Redox Regulation of Lipopolysaccharide-mediated Sulfiredoxin Induction, Which Depends on Both AP-1 and Nrf2*
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Sulfiredoxin (Srx) is an enzyme that catalyzes the reduction of cysteine sulfenic acid of hyperoxidized peroxiredoxins and exerts a protective antioxidant role. Here we investigated the regulatory mechanism of Srx induction by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in mouse macrophages. LPS up-regulated Srx expression on the transcriptional level. The promoter region of the Srx gene contained putative NF-κB and AP-1 (activator protein-1) sites, and the proximal site of three AP-1 sites was embedded within the antioxidant response element (ARE), a cis-acting element for Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor). Mutational analysis of the Srx promoter revealed that Srx induction is dependent on AP-1 sites and ARE but not on NF-κB sites. Consistently, both transcription factors, AP-1 and Nrf2, were required for LPS-mediated Srx induction, as revealed by chromatin immunoprecipitation using antibodies specific for c-Jun and c-Fos and little Srx induction in Nrf2-null bone marrow-derived macrophages. Among mitogen-activated protein kinases that mediate the signal transduction by LPS, JNK played a major role in Srx induction. Moreover, chemical antioxidants, such as N-acetylcysteine and butylated hydroxyanisole, and the NADPH oxidase inhibitor diphenyleneiodonium inhibited Srx induction as well as generation of reactive oxygen species, both of which were also suppressed in Nox2 (NADPH oxidase 2)-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages. These results suggest that LPS-mediated Srx induction is dependent on both AP-1 and Nrf2, which is regulated by Nrf2-derived reactive oxygen species.

Peroxiredoxins (Prxs) are a family of thiol-dependent peroxidases that reduce H$_2$O$_2$ and alkyl hydroperoxides and are involved in many cellular functions including proliferation, cell cycle, apoptosis, and differentiation as well as cellular protection against oxidative stress (1–3). There are six mammalian Prx isoforms, which are distributed in most cellular compartments, including cytosol, mitochondria, nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, and peroxisomes. They have been divided into three subgroups, designated 2-Cys Prxs (Prx I–IV), atypical 2-Cys Prx (Prx V), and 1-Cys Prx (Prx VI). Under highly oxidizing conditions, their peroxidatic active site cysteine residue undergoes oxidation to sulfenic acid (Cys-SO$_2$H), resulting in loss of peroxidatic activity (4, 5). However, the hyperoxidation of Prxs was revealed to be reversible in cells (6, 7), and Srx was subsequently identified as an enzyme responsible for the reversal of hyperoxidized Prx in mammals as well as yeast (8–11). Srx catalyzes transfer of γ-phosphate of ATP to sulfenic cysteine of Prx, and the resulting sulfenic phosphoryl ester is reduced by thiol equivalents, such as thioredoxin and glutathione (11–14). Srx can reduce the sulfenic forms of 2-Cys Prxs among six mammalian isoforms (10) and also has deglutathionylation activity (15, 16).

Macrophages play essential roles in inflammation and host defense against bacterial infection. LPS, an integral component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, is one of the potent activators of macrophages and a key mediator of endotoxic shock (17, 18). Stimulation of macrophages with LPS leads to activation of intracellular signaling pathways that culminate in the induction of inflammatory molecules as well as production of ROS that are utilized for the killing of engulfed pathogens (19–21). LPS induces expression of proinflammatory molecules and antioxidant enzymes by binding to Toll-like receptor 4, which triggers phosphorylation of several kinases, including IkB kinase (IKK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase, and MAPKs, leading to activation of transcription factors, including NF-κB and AP-1 (activator protein-1) (22–24). In stimulated macrophages, ROS are mainly generated by the Nox2 (NADPH oxidase 2) enzyme complex, which consists of two transmembrane flavocytochrome b components (gp91phox and p22phox) and four cytosolic components (p47phox, p67phox, p40phox, and Rac proteins) (25). Given that ROS can cause damage to various cellular components, including nucleic acids, membrane lipids, and proteins, cells are equipped with antioxidant enzymes, which are often induced in response to oxidative stress. For instance, Prx enzymes were up-regulated and exerted a protective antioxidant role in macrophages exposed to LPS (26–30). Recent studies demonstrated that Srx is induced by several stimuli, including metabolic activation, synaptic activity, and hyperoxia, and contributes to the protective response against oxidative insults (31).

