Higgs Mechanism for New Massive Gravity and Weyl Invariant Extensions of Higher Derivative Theories
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New Massive Gravity provides a non-linear extension of the Fierz-Pauli mass for gravitons in $2+1$ dimensions. Here we construct a Weyl invariant version of this theory. When the Weyl symmetry is broken, the graviton gets a mass in analogy with the Higgs mechanism. In (anti)-de Sitter backgrounds, the symmetry can be broken spontaneously, but in flat backgrounds radiative corrections, at the two loop level, break the Weyl symmetry à la Coleman-Weinberg mechanism. We also construct the Weyl invariant extensions of some other higher derivative models, such as the Gauss-Bonnet theory (which reduces to the Maxwell theory in three dimensions) and the Born-Infeld type gravities.
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I. INTRODUCTION

New Massive gravity [NMG] defined by the action

$$I_{NMG} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \sigma R - 2\lambda m^2 + \frac{1}{m^2} \left( R_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{3}{8} R^2 \right) \right],$$

(1)

describes, at the linearized level, a massive graviton with 2 degrees of freedom both around its flat (for $\lambda = 0$) and its (anti)-de Sitter ($\lambda > -1$) vacua. The theory is unitary at the tree level for certain choices and ranges of parameters, the details of which, and some related work on the perturbative spectrum of the model, can be found in [2-8]. There are at least two reasons why NMG is a valuable theoretical lab for ideas about "quantum gravity": First of all, it is a super-renormalizable theory [9] and secondly, which is more relevant to the current work, it
provides a non-linear extension to the (unitary) Fierz-Pauli mass of a graviton. While all this is quite interesting, there is a missing part of the mass-puzzle here: The graviton, after linearization, acquires a hard mass, instead, one would like to understand the mass arising from a symmetry breaking Higgs-type mechanism, just like one understands the mass of the various fields, such as the non-abelian vector bosons etc. In this paper, we provide such a mechanism by finding the Weyl invariant extension of NMG and by showing that the vacuum of the theory is not Weyl invariant. How the symmetry breaking takes place, assuming one does not break it by hand, crucially depends on whether one is working around (A)dS or flat backgrounds. As we shall see, the latter is somewhat more intricate. Before we discuss the Weyl invariant extension of NMG by introducing a Weyl gauge field and a scalar field, let us note that, it was realized in [4] that the linearized form of the quadratic part of NMG is Weyl invariant and the linearized part of the Einstein-Hilbert term breaks this symmetry. Taking this observation as a hint, we will make the full action, not just the linearized one, Weyl invariant and find the field equations and show that the scalar field develops a vacuum expectation value in the case of (A)dS backgrounds. For flat backgrounds, Weyl symmetry is broken at the two loop level.

Besides the Weyl invariant extension of NMG, we will give Weyl invariant extensions of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) theory in generic dimensions. Specifically, for $2 + 1$ dimensions, the Weyl-invariant GB combination reduces just to a Maxwell term (with a compensating scalar field), which is interesting since the non-Weyl invariant GB combination identically vanishes in this dimension. We also give the Weyl invariant versions of the Born-Infeld-NMG action [10] which was constructed to reproduce NMG at the quadratic expansion in curvature and the theory found in [11] using the existence of holographic c-functions, at the cubic and quartic expansions in curvature.

The layout of the paper is as follows: In Section II, we start with a brief review of constructing Weyl invariant actions and the relevant Weyl invariant tensors. In Section III, we give a Weyl invariant extension of NMG and the field equations and study the maximally symmetric vacua. In section IV, we discuss the Weyl invariant form of EGB theory and the BINMG theory.

