Genetic identification of a population of noradrenergic neurons implicated in attenuation of stress-related responses
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Abstract

Noradrenergic signaling plays a well-established role in promoting the stress response. Here we identify a subpopulation of noradrenergic neurons, defined by developmental expression of Hoxb1, that has a unique role in modulating stress-related behavior. Using an intersectional chemogenetic strategy, in combination with behavioral and physiological analyses, we show that activation of Hoxb1-noradrenergic (Hoxb1-NE) neurons decreases anxiety-like behavior and promotes an active coping strategy in response to acute stressors. In addition, we use cerebral blood volume (CBV)-weighted functional magnetic resonance imaging to show that chemoactivation of Hoxb1-NE neurons results in reduced activity in stress-related brain regions, including the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), amygdala, and locus coeruleus. Thus, the actions of Hoxb1-NE neurons are distinct from the well documented functions of the locus coeruleus in promoting the stress response, demonstrating that the noradrenergic system contains multiple functionally distinct subpopulations.
Introduction

Norepinephrine has long been regarded as a stress neurotransmitter that can induce anxiety and changes in cardiovascular activity.\(^1, 2\) Our understanding of the role of noradrenergic neurons in stress is derived from research that focuses primarily on locus coeruleus noradrenergic (LC-NE) neurons; however, other central NE neurons also provide a substantial input to stress-related brain regions.\(^3–10\) Most studies suggest that these neurons, like those of the locus coeruleus, promote the stress response,\(^11–14\) but there is some evidence that hints at an opposite role.\(^15, 16\) These inconsistent and contradictory findings may result from heterogeneity among non-LC-NE neurons and the difficulty in reproducibly targeting these loosely-organized cells in the pons and medulla.

Strategies for targeting noradrenergic neurons based on molecular criteria\(^8\) offer the possibility of reproducible experimental access to functionally relevant subgroups that have been obscured by the anatomical classification previously used to study these neurons. We previously reported two subgroupings of noradrenergic neurons, each defined by developmental expression of specific transcription factors, that project to stress-related forebrain targets such as the basolateral amygdala (BLA) and BNST.\(^8\) The first, defined by \(\text{En}1\) (\(\text{En}1\)-LC neurons), includes the compact locus coeruleus. The second, defined by \(\text{Hoxb}1\) (\(\text{Hoxb}1\)-NE neurons),\(^8, 17\) is scattered in the medulla and pons outside of the LC. In addition to their forebrain targets, \(\text{Hoxb}1\)-NE neurons also project to the LC, suggesting they may play a unique role in the regulation of stress.

Here, we test this hypothesis using an intersectional chemogenetic approach\(^18\) to assess the behavioral and physiological effect of selectively activating \(\text{Hoxb}1\)-NE neurons. We found that direct activation of this population resulted in a more active response to acute stressors and reduced anxiety-like behavior. Consistent with this behavioral phenotype, functional MRI and Fos immunohistochemistry revealed reduced activity in some stress-related brain regions. Collectively, our results indicate that contrary to the general belief that activation of the noradrenergic system facilitates stress reactivity, \(\text{Hoxb}1\)-NE neurons have a distinct role in attenuating the behavioral response to acute stress.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All procedures related to the use of animals were approved by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Animal Care and Use Committee and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. \(\text{Hoxb}1^{\text{tm1}(\text{cre})}\text{Og}\) (\(\text{Hoxb}1^{\text{cre}}\),\(^19\) \(\text{En}1^{\text{tm2}(\text{cre})}\text{Wist}\) (\(\text{En}1^{\text{cre}}\),\(^20\) \(\text{Dbh}^{\text{tm1}(\text{Flpo})}\text{Pjen}\) (\(\text{Dbh}^{\text{Flpo}}\)),\(^8\) \(\text{Gt}(\text{ROSA})^{26}\text{Sor}^{\text{tm3.2}(\text{CAG-EGFP,-CHRM3*/mCherry/Htr2a})}\text{Pjen}\) (\(\text{RC::FL-hM3Dq}\)),\(^18\) and \(\text{Gt}(\text{ROSA})^{26}\text{Sor}^{\text{tm1.3}(\text{CAG-tdTomato,-EGFP})}\text{Pjen}\) (\(\text{RC::FLTG}\))\(^21\) mouse colonies are maintained on a C57BL/6J background. Male and female triple transgenic animals were generated by crossing \(\text{Hoxb}1^{\text{cre}}\) or \(\text{En}1^{\text{cre}}\) mice to double transgenic \(\text{Dbh}^{\text{Flpo}}\); \(\text{RC::FL-hM3Dq}\) or \(\text{Dbh}^{\text{Flpo}}\); \(\text{RC::FLTG}\) mice. Single- and double-transgenic littermates served as controls. All animals were group housed and maintained on a 12/12 h light-dark cycle with access to food and water \textit{ad libitum}.
**Tissue Collection**

For timed matings, noon of the day a copulation plug was observed was designated as embryonic day (E) 0.5. E14.5 embryos were collected and immersion-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.01 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 4 °C overnight. Following equilibration in 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose in PBS, embryos were embedded in Tissue Freezing Medium (General Data Company, Cincinnati, OH), and 14 μm sagittal cryosections were mounted on Superfrost Plus microscope slides (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), air dried and stored at −80 °C.

Adult mice were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital and perfused transcardially with PBS followed by 4% PFA. Brains and adrenals were postfixed overnight by immersion in 4% PFA at 4°C. Following a rinse in PBS, tissue was cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS and embedded in Tissue Freezing Medium. 40 μm free-floating coronal brain sections were collected in PBS, transferred to a cryoprotectant and stored at −80 °C. 14 μm sagittal brain sections were collected on Superfrost Plus slides, air dried, and stored at −80 °C. Adrenals were sectioned at 20 μm and collected on Superfrost Plus slides, air dried and stored at −80 °C.

**Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization**

Immunofluorescent labeling was used to enhance signal from fluorescent proteins in fixed tissue. mCherry-expressing neurons were detected using Rat anti-mCherry primary antibody (1:1000; EST202, Kerafast, Boston, MA) and Goat anti-rat Alexa Fluor 568 secondary antibody (1:1000; A11077, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). eGFP-expressing neurons and axons were detected using Chicken anti-GFP primary antibody (1:1000; AB13970, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and Goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (1:1000; A11039, Invitrogen). tdTomato-expressing neurons and fibers were detected using Rabbit anti-dsRed primary antibody (1:1000; 632496, Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Mountain View, CA) and Goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 secondary antibody (1:1000; A11077, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The noradrenergic identity of neurons was confirmed with Rabbit anti-TH primary antibody (1:1000; AB152, Millipore, Billerica, MA) and Goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 secondary antibody (1:1000; A11036, Invitrogen), or Rat anti-tdTomato primary antibody (1:2000; EST203, Kerafast) and Goat anti-rat Alexa Fluor 568 secondary antibody (1:1000; A11077, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The noradrenergic identity of fibers was confirmed with Mouse anti-NET primary antibody (1:1000; 1447-NET, PhosphoSolutions, Aurora, CO) and Goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 633 secondary antibody (1:1000; A21052, Invitrogen). Fos was detected using a Rabbit anti-c-Fos primary antibody (1:2000; ab190289, Abcam) and Goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 633 secondary antibody (1:1000; ThermoFisher Scientific). TrueBlack (Biotium, Fremont, CA) was applied in some experiments to reduce the level of autofluorescence.

For immunoperoxidase staining, Fos was detected using Rabbit anti-cFos primary antibody and a biotinylated Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:500, BA-1000, Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA) used in conjunction with Vectastain Elite ABC kit and DAB substrate kit (Vector Labs) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To detect NE neurons in immunoperoxidase-stained sections containing the LC, we used chicken anti-TH
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primary antibody (1:500; CH23006, Neuromics, Edina, MN) and biotinylated goat anti-
chicken secondary antibody (1:500; BA-9010, Vector Labs) with Vectastain Elite ABC kit
and Vector SG substrate kit (Vector Labs). *In situ* hybridization was performed using
RNAscope probes (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA) for Mm-Pnmt-C3 (426421-
C3), Mm-Gal-C3 (400961-C3), Mm-Penk-C3 (318761-C3), Mm-Npy-C3 (313321-C3)
according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Coverslips were applied with Vectashield hard
set mounting medium with DAPI (H-1500, Vector Labs) or Prolong Diamond Antifade
Mountant (P36970, Invitrogen) for fluorescently labeled slides, and with Permount (SP15–
500, ThermoFisher Scientific) for immunoperoxidase-labeled slides.

**Digital image processing**

Images of immunofluorescently-labeled sections were collected using Zeiss LSM 710, 780,
or 880 inverted confocal microscopes (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Thornwood, NY). When
necessary, Zen 2012 Black Software (Carl Zeiss) was used to convert z-stacks to maximum
intensity projections. Images were modified only by adjusting brightness and contrast across
the entire image to optimize the fluorescence signal. Anatomical location was confirmed by
reference to a mouse brain atlas. For Fos quantification, brightfield images of
immunoperoxidase stained tissue were acquired using an Aperio AT2 slide scanner (Leica
Biosystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) with a 40× objective. Digital images were subsequently
exported from Aperio Imagescope (Leica Biosystems) to FIJI software as a tif or jpeg file
for further analyses.

**Cell counts**

To quantify the number of *Hoxb1*-NE neurons in the subcoeruleus, A5, A1, and A2 nuclei,
we labeled the mCherry- and EGFP-positive neurons using double immunofluorescence as
described above. Cell numbers are the sum of unilateral counts from 14 μm sagittal sections
spaced approximately 70 μm apart. We manually counted the cells in these noradrenergic
nuclei for a total of 12 animals. The number of Fos-positive *Hoxb1*-NE neurons following
forced swim was quantified using the same procedure (n=5–7 mice per group). All counts
were conducted by an experimenter blinded to the treatment group. To determine the number
of *Hoxb1*-NE neurons that express galanin, enkephalin, or neuropeptide Y, we used *in situ*
hybridization in combination with immunofluorescence labeling for EGFP and tdTomato on
hindbrain sections from *Hoxb1*^{cre}; *Dbh^{F1po}; RC::FLTG* triple transgenic mice (n=2–3 mice
per peptide). Unilateral counts of EGFP-labeled and EGFP/peptide-colabeled neurons were
summed from 14-μm sagittal sections spaced approximately 140 μm apart. Quantification of
Fos-positive neurons (n=4–6 mice per treatment group) was performed on 40 μm
immunoperoxidase-stained coronal sections (every 4th section) in the following brain
regions: medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), caudate putamen (CPu), dorsal and ventral BNST
dBNST and vBNST), BLA, central amygdala (CeA), paraventricular nucleus of
hypothalamus (PVN), lateral hypothalamus (LH), dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH),
ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH), and the locus coeruleus. The quantification was
conducted by an experimenter blinded to the treatment group. FIJI software was used to
measure the region of interest and perform automatic counting. The number of positive
neurons was then normalized to area (expressed as mm²) for statistical analysis.
Slice preparation and electrophysiological recordings

*Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq and control mice (2–4 months old for cell-attached recording with no blockers, and 2–4 weeks old for cell-attached recording with blockers) were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Brains were quickly removed and placed into ice-cold cutting solution (110 mM sucrose, 60 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 7 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 28 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM ascorbate, 3 mM Na pyruvate, and 5 mM glucose) saturated with oxygen (95%O₂/5%CO₂). Coronal vibratome slices (250–300 μm thick) through the brainstem containing the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) were collected into oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing 126 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.3 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃, and 10 mM glucose. After recovery at 34 °C for 30 minutes (min), the slices were kept at room temperature before recording.

