WIT1 and possibly other coiled-coil TA-type proteins, either in conjunction or independently of HSC70-type chaperones.

RESULTS
Identification of in Vivo WPP1 and WPP2 Complexes Containing HSC70-1 and HSC70-3

To identify proteins interacting with WPP1 and WPP2 in Arabidopsis, transgenic plants were made that express either WPP1 or WPP2 fused to a tandem affinity purification tag (Rohila et al., 2004). After tandem affinity purification, SDS-PAGE, and silver staining, the excised bands were subjected to mass spectrometry analysis. Five peptides corresponding to Arabidopsis cytosolic/nuclear HSC70 were identified in an 85-kD band of the TAP-WPP2 pull-down fraction. Similarly, four peptides belonging to the same HSC70 group of proteins, coming from an 85-kD band of the TAP-WPP1 pull-down fraction, were recognized (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). The Arabidopsis genome encodes five different cytosolic/nuclear HSP70 genes, three of which are expressed constitutively (HSC70-1, HSC70-2, and HSC70-3; Sung et al., 2001). Sequences of several identified peptides do not allow identification of a specific HSP70, due to a high sequence similarity among different family members. However, some of the peptides unequivocally identified HSC70-1 (At5g02500) and HSC70-3 (At3g09440; Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). To confirm the interactions between WPP1, WPP2, HSC70-1, and HSC70-3, the corresponding cDNAs were cloned and HA-tagged proteins were coexpressed with GFP-tagged proteins by agroinfiltration in N. benthamiana. Figure 1A shows that WPP1 bound both HSC70-1 and HSC70-3, while WPP2 bound HSC70-1 but not HSC70-3 in this assay.

Two coiled-coil proteins WIT1 and WIT2 had been previously identified as in vivo WPP1 and WPP2 interactors from the same tandem affinity purification fractions (Zhao et al., 2008). Figure 1B shows that WPP1-WIT1 and WPP2-WIT1 interactions occur after agroinfiltration in N. benthamiana. Interactions between WPP-domain proteins and endogenous WIT1 or endogenous HSC70 were subsequently tested in transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing either WPP1-GFP or WPP2-GFP under the control of their own promoters. In these lines, WPP1-GFP and WPP2-GFP are associated with the NE, Golgi-like speckles, and a meshwork-like structure that most likely represents ER, similar to the published 35S-driven fusions (Patel et al., 2004; data not shown). Figure 1C shows that WPP1 and WPP2 communoprecipitate endogenous WIT1 and endogenous HSC70. Although the anti-HSC70 antibody recognizes all cytosolic forms of HSP70 (Zhang and Guy, 2005), this pool consists mostly of the constitutively expressed HSC70-1, HSC70-2, and HSC70-3 isoforms (Sung et al., 2001), thus likely representing the isoforms communoprecipitated by WPP1 and WPP2.

Overexpressed WIT1 Forms ER-Associated Aggregates in the Cytoplasm

In agreement with the role in anchoring RanGAP1 to the NE, both endogenous WIT1 and GFP-WIT1 are targeted to the NE in Arabidopsis (Zhao et al., 2008; Fig. 2A). However, the attempts to localize GFP-WIT1 driven by the 35S promoter in different transient transformation systems repeatedly showed that overexpressed GFP-WIT1 forms large aggregate-like fluorescent bodies in the cytoplasm (called aggregates from here on). The aggregates occurred when GFP-WIT1 was expressed in protoplasts derived from an N. benthamiana cell culture or from Arabidopsis leaf mesophyll cells and in agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana
leaves, when the strong silencing suppressor p19 was coinfiltrated (Fig. 2, B and C; Supplemental Fig. S1A). No obvious underlying structure, such as membrane whorls, could be observed within the aggregates, at the level of resolution of confocal microscopy (Supplemental Fig. S2). In the absence of p19, association of WIT1 with the NE could be detected (Supplemental Fig. S3), indicating that the aggregates were based on the high level of protein expression in the presence of p19 (Fig. 2C, left). Consistently, when the expression level of GFP-WIT1 was decreased by decreasing the OD600 of the infiltrated *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* culture to one-fifth or one-tenth of the value used for other experiments, the overall weaker WIT1 signal was now also found associated with the NE (Supplemental Fig. S1B).

