An Interference Suppression Method for Non-Contact Bioelectric Acquisition
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Abstract: For non-contact bioelectrical acquisition, a new interference suppression method, named ‘noise neutralization method’, is proposed in this paper. Compared with the traditional capacitive driven-right-leg method, the proposed method is characterized with that there is an optimal gain to achieve the minimum interference output whatever for the electrode interface impedance mismatch caused by body motion and is more effective for smaller reference electrode areas. The performance of traditional capacitive driven-right-leg method is analyzed and the difficulty to suppress interference in the case of the interface impedance mismatch is pointed out. Therefore, a noise neutralization method is proposed by applying the reference electrode and a 50 Hz band-pass filter to obtain the interference of the human body and adapting the gains to neutralize the interference inputs of two acquisition electrodes and achieve the minimum interference output. The performance of the proposed method is theoretically analyzed and verified by the experiment results, which shows that the proposed method has similar performance to that of the traditional capacitive driven-right-leg method with electrode interface impedance match, while has better interference suppression ability with electrode interface impedance mismatch caused by body motion. It is suggested that the proposed method can be preferred in the case of limited reference electrode area or interface impedance mismatch.

Keywords: interference suppression; non-contact electrode; impedance mismatch; driven-right-leg; electrocardiogram; bioelectric acquisition

1. Introduction

It is well-known that, with the increasing demand for personal daily health monitoring, the study of wearable bioelectrical acquisition equipment is becoming increasingly popular. The quality of acquisition signals is the primary consideration, which is closely related to the condition of electrode interface. Needle electrodes or skin abrasions are required in early bioelectrical acquisition techniques in order to acquire high quality signals [1]. Nowadays, wet electrodes (i.e., Ag/AgCl electrodes) are usually used to acquire high quality signals. However, wet electrodes may cause skin irritation and allergic contact dermatitis [2–4], which leads to the difficulty for long-term bioelectricity acquisition. Therefore, capacitive electrodes are often used in wearable bioelectrical acquisition equipment [5–12]. Sun et al. defined the capacitive electrode as three types, dry electrodes, insulated electrodes, and non-contact electrodes [6]. Non-contact electrodes can measure surface potential through the clothes or other dielectrics, which can overcome the limitation of traditional wet electrode. To acquire high quality bioelectric signals by non-contact electrodes, various studies are carried out, involving electrode design and the front-end design [1–3,5,6,11]. It is pointed out that non-contact electrodes are very susceptible to power line common mode interference (CMI) due to the high impedance of electrodes [13–15], and larger CMI will cause lower signal to noise ratio. Moreover, the body motion may lead to interface impedance mismatch of the electrode, and part of CMI will be changed to pseudo difference mode.
components (PDMC) [6,16], this will degrade the signal quality further. Therefore, it is necessary to give more attention to suppress CMI of wearable bioelectric devices to acquire high quality signal [17–20].

For CMI suppression, the driven-right-leg (DRL) method has been widely used to suppress CMI by feeding back the reverse common mode signal from the front-end output to the driving electrode on the subject (human body) [8,19,21–23]. Our group Ding et al. proposed an improved front-end circuit with virtual DRL circuit and verified the design by three wearable ECG applications, portable finger ECG measurement, palm ECG acquisition for cycling and chest ECG test under different motion states [24]. Xu et al. proposed a front-end design to improve common mode rejection capability by combining both the feed-forward method and the DRL method [25]. Sakuma et al. proposed a circuit structure by connecting the driving electrode to the signal ground to suppress CMI [26]. For non-contact bioelectrical acquisition, Lim et al. proposed a capacitive ECG recording system with capacitive driven-right-leg (C-DRL) circuit integrated in the chair seat [15]. The C-DRL method is a variant of DRL method and is often used to improve common mode rejection ratio (CMRR) of non-contact bioelectrical acquisition, which is characterized with all electrodes contacting the body with isolation or clothes [14,19]. For C-DRL or DRL method, large feedback gain is usually required to obtain good suppression performance, this may destroy the stability of the front-end [21]. At the same time, large area of driving electrodes is often used in C-DRL or DRL method, which presents a difficulty of wearable device implementation [14,19,21].

