Abstract. Background/Aim: Myeloid/lymphoid or mixed lineage leukemia 2 (MLL2) gene is mutated in gastric cancer, with most resulting in inactivated proteins. In this study, we examined the expression of MLL2 protein in gastric cancers. Patients and Methods: The expression of MLL2 protein in cancer cell nuclei was studied by immunohistochemistry in tissue microarrays of 529 human gastric cancers. MLL2 expression was classified into low and high expression from the point of zygosity, and its relationships with mismatch repair protein expression and clinicopathological features were examined. Results: Low expression of MLL2 was associated with younger age, MSH6, and early cancers. MLL2-low pT1a cancers were associated with fibrosis, especially ulcer scars, and in 62.5% of them there was no direct contact between carcinoma and fibrosis. Conclusion: There is potentially an association between low expression of MLL2 protein and gastric malignancy from chronic fibrosis.

Myeloid/lymphoid or mixed lineage leukemia 2 (MLL2), also called KMT2D, MLL4 in mice, is mutated in various types of tumors (1-3), including gastric cancer (4-6). The frequency of MLL2 gene mutations in gastric cancer detected using next-generation sequencing has been reported in limited cases; 15.4% of advanced cancers (4), 6.9% of advanced cancers and metastasis (5), and 19.1% of mucinous carcinoma (6). Reported MLL2 gene mutations in gastric cancers are frameshift mutations, nonsense mutations, indel mutations, amino acid deletions, or base substitutions, which result in inactivated protein (4-6). There is also a study that examined the clinicopathological characteristics of the over-expression of MLL2 protein in gastric cancer using immunohistochemistry (7). MLL2 knockdown cells exhibit genomic instability in vitro (1, 2). Genomic instability plays a role in gastric carcinogenesis (8) and results from a defect in the surveillance mechanisms maintaining genomic integrity, which include microsatellite instability, chromosome instability, epigenetics, and an increased frequency of base pair mutations (9, 10). The mechanisms underlying genomic instability induced by the knockdown of MLL2 include transcriptional stress during early replication cycles in relation to RNA polymerase II (1, 11), chromosomal instability (1), and epigenetic changes in oncogenes based on its function as a H3K4 methyltransferase (2, 12). In the present study, we focused on the role of the low expression of MLL2 protein in both advanced and early stage gastric cancers and examined their clinicopathological features.

Patients and Methods

Tissue samples. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Teikyo University School of Medicine (No. 18-134, 2 November 2018). In total, 529 primary gastric cancers surgically resected from 509 patients between 2000 and 2011 were obtained from the archives of the Department of Pathology, Teikyo University Hospital. Seven cases of advanced cancers had received neo-adjuvant therapy. All clinicopathological parameters were obtained from the electronic medical records, including patient age and gender, tumor size, tumor stage, histological classification, Lauren’s classification, lymphatic infiltration, venous infiltration and lymph node metastasis. Tumor stage was divided into early (pT1a or pT1b) and advanced (pT2-pT4) based on the T stage in TNM classification according to the eighth edition of the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) guidelines. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection was evaluated by Epstein-Barr virus-encoded small RNAs (EBER) in situ hybridization. Clinicopathological information on patients and cores is summarized in Table I.

