The SSA22 H I Tomography Survey (SSA22-HIT). I. Dataset and compiled redshift catalog
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ABSTRACT

We conducted a deep spectroscopic survey, named SSA22-HIT, in the SSA22 field with DEIMOS on the Keck telescope, designed to tomographically map of high-z H I gas through analysis of Lyα absorption in background galaxies’ spectra. In total, 198 galaxies were spectroscopically confirmed at 2.5 < z < 6 with a few low-z exceptions in the 26 × 15 arcmin² area, of which 148 were newly determined in this study. Our redshift measurements were merged with previously confirmed redshifts available in the 34 × 27 arcmin² area of the SSA22 field. This compiled catalog containing 730 galaxies of various types at z > 2 is useful for various applications, and it is made publicly available. Our SSA22-HIT
survey has increased by approximately twice the number of spectroscopic redshifts of sources at \( z > 3.2 \) in the observed field. From a comparison with publicly available redshift catalogs, we show that our compiled redshift catalog in the SSA22 field is comparable to those among major extra-galactic survey fields in terms of a combination of wide area and high surface number density of objects at \( z > 2 \). About 40% of the spectroscopically confirmed objects in SSA22-HIT show reasonable quality of spectra in the wavelengths shorter than \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) when a sufficient amount of smoothing is adopted. Our data set enables us to make the \( \text{H}\text{I} \) tomographic map at \( z \gtrsim 3 \), which we present in a parallel study.

*Keywords*: Redshift surveys (1378), High-redshift galaxies (734), Catalogs (205), Intergalactic medium (813)

1. INTRODUCTION

It is important to investigate how galaxies are formed through the assembly of gaseous matter. Inter-/circumgalactic medium (IGM/CGM) in the high-redshift Universe was probed using the \( \text{H}\text{I} \) \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) and metal absorption lines imprinted in the spectra of background QSOs (Rauch 1998; Péroux et al. 2003; Adelberger et al. 2003; Wolfe et al. 2005; Hemawi et al. 2006; Rudie et al. 2012; Rakic et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2014; Prochaska et al. 2014; Boksenberg & Sargent 2015; Cai et al. 2015; Cooke & O’Meara 2015; Mukae et al. 2017). In the past two decades, star-forming galaxies have also been used as background light sources (e.g. Adelberger et al. 2003; Rubin et al. 2010; Steidel et al. 2010; Cooke & O’Meara 2015; Mawatari et al. 2016a; Lopez et al. 2018; Péroux et al. 2018). While the absorption can be measured only along sight-lines of background light sources, the higher surface number density of star-forming galaxies than those of QSOs makes it possible to interpolate between the discrete sight-lines and to reconstruct the three-dimensional IGM large-scale structures. In the past several years, a novel approach to resolve \( \text{H}\text{I} \) gas structures on Mpc scales using a Wiener-filtered tomographic method was introduced by the COSMOS \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) Mapping and Tomography Observations project (CLAMATO; Lee et al. 2014, 2016, 2018; Krolewski et al. 2018; Horowitz et al. 2021). This “IGM \( \text{H}\text{I} \) tomography” technique has been successfully applied in subsequent works (Newman et al. 2020; Ravoux et al. 2020; Mawatari et al. 2020a,b).

The spatial resolutions of the \( \text{H}\text{I} \) tomography in the celestial plane and along the line-of-sight (LOS) are determined by the surface number density of the background light sources and the spectral resolution of the observations, respectively. These are limited to a few comoving Mpc (cMpc), even with the deepest observations of \( z = 2–3 \) galaxies (Lee et al. 2018; Horowitz et al. 2021). The current spatial resolution is suitable to probe IGM large-scale structures, often called the “Cosmic Web” (e.g., Mo et al. 2010 and references therein). Future high-sensitivity instruments such as the Wide Field Optical Spectrograph (WFOS) on the Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT; Pazder et al. 2006) and MOSAIC on the European Extremely Large Telescope (ELT; Hammer et al. 2014; Puech et al. 2018) will enable finer resolution \( \text{H}\text{I} \) tomography (Pazder et al. 2006; Japelj et al. 2019) and mapping of metal absorption lines (Thronson et al. 2009; Skidmore et al. 2015).

For \( \text{H}\text{I} \) tomography, we must detect the spectral continua of individual background galaxies significantly at wavelengths shorter than the galaxies’ \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) line (\( \lambda < 1215.67 \text{\AA} \) in the rest-frame). This requirement is more observationally demanding than the detection of emission lines or spectral breaks imposed in many spectroscopic surveys for redshift confirmation. At the same time, wide-area observations are also needed to cover large-scale structures over tens of cMpc scales. Many of the previous tomography studies were based on their own fine-tuned observations (Lee et al. 2014, 2018; Newman et al. 2020; Mawatari et al. 2020a).

In this article, we focus particularly on the SSA22 field, which contains one of the largest spatially observed proto-clusters (Yamada et al. 2012b; Overzier 2016; Cai et al. 2017). The proto-cluster at \( z = 3.1 \) in the SSA22 field was initially discovered by Steidel et al. (1998) through a large spectroscopic survey of Lyman break galaxies (LBGs). Several subsequent observations (Hayashino et al. 2004; Matsuda et al. 2004, 2011; Lehmer et al. 2009a; Tamura et al. 2009; Yamada et al. 2012b; Uchimoto et al. 2012; Kubo et al. 2013, 2015; Umehata et al. 2014, 2015, 2017, 2018; Kato et al. 2016; Topping et al. 2016) have identified this proto-cluster as a prominent overdensity of a wide variety of objects such as \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) emitters (LAEs), \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) blobs (LABs), distant red galaxies (DRGs), submillimeter galaxies (SMGs), and X-ray detected active galactic nuclei (AGNs). High-sensitivity integral field unit (IFU) spectroscopy with a multi-unit spectroscopic explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2010) on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) revealed that the filamentary gas structure traced by the \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) emission extends over \( \sim 4 \) cMpc with a spatial resolution as high as 0.12 cMpc in the \( z = 3.1 \) proto-cluster.
maximum FWHM = 152 Å), NB497 (λcen = 4977 Å and FWHM = 78 Å), and NB816 (λcen = 8150 Å and FWHM = 118 Å) band images (Hayashino et al. 2004; Izawa et al. 2009; Nakamura et al. 2011; Yamada et al. 2012b); Subaru/Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC; Miyazaki et al. 2012, 2018) Y band image from the Subaru strategic program with HSC (HSC-SSP; Aihara et al. 2018; Furusawa et al. 2018; Kawanomoto et al. 2018; Komiyama et al. 2018; Miyazaki et al. 2018) in its internal data products (S14A0b) generated from raw data taken in 2014; Subaru/Multi-Objects Infra-Red Camera and Spectrograph (MOIRCS; Ichikawa et al. 2006) J, H, and Ks band images (Uchimoto et al. 2012); and Spitzer/IRAC (Fazio et al. 2004) 3.6 μm and 4.5 μm band images. The entire SSA22-Sb1 field is covered by the above imaging data, except for the MOIRCS and IRAC data.

We also created a composite image of the B and V bands (hereafter, the BV image) as $BV = (2B + V)/3$ following Yamada et al. (2012b) to estimate the continuum flux at the NB497 wavelength. The Suprime-Cam NB816 raw images captured by Hu et al. (2004) were reduced by us using the pipeline “SDFRED” (Yagi et al. 2002; Ouchi et al. 2004). For the IRAC images, we collected raw images via the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive and reduced them using a standard pipeline MOPEX1. Since astrometric correction in the used images was applied independently by different authors, we again calibrated astrometry to that of the stars in the USNO-B1.0 catalog (Monet et al. 2003) using NOAO/IRAF2. The resultant astrometric uncertainties are typically less than 1 arcsec, whereas the relative offsets among the different band images are much smaller.

Object extraction was performed on the $i'$- and $z'$-band images using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) version 2.5.0. We constructed multi-band photometry catalogs for objects detected in the $i'$- and $z'$-bands, adopting 2.2 arcsec diameter apertures in all band photometry. In aperture photometry, the $u^*, B, V, R_c, i', z', Y, J, H,$ and $K_s$ band images are smoothed such that their point spread function (PSF) sizes are matched to the FWHM of 1.1 arcsec. We also created another set of $i'$- and $z'$-band images smoothed to match their PSFs to those of the IRAC images (FWHM = 2 arcsec). We measured $i' - [3.6]$, $i' - [4.5]$, $z' - [3.6]$, and $z' - [4.5]$ colors on the FWHM = 2 arcsec images using 2.2 arcsec diameter apertures. By adding these colors to the $i'$ or $z'$ band magnitudes measured on the FWHM = 1.1 arcsec images, we obtained the 3.6 μm

1 https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/docs/dataanalysisstools/tools/
2 https://iraf-community.github.io/

(Umehata et al. 2019). In addition, a large H I gas reservoir in around the proto-cluster was suggested by Hayashino et al. (2019) and Mawatari et al. (2017), who detected strong Lyα absorption at $z = 3.1$ in the stacked background and narrow-band photometry, respectively. It is desirable to resolve the H I large-scale structure three-dimensionally and compare it with the spatial distributions of the galaxies and the Lyα emitting gas.

While a large number of spectroscopic surveys have been conducted in the SSA22 field, many of them aim to confirm the redshift of $z = 3.1$ proto-cluster members (Matsuda et al. 2005, 2006; Yamada et al. 2012a; Nestor et al. 2013; Erb et al. 2014; Kubo et al. 2015, 2016; Umehata et al. 2019). For H I tomography in the $z = 3.1$ proto-cluster, we need to increase the number of background galaxies at $3.2 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.7$, for which deep spectra at wavelengths shorter than Lyα are available. For this reason, we carried out new spectroscopic observations in the SSA22 field using a deep imaging multi-object spectrograph (DEIMOS: Faber et al. 2003) equipped on the Keck telescope. We call this new spectroscopic survey the SSA22 H I Tomography (SSA22-HIT) survey.

This is the first study to investigate the H I distribution in the SSA22 field. In this paper (Paper I), we focus on the observational aspects of our project, while discussion based on the H I tomography analysis is described in a companion paper (Mawatari et al.; Paper II). We describe the SSA22-HIT survey design and data reduction in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. The redshift determination in the SSA22-HIT survey and compilation of the archival redshift catalogs are described in Section 4. In Section 5, we briefly compare our compiled redshift catalog in the SSA22 field with public catalogs available in other extra-galactic survey fields. Quality assessment of the individual spectra and discussion for H I tomography are described in Section 6. In this study, we use the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and adopt a cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$.

