Do diabetic dialysis patients require more or less of erythropoietin?
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BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: To evaluate differences in erythropoietin requirements between diabetic and non-diabetic patients on hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis.

DESIGN AND SETTINGS: This was a retrospective, cross-sectional study conducted between January 2010 and December 2011, at King Khalid University Hospital Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, with 47 peritoneal and 57 hemodialysis patients.

METHODS: A total of 24 (51%) peritoneal dialysis and 30 (52.6%) hemodialysis patients were suffering from diabetes. We compared demographics, hemoglobin, ferritin, transferrin saturation, C-reactive protein, parathyroid hormone, and weekly erythropoietin dose.

RESULTS: The mean weekly dose of erythropoietin was 5391.3 (4692.7) units in peritoneal dialysis (diabetic and non-diabetic) patients compared to 9869.7 (5631.7) units in hemodialysis (diabetic and non-diabetic) patients, with a difference of 4478.3 (6615) units (P=.001). The mean weekly dose in diabetic peritoneal dialysis patients was 3818.2 (4489.5) units, compared to 8814.8 (5121.9) units in hemodialysis (P=.001) patients. The mean weekly dose in non-diabetic peritoneal dialysis patients was 6545.4 (3863.5) units compared to 12 222 (6210) units in non-diabetic hemodialysis patients (P=.02). Diabetic peritoneal dialysis patients required a lower dose of erythropoietin compared to non-diabetic peritoneal dialysis patients (3818.2 [4489.5] units vs 6545.4 [3863.5] units per week) (P=.036). In hemodialysis patients, the mean erythropoietin dose was lower in diabetic patients compared to non-diabetic patients (8814.8 [5121.9] units vs 12 222 [6210] units per week) (P=.043).

CONCLUSION: The diabetic patients in both groups (hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis) required less erythropoietin than non-diabetic patients. Diabetic patients on peritoneal dialysis required less erythropoietin diabetic patients on hemodialysis.

The population of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and its associated complications is rising globally. This factor calls for the importance of conducting an in-depth study of the complications related to end-stage renal disease (ESRD), including anemia and malnutrition, to improve patient survival and quality of life (QOL). Anemia is an independent risk factor for cardiac disease and mortality in CKD patients. Hemoglobin (Hb) concentration also correlates with QOL. Both European and American guidelines recommend correcting anemia to an Hb level of 11×12 g/dL. (European Best Practice Guidelines [EBPG] 2004, National Kidney Foundation’s Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative [NKF-K/DOQI] Guidelines 2006).

Diabetes mellitus is a leading cause of ESRD worldwide. The diabetic patients who receive maintenance dialysis therapy frequently require erythropoietin (EPO) therapy for CKD-related anemia. Some studies have shown that anemia control with EPO is obtained more easily in PD patients than in HD patients. To test this theory in diabetic and non-diabetic Saudi patients, we compared the anemia profile and EPO requirements among PD and HD patients at King Khalid University Hospital, King Saud University in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Anemia is an independent risk factor for cardiac disease and mortality in patients on dialysis. We need to evaluate if the control of anemia is affected by dialysis modality.
Our objective was to evaluate the differences in EPO requirements in matched groups of diabetic and non-diabetic patients who receive maintenance HD compared to diabetic and non-diabetic patients who receive PD.

METHODS
In this retrospective study conducted between January 2010 and December 2011, we included 47 patients from the PD unit and 57 patients from the HD unit in King Khalid University Hospital. A total of 24 (51%) of the 47 PD patients suffered from diabetes, whereas 30 (52.6%) of the 57 HD patients suffered from diabetes. All patients were older than 15 years of age, and they were clinically stable with their current dialysis regimen. We recorded the demographic data, levels of Hb, hematocrit (Hct), serum ferritin, transferrin saturation index (TSAT), serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels, as well as total EPO dose that each patient received on a weekly basis. The target Hb level was set as 100–120 g/L in accordance with K/DOQI Guidelines. Iron replacement was given as oral ferrous fumerate or intravenous iron saccharate, depending on the patients’ iron profile and hematological indices (for instance, Hb).