On the other hand, PDMC is considered as part of motion artifacts. Serteyn et al. used an injection signal to track the change of coupling capacitance, so as to estimate and reduce motion artifacts in ECG measurement [27]. A three-axis accelerometer was used to record motion information for reducing motion artifacts reduction [28]. Rodrigues et al. uses neural networks to reconstruct ECG signals with severe motion artifacts [29]. The above methods are based on additional components or complex algorithms, which are difficult to implement.

For non-contact bioelectrical acquisition of wearable devices, a new interference rejection method, named noise neutralization method, is proposed in this paper. Compared with C-DRL or DRL method, the proposed method can effectively reduce the area of the driving electrode, and has a stronger ability to suppress interference CMI and PDMC with the electrode interface impedance mismatch caused by body motion. Firstly, the performance of traditional capacitive driven-right-leg method is analyzed and the difficulty to suppress interference in the case of the interface impedance mismatch is pointed out. Secondly, the noise neutralization method is proposed to suppress interference CMI and PDMC of non-contact electrodes and the performance is compared with that of C-DRL method. Finally, the feasibility of the proposed method is further verified by wearable ECG acquisition device.

2. Methods and Models

2.1. Traditional Capacitive Driven-Right-Leg Model for Eliminating Common Mode Interference

Figure 1 is an equivalent circuit model of the dual-electrode bioelectric acquisition equipment for CMI suppression with C-DRL method, where $V_P$ is the noise source of the power supply line, $V_{CM}$ is the CMI of the organism relative to the earth, $V'_{CM}$ is the CMI of the organism relative to signal ground, $C_P$ is the coupling capacitance between the power supply line and the organism, $C_B$ is the coupling capacitance between the earth and the organism, $C_S$ is the coupling capacitance between the earth and the signal ground, $Z_{E1}$ and $Z_{E2}$ are the interface impedances of the two acquisition electrodes, $Z_{E3}$ is the interface impedances of the driving electrode, $Z_{A1}$ and $Z_{A2}$ are the two equivalent input impedances of the front-ends, $V_{O1}$ and $V_{O2}$ are the outputs of the front-ends, and $k$ is the gain of the inversion amplifier.
when Electronics be written as increasing the coupling area of the driving electrode is the easiest way to reduce several factors affecting the impedance of the coupling capacitance of the driving electrode. Generally, increasing the coupling area of the driving electrode is the easiest way to reduce the gain of the inversion amplifier (|k|). However, too large a |k| may lead to the output saturation of the inverted amplifier. When the value of |k| is greater than 100, the performance will not be improved further [15]. Therefore, small $Z_{E3}$ is usually used to reduce $V'_{CM}$ in practical applications. There are several factors affecting the impedance of the coupling capacitance of the driving electrode. Generally, increasing the coupling area of the driving electrode is the easiest way to reduce $Z_{E3}$ and is widely used [2,15,16,19]. However, large area of the driving electrode is not convenient to minimize the wearable bioelectric acquisition equipment.

For the match of the electrode interface impedance, the output of differential amplifier $\Delta V_O$ can be written as

$$\Delta V_O = |V_{O1} - V_{O2}| = 0$$

Considering the existence of interface impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ between two electrodes, $Z_{E1}$ and $Z_{E2}$ can be expressed as

$$Z_E = Z_{E1} \cdot Z_{E2} = (1 + \alpha)Z_{E1},$$

Figure 1. Equivalent circuit model of the dual-electrode bioelectric acquisition equipment for CMI suppression with C-DRL method.
and for the mismatch of the electrode interface impedance, PDMC $\Delta V_O$ can be written as

$$\Delta V_O = \frac{Z_E + Z_S}{Z_P} \left[ \frac{Z_A}{Z_A + Z_E} - \frac{Z_A}{Z_A + (1+\alpha)Z_E} \right] V_P$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

