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ABSTRACT The European Respiratory Society journals publish respiratory research and policy documents of the highest quality, offering a platform for the exchange and promotion of scientific knowledge. In this article, focusing on COPD, the third leading cause of death globally, we summarise novel research highlights focusing on the disease’s underlying mechanisms, epidemiology and management, with the aim to inform and inspire respiratory clinicians and researchers.

Introduction COPD, the third leading cause of death globally, is associated with a significant burden to patients and society [1, 2]. The development of novel treatments is hindered by the complexity and heterogeneity of the disease [3, 4]. COPD is the subject of extensive research, aiming to improve our understanding of underlying disease mechanisms and to identify effective, targeted treatments. For a respiratory clinician or researcher, it is challenging to keep up to date with the research advances being made in this field. In this review, we critically summarise some of the most recent, high-quality research studies and policy documents published in the European Respiratory Society (ERS) journals, aiming to inform and inspire clinicians and researchers.

This article was produced in collaboration with the ERS Early Career Members Committee, and follows and complements the cutting edge research presented from the ERS International Congress that was published in the ERJ Open Research [5–14].
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Epidemiology

The global prevalence of COPD is increasing with an estimated mean prevalence of 13% among the general population aged ≥40 years [2, 15, 16]. By interpolating data from the Geographic Information System, Blanco et al. [15] were able to visualise differences in COPD prevalence by continent ranging from 12% in Europe to 14% in Africa. Population growth, global ageing and cumulative exposure to risk factors may explain this increasing COPD prevalence worldwide [17]. COPD risk factors may change over time with new forms of smoking and vaping, and by location with differences in air pollution by region. The contribution of outdoor air pollution on COPD prevalence was reported by a recent UK Biobank analysis [18]. Among 303,887 individuals included, aged 40–69 years, higher exposure to pollutants was significantly associated with higher COPD prevalence. Interestingly, higher ambient concentrations of particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide had a larger impact in obese, lower income and non-asthmatic participants [18]. Synergistic effects between excess body fat and pollutants might indeed enhance inflammatory responses causing more airway damage [18]. Furthermore, participants with a lower income might be particularly prone to COPD due to poor nutrition, more respiratory infections during childhood, worse housing conditions, or more occupational exposures [18]. Regarding occupational exposures, another interesting analysis of the UK Biobank data observed an increase in COPD risk for jobs with a high potential of exposure to noxious vapours and (diesel exhaust) fumes, dusts, pesticides and herbicides including painters, plastic moulders, warehouse stackers, food processors, farmers and gardeners [19].

Besides outdoor pollution and occupational exposure, indoor air pollution remains a major COPD risk factor, especially in low- and middle-income countries. An observational study in rural Kyrgyzstan in Central Asia, observed that COPD was more than three times as prevalent among highlanders (∼2050 m above sea level) compared to lowlanders (∼750 m above sea level, 36.7% versus 10.4% respectively; p<0.001) [20]. Since high altitude might require more (solid fuel-based) heating and limit ventilation possibilities, they observed a higher amount of indoor particulate matter particles with a 50% cut-off diameter of 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) in highland compared to lowland houses [20]. This household biomass exposure might affect women more, in line with a higher percentage of females among COPD participants in highlands versus lowlands (51% versus 20%) [20]. Within the UK Biobank analysis, the association between PM$_{2.5}$ and COPD for females was stronger leaving the possibility that women are both more frequently exposed and more vulnerable towards higher concentrations of fine particulate matter. Besides differences in smoking habits, these differences in exposure to air pollutants require further investigation to understand COPD phenotypes in females. Women often present with pronounced dyspnoea and comorbidities such as anxiety, depression or osteoporosis [21]. In a pulmonary rehabilitation programme, females had a higher number of comorbidities than male COPD patients [22]. COPD under-diagnosis is more frequent in females than males and this requires increased awareness. Analysis of global internet searches using Google Trends demonstrated a lack of awareness of COPD in the general population compared to diabetes for example [23]. This awareness is crucial to promote prevention by smoking cessation, to enable early diagnosis and to tailor treatment accordingly. COPD mortality trends are decreasing in nearly all countries [24]. However, you can only die from COPD if you have been diagnosed with COPD (by spirometry) during life. We also need to be aware that if by 2040 more people die “with” rather than “from” COPD, comorbidities aggravated by COPD deserve increased attention to reduce all-cause early mortality in patients with COPD [24].

