BDNF Polymorphism Predicts General Intelligence after Penetrating Traumatic Brain Injury
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Abstract

Neuronal plasticity is a fundamental factor in cognitive outcome following traumatic brain injury. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), a member of the neurotrophin family, plays an important role in this process. While there are many ways to measure cognitive outcome, general cognitive intelligence is a strong predictor of everyday decision-making, occupational attainment, social mobility and job performance. Thus it is an excellent measure of cognitive outcome following traumatic brain injury (TBI). Although the importance of the single-nucleotide polymorphisms polymorphism on cognitive function has been previously addressed, its role in recovery of general intelligence following TBI is unknown. We genotyped male Caucasian Vietnam combat veterans with focal penetrating TBI (pTBI) (n = 109) and non-head injured controls (n = 38) for 7 BDNF single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Subjects were administered the Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) at three different time periods: pre-injury on induction into the military, Phase II (10–15 years post-injury, and Phase III (30–35 years post-injury). Two single-nucleotide polymorphisms, rs7124442 and rs1519480, were significantly associated with post-injury recovery of general cognitive intelligence with the most pronounced effect at the Phase II time point, indicating lesion-induced plasticity. The genotypes accounted for 5% of the variance of the AFQT scores, independently of other significant predictors such as pre-injury intelligence and percentage of brain volume loss. These data indicate that genetic variations in BDNF play a significant role in lesion-induced recovery following pTBI. Identifying the underlying mechanism of this brain-derived neurotrophic factor effect could provide insight into an important aspect of post-traumatic cognitive recovery.
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Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major public health concern in industrialized countries. It is estimated that 1.7 million people sustain a TBI annually in the United States. Young children, adolescents and the elderly, predominantly male, suffer the highest rates of TBI [1]. The treatment and improvement of outcome in TBI subjects still remains a challenge [2]. Previous studies have identified pre-injury general intelligence as the best predictor of cognitive outcome after TBI [3,4,5]. Further, general intelligence is a strong predictor of occupational attainment, social mobility and job performance as well as everyday decision making [6,7] and thus is an excellent measure of outcome and neuronal plasticity in TBI subjects.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), the most abundant neurotrophin in the brain [8,9], plays an important role in the survival, differentiation, synaptic plasticity and outgrowth of peripheral and central neurons throughout adulthood [10,11,12]. The BDNF gene is located in the short arm of chromosome 11. Several hundred polymorphisms in the BDNF gene have been reported so far and two of them are shown to impact the expression level of BDNF. The first one is BDNF-LCPR (BDNF-linked complex polymorphic region), a 5'-UTR located microsatellite polymorphism, that is associated with an elevated risk of bipolar disorder and a lower transcription level of BDNF [13]. The second BDNF polymorphism is the SNP rs6265, which produces a missense mutation Val66Met (196G/A) and affects the regulated secretion and neuroplastic effect of mature BDNF [14].
Several studies have shown an association between the BDNF polymorphism and episodic memory [14] and hippocampal volumes [15,16,17,18]. An association between a BDNF polymorphism and different neuropathological conditions such as Alzheimer’s disease [19], obsessive-compulsive disorder [20], eating disorder [21] and bipolar disorder [22,23] has also been documented, as well as an association with executive functions in TBI subjects [24]. In addition, studies in experimental TBI have shown increased expression of BDNF in hippocampus following focal injury [25]. However, no association has yet been shown between the BDNF polymorphism and general cognitive intelligence in a healthy population [5,14,26]. Due to its strong influence on brain plasticity we hypothesized that the BDNF polymorphism would affect the recovery of general cognitive intelligence after penetrating TBI (pTBI).

Military personnel represent an ideal population when studying changes in cognitive functions after pTBI, since pre- and post-injury data are usually available in the form of the Army Force Qualification Test (AFQT) on which performance is associated with scores on the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) [3]. Thus, we investigated the association of 7 SNPs within the BDNF gene region with recovery of general cognitive intelligence after focal pTBI in male Vietnam combat veterans 10–15 years (Phase II) and 30–35 years after injury (Phase III).

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Veterans were drawn from Phase III of the W.F. Caveness Vietnam Head Injury Study (VHIS) registry. The VHIS is a prospective, long-term follow-up study of veterans with mostly focal pTBIs, which has stretched over more than 3 decades [5,27] the VHIS registry was collected during the Vietnam conflict by William Caveness at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Simple registry forms outlining demographic, injury and initial outcome data were completed by military physicians in Vietnam on head injured soldiers who had survived the first week after a severe head-injury including information about “type of penetrating head injury” and “classification of loss of consciousness”. About 2,000 subjects were entered in the registry between 1967 and 1970. Phase I (PI) of the VHIS was a medical records review some 5 years post-injury using the military, VA medical and personnel records of 1221 of these men, for whom adequate field, hospital, rehabilitation and follow-up records were available.

Phase II (PII) was a collaborative project of the three Military Services; the Department of Veterans Affairs, the National Institutes of Health and the American Red Cross. It consisted of a comprehensive, multidisciplinary inpatient evaluation at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. Approximately 520 head injured subjects from the original registry could be identified from VA records, so these and 85 matched normal volunteers (recruited through veteran publications) were evaluated between 1981 and 1984, some 12–15 years post-injury.

At Phase III (PIII), of the 520 subjects who were assessed in P2, 484 were still alive and 182 attended P3 of the study. In addition, 17 subjects identified in PI who did not attend PII were assessed. Of the original 80 control subjects without head injuries recruited in PII, 32 attended P3 and a further 23 were recruited for PIII through advertisements in veteran publications. Therefore, a total of 199 subjects with head injuries attended PIII. No significant differences in age were observed between PIII attendees and non-attendees, in both the head-injured and control groups. However, subjects (both head-injured and healthy controls) that attended PIII did have more years of education (t = 3.06,
P = 0.002), and higher AFQT scores (pre-injury: t = 4.85, P < 0.001, PI: t = 6.15, P < 0.001) than PIII non-attendees. Since those subjects attending PIII had a higher level of pre-injury intelligence than those attending PI, as well as more years of education, it is possible that those studied at PIII differed in other ways from PIII non-attendees, which may have affected the longitudinal results we report in this paper.

