Protection of trabecular meshwork cells by eyedrops containing high concentration of polyphenols
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Abstract

Background: Glaucoma is caused by pro-apoptotic signals targeting retinal ganglion cells. In the high-pressure glaucoma, the source of these signals is the progressive degeneration of the trabecular meshwork. The first pathogenic event affecting this tissue is oxidative damage. Accordingly, the use of anti-oxidant to counteract glaucoma pathogenic cascade is a rationale strategy to be explored. In this paper we evaluate the ability of eyedrops containing high dose of polyphenols, hyaluronic acid and fatty acids to protect trabecular meshwork from oxidative stress.

Methods: Human Trabecular meshwork endothelial cells were exposed in vitro to hydrogen peroxide either in presence or absence of eyedrops containing high dose of polyphenols. Ex-vivo experiments were performed in calf eye to evaluate the ability of eyedrops to cross cornea either alone or complexed with lipid nanoparticles.

Results: Polyphenol enriched eyedrops were highly safe when used alone not altering viability, mitochondrial function and DNA integrity of trabecular meshwork cells. Eyedrops was effective in protecting trabecular meshwork cells from oxidative stress. These characteristics were not modified when eyedrops was carried by lipid nanoparticles.

Conclusions: Polyphenolic eyedrops are safe and able to protect trabecular meshwork cells from oxidative stress.

Abbreviations: POAG: Primary Open Angle Glaucoma; TM: Trabecular Meshwork; NP: Lipid Nanoparticles; HDPE: High Dose Polyphenols Extract, SDPE: Standard Dose Polyphenols Extract.

Introduction

Glaucoma is a multi-factorial disease triggered by apoptotic signals arriving at the head of the optic nerve and resulting in retinal ganglion cells death [1,2]. Oxidative stress plays a central role in pathogenesis and progression of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) [3]. The cause of the imbalance in favor of oxidative damage has been identified in mitochondrial damage affecting trabecular meshwork (TM) cells [4,5]. Furthermore, TM is the most sensitive tissue of the anterior ocular chamber to oxidative stress [6]. POAG is characterized by a remarkable increase of oxidative damage in TM [7], whose consequence is the failure to fulfill its function to regulate aqueous humor outflow and the release of pro-apoptotic signals [8,9].

A tight relationship exists between TM damage and retinal ganglion cells death. Indeed, damaged TM cells release molecular pro-apoptotic signals reaching retinal ganglion cells through the episceral pathway [1]. These signals also include extracellular microRNAs [10].

TM damage in glaucomatous patients is directly proportional to intraocular pressure increase and to visual field damage [7]. TM is not a simple passive filter for aqueous humor, conversely it is a complex set of specialized cells actively changing their morphology to expose more or less surface to the aqueous humor thus regulating its outflow from the anterior chamber. Damaged TM cells release proteins into the aqueous humor of glaucomatous patients, as demonstrated by proteomic analyses [4]. The extruded from TM damaged cells are signals to the retina, which consequently suffers because subjected to these pro-apoptotic signals. The retinal ganglion cells as well as cells of the upper neural center cells (i.e., geniculate ganglion and occipital cortex) die because the activation of intrinsic apoptosis resulting from mitochondria damage [11,12]. The axon of the optic nerve head, where it folds and passes through the lamina cribrosa, is rich in mitochondria thus being highly sensitive to the activation of intrinsic apoptosis related with mitochondrial damage. Inflammation contributes to ganglion cell damage as demonstrated by the presence of inflammatory cytokines in the aqueous humor of glaucomatous patients [13,14]. Therefore new
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glaucoma therapies should act both on defense from oxidative stress and inflammation.