We collected a 24-hour urine output for all patients to measure their residual renal function (RRF). A urine output of more than 100 mL/d was considered significant, and glomerular filtration rate (mL/min) was calculated for these patients using the Cockcroft–Gault formula. A glomerular filtration rate of 5 mL/min or more was considered significant, and glomerular filtration (mL/min) was calculated.

A urine output of more than 100 mL/d was considered significant. The mean values for these biochemical and hematological parameters were calculated for these patients using the Cockcroft–Gault formula. A glomerular filtration rate of 5 mL/min or more was considered significant RRF.

We analyzed the data for various factors that affect the Hb and EPO requirements, including blood counts, serum iron levels, TSAT, PTH, ferritin, serum CRP, and use of medications, including statins and ACE inhibitors. The mean values for these biochemical and hematological parameters are shown in Table 1.

In the HD patients, the mean Hct was 32.9 (3.3%) in diabetic patients and 31.8 (6.6%) in non-diabetic patients. The mean serum iron was 10.9 (3.8) µmol/L in diabetic PD patients and 9.6 (7.2) µmol/L in non-diabetic PD patients. In the HD patients, serum iron was 18.9 (5.1) µmol/L in diabetic patients and 20.0 (8.6) µmol/L in non-diabetic patients. The mean CRP level was 10.3 (8.5) mg/L in diabetic PD patients and 13.0 (11.5) mg/L in non-diabetic PD patients. In the HD patients, the mean CRP level was 7.1 (6.8) mg/L in diabetic patients and 11.6 (12.6) mg/L in non-diabetic patients.

The mean Hb was 102.0 (28.9) g/dL in diabetic PD patients and 101.4 (22.4) g/dL in non-diabetic PD patients. In the HD patients, the mean Hb was 106.4 (10.6) g/dL in diabetic patients and 134.9 (156.5) g/dL in non-diabetic patients (post-dialysis). The mean Hct was 30.0 (8.7%) in diabetic PD patients and 30.4 (6.2%) in non-diabetic PD patients. The distribution of Hb levels according to target levels is summarized in Table 2, which shows that PD patients had slightly better overall Hb control (more patients within the target range) than had HD patients. However, the difference was not found to be statistically significant.

In PD patients, 33 (70.2%) of the total 47 received oral iron replacement during or in the 6 months before the study period (62.5% of diabetic PD patients and 78.6% of non-diabetic PD patients). Of the total 47 PD patients, 20 (42.5%) patients received intravenous iron replacement during or in the 6 months before the study period; 37.5% of diabetic PD patients and 47.8% of non-diabetic PD patients received intravenous iron saccharate infusions.

In HD patients, 40 (70.2%) of the total 57 received oral iron replacement during or in the 6 months before the study period (63.3% of diabetic PD patients and 77.78% of non-diabetic PD patients). Of the total 57 PD patients, 19 (33.3%) patients received intravenous iron replacement during or in the
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Table 1. Demographic parameters.

|                      | Diabetic patients (n=24) | Nondiabetic patients (n=23) | Diabetic patients (n=30) | Nondiabetic patients (n=27) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age (y)              | 56.92 (19.4)            | 37.83 (38.21)               | 54.75 (24.587)           | 59.4 (33.45)                |
| M:F (no. of patients)| 13/11                   | 11/12                       | 9/21                     | 10/16                       |
| Time on dialysis (mo)| 69.1 (23.98)            | 30.826 (28.24)              | 33.3 (25.8)              | 80.7 (93.33)                |
| CAPD/CCPD            | 5/19                    | 7/16                        |                          |                             |
| Route of EPO         | Subcutaneous            | Subcutaneous                | Intravenous              | Intravenous                 |
| Using ACEi or ARBs   | 17 (70.83%)             | 15 (65.2%)                  | 10 (33.3%)               | 5 (18.51%)                  |
| Using statins        | 14 (58.33%)             | 10 (43.4%)                  | 22 (73.3%)               | 14 (51.85%)                 |

PD: Peritoneal dialysis, HD: hemodialysis, M:F: male/female ratio, CAPD: continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, CCPD: continuous cycler peritoneal dialysis, EPO: erythropoietin, ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker.

6 months before the study period; 30.1% of diabetic PD patients and 40.7% of non-diabetic PD patients received intravenous iron saccharate infusions.

