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Abstract

A number of general trends are known to occur in systems displaying secondary processes in glasses and glass formers. Universal features can be identified as components of large and small cooperativeness whose competition leads to excess wings or apart peaks in the susceptibility spectrum. To the aim of understanding such rich and complex phenomenology we analyze the behavior of a model combining two apart glassy components with a tunable different cooperativeness. The model salient feature is, indeed, based on the competition of the energetic contribution of groups of dynamically relevant variables, e.g., density fluctuations, interacting in small and large sets. We investigate how the model is able to reproduce the secondary processes physics without further ad hoc ingredients, displaying known trends and properties under cooling or pressing.

1. Introduction

In several supercooled liquids and glasses processes are observed whose typical timescales are much longer than cage rattling microscopic motion and local rearrangement timescales of the so-called (fast) $\beta$ processes and, yet are much shorter than the time-scale of structural relaxation, i.e., of the $\alpha$ processes. These are usually termed “Secondary processes” and are related to complicated though local (non-cooperative or not fully cooperative) dynamics. We will, in particular, investigate Johari-Goldstein processes \cite{1}, for which special properties hold, such as dependence of their relaxation time on density and temperature and a strict relationship to structural processes \cite{2}. In the present paper we will term them simply as $\beta$, referring to fast $\beta$ processes as $\gamma$. Their existence was first pointed out in the 1960’s from dielectric loss spectra measurements, in which they are identified by the occurrence of a second peak at a frequency higher than the frequency $\nu_\alpha$ of the $\alpha$ processes peak. This so-called $\beta$-peak has been recorded in a large number of substances as, e.g., poly-alcohols \cite{3,4,5} mixtures of rigid polar molecules and oligomers \cite{6,7,8,9}, propylene glycols \cite{10} and many others comprehensively gathered in Ref. \cite{11}.

Also in cases where the spectral density of response losses do not clearly show a second peak, secondary processes are known to be active and their presence is, then, identified, by some anomaly at frequency higher than $\nu_\alpha$, called excess wing \cite{12,13}. Though it was initially observed as an apart phenomenon \cite{14}, more recent investigation has shown that the excess wings rather are manifestations of $\beta$ JG processes \cite{15,8,11}. Properly tuning external parameters (temperature, pressure, concentration, ...) $\beta$-peaks can come out of the excess wings or, vice versa, secondary peaks can reduce to excess wings. According to Cummins \cite{16} the relevant parameter to tune in passing from one scenario to the other one might be the rotation-translation coupling constant, becoming stronger as density increases, and being larger for a liquid glass former made of elongated and strongly anisotropic molecules.

Theoretical attempts have been carried out in this direction in the framework of Mode Coupling Theory (MCT). According to this theory the re-
laxation of reorientational correlation and rotation-translation coupling in liquids composed of strongly anisotropic molecules appears to be logarithmic in time [17]. A comprehensive picture is, though, not yet established and many questions are open. For instance, about the dependence of the characteristic time-scales of JG processes on temperature and pressure, else, on concentration. Or about the chance that secondary processes might disclose a certain degree of cooperativeness [18], or the explanation for the persistence of the β processes also below the calorimetric glass transition temperature $T_g$. A very interesting question is whether there is a straightforward connection, and, in case, which one, between processes evolving at qualitatively different time-scales. Were it the case, one might devise the long-time behavior of α relaxation from the behaviors of the fast small-amplitude cage dynamics ($\gamma$ processes) and of the β secondary processes. In glasses, and glass formers, where α and β peaks of the loss spectra can be clearly resolved in frequency one can resort to a description based on two time-scale bifurcation accelerations as temperature is lowered. Processes consequently evolve on three well-separated time sectors. Examples of well resolved peak separation can be found, e.g., in 4-polybutadiene, toluene [19], sorbitol [5] mixture of quinaldine in tri-styrene [6, 8] or trimer propylene glycol [10].

