Palynodiversity patterns and paleoclimatic changes in the late Paleozoic in Brazil and Uruguay
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ABSTRACT

We have analysed the diversity of the late Paleozoic palynoflora of the Paraná (Brazil and Uruguay), Parnaíba and Amazon (Brazil) basins using already published data. In order to do this the total diversity and diversity with range-through approach curves were built up, as well as Foote's appearance and disappearance rates at the generic level. These parameters were also obtained separately for each group of sporomorphs. An important increase in diversity in the Asselian and Sakmarian and a posterior decrease until the Lopingian were observed. The values of the appearance rates were higher than those of disappearance and they peaked in the Asselian, while the highest disappearances took place in the Capitanian and, to a lesser extent, in the Sakmarian. On the other hand, spores and pollen grains show some differences in the general diversity trend. However, there are differences in the patterns of appearance and disappearance. Despite possible biases, mainly related to the collecting effort, the diversity curves and appearance and disappearance rates obtained are congruent with the climatic phases proposed for this region in these periods. The increase in the number of palynomorph genera is associated to the early Permian climatic amelioration whilst a decrease in diversity is related to the beginning of an arid phase.
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La diversidad palinológica y los cambios climáticos en el Paleozoico Superior en Brasil y Uruguay

RESUMEN

Se analizó la diversidad de la palinoflora correspondiente a estratos del Paleozoico superior de las cuencas de Paraná (Brasil y Uruguay), Parnaíba y Amazonas (Brasil), utilizando datos previamente publicados. Se elaboraron curvas de diversidad total y diversidad calculadas mediante el método range-through y se calcularon los índices de aparición y desaparición de los diferentes géneros utilizando como herramienta el índice de Foote. También se analizaron esos parámetros en diferentes grupos de esporomorfas separados. Se observó un aumento importante de la diversidad en el Asselian y Sakmariano y una posterior disminución gradual hasta el Lopingiano. Los valores de los índices de aparición fueron más importantes que los de desaparición y se dieron en el Asselian y, mientras que las mayores desapariciones se observaron en el Capitaniano y en menor medida en el Sakmariano. Por otra parte, las esporas y los granos de polen presentan algunas diferencias en la tendencia general de diversidad. Sin embargo, se aprecian diferencias en los patrones de aparición y desaparición. A pesar de los posibles sesgos, fundamentalmente relacionados con el esfuerzo de colecta, existe cierta coherencia entre la diversidad, los índices de apariciones y desapariciones y las fases climáticas propuestas para esa edad en la región. El cambio hacia un clima más templado ocurrido en el Pérmico inferior estaría asociado a un aumento en el número de géneros de palinomorfos mientras que el comienzo de una fase más árida estaría relacionado a una disminución en la diversidad.
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Introducción y metodología

Las fases climáticas propuestas por Limarino et al. (2014) para el Paleozoico superior en las cuencas del sur de Sudamérica son: 1) glacial (Viseano tardio-Bashkiriano temprano); 2) glacial terminal (Bashkiriano temprano-Cisuraliano temprano); 3) postglacial (Cisuraliano-Guadalupiano temprano); y 4) semiárido-árido (Guadalupiano tardío-Lopingiano). La evolución de la diversidad que se observa en las asociaciones palinológicas durante el Paleozoico tardío puede ser usada como herramienta para comprender los cambios en la vegetación provocados por los cambios climáticos pasados. Existen antecedentes de estudios previos en los que se ha analizado la diversidad palinológica en cuencas sudamericanas utilizando, tanto la riqueza de los géneros, como el número de apariciones y desapariciones de éstos en los diferentes intervalos de tiempo (Beri et al., 2011, 2013, 2015). Los resultados de esos análisis indican que el comportamiento de la diversidad y de las apariciones y desapariciones de los géneros es coherente con la evolución climática. El objetivo de la presente contribución es contrastar esa hipótesis utilizando otro tipo de análisis, incluyendo mayor número de muestras y abarcando una mayor distribución geográfica.

