Recent progress in application of nanocatalysts for carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions
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In the past few decades, cross-coupling of aryl halides and arylboronic acids in the presence of carbon monoxide (CO), also called carbonylative Suzuki coupling, to form two new carbon–carbon bonds in the production of synthetically and biologically important biaryl ketones, has been widely studied. Consequently, various catalytic systems have been extensively investigated in order to maximize the efficiency of this appealing area of biaryl ketone synthesis. As evidenced in the literature, nanometal-based systems are among the most powerful catalysts for this transformation as their large surface area to volume ratio and reactive morphologies allow faster reaction rates under milder CO pressure even at very low catalyst loadings. This review aims to provide an overview of the recent advances and achievements in the application of nano-sized metal catalysts for carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions, which may serve as an inspiration to researchers in their future work.

1. Introduction

Biaryl ketones as ubiquitous structural motifs found in a variety of FDA-approved drugs and biologically active natural products.1–7 For example (Fig. 1), fenofibrate with the brand name Tricor is an antilipemic agent marketed in a number of countries worldwide for the treatment of high blood lipid levels. The drug works by increasing the enzyme lipoprotein lipase that
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breaks down fats in the blood. Mebendazole (Vermox) is a promising gastrointestinal antibiotic used to treat intestinal infections caused by worms such as pinworm, roundworm, and hookworm. It works by preventing the worms from absorbing sugars which they need to survive. Tiaprofenic acid sold under the trade name Surgam among others is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug used to ease pain and reduce inflammation in rheumatic conditions.

Biaryl ketones are also versatile building blocks for the synthesis of numerous complex chemical structures and photosensitizers in photochemical reactions. Because of their wide synthetic usefulness and biological importance, many efforts have been devoted to the development of their efficient synthetic methods. Traditionally, biaryl ketone systems have been synthesized via the Friedel–Crafts acylation of aromatic compounds (Fig. 2, path a), the Houben–Hoesch reaction of nitriles and arenes (Fig. 2, path b), the Fries rearrangement of aryl esters (Fig. 2, path c), and the reaction of Grignard reagents and acyl halides (Fig. 2, path d). However, most of these strategies, if not all, suffer from certain disadvantages. For example, since superacid is necessary for the Houben–Hoesch ketone synthesis, it suffers from compatibility issues with many functional groups. Similarly, Friedel–Crafts acylation utilizes stoichiometric amounts of Lewis acid and therefore leads to the generation of large amounts of waste, thus leading to poor atom economy. Moreover, the formation of ortho and para isomers with poor regioselectivity, their separation and accessing biaryl ketones with meta substitution are additional problems associated with this name reaction. In the case of Fries rearrangement, there are three major problems which limit its range of applications. First, the reaction requires drastic conditions and...
accordingly only esters with relatively stable acyl components can be used in this synthetic strategy. Second, poor yields are observed for substrates bearing deactivating or meta-directing groups. Third, owing to its poor regioselectivity, a mixture of ortho- and para-regioisomers is usually obtained.

In the last few decades, transition-metal-catalyzed carbylative cross-coupling reactions have emerged as one of the most straightforward and powerful methodologies for the preparation of titled compounds. Among the various carbylative cross-coupling reactions, carbylative Suzuki cross-coupling of readily accessible, non-toxic, and stable aryloboronic acids with aryl halides has attracted highest attention of the synthetic chemistry community on the last years. However, the high catalyst and ligand loadings (more than 10 mol%), harsh reaction conditions, and the need for high pressure of CO remain important challenges in this synthetic strategy. In order to bypass these limitations, nanometal-based systems were recently used as highly efficient and reusable catalysts for this appealing reaction which due to their large ratio of surface area to mass and reactive morphologies allow for faster reactions under milder conditions even at very low catalyst loadings and in the absence of any ligand. Since several significant developments in this domain have taken place over the past few years, a comprehensive review on this fast-growing research arena seems to be timely. In connection with our recent works on modern cross-coupling reactions and application of nanocatalysts in organic synthesis, herein, we will attempt to highlight the most important discoveries and developments in the application of nanomaterial-based catalysts for

Fig. 2  Traditional synthetic routes to biaryl ketones.

