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Abstract: A method for constructing all admissible unitary non-equivalent Wigner quasiprobability distributions providing the Stratonovich-h-Weyl correspondence for an arbitrary $N$-level quantum system is proposed. The method is based on the reformulation of the Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence in the form of algebraic “master equations” for the spectrum of the Stratonovich–Weyl kernel. The later implements a map between the operators in the Hilbert space and the functions in the phase space identified by the complex flag manifold. The non-uniqueness of the solutions to the master equations leads to diversity among the Wigner quasiprobability distributions. It is shown that among all possible Stratonovich–Weyl kernels for a $N = (2j + 1)$-level system, one can always identify the representative that realizes the so-called $SU(2)$-symmetric spin-$j$ symbol correspondence. The method is exemplified by considering the Wigner functions of a single qubit and a single qutrit.
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1. Introduction

The modern boom in quantum engineering and quantum computing has reinvigorated the study of the interplay between classical and quantum physics. In particular, a new insight has been gained into the long-standing problem of finding “quantum analogues” for the statistical distributions of classical systems. The Wigner procedure [1] to associate the so-called “quasi-probability distribution” on a phase space with a density operator acting on a Hilbert space was essentially the definition of the inverse of the Weyl quantization rule [2]. The discovery of this mapping provided the formulation of one of the most interesting representations of quantum mechanics, namely the statistical theory on a phase space, which is usually attributed to Groenewold [3] and Moyal [4]. After almost a century of elaboration of the initial ideas, diverse aspects of the interrelations between the phase space functions and the operators in the Hilbert space have been established (e.g., [5–17]). Nowadays, as already mentioned, special attention is being paid to the consideration of the phase-space formulation of the quantum theory, including the studies of the Wigner quasi-probability distributions for finite-dimensional quantum systems, due to quantum engineering needs (cf. [13] and references therein).

In the present paper, we continue these studies and discuss the issue of the non-uniqueness of the mapping between quantum and classical descriptions. Based on the postulates known as the Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence [14], an exhaustive method of
determining the Wigner quasiprobability distributions (shortened as the Wigner functions (WF)) for generic $N$-level quantum systems is suggested. The Wigner function is constructed from two objects: the density matrix $\varrho$ describing a quantum state, and the so-called Stratonovich–Weyl (SW) kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N)$ defined over the symplectic manifold $\Omega_N$. As will be shown below, starting from the first principles, the kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N)$ is subject to a set of algebraic equations. According to these equations, the SW kernel for a given quantum state $\varrho$ depends on a set of $N-2$ real parameters $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{N-2})$. Moreover, these SW kernels $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid v)$ are unitary non-equivalent for different values of $v$. The precise definition and meaning of the parameter $v$, which labels members of the SW family, will be given in the following sections. Here, we emphasize that the structure of the family, as well as the functional dependence of the Wigner functions on the coordinates of the symplectic manifold $\Omega_N$, is encoded in the type of degeneracy of the Stratonovich–Weyl operator kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid v)$. For example, if $\pi_i$ is an eigenvalue of the Hermitian $N \times N$ kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N)$ with the algebraic multiplicity $k(\pi_i)$, then its isotropy group $H$ is

$$H = U(k(\pi_1)) \times U(k(\pi_2)) \times \cdots \times U(k(\pi_{r+1})),$$

and the family of WF can be defined over the complex flag manifold:

$$\Omega_N = \mathbb{F}^{N}_{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r} = U(N)/H,$$

where $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r)$ is a sequence of positive integers with sum $N$, such that $k(\pi_1) = d_1$ and $k(\pi_{r+1}) = d_{r+1} - d_i$ with $d_{r+1} = N$. In this case, the family of the Wigner functions of an $N$-dimensional system in state $\varrho$ is constructed according to the Weyl rule:

$$W^{(\nu)}_{i}(\vartheta) = \text{tr}[\varrho \Delta(\Omega_N \mid v)] = \text{tr}[\varrho X(\vartheta)p^{(N)}(v)X(\vartheta)^{\dagger}],$$

where the phase space counterpart of density matrix $\varrho$ is given by an $N \times N$ matrix $X(\vartheta)$ from the $d_{\varrho}$-dimensional coset $\Omega_N$ with coordinates $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \ldots, \vartheta_{d_{\varrho}})$. The symbol $p^{(N)}(v)$ in Equation (2) denotes a real diagonal $N \times N$ matrix, the entries of which are eigenvalues of the Hermitian kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid v)$.

Our article is organized as follows. In Section 2, based on the Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence, “master equations” for the SW kernel matrix $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid v)$ will be derived and the ambiguity in the solution to these equations will be analyzed. In Section 3, connections between the proposed generic SW mapping and a well-elaborated $SU(2)$-symmetric spin-$j$ symbol correspondence (see, e.g., [7] and references therein) will be described. It will be shown how to obtain the reduced Wigner function performing the reduction from flag manifold (1) to its two-dimensional submanifold. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the exemplification of the suggested scheme of construction of the WF for a qubit and a qutrit, respectively. We present a detailed description of the Wigner functions of two- and three-dimensional systems, i.e., qubits and qutrits, respectively. Among others, representations for the reduced Wigner functions of spin-1/2 and spin-1 systems satisfying the Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence will be derived from the generic SW mapping. Our final comments and remarks are given in Section 6.

2. The Wigner Function via the Stratonovich–Weyl Correspondence

2.1. The Stratonovich–Weyl Postulates

Let us consider an $N$-dimensional quantum system in a mixed state that is defined by the density matrix operator $\varrho$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^N$. According to the basic principles of phase space representation of quantum mechanics, there is a mapping between the operators on the Hilbert space of a finite-dimensional quantum system and the functions on the phase space of its classical mechanical counterpart. This mapping can be realized with the aid of the Stratonovich–Weyl operator kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N)$ defined over a phase space
The basic principles of quantum theory are expressed through the following set of requirements (cf. formulation by Stratonovich [14], Brif and Mann [16,17]) of the SW kernel:

(I) **Reconstruction**: State \( \varrho \) is reconstructed from the Wigner function (3) as

\[
\varrho = \int_{\Omega_N} d\Omega_N \Delta(\Omega_N) W_\varrho(\Omega_N). \tag{4}
\]

(II) **Hermicity**: \( \Delta(\Omega_N) = \Delta(\Omega_N)^\dagger \).

(III) **Finite Norm**: The state norm is given by the integral of the Wigner distribution

\[
\text{tr}[\varrho] = \int_{\Omega_N} d\Omega_N W_\varrho(\Omega_N), \quad \int_{\Omega_N} d\Omega_N \Delta(\Omega_N) = 1. \tag{5}
\]

(IV) **Covariance**: The unitary transformations \( \varrho' = U(\alpha)\varrho U^\dagger(\alpha) \) induce the kernel change

\[
\Delta(\Omega_N') = U(\alpha)^\dagger \Delta(\Omega_N) U(\alpha). \tag{6}
\]

For our further purposes, it is worth commenting on the measure in (4). Identifying the phase space \( \Omega_N \) as a flag manifold (1), the measure in the reconstruction integral (4) can be written formally as

\[
d\Omega_N = C_N^{-1} d\mu_{SU(N)}/d\mu_H,
\]

where \( C_N \) is a real normalization constant, \( d\mu_{SU(N)} \) is the normalized Haar measures on the \( SU(N) \). Since the integrand in (4) is a function of the coset variables only, the reconstruction integral can be extended to the whole group \( SU(N) \),

\[
\varrho = Z_N^{-1} \int_{SU(N)} d\mu_{SU(N)} \Delta(\Omega_N) W_\varrho(\Omega_N), \tag{6}
\]

by introducing the normalization constant \( Z_N^{-1} = C_N^{-1}/\text{vol}(H) \). Here, the factor \( \text{vol}(H) \) denotes the volume of the isotropy group \( H \) calculated with the measure induced by a given embedding, \( H \subseteq SU(N) \).

