EXTRACELLULAR VESICLES AS MODIFIERS OF ANTIBODY-DRUG CONJUGATE EFFICACY
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Abstract
Antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) are a new class of anti-cancer drugs that consist of a monoclonal antibody, a highly potent small-molecule cytotoxic drug, and a chemical linker between the two. ADCs can selectively deliver cytotoxic drugs to cancer cells leading to a reduced systemic exposure and a wider therapeutic window. To date, nine ADCs have received marketing approval, and over 100 are being investigated in nearly 600 clinical trials. The target antigens of at least eight out of the nine approved anti-cancer ADCs and of 69 investigational ADCs are present on extracellular vesicles (EVs) (tiny particles produced by almost all types of cells) that may carry their contents into local and distant cells. Therefore, the EVs have a potential to mediate both the anti-cancer effects and the adverse effects of ADCs. In this overview, we discuss the mechanism of action of ADCs and the resistance mechanisms to them, the EV-mediated resistance mechanisms to small molecule anti-cancer drugs and anti-cancer monoclonal antibodies, and the EVs as modifiers of ADC efficacy and safety.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The standard chemotherapy agents often have a relatively narrow therapeutic window (Khongorzul et al., 2020). Antibody-drug conjugates (ADC) are a novel class of anti-cancer therapeutics that allow administration of highly cytotoxic drugs to cancer patients utilizing the excellent targeting ability of monoclonal antibodies and their often favourable pharmacokinetic profiles (Beck et al., 2017). The ADC technology may lead to selective delivery of potent small-molecule cytotoxic drugs to cancer cells while mitigating the adverse effects on non-malignant cells thus potentially broadening the therapeutic window. Yet, achieving this goal has often remained a challenge (Khongorzul et al., 2020), and the development of many ADCs has been discontinued in the clinical phase due to a poor therapeutic index (Coat et al., 2019).

Besides the cancer cells, the target antigens of ADCs are often expressed to some extent on extracellular vesicles (EVs) (Supplementary Table 1). EVs are small particles surrounded by a lipid membrane bilayer. They are generated from cells and have variable contents. EVs can be carried in the body fluids for long distances, and when they fuse with the target cell, they discard their biologically active contents into the recipient cell potentially changing its function (Al-Nedawi et al., 2009; Puhka et al., 2017; Ratajczak et al., 2006; Valadi et al., 2007; Van Niel et al., 2018). Since the ADCs may bind to the EVs, and be carried on the EVs (Barok et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2016), the ADC toxic payload may be liberated even far from the tumour site, thus the EVs could influence both the efficacy and the safety of ADCs.
In this review, we discuss how the EVs can act as modifiers of ADC efficacy and safety. To the best of our knowledge, reviews on this topic are absent in the literature.

2 Antibody-Drug Conjugates (ADCs)

ADCs may be regarded an example of Paul Ehrlich’s old idea of a ‘magic bullet’ to treat disease using an agent with high efficacy and high specificity (Strebhardt & Ullrich, 2008). Although the ADC era might be just beginning, already nine ADCs have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), five of them for haematological malignancies and four for solid tumours (Table 1). Approximately 100 ADCs targeting over 50 different antigens are currently in the clinical development in nearly 600 clinical trials (Chau et al., 2019; Coats et al., 2019; Khongorzul et al., 2020).

2.1 ADC structure

An ADC consists of a monoclonal antibody (mAb), a cytotoxic payload, and a linker joining the two (Figure 1). The mAb typically targets an antigen that is highly expressed on the cancer cell plasma membrane and whose expression is low on non-malignant cells. Using a mAb as the carrier for the toxic payload allows reaching high selectivity, stability, and often a favourable pharmacokinetic profile for the ADC (Beck et al., 2017; Khongorzul et al., 2020). The cytotoxic payloads are covalently bound to the mAb through a linker. The linker should prevent the release of the cytotoxic drug into the circulation or in the off-target tissues (Beck et al., 2017; Khongorzul et al., 2020), and it should ideally allow the release of the payloads when the ADC has first been internalized into the target cancer cell (Barok et al., 2014; Khongorzul et al., 2020). The payloads are usually highly cytotoxic small-molecule drugs (Beck et al., 2017; Khongorzul et al., 2020).
| Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) | Molecular target | Indications (the year of the FDA approval) | ADC main parts 1) Monoclonal antibody 2) Linker 3) Payload | Drug–antibody ratio (DAR) | Antitumor effect of the antibody part per se | ATP binding cassette (ABC) drug efflux transporter–related resistance | Drug resistance reported in patients | Target antigen found on extra-cellular vesicles |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Gemtuzumab ozogamicin*      | CD33           | 1) Newly-diagnosed CD33-positive acute adult myeloid leukaemia** (2017) (Ien et al., 2018) 2) Relapsed or refractory CD33-positive acute myeloid leukaemia in adults and in pediatric patients older than 2 years (2017) (Norsworthy et al., 2018) | 1) Humanized anti-CD33 2) pH-sensitive butanoic acid 3) Calicheamicin (Ciravolo et al., 2002; Ricart, 2011) | 2-3 (Ciravolo et al., 2002) | No (Ricart, 2011) | ABCB1, ABCC1 (Linenberger, 2005; Lingenberger et al., 2001; Takeshita et al., 2009;) | Yes (Takeshita, 2013) | Yes (Szczepanski et al., 2011) |

