Ca\(^{2+}\) influx by store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (SOCs) mediates all Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent cell functions, but excess Ca\(^{2+}\) influx is highly toxic. The molecular components of SOC are the pore-forming Orai1 channel and the endoplasmic reticulum Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor STIM1. Slow Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent inactivation (SCDI) of Orai1 guards against cell damage, but its molecular mechanism is unknown. Here, we used homology modeling to identify a conserved STIM1(448–530) C-terminal inhibitory domain (CTID), whose deletion resulted in spontaneous clustering of STIM1 and full activation of Orai1 in the absence of store depletion. CTID regulated SCDI by determining access to and interaction of the STIM1 inhibitor SARAF with STIM1 Orai1 activation region (SOAR), the STIM1 domain that activates Orai1. CTID had two lobes, STIM1(448–490) and STIM1(490–530), with distinct roles in mediating access of SARAF to SOAR. The STIM1 (448–490) lobe restricted, whereas the STIM1(490–530) lobe directed, SARAF to SOAR. The two lobes cooperated to determine the features of SCDI. These findings highlight the central role of STIM1 in SCDI and provide a molecular mechanism for SCDI of Orai1.

## Introduction

Store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (SOCs) are central components of the receptor-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signal that are activated in response to Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER (Parekh and Putney, 2005). Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through SOCs mediates the plethora of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent cell functions (Parekh and Putney, 2005; Lee et al., 2010). The two components of the channels are the ER Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor STIM1 (Liou et al., 2005; Roos et al., 2005) and the pore-forming Orai1 (Feske et al., 2006; Vig et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006). Previous work defined several STIM1 domains that mediate Orai1 activation and regulation. The ER resident STIM1 N terminus has an EF hand and SAM domain, with Ca\(^{2+}\) released from the EF hand leading to oligomerization and clustering of STIM1 into puncta at the ER–plasma membrane junctions to activate Orai1 (Stathopoulos et al., 2008, 2009). The cytoplasmic C terminus of STIM1 consists of coiled-coil domain 1 (CC1), STIM1 Orai1 activation region (SOAR), serine/proline-rich domain, and polybasic lysine-rich region. The SOAR domain (344–442; also known as CAD [Park et al., 2009] and Ccb9 [Kawasaki et al., 2009]) binds to and fully activates the Orai channels (Kawasaki et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2009).

CC1 was suggested to interact with SOAR and keep it in an inactive state (Korzeniowski et al., 2010; Muik et al., 2011). In one model the interaction was proposed to be caused by coiled-coil interactions that are stabilized by hydrophobic interactions (Muik et al., 2011) and the second model proposed electrostatic interaction among four conserved glutamates (317–321) in CC1 and four conserved lysines (384–389) in SOAR (Korzeniowski et al., 2010). In the context of full-length STIM1, mutation of the acidic glutamates resulted in constitutively active STIM1 that clusters in the absence of store depletion, and mutations of the basic lysines in SOAR resulted in inactive STIM1 (Korzeniowski et al., 2010). More direct information became available recently with determination of the crystal structure of the SOAR domain and a short helix of CC1 that includes the conserved glutamates (Yang et al., 2012). The structure revealed that SOAR exists as a V-shaped dimer.
(Yang et al., 2012), as was found earlier biochemically (Yuan et al., 2009). In the structure, the basic residues in SOAR are located close to the tip in the V structure and the acidic residues in the CC1 are distant from the basic residues in SOAR.

The function and regulation of the Orai1 channel have been extensively characterized. Orai1 functions as a highly Ca$^{2+}$-selective, inward-rectifying channel (Derler et al., 2012; Engh et al., 2012). Orai1 is prominently regulated by its ligand Ca$^{2+}$ that inactivates the channel. The two known modes of inactivation are fast Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation (FCDI) with $\tau_{1/2}$ of $\approx 10$ and 100 ms (Hoth and Penner, 1993; Derler et al., 2009; Mullins et al., 2009) and slow Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation (SCDI) that takes 2–3 min (Zweifach and Lewis, 1995; Parekh, 1998). A conserved negatively charged cluster in STIM1(475–483) is required for FCDI (Derler et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Mullins et al., 2009) in conjunction with calmodulin binding domain of Orai1 (Mullins et al., 2009). The factors/mechanisms mediating SC/CDI and the role of STIM1 in SC/CDI are still obscure. However, a new protein named SARAF was reported recently to interact with STIM1 and facilitate SC/CDI (Palty et al., 2012). Human SARAF is a 339-amino acid long protein with a predicted single transmembrane domain and has an N terminus facing the ER lumen and a cytoplasmic C terminus. How SARAF interacts with STIM1 and mediates SC/CDI is not known.

