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Abstract. We study torsion free sheaves on integral projective curves with at most ordinary cusps as singularities. Adjusting Seshadri’s structure from the nodal case to this one, we describe these sheaves by means of a triple defined in the normalization of the curve.

1. Introduction

In [6], C. S. Seshadri characterized a torsion free sheaf on a nodal curve in terms of a triple data in its normalization. More recently, in [1], H. Lange along with the first and second named authors gave to Seshadri’s result another proof within a categorical framework, besides extending the discussion to stability. The aim of this article is to answer whether it is possible to apply the methods in [1] to get a structure like in [6] to describe torsion free sheaves on cuspidal curves. So our technique, as in [1], differs from the other papers on the subject as [2, 3, 4].

More precisely, let \( C \) be a curve, i.e., an integral and complete one dimensional scheme over an algebraically closed field. Let also \( \overline{C} \) be its normalization. Assume, first, that \( C \) has just one singular point \( P \) which is an ordinary node and let \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) be the points in \( \overline{C} \) over \( P \). In [6, Ch. 8], Seshadri totally described a torsion free sheaf \( F \) on such a \( C \) in terms of three data: (i) a bundle \( E \) on \( \overline{C} \); (ii) a pair \((V_1, V_2)\) of vector subspaces of the fibers \( E(P_1) \) and \( E(P_2) \) respectively; (iii) a linear isomorphism \( \sigma : V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \). This characterization naturally extends to curves allowing nodes at most as singularities, no matter how many. We think it is important to say that a key point of his proof is certainly [6, Prp. 2, p. 164] which gives a local description at the singular point, that is, \( F_P \cong \mathcal{O}_P^{\oplus a} \oplus m_P^{\oplus r-a} \) where \( r \) is the rank of \( F \) and \( a \) is an integer depending on \( F \) which, actually, determines the dimension of \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) above.

In [1], a categorical structure was given to this set of triples, and it was proved that the assignment \( F \mapsto (E, (V_1, V_2), \sigma) \) is functorial. Here, we want to establish a similar result when \( C \) is cuspidal.

Before stating the results we have, we briefly introduce our set-up: a point \( P \in C \) is said to be a (first order) \textit{cusp} if it is unibranch, i.e., there is a unique point \( \overline{P} \in \overline{C} \) with \( \overline{P} \) over \( P \) and \( \dim(\mathcal{O} \overline{P}/\mathcal{O}_P) = 1 \). For a torsion free sheaf \( F \) of rank \( r \) on \( C \), one defines its degree as \( \deg(F) = \chi(F) - r\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \) where \( \chi(F) \) denotes the Euler characteristic of \( F \). With this in mind, we have the following.

\textbf{Theorem.} Let \( C \) be a curve with singular points \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \), which are all cusps. Then the following hold:

1. If \( F \) is a torsion free sheaf of rank \( r \) on \( C \), then
   \[ F_{P_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}^{\oplus a_{P_i}} \oplus m_{P_i}^{\oplus r-a_{P_i}}. \]
for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Each integer $a_i$ is uniquely determined by $F$ and called the
local semirank of $F$ at $P_i$ since it agrees with $r$ iff $F_{P_i}$ is a free $O_{P_i}$-module.
The semirank of $F$ is the tuple $a := (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$;

(2) Let $P_i$ be the point of $\mathcal{C}$ which lies over $P_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$; and let $\omega_{P_i}$ be
the dualizing sheaf on $\overline{C}$. Then there is an equivalence between:
- the category $\mathcal{F}(r,d,a)$ of isomorphism classes of torsion free sheaves
  on $C$, of rank $r$, degree $d$ and semirank $a$;
- the category $\mathcal{T}(r,d,a)$ of triples $(E, \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ where
  (i) $E$ is a bundle of rank $r$ and degree $d - nr - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ on $\overline{C}$;
  (ii) $V_i$ is a vector subspace of dimension $a_i$ in the direct sum of fibers
       $E_{P_i,j} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{P_i})_{P_i,j}$;
  (iii) $\sigma_i$ is a linear endomorphism in $GL(V_i)$.

