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SUMMARY
Atmospheric CO₂ is the key Greenhouse Gas in terms of its global warming potential and anthropogenic sources. Therefore, it is important to analyze the changes in the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ to monitor regional and global climate change. Here, we use ground-based and satellite measurements for the 2002-2020 period to assess CO₂ over India. The average CO₂ trend over India is about 2.1 ppm/yr, and the highest trends are in agreement with the increase in total energy consumption during the period, and the highest trends are found in the areas of mines and refineries in the west and east India. The estimated CO₂ trends for India are comparable to that of global tropical and mid-latitude regions. The increasing CO₂ implies serious anthropogenic global warming and thus, calls for mitigation measures and continuous monitoring for timely policy interventions.

INTRODUCTION
Carbon dioxide (CO₂) has a very prominent role in the regulation of Earth’s temperature (Hegerl et al., 2006; Foster et al., 2017). Apart from being one of the key greenhouse gases (GHGs), it also plays an important part in the plant and animal processes (i.e., photosynthesis and respiration) and thus, is responsible for the changes in climate (Apadula et al., 2019; Ekwurzel et al., 2017; Wang, 1999; IPCC, 2007). The atmospheric concentration of CO₂ depends on the exchange of carbon fluxes among its sources and sinks. The major sources include fossil fuel burning, biological respiration, and change in land-use patterns, whereas the terrestrial biosphere and oceans are the most important sinks that regulate the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere (Houghton 1998; Gunter et al., 1998). There has been a substantial rise in atmospheric CO₂ in the past 150 years, from 280 to 416 ppm (Krishnapriya et al., 2020), owing to the industrial activities that support modern human civilization. These increasing levels of CO₂ lead to changes in global radiative forcing and climate (IPCC, 2007; 2021). Therefore, reducing CO₂ emissions should be one of the main goals to mitigate anthropogenic climate change (Thompson et al., 2016).

As the focus of current scientific research is mostly anthropogenic climate change and GHGs, it is very important to understand the changes in CO₂ concentrations caused by human activities and natural environment for future climate predictions (Cui et al., 2020; Sanghavi et al., 2020; Raj et al., 2020). To monitor the CO₂ concentrations, high-precision station-based surface observations are used. On average, the oceans and terrestrial biosphere absorb about 60% of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, and 40% remain in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2014). The environment modifies these atmospheric concentrations, but monitoring those changes with in situ measurements is very expensive and time-consuming (Bousquet et al., 1996). Additionally, these estimates are inadequate to represent and assess the regional and global carbon fluxes; leaving critical scientific questions related to the carbon cycle unanswered (Boesch et al., 2011; IPCC, 2021). The practice of making use of space-based observations is the best way to achieve this, as measurements from space-borne instruments have global coverage in high frequency. The temporal evolution of trace gases in the atmosphere can also be tracked simultaneously in different parts of the earth by satellites.

There are different space-based approaches for estimating the atmospheric concentrations of CO₂. The differential absorption technique is the first approach, which makes use of absorption wavelengths of CO₂ in SWIR (Short Wave Infrared) (Bréon and Ciais, 2010). Some satellite instruments use the thermal infrared (TIR) channels for CO₂ observations. Additionally, solar occultation methods are applied in some other instruments (Patra et al., 2008; Sioris et al., 2014; Foucher et al., 2011). The SWIR-based
observations have more sensitivity at the surface, but the TIR measurements have sensitivity throughout the troposphere (Chédin et al., 2003; Peter et al., 2021).

The scanning imaging absorption spectrometer for atmospheric cartography (SCIAMACHY) was the first satellite to measure atmospheric CO₂, which was launched on the Environmental Satellite in March 2002. Afterward, NASA (National Aeronautics Space Administration) launched AIRS (Atmospheric Infrared Sounder) onboard Aqua in May 2002 (e.g. Chédin et al., 2003). The Thermal And Near infrared Sensor for carbon Observation - Fourier Trans-form Spectrometer (TANSO-FTS) on Greenhouse gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) was the project put forward to measure CH₄ and CO₂ from space using infrared channels (Yoshida et al., 2012) and was launched in 2009. Recently, NASA launched its first exclusive CO₂ mission in recent decades, the fossil-fuel CO₂ emissions from India have shown an annual increase of +7% (Boden et al., 2009). According to Thompson et al. (2016), fossil fuel emissions increased between 1990 and 2010 by 190% in India (to 0.55 PgC per year; 1 PgC = 1 × 10¹⁵ g carbon in CO₂). It is mainly contributed by the cement production, transport, electricity, and power generation sectors. Past studies used ground-based (Tiwari et al., 2011, 2013) and aircraft-borne (Baker et al., 2011; Nayak et al., 2011) measurements, and model simulations (e.g. Valsala et al., 2013; Patra et al., 2011, 2013) to analyze atmospheric CO₂ in India. In addition, flask measurements are also performed to estimate the GHG concentrations in the Himalaya and western India regions (Anthwal et al., 2009; Sharma et al., 2014; Mahesh et al., 2016). Recently, Gupta et al. (2019) presented the spatial and temporal changes in mid-tropospheric CO₂ over India for the period 2002-2011. They reported a noticeable seasonal and inter-annual variability in CO₂ over India, and estimated a trend of 2.01 ppm/yr in their study period.