To further define the nature of the WIT1 aggregates, colocalization experiments with a marker that labels the ER were performed (Nelson et al., 2007). WIT1 aggregates clearly colocalized with the ER marker (Fig. 2D, top). The ER marker by itself did not show any aggregate formation (data not shown), as described previously (Nelson et al., 2007). In some cells, WIT1 and the ER marker colocalized in a meshwork-like pattern, indicative of ER (Fig. 2D, bottom). This suggests that aggregates consist at least in part of WIT1-associated ER material.
Coexpression of WPP1, WPP2, and HSC70-1 Prevents Formation of WIT1 Aggregates in *N. benthamiana*

Studies in animals suggest that membrane insertion of some TA proteins with very hydrophobic TMDs is assisted by chaperones, without which they form insoluble aggregates (Borgese et al., 2007). The Hsc70/Hsp40 chaperone complex was identified as one of the two ATP-dependent complexes that interact with mammalian TA protein substrates in vitro and assist in their delivery (Abell et al., 2007; Stefanovic and Hegde, 2007; Rabu et al., 2008). Because WIT1 and HSC70 had both been found in an in vivo complex with WPP1 and WPP2, we tested whether Arabidopsis HSC70-1 or HSC70-3 would prevent the cytoplasmic aggregation of WIT1. Since WPP-domain proteins interact with both WIT1 and HSC70-1/HSC70-3, we also tested their effect on WIT1 localization.

HA-tagged HSC70-1, HSC70-3, and WPP-domain proteins were coexpressed one by one with GFP-WIT1 in *N. benthamiana*. Coagroinfiltration of GFP-WIT1 with HSC70-1, WPP1, or WPP2 led to a significantly reduced number of WIT1 aggregates compared with GUS coexpression (Fig. 3A). In addition, the association of WIT1 with the NE could be detected when HSC70-1, WPP1, or WPP2 were coexpressed (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. S4). The percentage of cells that contained aggregates decreased from about 73% in case of GUS coexpression, to 31%, 23%, and 17% for HSC70-1, WPP1, and WPP2 coexpression, respectively (Fig. 3B). In contrast, coexpression of HSC70-3 did not decrease WIT1 aggregation (Fig. 3, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S5). Immunoblot analysis showed that all HA-tagged proteins were expressed and that the level of GFP-WIT1 was comparable in all samples (Fig. 3C). Importantly, it was highest in the sample coexpressing
WPP2, indicating that the reduced number of WIT1 aggregates observed for HSC70-1, WPP1, and WPP2 coexpression is not simply based on lower WIT1 expression levels. Cells showing GFP-WIT1 aggregation were viable, either when GFP-WIT1 was present alone or in combination with HA-GUS or HA-HSC70-3, judged by the staining of cell walls only with propidium iodide (Supplemental Fig. S6; data not shown).

To test if the different effects of HSC70-1 and HSC70-3 on the aggregates correlate with their ability to bind GFP-WIT1, samples from the coinfiltrated leaves were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation. Figure 3D shows...
that when similar amounts of HSC70-1 and HSC70-3 were immunoprecipitated with the anti-HA antibody, significantly more GFP-WIT1 was detected in the precipitates with HSC70-1 than with HSC70-3 (compare lanes 4 and 8 in Fig. 3D). No signal was detected from leaves coexpressing free GFP. This result suggests higher stability of the complex containing WIT1 and HSC70-1 than the one containing WIT1 and HSC70-3, consistent with the observed effect on the GFP-WIT1 aggregates.

To also test the interaction of WIT1 with endogenous HSC70 in Arabidopsis, a coimmunoprecipitation using transgenic plants expressing GFP-WIT1 under the control of the 35S promoter was performed. As a control, a transgenic plant expressing 35S-driven GFP was used. Unlike GFP, which did not coimmunoprecipitate any HSC70, GFP-WIT1 bound significant amounts of endogenous HSC70 (Supplemental Fig. S7).

