Top asymmetry persists. A tantalizing anomaly persists in the measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry of top quark at the Tevatron. Three independent measurements of $A_{FB}$ have been carried out in the $\ell\ell$ rest frame that all yield large values. Two are from $\ell+j$ channel \[1,2\]:

\[
A_{FB} = 19.6 \pm 6.5\% \ (D0, \ 5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}),
\]

\[
A_{FB} = 15.8 \pm 7.4\% \ (CDF, \ 5.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}),
\]

while the other is from $\ell\ell$ channel utilizing precise measurement of lepton momenta \[3\]

\[
A_{FB}^{\ell\ell} = 42.0 \pm 15.8\% \ (CDF, \ 5.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}).
\]

These independent results are all $\sim 2\sigma$ away from NLO SM predictions $A_{FB} = 5.8 \pm 0.9(\ell+j), \ 6.0 \pm 1.0\%(\ell\ell)$ \[1,3,4\]. The CDF experiment also sees evidence for a particularly large value of $A_{FB}$ for $m_{t\ell} > 450$ GeV \[8\], the data from D0 \[2\] do not show such a pronounced rise but are consistent with a more modest increase.

New physics explanation. A new flavor-changing $t$-channel mediator can explain the elevated $A_{FB}$ measurement. Such a model with a gauge boson $V'$ with mass $m_{V'} \sim m_{top}$ and $V'-u-t$ coupling was proposed and studied in ref. \[9\]. Unlike this original Abelian gauge model, non-Abelian versions \[9,12\] can simultaneously explain the absence of same-sign dilepton events (or same-sign tops) at either the Tevatron \[13\] or the LHC \[14,15\]. The light $V'$ is also in the proper mass range \[16,20\] to give contributions to $Wjj$ excess seen at CDF \[21\]. However, it is difficult for the flavor changing couplings of these models to fully explain the excess \[10\]. Conversely, these models will not be in conflict with the data, even if the full $Wjj$ excess does not persist in future measurements, as indicated by the recent D0 result \[22\].

While the models involving $t$-channel exchange of heavier exotics (mass of several hundred GeV or more) have been studied in great detail, see, e.g. \[11,12,23,43\], the LHC consequences of a light $t$-channel mediator \($m_{V'} \sim m_{top}$\) remains relatively unexplored. Although the light and heavy $V'$ share the property that a large $A_{FB}$ can be easily generated, a light $V'$ has potentially drastically different collider phenomenology. In particular, if $m_{V'} \lesssim m_t$, phase space suppression means that even a small diagonal coupling to light quarks leads to the dominant decay mode $V' \rightarrow jj$. In this letter, we compare and contrast the light $V'$ model with the heavier $t$-channel $V'$ models, and suggest that the recent ATLAS measurement of $m_{t\ell}$ \[14\] may already favor a light mediator. We discuss the inadequacies for testing this model by using this distribution, and we present alternate search strategies utilizing the single top data sample.

Our benchmark model descends from a non-Abelian $SU(2)_X$ horizontal symmetry \[10\] where $(ut)_R$ form a doublet. There are new states with dominantly flavor off-diagonal couplings, which we call $W'$, and a new state with dominantly flavor preserving couplings, which we call $Z'$. The Tevatron anomaly is explained dominantly via the $W'$. The parameters of the model are: $M_{W'} = 160$ GeV, $M_{Z'} = 80$ GeV, $\alpha_X = 0.045$, $\cos \theta = 0.995$. Here $\theta \neq 0$ represents a very small mismatch between the quark mass eigenstates and the eigenstates of $SU(2)_X$ that allows for $W'$ to decay to $u\bar{u}$. We call this model point as “Model A”. This model point is very similar to Model A considered in \[10\] as well as the best point model of \[15\]. Predictions of the $A_{FB}$ and top production cross sections are in good agreement with present data. A summary of the Tevatron $A_{FB}$ predictions are presented in Table \[1\] A closely related observable that can be measured at the LHC is $A_{boost}$ \[10\]. This is defined to be the top asymmetry with respect to the $t\bar{t}$ boost direction. After cuts but before unfolding we predict 2.5%. CMS measures $-0.7\%$ with an unknown error that is not expected to be greater than 3.8%.
This measurement does not appear to constrain the theory at present. We provide through supplementary notes more discussion of these points. We use this model throughout this paper to discuss the physics of a light $V'$. However, our results should be broadly applicable to a large class of models, e.g. left-right asymmetric $W'$ model \cite{23,24,30,33}, or a $t$-channel scalar mediator \cite{23,25,47}. The crucial ingredient is a light mediator with small coupling to light quark pairs, in addition to the larger couplings to $u/d-t$ that explain the $A_{FB}$ result.

