Comparison of Particle-Associated Bacteria from a Drinking Water Treatment Plant and Distribution Reservoirs with Different Water Sources
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This study assessed the characteristics of and changes in the suspended particles and the associated bacteria in an unchlorinated drinking water distribution system and its reservoirs with different water sources. The results show that particle-associated bacteria (PAB) were present at a level of 0.8–4.5 × 10^3 cells ml\(^{-1}\) with a biological activity of 0.01–0.04 ng l\(^{-1}\) ATP. Different PAB communities in the waters produced from different sources were revealed by a 16S rRNA-based pyrosequencing analysis. The quantified biomass underestimation due to the multiple cells attached per particle was ≥ 85%. The distribution of the biologically stable water increased the number of cells per particle (from 48 to 90) but had minor effects on the PAB community. Significant changes were observed at the mixing reservoir. Our results show the characteristics of and changes in suspended PAB during distribution, and highlight the significance of suspended PAB in the distribution system, because suspended PAB can lead to a considerable underestimation of biomass, and because they exist as biofilm, which has a greater mobility than pipe-wall biofilm and therefore presents a greater risk, given the higher probability that it will reach the customers’ taps and be ingested.

The bacteria are present in different phases, or locations, in drinking water distribution systems, where they can grow and multiply1,2. These phases are: the bulk water (planktonic bacteria that flow through the water main); pipe-wall biofilm (biofilm bacteria that attach to the pipe surface); suspended solids (particle-associated bacteria, or particulate matter, suspended in the water and transported throughout the network); and loose deposits (particle associated bacteria, or particulate matter that is accumulated/retained in the distribution pipes)1-3. Photographic images taken in an operational distribution pipe illustrate the four phases (Fig. S1). These phases are dynamically interrelated: depending on the local hydraulic conditions, the loose deposits and pipe-wall biofilm may be resuspended and detached to become suspended solids and reach the customers’ taps, or the suspended solids may settle and accumulate in the distribution pipes as loose deposits1-3.

The particles in drinking water distribution systems have primarily been studied in terms of their physiochemical aspects4-6. Only a few studies have examined the organic components of the loose deposits7-10. Although only limited information on the microbial composition of suspended/settled particles has been reported, researchers have found a considerable amount of bacteria associated with loose deposits, including Mycobacteria spp10.

Typically, planktonic bacteria (PB) are subject to rapid washout together with bulk water, due to the plug flow conditions1,11 and to the fact that their size ( < 1μm) is insufficient for them to settle as a deposit12; in contrast,
particle-associated bacteria (PAB) can settle and accumulate in the DWDS as loose deposits\(^2,7,8,13\). The accumulated PAB may be transferred into the bulk water by hydraulic peaks—e.g., during morning peaks of water usage, pipe bursts, and firefighting operations—resulting in cell peaks at the taps\(^2\). Compared to the bacteria harbored by pipe-wall biofilm in the DWDS, PAB present an even higher risk because their greater mobility increases the chance that they will reach the customers’ taps and be ingested\(^2,14\).

The significance of PAB in drinking water is also related to their higher resistance to disinfection compared to\(^15,16\) PB\(^13,14\). Moreover, PAB have been considered to be the seeds for regrowth downstream\(^17\). The presence of PAB also introduces the potential for an underestimation of the bacterial numbers because, regardless of the number of cells attached to one particle, they will either not be counted or be counted as one cell by the currently used cell enumeration methods, e.g., heterotrophic plate counts (HPC) and flow cytometry cell counting\(^3,17–19\).

In a previous study we reported the quantification and identification of particle-associated bacteria in unchlorinated treated water\(^3\); PAB concentrations of 1.0–3.5 \(\times\) \(10^3\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) and 0.04–0.154 ng l\(^{-1}\) ATP were found in the unchlorinated treated water from three Dutch treatment plants. Multiple cells per particle were confirmed, and on average 25–50 cells were attached to a single particle (ranging from 1–100 \(\mu\)m but mostly from 1–2 \(\mu\)m).

A community study using pyrosequencing has revealed that the members of the Proteobacteria dominated in all of the sampled PAB communities, followed by OP3 and Nitrospirae. However, the study only sampled the treated water from treatment plants; the information regarding the PAB in DWDSs remains unknown.

Hence, there is a clear need to explore the suspended PAB in drinking water treatment plants and distribution reservoirs with two different water sources.

