Abstract. Let $A$ be the ring obtained by localizing the polynomial ring $\kappa[X, Y, Z, W]$ over a field $\kappa$ at the maximal ideal $(X, Y, Z, W)$ and modulo the ideal $(XW - YZ)$. Let $p$ be the ideal of $A$ generated by $X$ and $Y$. We study the module structure of a minimal injective resolution of $A/p$ in details using local cohomology. Applications include the description of $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A/p)$, where $M$ is a module constructed by Dutta, Hochster and McLaughlin, and the Yoneda product of $\text{Ext}_A^*(A/p, A/p)$.

1. Introduction

In the category of modules over a commutative ring, injective and projective modules are dual notions. To study cohomology properties of a module, we may consider a minimal free resolution or a minimal injective resolution of the module. The boundary maps of the former are given by matrices in terms of given basis. The coboundary maps of the latter are discussed less extensively. In general, there are no simple descriptions for injective resolutions. The subtlety comes partly from the fact that there are no canonical ways to identify minimal injective modules (injective hulls) for a given module, even though they are all isomorphic. On works regarding concrete realizations of Grothendieck duality, one finds many natural injective hulls with different guises for a given module. The difference of these injective hulls is a part of the structure of the underlying module. From this viewpoint, injective hulls for a given module are not unique, just as there are different vector spaces of the same dimension (for instance, a finite dimensional vector space and its dual). Intriguing structures such as residues support this viewpoint since they arise from isomorphisms between injective hulls.

Here is a typical example: Let $R$ be a formal power series ring of $n$ variables over a field $\kappa$. The $n$th local cohomology module of $R$ supported at the maximal ideal gives rise to an injective hull of $\kappa$. The elements of this local cohomology module have a concrete description using generalized fractions. As an $R$-module, $\kappa$ has another injective hull consisting of the $\kappa$-linear homomorphisms from $R$ to $\kappa$ annihilated by some power of the maximal ideal. Residues appear when an explicit isomorphism between these two injective hulls is constructed. The reader is referred to [5, 7] for more details and further developments along this direction.

The goal of our work is to develop a concrete means to study the structure of injective resolutions of modules. It consists of two steps: constructing injective modules explicitly and then describing the coboundary maps explicitly in a resolution built up from the injective modules obtained in the previous step. The goal has been achieved for modules related to residual complexes, which are of particular interests due to their central role in Grothendieck duality theory. We recall that residual complexes are built by injective hulls of the residue fields of points on
a scheme and resolve canonical modules in certain Cohen-Macaulay cases. In \[7\], residual complexes are constructed concretely in a relatively canonical way. The construction in \[7\] is local. One of its globalizations gives rise to injective resolutions for the vector bundles on projective spaces \[8\]. The injective resolutions obtained \[7, 8\] are for modules (resp. sheaves of modules) whose structures (resp. local structures) are determined completely by the underlying rings (resp. schemes). Not much is known in general about concrete constructions of injective resolutions of non-flat modules.

Studies of the homology and cohomology modules from the viewpoint of injective objects are often restricted to some subcategories of the category of modules, such as the category of graded (or multi-graded) modules (see for example \[4, 10, 11\]) or the category of squarefree modules \[13\]. Injective resolutions in these smaller categories drastically differ from those in the category of all modules. For instance, a multi-graded injective resolution of the polynomial ring \(\kappa[X, Y]\) of two variables over a field \(\kappa\) consists of only four indecomposable multi-graded injective modules \[11, Example 11.20\]. In the category of modules concerning no gradings, a minimal injective resolution of \(\kappa[X, Y]\) consists of infinitely many indecomposable injective modules indexed by the prime ideals of \(\kappa[X, Y]\) due to its Gorenstein property (\[9, Theorem 18.8\]). Minimal injective resolutions, especially those for modules over a local ring, are still full of mysteries and are not possible to be deduced from graded cases. At the time when more case studies of minimal injective resolutions are available, a general theory may be developed for a larger class of modules. This paper serves as a first step towards such direction by carrying out the above goal for a module related to an important example in the discussions of several homological conjectures (c.f. \[2\]).

In this paper, our study emphasizes the module structure of injective resolutions rather than its category structure. More precisely, we would like to construct explicitly an injective resolution of a given module and obtain its cohomological information from the resolution. Let \(S\) be the polynomial ring \(\kappa[X, Y, Z, W]\) over a field \(\kappa\). In this paper, we consider the ring

\[ A = S_{(X, Y, Z, W)}/(XW - YZ) \]

and the ideal \(p\) of \(A\) generated by \(X, Y\). For each prime ideal \(q\) of \(\kappa[Z, W]\) contained in \(m := (Z, W)\), we construct an injective hull \(E(A/(q, X, Y))\) of \(A/(q, X, Y)\). In the sequel, we write \(E(A/(q, X, Y))\) simply as \(E(q)\). In terms of generalized fractions (defined in Definition \[2.1\]) of elements of \(E(q)\), our main result describes a minimal injective resolution

\[ E(0) \to \bigoplus_{q \neq m} E(q) \to \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E(q) \to E(m)^2 \to E(m)^2 \to E(m)^2 \to \cdots \]

of \(A/p\). According to the authors’ knowledge, this is the first detailed analysis of an injective resolution for a module which does not come from duality theory.

As applications, we read explicitly

- local cohomology modules \(H_I^i(A/p)\) of \(A/p\) supported at an ideal \(I\) of \(A\),
- an isomorphism \(\text{Hom}_A(p/p^2, A/p) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(A/p, A/p)\) of normal modules,
- the product of the Yoneda algebra \(\text{Ext}^*_A(A/p, A/p)\),
- \(\text{Ext}^i_A(M, A/p)\), where \(M\) is the \(A\)-module constructed by Dutta, Hochster and McLaughlin \[2\].
The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall the notion of generalized fractions which describe elements in certain top local cohomology modules. Technical properties are prepared for latter use. In Section 3, we construct injective hulls in terms of generalized fractions. These injective hulls are building blocks for our injective resolution. In Section 4, we define homomorphisms for these injective hulls and show that they give rise to a minimal injective resolution. In Section 5, we carry out the computations for the applications listed in the previous paragraph.

The authors thank L. Avramov for pointing out an error regarding the description of Yoneda algebra in an earlier version of this paper.

2. Generalized Fractions

Our description of injective modules and coboundary maps of an injective resolution is based on local cohomology modules and the representation of their elements by generalized fractions. We recall the definition and some properties of generalized fractions and refer the details to [5, Chapter 2]. Let $R$ be a Noetherian ring and $I$ be an ideal of $R$ generated up to radical by $n$ elements $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ and another ideal $J$. Let $N$ be an $R$-module, whose elements are annihilated by a power (depending on the element) of $J$. Elements of the $n$-th local cohomology module $H^n_I(N)$ of $N$ supported at $I$ can be described by the following exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_{x_1, \cdots, x_n} \xrightarrow{\alpha} N_{x_1, \cdots, x_n} \xrightarrow{\beta} H^n_I(N) \to 0,$$

where $\alpha$ is the map given by

$$\omega \mapsto \frac{(-1)^i x^i \omega}{(x_1 \cdots x_n)^s}$$

for $\omega \in N$ and $s \geq 0$.

Definition 2.1. A generalized fraction

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega \\ x_1^{i_1}, \cdots, x_n^{i_n} \end{array} \right] \in H^n_I(N),$$

where $\omega \in N$ and $i_1, \cdots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the image of $x^{s-i_1} \cdots x^{s-i_n} \omega/(x_1 \cdots x_n)^s$ under the map $\beta$ in (1) for a sufficiently large $s$. $\omega$ is called the numerator of the generalized fraction and $x_1^{i_1}, \cdots, x_n^{i_n}$ are called the denominators of the generalized fraction.

If some $i_j$ is less than one, then the above generalized fraction vanishes. Generalized fractions satisfy the following properties.

**Linearity Law.** For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in N$ and $a_1, a_2 \in R$,

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1 \omega_1 + a_2 \omega_2 \\ x_1, \cdots, x_n \end{array} \right] = a_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega_1 \\ x_1, \cdots, x_n \end{array} \right] + a_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega_2 \\ x_1, \cdots, x_n \end{array} \right].$$

**Transformation Law.** For $\omega \in N$ and elements $x_1', \cdots, x_n'$, which together with $J$ generate $I$ up to radical,

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega \\ x_1, \cdots, x_n \end{array} \right] = \det(r_{ij}) \omega$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} x_1', \cdots, x_n' \end{array} \right]$$

if $x_i' = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij} x_j$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. 

Vanishing Law. For $\omega \in N$,
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega \\
x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\]
if and only if $(x_1 \cdots x_n)^s \omega \in (x_1^{s+1}, \cdots, x_n^{s+1})N$ for some $s \geq 0$. we can take $s = 0$.

Note that powers of $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ together with $J$ also generate $I$ up to radical. So the above laws apply to generalized fractions with arbitrary denominators. An easy application of these laws is that adding to one of the denominators by a linear combination of other denominators does not change the value.

Example 2.2. Look at the case $n = 2$. $I$ is generated by $x_1, x_2 - ax_1$ and $J$ up to radical for any $a \in R$. We have
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega \\
x_1, x_2 - ax_1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
x_2 \omega \\
x_1, x_2(x_2 - ax_1)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
(x_2 - ax_1)\omega \\
x_1, x_2(x_2 - ax_1)
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
ax_1 \omega \\
x_1, x_2(x_2 - ax_1)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\omega \\
x_1, x_2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Proposition 2.3. Let $R$ be a Noetherian local ring and $N$ be an $R$-module, whose elements are annihilated by a power (depending on the element) of the maximal ideal of $R$. An element of $H^p_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}(R[X_1, \cdots, X_n]/(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \otimes N)$ can be written as
\[
\Psi = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_n > 0} \begin{bmatrix}
1 \otimes \alpha_{i_1, \cdots, i_n} \\
X_1^{i_1}, \cdots, X_n^{i_n}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where $\alpha_{i_1, \cdots, i_n} \in N$. The expression is unique in the sense that $\Psi = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{i_1, \cdots, i_n} = 0$ for all $i_1 \cdots i_n > 0$.

Proof. $N$ has a natural module structure over the completion $\hat{R}$ of $R$. Elements of $H^p_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}(\hat{R}[[X_1, \cdots, X_n]] \otimes N)$ can be written uniquely in the form of (2), see [2] p. 21. The proposition follows from the canonical isomorphism
\[
H^p_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}(R[X_1, \cdots, X_n]/(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \otimes N) \simeq H^p_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}(\hat{R}[[X_1, \cdots, X_n]] \otimes N).
\]

Let $S$ be the polynomial ring $\kappa[X, Y, Z, W]$ over a field $\kappa$ as in Section 1.

Corollary 2.4. Elements of $H^4_{(X,Y,Z,W)}(S_{(X,Y,Z,W)})$ can be written uniquely as
\[
\sum_{i,j,k,l>0} a_{ijkl} \begin{bmatrix}
Z^i, W^j, X^k, Y^l
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where $a_{ijkl} \in \kappa$.

We call $a_{ijkl}$ the coefficient of $\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{Z^i, W^j, X^k, Y^l}
\end{bmatrix}$ for the element (3).

Corollary 2.5. Elements of $H^2_{(X,Y)}(S_{(X,Y)})$ can be written uniquely as
\[
\sum_{i,j>0} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{ij} \\phi^{ij}_{XW}, \phi^{ij}_{YZ}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where \( \varphi_{ijk} \in \kappa(Z, W) \).

**Proof.** \( S_{(X,Y)} \simeq \kappa(Z, W)[XW, YZ]_{(XW,YZ)} \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.6.** Elements of \( H^3_{(X,Y,Z)}(S_{(X,Y,Z)}) \) can be written uniquely as

\[
\sum_{i,j,k > 0} \left[ \varphi_{ijk} Z^i, (XW)^j, Y^k \right],
\]

where \( \varphi_{ijk} \in \kappa(W) \).

**Proof.** \( S_{(X,Y,Z)} \simeq \kappa(W)[XW, Y, Z]_{(XW,Y,Z)} \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.7.** Elements of \( H^3_{(X,Y,W)}(S_{(X,Y,W)}) \) can be written uniquely as

\[
\sum_{i,j,k > 0} \left[ \varphi_{ijk} W^i, X^j, (YZ)^k \right],
\]

where \( \varphi_{ijk} \in \kappa(Z) \).