Diet and vitamin supplementation have been suggested as having some role on glaucoma. The possible role of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids has been explored. A fatty acid imbalance between omega-3 and omega-6 is believed to contribute to the pathogenesis of POAG [15] as also suggested by epidemiological studies [16]. However, in clinical trials administering omega-3 supplements did not yield any benefit to POAG patients [17]. Polyphenols have been found to favorably influence inner retinal function in eyes with early to moderately advanced glaucomatous damage. For example, some clinical trials have shown that anthocyanins and Ginkgo biloba extract, rich in polyphenols, may improve the visual function of patients with normal-tension glaucoma [18,19]. Short-term supplementation of epigallocatechin-gallate (EGCG) is neuroprotective in retinal neurons affected by glaucomatous degeneration [20]. Resveratrol has been found to prevent the expression of glaucoma markers induced by chronic oxidative stress and exert anti-apoptotic effects in trabecular meshwork cells [21]. Treatment with resveratrol can prevent trabecular meshwork cell injury caused by oxidative stress in the development of glaucoma [22]. Indeed, red wines strongly inhibit the synthesis of endothelin-1, a vasoactive peptide that plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of glaucoma [23].

Polyphenols are products of plant metabolism whose main tasks are defense against pathogens and ultraviolet radiation [24]. These molecules are responsible for many food characteristics, such as flavor, color, bitterness and oxidative stability. Since the end of the 20th century, several studies have highlighted that diets rich in polyphenols are recommended for protection against different pathological conditions, such as cancer, diabetes, osteoporosis, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases [25,26]. The main property of polyphenols is their ability to act as antioxidant molecules, defending cells against free-radicals that are formed as a consequence of smoking, pollution, rancid foods consumption and physiological metabolism. Moreover, polyphenols are expected to contribute to body anti-inflammatory state reducing the risk of developing chronic diseases.

Regarding polyphenol content in food, this generally depends on environmental factors such as sun exposure, rainfall and soil characteristics among others. The concentration and proportions of different polyphenols are affected by degree of ripeness [27]. Plants contains a lot of polyphenols and nutraeutical molecules such as apigenin, ascorbic-acid, beta-carotene, luteolin, caffeic-acid, alpha-linoleic and linoleic acid (omega-3 and omega-6 poly-unsatuated fatty acids), oleic acid (mono-unsatuated fatty acid) with a beneficial effect on human health. Coleman et al. [28] found a decreased glaucoma risk in women who consumed fruits and vegetables rich in vitamin A and carotenes, namely collard greens, kale, carrots, and peaches. Another study by Giaconi et al. [29] also concluded that there is a decreased likelihood of glaucoma among women that reported a greater intake of fruits and vegetables rich in vitamins A and C, and carotenes. However, dietary antioxidants are mainly compartmentalized in the liver and blood and barely reach eye tissues also including TM. To face this problem, the adoption of mixture of polyphenols bearing a variety of pharmacodynamic properties and characterized by high bioavailability and long persistence in the eye could be a new promising strategy for glaucoma therapy and prevention.

The present paper aims at evaluating safety and efficacy of a formulation of high-dose polyphenols and fatty acids (hyaluronic acid) in protecting TM from oxidative damage.

**Materials and methods**

**Eyedrops**

Eyedrops was tested both as extract at high (0.15% w/v) (high dose polyphenols extract, in hyaluronic acid 0,25% HDPE (iTRAB) or complexed with nanoparticles, HDPE-NP (ERISnp®) versus standard (0.1% w/v) (standard dose polyphenols extract, SDPE) polyphenol concentration.

HDPE derives from a mixture of Perilla extracts in which different polyphenols and fatty acids have been included. The high concentration drug was used as a commercially available (DRAIN drops®, Eye Pharma SpA, Genoa, Italy). All solvents and components other than polyphenols and fatty acids were similar in the two mixtures (hyaluronic acid with high molecular weight, excipients).

**LC/MS analysis**

Polyphenols contained in HDPE were identified by GC/MS analysis. The dried HDPE powder was dissolved in acetone/tritile (final concentration 0.1 mg/ml). The sample qualitative composition was determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)/mass spectrometry (MS) (Agilent series 1200, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Samples (5 ul) were into C18 column (Waters, Milford, MS, USA). Mobile phases A was 0.1% formic acid in water; mobile phase B was 0.1% formic acid in acetitrile. The flow rate was 20 ul. The eluent flow was directly sent to the electrospray (ESI) ion source of the 6210 Time of Flight mass spectrometer (Agilent Technology) in order to characterize the HPLC peaks. The parameters applied were: 4300 V; nebulizer pressure: 20 psi; drying gas: 5 L/min; gas temperature: 300°C; fragmented voltage: 250 V; skimmer voltage: 80 V; octapole RF: 250 V. Mass Hunter Qualitative Analysis (Agilent Technology) was used to process the full-scan data, previously recorded through the Agilent’s Mass Hunter software. Mass spectra, ranging from 100-2000 m/z, were acquired both in reflection positive and negative ion mode and the presence of selected analytes (fatty acids, catechins, polyphenols, etc.) was evaluated by extracted ion current (EIC) based on their theoretical molecular weight [M + H]+ or [M-H]-. During the acquisition of mass spectra the internal mass calibration was automatically performed by the instrument through the use of a dual nebulizer electrospray source with an automated calibrate delivery system.

