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Abstract

Nowadays, researchers continue studies for alternative materials to replace the redundant petroleum-based products. The combination of various polymer mixture process mainly from bio-polymer material as a matrix property could reduce the dependence over petroleum-based polymer, thus the dangerous residue waste from the synthetic polymer in the fabrication process could be eliminated and produce better composite material with lower cost and high performance of composite material in numerous applications. In this study, the effect of bio-phenolic loading and curing temperature on the mechanical properties of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends was investigated. Bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends were fabricated with different loading of bio-phenolic resin (5(P-5), 10(P-10), 15(P-15), 20(P-20) and 25(P-25) wt%) and different curing temperature was used which is 100 °C, 130 °C and 150 °C. The overall mechanical properties of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends were improved as bio-phenolic loading increase and curing temperature increase. Based on the analysis, bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends with 20 wt% bio-phenolic showed the best overall mechanical properties. Besides that, 150 °C curing temperature was the most suitable curing temperature for bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends based on the overall mechanical properties.

Introduction

The phenolic resins were the first fully synthetic resins has exploited, and the first polymeric materials produced commercially from basic low molecular weight compounds [1]. Phenolic resin is also has known as a polymer that has been used in many applications, for instance, coating, adhesive, composites wood materials, laminates, paper, and natural fiber composites [2]. Besides that, phenolic resin was favourable economics and have excellent properties of high thermal stability and nonflammability features which are fit for interior application and other components in the automotive, aerospace and marine transportation industries [2–5] Meanwhile, biomass is the world's most viable source of organic carbon, providing about 14% of the world's energy needs and also serving as a source of biofuels and valuable chemical compounds, besides, the use of biomass in bio-phenolic resin could sustain the resources of raw material, a green energy source with a CO₂ neutral global balance, and its use for energy has recently sparked a lot of interest around the world [6,7]

Compared to phenolic resin, epoxy has better mechanical properties. Therefore, it has been used widely in many applications including high performance composites materials and the most frequent thermoset polymer in aircraft structures application [8]. Because of their good mechanical properties, low cost, high specific strength, good heat and solvent tolerance, and super adhesiveness, therefore epoxy-based composite materials are commonly used in load-bearing applications, such as automotive, aerospace, building, oil and gas, and marine industries. Moreover, the value of the cured resin, which includes good adhesion to many substrates, relatively high durability (especially when rubber modified), high electrical resistivity, good environmental resistance, low shrinkage, and so on, as well as the ease with which the curing reaction can be tailored to accommodate the fabricating process and others industrial demand [9,10]
However, the drawback of using phenolic is brittleness and shrinkage, thus, not suitable to be used in advanced engineering areas [2,11] Meanwhile, the phenol and formaldehyde (PF) resin is very costly due to the high and fluctuating price of petroleum-based phenol and it has been a considerable push factor in recent decades to replace the raw materials phenol and formaldehyde in the synthetic phase to other renewable bio-based raw materials [7]. Furthermore, the primary source of raw materials for industrial phenol and formaldehyde processing is currently sourcing from petroleum-based material, thus, the various effort has been made to reduce phenol’s reliance on petroleum-based due to the massive amounts emitted per year, the decline of fossil fuels, and the environmental issues connected with the use of fossil resources [1,12–14] Otherwise, epoxy has poor thermal and flammability properties compared to phenolic resin, despite it has high mechanical properties, hence, is not suitable to be used in applications that involve heat and flame. Epoxy-based composites are structurally versatile materials that may fulfill the requirements of many applications, but they suffer from insufficient fire resistance and thus the addition of flame retardants (FRs) is often necessary [15].

Numerous study has been exposed on the effect of polymer blend on varies polymer blends process, two or more polymer combination such as thermoplastic–thermoplastic blends, thermoplastic–thermosetting blends, thermoplastic–rubber blends, rubber–thermosetting blends, and polymer–filler blends are the five major categories of polymer blends has extensively studied and generate unique properties into a single system material [16–18]. Besides, the properties of a heterogeneous polymer blend, in general, are determined by the consistency of the polymer phases, while the polymer blend will be miscible if there are strong interactions between the phases [19–21] Asyadi et al. [3] have study on thermoplastic polymer blends which the blends between acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) and polycarbonate (PC). The distinctive ratios of ABS to PC (30:70, 40:60, 50:50) were used and it was concluded that blends with a ratio of 30:70 (ABS: PC) are the optimum formulation. Meanwhile, the study on polymer blend of thermoset with thermoset polymer was conducted by Rohde et al. [22] have described that the polymer blend study of epoxy and polydicyclopentadiene has improved the fracture toughness while maintaining the tensile strength modulus of the composite.