A way to reproduce secondary processes, or at least some stretching in the high frequency side of the α relaxation, is to include the coupling of correlators of two different components, such has the density correlators of tagged particles and their surrounding medium [20]. In the limit of strong coupling between correlators it is possible to to yield a Cole-Cole law for the loss spectrum in the limit [21], but no distinct apart secondary β peak is resolved. To the aim of overcoming these limitations in the theoretical description of secondary processes we propose a model with a single component but a dynamic kernel corresponding to two different kinds of cooperativeness.

2. The model

The model we shall discuss is known as the Spherical $s+p$ Spin Glass model defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_s} J_{i_1 \ldots i_s}^{(s)} \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_s} - \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_p} J_{i_1 \ldots i_p}^{(p)} \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_p}
$$

(1)

where $J_{i_1 \ldots i_t}^{(t)}$ ($t = s, p$ with $s < p$ for convention) are uncorrelated, zero mean, Gaussian variables of variance

$$
\overline{(J_{i_1 \ldots i_t}^{(t)})^2} = \frac{f_t^2 t!}{2N^{t-1}}
$$

(2)

where the overbar denotes the average over the quenched disorder and $\sigma_i$ are $N$ continuous real variables (spins) ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ obeying the global constraint $\sum_i \sigma_i^2 = N$ (spherical constraint). The model, defined on a complete graph, is intrinsically mean-field. Indeed, each spin interacts with all others and no geometric or dimensional structure is relevant for the interaction network. In order to guarantee thermodynamic convergence and an extensive energy the interaction magnitude is very small, and scales with the system size as $J_{i_1 \ldots i_t} \sim 1/N^{(t-1)/2}$.

2.1. A bit of thermodynamics

Due to the mean-field nature of the model the metastable glassy states responsible for the dynamic arrest can be studied by means of thermodynamics. Indeed, in these spherical spin models with quenched disordered couplings, the configurational entropy, related to the number of metastable states, is a true, static, thermodynamic state function, unlike realistic structural glasses [22]. Therefore, to make connection with glass formers, we first recall some results on the model static properties, both in its ideal glassy phase and in the supercooled liquid phase. Let us define the overlap

$$
qu_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \sigma_i \rangle_{\alpha} \langle \sigma_i \rangle_{\beta}
$$

(3)

between any two glassy stable or metastable states $\alpha$ and $\beta$ whose equilibrium measure in the corresponding ergodic component is labeled by $\langle \ldots \rangle_{\alpha,\beta}$.

In a cooling procedure, these states first occur as excited metastable states at the temperature $T = T_m = T_{mct}$ coinciding with the dynamic or mode coupling temperature. Physically, this is the temperature at which the glassy states dominate the free energy landscape through which the system dynamics takes place. At this temperature
their number becomes macroscopic, i.e., exponentially with the system size, and the configurational entropy (also called complexity) becomes extensive with the system size $N$.

Below $T = T_3 = T_{\text{mct}}$ the phase space breaks down into several regions (glass phase), and the overlap $q_{\alpha\beta}$ takes different values $q_\kappa$, with probability $p_\kappa$. The number of different values depends on both the region of the phase diagram and the values of $s$ and $p$, and can be finite or infinite. In the first case the phase is called $R$ Replica Symmetry Broken (RRSB), where $R$ is the number of different values of $q_{\alpha\beta}$, while in the second case it is termed Full Replica Symmetry Broken (FRSB). Mixed phases are also possible \[23, 24\].

Here, we focus on the cases where secondary processes show up. As it will be later clarified, these correspond to a static description in which $q_{\alpha\beta}$ takes two non-trivial value ($R = 2$) with probability:

$$
P(q) = p_1 \delta(q) + (p_2 - p_1) \delta(q - q_1) + (1 - p_2) \delta(q - q_2).$$ (4)

In terms of free energy landscape, this picture displays a hierarchical structure where each glassy minimum - representing a separated ergodic component - also contains a further set of glassy minima inside, as pictorially represented in Fig. 1 cf. Ref. \[25\]. Inner states have higher overlap $q_2$ while outer states have lower overlap, $q_1 < q_2$. Such “nested” minima appear as metastable states at the tricritical point along the dynamic (swallowtail) arrest line. They become the idefull equilibrium stable glass states at the static - Kauzmann - transition line. As the dynamic arrest line is approached, e.g., by cooling, far from the tricritical point only one set of states appear. This implies that only one kind of diverging timescale occurs for slow processes, the structural ones.