**In vitro studies**

Human trabecular meshwork endothelial cell line at 5th replication passage were used (HTM cells, SciencCell Research Laboratories, CA, USA). Cells were grown until semiconfluence and were used as untreated control. Hydrogen peroxide (80% plate coverage) in 10% v/v calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin (100 IU/ml) at 37°C, CO2 5% v/v. HDPE safety was evaluated by analyzing cell modification induced when the drug was used alone. HDPE safety was evaluated as the protection exerted in trabecular meshwork cells against oxidative stress induced by hydrogen peroxide.

The following experimental conditions were set up:

1. Untreated control
2. HDPE 50 ul per well for 30 min
3. Hydrogen peroxide 50 uM for 10 min (H2O2)
4. HDPE pre-treatment+H2O2
5. HDPE co-treatment + H₂O₂
6. H₂O₂ + HDPE post-treatment

After treatments, cells were tested for viability and genotoxic damage (DNA fragmentation).

**Cell viability (MTT test):** Cells were collected by trypsin/EDTA treatment and transferred onto multiwell plates (7 × 10³ cells per well) and viability measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 diphenyl tetrazoliumbromide (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA) metabolism test (MTT). MTT is metabolized into mitochondria of living cells into metabolites absorbing light at 570 nm. MTT (5 mg/ml in PBS) was added to each well and incubation performed at 37°C for 3 h. Absorbance at 570 nm was analyzed by multi-well photometer (Multiskan FC, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Walthman, Massachusetts, USA). For each experimental condition 5 independent replicate experiments have been performed. Viability was expressed as percentage of absorbance as compared to 100% observed in controls. Results were analyzed by ANOVA using statistical package (Statview, SAS, NC, USA).

**Genotoxic damage (comet test):** Comet test evaluates DNA fragmentation by alkaline gel electrophoresis determining the presence of apurinic sites and strand breakage resulting from 8-oxo-dG formation. Cells were suspended in agarose 0.5% w/v and distributed onto microscope slide. Cells were lysed by Triton X 1% in buffered solution and alkalinized by NaOH (0.3 M, pH 13). Electrophoresis was run for 30 min at 25 V, 0.66 V/cm, 300 mA. Cells were dried by ethanol 100%, and DNA stained by ethidium bromide. Slides were analyzed at 400x by fluorescence microscopy (Leica DMI 4000B) equipped with digital camera (Leica DFC 310FX). 100 nuclei per slide were examined by a CASP software (http://www.casp.sourceforge.net). DNA breakage was quantified as tail moment calculated multiplying tail length for DNA concentration in control. Results were analyzed by ANOVA using statistical package (Statview, SAS, NC, USA).

**Ex vivo study: Evaluation of corneal distribution**

The ability of eye-drops, either un-carried or carried by lipid nanoparticles, to penetrate corneal membrane was tested in calf eye collected from cadaver. Eyedrop was charged onto lipid nanoparticles (diameter 70 nm) (NP) by 15 min incubation at room temperature [30]. These nanoparticles are characterized by (a) cationic groups on surface binding to negatively charged cell membranes; (b) ability of carrying lipophilic drugs in the lipid interface. HDPE was labelled by fluorescing, applied onto ocular surface for 30 min and corneal distribution evaluated by slit lamp.

The amount of HDPE passing through corneal membrane was quantified by spectrofluorimeter (F3000, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) (Ex 500 nm, Em 561 nm).

