Bacteriophage Therapy of a *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* Infection Caused by a Multiple-Antibiotic–Resistant O3:K6 Pandemic Clinical Strain
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**Background.** Recently isolated *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* strains have displayed multiple antibiotic resistance. Alternatives to conventional antibiotics are needed, especially for the multiple-antibiotic–resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strain.

**Methods.** A bacteriophage, designated pVp-1, showed effective infectivity for multiple-antibiotic–resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus*, including *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strains. The therapeutic potential of the phage was studied in a mouse model of experimental infection using a multiple-antibiotic–resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strain. We monitored the survivability and histopathological changes, quantified the bacterial and phage titers during phage therapy, and observed the immune response induced by phage induction.

**Results.** Phage-treated mice displayed protection from a *V. parahaemolyticus* infection and survived lethal oral and intraperitoneal bacterial challenges.

**Conclusions.** To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of phage therapy in a mouse model against a multiple-antibiotic–resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strain infection.
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*Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, a gram-negative marine bacterium, is one of the most important causes of gastroenteritis associated with consumption of raw oysters [1]. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* pandemic strains, such as O3:K6, are responsible for current pandemics in many countries [2]. Emergence of *Vibrio* species that are resistant to multiple antibiotics has been recognized as a serious global clinical problem [3]. Recently isolated *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strains have displayed multiple antibiotic resistance, increasing concerns about possible treatment failure [4].

Bacteriophages (phages) can be used to treat infectious diseases both in humans and animals [5–10]. Phages display an effective bacteriolytic activity and possess several advantages over other antimicrobial agents, and no serious side effects of phage therapy have been described to date [9, 11]. All isolated *V. parahaemolyticus* strains have exhibited resistance to a broad variety of commercial antibiotics, and we previously noted that alternatives to conventional antibiotics are needed [4].

In this study, we isolated and characterized 1 lytic *Siphoviridae* phage, designated pVp-1 [12], that infects *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strains. Our aim was to determine whether this phage could be suitable for therapeutic use in a mouse model of a multiple-antibiotic–resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* pandemic strain.
**METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains**
*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 33844 was used as the host bacterial strain for phage isolation and amplification. CRS 09-17 (isolated from a patient with diarrhea; *V. parahaemolyticus* new O3:K6 pandemic strain) [4] was used to evaluate its therapeutic potential.

**Electron Microscope Examination**
Phage particles were negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. Electron micrographs were taken using a Zeiss TEM EM902.

**Phage Stability**
Phage stability tests were conducted as described elsewhere [13], with modifications. Briefly, phage stability to various conditions such as organic solvents (chloroform, ethanol, and diethylether; 25% of total volume), pH (3, 5, 7, 9, and 11), temperature (20, 25, 30, 37, 50, and 65°C), and ultraviolet (UV) light (30 cm from the UV-C, 253.7 nm; Sankyo Denki, Japan) was evaluated 25, 30, 37, 50, and 65°C), and ultraviolet (UV) light (30 cm from the UV-C, 253.7 nm; Sankyo Denki, Japan) was evaluated after 1 hour incubation at 25°C (except for the temperature from the UV-C, 253.7 nm; Sankyo Denki, Japan) was evaluated after 1 hour incubation at 25°C (except for the temperature test). After incubation, the phage titer was estimated by the double-agar layer method.

**Host Cell Lysis**
The bacteriolytic effect of the phage on *V. parahaemolyticus* CRS 09-17 was observed by determining viable bacteria counts throughout the incubation period. The phage was added to the early exponential phase (optical density [OD]_{600} = 0.1; 8.0 × 10^6 CFU/mL) of CRS 09-17 at the indicated multiplicity of infection (MOI); the change in OD was monitored for 72 hours.

**Induction of *V. parahaemolyticus* Infection in Mice**
To determine the 50% lethal dose (LD_{50}), *V. parahaemolyticus* CRS 09-17 was diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to a range of 2.0 × 10^6 to 2.0 × 10^8 CFU per mouse in 200 μL and was administered by either the intraperitoneal (IP) or orogastric route (orally). Five mice were used for each concentration. The survival rate of mice was recorded until 7 days post-infection. Mice inoculated with CRS 09-17 were observed for their state of infection based on several clinical signs, including ruffled fur, hunchback moribund, and partially closed eyes. The experiment was replicated 3 times.

**Kinetics of Phage in Mice**
A phage in vivo kinetic assessment was performed as previously described [13], with several modifications. First, between the 2 groups, with each group composed of 21 mice, 1 group was given an IP injection, while the other group was orally given the phage preparation (2.0 × 10^8 PFU/mouse). Second, the 2 groups (7 mice per group) were given an IP injection or were administered a heat-inactivated (65°C, 2 hours) phage suspension orally as the negative control. Finally, at appropriate time intervals, 4 mice (3 test mice and 1 control mouse) from the IP and oral groups were euthanized, and phage titers were determined from their organs.