As the measurements and analyses of atmospheric CO₂ over India are limited, in-depth studies are inevitable for detecting anthropogenic warming and climate change driven by GHGs (e.g. Preethi et al., 2011; Patel and Kuttipurath, 2022). Henceforth, we present the spatial and temporal changes in atmospheric CO₂ over India using multi-satellite measurements for the past 19 years (2002-2020). In addition, we have also estimated the trends in global atmospheric CO₂ using ground-based and satellite observations. The trends in CO₂ are assessed with the auxiliary data of temperature, precipitation, vegetation, and emission data from industries, mining, energy, and power sectors. It is the first comprehensive study of its kind, as the analyses also include multi-satellite bias estimates to make robust statistics of long-term trends in CO₂ for policy decisions. This is particularly significant in the context of the Paris Climate Agreement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 demonstrates the satellite measurements and their ability to capture the seasonal and temporal changes in atmospheric CO₂. SCIAMACHY is the first space-borne instrument to observe atmospheric CO₂.
and was launched in 2002 and decommissioned in 2012. Therefore, the average CO₂ concentrations are lower than that of any other satellite measurements here. The OCO-2 and GOSAT are the recently launched satellite payloads and are currently operating. Therefore, their measurements show higher CO₂ concentrations than that of SCIAMACHY and AIRS in all seasons. Figure 1E compares the annual averaged CO₂ concentrations from each satellite, in which the gradual progression in atmospheric CO₂ in each satellite observation period is very clear in all regions. The SCIAMACHY measurements show the lowest (385 ppm) and OCO-2 shows the highest (410 ppm) CO₂ values among the measurements, as explained previously. All satellite measurements show distinct and similar seasonal variability, illustrating the consistency in observations by different instruments. The highest values are found in MAM (March-May, summer) and the lowest in JJAS (June-September, monsoon) seasons. The seasonal difference is within 4 ppm and is consistent with all satellite measurements. Indian land area is very vast, and the topography and vegetation cover are different and diverse. Henceforth, it is expected that the seasonal distribution of CO₂ to be slightly different in different parts of India. This makes the October-November (ON, northeast monsoon
season) measurements show the lowest CO₂ values in some regions. Similarly, the CO₂ concentrations are relatively higher in the north and east than that in south India. The regional differences are about 8 ppm and are congruent in all satellite measurements. Therefore, these concatenated data for the period 2002-2020 can be used for scientific studies and are suitable for long-term trend calculations. However, the interannual analyses consider the complete year, and therefore, the measurements from 2003 to 2019 are considered. We have estimated the bias between the satellite measurements and use the combined data for further scientific discussion.

**Bias estimates**

*Atmospheric Infrared Sounder and Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (ARGO)*

The monthly bias calculated between the overlapping years of AIRS and GOSAT is depicted in Figure 2. The differences are smaller compared to the distinct seasonal differences in the bias. The smallest bias is observed in DJF (December, January, and March) with less than +2 ppm over the land, whereas the Indian Ocean and Southeast Asia regions exhibit −2 to 0 ppm. The differences are highest in MAM, particularly north of 30° N latitude. The very small negative bias observed over southeast Asia in NH (Northern Hemisphere) decreases with time. A relatively higher bias (>5 ppm) is observed north of 30° N and the whole region has a positive bias greater than 3 ppm in JJAS. The bias again reduces to 1-2 ppm over the land and even smaller over the ocean during ON. The large difference in monsoon months is primarily owing to the increase in CO₂ intake by vegetation, which reduces the CO₂ levels near the surface. This immediate reduction is not found in the mid-troposphere; making higher bias there. The presence of the subtropical jet stream has also a role in transporting the atmospheric CO₂ to the higher latitudes.