(Continues)
### TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) | Molecular target | Indications (the year of the FDA approval) | ADC main parts 1) Monoclonal antibody 2) Linker 3) Payload | Drug-antibody ratio (DAR) | Antitumor effect of the antibody part per se | ATP binding cassette (ABC) drug efflux transporter-related resistance | Drug resistance reported in patients | Target antigen found on extra-cellular vesicles |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Brentuximab vedotin          | CD30             | 1) Hodgkin’s lymphoma, systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma (2011) (Younes et al., 2010) 2) Primary cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma, CD30+ mycosis fungoides (2017) (Prince et al., 2017) 3) Peripheral T-cell lymphomas (2018) (Horwitz et al., 2019) | 1) Recombinant chimeric anti-CD30 2) Protease-cleavable valine-citrulline peptide 3) MMAE (Blood, 2014) | 4 (Blood, 2014) | Yes (Blood, 2014) | ABCB1 (Chen et al., 2020) | Yes (Chen et al., 2020) | Yes (Hansen et al., 2016) |
| Inotuzumab ozogamicin         | CD22             | B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (2017) (Kantarjian et al., 2016) | 1) Humanized anti-CD22 mAb 2) Acid-labile butanoic acid 3) Calicheamicin (Dijoseph et al., 2004) | 6 (Garrett et al., 2019) | No (Ricart, 2011) | ABCB1 (Takeshita et al., 2009) | Yes (Paul et al., 2019) | Yes (Ayre et al., 2017) |
| Polatuzumab vedotin           | CD79b            | Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (2019) (Sehn et al., 2020) | 1) Humanized anti-CD79b 2) Protease-cleavable valine-citrulline peptide 3) MMAE (Dornan et al., 2009) | 3.5 (European Medicines Agency, 2009) | Not reported | ABCB1 (Yu et al., 2015) | Not reported | Yes (Buschow et al., 2010) |

(Continues)
| Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) | Molecular target | Indications (the year of the FDA approval) | ADC main parts 1) Monoclonal antibody 2) Linker 3) Payload | Drug-antibody ratio (DAR) | Antitumor effect of the antibody part perse | Drug resistance reported in patients | Target antigen found on extra-cellular vesicles |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Belantamab mafodotin           | BCMA            | Relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma (2020) (Lonial et al., 2020) | 1) Afucosylated, humanized anti-BCMA2) Protease-resistant maleimidocaproyl3) MMAF (Lonial et al., 2020) | Not reported            | Yes (Tai et al., 2014)                      | Not reported***                      | Yes (Lonial et al., 2020)                     | Yes (Perez-Amill et al., 2020)                |
| ADCs for solid tumors          |                 |                                          |                                                          |                          |                                            |                                      |                                               |
| Trastuzumab emtansine          | HER2            | 1) HER2+ advanced breast cancer (2013) (Hurvitiz et al., 2013; Modi et al., 2020 Verma et al., 2012) 2) HER2+ early breast cancer (2019) (Von Minckwitz et al., 2019) | 1) Humanized anti-HER2 (trastuzumab)2) Non-reducible thioether3) DMI (Lewis Phillips et al., 2008) | 3.5 (Lewis Phillips et al., 2008) | Yes (Barok et al., 2011; Juntila et al., 2011) | ABCB1, ABC2, ABCG2, ABCG4 (Barok et al., 2020; Hunter et al., 2020; Le Joncour et al., 2019; Li et al., 20182014) | Yes (Barok et al., 2014)                     | Yes (Andre et al., 2002; Barok et al., 2018; Le Joncour et al., 2009); (Continues) |
| Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) | Molecular target | Indications (the year of the FDA approval) | ADC main parts 1) Monoclonal antibody 2) Linker 3) Payload | Drug-antibody ratio (DAR) | Antitumor effect of the antibody part perse | ATP binding cassette (ABC) drug efflux transporter-related resistance | Drug resistance reported in patients | Target antigen found on extra-cellular vesicles |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Trastuzumab deruxtecan         | HER2             | HER2+ advanced breast cancer (2019) (Modi et al., 2020) | 1) Humanized anti-HER2 (trastuzumab)2) Enzymatically cleavable glycine-glycine-phenylalanine-glycine tetrapeptide-based3) Deruxtecan (Ogitani et al., 2016) | 8 (Ogitani et al., 2016) | Yes (Ogitani et al., 2016) | Not reported*** | Yes (Modi et al., 2020) | Yes (Andre et al., 2002; Barok et al., 2018; Le Joncour et al., 2019) |
| Enfortumab vedotin             | Nectin-4         | Metastatic urothelial cancer (2019) (Rosenberg et al., 2019, 2020) | 1) Fully human anti-Nectin-42) Protease-cleavable valine-citrulline peptide 3) MMAE (Challita-Eid et al., 2016) | 4 (Challita-Eid et al., 2016) | No (Challita-Eid et al., 2016) | Not reported*** | Yes (Rosenberg et al., 2019) | Not reported |
| Sacituzumab govitexcan         | Trop-2           | Advanced triple negative breast cancer (2020) (Bardia et al., 2017, 2019) | 1) Humanized anti-Trop-2 2) CL2A: pH-sensitive, cleavable, PEG-, maleimidocaproyl- and p-aminobenzyloxycarbonyl-containing 3) SN-38 (Cardillo et al., 2011) | 7.6 (Sharkey et al., 2015) | Yes (Varughese et al., 2011) | ABCG2 (Chang et al., 2016) | Yes (Bardia et al., 2017, 2019) | Yes (Tremtola et al., 2015) |

