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As a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model, we consider a 5-dimensional Hořava-Witten type theory, and derive its low energy effective action. The model we consider is a two-brane system with a bulk scalar field satisfying the BPS condition. We solve the bulk equations of motion using a gradient expansion method, and substitute the solution into the original action to get the 4-dimensional effective action. The resultant effective theory can be casted into the form of Einstein gravity coupled with two scalar fields, one arising from the radion, the degree of freedom of the inter-brane distance, and the other from the bulk scalar field. We also clarify the relation between our analysis and the moduli approximation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, it is believed that superstring theory is the most promising candidate for quantum theory of gravity. Remarkably, it can be consistently formulated only in 10 dimensions [1]. This fact requires a mechanism to fill the gap between our real world and the higher dimensions. Conventionally, the extra dimensions are considered to be compactified to a small compact space of the Planck scale. However, recent developments in superstring theory has lead to a new idea, the so-called braneworld. The braneworld scenario has been a subject of intensive investigations for the past few years [2]. The simplest toy model of the braneworld is constructed by Randall and Sundrum in which the bulk is empty [3]. However, in superstring theory, scalar fields are ubiquitous. Indeed, generically a dilaton and moduli exist in the bulk because they correspond to modes associated with a closed string. Thus, including a bulk scalar field is more natural from the string theory point of view.

In addition to the above stingy point of view, a model with a bulk scalar field is interesting from the cosmological point of view as well. For example, a bulk scalar field can drive inflation on the brane although the bulk spacetime never inflate [4]. This kind of inflationary scenario certainly deserves further investigations.

In the presence of a bulk scalar field, the physics of branes is complicated. However when both the bulk potential and the effective brane tension are related and under control, the effective 4-dimensional cosmological constant vanishes. This is the so-called BPS condition and the system becomes tractable under this condition. As an example of a system with the BPS condition, we can take the Randall-Sundrum model where the negative cosmological constant $-\frac{6}{(\kappa^2 \ell^2)}$ and brane tension $\sigma$ has the relation $\kappa^2 \sigma = \frac{6}{\ell}$. Assuming this relation, and using a gradient expansion method we developed previously [5], we constructed the effective action for the Randall-Sundrum model with a bulk scalar field [6].

In a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model obtained by the dimensional reduction of the Hořava-Witten $M$-theory to 5-dimensions [7], the bulk potential takes an exponential form with parameters satisfying the BPS condition. In the case of homogeneous cosmology, the field equations are derived and discussed in [8]. For a more thorough analysis, it would be nice if a purely 4-dimensional description of the braneworld exists. Aiming for this direction, the effective action for the Hořava-Witten cosmology is obtained by using the moduli approximation [9] (see [10] for other approaches).

The moduli approximation assumes the metric in the form of a static solution, but replaces the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ on the brane with a spacetime dependent metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. This leads to the metric in a factorized form. The position of each brane is described by a spacetime dependent function $\phi(x)$ [11]. With this form of the metric, the effective action is derived by a simple dimensional reduction. However, this factorized metric is not obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion. Hence there is no justification of using it. We should solve the bulk geometry and substitute the result into the action to obtain a proper 4-dimensional effective theory.

In this paper, we will solve the bulk equations of motion using a gradient expansion method and derive the 4-dimensional effective action for the BPS braneworld with a bulk scalar field. In the course of analysis, we clarify
the relation between the gradient expansion method and the moduli approximation method. The implications of our result to string cosmology are also discussed.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In sec. 2, we present the starting action for our Hořava-Witten type model, that is, a BPS braneworld with a bulk scalar field. We then derive basic equations. In sec. 3, we explain our iteration scheme of gradient expansion to solve the Einstein equations, which corresponds to a low energy approximation, and the background solution is presented. In sec. 4, we derive the 4-dimensional effective action. In sec. 5, the relation to the moduli approximation is investigated. The final section is devoted to conclusion. In Appendix A, calculation of the extrinsic curvature is given.

II. MODEL AND BASIC EQUATIONS

We consider a $Z_2$ symmetric 5-dimensional spacetime with two branes at the fixed points of the symmetry, and a bulk scalar field $\varphi$ coupled to the brane tension $\sigma(\varphi)$ but not to the matter $L_{\text{matter}}$ on the brane. The model is described by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G} \left[ R - \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G} \left( \frac{1}{2} G^{AB} \partial_A \varphi \partial_B \varphi + U(\varphi) \right) \right] - \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g_+} \left[ \sigma(\varphi_+) - L_{\text{matter}}^+ \right] + \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g_-} \left[ \sigma(\varphi_-) + L_{\text{matter}}^- \right] + \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g_+} K_+ - \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g_-} K_- ,$$

(1)

where $\kappa^2$ is the 5-dimensional gravitational coupling constant and $R$ is the 5-dimensional scalar curvature. We denoted the induced metric on the positive and negative tension branes by $g_+^{\mu\nu}$ and $g_-^{\mu\nu}$, respectively. In the last line, we have taken into account the Gibbons-Hawking boundary terms instead of introducing delta-function singularities in the curvature. The factor 2 in the Gibbons-Hawking term comes from the $Z_2$ symmetry of this spacetime. $K_{\pm}$ is the trace part of the extrinsic curvature of each boundary brane. The potential of the bulk scalar field and brane tensions satisfy the BPS condition,

$$U(\varphi) = \frac{1}{8} \sigma^2(\varphi) - \frac{\kappa^2}{6} \sigma^2(\varphi) ,$$

