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Physicochemical Properties and Antibacterial Activity of Gellan Gum Incorporating Zinc Oxide/Carbon Nanotubes Bionanocomposite Film for Wound Healing
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Wound healing dressing based on a natural polymer of gellan gum incorporating zinc oxide nanoparticles and multiwall carbon nanotubes (GG/ZnONP + MWCNT) bionanocomposite film was fabricated via the solution casting method. The physicochemical properties of the film were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Moreover, the antibacterial properties of the bionanocomposite film were investigated for wound healing applications. The characterization results confirmed the reinforcement of the gellan gum (GG) matrix with zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnONP) and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT), as an amorphous GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film was obtained. SEM morphological analysis shows that the addition of ZnONP and MWCNT nanofillers changed the film microstructure into a sponge-like structure that is more suitable for fluid uptake and thus more useful for wound healing. The GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film demonstrated good antibacterial activity against all strains tested. Furthermore, macroscopic analysis shows that the wound treated with GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film recovered completely (100%) in 14 days, compared to pure GG film (90.76%) and negative control (77.40%). As a result, the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film could be a promising wound dressing material.

1. Introduction

The skin is made up of three layers: the epidermis, the dermis, and the subcutaneous tissue to protect and regulate the body against physical injury [1, 2]. During daily activities, the skin was repeatedly injured, and it typically cannot heal in a short period of time with perfect regeneration and with varying degrees of scarring. In addition, certain skin injuries, such as diabetes, chronic ulcers, and severe burn wounds, may require a longer period of time to heal [3–5], necessitating intensive care to prevent serious infection and complications. Therefore, the development of medicines and wound dressing materials to speed up the healing process has become the primary focus of numerous research groups and pharmaceutical companies around the world [6–8]. Due to their biodegradability and biocompatibility, wound dressings based on natural polymer such as cellulose, chitin, chitosan, sodium alginate, and gellan gum are favored. Gellan gum (GG) was widely used for fabrication of wound dressing attributed to its high water content and soluble biopolymer, and it has strong ability to form a film or membrane to repair various organs and tissues [9–11]. Nevertheless, it is susceptible to uncontrolled material degradation and inadequate strength [12]. Recently, various metal/metal oxides like gold, silver, platinum, iron oxide, titanium oxide, zinc oxide, and so on have been incorporated to modify the chemical structure of natural polymers. According to studies, incorporating TiO2 and ZnO...
nanoparticles into natural polymer greatly improved wound healing characteristics [13, 14]. ZnO nanoparticles also exhibit unique characteristics such as great biocompatibility, low toxicity [15], strong photocatalytic activity [16], and high antibacterial properties [17], attracting our interest for usage in wound healing. Aside from inorganic ZnO nanoparticles, organic materials based on carbon component embedded in polymer are well-known methods for improving the antimicrobial activity [18, 19]. CNTs, for example, have a powerful antibacterial effect on a wide range of bacteria and viruses because they aggregate with the cells [20, 21]. Moreover, CNT antimicrobial activity can be boosted after functionalization with -OH and–COOH functional groups or hybridization with metallic compounds because they can operate as a conductive bridge over the insulating bilayer [22, 23]. CNTs in chitosan and polyvinyl alcohol (CS/PVA) polymer also worked as a reinforcing phase, improving the shape, porosity, and structural properties of CS/PVA nanofiber composites and, as a result, the cell proliferation rate. Multiple-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), in particular, increased cell survival and proliferation with minimal harmful consequences after being integrated into chitosan biopolymers [24].

It was anticipated that the combination of inorganic and organic nanofillers inserted into biopolymer would be an efficient strategy for wound healing since it promotes cell proliferation and suppresses bacterial growth in the wound. The intention of choosing and using two materials was due to their synergistic effect. Thus, it was expected that the ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite possessed good physicochemical properties and strong antibacterial for wound healing. Previously, the two materials of Ag/ZnO embedded in chitosan had highly light barrier characteristics and strong antibacterial and good catalytic reduction activity [25], while doped TiO2/MWCNT nanocomposite films revealed higher photocatalytic efficiency than single TiO2 as a result of the synergistic effect of TiO2 and MWCNTs [26]. So far, no study was reported on two materials of ZnONP+MWCNT incorporated GG biopolymer for bionanocomposite film fabrication. Therefore, in this study, the synthesized ZnONP+MWCNT composites were integrated into GG biopolymer to fabricate (GG/ZnONP+MWCNT) bionanocomposite film for wound dressing. The GG was used as the biopolymer matrix, whereas chemically synthesized ZnONP and commercial MWCNT were used as fillers. The physicochemical properties of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film, pure GG film, and synthesized ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite were investigated using various instruments. The antibacterial activity of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria commonly found in wounds was investigated. The wound healing properties of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film were investigated in vivo.

2. Experiment

2.1. Materials. Low-acyl gellan gum (kelcogel, lot number 5C1574A) was obtained from a Huber Company, USA. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Mw 297.49 g/mol, CAS number 10196-18-6, product number 37796), and multiwall carbon nanotube (CAS number 306068-56-6, product number 901019) ethanol (Mw 46.07 g/mol, CAS number 64-17-5, product number 459836), citric acid (Mw 192.12 g/mol, CAS number 77-92-9, product number 251275), nitrice acid (Mw 63.01 g/mol, CAS number 7697-37-2, product number 438073), glycerol (Mw 92.09 g/mol, CAS number 56-81-5, product number G7893), and calcium chloride (Mw 110.98 g/mol, CAS number 10043-52-4, product number 499609) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All materials were used as received without further purification.

2.2. Preparation of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles. ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized by sol-gel method using zinc nitrate hexahydrate \((\text{Zn(NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O})\) as \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) precursor. In this method, 1 g of \(\text{Zn(NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\) was mixed in 20 mL of distilled water. Then, 5 g of citric acid \((\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O})\) was dissolved in 25 mL of distilled water and added dropwise to the zinc precursor solution while stirring continuously with a magnet. The gel was produced by stirring the mixed solution on a temperature-controlled magnetic hot plate stirrer at 80°C for 2 h. The obtained gel was dried at 80°C for 24 h and then calcined in a furnace at 400°C for 2 h to produce white ZnO nanoparticle powder.

