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Abstract

Background: Worldwide physical activity levels of adults are declining, which is associated with increased chronic disease risk. Wearables and smartphone applications offer new opportunities to change physical activity behaviour. This systematic review summarizes the evidence regarding the effect of wearables and smartphone applications on promoting physical activity.

Methods: PubMed, EMBASE and Cochrane databases were searched for RCTs, published since January 2008, on wearables and smartphone applications to promote physical activity. Studies were excluded when the study population consisted of children or adolescents, the intervention did not promote physical activity or comprised a minor part of the intervention, or the intervention was Internet-based and not accessible by smartphone. Risk of bias was assessed by the Cochrane collaboration tool. The primary outcome was changed in physical activity level. Meta-analyses were performed to assess the pooled effect on (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity in minutes per day and daily step count.

Results: Eighteen RCTs were included. Use of wearables and smartphone applications led to a small to moderate increase in physical activity in minutes per day (SMD = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.03 to 0.82; I² = 85%) and a moderate increase in daily step count (SMD = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.12 to 0.91; I² = 90%). When removing studies with an unclear or high risk of bias, intervention effects improved and statistical heterogeneity was removed.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis showed a small to moderate effect of physical activity interventions comprising wearables and smartphone applications on physical activity. Hence, wearables and smartphone applications are likely to bring new opportunities in delivering tailored interventions to increase levels of physical activity.
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Key Points

- Interventions promoting physical activity may be enhanced if wearable devices, such as activity trackers, and smartphone applications are incorporated because effective behaviour change techniques can easily be integrated into wearables and smartphone applications.
- By exploring the factors influencing sustainability, adherence and long-term effectiveness, wearables and smartphone apps can be improved to increase effectiveness and optimize impact on public health.

Background

Physical inactivity or low physical activity levels are an increasing problem worldwide [1–4]. Around 31% of the world's population is classified as physically inactive, meaning that they are not meeting the physical activity...
for delivering physical activity interventions [2]. Also, time spent in sedentary behaviour, defined as any waking behaviour while in a sitting, reclining or lying posture, is increased [4]. Physically inactive and sedentary people are at increased risk for non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, some types of cancer and several other diseases and premature death [5–11]. It is estimated that inactivity is associated with a 20 to 30% increased mortality risk [8].

Increase in levels of physical activity holds the greatest potential to reduce premature death and to extend the lifespan [12]. High levels of moderate intensity physical activity (i.e. about 60 to 75 min per day) even seem to eliminate the increased risk of death associated with sedentary behaviour [13]. Therefore, stimulating physical activity gives potential for preventing a further increase in non-communicable diseases and premature death.

It is a challenge to reach physically inactive people and to promote and maintain physical activity behaviour change [14–16]. Several techniques are proposed for changing physical activity behaviour. For example, self-monitoring of behaviour is an important and effective technique [15–17], especially when combined with at least one of the following behavioural change techniques: prompt intention formation, prompt specific goal setting, provide feedback on performance and prompt review of behavioural goals [17, 18].

A meta-analysis including studies between January 2000 and August 2007 using pedometers showed a moderate positive effect on physical activity levels in adults and children. Compared to control groups, the intervention group increased on average by 2000 steps per day [19]. Another meta-analysis showed that Internet-delivered interventions, which are able to use different behavioural change techniques (e.g. providing information on consequences of behaviour, prompt barrier identification, relapse prevention and goal setting), were effective in producing small but significant increases in physical activity ($d = 0.24$, 95% CI = 0.09 to 0.38) [20, 21].

Advances in the device and smartphone technology, such as activity trackers and physical activity smartphone applications, have led to an exciting opportunity for delivering physical activity interventions [22]. In 2017, worldwide, there were over 2.3 billion smartphone users and more than 250,000 lifestyle apps available in the Google Play store [23, 24]. Sophisticated wearable devices (wearables) provide an easy and attractive way to self-monitor physical activity [25]. Likewise, advances in smartphone applications make it possible to use a combination of different behaviour change techniques to promote physical activity behaviour [23, 25, 26].

A previous systematic review from Coughlin et al. [27] showed promising results of smartphone apps in promoting physical activity, but the results were based on a combination of few randomized controlled trial and qualitative studies. Schoeppe et al. [28] found significant improvements in the physical activity of smartphone apps promoting physical activity in order to prevent non-communicable diseases in the intervention groups compared to the controls; however, no meta-analysis was performed. Studies included in these reviews were published between April 2007 and October 2014. Here, we summarize the findings of more recent randomized controlled trials and performed meta-analyses evaluating the effectiveness of physical activity interventions using wearables and smartphone applications to promote physical activity in adult populations compared to a control group.

Methods

Search Strategy

We conducted this review and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [29]. This review was registered in the PROSPERO register of systematic reviews (CRD42015026529).

In August 2017, we searched on titles and abstracts in PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL; 2008 to 2017). For the intervention, ‘mobile devices that promote physical activity’, we searched on the following MeSH terms or keywords: ‘Mobile applications OR Cell Phones OR Actigraphy’. We combined these with MeSH terms or keywords for the outcome ‘physical activity’: ‘Exercise OR Motor Activity’. We supplemented the keywords with searching in title/abstract using several synonyms of the intervention and outcome. The complete search strategy for all databases is available in the Appendix. We additionally searched the reference list of relevant reviews/studies.

