How Seasonality of Malnutrition Is Measured and Analyzed
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Abstract: Seasonality is a critical source of vulnerability across most human activities and natural processes, including the underlying and immediate drivers of acute malnutrition. However, while there is general agreement that acute malnutrition is highly variable within and across years, the evidence base is limited, resulting in an overreliance on assumptions of seasonal peaks. We review the design and analysis of 24 studies exploring the seasonality of nutrition outcomes in Africa’s drylands, providing a summary of approaches and their advantages and disadvantages. Over half of the studies rely on two to four time points within the year and/or the inclusion of time as a categorical variable in the analysis. While such approaches simplify interpretation, they do not correspond to the climatic variability characteristic of drylands or the relationship between climatic variability and human activities. To better ground our understanding of the seasonality of acute malnutrition in a robust evidence base, we offer recommendations for study design and analysis, including drawing on participatory methods to identify community perceptions of seasonality, use of longitudinal data and panel analysis with approaches borrowed from the field of infectious diseases, and linking oscillations in nutrition data with climatic data.
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1. Introduction

Seasonal variations occur in most human activities and natural processes, interconnected and part of the environmental and meteorological patterns of the ecosystems. The recognition of seasonality as a source of temporal variability is present across most disciplines, including ecology, anthropology, biology, food systems, and animal and human health. Less attention has been given to building the evidence base around the seasonality of malnutrition, despite (or maybe because of) the multi-causal nature of nutrition outcomes relating to almost all other disciplines. However, as we strain to meet the targets of the nutrition Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and acknowledge that, in some contexts, we are persistently seeing levels of malnutrition equated to a humanitarian emergency [1], it is time to emphasize and better quantify the role of seasonality in nutrition outcomes.

Seasonality, a systematic periodic occurrence of events over the course of a year, is a well-known phenomenon in human health conditions manifested by marked fluctuations in the incidence of diseases [2]. The main determinants of temporal variations in health conditions are related to evolving host susceptibility, periodicity in exposure to pathogens, food availability, and the ever-changing environment that can support or repress a host’s growth and health. Seasonality in malnutrition refers to any pattern or variability in nutrition outcomes that are correlated with the seasons, specifically with changes in environmental conditions such as temperature, rainfall, and vegetation. Seasonal changes in these variables, mediated through livelihoods and institutions, underpin the underlying—food security, childcare, health—and immediate—disease and food consumption—drivers of child acute malnutrition [3]. Thus, the manifestations of acute malnutrition,
most commonly measured by the weight for height z-score (WHZ), mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), wasting defined as having a WHZ less than negative two standard deviations [4] or mid-upper MUAC less than or equal to 125 mm, and severe wasting defined as having WHZ less than negative three standard deviations or MUAC less than 110 mm, tend to exhibit a high level of variability over a short period of time [5–10]. Global acute malnutrition (GAM) refers to the prevalence of wasting in a population and severe acute malnutrition (SAM) refers to the prevalence of severe wasting (WHZ < -3). In addition, underweight, defined as having a weight for age z-score (WAZ) below negative two standard deviations, also partially captures acute malnutrition given its construction. The observed variability in acute malnutrition within the course of the year can be even greater than the variability observed across years [11]. However, the evidence base for seasonality is extremely limited, resulting in an overreliance on general assumptions. Thus, more recently, there has been a call for a better understanding of the seasonality of acute malnutrition, with seasonality identified as the “missing link” [6] or major gap in acute malnutrition prevention [1,3,12].

