Deep neuromuscular block reduces the incidence of intra-operative complications during laparoscopic donor nephrectomy: a pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials
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Abstract

Study objective: To assess whether different intensities of intra-abdominal pressure and deep neuromuscular blockade influence the risk of intra-operative surgical complications during laparoscopic donor nephrectomy.

Design: A pooled analysis of ten previously performed prospective randomized controlled trials.

Setting: Laparoscopic donor nephrectomy performed in four academic hospitals in the Netherlands: Radboudumc, Leiden UMC, Erasmus MC Rotterdam, and Amsterdam UMC.

Patients: Five hundred fifty-six patients undergoing a transperitoneal, fully laparoscopic donor nephrectomy enrolled in ten prospective, randomized controlled trials conducted in the Netherlands from 2001 to 2017.

Interventions: Moderate (tetanic count of four > 1) versus deep (post-tetanic count 1–5) neuromuscular blockade and standard (≥10 mmHg) versus low (<10 mmHg) intra-abdominal pressure.

Measurements: The primary endpoint is the number of intra-operative surgical complications defined as any deviation from the ideal intra-operative course occurring between skin incision and closure with five severity grades, according to ClassIntra. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to identify predictors of intra- and postoperative complications.
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Main results: In 53/556 (9.5%) patients, an intra-operative complication with ClassIntra grade ≥ 2 occurred. Multiple logistic regression analyses showed standard intra-abdominal pressure (OR 0.318, 95% CI 0.118–0.862; p = 0.024) as a predictor of less intra-operative complications and moderate neuromuscular blockade (OR 3.518, 95% CI 1.244–9.948; p = 0.018) as a predictor of more intra-operative complications. Postoperative complications occurred in 31/556 (6.8%), without significant predictors in multiple logistic regression analyses.

Conclusions: Our data indicate that the use of deep neuromuscular blockade could increase safety during laparoscopic donor nephrectomy. Future randomized clinical trials should be performed to confirm this and to pursue whether it also applies to other types of laparoscopic surgery.

Trial registration: Clinicaltrials.gov LEPARD-2 (NCT02146417), LEPARD-3 trial (NCT02602964), and RELAX-1 study (NCT02838134), Klop et al. (NTR 3096), Dols et al. 2014 (NTR1433).
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Introduction
The use of deep neuromuscular blockade (NMB) defined as a post-tetanic count (PTC) of 1–2(Albers et al., 2019) may facilitate laparoscopic surgery for two reasons. First, surgical space conditions as rated by surgeons on a subjective scale from 1 to 5(Torensma et al., 2016) are significantly better in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery with a deep NMB, as compared to a moderate NMB(Bruintjes et al., 2017) The main component of surgical condition rating scale is the intra-abdominal working space. A few clinical studies indicate that the intra-abdominal working space is increased by using deep instead of moderate NMB.(Lindekaer et al., 2013; Van Wijk et al., 2015; Madsen et al., 2015) Secondly, deep NMB provides better surgical stillness as compared to moderate NMB (TOF 1–2)(Blobner et al., 2015), as on average the diaphragm is fully relaxed with a deep but not with a moderate block.(Pansard et al., 1987)
Therefore, the use of deep NMB during laparoscopy may either enable the use of lower insufflation pressures or improve surgical conditions at standard intra-abdominal pressure. The use of low intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) (<10 mmHg) during laparoscopic surgery reduces abdominal pain, referred shoulder pain, and analgesic consumption as compared to standard pressure (≥10 mmHg).(Warlé et al., 2013; Gurusamy et al., 2009; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2016; Singla & Mittal, 2014) Nevertheless, the use of low IAP is still under debate because it remains unclear if improved patient recovery outweighs a theoretically increased risk of intraoperative surgical complications related to limited working space. To assess whether different intensities of IAP and NMB influence the risk of intraoperative surgical complications, we performed a secondary analysis on ten randomized controlled trials in adults undergoing transperitoneal, fully laparoscopic donor nephrectomy.

Material and methods
Ethics
Approval for the four randomized controlled trials initiated by Radboudumc was given by the Central Committee on Research involving Human Subjects of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center.(Warlé et al., 2013; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2017; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2018; Bruintjes et al., 2019) Approval for the five randomized controlled trials initiated by Erasmus MC Rotterdam was given by the Institutional Review Board of the Erasmus MC in Rotterdam.(Klop et al., 2014; Dols et al., 2014; Dols et al., 2010; Kok et al., 2006a; Kok et al., 2006b) One trial initiated by Amsterdam UMC was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Academic Medical Center Amsterdam.(Minnee et al., 2008) Oral and written informed consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion.