---

**Figure 2. Bias in different satellite CO₂ measurements**

(A) The monthly averaged bias calculated between AIRS and GOSAT CO₂ concentrations over India and adjacent continental and oceanic regions.

(B) The bias calculated for different homogeneous regions in India and nearby continental and oceanic regions, where SI is southern India, CI is central India, IGP is Indo-Gangetic Plains, TP is Third Pole, BB is the Bay of Bengal, and AS is the Arabian Sea. The vertical bars represent the SD from the mean. The regions are marked in Figures S5 and S6.
Atmospheric Infrared Sounder and Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (AROC)

Figure S1 shows the bias estimated between AIRS and OCO-2. During DJF, the Indian Ocean exhibits negative bias, whereas the land regions show positive values, and the bias is within 3 ppm. A higher positive bias is observed during the monsoon months of JJAS, mainly to the north of 30° N and the average bias is in the range of 3-5 ppm. This higher bias is similar to that found between AIRS and GOSAT. The bias decreases and reverses over the ocean, and is within –2 to –1 ppm in ON. This is owing to the transport of surface emissions toward the oceanic regions by the northeast monsoon winds, which do not usually affect the mid and upper tropospheric CO₂ distribution.

Atmospheric Infrared Sounder and SCanningImaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric Cartography (ARSC)

The smallest differences are found in the winter months, about 1 ppm as shown in Figure S2. As SCIAMACHY measurements are sensitive at the surface, it captures the seasonality of atmospheric CO₂ more prominently as compared to AIRS. One to two months lag in the seasonal variability of CO₂ is observed for AIRS, which is also not as strong as that in SCIAMACHY or other satellite observations. The CO₂ concentration measured by SCIAMACHY is smaller than that of the mid-troposphere by AIRS in MAM. In JJAS, the reduction in atmospheric CO₂ because of the vegetation intake makes higher bias over the land and at higher latitudes. The difference decreases from October to November with an average bias within ±2 ppm.

Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite and Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (GOOC)

GOSAT and OCO-2 are currently operating satellites that measure atmospheric CO₂. The bias calculations are shown in Figure S3. In general, the comparisons show a large bias over the land regions, and the bias increases to 6 ppm, except for MAM and JJAS. The bias is relatively small in the rainy seasons (JJAS and ON), within ±2 ppm. Note that the measurements are sporadic in JJAS, which makes fewer data available to compare over the land regions.

Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite and SCanningImaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric Cartography (GOSC)

SCIAMACHY CO₂ measurements are higher than that of GOSAT in almost all months. The comparison shows a difference within ±2 ppm over all land regions, as depicted in Figure S4. However, the difference is about –8 ppm over the eastern India in some months. As the data are not available over the oceanic and plateau regions, bias calculations are not performed for those areas.

We have discussed the differences in atmospheric CO₂ measured by different satellites across the latitudes. Therefore, we have also found the mean CO₂ concentrations in homogeneous regions, and are illustrated in Figure S5. The largest mean bias is observed for GOSC, about 3.5 ppm. Over the land regions, Central India shows the lowest bias, with a peak of 2 ppm for GOSC and the smallest difference of 0.5 ppm for GOOC. Both oceanic basins exhibit a similar magnitude in mean bias, but the difference is negative for AS in the comparisons of AROC and GOOC. Ocean basins show a smaller bias than that over the land, with the highest mean bias of 1.4 ppm in BoB for Argo and the lowest of 0.15 ppm in BoB for GOOC. This regional analysis suggests that the largest bias is about 3.5 ppm and the smallest at about 0.15 ppm. Compared to the accuracy of the individual satellite measurements, about 1-2 ppm, depending on the instruments, the bias (from –1.0 to 3.5 ppm) is not large and the measurements are well suited for scientific analyses.

Atmospheric CO₂ over India: Spatial and temporal changes

Atmospheric CO₂ has a direct connection to anthropogenic activities. Although it is a well-mixed GHG, the changes in fossil fuel burning and vegetation affect its variability in the atmosphere, as shown in Figure 3. The emission from land-use changes is one of the largest human-made sources of CO₂ (Le Quéré et al., 2009). Furthermore, the highly industrialized regions show high CO₂ values, such as eastern India (Figure 56 shows different regions in India). Similarly, relatively lower values are observed in the places where deforestation is severe. Logging and deforestation emit CO₂, but are partly offset by CO₂ uptake by the secondary vegetation. Northeast India (NEI) with higher values of about 407 ppm is an example for such areas, but about 3 ppm more in other regions. Note that deforestation is the second largest source of anthropogenic CO₂ after fossil fuel combustion (e.g. van der Werf et al., 2009).
There is a distinct seasonal variability in CO₂ distribution over India and is illustrated in Figure 4. The smallest concentrations are found in JJAS and then in ON, i.e., during the monsoon rainfall months. The highest concentrations are found in MAM and DJF. The difference between JJAS and MAM is about 8-9 ppm, and that between DJF and MAM is about 4 ppm. However, the difference in CO₂ concentration between the monsoon months is about 1-2 ppm. In all cases, the largest concentration among the regions is found in the northeast and southeast India.