In all groups, we calculated an average dose for EPO based on the weekly dose during the previous 4 months. We adjusted the dose on a monthly basis to achieve Hb values within the target range of 100-120 g/L (10-12 g/dL). The mean doses of EPO for each group are outlined in Table 3.

The difference between the mean dose of EPO in PD and HD patients was analyzed by Student t test. The mean overall dose in PD (diabetic and non-diabetic) patients was 5391.3 (4692.7) units, compared to an overall dose of 9869.6 (5631.7) units per week in HD (diabetic
and non-diabetic) patients. The mean difference was 4,478.3 (6,615) units \((P = .001)\). The mean dose in diabetic PD patients was lower, 3,818.2 (4,489.5) units per week, compared to a dose of 8,814.8 (5,121.9) units per week in diabetic HD patients \((P = .001)\). The mean dose in non-diabetic PD patients was lower, 6,545.4 (3,863.5) units per week, compared to a dose of 12,222 (6,210) units per week in non-diabetic HD patients \((P = .022)\). Within each group, the diabetic PD patients, on average, required a lower dose of EPO compared to the non-diabetic PD patients \((3,818.2 \div 4,489.5) \text{ units vs } 6,545.4 \div 3,863.5 \text{ units per week} \ (P = .036)\). Similarly, in HD patients, the mean dose of EPO was lower in diabetic patients compared to non-diabetic patients \((8,814.8 \div 5,121.9) \text{ units vs } 12,222 \div 6,210 \text{ units per week} \ (P = .043)\) (Figure 2).

We found serum PTH levels to be lower in non-diabetic patients compared to diabetic patients. In PD patients the mean serum PTH level was 37.45 (33.8) pmol/L vs 62.7 (51.1) pmol/L \((P = .30)\) and in HD patients \((37.2 \div 28.9) \text{ vs } 65.1 \div 45.4 \text{ pmol/L} , P = .37\). Most of the PD patients were using ACE inhibitors, including diabetic \((70.8\% \text{ patients in PD vs } 33.3\% \text{ patients in HD} , P = .004)\) and non-diabetic patients \((65.2\% \text{ patients in PD vs } 18.5\% \text{ patients in HD} , P = .013)\). More diabetic patients were using statins compared to non-diabetic patients, who received PD and HD, due to increased incidence of dyslipidemia and hypertriglyceridemia in diabetes.

We found serum ferritin levels to be lower in non-diabetic patients compared to diabetic patients. In PD, we found the following: 403.3 (420.2) µg/L in non-diabetic patients vs 581.0 (524.2) µg/L, in diabetic patients,

### Table 2. Distribution of hemoglobin levels in both groups.

| Dialysis modality          | Hemoglobin level | n   | PTH (pmol/L) | Using statins (%) | Using ACEi/ARB (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **PD diabetic patients (n=24)** | Hb<100 g/L       | 4 (16.6%) | 22.2 | 12.5 | 45.5 |
|                            | Hb 100-120 g/L   | 17 (70.8%) | 37.5 | 69.2 | 67.4 |
|                            | Hb>120 g/L       | 2 (8.3%) | 51.5 | 100  |       |
| **PD nondiabetic (n=23) patients** | Hb<100 g/L       | 7 (30.4%) | 44.9 | 55.5 | 82.8 |
|                            | Hb 100-120 g/L   | 14 (60.8%) | 93.15 | 57.1 | 76.4 |
|                            | Hb>120 g/L       | 2 (8.6%) | 72.46 | 75   |       |
| **HD diabetic (n=30) patients** | Hb<100 g/L       | 8 (26.7%) | 51.2 | 85.7 | 46.7 |
|                            | Hb 100-120 g/L   | 18 (60%) | 81.8 | 73.5 |       |
|                            | Hb>120 g/L       | 4 (13.3%) | 34.56 | 50   |       |
| **HD nondiabetic (n=27) patients** | Hb<100 g/L       | 9 (33.3%) | 40.1 | 20  | 82.3 |
|                            | Hb 100-120 g/L   | 13 (48.6%) | 82.1 | 91.7 | 74.5 |
|                            | Hb>120 g/L       | 5 (18.5%) | 58.7 | 83.3 | 60   |

PD: Peritoneal dialysis, HD: hemodialysis, PTH, parathyroid hormone, ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker.