In the present study, homology modeling of the conserved cytoplasmic STIM1(234–535) ERM domain was performed based on the crystal structure of SOAR (Yang et al., 2012). The SOAR portion of the model exhibits a high degree of homology with the crystal structure of SOAR and correctly predicts the position of the CC1 inhibitory helix with the four glutamates and the position of the four lysines in SOAR. The model predicts a highly conserved STIM1(447–530) domain C terminal to SOAR at the boundary of SOAR dimerization. We named this domain the C-terminal inhibitory domain (CTID) because perturbing this region resulted in constitutive, store-independent clustering of STIM1 and activation of Orai1. Molecular, biochemical, and functional studies reveal that CTID functions to determine access of SARAF to SOAR to regulate both FCDI and SCDI. In the resting state SARAF binds to SOAR in STIM1 and activation of Orai1 requires dissociation of SARAF to release SOAR and allow it to interact with and activate Orai1. Interestingly, all forms of activation of STIM1, including mutation in CC1, the EF hand mutant D76A, and deletion of CTID prevent access of SARAF to SOAR. These findings provide a molecular mechanism to explain SCDI and the regulation of STIM1 by SARAF to activate Orai1 and Ca$^{2+}$ influx.

**Results**

**Identification of CTID**

Previous work showed that the SOAR domain is sufficient to fully activate the Orai channels (Yuan et al., 2009) and that SOAR is sequestered within STIM1 in part by CC1 (Korzeniowski et al., 2010; Muik et al., 2011). How SOAR is sequestered and how the sequestration is regulated during activation of Ca$^{2+}$ influx is not known. The structures of the human and Caenorhabditis elegans SOAR reported recently (Yang et al., 2012) offered an opportunity to begin to address these questions. Because the cytoplasmic domain of STIM1(234–685) activates Orai1 and retains key regulatory functions of STIM1 (Huang et al., 2006; Korzeniowski et al., 2010), it was submitted to the structure prediction sites Robetta and I-Tasser for homology modeling, which generated nine different structures. Most structures have poor (I-Tasser) to intermediate Q-mean scores, but upon further analysis of STIM1(234–530) one of the structures shown in Fig. S1 d closely predicted the structure of SOAR and the relative position of the short CC1 helix αA, the position of the four glutamates in CC1 and the four lysines in SOAR (Fig. S1 b, and d), and SOAR alignment with the reported crystal structure (Fig. S1 c). Alignment of the CC1 helix αA could not be performed because the sequences of the human and C. elegans helices are not conserved. The STIM1 ERM domain that includes CC1, SOAR, and STIM1(447–535) is highly conserved and thus we focused on this domain. The model predicts that the domain C terminal to SOAR is in position to interfere with dimerization of SOAR that is required for activation of Orai1 (Yang et al., 2012). We reasoned that this domain might regulate activation of SOAR and thus named it the CTID. CTID is highly conserved among all the vertebrates (Fig. S2) and to study its function we generated several deletions based on the predicted helices, as depicted in Fig. S1 (a and d).

