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Abstract — In this paper, the oscillatory behavior of the solutions for a coupled nonlinear oscillator model with distributed and discrete delays is investigated. Time delay induced partial death patterns with conjugate coupling in relay oscillators has been investigated in the literature. According to the practical problem, the propagation delays are not only the discrete delays, but also with distributed delay. A model includes distributed and discrete delays is considered. By mathematical analysis method, the oscillatory behavior of the coupled nonlinear oscillator model is brought to the instability of the uniqueness equilibrium point and the boundedness of the solutions. Some sufficient conditions are provided to guarantee the oscillation of the solutions. Computer simulations are given to support the present results. Our simulation suggests that the two theorems are only sufficient conditions.

Index Terms — coupled nonlinear oscillator, delay, instability, oscillation.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that coupled nonlinear oscillators appear in various settings such as in gene regulatory networks [1], in electronic oscillator circuits [2], in electrical power and traffic flows systems [3], [4], in central pattern generators [5], in mechanical systems [6, 7], and many others [8]–[16].

Recently, Roopnarain and Choudhury have investigated the following distributed delay-coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators [17]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(1 - x_1^2 - y_1^2) - \omega y_2 + k(z_1 - x_1), \\
\dot{y}_1(t) &= y_1(1 - x_1^2 - y_1^2) + \omega x_1, \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(1 - x_2^2 - y_2^2) - \omega y_2 + k(z_2 - x_2), \\
\dot{y}_2(t) &= y_2(1 - x_2^2 - y_2^2) + \omega x_2, \\
\dot{z}_1(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} ax_2(\tau)e^{-a(t-\tau)}d\tau - z_1, \\
\dot{z}_2(t) &= x_1 - x_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\omega\) is the intrinsic frequency of each oscillator. The parameter \(k\) controls the conjugate coupling strength. By defining \(w(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} ax_2(\tau)e^{-a(t-\tau)}d\tau - z_1\), system (1) can be reduced to the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(1 - x_1^2 - y_1^2) - \omega y_2 + k(z_1 - x_1), \\
\dot{y}_1(t) &= y_1(1 - x_1^2 - y_1^2) + \omega x_1, \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(1 - x_2^2 - y_2^2) - \omega y_2 + k(z_2 - x_2), \\
\dot{y}_2(t) &= y_2(1 - x_2^2 - y_2^2) + \omega x_2, \\
\dot{z}_1(t) &= w - z_1, \\
\dot{z}_2(t) &= x_1 - x_2, \\
w(t) &= ax_1 - w.
\end{align*}
\]

