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**ABSTRACT**

While the negative effects of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) on general mental health are well-established, less is known about the impact on those with severe mental illness. Thus, this study examined symptom severity among psychiatric inpatients admitted prior to versus during the COVID pandemic. Self-reported anxiety (GAD-7), depression (PHQ-9), emotional dysregulation (DERS-SF), sleep quality (PSQI), nightmares (DDNSI), and suicidal ideation (SBQ-R) were examined in 470 adults (\(n = 235\) admitted pre-pandemic) and 142 children and adolescents (\(n = 65\) admitted pre-pandemic) at admission. Adults also completed measures of disability (WHODAS) and substance use (WHOASSIST). Adults admitted during the COVID pandemic reported significantly higher levels of anxiety \([p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.18]\), depression \([p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.06]\), emotion dysregulation \([p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.05]\), nightmares \([p = .13, \eta^2 = 0.01]\), and disability \([p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.04]\) compared to adults admitted pre-COVID. Levels of anxiety \([p = .005, \eta^2 = 0.05]\), depression \([p = .005, \eta^2 = 0.06]\), and sleep quality \([p = .011, \eta^2 = 0.05]\) were significantly higher among adolescents admitted during COVID compared to pre-COVID. The findings help identify areas of prioritization for future mental health prevention/intervention efforts for future disease outbreaks.

1. Introduction

“The frequency of mental disturbances accompanying the acute illness in the epidemic has been the subject of frequent comment, and the wave of psychiatric material that followed in its wake was unexpectedly large and correspondingly interesting.”

-Dr. Karl Menninger, 1919 (Menninger, 1919)

In 1919, Dr. Karl Menninger was the first to examine the mental health impact of a pandemic: one hundred cases of ‘mental illness’ that he hypothesized were related to individuals contracting the influenza virus (Menninger, 1919). Dr. Menninger’s groundbreaking research quantitatively described the relationship between the influenza H1N1 virus and psychosis, as well as examined the differences between groups with and without preexisting psychiatric illness (e.g., alcohol use, paranoia). Since Dr. Menninger’s seminal research, numerous studies have investigated the mental health effects of numerous outbreaks.

Most studies of psychiatric illness during a pandemic look beyond the mental health symptoms related to direct viral infections and examine the mental health toll of pandemic-related stress events among those who are not infected. Results have indicated that these disease outbreaks are associated with broad deterioration of mental health (Ko et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2005; Reidarson, 2015), psychological distress (Cenat et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2005), a higher prevalence of sleep problems (Cenat et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2005) and substance use (Cenat et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2005), an increase in suicide rates (Cenat et al., 2020; Cheung et al., 2008), an increase in anxiety (Cenat et al., 2020; Jalloh et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2005) and depressive symptoms (Cenat et al., 2020; Jalloh et al., 2018; Ko et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2005), and an overall need for psychiatric services due to a surge...
of psychiatric illness (Cenat et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2009; Jalloh et al., 2018; Lau et al., 2005; Mak et al., 2009).

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic in March of 2020 after the rapid outbreak of this novel virus (WHO, 2020). The novelty and severity of COVID-19 led to rapid global shutdowns and restrictions including city-wide lockdowns, social distancing, and business closures to prevent the spread of the virus. These events significantly altered each person’s personal freedom and autonomy, financial security, social stability, and individual lifestyle (Ammar et al., 2020). Taken individually, each of these changes and stressors can have a negative impact on general mental health. Studies have shown an overall increase in prevalence of current mental health disorders in the context of COVID-19 (Czeisler et al., 2020; Winkler et al., 2020).