**CTID Functions as an inhibitory domain**

To analyze the function of CTID, we deleted the entire CTID and fragments within from STIM1 and determined the effect of the deletions on [Ca$^{2+}$], (native SOC) and on Orai1 current. Fig. 1 a shows that deletion of CTID (STIM1(448–530)) and the smaller fragments STIM1(447–460) ($\Delta$447–460), STIM1(448–490) ($\Delta$448–490), STIM1(490–521) ($\Delta$490–521), and STIM1(448–521) ($\Delta$448–521) markedly activated Ca$^{2+}$ influx in the absence of store depletion, as expected from deletion of an inhibitory domain. Accordingly, all STIM1 deletion constructs spontaneously clustered when expressed alone or with Orai1. Selective examples of clustering in the absence of Orai1 are shown in Fig. S3. Activation of Ca$^{2+}$ influx and clustering required intact SOAR domain. Fig. 1 b shows that mutation of the four lysines in SOAR eliminated activation of Ca$^{2+}$ influx by the deletion of CTID and of $\Delta$447–460. Fig. 1 c and d shows that the deletion constructs also activated Orai1 current in the absence of store depletion, similar to SOAR. The extent of constitutive activity as compared with the peak current value was as follows: SOAR, 89 ± 5%; $\Delta$448–521, 84 ± 3%; $\Delta$447–460, 73 ± 11%; and $\Delta$490–521, 73 ± 7%. The small differences in the maximal spontaneous currents likely reflect partial interaction of the constructs showing smaller spontaneous current with SARAF (see Fig. 3). Hence, CTID functions to maintain STIM1 in an inactive state and disruption of this domain by any of the deletions tested resulted in release of SOAR and activation of Ca$^{2+}$ influx and Orai1.
we determined the effect of deleting CTID and CTID fragments on Orai1 inactivation. FCDI was measured after Orai1 activation by store depletion with 10 mM EGTA. As reported before, Fig. 2 (a and b) shows that Orai1 activated by SOAR does not undergo FCDI observed with wild-type STIM1 (Derler et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Mullins et al., 2009). FCDI is also eliminated by deletion of CTID and of Δ448–521 but not of Δ490–521. However, unexpectedly, FCDI was also nearly eliminated by Δ447–460 and Δ490–504, although they include the negatively charged sequence. FCDI with STIM1 was fitted by two exponentials with $\tau_1 = 12.3 \pm 1.2$ and $\tau_2 = 69.3 \pm 6.4$ ms, whereas Δ448–460 ($\tau_1 = 25 \pm 7$) and Δ490–504 ($\tau_1 = 22 \pm 4$) showed small and slower $\tau_1$ and lost $\tau_2$ (Fig. 2, example fits and fitness are shown in the inset; and results are summarized in Table S1). Deletion of STIM1(460–475) and of STIM1(475–490) resulted in a STIM1 mutant that strongly inhibited SOC and Orai1 (as discussed later). The findings with Δ447–460 and Δ490–504 indicate that the negatively charged sequence is
not sufficient for FCDI and requires additional elements of CTID, in particular the flanking STIM1(490–504) and STIM1(448–475) or at least STIM1(447–460).

A key regulatory mode of Orai1 is the SCDI that inactivates Orai1 over several minutes to restrict Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and prevent cell toxicity (Parekh and Putney, 2005). The role of STIM1 in SCDI and the mechanism and regulation of SCDI is not known. To determine a role of CTID in SCDI, we measured Orai1 current in the presence of a low concentration of EGTA (1.2 mM) to facilitate the measurement of SCDI (Zweifach and Lewis, 1995). The results are shown in Fig. 2c. After store depletion by dialysis with 1.2 mM EGTA for 5 min in the absence of external Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, the CRAC current was initiated by addition of 10 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to the bath. Under these conditions the current evoked by STIM1 and Orai1 inactivated by 76 ± 4% within 2.5 min of current initiation. Orai1 activated by SOAR showed only 39 ± 7% inactivation. Deletion of CTID and Δ448–521 reduced SCDI to 32 ± 9% and 44 ± 6%, respectively, similar to the findings with SOAR.

**CTID controls interaction of SARAF with SOAR**

Recently, it has been reported that a single membrane span, ER-localized protein named SARAF facilitates SCDI of Orai1 current (Palty et al., 2012). The SARAF binding domain in STIM1 and the possible effect of CTID on SARAF function is not known. To address these issues, we first measured interaction of SARAF with SOAR and the STIM1 deletion mutants. Fig. 3a shows that SARAF coimmunoprecipitates with SOAR and, importantly, mutation of the four lysines in SOAR (SOAR(4K/4A)) eliminates the interaction. In Fig. 3b and d, we assayed the coimmunoprecipitate of SARAF with several constructs within the STIM1 ERM domain (233–535) and show that all constructs that include SOAR interact with SARAF, but the CTID-inclusive STIM1(445–550) does not. Hence, it is clear that SARAF interacts with a wild-type, functional SOAR.