The effects of a distributed delay on dynamically coupled Landau-Stuart limit cycle oscillators are investigated. The linear stability analysis of the system and conditions for Hopf bifurcations that initiate oscillations are also considered. Apart from incorporate a distributed delay in coupled Landau-Stuart system, Zhang et al. have discussed discrete time delay in a Stuart-Landau system consisting of three oscillators [18]:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_j'(t) &= \lambda x_j - y_j + (p x_j - q y_j)(x_j^2 + y_j^2) + a_j(x_{j-1,t} + x_{j+1,t} - 2x_j), \\
y_j'(t) &= \lambda y_j + (p x_j + q y_j)(x_j^2 + y_j^2) + a_j(x_{j-1,t} + x_{j+1,t} - 2y_j),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x_{j,t} = x_j(t - \tau), \tau = \tau(t - \tau), j = 1, 2, 3\). The profile and stability of alternating wave solution system (3), which arises as a bifurcated periodic solution are investigated. The criteria on parameters such that stable alternating wave solutions can be observed are provided. Motivated by the above articles in this paper we shall study the dynamic behavior for the following not only included distributed delay but also involved discrete delay as the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1'(t) &= \lambda x_1 - y_1 + (p_1 x_1 - q_1 y_1)(x_1^2 + y_1^2) + a_{11}(x_{2,t} - x_1) + a_{12}(x_3 - x_1) - b_{11}(x_1 - y_1) - b_{12}(y_1 - y_1) - b_{22}(y_1 - y_1), \\
x_2'(t) &= x_1 + \lambda y_1 + (p_2 x_1 + q_1 y_1)(x_1^2 + y_1^2) + b_{11}(x_1 - y_1) + b_{12}(x_2 - x_1) + a_{11}(y_1 - y_1) + a_{12}(y_2 - y_1), \\
x_3'(t) &= \lambda x_2 - y_2 + (p_2 x_2 - q_2 y_2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2) + a_{21}(x_3 - x_1) + a_{22}(x_3 - x_2) - b_{21}(x_1 - y_1) - b_{22}(y_1 - y_1), \\
x_4'(t) &= \lambda x_3 + \lambda y_3 + (p_3 x_3 + q_3 y_3)(x_3^2 + y_3^2) + b_{21}(x_1 - y_1) + b_{22}(x_3 - x_2) + a_{21}(y_1 - y_1) + a_{22}(y_2 - y_1), \\
x_5'(t) &= \lambda x_4 - y_4 + (p_4 x_4 - q_4 y_4)(x_4^2 + y_4^2) + c_1 x_4(t) + a_{32}(x_4 - x_3) + a_{33}(y_1 - y_1) - b_{31}(y_1 - y_1), \\
x_6'(t) &= \lambda x_5 + \lambda y_5 - (p_5 x_5 + q_5 y_5)(x_5^2 + y_5^2) + c_2 x_5(t) + a_{32}(y_4 - y_3) - b_{32}(y_1 - y_1) + a_{33}(y_2 - y_3), \\
x_7'(t) &= \lambda x_6 - y_6 + (p_6 x_6 + q_6 y_6)(x_6^2 + y_6^2) + \tau_1 x_6(t - \tau_1) + a_{32}(y_4 - y_3) + a_{33}(y_2 - y_3) - b_{32}(y_1 - y_1), \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x_4(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} c_1 x_1(\tau)e^{-c_1(t-\tau)}d\tau, \quad \text{and} \quad y_4(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} c_2 x_4(\tau)e^{-c_2(t-\tau)}d\tau, \quad x_1(t - \tau), \quad y_1(t - \tau) (\tau \in [1, 2, 3]).\) Our goal is to investigate the oscillatory behavior of the solutions for model (4). Noting that the parameters \(\lambda_i, \tau_i, p_i, q_i, a_{ij}, b_{ij} \text{ and } c_i\) maybe are different from...
each other in system (4). By means of mathematical analysis method, the dynamical behavior of system (4) has been discussed.

II. PRELIMINARIES

The system (4) can be expressed in the following matrix form:

\[ u'(t) = Pu(t) + Qu(t - \tau) + \phi(u(t)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( u(t) = [x_1(t), y_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t), y_n(t)]^T \), \( u(t - \tau) = [x_1(t - \tau), y_1(t - \tau), \ldots, x_n(t - \tau), y_n(t - \tau)]^T \). \( P \) and \( Q \) both are eight by eight matrices, and \( \phi(u(t)) \) is a eight by one vector:

\[ P = (p_{ij})_{8 \times 8} = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} & \cdots & q_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} & \cdots & q_{26} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{31} & q_{32} & q_{33} & \cdots & q_{36} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{41} & q_{42} & q_{43} & \cdots & q_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{51} & q_{52} & q_{53} & \cdots & q_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{61} & q_{62} & q_{63} & \cdots & q_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{71} & q_{72} & q_{73} & \cdots & q_{76} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q_{81} & q_{82} & q_{83} & \cdots & q_{86} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ Q = (q_{ij})_{8 \times 8} = \begin{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} \]

where \( q_{11} = q_{22} = -(a_{11} + a_{12}), q_{12} = -q_{21} = b_{11} + b_{12}, q_{13} = a_{13}, q_{14} = -b_{11}, q_{15} = a_{12}, q_{16} = -b_{12}, q_{33} = q_{44} = -(a_{21} + a_{22}), q_{34} = -q_{43} = b_{21} + b_{22}, \ldots, q_{56} = -q_{65} = b_{31} + b_{32}, \ldots \]

and

\[ \phi(u(t)) = [(p_1 x_1 - q_1 y_1) (x_1^2 + y_1^2), \ldots, (p_3 x_3 + q_3 y_3) (x_3^2 + y_3^2), 0, 0]^T \].

The linearized system of (5) is

\[ u'(t) = Pu(t) + Qu(t - \tau) \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

Lemma 1 If matrix \( M = P + Q \) is a nonsingular matrix for selected parameters, then there exists a unique equilibrium point for system (4) (or (5)).

