Identification and Map-Based Cloning of the Light-Induced Lesion Mimic Mutant 1 (LIL1) Gene in Rice
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The hypersensitive response (HR) is a mechanism by which plants prevent the spread of pathogens. Despite extensive study, the molecular mechanisms underlying HR remain poorly understood. Lesion mimic mutants (LMMs), such as LIL1 that was identified in an ethylmethane sulfonate mutagenized population of Indica rice (Oryza sativa L. ssp. Indica) 93-11, can be used to study the HR. Under natural field conditions, the leaves of LIL1 mutant plants exhibited light-induced, small, rust-red lesions that first appeared at the leaf tips and subsequently expanded throughout the entire leaf blade to the leaf sheath. Histochemical staining indicated that LIL1 lesions displayed an abnormal accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and resulted from programmed cell death (PCD). The LIL1 mutants also displayed increased expression of defense-related genes and enhanced resistance to rice blast fungus (Magnaporthe grisea). Genetic analysis showed that mutation of LIL1 created a semi-dominant allele. Using 1,758 individuals in the F₂ population, LIL1 was mapped in a 222.3 kb region on the long arm of chromosome 7. That contains 12 predicted open reading frames (ORFs). Sequence analysis of these 12 candidate genes revealed a G to A base substitution in the fourth exon of LOC_Os07g30510, a putative cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase (CRK), which led to an amino acid change (Val 429 to Ile) in the LIL1 protein. Comparison of the transcript accumulation of the 12 candidate genes between LIL1 and 93-11 revealed that LOC_Os07g30510 was up-regulated significantly in LIL1. Overexpression of the LOC_Os07g30510 gene from LIL1 induced a LIL1-like lesion phenotype in Nipponbare. Thus, LIL1 is a novel LMM in rice that will facilitate the further study of the molecular mechanisms of HR and the rice blast resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants combat pathogens with a sophisticated immune system consisting of two branches. The first uses transmembrane pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to recognize conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and activate PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). The second uses nucleotide binding site-leucine rich repeat (NBS-LRR) proteins to recognize effectors produced by the pathogens and activate effector triggered immunity (ETI). PTI and ETI elicit
similar defense responses with ETI more frequently accompanied by a hypersensitive response (HR) (Jones and Dangl, 2006). A defining feature of strong, local defenses associated with the HR is programmed cell death (PCD) of host plant cells. Other events correlated with the HR include ion fluxes, active oxygen bursts, defense-related gene expression, accumulation of antimicrobial compounds and cell wall fortifications (Yin et al., 2000). The HR also activates a whole plant, long-lasting, broad-spectrum resistance to subsequent pathogens infection, referred to as systemic acquired immunity (SAR) (Hunt et al., 1996).

The HR is considered one of the most important reactions of plant resistance to pathogens (Tomiyama, 1963). However, the molecular mechanism regulating the HR remain mysterious. An efficient way to study the molecular mechanisms of HR and disease resistance is to use lesion mimic mutants (LMMs), which exhibit spontaneous, disease-like lesions without pathogen attack. These lesions, which can resemble either disease symptoms or pathogen-inducible HR, frequently are associated with enhanced plant disease resistance to a wide range of pathogens (Lorrain et al., 2003). Numerous LMMs have been identified in many plants, including Arabidopsis (Dietrich et al., 1994; Bowling et al., 1997; Mou et al., 2000), maize (Walbot, 1991; Johal et al., 1995), wheat (Yao et al., 2009; Du et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016), barley (Wolter et al., 1993; Rostoks et al., 2006) and rice (Takahashi et al., 1999; Yin et al., 2000; Mizobuchi et al., 2002; Campbell and Donald, 2005; Mori et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2008; Qiao et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Fekih et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016). The notion that complex and diverse pathways underlie the phenotype of LMMs is supported by the mutated genes falling into many different functional groups, including membrane-associated proteins (Büschges et al., 1997; Lorrain et al., 2004; Noutoshi et al., 2006), ion channel proteins (Balague et al., 2003; Rostoks et al., 2006), an U-Box/Armadillo repeat protein (Zeng et al., 2004), a splicing factor 3b subunit 3 (Chen et al., 2012), a zinc-finger protein (Dietrich et al., 1997), a heat stress transcription factor (Yamanouchi et al., 2002), a clathrin-associated adaptor protein (Qiao et al., 2010) and proteins involved in the biosynthesis and metabolic pathways of phenolic compounds (Gray et al., 1997), such as porphyrin (Hu et al., 1998; Ishikawa et al., 2001) and fatty acids or lipids (Kachroo et al., 2001; Brodersen et al., 2002). Although the phenotypes of some LMMs result from disorder in pathways unrelated to defense responses (Hu et al., 1998; Yamanouchi et al., 2002), extensive studies of other LMMs and their genes has shed light on complex and diverse pathways regulating both the initiation and containment of HR-associated cell death in plants (Lorrain et al., 2003).