Fig. 3  Nanometal-catalyzed carbylative Suzuki cross-coupling of aryl halides and aryloboronic acids.
carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions (Fig. 3), by hoping that it will serve as an inspiration to researchers in their future works.

The nanocatalysts generally play main role in all the chemistry fields. Here, we summarize the various nanocatalyst such as palladium, nickel, copper and bimetal in carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions.

2. Palladium nanoparticles-based catalysts

2.1. Single element Pd nanoparticles

One of the earliest reports deals with the use of single element palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) as catalysts for carbonylative Suzuki coupling reactions can be found in a 2013 paper by Han and co-workers.

In this report, a wide range of bi(hetero)aryl ketones were synthesized in good to almost quantitative yields through the reaction of (hetero)aryl iodides with (hetero)arylboronic acids under carbon monoxide (CO) atmosphere using in situ generated PdNPs (2.6 nm) by decomposition of Pd(OAc)$_2$ in PEG-400 at room temperature (Scheme 1). It is noteworthy that aryl iodides having either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents were compatible with this synthetic strategy. In addition, the challenging double carbonylative coupling of diiodobenzenes (e.g., 1,2-diiodobenzene, 1,3-diiodobenzene, 1,4-diiodobenzene) was possible, affording diketone products in high yields. Moreover, the scope of boronic acids that underwent reaction was broad enough to include aryl, heteroaryl, and naphthylboronic acids. On the other hand, other aryl halides such as aryl bromides were not reactive, leading to negligible product yields. Of note, this procedure was successfully applied on the gram-scale with little effect on the yield. Additionally, the catalyst can be reused at least nine consecutive reaction runs without detrimental loss of catalytic performance (from 90% in the first run to 80% in the ninth run). According to the authors, the active catalyst is very likely to be homogeneous in nature as determined by the total inhibition of the reaction in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of CS$_2$ and negative Hg(0) poisoning tests.

With the objective of designing a more environmentally friendly procedure to biaryl ketones through the PdNPs-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki coupling reaction, the group of Trzeciak were able to demonstrate that a series of unsymmetrical biaryl ketones could be obtained via the three-component reaction of (hetero)aryl iodides, arylboronic acids and CO (1 atm) in water employing Pd(1-BI)$_2$Cl$_2$ (BI = 1-butylimidazole) as a precursor of PdNPs and Na$_2$CO$_3$ as a cost-effective base (Scheme 2).

The results demonstrated that the steric effects of the substituents on the aryl iodides had little impact on the facility of the reaction. However, the process strongly depended on the electronic nature of the substrates, with the best yields were obtained with electron-rich aryl iodides. Under similar conditions, the double carbonylation proceeded sluggishly, even with longer reaction times. Unfortunately, no comment was made by the authors regarding the recoverability and reusability of the catalyst.

---

**Scheme 1** Han’s synthesis of bi(hetero)aryl ketones 3.

**Scheme 2** PdNPs-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki coupling in water.
2.2. Magnetically separable Pd nanocatalysts

In recent years, magnetic nanoparticles have been extensively used as support materials to improve the recyclability and catalytic performance of the solid catalysts. Magnetic-nanoparticle-supported palladium catalysts are among the most widely used magnetically separable metal-nanocatalyst systems for various kinds of cross-coupling reactions.

One of the earliest reports on the utilization of magnetically separable Pd nanocatalysts in the carbonylative Suzuki coupling reaction between aryl halides with arylboronic acids was published by Li and Ma along with their co-workers in 2014, who showed that the treatment of functionalized aryl iodides with arylboronic acids in the presence of a catalytic amount of palladium nanoparticles immobilized on thiol-modified hollow magnetic mesoporous silica spheres (HMMS-SH–PdII) and over-stoichiometric amounts of K2CO3 as a base under balloon pressure of CO in anisole, resulted in the formation of the corresponding biaryl ketones in excellent yields along with small amounts of biaryl side products (Table 1, entry 1).