Note: ABC drug efflux transporters are major contributors to multidrug resistance (MDR). ABCB1, ABCG2, and ABCG2-related drug efflux transporters have been described as EV-related contributors to resistance during cancer chemotherapy (Bebawy et al., 2009; Corcoran et al., 2012; Maacha et al., 2019; Toreggianni et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). ABCB2 and ABCG2 are also found in the EVs (Andrade et al., 2019). Abbreviations: ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BCMA, B-cell maturation antigen; DML, Derivative of maytansine I; HER2, Human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; FDA, U.S. Food and Drug Administration; MMAE, Monomethyl auristatin E; SN-38, 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin, an active metabolite of irinotecan; Trop-2, Trophoblast cell-surface antigen 2.

* Gemtuzumab ozogamicin became the first approved ADC in 2000 when the FDA approved it for the treatment of patients with CD33-positive adult myeloid leukemia (AML) who were not candidates for aggressive chemotherapy (Appelbaum & Bernstein, 2007). However, a post approval study in patients with de novo AML showed no overall improvement in survival and an increased treatment-related mortality. These results led to the withdrawal of gemtuzumab ozogamicin from the market in 2010 (Appelbaum & Bernstein, 2007). In 2017, after evaluating new data on the clinical efficacy and safety of gemtuzumab ozogamicin administered on a fractionated dosing schedule, the FDA approved gemtuzumab ozogamicin for the treatment of newly-diagnosed CD33-positive AML in adults (Jen et al., 2018), and for the treatment of relapsed or refractory CD33-positive AML in adults and in pediatric patients 2 years or older (Norsworthy et al., 2018).

** In 2020, this indication of gemtuzumab ozogamicin was extended for newly diagnosed CD33-positive AML to include pediatric patients 1 month or older (Gamis et al., 2018).

*** To date, no ABC transporter-related resistance has been reported with the recently approved belantamab mafodotin and enfortumab vedotin. They have auristatin payloads, MMAF and MMAE, respectively. Importantly, the membrane permeable MMAE-type payloads are known substrates of the ABC drug efflux transporters, while the less permeable MMAF-type payloads are susceptible to efflux pumps (Moquist et al., 2020).

**** Trastuzumab deruxtecan is effective for cancers that have become resistant to trastuzumab emtansine due to overexpression of ABC drug efflux transporters (Takegawa et al., 2017).
The mechanism of action of antibody–drug conjugates (ADCs). [ADCs may cause target cancer cell death via releasing the cytotoxic payload within the target cell. Following internalization (a), the cytotoxic payloads are released after lysosomal cleavage or enzymatic degradation (b). The intracellularly released cytotoxic payloads inhibit cellular functions, e.g., tubulin polymerization (c), or may cause DNA damage (d). The targeting antibody may also have anti-cancer effects. For example, it may elicit the host immune response that may involve several types of immune cells, such as natural killer (NK) cells. The Fc part of the ADC binds to the Fc receptor bearing NK cells leading to the release of cytotoxic perforin and granzyme from the NK cells (e). Abbreviation: ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity].