COPD is strongly associated with cardiovascular disease including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), heart failure and arrhythmias [25]. Relative to individuals with normal lung function, COPD patients with Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage 2–4 had increased all-cause mortality (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.4–2.1) and cardiovascular mortality (HR 2.1, 95% CI 1.2–3.6) [26]. Prognosis of patients with COPD after AMI remains worse compared to patients without COPD and after correction for known prognostic factors and smoking [25]. Therefore, it remains important to develop clinically useful biomarkers to better identify COPD patients at higher risk of death. Waschk et al. [27] studied 2085 patients with stable COPD from the multicentre COSYCONET cohort study and found high-sensitivity troponin I (hs-TnI) to be a strong predictive marker for all-cause mortality after adjusting for airflow limitation, dyspnoea, exercise capacity, severe exacerbations and cardiovascular risk factors. Although the monitoring of clinical characteristics such as age, dyspnoea and airflow obstruction predicted 3-year all-cause mortality in COPD patients from the Health Improvement Network cohort [28], hs-TnI might predict very early pathology in myocytes not covered by other biomarkers [27]. We probably need to give up on seeking one-marker-which-serves-all for COPD patients [29]. For example, the serial assessment of the age, dyspnoea and airflow obstruction score seemed especially informative for COPD patients who smoke, were depressed or had lower body mass index, while measuring hs-TnI might be more informative in dyspnoeic COPD patients with frequent exacerbations, right ventricular dysfunction or hypoxaemia present [27, 28]. Given the heterogeneity in COPD, it remains difficult to align severity with disease activity for all patients in the same manner and different mechanisms might drive individuals’
prognostic risk. Using different disease biomarkers might enhance the delivery of individualised therapy for patients with COPD [29].

While the severity of airflow limitation is often used as clinical trial entry criterion, the assessment of comorbidities, the symptom burden and exacerbation history are also required when planning treatment [30]. To better assess comorbidities in COPD, we need to agree upon more standardised tests and clinical useful cut-off points which identify for example, the clinically important loss of muscle mass and function [31]. In addition, the presence of multiple diseases may be linked by common underlying mechanisms but we still limit the generation of evidence towards treating such multimorbidity because we both choose inadequate study outcomes [32] and exclude patients with these comorbidities from COPD randomised clinical trials (RCTs) [30]. To improve prognosis of COPD patients, we therefore need to be more inclusive and better understand the heterogeneity present in the overall COPD, being aware that some COPD patients are less well represented in analyses. A comparison of those with incomplete versus complete UK Biobank data demonstrated that data was more often missing for current smokers with lower education, lower income or with an occupation at risk of COPD [18].

Basic mechanisms

COPD is caused by the chronic inhalation of cigarette smoke or other harmful particles, which cause pulmonary injury, leading to chronic airway inflammation and tissue remodelling. The major histopathological changes observed include small airway disease and emphysema. Recent advances have shown that small airway disease precedes emphysema, suggesting a temporal pattern of disease progression [33, 34]. The mechanisms which drive these changes are poorly understood. However, the role of microbial colonisation, frequent exacerbations and the type of inflammation present are emerging as important drivers of tissue inflammation and remodelling [35].