Summarizing all these commonly accepted views, the kernel satisfying postulates (I)–(IV) and providing the mapping from an element of the space state \( \varrho \) to the Wigner function (3) will hereafter be referred to as the Stratonovich–Weyl kernel.

### 2.2. Master Equations for Stratonovich–Weyl Kernel

Now, we are in a position to show how one can reformulate the above generic requirements of the SW kernel in terms of certain simple algebraic equations. In particular, we will prove that the Stratonovich–Weyl kernel \( \Delta(\Omega_N) \) with isotropy group \( H \subseteq SU(N) \), defined on a phase-space \( \Omega_N \) identified as a flag manifold \( U(N)/H \), satisfies the following algebraic equations:

\[
\text{tr}[\Delta(\Omega_N)] = 1, \quad \text{tr}[\Delta(\Omega_N)^2] = N. \tag{7}
\]

In order to demonstrate this, note that relations (3) and (6) imply the integral identity

\[
\varrho = Z_N^{-1} \int_{SU(N)} d\mu_{SU(N)} \Delta(\Omega_N) \text{tr}[\varrho \Delta(\Omega_N)]. \tag{8}
\]

To proceed further, we use the singular value decomposition of the Hermitian kernel \( \Delta(\Omega_N) \):

\[
\Delta(\Omega_N) = U(\theta)PU^\dagger(\theta), \quad P = \text{diag}\left\{ \frac{\pi_1}{k(\pi_1)}, \ldots, \frac{\pi_r}{k(\pi_r)} \right\}, \tag{9}
\]
with the following descending order of the eigenvalues:

\[ \pi_1 \geq \pi_2 \geq \cdots \geq \pi_r. \quad (10) \]

The unitary matrix \( U(\theta) \) in (9) is not unique and the character of its arbitrariness follows from the degeneracy of the spectrum \( \sigma(\Delta) \) of the SW kernel, i.e., it is determined by the isotropy group \( H \subset SU(N) \) of the diagonal matrix \( P \). Thus, we assume that the diagonalizing matrix \( U(\theta) \) belongs to a certain coset \( U(N) \setminus H \). It is convenient to identify it with a complex flag manifold (1) and use the coordinates \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{d_N} \) for its description.

Substituting \( \Delta(\Omega_N) \) into (8) with the decomposition (9), we obtain the identity,

\[ Z_N^{-1} \int_{SU(N)} d\mu_{SU(N)}(U) U_{kl}^\dagger U_{ij} \theta_{ij} = \delta_{ik}. \quad (11) \]

Now, performing the integration in identity (11), we will obtain an algebraic equation for the SW kernel. Indeed, using the fourth-order Weingarten formula [18–20]:

\[ \int_{SU(N)} d\mu_{SU(N)} U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger \frac{1}{N^2 - 1} \left( \delta_{i_1 l_1} \delta_{i_2 l_2} \delta_{j_1 k_1} \delta_{j_2 k_2} + \delta_{i_1 l_2} \delta_{i_2 l_1} \delta_{j_1 k_2} \delta_{j_2 k_1} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{N(N^2 - 1)} \left( \delta_{i_1 l_1} \delta_{i_2 l_2} \delta_{j_1 k_1} \delta_{j_2 k_2} + \delta_{i_1 l_2} \delta_{i_2 l_1} \delta_{j_1 k_2} \delta_{j_2 k_1} \right), \]

on the left side of (11), we arrive at the equations for the kernel:

\[ (\text{tr}[P])^2 = Z_N N, \quad \text{tr}[P^2] = Z_N N^2, \quad (12) \]

Now, taking into account the finite norm condition (III) and the second-order Weingarten formula,

\[ \int_{SU(N)} d\mu_{SU(N)} U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger = \frac{1}{N} \delta_{i_1 k_1} \delta_{j_1 l_1}, \]

one can verify that (5) is satisfied if

\[ \text{tr}[P] = Z_N N. \quad (13) \]

Comparing (13) with (12) allows the determination of the normalization constant, \( Z_N = 1/N \). Finally, using the covariance condition (IV) and \( U(N) \) invariance of (12), we obtain the “master equations” for the SW kernel:

\[ \text{tr}[\Delta(\Omega_N)] = 1, \quad \text{tr}[\Delta(\Omega_N)^2] = N. \quad (14) \]

- **Comments on a set of conditions for SW kernel**

Finalizing our derivation of the master equations, it is worth commenting on the particular formulation of the Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence rules that we use in this paper.

According to the formulation given in [16,17], the Stratonovich rules partially rewritten in our notations are:

1. Linearity: \( A \rightarrow W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N) \) is one-to-one map.
2. Standardization:

\[ Z_N^{-1} \int d\mu_{SU(N)} W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N) = \text{tr}[A]. \]

3. Covariance: under transformation of operators \( A^g = g^A g \), the symbol changes as \( W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N) = W_A^{(s)}(g \cdot \Omega_N) \)

4. Traciality:

\[ Z_N^{-1} \int d\mu_{SU(N)} W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N) W_B^{(-s)}(\Omega_N) = \text{tr}[AB]. \quad (15) \]
Here, the index $s$ is a label for a family of quasiprobability distributions (namely, $s = -1, 0, 1$ correspond to Husimi $Q$, Wigner $W$ and Glauber–Sudarshan $P$ functions, respectively) with different SW kernels $\Delta^{(s)}(\Omega_N)$ realizing the Weyl map, $A \rightarrow W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N)$. In general, the inverse of the Weyl transform is performed by the kernel inverse to the direct one:

$$A = \int d\mu_{\text{SU}(N)} W_A^{(s)}(\Omega_N) \Delta^{(-s)}(\Omega_N). \quad (16)$$

Comparing this list with the requirements (I)–(IV), one can see that our reconstruction Formula (4) is implemented by the SW kernel $\Delta(\Omega)$, which is the same as that used in the construction of WF in (3). Below, describing families of non-equivalent Wigner quasiprobability distributions, originating from the non-uniqueness of the solutions to the master equations (14), we still restrict our study to this kind of “self-dual” SW kernel, corresponding to $s = 0$. In this case, the traciality condition (15) is satisfied automatically for each representative of the “self-dual” family of SW kernels independently. This follows, once again, from the Weygarten formula for the integral (15). It results in an identity modulo the “master equations”.

### 2.3. Dual Picture

Thus, we come to the following dual description of the finite-dimensional system with two basic ingredients, the quantum state space, the space of operators $\mathfrak{P}_N$ on the Hilbert space, and the space of matrix-valued functions $\mathfrak{P}^*_N$ on phase-space $\Omega_N$.