Pooled analysis
We performed a secondary analysis on pooled data (n = 556) of ten previously conducted prospective, double-blinded randomized controlled trials (Table 1). The methods and primary outcomes of these trials(Warlé et al., 2013; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2017; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2018; Bruintjes et al., 2019; Klop et al., 2014; Dols et al., 2014; Dols et al., 2010; Minnee et al., 2008; Kok et al., 2006a; Kok et al., 2006b) in laparoscopic donor nephrectomy patients were previously published. All trials were initiated by Radboudumc, Erasmus MC Rotterdam, and Amsterdam UMC and performed in four academic teaching hospitals in the Netherlands from 2001 to 2017. Anesthesia and surgery were protocolized and similar, except for the depth of neuromuscular blockade, intra-abdominal pressure, and analgesia. Baseline characteristics such as type of anesthesia, gender, ASA classification, age, and body mass index (BMI) are presented in Table 1.
### Table 1  Trial overview and baseline characteristics

| Participating centers | Recruitment period | Number of patients | IAP (mmHg) | Type of NMBb | Type of anesthesia | Gender N (%) | ASA classification N (%) | Age Mean (SD) | BMI Mean (SD) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| RUMC                  | 2011–2012          | 20                 | 7 vs 14    | TOF 1–2      | TIVA               | 10 (50.0%)    | 10 (50.0%)               | 3 (85%)       | 17 (15%)     | 51.15 (± 9.33) | 25.32 (± 3.21) |
| Ozdemir-Van Brunschot et al. (2017) | 2014–2015          | 64                 | 6 vs 12    | PTC 1–5      | Volatile           | 36 (56.3%)    | 28 (43.8%)               | 44 (20)       | 20 (31%)      | 54.94 (± 12.04) | 25.92 (± 3.19) |
| Ozdemir-Van Brunschot et al. (2018) | 2015–2016          | 34                 | 6          | TOF 1–2 vs PTC 1–5 | Volatile       | 22 (64.7%)    | 23 (35.3%)               | N/A           | N/A          | N/A (± 12.49)  | 25.54 (± 3.74) |
| Bruintjes et al. (2019) | RUMC               | 2016–2017          | 96         | “Single-dose” vs PTC 1–2 | TIVA       | 45 (46.9%)    | 51 (53.1%)               | 61 (64%)      | 35 (36%)      | 56.14 (± 9.90) | 26.46 (± 2.90) |
| Klop et al. (2014) | EMCR               | 2011–2012          | 20a        | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 5 (25.0%)     | 15 (75.0%)               | 14 (25%)      | 5 (25%)       | 49.72 (± 14.39) | 25.09 (± 3.19) |
| Dols et al. (2014) | EMCR               | 2008–2010          | 95b        | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 39 (41.1%)    | 56 (58.9%)               | 59 (35)       | 35 (37%)      | 51.59 (± 12.99) | 25.96 (± 4.13) |
| Dols et al. (2010) | EMCR               | 2006–2008          | 40         | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 24 (60.0%)    | 16 (40.0%)               | 29 (73%)      | 11 (28%)      | 53.41 (± 9.62) | 26.94 (± 3.78) |
| Minnee et al. (2008) | AUMC               | 2002–2006          | 105        | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 44 (41.9%)    | 61 (58.1%)               | 85 (20)       | 20 (19%)      | 47.73 (± 11.86) | 25.61 (± 3.57) |
| Kok et al. (2006a, b) | EMCR               | 2001–2004          | 49b        | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 25 (51.0%)    | 24 (49.0%)               | 39 (80%)      | 10 (20%)      | 49.40 (± 14.73) | 25.73 (± 3.57) |
| Kok et al. (2006a, b) | RUMC               | 2001–2004          | 50b        | “Single-dose” | TIVA       | 18 (52.9%)    | 16 (47.1%)               | N/A           | N/A          | 47.31 (± 13.23) | 26.13 (± 4.45) |

RUMC Radboud University Medical Centre, LUMC Leiden University Medical Centre, EMCR Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam, AUMC Amsterdam University Medical Centre, TIVA total intravenous anesthesia, IAP intra-abdominal pressure, NMB neuromuscular blockade, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists classification system, BMI body mass index
aNumber of patients who underwent laparoscopic donor nephrectomy (transperitoneal approach)
bAll studies used rocuronium as neuromuscular blocking agent

