Spindle checkpoint regulates Cdc20p stability in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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The spindle checkpoint arrests cells at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition until all chromosomes have properly attached to the mitotic spindle. Checkpoint proteins Mad2p and Mad3p/BubR1p bind and inhibit Cdc20p, an activator for the anaphase-promoting complex (APC). We find that upon spindle checkpoint activation by microtubule inhibitors benomyl or nocodazole, wild-type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* contains less Cdc20p than spindle checkpoint mutants do, whereas their *CDC20* mRNA levels are similar. The difference in Cdc20p levels correlates with their difference in the half-lives of Cdc20p, indicating that the spindle checkpoint destabilizes Cdc20p. This process requires the association between Cdc20p and Mad2p, and functional APC, but is independent of the known destruction boxes in Cdc20p and the other APC activator Cdh1p. Importantly, destabilization of Cdc20p is important for the spindle checkpoint, because a modest overexpression of Cdc20p causes benomyl sensitivity and premature Pds1p degradation in cells treated with nocodazole. Our study suggests that the spindle checkpoint reduces Cdc20p to below a certain threshold level to ensure a complete inhibition of Cdc20p before anaphase.
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Proper segregation of chromosomes during anaphase depends on bipolar attachment of all chromosomes to the mitotic spindle. In the presence of a damaged spindle or even a single unattached kinetochore, the spindle checkpoint is triggered to delay the anaphase onset until all kinetochores have attached properly to spindle microtubules [Musacchio and Hardwick 2002; Yu 2002]. In this way, the spindle checkpoint prevents missegregation of chromosomes from occurring.

Some of the spindle checkpoint proteins were first identified in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, including Mad1p, Mad2p, Mad3p [BubR1p in metazoans], Bub1p, Bub3p, and Mps1p [Hoyt et al. 1991; Li and Murray 1991; Li and Murray 1991; Weiss and Wini 1996]. These six proteins are conserved during evolution, indicative of their important function [Musacchio and Hardwick 2002]. When the mitotic spindle assembly is impaired by microtubule-depolymerizing agents, such as nocodazole or benomyl, spindle checkpoint mutants fail to delay their cell cycle progression and die quickly because of chromosome loss. In budding yeast, mutations in spindle checkpoint genes lead to an increased chromosome loss rate even under normal growing conditions [Hoyt et al. 1991; Li and Murray 1991; Warren et al. 2002]. In metazoans, the spindle checkpoint is critical for life, as mad2 and bub3 homozygous knockout mice die during early embryogenesis [Dobles et al. 2000; Kalitsis et al. 2000]. The heterozygous mad2 knockout mice develop tumors at a higher rate than mice with wild-type MAD2 [Michel et al. 2001]. The spindle checkpoint proteins are localized to kinetochores during mitosis in all organisms that have been examined [Cleveland et al. 2003], consistent with the observation that the spindle checkpoint is triggered from kinetochores that lack microtubule attachment or are not under tension [Li and Nicklas 1995; Rieder et al. 1995; Nicklas et al. 2001; Stern and Murray 2001].

Anaphase occurs only after the spindle checkpoint is inactivated upon proper attachment of all chromosomes to the spindle. The metaphase-to-anaphase transition is triggered by degradation of the anaphase inhibitor securin [Pds1p in budding yeast], which liberates its binding partner separase [Esp1p in budding yeast] and allows separase to cleave the cohesin complex that holds sister chromatids together [Nasmyth 2002]. Degradation of securin is dependent on its ubiquitination by an E3 ubiquitin ligase, the anaphase-promoting complex (APC). APC recognizes its substrates through its associated activators specificity factors, a group of conserved proteins containing WD repeats. When coupled with Cdc20p, APC targets securin and initiates the degradation of mitotic cyclin, whereas complete degradation of the mitotic cyclin requires APC-Cdh1 [Harper et al. 2002]. Recent evidence suggests that Cdc20p and Cdh1p serve as...
the substrate receptors for APC (Hilioti et al. 2001; Pfleger et al. 2001; Schwab et al. 2001). Cdc20p is essential for cell growth, and temperature-sensitive cdc20 mutants arrest before anaphase (Hartwell 1973).

