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ABSTRACT

The Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) is a lethal zoonosis caused by MERS coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and poses a significant threat to public health worldwide. Therefore, a rapid, sensitive, and specific serologic test for detecting anti-MERS-CoV antibodies in both humans and animals is urgently needed for the successful management of this illness. Here, we evaluated various novel luciferase immunosorbent assays (LISA) based on nucleocapsid protein (NP) as well as fragments derived from spike protein (S) including subunit 1 (S1), N terminal domain (NTD), receptor-binding domain (RBD) and subunit 2 (S2) of S for the detection of MERS-CoV-specific IgG. Fusion proteins, including nanoluciferase (NLuc) and various fragments derived from the NP or S protein of MERS-CoV, were expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 T cells. LISAs that detected anti-MERS-CoV IgG were further developed using cell lysates expressing various fusion proteins. Panels of human or animal samples infected with MERS-CoV were used to analyze the sensitivity and specificity of various LISAs in reference to a MERS-CoV RT-PCR, commercial S1-based ELISA, and pseudovirus particle neutralization test (pNT). Our results showed that the S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs were more sensitive than the NTD- and S2-LISAs for the detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG. Furthermore, the S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs were more sensitive (by at least 16-fold) than the commercially available S1-based ELISA. Moreover, the S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISA specifically recognized anti-MERS-CoV IgG and did not cross-react with samples derived from other human CoV (OC43, 229E, HKU1, NL63)-infected patients. More importantly, these LISAs proved their applicability and reliability for detecting anti-MERS-CoV IgG in samples from camels, monkeys, and mice. The results of this study suggest that the novel MERS-CoV RBD- and S1- LISAs are highly effective platforms for the rapid and sensitive detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG in human and animal samples. These assays have the potential to be used as serologic tests for the management and control of MERS-CoV infection.

1. Introduction

The Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) is a lethal zoonosis caused by MERS coronavirus (CoV) [1,2]. MERS-CoV infection in humans causes asymptomatic or mild respiratory illness, severe pneumonia, multi-organ failure, and even death [3–5]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), as of May 9, 2019, there were 2,419 laboratory-confirmed cases of MERS and 836 deaths (35% of case-fatality rate) reported from 27 countries (https://www.who.int/csr/don/09-may-2019-mers-saudi-arabia/en/). The majority of MERS cases occurred in the Middle East, especially in Saudi Arabia [1,3,6–9]. The largest epidemic of MERS outside of
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the Arabian Peninsula occurred in South Korea in May 2015, and the index case was a traveler who visited the Middle East [10,11]. To date, all confirmed MERS cases have been linked to the Middle East. Human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV has been well documented in family, community, and healthcare settings [5,12–14]. MERS-CoV poses a significant threat to public health worldwide because of the high fatality rate of MERS infection and potential outbreaks of MERS-CoV infection in healthcare facilities. Furthermore, there are no specific vaccines or therapeutics for the prevention or treatment of MERS-CoV infection [15–21]. Dromedary camels have been implicated as a zoonotic source of human infections [3,4,16,22–31], and MERS-CoV RNA and viable virus have been isolated from them [7,22,32]. The seroprevalence of MERS-CoV antibodies is very high in dromedary camels within Eastern Africa and the Arabian Peninsula [22,24,25,29,33–39]. The continuing MERS epidemic in the Middle East is believed to be related to the failure to control the zoonotic sources, particularly dromedary camels, resulting in ongoing camel-to-human transmission [2,26,40–42]. Moreover, MERS-like CoVs have been detected in bat species globally, and thus, are thought to be the reservoirs of MERS-CoV [7,41,43,44]. However, the exact source of many primary MERS cases remains unknown, and the transmission mechanisms are poorly understood.

The MERS-CoV genome encodes 16 non-structural proteins (nsp1–16) and four structural proteins, including the spike (S), small envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins. The viral structural proteins, S and N, have the highest immunogenicity [45]. The S protein undergoes protease cleavage into S1 and S2 subunits. The S1 and S2 subunits mediate coronavirus entry into host cells by binding to the receptor on the host-cell surface via the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the S1 subunit [32,46–48]. Both the S and N proteins are the major immunodominant regions of MERS-CoV, and are often applied as target antigens for detection of a humoral immune response after infection.

