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Abstract We present the latest results from a multi-epoch timing and spectral study of the Transient Anomalous X-ray Pulsar XTE J1810–197. We have acquired seven observations of this pulsar with the Newton X-ray Multi-mirror Mission (XMM-Newton) over the course of two and a half years, to follow the spectral evolution as the source fades from outburst. The spectrum is arguably best characterized by a two-temperature blackbody whose luminosities are decreasing exponentially with $\tau_1 = 870$ d and $\tau_2 = 280$ d, respectively. The temperatures of these components are currently cooling at a rate of 22% per year from a nearly constant value recorded at earlier epochs of $kT_1 = 0.25$ keV and $kT_2 = 0.67$ keV, respectively. The new data show that the temperature $T_1$ and luminosity of that component have nearly returned to their historic quiescent levels and that its pulsed fraction, which has steadily decreased with time, is now consistent with the previous lack of detected pulsations in quiescence. We also summarize the detections of radio emission from XTE J1810–197, the first confirmed for any AXP. We consider possible models for the emission geometry and mechanisms of XTE J1810–197.
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1 Introduction

Neutron star (NS) astronomy has been recently invigorated by the identification of a new class of magnetically dominated emitters. Known as anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) and soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs), these objects are apparently young, isolated neutron stars (NSs), whose properties differ markedly from those of the Crab pulsar, previously considered prototypical of the young NSs (for a review see Mereghetti et al. 2002). All AXPs and at least 3 of the 4 SGRs are identified as relatively slow ($5 - 12$ s) pulsars. Many are located at the centers of recognized, young supernova remnants (SNRs), directly associating them with their supernova explosions. These objects emit predominantly at X-ray energies and are distinguished by their characteristic spectral signature. This radiation cannot be accounted for by rotational energy losses alone, as for the radio pulsars, but is most likely powered by the decay of an enormous magnetic field characterized by a dipole with $B_p > 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G at the pole. Collectively, these isolated NSs are understood within the context of the magnetar theory (Duncan & Thompson 1992; Thompson & Duncan 1996).

A unique pulsar has been discovered whose remarkable properties offer great promise for deciphering the emission mechanism(s) of magnetars. XTE J1810–197 is a 5.54 s X-ray pulsar whose measured and derived physical parameters are fully characteristic of an AXP, but expresses behavior not previously associated with any such object (Gotthelf et al. 2004, hereafter Paper I). XTE J1810–197 is a transient AXP (TAXP) – it was discovered during a bright impulsive outburst (Ibrahim et al. 2004) that is still fading steadily. Even more surprising is the discovery of highly variable radio emission, providing the first confirmed example of radio pulsation from an AXP (Halpern et al. 2005), and the subsequent detection of radio pulsations at the X-ray period (Camilo et al. 2006).

The outburst that resulted in the detection of the transient AXP occurred sometime between 2002 November and 2003 January (Ibrahim et al. 2004). Since then,
over the course of a year, regular scans of the region with RXTE recorded an exponential flux decay with a
time-constant of 269 ± 25 days from a maximum of 
$F(2 - 10 \text{ keV}) \approx 8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In comparison,
the previous average quiescent flux, with its softer spec-
trum, gives $F(0.5 - 10 \text{ keV}) \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Paper I). This contrast is unprecedented for an AXP.
Further RXTE observations yielded SGR-like episodes of bursts (Woods et al. 2005), similar to those seen from
1E 2259+586 (Kaspi et al. 2003). A search for an optical/IR counterpart detected a fading IR source within
the Chandra error circle, similar to ones associated with other AXPs, confirming its identification with XTE J1810−197
(Rea et al. 2004, 2005).

In this paper we present the latest results from TAXP
XTE J1810−197 including new XMM-Newton observa-
tions extending to 2006 March. These observations allow
us to characterize the spectral evolution of a TAXP in
outburst as it returns to quiescence. We show that the
spectrum has now begun a marked transition back to
the nominal quiescent state, with a distinct temperature
and flux evolution. This long-term evolution provides
the unique possibility of decomposing its fading spectral
components in a manner unavailable for other magnetars
(Halpern & Gotthelf 2005, hereafter Paper II). In the fol-
lowing, we used a revised distant to the NS, $d_{3.3}$,
quoted in units of 3.3 kpc and based on the radio pulsar
DM measurement of Camilo et al. (2006).