If the area ratio of the acquisition electrode to the driving electrode is $\beta$, the interface impedance of the driving electrode satisfies

$$Z_{E3} = \beta Z_E.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

The analysis can be further simplified by

$$Z_A = Z_{A1} = Z_{A2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

$$Z_E = Z_{E1} = Z_{E2}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

For the non-contact electrode based on cotton material, the electrode interface impedance can be assumed as (11) [10].

$$Z_E = 305 \text{ M}\Omega||34 \text{ pF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Considering the power line with the amplitude 220 V and the frequency 50 Hz, the following relationship is reasonable [16,22].

$$Z_P = 100Z_B = 100Z_S = 1.6 \Omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

Generally, different front-end equivalent input impedances are required for different electrode interfaces in order to acquire high quality signals. According to American Standards [30], the relationship between the electrode interface impedance, the equivalent input impedance and the coupled capacitor impedance can be expressed by (13) and (14),

$$Z_A = 6.7Z_E,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$Z_B = 0.05Z_E.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

and PDMC $\Delta V_O$ of non-contact electrodes can be derived from (9)–(14).

$$\Delta V_O = \left[ \frac{6.7\alpha}{7.7/7+\alpha} \right] V_P$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

Figure 2 shows PDMC $\Delta V_O$ as function of the impedance mismatch coefficient of two acquisition electrodes with different areas of the driving electrode for C-DRL method, where the value of $|k|$ is 100 according to the setting in reference [16]. It can be seen that $\Delta V_O$ increases with the increase of impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ for constant $\beta$, decreases with the decrease of $\beta$ for constant $\alpha$, which means large area of the driving electrode is expected and this will limit the miniaturization of the wearable acquisition equipment.

Figure 3 shows PDMC $\Delta V_O$ as functions of the gain of the inversion amplifier and the impedance mismatch coefficient of two acquisition electrodes for C-DRL method, where the blue surface represents the case of $\beta$ being 1/30, the red surface represents the case of $\beta$ being 1 and the yellow surface represents the case of $\beta$ being 20. It can be seen that $\Delta V_O$ increases with the increase of the impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ for constant $\beta$ and $|k|$, decreases with the increase of $|k|$ in a certain range and is almost unchanged for $|k|$ larger than a certain value. $\Delta V_O$ can be further suppressed by the decrease of $\beta$ for constant $|k|$, which agrees on the performance shown in Figure 3. Therefore, it is difficult for C-DRL method to suppress CMI with small area of the driving electrode, especially under the situation of
the impedance mismatch of two acquisition electrodes. Therefore, a noise neutralization method is proposed to solve above difficulties.
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**Figure 2.** PDMC $\Delta V_\alpha$ as function of the impedance mismatch coefficient of two acquisition electrodes with different areas of the driving electrode for C-DRL method, where the value of $|k|$ is 100 according to the setting in [16]. It increases with the increase of the impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ for constant $\beta$ and $|k|$. PDMC can be further suppressed by the decrease of $\beta$ for constant $|k|$.

\[ \Delta V_\alpha \] (mV)

**Figure 3.** PDMC $\Delta V_\alpha$ as functions of the gain of the inversion amplifier and the impedance mismatch coefficient of two acquisition electrodes for C-DRL method. It increases with the increase of the impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ for constant $\beta$ and $|k|$, decreases with the increase of $|k|$ in a certain range and is almost unchanged for $|k|$ larger than a certain value. PDMC can also be further suppressed by the decrease of $\beta$ for constant $|k|$.