Those with preexisting mental health conditions may be particularly vulnerable to these effects because they are more susceptible to experiencing high levels of stress during a crisis (Jankowski and Hamblen, 2019) and are more likely to experience isolation/despair during confinement compared to the general population (Muruganandam et al., 2020). There is substantial heterogeneity in the literature regarding the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with pre-existing psychiatric disorders with some studies reporting no evidence of worsening of symptoms (Chakraborty et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2022). However, most research reports that since the beginning of COVID-19, adults with preexisting psychiatric illness also have presented with higher rates of sleep problems (Franchini et al., 2020; Hao et al., 2020; Muruganandam et al., 2020; Van Rheenen et al., 2020), stress and anxiety (Blithikioti et al., 2021; Carmassi et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2021), depression (Blithikioti et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2020), substance misuse (Chappuy et al., 2021; Columb et al., 2020; Bar-Or et al., 2021; Muruganandam et al., 2020; Van Rheenen et al., 2020), behavioral addiction (e.g., gambling) (Chappuy et al., 2021; Columb et al., 2020; Bar-Or et al., 2021), anger and impulsivity (Hao et al., 2020; Muruganandam et al., 2020), suicidal ideation (Hao et al., 2020; Muruganandam et al., 2020; Van Rheenen et al., 2020), and physical problems (Franchini et al., 2020; Hao et al., 2020). In addition, the pandemic has decreased access to mental health care and presented additional barriers to complying with treatment, further heightening the risk of exacerbation of preexisting symptoms and/or relapse (Muruganandam et al., 2020).

Children and adolescents are similarly vulnerable to the disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic, which have included changes in their routine, closing of schools, virtual learning, media exposure, social isolation, and intrafamilial conflicts— all of which have had demonstrable consequences to youth mental health (Singh et al., 2020). Youth are also at a particularly heightened risk for the psychological effects of COVID-19 due to their developmental stage (Lamblin et al., 2017). The pandemic has been associated with increased youth depression and anxiety symptoms (Duan et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021; Magson et al., 2020; Racine et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020), increased rates of suicide ideation and attempts (Hill et al., 2021), increased sleep problems (Ma et al., 2021), increased presentation of posttraumatic stress symptoms (Ma et al., 2021), significant sleep problems (Ravens-Sieberer et al., 2021), an increase in emotion regulation problems (Amoros-Reche et al., 2022; Ravens-Sieberer et al., 2021) and a significant decrease in life satisfaction (Magson et al., 2020). Parents also report their children are experiencing significantly increased irritability, worry, sleep disorders, fatigue, and agitation coinciding with COVID-19 (Jain et al., 2020). As with adults, children and adolescents with pre-existing mental health problems are at particularly high risk (Fegert et al., 2020); however, studies examining the effect of COVID-19 on this subgroup are limited. For youth with preexisting psychiatric illness, the COVID-19 pandemic confers numerous additional challenges, including lack of continued access to mental health services and the potential for added stress to further exacerbate symptoms (Fegert et al., 2020; Guessoum et al., 2020; Lee, 2020). A survey of UK adolescents with existing mental health difficulties found that 83% of respondents agreed the COVID-19 pandemic had worsened their mental health. In fact, 26% reported they were no longer even able to access mental health support (YoungMINDS, 2020).

To better understand how COVID-19 has impacted those at high risk for the exacerbation of mental health symptoms— those with preexisting psychiatric illness — we examined the differences in symptom severity across youth and adults when admitted to an inpatient psychiatric hospital in the United States prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (both admission and discharge prior to December 31st, 2019) and during the COVID-19 pandemic (admission after March 11, 2020). In line with prior research, we hypothesized that adolescents between the ages of 12 to 17 and adults admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic would demonstrate significantly higher symptom severity than patients admitting prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, we predicted that both adult and adolescent patients being admitted during COVID-19 would report significantly worse anxiety, depression, problems with emotional regulation, nightmares, nighttime sleep quality, suicide risk, and disability at admission relative to patients admitted prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Method

Admission after March 11th, 2020, was used for membership in the during pandemic group, as this was the date that COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization. Admission and discharge before December 31st, 2019, were used as the pre-pandemic control, as this was the date a cluster of pneumonia cases was first reported to the World Health Organization in China.