2 Long-term spectral and temporal evolution

Herein we present a total of seven XMM-Newton observa-
tions of XTE J1810−197 of which four have been pre-
viously described in Papers I, II, and Gotthelf & Halpern
(2005, hereafter Paper III). The three new data sets were
obtained using similar observing modes as for previous
observations and reduced and analyzed in an identical
manner. A log of these observations is recorded in Ta-
ble 1. A full report on the reduction and analysis of these
data sets will be presented in Gotthelf et al. (in prep.).

Figure 1 presents an up-to-date light curve of TAXP
XTE J1810−197 derived by adding the XMM-Newton
flux measurements to the RXTE results of Ibrahim et al.
(2004). For comparison, the XMM-Newton fluxes were
extracted assuming a simple power-law spectral model
fitted in the 2 − 10 keV energy band. The combined data
points are well fitted by an exponential decay model with
overall time-constant of 233
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extracted assuming a simple power-law spectral model
(2004). For comparison, the XMM-Newton fluxes were
flux measurements to the RXTE results of Ibrahim et al.
(2004), renormalized to match the XMM-Newton results. The XMM-
Newton data points are for the observations of Table 1, but
fitted with a power-law model in the 2 − 10 keV energy band,
for direct comparison with the RXTE results. The solid line
is a best combined fit to an exponential decay model (see text
for parameters).

$F(2−10 \text{ keV}) = (11−8) \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, over
the interval during which the target was inaccessible to
RXTE. Clearly the flux in this band has all but returned
to its pre-outburst value.

Spectra of AXPs are nominally modeled assuming a
two-component power-law plus blackbody model. The spectral and temporal trends for XTE J1810−197 al-
low us to strongly reject this model (see Paper II for a
comprehensive discussion). Instead, we find that a two-
temperature blackbody model gives equally acceptable
spectral fits but is better motivated physically (see §5).
A summary of spectral results is presented in Table 1 and
the spectra, fitted with the double blackbody model,
are displayed in Figure 2 (the 2003 Oct observation is
excluded here for clarity). Although the hot blackbody
component (BB2; Fig. 3) initially dominated the emis-
sion at low energies, it fades more rapidly than the cooler
“warm” emission component (BB1; Fig. 3). Evidently the
spectral fits after 2004 March deviate significantly
at the lower end of the XMM-Newton energy band, be-
low 0.7 keV. It is not yet clear if this is an instrumental
artifact, however, taken at face value there seems to be an
absorption feature at ≈ 350 eV with a Gaussian width of
$\sigma \approx 160$ eV. The mean equivalent width of this feature
is 600 eV, but its strength is somewhat time dependent.
Perhaps as the hotter component contributes less, this
feature becomes more evident, suggesting an association
exclusively with the cooler component. Further research
is needed to quantify this feature and consider its reality,
or physical implications.

The spectrum of XTE J1810−197 can be thought of
as the combined flux from two concentric emitters, “hot

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1}
\caption{Long-term light-curve of XTE J1810−197 using measure-
ments obtained with RXTE (squares) and XMM-Newton
(diamond). The RXTE data are from Ibrahim et al. (2004),
renormalized to match the XMM-Newton results. The XMM-
Newton data points are for the observations of Table 1, but
fitted with a power-law model in the 2 − 10 keV energy band,
for direct comparison with the RXTE results. The solid line
is a best combined fit to an exponential decay model (see text
for parameters).}
\end{figure}
spots”, whose temperature and size are evolving at different rates, effectively changing the overall shape of the spectrum with time (Paper II). With a set of spectral measurements spanning two and a half years, a clear trend has emerged. The bolometric luminosities of the two components are shown in Figure 3. This reveals a spectrum with time (Paper II). With a set of spectral components, respectively. The energy of the spectral component, obtained from the model fits. Based on the three latest data points, the temperatures now show a definite cooling trend for each spectral component, as suggested by the broken line in Figure 4. Prior to mid 2004, the temperatures likely remained nearly constant, after which they both fell at a rate of \(\approx 22\%\) per year (\(\Delta kT_1 = -0.051\,\text{keV yr}^{-1}\); \(\Delta kT_2 = -0.015\,\text{keV yr}^{-1}\)). The size of the effective hot spots (derived from the blackbody emission areas) also followed distinct evolutions. The hot component has been shrinking exponentially since the initial XMM-Newton observation, while