In this study, we cloned the gene for which mutation and over-expression in the light-induced lesion mimic mutant 1 (LIL1) caused necrotic spots around 3-leaf stage and significantly reduced plant height. Diaminobenzidine (DAB) and trypsin blue staining analysis revealed that ROS accumulation and death of plant cells, respectively, accompanied the lesions in the LIL1 mutant. Meanwhile, we also found that LIL1 plants had elevated expression of defense-related genes and increased resistance to Magnaporthe grisea. Genetic analysis of LIL1 indicated that the lesion phenotype is controlled by a semi-dominant gene located in a 222.3 kb interval of chromosome 7 that contains 12 predicted open reading frames (ORFs). Sequencing of these ORFs identified a missense mutation from G to A in the fourth exon of a predicted CRK, LOC_Os07g30510, that converts Val 429 to Ile within the serine/threonine protein kinase catalytic (STKc) domain. The expression levels of the 12 ORFs within the cloning interval were also compared between LIL1 and 93-11 and LOC_Os07g30510 was up-regulated significantly in LIL1. Notably, over-expression of the LOC_Os07g30510 gene caused Nipponbare to exhibit a lesion mimic phenotype similar to LIL1. Our identification of a novel LMM in a CRK gene, LIL1, in rice will facilitate further study of the molecular mechanisms of HR and rice blast resistance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

The LIL1 mutant was derived from an M1 population of the indica rice cultivar 93-11 after treatment with 0.1% EMS. The leaf lesion phenotype was genetically stable for more than four generations under greenhouse and field conditions. Therefore, the F1 hybrid and F2 or BC1 progenies derived from crossing LIL1 with TeQing or Nipponbare were used for genetic analysis and molecular mapping. All the plants were grown at the Hunan Agricultural University in Changsha, or at Lingshui, Hainan, China.

To determine the occurrence of the lesion phenotype under aseptic conditions, the seeds of wild-type (WT) 93-11 and LIL1 were surface sterilized for 30 min in 20% bleach, rinsed three times in sterile water, and germinated in autoclaved MS medium in a 1000 mL glass cylinder at 25°C and with 14 h light/10 h dark.

**Trypan Blue and DAB Staining**

Trypan blue staining is a histochemical method to detect cell death or irreversible membrane damage. Leaves of LIL1 were harvested for trypan blue staining when visible lesions were apparent. Trypan blue staining was performed as previously described (Bowling et al., 1997).

3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining was used to detect H2O2 accumulation in the leaves as previously described (Wang et al., 2007). Leaves of LIL1 were collected for the DAB staining prior to the appearance of macroscopic lesions.

