An integrated analysis of QTL mapping and RNA sequencing provides further insights and promising candidates for pod number variation in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.)

Jiang Ye†, Yuhua Yang†, Bo Chen‡, Jiaqin Shi*, Meizhong Luo‡, Jiepeng Zhan†, Xinfa Wang†, Guihua Liu† and Hanzhong Wang†

Abstract

Background: As the most important yield component in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.), pod number is determined by a series of successive growth and development processes. Pod number shows extensive variation in rapeseed natural germplasm, which is valuable for genetic improvement. However, the genetic and especially the molecular mechanism for this kind of variation are poorly understood. In this study, we conducted QTL mapping and RNA sequencing, respectively, using the BnaZNRIL population and its two parental cultivars Zhongshuang11 and No. 73290 which showed significant difference in pod number, primarily due to the difference in floral organ number.

Result: A total of eight QTLs for pod number were identified using BnaZNRIL population with a high-density SNP linkage map, each was distributed on seven linkage groups and explained 5.8–11.9% of phenotypic variance. Then, they were integrated with those previously detected in BnaZNRF population (deriving from same parents) and resulted in 15 consensus-QTLs. Of which, seven QTLs were identical to other studies, whereas the other eight should be novel. RNA sequencing of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) at the formation stage of floral bud primordia identified 9135 genes that were differentially expressed between the two parents. Gene ontology (GO) analysis showed that the top two enriched groups were S-assimilation, providing an essential nutrient for the synthesis of diverse metabolites, and polyamine metabolism, serving as second messengers that play an essential role in flowering genes initiation. KEGG analysis showed that the top three overrepresented pathways were carbohydrate (707 genes), amino acid (390 genes) and lipid metabolisms (322 genes).

In silico mapping showed that 647 DEGs were located within the confidence intervals of 15 consensus QTLs. Based on annotations of Arabidopsis homologs corresponding to DEGs, nine genes related to meristem growth and development were considered as promising candidates for six QTLs.

Conclusion: In this study, we discovered the first repeatable major QTL for pod number in rapeseed. In addition, RNA sequencing was performed for SAM in rapeseed, which provides new insights into the determination of floral organ number. Furthermore, the integration of DEGs and QTLs identified promising candidates for further gene cloning and mechanism study.
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**Background**

Pod number is one of the three yield components (pod number per plant, seed number per pod and seed weight) and breeding targets in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Among the three components of yield in rapeseed, pod number shows the highest correlation with yield, which suggests it to be the major contributor to yield [1]. Pod number, especially from branch inflorescence and whole plant, is also the most variable among the three yield components, in accordance with its modest heritability observed in most studies [2, 3]. In rapeseed germplasm resources, pod number shows extensive natural variation, which is invaluable for the genetic improvement [4]. However, the genetic and especially the molecular mechanism for this kind of variation are poorly understood.

Pod number is also a very complex trait that is multiplicatively determined by its three components: the number of flowers differentiated, the proportion of ovaries successfully fertilized, and the rate of fertilized ovaries developed into pods, which are determined by the flower bud differentiation, fertilization and pod development, respectively. Of these, flower bud differentiation is the first critical developmental stage and morphogenesis process which determines the number of floral organs [5]. This process is undertaken with the interaction of internal (such as carbohydrates, phytohormones, polyamine etc.) and external (such as light, temperature, water, and fertilizer etc.) factors [5]. Whereas, genetic factors are the most important control combined with internal signals (also genetically controlled) and influenced by environmental interactions. During the reproductive phase, the shoot apical meristem (SAM) produces inflorescence meristem (IM) that quickly develops into floral meristems (FMs) that, in turn, produce floral primordia [6]. In *Arabidopsis*, more than 100 regulators had been characterized to involve in SAM growth and development [6–10]. In addition, a complex regulatory network of hormones, transcription factors, enzymes, microRNA and other cellular components had been developed [11]. In rice, several genes involving in the regulation of floral organ number had been isolated, such as *FON1-FON4* [12–15]. However, molecular mechanism of floral bud differentiation remained relatively unclear in *Brassica napus*.

Pod number is a typical quantitative trait, which shows continuous variation and is very sensitive to the environmental conditions [16]. To the present, more than 80 pod number quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been identified from nearly ten linkage mapping populations [4]. However, only a few of these QTLs were repeatedly detected, in accordance with the modest heritability of pod number. In addition, almost all of these pod number QTLs showed a moderate effect [4]. Therefore, it is difficult to narrow down these pod number QTLs and identify the underlying candidate genes.

The previous expression profiling studies largely relied on hybridization-based technologies, which was unable to fully catalogue and quantify the diverse RNA molecules that are expressed from genomes over a wide range of levels [17]. With the rapid advancement and decreased price of high-throughput sequencing technology in recent years, RNA sequencing has been widely applied in various species to conduct annotation and quantification of all genes and their isoforms across samples [18]. However, a large amount of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were usually identified between contrast samples through RNA sequencing. Therefore, integration of DEGs and QTLs is considered to be a promising method to identify potential candidate genes [19].

