Mixture Toxicity of Methylisothiazolinone and Propylene Glycol at a Maximum Concentration for Personal Care Products
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Abstract

Methylisothiazolinone (MIT) has been used in combination with methylchloroisothiazolinone (CMIT) for cosmetic products such as shampoo, body lotion, and skin care products. The mixture of CMIT/MIT has been found to cause allergic contact dermatitis and is thus no longer permitted for use as a preservative in leave-on cosmetics. However, MIT itself was approved as a stand-alone preservative at a maximum concentration of 100 ppm as the toxicity was derived from CMIT rather than MIT. However, in many countries, allergic skin irritation caused by MIT remains a social concern. In this study, skin irritation was assessed for the presence of MIT, propylene glycol, and their mixture using a 3D human skin model EpiDerm™. Although non-diluted MIT causes serious skin toxicity, skin irritation was not observed at a concentration of 100 ppm, the maximum permissible level for cosmetics and personal care products according to European regulations. Propylene glycol, the most widely used vehicle for MIT, did not cause skin irritation in the 3D skin model. The results are expected to provide information for regulatory policies and guidelines on the use of biocides in consumer products.
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INTRODUCTION

Methylchloroisothiazolinone (CMIT) and methylisothiazolinone (MIT) are heterocyclic organic compounds that have long been used in cosmetic products such as shampoo, body lotion, and skin care products (1,2) under the name of Kathon™ CG. With increasing uses of CMIT/MIT, toxicity studies have been widely performed to predict human health risks, particularly skin irritation and sensitization risks (3,4). Adverse effects of Kathon™ CG are known to be caused mainly by CMIT rather than by MIT (5,6). Therefore, the use of CMIT in leave-on products is prohibited. Now, only MIT is permitted to be used in cosmetics and many other personal care products. General uses of MIT have widely increased, including household cleaning products and paints (7). A study from 2015 showed that 93.0% of the paints in five European countries contain MIT at concentrations ranging from 1.1 to 142.7 ppm (8).

However, recent epidemiological studies have shown that the use of MIT alone can cause contact allergic dermatitis (9,10). A retrospective analysis of data collected by the European Surveillance System on the contact allergies of 31,689 consecutively patch-tested patients from 46 departments in 12 European countries revealed that the prevalence of contact allergy to methylisothiazolinone has increased by up to around 20% in several departments (11). In 9 dermatology clinics representing 9 countries, 3865 consecutive patients with dermatitis were patch tested with 0.2% MIT. The prevalence of the contact allergy to MIT in these participating clinics was found in 284 (7.3%) patients (12). In animal tests, potential sensitization has been suggested when mice were treated with methylisothiazolinone (13). Dermal treatment with MIT in both the C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice has induced increases of T- and B-cell proliferation in the auricular lymph nodes along with IFN-γ production and limited increases in total serum IgE, thus confirming dermal sensitization. An airway chal-
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lengage with MIT has led to an early ventilatory response (decreased breathing frequency), indicative of acute sensory irritation (14). While the potent skin sensitization effect of MIT has been suggested, animal toxicity data on skin irritation to MIT alone are insufficient (15).

Recently, new issues on the toxicity of preservative mixtures that include MIT have been highlighted for biocide regulation in many countries. In terms of vehicles for active ingredient, propylene glycol (PG) is one of the most widely used solvents. PG is an aliphatic alcohol that functions as a skin conditioning agent, viscosity decreasing agent, solvent, and fragrance ingredient in cosmetics (16). PG is generally known to be non-toxic and non-carcinogenic. Clinical studies on PG have demonstrated an absence of dermal sensitization at the concentrations used, although concerns remain about its irritation. The safety of PG and MIT when mixed has not yet been reported.

In this study, the skin irritation capacities of MIT and PG alone and in combination (MIT/PG) were tested using a 3D human skin model. The results of this study are expected to provide information on the safety level of MIT and PG at the maximum concentration found in cosmetics and personal care products. They are also expected to provide information for regulatory policies and guidelines on the use of biocides in consumer products.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Determining the optimal MIT and PG concentrations in a human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT).** HaCaT cells derived from human keratinocytes were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were seeded into each well of a 96-well plate at a density of 6 × 10⁴ cell/mL and incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. MIT (200, 300, 400, 500, and 600 μM) and PG (0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4%) were used to treat cultured cells. After 24 hr of treatment, cell viability was measured by a colorimetric assay using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT).

