Identification of Cytotoxic Drugs That Selectively Target Tumor Cells with MYC Overexpression
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Abstract

Expression of MYC is deregulated in a wide range of human cancers, and is often associated with aggressive disease and poorly differentiated tumor cells. Identification of compounds with selectivity for cells overexpressing MYC would hence be beneficial for the treatment of these tumors. For this purpose we used cell lines with conditional MYCN or c-MYC expression, to screen a library of 80 conventional cytotoxic compounds for their ability to reduce tumor cell viability and/or growth in a MYC dependent way. We found that 25% of the studied compounds induced apoptosis and/or inhibited proliferation in a MYC-specific manner. The activities of the majority of these were enhanced both by c-MYC or MYCN over-expression. Interestingly, these compounds were acting on distinct cellular targets, including microtubules (paclitaxel, podophyllotoxin, vinblastine) and topoisomerases (10-hydroxycamptothecin, camptothecin, daunorubicin, doxorubicin, etoposide) as well as DNA, RNA and protein synthesis and turnover (anisomycin, aphidicholin, gliotoxin, MG132, methotrexate, mitomycin C). Our data indicate that MYC overexpression sensitizes cells to disruption of specific pathways and that in most cases c-MYC and MYCN overexpression have similar effects on the responses to cytotoxic compounds. Treatment of the cells with topoisomerase I inhibitors led to down-regulation of MYC protein levels, while doxorubicin and the small molecule MYRA-A was found to disrupt MYC-Max interaction. We conclude that the MYC pathway is only targeted by a subset of conventional cytotoxic drugs currently used in the clinic. Elucidating the mechanisms underlying their specificity towards MYC may be of importance for optimizing treatment of tumors with MYC deregulation. Our data also underscores that MYC is an attractive target for novel therapies and that cellular screenings of chemical libraries can be a powerful tool for identifying compounds with a desired biological activity.

Introduction

The MYC transcription factor is one of the most potent and commonly deregulated oncoproteins in human cancers [1,2]. It is a member of the basic helix-loop-helix/leucine zipper (bHLH-Zip) family of transcriptional regulators, and requires heterodimerization with the Max protein for DNA binding to promote its biological functions [3,4]. In addition to its role in cell transformation, MYC plays an important role in the regulation of cellular functions such as cell cycle progression, cell growth, apoptosis, proliferation, differentiation, angiogenesis and maintenance of genetic stability [2,3,5]. Moreover, the dual role of the MYC family members in regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis implicate them as therapeutic targets [6,7].

Deregulation of the MYC family members c-MYC, MYCN and MYCL are implicated in the genesis of human cancers and is frequently strongly correlated with poor prognosis [1,2]. In Burkitt lymphoma (BL), c-MYC is translocated to one of the immunoglobulin loci resulting in its constitutive expression [8]. In many solid tumors, MYC is instead amplified or otherwise deregulated. For example, amplification of MYCN is a hallmark of neuroblastoma (NB), and is also observed in other tumors including medulloblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, glioma and lung cancer (for review see [1,9]. Current treatment regimens for patients with BL includes a battery of cytotoxic drugs such as alkylating agents, microtubule-targeting agents, topoisomerase inhibitors and anti-biotics together with the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) inhibitor methotrexate [10–12]. Despite documented efficacy of current treatment schemes for BL, these are often associated with undesired and sometimes severe side-effects [10,12]. Treatment schemes for NB patients consist of multimodal therapy, combining surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, complemented in some cases with bone marrow transplantation, depending on disease stage. Chemotherapeutic treatment mainly involves combinations of alkylating agents, microtubule-targeting agents, topoisomerase inhibitors, and antibiotics. MYCN-amplification is associated with the most aggressive phenotype of neuroblastoma. The survival rate for high-risk NB is approximately 30% despite advances in treatment strategies. Typically neuroblastomas are initially sensitive to cytotoxic agents, but drug resistance often develops in high-risk disease. Current efforts are trying to avoid or overcome this effect [13–16]. Thus, identification of novel non cross-resistant...
therapies and more tumor-specific therapies with less severe side effects are needed. In the case of BL and MYCN-amplified NB, drugs that specifically target cells with deregulated MYC may provide such a treatment.

MYC overexpression has been shown to sensitize cells to apoptosis in response to a variety of cellular stresses, including death receptor ligation, hypoxia, DNA damage and cytotoxic drugs. The pro-apoptotic role of MYC overexpression is mainly exhibited when survival factors are limiting. Several apoptosis signaling pathways such as the p14/ARF-p53 pathway are activated by MYC overexpression. In addition, there is a pro-apoptotic shift in the balance among the Bcl-2 family proteins (reviewed in [17]). The pro-apoptotic function of overexpressed MYC is often overcome during tumor development by various additional lesions that block apoptosis. These anti-apoptotic changes may also contribute to drug resistance [18]. Hence, the role of MYC in drug responses is not clarified and there are conflicting data regarding the effect of MYC overexpression on the sensitivity to cytotoxic agents [19–29]. Identification of pathways that MYC overexpressing cancer cells, but not normal cells, depend upon for their survival is an attractive strategy for understanding the best way of treating MYC-deregulated cancers. Here we used a library of 80 cytotoxic compounds with defined mechanisms of action in order to identify drugs, which are more potent in cells that overexpress MYC compared to those with normal levels. Using the human B-cell derived p493-6 and the human NB-derived Tet21 N cell lines with conditional expression of c-MYC and MYCN respectively, we show that a limited number of agents, acting through distinct mechanisms, are more effective in MYC overexpressing tumor cells.