and where a morphism of triples

$$
\Phi : (E, \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E', \{V'_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)
$$

is a morphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E'$ satisfying $(\Phi_{P_i,j} \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)_{P_i,j})(V_i) \subset V'_i$
such that

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & V_i \\
\Phi_{P_i,j} \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)_{P_i,j} & & \Phi_{P_i,j} \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)_{P_i,j} \\
V'_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_i} & V'_i
\end{array}
$$

is a commutative diagram for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

It is important to point out that one is able to mix the above result together
with [1, Thm. 3.2] to get a categorical characterization of torsion free sheaves on
curves having double points – nodes or cusps – at most as singularities by means
of triples.
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2. Proof of the Theorem

We start by proving part (1) of the Theorem by means of the following result.

Lemma 2.1. Let $R$ be a local ring in an algebraic function field of one variable over
an algebraically closed ground field $k$. Let also $\overline{R}$ and $m$ be, respectively, its integral
closure and maximal ideal. If $\dim_k(\overline{R}/R) = 1$ then for any finitely generated torsion
free $R$-module $M$ there are uniquely determined integers $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
M \cong \overline{R}^{\oplus a} \oplus m^{\oplus b}.
$$

Proof. Set $K|k$ to be the algebraic function field. Under the hypothesis above we
have $k \subset R \subset \overline{R} \subset K$ where $K$ and $k$, respectively, agree with the field of fractions
and residue field of $R$. If $M$ splits as above then $a + b = r := \text{rk}(M \otimes K)$ and
$a + 2b = \text{rk}(M \otimes k)$ so $a$ and $b$ are uniquely determined by $M$.

Since $\overline{R}$ is a semi-local Dedekind domain, it is a unique factorization domain; so
$\overline{M} := (M \otimes \overline{R})/\text{Torsion}(M \otimes \overline{R})$ is free. Moreover, one is able to choose a basis for
\( \overline{M} \) constituted by elements in \( M \). Indeed, by Nakayama’s Lemma, we need only take elements in \( M \) whose residues form a free base of \( \overline{M}/J\overline{M} \) where \( J \) is the Jacobson radical of \( \overline{R} \). Therefore, we may assume

\[
(2.1) \quad R^{\oplus r} \subset M \subset \overline{R}^{\oplus r}.
\]

If so, there is a well defined morphism

\[
\varphi : M \rightarrow (\overline{R}/R)^{\oplus r}.
\]

Since \( \dim(\overline{R}/R) = 1 \) we are able to write \( \overline{R}/R = k\bar{t} \) where \( \bar{t} \) is the class of a fixed \( t \in \overline{R} \). Now we choose a basis for \( \text{im}\varphi \) which thus can be written as \( \{w_1\bar{t}, \ldots, w_b\bar{t}\} \) where \( w_1, \ldots, w_b \) are linearly independent vectors in \( k^r \). Set \( a := r - b \) and choose \( v_1, \ldots, v_a \) in \( k^r \) such that \( B := \{v_1, \ldots, v_a, w_1, \ldots, w_b\} \) is a basis for \( k^r \).

Now \( B \) yields a new decomposition for \( \overline{R}^{\oplus r} \). In fact, for any \( f \in \overline{R}^{\oplus r} \), there are uniquely determined \( g_1, \ldots, g_a, h_1, \ldots, h_b \in \overline{R} \) such that

\[
(2.2) \quad f = g_1v_1 + \ldots + g_av_a + h_1w_1 + \ldots + h_bw_b
\]

because, by the very Cramer’s Rule, the \( g_i \) and \( h_i \) are the only solutions of a system of which the column vector has entries in \( \overline{R} \) and the main matrix has entries in \( k \) and nonzero determinant since \( B \) is a basis for \( k^r \).