---

**Table 1 XMM-Newton Spectral Results for XTE J1810−197**

| Parameter                | 2003 Sep 8 | 2003 Oct 12 | 2004 Mar 11 | 2004 Sep 18 | 2005 Mar 18 | 2005 Sep 20 | 2006 Mar 18 |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Expo (ks)\(^a\)         | 11.5/8.1   | 6.9/6.2    | 17.0/15.8  | 26.5/24.4  | 39.8/37.2  | 39.5/37.8  | 41.7/38.8  |
| \(N_H\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) \(^b\) | 0.65 ± 0.04 | 0.65 ± 0.04 | 0.65 ± 0.04 | 0.65 (fixed) | 0.65 (fixed) | 0.65 (fixed) | 0.65 (fixed) |
| \(kT_1\) (keV)          | 0.25 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.04 | 0.27 ± 0.02 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 0.22 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 0.19 ± 0.01 |
| \(kT_2\) (keV)          | 0.68 ± 0.02 | 0.71 ± 0.03 | 0.70 ± 0.01 | 0.67 ± 0.01 | 0.60 ± 0.01 | 0.52 ± 0.01 | 0.46 ± 0.02 |
| \(A_1\) (cm\(^2\))     | 5.6 × 10\(^{12}\) | 2.9 × 10\(^{12}\) | 3.3 × 10\(^{12}\) | 4.0 × 10\(^{12}\) | 4.9 × 10\(^{12}\) | 6.6 × 10\(^{12}\) | 7.2 × 10\(^{12}\) |
| \(A_2\) (cm\(^2\))     | 2.8 × 10\(^{11}\) | 2.2 × 10\(^{11}\) | 1.3 × 10\(^{11}\) | 8.7 × 10\(^{10}\) | 6.0 × 10\(^{10}\) | 3.7 × 10\(^{10}\) | 3.6 × 10\(^{10}\) |
| BB1 Flux \(^c\)         | 4.2 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 5.4 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 3.5 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 2.6 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 1.6 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 1.0 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 7.5 × 10\(^{-13}\) |
| BB2 Flux \(^c\)         | 3.5 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 3.0 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 1.8 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 1.0 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 4.0 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 1.3 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 6.8 × 10\(^{-13}\) |
| Total Flux \(^c\)       | 3.93 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 3.84 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 2.13 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 1.29 × 10\(^{-11}\) | 5.67 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 2.35 × 10\(^{-12}\) | 1.44 × 10\(^{-12}\) |
| \(L_{BB1}(bol)\) \(^d\) | 2.4 × 10\(^{34}\) | 2.3 × 10\(^{34}\) | 1.7 × 10\(^{34}\) | 1.6 × 10\(^{34}\) | 1.2 × 10\(^{34}\) | 1.0 × 10\(^{34}\) | 8.6 × 10\(^{33}\) |
| \(L_{BB2}(bol)\) \(^d\) | 6.3 × 10\(^{34}\) | 5.7 × 10\(^{34}\) | 3.1 × 10\(^{34}\) | 1.8 × 10\(^{34}\) | 7.9 × 10\(^{33}\) | 2.8 × 10\(^{33}\) | 1.7 × 10\(^{33}\) |
| \(\chi^2/(\nu)\) \(^d\) | 1.1(187) | 1.1(84) | 1.1(194) | 1.2(188) | 1.6(152) | 1.6(80) | 1.6(117) |

**Note** – Uncertainties in spectral parameters are 90% confidence for two interesting parameters.

\(^a\)EPIC-pn exposure/livetime in units of ks.

\(^b\)Interstellar hydrogen absorbing column density in units of cm\(^{-2}\).

\(^c\)Absorbed 0.5–10 keV flux in units of erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

\(^d\)Unabsorbed bolometric luminosity in units of erg s\(^{-1}\) assuming a distance of \(d = 3.3\) kpc.