### Table 3. Erythropoietin doses in PD and HD (diabetic and nondiabetic) patients.

| PD                  | EPO dose (units/wk) | HD                  | EPO dose (units/wk) | P     |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| **Diabetic patients (n=24)** | 3818.2 (4489.52)   | Diabetic patients (n=30) | 8814.8 (5121.9) | .001  |
| Nondiabetic (n=23)         | 6545.5 (3863.47)    | Nondiabetic patients (n=27) | 12 222 (6210) | .022  |
| **Total (diabetic + nondiabetic) patients** | 5391.4 (4692.3)   | Total (diabetic + nondiabetic) patients | 9869.6 (5631.7) | .001  |
| **Total (HD + PD) diabetic patients** | 5576 (5190) | Total (HD + PD) nondiabetic patients | 6677 (5968) | .048  |

PD: Peritoneal dialysis, HD: hemodialysis, EPO: erythropoietin.
In HD patients, we found 386.3 (343.7) µg/L in non-diabetic patients vs 421.0 (325.3) µg/L in diabetic patients, \( P=.13 \). Similarly, we found serum ferritin levels to be lower in patients with Hb levels below the target range compared to patients with Hb levels above the target range (\( P=.04 \)). However, we found higher ferritin levels in patients with a high CRP (>10).

Of the 47 PD patients, 25 patients (53.2%) had RRF of 5 mL/min or more. We found that 14 (56%) patients were suffering from diabetes and 11 (44%) patients were not suffering from diabetes. We found the mean EPO dose to be lower \( (3802.1 \pm 2638.5) \) units per week in patients with RRF of 5 mL/min or more compared to a mean EPO dose of \( 5031.6 \pm 3241.3 \) units per week in patients without significant RRF (<5 mL/min). Similar results were seen in diabetic PD patients (3137.2 [2389.5] units vs 4635.4 [3157.1] units per week, \( P=.038 \)) and non-diabetic PD patients (4746.3 [4489.5] units vs 6422.4 [3863.5] units per week, \( P=.056 \), respectively).

In HD, 10 (17.5%) patients had significant RRF of 5 mL/min or more. We found that 6 (60%) patients were suffering from diabetes and 4 (40%) patients were not suffering from diabetes. We observed that the mean EPO dose was lower in the HD patients with significant RRF, compared to HD patients without significant RRF \( (6967.2 \pm 4256.2) \) units vs \( 8426.4 \pm 6732.6 \) units per week, \( P=.143 \)). The difference was not found to be statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Renal anemia is a major complication in patients with CKD, particularly dialysis patients; renal anemia remains a problem that clinicians must deal with daily as they treat CKD and dialysis patients.\(^7\) Hb concentrations also correlate with QOL.\(^4\) Some investigators felt that this problem might be solved by the development of recombinant human EPO, which became available for dialysis patients to use in the late 1980s.\(^7\) However, approximately 80% of the dialysis population used recombinant human EPO with unprecedented efficacy, which led to dramatic reduction in blood transfusions and more beneficial effects, including suppression of the progression of renal failure and an improvement in patient QOL.\(^4\) However, new problems were identified later with the target Hb levels in EPO therapy and also with the criteria for the concomitant use of iron preparations, including resistance to EPO.\(^9\) The guidelines used for the indication and administration of EPO for optimally managing anemia and minimizing the risk of complications comply with those used by the KDOQI, European Renal Best Practice (ERBP) and the Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy.\(^10\) The current recommended level for correction of anemia due to ESRD is 10-12 g/dL (100-120 g/L).

Diabetes is known to be a risk factor for the severity of anemia in non-dialyzed patients with renal failure, as well as in patients who require maintenance dialysis. Few studies have evaluated the difference in response to EPO therapy in diabetic and non-diabetic patients.\(^11,12\) Other studies have shown that PD patients require lower doses of EPO compared with HD patients.\(^13\)

