Perioperative management of gastrointestinal surgery in a resource-limited hospital in Niger: Cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Perioperative management in digestive surgery is a challenge in sub-Saharan Africa. Objective: To describe the process and outcomes of perioperative management in gastrointestinal surgery.

Materials and methods: This was a single center cross-sectional study over a 4-month period from June 1 to September 30, 2017, in a Nigerien hospital (West Africa). This study included caregivers and patients operated on gastrointestinal surgery.

Results: We collected data for 56 caregivers and 253 patients underwent gastrointestinal surgery. The average age of caregivers was 38.6 ± 8.7. The median length of professional practice was 9 years. Almost 52% of caregivers (n = 29) did not know the standards of perioperative care. The median age of patients was 24 years, and male gender constituted 70% of cases (n = 177) with a sex ratio of 2.32. Patients came from rural areas in 78.2% (n = 198). Emergency surgery accounted for 60% (n = 152). The most surgical procedure was digestive ostomies performed in 28.9% (n = 73), followed by hernia repair and appendectomy in 24.5% (n = 62) and 13.9% (n = 35) respectively. The postoperative course was complicated in 28.1% (n = 71) among which 13 deaths. In the group of caregivers, the poor practice of perioperative management was associated with poor professional qualification, insufficient equipment, insufficient motivation (p < 0.05). The ASA3&ASA4 score, undernutrition, emergency surgery, poor postoperative monitoring, and poor psychological preparation were associated with complicated postoperative outcomes (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The inadequacy of the technical platform and the lack of continuous training for healthcare staff represented the main dysfunctions of our hospital. The risk factors for complications found in this study need appropriate perioperative management to improve prognosis in gastrointestinal surgery.

1. Introduction

Surgery, an important component of health systems that has been neglected by global public health policies, has undergone a remarkable evolution in recent years [1–4]. In 2004 and 2012, 234 million and 312 million major surgical procedures were performed respectively. Only 6% are carried out in the poorest countries, which account for more than a third of the world's population [2,3]. Gastrointestinal surgical diseases are common affections, which cause considerable morbidity and mortality, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa [1,3–9]. These complications are indicators to monitor the quality of the surgical care provided [4,5]. In this setting of lack of resources, several factors influence the quality of perioperative management such as lack of qualified caregivers, diagnostic delay, lack of communication, insufficient equipment and consumables [1,3–10]. It has been shown that even for low-risk patients, postoperative mortality in Africa is twice as high the global average [8]. Currently, surgeons are increasingly concerned with perioperative nonsurgical aspects. Indeed, the success of surgical management is not limited to the mere surgical procedure [11–13]. This management must be ensured whole, patient-centered approach that includes all stakeholders taking into account the technical platform, the overall perioperative state [12,13]. The implementation of
multiple perioperative actions allows the patient to be treated effectively, efficiently and safely [2,4,5,11–13]. In our context, perioperative care in gastrointestinal surgery is dysfunctional, which explains this high morbidity and mortality [9,10]. The objective of this study was to describe the process and outcomes of perioperative management in gastrointestinal surgery in a resource-limited hospital.

2. Methods

This study had been registered in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki at the Research 2019. The registration number is researchregistry5224. The ethical approval was obtained from the relevant hospital and university authorities. This work has been reported in line with the STROCSS criteria [14].

This was a single center cross-sectional study over a 4-month period from June 1 to September 30, 2017, in a southeastern hospital of Niger Republic in Zinder area. This is a 800-bed tertiary public hospital serving Zinder area which had about 4.3 million inhabitants in 2017 and receives references from three neighboring regions of the country (Agadez, Diffa and Maradi). Niger Republic is a West African country ranked in 2018 189th out of 189 countries according to the United Nations in terms of Human Development Index (0.354) with a multidimensional poverty index of 0.154 and an average income per capita per day of less than one dollar. A health system that is still fragile, and access to quality care remains a challenge for the majority of the population. The assessment of the perioperative care structure was performed and, at the same time, the study concerned patients and caregivers. The assessment of the perioperative care structure was performed and, at the same time, the study concerned patients and caregivers. The study population included patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery (emergency or elective surgery) and the caregivers who work in the gastrointestinal surgery department. Caregivers absent during the study period, those who did not agree to participate in the survey. Non-consenting patients and patients with gastrointestinal diseases who were not operated on were excluded from the study. General, regional or local anesthesia was used to perform surgery. Surgical procedure was performed by a team of senior surgeons and physicians in training for surgery in a district hospital.