II. BASICS OF WEYL INVARIANCE

Weyl’s original idea (see [12] for a brief review) was to unify gravity and electromagnetism by ‘gauging’ the metric as $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{A_\alpha dx^\alpha} g_{\mu\nu}$, where $A_\mu$ is the vector potential. While this procedure did not give a correct unified theory, the idea of coupling electromagnetism to charged fields through the 'gauging' of the wave functions survived. In a Poincare invariant theory, Weyl’s idea boils down to upgrading the rigid scale invariance$^1$ to a local scale invariance. The method of how the Weyl-gauging idea is implemented in a given theory is important, since, the theories we shall consider are higher derivative rather complicated models, therefore an economical way of writing their Weyl invariant version is required. We start with the basics. We will work in a generic $n$ dimensional space-time and specify to $n = 2 + 1$ later. Perhaps the best way to introduce the idea is to start with the kinetic part of the scalar field action

$$S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi.$$

$^1$ That is setting $x^\mu \rightarrow \lambda x^\mu$ and similarly scaling the fields with their scale dimensions $d$ as $\varphi \rightarrow \lambda^d \varphi$, where $\lambda$ is a constant.
To make this action Weyl invariant in the background of dynamical gravity, one should have invariance under

\[ g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\lambda(x)} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Phi \rightarrow \Phi' = e^{-\frac{(n-2)\lambda(x)}{2}} \Phi, \]  

where \( \lambda(x) \) is an arbitrary function of the coordinates and the derivatives should be replaced with the (real) gauge covariant derivatives (not to be confused with the spacetime covariant derivative \( \nabla_\mu \) that we shall use below)

\[ \mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - \frac{n-2}{2} A_\mu \Phi, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} + 2 A_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}, \]  

where \( A_\mu \) the Weyl’s gauge field which transforms as

\[ A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \lambda(x). \]  

By construction, one then has

\[ (\mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta})' = e^{2\lambda(x)} \mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi)' = e^{-\frac{(n-2)\lambda(x)}{2}} \mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi. \]  

The field strength \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \) is gauge invariant, but the Maxwell-type action needs a compensating Weyl scalar

\[ S_{A\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left( \mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \mathcal{D}_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \mathcal{D}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \right). \]  

As for the gravity side, the shortest way to implement Weyl invariance is to define a Weyl invariant connection using the Christoffel connection and compute the Riemann and Ricci tensors and the Ricci scalar from that. Quite easily one can see that the following does the job

\[ \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left( \mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \mathcal{D}_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \mathcal{D}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \right). \]  

Then the Weyl-invariant Riemann tensor can be computed as

\[ \tilde{R}^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}[g, A] = \partial_\rho \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\rho} \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\sigma} \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu\rho} \]  

\[ = R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \delta^\mu_{\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + 2 \delta^\mu_{\nu} (\sigma \nabla_\mu A_\sigma + 2 g_{\nu\sigma} \nabla_\rho A^\rho) \]  

\[ + 2 A_{[\sigma} \delta_{\rho]} A_{\nu]} + 2 g_{[\nu\rho} A_{\sigma]} A^\mu + 2 g_{[\nu\rho} \delta_{\sigma]} A^\mu A^\lambda, \]  

where we have used the notation \( 2 A_{[\rho} B_{\sigma]} \equiv A_\rho B_\sigma - A_\sigma B_\rho \) and \( A^2 = A_\mu A^\mu \). Note that we do not insist on the original symmetries of the Riemann tensor, we accept what we get from the above construction, since, at the end, we would like to get a Weyl invariant action and once we do that, we will get back to the original fields. Similarly, the Weyl-invariant Ricci tensor reads

\[ \tilde{R}_{\nu\sigma}[g, A] = \tilde{R}^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}[g, A] \]  

\[ = R_{\nu\sigma} + F_{\nu\sigma} - (n-2) \left[ \nabla_\sigma A_\nu - A_\nu A_\sigma + A^2 g_{\nu\sigma} \right] - g_{\nu\sigma} \nabla \cdot A, \]  

where \( \nabla \cdot A \equiv \nabla_\mu A^\mu \). One more contraction gives the scalar curvature,

\[ \tilde{R}[g, A] = R - 2(n-1) \nabla \cdot A - (n-1)(n-2) A^2, \]  