The values of $q_1$ and $q_2$ are given by the solution of the self-consistent equations \[25, 26\]:

$$\mathcal{M}(q_1) = \frac{q_2 - q_1}{\chi(q_2) \chi(q_1)},$$ (5)
$$\mathcal{M}(q_2) - \mathcal{M}(q_1) = \frac{q_2 - q_1}{\chi(q_2) \chi(q_1)},$$ (6)

where

$$\chi(q_2) = 1 - q_2,$$
$$\chi(q_1) = \chi(q_2) + p_2(q_2 - q_1),$$
$$\chi(0) = \chi(q_1) + p_1 q_1,$$

and where we have introduced the functions

$$g(X) = \frac{v_{s-1}}{s} X^s + \frac{v_{p-1}}{p} X^p,$$ (7)
$$\mathcal{M}(X) = g'(X) = v_{s-1} X^{s-1} + v_{p-1} X^{p-1}$$ (8)

with

$$v_{t-1} = t \beta^2 J_1^2 / 2.$$ (9)

In a pure static study, the thermodynamics is ruled by the states that extremize the free energy, and this leads to the two additional self-consistent equations (static condition)

$$g(q_2) - g(q_1) = (q_2 - q_1) \left[ \mathcal{M}(q_1) - \frac{1}{p_2 \chi(q_1)} \right]$$
$$\frac{1}{p_2^2} \ln \frac{\chi(q_2)}{\chi(q_1)},$$ (10)
$$g(q_1) = \frac{q_1}{p_1 \chi(0)} - \frac{1}{p_1^2} \ln \frac{\chi(q_1)}{\chi(0)},$$ (11)

which fix the value of $p_1$ and $p_2$. Non-trivial solutions of these equations appear at the static (else called Kauzmann) critical temperature $T = T_K < T_d$.

To account for the metastable states that dominate the dynamics in the 2RSB phase for temperatures $T \in [T_K, T_d]$, equations (10,11) must be replaced by

$$\mathcal{M}'(q_\kappa) = \frac{1}{(1 - q_\kappa)^2}, \quad \kappa = 1, 2$$ (12)
which follows from the requirement that the solution maximizing the complexity \[27\]. This condition ensures that the dynamics ruled by the memory kernel \( M(x) \) be marginally stable \[26\]. It is known as the marginal condition because it coincides with the marginal stability condition in the solution of the statics of the model \[28\]. It bridges the static and dynamic properties in the 2RSB phase, where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) become the two nontrivial asymptotic plateau values, i.e., non-ergodicity factors, of the dynamic correlation function in the three steps relaxation scenario.

Away from the 2RSB phase, only one plateau occurs. In these cases the solutions to the above equations coincide \( q_1 = q_2 \). Beyond the dynamic critical line glass-to-glass transitions can occur, between 1RSB and 2RSB kind of glasses. Here we are mainly interested in the equilibrium dynamics of supercooled liquids. The interested reader in the frozen glass phase can look, e.g., at Refs. \[24, 26\].

2.2. Dynamic phase diagrams and swallowtail singularity

The existence of two nontrivial asymptotic plateau’s of the dynamic correlation function, approaching the 2RSB phase, is associated with the presence of a given type of singularity in the dynamic equations. According to Arnold’s classification of singular points in catastrophe theory, the model has to display a double bifurcation \( A_4 \), or swallowtail, singularity.