The summer months with higher temperatures and dry weather make the ecosystems to reduce the exchange of carbon and thus, the MAM months show the highest CO₂ concentrations (Patra et al., 2013). In addition, most croplands are left fallow in this season owing to the unavailability of farm irrigation and this reduces vegetation and suppresses the carbon sink pathways. The comparatively slow winds in this season inhibit transport and mixing, which also makes higher CO₂ there (Sharma et al., 2013). On the other hand, the monsoon months with high precipitation and enhanced soil moisture produce more photosynthetic activity and thus higher sink of CO₂.

**Figure 3. The spatial distribution of CO₂ and selected drivers**

The annual-averaged CO₂ concentrations in India as estimated from available satellite measurements during the period 2002-2020. The satellite measurements include SCIAMACHY, AIRS, GOSAT, and OCO-2. The annual-averaged temperature, land use, and population are also depicted. The monthly distribution of annual CO₂ in different regions of India are shown in the bottom panel. The regions SI are southern India, CI is Central India, IGP is Indo-Gangetic Plain is IGP and all India averaged data (India). The first letter of each month is shown on the X axis of the bottom panel (e.g., starting from J – January to D – December).

The annual-averaged temperature, land use, and population are also shown.
Additionally, as southwest monsoon (JJAS) brings about 75% of total rainfall in India (Oza and Kishtawal, 2014), agriculture greatly depends on this rainfall. The intense agriculture activities make more crops and vegetation, and these would produce more sink for CO2. This is also reflected in the NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) data. Distinct seasonal variability and a strong relationship between NDVI and CO2 are observed in all four seasons, as demonstrated in Figure 4. There is a high anti-correlation between NDVI and CO2 (e.g. Gupta et al., 2019). The presence of dense monsoon clouds blocks sun radiation and reduces the temperature, decreases the soil and leaf respiration, and increases carbon uptake. After JJAS, the lowest CO2 concentrations are found in ON, which is also the northeast monsoon season. The east coast of India receives half of its annual rainfall in this season, and the Rabi crops are grown during this period. In addition, the peninsular region has most of its rainfall during JJAS, and thus, these areas show smaller CO2 concentrations in this season (Gupta et al., 2019).

The concentration of CO2 gradually increases by DJF as the winter months approach. Winters demand more power and heating systems. The heating consumes large amounts of fossil fuels, and thus a significant amount of CO2 is emitted to the atmosphere. As the plants are dormant during winter, weak photosynthesis and strong respiration contribute to relatively high levels of CO2. The regional...
distribution of atmospheric CO₂ over India shows a consistent pattern in all seasons. NEI and Hilly areas show the highest, and the Peninsular region exhibits the smallest CO₂ concentrations in all seasons. All four satellites show a similar distribution of atmospheric CO₂. However, as the satellites were launched in different years, the absolute values of CO₂ are different. For instance, the first satellite that measured atmospheric CO₂ was SCIAMACHY. Therefore, CO₂ values show then maximum, about 384 ppm, and the difference is about 8-9 ppm with the average values shown by other satellite measurements.