In our previous study, we screened two rapeseed cultivars Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 that showed significant difference in pod number [4] mainly due to the difference in floral organ number. The main objectives of our study are to: (1) dissect the genetic mechanism of pod number variation by QTL mapping using BnaZNRIL and C04ZNF2 population derived from Zhongshuang11 and No.73290; (2) dissect the molecular mechanism of pod number variation by characterizing the expression profile and DEGs of SAM for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 using RNA sequencing technology; (3) integrate the QTLs and DEGs to identify potential candidate genes for further functional and mechanism studies.

**Results**

Mapping of QTLs for pod number using BnaZNRIL population

The pod number of No.73290 was much larger than that of Zhongshuang11 in all of the three investigated environments (Table 1). The pod number of the BnaZNRIL population showed normal or near-normal distribution in the four environments (Fig. 1), indicating a quantitative inheritance suitable for QTL mapping [4]. The broad-sense heritability was 0.67, 0.62 and 0.62 for pod number of main inflorescence, branch inflorescence and whole plant, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S1).

A high-density linkage map comprising 2264 SNP markers was constructed using the BnaZNRIL population. After deleting two non-reproducible suggestive QTL, a total of eight QTLs were identified for pod number in four experiments, six and two for main inflorescence (PNm) and branch inflorescence (PNb), respectively (Fig. 2). The identified QTLs were distributed on A01 (*qPN.A01-2, –3*), A02 (*qPN.A02-1*), A03 (*qPN.A03-3*), A04 (*qPN.A04-1*), A06 (*qPN.A06-1*), C04 (*qPN.C04-1*) and C06 (*qPN.C06-2*) linkage groups. These QTLs for PNb and PNm explained 9.3–9.9% and 5.8–11.9% of phenotypic variance, respectively. The additive effect of these QTLs for PNb and PNm ranged from −16.3 to −13.8 and from −4.1 to 2.5, respectively. Furthermore, the corresponding genomic intervals of the
eight QTLs were determined through mapping the probe sequences of SNP markers within their confidence intervals to the *Brassica napus* genome (Additional file 2: Table S2). Because QTL mapping of pod number had been previously performed using the BnaZNF 2 population derived from the same parents [4], meta-analysis was carried out to integrate pod number QTLs detected in the two studies. After meta-analysis, a total of 15 consensus-QTLs were obtained (Table 2), of which seven were identical to the previously identified ones, whereas the other eight should be novel (Additional file 3: Table S3).

Because the flowering time of the two parents (Zhongshuang11 and No.73290) for both BnaZNF 2 and BnaZNRIL populations differed for about one week, therefore the 15 pod number consensus-QTLs were also compared with the flowering time QTLs detected in both populations. The results showed that most of the QTLs for both traits were not overlapped (Shi et al. unpublished data).

Based on rapeseed genome annotation [20], a total of 6164 genes resided in regions of 15 QTLs. Number of rapeseed genes in QTL region ranged from 63 (qPN.A02-1) to 1103 (qPN.C04-1), with the mean of 411 (Table 3). The majority of these genes have never been functionally annotated in *Brassica napus*.

Transcriptome sequencing and mapping
To dissect the possible molecular mechanism of pod number difference between Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, transcriptome sequencing was performed using the shoot apical meristem (SAM) of Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 because their pod number difference was mainly attributable to the floral organ number. Total RNA, isolated from SAM of ten individuals of Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 at the formation stage of floral bud primordia, was pooled and transcribed into cDNA. Then, the two cDNA libraries were constructed and sequenced via Illumina Hiseq 2000 platform. Consequently, 172,006,032 and 170,055,032 raw reads were generated for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively. After removal of sequences with adaptor, duplication and low quality, we obtained 157,471,012 and 155,457,898 high-quality clean reads for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively. A summary of the transcriptome sequencing is exhibited in Table 4.

A total of 127,275,824 (80.82%), and 125,875,780 (80.97%) clean reads for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively, were successfully mapped to the released reference genome [20] of *Brassica napus* using SOAPaligner/soap2 [21] (Table 5). Of which, 106,578,237 (67.68%) and 105,439,605 (67.83%) clean reads for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively, were uniquely mapped to the reference genome.

Identification and validation of DEGs between Zhongshuang11 and No.73290
All the uniquely mapped reads were selected for further analysis. To remove technical biases inherent in the sequencing process, most notably the length of the RNA species and the sequencing depth of samples, RPKM (reads per kilobase per million reads) was used to normalize these measures [22]. A total of 68030 (67.33%) and 69733 (69.02%) genes expression were detected for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively. Notably, 64440 (87.89%) genes expression was commonly detected in both Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 (Fig. 3a). Genes with average RPKMs beyond 60 were selected to conduct gene ontology (GO) analysis (Fig. 3b). These genes involved in biological processes including electron transport or energy pathway, protein metabolism