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) is a common method for the diagnosis of MERS-CoV infection [6,49]. However, it is not suitable for the detection of infection in some clinical units and large-scale epidemiological screening. Specific antibodies play important roles in determining the severity of human infection, and detection of these specific antibodies is used in the epidemiological investigation of MERS-CoV infection in animals and humans. Several methods can be used to detect serum antibodies against MERS-CoV, such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), microneutralization assay (MN), immunofluorescence assay (IFA), the plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT), and the pseudovirus particle neutralization test (ppNT). Among these assays, MN, IFA, and PRNT depend on virus cultivation, and thus, require equipment and facilities located within a Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory [1,3,12,18,35,39,50–54]. While there are commercial anti-MERS-CoV serologic test kits for humans and animals including ELISA and IFA, rapid and sensitive serological assays for the detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG independent of purified protein, specific secondary antibody, virus cultivation and BSL-3 facilities are still urgently needed.

An ultrasensitive and high-throughput luciferase immunosorbent assay (LISA) based on recombinant antibodies fused with NanoLuc luciferase (NLuc) has been reported to detect IgG against several pathogens [55–58]. This assay has the advantage of not requiring a BSL-3 laboratory facility and species-specific labeled secondary antibodies for detection. In this study, we developed various novel LISA platforms based on the MERS-CoV NP or fragments of S. The diagnostic performance of various MERS-CoV LISA were tested using serum samples from MERS-CoV-infected humans and animals, as well as sera from patients infected with other human CoV.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples and ethics

Several panels for anti-MERS-CoV IgG detection were collected and stored at −70 °C before testing. The detailed information is described in Table 1.

### Table 1
Comparison of LISAs with ppNT and S1-ELISA

| Name                        | Description                                                                 | Number | Expected result | ppNT | ELISA | S1 | RBD | NP |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|------|-------|----|-----|----|
| Convalescent sera           | Individual serum from laboratory confirmed MERS patients                   | 5      | +               | +    | +     | +  | +   | +  |
| Pooled convalescent sera    | Pooled serum samples from laboratory confirmed MERS patients                | 2      | +               | +    | +     | +  | +   | +  |
| Non-MERS-CoV sera           | Control sera from patients infected by common human coronaviruses (OC43, NL63, 229E, HKU1) | 7      | −               | −    | −     | −  | −   | −  |
| Normal sera (negative) control | Serum samples from 40 healthy blood donors                                  | 40     | −               | −    | −     | −  | −   | −  |

* +, positive; −, negative.
2.2. Construction and characterization of recombinant proteins comprised of various fragments of MERS-CoV proteins fused with luciferase

As shown in Figure 1 A, MERS-CoV NP (1–414 aa) and fragments of MERS-CoV S [S1 (1–734 aa), N-terminal domain (NTD) (18–353 aa), RBD (367–606 aa), and S2 (788–1353 aa)] were amplified by RT-PCR. All of these gene fragments were cloned into the luciferase expression vector pNL1-1-N (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). All recombinant plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 T cells were seeded in a cell culture plate and cultured until the confluence reached ≥85%. Then recombinant plasmids were transfected into HEK 293 T cells using jetPRIME® transfection reagent (Polyplus, Illkirch, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 48 h, cells were lysed on ice for 30 min in lysis buffer, and the supernatants were harvested after centrifugation at 12,000 × rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The expression of NP or S fragments in the fusion proteins was verified by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blotting (WB). Nluc activities in the supernatants were detected after reacting with the luciferase substrate mix (Promega). Relative fluorescence intensity (RFI) values were immediately determined using the Gaomax luminometer (Promega).

2.3. Development of the LISAs based on MERS-CoV NP and fragments of S

Briefly, 96-well Costar flat-bottomed luminometry plates were coated with protein G (5 μg/mL, 100 μL/well) in carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) overnight at 4 °C. After three washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T), the plates were incubated with blocking solution (PBS containing 5% non-fat milk) for 1 h at 37 °C. Then the wells were washed, and aliquots of serially diluted sera (100 μL) were added to the wells and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. The plates were washed and incubated with diluted Nluc-fusion proteins (50 μL) for 30 min at 37 °C. Then the plates were washed again, and luciferase substrate (50 μL) (Promega) was added to each well. RFI values were determined using a Gaomax luminometer (Promega). Each sample was tested in duplicate. The cut-off values were determined as two-fold the average of the normal controls. Negative controls were an equal volume mixture of sera from healthy blood donors. Results were expressed as the mean RFI from duplicate wells, and values were corrected by subtracting the RFI value of the background wells incubated with HEK 293 T cell extracts in the absence of sera.