**Evaluation of Rice Blast Resistance**

The LIL1 mutants were evaluated for blast resistance following manual inoculation in a growth chamber. The seedlings of LIL1 and 93-11 were grown in plastic trays filled with fertile soil in a greenhouse at 27–30°C. A 5 µL droplet of a spore suspension of M. grisea (∼2.0 × 10⁵ conidia/mL) was placed onto the leaf blades of 4 week old seedlings followed by incubation in a growth chamber for 24 h in the dark at 26–27°C. Following inoculation, the leaf blades were misted with water after every 6-7 h for 4–5 days to maintain moisture and facilitate infection. The disease symptoms were observed after 7 days after inoculation.
RT-qPCR and Semi-quantitative RT-PCR Analysis
Total RNA was extracted with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Biotechnology Co., Shanghai, China) according to the protocol. After DNaseI treatment, 1 µg of RNA was added to a 20 µL reaction system to synthesize first-strand cDNA using the Reverse Transcription System (Invitrogen Biotechnology Co., Shanghai, China) according to the instructions. Relative quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was as follows: 10 µL (2000) and McCouch et al. (2002) and synthesized by Invitrogen SSR) primer sequences were adopted from Temnykh et al. McCouch et al. (1988). Microsatellite (simple sequence repeats, 2 of the F1 Total rice genomic DNA from both parents and each individual LIL1 within each biological replicate. Semi-Quantitative RT-PCR on candidate genes within the LIL1 mapping interval and on Nipponbare lines over-expressing LOC_Os07g30510 from LIL1 were performed in 25 µL reactions using 1.0 µL of 1:10 diluted cDNA as template with 3 technical replicates within each biological replicate. In each case, the rice actin gene was used as the internal control. All primers for RT-PCR are list in Supplementary Table S1.

Genetic Analysis of LIL1
In November 2012, F1 hybrids, BC1 or F2 progenies derived from the reciprocal crosses between 93-11 and LIL1, TeQing and LIL1, Nipponbare and LIL1 were planted in Lingshui, Hainan Province. Segregation ratios of the leaf lesions were examined in the F2 and BC1 progenies at the booting stage.

DNA Extraction and SSR Analysis
Total rice genomic DNA from both parents and each individual of the F2 was extracted from mature leaves as described by McCouch et al. (1988). Microsatellite (simple sequence repeats, SSR) primer sequences were adopted from Temnykh et al. (2000) and McCouch et al. (2002) and synthesized by Invitrogen Biotechnology Co., Shanghai, China. The basic SSR procedure was as follows: 10× reaction buffer 2.0 µL, 2.0 mM of each dNTP, 2.0 U of Taq polymerase, 50 ng of template DNA, 30 ng of each primer, and distilled water in a 20 µL reaction that was overlaid with a drop of mineral oil. The amplification reactions were performed as follows: 95°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s; and 72°C for 10 min. All PCR reactions were performed in an MJ PTC-100 thermocycler (Waltham, MA, United States). The amplification products were observed in a 8% polyacrylamide gels or 5% agarose gels stained with silver or ethidium bromide, respectively.

Development of New Markers
Simple sequence repeats sequences in BACs near the target gene were identified using SSRHunter (Ji and Wan, 2005). CAPS markers were obtained according to the rice DNA polymorphic database between Nipponbare (O. sativa ssp. japonica) and 93-11 (O. sativa ssp. indica) (Shen et al., 2004). Primer Premier 5.0 was used to design all of the primers. Those markers that exhibited polymorphisms between TeQing and LIL1 were applied in the F2 mapping population.

Data Analysis and Linkage Mapping
The molecular data were analyzed by MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0b (Lander et al., 1987). The band types identical with that of TeQing, LIL1, and F1 were recorded as 1, 2, and 3, respectively, MAPDRAW2.1 (Liu and Meng, 2003) was used to a construct the linkage map.