**Skin irritation test and reconstructed human epidermis (EpiDerm).** A skin irritation test was performed based on the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) TG 439 or the manufacturer’s protocol. Reconstructed human epidermis EpiDerm™ was purchased from MatTek Corporation (Ashland, MA, USA). EpiDerm™ is a ready-to-use highly differentiated 3D tissue model consisting of normal human-derived epidermal keratinocytes (NHKEK) cultured on specially prepared tissue culture inserts. When cultured at an air-liquid interface (ALI), EpiDerm™ enables the evaluation of topically applied compounds, chemicals, cosmetic/personal care product ingredients, and final formulations. EpiDerm™ exhibits a human epidermal tissue structure and cellular morphology with great uniformity and reproducibility. EpiDerm™ is a 3D structure consisting of organized and proliferative basal cells, spinous and granular layers, and cornified epidermal layers that are mitotically and metabolically active. After receiving the skin model product (EpiDerm™), tissue inserts were transferred to each well of a 6-well plate containing 0.9 mL DMEM and then pre-incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ overnight. The tissues were then distributed into three groups: (1) the negative control (NC) group, (2) 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) as the positive control (PC) group, and (3) the test chemical-treated group. After the skin tissues were applied to the test chemicals, they were covered with nylon mesh and incubated for 1 hr based on the manufacturer’s test protocol. After the exposure time, tissues were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and post-incubated for 42 hr. The cell viability of the skin tissue was then measured with the MTT method as previously described (17-19).

**Classification of skin irritation.** MTT (1 mg/mL) solution was added to the post-incubated skin tissues and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 3 hr. The absorbance was then measured by a colorimetric assay. The cell viability of the skin tissue was determined as a percentage of the absorbance of the test chemical group compared to that of the negative control group. Classification of skin irritation was performed according to the viability based on OECD TG 439. When the viability of a test group was less than 50%, the chemical was classified as an irritant, (United Nations Global Harmonization System [UN GHS] category 2 or 1). If the viability was greater than 50%, it was classified as a non-irritant chemical (Table 1).

**IL-1α assay using culture media of EpiDerm™.** After 1 hr of exposure of EpiDerm™ to test the chemicals, the cells were washed with PBS and then post-incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 42 hr. At 24 hr post-incubation, a small amount of the media was extracted and the level of interleukin-1 alpha (IL-1α) was measured using the IL-1α

**Table 1. Classification criteria of skin irritation test defined in OECD TG 439**

| Classification                  | Viability                                      |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Irritant (UN GHS; Category 2)   | Below defined threshold levels (≤ 50%)         |
| Non-irritant (UN GHS; No Category) | Above the defined threshold levels (> 50%)     |
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... according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, capture antibody diluted in Dulbecco’s PBS was dispensed into a 96-well plate and incubated overnight at room temperature to be coated. Each well was washed with a wash buffer. The diluted reagent was then added to each well and incubated at room temperature. After washing, the media taken from the EpiDerm™ incubation were added to each well and incubated at room temperature. After incubation, each well was washed with wash buffer and detection antibody was added to each well. Subsequently, each well was treated with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) secondary antibody, substrate solution, and stop solution. The absorbance of each well was then measured at a wavelength of 450 nm on a microplate reader.

**Statistics.** All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). An independent two sample t-test was used to compare the means of the control and treated groups. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Cytotoxicity of MIT and PG in cultured HaCaT cells.** The skin is the primary target of MIT in cosmetics or personal health care products (rinse-off and leave-on). Therefore, HaCaT cells derived from human keratinocytes could be appropriate for determining the concentration range of MIT in which skin irritation might occur. As shown in Fig. 1, cell viability decreased by treatment with MIT for 24 hr in a concentration-dependent manner. No cytotoxicity was observed for MIT at 200 μM. However, cell viability decreased gradually to 82.8% in cells treated with MIT at 300 μM, and decreased to 11.7% in cells treated with MIT at 600 μM. A small increase in the MIT concentration can result in a significant increase of cytotoxicity. PG, the vehicle of MIT, seems to show the same concentration-dependent cytotoxicity after treatment for 24 hr. No cytotoxicity was observed in the treatment with PG at a concentration of 0.5~2%. However, the cell viability decreased to 39.3% after treatment with PG at 4% (Fig. 2).