The perioperative course of the patients was analyzed. Semi-open survey sheets for caregivers and patients have been established. In order to measure the comprehension and easy interpretation of these sheets; a pre-test was conducted in 8 agents and 10 patients. Inpatient and operating room registers were used to supplement information on patients’ perioperative management. Data collected included many variables for caregivers: gender, age, length of time in the function, level of knowledge on perioperative care, effectiveness of continuing education, qualification: Certified Nurse (CN), State Registered Nurse (SRN), Senior Nurse (SN) or Physician. For the patients, the variables studied were: origin, age, sex, the marital status, the anthropometric parameters, indications of surgery, the gestures, the complications and the discharge mode. Digestive stomas were fitted with plastic bags that could easily be changed by the patient or his or her family and friends. The general condition was evaluated by the ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) score [15]. The clinical assessment of nutritional status was routinely based on the body mass index (BMI) expressed in Kg/m². The undernutrition is evoked for a BMI value < 18.5 Kg/m². The undernutrition is evoked for a BMI value < 18.5 Kg/m², in adults aged 20 years and over. For patients aged 5 to 19 and those under 5 years of age, the 2007 WHO sheets were used to determine nutritional status (WHO Z-score) [16]. The degree of surgical contamination was distributed according to Altemeir’s classification [17] and the complications (30-day post morbidity/mortality) were categorized according to Clavien-Dindo [18].

The data collected was captured and analyzed using Excel and Epi-Info7TMCDC software. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± standard or median deviation with interquartile range (IQR). The poor practice of care was related to the perioperative management was dysfunctional, which explains the dysfunctions noted required is an absolute need to improve the technical platform. These dysfunctions result in a postponement of 27.3% (n = 38) of scheduled surgical procedures for gastrointestinal diseases.

The perioperative course of the patients was analyzed. Semi-open survey sheets for caregivers and patients have been established. In order to measure the comprehension and easy interpretation of these sheets; a pre-test was conducted in 8 agents and 10 patients. Inpatient and operating room registers were used to supplement information on patients’ perioperative management. Data collected included many variables for caregivers: gender, age, length of time in the function, level of knowledge on perioperative care, effectiveness of continuing education, qualification: Certified Nurse (CN), State Registered Nurse (SRN), Senior Nurse (SN) or Physician. For the patients, the variables studied were: origin, age, sex, the marital status, the anthropometric parameters, indications of surgery, the gestures, the complications and the discharge mode. Digestive stomas were fitted with plastic bags that could easily be changed by the patient or his or her family and friends. The general condition was evaluated by the ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) score [15]. The clinical assessment of nutritional status was routinely based on the body mass index (BMI) expressed in Kg/m². The undernutrition is evoked for a BMI value < 18.5 Kg/m², in adults aged 20 years and over. For patients aged 5 to 19 and those under 5 years of age, the 2007 WHO sheets were used to determine nutritional status (WHO Z-score) [16]. The degree of surgical contamination was distributed according to Altemeir’s classification [17] and the complications (30-day post morbidity/mortality) were categorized according to Clavien-Dindo [18].

Table 1 overview of the state of the surgical services.

| Topics                              | ANI | I | G |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---|---|
| 1. Care circuit                     | X   |   |   |
| 2. Materials and Equipments         | X   |   |   |
| 3. Drugs and Consumables            | X   |   |   |
| 4. Laboratory tests                 | X   |   |   |
| 5. Cleanliness and privacy of premises (hospital rooms and toilets) | X | | |
| 6. Data recording media             | X   |   |   |
| 7. Availability of caregivers       | X   |   |   |

Poor: When the situation is deemed inadequate with an Absolute Necessity of Improvement (ANI).
Fair: When the situation is considered acceptable, but deserves to be Improved (I).
Good: When the situation judged is good, Good performance (G).

3. Results

3.1. Inventory of the structure

The inventory allowed us to make a synthesis by carrying 3 kinds of judgments: bad, fair, and Good is summarized in Table 1. It is clear that the dysfunctions noted required is an absolute need to improve the technical platform. These dysfunctions result in a postponement of 27.3% (n = 38) of scheduled surgical procedures for gastrointestinal diseases.

3.2. Characteristics of caregivers

During the study period, we interviewed 56 caregivers involved in the perioperative management. The age of caregivers ranged from 27 to 58 years with an average of 38.6 ± 8.7 years. The male sex predominated with 60.7% (n = 34), a sex ratio of 1.54. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of caregivers by age group and gender. By marital status, married, single, divorced and widowed were respectively 76.8% (n = 43), 17.8% (n = 10), 3.6% (n = 2) and 1, 8% (n = 1).