The cytotoxic drug-antibody ratio (DAR) varies between the ADCs. In general, a high DAR might result in the delivery of more payloads into the cancer cells, and, therefore, could increase the ADC potency. However, a high DAR may also lead to a less favourable pharmacokinetic profile, and, therefore, a low DAR may sometimes be preferable (Beck et al., 2017; Barfield et al., 2020; Khongorzul et al., 2020). The DAR of the approved nine ADCs ranges from 2 and 8 (Table 1). The linkage of the payloads to the mAb should preferably not affect the binding affinity of the mAb to its molecular target or inhibit the potential inherent anti-tumour effects of the mAb. For successful ADC therapy the target protein needs to be well selected, and all ADC components, the antibody, the linker, and the payload and the DAR need to be carefully optimized.

2.2 | Mechanism of action of the ADCs

After intravenous administration, the ADC delivers the cytotoxic payloads into the cells that express the antibody target. Once bound to the target, the cells internalize the ADC-receptor complex via receptor-mediated endocytosis. The cytotoxic payloads are released within the target cell once the ADC has been cleaved in the acidic environment of the lysosomes or by enzymatic degradation in the lysosomes. The intracellularly released cytotoxic payloads and their metabolites interfere with the cellular machinery causing cell death (Barok et al., 2014; Khongorzul et al., 2020) (Figure 2). To date, the payloads that are used in ADCs cause cell death by inhibiting tubulin polymerization (e.g., monomethyl auristatins, and maytansines), cause direct DNA damage (e.g., calicheamicin), or inhibit topoisomerases (e.g., deruxtecan and SN-38) (Supplementary Table 1). Some payloads used in ADCs have an undisclosed mechanism of action (Beck et al., 2017; Khongorzul et al., 2020).

Five out of the nine approved ADCs have a mAb that has shown anti-tumour effects on its own even without the payload (brentuximab vedotin, trastuzumab emtansine, trastuzumab deruxtecan, sacituzumab govitecan, and belantamab mafodotin (Ansell, 2014; Barok et al., 2007, 2011; Junntila et al., 2011; Ogitani et al., 2016; Tai et al., 2014; Varughese et al., 2011) (Table 1). Consequently, these ADCs may have a dual mechanism of action on cancer consisting of the anti-tumour effects related to the plain mAb and those associated with the intracellular release of the cytotoxic payload and its metabolites within the target cells (Barok et al., 2014) (Figure 2).

2.3 | Resistance to ADCs

Emergence of drug resistance has been reported with almost all of the approved ADCs including gemtuzumab ozogamicin, brentuximab vedotin, and trastuzumab emtansine (Table 1) (Barok et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2020; Takeshita, 2013). Thus, resistance to ADCs is frequent and concerns a wide variety of cancers.
There are several mechanisms that can cause resistance to ADCs. These include i) impaired binding of the ADC to the target antigens, ii) attenuated ADC internalization into cancer cells, iii) defects in ADC intracellular trafficking, iv) impaired lysosomal function in ADC degradation, v) changes in the cell cycle dynamics (e.g., altered expression of cell-cycle proteins that control G2-M transition, or cell cycle arrest with cell dormancy), vi) activation of cell survival pathways, and vii) drug efflux pumps (Barok et al., 2014; Collins et al., 2019; García-Alonso et al., 2018).

Drug efflux pumps appear to be a prominent resistance mechanism to ADCs. Cytotoxic drugs can be disposed by drug efflux pumps from the cytoplasm of cancer cells by the ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters potentially resulting in clinical failure of chemotherapy (Yu et al., 2013). Auristatins, maytansines, calicheamicin and irinotecans, which are commonly used cytotoxic payloads in the ADCs, are all substrates for the multidrug resistant drug efflux transporters (Dan et al., 2018; Parslow et al., 2016; Xu & Villalona-Calero, 2002). Of note, the ABC transporters contribute to the resistance of at least six out of the nine approved ADCs (Chang et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2020; Le Joncour et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; Linenberger et al., 2001, 2005; Ricart, 2011; Takeshita et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2015) (Table 1).