Here we show that exposure of LPS to mouse BMM and RAW264.7 cells led to activation of Nrf2 (nuclear factor eryth-
roid 2-related factor) in addition to NF-κB and AP-1, of which both AP-1 and Nrf2 were required for up-regulation of Srx expression by LPS. Among the MAPKs activated by LPS, JNK was the major MAPK mediating Srx induction. In addition, chemical antioxidants, Nox inhibitor, and Nox2 deficiency decreased LPS-mediated Srx induction as well as ROS production, suggesting that Nox2-derived ROS may contribute to regulation of Srx induction in macrophages exposed to LPS.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Reagents and Antibodies**—LPS (from *Escherichia coli* 0127: B8), cycloheximide, actinomycin D, SP600125, SB202190, N-acetylcycteine (NAC), diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), and l-buthionine-sulfoximine (BSO) were obtained from Sigma; 5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate was obtained from Molecular Probes. Normal rabbit IgG was from Invitrogen; rabbit polyclonal antibodies to phospho-p38, and phospho-ERK were from Cell Signaling Technology; horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antibodies to rabbit or mouse IgG were from Amersham Biosciences. Mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) to β-actin was from Abcam; mAbs to tubulin, p65, and ERK2 and rabbit polyclonal antibodies to p65, c-Jun, c-Fos, JNK1, and p38 were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA); a mAb to the FLAG epitope was from Sigma; and a rat antibody to the hemagglutinin epitope (HA) was from Roche Applied Science; the common reverse primer. Individual mutants of three AP-1 sites were generated using a QuikChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) with complementary primers containing the HindIII site (underlined). The amplified product was purified and digested with XhoI and HindIII. The digested fragment was cloned into promoterless pGL3-basic plasmid to generate SrxP-795. A series of 5′-end deletion mutants, SrxP-485, SrxP-344, SrxP-148, SrxP-94, SrxP-68, and SrxP-29, were generated using the individual forward primers, which are annealed to sequences that are 485, 344, 148, 94, 68, and 29 base pairs upstream of the transcription start site, respectively, and the common reverse primer. Individual mutants of three AP-1 sites were generated using a QuickChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) with complementary primers containing a 3-base pair mismatch that changes TGA and TGC sequences (indicated in *italic* type in Fig. 2) to CAG and CAT, respectively. The resulting single AP-1 site mutants were used as templates to generate double or triple mutants in which two or three AP-1 sites were mutated, respectively.