2.1. Participants

Adults. The present study included 470 adults admitted to an inpatient psychiatric hospital in Houston, Texas who were between 18 and 79 years of age (M = 34.86, SD = 15.30), half who were admitted pre-pandemic (n = 235) and half who were admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic (n = 235). The majority of participants (56.0%, n = 263) were male, and a large majority (85.7%) were Caucasian. The greatest number of participants reported completing some college (38.5%), followed by a Bachelor’s degree (29.2%), and a graduate degree (20.7%). The average length of stay for the adult pre-pandemic (M = 37.74, SD = 16.21) and during-pandemic (M = 35.79, SD = 17.13) sample was 36.14 days and there was not a significant difference between groups (p = .205). In the present sample, 321 patients (69.9%) reported no previous suicide attempts while 138 (30.1%) reported at least one prior attempt. For those patients reporting a previous attempt, the number of lifetime suicide attempts ranged from one to 24 (M = 3.19, SD = 4.08). Participants in the sample also indicated preexisting mental health history, including an extensive treatment history, reporting several previous therapists (M = 4.17, SD = 12.10) and psychiatrists (M = 3.40, SD = 3.66). Similarly, just over half indicated a previous acute hospital admission (n = 240, 51.0%), and just less than half reported an extended admission (n = 216, 46.6%). Diagnostic information from the SCID was available for 392 adults (83.4%). Of those participants, 156 adults met the criteria for MDD, 74 met the criteria for GAD, 27 met the criteria for Bipolar, and 60 met the criteria for PTSD.

Youth. The present study included 142 adolescents admitting to an inpatient psychiatric hospital in Houston, Texas who were between 12 and 17 years of age (M = 15.10, SD = 1.49), about half of who were admitted pre-pandemic (n = 65) and half of who were admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic (n = 77). Most participants (77.5%, n = 110) were female, and a large majority (86.7%) were Caucasian. The average length of stay for the adolescent pre-pandemic (M = 22.95, SD = 9.41) and during-pandemic (M = 22.83, SD = 7.37) sample was 22.89 days and there was not a significant difference between groups (p = .934). In the present sample, 62 patients (44.9%) reported no previous suicide attempts while 76 (55.1%) reported at least one prior attempt. For those
patients reporting a previous attempt, the number of lifetime suicide attempts ranged from one to 13 ($M = 2.59$, $SD = 2.19$). Adolescents in the sample also indicated preexisting mental health history, reporting previous therapists ($M = 2.76$, $SD = 1.57$) and psychiatrists ($M = 1.88$, $SD = 1.50$). Diagnostic information from the KSADS was available for 115 adolescents (81.0%). Of those participants, 19 adolescents met the criteria for MDD, 48 met the criteria for GAD, 34 met the criteria for ADHD, and 27 met the criteria for PTSD.

2.2. Procedures

As part of clinical standard of care, adults and adolescents admitted to the inpatient psychiatric programs completed a clinical outcomes battery as part of their admission. These outcome measures were administered through computer-based HIPAA-compliant survey software and an iPad. The admission clinical outcomes battery assesses anxiety, depression, emotion regulation problems, disability, substance use, sleep, and suicide ideation, among other constructs. Adolescent patients did not complete the substance use and disability questionnaires. Those measures are not included in the current adolescent outcomes battery as the substance use assessment has only been validated with adults (Gryczynski et al., 2015) and the disability assessment is not recommended for use in subjects below the age of 18 years (Ustun et al., 2010). Approximately 5% of the patients admitted to the inpatient psychiatric programs were unable to complete these outcome measures at admission due to various reasons (e.g., psychosis, refusal, etc.). Clinical outcomes data were retrospectively extracted and de-identified to examine symptom differences. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Baylor College of Medicine.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Clinical outcomes

The Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) is a 7-item self-report measure evaluating symptoms and severity of anxiety (Spitzer et al., 2006). Items in the GAD-7 include “not being able to stop or control worrying”, “trouble relaxing,” and “feeling afraid as if something awful might happen.” Participants rated these items on a scale of 0 to 3, with 0 equaling “not at all” and 3 being “nearly every day.” There is a strong association between increasing GAD-7 scores and worsening functional impairment. Research has shown that the GAD-7 is both valid and reliable as a screening method and in assessing anxiety severity in clinical practice and research (Lowe et al., 2008). Adult and adolescent patients complete this assessment at admission.

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Spitzer et al., 1999) is a self-report 9 item scale that assesses depression severity of adults. The PHQ-9 also includes items that assess sleep, anhedonia, and appetite, and it is considered a reliable and valid measure of depressive symptoms. Participants rated these items on a 4-point scale (0= not at all, 1= several days, 2= more than half the days, 3= nearly every day) (Lowe et al., 2004). A final question asks respondents how difficult daily functioning is as a result of these depressive symptoms; the response options range from “not difficult at all” to “extreme difficulty.”

