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A pilot randomized control study to evaluate endoscopic resection using a ligation device for rectal carcinoid tumors
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INTRODUCTION

It is widely accepted that rectal carcinoid tumors smaller than 10 mm can be treated with local excision using endoscopy because they rarely metastasize[1,2]. Complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumors is, however, not easy with conventional endoscopic resection methods, as most of these tumors are located in the submucosal layer of the rectal wall of the lower portion of the rectum. As a result, there is a high incidence of residual tumor or tumor near the resection margin, requiring additional surgical intervention[3,4].

A previous study demonstrated that endoscopic mucosal resection with a ligation device facilitated complete resection of early gastric carcinoma and adenoma[5]. We previously suggested the possibility of this method for complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumor[6]. The historical control study performed by Ono et al[7] indicated usefulness of this method for complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumor. In this pilot randomized control trial, we aimed to compare clinical usefulness of ligation device for carcinoid resection with that of conventional endoscopic resection with snaring, and to evaluate a 3-year survival after resection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen patients diagnosed as rectal carcinoid tumor (less than 10 mm) in our hospital from 1993 to 2002 were enrolled in this study. No patients had symptoms of carcinoid syndrome. Examination with a high-frequency ultrasonographic probe (EU-M20; 20MHz, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) inserted through the biopsy channel of the endoscope was performed for evaluation of carcinoid tumors remained in submucosal layer (Figure 1). After
informed consent was obtained, these patients were randomly assigned into two groups according to a table of random permutations. Group 1 (n = 7) carcinoid tumors were treated with endoscopic mucosal resection using a snare with a conventional single-channel colonoscopy. Briefly, after injection of submucosal saline, the lesion was simply resected with snaring. Group 2 (n = 8) carcinoid tumors were treated by endoscopic resection using a ligation device (varioligator kit; TOP Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), with modification of the method used in gastric carcinoma[4,5]. A ligation device attached to a conventional single-channel colonoscopy (PCF200, PCF240 Olympus, Co., Tokyo, Japan), and endoscopic resection using a ligation device was performed as follows: (1) submucosal saline solution was injected beneath the tumor to elevate it for reducing a risk of perforation and resection margin involvement; (2) the carcinoid tumor was aspirated into the ligation device; (3) snare resection was performed below the band by using blend electrosurgical current (Figure 2).

This study was performed according to the guidelines of the Committee on Clinical Practice of our hospital. All resected specimens were examined microscopically for histopathological diagnosis, cut (lateral) and deep (vertical) margin involvement, and vascular and/or lymphatic invasion (Figure 3). Completeness of resection was evaluated by the histopathological examination as absence of carcinoid in the margin of the resected specimen.

### Statistical analysis

Tested groups were evaluated using chi-square test or student’s t test. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

The results are shown in Table 1. Average age of group 1 patients was 60.2 years (range: 34-75 years), and average age of group 2 was 62.6 years (range: 51-77

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of groups 1 and 2 patients

| Age (yr) | Sex | Size (mm) | Margin | Additional therapy |
|---------|-----|-----------|--------|--------------------|
|         |     |           |        |                    |
| Group 1 | 74  | M         | 3      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 34  | F         | 5      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 61  | M         | 7      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 46  | F         | 8      | Positive Transanal resection |
|         | 64  | M         | 6      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 75  | M         | 6      | Positive Ligation  |
| Group 2 | 55  | M         | 5      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 77  | M         | 9      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 70  | M         | 7      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 51  | F         | 4      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 63  | M         | 10     | Negative (-)       |
|         | 74  | F         | 4      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 60  | F         | 5      | Negative (-)       |
|         | 51  | M         | 6      | Negative (-)       |
years). Female/male ratios were 3:4 in group 1 and 3:5 in group 2. Thirteen tumors were located in the lower and 2 in the upper portion of the rectum, as 9 sessile and 6 semipedunculated small protrusions of the mucosa. The size of the tumors varied from 3 mm to 9 mm with mean diameter of 6.3 mm in group 1, and from 4 mm to 10 mm with mean diameter of 6.2 mm in group 2. Neither vascular nor lymphatic invasion was observed in any resected carcinoid tumor. Background characteristics of patients were not different between groups 1 and 2.

Complete resection rate was compared in two groups with histopathological examination. The rate of complete removal of carcinoid tumor in group 1 with simple snaring resection was 42.9% (3/7), which was significantly lower compared to that in group 2 with resection using a ligation device (100%, 8/8; P = 0.024). The three patients had tumor involvement of deep margin without lateral resection margin. These patients of carcinoid tumor in group 1 were treated with additional treatment: one patient was treated by trans-anel resection and two patients were resected with a ligation device. All patients were followed up more than 3 years, and recurrence of carcinoid tumors was not observed in any patient enrolled in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

Rectum is the most common part of carcinoid tumors in the gastro-intestinal tract in Japan. Prevalence of rectal carcinoid has been reported as 0.07% (16/21,522) in healthy subjects and 0.10% (8/765) in subjects of total colonoscopy in Japan. Most of rectal carcinoid tumors are less than 10 mm in size, and these tumors remain in the rectum without metastasis and can be treated by local resection. These carcinoid tumors are mainly located in the lower part of rectum as shown in this study.

Rectal carcinoid tumors are treated by several methods including endoscopic resection and surgical operation with trans-anal approach. Carcinoid tumors less than 10 mm can be treated by local resection, but most of carcinoid tumors extend into the submucosa, and polypectomy can not remove these tumors completely as shown in the previous study. Complete resection of submucosal tumors requires other method than simple polypectomy. Endoscopic mucosal resection following submucosal injection of saline solution should be useful for the resection.

This randomized control study compared endoscopic resection with a ligation device to conventional endoscopic resection with a snare just after saline injection. As a result, rectal carcinoid tumors less than 10 mm could be removed completely by endoscopic resection with a ligation device without any procedure-related complications. This result confirmed the previous historical control trial performed by Ono et al. who showed usefulness of endoscopic resection with a ligation device but their follow-up period was limited (median follow-up period: 10.5 mo). In this study, we followed up all patients for 3 years without any recurrence.

In conclusion, endoscopic resection with a ligation device might be a most applicable procedure for rectal carcinoid tumors less than 10 mm remained within submucosal layer, because this procedure is simple, minimally invasive, and safe for resection.
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