2. SURVEY DESIGN

2.1. Photometric Data Set

In this study, we focus on the $\sim 34 \times 27$ arcmin$^2$ region centered at the LAE density peak, referred to as the SSA22-Sb1 field (Hayashino et al. 2004; Yamada et al. 2012b; Mawatari et al. 2017). We use the following multi-band imaging data: Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT)/Megacam (Boulaud et al. 2003) $u^*$ band image (Kousai 2011); Subaru/Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) $B, V, R_c, i', z', NB359$ (central wavelength $\lambda_{cen} = 3596$ Å and full width at half-
and 4.5\,\mu m magnitudes of all detected objects. These multiband photometric measurements were corrected for Galactic extinction based on Schlegel et al. (1998) with $R_V = 3.1$. Our multi-band photometry catalog in the SSA22-Sb1 field is the same as the “SSA22HIT master catalog” used in Yamanaka et al. (2020).

2.2. Target Selection

We define five major categories for spectroscopic targets based on their expected redshifts ($z_{\text{ex}}$). Categories T1, T2, and T3 consist of galaxies at $2.7 < z_{\text{ex}} < 3.2$, $3.2 < z_{\text{ex}} < 3.7$, and $3.7 < z_{\text{ex}} < 4.5$, respectively, which can be used as background light sources for the H\textsc{i} tomography at $2.5 \lesssim z < 4$. In particular, we prioritize the T2 category, which provides us with the spectra probing the H\textsc{i} absorption in the $z = 3.1$ proto-cluster. Categories T4 and T5 are defined to be at $4.3 < z_{\text{ex}} < 4.8$ and $4.8 < z_{\text{ex}} < 5.6$, respectively, which are used to search for galaxy overdensity regions at higher redshifts.

We developed our own color criteria for these categories. They were more carefully designed than the commonly used dropout (e.g., Steidel et al. 1995; Giavalisco 2002) and BX (Steidel et al. 2004) selection criteria since they were more sensitive to the specific redshift intervals of our target categories. The filters used in the color selection and model galaxy spectra (Bruzual & Charlot 2003; hereafter, BC03) with representative redshifts of the categories are shown in Figure 1. For BC03 model templates, we assumed a Chabrier initial mass function (IMF; Chabrier 2003) with a mass range of $0.1–100\,M_\odot$. For dust and IGM attenuation, we applied the Calzetti law (Calzetti et al. 2000) and the analytic model of Inoue et al. (2014), respectively. Figure 2 shows the color behaviors of the BC03 model galaxies, Galactic stars (Pickles 1998), and observed galaxies whose redshifts were spectroscopically confirmed by previous studies (Steidel et al. 2003; Kousai 2011; Saez et al. 2015; Hayashino et al. 2019). We determined the color criteria (gray shaded regions) described below to select the BC03 model galaxies with $10 < \text{age [Myr]} < 100$ and $E(B-V) \lesssim 0.2$.

For T1, we adopted

\begin{align}
23.5 & \leq i' \leq 25.5, \quad (1) \\
2.2(R_e - z') + 1 & \leq u^* - V \leq 2.2(R_e - z') + 1.6, \quad (2) \\
-0.8 & \leq R_e - z' \leq 0.1, \quad (3) \\
-0.4 & \leq i' - z' \leq 0.1, \quad (4) \\
-1 & \leq V - z' \leq 0.3. \quad (5)
\end{align}

The faint limit in the $i'$-band magnitude was determined to collect sufficient background galaxies for H\textsc{i} tomography with a mapping resolution of several cMpc. We also set a bright limit in the $i'$-band magnitude because many bright objects with $i' < 23.5$ showed low-$z$ contamination in our previous observations (Kousai 2011; Hayashino et al. 2019) using the visible multi-object spectrograph (VIMOS; Le Fèvre et al. 2003) on the VLT. Strict $R_e - z'$, $i' - z'$, and $V - z'$ criteria are required to avoid contamination from the galactic stars and low-$z$ passive galaxies dominated by the matured stellar population (Figure 2) as well as possible artifacts such as satellite trails and stellar spikes that can make the colors extremely red or blue. Such magnitude and color constraints at wavelengths longer than the Lyman break were also adopted for the other categories.

For T2, we adopted

\begin{align}
23.5 & \leq i' \leq 25.5, \quad (6) \\
u^* - V & \geq 1.2, \quad (7) \\
u^* - V & \geq 2.2(R_e - z') + 1.6, \quad (8) \\
-0.8 & \leq R_e - z' \leq 0.3, \quad (9) \\
-0.4 & \leq i' - z' \leq 0.15, \quad (10) \\
BV - NB497 & \leq 0.5, \quad (11)
\end{align}

where the $BV - NB497$ criterion was adopted to remove LAEs at $z = 3.1$. In the T1 and T2 target selections, we avoided imposing a criterion for a $B$ band depression compared to the longer wavelength bands. For $z \sim 3$ galaxies, the $B$ band samples the wavelength range between the Ly$\alpha$ and Ly$\beta$ (Figure 1) where the foreground Ly$\alpha$ absorption is imprinted. Because the main aim of this work is to collect the background sight-lines for H\textsc{i} absorption analysis, we avoided introducing any bias in the $B$ band flux due to the foreground absorption.

For T3, we adopted

\begin{align}
24 & \leq i' \leq 25.5, \quad (12) \\
B - R_e & \geq 0.8, \quad (13) \\
B - R_e & \geq 4.3(i' - z') + 1.2, \quad (14) \\
-0.4 & \leq i' - z' \leq 0.2, \quad (15) \\
u^* & > 26.9(2\sigma), \quad (16) \\
NB359 & > 26.6(2.5\sigma). \quad (17)
\end{align}

For T4, we adopted

\begin{align}
24 & \leq z' \leq 25.8, \quad (18) \\
V - z' & \geq 0.6, \quad (19) \\
V - z' & \geq 4.5(i' - z') + 1.1, \quad (20) \\
-0.4 & \leq i' - z' \leq 0.2, \quad (21) \\
B & > 28.35(1.5\sigma). \quad (22)
\end{align}

For T5, we adopted

\begin{align}
z' & \leq 25.75, \quad (23)
\end{align}
We used the DSIMULATOR\(^3\) software to design six DEIMOS slit masks (Mask01, 02, 03, 04, 05, and 06). The six masks were arranged within 26 × 15 arcmin\(^2\) of the SSA22-Sb1 field, and they overlap in the central 7.7 × 15 arcmin\(^2\) (Figure 3). We achieved a doubled surface density of the targets in the overlapped area where no target was shared among the different masks. We prioritized the categories as T2 and T3 with previously confirmed redshift (T2prevz and T3prevz) > T5 > T2 > T4 > T3 > T1, considering their number densities. We also prioritized the targets in each category in the following order: objects satisfying both the color criteria and the photo-z range, objects satisfying the color criteria, and objects satisfying only the photo-z range. Eventually, on average, 85 slits per mask were assigned, excluding alignment stars. Out of the total 513 slits, 8\%, 58\%, 12\%, 7\%, and 6\% are in T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5, respectively.

A small part of the slits were also assigned to the following interesting targets: candidate Lyman continuum leakers identified with NB359 (LyC; Iwata et al. 2009), SMGs detected with AzTEC and ALMA (Umehata et al. 2014, 2018), LAEs with the K\(_s\) band detection indicating old stellar age (oldLAEs; Yamada et al. 2012b), LAEs lying within the LAEs’ low-density region (LDRLAEs; Yamada et al. 2012b), a damped Ly\(_{\alpha}\) system identified along a galaxy sightline (galDLA; Mawatari et al. 2016a), and its coun-

\[ R_c - z' \geq 1.1, \]  
\[ R_c - z' \geq 4.5(NB816 - z') + 1.7, \]  
\[ NB816 - z' \leq 0.2, \]  
\[ B > 28.35(1.5\sigma). \]

Note that the above criteria were slightly relaxed for a small number of objects so that we could effectively fill the spectroscopic slits. We made it possible to distinguish the objects selected by the relaxed color criteria in our published catalog (see Appendix B).

Galaxies that are brighter than 25.5 mag in the \(i'\) band and spectroscopically confirmed as \(z_{\text{spec}} > 3.2\) in previous studies (Steidel et al. 2003; Kousai 2011; Saez et al. 2015; Hayashino et al. 2019; see also Section 4.2) were added to the T2 and T3 categories, which are referred to as T2prevz and T3prevz, respectively. Unless specified otherwise, the T2/T3 categories include the T2prevz/T3prevz sub-categories throughout this paper. We also estimated the photometric redshifts (photo-z) for the \(i'\)- or \(z'\)-band detected objects using a public software “HYPERZ” (Bolzonella et al. 2000) to increase spectroscopic target candidates. In this photo-z estimation for the target selection, we did not use the three Subaru narrow-band data. We used composite spectral templates of stellar components (BC03) and nebular emission lines (Inoue 2011), which are the same as those used in Mawatari et al. (2016b).

\(^3\) https://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/deimos/dsim.html
Figure 2. Two color diagrams used to select the spectroscopic targets in the five categories: T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5. In individual panels, the red solid (dotted) lines correspond to the color tracks of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) galaxy models from \(z = 0\) to 7 with constant star-formation history, stellar age of 100 Myr, and dust extinctions of \(E(B-V) = 0\) (0.25). The boundary redshifts of the categories are represented by squares. The brown dot-dashed lines are also the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model tracks but with instantaneous star-formation history, stellar age of 1 Gyr, and no dust extinction. The model galaxies are dominated by the mature stellar population. The colors of Galactic stars from the Pickles (1998) library are shown by green triangles. The blue circles correspond to the observed galaxies whose redshifts are spectroscopically confirmed to be in the redshift range of each category (Steidel et al. 2003; Kousai 2011; Saez et al. 2015; Hayashino et al. 2019). The arrows indicate the 2\(\sigma\) limits for non-detections in the bands at wavelengths shorter than the Lyman break. Our target selection criteria are shown in the gray shaded regions.

2.3. Spectroscopic Observations

We performed spectroscopic observations of the targets described above with DEIMOS (Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck II telescope in 2015 and 2016 using S274D (PI: T. Yamada), U066D (PI: D. Schlegel), S313D (PI: T. Yamada), and S290D (PI: T. Yamada). We were awarded four full nights and ten half nights in total. Because some nights were lost due to bad weather, the effective observation time corresponded to 41 h. We used a 600ZD grating with a slit width of 1 arcsec, which yielded a spectral resolution of \(\Delta \lambda \sim 4.7\ \text{Å}\) or resolving power \(R \sim 1000\). The pixel scales along the wavelength and spatial directions are \(\sim 0.62\ \text{Å}/\text{pix}\) and 0.1185 arcsec/pix, respectively. We chose the GG400 order blocking filter and set a central wavelength of 6300 Å or 6500 Å, which provided a wavelength coverage of 4000 Å \(\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 9000\) Å. The observed coordinates, number of slits, date, exposure time, and seeing are listed in Table 1. The raw data were classified by their slit masks and continuous observation dates (observing...
Figure 3. Sky coverage of the six DEIMOS masks (gray shade) in the SSA22-Sb1 field. All spectroscopic targets for the SSA22-HIT survey are superposed by their categories: T1 ($2.7 < z_{ex} < 3.2$) in cyan-filled circles, T2 ($3.2 < z_{ex} < 3.7$) in green filled circles, T3 ($3.7 < z_{ex} < 4.5$) in red filled circles, T4 ($4.3 < z_{ex} < 4.8$) in magenta filled circles, T5 ($4.8 < z_{ex} < 5.6$) in brown filled circles, LyC in x-marks, SMGs in open triangles, oldLAE in an asterisk, LAEs in the low-density region (LDRLAEs) in open squares, $z = 3.1$ proto-cluster members (PCs) in open pentagons, and the galaxy sight-line DLA and its counterpart candidates (galDLAs) in crosses. The background contours show the smoothed number density of the $z = 3.1$ LAEs: 0.5 (dashed), 1 (thick), 2, 3, 4 and 5 times the average density (0.2 arcmin$^{-2}$; Yamada et al. 2012b).