2.3. Preparation of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes Bionanocomposite. A hydrothermal method was used to create the ZnONP/MWCNT composite. Previously, commercial MWCNT was functionalized with strong acids to enhance surface hydrophilicity [27]. Generally, 0.1 g of MWCNT was sonicated in 500 mL of concentrated \(\text{HNO}_3\) solution (65% w/w) at 60°C for 2 h using a sonicator with 50 kHz, 200 W, and 220 V. The MWCNT were then washed with deionized (DI) water, separated from the solution via centrifugation, and dried at 60°C under vacuum for 24 h. Afterwards, 0.1 g of functionalized MWCNT was ultrasonically dispersed in 10 mL ethanol for 20 min. A solution of 0.1 g \(\text{ZnO}\) dispersed in ethanol (10 mL) was added drop by drop to the MWCNT solution while vigorously stirring at ambient temperature. To achieve a homogeneous suspension, the stirring was continued for 2 h. The suspension was placed in a stainless-steel Teflon-lined autoclave and treated for 4 h at 150°C. The resulting ZnONP+MWCNT composite was collected, washed with distilled water, and dried at 50°C overnight.

2.4. Preparation of Gellan Gum Incorporating Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes Bionanocomposite Film. Gellan gum solution was made by dissolving 1 g gellan gum in 100 mL deionized water and continuously stirring for 2 h at 70°C. Glycerol (50 w/w%, percentage weight relative to GG) and calcium chloride \((\text{CaCl}_2)\) (5 mM) were added to the solution as plasticizer and binder, respectively. Then, 1 w/w% (percentage weight relative to GG) of ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite powder was added and stirred for 2 h. The 1 w/w% was used because it was reported that the 1w/w% nanocomposite showed the best biological
and mechanical properties [28]. The nanocomposite solution was transferred to a casting dish and dried in an oven for 24 h at 50°C to produce a spherical biofilm. Prior to testing, all films were preconditioned in a desiccator (27°C, 50% RH) for at least two days. In the absence of ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite, a similar procedure was used to prepare pure GG biofilm. Prior to film preparation, an optimization study was conducted to determine the optimal concentration of materials required to produce a perfect biofilm [29].

2.5. Water Absorption Capacity of Films. The water absorption capacity of pure GG and GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film was determined using the method explained by previous researcher [30, 31]. The preweighed films (25 mm × 25 mm) were individually immersed in distilled water at room temperature. Then, the soaked films were withdrawn from the medium at predetermined time interval. The swollen weights of the samples were determined by first blotting the samples with filter paper followed by accurately weighing the sample. The weights of the swollen pieces were recorded after 1, 2, 3, and 24 hours (h).

The water adsorption capacity (WAC) of the sample at a given time was calculated from the following formula:

\[
WAC(\%) = \left( \frac{W_s - W_0}{W_0} \right) \times 100, \tag{1}
\]

where \(W_s\) is the weight of the sample (moist) at a given time, and \(W_0\), the initial weight of the sample.

2.6. Characterization. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra were collected using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrophotometer with a wavelength range of 4000 to 400 cm\(^{-1}\). XRD analysis was performed at room temperature using a Rigaku Miniflex (II) X-ray diffractometer from 10° to 80° of 2\(\theta\). The morphology of films was acquired with a JOEL JSM 6360 LA scanning electron microscopy, while the size and shape of ZnONP, MWCNT, and ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposites were observed with a Tecnai Biotwin FEI transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The surface roughness of films was analyzed using Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), Multimode 8 ScanAsys, Bruker. A three-dimensional (3D) image of the film surface area (5 × 5 um) was obtained, and three images were captured for each sample. AFM software calculated and analyzed statistical parameters, including average roughness (Ra: average of the absolute value of the height deviations from a mean surface).

2.7. Antibacterial Study. Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus) and Gram-negative (Escherichia coli) microbes were used for an antibacterial assay. The standard growth medium (Muller-Hinton, MH, Difco™) agar was prepared by sterilising it for 15 minutes at 120°C in an autoclave.

Figure 1: (a) The photo images and (b) FTIR spectra of pure GG film and GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film.

Figure 2: XRD pattern of (a) ZnONP, (b) MWCNT, (c) GG film, (d) GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film.
Prior to the bacterial inoculation, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* were subcultured in MH agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h to ensure that the bacteria were in stable, contaminant-free conditions. Bacterial concentrations were determined using a simple optical density measurement on a Spectrophotometer Biomerieux Densichek Plus at 600 nm. In this study, the bacterial suspensions were adjusted to equivalent turbidity at 0.5 McFarland standards. *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* inoculants were evenly distributed in sterile Petri plates containing MH agar. All bacteria were swabbed over the surface of the agar plates with a sterile cotton swab. The biofilm sample, as well as penicillin as a control, was gently pressed onto the agar. In this study, penicillin was used as a standard antibiotic for positive control. In triplicate, the plates containing film samples and agar with bacteria were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After 24 h of incubation at 37°C, the observations on the clear zone of each plate were recorded. It is recorded as an indicator of microbial species growth.