Gene Annotation and Sequence Analysis
The candidate region was annotated by the Rice Genome Annotation Project1 in japonica rice Nipponbare. The candidate genes were sequenced by the Shanghai Invitrogen Biotechnology Co., China. PCR reactions were performed using KOD-Plus-Neo Taq polymerase (TOYOBO life science, Japan) and amplified fragments were separated on a 1.0% agarose gels. ContigExpress was used to assemble sequences and DNAMAN 5.2.2 was used to align the sequences.2

Mutant Validation
To confirm the role of LOC_Os07g30510 in the mutant phenotype of LIL1, we cloned the coding sequence of LOC_Os07g30510 from LIL1 by PCR amplification with gene-specific primers (5′-CGGAATTCAT GCCCATTTTGACCGTTCTG-3′ and 5′-CTGTCTAGATTACC TGCGGTTCACAAATTTGTT-3′). Underlining indicates EcoRI and XbaI sites in the forward and reverse primers, respectively, LOC_Os07g30510 from LIL1 was cloned into the EcoRI and XbaI sites downstream from the rice Actin1 promoter in the binary vector pCAMBIA2300 and transformed into Nipponbare by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation. Primers for identifying the transgenic plants were 5′-GAATTCCTCAGCTTGTTG-3′ and 5′-TCAGAG GGTGACGAAGT-3′, with annealing sites on the rice Actin1 promoter and LOC_Os07g30510, respectively.

RESULT
Phenotypes of LIL1 Mutant
Compared with the WT, the plant height of the LIL1 mutant was reduced significantly (Figures 1A,B). Lesions of the LIL1 mutant first appeared on leaf tips at approximately the 3-leaf stage and then expanded to the whole leaf surface and eventually the leaf sheath. The lesions were small and rust red in color. The older leaves with many lesions turned yellow and showed early senescence. Ultimately, the whole LIL1 plants dried up earlier than the WT plants (Figure 1A). The lesion formation was controlled by a semi-dominant gene (Figure 1C), which decreased remarkably when light was intercepted by covering the leaf with aluminum foil (Figure 1D). To determine whether lesion formation in LIL1 required an exogenous biotic trigger, we grew LIL1 under sterile conditions. The initiation of lesion in these mutants was the same as plants grown in greenhouse conditions (data not shown). The result suggests that the lesion formation in LIL1 mutant is not caused by other biotic agents.

1http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/
2http://www.lynnon.com
To determine if H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation is associated with the onset of lesions, we conducted DAB staining on leaf tips from 2 weeks old plants that did not yet show any visible lesions. Brownish spots appeared on the pre-symptomatic leaves of $LIL1$ indicating that there is a burst of active oxygen species that precedes the onset of visible lesions (Figure 1E). To determine if the lesions on $LIL1$ are associated with plant cell death, we conducted trypan blue staining on lesioned leaves from 3.5 weeks old plants. The appearance of deep blue staining at the lesion sites in $LIL1$ mutants indicates the occurrence of extensive cell death (Figure 1F).

Enhanced Resistance of $LIL1$ Mutant to Rice Blast Pathogens

Many LMMs exhibit enhanced resistance to pathogens. To determine whether the mutation of $LIL1$ led to enhanced resistance to pathogens, we inoculated 4-week-old $LIL1$ and 93-11 plants with $M$. grisea isolates RB2, RB17, RB13 and ZB25. While 93-11 and $LIL1$ did not show a difference in resistance to $M$. grisea isolates RB2, RB17 or RB13 (data not shown), there was a significant difference in resistance to $M$. grisea isolate ZB25. The rice blast symptoms caused by ZB25 on leaves of $LIL1$ were almost invisible in stark contrast to the strong symptoms apparent on 93-11 (Figures 1G,H). Thus, $LIL1$ plants display significantly increased resistance against $M$. grisea.

In plants with enhanced disease resistance, defense genes frequently are expressed constitutively at elevated levels. To determine whether this is the case in $LIL1$, we analyzed the expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes and peroxidase genes in the flag leaf of $LIL1$ plants at the filling stage using quantitative RT-PCR. Consistent with the enhanced disease resistance of $LIL1$, the transcripts of the PR genes, PR1 and PR10, and the peroxidase genes, POC-1 and POX22.3, were significantly increased in $LIL1$ compared to 93-11 (Figure 2).