The quantum state space of $N$–dimensional system $\mathfrak{P}_N$ is a subspace of $N \times N$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, fulfilling the following:

$$\mathfrak{P}_N = \{ X \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = X^\dagger, \quad X \geq 0, \quad \text{tr}(X) = 1 \}. \quad (17)$$

Meanwhile, the space $\mathfrak{P}^*_N$ of matrix-valued functions on phase-space $\Omega_N$ of the $N$–dimensional system, the Stratonovich–Weyl kernel, we define as:

$$\mathfrak{P}^*_N = \{ X \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = X^\dagger, \quad \text{tr}(X) = 1, \quad \text{tr}(X^2) = N \}. \quad (18)$$

Now, the Weyl dual pairing:

$$W_\rho(\Omega_N) = \text{tr}[\rho \Delta(\Omega_N)], \quad (19)$$

defines the Wigner quasiprobability function $W_\rho(\Omega_N)$ on phase-space $\Omega_N$ and the inverse mapping $\mathfrak{P}^*_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_N$:

$$\rho = \int_{\Omega_N} d\Omega_N \Delta(\Omega_N) W_\rho(\Omega_N) \quad (20)$$

for all elements $\rho \in \mathfrak{P}^*_N$ and $\Delta \in \mathfrak{P}_N$.

### 2.4. Space of Solutions to the Master Equations

To further understand the dual picture, more detailed knowledge of the structure of the quantum state space $\mathfrak{P}$ as well as the SW kernel space $\mathfrak{P}^*$ is necessary. In this section, we will analyze the latter. In particular, the moduli space of SW kernels will be described.

The unitary group $\text{SU}(N)$ acting via conjugation defines the unitary equivalence relations and, as a result, the family of unitary non-equivalent SW kernels is in one-to-one correspondence with the coadjoint $\text{SU}(N)$ orbit modulo the constraints coming from the master equations (14). This observation allows us to obtain an explicit description of the corresponding moduli space as follows. Consider the coadjoint orbit $O_\lambda$ of $\text{SU}(N)$ parameterized by decreasingly ordered $n$-tuple $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)$ with components summed up to zero, $\sum_i x_i = 0$ and $\lambda$ as the positive Weyl chamber

$$C: = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \sum_i x_i = 0, \ x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_N \} \quad (21)$$
It is easy to see that the intersection of \((N - 1)\)-dimensional sphere, \(\sum_i x_i^2 = 2\), with the Weyl chamber \(C\) gives the moduli space \(P_N\) of solutions to the master equations (4):

\[
P_N \simeq C \cap S_{N-1}(\sqrt{2}).
\]

Indeed, consider the SVD decomposition for \(\Delta(\Omega_N \mid \nu)\), with its diagonal part expanded over the basis elements of a Cartan subalgebra \(h \in \mathfrak{su}(N)\)

\[
\Delta(\Omega_N \mid \nu) = \frac{1}{N} U(\Omega_N) \left[ I + \kappa \sum_{\lambda \in h} \mu_s(\nu) \lambda_s \right] U(\Omega_N)^t,
\]

where \(\kappa = \sqrt{N(N^2 - 1)/2}\), and the orthonormal basis \(\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{N^2 - 1}\}\) of the algebra \(\mathfrak{su}(N)\) concerning the trace norm \(\text{tr}(\lambda_i \lambda_j) = 2\delta_{ij}\) is chosen. Here, \(\mu_s(\nu)\) are real parameters subject to the master equations (4). The equations in (4), being invariant under the \(SU(N)\) group action, constrain only the parameters \(\mu_s(\nu)\), which are associated with the orbits of \(SU(N)\) orbit. It is easy to see that substitution of (23) into Equation (14) leads to the constraint on \(\mu_s(\nu)\):

\[
\sum_{s=2}^{N} \mu_{s}^2(\nu) = 1.
\] (24)

Now, if we identify the eigenvalues of the traceless part of the SW kernel, ordered decreasingly (cf. (10)), with the \(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N\), then Equation (24) reduces to the equation \(\sum_i x_i^2 = 2\), proving the representation (22) for moduli space \(P_N\).

2.5. Parameterizing the Wigner Function

In summary, we are in the position to present the parametrization and the general form of the Wigner function.

Consider the symplectic manifold \(\Omega_N \simeq U(N)/U(1)^N\) and suppose that a quantum \(N\)-level system is in a mixed state \(\rho\) characterized by \((N^2 - 1)\)-dimensional Bloch vector \(\xi\),

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{N} \left( I + \sqrt{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}(\xi, \lambda) \right).
\] (25)

The SW mapping implemented by the SW kernel \(\Delta(\Omega_N \mid \nu)\) defines a family of Wigner functions

\[
W_N^{(\nu)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_d) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ 1 + \frac{N^2 - 1}{\sqrt{N + 1}} (n, \xi) \right],
\] (26)
where \( n \) is \((N^2 - 1)\)-dimensional unit vector given by superposition of \((N - 1)\) orthogonal vectors \( n^{(3)}, n^{(8)}, \ldots, n^{(N^2 - 1)} \):

\[
n = \mu_3(v)n^{(3)} + \mu_8(v)n^{(8)} + \cdots + \mu_{N^2 - 1}(v)n^{(N^2 - 1)},
\]

with coefficients \( \mu_1(v), \mu_2(v), \ldots, \mu_{N^2 - 1}(v) \) defined over the moduli space \( P_N(v) \). The vectors \( n^{(s)} \) correspond to the basis elements of the Cartan subalgebra \( \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h} \) and are determined by the diagonalizing matrix in (23):

\[
n^{(s)}_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( U \lambda_s U^\dagger \lambda_\mu \right), \quad \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \ldots, N^2 - 1.
\]

As mentioned in the Introduction, the number \( d(N) \) of independent variables \( \theta \) in the Wigner function (26) depends on the isotropy group of the SW kernel. The maximal number for a given \( N \) equals \( \text{max} \ d(N) = N(N - 1) \) and corresponds to the maximal torus \( T \subset SU(N) \). However, depending on the symmetry of the SW kernel and the state, the number of the independent variable in WF can be reduced. In subsequent sections, we will derive sufficient conditions for the reduction of the proposed scheme to \( SU(2) \)-symmetric spin \( j \) correspondence. It will be shown how to reduce the number of independent phase space variables to one or two for half-integer and integer values of \( j \), respectively.

### 3. Reduction to \( SU(2) \) Symmetric Spin-\( j \) Correspondence

In this section, we clarify connections between the proposed generic SW mapping and a well elaborated \( SU(2) \)-symmetric spin-\( j \) symbol correspondence. To make the presentation self-sufficient, we start with the definitions of the spin-\( j \) system and a spin-\( j \) symbol correspondence in the form presented in the work by de Rios and Straum [21].

**Definition 1.** A spin-\( j \) system is a complex Hilbert space \( H_j \simeq \mathbb{C}^N \) together with an irreducible unitary representation

\[
\phi_j : SU(2) \to G \subset U(H_j) \simeq U(N), \quad N = 2j + 1 \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( G \) denotes the image of \( SU(2) \), which is isomorphic to \( SU(2) \) or \( SO(3) \) according to whether \( j \) is half-integral or integer.

**Definition 2.** A symbol correspondence for a spin-\( j \) system is a rule which ascribes to each operator \( P \in \mathcal{B}(H_j) \) a smooth function \( W_p^j \) on \( S^2 \), called its symbol, with the following properties:

(i) **Linearity:** the map \( P \to W_p^j \) is linear and injective;

(ii) **Equivariance:** \( W_{pg}^j = (W_p^j)^g \) for each \( g \in SO(3) \);

(iii) **Reality:** \( W_{pgs}^j(n) = W_p^j(n) \);

(iv) **Normalization:** \( \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} W_p^j(n) dS = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(P) \).

**Definition 3.** A Stratonovich–Weyl correspondence is a symbol correspondence that, additionally to (i)–(iv) axioms, also satisfies the so-called isometry axiom:

(v) **Isometry:** \( \langle W_p^j W_{Q}^j \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{tr}(P^\dagger Q) \).