| Environment | Materials | PNm | PNb | PNw |
|-------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| W13 Parent  | Zhongshuang11 | 71  | 130 | 201 |
|             | No.73290   | 107 | 211 | 306 |
|             | Pt-test    | 3.82E-05 | 4.98E-03 | 4.41E-04 |
| RIL Min     | 62         | 39  | 115 |
| Max         | 136        | 356 | 458 |
| Mean        | 92         | 165 | 257 |
| Z13 Parent  | Zhongshuang11 | 60  | 104 | 163 |
|             | No.73290   | 87  | 230 | 322 |
|             | Pt-test    | 5.56E-05 | 9.83E-03 | 2.96E-03 |
| RIL Min     | 22         | 58  | 88  |
| Max         | 99         | 480 | 566 |
| Mean        | 68         | 183 | 246 |
| W14 Parent  | Zhongshuang11 | 78  | 101 | 179 |
|             | No.73290   | 108 | 146 | 237 |
|             | Pt-test    | 5.42E-07 | 3.96E-03 | 4.86E-03 |
| RIL Min     | 45         | 45  | 126 |
| Max         | 121        | 362 | 419 |
| Mean        | 87         | 170 | 255 |
| Z14 Parent  | Zhongshuang11 | 66  | 102 | 178 |
|             | No.73290   | /   | /   | /   |
|             | Pt-test    | /   | /   | /   |
| RIL Min     | 33         | 28  | 75  |
| Max         | 129        | 390 | 491 |
| Mean        | 74         | 143 | 216 |
| Total Parent| Zhongshuang11 | 71  | 110 | 184 |
|             | No.73290   | 100 | 192 | 280 |
|             | Pt-test    | 1.38E-12 | 2.72E-07 | 3.81E-08 |
| Population  | Min        | 22  | 28  | 75  |
| Max         | 136        | 480 | 566 |
| Mean        | 81         | 164 | 243 |

PNm/PNb/PNw was the abbreviation of pod number from the main inflorescence, branch inflorescence and whole plant. W13, Z13, W14 and Z14 were the codes of the four environments.
and other biological process. The most enriched category in molecular function was structural molecule activity. The most overrepresented category in cellular component were ribosome, followed by cytosol, other cellular components and cell wall.

Using false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.001 and fold change > 2 as the significant level, a total of 9135 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified. Of which, 5507 (60.28%) and 3628 (39.72%) DEGs were respectively up and down regulated, in No.73290 compared to Zhongshuang11 (Additional file 4: Table S4).

To validate the results of RNA sequencing, qRT-PCR was conducted on 12 randomly selected DEGs with 7 down-regulated and 5 up-regulated genes. As shown in Fig. 4, the expression patterns for 12 DEGs were generally consistent between RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR data. Whereas, differences in fold changes measured by RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR were observed, which is consistent with other studies [23].

**Functional annotation of the DEGs**

All the DEGs were annotated based on their similarity (E value ≤ 1e-5) in six public databases, including GO, KEGG, Pfam, InterPro, SwissProt, and TrEMBLE (Table 6). In total, 8479 DEGs (92.82%) have significant similarity in at least one database.

Because the majority of the rapeseed reference genes have no functional annotation. These DEGs were then annotated by sequence alignment with those in TAIR 10 (E-value cut-off of 1e-5). Finally, 8305 (90.91%) of 9135 DEGs matched at least one gene in Arabidopsis (Additional file 5: Table S5).

To overview functions of DEGs, the 8305 annotated DEGs

---

**Fig. 1** Distribution of pod number in the BnaZNRIL population in the four environments. The horizontal axis represented the number of pod number for the main inflorescence (a), branch inflorescence (b), and whole plant (c). The vertical axis represented the number of lines within the BnaZNRIL population. The different experiments were represented by different colors as showed in the legend. W13RIL, Z13RIL, W14RIL and Z14RIL were the codes of the four experiments.

**Fig. 2** QTL scanning curves for pod number in the BnaZNRIL population. The horizontal axis represented the no. of the 19 linkage groups (A1-A10, C1-C9). The vertical axis represented the LOD score. PNm/PNb/PNw was the abbreviation of pod number from the main inflorescence, branch inflorescence and whole plant. W13, Z13, W14 and Z14 were the codes of the four experiments. The straight lines on the middle of the figure indicated the LOD threshold values corresponding to $P = 0.1$.
were assigned to at least one Gene Ontology (GO) category that belonged to three major terms: cellular component, molecular function and biological process. After the absolute gene numbers in each group were normalized to the frequency of group over all Arabidopsis genes (Fig. 5), S-assimilation was the most over-represented group, indicating that the role of S-assimilation in SAM growth and development. In plant, the uptake of sulfate and its assimilation provides an essential nutrient for the synthesis of diverse metabolites, including cysteine, methionine, glutathione, vitamin cofactors and so on [24]. These metabolites affect carbon nitrogen ratio, which likely contributes to floral bud development [25]. The following over-represented group was polyamine metabolism. Previous studies indicated that polyamine served as second messengers playing an essential role in flowering genes initiation [26]. Other significantly enriched groups, such as N-metabolism, amino acid metabolism, lipid metabolism or hormone metabolism, displayed the active metabolic status of SAM.

