Segmented terahertz electron accelerator and manipulator (STEAM)
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Acceleration and manipulation of electron bunches underlie most electron and X-ray devices used for ultrafast imaging and spectroscopy. New terahertz-driven concepts offer orders-of-magnitude improvements in field strengths, field gradients, laser synchronization and compactness relative to conventional radiofrequency devices, enabling shorter electron bunches and higher resolution with less infrastructure while maintaining high charge capacities (pC), repetition rates (kHz) and stability. We present a segmented terahertz electron accelerator and manipulator (STEAM) capable of performing multiple high-field operations on the six-dimensional phase space of ultrashort electron bunches. With this single device, powered by few-microjoule, single-cycle, 0.3 THz pulses, we demonstrate record terahertz acceleration of >30 keV, streaking with <10 fs resolution, focusing with >2 kT m⁻¹ strength, compression to ~100 fs as well as real-time switching between these modes of operation. The STEAM device demonstrates the feasibility of terahertz-based electron accelerators, manipulators and diagnostic tools, enabling science beyond current resolution frontiers with transformative impact.
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terahertz radiation, we demonstrate over 70 MV m\(^{-1}\) peak acceleration fields, 2 kT m\(^{-1}\) focusing gradients (which are an order of magnitude beyond current electromagnetic lenses and comparable to active plasma lenses), the highest reported terahertz streaking gradient of 140 \(\mu\)rad fs\(^{-1}\) (making it well-suited for characterization of ultrafast electron diffractometer bunches down to 10 fs) as well as compression to \(~100\) fs. All these demonstrations strongly benefit from very small temporal jitter achieved through laser-driven terahertz sources (see Supplementary Information). By increasing terahertz pulse energies to state-of-the-art millijoule levels\(^{20}\), it is expected that acceleration gradients approaching 1 GV m\(^{-1}\) can be achieved and sustained. Such gradients surpass those possible in radiofrequency accelerators by an order of magnitude and enable major improvements in electron bunch qualities such as emittance and bunch length. The picosecond duration of the terahertz pulses is an essential ingredient for reaching the GV m\(^{-1}\) regime, as experiments have shown that maximum acceleration gradients, which are limited by field-induced breakdown, scale with the sixth power of the field duration\(^{20}\). Demonstration of the terahertz-driven STEAM device thus establishes a new compact, strong-field and extremely high-gradient accelerator technology.

**Concept and implementation**

The experimental setup (Fig. 1) consisted of a 55 keV photo-triggered d.c. gun, a terahertz-powered STEAM device for electron acceleration or manipulation and a diagnostic section that included a second STEAM device used as a streak camera, all of which were driven by the same infrared laser source. Ultraviolet pulses for photoemission were generated by two successive stages of second-harmonic generation, while single-cycle terahertz pulses were generated by difference frequency generation. Terahertz pulses from two independent setups were coupled into the STEAM device (Fig. 1) transversely to the electron motion by two horn structures that focused the counter-propagating terahertz fields beyond the diffraction limit into the interaction zone. The electrons experience both the electric and magnetic fields of the terahertz pulses according to the Lorentz force law \(\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})\), where \(q\) is the electron charge, \(\mathbf{E}\) is the electric field, oriented parallel to the electron velocity \(\mathbf{v}\), and \(\mathbf{B}\) is the magnetic field, oriented vertically in the lab frame. The electric field is thus responsible for acceleration and deceleration, while the magnetic field induces transverse deflections.

Efficient interaction of the electrons with the fields was accomplished by means of segmentation, which divided the interaction volume into multiple layers, each isolated from the others by thin metal sheets (Fig. 1). Dielectric slabs of varying length were inserted into each layer to delay the arrival time of the terahertz waveform to coincide with the arrival of the electrons, effectively phase-matching the interaction. Due to the transverse geometry, the degree of dephasing experienced in each layer was determined by the traversal time of the electrons, which was dependent on the electron speed and the layer thickness. A reduction in dephasing can thus be accomplished by reducing the layer thickness and increasing the number of layers, at the cost of increased complexity. The ability to tune the thickness and delay of each layer independently is a key design feature of the STEAM device that enables acceleration of sub-relativistic electrons for which the speed changes significantly during the interaction (for example, from 0.43 \(c\) to 0.51 \(c\) for our maximum acceleration case).

The use of two counter-propagating drive pulses enabled two key modes of operation, which are specified with respect to the interaction point, that is, the centre of the interaction region of each layer: (1) an ‘electric’ mode, used for acceleration, compression and focusing, in which the pulses were timed to produce electric superposition and magnetic cancellation of the transverse fields at the interaction point; and (2) a ‘magnetic’ mode, used for deflection and streaking, where the magnetic fields superposed and the electric fields cancelled.