Besides, polymer blend studies of polyvinyl butyral with epoxy was studied by Sultan et al. [23], has shown the results of 40:60 (epoxy: polyvinyl butyral) composition in different weight ratio, curing time and curing temperature (30 min and 160°C) was the ideal formulation in polymer blend of epoxy and polyvinyl butyral. Otherwise, the study by Hiremath et al. [24] on the effect of varying post-curing temperature of epoxy/alumina polymer has indicated the post-curing at a temperature below the glass transition temperature of epoxy increased the viscoelastic and flexural properties of PNCs, while post-curing at a temperature above the glass transition temperature of epoxy damaged the properties of both neat epoxy and nanocomposites. Meanwhile, Zareanshahraki et al. [25] have indicated the developed UV-Curable acrylate-siloxane blends showed promising stability and transparency due to the benefits of curing with radiation and polymer mixing. The combination of polymer blends is the cheapest way to produce new material compared to polymer synthesis and it will produce a new combination of material with improved properties and morphologies. Besides that, polymer blends have other advantages such as better processability, tailor ability to specific needed, quick formulation changes, reduced number of
grades need to manufacture and stored, and recyclability of blends achieved by control of morphology [26]. Consequently, the production of phenolic compounds from non-petroleum sources such as bio-phenolic resin has been determined in this present study.

Thus, in this present study, the bio-phenolic resin was prepared in the laboratory by the response of phenol and formaldehyde with the presence of a catalyst. Different weight percent parameters of epoxy were used which are 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 wt%. Moreover, four types of phenolic resin were synthesized which phenol novolac resin and substitute phenol (t-butyl, phenol, p-cresol and cardanol). To utilize the advantages of both polymers, the polymer blends of phenolic/epoxy with distinct weight percent of phenolic was added in epoxy resin (2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 wt%) where this mixture will improve the combinations of novolac resin properties. Therefore, the result in this study has expected that the combination of bio-phenolic resin with epoxy resin will improve mechanical properties with the effect of curing temperature parameter.

Materials And Methods

2.1 Material

The bio-phenolic resin was procured from Chemovate Girinagar, Banglore, India. D.E.R * 331 epoxy resin and epoxy hardener jointmine 905-3S was supplied by Tazdiq Engineering Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia. Teflon sheet was obtained from Evergreen Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia (Fig. 1).

2.2 Fabrication of Composites

The bio-phenolic resin was mixed with epoxy resin and stirred using a mechanical stirrer for about 10 minutes until all powder was evenly circulated in the epoxy. The mixed ratio of epoxy/hardener was 2:1, where the process of mixing was using a mechanical stirrer machine and the stirring process was 2 - 4 minutes under room temperature condition. Afterward, the mixing of epoxy/hardener was poured into a designated stainless-steel mold. Teflon sheets were put between mold and steel plate. The mixture was poured into the stainless-steel mold with dimension 150 mm × 150 mm × 3 mm and placed in the hot press for 15 minutes at temperature of 100 °C. The composite was removed from the mold after 10 minutes cooled down. The formulation of fabrications is shown in Table 1. The experiment was repeated using different curing temperature which is 130 °C and 150 °C. The prepared samples were shown in Fig. 2.

2.3 Experiment

2.3.1 Tensile properties

Tensile test was conducted as per ASTM D-3039 using a 30 kN Bluehill INSTRON 5567 universal testing machine. The samples with measurement 120 mm × 20 mm × 3 mm were cut using bandsaw JETMAC JMWBS-14. The testing speed used was 2 mm/min and 60 mm gauge length were used. The sample was
placed in 23 ± 3 °C condition chamber at 50 ± 10 % of relative humidity condition for 24 hours. Each formulation has tested with five replications specimens and was presented in average value.

2.3.2 **Flexural properties**

Flexural test was conducted as per ASTM D-790 using a 30 kN Bluehill INSTRON 5567 universal testing machine. Each specimen was cut using bandsaw JETMAC JMWBS-14 with dimension 127 mm × 12.7 mm × 3 mm. The support span used was 16 times the depth of the sample and the crosshead speed used was analyzed using Eq. 1. Therefore, for this experiment, five sample replicates were tested where the sample was placed in 23 ± 3°C condition chamber at 50 ± 10 % of relative humidity condition for 24 hours.