The Three-Amino-Acid WPP Motif of WPP1 Is Required to Prevent the GFP-WIT1 Aggregates

The conserved amino acid motif WPP in the WPP domain of RanGAP1 is critical for RanGAP1 binding to WIT1 (Zhao et al., 2008). The WPP domains of RanGAP1 and WPP1 show high sequence similarity and share the conserved WPP motif (Rose and Meier, 2001; Patel et al., 2004). A WPP to AAP mutation was introduced into WPP1, and the effect on the GFP-WIT1 aggregates was tested in N. benthamiana. While WPP1 largely prevented formation of GFP-WIT1 aggregates (as shown in Fig. 3), WPP1WPP/AAP failed to reduce WIT1 aggregates when the two proteins were coexpressed (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S8). The percentage of cells that contained aggregates when WPP1WPP/AAP was coinfiltrated was 77%, compared to 24% in the case of the wild-type protein and based on three independent experiments. Consistently, the interaction between WPP1WPP/AAP and WIT1 was largely reduced (Fig. 4B). We conclude that the effect of WPP1 on the GFP-WIT1 aggregates is specific and depends on the ability of two proteins to interact.

GFP-WIT11-660 Forms Aggregates That Are Prevented by WPP2 and HSC70-1

To test whether amino acids 1 to 660 of WIT1, containing the coiled-coil domain but lacking the TMD (Supplemental Fig. S9), would also form aggregates, GFP-WIT11-660 was expressed in N. benthamiana. When coexpressed alone or with the unrelated HA-GUS construct, GFP-WIT11-660 formed aggregates in the majority of cells. These aggregates differed from those formed by GFP-WIT1 in that they did not cause the ER marker to coaggregate, consistent with the absence of a TMD on this construct (data not shown). Nevertheless, coinfiltrated WPP2 and HSC70-1 also significantly reduced the number of GFP-WIT11-660 aggregates (Fig. 5A). Immunoblot analysis confirmed that all three HA-tagged proteins were expressed.

The level of GFP-WIT11-660 was only slightly reduced when WPP2 or HSC70-1 was present, compared to GUS coexpression (Fig. 5B). WPP2 and HSC70-1 reduced the number of GFP-WIT11-660 aggregates to a similar degree (Fig. 5C). Immunoprecipitation with the anti-HA antibody showed that both HA-WPP2 and HA-HSC70-1 coimmunoprecipitated GFP-WIT1 and GFP-WIT11-660 equally well (Fig. 5E), indicating that both proteins bind to WIT1 in the absence of the C-terminal predicted TMD. Based on our data with other WPP-interacting coiled-coil proteins, binding between GFP-WIT11-660 and WPP2 most likely depends on the coiled-coil domain (Patel et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2007). This suggests that at least in case of the WPP-domain proteins, the aggregates are prevented by association of a WPP-domain protein with the long coiled-coil domain of WIT1.

Interestingly, while HSC70-1 prevented aggregate formation of GFP-WIT11-660, the number of cells with proper NE targeting of this construct was not increased, indicating that in N. benthamiana a factor that...
Figure 5. WPP2 and HSC70-1 decrease aggregation of WIT11-660 in N. benthamiana, and WPP2 promotes its NE-targeting. A, GFP-WIT11-660 was either expressed alone or coexpressed by coagroinfiltration in N. benthamiana leaves with one of the HA-tagged constructs: GUS, WPP2, and HSC70-1 in the presence of p19. A, Aggregation. Bars = 10 μm. B, Anti-HA immunoblot of
is required for NE targeting of GFP-WIT11-660 might be missing, at least under the condition where both WIT1 and HSC70-1 are overexpressed. In contrast, HA-WPP2 coinfiltration not only reduced the number of aggregates but also increased the number of cells showing GFP-WIT11-660 at the NE (Fig. 5D), suggesting that WPP2 is involved in an additional step required for NE targeting in this background.

Only HSC70-1 Reduces the Number of Aggregates Formed by the C Terminus of WIT1

When expressed alone or with the GUS construct in N. benthamiana, GFP-TMDWIT1, containing the very C-terminal 43 amino acids of WIT1, including the putative TMD (Supplemental Fig. S9), formed aggregates too, however, of a different shape (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S10). The aggregates were smaller, typically roundish, and sometimes appeared hollow. They were labeled by the ER marker (Supplemental Fig. S10), suggesting an ER membrane nature of the structures. Coexpression of HSC70-1 caused a decrease in the number of aggregates, while WPP2 had no significant effect (Fig. 6, A and B). Immunoblot analysis showed that all three HA-tagged proteins were expressed and that the expression level of GFP-TMDWIT1 was comparable in all three samples (Fig. 6C). This suggests that while HSC70-1 also acts somewhat to prevent aggregate formation of the WIT1 C terminus, WPP2 requires a domain within WIT11-660 for its activity.