\[ ^2 \text{One could allow the gauge covariant derivative act on the gauge field as } D_\mu A_\nu \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu \partial_\mu \text{ and define the combination of gauge and metric covariant derivatives as } D_\mu A_\nu \equiv \nabla_\mu A_\nu - A_\mu A_\nu, \]  

which somewhat simplifies the subsequent computations.
which is not Weyl invariant, but transforms as $(\tilde{R}[g, A])' = e^{-2\lambda(x)} \tilde{R}[g, A]$. Therefore, to write the Weyl-invariant version of the Einstein-Hilbert action, we need a compensating Weyl scalar

$$S = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \Phi^2 \tilde{R}[g, A] = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \Phi^2 \left( R - 2(n-1) \nabla \cdot A - (n-1)(n-2)A^2 \right). \quad (12)$$

What is interesting about this action is that, suppose, one does not add dynamics to the gauge field, then, independent of whether one adds an explicit kinetic term to the scalar field or not, after eliminating the gauge field by using its field equation

$$A_\mu = \frac{2}{n-2} \partial_\mu \ln \Phi, \quad (13)$$

one ends up with the conformally coupled scalar-tensor theory

$$S = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left( \Phi^2 R + 4(n-1) \frac{\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi}{n-2} \right). \quad (14)$$

Of course, in higher curvature theories, that we shall discuss, generically, the gauge field will necessarily be dynamical. If the scalar field takes the constant value (inverse of the Newton’s constant) then General Relativity without a cosmological constant is recovered. To introduce a cosmological constant (in this limit) one should add a Weyl-invariant potential to the scalar field yielding

$$S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left( D_\mu \Phi D^\mu \Phi + \nu \frac{\Phi^2}{n-2} \right), \quad (15)$$

where $\nu \geq 0$ is a dimensionless coupling constant. As expected, at least in flat backgrounds, this yields a renormalizable theory in $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. (Here we do not discuss the rather special case of $n = 2$). After this excursion to the Weyl gauging in Einstein’s gravity, let us study the higher derivative models.

**III. WEYL-INvariant NEW MASSIVE GRAVITY**

Using the tools developed in the previous section, the Weyl-invariant extension of NMG can be written as

$$\tilde{S}_{NMG} = \int d^3 x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[ \sigma \Phi^2 \tilde{R} + \Phi^{-2} \left( \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{3}{8} \tilde{R}^2 \right) \right] + S_\Phi, \quad (16)$$

where the last term is the scalar action given in (15). We could also add the ”Maxwell” term (7) but, in any case, it will be generated as one can see from the explicit form of the action

$$\tilde{S}_{NMG} = \int d^3 x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left\{ \sigma \Phi^2 \left( R - 4 \nabla \cdot A - 2A^2 \right) \right.$$

$$+ \Phi^{-2} \left[ \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{3}{8} \tilde{R}^2 - 2R^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu A_\nu + 2R^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu \right.$$

$$+ R \nabla \cdot A - \frac{1}{2} RA^2 + 2F_{\mu\nu}^2 + (\nabla_\mu A_\nu)^2$$

$$- 2A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A^\nu - (\nabla \cdot A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} A^4 \right\} + S_\Phi. \quad (17)$$

From a formal point of view, if we just set the gauge field to zero and choose $\Phi = \sqrt{m}$ and $\nu = 2\lambda$, then we get the NMG action (11) with a fixed coupling constant $\kappa = m^{-1/2}$. With just one scalar
Finally, the Weyl gauge field equation reads