En este estudio, se utilizaron datos ya publicados relacionados con las palinofloras de las cuencas Paraná (Brasil y Uruguay), Paranaiba y Amazonas (Brasil) (Figuras 1, 2 y 3). La base de datos comprende 124 géneros de polen y esporas de edades comprendidas entre el Pennsylvaniano y el Lopingiano. Los criterios para la elección de la bibliografía fueron, que incluyesen datos sobre la ubicación litoestratigráfica clara y edad de las muestras, y que no tuviesen información repetida, es decir, que se tendió a incluir aquellos artículos que fuesen de revisión. Para la cuenca de Paraná en Brasil se usó la siguiente bibliografía: Ybert (1975), Cazzullo-Klepzig et al. (1980), Dias Fabricio (1981), Marques-Toigo et al. (1982, 1984), Picarelli et al. (1987), Souza et al. (1997, 2003, 2010a, 2010b); d’Pasqueto et al. (2003a, 2003b), Iannuzzi et al. (2006), Premator et al. (2006), Souza (2006), Neregato et al. (2008), Mori y Souza (2010, 2012) y Boardman et al. (2012a, 2012b). Para la cuenca Paraná en Uruguay, se consideró Beri y Pecoits (2001), Beri et al. (2011, 2013) y Mautino et al. (1998a, 1998b, 1998c). Para la Cuenca Paranaiba se usó Dino et al. (2002) y Dino y Playford (2002). Finalmente, para la cuenca Amazonas se consideraron los artículos Playford y Dino (2000a, 2000b). En relación a las sinonimias, se actualizaron los géneros de los artículos anteriores al año 1997; para los datos posteriores a esa fecha se respetaron los criterios de los autores. Específicamente, el género Acanthotriletes se mantuvo como taxón válido, ya que no se pudo distinguir a qué especies correspondía la cita original (Tabla 1).

La diversidad fue estimada como el número de géneros presentes en cada intervalo con y sin el método range through (Cheetham y Deboo, 1963; Boltovskoy, 1968). Las tasas de aparición y desaparición per-capita de los diferentes taxones fue calculada de acuerdo a Foote (2000) y utilizando el paquete Paleotree para R (Bapst, 2012). La diversidad taxonómica por intervalo de tiempo y los índices de aparición y desaparición de los taxones fue estimada para todo el conjunto de los esporomorfos y además teniendo en cuenta las esporas y los granos de polen por separado. También se analizaron cada uno de los diferentes grupos de polen como monosacados, bisacados, bisacados estriados y poliplicados.

Se consideró el número de localidades muestreadas, es decir áreas geográficas, las cuales no necesariamente corresponden al número de muestras.

Resultados y discusión

El análisis de los taxones a través de las diferentes edades expresado en la cantidad de géneros por intervalo de tiempo muestra que la mayor diversidad ocurre durante el Cisuraliano. Los máximos valores se alcanzan en el Asseliano y Sakmariano. El mayor incremento en el número de taxones se puede observar entre el Gzheliano y el Asseliano que pasan de 14 a 107 géneros (Figura 4). La diversidad declina hacia el fin del Cisuraliano, se mantiene estable durante el Guadalupiano y decrece en el Lopingiano. Por otra parte, las curvas de diversidad consideradas con y sin el método range through, muestran las mismas tendencias generales descritas más arriba.

El número de localidades muestreadas alcanza sus mayores valores en el Asseliano y el Sakmariano (13) y el menor valor en el Gzheliano (1) (Figura 4).

Los valores máximos correspondientes a las tasas de aparición son mayores que los de las desapariciones (Figura 5). En el Asseliano ocurren los máximos valores de aparición, mientras que los mayores índices de desaparición se observan en el Capitaniano y, en menor medida, en el Sakmariano.