**Proof.** \( S_{(X,Y,W)} \simeq \kappa(Z)[X, YZ, W]_{(X,Y,Z,W)} \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.8.** Let \((f)\) be a non-zero prime ideal of \( \kappa[Z, W] \) contained in \((Z, W)\) but not containing \( Z \) or \( W \). An element \( \Psi \) in \( H^3_{(X,Y,f)}(S_{(X,Y,f)}) \) can be written as

\[
\Psi = \sum_{i,j > 0} \left[ g_{ij} h_{ij}, (XW)^i, (YZ)^j \right],
\]

where \( g_{ij} \in \kappa[Z, W] \) and \( 0 \neq h_{ij} \in \kappa[Z, W] \). \( \Psi = 0 \) if and only if \( g_{ij} \in h_{ij} \kappa[Z, W]_{(f)} \) for all \( i, j \).

**Proof.** Since \( S_{(X,Y,f)} \simeq \kappa[Z, W]_{(f)}[XW, YZ]_{(XW,YZ)} \), there is an isomorphism

\[
H^2_{(XW,YZ,f)}(S_{(X,Y,f)} \otimes_{\kappa[Z,W]_{(f)}} H^1_{(f)}(\kappa[Z, W]_{(f)})) \simeq H^3_{(X,Y,f)}(S_{(X,Y,f)})
\]

[5, (2.5)] given by

\[
\sum_{i,j > 0} \left[ 1 \otimes \frac{g_{ij}}{h_{ij}}, (XW)^i, (YZ)^j \right] \mapsto \sum_{i,j > 0} \left[ \frac{g_{ij}}{h_{ij}}, (XW)^i, (YZ)^j \right].
\]

The result follows from Proposition 2.3. Moreover, \( \Psi = 0 \) if and only if \( \left[ \frac{g_{ij}}{h_{ij}} \right] = 0 \), equivalently \( g_{ij} \in h_{ij} \kappa[Z, W]_{(f)} \) for all \( i, j \). \( \square \)

The following lemma will be used in Section 4

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \( f \in \kappa[Z, W] \) be an irreducible polynomial in \((Z, W)\). For any \( s, t > 0 \), there exist \( \ell > 0 \), \( g \in \kappa[Z, W] \) and \( h \in \kappa[Z, W] \setminus (f) \) such that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
g \\
h, f^\ell
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
W^t, Z^s
\end{bmatrix}
\]

in \( H^2_{(Z,W)}(\kappa[Z,W]_{(Z,W)}) \).
Proof. We may assume \((f) \neq (W)\) to avoid the trivial case. Write
\[
f = f_0Z^u + f_1W^v
\]
for some \(f_0 \in \kappa[Z \setminus \{Z\}], f_1 \in \kappa[Z, W] \setminus \{W\}\) and \(u, v > 0\). Divide \(s\) by \(u\):
\[
s = uq + r \quad (0 \leq q \text{ and } 0 \leq r < u).
\]
We choose \(h\) to be \(W^t\) and prove the lemma by induction on \([t/v]\), the smallest integer greater than or equal to \(t/v\). In the case where \([t/v] = 1\) (i.e. \(t \leq v\)),
\[
f^{q+1} = (f_0Z^u + f_1W^v)^{q+1} = f_0^{q+1}Z^{u(q+1)} + \frac{u}{v}W^t,
\]
for some \(w \in \kappa[Z, W]\). The following can be computed using Example 2.2:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f^{q+1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f_0^{q+1}Z^{u+u}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Assume the lemma holds for \([t/v] = n\). For the case \([t/v] = n + 1\), let
\[
F = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(f_0Z^u)^i(-f_1W^v)^{n-i-1},
\]
\[
G = \sum_{j=0}^{q}(q+1)\left(f_0Z^u\right)^{n_j}(-f_1W^vF)^{q-j}.
\]
Then
\[
f((f_0Z^u)^n - f_1W^vF) = (f_0Z^u)^{n+1} - (-f_1W^v)^{n+1},
\]
\[
((f_0Z^u)^n - f_1W^vF)^{q+1} = (f_0Z^u)^{n(q+1)} - f_1W^vFG,
\]
and
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f^{q+1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r}(f_0Z^u)^n - f_1W^vF)^{q+1} \\
W^t, ((f_0Z^u)^{n+1} - (-f_1W^v)^{n+1})^{q+1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r}(f_0Z^u)^n - f_1W^vF)^{q+1} \\
W^t, (f_0Z^u)^{(n+1)(q+1)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r}(f_0Z^u)^{n(q+1)} \\
W^t, (f_0Z^u)^{(n+1)(q+1)}
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Since \([(t-v)/v] = n\), there exist \(\ell_0 > 0\) and \(g_0 \in \kappa[Z, W]\) such that
\[
\begin{bmatrix}g_0 \\
W^{t-v}, f_0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^{t-v}, Z^{u(n+1)(q+1)}\end{bmatrix}.
\]
We get the required elements:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f^{q+1}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f^{q+1}\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}g_0f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^{t-v}, f_0\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{bmatrix}f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^t, f^{q+1}\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}g_0f_0^{q+1}Z^{u-r} \\
W^{t-v}, f_0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1 \\
W^t, Z^s\end{bmatrix}.
\]
\[
\square
\]
3. INJECTIVE HULLS

In this section, we study the module structure of an injective hull $E(q)$ of $A/\mathfrak{Q}$ for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{Q}$ of $A$ generated by $X, Y$ and a prime ideal $q$ of $\kappa[Z,W]$ contained in $(Z,W)$. We use the following two well-known constructions for injective hulls.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $R$ be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ be prime ideals of $R$ and $E(R/\mathfrak{Q})$ be an injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{Q}$. Then $E(R/\mathfrak{Q})$ is an $R\mathfrak{P}$-module and it is an injective hull of $(R/\mathfrak{Q})_{\mathfrak{P}}$ over $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $R$ be a Noetherian ring, $I$ be an ideal of $R$, $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of $R$ containing $I$ and $E(R/\mathfrak{P})$ be an injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{P}$. Then, as an $R/I$-module, $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E(R/\mathfrak{P}))$ is an injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{P}$.

Let $q = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ be a prime ideal of $\kappa[Z,W]$ contained in $(Z,W)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}$ be the prime ideal of $S$ generated by $X, Y, f_1, \ldots, f_m$. We denote by $\mathfrak{Q}$ also the element of Spec $A$. Spec $S_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ and Spec $S_{(X,Y,Z,W)}$, which canonically embed into Spec $S$. Recall that $H^{\text{ht} \mathfrak{Q}}(S_{\mathfrak{Q}})$ is an injective hull of $S/\mathfrak{Q}$, as $S$ is a Gorenstein ring.

**Definition 3.3.**

$$E(f_1, \ldots, f_m) := E(q) := \{ \omega \in H^{\text{ht} \mathfrak{Q}}(S_{\mathfrak{Q}})||XW \omega = YZ \omega \}$$

By Lemma 3.1 $H^{\text{ht} \mathfrak{Q}}(S_{\mathfrak{Q}})$ as an $S_{(X,Y,Z,W)}$-module is also an injective hull of $S_{(X,Y,Z,W)}/\mathfrak{Q}$. By Lemma 3.2 with the $A$-module structure via the bijection

$$E(q) \simeq \text{Hom}_{S_{(X,Y,Z,W)}}(A, H^{\text{ht} \mathfrak{Q}}(S_{\mathfrak{Q}})),$$

$E(q)$ is an injective hull of $A/\mathfrak{Q}$. Next, we describe elements in $E(q)$ using certain maps $\Omega_q^n$. If $q$ is principal,

$$\Omega_q^n: \kappa(Z,W) \rightarrow H^{\text{ht} \mathfrak{Q}}(S_{\mathfrak{Q}})$$

is a $\kappa[Z,W]_q$-linear map. If $q = (Z,W)$,

$$\Omega_q^n: H^2(Z,W)(\kappa[Z,W]_q) \rightarrow H^4(S_{(X,Y,Z,W)})$$

is a $\kappa$-linear map. $\Omega_q^n$ is defined to be zero for $n < 0$ and is defined below for $n \geq 0$.

**Definition 3.4.** Let $n \geq 0$ and $q = (f)$ be a prime ideal of $\kappa[Z,W]$ contained in $(Z,W)$. Given $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g \in \kappa[Z,W]$ and $h \in \kappa[Z,W] \setminus q$, we define

$$\Omega_q^n(g/h)^{s} := \frac{g}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ (XW)^{i+1}, (YZ)^{n+1-i} \right]$$

and

$$\Omega_q^n(g/h)^{s} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{g}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{f^sZ^{n+1-i}}, (XW)^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right], & \text{if } (f) = (0); \\
\frac{g}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{f^sW^{i+1}}, X^{i+1}, (YZ)^{n+1-i} \right], & \text{if } (f) = (Z); \\
\frac{g}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{f^s(XW)^{i+1}}, (YZ)^{n+1-i} \right], & \text{if } (f) = (W); \\
\frac{g}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{f^s}, (XW)^{i+1}, (YZ)^{n+1-i} \right], & \text{if } (f) \neq (0), (Z) \text{ or } (W). \end{array} \right.$$
The $\kappa$-linear map $\Omega^n_{(Z,W)}$ is defined by
\[
\Omega^n_{(Z,W)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} Z^u \cr W^v \end{array} \right] := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z^{n+1-i+u}, W^{i+1+v}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \end{array} \right],
\]
where $u, v > 0$.

If $q$ is principal, $\Omega^n_q$ is independent of the choice of a generator $f$. We use also the notation $\Omega^n_q := \Omega^n_q$. If $q = (Z,W)$, we use also the notation $\Omega^n_{Z,W} := \Omega^n_q$. The following facts are not hard to check. Details are left to the reader.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $n \geq 0$.

1. For $\varphi \in \kappa(Z,W)$, $\Omega^0_{q}(\varphi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\varphi \neq 0$.
2. $\Omega^s_{Z}(Z^s) \neq 0$ (resp. $\Omega^s_{W}(W^s) \neq 0$) if and only if $s \leq n$. In the $\kappa$-vector space $E(Z)$ (resp. $E(W)$), elements of the form $\Omega^s_{Z}(Z^s W^t)$ (resp. $\Omega^s_{W}(W^s Z^t)$), where $s \leq n$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, are linearly independent.
3. For non-zero $f$ with $Z, W \notin (f)$, $\Omega^f_{Z}(f^s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s < 0$.

For any $\varphi \in \kappa(Z,W)$,
\[
XW \Omega^n_{f} \varphi = YZ \Omega^n_{f} \varphi = \Omega^n_{f} \varphi.
\]
Therefore $\Omega^n_{f}$ has image in $E(f)$. The multiplication by $XW$ (equals $YZ$ in $A$) takes elements of $E_n(\varphi)$ into $E_{n-1}(\varphi)$.

**Example 3.6.** For any non-zero $\varphi$ in $\kappa(Z,W)$,
\[
(XW)^s \Omega^0_{Z}(\varphi) = (YZ)^s \Omega^0_{W}(\varphi) = \Omega^0_{Z}(\varphi)
\]
and it is non-zero in $E(f)$ by Proposition 3.5.

Next, we explain the structure of $E(Z,W)$. For any $\varphi \in H^2_{(Z,W)}(\kappa[Z,W](Z,W))$, $XW \Omega^n_{Z,W} \varphi = YZ \Omega^n_{Z,W} \varphi = \Omega^n_{Z,W} \varphi$.