The spindle checkpoint prevents Cdc20p from activating APC, thus blocking anaphase onset. Two-hybrid analysis identifies Mad2p as an interacting protein for Slp1, the Cdc20p homolog in fission yeast (Kim et al. 1998). The spindle checkpoint is impaired in cells containing specific mutations in Slp1 or Cdc20p that disrupt their interaction with Mad2p [Hwang et al. 1998, Kim et al. 1998]. In addition, recombinant human Mad2p or BubR1p protein binds and inhibits APC in vitro, with synergistic effect when both proteins are added together [Fang et al. 1998; Tang et al. 2001; Fang 2002]. Cdc20p is also found to communoprecipitate with Mad2p, Bub3p, and Mad3p/BubR1p from various organisms [Hardwick et al. 2000; Fraschini et al. 2001; Chen 2002; Millband and Hardwick 2002]. Purification from human HeLa cells yields a mitotic checkpoint complex [MCC] containing BubR1p, Bub3p, Cdc20p, and Mad2p, which inhibits APC in vitro (Sudakin et al. 2001). Unattached kinetochores are likely involved in the assembly of the complex between Cdc20p and the checkpoint proteins, because nocodazole treatment enhances the complex formation [Zhang and Lees 2001; Chen 2002; Chung and Chen 2002]. In addition, blocking kinetochore association of the spindle checkpoint proteins prevents the complex formation [Chen 2002; Chung and Chen 2002]. It is thought that unattached kinetochores may continuously stimulate the assembly and release of the checkpoint complex by bringing these proteins to close proximity and/or by their activation at kinetochores. Indeed, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching analysis (FRAP) shows a rapid turnover of Mad2p and Cdc20p at kinetochores [Howell et al. 2000; Kallio et al. 2002].

Cdc20p level fluctuates during the cell cycle, rising in S phase, peaking in mitosis, and declining upon exit from mitosis in both yeast and mammalian cells [Weinstein 1997; Prinz et al. 1998; Shirayama et al. 1998; Kramer et al. 2000]. The change in the protein level is partly due to transcriptional control, as the mRNA of Cdc20p exhibits similar oscillation (Fang et al. 1998b; Prinz et al. 1998; Morris et al. 2003). Cdc20p is unstable throughout the cell cycle in budding yeast [Prinz et al. 1998; Goh et al. 2000]. Transiently expressed Cdc20p is quickly degraded in cells arrested at G1, S, or in mitosis, with the shortest half-life observed in G1 cells [Prinz et al. 1998; Goh et al. 2000]. There are conflicting reports with regard to whether APC plays a major role in Cdc20p degradation. Some reports show that Cdc20p is partially stabilized in APC mutants kept at the nonpermissive temperatures [Prinz et al. 1998; Shirayama et al. 1998], whereas one study reports that inactivating APC has no significant effect on the half-life of Cdc20p in G1 cells [Goh et al. 2000]. Despite this discrepancy, Cdc20p remains unstable when APC is inactivated, suggesting that an APC-independent mechanism may also be involved in Cdc20p turnover [Prinz et al. 1998; Goh et al. 2000]. Budding yeast Cdc20p contains two destruction-box sequences.

Removing the first one slows down Cdc20p degradation, with a stabilizing effect more prominent in G1 than in S phase or mitosis [Prinz et al. 1998]. Interestingly, there is no obvious destruction box in human Cdc20p. Instead, another destruction-motif KEN box is required for APC-dependent degradation of human Cdc20p [Pfleger and Kirschner 2000]. APC-Cdc20 also mediates the degradation of Cdc20p in yeast [Huang et al. 2001]. In this study, we reveal that, in addition to binding and inhibiting Cdc20p, the spindle checkpoint proteins destabilize Cdc20p upon spindle damage.

Results

Elevated Cdc20p levels in spindle checkpoint mutants

To determine whether the spindle checkpoint regulates the Cdc20p level, we compared wild type with a spindle checkpoint mutant mad2-1 that behaves essentially like mad2Δ [Chen et al. 1999]. To monitor Cdc20p, we expressed N-terminally 8myc-tagged protein from its genomic locus, which supported normal growth. In addition, cells containing 8mycCdc20p arrested at mitosis in the presence of nocodazole or benomyl [data not shown], indicating that the epitope tag does not interfere with the regulation of Cdc20p by the spindle checkpoint.

We examined Cdc20p levels at various stages of the cell cycle. We arrested mad2-1 and wild-type cells at metaphase through the expression of Pds1pΔdb, the non-degradable form of Pds1p that lacks its destruction box. Expression of this mutant from the GAL promoter arrests cells at metaphase for a prolonged period of time [Cohen-Fix and Koshland 1999; Tinker-Kulberg and Morgan 1999]. When the mitotic spindle was disrupted by nocodazole during the metaphase arrest, the Cdc20p level in mad2-1 was about two- to threefold higher than that in wild type [Fig. 1A, lanes 5, 6, B]. Wild-type cells without nocodazole treatment contained more Cdc20p than those treated with nocodazole [Fig. 1A, lanes 6, 8]. There was little difference in Cdc20p levels between wild-type and mad2-1 cells without spindle checkpoint activation [Fig. 1A, lanes 7, 8].

In cells arrested at G1 phase with α-factor, Cdc20p was present at a very low level. Nevertheless, we consistently found more Cdc20p in mad2-1 than in wild-type cells [Fig. 1A, lanes 1, 2]. Interestingly, hydroxyurea-arrested S-phase cells exhibited the most dramatic difference in Cdc20p levels between mad2-1 and wild-type cells [Fig. 1A, lanes 3, 4]. These results show that the absence of Mad2p leads to elevated Cdc20p levels in G1, S, and mitosis. At metaphase, spindle disruption further enhances the difference in Cdc20p levels between mad2-1 and wild-type cells.