Remarkably, the catalyst can be easily recovered from the reaction mixture by using an external magnetic field, washing with distilled water and ethanol, and air-dried, and then be reused at least five consecutive reaction runs without significant decline deactivation in its catalytic activity. The catalytic performance of HMMS-SH–Pd was also compared with MCM-41-2N–Pd, MCM-41-2P–Pd, ImmPd–IL and Pd/C catalysts in the reaction of 4-iodoanisole with phenylboronic acid. The results revealed the superior catalytic activity of the former. A mechanism that explains this transformation involves the initial formation of aryl–PdI complex A through the oxidative addition of aryl iodide to HMMS-SH–PdII catalyst, which after migratory insertion of carbon monoxide affords aryl-CO–PdII–aryl complex B. Next, transmetalation of this intermediate with arylboronic acids provides aryl-CO–PdII–aryl complex C. Finally, reductive elimination of this complex produces the target biaryl ketone (Scheme 3).

Table 1 Three-component coupling between aryl iodides, arylboronic acids, and CO catalyzed by magnetically separable Pd nanocatalysts

| Entry | Pd nanocatalyst | Conditions | Number of examples | Yield (%) | Ref. Range Average |
|-------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1     | HMMS-SH–PdII    | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 7–12 h | 18 | 85–99 | 92 | 22 |
| 2     | Fe3O4/PPy–PdII  | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 6–12 h | 18 | 80–90 | 90 | 23 |
| 3     | Fe3O4/PANI–PdII | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 6–12 h | 15 | 87–98 | 94 | 24 |
| 4     | Fe3O4/DA–PdII   | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 6–8 h  | 15 | 79–96 | 90 | 25 |
| 5     | Fe3O4/DA–PdII   | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 6–8 h  | 15 | 62–85 | 75 | 25 |
| 6     | Fe3O4@SiO2-2N–PdII | Anisole, K2CO3, 80 °C, 6–12 h | 18 | 72–99 | 90 | 26 |

Scheme 3 Plausible reaction mechanism for the HMMS-SH–PdII-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki coupling of aryl iodides with arylboronic acids.
of the developed nanocatalysts (Fe$_3$O$_4$/DA–Pd$^0$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$/DA–Pd$^{II}$) were thoroughly assessed using carbonylative cross-coupling reactions of various aryl iodides 7 with arylboronic acids 8 (Table 1, entries 4 and 5) which clearly revealed that Pd$^{II}$ exhibited superior catalytic activity in comparison to Pd$^0$. Low catalytic performance of the Pd$^0$ is due to agglomeration of Pd nanoparticles which was seen via transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Drawing inspiration from these works, Qi and colleagues studied the similar carbonylative coupling of aryl iodides 7 and arylboronic acids 8 using Pd$^{	ext{II}}$ complex immobilized on ethylenediamine-functionalized core–shell magnetic nanoparticles [Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–2N–Pd$^{II}$] as a reusable catalyst in combination with K$_2$CO$_3$ in anisole (Table 1, entry 6). Just like previous works, the expected biaryl ketones 9 were obtained in good to excellent yields along with small amounts of biaryl side-products. Noteworthy, the catalyst was also successfully utilized in the aerobic oxidation of a library of alcohols to the corresponding aldehydes.

Recently, the Cai laboratory designed and synthesized a novel bidentate phosphino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticle-immobilized palladium($^{	ext{II}}$) complex (Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–2P–PdCl$_2$) through a simple procedure via condensation of easily accessible silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ (Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$) with N,N-bis((diphenylphosphino)methyl)-3-(triethoxysilyl)prop-1-amine in refluxing toluene followed by reaction with PdCl$_2$ in refluxing acetone for 72 h (Fig. 4). The prepared nanocomposite system was applied as an efficient catalyst for high yielding synthesis of a wide variety of bi(hetero)aryl ketones 12 through carbonylative Suzuki coupling of (hetero)aryl iodides 10 with (hetero)arylboronic acids 11 using formic acid (HCO$_2$H) as the carbon monoxide source and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as dehydrating reagent (Scheme 4). The results demonstrated that aryl iodides possessing electron-withdrawing groups afforded relatively higher yields compared to the electron-rich aryl iodides and the relative reaction rates of (hetero)arylboronic acids followed the order: electron-rich > electron-poor > heteroaryl. Unfortunately, replacing aryl iodides with aryl bromides led to no desired product even at higher temperatures. The recycling test established that the catalyst could be recovered by simply applying an external magnet and reused for ten consecutive runs without any loss of activity.

