Factors Controlling the Redox Potential of ZnCe₆ in an Engineered Bacterioferritin Photochemical ‘Reaction Centre’
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Abstract

Photosystem II (PSII) of photosynthesis has the unique ability to photochemically oxidize water. Recently an engineered bacterioferritin photochemical ‘reaction centre’ (BFR-RC) using a zinc chlorin pigment (ZnCe₆) in place of its native heme has been shown to photo-oxidize bound manganese ions through a tyrosine residue, thus mimicking two of the key reactions on the electron donor side of PSII. To understand the mechanism of tyrosine oxidation in BFR-RCs, and explore the possibility of water oxidation in such a system we have built an atomic-level model of the BFR-RC using ONIOM molecular mechanics–Poisson-Boltzmann approach. According to our calculations, the redox potential for the first oxidation of ZnCe₆ in the BFR-RC protein is only 0.57 V, too low to oxidize tyrosine. We suggest that the observed tyrosine oxidation in BFR-RC could be driven by the ZnCe₆ di-cation. In order to increase the efficiency of tyrosine oxidation, and ultimately oxidize water, the first potential of ZnCe₆ would have to attain a value in excess of 0.8 V. We discuss the possibilities for modifying the BFR-RC to achieve this goal.

Introduction

The water splitting reaction of photosynthesis has been the most influential biologically catalyzed reaction on earth. Acquiring the ability to use water as a source of electrons about 2.5 billion years ago allowed oxygenic photosynthesis to power a massive increase in the diversity and numbers of aerobic life forms. Photosystem II (PSII) is the pigment-protein complex embedded in the thylakoid membranes of plant chloroplasts and cyanobacteria that catalyses the light induced oxidation of water and reduction of plastocyanin in oxygenic photosynthesis [1,2]. This catalytic function is performed by light driven electron transfer (ET) reactions through redox cofactors of the PSII reaction center. Oxidation of water by PSII requires several essential cofactors: a photoactive strongly redox cofactors of the PSII reaction center mimicking the electron transfer components within PSII [6]. Ferritins are the principal iron storage proteins in most living organisms [7–9]. The protein BFR is a robust iron storage bacterial protein that forms a homodimer, with each subunit (~18.5 kDa) being composed of an antiparallel, four-helical bundle [10–12] (Fig. 1). The homodimers self-assemble into a dodecamer that forms a spherically shaped protein shell surrounding an internal cavity encapsulating an iron core composed of ferric hydroxyphosphate micelles [10]. Each BFR subunit binds 2 iron ions ([FeCl₂]) and contains seven tyrosine residues [10,12]. The BFR dimer binds one b-type heme at the interface between subunits [11]. In each subunit three tyrosines are located close to both metal ions and the heme (Fig. 1). Thus, a protein scaffold of BFR is suitable for constructing a photoactive reaction center mimicking the electron transfer reactions of PSII. A prototype of such a ‘reaction center’ (BFR-RC) has been created by replacing the heme with a photoactive zinc-chlorin-e₆ (ZnCe₆) pigment and the iron ions with Mn[II] ions [6]. It has been found that the bound ZnCe₆ species are capable of initiating electron essentially unlimited conversion of sunlight energy to chemical potential energy which now powers most life on earth. The successful mimicking of this reaction in an artificial system could form the basis of a clean alternative energy source. Recently, bacterioferritin (cytochrome b1, BFR) was used as a protein scaffold for constructing a linear electron pathway that mimics some of the electron transfer components within PSII [6].
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Knowledge the oxidation potential of ZnCe 6 has not been this BFR-RC may eventually be able to oxidize water. To our mechanisms of tyrosine oxidation are possible and whether driving force for oxidation of cofactors and its value will determine article investigates the oxidation and reduction potentials of ZnCe 6 in solution and in BFR-RC with a combination of experimental and computational methods. Our focus is on understanding factors influencing the redox potential of ZnCe 6 within BFR-RC with an aim to identify possible means of controlling it.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of ZnCe 6

ZnCe 6 was prepared by metallating chlorin e 6 with Zn(OAc) 2 by standard methods [13]. Typically, 40 mg (0.067 mmol) of chlorin e 6 and 37 mg (0.20 mmol) of Zn(OAc) 2 were stirred at room temperature in a CHCl 3 /CH 3 OH (8/4 ml) solvent mixture. The reaction was monitored by absorption spectroscopy. A red shift of the Q y absorption band from 661 to 640 nm accompanied shift of the QY absorption band from 661 to 640 nm accompanied.