Inflammation is a dichotomous process; offering protection against pathogens whilst also increasing the potential for unwanted tissue injury (figure 1). Airway neutrophilia is associated with the severity of airflow limitation [36]. Activated neutrophils release proteases which cause tissue damage. Wooding et al. [37] reported that COPD blood neutrophils are more susceptible to activation. When exposed to diesel exhaust particles, the expression of neutrophil activation markers including CD16, CXCR2 and CD11b, were increased in blood neutrophils from COPD patients but not healthy controls. Regulating neutrophil...
activity may be important to protect lungs from unwanted tissue damage during inflammation and club cell secretory protein may be important in this regard. Knabe et al. [38] reported that club cell secretory protein reduces neutrophil chemotaxis towards cigarette smoke-induced CXCL8 release from bronchial epithelial cells. Similarly, Staker et al. [39] demonstrated that cigarette smoke-exposed interleukin (IL)-22 deficient mice have reduced lung neutrophil numbers, and are protected from airway fibrosis and alveolar tissue destruction, suggesting a role for IL-22 in neutrophil infiltration. The authors also showed that patients with COPD have increased pulmonary IL-22 ligand and receptor expression compared to controls, suggesting a role for IL-22 in regulating pulmonary inflammation and tissue injury in COPD. However, IL-22 may also protect against microbial infection [40] and further research is required to understand the exact role in COPD.

Cigarette smoke exposure damages the airway epithelium. Repair of the airway epithelium requires re-epithelialisation with differentiated cells types, a process orchestrated by basal cells. Cigarette smoke alters the differentiation programme of basal cells, upregulating bone morphogenetic protein 4 which inhibits differentiation into normal ciliated and secretory cells [41]. This may explain why cigarette smoke reduces mucociliary transport in smoke-exposed bronchial epithelial cells in vitro, and in a ferret model of COPD [42]. The authors observed reduced cilia beat frequency, and reduced mucous hydration resulting in more viscous mucous which is more difficult to remove from the airways. This will result in increased airflow limitation and act as a reservoir for microbial colonisation.

Myofibroblasts mediate wound repair. During chronic inflammation, this process can cause increased collagen deposition in the extracellular matrix, causing tissue fibrosis. Myofibroblasts are derived from sub-epithelial fibroblasts, epithelial cells which undergo epithelial-mesenchymal transition, or circulating fibrocytes produced by the bone marrow. The number of sub-epithelial fibrocytes are increased in the proximal and distal airway walls of patients with COPD compared to controls [43]. In addition, the numbers of fibrocytes negatively correlate with lung function and positively correlate with airway wall thickness suggesting a role for these cells in peribronchiolar fibrosis. The extracellular matrix also contains large amounts of hyaluronic acid, a glycosaminoglycan. During COPD exacerbations the levels of circulating hyaluronic acid and hyaluronidase-1 (the enzyme which degrades hyaluronic acid) increase compared to the stable state [44]. This suggests that tissue inflammation during an exacerbation alters the extracellular matrix, leading to further airway remodelling.

Eosinophilic lung inflammation occurs in a sub-group of COPD patients. In COPD bronchial biopsies, Higham et al. [45] demonstrated lower levels of sub-mucosal eosinophilic inflammation are stable over time whereas higher levels of sub-mucosal eosinophilic inflammation are more variable. These results are similar to the stability of blood eosinophil counts which are used as a biomarker to guide inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) therapy. Pulmonary eosinophilic inflammation in COPD patients occurs in microenvironments enriched for other markers of type 2 inflammation including basophils, T-helper 2 lymphocytes and group 2 innate lymphoid cells [46]. These highly concentrated areas of type 2 inflammation may drive specific tissue remodelling. Indeed, eosinophil derived IL-13 has been shown to induce matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-12 production from alveolar macrophages causing alveolar destruction in mice [47]. The authors of this study also demonstrated increased MMP-12 levels in COPD patients with eosinophilic inflammation and emphysema. Interestingly, COPD patients with an eosinophilic exacerbation had lower COPD Assessment Test scores compared to COPD patients with a bacterial exacerbation [48]. These data indicate specific pathophysiological impacts of eosinophilic inflammation which require further investigation.