**Treatment of Bacteremic Mice With Phage pVp-1**
The efficacy of phage therapy was evaluated in 2 experiments using the *V. parahaemolyticus* CRS 09-17 infection mouse model. In the first experiment, 2 groups of mice (control/treatment; 5 mice in each group) were challenged by an IP injection of an LD_{50} of CRS 09-17. Each mouse was treated with a single IP injection of phage pVp-1 (2.0 × 10^8 PFU per mouse) or PBS 1 hour after the bacterial challenge (2.0 × 10^7 CFU per mouse).

In the second experiment, all conditions were similar to those of the first study except that the bacterial challenge (2.0 × 10^7 CFU per mouse) and phage treatment (2.0 × 10^8 PFU per mouse) were administered orally. Both experiments were repeated 5 times, and the health of the mice was monitored for 72 hours.

In an additional study, 2 groups (5 mice per group) were not challenged with bacteria and received only phage (2.0 × 10^{11} PFU per mouse) by IP and oral routes. The health of these mice was monitored for 28 days.

**Quantitative Analysis of *V. parahaemolyticus*/Phage in Mouse Organs**
All conditions were similar to those of the phage treatment experiment described above. Three mice from each group were euthanized at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours post-treatment. As the main target organs of gastroenteritis, the stomach and intestine were removed and homogenized to quantify viable bacteria and phage. Bacterial and phage counts were normalized by organ weight when the organs were halved and processed for histopathological examination. A selective medium (CHROMagar Vibrio-containing resistant antibiotics) was used for the enumeration of *V. parahaemolyticus*, as described previously [4]. This experiment was repeated 3 times.
Histopathology of Organs

A portion (one-half) of the stomach and intestine was fixed and cut by a standardized method and placed in tissue cassettes for further processing. Slides of hematoxylin–eosin–stained tissues were prepared and observed for histopathology by microscopic examination. Histopathology was examined for severity in a blinded manner.

Measuring Phage Immune Response

Mice were immunized using an IP injection of phage \((2.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ PFU per mouse})\) at intervals of 0, 4, 6, and 8 weeks, as described previously [14]. At various times, the sera from 5 mice were prepared and indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays were performed, as previously described [15]. Immunoglobulins were detected with goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin M (IgM)- or IgG-specific antibodies.

Statistical Analyses

Statistically significant differences in all of the experiments were determined using Student’s t test. The SPSS statistical software package, version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL), was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Characterization of Vibrio Phage pVp-1

The Vibrio phage pVp-1 formed small plaques (average diameter, 1 mm) in a lawn of V. parahaemolyticus ATCC 33844 and it had a latent period of approximately 15 minutes with a burst size of 47 PFU/cell (see Supplementary Figure 1).

The pVp-1 was sensitive to organic solvents. After 1 hour of incubation in chloroform, diethylether, and ethanol, phage activity decreased to 37.7%, 33%, and 56.6%, respectively. However, no effect on phage activity was observed within a pH range of 5–11, and the activity remained at a high level (94.9%) at pH 3. In addition, the phage was relatively heat stable over a temperature range of 20°C–37°C, and no loss in activity was observed, although phage activity decreased to 3.3% at 50°C and to 0% at 65°C. Upon exposure to UV light, a complete inactivation of pVp-1 at approximately 45 minutes was observed (see Supplementary Figure 2).

Phage Therapeutic Application on Pandemic Clinical Strain

The bacteriolytic effect of pVp-1 was tested on an early exponential phase culture of V. parahaemolyticus CRS 09-17 (Figure 1). When the culture was not infected by pVp-1 (control), the OD_{600} value continued to increase throughout the incubation period. In contrast, bacterial growth induced by pVp-1 was apparently retarded at an MOI of 0.1, 1, and 10 until 24 hours. Bacterial growth was properly inhibited at an MOI of 1 and 10, whereas the OD_{600} value at an MOI of 0.1 increased gradually and reached 1.0 after 9 hours.

In animal experiments, invalidism and the wellness of the animals were measured using the following 4 criteria: physical condition, survival rate, CFU per gram of target organs (stomach/intestine), and histopathology of target organs (stomach/intestine). The LD_{50} of V. parahaemolyticus CRS 09-17 was examined using IP and oral routes of administration. The LD_{50} of the IP and oral routes were between \(2.0 \times 10^6\) and \(2.0 \times 10^7\) CFU per mouse (data not shown). The IP and oral mouse infection model was an acute death model; all mortality occurred within 36 hours. Thirty-six hours post-infection, mice that survived entered the recovery stage.

