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ABSTRACT

Noise has an environmental challenge is increasing due to urbanization, industrialization and modernization. While residential noise pollution could have adverse effect on health and social wellbeing, little attention has been directed towards investigating the implications of spatial pattern of neighbourhood facilities on noise pollution in Nigeria. This study assessed how noise disturbance is related to spatial pattern within two neighbourhoods in Benin City, Nigeria. Structured questionnaires were used to elicit information from residents on demographics and neighbourhood characteristics while residential land-mix was observed through street mapping. Sixty-six participants were randomly selected from Government Reserved Area (GRA) and Ogbe quarters of Benin City. Result showed that the neighbourhood facilities which host commercial, transportation and industrial activities were the closest to the dwelling units while natural vegetation was the farthest. Also there was spatial variation in distribution of neighbourhood features especially the commercial and recreational areas which affects the noise exposure level. The study concludes that it is necessary to mitigate noise within residential neighbourhoods through proper land use and zoning strategies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Noise pollution has been well-recognized as one of the major challenges affecting quality of life in urban areas worldwide. With the rapid development of urban areas, the noise pollution has become increasingly serious [1]. A large body of studies show that noise causes non-auditory stress effects such as changes in the physiological systems (such as elevated blood pressure), various cognitive deficits (such as poor sustained attention, memory/concentration problems), sleep disturbances, changes of social behaviour, psychosocial stress-related symptoms, and emotional/motivational effects (such as annoyance, learned helplessness) [2,3,4,5].

To meet a long-term sustainable and healthy living in urban residential environment, it is essential to strive for lower sound levels at the residencies by ensuring “noise-free” places or more quiet neighbourhoods. In this regard, most developed nations have taken actions to control noise effects as evident in the various anti-noise laws, regulations and noise policies [5,6]. Conversely, such action has received lesser attention in the developing countries, especially in Nigeria. While issues such as solid and liquid wastes disposal, flood prevention and fire hazards have received their fair share of attention in literature comparatively, noise as an environmental concern has not been highly addressed [7].

Nigeria is currently undergoing an unprecedented urbanization and pollution growth which is expected to increase noise level in urban environment. However, there are poor strategic actions towards noise abatement in neighbourhoods in Nigeria. Although, the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) in Nigeria provided daily noise exposure limits for various urban zones [8]. Yet, the citizenry seems not conscious of these guidelines, and perhaps are also ignorant of the health hazards associated with noise within residential environments. More importantly, systematic studies aimed at characterizing residential facilities and highlighting noise effects on the residents’ remains very scare in Nigeria [9,7].

This study focuses on evaluating the implications of neighborhood’s spatial configuration on noise pollution in residential neighbourhood in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. Benin City was chosen as the study area since the city is one of the mega cities in Nigeria. More so, the noise situation in Benin metropolis is similar to what is obtainable in many urban areas in Nigeria. The city is relatively large with rapid increase in population rate and has expanded continuously in all directions in the past two decades. Many significant changes in terms of urbanization, industrialization, expansion of road-network, and infrastructure have been experienced. Owing to rising population growth and expansion of economic activities in Nigeria, residential areas have been subjected to increasing road traffic and diverse noise-prone activities. Till date, research towards understanding the implication neighbourhood spatial patterns on noise disturbance remains scare in Nigeria. Therefore, this study assessed the situation in two neighbourhoods in Benin City. This is with the view to increasing understanding on noise-prone facilities which are important in neighbourhood planning and provide strategic outline for better planning.

2. EMPIRICAL STUDIES OVERVIEW

The distribution of noise pollution in urban areas is related to various parameters which make up the urban fabric, such as density, open spaces, the shape and physical position of buildings, traffic situation, and population distribution etc. [10,1]. Research evidence on noise pollution in urban areas affirms that relationship exist between land use, pattern of activities and noise disturbance. For instance, Mehdi et al. [11] examined the spatial patterns in relation to traffic noise in Karachi City, Pakistan, and found that noise level during mornings and evenings were higher due to commuting pattern of Karachi residents. Banihani and Jadaan [12] in Amman, Jordan conducted a social survey to evaluate the perceived noise impacts of road traffic noise on residents. The results of the survey revealed that road traffic noise was a major concern for the communities living in the vicinity of streets.

