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Abstract

Background: Pyrosequencing technology has the potential to rapidly sequence HIV-1 viral quasispecies without requiring the traditional approach of cloning. In this study, we investigated the utility of ultra-deep pyrosequencing to characterize genetic diversity of the HIV-1 gag quasispecies and assessed the possible contribution of pyrosequencing technology in studying HIV-1 biology and evolution.

Methodology/Principal Findings: HIV-1 gag gene was amplified from 96 patients using nested PCR. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced using capillary based Sanger fluorescent dyeox termination sequencing. The same PCR products were also directly sequenced using the 454 pyrosequencing technology. The two sequencing methods were evaluated for their ability to characterize quasispecies variation, and to reveal sites under host immune pressure for their putative functional significance. A total of 14,034 variations were identified by 454 pyrosequencing versus 3,632 variations by Sanger clone-based (SCB) sequencing. 11,050 of these variations were detected only by pyrosequencing. These undetected variations were located in the HIV-1 Gag region which is known to contain putative cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) and neutralizing antibody epitopes, and sites related to virus assembly and packaging. Analysis of the positively selected sites derived by the two sequencing methods identified several differences. All of them were located within the CTL epitope regions.

Conclusions/Significance: Ultra-deep pyrosequencing has proven to be a powerful tool for characterization of HIV-1 genetic diversity with enhanced sensitivity, efficiency, and accuracy. It also improved reliability of downstream evolutionary analysis and functional analysis of HIV-1 quasispecies.
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Introduction

Cloning of PCR products and subsequent Sanger dideoxy sequencing have been widely used for the genetic analysis of HIV-1, especially in estimating the diversity of quasispecies and detecting mutations conferring antiretroviral drug resistance [1–4]. However, this approach is time-consuming, labor-intensive, and costly. Furthermore, polymerase induced sequence errors can confound results when sequencing cloned DNA amplified by PCR. More importantly, the number of clones that can be affordably sequenced is unlikely to adequately represent the genetic variation of the amplified viral population within a patient sample. Next-generation sequencing technology (NGS) provides the potential to greatly reduce the cost, complexity, and time required to sequence DNA without the need for cloning [5–7]. NGS has been applied to a broad range of applications to address diverse biological problems, including genomic sequencing, transcriptome analysis, and epigenome analysis [5,8]. For instance, the Roche 454 pyrosequencing (454) has been used in HIV research because of its ability to provide long reads and ultra-deep coverage. Its applications include identification of rare drug resistant variants [9–15], prediction of HIV integration targets [16], estimation of the diversity of genital microbiota in HIV-infected women [17], and quantification of minor variants in co-receptor usage [9,18–22], all of which are challenging by using Sanger clone-based sequencing.

HIV demonstrates a higher degree of genetic variation than other viruses because of the relatively low fidelity of its error-prone reverse transcriptase [23] and the high turnover rate in replication [24,25], features which have proven to be a major obstacle to...
Impact of 454 Pyrosequencing on Studying HIV-1

Vaccine development [26]. HIV diversity is shaped by a combination of specific host-virus interactions [27–29], cell tropism [30,31], immunological pressure [32–34], and functional constraints on viral proteins [35,36]. Studying HIV sequence variations derived from specific patient samples can provide information on how the virus evolves and interacts with host, thus helping to develop effective strategies to control HIV infection. However, current applications of 454 in HIV research have mostly focused on identification of rare drug resistant variants and determination of cell tropism. There are not many studies exploring the potential outcome differences while profiling the HIV genetic diversity with different approaches and their consequent impacts on downstream analysis.

In this study, we compared 454 pyrosequencing with SCB in characterizing the genetic diversity of the HIV-1 gag quasispecies from 96 patient samples and assessed the possible contribution of pyrosequencing technology to commonly studied aspects of HIV-1 biology and evolution. We analyzed HIV-1 gag since HIV-1 Gag proteins are under intensive selection pressure from host immune responses, especially CTL responses, which are dominant in HIV-1 virus control in the different clade infection[37,38]. Furthermore, the gag gene or proteins are also major components of HIV-1 candidate vaccines currently in clinical trials [39].

Results

Characterization of 454 pyrosequencing data

An average of 8,031 sequence reads per sample was produced. The generated consensus sequences of all 96 samples closely matched those from Sanger sequencing. Twenty six samples had low coverage (less than 100x) or dramatically inconsistent coverage across the target sequence and were excluded from this study. For the remaining 70 samples, 85.8% of 454 read sequences were mapped to HIV-1 gag. The sequence coverage varied in different regions of the HIV-1 gag gene, from a few hundred to over a thousand with 384 sequence reads per nucleotide position averaged over all samples (Figure S1). The details of variations at each nucleotide are shown in Table S2.