Si se analizan las esporas y los granos de polen por separado, se aprecia que presentan algunas diferencias en los patrones de diversidad (Figura 6). Los números de diversidad máxima son comparables (53 y 54, respectivamente), sin embargo, las esporas comienzan con un mayor número de géneros en el Moscoviano (37 géneros de esporas y 25 géneros de granos de polen). El número de taxones de granos de polen no varía...
significativamente durante el Cisuraliano y el Guadalupiano, mientras que el número de géneros de esporas decrece claramente durante estas épocas.

Según Iannuzzi (2013) la diversidad de los diferentes grupos en el registro de megafósiles vegetales en Brasil exhibe un aumento en el Asseliano/Artinskiano. En efecto, la aparición de las glossopterideas y de varios helechos constituye el evento denominado F2 (Iannuzzi y Souza, 2005; Iannuzzi, 2013). Esta tendencia parece ser consistente con la diversidad palinológica que es encontrada en el presente estudio. Sin embargo, el aumento de diversidad en el Wordiano/Wuchapingiano propuesto por Iannuzzi (2013) no se observa en el registro palinológico. En Uruguay, a pesar de que fue descrita una paleoflora correspondiente a estratos pérnicos, no se han propuesto subdivisiones bioestratigráficas y no se han realizado análisis de diversidad.

Si se compara la evolución de la diversidad con las fases climáticas propuestas por Limarino et al. (2014) (Figura 4), se puede observar que el número de géneros aumenta hacia el inicio del Cisuraliano, cuando ocurre el cambio de la fase glacial terminal a la postglacial. El mayor valor en las tasas de desaparición se da especialmente en los granos de polen bisacados (Figura 7). Por otra parte, en el Guadalupiano temprano, cuando ocurre el pasaje de la fase postglacial a la semiárida, el número de géneros decrece y en el Capitaniano los valores de desaparición son altos.

Cabe señalar que existen diferencias en el número de hallazgos por unidad de tiempo considerado, como resultado de los diferentes esfuerzos de muestreo o debido al potencial de preservación del material palinológico. Por otra parte, hay una coincidencia bastante apreciable entre la curva de diversidad y la curva correspondiente al número de localidades muestreadas en cada edad. De acuerdo con Cascales et al. (2013), los sesgos de muestreo constituyen el factor más importante que debe tenerse en cuenta cuando se utilizan los datos de paleodiversidad para evaluar si las extinciones son reales o no. Al mismo tiempo, como ya fue mencionado en contribuciones previas (Beri et al., 2013, 2015) existen ciertas limitaciones cuando se emplean datos palinológicos aplicados a la comprensión de las dinámicas vegetacionales, como por ejemplo, las diferencias en la producción, dispersión, cercanía a las plantas parentales y potencial de preservación de los diferentes ambientes y tipos de esporomorfos. Según Birks y Line (1992), la riqueza palinológica no puede ser interpretada directamente como riqueza florística debido a varios sesgos, incluyendo las diferencias de representación y preservación del polen, la estructura vegetacional, el tamaño de la cuenca y el nivel de detalle en la identificación taxonómica. Probablemente en aquellas edades que han menor esfuerzo de muestreo, como es el caso del Gzheliano y el Lopingiano, no se trate de una diversidad palinológica real. Por otra parte, otro problema surge cuando se trata de inferir las condiciones paleoecológicas a partir de los palinomorfos paleozoicos. Dado que el registro de polen y esporas encontrados en conexión biológica con macrofósiles es limitado, resulta difícil asignar afinidades botánicas a muchos palinomorfos. Además, muchos morfolópicos diferentes pueden ser producidos por la misma planta (Lindström et al., 1997). Sin embargo, se pueden observar patrones generales que se repiten en las diferentes cuencas ubicadas en diferentes zonas geográficas, que podrían relativizar los sesgos mencionados anteriormente. Por lo tanto, la presente contribución puede ser un aporte válido como una aproximación inicial a la temática de la diversidad en el Paleozoico superior.

