THE LOCAL DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETE MECHANICS
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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce local expressions for discrete Mechanics. To apply our results simultaneously to several interesting cases, we derive these local expressions in the framework of Lie groupoids, following the program proposed by Alan Weinstein in [19]. To do this, we will need some results on the geometry of Lie groupoids, as, for instance, the construction of symmetric neighborhoods or the existence of local bisections. These local descriptions will be particular useful for the explicit construction of geometric integrators for mechanical systems (reduced or not), in particular, discrete Euler-Lagrange equations, discrete Euler-Poincaré equations, discrete Lagrange-Poincaré equations... The results contained in this paper can be considered as a local version of the study that we have started in [13], on the geometry of discrete Mechanics on Lie groupoids.
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1. Introduction

The use of geometrical methods in the study of dynamical systems (discrete or continuous) starts by searching for geometrical structures invariant with respect to the given dynamics. It turns out the various such structures emerge naturally for classical mechanical systems, as for instance, symplectic or Poisson structures, together with various bundle structures. Another geometrical feature that is common to all such systems is the presence of symmetries either because there is a redundant or extra information in the description of the system or because the system possesses an intrinsic invariance. To actually solve them, it is necessary in most occasions to use numerical methods. Recently, a new breed of ideas in numerical analysis have come that incorporates the geometry of the systems into the analysis and that allows accurate and robust algorithms with lower spurious effects than the traditional ones (see [5] and references therein). Our approach employs the theory of discrete Mechanics and variational integrators [15] to derive an integrator for the dynamics preserving some of the geometry of the original system.
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The study of discrete Mechanics on Lie groupoids was proposed by A. Weinstein in [19]. This setting is general enough to include discrete counterparts of several types of fundamental equations in Mechanics as for instance, standard Euler-Lagrange equations for Lagrangians defined on tangent bundles, Euler-Poincaré equations for Lagrangians defined on Lie algebras, Lagrange-Poincaré equations for Lagrangians defined on Atiyah bundles, etc. Such discrete counterpart is obtained by discretizing the continuous Lagrangian to the corresponding Lie groupoid and then applying a discrete variational derivation of the discrete equations of motion. As simple examples, for a given differentiable manifold \( \mathcal{Q} \), the discrete version of the tangent bundle \( T\mathcal{Q} \) is the product manifold \( \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q} \), equipped with the pair Lie groupoid structure; for a given Lie group \( \mathcal{G} \), the discrete version of its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) is the Lie group \( \mathcal{G} \).

A Lie groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \) is a natural generalization of the concept of a Lie group, where now not all elements are composable. The product \( g_1g_2 \) of two elements is only defined on the set of composable pairs \( G_2 = \{ (g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \mid \beta(g) = \alpha(h) \} \) where \( \alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) and \( \beta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) are the source and target maps over a base manifold \( \mathcal{M} \). Moreover, in a Lie groupoid we have a set of identities playing a similar role that the identity element in group theory. The infinitesimal counterpart of the notion of a Lie groupoid is the notion of a Lie algebroid \( \tau_{AG} : AG \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \), in the same way as the infinitesimal counterpart of the notion of a Lie group is the notion of a Lie algebra, or in other words, the discrete version of a Lie algebroid is a Lie groupoid.

In [13] we have elucidated the geometrical framework for discrete Mechanics on Lie groupoids. In that paper, we found intrinsic expressions for the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations, and we have introduced the Poincaré-Cartan sections, the discrete Legendre transformations and the discrete evolution operator in both the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian formalism. The notion of regularity has been completely characterized and we have proven the symplecticity of the discrete evolution operators. The applicability of these developments has been stated in several interesting examples, in particular for the case of discrete Lagrange-Poincaré equations. In fact, the general theory of discrete symmetry reduction directly follows from our results.

The main objective of this paper is to obtain local expressions for the different objects appearing in discrete Mechanics on Lie groupoids. For this proposal, it is necessary to introduce symmetric neighborhoods of a Lie groupoid. A symmetric neighborhood is an open neighborhood of one point in the manifold of the identities which is “natural” with respect to the structure maps of the Lie groupoid, in the sense of Proposition 3.1. Using the coordinates associated to a symmetric neighborhood we may write the local expressions of left and right invariant vector fields, \( \overrightarrow{X} \) and \( \overleftarrow{X} \) associated to a section \( X \in \Gamma(\tau_{AG}) \) of the associated Lie algebroid. Now, as we have deduced in [9], the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for a discrete Lagrangian \( L_d : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are

\[
\overrightarrow{X}(g_k)(L_d) - \overleftarrow{X}(g_{k+1})(L_d) = 0 , \quad (g_k, g_{k+1}) \in G_2 .
\]

Therefore, from this expression, we easily obtain the local expression of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations associated to a discrete Lagrangian \( L_d : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), the discrete Legendre transformations and we locally characterize the regularity of the discrete problem.
An interesting point is that when using symmetric neighborhoods we are implicitly assuming that the discrete flow is well defined on this neighborhood. However, this is not the more general situation since, in principle, the point \( g_k \) and its image \( g_{k+1} \) under the discrete flow may be far enough in such a way both are not included in the same symmetric neighborhood. In order to tackle this problem we will use bisections of the Lie groupoid which permits to translate neighborhoods of two composable elements \( g_k \) and \( g_{k+1} \) to a symmetric neighborhood at the identity point \( \beta(g_k) = \alpha(g_{k+1}) \).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we recall some constructions and results on discrete Mechanics on Lie groupoids which will be used in the next sections. In section 3, we will obtain a local expression of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equation for a discrete Lagrangian function on a symmetric neighborhood in the Lie groupoid where it is defined. In addition we will discuss the existence of local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operators in such a symmetric neighborhood. Moreover, several interesting examples are considered. The existence of general local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operators is studied in section 4. For this purpose, we will use bisections on the Lie groupoid. The paper ends with our conclusions and a description of future research directions.

2. Groupoids and discrete Mechanics

2.1. Lie groupoids. In this Section, we will recall the definition of a Lie groupoid and some generalities about them are explained (for more details, see [3, 12]).

A groupoid over a set \( M \) is a set \( G \) together with the following structural maps:

- A pair of maps \( \alpha : G \to M \), the source, and \( \beta : G \to M \), the target. Thus, an element \( g \in G \) is thought as an arrow from \( x = \alpha(g) \) to \( y = \beta(g) \) in \( M \)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
& x = \alpha(g) \ar[rr]^-g \ar[dr] & & y = \beta(g) \ar[dl] \\
& & & \}
\end{array}
\]

The maps \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) define the set of composable pairs

\[
G_2 = \{(g, h) \in G \times G/\beta(g) = \alpha(h)\}.
\]

- A multiplication \( m : G_2 \to G \), to be denoted simply by \( m(g, h) = gh \), such that
  - \( \alpha(gh) = \alpha(g) \) and \( \beta(gh) = \beta(h) \).
  - \( g(hk) = (gh)k \).

If \( g \) is an arrow from \( x = \alpha(g) \) to \( y = \beta(g) = \alpha(h) \) and \( h \) is an arrow from \( y \) to \( z = \beta(h) \) then \( gh \) is the composite arrow from \( x \) to \( z \)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
& x = \alpha(g) \ar[rr]^-g \ar[dr] & & y = \beta(g) \ar[dl] & & z = \beta(h) \ar[dl] & & \beta(gh) \ar[dr] \\
& & & & & & & \}
\end{array}
\]

- An identity map \( \varepsilon : M \to G \), a section of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), such that
  - \( \varepsilon(\alpha(g))g = g \) and \( g\varepsilon(\beta(g)) = g \).

- An inversion map \( i : G \to G \), to be denoted simply by \( i(g) = g^{-1} \), such that
  - \( g^{-1}g = \varepsilon(\beta(g)) \) and \( gg^{-1} = \varepsilon(\alpha(g)) \).
A groupoid $G$ over a set $M$ will be denoted simply by the symbol $G \rightrightarrows M$.

The groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is said to be a Lie groupoid if $G$ and $M$ are manifolds and all the structural maps are differentiable with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ differentiable submersions. If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid then $m$ is a submersion, $\varepsilon$ is an immersion and $i$ is a diffeomorphism. Moreover, if $x \in M$, $\alpha^{-1}(x)$ (resp., $\beta^{-1}(x)$) will be said the $\alpha$-fiber (resp., the $\beta$-fiber) of $x$.

On the other hand, if $g \in G$ then the left-translation by $g \in G$ and the right-translation by $g$ are the diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
l_g : \alpha^{-1}(\beta(g)) &\rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(g)) ; \quad h \mapsto l_g(h) = gh, \\
r_g : \beta^{-1}(\alpha(g)) &\rightarrow \beta^{-1}(\beta(g)) ; \quad h \mapsto r_g(h) = hg.
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $l_g^{-1} = l_g^{-1}$ and $r_g^{-1} = r_g^{-1}$.

A vector field $\tilde{X}$ on $G$ is said to be left-invariant (resp., right-invariant) if it is tangent to the fibers of $\alpha$ (resp., $\beta$) and $\tilde{X}(gh) = (T_h l_g)(\tilde{X}_h)$ (resp., $\tilde{X}(gh) = (T_h r_g)(\tilde{X}(g))$, for $(g, h) \in G_2$.

Now, we will recall the definition of the Lie algebroid associated with $G$.

We consider the vector bundle $\tau : AG \rightarrow M$, whose fiber at a point $x \in M$ is $A_x G = V_{\varepsilon(x)} \alpha = \text{Ker}(T_{\varepsilon(x)} \alpha)$. It is easy to prove that there exists a bijection between the space $\Gamma(\tau)$ and the set of left-invariant (resp., right-invariant) vector fields on $G$. If $X$ is a section of $\tau : AG \rightarrow M$, the corresponding left-invariant (resp., right-invariant) vector field on $G$ will be denoted $\tilde{X}$ (resp., $\bar{X}$), where

$$
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad &\tilde{X}(g) = (T_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))} l_g)(X(\beta(g))), \\
(2) \quad &\bar{X}(g) = -(T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))} r_g)(T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))} i)(X(\alpha(g)));
\end{align*}
$$

for $g \in G$. Using the above facts, we may introduce a Lie algebroid structure $([\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ on $AG$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(3) \quad &[[X, Y]] = [\tilde{X}, \bar{Y}], \quad \rho(X)(x) = (T_{\varepsilon(x)} \beta)(X(x)),
\end{align*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\tau)$ and $x \in M$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
(4) \quad &[X, Y] = -[\tilde{X}, \bar{Y}], \quad [\tilde{X}, \bar{Y}] = 0, \\
(5) \quad &T_i \circ \tilde{X} = -\tilde{X} \circ i, \quad T_i \circ \bar{X} = -\bar{X} \circ i,
\end{align*}
$$

(for more details, see [11, 12]).