According to the qualifications, 89.3% (n = 50) were non-physicians and 10.7% (n = 6) were physicians. State registered nurse (n = 28) and certified nurses (n = 12) accounted for 80% (n = 40) of all nurses, while Senior Nurse (SN) comprised 20% (n = 10). The duration of professional practice at the HNZ ranged from 3 to 35 years with a median of 9 years (IQR: 7–13.5 years). Almost 52% of caregivers (n = 29) did not know the definition and content of perioperative care. The main difficulties encountered in perioperative management were: the lack of training in 82.1% (n = 46), the inadequacy of adapted equipment in 66.1% (n = 37). Lack of motivation was noted in 58.9% of agents (n = 33) and overwork was reported by 42.9% of providers (n = 24). Correct practice of perioperative management was statistically associated with occupational qualification (OR = 8.04 [1.95–33.08], p = 0.0026). The poor practice of care was related to insufficient equipment (OR = 3.55 [1.10–11.50], p = 0.030), lack of
motivation (OR = 4.57 [1.45–14.69], p = 0.007) and overwork (OR = 4.04 [1.30–12.58], p = 0.013). However, the length of professional exercise of non-physician caregivers was not statistically associated with the knowledge and application of standard norms in the perioperative PEC (Kruskal-Wallis H = 2.96, p = 0.0853).

3.3. Characteristics of patients

A total of 481 operative procedures performed, 253 patients underwent gastrointestinal surgery (52.6%). Patients were from rural areas with difficulty of geographical access to hospital in 78.2% (n = 198). The age of our patients ranged from 0 to 93 years with a median age of 24 years (IQR: 10–45 years). The pediatric population aged 0 to 15 represented 39.9% (n = 101). The male sex accounted for 69.96% (n = 177) with a sex ratio of 2.32. Table 2 shows us the distribution of patients by age and sex. The distribution of patients by admission mode shows that direct consultations, evacuations and referrals accounted respectively for 48.6% (n = 123), 27.7% (n = 70) and 23.7% (n = 60). Patients were classified ASA1 in 37.5% (n = 95) and ASA2 in 32.4% (n = 82). In this series, class III (contaminated) and IV (dirty) of the Altemeir classification accounted for 55.7% (n = 141), Fig. 2. Surgical emergency was required in 60% (n = 152) while elective surgery was performed in 40% of cases (n = 101). Emergency surgery was performed within 1–8 h of admission in 80.9% (123/152). General anesthesia was used in 75.9% (n = 192) and locoregional anesthesia in 24.1% (n = 61). Laparotomy was the main surgical approach performed in 74.7% (n = 189). Digestive ostomies were performed in 28.9% (n = 73), followed by hernia repair and appendectomy in 24.5% (n = 62) and 13.8% (n = 35). Table 3 gives us the distribution of surgical procedures and operative indications.

In this series, the surgical safety checklist as recommended by WHO was not completed in the operating room. More than 25% (n = 65) of cases were malnourished, but only 18.5% (n = 12) of them had received a perioperative nutritional supply. The dysfunctions in perioperative physical and psychological management are listed in Table 4. Perioperative whole blood transfusion was done in 33.6% of patients (n = 85), including 52 cases of peritonitis, 12 cases of abdominal trauma, 11 cases of intestinal obstruction and 11 cases of colorectal tumors.

The postoperative outcomes were uneventful in 71.9% (n = 182) of cases. Complications were recorded in 28.1% of cases (n = 71) including 15 deaths (5.1%). Emergency surgery accounted for 83.3% (11/13) of death. The two deaths recorded in elective surgery were tumors. The complications distributed according to Clavien-Dindo are shown in Table 5. Among patients with ostomies, 15.1% (11/73) had psychological complications related to loss of self-esteem and body image (n = 4), anxiety (n = 5) and even depression (n = 2). The ASA3 and ASA4 scores, undernutrition, emergency surgery, poor postoperative monitoring, and psychological unpreparedness were all associated with complicated postoperative outcomes (p < 0.05). Association between perioperative factors and postoperative complications are shown in Table 6.

