Correlations between preoperative clinical factors and treatment outcome of spinal meningiomas – A retrospective study of a series of 31 cases
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ABSTRACT

Background: The purpose of the current study is to identify the correlations between the most important preoperative clinical factors and the outcome of surgery of spinal meningiomas (SM).

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of the medical history, clinical, paraclinical, neuroimaging, and surgical protocol data in 31 patients with SM who underwent surgical resection at our institution from January 2011 to July 2020. The degree of resection was assessed on the Simpson scale. The modified McCormick scale was used to monitor the effect and outcome of treatment at admission, discharge, and at further follow-up.

Results: The average age of the patients was 65 years (37-78). Vertebral pain and motor deficits were the most common initial symptoms that occurred in 26 (89.6%) and 29 (93.5%) patients, respectively. Sphincter disorders were found in 9 (29%) patients. Total resection (Simpson Grade I – II) was achieved in 29 patients (93.5%). We achieved a favorable outcome (McCormick Gr. I to III) in 93.3% of patients. The degree of the neurological deficit (P = 0.026) and the presence of sphincter disorders (P = 0.009) were the preoperative clinical factors that most significantly correlated with the outcome of treatment.

Conclusion: The outcome from the surgical treatment of SM correlated significantly with the degree of the preoperative neurological deficit. Therefore, patients presenting with more severe symptoms are expected to have worse outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal meningiomas (SM) represent about 1–2% of all meningiomas and 25–45% of all intradural extramedullary spinal tumors.²² Annual incidence of SM is 0.62 per 100,000 people.⁰ Most SMs are solitary and entirely intradural, but in about 10% of cases, they can be intra-extradural, and in extremely rare cases, purely extradural or intramedullary.⁶ SM are observed in all age groups but occur mostly between the 5th and 7th decade of life, with 75% of cases occurring in women, and approximately 80% are located in the thoracic spine.⁶,¹³ The upper cervical spine and foramen magnum are also common sites for SM occurrence.¹³ Subaxial cervical and lumbar meningiomas are relatively rare.³⁰ SMs are slow-growing neoplasms...
that cause clinical symptoms when reaching a size that causes compression to the spinal cord and/or nerve roots.[31] The typical clinical presentation begins with pain followed by sensory deficits, gait disturbance, and sphincter dysfunction.[18] Their size, ventral localization, and presence of calcifications still pose a challenge to neurosurgeons in successfully treating SMs.[28]

The purpose of the current study is to identify the correlations between the most important preoperative clinical factors and the outcome of surgery of SM.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of St Georgi University Hospital. The patient data from medical histories, clinical presentation, paraclinical tests, diagnostic imaging, and surgical protocols were retrospectively collected. Attention was paid to the symptoms at onset, clinical presentation at admission, neuroimaging data, localization, type of surgical intervention, histology, and outcome of the disease. The degree of resection was assessed on the Simpson scale.[32] The neurological deficit before and after surgical treatment was assessed by the Modified McCormick scale based on a retrospective chart review. The scale has five grades: (i) normal neurological status with or without minimal dysesthesia; (ii) mild motor or sensory deficit; (iii) moderate deficit with a slightly limited function, independent of foreign aid; (iv) severe motor or sensory deficit, dependent on foreign aid, and (v) paraplegia/quadruplegia.[19] The long-term outcome was also assessed by the Modified McCormick scale during outpatient visits. For statistical analysis, the modified McCormick Grades I – III were considered favorable, while Grades IV – V were deemed unfavorable.[14] Follow-up ranged from 1 to 105 months, median of 43 months, most were discontinued after 24 months.

**Statistical analysis**

The Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data and the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test to compare variables grouped in rank scales. The bilateral significance level was determined to be $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-one patients underwent 32 surgical interventions for SM at our institution from January 2011 to July 2020 (29 women [93.5%] and 2 men [6.5%] aged between 37 and 78 years, mean age 65 years). One patient underwent surgery for meningioma recurrence 4 years after the first operation. Twenty-eight (83.9%) of the tumors were intradural extramedullary, two (6.5%) had extradural extension, and one (3.2%) was intramedullary.

In 26 (83.9%) patients, the disease debuted with low back and/or back pain, and 29 (93.5%) patients had additional motor deficits that gradually progressed over time. Twenty-one of the patients (67.7%) had lower extremity paraparesis, 3 (9.7%) had lower extremity paraplegia, 4 (12.9%) had quadriparesis, and one (3.2%) had unilateral lower extremity paresis. Sphincter disorders were found in 9 (29%) patients. The period from the disease onset to its diagnosis varied from 1 to 36 months (median 6.0 months; IQR = 8.0). The clinical symptoms, the localization, and SM's location relative to the axial section of the spinal cord and cauda equina are presented in [Tables 1 and 2].