Abolade and Adebayojo [13] explore the contributions of urban informal enterprises to noise pollution in Ibadan, Nigeria. The varying level of noise was observed in fifty-two (52) locations across the selected four LGAs in Ibadan. It was found that the noise levels which ranges between 73.63 dB and 77.95 dB breach the sleep disturbance limits of 45 dB as set by WHO and the World Bank’s limit of 55 dB. Another study by Oloruntoba et al. [14] investigated the sources and noise levels, and possible impacts in selected residential
neighbourhoods of Ibadan metropolis, Nigeria. The outcome showed that noise levels, sources and the period the noise level reaches its peak vary with population density. Across the three residential neighbourhood considered, mean noise values of 53.10±2.80 dB was observed for low density (LD), 68.45± 2.10 dB for medium density (MD), and 68.36±1.92 dB for high density (HD), in essence, the medium density areas had the highest mean value. Only 16 (23.2%) locations in the three residential neighbourhood groups had noise values that were within WHO 16-hour Daily Noise Level criteria of 55 dB, recommended limit for residential areas.

Specifically, studies [1,11,15] observed that relationship exists between land use and noise pollution. Non-traffic parameters, such as land use type and pattern as well as physical distance from a given source, plays an important role in assessing noise level [16,5,17]. Gunnarsson and Öhrström [5] examine whether perceived availability to nearby green areas affects various aspects of well-being in two noise-condition groups. The results show that “better” availability to nearby green areas is important for their well-being and daily behaviour by reducing long-term noise annoyances and prevalence of stress-related psychosocial symptoms, and by increasing the use of spaces outdoors.

Efforts toward noise abatement are currently being directed at finding solution in urban land use. Abbaspour et al. [1] study addressed the fact that sound level is not the only parameter to indicate the extent of noise pollution and that the situation of urban land uses, distribution of population centers and types of passages can profoundly suggest the possibility of noise disturbance although not with a similar proportion. Abbaspour et al. [10] used Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) to define noise prone areas based on different factors in relation to land use within Tehran Metropolitan City. The portion of each criterion in noise pollution intensity was determined using AHP, thereafter, the map layers were overlaid based upon the relative importance of the criteria to get the final map on which the noise prone areas are specified. Aguilera et al. [18] developed land use regression models for noise predictions for European cities. Suárez and Barros [19] conducted noise mapping across Santiago, a city of nearly six million inhabitants. In essence, these findings suggest that noise disturbances are somewhat related the pattern of land use or proximity of neighbourhood facilities to dwelling units. A series of reports on residential noise prediction of national scope have been published, but limited in detailed information and its application on city planning and the land use condition. Hence, this study analyzes the impact of land use on noise level within residential environment in Benin City, Nigeria.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 The Study Area

Benin City is located at latitude 06°19IE to 6°21IE and longitude 5°34IE to 5°44IE with an average elevation of 77.8 m above sea-level. Benin City is a pre-colonial city, the capital of defunct Bendel State and the present day Edo State. The city is located in the humid tropical rainforest belt of Nigeria with a population of 762,717 according to the 1991 national population census with a projected population of 1.3 million by 2010 at 2.9% growth rate. The population of Benin was 1,495,800 by 2015, which is about 0.821% of Nigeria’s population. It is expected that the population would reach 1,617,579 by 2017 at 3.99% annual growth rate [20]. The rainy season starts in March/April and ends in October/November.

Apart from demographic growth, Benin City has witnessed, rapid territorial expansion mainly due to rapid rural-urban migration. The desire to own private properties, have made private property developers to erect structures in different parts of the city and often without strict adherence to town planning regulations. This study is focused on evaluating of noise pollution in residential neighbourhood in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. This study includes only two that were selected purposively. The choice of these locations was premised on differences in neighbourhood characteristics and activities. Two urban neighbourhoods that have been selected for the purpose of the research are: a) Government Residential Area, Benin City (GRA) and b) OGBE Residential Quarters (ORQ), Benin City.

Government Residential Area, Benin City (GRA) represents a planned urban neighbourhood in the Benin, Edo State. It is one of the 12 land pooling development schemes introduced and developed to date by the government through the initiatives of Edo state Development Committee (EDC). GRA quarters is situated at the central area of Benin City district and lies just within the northern segment of Ring Road in Benin adjoining facilities such as Government house,
Hotel, Benin Club and Forces headquarters. The residents of the GRA are composed of mixed ethnic groups.