To determine whether the level of Cdc20p is regulated by Mad2p specifically or by the spindle checkpoint in general, we examined Cdc20p levels in two other spindle checkpoint mutants, mad1Δ and mad3Δ. When arrested at G1 phase with hydroxyurea or at metaphase by Pds1pΔdb in the presence of nocodazole, mad1Δ,
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mad2Δ, and mad3Δ cells all contained higher levels of Cdc20p than wild-type cells did [Fig. 1C]. Without spindle disruption, there was no significant difference in Cdc20p levels between the checkpoint mutants and wild-type cells. Therefore, all three spindle checkpoint mutants lose the ability to control Cdc20p levels, indicating that the spindle checkpoint in general, rather than Mad2p alone, is involved in the down-regulation of Cdc20p levels. Interestingly, there was consistently more Cdc20p in mad3Δ than in mad1Δ and mad2Δ under all conditions we examined.

We then determined Cdc20p levels in cells overexpressing Mad2p. Synchronized G1 cells were released into the cell cycle in galactose-containing medium to induce both Mad2p and Pds1pΔdb. The latter blocked cells at metaphase by the end of the experiment. The levels of Cdc20p gradually increased as cells advanced from G1 to mitosis. In the presence of nocodazole, cells induced for Mad2p expression accumulated less Cdc20p than those without Mad2p, which was especially obvious after the 90-min time point [Fig. 2]. On the other hand, Mad2p overexpression did not affect the Cdc20p level when nocodazole was absent. These results show that overexpression of Mad2p reduces the Cdc20p level when the spindle is disrupted.

Modest overexpression of Cdc20p impairs the spindle checkpoint

We asked if the control of the Cdc20p level is an essential part of the spindle checkpoint. It has been previously shown that overexpressing Cdc20p abolishes the mitotic arrest caused by microtubule-disrupting agents [Hwang et al. 1998; Schott and Hoyt 1998; Shirayama et al. 1998; Zhang and Lees 2001]. Because these studies expressed Cdc20p to much higher levels than what we observed in the mad Δ mutants, we tested the effect of a modest increase in Cdc20p levels on the spindle checkpoint. We replaced the endogenous CDC20 gene with one to five copies of 4mycCDC20 integrated into the genome. Cdc20p levels increase with increasing copies of CDC20 [Fig. 3A]. In the benomyl sensitivity assay, cells containing a single copy of 4mycCDC20 grew similarly with wild-type cells [Fig. 3B], indicating that 4mycCdc20p responds to the spindle checkpoint inhibition just as the untagged protein does. As the Cdc20p level doubled through an additional copy of 4mycCDC20, growth was visibly reduced on the benomyl plate. Cells containing 4mycCdc20p at three to five times of the endogenous level grew as poorly as mad2Δ-1 [Fig. 3B].

To determine whether the increased benomyl sensitivity was due to spindle checkpoint defects, we monitored the levels of Pds1p in cells treated with nocodazole. When cells were first arrested at G1 and then released into medium containing nocodazole at 15 µg/mL, Pds1p levels in 1xCDC20 cells gradually increased and were stabilized for at least 4 h [Fig. 3C, left panel] and cells remained large-budded by the end of the time course (data not shown). This result indicates that anaphase is completely blocked by 15 µg/mL of nocodazole.
in cells containing a normal level of Cdc20p. As expected, Pds1p in mad2/H9004 cells accumulated normally, but started to degrade after 60 min, indicating that cells escaped cell cycle arrest. In cells containing 3xCDC20, Pds1p was partially degraded after 2 h (Fig. 3C, left panel), indicating that these cells also gradually escaped cell cycle arrest. When released into 2 µg/mL nocodazole, cells containing 1xCDC20 partially degraded Pds1p (Fig. 3C, right panel), and >50% of the cells completed mitosis and became unbudded by 180 min (data not shown). This result suggests that nocodazole at 2 µg/mL only imposes a delay in anaphase onset, and that cells were able to assemble a functional spindle after some lag, which mimics the benomyl plate assay (Fig. 3B). At this concentration of nocodazole, the rate of Pds1p degradation in 3xCDC20 cells was between that in 1xCDC20 and in mad2/H9004 cells (Fig. 3C, right panel). These results show that modest overexpression of Cdc20p causes premature Pds1p degradation in the presence of spindle damage.