Immunohistochemistry of tissue microarrays. The tissue microarray set of gastric cancers used herein was constructed according to our previous study (13). Briefly, cylindrical tissue cores of 2 mm in size from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks were arrayed using the manual device KIN-1 (Azumaya, Tokyo, Japan). Two representative cores were taken from each tissue block, and the resulting tissue array blocks were sectioned at a thickness of 4 μm, placed on slides, and stained with the following antibodies to human MLL2 protein in gastric cancer using immunohistochemistry (7). MLL2 knockdown cells exhibit genomic instability in vitro (1, 2). Genomic instability plays a role in gastric carcinogenesis (8) and results from a defect in the surveillance mechanisms maintaining genomic integrity, which include microsatellite instability, chromosome instability, epigenetics, and an increased frequency of base pair mutations (9, 10). The mechanisms underlying genomic instability induced by the knockdown of MLL2 include transcriptional stress during early replication cycles in relation to RNA polymerase II (1, 11), chromosomal instability (1), and epigenetic changes in oncogenes based on its function as a H3K4 methyltransferase (2, 12). In the present study, we focused on the role of the low expression of MLL2 protein in both advanced and early stage gastric cancers and examined their clinicopathological features.
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proteins: MLL2 (HPA035977, 1:100, Atlas Antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden), MLH1 (G168-15, 1:50, Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA), PMS2 (EP51, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies, Glostrup, Denmark), MSH2 (FE11, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies), and MSH6 (EP49, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies). Antigen retrieval was performed via water bath heating for MLL2, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6 or autoclave for MLH1 in retrieval buffers (pH6 for MLL2, pH9 for MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6). For visualization, we used the EnVision FLEX system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), MSH2 (EP51, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies, Sweden), MLH1 (G168-15, 1:50, Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA), PMS2 (EP51, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies, Glostrup, Denmark), MSH2 (FE11, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies), and MSH6 (EP49, ready-to-use, Dako, Agilent Technologies). Antigen retrieval was performed via water bath heating for MLL2, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6 or autoclave for MLH1 in retrieval buffers (pH6 for MLL2, pH9 for MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6). For visualization, we used the EnVision FLEX system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All proteins were localized in the nucleus and their expression in cancer cells was assessed. The intensity of MLL2 expression within cancer tissue was homogenous or heterogenous and showed the following 6 patterns (Figure 1): negative (score 0), mixture of negative and low positive (score 1), low positive (score 2), mixture of low positive and positive (score 3), positive (score 4) and mixture of positive and negative (score 5). The intensity of MLL2 protein in benign gastric glandular cells was low positive. Homozygous and heterozygous mutations of MLL2 gene result in the loss-of-function phenotype. MLL2 mRNA was absent in homzygous mutations and about 50% decreased in heterozygous mutations (14); therefore, under the assumption that mRNA expression and protein expression correlate, we defined scores 0 and 1 as low expression and scores 2-5 as high expression. Based on a previously reported classification (15), the expression of mismatch repair proteins (MLH1, PMS2, MSH2, and MSH6) was divided into five scores according to the nuclear positivity rate of carcinoma cells; score 0 (0%), score 1 (0-10%), score 2 (10-30%), score 3 (30-70%), and score 4 (70-100%). Scores 0 and 1 were classified as low expression and scores 2-4 as high expression. Scoring was performed by two pathologists who reached a consensus through discussions in cases of discordance. Only cores in which carcinoma occupied more than half of the area were evaluated; therefore, 529 cores were ultimately assessed.

