Bacterial and viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns induce divergent early transcriptomic landscapes in a bovine macrophage cell line
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Abstract

Background: Pathogens stimulate immune functions of macrophages. Macrophages are a key sentinel cell regulating the response to pathogenic ligands and orchestrating the direction of the immune response. Our study aimed at investigating the early transcriptomic changes of bovine macrophages (Bomacs) in response to stimulation with CpG DNA or polyI:C, representing bacterial and viral ligands respectively, and performed transcriptomics by RNA sequencing (RNASeq). KEGG, GO and IPA analytical tools were used to reconstruct pathways, networks and to map out molecular and cellular functions of differentially expressed genes (DE) in stimulated cells.

Results: A one-way ANOVA analysis of RNASeq data revealed significant differences between the CpG DNA and polyI:C-stimulated Bomac. Of the 13,740 genes mapped to the bovine genome, 2245 had p-value ≤ 0.05, deemed as DE. At 6 h post stimulation of Bomac, poly(I:C) induced a very different transcriptomic profile from that induced by CpG DNA. Whereas, 347 genes were upregulated and 210 downregulated in response to CpG DNA, poly(I:C) upregulated 761 genes and downregulated 414 genes. The topmost DE genes in poly(I:C)-stimulated cells had thousand-fold changes with highly significant p-values, whereas in CpG DNA stimulated cells had 2–5-fold changes with less stringent p-values. The highest DE genes in both stimulations belonged to the TNF superfamily, TNFSF18 (CpG) and TNFSF10 (poly(I:C)) and in both cases the lowest downregulated gene was CYP1A1. CpG DNA highly induced canonical pathways that are unrelated to immune response in Bomac. CpG DNA influenced expression of genes involved in molecular and cellular functions in free radical scavenging. By contrast, poly(I:C) highly induced exclusively canonical pathways directly related to antiviral immune functions mediated by interferon signalling genes. The transcriptomic profile after poly(I:C)-stimulation was consistent with induction of TLR3 signalling.

Conclusion: CpG DNA and poly(I:C) induce different early transcriptional landscapes in Bomac, but each is suited to a specific function of macrophages during interaction with pathogens. Poly(I:C) influenced antiviral response genes, whereas CpG DNA influenced genes important for phagocytic processes. Poly(I:C) was more potent in setting the inflammatory landscape desirable for an efficient immune response against virus infection.
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Background
Gene expression profiles generated from a wide variety of cell types treated with different pathogens or substances that mimic pathogens can yield valuable insights into the mechanisms of host-pathogen interaction. Moreover, gene expression profiles permit identification of specific responses to microbial stimuli that can lead to rationale design of therapeutic approaches or next-generation vaccines. With the completion of the bovine genome [1], it is possible to analyze transcriptional profiles or interactomes to understand the host-pathogen relationships that lead to cellular responses. Therefore, highly sophisticated techniques such as RNASeq have become useful to study whole transcriptomic landscapes of cells during infection or treatment with therapeutic agents. There is now abundant evidence that the innate immune response immensely influences the development of an infection into disease. Among other cells at the forefront of innate immunity, macrophages (MΦ) play a major role in containing primary infections. For instance, infection of mice with herpes simplex 1 virus followed by induction of M2 macrophages through CSF-1 DNA was associated with reduced virus replication in the eye, reduced latency and reduced levels of CD4, CD8, IFN-γ and PD-1 transcripts in the trigeminal ganglion [2]. Some reports show that MΦ alter their gene expression profile upon infection, e.g., Mycobacterium bovis infection is associated with the repression of host gene expression in MΦ [3, 4]. Therefore, reaction of MΦ to various pathogens is variable and is not yet completely understood. Lewandowska-Sabat et al. [5] have reported the early phase transcriptional program of bovine monocyte-derived MΦ infected with Staphylococcus aureus and show that S. aureus induces both, alternative and classical MΦ activation pathways. They concluded that activation of MΦ through the alternative pathway possibly contributes to intracellular persistence of S. aureus during mastitis in dairy cattle. Infection of an epithelial cell-MΦ co-culture with Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP) revealed a number of metabolic, DNA repair and virulence genes that are worthy to investigate for new drug targets [6]. In particular, this study revealed a novel iron assimilation system for carboxymycolactin. Another RNASeq study of MAP infection [7] of monocyte-derived MΦ showed expression of genes that account for protective host immunity and those that might support MAP survival and proliferation in MΦ.

Antigen presenting cells (APCs), such as MΦ, express pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which are used for detecting pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). PRR signal through intermediate molecular adaptors to activate transcription factors that drive gene transcription and expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines responsible for antimicrobial activity. CpG DNA and synthetic dsRNA (poly(I:C)) are classically used as model ligands to represent specific pathogens such as bacteria and dsRNA viruses, and are potent adjuvants of immune response in many animal species. Therefore, it is imperative that the influence of adjuvants on the responding cells be thoroughly understood. During stimulation with dsRNA, the adaptor TRIF (TIR-domain-containing adapter-inducing interferon-β) is recruited to the Toll/IL-1 Receptor (TIR) domain of activated, dimeric TLR3, which leads to stimulation of the transcription factors IRF3, NF-κB and AP-1 [8, 9]. By contrast, the chaperon protein UNC93B mediates transport of TLR9 to the endosome where the binding with DNA molecules induces the formation of the signalling complex that includes MyD88, TRAF6 and IRAK4. The resulting response activates the NF-κB pathway leading to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. In addition, activation of IRF7 upon TLR9 activation rather leads to the production of type-I and -III interferon (IFN) which depend on numerous co-activators such as IRAK1, TRAF3, OPN, IKKα and PI3Kδ [10].

Bomac cells are often used but the responsiveness to CpG DNA and poly(I:C) has not been previously assessed using high throughput genomics techniques. To shed more light on the initial transcriptional response of an innate immune cell upon encounter with different PAMPS, we studied the influence of CpG DNA and poly(I:C) on a bovine MΦ cell line. Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine differential expression of genes in bovine macrophages under the influence TLR3 and TLR9 agonists in order to better understand the early phase of transcriptional changes induced by CpG DNA or poly(I:C) in the Bomac macrophage cell line. Our results show that poly(I:C) induces a transcriptional profile rather resembling an antiviral immune response, whereas CpG DNA induces a varied transcriptional profile with a highly marked function of free radical scavenging.