2.8. Wound Healing Study. The wound healing study was tested on Sprague Dawley rats. The rats were divided into three groups: Group A: negative control (without treatment), Group B: treatment using pure GG film, and Group C: treatment using GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film. In order to perform the wound healing test, a full thickness wound that extends through subcutaneous tissue on both sides at the back of the rat was created using 8.00 mm diameter of sterile biopsy punch. Each animal received two circular excision wounds on the dorsal region. Prior to the incision, the rats were given general anaesthesia. The film was applied to each animal’s wound in accordance with the animal’s assigned group. The wound healing process was observed at three time intervals of three, seven, and fourteen days after wounding, with three rats in each group. The wound margin area was measured with Image software, and the wound healing percentage (WHP) was calculated using the following formula [32]:

\[
WHP = \left( \frac{\text{wound area day0} - \text{wound area on day } X}{\text{wound area day0}} \right) \times 100,
\]

where \( X = \text{days 3, 7, and 14 of posttrauma.} \)
2.9. Ethics Statement. All methods were accomplished consistently with the pertinent guidelines and regulations, and the experiments with animal models were in line with standard guidelines. The experimental design for animal use was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of Beijing Hospital (Approval Number 33201-2021-0121). All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to keep the number of animals to a minimum to demonstrate consistent effects for the procedures and treatments.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1(a) depicts photo images of pure gellan gum (GG), and gellan gum incorporating zinc oxide nanoparticles and multiwall carbon nanotubes (GG/ZnONP + MWCNT) bionanocomposite film. The diameter and thickness of both studied film nanocomposites were almost similar, at ~9 cm and ~60–70 µm, respectively. The FTIR spectra in Figure 1(b) show that the pure GG and GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite films had the characteristic of broad peak ranging between 3700 and 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) (max at 3300 cm\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to the O-H stretching vibration modes, which

| Sample            | After 1 h | After 2 h | After 3 h | After 24 h |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| GG                | 680 ± 22  | 722 ± 28  | 884 ± 26  | 906 ± 24   |
| GG/ZnONP + MWCNT  | 948 ± 26  | 1182 ± 24 | 1226 ± 28 | 1250 ± 28  |

Table 1: Water absorption capacity analysis for GG and GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film (mean ± SD) (n = 3).
should be attributed to the presence of water molecule. The next peaks, located between 2940 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2886 cm\(^{-1}\), correspond to the C-H groups, which are also present in both films [33]. The major and strong absorption peak at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the C=C skeletal stretching from the GG and MWCNT compounds [34]. The peaks at 1416 cm\(^{-1}\), 1319 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1216 cm\(^{-1}\) were characteristics of the scissoring and asymmetric bending mode of CH\(_2\) and CH\(_2\) symmetric twisting, respectively, which can be observed in pure GG and GG bionanocomposite film [35]. The peaks at 1109 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1035 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to symmetric and asymmetric C-O-C stretching vibration, while 916 cm\(^{-1}\) and 848 cm\(^{-1}\) were the C-O stretching motion with some contribution from CH\(_2\) rocking, respectively [36]. The major stretching vibrations of metal oxide bond were observed at the absorption peak below 800 cm\(^{-1}\). Thus, a strong absorption peak obtained for the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film sample at 664 cm\(^{-1}\), 560 cm\(^{-1}\), and 482 cm\(^{-1}\) resembles the stretching vibration of Zn-O bonding in the crystal structure of ZnONP [37]. There were weak absorption peaks in this range (≤800 nm\(^{-1}\)) for the pure GG film due to the absence of metal oxide in this sample. Additional peaks in the FTIR spectrum of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film at 2281 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2120 cm\(^{-1}\), 1903 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1778 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to C-C and C=C stretching in MWCNT, as these peaks were absent in the pure GG film sample [38].

As shown in Figure 2(a), the synthesized ZnONP is highly crystalline, as evidenced by the presence of sharp and strong peaks at 31.26°, 34.24°, 36.06°, 47.34°, 56.44°, 62.66°, 66.42°, 67.76°, 69.08°, 72.66°, and 77.02°, which are assigned to (100), (002), (101), (102), and (202). There are Bragg’s planes in the hexagonal wurtzite structure of ZnONP [39], while commercial CNTs exhibit broad diffraction peaks at 25.8° and 42.6° (Figure 2(b)), corresponding to (002) and (100) planes, denoted the face-centered cubic (FCC) structure of the MWCNT in Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) No. 01–0646 [40]. Other
researchers have reported similar patterns, sometimes with the presence of extra peaks due to different chirality and layers of CNTs [41]. For the film sample, broad peaks with low intensity at ~19° and ~22° were observed for the pure GG sample, as shown in Figure 2(c), which are characteristics of the GG compound. Numerous previous researchers reported similar findings [13, 42]. In contrast, no single peak was obtained for the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film sample (Figure 2(d)), indicating that the sample has amorphous properties. This is explained by the carbonization of organic materials that has wrapped around the surfaces of the film sample [43, 44]. The absence of the GG peaks indicates that the GG film was wrapped in a thicker layer of amorphous carbon after the addition of MWCNT. In other words, the MWCNT was widely dispersed on the surface of the nanocomposites film, resulting in the amorphous property of the film sample. Furthermore, no peaks attributed to the MWCNT or ZnONP fillers appear in the XRD pattern, indicating that the fillers have been completely dissolved in the nanocomposites film [45, 46]. The amorphous features of the bionanocomposite film sample were expected since the presence of ZnONP and MWCNT nanofillers causes the random ordering of the GG chain matrix. They were categorized as a short-range order of repeating units with nonuniformly packed molecules, which contributed to the flexibility and elasticity of the bionanocomposite film [47].

Figure 3 shows TEM images of the synthesized ZnONP, commercial MWCNTs, and ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite. ZnO nanoparticles had a nearly spherical shape with a diameter of 17.31 ± 3.79 nm (Figure 3(a)), whereas MWCNT samples (Figure 3(b)) had elongated hollow nanostructures. The nanotube diameter was determined to be 9.32 ± 1.62 nm. Interestingly, the nanocomposite of ZnONP + MWCNT was successfully synthesized in this study, as both spherical shape nanoparticles and hollow elongated structures of nanotubes could be seen in the TEM image (Figure 3(c)). However, the diameters of the ZnONP and MWCNT were slightly larger, at 20.57 ± 4.78 nm and 11.11 ± 1.62 nm, respectively, due to crystal growth during the hydrothermal reaction that produced the nanocomposite.