Results

Physiochemical characterization of the suspended particles. PAB were collected from four locations in the distribution system and analyzed to investigate their characteristics and changes in an unchlorinated drinking water distribution system (Fig. 1). As shown in Table S1, the particle number in the treated (ARR-TP) and distributed (ARR-D) artificial recharge and recovery water was lower than in the distributed surface water (SW-D). Regarding the particle sizes, Fig. 2 shows that the particles at ARR-TP and ARR-D were smaller than those at SW-D. A significant increase in the particle load was observed in the water samples from the mixing reservoir (Mixed). Spherical-like particles were found in the treated and distributed ARR water, whereas thin, layer-like particles were found in the distributed surface water. The elemental analysis showed (Fig. S3) that the particles primarily consisted of carbon (C), oxygen (O), silicon (Si), sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and iron (Fe). Fe was not found in ARR water. The Fe in the samples collected at the mixing reservoir (Mixed) was higher than that in the distributed surface water (SW-D). The carbon content was lower in the ARR water than in the surface water, whereas the carbon content at the mixing reservoir was between that of the ARR water and the surface water.
Quantification of the PAB. In the unchlorinated drinking water network, we found 1.0–4.0 × 10³ cells ml⁻¹ and 0.01–0.05 ng l⁻¹ ATP of PAB. Comparable amounts of PAB were found at ARR-TP and SW-D (Fig. 3). The distribution of the ARR water led to an increase in the cell numbers attached to the particles, whereas the change in the bacterial activities was insignificant. At the mixing reservoir (Mixed water), the cell numbers of PAB were higher, whereas the bacterial activities were lower than at the other locations. The cells per particle were calculated by Equation (1) (Table S1). On average, 48 cells per particle were found at ARR-TP. The ARR-D water contained 91 cells per particle. There were only 7 cells per particle in the SW-D water. At the mixing reservoir (Mixed water), 16 cells were attached to a single particle.

Identification of the PAB. We obtained 2988, 6377, 22496 and 10423 bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences from PAB sampled from ARR-TP, ARR-D, SW-D, and Mixed water, respectively. The observed OTUs and the Chao1 and Shannon indices are shown in Table S2. Rarefaction analysis results are shown in Fig. S2. At the mixing reservoir, an increase was observed in the bacterial diversity.

Community composition. In the treated and distributed ARR water, fifteen phyla were detected. In the distributed ARR water (ARR-D, Fig. 4), Proteobacteria represented 36% of the total OTUs followed by the phyla of OP3 (22%), Planctomycetes (6%), Nitrospirae (4%), Acidobacteria (2%), Chloroflexi (1%), and Euryarchaeota (2%). The remaining 8 phyla accounted for 4% of the total OTUs, and the unclassified phyla accounted for 22% of the total OTUs. Among the Proteobacteria, the subclasses of Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria constituted (on average) 6%, 6%, 7%, and 15% of the total OTUs, respectively. Compared with the bacterial community composition of the treated ARR water at the treatment plant (ARR-TP, previously reported), only minor changes were observed at ARR-D. These slight changes during the distribution of the ARR water (ARR-TP to ARR-D) included a slight decrease in the Proteobacteria percentage from 39% to 36%, whereas the OP3 percentage increased from 19% to 22%. Within the Proteobacteria, the Alphaproteobacteria increased from 3% to 6%, Betaproteobacteria and Deltaproteobacteria decreased, respectively, from 13% to 6%, and from 9% to 7%.

In the samples at the mixing reservoir, 15 phyla types were detected (Fig. 4, Mixed). However, the bacterial community was different from that in the ARR water. The community was dominated by Proteobacteria followed by the phyla of OP3 (14%), Planctomycetes (6%), Nitrospirae (2%), Chloroflexi (1%), and Bacteroidetes (1%). The remaining 9 phyla accounted for less than 4% of the total OTUs, and the unclassified phyla for 14%.
Among the Proteobacteria, the subclasses of Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria accounted on average for 10%, 34%, 5%, and 7%, respectively. Thirteen phyla types were detected, and a completely different bacterial community composition was found in the distributed surface water (Fig. 4, SW-D). The surface water bacterial community was dominated by Proteobacteria (65%), which was nearly double its percentage in the ARR water. The percentages of the phyla Planctomycetes (12%), Chloroflexi (5%), Bacteroidetes (2%), and Cyanobacteria (1%) were also more than two times higher than those in the ARR water. However, OP3 and Nitrospirae decreased to 1%, and Euryarchaeota and NC10 were undetected. The remaining 5 phyla accounted for less than 2% of the total OTUs, and the unclassified phyla accounted for 11%. Among the Proteobacteria, the subclasses of Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria, accounted on average for 25%, 24%, 4%, and 9% of the total OTUs, respectively. Thus, the percentages of Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria were significantly higher than in the ARR water, whereas the percentages of Deltaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria were slightly lower than in the ARR water.

