Intrapelvic trauma by metallic wire: Surgical challenges faced
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Penetrating pelvic trauma is rare and presents as one of the most difficult challenges to trauma surgeons. Case Report: We present an unusual case of a 23-year-old male suffering a penetrating pelvic trauma by a projected metal wire. The wire was found in intrapelvic location, caused no internal organs injury and was safely removed using a Pfannenstiel extended approach. Conclusion: Preoperative assessment of foreign body position is mandatory to set-up an efficient surgical procedure. The surgeon must have a profound knowledge of pelvic anatomy in order to choose the best option for the foreign body removal without any iatrogenic lesions.
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INTRODUCTION

Penetrating pelvic trauma is rare and presents as one of the most difficult challenges to trauma surgeons [1, 2]. Outside areas of armed conflict, penetrating injuries are responsible for fewer than 15% of traumatic deaths worldwide, but these rates vary by country [3]. There are multiple locations and courses for penetrating objects, making each case unique and demanding meticulous planning and individualized surgery [2]. Penetrating pelvic trauma patients frequently present in extreme physiological conditions, with injuries including soft tissues, pelvic bones, genitourinary system, rectum, vessels, nerves and intra-abdominal organs. The complexity of pelvic anatomy brings the potential for serious, or even lethal, damage to a spectrum of organ systems. A high index of suspicion, repeated careful evaluation and an adequate management of these injuries are mandatory to achieve a low morbidity and mortality [4].

CASE REPORT

We present a case of a 23-year-old male who was hit by a high speed metallic foreign body during the activity of lawn-mowing. The object was projected from the ground by the machine blades, entering in the medial portion of the right thigh, without any exit point. When he presented to the emergency room, he was aware and hemodynamically stable, with mild abdominal and thigh pain, but no nausea, vomiting, bowel or urinary tract symptoms or any motor or sensitive deficit of the lower extremities. The patient had pain at the superior portion of the right thigh, specifically at the entry point of the foreign body (diameter of 0.5 cm).

The abdomen was moderately distended but depressible, with audible bowel sounds. There was discomfort at the deep palpation of the right lower
quadrant, without any signs of peritoneal irritation or other symptoms. Inguinal and abdominal palpation did not reveal any abnormal presence. There were no signs of active bleeding and the rectal and urine examinations were unremarkable. Hemoglobin value was 13 g/dL and tetanus immunization status was confirmed.

The patient brought with him an anteroposterior pelvic radiograph, performed at a primary care center, that showed a foreign body of metallic nature (Figure 1). At the emergency room, according to this radiograph, it was interpreted that the foreign body was located in the inguinal area, in a superior and anterior position in relation to the right iliopubic ramus. Taken this in consideration, a first removal attempt with an approach at the Scarpa triangle area was performed. This approach was unsuccessful, the foreign body was not even palpated. The patient was admitted to the nursery for further study of foreign body position and went through a prophylactic antibiotic scheme of metronidazole and ceftriaxone. The pelvic computed tomography scan (Figures 2 and 3) identified a metallic nature foreign body with 10 centimeters long situated in intrapelvic position oriented from the right obturator foramen, with a superior and posterior orientation into the right presacral musculature (S2-S3 plane). There were no signs of vascular or visceral lesions, pelvic effusion or fractures. With information regarding the exact foreign body location, collaboration of an orthopedic surgeon with experience in pelvic and acetabular trauma was requested. During the preoperative planning we asked the patient to present a metallic wire identical to the one responsible for the lesion. It was an oxidized metal wire. Before the surgical intervention, the team took fluoroscopic inlet and outlet views, so we could be certain there was no wire migration. The foreign body was in the same position as in the initial tomography images. The wire was situated at the right half of the pelvis, above the pelvic floor, so its removal access should be an endopelvic approach. We performed a suprapubic transverse laparotomy (Pfannenstiel approach) (Figure 4). After the skin and subcutaneous cellular tissue transverse incision, a vertical incision was made in the linea alba; the abdominal muscles and the urinary bladder were isolated and then, by digitoclasy, we had an extra peritoneal access to the pelvic cavity and the wire was easily visualized. The wire was removed without risks by sliding it from the original position to the entry point, following the predetermined trajectory in retrograde direction, so we could prevent iatrogenic lesions of the surrounding structures. Around the foreign body we aspirated low quantity of purulent local content. A 10 centimeter length metal wire in oxidized stage was removed (Figure 5) and the pelvic cavity was abundantly washed with normal saline and iodate solution. The tissues were sequentially closed by planes, leaving a vacuum-assisted drainage system in the previous place of the foreign body.