3 | EXTRACELLULAR VESICLES

Besides the mechanisms discussed above, EVs may contribute to the efficacy and safety of ADCs. EVs contain proteins, lipids, various RNA species, DNA, and metabolites. EVs can transfer their cargo not only into cancer cells and tumour stromal cells, but also to distant non-malignant cells, where their contents remain active, and influence the biological functions of the recipient cells (Al-Nedawi et al., 2009; Puhka et al., 2017; Ratajczak et al., 2006; Valadi et al., 2007; Van Niel et al., 2018). Consequently, EVs have an important role in the cell-cell communication.

EVs include exosomes (up to 150 nm in diameter; generated by inward budding of endosomes that mature to multivesicular bodies), microvesicles (with size up to 1000 nm; directly shed from the plasma membrane of the cells), apoptotic bodies (1 to 2 μm in diameter), and other types of membrane vesicles with varying sizes, functional properties, and biogenesis (Becker et al., 2016; Van Niel et al., 2018). All types of cells, including cancer cells, appear to secrete EVs (Becker et al., 2016; Van Niel et al., 2018).

EVs can be taken up by the recipient cells with several mechanisms. These include i) receptor-mediated endocytosis, ii) fusion with the target cell membrane, iii) phagocytosis, and iv) macropinocytosis (Mulcahy et al., 2014; Van Niel et al., 2018). Following the uptake, the EV-delivered cargo can enter the cytoplasm and/or the nucleus of the recipient cell, and alter cell biological functions (Pitt et al., 2016; Van Niel et al., 2018). Alternatively, the EV-delivered cargo can be directed to the lysosomes and degraded (Jakhar & Crasta, 2019; Tian et al., 2013; Van Niel et al., 2018).

Cancer cells secrete significant amounts of EVs (Becker et al., 2016) that can be isolated from the body fluids such as the blood, the saliva, and the urine (Becker et al., 2016; Boukouris & Mathivanan, 2015). The quantity and quality of these EVs may change upon drug treatments and the development of drug resistance (Namee & O'driscoll, 2018). EVs are pivotal mediators of cell-cell communication between cancer cells, and also between cancer cells and the stromal cells both within the cancer microenvironment and at distant tissues (Becker et al., 2016; Kalluri, 2016; Kosaka et al., 2016). EVs have been implicated in the modulation of cancer growth and metastasis, tumour angiogenesis, and anti-cancer immunity (Becker et al., 2016; Kalluri, 2016; Kosaka et al., 2016). EVs can also alter the effects of anti-cancer drugs in multiple ways, sometimes leading to drug resistance (Becker et al., 2016; Maacha et al., 2019; Namee & O'driscoll, 2018). We discuss below how EVs can influence the effects of the two major components of ADCs, the small molecule anti-cancer drugs and the mAbs.

3.1 | Resistance to small molecule anti-cancer drugs through EVs

Elimination of small molecule anti-cancer drugs from the cancer cells contributes to systemic cancer therapy resistance (Yu et al., 2013). Several types of cancer cells may utilize EVs as an efflux mechanism of small molecule anti-cancer drugs at least in two ways. First, cancer cells can accumulate small molecule anti-cancer drugs into the EVs, and then dispose the drug-loaded EVs into the extracellular space (Federici et al., 2014; Koch et al., 2016; Maacha et al., 2019; Safaei et al., 2005; Shedden et al., 2003). Second, chemotherapy-resistant cancer cells may secrete EVs loaded with ABC drug efflux transporters, which are major contributors to the multidrug resistance in cancer (W. Robey et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2013), and, subsequently, chemotherapy-sensitive cancer cells may take up such efflux-transporter containing EVs from the extracellular space and receive functional efflux pump proteins, turning drug-sensitive cancer cells into resistant ones (Bebawy et al., 2009; Corcoran et al., 2012; Maacha et al., 2019; Torreggiani et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014).

The microRNA cargo of the EVs may also promote resistance to small molecule anti-cancer drugs via regulation of gene expression (Becker et al., 2016; Maacha et al., 2019). EVs may deliver also a variety of other factors, such as PDGFR-β, hepatocyte growth factor, TGF-β, or intermediary metabolites, which may support the survival pathways of the recipient cancer cells (Maacha et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2016).
3.2 EV-mediated resistance to anti-cancer mAb therapy

Rituximab is an anti-CD20 mAb approved for the treatment of patients with CD20-positive non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma or chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (Hiddemann et al., 2005; Nabhan & Rosen, 2014). Complement-dependent cytotoxicity has a pivotal role in the anti-cancer efficacy of rituximab (Smith, 2003). B-cell lymphoma cells release EVs that carry CD20, and rituximab binds to such EVs. The binding of rituximab leads to the fixation of complement on the surface of the EVs. This decay effect, mediated by the CD20+ EVs, results in the consumption of both complement and free rituximab leading to impaired efficacy of rituximab on the target cancer cells (Aung et al., 2011; Oksvold et al., 2014).

