Systematic cell line-based identification of drugs modifying ACE2 expression
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Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARS-COV-2 has infected over 500,000 people causing over 25,000 deaths in the last 10 weeks. A key host cellular protein required for the virus entry is angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Recent studies have reported that patients with hypertension and diabetes treated with ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers might be at a higher risk of COVID-19 infection as these drugs have been reported to increase ACE2 expression. This has raised the need to systematically investigate the effect of different drugs including antihypertensives on modulating ACE2 expression. Here, we analyzed a publicly available CMAP dataset of pre/post transcriptomic profiles for drug treatment in cell lines for over 20,000 small molecules. We show that only one subclass of antihypertensives drugs - ACE inhibitors, are significantly enriched for drugs up-regulating ACE2 expression. Studying the effects of the 672 clinically approved drugs in CMAP, we chart the drug categories that affect ACE2 expression. Specifically, we find that panobinostat (an HDAC inhibitor) confers the highest
up-regulation of $ACE2$ expression while isotretinoin (a vitamin A derivative) is its strongest down-regulator. Our results provide initial candidates guiding further $in$ $vitro$ and $in$ $vivo$ studies aimed at assessing drug effects on $ACE2$ expression.
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Introduction

The ongoing pandemic of COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus, has plagued over 140 countries and has resulted in over 500,000 cases and 25,000 deaths within the last 10 weeks. A key cellular receptor for SARS-CoV-2 entry in humans is angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 ($ACE2$) [1]. A recent publication by Fang et al. has suggested that patients with hypertension (HT) and diabetes mellitus may be at higher risk of COVID-19 infection [2], as these patients are often treated with ACE inhibitors (ACEIs) or angiotensin II type-I receptor blockers (ARBs), which have been previously suggested to increase $ACE2$ expression [3, 4]. This has raised the need to investigate the effect of different drugs including antihypertensives on modulating $ACE2$ expression while clinical data is rapidly accumulating.

Addressing this challenge, we set to systematically identify drugs whose treatment can alter $ACE2$ expression and, assuming that the latter is an important determinant, possibly increase or decrease the infection risk of COVID-19. To this end, we analyzed the Connectivity Map (CMAP) dataset, which provides transcriptomic data of different cell lines treated by approximately 20,000 small molecules [5]. Utilizing this resource, we mined the $ACE2$ expression fold change ($\logFC$) after 24 hours of each drug treatment, to identify clinically approved drugs that result in strong upregulation or downregulation of $ACE2$ expression in this data.

Results

First, studying the suggestion of Fang $et$ $al.$ that particular antihypertensive drugs may affect $ACE2$ expression [2], we focused on 48 clinically approved anti-HT drugs that were tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines in the CMAP dataset (we focused on epithelial cancer cell lines,
which may better resemble epithelial tissues like the lung, Supp. Table 1A). Individually, no major anti-HT drug was found to increase ACE2 expression significantly in these experiments, but methyldopa (an alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist) and molsidomine (an vasodilator) do significantly decrease ACE2 expression (Figure 1A, logFC=-0.605 and -0.290, P=0.002 and 0.005, respectively; adjusted P=0.11 for both). However, when the individual drug results are aggregated to identify the effects of major classes of anti-HT drugs, we find that many ACEIs, but not ARBs, tend to upregulate ACE2 expression (Figure 1B, GSEA method P=0.026, adjusted P<0.1; Supp. Table 1B). Anti-adrenergics other than alpha/beta-blockers tend to downregulate ACE2 (Figure 1B, GSEA P=0.032, adjusted P<0.1; Supp. Table 1C). Notably, we find that calcium channel blockers (CCBs) do not significantly affect ACE2 expression, providing preliminary in vitro support for Fang et al. suggestion that this drugs class may be considered as an alternative for ACEIs [2]. This finding concords with results from a large study cohort where hypertensive patients treated with CCBs (amlodipine and nifedipine) had no increase in urinary ACE2 levels compared with controls with no medication [6]. A similar analysis for the 13 approved anti-diabetic drugs in the CMAP dataset that were tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines (Supp. Table 1A) has not identified any individual drug or class of anti-diabetic drugs that significantly altered ACE2 expression, possibly partly due to the small number of drugs available in this class (Supp. Table 1D,E).