**RNA Isolation, Reverse Transcription, and Real-time PCR Analysis**—Cells that had been stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 16 h were harvested, and total RNA was isolated with the use of the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and quantified by measurement of absorbance at 260 nm. Reverse transcription was performed with 2 μg of total RNA and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) for 1 h at 42 °C followed by 10 min at 70 °C, and the resulting cDNAs were subjected to real-time PCR analysis with primers (sense and antisense, respectively) for Srx (5′-AGC CAG TGT GCC ACG ATC-3′ and 5′-AGG AAT AGT AGT AGT CGC CA-3′), β-actin (5′-ACC CTA AGG CCA ACC GTG-3′ and 5′-GCC TGG ATG GCT ACG TAC-3′), Nrf2 (5′-TCT CCT CGC TGG AAA AAG AA-3′ and 5′-AAT GTG CTG GCT GTG CTT TA-3′), and NQO1 (NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase 1) (5′-TTC TCT GGC CTA TGA AGA G-3′ and 5′-GGC TGC TTG GAG CAA ATG-3′). PCRs were performed with an ABI Prism 7300 sequence detection system and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**—RAW264.7 cells grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin for 1 day. BMM cells were differentiated from nonadherent control cells. Equal amounts of plasmid DNA were adjusted with the respective empty vectors. A dual luciferase assay was subsequently performed with a kit (Promega). The activity of firefly luciferase was normalized by that of the Renilla enzyme and was then expressed as -fold increase relative to the normalized value for control cells.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**—RAW264.7 cells grown in 15-cm dishes were stimulated by LPS (100 ng/ml) for 1 h, washed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and fixed by adding 27 ml of 1× PBS containing 1% formaldehyde. The dishes were rocked for 10 min at room temperature, and the cross-linking reaction was stopped by adding 3 ml of 1.25 M glycine (final 0.125 M) and rocking for 5 min. The cells were washed twice with ice-cold 1× PBS, scraped in 1× PBS containing protease inhibitors, and harvested. The cells were resuspended in 3 ml of lysing buffer (1× PBS, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors) and then sonicated using a Branson digital sonifier on power setting 25% for 40 rounds of 1 s; all samples were kept on ice at all times. Following sonication, a portion of the soni-
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RESULTS

Transcriptional Induction of Srx Gene by LPS in Mouse BMM and RAW264.7 Cells—Expression of the Srx gene by LPS was investigated in RAW264.7 macrophage cells. Srx protein was considerably induced by LPS (Fig. 1A). A time course experiment showed that up-regulation of Srx protein by LPS reached the maximum level after 8 h and was sustained until 48 h (Fig. 1B). In addition, a dose-dependent increase of Srx protein expression was observed with a maximum at concentration more than 100 ng/ml LPS (Fig. 1C). To elucidate which level of LPS-mediated Srx induction was blocked by pretreatment with both cycloheximide and actinomycin D, suggesting that LPS-mediated Srx induction was regulated on the transcriptional level (Fig. 1D). Indeed, Srx mRNA was up-regulated by LPS, as revealed in real-time PCR (Fig. 1E). During incubation with cycloheximide, the protein level of Srx slightly decreased, suggesting that Srx protein is unstable. We estimated the half-life of Srx protein by measuring the remaining amount of Srx protein in a time course in the presence of cycloheximide. In this manner, the half-life of Srx protein was determined to be ~5.3 h (Fig. 1F). In primary mouse BMM cells, Srx expression was also increased by LPS treatment at the protein and the mRNA levels (Fig. 1, G and H). These results suggest that the Srx gene is induced by LPS on the transcriptional level in mouse BMM and RAW264.7 cells.

Identification of Potential cis-Acting Elements in Srx Promoter—A BLAST search of mouse genome sequences at the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Bioinformatics Web site revealed that the gene for Srx is located at chromosome 2qG3 and comprises two exons. The nucleotide sequence of the 5’-flanking region of the Srx gene as its promoter was aligned with the nucleotide sequence of the 5’-flanking region of the mouse Srx gene. The alignment revealed that the gene for Srx is located at chromosome 2qG3 and comprises two exons. The nucleotide sequence of the 5’-flanking region of the Srx gene was shown in Fig. 2. The transcription start site was assumed to be a 5’-end of the Srx mRNA sequence with the longest 5’-untranslated region (accession number BC049957) and was localized 217 base pairs upstream of the transcription start site. Putative transcription factor binding sites were predicted by TFSEARCH (available on the World Wide Web). A number of cis-acting sequences were identified, including, but not limited to, NF-κB, AP-1, and ARE sites (Fig. 2).
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Figure 2. Nucleotide sequence of the mouse Srx promoter containing potential AP-1 and NF-κB sites as well as ARE. Nucleotides are numbered relative to the transcription start site (+1), shown in boldface type. The translation initiation codon (ATG) is in boldface type. The DNA sequences homologous to NF-κB consensus motifs are underlined. The boxed region and arrow indicate AP-1 response element and ARE, respectively. The nucleotides to be mutated in this study are in italic type. The arrowhead indicates the 5′-end of a series of deletion mutants.