Next we tested how deletion of CTID and fragments within CTID affect interaction of SARAF with STIM1. Fig. 3c shows that deletion of CTID, STIM1(448–521), and the C terminal lobe of CTID, STIM1(490–521), nearly eliminated interaction of STIM1 with SARAF. In contrast, deletion of the N-terminal lobe of CTID, STIM1(448–489), or STIM1(463–475) had no effect on the interaction of STIM1 with SARAF, whereas Δ447–460 and Δ475–490 showed prominent enhanced interaction with SARAF (Fig. 3e). Fig. 3d shows that the enhanced interaction of Δ447–460 and Δ475–490 was markedly reduced by disruption of SOAR with the 4K/4A mutation.

Further evidence for enhanced interaction of Δ447–460 and Δ475–490 with SARAF and elimination of the interaction by STIM1(ΔCTID) was obtained by determining their localization. Fig. 4 shows that Δ448–490, Δ447–460, and Δ475–490 localize at a near plasma membrane domain and recruit SARAF to this domain. In contrast, STIM1(ΔCTID) that also localized at a near plasma membrane domain had no effect on SARAF localization, which remained in the ER.

The findings in Figs. 3 and 4 suggest that CTID controls access of SARAF to SOAR. To study the functional significance of this, we analyzed the role of CTID in SARAF-mediated facilitation of SCDI. The results are shown in Fig. 5 and the extent...
When SOAR is active SARAF does not bind to STIM1

The self-clustering and constitutive activation of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx by STIM1 with deleted CTID raised the question of whether other STIM1 mutants that activate Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in the absence of store depletion also affect interaction of STIM1 with SARAF and thus SCDI. We tested this possibility using two STIM1 mutants: STIM1 in which the four negatively charged glutamates in CC1 were mutated to alanines (Korzeniowski et al., 2010) and the D76A mutation in the EF hand (Liou et al., 2005). Fig. 6 shows that STIM1(D76A) and STIM1(4E/4A) potently activate Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in the absence of store depletion and this influx is not inhibited by SARAF. Fig. 6 b shows the slow rate and extent of SCDI of the Orai1 current activated by STIM1(4E/4A) and STIM1(D76A) (33 ± 7%), which was unaffected by SARAF. Moreover, 4E/4A mutations in 447–460 eliminated the strong inhibition of the current by SARAF (compare Figs. 5 e and 6 b). Fig. 6 (c and d) shows that the D76A mutant and mutating the four glutamates in STIM1 and in the indicated STIM1 deletion constructs markedly reduce their interaction with SARAF. Equally important, disruption of SOAR by mutating the four lysines reduced interaction with SARAF in all STIM1 deletion mutants as well. Hence, in fully activated STIM1, SARAF does not access SOAR and, consequently, Orai1 current by activated STIM1 does not undergo SCDI.

We noticed that even with the fully active STIM1(ΔCTID), STIM1(4E/4A), and STIM1(D76A), some inactivation (~30%) was still evident and SARAF had no effect on this inactivation. To test if this inactivation is mediated by Ca\(^{2+}\) we measured the inactivation in the presence of 10 nM BAPTA (fast Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer). Fig. S5 shows that in the presence of BAPTA the slow
inactivation was nearly eliminated when Orai1 was activated by STIM1, SOAR STIM1(ΔCTID), STIM1(4E/4A), or STIM1(D76A) and that SARAF had no further effect. This suggests that the residual inactivation is also SCDI and thus SCDI has two components, one that is mediated by SARAF and one that is independent of SARAF. The nature and regulation of the SARAF-independent SCDI is unknown at present.

Discussion

The present study provides molecular information on the mechanism of SCDI of the Orai1 current, a key regulatory mode of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx. We discovered that the STIM1(448–530) domain C terminal to SOAR functions as an inhibitory domain that regulates the access of the STIM1 inhibitor SARAF to the STIM1 activation domain SOAR. We named this domain CTID to reflect its location and function. CTID is highly conserved in all vertebrates (Fig. S2). The combined findings of the interaction of SARAF with the STIM1 constructs (Figs. 3, 4, 6, S3, and S4) led to several conclusions: (a) because deletion of CTID eliminates SCDI, CTID is the STIM1 domain that controls SCDI of Orai1; (b) SARAF coimmunoprecipitates with SOAR, but not with STIM1 or constitutively active STIM1, SOAR STIM1(ΔCTID), STIM1(4E/4A), or STIM1(D76A) and that SARAF had no further effect. This suggests that the residual inactivation is also SCDI and thus SCDI has two components, one that is mediated by SARAF and one that is independent of SARAF. The nature and regulation of the SARAF-independent SCDI is unknown at present.