### DATA REDUCTION

For data reduction, we ran the commonly used DEEP2 DEIMOS spec2d pipeline (Newman et al. 2013; Cooper et al. 2012) version 1.1.4 for every observing run data. In the flat-fielding and wavelength calibration, red/blue flat and arc data were adopted for the red/blue CCD chips separately. Using sky lines, we further corrected the systematic wavelength offsets after calibration with the arc data. We eventually achieved a root-mean-square (RMS) of the wavelength calibration of less than 0.3 Å over the entire wavelength coverage. After stacking all frames in each observing run and each mask, the pipeline subtracts the background sky and extracts one-dimensional spectra from two-dimensional spectral images. We chose the spatial summation widths of $\sim$ Seeing-FWHM in the individual observation runs to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) for the con-

---

4 https://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/deimos/procs/calib_blue.html
The flux loss due to the slit and narrow spatial summation width was not corrected. Flux calibration was performed with IRAF. We found that second-order light at $3800 \AA \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 4500 \AA$ contaminates the main first-order spectra at $\lambda \gtrsim 8000 \AA$. We performed flux sensitivity functions at $\lambda \leq 7500 \AA$ and $\lambda \gtrsim 7500 \AA$ separately using blue and red standard stars. The intrinsic flux of the red standard stars at $\lambda \lesssim 4500 \AA$ makes the second-order light contamination negligible, while it is too faint to obtain a reliable sensitivity function at blue wavelengths. We combined the sensitivity functions made from the blue and red standard stars and used them for flux calibration. After the flux calibration, we stacked all observed runs’ spectra for each mask. The $2\sigma$ depths at $\lambda = 5000 \AA$ reached 0.19 − 0.48 $\mu$Jy per resolution (first column in Table 2). We note that the noise $\sigma$ increases with decreasing wavelengths at $\lambda < 5000 \AA$. The noise level increases by a factor of $\sim 2$ from $\lambda = 4750 \AA$ to 4250 $\AA$, which is in contrast to the constant noise level at 5000 $\AA < \lambda < 7000 \AA$. The spectra were corrected for the Galactic dust extinction based on Schlegel et al. (1998) with $R_V = 3.1$.

The spectra reduced with the spec2d pipeline are provided on an air wavelength scale. We converted the wavelengths from air to vacuum following a formula of Greisen et al. (2006) that is the same as one adopted by the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics General Assembly (1999)\(^5\).

4. REDSHIFT CATALOG CONSTRUCTION

4.1. Redshift Determination in SSA22-HIT

We determined the spectroscopic redshifts of the target objects using a “specpro” software (Masters & Capak 2011), which adopts cross-correlation routines for the observed and template spectra. The observed spectra were smoothed with a 5-pixel box-car kernel for cross-correlation. We used the following 10 spectral templates:

- VLBG: an LBG template from VIMOS VLT Deep Survey (VVDS; Le Fèvre et al. 2005).
- SLBG: a composite spectrum of $z \sim 3$ LBGs (Shapley et al. 2003).

---

\(^5\) http://www.iugg.org/assemblies/1999birmingham/1999crendus.pdf
Table 2. Summary of redshift determination in the SSA22-HIT survey for each slit mask

| Mask ID | Depth**[^a]** [µJy] | N_{sci} | N_{Ae} | N_{Aa} | N_{B} | N_{C} | Success rate**[^d]** [%] |
|---------|----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------|
| mask01  | 0.48                 | 86      | 11     | 2      | 5      | 3      | 24.4                    |
| mask02  | 0.40                 | 78      | 20     | 4      | 5      | 1      | 38.5                    |
| mask03  | 0.22                 | 84      | 22     | 5      | 5      | 6      | 45.2                    |
| mask04  | 0.22                 | 86      | 25     | 4      | 3      | 3      | 47.7                    |
| mask05  | 0.25                 | 93      | 17     | 6      | 4      | 2      | 31.2                    |
| mask06  | 0.19                 | 86      | 18     | 6      | 9      | 6      | 45.3                    |
| Total   | —                    | 513     | 113    | 32     | 30     | 23     | 38.6                    |

---

[^a]: The 2σ depth per resolution (Δλ ~ 4.7 Å) at λ = 5000 Å.
[^b]: Number of slits for the science targets except for the alignment stars.
[^c]: Number of objects with a given redshift quality flag (see text).
[^d]: Success rate defined as the number fraction of objects whose redshifts are confirmed with the quality flags of Ae, Aa, B, or C over the science targets: $(N_{Ae} + N_{Aa} + N_{B} + N_{C})/N_{sci}$.

Table 3. Summary of redshift determination in the SSA22-HIT survey for each category

| Category | N_{sci} | N_{Ae} | N_{Aa} | N_{B} | N_{C} | Success rate**[^a]** | N_{zcorrect} | Recovery rate**[^c]** |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| T1       | 40      | 6      | 1      | 2      | 2      | 27.5                | 9             | 81.8                 |
| T2[^d]   | 270     | 39     | 16     | 26     | 15     | 35.6                | 38            | 39.6                 |
| T2prevz[^d] | 29      | 14     | 7      | 1      | 1      | 79.3                | —             | —                    |
| T3[^d]   | 56      | 15     | 0      | 0      | 1      | 28.6                | 12            | 75.0                 |
| T3prevz[^d] | 7      | 6      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 85.7                | —             | —                    |
| T4       | 35      | 8      | 1      | 0      | 3      | 34.3                | 8             | 66.7                 |
| T5       | 32      | 3      | 0      | 1      | 0      | 12.5                | 3             | 75.0                 |
| LyC      | 4       | 2      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 50.0                | —             | —                    |
| SMG      | 10      | 1      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 20.0                | —             | —                    |
| oldLAE   | 16      | 11     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 100.0               | —             | —                    |
| LDRLAE   | 8       | 7      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 87.5                | —             | —                    |
| galDLA   | 3       | 3      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 100.0               | —             | —                    |
| PC       | 18      | 8      | 7      | 0      | 0      | 83.3                | —             | —                    |

---

[^a]: The definitions of N_{sci}, N_{Ae}, N_{Aa}, N_{B}, N_{C}, and the success rate are the same as those in Table 2.
[^b]: Number of each category objects whose spectroscopic redshifts are found to be within the expected range.
[^c]: Recovery rate defined as a number fraction of objects whose redshifts are within the expected range of each category over those spectroscopically confirmed redshifts (irrespective of the expected range): $N_{zcorrect}/(N_{Ae} + N_{Aa} + N_{B} + N_{C})$.
[^d]: In this table, the T2/T3 category objects are divided into those with and without previous spectroscopic redshift measurements (see also Section 2.2) that are referred to T2prevz/T3prevz and just T2/T3, respectively.
Figure 4. Observed spectra of four example galaxies taken with the Keck/DEIMOS in the SSA22-HIT survey. The four galaxies are sorted by their redshift quality flags. In each figure, the one-dimensional (top panel) and two-dimensional (bottom panel) spectra are shown. The black and gray lines correspond to the one-dimensional spectra and error spectra that are smoothed with a 5-pixel box-car kernel, respectively. We also show much more smoothed spectra with a 39-pixel box-car kernel using the red lines. The yellow shaded region corresponds to the wavelength range in which we analyze the foreground H$_\text{I}$ $\text{Ly}_\alpha$ absorption. The green shaded regions represent the atmospheric absorption bands. The vertical dashed lines represent the wavelengths of the possible emission/absorption lines. The blue arrows superimposed on the two-dimensional spectra mark the lines that were visually identified.

Figure 5. Spectroscopic redshift distribution of the objects identified in the SSA22-HIT survey by the redshift quality flags (top) and the target categories (bottom). The objects that show low-$z$ contamination at $0 \leq z \leq 0.5$ are shown in the subpanels. The two-headed arrows represent the expected redshift ranges of the five major target categories (T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5).

Figure 6. Sky distributions of the objects observed in the SSA22-HIT survey. All targets observed are shown by open circles, while the filled circles correspond to the objects whose redshifts are determined ($z < 3.2$ in cyan, $3.05 \leq z \leq 3.12$ in blue, $3.2 \leq z < 3.7$ in green, $3.7 \leq z < 4.5$ in red, and $z \geq 4.5$ in brown). The background contours show the $z = 3.1$ LAE number density, which are the same as those in Figure 3.
• VI08eLBG: a composite spectrum of LBGs with strong Ly\(\alpha\) emission line from the previous VIMOS survey (Hayashino et al. 2019).

• VI08aLBG: a composite spectrum of LBGs with no Ly\(\alpha\) emission line from the previous VIMOS survey (Hayashino et al. 2019).

• HITdr1eLBG: a composite of the SSA22-HIT spectra of LBGs with strong Ly\(\alpha\) emission line, of which redshifts are easily identified (e.g., they are already confirmed in the previous works; multiple emission/absorption lines are obviously seen).

• HITdr1aLBG: a composite of the SSA22-HIT spectra of LBGs with no Ly\(\alpha\) emission line, of which redshifts are easily identified (e.g., they are already confirmed in the previous works; multiple emission/absorption lines are obviously seen).

• VSBurst: a low-\(z\) starburst galaxy template with extremely strong nebular lines from the VVDS.

• VEs: a low-\(z\) elliptical galaxy template from the VVDS.

• SDSSQSO: a broad line quasar template from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Schneider et al. 2010).

• M\(\odot\)star: a M6 type stellar template (Pickles 1998).

The VLBG, SLBG, VSBurst, VEs, SDSSQSO, and M\(\odot\)star templates are the same as those in the original specpro library (Masters & Capak 2011).

