ON DIAGONAL DOMINANCE OF FEM STIFFNESS MATRIX OF FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN AND MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE PRESERVING SCHEMES FOR FRACTIONAL ALLEN-CAHN EQUATION

HONGYAN LIU, CHANGTAO SHENG, LI-LIAN WANG, AND HUIFANG YUAN

Abstract. In this paper, we study diagonal dominance of the stiffness matrix resulted from the piecewise linear finite element discretisation of the integral fractional Laplacian under global homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in one spatial dimension. We first derive the exact form of this matrix in the frequency space which is extendable to multi-dimensional rectangular elements. Then we give the complete answer when the stiffness matrix can be strictly diagonally dominant. As one application, we apply this notion to the construction of maximum principle preserving schemes for the fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation, and provide ample numerical results to verify our findings.

1. Introduction

The study of diagonal dominance of a matrix has been a research subject of longstanding interest in numerical linear algebra and numerical analysis (cf. [18, 16]). On one hand, this type of structured matrices enjoy appealing properties, such as stable Gaussian elimination without pivoting and guaranteed convergence of Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel iterations among others (cf. [8, 15, 13]). On the other hand, numerical methods for solving PDEs are a rich source of many linear systems whose coefficient matrices form diagonal dominant matrices (cf. [36, 25, 35]). One well-worn example is the matrix resulted from the piecewise finite element discretization of $u''(x)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on a uniform partition of a finite interval. However, this property is unknown to date for the fractional counterpart $(-\Delta)^s u(x)$. The main purpose of this paper is to provide a complete answer to this and discuss one of its applications.

We consider a piecewise linear finite element approximation of the fractional Poisson equation on the finite interval $\Omega = (a, b)$ with $s \in (0, 3/2)$:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega; \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^c,$$

where the integral fractional Laplacian operator takes the form

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_s \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{1+2s}} dy, \quad C_s := \frac{2^{2s}s\Gamma(s + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi\Gamma(1-s)}},$$
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or equivalently by the Fourier transform:
\[ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[ \left[ \xi \right]^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u](\xi) \right](x). \]  
(1.3)

Let \( \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N-1} \) be a set of \( C^0 \), piecewise linear nodal basis associated with a uniform partition of \( \Omega \) with mesh size \( h \). Different from the computation in the physical space based on (1.2) (cf. [37]), we evaluate the entries of the fractional stiffness matrix \( S \) in the frequency space using (1.3):
\[ S_{kj} = S_{jk} = \left( (-\Delta)^{s/2} \phi_j, (-\Delta)^{s/2} \phi_k \right)_\mathbb{R} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[\phi_j](\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}[\phi_k](\xi)} \, d\xi, \]
(1.4)

which leads to the explicit expression of this symmetric Toeplitz matrix (see Theorem 2.1). Remarkably, this approach can be extended to rectangular tensorial finite elements in two or three-dimensional rectangular or L-shaped domains by reducing 2d-dimensional integrals into one- or two-dimensional integrals using polar or spherical coordinates (which we shall report in a separate paper). It is important to remark that the computation of the stiffness matrix in two-dimensions on unstructured meshes is much involved (cf. [1, 2]). It is also noteworthy of the recent works on quadrature-based finite difference methods for integral fractional Laplacian on regular domains [31, 9, 11].

With the explicit form of \( S \) at our disposal, we can rigorously show that (see Theorem 2.2): (i) when the fractional order \( s \in (s_0, 1] \) with \( s_0 \approx 0.2347 \), the stiffness matrix \( S \) is strictly diagonally dominant with positive diagonal entries; (ii) for \( s \in (1, 3/2) \), \( S \) is non-diagonally dominant, and each diagonal entry is strictly smaller than the summation of other entries (in magnitude) in the same row (except for the first and last rows); and (iii) for \( s \in (0, s_0) \), there exists an \( N_0(s) \) such that if \( N < N_0(s) \), the strict diagonal dominance still holds. In fact, the smallest \( N_0(s) \) is around 155 attained at \( s_* \approx 0.13 \) and then increases rapidly as the distance \( |s - s_*| \) (for \( s \in (0, s_0) \)) increases (see Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1 (b)).

The second purpose of this paper is to apply the notion of diagonal dominance to the construction of maximum principle preserving schemes for the fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation with spatial finite element discretisation. More precisely, we consider
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_t + \epsilon^2 (-\Delta)^s u + f(u) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T], \\
  u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
  u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
(1.5)

where \( f(u) = F'(u) \) with
\[ F(u) = \frac{u^2(u-1)^2}{4} \quad \text{so} \quad f(u) = \frac{u(u-1)(2u-1)}{2}. \]
(1.6)

Different from the usual double-well potential with minima at \( u = \pm 1 \), i.e., \( F(u) = (u^2 - 1)^2/4 \), the modified \( F(u) \) has minima at \( u = 0, 1 \) (cf. [21, 23, 10]), in view of the global “boundary condition” imposed on \( \Omega^c \). There has been much recent interest in numerical solutions of fractional-in-space models but with possibly different definitions of the fractional operator. For example, Burrage et al. [6] considered the solutions of fractional diffusion equations with the “discrete” fractional Laplacian obtained by first finding a matrix representation, \( A \), of the Laplacian (by the finite element) and raising it to the same fractional power \( A^s \). Bueno-Orovio et al. [5] considered the spectral fractional Laplacian and proposed Fourier spectral methods. In Hou et al. [19], Crank-Nicolson finite difference method for fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation with the fractional derivative:
\[ L^\alpha_x u(x) := \frac{1}{-2 \cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \left( a D^\alpha_{+x} u + _x D^\alpha_{-x} u \right)(x), \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \]
(1.7)

where \( a D^\alpha_{+x} u \) and \( _x D^\alpha_{-x} u \) denote the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives defined on \( \Omega \), and the finite-difference matrix with the usual homogeneous boundary condition: \( u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \), was derived from [34]. The method in [19] can be directly extended to the multi-dimensional model with the directional fractional Laplacian \( L^\alpha_x u(x) = (L^\alpha_{x_1} + L^\alpha_{x_2} + L^\alpha_{x_3})u(x) \) on \( \Omega^3 \) with
u(x)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$, in light of the tensorial nature of the operator and domain. It is known that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha u(x) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x)$ on $\Omega$, when $u = 0$ on $\Omega^c$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ but $\alpha \neq 1$. However, under the local boundary condition: $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$, they are different. Recently, Duo and Wang \cite{10} proposed quadrature-based finite difference method for (1.5) with the difference matrix obtained earlier in \cite{11}, where the approximation error $(-\Delta)^s u - (-\Delta)^s_h u$ is of order $h^2$ in $L^\infty$-sense. Wang et al. \cite{37} studied the finite element methods for the fractional-in-space Cahn-Hilliard equation. It is also noteworthy that there is a growing interest in time-fractional Allen-Cahn model (cf. \cite{8, 23, 33, 39, 22}). Needless to say, the development of efficient numerical methods for the integer order Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard equations and more general phase-field models is continuously attracting much research attention. One can refer to the review paper \cite{27} and the book chapter \cite{7} for the state-of-the-art and comprehensive lists of references.

Different from the very limited existing works, we consider finite element discretisation in space with a modification similar to that in Xu et al. \cite{35}, and propose the semi-implicit and Crank-Nicolson schemes as advocated in \cite{32, 31} for the integer-order Allen-Cahn equation. We show that the proposed schemes preserve maximum principle and energy dissipation (for \cite{32, 31}) for the state-of-the-art and comprehensive lists of references. Different from the very limited existing works, we consider finite element discretisation in space with a modification similar to that in Xu et al. \cite{35}, and propose the semi-implicit and Crank-Nicolson schemes as advocated in \cite{32, 31} for the integer-order Allen-Cahn equation. We show that the proposed schemes preserve maximum principle and energy dissipation (for \cite{32, 31}) for the state-of-the-art and comprehensive lists of references.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present the exact form of the FEM stiffness matrix based on the Fourier definition with implementation in the Fourier space. More importantly, we prove the main result on the diagonal dominance of this matrix. In Section 3, we propose the semi-implicit and modified FEM schemes for the fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation, and show that they preserve the maximum principle and energy dissipation. In Section 4, we provide ample numerical results to support the theoretical results. The final section is for some concluding remarks.

2. Finite element method for fractional Laplacian

In this section, we derive the explicit stiffness matrix of the $C^0$-piecewise linear FEM for the fractional Laplacian using the frequency domain. More importantly, we will study the diagonally dominant properties of the stiffness matrix for piecewise linear FEM.