*See formula 1 in the supplementary files.*

where:

R = rate of crosshead motion, mm/min,

L = support span, mm,

d = depth of beam, mm

2.3.3 **Impact properties**

Impact test was conducted as per ASTM D 256 using a Ray Ran advanced universal pendulum impact tester (RR/IMT) (Nuneaton, UK) The samples were cut using a band saw JETMAC JMWBS-14 with dimension 63.5 mm × 12.7 mm × 3 mm. Each formulation has tested with five replications specimens and was presented in average value.

**Results And Discussion**

**3.1 Tensile strength**

The effect of curing temperature on the tensile strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends with different bio-phenolic loading was shown in Fig. 3. Based on the finding, it showed that tensile strength was increase as bio-phenolic loading increase from 5 wt% to 20 wt% and further increase in bio-phenolic loading to 25 wt% has shown a decline in tensile strength. A similar trend was shown regardless of the curing temperature used. The tensile strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends was affected by the curing temperature. It was shown that increase in curing temperature from 100 °C to 150 °C has improved the tensile strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blend. The increasing trend was shown for all formulation. Improvement in tensile strength as curing temperature increase was due to the increase in the degree of cross-linking. Many factors will affect the strength of the polymer such as molecular weight, crosslinking, and crystallinity. An increase in the degree of crosslinking will provide additional bonds between molecules and increase the network formation. As a result, more load is required to break the
bonds which lead to increase in tensile strength. Meanwhile, the investigation on tensile strength by Peng & Zeng [18] on the performance of the phenolic with 5% mix epoxy resin systems has recorded that the tensile strength with value 20 MPa.

Besides that, lower tensile strength at 100 °C and 130 °C compared to 150 °C curing temperature might be due to the bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends do not fully cure at this temperature. Epoxy resin can be cured at room temperature with post-curing or applied heat using a hot press to increase the curing rate. This method is used by most researchers for epoxy resin. However, to cured phenolic resin used in this study, heat is needed and about 150 °C. The curing temperature was important since if it is too low, the phenolic resin will not fully be cured. The nature of phenolic resin used in this study which needs a high curing temperature has affected the curing temperature of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. Therefore, the right curing temperature is needed to fabricate a fully cured polymer. A fully cured polymer will have better strength because most of the molecules are crosslinked and more energy needs to break the bonds.

3.2 Tensile modulus

The tensile modulus of different loading bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends with different curing temperatures was shown in Fig. 4. Based on Fig. 4 it is shown that the tensile modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends at 100 °C curing temperature was increased gradually as bio-phenolic loading increase. Increasing in tensile modulus with increase in bio-phenolic loading was due to the properties of phenolic which have higher tensile modulus compared to epoxy [27]. A similar trend was shown for curing temperatures at 130 °C and 150 °C. When comparisons for each formulation were made, it was shown that increase in curing temperature has a negative effect on the tensile modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. The tensile modulus showed decreasing trend as curing temperature increase from 100 °C to 150 °C.

3.3 Flexural strength

The flexural strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends with various bio-phenolic loading and curing temperature were shown in Fig. 5. Curing temperature affects the flexural strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. At 100 °C and 150 °C curing temperature, it was shown that flexural strength was increase as bio-phenolic loading increase from 5 wt% to 20 wt% and a further increase in bio-phenolic loading showed a slight decrease in flexural strength. It was shown that at 100 °C and 150 °C curing temperature the highest flexural strength was shown by P-20 which is 82.6 MPa and 119.8 MPa respectively.

A different trend was shown for 130 °C curing temperature, where flexural strength was increase when bio-phenolic loading increase from 5 wt% to 10 wt%. However, a further increase in bio-phenolic loading has a negative impact on flexural strength. The flexural strength gradually decreases with increase in bio-phenolic loading. It was shown that at 130 °C curing temperature the optimum loading of bio-phenolic is 10 wt% (P-10) which 109 MPa. When comparisons were made for each formulation, it was shown that
increasing the curing temperature will improve the flexural strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. The study by Hiremath et al [24] on the effect of post-curing temperature of epoxy/alumina polymer nanocomposite has indicated that the post-curing at a temperature below the glass transition temperature of epoxy enhanced on flexural properties. Increase in flexural strength is due to the increase in degree of crosslinking when polymer undergoes post-curing. Lascano et al [28] found that increase the curing temperature of bio-based epoxy from 70 °C to 90 °C has improved the flexural strength from 77.4 MPa to 105.6 MPa. Increase in flexural strength when curing temperature increase was due to the increase in crosslinking between molecules which increased network structure, thus the polymer can withstand more load during the flexural test, and it showed higher flexural strength. Formation of network structure will improve the flexural strength [16,29].