As Cdc20p is normally inhibited in a complex with Mad2 upon spindle checkpoint activation, we examined the effect of an increased Cdc20p level on Mad2p-Cdc20p interaction. Our standard anti-Mad2p immunoprecipitation removed the vast majority of Mad2p from the cell lysates and left very little Mad2p in the supernatants (Fig. 3D, lanes 4,5]. Most, if not all, of Cdc20p was coimmunoprecipitated with Mad2p from 1xCDC20 cells treated with nocodazole [Fig. 3D, lanes 4,10]. In 3xCDC20 cells, the amount of Cdc20p associated with Mad2 increased [Fig. 3D, lanes 10,11], but a significant fraction of Cdc20p remained in the supernatant [Fig. 3D, lane 5]. This result suggests that cells cannot incorporate all Cdc20p into a complex with Mad2p when Cdc20p is overexpressed by threefold. In addition, when Cdc20p was depleted from the cell lysates by anti-myc antibody

(Fig. 3D, lanes 7,8), the level of Mad2p was only slightly reduced in the supernatants compared with that in the cell lysates [Fig. 3D, lanes 1,2,7,8], indicating that only a small fraction of Mad2p is able to complex with Cdc20p.

Figure 2. Overexpression of Mad2p reduces Cdc20p levels in the presence of nocodazole. (A) 8mycCDC20, PGAL-pds1Δdb cells containing PGAL vector alone or PGAL-MAD2 (RHC401 and RHC402) were first arrested at G1 with α-factor, then washed and released into YEPR in the presence or absence of nocodazole at 30°C. When ~10% of the cells began to bud, 2% galactose was added to the medium. Samples were taken at 30-min intervals after galactose addition for immunoblot analysis. Mad1p blots serve as loading controls. (B) Signals of 8mycCdc20p in A were quantified and plotted against time.

Spindle checkpoint reduces Cdc20p stability

The spindle checkpoint may regulate the Cdc20p level by affecting transcription, protein synthesis, or stability. We specifically examined the half-life of Cdc20p in cells arrested at metaphase. During the metaphase arrest caused by Pds1pΔdb, we briefly induced 4mycCdc20p with galactose, and then suppressed the expression by adding glucose and the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (Fig. 4A). We determined the half-life of 4mycCdc20p by monitoring its disappearance over time after its expression was shut off. Galactose-induced 4mycCdc20p was quickly depleted upon glucose addition. In the representative experiment shown in Figure 4A and B, the half-life of Cdc20p was ~26 min in mad2-1 cells and ~7 min in MAD2 cells treated with nocodazole. In the absence of nocodazole, Cdc20p in mad2-1 and MAD2 cells degraded with similar kinetics. These results show that Cdc20p is less stable in wild-type than in mad2-1 cells when the spindle checkpoint is triggered. Without spindle checkpoint activation, Cdc20p stability is not affected by Mad2p. Coimmunoprecipitation study shows that Mad2p associated with 4mycCdc20p that was induced from the GAL promoter during nocodazole treatment, indicating that the ectopically produced Cdc20p behaved similarly to the endogenous protein (Fig. 4C).

We also examined the stability of endogenous Cdc20p by treating mitotic cells with protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide. In the presence of nocodazole, most of the Cdc20p disappeared by 20 min after cycloheximide
addition in wild-type cells (Fig. 5A, lanes 1–3), whereas the protein remained constant without cycloheximide treatment (Fig. 5A, lanes 7–9). In contrast, there was only a slight decrease in Cdc20p levels in mad3Δ/H9004 cells treated with cycloheximide (Fig. 5A, lanes 4–6). These results indicate that Cdc20p is continuously synthesized and degraded in spindle checkpoint-active cells. On the other hand, the levels of mitotic cyclin Clb2p were constant in the presence of cycloheximide. Furthermore, the half-life of endogenous Cdc20p in wild type was shorter than that in mad3Δ/H9004 in the presence of nocodazole (Fig. 5B). The difference in Cdc20p stability between wild-type and mad3Δ cells was reduced in the absence of nocodazole. These results are consistent with results obtained with galactose-induced Cdc20p (Fig. 4).

To examine whether CDC20 transcription is affected by the spindle checkpoint, we compared CDC20 mRNA levels in wild type and mad3Δ cells arrested in mitosis in the presence of nocodazole. Northern blot analysis showed similar amounts of mRNA between these two strains (Fig. 5C), indicating that spindle checkpoint has no effect on the steady level of CDC20 mRNA.

Mutant Cdc20p that cannot bind Mad2p is present at elevated levels

Spindle disruption enhances the interaction among Mad2p, Mad3p, and Cdc20p. It is possible that the formation of this complex contributes to Cdc20p degra-
tion. We compared the levels of three \textit{cdc20} mutants, \textit{cdc20-106p}, \textit{cdc20-120p}, and \textit{cdc20-127p}, that cannot bind to \textit{Mad2p} and \textit{Mad3p} (Hwang et al. 1998). When cells were arrested at metaphase by \textit{Pds1p\_H9004db} in the presence of nocodazole, \textit{cdc20-106p}, \textit{cdc20-120p}, and \textit{cdc20-127p} were all present at higher levels than was the wild-type protein (Fig. 6). This result suggests that the control of Cdc20p level requires the binding of Cdc20p to Mad2p.