**In vivo study**

Fluorescin-labeled HDPE was applied onto the ocular anterior surface of 5 male rabbits and eyedrop persistence determined by blue light irradiation. HDPE was used either alone (iTRAB®) (left eyes) or complexed with lipid nanoparticles (HDPE-NP (ERISnp®) (right eyes). Eyedrop persistence on the ocular anterior surface was evaluated by blue light irradiation at 5,10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90 min; 2, 3, 4 and 16 h time intervals. The presence of inflammation in conjunctiva was examined by direct observation at the moment of treatment and for 1 week thereafter.

The animal experimental protocol was approved by the Institute of Cell Biology and Biotechnology, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan. Housing and treatments of animals were in accordance with NIH, European (2010/63 UE Directive), and institutional guidelines. The issuance of the NIH Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) with the University of Genoa bears the identification number A5899-01 and is effective until February 28, 2021.

**Results**

**LC/MS analysis**

LC/MS m/z spectra obtained by reflectron negative or positive ion modes are reported in Figure 1. Detected compounds belonged to various classes including poly-unsaturated fatty acids (alpha/ gamma-linolenic acids, linoleic acid, oleic acid), saturated fatty acids (palmitic and stearic acids, mirtistic acid), high amounts of catechins and other anti-oxidants (caffeic acid; flavonoids apigenin, luteolin, and chrysoeriol; rosmarinic acid). These findings confirm the presence of a complex mixture of antioxidants as well as the presence of lipophilic components making antioxidants available into the cells.

**In vitro studies**

**Cell viability (MTT test):** Cell viability percentages are reported as mean ± SD in Figure 2a. Either SDPE or HDPE used alone decreased cell viability as compared to control at any of the tested doses (50, 80, 160 ul per well). Conversely, H₂O₂ remarkably and significantly (P<0.001) decreased cell viability. SDPE and HDPE pretreatment were effective in protecting cells from H₂O₂ cytotoxicity (P<0.001) reporting cell viability near to the value observed in control. This protective effect was observed also for co-treatment (P<0.05) and was only barely decreased in post-treatment (Figure 2b). Similar findings were obtained by analyzing TM cell morphology under the various experimental conditions tested (Figure 3a). Control cells maintained the stretched endothelial morphology; viability was good (as indicated by light absorbance making cells grey) as well as substrate adherence. In H₂O₂ treated cells morphology was changed into sphere-like and viability dramatically decreased (from 100% to 55% at MTT), substrate adherence was lost and light scattering made cells white and bright.

![Figure 1. LC/MS analysis of iTRAB® (HDPE high-dose polyphenols and fatty acids extracts in hyaluronic acid 0.25%), ranging from 100-2000 m/z, acquired in reflexion negative (upper panels) or positive (lower panel) ion mode. The presence of selected fatty acids was evaluated by extracted ion current (EIC) based on their theoretical molecular weight [M-H]](image-url)
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Attenuated H2O2-induced damage to a lesser extent than co- and pre-altered, with cell viability of 97 ± 12%. In post-treated cells, HDPE in co-treatment, morphology and light scattering were only partially adherence as well as light absorbance, with cell viability of 96 ± 8%. In HDPE co- or post-treated with SDPE or HDPE observing significant decreases in cell mortality (‡P<0.05 and †P<0.01 vs H2O2). The effect of lipid nanoparticles (NP) used as SDPE and HDPE carrier did not modify either safety or efficacy of the eyedrop.

Genotoxic damage (comet test): Examples of results obtained by Comet test are reported in Figure 3b. In control cells, DNA is not fragmented and does not migrate in the electrophoretic field, as indicated by rounded morphology of nuclei and lack of haloes and comet tails. Conversely, in H2O2 treated cells DNA is fully fragmented, nuclei dissolved and DNA spread into the electrophoretic field with massive formation of comets characterized by small nuclei and bulky-stretched tails. These events are attenuated by HDPE both in pre- and co-treatment, with well-preserved nuclei; no bulky-stretched tail is detected. In co-treatment, nuclei are surrounded by haloes, a situation not detectable in pre-treatment. HDPE alone does not induce any DNA fragmentation in TM cells.

