Localization on Certain Graphs with Strongly Correlated Disorder
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Many-body localization in interacting quantum systems can be cast as a disordered hopping problem on the underlying Fock-space graph. A crucial feature of the effective Fock-space disorder is that the Fock-space site energies are strongly correlated—maximally so for sites separated by a finite distance on the graph. Motivated by this, and to understand the effect of such correlations more fundamentally, we study Anderson localization on Cayley trees and random regular graphs, with maximally correlated disorder. Since such correlations suppress short distance fluctuations in the disorder potential, one might naively suppose they disfavor localization. We find however that there exists an Anderson transition, and indeed that localization is more robust in the sense that the critical disorder scales with graph connectivity $K$ as $\sqrt{K}$, in marked contrast to $K$ in $K$ in the uncorrelated case. This scaling is argued to be intimately connected to the stability of many-body localization. Our analysis centers on an exact recursive formulation for the local propagators as well as a self-consistent mean-field theory; with results corroborated using exact diagonalization.

Disorder-induced localization of noninteracting quantum particles—the phenomenon of Anderson localization (AL)—has been one of the most profound discoveries in physics [1]. Its robustness to interactions in quantum many-body systems has lately been a major research theme, under the banner of many-body localization (MBL) [2–5] (see Refs. [6–8] for reviews and further references). MBL systems fall outside the paradigm of conventional statistical mechanics allowing for novel quantum phases, and are thus of fundamental interest.

Efforts to understand the MBL phase and the accompanying MBL transition have ranged from extensive numerical studies [7,9,10] and phenomenological treatments [11–16] to studying the problem directly on the Fock space [17–27]. One virtue of the latter is that the problem can be cast as a disordered hopping problem on the Fock-space graph, thus offering the prospect of exploiting techniques and understandings developed for AL. However, MBL on Fock space is fundamentally different from conventional AL on high-dimensional graphs, due to the presence of maximal correlations in the effective Fock-space disorder: the statistical correlation between two Fock-space site energies, scaled by their variance, approaches its maximum value of unity in the thermodynamic limit, for any pair separated by a finite Hamming distance on the Fock-space graph. This was found to be a necessary condition for MBL to exist [27].

Motivated by this, here we ask a fundamental question: what is the fate of AL on random graphs with maximally correlated disorder? In parallel to the case of Fock-space disorder, the correlation between the disordered site energies of any two sites separated by a finite distance on the graph takes its maximum value in the thermodynamic limit. In suppressing fluctuations in the site energies, one might naively suppose these correlations would strongly favor delocalization; indeed it is not a priori obvious that a localized phase must exist in such a case. Nevertheless, not only do we find inexorably a localized phase and an Anderson transition, but also that the scaling of the critical disorder with graph connectivity is qualitatively different to that for the standard model with uncorrelated disorder. These models thus introduce a novel class of AL problems with intimate connections to the problem of MBL on Fock space, qualitatively distinct from AL problems with nonmaximally correlated disorder [28–31].

Concretely, we consider a disordered tight-binding model on a rooted Cayley tree [as well as on random regular graphs (RRGs) which are locally tree-like]. For uncorrelated disorder, such models have served as archetypes for studying a range of phenomena such as localization transitions, multifractality, and glassy dynamics on complex high-dimensional graphs [32–48]. The model Hamiltonian is

$$ H = \Gamma \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} |i\rangle\langle j| + H.c. + W \sum_i \epsilon_i |i\rangle\langle i| $$

in the position basis $\{|i\rangle\}$, where $\langle i, j \rangle$ denotes a sum over nearest neighbour pairs. We denote the branching number
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of the tree by $K$ and the total number of generations in a finite-sized tree by $L$; the total number of sites in the tree is $N \sim K^L$. The set of correlated random site energies, $\{e_i\}$, is fully specified by an $N$-dimensional joint distribution. To mimic the case of many-body systems on Fock space [21,27,49], we take these distributions to be multivariate Gaussians, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C})$, characterized completely by the covariance matrix $\mathbf{C}$ [27]. Taking a cue from disordered interacting local Hamiltonians, we consider the matrix elements $C_{ij}$ to depend only on the distance $\ell_{ij}$ between a pair of sites. To impose the maximally correlated limit, we consider

$$C_{ij} = \langle e_i e_j \rangle = \bar{f}(\ell_{ij}/L); \quad \lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 1. \quad (2)$$

The functional form of $f$ does not qualitatively affect our results, but for concreteness in numerical calculations we take $C_{ij} = \exp[-\ell_{ij}/4L]$ with $\lambda = 1$ [50]. The choice of the argument of $f$ is motivated by the form of correlations in the Fock-space disorder of disordered many-body systems; for $p$-local Hamiltonians the analogous $f$ was shown to be a $p$th-order polynomial of $\ell_{ij}/\ln N_\ell$, $N_\ell$ being the Fock-space dimension [27].