Biomass burning is an important source of atmospheric CO₂ (Yang and Zhao, 2019; Shi et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2022). We have used the burned area (Figure 3E) as a proxy for biomass burning. There are many fire events in India, particularly at NEI, Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), hilly regions of east and Kashmir, and Central India. We have taken the total burned area in all Indian regions for each month here. The burned areas show large seasonal variability, from 0 to 3000 km². The largest burned areas are found in the dry months of February, March, and April, about 2000 km². There is a second peak in October and November, but the amount of burned area is ten times smaller, about 250-500 km². Both peaks are influenced by the annual cycle in fires corresponding to the Khair and Rabi harvest seasons, respectively (e.g. Kuttipurath et al., 2020). The agriculture-intensive regions such as IGP have two peaks, as depicted in the figure. Conversely, the eastern and northeastern regions have the peak fire events in October and November. In addition, the peaks in February-March in the peninsular region are in agreement with the harvest season there (e.g. Singh et al., 2021; Venkataraman et al., 2006). Therefore, the highest values in atmospheric CO₂ coincide with the peaks in biomass burning in India. The time lag in CO₂ peaks with that of the burned areas can be owing to the spatial averaging of the data. This is further illustrated in Figure 4E, where the spatial distribution of burned areas is presented. The analyses show very small burned areas or fire events in spring and monsoon seasons, but large areas, except the northernmost states such as Kashmir, are under fire events in winter and autumn as discussed with Figure 3E. The shifting cultivation is still practiced in the northeast India, for which the primary and secondary forests are cleared through a slash and burn process and then the crops are cultivated after 1-3 years. Therefore, higher CO₂ concentration is observed in those regions (Pasha et al., 2020). Furthermore, it is reported that the burning of 63 Mt of crop stubble releases about 3.4 Mt of CO, 0.1 Mt of NOₓ, 91 Mt of CO₂, 0.6 Mt of CH₄, and 1.2 Mt of particulate matter to the atmosphere (Abdurrrahman et al., 2020). Therefore, biomass burning also contributes to the higher emissions of CO₂ in India.

Trends in CO₂ over India

The inter-annual variability of atmospheric CO₂ exhibits a constant and consistent rise from the year 2003 onward, as shown in Figure 5. The year 2003 has a value of about 370 ppm, which increased to 410 ppm by 2019. This suggests that there has been an increase of 40 ppm in 17 years. In addition to the spatial changes, we have also estimated the average CO₂ in different regions such as the IGP, south, and north India. For instance, the illustration demonstrates the Keeling curve for IGP, where measurements from different satellites are shown. All satellite measurements agree with the increasing trends in atmospheric CO₂ across the seasons. All satellite data and the seasonal averages show similar trend values of about 2 ppm/yr. In these years, the fossil fuel consumption of India has rapidly increased owing to the economic development in the country (Kuttipurath et al., 2022). The consumption of crude oil increased by 50% during the last decade in India (Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, India, https://mopng.gov.in/en). Furthermore, India ranks second for coal consumption globally, and there was a continuous increase in its consumption between 2008 and 2017 (Office of Coal Controller, Ministry of Coal, India, http://www.coalcontroller.gov.in/). Apart from these, cement production and total energy consumption have contributed substantially to this increase in CO₂. The trend in atmospheric CO₂ is also directly influenced by land-use changes. After the industrialization in 1850, enhanced anthropogenic activities and changes in land-use patterns led to the degradation of soil and thus, made huge emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere (Smith et al., 2007). Furthermore, India’s agricultural land use CO₂ emissions also show an increasing trend from 2009 to 2017 (Singh et al., 2022).

We have estimated the linear trends in CO₂ over the period, as shown in Figure 6. It shows a gradual increase in atmospheric CO₂ in all regions. Therefore, we quantified the trends in atmospheric CO₂ over India for the past 17 years. The highest trends are found in DJF, about 2.1-2.4 ppm/yr. The trends in ON are similar, but 0.1-0.2 ppm/yr smaller than that in DJF. The smallest trends among the seasons are found in JJAS, about 1.8-2.0 ppm/yr. On the other hand, the trends in MAM vary between 1.8 and 2.4 ppm/yr, depending on the region. The lowest regional trends are found in the east and northeast India, about 1.8-1.9 ppm/yr. Our results are consistent with that of Gupta et al. (2019), who reported an increasing trend of 2.01 ppm/yr in AIRS CO₂ over India.
between 2003 and 2011. The regional trends are in agreement with the anthropogenic sources of atmospheric CO₂ there. For example, the largest trends found on the western coast, north of eastern coast, and south of northeast are in accordance with a large number of mines and refineries there (Figure 6). The positive trends are also in accordance with the increasing trends in oil, gas, coal, and total energy consumption, as illustrated in the figure. Nevertheless, the vegetation shows a small negative trend and therefore, the sink of CO₂ is reduced during the period, but is masked by the anthropogenic sources. The relatively lower anthropogenic source regions, such as South India, show smaller CO₂ trends.