Electrochemical measurements

Differential pulse voltammetric measurements were performed in pyridine and DMSO containing 0.1 M tetrahydrofuran-hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF 6 ) on a BAS Epsilon electrochemical analyzer (working: Pt, auxiliary electrodes: Pt wire; reference electrode: Ag). The pulse width, period and amplitude used were 50 ms, 200 ms and 50 mV, respectively. Sample concentration was 1 mM and ~100 fold excess of N-methylimidazole was added to prevent aggregation between ZnCe 6 molecules. Resultant solutions were purged with nitrogen gas for 10 min prior to the scan. The ferrocene/ferrocenium couple was used to calibrate the redox potential values. All experiments were performed at 296 K.

The use of water as solvent for electrochemical measurements was avoided to eliminate reactions of cations and di-cations with water and any changes in E m which could arise from ionization of ZnCe 6 carboxylic acid groups.

Spectroelectrochemical measurements were performed on a platinum mesh electrode in a thin layer spectroelectrochemical cell (0.5 mm). Potentials were applied and monitored using the same potentiostat as for the differential pulse voltammetric measurements. Absorption spectra were recorded with an Ocean Optics USB-650 Red Tide spectrometer.

Calculation of the shifts to the redox potential of ZnCe 6 due to coordination to axial ligands, ring substituent groups, and dielectric constant

To calculate the shift to the redox potential due to axial ligation for the ZnCe 6 /ZnCe 6 couple, we performed computations using density functional theory (DFT). The B3-LYP functional with the LanL2TZ+ basis set for the Zn atom and 6-31G** for C, H, N and O were used. Gas-phase zero-point energies, thermal corrections, and entropic corrections were calculated using standard formulas for the statistical thermodynamics of an ideal gas using optimized geometries and scaled by 0.9613 B3-LYP/6-31G*/LanL2TZ+ frequencies [14]. Solvation energies of the studied species in the various solvents were calculated using the solvation model SM8 [13] at the B3-LYP/6-31G* level of theory. In all solvation energy calculations the LanL2TZ+ basis set was used for Zn.

Modeling BFR-RC structure

The X-ray bacterioferritin structure, (PDB ID: 3E1M), from E. coli with a resolution of 2.7 Å was used as a starting point for calculations. The structure was modified to match an engineered BFR-RC described in [6,16]. Two surface exposed histidines (H46, H112) were mutated to arginines, the heme was replaced with photoactive ZnCe 6 and iron ions were replaced with Mn(II) ions. In BFR protein the heme binds in a symmetrical hydrophobic pocket located on a twofold axis between symmetry related subunits. As the structure of ZnCe 6 is similar to the structure of b-type heme (ZnCe 6 has formyl, acetyl and propyl groups at positions 13, 15 and 17 and saturated ring IV while hem heme has propyl groups at 13 and 17 and all rings unsaturated) we placed ZnCe 6 in the same position and orientation as heme in the BFR. After initial placement of ZnCe 6 in its binding pocket, conformations of the three carboxylic groups of ZnCe 6 were optimized using a Monte-Carlo search as implemented in MCCE. The highest occupancy conformers were then used as a starting structure for a complete energy minimization of the whole BFR-RC with constrained backbone atoms which was then followed by a short 10-ps unconstrained molecular dynamics run. AMBER version 10 [17] was used for molecular mechanics computations. The “pairwise” generalized Born implicit solvent model [18] was used in these simulations. Initially we used standard ionization states for all aminoacids, and all 3 carboxylic groups of ZnCe 6 were protonated. The final RMSD of the protein backbone between the original PDB ID: 3E1M structure and BFR-RC was 0.15 Å. Next we performed ONIOM QM/MM geometry optimization of the
whole protein with ZnCe₆ and its methionine ligands treated quantum mechanically at the B3LYP/6-31G* level using Gaussian 09 [19]. In QM/MM optimized structures both methionine ligands were almost equidistant from Zn. The equilibrium distances between Zn and S were found to be 2.80/2.85 Å. The QM/MM optimized structures of neutral and radical ZnCe₆, with its 2 methionine ligands were used to refine atom-centered point charges obtained from the initial model. The optimized structure of the BFR-RC with bound ZnCe₆ is available in PDB format in supporting Model S1.