SEM was used to examine the surface morphology of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film. The surface image of pure GG film was also studied for comparison. Due to the homogeneously blended biopolymer, a smooth and continuous surface of pure GG film was observed, as shown in Figure 4(a). However, due to the presence of nanostructure fillers, the formation of clusters on the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film was visible, indicating that ZnONPs + MWCNT were successfully loaded onto film. Even so, the nanostructures were agglomerated to reduce surface energy because ZnONP and MWCNT have high surface energy when they are nanosized within the polymer matrix [48, 49]. Different shapes of ZnONP and MWCNT fillers also promote agglomeration via an interlocking mechanism [50]. The interlocking phenomenon, which helps particles stay together in a conglomerate, is related to the shape of the particles and how they mechanically block each other’s movement at the particle level. Long MWCNT particles can interlock with spherical ZnO nanoparticles and restrain their movement in this case. Thus, in Figure 4(b), an agglomeration of ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite can be seen, as well as the presence of the interconnected pores’ structure. The porous structure of the GG/ZnONP + CNT bionanocomposite film was thought to be formed by the agglomeration of ZnONP + MWCNT nanostructures. Figure 5 depicts cross section SEM micrographs of cold-fractured films. The essential asymmetric nature of the film, with a dense top layer, and the sublayer was observed at bottom of pure GG film (Figure 5(a)), while for the GG/ZnONP + CNT bionanocomposite film, a sponge-like pore structure was observed suggesting that pores were fully developed in the bionanocomposite film. The pores were nearly spherical and similar in size, measuring approximately 10.60 ± 2.53 μm in diameter (Figure 5(b)). In theory, the formation of interconnected pores’ structure allows cell migration or tissue invasion from pore to pore [51–53].

Water absorption capability of the film is important to prevent dehydration of tissue, inhibit microorganism growth, and also protect wound maceration [31]. As stated in Table 1, the water absorption capacities of GG and GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite films were increased over the period of time studied. Apart from this, it also showed that GG/ZnONP + MWCNT had the higher water absorption capacity than pure GG biofilm. It is expected that, due to hydrophilic nature and water holding capacity of ZnO and MWCNT, thus, it enhances the water absorption capacity of the bionanocomposite film. Due to their poor compatibility with the GG polymer matrix, ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite fillers are also unevenly distributed on the surface. Agglomeration and nonhomogeneous distribution of the ZnONP and MWCNT
contributed to the higher surface roughness of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film compared to pure GG biofilm. The roughness value ($R_a$) was determined to be $9.93 \pm 0.03$ nm for pure GG and $19.60 \pm 0.20$ nm for GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite films, respectively. The findings suggest that incorporating ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite fillers into GG polymer matrix could alter the surface topography, thereby affecting roughness. Figure 6 shows the three-dimensional (3D) AFM topography images of the film surfaces. As seen, the structures of the film surfaces are represented as dark and bright valleys and peaks, respectively. Pure GG biofilm has a flat surface with a large amount of dark area and a small amount of bright area (Figure 6(a)). In contrast, the presence of many peaks and brighter sites on the GG/ZnONPs + MWCNTs film confirms the presence of ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite on the film surface. Due to their increased roughness, the valley-like structure and surface ridges are clearly visible in the image of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film (Figure 6(b)). The deep valley of the film and the height of its surface ridges contributed to the film’s greater roughness [54, 55].

As shown in Figure 7, after 24 h of incubation, pure GG biofilm exhibited no antibacterial activity against any of the bacteria studied. Intriguingly, the incorporation of ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite into GG film yields positive results, with the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film exhibiting inhibition zones against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were found to be $10 \pm 0.38$ mm, $12 \pm 0.06$ mm, $11 \pm 0.06$ mm, and $10 \pm 0.12$ mm, respectively. The antibacterial activity of pure GG biofilm, GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film, and penicillin as a control sample was summarized in Table 2. The results show that GG/ZnONP + MWCNT is a promising antibacterial film because its antimicrobial activity is comparable to or better than the control sample (penicillin), ZnONP and MWCNT as separated materials.

The synergy antibacterial effect of ZnONP and MWCNT supports the good performance of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film in exhibiting bacteria growth. The antimicrobial properties of ZnO are

Table 2: Inhibition zone of biofilms against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (mean ± SD) ($n = 3$).

| Sample            | *Staphylococcus aureus* | *Streptococcus* | *Escherichia coli* | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Penicillin        | 12 ± 0.06               | 12 ± 0.06       | 10 ± 0.12         | 9 ± 0.06                 |
| GG                | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0           | 0 ± 0             | 0 ± 0                    |
| GG/ZnONP + MWCNT | 14 ± 0.25               | 14 ± 0.10       | 14 ± 0.05         | 11 ± 0.07                |
| ZnONP [56]        | 14 ± 1.0                | n.a             | 9 ± 2.0           | 8 ± 3.0                  |
| MWCNT [57]        | 0 ± 0                   | n.a             | 11.3 ± 0.3        | 0 ± 0                    |

*n.a = data not available.*

![Figure 8: Macroscopic examination of untreated wounds as control sample and treated with pure GG and GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite films on 3rd, 7th, and 14th days.](image)

![Figure 9: Wound healing percentage of the untreated wound (control) and treated with pure GG and GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite films on 3rd, 7th, and 14th days. Statistical analysis using one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test shown by different letters (a, b) was statistically different ($P \leq 0.05$).](image)
based on the electrostatic interaction between the nanoparticles and the microbial cell surface, which causes photodestruction via oxidative damage [58]. Furthermore, their high efficiency in inhibiting the growth of pathogen microorganisms even at very low concentrations is due to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as \( \text{HOO}^- \), \( \cdot \text{HO}^- \), \( \cdot \text{O}_2^- \), and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) via redox reactions. The inclusion of MWCNT enhances ROS generation via chemical interactions between the latter and the surface of microorganisms [59]. MWCNTs also have a large surface area, which makes them an excellent base material for photocatalytic functionalization. There is a combination with a photocatalytic agent of ZnO to form nanocomposites with antimicrobial activity under solar light irradiation [60–62]. Due to the good electrical conductivity and photothermal activity of MWCNT, the ZnONP + MWCNT nanocomposite in GG could produce photo-induced electron for photocatalytic degradation of bacteria [63, 64].