Genetic Analysis

The $LIL1$ mutant was inter-crossed with two indica rice cultivars (TeQing, 93-11) and a japonica cultivar (Nipponbare). The F$_1$ hybrid, BC$_1$ and F$_2$ populations were obtained from the reciprocal crosses between 93-11 and $LIL1$, TeQing and $LIL1$, Nipponbare and $LIL1$. All of the F$_1$ hybrids exhibited lesions on the leaves but, consistent with the semi-dominant nature of the mutation, lesioning in the F$_1$ hybrids was significantly less severe than in $LIL1$ homozygotes (data not shown). The segregation ratios in the F$_2$ and BC$_1$ were fitted to 3:1 and 1:1 (Table 1). These results indicated that the lesion mimic phenotype was
 Defense-related gene expression in the LIL1 mutant. Transcript abundance was determined via RT-qPCR. The error bars represent the standard deviation between three biological replicates. Mean fold-changes in the transcript abundance were calculated using the \( \Delta \Delta Ct \) method between biological replicates \( \pm \) standard deviation. Significance was determined at \( **P < 0.0001 \) with a student’s \( t \)-test.

### TABLE 1 | Segregation of the lesion trait in the progenies of LIL1.

| Cross                  | \( F_1 \)             | \( F_2 (3:1) \)         | \( BC_1 (1:1) \)       |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                        | Lesion     | Normal    | Lesion     | Normal    | \( \chi^2 \) | \( P \)       |
| 93-11 \( \times \) LIL1 | 11         | 0         | 147        | 51        | 0.05       | 0.75–0.90    |
| TeQing \( \times \) LIL1 | 34         | 0         | 1307       | 451       | 0.38       | 0.50–0.75    |
| Nipponbare \( \times \) LIL1 | 37         | 0         | 82         | 94        | 0.82       | 0.25–0.50    |

controlled by a semi-dominant gene and not affected by the cytoplasm.

A mapping population consisting of 67 dominant individuals and 45 SSR markers distributed on different chromosomes were used to analysis the linkage relation between markers and the LIL1 locus. From the TeQing \( \times \) LIL1 cross, the “TeQing” homozygote, heterozygote, and “LIL1” homozygote segregation ratios of markers on chromosome 7 (RM1243, RM5481, and OSR22) were 4:37:26, 1:38:28, and 2:36:29, respectively, indicating that the LIL1 locus is located on the rice chromosome 7.

Based on these results, we used additional markers on chromosome 7 to more finely map the LIL1 locus. First, using 198 individuals from the \( F_2 \) population and seven pairs of polymorphic SSR markers detected from 89 pairs of SSR markers published on a website\(^3\), the LIL1 gene was mapped between RM5793 and RM3186 (Figure 3A). And then, two SSR markers and two CAPS markers developed by the laboratory (Supplementary Table S2) and more 1,758 individuals from the \( F_2 \) population were used for mapping. Finally, the LIL1 gene was mapped in a 222.3 kb region between CAPS H and RM7-3 on the long arm of chromosome 7 (Figure 3B).

### Candidate Gene for LIL1

The Rice Genome Annotation Project\(^4\) predicts 12 ORFs within the LIL1 mapping interval (Table 2 and Figure 3C). Sequencing these ORFs revealed that a base G was substituted with A at 2360-nt into the genomic sequence for LOC_Os07g30510, whereas no base changes occurred in the other genes. LOC_Os07g30510 is predicted to encode a 687 amino acid CRK protein consisting of a signal-peptide domain, two domains of unknown function 26 (DUF26), a transmembrane domain, and a STKc domain,

---

\(^3\)www.gramene.org

\(^4\)http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu
Map-Based Cloning of \textit{LIL1}

**FIGURE 3** | Fine mapping of the \textit{LIL1} gene. (A) Primary mapping of the interval between the RM5793 and RM3186 markers on chromosome 7 from \textit{LIL1}; (B) Fine mapping of the interval between CAPs H and RM7-3 markers from \textit{LIL1}; (C) Putative ORFs predicted in the interval between CAPs H and RM7-3. Green boxes indicate predicted proteins, black boxes indicate transposon or retrotransposon proteins, yellow boxes indicate expressed proteins and red boxes indicate hypothetical proteins; (D) Structure of the candidate gene for \textit{LIL1}. Boxes indicate exons and lines indicate introns.