For many observed galaxies at \(z > 2\), similar redshift solutions can be obtained by multiple templates among VLBG, SLBG, VI08eLBG, VI08aLBG, HITdr1eLBG, and HITdr1aLBG. To determine the best-fit template, we set the priority as follows. The SLBG, HITdr1eLBG, and HITdr1aLBG templates are more prioritized than the VLBG, VI08eLBG, and VI08aLBG templates because the former have spectral resolutions comparable to the observed DEIMOS spectra. The HITdr1eLBG and HITdr1aLBG templates, which are generated from subsets of the observed objects to be fitted, are less prioritized than the SLBG template. As a result, most of the spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at \(z > 2\) with and without the Ly\(\alpha\) emission line were fit by the SLBG and HITdr1aLBG templates, respectively.

We also visually inspected the spectra because the cross-correlation scheme sometimes fails to find the redshift solution, as suggested by the code developers (Masters & Capak 2011). The spectral features that we carefully searched for are the Ly\(\alpha\) emission/absorption (1215.67 Å in the rest-frame\(^6\)) line, He\(\text{II}\) (1640.35 Å), and C\(\text{III}\)\(^\text{+}\) (1906.68 Å and 1908.73 Å) emission lines, and Si\(\text{II}\) (1260.42 Å), O\(\text{I}\) (1302.17 Å), Si\(\text{II}\) (1304.37 Å), C\(\text{II}\) (1334.53 Å), Si\(\text{IV}\) (1393.76 Å and 1402.77 Å), Si\(\text{III}\) (1526.71 Å), C\(\text{IV}\) (1548.19 Å and 1550.77 Å), Fe\(\text{II}\) (1608.45 Å), and Al\(\text{II}\) (1670.79 Å) absorption lines. We also searched [O\(\text{II}\)] (3727.3 Å and 3729.2 Å), H\(\beta\) (4861.3 Å), [O\(\text{III}\)] (4958.9 and 5006.8 Å), and H\(\alpha\) (6562.8 Å) emission lines as a signature of low redshift contamination. In the visual inspection, we set four types of flags for the determined redshifts based on their reliability and their spectral features (redshift quality flags): Ae (firm redshifts are obtained from clear emission lines), Aa (firm redshifts are obtained from clear absorption lines), B (probable redshifts are obtained from emission lines), and C (possible redshifts are obtained from absorption lines). The flag C objects should be treated carefully for scientific use, because they may include redshift misidentifications. Figure 4 shows the example spectra of the four redshift-quality flags. All the spectra of the spectroscopically confirmed objects are shown in Appendix A.

We eventually obtained spectroscopic redshifts for 198 objects, of which the redshift and sky distributions are

\(^6\) The rest-frame wavelengths of the emission/absorption lines are extracted from Kramida et al. (2020) in a vacuum below 2000 Å or otherwise in air. Note that these values are for reference only and are not used to calculate the redshifts.
Figure 8. Magnitude distribution (bottom) and number fraction of the spectroscopically confirmed objects (bottom) for each of the five major categories. In each panel, the solid and dashed histograms represent the observed and spectroscopically confirmed objects in the given category, respectively. We used the i’-band magnitudes for the T1, T2, and T3 categories, while the z’-band magnitudes are used for the T4 and T5 categories.

shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Table 2 summarizes their detailed numbers using masks and redshift quality flags. Success rates in the redshift determination, which are defined as the number fractions of objects whose redshifts are confirmed over all the observed targets, are roughly inversely proportional to the depths. Figure 7 shows the broad-band magnitude histograms of spectroscopically confirmed objects as well as for all targets. The fainter the continuum of an object, the harder it is to determine its redshift. This tendency is more pronounced in objects identified by absorption lines (Aa and C) than in those identified by emission lines (Ae and B). Figure 8 also shows the magnitude histograms for each of the five major categories. Their magnitude dependencies in the success rates seem similar to those of all objects, which is, however, not statistically significant except for the T2 category. The number summary for each target category is listed in Table 3. To evaluate the accuracy of the prior redshift expectations (z_{prior}) for the targets in the five major categories (T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5; Section 2.2), we define a recovery rate as the number fraction of each category of objects whose spectroscopic redshifts are found to be within the expected range over those spectroscopically confirmed redshifts (irrespective of the expected redshift range). To calculate the recovery rates, we excluded the objects with previous spectroscopic redshift measurements in the T2/T3 categories (T2prevz/T3prevz; Section 2.2). The recovery rates in T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 were 82%, 40%, 75%, 67%, and 75%, respectively. As shown in Figure 5, the spectroscopic redshift distribution in each major category extends beyond the low and high boundaries of the prior expectation. We have published the properties of all the observed targets, including the redshift measurements, redshift quality flags, and target categories (see Appendix B).

The cross-correlation technique is expected to provide systemic redshifts unless the spectral properties of the templates used are very different from those of observed objects. The majority of our redshift measurements are determined by cross-correlation with the SLBG and HITdr1aLBG templates. The SLBG template is a composite of LBGs whose redshift and magnitude ranges are similar to those of our HIT sample (Shapley et al. 2003; Steidel et al. 2003). We then consider our redshift measurements to be systemic.

The error estimation of the redshifts measured using the cross-correlation technique is not trivial, a conservative estimate for the redshift uncertainty can be given by the spectral resolution of our HIT data (Δλ ∼ 4.7 Å; see Section 2.3), resulting in Δz ∼ 0.001(1 + z) or Δv ∼ 280 km s⁻¹. After comparing with previous redshift measurements from the literature and archival catalogs (see Sections 4.2), there were 148 objects whose redshifts were newly determined in our SSA22-HIT survey. For the remaining 50 objects, we investigated the redshift differences between those measured in the present study and those of previous works, z_{HIT} − z_{previous}. Their redshift differences were found to be ≈ 0.0002 on average, with a standard deviation of ≈ 0.004. Considering the redshift uncertainties of our and previous measurements (see Section 4.2 and Table 4), we conclude that our redshift measurements are consistent with those of previous studies.

4.2. Ancillary Data

We collected the redshift catalogs available in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which are summarized in Table 4. Numerous published works have conducted follow-up
Table 4. Summary of redshift catalogs available in the SSA22-Sb1 field

| Catalog ID   | Instrument      | Wavelength coverage | Resolution Δλa | z Unc. Δz b | Nc | Object Type                          | References |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|----|--------------------------------------|------------|
| SSA22-HIT    | Keck/DEIMOS     | 4000 – 9000 Å       | 4.7 Å         | 0.004       | 175 | LBG, LyC, SMG, LAE                   | This work  |
| VIMOS06      | VLT/VIMOS       | 3700 – 6800 Å       | 25 Å          | 0.02        | 50  | LBG, AGN                             | (1), This work |
| VIMOS08      | VLT/VIMOS       | 3700 – 6800 Å       | 25 Å          | 0.006       | 82  | LBG, AGN                             | (1), (2)   |
| VIMOS12      | VLT/VIMOS       | 3700 – 6800 Å       | 25 Å          | 0.02        | 39  | LBG, SMG, LyC                        | (3), This work |
| DEIMOS08     | Keck/DEIMOS     | 4000 – 8000 Å       | 2.8 Å         | 0.002       | 34  | LAE, LBG, LA, Kdet                  | This work  |
| oMACS        | Magellan/IMACS  | 4800 – 7800 Å       | 6.7 Å         | 0.006       | 29  | LAE, LyC, LAB, LBG                   | This work  |
| Steidel+03   | Keck/LRIS       | 4000 – 7000 Å       | 7.5 Å         | 0.0035      | 133 | LBG, AGN                             | (4)        |
| Nestor+13    | Keck/LRIS       | 3500 – 6800 Å       | 5 – 10 Å      | 0.007       | 152 | LBG, LAE                             | (5)        |
| Erb+14       | Keck/MOSFIRE    | H, K                | 4 – 6 Å       | 0.0001      | 19  | LAE                                  | (6)        |
| Matsuda+05   | Subaru/FOCUS    | H, K                | 10 Å          | 0.008       | 64  | LAE, LAB                             | (7)        |
| Matsuda+06   | Keck/DEIMOS     | 4500 – 7500 Å       | 2.2 Å         | 0.003       | 39  | LAE, LAB                             | (8)        |
| Yamada+12    | Subaru/FOCUS    | 4850 – 5100 Å       | 3 Å           | 0.004       | 91  | LAE, LAB                             | (9)        |
| Yamanaka+20  | Keck/MOSFIRE    | K                   | 6 Å           | < 0.001     | 14  | LyC, LBG, LAE                        | (10)       |
| Kubo+15      | Subaru/MOIRCS   | H, K                | 10.40 Å       | < 0.001     | 38  | DRG, HERO, SMG, XR                  | (11)       |
|               |                 |                     |               |             |     | M24, LAB, LAE, Kdet                  |            |
| Kubo+16      | Subaru/MOIRCS   | H, K                | 10.40 Å       | 0.0002      | 3   | SMG                                  | (12)       |
|               | Keck/NIRSPEC    | H, K                | 19 Å          | 0.0003      | 1   | LAB                                  | (12), (13) |
|               | LBT/LUCIFER     | H, K                | 17 Å          | 0.0003      | 1   | LAB                                  | (12), (13) |
|               | WHT/SAURON      | 4810 – 5350 Å       | 4.2 Å         | 0.001       | 1   | LAB                                  | (12), (14) |
| ADF22        | ALMA            | Band 3 (3.6 mm)     | —             | < 0.001     | 12  | SMG                                  | (15)       |
|               | Subaru/MOIRCS   | H, K                | 10.40 Å       | 0.0009      | 2   | SMG                                  | (11), (15) |
|               | IRAM/PdBI       | Band 1 (3.6 mm)     | —             | 0.0007      | 1   | SMG                                  | (16), (17) |
| Chapman+05   | Keck/ESI        | 3200 – 10000 Å      | 1 Å           | 0.0008      | 1   | SMG                                  | (19), (20) |
| Chapman+04   | Keck/LRIS       | 3100 – 8000 Å       | 5 – 8 Å       | 0.005       | 9   | SMG                                  | (19)       |
| Saez+15      | Keck/DEIMOS     | 4600 – 9700 Å       | 4 Å           | 0.001       | 89  | XR, bright, LBG, AGN, Star           | (22)       |
|               | Keck/LRIS       | 3500 – 10000 Å      | 10 Å          | 0.001       | 16  | XR, bright, LBG, AGN                 | (22)       |
|               | VLT/VIMOS       | 3700 – 6700 Å       | 2.3 Å         | 0.001       | 277 | XR, bright, LBG, AGN, Star           | (22)       |
|                | VVDS-Wide       | VLT/VIMOS           | 5500 – 9350 Å | 30 Å        | 0.02 | bright, AGN                          | (23), (24) |

aTypical FWHM values of spectral resolution. Uncertainties associated with the systemic redshifts (zsys). Typical values at z ~ 3 described in the original literature or references therein are listed for VIMOS08, Erb+14, Yamanaka+20, Kubo+15, Kubo+16, Saez+15, and ADF22. For SSA22-HIT, VIMOS06, DEIMOS08, Chapman+05, Chapman+04, and VVDS-Wide where the systemic redshifts are determined based on the cross-correlation technique or based on comparison with spectral templates, we take the spectral resolution as conservative estimates of the redshift uncertainties assuming z = 3. For VIMOS12, oMACS, Steidel+03, Nestor+13, Matsuda+05, Matsuda+06, and Yamada+12, where we converted their zem and/or zabs to zsys, we calculated the root-sum-squares of the zem/zabs uncertainties and the RMS scatter of the Adelberger et al. (2005) formulae. The zem/zabs uncertainties are given by the original literature for Steidel+03 and the spectral resolutions assuming z = 3 for the others.

