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Abstract: The southern boreal forests of North America are susceptible to large changes in composition as temperate forests or grasslands may replace them as the climate warms. A number of mechanisms for this have been shown to occur in recent years: (1) Gradual replacement of boreal trees by temperate trees through gap dynamics; (2) Sudden replacement of boreal overstory trees after gradual understory invasion by temperate tree species; (3) Trophic cascades causing delayed invasion by temperate species, followed by moderately sudden change from boreal to temperate forest; (4) Wind and/or hail storms removing large swaths of boreal forest and suddenly releasing temperate understory trees; (4) Compound disturbances: wind and fire combination; (5) Long, warm summers and increased drought stress; (6) Insect infestation due to lack of extreme winter cold; (7) Phenological disturbance, due to early springs, that has the potential to kill enormous swaths of coniferous boreal forest within a few years. Although most models project gradual change from boreal forest to temperate forest or savanna, most of these mechanisms have the capability to transform large swaths (size range tens to millions of square kilometers) of boreal forest to other vegetation types during the 21st century. Therefore, many surprises are likely to occur in the southern boreal forest over the next century, with major impacts on forest productivity, ecosystem services, and wildlife habitat.

Keywords: climate change; compound disturbance; fire; insect infestation; phenological disturbance; wind

1. Introduction

Poleward displacement of climate zones due to global warming is expected to cause major changes in vegetation, especially along equatorward biome ecotones [1]. Forests near the southern ecotone of the boreal biome have globally important carbon pools, wildlife and timber resources that are vulnerable to degradation due to climate change [2–4]. Climate change can trigger a variety of mechanisms of change in the southern boreal forest, including effects of heat stress, drought, increasing fire frequency, and insect outbreaks [4–8]. The timing, extent and spatial scale at which the varied mechanisms of change may operate during the 21st century cannot be predicted with current knowledge. However, thus far, no one has assembled and organized the potential mechanisms of change to inform monitoring of climate change impacts, climate adaptation planning by natural resource managers and as a basis for future research efforts [9–12].

Here, we examine potential changes caused by climate change in the central North American and Great Lakes region of North America. This region includes boreal forests of jack pine (Pinus banksiana Lambert), black spruce (Picea mariana (Mill.) Britton, Sterns and Poggenb.), white spruce (P. glauca (Moench) Voss), balsam fir (Abies balsamea (L.) Mill.), red pine (Pinus resinosa Aiton), quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.), and paper birch (Betula papyrifera Marsh.). To the south and east (northeastern Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, USA, and parts of Ontario, Canada), lie temperate forests of sugar
maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.), red maple (A. rubrum L.), hemlock (Tsuga Canadensis (L.) Carr.), yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis Britton), beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.), northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), American basswood (Tilia Americana L.) and white pine (Pinus strobus L.). To the south and west (northwestern Minnesota and westward across Manitoba and Saskatchewan, Canada) lie oak savannas with bur oak (Quercus macrocarpa Michx.) and northern pin oak (Q. ellipsoidalis E.J. Hill), and/or quaking aspen parklands, and prairies that have mostly been converted to agricultural fields.

The southern boreal forest boundary in this region has already experienced significant warming of >1.5 °C [13] and is expected to experience a relatively rapid velocity of climate change for the duration of the 21st century [14]. Clearly, this region will be subject to large changes in vegetation as the climate warms over the coming decades, with climates suitable for boreal forests receding northwards by 100–500 km by 2070, depending on scenarios for CO₂ emissions and sensitivity of the General Circulation Model (GCM) used [15–17].

However, the complexities of transient dynamics of vegetation during rapid change in the southern boreal forest are poorly described. Frelich and Reich [7] proposed that multiple factors (droughts, windstorms, fires, large herbivores, insects) would lead to changes occurring faster and of larger magnitude than direct impacts of climate change due to increasing temperature; here we take the concept further than the cited study was able to, because new details are available from subsequent research. Therefore, the objective of this synthesis is to identify and examine several potential mechanisms of change in the southern boreal forest due to the warming that has already occurred, as well as continuing changes projected as warming progresses throughout the 21st century. Furthermore, some or all of these mechanisms are likely to be important along the southern margin of the boreal biome in Europe and Asia [16,18].

