Efficacy and tolerability on melasma of a topical cosmetic product acting on melanocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells: a randomized comparative trial against 4% hydroquinone
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Abstract

Background Recent data demonstrated that an altered basal membrane, activated melanocytes and secreted factors from keratinocytes but also fibroblasts and endothelial cells are involved in the pathophysiology of melasma.

Objectives To evaluate the efficacy and tolerability on melasma of a new topical skin-lightening cosmetic product combination (CCP) targeting several factors identified to be involved in melasma pathogenesis compared to 4% hydroquinone (HQ).

Methods Forty-three women with melasma were enrolled in a 12-week double-blind, randomized, parallel-group trial and treated with CCP or 4% HQ cream. Efficacy was evaluated with the modified Melasma Area Severity Index (mMASI) score and colorimetric change. Cutaneous tolerability and patient satisfaction were also investigated.

Results The mMASI score decreased for both products from baseline and over the study period. At week 12, 90% of the subjects who received the combination products had an improvement in pigmentation vs. 79% with HQ. Similarly, both products significantly increased Individual Typological Angle parameters. For both measures, no statistically significant difference was observed between CCP and HQ in terms of change from baseline. CPP was very well tolerated.

Conclusions Cosmetic product combination is as effective as HQ in the management of facial dyspigmentation and represents a safe alternative.
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Introduction
Melasma is one of the most common pigmentation disorders.1 Data reported the past decade clearly showed that melasma is a much more complex disorder than expected.2 Beyond ultraviolet (UV) exposure, the role of high energy visible light through a specific receptor called Opsin 3 was shown to stimulate pigmentation but also to participate in melasma relapses.3–6 Importantly, while current approaches mostly target the production of melanin by melanocytes, recent data demonstrated that keratinocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells secreted factors which stimulate melanogenesis and strongly impact the development and persistence of melasma lesions.2 Thus, beyond a hyperpigmentation, altered basal membrane,7,8 elastosis9 and increased vascularization10,11 are observed in melasma lesions.
Fibroblast-secreted factors, such as WIF1\textsuperscript{12} or sFRP\textsuperscript{23} are differentially expressed in melasma skin and affect pigmentation. Endothelial cells produce endothelin 1 which consequently acts by stimulating the endothelin receptor B (EDNRB) at the surface of melanocytes, thus activating melanogenic pathways.\textsuperscript{14} These data have strong therapeutic significance as most of the current approaches focus only on melanocytes.

Despite a strong therapeutic demand, the treatment of melasma remains highly challenging with inconsistent results and almost constant relapses. Topical treatments are typically the first-line therapies for melasma, among which hydroquinone (HQ) is the most widely used skin depigmenting product and is considered as the gold standard for the treatment of melasma.\textsuperscript{1} However, several studies have pointed to the long-term risks of skin damage associated with HQ, thus compromising its use in skin depigmentation products. HQ has been associated with frequently irritant dermatitis and exogenous ochronosis.\textsuperscript{15,16} Of note, ochronosis only occurs when HQ is used for long period of time and without photoprotection. Thus, Kligman’s trio, combining HQ, topical tretinoin and topical steroids, remains the best therapeutic approach for melasma. However, maintenance therapy with safer products which can be used during the sunny seasons and over a long period of time is lacking. To this respect, several cosmetic formulations were proposed. Surprisingly, despite the increasing data emphasizing the importance of a global approach for treating melasma, most of the products keep on targeting only melanocytes. Moreover, while some compounds showed interesting in vitro or ex vivo results, most of them were not tested in prospective clinical trials. For the rare products that were tested clinically, almost none has been compared to 4% HQ, which remains the gold standard depigmenting agent. A cosmetic depigmenting cream has thus been developed combining compounds targeting several factors identified to be involved in melasma pathogenesis. The objective of the study was to compare the efficacy and tolerability of this skin-lightening combination cosmetic product (CCP) with 4% HQ in the management of melasma.

**Methods**

**Population**

This study was conducted on 43 otherwise healthy women, aged between 18 and 60 years, with a skin phototype IV–V and melasma, as determined by clinical and Wood’s light examination. Exclusion criteria included pregnant or nursing women, subjects with a cutaneous pathology on the study zone, individuals who have been excessively exposed to sunlight or UV-rays within the previous month and subjects having used topical or systemic treatment during the previous 4 weeks liable to interfere with the assessment of the cutaneous acceptability of the study product. All subjects enrolled in another clinical trial during the study period were also excluded from the study.