\[ \sigma \Phi^2 G_{\mu\nu} + \sigma g_{\mu\nu} \Box \Phi^2 - \sigma \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Phi^2 - 4\sigma \Phi^2 \nabla_\mu A_\nu + 2\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 \nabla \cdot A - 2\sigma \Phi^2 A_\mu A_\nu + \frac{1}{4} \left( R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta} \right) R^{\alpha\beta} + \Box (\Phi^2 G_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{4} [g_{\mu\nu} \Box - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu] \Phi^2 R \\
+ g_{\mu\nu} \sigma \nabla_\alpha \Phi^2 - 2G^\sigma \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\mu \Phi^2 - 2(\nabla_\mu G^\sigma \nu)(\nabla_\sigma \Phi^2) + \frac{3}{16} g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 R^2 \\
- \frac{3}{4} \Phi^2 R R_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^\alpha \beta - 2F^2 R_{\alpha\mu} \nabla^\alpha A_\nu - 2\Phi^2 R_{\alpha\mu} \nabla^\alpha A_\nu - \Box (\Phi^2 A_\mu) \\
- g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\beta (\Phi^2 \nabla^\alpha A_\beta) + \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 \nabla^\alpha A_\nu) + \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 \nabla^\alpha A_\nu) - g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 R \nabla^\beta A_\alpha A_\beta \\
+ 4\Phi^2 R_{\alpha\mu} A_\alpha A_\nu + \Box (\Phi^2 A_\mu A_\nu) - 2F^2 \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 A_\alpha A_\mu) + g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 \nabla^\beta (\Phi^2 A_\alpha A_\beta) \\
+ \Phi^2 G_{\mu\nu} \nabla \cdot A + g_{\mu\nu} \Box (\Phi^2 \nabla \cdot A) - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 \nabla \cdot A) + \Phi^2 R \nabla \cdot A - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 G_{\mu\nu} A^2 \\
- g_{\mu\nu} \Box (\Phi^2 A^2) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 A^2) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 R A_\mu A_\nu - \Phi^2 [g_{\mu\nu} A_{\alpha\beta} + 4F^\alpha \beta F_{\alpha\mu}] \\
- \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 (\nabla_\alpha A_\beta)^2 + \Phi^2 \nabla_\alpha A_\nu \nabla_\mu A_\alpha + \Phi^2 \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\nu A_\beta A_\alpha + g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 A^2 \nabla_\alpha A_\beta \\
- 2\Phi^2 A_\alpha \nabla_\mu A_\alpha - 2\Phi^2 A_\beta \nabla_\nu A_\beta + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla \cdot A)^2 - 2(\nabla \cdot A) \nabla \mu A_\nu \\
- \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \Phi^2 A^4 + \Phi^2 A_\mu A_\nu A^2 = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta S_\Phi. \]

Variation with respect to \( \delta \Phi \) yields

\[ 2\sigma \Phi \left( R - 4 \nabla \cdot A - 2A^2 \right) - 2\Phi^{-3} \left[ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} R^2 - 2R^\mu\nu \nabla_\mu A_\nu + 2R^\mu\nu A_\mu A_\nu + R \nabla \cdot A - \frac{1}{2} RA^2 \right] \\
+ 2F^\mu_\nu + (\nabla_\mu A_\nu)^2 - 2A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A_\nu - (\nabla \cdot A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} A^2 \right] = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta S_\Phi. \]

Finally, the Weyl gauge field equation reads

\[ -4 \nabla_\mu \Phi^2 + 4\Phi^2 A_\mu + 2R^\mu_\nu A_\nu \Phi^2 + 4R^\mu_\nu A_\nu - R \nabla_\mu \Phi^2 + 2\Phi^2 A_\mu - 8 \nabla_\mu (\Phi^2 \nabla_\mu A_\nu) \\
- 10 \nabla_\nu (\Phi^2 \nabla_\mu A_\nu) + 2 \nabla_\alpha (\Phi^2 A_\alpha A_\mu) - 2\Phi^2 (\nabla_\nu A_\mu) A_\nu - 2\Phi^2 (\nabla_\mu A_\nu) A_\nu \\
+ 2 \nabla_\mu (\Phi^2 \nabla \cdot A) + 2A_\mu A^2 = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta S_\Phi. \]