cloned into pGL3-basic, resulting in the SrxP-795 construct (numbering refers to the transcriptional start site). To identify the minimal promoter region that is necessary for Srx induction by LPS, we first carried out a functional deletion analysis (Fig. 3A). RAW264.7 cells were transfected with serially 5′-deleted Srx promoter-luciferase reporters and then were exposed to LPS. Induction of luciferase activity of SrxP-795 by LPS was about 14-fold, and the induction fold was preserved until the 5′-flanking sequence was deleted to 148-base pairs upstream of the transcriptional start site. However, the deletion of additional nucleotides markedly decreased induction of promoter activity, as shown in SrxP-94 and SrxP-68. Moreover, in SrxP-29, the promoter activity almost disappeared as in pGL3-basic. These results indicate that the sequence 148 base pairs upstream of the transcriptional start site that contains AP-1 sites and the ARE is the minimal region required for Srx gene transcription in both basal and LPS-stimulated conditions.

Three AP-1 sites were located 45, 87, and 102 base pairs upstream of the transcriptional start site. The proximal, central, and distal AP-1 sites were designated AP-1(A), AP-1(B), and AP-1(C), respectively (Fig. 2). The proximal AP-1 site is overlapped with two AREs that are positioned in the opposite direction. To confirm the functional role of AP-1 sites and AREs in LPS-induced promoter activity, mutational analysis of the Srx promoter was performed (Fig. 3B). As shown in Fig. 2, the first three nucleotides of the proximal AP-1 site are common and conserved in two oppositely positioned AREs. Because it is expected that the mutation of these nucleotides leads to inactivation of AREs as well as the proximal AP-1 site, three AP-1 sites were changed by site-directed mutagenesis as follows: A (TGAGTCA) to mtA (CAGGTCA), B (TGCGTCA) to mtB (CATGTCAT), and C (TGAGTCA) to mtC (CAGGTCA), respectively (the nucleotides to be mutated are in italic type). Individual mutation of the proximal and central AP-1 sites significantly decreased induction of promoter activity by LPS, whereas the mutation of the distal one slightly decreased it. Double mutation of the proximal and central AP-1 sites resulted in no response to LPS exposure like triple mutation of three AP-1 sites. When the proximal AP-1 site was mutated, however, additional mutation of the distal one led to a significant further decrease in LPS-induced promoter activity. These results suggest that AREs as well as the proximal and central AP-1 sites are primarily required for Srx promoter activity induced by LPS, and the distal one is required for full promoter activity.

LPS-mediated Srx Induction Is Mediated by Nrf2 as Well as AP-1—

Transcription factor AP-1 is a collective term referring to dimeric transcription factors composed of Jun, Fos, or activating transcription factor and primarily acts as a heterodimer of Jun and Fos (32). To examine the regulatory role of the AP-1 transcription factor on Srx promoter activity, we transfected cells with expression vector for c-Jun along with various luciferase reporters. Expression of c-Jun enhanced the luciferase activity under the control of the wild-type Srx promoter (SrxP) but not under the control of the mutant Srx promoter (SrxP-mt), where all three AP-1 sites were mutated (Fig. 4A). As a positive control, c-Jun expression promoted AP-1-dependent luciferase activity. To investigate the possibility that NF-κB is implicated in LPS-mediated Srx induction, we tested the effects of expression of two NF-κB pathway intermediates, IKKβ and p65, on Srx promoter activity. Expression of neither IKKβ nor p65 enhanced Srx promoter activity, whereas they strongly promoted the NF-κB-dependent activity (Fig. 4B). Expression of LPS to macrophages also promoted the expression of major components of transcription factor AP-1, c-Jun, and c-Fos (Fig. 4C), which were recruited to AP-1 sites of the Srx promoter, as revealed by the chromatin immunoprecipitation assay with antibodies specific for c-Jun or c-Fos (Fig. 4D), suggesting that AP-1 is involved in LPS-mediated Srx induction.