Microscope analysis of TM cell morphology: In HDPE pre-treated cells, only barely lost the stretched morphology, maintained substrate adherence as well as light absorbance, with cell viability of 96 ± 8%. In co-treated cells, morphology and light scattering were only partially altered, with cell viability of 97 ± 12%. In post-treated cells, HDPE attenuated H2O2-induced damage to a lesser extent than co- and pre-treatment conditions, with a cell viability of 92 ± 13%. These findings indicate that HDPE is effective in protecting TM cells form oxidative damage and that pretreatment is more effective than co-treatment and post-treatment. No alteration in cell viability was detected when HDPE was used alone (viability 96 ± 4%).

The effect of charging HDPE onto NP was evaluated by MTT viability test in TM cells. NPs were tested at concentration ranging from 0.3 to 625 μg/ml (data not shown). Cell viability was decreased at concentration >9.8 μg/ml. Accordingly NP were used at 8 μg/ml concentration. No significant alteration in cells viability (viability 91 ± 3%) or morphology was detected when HDPE-NP was used (Figure 4a, lower panel) as compared to HDPE alone (Fig. 4a, upper panel). The complexation of HDPE with NP slightly attenuated the protective effects exerted towards H2O2-induced damage (Figure 2, cell viability: pre-treatment 91 ± 6%, co-treatment 92 ± 9%, post-treatment 94 ± 7%).

This result provides evidence that NP do not modify HDPE safety.

Ex vivo study: Evaluation of corneal distribution

HDPE either alone (ITRAB®) or complexed with NP (HDPE+NP, ERISnp®) were comparatively tested for their ability to penetrate corneal barrier in calf eye. Corneal distribution of HDPE labelled by microspheres is shown in Figure 3A. The complexation of HDPE with NP slightly attenuated the protective effects toward H2O2-induced damage (Figure 2, cell viability: pre-treatment 91 ± 6%, co-treatment 92 ± 9%, post-treatment 94 ± 7%).

Figure 2. a) TM cell viability assay. Viability (mean ± SD) of TM cells (MTT test) after treatments with either SDPE (standard dose) and HDPE (high-dose polyphenols and fatty acids extracts in hyaluronic acid 0.25%), at increasing doses (50-160 ul per well). No dose-response effect was observed. The dramatic decrease of cell viability induced by H2O2 was counteracted by SDPE and even more by HDPE. ***P<0.001 vs Control; **P<0.01 and *P<0.05 vs H2O2. b) Evaluation of eyedrop safety and efficacy. Safety was evaluated by comparing cell viability in untreated TM cells (control) versus cells treated with SPDE or HDPE not observing any significant change (left part). Efficacy was evaluated by comparing cell viability in TM cells undergoing oxidative damage (H2O2) versus cells pre-, co- or post-treated with SDPE or HDPE observing significant decreases in cell mortality (†P<0.05 and ††P<0.01 vs H2O2). The effect of lipid nanoparticles (NP) used as SDPE and HDPE carrier did not modify either safety or efficacy of the eyedrop.

Figure 3. a) Microscopical appearance of TM cell under the various experimental conditions tested. In both control and HDPE treatment (left panels) cells maintain the stretched endothelial morphology and good viability. In H2O2-treated cells (central panel) morphology becomes sphere-like and viability is dramatically decreased, as indicated by losing of adherence with substrate and light scattering. In HDPE pre-treated cells (upper right panel) substrate adherence is maintained and as well as cell viability. In HDPE co-treated cells (lower right panel) both morphology as well as cell viability are only partially altered. b) Morphology of DNA fragmentation as evaluated by Comet test under the various experimental conditions tested. In both control and HDPE treated cells (left panels) DNA is not fragmented and does not migrate in the electrophoretic field, as indicated by rounded morphology of nuclei and lack of both haloes and comet tails. In H2O2 treatment (central panel) DNA is fully fragmented, nuclei dissolved, and DNA spread into the electrophoretic run, with massive formation of comets characterized by small nuclei and bulky-stretched tail. These events are attenuated by HDPE both in pre- and co-treatment (right panels) with well-preserved nuclei and no bulky-stretched tail. In co-treatment nuclei are surrounded by haloes a situation not detectable in pre-treatment.
Fluorescein was determined by slit lamp. An outline of the procedure used is reported in (Figure 4b). After 30 min since application, HDPE+NP does not cross the cornea but remain onto the anterior ocular surface. Conversely, HDPE penetrate in the corneal epithelium and, at least in part, diffuse to the posterior corneal surface and covering buffer. This figure was quantitatively determined by analyzing fluorescence recorded in the buffer covering posterior corneal surface in order to evaluate the amount of eye-drops penetrating through the cornea from ocular anterior surface to the anterior chamber (Figures 4c and 4d). The amount of fluorescence detected was 15.2 ± 5.05 for HDPE and 3.8 ± 0.58 for HDPE+NP (Fluorescence Units, mean ± SD 4 experiments). Accordingly, HDPE was 4.0-fold more effective than HDPE+NP as far as concerns trans-corneal penetration. 