All of the mechanisms of change identified here have been observed locally or regionally in central North America with the relatively small magnitude of warming that has already occurred (relevant literature cited for each mechanism below). With larger magnitudes of climate change, these mechanisms can potentially exceed the resilience of boreal forests, converting them into temperate forest, savanna or grassland vegetation types by the late 21st century [12]. Note that although some mechanisms can kill individual trees within minutes, for purposes of this synthesis we are interested in changes at landscape to regional spatial extents. Gap dynamics via individual tree deaths may take decades to gradually transform the forest canopy of a landscape, while very large disturbances could synchronously kill millions of trees to accomplish a similar transformation in a few days or weeks. Therefore, in the following presentation of mechanisms we refer to changes in vegetation types of the forest overstory as gradual (several decades), moderately sudden (one or two decades) or sudden (<one year) at landscape to regional spatial extents.

2. Mechanisms Transforming Boreal Forest Vegetation in a Warming Climate

M1. Gradual replacement of boreal trees by temperate trees through gap dynamics. The increase in temperate species would occur over several decades so that they replace boreal tree species through gap-phase replacements as individual boreal trees die of old age [19,20]. Although this was not occurring in the study region during the 1950s [21], it has started to occur between 2010 and 2020, due to warming that has already happened (Figure 1, [22]). Two changes in temperature could allow this to occur. First, summers are now warmer in locations where in the past cool summer temperatures and abbreviated length of the warm season limited the growth of temperate tree species and made them less competitive. Recent studies of understory sapling abundance and growth across a summer temperature gradient in the Great Lakes region have shown that temperate tree species are expanding into nearby boreal stands and that this expansion is related to warmer summer temperatures in recent years (Figure 2, [22,23]). These observational findings are corroborated by experiments in which field plots in the temperate-boreal ecotone with planted seedlings were artificially warmed, resulting in increasing growth rates of temperate seedlings and decreasing growth rates of boreal seedlings [24]. Second, extreme winter minimum temper-
atures, which were historically lethal to temperate tree species, are rare in the region (<−42 to −44 °C, [25]). ‘Summer boreal’ and ‘winter boreal’ forests (where temperate tree species were previously kept out by cool summers or winter minimum temperature, respectively) would thus be affected by different aspects of the changing temperature regime. We note that many temperate tree species have historically been present in the region, but at low numbers and frequencies (e.g., red maple, bur oak, northern red oak, yellow birch), while the northern range limits of many other temperate species lie to the south and would need to migrate into the region from elsewhere.

Figure 1. Sugar maple saplings (right) within a boreal balsam fir forest in northern Wisconsin USA. Balsam fir saplings on left. Photo by Lee Frelich.

Figure 2. Height growth of understory saplings across a range of regional mean summer temperatures in ecotonal mixed temperate-boreal forest in northern Minnesota, USA. After [23].

M2. Sudden replacement of boreal overstory trees after gradual understory invasion by temperate tree species. In boreal stands with an established temperate understory, for example red maple under boreal spruce-fir-birch-aspen forests (Figure 3), a large event that kills larger boreal trees, releasing the temperate understory, would lead to a rapid transition
rather than a gradual transition as in M1. This would occur at medium spatial extents when
derechos (straight-line wind events associated with severe thunderstorms) level the canopy
over 10 s to 1000 s of km². Such storms are expected to become more common as the climate
warms [26]. Windstorms commonly kill large trees, while leaving most saplings alive [27].
This is a well-known mechanism termed disturbance-mediated accelerated succession [28].
Usually this simply accelerates the normal successional process from shade-intolerant
mature trees to shade-tolerant understory trees within a single biome (e.g., paper birch
to spruce and fir in the boreal forest, or to hemlock and sugar maple in the temperate
forest). However, in the context of a warming climate along the southern boundary of the
boreal forest, combined with the observed increased abundance of temperate saplings in
the understory [22], the mechanism could cause biome conversion.

Figure 3. A boreal paper birch, aspen, white spruce and balsam fir forest in northern Minnesota with
red maple (red foliage in this autumn picture) in the understory. Photo by Dave Hansen.

M3. Trophic cascades causing delayed invasion by temperate species, followed by moderately
sudden change from boreal to temperate forest. This is similar to M1, but large herbivores
cause delays in establishment of shade-tolerant temperate tree species in boreal forest
understories. The two large herbivores in the region, moose (Alces alces L.) and white-tailed
deer (Odocoileus virginianus Zimmerman), prefer to eat seedlings of temperate species
(sugar maple, red maple, northern red oak, yellow birch and hemlock, Figure 4), more than
boreal species (spruce, fir and pine, [29]). White-tailed deer can also change the growth
rates of temperate and boreal tree saplings relative to each other, raising the threshold
temperature at which temperate trees can replace boreal trees [23]. The consumption of
temperate seedlings in nearby boreal stands thus prevents establishment of a temperate
understory until either the boreal trees die of old age or the climate becomes so warm
that it exceeds the tolerance of boreal trees, which then die without having a temperate
understory in place. At some point during decline in the boreal overstory, understory light
levels become high enough so that seedlings of temperate tree species can outgrow the
impacts of large herbivores [30], causing a change that is somewhat faster than in M1.