Results

MYC overexpression enhances the effect of a limited set of conventional cytotoxic drugs

To analyze the impact of deregulated MYC expression on the effect of conventional cytotoxic drugs with known mechanisms of action, we screened a library of 80 selected compounds used in the clinic or for research purposes (Table S1). The amount of viable cells after 48 h treatment using 1 μM of each drug was evaluated in order to identify drugs, which resulted in fewer viable cells in MYCN overexpressing Tet21 N cells or in c-MYC overexpressing p493-6 cells as compared to cells in which MYC was turned off. Using a difference of 30% as cut-off, 15 drugs scored positive in both cell lines (Table 1). In addition, four drugs (actinomycin D, cycloheximide, geldanamycin and 17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin) scored positive only in the MYCN overexpressing cells whereas aphidicolin was the single drug that was more effective only in the c-MYC overexpressing cells. In summary, there was a large overlap of positive hits between the two cellular systems used, indicating that overexpression of either c-MYC or MYCN in different cellular contexts results in similar response to treatment with cytotoxic drugs.

Although the drugs showing potentiated effect by MYC overexpression acted on different cellular targets, there was enrichment for compounds belonging to certain drug classes. Not surprisingly many drugs targeting cycling cells were potentiated by MYC overexpression. All three microtubule-targeting agents included in the library had a greater effect when either c-MYC or MYCN was overexpressed. In addition, 5 out of 7 topoisomerase inhibitors were more than 30% more effective when either MYCN or c-MYC was overexpressed (Figure 1A, Table 1, Table S1). The remaining two topoisomerase II inhibitors, Ellipticine and ICRF-193, showed some potentiation by MYC overexpression but failed to reach our cut-off (Table S1). Furthermore, both proteasome inhibitors included were selected by the screen, as were both protein synthesis inhibitors, although the effect of cycloheximide was only potentiated in the MYCN overexpressing cells. In addition, drugs inhibiting DNA and RNA synthesis were selected. The effect of the two HSP90 inhibitors analyzed were both potentiated in the MYCN overexpressing Tet21 N cells only (Table 1 and Table S1). In conclusion, our data suggested that MYC overexpression potentiated the effect of drugs acting through several different, yet specific sets of mechanisms.

Effect of MYC overexpression on apoptosis induced by the cytotoxic compounds

Since there seemed to be selectivity in the mechanisms of the drugs whose effect could be potentiated by MYC overexpression, we chose to further investigate the effect of four of the topoisomerase inhibitors (Camptothecin [CPT], 10-hydroxy camptothecin [OH-CPT], Etoposide [ETO] and Doxorubicin [DXR]) and the three microtubule-targeting agents (Paclitaxel [TAX], Podophyllotoxin [POD] and Vinblastine [VBL]). The histone deacetylase inhibitor Trichostatin-A (TSA) was also included (Figure S1). To validate the MYC dependent effect we determined the concentration dependence and IC50 values of these drugs in the two screening assays used. All drugs displayed a concentration dependent effect over the range of concentrations examined (0.5 nM to 15 μM), except for TAX in p493-6 cells (Table 2, Figure S2). The IC50 values for the four topoisomerase inhibitors and the HDAC inhibitor TSA were all statistically significantly lower in c-MYC/MYCN overexpressing cells (p<0.05) compared to the low expressing counterparts. The three microtubule-targeting drugs also showed lower IC50 values in the MYC overexpressing cells but this did in most cases not reach a statistical significance (Table 2). Thus, MYC overexpression enhanced the response to the majority of the selected drugs over a broad range of concentrations.

The crystal violet and WST-1 assays used in the screen measure the combined effect of the drugs on cell growth inhibition and cell death (Figure 1A, B). Since MYC promotes proliferation and MYC overexpression can sensitize cell to apoptosis, the influence of MYC expression on the outcome of these drugs could be due to effects on either of these processes. To determine the effect of MYC overexpression on the induction of apoptosis, we quantified cells with subG1 DNA content in drug-treated p493-6 and Tet21 N cells in the presence or absence of doxycycline (Figure 1C, D). In p493-6 cells, TSA and the three microtubule-targeting agents (TAX, POD VBL) induced significantly more apoptosis when c-MYC was overexpressed. The three topoisomerase inhibitors (10-OH-CPT, DXR and ETO) showed a similar tendency but this failed to reach statistical significance. In the Tet21 N cells on the other hand, even though all drugs induced apoptosis to variable extent, only TSA induced significantly more apoptosis in the MYCN overexpression setting. This indicated that the difference seen in the crystal violet assay depending on MYCN status (Figure 1B) at this drug concentration was due to effects on proliferation, or alternatively due to modes of cell death not involving DNA fragmentation.