Study Selection

Studies were eligible for inclusion when they were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), conducted in adults, assessing wearables and/or smartphone- and/or tablet-based applications stimulating physical activity. Primary outcomes were time spent in (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity, either objectively measured through pedometer or accelerometer data or subjectively measured by self-report questionnaires, and objectively measured daily step count.

Studies were excluded when the article was published before 2008 (introduction of the smartphone), the physical activity intervention comprised a minor part of a combined programme, or when the intervention was Internet-based and not accessible by smartphone. Control groups were excluded when they were offered the same application.

First, the title and abstract of the search yield were independently screened by four authors (RG, EM, EO, AM). Disagreement
was resolved by consensus or by consulting a third author (EM or AM).

Data Extraction
Three authors independently extracted the data from each of the included studies (RG, EM, EO). Discrepancies were resolved by consensus or consulting a third author (AM). We documented study characteristics (including year, author, study design), characteristics of the study population, components of the intervention (way of promoting physical activity, intervention duration) and outcomes on physical activity (including measures of physical activity, timing of measurements, statistical analysis, results). The five behaviour change techniques that were associated with the greatest effectiveness were documented as well [17, 18], that is, self-monitoring of physical activity, prompt intention formation (i.e. motivating to decide to act or set a goal, e.g. ‘I will take more exercise next week’), prompt specific goal setting (i.e. making a detailed planning of what to do), review of behavioural goals (i.e. reviewing and reconsidering previously set goals) and feedback on performance.

When a trial included more intervention arms, the intervention arm using a wearable and smartphone application combined with the fewest additional intervention components was compared with the control arm. Supplementary material or the website of the study, wearable or smartphone application was consulted when characteristics of the intervention were not described sufficiently. In case of missing data, we contacted the corresponding authors.

Risk of Bias Assessment
The risk of bias of each included study was assessed by three independent authors (RG, EM, EO). We used the Cochrane risk of bias tool, consisting of six domains [30]. Each domain was scored as low, unclear or high risk of bias. Disagreement about the risk of bias assessments was resolved by consensus or consulting the third author. An overall classification of low, unclear or high risk of bias in each study was based on the combination of the domains.

The following domains were assessed:

- Sequence generation: Was the method used to generate the allocation sequence appropriate to produce comparable groups? If the method was not described, the risk of bias was rated as unclear.
- Allocation sequence concealment: Was the method used to conceal the allocation sequence appropriate to prevent the allocation being known in advance of, or during, enrolment? If the method was not described, the risk of bias was rated as unclear.
- Blinding of outcome assessment: How subjective or objective was the outcome assessment? Objectively measured physical activity outcomes were rated as low risk of bias, and subjective outcomes were rated as high risk of bias. Unblinded physical activity assessments were less likely to be biased when objectively measured.
- Incomplete outcome data: Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed? Were attrition (drop-out) and exclusions from the analysis reported? Was the analysis an intention-to-treat analysis or were missing data imputed appropriately?
- Selective outcome reporting: Were outcomes prespecified in a study protocol or trial registration and reported as specified? If outcomes were not prespecified elsewhere, the risk of bias was rated as unclear.
- Other potential threats to validity: When baseline differences between study groups were present, were these accounted for in the analysis? Were there other sources of bias, not previously mentioned?

We decided to exclude the item blinding of participants because this is not feasible in these types of studies. However, we were aware that this shortcoming can lead to performance bias. The risk of bias assessment for blinding of outcome assessment was based on the method of outcome assessment (objective or subjective) and is already taken into account in the meta-analyses.

Publication Bias
To investigate publication bias, we assessed funnel plots by visual inspection for asymmetry. In a funnel plot, the treatment effect is plotted against a measure of precision. When a publication is less likely for smaller and hence less precise studies failing to detect a significant effect, the funnel plot may be asymmetrical.

Statistical Analyses
The primary outcome was time spent in (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity in minutes per day and mean number of steps per day. Outcomes in minutes per week were converted to minutes per day divided by 7. When change scores from baseline to post-intervention were not available, outcome scores post-intervention were described. Intervention effects were assessed by comparing the difference in physical activity level between the intervention and control group. We used random-effects models, grouped by the method of assessing physical activity (objectively or subjectively) and type of outcome (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity or daily step count [31]. Standardized mean differences (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval were calculated since different measurement instruments were used (Review Manager (RevMan), version 5.3) [32]. A standardized mean difference of 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a moderate effect and 0.8 a large effect [33]. Statistical heterogeneity
was assessed by examining the forest plots and calculating $I^2$. The $I^2$ statistic described the percentage of variability across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance [34]. $I^2$ values of 25, 50 and 75 were upper limits for low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively. Studies reporting multiple outcomes (e.g. objectively as well as subjectively measured) could be included in more than one meta-analysis.

Subgroup analysis was performed to explore clinical heterogeneity and subgroup effects, i.e. healthy versus diseased study populations and shorter (than 14 weeks) versus longer (than 14 weeks) intervention duration. Additional analyses were performed to test the robustness of the results by removing studies with an unclear or high risk of bias.

Results

Of the 10,318 unique articles screened on title and abstract, 81 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility (see Fig. 1). Finally, 18 studies were included in this review [35–52].