Therefore $\Omega^n_{Z,W}$ has image in $E(Z,W)$. Note that $\Omega^n_{Z,W}$ is not $\kappa[Z,W]$-linear for $n \geq 0$. For instance,
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z, W \end{array} \right] \text{ is annihilated by } W^{n+1} \text{ but }
W^{n+1} \Omega^n_{Z,W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z, W \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z^2, W, X^{n+1}, Y \end{array} \right] \neq 0.
\]

**Definition 3.7.** For $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we choose $u, v > 0$ with $u + s, v + t \geq 0$ and define the notation
\[
\Omega^s(Z^s W^t) := Z^{u+s} W^{v+t} \Omega^n_{Z,W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z^u, W^v \end{array} \right].
\]
This definition is independent of the choice of $u$ and $v$, indeed,
\[
\Omega^s(Z^s W^t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z^{n+1-i-s}, W^{i+1-t}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \end{array} \right].
\]
In general, $\Omega^s(Z^s W^t)$ does not equal to $\Omega^n_{Z,W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z^{-s}, W^{-t} \end{array} \right]$. For instance,
\[
\Omega^1_{Z,W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z, W^{-1} \end{array} \right] = 0,
\]
but

\[ \Omega^1(Z^{-1}W) = W^2\Omega^1_{Z,W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Z, W \end{array} \right] \neq 0. \]

**Proposition 3.8.** \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( n \geq \max\{0, s, t, s + t\} \). The nontrivial \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \) form a basis for the \( \kappa \)-vector space \( E(Z, W) \).

**Proof.** Let \( n \geq 0 \). It is clear from the definition that \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) = 0 \) if one of \( s, t \), and \( t + s \) is greater than \( n \). We show first that the elements of the form \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \) generate \( E(Z, W) \). Let

\[ \Psi = \sum_{i,j,k,l \geq 1} \left[ Z^i, W^j, X^k, Y^l \right] \in H^i_4(X,Y,Z,W)(S(X,Y,Z,W)), \]

where \( a_{ijkl} \in \kappa \). Assume that \( \Psi \in E(Z, W) \), that is, \( XW\Psi = YZ\Psi \) or

\[ \sum_{i,j,k,l \geq 1} \left[ Z^i, W^{j-1}, X^k, Y^l \right] = \sum_{i,j,k,l \geq 1} \left[ Z^{i-1}, W^j, X^k, Y^{l-1} \right]. \]

Comparing coefficients, we get

\[ a_{ijkt} = a_{(i+1)j(k+1)t} \]

for \( i, j, k, \ell \geq 1 \). For \( i', j', k', \ell' \) less than 1, if there exist \( i, j, k, \ell \geq 1 \) such that \( i + j = i' + j' \), \( k + \ell = k' + \ell' \) and \( j - k = j' - k' \), we define

\[ a_{ijkt} := a_{ijkt}; \]

otherwise we define \( a_{ijkt} := 0 \). Then

\[ \Psi = \sum_{\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0} a_{\ell m (n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ Z^{\ell-i}, W^m, X^{n+1-i} \right]. \]

Now we show that those \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \) with \( n \geq \max\{0, s, t, s + t\} \) are linearly independent over \( \kappa \). We study a linear combination of \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \):

\[ \sum_{n \geq \max\{0, s, t, s + t\}} a_{nst} \Omega^n(Z^sW^t), \]

where \( a_{nst} \in \kappa \). Setting \( i \) equal to \( n \) and \( n - s \) respectively for the expression of \( \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \), we have

\[ \left[ Z^{n+1-i-s}, W^{i+1-t}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right] = \begin{cases} \left[ Z^{1-s}, W^{n-t+1}, X^{n+1}, Y \right], & \text{if } i = n; \\ \left[ Z, W^{n-s-t+1}, X^{n-s+1}, Y^{s+1} \right], & \text{if } i = n - s . \end{cases} \]
We note that
\[
\left[ Z^{1-s}, W^{n-t+1}, X^{s+1}, Y \right] = 0, \quad \text{if } s > 0
\]
and
\[
\left[ Z, W^{n-s-t+1}, X^{n-s+1}, Y^{s+1} \right] = 0, \quad \text{if } s < 0.
\]

For given \(n, s, t\) in the summation in \(\mathbf{14}\), \[
\left[ Z^{1-s}, W^{n-t+1}, X^{s+1}, Y \right] \,
\]
occurs if \(s < 0\). By Corollary \(\mathbf{2.4}\), \[
\left[ Z^i, W^j, X^k, Y^\ell \right] \,
\]
are linearly independent for all \(i, j, k, \ell > 0\) in \(H_1^4(X, Y, Z, W) (S(X, Y, Z, W))\). This implies that if there exist \(n', s', t'\) such that
\[
\left[ Z^{n'+1-i'-s'}, W^{i'+1-t'}, X^{s'+1-i'}, Y^{n'+1-i'} \right] = \left[ Z^{1-s}, W^{n-t+1}, X^{s+1}, Y \right]
\]
for some \(i' \in \{0, \ldots, n'\}\), then \(i' = n' = n, s' = s\) and \(t' = t\). Thus, for fixed \(n, s, t\) with \(s < 0\), the generalized fraction \[
\left[ Z^{1-s}, W^{n-t+1}, X^{s+1}, Y \right] \,
\]
occurs exactly once with the coefficient \(a_{nst}\). Similarly for \[
\left[ Z, W^{n-s-t+1}, X^{n-s+1}, Y^{s+1} \right] \,
\]
with \(s \geq 0\). Therefore, if
\[
\sum_{n \geq \max\{0, s, t, s+t\}} a_{nst} \Omega^n(Z^s W^t) = 0,
\]
then \(a_{nst} = 0\) for all \(n, s, t\) by Corollary \(\mathbf{2.4}\) again. Hence, \(\Omega^n(Z^s W^t)\) are linearly independent.

The \(A\)-module structure of \(E(Z, W)\) is clear: For \(s_1, t_1 \geq 0\) and \(s_2, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
Z^{s_1} W^{t_1} \Omega^n(Z^{s_2} W^{t_2}) &= \Omega^n(Z^{s_1+s_2} W^{t_1+t_2}), \quad (6) \\
X^{t_1} Y^{s_1} \Omega^n(Z^{s_2} W^{t_2}) &= \Omega^{n-t_1-s_1} (Z^{s_2-s_1} W^{t_2-t_1}). \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]
For arbitrary \(\varphi \in A\), \(n \geq 0\) and \(s_2 + t_2 \leq n\), we choose \(f \in \kappa[X, Y, Z, W]\) such that \(\varphi - f \in (X, Y)^{n+1} + (Z, W)^{n-s_2-t_2+1}\), then
\[
\varphi \Omega^n(Z^{s_2} W^{t_2}) = f \Omega^n(Z^{s_2} W^{t_2}).
\]
Replaced \(\varphi\) by \(f\), we can use the equalities \(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}\) and \(\kappa\)-linearity to multiply \(\Omega^n(Z^{s_2} W^{t_2})\) by \(\varphi\).

The multiplication by \(X\) (resp. \(Y\)) takes \(\Omega^n(Z^s W^t)\) to \(\Omega^{n-1}(Z^s W^{t-1})\) (resp. \(\Omega^{n-1}(Z^{s-1} W^t)\)) in \(E_{n-1}(Z, W)\). Alternative to Example \(\mathbf{3.6}\) multiplications by variables can take non-zero elements in \(E(Z, W)\) to non-zero elements in \(E_0(Z, W)\).

**Example 3.9.** By Proposition \(\mathbf{3.8}\), for any non-zero \(\Omega^n(Z^s W^t)\), there exist \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) with \(n_1 + n_2 = n\) such that
\[
X^{n_1} Y^{n_2} \Omega^n(Z^s W^t) = \Omega^0(Z^{s-n_2} W^{t-n_1}) \neq 0.
\]
Note that \(\Omega^0(Z^s W^t)\) obtained by multiplying \(\Omega^n(Z^s W^t)\) by \((XW)^n\) may be zero if \(s\) or \(t\) is positive.
The computations in Examples 3.6 and 3.9 will be used in proving our main result Theorem 4.12.

Divisions by \(X, Y, Z\) and \(W\) can be defined as well. In general, for \(i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\), let \(X^i Y^j Z^k W^l\) be the \(\kappa\)-linear operator on \(E(Z, W)\) satisfying

\[
X^i Y^j Z^k W^l \Omega^n(Z^s W^t) = \Omega^{n-i-j}(Z^{s+k-j} W^{t+l-i}).
\]

Using the above description of the \(A\)-module structure of \(E(Z, W)\), one can check that this operator is \(A\)-linear.

**Definition 3.10.** Let \(q = (f_1, \cdots, f_m)\) be a prime ideal of \(\kappa[Z, W]\) contained in \((Z, W)\). We define \(E_n(q)\), denoted also by \(E_n(f_1, \cdots, f_m)\), to be the \(\kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}\)-submodule of \(E(q)\) generated by the image of \(\Omega^n_q\).

\(E_n(f)\) consists of elements of the form \(\Omega^n f\). \(E_n(Z, W)\) consists of elements of the form \(Z^s W^t \Omega^n_{Z, W}\). Note that powers of \(Z\) and \(W\) are necessary to represent elements of \(E_n(Z, W)\). For instance,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
Z, W, X^2, Y
\end{bmatrix} = Z W^2 \Omega^1_{Z, W} \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
Z, W
\end{bmatrix}
\]

does not equal to \(\Omega^2_{Z, W} f\) for any \(f \in H^2_{(Z, W)}(\kappa[Z, W](Z, W))\).

**Proposition 3.11.** \(E(q) = \bigoplus E_n(q)\) as \(\kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}\)-modules.

**Proof.** We prove the proposition in five cases.

Case 1. \(q = (Z, W)\). Already shown in Proposition 3.8.

Case 2. \(q = (0)\). Let

\[
\Psi = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{ij} \\
(XW)^i, (YZ)^j
\end{bmatrix} \in H^2_{(X,Y)}(S_{(X,Y)}),
\]

where \(\varphi_{ij} \in \kappa(Z, W)\), be an element of \(E(0)\). From the identity \(XW \Psi = YZ \Psi\), we get

\[
\varphi_{i(j+1)} = \varphi_{(i+1)j}
\]

for \(i, j \geq 1\). We have the expression

\[
\Psi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{i+j=n+2} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{ij} \\
(XW)^i, (YZ)^j
\end{bmatrix} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n_{0} (\varphi_{1(n+1)}),
\]

since for any \(i, j\) with \(i + j = n + 2\), it is clear that \(\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{i(n+2-j)} = \varphi_{1(n+1)}\). This shows \(E(0) = \bigoplus E_n(0)\).

An element

\[
\Psi_n = \sum \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{ij} \\
(XW)^i, (YZ)^j
\end{bmatrix} \in E_n(0),
\]

where \(\varphi_{ij} \in \kappa(Z, W)\), satisfies \(i + j = n + 2\). If \(\sum \Psi_n = 0\), by Corollary 2.3, \(\Psi_n = 0\) for all \(n\). This shows \(E(0) = \bigoplus E_n(0)\).

Case 3. \(q = (Z)\). Let

\[
\Psi = \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{ijk} \\
X^i, (XW)^j, Y^k
\end{bmatrix} \in H^3_{(X,Y,Z)}(S_{(X,Y,Z)}),
\]
where \( \varphi_{ijk} \in \kappa(W) \), be an element of \( E(Z) \). From the identity \( XW\Psi = YZ\Psi \), we get

\[
\varphi_{i(j+1)k} = \varphi_{(i+1)(j+1)k}
\]

for \( i, j, k \geq 1 \). For \( i', j', k' \) less than 1, if there exist \( i, j, k \geq 1 \) such that \( i + j = i' + j' \) and \( j + k = j' + k' \), we define

\[
\varphi_{i'j'k'} := \varphi_{ijk};
\]

otherwise we define \( \varphi_{i'j'k'} := 0 \). Then

\[
\Psi = \sum_{m \in Z} \varphi_{m1(n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ Z^{m-i}, (XW)^{i+1}, Y^{-n+1-i} \right] = \sum_{m \in Z} \Omega_{n}^{n} \left( \frac{\varphi_{m1(n+1)}}{Z^{m-n-1}} \right).
\]

This shows \( E(Z) = \sum_{n} E_{n}(Z) \).

An element

\[
\Psi_{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ Z^{i}, (XW)^{i}, Y^{k} \right] \in E_{n}(Z),
\]

where \( \varphi_{ijk} \in \kappa(W) \), satisfies \( j + k = n + 2 \). If \( \sum_{n} \Psi_{n} = 0 \), by Corollary 2.3 \( \Psi_{n} = 0 \) for all \( n \). This shows \( E(Z) = \oplus_{n} E_{n}(Z) \).

Case 4. \( q = (W) \). Similar to Case 3.