2.1. Finite element method. Consider a uniform partition of the interval $\Omega = (a, b)$:

$$ x_j = a + jh, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N, \quad h = 2/N. $$

The piecewise linear FEM basis is given by

$$ \phi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-x_{j-1}}{h}, & \text{if } x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j), \\ \frac{x_{j+1} - x}{h}, & \text{if } x \in (x_j, x_{j+1}), \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere on } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1} $$

Correspondingly, we define the piecewise linear finite element space

$$ \mathcal{V}_h = \text{span}\{\phi_j(x), \; 1 \leq j \leq N - 1\}. \tag{2.2} $$

and intend to evaluate the $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ fractional stiffness matrix $S$ with the entries

$$ S_{kj} = S_{jk} = \frac{C_s}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} \right) \phi_k(x) \phi_k(x) \, dx \, dy + C_{d,s} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} \, dy \right) \phi_j(x) \phi_k(x) \, dx \tag{2.3a} $$
\[
\mathcal{F}[\phi_j](\xi) = \frac{2h}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1 - \cos(h\xi)}{(h\xi)^2} e^{-ix_j \xi}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N - 1.
\]

(2.4)

**Proof.** Using (2.1) and integration by parts, we obtain from direct calculation that

\[
\mathcal{F}[\phi_j](\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_j(x) e^{-ix \xi} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \phi_j(x) e^{-ix \xi} dx
\]

This ends the proof. \(\square\)

With the aid of Lemma 2.1, we can obtain the entries of stiffness matrix \(S\) explicitly. Here, we sketch the derivation in Appendix A to avoid distraction from the main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** For \(s \in (0, \frac{3}{2})\), the FEM stiffness matrix \(S = (S_{kj})\) is a symmetric Toeplitz matrix given by

\[
S = \frac{h^{1-2s}}{2\Gamma(4-2s)\cos(s\pi)} \begin{bmatrix}
t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_{N-4} & t_{N-3} & t_{N-2} \\
t_1 & t_0 & t_1 & \cdots & t_{N-4} & t_{N-3} & t_{N-2} \\
t_2 & t_1 & t_0 & \cdots & t_{N-4} & t_{N-3} & t_{N-2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
t_{N-4} & \cdots & \cdots & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 \\
t_{N-3} & t_{N-4} & \cdots & t_1 & t_0 & t_1 \\
t_{N-2} & t_{N-3} & t_{N-4} & \cdots & t_2 & t_1 & t_0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.5)

which is generated by the vector \((t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_{N-2})\) in the first row or column of \(S\) with

\[
t_p = \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i |p+i|^{3-2s}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad c_{\pm1} = -4, \quad c_{\pm2} = 1.
\]

(2.6)

In particular, if \(s = 1/2\), the entries of \(S\) should be obtained by

\[
S_{kj} = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \frac{t_p}{\cos(s\pi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i (p+i)^2 \ln |p+i|, \quad p = |k-j|,
\]

(2.7)
where we should understand that \((p + i)^2 \ln |p + i| = 0\) when \(p + i = 0\).

**Remark 2.1.** Letting \(s \to 0\) and \(s \to 1\), the matrix \(S\) in Theorem 2.1 reduces to the usual FEM mass matrix \(M\) and stiffness matrix \(S\):

\[
M_{kj} = h \begin{cases} 
2/3, & j = k, \\
1/6, & j = k + 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \quad S_{kj} = \frac{1}{h} \begin{cases} 
2, & j = k, \\
-1, & j = k + 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
respectively.

**Remark 2.2.** We point out that in 1D, it is feasible to compute \(S_{jk}\) in the physical space using (2.3a) (cf. [34, 37]). However, the implementation in the physical space becomes very complicated (cf. [1, 2]). In fact, the frequency domain approach can be extended to multiple dimensional uniform rectangular elements, which leads to computing a one-dimensional integral on \((0, \pi/2)\) rather than \(2 \times 2\)-dimensional integrals in two dimensions. We shall report this in a separate work.

### 2.2. Diagonal dominance of the stiffness matrix

We first make necessary preparations through the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(s \in (0, \frac{3}{2})\) and \(s \neq \frac{1}{2}\).

(i) The element

\[
t_1 = t_1(s) = 7 + 3^{3-2s} - 2^{5-2s}
\]

has a unique root \(s_0 \approx 0.2347\) in the interval \((0, \frac{1}{2})\). Moreover, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&t_1 > 0, & \text{if } s \in (0, s_0) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}), \\
&t_1 < 0, & \text{if } s \in (s_0, \frac{1}{2}).
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) For \(p \geq 2\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&t_p < t_{p+1} < 0, & \text{if } s \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \frac{3}{2}), \\
&t_p > t_{p+1} > 0, & \text{if } s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\
&t_p = 0, & \text{if } s = 1.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** (i) By direct calculation, we find \(t'_1(s) = 8(\ln 2) 2^{3-2s} - 2(\ln 3) 3^{3-2s}\), which has a unique root

\[
s^* = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\ln(8 \ln 2) - \ln(2 \ln 3)}{2(\ln 3 - \ln 2)} \approx 0.3584.
\]

Moreover, \(t_1(s)\) is descending in \((0, s^*)\), but ascending in \((s^*, \frac{3}{2})\). As \(t_1(0) = 2\) and \(t_1(s^*) \approx -0.1856\), \(t_1(s)\) has a unique root in \((0, s^*)\). Using a root-finding method (e.g., the bisection method), we can easily find \(s_0 \approx 0.2347\). Note that \(s = \frac{3}{2}\) is the other unique root of \(t_1(s)\) in the interval \((s^*, \frac{3}{2})\). Then we have the property (2.10) (cf. Figure 2.1(a)).

(ii) We next consider \(p \geq 2\). Denote \(\alpha = 3 - 2s\), and rewrite \(t_p\) in (2.6) as

\[
t_p = p^n \left\{ 6 - 4 \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha} \right\} + \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{2}{p} \right)^{\alpha} + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} \right)^{\alpha} \right\} \right\} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{p^{2n-\alpha}},
\]

where we used the Taylor expansion of \((1 + x)^{\alpha}\), and

\[
c_n^{(\alpha)} := (2n+1 - 2^3) \alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - 2n + 1) \frac{(2n)!}{(2n)!}.
\]

As \(\alpha = 3 - 2s \in (0, 3)\) and \(\alpha \neq 2\), we have

\[
sign(c_n^{(\alpha)}) = -\text{sign}((\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)) = -\text{sign}(2s - 1)(s - 1)).
\]
Note that \( t_p \) has the same sign as \( c_n^{(\alpha)} \). Thus, if \( s \in (0, 1/2) \cup (1, 3/2) \), then \( c_n^{(\alpha)} < 0 \), so \( t_p < 0 \) and
\[
\frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{p^{2n-\alpha}} < \frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{(p+1)^{2n-\alpha}}, \quad \text{so} \quad t_p < t_{p+1}.
\]

On the other hand, if \( s \in \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right) \), then \( c_n^{(\alpha)} > 0 \), so \( t_p < 0 \), and
\[
\frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{p^{2n-\alpha}} > \frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{(p+1)^{2n-\alpha}}, \quad \text{so} \quad t_p > t_{p+1}.
\]

By (A.15), we have \( t_p = 0 \) for \( s = 1 \) and \( p \geq 2 \). Thus, the property (2.10) holds.

\[\square\]

**Figure 2.1.** Left: graph of \( t_1(s) \). Right: profile of \( N_0(s) \) for \( s \in (0, s_0) \), for which the stiffness matrix \( S \) is diagonally dominant when \( N < N_0(s) \).

**Lemma 2.3.** For \( s = \frac{1}{2} \), we denote
\[
r_p := S_{kj} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i (p+i)^2 \ln |p+i|, \quad 0 \leq p = |k-j| \leq N - 2.
\]

Then we have
\[
r_0 > 0; \quad r_p < r_{p+1} < 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N - 3.
\]

**Proof.** Direct calculation from (2.13) leads to
\[
r_0 = \frac{4}{\pi} \ln 2, \quad r_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} (9 \ln 3 - 16 \ln 2), \quad r_2 = \frac{2}{\pi} (14 \ln 2 - 9 \ln 3),
\]
\[
r_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 27 \ln 3 - 72 \ln 2 + \frac{25}{2} \ln 5 \right),
\]
and one verifies readily that \( r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < 0 \).