The wound healing experiment was carried out on Sprague Dawley rats using pure GG and GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite films. For comparison, a control sample in which the wound was cleaned with distilled water was also examined. Wound healing was observed on days 3, 7, and 14 following treatment, and photo images obtained are shown in Figure 8. The wounds treated with GG and GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite films appeared to be dried with the scab generated on the third day, whereas wounds in the control sample were found to be moist due to the lack of exudates. On the seventh day, granulation tissue formation was clearly visible on wounds treated with GG/ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite and pure GG films. The control sample had no granulation tissue. The open wounds for the samples treated with GG film and the control were still visible on day 14. Otherwise, the wound treated with the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film healed completely, and the damaged skin was repaired.

Figure 9 shows that a wound treated with GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film healed completely (100% recovered) after 14 days. In contrast, wound contraction rates of 90.76% and 77.40% were calculated for wounds treated with pure GG film and control samples, respectively. Previously, at the seventh day, wound contraction was 82.67%, 64.71%, and 53.95% for treatments with GG/ZnONP+MWCNT nanocomposite, pure GG films, and control sample, respectively. On the third day, the smaller wound contraction was obtained with 59.82%, 44.54%, and 42.86% for each treatment. The results showed that the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film has better wound healing ability than pure GG film and control sample, as the wounds on Sprague Dawley rats were fully recovered with no scar. In fact, hair is regrowing to conceal the injury. The interconnected pores’ structure of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film provides a pathway for the exchange of nutrients within the temporary structure for tissue regeneration [65]. Furthermore, the interconnected pores of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film, which has a sponge-like structure, are excellent for fluid uptake, which is advantageous in wound healing dressing applications. According to the researchers, the interconnected porous structure facilitates tissue regeneration by allowing the introduction of mesenchymal cells, osteotropic agents, or vasculature into the pores [53]. Moreover, the morphology of MWCNT in bionanocomposite film, which mimics the fibrillar/extracellular matrix protein, promotes tissue regeneration and wound healing [66]. On top of that, the antibacterial properties of the GG/ZnONP+MWCNT bionanocomposite film against bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which are commonly found in wounds, help speed up the healing process. As a result, the wound could heal faster and without scarring. The GG/ZnONP+MWCNT work well as antibacterial and wound healing when they are combined due to their synergetic effect. It was reported that pristine ZnO exhibits good antibacterial activity that is nontoxic and biocompatible to human cells [67]. While the ZnO based wound dressing film facilitates angiogenesis, it enhances the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hypoxia inducible factor (HIF-1α) [68]. Researchers reported that the modified ZnO quantum dots and hydrogel loaded with heparinized ZnO enhanced the healing rate up to more than 90% after 14 days of treatment [69, 70]. The same goes to the MWCNT film, and a good healing activity was observed after 14 to 21 days. The histological analysis further confirmed the enhanced epithelization and faster regeneration in the MWCNT nanocomposite treated group [71]. The well-formed highly vascular granulation tissue in MWCNT treated group showed a better healing activity by the composite as compared to pristine sample. The antibacterial performance of the MWCNT composite also contributes to the accelerated
wound closure [72]. Both materials ZnO and MWCNT show good antibacterial properties and wound healing; thus, the synergy effect of these materials in GG biofilm enhanced the antibacterial properties and wound healing performance of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film.

The ultrasound image of the healed wound area on the 14th day after creation was obtained by using a real-time high resolution 20 MHz ultrasound DermaLab Combo, Cortes, Denmark, as shown in Figure 10. The wound treated with GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film shows the formation of clear and thicker dermis, epidermis, and subcutis layers as compared to control and GG biofilm. This is probably because the amount of collagen expression in the wounds treated by the bionanocomposite film wound dressing is ideal and more than the collagen expression in the control group and pure biofilm [73].

4. Conclusion

A GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film was successfully prepared using the solvent-casting method. The physicochemical properties of the film were studied using FTIR, XRD, SEM, and AFM. Wound healing experiments were conducted in vitro and in vivo with 3T3 mouse fibroblast cells and Sprague Dawley rats, respectively. The main functional groups of GG, ZnONP, and MWCNT were detected in the bionanocomposite film’s FTIR spectra. The XRD pattern reveals an amorphous bionanocomposite film, indicating that the ZnONP and MWCNT nanostructure were successfully dispersed onto the GG film. Due to their smaller size, the nanocomposite agglomerated, creating the interconnected pore structures in the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film. As nanofillers, ZnONP and MWCNT increased the roughness of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT film, thereby promoting cell proliferation and wound healing. The wound healing rate was 100% after 14 days of treatment with GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film. Furthermore, the synergy antibacterial effect of ZnONP and MWCNT supports the good performance of the GG/ZnONP + MWCNT bionanocomposite film for wound healing.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included in the article.

Disclosure

The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) for facilities and Malaysia Ministry of Higher Education for the financial support vote (FRGS/1/2019/STG07/UMT/02/2).