Case 5. \( q = (f) \) not equal to (Z) or (W). Let

\[
\Psi = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left[ h_{ij}, (XW)^{i}, (YZ)^{j} \right] \in H^{3}_{(X,Y,f)}(S(X,Y,f)),
\]

where \( g_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( 0 \neq h_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \), be an element in \( E(f) \). Multiplying the numerators and denominators by \( h_{ij} \)'s, we may assume that all \( h_{ij} \) equals a fixed \( h \in \kappa[Z,W] \). From the identity \( XW\Psi = YZ\Psi \), we get

\[
g_{i(j+1)} - g_{(i+1)j} \in h \kappa[Z,W]_{(f)}
\]

for \( i, j \geq 1 \). Hence

\[
\Psi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{i+j=n+2} \left[ h_{(i+1)(j+1)}, (XW)^{i}, (YZ)^{j} \right] \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \Omega_{n}^{n} \left( \frac{g_{1(n+1)}(n+1)}{h} \right).
\]

This shows \( E(f) = \sum_{n} E_{n}(f) \).

An element

\[
\Psi_{n} = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left[ h_{ij}, (XW)^{i}, (YZ)^{j} \right] \in E_{n}(f),
\]

where \( g_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( 0 \neq h \in \kappa[Z,W] \), satisfies \( i + j = n + 2 \). If \( \sum_{n} \Psi_{n} = 0 \), by Corollary 2.3 \( \Psi_{n} = 0 \) for all \( n \). This shows \( E(f) = \oplus_{n} E_{n}(f) \).
It is clear that \( E_0(q) \) is annihilated by \((X,Y)\) for all \( q \). To prove the proposition, it remains to show that \( E_0(q) \) contains all the elements annihilated by \((X,Y)\). For a prime ideal \( (f) \) of \( \kappa[Z,W] \) contained in \((Z,W)\), we denote

\[
f^\triangle := \begin{cases} 
X, & \text{if } (f) = (W); \\
Y, & \text{if } (f) = (Z); \\
XW, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The multiplication on \( E(f) \) by \( Z \) (resp. \( W \)) is an isomorphism if \( Z \not\in (f) \) (resp. \( W \not\in (f) \)). Since elements of \( E(f) \) are annihilated by \( XW - YZ \), an element of \( E(f) \) is annihilated by \( f^\triangle \) if and only if it is annihilated by \( X \) and \( Y \). For instance, let \( f = Z + W \) and \( \Psi \in E(f) \). Then \( f^\triangle = XW \) and \( (XW - YZ)\Psi = 0 \). If \( X\Psi = Y\Psi = 0 \), then clearly \( f^\triangle \Psi = 0 \). Conversely if \( f^\triangle \Psi = 0 \), then \( X\Psi = 0 \), since the multiplication by \( W \) is an isomorphism. \( Y\Psi = 0 \) as well, since \( YZ\Psi = f^\triangle \Psi \).

For Proposition 3.12, what we need to prove is the following.

**Proposition 3.13.** \( E_0(f) \) contains all the elements of \( E(f) \) annihilated by \( f^\triangle \). \( E_0(Z,W) \) contains all the elements of \( E(Z,W) \) annihilated by \((X,Y)\).

**Proof.** Let

\[
\Psi = \sum \Omega_0^n(\varphi_n)
\]

be an element of \( E(0) \) annihilated by \( XW \), where \( \varphi_n \in \kappa(Z,W) \). Then

\[
\sum \Omega_0^{n-1}(\varphi_n) = XW\Psi = 0.
\]

By Proposition 3.11 \( \Omega_0^{n-1}(\varphi_n) = 0 \) for all \( n \), which implies \( \varphi_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 1 \) by Proposition 3.5. Therefore

\[
\Psi = \Omega_0^0(\varphi_0) \in E_0(0).
\]

Now assume that \((f) \neq 0, (Z) \) or \((W) \). Let

\[
\Psi = \sum \Omega_f^n(g_n h_n f^s_n)
\]

be an element of \( E(f) \) annihilated by \( XW \), where \( g_n, h_n \in \kappa[Z,W] \setminus (f) \). Then

\[
\sum \Omega_f^{n-1}(g_n h_n f^s_n) = XW\Psi = 0.
\]

By Propositions 3.11 and 3.5 \( \Omega_f^{n-1}(\frac{g_n h_n}{h_n^2} f^s_n) = 0 \) for all \( n \) and this implies \( s_n \geq 0 \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Therefore \( \Omega_f^n(\frac{g_n}{h_n} f^s_n) = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) and

\[
\Psi = \Omega_f^n(\frac{g_n}{h_n} f^s_n) \in E_0(f).
\]

Let

\[
\Psi = \sum \Omega_Z^n(g_n h_n Z^{s_n})
\]

be an element of \( E(Z) \) annihilated by \( Y \), where \( g_n, h_n \in \kappa[Z,W] \setminus (Z) \). Then

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \Omega_Z^{n-1}(\frac{g_n}{h_n} Z^{s_n-1}) = Y\Psi = 0.
\]
Using Propositions 3.11 and 3.5 again, a similar argument as in the previous cases shows \( \Omega_{n}^{n-1}(\frac{g}{h_n}Z^{s_n-1}) = 0 \) for all \( n \) and \( s_n - 1 > n - 1 \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Therefore \( \Omega_{n}^{n}(\frac{g}{h_n}Z^{s_n}) = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) and

\[
\Psi = \Omega_{n}^{0}(\frac{g_0}{h_0}Z^{s_0}) \in E_0(Z).
\]

Similarly, we see that \( E_0(W) \) contains all the elements of \( E(W) \) annihilated by \( X \).

Let \( \Psi = \sum_{n \geq \max\{0, t, s + t\}} a_{nst} \Omega^{n}(Z^{s}W^{t}) \) be an element of \( E(Z, W) \) annihilated by \( X \) and \( Y \), where \( a_{nst} \in \kappa \). Then

\[
\sum_{n \geq \max\{1, s + 1, t, s + t\}} a_{nst} \Omega^{n-1}(Z^{s}W^{t-1}) = X\Psi = 0.
\]

By Proposition 3.8, the coefficient \( a_{nst} = 0 \), if \( n \geq \max\{1, s + 1, t, s + t\} \). For \( n \geq 1 \), possible non-trivial coefficients are those \( a_{nst} \) with \( t \leq 0 \). Similarly, \( Y\Psi = 0 \) implies that possible non-trivial coefficients are those \( a_{nst} \) with \( s \leq 0 \). Therefore \( a_{nst} = 0 \) for \( n \geq 1 \) and

\[
\Psi = \sum_{0 \geq \max\{s, t, s + t\}} a_{0st} \Omega^{0}(Z^{s}W^{t}) \in E_0(Z, W).
\]

\[ \square \]

4. AN INJECTIVE RESOLUTION

In this section, we construct explicitly an injective resolution of \( A/p \) using the injective modules given in Section 3. The coboundary maps of the injective resolution involve multiplications and divisions by elements of \( A \) and certain maps \( d^0_j \), \( d^1_j \) appeared in a residual complex.

A prime ideal \((f)\) is also generated by \( gf \) for any invertible element \( g \). For convenience, we use the notation \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \) for the direct sum of modules \( E(f) \) indexed by the ideals generated by the irreducible polynomial \( f \in \mathfrak{m} = (Z, W) \); that is,

\[
\oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) := \oplus_{q \neq (0), \mathfrak{m}} E(q),
\]

where \( f \) ranges over irreducible polynomials contained in \( (Z, W) \). We use the notation \( \sum_f \) for representing elements in \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \).

Recalling the notation \( f^\wedge \) defined in Section 3 we have the following exact sequence by Propositions 3.12 and 3.13

\[
(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \oplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f) \rightarrow \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \xrightarrow{f^\wedge} \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \rightarrow 0.
\]

Now we define \( d^0_j \) using Corollary 2.6

**Definition 4.1.** For an irreducible polynomial \( f \in (Z, W) \), we define

\[ d^0_j : H^{2}_{(X, Y)}(S_{(X, Y)}(S_{(X, Y, f)})) \rightarrow H^{3}_{(X, Y, f)}(S_{(X, Y, f)}) \]

to be the map

\[
\sum_{i,j>0} \left[ \frac{g_{ij} h_{ij}}{(XW)^i (YZ)^j} \right] \rightarrow \sum_{i,j>0} \left[ h_{ij} W^{i} Z^{j}, X^{i}, Y^{j} \right],
\]

\[ \square \]
where \( g_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( 0 \neq h_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \).

We note that \( d_0^f \) induces a restriction (by abusing the notation)

\[
d_0^f : E(0) \to E(f)
\]

since \( d_0^f (\Omega^n_0(g/h)) = \Omega^n_0(g/h) \) and \( d_0^f (E_n(0)) \subset E_n(f) \). The product

\[
\prod_{f \neq 0} d_0^f : E(0) \to \prod_{f \neq 0} E(f)
\]

has image in \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \).

**Definition 4.2.** We define \( d_0^f : E(0) \to \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \),

where \( f \) ranges over irreducible polynomials in \((Z,W)\kappa[Z,W]\), to be the \( A \)-linear map

\[
\Omega^n_0(g/h) \mapsto \sum_{f \neq 0} \Omega^n_f(g/h),
\]

where \( g \in \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( 0 \neq h \in \kappa[Z,W] \).

Now we define \( d_1^f \) using Corollaries 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8.

**Definition 4.3.** For an irreducible polynomial \( f \in (Z,W) \), we define

\[
d_1^f : H^3(X,Y,f) \to H^4(X,Y,Z,W)(S(X,Y,f))
\]

to be the map

\[
\sum_{i,j,k>0} \left[ \frac{g_{ijk}/h_{ijk}}{f^i, X^j, Y^k} \right] \mapsto \sum_{i,j,k>0} \left[ \frac{g_{ijk}}{h_{ijk}, f^i, X^j, Y^k} \right],
\]

where \( g_{ijk} \) and \( h_{ijk} \) are in \( \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( h_{ijk} \) has no factor \( f \).

For instance,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega^n_W(Z^sW^t) &= \Omega^n(Z^sW^t) \\
d_1^Z \Omega^n_W(Z^sW^t) &= -\Omega^n(Z^sW^t).
\end{align*}
\]

**Lemma 4.4.** \( d_1^f(E_n(f)) \subset E_n(Z,W) \)

Proof. Let \( g, h \in \kappa[Z,W] \setminus (f) \).

Case 1. \( (f) \neq (Z) \) or \((W)\). For \( j > 0 \), the elements \( hW^{n+1}Z^{n+1} \) and \( f^j \) form a system of parameters for \( k[Z,W]_{(Z,W)} \), so there exist \( \alpha_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W]_{(Z,W)} \) and \( s, t > n + 1 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
Z^s &= \alpha_{11}hW^{n+1}Z^{n+1} + \alpha_{12}f^j, \\
W^t &= \alpha_{21}hW^{n+1}Z^{n+1} + \alpha_{22}f^j.
\end{align*}
\]
Then
\[ d_1^f (\Omega f_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_j^f \left( f^i, (XW)^{i+1}, (YZ)^{n+1-i} \right) \]
(10)
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ hW^{i+1} Z^{n+1-i}, f^i, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right] \]
(11)
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ g(\alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}) Z^{s-i}, W^{t-n+i}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right] \]
\[ = g(\alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}) \Omega^n(Z^{n+1-s}W^{n+1-t}) \in E_n(Z,W). \]

The equality (10) holds because \( W \) and \( Z \) are invertible and (11) is due to the transformation law in Section 2.