To examine in vivo kinetics, a pVp-1 kinetic analysis was performed in mice treated by IP and oral administration (Figure 2). In the IP group, the maximum PFU values were observed at 6 hours (blood/stomach) and 12 hours (intestine) of inoculation. In the group receiving the oral administration, the maximum PFU values were obtained at 3 hours (stomach/intestine) and 12 hours (blood) of inoculation. In every experimental group, there was a gradual fall in the titer thereafter; after 48 hours of inoculation, pVp-1 became undetectable. During the experiment, all mice were healthy and in normal condition. In addition, the administration of a high dose \((2.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ PFU/mouse})\) of pVp-1 alone did not affect the physical condition or survival during 28 days of observation.

To determine whether phage pVp-1 could treat a CRS 09-17 infection, pVp-1 was administered by IP and oral routes 1 hour after a CRS 09-17 challenge (Figure 3). After 6 hours of infection, all control (infected but not phage-treated) mice were visibly ill, lethargic, and scruffy. The control group fatality rate was 56% (IP) and 52% (oral) within 36 hours. The stomachs and intestines of control mice contained high levels of bacteria (stomach, \(1.0 \times 10^4\) CFU/g; intestine, \(5.3 \times 10^3\) CFU/g) until 12 hours post-infection (Figure 3). In contrast, phage treatment
resulted in excellent protection in terms of all 4 criteria. The phage-treated mice appeared to be only slightly ill and were protected up to 92% (IP) and 84% (oral) from the lethal infection induced by CRS 09-17 (2.0 × 10^7 CFU) after the administration of a single dose of purified pVp-1 of 2.0 × 10^8 PFU (Figure 3). The stomach/intestine CFU and histopathological features were improved by phage treatment. In the IP treatment group, a decrease in stomach/intestine CFU was obtained with the increased phage titer at 12 hours post-infection, 1.7 × 10^2/3.7 × 10^2 CFU/g and 5.7 × 10^3/2.3 × 10^4 PFU/g (Figure 3). In the oral treatment group, the maximum CFU and PFU values of the stomach/intestine, which were observed at experimental onset, gradually decreased (data not shown). In addition, the histopathological features demonstrated that the V. parahaemolyticus infection significantly damaged the intestinal tract as observed by the hematoxylin-eosin stain (Figure 4C and 4D) but not in the gastric region (data not shown). Severe destruction of the histologic structure of the colon was accompanied by a thinning of the wall, enterohemorrhage, and loss of crypts in the mucosal layer (Figure 4C and 4D). However, treatment with phage ameliorated the histological damage in the colon. The histopathological examination of the colon of the phage-treated mice revealed a significant recovery in the destruction of the intestinal wall and crypts, hemorrhages, and inflammation after both IP and oral treatment (Figure 4E and 4F). This suggests that phage-treated animals showed strongly reduced infection severity and could survive a lethal bacterial challenge.

Immune Response to Phage pVp-1

After the fourth phage induction in a series of phage injections in mice, titers of IgG and IgM against the phage increased above background levels by 170-fold and 50-fold, respectively (see Supplementary Figure 3). No anaphylactic reactions, changes in physical condition, or adverse events were observed during the course of these multiple injections of phage.

DISCUSSION

Based on the morphological analysis, pVp-1 was classified into the family Siphoviridae and demonstrated a broad host range. This differs from a prior finding that Siphoviridae phages are generally considered to have restricted host ranges [16]. The phage infected 74% (20/27) of all multiple-antibiotic–resistant V. parahaemolyticus strains used in this study, including the 2 pandemic strains CRS 09-17 and CRS 09-72. Interestingly, pVp-1 infected the V. parahaemolyticus clinical isolate CRS 09-17 from a patient with diarrhea, which represents a multiple-antibiotic–resistant new O3:K6 pandemic strain (tdh+, ORF8+, toxRS/new+) [4]. The results obtained from pVp-1 showed its lytic nature with a latent period and a large burst size. Full genome sequencing of pVp-1 identified no similarity match with lysogenic or phage integrase-related genes (considered as markers of temperate phages), indicating that it is a novel, newly isolated lytic phage [12]. This result emphasizes the potential of pVp-1 as a therapeutic agent, as described by Gutiérrez et al [11], who regarded lytic phages as more suitable phages for therapy. Furthermore, the stability of pVp-1 over a wide range of pH (3–11) and temperature (20°C–37°C) clearly indicates that pVp-1 would be highly stable in the human body.