Derivation of the atomic partial charges for ZnCe₆

The atomic partial charges for ZnCe₆ were obtained using the two stage RESP formalism [20], with a weighting factor of 0.0005/0.001 from a wavefunction computed at the HF/6-31G* level for H, N, C, O, and HF/LanL2TZ+ for Zn. Schematic diagram of ZnCe₆ is shown in Fig. S1, atomic point charges for ZnCe₆ are available in Table S1. QM calculations were done with the Gaussian09 package [19].

Computation of protonation pattern and shift of the redox potential of ZnCe₆ in BFR-RC

MCCE2.4 [21] was used to predict the ionization state of each protein residue and cofactor as a function of Eₘ and pH. The algorithm performs Monte-Carlo sampling of multiple aminoacid side chain geometric and ionization conformations, where conformer energies include electrostatic and van der Waals terms. Before sampling, rotamers of aminoacid side chains were generated using 60 degree increments for each rotatable bond, while conformers of carboxylic acid groups of ZnCe₆ were generated in 14 degrees increments. Pairs of conformers with clashes not exceeding 5 kcal/mol had their positions optimized. Finally, a genetic algorithm was used to optimize side chain conformers [22]. Electrostatic conformer-conformer pairwise interactions and reaction field energy for each conformer were computed by solving the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation using the Delphi program [23]. The dielectric constant was set to 4 inside the protein and 80 in the solvent. PARSE [24] radii and charges were used in Poisson-Boltzmann calculations for all elements except Zn. For Zn, a radius of 1.47 Å was used [25]. To obtain the Eₘ of ZnCe₆ in BFR-RC the shift of the redox potential in the protein was added to the Eₘ of the reference model system.

In calculations of the shift of Eₘ in a protein environment several factors were considered: (i) the desolvation energy difference arising from moving the cofactor from water into protein, where the protein volume is simply considered as dielectric medium ΔGₑₘₑₙₑₗₑ, (ii) the electrostatic and VDW non-electrostatic interaction of the cofactor with the protein backbone ΔGᵦₑₛₒ₅ₑ and (iii) the mean field pairwise interaction between the cofactor and side chains of residues in the protein in the distribution derived by Monte Carlo sampling ΔGₑₘₑₙₑ₇ₑ. The difference in each energy term is between the oxidized and the reduced cofactor. Details of the calculations of these factors are described in [26].

In continuum electrostatics calculations ZnCe₆ and side chains of its methionine axial ligands were represented as a single residue with an oxidized or reduced conformer. The backbone atoms of the axial ligands remained as part of the protein backbone. QM treatment of the complex of ZnCe₆ (ZnCe₆ without carboxylic groups) with 2 methionine axial ligands yielded Eₘₑₙₑ₇ₑ of 0.38 mV (see Results section 3.3) which was used as the reference for MCCE calculations. The three carboxylic groups of ZnCe₆ were treated as independently ionizable groups.

**Results**

Oxidation and reduction potentials of ZnCe₆ in solution

ZnCe₆ has low solubility in most organic solvents. We were able to measure its redox potential in only two solvents (pyridine and DMSO). Although we observed oxidation waves in both solvents, only with N-methylimidazole were two clear waves visible. Voltammograms measured in DMSO without N-methylimidazole showed multiple redox waves (data not shown), suggesting that under these conditions several different aggregation states of ZnCe₆ co-existed in the sample. Aggregation occurred most likely due to the coordination of Zn by Lewis base atoms of the substituent carbonyl groups. These aggregates can be broken down by addition of stronger Lewis bases such as N-methylimidazole. Indeed, after addition of N-methylimidazole to the ZnCe₆/DMSO solution, two distinctive redox couples appeared in the oxidative scan. The major oxidation peaks were centered at 0.54 and 1.01 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) (Fig. 2A).