Let us now consider the vacuum solution to these equations for the case when the spacetime is (anti)-de Sitter. Not to break the local Lorentz invariance of the vacuum, let us set \( F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \) and
choose the gauge for which \( A_\mu = 0 \). This is in fact the most sensible ansatz to take for the Weyl gauge field part. Then let

\[ \Phi \equiv \sqrt{m}, \quad R_{\mu \nu} = 2 \lambda g_{\mu \nu}. \]  

In three dimensions once Ricci tensor is given, Riemann tensor is fixed, so we do not depict it separately. The field equations will relate \( m \) and \( \lambda \). The gauge field equation (20) is automatically satisfied. The other two equations give the same equation

\[ \nu m^4 - 4 \sigma m^2 \lambda - \lambda^2 = 0. \]  

Let us first consider the \( \nu > 0 \) case. The discussion bifurcates: One can either assume that the background cosmological constant (\( \Lambda \)) is given and determine the expectation value of the scalar field (\( m \)) or one can assume that the vacuum value of the scalar field is given and \( \Lambda \) is to be determined. First consider the former case, then one has

\[ m^2 = \frac{2 \sigma \Lambda}{\nu} \pm \frac{|\Lambda|}{\nu} \sqrt{4 \sigma^2 + \nu}. \]  

Since, as discussed above, the Newton’s constant is fixed as \( \kappa = m^{-1/2} \), one must have \( m > 0 \), therefore the negative root is not allowed for any sign of \( \Lambda \). The mass of the graviton [2, 8] is also fixed as

\[ M_g^2 = -\sigma m^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \]  

For the unitarity of the theory in dS the Higuchi bound [14] \( M_g^2 \geq \Lambda > 0 \) must be satisfied and for unitarity in AdS Breitenlohner-Freedman bound [15] \( M_g^2 \geq \Lambda \) must be satisfied [2, 8]. Since these two forms are formally equal, one has

\[ -4 \text{sign}(\Lambda) - 2 \sigma \sqrt{4 + \nu} \geq \text{sign}(\Lambda) \nu \]  

where \( \text{sign}(\Lambda) = \Lambda/|\Lambda| \) and we have used \( \sigma^2 = 1 \). For \( \Lambda > 0 \), one must have \( \sigma = -1 \). For \( \Lambda < 0 \), both signs of \( \sigma \) are allowed.

Let us now consider the other case when the vacuum expectation value of the scalar field is assumed to be known. Then the cosmological constant is determined as

\[ \Lambda_{\pm} = m^2 \left[ -2 \sigma \pm \sqrt{4 + \nu} \right]. \]  

Unitarity discussion of this case follows exactly like the one in [2, 8] with the added restriction that \( \nu \) is positive. Hence we do not repeat it here.

The case when \( \nu = 0 \) is also interesting: One has \( \Lambda = -4 \sigma m^2 \). Therefore \( \sigma = -1 \) is allowed in dS and \( \sigma = +1 \) in AdS. Suppose the expectation value of the scalar field is given, then again, Newton’s constant is fixed as \( m^{-1/2} \) and the graviton mass is given as \( M_g^2 = -3 \sigma m^2 \). Higuchi bound is not satisfied therefore the theory is not unitary in dS but it is unitary in AdS.

Now we turn to the flat vacuum of the theory which is quite subtler than the (A)dS case and our arguments will be heuristic. It is clear that for \( \Lambda = 0 \) in [22], \( m \) is zero, namely, around the flat vacuum, the Weyl symmetry of the Lagrangian is intact. The quickest way to remedy this problem is to add an explicit mass term in the Lagrangian for the scalar field and work out the details. Instead of this, let us consider the case when the symmetry is broken by radiative corrections as was shown by Coleman and Weinberg [16] in the massless \( \Phi^4 \) theory. Their computation was in flat background, which we shall also work with. [Of course, one should consider the effects of
gravity and the Weyl gauge field in the quantum loops, but this computation is highly non-trivial and will only change the numerical values (see the discussion below) in the computation and so it is not necessary for our main purpose of showing the existence of symmetry breaking.] There is another problem: Coleman-Weinberg’s computation was in four dimensions but we need the three dimensional computation for the $\nu\Phi^6$ theory. This computation was carried out in [17, 18], where it was shown that, after a rather tedious renormalization and regularization procedure, at the two loop level, the effective scalar potential becomes