**Skin irritation of MIT.** A cytotoxicity test using cultured HaCaT cells showed a cell viability of 11.7% in the group treated with MIT at 600 μM (69.1 ppm) for 24 hr. Therefore, two test concentrations of 0.01% (100 ppm) and 1% (10,000 ppm) were selected for a skin irritation test of MIT in EpiDerm™. The exposure time of MIT in the EpiDerm™ test was 1 hr, which was very short compared to that in the cell viability test using HaCaT. MIT was solubilized in DMEM and applied to EpiDerm™ for 1 hr. As shown in Fig. 3, MIT itself (100% solution) showed strong toxicity to EpiDerm™, indicating that MIT was a strong irritant. However, MIT did not show cytotoxicity at a concentration of 0.01% (100 ppm), which is the maximum permissible concentration in personal health care products. This suggests that MIT in this concentration does not cause skin irritation. After MIT was solubilized in PG and applied to EpiDerm™ for 1 hr, PG alone showed no toxic effects in the skin models (Fig. 4). The cytotoxicity of MIT dissolved in PG (Fig. 4) was almost the same as that of MIT dissolved in DMEM without PG (Fig. 3). MIT did not show cytotoxicity at a concentration of 0.01%.
(100 ppm) when it was solubilized in PG. This means that PG does not have any additive effect on the cytotoxicity of EpiDerm™ caused by MIT.

**Toxicity of the MIT and PG mixture.** The toxicity of the MIT and PG mixture to skin irritation was not observed using the 3D human skin model, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The mixture also had no effect on the viability of
mitocortin (MIT)-treated skin model. The gistic effect of PG was not as high as that in the 0.01% MIT (10,000 ppm) solubilized in DMEM without the PG vehicle. However, it increased when MIT was solubilized in the PG vehicle. As shown in Fig. 7, the level of IL-1α was not induced by 0.01% MIT. However, it was increased by the mixture of PG and MIT (p < 0.01). A, 0.01% MIT in DMEM; B, 0.01% MIT in PG; C, 1% MIT in DMEM; D, 1% MIT in PG. **p < 0.01.

cultured HaCaT cells (Fig. 5, 6). The cytotoxicity of MIT (300 or 400 μM) according to vehicle concentration did not change. PG at a concentration of 0.5% or 1% did not affect the cytotoxicity of MIT. However, the inflammatory response assay for IL-1α, a cell mediator related to skin irritation and released from the skin model, differed to that of the previous results. As shown in Fig. 7, the level of IL-1α did not increase in the skin tissue treated with 0.01% MIT (100 ppm) when MIT was solubilized in DMEM without the PG vehicle. However, it increased when MIT was solubilized in the PG vehicle. However, 1% MIT (10,000 ppm) solubilized in DMEM without the PG vehicle increased the level of IL-1α, while the synergistic effect of PG was not as high as that in the 0.01% MIT-treated skin model.

**DISCUSSION**

Market surveys have shown that a mixture of CMIT, MIT, oligo(2-)ethoxy ethoxethyl guanidine chloride (PGH), benzalkonium chloride, and PHMG has been used as a humidifier disinfectant in Korea (20,21), although all such products are no longer commercially available. However, several of these products still have general uses in personal care products. For example, in many countries, CMIT/MIT has long been used in cosmetic products, such as shampoo, body lotion, and skin care, as well as in paint manufacturing and as biocides in cooling towers (1,2). Therefore, toxicity studies have been widely performed to predict the effects of these substances on the human body, particularly focusing on skin irritation. Patch test files and clinical records of patients examined at the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health between January 2002 and February 2013 demonstrated that 72 (4.1%) of 1,745 patients showed allergic reaction to CMIT/MIT and/or MIT. Positive patch test reactions to CMIT/MIT were observed in 45 (2.6%) patients. Positive reactions to both CMIT/MIT and MIT were shown in 24 (1.4%) patients, while positive reactions to MIT were only observed in 3 (0.2%) patients (22). Another patch test for CMIT/MIT was performed on 1,498 Swedish patients with suspected allergic contact dermatitis. It was found that 7.1% of these patients responded to CMIT/MIT (23).