**TABLE 2** | Predicted genes in the mapped region (222.3 kb).

| Gene name            | Predicted function                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LOC_Os07g30330       | Cytokinin-O-glucosyltransferase 2, putative, expressed                              |
| LOC_Os07g30369       | Indole-3-acetate beta-glucosyltransferase, putative, expressed                     |
| LOC_Os07g30410       | DUF26 containing cysteine-rich receptor kinase, putative, expressed                |
| LOC_Os07g30450       | Expressed protein                                                                   |
| LOC_Os07g30469       | Indole-3-acetate beta-glucosyltransferase, putative, expressed                     |
| LOC_Os07g30510       | DUF26 containing cysteine-rich receptor kinase, putative, expressed                |
| LOC_Os07g30540       | Variant latency associated nuclear antigen, putative, expressed                    |
| LOC_Os07g30590       | Protease inhibitor, putative, expressed                                            |
| LOC_Os07g30600       | Male sterility protein, putative, expressed                                        |
| LOC_Os07g30610       | Cytokinin-O-glucosyltransferase 2, putative, expressed                              |
| LOC_Os07g30620       | Cytokinin-O-glucosyltransferase 2, putative, expressed                              |
| LOC_Os07g30630       | Cytokinin-O-glucosyltransferase 2, putative, expressed                              |

latter of which contains the mutated amino acid (Val 429/Ile) in \textit{LIL1} (Figure 4). To test whether the mutation is induced by ethylmethane sulfonate, 10 individuals of \textit{LIL1}, 93-11 and 10 other varieties, including five cultivated rice and five wild rice, were sequenced at this site. All \textit{LIL1} individuals have an A and all others have a G at nt 2630 of LOC_Os07g30510 (Supplementary Figure S1). The expression levels of 12 ORFs of \textit{LIL1} and 93-11 were compared and significant differences were not observed for genes other than LOC_Os07g30510 (data not shown). However, the expression level of LOC_Os07g30510 was up-regulated significantly in \textit{LIL1} (Supplementary Figure S2). These results focused our attention on LOC_Os07g30510 as the candidate gene for \textit{LIL1}.

**Mutant Validation**

We sought to confirm that LOC_Os07g30510 is the \textit{LIL1} mutant. Given that overexpression of LOC_Os07g30510 in the mutant may account for the phenotype, we surmised that a traditional complementation experiment, in which the WT gene is added to the mutant, would unlikely be informative. Instead, we asked if overexpression of the mutant allele of LOC_Os07g30510 from \textit{LIL1} was sufficient to cause the lesion mimic phenotype in an otherwise WT background. The full-length cDNA sequence of LOC_Os07g30510 from \textit{LIL1} was inserted into vector pCAMBIA2300 under control of the rice Actin1 promoter and was transformed into Nipponbare by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Of 32 regenerated \textit{T0} plants, 21 were positive transformants and each of those 21 exhibited the mutant phenotype (e.g., three transgenic lines shown in Figure 5). The transgenic Nipponbare lines exhibited the mutant phenotype later than \textit{LIL1}, with lesions first observed at the booting stage compared to the three-leaf stage in \textit{LIL1}. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR indicated that the tested transgenic lines over-expressed LOC_Os07g30510 relative to Nipponbare, where expression was not detected (Figure 5). Expression of
LOC_Os07g30510 in the transgenic lines was slightly less than in the LIL1 mutant, possibly accounting for the delayed onset of lesions in the transgenics. Therefore, we confirmed that overexpression of the mutant form of LOC_Os07g30510 from LIL1 is sufficient to cause the lesion mimic phenotype of LIL1.

