Protocatecheuic Acid Underlies the Antioxidant Activity Exhibited by *Illicium verum* Fruit

**Abstract**

*Illicium verum* Hook. F. (Star anise) belongs to the family Illiciaceae. In traditional medicine, it is used for variety of ailments. The current study was aimed at investigating the antioxidant potential of *I. verum* (IV) constituents. Various extract, fractions and pure compounds of *I. verum* were explored for their antioxidant activity using DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) and deoxyribose sugar assays. The structure of pure compound was elucidated through spectral studies (UV, IR, EIMS and 1H-NMR data). In DPPH assay, the methanolic extract of *I. verum* displayed antioxidant activity with an IC50 of 61 μg/ml. Among its various fractions, the ethyl acetate (IV-EA) appeared to be potent antioxidant with IC50 value of 18 μg/ml and its sub-fractions, the ethyl acetate soluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction (IV-EA-EA-S), was most potent with IC50 of 42 μg/ml. Further fractionation led to potent sub-fraction i.e. Petroleum ether-ethyl acetate insoluble sub fraction (IV-EA-PEEA-I) with an IC50 of 12 μg/ml. The purified fraction 13 subsequently appeared to be most potent (7 μg/ml) which led to the isolation of 3, 4-dihydroxy benzoic acid (protocatechuic acid, [1] as active principle. In deoxyribose degradation assay, the IV-E, IV-EA and protocatechuic acid also demonstrated antioxidant activity with IC50 of approximately 2000, 1400 and 600 μg/ml respectively. In conclusion, the protocatechuic acid [1] residing in *I. verum* most probably underlies its antioxidant action.
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**Introduction**

*I. verum* Hook. F., belongs to the family Illiciaceae and is commonly known as Badian. It is indigenous to Southern China and its dried fruit is consumed as spice in the food and drink industry as it provides flavor and enhances the taste of food. It is used as a condiment for flavoring curries, confectionery and for pickling [1]. In traditional medicine *I. verum* has been used as aromatic, anti-spasmodic, carminative, diuretic, expectorant, stomachic as well as stimulant. It is also useful in colic, constipation, dysentery, flatulence and insomnia [2,3]. Nadkarni (1976) Anti-HIV constituents are also reported from the roots of the plant [4].

Free radicals are produced when the body converts food to energy and also generated during several biochemical reactions. More recently, oxygen-reactive species (ROS), in particular free radicals such as hydroxyl have been recognized to be involved in several pathological conditions [5,6]. Antioxidants stabilize polyunsaturated fatty acid in food and are also known to reduce the risk of many diseases including cancer, cardiovascular, inflammatory and neurological disorders [7]. Therefore, the search and characterization of efficacious and cost effective antioxidants from natural products with fewer side effects has been considerable interest in recent years. Previously, no investigation has been conducted regarding the antioxidant properties of any part of *Illicium verum*, including its fruit; however, there is a report in which antioxidant activities of herbs and species including *I. verum* from commercial sources were mentioned [8]. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the antioxidant activity of methanol extract of *I. verum* dried fruit via the bioassay guided fractionation using DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) and deoxyribose degradation assays. Rutin, ascorbic acid and trolox (vitamin E) were used as standard drugs in this study.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals**

Rutin, vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid), trolox (analogue of vitamin E) and DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) were purchased from Sigma (USA). Methanol was obtained from Merck, Germany. Silica gel 60HF<sub>254</sub> was used for vacuum liquid chromatography.
Plant material

Dried fruits of *I. verum* [5kg] were purchased from a local market and authenticated by Professor Dr. Anjum Perveen, Director Centre for Plant Conservation, Herbarium and Botanic Garden, University of Karachi. A voucher sample was submitted to Department of Biological and Biomedical Sciences Herbarium, Aga Khan University with reference No. IV-F-27-12-120.