Relevance of $m_{t\bar{t}}$? We now discuss how the recent ATLAS measurement of $m_{t\bar{t}}$ is favorable to a $V'$ model with a light $t$-channel mediator. To this end, we contrast our model with two heavier $V'$ models. We call these models “Model B” with $M_{W'} = 300$ GeV, $\alpha_X = 0.12$ and “Model C” with $M_{W'} = 600$ GeV, $\alpha_X = 0.38$. The coupling constants are chosen to produce an identical $A_{FB} \approx 19\%$. For simplicity, in these two models we assume that the $SU(2)_X$-neutral $Z'$ is sufficiently heavy that it has decoupled. In Fig. 1, we show $m_{t\bar{t}}$ distributions for these models. Event samples are obtained by MadGraph \cite{43} interfaced with Pythia \cite{40} (MLM matched \cite{50,51} with up to one extra jet) and PGS detector simulation (with an anti-$k_T$ jet algorithm implemented by ourselves). Finally, predictions are obtained by employing the ATLAS dRmin $m_{t\bar{t}}$ reconstruction algorithm \cite{44}.

The LHC data is consistent with the SM $m_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution. When comparing the new physics models in Fig. 1 it is clear that Model A is most similar to the SM result and thus consistent with the data. This agreement comes about in a non-trivial way as we will discuss below. Model B suffers from sizable contributions from the process $gu \rightarrow tV' \rightarrow t\bar{t}j$. This contribution is not only large ($\sim 20$ pb), but also has a different $m_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution than the true SM $t\bar{t}$. This contribution is shown as an excess in every bin; in fact, this model likely yields a too large total $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$. Contributions of this type are absent for Model A because the 160 GeV $V'$ dominantly ($\gtrsim 95\%$) decays to $jj$, and so this process does not enter the $t\bar{t}$ sample. The $V'$ of Model C is sufficiently heavy that, happily, similar processes do not contribute to the $m_{t\bar{t}}$ sample. However, the heavi ness of the mediator regulates the $t$-channel (Rutherford) enhancement. As a result, top quarks from Model C are not produced too far in the forward region, and they have relatively large acceptance, leading to a large deviation in the $m_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution. This is to be contrasted with Model A which produces very forward top quarks as a result of a stronger Rutherford enhancement. Since the tops are so far forward, the acceptance is drastically reduced \cite{16,40}, and agreement with the data is better than one might anticipate. Additionally, our simulation shows that the reconstruction algorithms used by ATLAS, CMS, CDF spread out true $m_{t\bar{t}}$ distributions in such a way that events one thinks should fall into low-$m_{t\bar{t}}$ bins actually fall into the higher $m_{t\bar{t}}$ bins (we refer to our supplementary notes for more figures with details). This contamination in the upper bins can dominate over the true high $m_{t\bar{t}}$ contributions from new physics, diluting the sensitivity. In summary, at present Model A seems completely consistent with the data.

One possible way to better isolate the Model A contribution would be to use a $\chi^2$ method (see e.g., refs. \cite{1,52}) where a maximum cut on $\chi^2$ is employed on a completely reconstructed $t\bar{t}$ event. However, even employing this method, we deem it unlikely that $m_{t\bar{t}}$ would be an optimal discovery mode for this model. For more promising approaches, we turn to the single top sample.

