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Due to the limitation of geological conditions and route alignment, tunnel engineering will inevitably pass through special sections such as shallow buried section, broken rock layer, and loss and weak rock stratum. Tunnel construction in these special sections will easily lead to tunnel collapse, landslide of portal slope, excessive deformation of supporting structure, and even deformation and damage accidents, which are high-incidence areas of engineering safety accidents. In this paper, a 3D numerical model is established based on a practical engineering to analyze the deformation and stress variation of surrounding rock of the tunnel with the in-advance support technology. According to the monitoring results of the actual project, the deformation law of the soft rock section at the tunnel entrance is mastered. The deformation of surrounding rock of the tunnel under the support condition of changing the three main parameters, such as ring spacing, pipe diameter, and pipe length, is analyzed, and the effect of controlling the deformation of surrounding rock with different parameters is studied. The deformation, stress characteristics, and plastic zone distribution of surrounding rock by a single side wall guide method and ring excavation and retaining core soil method in advance support are numerically simulated and studied.

1. Introduction

When the tunnel passes through the mountain, it will be inclined to cross with the mountain with an asymmetrical terrain and inclined angle, resulting in asymmetrical load of rock mass on the tunnel. In this case, it is a biased tunnel. The tunnel is very prone to collapse due to the uneven stress of surrounding rock [1–3]. Therefore, in the tunnel construction in these sections with poor geological conditions, in order to ensure the smooth completion of the project, in-advance support technologies such as shed pipe, small duct, and the horizontal rotary jet grouting piles should be adopted to control the deformation of surrounding rock [4]. In order to improve the support effect, different advanced support technologies are often used together.

(1) The construction of a tunnel opening section is the beginning of the tunnel engineering and the key section connecting the tunnel body. The successful completion of the tunneling project has a great impact on the progress and cost of the later project and is closely related to the safety of the whole tunnel. It is very necessary for each mountain tunnel to ensure that the deformation of surrounding rock is controlled within the allowable range during construction. Therefore, it is of great value to study the deformation control of surrounding rock at the entrance [5–7].

(2) At present, the supporting measures of the tunnel portal section have been very comprehensive, among which the advanced support is necessary for each tunnel with poor geological conditions. However, the terrain, geological conditions, surrounding rock characteristics, and design parameters of each tunnel portal section are different. The deformation of surrounding rock under the advanced support is not the same, and the support effect is also different.
Therefore, the design plan of advanced support is not universal for each tunnel. Selecting the most suitable advanced support plan and excavation method can improve the construction quality and production efficiency of the tunnel engineering, ensure the construction safety, save the construction period, reduce the cost, and increase the production capacity. Therefore, it is of great construction promotion value to compare and select plans [8].

In this paper, based on a coal mine tunnel under construction as the background, the tunnel exit portal section buried depth is shallow, geological conditions are not ideal, the field construction process found that the tunnel portal section of multiple surrounding rock settlement is too large, and deformation is large, prone to collapse and roof fall accidents [9--12]. The buried depth of this section is 3.5 m to 16 m, and the slope is 31.3%. The measured spacing for the exit is 16.1 m, and the general spacing for the hole body is 25-35 m. Compared with other positions of the tunnel, the shallow hole section of the tunnel is more vulnerable to weathering, which will reduce the mechanical parameters of surrounding rock and make it difficult for the shallow hole to form arch effect, further affecting the stability of the shallow hole. When the new Austrian tunneling method is adopted, the shallow buried hole section of the tunnel can effectively alleviate the complicated geological conditions, rock fragmentation, and serious weathering of the tunnel opening by adopting the way of advance support. Therefore, the advance support is of great significance to the reinforcement of shallow buried tunnel openings.

2. Theoretical Analysis and Supporting Principles of the Short-Range Coal Seam Roadway

2.1. Supporting Theory of the Short-Range Coal Seam Roadway

(1) The core content of the suspension theory is as follows: if there is a weak rock layer on the roof of the roadway, the weak rock layer needs to be connected with the stable rock layer at the bottom, and the anchor acts as a suspension [12]. When the roof of the roadway is a hard rock layer and a soft broken rock layer, respectively, the effect diagram of suspension action is shown in Figure 1, respectively.