### In vivo study

No sign of inflammation was observed in conjunctiva or sclera of both eyes at any time interval either for HDPE or HDPE-NP in none of the 5 rabbits (Figure 5). The amount of eye drops remaining on ocular anterior surface was comparatively evaluated between HDPE (upper row) and HDPE+NP (lower row) by analyzing blue light fluorescence. Eyedrops amount was similar at 5, 10, min; a decrease for HDPE only was observed since 20 min. After 20 min, the remaining amount of HDPE+NP was consistently higher than those of HDPE at any time tested. After 4 h only small traces of HDPE were detectable in conjunctival bag, indicating the full clearance of the eye-drop; conversely HDPE+NP was still well detectable onto corneal surface. After 16 h HDPE was completely removed from the eye while HDPE+NP was still abundantly detectable onto corneal surface (Figure 5). This finding indicate that HDPE+NP remain onto corneal surface much longer than HDPE.

### Discussion

Presented results provide evidence that eye drops containing a mixture from Perilla frutescens extracts at certain concentrations of flavonoids, catechins and fatty acids at the tested doses are devoid of direct toxic effect on TM cells while being able to protect them from oxidative stress. A similar safety was observed both for SDPE and HDPE. This finding is of relevance due to the high susceptibility of TM cells to oxidative damage [6] and to the pathogenic role of oxidative stress in glaucoma [31]. TM shows a striking morphological decay both with age and during the course of glaucoma. Indeed, the glaucomatous TM is prematurely aged. Therefore, protecting these cells by polyphenols means better TM efficacy and hence a smaller number of proapoptotic signals reaching the optic nerve head.

HDPE contains 2,3-dihydroxy-4,6-dimethoxychalcone that is an activator of the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-antioxidant response element (ARE) pathway, which is a cellular defense system against oxidative stress, controlling hundreds of detoxifying and antioxidant, and preventing dopaminergic neuron death from oxidative stress by upregulation of glial expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), one of the ARE-driven antioxidant enzymes [32]. The Nrf2 gene is an important factor in protecting RGCs from axonal damage induced RGCs death because of oxidative stress conditions and depletion of Nrf2 aggravates RGC death induced by optic nerve injury [33]. Indeed, the Nrf2 pathway appears to be a promising target for glaucoma neuroprotection [34,35].

Mitochondrial function plays a fundamental role in glaucoma pathogenesis, because they represent the greatest source of free radicals in the TM, especially as related to POAG and pseudo-exfoliative glaucoma [36]. None adverse effect on TM cells was observed, evaluating mitochondrial function by MTT, as induced either by SDPE or HDPE. No increase in DNA fragmentation was observed as induced by either SDPE or HDPE at comet test, an extremely sensitive assay. The safety polyphenolic eyedrops was confirmed by in vivo experiments where no sign of inflammation or other adverse effect (e.g., increase of blinking frequency or tearing) was detected in rabbit. HDPE is a rich...
source of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) that have anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, lipid lowering, vasodilatory, and neuroprotective capacities [37,38]. The role of omega 3 fatty acid in downregulating inflammation is an important paradigm for understanding the pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory diseases including macular degeneration, glaucoma and dry eye [39].

The high susceptibility of TM cells to oxidative damage together with the toxic action of preservatives contained in anti-glaucoma drugs are chronically combined and causing cell damage as it occurs in the anterior surface and TM [40]. These adverse effects are prevented when TM cells were treated with either SDPE or, even more, HDPE. Indeed, HDPE was more protective than SDPE in co- and post-treatment, while no difference was observed for pre-treatment.