The p33-deficient MYCN-amplified NB cell line SK-N-BE(2) efficiently forms colonies when plated in soft agar. All drugs, with the exception of ETO, completely inhibited anchorage independent growth in soft agar of these cells at a concentration of 1 μM (Figure 2). Increasing the ETO concentration to 10 μM also resulted in complete inhibition of colony formation (data not shown). This shows that the selected drugs could target MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma, independently of p33 activation.
Inhibition of topoisomerase I decreases c-MYC expression levels

Experimental models have shown that some tumors can be dependent on sustained expression of MYC, referred to as oncogene addiction. Hence withdrawal of MYC can lead to tumor regression associated with tumor cell death, senescence and/or differentiation [30–32]. With this in mind we wanted to understand if the enhanced efficacy of some of the compounds observed in MYC overexpressing cells could be due to inhibition of MYC function. We therefore monitored the effects of drug treatment on c-MYC protein expression. Western blot analysis of c-MYC revealed a decrease in the c-MYC protein levels after treatment with the topoisomerase I inhibitors CPT and OH-CPT, as well as with the HDAC inhibitor TSA and to a lesser extent DXR (Figure 3A, C). In contrast, we could not observe any significant effect on c-MYC protein levels after treatment with ETO or with any of the microtubule-targeting agents. If anything, there was a slight increase in protein levels (Figure 4A, B).

Since c-MYC is expressed from a Tet-responsive promoter in the p493-6 cells the effect of the drugs on c-MYC expression levels could be due to unspecific effects on the Tet-OFF system. To rule this out we analyzed whether any of the drugs affected the luciferase signal in a cell line expressing the firefly luciferase gene under the control of a Tet-responsive promoter (CHO AA8-Luc Tet-OFF). Doxycycline-treatment led, as expected, to a robust decrease in the luciferase activity (Figure S3). In contrast, we could not detect any decrease in the luciferase signal after treatment with 1 μM of any of the cytotoxic drugs tested, verifying that they did not mimic the effect of doxycycline in the Tet-OFF system (Figure S3). In addition we treated the BL cell lines Raji and Daudi with CPT and OH-CPT to analyze effects on MYC expression. Treatment with 1 μM of each drug for 48 h resulted in a similar decrease in c-MYC levels in these BL cell lines as in the p493-6 cells (Figure 4A and data not shown). Together these experiments show that the observed down-regulation of c-MYC in the p493-6 was not due to unspecific effects on the Tet-OFF system but worked through an alternative mechanism.

To validate that the decreased c-MYC levels after topoisomerase I inhibition was not simply due to cell death we monitored kinetics of c-MYC regulation and cell death induction after treatment of p493-6 cells with OH-CPT. Upon treatment with 1 μM of the topoisomerase I inhibitor c-MYC down-regulation was evident already within 6 h (Figure 4A), while apoptosis induction was observed at low levels after 24 h and was fully evident only after 48 h (Figure 4B). Furthermore, treatment with

| SUBSTANCE                     | TARGET/EFFECT                                      | ASSAY*          |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Potentiation by MYCN and c-MYC|                                                    | Tet21 N (MYCN) | p493-6 (c-MYC) |
| Mitomycin C                   | alkylating agent                                   | ++              |
| Methotrexate                  | dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) inhibitor (inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis) | +               |
| Trichostatin-A                | histone deacteylasase inhibitor                    | ++              |
| Anisomycin                    | protein synthesis inhibitor                         | ++              |
| Staurosporine                 | kinase inhibitor                                    | +++             |
| Gliotoxin                     | inhibitor of 20 S proteasea chymotrypsin activity   | ++              |
| MG-132                        | proteasome inhibitor                                | +++             |
| Camptothecin                  | topoisomerase I inhibitor                           | +++             |
| 10-Hydroxycamptothecin        | topoisomerase I inhibitor                           | +++             |
| Daunorubicin                  | DNA intercalator. Inhibits topoisomerase I and II, RNA and DNA synthesis inhibitor. | +++             |
| Doxorubicin                   | DNA intercalator. Inhibits topoisomerase I and II, RNA and DNA synthesis inhibitor. | +++             |
| Etoposide                     | topoisomerase II poison                            | ++              |
| Podophyllotoxin               | tubulin polymerization inhibitor                    | +++             |
| Paclitaxel                    | microtubule stabilizer                              | +++             |
| Vinblastine                   | tubulin inhibitor                                   | +++             |
| Potentiation by MYCN          |                                                    |                |
| Actinomycin D                 | RNA synthesis inhibitor                             | +               |
| Cycloheximide                 | protein synthesis inhibitor                         | +               |
| 17-Allylamino-geldanamycin    | HSP-90 inhibitor                                    | +               |
| Geldanamycin                  | HSP90 inhibitor                                     | +               |
| Potentiation by c-MYC         |                                                    |                |
| Aphidicolin                   | DNA polymerase inhibitor (inhibition of DNA synthesis) | +               |

*Decrease in number of viable cells expressed as % inhibition in MYC-ON cells compared to MYC-OFF cells, calculated as the difference between (optical density [OD] for drug treated MYC-OFF cells)/(OD for DMSO treated MYC-OFF cells) and (OD for drug treated MYC-ON cells)/(OD for DMSO treated MYC-ON cells).