In a mutational study of Srx promoter, it was suggested that LPS-mediated Srx induction is dependent on ARE as well as the AP-1 response element, based on the assumption that the mutation of the proximal AP-1 site leads to inactivation of not only the AP-1 site but also ARE because it was embedded within
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In response to LPS stimulation, phagocytes, including macrophages, generate ROS that mediate the production of immunoregulatory and proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor α and interleukin-1β (19–21, 35), and one of the important sources of ROS is Nox enzyme (36, 37). The intracellular ROS level was increased by about 10-fold at 30 min following LPS stimulation of RAW264.7 cells, but such ROS production almost disappeared in the cells that had been pretreated with antioxidant BHA or Nox inhibitor DPI (Fig. 7A). To explore whether ROS are involved in LPS-mediated Srx induction, we examined the effects of antioxidants NAC and BHA and Nox inhibitor DPI on LPS-mediated Srx induction. LPS-induced Srx protein expression was significantly decreased by NAC, BHA, and DPI (Fig. 7B). In addition, NAC, BHA, and DPI decreased the Srx promoter activity induced by LPS, whereas the glutathione depletion agent BSO significantly increased it, as revealed by the luciferase reporter assay (Fig. 7C).

In phagocytic cells, Nox2 is expressed at a high level. To test the role of Nox2 in production of ROS that are involved in LPS-mediated Srx induction, we examined Nox2 dependence on ROS production and Srx induction by LPS using Nox2-null mice. When wild-type BMM cells were stimulated with LPS, their ROS level was increased by about 8-fold at 30 min following LPS treatment, but such ROS generation was strongly suppressed in Nox2-deficient cells (Fig. 7D). In addition, LPS-induced expressions of protein and mRNA of Srx in Nox2-deficient BMM cells were significantly reduced in comparison with those observed in wild type cells (Fig. 7, E and F). These results suggest that Srx induction is in part regulated by ROS produced through Nox2 in the macrophages stimulated with LPS.

FIGURE 3. Identification of the cis-acting elements that are responsible for LPS-mediated Srx induction. A, the indicated mouse Srx promoter fragments were cloned into pGL3-basic plasmid. The locations of putative NF-κB, AP-1, and ARE sites are indicated. RAW264.7 cells were transfected with a series of luciferase reporter constructs and pRL-SV40 (internal control) for 24 h and then exposed to 100 ng/ml LPS for 24 h. The luciferase activities were measured with a dual luciferase assay system as described under “Experimental Procedures.” The fold induction relative to the control was determined. Data are means ± S.D. (error bars) of values from three independent experiments. B, three AP-1 sites (A, B, and C) were disrupted by site-directed mutagenesis, as described under “Experimental Procedures.” The luciferase activities of mutant Srx promoters were determined as in A.
Redox Regulation of LPS-mediated Srx Induction

FIGURE 4. AP-1 is responsible for LPS-mediated Srx induction. A, HEK293 cells were transfected with c-Jun expression construct along with SrxP-795 (SrxP), SrxP-795mtABC (SrxP-mt), or AP-1-dependent luciferase reporter for 48 h. The luciferase activities were measured as in Fig. 3A, and the expression of HA-tagged c-Jun was evaluated by immunoblot analysis with anti-HA antibody. The -fold induction relative to the control was determined. Data are means ± S.D. (error bars) of values from three independent experiments. B, HEK293 cells were transfected with expression vectors for p65 or IKKβ along with SrxP-795- or NF-κB-dependent luciferase reporter for 48 h. The luciferase activities were measured as in A, and the expression of p65 and IKKβ was evaluated by immunoblot with anti-p65 and anti-FLAG antibodies. C, RAW264.7 cells were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for the indicated times, and the expression of c-Jun and c-Fos were analyzed by immunoblot with antibodies against c-Jun and c-Fos, respectively. D, RAW264.7 cells were treated or not with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 1 h and were cross-linked with formaldehyde. Soluble chromatin was subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibodies against c-Jun (top), c-Fos (bottom), or normal IgG. PCR analysis of the positive control (input) indicates that the soluble chromatin samples have equal amounts of chromatin fragments containing the Srx promoter. A representative of two experiments was shown.