The left-hand side of the equations denotes the normalized \( L^2 \) inner product of two functions on the sphere,

\[
\langle F_1, F_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} F_1(n) F_2(n) dS.
\]

We claim that for any \( N = (2j + 1) \), where \( j = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots \), among solutions to the “master equations” (7), one can always find at least one SW kernel \( \Delta^{(s)} \), of a symmetry type \([H_k]\), such that a generic dual pairing (3) with a density matrix \( \rho(J) \) of \([H_k]\) symmetry type
reduces to the SU(2)-symmetric spin-\( j \) correspondence. The reduced Wigner function \( W_{(q)}^{(k)} \) associated with a density matrix is defined either on a one-dimensional subspace of the phase space for a half-integer, \( j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots \), or on a two-dimensional subspace of the phase space for an integer, \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \).

We prove this claim by deriving sufficient conditions in the form of algebraic equations for the reduction and then demonstrating the existence of at least one solution to the equations for each case of values of \( j \).

Let us first observe that the reduced Wigner quasiprobability distribution \( W_{(q)}^{(k)} \), when the symmetry groups of the density matrix and SW kernel correspondingly are \( H_k \) and \( H_q \), can be determined as follows.

- Introduce the double coset \( B_{k,q}^N = H_q \backslash SU(N) / H_k \) with the following left and right factors:

\[
H_k = S(U(k_1) \times U(k_2) \times \cdots \times U(k_L)), \quad \prod_{i=1}^L \det(U(k_i)) = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^L k_i = N, \quad (28)
\]
\[
H_q = S(U(q_1) \times U(q_2) \times \cdots \times U(q_R)), \quad \prod_{i=1}^R \det(U(q_i)) = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^R q_i = N, \quad (29)
\]

where \( k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_L) \) and \( q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_R) \) are degrees of degeneracy of the decreasingly ordered eigenvalues of a given density matrix and SW kernel, \( r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_L \) and \( \pi_1 > \pi_2 > \cdots > \pi_R \),

\[
r^\dagger = \text{spec}\{(r_1, \ldots, r_1); (r_2, \ldots, r_2); \ldots; (r_L, \ldots, r_L)\}, \quad (30)
\]
\[
\pi^\dagger = \text{spec}\{\pi_1, \pi_1; \pi_2, \pi_2; \ldots; \pi_R, \pi_R\}, \quad (31)
\]

- Consider a mapping from \( B_{k,q}^N \) to the subspace of the Birkhoff polytope \( B_N \), by prescribing to each element \( Z \in B_{k,q}^N \) the unistochastic matrix:

\[
B_{k,q}^N \rightarrow B_N : \quad B_{ij} = |Z_{ij}|^2, \quad \forall Z \in B_{k,q}^N
\]

- Define, based on the above mapping (32), the bilinear form:

\[
W_{(q)}^{(k)} = r^\dagger B_{ij} \pi^\dagger_j = \left(r^\dagger, \pi^\dagger\right)_B. \quad (33)
\]

The variety of possible symmetries of SW correspondence is determined by all pairs of Young diagrams corresponding to a set of \( \{k^\dagger, q^\dagger\} \) solving the master equations. The symmetry of a point \( x \in \mathbb{B}^r \) associated with the adjoint action of group \( G \) is given by the isotropy (stability) group \( G_x = \{g \in G \mid x = g^{-1}xg\} \). The WF corresponding to another ordering of eigenvalues \( r \) obtained by transposition \( P \) from \( r^\dagger \) is given by pairing (33) with the transposed matrix:

\[
B_P = PB. \quad (34)
\]

The result of transposition (34) can be moved to the change in the phase space coordinates. To see this, consider SVD decomposition for density matrices \( \varrho_{(q)} \) and SW kernel \( \Delta^{(k)} \) with the spectrum of the types of degeneracy (30) and (31):

\[
\varrho_{(q)} = V \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \mathbb{I}_{k_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & r_L \mathbb{I}_{k_L} \end{pmatrix} V^\dagger, \quad \Delta^{(k)} = U \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \mathbb{I}_{q_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \pi_R \mathbb{I}_{q_R} \end{pmatrix} U^\dagger. \quad (35)
\]
These are not unique. The most general family of diagonalizing unitary matrices $V$ and $U$ in (35) is

$$V = V^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} V_{k_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & V_{k_L} \end{pmatrix} P, \quad U = U^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} U_{q_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & U_{q_R} \end{pmatrix} Q,$$  

(36)

where $V^\dagger$ and $U^\dagger$ denote the unitary matrices constructed of right eigenvectors of matrix $\varrho$ and $\Delta$ ordered according to their decreasing eigenvalues. The matrices $V_{k_1}, \ldots, V_{k_L}$ and $U_{q_1}, \ldots, U_{q_R}$ are arbitrary unitary matrices of order $k_1, \ldots, k_L$ and $q_1, \ldots, q_R$, respectively, and $P$ and $Q$ are matrices transposing the columns.

Now, to perform the reduction to $SU(2)$-symmetric spin-$j$ symbol correspondence, it is sufficient to find pairs of tuples $k^\dagger = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_L)$ and $q^\dagger = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_R)$ that solve the equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^L k_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^R q_i^2 = 1 + 4j(j+1), \quad \sum_{i=1}^L k_i = \sum_{i=1}^R q_i = 2j + 1.$$  

(37)

if $j$ is a half-integer, or

$$\sum_{i=1}^L k_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^R q_i^2 = 4j(j+1), \quad \sum_{i=1}^L k_i = \sum_{i=1}^R q_i = 2j + 1,$$  

(38)

if $j$ is an integer.

To prove this statement, let us make a few observations on unistochastic matrices in (32). Note that matrices $B_{ij}$ form a subset of space $U_N$ of the so-called unistochastic matrices [22]. Its dimension reads

$$\text{dim} U_N = (N-1)^2.$$  

(39)

Now, first of all, we are ready to show that WF for the most generic SW kernel and density matrices has $(N-1)^2$ dimensional support in accordance with the dimension of the space of unistochastic matrices (39). Indeed, taking into account that, for a generic case, without symmetries, the isotropy groups of states and SW kernel are minimal ones,

$$\text{dim} H_q = \text{dim} H_k = N - 1,$$

a real dimension of the coset $B_{k,q}$:

$$\text{dim} B_{k,q}^N = N^2 - 1 - \text{dim} H_q - \text{dim} H_k$$  

(40)

reduces for a generic case to

$$\text{dim} B_{k,q}^N \mid_{\text{Generic}} = N^2 - 1 - 2(N-1) = (N-1)^2.$$  

(41)

A realization of the $SU(2)$-symmetric SW correspondence for spin-$j$ assumes that $N = 2j + 1$ level system is in specific states possessing a nontrivial isotropy group $H_q$, and, at the same time, the SW kernel has a symmetry given by a certain isotropy group $H_k$ as well.

Now, to determine both symmetry groups, we formulate the set of algebraic equations for $k$ and $q$ tuples. It is found that the minimal dimension of $B_{k,q}^{2j+1}$ is one and two for odd and even numbers of levels, respectively. Hence, the equation for $j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$ is

$$\text{dim} B_{k,q}^{2j+1} = 1,$$  

(42)
while for integer spins, \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \), it reads
\[
\dim \mathbb{B}_{k,q}^{2j+1} = 2. \tag{43}
\]

Using the expression for the coset dimension:
\[
\dim \mathbb{B}_{k,q} = N^2 - 1 - \dim H_{q} - \dim H_{k} = 4j(j + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{L} k_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{R} q_i^2 + 2, \tag{44}
\]
we reformulate (42) and (43) as the problem of solving Equations (37) or (38).