We then turned to analyze a broad set of 672 clinically approved drugs that were each tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines in the CMAP dataset (Supp. Table 1A), to identify the top drugs that upregulate or downregulate ACE2 expression. The top up-regulator is panobinostat, an anti-cancer histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor with antifibrotic effects that has been shown to reduced risk of acute respiratory deteriorations [7,8] (Figure 1C, logFC=0.457, P=2.29e-5, adjusted P=7.70e-3). The top down-regulator of ACE2 is isotretinoin, a vitamin A derivative with suggested respiratory side-effects [9] (Figure 1C, logFC=-0.478, P=1.59e-4, adjusted P=0.036; Supp. Table 1F). We found 6 drugs in CMAP that are currently being investigated in clinical trials for treating COVID-19 (chloroquine, thalidomide,
methylprednisolone, losartan, lopinavir and ritonavir, from clinicaltrials.gov), none of which was found to significantly alter ACE2 expression (P>0.1, Supp. Table 1F).

Analyzing the expression results for these 672 drugs in an aggregated manner, we identified several classes of drugs based on mechanism of action (MOA) that are significantly enriched for up or down-regulation of ACE2 expression (Figure 1D; Supp. Table 1G). Top classes of ACE2-upregulating drugs are HDAC inhibitors (GSEA P=0.003, adjusted P=0.02) and dopamine receptor antagonists (GSEA P=0.007, adjusted P=0.02). We further extended this analysis to 989 clinically approved drugs tested on a total of 28 CMAP cell lines and primary cells (16 cancer and 12 normal cells, where each drug may have been tested on a different subset of cell lines, Supp. Table 1A). We examined the enrichment of ACE2-modulating drugs in 48 different second-level WHO Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) indication categories [12]. We find that the class of drugs targeting the renin-angiotensin system are enriched for up-regulators of ACE2 (Figure 1E, GSEA P=0.044). Additional top enriched ATC classes are shown in Figure 1E, the top class being antineoplastic agents and in particular protein kinase inhibitors (GSEA P=0.004, Supp. Table 1H), although these classes do not achieve significance after FDR correction due to limited statistical power (Supp. Table 1H).
Figure 1: The landscape of ACE2 expression levels alterations in response to drug treatments across cell lines in CMAP [5]. Differential expression analysis for ACE2 expression changes in treated vs control samples was performed with the level 3 CMAP data of 24hrs response values using limma [10]. Volcano plots showing the log fold-change (X-axes) and uncorrected negative log10P value (Y-axes) of ACE2 expression changes are given for (A) 48 antihypertensive drugs and (C) 672 clinically approved drugs that are each tested on 4 carcinoma cell lines from CMAP [5]. The enrichment of positive/negative ACE2 expression regulators in different drug classes based on their mechanism of action (MOA) was tested with the GSEA method as implemented in the R package fgsea [11], and the enrichment significance (negative log10P values) is shown in bar plots in the corresponding right hand side panels (B, D). We extend the analysis in (D) to a larger set of 989 clinically approved drugs tested on a total of 28 cell lines and performed enrichment analysis using the second level WHO ATC drug classification data [12], and top enriched drug classes are shown in (E). The horizontal and the vertical dashed lines denote a P value of 0.05. Drug indication and ATC classification annotations are obtained from the drugbank database [13]. Abbreviations: ERAs, endothelin receptor antagonists; CCBs, calcium channel blockers; ARBs, angiotensin II type-I receptor blockers; ACEIs, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; TKR, tyrosine kinase receptor.

Discussion

To date, solid evidence concerning whether ACE2 expression can alter the risk of COVID-19 infection is lacking. The role of ACEIs/ARBs in modulating the clinical course of viral pneumonia and COVID-19 infection is also under debate [14-16], both being beyond the scope of this study. If ACE2 expression does have an effect, though, it is important to chart the landscape of approved drugs effects on its expression. Addressing this challenge, here we performed a systematic in vitro analysis of the CMAP cell line data that shows that ACEIs, although not most other antihypertensives, are enriched for up-regulators of ACE2 expression (Figure 1B). At a broader scope, we identified additional clinically approved drugs and drug categories that affect ACE2 expression in vitro (Figure 1C-E). Caution is obviously warranted, as these are in vitro results, limited to measurements of expression levels after 24 hours in a variety of cancer and
normal cell lines. However, keeping these reservations in mind, we believe these results provide a baseline of candidate leads guiding further in vitro and in vivo studies aimed at carefully assessing specific drug effects on ACE2 expression.