In addition a smaller and broader feature was observed at 0.8 V. The spectral changes during the oxidation of ZnCe₆ at 0.54 V See Results for details. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g002

**Figure 2.** A: Differential pulse voltammogram of ZnCe₆ in DMSO with 0.1 M TBAPF₆. [ZnCe₆] = 1 mM. Voltammogram of the solvent is shown by dotted line. B: Spectral changes during the oxidation of ZnCe₆ at 0.54 V. See Results for details.
compound at 640 nm decreased in intensity while a new absorption band grew at around 800 nm. These spectral features can clearly be assigned to a chlorin cation radical. The difference between the first and the second oxidation of methylimidazole-coordinated zinc porphyrins has been reported to be in the range of 0.46–0.66 V [27]. In our voltammogram the peak centered at 1.01 V, matching this difference, was assigned to a two electron oxidation of ZnCe6. There was one redox couple in the reductive scan at –1.26 V tentatively assigned to the formation of the ZnCe6 anion radical (Fig. 2).

Effect of axial ligands and carboxyl groups on the oxidation potential of ZnCe6 in solution

To calculate the Em of ZnCe6 in BFR we needed a reference Em corresponding to ZnCe6 ligated by methionine without carboxyl groups in water. To obtain this value from the measured Em of ZnCe6 in DMSO we computed shifts to the Em due to different solvents, axial ligands and carboxyl groups.

Axial ligands are known to induce changes in the electrochemistry of metalloporphyrins and metallochlorins [27,28]. For example, it was found that upon imidazole ligation the first oxidation potential of zinc porphyrins shifts negatively by 150 mV in CH2Cl2 [27]. In contrast the second oxidation potential of complexed zinc porphyrins shifted positively by 50–270 mV when compared with the uncomplexed zinc porphyrin depending on the nature of the substituents [27].

Our DFT calculations showed that N-methylimidazole shifts the first oxidation potential of ZnCe6 in water negatively by 70 mV, while the coordination to 2 methionines had a smaller negative shift of only 20 mV (Table 1). This trend is similar to the results of previous computational work on chlorophyll a [28].

A second factor affecting the oxidation potential is the nature of ring substituent groups [29,30]. In general, electron withdrawing groups shift the oxidation potential up while electron rich groups shift it down. Protonated carboxyl groups, being electron withdrawing, are expected to up-shift the redox potential. This effect is pH dependent as ionization of carboxyl groups stabilizes the cation radical, and hence will down-shift the redox potential.

To estimate the shift of the redox potential of ZnCe6 in BFR-RC protein we treated the carbonyl substituent groups and Zn–chlorin as separate units, affecting each other via classical electrostatic and VDW interactions. This approach allowed us to sample efficiently multiple conformational and ionization states of carboxylic acids. A similar approach was previously successfully used for calculation of heme redox potentials in different proteins [31]. These calculations require knowledge of the reference redox potential of Zn–chlorin without carboxyl groups in water, while experimentally we measured ZnCe6 with three substituent carboxylic groups in DMSO. Therefore, to obtain the reference redox potential of Zn–chlorin we estimated differences in redox potential due to solvent and the presence of carboxyl groups computationally.

Our DFT calculations showed that the redox potential of both coordinated and non-coordinated ZnCe6 changes insignificantly between DMSO and water. This result is consistent with previous experimental and theoretical studies of chlorophyll a [32] in solvents with different dielectric constant. However, the attachment of protonated carboxyl groups to Zn-chlorin in different coordination states leads to an increase of the redox potential by 0.13–0.14 V in water.