Comparison of genetic variations generated by 454 pyrosequencing and SCB sequencing

SCB sequencing detected a total of 3,632 variations over the 1,503 nucleotides of the HIV-1 gag gene with an average of 53 variations per sample. By comparison, 454 pyrosequencing detected 14,034 variations at an average of 204 variations per sample (Table 1). The majority (11,050, 78.7%) of the variations detected by 454 pyrosequencing were not detected by SCB sequencing. Analysis of variation composition showed that only 33.2% (1205) of variations detected by SCB sequencing were present at an abundance of <20%. In contrast, 80.2% (11262) of variations detected by the 454 pyrosequencing were present at an abundance of <20% (Table 1). For the minor variations only detected by 454 pyrosequencing, 96% (9504) of them were present at an abundance of <10%. Within these 9504 variations, 4444 (46.8%) of them were non-synonymous mutations. Furthermore, 4215 minor variations at an abundance of <2% identified by 454 pyrosequencing were not detected by SCB.

Impact of variations in detection of gag variations on studying host virus interactions

Viral sequence variations generated by the error-prone reverse transcriptase can be selected and maintained through interactions with host immune responses as well as by functional constraints [34,40,41]. The ultra-deep coverage of 454 pyrosequencing can improve our understanding of viral-host interactions by reliably elucidating dominant and subdominant variations of viral quasispecies, which may not be identified by SCB sequencing. We compared the non-synonymous variations from 454 pyrosequencing to those determined by SCB sequencing in terms of their entropy scores at the known functional or immunogenic sites of Gag proteins (CTL epitopes, proteasomal cleavage sites, neutralizing Ab epitopes, Ab epitopes and assembling & packaging sites of virus), including the p17, p24, and p1p7p2p6. The difference in entropy scores from two sets of protein sequences provides a measure for the difference of composition of amino acids generated from two sequence populations (454 and SCB) that may be correlated to host immune responses. In this study, the entropy scores at the functional or immunogenic sites of the p17 and p24 generated by the two methods are significantly different ($P=0.0273$ and $P=0.0302$, respectively) (Table S1).

We also compared the consensus sequences derived from the two viral sequence populations in each patient and estimated the impact on studying the biology of HIV-1 Gag. We found that there is a major difference in non-synonymous variations between the two methods in 18 of 70 patients (25.7%) at 52 previously determined functional or immunogenic sites of HIV-1 Gag proteins (Table 2). Of them, 50% (26) are CTL epitopes and 34.6% (18) are neutralizing antibody epitopes. The rest (8, 15.4%) includes 4 cyclophilin A binding sites, 2 virus particle formatting sites, and 1 viral encapsulating site (Table 2).

Impact of the different variation detected by these two sequencing platforms on studying the evolution of the HIV-1 Gag gene

Viral sequence variation has been widely used to understand HIV-1 evolution by predicting virus-host interaction to study host

---

**Table 1. Comparison of the detected variations by 454 and Sanger Clone-based sequencing methods.**

| Method          | Total number of variations$^a$ | Average number of variations/per patient | Minor variations$^a$ only detected by 454 or Cloning |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Total #         | >20%                          | 14034                                   | >10%                                          |
| 454 Sanger      | 11262                         | 2772                                    | <2%                                           |
|                 | 204                           | 53                                      | 2–10%                                         |
|                 | 3632                          | 2427                                    | 1546                                          |
|                 | 1205                          | 6                                       | 5289                                          |
|                 |                               |                                         | 4215                                          |
|                 |                               |                                         | 6                                             |

$^a$: a variation is defined in this study as a ‘change’ in a nucleotide sequence (either a clonal Sanger sequence or a 454 read) compared to the consensus population-based nucleotide sequence; b: a minor variation is defined as a nucleotide with an abundance less than 20% referred to the consensus population-based nucleotide sequence; c: # represents numbers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026745.t001
Table 2. Consensus differences of HIV-1 Gag between 454 and Sanger clone-based sequencing methods overlapped with the functional of immunogenic sites in individuals.