Anti-viral immunity is reduced in COPD patients, increasing susceptibility to viral infections [49]. Whilst ICS dampen the anti-viral response from bronchial epithelial cells, COPD patients with a higher body mass index (BMI) experience more viral infections than those with a lower BMI (30 versus 27 kg·m$^{-2}$) [50]. In a different study, increased abdominal adiposity in COPD patients was associated with a higher risk of hospitalisation or death [51]. Overall, fat mass appears to influence disease progression. One possible reason may be increased entry points for respiratory viruses. The expression of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)2, the receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), SARS-coronavirus (CoV)-2 and human CoV NL63 is increased in the bronchial epithelium of COPD patients with a higher BMI (29 versus 23 kg·m$^{-2}$) [52]. However, data on other respiratory virus receptors is lacking. Alternatively, pulmonary adipose tissue may contribute to disease progression. Elliot et al. [53] demonstrated that peribronchial adipose tissue area was positively correlated with BMI and airway wall thickness in control and asthma patients. Furthermore, in fatal asthma cases, peribronchial adipose tissue area was positively correlated with the number of sub-epithelial eosinophils and neutrophils. Adipose tissue produces pro-inflammatory mediators which may cause further tissue inflammation and impact anti-microbial responses. Similar research should be conducted in COPD patients.
Maintenance pharmacotherapy

From 2019, in the aftermath of the introduction of single inhaler triple therapy, there was generally more focus in the literature on the use of ICS. These debates were related to the right treatment for the right patient: patient characteristics and biomarkers that could predict response to treatment [54].

A post-hoc analysis from the single triple combination inhaler containing beclometasone dipropionate (BDP), formoterol fumarate (FF) and glycopyrronium (G) (BDP/FF/G) programme (TRILOGY, TRINITY and TRIBUTE) showed that in the subgroup of patients with symptomatic COPD, severe or very severe airflow limitation, and a history of one moderate exacerbation, BDP/FF/G consistently reduced the risk of moderate-to-severe exacerbations by 22–23% in the three studies [55]. Similarly, HALPIN et al. [56] investigated in a post-hoc analysis of the IMPACT (Informing the Pathway of COPD Treatment) trial that triple therapy (FF, umeclidinium (UMEC), vilanterol (VI)) was superior to both dual combination therapy (ICS-long-acting β-agonists (LABA) (FF/VI) and dual bronchodilation (UMEC/VI)) for preventing exacerbations and improving health status and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV\textsubscript{1}), regardless of exacerbation history. These analyses therefore provide guidance on therapy for this group of symptomatic patients with only one exacerbation in the previous year who are often considered not at high risk of future exacerbations [57].

HALPIN et al. [56] further reported that blood eosinophil counts helped to discriminate different treatment effects in patients at greater risk of exacerbations (i.e. patients with frequent moderate and severe exacerbations) but that eosinophil count was less useful in patients with a single moderate exacerbation in the preceding year. Analysis based on both prior exacerbation history and blood eosinophil counts showed no significant difference in exacerbation rates with triple therapy compared with dual therapy in patients with lower eosinophil counts irrespective of prior exacerbation history. Interestingly, the comparison of the dual combinations (ICS-LABA versus LABA-long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA)) varied with previous exacerbation history and eosinophil count. Subjects with a single moderate exacerbation in the previous year had overall fewer exacerbations, with a less pronounced trend for ICS benefit at higher eosinophil counts compared to frequent exacerbators [56]. In line with this, a real-world matched historical cohort study that compared the effectiveness of triple therapy versus dual bronchodilation among patients with frequent exacerbations concluded that triple therapy was associated with a lower risk of oral corticosteroid prescriptions, and this was more pronounced with increased baseline eosinophil count, and previous exacerbation rate [58]. These results illustrate that combining previous exacerbation frequency and baseline eosinophil count helps in personalising inhaled treatment that reduces exacerbation risk.

MATHIOUDAKIS et al. [59] performed a post-hoc analysis of the ISOLDE trial, evaluating whether blood eosinophil change after ICS initiation could more accurately predict response to ICS than a single baseline eosinophil measurement; a decrease in blood eosinophils after ICS therapy was associated with a positive ICS treatment response, while an increase in eosinophils after ICS therapy revealed a patient group where ICS administration was associated with an increased risk of exacerbations and accelerated lung function decline. Having a prevalence of 20% within the study population, this cluster requires further evaluation. The authors speculate that the increased risk potentially relates to the immunosuppressive burden from ICS, in patients who do not gain benefit from them [59].