CTID appears to have two functional lobes, STIM1(448–490) and STIM1(490–530). Homology modeling (Fig. S1 d) suggests that the STIM1(448–490) lobe wraps around and is in contact with the αC helix of SOAR, whereas the STIM1(490–530) lobe does not contact SOAR but bulges from the STIM1(448–490) lobe. STIM1(448–490) and STIM1(490–530) cooperate to control access of SARAF to SOAR. Thus, deletion of STIM1(448–490) and, in particular, STIM1(447–460) and STIM1(475–490) markedly enhances interaction of SARAF with STIM1 (Fig. 3 e), suggesting that deletion of STIM1(448–490) results in STIM1 conformation in which SARAF can access SOAR to inhibit Orai1 and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx. Hence, the STIM1(448–490) lobe functions to restrict access of SARAF to SOAR. In contrast, deletion of the STIM1(490–530) prevents access of SARAF to SOAR (Figs. 3 and 5), suggesting that the STIM1(490–530) lobe directs SARAF to SOAR. The four glutamates of CC1 appear to be in contact with the STIM1(448–490) lobe and their mutation likely disrupts the wrapping of SOAR αC helix by the STIM1(448–490) to restrict access of SARAF to SOAR, resulting in an active STIM1.

An interesting finding is that SOAR alone binds SARAF, yet all activated forms of STIM1 that expose SOAR prevent access of SARAF to SOAR. This suggests a potential dynamic regulation of STIM1 function by SARAF. It is clear that SOAR is occluded in STIM1 or the ERM domain by CC1 (Muik et al., 2011) that is likely mediated by the inhibitory helix containing the four glutamates (Yang et al., 2012). CTID may stabilize this conformation and direct SARAF to SOAR to set the STIM1 inactive state. Once SOAR is released by unfolding of the structure, SARAF access to SOAR is restricted, at least transiently, to set the STIM1 active state. SARAF should regain access to
SOAR to be able to facilitate the SCDI. Because SOAR can fully activate Orai1 (Yuan et al., 2009), the conformation of SOAR domain alone must be the active conformation. Because SOAR alone binds SARAF (Fig. 3), it is possible that it represents the active SOAR conformation in STIM1 that regains access to SARAF. This interpretation has some support in the observation that SARAF interaction with STIM1 is enhanced by store depletion (Palty et al., 2012).

Based on the present findings we suggest that in the resting, inactive state when the stores are filled with Ca$^{2+}$ the CC1-SOAR-CTID domains of STIM1 are in a conformation in which the CTID lobes allow access of SARAF to SOAR to keep SOAR in an inactive state. Activation of STIM1 by store depletion or by the mutants STIM1(ΔCTID), STIM1(D76A), and STIM1(4E/4A) releases SARAF to activate STIM1 and Ca$^{2+}$ influx. An additional conformational transition of STIM1 that is likely facilitated by the STIM1(490–530) lobe may result in partial reinteraction of SARAF with SOAR to mediate the SCDI. In this model SARAF not only mediates the SCDI but also serves to keep STIM1 in an inactive state when the stores are filled with Ca$^{2+}$. Further studies are needed to test this hypothetical model.

**Materials and methods**

**Solutions, reagents, and clones**

The STIM1, Orai1, and SOAR clones have been previously described (Yuan et al., 2009). STIM1 clone was generated by cloning into pCDNA7.1GFP at EcoR I (5') and Nol I sites (3') sites. SOAR was generated by PCR and cloned into pEGFP-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.) vector using EcoR I (5') and Hind III (3') site. Orai1 clone was generated by cloning into Red-p3xFLAG vector at the Not I (5') site and Sall (3') sites. The mcherry-Red is downstream and next to the 3x Flag and was cloned into the HindIII site. The STIM1 C-terminal fragment 445–550 was PCR cloned into pEGFP-C1 (Takara Bio Inc.) vector at Bgl II (5') and Hind III (3') sites with EGFP tag at the N terminus. The STIM1 deletion mutants were generated using the QuikChange Lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit from Agilent Technologies. The human TMEM66 clone (SARAF) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (GenBank accession no. BC015012). TMEM66 was PCR cloned into pCMV6-AC-myc plasmid (Origene) at HindIII (5') and MluI (3') sites with Myc tag at the C terminus. The polyclonal anti-GFP and HRP-conjugated anti-GFP primary antibodies and the HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Life Technologies. The monoclonal anti-Myc antibody was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology.