2.2. Discrete Euler-Lagrange equations. Let $G$ be a Lie groupoid with structural maps

$$
\alpha, \beta : G \rightarrow M, \; \varepsilon : M \rightarrow G, \; i : G \rightarrow G, \; m : G_2 \rightarrow G.
$$

Denote by $\tau : AG \rightarrow M$ the Lie algebroid of $G$. 

A **discrete Lagrangian** is a function $L_d : G \to \mathbb{R}$. Fixed $g \in G$, we define the set of admissible sequences with values in $G$:

$$C^N_g = \{ (g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in G^N \mid (g_k, g_{k+1}) \in G_2 \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \text{ and } g_1 \cdots g_n = g \}.$$ 

An admissible sequence $(g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in C^N_g$ is a solution of **the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations** if

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \hat{X}_k(g_k)(L_d) - \hat{X}_k(g_{k+1})(L_d) \right], \quad \text{for } X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1} \in \Gamma(\tau).$$

For $N = 2$ we obtain that $(g, h) \in G_2$ is a solution if

$$\hat{X}(g)(L_d) - \hat{X}(h)(L_d) = 0$$
for every section $X$ of $AG$.

**2.3. Discrete Poincaré-Cartan sections.** Given a Lagrangian function $L_d : G \to \mathbb{R}$, we will study the geometrical properties of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations.

Consider the vector bundle

$$\pi^\tau : P^\tau G = V\beta \oplus V\alpha \to G$$
where $V\alpha$ (respectively, $V\beta$) is the vertical bundle of the source map $\alpha : G \to M$ (respectively, the target map $\beta : G \to M$). Then, one may introduce a Lie algebroid structure on $\pi^\tau : P^\tau G = V\beta \oplus V\alpha \to G$. The anchor map $\rho^{P^\tau G} : P^\tau G = V\beta \oplus V\alpha \to TG$ is given by

$$\rho^{P^\tau G}(X_g, Y_g) = X_g + Y_g, \quad \text{for } (X_g, Y_g) \in V_g\beta \oplus V_g\alpha$$
and the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^{P^\tau G}$ on the space $\Gamma(\pi^\tau)$ is characterized by the following relation

$$(6) \quad [\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, (\hat{X}', \hat{Y}')]^{P^\tau G} = (-[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}], \hat{[X', Y']}],$$
for $X, Y, X', Y' \in \Gamma(\tau)$ (see [13]).

Now, define the **Poincaré-Cartan 1-sections** $\Theta^-_{L_d}, \Theta^+_{L_d} \in \Gamma((\pi^\tau)^*)$ as follows

$$(7) \quad \Theta^-_{L_d}(g)(X_g, Y_g) = -X_g(L_d), \quad \Theta^+_{L_d}(g)(X_g, Y_g) = Y_g(L_d),$$
for each $g \in G$ and $(X_g, Y_g) \in V_g\beta \oplus V_g\alpha$.

If $d$ is the differential of the Lie algebroid $\pi^\tau : P^\tau G = V\beta \oplus V\alpha \to G$ we have that $dL_d = \Theta^+_{L_d} - \Theta^-_{L_d}$ and so, using $d^2 = 0$, it follows that $d\Theta^+_{L_d} = d\Theta^-_{L_d}$. This means that there exists a unique 2-section $\Omega^+_{L_d} = -d\Theta^+_{L_d} = -d\Theta^-_{L_d}$, that will be called the **Poincaré-Cartan 2-section**. This 2-section will be important for studying symplecticity of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations.

Let $X$ be a section of the Lie algebroid $\tau : AG \to M$. Then, one may consider the sections $X^{(1,0)}$ and $X^{(0,1)}$ of the vector bundle $\pi^\tau : P^\tau G = V\beta \oplus V\alpha \to G$ given by

$$X^{(1,0)}(g) = (\hat{X}(g), 0_g), \quad X^{(0,1)}(g) = (0_g, \hat{X}(g)), \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$
Moreover, if \( g \in G \), \( \{X_\alpha\} \) (respectively, \( \{Y_\mu\} \)) is a local basis of \( \Gamma(\tau) \) in an open subset \( U \) (respectively, \( V \)) of \( M \) such that \( \alpha(g) \in U \) (respectively, \( \beta(g) \in V \)) then \( \{X_\alpha^{(1,0)}, Y_\mu^{(0,1)}\} \) is a local basis of \( \Gamma(\tau^*) \) in \( \alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \beta^{-1}(V) \) and

\[
\Omega_{F_L}(X_\alpha^{(1,0)}, Y_\mu^{(0,1)}) = \Omega_{F_L}(X_\alpha^{(0,1)}, Y_\mu^{(0,1)}) = 0,
\]

and

\[
\Omega_{F_L}(X_\alpha^{(1,0)}, Y_\mu^{(0,1)}) = \bar{X}_\gamma(\bar{Y}_\mu(L_d)) = \bar{X}_\gamma(\bar{Y}_\mu(L_d)).
\]

(9)

(10)

(11)

(12)

2.4. Discrete Lagrangian evolution operator. We say that a differentiable mapping \( \Psi : G \to G \) is a discrete flow or a discrete Lagrangian evolution operator for \( L_d \) if it verifies the following properties:

- \( \text{graph}(\Psi) \subseteq G_2 \), that is, \( (g, \Psi(g)) \in G_2, \forall g \in G \).

- \( \Psi(g) \) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations, for all \( g \in G \), that is,

\[
\bar{X}(g)(L_d) - \bar{X}(\Psi(g))(L_d) = 0
\]

for every section \( X \) of \( AG \) and every \( g \in G \).

2.5. Discrete Legendre transformations. Given a discrete Lagrangian \( L_d : G \to \mathbb{R} \) we define two discrete Legendre transformations \( F^- L_d : G \to A^*G \) and \( F^+ L_d : G \to A^*G \) as follows (see \[13\])

\[
(F^- L_d)(h)(v_{\varepsilon(\alpha(h))}) = -v_{\varepsilon(\alpha(h))}(L_d \circ r_h \circ i), \quad \text{for } v_{\varepsilon(\alpha(h))} \in A_{\alpha(h)}G,
\]

\[
(F^+ L_d)(g)(v_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}) = v_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}(L_d \circ l_g), \quad \text{for } v_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))} \in A_{\beta(g)}G.
\]

Remark 2.1. Note that \( (F^+ L_d)(g) \in A^*_{\beta(g)}G \) and \( (F^- L_d)(h) \in A^*_{\alpha(h)}G \). Furthermore, if \( \{X_\alpha\} \) (respectively, \( \{Y_\mu\} \)) is a local basis of \( \Gamma(\tau) \) in an open subset \( U \) such that \( \alpha(h) \in U \) (respectively, \( \beta(g) \in V \)) and \( \{X_\gamma\} \) (respectively, \( \{Y_\mu\} \)) is the dual basis of \( \Gamma(\tau^*) \), it follows that

\[
F^- L_d(h) = \bar{X}_\gamma(h)(L_d)X_\gamma(\alpha(h)), \quad F^+ L_d(g) = \bar{Y}_\mu(g)(L_d)Y_\mu(\beta(g)).
\]

\[
\diamond
\]

2.6. Discrete regular Lagrangians. A Lagrangian \( L_d : G \to \mathbb{R} \) on a Lie groupoid \( G \) is said to be regular if the Poincaré-Cartan 2-section \( \Omega_{L_d} \) is symplectic on the Lie algebroid \( \pi^* : P^*G \equiv V^* \oplus_G V \rightarrow G \), that is, \( \Omega_{L_d} \) is nondegenerate (see \[13\]).

Using \[10\], we deduce that the Lagrangian \( L_d \) is regular if and only if for every \( g \in G \) and every local basis \( \{X_\alpha\} \) (respectively, \( \{Y_\mu\} \)) of \( \Gamma(\tau) \) in an open subset \( U \) (respectively, \( V \)) of \( M \) such that \( \alpha(g) \in U \) (respectively, \( \beta(g) \in V \)) we have that the matrix \( \bar{X}_\gamma(\bar{Y}_\mu(L_d)) \) is regular on \( \alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \beta^{-1}(V) \).

In \[13\], we have proved that the following conditions are equivalent:

- \( L_d : G \to \mathbb{R} \) is a regular discrete Lagrangian function.
- The Legendre transformation \( F^- L_d \) is a local diffeomorphism.
- The Legendre transformation \( F^+ L_d \) is a local diffeomorphism.
Moreover, if \( L_d : G \to \mathbb{R} \) is regular and \((g_0, h_0) \in G_2\) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \) then there exist two open subsets \( U_0 \) and \( V_0 \) of \( G \), with \( g_0 \in U_0 \) and \( h_0 \in V_0 \), and there exists a (local) discrete Lagrangian evolution operator \( \Psi_{L_d} : U_0 \to V_0 \) such that:

- \( \Psi_{L_d}(g_0) = h_0 \),
- \( \Psi_{L_d} \) is a diffeomorphism and
- \( \Psi_{L_d} \) is unique, that is, if \( U'_0 \) is an open subset of \( G \), with \( g_0 \in U'_0 \) and \( \Psi'_{L_d} : U'_0 \to G \) is a (local) discrete Lagrangian evolution operator then \( \Psi_{L_d}|U_0 \cap U'_0 = \Psi'_{L_d}|U_0 \cap U'_0 \).

### 3. Discrete Euler-Lagrange equations: symmetric neighborhoods

#### 3.1. Symmetric neighborhoods

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be an open subset of \( G \) and \( x_0 \in M \) be a point such that \( \varepsilon(x_0) \in \mathcal{U} \). There exists an open subset \( \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U} \) of \( G \) with \( \varepsilon(x_0) \in \mathcal{W} \) and such that

1. \( \varepsilon(\alpha(W)) \subset \mathcal{W} \) and \( \varepsilon(\beta(W)) \subset \mathcal{W} \),
2. \( \iota(W) = \mathcal{W} \), and
3. \( m((\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W}) \cap G_2) \subset \mathcal{U} \).