Jo et al. [60] investigated whether withdrawal compared to continuation of ICS was related to worse outcomes in terms of rehospitalisation or death or treatment for new exacerbations. Propensity score analyses were used in a retrospective cohort study of patients aged ≥65 years who were hospitalised for COPD exacerbation using the Japanese Diagnosis Procedure Combination database from July 2010 to March 2016 [60]. There was a 30% smaller risk of rehospitalisation or death and significantly less exacerbations in the group that discontinued ICS. This study suggests that a subgroup of patients might successfully discontinue ICS, but this study may have residual confounding as there is no information on the reason for discontinuation. Patients who stepped-down therapy might have been in a better general condition and ICS might have been considered not appropriate by the treating physician.

A ERS Task Force developed a guideline regarding ICS withdrawal in patients with COPD after appraising the evidence with the GRADE approach. In summary three recommendations were made: 1) conditional recommendation for the withdrawal of ICS in patients with COPD without a history of frequent exacerbations; 2) strong recommendation not to withdraw ICS in patients with blood eosinophil counts ≥300 eosinophils·μL\textsuperscript{-1}; and 3) strong recommendation to treat with one or two long-acting bronchodilators if ICS are withdrawn [61].

An interesting study aimed to identify genetic loci that modify the effects of ICS on lung function in patients with COPD. This genome-wide association study was performed for genotype-by-ICS interaction effect on FEV\textsubscript{1} decline in 802 subjects from the Lung Health Study. No single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) met genome-wide significance (p<5×10\textsuperscript{-8}). However, using a secondary defined criteria for
Several studies have recently evaluated the safety of ICS use. KENDZERSKA et al. [63] assessed pneumonia risk in a retrospective longitudinal population study of health administrative data from all insured individuals aged ≥66 years in Canada between 2003 and 2014 who met a validated case definition of physician-diagnosed COPD (COPD cohort) and/or asthma (asthma cohort) and were new ICS users (as determined by a preceding 1-year ICS-free period). Over a median follow-up of 3.7 years, 27% were hospitalised for asthma or COPD, and 23% were hospitalised for pneumonia. In an adjusted analysis, higher doses of ICS (1000 µg versus 500 µg) were not associated with improved effectiveness but with a higher risk for pneumonia hospitalisation (HR 1.06, 95% CI 1.02–1.10). Budesonide and other ICS were associated with fewer pneumonia hospitalisations and fewer asthma or COPD hospitalisations than fluticasone. The authors rightfully mention that confounding due to fluticasone being more likely to be prescribed in higher dosages in individuals with more severe disease could not be excluded [63].

Another Canadian population-based linked administrative data between the years 1997 and 2007 from the province of British Columbia, evaluated the association between lung cancer risk and ICS use in COPD patients and concluded that sustained ICS exposure may be associated with a 30% reduced risk of lung cancer (HR 0.70, 95% CI 0.61–0.80) [64]. Conversely, SUISSA et al. [65] analysed the Quebec healthcare databases to identify COPD patients from 2000 to 2014 followed until 2015. The adjusted HR of lung cancer associated with ICS exposure was 1.01 (95% CI 0.94–1.08), relative to no ICS use. SUISSA et al. [65] concluded that ICS use is not associated with a reduction in lung cancer incidence in patients with COPD and discussed that the reduction seen in the previous study may have been affected by immortal time bias.

The effectiveness of early introduction of LAMA for COPD was evaluated in patients who had completed the Tiotropium in Early-Stage COPD (Tie-COPD) trial. Participants continued or discontinued treatment according to their willingness and were followed for a maximum of 3 years. The follow-up study demonstrated an acute and persistent worsening after discontinuation of tiotropium, as indicated by FEV1, symptom score, quality-of-life score and acute exacerbation rate over a 1-year period [66]. These data argue for continuation of LAMA, even in early stage of COPD.