A static glass 2RSB phase in the spherical \( s + p \) spin glass model can, actually, be found provided \( s \) and \( p \) are equal or larger than the solution of

\[
(p^2 + s^2 + p + s - 3ps)^2 - ps(p - 2)(s - 2) = 0, \tag{13}
\]

as it has been shown in Ref. \[26\]. Some threshold values of \((s, p)\) are \((3, 8)\), \((4, 11)\) or \((5, 16)\). The larger \( p - s \), the broader the region of phase diagram where the static 2RSB phase can be found. This is a necessary condition for the occurrence of a 2RSB phase somewhere in the static (thermodynamic) phase diagram but do not guarantee the occurrence of an \( A_4 \) singularity along the dynamic arrest line.

To have a 2RSB phase accessible in the MCT equilibrium dynamics - i.e., a swallowtail singularity along the dynamic arrest line - the condition on \( s \) and \( p \) is stronger \[29\]:

\[
\sqrt{(s - 1)(p - 1)} - \sqrt{(p - 2)(s - 2)} \geq \sqrt{2}. \tag{14}
\]

In this case a the \( A_4 \) point is exposed to the fluid phase and a three step correlation function, or three peak loss function, develops approaching the dynamic transition next to this point. Some lower bound values are \((s, p) = (3, 10)\), \((4, 16)\), \((5, 22)\).

Moreover, in order to have a swallowtail also in the static-Kauzmann transition line the parameters \( s \) and \( p \) must further satisfy the equation

\[
(sp - p - s + 1)y^2 - (p + s + 1)y + 2 \geq 0 \tag{15}
\]

where \( y \in [0,1] \) is solution of

\[
(sp - p - s - 1)y + p + s - 1 = spz(y) \tag{16}
\]

and \( z(y) \) is the CS \( z \)-function \[30\]

\[
z(y) = -2y - y^2 + \ln y \quad \frac{(1 - y)^2}{1 - y}. \tag{17}
\]

Some critical values \((s, p)\): \((3, 13)\), \((4, 23)\), \((5, 35)\).

In this case the stable ideal 2RSB phase can be accessed directly from the stable fluid phase.

Relevant external parameters for the phase diagram will be the “concentration” of large cooperativeness \( \rho \), defined as

\[
J_p = \rho J \quad J_s = (1 - \rho)J \tag{18}
\]

and the temperature, defined in units of the small cooperativeness interaction \( J_s \),

\[
T \frac{J_s}{J_p} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2v_{s-1}}} \tag{20}
\]

Transition lines can be drawn parametrically in the overlap variable \( q \in [0,1] \) at the dynamic arrest fold singularity, cf. Fig. \[2, 31\]

\[
v_{p-1} = \frac{(s - 1)q - (s - 2)}{(p - s)q^p - 2(1 - q)^2} \tag{21}
\]

\[
v_{s-1} = \frac{(p - 1)q - (p - 2)}{(p - s)q^p - 2(1 - q)^2} \tag{22}
\]

or in \( T, \rho \) using the transformations Eqs. \[19, 20\], as shown in Fig. \[4\].

3. Dynamics equations

To study the slowing down of the dynamics as the critical arrest is approached from the liquid phase, we cannot rely only on the static analysis and the
The dynamics of the model must be analyzed. The relaxation dynamics of the system is described by the Langevin equation

$$\Gamma_0^{-1} \partial \sigma_k(t) / \partial t = -\delta F[\{ \sigma \}] / \delta \sigma_k(t) + \eta_k(t)$$ (23)

$$\langle \eta_k(t) \eta_n(t') \rangle = 2k_B T \Gamma_0^{-1} \delta_{kn} \delta(t - t')$$

where $\eta_k$ is the thermal white noise and $\Gamma_0^{-1}$ is the microscopic time-scale. Using the Martin-Siggia-Rose response field approach in the path-integral formalism [32, 33], the average over the quenched disorder can be performed, and the equations of motion reduce to the self-consistent dynamics of single variable $\sigma(t)$. The fundamental observables to study the onset of the dynamic slowing down are the diagonal spin-spin time correlation function $C(t, t')$ and the spin-response function $G(t, t')$, which for our model are defined as

$$C(t, t') = \frac{\langle \sigma(t) \sigma(t') \rangle}{\langle \sigma(t) \rangle}, \quad \text{with} \quad C(t, t) = 1 \text{ from the spherical constraint.} \quad (24)$$

$$G(t, t') = \left. \frac{\delta \langle \sigma(t) \rangle}{\delta \beta h(t')} \right|_{h=0}, \quad t > t', \quad (25)$$

with initial the condition $C(t = 0) = 1$ and

$$\dot{r} = r - M[C(t = 0)]$$ (28)

