Introduction

The diagnosis of malignant tumors has a profound impact on all aspects of patients’ life from the initial diagnosis until late stages of the disease (Schroevers et al., 2010). This diagnosis may lead to many negative consequences such as: anxiety, depression (Morris et al., 2012a), fear of cancer recurrence (Aghdam et al., 2014), fear about feature and prognosis of disease (Seyedrasooli et al., 2014), physical limitation (Abdollahzadeh et al., 2014), and financial distress (Fathollahzadeh et al., 2015).

For many years, trauma was defined only a damaging experience; however, recent literature indicates that trauma can also lead to positive changes that referred as posttraumatic growth (Rahmani et al., 2012). Posttraumatic growth is defined as a positive psychological change that occurs following a meaningfully challenging or traumatic life event and is often characterized by an increased appreciating for life, better interpersonal relationships, personal strength, recognition of new possibilities, and spiritual development (Crawford et al., 2015)

There are many studies investigated posttraumatic growth level among cancer patients worldwide. The result of some studies in United States (Danhauer et al., 2013), Canada (Crawford et al., 2015), Australia (Morris et al., 2012b), and Hungary (Tanyi et al., 2015) showed moderate level of posttraumatic growth for cancer patients. On the other hand, the results of some studies showed moderate to high level of posttraumatic growth among Iranian cancer patients (Rahmani et al., 2012; Bahrami et al., 2015; Mehrabi et al., 2015).

It should be noted that in most of previous studies the viewpoint of cancer patients about posttraumatic growth in themselves was investigated (Parikh et al., 2015; Shand et al., 2015) and this idea has been proposed that the viewpoint of patients in this regards may be incorrect and subjective (Sumalla et al., 2009). So, there is a need for assessment of such growth from the viewpoints of others who are living with patients. In wide review of literature, we found no studies investigated the experiences of family caregivers of cancer patients regarding posttraumatic growth in their patients. So, the aim of present study was to investigate the viewpoints of family caregivers regarding posttraumatic growth in cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive study was conducted in in-patient wards and out-patient clinic in one referral medical center in East Azerbaijan Province in northwest of Iran. The
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participation of present study was the family caregivers of cancer patients with the following inclusion criteria: having at least 18 years old; having final diagnosis of cancer in one of main family members; being the primary caregiver of patient; at least six month passed since awareness of cancer patients and family caregivers regarding exact diagnosis; and mentally and physically able to participate in the study. The sample size of 115 primary caregivers was calculated after conduction a pilot study on 15 potential participants. In this regards, 130 participants was invited to the study and finally the data of 120 ones included in the study with convenience sampling method (participation rate=92%).

The instrument used in present study consisted of three parts. The first part was a demographic checklist that investigated some information about family caregivers and their patients. The second part was a Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), a 21-item questionnaire invited to examine positive growth reported by individuals who have experienced stressful events. The PTGI consists of five subscales including: relating to others (7 items), new possibilities (5 items), personal strength (4 items), appreciation of life (3 items) and spiritual changes (2 items). The items of PTGI was based 6-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (I did not experience this change as a result of cancer) to 5 (I strongly experienced this change as a result of cancer). The total score of PTGI is ranged between 0-105 (Tedeschi and Calhoun, 1996). Higher score indicates higher levels of positive growth. This instrument was used in previous Iranian studies (Seidmahmoodi et al., 2011; Rahmani et al., 2012). The third part was a Perception about Prognosis Scale (PPS) that designed based on previous studies (Seyedrasooi et al., 2014; Seyedrasoooly et al., 2014). This scale consist of three items regarding based on 5-items Likert scale from very bad to very good that receive 1 to 5 score respectively. The total score was from 3 to 15 and higher score indicates more positive perception about the prognosis of the disease.

For using in present study, a Persian version of PTGI was developed from the original English version by translation and back-translation procedures. Then the parallel form of the questionnaire was designed for the primary family caregivers of cancer patients. In this instrument the caregivers were asked about their observation about posttraumatic growth in their cancer patients. Then the validity of the final questionnaires was determined by content validity by 10 academic staff from Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. Afterthought, the questionnaire was pilot-tested in a sample of 15 potential participants and sowed a high levels of internal consistency (Cronbach alpha>0.93).

Before data collection, the study proposal was approved by Regional Ethics Committee at Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. Then, one of researchers was referred to study setting and invited potential participants to the study after receiving signed informed consent. Then, willing participants were asked to fill out questionnaire by private interview with one of refreshers. Data collection lasted from February to June in 2015.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software (version 13. SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistic was used to describe some characteristics of primary caregivers and their patients, the perception about prognosis and PTGI scores.