2.2. Noise Neutralization Method to Eliminate Common Mode Interference Model

Schematic diagram of the dual-electrode bioelectric acquisition equipment for CMI suppression with the proposed noise neutralization method is shown in Figure 4, including two acquisition
electrodes ($Z_{E1}$ and $Z_{E2}$ are the interface impedances) and corresponding front-ends $A_1$ and $A_2$ ($Z_{A1}$ and $Z_{A2}$ are the equivalent input impedances), a reference electrode ($Z_{E3}$ is the interface impedance), and corresponding front-end $A_3$ ($Z_{A3}$ is the equivalent input impedance) and processing circuits. The processing circuits include a 50 Hz band-pass filter, two variable gain amplifiers ($k_1$ and $k_2$ are the gains), a micro control unit (MCU), an analog to digital converter (ADC), and a differential amplifier. In addition, the parameters explanations ($V_P$, $V_{CM}$, $V'_{CM}$, $C_P$, $C_B$, and $C_S$) are the same with that in Figure 1. The dotted line area is the neutral part, which uses the reference electrode and a 50 Hz band-pass filter to obtain the CMI of the human body and adapts the gains $k_1$ and $k_2$ to vary the CMI amplitude to the input ends of the differential amplifier in order to neutralize the CMI from two acquisition electrodes and achieve the minimum CMI output of the differential amplifier. It should be noted that the signal from the acquisition electrode will be attenuated by two cascading resistors $Z_M$ and $Z_N$. Small attenuation requires large ratio of $Z_N$ to $Z_M$. In the following analysis, the ratio of $Z_N$ to $Z_M$ is set as 9.

Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the dual-electrode bioelectric acquisition equipment for CMI suppression with the proposed noise neutralization method.

Figure 5 is the equivalent circuit model of the proposed neutralization method.

Figure 5. Equivalent circuit model of the dual-electrode bioelectric acquisition equipment for CMI suppression with the proposed noise neutralization method.
According to Kirchhoff’s current law, the CMI of the organism relative to signal ground \( V'_{\text{CM}} \) and the outputs of the front-ends \( V_{\text{OA}} \) and \( V_{\text{OB}} \) can be expressed as

\[
V'_{\text{CM}} = \frac{Z_{P}}{Z_{P} + \frac{1}{Z_{a}} + \frac{1}{Z_{b}} + \frac{1}{Z_{e_{1}} + \frac{1}{Z_{e_{2}}} + \frac{1}{Z_{e_{3}}}}} V_{P},
\]

(16)

\[
V_{\text{OA}} = \frac{Z_{N_{1}}}{Z_{N_{1}} + Z_{N_{2}}} \left( A + \frac{Z_{S}}{Z_{b}} \right) + \frac{Z_{M_{1}}}{Z_{M_{1}} + Z_{N_{1}}} k_{1} \left( C + \frac{Z_{S}}{Z_{b}} \right) V_{P},
\]

(17)

\[
V_{\text{OB}} = \frac{Z_{N_{2}}}{Z_{M_{2}} + Z_{N_{2}}} \left( B + \frac{Z_{S}}{Z_{b}} \right) + \frac{Z_{M_{2}}}{Z_{M_{2}} + Z_{N_{2}}} k_{2} \left( C + \frac{Z_{S}}{Z_{b}} \right) V_{P},
\]

(18)

\[
A = \frac{Z_{A_{1}} Z_{b} - Z_{E_{1}} Z_{S}}{Z_{A_{1}Z_{b} + Z_{E_{1}} Z_{b}}} = \frac{Z_{A_{2}} Z_{b} - Z_{E_{2}} Z_{S}}{Z_{A_{2}Z_{b} + Z_{E_{2}} Z_{b}}} = \frac{Z_{A_{3}} Z_{b} - Z_{E_{3}} Z_{S}}{Z_{A_{3}Z_{b} + Z_{E_{3}} Z_{b}}}, C = \frac{Z_{A_{3}} Z_{b} - Z_{E_{3}} Z_{S}}{Z_{A_{3}Z_{b} + Z_{E_{3}} Z_{b}}},
\]

(19)

As can be seen from (17)-(19), the common component of \( V_{\text{OA}} \) and \( V_{\text{OB}} \) can be reduced to 0 by adjusting the gains \( k_{1} \) and \( k_{2} \) to optimal values, and the CMI will be suppressed fully. The optimal \( k_{1} \) and \( k_{2} \) can be expressed as \( k_{\text{optimal-1}} \) and \( k_{\text{optimal-2}} \) by (20) and (21).