**siRNA and RT-PCR**

The scrambled RNA sequence used as control for siRNA experiments was 5'-CGUAAUCGCUGAAUACCCGUA-3' (sense) and 5'-AUACCCGUGAAUACGCUGAUAGC-3' (antisense) and the siRNA duplex for TMEM66 was 5'-CCAGGAGCCGUAAAUAGAGT3' (sense) and 5'-AACUUCUACGUUCGUUCGCCGUA-3' (antisense). HEK293 cells were plated at 70–80% confluence and transfected with duplexes after 12 h (100 nM/well) in a 6-well plate. The cells were harvested after 48 h; RNA was extracted using the TRIzol reagent and the mRNA levels were determined by quantitative PCR as described in Seth et al. (2009).

In brief, isolated mRNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA by the iscript cDNA synthesis kit from Bio-Rad Laboratories. The cDNA from the control and siRNA transfected cells was used for quantitative RT-PCR. The primers for quantitative RT-PCR for TMEM66 and GAPDH were purchased from Applied Biosystems. The fold change in the transcript levels of TMEM66 was calculated by normalizing the Ct values from control and siRNA-transfected cells (threshold values) to GAPDH. The plasmids for the STIM1 and mutants were transfected after 48 h of siRNA transfection and the cells were imaged for Ca$^{2+}$ or used for current measurement after 12 h of transfection.

**Western blotting and communoprecipitation**

Communoprecipitation and Western blotting were performed as described in Yuan et al. (2009). In brief, the cells were harvested in 500 µl of binding buffer (PBS containing 1 mM NaVO$_4$, 10 mM NaPyrophosphate, 50 mM NaF, pH 7.4, and 1% Triton X-100), sonicated, and spun down at 30,000 g for 20 min. For the communoprecipitation experiments, Myc antibody (1 µg) was added to 100 µl of cell extract and incubated overnight at 4°C. 50 µl of 1:1 slurry of protein G Sepharose 4B beads was added to the antibody-extract mix and incubated for an additional 4 h at 4°C. Beads were washed three times for 10 min with binding buffer and proteins were released from the beads with 50 µl of SDS loading buffer. Protein was loaded onto 8% tris-glycine SDS-PAGE gels, which was transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membrane for Western blot analysis.

**Measurement of Ca$^{2+}$ concentration**

The concentration of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ was measured ~12 h after transfection using a TILL photonics Ca$^{2+}$ imaging system. Intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ was
measured by loading the cells with Fura2. Fura2 fluorescence was recorded at excitation wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm and collecting the light emitted at wavelength above 500 nm. Cells were plated on coverslips that form the bottom of a perfusion chamber and were continuously perfused with a worm (37°C) solution. The standard bath solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM Hepes, 10 mM glucose, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and either 1 mM CaCl2 or 0.2 mM EGTA (Ca2+-free). When desired, Ca2+ store depletion was achieved by treating the cells with 25 μM cyclopiazonic acid, a SERCA pump inhibitor. Changes in Ca2+ were given as the changes in Fura2 340/380 ratios. Results are presented as the mean ± SEM of results from at least three experiments and 30–60 cells.

Confocal imaging

HEK293 cells were plated on glass bottom plates (MatTek Corporation) and transfected with tagged STIM1-YFP, STIM1-CFP, or the mutants and SARAF-YFP for 12–16 h. The images were captured at room temperature with a confocal system (FV1000; Olympus) equipped with a UplanSapo 60× oil immersion objective (NA 1.35; Olympus) at 4x zoom. Images were processed with Photoshop CS3 (Adobe).

Electrophysiology

HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium and 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with Orai1, STIM1, or the STIM1 mutants with or without SARAF in a 1:2:2 ratio for 2–4 h at 37°C. To reduce Ca2+ toxicity in all constitutively active mutants, the cells were maintained in media supplemented with 1.5 mM EGTA to reduce the free Ca2+ concentration.