WPP1, HSC70-1, and WIT1 Can Form a Ternary Complex

Since we have shown that WPP1 interacts with HSC70-1, WPP1 interacts with WIT1, and HSC70-1 interacts with WIT1, we asked if the three proteins are in the same complex. Therefore, HA-HSC70-1, WPP1-GFP, and FLAG-WIT1 were coinfiltrated in N. benthamiana, and as a control, GFP was coexpressed with p19. Immunoprecipitation was performed using the anti-HA antibody, and coimmunoprecipitated proteins were detected with the anti-GFP antibody. IP, Immunoprecipitate. [See online article for color version of this figure.]

Figure 5. (Continued.)

protein extracts from infiltrated leaves expressing GFP-WIT11-660 with the constructs as explained in A (top). Anti-GFP immunoblot showing GFP-WIT11-660 expression levels in the same protein extracts (bottom). Ponceau S-stained membranes are shown below each blot to indicate loading. C and D, Quantification of aggregation (C) and NE targeting (D) in the coinfiltration experiment shown in A. The graphs depict the mean and sd values obtained from two independent experiments. A minimum of 100 cells total were scored for each agroinfiltrated combination. E, HA-WPP2 was coexpressed with either GFP, GFP-WIT1, or GFP-WIT11-660 (left); HA-HSC70-1 was coexpressed with either GFP, GFP-WIT1, or GFP-WIT11-660 (right) in N. benthamiana in the presence of p19. Immunoprecipitation was performed using the anti-HA antibody, and coimmunoprecipitated proteins were detected with the anti-GFP antibody. IP, Immunoprecipitate. [See online article for color version of this figure.]
HA-HSC70-1 and FLAG-WIT1. Samples were first immunoprecipitated with the anti-HA antibody. HA-HSC70-1 bound both WPP1-GFP and FLAG-WIT1, but not GFP (Fig. 7, HA-eluted). Then, anti-HA immunoprecipitated complexes were subjected to a second immunoprecipitation with the anti-GFP antibody. FLAG-WIT1 was only detected in the sample that contained WPP1-GFP (Fig. 7, GFP IP). These data show that WIT1 is simultaneously associated with both HSC70-1 and WPP1 and suggests that this ternary complex might be active in preventing the aggregates of WIT1.

**DISCUSSION**

**WPP-Domain Proteins Interact with the Coiled-Coil Domain of WIT1**

We have recently identified by tandem affinity purification coupled with mass spectrometry two NE-associated proteins, WIT1 and WIT2, which are required for RanGAP1 NE association in Arabidopsis root tip cells (Zhao et al., 2008). Here, we show that WIT1 also interacts in planta with two Arabidopsis proteins of unknown function that resemble the N-terminal domain of RanGAP proteins. WPP-domain proteins have previously been shown to interact with the coiled-coil domains of several proteins that also bind RanGAP1, including the Golgi-associated tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) protein LeWAP (Patel et al., 2004).

As opposed to the specific NE localization detected for WIT1 in transgenic lines expressing GFP-WIT1 (Fig. 2A) and in wild-type Arabidopsis roots (Zhao et al., 2008), the majority of GFP-WIT1 was found in aggregates in *N. benthamiana*, in the presence of p19 (Fig. 2C). Both by omitting p19 from agroinfiltration and by decreasing the OD of the culture used for infiltration, WIT1 aggregation was significantly decreased and NE targeting supported (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S1B). Both aggregated and nonaggregated WIT1 colocalized with an ER marker, suggesting that WIT1 targeting to the NE includes the step of transit through the ER and that WIT1 aggregates form predominantly in close vicinity of the ER. Alternatively, WIT1 aggregates might represent correctly ER-inserted WIT1 that fails to fold properly, in which case targeting to the ER membrane is preserved, while further sorting from the ER to the NE is defective. This scenario is supported by the fact that the N terminus of WIT1 forms similar aggregates, but they do not colocalize with the ER. Here, we used the WIT1 aggregates as a tool to identify protein components required for the suppression of aggregation and for WIT1 and its deletion constructs to reach the NE.