\[
k_{\text{optimal-1}} = -\frac{Z_{N_{1}} Z_{A_{1}} (Z_{A_{3}} + Z_{E_{3}})}{Z_{M_{1}} Z_{A_{3}} (Z_{A_{1}} + Z_{E_{1}})},
\]

(20)

\[
k_{\text{optimal-2}} = -\frac{Z_{N_{2}} Z_{A_{2}} (Z_{A_{3}} + Z_{E_{3}})}{Z_{M_{2}} Z_{A_{3}} (Z_{A_{2}} + Z_{E_{2}})},
\]

(21)

Under the situation that the neutralizing resistances \( Z_{N_{1}}, Z_{N_{2}}, Z_{M_{1}}, Z_{M_{2}} \) and the equivalent input impedances \( Z_{A_{1}}, Z_{A_{2}}, Z_{A_{3}} \) are definite values, \( k_{\text{optimal-1}} \) and \( k_{\text{optimal-2}} \) are only related to \( Z_{E_{1}}, Z_{E_{2}}, Z_{E_{3}} \) according to (20) and (21). The PDMC output of the differential amplifier can be expressed as (22), which shows that \( \Delta V_{O} \) is related to the impedance mismatch coefficient \( \alpha \) of the electrode interface, the area ratio \( \beta \) of the acquisition electrode to the reference electrode, and the gain difference \( |\Delta k| \). It should be noted that there is an optimal \( \Delta k \), defined \( \Delta k_{\text{optimal}} \), can still make \( \Delta V_{O} \) be equal to 0 even if \( k_{1} \) and \( k_{2} \) cannot satisfy (20) and (21).

\[
\Delta V_{O} = \left| \frac{Z_{N_{1}}}{Z_{M_{1}} + Z_{N_{1}}} (A - B) + \frac{Z_{M_{1}}}{Z_{M_{1}} + Z_{N_{1}}} \left( \Delta k \left( C + \frac{Z_{S}}{Z_{b}} \right) \right) \right| V_{P}, \Delta k = k_{1} - k_{2}
\]

(22)

The performance comparison between C-DRL method and proposed noise neutralization method is shown in Figure 6, where the blue surface represents C-DRL method with \( \beta \) being 1 (the area of the acquisition electrode is the same to that of the driving electrode), and the red surface represents the proposed method with \( \beta \) being 1, the violet surface represents C-DRL method with \( \beta \) being 1/30 (the area of driving electrode is 30 times of the area of acquisition electrode) and the yellow surface represents the proposed method with \( \beta \) being 20 (the area of acquisition electrode is 20 times of the area of reference electrode). Considering \( k \) less than 0, \( |k| \) and \( |\Delta k| \) have been replaced by \(-k\) and \( \Delta k \) respectively to unify the coordinate axes of the chart.

When the impedance mismatch coefficient \( \alpha \) exists, \( \Delta k \) in a certain range of the proposed method can obtain a smaller PDMC than that of C-DRL method. Even at the case of \( \beta \) being 1/30 for C-DRL method and \( \beta \) being 1 for proposed method, this conclusion can still be reached. Moreover, for the proposed method, the range of \( \Delta k \) can be larger for \( \beta \) being 20 than that for \( \beta \) being 1, which means the proposed method is more effective than that of the C-DRL method, especially for small area of the reference electrode.
1. Introduction