While seasonality of acute malnutrition is observed in many climatic conditions, it might be most pronounced in locations prone to environmental extremes. Drylands, defined as having an aridity index of less than 0.65 [13], are characterized by erratic rainfall, seasonally high temperature, and significant environmental variability across both time [1,13,14] and space [15]. Livelihood activities are ecologically adapted to the dryland environment, with communities taking advantage of the seasonal distribution of natural resources. Thus, the climatic variability and hence seasonality, mediated through livelihoods and institutions, are reflected in the immediate and underlying drivers of acute malnutrition, as well as the nutrition outcomes themselves. Populations living in drylands experience marked seasonal variability and stress across multiple outcomes, including child nutrition [16–18]. Many of the countries identified as drylands also correspond to humanitarian contexts that experience emergency levels of acute malnutrition, even in the absence of an emergency and significant humanitarian and development funding [19]. It is not surprising that over the last decade, international donors have spent roughly 90 billion dollars in just nine countries with large dryland areas, accounting for almost 50 percent of all humanitarian assistance worldwide [20]. Existing assumptions around malnutrition seasonality dictate the content and timing of programming, infrequently supported by evidence [21]. Thus, in this paper, we review the analytical approaches for existing nutrition seasonality research in drylands, as well as making recommendations on how to improve this research in order to design more appropriate interventions implemented at the correct time.

The three main features that characterize seasonality are: (1) a point in time when a seasonal curve reaches its maximum; (2) an amplitude from peak to nadir, and (3) a duration of a seasonal increase defined by a shape of a curve [2]. The shape of a seasonal pattern reflects how quickly a temporal curve reaches its peak and declines to a nadir over the course of a full cycle, typically a year or a half of a year. Therefore, the seasonal curve of a nutrition outcome can be characterized by periods of high or low malnutrition and could have one or more seasonal peaks. Quantifying these seasonal characteristics of acute malnutrition is critical for measuring progress towards the SDGs and the development of appropriately timed nutrition-sensitive and nutrition-specific interventions to reach those goals. More so, without a clear understanding of the seasonality of wasting, it is impossible to either interpret the aggregated global estimate of wasting [6] or report on trends in wasting, as is done with stunting and obesity [22].

Most existing seasonality studies in nutrition rely on categorical measures of the “time” variable, comparing nutrition outcomes across two to four time periods in the year. The selection of the timing of data collection is either based on implicit assumptions that acute malnutrition is seen to be caused first and foremost by a lack of food [21], by selecting seasons such as pre- vs. post-harvest, or using even-interval quarterly data collection. The categorical approach to “time” does not correspond to how time is analyzed in other
public health fields that exhibit significant seasonal oscillation. Given that acute malnutrition is a symptom of disease [3,23], a cause of disease [24,25], and shows significant within-year variability [11] similar to infectious diseases, we draw on analytical applications from the field of epidemiology that model and forecast oscillations in disease. Seasonality in the epidemiology literature is characterized by the magnitude, timing, and duration [26] of seasonal increases and thus requires sufficiently frequent observations, analyzed as a continuous variable, in order to capture those components and establish an understanding of seasonality. The use of a categorical time variable in both the design and analysis stage does not correspond to the reality of climatic variability, the relationship between that climatic variability and human activities, and instead might inadvertently support existing assumptions instead of contributing to a robust evidence base.

The aim of this paper is to review the existing methods and models for assessing seasonality in acute malnutrition. We then proceed to offer recommendations for primary data collection, study design, and data analysis. Throughout the review, we specifically narrow in on dryland given the high level of seasonal variability and possible comparability given the similar environmental context. However, an understanding of the seasonality of acute malnutrition is critical beyond just the dryland context and is necessary for designing appropriately timed interventions and tracking progress towards the SDG goals more broadly.

2. Materials and Methods

We carried out a scoping review to identify the literature on the seasonality of acute malnutrition in drylands. We based our search on the following inclusion criteria:

- **Objective**: While the article did not have to list seasonality analysis as an objective, some measure of seasonality had to be included in the analysis, either modeled or visually.
- **Geography**: Only articles looking at drylands were evaluated. These included the following countries: Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Chad, Ethiopia, Eritrea, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Mali, Malawi, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, South Africa, South Sudan, Sudan, Zimbabwe in Africa; Chile in South America; and Pakistan, Mongolia, Kazakhstan, and Afghanistan in Asia. Countries were identified as drylands according to whether they had territory with an aridity index less than 0.65 [27,28].
- **Outcome measures**: Only articles that included a measure of acute malnutrition, either wasting, severe wasting WHZ, MUAC, global acute malnutrition (GAM), and/or severe acute malnutrition (SAM), as well as weight for age (WAZ) and underweight (WAZ < -2) given the inclusion of weight in the construction.