2.4. qRT-PCR screening assay

Detection of MERs-CoV-specific nucleic acid in the samples was performed using qRT-PCR targeting genes upstream of the envelope (upE) and NP (N) as previously reported [60-62].

2.5. Euroimmun S1-based ELISA for MERS-CoV

A commercially available anti-MERS-CoV ELISA IgG kit was based on the purified MERS-CoV spike protein S1 domain (S1-based ELISA, Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). The samples were serially diluted and tested according to the manufacturer’s recommendations [63]. Euroimmun recommends interpreting results in a semiquantitative way as follows: a ratio of the extinction of the control or patient sample over the extinction of the calibrator < 0.8, 0.8–1.1, and ≥1.1 were defined as negative, borderline, and positive, respectively.

2.6. MERS-CoV S-based ppNT

A MERS-CoV S-based ppNT was used to detect the neutralization activities of serum samples as previously reported [63]. The detection results were expressed as RLI. Serum neutralizing antibody activity was evaluated as the pseudovirus inhibition rate: Inhibition rate (%) = (RLI(normal control) − RLI(samples))/RLI(normal control) × 100. An inhibition rate ≥ 50% was considered as positive neutralization activity.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and SPSS (IBM, New York, NY, USA). Pearson’s correlation coefficients among different assays were calculated, and statistical significance was defined as a p value < 0.05.
3. Results

3.1. Expression of recombinant MERS-CoV NP and fragmented S proteins fused with luciferase

To establish a novel detection method for MERS-CoV IgG, five recombinant plasmids based on NLuc were constructed, which separately contained the full-length of MERS-CoV NP or S1, NTD, RBD, and S2 of S, (Figure 1A). These plasmids were confirmed by restriction endonuclease digestion, gel electrophoresis and DNA sequencing. The recombinant plasmids were used to transfect HEK 293 T cells, and expression of the target proteins in the supernatants of the cell lysates were determined by WB using murine polyclonal antibodies against MERS-CoV (Figure 1B). These results confirmed the construction of the recombinant plasmids and expression of the target fusion proteins.

3.2. The optimal antigen or antigenic domains needed for anti-MERS-CoV IgG detection

MERS-CoV NP and S1, NTD, RBD, and S2 of the S protein (Figure 1) were used to develop the LISA by characterizing the binding domains needed for anti-MERS-CoV IgG. In order to avoid the difference in transfection efficiency and protein expression from different preparations, we measured the luciferase activity of crude cell lysates to determine the RFI, which was usually between $10^8$ and $10^{11}$. For individual antigens, cell lysates with a minimum of $10^7$ RFI were added to each reaction in the LISA. In addition, we included positive and negative controls in each reaction plate to ensure that the results were consistent and reproducible. Normal (negative) controls of serum samples from 40 healthy blood donors were diluted by 1:100 and used to determine the background values and calculate the cut-off levels of anti MERS-CoV IgG detection by LISA. The cut-off values were determined to be 2-fold of the average RFI value of normal adult controls, i.e., 21,388, 17,344, 15,748, 18,398 and 15,259 for S1-, RBD-, NP-, NTD-, and S2-LISAs for MERS-CoV IgG, respectively. The serum sample from the first imported MERS-CoV-infected patient in China was serially diluted and detected. The S1- and RBD-LISAs confirmed the positivity of the sample at a dilution as low as 1:1600, which was slightly better than the NP-LISA. However, the NTD-LISA was unable to distinguish the positive sample from the normal control at the dilution of 1:400, and S2-LISA was not able to detect the difference at a dilution of 1:100 (Figure 2). This indicated that the S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs were superior to the NTD- and S2-LISAs for detecting MERS-CoV IgG from human samples.