2. Methodology

3. Experiments

The circuit system for the proposed neutralization method is implemented with two-board components, as shown in Figure 7, where the left side is the acquisition motherboard and the right side is the noise neutralization board. In the acquisition motherboard, the front-end is designed according to the proposed structure in reference [31], the integrated analog to digital converter ADS1298 with 24-bit resolution is selected as the differential amplifier, and the microcontroller MSP430F5528 is used to sample the data from ADS1298 and transform the data thorough the series port to the application in the computer. In the noise neutralization board, the ratio of $Z_{\text{N}}$ to $Z_{\text{M}}$ is set as 2 ($Z_{\text{N}}$ being 10 kΩ and $Z_{\text{M}}$ being 5.1 kΩ) for easy resistance selection and the programmable resistor MAX5496 (10 kΩ, 1024 taps) is used to obtain high precision gain to meet the requirement of $k_{\text{optimal}}$. It should be noted that the method for searching the optimal value adopted in this paper is dichotomy, which has three main steps. In the first step, the initial values of $k_1$ and $k_2$ are set respectively by controlling the taps of variable programmable resistors and the initial amplitudes of $V_{\text{OA}}$ and $V_{\text{OB}}$ are measured by the channel 2 and channel 3 of ADS1298, as shown in Figure 7. In the second step, the values of $k_1$ and $k_2$ are set to the median of the initial ranges and the amplitudes of $V_{\text{OA}}$ and $V_{\text{OB}}$ are measured again. In the third step, the values of $k_1$ and $k_2$ are reset according to the amplitudes of $V_{\text{OA}}$ and $V_{\text{OB}}$ measured in the previous two steps. These three steps will be repeated until the amplitudes of $V_{\text{OA}}$ and $V_{\text{OB}}$ are minimized. Applying the method, $k_{\text{optimal}}$ can be approximated and the amplitude of $\Delta V_{\text{O}}$ can be reduced effectively.

Figure 6. The performance comparison between C-DRL method and the proposed noise neutralization method, where the red and yellow surfaces represent the proposed method with $\beta$ being 1 and 20, respectively. The blue and violet surfaces represent the C-DRL method with $\beta$ being 1 and 1/30, respectively. When the impedance mismatch coefficient $\alpha$ exists, $\Delta k$ in a certain range of the proposed method can obtain a smaller PDMC than that of C-DRL method and there always exists a certain smaller optimal gain to minimize PDMC. Theoretically, under the same conditions, the proposed method has better PDMC suppression with a larger $\beta$ (smaller reference electrode area) and a smaller gain (compared with the typical driving gain of C-DRL method).
Figure 7. Circuit system for the proposed neutralization method, (a) the acquisition motherboard, (b) the noise neutralization board.

Figure 8 is the comparison of the frequency response characteristics between the acquisition system with C-DRL method and the acquisition system with the proposed method. They have similar frequency response characteristics for using the same configuration of the acquisition front-end.

![Frequency Response Comparison](image)

Figure 8. Comparison of the frequency response between the acquisition system with C-DRL method and the acquisition system with proposed method.

CMRR performance comparison between C-DRL method and the proposed method under different simulated impedance mismatch is shown in Table 1, where two input signals with same phase and different amplitude are used to simulate the electrode interface impedance mismatch. For $\alpha$ being 0, CMRRs for two methods are both about 90 dB. For $\alpha$ being 20%, CMRR for the proposed method can
also achieve 90 dB by adjusting the gains $k_1$ and $k_2$ to optimal values, which means that the proposed method can suppress PDMC caused by the electrode mismatch effectively. However, for $\alpha$ being 20%, CMRR for C-DRL method is only 14.4 dB with the lack of feedback and may be improved with the closed-loop feedback. Therefore, the experiments with C-DRL method and the proposed neutralization method for human body ECG acquisition are carried out for further performance comparison.

### Table 1. CMRR comparison of circuits using proposed method and C-DRL method.

| Simulation of Electrode Mismatch | Input Signal Amplitude of Electrode $^1$ | CMRR          |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
|                                 | P: 500 mV                              | 91.45 dB      |
|                                 | N: 500 mV                              | 90.36 dB      |
| $\alpha = 0$                   |                                        |               |
| $\alpha = 20\%$                | P: 500 mV                              | 14.40 dB $^2$ |
|                                 | N: 400 mV                              | 90.22 dB      |

$^1$ The frequency of all input signal is 50 Hz. For the proposed method, the input signal amplitude of the reference electrode R is always 500 mV. $^2$ Due to the lack of negative feedback, this simulation value is lower than the actual value of C-DRL method.