On the day of experiment, transfected cells were plated on square coverslips in 35-mm Petri dishes and incubated with culture medium for at least 1 h to allow attachment to the coverslip. Experiments were performed at room temperature using the tight-seal whole-cell configuration. Patch clamp pipettes were pulled from glass capillaries (Warner Instruments) using a vertical puller (PC-10; Narishige) and had a resistance of 5–7 MΩ when filled with the pipette solution. Whole cell currents were recorded using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices) with low-pass filtering at 1 kHz. The currents were digitized at a sampling frequency of 5 kHz and stored directly to a hard drive by Digidata 1322 (Axon Instruments). The capacitance transient current was compensated for by using the Axopatch 200B amplifier. Current recording was done with PClamp 10 software, and analysis was done with the help of Clampfit software. The current was recorded by 400-ms rapid alterations of membrane potential from −100 to +100 mV from a holding potential of 0 mV. Subsequent rapid alterations of membrane potentials were spaced at 4 s intervals. FCDI was performed by 1-s voltage steps of −100 mV from a holding potential of 0 mV. Step voltage pulses were applied when current was fully developed. For determination of peak currents were taken from the current recorded 3 ms after the start of the pulse to minimize contributions from capacitive current. Steady-state currents were measured at the end of the pulse. The current traces evoked by the −100 mV pulse were fitted to a double exponential function to analyze the kinetic parameters as follows: $y = y_0 + A_{\infty} e^{-t/t_1} + A_{\infty} e^{-t/t_2}$, where $y_0$ is the steady-state current, $A_{\infty}$, and $t_2$ are the amplitude relative of the fast and slow exponentials, respectively, and $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the time constants of the corresponding exponentials. The means of multiple experiments are given as mean ± SEM of the number of experiments performed. Differences between groups were analyzed by unpaired Student’s t-test and significance is considered at p-values <0.05. The current recorded at −100 mV was used to calculate current density as pA/pF (picofarads).

To measure SCID, the pipette solution contained 135 mM CsCl, 6 mM MgCl2, 1.2 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES pH 7.2 with CsOH. For FCDI, 1.2 mM EGTA in the pipette solution was replaced by 10 mM EGTA. To record constitutive current, 1.2 mM EGTA was replaced by 10 mM BAPTA and cells were kept in bath solution containing 1 mM CaCl2. Once whole cell is achieved external solution was rapidly changed to bath solution containing 10 mM CaCl2. In SCID recording, after establishing the whole cell configuration, the cells were kept in Ca2+-free solution for 5–5 min to allow store depletion before exposing the cells to bath solution containing 10 mM Ca2+. The standard bath solution contained 130 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM Hepes with or without 10 mM CaCl2 pH 7.4 with NaOH.

Homology modeling

The human C-terminal STIM1 sequence (amino acids 234–485; NCBI Protein database accession no. NM_003156.3) was submitted to the full-chain protein structure prediction server Robetta (Kim et al., 2004) and i-Tasser. Robetta generated five models and i-Tasser four models. The quality estimates were determined by the Q-mean server (Benkert et al., 2011). This server provides a quality score by two methods. First, it compares the model with statistical properties of known structures. Second, it uses the information contained in ensemble of models for a given sequence using an all against all structural comparison of the model, also called composite scoring. We used the second method for our quality estimation. Q-mean is parametr by mean 0 and 1. Scores equivalent to 1 are similar to crystalline structure. The I-Tasser models had Q-mean scores between 0.2 and 0.25 and were not considered further. The Robetta models had Q-mean scores of 0.449, 0.480, 0.447, 0.431, and 0.430. Although all scores are low, the model with Q-mean score of 0.447 had a confidence of 0.8 and predicted reasonably well the structure of SOAR and the position of the inhibitory helix (see Fig. S1), and was thus considered further. The software completed the model using a de novo modeling source. The coordinates provided by the Robetta server were used to generate the final model with PyMol software (Schrödinger, LLC). Next we aligned the structure of STIM1(344–442) with the recently available crystal structure of SOAR (Yang et al., 2012) in Pymol software (Fig. S1 c). These two structures showed a high degree of alignment.

Online supplemental material

Online supplemental material contains five figures and one table. Figs. S1–S5 show the STIM1 homology model, sequence alignment of CTID, localization of several STIM1 deletion mutants, properties of STIM1(347–490), and elimination of the SARAF-dependent SCID by 10 mM BAPTA. Table S1 summarizes the mean kinetic parameters and extent of FCDI and SCID. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201301148/DC1.
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