To further study the biological pathways that related to SAM growth and development, the pathway enrichment analysis was conducted in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway database. These DEGs were mapped to 190 KEGG pathways (Additional file 6: Table S6), which were grouped into five categories: cellular processes, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, metabolism, and organismal systems (Fig. 6). Of which, metabolism (2835 genes, 72.01%) was most enriched, which indicated that developmental differences of SAM between Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 were largely related to metabolism. Moreover, the top three represented pathways were carbohydrate metabolism (707 genes), amino acid metabolism (390 genes) and lipid metabolism (322 genes), which all belonged to the metabolism group. This is understandable, because the flower bud differentiation is dependent first on the nutrient level in the body of plant, which is reflected by the cytosol concentration (in the shoot apex growing point) that is

### Table 2

| QTL       | Peak position | Confidence interval | Genomic region (Mb) | Additive effect | LOD value | R² (%) | Experiments code |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|--------|------------------|
| qPN.A01-1 | 74.9          | 61.9–85.8           | 4.4–8.7             | –16.3           | 4.3        | 9.3    | W13RILb         |
| qPN.A01-3 | 98.4          | 87.2–103.9          | 8.7–15.7            | –13.8           | 4.9        | 9.9    | W14RILb         |
| qPN.A01-1 | 104.4         | 94.3–105.8          | 15.3–18.3           | –4.9            | 3.0        | 12.5   | W10F2m/X11F2m   |
| qPN.A01-1 | 4.2           | 1.9–5.3             | 0.1–0.4             | –4.1            | 6.5        | 11.2   | W13RILm         |
| qPN.A03-1 | 61.5          | 57.5–61.9           | 11.4–13.5           | –9.7            | 3.6        | 3.0    | W11F3w          |
| qPN.A03-2 | 81.6          | 64.6–98.0           | 14.2–15.4           | –1.7            | 3.8        | 3.4    | W11F3m          |
| qPN.A03-3 | 142.7         | 130.5–155.5         | 15.5–20.8           | –3.6            | 3.9        | 10.5   | Z13RILm         |
| qPN.A04-1 | 108.8         | 4.0–13.8            | 6.0–8.0             | –4.7            | 3.4        | 12.6   | W09F2m          |
| qPN.A06-1 | /             | /                   | 22.0–23.7           | –5.6            | 7.9        | 20.6   | W10F2b,bmw/W11F2b,bmw/X11F2b,bmw/W14RILm |
| qPN.A09-1 | 44.0          | 42.5–54.4           | 17.6–24.0           | 4.1             | 2.7        | 2.3    | X11F2sbw       |
| qPN.C02-1 | 8.2           | 3.2–21.5            | 1.3–2.5             | –5.1            | 4.3        | 18.5   | W10F2m/W11F2m/X11F2m |
| qPN.C04-1 | 128.5         | 119.2–129.6         | 36.7–45.7           | –3.3            | 4.2        | 8.7    | Z13RILm         |
| qPN.C06-1 | 51.2          | 49.1–53.0           | 17.9–18.8           | 7.3             | 4.4        | 15.0   | W10F2m/W11F2m   |
| qPN.C06-2 | 202           | 20.1–203.3          | 29.3–31.3           | 2.5             | 3.5        | 5.8    | W13RILm         |

W13, Z13, W14 and Z14 were the codes of the four environments

were assigned to at least one Gene Ontology (GO) category that belonged to three major terms: cellular component, molecular function and biological process. After the absolute gene numbers in each group were normalized to the frequency of group over all Arabidopsis genes (Fig. 5), S-assimilation was the most over-represented group,

### Table 3

| QTL       | Gene DEG | Total Related to meristem development |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| qPN.A01-2 | 781      | 74 0                                  |
| qPN.A01-3 | 675      | 74 1                                  |
| qPN.A01-1 | 338      | 43 0                                  |
| qPN.A02-1 | 63       | 11 0                                  |
| qPN.A03-1 | 375      | 40 1                                  |
| qPN.A03-2 | 288      | 33 2                                  |
| qPN.A03-3 | 939      | 51 0                                  |
| qPN.A04-1 | 280      | 34 0                                  |
| qPN.A05-1 | 248      | 23 1                                  |
| qPN.A06-1 | 289      | 43 0                                  |
| qPN.A09-1 | 223      | 33 0                                  |
| qPN.C02-1 | 212      | 62 2                                  |
| qPN.C04-1 | 1103     | 69 0                                  |
| qPN.C06-1 | 82       | 15 0                                  |
| qPN.C06-2 | 268      | 42 2                                  |

Gene represented total rapeseed genes. DEGs represented the differently expressed genes between Zhongshuang11 and No.73290. Homologous represented meristem-related genes
determined by the metabolic process. Carbohydrate (as the structure and energy matter) accumulation is closely related to flower bud differentiation [5]. Increasing amino acid content promotes flower bud formation [27]. Lipid metabolism contributes to cell membrane and other parts. Because the SAM is a reservoir of undifferentiated stem cells that function as a continuous source of new cells [11]. These results provide further insight into the molecular mechanism responsible for floral organ number variation in rapeseed.

### Integration of DEGs with QTLs

To further understand the roles of these DEGs played in regulating SAM development and the final flower/pod number, they were integrated with the total of 15 pod number consensus-QTLs identified in both BnaZNRIL and BnaZNF2 population by in silico mapping. A total of 647 DEGs were located in the regions of the 15 QTLs, and the DEGs number within each QTL ranged from 11 (qPN.A02-1) to 174 (qPN.C04-1/ qPN.A01-3), with a mean of 43 (Table 3). Of which, 604 DEGs (93.35%) showed significant homology with Arabidopsis genes and the most have functional annotation.