---

**Fig. 3** A semi-log plot of the bolometric luminosity of XTE J1810−197 as a function of time (crosses) for each temperature component of the double blackbody model given in Table 1. The light-curves are fit assuming an exponential decays model (solid lines); the corresponding e-folding times is \(\tau_1 = 280\) d and \(\tau_2 = 870\) d for the warm and hot temperature components, respectively. The recent data for the hot component now deviates from this model. The fitted quantities have been extrapolated to the (1σ) quiescent range measured in Paper I (cross-hatched area).
Fig. 2 XMM-Newton EPIC pn spectra of XTE J1810−197 from the earliest to the latest epochs, in six months intervals (the 2003 Oct spectrum is excluded, for clarity). These spectra are shown with the best-fit two-temperature blackbody model specified in Table 1. Although the temperatures of the blackbody components have not changed greatly between epochs, the flux of the hot component (BB2) has decayed rapidly relative to that of the cooler one (BB1). Also shown are the residuals from the best-fit models. The nature of the deviations to the model below 0.7 keV has yet to be determined (see text).

The warm component has steadily increased in size. A notable exception is the initial data point which deviates from the trend for both the areas and temperatures. This may be associated with a glitch or rotation instability, as suggested by the pulse timing results around this epoch (§3).

The area of the warm component ($A_1$; Table 1) may be reaching a maximum, corresponding to the whole NS surface. This would then provide a lower-limit on the NS radius of $\gtrsim 7.5 \, d_{3.3}$ km, ignoring relativistic effects (redshift and light bending). In contrast, the area of the hotter component continues to shrink and its contribution to the flux is severely diminished.

As shown in Figure 3, the X-ray luminosity of TAXP XTE J1810−197 has nearly returned to its historic quiescent level. This is also true of the temperature and inferred blackbody area. The pre-burst ROSAT spectrum of 1992 March 7 is reasonably well fitted with
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3 Spin-down Evolution of XTE J1810–197

The barycentered pulse period of XTE J1810–197 measured at each XMM-Newton observing epoch is shown in Figure 5. These data points were derived following the method outlined in Paper I. Prior to epoch 2004, the spin-down rate derived from the RXTE and initial XMM-Newton measurements were highly erratic, ranging from $\dot{P} = (0.8 - 2.2) \times 10^{-11}$ s s$^{-1}$ (Ibrahim et al. 2004; Paper III). This temporal behavior is likely associated with the outburst event. The latest period measurements suggest that the spin-down rate has settled down somewhat. A linear model fit to the last 5 period measurements yields a period derivative $\dot{P} = 1.26 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-11}$ s s$^{-1}$ with a $\chi^2 = 1.2$ for 3 DoF, corresponding to a null hypothesis probability of 0.27. Compared to the radio $\dot{P} = 1.016 \pm 0.001 \times 10^{-11}$ s s$^{-1}$ of 17 March - 7 May (Camilo et al. 2006), there is evidently still timing noise in the pulsar’s spin-down. The revised X-ray rate implies a nominal characteristic age $\tau_c = 7.0$ kyr, surface magnetic field $B_s = 2.7 \times 10^{14}$ G, and spin-down power $\dot{E} = 2.9 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$, values typical for a magnetar.

The energy-dependent modulation of the pulsar has evolved noticeably over time with the declining flux. Figure 5 displays the pulse profiles at each XMM-Newton epoch, folded at the best determined periods. The data has been broken up into six energy bands, with the corresponding background in each band subtracted. The pulsed fractions, defined as the pulsed emission divided by the total flux, are indicated on the corner of each panel of the figure. These profiles are aligned so that phase zero is the same at each epoch, for ease of comparison. In any case, within an observation, the phase zero does not change with energy. This suggests a geo-

[Figures and tables are not transcribed here.]

a single blackbody of $kT = 0.18 \pm 0.02$ keV covering $5.2 \times 10^{12} d_{3.3}^{-2}$ cm$^2$ and $L_{BB}(bol) = 5.6 \times 10^{33} d_{3.3}^{-2}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Paper I). The latest XMM-Newton spectrum that overlaps the ROSAT band is again dominated by the cooler blackbody component. Furthermore, the current pulsed fraction is consistent with the quiescent upper-limits. Thus the double blackbody model is sufficient to describe the observed properties, and may not require a third “quiescent” component to explain the pre-outburst observations. XMM-Newton data from the next observing window (2006 September) is likely to be consistent with the ROSAT result in the soft energy band, effectively defining a return to the quiescence state.