Our analysis centers on the local Feenberg self-energy $S_i(\omega) \equiv X_i(\omega) - i\Delta_i(\omega)$, defined via the local propagator as $G_i(\omega) = [\omega^2 - e_i - S_i(\omega)]^{-1}$ with $\omega^\pm = \omega + i\eta$ (\(\eta = 0^+\)). We focus on the imaginary part of the self-energy, $\Delta_i(\omega)$, as it serves as a probabilistic order parameter for a localization transition. Physically, $\Delta_i(\omega)$ gives the rate of loss of probability from site $i$ into states of energy $\omega$. In a delocalized phase $\Delta_i(\omega)$ is finite, whereas in a localized phase it vanishes $\propto \eta$ with $y_i(\omega) = \Delta_i(\omega)/\eta$ finite, both with unit probability. These characteristics of $\Delta_i(\omega)$ have long been used successfully to understand Anderson transitions [1,32,52–55]; and, more recently, MBL transitions on Fock space [21,24,27].

We focus on the self-energy of the root site ($i = 0$) of the rooted Cayley tree. $S_0(\omega)$ is given exactly by

$$S_0(\omega) = \Gamma^2 \sum_{i_1 \in N[0]} [\omega^+ - We_{i_1} - S_{i_1}^{(0)}]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

with the sum over all sites in the first generation, and $S_{i_1}^{(0)}$ the self-energy of site $i_1$ with the root site removed (with $N[i_n]$ denoting the set of neighbours of $i_n$ on generation $n + 1$). One could in principle now approximate the self-energy on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) by a typical $S_{\text{typ}}$, and obtain the distribution of $S_0$ self-consistently [21,24,27]. Here however we go far beyond such a treatment, addressing Eq. (3) to arbitrarily high orders via an exact recursive method. We first sketch the formulation, focusing on the localized phase, in particular its stability and self-consistency; whence the quantity of interest is $y_0(\omega)$.

From Eq. (3), $y_0(\omega)$ can be expressed as

$$y_0 = \sum_{i_1 \in N[0]} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Omega_{i_1}^2} [1 + y_{i_1}^{(0)}]; \quad \Omega_{i_1} = \omega - We_{i_1} - S_{i_1}^{(0)}. \quad (4)$$

This is a recursion relation, which can be iterated as

$$y_0 = \sum_{i_1 \in N[0]} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Omega_{i_1}^2} \left[1 + \sum_{i_2 \in N[i_1]} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Omega_{i_2}^2} \left[1 + \sum_{i_3 \in N[i_2]} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Omega_{i_3}^2} [1 + \cdots] \right]\right]. \quad (5)$$

In Eq. (5), for any site $i_n$ on generation $n$ of the tree, $\Omega_{i_n} = \omega - We_{i_n} - X_{i_n}^{(n-1)}(\omega)$, with $X_{i_n}^{(n-1)}$ the real part of the self-energy of site $i_n$ with its (unique) neighbor $i_{n-1}$ on the previous generation removed. As for the imaginary part of the self-energy, a recursion relation for the real part can also be derived from Eq. (3). This leads to a recursion relation for $\Omega_{i_n}$,

$$\Omega_{i_n} = \omega - We_{i_n} - \sum_{i_{n+1} \in N[i_n]} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Omega_{i_{n+1}}^2}, \quad (6)$$

with the boundary condition $\Omega_{i_0} = \omega - We_{i_0}$ for a tree with $L$ generations. Equations (5) and (6) comprise the complete set of recursion relations required to compute $y_0(\omega)$ to all orders. We now make key conceptual points about the stability of the localized phase or lack thereof, and describe our results.