However, information on modeling approaches, such as seasonal analysis, is notoriously difficult to extract through regular search engines. For example, we did a scrape on Google Scholar on the search terms “seasonal”, “child”, and “acute malnutrition”, and all their possible variations, as well as the geographical criteria. We specified that the search terms must appear in either the title, abstract, or keywords. We set the start date to 1920. This approach allowed us to identify 548 articles. We then applied our “outcome” criteria to the article as well as confirming that some findings on seasonality were presented or included in the models, which reduced the number of articles to 9. More importantly the search missed 15 of the articles identified through non-systematic approaches, such as communication with other researchers and reviewing bibliographies. Combing all approaches, we identified 24 articles that met all three criteria upon careful review. Notably, no research on seasonality of nutrition outcomes in dryland contexts was found for either South America or Asia, despite multiple countries in the latter being identified as having persistently high levels of acute malnutrition [19].
3. Results

Seasonality has been a critical component of research and programming around agriculture [18] and infectious diseases [26,29–33], but less so when it comes to nutrition. However, there is a growing body of evidence that aims to quantify the seasonality of acute malnutrition in drylands with a mix of different design and analytical approaches. In this section, we present a summary of those methodological approaches and their respective advantages and disadvantages in measuring and analyzing nutrition seasonality in Africa’s drylands.

Table 1 provides a summary of temporal measures, data types, e.g., cross-sectional vs. longitudinal, statistical tests used to perform seasonality analysis, and nutrition variable under study, as presented in the reviewed literature related to nutrition seasonality. Over half of the studies (13) reviewed compare across two to four time periods, with either a month selected to be representative of a predefined season, aggregation of data across several months to create a seasonal mean, or the use of even-interval quarterly data. There is a mix of both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies, but a similarity across statistical methods. The analytical approaches utilized in these studies to establish seasonality are primarily the comparison of the time periods using paired and unpaired t-tests (4), multivariate analysis with the inclusion of season as a dummy variable (5), and/or repeated-measure analysis of variance (3). In addition, six papers drew conclusions from visual representations of nutrition outcomes by month; two papers used harmonic regression; three papers used random-, fixed-, or mixed-effects analysis; one paper used Bayesian hierarchical space–time modeling, and one paper used Fourier regression.

Table 1. Summary of temporal measures, data types, statistical tests, and seasonal nutrition variables presented in the reviewed literature.

| Temporal Resolution | Country               | Temporal Measure                                      | Data Type       | Statistical Tests                        | Nutrition Variable |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Two time periods    | Ethiopia              | wet vs. dry season                                    | Longitudinal    | paired t-test                            | Wasting            |
|                     | Chad                  | end of dry season vs. end of rainy season             | cross-sectional | multivariate logistic regression          | GAM                |
|                     | Malawi                | vs. post-harvest season monthly, but grouped into     | cross-sectional | multivariate logistic regression          | Underweight        |
|                     |                       | harvest vs. pre-harvest                               |                 |                                          |                    |
|                     | Kenya                 | dry vs. rainy                                         | Longitudinal    | mixed logistic regression model           | SAM with complications |
| Three time periods  | Mali                  | monthly, but grouped into harvest, dry, and rainy     | Longitudinal    | repeated-measures analysis of variance   | WHZ                |
|                     | Greater Horn of Africa| secondary data grouped into moderate, hunger, and     | cross-sectional | multivariate analysis                    | Wasting            |
|                     |                       | post-hunger season                                    |                 |                                          |                    |
| Four time periods   | Kenya                 | Aug-Nov, Dec-Feb, Mar-May, and Jun-Sep                 | Longitudinal    | visual inspection                        | WHZ                |
|                     | Gambia                | May, October, February, September                     | Longitudinal    | visual inspection                        | WHZ                |
|                     | Senegal               | February, June, October, December                     | Longitudinal    | t-test, analysis of variance              | WHZ                |
| Country      | Seasons Description | Study Design | Analysis Method                      | Outcome |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Niger       | November, February, May, September four seasons: January-March, April-June, July-September, October-December | Longitudinal | t-test and multivariate regression | Wasting |
| Zimbabwe    | four seasons: January-March, April-June, July-September, October-December | Cross-sectional | Multivariate regression | Underweight |
| Somalia     | harsh dry season, rainy season, second dry season, short rainy season | Cross-sectional | Bayesian hierarchical space-time model | Wasting |
| Niger       | monthly | Cross-sectional | None, visual inspection | Severe wasting |
| Malawi      | monthly | Longitudinal | None, visual inspection | Wasting |
| Chad, Sudan, South Sudan | monthly | Cross-sectional | None, visual inspection | Wasting, WHZ |
| South Sudan | monthly | Cross-sectional | None, visual inspection | Wasting |
| Ghana       | monthly | Longitudinal | t-tests, linear regression | % of children underweight |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | monthly | Cross-sectional | Fixed-effects regression | Wasting, WHZ |
| Kenya       | monthly | Longitudinal | Repeated-measures analysis of variance | MUAC for age |
| Gambia      | monthly | Longitudinal | Fourier regression | WHZ |
| Nigeria     | every 2–4 weeks | Cross-sectional | Mixed-effects harmonic regression with 2π terms | GAM |
| Sudan       | day of survey | Cross-sectional | Mixed-effects harmonic regression with 2π terms | GAM, SAM |