Figure 9 shows the devices and bandage electrodes for simultaneously human ECG acquisition with the C-DRL method and the proposed method. The blue marks the device and the electrodes with C-DRL method, and the red marks the device and the electrodes with the proposed method. The driving electrode D of C-DRL method and the reference electrode R of the proposed method have the same area and the dimensions are 3 × 4 cm. The areas of the acquisition electrodes P and N are designed according to the experiment requirements.

![Figure 9. Devices and bandage electrodes for human body ECG acquisition using the C-DRL method and the proposed method.](image)

When two acquisition devices are used to acquire ECG signal from human body at the same time, CMI coupled on human body may be reduced by C-DRL method, thus affecting the performance of the
proposed method. Therefore, two devices are used to acquire ECG signal at the same chest position independently. For C-DRL method, the value of $k$ is $-100$. For the proposed method, the band-pass filter is designed with the center frequency being 50 Hz, the gain at the center frequency being 0 dB, and the quality factor being 5. The human test subject is the volunteer from our group, one male student aged 25 without known cardiac pathology.

Under static condition, the independent measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance match ($\alpha = 0$, the areas of electrodes P and N are both $3 \times 4$ cm) are shown in Figure 10 for two methods, where both the original signal and the ECG signal processed with 50 Hz digital notch filter from the original signal are given, showing that both two methods can obtain high quality ECG signals independently.

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** Independent measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance match under static condition, (a) time-domain waveform of original signal, (b) ECG signal of C-DRL method processed by software notch, (c) ECG signal of proposed method processed by software notch.

Subsequently, the independent measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance mismatch are carried out by using the insulated plastic to stem and reduce the area of electrode N artificially and simulate the impedance mismatch ($\alpha = 20\%$, the area of electrode P is $3 \times 4$ cm and the area of electrode N is about $3 \times 3.2$ cm), as shown in Figure 11, where the original signal amplitude of the C-DRL method is about 406 mV, while that of the proposed method is about 276 mV.

According to the results of Figures 10 and 11, the proposed method has similar performance to that of C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance match, while has better PDMC suppression ability than that of C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance mismatch.

Furthermore, in order to compare the performance of two methods at the same time, two devices are used to acquire ECG signal at the same time as shown in Figure 9. Similar to the steps of independent measurement mentioned above, under static condition, the simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance match ($\alpha = 0$, the areas of electrode P and electrode N is are both $3 \times 4$ cm) are shown in Figure 12 and the simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance mismatch ($\alpha = 20\%$, simulated, the area of electrode P is $3 \times 4$ cm and the area of electrode N is about $3 \times 3.2$ cm) are shown in Figure 13.
According to the results of Figures 10 and 11, the proposed method has similar performance to that of C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance match, while has better PDMC suppression ability than that of C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance mismatch. Furthermore, in order to compare the performance of two methods at the same time, two devices are used to acquire ECG signal at the same time as shown in Figure 9. Similar to the steps of independent measurement mentioned above, under static condition, the simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance match (\(\alpha = 0\), the areas of electrode P and electrode N is are both 3 × 4 cm) are shown in Figure 12 and the simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance mismatch (\(\alpha = 20\%\), simulated, the area of electrode P is 3 × 4 cm and the area of electrode N is about 3 × 3.2 cm) are shown in Figure 13.

Figure 11. Independent measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance mismatch under static condition, (a) time-domain waveform of original signal, (b) ECG signal of C-DRL method processed by software notch, (c) ECG signal of the proposed method processed by software notch.

Figure 12. Simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition with interface impedance match under static condition, (a) time-domain waveform of original signal, (b) ECG signal processed by software notch.
According to the results of Figure 12, it can be concluded that the proposed method has similar performance to that of the C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance match. As shown in Figure 13, the original signal amplitude of the C-DRL method is about 405 mV, while the original signal amplitude of the proposed method is about 249 mV, which shows the proposed method has better PDMC suppression performance in the case of electrode interface impedance mismatch.