**Study design**

This was a 12-week double-blind, randomized, parallel-group clinical trial performed between February and June 2018 in a CRO in Mauritius. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of the two treatment groups (balanced ratio allocation). The randomization scheme was generated using the PLAN procedure in the SAS software (Version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) and it used permuted block randomization with a block size of 4. The appropriate containers were labelled sequentially by the project manager as per the randomization list and kept under key until the assignment to subjects. No blinded party had access to the products or randomization list. The enrolment of the participants was performed by the dermatologist and the technician distributed the prerandomized containers in accordance with the generated list. Neither the dermatologist nor the subjects knew which products have been assigned.

In the intervention group (CCP group), subjects received the combination of cosmetic products: Neotone\textsuperscript{®} serum once daily in the evening and Neotone\textsuperscript{®} Radiance SPF 50+ (ISISPHARMA, Lyon, France) once daily in the morning. In the control group (HQ group), subjects received 4% HQ cream once daily in the evening and an SPF 50+ cream once daily in the morning. Importantly, the sunscreen provided in the HQ group was the same as Neotone\textsuperscript{®} Radiance SPF 50+ without the depigmenting compounds. In addition, all the subjects were advised to use a sunscreen without any skin-lightening components, twice daily.

All the subjects were instructed to apply the products from baseline for a period of 12 weeks and as recommended by the manufacturer on the face, neck and neckline, avoiding eye area. Evaluation of the two treatments modalities was performed at baseline, week 6 and week 12. No changes to methods have been made after trial commencement.

The study received the approbation of a private and independent ethics committee on 01/30/18. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Committee on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to the study.

**Tested products**

Products used in the CPP group consisted in a combination of two hypopigmenting formulea:

- Neotone\textsuperscript{®} Serum (ISISPHARMA).
- Neotone\textsuperscript{®} Radiance (ISISPHARMA) SPF 50+ protection UVA 67.2/UVB 73.4.

Products used in the HQ group consisted in:

- HQ serum: same formulation as Neotone\textsuperscript{®} serum but the
• SPF 50+ cream: same formulation as Neotone® Radiance, without the actives but with the same UV filters.

Both groups also used a SPF50+ invisible fluid (Uveblock Invisible Spf 50+ Light Fluid Cream; ISISPHARMA) twice a day during the rest of day.

**Efficacy assessments**

Efficacy of the studied products was evaluated at baseline, week 6 and week 12 based on the following parameters.

**mMASI score** The main criteria of evaluation were the severity assessed using the modified Melasma Area Severity Index (mMASI) score.\(^\text{17}\)

**Facial imaging** Digital images of the face of all subjects were captured under the same visible light and UV light conditions with the Visia\(^\text{®}\) (Canfield Imaging Systems, Fairfield, NJ, USA) complexion analysis system at the different time points to document changes in facial pigmentation.

**Colorimetric measurement** The forehead and cheeks were assessed for pigment lightening using the CM-2500d Spectrophotometer (Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) as a Chromameter. Skin colour measurements were assessed with the L*a*b* system. The Individual Typological Angle (ITA°), which defines the skin pigmentation degree of a subject, was calculated in the lesional and non-lesional areas.

**Assessment of cutaneous tolerability**

The dermatologist evaluated the face of each subject at baseline and after product use (week 6 and week 12), for the following clinical signs: erythema, oedema, desquamation, dryness and roughness, using a 5-point scale (none, very mild, mild, moderate and severe). In addition, the subjects assessed the cutaneous tolerability of the product with physical parameters including

---

**Figure 1** Enrolment flow diagram.
tightness, stinging, itching, warmth and burning, using the same 5-point scale.

**Self-assessment questionnaire**

Each subject of the study completed a self-assessment questionnaire on week 6 and week 12 to evaluate the properties of the studied products, their global efficacy, as well as their future use.

No changes to trial outcomes have been made after trial beginning.

**Statistical methods**

Considering an expected mean MASI at baseline of 8 and a SD of 2 after 12 weeks of treatment, with a α risk of 0.05 and a power of 90%; in order to demonstrate an intragroup improvement of melasma assessed by MASI of at least 25% after 12 weeks, the number of patients in each group was 22. Analyses were performed for both the intention-to-treat (ITT) and the per protocol (PP) populations. Standard descriptive statistics including Number of values, Mean, Median, Standard deviation, Standard error of the mean, Minimum and Maximum value, Variation (Δ) and Percentage Variation (Δ%) were calculated for quantitative data. To assess the change from baseline on week 6 and week 12 in each group and to compare the products for each change from baseline (week 6-baseline and week 12-baseline), an unstructured variance-covariance matrix (UN) was set based on a mixed ANOVA model for repeated measures. The mean changes and proportions were calculated along with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All differences were considered to be statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA, USA) and SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

**Demographics and baseline characteristics**

A total of 50 subjects were enrolled in the study, of which seven subjects were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Of the 43 subjects who were randomized to the two treatment groups, 39 subjects completed the 12-week study and were included in the PP analysis. Two patients were lost to follow-up and two other patients were excluded from the PP analysis due to major protocol violations (Fig. 1). The subjects were all females, with an average age of 51 (±1) years. When assessed using Wood’s lamp examination, all the patients had an increased contrast of their lesions and were classified as mostly epidermal. Most of the studied population was Indian (52%). Overall, 62% of the subjects had a skin phototype IV and 38% had a phototype of V (Table 1).