We will prove that

\[
M = Rv_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Rv_a \oplus \overline{R}w_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \overline{R}w_b.
\]

In order to do so, write again any \( f \in \overline{R}^{\oplus r} \) as in \((2.2)\). We first claim that if \( f \in M \), then \( g_1, \ldots, g_a \in R \). Indeed, if \( f \in M \) then \( f \) is of the form

\[
(2.3) \quad f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) + (c_1w_1 + \ldots + c_bw_b)t
\]

where \( f_i \in R \) and \( c_i \in k \). In fact, clearly

\[
f = (f_1 + d_1t, \ldots, f_r + d_rt)
\]

for \( f_i \in R \) and \( d_i \in k \) because \( \overline{R}/R = k\bar{t} \). Now

\[
\varphi(f) = \varphi(f_1 + d_1t, \ldots, f_r + d_rt)
= \varphi(d_1t, \ldots, d_rt)
= (d_1\bar{t}, \ldots, d_r\bar{t})
= c_1w_1\bar{t} + \ldots + c_bw_b\bar{t}
\]

because \( \text{im}\varphi \) is generated by the \( w_i\bar{t} \). So

\[
(d_1, \ldots, d_r) = c_1w_1 + \ldots + c_bw_b
\]

and \( f \) is in fact written as in \((2.3)\). The problem now simplifies to the following one: if \( f \in R^{\oplus r} \) then the \( g_i \) are in \( R \). But this is immediate: the same basis \( B \) which yields a new decomposition for \( \overline{R}^{\oplus r} \), yields a new decomposition for \( R^{\oplus r} \) as well by means of the same argument.

Conversely, given \( f \in \overline{R}^{\oplus r} \) such that \( g_1, \ldots, g_a \in R \) then \( f \in M \). Indeed, if so, write \( h_i = c_it + f_i \) where \( c_i \in k \) and \( f_i \in R \), take \( m \in M \) such that

\[
\varphi(m) = c_1w_1\bar{t} + \ldots + c_bw_b\bar{t} ,
\]

then

\[
m = (f'_1, \ldots, f'_r) + (c_1w_1 + \ldots + c_bw_b)t
\]

with \( f'_i \in R \). Set

\[
m' = g_1v_1 + \ldots + g_av_a + f_1w_1 + \ldots + f_bw_b - (f'_1, \ldots, f'_r).
\]
Then \( m' \in M \) because \( A^r \subseteq M \). Now \( f = m + m' \) so \( f \in M \).

The result follows from the isomorphism \( \overline{R} \cong m \) when \( \dim(\overline{R}/R) = 1 \). □

**Remark 2.2.** The above lemma generalizes [6, Prp. 2, p. 164]. We could have said that, up to slight changes, the same proof applies but we did not, due to some relevant reasons. The proof in [6] is focused on how to get (2.1). Here, the same argument is put within a general form, holding for a local ring of any singular point in any curve. On the other hand, in [6] it is stated that the desired decomposition is immediate from (2.1) once we assume the hypothesis and construct a suitable basis. Actually, the reader should note the “unusual” way a basis which works for our purposes is constructed here, and we still wonder how to get the lemma with the identification \( \overline{R}/R = k \), as done in [6]. At any rate, we hope the above proof helps the reader understand Seshadri’s ideas.

Now we prove part (2) of the Theorem. For the sake of simplicity, we assume the curve has just one singular point. The general case is straightforward, once this is proved.

**Theorem 2.3.** If \( C \) is a curve with only one singular point \( P \), which is a cusp, then the categories \( \mathcal{F}(r,d,a) \) and \( \mathcal{T}(r,d,a) \) are equivalent.