GAM: Global acute malnutrition; WHZ: weight for height z-score; SAM: severe acute malnutrition; MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference.

Each analytical approach is associated with distinct benefits, but also caveats, as presented in Table 2. A clearer understanding of the options for analysis can help to identify opportunities and appropriate study designs. The use of t-tests is the simplest form of analysis when comparing nutrition outcomes across two to four seasonal categories. The test itself is well understood and the results easy to interpret. Complexity slightly increases when running multivariate analysis with the inclusion of the season as an indicator, or dummy variable, but still allows for easy interpretation and for controlling for other key characteristics, such as the age and sex of the child. With only two to four seasons and hence a maximum of three variables indicating a season, multivariate analysis does not require a long time series of data that define a sample size needed for the analysis. These approaches can be applied to both cross-sectional and longitudinal data. Repeated-measures analysis of variance can further improve the analysis by taking advantage of the panel nature of the data and hence controlling for factors that cause variability between subjects. However, because all three of these analytical approaches require “time” to be included as a categorical variable, they force several assumptions on the model that do not correspond to the environmental variability characteristic of drylands and violate basic principles of time continuity.
Table 2. Summary of temporal resolution, analytical methods, and their respective benefits and caveats in analyzing nutrition seasonality.

| Temporal Resolution | Analytical Method | Benefits                                                                 | Caveats                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Categorical variable representing seasons (e.g., wet vs. dry, pre-harvest vs. post-harvest) | t-test (paired, unpaired) | Easy to calculate and interpret                                           | Assumes independent samples of two populations are normally distributed with same variance, Cannot control for differences between populations |
|                     | Multivariate Regression | Can control for wide variety of covariates                               | Assumptions: Relationship between independent and dependent variables is linear, All variables are multivariate normal, Independent errors: no autocorrelation, Homoscedastic errors: constant variance of errors, no multicollinearity, Results can only be interpreted in comparison to reference category |
|                     | Fixed-Effect Regression | Useful for longitudinal data to control for subject-specific means       | Assumes unobservable factors influencing both independent and dependent variables are time-invariant, Assumes constant group means, Thus selection of grouping variables is critical, Regression assumptions hold (see Multivariate Regression above) |
|                     | Bayesian Models    | Flexible probability-driven method                                        | Requires selection of appropriate distributions to characterize prior and posterior beliefs, Requires advanced numerical approximation algorithms (e.g., Laplace Approximation, Markov Chain Monte Carlo), Not widely utilized in development community |
| Categorical variables representing month as a proxy to a season | Multivariate Regression | Use of indicator or dummy variables to represent temporal variable (as month or week) can obscure temporal sequence | Assumes population variances of all possible difference scores are equal (sphericity), Results can only be interpreted over fixed time points; must be serialized for continuous interpretation |
| Continuous variable representing seasons in time units, e.g., month, week, or day | Harmonic Regression | Useful for cyclical phenomena Easy estimation of harmonic characteristics such as peak timing and amplitude | Begin modeling with more than one periodic term (2\(\pi\), 4\(\pi\), 6\(\pi\), etc.) to account for possibility of more than one seasonal peak |
|                     | Classic and Modern Time Series Model | Useful for cyclical phenomena                                              | Might be complex in interpretation and require specialized software |
|                     | Bayesian Models    | (see Bayesian Models above)                                               |                                                                                                                                 |