cNumber of objects whose redshifts are confirmed in the SSA22-Sb1 field (334.1014 < RA[deg] < 334.6532, 0.0402 < Dec[deg] < 0.4942). Multiple counts of objects by different catalogs or different instruments are allowed.

d(1) Koushi (2011) (2) Hayashino et al. (2019) (3) Umehata et al. (in prep) (4) Steidel et al. (2003) (5) Nestor et al. (2013) (6) Erb et al. (2014) (7) Matsuda et al. (2005) (8) Matsuda et al. (2006) (9) Yamada et al. (2012a) (10) Yamanaka et al. (2020) (11) Kubo et al. (2015) (12) Kubo et al. (2016) (13) McLinden et al. (2013) (14) Weijmans et al. (2010) (15) Umehata et al. (2019) (16) Umehata et al. (2017) (17) Bothwell et al. (2013) (18) Umehata et al. (2018) (19) Chapman et al. (2005) (20) Chapman et al. (2002) (21) Chapman et al. (2004) (22) Saez et al. (2015) (23) Le Fèvre et al. (2013) (24) Garilli et al. (2008)

eIn the catalog compilation, the less reliable redshift measurements with the redshift quality flag = C in SSA22-HIT (Section 4.1), VIMOS06, and DEIMOS08 (Section 4.2) are not used.
spectroscopy of rest-frame UV-selected star-forming galaxies. Hayashino et al. (2019) reported 82 LBGs and AGNs at $2 < z < 4$ in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which was based on a deep spectroscopic survey performed with VLT/VIMOS in 2008 (VIMOS08). They measured redshifts in two ways using the Lyα emission line ($z_{\text{em}}$) and multiple interstellar absorption lines ($z_{\text{abs}}$). Because both often offset from the systemic redshift ($z_{\text{sys}}$) owing to the outflowing interstellar gas (e.g., Pettini et al. 2001; Shapley et al. 2003), they estimated the systemic redshifts by adopting the calibration formulae proposed by Adelberger et al. (2005). The calibration formulae from $z_{\text{em}}$ and/or $z_{\text{abs}}$ to $z_{\text{sys}}$ were obtained by linear fitting to the measurements of the three types of redshifts for 138 galaxies at $2 < z < 3.5$, where the systemic redshifts were precisely determined through near-infrared (NIR) observations of rest-frame optical nebular emission lines (Adelberger et al. 2005). Steidel et al. (2003) conducted a large spectroscopic survey of LBGs using the Keck Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995). They released 940 spectroscopic redshifts at $2 < z < 4$, of which 133 lie in the SSA22-Sb1 field. Two QSOs were found in the SSA22-Sb1 field. Their catalog contains two types of redshifts, $z_{\text{em}}$ and $z_{\text{abs}}$, from which we estimated $z_{\text{sys}}$ by adopting the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005). Nestor et al. (2013) confirmed redshifts for 51 LBGs at $2.4 < z < 3.4$ and 101 LAEs at $z \approx 3.1$ using Keck LRIS. Both or either of $z_{\text{em}}$ and $z_{\text{abs}}$ are contained in their catalog, from which we estimated $z_{\text{sys}}$ by adopting the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005). Erb et al. (2014) used the Multi-Object Spectrometer For Infra-Red Exploration on the Keck telescope (MOSFIRE; McLean et al. 2010, 2012) to confirm redshifts of 19 LAEs in the $z \approx 3.1$ SSA22 protocluster. While their targets overlap with those of Steidel et al. (2003) and Nestor et al. (2013), their systemic redshifts determined from the rest-frame optical nebular emission lines ([OIII] 5007 and Hα) are more reliable, and no extra calibration is applied. Matsuda et al. (2005), Matsuda et al. (2006), and Yamada et al. (2012a) confirmed redshifts for 64, 39, and 91 LAEs at $z \approx 3.1$ using the Subaru Faint Object Camera and Spectrograph (FOCAS; Kashikawa et al. 2002) and Keck DEIMOS, some of which are LABs. Their redshifts are all determined from the peaks of the Lyα emission lines, from which we estimated $z_{\text{sys}}$ by adopting the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005). Yamanaka et al. (2020) conducted spectroscopic observations with the Keck MOSFIRE-targeting LyCs (Iwata et al. 2009; Micheva et al. 2017b), LBGs (this work), and LAEs (Yamada et al. 2012b,a). They detected multiple lines among [OIII] 5007, [OIII] 4959, and H β for the two LyCs, ten LBGs, and one LAE, which provide accurate systemic redshifts. For our catalog compilation, we also took an LBG, SSA22-LBG-05 in Yamanaka et al. (2020), for which only [OIII] 5007 is detected in the MOSFIRE observations, but Lyα is identified in our SSA22-HIT survey.

In the SSA22 field, galaxies selected at NIR or longer wavelengths have also been actively investigated. They are massive quenched or dust-obscured galaxies at $z \gtrsim 2$. Kubo et al. (2015) used Subaru MOIRCS to observe candidate proto-cluster members with $K_s < 24$ mag and $2.6 < \text{photo-z} < 3.6$ (Kdet), distant red galaxies (DRGs; $J - K > 1.4$; van Dokkum et al. 2003), hyper extremely red objects (HEROs; $J - K > 2.1$; Totani et al. 2001), Spitzer MIPS 24 µm sources (M24), AzTEC/ASTE 1.1 mm sources (SMGs), Chandra X-ray sources (XR), LAEs, and LABs. They confirmed systemic redshifts of 39 galaxies at $2.0 < z < 3.4$ from their rest-frame optical nebular emission lines. We ignore J221737.3+001816.0, whose redshift was determined from the Balmer break with large uncertainty. In Kubo et al. (2016), the authors intensively investigated groups of massive galaxies associated with an SMG and LABs at $z = 3.1$ through their NIR spectroscopic observations and archival redshift catalogs. We catalog the six galaxies from Kubo et al. (2016), avoiding duplication of the source catalogs with the other archival data used in this study. The ALMA deep field in the SSA22 (ADF22) survey is a deep 20 arcmin$^2$ survey at 1.1 mm using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) Band 6 (Umehata et al. 2017, 2018). Among the 35 ALMA-detected SMGs, 21 were spectroscopically confirmed at $2 < z < 3$ by the ALMA observations and archival redshift catalogs. We cataloged the 19 galaxies whose redshifts originally come from Bothwell et al. (2013) and Umehata et al. (2015, 2018, 2019), avoiding duplication of the source catalogs with the other archival data used in this study. Their redshift identifications were based on the NIR or FIR observations of the rest-frame optical or longer-wavelength emission lines, which ensures systemic redshifts. Chapman et al. (2005) performed a redshift survey of SMGs using the Keck/LRIS and Echelle Spectrograph and Imager (ESI: Sheinis et al. 2002). Spectroscopic redshifts were confirmed for 73 SMGs, of which 10 were in the SSA22-Sb1 field. The SMGs of Chapman et al. (2005) were originally selected using the Submillimeter Common-User Bolometer Array-2 (SCUBA-2; Holland et al. 2013) equipped with the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT), which has a large beam size of $\gtrsim 10$ arcsec. They attempted to
accurately identify the optical counterparts for spectroscopic observations by cross-matching with radio sources in the finer-resolution Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) 20 cm map, but this identification method is not complete (Hodge et al. 2013). Chapman et al. (2004) measured spectroscopic redshifts for 18 optical-faint, submm-faint, and radio-bright galaxies (OFRGs) using Keck/LRIS, among which two are in the SSA22-Sb1 field. The redshifts in the catalogs of Chapman et al. (2004, 2005) were determined based on a comparison with the template spectra in multiple features such as the Lyα emission line, interstellar absorption lines, and continuum breaks, to which extra calibration was not applied.

Saez et al. (2015) conducted a large spectroscopic survey of X-ray sources (XR), bright objects with $R < 22.5$ (bright), and LBGs in the SSA22-Sb1 field using the VLT/VIMOS, Keck/DEIMOS, and LRIS. They confirmed redshifts of the 247 extragalactic sources and 120 Galactic stars using the same cross-correlation technique as we adopted for the SSA22-HIT data. We did not apply extra calibration to redshift measurements. They adopted their AGN criteria for XR sources spectroscopically confirmed by their observations as well as by Lehmer et al. (2009a), identifying a total of 84 AGNs. The VIMOS VLT Deep Survey in its Wide layer (VVDS-Wide; Le Fèvre et al. 2013; Garilli et al. 2008) confirmed $\sim 26,000$ bright galaxies and AGNs with $17.5 < i < 22.5$. We extracted 912 objects in the SSA22-Sb1 field from the public catalog\(^7\), where we removed objects whose redshifts were tentatively determined with their flags of 1, 11, 21, or 211. The majority of the 912 objects are at $z < 2$, where only 10 lie beyond $z > 2$ and the median redshift is $z \approx 0.6$. Their redshifts were measured based on the cross-correlation technique, followed by careful inspection by multiple people, to which extra calibration was not applied. We consider the cataloged objects with any broad ($\gtrsim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$) emission lines resolved at the VIMOS resolution as AGNs, which were selected by the cataloged flags of 10 < flag < 20 or flag > 200.

We also utilized the following archival redshift catalogs that are not publicly available. The VIMOS06 survey (Kousai 2011; Hayashino et al. 2019) was a pilot observation for the VIMOS08 survey using VLT/VIMOS with LR-blue grism under the program ID 077.A-0787 (PI: R. Yamamura), where a wider area was surveyed with a shorter integration time than VIMOS08. We performed redshift determination for 98 spectra that were reduced by Kousai (2011); Hayashino et al. (2019) in the same manner as that adopted for the SSA22-HIT data. Eventually, we confirm redshifts for 70 LBGs and six AGNs, where the AGN identification is based on Steidel et al. (2003) and Hayashino et al. (2019). Umehata et al. (in prep) conducted a spectroscopic survey of SMGs, LBGs, and LyCs using VLT/VIMOS with LR-blue grism (VIMOS12) under the program ID 089.A-0740 (PI: H. Umehata). They measured both $z_{\text{em}}$ and $z_{\text{abs}}$, from which we estimated the systemic redshifts by adopting the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005). Using Keck/DEIMOS with the 900ZD grating under the program ID of S275D, T. Yamada et al. performed spectroscopic observations (DEIMOS08) of LAEs, LBGs, Lyα absorbers (LAAs: Hayashino et al. 2004), and $K_s$-detected objects (Kdet) not only in the SSA22-Sb1 field but also in a wider area. We conducted reduction and redshift identification in the same manner as that adopted for the SSA22-HIT data. Out of 94 galaxies whose redshifts were confirmed, 42 lie in the SSA22-Sb1 field. M. Ouchi et al. conducted a large spectroscopic survey of high-$z$ galaxies using the Inamori Magellan Areal Camera and Spectrograph (IMACS; Dressler et al. 2011) on the Magellan I Baade Telescope between 2007 and 2010 (oIMACS; Higuchi et al. 2019; Momcheva et al. 2013). We analyzed the spectra of the objects in the SSA22 field that were obtained using Gri-300-17.5 and Gri-300-4.3 grisms. Redshifts were determined from their Lyα emission lines, from which we estimated the systemic redshifts by adopting the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005). In this work, we used 29 LAEs, LABs, LBGs, and LyCs in the SSA22-Sb1 field.