### 3.4 Flexural modulus

The effect of bio-phenolic loading and curing temperature on the flexural modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends were shown in Fig. 6. At 100 °C curing temperature addition of bio-phenolic from 5 wt% to 10 wt% has improved the flexural modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blend. However, a slightly decrease in flexural modulus as bio-phenolic was increased to 15 wt%. Further increase in bio-phenolic to 20 wt% and 25 wt% seem to have improved the flexural modulus and it has compared with the flexural modulus which showed by P-10. The highest flexural modulus for 100 °C curing temperature was shown by bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends with 25 wt% bio-phenolic which 4.2 GPa. A different trend was shown for bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends cured at 130 °C curing temperature. Increase in bio-phenolic loading from 5 wt% to 10 wt% has improved the flexural modulus. Nevertheless, further addition of phenolic resin up to 20 wt% does not have a significant difference in flexural modulus. The addition of bio-phenolic resin to 25 wt% has again improved the flexural modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blend and this is the highest flexural modulus for blend cured at 130 °C which 4.5 GPa. A similar trend was shown for bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends cured at 150 °C with bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends cured at 100 °C. When comparisons for each formulation are made, it was shown that an increase in curing temperature has from 100 °C to 130 °C has improved the flexural modulus. However, continue to increase the curing temperature from 130 °C to 150 °C has a negative impact on the flexural modulus of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. Bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends cured at 150 °C has the lowest flexural modulus for most of the formulation except for P-5 which curing 100 °C has almost similar flexural modulus compared to cured at 150 °C.

### 3.5 Impact Strength

Impact strength is important in most applications because the ability of structures to withstand repeated impacts is critical to the design's robustness, particularly in the transportation and consumer goods industries. As a result, the ability of structures, especially in the transportation and consumer goods industries, to withstand repeated impacts is critical to the robustness of the construction, according to numerous studies of polymer blend effect on impact tolerance [30–32] The behaviour of advanced materials suitable for impact resistance was developed and studied by material researchers and
designers, therefore, eco-friendly and biodegradability are the most favoured requirements of making green composites, however natural fibers, biopolymers and bio-fillers could be utilised as sustainable additives for manufacturing biodegradable products [33,34] From numerous bioresources, a number of epoxy thermosets have been synthesized and the challenges and opportunities in developing sustainable epoxy thermosets and materials from bio-based monomeric phenols are presented in various results of mechanical properties such as impact resistance and flame-retarding ability [34,35]

Therefore, in the impact resistance of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends were shown in Fig. 7 has resulted the impact resistance was gradually increased as bio-phenolic loading increase from 5 wt% to 20 wt%. However, the addition of 25 wt% of bio-phenolic has slightly decreased the impact resistance of bio-phenolic epoxy polymer blends. Regardless of the curing temperature used, a similar trend was shown. The effect of curing temperature for each formulation was studied. It is shown that the impact resistance of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends for 5 wt% bio-phenolic was decreased as curing temperature increase. The decrease in impact resistance was due to the weak interaction between epoxy and phenolic at lower bio-phenolic loading. However, other formulation showed a different trend compared to P-5. Increase curing temperature has improved the impact resistance of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. Increase in impact strength was due to the increase in the degree of crosslinking and network formation between epoxy and bio-phenolic molecules. The higher degree of crosslinking and network formation will help the material to generate a plastic deformation zone which helps the material to absorb more energy during impact as a result the impact resistance improved [36,37]

**Conclusion**

The properties of polymer blends were depending on various factors such as the ratio of polymer and curing temperature. This study has shown that different loading of bio-phenolic and curing temperature will affect the mechanical properties of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends. The tensile strength and flexural strength of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends were improved when the curing temperature increase. While tensile modulus has decreased with increasing curing temperature. A different trend was shown for flexural modulus where the highest flexural modulus was shown by bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends cured at 130 °C. Interestingly, the impact resistance decreases at 5wt% loading when curing temperature increases. However, other ratios of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends showed increase in impact resistance as curing temperature increase. Based on the analysis its can be concluded that the mechanical properties of bio-phenolic/epoxy polymer blends are better at higher temperature which is 150 °C.
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Table

Table 1: Formulation and label of each sample

| Label | Phenolic resin (wt%) | Epoxy resin (wt%) |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------|
| E     | 0                    | 100               |
| P-5   | 5                    | 95                |
| P-10  | 10                   | 90                |
| P-15  | 15                   | 85                |
| P-20  | 20                   | 80                |
| P-25  | 25                   | 75                |
| P     | 100                  | 0                 |
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