Proof Assume that \( u^* = [x_1^*, y_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*, y_n^*]^T \) is an equilibrium point of system (4) (or (5)), then we have the following algebraic equation

\[ Pu^* + Qu^* + \phi(u^*) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

We first consider

\[ Nu^* = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

where

\[ N = (n_{ij})_{8 \times 8} = \begin{bmatrix}
n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & \cdots & n_{18} \\
n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} & \cdots & n_{28} \\
n_{31} & n_{32} & n_{33} & \cdots & n_{38} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n_{61} & n_{62} & n_{63} & \cdots & n_{68} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1 \\
0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

where \( n_{11} = \lambda_1 - (a_{11} + a_{12} + p_1 (x_1^2 + y_1^2)), n_{12} = -1 + b_{11} + b_{12} - q_1 (x_1^2 + y_1^2), n_{13} = a_{11}, n_{14} = -b_{11}, n_{15} = a_{12}, n_{16} = -b_{12}, \ldots, n_{21} = 1 - (b_{11} + b_{12} + p_1 (x_1^2 + y_1^2)), n_{22} = \lambda_1 - (a_{11} + a_{12} + p_1 (x_1^2 + y_1^2)), \ldots, n_{66} = \lambda_3 - a_{32} + q_3 (x_3^2 + y_3^2) \).

Based on the basic algebraic knowledge, if \( N \) is a nonsingular matrix, then system (8) has a unique trivial solution. Namely, \( u^* = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^T \). However, when \( x_1 = 0, y_1 = 0 \) (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), matrix \( N \) changes to \( M \). The proof is completed.

Lemma 2 If \( p_1 + p_2 + p_3 < 0, q_1 + q_2 + q_3 < 0 \), and \( (p_1 - q_1) + (p_2 - q_2) + (p_3 - q_3) < 0 \) for parameters \( p_i \) and \( q_i \), then all solutions of system (4) are bounded.

Proof To prove the boundedness of the solutions in system (4), we construct a Lyapunov function

\[ V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( x_i^2 + y_i^2 \right) \]

Calculating the derivative of \( V(t) \) through system (4) we get

\[ V'(t)_{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( 2x_i x_i' + 2y_i y_i' \right) \]

\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( |\alpha_i| x_i^2 + |\beta_i| y_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (p_i + q_i) x_i^2 y_i^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (p_i - q_i) \left( x_i^2 y_i + x_i y_i^2 \right) \]

where \( \alpha_i, \beta_i, k_{ij} \) are some constants. Obviously, when \( x_i \to \infty, y_i \to \infty \) (1 ≤ i ≤ 4), \( x_i^2 y_i^2, x_i y_i^2 \) are higher order infinity than \( x_i^2, y_i^2 \), and \( x_i y_i, \) respectively. Therefore, there exists suitably large \( L > 0 \) such that \( V'(t)_{(4)} < 0 \) as \( |x_i| > L, |y_i| > L \). This means that all solutions of system (4) are bounded.

III. OSCILLATION OF THE SOLUTIONS

Theorem 1 Assume that zero is the unique equilibrium point of system (4) (or (5)) for selecting parameter values. The conditions of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are satisfied. Let \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_8 \) and \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_8 \) be characteristic values of matrix \( P \) and \( Q \), respectively. If the real part of \( \delta_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) (i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8) are negative, then the trivial solution is stable. If there exists some \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) > 0 \), with \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) > |\text{Re} (\sigma_k)| \), or \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) < 0 \), with \( |\text{Re} (\delta_k)| < \text{Re} (\sigma_k) \), then the unique
equilibrium points of system (4) (or (5)) is unstable. System
(4) (or (5)) generates an oscillatory solution.

Proof According to the time delay basic differential
equation theory, if all the real part of \( \delta_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) (i=1,2,⋯,8) are negative, then the trivial solution of system (6) is stable. Noting that \( \phi(u(t)) \) is a higher order infinitesimal as \( x_i(t) \) and \( y_i(t) \) (i=1, 2, 3, 4) tend to zero, therefore, the trivial solution of system (4) (or (5)) is stable. Obviously, if the trivial solution of system (6) is unstable, then the trivial solution of system (4) (or (5)) is also unstable according to the property of delayed differential equation [19]. So, we need to show the instability of the trivial solution of system (6).