(2) Composite beam theory

The theory is analyzed in view of multiple layers of the roadway roof. At this time, the application of bolt support can, on the one hand, increase the friction between layers and effectively prevent the occurrence of sliding and separation in each layer. On the other hand, the shear strength of the rock layer is increased, and the thin layers are fixed to each other, equivalent to a thick coal seam. The theoretical effect diagram is shown in Figure 2.

2.2. Support Optimization of Surrounding Rock of the Near Coal Seam Mining Roadway.

Taking the coal mine as an example, the main coal seams are no. 4 and no. 5 coal. Among them, the average thickness of no. 4 coal seam is 4.2 m, most of which can be mined; the average thickness of no. 5 coal seam is 4.5 m, all of which can be mined. The average interval between the two coal seams is 6.0 m, which belongs to the typical close distance coal seam. The downward mining method is adopted; that is, the no. 4 coal seam is first mined, the roadway section is 4.2 x 4.7 m, and the 20 m protective coal pillar is reserved, and then, the no. 5 coal seam is mined. After the no. 4 coal seam is mined out, the no. 5 coal working face’s transportation gateway is arranged under the no. 4 coal goaf and 8.3 m away from the protection coal pillar of the no. 4 coal section. The roadway section is 4.5 x 4.7 m, and the combined symmetrical support plan of “bolt (cable)+metal mesh” is adopted. Due to the neglect of the asymmetry of the stress and deformation of the roadway side, although the no. 5 coal seam transport gateway is arranged in the stress-reducing area, there are still serious side heave and roof subsidence deformation, the convergence rate of the two sides is as high as 40--45 mm/d, the roadway side still has serious asymmetric deformation, and the side bolt (cable) turns over in different degrees, which seriously affects the normal mining of the no. 5 coal seam. In this section, the limit equilibrium zone width, fracture zone width, and safe staggered distance of upper and lower coal seams will be determined according to the above theoretical equation, and the bolt support design plan will be optimized. The original support plan of the upper and lower coal seam roadway is shown in Figure 3.
2.2.1. Original Supporting Plan of the Roadway in the Upper Coal Seam

(1) Roadway Roof Support. The roof bolt adopts a Φ18 × 2200 mm left screw rigid resin bolt, with 4 bolts in each row, and the spacing between rows is 1400 × 1000 mm. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with the specification of 150 × 150 × 8 mm, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the bolt at both ends near the roof is offset by 20° to the inner side of the roadway; the roof anchor cable adopts Φ18 × 7000 mm high-strength prestressing. There are 3 anchor cables in total, which are symmetrically arranged along the center line of the roadway, with a spacing of 1400 × 2100 mm, and three Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents are used; the metal mesh is a square metal mesh woven with a 10# iron wire, with a mesh size of 100 × 100 mm, which shall be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway roof, and the metal mesh shall be flattened and tightened during laying.

(2) Parameters of the Sidewall Bolt. A sidewall bolt adopts a Φ18 × 2200 mm left screw rigid resin anchor rod, with 5
bolts in each row, with row spacing of 900 × 900 mm. The bolt adopts two branches of the Z2360 medium resin anchoring agent, adopts a high-strength arch tray with specification of 150 × 150 × 8 mm, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the anchor rod near the top plate and bottom plate end is, respectively, 20° to the top plate and bottom plate; the metal mesh is woven with a 10# iron wire square metal mesh with a mesh size of 50 × 50 mm which shall be cut reasonably according to the size of roadway side, and the metal mesh shall be flattened and tightened during laying [12].

2.2.2. Original Supporting Plan of the Lower Coal Seam Roadway

(1) Roadway Roof Support. The roof bolt adopts a Φ18 × 2200 mm left screw rigid resin bolt, with 4 bolts in each row, and the spacing between rows is 1400 × 1000 mm. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with the specification of 150 × 150 × 8 mm, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the bolt at both ends near the roof is offset by 23° to the inner side of the roadway; the roof anchor cable adopts Φ18 × 5500 mm high-strength prestressing. There are 3 anchor cables in total, which are symmetrically arranged along the center line of the roadway, with a spacing of 1400 × 2100 mm, and three Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents are used; the metal mesh is a square metal mesh woven with a 10# iron wire, with a mesh size of 100 × 100 mm, which shall be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway roof, and the metal mesh shall be flattened and tightened during laying [13].

