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\textsc{Abstract}

Research have been able to resolve the challenges of biodiesel production from whatever source. The ultimate use of locally made biodiesel for domestic automobile engine is the focus of the study. Biodiesel from palm biomass was made using proven laboratory techniques. The derived biodiesel was mixed with petro-diesel in the proportion of B10 and B20. The TD 200 diesel engine was used to estimate automotive outcomes such as torque, rotational speed, differential pressure, operational temperature, power generated, sound of engine, power generated by engine in horse power, thermal efficiency, normal engine efficiency and brake mean effect pressure. The advantage of the experimental method is to:

- Provide a simple and efficient way of analyzing locally made biodiesel.
- Parametrically investigate the pros and cons of biodiesel product.
- Fully understand the impact of biodiesel on the well-being of diesel engines.
- Chat a new course for biodiesel engine design for maximum utilization.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

\textsc{Article info}

Method name: Machine testing and biodiesel quality
Keywords: Biodiesel, Energy, Palm, Diesel engine
Article history: Received 17 June 2018; Accepted 13 October 2018; Available online 23 October 2018

\textsuperscript{*} Corresponding author.
E-mail address: emetere@yahoo.com (M.E. Emetere).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2018.10.020
2215-0161/© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
Method details

Materials

- reagents (methanol 99%, catalyst (sodium hydroxide (NaOH pellets)).
- thermometer,
- Soxlet apparatus, round bottom flask, flat bottom flask
- 250 and 500 ml conical flask, burette and pipette
- 200 and 500 ml beakers, 200 and 500 ml reagent bottles,
- 250 and 500 ml separation funnels, measuring cylinders
- reflux condenser and rotary evaporator
- funnels and vials
- 500 ml glass jar.
- retort stands, wooden corks and spatula
- plastic containers, potable heat stove and plastic spoon
- heating mantle, hot plate with a magnetic stirrer (magnetic bar)
- digital weighing balance, stop watch and water bath
- density bottles, viscometer tube and viscometer bath
- UV spectrophotometer (2R1R235201)
- TQ data display unit
- TQ TD200 biodiesel test set.
- sound level meter

Procedure

- The palm biomass is placed in an expeller or a mechanical press.
- The shaft is then transferred to a Soxlet extractor (apparatus) and heated using a heating mantle.
- the oil is boiled to about 110 °C to make sure there is no water present in the oil, then allowed to cool to 40 °C.
- The methoxide was prepared by dissolving 0.4 g of concentrated sodium hydroxide (NaOH) in 20 ml of alkanol (methanol).
- Temperature was regulated to 60 °C.
- The mixture was stirred for 2 h then poured in a separation flask and left for 24 h.
- Biodiesel formed on top, glycerin settled at the bottom.
- Biodiesel was washed about five times to get rid of the glycerin.
- The biodiesel was characterized using the UV Spectrometer at 500 nm, 600 nm, 700 nm, 800 nm, and 900 nm wavelength [1,2].
• The quality and properties of the biodiesel was done using the new methods [3,4].
• The viscosity experiment was also carried using a viscometer and a viscometer bath tube.
• The biodiesel is heated up in the viscometer to a constant temperature of 40 °C. The C value for the viscometer used was 7.870 (mm²/sec).
• 10% of the biodiesel product was mixed with 90% pure diesel to give B10.
• 20% of biodiesel was mixed with 80% of pure diesel and this was put in a 1 liter bottle after measuring with measuring cylinder.
• The biodiesel mixture (B10 and B20) was fed into the TD 200 test bed engine.
• The speeds were regulated at 2000, 2150, 2169, 2172, 2193, 2200, 2500 revolutions per second.
• The engine torque, rotational speed, differential pressure, operational temperature and power generated measured at the specified engine speed.
• Pure diesel was used as the control of the experiment. The parameter was also derived for the petrodiesel.
• The sound of engine was measured using sound level meter.
• The secondary dataset (power generated by engine in horse power, thermal efficiency, normal engine efficiency and brake mean effect pressure) were derived mathematically from the initial measurements from the TD 200 test bed engine [5,6].
• The dataset was obtained at the TQ data display unit.
• The simulation was carried out using Matlab and CERN Root

Method validation

500 nm wavelength was selected as the control value during the characterization of the biodiesel produce (using UV spectrophotometer). This idea is to comprehend the changes of the cyclic breaking nature of biodiesel products as postulated in ref [3,4]. The determination of the cyclic breaking in biodiesel depends on the number of clear peaks in the absorption spectra. Sometimes excessive (unclear) peaks indicate the error due to noise in the biodiesel product. The density and viscosity experiment of the biodiesel is presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

The viscosity of the biodiesel blends was measured as shown in Table 2.

