INTRODUCTION

Approximately 20 to 75% of all cases in small animal practice refers to dermatopathies (SCOTT et al., 2002). Skin alterations and diseases cause extreme discomfort, and in some cases, they can be potentially zoonotic. The diagnosis can be costly and time-consuming. The long-term management can be frustrating for both clinicians and tutors, given the patient’s suffering, the removal of the tutor due to the concern of possible contagion, and the anguish of not having spontaneous improvement over the days (LARSSON; LUCAS, 2016).

Prevalence studies are essential on defining the main diseases that affect animals in a specific region. Published data on the prevalence of skin alterations and diseases in southern Brazil are scarce. An extensive study regarding dermatopathies was carried out in the city of Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul State (SOUZA et al., 2009). However, despite the geographical proximity, Santa Catarina’s Highland presents an entirely different climate, with an average temperature of 7°C during the winter, which can last up to 8 months a year.

The aim of the present retrospective study was to investigate the prevalence of patients with dermatological complaints and
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skin diseases attended at the Veterinary Clinic of the Federal University of Santa Catarina, Curitibanos campus, Brazil.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was conducted following the standards of animal use and experimentation and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (CEUA) from the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), under protocol number 8678120918.

Medical records of cats and dogs with skin alterations and diseases attended at the Veterinary Clinic of the UFSC, Curitibanos campus, Brazil, were analyzed from August 2015 to July 2019. Data on breed and age (pups ≤ 1 year; adults > 1 year and ≤ 8 years; elderly > 8 years) and diagnose were retrieved from the patients’ records.

**RESULTS**

From a total of 1,271 patients, 322 dogs and cats presented dermatological lesions, corresponding to 25.3% of dermatological cases. Two hundred and seventy-six patients (85.7%) were dogs, and forty-six (14.3%) were cats. In dogs, most of the patients were adults (57.3%), followed by pups (21.7%) and elderly (21%). Similarly, feline patients showed a higher prevalence among adults (57.3%), followed by kittens (32.6%) and elderly (8.7%). For both species, mixed breed patients were more likely to develop dermatopathies (63.4% of dogs and 95.7% of cats) compared to pure breeds (Table 1).

Among 276 dogs and 46 cats, 200 (72.5%) dogs and 33 (71.7%) cats had 234 and 25 diagnosed diseases, respectively (Table 2). Therefore, canine and feline patients presented, concomitantly, more than one type of dermatopathy. As for the remaining patients, the diagnoses were inconclusive once tutors prohibited the collection of biological material or neglected the follow-up period usually recommended in most of the cases.

Otitis from variable causes occurred in 42/234 (18%) of the dermatopathies diagnosed in dogs and 11/25 (44%) in cats (Figure 1). Considering the otopathies, the leading cause for otitis was *Malassezia*, corresponding to 35/42 (83.3%) and 6/11 (54.5%) of the cases in dogs and cats, respectively. In both species, bacterial external otitis was the second most reported ear disease, with 6/42 (14.3%) cases in dogs and 3/11 (27.3%) cases in cats. For feline patients, parasitic otopathies caused by *Notoedres cati* 1/11 (9%) and *Otodectes cynotis* 1/11 (9%) were also diagnosed.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, dermatological complaints corresponded to 25.3% of the total canine and feline cases referred to clinical care. Similar findings were reported by Scherer (2015), in which skin diseases accounted for 30% of all clinical care for domestic carnivores.

Comparing species, 85.7% of the cases were dogs and 14.3% were cats. In a prevalence study carried out by Hill et al. (2006), skin diseases were also more diagnosed in dogs than in cats, corresponding to 20% and 13%, respectively. According to Hoffmann (2017), felines have a more diverse microbiota compared to canines due to grooming habits and this may be related to the lower occurrence of skin diseases. In addition, Pet Brazil Institute (2019) reported that the Brazilian canine population is over two times greater than the feline population, corresponding to 54.2 million dogs versus 23.9 million cats. In our study, there was six times more canine than feline patients, which initially could be interpreted as dogs’ tutors’ greater attention, regarding health problems.