**DISCUSSION**

Plants have developed complicated signaling pathways and defense mechanisms to protect themselves against invasion of pathogens. The HR is an output of many of these pathways and a component of effective defense against biotrophic and hemi-biotrophic pathogens. However, the molecular mechanisms regulating the HR remain largely unknown (Hunt et al., 1996). LMMs, which include a broad group of phenotypes displaying spontaneous cell death in the absence of biotic challenge, are interesting genetic materials for dissecting the pathways of HR and disease resistance. Lesion appearance in these mutants differs in timing, induction conditions, extent of lesion spreading, color and size (Yin et al., 2000). So far, dozens of genes that control the lesion mimic phenotype have been cloned, and the functions of these genes fall into various groups (Dietrich et al., 1997; Yamanouchi et al., 2002; Balague et al., 2003; Zeng et al., 2004; Qiao et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Fekih et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). These results indicate that lesion mimic phenotypes are regulated by different biological processes, thus hinting at the complexity of molecular mechanisms and signaling networks involved in HR and disease resistance. (Lorrain et al., 2003).

Spontaneous lesion formation in the LIL1 mutant correlates with the expression of disease resistance response genes. The induction of PR genes is strongly correlated with the initiation of systemic acquired immunity in both dicot and monocot LMMs (Dietrich et al., 1994; Morris et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999). The PR1 gene is induced in the rice blast resistance reaction (Schweizer et al., 1998). The PR10 gene, which encodes an intracellular protein, is induced by the chemical probenazole (3-allyloxy-1,2-benzisothiazole-1,1-dioxide) that also induces host resistance against rice blast (Midoh and Iwata, 1996). Indeed, the PR1 and PR10 were highly expressed in the LIL1 mutant relative to WT plants (Figure 2). Consistent with the enhanced expression of PR1 and PR10, the LIL1 mutant did display enhanced resistance to one of four tested isolates of M. grisea. The lack of phenotypic difference between LIL1 and 93-11 with the other three isolates may result from the strong disease
resistance of 93-11 against those isolates. The generation of active oxygen species is an important step during plant-pathogen interactions (Baker and Orlandi, 1995; Low and Merida, 1995). Peroxidases (EC1.11.1.7, H$_2$O$_2$ oxidoreductase) catalyze the oxidation of various inorganic and organic substrates at the expense of H$_2$O$_2$, which is both a signal molecule and executor of many plant environmental and developmental responses (Gaspar et al., 1982). Chittoor et al. (1997) reported the induction of rice peroxidases POX22.3 and POC1 is correlated with the resistant interactions between rice and the bacterial blight strain Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. The POX 22.3 and POC1 genes were highly activated in LIL1 relative to WT plants (Figure 2), although the mutant and WT plants did not differ in their resistance to X. oryzae in our tests (data not shown).