**APC is required for down-regulation of Cdc20p by the spindle checkpoint**

It is possible that the spindle checkpoint reduces the Cdc20p level through APC. To test this possibility, we first asked whether the spindle checkpoint can regulate the levels of Cdc20p mutants that lack either the first destruction box, Cdc20p\_H9004db\_1, or both destruction boxes, Cdc20p\_H9004db\_12. Under both hydroxyurea-induced S-phase arrest and Pds1p\_H9004db-induced mitotic arrest in the presence of nocodazole, Cdc20p\_H9004db\_1 and Cdc20p\_H9004db\_12 were present at a higher level in \textit{mad3\_Δ} cells than in cells with a functional spindle checkpoint (Fig. 7A), indicating that the destruction boxes are not required for the down-regulation of Cdc20p levels by the spindle checkpoint.

We next examined the effect of inactivating APC by using temperature-sensitive APC mutants \textit{cdc23-1} and \textit{cdc16-1}. At 23°C, Cdc20p levels were higher in both \textit{cdc23-1 mad3\_Δ} and \textit{cdc16-1 mad3\_Δ} than in their isogenic \textit{MAD3} strains during mitotic arrest in the presence of nocodazole (Fig. 7B, lanes 3,4), indicating that the destruction boxes are not required for this process. Cdh1p has been shown to mediate Cdc20p degradation (Pfleger and Kirschner 2000; Sorensen et al. 2000; Huang et al. 2001), we asked whether Cdh1p was involved in destabilization of Cdc20p upon spindle checkpoint activation. The difference in Cdc20p levels between \textit{MAD3} and \textit{mad3\_Δ} cells in the \textit{cdh1\_Δ} background was similar to that in the \textit{CDH1} background (Fig. 7C), indicating that Cdh1p is not required for this process.
Discussion

Spindle checkpoint reduces Cdc20p stability

It is known that the spindle checkpoint prevents Cdc20p from activating APC through binding of the checkpoint proteins Mad2p and Mad3p/BubR1p to Cdc20p. We now show an additional mechanism by which the checkpoint inhibits APC function. We provide several lines of evidence to show that the spindle checkpoint destabilizes Cdc20p. First, wild-type cells contain a lower level of Cdc20p than the spindle checkpoint mutant mad1Δ, mad2Δ, and mad3Δ cells do. The difference is especially pronounced when the checkpoint is activated by spindle disruption (Fig. 1). Second, overexpressing Mad2p efficiently reduces the Cdc20p level when the spindle is disrupted (Fig. 2). Third, spindle checkpoint activation reduces Cdc20p stability in wild-type cells. Furthermore, the half-life of Cdc20p is shorter in cells with a functional spindle checkpoint than in spindle checkpoint mutants upon spindle disruption (Figs. 4, 5). The two- to threefold increase of Cdc20p stability in checkpoint mutants quantitatively correlates with the two- to threefold increase of Cdc20p levels at metaphase. In addition, the difference in Cdc20p levels is not due to a change in transcription, as the steady levels of CDC20 mRNA are similar between wild type and mad3Δ in mitosis (Fig. 5).

We further demonstrate that the tight control of the
Mechanisms of spindle checkpoint-induced Cdc20p degradation

Cdc20p is known to be inhibited when it is assembled into a complex with spindle checkpoint proteins. Does this complex also play a role in the control of Cdc20p stability? We show that several mutant Cdc20p proteins that cannot bind Mad2p are maintained at elevated levels of Cdc20p in an Mec1p- and Rad53-dependent manner (Clarke et al. 2003). It remains a possibility that there might be interplay between the spindle checkpoint proteins and the DNA replication checkpoint proteins Mec1p and Rad53p in the control of Cdc20p level during S-phase arrest.

Interestingly, mad3Δ cells always contain slightly more Cdc20p than mad1Δ and mad2Δ cells do. In addition, Cdc20p in mad3Δ is more stable than that in MAD3 even in the absence of nocodazole (Fig. 5C), whereas the stability is similar in mad2Δ and MAD2 cells without spindle disruption (Fig. 4). These data suggest that Mad3p plays a more important or direct role in reducing the Cdc20p level. Alternatively, Mad3p may lie in a different pathway from Mad1p and Mad2p in the regulation of the Cdc20p level. In fact, it has been reported that cells lacking Mad2p or Mad3p/BubR1p exhibit differences in their chromosome loss rate, their response to microtubule toxins, and their ability to align chromosomes, supporting the notion that Mad3p/BubR1p may have functional bifurcations from Mad2p (Skoufias et al. 2001; Warren et al. 2002; Ditchfield et al. 2003).