(2)

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to the scalar field $\varphi$. The effective 4-dimensional cosmological constant on the brane will vanish under this condition. The brane tension is assumed to have the form

$$\sigma = \frac{6\mu}{\kappa^2} \exp \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} \varphi \right) ,$$

(3)

where $\mu$ and $p$ are model parameters. The Hořava-Witten model corresponds to $p = 1/\sqrt{6}$. The limit $p \to \infty$ reduces to the Randall-Sundrum model with the bulk curvature radius $1/\mu$.

We take the metric in the bulk as

$$ds^2 = \gamma_{\mu\nu}(x,y) dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{2\psi(x)} dy^2 .$$

(4)

The positive and negative tension branes are respectively placed at

$$y = \phi_+(x) , \quad y = \phi_-(x) ,$$

(5)

which are often referred to as the moduli fields. In the bulk scalar field model, we have two scalar degrees of freedom, that is, the bulk scalar field and the inter-brane distance. Here we introduced an extra scalar field $\psi(x)$ as a coordinate choice which is convenient to solve the bulk equations of motion. Now we give the basic equations in the bulk. When solving the bulk equations of motion, it is convenient to introduce a tensor on the $y = \text{constant}$ slicing by $K_{\mu\nu} = -1/2 \partial_y \gamma_{\mu\nu}$. Decomposing the extrinsic curvature into the traceless part and the trace part

$$e^{-\psi} K_{\mu\nu} = \Sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} Q , \quad Q = e^{-\psi} K ,$$

(6)
we obtain the basic equations which hold in the bulk;

\[ e^{-\psi}\Sigma^\mu_{\nu,y} - Q\Sigma^\mu_{\nu} = -\left[R_{\mu,\nu} \right] \psi - \nabla^\mu \psi \nabla_{\nu} \psi - \kappa^2 \nabla^\mu \varphi \nabla_{\nu} \varphi \right] \text{traceless}, \]  
\( (7) \)

\[ e^{-\psi}Q_{,y} - Q^2 = \frac{8}{3}\kappa^2 U(\varphi) - R(\varphi) + \nabla^\alpha \nabla_{\alpha} \psi + \nabla^\alpha \psi \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi + \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \varphi \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi, \]  
\( (8) \)

\[ e^{-\psi}Q_{,y} - \frac{1}{4}Q^2 - \Sigma^\alpha_\beta \Sigma^\beta_\alpha = \nabla^\alpha \nabla_{\alpha} \psi + \nabla^\alpha \psi \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi + \kappa^2 \left[e^{-2\psi}(\partial_\psi \varphi)^2 + \frac{2}{3} U(\varphi) \right], \]  
\( (9) \)

\[ \nabla_\lambda \Sigma^\lambda_{\mu} \psi \Sigma^\lambda_{\mu} + \frac{3}{4} \nabla_{\mu} Q = -\kappa^2 e^{-\psi}(\partial_\psi \varphi) \psi \nabla_{\mu} \varphi, \]  
\( (10) \)

\[ e^{-\psi} \partial_\psi \left[e^{-\psi} \partial_\psi \varphi \right] - Q e^{-\psi} \partial_\psi \varphi + \nabla_\alpha \psi \psi_{\alpha} + \nabla_\alpha \varphi \psi_{\alpha} - U'(\varphi) = 0, \]  
\( (11) \)

where \( \nabla_\mu \) denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric \( \gamma_{\mu\nu} \) and \( R_{\mu,\nu} \) is the corresponding 4-dimensional curvature. The subscript “traceless” represents the traceless part of the quantity in the square brackets.

### III. GRADIENT EXPANSION METHOD

The effective action has to be derived by substituting the solution of Eqs. (7)~(11) into the action (11) and integrating out the result over the bulk coordinate \( y \). In reality, it is difficult to perform this general procedure. However, what we need is a low energy effective theory. At low energy, the energy density of the matter, \( \rho \), on the brane is smaller than the brane tension, i.e., \( \rho/|\sigma| \ll 1 \). If we denote the characteristic length scale of the bulk as \( L \) and on the brane as \( L_s \), in this regime, a simple dimensional analysis, \( \rho/|\sigma| \sim L^2/L_s^2 \ll 1 \), implies that the 4-dimensional curvature can be neglected compared with the extrinsic curvature. Thus, the Anti-Newtonian or gradient expansion method used in the cosmological context \( (12) \) is applicable to our problem. The iteration scheme is to write the metric \( \gamma_{\mu\nu} \) as a sum of local tensors built out of \( g_{\mu\nu} \), with the number of derivatives increasing with the order of iteration, that is, \( O((\ell/L)^{2n}) \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \). Hence, we express the metric as a perturbative series

\[ \gamma_{\mu\nu}(y, x) = a^2(y) \left[ g_{\mu\nu}(x) + f_{\mu\nu}(y, x) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \cdots \right]. \]  
\( (12) \)

Other quantities can be also expanded as

\[ Q^\mu_{\nu} = Q^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + Q^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + Q^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + \cdots, \]  
\( (13) \)

\[ \Sigma^\mu_{\nu} = \Sigma^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \Sigma^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \Sigma^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + \cdots. \]  
\( (13) \)

The bulk equations of motion are to be solved order by order \( \{ A \cdots E \} \).