**Efficacy assessments**

**mMASI score evaluation** Both CCP and HQ induced a statistically significant decrease in the mMASI score from baseline and over the study period with a confidence interval of 95% (CI 95%). In the CCP group, the mean mMASI score decreased by 43% on week 12 ($P = 0.004$), vs. 37% in the HQ group ($P = 0.0005$). No statistically significant difference was observed between CCP and HQ over the study period, in terms of change on a mixed ANOVA model for repeated measures. The mean changes and proportions were calculated along with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All differences were considered to be statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA, USA) and SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC, USA).
from baseline (Fig. 2). By week 12, 90% of the subjects in the CCP group had an improvement in pigmentation spots vs. 79% of the subjects in the HQ group (Table S2, Supporting Information). Similar results were obtained for the ITT population analysis, with a slight difference in magnitude (Table S3, Supporting Information).

**Colorimetric assessment** Skin pigmentation degree on melasma lesion, as determined by the ITA parameter, significantly increased by 25% \((P = 0.001)\) on week 6 (vs. 50% for HQ, \(P < 0.001\)) and 51% \((P < 0.001)\) on week 12 with CCP (vs. 71% for HQ, \(P < 0.001\)). Comparison between lesional area to unaffected skin was also assessed using ITA parameter and showed a significant increase of 3% \((P = 0.012)\) on week 6 (vs. 19% for HQ, \(P < 0.0005\)) and 42% \((P < 0.0005)\) on week 12 with CCP (vs. 17% for HQ, \(P < 0.0005\)). However, no statistically significant difference was observed between CCP and HQ over the study period, in terms of change from baseline (Tables 2 and 3). Similar results were obtained for the ITT population analysis (Tables S4 and S5, Supporting Information).

**Cutaneous tolerability** Overall, CCP was very well tolerated at the cutaneous level over the 12 weeks. Using a 5-point scale (none, very mild, mild, moderate, severe), in the CCP group, only one subject reported functional signs of mild burning sensation on the bilateral cheeks 2-3 s after product application in the evening for 5 days in week 6 during <5 min. This was judged as not relevant by the investigator. In the HQ group, only one subject reported physical signs of mild acneiform lesions on the cheeks observed from week 6 to week 12. These lesions were also observed by the investigator during the study and retained as not relevant.

**Self-assessment questionnaires** Subjects appreciated both products for their general characteristics and properties, but also for their efficacy. In each group, they were 90% or more to found that the number and intensity of spots and hyperpigmentation areas were reduced, they noticed that their complexion was unified, brighter and radiant.

**Discussion** In the present study, we evaluated the effect of a skin-lightening combination cosmetic product (CCP) in comparison with the gold standard HQ at 4% in melasma treatment. Our findings demonstrated that CCP is effective in improving melasma severity when used together with daily sun protection. While HQ acts on melanogenesis by inhibiting tyrosinase leading to a defect in melanosomes formation,19,20 CCP contains five complementary actives acting synergistically to restore the cutaneous homeostasis and targeting some major pathways involved in melasma: calcium flux regulation, tyrosinase function, WNT pathway, melanosomal transfer and endothelin 1 production.14,21,22 With the improved understanding of the several complex pathways involved in melanogenesis, newly developed skin-lightening products should combine more than one agent, targeting multiple pathways and contributing factors.2 Two of the ingredients of the CCP treatment, namely licorice extract and niacinamide, are derived from botanical

---

### Table 2 Colorimetric changes of melasma from baseline to week 12 for the two studied groups (P.P. population) – Comparison before/after on lesional area

|                | ITA\(^a\) |            |        |        | HQ group |            |        |        |
|----------------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|--------|--------|
|                | **Mean ± SEM** | **Change from baseline** | \(\Delta\%\) on the mean | **Mean ± SEM** | **Change from baseline** | \(\Delta\%\) on the mean |
| **CCP group**  |           |            |        |        |          |            |        |        |
| Baseline       | –22.27 (±3.82) | –          | –      | –      | –21.37 (±3.66) | –          | –      | –      |
| Week 6         | –16.52 (±3.32) | 5.75 (±1.44) | +26%   | –10.58 (±3.06) | 10.79 (±2.00) | +50%      |        |        |
| Week 12        | –10.95 (±3.10) | 11.32 (±1.56) | +51%   | –6.21 (±2.81)  | 15.16 (±1.93) | +71%      |        |        |

All changes were statistically significant \(P < 0.005\). CCP, cosmetic product combination; HQ, hydroquinone; ITA\(^a\), Individual Typological Angle.