**Case 2.** \( f = Z \). For \( s > 0 \), \( \Omega^n(Z^{n+1-s}W^{n+1-t}) = 0 \). For \( s \leq n \), the elements \( h \) and \( Z^{n-s+1} \) form a system of parameters, so one may choose \( t > 0 \) and \( \alpha_{ij} \in \kappa[Z,W] \) such that
\[ W^t = \alpha_{21} h + \alpha_{22} Z^{n-s+1}. \]
Then, a similar computation as in the previous case shows
\[ d_1^Z(\Omega^n(Z^{n+1-s}W^{n+1-t})) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_j^f \left( Z^{n+1-i-s}, (XW)^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right) \]
(12)
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ hW^{i+1} Z^{n+1-i-s}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right] \]
(13)
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ W^{t+i+1}, Z^{n+1-i-s}, X^{i+1}, Y^{n+1-i} \right] \]
\[ = -g\alpha_{21} \Omega^n(Z^{s}W^{-t}) \in E_n(Z,W). \]

**Case 3.** \( f = W \). Similar to Case 2.

So we have a restriction (by abusing the notation)
\[ d_1^f : E(f) \to E(Z,W). \]

**Definition 4.5.** We define
\[ d^1 : \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \to E(Z,W) \]
to be \( d^1 = \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} d_1^f \), where \( f \) ranges over irreducible polynomials in \((Z,W)\kappa[Z,W]\).

**Proposition 4.6.** \( d^1 \circ d^0 = 0 \).

**Proof.** We apply the argument in the proof of Proposition 1. Recall that an arbitrary element in \( E(0) \) can be written as a sum of elements in the form of
\[ \left[ \frac{g/h}{X^j, Y^k} \right]. \]
It is enough to show the image of such an element under \( d^1 \circ d^0 \) is zero in \( E(Z,W) \). We
write $h = f_1 \cdots f_n$ where $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are powers of distinct irreducible polynomials. It suffices to show
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_i \cdots f_n, f_i, X^j, Y^k \right] = 0.
\]

We induct on $n$ to prove that (12) holds for a more general case where $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are assumed to be products of powers of irreducible polynomials but each irreducible factor appears in only one $f_i$. The case $n = 2$ is trivial. Assume that $n = 3$. If some $f_i \notin (Z, W)$, (12) clearly holds. So we assume all $f_i \in (Z, W)$. That $f_1$ and $f_2$ are a system of parameters for $\kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}$ implies $f_i^3$ is in $(f_1, f_2)$ for some $\ell \gg 0$. By multiplying $g$ and $f_3$ by $f_3^{\ell-1}$ and replacing them by the latter elements, we may assume that $f_3 = g_1 f_1 + g_2 f_2$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in \kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
& f_1 f_2, f_3, X^j, Y^k \\
& = \left[ \frac{g_1 (f_1 f_1 + g_2 f_2)}{f_1 f_2, (f_1 f_1 + g_2 f_2)^2, X^j, Y^k} \right] \quad + \left[ \frac{g g_2}{f_1, (f_1 f_1 + g_2 f_2)^2, X^j, Y^k} \right] \\
& = \left[ \frac{g_2}{f_2, (f_1 f_1 + g_2 f_2)^2, X^j, Y^k} \right] \quad + \left[ \frac{g}{f_1, g_2 f_2, X^j, Y^k} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
& f_2 f_3, f_1, X^j, Y^k \\
& = \left[ \frac{g_1}{f_2 f_3, f_1, X^j, Y^k} \right] \quad + \left[ \frac{g}{f_1, f_3, X^j, Y^k} \right] \\
& = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Now assume that $n > 3$ and (12) holds for numbers of $f_i$'s less than $n$ of the general case stated above. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left[ f_1 f_2 f_3, f_4 \cdots f_n, X^j, Y^k \right] + \left[ (f_1 f_2 f_3) f_4 \cdots f_n, f_4, X^j, Y^k \right] + \cdots + \\
& \left[ (f_1 f_2 f_3) f_4 \cdots f_{n-1}, f_n, X^j, Y^k \right] = 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]
(13)

and
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left[ f_3 (f_4 \cdots f_n), f_1 f_2, X^j, Y^k \right] + \left[ (f_1 f_2) (f_4 \cdots f_n), f_3, X^j, Y^k \right] + \\
& \left[ (f_1 f_2), f_3 \cdots f_n, X^j, Y^k \right] = 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]
(14)

and
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left[ f_2 (f_3 \cdots f_n), f_1, X^j, Y^k \right] + \left[ (f_1) (f_3 \cdots f_n), f_2, X^j, Y^k \right] + \\
& \left[ f_1 f_2, (f_3 \cdots f_n), X^j, Y^k \right] = 0. \\
\end{align*}
\]
(15)

Add identities (13), (14) and (15), we get identity (12).
Definition 4.7. Let $E^\bullet$ be the complex
\[ E(0) \xrightarrow{d^0_\neq} \oplus E(f) \xrightarrow{d^0} E(Z, W) \to 0 \to \cdots \]
and $E_n^\bullet$
\[ E_n(0) \to \oplus E_n(f) \to E_n(Z, W) \to 0 \to \cdots \]
be its restriction.

Lemma 4.8. $d^1$ is surjective. Let $f \in \kappa[Z, W]$ be an irreducible polynomial in $(Z, W)$. Then $d^1_\neq E_0(f) = E_0(Z, W)$.

Proof. $d^1$ is surjective, since the generators $\Omega^n(Z^s W^t)$ of $E(Z, W)$ are in the image of $d^1$ as seen in [1].

To prove the second assertion, we assume that $(f) \neq (W)$ to avoid the trivial case. For any $s, t \leq 0$, we choose $\ell > 0$ and $g \in \kappa[Z, W]$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.9 such that
\[ (16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} g & \cdot \\
W^{1-t}, f^t & \cdot \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z^1 & 1 \\
W^{1-t}, Z^{1-s} & \cdot \end{bmatrix}. \]

Since $(f) \neq (W)$, there exists $n \geq 1 - s$ such that $Z^n$ is a combination of $W^{1-t}$ and $f^t$ over $\kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}$, that is,
\[ (17) \quad Z^n = \varphi W^{1-t} + \eta f^t \]
for some $\varphi, \eta \in \kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}$. Using (16) and (17), we observe the following
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \eta g \\
W^{1-t}, Z^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta g \\
W^{1-t}, Z^n - \varphi W^{1-t} \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ = \begin{bmatrix} g \\
W^{1-t}, f^t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\
W^{1-t}, Z^{1-s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z^{n+s-1} \\
W^{1-t}, Z^n \end{bmatrix}. \]

This implies
\[ (18) \quad \eta g = Z^{n+s-1} \in (W^{1-t}, Z^n) \]
in $\kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)}$. The relations in (17) and (18) can be extended to $S_{(X, Y, Z, W)}$. Therefore, the second assertion follows from the computation:
\[ d^1_\neq \Omega^n(f) \left( -\frac{g Z W^t}{f^t} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -g \\
W^{1-t}, f^t, X, Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta g \\
Z^n, W^{1-t}, X, Y \end{bmatrix} = \Omega^0(Z^s W^t). \]

Lemma 4.9. $E^\bullet_0$ is exact.

Proof. We only need to prove that an element of $\oplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f)$ is in the image of $d^0$ if it is in the kernel of $d^1$. Working on the polynomial ring $\kappa(Z)[W]$ and using Gauss lemma, one sees that elements in $\kappa(Z, W)$ can be written as a partial fraction
\[ \frac{g_0}{h_0} + \frac{g_1}{h_1 f_1} + \cdots + \frac{g_s}{h_s f_s}, \]
where $g_i \in \kappa[Z, W], h_i \in \kappa[Z]$ and $f_i \in \kappa[Z, W]$ is a power of irreducible polynomial. This implies that, if $Z \notin (f)$, elements of $E_0(f)$ can be written as
\[ (19) \quad \Omega^0_\neq (\varphi Z^s f^t), \]
where \( \varphi \in \kappa[Z,W][Z,W] \), \( s \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( t \leq -1 \). Since

\[
d^0\Omega^0_0(\varphi Z^s f^t) = \Omega^0_0(\varphi Z^s f^t) + \Omega^0_Z(\varphi Z^s f^t),
\]

and \( \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f) \) is generated by the image of \( \Omega^0_0 \) (Definition 3.10), to prove the kernel of \( d^1 \) contained in the image of \( d^0 \), we may reduce it to the case that an element \( \Psi \in E_0(Z) \) with \( d^1 \Psi = 0 \) is in the image of \( d^0 \). Working on \( \kappa(W)[Z] \) instead of \( \kappa(Z)[W] \), we may replace \( Z \) by \( W \) and choose \( f \) to be \( Z \) in (19). Multiplying \( \Psi \) by an element in \( \kappa[Z,W] \backslash (Z,W) \), we may assume

\[
\Psi = \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{t > 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_0(Z^s W^t)
\]

for some \( a_{st} \in \kappa \) and write the map explicitly:

\[
d^0\left( \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{t > 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_0(Z^s W^t) \right) = \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{t > 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_0(Z^s W^t) + \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{t > 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t) = \sum_{s \leq 0} \sum_{t > 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_Z(Z^s W^t) = \Psi
\]

since \( \Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t) = 0 \) for all \( t > 0 \). \( \square \)

Note that \( E^n_\ast \) is not exact for \( n \geq 1 \). For instance,

\[
d^3 \Omega^0_Z(Z^n W) = 0,
\]

but \( \Omega^0_Z(Z^n W) \) is not in the image of \( d^0 \).

The maps \( XW \) and \( \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} f^\Delta \) are surjective by (8). Moreover, \( E_0(0) \) is in the kernel of the composition \( (\bigoplus_{f \neq 0} f^\Delta) \circ d^0 \) and \( \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f) \) is in the kernel of the composition \( (X \bigoplus Y) \circ d^1 \). We make the following definition.

**Definition 4.10.** We define

\[
\pi^0: E(0) \to \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f)
\]

and

\[
\pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12}: \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \to E(Z, W)^2
\]

to be the maps making following diagram commutative

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E(0) & \xrightarrow{\pi^0} & \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \\
\downarrow{XW} & & \downarrow{\bigoplus_{f \neq 0} f^\Delta} \\
E(0) & \xrightarrow{d^0} & \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12} & \xrightarrow{d^1} & E(Z, W)^2 \\
\downarrow{X \oplus Y} & & \downarrow{X \oplus Y} \\
\bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) & \xrightarrow{d^1} & E(Z, W)
\end{array}
\]

(20)

\( \pi^0 \) and \( \pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12} \) can be described using the maps

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi^0_f &: E(0) \to E(f), \\
\pi^{11}_f &: E(f) \to E(Z, W), \\
\pi^{12}_f &: E(f) \to E(Z, W),
\end{align*}
\]
Hence is exact. The complex \( E \) is exact. Apply the functor \( \text{Hom}_A \) see that (21) is exact because \( \delta \) surjective. Therefore to show 
\( (XW) \) by check whether the image of an element of \( \oplus \) XW to be the map \( \oplus \) on \( \oplus \) to be the total complex associated to the double complex (20) with a negative sign. We define \( Z, W \) for \( Z, W \). It is straightforward to show that \( E \) is a minimal injective resolution of \( A/\pi \).

\[ \prod_{f \neq 0} \pi_f^0 : E(0) \to \prod_{f \neq 0} E(f) \text{ has image in } \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \text{ and equals } \pi^0. \]

**Definition 4.11.** We define
\[
(21) \quad E(0) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \bigoplus_{q \neq (Z,W)} E(q) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E(q) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} E(Z,W)^2
\]
to be the total complex associated to the double complex \( \Box \) with a negative sign on \( \oplus f^\Delta \). For \( n \geq 3 \), we define \( \delta^n : E(Z,W)^2 \to E(Z,W)^2 \)
to be the map \( \delta^n(\Psi_1 \oplus \Psi_2) = \begin{cases} (W\Psi_1 - Z\Psi_2) \oplus (-Y\Psi_1 + X\Psi_2), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ (X\Psi_1 + Z\Psi_2) \oplus (Y\Psi_1 + W\Psi_2), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \)

**Theorem 4.12.**
\[
(22) \quad E(0) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \bigoplus_{q \neq m} E(q) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E(q) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^3} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^4} E(Z,W)^2 \ldots
\]
is a minimal injective resolution of \( A/\pi \).

**Proof.** \( \pi^0 \circ (XW) - (\oplus f^\Delta) \circ d^0 = 0 \), by the definition of \( \pi^0 \). This identity, together with Proposition 4.16 (that is, \( d^1 \circ d^0 = 0 \)), implies that \( \delta^1 \circ \delta^0 = 0 \). The multiplica-
tion by \( XW \) on \( E(0) \) is surjective. Therefore to show \( \delta^1 \circ \delta^0 = 0 \), we only need to check whether the image of an element of \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \) vanishes. This is easy, since 
\[ (X \oplus Y) \circ d^1 - (\pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12}) \circ \mu = 0 \]
by the definition of \( \pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12} \). The map \( \mu \) is surjective. Therefore to show \( \delta^3 \circ \delta^2 = 0 \), we only need to compute the image of an element of \( E(Z,W) \). This is also easy, since elements of \( E(Z,W) \) are annihilated by \( XW - YZ \). It is straightforward to show that \( \delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 3 \). We conclude that (22) is a complex.