Interestingly, nine DEGs underlying six QTLs were known to play an important role in regulating meristem growth and development. The nine DEGs resided in the regions of qPN.A01-3 (BnaA01g22100D), qPN.A03-1 (BnaA03g25890D), qPN.A03-2 (BnaA03g29180D, BnaA03g29810D), qPN.A05-1 (BnaA05g12220D), qPN.C02-1 (BnaC02g02900D, BnaC02g03640D), and qPN.C06-2 (BnaC06g28880D, BnaC06g29980D) (Table 7). Their functions included transcription factor, auxin receptor, enzyme, and other cellular elements. For example, BnaA03g25890D (underlying qPN.A03-1) is homologous to Arabidopsis ABP1 (AT4G02980), which is an extra-celluxin receptor that involves in the maintenance of anisotropic growth to initiate new floral primordial [28]. BnaC06g29980D (underlying qPN.C06-2) is homologous to Arabidopsis API (AT1G69120), a floral homeotic gene encoding MADS-domain transcription factor, which plays an essential role in floral meristem determinacy, maintenance of floral meristem identity, flower development, and the transcriptional activation of several flowering time genes including AG, SVP, SOC1 and AGL24 [29, 30]. BnaC02g02900D (underlying qPN.C02-1) is homologous to Arabidopsis TFL1 (AT5G03840), which is involved in floral initiation process and control floral meristem identity [31]. The nine genes should be considered as promising candidates that could be used for further study.

Our study also indicated that integration of transcriptome sequencing with QTL mapping could be an efficient method to narrow down the number of candidate genes within the QTL region.

### Discussion

#### Novel QTLs identified for pod number

In the present study, a total of eight QTLs for pod number were identified in the BnaZNRIL population, and were integrated with those previously detected in BnaZNF2 population [4], which resulted in a total of 15 consensus-QTLs. These QTLs were distributed on ten linkage groups (A01, A02, A03, A04, A05, A06, A09, C02, C04 and C06). Most of these QTLs showed a moderate effect ($R^2 < 10\%$) and only one (cqPN.A06-1) can be considered as a “major” QTL. Of these, only four QTLs were repeatedly detected and the other 11 were specific (Table 2). This strongly indicated that pod number is very sensitive to environmental condition, and accorded well with the moderate heritability of pod number found in the current and previous studies [2, 3].

More than 70 QTLs for pod number had been detected in the other studies, which were distributed on 17 (excluding C04 and C07) of the 19 linkage groups [4]. To accurately identify the positional relationship of pod number QTLs detected in our and other studies, comparative QTL analysis was performed based on the physical map of Brassica napus [4]. Of the total of 89 pod number QTLs identified in our and other studies, 83 ones were successfully mapped on the physical map. This represents the first relatively comprehensive genetic architecture of pod number in rapeseed. Of the 15 consensus-QTLs detected in our studies, the genomic regions of seven QTLs (qPN.A01-2, qPN.A02-1, qPN.A03-1, qPN.A03-3, qPN.C06-1 and qPN.C06-2) were overlapped with those reported in other studies, whereas the other eight (qPN.A01-3, cqPN.A01-1, qPN.A04-1, qPN.A05-1, cqPN.A06-1, qPN.A09-1, qPN.C02-1 and qPN.C04-1) should be novel. More importantly, we detected a pod number QTL (qPN.C04-1) on C04 linkage group for the first time.

### Table 4 Summary of the transcriptome sequencing

| Sample name     | Raw reads | Clean reads | Cleans bases | Error rate (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | GC content (%) |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Zhongshuang11   | 172,006,932 | 157,471,012 | 15,408,193,159 | 0.03           | 99.45   | 95.42   | 46.03          |
| No.73290        | 170,055,032 | 155,457,012 | 15,408,193,159 | 0.03           | 99.45   | 95.42   | 46.03          |

### Table 5 The number and proportion of (uniquely) mapped reads among clean reads

| Sample name     | Mapped reads (Mapped/Clean) | Uniquely mapped reads (Unique/Clean) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Zhongshuang11   | 127,275,824 (80.82%)       | 105,578,237 (67.68%)               |
| No.73290        | 125,875,780 (80.97%)       | 105,439,605 (67.83%)               |
Characteristics of SAM DEGs

Transcriptome sequencing of SAM had been documented in several crops such as maize [32] and soybean [33], but had rarely been reported in *Brassica napus*. To our knowledge, this is the second report on SAM transcriptome sequencing in rapeseed. In this study, KEGG analysis showed that the most enriched group of these DEGs belong to metabolism, especially carbohydrate metabolism, which provides the energy and material basis to produce the difference of the number of differentiated flower buds and opening flowers. We proposed that these carbohydrates should be mostly transferred from the leaf, because it is the major source of photosynthesis during the vegetative and early reproductive stage.