10–35% inhibition; ++, 35–50% inhibition; +++ ≥50% inhibition; NE = No effect (≤10% effect).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027988.t001
the caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk, which almost completely blocked OH-CPT-induced apoptosis, could not rescue the c-MYC protein levels (Figure 4C, D). In summary, our data indicate that inhibition of topoisomerase I leads to a rapid reduction of c-MYC levels that precedes the induction of apoptosis.

MYC/Max binding to DNA is disrupted by DXR

To further explore effects on MYC function, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) on cell extracts treated with the different drugs to evaluate their effect on DNA binding of the c-MYC/Max complex. At drug concentrations up

Table 2. IC50 values in cells with low versus high MYC-expression status.

| Drug                | Tet21 N MYCN on (IC50 95% CI) | Tet21 N MYCN off (IC50 95% CI) | P493-6 c-MYC on (IC50 95% CI) | P493-6 c-MYC off (IC50 95% CI) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Camptothecin        | 5.4 nM (3.5–7.3)              | 73 nM (48–97)                  | 5.6 nM (2.4–8.7)              | 49 nM (28–70)                |
| 10-hydroxy-camptothecin | 11 nM (7.2–15)         | 74 nM (40–110)                 | 4.2 nM (1.0–7.4)              | 72 nM (31–110)               |
| Etoposide           | 1.5 µM (0.85–2.2)            | >15 µM                         | 0.22 µM (0.07–0.38)           | >15 µM                       |
| Doxorubicin         | 98 nM (69–130)               | 400 nM (220–580)               | 26 nM (5.8–45)                | 250 nM (150–340)             |
| Podophyllotoxin     | 3.9 nM (2.8–5.0)             | 6.6 nM (4.1–9.0)               | 6.0 nM (3.0–9.0)              | 20 nM (3.5–37)               |
| Paclitaxel          | 4.1 nM (2.0–6.2)             | 30 (<0.5–63)                   | -                             | -                            |
| Vinblastine         | 1.1 nM (0.6–1.6)             | 28 (3.8–52)                    | <0.5 nM                       | 36 nM (<0.5–73)              |
| Trichostatin-A      | 62 nM (50–75)                | 130 (88–1200)                  | 17 nM (6.6–27)                | 140 nM (77–200)              |

*CI; Confidence interval.

*Statistically significant difference at the 95% confidence-level in the IC50 values between MYC on and MYC off conditions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027988.g001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027988.t002
to 100-fold higher than those in the original screen, seven of the eight tested drugs had virtually no effect on the amount of c-MYC/Max present on the E-box-containing CMD oligonucleotide when incubated with p493-6 extracts (data not shown). In contrast, DXR, completely disrupted DNA binding of complexes containing c-MYC, Max or Mnt already at 10 μM, while USF binding was apparently not affected (Figure 5A).

To further corroborate this finding, we also performed EMSAs on extracts from cells that had been treated with DXR in culture, as well as on extracts from cells treated with MYC Pathway Response Agent-A (MYRA-A), a MYC pathway specific apoptosis inducer that we previously showed to disrupt binding of MYC/Max to DNA [33,34]. c-MYC/Max DNA binding was abrogated in treated p493-6 cells at the lowest tested concentrations of the drugs (2.5 μM and 5 μM respectively, Figure 5B). Similar results were seen for MYCN/Max binding in DXR and MYRA-A treated SK-N-BE(2) cells (Figure 5C). In summary, our data show that DXR and MYRA-A can interfere with the DNA binding of c-MYC/Max as well as MYCN/Max, and thus likely influences the transcriptional activity of these complexes.

Discussion

MYC oncoproteins are aberrantly expressed in a wide variety of human cancers, in most cases as a result from mutations at one or multiple levels of the MYC pathway, making them attractive targets for cancer therapy [1,9]. Several studies of tumor formation in in vitro and in vivo systems with conditional MYC expression have demonstrated that MYC induction promotes tumor formation while its down-regulation causes tumor cell growth arrest, enhanced apoptosis, senescence, tumor regression and/or differentiation (for review see [35]). Animal studies have shown that even a transient MYC downregulation appears to be sufficient for diminishing tumor tissue and that the tumor may actually regress upon MYC reactivation [30,31,36,37]. Furthermore, it was recently demonstrated that systemic inhibition of endogenous MYC activity in mice caused regression of Ras-
dependent tumors while the effects on normal regenerating tissues were well tolerated and completely reversible [32]. Thus, interfering with the MYC pathway would potentially enable elimination of malignant cells with low side effects for normal cells. Alternatively, inhibition of pathways that MYC overexpressing cells depend on for their survival could be exploited for targeting these tumors.