References

[1] R. S. Ambekar and B. Kandasubramanian, "Advancements in nanofibers for wound dressing: a review," European Polymer Journal, vol. 117, pp. 304–336, 2019.
[2] L. Cañedo-Dorantes and M. Cañedo-Ayala, "Skin acute wound healing: a comprehensive review," International Journal of Inflammation, vol. 2019, Article ID 3706315, 15 pages, 2019.
[3] U. A. Okonkwo and L. A. DiPietro, "Diabetes and wound angiogenesis," International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 18, no. 7, p. 1419, 2017.
[4] G. Han and R. Ceilley, "Chronic wound healing: a review of current management and treatments," Advances in Therapy, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 599–610, 2017.
[5] S. T. Elkins-Williams, W. A. Marston, and C. S. Hultman, "Management of the chronic burn wound," Clinics in Plastic Surgery, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 679–687, 2017.
[6] A. Mocini, P. Pedram, P. Makvandi, M. Malinconico, and G. Gomez d’Ayala, “Wound healing and antimicrobial effect of active secondary metabolites in chitosan-based wound dressings: a review," Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 233, Article ID 115839, 2020.
[7] K. Varaprasad, T. Jayaramudu, V. Kanikireddy, C. Toro, and E. R. Sadiku, “Alginate-based composite materials for wound dressing application: a mini review," Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 236, Article ID 116025, 2020.
[8] Z. Xu, Z. Li, S. Jiang, and K. M. Bratlie, “Chemically modified gellan gum hydrogels with tunable properties for use as tissue engineering scaffolds,” ACS Omega, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 6998–7007, 2018.
[9] C. J. Ferris, K. J. Gilmore, G. G. Wallace, and M. Panhuis, “Modified gellan gum hydrogels for tissue engineering applications,” Soft Matter, vol. 9, no. 14, pp. 3705–3711, 2013.
[10] P. N. Dave and A. Gor, “Natural polysaccharide-based hydrogels and nanomaterials: recent trends and their applications,” in Handbook of Nanomaterials for Industrial Applications: Micro and Nano Technologies, pp. 36–66, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2018.
[11] Z. Zhang, O. Ortiz, R. Goyal, and J. Kohn, “Biodegradable polymers,” in Handbook of Polymer Applications in Medicine and Medical Devices, pp. 303–335, William Andrew Publishing, Norwich, NY, USA, 2014.
[12] X. Wang, J. Chang, and C. Wu, “Bioactive inorganic/organic nanocomposites for wound healing,” Applied Materials Today, vol. 11, pp. 308–319, 2018.
[13] N. A. Ismail, K. A. M. Amin, F. A. A. Majid, and M. H. Razali, “Gellan gum incorporating titanium dioxide nanoparticles biofilm as wound dressing: physicochemical, mechanical, antibacterial properties and wound healing studies,” Materials Science and Engineering: C, vol. 103, Article ID 109770, 2019.
[14] S. Tavakoli, H. Mokhtari, M. Karrazahi, A. Kermanpur, A. Talebi, and J. Moshtaghian, “A multifunctional nanocomposite spray dressing of Kappa-carrageenan-polypolyamine modified ZnO/L-glutamic acid for diabetic wounds,” Materials Science and Engineering: C, vol. 111, Article ID 110837, 2020.
[15] P. K. Mishra, H. Mishra, A. Ekielski, S. Talegaonkar, and B. Vaidya, “Zinc oxide nanoparticles: a promising nano-material for biomedical applications,” Drug Discovery Today, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1825–1834, 2017.

[16] H. Dabhanee, M. Zate, R. Bharsat, G. Jadhav, and V. Medhane, “A novel bio-fabrication of ZnO nanoparticles using cow urine and study of their photocatalytic, antibacterial and antioxidant activities,” Inorganic Chemistry Communications, vol. 134, Article ID 108984, 2021.

[17] G. Rajivgandhi, B. Mythili Gnanamangai, T. Heela Prabha et al., “Biosynthesized zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) using actinomycetes enhance the anti-bacterial efficacy against K. Pneumoniae,” Journal of King Saud University, vol. 34, no. 1, Article ID 101731, 2022.

[18] A. B. Alayande, M. Obaid, and I. S. Kim, “Antimicrobial mechanism of reduced graphene oxide-copper oxide (rGO-CuO) nanocomposite films: the case of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1,” Materials Science and Engineering: C, vol. 109, Article ID 110596, 2020.

[19] Y. Wu, Y. Xia, X. Jing et al., “Recent advances in mitigating membrane biofouling using carbon-based materials,” Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 382, Article ID 120976, 2020.

[20] A. S. Brady-Estevez, S. Kang, and M. Elimelech, “A single-walled carbon-nanotube filter for removal of viral and bacterial pathogens,” Small, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 481–484, 2008.

[21] L. R. Arias and L. Yang, “Inactivation of bacterial pathogens by carbon nanotubes in suspensions,” Langmuir, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 3003–3012, 2009.

[22] C. Yang, J. Mamouni, Y. Tang, and L. Yang, “Antimicrobial activity of single-walled carbon nanotubes: length effect,” Langmuir, vol. 26, no. 20, pp. 16013–16019, 2010.

[23] C. D. Vecitis, K. R. Zodrow, S. Kang, and M. Elimelech, “Electronic-structure-dependent bacterial cytotoxicity of single-walled carbon nanotubes,” ACS Nano, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 5471–5479, 2010.

[24] A. Kroustalli, A. E. Zisimopoulou, S. Koch et al., “Carbon nanotubes reinforced chitosan films: mechanical properties and cell response of a novel biomaterial for cardiovascular tissue engineering,” Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 2889–2896, 2013.

[25] H. Ali, A. M. Ismail, and A. A. Menazea, “Multifunctional Ag/ZnO/chitosan ternary bio-nanocomposites synthesized via laser ablation with enhanced optical, antibacterial, and catalytic characteristics,” Journal of Water Process Engineering, 2022.

[26] D. R. Sarker, M. N. Uddin, M. Elias et al., “P-doped TiO2-MWCNTs nanocomposite thin films with enhanced photocatalytic activity under visible light exposure,” Cleaner Engineering and Technology, vol. 6, Article ID 100364, 2022.

[27] A. G. Osorio, I. C. L. Silveira, V. L. Bueno, and C. P. Bergmann, “H2SO4/HNO3/HCl—functionalization and its effect on dispersion of carbon nanotubes in aqueous media,” Applied Surface Science, vol. 255, no. 5, pp. 2485–2489, 2008.