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a torsion free sheaf on \( C \) of semirank \( a \) at \( P \). Consider the surjective homomorphism

\[
\mathcal{F}_P \longrightarrow k_P^{\oplus a}
\]

where \( k_P \) denotes the residual field at the point \( P \). It yields an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow k_P^{\oplus a} \rightarrow 0
\]

(2.4)

where \( k_P^{\oplus a} \) is the skyscraper sheaf concentrated at \( P \). The kernel \( \mathcal{E} \) is uniquely determined by \( \mathcal{F} \) and satisfies

\[
\mathcal{E}_P \cong m_P^{\oplus a}.
\]

So \( \mathcal{F} \) can be seen as an extension given by (2.4). In order to characterize such an extension, let \( \mathcal{M} \) be the sheaf on \( C \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{M}_Q = \begin{cases} 
m_P & \text{if } Q = P \\
\mathcal{O}_Q & \text{if } Q \neq P.
\end{cases}
\]

We claim that

\[
\text{Ext}^1(k_P^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E})/\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}).
\]

(2.5)

In fact, we may assume \( h^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \) twisting \( \mathcal{E} \) if needed. The exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus a} \rightarrow k_P^{\oplus a} \rightarrow 0
\]

yields the long exact sequence

\[
\text{Hom}(k_P^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(k_P^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}).
\]

But \( \text{Hom}(k_P^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) = 0 \) since \( k_P^{\oplus a} \) is a torsion sheaf, and \( \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus a}, \mathcal{E}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{E})^{\oplus a} = 0 \) since \( h^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \). So (2.5) is proved. It corresponds to the bottom level of the
diagram (2.6)

where $0 \to E \to I_0 \overset{d}{\to} I_1 \to \cdots$ is an injective resolution and $I'_0 := \text{im } d$. So (an isomorphism class of) a torsion free sheaf $F$ on $C$ is determined by a morphism $\phi : M^{[a]} \to E$ (up to a $\phi' : O_C^{[a]} \to E$) or, equivalently, by a morphism $\psi : k^{[a]}_P \to I'_1$ (up to a $\psi' : k^{[a]}_P \to I_0$); the sheaf $F$ is the pushout of $i$ and $\phi$ or, equivalently, the pullback of $d$ and $\psi$.

Now we build the top part of diagram (2.6), which will provide the functor we are looking for. Let $\pi : \overline{C} \to C$ be the normalization map and let $E$ be the bundle on $\overline{C}$ such that $E = \pi_*(E)$.

We have that $\text{Hom}(O_C^{[a]}, E) = \text{Hom}(O_C^{[a]}, \pi_*(E)) \simeq \text{Hom}(O_C^{[a]}, E)$ and we also have

$$\text{Hom}(M^{[a]}, E) = \text{Hom}(M^{[a]}, \pi_*(E)) \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi^*(M^{[a]}), E)$$

by adjunction formula and by the fact that $\text{Hom}(\text{Torsion}(\pi^*(M^{[a]})), E) = 0$. Hence (2.7) $\text{Hom}(M^{[a]}, E)/\text{Hom}(O_C^{[a]}, E) \simeq \text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}(-2\overline{P})^{[a]}, E)/\text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E)$.

So $F$ is also determined by $\overline{\phi} : O_{\overline{C}}(-2\overline{P})^{[a]} \to E$ (up to a $\overline{\psi} : O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]} \to E$). But we may write

(2.8) $\text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}(-2\overline{P})^{[a]}, E)/\text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E) \simeq \text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E(2\overline{P}))/\text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E)$

switching $\overline{\phi}$ to $\overline{\phi} \otimes 1$ and same target to same source.