4. Discussion

This study, carried out in ZNH, allowed to diagnose the material dysfunctions, identify the difficulties of healthcare workers in perioperative management of gastrointestinal surgery. Indeed, the insufficiently of materials, drugs and consumables requires an absolute improvement. Strengthening the capacity of surgical services in hospitals is essential to reduce the burden of surgical gastrointestinal diseases [1,6–8,19–22]. This gloomy situation is already described in many low-income countries [2–8,21]. On the other hand, the study founded the associated perioperative factors of morbidity and mortality. The occurrence of these complications is an important element in assessing the quality of care. In sub-Saharan Africa, gastrointestinal surgery is a challenge and lead to higher morbidity and mortality [2–9,19]. Several elements in this study show insufficient motivation of

Table 2  Distribution of patients by age and sex.

| Age group (years) | Gender | Total (%) |
|------------------|--------|-----------|
|                  | Female | Male      |           |
| 0–15             | 34     | 67        | 101 (39.9)|
| 16–30            | 14     | 41        | 55 (21.7) |
| 31–45            | 13     | 28        | 41 (16.2) |
| 46–60            | 10     | 26        | 36 (14.2) |
| More than 60     | 5      | 15        | 20 (7.9)  |
| Total (%)        | 76 (30.04) | 177 (69.96) | 253 (100) |
caregivers; yet, it remains irrefutable that the motivation of the staff contributes to an organization’s performance [2–8,20,21]. At this level, we note a lack of will on the part of political decision-makers and the government to allocate more resources in the health field. Indeed, improving our hospitals first requires strengthening the technical platform in terms of human resources and equipment. There is also a need for a more equitable distribution and allocation of health workers, taking into account the number of the population and their health needs.

Currently, the concept of quality of care in surgery concerns both developed and developing countries [2–4,8,23–26]. Since 2008, there has been renewed interest in the scientific community in quality of care, patient-centered care, surgical safety, and access to essential and emergency care in surgery [1,5,6,21].

The lack of continuing training and the educational level of caregivers were statistically associated with poor perioperative care practice (P < 0.05) in our study. However, seniority in professional practice was not associated with good perioperative management (p > 0.05). This situation can be explained in our institution, on the one hand, by the large number of certified nurses (short training) and, on the other hand, by the lack of capacity building leading to adopt a bad routine in the practice of care. In their study, Gordon et al. [26] demonstrated that long hospital experience is associated with good practice and a significant decrease in hospital mortality from digestive surgery. Beyond the level of training and compliance with standards of care, the surgical management of gastrointestinal diseases must be carried out in a global approach centered on the patient in its psychological and physical component, taking into account its overall perioperative condition [11–13,22,27–30]. This includes the involvement of multidisciplinary actors in a good organization [12,13,23,31].

### Table 3

| Indications | Number (%) |
|-------------|------------|
| Emergency surgery: 60% (n = 152) | | |
| Peritonitis | 84 (55.2) |
| Mechanical intestinal obstruction | 31 (25.3) |
| Appendicitis | 24 (9.5) |
| Abdominal trauma | 13 (5.1) |
| Elective surgery: 40% (n = 101) | | |
| Hernia | 62 (24.5) |
| Incisional hernia | 13 (5.1) |
| RDC* | 13 (5.1) |
| Tumour colorectal | 11 (4.3) |
| Fistula anale | 2 (0.8) |
| Surgical procedures | | |
| Laparotomy (n = 189) | | |
| Ileostomies | 58 (22.9) |
| Colostomies | 15 (5.9) |
| Ileal resection and anastomosis | 25 (9.9) |
| Ileal suture | 11 (4.3) |
| Appendectomy | 35 (13.8) |
| Right hemicolectomy | 5 (2) |
| Left colectomy | 8 (3.2) |
| Splenectomy | 5 (2) |
| Incisional hernia repair, *Others | 13 (5.1) |
| Hernia repair (n = 62) | 62 (24.5) |
| Fistulaectomy (2) | 2 (0.8) |

*a RDC: Restoration of digestive continuity.
*Others: Haemostasis (n = 5), gastric sutures (n = 4), peritoneal toilette (n = 4).

### Table 4

| Perioperative items evaluated | Number (%) |
|------------------------------|------------|
| Perioperative Nutrition with RUTFa | 12/65 (18.5) |
| Psychological preparation | 66/253 (26.1) |
| Preoperative shaving | 16/75 (21.3) |
| Preoperative shower | 75/253 (29.6) |
| Preoperative fast (> 4 h) | 208/253 (82.2) |
| Checking the operative kit | 211/253 (83.4) |
| Thromboprophylaxis | 22/152 (14.5) |
| PACb | 253/253 (100) |
| Correction of anemia | 85/85 (100) |
| Antibio prophylaxis | 112/112 (100) |
| Antibiotic therapy | 130/141 (92.2) |
| Intraoperative analgesia | 211/253 (83.4) |
| OpRc | 198/253 (78.3) |
| Good postoperative monitoring | 145/253 (57.3) |
| Postoperative analgesia | 245/253 (96.8) |
| Good hygiene and comfort care | 11/253 (4.3) |
| Bladder drainage | 130/170 (76.5) |
| Nasogastric tube | 121/150 (80.7) |

a RUTF: Ready-to-use therapeutic food used pre or postoperatively by enteral route.