To estimate the accuracy of our DFT calculations we determined the Em,s of 10 different zinc chlorins and compared them to experimental values [30]. All computed values were systematically lower than the experimental values by 0.1 V. After correction for this systematic shift, the computed Em,s were within 0.03 V of the experimental values (Fig. S2). Overall, the reference oxidation potential corresponding to the first oxidation of bis-methionine coordinated ZnCe6 in water without carboxylic groups was estimated to be 0.58 V vs. SHE.

Oxidation potential of ZnCe6 in BFR-RC

In BFR-RC ZnCe6 is partially buried in the protein. Our Monte-Carlo simulations estimate that desolvation due to embedding into the protein’s lower dielectric increases the Em of ZnCe6 by 0.07 V. Another modest increase of the Em arises from interaction with dipoles of the protein backbone (0.05 V).

Table 1. Oxidation potentials of the ZnCe6 and ZnCe6 without carboxylic acids in continuum solvents with different values for the dielectric constant.

| Axial ligand       | ZnCe6 w/o carboxylic groups | ZnCe6          |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
|                   | Gas (ε = 1) | DMSO (ε = 46.8) | Water (ε = 78.4) | Gas (ε = 1) | DMSO (ε = 46.8) | Water (ε = 78.4) |
| No                | 1.54       | 0.44            | 0.51            | 1.59       | 0.55            | 0.64            |
| 2 Met             | 1.32       | 0.35            | 0.48            | 1.36       | 0.47            | 0.62            |
| Imidazole         | 1.15       | 0.36            | 0.44            | 1.20       | 0.48 (0.54*)    | 0.57            |

* experimental value.

Table 2. Effect of ionization state of three carboxylic groups of ZnCe6 on its Em.

| Em (mV)          | ΔAG_debloc | ΔAG_blink | ΔG_total | ΔG_carboxyl | ΔEm          |
|------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| All 3 titrated   | 0.58       | 0.07      | 0.05     | –0.13       | –0.10        | –0.04        | 0.57          |
| All 3 neutral    | 0.58       | 0.07      | 0.05     | –0.03       | –0.12        | 0.08         | 0.67          |
| All 3 ionized    | 0.58       | 0.07      | 0.05     | –0.22       | –0.08        | –0.14        | 0.48          |

Computed at pH = 6.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.t002

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.t001
contrast the side chains of aminoacids (including the ZnCe6 carboxylic groups) decrease the redox potential (Table 2).

The ionization state of the carboxyl groups is expected to affect the ZnCe6 redox potential. While neutral carboxyl groups increase $E_m$ by 0.14 V in water (Table 1), ionized groups will stabilize the cationic form and shift the $E_m$ down. In addition the redox state of ZnCe6 is bound to affect the pKas of its carboxyl groups. Oxidized ZnCe6 will tend to lower the pKas and increase ionization of the carboxyl groups. This would tend to further lower the ZnCe6 oxidation potential.

The case when all carboxyl groups are protonated before oxidation and remain protonated after oxidation provides an upper bound for the ZnCe6 redox potential. With pKa values determined in the previous section, the charges of carboxylic groups with neutral ZnCe6 at pH 6 are: $-0.25$, $-0.56$, $-0.88$ for formyl, acyl and propyl respectively when all carboxylic groups were titrated together. The probability of fully protonated ZnCe6 (the product of occupancies of all 3 protonated groups) in this case is fairly low (4.4%). However, performing a titration of ZnCe6 while the propyl group is fully charged decreases the redox potential by only 0.02 V compared to an all-neutral titration. The charges of carboxyl groups with the cation radical of ZnCe6 at pH 6 increase to $0.93$, $0.25$, $0.93$ for formyl, acyl and propyl respectively. The probability of a fully protonated cationic form of ZnCe6 is very low. If all carboxylic groups are protonated in both cationic and neutral forms, the ZnCe6 has an $E_m$ of 0.67 V (Table 2). This result gives an idea of how high the $E_m$ of ZnCe6 in BFR-RC could be if the carboxyl groups were to be replaced by neutral groups. In another extreme, when all carboxyl groups are ionized, ZnCe6 has the lowest $E_m$ of 0.48 V (Table 2). Of course the actual value of $E_m$ is somewhere between 0.48 and 0.67 mV. In agreement with upper and lower bound estimates we obtained an $E_m$ of 0.57 V at pH 6 in calculations when the ionization of titratable groups was sampled simultaneously with the redox titration of ZnCe6. Our calculations also showed that in the pH interval from 4 to 8, the $E_m$ decreases by 0.21 V (Fig. 3).