**Introduction**

In the late Paleozoic strata of South America many changes in palynological assemblages can be observed. Particularly in Brazil and Uruguay, paleofloras have been used for biostratigraphic zonation (Playford and Dino, 2000a, 2000b; Souza and Marques-Toigo, 2003; Souza, 2006; Beri et al., 2011; Mori and Souza, 2012). In addition, studies concerning the bio-horizons of appearance and disappearance, as well as the intervals of dominance of certain sporopollen taxa, have been presented for certain portions of Gondwana, especially for Indian basins (e.g., Tiwari, 1999a, 1999b), some of them highlighting morphological changes and evolutionary trends (e.g., Venkatatachala et al., 1995). It is reasonable to think that the evolution of the diversity observed in palynological assemblages is due to vegetational changes, which, in turn, are related to climate changes.

Following this line of argument, the hypothesis of this study is that there must be a relationship between climate changes and sporomorph diversity.

For the late Paleozoic in the southern South American basins four paleoclimatic stages have been identified by Limarino et al. (2014): 1) glacial (late Visean-early Bashkirian); 2) terminal glacial (Bashkirian-earliest Cisuralian); 3) post-glacial (Cisuralian-early Guadalupian); and 4) arid-semi-arid (late Guadalupian-Lopingian). Previous studies have also analyzed Upper Paleozoic palynological diversity in these basins (Beri et al., 2011, 2013, 2015). The main results of these publications indicate that diversity, appearances and disappearances are consistent with post-glacial climatic amelioration and the beginning of an arid phase. This study is based on the hypothesis that the climatic signal could be important enough to be observed despite possible biases being related to, for example, taphonomic processes, palynomorph...
differential dispersal and heterogeneous criteria for taxonomic identification. The aim of this paper is to test this hypothesis using a different statistical approach based on Foote's appearance and disappearance rates (2000), as well as using a higher number of samples and a wider geographic range.

Material and methods

Paleozoic palynoflora of the Paraná (Brazil and Uruguay), Parnaiba and Amazon (Brazil) basins was analyzed (Figs. 1, 2 and 3). A database of 124 pollen and spore genera was elaborated from published data of the Pennsylvanian-Lopingian age. Database inclusion criteria was based on a precise lithostratigraphic and age information of samples favouring the use of review papers in order to avoid repeating previously published data. For the Paraná Basin in Brazil the following literature was used: Ybert (1975), Cazzulo-Klepzig et al. (1980), Dias Fabricio (1981), Marques-Toigo et al. (1982, 1984), Picarelli et al. (1987), Souza et al. (1997, 2003, 2010a, 2010b); di Pasquo et al. (2003a, 2003b), Iannuzzi et al. (2006), Premator et al. (2006), Souza (2006), Neregato et al. (2008), Mori and Souza (2010, 2012) and Boardman et al. (2012a, 2012b). For the Paraná Basin in Uruguay Beri and Pecoits (2001), Beri et al. (2011, 2013) and Mautino et al. (1998a, 1998b, 1998c) were considered. Dino et al. (2002) and Dino and Playford (2002) were used for the Parnaiba Basin. Finally, Playford and Dino (2000a, 2000b) were considered for the Amazon Basin.

Regarding the existence of synonyms, genera assignation was updated for articles prior to 1997, whilst the authors’ criteria were followed for posterior publications. Specifically, the genus Acanthotriletes remained as a valid taxon since it was not possible to distinguish to which species the original citation corresponded to.

To build up the database, each morphogenus was assigned to the appropriate ages except for the Lopingian, which was included without taking into account its subdivisions. In the case that a genus is cited in the literature as being found in a particular epoch (say, Cisuralian), it was considered as being present throughout that epoch, i.e., in all of its stages (Table 1).

Palynological samples from the Paraná Basin in Uruguay are exclusively of Permian age. More specifically, these correspond to the Cisuralian and Guadalupian stages.

Taxa diversity per time interval was estimated as the number of genera present in each interval with and without a range-through approach (Cheetham and Deboo, 1963; Boltovskoy, 1988). The range-through approach assumes that taxa occur in all the time intervals from the base of the interval they are first found in, until the end of the last interval they occur in.