Bioassay guided extraction procedure

Dried fruits of *I. verum* (5kg) were extracted thrice with methanol at room temperature, and filtered after 48 hrs. The extracts were combined together and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure yielding a thick residue, *I. verum* extract (IV-E, 1287g). The *I. verum* extract (788g) was partitioned between distilled water and petroleum ether to give petroleum ether and aqueous layers. The aqueous phase was extracted successively with ethyl acetate followed by butanol thrice and each layer was washed with water. The petroleum ether and butanol phases after evaporation of the solvent afforded petroleum ether (IV-PE, 65.88g) and butanol fractions (IV-But, 139.46g), respectively. The combined ethyl acetate phases were dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate and filtered, the filtrate on evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure furnished 32.57g of ethyl acetate fraction (IV-EA) which was treated with petroleum ether to afford petroleum ether soluble (IV-EA-PE-S) and insoluble sub-fractions (IV-EA-PE-I), the latter was again divided into ethyl acetate soluble (IV-EA-ES) and insoluble sub-fractions (IV-EA-EA-I). The IV-EA-EA fraction (29.9 g), was taken in a small quantity of ethyl acetate and the resulting syrupy mass was poured into 700 ml of petroleum ether with gentle shaking yielding petroleum ether-ethyl acetate soluble (IV-EA-PE-ES) and petroleum ether-ethyl acetate insoluble (IV-EA-PE-EA-I) sub-fractions. After freeze drying, the aqueous layer afforded aqueous fraction (IV-Aq, 220.6g), 50 g of which was treated with methanol affording methanol soluble (IV-Aq-M-S) and insoluble sub-fraction (IV-Aq-M-I) of IV-Aq. The IV-But was divided into ethyl acetate soluble (IV-But-EA-S) and insoluble (IV-But-EA-I) sub-fractions, respectively. The purified fraction, IV-EA-PE-EA-I (17g) was subjected to vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC, silica gel, petroleum ether; ethyl acetate, methanol and water in order of increasing polarity) affording 38 fractions. Fraction 13G was divided into chloroform and chloroform: methanol (1:1) soluble fractions, 13G-C and 13G-CM respectively. 13G-C on further solvent separation gave chloroform soluble (13GC-C) and chloroform: methanol soluble fractions (13GC-M1 and 13GC-M2). 13GC-M1 was subjected to PTLC which affording four bands of which band four was identified as 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (protocatechuic acid), 1 (Figure 1) [9].

Data analysis

Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=number of observations). The IC50 values obtained graphically represented the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of free radicals or 50% inhibition of DNA damage by the hydroxyl radical. The median inhibitory concentration (IC50) values with 95% confidence intervals p < 0.05 was considered significantly different using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. The concentration-response curves (CRCs) were analyzed by non-linear regression using Graph PAD program (Graph PAD, San Diego, California, USA).

**Figure 1: 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid.**

**Results**

3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (protocatechuic acid, 1) was obtained as powder:

IR (KBr), ν cm−1: 3266, 1674 and 1296. UV (MeOH) λmax (nm) 258. EIMS (m/z) 154 (M+). 1H NMR (300MHz, CD3OD): 7.52 (d, J5,6 = 2.0 Hz, H-2), 7.46 (dd, J5,6 = 2.0 Hz, J2,6 = 8.2 Hz, H-6) 6.88 (d, J3,5 = 8.2 Hz, H-5), 8.50 (brs, aromatic OH).

**DPPH assay**

Reaction mixture containing different concentration (0.5-200µg/ml) of the test sample (standard antioxidant, extract, fraction, sub-fractions, and pure compounds) in methanol and 300 µM DPPH methanolic solutions were left at room temperature for a period of 30 min. Absorbance was measured at 517 nm on a UV-VIS spectrophotometer and percent inhibition of DPPH free radicals after sample treatment was calculated [10].