A2 noradrenergic neurons that express either mCherry (*Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq) or EGFP (littermate controls) were identified by fluorescence under a 60× (NA 1.0) objective on a Nikon ECLIPSE FN1 microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY) equipped with an iXon camera (Andor Technology Ltd., South Windsor, CT), Mosaic 3 imaging system (Andor Technology) and 545 nm X-Cite LED (Excelitas Technologies Corp., Waltham, MA). The recording patch-pipette was filled with aCSF and spikes were recorded in cell-attached loose-seal configuration to be minimally invasive and preserve G-protein-coupled signal transduction. Data were collected using an Axopatch 200B amplifier with Clampex 10.2 (Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA) and analyzed with Clampfit 10.2 (Molecular Devices). Neurons with positive responses to 25 mM KCl stimulation were tested for the effect of CNO (10 μM) applied via bath perfusion at a rate of 1.5–2 ml per min. For measurement of membrane potential change, tetrodotoxin (1 μM) and nimodipine (1 μM) were added to aCSF to block voltage-dependent Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ channels respectively.

Behavioral tests and physiological measurements

All animals were tested during the light phase of the light-dark cycle. Adult male and female *Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq, *En1*-LC/hM3Dq, and littermate control mice were randomly assigned to receive i.p. injection of vehicle (0.6% DMSO in saline) or CNO (1 mg/kg). To allow direct comparison with *En1*-LC/hM3Dq mice, we timed CNO injection and testing to match our previously published protocols for the open field, light dark box, and elevated plus maze which show that effects of CNO can be detected 20–30 minutes post-injection. The same cohort of *En1*-LC/hM3Dq and control mice was used for the forced swim and tail suspension test. Similarly, the same cohort of *Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq and control mice underwent the open field, light dark box, and elevated plus maze. A subset of *Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq and control mice used to measure body temperature was also used in measuring heart beat and the corticosterone level. If animals were used in more than one test, they were allowed at least 72 hours rest between each experiment. Details of the tests are described below.

*Forced swim test.*—To determine if *Hoxb1*-NE neurons respond to an acute stressor, *Hoxb1*-NE/hM3Dq mice were exposed to a forced swim for 6 min. During this time, mice were placed in a transparent cylinder (9.5 cm in diameter, height 44 cm; Noldus, Leesburg,
VA) filled with water (~22 °C, height 20 cm) and lit by white light. The control group of mice remained in their cages and did not undergo the forced swim. Two hours after the end of the forced swim exposure, tissue was collected and processed for Fos immunohistochemistry as described above.

To test whether activation of Hoxb1-NE and En1-LC neurons affects the response to the forced swim stress, mice were administered vehicle or CNO (1 mg/kg) 20 min prior to the test. As described above, mice were placed in a transparent cylinder filled with water for 6 min, during which time their behavior was recorded. Immobility, or floating behavior, is defined as the absence of directed movements of animals’ head and body. The total time spent floating was later scored manually by an observer who was blind to the genotype and treatment of the animals. After the test, each mouse was dried completely before being returned to their home cages.

**Tail suspension test.**—This test was conducted in a test chamber (54 × 19 × 47 cm; Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL), and the session started 20 min after mice received an i.p. injection of vehicle or CNO. Each mouse was suspended by its tail for 6 min, and its behavior during this time was recorded. The immobility time, which is defined as the total duration that animal shows no movement, was later scored by an observer who was blind to the genotype and treatment of the animals.

**Open field test.**—Following i.p. injection of vehicle or CNO, mice were immediately placed in an unilluminated open arena (27 × 27 × 20 cm; Med Associates Inc., Fairfax, VT) and allowed to freely explore the arena for 90 min. Distance travelled during the 90-min period was recorded and analyzed.

**Light dark box.**—The test was conducted in an arena (27 × 27 × 20 cm, Med Associates) that was equally divided into two compartments (dark: 0 lux; light: ~980 lux). To test whether Hoxb1-NE activation affects the performance in the light dark box test, mice received an injection 20 min prior to the test and were allowed to freely explore both compartments for 10 min. To confirm that noradrenergic signaling underlies the behavioral changes induced by Hoxb1-NE stimulation, mice received two injections prior to the light dark box testing. The first injection was either a vehicle (1% DMSO in sterile water) or an adrenergic antagonist cocktail, which consists of prazosin (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) and propranolol (5 mg/kg, i.p.). Thirty minutes afterwards, the mice received either vehicle or CNO (1 mg/kg, i.p.) injections. The mice were tested in the light dark box for 10 minutes, twenty minutes after the second injection. The time spent in the light compartment was recorded and analyzed.

**Elevated plus maze.**—Mice received vehicle or CNO 30 min prior to the test. At the start of the test, mice were placed on the center of a brightly illuminated ‘+’ shaped maze (Stoelting Co.), which consists of two open arms (35 × 5 cm) and two closed arms (35 × 5 cm) elevated 50 cm above the floor. The test lasted 5 min, during which time the mice were allowed to freely explore the maze. The time spent in the open and closed arms, and the number of entries into the open and closed arms were recorded and later scored by an observer who was blind to the genotype and treatment of the animals. These measurements,
as well as the % time in the open arm (time in the open arm/time in the open and closed arms), were later used for analysis.

Heart rate measurement.—Heart rate was measured using the ECGenie system (Mouse Specifics, Inc., Framingham, MA). The electrocardiography (ECG) signals were detected through disposable footpad electrodes and digitized (16-bit precision) using a sampling rate of 2,000 samples per second. Each animal was allowed to acclimate to the platform for at least 15 min before the recording started. Following an i.p. injection of vehicle or CNO, the animals were placed back to the platform for an additional 40 min, during which time their ECG signals were monitored and recorded. For the analysis, 3–4 segments of continuous recordings (containing around 20–30 ECG signals) at 0–5 min, 20 min, 40 min time points were selected. These signals were analyzed using e-Mouse software (Mouse Specifics), which generated the heart rate data using the average of R-R interval. For each animal, the data were the average of at least 3 segments during individual time point and were expressed as beats per minute.

Body temperature measurement.—Mice were briefly restrained for baseline measurement of body temperature using a rectal probe (Physitemp Instruments, Clifton, NJ). Following i.p. injection of vehicle or CNO, body temperature was measured every 20 min for 60 min.