Comparison with global CO₂ trends across the latitudes
We have compared the CO₂ trends in India to that of other regions in the world (Figure 7). The mean CO₂ shows about 390-391 ppm in the tropics and mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere. The rate of increase in CO₂ concentration is significantly higher in NH than that in SH, and higher over land than over the oceans. The southern tropical and mid-latitude regions show about 388-389 ppm, and the Polar regions exhibit about 387-388 ppm. The trends estimated across the latitudes show about 2.0-2.1 ppm/yr from 10° S to 50° N and the highest trends are observed over the Arabian Sea, Eastern Asia, and Northern Africa. The smallest trends are computed for the Arctic, about 1.5 ppm/yr. Our results agree with that of Cao et al. (2019), who also presented a similar spatiotemporal distribution of global CO₂. Apart from these, we have averaged the satellite CO₂ over specific locations with
long-term surface data, as illustrated in the figure (bottom panel). For instance, the Mauna Loa surface measurements compare well with the Hawaii satellite measurements and the CO2 concentration is about 416 ppm in 2021 there. The long-term trends show similar values, about 2.14 ppm/yr in the past two decades, but 1.82 ppm/yr in 1979-2020. The satellite measurements at Mount Waliguan, Gobabeb, and Wendover agree very well with the surface CO2 measurements, about 412-415 ppm in 2021 in these regions. The estimated trends show about 2.06, 2.28, and 2.12 ppm/yr, respectively, and both satellite and surface measurements show similar trend values for the common observation period. In short, the trends in global CO2 are also in agreement with that estimated over India and are around 2.1 ppm/yr.

We have also assessed the global CO2 concentrations by averaging the satellite data from different countries, regions, and oceans. The results are shown in Figure 8, where the top panel shows the mean values over the observation period (2002-2020) and their SD (standard deviation). The trend and its significance at the 95% confidence interval in different regions are shown in the second panel from the top. The third panel shows the temporal evolution of atmospheric CO2 over different regions. The bottom panel shows the change in power sector emissions in the world since 2010. The figure illustrates that the mean values are around 390 ppm and there are only slight differences among the selected regions. The time series shows a similar temporal evolution of atmospheric CO2 in all regions. However, Brazil, Australia, and the Indian Ocean hardly exhibit seasonal variability, as found for the Kenya station. This suggests that the CO2 is well mixed and no new seasonal sources exist in these regions. Conversely, high seasonal variability is observed in all other regions. Interestingly, there has been a slowdown in CO2 concentration since 2011.

Figure 6. Seasonal and annual trends in atmospheric CO2
Trends in atmospheric CO2 concentrations over India as estimated from available satellite measurements during the period 2002-2020. All trends are statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval. The averaged data include SCIAMACHY, AIRS, GOSAT, and OCO-2 satellite measurements. The yearly distribution of Vegetation, Cement Production, Coal, Crude Oil, and Total Energy Consumption from 2008 to 2019 in India are also shown. The elongated circles in the maps represent the industry regions such as coal mines and refineries.
in all regions, which is stronger in Canada, Europe, the USA, and the Atlantic Ocean from 2015 onwards. Our findings are consistent with that of Olivier and Peters (2019), who reported a slower growth rate in global CO2 emissions in 2015 and 2016 (0 and +0.3%, respectively). This decrease is mainly owing to the decline in global coal consumption driven by the three years (2014-2016) of decreasing coal consumption in China, the USA, and Europe, owing to the increased renewable power generation there. In addition, Jackson et al. (2017) also reported that CO2 emissions were stable for the period 2015-2017, despite the continuing growth in the global economy. The smallest declining trends are observed in Canada and the highest in Brazil (about 2.16 ppm/yr). India, China, Mauna Loa, and Australia show similar trends of about 2.0-2.12 ppm/yr. Among the oceanic regions, the Indian Ocean shows the highest increase of about 2.11 ppm/yr, which is equal to that of the continental regions. The global average trend is about 1.85 ppm/yr and is higher than that of Canada and Europe, but nearly equal to that of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. In brief, the atmospheric CO2 shows a decrease from 2011 onward as compared to its immediate previous decades. Note that all trends mentioned above are statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval.

As discussed previously, there was a slowdown in the increase of atmospheric CO2 across the latitudes from 2010 to 2015, which is consistent with the decline in the global power sector emissions (bottom panel). All four main energy sectors show a consistent decrease in associated emissions throughout the period (e.g. Kuttippurath et al., 2022). These declining trends in power sector emissions have been continuing and the change was very high during the 2016-2020 period. The largest reduction in power sector emissions is observed for the year 2020 and is owing to the lockdown restrictions with the COVID-19 pandemic. This suggests that the pandemic has further helped the global environment to reduce the burden of atmospheric CO2 (Le Quéré et al., 2020; Sikanwar et al., 2021).