The parameter $r$ in the above equation is a “bare mass” [34] related to the Lagrange multiplier needed to impose the spherical constraint [35]. The value of $r$ can depend on temperature and on $\rho$ through $M[C(t = 0)]$. However, above $T_d$, $\dot{r}$ is constant and equal to 1, so that the r.h.s. of (27) vanishes.

The kernel memory function $M(t) \equiv M[C(t)]$ for the spherical $s + p$ spin glass model we are considering has the functional form shown in Eq. (25). We stress that eq. (27) (with $r = 1$) is the equation governing the time correlation function in a schematic mode-coupling theory in which the second order time derivative term of the Mode Couplings equations is replaced by a first order one [36, 57].

To discuss the slowing down of the dynamics as the critical point is approached it is, further, useful to introduce the function $\dot{r}(q)$ [30]

$$\dot{r}(q) \equiv \frac{1}{1 - q} - M(q), \quad (29)$$

*We have included the temperature into the definition of the response function.*
which determines the asymptotic value of the correlation function. Indeed, it can be shown that in the long time limit the asymptotic value \( q = \lim_{t \to \infty} C(t) \) of the correlator \( C(t) \) solution of Eq. (27) is given by the condition:

\[
\bar{r}(q) = \bar{r}.
\]

(30)

where \( \bar{r} \) is the parameter appearing in Eq. (27) and defined in Eq. (28). The additional requirement for a critical dynamics, the marginal condition, imposes that \( \bar{r}(q) \) be a local minimum with \( \bar{r}'(q) = 0 \) for \( q \) solution of Eq. (30). When this happens \( C(t) \) develops a plateau at \( C(t) = q \), or multiple plateaus if more solutions to Eq. (30) become marginal simultaneously, as it is the case of the 2RSB phase that we are discussing.

The properties of the dynamics close to the critical point can be analyzed by writing \( C(t) = q + \phi(t) \), where \( q \) is generic for the moment, and expanding \( \mathcal{M}[C(t)] \) for small \( \phi \):

\[
\mathcal{M}(q + \phi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m)}(q)}{m!} \phi^m
\]

(31)

where

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(m)} = \frac{d^m \mathcal{M}(q)}{dq^m} = \frac{m!}{(1-q)^{m+1}} - \frac{d^m \bar{r}(q)}{dq^m}.
\]

(32)

The integral term in Eq. (27) then reads

\[
\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{M}[C(t-t')]C'(t') = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m-1}{(m-1)!} \right] \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m-1)}(q)}{m!} \phi^m(t)
\]

\[
-(1-q)\mathcal{M}(q) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m)}(q)}{m!} I_m(t)
\]

(33)

where

\[
I_m(t) \equiv \int_0^t dt' \phi^m(t-t') \phi(t')
\]

(34)

leading, after few algebraic manipulations, to:

\[
\Gamma_0^{-1} \phi'(t) + \left[ \bar{r} + \mathcal{M}(q) - (1-q)\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(q) \right] \phi(t) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m-1}{(m-1)!} \right] \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m-1)}(q)}{m!} \phi^m(t)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m)}(q)}{m!} I_m(t) = (1-q) \left[ \bar{r} + \mathcal{M}(q) \right] - 1.
\]

(35)

The final step replaces the kernel \( \mathcal{M}(t) \) in terms of \( \bar{r}(q) \) by writing, see Eq. (32),

\[
\frac{\mathcal{M}^{(m)}(q)}{m!} = \frac{1}{(1-q)^r} (\gamma_m - \delta_m).
\]