Note that trophic cascade effects vary in a mosaic pattern across the landscape, due to
the locations of gray wolf (Canis lupus L.) packs. In areas with high wolf densities, deer
populations are low and unhindered expansion of temperate tree species into adjacent
boreal stands can occur, whereas in other areas with low wolf populations, deer populations
are higher and prevent the establishment of temperate tree seedlings [31,32]. Deer densities
can also interact with other factors to create complex responses of temperate and boreal tree species to changing climate [33,34].

Figure 4. Northern red oak sapling height growth reduced to zero by deer browsing in northern Minnesota USA. Photo by Nick Fisichelli.

M4. Compound disturbances: wind and fire combination. A warmer climate is expected to lead to more days per year with conditions that will support extreme convective windstorms at the latitudes of the southern boreal forest [26], and the resulting slash is very flammable, therefore this combination of events is expected to increase dramatically as the climate warms [35]. Compound wind plus fire disturbances can convert tracts of conifers (over spatial extents of 10 s to 100 s km² similar to M2) to aspen and birch within boreal forests (Figure 5). However, it can also increase abundances of temperate tree species such as bur oak, red oak and red maple [35].

Wind-felled pine, spruce and fir stands have their cones on the ground along with dense slash, leading to consumption of seeds and seedlings in any fire that follows the windstorm within one-two decades. Fires in windfall slash have unusually high intensities and severities [32]. This leaves the forest without a replacement layer, so that ruderal species of herbs, shrubs and trees can take over, possibly temperate grassland or temperate forest species close to the southern boreal forest, depending on how warm the regional climate has become. Succession to temperate species like red maple and northern red oak after combined wind and fire disturbance in the boreal biome would be an ecological surprise compared to historical successional patterns [36].
Figure 5. Conifer forest converted to paper birch after a wind and fire combination of disturbances in Minnesota USA. Windstorm was in 1999, the fire in 2002, and photo in 2007. Photo by Dave Hansen.

M5. Long, warm summers and increased drought stress. Much of the boreal forest lies adjacent to grasslands. The transition zone is coincident with a change in climate from one with a positive difference between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PPT minus PET > 0, which supports forest) to one where PPT minus PET is less than zero (which supports grasslands, [37–39]) that occurs over relatively short spatial distances of 10–100 km [40]. Warming-exacerbated declines in soil moisture are likely to negatively impact tree photosynthesis in this region. In addition, drier soils can also flip the response of photosynthesis to modestly higher temperatures from positive to negative [41]. This results in drier soils from heightened stomatal closure in response to warming outweighing increasing biochemical capacity for photosynthesis; whereas the latter dominates in moist soils. Therefore, a pronounced shift in the zero balance line for precipitation and evaporation could lead to widespread forest death, especially during a run of several unusually warm and dry years that could occur decades before the mean climate shifts to one that favors grasslands. Runs of several warm dry years have already led to mortality and slowed growth of several boreal species [42–44] (Figure 6), and conversion from boreal forest to grassland/savanna in some parts of Canada [8]. This trend is predicted to continue during the 21st Century [45,46]. However, the drying of the climate could also lead to conversion from boreal forest to drought-tolerant temperate tree species. Bur oak, northern pin oak, and northern red oak are already present near the southern boreal forest on dry sites, and white oak (Quercus alba L.) and black oak (Q. velutina Lambert) could migrate there [47]. Due to the high landscape diversity of the Canadian Shield that underlies northern Minnesota, Ontario and Manitoba, with soils of greatly varying water holding capacity, a mosaic of grassland, savanna and woodland could form [7,10,12]. Transformation of boreal forests could be gradual (with gradually increasing drought stress) or moderately sudden (with a run of several hot, dry years) [38].
M6. Insect infestation due to lack of extreme winter cold. Populations of many potentially lethal insect species are kept at bay by occasional (ca once per decade) winter cold spells [5], which in the central North American boreal forest can be $-40$ to $-55\, ^\circ C$. For example, mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins), although native in western North America, has exploded in population density in recent years after a run of several warm winters, and has killed millions of ha of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Douglas ex Loudon) [48]. This insect pest has not been able to reach the study region, due to lack of trees across the Great Plains, and extremely cold winters across the southern boreal forest to the north, where it has been shown that native jack pine is a suitable host [48]. With a run of several very warm winters, this insect could move into the study region. Many other potential insect pests that have remained at low populations for decades or centuries could become problems, and there are likely to be surprises as to which species have tree-killing outbreaks in the future. Numerous insect pests and diseases have also been introduced to North America from elsewhere (471 species, [49]). If climate change caused nothing other than warmer nights at mid-winter, it could still kill large swaths of the boreal forest in the study region. Any one insect would be likely to kill monodominant stands of its target species on a regional scale, creating a patchy mosaic of dead stands at the regional scale.