The Patient Health Questionnaire for Adolescents (PHQ-A) (Johnson et al., 2002) is a 9 item self-report severity measure for depression adapted for adolescents aged 11 through 17 years old. Participants rated these items on a 4-point scale (0= not at all, 1= several days, 2= more than half the days, 3= nearly every day). A final question asks respondents how difficult daily functioning is as a result of these depressive symptoms; the response options range from “not difficult at all” to “extreme difficulty.”

The Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale- Short Form (DERS-SF) (Weiss et al., 2015) is an 18 item self-report measure that rates patient’s emotional regulation in six domains: strategies, non-acceptance, impulse, goals, awareness, and clarity. Individual items are rated on a 5-point scale reflecting the proportion of time that emotion regulation is problematic; almost never, 0–10%; sometimes, 11–35%; about half the time, 36–65%; most of the time, 66–90%; almost always, 91–100%. All 6 subscales are graphed on a 15-point scale, with a rating of 15 indicating the most and 3 the least difficulty in emotional regulation. This measure is completed by adults and adolescents at admission.

The World Health Organization Disability Assessment Scale (WHODAS) (Axelsson et al., 2017) is a 12-item scale that pertains to difficulties associated with physical and mental health problems. These focus on difficulties in daily living, physical activities, and social relationships. Participants rated these items on a 5-point scale (1 = none, 2 = mild 2, 3 = moderate, 4 = severe, 5 = extreme or cannot do), with the total score being the sum of these items. The score ranges from 12 to 60, with higher scores indicating greater disability or loss of function. This assessment is completed by adults at admission.

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (Buysse et al., 1989) is a 10-item self-report measure pertaining to sleep quality, patterns, and difficulty sleeping. The tenth item on the survey asks about a bed partner or roommates and is excluded from this survey. It is scored from 0 to 21; a score greater than 5 indicates poor sleep quality. This assessment is completed by adults and adolescents at admission.

The Disturbing Dream and Nightmare Severity Index (DDNSI) (Krackow et al., 2002) is a 5-item self-report questionnaire pertaining to nightmare frequency and disturbance. It is scored from 0 to 37, based on the scores of 5 components. A score greater than 10 indicates a nightmare disorder. This scale is completed by adults and adolescents at admission.

The Suicide Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R) (Osman et al., 2001) is a 4-item self-report measure intended to assess suicide risk. The questionnaire asks about suicidal thoughts/attempts, telling somebody about plans to commit suicide, and the likelihood of attempting suicide someday. The total score ranges from 3 to 18, with clinical data suggesting a score greater than or equal to 8 for psychiatric inpatients is considered significantly elevated. This assessment is completed by adults and adolescents at admission.

2.4. Data analysis

The current study utilized Propensity Score Matching (PSM), to match groups based on a propensity score, or balancing score, so the distribution of baseline covariates was similar among both groups (Austin, 2011; Rubin, 1983). Once the groups were matched based on the propensity score, this ensured results were more related to the COVID-19 pandemic and not to baseline demographic confounds (Austin, 2011). The use of Propensity Score Matching (PSM) (Austin, 2011) has expanded substantially in recent years. While PSM was originally more popular in a medical model or medical research (Stümer et al., 2006), it has recently been seen greater utility in psychiatric (Hansen et al., 2012; Marangell et al., 2008) and psychotherapy research (Bartak et al., 2010; Ye and Kaskutas, 2009). Once groups were matched, analyses of variance (ANOVA) were conducted to determine if there were significant differences in the severity of admission scores pre- and during the pandemic on key mental health variables. Comparisons of means are
3. Results

PSM was used to match patients who were admitted to the hospital before and during COVID-19 on relevant variables to create comparable groups from before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, pre-pandemic and during-pandemic groups were matched for age and gender. PSM was conducted separately for adult and adolescent patients.

3.1. Comparison of adults pre- and during the COVID pandemic

The mean age of the pre-pandemic group was 34.83 (SD = 15.23) and the mean age of theduring-pandemic group was 34.88 (SD = 15.41). The pre-pandemic group had 99 females and 136 males, while the during-pandemic group was 108 females and 127 males. Further breakdown of descriptive statistics for adult inpatients is shown in Table 3.