The open subset \( \mathcal{W} \) is said to be a **symmetric neighborhood** associated to \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( x_0 \).

**Proof.** The multiplication map \( m : G_2 \to G \) is continuous, so that we may choose an open subset \( \mathcal{W}_1 \) of \( G \) such that \( \varepsilon(x_0) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \) and \( m((\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_1) \cap G_2) \subset \mathcal{U} \). Since the identity map \( \varepsilon : M \to G \) is also continuous, we deduce that there exists an open subset \( \mathcal{V}' \) of \( M \) such that \( x_0 \in \mathcal{V}' \) and \( \varepsilon(\mathcal{V}') \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \). Thus, if we consider the open \( \mathcal{W}_2 \) of \( G \) given by \( \mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{W}_1 \cap \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{V}') \cap \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{V}') \), then it is clear that \( \varepsilon(x_0) \in \mathcal{W}_2 \) and moreover it is easy to prove that \( \varepsilon(\alpha(\mathcal{W}_2)) \subset \mathcal{W}_2 \) and \( \varepsilon(\beta(\mathcal{W}_2)) \subset \mathcal{W}_2 \), and also \( m((\mathcal{W}_2 \times \mathcal{W}_2) \cap G_2) \subset \mathcal{U} \). Finally, if we take \( \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_2 \cap i(\mathcal{W}_2) \) it follows that \( \mathcal{W} \) satisfies the three above mentioned conditions. \( \square \)

#### 3.2. Local coordinate expressions of structural maps

On a symmetric neighborhood of a point it is easy to get local coordinate expressions for the structure maps of the Lie groupoid \( G \). We consider a point \( x_0 \in M \) and a local coordinate system \((x, u)\), defined in a neighborhood \( \mathcal{U} \subset G \) of \( \varepsilon(x_0) \), adapted to the fibration \( \alpha : G \to M \), i.e. if the coordinates of \( g \in \mathcal{U} \) are \((x^i, u^a)\) then the coordinates of \( \alpha(g) \in M \) are \((x^i)\). We can moreover assume that the identities correspond to elements with coordinates \((x, 0)\). The target map \( \beta \) defines a local function \( b \) as follows: if the coordinates of \( g \) are \((x, u)\), then the coordinates of \( \beta(g) \) are \( b(x, u) \). Note that \( b(x, 0) = x \). Two elements \( g \) and \( h \) with coordinates \((x, u)\) and \((y, v)\), respectively, are composable if and only if \( y = b(x, u) \). Hence local coordinates for \( G_2 \) are given by \((x, u, v)\).

To obtain a local description for the product, we consider a symmetric neighborhood \( \mathcal{W} \) associated to \( x_0 \) and \( \mathcal{U} \). If two elements \( g, h \in \mathcal{W} \) with coordinates \((x, u)\) and \((y, v)\), respectively, are composable then \( y = b(x, u) \), and the product \( gh \) has coordinates \((x, p(x, u, v))\) for some smooth function \( p \). We will write

\[
(x, u) \cdot (y, v) = (x, p(x, u, v)).
\]
The relation $\beta(gh) = \beta(h)$, for $(g, h) \in G_2$, imposes the restriction $b(y, v) = b(x, p(x, u, v))$, i.e.

$$b(b(x, u), v) = b(x, p(x, u, v)).$$

The property $g \varepsilon(\beta(g)) = g$, for $g \in W$, is locally equivalent to the equation $p(x, u, 0) = u$; while the property $\varepsilon(\alpha(g))g = g$ is locally equivalent to the equation $p(x, 0, v) = v$.

Therefore

$$p(x, u, 0) = u, \quad p(x, 0, v) = v.$$

Associativity $(gh)k = g(hk)$ imposes the further relation

$$p(x, p(x, u, v), w) = p(x, u, p(y, v, w)) \text{ with } y = b(x, u).$$

In what follows we will use the following functions defined in terms of $b(x, u)$ and $p(x, u, v)$,

$$\rho_i^\gamma(x) = \frac{\partial b^i}{\partial u^\gamma}(x, 0),$$

$$L_{\mu}^\gamma(x, u) = \frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u, 0),$$

$$R_{\mu}^\gamma(x, v) = \frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial v^\mu}(x, 0, v).$$

We will also take into account that

$$\frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u, 0) = \delta^\gamma_{\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 p^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu \partial u^\nu}(x, u, 0) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial v^\mu}(x, 0, v) = \delta^\gamma_{\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 p^\gamma}{\partial v^\mu \partial v^\nu}(x, 0, v) = 0,$$

which follow from (15). The only relevant second order derivatives are given by

$$C_{\mu\nu}^\gamma(x) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 p^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu \partial v^\nu}(x, 0, 0) - \frac{\partial^2 p^\gamma}{\partial v^\mu \partial u^\nu}(x, 0, 0).$$

From the definition of $L_{\mu}^\gamma$ and $R_{\mu}^\gamma$ it follows that

$$C_{\mu\nu}^\gamma(x) = \frac{\partial L_{\mu}^\gamma(x, 0)}{\partial u^\nu} - \frac{\partial L_{\mu}^\gamma(x, 0)}{\partial v^\nu},$$

$$C_{\mu\nu}^\gamma(x) = \frac{\partial R_{\mu}^\gamma(x, 0)}{\partial v^\nu} - \frac{\partial R_{\mu}^\gamma(x, 0)}{\partial u^\nu}.$$

On the other hand, if $i : G \to G$ is the inversion we have that

$$i(x, u) = (b(x, u), i(x, u))$$

and the condition $i(\varepsilon(x)) = \varepsilon(x)$, for all $x \in M$, implies that

$$i(x, 0) = 0.$$

Moreover, using that $p(x, u, i(x, u)) = 0$, we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u, i(x, u)) + \frac{\partial v^\mu}{\partial u^\nu}(x, u) \frac{\partial p^\gamma}{\partial v^\nu}(x, u, i(x, u)) = 0.$$

Thus, from (18), we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial i^\gamma}{\partial u^\mu}(x, 0) = -\delta^\gamma_{\mu}.$$
3.3. Invariant vector fields. The local expression for left- and right-translations are easy to obtain. For \( g_0 \in \mathcal{W} \subset G \) the left translation \( l_{g_0} \) is the map \( l_{g_0} : \alpha^{-1}(\beta(g_0)) \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(g_0)) \), given by \( l_{g_0}g = g_0g \). If \( g_0 \) has coordinates \((x_0, u_0)\), then the elements on the \( \alpha \)-fiber \( \alpha^{-1}(\beta(g_0)) \) have coordinates of the form \((b(x_0, u_0), v)\), and the coordinates of \( l_{g_0}g \) are \((x_0, p(x_0, u_0, v))\). We will write

\[
(22) \quad l_{(x_0, u_0)}(b(x_0, u_0), v) = (x_0, p(x_0, u_0, v)).
\]

Similarly, for \( h_0 \in \mathcal{W} \subset G \) the right translation map \( r_{h_0} : \beta^{-1}(\alpha(h_0)) \rightarrow \beta^{-1}(\beta(h_0)) \), is defined by \( r_{h_0}g = gh_0 \). If \( h_0 \) has coordinates \((x_0, u_0)\), then the elements on the \( \beta \)-fiber \( \beta^{-1}(\alpha(h_0)) \) have coordinates of the form \((x, u)\) with the restriction \( b(x, u) = x_0\), and the coordinates of \( r_{h_0}g \) are \((x, p(x, u, u_0))\). We will write

\[
(23) \quad r_{(x_0, u_0)}(x, u) = (x, p(x, u, u_0)).
\]

A left-invariant vector field is of the form \( \dot{X}(x) = T_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}l_g(v) \) for \( v \in \ker T_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}\alpha \). To obtain a local basis of left-invariant vector fields we can take the local coordinate basis \( e_\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma\varepsilon(\beta(g))} \) of ker \( T_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}\alpha \). Thus, for \( g \in G \) with coordinates \((x, u)\), we have

\[
(24) \quad \tilde{e}_\gamma(g) = T_{\varepsilon(\beta(g))}l_g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu}\varepsilon(\beta(g)) \right) = \frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu}\bigg|_g = L_\gamma^\mu(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu}\bigg|_{(x,u)}.
\]

Similarly, a right-invariant vector field can be written in the form \( \dot{X}(x) = T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))}r_g(v) \) for \( v \in \ker T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))}\beta \). To obtain a local basis of right-invariant vector fields we first have to look for a basis of the vector space \( \ker T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))}\beta \). From the definition of the functions \( \rho_\gamma^i \), it follows easily that the vectors \( f_\gamma = \rho_\gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \) are in ker \( T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))}\beta \), and moreover they are related to the vectors \( e_\gamma \) by the inversion map, that is \( T\iota(e_\gamma) = f_\gamma \). It follows that a basis of right invariant vector fields is given by

\[
(25) \quad \tilde{e}_\gamma(g) = T_{\varepsilon(\alpha(g))}r_g \left( -\rho_\gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\varepsilon(\alpha(g)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}\varepsilon(\alpha(g)) \right)
\]

\[
\quad = -\rho_\gamma^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\bigg|_g + \left( -\rho_\gamma^i(x) \frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial u^i}(x, 0, u) + \frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial u^i}(x, 0, u) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu}\bigg|_g
\]

\[
\quad = -\rho_\gamma^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\bigg|_g + R_\gamma^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu}\bigg|_g,
\]

where as before \((x, u)\) are the coordinates for \( g \in G \). Note that, from (15), we deduce that \( \frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial x^i}(x, 0, u) = 0 \).