#### A. Zeroth Order

At zeroth order, we can neglect the curvature terms in Eqs. (7)~(11). Moreover, the tension term only induces the isotropic bending of the brane. Thus, an anisotropic term vanishes at this order, \( \Sigma^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \). For simplicity, we write the trace part of the extrinsic curvature at zeroth order as

\[ Q^{(0)} = W^{(0)}(\varphi), \]  
\( (14) \)

where \( W \) is a function of bulk scalar field at zeroth order. Combining Eqs. (13) with (2) at this order gives

\[ \frac{3}{4}W^2 = \kappa^2 e^{-2\psi} (\partial_\psi \varphi)^2 - 2\kappa^2 U(\varphi), \]  
\( (15) \)

\[ W' = \frac{4}{3}\kappa^2 e^{-\psi} \partial_\psi \varphi. \]  
\( (16) \)

Eliminating \( \partial_\psi \varphi \) from Eq. (15) and Eq. (16), we have

\[ W^2 = \frac{3}{4\kappa^2}W'^2 \frac{8}{3} U(\varphi). \]  
\( (17) \)
The remaining Eqs. (10) and (11) are automatically satisfied. Comparing Eq. (17) with Eqs. (2) and (3), we get the trace part of the extrinsic curvature in the form

$$ W = 4 \mu \exp \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3p}} \varphi^0 \right). $$

As we have obtained the trace and traceless part of the extrinsic curvature, the extrinsic curvature at this order is given by using Eq. (6)

$$ e^{-\psi} K_{\mu \nu} = \mu \exp \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3p}} \varphi^0 \right) \delta_{\mu \nu}. $$

Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (16) and integrating with respect to \( y \), we obtain the zeroth order scalar field

$$ \varphi^0 = -\frac{\sqrt{3p}}{\kappa} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{p^2} y \right) + \eta(x), $$

where \( \eta(x) \) is a constant of integration and we choose it as

$$ \eta(x) = -\frac{\sqrt{3p}}{\kappa} \psi(x), $$

to make the solution separable. Using Eqs. (19), (20) and the definition

$$ K_{\mu \nu}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_y^{(0)} \gamma_{\mu \nu}, $$

we get the zeroth order metric as

$$ ds^2 = a^2(y) g_{\mu \nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{2\psi(x)} dy^2, \quad a(y) = \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{p^2} y \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, $$

where the tensor \( g_{\mu \nu} \) is a constant of integration which weakly depends on the brane coordinates \( x^\mu \).

### B. First Order

The first order solutions are obtained by taking into account the terms neglected at zeroth order. At first order, Eqs. (7)~(11) become

$$ e^{-\psi} \Sigma_{\mu, \nu, y}^{(1)} - W^{(1)}_{\mu, \nu} = - \left[ R^\mu_{\nu} (\gamma) - \nabla^\mu \nabla_\nu \psi - \nabla^\mu \psi \nabla_\nu \psi - \kappa^2 \nabla^\mu \varphi \nabla_\nu \varphi \right] \text{traceless}, $$

$$ e^{-\psi} Q_{\mu, y}^{(1)} - 2 W Q^{(1)} = \frac{8}{3} \kappa^2 U' (\varphi) \varphi - \left[ R(\gamma) - \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \psi - \nabla^\alpha \psi \nabla_\alpha \psi - \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \varphi \nabla_\alpha \varphi \right]^{(1)}, $$

$$ e^{-\psi} \Sigma_{\mu, \nu, y}^{(1)} - 2 W Q^{(1)} = \left[ \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \psi + \nabla^\alpha \psi \nabla_\alpha \psi \right]^{(1)} + \kappa^2 \left[ 2 e^{-2\psi} (\partial_y \varphi)(\partial_y \varphi) + \frac{2}{3} U' (\varphi) \varphi \right], $$

$$ \nabla_\lambda \Sigma_{\mu, \nu}^{(1)} - \frac{3}{4} \nabla_{\mu}^{(1)} Q = -\kappa^2 e^{-\psi} \left( \partial_y^{(0)} \varphi \partial_{(0)} \varphi + \partial_y^{(1)} \varphi \partial_{(0)} \varphi \right), $$

$$ e^{-\psi} \partial_y \left[ e^{-\psi} \partial_y \varphi \right] - Q e^{-\psi} \partial_y \varphi - W e^{-\psi} \partial_y \varphi + \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \varphi + \partial_y \varphi \partial_{(0)} \varphi - U'' (\varphi) \varphi = 0, $$

where the superscript (1) represents the order of the derivative expansion. Here, \( [R^\mu_{\nu} (\gamma)]^{(1)} \) means that the curvature is approximated by taking the Ricci tensor of \( a^2(y) g_{\mu \nu}(x) \) in place of the full metric \( \gamma_{\mu \nu}(x, y) \). It is also convenient to write it in terms of the Ricci tensor of \( g_{\mu \nu} \), denoted by \( R^\mu_{\nu} (g) \).