Contributions to the $E_m$ shift from individual aminoacids in the BFR-RC are listed in Table 3. Seven aminoacids, located close to ZnCe6, have the largest contributions to the $E_m$ shift (Fig. 4). Four of these aminoacids form two pairs of salt bridges between two monomers, while one pair (Asp50-Lys53) forms a salt bridge within a single protein subunit. Although each of these charged aminoacids would have a large effect on $E_m$ if considered separately, their participation in salt bridges neutralizes their effective charge greatly, thus decreasing their ability to shift the $E_m$. Finally a large fraction of the decrease comes from Asn23. This aminoacid decreases $E_m$ by $-0.02$ V in both monomers of the homodimer resulting in total shift of $-0.04$ V. The two manganese clusters contribute $-0.02$ mV each, and the longrange electrostatic contributions from the rest of the protein aminoacids decrease the $E_m$ by $-0.02$ mV.

Table 3. Contributions from aminoacid side chains to the shift of ZnCe6 $E_m$.

| Aminoacid | $E_m$ shift | Aminoacid | $E_m$ shift | Total  |
|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Arg30     | 0.08       | Asp56     | $-0.08$    | 0.00   |
| Lys33     | 0.01       | Glu60     | $-0.02$    | $-0.01$|
| Lys53     | 0.03       | Asp50     | $-0.02$    | 0.01   |
| Asn23     | $-0.04$    |           |            | $-0.04$|
| Others    |            |           |            | $-0.05$|

Computed at pH=6. Aminoacid pairs participating in salt bridges between chains A and B are shown in bold in the one row. The total $E_m$ shift, computed as sum of both monomers is show.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g003
Oxidation potentials of tyrosines in BFR-RC

Three tyrosines in BFR-RC are located near ZnCe₆ and the di-metal center. Tyr25, 45 and 58 are found at 3.8/10.6, 13.7/4.5 and 5.8/10.4 Å from the di-metal center/ZnCe₆ respectively. The four other tyrosines are located at the periphery of the protein far from both cofactors. After performing Monte-Carlo sampling of protonated neutral and protonated cationic radical species to determine the redox potential of each tyrosine in BFR-RC, we found that Tyr25 has the lowest oxidation potential.

The decrease of Tyr25’s oxidation potential arises from the high polarity of its immediate environment which stabilizes the Tyr25 cation radical. The protein environment of Tyr25 is shown in Fig. 5. The polarity of the Tyr25 environment is reflected by an increase in its pKa of ~10 units. Most of this shift is due to Asp90 and Glu47. The presence of these two negatively charged amino acids in the vicinity of Tyr25 destabilizes the deprotonated form of Tyr25, while the positively charged radical is favored.

Our Monte-Carlo simulations indicated that at pH 8 the Tyr25 oxidation potential is decreased in BFR-RC by 160 mV relative to the tyrosine reference potential of 1380 mV in solution. The oxidation potentials of all other tyrosines in BFR-RC are increased by >250 mV. The largest contributions to the Em shift of Tyr25 are from Asp90 (~300 mV), the di-metal center with its ligands (~108 mV), Glu47 (~50 mV), Glu47 (~30 mV) and Asp50 (~40 mV). Glu44, Glu47 and Asp90 are located approximately equidistantly from Tyr25, but only Asp90 has a large effect on its oxidation potential. This difference is because only Asp90 is fully ionized. Occupancy of the charged species of Glu47 is 0.2, and Glu44 which has the lowest influence on the shift of Tyr25 Em is neutral. The occupancy of the neutral species of both Glu47 and Glu44 is high because both of them are located in a hydrophobic environment (Leu40, Leu87, Leu134, Trp133). Despite the decreased oxidation potential of Tyr25 in BFR-RC (1220 mV) it is still too high for a cation of ZnCe₆ to oxidize.