3.4. The Lie algebroid of \( G \). The Lie algebroid of \( G \) is defined on the vector bundle \( \tau : E \to M \) with fiber at the point \( x \in M \) given by \( E_x = \ker T_{\varepsilon(\alpha)}(x) \). A local basis of sections of \( E \) is given by the coordinate vector fields \( e_\gamma(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma\varepsilon(x)} \). The anchor is the map \( \rho : E \to TM \) defined by \( \rho(a) = T_{\varepsilon(\beta)}(\alpha(a)) \), where \( x = \tau(a) \). In local coordinates, if \( a = y^\gamma e_\gamma(x) \) then \( \rho(a) = \rho_\gamma(x) y^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\bigg|_x \). The bracket is defined in terms of the bracket of left-invariant vector fields. A simple calculation shows that \( [\tilde{e}_\gamma, \tilde{e}_\mu] = C_{\gamma\mu}^\nu \tilde{e}_\nu \), with \( C_{\gamma\mu}^\nu \) given by (19), from where we get \([e_\gamma, e_\mu] = C_{\gamma\mu}^\nu e_\nu\).
3.5. Discrete Euler-Lagrange equations. Consider now a discrete Lagrangian function \( L_d \). A composable pair \((g, h) \in G_2\) satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \) if
\[
\mathcal{X}(g)(L_d) = \mathcal{X}(h)(L_d) \quad \text{for every section } \mathcal{X} \text{ of } E.
\]
If both \( g \) and \( h \) are on the same symmetric neighborhood \( \mathcal{W} \), with coordinates \((x, u)\) for \( g \) and \((y, v)\) for \( h \), we can apply the local results above and we readily get the coordinate expression of the Euler-Lagrange equations
\[
L_i^\gamma(x, u) \partial L_d \partial u^\gamma(x, u) + \rho_i^\gamma(y) \partial L_d \partial v^\gamma(y, v) - R_i^\gamma(y, v) \partial L_d \partial u^\gamma(y, v) = 0
\]
\[
y = b(x, u),
\]
where the second equation takes into account that \( \beta(g) = \alpha(h) \).

Assume that we have a solution \((g_0, h_0) \in G_2\) of the Euler-Lagrange equations. To analyze the existence of solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations for elements \((g, h) \in G_2\) near \((g_0, h_0)\), we can apply the implicit function theorem. In the application of such a theorem, the relevant matrix \([\mathcal{F} L_d]_{\mu=1,...,m}\) is the following
\[
(\mathcal{F} L_d)_\mu^\gamma(x, u) = \rho_i^\gamma(x) \partial^2 L_d \partial x^i \partial u^\gamma(x, u) - \partial R_i^\gamma(x, u) \partial L_d \partial u^\gamma(x, u) + R_i^\gamma(x, u) \partial^2 L_d \partial u^\gamma \partial u^\gamma(x, u).
\]

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \((y_0, v_0)\) be the coordinates of the point \( h_0 \). The following statements are equivalent.

- The matrix \([\mathcal{F} L_d]_{\gamma=1,...,n}\) is regular.
- The Poincaré-Cartan 2-section \( \Omega_{L_d} \) is non-degenerate at the point \( h_0 \).
- The map \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} L_d \) is a local diffeomorphism at \( h_0 \).

Any of them implies the following: There exist open neighborhoods \( \mathcal{X}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{W} \) of \( g_0 \) and \( h_0 \) such that if \( g \in \mathcal{X}_0 \), then there is a unique \( \Psi(g) = h \in \mathcal{Y}_0 \) satisfying that the pair \((g, h)\) is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \). In fact, the map \( \Psi : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0 \) is a local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operator.

**Proof.** We first notice that the local expression of the map \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} L_d \) is
\[
(\mathcal{F}^{-1} L_d)(x, u) = \left( x, -\rho_\gamma^i(x) \partial L_d \partial x^i(x, u) + R_i^\gamma(x, u) \frac{\partial L_d}{\partial u^\gamma}(x, u) \right).
\]
The differential of this local function at the point \( h = (y_0, v_0) \) is of the form
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_n & 0 \\
\ast & -\mathcal{F} L_d(y_0, v_0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
from where the equivalence of the first and the third assertions immediately follows.

For the second we just take a local basis of sections \( \{e_\gamma\} \) of \( E \), defined in a neighborhood of \( x_0 \) and associated to the \( \alpha \)-vertical vector fields \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma} \), and we compute the value of \( \Omega_{L_d} \) on the associated basis \( \{e_\gamma^{(1,0)}, e_\gamma^{(0,1)}\} \). From [5] and [6] it follows that \( \Omega_{L_d}(h_0) \) is regular if and only if the matrix
\[
\Omega_{\gamma\mu}(y_0, v_0) = \Omega_{L_d}(e_\gamma^{(1,0)}, e_\gamma^{(0,1)})(h_0) = \mathcal{F}_\mu(e_\gamma^\gamma(L_d))(h_0)
\]
We present here some illustrative examples. We want to study the existence of solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations
\[ (31) \]
is regular. From the expressions (24) and (25) this matrix is
\[ v^\gamma_\mu \]
and
\[ \gamma^\mu \]
matrix. Therefore, we deduce that the matrix \( \Omega \)
\[ (30) \]
It follows from (24) that the matrix \( L^\gamma_\mu(y_0, v_0) \) is regular. Thus, we get that the regularity of the matrix \((FL_d)^\gamma_\mu(y_0, v_0)\) is equivalent to the regularity of \( \Omega_{L_d}(h_0) \).

Finally, let \( \lambda_\mu \) be the left-hand side of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations \[ (27) \]
\[ (30) \]
\[ \lambda_\mu(x, u, v) = L^\gamma_\mu(x, u) \frac{\partial L_d}{\partial u^\gamma}(x, u) + \rho^i_\mu(b(x, u)) \frac{\partial L_d}{\partial x^i}(b(x, u), v) + \]
\[ - R^\sigma_\mu(b(x, u), v) \frac{\partial L_d}{\partial u^\sigma}(b(x, u), v). \]

We want to study the existence of solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations \( \lambda_\mu(x, u, v) = 0 \) in a neighborhood of the point \( (x_0, u_0, v_0) \), where \( (x, u) \) are the data and \( v \) is the unknown. Applying the implicit function theorem we have to study the regularity of the matrix \( \frac{\partial \lambda_\mu}{\partial \nu}(x_0, u_0, v_0) \). A straightforward calculation shows that this matrix is just
\[ \frac{\partial \lambda_\mu}{\partial \nu}(x_0, u_0, v_0) = (FL_d)^\gamma_\mu(y_0, v_0) \quad \text{with } y_0 = b(x_0, u_0), \]
from where our last assertion readily follows.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.3.** Suppose that \( h_0 \in \varepsilon(M) \). Then, \( h_0 \) has local coordinates \( (y_0, 0) \). Moreover, from (17) and (18), it follows that the matrix \( L^\gamma_\mu(y_0, 0) \) is the identity matrix. Therefore, we deduce that the matrix \( \Omega_{\gamma\mu}(y_0, 0) \) is, up to the sign, the matrix \((FL_d)^\gamma_\mu(y_0, 0)\) (see the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 1.2).

In general the Euler-Lagrange equations are understood as the equations determining \( h \equiv (y, v) \) from the already known data \( g \equiv (x, u) \), as we did in the proof of the above theorem. However, we can also try to solve these equations backwards to obtain \( (x, u) \) from \( (y, v) \). Instead of applying again the implicit function theorem to the system of equations (27) and (28) we can rewrite the equations in a different coordinate system, adapted to the fibration \( \beta \), as follows. On the open subset \( \mathcal{U} = i(U) \) we consider the local coordinates \( (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \) defined as \( (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = (x, u) \circ i \), where \( i \) is the inversion map in the groupoid. Since \( \beta \circ i = \alpha \), we have that these new coordinates are adapted to the submersion \( \beta \) and we can proceed as in the previous case. In these coordinates, a basis of the left-invariant and right-invariant vector fields is
\[ (31) \]
\[ \tilde{e}_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = -\rho^i_\gamma(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})} + R^\sigma_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^\sigma}|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}, \]
\[ (32) \]
\[ \tilde{e}_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = L^\mu_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^\mu}|_{(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}. \]

**3.6. Examples.** We present here some illustrative examples.
3.6.1. Pair or Banal groupoid. We consider as a first example the pair (banal) groupoid
\( G = M \times M \), where the structural maps are
\[
\alpha(x, y) = x, \quad \beta(x, y) = y, \quad \varepsilon(x) = (x, x), \quad i(x, y) = (y, x),
\]
\[
m((x, y), (y, z)) = (x, z).
\]
The Lie algebroid of \( G \) is isomorphic to the standard Lie algebroid \( \tau_M : TM \to M \),
therefore the pair groupoid is considered as the discrete phase space for discretization
of Lagrangian functions \( L : TM \to \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( x_0 \in M \) and a local coordinate system \((x^i)\) defined on a neighborhood \( \mathcal{V}' \) of
\( x_0 \). Then \( U = \mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{V}' \) is obviously a symmetric neighborhood. For a fixed
\( h > 0 \), an associated coordinate system adapted to the \( \alpha \)-projection is
\[
x^i(x_1, x_2) = x^i(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad u^i(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x^i(x_2) - x^i(x_1)}{h}.
\]
Obviously the identities correspond to the elements \((x^i, 0)\) in this coordinate system
and \( \alpha(x, u) = x \) and \( \beta(x, u) = x + hu. \) On the other hand, the composition of two
elements \((x, u)\) and \((x + hu, v)\) is \((x, u + v)\). Therefore \( p(x, u, v) = u + v. \) The inversion
map is now \( i(x, u) = (x + hu, -u) \).

If we take the natural local coordinate basis \( \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} | \varepsilon(x_1) \right\} \) of \( \ker T_{\varepsilon(x_1)}\alpha \) then
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = & -h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore, the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are
\[
\frac{\partial L_d(x, u)}{\partial u^i} + h \frac{\partial L_d(y, v)}{\partial x^i}(y, v) - \frac{\partial L_d(y, v)}{\partial u^i}(y, v) = 0
\]
\[
y = x + hu.
\]
The discrete Lagrangian is regular if the matrix
\[
h \frac{\partial^2 L_d(x, u)}{\partial x^i \partial u^j} - \frac{\partial^2 L_d(x, u)}{\partial u^i \partial u^j}
\]
is non singular.

Example 3.4. As a concrete simple example, consider the continuous Lagrangian
\( L : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R} \):
\[
L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^T M \dot{x} - V(x)
\]
(with \( M \) a constant symmetric invertible matrix). A typical discretization for the
lagrangian is, for instance,
\[
L_d(x, u) = \frac{h}{2} u^T M u - hV(x + \frac{h}{2} u).
\]
Then, the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are:
\[
M \frac{v - u}{h} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x + \frac{h}{2} u) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(y + \frac{h}{2} v) \right)
\]
\[
y = x + hu,
\]
which leads us to the classical implicit midpoint rule.