For \( p \geq 3 \), we can rewrite \( r_p \) as
\[
r_p = \frac{p^2}{2\pi} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} \right)^2 \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} \right) + \left( 1 + \frac{2}{p} \right)^2 \ln \left( 1 + \frac{2}{p} \right) \right. \\
- 4 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) - 4 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \left\} \right.
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{p^{2n-2}}, \quad \text{with} \quad c_n = \frac{2 - 2^{2n-1}}{\pi n(2n-1)(n-1)}.
\]
using the Taylor expansion
\[(1 + x)^2 \ln(1 + x) = x + \frac{3}{2} x^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x^{n+2}}{n(n+1)(n+2)}.\] (2.16)
Since \(c_n < 0\) for \(n \geq 2\), we have \(r_p < 0\), and
\[\frac{c_n}{p^{2n-2}} < \frac{c_n}{(p+1)^{2n-2}}, \quad \text{so} \quad r_p < r_{p+1}.\]
This completes the proof. \(\square\)

With the above preparations, we are now ready to present the main result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(s_0 \approx 0.2347\) be the root of \(t_1(s) = 7 + 31^{-2s} - 2^{-2s}\) as in Lemma 2.2 and denote
\[A_s := \frac{1}{2 \Gamma(4 - 2s) \cos(s \pi)}.\] (2.17)
Then the stiffness matrix \(S = (S_{kj})\) stated in Theorem 2.1 has the following properties.

(i) If \(s \in [s_0, 1)\), we have
\[S_{kk} > 0; \quad S_{kj} < 0, \quad k \neq j,\] (2.18)
except for \(S_{k,k+1} = 0\) for \(s = s_0\), and the matrix \(S\) is strictly positive diagonally dominated, i.e.,
\[S_{kk} > \sum_{k \neq j=1}^{N-1} |S_{kj}|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1.\] (2.19)

(ii) If \(s \in (0, s_0)\), we have \(S_{kk}, S_{k,k+1} > 0, S_{kj} < 0\) for \(|k - j| \geq 2\), and
\[S_{kk} - \sum_{k \neq j=1}^{N-1} |S_{kj}| > \frac{4A_s t_1(s)}{h^{2s-1}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1,\] (2.20)
where \(t_1(0)\). However, the property (2.19) holds only for \(N \leq N_0\) with
\[N_0 = \left[2 \left(\frac{\gamma(s)}{t_1(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right], \quad \gamma(s) := (1 - 2s)(1 - s)(3 - 2s).\] (2.21)

(iii) If \(s \in (1, 3/2)\), we have \(S_{kk} > 0, S_{k,k+1} < 0, S_{kj} > 0\) for \(|k - j| \geq 2\). The property (2.19) holds only for \(k = 1\) and \(k = N - 1\); but in the contrary, we have that for \(N \geq 4\),
\[S_{kk} < \sum_{k \neq j=1}^{N-1} |S_{kj}|, \quad 2 \leq k \leq N - 2.\] (2.22)

**Proof.** We only prove the results with even \(N\), since it is straightforward to prove the statements with odd \(N\). Before we consider different cases of \(s\), we first derive some common properties.

From the matrix form of \(S\) in (2.5), we find readily that for \(1 \leq k \leq N - 1\),
\[d_k := |S_{kk}| - \sum_{k \neq j=1}^{N-1} |S_{kj}| = \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} \left( |t_0| - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} |t_p| - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} |t_p| \right),\] (2.23)
and for \(1 \leq k \leq N - 2\),
\[d_{k+1} = d_k + \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} (|t_{N-1-k}| - |t_k|).\] (2.24)
It is also evident that
\[d_k = d_{N-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1,\] (2.25)
so it suffices to study \(d_k\) with \(1 \leq k \leq N/2\). Moreover, using the property (2.10), we can derive from (2.24) that for \(s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})\) and \(s \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1\),
\[d_2 > d_3 > \cdots > d_{N/2}.\] (2.26)
In the proof, we shall check the signs of \( d_1 \) and \( d_{N/2} \) in most of the cases. For this purpose, we define

\[ f_q := q^{3-2s}, \quad G_q := f_{q-1} - 3f_q + 3f_{q+1} - f_{q+2}. \]  

(2.27)

Using (2.10) again, we find from direct calculation that for \( s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \),

\[ S_m := \sum_{p=2}^{m} |t_p| = \sum_{p=2}^{m} t_p = \sum_{p=2}^{m} (f_{p-2} - 4f_{p-1} + 6f_{p-4} - 4f_{p+1} + f_{p+2}) \]

\[ = 3 \sum_{q=0}^{m} f_q - 4 \sum_{q=1}^{m} f_q + 6 \sum_{q=2}^{m} f_q - 4f_{m-1} \]

\[ + 6 \sum_{q=m-1}^{m-1} f_q - 4 \sum_{q=m-1}^{m-1} f_q + \sum_{q=m-1}^{m-2} f_q \]

\[ = -3f_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 - f_{m-1} + 3f_m - 3f_{m+1} + f_{m+2} = G_1 - G_m, \]

and for \( s \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (1, \frac{3}{2}) \),

\[ S_m := \sum_{p=2}^{m} |t_p| = -\sum_{p=2}^{m} t_p = G_m - G_1. \]  

(2.29)

From (2.23) and the above, we have

\[ d_1 = \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} \left( |t_0| - |t_1| - \sum_{p=2}^{N-2} |t_p| \right) = \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} \left( |t_0| - |t_1| - S_{N-2} \right), \]

\[ d_{N/2} = \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} \left( |t_0| - 2|t_1| - 2 \sum_{p=2}^{N/2-1} |t_p| \right) = \frac{|A_s|}{h^{2s-1}} \left( |t_0| - 2|t_1| - 2S_{N/2-1} \right). \]

(2.30)

Note that by (2.6), we have

\[ t_0 = 2^{4-2s} - 8 = 8f_1 + 2f_2, \quad t_1 = 7 + 3^{3-2s} - 2^{5-2s} = 7f_1 - 4f_2 + 3f_3. \]  

(2.31)

It is seen from (2.30) that the sign of \( G_q \) is important to determine the signs of \( d_1, d_{N/2} \), so we rewrite it by using the Taylor expansion:

\[ G_q = (q + 1)^{\alpha} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{2}{q+1} \right)^{\alpha} - 3 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q+1} \right)^{\alpha} - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q+1} \right)^{\alpha} + 3 \right\} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^{(\alpha)}}{(q+1)^{n-\alpha}}, \]

(2.32)

where \( \alpha = 3 - 2s \) as before, and

\[ c_n^{(\alpha)} := (-1)^n (2^n - (1)^n - 3) \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1) \cdots (\alpha-n+1)}{n!}. \]  

(2.33)

Since \( \alpha \in (0, 3) \), we have

\[ \text{sign}(G_q) = \text{sign}(c_n^{(\alpha)}) = -\text{sign}((\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)) = -\text{sign}(2s-1)(s-1)). \]

(2.34)

With these, we now proceed with the proof by considering several cases with different ranges of \( s \).

(i) \( s \in \left( \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right) \): In order to prove Statement-(i), we first consider \( s \in \left( \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right) \). Note that \( A_s < 0 \) (cf. (2.17)), \( t_0 = 2^{4-2s} - 8 < 0 \) and \( t_p > 0 \) with \( p \geq 1 \) (cf. Lemma 2.2), so we have

\[ S_{kk} = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} t_0 > 0; \quad S_{kj} = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} t_p < 0, \quad \text{for } p = |k - j| \geq 1. \]  

(2.35)
In view of (2.20), we only need to show that \( d_1 > 0 \) and \( d_{N/2} > 0 \). By (2.27)–(2.29) and (2.30)–(2.31),
\[
d_1 = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} (t_0 + t_1 + S_{N-2}) = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} t_0 - G_{N-2} \right),
\]
and
\[
d_{N/2} = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} (t_0 + 2t_1 + 2S_{N/2-1}) = -\frac{2A_s}{h^{2s-1}} G_{N/2-1}.
\]
For \( s \in (1/2, 1) \), we know from (2.34) that \( G_{N-2}, G_{N/2-1} > 0 \). As \( A_s < 0 \), we infer from (2.34) that \( d_1, d_{N/2} > 0 \), so the desired property (2.19) holds for \( s \in (1/2, 1) \).

(i)_2, \( s \in [s_0, 1/2] \): In this case, we have \( A_s > 0 \) (cf. (2.17)), \( t_0 = 2^{4-2s} - 8 > 0 \), and \( t_p < 0 \) for \( p \geq 1 \) (except for \( t_1 = 0 \) with \( s = s_0 \), see Lemma 2.2), so their signs are opposite to those of the previous case. As a result, the property (2.18) still holds, but with the exceptional case: \( S_{k,k\pm1} = 0 \), if \( s = s_0 \). Moreover, we also have the same formulas as (2.30)–(2.31) for \( d_1, d_{N/2} \), i.e.,
\[
d_1 = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} t_0 - G_{N-2} \right), \quad d_{N/2} = -\frac{2A_s}{h^{2s-1}} G_{N/2-1},
\]
but by (2.34), we have \( G_{N-2}, G_{N/2-1} < 0 \), so \( d_1, d_{N/2} > 0 \). Consequently, Statement-(i) holds for \( s \in [s_0, 1/2] \).