We show that the Arabidopsis Hsc70 ortholog HSC70-1 can prevent Arabidopsis WIT1 from forming aggregates and promote its targeting to the NE in *N. benthamiana*. Unlike HSC70-1, HSC70-3 did not decrease WIT1 aggregation (Fig. 3A). Consistently, binding of WIT1 to HSC70-1 was significantly stronger than to HSC70-3 (Fig. 3D). The interaction of endogenous HSC70 with WIT1 was confirmed in Arabidopsis, using a transgenic line expressing GFP-WIT1. A recent report showed that the mammalian Hsc70 chaperone interacts with Sec61β, a TA protein, and is instrumental in its membrane insertion in vitro (Abell et al., 2007). Abell et al. (2007) have reconstituted the membrane integration of TA proteins using purified Hsc70. In addition, they found that a combination of Hsc70 and Hsp40 can completely substitute for the ATP-dependent factors present in the cytosol. In our case, Arabidopsis HSC70-1 may increase the solubility of WIT1 by inhibiting protein aggregation and therefore
keep WIT1 insertion-competent, as shown for yeast Hsc70 (Ngosuwan et al., 2003). In addition, it may represent a TMD-mediated targeting factor for WIT1, as suggested for mammalian Hsc70 (Abell et al., 2007).

**WPP-Domain Proteins Mimic HSC70 in Preventing WIT1 Aggregation**

Surprisingly, WPP1 and WPP2 were even more competent to decrease aggregation of WIT1 and facilitate its targeting to the NE than HSC70-1 (Fig. 3). Both WIT1 binding and the effect of WPP1 on the WIT1 aggregates required the three-amino-acid WPP motif (Fig. 4), suggesting that the latter requires specific protein-protein interaction. Interestingly, the overexpression of WPP1 or WPP2 was sufficient to cause this effect in the absence of overexpressed HSC70-1. This could either indicate that WPP-domain proteins interact with endogenous *N. benthamiana* HSC70 engaging in a ternary complex, similar to the one that formed when all three proteins were overexpressed (Fig. 7), or that they can function independently of HSC70 in preventing GFP-WIT1 aggregation.

**WIT1 Domain Requirement for Subcellular Targeting and the Role of Chaperones**

The tail region of WIT1, containing the putative TMD, also formed aggregates that colocalized with the ER but that were distinguishable by their round, more vesicle-like appearance. Unlike WPP2, which had no influence on the localization of this construct in *N. benthamiana*, HSC70-1 decreased the number of vesicle-like aggregates. It has been reported that several TA and non-TA proteins are able to bind to a component of the cytosolic TMD recognition complex in a TMD-dependent manner, suggesting that the TMD recognition complex-dependent pathway is not only utilized by more than one TA protein but under some circumstances also by substrates that ordinarily would use the SRP cotranslational pathway (Stefanovic and Hedges, 2007). The Hsc70-Hsp40 pathway is used by more than one TA protein as well (Abell et al., 2007). Current models suggest that the hydrophobicity of the TMD within a TA protein might determine which ATP-dependent targeting route a TA protein is going to utilize (Rabu et al., 2008).

The truncated WIT1<sup>1-660</sup> fragment that contains the coiled-coil domain (Supplemental Fig. S9) aggregated similarly to full-length WIT1 but did not colocalize with ER (Fig. 5). Both overexpressed WPP2 and HSC70-1 were able to prevent the aggregation of WIT1<sup>1-660</sup>. In addition, WPP2 promoted NE targeting of the same truncated protein. When expressed in transgenic *Arabidopsis*, WIT1<sup>1-660</sup> showed clear NE association (Zhao et al., 2008), suggesting that the residues contained in this fragment are sufficient for NE targeting, most likely through protein-protein interactions. We propose that WPP-domain proteins represent cytoplasmic factors involved in the delivery/targeting of WIT1, acting by binding to the coiled-coil domain. The role of WPP-domain proteins in this scenario would primarily be to prevent the aggregation of the coiled-coil domain of WIT1 and thereby enable correct protein-protein interactions. Interestingly, a majority of TA SNAREs and Golgins, proteins that also have long coiled-coil domains, require at least part of the coiled-coil domain, in addition to the TMD, for their proper membrane targeting (Linstedt et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1999; Misumi et al., 2001).

Besides WPP-domain proteins, WIT1 was also previously shown to interact with the WIP protein family, as well as with both RanGAP proteins (Zhao et al., 2008). Coexpression of WIT1 with WIP1 in *N. benthamiana* causes mislocalization of WIP1 from the NE and its relocation to the WIT1-like aggregates (data not shown). It is therefore likely that WIT1 aggregation in the absence of WPP proteins and HSC70 also leads to mistargeting of RanGAP and that WPP protein function is therefore indirectly important for proper RanGAP localization at the NE.