Population and Intervention Characteristics

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the included studies and interventions. The included studies involved 2734 participants from different populations. Twelve studies included healthy adults, also including inactive and/or overweight [35, 36, 38–42, 44, 47–49, 52], whereas four studies were conducted in patients with (chronic) diseases [37, 43, 50, 51], one study in participants with an elevated risk of cardiovascular disease [45] and another study included stroke survivors [46]. In all studies, except one, physical activity was promoted through a smartphone or tablet application. The application was, in most studies, supported by a pedometer [36–39, 50, 52] or accelerometer [40–42, 44–47, 49, 51]. In the other study, physical activity was promoted by an accelerometer which could be synchronized with an online dashboard [43].

In all studies, the application included self-monitoring as a behaviour change technique [35–52]. Also, in all studies except one [40], goal setting was included. Approximately two thirds of the studies used setting of individualized physical activity goals as a behaviour change technique [36–38, 43, 44, 46–51], whereas the other studies used general goals (e.g. at least 150 min physical activity of at least moderate intensity or 10,000 steps per day) [35, 39, 41, 42, 45, 52]. In almost all studies except four [40, 48–50], reviewing and reconsidering previously set behavioural goals was included in the application. Furthermore, all studies except one [46] included prompt intention formation, and all studies included feedback on the performance except for one study [49].

Other intervention components in addition to the application were among others an introduction through a presentation, booklet or education visit [37, 44, 48–50] and counselling, in-person [35, 38, 47], by telephone [41, 43] or in a group session [43]. The duration of the intervention ranged from 4 weeks to 12 months. Control groups differed across studies, varying from usual care [37, 41, 42, 45, 46, 51] and waiting list [43, 44] to some form of education through a presentation, booklet or education visit [39, 48–51].

Measurement of Physical Activity

Table 2 gives an overview of the measurement of the outcome (i.e. level of physical activity). Most studies measured physical activity objectively with an external accelerometer [37, 41, 43–47, 51] or pedometer [36, 38] or with a smartphone’s inbuilt accelerometer [40, 42] or pedometer [39, 52]. Four studies subjectively measured physical activity using a questionnaire [35, 48–50]. Most studies reported their results in mean minutes of physical activity per day [37, 38, 41–45, 47] or daily step count [37–40, 44–47, 51, 52]. Other reported outcomes were mean hours of physical activity per week [35], mean minutes of physical activity per week [48], kilocalorie per day [49] and metabolic equivalent of task (MET) per day [50]. Eleven studies reported a change in physical activity level between the baseline and the end of the intervention [35, 37–39, 41, 45, 47–49, 51, 52], and seven studies reported post-treatment physical activity level [36, 38, 41, 43, 44, 46, 50].

Risk of Bias of Included Studies

Figures 2 and 3 show the risk of bias assessment of the 18 included studies. Three studies did not describe the method of randomization and were rated as an unclear risk of bias [35, 36, 45]. Two studies were at high risk of selection bias caused by the method of sequence generation. Harries et al. [40] listed participants in the order of recruitment, and each third participant was allocated to one of the three groups. Paul et al. [46] assigned the first eight participants to the intervention group, then four to the control group, eight to the intervention group and the final four to the control group.

Allocation concealment was rated as a low risk of bias in seven studies because the concealment of allocation was likely due to the use of concealed envelopes or an independent or blinded investigator [37–39, 41, 42, 44, 49]. Three studies were rated as high risk of bias for allocation concealment [40, 46, 50]. One study used quasi-random assignment [49], and two studies allocated participants based on the order of recruitment [40, 46]. Eight studies had an unclear risk of bias because the method of allocation was not described [35, 36, 43, 45, 47, 48, 51, 52].

Four studies subjectively measured physical activity and were rated as a high risk of detection bias for blinding of outcome [35, 48–50]. The other studies used objectively
measured physical activity outcomes and were rated as low risk of bias.

Attrition rates were reported in all studies. Four studies were rated as a high risk of bias on incomplete outcome data because of high drop-out [35, 36, 45, 47, 51].

One study was assessed as having a high risk of bias for selective outcome reporting because the outcome measures were not reported in detail in the paper [40].

Another potential bias was identified in two studies. In one study, 28% of the intervention completers used another weight loss intervention in addition to the intervention [35], and the second study was not registered in a trial registry [40].

Six studies were classified as having a low risk of bias [37–39, 41, 42, 44], six studies were classified as having an unclear risk of bias [43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 52] and six studies had a high risk of bias rating [35, 36, 40, 46, 48, 50].