(2) Parameters of the Sidewall Bolt. The sidewall bolt adopts a Φ18 × 2200 mm left screw rigid resin bolt, with 5 bolts in each row and 875 × 875 mm spacing between rows. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with specification of 150 × 150 × 8 mm, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the bolt near the end of the top plate and bottom plate is, respectively, 23° to the direction of the roof and bottom plate; the metal mesh is made of a 10# iron wire. The mesh size of a square metal mesh is 50 × 50 mm, which is cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway side, and the metal mesh shall be flattened and tightened during laying [13].

2.3. Optimization Calculation of Bolt Support Parameters

(1) When the bolt length supports the surrounding rock of the roadway, the length of all anchors in the supporting structure of the roadway is the same and no less than "L0" [15]. In combination with the actual engineering situation, it is found that the support effect of the roof of the mining roadway is realized through the formation of "combined arch of anchoring layer" by anchor rods, so the "combined arch theory" is adopted for analysis and calculation [16]. Therefore, the roof length can be calculated by the following equation:

\[ L_p \geq L_1 + L_2 + L_3. \]  \( (1) \)

In equation (1), \( L_1 \) is the exposed length of the bolt, \( L_1 = \) the thickness of backing plate + the thickness of nut + (0.02 ~ 0.03) m, and \( L_1 = 0.10 \) m; \( L_3 \) is the effective length of the bolt; and \( L_3 = 0.3 ~ 0.4 \) m.

Calculation of effective length \( L_2 \) of the roof bolt

(i) Roof bolt for the upper seam

In combination with the geological data of the roadway, the values of relevant parameters are determined as follows: half width \( a = 2.30 \) m and width \( L_1 = 2.42 \) m of the side fracture zone, and the value of the Platt coefficient is 2.5, so that

\[ a_1 = 2.3 + 2.42 = 4.72, \]  \( (2) \)

\[ L'_{2} = \frac{4.72}{2.5} = 1.89 \text{m}. \]  \( (3) \)

(ii) Lower seam roof bolt

In combination with the geological data of the roadway, the relevant parameters are determined as follows: half width \( a = 2.30 \) m and width \( L'_{1} = 2.93 \) m of the fracture zone at the side, and the value of the Platt coefficient is 2.7, so that

\[ a_1 = 2.3 + 2.93 = 5.23 \text{m}, \]  \( (4) \)

\[ L'_{2} = \frac{5.23}{2.7} = 1.94 \text{m}. \]  \( (5) \)

The roof length of the upper coal seam is calculated as follows: \( L_2 \geq 2.29 ~ 2.39 \) m. In combination with the actual situation and engineering analogy, the length of the anchor rod is 2.4 m. The roof length of the lower coal seam is obtained as follows: \( L'_2 \geq 2.34 ~ 2.44 \) m. By combining the actual situation and engineering analogy, the bolt length is 2.4 m [17].

(2) Calculation of effective length \( L_2 \) of the floor anchor rod

According to the results of mining roadway floor analysis and considered according to the most unfavorable combination, the effective length of roadway roof rock when it reaches the failure depth is the effective length of the roadway roof bolt, that is, the effective length of the roof bolt in the upper coal seam floor \( L_2 = 3.0 \) m and the effective length of the roof bolt in the lower coal seam floor \( L_2 = 3.39 \) m [18].

The length of the upper coal seam floor is calculated as follows: \( L_0 \geq 3.40 ~ 3.50 \) m. In combination with the actual situation on the site and engineering analogy, the length of
the anchor rod is 3.4 m. The roof length of the lower coal seam is obtained as follows: \( L_0' \geq 3.79 \sim 3.89 \) m. In combination with the actual situation and engineering analogy, the length of the anchor rod is 3.8 m [19].

(3) Calculation of effective length \( L_2 \) of the sidewall bolt

(i) Upper seam sidewall bolt

The width of the coal sidewall crushing zone of the coal seam is \( L_s = 2.42 \) m; then, the effective length of the bolt \( L_2 \) is

\[
L_2 \geq L_s = 2.42 \text{ m.} \quad (6)
\]

(ii) Lower seam sidewall bolt

The width of the coal sidewall crushing zone of the coal seam is \( L_s = 2.93 \) m; then, the effective length of the bolt \( L_2 \) is

\[
L_2 \geq L_s = 2.93 \text{ m.} \quad (7)
\]

The roof length of the upper coal seam is calculated as follows: \( L_0 \geq 2.82 \sim 2.92 \) m. In combination with the actual situation and engineering analogy, the length of the anchor rod is 2.9 m. The roof length of the lower coal seam is obtained as follows: \( L_0' \geq 3.33 \sim 3.43 \) m. In combination with the actual situation and engineering analogy, the bolt length is 3.4 m.