| Patient IDa | Clade | HLA type | Positionb | Sequence | Functionc |
|------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ML1111     | A1    | N/A      | 086–115   | YSVHQORDVKTKEALEKIEEQ(N/K) KSKKKA(T/P) | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
|            | N/A   | 121–132  | DTGNSS(S/N)QVSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| B*5703     |       | 162–169  | K(K/R)AFS(N/S)PEVI | CTL RESPONSES |
| B*5703     |       | 162–172  | K(K/R)AFS(N/S)PEVIPMF | CTL RESPONSES |
| B*4201     |       | 180–188  | T(T/T)IQDQLNTNL | CTL RESPONSES |
| N/A        |       | 217–224  | PVM(Q/H)AGPIA | CYCLOPHILIN A BINDING |
| ML1857     | C     | A*0201   | 077–085   | SLY(Y/H)NT(T/A)ATVL | CTL RESPONSES |
| B*3501     |       | 254–262  | PPI(V/I)IPYQ(D/E)IY | CTL RESPONSES |
| A*0201     |       | 433–442  | FLGK(K/R)IMP(S/P)Y(Y/H)K | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML1992     | A1    | A*0801   | 074–085   | YSVHQORDVKTKEALEKIEEQQ(N/K)KSKKK | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
|            | N/A   | 086–115  | YSVHQORDVKTKEALEKIEEQQ(N/K)KSKKK | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
|            | N/A   | 113–122  | D(D/A)TGH(S/N)SSQ(Q/N)VSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| ML1876     | A1    | A*2602   | 028–036   | KYKL(M)/KH(D/I)LW | CTL RESPONSES |
| A*0201     |       | 433–442  | FLGK(K/R)IMP(S/P)Y(Y/H)K | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML0795     | A1    | A*0202   | 077–085   | SLY(Y/F)NTATVL | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 392–407  | CFN(C/Y)IGEHKLRNC | VIRAL ENCAPSIDATION |
| ML1003     | A1    | B*5301   | 308–316   | QASQ(E/D/E)KN(N/C)W | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML1102     | D     | N/A      | 064       | L(I/L)→X | PARTICLE FORMATION |
|            | N/A   | 113–121  | KKAQQA(A/T/A)ADT | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 121–132  | DTG(R/G)H(N/H)SSQVSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| ML1317     | D     | A*0201   | 433–442  | FLGKWPSY(Y/H)YK | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML1208     | A1    | A*0201   | 077–085   | SLYNTATVL(L/I) | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 121–132  | DTGHSQ(Q/N)KVSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| ML1591     | C     | A*0201   | 077–085   | SLYNTATVL(T/V)L | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML1660     | D     | A*2402   | 028–036   | KYKL(R)KLXHVV | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 113–122  | KKAQQA(A/T/A)ADT | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 121–132  | DTG(R/G)H(N/H)SSQVSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| ML1739     | A1    | N/A      | 086–115   | YSVHQ(E/D/E)R(K/R)K(D/E/K)VI(V/I)K(K/A) DTKEALEKIEEQQ(N/K)KSKKK | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
| ML0157     | A1    | N/A      | 180–188   | TPQDLNT(P/M)ML | CTL RESPONSES |
| ML0415     | A1    | A*0301   | 020–028   | PVHAQQAPA(A/P)P | CYCLOPHILIN A BINDING |
| ML0548     | A1    | B*57     | 248       | A(A/G)→G | B*57 ESCAPING[68] |
| ML1594     | A1    | N/A      | 017–022   | EIRF(K/R)L | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
|            | B*0801 | 024–032  | GGK(R/K)K(K/T)YK(K/R)L/M/LJK | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | B*0801 | 074–082  | ELRSYN(T/A) | CTL RESPONSES |
|            | N/A   | 121–132  | DT(T/T)AGH(S/S)SSK(S/K)Q(K/Q)VSQNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |
immune response pressure on virus. The ability to detect major variations could influence the interpretation of host immune responses on the HIV-1. We evaluated the ability of these two methods at detecting positively selected amino acids, as one way to study HIV-1 evolution. The majority of the patients were infected by clade A1 (65.71%), with less than 35% by clade D (14.29%), C (4.29%), B (4.29%), and recombinants (11.43%). The computational method, REL [42], was used to identify the amino acid change under positive selection (PS) in HIV-1 Gag of clade A1 and to evaluate whether the results generated by pyrosequencing or SCB methods differ. Of the 42 positively selected (PS) amino acids identified in the amplified sequence populations generated by the two methods, 36 of these sites were identified by both methods. However, 6 of these sites, including amino acid 107, 163, 219, 386, and 441, were only identified in sequences by 454 pyrosequencing (Table 3). These 6 sites overlap with previously identified CTL epitopes. A163G is associated with HLA class I allele B*5703 and predicted to be an escape mutation that may interrupt peptide processing of the 162KAFSPEVIPMF172 epitope [43].

**Discussion**

To understand the kinetics of HIV evolution in vivo, the approach being used should be able to detect the HIV quasispecies of low frequency. This is especially critical when the “minor” mutant population is of particular significance, such as in HIV drug resistance or viral escape testing. In this respect, 454 pyrosequencing technology is superior than traditional SCB method in its ability to generate a large amount of sequence data in one instrument run to achieve hundreds fold coverage at ease. Indeed, despite the large amount of data loss (67%) upon filtering for quality (from 2,360,500 to 771,011 reads) and short read length (~102 bps) in this study, an average of 384 fold coverage was achieved to allow us to detect low abundant viral variations, especially the variations at a frequency lower than 20%, which is a challenge for SCB method. As expected, 11050 (out of 14,034) variations can only be detected by 454 pyrosequencing, which are 3 times more than the total variations identified by SCB sequencing. Moreover, the majority (80.2%) of these variations detected by 454 pyrosequencing technologies were low abundance variations (<20% in the amplified population). To achieve similar coverage using the SCB approach, at least 384 clones will need to be sequenced for each individual at a much higher cost and workload. Furthermore, the cloning procedure could introduce bias due to unintentionally selecting bigger bacterial colonies and amplification bias towards dominant quasispecies.

Previous studies have shown that 454 pyrosequencing technology can reliably detect rare variations at abundances as low as one or two percent [13,44–46]. Our findings are consistent with these reports. We validated the reliability of these low abundance variations using statistical analysis based on the empirical sequencing error estimated from the experiment. We have validated 4215 variations generated by 454 pyrosequencing with frequencies of less than 2%, which is impractical for SCB methods. Thus, our study has provided additional validation of this technology in detecting low abundant variations, especially for the rare drug-resistant variations as previously reported [10,11,13].