Here, we have explored how substances with already characterized cellular targets affect the MYC pathway and demonstrated that the effects of only a subset of drugs, acting on selected cellular targets, were enhanced by MYC overexpression. Contradictory results regarding the correlation between MYC expression levels and cellular sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs exist in the literature. Reports showing that c-MYC overexpression confers drug resistance [19,20,28] are challenged by others, including studies from our group, demonstrating MYC-mediated sensitization to a number of chemotherapeutic drugs [21,24–27]. This may be due to diverse effects elicited by various drugs or by different cellular contexts. We show in this study that the cytotoxic effects of only a subset of the drugs in our screen were potentiated by MYC overexpression, indicating that overexpression of MYC in cancer cells does not lead to a general sensitization to cytotoxic drugs. Instead our results suggest that MYC overexpression makes cells vulnerable to targeting of specific biological processes. Particularly, drugs targeting microtubules and topoisomerases were identified. All three microtubule-targeting agents included in the screen induced significantly more apoptosis in MYC overexpressing cells. These agents act by disrupting spindle microtubule dynamics during the mitotic phase of the cell cycle, leading to activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint and mitotic arrest followed by cell death [38]. Our data is in line with the observations by others that...
MYC sensitized cells to inhibition of Aurora kinases and CDK1 [39-41] suggesting that MYC overexpressing cells are particularly sensitive to disruption of these mitotic processes.

It has been suggested that the response to treatment is dependent on which member of the MYC family that is deregulated [42]. However, we observed to a large extent, similar effects on cytotoxicity in cells with either deregulated c-MYC or MYCN, indicating similar biological effects on the cells. This is in agreement with recent demonstrations that high MYC-pathway activity is associated with poor outcome of the disease in neuroblastoma patients, irrespective of whether it was caused by MYCN-amplification, by increased c-MYC level or by any other cause [43,44].

We observed that the topoisomerase I inhibitors, as well as the histone deacetylase inhibitor TSA, led to down-regulation of MYC protein levels. Decreased MYC levels were also recently reported after treatment with the topoisomerase I inhibitor Sn38 [45]. The mechanism behind this down-regulation is currently unresolved. In contrast we did not observe any down-regulation of MYC levels by microtubule-targeting agents, and the relationship between MYC expression and the cytotoxic effect of these agents appears to be largely context dependent [23,26-28,46,47].

Doxorubicin was found to be unique among the studied drugs since it could disrupt the binding of the MYC/Max complex to DNA. We have previously shown a similar effect by the small molecule MYRA-A [33]. Interestingly, the structure of MYRA-A shares some similarity with that of DXR (Figure S1), suggesting that they may act in an analogous way. DNA binding properties of MYRA-A has indeed been suggested by others [48]. Similar to what was previously shown for MYRA-A [33], DXR also interfered with binding of Mnt/Max complexes to DNA, while binding of USF remained unaltered. Hence not all DNA binding proteins were affected. Even though USF binds to the same E-box sequences as MYC/Max this could indicate different modes of DNA-binding for the different factors. Detailed structural studies would be required to understand how DXR and MYRA-A affect the binding of these proteins to DNA.

In conclusion, overexpression of MYC renders tumor cells particularly sensitive to targeting of certain mechanisms and pathways, including topoisomerases and the mitotic control machinery as shown in this study. Discovery of novel substances is essential for development of future cancer therapies. It is also important to analyze how the conventional cytotoxic drugs used in the clinic today act in different cellular contexts. Comparison between cells with normal and overexpressed MYC will help to enhance our understanding of how to best use and combine conventional drugs in cancer treatment. This information will hopefully also be useful when developing novel cancer therapies.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and drug treatments

The human NB SH-EP cell line with conditional MYCN expression (Tet- 21 N, Tet-OFF system [49]) was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, G418 (200 μg/ml), and hygromycin (100 μg/ml). The human B-cell line p493-6 with tetracycline regulated Tet-OFF c-MYC expression [50] and the BL cell lines Raji and Daudi was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. MYCN and c-MYC expression was regulated by addition of doxycycline (1 μg/ml, Sigma) for 20 h before drug treatment. Human NB SK-N-BE(2) cells with amplified MYCN were a gift from Per Kogner (Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) and were grown in EMEM:F12-Ham medium (1:1, v/v) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine, 1% non-essential amino acids, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The Chinese hamster ovary derived CHO AAt-Luc Tet-OFF cell line (Clontech), was maintained in EMEM supplemented with 10% Tet-system approved FBS, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Reagents

The chemical library provided by Actar AB (Stockholm, Sweden), consisted of 80 cytotoxic reference compounds with known cellular targets (Table S1). Propidium Iodide and RNase A were from Sigma and zVAD-fmk was from AG Scientific Inc. (San Diego, CA). MYRA-A was kindly provided by NCI (Bethesda MD).