**Results**

Some characteristics of family caregivers and their patients are reported in Table 1. As shown, most of caregivers were female, married, educated at diploma level, and be a child of patients. In addition, most of patients were male, educated at diploma level and diagnosed with hematologic cancers. Also, the mean age

| Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Variable                                | Frequency | Percent |
| Sex of caregiver                        |           |         |
| Male                                    | 58        | 48.3    |
| Female                                  | 62        | 51.7    |
| Education of caregiver                  |           |         |
| Iliterate                               | 9         | 7.5     |
| Primary                                | 35        | 29.2    |
| Diploma                                | 48        | 40      |
| University                             | 27        | 22.5    |
| Marital status                          |           |         |
| Married                                | 104       | 86.7    |
| Single                                 | 16        | 13.3    |
| Type of relationship with patient       |           |         |
| Child of patient                        | 38        | 31.7    |
| Spouse of patient                       | 33        | 27.5    |
| Parent of patient                       | 15        | 12.5    |
| Brother or sister of patient            | 34        | 28.3    |
| Sex of patient                          |           |         |
| Male                                    | 61        | 50.8    |
| Female                                  | 59        | 49.2    |
| Education of patient                    |           |         |
| Primary                                | 48        | 40      |
| Diploma                                | 32        | 26.7    |
| University                             | 19        | 15.8    |
| Breast                                 | 15        | 12.5    |
| Type of cancer                          |           |         |
| Gastro-intestinal                      | 35        | 29.2    |
| Hematologic                            | 44        | 36.7    |
| Other                                   | 26        | 21.7    |

**Table 2. Participants Response to items of Perception about Prognosis Scale**

| Items                  | Very bad N (%) | Bad N (%) | Medium N (%) | Good N (%) | Very good N (%) | Mean (SD) |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Drug impact on the treatment | 4 (3.3) 4 (3.3) | 28 (23.3) | 53 (44.2) | 31 (25.8) | 3.85 (0.94) |
| Having a normal lifetime            | 4 (3.3) 6 (5) | 21 (17.5) | 44 (36.7) | 45 (37.5) | 4 (1.02) |
| Illness being curable                      | 5 (4.2) 6 (5) | 18 (15)   | 43 (35.8) | 48 (40)   | 4.03 (1.06) |
of caregivers and patients were 39.71 and 46.01 years respectively. In addition, the mean of time passed since diagnosis was 31.45 month.

The responses of participants to all items of Perception about Prognosis Scale (PPS) are reported in Table 2. As evident in this Table, the mean score of participants in all three items were more than 3.85 (from 5) that indicated good viewpoint about prognosis. The mean score of participants in PPS was 3.95 (SD=0.86).

The responses of family caregivers to all items of Posttraumatic Growth Inventory are reported in Table 3. The total score of PTGI was 60.72 (SD=18.75) that indicates moderate level of growth as reported by family caregivers.

### Discussion

The aim of present study was to investigate the viewpoints of family caregivers regarding posttraumatic growth in cancer patients. Based on our knowledge, this is the first article investigated the viewpoint of family caregivers of cancer patients regarding posttraumatic growth in cancer patients.

One finding of present study is that family members of cancer patients consider good prognosis for their patients (mean score of 4 from 5). There are some Iranian studies that reported Iranian cancer patients believed that the prognosis of their disease is good (Seyedrasooli et al., 2014; Seyedrasooly et al., 2014). But, this study is the first that reported such finding among family members of cancer patients. This issue is not specific to Iranian cancer patients and results of some studies in Western countries (Sanatani et al., 2008; Elliott and Olver, 2009) and non-western countries (Banning and Gumley, 2012) have shown that a major percentage of cancer patients in these countries do not have the right information of their prognosis.

The result of present study showed that family caregivers of cancer patients reported moderate level of posttraumatic growth in their patients (mean of 61 in PTGI). There are some studies that investigated the levels of posttraumatic growth among family members of cancer patients. These studies showed that family members of cancer patients experienced some levels of posttraumatic growth (Kazak et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2013; Han et al., 2015). But, we found no studies investigated the posttraumatic growth among cancer patients as reported by their family caregivers. Although, in some studies the posttraumatic level of Iranian cancer patients is reported. As an example, the result of a study by Rahmani et al. (2012) showed that Iranian cancer patients reported high levels of posttraumatic growth and their score on PTGI was 76 (Rahmani et al., 2012). Similarly, the results of another study by Heidarzade et al. (2014) showed high level of posttraumatic growth among Iranian cancer pates as evident by score of 68 in PTGI (Heidarzadeh et al., 2014). So, we may conclude that the posttraumatic growth level as reported by Iranian cancer patients is more that this level as reported by family members of cancer patients.

The results of present study have some clinical implication. First, the results showed that family caregivers of cancer patients have incorrect viewpoint about the prognosis of their patients. This finding is unexpected because we know that most of Iranian oncologists, or physicians with other specialties, disclose cancer diagnosis and prognosis to family members of cancer patients. So, understanding the causes of the occurrence of this phenomenon requires further studies. Second, although family members reported less posttraumatic growth in cancer patients as compared with patients, but they reported moderate levels of growth in their patients. This finding confirms that posttraumatic growth reported by cancer patients is an objective phenomenon. So, health care personnel may use this strength as a positive asset of patients in establishing and delivery of health care they provided for patients.
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The results of present study have some limitations. First, this study was conducted in one referral hospital in northwest of Iran and don not representing all cultural and religious diversity in Iran. Second, in this study only the viewpoint of family caregivers of cancer patients regarding posttraumatic growth in cancer patients was investigated and this viewpoint was compared with the results of previous studies regarding viewpoint of patients.
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