Most of the cases referred to our unit were feline and canine mixed breed adult patients. However, among pure breeds, Pinscher, Shih Tzu, and Poodle were the most affected by skin diseases. Interestingly, this can be explained by the high prevalence of these breeds in our region, and the fact that usually, small breed animals present an indoor lifestyle, which draws the tutor’s attention to the occurrence of health problems.

In dogs, when diseases were classified by etiological groups, parasitic disorders were the leading causes, followed by fungal and bacterial infections. Notwithstanding, Hill et al. (2006) described that parasitic infestations and bacterial infections accounted for the majority of the diagnoses in dogs.

It is well known that parasitic dermatopathies are primary diseases. In our study, pulicosis presented a common occurrence in our region. This data may demonstrate the lack of parasitic control by the tutors, associated to inadequate hygienic conditions, as reported by Limongi (2013). Climatic conditions could also justify flea infestations due to the subtropical climate. Bellato et al. (2003) showed that flea infestation was the major canine skin disease in the city of Lages, which is in the same microregion of Curitibanos.

---

**Table 1.** Frequency of canine and feline breeds with dermatopathies referred to the Veterinary Clinic of the Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil, from 2015 to 2019.

| Breed          | Total | %  |
|----------------|-------|----|
| Dogs           | 276   | 100|
| Mixed breed    | 175   | 63.4|
| Pinscher       | 13    | 4.7 |
| Shih Tzu       | 12    | 4.3 |
| Poodle         | 8     | 2.9 |
| Lhasa Apso     | 7     | 2.5 |
| Chow Chow      | 6     | 2.2 |
| Labrador       | 6     | 2.2 |
| Yorkshire      | 6     | 2.2 |
| Rottweiller    | 4     | 1.5 |
| Other breeds   | 40    | 14.5|
| Cats           | 46    | 100|
| Mixed breeds   | 44    | 95.7|
| Persian        | 2     | 4.3 |
Usually, fungal and bacterial skin diseases are secondary disorders (HALLIWELL, 2016; LOEFFLER, 2018; SCOTT et al., 1996) and often associated with parasitic and allergic dermatopathies. In this study, these diseases were highly prevalent among dogs, and when addressing skin diseases by diagnosis, the main occurrence was pulicosis (28.3%), followed by pyoderma (16.7%), and dermatophytosis (13.3%), which corroborates to previous works in the literature. According to Cardoso et al. (2011), pulicosis with a cosmopolitan distribution can lead to flea allergy dermatitis, the most frequent allergic skin disease in dogs and cats. However, our data revealed a low prevalence of flea allergy dermatitis in dogs, with 3.4%, suggesting that this condition may be strictly related to the region’s climate (SOUZA et al., 2009).

Dermatophytosis includes several species of fungi, distributed to three genres, *Trichophyton* spp., *Microsporum* spp., and *Epidermophyton* spp. (SEGAL; FRENKEL, 2015), and its prevalence may vary according to different climatic conditions. The city of Curitibanos present subtropical and tropical climates (SÁ, 1993) characterized by high temperatures and humidity (LOPES; DANTAS, 2016), which favors the occurrence of this condition. Similar to the described for canine skin diseases, in cats, fungal infections were the most prevalent, followed by parasitic and bacterial disorders. *Malassezia* otitis was the most prevalent disease, followed by pulicosis and dermatophytosis. As described by Angus (2004) and Carfachia et al. (2005), in our study, auricular malasseziosis was considered the paramount among otitis, corresponding to over 50% of diagnoses for cats and dogs.

The true prevalence of dermatophytosis in cats is unknown once it is not a reportable disease and false-positive results are common due to fomite carriage (MORIELLO, 2016). Therefore, all prevalence studies must be analyzed with scrutiny to ensure well-interpreted test results that should be associated with clinical signs, and other confirmatory testing for definitive diagnoses. In our study, all cats presented with dermatophytosis had clinical signs and were confirmed by cytological and/or mycological analysis.