Per-capita instantaneous appearance and disappearance rates of taxa were estimated following Foote (2000) using the R package Paleotree (Bapst, 2012), according to the following formulas:

appearances: \( p = -\ln(N_{bt}/N_{t})/\Delta t \)

disappearances: \( q = -\ln(N_{bt}/N_{b})/\Delta t \)

where \( N_{t} \) is the number of taxon crossing both top
and bottom boundaries; \( N_t \) is the number of taxa crossing the top boundary and making their first appearance at this interval; \( N_b \) is the number of taxa crossing the bottom boundary and making their last appearance in this interval.

Taxa diversity per time interval and per-capita instantaneous appearance and disappearance rates of taxa were estimated for all sporomorphs, and for different groups such as total pollen and total spore genera, as well as for monosaccate, bisaccate, bisaccate striate and polyplicate pollen genera.

In order to take into account the sampling effort of each time interval, the number of sampled localities (geographic areas) was considered. However, this number is not equivalent to the number of samples analyzed since there could be a large number of samples in a single locality or a low number of samples in a time interval with several localities.

**Results**

The analysis of taxa diversity through different stages, expressed as number of genera per time interval, shows that the highest diversity occurs during the Cisuralian and maximum values are reached in the Asselian and Sakmarian stages. The most important increase in taxa numbers can be observed
between the Gzhelian and the Asselian with changes from 14 to 107 genera. On the other hand, diversity declines between the Cisuralian and the Guadalupian, remains stable during the Guadalupian and decreases in the Lopingian. In addition, the curve of diversity calculated by using the range-through method shows the same general trend as describe above (Fig. 4).

Furthermore, the number of sampled localities, which is not equivalent to the number of analyzed samples, reaches the highest values in the Asselian and Sakmarian (13) and the lowest values in the Gzhelian (1) (Fig. 4).

Analyses related to the quantification of appearance and disappearance of different taxa show that the maximum values of appearance rates are more important than those of disappearance. The maximum values of appearances occurred in the Asselian and the higher values of disappearances are observed in the Capitanian, and in a lesser extent, in the Sakmarian (Fig. 5).

Spores and pollen grain diversity were independently analyzed and some differences in the diversity patterns can be appreciated (Fig. 6). Maximum diversity numbers are similar (53 and 54 genera, respectively), however, spores show a higher number of genera (37) in the Moscovian in comparison to pollen grains (25). The number of pollen grain genera does not vary significantly during the Cisuralian and Guadalupian, whilst the number of spore genera clearly decreases throughout these epochs (Fig. 6).

Differences in appearance rates of spores and pollen grains can be easily noticed, however, both are present the maximum values in the Asselian (Fig. 6). Spores possess a lower appearance rate than pollen grains in the Kasimovian and Artinskian, and no occurrences are recorded in the Roadian. Disappearance rates of spores and pollen grains also have certain differences. Spores present a low disappearance rate in the Kasimovian whilst pollen grains do not exhibit disappearances in this age. Moreover, spores and pollen grains have similar disappearance rates in the Sakmarian and higher disappearance rates in the Kungurian but a lower disappearance rate in the Capitanian (Fig. 6).

Furthermore, diversity of the most important groups of pollen grains (monosaccate, bisaccate, bisaccate striate and polylicate grains) was independently analyzed. The results indicate that higher appearance rates occur in the Asselian and secondarily, in the Kasimovian, except in the case of bisaccate striate grains whose disappearance rate is slightly higher in the Artinskian than in the Kasimovian. In addition, appearances of monosaccate pollen also take place in the Artinskian whilst appearances of bisaccate grains are recorded in the Roadian. Disappearances are more significant in the Capitanian. Disappearances in the Kungurian are very scarce for all groups, except for bisaccate grains. It should be noted that monosaccate and bisaccate have a pretty high disappearance rate in the Capitanian (Fig. 7).