Publication Bias
We performed multiple meta-analyses since studies used different types of outcomes (i.e. moderate-to-vigorous physical activity or daily step count) and different methods of assessing physical activity (i.e. objectively and subjectively measured) and reported either change scores or outcome scores post-intervention and analysis including either studies with a low, unclear or high risk of bias or studies with only a low risk of bias. As a result, the number of studies was relatively low in each meta-analysis.
| Study        | Population                                                                 | N (randomized)/mean age (years)/sex (%) | Intervention                                                                                           | Behaviour change techniques                                                                 | Duration of intervention | Control                                                                 |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Li et al. [43] | Patients with knee osteoarthritis, > 50 years of age                      | 34/55.5/82                              | Individualized PA goals, Group education session and weekly telephone counselling by a physiotherapist, Fitbit Flex activity tracker synchronized with an online dashboard | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 1 month                   | Waiting list (same intervention with 1-month delay)                     |
| Lyons et al. [44] | Overweight, inactive adults, aged 55–79 years                             | 40/61.5/85                              | Daily and weekly step goals, Instruction visit and weekly telephone counselling, Jawbone Up24 and jawbone UP app | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 12 weeks                  | Waiting list (full intervention after final assessment)                 |
| Bickmore et al. [36] | Community-dwelling inactive adults, ≥65 years of age                     | 263/71.3/61                             | Individualized short- and long-term PA goals, Wearing pedometer, ECA (computer-animated virtual exercise coach) on a tablet for 2 months, ECA access in clinic waiting room for the following 10 months | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 12 months (2 months intervention phase + 10 months maintenance phase) | Wearing pedometer                                                     |
| Allen et al. [35] | Overweight adults, aged 21–65 years                                       | 34/44.9/78                              | PA goal of ≥150 min/week of MVPA, Intensive counselling, Lose it! weight loss application                 | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 6 months                  | Intensive counselling                                                   |
| Demeyer et al. [37] | COPD patients, > 40 years of age, smoking history ≥10 pack-years, stable or having an exacerbation in the last month | 343/67/36                               | Individualized daily PA (step) goals, Introduction visit, brochure and booklet containing home exercises, Weekly group text message, Fitbug Air step counter with Fitbug application and a project-tailored Proactive Linkcare coaching application | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 12 weeks                  | Usual care (standard leaflet explaining the importance of PA, information about PA recommendations) |
| Shin et al. [49] | Overweight Korean male university students, aged 19–45 years              | 70/27.8/0                               | Individualized PA goal to lose weight, Brief education sessions on diet and exercise and education materials, Fitmeter accelerometer and accompanying smartphone application (customized for the intervention) | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting                                    | 12 weeks                  | Brief education sessions on diet and exercise and education materials   |
| Study                    | Population                                                                 | N (randomized/mean age (years)/sex (% female) | Intervention                                                                                           | Behaviour change techniques                                                                 | Duration of intervention | Control                                                                                           |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recio-Rodriguez et al.  | Adults, ≤ 70 years of age, from Spanish primary care centres               | 833/51.9/62                                   | Standardized counselling in PA and the Mediterranean diet EVIDENT II mobile phone app (designed for this study) | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 3 months                  | Standardized counselling                                                                            |
| Uhm et al. [50]         | Patients, aged 20–70 years who completed primary breast cancer treatment  | 356/50.3/100                                 | PA goal of ≥ 150 min/week of MVPA, Home-based exercise programme, Smart After Care exercise application and pedometer | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Feedback on performance                                   | 12 weeks                 | PA goal of ≥ 150 min/week of MVPA, Home-based exercise programme, Exercise brochure               |
| Glynn et al. [39]       | Primary care patients                                                       | 90/44.1/64                                   | PA goal of 10,000 steps/day, Accupedo Pro Pedometer App                                              | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 8 weeks                  | PA goal of 30 min walking/day, Accupedo Pro Pedometer App, Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals |
| Fukuoka et al. [38]     | Overweight, inactive adults, ≥ 35 years of age, at risk for diabetes        | 61/55.2/77                                   | Long-term PA goal of 12,000 steps/day, In-person sessions Pedometer and Mobile phone-based Diabetes Prevention Program (mDPP) mobile app | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 5 months                  | Wore pedometer, displaying step count                                                             |
| Safran Naimark et al.   | Healthy adults interested in a healthy lifestyle                           | 99/47.9/64                                   | Presentation on healthy lifestyle, eBalance application to promote a healthy lifestyle                | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Feedback on performance                                  | 14 weeks                  | Presentation on a healthy lifestyle, eBalance application to promote a healthy lifestyle          |
| Martin et al. [45]      | Adults visiting a cardiovascular disease prevention centre                 | 32/38.0/46                                   | PA goal of 10,000 steps/day, First phase of unblinded digital activity tracking with Fitbug app, Second phase with three automated, personalized, smartphone-delivered coaching messages per day | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 4 weeks (2 weeks phase 1 and 2 weeks phase 2) | Blinded digital activity tracking, First phase of unblinded digital activity tracking, Second phase with three automated, personalized, smartphone-delivered coaching messages per day |
| Walsh et al. [52]       | Young adults, aged 17–26 years, using a mobile phone                       | 58/20.5/73                                   | PA goal of 10,000 steps/day, Information regarding the benefits of exercise, Accupedo-Pro Pedometer App and accompanying pedometer | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 5 weeks                  | Education regarding PA goal of 30 min walking/day and benefits, Information regarding the benefits of exercise, Accupedo-Pro Pedometer App and accompanying pedometer |
| Hartman et al. [41]     | Middle-aged and older overweight women with elevated breast cancer risk   | 55/59.5/100                                  | Weight loss intervention with MyFitnessPal and Fitbit app, PA goal of ≥ 150 min/week of MVPA, Twelve standardized coaching calls | Self-monitoring, Intention formation, Specific goal setting, Feedback on performance, Review of behaviour goals | 6 months                  | Usual care (dietary guidelines), Two brief calls, Weight loss intervention with MyFitnessPal and Fitbit app, PA goal of ≥ 150 min/week of MVPA, Twelve standardized coaching calls |
Table 1 Characteristics of included studies (Continued)