Next, analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were conducted to determine if there were significant differences in the severity of admission scores pre- and during the pandemic on key mental health variables. Results revealed significant differences in anxiety ($F(1,1468) = 104.88, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.08$), depression ($F(1,1466) = 29.44, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.06$), emotion regulation ($F(1,1461) = 26.10, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.05$), nightmares ($F(1,1462) = 6.17, p = .013$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.01$) and disability ($F(1,1456) = 18.62, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.04$). As hypothesized, scores were higher for all measures during the COVID pandemic (see Table 1 for means, standard deviations, and Cohen’s d) and effect sizes demonstrate small (nightmares), medium (depression, emotion regulation, disability), and large effects (anxiety). However, there were no significant differences on measures of substance use ($F(1,1416) = 0.002, p = .965$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.00$), sleep ($F(1,1462) = 2.32, p = .128$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.01$), and suicide risk ($F(1,1456) = 3.09, p = .080$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.01$) (see Table 1 for means, standard deviations, and Cohen’s d). See Fig. 1 for differences in outcomes pre-pandemic vs. during-pandemic.

3.2. Comparison of adolescents Pre- and during the COVID pandemic

Using the same PSM process as with the adults, comparisons revealed no significant differences between the pre- and during-pandemic groups for either of the control variables for the adolescents [age: $t(140) = 0.497, p = .620$; gender: $\chi^2(1) = 2.97, p = .586$]. The mean age of the pre-pandemic group was 15.03 (SD = 1.48) and the mean age of the during-pandemic group was 15.16 (SD = 1.51). The pre-pandemic group had 49 females and 61 males, while the during-pandemic group was 61 females and 16 males. Further breakdown of descriptive statistics for the adolescent inpatients is shown in Table 3.

We then proceeded with ANOVAs to determine if there were significant differences in the severity of admission scores between pre- and during pandemic groups. Results revealed there were significant differences in anxiety ($F(1,1140) = 8.03, p = .005$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.05$), depression ($F(1,1140) = 8.18, p = .005$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.06$), and sleep ($F(1,1140) = 6.61, p = .011$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.05$) for adolescents, all in the hypothesized direction with scores significantly increased during the COVID pandemic (see Table 2 for means, standard deviations, and Cohen’s d). Effect sizes indicate medium effects for all significant findings. There were no significant differences for emotion regulation ($F(1,1140) = 0.001, p = .973$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.00$), nightmares ($F(1,1139) = 2.54, p = .113$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.02$), or suicide risk ($F(1,1139) = 0.404, p = .526$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.00$) (see Table 2 for means, standard deviations, and Cohen’s d). Despite the lack of statistically significant differences in nightmares, effect sizes indicate a non-negligible effect (0.27) for adolescents. See Fig. 1 for differences in outcomes pre-pandemic vs. during-pandemic.

4. Discussion

This study found significantly increased mental health symptom severity at admission to an inpatient psychiatric hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to patients admitted before the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, adults demonstrated significantly greater anxiety, depression, emotion regulation problems, nightmares, and disability at admission relative to adults who were admitted before the pandemic. Adolescents who were admitted during the pandemic demonstrated significantly greater anxiety, depression, and sleep problems when compared to adolescents who were admitted pre-pandemic. These findings of increased symptom severity during an infectious disease pandemic are consistent with previous research (Blithikioti et al., 2021; Carmassi et al., 2020; Cenat et al., 2020; Chappuy et al., 2021; Franchini et al., 2020; Fegert et al., 2020; Guessoum et al., 2020; Hao et al., 2020; Jalloh et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2005; Lee, 2020; Bar-Or et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2020; Neelam et al., 2021; Rogers et al., 2021; Van Rheezen et al., 2020) that focused on the psychiatric effects of disease outbreaks, including COVID-19. Importantly, our findings extend the understanding of the effects of a pandemic on the youth and adults who have significant preexisting mental health symptoms and utilize mental health’s highest level of care intensity.