---

### Table 3 Colorimetric changes of melasma from baseline to week 12 for the two studied groups (P.P. population) – Comparison lesional/non-lesional skin

|                | ITA\(^a\) |            |        |        | HQ group |            |        |        |
|----------------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|        |        |
|                | **Lesional (mean ± SEM)** | **Non-lesional (mean ± SEM)** | \(\Delta\%\) on the meanlesional/non-lesional | **Lesional (mean ± SEM)** | **Non-lesional (mean ± SEM)** | \(\Delta\%\) on the meanlesional/non-lesional |
| **CCP group**  |           |            |        |        |          |            |        |        |
| Baseline       | –22.27 (±3.82) | –5.33 (±2.47) | –      | –21.37 (±3.66) | –4.78 (±3.18) | –      |        |        |
| Week 6         | –16.52 (±3.32) | –4.12 (±2.59) | +3%    | –10.58 (±3.06) | –2.87 (±2.94) | +10%   |        |        |
| Week 12        | –10.95 (±3.10) | –4.87 (±2.50) | +42%   | –6.21 (±2.81)  | –2.20 (±2.78) | +17%   |        |        |

All changes were statistically significant \(P < 0.005\). CCP, cosmetic product combination; HQ, hydroquinone; ITA\(^a\), Individual Typological Angle.
and natural extracts that proved to be safe and effective in the management of melasma.\textsuperscript{23} The diacetylboldine is also a natural extract that acts on melanogenesis by decreasing the calcium flux and the PKC pathway. CCP also contains a biomimetic peptide acting as DKK1 thus inhibiting the WNT pathway.\textsuperscript{21,22} Additionally, CCP formulated with a biomimetic active that downregulates the production of endothelin 1 which is responsible for the hyperpigmentation induced by endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{14} Interestingly, ITA\textsuperscript{5} measurements on lesional vs. non-lesional skin showed a significant increase in both areas with HQ while, with CPP, only the lesional skin had a significant ITA\textsuperscript{5} increase. As a result, the mean difference between lesional and non-lesional skin improved by 42\% with CCP product compared to only 17\% in the HQ group (see example in Fig. 3). Such a difference could probably be explained by the fact that HQ is a potent inhibitor of melanogenesis that acts on all melanocytes. Conversely, CCP targets the altered pathways of melasma rather than only decreasing melanogenesis, and thus mostly regulates the lesional skin. Importantly, no adverse events related to CCP treatment were observed throughout the study period and the subjects clearly recognized the beneficial effects, assessed with both the cutaneous tolerability evaluation and the self-assessment questionnaires. HQ treatment was not associated to any intolerability complaint during this study, although skin reactions with HQ were reported in 18\% to 50\% of the subjects in the literature, depending on the population.\textsuperscript{24} This could be explained by the short-term use of the treatment—12 weeks vs. 12 months in published studies reporting safety issues. Longer studies are therefore required to assess the long-term tolerance of CCP vs. HQ. In our study, 52\% of the subjects were Indian. Management of melasma in darker skin populations is particularly challenging because they are more prone to pigmentary alteration.\textsuperscript{25} In India, 20–30\% of 40–65 years old women present a facial melasma and current treatments remain unsatisfactory.\textsuperscript{26} The present study was conducted in a single centre on a relative limited number of patients. It would be of interest to determine whether the long-term use would further improve melasma lesions. Similarly, a longer application of the products would have been of interest as the long-term use of 4\% HQ has proved to be poorly tolerated. We also didn’t assess the potential relapses of the lesions after discontinuation of the two treatments. However, the study was designed to assess the efficacy of this combination of cosmetic compounds targeting different pathways involved in melasma to the gold standard 4\% HQ. Moreover, such a cosmetic approach is not designed for replacing the Kligman’s trio that remains the gold standard care for the first months of treatment but should be positioned for mild cases or for maintenance therapy after the Trio in order to prevent the relapses. Despite the above-mentioned limitations, we believe that the use the mMASI score, performed on standardized pictures with blinded evaluation, combined with objective colorimetric assessments, provide strong foundation to support the efficacy of this new combination of cosmetic products.

Our findings demonstrate that this new topical cosmetic combination is an effective and well tolerated treatment option for melasma and could be considered as a safe alternative to HQ. These results also support the importance of targeting the several pathways and cellular components involved in melasma to achieve optimal results.
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