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \nu(\mu)\Phi^6 \mp \frac{7\hbar^2}{120\pi^2}\nu(\mu)^2\Phi^6\left(\ln \frac{\Phi^4}{\mu^2} - \frac{49}{5}\right),$$

(27)

where $\mu$ is the renormalization scale and we have kept the Planck constant to show that the symmetry is broken at the two loop level (unlike the 1 loop result in four dimensions). It is clear that the minimum of the potential (27) is away from $\Phi = 0$, hence the symmetry is broken and the symmetry is broken at the two loop level (unlike the 1 loop result in four dimensions). But, this will be presumably be remedied once the gauge field is taken into account (this is exactly what happens both in three [17, 18] and four dimensions [16].) In any case, the Weyl symmetry of the classical Lagrangian will not survive quantization, which is the relevant point in our discussion.

Summing up this section, we have constructed the Weyl invariant extension of NMG and have shown that NMG appears at the Weyl-non-invariant vacuum of the extended theory both in (A)dS and flat backgrounds. Next we briefly discuss the Weyl invariant versions of some other gravity models,

IV. WEYL-INVARIANT EINSTEIN-GAUSS-BONNET AND BORN-INFELD THEORIES

The generic Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity is defined by the action [which can be augmented to the Weyl-invariant Einstein-Hilbert action [12] ]

$$\hat{S}_{\text{quadratic}} = \int d^n x\sqrt{-g}\ \Phi^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n-2}}\left[\alpha\hat{R}^2 + \beta\hat{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma\hat{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2\right],$$

(28)

where the explicit form of the curvature square terms read as

$$\hat{R}^2 = R^2 - 4(n-1)R(\nabla \cdot A) - 2(n-1)(n-2)R^2 + 4(n-1)^2(\nabla \cdot A)^2$$

$$+ 4(n-1)^2(n-2)A^2(\nabla \cdot A) + (n-1)^2(n-2)^2A^4,$$

(29)

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 = R_{\mu\nu}^2 - 2(n-2)R^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu A_\nu - 2R(\nabla \cdot A) + 2(n-2)R^{\mu\nu}A_\mu A_\nu$$

$$- 2(n-2)RA^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2 - 2(n-2)F^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu A_\nu$$

$$+ (n-2)^2(\nabla_\nu A_\mu)^2 + (3n-4)(\nabla \cdot A)^2 - 2(n-2)^2A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A^\nu$$

$$+ (4n-6)(n-2)A^2(\nabla \cdot A) + (n-2)^2(n-1)A^4,$$

(30)

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2 - 8R^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu A_\nu + 8R^{\mu\nu}A_\mu A_\nu - 4RA^2 + nF_{\mu\nu}^2 + 4(n-2)(\nabla \cdot A)^2$$

$$+ 4(\nabla \cdot A)^2 + 8(n-2)A^2(\nabla \cdot A) - 8(n-2)A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A^\nu + 2(n-1)(n-2)A^4.$$

(31)
From these expressions, one could study any Weyl-invariant quadratic theory. Here, we would like to point out the specific Weyl-invariant Gauss-Bonnet combination, which gives a remarkable result in three dimensions. In generic dimensions one has

\[
\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{R}^2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} + R^2 + 8(n - 3)R_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu A_\nu - 8(n - 3)R_{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu
\]

\[
- 2(n - 3)(n - 4)RA^2 - (3n - 4)F_{\mu\nu}^2 - 4(n - 2)(n - 3)(\nabla_\mu A_\nu)^2
\]

\[
+ 4(n - 2)(n - 3)(\nabla \cdot A)^2 + 4(n - 2)(n - 3)^2 A^2(\nabla \cdot A)
\]

\[
+ 8(n - 2)(n - 3)A_\mu A_\nu \nabla_\mu A_\nu - 4(n - 3)R(\nabla \cdot A)
\]

\[
+ (n - 1)(n - 2)(n - 3)(n - 4)A^4.
\]