Current analyses show that the search for new antibiotics conducted by pharmaceutical companies is becoming more and more restricted due to the increasing costs of conducting the appropriate trials, low profits, and high risk of the investment, precisely because of the possibility of a rapid acquisition of resistance to the new drug [17–20]. We hypothesize that phage therapy can be useful, especially in epidemics caused by multiple-antibiotic–resistant pandemic strains. To evaluate the therapeutic potential of pVp-1, we used a mouse model of V. parahaemolyticus CRS 09-17. In the cell lysis test of pVp-1, the growth of CRS 09-17 was apparently inhibited after pVp-1
inoculations at MOIs of 1 and 10, although pVp-1 partially inhibited the bacterial growth at an MOI of 0.1.

Because the route of phage inoculation is important, in vivo phage kinetics were ascertained following IP and oral administration of pVp-1. To apply pVp-1 at an MOI of 10 (CRS 09-17, 2.0 × 10^7 CFU per mouse), where the maximum effect of cell lysis was examined, kinetic tests were performed with pVp-1 at 2.0 × 10^8 PFU per mouse. Phage titers in the stomach as well as the intestine indicated that pVp-1 was maintained at higher concentrations in these 2 organs and could prevent V. parahaemolyticus infections. These findings suggest that pVp-1 might be efficacious for a prophylactic approach. In blood, pVp-1 reached a high titer within the first hour following IP injection. In contrast, no phage was detected until after

---

Figure 3. Effect of phage treatment on Vibrio parahaemolyticus infection in mice. A, The survival rate of mice in the control (treated with phosphate buffered saline) and treated (phage-treated) groups. The 50% lethal dose of V. parahaemolyticus CRS 09-17 (2.0 × 10^7 CFU/mouse) was required to induce an acute death model by way of the intraperitoneal (IP) and oral routes. The phage, pVp-1 (2.0 × 10^8 PFU/mouse), was applied by IP injection and oral administration after a 1-hour CRS 09-17 challenge because the maximum effect of cell lysis was examined at a multiplicity of infection of 10. B, CFU per gram of target organs (stomach/intestine) in the experimental mice through IP infection/IP treatment. C, The PFU per gram of target organs (stomach/intestine) in the experimental mice through IP infection/IP treatment. In the oral infection/oral treatment group, the maximum CFU and PFU values of stomach/intestine were determined at the experimental onset, and the values were gradually decreased. The bars show the mean, and the error bars show the standard error. Significant differences (P < .05) were observed at various time points (shown with asterisks).
1 hour, and it took longer to reach a higher titer when it was administered orally. Moreover, the highest titer in each organ was also much higher in the IP route of administration compared with the oral route of administration. Therefore, we speculate that the IP route of administration would be the more suitable route.

Phage treatment trials in the mouse model for CRS 09-17 demonstrated that the application of pVp-1 can protect from a *V. parahaemolyticus* infection in all 4 criteria and that pVp-1 can be used as a therapeutic agent to reduce the impact of epidemics caused by multiple-antibiotic-resistant pandemic strains. The current phage treatment revealed the unique advantage of phage therapy, that is, that the normal microbial flora can be preserved in contrast to antibiotic use, which may lead to secondary symptoms by the disruption of normal flora, as previously reported [5, 21–23]. In the present study, mice were treated with phage pVp-1 at 1 hour post-infection in order to induce complete infection by *V. parahaemolyticus*. However, the progression of disease in our model was extremely rapid, and the delayed treatment with phages resulted in decreased efficacy of protection [21]. Furthermore, even if the *Vibrios* and phages were administered to mice simultaneously, they may not come into contact until some time after injection [21]. In order to achieve a higher level of protection, administration soon after infection is required.

While pVp-1 invoked an immune response in mice, the antibodies raised over the course of repeated injections were not
associated with anaphylaxis or other adverse reactions. These experiments were designed as a model for acute human infections, where antibiotics are no longer effective and a single course of phage treatment may rescue the patient. If phages are to be employed repeatedly (eg, for chronic infections), selection use and phage display may produce phage variants that are less prone to induce an immune response [5].

In 2006, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the use of a commercial phage cocktail (List-Shield; Intralytix, Inc.) as a biocontrol agent. This is confirmation that the FDA’s view of phages is that they are safe for human use and opens the doors for phage commercialization for human applications [24]. Despite no reports of significant adverse reactions during the long history of phage administration in humans, phage therapy still needs to gain credibility to overcome the regulatory hurdles facing its adoption in mainstream clinical practice [24]. Moreover, it is necessary to establish adequate phage preparation methodologies such as the purification and removal of endotoxins for safety in phage therapy to prevent anaphylactic responses [25–28].
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