Since \( \delta_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) (i=1,2,⋯,8) are characteristic values of matrix \( P \) and \( Q \), respectively, then the characteristic equation corresponding to system (6) is the following:

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{8} (\lambda - \delta_i - \sigma_i e^{-\lambda t}) = 0 \quad (10)
\]

So, we are led to an investigation of the nature of the roots for some \( k \) (\( k \in \{1,2,\cdots,8\} \)):

\[
\lambda - \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\lambda t} = 0 \quad (11)
\]

System (11) is a transcendental equation which is hard to find all solutions for the equation. However, we show that there exists a positive real part eigenvalue of equation (11) under the assumption of Theorem 1. Let \( \lambda = \rho + i \omega, \delta_k = \delta_k + i \delta_{k2}, \sigma_k = \sigma_k + i \sigma_{k2}. \) If \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) > 0 \), with \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) > \text{Re} (\sigma_k) \) holds. Separating the real and imaginary parts from equation (11), we have

\[
\rho = \delta_k + \sigma_k e^{-\rho t} \cos(\omega t) + \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho t} \sin(\omega t) \quad (12)
\]

\[
\omega = \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\rho t} \sin(\omega t) + \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho t} \cos(\omega t) \quad (13)
\]

We show that equation (11) has a positive real part root. Let

\[
f(\rho) = \rho - \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\rho t} \cos(\omega t) - \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho t} \sin(\omega t) \quad (14)
\]

Obviously, \( f(\rho) \) is a continuous function of \( \rho \). Noting that \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) > \text{Re} (\sigma_k) \), namely, \( \delta_k > |\sigma_k| \). This means that there exists a \( \rho_1 \) (0 < \( \rho_1 \) < 1) such that

\[
f(\rho_1) = \rho_1 - \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\rho_1 t} \cos(\omega t) - \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho_1 t} \sin(\omega t) < 0 \quad (15)
\]

Noting that \( \lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{-\rho t} = 0 \), therefore there exists a suitably large \( \rho_2 \) (> 0) such that

\[
f(\rho_2) = \rho_2 - \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\rho_2 t} \cos(\omega t) - \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho_2 t} \sin(\omega t) > 0 \quad (16)
\]

By means of the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists a \( \rho_0 \) \in (\( \rho_1, \rho_2 \)) such that

\[
f(\rho_0) = \rho_0 - \delta_k - \sigma_k e^{-\rho_0 t} \cos(\omega t) - \sigma_{k2} e^{-\rho_0 t} \sin(\omega t) = 0 \quad (17)
\]

This means that the characteristic value \( \lambda \) has a positive real part \( \rho_0 \). Thus, the trivial solution of system (6) is unstable, implying that the trivial solution of system (4) (or (5)) is unstable. Since all solutions of system (4) are bounded, the instability of the trivial solution and the boundedness of the solutions will force system (4) to generate an oscillatory solution. For the case of \( \text{Re} (\delta_k) < 0 \), with \( |\text{Re} (\delta_k)| < \text{Re} (\sigma_k) \), equation (6) will have a positive root the proof is similar, and we omit it.

Theorem 2 Assume that zero is the unique equilibrium point of system (4) for selecting parameter values. The conditions of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are satisfied. If \( c_1 > 0 \), \( c_2 > 0 \). Let \( n = \max_{1\leq s \leq 8} (\lambda_1 + 1 + c_1, \lambda_1 + 1 + c_2, \lambda_2 + 1 + \lambda_3 + 1 - c_1, -c_2) \), \( r = \max_{1\leq s \leq 8} \sum_{i=1}^{8} |q_{ij}| \). If the following inequality holds:

\[
n + r > 0 \quad (18)
\]

Then system (4) has an oscillatory solution.

Proof We still prove that the trivial solution of system (6) is unstable. Let \( v(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (|x_i(t)| + |y_i(t)|) \). From the definition of \( n \) and \( r \) we have

\[
v'(t) \leq n v(t) + r v(t - \tau) \quad (19)
\]

Consider the scalar differential equation

\[
z'(t) = nz(t) + rz(t - \tau) \quad (20)
\]

According to the comparison theorem of differential equation, we have \( v(t) \leq z(t) \). For equation (20), the characteristic equation associated with (20) is given by

\[
\lambda = n + r e^{-\lambda t} \quad (21)
\]