Note that by evaluating $y_0(\omega)$ using Eq. (5) for many disorder realizations, one can generate its entire distribution $P_{y_0}$, and also compute its typical value via $\ln y_{0,\text{typ}} = \int dy_0 P_{y_0}(y_0) \ln y_0$. A stable localized phase is indicated by $y_{0,\text{typ}}$ taking a finite value independent of system size; whereas the delocalized phase is identified via a systematic growth of $y_{0,\text{typ}}$ with system size, such that it diverges in the thermodynamic limit. The disorder strength separating these two behaviors, if present, is the critical disorder. Numerical results for the localization phase diagram so obtained for a $K = 2$ Cayley tree with maximally correlated disorder are shown in Fig. 1. Considering the band center $\omega = 0$ as an example [panel (a)], $\ln y_{0,\text{typ}}$ is independent of $L$ for $W > W_c$ whereas it diverges with $L$ for $W < W_c$; thus showing that a localization transition is indeed present in the model. The phase diagram similarly obtained in the entire $\omega - W$ plane is given in Fig. 1(b), which shows the presence of mobility edges in the spectrum. Finally, in Fig. 1(c), the distribution of $y_0$ is shown for a representative disorder in the localized phase, and shows excellent agreement with a Lévy distribution characteristic of a localized phase, $P_{y_0}(y_0) = \sqrt{k/2\pi y_0^{3/2}} e^{-k/2y_0}$ with scale parameter $\kappa$.

The stability of the localized phase can also be understood as the convergence of the recursion relation in Eq. (5). The series for $y_0$ can be organized as
localized phases. Calculating rapidly to smaller values with increasing \( \phi \), the vast bulk of the distribution shifts with \( \phi \) with \( \omega = 0 \) as an estimate of the critical line (mobility edges); the value is chosen in accordance with the error bars in \( p \). For \( \omega = 0 \) our best estimate is \( W_c(\omega = 0) \approx 6.8 \). (c) Distribution of \( y_{l,typ}^0 \) in the localized phase. Data are well converged for different \( l \), and in excellent agreement with a Lévy distribution, \( \sqrt{k/\pi} y_{l,typ}^0 \sim \omega^{-3/2} \) (with \( k = 3.1 \times 10^{-2} \)), shown by the grey shaded region. Statistics are obtained over \( 5 \times 10^4 \) disorder realizations.

\[
y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i,
\]

\[
\phi_i = \sum_{i_j \in N[i]} \frac{1}{\Omega_i \Omega_i} \sum_{i_j \in N[i]} \frac{1}{\Omega_i \Omega_i} \cdots \sum_{i_j \in N[i]} \frac{1}{\Omega_i \Omega_i}, \tag{7}
\]

with \( \phi_i \) the total contribution to \( y_0 \) from all sites on the \( i \)th generation. Diagrammatically, it is the total contribution to \( y_0 \) from all \( K^l \) paths of length \( 2l \), each of which goes from the root site to a unique site in the \( i \)th generation and retraces itself back to the root site [56]. For the series in Eq. (4) to converge in the thermodynamic limit, \( \phi_i \) must decrease sufficiently fast with increasing \( l \). This suggests that the distributions \( P_{\phi_i} \) of \( \phi_i \) should evolve with \( l \) in a qualitatively different manner in the delocalized and localized phases. Calculating \( P_{\phi_i} \) shows that this is indeed so, as shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(b). For strong disorder (localized phase), the vast bulk of the distribution shifts rapidly to smaller values with increasing \( l \), while in the delocalized phase the support of the \( P_{\phi_i} \) moves to larger values with increasing \( l \). This is itself indicative of the convergence of the series in the localized phase and otherwise in the delocalized. To further quantify the convergence, one can define \( y_{\phi_i}^{(l)} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{l} \phi_n \) and study its typical value, \( y_{0,typ}^{(l)} \), as a function of \( l \) and \( W \). Representative results at \( \omega = 0 \) are shown in Fig. 2(c).

For weak disorder, \( y_{0,typ}^{(l)} \) grows rapidly with \( l \), whereas for strong disorder it saturates to its converged value in the localized phase; again clearly showing the presence of a localization transition.