2.3. Metal–organic frameworks supported Pd nanocatalysts

During the recent years, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have attracted extensive attention as supports for metal nanoparticles due to their huge surface area, large porosity, recyclability, and tunable functionality. In this new class of heterogeneous catalysts, metal–organic framework-supported Pd nanoparticles are becoming the most popular one for their excellent catalytic performance and reusable property. In this

![Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–2P–PdCl$_2$ nanocomposite](image)

**Fig. 4** Schematic diagram showing the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–2P–PdCl$_2$ nanocomposite.

![Scheme 4](image)

**Scheme 4** Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–2P–PdCl$_2$–catalyzed synthesis of biaryl ketones 12 via carbonylative Suzuki coupling of aryl iodides 10 with arylboronic acids 11 using HCO$_2$H as the CO source.
part, attention focuses on use of MOF-supported Pd NPs as catalysts in Suzuki carbonylative reactions.

In 2014, Seayad and colleagues reported a ZIF-8 (zeolitic imidazolate framework) supported palladium nanoparticle (Pd/ZIF-8) catalyst for carbonylative Ullmann-type C–N bond formation couplings.\textsuperscript{44} The catalytic activity of synthesized Pd/ZIF-8 nanocatalyst was also explored for carbonylative coupling of iodobenzene with \textit{p}-methoxyphenylboronic acid using Et\textsubscript{3}N as a base in refluxing toluene under CO atmosphere and achieved the corresponding biaryl ketone in 78\% isolated yield. Although, only one example was disclosed, it could be an inspiration for further researchers. Based on the above catalyst, the Trzeciak research team developed an improved metal–organic framework (MOF)-supported Pd NPs catalyst \{Pd@[Ni(H\textsubscript{2}BDP-SO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\} by using a facile impregnation process with solvent \textit{in situ} reduction method.\textsuperscript{45} The prepared material exhibits a very large surface area of over 583 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and uniform dispersion and size (4–8 nm) distribution of 5.6 wt\% Pd NPs. Various iodoanisole \textsuperscript{13} and aryloboronic acids \textsuperscript{14} were studied to obtain moderate yields of products \textsuperscript{15} in the presence of catalytic amounts of the prepared material and 3 equiv. of K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} under 10 atm pressure of CO at 105 °C (Scheme 5). Interestingly, the outcome of this reaction was strongly dependent on the substitution pattern of the aryl halides. The decreasing order of reactivity for iodoanisoles is 3-iodoanisole > 4-iodoanisole \textasciitilde 2-iodoanisole. The authors explained this observation by reduced pore availability of Pd@ [Ni(H\textsubscript{2}BDP-SO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] for a 3-iodoanisole molecule which facilitate the reaction on the surface of Pd@[Ni(H\textsubscript{2}BDP-SO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}].