(ii), \( s \in (0, s_0) \): We now turn to the justification for Statement-(ii). In this case, we have \( A_s > 0, t_0 > 0, t_1 = 0 \) and \( t_p < 0 \) for \( p \geq 2 \). We first show that \( d_1 > 0 \). Indeed, by (2.27) and (2.29)–(2.31),
\[
d_1 = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( t_0 - t_1 - \sum_{p=2}^{N-2} |t_p| \right) = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( 9 \cdot 2^\alpha - 2 \cdot 3^\alpha - 18 - G_{N-2} \right),
\]
and
\[
d_{N/2} = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( t_0 + 2t_1 + 2S_{N/2-1} \right) = -\frac{2A_s}{h^{2s-1}} G_{N/2-1}.
\]
where by (2.34), \( G_{N-2} < 0 \). We now show that \( g(\alpha) := 9 \cdot 2^\alpha - 2 \cdot 3^\alpha - 18 > 0 \) for \( \alpha = 3 - 2s \in (\alpha_0, 3) \) and \( \alpha_0 = 3 - 2s_0 \approx 2.5252 \). One verifies readily that \( g(\alpha) \) has one extreme point

\[
\alpha_* = \frac{\ln(9 \ln 2) - \ln(2 \ln 3)}{\ln 3 - \ln 2} \approx 2.5736,
\]
i.e., \( g'(\alpha) = 0 \), where \( g(\alpha) \) attains its local maximum with \( g(\alpha_*) \approx 1.7738 \). We can further check that \( g(\alpha) > g(3) = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in (\alpha_0, 3) \). Thus, we have \( d_1 > 0 \). We now consider \( d_{N/2} \). By (2.29),

\[
d_{N/2} = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( t_0 - 2t_1 - 2 \sum_{p=2}^{N/2-1} |t_p| \right) = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( -4f_1 - 4f_2 - f_3 - 2G_{N/2-1} \right)
\]

(2.40)

where we used the fact \( G_{N/2-1} < 0 \). We next show that there exists \( N_0 \) such that the property (2.19) holds only for \( N \leq N_0 \). Note from (2.32) and (2.34) that the coefficients \( c_n^{(\alpha)} < 0 \), so we have

\[
G_{N/2-1} > \frac{2^{2s} c_3^{(\alpha)}}{N^{2s}}, \quad c_3^{(\alpha)} = (2s - 1)(2s - 2)(2s - 3).
\]

It is clear that

\[
d_{N/2} > \frac{2A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( -2t_1 - \frac{2^{2s} c_3^{(\alpha)}}{N^{2s}} \right) := \tilde{d}_{N/2}.
\]

(2.41)

We now search for the maximum possible \( N \) so that \( \tilde{d}_{N/2} > 0 \), i.e.,

\[
N^{2s} < -\frac{2^{2s-1} c_3^{(\alpha)}}{t_1} \quad \text{or} \quad N \leq N_0 := \left[ 2 \left( \frac{(1 - 2s)(1 - s)(3 - 2s)}{7 + 3^{1-2s} - 2^{5-2s}} \right)^{1/5} \right].
\]

(2.42)

for \( s \in (0, s_0) \). This completes the verification of Statement-(ii). We also refer to Figure 2.1 b) and Table 2.1 for the plot of \( N_0 = N_0(s) \) and some quantitative study.

(iii). \( s \in (1, \frac{3}{2}) \): We now prove the last statement. In this case, we have \( A_\alpha < 0 \) (cf. 2.17), \( t_0 = 2^{4-2s} - 3 < 0, t_1 > 0 \) and \( t_p < 0 \) for \( p \geq 2 \) (cf. Lemma 2.2), which implies

\[
S_{kk} = \frac{A_st_1}{h^{2s-1}} > 0; \quad S_{kk,k+1} = \frac{A_st_1}{h^{2s-1}} < 0; \quad S_{kj} = \frac{A_st_p}{h^{2s-1}} > 0, \quad p = |k - j| \geq 2.
\]

In what follows, we shall show that \( d_1 > 0 \), but \( d_2 < 0 \) for all \( N \geq 3 \). With this, we can arrive at the conclusion in Statement-(iii) by using (2.25)-(2.24).

We first show that \( d_1 > 0 \). By (2.29) and (2.20),

\[
d_1 = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( t_0 + t_1 - \sum_{p=2}^{N-2} t_p \right) = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( 2 - 5 \cdot 2^\alpha + 2 \cdot 3^\alpha + G_{N-2} \right), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).
\]

(2.43)

Here by (2.34), we have \( G_{N-2} < 0 \), so it suffices to show \( \tilde{g}(\alpha) := 2 - 5 \cdot 2^\alpha + 2 \cdot 3^\alpha < 0 \). Note that \( \tilde{g}'(\alpha) = -5(\ln 2)2^\alpha + 2(\ln 3)3^\alpha \), which has a unique root

\[
\alpha_* = \frac{\ln(5 \ln 2) - \ln(2 \ln 3)}{\ln 3 - \ln 2} \approx 1.124.
\]

The function \( \tilde{g}(\alpha) \) is descending for all \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), so \( \tilde{g}(\alpha) < \tilde{g}(0) = -1 \). Thus, \( d_1 > 0 \) for all \( N \).

We now show that \( d_2 < 0 \) for \( N \geq 3 \). We obtain from (2.23) that

\[
d_2 = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( t_0 + 2t_1 - \sum_{p=2}^{N-3} t_p \right) = \frac{A_s}{h^{2s-1}} \left( 3f_1 - 3f_2 + f_3 + G_{N-3} \right)
\]

(2.44)
where we used the fact $G_1, G_{N-3} < 0$. Note from (2.32) and (2.34) that the coefficients $\hat{c}_n^{(\alpha)} < 0$, so we have

$$G_{N-3} < \frac{\hat{c}_3^{(\alpha)}}{(N-2)^{2s}}, \quad \hat{c}_3^{(\alpha)} = (2s - 1)(2s - 2)(2s - 3).$$

If $-3G_1 + G_{N-3} > 0$, then it is clear that

$$d_2 < \tilde{d}_2 := \frac{A_s}{s} \left(-3G_1 + \frac{\hat{c}_3^{(\alpha)}}{(N-2)^{2s}}\right) < 0.$$

This yields

$$(N-2)^{2s} > \frac{\hat{c}_3^{(\alpha)}}{3G_1} \quad \text{or} \quad N \geq N_1 := 2 + \left[\frac{\left((2s - 1)(2s - 2)(2s - 3)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{-9 + 9 \cdot 2^{2s} - 3^{2s} - 3^{3s}}\right].$$

(2.45)

We can verify directly that we can take $N_1 = 3$ for all $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. This completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.3.** From Lemma 2.2, we have that $0 < t_1(s) < 2$ for $0 < s < s_0$. Then let $r = \frac{\cos(s_0 \pi)}{1 - 2s_0}$, we can obtain a strictly diagonally dominant matrix for $(0, s_0)$ by adding a diagonal matrix, i.e., $S_h = S + 4rI$ is strictly diagonally dominant for $s \in (0, 1)$. □

**Table 2.1.** Values of $N_0(s)$ and its asymptotic estimate $N_a(s)$ for various $s \in (0, s_0)$

| $s$   | $N_0(s)$ | $N_a(s)$ | $s$   | $N_0(s)$ | $N_a(s)$ | $s$   | $N_0(s)$ | $N_a(s)$ | $s$   | $N_0(s)$ | $N_a(s)$ |
|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|
| 0.04  | 2573     | 2572     | 0.06  | 532      | 531      | 0.07  | 350      | 349      | 0.08  | 261      | 260      |
| 0.05  | 986      | 985      | 0.1   | 184      | 183      | 0.14  | 159      | 158      | 0.17  | 204      | 203      |
| 0.06  | 532      | 531      | 0.11  | 168      | 167      | 0.12  | 159      | 158      | 0.13  | 241      | 240      |
| 0.07  | 350      | 349      | 0.12  | 159      | 158      | 0.14  | 204      | 203      | 0.18  | 241      | 240      |
| 0.08  | 261      | 260      | 0.13  | 156      | 155      | 0.19  | 241      | 240      | 0.2   | 6728     | 6727     |

At the end of this section, we illustrate the behaviour of the maximum/minimum eigenvalues of $S$ for different fractional order $s \in (0, 3/2)$. Observe from Figure 2.2 that the maximum (resp. minimum) eigenvalue of the stiffness matrix $S$ behaves like $O(N^{2s-1})$ (resp. $O(N^{-1})$), so its condition number grows like $O(N^{2s})$.