In 1 patient who was contraindicated to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) investigation, the diagnosis was achieved by computed tomography (CT)-assisted myelography [Figure 1]. In the remaining 30 patients, MRI was used to confirm the diagnosis [Figures 2-5].

We used posterior or posterolateral surgical access in all operated patients. The goal of surgery was total tumor removal. In 30 patients (96.8%), the access used was laminectomy and in one – hemilaminectomy. Total resection (Simpson Grade I and II) was achieved in 29 patients (93.5%) [Figure 6]. Subtotal resection was performed in one patient

**Table 1: Clinical symptoms at admission of patients with spinal meningiomas.**

| Clinical symptoms       | n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Vertebralgia             | 26 (83.9) |
| Radicular pain           | 13 (41.9) |
| Motor deficit            | 29 (93.5) |
| Sphincter disorders      | 9 (29) |

**Table 2: Localization and axial location of spinal meningiomas.**

| Localization       | n (%) |
|--------------------|-------|
| Cervical           | 5 (16.1) |
| Cervicothoracic    | 2 (6.5) |
| Thoracic           | 21 (67.7) |
| Thoracolumbar      | 2 (6.5) |
| Lumbar             | 1 (3.2) |

| Axial location      | n (%) |
|--------------------|-------|
| Ventral            | 2 (6.5) |
| Ventrolateral      | 7 (22.6) |
| Lateral            | 1 (3.2) |
| Dorso-lateral      | 14 (45.1) |
| Dorsal             | 7 (22.6) |
with high cervical meningioma that extended to the foramen magnum and engulfed the vertebral artery. Another patient underwent Simpson Grade III resection because the lesion was located intra- and extradurally, with infiltration and destruction of surrounding bone structures. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM) was used in one patient with thoracic intramedullary meningioma [Figure 5].

The histological result in 29 patients revealed WHO Grade I psammomatous type of meningioma. In one patient, the tumor had pronounced adjacent bone metaplasia [Figure 7]. In the rest two patients, the meningiomas were atypical (WHO Grade II) [Figure 8].

In 30 patients (96.8%), the postoperative period was uneventful. All patients had improvement in their neurological status. One patient developed hemorrhagic stroke at the second postoperative day, which spontaneously resorbed after conservative treatment for 17 days. The median hospital stay was 14.5 days (Min = 9.0; Max = 30.0; IQR = 10.25).

Preoperatively, the patients with McCormick Grade I-III were 16 (51.6%), while the remaining 15 (48.4%) were with McCormick Grade IV-V. After treatment, the number of patients with McCormick Grade I-III increased to 24 (77.4%), while those with McCormick Grade IV-V decreased to 7 (22.6%), and during follow-up, their number
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Further decreased to 2 (6.4%). At the time of admission and discharge, none of the patients had an intact neurological function and normal gait. At follow-up, there were 10 such patients (32.2%) [Table 3].

The statistical analysis found that only the degree of preoperative neurological deficit \( (P = 0.026) \) and the presence of sphincter disorders \( (P = 0.009) \) were significantly correlated to the outcome after treatment [Table 4].

**DISCUSSION**

SMs can be found along the entire spine axis, but primarily in the thoracic region and have a frequency of 0.5–2 per 100,000 people per year.[31] Sixty-four percent to 84% of SM are localized in the thoracic region with dorsal, dorsolateral, or lateral location; in 14–27%, they are localized in the cervical region, but with predominant ventral location, and in only 2–14% – in the lumbar region.[33] As seen in [Table 2], our results are consistent with this statement.

SMs with an intradural and extradural spread are observed in 5–6% of cases, while the intramedullary and purely epidural variants are extremely rare.[21,36] In our series, the first variant was observed in two of the cases (7.1%), while the intramedullary localization was found in 1 case (3.6%).
Our series confirms that SMs can be observed in all age groups. Twenty-three of the patients (79.3%) were between the 5th and 7th decade of their life, with 15 (51.7%) of them being older than 65 years. Due to the influence of specific sex hormones in tumorogenesis, meningiomas are much more common in women, with a female-to-male ratio ranging from 4:1 to 10:1. In our series, women with meningiomas were 93.5% or the female-to-male was 14.5:1.