We do not have a complete solution to these equations for an arbitrary \( N \), but in order to establish SU(2) symmetric spin \( j \) correspondence, it is enough to find at least a single solution to (37) and (38). It is straightforward to check that the pairs \( k = (2j+1, 2j+1), q = (2j+1, 2j+1) \) and \( k = (2j, 1), q = (2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1, 1) \) for half-integer and integer \( j \), respectively, fulfil the corresponding equations.

The results for complete solutions of reduction equations \( 1 \leq j \leq 7/2 \) are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Symmetries and partitions corresponding to low-dimensional half-integer SU(2)-symmetric spin-\( j \) correspondence.

| Spin \( j \) | SW Kernel Degeneracy | State Degeneracy | \( P(N) \) | \( 4j(j + 1) \) |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 1/2         | (1, 1)               | (1, 1)           | 2          | 3              |
| 3/2         | (3, 1)               | (2, 1, 1)        | 5          | 15             |
| 5/2         | (4, 1, 1)            | (4, 1, 1)        | 11         | 35             |
|             | (3, 3)               | (3, 3)           |            |                |
|             | (4, 1, 1)            | (3, 3)           |            |                |
|             | (5, 1)               | (2, 2, 1, 1)     |            |                |
| 7/2         | (7, 1)               | (3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) | 22        | 63            |
|             | (7, 1)               | (2, 2, 2, 1, 1)  |            |                |
|             | (6, 2)               | (4, 2, 2)        |            |                |
|             | (6, 1, 1)            | (4, 3, 1)        |            |                |
|             | (5, 3)               | (5, 2, 1)        |            |                |
|             | (4, 4)               | (4, 4)           |            |                |

In the tables, \( P(N) \) is a partition function that gives a number of possible partitions of a non-negative integer \( N \) into natural numbers.
Table 2. Symmetries and partitions corresponding to low-dimensional integer SU(2)-symmetric spin-j correspondence.

| Spin | SW Kernel Degeneracy | State Degeneracy | P (N) | 4j(j + 1) |
|------|----------------------|------------------|-------|-----------|
| j    | (k₁, k₂, ..., k_{L−1}, k₇) | (q₁, q₂, ..., q_{R−1}, q₉) |       |           |
| 1    | (2, 1)               | (1, 1, 1)        | 3     | 8         |
| 2    | (4, 1)               | (2, 1, 1, 1)     | 7     | 24        |
|      | (3, 1, 1)            | (3, 2)           |       |           |
| 3    | (6, 1)               | (2, 2, 1, 1, 1)  | 15    | 48        |
|      | (5, 2)               | (3, 3, 1)        |       |           |
|      | (5, 2)               | (4, 1, 1, 1)     |       |           |
|      | (5, 1, 1)            | (4, 2, 1)        |       |           |

In the following sections, we consider in detail examples of low-dimensional quantum systems. The explicit form of the Wigner functions for \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) level systems will be given. Apart from these, we will describe the reduction of the Wigner functions to the subspaces of the phase space, constructing the SW mapping when the systems possess a certain symmetry. The construction of the reduced WF of spin-1/2 and spin-1 is presented.

4. Wigner Function of a Single Qubit

- **A qubit mixed state**

  Consider a generic two-level system in a mixed state, characterized by the Bloch vector \( r \) with spherical components, \( r = r(\sin \alpha^* \cos \beta^*, \sin \alpha^* \sin \beta^*, \cos \alpha^*) \),

  \[
  \rho = \frac{1}{2} I + \frac{1}{2} (r, \sigma),
  \]

  where the vector \( \sigma \) refers to the set of the Pauli matrices, \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \). Equivalently, \( \rho \) in SVD form reads:

  \[
  \rho = V(\alpha^*, \beta^*) \left( \begin{array}{cc} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{array} \right) V(\alpha^*, \beta^*)^\dagger.
  \]

  The eigenvalues of the density matrix \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are linear combinations of the radius \( r \) of the Bloch vector:

  \[
  r_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r), \quad r_2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - r),
  \]

  and matrix \( V \) is an element of the coset \( SU(2)/U(1) \) in conventional parameterization,

  \[
  V(\alpha^*, \beta^*) = \exp \left( i \frac{\alpha^*}{2} \sigma_3 \right) \exp \left( i \frac{\beta^*}{2} \sigma_2 \right) \exp \left( -i \frac{\alpha^*}{2} \sigma_3 \right).
  \]

- **SW kernel**

  The master equations (7) give a unique solution for the spectrum of the two-dimensional SW kernel:

  \[
  \text{spec}(\Delta(\Omega_2)) = \left\{ \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{3}}{2} \right\}.
  \]

  Therefore, the SW kernel of the qubit

  \[
  \Delta(\Omega_2) = \frac{1}{2} U(\Omega_2) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 + \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \sqrt{3} \end{array} \right) U^\dagger(\Omega_2) = \frac{1}{2} I + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (n, \sigma),
  \]
is defined over two spheres described by the unit vector,\[ n_i = U(\Omega_2) \sigma_3 U^\dagger(\Omega_2) = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta). \]

Hence, the Wigner function for the two-level system in a state \( \rho \) on a two-sphere reads:\[ W_\rho(n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (r, n), \quad n \in S^2. \] (50)

**Reduced WF of qubit**

For the case of qubit, the symmetry analysis is trivial. The two-level system is associated with the spin-1/2 system directly. For spin-1/2, there are only \( P(2) = 2 \) partitions, namely \((1, 1)\) and \((2)\).

According to (37), the partition \((1, 1)\) gives the desired symmetric coset with the same left and right factors \( S(U(1) \times U(1)) \). Following the procedure described in the previous section, the reduced Wigner function \( W^{(1,1)}_{(1,1)} \) depending only on the radius of the Bloch vector and defined over a one-dimensional orbit of \( SU(2) \), i.e., on a circle, is\[ W^{(1,1)}_{(1,1)}(\theta) = \text{tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{(1,1)}(\theta) \right]. \] (51)

The reduced SW kernel \( \Delta^{(1,1)}(\theta) \) is derived from the generic kernel (49) by projecting the matrix \( U \in SU(2) \) written in the symmetric 3-2-3 Euler decomposition to its double coset, \( U(1) \backslash SU(2) / U(1) \),\[ \Delta^{(1,1)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left( i \frac{\theta}{2} \sigma_2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \exp \left( -i \frac{\theta}{2} \sigma_2 \right), \]

with the Euler angle \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \) serving as the double coset coordinate. Evaluation of the trace in (51) gives the reduced WF in the form of dual pairing with the unistochastic matrix \( B \):\[ W^{(1,1)}_{(1,1)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & B(\theta) \pi^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \] (52)

Hence, explicitly, the reduced WF of the two-level system reads\[ W^{(1,1)}_{(1,1)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (r_1 - r_2) \cos \theta. \] (53)

**Comment on the reduced phase space**

The WF in Equation (53) is defined over one half of a unit circle. How can we extend it to a whole circle?

According to the discrete symmetry of SVD decomposition, i.e., symmetry under the permutation of eigenvalues, there are two WFs corresponding to opposite orders,\[ \downarrow W = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \cos \theta, \quad \uparrow W = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \cos \theta. \] (54)

One can move the permutations \( P \) of eigenvalues \( \rho' = P \rho P^{-1} \) to the following transformation of the phase-space coordinate \( \theta \),\[ \uparrow W(\theta) = (\downarrow W(\theta))^P = \downarrow W(\theta + \pi). \]
Hence, this relation
\[ \downarrow W(\theta) - \uparrow W(\theta) = \sqrt{3} r \cos(\theta), \]
gives the rule to extend the domain of definition of WF to a whole circle, \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \).