Conclusions
We examine the distribution of atmospheric CO2 over India using four different space-borne measurements and their averaged data over the past 19 years (2002-2020). The measurements show a bias of about -0.5 to 3 ppm, and most satellites show positive bias, and the lowest bias is estimated over the oceanic

Figure 7. Global CO2 Observations
(A) The average (top left) and trend (top right) in atmospheric CO2 concentrations across the globe as estimated from the averaged satellite measurements during the period 2002-2020. The averaged data include SCIAMACHY, AIRS, GOSAT, and OCO-2 satellite measurements. (B) The analysis of satellite measurements in different regions is also shown. The selected regions are marked in blue boxes and those averaged data are compared to the nearby surface station measurements in the bottom panels (B and C).
regions and southern India. The highest concentrations are observed in summer and the lowest in monsoon months, and the seasonal variability is largely in accordance with the precipitation and vegetation changes during the period. All seasons and measurements show similar temporal evolution and trends, and the average trend is about 2.1 ppm/yr. The seasonal trends are largest in winter and autumn, at about 2.3 ppm/yr. The highest trends coincide with the energy consumption, mining, and refinery regions in western and eastern India. Li et al. (2022) described that coal is one of the main industries causing carbon emissions. Therefore, regulating these emissions can play a vital role in reducing or controlling the atmospheric CO₂ in India. In addition, a decreasing trend in the vegetation is also observed during the period. The analyses of global CO₂ show similar trend values as that for India and are within 1.8-2.2 ppm/yr. The ever-increasing atmospheric CO₂ is a serious concern for climate change and global warming, and therefore, warrants constant monitoring, mitigation measures, and policy decisions.

**Limitations of the study**

We have not estimated the emissions using the Inversion modeling. This will be presented in a separate work as this analysis is intended to address the CO₂ concentrations in different regions in India and across the globe from multiple satellite and ground-based observations. This is the first step to examine the global CO₂ emissions to analyze its spatiotemporal differences in its radiative forcing and global warming potential.

![Figure 8. Trends in global CO₂](image)

The mean (top) and trend (second panel from the top) in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations in different regions of the world (marked in the third panel from the top) are estimated from the averaged satellite measurements during the period 2002-2020. The averaged data include SCIAMACHY, AIRS, GOSAT, and OCO-2 satellite measurements. The boxplots show the mean and deviations from the mean for the observation period. The changes in power sector emissions are shown in the bottom panel.
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STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Deposited data      |        |            |
| Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS) CO₂ Data | JPL | https://airs.jpl.nasa.gov/ |
| GOSAT CO₂ Data      | NIES   | https://data2.gosat.nies.go.jp/GosatDataArchiveService/ |
| SCIAMACHY CO₂ Data  |        | https://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/sciamachy/NilR_NADIR_WFM_DOAS/products/ |
| OCO-2 CO₂ Data      | JPL    | https://ocov2.jpl.nasa.gov/ |
| MERRA-2 Data        | GSFC   | https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/ |
| MODIS NDVI data     | GSFC   | https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/view.php?datasetId=MOD_NDVI_M |
| Population data     | SEDAC  | https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/gpw-v4 |
| Burned area data    | CCI    | https://geogra.uah.es/fire_cci/firecci51.php |

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Jayanarayanan Kuttippurath (jayan@coral.iitkgp.ac.in).

Materials availability
This study did not generate any new dataset.

Data and code availability
- Data: This paper uses existing publicly available data. These datasets are listed in the key resources table.
- Code: This paper does not report any original code. However, the codes used for analysis are written in Stata and R, and are available from the lead contact upon request.
- Additional information: Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

METHOD DETAILS

Datasets
We have used atmospheric CO₂ retrieved from different satellite measurements, AIRS, GOSAT, SCIAMACHY, and OCO-2, as available publicly. AIRS is an Infrared spectrometer that operates at 3.7–15.4 μm (Aumann et al., 2003). This near-polar orbiting sun-synchronous satellite measures daily CO₂ with global coverage over the land and ocean. The mid-tropospheric CO₂ is retrieved with the maximum sensitivity at 500–300 hPa (Chahine et al., 2005). We have taken the measurements from September 2002 to February 2017. The horizontal resolution is 2.5 × 2° and is accurate to 1–2 ppm compared to other independent measurements (Chahine et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2020).