Discussion

The Em of ZnCe₆ in DMSO is 0.54 V and our calculations predict that it remains not far from this value when ZnCe₆ is embedded in BFR-RC. This Em value is significantly lower than was anticipated [6], and well below that required to oxidize water.

It also raises the question of how ZnCe₆ oxidizes tyrosine in the BFR-RC, as in all known cases the redox potential of tyrosine in proteins is near 1 V [33–35]. However, light-induced tyrosine oxidation has been observed in BFR-RC [6]. How can tyrosine be oxidized by ZnCe₆?

In PSII there are three possible mechanisms for the oxidation of tyrosine in the redox reaction between P680 and Mn-cluster (reviewed in [36]):

- **Reaction 1**: \( Y^- \rightarrow Y^+ + e^- \), \( E_{m(adj)}^{Y/Y^-} = +680 \text{ mV} \)
- **Reaction 2**: \( YH \rightarrow Y^+ + H^+ + e^- \), \( E_{m(adj)}^{YH/Y} = +970 \text{ mV} \)
- **Reaction 3**: \( YH \rightarrow Y^+ + H^+ + e^- \), \( E_{m(adj)}^{YH/Y} = +1380 \text{ mV} \)

Where species of tyrosine are denoted as: YH, protonated neutral; Y⁺, protonated cationic radical; Y⁻, deprotonated anion; Y, deprotonated neutral radical. These standard potentials were measured for N-acetyl-L-tyrosinamide in aqueous solutions [36,37]. In aqueous solution, the pathway of tyrosine oxidation depends predominantly on pH. In a protein, these reactions can also be controlled by hydrogen bonds or electrostatic interactions of tyrosine with its local environment.

Our computations suggest that none of the above reactions could be driven by photooxidation of ZnCe₆. Reaction 1 requires the redox active tyrosine to be ionized. Tyrosine ionization can only occur if positive charges near the tyrosine significantly lower its pKa. None of the tyrosines in BFR-RC satisfy this requirement.

Reaction 2 requires a somewhat higher oxidation potential as compared to reaction 1. In solution Tyr is oxidized by this mechanism at +970 mV. Reaction 2 is proton-coupled and requires a suitable proton acceptor e.g. a nearby His, able to bind the proton released by tyrosine. None of the tyrosines in BFR-RC have suitable His proton acceptors nearby. However, we cannot exclude that water hydroxyls in specific hydrogen bond networks may accept protons from tyrosine.

In solution reaction 3 occurs at +1380 mV, in PSII the calculated Em for this reaction is raised to +1576 mV [35]. We estimated that Tyr25 has the lowest oxidation potential of all tyrosines in BFR-RC, its Em is around 1220 mV. Our calculations indicate that the single oxidized ZnCe₆ with oxidation potential of 570 mV at pH 6 would not be capable of tyrosine oxidation. Considering that a tyrosine cation radical EPR signal in BFR-RC [39] may be responsible for oxidizing tyrosine. The ZnCe₆ cation, having a solution value of 570 mV at pH 6 would not be capable of tyrosine oxidation.
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In solution reaction 3 occurs at +1380 mV, in PSII the calculated Em for this reaction is raised to +1576 mV [35]. We estimated that Tyr25 has the lowest oxidation potential of all tyrosines in BFR-RC, its Em is around 1220 mV. Our calculations indicate that the single oxidized ZnCe₆ with oxidation potential of 570 mV at pH 6 would not be capable of tyrosine oxidation.
the $E_m$ of P680 in PSI by 325 mV, but, in contrast, shift the $E_m$ of P430 in PSII down by −125 mV [44].

One of the major factors raising the $E_m$ of P680 in PSII is the unique MnCa cluster, bearing a large positive charge. This cluster alone shifts the $E_m$ of P680 in PSII up by 214 mV [44]. The BFR-RC has two di-manganese centers composed of Mn(II) ions. Each of the di-manganese centers shifts the $E_m$ of ZnCe6 up by 85 mV. However, this effect in BFR-RC is compensated by the charged ligands to the manganese which down-shift the $E_m$ by −90 mV. The combination effect of all aminoacid sidechains was found to shift the $E_m$ of BFR-RC down by about −92 mV, not as much as the sidechains of PSI lacking the MnCa cluster. It is likely, however, that this down-shift would become smaller and maybe even turn into an up-shift with photooxidation of Mn(II) ions and formation of μ-oxo bridges.