Ogbe Residential Quarters has been chosen in this study as a representative case of an unplanned development of new neighbourhoods in the Benin. It is a residential neighbourhood located in an inner city core area of Benin City with a development history of more than 30 years. Some of the adjoining facilities include the Ogbe stadium, Oba's Palace, shopping centers, office amongst others. The relatively longer period of development history was one of the main reasons for its choice. The growth of the Ogbe has been spontaneous, resulting in the haphazard growth and urban sprawl. Consequently, public spaces are virtually non-existent in the Ogbe, except for on the streets. There is no presence of physical elements or structures of public interest, except for a number of smaller complexes. The demographic profile of the residents indicates a mixed ethnic composition.

![Map of Benin City showing the major Neighbourhoods](Source: [21])
### 3.2 The Research Design

A cross-sectional survey was used which involved questionnaire administration while the population for the study consisted of the male and female inhabitants with ages from 18 years upwards. Sixty-six (66) participants were randomly selected from Government Reserved Area (GRA) and Ogbe quarters of Benin City. The neighbourhood characteristics and perceptions of the respondents on the proximity of noise-prone facilities were collected using structured questionnaires while street mapping (walk-through approach) was used in determining the land-use pattern. Data collected were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 at 5% level of significance.

Mann Whitney U Test was used to compare the overall means in the spatial distribution of neighbourhood facilities in the two locations, It is specified as:

\[ U = n_1 n_2 + \frac{n_1(n_1 + 1)}{2} - R_1 \]  
(1)

\[ E(U) = \mu_U = \frac{n_1 n_2}{2} \]  
(2)

\[ Var(U) = \sigma^2_U = \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1)}{12} \]  
(3)

\[ Z = \frac{U - \mu_U}{\sigma_U} \]  
(4)

where \( n_1 \) = sample size for GRA; \( n_2 \) = the sample for OGBE; \( R_1 \) = the sum of the ranks of the GRA sample, \( \sigma^2_U \) = the variance of the Mann–Whitney U, and \( \mu_U \) = the mean of the Mann Whitney U.

In addition, a Sound Level Meter was used to measure the noise in Six (6) selected locations in the 2 residential neighbourhoods. The measurement was taken three-time period in a day across the selected sampling points. The measurements were made at street level based on access to the neighbourhood (outer street, Median Street and the inner street). The instrument was held comfortably in hand with the microphone pointed at the suspected noise source at a distance not less than 1 m away from any reflecting object. The result is presented in Fig. 2.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 The Characteristics of the Respondents

The characteristics of the respondents as indicated in Table 1 showed that majority of the participants were male (59.1%) whereas about 40.9% were female. Over a quarter of the respondents (49.2%) were between ages 21-30 years, about 21.5% were between 31-40 years, 18.5% were between 41-50 years, 9.2% were between 51-60 years while only 1.5% was above 60 years of age. This result suggests that most of the respondents were within their active and productive ages.

Also half of the respondents (50.0%) were single, while about 48.5% were married. As for the educational status of the respondents, majority were literate as over half (59.1%) had tertiary education, while very few (7.6%) of them had no formal education. Furthermore, the occupational status of the respondents varied with a greater proportion (47.1%) in the private sector, about 26.2% owned their business whereas 1.5% was in the public employments.

The result on the average income of the respondents shows that 26.6% of respondents earned less than 18,000 naira monthly, about a quarter (37.5%) earned between 18,000 and 50,000 naira monthly, with only a few in earned above 200,000 naira. The duration of tenure was also examined, the result shows that about 27.3% of respondents have stayed less than 5 years in the neighbourhoods, and about 7.6% of them stayed above 20 years. The social-economic characteristics of the participants show that their response can provide information needed on the noise pollution level and the periods of noise experience in the neighbourhoods.