**Figure 2.2.** (a) The condition number of the stiffness matrix $S$; (b) The maximum eigenvalue of the stiffness matrix $S$; (c) The minimum eigenvalue of the stiffness matrix $S$. 
3. Maximum-principal preserving schemes for fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation

In this section, we construct two maximum principle preserving schemes for the fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation \([1.5]-[1.6]\), where the notion of aforementioned diagonal dominance plays an essential role.

3.1. Maximum principle and energy dissipation of \([1.5]-[1.6]\). We first show that in the fractional case, the maximum principle and energy dissipation law hold at continuous level. In what follows, with a little abuse of notation, we understand that \(u = 0\) for \(x \in \Omega^c\), when the fractional Laplacian operator is performed on \(u(x)\).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(u\) be the solution of the fractional Allen-Cahn equation \([1.5]-[1.6]\) with the fractional order \(s \in (0,3/2)\). If the initial value \(u_0 \in [0,1]\), then the solution \(u \in [0,1]\) for all \(t \in [0,T]\).

**Proof.** We first show that \(u \leq 1\). For this purpose, we define

\[
v := \max\{u - 1, 0\} = (u - 1)^+ = \begin{cases} u - 1, & u - 1 \geq 0, \\ 0, & u - 1 < 0. \end{cases}
\]  

(3.1)

Taking the inner product of \([1.5]\) with \(v\) yields that

\[
(u_t, v)_\Omega + c^2 ((-\Delta)^s u, v)_\Omega + (f(u), v)_\Omega = 0.
\]

(3.2)

Then we can follow the proofs in [14, 20] for usual Allen-Cahn equation to carry out the proof. Firstly, we can show that

\[
(u_t, v)_\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |(u - 1)^+|^2 \, dx; \quad (f(u), v)_\Omega \geq 0,
\]

(3.3)

which follows immediately from

\[
u_t = u_t (u - 1)^+ = (u - 1)^+ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |(u - 1)^+|^2,
\]

and

\[
f(u) = \frac{u (2u - 1)(u - 1)^+}{2} \geq 0.
\]

Then we prove the positiveness of the second term in (3.2). Since for \(x \in \Omega^c\), \(u(x) = 0\), i.e., \((u - 1)^+ = 0\), we can write equivalently that

\[
((-\Delta)^s u, (u - 1)^+) = ((-\Delta)^s u, (u - 1)^+)_\mathbb{R}.
\]

(3.4)

For this integral in \(\mathbb{R}\), we separate it into two parts: \(R_1\), where \(u(x) > 1\), and \(R_2\), where \(u(x) \leq 1\), i.e.,

\[
((-\Delta)^s u, (u - 1)^+)_\mathbb{R} = \int_{R_1} ((-\Delta)^s u)(u - 1)^+ dx + \int_{R_2} ((-\Delta)^s u)(u - 1)^+ dx.
\]

(3.5)

For \(x \in R_1\), we know \((u(x) - 1)^+ = u(x) - 1\). Then by the definition of fractional Laplacian in \([1.2]\) and the fact that \((u(y) - 1)^+ \geq u(y) - 1, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}\), one verifies readily that

\[
(-\Delta)^s u(x) = (-\Delta)^s (u(x) - 1) \geq (-\Delta)^s (u(x) - 1)^+, \quad x \in R_1.
\]

Then since \((u(x) - 1)^+ \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\), we have for the first integral of (3.5) that

\[
\int_{R_1} ((-\Delta)^s u)(u - 1)^+ dx \geq \int_{R_1} ((-\Delta)^s (u - 1)^+)(u - 1)^+ dx.
\]

(3.6)

On the other hand, for \(x \in R_2\), \((u(x) - 1)^+ = 0\), thus for the second integral of (3.5)

\[
\int_{R_2} ((-\Delta)^s u)(u - 1)^+ dx = 0 = \int_{R_2} ((-\Delta)^s (u - 1)^+)(u - 1)^+ dx.
\]

(3.7)
Remark 3.1. The above two properties also hold in multiple dimensions.

I define the piecewise linear interpolation taking the inner product of (1.5) with \( u \) completes the proof.

As with the standard Allen-Cahn equation in bounded domain, we have

3.2. Standard semi-implicit time discretization with modified FEM in space. We first define the piecewise linear interpolation \( I_h: C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_h \) as

\[
I_h v(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} v(x_j) \phi_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} v_j \phi_j(x). \tag{3.10}
\]

Then the full-discrete scheme is to find \( u_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{V}_h \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} (I_h (u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n), 1)_{\bar{\Omega}} + c^2 \left((\Delta)^s u_h^{n+1}, v_h \right)_{\bar{\Omega}} + (I_h (f(u_h^n) v_h), 1)_{\bar{\Omega}} = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in \mathbb{V}_h. \tag{3.11}
\]

Its matrix form reads

\[
\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + \frac{c^2}{h} S U^{n+1} + f(U^n) = 0, \tag{3.12}
\]

where \( S \) is the stiffness matrix in (2.5), and

\[
U^n = (u_h^n(x_1), \cdots, u_h^n(x_{N-1}))^T, \quad f(U^n) = (f(u_h^n(x_1)), \cdots, f(u_h^n(x_{N-1})))^T.
\]

Remark 3.2. Different from the usual finite element discretisation, we adopted the modification as in the recent work by Xu et al. \[38\] in the study of time-discretisation of the standard Allen-Cahn equation.
3.2.1. Maximum principle of the scheme (3.12). To prove the maximum principle, we first show the following important properties of \( S \), drawn from Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 3.1.** For \( s \in (s_0, 1] \) and a given nonzero vector \( v = (v_1, \cdots, v_{N-1})^T \), the stiffness matrix \( S \) in Theorem 2.2 has the following properties:

(i) Suppose that \( v \) has at least one negative component, and let \( v_p \) be the component with the biggest absolute value among the negative components. Then we have \( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{pj} v_j < 0 \).

(ii) Suppose that \( v \) has at least one positive component, and let \( v_q \) be the component with the largest value. Then we have \( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{qj} v_j > 0 \).

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.2, we know that for \( s \in (s_0, 1) \), the matrix \( S \) is diagonally dominant such that for \( 1 \leq i \leq N - 1 \),

\[
S_{ii} > 0; \quad S_{ij} < 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j; \quad \text{and } \quad S_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} S_{ij} > 0.
\]

We first prove Statement (i). Since \( v_p < 0 \), it is equivalent to proving that \( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{pj} v_j \) and \( v_p \) have the same sign. It is evident that

\[
v_p \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_{pj} v_j \right) = v_p \left( S_{pp} v_p + \sum_{j \neq p} S_{pj} v_j \right) = S_{pp} v_p^2 + \sum_{j \neq p} S_{pj} v_p v_j.
\]

Let \( J = \{ j : v_j < 0, 1 \leq j \leq N - 1 \} \). Since \( S_{ii} > 0 \), \( S_{ij} < 0 \) for \( i \neq j \), we find

\[
v_p \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{pj} v_j \right) \geq S_{pp} v_p^2 + \sum_{j \in J, j \neq p} S_{pj} v_p v_j = S_{pp} v_p^2 + \sum_{j \in J, j \neq p} S_{pj} |v_j| |v_p| = \left( S_{pp} + \sum_{j \in J, j \neq p} S_{pj} \right) |v_p|^2 > 0,
\]

where in the last step, we used the fact: \( |v_p| = \max\{|v_j|, j \in J\} \).

The second statement can be proved in the same fashion. It is evident that the above properties hold for \( s = 1 \).

We are now in a position to show that the scheme (3.12) preserves the maximum principle.