So far, at least 37 of the 49 rice LMMs have been reported to enhance resistance to at least one pathogen (Huang et al., 2011). However, the details of the underlying mechanism of HR-like lesion mimic formation and the resulting specificity of disease resistance remains poorly understood. In the present study, we cloned a rice LMM, LIL1, which exhibited spontaneous cell death without pathogen attack. Genetic analysis and molecular mapping indicated that LIL1 was controlled by a semi-dominant gene located on the long arm of chromosome 7. To our knowledge, this is the first rice LMM semi-dominant gene mapped on chromosome 7. Therefore, the LIL1 mutant is a novel LMM of rice. Moreover, most of the LMMs are controlled by recessive genes (Yin et al., 2000; Yamanouchi et al., 2002; Qiao et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2015), only a few mutations are regulated by dominant genes (Mori et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2016). In the homozygous LIL1 mutant, the lesions were much more abundant than those in the heterozygous, indicating that the lesion mimic phenotype of LIL1 was controlled by a semi-dominant gene. The LIL1 mutant was mapped to a 222.3 kb region containing 12 putative ORFs on the long arm of chromosome 7. Sequencing these 12 ORFs revealed one base substitution mutation (G/A) (Figure 3D) leading to an amino acid change (Val 429/Ile) in the ORF of LOC_Os07g30510. The mutation was observed in 10 more LIL1 individuals and not observed in 10 individuals of 93-11. Moreover, an additional five cultivated and five wild rice varieties showed the sequence matching to 93-11, indicating that this site is evolutionarily conserved. The expression level of LOC_Os07g30510 was up-regulated significantly in LIL1; however, expression of the other 11 ORFs in our mapping interval showed no obvious difference between LIL1 and 93-11. LOC_Os07g30510 encodes a predicted cysteine-rich repeat kinase (CRK), which is a member of a sub-family characterized by one or more extracellular DUF26 domains containing a C-X8-C-X2-C motif. The DUF26 domain is known as stress-antifungal domain (PF01657) (Sawano et al., 2007). Over-expressing the mutant-type gene of LOC_Os07g30510 is sufficient to cause the lesion mimic phenotype in transgenic lines. Therefore, the up-regulated expression and/or the point mutation in the kinase domain of LOC_Os07g30510 is likely responsible for LIL1 phenotype. Both overexpression of CRKs and the point mutation in the kinase domain could result in the HR-like cell death and increased plant resistance observed. Overexpression of AtCRK5, AtCRK13 and AtCRK20 from Arabidopsis leads to cell death and increased resistance to the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae (Chen et al., 2003, 2004; Acharya et al., 2007; Ederli et al., 2011). Further, a missense mutation on an Arabidopsis RLK gene (snc4-1D) at the kinase domain increases its expression and results in enhanced pathogen resistance (Bi et al., 2010). The snc4-1D is a gain-of-function semidominant mutant gene, similar to LIL1. It is currently unclear whether the phenotype of LIL1 is due to overexpression of LOC_Os07g30510 or the point mutation in the kinase domain. The point mutation could be the binding region for a microRNA or non-coding RNA, which results in the accumulation of LIL1 transcript. Based on this hypothesis, the region was used to search the microRNA database "miRbase" and "psTarget" and the non-coding RNA database "NONCODE" and "lncRNAdb"; however, no match was found. In WT plants, microRNA or non-coding RNA may bind and degrade the LIL1 transcript, this may explain why the LIL1 transcript could not be detected in WT plants, and potentially why there was no match in the four RNA databases. Further work needs to be performed to confirm this hypothesis. Functions of LIL1 in HR regulation and disease resistance also need to be clarified in future work.

**CONCLUSION**

Here, we identified and cloned a rice LMM LIL1 from an ethylmethane sulfonate mutagenized population of Indica rice (Oryza sativa L. ssp. Indica) 93-11. Characterization and molecular mapping revealed that LIL1 is a novel rice LMM with
enhanced resistance to rice blast fungus (M. grisea). Genetic analysis illustrated that the mutation was controlled by a semi-dominant gene. Using 1,758 individuals in the F2 progenies, the mutant gene was located in a 222.3 kb region on the long arm of chromosome 7. The candidate genes on the interval were sequenced, and it was found that a G for A substitution in the fourth exon of LOC_Os07g30510 led to an amino acid change (Val 429 to Ile) in the serine/threonine kinase catalytic domain of the encoded protein. LOC_Os07g30510 was determined also to be over-expressed in LIL1 mutant plants. Rice lines transgenically over-expressing the mutant-type gene of LOC_Os07g30510 exhibit a lesion mimic phenotype similar to the LIL1 plants. Therefore, over-expression of LOC_Os07g30510 and/or the Val429Ile substitution is responsible for the LIL1 phenotype. Our results provide the basis for additional function analysis of this gene to advance broad understanding of mechanisms underlying HR and disease resistance.
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