Blood collection and corticosterone measurement

Blood samples were collected via the submandibular vein, 20 min after mice received either vehicle or CNO (1 mg/kg, i.p.) injection during the light phase of the light-dark cycle. This timing was selected to be consistent with the time of day and dosing schedule of behavioral experiments. The serum was separated from the samples, and the corticosterone level was measured using an enzyme immunoassay kit (K014-H5, Arbor Assays, Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Functional MRI

fMRI was performed on Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq and control mice anesthetized with continuous flow of 0.7–1.0% isoflurane in medical air using a 9.4T/30 cm scanner with a BGA-9S gradient insert, a 72 mm volume transmitter, and a quadrature mouse brain receiver coil (Bruker BioSpin, Billerica, MA). Body temperature, ECG and respiratory rate were continuously monitored while scanning to ensure stable physiological conditions throughout the experiments. Carboxymethyl Dextran coated Iron Oxide Nanoparticles (CION) developed in-house were injected intravenously (30 mg Fe/kg) to achieve cerebral blood volume (CBV)-weighted fMRI to improve functional contrast to noise.24–27 Single-shot gradient-echo echo-planar-imaging (bandwidth = 250 kHz, TR/TE = 3000/12 ms, matrix = 64 × 64, FOV = 1.92 cm², slice number = 26 and slice thickness = 0.3 mm) was used to image the entire brain at an isotropic resolution of 300 μm continuously every 3 seconds for 40 minutes, with a 1 mg/kg dose of CNO injected via intraperitoneal catheter 10 minutes after scanning onset. fMRI data from individual subjects were preprocessed using AFNI v. 16.0.0128 and warped to a probabilistic anatomical atlas29 using ANTs v.2.1.0–5.30 Regions of interest (ROIs) were determined by first placing seeds on corresponding anatomical
structures and parcellated into functional clusters. These ROIs were thresholded with a FDR corrected P-value ≤0.05. fMRI time-course data were detrended using a linear fit of the baseline period to correct for linear temporal drifts attributed to both the contrast agent washout from circulation and/or MR gradient heating. CBV changes were calculated for each ROI and a mean CBV percent change between baseline and post-CNO time-courses were compared between each scan for both Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq and control mice.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are expressed as the mean ± standard error (SEM). When the data met the assumption of normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test for normality) and equal variances (Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances), we used unpaired 2-tailed t-test, two-way or repeated measures ANOVA to determine the differences between groups. When the data were skewed or did not meet the assumptions, we either transformed the raw data before conducting unpaired t-test and ANOVA or used non-parametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U test for analysis. Significance was set at P<0.05 for all analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) or JMP Pro v.13 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Graphpad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) were used to create graphs. The number of subjects and specific statistical analyses used in each experiment are indicated in the text, figure legends, and Supplementary Table 1. We did not use any statistical methods to predetermine sample sizes; however, our sample sizes are similar to those reported previously.

**Results**

**Intersectional chemogenetic targeting of Hoxb1-NE neurons**

To determine the role of Hoxb1-NE neurons in response to acute stressors, we employed an intersectional chemogenetic approach similar to the strategy previously used to interrogate En1-LC neurons. We generated triple transgenic Hoxb1cre; DbhFlpo; RC::FL-hM3Dq (Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq) mice in which the excitatory G-protein-coupled receptor hM3Dq fused to mCherry is expressed in neurons with a shared history of Hoxb1cre and the noradrenergic-specific driver DbhFlpo. All remaining NE neurons, with no history of Hoxb1cre expression, are labelled with EGFP (Figure 1a–b). This strategy solves the problem of gaining selective experimental access to a neuronal population that is too widely dispersed for reliable viral targeting and which no longer expresses Hoxb1 in the adult. In triple transgenic mice, we observed tyrosine hydroxylase+, hM3Dq-mCherry+ neurons intermingled with EGFP+ neurons in the dorsal and ventral subcoeruleus (SubCD and SubCV), A5, and caudal A1 and A2 noradrenergic nuclei, constituting approximately 17% of NE neurons in these nuclei (Figure 1b–c). In addition, we observed a small population of Hoxb1-NE neurons in the peripheral nervous system and adrenal medulla (Supplementary Figure 1).

To determine whether the synthetic hM3Dq ligand, clozapine-N-oxide (CNO), could activate hM3Dq-expressing Hoxb1-NE neurons, we performed cell-attached recordings on brain slices at the level of the caudal A2 noradrenergic nucleus. As expected, application of 10 μM
CNO significantly increased the frequency of action potentials in hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing neurons of Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice (Wilcoxon signed ranks test, P=0.018), while having no effect in littermate controls (Wilcoxon signed ranks test, P=0.317) (Figure 1d-e). To eliminate network effects and oscillations, we next recorded in the presence of the Na⁺ channel blocker tetrodotoxin (1 μM) and L-type Ca²⁺ channel blocker nimodipine (1 μM). Under this condition, bath application of CNO (10 μM) elicited a significant depolarization in hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing neurons (1.28 ± 0.43 mV) but had no effect on controls (0.11 ± 0.25 mV) (Difference between Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq and controls: Mann-Whitney U test, P=0.030) (Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, these data validate our chemogenetic strategy, confirming we can reliably manipulate the activity of Hoxb1-NE neurons.

In vivo activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons promotes an active response to acute stress

We first assessed whether Hoxb1-NE neurons are activated in response to an acute stressor by determining levels of Fos expression following a 6-minute forced swim, a well-established paradigm to induce stress. We found a significant increase in Fos expression in Hoxb1-NE neurons of the subcoeruleus, compared to controls, following the forced swim stressor (unpaired t-test, P=0.033) (Figure 2a), confirming that the endogenous activity of this population is implicated in acute stress responses.