### Intra-operative complications

The primary outcome measure was the number of intra-operative complications with a severity score of two or higher, according to the validated Classification of Intra-operative Complications (ClassIntra) score.(Kaafarani & Velmaños, 2015; Rosenthal et al., 2015; Kinaci et al., 2016; Dell-Kuster et al., 2015; Dell-Kuster et al., 2020) This classification is a recently, well-validated classification system for intra-operative complications, featuring simple but inclusive definitions. The classification includes five severity grades depending on the need for treatment and degree of life-threat: with grade 1, a complication without symptoms and no need for treatment; grade 2, a complication with moderate symptoms and the need for additional treatment; grade 3, a complication with severe symptoms, potentially life-threatening, and the need for moderate additional treatment; grade 4, a complication with life-threatening symptoms and the need for major or urgent treatment; and grade 5, being fatal, leading to intra-operative death.(Dell-Kuster et al., 2020) In all studies, grade ≥ 2 intra-operative complications were recorded prospectively.

### Outcomes

Secondary outcome measures included operation time, estimated blood loss, 30-day postoperative complications, and length of hospital admission. Postoperative complications were recorded during the first thirty postoperative days and graded according to the Clavien-Dindo classification.(Dindo et al., 2004; Mitropoulos et al., 2018) The Clavien-Dindo scale varies from grade 1, meaning every deviation from the normal postoperative course without the need for treatment; grade 2, meaning any deviation with the need for pharmacological treatment; grade 3, deviations requiring surgical, endoscopic, or radiological intervention, divided into 3-
a, not under general anesthesia, and 3-b, under general anesthesia; grade 4, deviations leading to 4-a, single organ dysfunction, and 4-b, multi-organ dysfunction; to grade 5, meaning death of a patient.

**Statistical analysis**

Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed for the intra- and postoperative complications as the dependent variable. Independent variables included in the logistic regression models were intra-abdominal pressure, neuromuscular block, age, gender, BMI, and trial year. Continuous variables were expressed as mean (± standard deviation) and categorical data as number (percentage). All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics (version 24, Armonk NY).

**Results**

**Intra- and postoperative surgical complications**

Intra- and postoperative outcomes are presented per randomized group in Tables 2 and 4. Of the 556 living donors, fifty-three (9.5%) patients developed intra-operative complications graded ≥ 2 according to ClassIntra. Chi-square analysis showed p values < 0.05 between the groups with different intra-abdominal pressures (group A vs. C: p < 0.001, group B vs. D: p = 0.009) and between standard IAP with deep NMB compared to low IAP with moderate NMB (group B vs. group C: p < 0.001). No significance was present between standard IAP with moderate NMB compared to low IAP with deep NMB (group A vs. group D: p = 0.076) (Table 2).

Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed the use of standard IAP (OR 0.318, 95% CI 0.118–0.862; p = 0.024) as a predictor of less intra-operative complications and moderate NMB (OR 3.518, 95% CI 1.244–9.948; p = 0.018) as a significant predictor of more intra-operative complications. All graded ≥ 2 according to ClassIntra (Table 3).

Thirty-one (6.8%) postoperative complications with grade ≥ 2 according to the Clavien-Dindo classification were noted (Table 4). Chi-square analysis and multiple logistic regression analysis did not show a significant association between IAP or NMB as independent predictors of postoperative complications (Tables 3 and 4).

Logistic regression analysis did not show a significant association between intra-operative complications, graded ≥2 according to ClassIntra, and postoperative complications according to Clavien-Dindo classification graded ≥2 (OR 0.181, 95% CI 0.407–3.532; p = 0.742).

**Other outcomes**

Linear regression analysis on other outcomes is presented in Table 5. The estimated blood loss was significantly lower with standard IAP and higher with moderate NMB as well as within males (resp. β 0.240, p = 0.000; β −0.219, p = 0.000; and β −0.088, p = 0.034). With standard IAP, moderate NMB, and within males, operation time was significantly longer (resp. β −0.074, p