**Deoxyribose degradation assay**

The hydroxyl radical induced damage to the deoxyribose (DNA) was determined in the absence of test compound (control) and presence of different concentrations of ascorbic acid (100-200µg/ml), trolox (100-500µg/ml), *I. verum* extract (100-4000µg/ml), its ethyl acetate fraction (100-4000µg/ml), compound 1 (10-1000µg/ml), by the formation of thiobituturic active substances. Absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically at 532nm and percent inhibition of DNA degradation after sample treatment was calculated as to compare control [11].

**Discussion**

The current study was aimed to investigate (bioassay-guided fractionation) the antioxidant potential of *I. verum* using...
DPPH and deoxyribose degradation assay. Oxidative stress underlies the pathogenesis of several illnesses and antioxidants (natural and synthetic) helps in preventing it. However questions have been raised concerning the safety of some of the commercial antioxidants and linked with the mutagenesis and carcinogenesis [12]. Therefore, investigation and utilization of natural antioxidants as food product and medicine have been of considerable interest in recent years. It is well established that the DPPH assay provides an easy and rapid way to evaluate potential antioxidants [13]. Our data showed the methanolic extract of dried fruits of *I. verum* (IV-E) possess antioxidant activity (IC$_{50}$ ~ 61 µg/ml) exhibiting its possible role in prevention of oxidative stress (Table 1). In search of active principles, the IV-E was fractionated. Among all fractions, the ethyl acetate appeared to be most potent (IC$_{50}$ ~ 18 µg/ml). The order of potency is trolox > rutin > ethyl acetate fraction > ascorbic acid > butanol fraction > extract thereby exhibiting that the antioxidant principles of the *I. verum* were most likely concentrated in the ethyl acetate phase (IV-EA).

Table 1: Effect of *I. verum* extract, its petroleum ether, butanol, ethyl acetate, aqueous fractions, ascorbic acid, rutin and trolox on percent antioxidant activity using DPPH assay.

| Sample          | 3    | 6    | 12   | 25   | 50   | 75   | 100  |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| IV-E            | 0±t.1.9** | 0±t.1 | 17±2.6 | 24±4.3 | 40±2.5 | 58±6.2 | 70±2.4 |
| IV-PE           | 0±t.3.7** | 11±0.9 | 12±1.4 | 10±0.1 | 13±1.3 | 13±1.7 | 14±2.5 |
| IV-But          | 07±1.3 | 16±3.1 | 24±3.7 | 35±6.0 | 60±4.8 | 82±4.8 | 94±3.8 |
| IV-EA           | 1±t.2.7 | 30±3.6 | 43±5.4 | 63±5.8 | 82±5.5 | 91±1.3 | 96±1.8 |
| IV-Aq           | N.D. | N.D. | 07±1.1 | 17±1.6 | 24±1.1 | 30±1.1 | 29±1.3 |
| Ascorbic acid   | 05±0.5 | 11±1.7 | 29±3.1 | 63±2.7 | 94±2.9 | 96±1.4 | N.D. |
| Rutin           | 24±2.3 | 65±4.4 | 88±4.6 | 93±1.5 | 96±1.7 | N.D. | N.D. |
| Trolox          | 57±2.4 | 93±2.1 | 97±1.8 | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |

Each value represents mean ± SE of five determinants, each in duplicate. Control absorbance = 0.85±8.48 nm ± 0.02 (n = 35). N.D. = Not done. All the values showed significant percent antioxidant activity (p < 0.05) as compared to the control and n.s. represents non-significant difference.

IV-E, IV-PE, IV-But, IV-EA and IV-Aq represent *I. verum* extract, petroleum ether fraction, butanol fraction, ethyl acetate fraction and aqueous fraction, respectively.