To date, a total of 2296 transcription factors have been identified and classified into 58 families in *Arabidopsis* (http://planttfdb.cbi.pku.edu.cn/index.php?sp=Ath). Based on the functional annotation of corresponding *Arabidopsis* homologous of these DEGs, nearly all transcription factors families (52 of the total 58 families) were found. This indicated that transcription factors may play an important role in SAM development. In addition, phytohormones metabolism was overrepresented. The roles of several types of hormones in SAM development have been extensively studied. Of which, cytokinins and auxins act as two major hormones to involve in meristem function and maintenance [34]. Auxins are a positive regulator to induce lateral organ initiation, such as flower bud, leaf. Cytokinins play a role in meristem maintenance and in controlling meristematic properties, such as cell proliferation. Furthermore, auxins interact with cytokinins to regulate SAM development [34, 35]. In the SAM, gibberellin...
activity is down-regulated and its activity is antagonistic with cytokinins activity [35]. In the SAM, brassinosteroids play a role in the spatiotemporal control of organ boundary formation and morphogenesis [36]. What’s more, phytohormones and transcription factors can cooperate to balance meristem maintenance and organ production [35]. These results showed a complex regulatory network involved in SAM development.

Candidates for pod number

Previous studies into pod number mainly focused on QTL mapping (in genomics level) and no study has been conducted in transcriptomics. RNA sequencing is a new high-throughput, high-sensitivity and high-speed technology, which is widely used for transcriptome profiling [37]. In comparison with the previous methods, RNA sequencing possesses three key advantages: first, RNA sequencing is not limited to detect transcripts that correspond to existing genomic sequences; Second, RNA sequencing has very low background signal; Third, transcripts detected by RNA sequencing have a large dynamic range of expression levels [38]. The integration of the transcriptome profiling and QTL mapping is a very useful and popular strategy toward discovery of candidate genes [19].

Our previous study showed that pod number of No.73290 was about half greater than that of Zhongshuang11 [4], which was mainly due to their difference in floral organ number according to results of successive observation in several years. According to the relevant research, floral organ number was mainly determined by the SAM development [12, 39]. Thus, SAM of both Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 was characterized using the RNA sequencing technology and the identified DEGs was combined with QTLs to narrow the candidates.

According to the functional annotation of the corresponding Arabidopsis homologues of these DEGs, nine involved in meristem growth and development were considered to be the candidates, which should be important targets for further functional validation.

Transcription factors (such as WUS, STM) play a central role in SAM growth and development [11, 40]. Of the above-mentioned nine candidates, the Arabidopsis homologues (AP1, BLH9, and AT1G60340) of BnaC06g29980D, BnaC02g03640D and BnaA01g22100D were transcription factor-encoding genes. AP1, a MAD box transcription factor, activates floral organ identity genes to promote FMs formation by interplaying with LFY [29, 41, 42]. Loss function of AP1 prevents the formation of flowers in the axils.

![Fig. 4 Comparison of the relative expression abundance measured by qRT-PCR and RNA-seq for 12 selected DEGs](image)
of sepal to form FMs [43]. In our study, the expression level of BnaC06g29980D was higher in No.73290 than in Zhongshuang11, which might promote flower formation. BLH9, a homeodomain transcription factor, contributes to spatial expression patterns of boundary genes and floral induction by FT [44]. Loss function of this gene impairs stem cell maintenance and blocks internode elongation and flowering [45]. AT1G60340 belongs to the NAC family, whose proteins have a consensus sequence known as the NAC domain (petunia NAM and Arabidopsis ATAF1, ATAF2, and CUC2) [46]. Previous studies showed that mutated NAM (NO APICAL MERISTEM) genes failed to
form SAM in *petunia* and that mutated *CUC2* causes defect in the formation of the SAM in *Arabidopsis* [46].

Phytohormones, such as auxin, cytokinins and gibberellin etc., play an important role in the shoot apical and floral meristem functions [35]. Of the above-mentioned nine candidates, *BnaA03g25890D* is homologous to *Arabidopsis ABP1*, which functions as an auxin receptor and its knockdown leads to an enhanced degradation of AUX/IAA repressors [47]. In current study, the expression level of *BnaA03g25890D* was lower in No.73290 than in Zhongshuang11, which might promote flower primordium initiation.

*BnaA03g29180D* is homologous to *ATSK12*, which encodes SHAGGY-like protein kinase involved in meristem organization [48]. *BnaA05g12220D* is homologous to *ASL5*, which encodes the protein containing a conserved LOB domain. The T-DNA insertion mutant of *ASL5* displayed lost apical dominance, abnormal inflorescence compare to wide type [49, 50]. In our study, the expression level of *BnaA03g29180D* and *BnaA05g12220D* in No.73290 was higher more than in Zhonghsuang11, which suggested that *BnaA03g29180D* and *BnaA05g12220D* may positively regulate the SAM development.