A total of 54, 59, 68, and 58 genera were found at the ARR-TP, ARR-D, Mixed, and SW-D, respectively. The genera accounting for more than 1% are shown in Table S3. Limnobacter spp., Caldilinea spp., Candidatus Odyssell spp., Rhodopirellula spp., Gallionella spp., Polynucleobacter spp., and an unclassified genus of the Oxalobacteraceae order were detected in all cases, with the exception of the treated ARR water. LCP-6 of Thermodesulfovibrioaceae, an unclassified genus of Methanosarcinaceae, and 5 genera of OP3 were detected in all cases, with the exception of the distributed surface water.

Principal coordinate analysis of the community similarity. Figure 5 shows the bacterial community similarity according to the PCoA plot. The results show that the ARR water and the surface water had a noticeably different bacterial community. Additionally, they show that the distribution of the ARR water did not influence the PAB community. The PAB in the water at the mixing reservoir had a new bacterial community cluster, which was between that of the treated ARR water and of the surface water.

Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate PAB characteristics and changes in a drinking water distribution system. The observed physiochemical characteristics of the particles are consistent with previous observations. A previous study of ours covered several Dutch treatment plants including the plant that is the subject of this study. In contrast to the previous study’s results, we found a more complex elemental composition in the distribution system in this plant (ARR-TP). This may be due to particle aggregation/generation during distribution, such as corrosion (Fe), precipitation/flocculation (Al, Ca, Na, and K), bio-aggregation (C), and biofilm detachment (C, Na, and K).
The detection levels of more than 90 cells per particle were higher than the previously reported values3. This result further challenges the use of traditional bacteria enumeration methods for water quality monitoring which are employed in the current regulations, and in which the 90 cells would be counted as one. In addition, the consumers’ bacterial ingestion might be considerably underestimated, especially when the particle loads are high, such as the loads of $\geq 1.2 \times 10^3$ particles per ml, which were detected at the customers’ taps in the distribution area of the ARR-D reservoir (results not shown). Therefore, the total PAB can be calculated, using the value measured at ARR-D: (90 cells per particle) $\times$ $(1.2 \times 10^3$ particles per ml), that is, $\geq 1.1 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$. In fact, the PAB level could be even higher, because of the additional particles resulting from the resuspension of loose deposits, which constitute a reservoir for bacteria7,8,14. This underestimated value is comparable to the measured cell concentrations in the bulk water samples from the monitored customer tap ($1.3 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$) and is even higher than that detected in the water samples collected from the treatment plant (Table S1). According to Equation (2), the underestimation is $\geq 85\%$ at the customers’ taps, which challenges the use of quantitative methods such as HPC in the current drinking water regulations.

The bacterial community composition was consistent with the previous studies on the drinking water bacteriology22–25 and with our recent study on PAB in treatment plants3; in all cases the Proteobacteria was the dominant phylum. Clear differences however were observed between the ARR water and the surface water, e.g., a high percentage of OP3 was detected in the ARR water but not in the surface water. The candidate division OP3 was found to thrive in anoxic environments3,26. Although both the systems use surface water as their source water, the ARR water treatment system involves a natural dune filtration process. The observed difference may be caused by the presence of the anoxic conditions in the ARR dune area, which is suitable for the OP3 bacteria.

At the genus level, at the sampling locations of the distributed surface water and the water from the mixing reservoir where higher concentrations of iron were detected, a correspondingly higher percentage of iron bacteria were found (e.g., Gallionella accounted for 1% and Crenothrix accounted for 10%, Table S3). This difference may be because the treated surface water may favor the growth of iron bacteria; it has been reported that there are more iron-reducing and iron-oxidizing bacteria in the biofilms formed in distribution systems supplied by treated surface water than those supplied by treated groundwater27.

Generally, the distribution of biologically stable water had minor effects on the PAB; for example, on the particle number and particle size, the elemental composition of the particles, and the bacterial communities. The minor effects have been previously observed and reported on planktonic bacteria, e.g., Lautenschlager et al. have reported that biologically stable water can maintain a stable planktonic bacterial community during distribution28. This applies also to PAB, e.g., we have observed the strong similarity of the suspended PAB community sampled from three locations in another Dutch distribution system14. The stability of PAB may be due to the combination of the short retention time, the high and stable water quality, and the robust distribution system. Nevertheless, the distribution of the ARR water involved a clear increase in the parameter of cells per particle, whereas the particle number remained stable. This increase may be caused by the multiplication of the attached cells and/or by the attachment of new cells to the particles during distribution. Because the bioactivity remained stable (A-ATP, Fig. 3), it is likely that the increased number of small cells attached to the particles did not significantly contribute to the ATP concentration. Regardless, the suspended particles offered a mobile surface area for the cells to attach to and for the biofilm to form on29.