Two further remarks should be made. First, the recursive formulation also treats the real parts of all self-energies exactly. One can however make the simplifying approximation of neglecting them—Anderson’s “upper limit approximation” [1,32]. For the tree with correlated disorder this approximation again predicts the presence of a transition, albeit naturally at a higher \( W_c \) [51]. Second, the terms appearing in the series in Eq. (7) but with \( X^{(l)}_{0,n-1} = 0 \) (i.e., \( \Omega_l \equiv \omega - W_{\epsilon_l} \)) are precisely those appearing in the forward approximation [20]. By including the contribution of nonlocal propagators to the local propagator in an exact, fully renormalized fashion, the recursive formulation is a significant technical advance.
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Correlations in the $e_i$'s preclude an exact analytic solution for the distribution of $y_0$ from Eq. (5). One can nevertheless perform a self-consistent mean-field calculation analytically at leading order in the renormalized perturbation series [21,24,27] (here illustrated for $\omega = 0$). Here $y_0$ depends only on the site energies of its neighbors, $\{i_1\}$. Since $e_{0i_1} = 1$, the maximally correlated limit implies the conditional distribution $P(e_i | e_0) = \delta(e_i - e_0)$ in the thermodynamic limit. The distribution of $y_0$ can thus be simply calculated as $P_{y_0}(y_0) = \int d\epsilon_0 P(\epsilon_0) \delta(y_0 - KT^2(1+y_{0\text{typ}}))/|W|^2 \epsilon_0^2|$. Since the univariate distribution $P(\epsilon_0)$ is a standard normal, this yields $P_{y_0}(y_0, y_{0\text{typ}}) = \sqrt{\kappa}/\pi e^{-\kappa y_0^2/2}$ where $\kappa = K(1+y_{0\text{typ}})\Gamma^2/2W^2$. Remarkably and reassuringly, the distribution indeed has the Lévy form, just as obtained numerically by summing the entire series [Fig. 1(c)].

Self-consistency can now be imposed by requiring $\ln y_{0\text{typ}} = \int d\epsilon_0 P_{y_0}(y_0, y_{0\text{typ}}) \ln y_0$; the solution of which is $y_{0\text{typ}} = 2e^t KT^2/(W^2 - 2e^t KT^2)^{-1}$, with $y$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Since $y_0$ is necessarily non-negative, self-consistency of the localized phase requires $W \geq W_c$, with [57]

$$W_c = \sqrt{2e^t/2\Gamma K}. \quad (8)$$

This $W_c \propto \sqrt{K}$ scaling is qualitatively different from that arising for uncorrelated disorder, where $W_c \propto K \ln K$ [32]; and stems intrinsically from the maximal correlations in the disorder.

We turn now to results arising for RRGs, via exact diagonalization (ED) of tight-binding Hamiltonians Eq. (1) with maximally correlated disorder Eq. (2). Our motivation here is twofold. First, while results above were for a rooted Cayley tree, we expect them to hold qualitatively for other random graphs. Second, it is important to corroborate the results with other independent measures of localization. Cayley trees are not moreover readily amendable to ED, since a finite fraction of sites live on the boundary; this issue is sidestepped by considering RRGs, which are locally treelike but contain long loops.

In the following we consider RRGs with a coordination number $Z = K + 1 = 3$; denoting the total number of sites in the RRG by $N$. In accordance with the form of the covariance matrix for the Cayley tree, we take $C_{ij} = \exp[-\epsilon_{ij} \ln K / \ln N]$. The quantities studied will be the level spacing ratios, and $\Delta_i$ computed directly. We focus on the middle of the spectrum ($\omega = 0$) and consider 50–100 eigenstates therein.

For an ordered set of eigenvalues $\{E_n\}$, the level spacing ratio is $r_n = \min[s_n, s_{n+1}] / \max[s_n, s_{n+1}]$ with $s_n = E_n - E_{n-1}$. In an ergodic phase the distribution of $r_n$ follows the Wigner-Dyson surmise with mean $\bar{r} \approx 0.53$, while in a localized phase the distribution is Poisson with $\bar{r} \approx 0.386$. Results for $\bar{r}$ vs $W$ are shown in Fig. 3(a), and

![FIG. 3. ED results for a $K = 2$ RRG with maximally correlated disorder. (a) Mean level spacing ratio vs $W$ shows a crossing for different $N$. Data collapse onto a common function of $(W - W_c)N^{1/\nu}$ yields $W_c \approx 6.8$ and $\nu \approx 4.6$ (inset). (b) Typical value $\Delta_{\text{typ}}(\omega = 0)$ computed exactly from Eq. (9). In the delocalized [localized] phase it is independent of [decays with] $N$. Dashed line shows extrapolation to $N \to \infty$. Grey shaded regions in (a), (b) denote the estimated critical region. (c), (d) Distributions of $\Delta$ and $y = \Delta/\eta$ in the delocalized and localized phases respectively. Grey shaded regions show best fits to lognormal and Lévy distributions, respectively.

show clearly a localization transition. A scaling collapse of the data for various $N$ onto a common function of $(W - W_c)N^{1/\nu}$ yields a critical disorder strength of $W_c \approx 6.8$ and $\nu \approx 4.6$. Note that the $W_c$ estimated is remarkably close to that obtained above numerically for the $K = 2$ Cayley tree.