The determination schemes for the above redshifts were divided into three types. The redshift determination based on the NIR or FIR observations of the rest-frame optical or longer wavelength emission lines yielded the most reliable systemic redshifts (Erb et al. 2014; Yamanaka et al. 2020; Kubo et al. 2015, 2016; ADF22). The cross-correlation or comparison with spectral templates, which was adopted in SSA22-HIT, Chapman et al. (2004), Chapman et al. (2005), Saez et al. (2015), VVDS-Wide, VIMOS06, and DEIMOS08, is expected to provide systemic redshifts when the spectral properties of the used templates are similar to those of the observed objects. The empirical calibration formulae from $z_{\text{em}}$ and/or $z_{\text{abs}}$ to $z_{\text{sys}}$, which were applied to VIMOS08, Steidel et al. (2003), Nestor et al. (2013), Matsuda et al. (2005), Matsuda et al. (2006), Yamada et al. (2012a), VIMOS12, and oIMACS data, are reliable within $\sigma_z \approx 0.003$ for star-forming galaxies at $2 < z < 3.5$

\(^7\) https://cesam.lam.fr/vvds/index.php
In Table 4, we list the uncertainties of the systemic redshifts in the individual works. If uncertainties are described in the original literature or references therein, we use them only. Otherwise, we conservatively expect the redshift errors to be \((1+z)\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} = (1+z)/R\), where \(\Delta \lambda\) and \(R\) correspond to the spectral resolutions in the individual works. If the calibration formulae of Adelberger et al. (2005) are adopted, we include the associated uncertainty \((\sigma_z \approx 0.003)\) by taking the root sum squares.

### 4.3. Catalog compilation

We merged our SSA22-HIT redshift catalog (Section 4.1) and ancillary catalogs (Section 4.2). We here excluded 23 objects with the redshift quality flag = C (possible redshift cases) in SSA22-HIT to match the redshift quality with the other catalogs. For the same reason, the objects with the flag = C were also excluded from the VIMOS06 and DEIMOS08 samples whose redshifts were determined in the same manner as that adopted for the SSA22-HIT data (Section 4.2). The individual catalogs follow their own astrometry, which is slightly offset by \(\sim 1\) arcsec at maximum. We first searched for counterparts and extracted their coordinates in the \(V\) image for the LBGs in the Steidel et al. (2003) and Nestor et al. (2013) catalogs; the \(NB497\) image for the LAEs; the \(K_s\) image for galaxies selected at NIR or longer wavelengths in Kubo et al. (2015), Kubo et al. (2016), ADF22 catalog, and the \(i'\) image for the other catalog objects.

We found 228 objects duplicated in multiple catalogs with a matching radius of 1.0 arcsec, which yields 490 pairs of redshifts measured by different works. We estimated the average and standard deviation of the redshift differences to be \(\approx -0.001\) and \(\approx 0.003\), respectively. The redshifts in our compilation are roughly consistent with each other within the uncertainties (see Section 4.2 and Table 4). On the other hand, there are 32 outlier pairs for 21 objects with redshift differences as large as \(\Delta z > 0.02\). Approximately one-third of them are due to the misidentification of their emission lines (e.g., \(Ly_\alpha\) at \(z \approx 3.1\) and \([O\text{iii}]\) 3727 at \(z \approx 0.33\)). For the duplicated objects, representative redshifts are selected according to the following order of priority: Erb et al. (2014), Yamanaka et al. (2020), ADF22, Kubo et al. (2015), Kubo et al. (2016), Chapman et al. (2004), Chapman et al. (2005), Steidel et al. (2003), Nestor et al. (2013), SSA22-HIT, VIMOS08, VIMOS12, VIMOS06, Matsuda et al. (2006), Matsuda et al. (2005), Yamada et al. (2012b), DEIMOS08, VVDS, Saez et al. (2015), and oMACS. This priority order is determined by whether the redshift determination is based on the rest-frame optical nebular emission lines, the survey depth, the spectral resolution, and the details of the classification of the object types.

We isolated AGNs by matching our compiled catalogs with AGN catalogs. Saez et al. (2015) performed secure AGN selection for Chandra XR sources of Lehmer et al. (2009a,b) based on the X-ray luminosity, spectral shape, X-to-optical, and X-to-radio flux ratios. Hayashino et al. (2019) selected AGNs based on visual inspection of the optical spectra. Micheva et al. (2017a) searched for LyC leakers among 14 AGNs at \(z \geq 3.06\) selected by detection in X-ray (Lehmer et al. 2009b; Saez et al. 2015) or by emission lines in the optical spectra. We also visually inspected the SSA22-HIT spectra to identify four AGNs with strong rest-UV emission lines, namely, \(C\text{iv}\) (1548.20 \(\AA\) and 1550.78 \(\AA\)), \(He\text{ii}\) (1640.4 \(\AA\)), and \(C\text{iii}\) (1906.68 \(\AA\) and 1908.73 \(\AA\)). We eventually found 105 AGNs, including 34 at \(z \geq 2\).

We compiled a final catalog of 1,941 unique objects with spectroscopic redshifts in the SSA22-Sb1 field, among which 730 are at \(z \geq 2\). The sky distribution is shown in Figure 9. The redshift histograms are shown in Figure 10, where representative redshifts were used. The number of galaxies at \(z > 3.2\), which can be used as background light sources for the \(H_1\) tomography at \(z = 3.1\) or more, is significantly increased by a factor of 1.7 owing to the SSA22-HIT survey. We have made the compiled redshift catalog public (see Appendix C). The SSA22 field is one of the most extensively investigated regions with various types of galaxies. Our compiled redshift catalog is useful for a variety of applications. For example, one of our future works will be to investigate the dependency of the different types of galaxies on the IGM \(H_1\) environment, which will be complementary to the study in a general field by Momose et al. (2021).

### 5. COMPARISON WITH OTHER HIGH-Z FIELDS

There are a limited number of fields where rich multi-band imaging and spectroscopic data are available and are sufficiently deep to confirm galaxies at high redshifts, namely \(z \geq 2\). Here, we selected five major fields for high-\(z\) galaxy investigation: the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007), the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) Ultra-Deep Survey (UDS; Lawrence et al. 2007), the Extended Groth Strip (EGS; Davis et al. 2007), the Great Observatories Origin Deep Survey South and North (GOODS-S and -N; Giavalisco et al. 2004). It is worth comparing our compilation catalog of spectroscopic redshifts with published redshift catalogs in the five major high-\(z\) fields to characterize the SSA22-Sb1 field.
Figure 9. Sky distribution of objects with spectroscopically confirmed redshifts in the SSA22-Sb1 field. The symbol difference corresponds to the references (see the text and Table 4). Redshifts are expressed by the following symbols: $z < 2.5$ in light yellow, $2.5 \leq z < 3.2$ in cyan, $3.05 \leq z < 3.12$ in blue, $3.2 \leq z < 3.7$ in green, and $z \geq 3.7$ in red. The background contours show the $z = 3.1$ LAE number density, which are the same as those in Figure 3.

Figure 10. Redshift distribution of the objects spectroscopically identified in the SSA22-Sb1 field. In the left panel, the objects are separated by their references (see the text and Table 4): the SSA22-HIT sample in magenta, archival sample (VIMOS06, VIMOS12, DEIMOS08, and oIMACS) in yellow, and other published samples in gray. In the right panel, the objects are separated by their object type: LBGs in red, LAEs/LABs in blue, IR-selected galaxies (Kdet, DRG, HERO, M24, SMG, and OFRG) in green, AGNs in light-pink, and the others in gray. For display purposes, the vertical axis value is limited to 140; however, it hides $\sim 250$ objects at $z \approx 3.1$. We then embedded small subpanels showing finely binned histograms around $z = 3.1$. 

Figure 11.
We collected the following catalogs available in the five fields. The 3D-HST catalog (Momcheva et al. 2016) is available for all five fields. Most of their redshifts are determined from a combination of the HST grism spectra and multi-band photometry, which have relatively large uncertainties. For a small number of galaxies, redshifts were obtained from ground-based spectroscopic surveys. While the 3D-HST catalog yields redshifts with a high surface number density of galaxies, the covered area is limited to $\sim 120 \text{arcmin}^2$ per field.

In the COSMOS field, wider areas, $\sim 3000$, $\sim 1800$, and $\sim 570 \text{arcmin}^2$, were spectroscopically observed in the zCOSMOS-Deep (Lilly et al. 2007), VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey (VUDS; Le Fèvre et al. 2015), and CLAMATO (DR1; Lee et al. 2018) projects, respectively. While the zCOSMOS-Deep and VUDS catalogs are not or partially available on their websites, we extracted information about the numbers of spectroscopically confirmed objects and their redshift distributions from the literature (Le Fèvre et al. 2015; Mignoli et al. 2019). They are rough estimates but are sufficient for comparison in this study.

In the UDS field, redshift catalogs are available from UDSz (Bradshaw et al. 2013; McLure et al. 2013), the compilation project led by Akiyama, Simpson, Croom, Geach, Smail and van Breukelen (hereafter, A15comp; Smail et al. 2008; Simpson et al. 2012; Akiyama et al. 2015), and VANDELS (Garilli et al. 2021). Their covered areas are $\sim 1800$, $\sim 4400$, and $\sim 430 \text{arcmin}^2$ for UDSz, A15comp, and VANDELS, respectively.

In the GOODS-S field, spectroscopic redshift measurements are available from the ESO compilation project (hereafter, ESOcomp; Popesso et al. 2009; Mignoli et al. 2019), respectively. Their cov-
Balestra et al. 2010), VUDS, and VANDELS. They cover areas of 290 – 680 arcmin$^2$ that are wider than those of the 3D-HST catalog.