Figure 5. PCoA plot generated for all of the sampling locations. The results of the treated (triangle) and distributed (square) ARR water are shown in green; the results of the distributed drinking water produced from the surface water are shown in blue; and the results of the mixed water are shown in black.
The water quality parameters, such as ATP, total phosphate (TP), and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), showed simple mixing effects, in which the values ranged between the two types of water before mixing (Table S1). The data of the suspended PAB offered additional insight into the changes detected at the mixing reservoir. As shown in Fig. 2, the particle load at the mixing reservoir was approximately 2.5 times higher than that in the distributed surface water (SW-D), and was approximately 10 times higher than that in the treated and distributed ARR water (ARR-TP and ARR-D). The larger size and higher number of particles in the water at the mixing reservoir offer more surface area for cells to attach to. This is confirmed by the increased number of attached cells detected at the mixing reservoir. However, the attached biological activity (A-ATP content) was decreased, which might be due to the sensitivity of the microbes to the circumstantial changes, which they require time to acclimate to29. The lower number of cells per single particle in mixed water indicate that the increased particles at the mixing reservoir may hardly contain biomass. It is expected that more attached cells (A-TCC, biomass underestimation) will be found in the subsequent distribution system because of the high particle load with a greater available surface area for cells to attach to and grow on.

At the mixing reservoir, an increase in the bacterial diversity was found according to the parameters of the observed OTUs, the Chao1, and Shannon indices. These changes may be caused by the physiochemical changes of the particles (Fig. S3), because the increase of particle load and physiochemical characteristic changes may create new niches and subsequently influence the bacterial diversity30.

Most of the detected phyla (subclass of Proteobacteria) percentages showed moderating effects (changing to values between those for the ARR water and the surface water before mixing). For example, the percentage of Alphaproteobacteria (10%) at the mixing reservoir was higher than that in the ARR water (6%) but lower than that in the surface water (25%). The same effect was observed for Deltaproteobacteria, OP9, Nitrospirae, and Euryarchaeota. In addition to the simple moderating effects, the following three remarkable characteristics in the abundances were noted: 1) certain bacteria increased in abundance and were higher than their levels in both of the waters before mixing, e.g., Betaproteobacteria increased from 6–24% to 34%; 2) certain bacteria decreased in abundance and were lower than their levels in both of the waters before mixing, e.g., Gammaproteobacteria decreased from 10–14% to 7%; and 3) certain bacteria remained at the same abundances in one type of water, e.g., Planctomycetes was 6% at the mixing reservoir, which was the same level as in the treated and distributed ARR water.

As mentioned above in this study and reported elsewhere23, the distribution of biologically stable water only has a minor impact on the water’s bacterial community. This also applies in this case, in which a large-diameter pipe is used to transport water from the ARR-D (12 km) and the SW-D (5 km) to the mixing reservoir. Although the contributions of the pipelines (e.g., detachment of biofilms) and the mixing reservoir (e.g., disturbance of the reservoir sediments) cannot be completely excluded, it is likely that the mixing of two water types caused the differences and changes observed.

In summary, this study investigated the characteristics of and changes in PAB in DWDSs. Our results confirm the presence of multiple cells per particle, and suggest that the distribution of biological stable water has minor effects on the community of PAB. Moreover, this study demonstrates that the valuable information carried by PAB might be used to understand the processes occurring in DWDSs, such as detachment of biofilm or resuspension of loose deposits. This understanding can be achieved by comparing the fingerprints of PAB under normal and abnormal operational conditions, when problems arise, such as discoloration, sudden biomass peaks, or water meter clogging.

Methods
Description of the water treatment plant and the distribution system. The ARR water treatment plant obtains its source water from the Meuse River. The source water, after pre-treatment, is transported over 30 km to a dune area of natural lakes where it recharges the groundwater. After an average residence time of two months, the water is abstracted from the dunes. This abstracted artificial recharge and recovery (ARR) water is post-treated by softening, powdered activated carbon filtration, aeration, rapid sand filtration, and slow sand filtration before being pumped into the distribution system. Chlorination is avoided in the Netherlands.