In the present study, it was found that non-diluted MIT was very toxic to the skin model. Even when it was diluted to 50% with DMEM, it remained toxic to the skin model. All of the cells in the tissue appeared to have died (data not shown). According to the classification based on OECD TG 439, MIT itself is a strong skin irritant. However, there has been no evidence demonstrating that MIT could cause skin irritation at a concentration of 0.01% (100 ppm), the maximum permissible concentration by European regulations (Fig. 3). When the test concentration of MIT was increased to 1%, skin irritancy seemed to be uncertain. Further data are needed because the viability was borderline for classifications. The standard deviation of MIT was relatively large compared to that of other groups. All viability results were obtained from three skin tissues, except for the 1% MIT group, for which results were obtained from six skin tissues. Although 100 ppm of MIT did not result in irritation, this does not imply that this MIT concentration is safe. In a skin irritation test using 3D human skin models, the skin was exposed to the test chemical for only 1 hr. Therefore, results from chronic exposure cannot be predicted. This aspect has also been considered in tests in other studies (24,25). While non-diluted MIT showed strong cytotoxicity in skin models, non-diluted PG alone did not show cytotoxicity in the skin model (Fig. 3, 4) yet showed cytotoxicity to HaCaT cells (Fig. 2). When HaCaT cells were treated with PG at 4% for 24 hr, the cell viability was less than 40%. However, there were no cytotoxic effects in the skin tissue model even after the skin tissue was treated with 100% PG for 1 hr. As shown in Fig. 3 to Fig. 6, the toxicities of the MIT and PG mixture were less apparent in the skin model as well as in HaCaT cells.

The effect of PG on the level of released cytokines seemed to differ from the effect of PG on cell viability. IL-1α was produced not only by activated macrophages, but also by neutrophils, epithelial cells, and endothelial cells. It has been known to play a role in inflammation initiation, fever, and progression of sepsis. In the SDS-treated positive control, the level of released IL-1α was signifi-

---

**Fig. 7.** Released IL-1α in the culture medium of EpiDerm™ treated with methylisothiazolinone (MIT) alone or its mixture with propylene glycol (PG). At 24 hr post-treatment, a small amount of the media was extracted and the IL-1α level was measured. In the positive control group treated with 5% SDS, a high level of IL-1α was detected. IL-1α was not induced by 0.01% MIT (100 ppm) when MIT was solubilized in DMEM without the PG vehicle. However, it increased when MIT was solubilized in the PG vehicle. As shown in Fig. 7, the level of IL-1α was increased by the mixture of PG and MIT (p < 0.01). A, 0.01% MIT in DMEM; B, 0.01% MIT in PG; C, 1% MIT in DMEM; D, 1% MIT in PG. **p < 0.01.
cantly increased. After treatment with MIT mixed with DMEM, the IL-1α level did not increase in the 0.01% MIT-treated group (100 ppm). However, it was slightly increased in the 1% MIT-treated group (10,000 ppm). When MIT was mixed with PG, the IL-1α level was increased in both the 0.01% MIT-treated group (100 ppm) and the 1% MIT-treated group (10,000 ppm) (Fig. 7). This suggests a potential mixture toxicity of MIT with PG in inflammatory responses. However, the potentiation of the inflammatory response appears to be insufficient to induce skin irritation in EpiDerm™.

In conclusion, 100% MIT showed skin irritation in the 3D human skin model. PG itself did not cause skin irritation, nor did it show an additive or synergic effect on MIT-induced skin irritation. However, it might have potential to induce inflammatory response when mixed with MIT. This finding needs further assessment using an in vivo system.
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