* PAC: Pre-anesthetic consultation.

* OpR: Operative report.

### Table 5

| Postoperative course | Number (%) |
|----------------------|------------|
| Uneventful | 182 (71.9) |
| Complications (Clavien-Dindo grade) | 71 (28.1) |
| Grade I Superficial surgical site infection | 31 |
| Grade II Surgical site infection + anemia | 10 |
| Grade III Deep surgical site infection | 8 |
| Grade IV Postoperative peritonitis with renal failure | 5 |
| Grade V Death | 13 (5.1) |
stay, the mortality and the cost of the care [2,3,12,14]. Currently, in sub-Saharan Africa, even when patients are in good general status and have a low operative risk, complications and postoperative deaths are higher than in the rest of the world [6,8,9,32].

Most studies in sub-Saharan Africa, patients are young, but often admitted late in an emergency setting [1,4,6–10,20]. In this study, the median age of our patients was 20 years and 60% of the interventions already reported in previous publications [9,10]. This delay is multifactorial cause; sometimes related to the socio-economic status of patients, the difficulty of accessibility or the failure of peripheral care facilities [1–4,6–10]. The majority of our patients came from disadvantaged rural areas. Lachand [6] reported in this regard: "The insufficiency of the surgical care supply is even more marked for the rural populations, which are still in the majority. Because it is not enough to know the existence of a high-performance hospital, it is also necessary to be able to access it quickly" [6]. The majority of our patients were from rural areas (79.1%) and over 94% of gastrointestinal surgical conditions supported in this study corresponds to “essential” surgery and emergency surgery. These conditions can be prevented or managed before complications occur [6,8].

Nowadays, nonsurgical perioperative aspects are of increasing interest to surgeons as well as surgical procedures [11,22,30]. In this study, the perioperative preparation was not optimal and many recommendations are not respected faults of equipment, consumables, lack of knowledge or organization. Admittedly, inputs such as parenteral nutrition, immuno-nutrition, products of mechanical preparation of the colon are often missing in our context, but the checklist, other elements of physical and psychological preparation can be used to reduce complications. Numerous studies have shown the importance of perioperative care and the use of the checklist to reduce the morbidity and mortality of digestive surgery [3–8,13,19]. Adherence to the essential objectives of surgical safety checklist recommended by WHO remains a challenge in our hospital. In this study, vulnerable or essential objectives of surgical safety checklist recommended by WHO were statistically associated with the occurrence of complications (p < 0.05). Assessment of nutritional status and correction of undernutrition is essential before any major surgery [12,13,28,32]. The undernutrition and anemia are frequently diagnosed before gastrointestinal surgery and are associated with a significant risk of postoperative complications [13,28,32]. More than 33% of our patients had received a blood transfusion for chronic and/or acute anemia. The presence of this was associated with the occurrence of complications (p < 0.05). In our settings, poor psychological preparation of patients is provided by unqualified staff. This perioperative management is not only concerned with surgical procedures and traditional nursing [11,22,28,31,33]. Other aspects, such as the consideration of psychological aspects, are of paramount importance [22,23]. In our study, psychological complications were associated with poor preoperative preparation of patients with digestive ostomy. The majority of these stress, anxiety, distress, depression, loss of body image [27–30]. These psychological repercussions could be reduced by psychological support [28,33]. All these elements of perioperative management demonstrate that the ultimate goal is not only to ensure patient survival [8,11,24,27,33]. All caregivers should provide patient-centered care responsibly, effectively and efficiently. This will preserve the physical, psychosocial and cognitive integrity, but also a satisfaction of patient [13,24,28,30,33]. The quality of care providers’ communication with patients is an important element of patient satisfaction in the perioperative care process [24,33]. The introduction of a quality assurance system in our hospital is an approach that would lead to improved perioperative management. For this change too successful, there must also be the availability of resources, a reorganization of the perioperative follow-up as recommended by numerous studies and regular follow-up to provide solutions to everyday problems [1–4,6–8,20,23].

5. Conclusion

Perioperative care in gastrointestinal surgery involves evaluating the structure, process and outcomes of management. This study allowed us to identify, on the one hand, the lack of materials and consumables, the lack of continuous training and motivation, the lack of knowledge of standards. On the other hand, perioperative information has made it possible to identify several risk factors for complications such as undernutrition, anemia, lack of psychological preparation, grade of contamination. This study can be a draft that would guide our hospital towards a improvement approach of the quality of care. Successful change also requires the availability of resources, the detection and correction of risk factors and regular monitoring to address issues raised by patients and caregivers.
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