The requirement for EPO and its response in PD and HD patients have not been widely reported for the Arab and especially Saudi CKD and dialysis populations. King Khalid University Hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, started their renal dialysis program almost 4 decades ago; PD was started in 1984. Currently, we have more than 50 active patients and more than 70 patients who receive regular HD in the PD program. In our study, we included 47 PD and 57 HD patients. A total of 24 of the 47 PD patients were suffering from diabetes, whereas 30 of the 57 HD patients were suffering from diabetes. Our data show that the dose of EPO required for the correction of anemia in PD patients was lower compared to what was required in HD patients. The total EPO dose for all PD (diabetic and non-diabetic) patients was lower in comparison to the mean dose in all (diabetic and non-diabetic) patients who received HD (Figure 2). Our finding shows that PD patients required a lower dose of EPO, compared to HD patients. This finding was consistent when we
compared the diabetic patients in both groups with the non-diabetic patients in both groups. In 2001, Pagé and colleagues from Ontario, Canada, reported that in matched groups of diabetic and non-diabetic patients, the diabetic PD patients received an average 4497 units per week compared with 7593 units per week for non-diabetic PD patients. The difference (approximately 3000 units per week) was found to be statistically significant. Our study results are comparable to the outcome reported by Pagé and colleagues. The diabetic patients in our study, from both groups, required less EPO dosage when compared to non-diabetic patients (PD as well as HD). On statistical analysis, we found the differences in the EPO requirements to be statistically significant among both groups, when compared for the diabetic PD, HD populations, the non-diabetic PD and HD groups, as well as between the overall PD and HD patients.

The requirement for EPO and its response in PD and HD can be affected by malnutrition, chronic inflammation, hyperparathyroidism, and iron deficiency, as well as comorbid conditions and other medications, including statins. Some reports have shown an improvement in the response to EPO with the use of ascorbic acid, as well as the concomitant use of statins.

Serum PTH levels were found to be lower in diabetic patients compared to non-diabetic patients. This could be one of the reasons for the better Hb control seen in diabetic patients because several studies have shown that hyperparathyroidism is a risk factor for anemia in ESRD. The potential mechanisms include a direct effect of PTH on endogenous EPO synthesis, bone marrow erythroid progenitors, and red blood cell survival (accelerated hemolysis), as well as an indirect effect through the induction of bone marrow fibrosis.

The use of ACE inhibitors was important in our study, as some studies have shown that ACE inhibitors can inhibit the response to EPO, particularly at high doses. However, other studies have shown no difference in EPO dose on patients using ACE inhibitors, compared to patients who were not using ACE inhibitors. These drugs are more commonly used in PD patients, compared to HD patients, to preserve RRF. Most of the PD patients in our study were using ACE inhibitors, compared to HD patients. Furthermore, more diabetic patients were using statins compared to non-diabetic patients, who receive PD and HD, due to an increased incidence of dyslipidemia and hypertriglyceridemia in diabetes. The use of statins has been linked to a better control of anemia and an improved response to EPO in some studies.

Serum ferritin levels are often increased in ESRD patients, especially in those patients who receive dialysis. The levels are related to TSAT, Hb, and Hct levels in those patients and can be affected by patient compliance to iron supplementation. Ferritin is also an inflammatory marker, and high levels are seen in many inflammatory conditions. PD patients have been shown to have a greater increase in inflammatory markers compared to HD patients. In our study, we found lower serum ferritin levels in non-diabetic patients compared to diabetic patients. PD patients also had higher serum ferritin levels compared to HD patients.

Our study has demonstrated better preservation of RRF in PD patients compared to HD patients. Additionally, a higher percentage of patients (both on HD and PD) had significant RRF, compared to non-diabetic patients. Increasing evidence suggests that the preservation of RRF is associated with several benefits such as improved QOL, reduced EPO requirements, and reduced treatment costs. Our study indicates that preservation of RRF might be a factor in reducing the EPO requirements in PD diabetic patients.

In conclusion, based on our study data, we can conclude that the dose of EPO required for the correction of anemia in PD patients was lower compared to HD patients. The diabetic patients in both groups (HD and PD) required a lower mean dose of EPO compared to the non-diabetic patients in both groups (HD and PD). Additionally, the diabetic patients who receive PD required a lower mean dose of EPO compared to the diabetic patients who receive HD.

Patients on PD (diabetic and non-diabetic patients) received a lower mean dose of EPO compared to the diabetic patients on HD. The differences in the EPO requirements were found to be statistically significant. However, there can be bias due to the small sample size as well as the difference in the method of administration of EPO injections. Additional studies are needed to understand the mechanisms involved to optimize the management of anemia in ESRD patients who require renal replacement therapy.
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