Finally, when diversity trends are compared with the climatic stages proposed by Limarino et al. (2014)
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| Genera                | Moscovian | Kasimovian | Gzhelian | Asselian | Sakmarian | Artinskian | Kungurian | Roadian | Wordian | Capitanian | Lopingian |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Acanthotriletes       | X         |            |          | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Ahrensiapores         | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         |            |           |
| Alisporites           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Anapiculatisporites   | X         | X          | X        | X        |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Anguisporites         | X         |            |          | X        |           |            |           |         |         |            | X         |
| Apiculatasporites     | X         | X          | X        | X        |           |            | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Apiculatisporis       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Apiculiretusispora    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Archangelskiapollenites| X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Baculatisporites      | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            | X         |         |         |            |          |
| Barakarites           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Bascaudaspora         | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Brevitriletes         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Caheniasaccites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Calamospora           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Cannanoropollis       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Chordasporites        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         |            | X         |
| Cingulizonates        | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Circumplicatipollis   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         |            | X         |
| Cirratriradites       | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Colpisaccites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Converrucosisporites  | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Convolutispora        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Corisaccites          | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Costapollenites       | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Costatascyclus        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Cristatisporites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Crusisaccites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Cycadopites           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Cyclogranisporites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          |            |         |         | X          | X         |
| Deltoidospora         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Densipollenites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Densosporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Diatomozonotriletes   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Dibolosisporites      | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Dictyotriletes        | X         | X          |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Divarisaccus          | X         | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Endosporites          | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Ephedripites          | X         | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Falcisporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Florinites            | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Foveolatisporites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Foveosporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Fusacolpites          | X         |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Gondisporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Granulatasporites     | X         | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         | X          | X         |
| Granulatisporites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Grossusporites        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         |         |         | X          | X         |
| Genera                  | Moscovian | Kasimovian | Gzhelian | Asselian | Sakmarian | Artinskian | Kungurian | Roadian | Wordian | Capitanian | Lopingian |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Guttulapollenites      |           |            |          |          |           |            |           |         |         |            |           |
| Hamiapollenites        |           | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         |            |           |
| Horriditriteles        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Illinites              | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Kendosporites          |           |            |          | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Klausipollenites       |           | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Kraeuselisporites      |           | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Laevigatosporites      | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lahirites              | X         |            | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Latusipollenites       |           | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Leschikisporis         |           | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Limitisporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lophotriteles          | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lueckisporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lunatisporites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lutiblingsporora       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Lycoispora             | X         | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         |            |           |
| Mabuitasaccites        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       |            |           |
| Marsupipollenites      | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Meristocorpus          | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Microbaculatispora     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Microreticulatisporites| X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Minutosaccus           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Murospera              | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Neoaistriickia         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Osmundacidites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Pakhapites             | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Peppersites            | X         | X          | X        |          |           |            |           |         |         |            |           |
| Perisaccus             | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Piceaepollenites       | X         |            | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Platyisaccus           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Plicatipollenites      | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Polarisaccites         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Polypodisporites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Potonielspores         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Pterichipollenites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Punctatisporites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Punctatosporites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Raistrickia            | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Reticulatisporites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Reticuloidosporites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Retusotriletes         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Rhizomaspora           | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Satsangisaccites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
| Scheuringipollenites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          |           |
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(Fig. 4), it is possible to observe that the number of genera increase at the beginning of the Cisuralian, which coincides with the climate change that took place from the terminal glacial to post-glacial stage. Moreover, the highest appearance rates are registered, in particular, for the bisaccate pollen grains (Fig. 7). On the other hand, in the early Guadalupian, when climate changes occurred from the Postglacial to the Semi-arid-Arid Stage, the number of genera decrease; particularly, disappearance rates show high values during the Capitanian.