(4) For the use of bolt support in stoping the roadway, it is generally considered to take equal bolt spacing in construction technology to deal with; then, the bolt spacing \( a \) can be calculated according to the following equation:

\[
a \leq 0.5 L_0. \quad (8)
\]

(i) Upper coal seam spacing roof bolt spacing from equation (8):

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 2.4 = 1.2 \text{ m.} \quad (9)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between the roof and anchor is 1200 \( \times \) 1200 mm.

(ii) The row spacing between floor bolts is shown in equation (8) as follows:

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 3.4 = 1.7 \text{ m.} \quad (10)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between bottom anchors is 850 \( \times \) 850 mm.

(iii) The row spacing of sidewall bolts is shown in equation (8) as follows:

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 2.9 = 1.45 \text{ m.} \quad (11)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between the sidewalls is 900 \( \times \) 900 mm.

(5) The row spacing of bolts in the lower coal seam

(i) The row spacing of roof bolts is shown in equation (8) as follows:

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 2.4 = 1.2 \text{ m.} \quad (12)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between the roof and anchor is 1200 \( \times \) 1200 mm.

(ii) The row spacing between the bottom bolts is shown in equation (8) as follows:

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 3.8 = 1.9 \text{ m.} \quad (13)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between bottom anchors is 850 \( \times \) 850 mm.

(iii) The row spacing between the side bolts is shown in equation (9) as follows:

\[
a \leq 0.5 \times 3.4 = 1.7 \text{ m.} \quad (14)
\]

In combination with the engineering practice and construction experience, considering the roadway cross section size, the row spacing between the sides is 1000 \( \times \) 1000 mm.

(iv) The diameter of the anchor rod is determined by the relationship between the anchoring force and the tensile strength of the bolt body materials:

\[
Q \leq \frac{\pi d^2 \sigma}{4}. \quad (15)
\]

Then, the calculation equation of the bolt diameter shall
meet the following equation:

\[ d \geq 1.13 \sqrt[3]{\frac{Q}{\sigma_f}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (16) 

where \( d \) is the diameter of the anchor rod; \( \sigma_f \) is the tensile strength of the bolt rod material, which is 360 MPa according to the actual measurement; and \( Q \) is the anchoring force of the anchor rod (not less than 70 kN), taking 90 kN.

Substituting the relevant data into equation (16) shows that

\[ d \geq 1.13 \sqrt[3]{\frac{90 \times 10^3}{360 \times 10^3}} = 17.9 \text{ mm.} \]  \hspace{1cm} (17) 

In order to meet the requirement of support strength, the bolt diameter is 20 mm.

### 3. Optimization Design of the Bolt Support Plan

#### 3.1. Support Plan after Optimization of the Upper Coal Seam Roadway

##### 3.1.1. Roadway Roof Support.

The roof bolt adopts a left screw rigid resin bolt, 4 in each row, with a diameter of 20 mm, spaced by 20°, and the mesh size is 100 × 100 mm. The mesh should be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway roof, and the metal mesh should be paved and tensioned [20].

##### 3.1.2. Roadway Floor Bolt Support.

The floor bolt adopts a left screw rigid resin bolt, 4 in each row, with a diameter of 20 mm, spaced by 20°, and the mesh size is 100 × 100 mm. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with specification of 150 × 150 × 8 mm, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the bolt near the end of the roof and bottom plate is, respectively, 20° to the direction of the roof and bottom plate; the metal mesh is a square metal mesh woven with a 10# iron wire. The mesh size is 50 × 50 mm, which should be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway side, and the metal mesh should be flattened and tightened during laying [22].

The optimized support plan of the upper coal seam roadway is shown in Figure 4.

#### 3.2. Support Plan after Optimization of the Lower Coal Seam Roadway

##### 3.2.1. Roadway Roof Support.

The roof bolt adopts a \( \Phi 20 \times 2400 \) mm left screw resin bolt with 4 bolts in each row and a spacing between rows of 1200 mm. The bolt adopts two Z2360-type resin anchorage agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with 150 × 150 × 8 mm specification, and is matched with a high-strength spherical gasket. The installation angle of the bolt near the two ends of the roof is offset by 20° to the inside of the tunnel. The roof anchor cable is made of a high-strength prestressed steel strand, with a total of three anchor cables arranged symmetrically along the center line of the roadway. The spacing between the cables is 1400 × 2100. Three Z2360-type resin anchor agents are adopted. The metal mesh is a square metal mesh woven with an iron wire 10#, and the mesh size is 100 × 100 mm. The mesh is cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway roof, and the metal mesh should be paved and tensioned [23].