If on the other hand, we take the discretization

\[ L_d(x, u) = \frac{h}{2} \left( L(x, u) + L(x + hu, u) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{h}{2} u^T M u - \frac{h}{2} \left( V(x) + V(x + hu) \right) , \]

then the corresponding discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are:

\[ M \frac{v - u}{h} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(y) \]

\[ y = x + hu , \]

which is a representation of the Störmer-Verlet method.

3.6.2. Lie groups. Another interesting example corresponds to the case of Lie groups.
In this case, we consider a Lie group \( G \) as a groupoid over one point \( M = \{ e \} \), the
identity element of \( G \). The structural maps are

\[ \alpha(g) = e, \quad \beta(g) = e, \quad \varepsilon(e) = e, \quad i(g) = g^{-1}, \quad m(g, h) = gh, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G. \]

The Lie algebroid associated with \( G \) is just the Lie algebra \( g = T_e G \) of \( G \).

Near of the identity, it is interesting to regard the elements \( g \in G \) as small dis-
placements on the Lie group. Thus, it is possible to express each term through a Lie
algebra element that can be regarded as the averaged velocity of this displacement.
This is typically accomplished using a retraction map \( \tau : g \to G \) which is an analytic
local diffeomorphism around the identity such that \( \tau(\xi)\tau(-\xi) = e \), where \( \xi \in g \) (see [2]). Thereby \( \tau \) provides a local chart on the Lie group.

Given a retraction map \( \tau \) its right trivialized tangent \( d\tau_\xi : g \to g \) and is inverse
\( d\tau_\xi^{-1} : g \to g \) are defined for all \( \eta \in g \) as follows

\[ T_\xi \tau(\eta) = T_\xi r_\xi(\eta) (d\tau_\xi(\eta)) \equiv d\tau_\eta(\eta) \tau(h\xi), \]

\[ T_\tau(\xi) T_\xi^{-1}((T_\tau r_\xi(\eta)) \eta) = d\tau_\xi^{-1}(\eta). \]

The retraction map allows us to transport locally the Lie group structure on an
open symmetric neighborhood of \( G \) to a local \( \tau \)-dependent Lie group structure on the
Lie algebra \( g \) defined on a local neighborhood \( V \) of \( 0 \in g \). We will write \( \tau(h\xi) = g \)
for an enough small time step \( h > 0 \) such that \( h\xi \in V \subseteq g \).

Now, on the Lie algebra it is easy to consider local coordinates because it is a vector
space. In consequence, fixing a basis \( \{ e_\gamma \} \) of \( g \), we induce coordinates \( (u^\gamma) \) on \( g \).

In these coordinates, a basis of left- and right-invariant vector fields is

\[ \left[ e_\gamma(\eta) = T_\tau(h\eta) \tau^{-1}(\tau(h\eta)e_\gamma) = d\tau_{h\eta}^{-1}(Ad_{\tau(h\eta)}e_\gamma) \right] \]

\[ \bar{e}^\gamma_\xi(\eta) = T_\tau(h\eta) \tau^{-1}(\tau(h\eta)e_\gamma) = d\tau_{h\eta}^{-1}(e_\gamma), \]

where \( \eta \in g \). Given a lagrangian \( l : g \to \mathbb{R} \), we deduce that the discrete Euler-Poincaré
equations are:

\[ \bar{e}^\gamma_\xi(\eta_k)(l) - \bar{e}^\gamma_\xi(\eta_{k+1})(l) = 0, \]

or, alternatively, as discrete Lie-Poisson equations:

\[ Ad_{\tau(h\eta_k)}^* \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} = 0, \]
where

\[ \mu_k = (d\tau^{-1})^* \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} (\eta_k) . \]

**Example 3.5.** As an example of retraction map we will consider the Cayley transform which is one of the most computationally efficient parametrizations of a Lie group. The Cayley map \( \text{cay} : \mathfrak{g} \to G \) is defined by \( \text{cay}(\xi) = \left( e - \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right)^{-1} (e + \frac{\xi^2}{2}) \) and is valid for a general class of quadratic groups as for instance \( SO(n) \), \( SE(n) \) or \( Sp(n) \) (see [5]). Its right trivialized derivative and inverse are given by

\[
\text{dcay}_\xi \eta = \left( e - \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \eta \left( e + \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \\
\text{dcay}_\xi^{-1} \eta = \left( e - \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right) \eta \left( e + \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right)
\]

In this case it is possible to write more explicitly the Lie-Poincaré equations. In fact, we have that

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{e}_\gamma (\eta) &= \left( e + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) e_\gamma \left( e - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \\
\overline{e}_\gamma (\eta) &= \left( e - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) e_\gamma \left( e + \frac{\eta}{2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \eta \in \mathfrak{so}(3) \). Therefore, the discrete Euler-Poincaré equations are:

\[
0 = \langle (e + \frac{\eta_k}{2}) e_\gamma (e - \frac{\eta_k}{2}), \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} (\eta_k) \rangle \\
- \langle (e - \frac{\eta_k+1}{2}) e_\gamma (e + \frac{\eta_k+1}{2}), \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} (\eta_{k+1}) \rangle \\
= \langle e_\gamma - \frac{h}{2} [e_\gamma, \eta_k], 2 \rangle - \frac{h^2}{4} \eta_k e_\gamma \eta_k \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} (\eta_k) \\
- \langle e_\gamma + \frac{h}{2} [e_\gamma, \eta_{k+1}], 2 \rangle - \frac{h^2}{4} \eta_{k+1} e_\gamma \eta_{k+1} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} (\eta_{k+1}) \rangle.
\]

As a concrete example, we consider the case of the group \( SO(3) \), using the Cayley transformation and fixing the standard basis of its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{so}(3) \):

\[
e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Thus, the elements $\eta_k, \eta_{k+1}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ will have coordinates $(x_k, y_k, z_k)$ and $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}, z_{k+1})$, respectively, in this fixed basis. This allows us to write the previous discrete Euler-Poincaré equations as follows:

$$0 = \left(1 + \frac{h^2 x_k^2}{4}ight) \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{h^2 x_k y_k}{4} + \frac{h z_k}{2}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{h y_k}{2} - \frac{h^2 x_k z_k}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} - \left(1 + \frac{h^2 x_{k+1}^2}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \bigg|_{k+1}$$

$$0 = \left(\frac{h^2 x_k y_k}{4} + \frac{h z_k}{2}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} + \left(1 + \frac{h^2 y_k^2}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \bigg|_{k+1} - \left(\frac{h z_{k+1} + h^2 x_k + y_{k+1} \eta_{k+1}}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \bigg|_{k+1}$$

$$0 = \left(\frac{h y_k}{2} + \frac{h^2 y_k \eta}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \bigg|_{k+1} + \left(\frac{h y_{k+1}}{2} + \frac{h^2 x_{k+1} y_{k+1}}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \bigg|_{k+1} - \left(1 + \frac{h^2 z_{k+1}^2}{4}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \bigg|_{k+1},$$

where $L : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $L(x, y, z) = l(x e_1 + ye_2 + ze_3)$.

### 3.7. Transformation or action Lie groupoid

The theory of Lie groupoids covers other interesting examples that are useful for the construction of variational integrators for different mechanical systems. This is the case of transformation or action Lie groupoids. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a Lie group and $\cdot : M \times \tilde{G} \to M$, $(x, \tilde{g}) \in M \times \tilde{G} \mapsto x\tilde{g}$, a right action of $\tilde{G}$ on $M$. Consider the Lie groupoid $G = M \times \tilde{G}$ over $M$ with structural maps given by

$$\alpha(x, \tilde{g}) = x, \quad \beta(x, \tilde{g}) = x\tilde{g}, \quad \varepsilon(x) = (x, e),$$

$$m((x, \tilde{g}), (x\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}')) = (x, \tilde{g}\tilde{g}'), \quad \text{and} \quad i(x, \tilde{g}) = (x\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}^{-1}).$$

where $e$ is the identity on $\tilde{G}$. The Lie groupoid $G$ is called the action or transformation Lie groupoid. Its associated Lie algebroid is the action algebroid $pr_1 : M \times \tilde{g} \to M$ where $\tilde{g}$ is the Lie algebra of Lie group $G$ (see [13] for details about the Lie algebroid structure). We have that $\Gamma(pr_1) \cong \{\tilde{\eta} : M \to \tilde{g} \mid \text{where } \tilde{\eta} \text{ is smooth mapping}\}$. In particular, if $\eta \in \tilde{g}$ then $\eta$ defines a constant section $\mathcal{C}_\eta : M \to \tilde{g}$ of $pr_1 : M \times \tilde{g} \to M$:

$$\mathcal{C}_\eta(x, \tilde{g}) = (x, \eta) \text{ for all } x \in M. \text{ It is possible to check that the corresponding left- and right-invariant vector fields on } G \text{ are (see [13])}:

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{\eta}(x, \tilde{g}) &= (0, \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{g})), \quad \mathcal{C}_{\eta}(x, \tilde{g}) = (-\eta M(x), \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{g})),
\end{align*}
for $(x, \tilde{y}) \in G = M \times \tilde{G}$ and where $\eta_M$ is the infinitesimal generator of the right action $\cdot : M \times \tilde{G} \to M$ associated with $\eta$.

Let $L_d : G = M \times \tilde{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a discrete Lagrangian. Then, a composable pair $((x_k, \tilde{y}_k), (x_k\tilde{y}_k, \tilde{y}_{k+1})) \in G_2$ is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for $L_d$ if

$$\overleftarrow{C}_\eta(x_k, \tilde{y}_k)(L) - \overrightarrow{C}_\eta(x_k\tilde{y}_k, \tilde{y}_{k+1})(L) = 0, \text{ for all } \eta \in \mathfrak{g}.$$ 

Given a retraction map $\tau : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{G}$, we can transport the Lie group structure on an open symmetric neighborhood of $\hat{\mathfrak{e}}$ in $\tilde{G}$ to an open neighborhood of $0$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ as in Subsection 3.6.2. Moreover, if $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ the flow $\Phi_{\xi_M}$ of the fundamental vector field $\xi_M$ associated with this local action is given by

$$\Phi_{\xi_M}(t, x) = x \exp_{\tilde{G}}(t(h\xi))$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in U$. Therefore, as in the previous section, fixed a basis $\{e_i\}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we induce a basis of left- and right-invariant vector fields

$$\overleftarrow{C}_{e_i}(x, \tilde{y}) = (0, d\tau^{-1}_{h\tilde{y}}(Ad_{\tau(h\tilde{y})} e_i)), \quad \overrightarrow{C}_{e_i}(x, \tilde{y}) = (-h(e_i), M(x), d\tau^{-1}_{h\tilde{y}}(e_i)),$n

where $\tilde{y} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $x \in U$. Given a lagrangian $l : M \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are:

$$0 = d\tau^{-1}_{h\tilde{y}_k}(Ad_{\tau(h\tilde{y}_k)} e_i) \int_{x_k} - d\tau^{-1}_{h\tilde{y}_{k+1}}(e_i) \int_{x_{k+1}} + h(e_i) M(x_{k+1}) (l_{\tilde{y}_{k+1}}),$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k \tau(h\tilde{y}_k).$$

where for every $\tilde{y} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (resp., $x \in M$) we denote by $l_{\tilde{y}}$ (resp., $l_x$) the real function on $M$ (resp., on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$) given by $l_{\tilde{y}}(y) = l(y, \tilde{y})$ (resp., $l_x(\tilde{y}) = l(x, \tilde{y})$).