Cell viability assays

To quantify the amount of living cells the WST-1 Cell Proliferation reagent (Roche Diagnostics) was used for the non-adherent p493-6 cells, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, while adherent Tet21 N cells were stained with crystal violet (Sigma) as previously described [33].

IC50 determination

Tet21 N and p493-6 cell were treated with drugs in a concentration ranging between 0.5 nm to 15 μM for 48 h in the presence or absence of doxycycline, after which the amount of viable cells was quantified. For calculation of IC50 values with 95% confidence intervals Four Parameter Logistic best-fit concentration-response curves were generated using XLfit add-in for Excel (IDBS). Statistical significance for the differences in IC50 values was determined based on the 95% confidence intervals.

Flow cytometry analysis

Drug-induced apoptosis was scored by quantifying the subG1 fraction. Cells were fixed with 70% ice-cold methanol and stored at +4°C over night or −20°C for longer times. Cells were stained with propidium iodide solution (5 μg/ml propidium iodide and 25 μg/ml RNase A in PBS) for 30 min at 37°C, and analyzed in a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Apoptotic cells were identified within the PI-stained population by virtue of exhibiting an apparent sub diploid DNA content. Drug specific cell death was defined as the percentage of apoptosis induced when adjusted for background cell death level in control treated cells and calculated as [(% apoptosis in drug treated cells)-(% apoptosis in control treated cells)]/[(100-% apoptosis in control treated cells)]*100%.

Luciferase Assay.

Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay (Promega) according to the instructions from the manufacturer. Protein concentrations of the extracts used were determined using the Bradford method (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Bio-Rad). Luciferase signals were normalized to the protein concentration.

Immunoblotting

Whole-cell extracts were prepared using F-buffer and Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [25]. Membranes were probed with mouse monoclonal anti-c-MYC antibody (9E10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc). Equal loading of proteins was confirmed by re-probing the membranes with antibodies specific for Actin (Sigma). HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (Amersham Biosciences) served as secondary reagent. Blots were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham).
Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)
To assess the drug effect on DNA binding of the MYC/Max complex, EMSA was performed essentially as previously described [51], using protein extracts from cells treated either in culture (48 h incubation) or protein extracts treated in vitro with drug. Briefly, 15 μg of protein from SK-N-BE(2) or p493-6 cells was incubated for 30 min with sonicated salmon sperm DNA, antibodies to identify relevant bands by super shift, and drugs for the in vitro experiments at 25°C. This initial incubation was followed by 30 min incubation with [γ32P]ATP end-labeled CMD probe at 25°C. The DNA-protein complexes were then separated on 5.5% polyacrylamide gels in 25 mM Tris base, 20 mM boric acid, and 0.5 mM EDTA at 4°C, dried and visualized on a phosphoimager. Specific bands were identified by using the following antibodies for super shifts: M132 (Mnt), X (USF), N262 (c-MYC), NCMII (MYCN) and C-17 (Max); all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.

Soft agar experiments
Single-cell suspension of SK-N-BE(2) cells in 0.4% SeaPlaque agarose (Cambrex BioScience-Rockland, East Rutherford, NJ) was seeded onto a 0.6% agarose base. After one hour, medium containing the selected reference compounds was added to the top of the gel and cultures were then incubated for 4 days. Medium was thereafter changed every 3–4 days. Following incubation for approximately 2 weeks, living cells and colonies were counted and visualized by the addition of 100 μg/ml MTT reagent (Calbiochem). Two independent experiments were performed in duplicates and the number of colonies formed in drug treated cells was compared to the control (DMSO).

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Structures of the compounds used in the study. Structures for the eight cytotoxic drugs selected for further characterization of their effect on the MYC-pathway as well as the structure of MYRA-A.

Figure S2 Concentration response curves for IC50 calculations. (A) Concentration-response curves for p493-6 cells treated with the indicated drugs in the presence (c-MYC OFF) or absence (c-MYC ON) of doxycycline for 48 h. The amount of viable cells was quantified using the WST1 reagent and the percentage of viable cells relative to control (DMSO) treated cells is depicted. (B) Concentration-response curves for Tet21 N cells treated with the indicated drugs in the presence (MYCN OFF) or absence (MYCN ON) of doxycycline for 48 h. The amount of viable cells was quantified by crystal violet staining and the percentage of viable cells relative to control (DMSO) treated cells is depicted. Data represent 3–5 independent experiments.

Table S1 Characteristics of the reference substances used in the study. List of the 80 compounds in the chemical library and their cellular targets. The effect on viability in cells overexpressing MYCN or c-MYC relative to non-overexpressing cells is shown for each compound.

Acknowledgments
We thank all members of our group for helpful discussions and L.-G. Larsson for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Professor M. Schwab (German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany) for the Tet21 N cells, Professor G. Bornkamm (National Research Center for Environment and Health, Munich, Germany) for the p493-6 cells and Professor, P. Kogner (Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm) for the SK-N-BE(2) cells. We are indebted to Actar AB (Stockholm, Sweden) for providing the chemical library with the 80 reference compounds and to NCI for providing MYRA-A.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: AF AA MAH. Performed the experiments: AF HZ MV AA. Analyzed the data: AF HZ MV AA MAH. Wrote the paper: AF AA MAH.