A further reduction of sample size in this study is explained by several reasons: First, out of these 199 only 168 consented to genotyping. Second, from the remaining subjects those who did not complete all three phases of the study were excluded from the analyses (n = 33). Third, as a majority of studied subjects were Caucasian in ethnicity, those subjects who had Caucasian ethnicity AIM scores <0.5 were also excluded (n = 25). Finally, one subject had to be excluded as an outlier due to his massive brain volume loss. The final studied samples included male Caucasian combat veterans with focal pTBIs (n = 109) and non-head-injured normal control subjects who also served in Vietnam (n = 38). Importantly, there were no significant differences in AFQT scores at PIII or educational level attained in the group of 109 we studied compared to the 90 excluded head-injured subjects from PIII (F(1,193) = 0.10, P = 0.919). The type of pTBI injury was classified by neurosurgeons at the time of injury into the following categories: Fragment (69.1%), Gunshot (21.3%), Unclassified (1.5%) and Closed Head injury (8.1%). Further, loss of consciousness (LOC) was classified as following: No (42.6%), Yes, Momentary (17.6%), 1–15 min (14%),

Table 3. Association analysis of AFQT-scores and SNPs.

| SNP ID   | Genotype | Injured Group | Time df(2,212) | Time × Genotype df(4,412) | Genotype df(2,106) |
|----------|----------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| rs1519480| CT       | 51            | F = 44.2, P < 0.001* | F = 3.5, P = 0.008* | F = 3.5, P = 0.033 |
|          | CC       | 8             |                |                          |                    |
|          | TT       | 50            |                |                          |                    |
| rs7124442| CT       | 51            | F = 44.2, P < 0.001* | F = 3.6, P = 0.008* | F = 4.2, P = 0.017 |
|          | CC       | 8             |                |                          |                    |
|          | AA       | 5             |                |                          |                    |
| rs6265   | AG       | 33            | F = 8.1, P < 0.001* | F = 1.1, P = 0.356 | F = 2.1, P = 0.125 |
|          | GG       | 71            |                |                          |                    |
|          | AA       | 24            |                |                          |                    |
| rs7934165| AG       | 55            | F = 44.0, P < 0.001* | F = 0.7, P = 0.583 | F = 3.1, P = 0.052 |
|          | GG       | 30            |                |                          |                    |
|          | AA       | 24            |                |                          |                    |
| rs11030121| CT      | 46           | F = 34.4, P < 0.001* | F = 1.2, P = 0.325 | F = 1.9, P = 0.155 |
|          | CC       | 53            |                |                          |                    |
|          | TT       | 77            |                |                          |                    |
| rs12273363| CT      | 27           | F = 21.9, P < 0.001* | F = 0.72, P = 0.581 | F = 0.1, P = 0.954 |
|          | CC       | 5             |                |                          |                    |

The z-transformed (normalized) AFQT-scores were used to evaluate the association between BDNF genotypes and general intelligence at pre-injury, early-recovery and late-recovery. All 7 SNPs were analyzed using three-way ANOVA. Importantly, SNP rs908867 was excluded from the analysis because of low number of minor allele carriers. SNP rs12273363 was excluded from further analysis because of lack of consistency with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test.

*Effect survives Bonferroni correction (P = 0.05/6 < 0.0083).

Figure 1. Association analysis of significant SNPs. The z-transformed (normalized) AFQT-scores of pre-injury, phase II and III for SNP are illustrated for the significant SNPs, rs7124442 (A) and SNP rs1519480 (B). Note that zero-line represents our normal control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.g001
Americans. Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Bethesda, MD, Board at the National Naval Medical Center and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Bethesda, MD, over a 5–7 day period and underwent a wide variety of neuropsychological testing. The tests were designed to measure cognitive abilities such as memory, language, social cognition, and executive functioning. In this study, we focused on the AFQT (AFQT-7A, Department of Defense, 1960), which is a standardized multiple choice test of cognitive aptitude measuring verbal ability, visual-spatial organization, arithmetic and functional associations via multiple choice questions. The total score range reflects the amount of information from stories that a subject can recall after a 30 min delay [29].

**Computed Tomography (CT) Acquisition and Analysis**

The axial CT scans were acquired without contrast in helical mode on a GE Electric Medical Systems Light Speed Plus CT scanner at the Bethesda Naval Hospital. Structural neuroimaging data was reconstructed with an in-plane voxel size of 0.4x0.4 mm, an overlapping slice thickness of 2.5 mm and a 1 mm slice interval. The lesion location and volume were determined from CT images using the interactive Analysis of Brain Lesions (ABLE) software implemented in MEDx v3.44 (Medical Numerics) [30,31]. The analysis was performed on CT images from Phase III. Lesion volume was calculated by manually tracing the lesion in all relevant slices of the CT image in native space, and then summing the trace areas and multiplying by slice thickness. Manual tracing was performed by a trained psychiatrist (V.R.) with clinical experience of reading CT scans. The lesion tracing was then reviewed by an observer that was blind to the results of the clinical evaluation and neuropsychological testing (J.G.) enabling a consensus decision to be reached regarding the limits of each lesion. The CT image of each individual’s brain was normalized to a CT template brain image in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. The spatial normalization was performed with the AIR algorithm [32], using a 12-parameter affine fit. Note that both the patient’s brain and the MNI template’s brain are first skull-stripped in order to maximize the efficacy of the AIR registration from native space to MNI space. In addition, voxels inside the traced lesion were not included in the spatial normalization procedure. Afterwards, the percentage of Automated anatomical labeling (AAL) structures that were intersected by the lesion was determined by analyzing the overlap of the spatially normalized lesion image with the AAL atlas [33].