*BnaC02g02900D* is homologous to *TFL1* (encoding a small transcription cofactors), which controls inflorescence meristem identity and is involved in the floral initiation process [31, 51]. To date, the function of *TFL1* has been extensively studied in soybean [52], rose [53] and black cherry [54] etc., which all indicated its role in the transcription repression in floral development. In our study, the expression level of *BnaC02g02900D* was lower in No.73290 than in Zhongshuang11, which might promote floral organ development. *BnaC06g28880D* is homologous to *TEL2*, which is similar to the terminal ear1 (*TE1*) gene in maize. The *te1* mutant displayed earlike appearance of the terminal inflorescence, which indicated that *TEL2* may contribute to normal inflorescence and lead to floral development [57]. The expression level of *BnaC06g28880D* was higher in No.73290 than in Zhongshuang11, which might promote inflorescence and flower development. To further validate the nine candidate genes, their over-expression and knockout vector have been constructed and transformed in our laboratory.

### Conclusions

To investigate the genetic and molecular mechanism of pod number variation in rapeseed, we conducted QTL mapping and RNA sequencing, respectively, using the BnaZNRIL/BnaZNRF2 populations and its two parents Zhongshuang11 and No.73290. As a result, 15 consensus-QTLs were identified through meta-analysis. Go and KEGG analysis of 9135 DEGs between the SAM of Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 provided new and further insights into pod number variation. An integrated analysis of QTLs and DEGs identified several promising candidates for these QTLs, which laid a solid foundation for further functional and mechanism research.

### Methods

**Population construction, field experiments and trait investigation**

Two linkage populations, BnaZNRF2 and BnaZNRIL, were used for mapping and integration of QTLs for pod number in our study. Both populations were derived from the two sequenced rapeseed cultivars, Zhongshuang11 (de novo sequencing) and No.73290 (re-sequencing) that showed significant difference on pod number. The BnaZNRF2 population included 184 F2 individuals, which had been reported previously [4]. The BnaZNRIL population included 184 F7 generation RILs (recombinant inbred lines) derived from

### Table 7 Details of nine DEGs those are related to meristem development and within the QTL regions

| QTL       | Rapeseed gene | Arabidopsis gene | Gene function               |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| qPN.A01-3 | BnaA01g22100D | AT1G60340        | Transcriptional regulator    |
| qPN.A03-1 | BnaA03g25890D | AT4G02980        | Auxin binding protein        |
| qPN.A03-2 | BnaA03g29180D | AT3G05840        | Kinase                       |
|           | BnaA03g29810D | AT3G07050        | Inflorescence meristem mainte |
| qPN.A05-1 | BnaA05g12220D | AT2G30130        | Repress KNOX gene expression |
| qPN.C02-1 | BnaC02g02900D | AT5G03840        | Inflorescence meristem identity |
| qPN.C06-2 | BnaC06g28880D | AT1G67770        | Transcription factor         |

Zhongshuang11, which might promote floral organ development. *BnaC06g28880D* is homologous to *TEL2*, which is similar to the terminal ear1 (*TE1*) gene in maize. The *te1* mutant displayed earlike appearance of the terminal inflorescence, which indicated that *TEL2* may contribute to normal inflorescence and lead to floral development [57]. The expression level of *BnaC06g28880D* was higher in No.73290 than in Zhongshuang11, which might promote inflorescence and flower development. To further validate the nine candidate genes, their over-expression and knockout vector have been constructed and transformed in our laboratory.

### Conclusions

To investigate the genetic and molecular mechanism of pod number variation in rapeseed, we conducted QTL mapping and RNA sequencing, respectively, using the BnaZNRIL/BnaZNRF2 populations and its two parents Zhongshuang11 and No.73290. As a result, 15 consensus-QTLs were identified through meta-analysis. Go and KEGG analysis of 9135 DEGs between the SAM of Zhongshuang11 and No.73290 provided new and further insights into pod number variation. An integrated analysis of QTLs and DEGs identified several promising candidates for these QTLs, which laid a solid foundation for further functional and mechanism research.

### Methods

**Population construction, field experiments and trait investigation**

Two linkage populations, BnaZNRF2 and BnaZNRIL, were used for mapping and integration of QTLs for pod number in our study. Both populations were derived from the two sequenced rapeseed cultivars, Zhongshuang11 (de novo sequencing) and No.73290 (re-sequencing) that showed significant difference on pod number. The BnaZNRF2 population included 184 F2 individuals, which had been reported previously [4]. The BnaZNRIL population included 184 F7 generation RILs (recombinant inbred lines) derived from
the above-mentioned 184 F₂ individuals using single-seed descent. The BnaZNRIL population was planted in Wuhan (Hubei province, China) and Zhengzhou (He Nan province, China) from Oct. 2012 to May 2013 and from Oct. 2013 to May 2014 (code W13RIL, Z13RIL, W14RIL, and Z13RIL, respectively) followed a randomized complete block design with two replications. Each block contained three rows, with 33 cm distance between rows and 16.7 cm distance between individuals. The field management followed standard agriculture practice. At maturity, 10 representative plants from the middle of the second row of each block were harvested.

Effective pod number was investigated according to the previous study [3]. Pod number included three categories: pods from the main inflorescence (PNm), branch inflorescence (PNb) and whole plant (PNw), respectively.

SNP genotyping and linkage map construction
To genotype the BnaZNRIL population, The Brassica 60 K Illumina® Infinium SNP array was recently developed by the international Brassica Illumina SNP consortium. The array hybridization and data processing were carried out in Emei Tongde Co. (Beijing) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Leaf tissue from seedlings of BnaZNRIL population was used to extract genomic DNA according to the CTAB method [58].