References
1. Vita M, Henriksson M (2006) The Myc oncoprotein as a therapeutic target for human cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 16: 318–330.
2. Meyer N, Penn LZ (2008) Reflecting on 25 years with MYC. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 976–990.
3. Amati B, Dalton S, Brooks MW, Littlewood TD, Evan GI, et al. (1992) Transcriptional activation by the human c-Myc oncoprotein in yeast requires interaction with Max. Nature 359: 423–426.
4. Lascher B, Larsson LG (1999) The basic region/helix-loop-helix/leucine zipper domain of Myc proto-oncoproteins: function and regulation. Oncogene 18: 2933–2966.
5. Adhikary S, Eders M (2005) Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6: 635–645.
6. Larsson LG, Henriksson MA (2010) The Yin and Yang functions of the Myc oncoprotein in cancer development and as targets for therapy. Exp Cell Res 316: 1429–1437.
7. Prochownik EV, Vogt PK (2010) Therapeutic Targeting of Myc. Genes Cancer 1: 630–639.
8. Boucher LM, Ding CV (2001) Translocations involving c-myc and e-myc function. Oncogene 20: 5595–5610.
9. Ahlin A, Johnsen JH, Henriksson MA (2010) MYC in oncogenesis and as a target for cancer therapies. Adv Cancer Res 107: 163–224.
10. Di Nicola M, Faderl S, O'Brien S, Bueso-Ramos C, Cortes J, et al. (2006) Chemonucleoimmunotherapy with hyper-CVAD plus rituximab for the treatment of adult Burkitt and Burkitt-type lymphoma or acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer 106: 1569–1580.
11. Kujawski LA, Lungo WL, Williams EC, Turman NJ, Brandt N, et al. (2007) A 5-drug regimen maximizing the dose of cyclophosphamide is effective therapy for adult Burkitt or Burkitt-like lymphomas. Cancer Invest 25: 87–93.
12. Brodeur GM (2003) Neuroblastoma: biological insights into a clinical enigma. Nat Rev Cancer 3: 203–216.
13. Sklar MD, Prochownik EV (1991) Modulation of cis-platinum resistance in Friend erythroleukemia cells by c-myc. Cancer Res 51: 2118–2123.
14. Fong A, Park JR (2009) High-risk neuroblastoma: a therapy in evolution. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 26: 539–549.
15. Sklar MD, Prochownik EV (1994) Modulation of cis-platinum resistance in Friend erythroleukemia cells by c-myc. Cancer Res 51: 2118–2123.
16. Westermark UK, Wilhelm M, Frenzel A, Henriksson MA (2011) The MYCN oncogene and differentiation in neuroblastoma. Semin Cancer Biol. 21: 236–266.
17. Meyer N, Kim SS, Penn LZ (2006) The Oscar-worthy role of Myc in apoptosis. Semin Cancer Biol 16: 273–287.
18. Love SW, Cepero E, Evan G (2004) Intrinsic tumour suppression. Nature 432: 307–315.
19. Sklar MD, Prochownik EV (1994) Modulation of cis-platinum resistance in Friend erythroleukemia cells by c-myc. Cancer Res 51: 2118–2123.
20. Ciuro G, D'Agnano I, Leonetti C, Petini R, Bucci C, et al. (1998) c-myc antiense oligodeoxynucleotides enhance the efficacy of cisplatin in melanoma chemotherapy in vitro and in nude mice. Cancer Res 58: 283–289.
21. Fulda S, Lutz W, Schwabl M, Delatin K (2008) MycN sensitizes neuroblastoma cells for drug-triggered apoptosis. Med Pediatr Oncol 43: 502–504.
22. Soucie EL, Annis MG, Svedj H, Fihnus J, Leber B, et al. (2001) Myc potentiates apoptosis by stimulating Bax activity at the mitochondria. Mol Cell Biol 21: 4723–4736.
23. Bottone MG, Soldani C, Tognon G, Gorini C, Lazzer MC, et al. (2003) Multiple effects of paclitaxel are modulated by a high c-myc amplification level. Exp Cell Res 290: 49–59.
24. Grassilli E, Ballabeni A, Macirosso E, Del Bello B, Helin K (2004) Loss of MYC confers resistance to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis by preventing the activation of multiple serine protease- and caspase-mediated pathways. J Biol Chem 279: 21318–21326.
25. Albihn A, Loven J, Ohlsson J, Oorlo LM, Henriksson M (2006) c-Myc-dependent etoposide-induced apoptosis involves activation of Bax and caspases, and PKCdelta signaling. J Cell Biochem 98: 1597–1614.
26. Albihn A, Mo H, Yang Y, Henriksson M (2007) Camptothecin-induced apoptosis is enhanced by Myc and involves PKCdelta signaling. Int J Cancer 121: 1821–1829.
27. Paflhausen T, Schwabl M, Westermann F (2007) Targeted MYCN expression affects cytotoxic potential of chemotherapeutic drugs in neuroblastoma cells. Cancer Lett 250: 17–24.
