ABSTRACT  Random telegraph noise (RTN), as one dominant variation source in the ultra-scaled devices, has been attracting much more attention, and its analysis is of great importance to understand the fundamental physical mechanisms. In this work, with the advanced dual-point method, we successfully separate the impacts of each trap in multi-traps correlated RTN, especially for complex anomalous RTN signals. A four-level transfer curve and VG-dependent RTN magnitude are extracted in a two-trap transistor from the sub-threshold region to the linear region. Furthermore, current degradations contributed from each trap of three- and four-level RTN signals are identified and distinguished. The proposed method can be utilized to evaluate multiple traps RTN and explore the underlying physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION  Random Telegraph Noise (RTN) has attracted increasing attentions with the scaling roadmap of device dimension. In the ultra-scaled devices, random dopant fluctuation (RDF) and electrical traps in the gate dielectrics cause significant time-zero and time-dependent variation issues [1]–[3]. RTN induced variability is observed as the randomly drain current fluctuation under the constant gate and drain voltage, of which the parameters are characterized within a certain time, i.e., a measurement window [4], [5]. The RTN magnitudes including current fluctuation (i.e., ΔID) and threshold voltage fluctuation (i.e., ΔVth), have been recognized as dominant degradation factors affecting the performances of devices and circuits [6]–[8]. Moreover, understanding the voltage dependence of RTN magnitudes can provide valuable information in revealing the underlying physical mechanism and predicting time-dependent variability in circuit simulation [9], [10]. To extend the limited information within the measurement window, Franco et al. swept an entire transfer curve immediately after a standard RTN method with the test sequence shown in Fig.1 (a). It is normally required a rigorous selection of devices with a single dominant trap that exhibits a longer timing constant and giant current fluctuation [3]. When it comes to a fast-switching RTN trap, an oscilloscope-based system is adopted for fast-measurement of the VG dependent RTN magnitudes, which is equipped with a dedicated configuration for reducing the background noise disturbance and increasing measurement accuracy [11]. For obtaining an accurate prediction of RTN-induced degradation, we reported a straightforward dual-point method for characterizing a trapped carrier in both n- and p- FETs [12].

However, these works are normally focused on the device with a single active RTN trap, whose current variation is caused by the transitions of a charge between the empty and occupied state. For a multilevel RTN signal, it is too complicated to analyze and investigate the variation issue, considering the multiple RTN traps and their possible coupling effects. Chang et al. reported a four-level RTN characterization in a gate-all-around (GAA) nanowire transistor, in which the depths of the two discrete traps in the gate oxide are identified separately by extracting the relative trapping/detrapping frequency [13]. Li et al. measured two traps RTN...
with different bias conditions and low temperatures [14]. Gong et al. extracted the trap locations and energy levels by analyzing timing constants from the three-level RTN signals [15], [16]. Moreover, Wang et al. explained the complex RTN phenomenon by considering metastable states and trap coupling effect [17], [18]. For better understanding the evaluation of multi-traps degrading behaviors, it is challenging to identify and differentiate each trap under different bias conditions.

In this work, a four-level and full-VG-range transfer curve is obtained from a planar device with two active RTN traps, corresponding to four different capture and emission states. With the advanced dual-point technique, the RTN magnitudes of each individual trap are extracted from the sub-threshold region to the linear region. Moreover, the degradation effect contributed by each trap is identified and extracted from an anomalous temporal current signal with two-, three- and four-level fluctuations, respectively.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The device under test is a high-K/metal-Gate (HK/MG) planar transistor with a channel length/width of 70nm/90nm and an equivalent oxide thickness of 1.65 nm. The test sequence of the proposed dual-point technique is shown in Fig. 1(b). The short-time standard RTN test is performed firstly with a constant gate voltage (VG_RTN), which could provide the stochastic and different trapping states for the traps. The drain current is measured at a high gate voltage (VGH, illustrated by a blue circle), following by a measurement at a low gate voltage (VGL, illustrated by an orange circle). The above procedure can be repeated by sweeping from the sub-threshold region to the linear region (the orange arrow). With the combination of the obvious current fluctuation at VGH and that at varying VGLs, a two-level transfer curve, corresponding to a single trap either trapping or de-trapping, can be plotted.