### Table 2. Distribution of diagnosed dermatopathies in dogs and cats at the Veterinary Clinic of Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil, from 2015 to 2019

| Etiological group       | Diagnosed dermatopathy         | Dogs          | Cats          |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Parasitic               | Pulicosis                       | 66 (28.3%)    | 5 (20.0%)     |
|                         | Demodicosis                     | 12 (5.1%)     |               |
|                         | Myiasis                         | 12 (5.1%)     |               |
|                         | Sarcoptic mange                 | 7 (3.0%)      |               |
|                         | Otoacariasis                    |               | 1 (4.0%)      |
|                         | Notoedrosis                     |               | 1 (4.0%)      |
|                         | Pediculosis                     |               | 1 (4.0%)      |
| Fungal                  | *Malassezia* otitis             | 35 (15.0%)    | 6 (24.0%)     |
|                         | Dermatophytosis                 | 31 (13.3%)    |               |
|                         | Cutaneous candidiasis           | 1 (0.4%)      |               |
| Bacterial               | Pyoderma                        | 39 (16.7%)    | 1 (4.0%)      |
|                         | Bacterial external otitis       | 6 (2.5%)      | 3 (12.0%)     |
| Allergic                | Flea allergy dermatitis         | 8 (3.4%)      |               |
|                         | Atopy                           | 2 (0.8%)      |               |
|                         | Allergic otitis                 | 1 (0.4%)      |               |
| Keratinization disorder | Seborrhea                       | 12 (5.1%)     |               |
|                         | Oily seborrhea                  |               | 2 (8.0%)      |
| Endocrine               | Spontaneous hyperadrenocorticism| 1 (0.4%)      |               |
| Follicular dysplasia    | Color dilution alopecia         | 1 (0.4%)      |               |
| TOTAL                   |                                 | 234 diagnostics (100%) | 25 diagnostics (100%) |
In the present study, only one case of endocrine skin disease was confirmed, representing 0.4% of the total diagnoses in dogs. Souza et al. (2009) reported low casuistry of endocrine skin diseases, corresponding to 2.6% of all the dermatological diagnoses. Thus, this low frequency of endocrine diseases may be attributed to the reduced number of senile dogs attended, since older animals are more susceptible to develop endocrinopathies such as hyperadrenocorticism and hypothyroidism (HALLIWELL, 1987).

As previously described, diagnosis was performed in 72.5% of the dogs and 71.3% of the cats. Similar findings were observed by Souza et al. (2009), in which 81.9% of the animals had a definitive diagnosis, and 18.1% were considered inconclusive. The diagnostic versus suspicion ratio observed in the present study was mainly influenced by structural and financial limitations. In 2015, there was a lack of some of the diagnostic resources in our institution, despite the availability of specific diagnostic tests such as bacteriological, mycological, parasitological skin examination, trichogram, and cytopathological evaluation. In addition, the collection of biological material for histopathological assessment was only implemented in 2017.

These cited limitations certainly compromised the treatment quality, considering that the Veterinary Clinic serves the middle to working poor classes of the population, which implies fewer financial conditions and commitment to general care. Besides structural limitations, it is remarkable that Curitibanos, with under 40 thousand locals, is one of the cities of the state with the lowest gross domestic product per capita (IBGE, 2017). In addition, data were collected from patients’ medical records, so as a retrospective study, it is possible that details may not have been noted and medical records may not have been filled out properly.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This was the first prevalence study of dermatopathies of mountainous region of Santa Catarina. The casuistry of dermatology among other specialties was 25.3% between 2015 and 2019. The diagnosis was performed in 72.5% of the dogs and 71.3% of the cats. Adult and mixed breeds patients were the major group attended. Among the main diseases, parasitic, fungal, and bacterial were the most prevalent for dogs and cats. Among the main diseases, parasitic, fungal and bacterial were the most prevalent for dogs and cats. As for the main diseases, in dogs the most observed ones were pulicosis, followed by pyoderma and dermatophytosis. For felines, fungal diseases were the most prevalent, followed by parasitic and bacterial diseases. *Malassezia* otitis was the most prevalent, followed by pulicosis and dermatophytosis.
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