Concomitant resonance. There is abundant production of the $V'$ in association with a single top quark in $gu \rightarrow tV' \rightarrow tjj$. The signal event topology is $W + 3j$(with one $b$-tag). Before discussing how this sample can yield a discovery, we first assert that current analyses at hadron colliders would not see the model. One might think that cuts that isolate single-top should be efficient for this model because the signal cross section is $\sigma(V') \sim 1(60) \text{ pb}$ at the Tevatron (LHC7), and event topology is similar to SM single-top production. However, most of cut-based single top analysis have been optimized in $W + 2j$ exclusive channel so far \cite{53} where our model's contribution is small \cite{10}, and these measurements suffer from a sizable systematic uncertainty. One
exception is from recent ATLAS note \textsuperscript{[55]}, and will be discussed later with \(A_{FB}'\). Also, it has been suggested \textsuperscript{[56]} that the tail of the \(H_T(j)\) distribution in the single top sample is a sensitive probe of new physics contributing to \(A_{FB}\). However, as \(V'\) is light in our case, the contribution from \(tV'\) process does not surpass the \(\bar{t}t\) background contributions, and thus remains hidden. On the other hand, D0 has data in the \(W+3j\) exclusive channel resulting from a search for \(Wh\) using \(m_{jj}\) (with one extra jet radiated) \textsuperscript{[57]}. However, the \(m_{jj}\) in this analysis is reconstructed using any two leading jets (tagged or not) while \(V'\) decays to (untagged) light jets. This dilutes the signal. We conclude that at present, this model is not ruled out.

**Resonance at LHC.** It appears possible to reconstruct the \(V'\) resonance in the sample where it is produced in association with a single top through \(gg\rightarrow tV'\rightarrow tjj\). The event topology that we seek for \(V'\) resonance is

- Three jets exclusive final state. Amongst these three, we require one \(b\)-tag. The two untagged jets are used to construct \(m_{jj}\).
- One and only one charged lepton (either \(e\) or \(\mu\)).
- Missing energy \(E_T^{\text{miss}}\).

Quantitatively, inspired by the ATLAS single top analysis \textsuperscript{[57]}, we initially apply the following basic kinematic selection cuts (set A):

- jet: \(p_T > 25\ \text{GeV}, \eta < 4.5\)
- lepton: \(p_T > 25\ \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.5\)
- \(E_T^{\text{miss}} > 25\ \text{GeV}, M_T^W(\ell,\nu) > 60\ \text{GeV} - E_T^{\text{miss}}\)

These basic cuts are insufficient to reveal the \(V'\) resonance due to backgrounds of \(\bar{t}t\) and (sub-dominantly) \(W+j\) \textsuperscript{[63]}. To enhance the signal, we propose an additional set of hard cuts based on our MC to extract the resonance signal (set B):

- \(135 \leq m_{jj} \leq 175\ \text{GeV}\)
- \(\Delta R(j_1,j_2) < \pi\)
- \(p_T(\text{lead } j) > 90\ \text{GeV}\)

**Resonance at Tevatron.** We now discuss discovery prospects of the resonance at the Tevatron. Based on the Tevatron single top analysis \textsuperscript{[58, 59]}, we apply the following discovery cuts (masses in GeV):

- Three jets with \(p_T > 25\ \text{GeV}, p_T(\text{lead } j) > 50\ \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.8\).
- One \(b\)-tagged jet. Two untagged jets for \(m_{jj}\).