**Example 3.6.** As a typical example of a discrete system defined on a transformation Lie groupoid consider a discretization of the heavy top [10, 16, 13]. This system is modelled on the transformation Lie algebroid $\tau : S^2 \times \mathfrak{so}(3) \to S^2$ with Lagrangian

$$L_c(\Gamma, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega \cdot \Omega - mgd\Gamma \cdot e,$$ 

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathfrak{so}(3)$ is the angular velocity, $\Gamma$ is the direction opposite to the gravity and $e$ is a unit vector in the direction from the fixed point to the center of mass, all them expressed in a frame fixed to the body. The constants $m$, $g$ and $d$ are respectively the mass of the body, the strength of the gravitational acceleration and the distance from the fixed point to the center of mass. The matrix $\mathbb{I}$ is the inertia tensor of the body.

We will also use the Cayley transformation on $SO(3)$ to describe the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for the heavy top. We have that

$$\overleftarrow{C}_{e_i}(\Gamma, \eta) = \left(0, (e + \frac{h\eta}{2}) e_i (e - \frac{h\eta}{2})\right),$$

$$\overrightarrow{C}_{e_i}(\Gamma, \eta) = \left(h\Gamma e_i, (e - \frac{h\eta}{2}) e_i (e + \frac{h\eta}{2})\right),$$
with
\[
\eta = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\Omega_3 & \Omega_2 \\
\Omega_3 & 0 & -\Omega_1 \\
-\Omega_2 & \Omega_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\{e_\gamma\} \text{ the standard basis on } SO(3) \text{ and } \Gamma \in S^2.
\]

Therefore, the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations are:
\[
0 = \langle (e + \frac{h\eta_k}{2}) e_\gamma (e - \frac{h\eta_k}{2}), \frac{\partial(L_c)_{\Gamma_k}}{\partial \xi} (\eta_k) \rangle - \langle (e - \frac{h\eta_{k+1}}{2}) e_\gamma (e + \frac{h\eta_{k+1}}{2}), \frac{\partial(L_c)_{\Gamma_{k+1}}}{\partial \xi} (\eta_{k+1}) \rangle
\]
\[
+ h\Gamma_{k+1} e_\gamma \cdot \frac{\partial(L_c)_{\eta_{k+1}}}{\partial \Gamma} (\Gamma_{k+1})
\]
\[
\Gamma_{k+1} = \Gamma_k \text{ cay } (h\eta_k),
\]
or, in other terms
\[
0 = I_1(\Omega_1)_k \left(1 + \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)^2_k}{4} \right) + I_2(\Omega_2)_k \left(\frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_k(\Omega_2)_k}{4} + \frac{h(\Omega_3)_k}{2} \right)
\]
\[
- I_3(\Omega_3)_k \left(\frac{h(\Omega_2)_k}{2} - \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_k(\Omega_3)_k}{4} \right) - I_1(\Omega_1)_{k+1} \left(1 + \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)^2_{k+1}}{4} \right)
\]
\[
+ I_2(\Omega_2)_{k+1} \left(\frac{h(\Omega_3)_{k+1}}{2} - \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_{k+1}(\Omega_2)_{k+1}}{4} \right)
\]
\[
- I_3(\Omega_3)_{k+1} \left(\frac{h(\Omega_2)_{k+1}}{2} + \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_{k+1}(\Omega_3)_{k+1}}{4} \right)
\]
\[
+ hmgd(Z_{k+1}e_2 - Y_{k+1}e_3)
\]
\[
0 = I_1(\Omega_1)_k \left(\frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_k(\Omega_2)_k}{4} - \frac{h(\Omega_3)_k}{2} \right) + I_2(\Omega_2)_k \left(1 + \frac{h^2(\Omega_2)^2_k}{4} \right)
\]
\[
+ I_3(\Omega_3)_k \left(\frac{h(\Omega_1)_k}{2} + \frac{h^2(\Omega_2)_k(\Omega_3)_k}{4} \right) - I_1(\Omega_1)_{k+1} \left(\frac{h(\Omega_3)_{k+1}}{2} + \frac{h^2(\Omega_1)_{k+1}(\Omega_2)_{k+1}}{4} \right)
\]
\[
- I_2(\Omega_2)_{k+1} \left(1 + \frac{h^2(\Omega_2)^2_{k+1}}{4} \right) + I_3(\Omega_3)_{k+1} \left(\frac{h(\Omega_1)_{k+1}}{2} - \frac{h^2(\Omega_2)_{k+1}(\Omega_3)_{k+1}}{4} \right)
\]
\[
+ hmgd(X_{k+1}e_3 - Z_{k+1}e_1)
\]
The results contained in this section are well-known in the literature (see, for instance, [3, 12]). However, to make the paper more self-contained, we will include the proofs of them.

Let $G ightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with source $\alpha : G \rightarrow M$ and target $\beta : G \rightarrow M$.

**Definition 4.1.** A **bisection** of $G$ is a closed embedded submanifold $\Sigma$ of $G$ such that the restrictions of both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to $\Sigma$ are diffeomorphisms.

**Remark 3.7.** Our approach also admits other interesting examples. For instance, assume that we have a discrete system modeled by a Lagrangian $L : TM \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is an approximation of a continuous Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : T(M \times G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This lagrangian typically appears as reduction of a $G$-invariant Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L} : T(M \times G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [13], for the general case). Of course we can combine the techniques exposed in Subsections 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 to obtain a local description of the corresponding discrete Euler-Lagrange equations in terms of the continuous Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$. 

4. **Bisections and discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operators**

One of the main limitations of the techniques exposed in Section 3 is that we need to work in a neighborhood of the identities of the Lie groupoid $G$. Using an enough small time stepping we can guarantee that the evolution of the evolution operator for a discrete Lagrangian takes values on the chosen symmetric neighborhood, even it is possible to adapt the time stepping to make it happen. Another possibility is to use the notion of bisections on Lie groupoids. As we will see it will allow us to consider points far from the identities completing our local description of discrete Mechanics.

We consider now the general case of a solution $(g_0, h_0) \in G_2$ of the Euler-Lagrange equations where the points $g_0$ and $h_0 \in G$ are not necessarily close enough to be contained in a common symmetric neighborhood. If we want to obtain a local expression of the discrete Euler-Lagrange operator which connects the above points, we must choose suitable neighborhoods of $g_0$ and $h_0$. For this purpose, we will consider a symmetric neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$, a local bisection through the point $g_0$ and a local bisection through $h_0$. By left-translation and right-translation (induced by these sections) of $\mathcal{W}$ we will get such neighborhoods.

4.1. **Bisections of a Lie groupoid.** The results contained in this section are well-known in the literature (see, for instance, [3, 12]). However, to make the paper more self-contained, we will include the proofs of them.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with source $\alpha : G \rightarrow M$ and target $\beta : G \rightarrow M$.

**Definition 4.1.** A **bisection** of $G$ is a closed embedded submanifold $\Sigma$ of $G$ such that the restrictions of both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to $\Sigma$ are diffeomorphisms.
A bisection defines both a section $\Sigma_\alpha$ of $\alpha$ and a section $\Sigma_\beta$ of $\beta$ as follows.

**Proposition 4.2.** Given a bisection $\Sigma$ the map $\Sigma_\alpha = (\alpha|_\Sigma)^{-1}$ is a smooth section of $\alpha$ such that $\text{Im}(\Sigma_\alpha) = \Sigma$ and $\beta \circ \Sigma_\alpha$ is a diffeomorphism. Alternatively, the map $\Sigma_\beta = (\beta|_\Sigma)^{-1}$ is a smooth section of $\beta$ such that $\text{Im}(\Sigma_\beta) = \Sigma$ and $\alpha \circ \Sigma_\beta$ is a diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** Indeed, since $\alpha|_\Sigma \colon \Sigma \to M$ is a diffeomorphism, the map $\Sigma_\alpha$ is well defined and satisfies $\alpha \circ \Sigma_\alpha = \text{id}_M$. Moreover $\text{Im}(\Sigma_\alpha) = \text{Im}((\alpha|_\Sigma)^{-1}) = \Sigma$ and $\beta \circ \Sigma_\alpha = (\beta|_\Sigma) \circ (\alpha|_\Sigma)^{-1}$ is a diffeomorphism because it is a composition of diffeomorphisms. The proof of the second statement is similar. 

Notice that the diffeomorphisms $\beta \circ \Sigma_\alpha$ and $\alpha \circ \Sigma_\beta$ are each one the inverse of the other $$(\beta \circ \Sigma_\alpha)^{-1} = \alpha \circ \Sigma_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha \circ \Sigma_\beta)^{-1} = \beta \circ \Sigma_\alpha.$$ 

**Definition 4.3.** A local bisection of $G$ is a closed embedded submanifold $\mathcal{W}$ of $G$ such that there exist open subsets $U, V \subset M$ for which both $\alpha|_V \colon \mathcal{W} \to U$ and $\beta|_V \colon \mathcal{W} \to V$ are diffeomorphisms.