Now, from

$$0 \to O_{\overline{C}}(-2\overline{P}) \to O_{\overline{C}} \to O_{\overline{C}}/m_{\overline{P}}^2 \to 0$$

tensored by $E(2\overline{P})$ we get

$$0 \to E \to E(2\overline{P}) \to (O_{\overline{P}}/m_{\overline{P}}^2) \otimes E_{\overline{P}} \to 0$$

where $E_{\overline{P}}$ is the stalk at $\overline{P}$. The above sequence yields the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E) \to \text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E(2\overline{P})) \to \text{Hom}(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, (O_{\overline{P}}/m_{\overline{P}}^2) \otimes E_{\overline{P}}) \to \text{Ext}^1(O_{\overline{C}}^{[a]}, E).$$
Now $\text{Ext}^1(O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a}, E) = H^1(E) = H^1(\pi_*(E)) = H^1(E)$ which we may assume to vanish and we get

\begin{equation}
(2.9) \quad \text{Hom}(O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a}, E(2\mathcal{T})) / \text{Hom}(O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a}, E) \simeq \text{Hom}(O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a}, (O_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{T}});
\end{equation}

and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is determined by a morphism $\varphi : O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a} \to (O_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{T}}$ and diagram (2.9) pictured above is now complete. It establishes the equivalences

\begin{equation}
(2.10) \quad [\mathcal{F}] \leftrightarrow \psi \text{ (mod } \psi') \leftrightarrow \phi \text{ (mod } \phi') \leftrightarrow \overline{\phi} \text{ (mod } \overline{\phi'}) \leftrightarrow \varphi
\end{equation}
given by (2.4), (2.5), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9). Note that in (2.6), the vertical full lines correspond to pull back operations modded out by torsion; however, the quotient $\pi^*([\mathcal{F}]) / \text{Torsion}(\pi^*([\mathcal{F}]))$ is just a subsheaf of $E(2\mathcal{T})$ unless $\mathcal{F}$ is a bundle. That’s why the correspondent vertical line is dotted.

Now let $k_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the residual field at $\mathcal{T}$. Use the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a}, (O_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \text{Hom}(k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}, (O_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{T}})$$

in order to consider $\varphi : k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a} \to (O_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{T}}$. We claim that if a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ fits into a diagram like (2.6), then it is torsion free if and only if $\varphi$ is injective. To prove this, first, let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the standard basis of $k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}$, take $E_{\mathcal{T}} = \oplus_{i=1}^{\mathbb{N}} O_{\mathcal{T}^i}$ and write

$$\varphi(e_i) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (a_{ij} \overline{1} + b_{ij} \overline{t}) \otimes s_j$$

with $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in k_{\mathcal{T}}$ $\simeq k$. Now $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following local commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{m_{\mathcal{T}}^a} & O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & k_{\mathcal{T}} & 0 \\
\downarrow{\phi_P} & & \downarrow{\phi_P} & & \downarrow{\phi_P} & \\
0 & \xrightarrow{m_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}} & \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_P} & k_{\mathcal{T}} & 0
\end{array}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_P$ is the pushout of $\phi_P$ and $i$. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}_P \simeq O_P^{\mathbb{G}_a} \oplus m_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a} / \{(x, -\phi_P(x)) | x \in m_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}\}.$$ 

But note that $\phi_P$ is, by construction, closely related to the $\varphi$ above. Indeed, one may write

$$\phi_P(t^2 e_i) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} a_{ij} t^2 + b_{ij} t^3 + h_{ij} t^4$$

where $t$ is a local parameter for the integral closure $\overline{O}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq O_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $h_{ij} \in \overline{O}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Assume $\varphi$ is not injective. Then for a nonzero $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_a) \in k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a} \simeq k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a} \subset O_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}$ we have $\varphi(v) = 0$. Thus clearly $(v, -t^2(\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} v_i h_{ij})) \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{F}_P$ because $v \not\in m_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}$. But

$$t^2 \left(v, -t^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} v_i h_{ij}\right)\right) = \left(t^2 v, -t^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} v_i h_{ij}\right)\right) = (t^2 v, -\phi_P(t^2 v)) = 0$$