Figure 6. Mutant Cdc20p that cannot bind Mad2p is present at elevated levels. Cells containing 8myc-tagged CDC20, cdc20-106, cdc20-120, or cdc20-127 on centromeric plasmids (lanes 1–4, RHC554–RHC557), or mad2Δ cells containing 8mycCDC20 (lane 5, RHC558) were arrested at metaphase by Pds1pΔdb in the presence of nocodazole, as described for Figure 1A. Cell lysates were prepared for immunoblot analysis. The Mad1p blot serves as a loading control.
radiation signals might be involved in the degradation of Cdc20p. Human Cdc20p is a substrate of APC\(^{CDH1}\) in vitro (Pfleger and Kirschner 2000), and overexpression of Cdh1p in mammalian cells prevents Cdc20p accumulation (Sorensen et al. 2000). In budding yeast, disruption of CDH1 increased the stability of ectopically expressed Cdc20p in G1 (Huang et al. 2001). However, Cdh1p is not active until late mitosis (Harper et al. 2002), after the spindle checkpoint has been inactivated. Indeed, cdh1\(^{Δ}\) cells are still able to down-regulate Cdc20p upon spindle checkpoint activation (Fig. 7C). It is possible that a novel APC activator may specifically target Cdc20p before metaphase is achieved. Alternatively, APC may directly recognize Cdc20p as a substrate when Cdc20p is bound with the spindle checkpoint proteins, which is consistent with our observation that Cdc20p–Mad2p interaction is important for Cdc20p degradation. It remains to be determined whether the mechanism of Cdc20p degradation is related to that of other APC substrates that are degraded before metaphase, including cyclin A (Geley et al. 2001), the NIMA-related kinase Nek2 (Hames et al. 2001), and homeoprotein HOXC10 (Gabellini et al. 2003).

Our genetic and biochemical results suggest that the spindle checkpoint is composed of a dual control mechanism. First, unattached kinetochores stimulate the binding of Cdc20p with the spindle checkpoint proteins, so that Cdc20p cannot activate APC. Second, the checkpoint proteins trigger Cdc20p degradation and keep the available Cdc20p low until all kinetochores attach to spindle microtubules. Both mechanisms are integral parts of the spindle checkpoint (Fig. 8A).

Materials and methods

Growth of yeast

YEPD medium contained 1% yeast extract, 2% bacto-peptone, and 2% glucose. Glucose was substituted by 2% raffinose in YEPD medium. For induction from the GAL promoter, 2% or 3% galactose was added to YEPD medium. For G1 arrest, mid-G1 arrest, or S-phase arrest, 0.5 µg/mL for bar1 cells, or at 10 µg/mL for bar1 cells, or at 10 µg/mL for bar1 cells from a 10 µg/mL stock in DMSO. Hydroxyurea (Sigma-Aldrich) was added directly to the medium at 200 µM for S-phase arrest. Nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich) was added from a 10 mg/mL stock in DMSO to a final concentration of 15 µg/mL to disrupt the mitotic spindle at 30°C. To disrupt the spindle at 35°C, we used 30 µg/mL nocodazole and 10 µg/mL benomyl.
Table 1 lists the strains used in this work. All strains are derivatives of W303 and were constructed using the GAL1 promoter-MCS-CYC1 terminator cassette, which was amplified by PCR from pYES2 [Stratagene], at NotI and SacI sites in pRS403 and pRS306, respectively. MAD2 coding sequences were inserted at EcoRI site in pRS403pGAL and the resulting plasmid was cut with NheI for integration. For pRS306pGAL-4mycCDC20, the complete CDC20 ORF with four copies of myc tag at the N terminus was placed between Xhol and KpnI sites in pRS306pGAL. The plasmid was then cut with StuI for integration.

For tagging Pds1p, four copies of HA sequence were inserted before the stop codon of PDS1 in the pRS405 vector, which contains the entire PDS1 ORF and 1 kb of the 3′-UTR. The plasmid was cut with BglII within ORF for integration at the genomic locus.

RHC513A and RHC513B were made with pRS306-4mycCDC20 and pRS403-4mycCDC20. These plasmids contain 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of CDC20 followed by the entire CDC20 ORF with four copies of myc tag inserted right after the start codon ATG. These two constructs were cut with StuI and NheI, respectively, for integration. Transformants with multiple copies of integrated plasmids were selected based on their expression levels of 4mycCdc20p. 8myc-tagged CDC20 or its mutant forms cdc20-106, cdc20-120, and cdc20-127 were expressed from pRS316-based constructs that contain 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of CDC20 followed by the 8myc-tagged coding sequences.

Strains containing pGALpds1Δadb were made with pRTK-C2 [Tinker-Kulberg and Morgan 1999]. Destruction box 1 [amino acids 17–25] and destruction box 2 [amino acids 60–68] of CDC20 were removed using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit [Stratagene].