[28] M. Omidi, A. Yadegari, and L. Tayebi, “Wound dressing application of pH-sensitive carbon dots/chitosan hydrogel,” RSC Advances, vol. 7, no. 18, pp. 10638–10649, 2017.

[29] N. A. Ismail, K. A. M. Amin, and M. H. Razali, “Preparation of gellan gum (GG) film: the effect of GG, calcium chloride (CaCl2), glycerol concentration and heat treatment,” IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 440, no. 1, p. 012006, 2018, October.

[30] N. Devi and J. Dutta, “Preparation and characterization of chitosan-bentonite nanocomposite films for wound healing application,” International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, vol. 104, pp. 1897–1904, 2017.

[31] M. Pandima Devi, M. Sekar, M. Chamundeswari et al., “A novel wound dressing material—fibrin–chitosan–sodium alginate composite sheet,” Bulletin of Materials Science, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1157–1163, 2012.

[32] Z. H. Deng, J. J. Yin, W. Luo et al., “The effect of earthworm extract on promoting skin wound healing,” Bioscience Reports, vol. 38, no. 2, 2018.

[33] I. M. Garnica-Palafax and F. M. Sánchez-Arévalo, “Influence of natural and synthetic crosslinking reagents on the structural and mechanical properties of chitosan-based hybrid hydrogels,” Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 151, pp. 1073–1081, 2016.

[34] N. Kumar, N. Kumar, S. Das, C. Bernhard, and G. D. Varma, “Effect of graphene oxide doping on superconducting properties of bulk MgB2,” Superconductor Science and Technology, vol. 26, no. 9, Article ID 095008, 2013.

[35] A. M. Abdelghany, A. H. Oraby, and G. M. Asnag, “Structural, thermal and electrical studies of polyethylene oxide/starch blend containing green synthesized gold nanoparticles,” Journal of Molecular Structure, vol. 1180, pp. 15–25, 2019.

[36] I. S. Elashmawi, E. M. Abdelrazek, A. M. Hezma, and A. Rajeh, “Modification and development of electrical and magnetic properties of PVA/PEO incorporated with MnCl₂,” Physica B: Condensed Matter, vol. 434, pp. 57–63, 2014.

[37] S. Lenaerts, J. Roggen, and G. Maes, “FT-IR characterization of tin dioxide gas sensor materials under working conditions,” Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 883–894, 1995.

[38] A. M. Alshehara, E. Salim, and A. H. Oraby, “Structural, optical, morphological and mechanical studies of polyethylene oxide/sodium alginate blend containing multi-walled carbon nanotubes,” Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 15, pp. 5615–5622, 2021.

[39] R. Zamiri, A. Zakaria, H. A. Ahangar, M. Darroudi, A. K. Zak, and G. P. Drummen, “Aqueous starch as a stabilizer in zinc oxide nanoparticle synthesis via laser ablation,” Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 516, pp. 41–48, 2012.

[40] A. M. Alshehara, E. Salim, and A. H. Oraby, “Structural, optical, morphological and mechanical studies of polyethylene oxide/sodium alginate blend containing multi-walled carbon nanotubes,” Journal of Materials Research, vol. 15, pp. 5615–5622, 2021.

[41] M. Moazzen, A. Mousavi Khanehgh, N. Shariatifar et al., “Multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified with iron oxide and silver nanoparticles (MWCNT-Fe3O4/Ag) as a novel adsorbent for determining PAEs in carbonated soft drinks using magnetic SPE-GC/MS method,” Arabian Journal of Chemistry, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 476–488, 2019.

[42] N. A. Ismail, K. A. Mat Amin, and M. H. Razali, “Novel gellan gum incorporated TiO2 nanotubes film for skin tissue engineering,” Materials Letters, vol. 228, pp. 116–120, 2018.

[43] J. Zhang, T. Liu, and M. Liu, “Hydrothermal synthesis of halloysite nanotubes@ carbon nanocomposites with good biocompatibility,” Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, vol. 266, pp. 155–163, 2018.

[44] H. Wang, L. Xiang, W. Wei et al., “Efficient and lightweight electromagnetic wave absorber derived from metal organic framework-encapsulated cobalt nanoparticles,” ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, vol. 9, no. 48, pp. 42102–42110, 2017.

[45] A. M. Hezma, I. S. Elashmawi, E. M. Abdelrazek, A. Rajeh, and M. Kamal, “Enhancement of the thermal and mechanical properties of polyurethane/polyvinyl chloride blend by
loading single walled carbon nanotubes,” *Progress in Natural Science: Materials International*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 338–343, 2017.

[46] M. S. Meikhaïl, A. Hezma, and B. Adel, “Characterization and physical studies of Poly (vinyl alcohol)/Sodium alginate/multiwall carbon nanotube biocomposites,” *Journal of Textiles, Coloration and Polymer Science*, vol. 15, pp. 100–108, 2018.

[47] S. B. Teja, S. P. Patil, G. Shete, S. Patel, and A. K. Bansal, “Drug-exciptent behavior in polymeric amorphous solid dispersions,” *The Journal of Excipients and Food Chemicals*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 1048, 2016.

[48] R. Mohd Hasmizam, M. N. Ahmad-Fauzi, A. R. Mohamed, and S. Sreekantan, “Physical properties study of TiO₂ nanoparticle synthesis via hydrothermal method using TiO₂ microparticles as precursor,” *Advanced Materials Research*, vol. 772, pp. 365–370, 2013.

[49] S. Mishra, S. H. Sonawane, R. P. Singh, A. Bendale, and K. Patil, “Effect of nano-Mg (OH)₂ on the mechanical and flame-retarding properties of polypropylene composites,” *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 116–122, 2004.

[50] N. Vidakis, M. Petousis, E. Velidakis et al., “Mechanical reinforcement course of 3D printed polypropylene-antimony doped Tin Oxide nanocomposites versus filler loading,” *Advanced Composite Materials*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 235–256, 2021.