The selected distribution system is supplied primarily by the treatment plant. At the end of the water supply network, near the boundary of a neighboring water company, there are several connection points which take in water. The neighboring treatment plant also obtains its surface source water (SW) from the Meuse River. After 5 months’ storage in the natural open reservoirs, the water is treated by passing it through fine sieves followed by flocculation, rapid sand filtration, UV disinfection, and activated carbon filtration; finally, a small amount of chlorine dioxide is dosed to decrease the colony counts resulting from the carbon filters. The two types of water are mixed in a reservoir in a ratio of 7:1 (ARR:SW) before it is supplied to customers in the area.

Sampling. Four locations were selected (Fig. 1): 1) the ARR water treatment plant before the water is pumped into the distribution system (ARR-TP); 2) the distribution reservoir, which is fed only by water from the ARR water treatment plant (ARR-D); 3) the connection point on the 110 mm-diameter, PVC pipe, where water is taken in from the neighboring surface water treatment plant (distributed surface water, SW-D); and 4) the reservoir where the two types of water are mixed before being pumped into its supply area (Mixed). The general water quality data from each location are given in Table S1. The data at the ARR-TP had been previously reported and revealed the characteristics of the PAB in the treatment plants3. Those data were used in this study as a reference to compare and study any changes in the PAB in the distribution system.

The particle-associated bacteria were sampled and prepared as previously described3. Briefly, the PAB were pre-concentrated by filtering approximately 200 liters of water through glass fiber filters (Whatman, 1822–047, 1.2 μm). The choice of the filter pore size was explained in detail in the previous study2. Triplicate samples were obtained by running the multiple particle filtration systems (MuPFeS)3 on the same day of the week for three
consecutive weeks for the PAB quantification (n = 3). For the pyrosequencing analysis, triplicate samples were obtained by one run of the MuPFiS (completed within one day for all of the locations, on the same day of the fourth week, n = 3). The filters with the pre-concentrated PAB were inverted and submerged into 5 ml of autoclaved tap water with glass beads immediately after filtration. All of the samples were maintained in a cooling box and transported to the laboratory within two hours after sampling. The bacteria were detached from the particles by low-energy ultrasonic treatment performed 3 times, for 2 minutes each (Branson ultrasonic water bath, 43 kHZ). The obtained suspensions were used in the analyses.

**Analyses.** The particle load was monitored by running particle counter (Met One, 32 channels, 1—100 μm) at each location for two weeks. The elemental composition of collected particles was analyzed by a JEOL JSM-840A scanning electron microscopy (SEM), coupled with secondary and backscattered electron detectors and an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer. The PAB were quantified by Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and total cell count (TCC) by flow cytometry. The ATP was measured as previously described31; the TCC was measured using a C6 flow cytometer (BD Accuri C6, United States), as described by Hammes et al.32.

The ATP and TCC results obtained for the PAB samples were defined as attached ATP (A-ATP) and attached TCC (A-TCC). Based on the ATP, TCC, and particle count results, the number of cells per particle was calculated according to the following equation (1), as previously described by Liu et al.3:

\[
\text{Cells per particle (cells particle}^{-1}) = \frac{A - \text{TCC (cells ml}^{-1})}{\text{particle count (particles ml}^{-1})}
\]

(1)

Based on the cells per particle and the particle number detected in the distribution system, the potential underestimation of the total cell number was calculated using the following equation (2):

\[
\text{Underestimation} (\%) = \left( \frac{\text{Cells per particle (cells particle}^{-1}) \times \text{particle count (particles ml}^{-1})}{\text{TCC (bulk water, cells ml}^{-1})} \right) \times 100\%
\]

(2)

**454 Pyrosequencing.** The DNA was extracted from the suspension using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (Q-Biogene/MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions33,34, and was amplified with the bacterium-specific forward primer 27F and the reverse primer 534R 25. The 454 pyrosequencing was performed with a 454 Life Sciences GS FLX series genome sequencer (Roche, Switzerland). The sequences were trimmed (resulting in an average sequence length of 230 bp). The merged alignments of the sequences were aligned via the infernal aligner from the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) pyrosequencing pipeline (http://pyro.cme.msu.edu/), and the NAST alignment tool from Greengenes was obtained via the software developed by the Biotechnology Center at the University of Illinois (UI) (http://acai.igb.uiuc.edu/bio/merge-nast-infernal.html). The RDP Classifier was used for the taxonomical assignments of the aligned 454 pyrosequences at the 97% confidence level. The total PAB communities from the different sampling points were analyzed for the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), species richness, and biodiversity using the QIIME program. The unweighted UniFrac distance matrices were constructed from the phylogenetic tree and used to conduct the unweighted UniFrac distance matrices from the phylogenetic tree. The unweighted UniFrac distance matrices were constructed from the phylogenetic tree and used to conduct the unweighted UniFrac distance matrices from the phylogenetic tree.
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