Combining Eq. (25) with Eq. (28), we get

$$ Q^{(1)} = \frac{4 \kappa^2}{3 W} \left[ e^{-2\psi} (\partial_y \varphi)(\partial_y \varphi) - U' (\varphi) \varphi \right]^{(1)} + \frac{2}{3 W} \left[ R(\gamma) - \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \varphi \nabla_\alpha \varphi \right]^{(1)}.$$


Using Eq. (15) and substituting Eq. (29) into Eq. (28), we obtain
\[ e^{-2\psi} \partial_y^2 \varphi^{(1)} - \left( \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \frac{W'^2}{W} + W \right) e^{-\psi} \partial_y \varphi^{(1)} + \left( \frac{W'}{W} U' - U'' \right) \varphi^{(1)} \]
\[ + \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\alpha \varphi^{(0)} + \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial^{\alpha} \varphi^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{W'}{W} \left[ R(\gamma) - \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \varphi^{(0)} \nabla_\alpha \varphi^{(0)} \right]^{(1)} = 0 \],
where the potential \( U(\varphi) \) appearing in front of the first order quantity is given by Eq. (2).
\[ U(\varphi) = -\frac{6\mu^2}{\kappa^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4\mu^2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{3p}} \varphi \right). \]
The last term is approximated by substituting the zeroth order metric (23),
\[ \left[ R(\gamma) - \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \varphi^{(0)} \nabla_\alpha \varphi^{(0)} \right]^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a^2(y)} \left[ R(g) - 3p^2 \psi \psi_{|\alpha} \right], \]
where \( x \) denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric \( g_{\mu \nu} \). Hereafter we omit the argument of the curvature and describe \( \psi^{(1)} \psi_{|\alpha} \) as \( (\partial \psi)^2 \) for simplicity.
Substituting the zeroth order solutions (24), (27) and (28) into Eq. (30), we obtain the scalar field at this order
\[ \varphi^{(1)} = \beta(x) a^{-2/2 + \beta}(y) + C_1(x) a^{-1/2}(y) + C_2(x) a^{-4/2 + \beta}(y), \]
where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants of integration which are functions of \( x \). The function \( \beta \) is a particular solution given by
\[ \beta(x) = -\frac{p^4}{16\mu^4(2p^2 + 1)(2p^2 - 3)} e^{2\psi} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{5p}\kappa}{\kappa^2} \nabla^\alpha \varphi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3p}} (R + 3p^2 (\partial \psi)^2) \right], \]
where the d’Alembertian \( \square \) is with respect to the metric \( g_{\mu \nu} \). We note that \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are determined by the junction conditions at the two boundary branes. It may be noted that because we have introduced an auxiliary field \( \psi \) in the metric, we may choose to set \( \varphi^{(1)} = 0 \) at the positive tension brane, if desired. Substituting this solution into Eq. (28), we get
\[ \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{1}{6\mu} e^{\psi} a^{1/2 - 2} \left[ R - 3p^2 (\partial \psi)^2 \right] + \frac{\kappa\mu}{\sqrt{3p}} e^{-\psi} \left[ 6p^2 - 3a^{1/2 - 2} \beta + 4p^2 a^{-1/2} C_1 + (8p^2 - 2) a^{-4} C_2 \right]. \]
Using Eq. (24), we obtain
\[ \Sigma^{(1)}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{p^2}{\mu (2p^2 + 1)} e^{\psi} a^{1/2 - 2} \left[ R_{\mu \nu}(g) - \square \psi - (3p^2 + 1) \psi^{(1)} \psi_{|\nu} \right]_{\text{traceless}} + a^{-4} \chi^{(1)}_{\nu}(x), \]
where \( \chi^{(1)}_{\nu} \) is a constant of integration which satisfies
\[ \chi^{(1)}_{\mu |\mu} = 0, \quad \chi^{(1)}_{\mu |\nu} = 0. \]
Here, the latter condition came from Eq. (27). We note that \( \chi^{(1)}_{\nu} \) is by itself a physical quantity, and determined by the junction condition \( \square \). In fact, this term corresponds to the dark radiation at this order \( \square \). We also note that, unlike the other quantities, due to the traceless property, \( \chi^{(1)}_{\nu} \) does not appear in the derivation of the effective action at first order.
The definition of the extrinsic curvature at this order is expressed as
\[ e^{-\psi} \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \partial_y \varphi^{(1)} \right) e^{-\psi} \partial_y f_{\mu \nu}. \]
From Eqs. (15) and (36), the correction to the metric \( g_{\mu \nu} \) at this order can be obtained as
\[ f_{\mu \nu}(y, x) = -\frac{p^4}{\mu^2 (2p^2 - 1)(2p^2 + 1)} e^{-2\psi} a^{-2/2 + 2/2} \left[ R_{\mu \nu} - \psi^{(1)}_{|\mu \nu} - (3p^2 + 1) \psi^{(1)}_{|\mu |\nu} \right]_{\text{traceless}} \]
\[ - \frac{p^2}{24\mu^2 (2p^2 - 1)} a^{2/2} g_{\mu \nu} (R - 3p^2 (\partial \psi)^2) - \frac{2p^2}{\mu (4p^2 - 1)} e^{\psi} a^{-1/2 + 2/2} \beta + 3p a^{-1/2} C_1 + \frac{2}{p} a^{-4/2 + 1/2} C_2, \]
where $C_{\mu\nu}$ is another constant of integration. Together with $\chi_{\mu\nu}$, it is also determined by the junction conditions. However, since there is freedom to specify the metric form at either of the branes, we may choose it to set the induced metric on, say, the positive tension brane as

$$g^\mu_\nu(x) = \gamma_{\mu\nu}(\phi^+(x), x) = a^2_+ g_{\mu\nu}(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