| Study            | Population                              | N (randomized)/mean age (years)/sex (% female) | Intervention                                                                 | Behaviour change techniques                                                                 | Duration of intervention | Control                                                                 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Harries et al. [40] | Healthy males, aged 22–40 years          | 110/NA/0                                      | Smartphone app recording steps and providing feedback Motivational text messages | Self-monitoring Intention formation Feedback on performance                                 | 6 weeks (plus 2 weeks run-in) | Carries smartphone to record steps with a built-in accelerometer       |
| Vorrink et al. [51] | Patients with COPD, GOLD stage 2 or 3, ≥ 40 years of age | 183/63.0/50                                  | Individualized PA goal Smartphone application and built-in accelerometer Website for physiotherapist to monitor patient and provide feedback | Self-monitoring Intention formation Specific goal setting Feedback on performance Review of behaviour goals | 12 months                | Usual care                                                              |
| King et al. [42]   | Underactive adults, ≥ 45 years of age, with no prior smartphone experience | 49/60.0/75                                    | Daily PA goal of 30 min/day of MVPA Smartphone app recording steps and providing feedback | Self-monitoring Intention formation Specific goal setting Feedback on performance Review of behaviour goals | 8 weeks                  | Non-physical, diet-tracking control app (Calorific)                      |
| Paul et al. [46]   | Stroke survivors                         | 24/56.0/52                                    | Individualized PA step goals, increased during the intervention period STARFISH smartphone app | Self-monitoring Specific goal setting Feedback on performance Review of behaviour goals       | 6 weeks                  | Usual care (wore ActivPAL before start intervention and during last week) |

COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ECA computer-animated virtual exercise coach, GOLD Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, PA physical activity
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### Objectively Measured (Moderate-to-Vigorous) Physical Activity in Minutes Per Day (Change Scores)

Six studies objectively measured physical activity and reported changes from baseline to post-intervention (Fig. 4). The random-effects meta-analysis showed an improvement in intervention groups compared to the control group; however, high statistical heterogeneity was detected ($SMD = 0.43, 95\% CI = 0.03$ to $0.82; I^2 = 85\%$). When excluding the two studies with an unclear or high risk of bias, a significant improvement was

---

Table 2: Measurement of physical activity outcome

| Study                  | Physical activity outcome | Outcome measurement instrument                                | Timing of measurement                     | Objective/subjective |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Li et al. [43]         | MVPA, $\geq$ 4 METs (min/day) | SenseWear Mini armband (research-based accelerometer) | Baseline and 1 month                      | Objective            |
| Lyons et al. [44]      | Stepping time (min/day) Steps/day | ActivPAL activity monitor | Baseline and 12 weeks (and 6 weeks)       | Objective            |
| Bickmore et al. [36]   | Steps/day                  | Digital pedometer                                                  | 12 months (and 2 months)                  | Objective            |
| Allen et al. [35]      | MVPA (hours/week) Stanford 7-day Physical Activity Recall (PAR) questionnaire | Baseline and 6 months                              | Subjective            |
| Demeyer et al. [37]    | MPA (min/day) Steps/day | ActiGraph GT3X+ accelerometer                                     | Baseline and 12 weeks                      | Objective            |
| Shin et al. [49]       | Kcal/day                   | International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-SF) Baseline and 12 weeks | Subjective                               |
| Recio-Rodriguez et al. [47] | MVPA (min/week) Steps/day | ActiGraph GT3X accelerometer                                     | Baseline and 3 months                      | Objective            |
| Uhm et al. [50]        | MET/week                   | International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-SF) Baseline and 12 weeks | Subjective                               |
| Glynn et al. [39]      | Steps/day                  | Accupedo Pro Pedometer App                                         | Baseline and week 8 (and week 2)           | Objective            |
| Fukuoka et al. [38]    | MPA (min/day) Steps/day | Omron Active Style Pro HUA-350IT pedometer                      | Baseline and 5 months (and every month)    | Objective            |
| Safran Naimark et al. [48] | PA (min/week) | Questionnaire-based on the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) Baseline and 14 weeks | Subjective                               |
| Martin et al. [45]     | MVPA (min/day) Steps/day | Fitbug Orb accelerometer                                         | Baseline and 5 weeks                      | Objective            |
| Walsh et al. [52]      | Steps/day                  | Accupedo-Pro Pedometer App                                         | Baseline and 5 weeks                      | Objective            |
| Hartman et al. [41]    | MVPA (min/day)             | ActiGraph GT3X+ accelerometer                                     | Baseline (week before randomization) and 6 months | Objective            |
| Harries et al. [40]    | Steps/day                  | Smartphone app bActive with a built-in accelerometer             | Continuously during the trial. Mean number of steps in the 6th week is used. | Objective            |
| Vorrink et al. [51]    | Steps/day                  | SenseWear Mini armband (research-based accelerometer) | Baseline and 12 months (and 3 months and 6 months) | Objective            |
| King et al. [42]       | MVPA (min/day)             | Smartphone-based accelerometer                                     | Unknown                                   | Objective            |
| Paul et al. (2016) [46] | Steps/day              | ActivPAL™ activity monitor                                       | Baseline (7 days before the start of the intervention) and the last 7 days of the intervention period | Objective            |

Kcal kilocalorie, MET metabolic equivalent of task, min minutes, MPA moderate physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, PA physical activity.
found in the intervention groups with no statistical heterogeneity (SMD = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.30 to 0.68; $I^2 = 0\%$).