Moreover, the dual-point technique shows good accuracy at the scale of several nano-ampere shown in Fig. 1(c). The transfer curve agrees well with the result measured by sweeping voltage in a device without RTN traps. In the current (at VGH) versus current (at VGL) plot, the centroid identification of the discrete data extracted by K-means clustering algorithm in the previous study [12], which represents the currents measured with a single trap in both charged and empty state. However, some errors might occur for a complex RTN signal in the clustering process, in which it is trying to separate the scattered points with equal variance and minimized distance. For instance, the experimental data are clustered into three groups, which is obviously against the results guided by the dash circle shown in Fig. 1(d). In this work, to analyze multi-traps contributed RTN, we replace the clustering algorithm by the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN), which focuses on the sample density rather than the distance-based algorithm (K-means) [19]. DBSCAN can automate the centroid identification at a fast speed with the advantages of suppressing noise point and clustering arbitrary spatial shapes, which occurs frequently in multi-traps devices considering the traps coupling effect and complex transitions between various current levels. In Fig. 1(e), the measured currents can be well clustered into three groups as expected.

One example is shown in Fig. 2(a). By performing standard RTN measurement under constant (drain and gate) bias condition, the clear four levels can be observed suggesting that there are two discrete RTN traps involved. We marked the one with larger fluctuation as TrapA and the small fluctuation as TrapB, respectively. The highest level (L1) and the lowest level (L4) stand for the situations when the two traps are both empty and occupied, respectively. The second-high level (L2) and third high level (L3) imply that only TrapB or TrapA is occupied, respectively. We applied the advanced dual-point method on this device with one measurement result in Fig. 2(b). Wherein, VGH (0.80 V) is set the same as the aforementioned RTN test and VGL is 0.50V. Vertically, four discrete clusters can be clearly observed corresponding to the four levels in RTN test. Moreover, there is a shift in the parallel direction, suggesting that our measurement also captured the impact of traps on the low voltage level.
FIGURE 3. (a): A four-level transfer curve of a device with two active RTN traps, whose current fluctuation is caused by TrapA and TrapB, respectively. (b&c) Local zoomed transfer curves in the (b) sub-threshold region and (c) linear region, respectively.

FIGURE 4. The relationship between RTN magnitude and gate voltage in a device with two active traps: (a) $\Delta ID$ and (b) $\Delta Vth$, respectively. The drain current fluctuations versus (c) $VG$ and (d) $g_m$, respectively.

the measurement accuracy of the testing equipment, there is a wide-spread for each cluster. We extracted their centroids (illustrated as stars) of the four discrete clusters representing the measured currents at two different voltages (VGH and VGL) with four different states (i.e., L1(00), L2(01), L3(10), and L4(11)), respectively, where 0 being empty and 1 being captured state of a charge. For example, the centroids of the right-top cluster correspond to the (00) state measured at VGH and VGL, respectively.

By fixing VGH and sweeping VGL from the sub-threshold to the linear region, the entire transfer curve can be obtained, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The enlarged two regions at linear and sub-threshold regions are shown in Fig. 3(b&c). The impact of IV curves with two active traps can be observed. With this method, it is easy to confirm the RTN magnitude caused by each individual trap. Under a certain gate voltage, TrapA leads to a larger current fluctuation (from L1 to L3) while TrapB results in a smaller one (from L3 to L4), as arrays shown in Fig. 3(b&c), respectively. Furthermore, the current fluctuation obtained in the advanced dual-point technique (Fig. 3(a)) matches well with the temporal RTN signals in Fig. 2(a). What is worth noting is that the dual-point measurement has successfully identified the difference of 1.5 mV in the sub-threshold region (Fig. 3(b)), which is impossible by standard RTN test. Therefore, this method can simply broaden the measurement window for voltage sweeping down to the sub-threshold region.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the obtained four-level transfer curve from the sub-threshold to the linear region, the impacts of individual RTN traps on device performance could be distinguished and studied independently. In the two-RTN-traps device, the TrapA induced current fluctuation ($\Delta ID$) is extracted from the transition between L1 (00) and L3 (10), illustrated as the orange square in Fig. 4(a). Similarly, from the transition between L1 (00) and L2 (01), the TrapB induced $\Delta ID$ under different bias is illustrated as the green circle. The black triangle line corresponds to the relationship between the gate voltage and total $\Delta ID$, which is indicating the transition of two traps in empty (L1, (00)) and occupied (L4, (11)) state. Moreover, the total $\Delta ID$ increases as the gate voltage increases and reduces slightly towards the linear region, whose trend is dominated by the contribution of TrapA and roughly equal with the sum of the contribution of the two individual traps. Similarly, in Fig. 4(b), threshold variations ($\Delta Vth$) of each individual trap are compared at different gate voltages. It is observed that TrapB caused $\Delta Vth$ (L1(00) to L2(01)) is much smaller than that of TrapA (L1(00) to L3(10)). According to the reported 3D atomic simulation [20], [21], these results indicate that TrapA possibly locates nearer to the channel interface. Thus, as the inversion carriers distribute more closer to the channel interface at higher VG, the impacts of TrapA turn to be much more serious. As shown in Fig. 4(c), though the drain current fluctuation ratio weakly depends on VG in the subthreshold region, it shows an obvious decreasing trend in the channel carrier inversion region at higher VG. Generally, the drain current fluctuations can be explained by the carrier number fluctuation model and the mobility fluctuation model [22], [23]. On the one side, the inversion carrier density ($N_{inv}$) is proportional to (VG-Vth) via $N_{inv} = C_{ox} (VG-Vth)/L_g W_g$, where $Vth$ is the threshold voltage, $C_{ox}$ is the gate-channel capacity, and $L_g/W_g$ is the gate length/width. The roughly linear relationship between the current fluctuations and VG indicates that the number fluctuation contribution is dominant in the inversion region. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 4(d), the drain current fluctuations weakly depend on the transconductance ($g_m$), indicating that the drain current fluctuations cannot be explained by the mobility fluctuations. Therefore, the current fluctuations of both traps are mainly contributed by the carrier number fluctuation model. Furthermore, the threshold voltages can be extracted from the discrete transfer curves, which are (L1) 0.5733, (L2) 0.5750, (L3) 0.5761, and (L4) 0.5776 V, respectively. Similarly, the extracted sub-threshold swing (S.S) val-
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In another device with an anomalous RTN signal, the temporal current signals are also well investigated with two-, three- and four-level fluctuations, respectively. In Fig. 5, it shows RTN signals with different gate voltages of (a) 0.50 V, (b) 0.60 V, (c) 0.70 V and (d) 0.80 V, respectively. Similarly, the two traps are named as TrapC (larger current fluctuation, orange circle) and TrapD (smaller current fluctuation, red circle), respectively. As illustrated, solid or empty circle means the RTN trap is either in the captured or emitted state.