Substituting the metric up to first order, as given by Eq. (12), into the original action (11), we get the 4-dimensional effective action at leading order. The Kaluza-Klein (KK) corrections start from the 2nd order in our method. In principle, it is possible to solve the bulk equations of motion up to second order [5, 14]. However, it is beyond the scope of this paper. In the next section, we will focus on constructing the effective action at leading order.

IV. EFFECTIVE ACTION

The effective action can be constructed with the knowledge of the leading order metric $f_{\mu\nu}(y, x)$. Now, up to the first order, we have

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}(y, x) = a^2(y) [g_{\mu\nu}(x) + f_{\mu\nu}(y, x)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

In the following, we will calculate the bulk action, $S_{\text{bulk}}$, the actions for each brane tensions, $S^\pm_\text{m}$, brane matter $S^\pm_\text{m}$ and the Gibbons-Hawking term, $S_{\text{GH}}$, separately. After that, we collect all of them and obtain the 4-dimensional effective action.

In order to calculate the bulk action, we need the determinant of the bulk metric

$$\sqrt{-g} = e^\psi a^4(y) \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \text{tr} f}$$

$$\approx e^\psi a^4(y) \sqrt{-g} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} f\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

where

$$\text{tr} f = -\frac{p^2}{6\mu^2(p^2 - 1)} e^{2\psi} a^{-2+2/p^2} \left(R - 3\mu^2(\partial\psi)^2\right)$$

$$-\frac{3\sqrt{3}(2p^2 - 1)}{p(p^2 - 1)} a^{-2+2/p^2} \beta - \frac{8\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} a^{-1/p^2} C_1 - \frac{4\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} a^{-4+1/p^2} C_2 + C_{\mu\mu}.$$

As we have solved the bulk equations of motion, we can use the the equation $R = \kappa^2 (G^{AB} \partial A \varphi \partial B \varphi + 10/3U(\varphi))$ which holds in the bulk. Then, the bulk action becomes

$$S_{\text{bulk}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} G^{AB} \partial A \varphi \partial B \varphi + U(\varphi)\right]$$

$$= - \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{\frac{p^2(4p^2 - 1)}{12\mu(p^2 - 1)(2p^2 + 1)} e^\psi \left(R - 3\mu^2(\partial\psi)^2\right) + \frac{\kappa\mu(4p^2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{3}p(p^2 - 1)} e^{\psi} \beta\right\} \left(1 + \frac{C_{\mu\mu}}{2}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

where the factor 2 over $\kappa^2$ comes from the $Z_2$ symmetry of this spacetime and we neglected the second order quantities. Notice that the Ricci scalar came from $\text{tr} f_{\mu\nu}$ in $\sqrt{-g}$.

Next, let us calculate the action for the brane tension. The induced metric on each brane is written by

$$g_{\mu\nu}^\pm(\phi^\pm, x) = a^2_+ g_{\mu\nu}(x) + a^2_- f_{\mu\nu}(\phi^\pm, x) + \partial_\mu \phi_\pm \partial_\nu \phi_\pm,$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)$$

where $a_\pm = a(\phi_\pm)$. The determinant of the induced metric can be calculated as

$$\sqrt{-g} = a^4_+ \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{a^2_+} e^{2\psi}(\partial \phi_\pm)^2 + \text{tr} f}$$

$$\approx a^4_+ \sqrt{-g} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2a^2_+} e^{2\psi}(\partial \phi_\pm)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} f\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)$$
Thus, the action for each brane becomes

\[ S_\pm \equiv \mp \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_\pm} \sigma(\varphi) \]

\[ = \mp \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{p^2}{2(p^2-1)} e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^2 e^{2/2+1p^2} (R - 3p^2(\partial\psi)^2) + 6\mu e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{4+1/p^2} + 3\mu e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^{2-1/p^2} (\partial\phi_{\pm})^2 \right. \]

\[ - \frac{3\kappa\mu(p^2-1)}{p(p^2-1)} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{2+1/p^2} \beta - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu(p^2-1)}{p} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{4-2/p^2} C_1 + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu}{p} e^{-\psi} C_2 \left[ 1 + C_{\mu}^\nu \right] \] .

Note that the Ricci scalar came from \( tr\phi_{\mu\nu} \) in \( \sqrt{-g_\pm} \).