**Subjectively Measured (Moderate-to-Vigorous) Physical Activity in Minutes Per Day (Change Scores)**
A change from baseline to post-intervention in subjectively measured physical activity was reported in three studies (Fig. 4). The random-effects meta-analysis showed no significant differences in physical activity level (SMD = 0.19, 95% CI = −0.18 to 0.57; $I^2 = 62\%$).

**Daily Step Count (Change Scores)**
For change in daily step count from baseline to the end of the intervention as reported in seven studies, a significant improvement was found for intervention groups compared to control groups (SMD = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.12 to 0.91; $I^2 = 90\%$; Fig. 5). However, a high statistical heterogeneity was observed. When excluding the four studies with an unclear or high risk of bias, daily step count significantly improved in the intervention group compared to control with no statistical heterogeneity between studies (SMD = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.48 to 0.86; $I^2 = 0\%$).

**Daily Step Count (Outcomes Post-intervention)**
A random-effects meta-analysis including six studies demonstrated a significant improvement in daily step count post-intervention in intervention groups compared to control groups (SMD = 0.33, 95% CI = 0.11 to 0.54; $I^2 = 29\%$; Fig. 5). Moderate statistical heterogeneity was observed.

**Studies with Other Outcomes**
Three studies reported other outcomes than (moderate-to--vigorous) physical activity in minutes or daily step count (Fig. 6) [38, 44, 45]. Reported outcomes were stepping time per day in minutes [39], kilocalories per day [43] and METs per day [45]. In all studies, no significant effect of the intervention was found in physical activity.

**Subgroup Analysis**
No differences were found between healthy [39, 47, 52] and diseased [37, 51] study populations (daily step count) and between interventions with an intervention duration shorter than 14 weeks [37, 42, 45, 47] and studies with a longer intervention duration [38, 41] (objectively measured physical activity).

**Discussion**
This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that exercise interventions comprising wearables and smartphone applications were effective in promoting physical activity in adults. A moderate effect was found for objectively measured change in (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity, and a moderate-to-large effect was found for change in daily step count. No significant effect was found for subjectively measured change in (moderate-to-vigorous) physical activity.

The results of this review are consistent with previous systematic reviews showing promising results of smartphone application in promoting physical activity [27, 28]; however, the results in these systematic reviews were based on a combination of randomized controlled trials and qualitative studies and no meta-analysis was performed.
Fig. 4 Forest plot of the effect of wearables and smartphone applications versus control on moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) in minutes per day. CI confidence interval, IV inverse variance, RoB risk of bias, SD standard deviation, Std standardized

Fig. 5 Forest plot of the effect of wearables and smartphone applications versus control on daily step count. CI confidence interval, IV inverse variance, RoB risk of bias, SD standard deviation, Std standardized
The current review included only randomized controlled trials and adds to the existing literature by conducting a meta-analysis. In addition, the above-mentioned systematic reviews targeted populations representative for the general population (i.e., patients with chronic disease were excluded). We included studies with different target populations, e.g., healthy adults, overweight or inactive adults, chronically diseased adults or older age groups.

Considerable statistical heterogeneity was detected between studies. Statistical heterogeneity between studies was removed when including only studies with a low risk of bias in the meta-analysis. Although also the number of studies was reduced in the ‘low risk of bias’ meta-analyses, analyses were still based on more than 400 subjects, implying sufficient power. Besides the differences in study quality, variation in study populations may explain the statistical heterogeneity. For example, previous research showed that more sedentary or inactive adults may benefit more from an intervention promoting physical activity than already active adults [53, 54]. In the same way, one might expect that patients with chronic disease may benefit more from an intervention promoting physical activity than already active adults [53, 54]. In the same way, one might expect that patients with chronic disease may benefit more from an intervention promoting physical activity than already active adults [53, 54].

Studies also varied in number and combination of included intervention components and behaviour change techniques. Promotion of physical activity through a smartphone application in combination with a wearable is in most studies accompanied by other intervention components such as counselling sessions. Hence, no conclusions could be drawn about the isolated effect of wearables and smartphone applications on physical activity. Schoeppe et al. [28] showed that only offering a smartphone application is less effective than offering a smartphone application with additional intervention components. As a result, compared to only offering a wearable and smartphone application, intervention effects may be larger when the intervention is accompanied with other intervention components. Furthermore, goal setting is one of the most important behaviour change techniques to increase physical activity [53]. In most studies, physical activity goals were individualized, which may be more effective in promoting physical activity compared to general physical activity goals [55]. Other sources of heterogeneity might be variation in intervention duration and differences between control groups across studies, ranging from usual care to having a physical activity goal.

Variation in intervention characteristics (e.g., intervention components and duration) may be associated with the target population. Based on the results of this review, we could not draw conclusions on the optimal combination of intervention characteristics for each target population. Future studies should therefore explore which combination of elements is effective in different populations by using for example factorial or Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial (SMART) designs.

It is still a challenge to reach healthy but physically inactive people and achieve a behaviour change [14–16]. Efforts have been undertaken to reach this population since physical inactivity is a key risk factor for developing different (chronic) diseases and premature death [5–11]. A promising strategy to foster motivation for physical activity is using the motivational power of games. For instance, mobile exergames as Pokémon GO, an augmented reality game in which players have to catch Pokémon (pocket monsters) that appear as virtual creatures in real places, appeared promising to reach a broad range of inactive people. First studies showed an increase in physical activity and more inactive populations were reached [56, 57]. However, physical activity decreased again within a few weeks indicating that people stopped playing [57, 58]. Future intervention studies should evaluate the potential added value of utilizing gaming strategies in smartphone applications in order to promote physical activity and to maintain change in physical activity level in the long term.