Next, we examined whether in vivo activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons affects how animals respond to acute stressors. Maladaptive response to stress is associated with psychological disorders such as depression, and noradrenergic transmission has long been implicated in this process. To investigate the role of this non-LC population, Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq and littermate control mice underwent the forced swim and tail suspension tests. Both are well-established paradigms to induce stress and assess the level of behavioral despair, and are commonly used to assess the effectiveness of antidepressants. CNO-treated (1 mg/kg, i.p.) Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice spent significantly less time immobile compared to vehicle-treated mice in both the forced swim (two-way ANOVA, genotype × treatment interaction: F(1, 62)=7.132, P=0.01) and tail suspension tests (two-way ANOVA, genotype × treatment interaction: F(1, 53)=5.782, P=0.02) (Figure 2b-c and Supplementary Figure 3).

The reduction of immobility induced by Hoxb1-NE activation cannot be attributed to mere change in locomotion, as there was no difference in the distance travelled by CNO-treated Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice and controls in a 90-minute open field test (10-minute bin time course: repeated measures ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 20)=0.507, P=0.485; 90 min: two-way ANOVA, genotype × treatment interaction: F(3, 58)=0.967, P=0.414) (Figure 2d and Supplementary Figure 3). Furthermore, we observed no difference in distance travelled 21–26 minutes post-injection (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 58)=0.007, P=0.932), which corresponds to the time frame of the forced swim and tail suspension tests (Supplementary Figure 3). These findings suggest that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons mimics the effect of antidepressants and is sufficient to promote a more active response when facing an acute stressor.

Next, we used En1-LC/hM3Dq mice to directly compare the behavioral consequences of activating the LC during forced swim and tail suspension tests. In contrast to activation of
Hoxb1-NE neurons, activation of En1-LC neurons significantly increased immobility time in the forced swim test compared to controls (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 48)=5.726, P=0.021). In the tail suspension test, there was no difference in immobility time between CNO- or vehicle-treated En1-LC/hM3Dq mice or their littermate controls (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 46)=0.093, P=0.762) (Figure 2e-f). Taken together, these results suggest that Hoxb1- and LC-NE neurons play very different roles in an animal’s response to acute stress.

In vivo activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons reduces anxiety-like behavior and heart rate

Acute stress can also evoke anxiety, a negative affective state characterized by a high level of arousal. LC-NE signaling is critical in driving this response. To investigate the role of Hoxb1-NE neurons in mediating this aspect of the stress response we tested Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice and controls in the light dark box (LDB) and elevated plus maze (EPM). Chemoactivation of Hoxb1-NE neurons significantly increased the time spent in the more aversive light compartment of the LDB compared to controls (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 62)= 3.043, P=0.086; a priori unpaired t-test in Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice: t(20)=-2.139, P=0.045) (Figure 2g). Our results from the EPM further support an anxiolytic effect of Hoxb1-NE activation. As shown in Figure 2h, chemogenetic activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons significantly increased the number of entries into, and time spent exploring, the more aversive open arm of the EPM (entries: two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 56)= 4.629, P=0.036; percentage time: two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 56)= 7.773, P=0.007). There was no difference in total arm entries (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 56)=0.000, P=0.995), or closed arm entries between vehicle- and CNO-treated Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice (two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 56)= 5.612, P=0.021; post-hoc unpaired t-test: t(18)=0.651, P=0.523) (Supplementary Figure 4), indicating that the change in open arm entries was not due to nonspecific impairment in locomotion. However, there was a significant reduction in closed arm entries by CNO-treated controls, relative to vehicle-treated controls (post-hoc unpaired t-test: t(38)=3.282, P=0.002) (Supplementary Figure 4). Taken together, these data demonstrate that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons results in decreased anxiety-like behavior. In contrast, our previous results showed that activation of En1-LC neurons elicits anxiety-like behavior in the EPM and LDB tests. Thus, these two distinct populations may play opposite roles in anxiety-like behavior.

Physiological alterations such as increased heart rate constitute another hallmark response to stress mediated by the LC-NE system. To evaluate the effect of Hoxb1-NE neuron activation on heart rate, we performed electrocardiogram recordings in conscious mice. We found that CNO significantly reduced the heart rate of Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice for 40 minutes post-injection, while causing no change in littermate controls (repeated measures ANOVA, genotype × treatment × time interaction: F(2, 82)=5.007, P=0.009) (Figure 3a). Similar behavioral and physiological phenotypes can be induced by direct vagal stimulation, suggesting that our results could be mediated peripherally. To test this hypothesis, we examined two additional physiological changes associated with vagal nerve stimulation: decreased core temperature and increased corticosterone levels. Upon Hoxb1-NE neuron activation we measured no change in either temperature or corticosterone levels.
compared to controls (temperature: repeated measures ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 78)=0.271, P=0.604; corticosterone: two-way ANOVA, effect of treatment: F(1, 50)=0.134, P=0.716) (Figure 3b and c). These results suggest that the behavioral and physiological phenomena observed upon Hoxb1-NE neuron activation are likely governed by the central noradrenergic system.

**Noradrenergic signaling underlies the anxiolytic effect of Hoxb1-NE activation**

We next wanted to know whether the anxiolytic response upon Hoxb1-NE neuron activation was dependent on NE. Noradrenergic neurons are known to coexpress a variety of neuropeptides, which can not only modulate their activity, but also play a prominent role in regulating stress responses. Several of these stress-related neuropeptides—such as galanin, enkephalin, and neuropeptide Y (NPY)—are found in noradrenergic nuclei outside of the LC, raising the possibility that the phenotypes observed upon Hoxb1-NE activation are not mediated by NE. To address this question, we assessed the performance of Hoxb1-NE/ hM3Dq mice in the LDB after administration of a cocktail of adrenergic antagonists (prazosin, 0.2 mg/kg, i.p. and propranolol, 5 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle (1% DMSO in sterile water) followed by CNO (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle (Supplementary Figure 5a). While chemogenetic activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons significantly increased time spent exploring the light compartment during the 10-minute test, this effect was eliminated in mice pre-administered prazosin and propranolol (two-way ANOVA, effect of CNO: F(1, 29)=1.057, P=0.312; a priori unpaired t-test between vehicle-vehicle and vehicle-CNO treated mice: t(15)=-2.297, P=0.036; a priori unpaired t-test between adrenergic antagonist-vehicle and adrenergic antagonist-CNO treated mice: t(14)=0.089, P=0.930) (Supplementary Figure 5b-c). Overall, these results suggest that noradrenergic signaling through α1 and β adrenoceptors is required for the Hoxb1-NE-induced anxiolytic responses. However, these experiments do not rule out a modulatory role for co-expressed neuropeptides.