**Comment on the reduced quasiprobability distributions and observables**

Finally, it is worth commenting on the role that the reduced quasiprobability distribution plays in a description of observables.

The reduced WF allows reconstruction of the spectrum of a density matrix \( \varrho \). Indeed, this verifies that the diagonal matrix of a qutrit state can be reconstructed
\[ \varrho_{\text{diag}} = \int d\theta W^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(\theta) \Delta^{(1,1)}(\theta), \] (55)
and, thus, the complete state can be reconstructed via the SVD for density matrix \( \varrho = V(a^*, b^*) \varrho_{\text{diag}} V^\dagger(a^*, b^*) \).

Using the reconstruction Equation (55), we can build the reduced symbols of operators and corresponding observables. The expectation value of spin-1/2 operator in the state \( \varrho \),
\[ \langle S \rangle_\varrho = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma \varrho) = \frac{1}{2} r, \] (56)
can be derived using the symbol of the spin operator and WF. The symbol of spin-1/2 operator \( S = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \) reads:
\[ W_S(\Omega_2) = \text{tr}(S \Delta(\Omega_2)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} n. \]

On the other hand, (56) can be written as convolution,
\[ \langle S \rangle_\varrho = \int d\Omega_2 W_\varrho(\Omega_2) W_S(\Omega_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \int d\Omega \left[ 1 + \sqrt{3}(n \cdot r) \right] n = \frac{1}{2} r. \] (57)

Based on the reconstruction Equation (55), one can obtain the same result integrating the reduced Wigner function with the spin symbol for the spin operator in the rotated frame, \( S' = VS'V^\dagger \):
\[ \langle S \rangle_\varrho = \int d\theta W^{(1,1)}_{S'}(\theta) W^{(1,1)}(1,1)(\theta). \] (58)

The spin symbol is calculated with the aid of a reduced SW kernel,
\[ W^{(1,1)}_{S'}(\theta) = \text{tr}\left(S' \Delta^{(1,1)}(\theta)\right). \]

5. Wigner Function of a Single Qutrit

We start with the construction of WF for a three-level system in a mixed state using a generic one-parametric kernel defined over a six-dimensional symplectic manifold. Then, we perform its reduction to WF defined over two spheres and associated with a conventional \( SU(2) \)-symmetric spin-1 SW correspondence.

**Generic qutrit state**

Assume that the qutrit is in a mixed state \( \varrho \in \mathcal{B}_3 \):
\[ \varrho = \frac{1}{3} I + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{8} \xi_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu}. \] (59)
The eight-dimensional Bloch vector $\xi$ in (59) obeys the following constraints due to the non-negativity of the density matrix, $\varrho \geq 0$:
\[
0 \leq \sum_{v=1}^{8} \xi_v \xi_v \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \sum_{v=1}^{8} \xi_v \xi_v - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mu,\nu,k=1}^{8} \xi_\mu \xi_\nu \xi_k \xi_{\mu\nu k} \leq \frac{1}{3},
\]
where $d_{\mu\nu k}$ denotes the “symmetric structure constants” of the $su(3)$ algebra. Equivalently, the mixed state $\varrho$ in (59) can be rewritten in the SVD form as
\[
\varrho = V \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 \end{pmatrix} V^+, \tag{60}
\]
with a unitary diagonalizing matrix $V$ and $SU(3)$-invariant content of a state $\varrho$ accumulated in its ordered set of eigenvalues. The eigenvalues in (60) are in one-to-one correspondence with points of the ordered 2-simplex,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i = 1, \quad 1 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq 0. \tag{61}
\]
This simplex describes the $SU(3)$ orbit space $O[Q_3]$ of a qutrit. Taking into account the unit norm condition, it is convenient to introduce two independent variables, $I_3$ and $I_8$:
\[
\begin{aligned}
 r_1 &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} I_3 + \frac{1}{3} I_8, \\
r_2 &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} I_3 + \frac{1}{3} I_8, \\
r_3 &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3} I_8. \tag{62}
\end{aligned}
\]
As result of this mapping, the ordered 2-simplex (61) in new variables $I_3$ and $I_8$ defines the following representation for orbit space $O[Q_3]$ of a qutrit:
\[
O[Q_3]: \{ I_3, I_8 \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq I_3 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} I_3 \leq I_8 \leq \frac{1}{2} \}. \tag{63}
\]

- **SW kernel**

For a three-level system, the master Equations (14) determine a one-parametric family of kernels,
\[
\Delta(\Omega_3) = U(\Omega_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ I + 2\sqrt{3}(\mu_3 \lambda_3 + \mu_8 \lambda_8) \right] U(\Omega_3)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{64}
\]
Here, the standard Gell–Mann basis of the $su(3)$ algebra $\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_8 \}$ $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_8$ from its Cartan subalgebra is used. Two coefficients $\mu_3 = \sin \zeta$ and $\mu_8 = \cos \zeta$ are coordinates of a unit circle and the moduli space of qutrit represents an arc of this circle with a polar angle $\zeta \in [0, \pi/3]$, and all SW kernels constructed from the solutions to the Equations (14) are divided into two classes, namely the generic and degenerate ones.

1. A generic SW kernel with three different eigenvalues is parameterized as follows:
\[
\text{spec}(\Delta_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mu_3 + \frac{1}{3} \mu_8, \quad \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mu_3 + \frac{1}{3} \mu_8, \quad \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \mu_8 \right\}. \tag{65}
\]
with angle $\zeta \in (0, \pi/3)$;

2. The degenerate kernels have a double algebraic multiplicity of eigenvalues and represent two unitary non-equivalent solutions, corresponding to the edges $\zeta = 0$ and $\zeta = \pi/3$ of the arc (the second SW kernel (66) defines the Wigner function of a qutrit, derived by Luis in [23]):
\[
\text{spec}(\Delta_3) = \{ 1, 1, -1 \}, \quad \text{spec}(\Delta_3) = \left\{ \frac{5}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right\}. \tag{66}
\]
The angle $\zeta$ serving as the moduli parameter of the unitary non-equivalent Wigner functions of a qutrit is related to the third-order $SU(3)$-invariant polynomial of the SW kernel:

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{3} I - \Delta_3 \right) = \frac{16}{27} \cos(3\zeta),$$

which remains “unaffected” by the master equation (14).

**WF of qutrit in terms of the Bloch vector**

Now, we pass to the derivation of an explicit form of the Wigner function for a qutrit. With this aim, the diagonalizing matrix $U(\Omega_3) \in SU(3)$ in (23) can be presented in the form of a generalized Euler decomposition (see, e.g., [24–26], and references therein) with coordinates $\Omega_3 = \{a, b, \gamma, a, b, c, \theta, \phi\}$,

$$U(\Omega_3) = V(a, b, \gamma) \exp(i\theta\lambda_3) V(a, b, c) \exp(i\phi\lambda_8),$$

where the left and right factors $V$ denote two copies of the $SU(2)$ group embedded in $SU(3)$:

$$V(a, b, c) = \exp \left( i \frac{a}{2} \lambda_3 \right) \exp \left( i \frac{b}{2} \lambda_2 \right) \exp \left( i \frac{c}{2} \lambda_3 \right).$$

The angles in decomposition (67) take values from the intervals

$$a, a \in [0, 2\pi]; \quad b, b \in [0, \pi]; \quad \gamma, c \in [0, 4\pi]; \quad \theta \in [0, \pi/2]; \quad \phi \in [0, \sqrt{3}\pi].$$

These ranges allow parameterizing almost all group elements (except the set of points on the group manifold whose measure is zero).