### Table I: List of various Tevatron asymmetry results.

| Model  | \(A_{FB}^+\) (\%) | \(A_{FB}^-\) (\%) | \(A_{FB}'\) (\%) |
|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CDF \textsuperscript{[1, 8]} | 15.8 ± 7.4% | 47.5 → 26.6 ± 6.2% | -11.6 → -2.2 ± 4.3% |
| D0 \textsuperscript{[2]} | 19.6 ± 6.5% | -11.5 ± 6.0% | -7.8 ± 4.8% |
| SM | 5.8 ± 0.9% | 8.8 → 4.3 ± 1.3% | 4.0 → 1.3 ± 0.6% |

The cuts are applied to untagged jets, and \(H_T(j)\) is the scalar sum of the \(p_T\) of all three jets (tagged or not). After all these cuts, the \(m_{jj}\) distribution looks like Fig. 2. Significance of the resonance signal can be estimated as in Table II. Systematic uncertainty of the single top sample could be significant. If systematics are brought under control and the statistical uncertainty dominates a 5\(\sigma\) observation may already be possible in 1 fb\(^{-1}\) of LHC7 data. Thus, current data may be sufficient to observe a \(V'\) resonance once optimal cuts are applied. Alternately, very strong bounds can be placed on models where the \(V'\) dominantly decays to a pair of jets.

**FIG. 2:** \(m_{jj}\) distribution at the LHC7 after all discovery cuts described in text. In addition to Model A signal, dominant background \(\bar{t}t\) as well as SM single top contributions are shown.
A signal for these observable arises from the $g u \rightarrow t V' \rightarrow t j j$ process (this observable has also been studied for different processes [54, 61]). Valence $u$ quarks at the LHC lead to an asymmetry in the charge of a $t$ (and hence lepton) in the final state. After applying the basic kinematic cuts (set A), we estimate $A_C \approx 75\%$ for this signal process. Different SM processes also give non-zero $A_C'$ as tabulated in Table III [63]. The values of $A_C'$ in this Table were generated with the use of our Monte Carlo event samples. Adding all these contributions weighted properly by individual rate (from ATLAS single top analysis [55]), we predict $A_C'(\text{SM}) = 0.10 \pm 0.014\text{(stat)}$, and $A_C'(\text{Model A}) = 0.19 \pm 0.013\text{(stat)}$ if the new physics contribution is also added. While these values are very promising, we emphasize that the errors quoted are only statistical. Understanding systematic errors and their correlation between the $N(\ell^+)$ and $N(\ell^-)$ may play an important role. We illustrate this point through a brief discussion of the current experimental situation.

Cross-check advocacy. The persistence of the $A_{FB}$ anomaly begs for a cross check. We have argued that a search for a $jj$ resonance in association with a top quark is a definitive signal for a light $t$-channel $V'$. In time, $A_C'$ may also prove to be a useful cross-check. These searches, and carrying out the suggested analysis techniques described above, may serve to conclusively discover or refute this model.
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TABLE II: $V'$ resonance search result at the LHC7 after all discovery cuts (Set B) described in text.

| backgrounds | $\sigma$ after discovery cuts |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| $t\bar{t}$ | 0.20 pb |
| Single top (t-channel) | 0.019 pb |
| Single top (W) | 0.016 pb |
| $W + j$ | 0.080 pb |
| $W\bar{b}b$ | 0.012 pb |
| Model A | 0.33 pb |
| $S/\sqrt{B}$ | $5.7\sqrt{L}/100$ pb $^{-1}$ |

A predicted statistical error is shown. $A_C'$ is obtained by using our MC samples.

| backgrounds | ATLAS total rate | $A_C'$ |
|------------|-----------------|--------|
| $t\bar{t}$ | 1847 events | 0 |
| $W + j$ | 1930 events | 0.2 |
| Single top | 385 events | 0.3 |
| others | 668 events | 0 |
| $V'$ (Model A) | 780 events | 0.75 |
| Total (SM only) | 4830 events | $0.10 \pm 0.014$ (stat) |
| Total (Model A) | 5610 events | $0.19 \pm 0.013$ (stat) |

TABLE III: Predicted background total rates and $A_C'$ in the $W + 3j(1$ b-tag) topology defined by cut Set A. Predicted ATLAS rates with 0.7 fb$^{-1}$ of data are from Table 1 of ref. [55]. A predicted statistical error is shown. $A_C'$ is obtained by using our MC samples.
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