**Genotyping and Haplotype Analysis**

We used an addiction array designed by Hodgkinson et al [34]. The array is built on the Illumina GoldenGate platform and allows for simultaneous genotyping of 1350 SNPs including 7 BDNF SNPs (Table 2). The candidate genes for the array were selected on the basis of their roles in the drug addictions and the related phenotypes of anxiety and depression. These are the genes important in signaling networks, stress/endorcine genes, and key neurotransmitter systems including dopamine, serotonin, glutamate, GABA and acetylcholine. As all these functional domains are involved in the majority of brain functions, the addiction array represents very convenient tool for our study. For each gene

| SNP ID | Phase | Time df(1,106) | Time × Genotype Df(2,106) |
|--------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|
| rs7124442 | Pre-injury to PII | F = 66.7, P < 0.001* | F = 5.3, P = 0.006* |
| rs1519480 | Pre-injury to PII | F = 66.7, P < 0.001* | F = 5.3, P = 0.006* |

*Effect survives Bonferroni correction (P = 0.05/6 = 0.008). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t004

| SNP ID | Genotype | Pre-injury | Phase II | Phase III |
|--------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| rs7124442 | TT vs CT (df = 99) | t = −1.95, P = 0.053; d = 0.39 | t = 1.76, P = 0.081; d = 0.35 | t = −1.95, P = 0.054; d = 1.12 |
| rs1519480 | CC vs CT (df = 56) | t = 0.68, P = 0.495; d = 0.76 | t = −3.24, P = 0.002*; d = 0.70 | t = −2.99, P = 0.004; d = 0.58 |
| CC vs TT (df = 57) | t = 0.35, P = 0.727; d = 0.17 | t = −2.03, P = 0.047; d = 0.38 | t = −1.68, P = 0.097; d = 0.26 |
| CC vs TT (df = 99) | t = −1.61, P = 0.112; d = 0.32 | t = −1.43, P = 0.156; d = 0.28 | t = −1.63, P = 0.105; d = 0.32 |
| rs1519480 | CC vs CT (df = 56) | t = −0.56, P = 0.573; d = 0.03 | t = −3.08, P = 0.003*; d = 0.66 | t = −2.86, P = 0.006; d = 0.63 |
| CC vs TT (df = 57) | t = 0.28, P = 0.780; d = 0.27 | t = −2.11, P = 0.039; d = 0.40 | t = −1.75, P = 0.085; d = 0.27 |

*Effect survives Bonferroni correction (P = 0.05/3 = 0.017).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t005

15 min – 1 day (11.8%), >1 day (11%), unknown (15%). The finals groups were matched with respect to age, level of education, and pre-injury intelligence (Table 1). All participants gave their written informed consent, which was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the National Naval Medical Center and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Bethesda, MD, USA.

**Neuropsychological Testing**

Subjects were admitted at the National Naval Medical Center in Bethesda, MD, over a 5–7 day period and underwent a wide variety of neuropsychological testing. The tests were designed to measure cognitive abilities such as memory, language, social cognition, and executive functioning. In this study, we focused on the AFQT (AFQT-7A, Department of Defense, 1960), which is a standardized multiple choice test of cognitive aptitude measuring verbal ability, visual-spatial organization, arithmetic and functional associations via multiple choice questions. The total score range is 0 to 100 and the subtest scores range from 0 to 25. AFQT scores are reported as percentiles (1 to 99) and correlate highly with WAIS scores [3]. It was the only pre-injury cognitive assessment available in our sample and was also used in PII and PIII.

To determine the specific effect of BDNF genotype on the recovery of general cognitive intelligence, two additional cognitive control tasks were used in this study: First, the mini-mental state examination test (MMSE) from PIII was used, which is a well-validated standard test for cognitive impairment in adults, where scores <24 indicates cognitive impairment [28]. The purpose of its inclusion was to separate out issues of exacerbated cognitive decline from the onset of dementia [5]. Second, the delayed score of the logical memory subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale, version III (WMS-III) was used to assess episodic memory, which is reported as percentiles (1 to 99) and correlate highly with WAIS scores [3]. It was the only pre-injury cognitive assessment available in our sample and was also used in PII and PIII.

**Results of t-test performed on the genotypes in the 2 significantly associated SNPs, comparing AFQT scores at each time point.**

| SNP ID | Genotype | Pre-injury | Phase II | Phase III |
|--------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| rs7124442 | TT vs CT (df = 99) | t = −1.95, P = 0.053; d = 0.39 | t = 1.76, P = 0.081; d = 0.35 | t = −1.95, P = 0.054; d = 1.12 |
| rs1519480 | CC vs CT (df = 56) | t = 0.68, P = 0.495; d = 0.76 | t = −3.24, P = 0.002*; d = 0.70 | t = −2.99, P = 0.004; d = 0.58 |
| CC vs TT (df = 57) | t = 0.35, P = 0.727; d = 0.17 | t = −2.03, P = 0.047; d = 0.38 | t = −1.68, P = 0.097; d = 0.26 |
| CC vs TT (df = 99) | t = −1.61, P = 0.112; d = 0.32 | t = −1.43, P = 0.156; d = 0.28 | t = −1.63, P = 0.105; d = 0.32 |
| rs1519480 | CC vs CT (df = 56) | t = −0.56, P = 0.573; d = 0.03 | t = −3.08, P = 0.003*; d = 0.66 | t = −2.86, P = 0.006; d = 0.63 |
| CC vs TT (df = 57) | t = 0.28, P = 0.780; d = 0.27 | t = −2.11, P = 0.039; d = 0.40 | t = −1.75, P = 0.085; d = 0.27 |