[51] J. Wang, Y. Peng, M. Chen et al., “Next-generation finely controlled graded porous antibacterial biocomposites for high-efficiency vascularization in orbital reconstruction,” *Bioactive Materials*, vol. 16, pp. 334–345, 2022.

[52] R. Wu, Y. Li, M. Shen et al., “Bone tissue regeneration: the role of finely tuned pore architecture of bioactive scaffolds before clinical translation,” *Bioactive Materials*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 1242–1254, 2021.

[53] H. Yoshikawa, N. Tamai, T. Murase, and A. Miyoui, “Interconnected porous hydroxyapatite ceramics for bone tissue engineering,” *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, vol. 6, no. Suppl 3, pp. S341–S348, 2009.

[54] S. Rajesh, A. Fauzi Ismail, and D. R. Mohan, “Structure-property interplay of poly (amide-imide) and TiO₂ nanoparticles impregnated poly (ether-sulfone) asymmetric nanofiltration membranes,” *RSC Advances*, vol. 2, no. 17, pp. 6854–6870, 2012.

[55] K. Samree, P. U. Sritpai, P. Kotchaplai et al., “Enhancing the antibacterial properties of PVDF membrane by hydrophilic surface modification using titanium dioxide and silver nanoparticles,” *Membranes*, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 289, 2020.

[56] I. Meydan, H. Burhan, T. Gür, H. Seçkin, B. Tanhaei, and F. Sen, “Characterization of Rheum ribs with ZnO nanoparticle and its anti-diabetic, antibacterial, DNA damage prevention and lipid peroxidation prevention activity of in vitro,” *Environmental Research*, vol. 204, Article ID 112363, 2022.

[57] H. I. Hamouda, H. M. Abdel-Ghafar, and M. H. H. Mahmoud, “Multi-walled carbon nanotubes decorated with silver nanoparticles for antimicrobial applications,” *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 2, Article ID 105034, 2021.

[58] Y. Liang, X. Zhao, T. Hu et al., “Adhesive hemostatic conducting injectable composite hydrogels with sustained drug release and photothermal antibacterial activity to promote full-thickness skin regeneration during wound healing,” *Small*, vol. 15, no. 12, 2019.

[59] L. Giraud, A. Tourrette, and E. Flahaut, “Carbon nanomaterials-based polymer-matrix nanocomposites for antimicrobial applications: a review,” *Carbon*, vol. 182, pp. 463–483, 2021.

[60] A. Wanag, P. Rokicka, E. Kusiak-Nejman et al., “Antibacterial properties of TiO₂ modified with reduced graphene oxide,” *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 147, pp. 788–793, 2018.

[61] Y. N. Chang, X. M. Ou, G. M. Zeng et al., “Synthesis of magnetic graphene oxide-TiO₂ and their antibacterial properties under solar irradiation,” *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 343, pp. 1–10, 2015.

[62] L. Karimi, M. E. Yazdanshenas, R. Khajavi, A. Rashidi, and M. Mirjali, “Using graphene/TiO₂ nanocomposite as a new route for preparation of electroconductive, self-cleaning, antibacterial and antifungal cotton fabric without toxicity,” *Cellulose*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 3813–3827, 2014.

[63] A. Lukowiak, A. Kedziora, and W. Strek, “Antimicrobial graphene family materials: progress, advances, hopes and fears,” *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 236, pp. 101–112, 2016.

[64] H. Yi, D. Huang, L. Qin et al., “Selective prepared carbon nanomaterials for advanced photocatalytic application in environmental pollutant treatment and hydrogen production,” *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 239, pp. 408–424, 2018.

[65] Z. Bagher, A. Ehterami, M. H. Sádelf et al., “Wound healing with alginate/chitosan hydrogel containing hesperidin in rat model,” *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, vol. 55, Article ID 101379, 2020.

[66] R. R. Resende, F. M. P. Tonelli, A. K. Santos et al., “Carbon nanotube interaction with extracellular matrix proteins producing scaffolds for tissue engineering,” *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, vol. 7, pp. 4511–4529, 2012.

[67] I. A. Neacsu, A. E. Melente, A. M. Holban et al., “Novel hydrogels based on collagen and ZnO nanoparticles with antibacterial activity for improved wound dressings,” *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 317–323, 2019.

[68] E. Manikandan, G. Kavitha, and J. Kennedy, “Epitaxial zinc oxide, graphene oxide composite thin-films by laser technique for micro-Raman and enhanced field emission study,” *Ceramics International*, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 16065–16070, 2014.

[69] X. Yin, S. Huang, S. Xu et al., “Preparation of pro-angiogenic, antibacterial and EGCG-modified ZnO quantum dots for treating bacterial infected wound of diabetic rats,” *Biomaterials Advances*, vol. 133, Article ID 112638, 2022.

[70] M. T. Khorasani, A. Joorabloo, H. Adeli, P. B. Milan, and A. Madni, “Multiwalled carbon nanotubes functionalized bacterial cellulose as an efficient antibacterial agent and full-thickness wound healing efficiency of hydrogels loaded with heparinized ZnO nanoparticles: in vitro and in vivo evaluation,” *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 166, pp. 200–212, 2021.

[71] A. Khalid, A. Madni, B. Raza et al., “Multi-walled carbon nanotubes functionalized bacterial cellulose as an efficient healing material for diabetic wounds,” *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 203, pp. 256–267, 2022.

[72] M. M. Mihai, M. B. Dima, B. Dima, and A. M. Holban, “Nanomaterials for wound healing and infection control,” *Materials*, vol. 12, no. 13, p. 2176, 2019.

[73] S. Parham and A. Zargar Kharazi, “Cellulosic textile/clove nanocomposite as an antimicrobial wound dressing: in vitro and in vivo study,” *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, vol. 217, Article ID 112659, 2022.