To further investigate this question, we asked if neuropeptides implicated in stress regulation are expressed in Hoxb1-NE neurons. Using our dual recombinase-responsive indicator allele (RC::FLTG) to label Hoxb1-NE neurons with EGFP and all other NE neurons with tdTomato, we determined the number of Hoxb1-NE neurons expressing Gal, Enk, or Npy mRNA. While Gal- and Enk-expressing neurons were observed throughout the hindbrain, very few Hoxb1-NE neurons expressed these neuropeptides (Supplementary Figure 5d-e). In contrast, approximately 46% of Hoxb1-NE neurons in the A1 nucleus, and 15% in the A2 nucleus expressed NPY (Supplementary Figure 5f). Taken together, these results suggest that while noradrenergic transmission is required for the anxiolytic effect induced by Hoxb1-NE neurons, corelease of NPY on central targets may also play a role in this process.

**In vivo activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons reduces neuronal activity in stress-related brain regions**

Hoxb1-NE neurons provide a substantial input to stress-related targets, including regions of the BNST, amygdala, hypothalamus and locus coeruleus. However, it is unclear if Hoxb1-NE inputs to these regions are topographically distinct from those of other NE populations, including the locus coeruleus. To address this question, we used our dual recombinase-responsive indicator allele (RC::FLTG) that is capable of labeling projections from two
neuronal populations simultaneously (Figure 4a). In this experiment Hoxb1-NE neurons and their axons were labelled with EGFP, while all other NE neurons were labeled with tdTomato. Noradrenergic identity of labeled axons was confirmed using an antibody against the norepinephrine transporter (NET; data not shown). We observed no obvious topographical segregation of NE inputs. Hoxb1-NE axons were observed in the LC and peri-LC dendritic field (Figure 4b), and closely intermingled with those of other NE neurons at stress related regions of the forebrain (Figure 4c-h).

To investigate the effects of Hoxb1-NE activation on these central targets, we monitored brain activity changes using cerebral blood volume (CBV)-weighted fMRI. Upon administration of CNO (1 mg/kg, i.p.), we observed a significant reduction in CBV in the ventral BNST, amygdala, and the locus coeruleus of Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice compared to their controls (unpaired t-test, P=0.012, 0.001, and 0.006, respectively) (Figure 5a-e, g), suggesting that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons reduced activity in these regions. The phenomena observed here were not caused by global CBV changes, as no difference was observed in the caudate putamen, a region that does not receive inputs from Hoxb1-NE neurons (unpaired t-test, P=0.653) (Figure 5f and g). To confirm that Hoxb1-NE activation results in decreased activation of specific target regions, we next examined Fos immunoreactivity. We found that CNO activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons leads to a significant reduction in Fos expression in the ventral BNST (unpaired t-test, P=0.018), with a trend of reduction in the dorsal BNST, BLA and LC (unpaired t-test, P=0.076, 0.078, and 0.076, respectively) (Supplementary Figure 6a-b). We observed no difference in various hypothalamic regions, including the PVN, LH, DMH, or VMH (unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, P=0.992, 0.444, 0.257, and 0.358, respectively) (Supplementary Figure 6c). In addition, we did not find any change in Fos expression in the medial prefrontal cortex and the caudate putamen (unpaired t-test, P=0.520 and 0.530, respectively), two regions that receive no Hoxb1-NE inputs, indicating that the reduction in Fos was specific to direct Hoxb1-NE activation (Supplementary Figure 6c). These data demonstrate that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons results in decreased activity in stress-related target regions.

**Discussion**

Our results reveal a role for Hoxb1-NE neurons in attenuating the behavioral response to acute stress. The forced swim and tail suspension tests suggest that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons is sufficient to promote a more active coping response during acute stress, mimicking the effects of antidepressants. In addition, results from the LDB and EPM indicate that activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons results in decreased anxiety-like behavior. These results stand in contrast to our data from activating En1-LC neurons and previous reports demonstrating that increased activity of LC-NE neurons facilitates passive stress coping responses and induces anxiety-like behavior. Together with our observation that multiple stress-related forebrain regions show reduced neuronal activity upon Hoxb1-NE activation, the behavioral data indicate that this relatively small population of neurons attenuates the response to acute stressors, a role that appears to be very different from that of LC-NE system.
The mechanisms that mediate the differential effects of *Hoxb1*-NE and *En1*-LC neurons during acute stress are yet to be determined, but one potentially relevant factor is their differential peptide expression. We found that a subset of *Hoxb1*-NE neurons co-express NPY, a peptide known to promote stress coping and resilience. While the anxiolytic response induced by *Hoxb1*-NE activation is blocked with adrenergic antagonists, NPY may nevertheless modulate noradrenaline transmission and facilitate the “anti-stress” responses observed in the current study. Unlike the LC, where approximately 80% of NE neurons express galanin, we observed virtually no galanin expression in *Hoxb1*-NE neurons.

Another factor contributing to the differential effects of *Hoxb1*-NE and *En1*-LC activation may be their efferent projections. At target regions where *Hoxb1*-NE and *En1*-LC neurons share inputs, their axons are notably different in morphology and density. *Hoxb1*-NE axons are considerably thicker with larger varicosities compared to *En1*-LC axons, and targets receiving dense inputs from *Hoxb1*-NE neurons receive sparse inputs from *En1*-LC and vice versa. The significance of these differences is currently unclear. In the current study we did not observe any obvious topographical segregation of *Hoxb1*-NE inputs to stress-related targets, when viewed in the context of all other noradrenergic inputs. A detailed analysis of these inputs—including identification of the target cells, the nature of the synapse, and adrenergic receptor expression—will likely provide further clues. For example, different adrenoceptors may be engaged, thus exerting their unique actions on synaptic transmission, depending on their distribution at the target and the time scale and level of NE release from *Hoxb1*-NE and *En1*-LC neurons. Indeed, it is well-established that NE has different affinity for alpha 1, 2, and beta receptors, and there is evidence that the effect of NE on synaptic transmission in the BNST is dependent on the duration of NE application.