FIGURE 5. Induction of Nrf2 and its target genes in BMM cells exposed to LPS. BMM cells prepared from wild-type (Nrf2+/+) or Nrf2 knock-out mice (Nrf2−/−) were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for the indicated times. Total RNA was extracted from the cells and used in real-time PCR to quantify the mRNA levels of Nrf2 (A), NQO1 (B), and Srx (C). Relative levels of individual mRNA were normalized to those of β-actin mRNA. Data are means ± S.D. (error bars) of values from three independent experiments.

DISCUSSION

Here we demonstrated that stimulation of macrophages with LPS induces the up-regulation of Srx in mRNA and protein levels, which depends on both AP-1 and Nrf2. Recent studies showed that Srx is induced by several stimuli through either AP-1 or Nrf2. Srx induction by metabolic stimulation (glucose/cAMP) in pancreatic beta cells, by synaptic activity in rat neurons, and by tumor promoter 12-o-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate in mouse epidermal cells was mediated by AP-1 (38–40). In cortical neurons, Srx was up-regulated by the treatment with Nrf2 activators, such as 3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (41).

Analysis of the mouse Srx promoter sequence revealed two sequences (GGGGAGGCC and GGGGAGTTCC) resembling the NF-κB site with the consensus sequence GGGN-NYYCC (where N represents any base, R is purine, and Y is pyrimidine) (44), three putative AP-1 sites of the sequence TTAGTCA or TGCTGTA, and two sequences (TCACCCCT-AGTTCAGG and TCAGGGTGAAATTGCA) positioning in opposite directions that resemble an ARE consensus sequence TMA-GTAYnnnGC (essential nucleotides are shown in capital letters, and the core sequence is in boldface type) (45, 46). A serial deletion study of the Srx promoter showed that putative NF-κB sites are not required for LPS-mediated Srx induction and identified the activity-inducible region between −148 and +218 relative to potential transcription start site. No necessity of NF-κB for Srx induction was further confirmed by little induction of Srx promoter activity by expression of two NF-κB pathway intermediates, IKKβ and p65. Mutational study of three AP-1 sites revealed that the central site as well as the proximal site is essential, and the distal one is required for full promoter activity. Although Wei et al. (40) showed that both proximal and distal AP-1 sites are important for tumor promoter-induced Srx promoter activity, they did not pay attention to the central AP-1 site. Also, two major components of AP-1, c-Jun and c-Fos, were induced and recruited to the AP-1 site of the Srx promoter in response to LPS. These results suggest that LPS-mediated Srx induction requires AP-1.