**Discussion**

Different biostratigraphic proposals for these strata are based on changes of palynofloral and the relative importance of taxa or groups of taxa in different ages. In this context, it is relevant to analyze the diversity of these assemblages in order to understand the dynamics of palynoflora. In the Paraná Basin in Brazil and the Amazon Basin, Carboniferous palynological zones are characterized by the dominance of spores and monosaccate pollen (Playford and Dino, 2000a, 2000b; Souza and Marques-Toigo, 2003; Souza, 2006; Iannuzzi et al., 2010). According to the results of this study, spore diversity is also higher than pollen grain diversity. In addition, among different groups of pollen grains, monosaccate genera diversity is the most abundant. In lower Permian zones, pollen grains are, in general terms, more abundant than spores and taeniate and polyplacate pollen frequency rises. In this

| Genera            | Moscovian | Kasimovian | Gzhelian | Asselian | Sakmarian | Artinskian | Kungurian | Roadian | Wordian | Capitanian | Lopingian |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Scutasporesites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Secarisporesites  | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Spaleontrites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Staurosaccites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Stellapollemites  | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Stenozonotrites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Striatites        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Striatobaeites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Striatopodocarpites | X       | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Striatosporites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Striromonasaccites | X       | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Taeniastopesites  | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Thymospora        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Tiwariasporesites | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Torispora         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Tornopollenites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Tuberculatosporites | X        | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Tuberisaccites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Vallatisporites   | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Velamisporites    | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Verrubcosporites  | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Verticipollenites | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Vescaspora        | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Vestigisporesites | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Vitresporites     | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Vittatina         | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Waltzispora       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |
| Weylandites       | X         | X          | X        | X        | X         | X          | X         | X       | X       | X          | X         |

*Table 1. Distribution of sporomorph genera in the Middle Pennsylvanian-Lopingian time intervals for the Paraná, Parnaíba and Amazon basins.*

*Tabla 1. Distribución de géneros de esporomorfos a lo largo de los intervalos de tiempo Pennsylvaniano Medio-Lopingiano para las cuencas Paraná, Parnaíba y Amazonas.*
study, spore diversity is similar to pollen diversity, and monosaccate, bisaccate, bisaccate striated, and polyplicate pollen increase. Finally, at the end of the Cisuralian and during the Guadalupian, palynozones are dominated by taeniate and polyplicate grains. According to these results, during that interval pollen grains are more represented than spores and bisaccate striated grains slightly increase. However, polyplicate grains possess a low diversity that remains stable until the Lopingian. In the Paraná Basin in Uruguay there are no carboniferous palynological records, however, trends observed during the Permian can be correlated with those registered in Brazil (Beri et al., 2011). During the studied time interval no major rates of disappearance occur, therefore palynological assemblages suggest a gradual taxonomic substitution pattern. Diversity clearly rises at the beginning of the Cisuralian. Relevant processes of appearances are registered in the Asselian, mainly due to a higher record of pollen grains, especially bisaccate and polyplicate grains. Therefore, in general terms, pollen grains are responsible for the taxonomic novelty on the assemblages since spores show lower appearance rates than pollen grains. These diversity trends are consistent with those observed in the biostratigraphic proposals. For example, the *Vittatina costabilis* Biozone (respectively for the Paraná and Amazon basins) and *Cristatisporites inconstans-Vittatina saccata* Biozone (Paraná Basin, Uruguay) for the beginning of the Permian are characterized by the appearance of the genus *Vittatina* (Souza and Marques-Toigo, 2003; Beri et al., 2011).

According to Iannuzzi (2013), the diversity of different megafloral groups in Brazil exhibit peaks in the Asselian/Artinskian. This trend would be consistent with the palynological diversity observed in this study. In addition, the appearance of glossopoterids followed by ferns constitutes the floristic event denominated F2 and the transgressive event T2 (Iannuzzi and Souza, 2005; Iannuzzi, 2013). However, the peak in diversity in the Wordian/Wuchiapingian proposed by Iannuzzi (2013) is not observed in the palynological record. Although a quite diverse paleoflora is described for Uruguay, no diversity analysis...
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...has been conducted and no biostratigraphic subdivision has been proposed.