**Theorem 3.2.** For \( s \in (s_0, 1) \), if the initial value satisfies \( 0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1 \), then the full-discrete scheme (3.12) preserves the maximum principle in the sense that \( 0 \leq U^n_j \leq 1 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq N - 1 \), if the time stepping size \( 0 < \tau \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** We rewrite the scheme (3.12) as

\[
U^{n+1} + \frac{\tau^2}{h} S U^{n+1} = U^n - \tau f(U^n).
\]

We carry out the proof by mathematical induction. It’s obvious that \( 0 \leq U^n_0 \leq 1 \). Assuming that \( 0 \leq U^n_j \leq 1 \), we next show that \( 0 \leq U^{n+1}_j \leq 1 \). Observe that the component of \( U^n - \tau f(U^n) \) is associated with

\[
g(x) = x - \tau f(x).
\]

One verifies that if \( 0 < \tau \leq 2 \), then for \( x \in [0, 1] \),

\[
g'(x) = -3\tau \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + 1 + \tau \geq 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \geq 0.
\]

Thus

\[
\min_{x\in[0,1]} g_1(x) = g_1(0) = 0, \quad \max_{x\in[0,1]} g_1(x) = g_1(1) = 1.
\]
Since $0 \leq U_j^n \leq 1$, we have

$$0 \leq U_j^n - \tau f(U_j^n) \leq 1, \quad \text{if } 0 < \tau \leq 2.$$  (3.14)

We proceed with the proof by contradiction. If there exists a negative component in $U_j^{n+1}$, we choose the one with the biggest absolute value, say $U_j^{n+1}$, then by Lemma 3.1, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{pj} U_j^{n+1} < 0$, so

$$U_j^{n+1} + \frac{\tau \epsilon}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{pj} U_j^{n+1} < 0,$$

which contradicts to the $p$th equation of the system (3.13), in view of (3.14). Thus all components $U_j^{n+1} \geq 0$.

On the other hand, if there exists a component in $U_j^{n+1}$ that is bigger than 1, we choose the one with the biggest value, say $U_j^{n+1}$, then by Lemma 3.1, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{qj} U_j^{n+1} > 0$, which implies

$$U_j^{n+1} + \frac{\tau \epsilon}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{qj} U_j^{n+1} > 1.$$

Once again, by virtue of (3.14), we find it contradicts to the $q$th equation of the system (3.13). Thus we have $U_j^{n+1} \leq 1$. This completes the proof. \[\Box\]

### 3.2.2. Energy dissipation of the scheme (3.12).

Corresponding to (3.9), we define the discrete energy as

$$E_h(U) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} U^T S U + h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} F(U_j),$$  (3.15)

where $S$ is defined in Theorem 2.1 and $U$ is a column vector of length $N - 1$. Then we have the following energy dissipation property.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $s \in (s_0, 1]$. If the initial value satisfies $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$, then the numerical solutions of the scheme (3.12) satisfies the discrete energy dissipation law:

$$E_h(U^{n+1}) \leq E_h(U^n),$$  (3.16)

if $0 < \tau \leq 2$.

The proof is very similar to the proof of [32, Theorem 2.2], so we omit it.

**Remark 3.3.** It is proved above that when $\tau \in (0, 2]$, the maximum principle and energy dissipation are maintained in (3.12). In order to make it unconditionally stable, we can add an extra perturbation term which is compatible with the truncation error, see [32] for more details. \[\Box\]

### 3.3. Modified FEM and Crank-Nicolson scheme.

Now we present the modified FEM and Crank-Nicolson scheme:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} (I_h[(u_j^{n+1} - u_h^n)v_h], 1) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{(-\Delta)^s u_j^{n+1} + (-\Delta)^s u_j^n}{2}, v_h\right) + \left( I_h\left(\frac{f(u_j^{n+1}) + f(u_h^n)}{2}\right), 1 \right) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

with the matrix form

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2h} S(U^{n+1} + U^n) + \frac{1}{2} (f(U^{n+1}) + f(U^n)) = 0.$$  (3.18)
Thus, we have

\[ U \]

where

\[ g \]

on time step to \( \tau \) provided that the time stepsize satisfies

\[ (3.22) \]

Consequently, \( 0 \) preserves the maximum principle in the sense that Theorem 3.4. If the initial value satisfies

\[ \text{Proof.} \]

Rewrite \( (3.18) \) as

\[ \left( I + \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} S \right) U^{n+1} + \frac{U^{n+1} + \tau f(U^{n+1})}{2} = \left( I - \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} S \right) U^n + \frac{U^n - \tau f(U^n)}{2}. \]

Denote its right hand side by

\[ \text{RHS} := \left( I - \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} S \right) U^n + \frac{U^n - \tau f(U^n)}{2}. \]

Let \( H = I - \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} S \). Then for \( s \in (s_0, 1] \), the matrix \( H \) satisfies

\[ \begin{align*}
(i) \quad h_{ii} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} \varphi(s); \\
(ii) \quad h_{ij} |_{j \neq i} &\geq 0 \text{ and } \max_i \sum_j h_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2},
\end{align*} \]

where

\[ \varphi(s) = \begin{cases}
\cos(s \pi) \Gamma(4 - 2s) &\text{if } s \in (s_0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\
\frac{\pi}{2^{3 - 2s} - 4} &\text{if } s = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{16 \ln 2}{\Gamma(4 - 2s)} &\text{if } s = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{cases} \]

It is evident that if \( \tau \leq \frac{h_{2s}^+}{\epsilon} \varphi(s) \), then \( h_{ii} \geq 0 \), so the elements of \( H \) are nonnegative, in view of \( (3.22) \). Consequently,

\[ \| H \|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |h_{ij}| = \max_i \sum_j h_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \]

One verifies readily that for \( s \in (s_0, 1] \), \( \varphi(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \). Thus, we can simplify the constraint imposed on time step to \( \tau \leq \frac{h_{2s}^+}{\epsilon} \).

For the last term in \( (3.24) \), we know from the proof of Theorem \( 3.2 \) that

\[ 0 \leq U^n - \tau f(U^n) \leq 1, \quad \text{for } U^n \in [0, 1], \quad \text{if } \tau < 2. \]

Thus, if \( U^n \in [0, 1] \) and \( 0 < \tau < \min \{ \frac{h_{2s}^+}{\epsilon}, 2 \} \), we obtain from \( (3.23) \) \( (3.24) \) that

\[ 0 \leq \text{RHS}_i = \sum_j h_{ij} U^n_j + \frac{U^n_i - \tau f(U^n_i)}{2} \leq \sum_j h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1. \]

Next, denote the left hand side of \( (3.20) \) as

\[ \text{LHS} := \left( I + \frac{\tau \epsilon^2}{2h} S \right) U^{n+1} + \frac{U^{n+1} + \tau f(U^{n+1})}{2}. \]

We first consider the last term in \( (3.25) \). Observe that each element of \( U^{n+1} + \tau f(U^{n+1}) \) is of the form

\[ g_2(x) = x + \tau f(x). \]

It can be verified that if \( \tau \leq 2 \),

\[ g_2(x) = 3\tau (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + 1 - \frac{\tau}{4} \geq 1 - \frac{\tau}{4} \geq 0, \quad \text{for } \tau < 2. \]

Since \( g_2(0) = 0 \), we have

\[ g_2(x) > 0, \text{ when } x > 0; \quad g_2(x) < 0, \text{ when } x < 0. \]

Thus, we have \( U^{n+1} \) and \( U^{n+1} + \tau f(U^{n+1}) \) are positive or negative simultaneously.
Then we can prove $0 \leq U_{n+1} \leq 1$ by contradiction using Lemma 3.1 as in Theorem 3.2, we omit it here.

3.3.2. Energy dissipation of the scheme (3.17).

**Theorem 3.5.** If the initial value satisfies $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1$, then for $s \in (s_0, 1]$, the numerical solutions of the scheme (3.12) satisfies the discrete energy dissipation law:

$$E_h(u^{n+1}) \leq E_h(U^n),$$

under the time step constraint in (3.19).

**Proof.** The proof is quite similar to that of [19, Theorem 2], so we omit it. □

4. Numerical results

In the section, we provide ample numerical results for the model (1.5) by using the proposed schemes with the focus on the illustration of the preservation of maximum principle and energy dissipation. We also explore the dynamics of the fractional model and show its transition the usual Allen-Cahn model, e.g., the width of the interface in terms of $s$.

4.1. Accuracy test. We start with testing the accuracy of the FEM scheme with the stiffness matrix $S$ given in Theorem 2.1 for solving the fractional Poisson equation:

$$(−\Delta)^s u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega := (−1, 1); \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bar{\Omega},$$

for $s \in (0, 3/2)$, which admits the exact solution: $u(x) = (1 - x^2)^{n+s}$ in $\Omega$ and $u(x) = 0$ on $\Omega^c$ for integer $n \geq 1$, if (cf. [12])

$$f(x) = \frac{2^{2s} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + 1 + s)}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})} P_n^{(−s,s)}(1 - 2x^2), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $P_n^{(α,β)}(x)$ denote the $n$-th Jacobi polynomials (cf. [26]).