The first parameter that was considered was the operational temperature of the machine as presented in Figs. 1 and 2. The operation temperature of palm B10 had the highest temperature of 246 °C. The pure petro-diesel had a maximum temperature of 258 °C. Hence the temperature of the engine would decrease by 4.65% for palm B10. The operation temperature of palm B20 (shown in

| Test fuels | Weight of density bottles | Weight of test fuels with the density bottle | Actual weight of test fuels | Actual weight /volume of bottle(50 ml) = actual density |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Diesel     | 28.0                      | 71.4                                        | 43.4                        | 868                                                  |
| Palm B10   | 30.5                      | 73.0                                        | 42.5                        | 0.85                                                 |
| Palm B20   | 28.3                      | 71.1                                        | 42.8                        | 0.856                                                |

Table 2

Results obtained from viscosity experiment.

| Test fuels | Temperature (°C) | Time(sec) | Viscosity (Pa sec) |
|------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Palm B20   | 40               | 1.35      | 10.63              |
| Palm B10   | 40               | 1.38      | 10.87              |
| Pure Diesel| 40               | 0.90      | 7.08               |
Fig. 2) had the highest temperature of 246 °C. The maximum temperature of the pure petro-diesel was 248 °C. This means that the temperature of the engine would decrease by 0.8% for palm B10. This result shows that the combustion rate would be lower for the biodiesel than the petro-diesel.

The second parameter that was considered was the differential pressure of the engine when biodiesel and petro-diesel products were fed into the engine (Figs. 3 & 4). The results were negative. In an engine, the negative differential pressure is caused by depression that is created by the energy transferred from the expansion of gases (during combustion) to the crankshaft via the piston. The seal that is formed between the piston ring and the cylinder wall creates depression as the piston travels downward at a high velocity. Hence, palm B10 had the highest depression. The petro-diesel had a lower depression due to low differential pressure. It can be observed that the differential pressure is higher in B20 than in B10 (Fig. 4). The third parameter that was considered was the torque in the engine (Figs. 5 & 6). Petro-diesel had the highest torque. This means that if the engine where to be an automobile engine, the vehicle would accelerate faster than when pure diesel is fed into the engine (shown in Figs. 5 and 6). The wide difference in the torque result may result in losses for the engine. If the engine experience high losses, it may lead to the damage of the piston. This stance is supported by
Fig. 3. Differential pressure for pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B10.

Fig. 4. Differential pressure for pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B20.

Fig. 5. Torque produced by engine for pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B10.
Fontaras et al. [6] who reported that B50 and B100 biodiesel sample caused the wear of some vital parts of an engine because of the presence of iron and copper content present in the biodiesel.

Hence, the group of researcher postulate that the cyclic breaking causes either power or torque losses in engine. The palm B20 biodiesel had lower torque (0.8Nm) than the palm B10 biodiesel (1.01 Nm).

The power generated via the use of diesel and biodiesel blend is presented in Figs. 7 and 8. The palm B10 generated higher power than the B20. Unlike the power generated by the engine, the power loss to the passive area is more distinct. The petro-diesel had the highest loss of 10.3% which is slightly higher than the observation (6.7%) of Murillo et al. [7]. The sound of the engines when the pure diesel and biodiesel blends (B10 and B20) were used is shown in Figs. 9 and 10. The low sound of the engine show that the engine has been stretched out of its limit. However, the B10 biodiesel showed higher magnitude of sound energy than the B20. There are factors that affect the sound of a diesel engine e.g. bore size, stroke length, and crankshaft angles etc. This research considers the stress of the piston as it related to the input fuel. Hence, total work done by the engine is significantly reduced when the engine is working on either blends of biodiesel. Therefore, the general performances of the biodiesel samples (B10 and B20) in the TD 200 test-bed engine may not be adequate based on the sound of the engine.
Fig. 8. Power generated in the engine for pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B20.

Fig. 9. Sound of engine using pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B10.

Fig. 10. Sound of engine using pure diesel and biodiesel blend of palm B10.
Additional information

In this section, the derived parameters are presented to examine the experimental method beyond the primary dataset obtained from the TQ data display unit. The break mean effect pressure (BMEP) in engine is presented in Fig. 11. The pattern of the three samples considered is synonymous to the pattern of the power loss by the passive area of the engine. Though the biodiesel samples were lower, its difference with the pure petro-diesel was less than 10%. Muralidharan et al. [8] affirmed that the decreased engine brake power was as a result of uneven combustion of biodiesel product. The thermal and engine efficiency results are presented in Figs. 12 and 13 respectively. It is observed that while the engine efficiency of pure diesel decreases with time of use, the biodiesel blend describes a positive

![Fig. 11. The break mean effect pressure of fuel samples.](image1)

![Fig. 12. The engine efficiency of fuel samples.](image2)

![Fig. 13. The thermal efficiency of fuel samples.](image3)
parabolic pattern. This outcome gives the biodiesel advantage over the pure diesel. The pure petro-diesel sample produced the highest thermal efficiency and lowest engine efficiency. It is observed that this method was able to show that the thermal efficiency of the pure diesel increases with time of use while the biodiesel fluctuates due to cyclic breaking.
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