Methods
The level 3 data of the Connectivity Map (CMAP) dataset [5] was downloaded from the GEO database (GSE92742 and GSE70138). For consistency, we focused on expression profile measures after 24 hours of treatment with various small molecules at a concentration of 10 uM (this represents the most frequent treatment condition present in the dataset). Using drug information from the drugbank database [13] and matching by drug common name, we obtain the subset of CMAP data for: 1. 48 clinically approved antihypertensive drugs that were each tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines; 2. 13 approved anti-diabetic drugs that were each tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines; 3. 672 clinically approved drugs that were each tested on the same 4 carcinoma cell lines; 4. 989 clinically approved drugs tested on a total of 28 cell lines, including cancer and primary cell lines where each drug may have been tested on a different subset of cells. For each of the drug treatment differential expression analysis for ACE2 expression changes in treated samples vs population controls (as described in the CMAP publication [5]) was performed using limma [10]. The enrichment of positive/negative ACE2 expression regulators in different drug classes based on their mechanism of action (MOA) or indication was tested with the GSEA method as implemented in the R package fgsea [11]. For drug indication we used the second level WHO ATC classification [12], obtained also from the drugbank database [13]. P values were adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

Acknowledgement
This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, NCI, and used the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov). We acknowledge and thank the National Cancer Institute for providing financial and infrastructural support. S.S and K.C. are supported by the NCI-UMD Partnership.
for Integrative Cancer Research Program. We thank Dr. Fiorella Schischlik, Sanna Madan and Dr. Brid Ryan for constructive comments on this study.

Conflict of interest statement
The authors declare no competing interests.

References
1. Brielle ES., Schneidman-Duhovny D, Linial M. "The SARS-CoV-2 exerts a distinctive strategy for interacting with the ACE2 human receptor." biorxiv, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.10.986398 (2020).
2. Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. "Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection?." The Lancet Respiratory Medicine, in press, doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30116-8. (2020).
3. Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric S, Li F. "Receptor recognition by novel coronavirus from Wuhan: An analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS." Journal of Virology 94(7):e00127-30 (2020).
4. Li XC., Zhang J, Zhuo JL. "The vasoprotective axes of the renin-angiotensin system: Physiological relevance and therapeutic implications in cardiovascular, hypertensive and kidney diseases." Pharmacological Research 125: 21-38 (2017).
5. Subramanian A, Narayan R, Corsello SM. "A next generation connectivity map: L1000 platform and the first 1,000,000 profiles." Cell 171(6) 1437-1452 (2017).
6. Furuhashi M, Moniwa N, Mita T, et al. "Urinary angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in hypertensive patients may be increased by olmesartan, an angiotensin II receptor blocker." American Journal of Hypertension 28(1): 15-21 (2015).
7. Lyu, Xing, et al. "HDAC inhibitors as antifibrotic drugs in cardiac and pulmonary fibrosis." Therapeutic advances in chronic disease 10 (2019): 2040622319862697.
8. Maher, Toby M., and Mary E. Strek. "Antifibrotic therapy for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: time to treat." Respiratory research 20.1 (2019): 1-9.
9. Gorpelioglu, Canan, Duygu Ozol, and Evren Sarifakioglu. "Influence of isotretinoin on nasal mucociliary clearance and lung function in patients with acne vulgaris." International journal of dermatology 49.1 (2010): 87-90.

10. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK. “limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.” Nucleic Acids Research, 43(7), e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007. (2015).

11. Sergushichev A. “An algorithm for fast preranked gene set enrichment analysis using cumulative statistic calculation.” bioRxiv. doi: 10.1101/060012 (2016).

12. World Health Organization. "WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology: ATC classification index with DDDs and Guidelines for ATC classification and DDD assignment." Oslo, Norway: Norwegian Institute of Public Health (2006).

13. Wishart, David S., et al. "DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018." Nucleic acids research 46.D1 (2018): D1074-D1082.

14. Zheng Y-Y, Ma Y-T, Zhang J-Y, Xie X. "COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system." Nature Reviews Cardiology, in press, doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-0360-5 (2020).

15. Esler M, Esler D. Can angiotensin receptor-blocking drugs perhaps be harmful in the COVID-19 pandemic? Journal of Hypertension, in press, doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000002450. (2020)

16. Gurwitz D. Angiotensin receptor blockers as tentative SARS-CoV-2 therapeutics. Drug Development Research, in press, doi: 10.1002/ddr.21656 (2020).