Alternative methods have been developed to detect very low abundant variations (less than 1%) such as allele-specific sequencing [47,48] and single-genome amplification (SGA)[49,50]. Our previous study has shown that minor variations with a prevalence of 0.29% could be identified in pooled pyrosequencing [15]. In comparison with pyrosequencing, however, alternative methods are more complex and labor intensive. Moreover, allele-specific sequencing can only detect a small number of rare variations or investigate a fraction of interesting sequences [47,51,52]. The SGA method claims to be able to accurately represent HIV-1 quasispecies and preclude Taq-induced artifacts, template switching-induced recombination, unequal template amplification, and cloning bias which are produced in Sanger cloning sequencing [49]. Taq-induced artifacts and template switching-induced recombination have been reported during pyrosequencing [13,44,45]. However, Taq-induced sequence errors can be considerably reduced by the described statistical method [13]. A probabilistic Bayesian approach has been developed to identify and correct PCR derived recombination errors by detecting haplotypes and estimating their frequencies using pyrosequencing data [53]. None the less, with a high sensitivity and reliability of detecting sequence variations, pyrosequencing represents a better alternative in characterizing genetic diversity of HIV-1, especially in detecting minor variations within an amplified viral population.

More importantly, this study first describes the difference in the consensus sequences (each amino acid with frequency >50%) generated by the two approaches. The consensus sequence represents the dominant sequence in an infected individual and is widely used for molecular biological and evolutionary studies of HIV-1. The magnitude of the difference between the consensus sequences generated by these two methods is big enough to affect the biological analysis of HIV-1 Gag both at population and individual levels. At the population level, we observed significant differences of the entropy scores [54] on the functional or immunogenic sites of p17 (P=0.0273) and p24 (P=0.0302), suggesting that there exists a significant difference in amino acid (AA) composition between two amplified sequence populations within the previously identified functional or immunogenic sites of HIV-1 Gag (Table S1). It is further supported at the individual level that the consensuses generated by these two methods are

---

**Table 2.** Cont.

| Patient ID* | Clade | HLA type | Positionb | Sequence | Function* |
|-------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ML1654      | A1    | N/A      | 217–225   | PV[H(P[H/AO][V/I])A] | CYCLOPHILIN A BINDING |
| ML1768      | A1    | N/A      | 121–132   | D(T/[A/T][H/S/N][I][S/K/S][Q/K][V/S]QNY | NEUTRALIZING VIRUSES |

* patient IDs are from a cohort of the Pumwani Sex Worker in Nairobi, Kenya; b: positions are referred to HXB2 gag gene; c: CTL epitopes are derived from the best-defined CTL epitope summary (HIV Molecular Immunology 2009, Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA). The differences between consensus sequences are shown in bold.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026745.t002
Table 3. The comparison of positively selected sites derived from 454\textsuperscript{a} and Sanger clone-based sequences.

| Codon | 454 Sequences | Sanger clone-based Sequences | Difference |
|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|       | Bayes Factor\textsuperscript{b} | PS | Bayes Factor | PS |
| 015   | 177597 | Yes | 22717.3 | Yes | No |
| 054   | 2.78668e+10 | Yes | 2.52247e+08 | Yes | No |
| 061   | 20427.3 | Yes | 9701.84 | Yes | No |
| 062   | 2708.76 | Yes | 835.661 | Yes | No |
| 066   | 385327 | Yes | 443180 | Yes | No |
| 069   | 203.289 | Yes | 292.117 | Yes | No |
| 072   | 193.453 | Yes | 160.073 | Yes | No |
| 075   | 9548.06 | Yes | 3559.12 | Yes | No |
| 076   | 614542 | Yes | 168643 | Yes | No |
| 107   | 173.957 | Yes | 69.796 | No | Yes |
| 118   | 237.882 | Yes | 189.383 | Yes | No |
| 122   | 193.159 | Yes | 195.056 | Yes | No |
| 127   | 3.72494e+06 | Yes | 52014.3 | Yes | No |
| 143   | 445.954 | Yes | 182.668 | Yes | No |
| 146   | 630.075 | Yes | 440.031 | Yes | No |
| 147   | 11040.5 | Yes | 4416.9 | Yes | No |
| 163   | 113.622 | Yes | 62.0193 | No | Yes |
| 219   | 105.812 | Yes | 9.04153 | No | Yes |
| 223   | 2751.54 | Yes | 305.449 | Yes | No |
| 243   | 1.94733e+07 | Yes | 4.36644e+06 | Yes | No |
| 303   | 1.88333e+08 | Yes | 102877 | Yes | No |
| 310   | 288.617 | Yes | 228.149 | Yes | No |
| 315   | 4706.23 | Yes | 1870.08 | Yes | No |
| 332   | 1758.17 | Yes | 670.471 | Yes | No |
| 336   | 187.775 | Yes | 153.787 | Yes | No |
| 339   | 1e+25 | Yes | 2.43507e+10 | Yes | No |
| 370   | 356.917 | Yes | 130.182 | Yes | No |
| 372   | 1785.45 | Yes | 1049.52 | Yes | No |
| 373   | 105671 | Yes | 37326.1 | Yes | No |
| 386   | 191.782 | Yes | 8.79191 | No | Yes |
| 436   | 106.006 | Yes | 43.9758 | No | Yes |
| 441   | 1165.93 | Yes | 96.7639 | No | Yes |
| 462   | 1101.03 | Yes | 399.319 | Yes | No |
| 466   | 1300.13 | Yes | 1012.5 | Yes | No |
| 474   | 282428 | Yes | 76613.5 | Yes | No |
| 478   | 250.849 | Yes | 197.548 | Yes | No |
| 481   | 1628.94 | Yes | 872.868 | Yes | No |
| 486   | 35465.5 | Yes | 13427.5 | Yes | No |
| 487   | 71179.4 | Yes | 60727.3 | Yes | No |
| 497   | 3628.8 | Yes | 1602.01 | Yes | No |
| 498   | 571.563 | Yes | 438.724 | Yes | No |