Trastuzumab, an anti-HER2 mAb drug approved for the treatment of patients with HER2-positive breast cancer and gastric cancer (Bang et al., 2010; Slamone et al., 2001), has a direct inhibitory effect on HER2-positive cancer cells (Barok et al., 2011; Köninki et al., 2010). Trastuzumab also recruits immune effector cells that kill the cancer cells via antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (Barok et al., 2007, 2008). HER2-positive cancer cells secrete EVs that carry HER2, and trastuzumab binds to such EVs (Andre et al., 2002; Battke et al., 2011; Ciravolo et al., 2012). The HER2+ EV-mediated decoy effect may lead to the attenuation of the direct cancer growth inhibitory effect of trastuzumab and the ADCC-related cytotoxic effect of trastuzumab (Battke et al., 2011; Ciravolo et al., 2012).

Taken together, EVs are a means by which cancer cells may gain resistance to both small molecule anti-cancer drugs and anti-cancemAbs, the two key components of the ADCs.

4 INFLUENCE OF EVs ON THE EFFICACY OF ADCs

Approximately 100 ADCs are being investigated in clinical trials (Chau et al., 2019; Coats et al., 2019; Khongorzul et al., 2020). More than 50 known antigens expressed on cancer cells have been selected as ADC targets (Khongorzul et al., 2020), and at least 26 of these ADC target-antigens are present also on cancer-derived EVs (Supplementary Table 1). EVs express the target antigen of at least 69 ADCs, of which 43 are currently in clinical trials or have been approved, two are in the preclinical phase, and the clinical development of 24 has been discontinued (Supplementary Table 1). Of note, the target antigen of at least eight out of the nine approved anti-cancer ADCs is expressed also on EVs (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). After binding to their targets on the EVs, the EVs deliver the ADCs to the EV recipient cells (Barok et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2016). Since EVs can deliver their cargo to both local and distant cancerous and non-cancerous cells, EVs may influence the efficacy of the ADCs.

4.1 EVs and the bystander effect

The anti-CD30 ADC brentuximab vedotin binds to CD30-positive EVs released from lymphoma cells. CD30-negative lymphoma cells may take up brentuximab vedotin-covered EVs leading to their apoptotic death (Hansen et al., 2016). Similarly, the anti-HER2 ADC, trastuzumab emtansine, has its target on the EVs derived from HER2-positive cancer cells (Barok et al., 2018; Andre et al., 2002). Trastuzumab emtansine binds to these EVs (Figure 3), and may be carried to other cancer cells via the EVs leading to apoptotic death of the recipient cancer cells (Barok et al., 2018). These observations suggest that EVs can deliver ADCs into the neighbouring cancer cells that lack the antibody target protein leading to a bystander effect, which may increase the anti-cancer efficacy of the ADCs (Figure 4).

An EV-mediated bystander effect may be of importance also with other ADC targets. For example, glioma cells secrete EVs that carry the epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (EGFRvIII), and prostate cancer cells secrete EVs that express trophoblast cell-surface antigen 2 (Trop-2), and such EVs may deliver their cargo into cancer cells within the same tumour that lack EGFRvIII or Trop-2 expression (Al-Nedawi et al., 2008; Trerotola et al., 2015). At present, one anti-EGFRvIII ADC (ABT-414) is being evaluated in clinical trials (Goss et al., 2018), whereas the clinical development of another anti-EGFRvIII ADC was discontinued (Hamblett et al., 2015) (Supplementary Table 1). Sacituzumab govitecan is an approved anti-Trop-2 ADC (Bardia et al., 2019) (Table 1), while the clinical development of PF-06664178, another anti-Trop-2 ADC, was stopped (King et al., 2018) (Supplementary Table 1). Hypothetically, EVs might deliver anti-EGFRvIII or anti-Trop-2 ADCs into cancer cells that lack EGFRvIII or Trop-2 expression. This might increase the anti-cancer effect of these ADCs.