In general, SMs are manifested clinically by symptoms of progressive radiculopathy and/or myelopathy.\textsuperscript{[2]} In 26 (83.9%) of our patients, the disease debuted with low back and/or back pain and gradually progressing motor deficit. According to Kleklamp and Samii, sphincter dysfunction is a late symptom that occurs in 15% to 40% of patients, a fact also observed in 29% of our patients.\textsuperscript{[13]} The time from the onset of complaints to the diagnosis varies from 12 to 24 months, but in the current series, this period averaged 10 months.\textsuperscript{[23]} MRI is the diagnostic tool of choice, with the ability to visualize the localization, size and axial position of the lesion, as well as the presence of concomitant spinal malformations, edema, or syrinx.\textsuperscript{[21]}

Typically, SMs in the current series appeared isointense or hypointense on T1 and T2 sequences, and enhanced homogeneously after gadolinium administration. As for others, we also found that the “dural tail sign” was present in about 50% of cases.\textsuperscript{[21]} The presence of calcifications in the tumor elicits “dark” signal on the T2 sequence, but they are better visualized by CT [Figure 4].\textsuperscript{[13]} Due to the extreme rarity of intramedullary meningiomas, their imaging characteristics have not been well studied, but in most cases they are isointense or hypointense on T1 and T2 sequences, with a homogeneous postgadolinium enhancement, as demonstrated here.\textsuperscript{[27]} Meningiomas are subdivided into 15 histological and cytomorphological subtypes, nine of which correspond to WHO Grade I, three

| McCormick at admission | n (%) | McCormick at discharge | n (%) | McCormick Grade at follow-up* | n (%) |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| Grade I                | 0 (0) | Grade I                | 0 (0) | Grade I                      | 10    |
| Grade II               | 2 (6.5) | Grade II               | 13 (41.9) | Grade II                    | 5 (16.1) |
| Grade III              | 14 (45.2) | Grade III              | 11 (35.5) | Grade III                   | 9 (29) |
| Grade IV               | 12 (38.7) | Grade IV               | 7 (22.6) | Grade IV                    | 2 (6.5) |
| Grade V                | 3 (9.6) | Grade V                | 0 (0) | Grade V                      | 0 (0) |
|                        |       | Patient was abroad     | 1 (3.2) |                              | 4 (12.9) |
|                        |       | 4 pts died (at 3, 6, 24, and 36 months postop) | |

*30 patients were followed because one patient was not reachable for assessment.

**Figure 7:** Psammomatous meningioma with adjacent bone metaplasia. Psammoma bodies are visible on the left, an area with bone metaplasia is outlined on the right (hematoxylin-eosin, ×200).

**Figure 8:** Atypical meningioma with bone metaplasia. Bone septa are visible on the left, an area of the tumor with pronounced cellular atypism is outlined on the right (hematoxylin-eosin, ×200).
Some authors question the real benefit from the use of IONM during intradural extramedullary tumor resection,[10] while others point that it is a valuable tool that minimizes surgical morbidity, especially in cases with tumors located in the craniovertebral junction or in anterior or anterolateral position and even in cases with tumors adherent to the spinal cord without a clear cleavage plane.[5,31] We applied IONM in only one patient from the current series who harbored intramedullary meningioma. Nevertheless, we favor the use of IONM during resection of intradural extramedullary tumors because of its potential to guarantee safer surgery and better outcomes.

Good or excellent outcome can be achieved in 79% to 98% of cases.[20] Of the 26 patients followed, we achieved a favorable outcome in 93.3% (McCormick I = 38.5%, McCormick II = 19.2%, and McCormick III = 34.6%). A brief overview of results and outcomes of treatment of SMs from the current and other published studies is presented in [Table 5].

Previous studies have found that factors such as ventral or ventrolateral axial tumor location, large tumor size, T2 cord signal changes, the presence of extensive calcifications, prolonged presentation before diagnosis, WHO grade > I, Simpson resection grade II, or higher can negatively affect the outcome because are associated with an increased risk of long-term morbidity.[9,17,20,24,26]

Despite the retrospective design, a relatively small number of patients and the data that were not statistically analyzed through multivariate analysis, this study found that the outcome of SM treatment was significantly correlated to the degree of the preoperative neurological deficit and the presence of sphincter disorders. A potential discrepancy in the current study is the fact that it demonstrated that more severe preoperative symptoms were associated with the poorer outcome while the length of time with symptoms had no significant effect on outcome.
CONCLUSION

Spinal surgeons should be aware of the insidious natural evolution of SM. Despite being benign lesions, SM can lead to permanent disability if left untreated. The outcome of surgical treatment of SMs is strongly correlated to the severity of the preoperative neurological deficit. Therefore, patients presenting with more severe symptoms are expected to have worse outcomes. However, further prospective studies, which include larger number of patients, are needed to elucidate the identified correlations.
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