---

**Table 2** Intra-operative complications according to ClassIntra grade ≥2 and other intra-operative variables

| Intra-abdominal pressure | A (n = 401) | B (n = 78) | C (n = 29) | D (n = 48) |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Standard                  | Moderate   | Standard  | Low        | Low        |
| Neuromuscular blockade    |            | Deep      | Moderate   | Deep       |
|                          |            |           |            |            |
| Total number              | 32 (8.0%)a,dp | 2 (2.6%)ab,c,fp | 11 (37.9%)ab,cp | 8 (16.7%)ab,d,fp |
| Grade 2                   | 30 (7.5%)  | 2 (2.6%)  | 10 (34.5%) | 8 (16.7%)  |
| Grade 3                   | 2 (0.5%)   | 0 (0.0%)  | 0 (0.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   |
| Grade 4                   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)  | 1 (3.4%)   | 0 (0.0%)   |
| Type of complication      |            |           |            |            |
| Bleeding                  | 13 (40.6%) | 2 (100%)  | 10 (90.9%) | 7 (87.5%)  |
| Organ laceration          | 16 (50.0%) | 0 (0.0%)  | 1 (9.1%)   | 1 (12.5%)  |
| Bleeding and organ laceration | 2 (6.3%) | 0 (0.0%)  | 0 (0.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   |
| Otheri                    | 1 (3.1%)   | 0 (0.0%)  | 0 (0.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   |
| Operation time (min)      | 210.5 (± 73.7) | 130.4 (±41.8) | 155.2 (±39.9) | 119.7 (±33.2) |
| Estimated blood loss (ml) | 62.3 (±69.6) | 45.8 (±58.7) | 245.7 (±499.8) | 76.1 (±128.5) |
| Conversion to open procedure | 2 (3.4%) | 1 (1.3%)  | 4 (13.8%)  | 3 (6.3%)   |

Chi-square testing: a Av sB , p = 0.088; bCv sD , p = 0.109; bB vs C, p < 0.001; dA vs D, p = 0.076; aA vs C, p < 0.001; bB vs D, p = 0.009

Standard pressure 12–14 mmHg; low pressure 6–7 mmHg

Moderate blockade: single dose rocuronium or TOF count 1–2; deep blockade: PTC 1–2 or PTC 1–5

Re-laparoscopic procedure for lost gauze (1x)
Trial year was a significant predictor of operation time (β = -0.419; p = 0.000). The length of hospital admission was also significantly shorter in the trials conducted in more recent years (resp. β = -0.157; p = 0.007).

Discussion
This analysis shows an incidence of fifty-three (9.5%) intra-operative complications grade ≥2 according to ClassIntra(Dell-Kuster et al., 2020) in 556 patients undergoing laparoscopic donor nephrectomy. Deep NMB was a significant predictor for less intra-operative complications. Four of the listed studies investigated the relationship between the depth of NMB and/or IAP, clinical outcomes, and intra-operative complications in laparoscopic donor nephrectomy (LDN). One study indicates that the use of low pressure could lead to lower pain scores and a better recovery after LDN(Warlé et al., 2013), but this could not be confirmed by another trial.(Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2017) Moreover, it has been shown that the use of low pressure with moderate NMB may compromise safety,(Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2018) where two studies indicate that the use of a deep NMB improves intra-operative safety during low and standard pressure LDN.(Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2018; Bruintjes et al., 2019) An earlier meta-analysis(Bruintjes et al., 2017) showed the surgical working field was significantly improved during laparoscopic

Table 3 Multiple logistic regression model with intra-operative complications (ClassIntra grade ≥2) and postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo grade ≥2)

| Dependent | Predictors     | B     | OR (CI)          | p     |
|-----------|----------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Intra-operative complications (ClassIntra grade ≥ 2) | IAP (standard) | -1.145 | 0.318 (0.118–0.862) | 0.024 |
|           | NMB (moderate) | 1.258 | 3.518 (1.244–9.948) | 0.018 |
|           | Gender (male)  | 0.380 | 1.462 (0.759–2.816) | 0.256 |
|           | Age            | 0.024 | 1.024 (0.997–1.052) | 0.085 |
|           | BMI            | -0.002 | 0.998 (0.912–1.092) | 0.963 |
|           | Trial year     | 0.217 | 1.242 (0.602–2.562) | 0.558 |
| Postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo grade ≥ 2) | IAP (standard) | 0.493 | 1.638 (0.513–5.234) | 0.405 |
|           | NMB (moderate) | -0.446 | 0.640 (0.274–1.498) | 0.304 |
|           | Gender (male)  | 0.198 | 1.219 (0.606–2.450) | 0.579 |
|           | Age            | 0.005 | 1.005 (0.976–1.035) | 0.747 |
|           | BMI            | -0.037 | 0.964 (0.870–1.068) | 0.484 |
|           | Trial year     | 0.684 | 1.982 (0.828–4.744) | 0.124 |

Chi square testing: *A vs B, p = 0.097; *B vs D, p = 0.699; *C vs D, p = 0.917; *D vs C, p = 0.522; *A vs D, p = 0.887; *A vs C, p = 0.806; *B vs D, p = 0.369