The maps \( XW \) and \( -(\oplus f^\Delta) \) are surjective. Chasing diagram (20), it is easy to see that (21) is exact because 
\[
E_0(0) \to \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f) \to E_0(Z,W) \to 0
\]
is exact. The complex
\[
\cdots \to A^2 \xrightarrow{\left( \begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{array} \right)} A^2 \xrightarrow{\left( \begin{array}{ccc} W & -Y \\ -Z & X \end{array} \right)} A^2 \xrightarrow{\left( \begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ W & -Z \end{array} \right)} A^2 \xrightarrow{\left( \begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{array} \right)} A
\]
is exact. Apply the functor \( \text{Hom}_A(-, E(Z,W)) \), we get the exact sequence 
\[
\begin{array}{c}
E(Z,W) \xrightarrow{X \oplus Y} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^3} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^4} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^5} E(Z,W)^2 \to \cdots .
\end{array}
\]
Hence
\[
(23) \quad \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E(q) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^3} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^4} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^5} E(Z,W)^2 \to \cdots
\]
is also exact. Combining (21) and (23), we conclude that (22) is exact.

The kernel of \( \delta^0 \) equals the kernel of \( d^0 \) restricting to \( E_0(0) \). Let

\[
\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} g/h \\ XW, YZ \end{bmatrix}
\]

be an element of \( E_0(0) \) in the kernel of \( d^0 \), where \( g \in \kappa[Z,W] \) and \( 0 \neq h \in \kappa[Z,W] \). Then \( g = hZW \kappa[Z,W]|_f \) for any irreducible polynomial \( f \in (Z,W) \). Therefore \( g = \varphi hZW \) for some \( \varphi \in \kappa[Z,W]|_f \) and

\[
(24) \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ X, Y \end{bmatrix}.
\]

All elements of the above form is in the kernel of \( d^0 \). These elements form a module isomorphic to \( A/\mathfrak{p} \). Therefore (22) is an injective resolution of \( A/\mathfrak{p} \).

Let \( \Psi = \sum_{i=0}^n \Omega^i_0(\varphi_i) \) be a non-zero element of \( E(0) \) with \( \varphi_i \neq 0 \) and \( \varphi_n = g/h \) for some \( g, h \in \kappa[Z,W] \). By the structure of \( E(f) \) discussed in Example 3.6,

\[
hWZ(XW)^n \Psi = hWZ\Omega^0_0(\varphi_n) = \begin{bmatrix} g \\ X, Y \end{bmatrix}
\]

which is a non-zero element in \( E_0(0) \) of the form (24), so it is in the image of \( A/\mathfrak{p} \). Hence \( E(0) \) is an injective hull of \( A/\mathfrak{p} \).

Every non-zero element of \( \oplus_{\mathfrak{q} \neq (Z,W)} E(\mathfrak{q}) \) multiplied by a suitable element of \( \kappa[Z,W] \) becomes a non-zero element in the summand \( E(0) \) of the form \( \sum \Omega^i_0(g_i) \), \( g_i \in \kappa[Z,W] \). This element is in the image of \( \delta^0 \).

Every non-zero element of \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \) multiplied by suitable powers of irreducible polynomials becomes a non-zero element \( \Psi_1 = \sum \Omega^i_0(h_i/f^{r_i}) \in E(f) \) for some non-zero \( f, h_i \in \kappa[Z,W] \), and \( n, r_i \in \mathbb{N} \). By definition,

\[
\sum \Omega^i_0(h_i/f^{r_i}) = d^0(f) \sum \Omega^0_0(h_i/f^{r_i}) = \pi^0 \sum \Omega^0_0(h_i/f^{r_i})
\]

if \( f, Z \not\in (f) \) and

\[
\sum \Omega^i_0(h_i/f^{r_i}) = d^0(f) \frac{1}{f} \left( f \sum \Omega^0_0(h_i/f^{r_i}) \right) = \pi^0 \sum \Omega^0_0(h_i/f^{r_i})
\]

if \( f = Z \) or \( W \). So \((\Psi_1, 0)\) is in the image of \( \delta^1 \). Every non-zero element of \( E(Z,W) \) multiplied by suitable powers of \( X \) and \( Y \) becomes a non-zero element \( \Psi_2 \in E_0(Z,W) \) (see Example 3.9). By Lemma 4.8 and the definition of \( f^{\omega} \), \( (0, \Psi_2) \) is in the image of \( \delta^1 \). Now for a general case, multiplied by suitable powers of irreducible polynomials in \( \kappa[Z,W] \), and those of \( X \) and \( Y \), a non-zero element \( \oplus_{f \neq 0} E(f) \oplus E(Z,W) \) becomes a non-zero element \( (\Psi_1, \Psi_2) \) with \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \) as described in the above and therefore, it is in the image of \( \delta^1 \).

Every non-zero element of \( E(Z,W)^2 \) multiplied by suitable powers of \( X \) and \( Y \) becomes a non-zero element of \( E_0(Z,W)^2 \). Multiplied again by suitable powers of \( Z \) and \( W \), this element becomes a non-zero element of the form

\[
\alpha \Omega^0(1) \oplus \beta \Omega^0(1) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \kappa),
\]
which is in the image of $\delta^n$ $(n \geq 2)$, since
\[
\begin{align*}
\pi^{11}(\alpha\Omega^0_W(1) \oplus -\beta\Omega^0_Z(1)) &= \alpha\Omega^0(1) \\
\pi^{12}(\alpha\Omega^0_W(1) \oplus -\beta\Omega^0_Z(1)) &= \beta\Omega^0(1) \\
\alpha X\Omega^1(W) + \beta Z\Omega^0(W^{-1}) &= \alpha\Omega^0(1) \\
\alpha Y\Omega^1(W) + \beta W\Omega^0(W^{-1}) &= \beta\Omega^0(1) \\
\alpha W\Omega^0(W^{-1}) - \beta Z\Omega^1(W) &= \alpha\Omega^0(1) \\
-\alpha Y\Omega^0(W^{-1}) + \beta X\Omega^1(W) &= \beta\Omega^0(1).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore the resolution is minimal. \qed

Corollary 4.13. The Bass numbers of $A/p$ are as follows. Let $f$ be an irreducible polynomial contained in $(Z,W)$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_i((X,Y,Z,W), A/p) &= \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } i < 2; \\
1, & \text{if } i = 2; \\
2, & \text{if } i > 2.
\end{cases} \\
\mu_i((X,Y), A/p) &= \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } i < 2; \\
0, & \text{if } i \geq 2.
\end{cases} \\
\mu_i((X,Y,f), A/p) &= \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } i = 0; \\
1, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ or } 2; \\
0, & \text{if } i > 2.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

All other Bass numbers of $A/p$ are zero.

Minimal injective resolutions of $A/p$ are eventually periodic. K. Yanagawa informs us that this is true in a general setting: Over a local ring which is a hypersurface with an isolated singularity, minimal injective resolutions of any finitely generated modules are eventually periodic. His proof uses Matlis duality and a result of Eisenbud 3

5. Applications

5.1. Local Cohomology. We compute the local cohomology module $H^i_I(A/p)$ of $A/p$ supported at an ideal $I$ of $A$. Recall that the injective resolution (22) of $A/p$ is built up by injective hulls $E(q)$ of modules $A/(q,X,Y)$, where $q$ is a prime ideal of $\kappa[Z,W]$. Since elements in $E(q)$ are annihilated by powers of $X$ and $Y$, the functors $\Gamma_I$ and $\Gamma_{I+(X,Y)}$ have the same effect on the complex (22). Hence $H^i_I(A/p) = H^i_{I+(X,Y)}(A/p)$ for all $i$ and, to compute the local cohomology modules, we may assume that $I = (I_0,X,Y)$ for some ideal $I_0$ of $\kappa[Z,W]$. If $I_0 \subset q$, then $E(q)$ being $q$-torsion is also $I$-torsion. If $I_0 \not\subset q$, there is an element $a \in I_0 \setminus q$. The only element of $E(q)$ annihilated by powers of $a$ is zero, so $E(q)$ is $I$-torsion free in this case. Therefore applying the $I$-torsion functor $\Gamma_I(-)$ simply means taking away those $E(q)$ with $I_0 \not\subset q$ from the complex (22).

If $ht(\kappa[Z,W] \cap I) = 2$, $(X,Y,Z,W)$ is the only prime containing $I$. Apply the functor $\Gamma_I(-)$ to the injective resolution (22) of $A/p$, we get the complex

\[
0 \to 0 \to E(Z,W) \xrightarrow{X \oplus Y} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^1} E(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta^2} E(Z,W)^2 \to \cdots
\]
whose only non-trivial cohomology is $E_0(Z,W)$. Therefore

\[(25) \quad H_i^\kappa(A/p) = \begin{cases} E_0(Z,W), & \text{if } i = 2; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 2. \end{cases} \]

As a $\kappa$-vector space, $H^2_i(A/p)$ has a basis consisting of $\Omega^0(Z^sW^t)$, where $s, t \leq 0$.

A local cohomology module is a direct limit of extension modules. Using the injective resolution \[\text{(22)}, \] we can see clearly the behavior of the limit\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \Ext_2^A((X,Y,Z,W)^n, A/p) = H^2(X,Y,Z,W)(A/p). \]

Apply the functor $\Hom_A(A/(X,Y,Z,W)^n, -)$ to \[\text{(22)}, \] we get

\[0 \to 0 \to \Hom_A(A/(X,Y,Z,W)^n, E(Z,W)) \xrightarrow{(\nabla)} \Hom_A(A/(X,Y,Z,W)^n, E(Z,W)^2) \to \cdots.\]

$\Ext_2^A(A/(X,Y,Z,W)^n, A/p)$ is isomorphic to the submodule of $E(Z,W)$ consisting of those elements annihilated by $X, Y$ and $(X,Y,Z,W)^n$. As a $\kappa$-vector space, $\Ext_2^A(A/(X,Y,Z,W)^n, A/p)$ has a basis consisting of $\Omega^0(Z^sW^t)$, where $s, t \leq 0$ satisfy $s + t + n > 0$. In particular,

\[\dim_\kappa \Ext_2^A((X,Y,Z,W)^n, A/p) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.\]

As $n$ increasing, the set $\{\Omega^0(Z^sW^t) | s, t \leq 0, s + t + n > 0\}$ becomes larger and closer to the basis $\{\Omega^0(Z^sW^t) | s, t \leq 0\}$ of $H^2_{(X,Y,Z,W)}(A/p)$.

If $ht(\kappa[Z,W] \cap I) = 0$, then $I = (X,Y)$. The functor $\Gamma_I(-)$ does not change the complex \[\text{(22)}.\] Therefore

\[(26) \quad H^{1}_{(X,Y)}(A/p) = \begin{cases} A/p, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases} \]

Now we look at the case $ht(\kappa[Z,W] \cap I) = 1$. Applying $\Gamma_I(-)$ to \[\text{(22)}, \] we get a complex quasi-isomorphic to

\[0 \to \bigoplus_{I \subset (f,X,Y)} E_0(f) \to E_0(Z,W) \to 0 \to \cdots.\]

By Lemma 4.8, the non-trivial map in the above complex is surjective. Therefore

\[(27) \quad H_i^1(A/p) = \begin{cases} \ker(\bigoplus_{I \subset (f,X,Y)} E_0(f) \to E_0(Z,W)), & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 1. \end{cases} \]

For instance, if $I = (Z,X,Y)$, the above complex becomes

\[\cdots \to 0 \to E_0(Z) \to E_0(Z, W) \to 0 \to \cdots.\]

As a $\kappa[W]_{(W)}$-module, $H^1_{(Z,X,Y)}(A/p)$ is generated freely by $\Omega^0_2(Z^sW)$, where $s \leq 0$.