Most studies in this review focused on results directly post-intervention. Therefore, we could not obtain an insight into the sustainability of increased physical activity.
levels. Further research should include long-term follow-up assessments. Also, it would be interesting to obtain more insight into adherence to the use of wearables and smartphone applications and factors influencing adherence, e.g., personal preferences for apps and behaviour change techniques. Greater adherence may mediate the effect of the intervention on physical activity. With more information on sustainability, adherence and long-term effectiveness, wearables and smartphone apps could be designed that are most effective in promoting physical activity to optimize impact on public health. In this review, it was not possible to study the effectiveness of each behaviour change technique since they co-occur with other intervention characteristics and behaviour change techniques.

Lastly, we focused on the effect of physical activity. In future research, it would be interesting to focus on other outcomes as well, for example, quality of life and mood.

Conclusions
To conclude, a physical activity intervention comprising a wearable and/or smartphone application is promising in promoting physical activity in adult populations. Most interventions also included other intervention components which might support the effects. Wearables and smartphone applications are likely to bring more opportunities in delivering tailored and effective interventions to increase physical activity.

Appendix
Search Strings
Search String PubMed (3161)
(((Mobile applications[MeSH] OR Cell Phones[MeSH] OR Actigraphy[MeSH] OR Application, Mobile[tiab] OR Applications, Mobile[tiab] OR Mobile Application[tiab] OR Mobile Apps[tiab] OR App, Mobile[tiab] OR Apps, Mobile[tiab] OR Mobile App[tiab] OR Application*[tiab] OR Apps[tiab] OR App[tiab] OR Application Software[tiab] OR Technological Appliance[tiab] OR Smartphone Application[tiab] OR Activity Tracker*[tiab] OR Wearable Technolog*[tiab] OR Wearable Device*[tiab] OR Smart Band*[tiab] OR Smart Watch*[tiab] OR Smartphone App*[tiab] OR Mobile Phone[tiab] OR Phone, Cell[tiab] OR Phones, Cell[tiab] OR Cellular Phone[tiab] OR Cellular Phones[tiab] OR Phone, Cellular[tiab] OR Phones, Cellular[tiab] OR Telephone, Cellular[tiab] OR Cellular Telephone[tiab] OR Cellular Telephones[tiab] OR Telephones, Cellular[tiab] OR Cell Phone[tiab] OR Smartphone[tiab] OR Smartphones[tiab] OR Smart Phones[tiab] OR Smart Phone[tiab] OR Mobile Phone[tiab] OR Mobile Phones[tiab] OR Phone, Mobile[tiab] OR Phones, Mobile[tiab] OR Mobile Telephone[tiab] OR Mobile Telephones[tiab] OR Telephone, Mobile[tiab] OR Telephones, Mobile[tiab] OR Accelerometer*[tiab]))
AND
(Exercise[MeSH] OR Motor Activity[MeSH] OR Sedentary lifestyle[tiab] OR activities of daily living*[tiab] OR Exercise*[tiab] OR Exercise, Physical[tiab] OR Exercises, Physical[tiab] OR Physical Exercise[tiab] OR Physical Exercises[tiab] OR Exercise, Aerobic[tiab] OR Aerobic Exercise[tiab] OR Exercises, Aerobic[tiab] OR Aerobic Exercise*[tiab] OR Therapy, Exercise Therapy[tiab] OR Exercise Therapies[tiab] OR Therapies, Exercise[tiab] OR Physical fitness[tiab] OR Activity Behavior*[tiab] OR Activities, Motor[tiab] OR Activity, Motor[tiab] OR Motor Activities[tiab] OR Physical Activity[tiab] OR Activities, Physical[tiab] OR Activity, Physical[tiab] OR Physical Activities[tiab] OR Locomotor Activity[tiab] OR Activities, Locomotor[tiab] OR Activity, Locomotor[tiab] OR Locomotor Activities[tiab] OR Activity Level*[tiab] OR Activity Pattern[tiab] OR Exercise Behavior*[tiab] OR Exercise Pattern[tiab] OR Exercise Level[tiab] OR Physical Exercise[tiab] OR Physical Behaviour[tiab] OR Physical Behavior[tiab] OR Recreational Activity[tiab] OR Sport Behaviour[tiab] OR Sport Behavior[tiab] OR Fitness[tiab])
AND
2015/09: 2017/07[dp]