hence $\mathcal{F}_P$ has torsion and so does $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose $z(x, y) = 0$. Then $zy = -\phi_P(x)$. If $z \neq 0$ and $x \in m_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{G}_a}$ then $y = -\phi_P(x)$ which implies $(x, y) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_P$ admits
torsion only if
\[ ct^n + dt^{n+1} + ht^{n+2} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{a} v_i + h t^2 \right) \cdot \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} w_j t^{n_j} + g_j t^{n_j+1} \right) = 0 \]
for some \( v_i, w_j, c, d \in k \) and \( h, h_i, g_j \in \mathcal{O}_P \) where \( c \neq 0 \), every \( w_j \neq 0 \), all \( n_j \geq 2 \) and \( v'_i \neq 0 \) for some \( i' \in \{1, \ldots, a\} \). Writing \( \phi_P = (\phi_P 1, \ldots, \phi_P r) \), then for every \( j \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \) we have
\[ cw_j t^{m+n_j} + \ldots = -\phi_P (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{a} cv_i t^m + dv_i t^{m+1} + \ldots) \]
\[ = -c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_i a_{ij} \right) t^m - \left( c \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_i b_{ij} + d \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_i a_{ij} \right) t^{m+1} + \ldots \]
and since \( n_j \geq 2 \) we get
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_i a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_i b_{ij} = 0 \]
which means that for \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_a) \) we have \( \varphi(v) = 0 \). But \( v \neq 0 \) since \( v_i \neq 0 \). So \( \varphi \) is not injective.

Now consider
\[ (2.11) \quad W := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/m_{\mathbb{P}}^2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} E_{\mathbb{P}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/m_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} m_{\mathbb{P}}/m_{\mathbb{P}}^2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} E_{\mathbb{P}}. \]
Note that we are not able to use the distributive law here. On the other hand, \( W \) is a vector space over \( k_{\mathbb{P}} \) of dimension \( 2r \) with basis \( \{ 1 \otimes s_i, I \otimes s_i \}_{i=1}^{r} \). Moreover, \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/m_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} E_{\mathbb{P}} \) is by definition the fiber \( E_{\mathbb{P}} \). Besides \( m_{\mathbb{P}}/m_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \) is naturally associated to the fiber of the dualizing sheaf \( \omega_{\mathbb{P}} \) at \( \mathbb{P} \). This leads us to consider the following linear isomorphism of vector spaces over \( k_{\mathbb{P}} \), defined on generators as
\[ \mu : \quad W \longrightarrow E_{(\mathbb{P})} \otimes_{k_{\mathbb{P}}} (E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \omega_{\mathbb{P}})(\mathbb{P}), \]
\[ 1 \otimes s_i \longrightarrow (s_i \otimes 1) \otimes 0 \]
\[ I \otimes s_i \longrightarrow 0 \otimes (s_i \otimes dt \otimes 1). \]