The categorical classification of seasons is not appropriate for dryland contexts as seasons are not fixed with respect to calendar months. There can be significant variability with respect to the timing of the rains in a given location and hence when the “rainy” season happens versus the “dry” season. For example, in the Ouaddai Region of Chad, the beginning of the rains can typically start as early as March or as late as June and end as early as August or as late as December [1]. Thus, having a fixed month to serve as representative of that season could be capturing two very different climatic conditions and hence the presence of different underlying and immediate drivers of acute malnutrition. Furthermore, the “rainy” periods vary in duration and intensity over time and across locations.

Similarly, taking a mean of multiple months to represent a seasonal mean does not take account of the climatic variability in drylands. A few studies aggregated nutrition
data across pre-determined seasons, thus ultimately reducing the precision of the data. The problem with this approach is that a lot of information can be lost in the aggregation of seasonal data [29,34], especially if the critical period for peak wasting is likely to be short [7], as is the case in the findings around the start of the rains being a critical period of acute malnutrition risk in Chad, Sudan, and South Sudan [1].

The pre-selection of two to four seasons also does not correspond to community perceptions of seasonality in drylands. For example, among the Fulani, three or four major seasons are recognized, but communities also identify sub-seasons that relate directly to their annual cycle of agricultural and pastoral activities, reporting up to eight seasons [35]. In Chad and Sudan, households identify five seasons, which include categories that reflect the start of the rains and period between the harvest and dry season, on top of the more standard categories of rainy, dry, and harvest season [1,36,37]. These periods of transition between the standard seasonal categories can be fairly short, up to three weeks, but are extremely vital. Recently, several studies have identified the start of the rains, an extremely short season lasting roughly three weeks, as the period of greatest risk of acute malnutrition in Africa’s drylands [1].

Furthermore, the pre-selection of specific seasons and their identification as “harvest”, “pre-harvest”, and “post-harvest” or “moderate hunger”, “hunger”, and “post-hunger” implies a built-in assumption of food security or production yield as the primary driver of acute malnutrition, corresponding to the food-first bias [21]. While the use of even-interval selection of timing of data collection decreases the possibility of built-in assumptions around specific seasons, there is a clear implication here, likely borrowed from western culture, that seasons are equal in duration. However, seasons as described by local populations follow the pattern of human activities and access to specific natural resources and thus almost never fall into evenly spaced intervals.

A handful of studies (4), on the other hand, utilized random-, fixed-, or mixed-effects modeling, treating time as a continuous variable and thus avoiding some of the built-in assumptions present with categorical data. Two of these studies applied harmonic regression analysis typical of epidemiology and used in the modeling of infectious diseases [26,31,38]. However, both studies limited the model to the inclusion of $2\pi$ sine and cosine terms that refer to a single seasonal peak and thus could not accommodate a more complex form in seasonal variations relevant to food security concepts. The exclusion of higher-order harmonic terms means that the model directly embedded the assumption of just one peak in the nutrition outcome, which might not be the case in all drylands [1].

4. Discussion

Given the importance of environmental variability and seasonality in relation to peak timing of acute malnutrition and its drivers [3], there are several approaches to improving both the design and analysis of nutrition seasonality research, with an emphasis on borrowing from techniques developed and tested in modeling and forecasting infectious diseases, given the clear link with disease as both a cause and effect of acute malnutrition and similar within-year oscillation. Furthermore, analytical methods in the field of epidemiology have advanced and adapted to more complex and developmental settings, characteristics of the context that have significant malnutrition [39,40]. A better understanding of these techniques can not only improve the accuracy of the analysis, but also better inform nutrition seasonality study designs, as well as provide improved options for analysis of data originally collected with a categorical measure of seasonality. We provide several recommendations below with an emphasis on incorporating participatory methods to capture household perspectives on seasonality, lining up the quantitative data collection and analysis with climatic variability by drawing on remote sensing data, opportunities for drawing on secondary data for prediction of seasonal peaks in acute malnutrition, and general tips for improving the precision of seasonality analysis.