Different protective mechanisms are triggered according to the experimental condition tested. In co-treatment, the direct neutralization of reactive oxygen species by polyphenolic compounds both in extra-and intra-cellular compartment prevails. In pre-treatment polyphenolic compounds activate anti-oxidant intracellular defenses, mainly including phase II protective pathways, that are ready to react when oxidative stress occurs. The protective effect observed in post-treatment is somewhat more surprising being not amenable to none of these two mechanisms. This situation could be explained by the activation by eyedrop components of cellular mechanisms able to repair H₂O₂-induced damage, this figure including DNA and protein repair. Indeed, several components of tested eyedrop are able to trigger these mechanisms as demonstrated for polyphenols and repair of DNA damage induced by UV light [41]. Similar results have been obtained for polyphenols and protein repair evaluating lipofuscin formation in an experimental model of macular degeneration triggered by blue light [42].

Herein reported results are in line with experimental evidences dealing the use of polyphenols as anti-oxidant protecting from lipid peroxidation in experimental tests and humans [43]. This direct anti-oxidant activity is further enhanced by the anti-inflammatory properties of components of Perilla extracts as luteolin, inflammation being a main endogenous source of oxidative damage.

The use of NPs did not modify neither safety or efficacy of HDPE as indicated by MTT and microscope analysis on TM cells. This finding indicates that HDPE is not irreversibly sequestered into NPs but is reversibly adsorbed onto the outer lipophilic membrane of NP that functions as carriers for the eyedrop. When NPs target cell membrane the eyedrop is released into the intracellular environment, a mechanism well established for this type of NPs when used as carriers for polyphenolic compounds such as resveratrol [30]. Under the experimental situation tested, NP do not increase HDPE efficacy that is already at highest level when HDPE was used alone. This finding indicates that HDPE is per se highly bioavailable due to its intrinsic high lipophilicity. However, the in vivo experiment demonstrates that NP modifies the pharmacokinetic properties of HDPE. HDPE-NP was unable to permeate corneal barrier in ex-vivo experiment at variance with HDPE. This event is attributable to the fact that lipid NPs further increase lipophilicity and viscosity of HDPE thus rendering eye-drop more persistent on the outer corneal surface despite the existence of effective drainage mechanisms. Indeed, HDPE was removed from cornea already after 20 min that is already a relatively long persistence time considering that hydrophilic eye-drops are removed by blinking and tearing after only few minutes since application [44].

On the whole obtained results indicates that HDPE is able to permeate cornea thus increasing the amount of protective anti-oxidant in ocular anterior chamber. These mechanisms are useful to protect fragile TM cells form oxidative stress, a driving force of POAG, where the aqueous humor from levels of anti-oxidant enzymes are significantly lowered in glaucoma [45].

An alternative strategy to increase eyedrops bioavailability in the anterior chamber is the inclusion in the eye drops of aggressive component such as benzalkonium chloride that, transiently damaging cornea, increase the ability of eye-drop to cross cornea. However, benzalkonium chloride and other anti-bacterial agents used at this purpose are highly toxic for TM [10] and induce inflammation in conjunctiva [46]. Conversely, HDPE increase polyphenols bioavailability for the ocular anterior chamber in a safe manner due to their unsolubility in the hydrophilic tear film and their ability to adhere onto corneal outer surface and to cross corneal barrier. The long persistence and high bioavailability of eye-drop increase pharmacodynamic properties thus decreasing the number and frequency of application required to obtain the therapeutic and preventive effects.

Conclusions

Our results provide evidence that eyedrop containing hyaluronic acid with high molecular weight and a complex mixture of polyphenols are: (1) safe especially for cells sensitive to oxidative stress such as TM cells; (2) able to protect TM cells from oxidative damage, a driving mechanism of glaucoma pathogenesis; (3) more potent than hydrophilic eyedrops; (4) remain for a long time onto outer corneal surface after application especially when complexed with NP; (5) when used uncomplexed with NP, are able to cross corneal barrier thus delivering anti-oxidant into ocular anterior chamber and aqueous humor, whose composition is altered in glaucoma. Accordingly, lipophilic eyedrops containing polyphenols extracts appear to be of interest for the prevention of diseases targeting ocular anterior surface and anterior chamber.
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