M7. Phenological disturbance. This is a new concept as a disturbance. It would be manifested as late-winter warm spells causing boreal conifers to prematurely lose frost hardiness, followed by foliar damage during subsequent frost [50]. This happened in springs of 2007 and 2012 in northern Minnesota and adjacent Ontario. There is evidence that boreal species respond more rapidly to forcing temperatures and less to other cues (e.g., winter chilling or photoperiod) and as a result have the potential to lose frost hardiness in mid-winter and early spring [51–53]. During March 2012, temperatures with daytime maxima of 20–25 $^\circ C$ occurred in northern Minnesota and adjacent Ontario from March 15–22 (note that daily maximum temperatures would normally be below freezing during this period), and trees came out of dormancy, followed by freezing temperatures that led to needle reddening and loss of most of their foliage ([54], Figure 7). Similarly, an unusually warm March followed by extreme cold in April led to significant foliar tissue loss in a warming experiment in boreal peatlands. Trees in the warmest treatments de-hardened in the March warm spell only to have tissues killed by the April frost [53]. Many trees
recover after these types of events, following several winters with normal late-winter temperatures [55]. However, occurrences of extremely warm late-winter weather 2–3 years in a row could kill boreal conifers, which have needle life spans of 4–7 years, and do not have the same ability to recover from defoliation as deciduous tree species. Although we do not have observations of more than single years of defoliation due to late-winter warm spells, we do know from other studies of defoliation, that the greater the percent of foliage lost, and the greater the number of consecutive years of defoliation occurs, the greater the mortality rates for boreal conifers [56,57]. The extreme weather of March 2012 is close to an average March projected by some of the GCMs for a business as usual (RPC 8.5) climate for 2070 [17]; thus, sometime between now and then, it is very likely that a run of several consecutive very warm springs will occur. Very large areas could be affected by phenological disturbance, since persistent mid-latitude ridges in the jet stream that lead to long periods of anomalously warm weather, are becoming more common as the arctic warms, and can occur at subcontinental spatial extents [58].

![Figure 7. Phenological disturbance—needle reddening of boreal jack pine forest in Minnesota, USA after the anomalously warm March of 2012. Photo by Elias Anoszko.](image)

3. Discussion

Climate change during the Holocene leaves little doubt that a warming climate can transform boreal forest into temperate forest, savanna or grassland, with complex interactions with landform across the region that lead to variation in rapidity and type of change [59–61]. The Mid-Holocene warm period (MH, ca 4000 to 8000 cal yr before present) had warmer and drier summers than during the late 1900s [60]. As warm summers are key to most of the mechanisms discussed in this paper, the MH provides an analog (although imperfect) for 21st century warming. Among the differences between the MH and 21st century are very large changes in land use and higher CO₂ levels that mitigate the effects of droughts on vegetation to a modest extent. The former factor includes forest harvesting practices that affect the species composition and generally reduce average stand age of forests, which in turn reduces susceptibility to wind, insects, and (at least in cases of conversion from conifers to deciduous species) fire [62]; in essence harvesting and associated landscape management practices can pre-empt natural disturbances and mitigate some of the impacts outlined in this paper [63].
Frequent fires were a major factor in rapid conversions of forests to grasslands in Minnesota during the MH, and while fires are no longer in play in southern Minnesota where most of the landscape has been converted to agriculture [61], there are still vast swaths of wildlands further north along the southern boreal ecotone [17], so that fires are likely to play an important role in 21st century transformation of boreal forests. Fire sizes have increased in the Canadian boreal forest, as the length of fire season has increased by 14 days over a 57-year period (1959–2015), and the frequency of extreme fire weather is projected to continue to increase through 2090 [64,65].

There is a lot of redundancy among all of these mechanisms of boreal forest change, with respect to their ability to cause major change in boreal vegetation. At a given location, if one mechanism does not lead to replacement of a given parcel of boreal forest, another, or several others, could. At the regional scale, a mosaic of boreal forests in varied stages of shifting to temperate forests, savannas or grasslands is likely to occur by the middle of the 21st Century [17]. Landscape heterogeneity and chance occurrences of the various mechanisms will lead to geographically heterogeneous changes from southern boreal forest to temperate forest, savanna or grassland vegetation with patch sizes at spatial extents from single tree gaps (10–100 m$^2$) to the regional scale (10$^7$ km$^2$).