In Tables 4.1, we tabulate the maximum errors and the corresponding convergence rates (c.r.) obtained by the proposed method with various $s \in (0, 3/2)$, for which we take $n = 1$ and $n = 3$. We observe that the numerical errors decay as $h$ decreases for any fixed $s$. As we all know, the convergence rate under $L^\infty$-norm of piecewise linear approximation for the singular function $(1 - x^2)^{n+s}$ is $O(h^\min(2,n+s))$ in finite element analysis (see, e.g., [4]). In particular, they indicate that the convergence rates of our method is $O(h^{1+s})$ when $n = 1$ (see the left side of Table 4.1) and is $O(h^2)$ when $n = 3$ (see right side of Table 4.1) for any $s \in (0, 1)$, which confirm the theoretical expectations.

| $(s, h)$ | $n = 1$ | $n = 3$ |
|---|---|---|
| | $2^{-5}$ | $2^{-6}$ | $2^{-7}$ | $2^{-8}$ | $2^{-9}$ | $2^{-5}$ | $2^{-6}$ | $2^{-7}$ | $2^{-8}$ | $2^{-9}$ |
| $0.3$ | 0.904e-4 | 4.27e-4 | 1.86e-4 | 7.80e-5 | 3.22e-5 | 1.07e-5 | 2.69e-4 | 6.71e-5 | 1.68e-5 | 4.22e-6 |
| c.r. | – | 1.08 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.27 | – | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.99 |
| $0.5$ | 8.26e-4 | 2.76e-4 | 1.05e-4 | 3.82e-5 | 1.37e-5 | 1.13e-5 | 2.84e-4 | 7.11e-5 | 1.78e-5 | 4.45e-6 |
| c.r. | – | 1.58 | 1.40 | 1.45 | 1.48 | – | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| $0.95$ | 1.14e-3 | 2.93e-4 | 7.47e-5 | 1.90e-5 | 4.88e-6 | 8.86e-4 | 2.28e-4 | 5.86e-5 | 1.50e-5 | 3.87e-6 |
| c.r. | – | 1.97 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 1.96 | – | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 |
| $1$ | 9.77e-4 | 2.44e-4 | 6.10e-5 | 1.53e-5 | 3.81e-6 | 6.50e-4 | 1.63e-4 | 4.07e-5 | 1.02e-5 | 2.54e-6 |
| c.r. | – | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | – | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| $1.2$ | 3.33e-3 | 1.27e-3 | 4.59e-4 | 1.61e-4 | 5.58e-5 | 3.80e-3 | 1.36e-3 | 4.78e-4 | 1.64e-4 | 5.60e-5 |
| c.r. | – | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.51 | 1.53 | – | 1.48 | 1.51 | 1.54 | 1.55 |
Next, we test the convergence rate of two full discrete schemes for (1.5), i.e., semi-implicit and Crank Nicolson scheme established in the last section. For this purpose, we consider (1.5) with an exact solution by adding an extra right hand side $g(x, t)$, that is,

$$u_t(x, t) + \epsilon^2(-\Delta)^s u(x, t) + f(u(x, t)) = g(x, t), \quad x \in [-L, L], \quad t \in (0, T),$$

where the boundary and initial condition is given in (1.5). We take $u(x, t) = e^{-t - \lambda^2 x^2}$, then the decay rate of $u(x, t)$ can be easily controlled by choosing different $\lambda$. According to [29, Prop. 4.2], we find that the right side function can be expressed as

$$g(x, t) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\lambda)^{2s} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) e^{-t} \frac{1}{2} F_1(s + \frac{1}{2}; -\lambda^2 x^2) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t - \lambda^2 x^2} - \frac{3}{2} e^{-2t - 2\lambda^2 x^2} + e^{-3t - 3\lambda^2 x^2},$$

where the confluent hypergeometric function (cf. [24])

$$1 F_1(a; b; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n x^n}{(b)_n n!}, \quad (4.3)$$

with $(\cdot)_n$ denote the rising factorial in the Pochhammer symbol.

We take $\epsilon = 0.1$, $L = 1$, $T = 1.6$, $\lambda = 10$, and $s = 0.8$. On the left side of Table 4.2, we present the spatial error for both semi-implicit and Crank Nicolson schemes, for which we fix time step $\tau = 10^{-5}$ so that the temporal error is negligible. To test the temporal accuracy, we choose the mesh size $h = 2^{-11}$ to make sure the temporal error dominates the error, and list temporal errors for both semi-implicit and Crank Nicolson schemes on the right side of Table 4.2. We observe that spatial error is $O(h^2)$, while the temporal errors are $O(\tau)$ and $O(\tau^2)$ for semi-implicit and Crank Nicolson scheme, respectively.

| Table 4.2. Errors and convergence rates with respect to $(h, \tau)$. |
|---|
| $h$ | semi-implicit | CN | semi-implicit | CN |
| $\tau$ | Errors | c.r. | Errors | c.r. | Errors | c.r. | Errors | c.r. |
| $2^{-5}$ | 9.38e-3 | – | 9.37e-3 | – | 1/5 | 6.87e-2 | – | 1.92e-3 | – |
| $2^{-6}$ | 2.37e-3 | 1.98 | 2.37e-3 | 1.98 | 1/10 | 3.47e-2 | 0.98 | 4.77e-4 | 2.00 |
| $2^{-7}$ | 6.11e-4 | 1.96 | 6.08e-4 | 1.96 | 1/20 | 1.74e-2 | 0.99 | 1.17e-4 | 2.02 |
| $2^{-8}$ | 1.59e-4 | 1.94 | 1.56e-4 | 1.97 | 1/40 | 8.72e-3 | 1.00 | 2.74e-5 | 2.10 |
| $2^{-9}$ | 4.31e-5 | 1.88 | 3.96e-5 | 1.97 | 1/80 | 4.37e-3 | 1.00 | 5.29e-6 | 2.38 |

4.2. Fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation. In this subsection, we focus on the simulation of phase evolution behavior and interfacial behavior for fractional Allen-Cahn equations (1.5). In what follows, we restrict our attention to the semi-implicit scheme (3.11), as the results obtained by the Crank Nicolson scheme (3.17) are very similar. Both schemes satisfy the maximum principle, and the only difference is the convergence rate, i.e., first order and second order in time.

4.2.1. Phase separation. We take $\Omega = (-2, 2)$, $\epsilon = 0.01$, $\tau = 0.01$, $h = 2^{-10}$ and the initial data $u_0(x) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-x^2}$. In Figure 4.1, we present the snapshots of the solutions at $t = 0.4, 8, 12$ with $s = 0.3$ and $s = 0.7$. As with classical Allen-Cahn equations (i.e., $s = 1$), the phase separation phenomenon is observed, where the solutions gradually correspond to the minimizer of the total energy as time goes on.
4.2.2. Maximum principle and energy dissipation. We take the initial condition $u_0(x) = e^{-x^2}$ in $\Omega = (-10, 10)$. The other parameters are chosen as $\epsilon = 0.01$, $T = 100$, $\tau = 10^{-2}$, and degree of freedom $N = 2^{12}$. In Figure 4.2, we present the evolution of maximum value and energy at various times with $s \leq 0.5$ and $s > 0.5$, which shows that the maximum principle is preserved and the energy dissipation law is also justified numerically. We observe from Figure 4.2 that both the maximum value and the corresponding energy of the steady state are increased as $s$ increases.

4.2.3. Asymptotic behavior and interfacial layer. In order to further study the asymptotic and interfacial behavior of the solution, we still use the same initial condition and parameters as in section 4.2.2. The numerical results for the asymptotic behavior when $|x|$ is relatively large at time $T = 100$ are presented in Figure 4.3. We observe from Figure 4.3 that the decay property of $u(x, T)$ is slightly different from the integer case (i.e., $s = 1$), where $u(x, T)$ decay exponentially as $|x| \to \infty$ when $s = 1$. Instead, the solution $u(x, T)$ with $s \in (0, 1)$ decay algebraically and behaves like $u(x, T) \sim |x|^{-(2s+1)}$ when $|x|$ is relatively large. We also plot the interfacial layer in Figure 4.4. We find that the transition of $u(x)$ from 1 to 0 will become smoother as $s$ increases, and will become steeper as $s$ decreases. It is worthwhile to point out that the fractional PDEs with small $s$ should be a powerful tool to simulate the problem with a very steep interface.

4.2.4. Interfacial width. It is well-known that the parameter $\epsilon$ represents the interfacial width of the classical Allen-Cahn equation, i.e., $s = 1$, while for fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation the interfacial width decreases as $s$ decreases (cf. [6, 30, 28]). However, those existing work enjoys fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives or spectral fractional Laplacian operator instead of the integral fractional Laplacian. As we mentioned before, different definitions of fractional Laplacian operators are very different from each other. In this example, we take the initial condition to be $u_0(x) = 1$ if $x \in (-2, 2)$ and $u_0(x) = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus (-2, 2)$, where $\Omega = (-10, 10)$. The other parameters are $T = 100$, $\tau = 10^{-2}$, and degree of freedom $N = 2^{12}$. We plot interfacial layer with various $\epsilon$ in Figure 4.5 (a), for which we take $s = 0.8$. We observe that the interfacial layer is smoother for larger $\epsilon$. We then present in Figure 4.5 (b) the interfacial width with various $\epsilon$ and $s$. Here, the interfacial width is calculated by the distance of two points where $u(x, T)$ first exceeds $0.01$ and $0.99$. We observe from Figure 4.5 (b) that interfacial width increases as $s$ increases, and behaves like $O(\epsilon^{1/s})$. 