3.3. Comparison of the characteristics of MERS-CoV LISAs and the commercial S1-ELISA and ppNT for the detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG

To further confirm the efficiency of S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs, a panel of samples supplied by the WHO (Table 1) were used to compare the efficacy
of various LISAs in detecting anti-MERS-CoV IgG, together with the commercially available Euroimmune S1-ELISA and ppNT kits as controls. The results are summarized in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 3. The NP-, S1-, and RBD-LISAs showed high consistency with S1-ELISA and ppNT. All of these methods were able to specifically distinguish MERS-CoV-infected samples from other HCoV-infected samples (Table 1 and Figure 3). Subsequently, correlations of S1-, RBD-, and NP-based LISAs with that based on the S1-ELISA were analyzed (Figure 4). The scatter plots showed excellent correlations between the S1-ELISA and the S1-, RBD-, NP-LISAs, with Pearson’s correlation coefficients of 0.8096, 0.8218, and 0.8731, respectively. To further evaluate the sensitivity of the S1-ELISA and S1-, RBD-, NP-LISAs, several serum samples supplied by the WHO were diluted serially and detected by each of these assays (Figure 5). The RBD-LISA confirmed the positivity of all samples and S1-LISA confirmed two of four (the volume of the fifth serum was not enough for the detection) at a dilution as low as 1:25,600. RBD-LISA, and S1-LISA were highly superior to that of NP, which detected all samples as positive at a dilution of 1:1600. However, all four positive samples were not detected by the S1-ELISA, even at a 1:400 dilution, indicating that the lower limits of detection of the MERS-CoV LISAs were 16–64-

![Figure 2](image-url) Sensitivities of the LISAs based on different Nluc-antigens. Serum samples from the first imported MERS patient in China collected 28 days after admission to the hospital (positive; Pos) were serially diluted and detected. One sample from a healthy blood donor was used as negative control (negative; Neg). Cut-off values were determined from serum samples from 40 healthy blood donors. RFI, relative fluorescence intensity.

![Figure 3](image-url) Specificity and cross-reactivity of a LISA and commercial S1-ELISA were tested with a panel of sera. The panel included single and pooled convalescent sera from confirmed MERS patients and negative control serum. The details of the sample descriptions are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 5](image-url) Sensitivities of the LISA and commercial S1-ELISA were tested with a panel of sera. The panel included single and pooled convalescent sera from confirmed MERS patients and negative control serum. The details of the sample descriptions are shown in Table 1.
Moreover, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was conducted to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the S1-ELISA, S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs (Figure 6). When the serum was diluted to 1:100, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to be 1.0 for all four assays, and both the percentage of sensitivity and specificity for each assay was estimated to be 100% at the given cut-off values. When the serum was diluted to 1:400, 1:1600, and even 1:6400, the AUC for the S1-LISA and RBD-LISA was calculated to be 1.0, while the AUC for the NP-LISA and S1-ELISA declined slightly to 0.98 and 0.88, respectively. Additionally, the percentage of sensitivity and specificity for the S1-LISA and RBD-LISA was maintained at 100% at the given cut-off values; however, the sensitivity
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**Figure 4.** Correlation of the MERS-CoV LISAs (RFI) with the S1-ELISA (ratio) for detecting IgG antibody. Ratio of the S1-ELISA results was plotted against the RFI of the S1-LISA, RBD-LISA, and NP-LISA. The samples used in the plots included five convalescent serum samples from a MERS-CoV-infected patient with multiple dilutions and three sera from normal (negative) controls listed in Table 1.
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**Figure 5.** Analysis of the sensitivity of MERS-CoV S1-ELISA and LISAs. Five samples (four convalescent sera from MERS-CoV-infected patients and one normal serum used as negative control, the volume of the fifth convalescent serum was not enough for detection) were serially diluted and dispensed into the wells of the same plate. Each sample was tested in duplicate. Data are representatively from at least two independent experiments.
for NP-LISA and S1-ELISA declined to about 80% and 70%, respectively, even though the specificity was still 100%. These results indicated that the S1- and RBD-LISAs were superior to the NP-LISA and S1-ELISA in sensitivity and specificity for detecting anti-MERS-CoV antibodies in human serum samples.