Fig. 4 The time history of the best-fit XMM-Newton component temperatures and surface emitting areas for XTE J1810–197 based on the double blackbody spectral model (Table 1). The evolving areas (Top) indicates that the warm component is expanding to cover the NS surface, while the hot component is shrinking rapidly. The corresponding temperatures (Bottom) are declining at a steady rate of 22% per year since mid 2004. Prior to that time the temperature of the hotter component was essentially constant. This trend for the warm component is somewhat ambiguous.
metric interpretation for the modulation, such as from localized emission on the rotating NS star surface. The pulsed fraction has generally decreased with time, most notably at X-ray energies below $E < 2$ keV; at higher energies the trend is less clear due to the large uncertainties derived for those pulsed fractions. Furthermore, at all epochs, the pulsed fraction clearly increases with energy, an equally interesting result discussed below.

The evolving spectral shape and pulse profiles of TAXP XTE J1810−197 provide an important and unique (so far) diagnostic of the emission geometry, and ultimately, the emission mechanism(s) of NSs. Because the spectrum is well modeled by two blackbody components, and the phase alignment of the pulse profiles are energy independent, it is most natural to consider emission from two concentric regions on the NS surface. The hot component is associated with a smaller hot-spot, while the blackbody model predicts a larger annulus for the warm component. The complete collection of observed pulsed fractions is consistent with this model. The smaller, hotter spot always dominates the spectrum above 2 keV and offers a natural explanation for the higher modulation at these energies. However, at lower energies, this contribution gradually fades relative to cooler emission (which is less modulated), contributing less and less to the pulsed emission over time.

We note in passing that a fitted power-law spectral component would have to dominate the soft ($< 2$ keV) X-rays at all epochs, while varying in its contribution to the hard ($> 2$ keV) X-rays. Such a power law would drive an evolution of the pulse shapes that is opposite of what is observed. Thus, we find that the detailed evolution of the X-ray emission from XTE J1810−197 further supports the assumption of a purely thermal spectral model, and leaves no evidence of a steep power law as is commonly fitted to individual observations of AXPs.

Since the first observation of XTE J1810−197 it was apparent that the broad-band pulse shape is not a simple sine function; the pulse peak is relatively sharp with a broader inter-pulse trough (Paper I). This effect is more pronounced at higher energy, where the profile is nearly triangular in shape. This suggests that the pulse profiles can be decomposed into a triangle function and a sinusoidal function, a model that was explored for the earlier data sets and detailed in Paper II & III. This model continues to be appropriate for the new data and is used to extract unbiased pulsed fraction measurements for the profiles shown in Figure 4.

Given the success in modeling the pulse profiles with these functions it is natural to associate the two pulsed components uniquely with the two spectral components, i.e., the triangle shape for the hotter blackbody component and the sinusoidal shape for the cooler one. However, we find that we cannot model all the profiles in a consistent manner with just a simple superposition of these temporal components, based on the implied flux ratio in each energy band (Gotthelf & Halpern 2005). Instead we conclude that either the two spectral components contain an admixture of the two shapes or there is a third, unmodeled spectral component present. This is a direction of active research, to consider the correct characterization of the phase dependent spectral contribution.