4.2 EV delivery to tumour stromal cells

Besides cancer cells, non-malignant cells may take up cancer-derived EVs. For example, endothelial cells accumulated tissue factor-containing EVs derived from mesenchymal-like cancer cells (Garnier et al., 2012) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-containing EVs originating from human squamous carcinoma cells (Al-Nedawi et al., 2009). Similarly, progenitor
FIGURE 3 Cancer cells secrete extracellular vesicles (EVs) that may carry antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs). [Extracellular vesicles isolated from the cell culture medium of human HER2+ gastric cancer cells (RN87) resistant to an anti-HER2 ADC trastuzumab emtansine. The cells were cultured in the presence of trastuzumab emtansine. EVs were whole-mount immuno-stained with 12 nm gold-conjugated anti-human antibody that recognizes trastuzumab emtansine on the EV surface (black dots). The electron microscopy images show EVs of different sizes including vesicles carrying trastuzumab emtansine (black dots and arrows) and vesicles without trastuzumab emtansine (blue arrows). Bar = 200 nm].

smooth muscle cells took up KIT-containing EVs derived from gastrointestinal stromal tumour cells (Atay et al., 2014), and monocytes integrin αvβ6-containing EVs originating from prostate cancer cells (Lu et al., 2018). These observations indicate that cancer-derived EVs can transfer their contents into tumour stromal cells (Peinado et al., 2017). Tisotum vedotin, an ADC targeting tissue factor (De Bono et al., 2019), and several ADCs against EGFR are currently being investigated in clinical trials, while the clinical development of an anti-KIT ADC and an anti-integrin αv ADC (LOP628 (Abrams et al., 2018) and IMGN388 (Bendell et al., 2010; Raab-Westphal et al., 2017), respectively) has been discontinued (Supplementary Table 1).

EVs that express the ADC target may thus be able to deliver ADCs into non-malignant cells in the tumour microenvironment, which could have either cancer growth promoting or inhibiting effects depending on the role of the recipient cells in cancer progression. For example, EV-mediated inhibition of tumour growth promoting intratumoral macrophages, fibroblasts, or endothelial cells might inhibit cancer growth, whereas damaging of intratumoral anti-cancer immune cells could enhance tumour growth (Figure 4).

4.3 | EVs and resistance to ADCs

Similar resistance mechanisms may operate with the ADCs as for the corresponding plain antibodies and small molecule anticancer drugs. Trastuzumab is the mAb part of the two approved anti-HER2 ADCs (trastuzumab emtansine and trastuzumab deruxtecan (Barok et al., 2014; Ogitani et al., 2016)), and it is the mAb component of six other anti-HER2 ADCs that are being evaluated in clinical trials (ADCT-502, ALT-P7, A166, BAT8001, PF-06804103, and trastuzumab duocarmazine) (Supplementary Table 1 and 2). The efficacy of the trastuzumab-based anti-HER2 ADCs and other ADCs might be altered by EV-mediated decoy mechanisms (Figure 4). HER2-positive gastric cancer cells resistant to trastuzumab emtansine discarded trastuzumab emtansine into the extracellular space by EV secretion (Le Joncou et al., 2019), and since a sufficient intracellular concentration of the cytotoxic payload DM1 is essential for the anti-cancer activity (Barok et al., 2014), expulsion of DM1 may contribute to trastuzumab emtansine resistance (Figure 4). The cytotoxic payloads commonly used in ADCs are substrates of the ABC drug efflux transporters (Dan et al., 2018; Parslow et al., 2016), and, the presence of ABC drug efflux transporters on cancer cells decreases ADC efficacy (Barok et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Hunter et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Le Joncour et al., 2019; Linenberger, 2005; Linenberger et al., 2001; Takeshita et al., 2009) (Table 1). Therefore, EVs may attenuate the anti-cancer efficacy of ADCs by transferring ABC drug efflux transporters into drug-sensitive cancer cells contributing to treatment resistance (Figure 4).

4.4 | EV-delivered ADCs in prevention of metastasis

EVs have been implicated in pre-metastatic niche formation (Wortzel et al., 2019). For example, EVs derived from gastric cancer cells can transfer human epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) into liver stromal cells, which leads to changes in
Extracellular vesicles (EVs) can alter the efficacy of antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs). ADCs bind to EVs secreted by cancer cells that express the ADC target proteins. Such EVs can deliver ADCs to both local and distant cancerous and non-cancerous cells leading to anti-cancer and pro-cancer effects, and could modify the ADC-related adverse effects. EVs can also contribute to the resistance of cancer to ADCs. As a bystander effect, EV-delivered ADCs may cause the death of the neighbouring tumor cells (a). EV-delivered ADCs can inhibit Kupffer cells in the liver responsible for pre-metastastic niche formation (b). EVs can also deliver ADCs into non-malignant cells in the tumor microenvironment that may lead to either cancer growth promoting or inhibiting effects depending on the role of the recipient cells in cancer progression (c). EVs can cause ADC-resistance through EV-mediated decoy mechanisms (d and e), expulsion of ADCs into the extracellular space by EV secretion (this can contribute to the pool of extracellular EV-ADCs; an orange arrow) (f), or EVs can transfer ABC drug efflux transporters into ADC-sensitive cancer cells where the transporters may pump out the ADC payloads from the cells (g). EVs can carry ADCs into distant non-malignant cells contributing to ADC toxicity (h). The circled labels indicate whether the mechanism has been observed with ADCs, monoclonal antibodies, small molecule anti-cancer drugs, or whether it is still hypothetical. Abbreviations: ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; EV, extracellular vesicle; mAbs, monoclonal antibody.