WPP-domain proteins have three important properties that allow us to propose their role as cytosolic chaperones/receptors for the posttranslational targeting of NE-localized protein(s). They specifically interact with the NE-localized, putative TA protein WIT1, they prevent its aggregation while increasing its NE targeting efficiency, and they are partly NE localized themselves. The proposed role of WPP-domain proteins may be analogous to that of PEX19, a protein with cytoplasmic/peroxisomal localization that binds to a number of peroxisomal membrane proteins (Sacksteder et al., 2000), including some TA proteins (Halbach et al., 2006), and plays a role of a chaperone/cytosolic receptor that targets peroxisomal membrane proteins to the peroxisomal membrane (Jones et al., 2004). Another example for a protein with a dual chaperone/receptor function is AKR2A, which is involved in the targeting of chloroplast outer envelope membrane proteins. In a manner very similar to the one we show here for the WPP-domain proteins, AKR2A prevents aggregation of its substrates, maintaining them in an insertion-competent form and increases their chloroplast targeting efficiency in vivo (Bae et al., 2008). Our data demonstrate that WPP1 and WPP2 are capable of interaction with HSC70, suggesting that WPP-domain proteins may cooperate with HSC70-1 in delivery/targeting of more than one substrate protein. Further studies are necessary to address whether targeting of other TA/coiled-coil domain-containing proteins can be assisted by WPP-domain proteins and if so, if WPP-domain proteins have a specific function for NE-localized proteins.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Constructs

The WPP1 gene was subcloned from pBD-GAL4 (Patel et al., 2004) to pENTR3C (Invitrogen) using the EcoRI restriction site. Cloning of the WPP2...
gene into pENTR3C (Invitrogen) was described previously (Zhao et al., 2008). cDNAs of HSC70-1 and HSC70-3 (U09493 and U10988, respectively) were acquired from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH). Primers 5′-CACCAGTCGGTGAAGGAAGGACC-3′ and 5′-TAGTTCAGCTCTCTGATCTAAGG-3′ were used to amplify the HSC70-1 cDNA from the vector U09493 and insert it into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). Primers 5′-CACCAGTCGGTGAAGGAAGGACC-3′ and 5′-TAGTTCAGCTCTCTGATCTAAGG-3′ were used to amplify the HSC70-3 cDNA from the vector U10988 and insert it into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). The inserts were confirmed by sequencing. The WPP1, WPP2[WT]/AAP, WPP2, HSC70-1, HSC70-3, and GUS (Invitrogen) cDNAs were moved into the HA-tag destination vector pEarleyGate201 (Early et al., 2006). The N-terminal-TAP-WPP1 fusion construct was obtained by LR recombination (Invitrogen) with the NTAPi binary vector (Rohila et al., 2004). Constructs in pGCG5941 expressing GFP, WPP1-GFP, and WPP2-GFP (Patel et al., 2004), pK7WG2 expressing GFP, GFP-WIT1, GFP-WIT1ATMD, and GFP-TMDWIT1, NTAPi expressing WPP2, and pEarleyGate201 expressing FLAG-WIT1 (Zhao et al., 2008) were described previously. WPP1:WPP1-GFP and WPP2:WPP2-GFP in pGCG5941 were cloned as EcoRI/NcoI PCR fragments using primers 5′-GAAATTCGGAATAGGATATTATATTGCAAAGGAG-3′ and 5′-CATGCGATGGAGGATCCCTCAGAATCGCGGCACCGACTCAAAA-3′ for WPP1 and 5′-GAATAATGCTGCAATCTCCTCAACAAAA-3′ and 5′-CATGCGATGGAGGATCCCTCAGAATCGCGGCACCGACTCAAAA-3′ for WPP2, replacing the 35S promoter with a WPP1 genomic fragment containing promoter, 5′ untranslated region, and coding sequence of WPP1 (2016 bp) or with a WPP2 genomic fragment containing promoter, 5′ untranslated region, and coding sequence of WPP2 (2135 bp). The ER mCherry marker containing signal peptide of AtWAK2 and HDEL retention signal in pEC19 (Nelson et al., 2007) was acquired from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis

The conserved WPP motif in WPP1 was mutated to AAP using the mutagenic primer 5′-TACGCACTAGGAGGATCCCTCAGAATCGCGGCACCGACTCAAAA-3′ and its complementary primer in pENTR3C (Invitrogen), using the QuikChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene).