3.4. Application of LISA in detecting anti-MERS-CoV IgG from animal samples

To further evaluate the efficiency of MERS-CoV-LISA, serum samples from uninfected camels (controls), MERS-CoV-infected camels (confirmed to be positive by RT-PCR), monkeys, and mice were detected using the

Figure 6. ROC analysis. AUC values for the WHO antibody panel were determined using a 1:100 dilution (left) or 1:100, 1:400, and 1:1600 to 1:6400 dilution (right) using commercial Euroimmun S1-ELISA (A), in-house S1-LISA (B), RBD-LISA (C), and NP-LISA (D).
above-mentioned LISAs, with ppNT as the control. The results are shown in Table 2. All 18 normal camel samples (C21–C38) were confirmed to be negative by all the methods tested. A total of 17 of 20 MERS-CoV-infected camel samples were positive by ppNT, while C1, C7, and C13 were negative, which was inconsistent with RT-PCR results. Notably, C7 was positive for anti-MERS-CoV IgG based on the S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs, while C3 was detected as positive by ppNT but as negative for anti-MERS-CoV IgG based on S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs. Moreover, samples C11, C14, and C20 were determined to be positive by ppNT and RBD-LISA, but were negative by S1- and NP-LISA. Our results also showed that the two samples from MERS-CoV-infected marmoset monkeys (R2 and R3) and the two samples from MERS-CoV infected mice (M1 and M2) were positive via ppNT, as well as S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs. The results showed that if RT-PCR results served as the standard, the sensitivity of S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISA was 70%, 85%, and 60% respectively, and the specificity was 100% for all assays. When ppNT results served as the standard, the sensitivity was 81%, 95%, and 71%, respectively, and the specificity was 95% for all assays. The results showed that S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs were highly consistent with the ppNT results. In conclusion, MERS-CoV S1-, RBD-, and NP-LISAs showed high consistency with ppNT and RT-PCR. The RBD-LISA showed the highest consistency with ppNT (Table 2).

4. Discussion

MERS is an emerging infectious disease with a high fatality rate [64]. Diagnosis of MERS-CoV infection using anti-MERS-CoV IgG is typical for post-exposure epidemiologic studies or seroprevalence investigations [18,48,53,54,63]. Although anti-MERS-CoV serologic test kits are commercially available, such as ELISA and IFA developed by Euroimmun (Lübeck, Germany), the performance (especially sensitivity as a screening test) of these kits needs to be optimized. In this study, we reported various novel MERS-CoV recombinant antigen-based LISAs that do not require a BSL-3 laboratory or species-specific secondary antibodies. Furthermore, these LISA-based assays are potentially useful for the rapid, specific, and sensitive detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG in both humans and animals.

Traditionally, PRNT and MN have been the standards for detecting MERS-CoV-specific antibodies. However, these assays are time-consuming, laborious, and require high-level biosafety facilities [53,60]. The performance of the commercial MERS-CoV S1-based ELISA has been assessed by different groups [18,35,51,65,66], all of which have shown that the sensitivity of this assay needs to be improved, as it shows high cut-off values from the optical density (OD) ratio (the manufacturer's instructions provided a higher breakpoint for ELISA IgG to warrant speciﬁcation values from the optical density (OD) ratio (the manufacturer’s information). Dromedary camels, bats, and other animals are potentially involved in MERS-CoV transmission [1,12,35,42,60]. Antibodies against MERS-CoV in domestic livestock (including dromedary camels) in the Middle-East and Africa were investigated using ppNT, MN, and IFA assays [7,27,29,34–36,48,53,60,70]. MERS-CoV IgG seropositivity gradually increased in dromedary calves from the Middle East with increasing age based on the S1-ELISA. Currently, there are several knowledge gaps regarding the zoonotic origin and animal-human interface of MERS-CoV infection due to limited tools and resources [12,49]. It is very important to establish a platform to screen anti-MERS-CoV IgG among different species in endemic areas and conduct a traceable investigation of animal epidemic foci. Theoretically, samples from vectors, such as mites and mosquitoes, as well as other animals, including bats, mice, camels, and monkeys, could be detected by LISA; however, these may not be detected using a typical ELISA due to the lack of suitable enzyme-labeled secondary antibodies. In this study, the commercial S1 ELISA did not detect positive sera samples from camels, monkeys, or mice. However, the positive samples were successfully detected by several LISAs established in this study, which proved the applicability and reliability of LISAs. Additionally, anti-MERS-CoV IgG detected by LISAs demonstrated a strong correlation with ppNT and RT-PCR from several animal hosts including camels, mice, and monkeys. Furthermore, RBD-LISA was the most sensitive LISA developed in this study. In conclusion, we have developed several novel LISAs for the detection of anti-MERS-CoV IgG in humans and animals. These assays have the potential to be used for large-scale screening of anti-MERS-CoV IgG and study of serological epidemiology of MERS-CoV infection among all species.
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