Table 4 Postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo grade ≥ 2) and hospital admission

|                      | A                      | B                      | C                      | D                      |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                      | Standard               | Standard               | Low                    | Low                    |
|                      | Moderate (n = 298)     | Moderate (n = 78)      | Low Moderate (n = 29)  | Low Deep (n = 48)     |
| Postoperative...    |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Type of complication |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Infection           | 8 (47.1%)              | 7 (77.8%)              | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               |
| Bleeding            | 3 (17.6%)              | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               |
| Ileus/gastroparesis | 2 (11.8%)              | 1 (11.1%)              | 0 (0.0%)               | 1 (33.3%)              |
| Other               | 4 (23.5%)              | 1 (11.1%)              | 2 (100%)               | 2 (66.7%)              |
| Length of hospital admission (days) | 4.0 (±0.9)  | 3.7 (±1.0)  | 4.7 (±1.3)  | 3.9 (±1.3)  |

Chi square testing: *A vs B, p = 0.097; *C vs D, p = 0.699; *B vs C, p = 0.522; *A vs D, p = 0.887; *A vs C, p = 0.806; *B vs D, p = 0.369

*Standard pressure 12–14 mmHg; low pressure 6–7 mmHg

*Moderate blockade: single dose rocuronium or TOF count 1–2; deep blockade: PTC 1–2 or PTC 1–5

*Hypertension (3x), atrial fibrillation/supraventricular tachycardia (2x), pneumothorax (1x), meatus stenosis (1x), respiratory insufficiency, no diagnosis reported (1x), subcutaneous emphysema (1x)
surgery is in line with existing literature (Torensma et al., 2016; Bruintjes et al., 2017; Özdemir-van Brunschot et al., 2018; Martini et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Koo et al., 2016; Yoo et al., 2015; Dubois et al., 2014; Staehr-Rye et al., 2014). Additionally, a recent randomized controlled trial in patients undergoing gastric bypass surgery showed that poor surgical conditions were associated with a higher incidence of intra-operative surgical complications (61.5% in the moderate block versus 15.3% in the deep NMB block; \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fuchs-Buder et al., 2019). These findings support the beneficial influence of a deep NMB on the risk of intra-operative complications. Maintaining a deep NMB until the end of surgery challenges the anesthetic team, since adequate neuromuscular monitoring is required, which can be challenging if the arms cannot be positioned in abduction. Moreover, additional training may be required for adequate continuous perfusion of a neuromuscular blocking agent, dose adjustments and the use of antagonizing agents (i.e. sugammadex) to prevent residual NMB. Preventing residual NMB decreases the risk of postoperative pulmonary complications (Cammu, 2020). Furthermore, the costs related to the use of antagonizing agents can be a hurdle in routine practice. Given these challenges, a higher level of evidence is warranted, necessitating a prospective randomized clinical trial to confirm the hypothesis that the use of deep NMB reduces intra-operative complications, thereby improving patient safety.

Although consensus guidelines from the Dutch and European Societies of Endoscopic Surgery (Neudecker et al., 2002; la Chapelle et al., 2012) state that the lowest possible IAP with an adequate surgical field should be used, a vast majority of laparoscopic surgeons use a routine insufflation pressure of \( \geq 12 \text{ mmHg} \). Probably, the main reason for this is that the use of low IAP (<10 mmHg) may hamper the quality of the surgical field. Our data confirm that low IAP was not associated with an increased risk of intra-operative complications. This indicates that the stepwise increase of IAP in case of inadequate surgical conditions is a safe approach to apply low IAP if possible, during LDN. Therefore, our data support the above-mentioned guidelines regarding the use of a low IAP.

In this study, we found no relationship between intra- and postoperative complications, which is not fully in line with findings in earlier published trials. Bohnen et al. (Bohnen et al., 2017) showed, among patients undergoing abdominal surgery, that intra-operative complications were independently associated with an approximately 3-fold increase in 30-day postoperative complications and increased length of hospital admission (Bohnen et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2012; Boon et al., 2018). A recent large validation study of the IntraClass by Dell-Kuster et al. among 2520 patients undergoing any type of surgery clearly demonstrated a strong correlation between intra- and postoperative complications (Dell-Kuster et al., 2020), especially more severe intra-operative complications graded 3 and 4.