As to the brane matter which corresponds to a first order quantity, we take the zeroth order metric in Eq. (40)

\[ S^\pm_{\text{matter}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} a^4 L_{\text{matter}} \]

In order to calculate the Gibbons-Hawking term, we need the extrinsic curvature on the \( y = \phi(x) \) slicing defined by

\[ K_{\mu\nu} = n_A \left( \frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \xi^\mu} \right) + \Gamma^A_{BD} \frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \xi^\mu} \frac{\partial x^D}{\partial \xi^\nu} , \]

where \( x^A \) is the coordinate of the brane, \( \xi^\mu = x^\mu \) is the one on the brane and \( n_A \) is the normal vector to the brane.

Note that \( K_{\mu\nu} \) is different from \( K_{\mu\nu} \) in Eq. (46). For the detail calculation see Appendix A.

Thus, the Gibbons-Hawking term is obtained as

\[ S_{\text{GH}} = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_+} K_+ - \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_-} K_- \]

\[ = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{(p^2+1)}{6p(p^2-1)} e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^{2+1/p^2} (R - 3p^2(\partial\psi)^2) + 3\mu e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^{4-1/p^2} (\partial\phi_{\pm})^2 \right. \]

\[ + e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^2 (\partial\phi_{\pm})(\partial^\psi) + 4\mu e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{4-1/p^2} - \frac{3\kappa\mu(p^2+1)(2p^2-1)}{p^5(p^2-1)} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{2+1/p^2} \beta \]

\[ - \frac{4\kappa\mu(p^2-1)}{\sqrt{3p}} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{2-1/p^2} C_1 + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu}{\sqrt{3p^3}} e^{-\psi} C_2 \left[ 1 + C_{\mu}^\nu \right] \] .

Note that the Ricci scalar came from \( tr\phi_{\mu\nu} \) in \( \sqrt{-g_{\pm}} \) and \( tr\phi_{\mu\nu,y} \) in \( K_{\pm} \).

Substituting Eqs. (34), (48), (49) and (52) into the 5-dimensional action Eq. (1), we get the 4-dimensional effective action

\[ S = S_{\text{bulk}+\phi} + S_+ + S_- + S^+_{\pm} + S^-_{\pm} + S_{\text{GH}} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2\mu} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{\pm}} \left[ (Y^+_\pm - Y^-_\pm)^2 R + \frac{12p^2}{2p^2+1} \{ (\partial Y^+)^2 - (\partial Y^-)^2 \} - 3p^2(Y^+_\pm - Y^-_\pm)(\partial\psi)^2 \right] \left[ 1 + C_{\mu}^\nu \right] \]

\[ + \left( \frac{(2p^2+1)}{2p^2} \right)^{\frac{3\mu}{(2p^2+1)}} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ Y^2_+ \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^+ - Y^2_- \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^- \right] . \]

(53)
Here we have used Eq. (53) and introduced
\[ \Upsilon_+ = \frac{2p^2}{(2p^2 + 1)} a_+^{2 + 1/p^2}, \quad \Upsilon_- = \frac{2p^2}{(2p^2 + 1)} a_-^{2 + 1/p^2}. \] (54)

Note that \( C_{\mu\nu} \) is a first order quantity, so we can ignore this term at leading order. It should be stressed that the Einstein-Hilbert term is originated from the contributions of \( f_{\mu\nu} \) in each \( S_{\text{bulk}}, S_{\pm} \) and \( S_{\text{GH}} \), so the correction \( f_{\mu\nu} \) to the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) plays an important role. The kinetic term of the moduli field arising from the position of the positive tension brane seems to have a wrong sign. However, this may be simply because the action is not in the Einstein-Hilbert form. To see if it is a ghost or not, we have to go to the Einstein frame by performing a conformal transformation. It is convenient to introduce the new moduli fields, \( \Psi \) and \( \Phi \), defined by
\[ \Upsilon_+ = e^{-(p^2 + 1/2)\psi}\Psi\cosh\Phi, \quad \Upsilon_- = e^{-(p^2 + 1/2)\psi}\Psi\sinh\Phi. \] (55)
and introduce the metric in the Einstein frame,
\[ \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2p^2\psi}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}. \] (56)

Then, we find
\[ S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[ R(\tilde{g}) - \frac{6}{2p^2 + 1} \frac{(\partial\tilde{\Psi})^2}{\tilde{\Psi}^2} - \frac{12p^2}{2p^2 + 1} (\partial\Phi)^2 \right] + \frac{(2p^2 + 1)}{2p^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{\Psi} \frac{\Psi^2}{\tilde{\Psi}^2} \left[ (\cosh\Phi)^{2/(2p^2 + 1)} L^+_{\text{matter}} - (\sinh\Phi)^{2/(2p^2 + 1)} L^-_{\text{matter}} \right]. \] (57)

Therefore, both moduli have positive kinetic terms in the Einstein frame. It should be noted that in going to the Einstein frame, the metric \( \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \) is written by the induced metric with a conformal factor,
\[ \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \left( \frac{2p^2 + 1}{2p^2} \right)^{2/(2p^2 + 1)} \Psi^{2/(2p^2 + 1)} (\cosh\Phi)^{-4p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} g^+_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \left( \frac{2p^2 + 1}{2p^2} \right)^{-2p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} \Psi^{2/(2p^2 + 1)} (\sinh\Phi)^{-4p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} g^-_{\mu\nu}. \] (58)

Then, in the Einstein frame the action for matter has the form
\[ L^+_{\text{matter}} = L^+_{\text{matter}}(\tau_i, g^+_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})), \quad L^-_{\text{matter}} = L^-_{\text{matter}}(\tau_i, g^-_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})). \] (59)

where \( \tau_i \) denotes the matter fields on each brane which do not couple to the bulk scalar field.