(36)

with

\[
\gamma_m = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{m+2}},
\]

\[
\delta_m = \frac{(1-q)^3}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dq^m} [\bar{r}(q) - r],
\]

leading to:

\[
\Gamma_0^{-1} \phi'(t) - \frac{1}{(1-q)^r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [\delta_{m+1} - (1-q)\delta_m] \phi^m(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1-q)^r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [\gamma_m - \delta_m] I_m(t) = -\frac{\delta_0}{(1-q)^2}.
\]

(38)

This equation describes the behavior of \( C(t) \) close to a generic \( q \in [0,1] \). In the ergodic liquid (paramagnetic) phase above \( T_d \) the asymptotic value of \( C(t) \) is \( q = 0 \). However, as the critical point where the dynamical critical arrest occurs is approached, one (or more) value of \( q > 0 \) appears where \( \bar{r}(q) - \bar{r} \ll 1 \) and

\[
\delta_1 = \bar{r}'(q) = 0.
\]

(39)

Then, we introduce the small parameter

\[
\sigma \equiv \delta_0 = (1-q)^3 [\bar{r}(q) - \bar{r}] \ll 1.
\]

(40)

and write

\[
\delta_2 = 1 - \lambda,
\]

(41)

where

\[
\lambda \equiv \frac{(1-q)^3}{2} \mathcal{M}''(q)
\]

(42)

is called the exponent parameter. The dynamics close to the plateau \( C(t) \equiv q \) is, therefore, ruled by

\[
\Gamma_0^{-1} \phi'(t) - \frac{\sigma}{(1-q)^3} \phi(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1-q)^3} [(1-\lambda)\phi^2(t) + I_1(t)] + O(\sigma^3) = -\frac{\sigma}{(1-q)^2}.
\]

(43)

For \( \sigma \ll 1 \) the solution of Eq. (43) assumes the scaling form

\[
\phi(t) = \sigma^{1/2} g(\tau), \quad \tau = t/t_\sigma = o(1)
\]

(44)
p. 7

3.1. Three step relaxation

Close to the transition point to a 2RSB phase the correlation function $C(t)$ develops two plateaus for $C(t) = q_\kappa$ (with $\kappa = 1, 2$), with

$$\check{r}(q_1) = \check{r}(q_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \check{r}'(q_1) = \check{r}'(q_2) = 0 \quad (45)$$

Near each plateau $q_\kappa$ the scaling solution $[44]$ predicts for $C(t) \gtrsim q_\kappa$ the power law behavior:

$$C(t) - q_\kappa \sim t^{-a_\kappa}, \quad (46)$$

with the exponent $0 < a_\kappa < 1/2$ fixed by

$$\lambda_\kappa = \frac{\Gamma^2(1 - a_\kappa)}{\Gamma(1 - 2a_\kappa)}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\lambda_\kappa = \frac{(1 - q_\kappa)^3}{2} M''(q_\kappa). \quad (48)$$

For $C(t) \lesssim q_\kappa$ the scaling solution leads the von Schweidler law

$$C(t) - q_\kappa \sim -t^{b_\kappa} \quad (49)$$

with the exponent $0 < b_\kappa < 1$ obtained from

$$\lambda_\kappa = \frac{\Gamma^2(1 + b_\kappa)}{\Gamma(1 + 2b_\kappa)}. \quad (50)$$

In Figs. 4, 5 we show the numerical solution of Eq. (27) close to the dynamical arrest for a system with a 2RSB phase showing a three steps relaxation and a system displaying a nearly logarithmic decay.

In Fig. 6 we plot the $p$ dependence, at given $s$ for the MCT parameter exponent $\lambda_{1,2}$.

4. Susceptibility spectra

Experimental data are more often available in the frequency domain rather than in the time domain. We show in Figs. 7, 8 the behavior of the susceptibility in cooling procedures towards the $A_4$ singularity where the $\beta$ peak, if existing, is most prominent. The two cases are qualitatively quite different. In the case shown in Fig. 7 the $3 - 16$ model, the onset of a $\beta$ peak is quite tidy. In the
Figure 7: Susceptibility loss for the $3 + 16$ model in a cooling procedure towards the $A_4$ singularity. The $\beta$ peak appears in between $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ peaks as $T$ is decreased.