The IV-EA was further treated with different solvents to obtain its various sub-fractions. Among various sub-fractions, the ethyl acetate soluble sub-fraction (IV-EA-PE-A-S) was most potent with IC$_{50}$ of 42 µg/ml (Table 2). Further fractionation led to potent sub-fraction i.e. petroleum ether-ethyl acetate insoluble sub fraction (IV-EA-PE:EA-I) with an IC$_{50}$ of 12 µg/ml. The purified fraction 13 subsequently appeared to be most potent (7 µg/ml, Table 3). Based on the aforementioned findings, purified fraction 13 (PF-13) was further treated with different solvent system to obtain purified sub-fractions including PFS-13A, PFS-13B, PFS-13C, PFS-13D, PFS-13E, PFS-13F and PFS-13G. The fraction PFS-13A, which showed a predominant UV active spot on TLC (thin layer chromatography) was subjected to HPTLC (high performance thin layer chromatography). Spectral studies. Regarding the profile of aromatic acids from *I. verum* spectral studies. Protocatechuic acid (protocatechuic acid, 1, (Figure 1) through spectral studies. Protocatechuic acid was identified as 3, 4-dihydroxy benzoic (protocatechuic acid, 1) through spectral studies. Protocatechuic acid was identified as 3, 4-dihydroxy benzoic acid has been isolated from this plant. It is important to note that 3,4-dihydroxy benzoic acid has been isolated from many plants *e.g.*, *Abies* specie [14], *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* [15], *Delonix negia* [16], *Hibiscus sabdarifari* [17], *Musanga ceropioioides* [9], and *Salvia miltiorrhiza* [18], and its biological properties including antioxidant and antitumor activities have also been reported [19]. It is well established fact that superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide are produced during aerobic metabolism and play vital role in physiological processes. The over production of hydroxyl radical may lead to tissue damage and degradation of important bio-molecules such as DNA by various mechanisms e.g. base pair mutations, deletions and insertions [20]. The damage to mitochondrial DNA could play a crucial role in pathogenesis of various disorders such as neurodegenerative diseases. Thus the scavenging and/or chelation of Fe$^{2+}$ ions may provide important therapeutic significance against oxidative stress induced diseases [21]. Our data showed that in deoxyribose degradation assay, the IV-E, IV-EA and protocatechuic acid (1) also demonstrated antioxidant activity with IC$_{50}$ of approximately 2000, 1400 and 600µg/ml respectively (Table 4). The structures of protocatechuic acid were determined through UV, IR, Mass and $^1$H NMR spectral studies (Figure 1), and comparison of the data with those reported in literature [2,9,19,22,23].
Table 2: Effect of sub-fractions (obtained from butanol, ethyl acetate, and aqueous fractions) on percent antioxidant activity using DPPH assay.

| Sample                  | Dose (µg/ml) |
|-------------------------|--------------|
|                         | 3            | 6            | 12           | 25           | 50           | 75           | 100          |
| IV-But-EA-S             | 4±0.8        | 11±1.4       | 18±2.1       | 27±3.1       | 52±2.9       | 78±2.6       | 79±5.2       |
| IV-But-EA-I             | 7±1.3        | 15±2.5       | 26±1.9       | 37±3.8       | 70±4.1       | 81±3.1       | 80±2.7       |
| IV-EA-PE-S              | 10±1.5       | 08±1.1       | 08±1.1       | 10±2.4       | 09±1.1       | 11±1.5       | 12±2.2       |
| IV-EA-PE-I              | 9±1.2        | 24±1.7       | 38±4.1       | 72±7.1       | 93±9.2       | 94±3.1       | N.D.         |
| IV-EA-S                 | 13±0.3       | 27±2.6       | 36±4.4       | 88±0.8       | 92±0.6       | 94±0.3       | N.D.         |
| IV-EA-I                 | 16±1.3       | 31±3.4       | 54±6.4       | 72±4.8       | 88±3.3       | 90±3.6       | 92±3.2       |
| IV-EA-PE:EA-S           | N.D.         | 9±3.1        | 16±1.2       | 22±1.8       | 32±0.7       | 43±0.5       | 56±1.6       |
| IV-EA-PE:EA-I           | 14±1.1       | 35±2.1       | 56±2.5       | 74±1.2       | 75±1.1       | 67±1.4       | N.D.         |
| IV-EA-PE:EA-I           | N.D.         | N.D.         | 14±3.1       | 13±3.7       | 17±1.8       | 19±3.2       | 20±2.8       |
| IV-EA-PE:EA-I           | N.D.         | 14±4.1       | 17±4.2       | 13±3.4       | 18±4.2       | 17±2.9       |              |