V. COMPARISON WITH MODULI APPROXIMATION

In our previous paper [15], we investigated a toy problem in the Randall-Sundrum two-brane model to confirm the validity of the factorizable metric ansatz used in the moduli approximation. Here we also investigate the validity of the factorizable metric ansatz in more realistic models from the stringy point of view. As we see from Eqs. (23) and (39), the metric to first order looks quite different from the factorizable form. Now let us recapitulate the derivation of the 4-dimensional effective action used in moduli approximation.

In the moduli approximation, one assumes the following factorizable metric which is obtained by replacing the 4-dimensional Minkowski metric on the brane of the static solution with a spacetime dependent metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \),
\[ ds^2 = a^2(y)g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2. \] (60)
The positions of the branes are assumed to fluctuate as
\[ y = \phi_+(x), \quad y = \phi_-(x). \] (61)

For the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), using the Gauss equation, it is straightforward to relate the bulk Ricci scalar to the 4-dimensional Ricci scalar,
\[ R = a^{-2}R(g) + \left( \frac{A^2}{2p^2} - \frac{5A^2}{4} \right) a^{-2/p^2}. \] (62)
Following the same procedure that leads to Eq. (53) with the factorized metric (60), we get the action

\[
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2\mu} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ (\nabla_+^2 - \nabla_-^2) R(g) + \frac{12p^2}{2p^2 + 1} (\partial \nabla_-)^2 \right]
\]

+ \left( \frac{2p^2 + 1}{2p^2} \right) \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \nabla_+^{\frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + 1}} L_{\text{matter}}^+ - \nabla_-^{\frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + 1}} L_{\text{matter}}^- \right].
\]  

\[\text{(63)}\]

where we used the same variable defined in (54). Apparently, the effective action (63) looks different from the action (53). However we should not jump to conclusion. We should compare the results in the Einstein frame. Then using the variables,

\[\nabla_+ = \Psi \cosh \Phi, \quad \nabla_- = \Psi \sinh \Phi.\]  

\[\text{(64)}\]

and performing a conformal transformation

\[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Psi^2 g_{\mu\nu}.\]  

\[\text{(65)}\]

We have the action in the Einstein frame

\[
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2\mu} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[ \tilde{R}(\tilde{g}) - \frac{6}{2p^2 + 1} (\partial \tilde{\Psi})^2 - \frac{12p^2}{2p^2 + 1} (\partial \tilde{\Psi})^2 \right]
\]

+ \left( \frac{2p^2 + 1}{2p^2} \right) \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{\Psi}^{4/(2p^2 + 1)} \left[ (\cosh \Phi)^{\frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + 1}} L_{\text{matter}}^+ - (\sinh \Phi)^{\frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + 1}} L_{\text{matter}}^- \right].
\]  

\[\text{(66)}\]

We see that this effective action (66) is indistinguishable from Eq. (64) obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion. Thus, we have shown that the action obtained from the moduli approximation is correct at the leading order.

It may be noted that, because the zeroth order term, that is, a cosmological constant is absent in the effective action as a result of the BPS condition, the difference between the induced metric on each of the branes obtained from the factorized metric (60) in the moduli approximation and the induced metric in our gradient expansion approach, which is of first order, turns out to be irrelevant [13].

Recent intense research on inflationary models in string theory has stemmed from the success of constructing a model of brane inflation by moduli stabilization [16]. Almost all studies which compute potentials for moduli in type IIB string theory start from the factorizable ansatz for the 10-dimensional metric. Hence it is important to verify the factorizable ansatz and the moduli approximation in general. Our calculation supports the validity of the factorizable metric ansatz and the moduli approximation in string theory at sufficiently low energies.

VI. CONCLUSION

As a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model, we have considered a 5-dimensional Hořava-Witten type theory, and derived its low energy effective action. The model consists of two boundary branes and a bulk scalar field and the potential of the bulk scalar field is related to the tension of the branes in such a way that the BPS condition is satisfied.

The effective action is obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion and substituting the result into the original action. We have used the gradient expansion method [5, 6], which is valid at low energies, and solved the bulk to first order in the expansion. The resultant theory can be cast into the form of Einstein gravity coupled with two scalar fields, one arising from the inter-brane distance degree of freedom, the radion, and the other from the bulk scalar field. It is known that the Hořava-Witten type theory can be derived from the 6-dimensional Randall-Sundrum model [17]. It would be of interest to derive our effective action from the 6-dimensional point of view.

We have also shown that the 4-dimensional effective action we obtained by the gradient expansion to first order is equivalent to the one by the moduli approximation. Hence, our result supports the moduli approximation and also supports the factorizable metric ansatz used in string cosmology at sufficiently low energies.