##### 3.2.2. Roadway Floor Bolt Support.

The floor bolt adopts a \( \Phi 20 \times 3400 \) mm left screw resin bolt with 5 bolts in each row and an spacing between rows of 850 mm. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arch tray with 150 × 150 × 8 mm specification, and is matched with a high-strength spherical gasket. The metal mesh is a square metal mesh woven with an iron wire 10#, and the mesh size is 100 × 100 mm. The mesh should be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway side, and the metal mesh should be paved and tensioned [24].

##### 3.2.3. Roadway Sidewall Bolt Support.

The left screw rigid resin bolt of \( \Phi 20 \times 3400 \) mm is used for the sidewall bolt, with 5 bolts in each row and a spacing of 1000 mm between rows. The bolt adopts two Z2360 medium resin anchoring agents, adopts a high-strength arched tray, and is equipped with a high-strength spherical washer. The installation angle of the bolt near the top plate and bottom plate end is, respectively, 20° to the top plate and bottom plate; the metal mesh adopts a square metal mesh woven with a 10# iron wire, and the mesh is 50 × 50 mm in size. It should be cut reasonably according to the size of the roadway. When laying, the metal mesh should be flattened and tightened [25].
The optimized support plan of the lower coal seam roadway is shown in Figure 5.

3.3 On-Site Monitoring

3.3.1 Monitoring Purpose. Due to the complex and diverse geological conditions of coal mine projects, it is difficult to accurately grasp the surrounding rock deformation and support structure deformation caused by excavation in the construction process, which brings great safety risks to the coal mine construction [26]. Therefore, coal mine monitoring is an essential work in coal mine construction and an important measure to ensure construction safety. In the process of coal mine construction, it is necessary to strengthen the monitoring and measurement of surrounding rock deformation, real-time feedback of monitoring information, and timely processing and analysis of monitoring results, so as to ensure the smooth progress of coal mine work and ensure the safety of construction operation and on-site personnel [27].

The purpose of this engineering monitoring is shown as follows:

(1) Timely grasp the deformation law of surrounding rock and support structure during coal mine excavation, and provide data support for field operations, which can quickly and effectively deal with the occurrence of abnormal surrounding rock in the tunnel during excavation and ensure the safety of construction [28, 29]

(2) The monitoring of the support structure can enable the construction personnel to deeply understand the role and effect of the support structure, so as to determine a more reasonable and effective support plan
(3) By analyzing the daily monitoring data, the field personnel can find the problems as soon as possible, communicate with the designer, and make design changes in time, so that the design plan is more in line with the actual situation of the site.

3.3.2. Monitoring Content and Plan

(1) Required Items of Monitoring Measurement Are Shown in Table 1.

(2) Layout of Monitoring Points. The deformation and stress change of the surrounding rock of the coal mine can be grasped in time by arch settlement monitoring, and the stability of coal mine can be determined effectively. Measuring point layout: an anchor pile is set at the measuring point of the mining wool hole. The depth of the measuring point is 30 mm, and the diameter of the drilling hole is 22 mm. It is fixed with fast-setting cement or early-strength anchoring agent, and an angle iron is fixed at the measuring pile head. The remote measurement of the settlement of the vault and the surrounding measurement and monitoring section is shown in Table 2.

In addition, the reading of initial deformation value should be completed before the next cycle of excavation.

(3) Measuring Frequency. According to the observation frequency of arch settlement and peripheral convergence, it is determined according to the deformation rate and the distance from the dug surface, as shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

The measurement frequency determined by the rate of convergence and the distance from the excavation face is in principle high frequency.

(4) Measurement Method. The arch vault subsidence measurement method uses the total station plus reflector to measure the coordinates of the measurement points, and the measured elevation difference value is the settlement amount [30–38].

In the peripheral convergence measurement method, the total station and reflector are used for measurement. The coordinates of the measuring points are measured by cross-sectional measurement at the rear of the total station, and the distance between the measuring points is calculated according to the coordinates. The distance difference between the two measurements is the convergence.