**Histological evaluation of submucosal fibrosis.** We evaluated fibrosis in cancers that occupied the mucosal layer only (pT1a). We reviewed all Hematoxylin-Eosin (HE) slides of corresponding surgical specimens and examined whether submucosal fibrosis was present under cancer tissue. In total, 128 cancers were evaluated. The following four patterns were observed (Figure 2A-D): no fibers, scattered fibers, loosely connected fibers, and dense fibers. We defined the latter two patterns (Figure 2C and D) as fibrosis. Fibrosis with a ruptured muscularis mucosae was defined as an ulcer scar (16) (Figure 3A). We classified pT1a cancers with ulcer scars into the following five types based on the criteria proposed by Japanese pathologists and surgeons (17) (Figure 3B-F): Type A includes cancers with a mucosal ulcer scar and malignant ulcer scar; Types 1 and 3 are cancers with a mucosal ulcer scar; Type B is carcinoma occupying all mucosal layers; Type C, ulcers form within carcinoma. In Types D and E, a benign regenerative mucosa exists between mucosal carcinoma (carcinoma only in the upper layer of the mucosa: Type D, carcinoma in all mucosal layers: Type E). As potential factors affecting fibrosis, we examined the findings of previous biopsies of cancer and histological subtypes [poorly differentiated carcinoma ("por") and/or signet ring cell carcinoma ("sig")]. We used the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and 2×2 tables were employed to calculate odds ratios. We used a logistic regression in a multivariate statistical analysis. We used the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and 2×2 tables were employed to calculate odds ratios. We used a logistic regression in a multivariate statistical analysis.
analysis. The Student’s t-test was performed for comparisons between two samples with continuous variables. Pearson’s product-moment correlation test was conducted to assess relationships between two variables. We used the Kaplan-Meier method and Log-rank test in a univariate survival analysis. p-Values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.
Clinicopathological characteristics of low expression MLL2 gastric cancers. We examined nuclear MLL2 protein expression in the cancer cells of gastric cancer tissues. MLL2 expression was significantly decreased in 15.5% (82/529 cases) of all examined cancers (Table I), 20.1% (42/209 cases) of early cancers, and 12.5% (40/320 cases) of advanced cancers. The low expression of MLL2 was associated with a younger age (the Student’s t-test, \( p = 0.0007; 95\% CI = 6.91-1.86\)), early cancers (Fisher’s exact test, \( p = 0.0199; \) odds ratio 1.76, 95\%CI=1.07-2.91), and the low expression of MSH6 (Fisher’s exact test, \( p = 0.0002; \) odds ratio 4.32, 95\%CI=1.92-9.49) (Table II). The relationship between MLL2 and MSH6 was observed in advanced cancers (Fischer’s exact test, \( p < 0.0001; \) odds ratio 6.42, 95\%CI=2.29-19.73) (Table II) and multivariate analyses (logistic regression, \( p = 0.0017; \) odds ratio 5.91, 95\%CI=1.95-17.9) (Table III). A negative correlation was noted between MLL2 scores and fibrosis (Pearson’s product-moment correlation test, \( r = -0.470, p < 0.0001, 95\% CI = -0.61 - -0.31\)) (Figure 2E).

We defined fibrosis with a ruptured muscularis mucosae as an “ulcer scar” (16) (Figure 3A). Low expression of MLL2 in pT1a cancer was also associated with ulcer scars in univariate (Fisher’s exact test, \( p = 0.0004; \) odds ratio=5.61, 95\%CI=2.01-16.39) (Table II) and multivariate analyses (Logistic regression, \( p = 0.0019; \) odds ratio 5.14, 95\%CI=1.83-14.5) (Table II). We classified pT1a cancers with ulcer scars into 5 types. Type A includes cancers with a mucosal upper part of carcinoma and lower part of benign gastric glands (Figure 3B). Type B is carcinoma occupying all mucosal layers (Figure 3C). In Type C, ulcers form within carcinoma (Figure 3D). In Type D and type E, a benign regenerative mucosa exists between mucosal carcinoma [carcinoma only in the upper layer of the mucosa: Type D (Figure 3E), carcinoma in all mucosal layers: Type E (Figure 3F)]. In all pT1a cancers with ulcer scar, type A were 33.3% (13/39 cases), type B were 23.1% (9/39 cases), type C were 2.6% (1/39 cases), type D were 28.2% (11/39 cases), and type E were 12.8% (5/39 cases). In the MLL2 low expression group, 31.3% (5/16 cases) were type A, 37.5% (6/16 cases) were type B and 31.3% (5/16 cases) were type D. No case was categorized into type C or type E in the MLL2 low expression, although no significant differences were observed between ulcer scar types and MLL2 expression (Fisher’s exact test, \( p = 0.126\)).
Figure 3. pT1a cancers with ulcer scars (Hematoxylin-eosin stain). (A) Fibrosis with a ruptured muscularis mucosae (scale bar=250 μm). (B) Type A: Carcinoma in the mucosal upper layer and benign gastric glands (*) in the lower layer (scale bar=300 μm). (C) Type B: carcinoma occupying all mucosal layers (scale bar=300 μm). (D) Type C: an ulcer (*) formed within carcinoma (**) (scale bar=2500 μm). (E) Type D: a benign regenerative mucosa (*) exists between mucosal carcinoma under which benign glands are present (**) (scale bar=1200 μm). (F) Type E: a benign regenerative mucosa (*) exists between mucosal carcinoma occupying all mucosal layers (**) (scale bar=600 μm).