2.4. Graphene oxide supported Pd nanocatalysts

After pioneering work by Yinghuai and co-workers on carbonylative cross-coupling of iodobenzene and phenylboronic acid utilizing graphene oxide (GO)-supported PdNPs around 4.9 nm in size as catalyst and K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} as a base in anhydrous anisole,\textsuperscript{46} the first general report of the synthesis of biaryl ketones \textit{via} graphene oxide-stabilized PdNPs-catalyzed Suzuki carbonylative cross-coupling reactions was published in 2019 by Bhanage \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{47} In this investigation, amine-functionalized graphene oxide-stabilized Pd nanoparticles (Pd@APGO) was synthesized by a simple sonication treatment of as-prepared GO with 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS) in EtOH and then treatment with PdCl\textsubscript{2} aqueous solution in the presence of NaBH\textsubscript{4}. As shown in TEM images (Fig. 5), the produced spherical shaped Pd NPs are small and crystalline in nanometric size (ranges from 4 to 10 nm) and are well-distributed on the surface of the amine-functionalized GO. The composite nano-Pd@APGO was found to be a significantly efficient and recyclable catalyst for three-component reaction between (hetero)aryl iodides \textsuperscript{16}, (hetero)arylboronic acids \textsuperscript{17}, and CO at 2 atm pressure. As shown in Scheme 6, cross-coupling reaction leads to prepare nine bi(hetero)aryl ketones \textsuperscript{18} with medium to excellent yields within 8 h. The comparison of the catalytic activity of Pd@APGO with a variety of supported catalysts such as Pd@GO, Pd@AP–GO, Pd\textsuperscript{0}–AmPMCF, and ASNTs@Pd established its superior comparability with them in terms of product yield.

Concurrently, the group of Trzeciak investigated the preparation of Pd NPs supported on titanium-dioxide-modified graphene oxide (Pd/GO–TiO\textsubscript{2}) through immobilizing Pd(II) onto GO–TiO\textsubscript{2} surface using precipitation deposition method.\textsuperscript{48} TEM and ICPMS (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry) experiments revealed the presence of Pd NPs of different diameters (4–89 nm) and a loading of 1.21 weight\% on the support. The obtained composite exhibited good activity in the

![Scheme 5 Pd@[Ni(H2BDP-SO3)2]-catalyzed synthesis of biaryl ketone 15 developed by Seayad.](image)

![Fig. 5 (a and b) TEM images of the synthesized Pd@APGO catalyst and (c and d) closure view of PdNPs.](image)
Carbonylative Suzuki coupling reactions of electron rich aryl iodides 19 with arylboronic acids 20 (Scheme 7). The reactions were carried out in anisole at 100 °C under atmospheric pressure of CO and provided moderate to high yields of the desired coupling products 21.

2.5. Miscellaneous

In 2015, Liu and co-workers reported the preparation of cross linked polymeric materials based on poly(ionic liquid)s \([P(DVB^{x–NDIIL^{y}})]\) 23 by azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN)-initiated copolymerization of dication imidazole ionic liquid (NDIIL) 22 with divinylbenzene (DVB) in different DVB/NDIIL molar ratios (Scheme 8). The obtained supported ionic liquid-like phases were then treated with \(\text{Na}_2\text{PdCl}_4\) to immobilize the Pd\(^{10}\) species. Next, the materials were reacted with \(\text{NaBH}_4\), which caused reduction of Pd\(^{10}\) to Pd\(^{0}\). To evaluate the catalytic activity of the prepared catalysts in carbonylative Suzuki coupling reactions, iodobenzene and phenyl boronic acid were chosen as the model substrates. Among these four catalysts (i.e., \([P(DVB^{0.5–NDIIL^{1}})–\text{Pd}]\), \([P(DVB^{1–NDIIL^{1}})–\text{Pd}]\), \([P(DVB^{2–NDIIL^{1}})–\text{Pd}]\), \([P(DVB^{0–NDIIL^{1}})–\text{Pd}]\)); \([P(DVB^{1–NDIIL^{1}})–\text{Pd}]\) was found to be more effective, which gave a better yield of benzophenone product. The optimization of the reaction conditions also indicated that \(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\) was more effective than other bases (e.g., \(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\), \(\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3\), \(\text{Et}_3\text{N}\)) and compared to other solvents (e.g., anisole, toluene, MeCN, NMF, THF, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), toluene was the best choice for the conversion. Under the optimized conditions, the reaction tolerated a variety of functionalized aryl iodides 24 and aryl boronic acids 25 and provided the expected biaryl ketones 26 in good to high yields (Scheme 9). However, when aryl iodide substrates were replaced with aryl bromides and chlorides, the reaction did not afford the target products. The authors explained this fact by high dissociation energy of the C–Cl or C–Br bonds compared with C–I bond (402, 339, and 272 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for PhCl, PhBr, and PhI, respectively, at 298 K). Of note, hot filtration and mercury poisoning tests proved that the palladium species leached into the solvent during the reaction and returned to the polymers after the reaction was completed. Recycling tests indicated that the catalyst can be reused in up to five consecutive trials, although with slightly decreased yields.