3.3.3. Analysis of Monitoring Results. Three sections of the right line opening section are selected to carry out monitoring measurement and data analysis for the settlement of the arch roof and horizontal convergence of the side wall. The data curves of the three sections within 70 observation days are shown in Figure 6.

As shown in Figures 6, 10 days before coal mine excavation, the settlement and horizontal convergence of the section arch roof increase rapidly. With the increase in

---

Table 1: Contents of required test items.

| Serial number | Work content                                      | Measuring instrument                                      | Measurement accuracy |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1             | Observation inside and outside the cave          | Field observation                                         |                      |
| 2             | Vault sinking measurement                        | Electronic level, steel hanging ruler, indium steel ruler, total station | 0.01 mm              |
| 3             | Peripheral displacement measurement              | Convergence meter, total station                          | 0.01 mm              |
| 4             | Ground settlement measurement                    | Electronic level, indium steel ruler, total station        | 0.01 mm              |

Table 2: Principles for selecting monitoring surfaces.

| Surrounding rock level | Section spacing |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| II                     | According to the specific situation |
| III                    | 30–50 m         |
| IV                     | 10–30 m         |
| V                      | 5–10 m          |

Table 3: Measuring frequency of dome subsidence and peripheral convergence displacement (displacement according to velocity).

| Displacement speed (mm/d) | Measuring frequency |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| ≥5                        | 2–3 times/d         |
| 1–5                       | 1 time/d            |
| 0.5–1.0                   | 1 time/2–3 d        |
| 0.2–0.5                   | 1 time/3 d         |
| <0.2                      | 1 time/3–7 d       |

Table 4: Measurement frequency of dome subsidence and peripheral convergence displacement (according to the distance from the excavation surface).

| Distance between measuring section and working surface (m) | Measuring frequency |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (0–1) B                                                   | 2 times/d           |
| (1–2) B                                                   | 1 time/d            |
| (2–5) B                                                   | 1 time/2–3 d        |
| >5 B                                                      | 1 time/3–7 d        |
excavation time, the settlement and horizontal convergence of the section arch roof continue to increase, but the growth rate gradually decreases. After about 60 days, the settlement of the vault tends to be stable; after about 60 days, the horizontal convergence of N1 and N2 tends to be stable; and after about 50 days, the horizontal convergence of N3 tends to be stable. With the increase in excavation depth and section burial depth, the cumulative settlement value and horizontal convergence value of the arch roof gradually decrease, the surrounding rock stability is high, and the support structure plays a role. It can be seen that coal mine surrounding rock deformation can be divided into three stages on the whole:

(1) The initial surrounding rock deformation is rapid, and the deformation rate is very high. In just 10 days, the maximum settlement rate of the N1 section reaches 4.7 mm/d, the settlement of vault reaches 24.9 mm, accounting for 65.4% of the total settlement, and the horizontal convergence reaches 10.92 mm, accounting for 71.4% of the total convergence. The maximum settlement rate of the N2 section reaches 5.6 mm/d, the settlement amount of vault reaches 26.43 mm, accounting for 74.1% of the total settlement amount, and the horizontal convergence amount reaches 10.03 mm, accounting for 67.3% of the total settlement amount. Within 15
of the three monitored sections are close to the field monitoring values, and the error is less than 15%, which indicates that the numerical simulation results are reasonable. Due to field construction and measurement methods, the simulated calculated value is larger than the measured value, and the deformation of surrounding rock is mainly generated after excavation, so part of the deformation at the initial excavation stage cannot be measured.

4. Conclusion

In order to solve the engineering problems caused by the deformation of the upper and lower roadways in the process of mining and driving near the mine mouth, based on the elastic theory, this paper establishes the mechanical analysis model of the upper coal seam roadway under the action of the abutment pressure. By calculating the stress of any unit rock mass in the roadway side and based on the stress distribution principle of soil mechanics theory, the surrounding rock deformation of the roadway in the lower coal seam is carried out. Through the establishment of the stress distribution model under the abutment pressure of the coal pillar of the inner staggered upper coal seam roadway in the close distance coal seam, the lower coal seam is determined to be outside the stress influence range of the upper coal seam left coal pillar floor, and the calculation equation of the upper and lower roadway safety offset is given, which greatly reduces the difficulty of roadway maintenance in the later stage.
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