BAR1 was deleted with pBlueScript-based bar1Δ construct pRC610, which contains the KAN gene flanked by 1.9 kb of the 5′-UTR and 1.7 kb of the 3′-UTR of BAR1. bar1Δ cells were identified as G418-resistant yeast transformants that were sensitive to 0.5 μg/mL α-factor.

CDH1 was deleted using pWS176 [Schwab et al. 1997], and the deletion was confirmed by PCR analysis.

**Construction of plasmids and yeast strains**

Table 1 lists the strains used in this work. All strains are derivatives of W303. Standard genetic techniques were used to manipulate yeast strains [Ausubel et al. 2000]. Western blots were used to confirm protein expression or gene deletions. All PCR-derived sequences were confirmed by sequencing.

RHC422 was made with pRS403-MAD2, which contains 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of MAD2, the open reading frame [ORF] of MAD2, and 1.6 kb of the 3′-UTR of MAD2. pRS403-MAD2 was cut with NheI for integration into the yeast genome.

CDC20 was tagged at its N terminus with 8myc at its genomic locus using pRS306-8myCDC20N or pRS403-8myCDC20N. Both plasmids contain 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of CDC20 followed by the first 700 bp of CDC20 ORF with eight copies of myc tag inserted right after the start codon ATG. The plasmids were cut with MluI within the CDC20 ORF for integration into the genome.

pRS403pGAL and pRS306pGAL were constructed by inserting the GAL1 promoter-MCS-CYC1 terminator cassette, which was amplified by PCR from pYES2 [Stratagene], at NotI and SacI sites in pRS403 and pRS306, respectively. MAD2 coding sequences were inserted at EcoRI site in pRS403pGAL and the resulting plasmid was cut with NheI for integration. For pRS306pGAL-4mycCDC20, the complete CDC20 ORF with four copies of myc tag at the N terminus was placed between Xhol and KpnI sites in pRS306pGAL. The plasmid was then cut with StuI for integration.

For tagging Pds1p, four copies of HA sequence were inserted before the stop codon of PDS1 in the pRS405 vector, which contains the entire PDS1 ORF and 1 kb of the 3′-UTR. The plasmid was cut with BglII within ORF for integration at the genomic locus.

RHC513A and RHC513B were made with pRS306-4mycCDC20 and pRS403-4mycCDC20. These plasmids contain 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of CDC20 followed by the entire CDC20 ORF with four copies of myc tag inserted right after the start codon ATG. These two constructs were cut with StuI and NheI, respectively, for integration. Transformants with multiple copies of integrated plasmids were selected based on their expression levels of 4mycCdc20p. 8myc-tagged CDC20 or its mutant forms cdc20-106, cdc20-120, and cdc20-127 were expressed from pRS316-based constructs that contain 0.5 kb of the 5′-UTR of CDC20 followed by the 8myc-tagged coding sequences.

Strains containing pGALpds1Δadb were made with pRTK-C2 [Tinker-Kulberg and Morgan 1999]. Destruction box 1 [amino acids 17–25] and destruction box 2 [amino acids 60–68] of CDC20 were removed using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit [Stratagene].

BAR1 was deleted with pBlueScript-based bar1Δ construct pRC610, which contains the KAN gene flanked by 1.9 kb of the 5′-UTR and 1.7 kb of the 3′-UTR of BAR1. bar1Δ cells were identified as G418-resistant yeast transformants that were sensitive to 0.5 μg/mL α-factor.

CDH1 was deleted using pWS176 [Schwab et al. 1997], and the deletion was confirmed by PCR analysis.

**Yeast cell lysates, Western blotting, and immunoprecipitation**

Yeast cell lysates were prepared by bead-beating. For Western blotting, 5-mL cultures at O.D.600 = 0.5–1.5 were used to prepare lysates, and 20-mL cultures were used for immunoprecipitation. Cultures were cooled on ice before cells were harvested and washed once with cold TE [10 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA]. Cell pellets were either quickly frozen and temporarily stored in liquid nitrogen, or beat immediately in 100–200 μL of lysis buffer [50 mM HEPES at pH 7.6, 75 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 μg/mL each of leupeptin, pepstatin, and chymostatin] together with Zirconia beads of the same volume in a minibead-beater [BioSpec Products] for 1 min at 4°C. Samples were then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were taken as yeast cell lysates. Protein concentration was determined with DC protein assay kit [Bio-Rad], and then normalized with lysis buffer.