The consensus sequence TGAGTCA recognized by AP-1 is often embedded within AREs (47). It was demonstrated that LPS stimulation of human monocytes induces the expression of NQO1 and HO-1, which are regulated by Nrf2 (33, 34). In this study, Nrf2 was induced and activated in mouse macrophages stimulated with LPS. Given that the proximal AP-1 site of the Srx promoter is also embedded within AREs and that its first three nucleotides, TGA, correspond to the core and essential
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FIGURE 6. Roles of MAPKs in LPS-mediated Srx induction. A and B, RAW264.7 (A) or wild-type BMM (B) cells were pretreated with medium (Cont), 10 μM SP600125 (SP), 10 μM SB202190 (SB), or 10 μM U0126 (U) for 30 min following stimulation with 100 ng/ml LPS for 16 h, and then total cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with antibodies against Srx and β-actin. The fold induction of Srx was analyzed as in Fig. 1A. Representative from two independent experiments was shown. C, RAW264.7 cells were pretreated with medium or 10 μM SP600125 for 30 min following stimulation with 100 ng/ml LPS for the indicated times, and then total cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis with antibodies to phospho-c-Jun and c-Jun, to phospho-JNK and JNK1, to phospho-p38 and p38, or to phospho-ERK and ERK2 (top and bottom of each pair of images, respectively). D, HEK293 cells were transfected with the construct for expression of FLAG-JNK or FLAG-p38 along with pSrxP-795 for 48 h. The luciferase activities were measured as in Fig. 3A, and the expression of FLAG-tagged JNK and p38 was evaluated by immunoblot analysis with anti-FLAG antibody. The fold induction relative to the control was determined. Data are means ± S.D. (error bars) of values from three independent experiments.

FIGURE 7. Involvement of Nox2-derived ROS in LPS-mediated Srx induction. A, RAW264.7 cells were preincubated in medium without phenol red in the absence or presence of 5 μM DPI or 100 μM BHA for 30 min and then were treated with LPS (1 μg/ml) for the indicated times. The cells were immediately incubated with 2.5 μM S-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate for 5 min, and DCF fluorescence was then visualized with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (left). Relative fluorescence intensity per cell was measured by averaging the values for five groups of cells in each image and is presented as means ± S.D. (error bars) (right). B, RAW264.7 cells were exposed to LPS (100 ng/ml) for 16 h after pretreatment with 2 mM NAC, 5 μM DPI, or 100 μM BHA for 30 min, and then the induction level of Srx protein was analyzed as in Fig. 1A. The result is representative of two independent experiments. C, RAW264.7 cells were transfected with pSrxP-795 and pRL-SV40 (internal control) for 24 h. The cells were pretreated with 100 μM BSO, 2 mM NAC, 5 μM DPI or 100 μM BHA following exposure to LPS (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. The luciferase activities were measured as in Fig. 3A. Data are means ± S.D. of values from three independent experiments. D–F, BMM cells were prepared from wild-type (Nox2+/+) or Nox2 knock-out mice (Nox2−/−). The cells were incubated in medium without phenol red in the presence of LPS (1 μg/ml) for the indicated times, and intracellular ROS levels were measured as in A (D). The cells were exposed to LPS (100 ng/ml) for 16 h (E) or for 12 h (F). Induction levels of protein (E) and mRNA (F) of Srx were calculated as in Fig. 1, A and E, respectively.
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LPS-mediated Srx induction by modulating the AP-1 activity as well as through its cis-acting element ARE

Binding of a wide range of ligands to their receptors leads to production of ROS, which regulate their signal transduction pathways (2, 57). Srx induction was regulated by LPS-induced ROS, as revealed by its inhibition by antioxidants, Nox inhibitor, and Nox2 deficiency. AP-1 activity can be regulated by the reversible S-glutathiolation of a conserved cysteine residue (58), and the reversible redox regulation by thioredoxin and redox factor 1 (59). It can also be regulated through the JNK pathway. JNK is a member of the MAPK superfamily of serine/threonine kinases. All MAPKs are activated through tyrosine and threonine phosphorylation at their activation loops by MAPK kinases and are inactivated by dephosphorylation of the same sites by MAPK phosphatases (60). One of the upstream kinases of JNK is the ASK-1 (apoptosis-signal regulating kinase 1), which is maintained in an inactive state by bound reduced thioredoxin. Oxidation of thioredoxin by ROS releases ASK-1, permitting its activation (61–63).