Figure 8: Susceptibility loss for the $4 + 16$ model in a cooling procedure towards the $A_4$ singularity. No full $\beta$ peak develops in cooling.

4 + 16 case, reported in Fig. 8 no $\beta$ peak is evident not even at very low temperatures and a kind of excess wing appears in its place.

For what concerns loss spectra, the Mode Coupling scaling next to a $A_4$ point in the $\omega$ space, that is next to the minima $\epsilon''_{\min}$ of the dynamic susceptibility $\epsilon''(\nu)$, becomes [37]:

$$\epsilon''(\nu) = \frac{\epsilon''_{\min}}{a_\kappa + b_\kappa \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu''_{\min}} \right)^{-b_\kappa} + b_\kappa \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu''_{\min}} \right)^{a_\kappa}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \kappa = 1, 2 \hspace{1cm} (51)

where the height of the minimum scales as $\epsilon_{\min} \propto \sqrt{T - T_{mc}}$ and the position of the frequency goes like

$$\nu''_{\min} \propto (T - T_{mc})^{1/(2a_\kappa)} \hspace{1cm} (52)$$

Figure 9: Rescaled loss spectra next to the minima between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ peaks, left, and $\beta$ and $\gamma$ peaks, right.

In figure 9 we show an instance of such scaling next to the $A_4$ singularity point for the $3 + 16$ model.

5. Multi-scale and stretched relaxation

In the mean-field schematic MCT the liquid glass former is homogeneous. Different characteristic relaxation times can occur because of the interplay of different relaxation mechanisms taking place homogeneously in space. Indeed, because of the mean-field nature of MCT [38], position space does not play any role.

In order to have more relaxation times in MCT one has, thus, to resort to a schematic model with a memory kernel more complicated than a simple power of the time autocorrelation function, including at least two parameters, cf. Eq. (8), or including more components, that is involving the correlation of different degrees of freedom [20].

For instance, a $F_2$ theory [39, 40] displays dynamic arrest at a certain fold singularity $A_2$ denoted by the mode coupling temperature $T_{mc}$ but the relaxation is Debye (a simple exponential in the time domain).

A $F_{12}$ theory [41, 42] colors the $\alpha$ relaxation to something that can be interpreted, i.e., numerically interpolated, as a stretched Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts exponential. The link is provided by setting a correspondence between the $b$ exponent of the von Schweidler law decay from the correlation function plateau and the $\beta_{KWW}$ exponent [37]. However, this only holds next to the plateau (or to a minimum in the loss spectrum), whereas the long time correlation eventually relaxes to zero as an expo-
from the plateaus, the relaxation is exponential. As we measure correlations and spectra a bit further away from the $A_4$ point, though, the two relaxations mix yielding a very well interpolated stretched exponential as shown in Fig. 11.

6. Conclusions

In this work we have shown how some features of secondary process observed in the relaxation of glass and glassy systems can be captured by a simple schematic model. The model, known as the Spherical $s + p$ Spin Glass model, is a mean field model whose static and dynamics properties can be worked out analytically. In particular its relaxation dynamics is described by the MCT equation with a non-linear memory kernel sum of the $a_s - 1$ and $p - 1$ power. Depending on the value of $s$ and $p$ different scenarios are possible. Secondary processes are observed for $s$ large enough and $p \gg s$. Here we have focused on the properties of the model, connection with experiments will be addressed in a future work.

Eventually we comment of the possibility of describing hierarchies of apart secondary processes, both with discrete or continuous time-scale separation. Though the discrimination of such phenomena is actually rather difficult in experiments, different versions of the present $s + p$ models might straightforwardly account for them [28].

Further work on non-equilibrium dynamics and aging in $s + p$ models with secondary processes is currently in preparation.
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