The sun-synchronous GOSAT was launched in 2009 to observe the atmospheric abundance of CO₂ and CH₄, which orbits at an altitude of 666 km with a revolution period of 100 min. It accommodates two instruments, TANSO-FTS and the TANSO Cloud and Aerosol Imager radiometer. The spectrometer detects the
reflected SWIR and TIR from the surface and atmosphere (Kuze et al., 2009; Morino et al., 2011). We have used the L3 global CO$_2$ data (SWIR) version 2.81 provided by NIES for the period 2009–2020. These data have a spatial resolution of 2.5 × 2.5° and an accuracy of about 2 ppm or 0.5% (Morino et al., 2011).

SCIAMACHY is an eight-channel spectral grating instrument that detects the reflected radiation at 240–2400 nm and has a spatial resolution of 30 × 60 km$^2$ (Bovensmann et al., 1999). The Weighting Function Modified Differential Absorption Spectroscopy (WFM-DOAS) algorithm was used to retrieve the CO$_2$ concentrations and are most sensitive near the surface. We have used the L2 column-averaged CO$_2$ data for the period 2002–2012. The accuracy of monthly averaged SCIAMACHY data within 500 km is about 1.1–1.2 ppm (Schneising et al., 2012).

The OCO-2 satellite is the first dedicated payload to study CO$_2$ from space (Eldering et al., 2017). The pole-to-pole orbiting satellite carries the OCO-2 instrument to measure the reflected sunlight in the NIR and SWIR spectra. We have considered the Level 2 data for the period 2014–2020. These data have a spatial resolution of 2.5 × 2.5° and an accuracy of 0.5–1.0 ppm (Wunch et al., 2017). Further details of the datasets are given in Table 1.

We have used the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer NDVI (MOD13C1), a monthly global NDVI product with 0.05° spatial resolution, for the period 2002–2020. The seasonal averages are calculated to assess the role of NDVI in regulating atmospheric CO$_2$ with time. The coal consumption data of India are taken from the Office of Coal Controller, Ministry of Coal, India, http://www.coalcontroller.gov.in/. The crude oil consumption data are obtained from the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, India, https://mopng.gov.in/en. The total energy consumption data are retrieved from the repository of the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). The cement production data are taken from the report made by the Ministry of Commerce, India. The precipitation data from IMD (India Meteorological Department) for the period 2002–2020 are considered to examine the impact of meteorology on atmospheric distribution of CO$_2$. The temperature and wind data are taken from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA-2), which has a spatial solution of about 0.625°. We have used the fire counts and burned area estimates from MODIS to analyze the biomass burning and its connection to atmospheric CO$_2$ (Forkel et al., 2019). We have also considered the population data to analyze the human impact on atmospheric emissions. Population data are taken from the report of India Census (2011) (Censusindia.gov.in).

Methods

The bias in different satellite measurements is calculated by selecting the common periods for the respective datasets and then the data are interpolated to the coarser grid between the datasets. The following overlapping years are used for the computation of biases. For GOSAT and OCO-2: September 2014 to January 2020, GOSAT and SCIAMACHY: June 2009 to April 2012, AIRS and GOSAT: June 2009 to December 2016, AIRS and OCO-2: September 2014 to December 2016, and AIRS and SCIAMACHY: October 2002 to April 2012. Note that there are only a few observations from GOSAT and OCO-2 in JJAS, which resulted in lower values as shown in the south, central and northeast India. There are six homogeneous regions in accordance with the concentrations of atmospheric CO$_2$ in India and Indian Ocean regions. These are: South India (SI), Central India (CI), Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), and Third Pole (TP). In addition, we have also considered the Arabian Sea (AS) and Bay of Bengal (BoB), although these oceanic regions are not included in further scientific discussion (Figure S5). The regional averages are discussed for monthly, seasonal, inter-annual variability, and the temporal trends in atmospheric CO$_2$.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

To find the bias (i.e. difference between two measurements) in satellite CO$_2$ observations, a common time period of both satellite measurements is selected and the spatial resolution is set to match the lowest resolution observations. For example, to find the bias between AIRS and GOSAT measurements, the common observation period from 2009-06-01 to 2016-12-01 is considered, and the relatively high resolution AIRS (2° × 2.5°) data are resampled to the resolution of GOSAT (2.5° × 2.5°) by using a Python code. The homogeneous regions are selected based on the distribution of atmospheric CO$_2$ in the study area (Figure S5). The SD of each homogeneous region is calculated from the mean of the CO$_2$ concentration over those regions. The average CO$_2$ concentration represents the mean of CO$_2$ measurements from SCIAMACHY, AIRS, GOSAT, and OCO-2. The long-term trend in the CO$_2$ data is computed using the linear regression, and the significance of estimated trend is found with respect to the 95% confidence interval by applying the t–test statistics.