5.2. Normal Module. We would like to make explicit the canonical isomorphism

\[(28) \quad \Hom_A(p/p^2, A/p) \to \Ext^1_A(A/p, A/p)\]

in terms of the injective resolution \[\text{(22)}, \] of $A/p$. Note that the canonical map

\[\Hom_A(p/p^2, A/p) \to \Hom_A(p, A/p)\]
is an isomorphism. We describe an isomorphism between \( \text{Ext}^1_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \) and \( \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \) to establish (28).

We compute \( \text{Ext}^1_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \) by applying the functor \( \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{p}, -) \) to (22).

By Proposition 3.12, \( \text{Ext}^1_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \) is the cohomology of the complex

\[
E_0(0) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \bigoplus_{q \neq (Z,W)} E_0(q) \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E_0(q).
\]

By Lemma 4.9, it is also the kernel of

\[
E_0(0) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} E_0(f).
\]

Explicitly,

\[
\text{Ext}^1_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq \{ \Omega^0_0(gZ^2W^2) | g \in \kappa[Z,W]_{(Z,W)} \} \subset E_0(0).
\]

Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) & \xrightarrow{} & \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{p}, E(0)) \\
E_0(0) & \xrightarrow{} & E(0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{q \neq (Z,W)} E_0(q) & \xrightarrow{} & \bigoplus_{q \neq (Z,W)} E(q) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E_0(q) & \xrightarrow{} & \bigoplus_{q \neq (0)} E(q) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\end{array}
\]

obtained by applying the Hom functors on the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathfrak{p} \to A \to A/\mathfrak{p} \to 0
\]

and using (22) to establish the vertical maps. Chasing the above diagram, we get an isomorphism

\[
\text{Ext}^1_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}) \to \text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{p}),
\]

which maps \( \Omega^0_0(gZ^2W^2) \) to the \( A \)-linear map \( \mathfrak{p} \to A/\mathfrak{p} \) determined by

\[
X \mapsto gZ \quad \text{and} \quad Y \mapsto gW
\]

for \( g \in \kappa[Z,W]_{(Z,W)} \).
5.3. Yoneda algebra. First we compute \( \text{Ext}^*_A(A/p, A/p) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Ext}^i_A(A/p, A/p) \).

In Subsection 5.2, we have seen that

\[
\text{Ext}^1_A(A/p, A/p) \simeq \{ \Omega^0_0(gZ^2W^2) | g \in \kappa[Z,W](Z,W) \}.
\]

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
e_0 &= \Omega^0_0(ZW) \in E(0), \\
e_1 &= \Omega^0_0(Z^2W^2) \in \oplus \ E(q), \\
e_2 &= \Omega^0_0(W) \in \oplus \ E(q), \\
e_{2n} &= 0 \oplus \Omega^0_1(1) \text{ in the } 2n\text{-th term } E(Z,W)^2 \text{ of (22),}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n > 1 \) be (the equivalence classes of) the cycles of the complex (22). It should be pointed out that all the above \( e_i \) represent non-trivial cohomology classes in \( \text{Ext}^i_A(A/p, A/p) \).

For each \( e_j \), we define

\[ \iota_j : A/p \rightarrow \text{the } j\text{-th term of (22)} \]

to be the map sending 1 to \( e_j \), in which \( \iota_0 \) is the embedding making \( (22) \) in Theorem 4.12 an injective resolution of \( A/p \).

The following Lemma 5.1 describes \( \text{Ext}^*(A/p, A/p) \) as an \( A \)-module using independent generators \( e_i \). Later in Proposition 5.3, we will present \( \text{Ext}^*(A/p, A/p) \) as an \( A \)-algebra.

**Lemma 5.1.** As an \( A \)-module, the Yoneda algebra \( \text{Ext}^*_A(A/p, A/p) \) is generated by \( e_0, e_1, e_2, e_4, e_6, \cdots \). The annihilators of \( e_0 \) and \( e_1 \) are \( p \); for \( i > 0 \), the annihilator of \( e_{2i} \) is \( p + AZ + AW \).

**Proof.** It is clear that \( \text{Ext}^0_0(A/p, A/p) \) is generated by \( e_0 \), whose annihilator is \( p \).

The module \( \text{Ext}^1_A(A/p, A/p) \) has been treated in Subsection 5.2.

As seen in Subsection 5.2, for all \( i \geq 2 \), \( \text{Ext}^i_A(A/p, A/p) \) is a cohomology module of the complex

\[
E_0(0) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \oplus_{j \neq 0} E_0(f) \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \oplus \pi_{12}} E_0(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\iota_3} E_0(Z,W)^2 \rightarrow \cdots.
\]

For \( n \geq 2 \), \( \text{Ext}^{2n+1}_A(A/p, A/p) = 0 \), since the complex

\[
E_0(Z,W)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow E_0(Z,W)^2 \begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow E_0(Z,W)^2
\]

is exact. The exactness of the above sequence means that the kernel of \( \begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \)

consists of elements of the form \( Z\Psi \oplus W\Psi \), where \( \Psi \in E_0(Z,W) \). By Lemma 4.3, these elements are in the image of \( W(\pi_1^1 \oplus \pi_2^2) = (Zd_2^1) \oplus (Wd_2^1) \). Therefore

\[
E_0(Z,W)^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_1^1 \oplus \pi_2^2} E_0(Z,W)^2 \rightarrow E_0(Z,W)^2
\]

is exact and \( \text{Ext}^3_A(A/p, A/p) = 0 \) as well.

The cohomology of the complex

\[
E_0(Z,W)^2 \begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow E_0(Z,W)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow E_0(Z,W)^2
\]

The module \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) \) has been treated in Subsection 5.2.
is generated by the element in \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) \) represented by \( 0 \oplus \Omega^0(1) \). Therefore \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) = Ae_{2n} \) for \( n \geq 2 \). The element \( e_{2n} \) is non-zero and annihilated by \( Z, W \) and \( p \). Its annihilator is hence \( p + AZ + AW \).

As seen in the proof of Lemma 4.9, if \( Z, W \notin (f) \), elements of \( E_0(f) \) can be written as
\[
\Omega^0_f(\varphi Z^s f^t),
\]
where \( \varphi \in \kappa[Z, W]_{(Z, W)} \), \( s \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( t \leq -1 \). Since
\[
\Omega^0_f(\varphi Z^s f^t) = \pi^0 \Omega^0_0(\varphi Z^s f^t) - \Omega^0_2(\varphi Z^{s-1} f^t) - \Omega^0_W(\varphi Z^s f^t W^{-1}),
\]
to compute \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) \), we may restrict \( \pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12} \) to \( E_0(Z) \oplus E_0(W) \). Multiplied by an element in \( \kappa[Z, W] \setminus (Z, W) \), an element in \( E_0(Z) \oplus E_0(W) \) can be written as the form
\[
\sum_{s \leq 0} a_{st} \Omega^0_Z(Z^s W^t) + \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{st} \Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t).
\]
Since
\[
\Omega^0_Z(Z^s W^t) = \pi^0 \Omega^0_0(Z^{s+1} W^t) - \Omega^0_2(Z^{s+1} W^{t-1})
\]
and
\[
\Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t) = \pi^0 \Omega^0_0(Z^{s+1} W^{t+1}) \quad \text{for} \quad s > 1,
\]
to compute \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) \), we may work on elements of the form
\[
\sum_{s \leq 1} b_{st} \Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t)
\]
and assume that it is in the kernel of \( \pi^{11} \oplus \pi^{12} \).
\[
\pi^{11} \left( \sum_{s \leq 1} b_{st} \Omega^0_W(Z^s W^t) \right) = 0
\]
implies \( b_{st} = 0 \) for all \( s, t \leq 0 \). Furthermore,
\[
\pi^{12} \left( \sum_{t \leq 0} b_{1t} \Omega^0_W(Z W^t) \right) = 0
\]
implies \( b_{1t} = 0 \) for all \( t \leq -1 \). Therefore, \( b_{10} \) is the only possible non-zero coefficient and \( \text{Ext}^2_A(A/p, A/p) = Ae_2 \). Clearly, \( e_2 \) is annihilated by \( W \) and \( p \). Since \( Z e_2 = \pi^0 \Omega^0_0(Z^2 W) \), it is also annihilated by \( Z \). Finally, \( e_2 \) is non-zero, so its annihilator is \( p + AW + AZ \).

Now we compute the Yoneda pairing
\[
\text{Ext}^i_A(A/p, A/p) \times \text{Ext}^j_A(A/p, A/p) \to \text{Ext}^{i+j}_A(A/p, A/p).
\]
Since the pairing is \( A \)-bilinear, we only need to compute \( e_i \times e_j \).
Lemma 5.2.

\[ e_i \times e_j = \begin{cases} 
  e_i, & \text{if } j = 0; \\
  e_j, & \text{if } i = 0; \\
  0, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1, \text{ but } ij \neq 0; \\
  -e_{i+j}, & \text{if } ij \neq 0 \text{ and } i, j \text{ are both even.}
\end{cases} \]

Proof. To compute \( e_2 \times e_2 \), we need to construct a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A/p \xrightarrow{i_0} E(0) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & \oplus E(q) \\
& \xrightarrow{\delta^1} & \oplus E(q) \\
& \xrightarrow{q \neq m} & E(Z, W)^2 \\
& \xrightarrow{q \neq (0)} & E(Z, W)^2
\end{array}
\]

(29)

It is straightforward to check that the diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A/p \xrightarrow{i_0} E(0) & \xrightarrow{d^0} & (\oplus E(f)) \oplus E(Z) \oplus E(W) \\
& \xrightarrow{d_{W}} & M_{23} \\
& \xrightarrow{d_{W}^{-1}} & E(Z, W)^2
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\oplus E(f)) \oplus E(Z) \oplus E(W) & \xrightarrow{M_{23}} & E(Z, W) \oplus (\oplus E(f)) \oplus E(Z) \oplus E(W) \\
& \xrightarrow{M_{43}} & E(Z, W)^2
\end{array}
\]

are commutative, where

\[
M_{23} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
-\oplus d_{f}^1 / W & -d_{Z}^1 / W & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d_{W}^1 / Z
\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
M_{24} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \oplus d_{f}^1 Z / W & -d_{Z}^1 W / Z \\
0 & 0 & -d_{W}^1 Z / W
\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
M_{43} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
-\oplus d_{f}^1 & d_{Z}^1 & d_{W}^1 \\
-\oplus d_{f}^1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -Z^\Delta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -W^\Delta
\end{array} \right).
\]

We define the vertical maps in (29) to be those in the above and zero maps if a certain component is not included above. The product \( e_2 \times e_2 \) is the image of \( e_2 \) under the map \( M_{24} \), which equals \(-e_4\).
We use the same method to compute other $e_i \times e_j$. For $i > 1$, the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
A/p & \longrightarrow & E(0) & \longrightarrow \oplus E(f_x) \\
\downarrow{\iota_x} & & \downarrow{d_x} & \downarrow{M_{23}} \\
E(Z,W)^2 & \longrightarrow & E(Z,W)^2 & \longrightarrow E(Z,W)^2 \oplus M_{24}
\end{array}
$$

commutes. Therefore $e_2 \times e_{2i} = -e_{2i+2}$ for $i > 1$. The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\oplus_{q \neq 0}(E(q)) & \longrightarrow & E(Z,W)^2 & \longrightarrow E(Z,W)^2 \\
\downarrow{M_{24}} & & \downarrow{\delta^2} & \downarrow{E(Z,W)^2} \\
E(Z,W)^2 & \longrightarrow & E(Z,W)^2 & \longrightarrow E(Z,W)^2 \oplus \cdots
\end{array}
$$

commutes. Therefore $e_{2j} \times e_{2i} = -e_{2i+2j}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $j > 1$. The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
A/p & \longrightarrow & E(0) & \longrightarrow \oplus_{f \neq 0, z,w} E(f_x) \\
\downarrow{\iota_z} & & \downarrow{d_z} & \downarrow{\pi_z} \\
E(0) & \longrightarrow & E(0) & \longrightarrow \oplus_{f \neq 0, z,w} E(f_x) \oplus E(Z) \oplus E(W)
\end{array}
$$

commutes and $\iota_z$ has image in $E(0)$. Therefore $e_1 \times e_1 = 0$.

\begin{flushright}
\[\text{Ext}_{A}^{2i+1}(A/p, A/p) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1. \] \end{flushright}

Therefore $e_1 \times e_2 = e_{2i} \times e_1 = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. It is easy to see that $e_0 \times e_i = e_i \times e_0 = e_i$.