Search String Embase (8557)
(‘mobile application’/exp. OR ‘mobile phone’/exp. OR ‘activity’/exp. OR ‘application, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘applications, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile application’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile apps’/ti,ab OR ‘app, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘apps, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile app’/ti,ab OR ‘application’/ti,ab OR ‘app’/ti,ab OR ‘application software’/ti,ab OR ‘technological appliance’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone application’/ti,ab OR ‘activity tracker’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable technology’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable device’/ti,ab OR ‘smart band’/ti,ab OR ‘smart watch’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone app’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘phones, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phones’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephones’/ti,ab OR ‘telephones, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cell phone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphones’/ti,ab OR ‘smart phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘accelerometer’/ti,ab))
AND
(‘motor activity’/exp. OR ‘physical activity’/exp. OR ‘exercise’/exp. OR ‘sedentary lifestyle’/ti,ab OR ‘activities of daily living’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise, physical’/ti,ab OR ‘physical exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise, aerobic’/ti,ab OR ‘aerobic exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘therap’ OR ‘exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise therapy’/ti,ab OR ‘physical fitness’/ti,ab OR ‘activity behavior’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/ti,ab OR ‘motor activity’/ti,ab OR ‘physical activity’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/ti,ab OR ‘locomotor activity’/ti,ab OR ‘activity level’/ti,ab OR ‘activity pattern’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/exp. OR ‘application, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘applications, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile application’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile apps’/ti,ab OR ‘app, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘apps, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile app’/ti,ab OR ‘application’/ti,ab OR ‘app’/ti,ab OR ‘application software’/ti,ab OR ‘technological appliance’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone application’/ti,ab OR ‘activity tracker’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable technology’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable device’/ti,ab OR ‘smart band’/ti,ab OR ‘smart watch’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone app’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘phones, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phones’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephones’/ti,ab OR ‘telephones, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cell phone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphones’/ti,ab OR ‘smart phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘accelerometer’/ti,ab)
AND
(‘motor activity’/exp. OR ‘physical activity’/exp. OR ‘exercise’/exp. OR ‘sedentary lifestyle’/ti,ab OR ‘activities of daily living’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise, physical’/ti,ab OR ‘physical exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise, aerobic’/ti,ab OR ‘aerobic exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘therap’ OR ‘exercise’/ti,ab OR ‘exercise therapy’/ti,ab OR ‘physical fitness’/ti,ab OR ‘activity behavior’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/ti,ab OR ‘motor activity’/ti,ab OR ‘physical activity’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/ti,ab OR ‘locomotor activity’/ti,ab OR ‘activity level’/ti,ab OR ‘activity pattern’/ti,ab OR ‘activity’/exp. OR ‘application, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘applications, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile application’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile apps’/ti,ab OR ‘app, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘apps, mobile’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile app’/ti,ab OR ‘application’/ti,ab OR ‘app’/ti,ab OR ‘application software’/ti,ab OR ‘technological appliance’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone application’/ti,ab OR ‘activity tracker’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable technology’/ti,ab OR ‘wearable device’/ti,ab OR ‘smart band’/ti,ab OR ‘smart watch’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone app’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘phones, cell’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular phones’/ti,ab OR ‘phone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘cellular telephones’/ti,ab OR ‘telephones, cellular’/ti,ab OR ‘cell phone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphone’/ti,ab OR ‘smartphones’/ti,ab OR ‘smart phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile phone’/ti,ab OR ‘mobile telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘telephone’/ti,ab OR ‘accelerometer’/ti,ab)
"exercise behavio*":ti,ab OR "exercise pattern":ti,ab OR "exercise level":ti,ab OR "physical exercise":ti,ab OR "physical behavi*":ti,ab OR "recreational activity":ti,ab OR "sport behavio*":ti,ab OR "fitness":ti,ab
AND
[1-9-2015]/sd NOT [31-7-2017]/sd

**Search String Cochrane (980)**

([mh “Mobile Applications”] or [mh “Cell Phones”] or [mh “Actigraphy”] or (Application, Mobile or Applications, Mobile or Mobile Application or Mobile Apps or App, Mobile or Apps, Mobile or Mobile App or Application* or Apps or App or Application Software or Technological Appliance or Smartphone Application or Activity Tracker* or Wearable Technolog* or Wearable Device* or Smart Band* or Smart Watch* or Smartphone App* or Mobile Phone or Phone, Cell or Phones, Cell or Cellular Phone or Cellular Phones or Phone, Cellular or Phones, Cellular or Telephone, Cellular or Cellular Telephone or Cellular Telephones or Telephones, Cellular or Cell Phone or Smartphone or Smartphones or Smartphone or Smart Phone or Mobile Phone or Phone, Mobile or Phones, Mobile or Mobile Telephone or Mobile Telephones or Telephone, Mobile or Telephones, Mobile or Accelerometr*):ti,ab,kw)

AND

([mh “Motor Activity”] or [mh “Exercise”] or (Sedentary lifestyle or activities of daily liv* or exercis* or Exercise, Physical or Exer*:ti,ab OR Physical or Physical Exercise or Physical Exer*:ti,ab OR Exercise, Aerobic or Aerobic Exer*:ti,ab OR Exercise or Therapy, Exercise Therapy or Exercise Therapies or Therapies, Exercise or Physical fitness or Activity Behavio* or Activities, Motor or Activity, Motor or Motor Activities or Physical Activity or Activities, Physical or Activity, Physical or Physical Activities or Locomotor Activity or Activities, Locomotor or Activity, Locomotor or Locomotor Activities or Activity Level* or Activity Pattern or Exercise Behavio* or Exercise Behavio* or Exercise Pattern or Exercise Level or Physical Exercise or Physical Behaviour or Physical Behavior or Recreational Activity or Sport Behaviour or Sport Behavior or Fitness):ti,ab,kw)

Limit: Publication Year from 2015 to 2017 in Trials.

**Abbreviations**

CI: Confidence interval; METs: Metabolic equivalent of task; MPA: Moderate physical activity; MVPA: Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA: Physical activity; RCT: Randomized controlled trial; RR: Risk of bias; SMD: Standardized mean difference
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