Methods
Cells
The Bomac cell line is a transformed bovine peritoneal macrophage cell line described by Stabel and Stabel [11]. The original Bomac cell line present in the laboratory of one of the authors [12] was found to be contaminated with bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), probably present already in the original cell type. The cell line was subsequently cured of BVDV (Marti, S. and Schweizer, M., manuscript in preparation; [13], and maintained in IMDM supplemented with Glutamax, Hesp, 10% fetal calf serum, non-essential amino acids, MEM vitamins, penicillin and streptomycin, and 2-mercaptoethanol. The
cured cell line used in these studies was obtained from Dr. Matthias Schweizer. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in the atmosphere and subcultured every two days. Additionally, the cells were tested for Mycoplasma contamination using the PlasmoTest™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit from InvivoGen, and found to be negative (Additional file 1). Further, a conventional RT-PCR was performed to detect BDV in the cured cells. We did not detect and BDV sequences (Additional file 1).

**Flow cytometry**

Because the Bomac cells have been reported not to be a homogeneous population, and have lost certain functions, we sought to determine the phenotype of the cells that we were working with, by flow cytometry. Bomac cells were stained with anti-CD14 (cat. nr MCA2678GA), CD16 (cat. nr MCA5665GA), CD11b (cat. nr MCA1425F), CD172a (cat. nr MCA6079), CD44 (cat. nr MCA2433F), MHC II (cat. nr MCA2445PE) from BioRad, and CD40 (cat. nr ABIN2480301), CD68 (cat. nr ABIN2472322), CD71 (cat. nr ABIN2560526), CCR2 (ABIN2787667) from Antibodies Online. Another set of cells were stimulated with p(I:C) as described in the Cell stimulation section, and then similarly stained for flow cytometry. At least 100,000 events were acquired on a BD FACS Calibur flow cytometer, and later analyzed in FlowJo. A total of six determinations were performed and means compared using the descriptive statistical analysis tool in Microsoft Excel.

**Cell stimulation**

Cells were first cultured in 6 well plates at a density of 10⁶ cells per well for 24 h at the time they reached confluence. The maintenance medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing CpG DNA or poly(I:C) at 10 μg/ml or 50 μg/ml, respectively. A separate culture plate was set with unstimulated cells to serve as control. We used the class B CpG oligonucleotide (ODN 2007) reactive with bovine TLR9, whereas the poly(I:C) at 10⁴ μg/ml or 50 μg/ml, respectively. A separation of one lane of a HiSeq 4000 (Illumina; sequencing kit v 1), generating over 373 million 100 bp single-end reads. Fastq files were generated and demultiplexed per sample with the bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14 Conversion Software (Illumina), which also trims Illumina adapters from the reads and removes any resulting sequences shorter than 35 bp. All bases across the reads showed quality scores greater than Q30 (FASTQC v 0.11.2) and, thus, quality trimming was not performed. The *Bos taurus* UMD 3.1.1 reference genome was downloaded from NCBI along with Annotation release 105 gene models containing 35,315 Entrez Gene IDs. The gene models were converted from gff3 to gtf format using the rtracklayer package [15] (v 1.36.3) in R [16] (v 3.4.0) while extracting the Entrez Gene IDs to an attribute named “gene_id”. Reads were aligned to the genome using STAR (version 2.5.2b) [17] with parameters --sjdbGTFfeatureExon, --sjdbGTFtagExonParentGene gene_id and --sjdbOverhang 99. Read counts
per gene were generated using featureCounts [18] from
the subread package [19] (v 1.5.0). The datasets gener-
ated for this study can be found in the NCBI, GEO ac-
cession number GSE106843.

The read counts were put into R [16] (v 3.4.0) for data
pre-processing and statistical analysis using packages
from Bioconductor [20] as indicated below. The samples
had 23–36 million reads assigned to genes (Table 1),
thus any gene without 0.5 Count Per Million (CPM)
reads in at least 3 samples were filtered out. 13,740
genes passed this filter and were analyzed using edgeR
reads in at least 3 samples were filtered out. 13,740
thus any gene without 0.5 Count Per Million (CPM)
reads in at least 3 samples were filtered out. 13,740

| Sample Name | Assigned reads (millions) | Aligned reads (millions) | Unassigned Ambiguity (millions) | Unassigned Multi-mapping (millions) | Unassigned No-feature (millions) |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1_Control_Expt1 | 308 (60.3%) | 370 (84.7%) | 31,750 (0.1%) | 14.1 (27.6%) | 6.1 (12%) |
| 2_Control_Expt2 | 363 (58.4%) | 43.2 (83.4%) | 37,638 (0.1%) | 18.9 (30.5%) | 6.8 (11%) |
| 3_Control_Expt3 | 332 (57.8%) | 39.2 (82.6%) | 34,731 (0.1%) | 18.6 (31.7%) | 6.0 (10.5%) |
| 4_pI_C_Expt1 | 245 (57.4%) | 29.1 (83.0%) | 42,708 (0.1%) | 13.5 (31.8%) | 4.6 (10.7%) |
| 5_pI_C_Expt2 | 292 (62.3%) | 34.4 (85.8%) | 52,978 (0.1%) | 12.5 (26.7%) | 5.1 (10.9%) |
| 6_pI_C_Expt3 | 23.0 (59.3%) | 27.4 (83.9%) | 41,625 (0.1%) | 11.5 (29.5%) | 4.3 (11.1%) |
| 7_CpG_Expt1 | 274 (58.0%) | 32.9 (83.9%) | 27,411 (0.1%) | 14.3 (30.3%) | 5.5 (11.6%) |
| 8_CpG_Expt2 | 248 (55.9%) | 29.8 (81.7%) | 25,395 (0.1%) | 14.5 (32.7%) | 5.0 (11.3%) |
| 9_CpG_Expt3 | 273 (37.8%) | 32.8 (60.6%) | 27,623 (0.0%) | 39.4 (54.6%) | 5.4 (7.5%) |

Results

Flow cytometry

Figure 1 shows the mean fluorescence results from a sin-
gle colour staining of Bomac cells with antibodies
against several surface markers of bovine macrophages.
These results largely confirm what others [12] have
already shown, with the exception of CD16. We ob-
served expression of CD16, CD172a, CD40 and CD44.
Only CD16 and CD40 (p ≤ 0.05) were upregulated fol-
lowing stimulation of the cells with p(I:C). Additionally,
we scrutinized the phagocytic function of Bomac. As
shown in Additional file 1, the Bomac can phagocytose
Staphylococcus aureus labelled with FITC, albeit at very
low level compared to freshly isolated bovine monocytes.
However, the capability to phagocytose is not homoge-
neous, as majority of the cells did not engulf bacteria.