Scheme 6 Pd@APGO-catalyzed carbonylative coupling of [hetero]aryl iodides 16 with [hetero]arylboronic acids 17 reported by Bhanage.

Scheme 7 Carbonylative Suzuki coupling reactions of electron rich aryl iodides 19 with arylboronic acids 20 catalyzed by Pd/GO–TiO₂.

Scheme 8 Synthesis of supported ionic liquid-like phases immobilized Pd nanoparticles.
which was attributed to leaching of Pd and slight aggregation of the Pd nanoparticles.

At the same year, Guo’s research group disclosed the usefulness of silicon carbide supported Pd nanoparticles (PdNPs/SiC) as the catalyst for carbonylative Suzuki coupling reaction of aryl halides with arylboronic acids. Thus, in the presence of a combination of PdNPs/SiC (3 wt%) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (3 equiv.) in anisole, three component coupling reaction of aryl halides (aryl iodides, aryl bromides, and aryl chlorides), arylboronic acids, and CO furnished the corresponding biaryl ketones in fair to excellent yields, ranging from 21% to 96% (Scheme 10a). When compared with other aryl halides, aryl iodides were found to be the best halide for this carbonylative reaction. While phenylboronic acid reacted with bromobenzene and chlorobenzene, giving the desired benzophenone in 41 and 21% yields, respectively, the reaction of phenylboronic acid with iodobenzene led to the coupling product in 89% yield. The recyclability of the catalyst towards the coupling of iodobenzene and phenylboronic acid was examined, showing a little but noticeable loss in catalytic activity (from 90% in the first cycle to 76% in the fifth cycle). Furthermore, the leaching tests of the active Pd species, as performed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) analysis revealed that the Pd loading of the Pd/SiC catalyst decreased a little but each cycle. Shortly afterwards, Burri and co-workers improved the efficiency of this reaction in the terms of reaction yield and pressure of CO by switching the solvent to 1,4-dioxane (Scheme 10b). Importantly, 1,4-dioxane not only acts as a solvating medium, but also as a promoter for this reaction. Mechanistically, the reaction of 1,4-dioxane with arylboronic acids under this condition provided highly reactive aryl dioxaborolanes which coupled with aryl iodides and transform into biaryl ketones in the presence of CO. It should be mentioned that previously the group of Trzeciak disclosed the usefulness of 1,4-dioxane as the reaction medium for PdNPs-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions.

In 2016, Polshettiwar and Bhanage along with their colleagues introduced palladium nanoparticles supported on polyethylenimine (PEI) functionalized fibrous silica (KCC-1), named KCC-1-PEI/Pd as an efficient catalyst for the carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling of aryl halides. The catalyst was prepared by covalent anchoring of PEI on the KCC-1 surface with the help of glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GTMS) followed by incorporation of Pd through reaction with sodium tetrachloropalladate salt and finally reduction of Pd(II) by NaBH$_4$ to Pd(0). Various biaryl ketones were successfully synthesized in moderate yields from the corresponding aryl iodides and arylboronic acids employing this heterogeneous catalyst (Scheme 11a). High turnover number (TON), high turnover frequency (TOF), broad substrate scope, and reusability of the catalyst were the merits, claimed for this synthetic strategy. Recently, a related carbonylative coupling of
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| Scheme 9 | [P(DVB$^3$–NDII$L^1$)–Pd]-catalyzed synthesis of biaryl ketones 26. |