Postoperative course was uncomplicated and during hospital stay subcutaneous thromboprophylaxis and antibiotics were administrated. The patient was discharged after three days with prophylactic oral antibiotic therapy (for the next 8 days) and analgesics.

In the follow-up consultations patient was asymptomatic, with no signs of neurologic, vascular, bowel, genitourinary lesions or others.

DISCUSSION

There are cases in literature of patients with intrapelvic foreign bodies that are asymptomatic for years [5–7]. However, to avoid serious or fatal complications (like infection and intra-abdominal lesions) caused by foreign body migration (according to Metz [8], “there are no rules for a foreign body behavior in the tissues”), its urgent but carefully planned surgical removal was indicated [9, 10]. In this case, there was high risk of infection because of the dirty ground origin and the oxidized stage of the metal wire, so the surgical removal was undoubtedly indicated. In the presence of organ lesions symptoms (such as peritonitis, hemodynamic instability, colorectal bleeding, urological lesions or others) exams like contrast abdominal radiograph, sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy, intravenous pyelography, cystoscopy and angiography...
are useful to detect, locate and characterize these lesions. When organ lesions are present urgent laparotomy and their surgical repair are mandatory [11]. In this case, we were dealing with a penetrating pelvic trauma presented by acute abdominal discomfort but without organs injury. It is essential to perform a multiplanar detailed imaging study of the foreign body exact location because of the pelvic anatomic complexity. In order to plan the ideal surgical approach for its removal and to avoid iatrogenic lesions of the vital surrounding structures [2, 10]. The imaging study should include anteroposterior, inlet and outlet radiograph views and a computed tomography of the pelvis. These examinations allow us to be certain of the intrapelvic foreign body position and to know its exact relation to the surrounding organs and tissues [10, 12]. An inappropriate surgical approach, particularly in complex anatomic regions like the pelvis, increases the risk of iatrogenic lesions because of insufficient visualization of the surrounding structures, making the surgical removal even more difficult [2, 10]. Imaging findings revealed a metal wire located at the right half of the small pelvic cavity above the pelvic floor. We admitted that the projectile entered at the medial portion of the right thigh, then with superior direction went through the obturator foramen, and stopped at the small pelvic cavity. As the object was situated above the pelvic floor, an endopelvic approach was necessary. The surgeon used an approach in which he had experience in the treatment of acetabular fractures: an extended Pfannenstiel approach. This route allows an easy and secure access to the pelvic cavity by an extra peritoneal approach and the tissues are easily detachable from the pelvic walls by blunt finger dissection. Careful removal of the foreign body is advised in order to prevent lesions to the surrounding pelvic structures. Another approach option could be the wire removal beginning at Scarpa triangle (entry point) and following its trajectory with fluoroscopic control. However, this is an invasive and dangerous approach, because of the deep intrapelvic location of the wire and the neurovascular proximity during the dissection.

The penetrating pelvic trauma with metal wires is reported mostly in context of intrapelvic migration of osteosynthesis wires [6, 9, 11, 13, 14], causing perforating injuries of the bowel, urinary bladder, iliac and femoral vessels. The striking features of this case report are: the rare lesion mechanism of a penetrating metal wire projected in high speed that stayed inside the pelvic cavity, the absence of intrapelvic lesions in an area with plenty of vital structures and the accurate planning and safe wire removal technique. If the foreign body is not easily accessible at the emergency room, then the patient should be referred to an expert for elective removal. Preoperative assessment of the foreign body position is always mandatory to set up an efficient surgical procedure and to avoid unsuccessful surgical approaches, like the one performed initially at the scarpa triangle.
CONCLUSION

It is essential to make a detailed characterization of the intrapelvic foreign body position. So we can accurately plan the surgical approach and the best technique for its removal. The goal is to be as less invasive as possible and to prevent unsuccessful and risky surgical approaches. The surgeon must be an expert in the complex pelvic anatomy in order to minimize the risks and maximize the probability of a positive outcome.
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