**Figure 4.1.** Profiles of solution to the fractional Allen-Cahn equation with the initial condition $u_0(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} e^{-x^2}$. Snapshots of $u(x)$ are taken at $t = 0, 4, 8, 12$. 

- (a) $s = 0.3$
- (b) $s = 0.7$
Figure 4.2. Evolution of maximum value and energy with the initial condition $u_0(x) = e^{-x^2}$.

Figure 4.3. (a) asymptotic behavior with $s \leq 0.5$; (b) asymptotic behavior with $s > 0.5$. 
5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we derive the explicit form of the stiffness matrix associate with the integral fractional Laplacian in the frequency space, which is extendable to multi-dimensional rectangular elements. Then we give a complete answer to the question on when the stiffness matrix can be strictly diagonally dominant. As an application, we consider the fractional-in-space Allen-Cahn equation and show that it satisfies the maximum principle and energy dissipation law at the continuous level. Then, we proposed two full-discrete schemes using the semi-implicit and Crank-Nicolson scheme in time and modified FEM in space, which can preserve these two properties. Our numerical experiments demonstrate that our algorithms are efficient and accurate. We also observe some interesting phenomena related to the transition of the model when the fractional order $s \in (s_0, 1)$ varies to $s = 1$. For example, the width of the interfacial layer behaves like $O(\epsilon^{1/s})$, and the decay of the solution in space obeys certain power law as reported earlier.
APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Using (2.3b) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain from direct calculation and a change of variable that

\[ S_{kj} = \frac{2}{\pi h^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi|^{2s} \cos((k-j)h\xi) \left( \frac{1 - \cos(h\xi)}{\xi^2} \right)^2 \, d\xi \]

\[ = \frac{4}{\pi h^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{2s} \cos((k-j)h\xi) \left( \frac{1 - \cos(h\xi)}{\xi^2} \right)^2 \, d\xi \]

\[ = \frac{4}{\pi h^{2s-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-4} \cos(|k-j|y)(1 - \cos y) \, dy, \tag{A.1} \]

which implies the entry \( S_{kj} \) only depends on \( p = |k-j| \), so the matrix \( S \) is a symmetric Toeplitz matrix. We intend to explicitly evaluate the integral (A.1). From the fundamental trigonometric identities, we find readily that

\[ f(y; p) := \cos(py)(1 - \cos y)^2 = \cos(py) \left( \frac{3}{2} - 2 \cos y + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2y) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i \cos(|p+i|y). \tag{A.2} \]

We continue the calculation by using integration by parts. The number of times that we can integrate by parts depends on the range of \( s, t \), so we proceed with three cases with \( s \in \{1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 1\} \) and \( (0, \frac{3}{2}) \), separately. Then we derive the formulas for \( s = \frac{1}{2}, 1 \) by taking limits.

**Case (i) \( s \in (1, \frac{3}{2}) \):** Recall the integral identity (cf. [17, P. 440]):

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mu-1} \sin(ax) \, dx = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{a^\mu} \sin \left( \frac{\mu\pi}{2} \right), \quad a > 0, \quad \mu \in (0, 1). \tag{A.3} \]

We derive from (A.1) and integration by parts immediately that

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-4} f(y; p) \, dy = -\frac{1}{2s-3} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-3} f'(y; p) \, dy. \tag{A.4} \]

By (A.2), we obtain from (A.3) with \( \mu = 2s-2 \in (0, 1) \) that

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-3} f'(y; p) \, dy = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-3} \left\{ |p-2| \sin(|p-2|y) - 4|p-1| \sin(|p-1|y) \right. \\
\left. + 6p \sin(py) - 4(p+1) \sin((p+1)y) + (p+2) \sin((p+2)y) \right\} \, dy \]

\[ = -\frac{\Gamma(2s-2)}{4} \sin \left( (s-1)\pi \right) \left\{ |p-2|^{3-2s} - 4|p-1|^{3-2s} + 6p^{3-2s} - 4(p+1)^{3-2s} + (p+2)^{3-2s} \right\}. \tag{A.5} \]

Thus, we infer from (A.1)-(A.2) and (A.4)-(A.5) that

\[ S_{kj} = \frac{1}{\pi h^{2s-1}} \frac{1}{2s-3} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2s-3} f'(y; p) \, dy = \frac{-\sin \left( s\pi \right) \Gamma(2s-3)}{\pi h^{2s-1}} t_p. \]

Then we have the entries of \( S \) with \( s \in (1, \frac{3}{2}) \) by using the reflection property (cf. [24, P. 138]):

\[ \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}, \quad z \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \tag{A.6} \]

**Case (ii) \( s \in (\frac{3}{2}, 1) \):** In this case, we can integrate (A.4) by parts one more time, and then use the identity (cf. [17, P. 441]):

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mu-1} \cos(ax) \, dx = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{a^\mu} \cos \left( \frac{\mu\pi}{2} \right), \quad a > 0, \quad \mu \in (0, 1). \tag{A.7} \]
More precisely, by (A.4) and (A.7) with \( \mu = 2s - 1 \in (0, 1) \),
\[
\int_0^\infty y^{2s-4} f(y; p) dy = \frac{1}{(2s-3)(2s-2)} \int_0^\infty y^{2s-2} f''(y; p) dy
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{4(2s-3)(2s-2)} \int_0^\infty y^{2s-2} \{ |p - 2|^2 \cos(|p - 2|y) - 4|p - 1|^2 \cos(|p - 1|y) + 6p^2 \cos(py) - 4(p + 1)^2 \cos((p + 1)y) + (p + 2)^2 \cos((p + 2)y) \} dy
\]
\[
= -\frac{\Gamma(2s-3)}{4} \cos\left(\frac{(2s-2)\pi}{2}\right) t_p.
\]  
Hence, by (A.1) and (A.6),
\[
S_{kj} = \frac{4}{\pi h^{2s-1}} \int_0^\infty y^{2s-4} f(y; p) dy = -\frac{\sin(s\pi)}{\pi h^{2s-1}} t_p = \frac{\sec(s\pi)}{2h^{1-2s} \Gamma(4-2s)} t_p,
\]  
which yields the desired formula with \( s \in \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right) \).

**Case (iii) \( s \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \):** Similarly, we integrate the first equality of (A.8) by parts one more time and obtain
\[
\int_0^\infty y^{2s-4} f(y; p) dy = -\frac{1}{(2s-3)(2s-2)(2s-1)} \int_0^\infty y^{2s-1} f'''(y; p) dy,
\]  
which involves sines, so we use (A.3) with \( \mu = 2s \in (0, 1) \) to evaluate the integrals. Then following the same lines as the previous case, we can derive the entries with \( s \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \) in a similar fashion.

**Case (iv) \( s = \frac{1}{2} \):** Observe from (2.33) that \( S_{kj} \) continuously depends on the parameter \( s \). We can compute the entries by taking the limit
\[
S_{kj} = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \frac{t_p}{\cos(s\pi)},
\]  
and resort to the basic limit
\[
\ln z = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{z^\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}, \quad z > 0,
\]  
which is a direct consequence of the L'Hospital's rule. Note that
\[
\sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i(p+i)^l = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2,
\]  
so we can rewrite the entries in (2.6) for \( p = |k-j| \geq 3 \) as
\[
S_{kj} = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1 - 2s}{\cos(s\pi)} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|p+i|^{3-2s} - (p+i)^2}{1 - 2s}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i(p+i)^2 \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|p+i|^{1-2s} - 1}{1 - 2s} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=-2}^{2} c_i(p+i)^2 \ln |p+i|.
\]  
Note that for \( p = 0, 1, 2 \) and \( p+i = 0 \), the formula still holds with the understanding of \( (p+i)^2 \ln |p+i| = 0 \). This leads to (2.7).

**(v). Case \( s = 1 \):** In this case, we directly take the limit upon (2.6), and find readily that
\[
S_{kj} = -\frac{t_p}{2h s=1} = \frac{1}{h} \begin{cases} 
2, & j = k, \\
-1, & j = k \pm 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]  
This yields the stiffness matrix of the usual 1D Laplacian as expected.
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