*Effect survives Bonferroni correction (P = 0.05/3 = 0.017).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t005
(including BDNF) array contains SNPs that tag common haplotypes. In addition to 130 addiction-related genes, array includes a panel of 186 ancestry information markers (AIMs) that allows for determining subject’s ethnicity background. Each marker represents a SNP with known frequencies of occurrence in different ethnic groups. The AIM panel covers seven major populations: African, European, Middle Eastern, Asian, Far East, Oceania and Native American. Following genotyping, the population assignment was performed for each individual according to obtained AIM scores. Only Caucasians with a “European” AIM score >0.5 were included in this study. Genotyping was performed according to the Illumina protocol on 96 well-format Sentrix arrays. The completion rate of array assay was 99%. The error rate of the assay was determined by replicate genotyping, and was <0.5%. Genotype frequencies were tested for the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) applying Fisher’s exact tests. Haplotype analysis was performed using a Bayesian approach implemented with PHASE [35]. Haploview 4.2 (Broad Institute, USA) was used to produce linkage disequilibrium (LD) matrices. Haplotype blocks were constructed by pairing the SNPs with the LD’s greater than 0.85, as described by Gabriel et al [36]. We also investigated the effect of the presence of the ApoE e4 allele and COMT Val158Met (rs4680) on recovery of general intelligence to determine the relative specificity of any BDNF effect.

**Statistical Analysis**

Behavioral data analysis was carried out using SPSS 15.0 with an alpha level set to p<0.05 (two-tailed). Multiple comparisons with Bonferroni correction were included in all analyzes. The relationship between variations in the BDNF genotype and the recovery of general cognitive intelligence was analyzed in several ways:

First, the demographic variables between the injured and controls groups were compared to ensure that the groups were matched with respect to age, education, and pre-injury AFQT using one-way analysis of variances (ANOVA). For each of the 7 SNPs of the BDNF gene, a mixed 3 x 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) on AFQT z-scores was performed with Time (pre-injury, PII, PIII) as a within-subjects factor and Genotype (TT, CT, CC) as a between-subjects factor.

Second, the AQFT percentile score of the injured group was normalized (z-transformation) in comparison to the performances of the control group. For each of the 7 SNPs of the BDNF gene, a mixed 3 x 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) on AQFT z-scores was performed with Time (pre-injury, PII, PIII) as a within-subjects factor and Genotype (TT, CT, CC) as a between-subjects factor. In planned follow-up analyses, the AFQT z-scores among the different allele carriers in each SNP were compared using

| Table 6. Illustrates comparisons of demographics variables between the different genotypes of SNP rs7124442. |
| --- |
| **Variable** | **Diplotypes** | **Injured Group (n = 109) Mean±SD** | **F(2,108)** | **P-value** |
| Education (Years) | TT (n = 51) | 15.0±2.3 | 0.12 | 0.882 |
| CT (n = 50) | 14.8±1.2 |
| CC (n = 8) | 14.9±3.7 |
| Age (Years) | TT (n = 51) | 58.1±3.1 | 0.65 | 0.522 |
| CT (n = 50) | 57.4±2.2 |
| CC (n = 8) | 58.4±3.6 |
| Total Volume Loss | TT (n = 51) | 33.4±31.5 | 0.42 | 0.656 |
| CT (n = 50) | 34.6±34.3 |
| CC (n = 8) | 45.3±32.2 |
| Left Volume Loss | TT (n = 51) | 14.1±23.8 | 1.05 | 0.352 |
| CT (n = 50) | 17.7±24.2 |
| CC (n = 8) | 27.6±27.1 |
| Right Volume Loss | TT (n = 51) | 18.3±23.9 | 0.08 | 0.915 |
| CT (n = 50) | 16.0±23.6 |
| CC (n = 8) | 17.7±25.3 |
| Percent volume loss | TT (n = 51) | 2.4±2.2 | 0.53 | 0.590 |
| CT (n = 50) | 2.5±2.6 |
| CC (n = 8) | 3.5±2.5 |
| PRE INJ AFQT | TT (n = 51) | 63.7±22.4 | 1.94 | 0.148 |
| CT (n = 50) | 71.4±18.7 |
| CC (n = 8) | 70.6±22.8 |
| MMSE: Total Score | TT (n = 51) | 28.7±1.8 | 0.51 | 0.598 |
| CT (n = 50) | 28.1±1.3 |
| CC (n = 8) | 28.4±1.0 |
| Episodic memory | TT (n = 51) | 10.9±2.9 | 0.55 | 0.576 |
| CT (n = 50) | 10.3±3.3 |
| CC (n = 8) | 11.1±3.1 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t006
between-subjects t-tests. In addition, effect sizes (Cohen’s d) that represent the observed difference in the AFQT performance between genotype groups were calculated (d = 0.2 indicates a small effect size, d = 0.5 a medium effect size and d = 0.8 a large effect size) [37].

Third, the specificity of the BDNF genotype effect on the recovery of general cognitive intelligence was determined. Since the BDNF polymorphism has been shown to modulate episodic memory and hippocampal function (Egan et al., 2003; Dempster et al., 2005), episodic memory scores were compared among the different allele carriers in the injured and normal control groups applying a 2 x 3 ANOVA with Group (injured, control) and Genotype (TT, CT, CC) as between-subjects factors. In planned follow-up analyses, the episodic memory scores among the different allele carriers in each group were compared using between-subjects t-tests. Subjects within the genotype groups did not differ in age, education, lesion size, pre-injury AFQT.