Prophylactic macrolide use was further evaluated in a post-hoc analysis of the previously published, double-blinded, placebo-controlled RCT MACRO in which azithromycin taken daily for 1 year reduced the risk of COPD exacerbations. The authors hypothesised that the subgroup of patients taking long-term azithromycin who experienced an index hospitalisation for an AECOPD would have a decreased risk of readmission when compared to the placebo arm. Patients receiving azithromycin did not have a significant increase in time to all-cause or respiratory-related readmission. Interestingly, increased adherence to prescribed drug, either azithromycin or placebo, was associated with increased time to readmission. The authors argue that this underlines the importance of adherence to therapy and introduces the healthy adherer effect, suggesting that adherence is an indicator of an overall healthy behaviour which may improve outcomes in RCTs [67].

Last but not least, SINGH et al. [68] reported positive results for a new kid on the block, CHF6001, an inhaled phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, that in addition to beneficial clinical effects, successfully reduced sputum eosinophils in those COPD patients with higher eosinophil counts, supporting the evidence for targeting eosinophilic inflammation in COPD with (inhaled) phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitors.

COPD exacerbation pharmacotherapy

Two international research networks focusing on COPD exacerbations have emerged recently. The Collaboration In COPD ExacerBatIons (CICERO) ERS Clinical Research Collaboration (CRC) aims to develop an international prospective, longitudinal observational study to explore clinical and mechanistic characteristics of COPD exacerbations [69], The DisEntangling Chronic Obstructive pulmonary Disease Exacerbations clinical trials NETwork (DECODE-NET) intends to launch multi-national RCTs to improve the management of COPD exacerbations, including precision medicine interventions, while improving the methodology of such trials [70].

COPD exacerbations are heterogeneous and only some are triggered by a bacterial infection, thus responding to the administration of antibiotics [4] and antibiotics are arguably overused in the absence of clinically validated, accurate, cost-effective therapeutic biomarkers [71]. PRINS et al. [72] reported an RCT evaluating whether C-reactive protein (CRP) can guide the administration of antibiotics for patients hospitalised with a COPD exacerbation. In the intervention group, antibiotics were only administered when CRP was ≥50 mg·L⁻¹ at presentation. That led to a modest (15%) decrease in antibiotic
administration without adversely impacting clinical outcomes such as the treatment failure rate, time to next exacerbation or length of stay. Identifying an appropriate CRP threshold for administering antibiotics is still needed, as another similar trial used a lower threshold [73]. While it appears that procalcitonin can safely, further decrease antibiotics administration, it is more expensive and not readily available to many clinical settings [71]. Other predictors of the need for antibiotics were evaluated in a post-hoc analysis from the TEXACOLD study. Interestingly, former smokers were three times more likely to experience treatment failure compared to current smokers [74]. Moreover, clinical characteristics of the participants, including the presence of sputum purulence, could not accurately predict the need for antibiotics.

Patient action plans for exacerbations were evaluated in a multinational RCT [75]. Within 1 year, this intervention reduced the duration of each exacerbation and the risk of having at least one respiratory-related hospitalisation. There was also a trend for fewer exacerbation days per patient per year. Overall, the effects were modest and, thus, caution is needed when implementing such interventions to avoid delaying the administration of more personalised treatments for specific exacerbations.

Extensive methodological systematic reviews identified significant methodological heterogeneity in the design of RCTs on the management of COPD exacerbations. First, there is very significant variability in the diagnostic criteria that are used for COPD exacerbations [76]. This is accompanied by a significant heterogeneity in the evaluated outcomes/end-points [32]. Only 63% of all included trials reported on the rate of treatment success or failure, while only 42% reported on their duration [32]. These factors could limit the interpretability and comparability of the trial results. For this reason, an ERS Task Force was launched to produce a core outcome set for RCTs on the management of COPD exacerbations [77]. A core outcome set is an agreed minimum set of clinically important outcomes to be evaluated in all future relevant RCTs, with the aim to improve their usability and comparability.

Physical activity, exercise and pulmonary rehabilitation

Improving the physical fitness of individuals with COPD remains a key area of research, as evidenced by the wide spectrum of physical activity-related research presented at the 2019 ERS International Congress [14] and recent publications within the ERS journals [78].