Forty-six amino acid consensus sequences (subtype A1) from 454 and Sanger clone-based methods are subjected to positive selection analysis by random effect likelihood (REL) method. The result of FEL analysis is given as Bayes factor and possibility of positive selection. The differences of positively selected sites identified between two amplified sequence populations are shown in bold.

\textsuperscript{a}: 454 pyrosequencing;
\textsuperscript{b}: Bayes factor value (\(>100\)) is deemed as positive selection;
\textsuperscript{c}: positive selection.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026745.t003
It is established that selection pressures exerted by host immune responses shape the genetic variation of HIV-1 [27,32,59-62]. Measuring and understanding the selection pressures is an important part of evolutionary biology [34,63,64]. Current methods measuring selection pressures are based on protein-coding sequences. The difference in dominant variations generated by pyrosequencing and SCB methods could affect the interpretation of positive selection within amplified viral populations. Analysis using the REL method at given amino acid positions within the HIV-1 Gag proteins showed that the positive selection on those sites appears different, especially at codon 107, 163, 219, 386, 436, and 441 (Table 3). For example, for sequences generated by SCB approach, codon 163 was not identified as a positively selected amino acid; while for sequences generated by 454-pyrosequencing technologies, codon 163 was indeed identified as positively selected. Since codon 163 is within 62KAFSPE-VIPMF172 epitope, the difference in detecting positive selection on this site could mislead interpretation on viral interaction with the host to characterize positive selections.

Current collections of HIV sequence data in NCBI and Los Alamos HIV Sequence Database are all generated by Sanger sequencing of PCR products or clones from PCR products. These data has been widely used by research communities to study HIV-1. Our study suggests that the sequences generated by SCB method are biased towards sampling major variations in viral quasispecies population, which might result in less accurate profiling of HIV-1 genetic diversity and affect reliability of downstream functional or evolutionary analysis (i.e. positive selection). Pyrosequencing can provide much deeper sampling of the HIV-1 variations of a viral quasispecies population and a more comprehensive and accurate profiling of HIV-1 diversity. Therefore, caution should be taken in interpreting the result of SCB sequence analysis.

There was significant data loss resulting from poor quality reads and short read length of the sequences generated by pyrosequencing technology in this study. The data loss was primarily due to the early platform of sequencer, Genome Sequencer 20 (GS20), reagents and more stringent screening criteria for the high quality reads applied in this study besides its inherent shortcomings in terms of data loss during the internal 454 quality control process of reads. Despite these shortcomings, the throughput of 454 still generates datasets sufficient for this type of study. Further, the current 454 instruments (GS FLX) have substantially improved the quality (99.997% accuracy), throughput (up to 700 Mb), and read lengths (up to 700 bps). With these improvements, one can sequence the full HIV-1 genome (~9 to 10 Kb) at 1000 fold coverage from 70 patient samples in one instrument run. However, even the best current pyrosequencing technology still cannot produce sequence reads long enough to cover the full HIV-1 genome (~9 to 10 Kb). The assembly of pyrosequencing reads still depends on the availability of sequences generated by SCB methods.

It should be noted that there may be a limitation in using provirus instead of circulating virus in this study as not all proviral sequences produce functional virus. Even with this limitation, the use of proviral sequences in this study does not affect the comparison of 454 pyrosequencing and SCB methods, as the same starting material was used for both approaches.

In conclusion, Ultra-deep pyrosequencing has proven to be a powerful and potentially superior to the SCB method in characterizing genetic diversity of HIV-1 quasispecies, especially for the detection of low abundance variations. These consensus sequence differences observed between these methods could potentially impact the inferences made in the common studies of the function and evolution of the HIV-1 genome.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

The study population includes antiretroviral treatment-naive HIV-1 positive women with chronic infections enrolled in the Pumwani Sex Worker cohort in Nairobi, Kenya. HLA class I genes had been previously typed in all subjects [43]. Both the ethics committees of the University of the Manitoba and Kenyatta National Hospital approved this study. All patients provided informed written consent for participation in this study.

**PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing with Sanger method**

Proviral DNA was isolated using 1 million PBMCs from 96 HIV-1 positive women and the gag was amplified using nested PCR with 2 rounds of amplification. The first round PCR primers, HIV1F 5'CTTCCCTGATTTGGGAG-3' and HIV1R 5’TAAAAATTGGGGCTGAAAAATCC-3', generates about 2,642 bps of product, and the second round primers, HIV2F 5’-AATCTCCTGAGCGGCCCTGAGCAGGAG-3' and HIV2R 5’-TGATTGGGCCCCAAGTGAATG-3', generates amplicons of about 1,997 bps. The second round PCR amplicon products were purified using the MultiScreenHTS PCR plate (Millipore Corporation) and then cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). BigDye Terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems) was used to sequence gag with specific primers T7 5’-TAAATAGACTCACAATATAAGGG-3', T3 5’-ATTTAACCCCTCATAAAGGGA-3', (GSF1.6) GAGSEQF1.6 5’-GATAGAGTTAAAAAGACACCAAG-3' (277-298), (GSF2) GAGSEQF2 5’-CAGATTATCAGGAGGAGGACCCCAACGAG-3' (541-562), (GOR) GAGPCRRN 5’-CTCACAATTCCCTATCATTTTGTTCCTC-3'. Purified sequencing products were analyzed with an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Nucleotide sequences were assembled and edited with Sequencher 4.8 (GeneCodes Corp.). An average of 30 clones per patient was sequenced and sequences were submitted to NCBI GenBank with accession numbers GQ429817-432774.

**Pyrosequencing**

Ultra-deep pyrosequencing was carried on the same second round PCR amplicons as used in cloning with a Roche GS20 sequencer by the Genomics Core Facility at the National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Canada. Briefly, the purified 96 gag PCR products used above were mechanically sheared, ligated to the adaptors, separately loaded into lanes (one lane/per sample) of the picolitre plate and...
amplified on capture beads in high-density water-in-oil emulsion picolitre reactors followed by pyrosequencing. The pyrosequencing yielded 2,300,500 sequence reads, 37.6% of which passed the default quality control, with an average read length of 102 base pairs [454 raw data was submitted to NCBI GenBank Short Read Archive (SRA) with the accession number SRA009360]. As the original PCR products may contain human or microbial DNA, non-HIV contaminant reads were filtered out by BLAST [65] alignment to the HIV-1 HXB2 reference sequence, resulting in 771,011 read sequences remaining for this study.

Sequence alignment and determination of variations
The sequences of clones from each patient were aligned to the HIV-1 HXB2 gag gene by ClustalW [66]. The variations and their abundances in each sequence pool were identified by comparing the sequence of each clone to the consensus of the pool. The pyrosequencing reads from each patient were mapped onto the corresponding multiple aligned Sanger clone sequences by WUBLAST V2.0 [http://blast.wustl.edu/]. The abundance of nucleotides or amino acids at each position was calculated against the consensus sequence at each individual using Perl scripts developed in-house (available from the author on request). A variation was determined if there is ‘a change’ in a nucleotide sequence (either a clone or a read) comparing to the consensus sequence in each individual and its abundance was less than 50% in the amplified sequence population. Minor variations, defined as variations with an abundance of less than 20%, including <5% and <,%1, were filtered using the reported statistical methods [13]. In this method, empirically observed distribution of error rate was applied to discriminate sequence errors from authentic variations on the assumption that the abundance of variation follows a Poisson distribution. Only variations with their abundances yielding a P-value of <0.001 were considered to be authentic [13].

Measure of amino acid variability and determination of correlation between amino acid variation and HIV-1 Gag function
Entropy scores were calculated for each position in the multiple alignment for both the 454 and Sanger cloning amino acid sequences as previously described [67]. The sequence population consists of amino acid consensus sequences (one/per patient) generated by either 454 pyrosequencing or SCB method. The entropy scores from two amplified sequence populations and the paired entropy differences from the two amplified sequence populations were tested for normality using the Kolomogorov-Smirnov test. After confirmation of normality, a Student’s t-test was applied to compare entropy scores (calculated from functional or immunogenic sites within HIV-1 Gag amino acid sequences previously described [66]) of the 454 pyrosequencing and SCB method. The functional or immunogenic sites were defined as the amino acids overlapping previously described optimal CTL epitopes, neutralizing antibody epitopes, viral replication sites, and virus particle formation sites [HIV Molecular Immunology 2009, Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA].

Mapping of consensus differences to HIV-1 Gag functional or immunogenic sites and positive selection analysis
The amino acid consensus differences were first determined by comparing the consensus sequences generated from each patient by the two sequencing methods and then mapped to the HIV-1 HXB2 Gag reference. The consensus differences overlapping HIV-1 Gag functional or immunogenic sites were determined. As phylogenies cannot be generated from random shotgun pyrosequencing data for quasispecies within each individual, we performed the PS analysis at the population level using the consensus sequences from each individual. RIP software [http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/RIP/RIP.html] was used to detect recombination between different viral subtypes of the sequences used in this study. Positive selection analysis was conducted on 46 subtype A1 consensus sequences (subtype A1) generated from the two sequencing methods using random-effects likelihood [REL] [12,64]. The optimal time reversible substitution model was first determined for the applied sequence data and the maximum likelihood-based analysis was then carried out.