When the areas of electrodes P and N are the same, ECG measurements of the two devices at the same time with small amplitude swing of upper limb are shown in Figure 14, where the performances of two methods are alike for similar amplitudes of two original signals.
In order to clearly observe the electrode interface impedance mismatch caused by body motion, the upper limb stays still first, then rotates to one side substantially, and then stays still again. The simultaneous measurement results are shown in Figure 15, where two methods can both acquire clear ECG signals for the upper limb being still and the performances of two methods are degraded by the upper limb rotation. This can be explained in that the upper limb rotation leads to the electrode interface impedance mismatch, which causes the increase of PDMC. For C-DRL method, the amplitude of the original signal is about 157.5 mV before the upper limb rotation, and is about 838 mV after the upper limb rotation. For the proposed method, the amplitude of the original signal is about 190.4 mV before the upper limb rotation, and is about 530.1 mV after the upper limb rotation. The upper limb rotation causes 12.7 dB interference increase for C-DRL method, and about 5.0 dB interference increase for the proposed method, which also shows that the proposed method has better PDMC suppression ability in the case of the electrode interface impedance mismatch.

![Figure 15](image_url)

**Figure 15.** Simultaneous measurement results of human chest ECG acquisition for the process of the upper being still, rotating to one side substantially and being still again, (a) time-domain waveform of original signal, (b) ECG signal processed by software notch.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

For non-contact bioelectrical acquisition, a new interference suppression method, named ‘noise neutralization method’, is proposed in this paper. Compared with C-DRL or DRL method, the proposed method can effectively reduce the area of the driving electrode, and has a stronger ability to suppress interference, especially for the situation of electrode interface impedance mismatch caused by body motion.

Firstly, the performance of C-DRL method for non-contact acquisition is analyzed using the equivalent circuit model, which shows that interference suppression degrades with the decrease of the gain of the inversion amplifier and the area of driving electrode in the case of the interface impedance mismatch of the acquisition electrode. Therefore, a noise neutralization method is proposed to suppress
CMI and PDMC for non-contact bioelectrical acquisition by applying the reference electrode and a 50 Hz band-pass filter to obtain the CMI of the human body and adapting the gain $k_1$ and $k_2$ to neutralize the CMI input of two acquisition electrodes and achieve the minimum CMI output. The proposed method is characterized with that there is an optimal gain to achieve the minimum interference output whatever for the electrode interface impedance mismatch and is more effective for the smaller reference electrode area.

Subsequently, non-contact ECG acquisition devices based on C-DRL method and proposed method are designed to verify the performance of the proposed method. From the experiment results, it can be concluded that the proposed method has similar performance to that of the C-DRL method with electrode interface impedance match, while it has better PDMC suppression ability using a smaller reference electrode area and a smaller gain. This is the outstanding characterization of the proposed method. In general, the proposed method is an effective method to suppress interference CMI and PDMC under the situation of limited electrode area and unavoidable body motion.

It should be noted that the optimization method for adapting the gains $k_1$ and $k_2$ to optimal values is dichotomous in this paper, it is an effective method but its adapting time is not enough fast to suppress PDMC caused by strong body motion. Moreover, the variable gain amplifier with high programmable precision is required to obtain the optimal $k$, which may create complexity of the circuit structure.

In the future, a more effective adapting method will be studied to reduce the adapting time and the circuit structure will be improved for wearable applications.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ADC          | Analog to digital converter |
| Ag/AgCl      | Silver/silver chloride |
| C-DRL        | Capacitive driven-right-leg |
| CMI          | Common mode interference |
| CMRR         | Common mode rejection ratio |
| DRL          | Driven-right-leg |
| ECG          | Electrocardiography |
| MCU          | Micro control unit |
| PDMC         | Pseudo difference mode components |
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