Pulmonary rehabilitation, which incorporates measures to enhance physical fitness, delivers clear multifaceted benefits but many patients are not referred to, or do not attend these services. The multiple factors leading to these missed opportunities, and how to begin addressing them, can appear opaque to service providers. Early et al. [79] published eye-opening UK-based research reporting the referral and uptake barriers perceived by referrers, pulmonary rehabilitation providers and, crucially, patients (figure 2) [79]. Their mixed-methods study identified multiple potential service barriers relating to service design, referrer training, patient beliefs and data monitoring [79]. Importantly, alongside these barriers, the authors also identified important enablers. These insights will hopefully drive meaningful service and quality improvement, leading to more joined-up care delivery across the referrer, provider and patient boundaries.

Physical activity limitations among patients with COPD can be due to the inter-related factors of airflow obstruction, dynamic hyperinflation, impaired gas exchange, cardiac dysfunction and skeletal muscle dysfunction, manifesting as breathlessness and fatigue [22, 80]. Researchers continue to seek how best to target these different disease components to allow individually tailored therapies. Long-acting bronchodilators reduce hyperinflation and remain the mainstay of long-term COPD inhaler therapy. The OTIVATO trial investigated how, among patients with moderate-to-severe COPD and baseline hyperinflation, dual LAMA-LABA (tiotropium/olodaterol) bronchodilator versus monotherapy with a LAMA (tiotropium) impacted activity-related breathlessness [81]. Dual bronchodilator therapy both decreased activity-related breathlessness, measured using the modified Borg scale, after 3-min constant speed shuttle tests, and delivered substantial improvements in inspiratory capacity relative to monotherapy [81]. Although not formally tested as part of this study, relieving exertional breathlessness by optimising bronchodilator therapy may facilitate improved longer term physical activity and also enhance the benefits offered from the exercise training provided during pulmonary rehabilitation.

During physical activity, besides becoming increasingly hyperinflated, many patients with COPD also desaturate, contributing to activity limited dyspnoea. There was uncertainty as to whether correcting this during pulmonary rehabilitation exercise training would improve rehabilitation outcomes. Alison et al. [82] investigated if 5 L·min\(^{-1}\) oxygen via nasal prongs improved endurance shuttle walk test time and Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire total score among those who, although normoxic at baseline, desaturated to <90% during exercise training. This large double blinded RCT found no difference in exercise improvements following training between the oxygen and medical air groups. While there remains debate regarding how different modalities of training may differentially benefit from supplementary oxygen [83, 84], by showing how both groups benefitted from pulmonary rehabilitation, this study...
reassures us that exercise training programmes can continue to be offered within venues without supplemental oxygen [82].

Although fatigue can limit exercise tolerance, achieving post-exercise contractile muscle fatigue may be important towards enhancing future exercise tolerance. However, many patients with COPD appear not to achieve contractile muscle fatigue during conventional pulmonary rehabilitation exercise training, perhaps because exercise is limited by other factors. CAMILLO et al. [85] investigated how incorporating downhill walking training, rather than level walking, might help improve functional exercise tolerance. While the resulting differences in 6-min walk distance following rehabilitation were not significantly different (77±46 m versus 56±47 m in the downhill versus the level walking group p=0.45), more patients from the downhill walking group exceeded the minimally importance difference following rehabilitation (94% versus 65%, respectively; p=0.03) [85]. The study also showed that this cheap and simple intervention was safe, suggesting that it is worthy of further research, especially given that this was a relatively small study.

COPD exacerbations can negatively impact health in numerous ways, including by inflicting a deterioration in physical performance and quality life. KAEBGAARD et al. [86] investigated if allocating patients to pulmonary rehabilitation within 2 weeks of discharge following hospital admission due to exacerbation, rather than after 2 months, improved physical activity outcomes. This RCT found that earlier pulmonary rehabilitation, while not altering mortality or hospital readmission rates, improved shuttle walk distance [86]. Early pulmonary rehabilitation following an acute exacerbation of COPD may therefore accelerate recovery in physical performance [86].