![Scheme 10](image)

| Scheme 10 | (a) Guo’s synthesis of biaryl ketones 28; (b) Burri’s synthesis of biaryl ketones 31. |
aryl halides with arylboronic acids using carbon monoxide as the C1 source was reported by Lei and co-workers.\(^{54}\) They showed that in the presence of only 0.25 mol% of palladium nanoparticles supported on phosphorus-doped porous organic polymer (Pd@POP-Ph3P) as the catalyst and 2 equiv. of K2CO3 as a base, reaction of a variety of functionalized aryl iodides with a wide range of arylboronic acids under a CO atmosphere furnished the respective biaryl ketones in high to almost quantitative yields (Scheme 11b). This protocol tolerated a number of important functional groups, including fluoro, chloro, bromo, methoxy, nitro, amino, and ketone functionalities, and promised its potential applications in the further modifications of the prepared coupling products. For comparison, the catalytic performances of different palladium catalysts [e.g., PdCl2(PPh3)2, Pd/C, Pd(OAc)2/3V-PPh3, Pd@KAPs (Ph-PPh3)] were also tested in the reaction of 4-iodoanisole with phenylboronic acid. The results proved superior catalytic activity of the developed catalyst. Noteworthy, aryl bromides were also successfully applied as electrophilic coupling partners in this procedure. However, a higher reaction temperature (120 °C) and higher loadings of the catalyst (2.5 mol%) were required.

3. Nickel nanoparticles-based catalysts

Nickel is located in group 10 and just above palladium in the periodic table and compared with palladium is much cheaper and safer. Therefore, it is reasonable to investigate the catalytic ability of this earth abundant metal on the carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. The first and only example of NiNPs-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki reactions of aryl halides with arylboronic acids was described by Han and colleagues in 2014.\(^{55}\) The authors reported that various electron-poor and -rich (hetero)aryl iodides bearing o/m/p substituents could undergo carbonylative coupling with a divers electron-rich and electron-poor (hetero)arylboronic acids under ambient pressure of carbon monoxide using \textit{in situ} generated NiNPs by decomposition of NiCl2 in PEG-400 at 80 °C to give the corresponding bi(hetero)aryl ketones in good to excellent yields (Scheme 12). However, 2-iodopyrazine was not compatible with the reaction condition employed. Notably, compared with pre-prepared nanoparticles the \textit{in situ} generated nanocatalysis exhibited higher catalytic activity for this reaction. Some
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**Scheme 11** (a) [KCC-1-PEI/Pd]-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling of (hetero)aryl iodides 32 and arylboronic acids 33; (b) carbonylative coupling of aryl iodides 35 and arylboronic acids 36 catalyzed by Pd@POP-Ph3P.

![Scheme 12](image_url)

**Scheme 12** NiNPs-catalyzed synthesis of biaryl ketones 40 from the reaction of aryl iodides 38, arylboronic acids 39, and CO.
important information of this synthetic strategy are listed below: (i) the use of pivalic acid (PivOH) as an additive was crucial to suppress the competitive direct Suzuki coupling reactions; (ii) electronic and steric nature of both substrates had a little influence on the efficiency of the reactions; (iii) double carbonylation of diiodobenzenes also worked well and delivered the desired diketone products in good yields; and (iv) 4-bromobenzaldehyde did not work well in the reaction and therefore no other aryl bromides were examined in the protocol.

4. Copper nanoparticles-based catalysts

The copper nanoparticles catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki reactions has been scarcely investigated; in fact, only one study to date was published on such a reaction. In this report, thirty-four bi(hetero)aryl ketone scaffolds were synthesized in good to excellent yields (71–95%) through the three-component reaction between (hetero)aryl iodides, (hetero)arylboronic acids, and atmospheric CO employing elemental copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) as a catalyst, PivOH as an additive, and combination of K3PO4/KF as a base mixture in PEG-400 as a green solvent (Scheme 13). The catalyst was also demonstrated to be very efficient in double carbonylative coupling of diiodobenzenes. To study the recoverability and reusability of the catalyst, carbonylative coupling of 4-iodonitrobenzene with phenylboronic acid was considered as a model reaction. It was found that the catalyst could be easily recycled by simple extraction from the reaction mixture and reused up to ten consecutive cycles without detrimental loss of catalytic activity (from 94% in the first run to 87% in the tenth run).