Table III. Predictor variables of submucosal fibrosis of pT1a gastric cancers.

| Characteristics                            | Fibrosis       | Ulcer scar     |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                            | Odds ratio     | 95%CI          | p-Value | Odds ratio     | 95%CI          | p-Value         |
| MLL2 low expression                       | 5.91           | 1.95-17.9      | 0.0017  | 5.14           | 1.83-14.5      | 0.0019           |
| Poorly differentiated histology (“por” and/or “sig”) | 8.66           | 2.65-28.3      | 0.0004  | 4.77           | 1.66-13.7      | 0.0038           |
| Previous biopsy from cancer               | 1.3            | 0.30-5.58      | 0.722   | 2.98           | 0.52-17.0      | 0.22             |

CI: Confidence interval.
Discussion

In the present study, MLL2 protein expression was found to be significantly decreased in 15.5% of gastric cancers examined and its percentage in advanced cancers was consistent with previous findings obtained using next-generation sequencing (4). Low expression of MLL2 in advanced cancers was associated with low expression of MSH6, which may increase genomic instability. Although there is currently no information on the relationship between MLL2 and microsatellite instability, a gene mutation in MLL3, a paralogue of MLL2 (18), has been associated with microsatellite instability (19). Furthermore, the relationship between MLL2 and MSH6 was not observed in early cancers, which suggests that the expression of MSH6 protein decreased after that of MLL2 protein.

In the present study, a relationship was observed between low expression of MLL2 and early stage gastric cancers. In pT1a cancers, low expression of MLL2 was associated with submucosal fibrosis, especially ulcer scars. This was an independent relationship that was not confounded by a poorly differentiated morphology or biopsy. In our classification, ulcer scar cancer with low MLL2 expression was classified into type A, type B, or type D. It is thought that there is a close relationship between cancer and fibrosis (20) and that fibrosis occurs before or after carcinogenesis (21). In type A and type D (62.5%, 10/16 cases), since cancer and fibrosis are not in direct contact with each other, it is more likely that fibrosis developed before cancer, rather than fibrosis caused by cancer. Especially in type D, scar cancer was accompanied by regenerative change. Chronic fibrosis predisposes to cancer initiation (21). During the wound healing response to tissue injury, if the inflammation is prolonged, this process may become a chronic, non-healing wound. Non-healing wound affects epithelial differentiation, epithelial mesenchymal transition, or epithelial cell proliferation, and is thought to contribute to carcinogenesis (20-22). However, in type B, there is a direct contact between cancer and fibrosis. It is considered that type B includes those in which type A cancer cells proliferate in the mucosal full layer and those in which the cancer invades the entire mucosa and induces fibrosis. Indeed, previous studies have reported that the inactivation of MLL2 induced G2/M cell arrest (14), and G2/M cell cycle arrest in epithelial cells resulted in fibrosis (23). Therefore, in type B, a vicious cycle between fibrosis and cancer can be promoted. Type C and type E were not observed in the low MLL2 expression group. Type C with ulcer in the cancer may have been formed by ulceration of the cancer. In type E, where benign regenerative mucosa intervenes in the cancer, it is possible to see regenerative changes after cancer has ulcerated. Considering the above, it is unlikely that fibrosis in scar cancer with low MLL2 expression was caused by an ulcer formed by the cancer.

According to previous reports, most pathogenic mutations of MLL2 gene result in loss of expression or decreased expression of MLL2 protein. However, in this study, we did not investigate the genetic mutations of the MLL2 gene. It should be confirmed in the future whether the results of this study are due to MLL2 gene mutations.

In conclusion, there is a potential association between low expression of MLL2 protein and gastric malignancy from chronic fibrosis.
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