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A B S T R A C T

Objectives: The outbreak of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in Wuhan, China, has subsided after being hard hit by the disease and subsequent city lockdown. Information on the number of people involved in Wuhan is still inadequate. This study aimed to describe the screening results of 61 437 community members in Wuchang District, Wuhan.

Methods: In mid-May 2020, Wuhan launched a population-scale city-wide SARS-CoV-2 testing campaign, which aimed to perform nucleic acid and viral antibody testing for citizens in Wuhan. Here we show the screening results of cluster sampling of 61 437 residents in Wuchang District, Wuhan, China.

Results: A total of 1470 (2.39%, 95% CI 2.27–2.52) individuals were detected positive for at least one antiviral antibody. Among the positive individuals, 324 (0.53%, 95% CI 0.47–0.59) and 1200 (1.95%, 95% CI 1.85–2.07) were positive for immunoglobulin IgM and IgG, respectively, and 54 (0.08%, 95% CI 0.07–0.12) were positive for both antibodies. The positive rate of female carriers of antibodies was higher than those of male counterparts (male-to-female ratio of 0.75), especially in elderly citizens (ratio of 0.18 in 90+ age subgroup), indicating a sexual discrepancy in seroprevalence. In addition, viral nucleic acid detection using real-time PCR had showed 8 (0.013%, 95% CI 0.006–0.026) asymptomatic virus carriers.

Discussion: The seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan was low. Most Wuhan residents are still susceptible to this virus. Precautions, such as wearing mask, frequent hand hygiene and proper social distance, are necessary before an effective vaccine or antiviral treatments are available.

© 2020 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-related coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is a respiratory transmissible disease that may cause critical illness to death [1,2]. Various control measures against SARS-CoV-2 were implemented in Wuhan, the first city hit by the coronavirus. After months of endeavour, viral transmission was largely contained [3]. However, sporadically infected cases and asymptomatic carriers were still detected. Hence, Wuhan launched a population-scale, massive SARS-CoV-2 testing campaign for detecting viral nucleic acid and antibodies in residents to further prevent viral transmission, screen
out infected patients who were in the incubation period or were asymptomatic virus carriers, and map the epidemiological sero-distribution of this infectious disease in the epicentre. Wuchang District, one of the 13 administrative divisions in Wuhan, is located in the central urban area and is adjacent to the Yangtze River. According to the Wuhan Statistical Yearbook 2018, the total population of Wuchang District is 1.28 million, accounting for 11.7% of the Wuhan population. The present study describes the screening results of 61 437 community members in Wuchang District, Wuhan.

Materials and methods

Participants and ethics

The study followed the guidance of Strengthening the Reporting of Molecular Epidemiology for Infectious Diseases [4]. Using the cluster sampling method for residential communities, a total of 61 437 residents in Wuchang District, Wuhan, were enrolled from May 15 to May 26, 2020. Hospitalized patients, including confirmed patients with COVID-19 and other diseases, and individuals under quarantine, were excluded. The demographic data, including age, sex and residential area of each participant, were collected. Participants were screened for SARS-CoV-2 infection using a real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test for nucleic acid detection and a serological test for immunoglobulin (Ig)G and IgM antibodies against a recombinant antigen of the virus. The medical ethics committees of Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University approved this study. The ethics committee waived written informed consent because of the retrospective nature of this study.

Serological test against SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibodies

Individual blood samples were collected at community sampling stations. The serum samples were obtained by centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 8 min. The SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibodies were detected using a 2019-nCoV IgG/IgM detection kit (catalogue number: C6603C; Colloidal Gold-Based; Nanjing Vazyme Medical Technology Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China). The kit was approved for detecting SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibodies by the National Medical Products Administration. Specifically, one drop (about 20 μL) of the serum sample was added to the sample-loading position, followed by two to three drops (about 60 μL) of sample dilution buffer. After 10–15 min, the appearance of the control line (C line) was considered to be a valid test. The presence of the T1 and T2 lines indicated positivity for IgM and IgG, respectively.

Real-time RT-PCR

Throat swab samples were collected at community sampling stations and subjected to SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid detection using a detection kit for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) RNA (catalogue number: DA0932; Da An Gene Co., Ltd of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China). ORF1ab and N genes of the virus were amplified following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical analysis

The graphs of age and sex distribution were depicted for the entire tested population. For seropositive populations, the positive rates in each age and sex group were calculated by dividing the corresponding entire tested population. The 95% confidence interval (CI) was presented. The male-to-female ratio (MFR) was calculated as the male positivity rate divided by the female positivity rate.

Results

Among 61 437 community members included in the study, 30 032 (48.88%) were male. The age range is from several months to 101 years old and the median age was 48 (interquartile range (IQR) 32–64) years. The sex and age distribution is depicted in Fig. 1. As shown in Tables 1 and 1470 people tested positive for at least one SARS-CoV-2 antibody, accounting for 2.39% (95% CI 2.27–2.52) of the whole tested population. Among these seropositive people, 616 (41.88%) were male. The subgroup data showed that 324 (0.53%, 95% CI 0.47–0.59) and 1200 (1.95%, 95% CI 1.85–2.07) residents were positive for IgM and IgG antibodies, respectively. A 3.7-fold positivity rate was observed in IgG groups compared with IgM groups.