**Proposition 4.4.** Given a local bisection $\mathcal{W}$ the map $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = (\alpha|_\mathcal{W})^{-1}$ is a smooth local section of $\alpha$ defined on the open set $U$ such that $(\mathcal{W}_\alpha)(U) = \mathcal{W}$ and $\beta \circ \mathcal{W}_\alpha : U \to V$ is a diffeomorphism. Alternatively, the map $\mathcal{W}_\beta = (\beta|_\mathcal{W})^{-1}$ is a smooth local section of $\beta$ defined on the open set $V$ such that $(\mathcal{W}_\beta)(V) = \mathcal{W}$ and $\alpha \circ \mathcal{W}_\beta : V \to U$ is a diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** The proof is a straightforward modification of the proof for global bisections. 

We will need the following straightforward result.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $A$ and $B$ be linear subspaces of a finite dimensional vector space $V$, with $\dim(A) = \dim(B)$. There exists a linear subspace $C \subset V$ such that $A \oplus C = V$ and $B \oplus C = V$.

**Proof.** Let $\{c_i\}$ be a basis of $A \cap B$. We complete to a basis $\{c_i, a_\alpha\}$ of $A$, and we also complete to a basis $\{c_i, b_\alpha\}$ of $B$. Then $\{c_i, a_\alpha, b_\alpha\}$ is a basis of $A + B$, which can be completed to a basis $\{c_i, a_\alpha, b_\alpha, d_j\}$ of $V$. Then, the subspace $C = \text{span}\{a_\alpha + b_\alpha, d_j\}$ is such that $A \oplus C = V$ and $B \oplus C = V$. 

**Proposition 4.6** (Existence of local bisections). Given $g \in G$ there exists a local bisection $\mathcal{W}$ such that $g \in \mathcal{W}$.

**Proof.** Since the dimensions of $\ker(T_g \alpha)$ and $\ker(T_g \beta)$ are equal, there exists a subspace $I \subset T_g G$ such that $T_g G = \ker(T_g \alpha) \oplus I$ and $T_g G = \ker(T_g \beta) \oplus I$. Notice that, since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are submersions, we have that $T_g \alpha(I) = T_g \alpha(M)$ and $T_g \beta(I) = T_g \beta(M)$.

Let $\Sigma \subset G$ be any submanifold such that $g \in \Sigma$ and $T_g \Sigma = I$. The maps $T_g\alpha|_\Sigma$ and $T_g\beta|_\Sigma$ are linear isomorphisms at the point $g$. Indeed $\text{Im}(T_g\alpha|_\Sigma) = T_g\alpha(I) = T_g\alpha(M)$, and similarly $\text{Im}(T_g\beta|_\Sigma) = T_g\beta(I) = T_g\beta(M)$, and $\dim(M) = \dim(I)$. By the inverse function theorem, it follows that there exist open subsets $\mathcal{W}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{W}^\beta$ in $\Sigma$ and $U^\alpha$ and $U^\beta$ in $M$ such that $g \in \mathcal{W}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{W}^\beta$ and $\alpha|_{\mathcal{W}^\alpha} \colon \mathcal{W}^\alpha \to U^\alpha$ and $\beta|_{\mathcal{W}^\beta} \colon \mathcal{W}^\beta \to U^\beta$ are diffeomorphisms. By taking $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{W}^\beta$, $U = \alpha(\mathcal{W})$ and $V = \beta(\mathcal{W})$ we have that $\mathcal{W}$ is a local bisection and $g \in \mathcal{W}$.
In what follows we do not distinguish, in the notation, global and local bisections; all then will be denoted by $\Sigma$.

**Definition 4.7.** Given a local bisection $\Sigma$ defined on the open sets $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, the local **left translation** by $\Sigma$ is the map $L_\Sigma: \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \to \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ defined by

$$L_\Sigma(g) = hg, \quad \text{where } h = \Sigma_\beta(\alpha(g)),$$

and the local **right translation** by $\Sigma$ is the map $R_\Sigma: \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \to \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ defined by

$$R_\Sigma(g) = gh, \quad \text{where } h = \Sigma_\alpha(\beta(g)).$$

Alternatively, the left action is $\Sigma \cdot g = hg$, where $h \in \Sigma$ is the uniquely defined element such that $\beta(h) = \alpha(g)$. Similarly the right action is $g \cdot \Sigma = gh$, where $h \in \Sigma$ is the uniquely defined element such that $\alpha(h) = \beta(g)$. Observe that both $L_\Sigma$ and $R_\Sigma$ are diffeomorphisms.

It is easy to see that, for a bisection $\Sigma$, the left action $L_\Sigma$ preserves the $\beta$-fibers and maps $\alpha$-fibers to $\alpha$-fibers. Similarly, the right action $R_\Sigma$ preserves the $\alpha$-fibers and maps $\beta$-fibers to $\beta$-fibers.

The left action $L_\Sigma$ by a bisection $\Sigma$ extends the natural left action in the groupoid and we have $(\Sigma \cdot g)h = \Sigma \cdot (gh)$, or in other words $L_\Sigma \circ l_g = l_{\Sigma \cdot g}$. Moreover, since it preserves the $\alpha$-fibers, it maps left-invariant vector fields to left invariant vector fields

$$TL_\Sigma(\vec{X}(g)) = \vec{X}(L_\Sigma g) \quad \text{for every } X \in \Gamma(\tau).$$

Similarly, we have $(hg) \cdot \Sigma = h(g \cdot \Sigma)$ or $R_\Sigma \circ r_g = R_g \Sigma$, from where

$$TR_\Sigma(\vec{X}(g)) = \vec{X}(R_\Sigma g) \quad \text{for every } X \in \Gamma(\tau).$$

### 4.2. General discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operators.

Let $L_d : G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a discrete Lagrangian function and consider a solution $(g_0, h_0) \in G_2$ of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations, that is,

$$\vec{X}(g_0)(L_d) - \vec{X}(h_0)(L_d) = 0, \quad \text{for all } X \in \Gamma(\tau).$$

We denote by $x_0 \in M$ the point

$$x_0 = \beta(g_0) = \alpha(h_0).$$

Then, we consider the following objects:

- A symmetric neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ associated with $x_0$ and some open subset $U$ of $G$, with local coordinates $(x, u)$ as in Section 4.2. We will denote by $V$ the corresponding open subset of $M$ and by $(y)$ the local coordinates on $V$.
- A local bisection $\Sigma_0$ of $G$ such that $g_0 \in \Sigma_0$.
- A local bisection $\Upsilon_0$ of $G$ such that $h_0 \in \Upsilon_0$.

We will assume, without the loss of generality, that the section $(\Sigma_0)_\beta$ (respectively, $(\Upsilon_0)_\alpha$) is defined on the open subset $V$ of $M$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} = L_{\Sigma_0}(\mathcal{W})$ is an open neighborhood of $g_0$ in $G$ diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{W}$. On $\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}$, we may consider a local coordinate system $(x, u)$ defined as follows: if $g \in \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}$ then there exists a unique $g_\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $L_{\Sigma_0}(g_\mathcal{W}) = g$; the coordinates of the point $g$ are the coordinates in the symmetric neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of the point $g_\mathcal{W}$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{W}_{\Upsilon_0} = R_{\Upsilon_0}(\mathcal{W})$ is an open neighborhood of $h_0$ in $G$ diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{W}$. On $\mathcal{W}_{\Upsilon_0}$ we consider local coordinates $(y, v)$ defined as follows: for $h \in \mathcal{W}_{\Upsilon_0}$ we
consider the unique point \( h_W \in \mathcal{W} \) such that \( R_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(h_W) = h \), and we assign to \( h \) the coordinates \((y, v)\) of \( h_W \) in the symmetric neighborhood \( \mathcal{W} \).

Moreover, using the same notation as in Section 3.2, the pair of elements \((g, h) = (L_{\Sigma_0}(g_W), R_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(h_W)) \in \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}\) is composable if and only if \( y = b(x, u) \).

To find the local equations satisfied by the coordinates of a solution \((g, h)\) of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L \)

\[
\mathcal{E}_\gamma(g)(L_d) - \mathcal{E}_\gamma(h)(L_d) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \gamma,
\]

we take into account equations (35) and (36), from where we get that equations (37) hold if and only if

\[
(T_{gW}L_{\Sigma_0})(\mathcal{E}_\gamma(g_W))(L_d) - (T_{hW}R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})(\mathcal{E}_\gamma(h_W))(L_d) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \gamma.
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
\mathcal{E}_\gamma(g_W)(L_d \circ L_{\Sigma_0}) - \mathcal{E}_\gamma(h_W)(L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \gamma.
\]

In conclusion, using (24) and (25), we have proved

**Theorem 4.8.** The pair \((g, h) = (L_{\Sigma_0}(g_W), R_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(h_W)) \in \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}\) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \) if and only if the local coordinates of \( g \) and \( h \)

\[
g \cong (x, u) \cong g_W, \quad h \cong (y, v) = (b(x, u), v) \cong h_W
\]

satisfy the equations

\[
L^\gamma_{\mu}(x, u) \frac{\partial (L_d \circ L_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial u^\gamma}(x, u) + R^i_{\mu}(y, v) \frac{\partial (L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})}{\partial x^i}(y, v) +
\]

\[
- R^i_{\mu}(y, v) \frac{\partial (L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})}{\partial u^\gamma}(y, v) = 0.
\]

Note that the coordinates of \( g_0 \) and \( h_0 \) in \( \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \) and \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \), respectively, are \((x_0, 0)\).

Next, as in Section 3.3, we will consider the matrix \((FL_d)^\gamma_{\mu}(x, u)\), where

\[
(FL_d)^\gamma_{\mu}(x, u) = \rho^\gamma_{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial^2 (L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})}{\partial x^i \partial u^\gamma}(x, u) + \frac{\partial R^i_{\mu}(x, u)}{\partial u^\gamma}(x, u) +
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial R^i_{\mu}(x, u)}{\partial u^\nu}(x, u) \frac{\partial (L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})}{\partial u^\nu}(x, u) +
\]

\[
- R^i_{\mu}(x, u) \frac{\partial^2 (L_d \circ R_{\mathcal{Y}_0})}{\partial u^\gamma \partial u^\nu}(x, u).
\]

Then, we have the following result:

**Theorem 4.9.** The following statements are equivalent.

- The matrix \((FL_d)^\gamma_{\mu}(x_0, 0)\) is regular.
- The Poincare-Cartan 2-section \( \Omega_{L_d} \) is non-degenerate at the point \( h_0 \).
- The map \( F - L_d \) is a local diffeomorphism at \( h_0 \).