In the current study of string cosmology, only the leading order effect has been discussed. At this order, as we have shown, the moduli approximation seems to be valid and useful. Undoubtedly, however, the next order effect is of great interest because it is where the genuine bulk degrees of freedom, the so-called Kaluza-Klein modes, start to play a role. In such a case, neither the moduli approximation nor any extension of it is reliable. One of the reasons is that the factorizable metric ansatz is no longer valid [14]. Our approach, based on the gradient expansion, will be very useful for the evaluation of high energy corrections.
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APPENDIX A: EXTRINSIC CURVATURE

The Christoffel symbols we need are

\[
\Gamma^y_{y\mu} = \psi_{,\mu}, \quad \Gamma^{y}_{\mu
u} = \mu e^{-2\psi} a^{-2-1/p^2} (g_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 f_{\mu\nu,y},
\]

(A1)

\[
\Gamma^\mu_{yy} = -e^{2\psi} \phi_{,\mu}, \quad \Gamma^{\alpha}_{yy} = -\mu a^{-1/p^2} \delta^\alpha_{,y} + \frac{1}{2} a^2 f_{\beta\mu,y}, \quad \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}(\gamma).
\]

(A2)

The tangent basis on the brane are given by

\[
\frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \xi^\mu} = (\delta^\alpha_{,\mu}, \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\pm}).
\]

(A3)

Thus, the normal vector takes the form

\[
n_A = (-n_y \partial_{\sigma} \phi_{\pm}, n_y).
\]

(A4)

From the normalization condition \( n_A n^A = 1 \), we have

\[
n_y = e^{-\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + a_{\pm}^2 e^{2\psi}(\partial \phi_{\pm})^2}},
\]

(A5)

where \( a_{\pm} = a(\phi_{\pm}) \) as defined in the text. Then the extrinsic curvature is calculated as

\[
\mathcal{K}^\pm_{\mu\nu} = n_y \left[ \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} + 2 \partial_{\mu} \psi \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} + \mu e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{-2-1/p^2} (g_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 f_{\mu\nu,y} + \mu a_{\pm}^{-1/p^2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\pm} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} \right].
\]

(A6)

Thus the trace part of extrinsic curvature on each brane is obtained as

\[
\mathcal{K}^\pm = g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}^\pm_{\mu\nu}
\]

\[
= n_y \left[ 4 \mu e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{-1/p^2} + a_{\pm}^{-2-1/p^2} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^2 + 2 a_{\pm}^{-2} (\partial_{\sigma} \phi)(\partial^\alpha \psi) + \frac{1}{6\mu} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^2} (R - 3\partial^\alpha \psi)(\partial^\alpha \psi) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-2\psi} \left\{ \frac{3(2p^2 - 1)\mu}{p^3} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^2} \beta + \frac{4\mu}{p^3} a_{\pm}^{-2/p^2} C_1 + \frac{2(4p^2 - 1)\mu}{p^3} a_{\pm}^{-4} C_2 \right\}.
\]

(A7)

[1] J. Polchinski, String Theory I and II (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998).
[2] R. Maartens, Living Rev. Rel. 7, 7 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0312059];
P. Brax, C. van de Bruck and A. C. Davis, Rept. Prog. Phys. 67, 2183 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404011].
[3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221];
L. Randall and R. Sundrums, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
[4] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023506 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9902071];
S. Kobayashi, K. Koyama and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B 501, 157 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009160];
Y. Himemoto and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 63, 044015 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0010035].
[5] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043526 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205188];
S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 66, 083506 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207029];
S. Kanno and J. Soda, Astrophys. Space Sci. 283, 481 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0209087];
S. Kanno and J. Soda, [arXiv:hep-th/0407184].
[6] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Gen. Rel. Grav. 36, 689 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0303203].
[7] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 460, 506 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510200];
P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 475, 94 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9603142];
A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 59, 086001 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9810235];
A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023506 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9902071].
[8] S. Kobayashi and K. Koyama, JHEP 0212, 056 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0210029].
[9] J. Garriga, O. Pujolas and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 655, 127 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0111277];
P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, A. C. Davis and C. S. Rhodes, Phys. Rev. D 67, 023512 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0209158];
G. A. Palma and A. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 106003 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0407036];
J. E. Kim, G. B. Tupper and R. D. Viollier, [arXiv:hep-th/0503097].
[10] G. A. Palma and A. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064021 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406061];
J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 64, 123522 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0103239].
[11] K. Tomita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 54, 730 (1975):
G. L. Comer, N. Deruelle, D. Langlois and J. Parry, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2759 (1994);
D. S. Salopek and J. M. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3235 (1993);
J. Soda, H. Ishihara and O. Iguchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94, 781 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9509008].
[12] T. Wiseman, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 3083 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0201127];
T. Shiromizu and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084022 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0210066].
[13] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B 588, 203 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312106].
[14] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 71, 044031 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410061].
[15] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D 66, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0105097];
S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D 68, 046005 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301240];
S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, JCAP 0310, 013 (2003)
[arXiv:hep-th/0308055];
E. Silverstein and D. Tong, [arXiv:hep-th/0310221];
M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, [arXiv:hep-th/0404084].
[17] T. Kobayashi and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 69, 064037 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311197].