The genetic linkage map was constructed using the software JoinMap 4.1 (https://www.kyazma.nl/index.php/JoinMap/) with a threshold for goodness-of-fit ≤5, recombination frequency of <0.4 and minimum logarithm of odds (LOD) score of 2.0. The genetic distances were measured based on the Kosambi function. To avoid the potential errors, double-crossover events were checked.

QTL mapping and meta-analysis
QTL mapping was performed using the composite interval mapping (CIM) method incorporated into WinQTLCart v2.5 software (http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm). The parameters including walk speed, number of control markers, window size and regression method were set to 1 cM, 5, 10 cM, and forward regression, respectively. Permutation analysis [4] with 1000 repetitions was used to determine the LOD threshold. The LOD value corresponding to \( P = 0.05 \) (3.0–4.6) was used to detected significant QTLs. Moreover, a lower LOD value corresponding to \( P = 0.10 \) (2.6–4.1) was employed to identify suggestive QTLs with small effects.

Meta-analysis was used to estimate the number and position of the true QTLs underlying the QTLs of the same or related traits, which were repeatedly detected in the different environments and/or populations [59]. QTLs repeatedly detected in the same population from different environments (year-location combinations) were first integrated into identified QTLs, and then QTLs repeatedly detected in the different populations were integrated into consensus-QTLs. Each identified and consensus-QTLs were named according to a previous study [60].

SAM sampling and RNA isolation
To gain credible data, the SAMs were sampled from 10 representative individuals growing at the formation stage of floral bud primordia and then equally mixed for Zhongshuang11 and No.73290, respectively. Total RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Cat. 74124, Qiagen, Mississauga, ON) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Then trace amount of DNA was treated with DNase I (Cat. 18068–015, Invitrogen, USA). In addition, the RNA quantity and quality were detected using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and the integrity of RNA was detected by electrophoresis with a 1% agarose gel in 1 × TBE buffer at 70 V for 45 min.

cDNA libraries construction and Illumina sequencing
Sequencing libraries were prepared using NEB Next Ultra™ directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, purification of mRNA was achieved from total RNA by using ploy-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Then, adding the Illumina proprietary fragmentation buffer to the RNA samples, fragmentation of mRNA was completed under elevated temperature. Taking those fragments as templates, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized via using random hexamers and SuperScript II. The second-strand cDNA was synthesized by adding buffer, dNTPs, DNA polymerase I and RNase H to the reaction system. Subsequently, purification of the double-strand cDNA was performed using AMPure XP beads. The remaining overhangs were repaired via exonuclease/polymerase. Adenylation of the 3’ ends of cDNA fragments were conducted. Illumina PE adapter oligonucleotides were ligated to prepare for hybridization. 200-bp cDNA fragments were extracted using an AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, CA, USA). DNA fragments were amplified by 12 cycles of PCR. After enrichment of DNA, the libraries were sequenced using Illumina Hiseq 2000 platform and raw data was generated. Adaptor, duplication and low quality sequences were removed to get clean data.

Differential gene expression analysis
First, all reads of each library were mapped to the reference genome using the SOAP program [21] with the default parameters. Second, the uniquely mapped reads were selected for quantifying the abundance. Third, the expression level was normalized using the values of RPKM (reads per
kilobase per million reads). According to Bioconductor [61] package, DESeq (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq.html) was used to measure gene differential expression between Zhongshuang11 and No.73290. The absolute value of log2 (Ratio) ≥ 1 (under the criterion of P ≤ 0.01 and false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.001) was used as threshold to assess the significance of gene expression difference.

Functional annotation and category
Total DEGs were annotated based on BLSAT search in six public databases, including the protein family (Pfam) database (http://pfam.xfam.org/), InterPro database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/IPR001461), Swiss-Prot protein database (http://web.expasy.org/docs/swiss-prot_guideline.html), TrEMBLE database (http://web.expasy.org/docs/swiss-prot_guideline.html), the gene ontology (GO) database (http://geneontology.org/) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway (KEGG) database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html).

DEGs were functionally annotated using BLASTN with an E value cutoff of 1.0E-5 in TAIR 10 database. Then, The GO classification was performed using Classification SuperView Tool (http://bar.utoronto.ca/ntools/cgi-bin/ntools_classification_superviewer.cgi).

Pathway analysis was performed by searching against the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genome (KEGG) pathway database with E value threshold of 1.0E-5.

Validation of RNA sequencing data by qRT-PCR
Twelve genes were selected randomly to validate RNA sequencing data by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The primer pairs were designed using Primer 5.0. Details of primer pairs were listed in Additional file 7: Table S7. Total RNA extracted for transcriptome sequencing was used for conducting qRT-PCR. qRT-PCR was performed using SYBR® Select Master Mix (2X) according to manufacturers’ recommendation. UBC21 gene was used as an internal control to normalize transcript levels [62]. Real-time assay for each gene was performed with three independent biological replicates under identical conditions. Gene expression was calculated according to the previous study [63].
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