28. Gatti G, Maresca G, Natoli M, Florenzano F, Nicolin A, et al. (2009) MYC prevents apoptosis and enhances endoreduplication induced by paclitaxel. PLoS One 4: e5442.
29. Guerra L, Albihn A, Tronnerson S, Yan Q, Guidi R, et al. (2010) Myc is required for activation of the ATM-dependent checkpoints in response to DNA damage. PLoS One 5: e9824.
30. Fehrer DW, Bishop JM (1999) Reversible tumorigenesis by Myc in hematopoietic lineages. Mol Cell 4: 199–207.
31. Jain M, Arvantis C, Chu K, Dewey W, Leonard H, et al. (2002) Sustained loss of a neoplastic phenotype by brief inactivation of MYC. Science 297: 102–104.
32. Soucek L, Whitfield J, Martins CP, Finch AJ, Murphy DJ, et al. (2008) Modelling Myc inhibition as a cancer therapy. Nature 455: 679–683.
33. Mo H, Henriksson M (2006) Identification of small molecules that induce apoptosis in a Myc-dependent manner and inhibit Myc-driven transformation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 6344–6349.
34. Mo H, Vita M, Creppin M, Henriksson M (2006) Myc overexpression enhances apoptosis induced by small molecules. Cell Cycle 5: 2191–2194.
35. Fehrler DW (2010) Myc inactivation elicits oncogene addiction through both tumor cell-intrinsic and host-dependent mechanisms. Genes Cancer 1: 397–404.
36. Pellegaris S, Khan M, Evan GI (2002) Suppression of Myc-induced apoptosis in beta cells exposes multiple oncogenic properties of Myc and triggers carcinogenic progression. Cell 109: 321–334.
37. Shachaf CM, Kopelman AM, Arvanitis C, Karlsson A, Beer S, et al. (2004) Myc inactivation uncovers pluripotent differentiation and tumour dormancy in hepatocellular cancer. Nature 431: 1112–1117.
38. Dumontet C, Jordan MA (2010) Microtubule-binding agents: a dynamic field of cancer therapeutics. Nat Rev Drug Discov 9: 790–803.
39. Otto T, Horn S, Brockmann M, Eilers U, Schutturmpf L, et al. (2009) Stabilization of N-Myc is a critical function of Aurora A in human neuroblastoma. Cancer Cell 15: 67–70.
40. Yang D, Liu H, Goga A, Kim S, Yuneva M, et al. (2010) Therapeutic potential of a synthetic lethal interaction between the MYC proto-oncogene and inhibition of aurora-B kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 13836–13841.
41. Goga A, Yang D, Tward AD, Morgan DO, Bishop JM (2007) Inhibition of CDK7 as a potential therapy for tumors over-expressing MYC. Nat Med 13: 820–827.
42. Nesbit CE, Grove LE, Yin X, Prochownik EV (1998) Differential apoptotic behaviors of c-myc, N-myc, and L-myc oncoproteins. Cell Growth Differ 9: 731–741.
43. Frelsund E, Ringner M, Maris JM, Pahlman S (2008) High Myc pathway activity and low stage of neuronal differentiation associate with poor outcome in neuroblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 14094–14099.
44. Westermann F, Moth D, Berner A, Bauer T, Heinrich KO, et al. (2008) Distinct transcriptional MYCN/c-MYC activities are associated with spontaneous regression or malignant progression in neuroblastomas. Genome Biol 9: R150.
45. Coussy S, Cherier J, Vigneron A, Troade MC, Giraud S, et al. (2010) Regulation of the Aurora-A gene following topoisomerase I inhibition: implication of the Myc transcription factor. Mol Cancer 9: 205.
46. Bourgarel-Rey V, El Khayri S, Rinet O, Bordi B, Guigal N, et al. (2000) Opposite effects of antimicrotubule agents on c-myc oncogene expression depending on the cell lines used. Eur J Cancer 36: 1043–1049.
47. Yen YT, Wu RT, Tang SJ, Wang FF (1991) Expression of c-myc proto-oncogene during podophyllotoxin induced IV32 erythroleukemia cell differentiation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 179: 1241–1246.
48. Dorjuture D, Burnette A, Gray GN, Chen X, Zhu W, et al. (2006) Chemical library screen for novel inhibitors of Kapossi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus processive DNA synthesis. Antiviral Res 69: 9–23.
49. Lutz W, Stohr M, Schurmann J, Wenzel A, Lohr A, et al. (1996) Conditional expression of N-myc in human neuroblastoma cells increases expression of alpha-prothymosin and ornithine decarboxylase and accelerates progression into S-phase early after mitogenic stimulation of quiescent cells. Oncogene 13: 820–827.
50. Schuhmacher M, Staegg MS, Pajic A, Polack A, Weidle UH, et al. (1999) Control of cell growth by inducible expression of the transcriptional repressor Mad1. Exp Cell Res 267: 61–72.