Another major factor contributing to the increase of the $E_m$ in P680 in PSI is its position close to the luminal edge of two transmembrane α-helices (helices dD1/D2 providing axial His ligands to P430/D1/D2). The protein backbone dipole of helix d shifts the $E_m$ of P430 in PSI by about 95 mV. The corresponding helix in PSI (helix j) shifts the $E_m$ of P430 by 28 mV [44]. The organization of helices coordinating ZnCe6 in the BRF-RC is more similar to PSI than to PSII which is reflected by similar contributions from the backbones (40 mV).

The choice of ZnCe6 for the role of photoactive pigment is attractive because of its availability and solubility in water, however, our work demonstrated that this pigment is not capable of providing sufficient oxidative power for the water splitting reaction. One of the problems is the detrimental effect of ionized charged ligands to the manganese which down-shift the $E_m$ by 85 mV. However, this effect in BFR-RC is compensated by the charged sidechains of PSI lacking the MnCa cluster. It is likely, however, that this down-shift would become smaller and maybe even turn into an up-shift with photooxidation of Mn(II) ions and formation of μ-oxo bridges.

A large fraction of the $E_m$ decrease observed in BFR-RC comes from interaction with the two Asp23 residues. Interestingly, this polar aminoacid is located in the hydrophobic region of the ZnCe6 binding pocket. In addition, this aminoacid is conserved among ferritins from several organisms (PDB ID: 2FKZ, 5E1M, 3I8S, 3FVB) suggesting that it serves to regulate heme potential. Replacing it with an aliphatic residue is expected to eliminate this negative effect. Another potential modification is replacement of Asp50. In native BFR Asp50 forms a salt bridge with Lys53. Replacement of Asp50 with a polar or even basic aminoacid would break this salt bridge without affecting the interaction between the two monomers. This would facilitate the interaction of Lys53 with the carboxylic acids of ZnCe6 potentially neutralizing the effect of their negative charge.

In summary we have determined a number of protein structural factors contributing to the redox potential of ZnCe6 in BFR-RC, and by emulating some of the features of PSI it may be possible to raise the potential by several hundred millivolts, but not likely all the way up to the 1.2 V required to oxidize water.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Schematic diagram of atom names for ZnCe6. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Experimental vs. calculated $E_m$’s of Zn-chlorin derivatives. DFT systematically underestimates values by 0.1 V, but after correction for this systematic shift all computed values are within 20 mV from measured. Experimental $E_m$’s of the following Zn-chlorins were used: ZnC, ZnC - A'M10,A13, ZnC - M10, ZnC - T10, ZnC - OP, ZnC - T'M10P15, ZnC - P10, ZnC - P10 from [1] and ZnCe6 from the current work. [1] H.L. Kee, C. Kirmaier, G. Tang, J.R. Diers, C. Muthiah, M. Taniguchi, J.K. Laha, M. Ptaszek, J.S. Lindsey, D.F. Bocian, D. Hoten, Effects of substituents on synthetic analogs of chlorophylls. Part 2: Redox properties, optical spectra and electronic structure, Photochem. Photobiol. 83 (2007) pp. 1125–1143. (TIF)

**Table S1** Atomic charges for neutral and oxidized ZnCe6. The charges were derived using RESP-A1 procedure (HF/6-31G*, Connolly surface, 2 RESP stages, qwt = 0.0005/0.001). Cavity radii for Poisson-Boltzmann electro-statics computations: Zn = 1.47, C = 1.7, O = 1.4, N = 1.5, H = 1.0. PARSE charges for –COOH groups were used for computation of pKas. (DOCX)

**Model S1** The QM/MM optimized structure of the BFR-RC with bound ZnCe6. (PDB)
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