While pulmonary rehabilitation can deliver improvements in exercise tolerance, and many researchers focus upon how to maximise these improvements, these gains do not necessarily translate into longer term improvements in daily physical activity. ARMSTRONG et al. [87] conducted a systematic review and

---

**FIGURE 2** Barriers and enablers to pulmonary rehabilitation referral and uptake. PR: pulmonary rehabilitation; GP: general practitioner. Reproduced from [79] with permission.
meta-analysis of RCTs investigating how pedometers might be one tool which help improve physical activity among patients with COPD. Based on 19 RCTs which used pedometers either as a stand-alone intervention or in addition to pulmonary rehabilitation, they found that pedometers can improve daily physical activity [87]. They were more effective among patients with greater baseline physical activity [87]. Besides highlighting the patient subset who might most benefit from pedometers (the already more active patients), Armstrong et al. [87] highlighted the need to consider factors relating to cognition and mental health when trying to improve physical activity.

Adherence to interventions, such as pulmonary rehabilitation, can be negatively influenced by cognitive limitation and the psychosocial consequences of chronically symptomatic disease. In a secondary analysis of the PHYSACTO trial, Lavioie et al. [88] incorporated a self-management behaviour modification (SMBM) programme into all four study arms (tiotropium-olodaterol and exercise training versus tiotropium-olodaterol only versus tiotropium only versus placebo) enrolling patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. The SMBM programme aimed to increase participant motivation and confidence towards engaging in daily physical activity and the study found that all arms, even the arm with just SMBM, delivered clinically significant improvements in psychological and cognitive functioning [88]. Although not the primary focus of this study, this holistic approach may prove useful to helping deliver long-term behavioural changes which help individuals improve and sustain their physical activity.

**COPD and coronavirus disease 2019**

The interplay between coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and smoking or COPD has been extensively investigated. Studies have focused on the risk of contracting COVID-19, the clinical outcomes and appropriate treatment of patients with COPD during the pandemic. A preliminary meta-analysis of 11 case series in China and USA suggested that current smoking might be protective against contracting COVID-19 [89]. However, smoking status may have been under-reported in the included studies, which were mostly retrospective and based on routinely collected clinical data. In parallel, current smokers had higher prevalence of respiratory and cardiac diseases despite shielding measures to protect from contracting the virus [90–92]. From a mechanistic perspective, the expression of pulmonary ACE2, the entry receptor used by the novel coronavirus, is increased in COPD patients and cigarette smoking and obesity appear important risk factors, increasing the susceptibility to COVID-19 infection [52, 91–94]. For these reasons, absolute cessation of any tobacco product in any form is strongly recommended [95, 96].

There is strong evidence suggesting COPD is an important risk factor for adverse outcomes in patients with COVID-19 [91, 97]. In a retrospective study evaluating 1590 hospitalised patients with COVID-19, COPD was the strongest predictor for admission to the intensive care unit, invasive ventilation or death [98]. COPD was also a risk factor for hospitalisation and adverse outcomes in a series of 90000 unselected patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Mexico [99]. Numerous extensive and well-conducted cohort studies globally confirmed that COPD is an independent risk factor for hospitalisation, intensive care admission, ventilation and death [91]. Therefore, there is a need for shielding and early vaccination, once effective vaccines become available, for these susceptible patients.

Finally, the role of ICS in COPD therapeutics in the COVID-19 era has been discussed. Mechanistically, corticosteroids suppress the production of anti-viral interferon type 1 and 3 from the epithelial cells, which is associated with increased viral replication and excess mucin production [49, 91]. However, in selected patients with COPD, ICS use is associated with a decreased rate of exacerbations and a better quality of life [2]. More importantly, ICS can prevent hospital admissions (for COPD exacerbations) [2], and this is a crucial benefit, especially during the unfolding of the COVID-19 pandemic. In parallel, a recent systematic review revealed a paucity of clinical data on the impact of ICS on the outcomes of SARS, SARS-Cov-2 or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome [100]. Therefore, in view of the well-established clinical benefits of ICS, there is consensus that ICS use should continue when indicated, in line with GOLD recommendations, in anticipation of further, direct clinical evidence [100].
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