4 Radio observations of XTE J1810−197

Considering that the absence of radio emission is a defining characteristic of AXPs, the serendipitous radio detection from XTE J1810−197 came as a great surprise. A chance search of VLA data taken about a year after outburst reveals an unresolved point source with a flux of 4.5 mJy at 1.43 GHz, located at the precise coordinates of the TAXP (Halpern et al. 2005). Other archival VLA data obtained at various frequencies provide upper-limits before and after outburst. A follow-up VLA observation in 2006 March yielded a flux of 12.9 mJy at 1.43 GHz. Together, these results indicate highly erratic radio emission. A second surprise came with the detection around that time of pulsed radio emission at the X-ray period (Camilo et al. 2006). This search, at $\nu = 1.4$ GHz using the Parkes radio observatory, revealed a narrow, bright pulse with a high degree of linearly polarization (Camilo et al. 2006). A series of multi-frequency measurements in 2006 April-May confirms the erratic flux behavior and provides an unusual spectral slope of $\alpha \gtrsim -0.5$, where $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ (cf. $\alpha \sim -1.6$ for a typical radio pulsar). A revised distance to the pulsar of 3.3 kpc is inferred from the pulsar’s dispersion. A search for pulsations in archival data acquired in 1997/1998 produced a null result and argues against significant emission prior to the outburst event. A summary of these radio observations is shown in Figure 7.

5 Emission Geometry: Models & Theory

Although transient AXPs such as XTE J1810−197 are relatively rare, their short active duty cycle suggests the existence of a larger population of unrecognized young NSs. XTE J1810−197 provides a unique window into this population, with prior measurements in the quiescent state and detailed observations during its active, pulsed phase. Ultimately, we hope to use this TAXP to give insight into the emission mechanisms of magnetars, in general. With the discovery of pulsed radio flux, this may carry over to interpreting emission mechanism of radio pulsars, as well. Below we now summarize our initial attempts to interpret the XTE J1810−197 results in the context of a physical model. First we outline the basic arguments for the two-temperature blackbody spectral model as a plausible alternative to the nominal power-law plus blackbody model.
Fig. 6 Energy-dependent pulse profiles of XTE J1810–197 obtained with the XMM-Newton EPIC pn detector for all seven epochs of Table 1. The background for each profile has been subtracted and phase zero is aligned for each epoch, for comparison. The phase of the peak is seen to be energy independent. The pulsed fraction at low X-ray energies has decreased with time, while remaining essentially unchanged at high energy. Also shown is the best fit to the two-component model for the pulse profile (solid line) described in the text (see §2.1).
While both models give reasonable fits to the spectrum, it’s important to note that the power-law component is used to model the low energy residuals and not any high-energy tail. Without a low energy cut-off of this component, it is not possible to connect it with the observed IR emission without an energy catastrophe. As detailed in Paper II, invoking synchrotron self-absorption is excluded by radius/magnetic-field inconsistently. In contrast, the extrapolated spectrum of the warm blackbody component does not exceed the measured IR flux. Furthermore, currently there is no acceptable physical model to anchor a power-law component. As discussed earlier, the two components of the double blackbody model can be associated with a pair of hot spots on the surface of the star. The warm temperature component, covering a large fraction of the NS surface, is consistent with the decreased pulsed fraction at lower energies. The hotter blackbody component is consistent with a smaller emission area and greater modulation at higher energies. A comprehensive discussion of this issue can be found in paper II.

Determining the emission geometry on the NS is of great interest. The natural conclusion of applying the double blackbody model to the time resolved spectra and pulse profiles is that concentric hot regions give rise to the observed modulation. We consider a model of the phase-resolved spectrum taking into account the viewing geometry and offset of the hot spots from the rotation axis (Perna & Gotthelf 2006, in prep.). This is based on the NS emission model given in Perna et al. (2001) that includes general relativistic effects (redshift and light bending). Our goal is to match spectrum and energy dependent pulse shape in order to determine viewing geometry, distance, and NS size. Preliminary work is able to reproduce the pulsed fraction to a reasonable degree but the pulse shape remains elusive.

A framework for a theoretical interpretation of the emission from XTE J1810–197 is suggested by the magnetar coronal model of Beloborodov & Thompson (2006). According to this model, the large outburst was generated by a starquake that resulted in a transition to an active coronal state that caused energy to be stored in the twisted B-field of the coronal loop. Particles (mostly $e^+ e^-$) are accelerated within this loop and impact the NS surface with GeV energy. This heats up the loop footprint resulting in the observed hot spot emission. The decay timescale of the coronal loop, and thus the hotter component of the double blackbody luminosity, is of order of a few years. The decay rate is determined by ohmic dissipation of current in the excited loop. A cooler component likely arises from deep crustal heating associated with the initial outburst and possibly earlier ones. The features of this model are in general accordance with the observational properties and inferred model for XTE J1810–197.
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