People’s livelihoods, such as pastoralism, farming, and fishing, require access to natural resources, access that is directly related to environmental variability, particularly in
干地环境。这些资源的使用方式，通过制度和社会规范来中介，影响着急性营养不良的直接和潜在驱动因素。因此，必须更加重视土著知识和当地对季节性的观点。社区区分多个季节，这在预测和描述环境变化和人类活动方面非常有用[7,35]，需要在季节性研究中与更定量的方法并行考虑。如果没有参与性方法来识别与家庭生计和活动相关联的季节，就会有危险在研究和项目设计中错过一个关键的季节。

除了对参与性方法的强烈投资外，指导对环境变化的季节性理解的量化方法需要与季节性波动相一致。虽然优先收集高频纵向数据是有利的，因为它允许使用带有谐波项的混合效应模型（表2），但使用遥感数据可以帮助将营养结果的变化与气候波动联系起来。如前所述，干地环境表现出显著的波动性，因此日历月不能始终与特定的季节相关联。例如，在乍得，6月的数据收集可能捕捉到旱季，初夏降雨，或雨季，这取决于数据收集的年份[1]。因此，季节性营养波动可以通过诸如降水、温度和植被等变量来最佳理解，这是少数几个研究季节性营养的研究之一[1,11]。

将气候数据纳入更好地预测急性营养不良的模型和预测的方法可以从现有的传染病文献中获得[29,30]。例如，我们最近的研究处理了具有强季节性的轮状病毒感染的复杂非线性结构，通过两个步骤的程序来估计季节性特征和理解感染与环境条件之间的关系目的的峰值时间预测[41]。另一项研究使用聚类方法来创建一个包含气象条件的综合或经验法则，以检测特定地点可能出现的沙门氏菌暴发的有利日子[42]。在这一方面，我们正在利用数据驱动的方法来探索如何在特定背景下创建“季节”

此外，也有机会利用现有二级数据，应用在高频纵向数据（表2）中使用的分析技术。非洲许多干地环境也经历频繁的人道主义紧急情况，因此需要一致和持续的数据收集营养结果。充分利用所有可用的原始调查数据在多个调查中，而不是单个调查，可以提供许多时间的观察，允许用于汇总区域作为数据集分析，并因此将跨区域数据转化为纵向数据。例如，Chotard等利用900个SMART调查横跨非洲角来探讨季节趋势[43]。Nielson等利用164个在苏丹达尔富尔地区公开可用的调查来执行季节性分析，根据调查收集日期创建连续时间变量进行分析[44]。另一项分析查看了20年在乍得、苏丹和南苏丹收集的300个SMART调查，以识别两种急性营养不良的高峰[1]。最后，Thiede等利用39个通过数据收集设备（例如，平板电脑，智能手机等）收集的健康和福利普查（DHS）跨越18个国家25年[45]。

当谈到季节性特征的分析时，有多种方法可以提高数据质量并增加数据分析的精度和深度，无论是在设计阶段。在数据收集日的信息，即使研究使用月度或季度设计，也应该广泛利用并强制用于分析[44]。考虑到大多数数据现在收集在数字数据收集设备（例如，平板电脑，智能手机等）中，信息如数据收集日和时间可以自动作为时间戳并存储。
most efficient and appropriate format, including year, month, and day, to allow efficient data sorting, arranging, and verification. With improved temporal granularity data, analysts could expand the range of models and convert time units into the most suitable aggregation, such as a week or a month, if needed [29].

In addition, the collection of global position systems (GPS) coordinates is also frequently automatic when conducting digital data collection. Inclusion of this information can allow for easier and proper linkages with remote sensing data, thus allowing the direct analysis of nutrition outcomes with respect to changes in rainfall, vegetation, temperature, as well as land use, topology, proximity to markets, health centers, etc., as opposed to using a crude assignment of a season or a rough proxy as a month indicator in an analytical model to deal with the temporal and spatial heterogeneity.