Substituting the Bloch representation for a mixed three-level state (59) and SW kernel decomposition (64) with Euler parametrization (67) in the expression (3), we arrive at the following representations for the Wigner function of a single qutrit:

$$W^{(v)}_{\xi}(\Omega_3) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \left[ \mu_3(n^{(3)}, \xi) + \mu_8(n^{(8)}, \xi) \right],$$

with two orthogonal unit 8-vectors $n^{(3)}$ and $n^{(8)}$,

$$n^{(3)}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ U\lambda_3 U^\dagger \lambda_\nu \right], \quad n^{(8)}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ U\lambda_8 U^\dagger \lambda_\nu \right].$$

The explicit expressions for the components of these vectors in the Euler parametrization (67) are listed in Appendix A (see Equations (A2) and (A3), respectively).

**Symmetry adapted parametrization for SW kernel**

The symmetries of the system set some limitations on the WF dependence on the symplectic coordinates. It is found that, since the regular and degenerate kernels have different isotropy groups, the corresponding diagonalizing matrices $U(\Omega_3)$ in (64) belong to different cosets and, as a result, the WF admits a reduction to certain invariant subspaces of $\Omega_3$. The symmetry types of the SW kernel for the three-level system are dictated by the corresponding isotropy groups:

(i). For the regular kernels, $H = U(1) \times U(1)$.

(ii). The degenerate kernel with $\zeta = 0$ is characterized by two equal eigenvalues of $\Delta(\Omega_3) - 1$ in the upper corner, which means that $H = SU(2) \times U(1)$ and therefore the Wigner function depends only on four angles:

$$W^{(-1)}_{\xi}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \left( n^{(8)}, \xi \right).$$
For the degenerate kernel with $\zeta = \pi/3$, the coefficients take the values $\mu_3 \to \sqrt{3}/2$, $\mu_8 \to 1/2$ and the Wigner function takes the form

$$W^{(-1/3)}_\xi (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta, a, b) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (n^{(3)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} n^{(8)}, \xi).$$

(69)

Despite the fact that the kernel with $\zeta = \pi/3$ in (66) has the isotropy group $H = U(1) \times SU(2)$, the Wigner function in (69) shows dependence on six angles. This indicates that the choice of Euler parametrization (67) is not adapted to the isotropy group structure.

To find a minimal set of four functionally independent coordinates $\{a', b', \gamma', \theta'\}$ on the coset $SU(3)/U(1) \times SU(2)$, it is necessary to consider another embedding of $su(2) \subset su(3)$. Namely, using the Gell–Mann basis, we fix the subalgebra $su(2) = \text{span}\{\lambda_6, \lambda_7, -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\lambda_8\}$. With this choice, the Euler decomposition for the $SU(3)$ group resembles (67), but with the difference that both $U(2)$ subgroups are embedded in the “lower corner”:

$$V(a', b', c') = \exp \left( -i \frac{a'}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\lambda_8 \right) \right) \exp \left( i \frac{b'}{2} \lambda_7 \right) \exp \left( -i \frac{c'}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\lambda_8 \right) \right).$$

As a result, the angles $a', b', c'$ and $\varphi'$ turn out to be redundant. The Wigner function in the newly adapted parametrization depends only on the four remaining angles through the eight-dimensional vector $n'$:

$$W^{(-1/3)}_\xi (a', b', \gamma', \theta') = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3} (n', \xi).$$

The explicit dependence of the vector $n'$ on the angles $\{a', b', \gamma', \theta'\}$ is given by Equation (A6). As expected, the vector $n'$ can be obtained from $n^{(8)}$ by rotation

$$n' (a', b', \gamma', \theta') = -On^{(8)} (a, \beta, \gamma, \theta).$$

with the constant orthogonal $8 \times 8$ matrix $O$, which is the adjoint matrix $Ad_T$ corresponding to the permutation $T$ of the first and third eigenstates of the SW kernel. Its explicit form can be found in Equation (A5), together with the components of $n'$ in Equation (A6) (see Appendix B).

### SW spin-1 correspondence from WF of qutrit

Having the expression for WF of a generic three-level system defined on $U(3)/U(1)^2$, we are able to show how to reduce WF to the subset $SU(2)/U(1)$. The reduced Wigner function realizes the $SU(2)$ symmetric SW spin-1 correspondence. In the construction of this SW correspondence, we will proceed similarly to the spin-1/2 case. First of all, we introduce the reduced SW kernel:

$$\Delta^{(1,1,1)} (\chi) = Z(\chi) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi_3 \end{pmatrix} Z^\dagger(\chi),$$

(70)

where $3 \times 3$ matrix $Z(\chi)$ is an element of the double coset $S(U(1)^3) \backslash SU(3)/S(U(1)^3)$

$$Z(\chi) = V(0, \beta, \gamma) \exp(i\theta \lambda_5) V(a, b, 0).$$

(71)

In (71), we use the Euler representation (67) with the left and right factors fixed by an embedding of $SU(2)$ into the $SU(3)$ group such that the five angles $\chi$ form a subset
of $\chi = (a, b, \theta, \beta, \gamma)$ of eight Euler angles $\{a, \beta, \gamma, a, b, c, \theta, \phi\}$, in (67). Hence, the reduced three-level WF is

$$W_{(1,1,1)}^{(1,1,1)}(\chi) = \text{tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_3 
\end{pmatrix} \Delta^{(1,1,1)}(\chi) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (72)

Taking into account (71), the reduced Wigner function defined in (33) can be written for the three-level system similarly to the case of a qubit (52) in the bilinear form

$$W_{(1,1,1)}^{(1,1,1)}(\chi) = (r^\dagger, B(\chi) \pi^\dagger),$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)

with $3 \times 3$ matrix $B(\chi)$:

$$B(\chi) = \begin{pmatrix}
B_{11} & B_{12} & \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \\
B_{21} & B_{22} & \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \\
\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \frac{b}{2} & \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \frac{b}{2} & \cos^2 \theta 
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)

where elements of $2 \times 2$ submatrix are:

$$B_{11} = \cos^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right) F(\pi - \theta, \pi - \beta, \pi - b)^2 + F(\theta, \pi - \beta, \pi - b)^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)

$$B_{12} = \cos^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right) F(\theta, \pi - \beta, b)^2 + F(\theta, \pi - \beta, \pi - b)^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

$$B_{21} = \cos^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right) F(\theta, \beta, \pi - b)^2 + F(\theta, \beta, \pi - b)^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (77)

$$B_{22} = \cos^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right) F(\pi - \theta, \beta, b)^2 + F(\theta, \beta, b)^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{a + \gamma}{2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (78)

The function $F$ from the above expressions reads:

$$F(\theta, \beta, b) = \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \cos \frac{b}{2} + \cos \theta \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{b}{2}.$$