The regulation of the liver microenvironment and may facilitate the formation and growth of gastric cancer liver metastases (Zhang et al., 2017). Similarly, cancer-derived EVs delivering integrin αvβ5 specifically bind to the Kupffer cells in the liver, and facilitate pre-metastatic niche formation and liver metastases from breast cancer and pancreatic cancer (Hoshino et al., 2015). Hypothetically, delivering of anti-EGFR or anti-integrin αv ADCs using EVs into the liver might attenuate the EV-mediated metastasis formation (Figure 4). Four anti-EGFR ADCs are currently studied in clinical trials (ABBV-321, ABT-414, AVID-100, and MRG003 (Goss et al., 2018; O’Connor-McCourt et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2020)), whereas the clinical development of another anti-EGFR ADC (IMGN289) (Setiady et al., 2014) and an anti-integrin αv ADC (IMGN388 (Bendell et al., 2010; Raab-Westphal et al., 2017) were discontinued (Supplementary Table 1).

5 EVs AND ADC SAFETY

Administration of ADCs is often associated with off-target adverse effects. These are mainly driven by the payload, and may be promoted by the mAb and the linker (Birrer et al., 2019; Donaghy, 2016; Wolska-Washer & Robak, 2019). ADCs can induce toxicity through their low affinity binding to the target antigen, or non-specific binding to the Fc receptors. Early release of the payload in the circulation or in off-target tissues due to linker instability results in increased systemic exposure and adverse effects (Birrer et al., 2019; Donaghy, 2016; Wolska-Washer & Robak, 2019). This may lead to stopping of the treatment (Wolska-Washer...
& Robak, 2019), and even to discontinuation the clinical development program of an ADC due to a poor therapeutic index (Coats et al., 2019).

EVs could influence also the side effects of anti-cancer ADCs, but this remains a hypothesis in the absence of research data. Cancer-derived EVs found in the blood and in other body fluids (Al-Nedawi et al., 2008; Becker et al., 2016; Boukouris & Matthivanan, 2015; Ciardiello et al., 2016; Hoshino et al., 2015; Melo et al., 2015) may transfer their contents besides cancer to the recipient cells in non-cancerous tissues (Peinado et al., 2017), which could lead to side effects. For example, cancer-derived EVs deliver EGFR and integrin αv into liver stromal cells as discussed above (Hoshino et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017), which could contribute to liver toxicity of anti-EGFR ADCs and anti-integrin αv ADCs (Figure 4).

6 | CONCLUSIONS

ADCs are one of the fastest-growing class of anti-cancer drugs with nine members currently approved by the FDA, and approximately 100 members in the clinical development (Chau et al., 2019; Coats et al., 2019; Khongorzul et al., 2020). At least 26 ADC target-antigens are present on cancer-derived EVs, and there are at least 69 ADCs, including eight out of the nine approved anticancer ADCs, whose target-antigens are expressed on the EVs. Since EVs can transfer their content not only into cancer cells and tumour stromal cells, but also into distant non-malignant cells, they have a potential to mediate both the anti-cancer effects and the adverse effects of the ADCs. The EVs may contribute to the success and failure of ADC therapy with several mechanisms, but some of these mechanisms remain still hypothetical and require confirmation.
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To find all relevant studies, we searched the PubMed, the ClinicalTrials.gov website, Vesiclepedia, and ExoCarta. Other literature sources were also searched (Google, ADC Review). We also searched abstracts from the annual meetings of the American Association for Cancer Research, and the American Society for Clinical Oncology. Various combinations of search terms were used depending on the requirements of the database being searched. These terms included: ‘antibody-drug conjugate’, ‘ADC’, ‘ATP binding cassette transporter’, ‘ABC transporter’, ‘cancer’, ‘drug efflux pump’, ‘exosome’, ‘extracellular vesicle’, ‘EV’, ‘failure of chemotherapy’, ‘resistance’, and the names of all individual ADCs mentioned in this paper or found in relevant papers. We searched for the ADC targets in the extracellular vesicle databases (Vesiclepedia, ExoCarta). We also searched the references listed in relevant papers.
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