Categorization and abundance of cDNA

The statistics of categorization and abundance of se-
quence reads generated from 9 cDNA libraries used for
differential gene expression analysis are shown in Table 1.
A one-way ANOVA analysis revealed differences be-
tween the 2 test groups. 2245 genes out of 13,740
expressed in the data set had FDR p-values ≤0.05 (Fig. 2).
The hierarchical clustering dendrogram of Euclidian dis-
tances between the genes (rows) was cut at height = 4,
resulting in ten clusters. In comparison to control exper-
iments, the number of genes with pairwise FDR p ≤ 0.05
for CpG DNA-stimulated cells was 210 downregulated
and 347 upregulated. In poly(I:C)-stimulated cells 414
There are 312 different KEGG pathways, of which 82 were overrepresented (raw p-value <1e-6) in at least 1 of the 3 pairwise gene sets (6 in total). Figure 4 shows a heat map comparing the 6 gene sets across these 82 pathways. Both poly(I:C) vs Con and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA show similar up and downregulated pathways, indicating that poly(I:C) treatment induces a very different transcriptional profile in Bomac cells at 6 h post-stimulation than CpG DNA. In Table 4 (see also Additional file 3) an expansion is made on these data to show the top 5 KEGG pathways in each data set and the top 5 immune related pathways. In CpG DNA-stimulated cells, the pathways included axon guidance, focal adhesion, and protein digestion and absorption. Among immune related pathways, PI3K-Akt signalling and Cytokine-cytokine receptor signalling were overrepresented (Table 4Ab). Poly(I:C) stimulation induced upregulation of a number of genes in many different pathways. Upregulated pathways included various disease and immune-related pathways while various signalling pathways were downregulated. Among the highly represented ones were the NOD-like receptor signaling pathway (39 genes), RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway (22 genes), and all pathways indicating activation of mechanisms associated with response to viral pathogens. An example of this can be observed in the three viral pathways, herpes simplex, influenza, and measles virus infection (Table 4Ba). Comparison of CpG DNA and poly(I:C) stimulations showed marked domination of pathways related to response to infection, induced by poly(I:C) stimulation (data not shown).

**Functional characterization of differentially expressed genes**

**KEGG pathways**

There are 312 different KEGG pathways, of which 82 were highly overrepresented (raw p-value <1e-6) in at least 1 of the 3 pairwise gene sets (6 in total). Figure 4 shows a heat map comparing the 6 gene sets across these 82 pathways. Both poly(I:C) vs Con and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA show similar up and downregulated pathways, indicating that poly(I:C) treatment induces a very different transcriptional profile in Bomac cells at 6 h post-stimulation than CpG DNA. In Table 4 (see also Additional file 3) an expansion is made on these data to show the top 5 KEGG pathways in each data set and the top 5 immune related pathways. In CpG DNA-stimulated cells, the pathways included axon guidance, focal adhesion, and protein digestion and absorption. Among immune related pathways, PI3K-Akt signalling and Cytokine-cytokine receptor signalling were overrepresented (Table 4Ab). Poly(I:C) stimulation induced upregulation of a number of genes in many different pathways. Upregulated pathways included various disease and immune-related pathways while various signalling pathways were downregulated. Among the highly represented ones were the NOD-like receptor signaling pathway (39 genes), RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway (22 genes), and all pathways indicating activation of mechanisms associated with response to viral pathogens. An example of this can be observed in the three viral pathways, herpes simplex, influenza, and measles virus infection (Table 4Ba). Comparison of CpG DNA and poly(I:C) stimulations showed marked domination of pathways related to response to infection, induced by poly(I:C) stimulation (data not shown).

**Gene ontology**

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was applied to these data to gain insight into the represented gene categories among the differentially expressed genes. Overall, 9490 terms were identified in the BP, 2629 terms in MF and 1273 terms in CC. A stringent raw p < 1e-5 was applied across the 6 gene sets, which reduced the number of terms to 76 BP terms, 13 MF terms and 11 CC terms. Due to a high number of GO terms in BP satisfying the condition raw p < 1e-5, the Panther functional classification tool was used to show only the main categories of GO terms. Figure 5 shows a pie chart representation of the Panther GO-Slim Bioprocess. In the bioprocesses, mainly cellular and metabolic processes were highly represented in all three pairwise comparisons. Immune system process upregulated genes represented 8.7, 4.4 and 4.6% of DE genes in CpG DNA-stimulated cells vs control, poly(I:C)-stimulated cells vs control and poly(I:C)-stimulated vs CpG DNA-stimulated cells, respectively. Downregulated immune system processes represented 4.8, 4.5 and 3.3% of DE in CpG DNA-stimulated cells vs control, poly(I:C)-stimulated cells vs control and poly(I:C)-stimulated vs CpG DNA-stimulated cells, respectively. The 13 MF and 11 CC terms are shown in Fig. 6a and b together with the number of genes involved. Additional file 4 further provides the statistics and gene identities found in the GO terms. Highly represented GO MF terms in poly(I:C) vs control and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparisons included terms such as G-protein coupled receptor binding; chemokine activity; chemokine receptor binding and CXCR chemokine receptor binding. Additionally, in the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison, nucleic acid binding and RNA binding were highly represented. GO CC terms were represented mainly by extracellular matrix component genes in CpG DNA vs control and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparisons both in upregulation and downregulation, respectively.

**Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA)**

Qiagen’s Ingenuity Pathway Analysis was employed to further scrutinize the DE genes [https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis](https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis) [28]. The genes were mapped to human/mouse/rat genome for...
homology. There were 38 canonical pathways generated from the DE genes in CpG DNA vs control dataset and 25 from the DE genes in poly(I:C) vs control dataset. Table 5 shows the top 5 canonical pathways in both datasets. Interestingly, only 2 immune related canonical pathways were represented among the top 5 canonical pathways in the CpG DNA vs control dataset. In the Nur77 Signalling in T Lymphocytes pathway HDACG, HLA-DMB, NR4A1 were upregulated and CD3G was downregulated. In the second pathway, calcium-induced T Lymphocyte Apoptosis only HLA-DMB and NR4A1 were upregulated. The next highest ranking (16th) canonical pathway in the CpG DNA dataset was CCL5 signalling in macrophages with three cardinal genes (CD3G, GNB3, PRKCB) of which CD3G and PRKCB being downregulated (−1.8 and −1.6-fold change, FDR p-value $1.85 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.08 \times 10^{-1}$, respectively) and GNB3 upregulated (2-fold change, FDR p-value $1.23 \times 10^{-1}$). All the 25 canonical pathways generated in the poly(I:C) dataset were related to cellular immune function. The topmost canonical pathway was interferon signalling containing important transcription factor genes such as NFκBIA (+ 2.2-fold change, FDR p-value $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$) and transcription regulators such as STAT1 (+ 3.2-fold change, FDR p-value $6.1 \times 10^{-17}$) and STAT2 (1.9-fold change, FDR p-value $3.1 \times 10^{-11}$).

To show relevant relationships between molecules in the 2 datasets, core analysis in IPA was performed to search for networks. In total, 11 networks were identified in the CpG DNA dataset and 81 in the poly(I:C) dataset. The top 5 in each dataset are shown in Table 6.
and the topmost networks are shown in Fig. 7. No immune-related network was represented among the top 5 of the networks in the CpG DNA vs control dataset, whereas in the poly(I:C) dataset, 4 out of 5 networks were immune related. The top network in CpG DNA-stimulated cells, Hematological Disease, Respiratory Disease, Organismal Injury and Abnormalities contained a total of 19 genes found in the dataset, 8 were upregulated and the remaining 12 were downregulated (Fig. 7a). In poly(I:C)-stimulated cells, the top network was Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Cellular Movement, Hematological System Development and Function containing 22 genes identified in the dataset out of which 6 were downregulated and the remaining were upregulated (Fig. 7b).

Further, the molecular and cellular function were closely examined in the datasets. Table 7 shows the top 5 significant functional networks in the two datasets. The topmost function in CpG DNA-stimulated cells was the free radical scavenging ($p$-value $1.45 \times 10^{-8}$) containing 21 genes (e.g., NR4A1, +3.2-fold change FDR $p$-value $6 \times 10^{-3}$, DDIT4 +1.67-fold change FDR $p$-value, $5.9 \times 10^{-4}$, PLAUR +1.76-fold change, FDR $p$-value $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$). Figure 8a shows a network of molecules responsible

| Gene ID | Name | Fold change | $p$ value | FDR |
|--------|------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| TNFSF18 | 768,081 | tumor necrosis factor superfamily member 18 | 5.3 | $6.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Slc26a10 | 506,076 | solute carrier family 26 member 10 | 4.3 | $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| NR4A1 | 528,390 | nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1, transcript variant X3 | 3.1 | $9.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| IGFLR1 | 617,594 | IGF like family receptor 1 | 3.1 | $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| GSDMB | 509,296 | gosdermin B | 2.5 | $6.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| LBH | 616,148 | limb bud and heart development | 2.2 | $6.7 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| LY6G5B | 539,236 | lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus G5B | 2.2 | $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| MYOZ2 | 540,487 | myozenin 2, transcript variant X1 | 2.7 | $4.01 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| RRAD | 505,165 | Ras-related associated with diabetes | 2.0 | $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| PLAUR | 281,983 | plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor | 1.7 | $4.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| CYP1A1 | 282,870 | cytochrome P450, subfamily I (aromatic compound-inducible), polypeptide 1, transcript variant X2 | $-2.8$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| CYP1B1 | 511,470 | cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily B, polypeptide 1 | $-2.4$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ID1 | 497,011 | inhibitor of DNA binding 1, dominant negative helix-loop-helix protein | $-2.4$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| GPR35 | 505,056 | G protein-coupled receptor 35, transcript variant X7 | $-2.4$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| SLC25A34 | 515,553 | solute carrier family 25 member 34 | $-2.3$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| EDN2 | 319,094 | endothelin 2, transcript variant X1 | $-2.1$ | $3.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| ATOH8 | 505,165 | atonal bHLH transcription factor 8, transcript variant X1 | $-2.1$ | $5.1 \times 10^{-9}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| ID3 | 538,690 | inhibitor of DNA binding 3, dominant negative helix-loop-helix protein | $-2.0$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| BDKRB1 | 532,119 | bradykinin receptor B1 | $-1.9$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |

(A) upregulated, (B) downregulated. Data were analyzed in IPA (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis)
for formation of reactive oxygen species, generation of reactive oxygen species, synthesis of reactive oxygen species and production of reactive oxygen species in macrophages. The cellular function and maintenance was the most significantly represented function group in poly(I:C)-stimulated cells (Table 7B, p-value 2.64 × 10⁻16) with 110 molecules (e.g., DDX58, +18 fold-change p-value 1.1 × 10⁻22, CCLS + 96 fold-change p-value 4.5 × 10⁻11, IRF1 + 4.8-fold change p-value 5.13 × 10⁻16) responsible for the function of macrophages (Fig. 8b).

Upstream regulator analysis was performed to identify upstream regulators that might have influenced the gene expression changes observed in the two datasets. 1334 and 1721 molecules in CpG DNA and poly(I:C)-stimulated cells, respectively, were identified of which the top 5 regulators for each data set are listed in Table 8. The top most regulator in the CpG DNA dataset was the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (upregulated, FDR p-value 2.3 × 10⁻16) and it affected 40 target molecules, while the poly(I:C) dataset revealed IRF7 (upregulated, FDR p-value 1.38 × 10⁻57) as the topmost regulator with 57 target molecules (Additional file 3). Mechanistic networks involving TNF and IRF7 to show a plausible set of connected upstream regulators that have contributed to the gene expression changes observed in the CpG DNA and poly(I:C) datasets are shown in Fig. 9.

Finally, the regulator effects in both datasets were addressed. The most significant regulator effects predicted for CpG DNA and poly(I:C)-stimulated cells are shown in Table 9. Three regulators Cg, HIF1A, miR-3202 (miRNAs w/seed GGAAGGG) in CpG DNA-stimulated cells had the highest consistency score and regulated 5 molecules (FOXF1, MIST1R, NR4A1, PLAU, PLUAR) responsible for cell movement of embryonic cells (Fig. 10a). All these genes were significantly upregulated in the dataset. One of the regulators was a miRNA that apparently regulates FOXF1, a transcription regulator. Poly(I:C)-stimulated cells revealed IFN-α as the main regulator with the highest consistency score, regulating at least 13 targets (ADAR, APOBEC3B, CCLS, EIF2AK2, IFIT1, IFITM1, ISG15, ISG20, MX1, OAS1, RNASEL, RSAD2, ZC3HAV1) (Fig. 10b), all of them participating in inhibition of replication of viral replicons. Similar IPA analysis was done on the dataset comparing poly(I:C) to CpG

| Gene ID | Name | Fold change | p value | FDR  |
|--------|------|-------------|---------|------|
| 10     | 507,215 | tumor necrosis factor superfamily member 10, transcript variant X1 | 3838.1 | 1.5 × 10⁻¹⁷ | 4.1 × 10⁻¹⁵ |
| 11     | 527,528 | interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 | 2354.2 | 7.8 × 10⁻¹⁶ | 1.5 × 10⁻¹³ |
| 12     | 506,415 | radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2 | 2258.9 | 1.9 × 10⁻²⁸ | 5.2 × 10⁻²⁵ |
| 13     | 508,347 | interferon induced protein 44 like | 2010.7 | 2.8 × 10⁻¹⁵ | 4.8 × 10⁻¹³ |
| 14     | 347,699 | 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 1, 40/46 kDa | 1591.7 | 9.7 × 10⁻²³ | 5.3 × 10⁻²⁰ |
| 15     | 508,348 | interferon induced protein 44, transcript variant X1 | 1254.3 | 1.8 × 10⁻¹³ | 2.6 × 10⁻¹¹ |
| 16     | 281,871 | ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifier | 1033.6 | 4.9 × 10⁻³⁰ | 1.6 × 10⁻²⁶ |
| 17     | 280,872 | MX dynamin-like GTPase 1, transcript variant X2 | 967.8 | 1.8 × 10⁻²⁷ | 3.6 × 10⁻²⁴ |
| 18     | 280,873 | MX dynamin-like GTPase 2, transcript variant X1 | 895.4 | 4.0 × 10⁻²⁰ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁷ |
| 19     | 529,660 | WASP protein family member 3, transcript variant X4 | 807.5 | 8.7 × 10⁻¹² | 9.9 × 10⁻¹⁰ |
| 20     | 282,870 | cytochrome P450, subfamily I (aromatic compound-inducible), polypeptide 1, transcript variant X2 | –3.8 | 8.1 × 10⁻¹² | 9.3 × 10⁻¹⁰ |
| 21     | 539,328 | exocyst complex component 3-like 2 | –3.7 | 1.2 × 10⁻⁴ | 3.3 × 10⁻³ |
| 22     | 531,104 | Usher syndrome 1G (autosomal recessive) | –3.3 | 1.3 × 10⁻³ | 2.1 × 10⁻² |
| 23     | 540,868 | cytochrome P450, family 26, subfamily B, polypeptide 1 | –3.1 | 1.9 × 10⁻³ | 2.8 × 10⁻² |
| 24     | 100,849,034 | small integral membrane protein 17, transcript variant X4 | –2.9 | 1.5 × 10⁻³ | 2.3 × 10⁻² |
| 25     | 783,665 | dishevelled associated activator of morphogenesis 2, transcript variant X1 | –2.8 | 1.1 × 10⁻³ | 1.9 × 10⁻² |
| 26     | 617,403 | transmembrane protein S2 | –2.7 | 3.5 × 10⁻³ | 4.4 × 10⁻² |
| 27     | 511,470 | cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily B, polypeptide 1 | –2.6 | 6.8 × 10⁻¹¹ | 6.9 × 10⁻⁹ |
| 28     | 540,674 | WAS protein family member 3, transcript variant X4 | –2.3 | 2.7 × 10⁻³ | 3.1 × 10⁻² |
| 29     | 616,225 | atonal bHLH transcription factor 8, transcript variant X1 | –2.2 | 9.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ | 8.2 × 10⁻⁸ |

(A) upregulated, (B) downregulated. Data were analyzed in IPA (QIAGEN Inc, https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis)
DNA-induced changes. Data can be found in Additional files 4, 5, 6 and 7.

**Discussion**

RNASeq and systems biology tools were applied to unravel the transcriptomic landscape in vitro MΦ stimulated with CpG DNA or poly(I:C) as a model for bacterial and viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns, respectively. Using the data derived from gene expression analysis, IPA, KEGG and GO were used to reconstruct cardinal networks, pathways, and cellular function groups of genes differentially expressed in the Bomac cell line stimulated with CpG DNA or poly(I:C). It should be noted that in IPA analyses, the genes were

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/fig4.png)

**Fig. 4** Representation of KEGG pathways. Each pathway is a row and each gene set is a column. The red color intensity shows the $-\log_{10}(p)$ value, i.e., a value of 6 = 1e-6. As the traditional FDR correction is not appropriate for KEGG or GO testing, we used an extremely low raw $p$-value to focus on the most highly significant pathways or terms. The numbers in the boxes show the number of genes in the pathway that are significantly up or downregulated, divided by the total number of genes in the pathway. CpG DNAvsCon_up = upregulated genes in the CpG DNA vs control comparison; poly(I:C)vsCon_up = upregulated genes in the poly(I:C) vs control comparison; poly(I:C)vsCpG DNA_up = upregulated genes in the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison; CpG DNAvsCon_down = downregulated genes in the CpG DNA vs control comparison; poly(I:C)vsCon_down = downregulated genes in the poly(I:C) vs control comparison; poly(I:C)vsCpG DNA_down = downregulated genes in the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison.
Table 4 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways generated from CpG DNA or poly(I:C)-stimulated Bomac cells tested at 6 h post stimulation vs control cells

| A | KEGG ID     | Pathway                                      | N↑ | N↓ | p-value   | p-value   |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------------------|----|----|-----------|-----------|
| a | path:bta04974 | Protein digestion and absorption             | 42 | 15 | 1.2 × 10^{-20} | 0          |
| b | path:bta04974 | ECM-receptor interaction                     | 55 | 15 | 5.5 × 10^{-18} | 0          |
| a | path:bta04151 | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                   | 233| 22 | 1.3 × 10^{-16} | 4          |
| b | path:bta04151 | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                   | 233| 22 | 1.3 × 10^{-16} | 4          |
| a | path:bta04510 | Focal adhesion                               | 162| 14 | 5.5 × 10^{-10} | 4          |
| b | path:bta04510 | Focal adhesion                               | 162| 14 | 5.5 × 10^{-10} | 4          |
| a | path:bta03670 | Axon guidance                                | 141| 12 | 3.2 × 10^{-50} | 4          |
| b | path:bta03670 | Axon guidance                                | 141| 12 | 3.2 × 10^{-50} | 4          |
| a | path:bta04151 | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                   | 101| 6  | 5.6 × 10^{-05} | 3          |
| b | path:bta04151 | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                   | 101| 6  | 5.6 × 10^{-05} | 3          |

**A** represent top 5 pathways in CpG DNA datasets respectively; **B** represent top 5 pathways of immune related genes in the datasets. Gene names in each pathway are shown in the Additional file 3.

A number of genes in the pathway; b number of genes up-regulated in the pathway; c number of genes down-regulated in the pathway.

(A) CpG DNA, (B) poly(I:C). (Aa and Ba) Represent top 5 pathways in CpG DNA and poly(I:C) datasets respectively; (Ab and Bb) represent top 5 pathways of immune related genes in the datasets. Gene names in each pathway are shown in the Additional file 3.

Fig. 5 Gene ontology plotted by PANTHER (http://www.pantherdb.org/) showing biological processes for (a) CpG DNA vs Control upregulated genes; (b) CpG DNA vs Control downregulated genes; (c) poly(I:C) vs Control Upregulated genes; (d) poly(I:C) vs Control downregulated genes; (e) poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA upregulated genes; (f) poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA downregulated genes.
mapped to human/mouse/rat genome for homology. The Bomac cell line, developed from bovine peritoneal macrophages by Stabel and Stabel in 1995 [11], was previously tested as an infection model for *Mycoplasma bovis* to investigate cellular mechanisms involved in mycoplasmal–Bomac cell interaction. Most recently both BVDV-infected and BVDV-free Bomac cells were tested for mycoplasmal uptake, growth in co-culture, viability, cytotoxicity and induction of apoptosis after infection with *M. bovis*. Cytotoxicity was increased after infection of BVDV-free cells with *M. bovis*, while apoptotic cell death was induced by *M. bovis* in both cell lines [13].

Although CpG DNA and poly(I:C) are well known for their adjuvant effects in stimulating immune responses,
our results show that gene expression induced by each of these PAMPs in a MΦ cell line has common profiles and profiles that are different. For example, among DE genes 347 were upregulated and 210 were down regulated in Bomac stimulated with CpG DNA compared to 761 up-regulated and 414 downregulated in poly(I:C)-stimulated cells at 6 h. There were more upregulated genes in the poly(I:C)-stimulated cells than CpG DNA-stimulated cells and the FDR adjusted p-values of upregulated genes were significantly higher compared to downregulated genes. The latter part of this observation was consistent with observations by others using monocyte-derived MΦ infected with MAP [4, 7]. Further, unlike CpG DNA-stimulated cells, poly(I:C) stimulation of Bomac cells produced an expression profile that may be expected following infection with RNA viruses such as influenza or measles virus. Responses to these two viruses involve activation of transcription factor genes such as STAT1, STAT2 and NFκB, which were upregulated in the Bomac cells stimulated with poly(I:C).

Most canonical pathways represented within the DE genes in CpG DNA-stimulated cells were not related to immune functions, whereas the majority of canonical pathways in poly(I:C)-stimulated cells were related to immune functions. Stimulation of Bomac cells with CpG DNA highly affected the genes that regulate the phagocytic function of MΦ in contrast to stimulation with poly(I:C). This also confirms the importance to work with bovine cells in the absence of contaminations with ruminant pestiviruses, as BVDV was reported to inhibit the phagocytic activity of MΦs and neutrophils [12, 29]. However, immunological studies with these cells should be cautious, as these cells appear to poorly represent a lineage-specific phenotype [12].

Common transcriptomic profiles between CpG DNA-stimulated and poly(I:C)-stimulated cells were: (i) upstream and regulator effects, both stimulations induced
immune related upstream regulators; (ii) in the top expressed genes, both stimuli induced high expression of the tumor necrosis factor family molecules albeit at different levels. CpG DNA induced expression of TNFSF18 (+5.3-fold change, FDR p-value $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$). TNFSF18 a ligand for TNFRSF18 expressed on the cell surface of regulatory T cells [30]. TNFSF18 is also released upon activation of DCs with CpG DNA [31]. In that report, plasmacytoid
Table 7 Common and unique Molecular and Cellular Functions pathways within the top 5 pathways identified in the differentially expressed genes in CpG or pI:C-treated Bomac cells. Data were analyzed in IPA (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis)

| Name                                      | p-value         | #Molecules |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| **Common**                                |                 |            |
| pI:C                                      | 1.5 × 10^{-4} - 2.6 × 10^{-16} | 110        |
| CpG                                       | 7.1 × 10^{-3} - 2.4 × 10^{-6} | 62         |
| pI:C                                      | 2.1 × 10^{-4} - 4.3 × 10^{-16} | 149        |
| CpG                                       | 7.5 × 10^{-3} - 9.4 × 10^{-7} | 58         |
| pI:C                                      | 2.6 × 10^{-4} - 4.8 × 10^{-11} | 134        |
| CpG                                       | 7.0 × 10^{-3} - 7.3 × 10^{-6} | 69         |
| **Unique**                                |                 |            |
| CpG                                       | 5.8 × 10^{-4} - 1.4 × 10^{-8} | 21         |
| CpG                                       | 7.3 × 10^{-3} - 7.3 × 10^{-6} | 50         |
| pI:C                                      | 1.2 × 10^{-5} - 4.9 × 10^{-15} | 38         |
| pI:C                                      | 2.1 × 10^{-4} - 4.8 × 10^{-11} | 120        |

dendritic cells (pDCs) activated by CpG DNA promoted NK cell cytotoxicity and IFNγ production through type I IFNs and GITRL. Poly(I:C) induced expression of TNFSF10 (> 3500-fold change, FDR p-value 1.5 × 10^{-17}) in this dataset. It is expressed at significant levels in most tissues including MΦs [32], and activates cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in apoptosis of transformed or tumor cells but does not kill normal cells [33]. However, our result is in contrast to that obtained by Casey et al. [7] who found that TNFSF18 was downregulated at 6 h post infection of monocyte-derived bovine MΦs with Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis. Although the analytical technique used was the same as ours, in their case, cells were derived from live animals compared to our bovine MΦ cell line. The most downregulated gene in both treatments was CYP1A1 (cytochrome P450, subfamily I (aromatic compound-inducible), polypeptide 1, transcript variant X2). We could not find a specific role described for CYP1A1 among the immune functions of MΦs, but assume that the gene product of CYP1A1 could be involved in other cellular processes. According to a review by Androutsopoulos et al., [34] CYP1A1 plays an important role in the detoxication of environmental carcinogens, as well as in the metabolic activation of dietary compounds with cancer preventative activity. The KEGG pathways overrepresentation results were very similar to those generated in the IPA pathways analyses, both reporting a marked polarization of the response of MΦ to poly(I:C) towards antiviral activity.

In contrast to stimulation of Bomac with CpG DNA, cells stimulated with poly(I:C) had the highest number of DE genes suited to antiviral response of MΦs. IFIT2 (> 2300-fold change, FDR p-value 1.5 × 10^{-13}) induced by interferon and regulated by IRF1 (+ 4.8-fold change, FDR p-value 5.13 × 10^{-16}) gives rise to gene products that have antiviral functions [35]. IRF1 further regulates RSAD2 (> 2258.2-fold change, FDR p-value 5.2 × 10^{-25}), which has been reported to be highly expressed during viral infections [36, 37]. IFI44L and IFI44 were upregulated (fold change + 2010.7 and + 1254.3, FDR p value 4.8 × 10^{-13} and 2.6 × 10^{-11}, respectively) and play a role in immune responses against viruses, although the precise mechanism has not been described. The remaining highly expressed genes OAS1, ISG15, MX1, MX2 and OAS2 are well characterized antiviral response genes in many animal species [35, 38-40]. A study in mouse central nervous system tissue by Pomeranz et al. [41] showed a very similar profile to ours of highly expressed antiviral genes after infection with an RNA virus. In regard to highly expressed genes in the CpG DNA-stimulated cells, genes such as SLC26A10, IGFLR1, GSDMB, LBH, MYOZ2 and RRAD have no clearly described role in immune responses of MΦs. GSDMB appears to be associated with asthma and certain types of autoimmune diseases such as, type 1 diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, and rheumatoid arthritis [42, 43]. Ekwall et al. identified LBH as a candidate gene in rheumatoid arthritis and it was expressed in the synovial lining layer in such patients [44]. NR4A1 is a nuclear transcription factor that belongs to the nur77 signalling in T lymphocytes and calcium-induced T lymphocyte apoptosis canonical pathways. These pathways were both represented as top canonical pathways in the CpG DNA dataset. NR4A1 is expressed in MΦs in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli [45]. PLAU participates in the coagulation system canonical pathway represented as the 5th ranking canonical pathway in the CpG DNA data set. Expression of PLAU appears to be regulated by TGFB1 [46]. Such a pattern of gene expression provides evidence for the advantage of whole
genome analysis because it allows the detection of other genes highly expressed that might not directly relate to the immune function of MΦ but rather account for other functions of cells during an immune response. This provides an opportunity to further study functions of such genes in order to fully understand regulation of immune processes in which bovine MΦs may be engaged. An interesting finding was the occurrence of PRL (encoding prolactin) as a regulator in poly(I:C)-stimulated cells. Although prolactin is widely known for its essential role in lactation, it appears to have important roles in immune...
processes, indicating that MΦ may have a prolactin regulated function during reproduction [47, 48].

Close examination of the canonical pathways based on IPA analysis of poly(I:C) dataset shows that majority of these pathways are directly involved in immune response of MΦs. In the interferon pathway, at least five genes were interferon-inducible (IFIT3, IFI35, IFIT1, IFI6, IFITM1), and two genes were interferon regulatory genes (IRF1, IRF9) and the remaining 8 genes (SOCS1, OAS1, MX1, PSMB8, TAP1, ISG15, STAT2, STAT1) have activity highly regulated by interferon. Signaling through TLR3 upon stimulation with synthetic dsRNA (poly(I:C)) leads to production of IFNβ [49]. It is likely that IFNβ influenced the expression of the genes mentioned above, particularly that supernatants from Bomac cells cultured in the presence of poly(I:C) contained a detectable amount of IFNβ measured at 8 h of stimulation (data not shown) compared to cells stimulated with CpG DNA. Sivori et al. [50] studied CpG DNA and poly(I:C) in regard to their ability to stimulate NK cells through TLRs and found that both could stimulate NK cells to

Table 8 Upstream Regulators identified in CpG DNA and poly(I:C) treated Bomac cell line following 6 h of stimulation. (A) CpG DNA, (B) poly(I:C). Data were analyzed in IPA (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis

| Upstream Regulator | p-value of overlap |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| A                   |                    |
| TNF                | $2.31 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| ADRB               | $9.58 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| D-glucose          | $9.20 \times 10^{-09}$ |
| lipopolysaccharide | $1.71 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| dexamethasone      | $2.11 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| B                   |                    |
| IRF7               | $3.83 \times 10^{-57}$ |
| poly rI:rC-RNA     | $1.08 \times 10^{-46}$ |
| IFNL1              | $2.53 \times 10^{-46}$ |
| IFNA2              | $8.27 \times 10^{-44}$ |
| Interferon alpha   | $3.00 \times 10^{-43}$ |

Fig. 9 Mechanistic networks generated from differentially expressed genes in datasets derived from Bomac cells stimulated with CpG DNA or poly(I:C) for 6 h. a CpG DNA, TNF upstream regulator, b poly(I:C), IRF7 upstream regulator. The mechanistic networks were created with the use of IPA (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis
Table 9  Top Regulator Effect Networks generated from CpG DNA and poly(I:C)-stimulated Bomac cell line after 6 h of stimulation. (A) CpG DNA, (B) poly(I:C). Data were analyzed in IPA (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis)

| Regulators | Diseases & Functions | Consistency Score |
|------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| A          |                      |                   |
| Cg,HIF1A,miR-3202 (miRNAs w/seed GGAAGGG) | cell movement of embryonic cell lines | 4.025 |
| BMP6,Ins1,MYC | lymphoproliferative disorder | 3.328 |
| ERG,RXRα | Growth Failure | 2.121 |
| miR-4525 (and other miRNAs w/seed GGGGGAU) | Growth Failure | −4.082 |
| IGF1 | Growth Failure | −5.367 |
| B          |                      |                   |
| Interferon alpha | Replication of viral replicon | 3.606 |
| Interferon alpha | Viral life cycle | 3.606 |
| lipopolysaccharide | Replication of viral replicon | 3.464 |
| PRL | Immune response of cells | 3.357 |
| IFNA2 | Replication of viral replicon | 3.317 |

Fig. 10 Top 5 regulator effect networks from each of the two datasets generated by Ingenuity Pathways. Bomac cells were treated with CpG DNA (a) or poly(I:C) (b) for 6 h. https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis
acquire cytotoxic activity against tumor cells. However, poly(I:C) induced more robust responses than CpG DNA, because poly(I:C)-stimulated NK cells produced more IFNγ and TNFα and were highly cytotoxic compared to CpG DNA-stimulated NK cells. The fact that pro-inflammatory cytokines are released was shown in the study by Casey et al. [7] involving bovine Mφs infected with MAP where IL-1 was produced as early as 10 min under their experimental conditions.

The canonical pathways and networks, molecular and cellular functions as identified by IPA analysis of DE genes differed greatly between CpG DNA and poly(I:C) stimulated cells. In particular, CpG DNA promoted expression of genes involved in free radical scavenging, a group of molecules responsible for primary function of Mφs. Among the 21 genes in this group of molecules, 13 (ABCA1, AGER, APLN, BNIP3, DDIT3, DDIT4, HK2, HSPB6, NR4A1, PLAU, PLAUR, S100A6, SREBF1) were significantly upregulated with p-values ranging from $5.8 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.45 \times 10^{-8}$. The downregulated genes in this function group could possibly be regarded as control mechanisms in the processes involving reactive oxygen species. In human Mφs AGER encodes a nucleic acid receptor that promotes inflammatory responses to DNA [51]. BNIP3 and DDIT4 are directly involved in generation of reactive oxygen species [52, 53] and formation of reactive oxygen species [54]. The remaining molecular and cellular functional groups in both stimulation groups mainly concerned cell development, morphology, survival, signalling or death. Further, on the molecular functions, careful examination of GO terms revealed that many top functions included chemokine activity. At least seven CXCL chemokines were found in both CpG DNA and poly(I:C) datasets. However, upregulation of CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCL16 was observed only in poly(I:C)-stimulated Bomac cells and had an unaltered expression in CpG DNA-stimulated Bomac cells with the exception of CXCL5, which was also upregulated in CpG DNA-stimulated cells. CXCL5 has been reported to activate MΦ and also increases the expression of ABCA1 (mRNA upregulated in this dataset) [55].

Although we show marked differences in the transcriptomic landscape of Mφs treated with a viral or a bacterial PAMP, we cannot generalize the differences or compare them to in vivo conditions because, first, the CpG DNA and poly(I:C) used are highly purified reagents much different from live pathogens; second, we have used a transformed cell line that may not accurately represent the transcriptomic changes that occur in vivo (compare [12]). However, the results obtained in this study are largely in line with what is known about MΦ stimulation through TLR and further provide an insight into the early phase of transcriptomic alterations in MΦs during initial interaction with agonists through their pattern recognition receptors.

**Conclusion**

Very early following stimulation of Bomac, poly(I:C), more than CpG DNA, triggered signals that exerted a transcriptional profile suited to an antiviral response, whereas CpG DNA influenced genes important for the phagocytic processes. Besides influencing the genes related to immune function, CpG DNA highly affected many genes in metabolic pathways and other non-immune biological processes. Although both, poly(I:C) and CpG DNA, are proposed vaccine adjuvants, poly(I:C) appears to be more potent in setting up the inflammatory landscape required to induce an efficient immune response.

**Additional files**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Plasmotest results from Bomac cells. Supernatants were collected from 8 subsequent passages of Bomac cells and stored at $-80\, ^\circ \mathrm{C}$. Plasmotest (Inviogen, USA) was used to detect the presence of Mycoplasma, according to the kit manufacturer’s instructions. −C, negative control; +C, positive control; S, samples passages 1–8. Blue/purple color indicates positive signal, pink indicates negative signal. Figure S2. RT-PCR for the detection of BVDV in Bomac cells. The RT-PCR protocol used is that described by Katsuyoshi U. et al. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 60(7):867–870, 1998 with modification regarding enzymes used for reverse transcriptase and PCR. MiW, molecular weight marker, 1–8, Bomac passages from 1 to 8; −, negative control; +, positive control. Figure S3. Upper panel: CD44 expression on the surface of BoMac cells. Red fluorescence – CD44; blue fluorescence – DNA. Scale bars = 20 μm. Lower panel: uptake of Staphylococcus aureus bioparticles conjugated with FITC by BoMac cells. As a positive control of bioparticles uptake, fresh bovine blood monocytes were used. Cells were incubated with S. aureus bioparticles for 1 h at 37 °C. Green fluorescence – bacteria, blue fluorescence – DNA. Arrows indicate phagocytosed bacteria. Scale bars = 20 μm. (DOCX 1300 kb)

Additional file 2: RNA integrity check before RNASeq analysis. (DOOX 87 kb)

Additional file 3: KEGG pathways generated for poly(I:C) vs Con, CpG DNA vs Con, and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA (XLSX 98 kb)

Additional file 4: GO terms generated for poly(I:C) vs Con, CpG DNA vs Con, and poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA (XLSX 52 kb)

Additional file 5: TNF and IRF7 Upstream regulated genes by CpG and poly(I:C), respectively (XLS 35 kb)

Additional file 6: (A) Top Network generated from the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison. Antimicrobial response, Inflammatory response, Cell-to-cell signalling and interaction, (B) Functional networks, (C) Upstream Regulators in poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA dataset, (D) Top Regulator Effect Network generated from the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA. (DOXX 2230 kb)

Additional file 7: Tables. (A) Top 5 Canonical pathways generated by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) of differentially expressed genes in Bomac cells stimulated with PAMPs poly(I:C) vs CpG dataset, (B) Top Networks generated in Bomac cell line treated from the comparison of poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA, (C) Top 5 Molecular and Cellular Functions identified in the differentially expressed genes from the poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison, (D) Upstream Regulators identified in poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison, (E) Top Regulator Effect Networks generated from poly(I:C) vs CpG DNA comparison. (DOXX 17 kb)
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