| Table 5 Multiple linear regression on other outcomes |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dependent       | Predictors      | B               | Beta coefficient (CI) | \( p \)  |
| Estimated blood loss | IAP (standard)  | 87.499          | 0.240 (54.694–120.304) | 0.000  |
|                 | NMB (moderate)  | −66.039         | −0.219 (−94.590 to −37.488) | 0.000  |
|                 | Gender (male)   | −22.375         | −0.088 (−43.047 to −1.703) | 0.034  |
|                  | Age             | 0.338           | 0.032 (−0.523–1.198) | 0.441  |
|                  | BMI             | −0.232          | −0.007 (−3.088–2.625) | 0.874  |
|                  | Trial year      | 3.505           | 0.014 (−20.464–27.474) | 0.774  |
| Operation time   | IAP (standard)  | −16.042         | −0.074 (−32.045 to −0.039) | 0.049  |
|                 | NMB (moderate)  | −42.115         | −0.236 (−56.042 to −28.188) | 0.000  |
|                 | Gender (male)   | −15.932         | −0.106 (−26.016 to −5.848) | 0.002  |
|                  | Age             | 0.045           | 0.007 (−0.375–0.465) | 0.834  |
|                  | BMI             | 0.577           | 0.026 (−0.816–1.971) | 0.416  |
|                  | Trial year      | −63.773         | −0.419 (−75.465 to −52.081) | 0.000  |
| Length of hospital admission | IAP (standard)  | −0.052          | −0.021 (−0.485–0.381) | 0.812  |
|                 | NMB (moderate)  | −0.280          | −0.128 (−0.610–0.050) | 0.096  |
|                 | Gender (male)   | 0.070           | 0.033 (−0.240–0.379) | 0.658  |
|                  | Age             | 0.004           | 0.041 (−0.010–0.019) | 0.084  |
|                  | BMI             | −0.015          | −0.044 (−0.066–0.035) | 0.549  |
|                  | Trial year      | −0.157          | −0.237 (−0.270 to −0.043) | 0.007  |
with postoperative complications. In the studies included for this pooled analysis, only grade 2 intra-operative complications were observed. This may very well explain why we could not find this relationship between intra- and postoperative complications. With cumulating evidence indicating an association between intra-operative complications and 30-day postoperative mortality, post-operative morbidity, and length of hospital admission,(Kinaci et al., 2016; Dell-Kuster et al., 2020; Bohnen et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2012; Boon et al., 2018) a prospective, randomized trial is required to establish a possible relationship between the use of deep NMB and a lower incidence of postoperative complications after laparoscopic surgery.

A strength of this study is the inclusion of relatively healthy individuals undergoing a highly standardized surgical procedure, performed in academic teaching hospitals throughout the Netherlands. This contributed to a relatively high internal validity of the trials used for the pooled analysis. All ClassIntra grade ≥2 intra-operative complications were prospectively recorded by a double-blinded observer which reduces the risk of observer bias. Moreover, our pooled analysis of individual patient data allowed us to perform multiple variable regression analyses to identify independent predictors of intra-operative complications.

The retrospective nature of the pooled, individual patient, data analysis is a limitation of this study. These trials were not powered or designed to study the effect of NMB or IAP on the risk of intra-operative complications. Therefore, a certain degree of confounding bias cannot be ruled out. Another limitation of this study is the intra-operative complications were graded retrospectively by three blinded researchers (EH, GRB, and MW) according to the ClassIntra classification for intra-operative complications. This classification was proposed by Dell-Kuster et al.(Dell-Kuster et al., 2020) and was developed to grade all patient-related intra-operative complications including all deviations from the ideal intra-operative course.(Dell-Kuster et al., 2015; Dell-Kuster et al., 2020) An underreporting of small complications with intervention is understandable, and minor deviations from the ideal course without the need for an additional intervention (ClassIntra grade 1) were not actively recorded. The low event rate is another limitation of this study which leads to the need for large randomized clinical trials with a higher event rate to confirm our findings. Moreover, the included studies vary from 2001 to 2017. The learning curve not only of individual surgeons, but also of the whole team (or center) could have been a potential source of bias.

In conclusion, our data indicate that the use of a deep NMB increases safety during laparoscopic donor nephrectomy, when compared with moderate NMB. The use of low IAP with a stepwise increase in case of inadequate surgical conditions was not associated with an increased risk of intra-operative complications and may therefore be a safe strategy for using lower insufflation pressures. The EURO-relax trial(GHM et al., 2021) will reveal if the routine use of deep NMB throughout laparoscopic surgery decreases intra- and postoperative complications and thereby improves patient safety.
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