We claim that there exists a positive characteristic root of equation (21). Indeed, let \( g(\lambda) = \lambda - n - r e^{-\lambda t} \). Then \( g(\lambda) \) is a continuous function of \( \lambda \). From condition (18), we have \( g(0) = -n - r = -(n + r) < 0 \). On the other hand, \( \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} e^{-\lambda t} = 0 \). Thus, there exists a suitably large positive \( \lambda \) say \( \lambda_0 \) such that \( g(\lambda_0) = \lambda_0 - n - r e^{-\lambda_0 t} > 0 \). It means that there exists a \( \lambda_0 \) such that \( (\lambda_0) \in (0, \lambda_0) \) such that \( g(\lambda_0) = \lambda_0 - n - r e^{-\lambda_0 t} = 0 \), again from the Intermediate Value Theorem. In other words, \( \lambda_0 \) is a positive characteristic root of equation (21), implying that the trivial solution of equation (21) is unstable. Since \( v(t) \leq z(t) \), this means that the trivial solution of equation (20), thus the system (6) is unstable. It suggested that system (4) (or (5)) has an oscillatory solution.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation is based on the system (4). First the parameters are selected as follows:
\[ \lambda_1 = -3.86, \lambda_2 = -3.98, \lambda_3 = -2.95, p_1 = 0.25, p_2 = -2.85, p_3 = 0.35, q_1 = -1.96, q_2 = 0.38, q_3 = 0.35, a_{11} = 0.45, a_{12} = -0.75, a_{31} = 0.55, a_{22} = -0.85, a_{32} = 0.35, b_{11} = -3.05, b_{12} = 1.65, b_{21} = 1.75, b_{22} = -1.95, b_{31} = -2.68, b_{32} = 0.50, c_1 = 2.25, c_2 = 2.35, \text{ and the time delay is 0.85.} \]

Then we change the parameters as \( a_{ij} \) and \( b_{ij} \) as \( a_{11} = 2.65, a_{12} = -2.35, a_{21} = 2.25, a_{22} = -1.25, a_{32} = 0.25, b_{11} = -1.65, b_{12} = 0.15, b_{21} = -0.25, b_{22} = 0.65, b_{31} = 0.32, b_{32} = -0.75, c_1 = 1.85, c_2 = 1.75, \) time delay is 2.38, the other parameters are the same as in figure 1. We see that \( n = -0.8, r = 1.8 \) and \( n + r > 0 \). The conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. There exists an oscillatory solution for system (4) (see Fig. 3). Then we only change the parameters \( c_1 = 1.96, c_2 = 1.98, \lambda_1 = -3.45, \lambda_2 = -3.48, \lambda_3 = -3.65 \), the other parameters are the same as in figure 3, we see that there are oscillatory solutions (see Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). We pointed out that our criterion only is a sufficient condition from the simulation.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 & = -3.86, \\
\lambda_2 & = -3.98, \\
\lambda_3 & = -2.95, \\
p_1 & = 0.25, \\
p_2 & = -2.85, \\
p_3 & = 0.35, \\
q_1 & = -1.96, \\
q_2 & = 0.38, \\
q_3 & = 0.35, \\
a_{11} & = 0.45, \\
a_{12} & = -0.75, \\
a_{31} & = 0.55, \\
a_{22} & = -0.85, \\
a_{32} & = 0.35, \\
b_{11} & = -3.05, \\
b_{12} & = 1.65, \\
b_{21} & = 1.75, \\
b_{22} & = -1.95, \\
b_{31} & = -2.68, \\
b_{32} & = 0.50, \\
c_1 & = 2.25, \\
c_2 & = 2.35, \text{ and the time delay is 0.85. Then the characteristic values of matrix } P \text{ are } -2.2500, -2.3500, -3.9800 \pm 1.0000i, -2.9500 \pm 1.0000i, -4.1500 \pm 1.0000i. \text{ The characteristic values of matrix } Q \text{ are } 0, 0, 3.4422 \pm 1.7831i, -3.1934 \pm 2.0089i, 0.0012 \pm 0.0080i. \text{ Noting that matrix } Q \text{ has a characteristic value } 3.4422 + 1.7831i \text{ and } 3.4422 > -2.9500, \text{ the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. There exists an oscillatory solution of system (4) (see Fig. 1). In order to see the effect of time delay, we change delay as 0.38, the other parameters are the same as in figure 1, we see that the oscillatory behavior is still maintained. However, the oscillatory amplitude and frequency both are different from each other (see Fig. 2).}
\end{align*}
\]

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have discussed the oscillatory behavior of the solutions for a coupled nonlinear oscillator model with distributed and discrete delays. Based on mathematical analysis method, we provided some sufficient conditions to guarantee the oscillation of the solutions. Some computer simulations are provided to indicate the results of the criteria.
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