Fourth, the relative contribution of the BDNF genotype on the recovery of general intelligence was estimated for PII and PIII. A stepwise multiple linear regression analysis was applied including the AFQT z-score as the dependent variable and BDNF genotype, pre-injury intelligence, age, education, degree of atrophy, percentage of total brain volume loss and brain volume loss within each hemisphere as independent variables. This analysis allowed for an estimation of the relative contribution of each predictor to general intelligence. At the same time, it controls for potential confounding factors that may influence general intelligence.

Fifth, the influence of the ApoE e4 allele or COMT Val158Met genotype on the recovery of general intelligence was determined applying a mixed 3 x 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) on AQFT z-scores with Time (pre-injury, PII, PIII) as a within-subjects factor and Genotype as a between-subjects factor.

Finally, we performed a haplotype analysis to increase the chances of capturing gene-disease association by applying an ANOVA on AQFT z-scores with Time (pre-injury, PII, PIII) as a within-subjects factor and haplotypes (111222, 112122, 222211, 222212) as a between-subjects factor. The ANOVA was done for 2 haplotype blocks: block 1 included rs1519480, rs7124442, and rs6265, whereas block 2 included rs7934165, rs11030121, and rs900067.

Results

First, in terms of differences in demographic variables the one-way ANOVAs showed no significant differences between the injured and control groups regarding age, education, and pre-injury AFQT (Table 1).

Table 7. Illustrates comparisons of demographics variables between the different genotypes of SNPrs1519480.

| Variable                     | Diplotypes | Injured Group (n = 109) Mean±SD | F(2,108) | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|
| TT (n = 51)                  |            | 15.5±2.4                        |          |         |
| Education (Years)            | CT (n = 50) | 14.8±1.4                        | 0.16     | 0.847   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 14.0±3.3                        |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 57.5±3.5                        |          |         |
| Age (Years)                  | CT (n = 50) | 57.4±2.2                        | 0.52     | 0.594   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 58.1±3.1                        |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 34.1±31.4                       |          |         |
| Total Volume Loss            | CT (n = 50) | 34.3±34.4                       | 0.40     | 0.666   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 45.6±32.9                       |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 15.1±23.4                       |          |         |
| Left Volume Loss             | CT (n = 50) | 17.8±24.9                       | 0.97     | 0.381   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 27.3±27.3                       |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 19.0±23.4                       |          |         |
| Right Volume Loss            | CT (n = 50) | 16.4±23.5                       | 0.15     | 0.857   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 17.3±25.4                       |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 2.5±2.3                         |          |         |
| Percent volume loss          | CT (n = 50) | 2.5±2.6                         | 0.51     | 0.602   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 2.4±2.2                         |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 64.5±21.3                       |          |         |
| PRE INJ AFQT                 | CT (n = 50) | 70.7±18.9                       | 1.33     | 0.268   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 70.3±22.3                       |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 28.5±19.1                       |          |         |
| MMSE: Total Score           | CT (n = 50) | 28.1±1.2                        | 0.54     | 0.584   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 28.7±1.0                        |          |         |
|                             | TT (n = 51) | 10.9±2.9                        |          |         |
| Episodic memory              | CT (n = 50) | 10.2±3.3                        | 0.80     | 0.452   |
|                             | CC (n = 8)  | 11.1±3.1                        |          |         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t007
Second, the association between BDNF genotype and general cognitive intelligence at each evaluation phase and for each of the 7 BDNF SNPs was evaluated. The mixed 3×3 ANOVAs on AQFT z-scores with Time (pre-injury, PI, PII) and Genotype (TT, CT, CC) revealed a significant main effect of Time (\(P<0.001\)) but no significant main effect of Genotype for all SNPs (Table 3). The interaction effect for Time × Genotype was only significant for SNPs rs7124442 and rs1519480. For both SNPs, no differences were found regarding type of injury (rs1519480: \(F(2,108) = 0.105, P = 0.900\), rs7124442: \(F(2,108) = 0.228, P = 0.797\)) and loss of consciousness (rs1519480: \(F(2,106) = 1.101, P = 0.336\); rs7124442: \(F(2,106) = 1.043, P = 0.356\)).

Figure 1 illustrates the changes in AFQT z-scores across time (pre-injury, PI, PII) among carriers of different genotypes of those SNPs rs7124442 and rs1519480. The genotype groups that performed best were CT (\(n = 51\)), in both SNP rs7124442 and rs1519480, and the worst groups were genotype CC (\(n = 8\)). For SNPs rs7124442 and rs1519480, the ANOVA analysis showed only a significant decline of general cognitive intelligence from pre-injury to PI but not from PI to PII (Table 4), indicating that those genotypes are more prominent during the early post-injury period. For both SNPs at PI, follow up t-tests revealed only significant differences between the CC and CT genotypes (Table 5).

Third, the specificity of the BDNF genotype effect on the recovery of general cognitive intelligence was determined and no significant differences were observed for both SNPs (rs7124442, rs1519480) regarding demographic variables, volume loss, degree of atrophy, episodic memory and MMSE (SNP rs7124442: Table 6, SNP rs1519480: Table 7).

Fourth, the relative contribution of the significant SNPs to the recovery of general cognitive intelligence at PI and PII was investigated using stepwise multiple linear regression analyzes. For phase II, variance in cognitive intelligence was explained by pre-injury intelligence (47.0%, \(P < 0.001\), Beta = 0.68); to SNP rs7124442 and genotype CC (4.9%, \(P < 0.001\), Beta = -0.221), percentage of total brain volume loss (2.1%, \(P < 0.041\), Beta = -0.152), and education (1.5%, \(P < 0.018\), Beta = 0.130). For phase III, variance in cognitive intelligence was explained by pre-injury intelligence (49.9%, \(P < 0.001\), \(R^2 = 0.414\), Beta = 0.647), percentage of total brain volume loss (6.4%, \(P < 0.001\), Beta = -0.253), and SNP rs7124442 and genotype CC (2.4%, \(P < 0.018\), Beta = -0.160). No significant associations were found for age, education, atrophy ratings or right or left hemisphere brain volume loss for both time-points.