Most of these mechanisms would lead to rapid conversion of boreal forests to other vegetation types (timespan of a decade), rather than the gradual conversion over a century which is commonly modeled [16,66]. The paleoecological record shows episodes of both gradual and rapid change near the prairie-forest ecotone in Minnesota during the Holocene, at both stand and landscape scales [61]. Among mechanisms of change pointed out in this paper, only M1 would always be gradual (over several decades at stand and landscape scales), while M5 can be gradual or sudden (depending on whether a few extreme heat waves and droughts have a major impact). M2 and M3 have a gradual component followed by a moderately sudden or sudden change, and M4, M6 and M7 cause moderately sudden to sudden changes. Non-linear and threshold (cusp) changes such as those that most mechanisms would cause are hard to model [67,68], however, conceptual models of mechanisms like those in this paper need to be known before researchers can learn to model non-linear/threshold type forest change.

Spatial scale of change and its relationship to temporal scale of change is also important to consider. Sudden changes at the individual tree and stand scale (e.g., caused by M1 and M2, respectively) may lead to gradual changes at the landscape scale, as it would take decades to a century or more for all trees or stands to die or be hit by a stand-leveling windstorm. However, some of the mechanisms mentioned above could create sudden shifts at landscape and even regional scales. Phenological disturbance (M7) and droughts (M5) could work at the largest spatial extents among the mechanisms, since all of central North America could be affected by anomalous weather brought about by the meanderings of the jet stream [58]. Insect infestation (M6) can work at very large spatial extents and moderately sudden time scales, but generally affects only one dominant tree species at a time.

Note that many different types of double (or multiple) whammies [36] could also happen as the mechanisms start to overlap; e.g., one landscape or one stand could have trees dying from insect infestation, drought, and phenological disturbance, and then suffer wind damage followed by fire. Frelich and Reich [7] describe a multiple whammy involving drought, invasive earthworms, deer herbivory, insects, storms and fires that could drive conversion of forest to prairie in central North America. As the climate warms during the 21st century, multiple mechanisms will take forests out of their ‘safe operating space’ in terms of climate and disturbance regimes that historically perpetuated boreal forests, leading to messy transitions from boreal forest to temperate vegetation types. At present we do not have the ability to predictively map these multiple impacts [68].

The outcome of change in the boreal forest is important. If large-magnitude climate change becomes unavoidable during the 21st century, then transition from boreal forest to healthy temperate forest or grassland may be a good outcome from a human sustainability perspective. However, transition to a novel ecosystem (that might have little ecological
or economic value) is also possible [12]. Invasive earthworms are becoming widespread in the boreal forest and facilitate a sequence of other species from their home continent (Europe in this case). Of particular interest is the potential for common buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica* L.), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense* (L.) Scop.), Tatarian honeysuckle (*Lonicera tatarica* L.) and other species to massively expand into heavily disturbed areas in a warming climate [47,69–72]. These species have dispersal and physiological traits that allow them to rapidly expand into disturbed areas in a warming climate, and could be favored by any mechanism discussed here, but M4, M5 and M7 would create particularly favorable conditions for their spread.

Finally, it is likely that long lag times to establish new forests will occur, especially in cases where mechanisms of change that cause rapid loss of existing boreal forest occur over large spatial extents. Tree migration rates already lag behind the rate of northwards expansion of suitable climates [73]. This could lead to other transient vegetation, for example, shrublands could develop in areas that currently have boreal forest [74] and last until temperate tree species adapted to the new climate arrive via migration. If the future climate and soils favor savanna vegetation, then new vegetation may develop rapidly, although even in savannas, there are a number of important herbaceous species that are currently far away from the southern boreal forest ecotone, and slow to migrate, so that diversity of the vegetation may still lag climate change for a few centuries.

It is clear from the evidence cited above that climate change will influence the southern boreal forest in multiple ways, few of which involve simply being several degrees warmer on average. Changes to temperature extremes in summer and winter, and interactions with other biotic and abiotic factors also sensitive to changing climate, provide multiple pathways of potential forest change. All, however, lead in a similar direction. Given the high likelihood of each occurring in at least some locations in space and time, the odds of southern boreal forest remaining intact are low, with those odds decreasing decade by decade unless we bring climate change to a halt.
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