In the current study, for the adults admitted inpatient, no significant differences were found pre-pandemic vs. during the pandemic in measures of sleep quality, suicide risk, or substance use. Other studies have shown increased sleep problems during COVID, but results are inconsistent; patients’ reports vary from worsened insomnia symptoms (Sun et al., 2021) and increased sleep disruptions (Muruganandam et al., 2020) to sleeping more (Van Rheezen et al., 2020). This inconsistency in the literature may be attributed to the measure of sleep used in each study which would assess different aspects of sleep problems. In this study, the sleep assessment used is the PSQI which includes many components of sleep in its total score and may have lacked the specificity needed to find a significant difference in sleep health in our adult population. Other studies have also observed higher levels of suicidal ideation; 14.4% of patients with severe mental illness reported suicidal ideation during COVID-19; 5.3% of those patients reported an increase in suicidal ideation compared to before the pandemic (Muruganandam et al., 2020), and psychiatric patients were more likely to report worries about suicidal ideation than healthy controls (Hao et al., 2020). Additionally, most of the literature on addiction psychiatry has shown elevated levels of substance use during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to 2019 (Czesieler et al., 2020) and an increased need for addiction counseling services (Columb et al., 2020). The inpatient psychiatric facility to which our adult sample were admitted to treats individuals both with and without substance use disorders, which may explain the inconsistency between the literature and our findings. Finally, because this study is conducted in an inpatient psychiatric

---

**Table 1**

|                      | Pre-Pandemic | During-Pandemic | d     |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|
| Anxiety (GAD-7)      | 6.97 (4.14)  | 11.88 (6.07)    | .95** |
| Depression (PHQ-9)   | 11.15 (6.73) | 14.71 (7.41)    | .50   |
| Emotion Regulation (DERS-SF) | 41.66 (12.14) | 47.96 (14.27)   | .48** |
| Sleep (PSQI)         | 9.37 (3.80)  | 9.92 (3.93)     | .14   |
| Nightmares (DDNSI)   | 5.08 (6.95)  | 6.81 (8.03)     | .23*  |
| Suicide Risk (SBQ-R) | 7.83 (4.38)  | 8.56 (4.89)     | .16   |
| Disability (WHODAS)  | 11.00 (8.79) | 14.65 (9.31)    | .40** |
| Substance Use (WHODASSIST) | 44.15 (40.54) | 45.32 (39.26)   | .00   |

Note:
- *p < .05;
- **p < .01;
- ***p < .001.
setting, patients present with significantly elevated sleep problems, suicide risk, and substance use problems at admission leading to a “ceiling effect” (Ho and Yu., 2015). This may explain the lack of a significant difference for those psychiatric symptoms between pre-pandemic and during-pandemic.

In adolescents, no significant differences were found in emotion regulation, nightmares, or suicide risk pre-pandemic vs. during the pandemic. Although studies have shown emotional deterioration

Fig. 1. Differences in outcomes at admission pre-pandemic vs. during-pandemic for adolescent and adult samples. The substance use and disability assessment are only completed by the adult sample. Clinical cut-off scores are included.
The study found several limitations. First, the adolescent sample admitted to the inpatient psychiatric program with significantly high emotional problems score pre-pandemic leading to a ceiling effect. This may explain the lack of statistical significance for nightmares may be due to the small sample size. Most research focusing on the effects of the pandemic. The lack of statistical significance for nightmares may be due to COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescent mental health has had racial homogeneity of our sample limits the generalizability of the results. The lack of statistical significance for nightmares may be due to the small sample size. Most research focusing on the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescent mental health has had little to no emphasis on these specific psychiatric symptoms among youth with preexisting mental health conditions.

Findings in the current study have several limitations. First, the racial homogeneity of our sample limits the generalizability of the results. The race/ethnicity of the participants is predominantly Caucasian (85.7% of our adult population and 86.7% of our adolescent population). Future studies should replicate these results with a more diverse sample. Second, although typically an objective measure of executive functioning (the NIH Toolbox) (Gershon et al., 2013) is conducted at admission, social distancing restrictions and safety precautions prevented its administration during COVID. Therefore, all of the studied measures rely on patient self-report which makes the data subject to bias (Bhandari and Wagner, 2006). However, since these measures are administered as part of the usual standard care and are used clinically, patients may be motivated to answer honestly and provide valid responses. Although all patients provided documentation of a negative COVID test at admission, this study does not differentiate among individuals who may have had a COVID-19 infection prior to hospitalization. Examining whether or not patients had COVID-19 prior to hospitalization, may help clarify whether the increased psychiatric symptom severity is attributable to the stressors of the pandemic and/or is a biological sequelae such as alterations in neurotransmitter levels leading to symptoms caused by the virus itself (Boldrini et al., 2021).