Fifth, we determined whether the presence of the ApoE e4 allele or COMT Val158/Met genotype has an effect on the recovery of general cognitive intelligence. This genetic analysis for ApoE and COMT was available for 94 of the subjects. In the injured group, 22 subjects showed presence of the ApoE4 allele. The ANOVA revealed that there was a main effect on Time (\(F(2,182) = 25.2, P < 0.001\)) but no main effect for Genotype (\(F(1,91) = 0.95, P = 0.332\)) and no interaction effect for Time × Genotype (\(F(2,182) = 1.11, P = 0.328\)). The influence for the COMT polymorphism (Val/Val = 23, Val/Met = 38, Met/Met = 33) was similar: significant main effect for Time (\(F(2,182) = 34.4, P < 0.001\)) but no significant main effect for Genotype (\(F(4,182) = 2.5, P = 0.086\)) and not significant interaction effect for Time × Genotype (\(F(2,91) = 1.4, P = 0.247\)).

Finally, a haplotype analysis was carried out, since the haplotype association analysis of polymorphisms in strong LD can potentially be more suitable for identifying gene–disease associations than single locus tests. The BDNF haplotype block structure is presented in Figure 2. Note that the SNP rs12273363 was excluded from the analysis due to a lack of consistency with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test. Four haplotypes with frequencies >0.09 were used for the analyses (Table 8). No significant associations were found for these haplotypes (data not shown). We further divided the main haplotype block into two short sub-blocks of 4 kb and 13 kb long. By Haploview software the blocks were defined using the pairs of SNPs with an LD >0.85. The presence of a recombination region between SNPs 3 and 4 suggested 2 blocks. Block 1 included rs1519480, rs7124442 and rs6265 and Block 2 consisted of rs7934165, rs11030121 and rs908367. Haplotype frequencies for sub-blocks are present in Table 8. Our ANOVA analysis showed a significant association for haplotype block 1 (combined) (\(P < 0.017\)). A summary of the haplotype analysis can be found in Table 9 and Figure 3 illustrates the decline of AFQT z-scores over time for different haplotypes.

The overall lesion map of all subjects analyzed by ABLe software is presented in Figure 4 and shows a primarily frontal distribution. We performed a VSLM analysis to investigate the effect of lesion location on AFQT performance for either PI or PII. We repeated this analysis with subgroups based on the different genotypes for SNP rs7124442 and SNP rs1519480 and did not find an association with lesion location.

Discussion

The results indicate that the BDNF polymorphism has an effect on the early-recovery of general cognitive intelligence after
penetrating TBI, although it has no influence on pre-injury
telligence or the stability of general intelligence following
recovery of function. Prior studies could not find a significant
association between the Val66met BDNF genotype and overall
intelligence in a healthy population [14,26]. A previous study from
our group did not find a significant association between post-injury
intelligence and the Val66met BDNF genotype [5]. However,
looking at additional SNPs we provide evidence that rs7124442
and rs1519480 have an effect on recovery of general cognitive
intelligence and that this influence is probably a lesion-induced
plasticity that is decreased overtime. Our haplotype analysis
confirmed this association.

As found in prior studies, we confirmed that pre-injury
intelligence is the most consistent and vital predictor of cognitive
outcome after TBI [4,5,38,39]. The next best predictor at the
Phase II evaluation was the BDNF genotype. There were no
effects of the ApoE e4 allele or COMT Val158Met on recovery of
general cognitive intelligence. The ApoE e4 allele has shown to be
one of the strongest genetic predictors of poor outcome following
TBI [40,41,42]. Several association studies have investigated the
role of the ApoE gene polymorphism in subjects with closed TBI
but overall the findings are inconclusive [43,44].

An analysis of the association of lesion location and overall
AFQT score did not show any specific location to be significant.
Previous studies have shown lesion location to be the least
predictive of performance outcome of overall post injury
intelligence [3,4]. Relations have mainly been found between
subtest outcomes and specific injured lobe or hemisphere and not
full-scale IQ or general intelligence [3,45,46]. However in healthy
people, studies show full-scale IQ to be significantly correlated
with intracranial, cerebral, temporal lobe and hippocampal
volume as well as to overall gray matter volume [47,48,49].
Several neuroimaging studies on intelligence and reasoning has
generated a suggestive model of the Parieto-Frontal Integration
Theory (P-FIT) [50]. This model explains the individual
differences in intelligence by the interaction between association
cortices within parietal and frontal brain regions that are
effectively linked by white matter structures. While we did not
find a specific lesion location to be significant, the percentage of
total volume loss was one of the main predictors of general
intelligence at Phases II and III.

Prior studies have shown that aging decreases the brain’s
volume of gray and white matter and age-related cognitive
decline is associated with atrophic changes in the brain
[51,52,53]. Aging is also associated with decreasing neurotrans-
mitter levels [54], neuronal loss and shrinking neuronal size
[55,56] as well as reduced synaptic density [57]. However there is
very little known about BDNF in normal aging brain. A study in
monkey showed low levels of BDNF during aging [58]. A recent
study in healthy individuals revealed a faster rate of executive
control decline on a task-switching task that varied as a function
of the val66met BDNF polymorphism in age >65 [59]. IQ
measurements using the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scales
(WAIS) have shown that IQ declines with age and that age-
related decline is more pronounced on certain subtests of WAIS
[60,61,62,63,64]. In the current study there were no significant
differences between the genotypes with respect to degree of
atrophy and total brain volume loss. None of the subjects with
penetrating head injury had MMSE scores lower than 25. Scores
below 25 are associated with mild dementia and age-related
cognitive impairment [28]. There was no age difference between
the different genotypes.