5. Bimetallic nanoparticles

Bimetallic nanoparticles, composed of two distinct metallic elements, not only show the combination of the related properties of their monometallic counterparts but also exhibit new and improved properties due to the synergistic effect between the two metals. During the past few years, bimetallic nanoparticles have attracted a broad research interest in catalysis community due to their tremendous catalytic activity in many organic reactions.

In 2017, Liu and Zhang along with their co-workers prepared PdNP–ZnO nanowire arrays by putting the ZnO@Zn nanowire arrays into an aqueous solution of Na2PdCl4 at room temperature. The elemental mapping analysis showed that in situ generated PdNPs is localized at the outer layer of the as-prepared ZnO hybrid nanowire and TEM image of the synthesized hybrid nanowire arrays indicated that the average size of the PdNPs was about 2–4 nm (Fig. 6). The catalytic activity of the

---

Scheme 13  Carbonylative coupling of (hetero)aryl iodides and (hetero)arylboronic acids catalyzed by elemental copper nanoparticles.

Fig. 6  SEM image of (a) the as-prepared PdNP–ZnO hybrid nanowire arrays; (b) a single hybrid PdNP–ZnO nanowire in the array.
ensuing nanoparticles was tested for carbonylative coupling of various (hetero)aryl iodides 44 and arylboronic acids 45 using Mo(CO)₆ as an efficient solid carbonylating agent under alkaline conditions at 80 °C, providing high to excellent yields of the target bi(hetero)aryl ketones 46 (Scheme 14). Remarkably, the catalyst was also found to be highly efficient for reduction of nitro aryl compounds to the corresponding anilines as well as for the synthesis of biaryl from the respective aryl halides and arylboronic acids. Interestingly, the catalyst can be readily separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation and reused over ten reaction cycles with negligible loss of catalytic activity. The authors suggested that the persistence of the highly catalytic performance of the collected catalysts is due to a combination of marginable catalyst loss and PdNP coalescence in the course of catalytic reaction.

Concurrently, Xu’s research group introduced bimetallic platinum–palladium nanodendrites (Pd–Pt NDs) as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reaction of (hetero)aryl halides 47 and (hetero)arylboronic acids 48 using CO as the carbonyl source. The reactions were performed in the presence of 2 equiv. of NaHCO₃ as a base in DMF under ambient pressure, tolerated a number of sensitive functional groups (e.g., NH₂, NO₂, CN, CHO, CO₂Et, OMe, F, CF₃), and provided the target bi(hetero)aryl ketones 49 in relatively poor to excellent yields. As shown in Scheme 15, besides aryl iodides, aryl bromides and aryl chlorides also could be applied as coupling partners in this transformation. The results indicated that the reactivity of aryl bromides was similar to the corresponding aryl iodides under these conditions. However, aryl chlorides were extremely less reactive and generally resulted in low yields of carbonylated products. Noteworthy, the catalyst showed five times reusability without significant of activity.

6. Conclusion

This review has outlined recent advances and developments in the field of metal nanoparticles catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reactions of arylboronic acids with aryl halides and shown how efficient this new and fast-growing research field is in terms of high yielding biaryl ketone products and recovery of catalysts. Despite the extraordinary accomplishments over the past few years in this attractive research arena, many significant challenges still remain to be overcome: (i) the majority of reported examples in the field have been performed under toxic CO atmosphere. From a green chemistry point of view, there is a need for replacement of CO with less toxic source of carbonylating agents such as carbon dioxide and methyl formate; (ii) the scope of the electrophilic coupling partners was largely limited to expensive aryl iodides, thus expanding of the substrate scope of this transformation to less expensive aryl bromides and chlorides are necessary; and (iii) almost all of nanocatalysts that have been reported to be effective for carbonylative Suzuki coupling are invariably based on expensive and toxic palladium-based systems. Given cost and sustainability concerns, the development of related processes

Scheme 14  PdNP–ZnO nanowire array-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki cross-coupling reaction of (hetero)aryl halides 44 and arylboronic acids 45 using Mo(CO)₆ as the carbonyl source.

Scheme 15  Xu’s synthesis of bi(hetero)aryl ketones 49.
employing less toxic and inexpensive metal catalysts would be highly desirable.
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