Figure 11 shows a comparison of the above catalogs in the covered area and the surface number density of spectroscopically confirmed objects, where we limit the objects at $z \geq 2$. Because many surveys in the SSA22-Sb1 field focus on the $z \approx 3.1$ proto-cluster member galaxies, we also plotted the SSA22-Sb1 data excluding galaxies at $3.05 \leq z \leq 3.12$ in Figure 11 by an open star. As seen in this figure, the compiled catalog of SSA22-Sb1 is comparable to the catalogs of the major fields in terms of a well-balanced combination of survey area and surface number density of objects with spectroscopic redshifts.

We also compared the surface number densities of the cataloged objects as a function of the redshift in Figure 12. We note that data from the VUDS catalogs in COSMOS and GOODS-S are not shown because the full-area catalogs are not yet available on their websites. The SSA22-Sb1 compiled catalog maintains a higher surface number density at $z \gtrsim 3$ than the zCOSMOS, CLAMATO, UDSz, and A15comp catalogs. While the catalogs from 3D-HST, VANDELS, and ESOcomp are comparable or superior to the SSA22-Sb1 compiled catalog in the surface number density, their covered areas are more than twice as small as that of SSA22-Sb1. These facts suggest that the SSA22-Sb1 field is suitable for investigating the large-scale structure of galaxies and H$\alpha$ gas (i.e., H$\alpha$ tomography) at $z \geq 3$.

6. SSA22-HIT DATA QUALITY FOR H$\alpha$ TOMOGRAPHY

For H$\alpha$ tomography, not only the number density of the background light sources but also quality of their continuum spectra is important. In this section we evaluate the quality of the SSA22-HIT spectra from the viewpoint of their applicability to the H$\alpha$ tomographic mapping. We here focus on the wavelength range at $1045 \, \text{Å} \leq \lambda \leq 1185 \, \text{Å}$ in the rest-frame. This wavelength coverage is almost the same as the so-called “depression at Ly$\alpha$ (DA)” range (e.g., Madau 1995; Hayashino et al.).
and used for the H\textsc{i} absorption analysis. We consider that the galaxies whose continuum S/N per pixel averaged in the DA range (DA-S/N) is larger than 1.5 are useful for the H\textsc{i} absorption analysis. This is comparable to the previous works (Lee et al. 2018; Mukae et al. 2020a).

Among the 198 spectroscopically confirmed objects in SSA22-HIT, 189 cover the DA range in their observed spectra. Unfortunately, all of them have DA-S/N lower than 1.5 except for the QSO (ID 06090) as shown in Figure 13. The low DA-S/N is caused by the weather conditions that were not the best, the lower instrumental sensitivity at shorter wavelength (Section 3), and the significant Ly\textalpha{} depression for $z > 3$ galaxies (e.g., Madau 1995; Inoue et al. 2014). We then smoothed the SSA22-HIT spectra by the Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of 10.4 Å or FWHM (FWHM$_{G}$) of 24.5 Å $\approx 39$ pixels so that their spectral resolutions become similar to those of the VIMOS08 and VIMOS06 observations, namely $\Delta \lambda \sim 25$ Å in FWHM. The flux density errors are reduced roughly by $1/\sqrt{\text{FWHM}_G\text{[pix]}} \sim 1/6$. This smoothing procedure not only improves the DA-S/N but also enables us to combine spectra from SSA22-HIT and VIMOS observations as the background sight-lines in H\textsc{i} tomography. After the smoothing, 83 out of the 198 spectroscopically confirmed SSA22-HIT galaxies satisfy DA-S/N $> 1.5$. Their DA-S/N distribution is shown in Figure 13. We note that some spectra have negative flux and then negative S/N in DA range. This is due to over-sky-subtraction caused by contamination of stray light or nearby bright objects in the sky pixels. In Figure 13 we put such objects with negative DA-S/N to DA-S/N $= 0$ bin.

We further investigated the DA-S/N distributions for the smoothed SSA22-HIT spectra of different DEIMOS masks and redshift quality flags, which are shown in Figures 14 and 15, respectively. In the figures, we also show percentages of the objects with DA-S/N $> 1.5$. The percentages in mask01 and mask02 are smaller than those in other masks. This simply reflects their shallower depths (Table 2). There are more objects available as the background light sources in the redshift quality flags $A_\alpha$ and $A_\delta$ than in the flag B and C (Figure 15). We also investigated the DA-S/N distribution for each target category, finding no statistically robust difference. This is due to the small sample size except for the T2 category (see also Table 3). Most of the objects with DA-S/N $> 1.5$ come from the T2 category galaxies whose actual redshifts broadly span $3 \lesssim z \lesssim 4$ (Figure 5).

For the individual background objects satisfying DA-S/N $> 1.5$, the H\textsc{i} Ly\textalpha{} absorption can be measured only in the redshift range corresponding to the DA range. The available redshift range (the Ly\textalpha{} absorption redshift $z_{\text{abs}}$) is defined by $z_{\text{abs}} = \lambda_{\text{DA}}/1215.67 - 1$, where $\lambda_{\text{DA}}$ is the DA wavelength range in the observed-frame. Figure 16 shows the number of the available background objects as a function of the Ly\textalpha{} absorption redshift. The solid histograms are for the smoothed SSA22-HIT sample alone while the dashed histograms are for the combined sample of SSA22-HIT, VIMOS06, and VIMOS08.

![Figure 16. Number of the available background objects (light blue histograms) and the mean separation in cMpc unit (orange histograms) as a function of Ly\textalpha{} absorption redshift. The solid histograms are for the smoothed SSA22-HIT sample alone while the dashed histograms are for the combined sample of SSA22-HIT, VIMOS06, and VIMOS08.](image-url)
flags to use, area used for the mapping, and so on. The detailed procedures in the H\textsc{i} map making is discussed in Paper II.

7. SUMMARY

In this study, we describe the survey design, observations, and data reduction of the SSA22 H\textsc{i} Tomography (SSA22-HIT) survey, as well as the creation of a large compilation catalog of spectroscopic redshifts available in the SSA22-Sb1 field.

In the SSA22-HIT survey, we spent an effective observation time of 41 h with the Keck telescope DEIMOS to carry out a large spectroscopic campaign of galaxies at $z > 2.5$ in the $26 \times 15 \text{arcmin}^2$ area of the SSA22-Sb1 field. We confirmed the spectroscopic redshifts of 198 galaxies, of which 148 were newly determined in this work. The SSA22-HIT survey significantly increases the number of galaxies at $z > 3.2$ by a factor of 1.7 even after excluding the objects with the lowest redshift quality flag (C). This greatly benefits the investigation of H\textsc{i} Ly$\alpha$ absorption at the proto-cluster redshift $z = 3.1$ or higher.

We merged our redshift measurements with those of previous studies, where the possible measurements in SSA22-HIT (the redshift quality flag = C) are not used. The compiled catalog, which lists not only the spectroscopic redshifts but also the object types, includes $\sim 1,940$ and $\sim 730$ objects in total and at $z \geq 2$, respectively. Because such a catalog is useful in a variety of applications, we have made it public (Appendix C). We compared our compiled redshift catalog in the SSA22-Sb1 field with publicly available catalogs in the five major extra-galactic fields. In the parameter space of the covered area and the surface number density of objects at $z \geq 2$, the SSA22-Sb1 catalog is comparable to the other field catalogs. Especially at $z \gtrsim 3$, the SSA22-Sb1 catalog contains a higher surface number density of objects than the other field catalogs with similar or larger covered areas (zCOSMOS, CLAMATO, UDSz, and A15comp).

We evaluated S/N in the DA range of the SSA22-HIT spectra for H\textsc{i} tomography. While the sufficient amount of smoothing is needed to make the spectral resolution worse from $\Delta \lambda \approx 4.7 \text{Å}$ to $25 \text{Å}$, about 40% of the spectroscopically confirmed objects in SSA22-HIT have DA-S/N high enough for analyzing the H\textsc{i} Ly$\alpha$ absorption. These available sight-line data enable us to construct H\textsc{i} tomography map with the spatial resolution $\sim 6 \text{cMpc}$ at $z \sim 3$. The mapping resolution can be improved down to $\sim 4 \text{cMpc}$ if combining the data taken in SSA22-HIT and the two precedent VIMOS observations.

From the above, we conclude that our data set in the SSA22-Sb1 field is suitable for investigating large-scale spatial distributions of galaxies and H\textsc{i} gas, especially at $z \gtrsim 3.1$. In Paper II (Mawatari et al. in prep.), we demonstrate H\textsc{i} tomography at $z \sim 3$ in the SSA22-Sb1 field containing the prominent proto-cluster and discuss the spatial alignment between H\textsc{i} and galaxies. It is also interesting to investigate the dependency of the different types of galaxies on the IGM H\textsc{i} environment as a future work.
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Appendix

A. SUMMARY FIGURES FOR SPECTROSCOPICALLY CONFIRMED OBJECTS IN SSA22-HIT

In Figure 17, we show the spectral and imaging data of the 198 spectroscopically confirmed objects in the SSA22-HIT survey (Section 4.1). The same figure set is also available via our website: https://www.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~mawatari/HIT/PDR/.

There are six types of information for each object. First, at the top of the figure, the name, category, target priority, R.A., Dec., magnitude, spectroscopic redshift, and redshift quality flag (see Section B) are listed. Next, we show the observed one-dimensional spectra as well as the template spectrum used to determine the redshift (Section 4.1). The observed spectra are smoothed with 5- and 39-pixel box-car kernels, which are shown by the black and red lines, respectively. The associated error spectra are also shown by the grey and light-red lines. The vertical dot-dashed lines represent the wavelengths of the possible emission/absorption lines. The yellow and green shaded regions correspond to the wavelength range used to analyze the foreground H\textsc{i} Ly\alpha absorption and the atmospheric absorption bands, respectively. Third, below the one-dimensional spectra, the original and smoothed two-dimensional spectral images are shown. We show not only the whole wavelength range but also the rest-frame 50 Å ranges around the Ly\alpha, O\textsc{i} (1302.17 Å in the rest-frame), C\textsc{iv} (1548.19 Å and 1550.77 Å), He\textsc{i} (1640.35 Å), and C\textsc{iii} (1906.68 Å and 1908.73 Å). Fourth, the multi-band stamp images are shown, where the panel size is 24′′×24′′. In each panel, the superposed cyan circle and rectangle represent the 2″ diameter aperture put on the given object and the assigned DEIMOS slit, respectively. Fifth, at the bottom-left of the figure, the spectral energy distribution (SED) from the multi-band photometry are shown. The observed magnitudes are shown by the circles, where the filled (open) circles correspond to the measurements used (not used) for the SED fitting. The error bar extending across the entire panel means non-detection (<3σ) in the given band. The red spectrum corresponds to the best-fit template in the SED fitting (Section 2.2). Finally, at the bottom-right of the figure, the sky position of the given object is shown by the red filled circle. The black open circles, grey shaded regions, and contours correspond to all the targets in the DEIMOS observations, the six DEIMOS masks, and the z = 3.1 LAE number density contours, respectively (same as those in Figure 3).