Furthermore, at the time of the Phase II AFQT testing, the
subjects were in their forties, long before the estimated age of 50 at
which accelerated cell loss occurs [65,66]. More importantly the
key BDNF genotypes contributed 5% of the variance in recovery
of general intelligence even when controlling for age and
education. Thus, we do not believe that our findings at Phase II
can be explained by age-related cognitive decline. Rather, we
speculate that it is indicative of lesion-induced plasticity in which
BDNF is known to play a crucial role.

Previous studies have investigated the association of the
rs7124442 SNP to eating disorders, cognitive abilities in the
elderly, major depression, susceptibility to asthma, Parkinson’s
disease, autism, Alzheimer’s disease and migraine
[67,68,69,70,71,72,73]. The only significant associations identified
were to eating disorders and high plasma BDNF levels [67] as well
as a significant negative influence of TT genotype on treatment

---

**Table 8. Frequencies of haplotypes: Only haplotypes with frequencies ≥0.1 were used.**

| Haplotype* | Freq. Total | Std.Error, Total | Freq. Controls | Std.Error, Control | Freq. Injured | Std.Error, Injured |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 112122     | 0.44        | 0.003           | 0.49           | 0.003             | 0.43         | 0.003            |
| 111222     | 0.20        | 0.003           | 0.19           | 0.003             | 0.20         | 0.003            |
| 222212     | 0.20        | 0.003           | 0.20           | 0.005             | 0.20         | 0.003            |
| 222211     | 0.10        | 0.003           | 0.10           | 0.005             | 0.10         | 0.003            |

*Frequencies of 6-SNP haplotypes (Fig.1).

**Block 1**

| 112 | 0.48 | 0.0007 | 0.51 | 0.0002 | 0.48 | 0.0009 |
| 222 | 0.31 | 0.0007 | 0.29 | 0.0002 | 0.32 | 0.0009 |
| 111 | 0.21 | 0.0007 | 0.19 | 0.0002 | 0.21 | 0.0009 |

**Block 2**

| 122 | 0.45 | 0.0022 | 0.50 | 0.0033 | 0.44 | 0.0023 |
| 222 | 0.23 | 0.0024 | 0.23 | 0.0029 | 0.23 | 0.0027 |
| 212 | 0.21 | 0.0019 | 0.17 | 0.0041 | 0.22 | 0.0018 |
| 211 | 0.10 | 0.0017 | 0.10 | 0.0039 | 0.10 | 0.0017 |

**Frequencies of haplotypes: Only haplotypes with frequencies ≥0.1 were used.**

---

*Frequencies of 6-SNP haplotypes (Fig.1).

**Block 1 Haplotype Frequencies (Fig.1 left panel, SNPs 1–3).**

**Block 2 Haplotype Frequencies (Fig.1 right panel, SNPs 4–6).**

*doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t008*
### Table 9. Association analysis of haplotype and AFQT z-scores at different time points.

| Time of AFQT | Haplotype  | Mean±SD AFQT z-scores | N  | Statistics | F   | P     |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------|----|------------|-----|-------|
| Pre-Injury   | 111222     | 0.20±0.89             | 39 |            |     |       |
|              | 112122     | 0.07±0.93             | 98 |            |     |       |
|              | 222211     | 0.22±0.88             | 24 |            |     |       |
|              | 222221     | 0.04±0.98             | 40 |            |     |       |
|              | 111222     | 1.23±2.8              | 39 |            |     |       |
| PII          | 112122     | 0.07±0.95             | 98 |            |     |       |
|              | 222211     | 0.43±1.26             | 24 |            |     |       |
|              | 222221     | 0.71±1.2              | 40 |            |     |       |
|              | 111222     | 0.35±0.99             | 36 |            |     |       |
| PIi          | 112122     | 0.08±0.96             | 98 |            |     |       |
|              | 222211     | 0.54±1.15             | 24 |            |     |       |
|              | 222221     | 0.83±1.07             | 40 |            |     |       |
| *Block 1     | 111        | 0.30±0.89             | 36 |            |     |       |
|              | 112        | 0.04±0.92             | 96 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.16±0.92             | 64 |            |     |       |
|              | 111        | 0.09±0.99             | 36 |            |     |       |
| PII          | 112        | 0.46±0.98             | 96 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.59±1.21             | 64 |            |     |       |
|              | 111        | 0.26±0.96             | 36 |            |     |       |
| PIii         | 112        | 0.64±0.92             | 96 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.69±1.09             | 64 |            |     |       |
| **Block 2    | 122        | 0.04±0.89             | 91 |            |     |       |
|              | 211        | 0.28±0.82             | 21 |            |     |       |
|              | 212        | 0.07±0.96             | 43 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.31±0.95             | 41 |            |     |       |
|              | 122        | 0.49±0.96             | 91 |            |     |       |
| PII          | 211        | 0.41±1.31             | 21 |            |     |       |
|              | 212        | 0.64±1.18             | 43 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.11±1.10             | 41 |            |     |       |
|              | 122        | 0.67±0.89             | 91 |            |     |       |
| PIii         | 211        | 0.46±1.16             | 21 |            |     |       |
|              | 212        | 0.75±1.09             | 43 |            |     |       |
|              | 222        | 0.29±0.98             | 41 |            |     |       |
| ***Block 1 combined | 111 | 0.06±0.92 | 132 | | | |
|              | 112+222    | 0.16±0.92             | 64 |            |     |       |
| PII          | 111        | 0.36±0.99             | 132 |            |     |       |
|              | 112+222    | 0.59±1.21             | 64 |            |     |       |
| PIii         | 111        | 0.55±0.94             | 132 |            |     |       |
|              | 112+222    | 0.69±1.09             | 64 |            |     |       |

For graphical representation see Fig 3.