In addition to sharing inputs to a number of stress-related targets, these two noradrenergic populations also exhibit notable differences in their projection patterns. Unlike *En1*-LC neurons, *Hoxb1*-NE neurons do not project to the hippocampus or medial prefrontal cortex, two regions known to be involved in stress regulation. However, *Hoxb1*-NE neurons do provide a substantial input to the LC and peri-LC dendritic field, positioning them to directly modulate LC activity. Consistent with this idea, our fMRI and Fos results indicate reduced LC activity upon *Hoxb1*-NE activation. It well-established that the LC is activated by a variety of stressors (reviewed in), and it is possible that *Hoxb1*-NE activation occurs in response to stress-induced *En1*-LC activation, serving to counteract the response to stress. Future experiments that measure both the timing and magnitude of *En1*-LC and *Hoxb1*-NE responses to the same stressors may shed light on these questions. Our inability to directly examine the consequence of *Hoxb1*-NE activation on LC activity, or any other target, represents a limitation of the current study. The mouse line employed here restricts expression of hM3Dq receptors to the cell body and dendrites, precluding the possibility of target site-specific infusion of CNO. Unfortunately, the lack of *Hoxb1*cre expression in adult *Hoxb1*-NE neurons and their scattered distribution rules out the use of viral constructs for optogenetics. Furthermore, the currently available cre/flp-responsive optogenetic transgenes do not express at high enough levels.
These same limitations also prevent us from directly determining whether Hoxb1-NE neurons act in unison or mediate distinct aspects of the “anti-stress” response. A first step in addressing this question will require a detailed analysis, using retrograde beads or other labeling strategies, to identify which Hoxb1-NE neurons project to specific targets. Taking advantage of a mouse line expressing Cre in the pontine portion of the Hoxb1 domain, we have previously reported that Hoxb1-NE neurons located in the pontine SubC and/or A5 nuclei share some inputs with those located in the medullary A1 and/or A2 nuclei, including inputs to the BNST, PVN, DMH, LH and LC. Notably, only the medullary Hoxb1-NE neurons project to the BLA and CeA.

What is clear from our previous and current results is that Hoxb1-NE neurons are heterogeneous. While a portion of Hoxb1-NE neurons in the A1 and A2 nuclei co-localized with NPY, this co-expression was not observed in the A5 or SubC. Moreover, the only significant increase in Fos following a swim stress was in Hoxb1-NE neurons residing in the SubC. This diversity within the Hoxb1-NE population may very likely reflect important functional differences. One possibility is that the degree to which the Hoxb1-NE population is activated depends on the duration and intensity of the stressor. Numerous studies have shown that different neural mechanisms are engaged in response to acute versus long-term stress and stressors of different magnitudes. In the current study we focused on acute effects of Hoxb1-NE activation and employed paradigms intended to measure the animals’ responses during a mildly stressful event. Therefore, future studies examining how Hoxb1-NE neurons modulate responses to chronic stress or more severe stressors will likely provide additional insight into the role of Hoxb1-NE in the stress response.

While the current study focused on direct comparison of Hoxb1-NE and En1-LC neurons, our results also imply functional heterogeneity within the anatomically defined noradrenergic nuclei (SubC, A5, A2 and A1) in which Hoxb1-NE neurons reside and form a minority subpopulation. Studies have shown that A1, A2, A5 are all responsive to varied stressors. There is limited data on the role of SubC in stress, however, a recent study has identified a large number of TH+ presympathetic-premotor neurons within the SubC. As these neurons are thought to integrate different systems in response to stress exposure, this observation highlights the potential importance of SubC in stress regulation. The prevailing view, based primarily on investigation of A1 and A2 neurons, is that these anatomically defined nuclei play a role in promoting the stress response. Thus, our current finding that activation of the Hoxb1-NE subpopulation attenuates the stress response suggests that these anatomically defined nuclei contain functionally distinct subpopulations. As the field moves beyond the study of NE neurons based solely on their anatomical location and begins to define these neurons using molecular and circuit-based criteria, we will likely uncover additional heterogeneity within the system, similar to heterogeneity that has been recently uncovered in the LC. The fact that many target regions receive inputs from multiple functionally distinct noradrenergic subpopulations—which likely vary in their responses to different stimuli, and intensity and timing of norepinephrine release—argues for caution when using genetic or pharmacological means to manipulate the noradrenergic system as a whole, or when targeting specific brain regions innervated by more than one noradrenergic subpopulation.
Finally, given that our conclusions rely on results of chemogenetic experiments, it is important to consider recent reports demonstrating that CNO is metabolized to clozapine. 72,73 These reports, in conjunction with mouse studies demonstrating decreased endogenous NE levels 90 minutes after a single dose of ≥10 mg/kg of clozapine74 and decreased membrane surface levels of the NE transporter one-hour post administration of 30 mg/kg of clozapine,75 highlight the importance of using a relatively low dose of CNO, and including proper controls in chemogenetic experiments. In the current study, we administered CNO and vehicle to both hM3Dq-expressing mice and hM3Dq-negative controls. In the EPM we noted a significant reduction in closed arm entries by CNO-treated controls, relative to vehicle-treated controls. No effect of CNO in controls was observed in any other behavioral endpoint. All remaining behavior effects were only observed in CNO-treated hM3Dq-expressing mice, validating the specificity of our chemogenetic approach.

Conclusions

Our findings indicate that direct activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons decreases anxiety-like behavior and promotes an active coping response during acute stress, effects that are drastically different from the well-documented functions of the noradrenergic locus coeruleus. These observations contrast with the general belief that noradrenergic signaling promotes the stress response, and clearly demonstrate that the noradrenergic system constitutes multiple functional subpopulations.
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Figure 1. Intersectional chemogenetic strategy to selectively activate Hoxb1-NE neurons.