#### 4.2 Intensity of Noise Level

Fig. 2 shows all reading obtained which indicates a general increase in noise meter reading on Friday and a significant reduction in the readings on Sunday. The chart also shows that most reading exceeded the EPA standard of 55 dBA for residential neighbourhoods. The Maximum value obtained was 88.3 for Ogbe 1 whereas the Minimum value was 50.3 for GRA 2.
Table 1. Percentage distribution of respondents by socioeconomic characteristics

| Variables         | Categories                  | GRA  | OGBE | Total |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| Gender            | Male                         | 33.3 | 25.8 | 59.1  |
|                   | Female                       | 19.7 | 21.2 | 40.9  |
| Age               | 21-30                        | 27.7 | 21.5 | 49.2  |
|                   | 31-40                        | 10.8 | 10.8 | 21.5  |
|                   | 41-50                        | 9.2  | 9.2  | 18.5  |
|                   | 51-60                        | 3.1  | 6.2  | 9.2   |
|                   | Above 60                     | 1.5  | 0.0  | 1.5   |
| Martial           | Single                       | 30.3 | 19.7 | 49.0  |
|                   | Married                      | 21.2 | 27.3 | 48.5  |
|                   | Others                       | 1.5  | 0.0  | 1.5   |
| Education         | No education                 | 0.0  | 7.6  | 7.6   |
|                   | Primary school               | 1.5  | 0.0  | 1.5   |
|                   | Secondary school             | 19.7 | 12.1 | 31.8  |
|                   | Tertiary school              | 31.8 | 27.3 | 59.1  |
| Occupation        | Trader                       | 1.5  | 12.3 | 13.8  |
|                   | Civil servants               | 7.7  | 3.1  | 10.8  |
|                   | Private sector               | 30.8 | 16.9 | 47.7  |
|                   | Own Business                 | 10.8 | 15.4 | 26.2  |
|                   | Others                       | 1.5  | 0.0  | 1.5   |
| Income            | < 18,000                     | 23.4 | 3.1  | 26.6  |
|                   | 18-50,000                    | 14.1 | 23.4 | 37.5  |
|                   | 51-100,000                   | 4.7  | 21.9 | 26.6  |
|                   | 101-200,000                  | 7.8  | 0.0  | 7.8   |
|                   | Above 200,000                | 1.5  | 0.0  | 1.5   |
| Duration of Stay  | 1-5 years                    | 25.8 | 1.5  | 27.3  |
|                   | 6-10 years                   | 12.1 | 13.6 | 25.8  |
|                   | 11-15 years                  | 4.5  | 21.2 | 25.8  |
|                   | 16-20 years                  | 6.1  | 7.6  | 13.6  |
|                   | Above 20                     | 4.5  | 3.0  | 7.6   |

Fig. 2. Noise levels for one week across the two locations
4.3 Spatial Pattern of Neighbourhood Facilities

The spatial configuration of the neighbourhoods was measured by determining the proximity of dwelling units to other neighbourhood facilities. The mean rank values result (Table 2) indicates that across the two locations, the recreational area (38.8) were the closest to residential units within GRA, however, this situation was difference in OGBE where recreational areas (26.6) were farther away from the dwelling areas. Evidently, this suggests that the GRA was planned to accommodate recreational facilities. Also, commercial facilities (e.g. shops and markets) were closer to the residential unit in OGBE but farther from GRA (26.8). This indicates that more commercial activities were prevalent in OGBE residential quarters. In general, the results suggest that facilities which host the commercial activities were the closest to dwelling units. In essence, to control the noise exposure level, certain infrastructural factors such as economic enterprise, transportation, and industrial expansion must be well configured.

Furthermore, the results indicate that natural vegetation which supposed to be the buffer zones are the farthest from the dwelling units in GRA (25.2) and OGBE (28.9). Vegetation being the least in proximity to residential areas among other features suggests more urban expansion is giving away green spaces in the neighbourhoods. This can have an adverse effect on dwellers as green vegetation can serve as a veritable noise barrier [5]. Policy direction as to strategies to enhance vegetation growth is essential in controlling noise.