Supporting Information
Figure S1 The 454 read coverage at each nucleotide position of HIV-1 gag gene. The 454 read coverage at each nucleotide position of HIV-1 gag gene was plotted for each patient. Gag nucleotide sequence positions are referred to HXB2. The average coverage of 454 reads among all the patients was highlighted in bold. (TIF)

Table S1 The entropy differences on functional and immunogenic sites of HIV-1 Gag proteins between 454 and Sanger cloning amino acid sequences. a: Shannon entropy is calculated as a measure of variations in protein sequence alignments based on the method online [http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/ENTROPY/entropy_one.html]. b: Gag protein functional and immunogenic sites are referred to HIV Sequence Databases and HIV Immunology Database (Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA). c: Paired Student t test was conducted. P value with significance is highlighted. df: degrees of freedom; CI: confidence interval. (DOC)

Table S2 The 454 read coverage and corresponding variation at individual level. The 454 read coverage and its corresponding variation at each nucleotide position of HIV-1 gag gene were shown for each patient. Gag nucleotide sequence positions are referred to HXB2. p: represents patient; coverage: 454 read coverage at each nucleotide position of HIV-1 gag gene. (XLS)

Acknowledgments
We thank Rene Warren, Canada’s Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre, Canada, for his help in developing the part of Perl scripts. We thank Wilfred Cuff for his consultation on statistical analysis. We also thank Sergei L Kosakovsky Pond, University of California, San Diego, USA, for testing on molecular sequence data and interpreting the results of the evolution of HIV-1. The women who participate in the Pumwani Sex Worker Cohort have made essential contributions to this research. Without them this work would not be possible.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: BL ML. Performed the experiments: JSH CS MM RC BS GVD MG ST. Analyzed the data: BS BL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FP SJ BB. Wrote the paper: BL ML HJ JK BB GVD SJ FP.
References

1. Borrego P, Marcelino JM, Rocha C, Dorronsor, M, Antunes F, et al. (2008) The role of the humoral immune response in the molecular evolution of the envelope C2, V3 and C3 regions in chronically HIV-2 infected patients. Retrovirology 5: 71.

2. Joos B, Fischer M, Schweizer A, Kuster H, Bont J, et al. (2007) Positive in vivo selection of the HIV-1 envelope protein gp120 occurs at surface-exposed regions. J Infect Dis 196: 313-320.

3. Cabrera C, Marfil S, Garcia E, Martinez-Picado J, Bonjoch A, et al. (2006) Genetic evolution of gp1 reveals a highly exclusive relationship between codons 36, 38 and 45 in gp120 under long-term env-fui-virulence containing regame. J Virol 80: 2073-2080.

4. Delmasan C, Bruno-Vezinet F, Landmann R, Collin G, Peysavin G, et al. (2005) Comparative selection of the K65R and M184V/I mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected patients enrolled in a trial of first-line triple-nucleoside analog therapy (Tomas MEA 021). J Virol 79: 9572-9578.

5. Shendure J, B L H (2008) Next-generation DNA sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 26: 1133-1145.

6. Margaliotes M, Egholm M, Altmann WE, Antia S, Badner JS, et al. (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picoliter arrays. Nature 437: 310-315.

7. Holt RA, Jones SJ (2008) The new paradigm of flow cell sequencing. Genome Res 18: 839-846.

8. Rozera G, Abbate I, Bruselles A, Vlassi C, D'Offizi G, et al. (2009) Massively parallel pyrosequencing highlights majority variants in the HIV-1 env quasispecies deriving from lymphomonocyte sub-populations. Retrovirology 6: 15.

9. Hoffmann C, Minkah N, Leipzig J, Wang G, Arens MQ, et al. (2007) DNA bar coding and pyrosequencing to identify rare HIV drug resistance mutations. Nucl Acids Res 35: e91.

10. Simen BB, Simons JF, Hullsiek KH, Novak RM, Macarthur RD, et al. (2009) Genetic evolution of gp1 reveals a highly exclusive relationship between codons 36, 38 and 45 in gp120 under long-term env-fui-virulence containing regame. J Virol 80: 2073-2080.

11. Goulder P, Price D, Nowak M, Rowland-Jones S, Phillips R, et al. (1999) Co-evolution of human immunodeficiency virus and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses. Immune Rev 159: 17-29.

12. McKeating JA, Clapham PR (1995) Immune escape and tropism of HIV. Trends Microbiol 3: 356-361.

13. Voorman P, Deckers JM, WM, Hu H, Kapsje JC, et al. (2003) Antibody neutralization and escape by HIV-1. Nature 422: 307-312.

14. Liang B, Yao X, Van Domselaar G, et al. (2006) Systematic analysis of host immunological pressure on the envelope gene of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by an immunobiometrics approach. Curr HIV Res 3: 373-379.