Each value represents mean ± SE of four determinants, each in duplicate. Control absorbance = 0.8392 nm ± 0.02 (n = 40). N.D. = Not done. All the values showed significant percent antioxidant activity (p < 0.05) as compared to the control and n.s. represents non-significant difference.

IV-But-EA-I: Ethyl acetate insoluble sub-fraction of butanol fraction. IV-But-EA-I: Ethyl acetate soluble sub-fraction of butanol fraction. IV-EA-PE: Petroleum ether soluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction. IV-EA-PE-I: Petroleum ether insoluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction. IV-EA-S: Ethyl acetate soluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction. IV-EA-I: Ethyl acetate insoluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction. IV-Aq-M-S: Methanol soluble sub-fraction of aqueous fraction. IV-Aq-M-I: Methanol insoluble sub-fraction of aqueous fraction.

Table 3: The IC_{50} values of petroleum ether, ethyl acetate insoluble sub-fraction and its purified fractions on percent antioxidant activity using DPPH assay.

| Sample         | IC_{50}  |
|----------------|----------|
| IV-EA-PE:EA-I  | 12±1.1   |
| Purified fraction 13 | 07±1.2   |
| Purified fraction group 1 | 19±0.4   |
| Purified fraction group 2 | 23±0.9   |
| Purified fraction group 3 | 29±0.6   |
| Purified fraction 23 and 25 | 38±0.5   |
| Purified fraction 26 and 24 | 45±0.3   |
| Purified fraction 37 | 54±2.1   |

Each value represents mean ± SE of four determinants, each in duplicate. Control absorbance = 0.8756 nm ± 0.03 (n = 108). All the above mentioned IC_{50} values were found significantly different (p < 0.05) from each other.

IV-EA-PE:EA-I: Petroleum ether, ethyl acetate insoluble sub-fraction of ethyl acetate fraction.

Purified fraction (PF) group-1 include PF-21, PF-22, PF-27, PF-33, PF-35, PF-36 and PF-38.

Purified fraction group 2 include PF-10, PF-28, PF-29, PF-30 and PF-34.

Purified fraction group 3 include PF-11, PF-12PF-14, PF-15, PF-17, PF-18, PF-19, PF-20 and PF-32.

Table 4: Effect of I. verum extract, its ethyl acetate fractions, compound 1, ascorbic acid and trolox on percent inhibition of DNA degradation.

| Sample                  | Dose (µg/ml) |
|-------------------------|--------------|
|                         | 100          | 500          | 1000         | 2000         | 4000         |
| IV-E                    | 5±1.9n.s.    | 08±2.1       | 27±2.6       | 54±5.1       | 87±4.6       |
| IV-EA                   | 5±3.1n.s.    | 19±4.4       | 43±5.5       | 77±4.9       | 93±4.3       |
| Compound 1              | 16±2.7       | 42±3.3       | 91±2.6       | N.D.         | N.D.         |
| Ascorbic acid           | 26±3.6       | 63±3.2       | 96±2.9       | 95±4.1       | N.D.         |
| Trolox                  | 41±4.2       | 97±2.8       | N.D.         | N.D.         | N.D.         |

Each value represents mean ± SE of four determinants, each in duplicate. Control absorbance = 0.6979 nm±0.02 (n = 24). N.D. = Not done. All the values showed significant percent antioxidant activity (p < 0.05) as compared to the control and n.s. represents non-significant difference.

Conclusion

The protocatechuic acid (1) residing in I. verum most probably underlies its antioxidant action. The present study provides the pharmacological basis for the use of Illicium verum fruits in preventing oxidative stress.
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