Any of them implies the following: there exist open neighborhoods \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\Sigma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \) of \( g_0 \) and \( h_0 \) such that if \( g \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\Sigma_0} \) then there is a unique \( \Psi(g) = h \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \) satisfying that the pair \((g, h)\) is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \). In fact, the map \( \Psi : \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\Sigma_0} \to \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \) is a local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operator.
PROOF. If the matrix \((\mathbb{F}L_d)_{\mu}^\gamma(x_0, 0)\) is regular then, using Theorem 14.8 and the implicit function theorem, we deduce the result about the existence of the local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operator \(\Psi : \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \to \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\).

On the other hand, the map \(R_{\Sigma_0}\) is a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of \(\varepsilon(x_0)\) in the \(\alpha\)-fiber \(\alpha^{-1}(x_0)\) to an open neighborhood of \(h_0\) in the \(\alpha\)-fiber \(\alpha^{-1}(x_0)\). Indeed, (a) it is well defined: if \(h \in \alpha^{-1}(x_0)\) then
\[
\alpha(R_{\Sigma_0}(h)) = \alpha(h \cdot \Sigma_0) = \alpha(h) = x_0,
\]
so that \(R_{\Sigma_0}(h) \in \alpha^{-1}(x_0)\); (b) it is injective: it is the restriction of a local diffeomorphism to an \(\alpha\)-fiber; and (c) it is surjective: \(\beta \circ (\Sigma_0)_\alpha\) is a diffeomorphism, so that if \(h' \in \alpha^{-1}(x_0)\) there exists \(x \in M\) such that \((\beta \circ (\Sigma_0)_\alpha)(x) = \beta(h')\), from where \(h = h'[(\Sigma_0)_\alpha(x)]^{-1} \in \alpha^{-1}(x_0)\) and hence \(R_{\Sigma_0}(h) = h'\).

Consequently, if
\[
w^\gamma(h_0) = (T_{\varepsilon(x_0)}R_{\Sigma_0})(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma |_{\varepsilon(x_0)}}), \text{ for all } \gamma,
\]
then \(\{w^\gamma(h_0)\}\) is a basis of the vertical bundle to \(\alpha\) at the point \(h_0\).

Moreover, if \(\vec{e}_\mu^\alpha\) is the right-invariant vector field on \(\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) given by
\[
\vec{e}_\mu^\alpha(h) = (T_{h_\mathcal{W}}R_{\Sigma_0})(\vec{e}_\mu^\alpha(h_\mathcal{W})), \text{ for } h = R_{\Sigma_0}(h_\mathcal{W}) \in \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}
\]
then, using (25), it follows that
\[
\vec{e}_\mu^\alpha(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0}) = -\rho^\alpha_{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial x^i}(x, u) + R^\alpha_{\mu}(x, u) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u),
\]
which implies that the matrix \((w^\gamma(h_0)(\vec{e}_\mu^\alpha(h_\mathcal{W})))\) is, up to the sign, \((\mathbb{F}L_d)_{\mu}^\gamma(x_0, 0)\).

In addition, from (11) and (36), we deduce that the local expression of the restriction of \(\mathbb{F}^{-1}L_d\) to \(\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) is
\[
(\mathbb{F}^{-1}L_d)(x, u) = (x, -\rho^\alpha_{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial x^i}(x, u) + R^\alpha_{\mu}(x, u) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial u^\mu}(x, u)).
\]

These facts prove the result. \(\square\)

Now, as in Section 3.3.5 we consider local coordinates \((\bar{x}, \bar{u})\) on \(i(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}\) given by \((\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = (x, u) \circ i\). Note that \(\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}\) and, thus, we have local coordinates on \(\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) and \(\mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) which we also denote by \((\bar{x}, \bar{u})\).

As above, using equations (31) and (32), we may prove that a pair \((g, h) = (L_{\Sigma_0}(g_\mathcal{W}), R_{\Sigma_0}(h_\mathcal{W})) \in \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathcal{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \(L_d\) if and only if the local coordinates of \(g\) and \(h\)
\[
g \cong (\bar{g}, \bar{v}) = (b(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \bar{v}) \cong g_\mathcal{W}, \quad h \cong (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \cong h_\mathcal{W}
\]
satisfy the equations
\[
0 = -\rho^\alpha_{\mu}(b(\bar{x}, \bar{u})) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ L_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial \bar{x}^i}(b(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \bar{v}) + \]
\[
+ R^\alpha_{\mu}(b(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \bar{v}) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ L_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial \bar{u}^\gamma}(b(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \bar{v}) + \]
\[
- L^\gamma_{\mu}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\Sigma_0})}{\partial \bar{u}^\gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}).
\]
In order to apply the implicit function theorem (using \[39\]), we want to obtain \( \bar{v} \) in terms of \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{u} \) in a neighborhood of \((x_0, u_0, v_0)\), we consider the matrix \((\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u})\), where

\[
(40) \quad (\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = \rho^i_\mu(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial^2(L_d \circ R_{\gamma_\alpha})(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}{\partial x^i \partial u^\gamma} + \\
- \frac{\partial R_{\nu_\alpha}^\gamma}{\partial \bar{u}^\gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{\partial(L_d \circ R_{\gamma_\alpha})(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}{\partial \bar{u}^\nu} + \\
- L_{\mu_\nu}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{\partial^2(L_d \circ R_{\gamma_\alpha})(\bar{x}, \bar{u})}{\partial \bar{u}^\nu \partial \bar{u}^\gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}).
\]

Then, one may prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.10.** The following statements are equivalent.

- The matrices \((\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(x_0, 0)\) and \((\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(x_0, 0)\) are regular.
- The Poincare-Cartan 2-section \(\Omega_{L_d}\) is non-degenerate at the points \(g_0\) and \(h_0\).
- The maps \(\mathbb{F}^+L_d\) and \(\mathbb{F}^-L_d\) are local diffeomorphism at \(g_0\) and \(h_0\).

Any of them implies the following: there exist open neighborhoods \(\bar{W}_{\Sigma_0} \subseteq W_{\Sigma_0}\) and \(\bar{W}_{\gamma_0} \subseteq W_{\gamma_0}\) of \(g_0\) and \(h_0\) and a unique (local) discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operator \(\Psi : \bar{W}_{\Sigma_0} \to \bar{W}_{\gamma_0}\) such that \(\Psi(g_0) = h_0\). In addition, \(\Psi\) is a diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** Suppose that the matrices \((\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(x_0, 0)\) and \((\bar{F}L)^\gamma_\mu_\gamma(x_0, 0)\) are regular. Then, the existence of the local discrete Euler-Lagrange evolution operator \(\Psi : \bar{W}_{\Sigma_0} \to \bar{W}_{\gamma_0}\) is guaranteed by Theorem 4.9. Moreover, using \([39]\), \([40]\), and the implicit function theorem, we deduce that there exist open neighborhoods of \(g_0\) and \(h_0\) which we will assume, without the loss of generality, that are \(\bar{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) and \(\bar{W}_{\gamma_0}\) and, in addition, there exists a smooth map

\[
\Xi : \bar{W}_{\gamma_0} \to \bar{W}_{\Sigma_0}
\]

such that, for each \(h \in \bar{W}_{\gamma_0}\), the pair \((\Xi(h), h)\) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \(L_d\).

Thus, from Theorem 4.9 we obtain that

\[
(41) \quad \Psi \circ \Xi = id, \quad \Xi \circ \Psi = id,
\]

which implies that \(\Psi\) is a diffeomorphism. Indeed, if \(g \in \bar{W}_{\Sigma_0}\) then there is a unique element \(h\) in \(\bar{W}_{\gamma_0}\), namely \(h = \Psi(g)\), such that \((g, h)\) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \(L_d\). This proves (41) and thus \(\Psi\) is a diffeomorphism.

On the other hand, the map \(L_{\Sigma_0}\) is a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of \(\varepsilon(x_0)\) in \(\beta^{-1}(x_0)\) on an open neighborhood of \(g_0\) in \(\beta^{-1}(x_0)\). Using this fact, \([12]\), \([24]\), \([35]\) and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.9 we deduce the result. \(\Box\)

**4.3. Application.** Let \(L_d : G \to \mathbb{R}\) be a discrete regular Lagrangian. Starting with a symmetric neighborhood \(W\) of the Lie groupoid \(G\), we have local coordinates expressions for the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations assuming that we can solve it on the symmetric neighborhood. If this is not the case, we are forced to use bisections.

To illustrate this, assume that \(G\) is a connected Lie group and \(W\) is a symmetric neighborhood of the identity \(e \in G\). Assume that there exists a family of points of
\( G: \{ g_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{ and } \{ h_j \}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \), with \( g_1 = h_1 = e \) such that \( G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} g_i W = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} W h_j \) (for instance, if \( G \) is compact this property is verified).

Given an initial point \( g \), then there exists at least an integer \( I, 1 \leq I \leq m \) such that \( g \in g_I W \) (\( g_I \in G \) is the bisection using our notation). Now, we try to find an integer \( J, 1 \leq J \leq n \) such that there exists a solution \( h \in W h_J \) of the following equation defined on the symmetric neighborhood \( W \):

\[
(T_{g_I^{-1}} g_I)(\tilde{e}_\gamma (g^{-1}_I g))(L_d) - (T_{h h_J^{-1} R h_J})(\tilde{e}_\gamma (h h_J^{-1}))(L_d) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \gamma
\]

where \( \{ e_\gamma \} \) is a basis of the Lie algebra \( g \). If we find this \( J, 1 \leq J \leq n \), we will say that the pair \( (g, h) \in G_2 \) is a solution of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for \( L_d \).

We will explore in a future paper these techniques working with points not included in symmetric neighborhoods or points not included in the neighborhoods where the retraction maps are local diffeomorphisms.

5. Conclusions and future work

In this paper we have studied the local description of discrete Mechanics. In Section 3 we have found a local description of the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations using the notion of symmetric neighborhood on Lie groupoids. In Section 4 we extend this construction for points outside of this type of neighborhoods using bisections. We expect that our results apply to a wide range of numerical methods using discrete variational calculus.

On the other hand, this paper will also open the possibility to easily adapt our construction to other families of geometric integrators derived from discrete Mechanics, as for instance, forced or dissipative systems, holonomic constraints, explicitly time-dependent systems [15], frictional contact [18] nonholonomic constraints [7], multisymplectic field theories [14], discrete optimal control [8 11 17].
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