At the modeling stage, seasonality analysis needs to check for multiple peaks in nutrition outcomes by utilizing harmonic regressions with $2\pi$, $4\pi$, and higher-order sine and cosine terms or using non-parametric data-driven methods. Recently, several studies have identified more than one seasonal peak in acute malnutrition in unimodal dryland contexts [1]. It is possible that even more peaks exist in more complex climatic conditions, such as in bimodal rainfall drylands. All analysis also needs to occur across both the binary (wasting) and continuous form (WHZ) of acute malnutrition given that the two forms capture different information (tail end of the distribution versus median) and thus reflect different programmatic implications. In addition, data need to be explored separately for boys and girls, as they might have different drivers and seasonal trends in nutrition outcomes, thus requiring different programmatic approaches. Multiple studies have found boys to have significantly worse nutrition outcomes compared to girls [46–51], as well as different seasonal patterns [50,52]. Thus, an investment in sex-stratified seasonality research is critical in order to design appropriate interventions.

Modeling seasonality in the fields of infectious disease epidemiology could provide a rich ground for methodology cross-fertilization. Not only is such research valuable for a deeper understanding of the relationship between malnutrition and infections, but the methodological aspects and data sources could increase the range of available approaches. For example, in our study in India, we used systematically collected hospitalization records, taking advantage of detailed patients place of origin data, to provide insight into the seasonality of cholera at the point of care to support comprehensive national infectious disease surveillance [39]. This approach is relevant for countries where the nutrition outcomes are routinely collected at local clinics, health centers, and hospitals. Research from Costa Rica used hospital admission data to estimate peak timing of rotavirus infections and to provide the benchmark at the start of the national vaccination campaign [40]. Such use of centralized records could be of value for monitoring the impact of intervention strategies. Existing surveillance data can be also used for both forecasting purposes as well as providing insight into historical outbreaks. Our study in Australia used surveillance data on Ross River virus and Barmah Forest virus to identify peak timing, as well as to estimate the impact of a false-positive Barmah Forest virus epidemic in 2013 [53]. We had recently demonstrated the summertime synchronization of foodborne outbreaks in the USA using the national surveillance data [54]. Thus, secondary data could be extremely useful as part of formative research, for extrapolating seasonal trends from smaller and context specific primary seasonality research, as well as for general forecasting and modeling. Obviously, the analysis provided in this paper can be expanded beyond malnutrition in drylands and cover broader health and climatic aspects in future research.

With the recent explosion of open data sources, novel analytical tools, increased computation and visualization capacities, and broad interests in seasonal variations of health conditions, new opportunities are emerging to better understand the nature, drivers, and implications of interventions to improve nutrition and health outcomes. Seasonal patterns in malnutrition have been long recognized by epidemiologists and their links to environment and sensitivity to climatic fluctuations deserve close attention. Better assessment of
seasonality, its characterization, analysis, and description is critical for health practitioners, researchers, and decision-makers for targeting the timing of interventions in a more accurate manner, for efficient allocation of precious resources, and for careful programming of preventive strategies geared toward nutrition and meeting the targets of the nutrition SDGs.

5. Conclusions

Understanding the seasonality of acute malnutrition is critical for designing appropriately timed interventions for both treatment and prevention, as well as tracking progress towards the SDG goals. Unfortunately, at the time of writing, the evidence base on the seasonal patterns of nutrition outcomes in Africa’s drylands is limited. However, there are significant opportunities to improve the design and analysis of the seasonality of acute malnutrition, borrowing from lessons learned and methods developed in the field of epidemiology. A better understanding of the benefits and caveats of different analytical methods can inform study design and improve analysis of seasonality more broadly. Child malnutrition is a complex phenomenon that requires us to draw across multiple fields of study: agricultural production, infectious diseases, nutrient content, water systems, cultural norms, livelihoods, gender, etc. Thus, our methods toolbox should be equally diverse, constantly learning from best practices and adapting to contextual constraints.
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