Proposition 5.3. The Yoneda algebra $\text{Ext}_{A}^n(A/p, A/p)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $A/p[U, V]$ modulo the ideal generated by $ZV$, $WV$, $U^2$ and $UV$.

Proof. All $e_i$ are annihilated by $p$. So there is an $A$-algebra homomorphism

$$
A/p[U, V] \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A}^n(A/p, A/p)
$$

given by $1 \rightarrow e_0$, $U \rightarrow e_1$ and $V \rightarrow e_2$. Since $\text{Ext}_{A}^1(A/p, A/p)$ is generated by $e_i$ and $(-1)^{n+1} e_{2n} = e_2^n$ (the Yoneda product of $n$ copies of $e_2$), the homomorphism is surjective. By Lemma 5.1, the kernel of the homomorphism is generated by $ZV$, $WV$, $U^2$ and $UV$. \hfill \Box

Corollary 5.4. The Yoneda algebra $\text{Ext}_{A}^n(A/p, A/p)$ is commutative and finitely generated.

5.4. Dutta, Hochster and McLaughlin’s Module. We recall the definition of the module $M$ given by Dutta, Hochster and McLaughlin [2]. As a $\kappa$-vector space, it is 15-dimensional:

$$
M = (\kappa u_1 + \cdots + \kappa u_5) + (\kappa v_1 + \cdots + \kappa v_4) + (\kappa w_1 + \cdots + \kappa w_6).
$$

Its module structure is given by

$$
Xu_i = Y u_i = Z u_i = W u_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, \cdots , 5)
$$
Note that all monomials of degree greater than one act on the basis $u_i$, $v_j$, $w_k$ trivially except the following cases.

| $Xw_1 = v_1$ | $Yw_1 = u_3$ | $Zw_1 = 0$ | $Ww_1 = u_1$ |
| $Xw_2 = v_2$ | $Yw_2 = u_4$ | $Zw_2 = 0$ | $Ww_2 = u_2$ |
| $Xw_3 = v_3$ | $Yw_3 = u_5$ | $Zw_3 = v_1$ | $Ww_3 = 0$ |
| $Xw_4 = v_4$ | $Yw_4 = 0$ | $Zw_4 = v_2$ | $Ww_4 = u_3$ |
| $Xw_5 = u_4$ | $Yw_5 = 0$ | $Zw_5 = v_3$ | $Ww_5 = u_4$ |
| $Xw_6 = u_5$ | $Yw_6 = 0$ | $Zw_6 = u_3 + v_4$ | $Ww_6 = u_5$. |

An $A$-linear homomorphism $\Phi$ from $M$ to an $A$-module $N$ is determined by its values at $w_1, \cdots w_6$ and satisfies the conditions

$$Z\Phi(w_1) = Z\Phi(w_2) = W\Phi(w_3) = Y\Phi(w_4) = Y\Phi(w_5) = Y\Phi(w_6) = 0$$

$$X\Phi(w_1) = Z\Phi(w_3)$$
$$X\Phi(w_2) = Z\Phi(w_4)$$
$$X\Phi(w_3) = Z\Phi(w_5)$$
$$Y\Phi(w_1) = W\Phi(w_4)$$
$$X\Phi(w_5) = Y\Phi(w_2) = W\Phi(w_5)$$
$$X\Phi(w_6) = Y\Phi(w_3) = W\Phi(w_6)$$
$$Z\Phi(w_6) = Y\Phi(w_1) + X\Phi(w_4)$$

and the condition that all monomials of degree greater than one act trivially on $\Phi(w_i)$ except $X^2\Phi(w_1)$, $XZ\Phi(w_3)$, $Z^2\Phi(w_5)$, $X^2\Phi(w_2)$, $XZ\Phi(w_4)$, $Z^2\Phi(w_6)$. Any six elements $\Phi(w_1), \cdots, \Phi(w_6) \in N$ satisfying the above conditions extend uniquely to an $A$-linear map $\Phi: M \to N$. Note that some of these conditions are redundant.

**Lemma 5.5.** $\text{Hom}_A(M, E(f)) = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, E(f))$. If $Z \notin (f)$, multiplication by $Z$ is bijective. Thus

$$Z\Phi(\omega_1) = Z\Phi(\omega_2) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_1) = \Phi(\omega_2) = 0$$
$$Z\Phi(\omega_3) = X\Phi(\omega_1) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_3) = 0$$
$$Z\Phi(\omega_4) = X\Phi(\omega_2) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_4) = 0$$
$$Z\Phi(\omega_5) = X\Phi(\omega_3) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_5) = 0$$
$$Z^2\Phi(\omega_6) = W\Phi(\omega_2) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_6) = 0$$
If $f = Z$, multiplication by $W$ is bijective. Thus

\[ W \Phi(\omega_3) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_3) = 0 \]
\[ W \Phi(\omega_6) = Y \Phi(\omega_3) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_6) = 0 \]
\[ W \Phi(\omega_2) = Z^2 \Phi(\omega_6) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_2) = 0 \]
\[ W \Phi(\omega_5) = Y \Phi(\omega_2) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_5) = 0 \]
\[ W \Phi(\omega_1) = Z^2 \Phi(\omega_5) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_1) = 0 \]
\[ W \Phi(\omega_4) = Y \Phi(\omega_1) = 0 \implies \Phi(\omega_4) = 0 \]

In either case, $\Phi = 0$. \qed

Now we compute $M' := \text{Hom}_A(M, E(Z, W))$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$, let

\[ \Phi_i(w_j) := \delta_{ij} \Omega^0(1). \]

Furthermore, for $1 \leq j \leq 6$, let

\[ \Phi_{13}(\omega_j) := X^{-1} \Phi_1(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} \Phi_3(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{24}(\omega_j) := X^{-1} \Phi_2(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} \Phi_4(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{35}(\omega_j) := X^{-1} \Phi_3(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} \Phi_5(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{46}(\omega_j) := X^{-1} \Phi_4(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} \Phi_6(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{14}(\omega_j) := Y^{-1} \Phi_1(\omega_j) + (W^{-1} - X^{-1}) \Phi_4(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{25}(\omega_j) := Y^{-1} \Phi_2(\omega_j) + (W^{-1} + X^{-1}) \Phi_5(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{36}(\omega_j) := Y^{-1} \Phi_3(\omega_j) + (W^{-1} + X^{-1}) \Phi_6(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{135}(\omega_j) := (W^{-1} + X^{-2}) \Phi_1(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} X^{-1} \Phi_3(\omega_j) + Z^{-2} \Phi_5(\omega_j), \]
\[ \Phi_{246}(\omega_j) := (W^{-1} + X^{-2}) \Phi_2(\omega_j) + Z^{-1} X^{-1} \Phi_4(\omega_j) + Z^{-2} \Phi_6(\omega_j). \]

For $1 \leq i \leq 6$, it is straightforward to check that $\Phi_i(w_j)$ satisfy the conditions prior to Lemma 5.6. For $i \in \{13, 24, 35, 46, 14, 25, 36, 135, 246\}$, we use the following facts to check these conditions for $\Phi_i(w_j)$.

- Divisions or multiplications by powers of different variables on $E(Z, W)$ are commutative. For example, $X^i Y^j \Psi = Y^j X^i \Psi$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- The divisions by a power of a single variable satisfy the properties: $X^i X^{-j} \Psi = X^{i-j} \Psi$ for $i, j > 0$ and the same for $Y$, $Z$ and $W$. However, $X^{-1} X \Psi \neq \Psi$ in general.

All these $\Phi_i$ extend to well-defined elements in $M'$.

\textbf{Lemma 5.6.}

\[ \{ \Phi_i | i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 24, 35, 46, 14, 25, 36, 135, 246\} \} \]

is a basis for the $\kappa$-vector space $M'$.

\textbf{Proof.} Clearly, $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6$ are linearly independent. Assume that

\[ \Phi = \sum_i a_i \Phi_i = 0 \quad (a_i \in \kappa). \]

Since $Z^2 \Phi = W \Phi = \Phi = 0$, we evaluate the left hand side of the above equality at $\omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6$ and obtain

\[ a_{135} = a_{246} = 0, \]
\[ a_{14} = a_{25} = a_{36} = 0, \]
\[ a_{13} = a_{24} = a_{35} = a_{46} = 0. \]
The kernel of $\Phi_i$ is generated by $(0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0), (4, 0), (5, 0), (6, 0)$. Therefore $\{\Phi_{14}, \Phi_{25}, \Phi_{36}, \Phi_{135}, \Phi_{246}\}$ is a minimal generating set for $M'$. \hfill \Box

Proposition 5.8. As an $A$-module, the minimal number of generators for $M'$ is 5.

Proof. $(X, Y, Z, W)M'$ as a vector space is generated by $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6, \Phi_{13}, \Phi_{24}, \Phi_{35}, \Phi_{46}$. Therefore $\{\Phi_{14}, \Phi_{25}, \Phi_{36}, \Phi_{135}, \Phi_{246}\}$ is a minimal generating set for $M'$. \hfill \Box

Now we compute $\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M, A/p)$.

Proposition 5.8.

$$\dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M, A/p) = \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } i = 2; \\ 7, & \text{if } i = 3; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$, $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{2n}(M, A/p)$ is the cohomology of

$$M'^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix}} M'^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Y & W \end{pmatrix}} M'^2.$$

To simplify the notation, we write $(i, j)$ for the element $(\Phi_i, \Phi_j) \in M'^2$. The kernel of $\begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Y & W \end{pmatrix}$ is generated by $(35, -13), (46, -24), (i, 0), (0, i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq 6$. The image of $\begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix}$ is generated by $(4, -1), (5, -2), (6, -3), (1, 0), (2, 0), (-3, 1), (-4, 2), (-5, 3), (-6, 4), (0, -4), (0, 5), (0, 6), (-35, 13), (-46, 24)$. Since

$$
\begin{array}{l}
(0, -3) = (6, -3) + (-6, 4) + (0, -4), \\
(0, -2) = (5, -2) + (-5, 3) + (0, -3), \\
(0, -1) = (4, -1) + (-4, 2) + (0, -2), \\
(-3, 0) = (-3, 1) + (0, -1), \\
(-4, 0) = (-4, 2) + (0, -2), \\
(-5, 0) = (-5, 3) + (0, -3), \\
(-6, 0) = (-6, 4) + (0, -4),
\end{array}
$$

all $(0, i)$ and $(i, 0)$ are contained in the image of $\begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{2n}(M, A/p) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

For $n \geq 2$, $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{2n+1}(M, A/p)$ is the cohomology of

$$M'^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Y & W \end{pmatrix}} M'^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix}} M'^2.$$

The kernel of $\begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix}$ is generated by $(13, 0), (24, 0), (35, 0), (46, 0)$ and $(i, 0), (0, i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq 6$. The image of $\begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Y & W \end{pmatrix}$ is generated by $(1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0), (4, 0), (-4, 1), (5, 2), (6, 3), (13, 0), (24, 0), (5, 0), (6, 0), (0, 4), (0, 5), (0, 6), (35, 1), (46, 2)$. Clearly $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{2n+1}(M, A/p) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.
Ext^3_A(M, A/p) is the cohomology of
\[ M' \xrightarrow{(\frac{x}{y})} M'^2 \xrightarrow{(-\frac{w}{y} - \frac{z}{x})} M'^2. \]
The image of \( \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \) is generated by (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0), (4, 0), (-4, 1), (5, 2), (6, 3), (13, 0), (24, 0). Ext^3_A(M, A/p) is generated by the classes represented by (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 4), (0, 5), (0, 6), (35, 0), (46, 0). In particular, dim_k Ext^3_A(M, A/p) = 7.
Ext^2_A(M, A/p) has a basis \( \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_6 \), so dim_k Ext^2_A(M, A/p) = 6. It is easy to see that Ext^1_A(M, A/p) = Ext^1_A(M, A/p) = 0. This completes the proof of the proposition.
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