Assuming that $\theta$ is the angle between sides $\beta/2$ and $b/2$ of a spherical triangle, the function $F$ can be written as

$$F(\theta) = \cos \Theta,$$

where $\Theta$ is the side opposite to angle $\theta$ (see Figure 1; note, considering the corresponding polar triangle, the function $F(\pi - \theta, \pi - \beta, \pi - b)$ can be interpreted as a cosine of the angle opposite to the side $\theta$). Taking into account expressions for the qutrit density matrix (62) and eigenvalues of the SW kernel

$$\pi_1 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mu_3 + \frac{2}{3} \mu_8, \quad \pi_2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mu_3 + \frac{2}{3} \mu_8, \quad \pi_3 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \mu_8,$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)

the reduced Wigner function (73) can be written as:

$$W_{(1,1,1)}^{(1,1,1)}(\chi) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (I_3, I_8) B'(\mu_3, \mu_8)^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (80)

$$= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (I_3, I_8) \begin{pmatrix}
(B_{11} + B_{22}) - (B_{12} + B_{21}) & \sqrt{3} (B_{23} - B_{13}) \\
\sqrt{3} (B_{32} - B_{31}) & -(1 - 3B_{33}) 
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_3 \\
\mu_8 
\end{pmatrix}.$$
The $2 \times 2$ matrix $B'$ in terms of Euler angles reads

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cos \beta \cos b - 2 \cos \theta \cos(a + \gamma) \sin \beta \sin b & -\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \theta \cos \beta \\
-\sqrt{3} \sin^2 \theta \cos b & -(1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta)
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

### Reduction to $SU(2)$ symmetric SW spin-1 correspondence

According to Table 2, the symmetry type of the SW kernel and mixed state allowing us to realize the desired reduction to $SU(2)/T^i$ is given by pairs of Young diagrams $(1, 1, 1)$ and $(2, 1)$; the necessary value of the sum of squares is $4 \times 1 \times 2 = 8$ describing $SU(2)$ symmetric SW correspondence for spin-1 as:

$$(1, 1, 1)^2 + (2, 1)^2 = 3 + 5 = 8.$$

1. **SW kernel with symmetry** $S(U(2) \times U(1))$. The expression for the Wigner function with $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ symmetric kernel follows from (80) when $\mu_3 = 0$:

$$W_{(1,1,1)}^{(2,1)}(\theta, \beta) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \left[ \sqrt{3} (I_3 \cos \beta + \sqrt{3} I_8) \cos^2 \theta - (\sqrt{3} I_3 \cos \beta + I_8) \right]; \quad (82)$$

2. **State with symmetry** $S(U(2) \times U(1))$. The expression for the Wigner function $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ symmetric state follows from (80) when $I_3 = 0$:

$$W_{(2,1)}^{(1,1,1)}(\theta, b) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} I_8 \left[ \sqrt{3} (\mu_3 \cos \beta + \sqrt{3} \mu_8) \cos^2 \theta - (\sqrt{3} \mu_3 \cos \beta + \mu_8) \right]. \quad (83)$$

### Comments on the reduced phase space

Note that the reduced WF in both cases, 1 and 2, is definite on one-fourth of a unit sphere:

$$0 \geq \theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 \geq \beta \geq \pi. \quad (84)$$

According to the Equations (75)–(78), the left action of the permutation matrix $P_{12}$ on the matrix $B$ can be moved to the following shifts in angles $\theta$ and $\beta$:

$$P_{12}B(\theta, \beta, b) = B(\theta + \pi, \beta + \pi, b), \quad P_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (85)$$

Therefore, the domain of definition of angles in (84) can be extended to cover an entire two-sphere unit.

### 6. Concluding Remarks

In the present article, we argue for the existence of the unitary non-equivalent representations for the Stratonovich–Weyl kernels corresponding to the Wigner functions of an arbitrary $N$-dimensional quantum system. The admissible Wigner functions can be classified by the values of $SU(n)$-invariant polynomials in the elements of the SW kernel. As shown, the “master equation” (14) fixes the values only of the lowest degree polynomial invariants,
the first and second ones, while values of the remaining \( N - 2 \) algebraically independent invariants distinguish members of the family of SW kernels. We have derived the sufficient conditions for the reduction of our scheme to SU(2) symmetric spin-\( j \) symbol correspondence. In conclusion, it is necessary to mention that the present consideration of the quasiprobability functions does not distinguish between elementary and composite systems. A comprehensive study of restrictions on the SW kernel for composite systems is still needed.
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**Appendix A. The Adjoint Vectors of SU(3)**

Using the Euler decomposition (67), we determine the adjoint matrix \( \text{Ad}_U \) of SU(3) transformations \( U \):

\[
U \lambda_i U^t = (\text{Ad}_U)_{ij} \lambda_j, \quad \text{Ad}_U \in SO(8). \tag{A1}
\]

Below, only expressions for vectors \( n_1^{(3)} \) = (\( \text{Ad}_U \))\( A_1 \) and \( n_1^{(8)} \) = (\( \text{Ad}_U \))\( A_1 \), specifying the Wigner function of a single qutrit (68), will be presented. Components of the vector \( n_1^{(8)} \) read:

\[
n_1^{(3)} = \left( \sin(a) \sin(a + \gamma) - \cos(a) \cos(\beta) \cos(a + \gamma) \right) \sin(b) \cos(\theta) + \cos(a) \sin(\beta) \cos(b) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \right),
\]

\[
n_2^{(3)} = \left( \cos(a) \sin(a + \gamma) + \sin(a) \cos(\beta) \cos(a + \gamma) \right) \sin(b) \cos(\theta) + \sin(a) \sin(\beta) \cos(b) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \right),
\]

\[
n_3^{(3)} = - \cos(a + \gamma) \sin(\beta) \sin(b) \cos(\theta) + \cos(\beta) \cos(b) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \right),
\]

\[
n_4^{(3)} = \cos \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} - a \right) \sin \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \sin(b) \sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{2} \cos \left( \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \cos(b) \sin(2\theta), \tag{A2}
\]

\[
n_5^{(3)} = \sin \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} - a \right) \sin \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \sin(b) \sin(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \cos(b) \sin(2\theta),
\]

\[
n_6^{(3)} = \cos \left( \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} + a \right) \cos \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \sin(b) \sin(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \cos(b) \sin(2\theta),
\]

\[
n_7^{(3)} = \sin \left( \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} + a \right) \cos \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \sin(b) \sin(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \cos(b) \sin(2\theta),
\]

\[
n_8^{(3)} = - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(b) \sin^2(\theta).
\]
The 8-dimensional vector \( n^{(8)} \) depends only on four angles \( \{ \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \} \) and its components are:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1^{(8)} &= +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \sin^2(\theta), \\
n_2^{(8)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \sin^2(\theta), \\
n_3^{(8)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\beta) \sin^2(\theta), \\
n_4^{(8)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta), \\
n_5^{(8)} &= +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta), \\
n_6^{(8)} &= +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta), \\
n_7^{(8)} &= +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta), \\
n_8^{(8)} &= 1 - \frac{3}{2} \sin^2(\theta).
\end{align*}
\]

(A3)

**Appendix B. The Adjoint Action of the Permutation Matrix \( T \)**

Let us consider the matrix which permutes the first and third entries of a diagonal \( 3 \times 3 \) diagonal matrix

\[
T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(A4)

The corresponding adjoint matrix, \( T \lambda_\mu = (\text{Ad}_T)_{\nu\mu} \lambda_\nu \), reads:

\[
\text{Ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3}/2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3}/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(A5)

The 8-dimensional vector \( n' \) in Equation (70) reads

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1' &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta'), \\
n_2' &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta'), \\
n_3' &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[ \cos^2(\theta') - \sin^2\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta') \right], \\
n_4' &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta'), \\
n_5' &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin(2\theta'), \\
n_6' &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\alpha') \sin(\beta') \sin^2(\theta'), \\
n_7' &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\alpha') \sin(\beta') \sin^2(\theta'), \\
n_8' &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - 3 \cos^2\left(\frac{\beta'}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta') \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(A6)
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