(a) Schematic diagram of the RC::FL-hM3Dq allele. Recombination by Dhh\textsuperscript{Flpo}\textsuperscript{+} leads to EGFP expression in NE neurons, and recombination by both Hoxb1\textsuperscript{Cre} and Dhh\textsuperscript{Flpo}\textsuperscript{+} leads to hM3Dq-mCherry expression in Hoxb1-NE neurons. (b) Approximate positions of noradrenergic nuclei are illustrated on a sagittal schematic diagram of the hindbrain compressed along the mediolateral axis. Immunofluorescent labeling of parasagittal sections from Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq brains for tyrosine hydroxylase (white), mCherry (red) and EGFP (green) reveals hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing Hoxb1-NE neurons in dorsal and ventral subcoeruleus (SubCD and SubCV), A5, caudal A1, and caudal A2 noradrenergic nuclei, but not in the locus coeruleus. Scale bar: 287 μm (LC), 100 μm (LC and SubCD close-ups), 50 μm (SubCV, A5, A1, A2). (c) Counts of hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing (red bars) and EGFP-expressing NE neurons (green bars) in different nuclei (n=12 mice). (d) Bath application of CNO (10 μM) increases firing rate in hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing neurons (Hoxb1-NE/
hM3Dq mice, n=7 cells from 5 mice), but not in EGFP-expressing neurons (littermate control mice, n=4 cells from 2 mice). Data are mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs. aCSF, Wilcoxon signed ranks test. (e) Representative traces of cell-attached recordings from hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing neurons before (top) and after (bottom) bath application of CNO (10 μM).
Figure 2. Chemogenetic activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons attenuates behavioral stress responses. (a) Top: time line of the experiment. Bottom: Fos immunohistochemistry shows that subcoeruleus Hoxb1-NE neurons are activated by forced swim stress (no swim: n=5; forced swim: n=7). (b-c) Activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons reduces immobility time in the forced swim (littermate controls vehicle: n=26; littermate controls CNO: n=21; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=10; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=9) and tail suspension (littermate controls vehicle: n=18; littermate controls CNO: n=19; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=11; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=9) tests. (d) Activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons does not affect locomotion in the open field (Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=11; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=11). (e-f) Activation of LC-NE increases immobility time in the forced swim test (littermate controls vehicle: n=17; littermate controls CNO: n=14; En1-LC/hM3Dq vehicle: n=11; En1-LC/hM3Dq CNO: n=10) but does not affect performance in the tail suspension test (littermate controls vehicle: n=16; littermate controls CNO: n=14; En1-LC/hM3Dq CNO: n=10).
vehicle: n=10; En1-LC/hM3Dq CNO: n=10). (g) Left: Activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons increases time exploring the light compartment of the light dark box (littermate controls vehicle: n=23; littermate controls CNO: n=21; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=11; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=11). Right: Representative traces from vehicle- (top) and CNO-treated (bottom) Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice. (h) Activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons increases number of entering (left) and % time exploring (right) the open arm of the elevated plus maze (littermate controls vehicle: n=22; littermate controls CNO: n=18; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=11; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=9). Data are mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs. no swim (unpaired 2-tailed t-test) or Vehicle (a priori unpaired t-test for light dark box and unpaired 2-tailed t-test following two-way ANOVA for all other tests).
Figure 3. Chemogenetic activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons reduced heart rate with no effect on body temperature or corticosterone level.

Physiological measurement data from (a) heart rate (littermate controls vehicle: n=16; littermate controls CNO: n=17; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=6; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=6), (b) body temperature (littermate controls vehicle: n=29; littermate controls CNO: n=29; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=12; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=12), and (c) serum corticosterone level (littermate controls vehicle: n=19; littermate controls CNO: n=20; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq vehicle: n=8; Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq CNO: n=7). Data are mean ± SEM, *P<0.05 vs. Vehicle (unpaired 2-tailed t-test at specific time points following repeated measures ANOVA).
Figure 4. *Hoxb1*-NE axons are intermingled with axons of other NE neurons at stress-related brain regions.

(a) Schematic diagram of a dual Flp/Cre-responsive fluorescent indicator allele *RC::FLTG*. Recombination by *Dbh<sup>Flpo</sup>* leads to tdTomato expression in NE neurons and axons, and recombination by both *Hoxb1<sup>Cre</sup>* and *Dbh<sup>Flpo</sup>* leads to EGFP expression in *Hoxb1*-NE neurons and axons. (b-h) In mice heterozygous for *Hoxb1<sup>Cre</sup>, Dbh<sup>Flpo</sup>, and *RC::FLTG*, *Hoxb1*-NE axons (EGFP+) are intermingled with axons arising from other NE neurons (tdTomato+, including LC neurons). Shaded boxes on the coronal schematic diagrams indicate the approximate position of the low magnification images, and white boxes indicate position of the high magnification images. Dotted lines indicate approximate boundaries of anatomical regions. aca, anterior commissure; 3V, third ventricle; LV, lateral ventricle; mfb, medial forebrain bundle; opt, optic tract. Scale bar for low-mag. images: 200 μm (100 μm LC, 300 μm lateral hypothalamus). Scale bar for high-mag. images: 50 μm.
Figure 5. Chemogenetic activation of Hoxb1-NE neurons results in significant decrease in CBV at stress-related targets.

(a-f) CBV-weighted fMRI traces from the color-coded regions of interest depicted in representative coronal scans show decreased CBV in dorsal BNST (yellow), ventral BNST (purple), amygdala (green), hypothalamus (blue), and locus coeruleus (red) but no change in the caudate putamen (black) of Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice following 1 mg/kg CNO administration (n=7–8). No change was observed in the controls (n=6). Error bars represent ± SEM. (g) Bar graph shows comparison of CBV-weighted fMRI signal changes between baseline (first 10 min) and post-CNO (final 20 min) in control and Hoxb1-NE/hM3Dq mice. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, unpaired 2-tailed t-test. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM.