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We consider the problem of defining free energy and other thermodynamic functions when the entropy is given as a general function of the probability distribution, including that for nonextensive forms. We find that the free energy, which is central to the determination of all other quantities, can be obtained uniquely numerically even when it is the root of a transcendental equation. In particular we study the cases of the Tsallis form and a new form proposed by us recently. We compare the free energy, the internal energy and the specific heat of a simple system of two energy states for each of these forms.
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I. INTRODUCTION

We have recently [1] proposed a new form of nonextensive entropy which depends on a parameter similar to Tsallis entropy [2, 3, 4], and in a similar limit approaches Shannon’s classical extensive entropy. We have shown how the definition for this new form of entropy can arise naturally in terms of mixing of states in a phase cell when the cell is re-scaled, the parameter being a measure of the rescaling, and how Shannon’s coding theorem [5] elucidates such an approach.

In this paper we shall adopt a more general attitude and try to develop the statistical mechanics of systems where the entropy is defined almost arbitrarily. Such a ‘designer’ entropy [6] may indeed be relevant in a specific context, but we shall not justify here any specific form. The applicability of the Tsallis form which leads to a Levy-type pdf found in many physical contexts is now well established [7] and in the earlier paper we have commented about how our form may also be more relevant in a context that demands a more stiff pdf. In this paper we concentrate on the use of the pdf to obtain macroscopic quantities for general entropy functions.

Central to the development of the statistical mechanics of a system is the definition of the free energy, because it is related to the normalization of the probability distribution function, which in turn controls the behavior of all the macroscopic properties of the ensemble. Hence we shall first establish a general prescription to obtain the free energy, and then determine its value in a simple physical case in terms of the temperature for Tsallis and the newer form. We shall then use it to get the specific heat in both cases and see how it changes with the change of the parameter at different temperatures.

II. ENTROPY AND PDF

The pdf is found by optimizing the function

\[ L = S + \alpha(1 - \sum_i p_i) + \beta(U - \sum_i p_i E_i) \]  

where \( S \) is the entropy (whichever form), \( U \) the internal energy of the ensemble per constituent, \( p_i \) is the probability of the \( i \)-th state which has energy \( E_i \), and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the normalization of the pdf’s \( p_i \) and the conservation of energy.

Let us make the assumption that the entropy \( S \) is a sum of the components from all the states:

\[ S = \sum_i \phi(p_i) \]  

where \( \phi \) is a generalized function which will in general be different from the Shannon form:

\[ S = -\langle \log(p) \rangle \equiv -\sum_i p_i \log(p_i) \]  

We get from optimizing \( L \)

\[ \phi'(p) = \alpha + \beta E_i \]  

with the simple solution

\[ \phi(p_i) = (\alpha + \beta E_i)p_i \]
the constant of integration vanishing because there can be no contribution to the entropy from a state which has zero probability.

**III. FREE ENERGY**

We shall assume that the Helmholtz free energy \( A \) is defined by

\[
\beta(U - A) = S
\]

Hence if \( S \) is nonextensive, and \( U \) is extensive, then \( A \) must also be nonextensive.

Using Eq.5 and Eq.6 get

\[
A = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}
\]

Hence we get the relation for the pdf

\[
p_i = \psi^{-1}(\beta(E_i - A))
\]

with the definition

\[
\psi(p) = \phi(p)/p
\]

Here we assume that the function \( \psi \) can be inverted. This may not always be the case for an arbitrary expression for the entropy, at least not in a manageable closed form. Finally, \( A \) can be obtained from the constraint equation

\[
\sum_i p_i = \sum_i \psi^{-1}(\beta(E_i - A)) = 1
\]

Once \( A \) has been determined, it may be placed in Eq.8 to get the pdf \( p_i \) for each of the states, all properly normalized, and we can find \( U \) and its derivative \( C \), the specific heat. For the simple system that we shall be concerned with, pressure and volume, or their analogues, will not enter our considerations, and hence we have only one specific heat, \( C_v \), with \( \beta \) now identified as the inverse scale of energy, the temperature \( T \),

\[
C = -\beta^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta}
\]

**IV. SHANNON AND TSALLIS THERMODYNAMICS**

The Shannon entropy Eq.3 immediately gives, using Eq.8 and Eq.9

\[
p_i = e^{-\alpha - \beta E_i} = e^{\beta(A - E_i)}
\]

so that Eq.10 yields the familiar expression for \( A \)

\[
A = -\log(Q)/\beta
\]

where \( Q \) is the partition function

\[
Q = \sum_i e^{-\beta E_i}
\]

The exponential form of \( p_i \) in Eq.12 allows the separation of the \( A \) dependent factor and hence such a simple expression for \( A \) in the case of Shannon entropy, giving us normal extensive thermodynamics.

In the Tsallis case we have

\[
S = \frac{-\sum_i p_i^q - 1}{q - 1} = -\langle \log_q(p_i) \rangle
\]

using the q-logarithm

\[
\log_q(p) = \frac{p^{q-1} - 1}{q - 1}
\]

and this is easily seen to lead to, using the symbol \( \epsilon \) for \( 1 - q \)

\[
p_i = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \epsilon^\beta(E - A)}\right)^{1/\epsilon}
\]

We note that as it is no longer possible to separate out a common \( A \) dependent factor, we can no longer find an expression for \( A \) in terms of the partition function in the usual way. Instead it is necessary to solve the normalization equation Eq.10. For a general value of \( \epsilon \) this will give an infinite number of roots, but for values of \( \epsilon \) corresponding to reciprocals of integers, we shall have polynomial equations with a finite number of roots, which too may be complex in general. We shall later see, at least for the simple example we consider later, that a real and stable root can be found that approaches the Shannon value of \( A \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), because in that limit the \( \log_q(p) \) function in the definition of the Tsallis entropy also coincides with the natural logarithm.

**V. THERMODYNAMICS OF THE NEW ENTROPY**

The entropy we proposed in ref.[1] is given by

\[
S = \frac{dM(q)}{dq} = -\sum_i p_i^q \log(p_i)
\]

with mixing probability [1]

\[
M(q) = 1 - \sum_i p_i^q
\]
We can also express it as the q-expectation value

\[ S = -\langle \log(p) \rangle_q \equiv \sum_i p_i^q \log(p_i) \]  

(20)

Proceeding as in the case of Tsallis entropy we get, with \( \epsilon = 1 - q \),

\[ p_i = e^{-W(\epsilon \beta (E_i - A))/\epsilon} \]  

(21)

where \( W \) is the Lambert function defined by

\[ W(z) e^{W(z)} = z \]  

(22)

Like the Tsallis case, here too we have to obtain \( A \) by solving numerically the transcendental Eq. 10. For the specific heat we get

\[ C = \beta^2 \sum_i E_i (E_i - A) \frac{e^{-W_i(1+1/\epsilon)}}{1+W_i} \]  

(23)

where we have written for brevity \( W_i = W(\epsilon \beta (E_i - A)) \) and have used the following identities related to the Lambert function [10].

\[ W'(z) = \frac{W(z)}{z(1+W(z))} \]  

(24)

\[ W(z)/z = e^{-W(z)} \]  

(25)

As \( W(z) \sim z \) for small \( z \), we see that for small \( \epsilon \) we effectively get classical pdf and thermodynamics, as in the Tsallis case. So the parameter \( \epsilon \) is again a measure of the departure from the standard statistical mechanics due to nonextensivity. However, our nonextensivity is different functionally from the Tsallis form [1], and the values of \( \epsilon \) in the two forms can only be compared in the limit of low \( \beta \). If we use the power series expansion of \( W(z) \)

\[ W(z) = \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}z^n}{n!} \]  

(26)

and compare that with the power series expansion for \( \log(1 + z) \) in a form of the Tsallis pdf similar to the new entropy form

\[ p_i = e^{-\log(1+\epsilon_T \beta (E_i - A))/\epsilon_T} \]  

(27)

we get a cancelation of the parameter \( \epsilon_n \) of the new entropy and of Tsallis parameter \( \epsilon_T \) in the first order, so that both distributions approach the Shannon pdf, as we have already mentioned, but if we demand equality in the second order, we get

\[ \epsilon_n = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_T \]  

(28)

The third order difference between \( W(Z) \) and \( \log(1+z) \) is only \( \frac{z^3}{3} \), and hence the difference between the Tsallis form and our form of entropy will be detectable at rather low \( T \), i.e. high \( \beta \).

VI. APPLICATION TO A SIMPLE SYSTEM

Let us consider the simplest nontrivial system, where we have only two energy eigenvalues \( \pm E \), as in a spin-\( 1/2 \) system. For a noninteracting ensemble of systems we shall expect the standard results [11] corresponding to Shannon entropy

\[ A = -\log[2 \cosh(\beta E)]/\beta \]  

(29)

\[ S = \log[2 \cosh(\beta E)] - \beta E \tanh(\beta E) \]  

(30)

\[ U = -E \tanh(\beta E) \]  

(31)

\[ C = (\beta E)^2 / \cosh^2(\beta E) \]  

(32)

As \( W(z) \sim z \) for small \( z \), we see that for small \( \epsilon \) we effectively get classical pdf and thermodynamics, as in the Tsallis case. So the parameter \( \epsilon \) is again a measure of the departure from the standard statistical mechanics due to nonextensivity. However, our nonextensivity is different functionally from the Tsallis form [1], and the values of \( \epsilon \) in the two forms can only be compared in the limit of low \( \beta \). If we use the power series expansion of \( W(z) \)

\[ W(z) = \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}z^n}{n!} \]  
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we get a cancelation of the parameter \( \epsilon_n \) of the new entropy and of Tsallis parameter \( \epsilon_T \) in the first order, so that both distributions approach the Shannon pdf, as we have already mentioned, but if we demand equality in the second order, we get
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the variables and for $\epsilon = 0.10$ we get a fit much nearer to the Shannon form than for $\epsilon = 0.25$.

The specific heat shows the typical Schottky form for a two-level system.

Although the variables involve both $p_+$ and $p_-$, after finding $A$ we can replace $p_-$ by $1 - p_+$ for faster execution of the numerics.

For our new entropy too we first find the numerical value of $A$ from the normalization condition

$$e^{-W_+}/\epsilon + e^{-W_-}/\epsilon = 1 \quad (33)$$

and then use this value to find $U$, $S$, and $C$. In Figs. 5-8 we show the values corresponding to $\epsilon_n = 0.05$, which is half the Tsallis parameter $\epsilon_T = 0.10$ used, and also the Shannon values. We note that only for the $S$ curve we have a perceptible difference between Tsallis entropy and our new entropy at values of $\beta$ near 1.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented above a simple prescription for finding the important thermodynamic variables for any given form of the entropy as a function of the pdf’s of the states. We note that despite the apparent complexity of the exponential or transcendental equations determining the primary variable, the Helmholtz free energy $A$, it is possible to numerically get stable values which approach the expected Shannon values in the right limit of the parameter used, both in the Tsallis case and in our
FIG. 8: Specific heat \( C \) for same three entropies. Again, only Shannon is separated.

case of the newly defined entropy. For higher values of the parameter our entropy would give values varying significantly from the Shannon or even the Tsallis entropy, and there may be physical situations where that may indeed be the desirable characteristic. But at low values the corresponding values of the parameters for the two distributions produce virtually completely overlapping graphs. The form of the entropy may be a reflection of the effective interaction among the constituent systems of the ensemble, the Shannon form being the limiting case of the zero interaction case, and Tsallis or our form being results of different forms of interaction with \( \epsilon \) standing for a coupling constant. It may be interesting to investigate more complicated systems that are physically realizable and comparable. It is noteworthy that most thermodynamic functions we have considered here are not crucially dependent on the form of the entropy with adjusted coupling, though the value of entropy itself may vary significantly in the different formulations. This probably indicates that the best way to discriminate between the suitability of different definitions of entropy in different contexts may be in comparing quantities that relate most directly to entropy.
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