This enables us to finally take care of the other two items in the triple we are aiming to build, besides the bundle \( E \) we have already defined. In fact, for a given torsion free sheaf \( F \) of semirank \( a \) on \( C \), consider the injective \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(k_{\mathbb{P}}^a, W) \) associated to it. Set
\[ V := \mu \circ \varphi(k_{\mathbb{P}}^a) \subset E_{(\mathbb{P})} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}})(\mathbb{P}). \]
Now given any \( a \)-dimensional subspace \( V \) of \( E_{(\mathbb{P})} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}})(\mathbb{P}) \), one may fix a priori a basis \( \{ v_i \}_{i=1}^{a} \) and consider the isomorphism
\[ \nu : \quad V \longrightarrow k_{\mathbb{P}}^a \]
\[ v_i \longmapsto e_i \]
This allows us to define the automorphism
\[ \sigma := \mu \circ \varphi \circ \nu : V \longrightarrow V \]
which is in \( GL(V) \) since \( \varphi \) is injective and \( \mu, \nu \) are bijective.
We are ready to define the functor we are looking for. Set
\[ \mathcal{F} : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}(r,d,a)) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{T}(r,d,a)) \]
\[ \mathcal{F} \mapsto (E,V,\sigma) \]
It is well defined since, for \( g \) and \( \overline{g} \) denoting the arithmetic genus of \( C \) and \( \overline{C} \), we have
\[ \deg_{\overline{C}} E = \deg_C E - r(g - \overline{g}) \]
\[ = (\deg_C \mathcal{F} + a) - r \]
\[ = d + a - r \]
Now we define how the functor acts on morphisms. First, recall from the statement of the theorem in the introduction, that a morphism of triples
\[ \tilde{\Phi} : (E,V,\sigma) \rightarrow (E',V',\sigma') \]
is a morphism \( \Phi : E \rightarrow E' \) satisfying (\( \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)(\mathcal{E}) \))(V) \( \subset V' \) such that
\[ (2.13) \]
\[ V \xrightarrow{\sigma} V \]
\[ \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)(\mathcal{E}) \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ V' \xrightarrow{\sigma'} V' \]
is a commutative diagram.
So let \( f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \) be a homomorphism of torsion free sheaves on \( C \) and set \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) := (E,V,\sigma) \) and \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}') := (E',V',\sigma') \). If \( \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{F}' \) are the subsheaves as in (2.4), then clearly \( f \) maps \( \mathcal{E} \) into \( \mathcal{E}' \). It lifts to a morphism of vector bundles
\[ \Phi := \pi^*(f|\mathcal{E})/\text{torsion} : E \rightarrow E'. \]
on the normalization.
We have the following commutative diagram
\[ (2.14) \]
\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow k_{P}^{1/a} \rightarrow 0 \]
\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow k_{P}^{1/a'} \rightarrow 0. \]
and an induced map \( f_{\mathcal{F}} : k_{P}^{1/a} \rightarrow k_{P}^{1/a'} \). Set \( W' := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}/m_{\mathcal{F}}^2) \otimes E_{\mathcal{F}} \) as in (2.11) and \( \mu' : W' \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{F}})(\mathcal{F}) \) as in (2.12). Let \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(k_{P}^{1/a},W) \) and \( \varphi' \in \text{Hom}(k_{P}^{1/a'},W') \) denote the homomorphisms associated to the extensions of diagram (2.14) according to (2.10). By definition the following diagram commutes
\[ k_{P}^{1/a} \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \xrightarrow{\mu} E_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{F}})(\mathcal{F}) \]
\[ f_{\mathcal{F}} \]
\[ k_{P}^{1/a'} \xrightarrow{\varphi'} W' \xrightarrow{\mu'} E_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus (E \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{F}})(\mathcal{F}) \]
Since \( V := \mu \circ \varphi(k_{P}^{1/a}) \) and \( V' := \mu' \circ \varphi(k_{P}^{1/a'}) \) we have \( (\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \oplus (\Phi \otimes 1)(\mathcal{F}))(V) \subset V' \). The fact that the diagram (2.13) commutes for any choice of basis \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) in \( V \) and \( V' \) is straightforward.
The functor $\Phi$ is now defined both on objects and morphisms. By construction it is bijective on objects, so it remains to prove that $\Phi$ is fully faithful.

So let $\Phi : (E, V, \sigma) \rightarrow (E', V', \sigma')$ be a homomorphism of triples on $C$. Let $F$ and $F'$ be torsion free sheaves on $C$ with $\Psi([F]) = (E, V, \sigma)$ and $\Psi([F']) = (E', V', \sigma')$.

Note that both $\mu \circ \varphi$ and $\mu' \circ \varphi'$ are linear isomorphisms onto $V$ and $V'$, so $\Phi$ induces a map $f_P : k\oplus a_P \rightarrow k\oplus a_{P'}$ and hence a map $f_P : k\oplus a_P \rightarrow k\oplus a_{P'}$. This yields a map $\tilde{f}_P : M\oplus a \rightarrow M\oplus a'$, as in the diagram

The universal property of the push-out gives us a homomorphism $f : F \rightarrow F'$ such that the whole diagram commutes. It is uniquely determined as a map of extensions so $\Phi$ is fully faithful.

\[\square\]
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