* Block 1 includes SNPs rs1519480, rs7124442 and rs6265.
** Block 2 includes SNPs rs7934165, rs11030121 and rs908867.
*** haplotypes 112 and 222 of block 1 were combined and compared with haplotype 111.

* Effect survives Bonferroni correction (P = 0.05/3 < 0.017).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027389.t009
The BDNF gene contains 11 exons and spans about 70 kb. 9 of these exons are transcription start points, each of which are associated with a functional promoter [74]. The rs7124442 SNP sequence is located in the 3'UTR region. These sequences are a section of mRNA that follows a coding region and contains several regulatory sequences. 3'UTR sequences might affect the activity-dependent BDNF mRNA targeting, translation and/or degradation at active synaptic sites and may also influence the proBDNF transcript. The SNP rs1519480 is located in the 3'-flanking region located in highly conserved regions which suggests that this SNP plays an important functional role. The rs1519480 SNP is associated with bipolar disorder [75].

Figure 3. Haplotype association analysis. Haplotype association analysis illustrating z-Normalized AFQT scores among different genotype carriers pre-injury, Phase II and Phase III. Haplotype 6 SNPs (A) include all the SNPs in LD. Block 2 (B) includes SNPs rs7934165, rs11030121 and rs908867. Block 1 (C) includes SNPs rs1519480, rs7124442 and rs6265. In addition we analyzed the combination of haplotype 112 and 222 from block 1 and compared this to group 111 (D).

A century ago, Cajal proposed that the brain possesses the ability to remodel and strengthen neuronal cortical connections based on experience [87,88,89] and there are several studies that demonstrate the central role of BDNF in brain plasticity. It regulates vesicle docking in ongoing synaptic functioning and enhances synaptic transmission [90,91]. Furthermore, BDNF is essential for synaptic remodeling in the adult hippocampus [92].

While injury-induced plasticity may last for a considerable time, it appears reduced with increasing age. One reason may be that proliferation of progenitor cells decreases with age [93,94,95] and that BDNF acts in conjunction with this proliferation. In a study on rats subjected to traumatic brain injury at the age of 4 months, a sixfold proliferation of neuroblasts and glia persisted in the subventricular zone 1 year after the trauma [96]. In contrast, noninjured control rats exhibited a decrease of neuroblasts and glia with age. In an additional study, lesion-induced sprouting was seen in the hippocampus of young and adult mice but not observed in aged mice [97].

In conclusion, the results described in this paper indicate that BDNF polymorphism plays a modest but significant role in lesion-induced plasticity, which declines over time. Furthermore, the response to SSRI, opposed to CC [69]. The BDNF gene contains 11 exons and spans about 70 kb. 9 of these exons are transcription start points, each of which are associated with a functional promoter [74]. The rs7124442 SNP sequence is located in the 3'UTR region. These sequences are a section of mRNA that follows a coding region and contains several regulatory sequences. 3'UTR sequences might affect the activity-dependent BDNF mRNA targeting, translation and/or degradation at active synaptic sites and may also influence the proBDNF transcript. The SNP rs1519480 is located in the 3'-flanking region located in highly conserved regions which suggests that this SNP plays an important functional role. The rs1519480 SNP is associated with bipolar disorder [75].

Given that BDNF and its high affinity receptor tropomycin-related kinase B (TrkB) play a crucial role in axon guidance and growth as well as synapse formation and plasticity [76,77,78,79] it is straightforward to think that polymorphisms at this gene would influence recovery of function after a brain injury, particularly in the close period of time when extensive synaptic remodeling might occur. BDNF mRNA expression is mostly limited to neurons and there are only a few brain areas where BDNF mRNA is not detected [80,81,82]. Although there are quite limited studies on the role of BDNF and its receptors following TBI, they indicate specific temporal and spatial changes in BDNF expression. Using lateral fluid percussion model in rats, a decrease of BDNF and its receptor TrkB could be detected in the injured area while there was an increase in remote areas hours post-injury [83]. Increased expression of BDNF and TrkB has also been observed in hippocampus hours after injury [84] and interestingly exercise following TBI increased BDNF in CA1 of hippocampus [85]. However we know little about the consequences of these alterations following TBI: are they compensatory responses, what role do they play in cell death and synaptic plasticity and in particular what are the long-term effects? We are investigating these questions in our penetrating rodent TBI model using histopathological, genetic and behavioral studies to get a deeper insight into the role of BDNF following TBI [86].

A century ago, Cajal proposed that the brain possesses the ability to remodel and strengthen neuronal cortical connections based on experience [87,88,89] and there are several studies that demonstrate the central role of BDNF in brain plasticity. It regulates vesicle docking in ongoing synaptic functioning and enhances synaptic transmission [90,91]. Furthermore, BDNF is essential for synaptic remodeling in the adult hippocampus [92].

While injury-induced plasticity may last for a considerable time, it appears reduced with increasing age. One reason may be that proliferation of progenitor cells decreases with age [93,94,95] and that BDNF acts in conjunction with this proliferation. In a study on rats subjected to traumatic brain injury at the age of 4 months, a sixfold proliferation of neuroblasts and glia persisted in the subventricular zone 1 year after the trauma [96]. In contrast, noninjured control rats exhibited a decrease of neuroblasts and glia with age. In an additional study, lesion-induced sprouting was seen in the hippocampus of young and adult mice but not observed in aged mice [97].

In conclusion, the results described in this paper indicate that BDNF polymorphism plays a modest but significant role in lesion-induced plasticity, which declines over time. Furthermore, the
findings show the impact of two specific SNPs on the recovery of general intelligence. It is possible that polymorphisms in the BDNF gene contribute to functional enhancement or reconstruction of impaired neuronal circuitry or that endogenous neurogenesis is enhanced. Identifying the underlying mechanism of this BDNF effect should provide insight into an important aspect of cognitive recovery after TBI and the value of assessing phenotype risk in TBI subjects.
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