4.4 Difference in Spatial Pattern of Neighbourhood Facilities

To determine if significant variation exist in the spatial distribution of the neighbourhood facilities in the two locations, a Mann-Whitney Test was conducted. This test was conducted because the data size is not largely distributed and thus not suitable for a t-test. Table 3 shows the Mann-Whitney Test for the independent samples with the significance level set at 95%. The results reveal that significant differences in the proximity of dwelling units to the commercial and recreational areas at 1% level across the two locations. However, there were no significant differences in the proximity of the neighbourhoods to other land use. Nearness of market to residential areas suggests increase in economic activities in most urban neighbourhood. Significance of market proximity further corroborates the finding of Ogunseye et al. [22] as areas prone to market activities is associated with higher noise level.

| Table 2. Comparison of proximity of dwelling units to neighbourhood facilities |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|
| Location                        | Mean rank | Sum of ranks |
| Religious building              | GRA 33.03 | 1156.00 |
|                                 | OGBE 34.03 | 1055.00 |
| School                          | GRA 30.60 | 1040.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 35.63 | 1104.50 |
| Commercial area                 | GRA 26.81 | 911.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 39.79 | 1233.50 |
| Transport station stations      | GRA 31.67 | 1045.00 |
|                                 | OGBE 33.39 | 1035.00 |
| Animal area                     | GRA 31.69 | 1014.00 |
|                                 | OGBE 32.32 | 1002.00 |
| Industrial area                 | GRA 31.74 | 1047.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 33.31 | 1032.50 |
| Event centre                    | GRA 34.53 | 1139.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 30.34 | 940.50 |
| Natural vegetation              | GRA 25.15 | 996.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 28.85 | 956.50 |
| Recreational area               | GRA 38.81 | 1319.50 |
|                                 | OGBE 26.63 | 825.50 |
Table 3. Variation in proximity of neighbourhood facilities to dwelling units

|                     | Religious | School | Commercial area | Transport station | Animal area | Industrial area | Event centre | Natural vegetation | Recreational area |
|---------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Mann-Whitney U      | 526.000   | 445.500| 316.500         | 484.000           | 486.000     | 486.500         | 444.500     | 460.500           | 329.500           |
| Wilcoxon W          | 1156.000  | 1040.500| 911.500         | 1045.000          | 1014.000    | 1047.500        | 940.500     | 956.500           | 825.500           |
| Z                   | -.254     | -1.153 | -2.903          | -.400            | -.142       | -.347           | -.934       | -.292             | -2.648            |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .799     | .249   | .004***         | .689              | .887        | .729            | .350        | .770              | .008***            |

Statistical significance: * p<0.10; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01
In promoting a conducive urban environment, strategies towards urban planning and regulations are essential in a bid to relieve the residents from environmental stress. These findings on noise pollution in urban areas affirm that relationship exist between land use, pattern of activities and noise disturbance. The findings also corroborate studies that focused on non-traffic parameters, such as land use type and pattern as well as physical distance from a given source in assessing noise level [16,5,17,23,24].

5. CONCLUSION

This paper investigated the spatial distribution of neighbourhood facilities and its association with noise level in Benin City. The land use pattern examined in relation to noise level reveals that relationship exists between land use, pattern of activities and noise disturbance in the urban areas. Results showed that neighbourhood facilities hosting commercial (such as market), transportation, and industrial activities were the closest to dwelling units. Also there was spatial variation in distribution of neighbourhood features especially the presence of markets and recreational areas with respect to noise exposure level.

Spatial separation of incompatible land uses is one of the primary principles of city planning. Land uses such as hospitals, educational institutions and residential areas are noise-sensitive, as such, it is inappropriate to have noisy urban area (e.g. transportation, manufacturing, and commercial) around them. Conversely, most Nigerian cities are characterized with mixed land uses, making noise abatement seemingly unachievable. The land zoning principle provides that in the areas of high noise level, dwellings, schools or hospitals should be built. However, this has not been followed in most Nigeria’s cities. It is therefore clear that effective planning control is required in the Nigerian cities towards ameliorating the adverse effect of noise pollution.

In addition, a valuable step towards decreasing noise pollution in a mega city like Benin is the preparation of noise maps. This baseline data will provide valuable assistant for town planners, architects, engineers, other professionals as well as researchers when making planning decisions and during project execution. Till date, most Nigeria’s cities have no updated noise pollution maps. With the aid of Geographical Information System (GIS), an efficient and accurate approach to city noise mapping and abatement can be achieved. Finally, policy enforcement for existing law and development of new guidelines on environmental pollution and land use will no doubt enhances overcoming the problem of noise pollution in many urban areas in Nigeria.
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