15. Wang C, Mitsuya Y, Gharizadeh B, Ronaghi M, Shafer RW (2007) Genetic evolution of the K65R and M184V/I mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected patients enrolled in a trial of first-line triple-nucleoside analog therapy (Tomas MEA 021). J Virol 79: 9572-9578.

16. Shendure J, Ji H (2008) Next-generation DNA sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 26: 1133-1145.

17. Margaliotes M, Egholm M, Altmann WE, Antia S, Badner JS, et al. (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picoliter arrays. Nature 437: 310-315.

18. Holt RA, Jones SJ (2008) The new paradigm of flow cell sequencing. Genome Res 18: 839-846.

19. Rozera G, Abbate I, Bruselles A, Vlassi C, D'Offizi G, et al. (2009) Massively parallel pyrosequencing highlights majority variants in the HIV-1 env quasispecies deriving from lymphomonocyte sub-populations. Retrovirology 6: 15.

20. Hoffmann C, Minkah N, Leipzig J, Wang G, Arens MQ, et al. (2007) DNA bar coding and pyrosequencing to identify rare HIV drug resistance mutations. Nucl Acids Res 35: e91.

21. Simen BB, Simons JF, Hullsiek KH, Novak RM, Macarthur RD, et al. (2009) Massively parallel pyrosequencing highlights majority variants in the HIV-1 env quasispecies deriving from lymphomonocyte sub-populations. Retrovirology 6: 15.

22. Goulder P, Price D, Nowak M, Rowland-Jones S, Phillips R, et al. (1999) Co-evolution of human immunodeficiency virus and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses. Immune Rev 159: 17-29.

23. McKeating JA, Clapham PR (1995) Immune escape and tropism of HIV. Trends Microbiol 3: 356-361.

24. Voorman P, Deckers JM, WM, Hu H, Kapsje JC, et al. (2003) Antibody neutralization and escape by HIV-1. Nature 422: 307-312.

25. Liang B, Yao X, Van Domselaar G, et al. (2006) Systematic analysis of host immunological pressure on the envelope gene of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by an immunobiometrics approach. Curr HIV Res 3: 373-379.

26. Wang C, Mitsuya Y, Gharizadeh B, Ronaghi M, Shafer RW (2007) Genetic evolution of the K65R and M184V/I mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected patients enrolled in a trial of first-line triple-nucleoside analog therapy (Tomas MEA 021). J Virol 79: 9572-9578.

27. Moore CB, John M, James IR, Christiansen FT, Witt CS, et al. (2002) Evidence of HIV-1 adaptation to HLA-restricted immune responses at a population level. Science 296: 1439-1443.

28. Gaschen B, Taylor J, Yusim K, Foley B, Gao F, et al. (2002) Diversity considerations in HIV-1 vaccine selection. Science 296: 2354-2360.
Impact of 454 Pyrosequencing on Studying HIV-1

54. Korber B, Muldoon M, Theiler J, Gao F, Gupta R, et al. (2000) Timing the ancestor of the HIV-1 pandemic strains. Science 288: 1789–1796.

55. McKinnon LR, Ball TB, Kimani J, Wachihi C, Matu L, et al. (2005) Cross-clade CD8+ T-cell responses with a preference for the predominant circulating clade. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 40: 245–249.

56. Casement KS, Nehete PN, Arlinghaus RB, Sastry KJ (1995) Cross-reactive cytotoxic T lymphocytes induced by V3 loop synthetic peptides from different strains of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Virology 211: 261–267.

57. O'Connor DH, Allen TM, Vogel TV, Jung P, DeSouza IP, et al. (2002) Acute phase cytotoxic T lymphocyte escape is a hallmark of simian immunodeficiency virus infection. Nat Med 8: 493–499.

58. Slifka MK, Whitley JL (2001) Functional avidity maturation of CD8+ T cells without selection of higher affinity TCR. Nat Immunol 2: 1318–1325.

59. Williamson S (2003) Adaptation in the env gene of HIV-1 and evolutionary theories of disease progression. Mol Biol Evol 20: 1318–1325.

60. Yang Z, Biersawski JP, Yang Z (2003) Widespread adaptive evolution in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genome. J Mol Evol 57: 212–221.

61. Yang Z (2001) Maximum likelihood analysis of adaptive evolution in HIV-1 gp120 env gene. Pac Symp Biocomput. pp 226–237.

62. Yang Z, Nielsen R, Goldman N, Pedersen AM (2000) Codon-substitution models for heterogeneous selection pressure at amino acid sites. Genetics 155: 431–449.

63. Bush RM (2001) Predicting adaptive evolution. Nat Rev Genet 2: 387–392.

64. Pond SL, Frost SD (2005) Datamonkey: rapid detection of selective pressure on individual sites of codon alignments. Bioinformatics 21: 2531–2533.

65. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410.

66. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673–4680.

67. Korber BT, MacInnes K, Smith RF, Myers G (1994) Mutational trends in V3 loop protein sequences observed in different genetic lineages of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 68: 6730–6744.

68. Leslie AJ, Pfaffert KJ, Ghetty P, Draenert R, Addo MM, et al. (2004) HIV evolution: CTL escape mutation and reversion after transmission. Nat Med 10: 282–289.