Several protein-tyrosine phosphatases are transiently inactivated in cells exposed to growth factors through oxidation of their catalytic cysteine with low pKₐ (64, 65). Members of the MAPK phosphatase subgroup of protein-tyrosine phosphatases, JNK phosphatases are also inhibited by oxidation of their catalytic cysteine by tumor necrosis factor α-induced ROS (66). Nrf2 is a transcription factor that mediates expression of phase II detoxifying or antioxidant enzymes (67, 68). The cysteine-rich Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1) is a cytoplasmic repressor of Nrf2 that binds to Nrf2, retains it in the cytoplasm, and promotes its proteasomal degradation (69). The phosphorylation of Nrf2 by several kinases, including MAPKs, phosphoinositide 3-kinase, and protein kinase C, leads to its release from Keap1-mediated repression (70, 71). In addition, phase II enzyme inducers and prooxidants can cause oxidation or covalent modification of several critical cysteine residues of Keap1, resulting in the activation of Nrf2 (72, 73). In our study, JNK was a major MAPK mediating Srx induction by LPS. Thus, LPS-mediated Srx induction is probably modulated by redox-dependent regulation of AP-1 and Nrf2, which is mediated through the JNK pathway regulating via ASK-1 activation and/or JNK phosphatase inactivation by ROS as well as oxidative modification of Keap1 as described in the legend to Fig. 8.

Activated macrophages produce ROS through the Nox enzyme complex, which is composed of membrane-bound flavocytochrome b₅₅₈, consisting of gp91phox and p22phox, and cytosolic regulatory subunits p67phox, p47phox, p40phox, and the small GTPase Rac (25). Several gp91phox (known as Nox2) homologues, termed Nox1, Nox3, Nox4, and Nox5, have been identified in nonphagocytic cells (74, 75). Lee et al. (76) reported that Nox2 is a major isozyme expressed in BMM cells, whereas Nox1 is expressed at a low level, and the expression of Nox3 and Nox4 is undetectable. In the present study, ROS production as well as Srx induction was strongly suppressed in Nox2-deficient BMM cells stimulated with LPS, suggesting that Nox2-derived ROS might contribute to the regulation of LPS-mediated Srx induction, although we cannot rigorously rule out the possibility of the involvement of other Nox isozymes. Meanwhile, LPS-mediated ROS generation in macrophages is dependent on Rac1 (19). Also, several reports showed that the activation of JNK and p38 was mediated through the PI3K/Rac1/p21-activated kinase signaling pathway (77–79). Thus, Rac1 may be involved in LPS-mediated Srx induction through its roles in the PI3K/Rac1/p21-activated kinase signaling pathway as well as in Nox activation.

We here described a redox-dependent regulation mechanism of LPS-induced Srx expression that is mediated by both AP-1 and Nrf2. Srx is an enzyme responsible for the recovery of catalytically inactive hyperoxidized Prxs, which are accumulated during the catalysis removing ROS under oxidative stress (11). Thus, it seems to play a protective antioxidant role against oxidative stress. Indeed, Nrf2 activator-mediated Srx induction protects cortical neurons against oxidative stress (41). Srx translocates from the cytoplasm to mitochondria in response to oxidative insult, and its mitochondria-targeted expression suppresses apoptosis by protecting mitochondria from oxidative damage (80). The physiological role of the LPS-dependent Srx induction is probably for self-defense. Treatment of macrophages with LPS results in the extracellular production of large amounts of H₂O₂, which can diffuse freely across biological membranes and impose oxidative stress on the cells. Prxs are responsible for the elimination of H₂O₂. During their peroxidase function, Prxs are expected to undergo hyperoxidation. Longer exposure of RAW264.7 cells to LPS results in a little hyperoxidation of Prxs (data not shown), although Srx is induced. This suggests that the elevated levels of Srx are insufficient to counteract the hyperoxidation. Therefore, it is likely that the defect of Srx expression leads to oxidative damage on phagocytic cells under inflammatory conditions.
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