Generation of Transgenic Plants

The plasmid expressing TAP-WPP1 was mobilized into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain A. tumefaciens C58 Columbian ecotype Columbia wild-type plants were transformed by floral dipping (Clough and Bent, 1998) and selected for BASTA resistant (Zhao et al., 2008) 2 to 3 replicates of each experiment were performed (as indicated in the legend of each figure). The gene silencing suppressor p19 (Voinnet et al., 2003) was coexpressed to prevent silencing from multiple 35S promoter-containing constructs, as was described previously (Zhao et al., 2006; Dixon et al., 2009). The presence or absence of p19 in each infiltration is specifically indicated in the figure legends.

Tandem Affinity Purification and Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Detailed description of TAP protocol and subsequent mass spectrometry analysis was given elsewhere (Zhao et al., 2008).

Immunoblot and Protein Interaction Analysis

Arabidopsis Columbia wild type expressing GFP or GFP-WIT1 (kanamycin-resistant), GFP, WPP1-GFP, or WPP2-GFP (BASTA resistant), and TAP-WPP1 or TAP-WPP2 (BASTA resistant) were grown at 22°C in constant light for 14 d. N. benthamiana plants were grown for 3 d after agroinfiltration was performed. Whole Arabidopsis seedlings or infiltrated leaves of N. benthamiana were collected and ground into fine powders. Extracts for immunoprecipitation were prepared at 4°C in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 3 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoprecipitates from both Arabidopsis and N. benthamiana were prepared either with monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (A11120; Molecular Probes), polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (ab290; Abcam), anti-WIT1 antibody (Zhao et al., 2008) bound to protein A-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare), or monoclonal anti-HA-agarose antibody produced in mouse (Sigma-Aldrich) with 1 to 2 h binding. The immunoprecipitates were then prepared by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose or PVDF membranes, and probed with anti-WIT1 (1:2,000), mouse anti-Hsc70 (Hsp73) monoclonal antibody (1:1,000; Stressgen), monoclonal anti-β-agarose antibody produced in mouse (Sigma-Aldrich) with 15 min. Four-fifths of the eluate was bound to monoclonal anti-GFP antibody-protein A-Sepharose beads, and after second immunoprecipitation, immune complexes were detected with anti-HA-HRP (1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich), anti-GFP (A1122, 1:4,000; Molecular Probes), or anti-MAP2-HRP (1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich) antibody. Each immunoprecipitation was repeated at least twice.

Confocal Microscopy

N. benthamiana plants were grown for 3 d after agroinfiltration. A small section was dissected from the infiltrated area and placed on a slide with coverslip for microscopy. The same section was used for immunoblotting to quantify the amount of proteins. GFP fluorescence images were collected on a ZEISS 2000/ Nikon Eclipse E600 confocal laser scanning microscope as described (Rose and Meier, 2001).
Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. GFP-WIT1 aggregation at different infiltration conditions.

Supplemental Figure S2. GFP-WIT1 aggregates do not form membrane whorls.

Supplemental Figure S3. GFP-WIT1 is localized at the NE in the absence of p19.

Supplemental Figure S4. GFP-WIT1 is localized at the NE when coexpressed with HA-WPP1.

Supplemental Figure S5. Unlike HSC70-3, HSC70-1 partially prevents GFP-WIT1 aggregation.

Supplemental Figure S6. Cells that contain GFP-WIT1 aggregates are viable.

Supplemental Figure S7. GFP-WIT1 binds to endogenous HSC70.

Supplemental Figure S8. WPP/WPP/AAP does not prevent GFP-WIT1 aggregation.

Supplemental Figure S9. Domain structure of WIT1 and WTI deletion constructs.

Supplemental Figure S10. The C terminus of WIT1 colocalizes with ER.

Supplemental Table S1. List of peptides identified in TAP-WPPI LC/MS/MS analysis, corresponding to HSC70.

Supplemental Table S2. List of peptides identified in TAP-WPPI2 LC/MS/MS analysis, corresponding to HSC70.
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