![Fig. 1. Age and sex distribution of the tested population. Distribution of 61 437 residents with different age and sex is depicted as bar graphs. The table shows the corresponding number in each group.](image-url)
Further, a discrepancy in seroprevalence was observed in age and sex subgroups (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The positivity rates of IgM, IgG or their combination gradually increased with the increase in age, with the peak in the subset of people aged 70–79 years (Fig. 2). The lowest positivity rates (IgM, 0.12%; IgG, 0.75%; and IgM and IgG, 0.86%) were observed in groups of people aged 20–29 years. When considering subgroups by sex, the MFR, was 0.75 in IgM- and IgG-seropositive populations (Table 1 and Fig. 2), suggesting a significantly higher frequency in women than in men. In age subgroups, a significantly higher proportion of patients were asymptomatic carriers. Five of them were male and one female. Among women, four were negative for both IgM and IgG antibodies, and four were positive for IgG antibody only.

However, the observed rate was comparable with that reported in other similar studies. A recent study detected 17 368 individuals from different geographic regions in China, including 1993 residents from different Wuhan sub-cohorts. It suggested a seropositivity rate of 3.8%, 3.2% and 3.8% in healthcare workers, their family members and their staff members, respectively, from hotels designated for the accommodation of healthcare workers during city lockdown in Wuhan between 30 March and 10 April 2020 [5]. As the exposure of healthcare workers and their close contacts to SARS-CoV-2 was relatively higher than that of most other citizens, it was reasonable that the seropositivity from these subgroups was higher than that from populations with massive testing. Another study testing 452 asymptomatic Hong Kong residents evacuated from Hubei province in early March 2020 indicated a 3.76% (17/452) seropositivity rate [6]. All these seropositivity rates indicated that the prevalence of the population carrying the antibody in Wuhan was low.

Among 61437 community members included in the study, eight (0.013%), 324 (0.53%) and 1200 (1.95%) individuals were detected positive for nucleic acid, IgM and IgG, respectively. As IgM is regarded as the first class of immunoglobulins in response to initial exposure, the presence of IgM antibody represents an early exposure to the antigen [7]. The anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM antibody could be detected in patients after 4 days of onset, peaking at 2–3 weeks after the onset of symptoms before its level started to decline [8,9]. However, IgM positivity alone may not be a good diagnostic indicator because not all of the people develop a detectable IgM antibody [8]. In addition, the IgM antibody may still be detectable after several months, although it is considered as an ‘early infection’. Most of the recent studies showed detectable SARS-CoV-2 anti-IgM antibodies after 1 to 2 months [10,11]. IgG represents the most robust and long-duration antibody against the virus [12]. The positivity of the IgG antibody indicates a ‘past infection’ of the virus. Wuhan reported approximately 50 000 patients with confirmed symptomatic COVID-19. The result suggested that Wuhan, a city with 11 million people, had proportionally 269 200 (2.39%) asymptomatic individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the last few months, besides confirmed patients. A more accurate seropositivity rate in Wuhan may need further analysis, including multistate testing results.

Remarkably, the present study detected more female carriers of asymptomatic antibodies compared with male carriers in most age subgroups and a reverse correlation trend of MFR with the increase in age. Another study in Wuhan also reported similar findings [13]. Indeed, it was suggested that SARS-CoV-2 affected women less than men due to different innate immunity, steroid hormones and

### Table 1

Information of antibodies positive populations

| Subgroups | Tested No. | IgM+ | % (95% CI) | MFR | IgG+ | % (95% CI) | MFR | IgM+ and/or IgG+ | % (95% CI) | MFR |
|-----------|------------|------|------------|-----|------|------------|-----|-----------------|------------|-----|
| Male 18–29 | 30032 | 119 | 0.4% (0.33–0.48) | — | 238 | 2.33% (2.05–2.64) | 0.85 | 298 | 2.91% (2.60–3.26) | 0.67 |
| Female 18–29 | 31405 | 205 | 0.69% (0.57–0.75) | — | 296 | 2.93% (2.63–2.39) | 0.77 | 371 | 3.68% (3.32–4.07) | 0.68 |
| Total | 61437 | 324 | 0.53% (0.47–0.59) | 0.61 | 1200 | 1.95% (1.85–2.07) | 0.81 | 1470 | 2.39% (2.27–2.52) | 0.75 |

Dash symbols in MFR column represent zero positive cases in the corresponding female groups. CI, confidence interval; MFR, male-to-female ratio.
Factors related to sex chromosomes [14]. However, this female over male trend in asymptomatic carriers was not captured in other areas and countries, such as South Korea, Thailand, Iran, Spain and California in the USA [15–18]. Whether this trend is observed only in Wuhan or can be observed in other parts of China or other countries too needs further investigation.

This study had several limitations. First, several groups of people were not included in the study, which might have had different impacts on detecting real seropositivity. Second, the rates were affected by the quality of the kit. As mentioned in the kit specifications, the sensitivity and specificity of this commercially available IgM/G detection kit were 91.54% (86.87–94.65) and 97.02% (94.74–98.33), respectively. The sensitivities were comparable with those of other brands [19]. These intrinsic shortcomings of the rapid immunochromatographic kit might inevitably cause false-positive and false-negative results. Third, massive tests were conducted within 12 days, and hence the possibility of higher false-positive or false-negative rate due to the labour-intensive work was unavoidable. Fourth, a rapid and ready-to-use method, the immune colloidal gold technique, was adopted for the screening test because a large number of samples were needed for handling and the technicians had some limitations. The test provided only a qualitative positive or negative result. A more quantitative result may be obtained using the chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay.

In summary, the majority of the residents in Wuhan are still immunologically naive to SARS-CoV-2, far from herd immunity. Although Wuhan re-opened on 8 April 2020, proper control
measures, such as frequent hand hygiene, wearing face masks and keeping proper social distance, are necessary before effective vaccines or antiviral drugs are available.
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