For \(n = 3\), Gauss-Bonnet term constructed from the metric tensor alone [the first 3 terms on the right hand side of (32)] identically vanishes and one has

\[
\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{R}^2 = -5F_{\mu\nu}^2.
\]

Therefore, the Weyl-invariant EGB theory reduces just to the Weyl invariant Einstein-Hilbert theory with a dynamical Weyl gauge field and a scalar.

Finally, let us construct the Weyl-invariant version of the Born-Infeld extension of NMG [10], whose action reads

\[
S_{\text{BINMG}} = -\frac{4m^2}{\kappa^2} \int d^3x \left[ \sqrt{-\det \left( \Phi^4g + \sigma\tilde{G} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \sqrt{-g} } \right],
\]

where the matrix \(G\) is built from the Einstein tensor \(G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\). The \(S_{\text{BINMG}}\) reduces to \(S_{\text{NMG}}\) upon use of the small curvature expansion at \(O(R^2)\)

\[
\sqrt{(1 + A)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}A + \frac{1}{8}(\text{Tr}A)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(A^2) + O(A^3),
\]

and to the theories obtained via AdS/CFT at \(O(R^3)\) and beyond [11, 13, 20]. Moreover, BINMG naturally appears as the exact, that is to all orders, counter-terms in the boundary of AdS\(_4\) [21].

To get its Weyl-invariant version, we define the Weyl-invariant Einstein tensor

\[
\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}.
\]

Then we have

\[
S_{\text{BINMG}} = -4 \int d^3x \left[ \sqrt{-\det \left( \Phi^4g + \sigma\tilde{G} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \sqrt{-g} } \right].
\]

Note that we have included the scalar potential here. Expansion of the determinant in terms of the traces yields

\[
\sqrt{-\det \left( \Phi^4g + \sigma\tilde{G} \right) = \sqrt{-\det \left( \Phi^4g \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \right) - g_{\mu\nu} + \Phi^{-1} \left( \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R} \right) + \frac{2}{3}\Phi^{-8} \left( \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{4}\tilde{R}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8}g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^2 \right) } \right]^{1/2},
\]

which is exact up to this point. From this expression, one can construct Weyl-invariant theories at any order in the curvature by doing a Taylor series expansion in the curvature.
V. CONCLUSIONS

We have constructed a Weyl invariant extension of New Massive Gravity and have shown that the vacuum of the theory breaks Weyl symmetry and therefore, around the vacuum, the first order expansion is just NMG with a fixed Newton’s constant. Hence the mass of the graviton comes from the symmetry breaking in complete analogy with the Higgs mechanism in quantum field theory. We have also discussed how symmetry breaking takes place in AdS and flat backgrounds: In the former, the classical field equations break the symmetry and the scalar field develops a non-zero expectation value, while in the latter, symmetry is broken at the two loop level. We have also given the Weyl-invariant extensions of the generic quadratic models in $n$ dimensions and noted that the Weyl-invariant version of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory reduces to the Weyl-invariant Einstein-Maxwell theory with a scalar field in three dimensions. Finally, we have given the Weyl-invariant extension of the Born-Infeld gravity in three dimensions. Details of these models need to be worked out. It would be interesting to cast the Weyl-invariant theories in the elegant tractor formalism presented in [22]. In this work, besides constructing the Weyl invariant quadratic gravity theories, we have studied the vacua of the Weyl-invariant New Massive Gravity and the unitary spin-2 excitations about these vacua in some detail. Further work is needed to understand the stability of the vacua against the scalar and gauge-field excitations of the theory.
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