Although it is unclear what factors are directly responsible for the increase in psychiatric symptom severity for those admitting during the COVID-19 pandemic, there are possibilities and factors that can be explored. One possible reason is that those with preexisting mental illness simply became even more ill during the pandemic. Another possibility or factor could be that patients delayed longer prior to admitting during the pandemic (perhaps due to fear of going into a hospital with other people in proximity), resulting in higher symptom severity at admission. Although the primary reason is unknown, our hospital responded to this increase in mental health issues across our services, revision of admission criteria), Examining the impact of these

Table 3
Distribution of demographic variables.

|                  | Adults Pre-Pandemic(n = 235) | Adults During-Pandemic (n = 235) | Adolescents Pre-Pandemic(n = 65) | Adolescents During-Pandemic(n = 77) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Gender           |                             |                                 |                                 |                                   |
| Male             | 136 (57.9)                  | 127 (54.0)                      | 16 (24.6)                       | 16 (20.8)                        |
| Female           | 99 (42.1)                   | 108 (46.0)                      | 49 (75.4)                       | 61 (79.2)                        |
| Race             |                             |                                 |                                 |                                   |
| Caucasian        | 209 (88.9)                  | 194 (82.5)                      | 56 (86.5)                       | 67 (86.8)                        |
| Other            | 26 (11.1)                   | 41 (17.5)                       | 9 (13.5)                        | 10 (13.2)                        |
| Education        |                             |                                 |                                 |                                   |
| College education| 117 (50.0)                  | 116 (49.8)                      | –                               | –                                 |
| No college education| 117 (50.0)                  | 117 (50.2)                      | –                               | –                                 |
| MDD              | 77 (38.5)                   | 86 (44.8)                       | 13 (23.2)                       | 6 (10.2)                         |
| GAD              | 19 (9.5)                    | 55 (28.6)                       | 15 (17.9)                       | 35 (59.3)                        |
| Bipolar          | 16 (8.0)                    | 11 (5.7)                        | 0 (0.0)                         | 0 (0.0)                          |
| ADHD             | –                           | 20 (35.7)                       | 14 (23.7)                       |                                   |
| PTSD             | 25 (12.5)                   | 35 (18.2)                       | 10 (17.9)                       | 17 (28.8)                        |
| Mean (SD)        | Mean (SD)                   | Mean (SD)                       | Mean (SD)                       |                                   |
| Age              | 34.83 (15.23)               | 34.88 (15.41)                   | 15.03 (1.48)                    | 15.16 (1.51)                     |
| Length of Stay (LOS) | 37.74 (16.21)               | 35.79 (17.13)                   | 22.95 (9.41)                    | 22.83 (7.37)                     |
| Lifetime Suicide Attempts | 3.00 (1.15)                  | 3.38 (4.86)                      | 2.16 (1.71)                     | 2.95 (2.49)                      |
| Previous therapists | 5.50 (20.36)                | 3.21 (4.05)                      | 3.40 (1.69)                     | 2.22 (1.25)                      |
| Previous Psychiatrists | 3.23 (2.97)                  | 3.21 (4.05)                      | 2.10 (1.68)                     | 1.69 (1.33)                      |
| Previous acute admissions | 1.50 (4.69)                 | 1.47 (3.08)                      | –                               | –                                 |
| Previous extended admissions | 0.95 (1.56)                 | 1.65 (8.37)                      | –                               | –                                 |
institutional changes are outside the scope of the current article; however, these among other factors could be explored further by future studies. Ultimately, this study contributes to a growing body of literature highlighting youth and adults with preexisting mental illness as groups particularly vulnerable to the stresses of COVID-19, and further elucidates which components of mental health are affected and to what degree. Despite the many competing demands for scarce resources during a global health crisis, these results emphasize that, mental health needs must not be overlooked, particularly for those with preexisting psychiatric conditions. Findings further demonstrate the need for continuity in and expansion of access to mental health care for all.
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