From the set of exact eigenvalues $\{E_n\}$ and eigenstates $\{\psi_n\}$, $\Delta_i(\omega)$ can be computed as

$$\Delta_i(\omega) = \text{Im}[G_i^{-1}(\omega)] - \eta, \quad G_i = \sum_n \frac{|\psi_n|^2}{\omega + i\eta - E_n}. \quad (9)$$

As $\Delta$ is finite with unit probability in the delocalized phase, $\Delta_{\text{typ}}$ should converge to a finite value with increasing $N$; while in a localized phase $\Delta \propto \eta$ vanishes with unit probability, so $\Delta_{\text{typ}}$ should decrease with $N$. This behavior is indeed found, see Fig. 3(b). To estimate numerically the critical $W_c$, we posit $\Delta_{\text{typ}} = \Delta_{\text{typ}, N \to \infty} + a/N^\beta$ and extrapolate the data to the thermodynamic limit. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the vanishing of $\Delta_{\text{typ}, N \to \infty}$ gives a $W_c$ consistent with that obtained from level statistics. In the localized phase, the distribution of $y = \Delta/\eta$ is again in very good
agreement with a Lévy distribution [see Fig. 3(d)]. In the
delocalized phase by contrast, $\Delta$ is qualitatively different,
and appears to be log-normally distributed [Fig. 3(e)].

As above, whether for a Cayley tree or RRG, we find a
one-parameter Lévy distribution for $Y = \Delta/y$ in the local-
ized phase. Importantly, it is thus universal: distributions
for different $W > W_c$ can be collapsed onto a universal
form by scaling the self-energy as $Y \propto y_\text{typ}$ [51]. Further,
the distribution can be directly connected to that of wave
function amplitudes, the moments of which [via general-
ized inverse participation ratios (IPRs)] probe the diver-
genesis of the localization length, $\xi$, as $W \to W_c$ [51]. Within
our mean-field theory, we find $\xi \sim (W - W_c)^{-1}$ with an
exponent of 1.

We turn now to the $K \to \infty$ limit. For any one-body
problem to remain well defined in this limit, the hopping
must be rescaled as $\Gamma = \Gamma_c / \sqrt{K}$ [58]. The mean-field
theory then yields a finite critical $W_c = \sqrt{2}\epsilon_f^2/\Gamma_c^2$; in stark
contrast to the case of uncorrelated disorder where, despite
rescaling $\Gamma = \Gamma_c / \sqrt{K}$, $\phi$ precludes localization as $K \to \infty$. For MBL on Fock space, in a system containing
$L$ real-space sites, the effective connectivity on the
Fock-space graph scales as $K \sim L$, and the effective
Fock-space disorder as $W_{FS} \sim \sqrt{L}W_f$ [with $W_f \sim O(1)$] [21,27]. Rescaling all energies by $\sqrt{L}$, as required to attain
a well-defined thermodynamic limit $L \to \infty$, again leads
to a finite critical $W_{L,c}$, in direct parallel to the $K \to \infty$
limit of the present problem. The existence of a MBL phase
thus provides an indirect but complementary argument for
the $\sqrt{K}$ scaling of $W_c$.

In summary, we have studied AL on Cayley trees and
RRGs with maximally correlated on-site disorder, mirroring
the effective Fock-space disorder of MBL systems. While
such correlations might be thought to disfavor localization by suppressing site-energy fluctuations, we find both that an Anderson transition is present, and that
scaling of the critical disorder with graph connectivity is
qualitatively different from that of uncorrelated disorder,
with correlations favoring localization. Our results address
a new class of AL problems, and shed light on the crucial
role played by correlations in Fock-space disorder in
stabilizing MBL. Many questions arise as to what further
aspects of MBL can be captured by AL problems with
maximally correlated disorder. One such is the multifractal
character of wave functions, and its possible connection to
the anomalous statistics of MBL wave functions on Fock
space; and our preliminary results indeed suggest the presence of multifractal eigenstates on RRGs. Looking
further afield, understanding the effect of maximal corre-
lations on glassy dynamics on such graphs is also imma-
ently important.
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