For immunoprecipitation, anti-Mad2p antibody or anti-myc antibody 9E10 was incubated with lysates containing 1 mg of protein for 1 h on ice. Samples were then transferred to tubes containing protein A beads preblocked with 1 mg/mL BSA in 0.5 M Tris at pH 8.0.
Table 1. Yeast strains

| Name | MAT | Relevant genotype* |
|------|-----|--------------------|
| RHC292 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC401 | a mad2-1, bar1Δ:KAN, 8mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-P GAL-HIS3 | |
| RHC402 | a mad2-1, bar1Δ:KAN, 8mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-P GAL-MAD2-HIS3 | |
| RHC421 | a mad2-1, bar1Δ:KAN, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-HIS3 | |
| RHC422 | a mad2-1, bar1Δ:KAN, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-MAD2-HIS3 | |
| RHC435 | a mad2-1, 8mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-HIS3 | |
| RHC436 | a mad2-1, 8mycCDC20:URA3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, his3-11-MAD2-HIS3 | |
| RHC472 | a bar1, mad1Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20:HIS3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC473 | a bar1, mad2Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20:HIS3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC474 | a bar1, mad3Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20:HIS3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC476 | a bar1, 8mycCDC20:HIS3, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC482 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3 | |
| RHC513A | a/α cdc20Δ::TRP/CDC20, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x)/ura3-1, his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (1x)/his3-11 | |
| RHC513B | a/α cdc20Δ::TRP/CDC20, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x)/ura3-1, his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (2x)/his3-11 | |
| RHC542 | a Segregant from RHC513A, cdc20Δ::TRP, his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (1x) | |
| RHC543 | a Segregant from RHC513B, cdc20Δ::TRP, his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (2x) | |
| RHC544 | a Segregant from RHC513A, cdc20Δ::TRP, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x) | |
| RHC545 | a Segregant from RHC513A, cdc20Δ::TRP, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x), his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (1x) | |
| RHC546 | a Segregant from RHC513B, cdc20Δ::TRP, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x), his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (2x) | |
| RHC554 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8mycCDC20 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC555 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8myccdc20-106 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC556 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8myccdc20-120 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC557 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8myccdc20-127 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC558 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad2Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC576 | a bar1, cdc23-1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1 | |
| RHC581 | a bar1, cdc23-1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3 | |
| RHC582 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8mycCDC20 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC583 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20 (CEN6, HIS3) | |
| RHC584 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8mycCDC20Δdb1 (CEN6, HIS3) | |
| RHC585 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20Δdb1 (CEN6, HIS3) | |
| RHC586 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20Δdb2 (CEN6, HIS3) | |
| RHC587 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, mad3Δ:URA3, 8mycCDC20Δdb2 (CEN6, HIS3) | |
| RHC612 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8myccdc20-106 (CEN6, HIS3), cdh1Δ:LEU2 | |
| RHC621 | a bar1, trpl-P GAL-pds1Δdb-TRP1, 8myccdc20-120 (CEN6, HIS3), cdh1Δ:LEU2 | |
| RHC641 | a bar1Δ:KAN, cdc20Δ::TRP, PDS1-4HA:LEU2, his3-11:4mycCDC20:HIS3 (1x) | |
| RHC644 | a bar1Δ:KAN, cdc20Δ::TRP, PDS1-4HA:LEU2, ura3-1:4mycCDC20:URA3 (3x) | |
| RHC647 | a bar1Δ:KAN, cdc20Δ::TRP, 8myccdc20-127 (CEN6, URA3) | |
| RHC648 | a bar1Δ:KAN, cdc20Δ::TRP, 8myccdc20-127 (CEN6, URA3) | |

*All strains are derivative of W303 (ade2-1, can1-100, his3-11, leu2-3,112, trp1-1, ura3-1).

*All strains were prepared for this study.

Lysis buffer, and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. The beads were then washed with lysis buffer four times, followed by denaturation in SDS sample buffer.

For Western blotting, 40 μg of the cell lysates or one-third of the immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Affinity-purified rabbit anti-Mad2p antibody was used at a dilution of 1:1000 (Chen et al. 1999), rabbit anti-Mad1p antibody at 1:4000 [Hardwick and Murray 1995], rabbit anti-Mad3p antibody at 1: 500 (Hardwick et al. 2000), mouse anti-Myc antibody (9E10, Covance) at 1:1000, mouse anti-HA antibody (16B12, Covance) at 1:1000, rabbit anti-Clbl2p antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 1:1000, and goat anti-Clc20p antibody yC-20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 1:200, all in PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.2% Tween 20.

Northern blotting

Total RNA was prepared with the RiboPure-Yeast kit (Ambion) and separated on a glyoxal gel with reagents from the North-

ern Max-Gly kit (Ambion). Probes for CDC20 and ACT1 mRNA were prepared with the DECAPrime II kit (Ambion). Hybridization was performed as previously described (Goh et al. 2000). Briefly, hybridization was carried out in pH 6.2 buffer (0.6 M NaCl, 0.18 M Na2HPO4, 0.006 M EDTA, 10% Dextran sulfate [500,000], 1% lauryl sarcosinate) and 46 μg/mL salmon sperm DNA overnight at 65°C. The membrane was first washed at room temperature in 2× SSC plus 0.1% SDS, followed by extensive washes at 55°C in 0.1× SSC plus 0.1% SDS. The blot was then exposed to a storage phosphor screen (Amersham), and signals were quantified with NIH image software.
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