Taking into account the regional climatic stages proposed by Limarino et al. (2014), a relationship between different climatic phases and diversity trends throughout the studied period can be observed. Thus, the evolution of diversity, as well as the appearance and disappearance rates, seems to be related to climate changes. The most parsimonious explanation would be that this relationship is due to the response of vegetation to climate changes. It should be noted that the appearance rate represents the first records or taxonomic novelty on a certain moment. However, in the case of disappearance rates, the last records are considered and therefore vegetational changes must be interpreted to occur in the subsequent interval. At the beginning of the Cisuralian, an increase in diversity and the highest appearance rates are registered, considering the total amount of taxa as well as different palynological groups. These observations are consistent with the climatic amelioration observed at the Postglacial Stage, characterized by a temperate/cold humid climate. On the other hand, in the Roadian, just before the beginning of the arid-semi-arid stage according to Limarino et al. (2014), there is a decline in the number of taxa and a small increase in the appearance rate, especially in pollen grains. In addition, during the Kungurian, there is an apparent increase in the disappearance rate, mainly in spores. These events can be expected due to aridification processes, and in such conditions, plant diversity is mainly represented by mesophilous and xerophytic plants (bisaccate and striate pollen grains). Thus, at this time the hydrophilic vegetation has been partly replaced by mesophilous and xerophytic plant communities.

In addition to this, palynological (pollen and spores) richness, as coined by Birks and Line (1992), cannot be interpreted directly as floristic richness due to a number of further biases, such as differences in pollen representation and preservation, vegetation structure, basin size, and taxonomic accuracy in the identification of pollen and spores. To illustrate one of these biases for the case of this study, we could observe that the notorious difference in the number of taxa between the Gzhelian and the Asselian could be explained by the difference in the number of sampled localities. However, the fact that the number of localities is not necessarily equivalent to the number of samples must be taken into account, for example, in the time intervals where there is a less sampling effort, such as in the Gzhelian and Lopingian, the registered diversity could not be an actual palynological diversity. Another problem arises when it comes to inferring paleoecological conditions from Paleozoic palynomorphs. Since there is a limited record of pollen and spores in biological connection with macrofossils, it is difficult to assign botanical affinities to many palynomorphs. Moreover, many different...
morphotypes can be produced by the same plant (Lindström et al., 1997). However, recurring general patterns occur and are strong enough to be observed in different basins of different geographical locations which partially relativize the biases mentioned above. Therefore, this research can be considered as a valid initial approximation to the study of diversity in the late Paleozoic.

Conclusions

The analysis of taxa diversity throughout different stages, expressed as number of genera per time interval, shows that the highest diversity occurs during the Cisuralian and maximum values are reached in the Asselian and Sakmarian.

The highest appearance rates occurred in the Asselian, whilst the highest disappearance rates are observed in the Capitanian and secondarily in the Sakmarian. Spores and pollen grain diversity were independently analyzed and both groups presented the same general diversity trends. However, appearance and disappearance patterns exhibit some differences.

A correlation between different climatic phases
Figure 7. Diversity calculated by using the range-through method and per-capita rates of appearance and disappearance for monosaccate (A), bisaccate (B), bisaccate striate (C) and polyplicate (D) pollen grains.

Figura 7. Diversidad calculada utilizando el método range-through y tasas de aparición y desaparición per-capita para los granos de polen monosacados (A), bisacados (B), bisacados estriados (C) y poliplicados (D).
proposed for the Upper Paleozoic in Western Gondwana and diversity trends throughout the studied period can be observed. The climatic amelioration in the lower Permian would therefore be associated with an increase in the number of sporomorphs genera whilst the beginning of the more arid phase would be related to a decrease in diversity.

Biases such as the preservational potential of palynological material and differences in the sampling effort must be taken into account when interpreting the results. For this reason, it is necessary to increase the database including other geographical locations in order to assess whether the diversity patterns observed in this study could apply to a larger geographical area of Gondwana.
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