The two discrete levels correspond to the random emitting or capturing a charge by one trap while the other remains a constant state. When comes to Fig. 5(b), four current levels (i.e., L1, L2, L3, and L4) are clearly observed coming from the different trapping/de-trapping states (i.e., (00), (01), (10), and (11)) of TrapC and TrapD, respectively. As the gate voltage increases, there are only three current levels in Fig. 5(c&d). It is easy to understand that the highest (L1) and lowest (L4) levels correspond to the (00) and (11) states, respectively. Moreover, the medium level is roughly equal with the average of L1 and L4, which might be assigned to the formation of a new coupled state. Nevertheless, it is still not clear whether the medium one comes from the traps coupling effect or the level overlapping between L2 and L3.

Furthermore, the time lag scheme is adopted to facilitate the analysis of the measured signals. Fig. 6 shows the temporal currents in time lag plots, whose x- and y-axis represent the current sampled at a specific time and the next time [24], [25], respectively. From the discrete clusters guided by grey shadow, there are only two trapping/de-trapping states of one RTN trap in Fig. 6(a), indicating only one trap is detectable while the other is not under the gate voltage of 0.50 V. From the numerous clusters in Fig. 6(b), four different states of two RTN traps are observed with the gate voltage of 0.60 V, matching well with the clear four-level result in Fig 5(b). According to the nine clusters in Fig. 6(c&d), there are only three different states for two individual traps. It is valid that the highest and lowest currents are ascribed to TrapC and TrapD both in the empty (00) and captured (11) states. Whereas the origination of the medium is still unclear for analyzing the trapping/de-trapping state of each trap.

This phenomenon can be well revealed by using the advanced dual-point method. In Fig. 7, the centroid...
identifications are extracted from the VGH of 0.80 V and different VGL voltages of (a) 0.70, (b) 0.60, and (c) 0.50 V, respectively. From the current at VGH versus current at VGL plot in Fig. 7(a), the three discrete clusters (guided as dash circles) indicate that only three states are extracted for the gate voltages of 0.80 V and 0.70 V, matching well with the results in Fig. 5(c&d) and Fig. 6(c&d). Benefited from the clear four current levels at the gate voltage of 0.60 V, four discrete clusters can be seen in Fig. 7(b), indicating the different capture or emission states at the gate voltage of 0.80 V. Although there are only two states at the gate voltage of 0.50 V, four discrete clusters corresponding to different states could be also been in Fig. 7(c). Thus the medium level is distinguished and differentiated, which is more likely to be caused by the overlapping rather than the coupling effect. Noted that the trap coupling effect can be confirmed by exploring the RTN timing constants [26], which is beyond this study and needs further study in detail.

IV. CONCLUSION

With the advanced dual-point technique, a discrete four-level transfer curve from the sub-threshold to the linear region is obtained in a high-κ planar transistor, which corresponds to the different four states of two active RTN traps. The RTN magnitude contributed by each individual trap is extracted in the four different states of two active RTN traps. The RTN obtained in a high-κ planar transistor is beyond this study and needs further study in detail.
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