Fig. Set 17. Spectral and photometric data of the spectroscopically confirmed objects in SSA22-HIT

B. SSA22-HIT CATALOG

The properties of all the observed objects in the SSA22-HIT observations are published in the machine-readable format. A portion is shown in Table 5 for guidance regarding its form and content. This catalog is called “the SSA22-HIT catalog” and also available via our website (https://www.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~mawatari/HIT/PDR/).

In the SSA22-HIT catalog, the names (column #1) are given by a combination of the mask ID (the first two digits; see Section 2.2) and the slit ID (the following three digits). The R.A. (column #3) and Dec. (column #4) coordinates are measured under our astrometric calibration (Section 2.1). The SSA22-HIT catalog also contains the observed magnitudes (column #5; Section 2.1) in specific bands (column #6).

Column #7 represents the target category described in Section 2.2. The T2/T3 category objects are divided into those with and without previous spectroscopic redshift measurements, which are referred to as T2prevz/T3prevz and T2/T3 in the SSA22-HIT catalog.

Column #8 is the target selection priority in each category adopted in the DEIMOS slit assignment using DSIMULATOR (Section 2.2). For the five major categories (T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5), the priorities are set according to whether the objects satisfy the color criteria and whether their photo-z measurements satisfy the expected redshift range. The highest priority (pr1) is set to the objects satisfying both the color criteria and the photo-z range. The second and third priorities (pr2 and pr3) are set to the objects satisfying only the color criteria and only the photo-z range, respectively. We also set the lowest priority (pr4) for objects satisfying only slightly relaxed color criteria. The LyC category objects are prioritized in the following order: spectroscopically confirmed from previous observations (pr1), i′-band detected (pr2), and i′-band not detected (pr3). For the SMG category, the highest priority (pr1) is set to the SMGs whose SEDs are likely to be at z ∼ 5, and the second and third priorities (pr2 and pr3) are set to the bright and faint SMGs in the z′-band, respectively. The priorities for the LDRLAE category objects are set according to...
Figure 17. The complete figure set (199 images) is available in the on-line journal. Summary figures for every individual objects whose redshifts were confirmed by the SSA22-HIT survey. The first image of this figure set is an example with descriptions. There are six types of information in each figure: 1) basic information (e.g., R.A., Dec., and the redshift) of the given object, 2) one-dimensional spectra with a spectral template used to determine the spectroscopic redshift (Section 4.1), 3) two-dimensional spectra, 4) multi-band images with the DEIMOS spectroscopic slit (cyan rectangle), 5) an observed SED with the best-fit template that was used in the target selection (Section 2.2), and 6) sky position of the given object.
### Table 5. SSA22-HIT catalog

| Name   | Mask | R.A. [degree] | Dec. [degree] | Magnitude | Band | Category | Priority | Redshift | Quality_Flag | Fit_Template |
|--------|------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| 01006  | mask01 | 334.322000 | 0.169256 | 24.977 | i    | T1       | pr3      | -99      | -99          | -99          |
| 01007  | mask01 | 334.584333 | 0.169056 | 24.726 | i    | T1       | pr3      | -99      | -99          | -99          |
| 01008  | mask01 | 334.374667 | 0.130311 | 24.675 | i    | T1       | pr3      | 2.76676 | Ae           | SLBG         |
| 01009  | mask01 | 334.46875  | 0.129042 | 24.675 | i    | T1       | pr1      | -99      | -99          | -99          |
| 01010  | mask01 | 334.501542 | 0.098161 | 25.044 | i    | T1       | pr1      | -99      | -99          | -99          |
| 01011  | mask01 | 334.489217 | 0.112634 | 24.164 | i    | T1       | pr1      | 2.77246 | Ae           | HITdr1aLBG   |
| 01012  | mask01 | 334.432167 | 0.154558 | 26.504 | NB359 | LyC      | pr3      | -99      | -99          | -99          |
| 01013  | mask01 | 334.560500 | 0.171089 | 25.624 | z    | T4       | pr3      | -99      | -99          | -99          |

**Note:** This table is published in its entirety in the machine-readable format. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

Columns #9 represents the spectroscopic redshifts determined using the specpro software (Masters & Capak 2011; Section 4.1). The quality flags and the best-fit templates in the redshift determination are given in column #10 and #11, respectively.

## C. Compiled Spectroscopic Redshift Catalog in the SSA22-SB1 Field

Two types of spectroscopic redshift catalogs, both of which contain not only the SSA22-HIT objects but also previously identified sources, are available in the machine-readable format: one is “the representative redshift catalog” excluding duplication among the original references, and the other is “the complete catalog” with all available redshift information. Both of the catalogs are also available via our website: https://www.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~mawatari/HIT/PDR/). Short sample versions of the full catalogs are presented in Tables 6 and 7. In the representative redshift catalog, names (column #1), redshifts (column #4), and object type (column #7) are extracted from the original references (column #6), where we applied the extra redshift calibration to some objects (Section 4.2). We set flags for redshift reliability (column #5) based on the original references. The R.A. (column #2) and Dec. (column #3) coordinates are measured under our astrometric calibration (Section 2.1 and 4.3). AGN types (column #8) are determined by matching with the published catalogs or based on visual inspection of the rest-UV emission lines in the SSA22-HIT spectra (Section 4.3). Column #9 represents the reference for the AGN types.

Because the complete catalog contains as many as 87 columns, most of the columns are hidden in Table 7. Column description for the complete catalog is presented in Table 8.
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### Table 6. Representative redshift catalog

| Name   | R.A.  | Dec.  | Redshift | Quality_Flag | Reference | Object_Type | AGN_Type | AGN_Reference |
|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2415   | 334.304194 | 0.117303 | 2.9510   | A            | VIMOS08   | LBG        | NONE      | NONE          |
| 2417   | 334.273040  | 0.108492  | 2.9510   | A            | VIMOS08   | LBG        | NONE      | NONE          |
| 2331   | 334.269873  | 0.204618  | 0.2460   | A            | VIMOS08   | contami    | NONE      | NONE          |
| 1301   | 334.302492  | 0.484101  | 3.1100   | A            | VIMOS08   | AGN        | OptAGN    | VIMOS08       |
| 1313   | 334.278146  | 0.444815  | 3.1320   | A            | VIMOS08   | AGN        | AGN1      | Micheva+17    |
| 2402   | 334.270346  | 0.161246  | 2.4200   | A            | VIMOS08   | AGN        | OptAGN    | VIMOS08       |
| LyC_{153} | 334.214124  | 0.308907  | 0.3315   | A            | VIMOS12   | contami    | NONE      | NONE          |
| LyC_{156} | 334.219563  | 0.404811  | 3.0650   | B            | VIMOS12   | LyC        | NONE      | NONE          |

Note.—This table is published in its entirety in the machine-readable format. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

### Table 7. Complete catalog

| Name_{main} | RA_{main} | Dec_{main} | Reference_{main} | N_{duplic} | Name_{Erb+14} | z_{Erb+14} | Flag_{Erb+14} | Obj_Type_{Erb+14} |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
| SSA22-063   | 334.347211 | 0.264663   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-063     | 3.0978     | A            | LAE               |
| SSA22-066   | 334.336936 | 0.253139   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-066     | 3.0645     | A            | LAE               |
| SSA22-067   | 334.401268 | 0.219890   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-067     | 3.0103     | A            | LAE               |
| SSA22-072   | 334.380276 | 0.292255   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-072     | 3.0845     | A            | LAE               |
| SSA22-078   | 334.407149 | 0.279872   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-078     | 3.0870     | A            | LAE               |
| SSA22-082   | 334.397769 | 0.279860   | Erb+14           | 2          | SSA22-082     | 3.0873     | A            | LAE               |
| LCG-1       | 334.283831 | 0.166130   | Yamanaka+20      | 3          | NONE          | -99        | NONE         | NONE              |
| SSA22-LBG-01| 334.306517 | 0.124699   | Yamanaka+20      | 2          | NONE          | -99        | NONE         | NONE              |

Note.—This table is published in its entirety in the machine-readable format. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.
| Column # | ID          | Description                                                                 |
|---------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Name        | Identifiers in the references yielding the representative redshifts          |
| 2       | RA          | Right ascension in the unit of degree, measured under our astrometric calibration (Section 2.1) |
| 3       | Dec         | Declination in the unit of degree, measured under our astrometric calibration (Section 2.1) |
| 4       | Reference   | References yielding the representative redshifts (Section 4.3)              |
| 5       | Ndupli      | Number of duplicated references for the given object                        |
| 6       | Name_Erb+14 | Identifiers in Erb et al. (2014)                                            |
| 7       | z_Erb+14    | Redshifts in Erb et al. (2014)                                              |
| 8       | Flag_Erb+14 | Quality flags in Erb et al. (2014): “A” is higher and “B” is lower reliability. |
| 9       | ObjType_Erb+14 | Object types in Erb et al. (2014)                                        |
| 10 – 13 | *_Yamanaka+20 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Yamanaka et al. (2020)                  |
| 14 – 17 | *ADF22      | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the ADF22 (Umehata et al. 2017, 2018)       |
| 18 – 21 | *Kubo+15    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Kubo et al. (2015)                           |
| 22 – 25 | *Kubo+16    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Kubo et al. (2016)                           |
| 26 – 29 | *Chapman+04 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Chapman et al. (2004)                       |
| 30 – 33 | *Chapman+05 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Chapman et al. (2005)                       |
| 34 – 37 | *Steidel+03 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Steidel et al. (2003)                       |
| 38 – 41 | *Nestor+13  | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Nestor et al. (2013)                         |
| 42 – 45 | *HIT        | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the SSA22-HIT (this work)                    |
| 46 – 49 | *VIMOS08    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the VIMOS08 (Hayashino et al. 2019)          |
| 50 – 53 | *VIMOS12    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the VIMOS12 (Umehata et al. in prep)         |
| 54 – 57 | *VIMOS06    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the VIMOS06 (Kousai 2011)                    |
| 58 – 61 | *Matsuda+06 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Matsuda et al. (2006)                        |
| 62 – 65 | *Matsuda+05 | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Matsuda et al. (2005)                        |
| 66 – 69 | *Yamada+12  | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Yamada et al. (2012a)                        |
| 70 – 73 | *DEIMOS08   | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the DEIMOS08                                |
| 74 – 77 | *VVDS       | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2013; Garilli et al. 2008) |
| 78 – 81 | *Saez+15    | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in Saez et al. (2015)                           |
| 82 – 85 | *oIMACS     | Same as column # 6 – 9 but in the oIMACS                                   |
| 86 – 87 | AGN_Type    | AGN types (Section 4.2)                                                    |
| 88 – 91 | AGN_Reference | References for AGN types (Section 4.2)                                |
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