**Fig. 1 | Experimental setup.** A fraction of the infrared optical beam is converted to 257 nm through fourth-harmonic generation. The 257 nm laser pulse is directed onto a gold photocathode generating electron pulses, which are accelerated to 55 keV by a d.c. electric field. This laser also drives two optical-rectification stages, each generating single-cycle terahertz pulses with energy up to 30 \(\mu\)J. The two counter-propagating terahertz beams interact with the electron beam inside the segmented structure. Subsequently, the electron beam is detected by the camera. Top left inset: photograph of the STEAM device. Bottom right inset: the time-domain waveform of the terahertz pulse measured by electro-optic sampling and its corresponding frequency (\(f\))-domain spectrum.
The function of the device was thus selected by tuning the relative delay of the two terahertz pulses and the electrons, all of which were controlled by means of motorized stages acting on the respective infrared pump beams. In focusing and streaking modes, the electron beams were sent directly to a microchannel plate (MCP) detector. For acceleration measurements, an electromagnetic dipole was used.

Fig. 2 | Concept and implementation. a, Measured energy modulation of e pulse as a function of electron-terahertz delay for constructive interference of the E fields entering the device and cancellation of the B fields. b, Corresponding beam deflection measured for constructive interference of the B fields, that is, E field cancellation scenario. c,d, Time-dependent deflection diagrams measured by varying the electron-terahertz delay in the B field (c) and E field (d) cancellation scenarios. e, Measured shape of e beam on MCP detector for maximum acceleration, deceleration, and right and left deflection points plotted in one image. Intensity was normalized and image contrast was tuned to show the relative positions more clearly. The red dashed line represents the predicted locus of beam positions corresponding to a sweep of the relative phases of the two THz waveforms. This demonstration was performed using a Yb-doped potassium yttrium tungstate (Yb:KYW) laser with -2×0.5 μJ of terahertz radiation coupled into the device and a bunch charge of ~1fC.

Fig. 3 | Terahertz acceleration. a, Measured electron energy spectra for initial input beam (blue curve) and accelerated beam (red curve) that shows an energy gain of more than 30 keV. An increased energy spread is observed due to the long length of the initial electron bunch, as well as the slippage between the terahertz pulse and the electron bunch. b, Relative energy versus input terahertz field strength with the red circle indicating the energy spectra plotted in a. The linear relationship supports a direct, field-driven interaction. c, Temporal evolution of the electric field inside each layer with the red arrow indicating the electron propagating. d, Calculated acceleration along the electron propagation direction with ~2×6 μJ terahertz radiation and beam diameter of 3 mm. This illustration was performed using the Yb:YLF laser with ~2×6 μJ terahertz radiation coupled into the device and a bunch charge of ~1fC.
used to induce energy-dependent deflections in the vertical plane, so that both deflection and energy change could be measured simultaneously. To measure the compression, a second STEAM device was added downstream of the first to induce time-dependent deflections in the horizontal plane. The breakdown threshold of the device is determined by field emission from the metallic parts. Owing to the 4–5 orders of magnitude shorter field-exposure times of single-cycle terahertz pulses compared with radiofrequency excitations, previous studies suggest that a factor of 3–10 higher breakdown threshold for pulsed terahertz-driven devices can be expected. The remainder of this paper gives a detailed description of the results obtained for acceleration, compression, focusing, deflection and steeping for this STEAM device.

Electric mode

In the electric mode, the relative timing of the terahertz pulses was adjusted so that the electric fields (E fields) constructively interfered at the interaction point. In this configuration, the magnetic fields (B fields) were 180° out of phase with each other and thus cancelled, minimizing unwanted deflections. The acceleration was sensitive to the terahertz phase at the interaction. Figure 2 shows energy and deflection diagrams that were obtained by recording the vertical and horizontal projections (respectively) of the electron-beam distribution on the MCP as a function of the electron–terahertz delay. Although the terahertz pulses injected into the device were nearly single cycle, several cycles of acceleration and deceleration were observed, due to dispersion induced by the horn couplers.

Maximum acceleration and deceleration occurred at the electron injection points (Fig. 2a) where the deflection was minimized (Fig. 2c) and the beam spatial distribution was also preserved (Fig. 2e, left and right beams). The peak field is calculated to have reached ~70 MV m⁻¹ with the Yb-doped yttrium lithium fluoride (Yb:YLF) laser, based on comparisons of the measured terahertz energy transmitted through the device and the electron energy gain with simulation (described below). The energy gain scaled linearly with the applied field (Fig. 3b) and reached a record of more than 30 keV (five times larger than previous studies) for a bunch charge of ~5 fC. In contrast to previous results showing simultaneous acceleration and deceleration, the energy spectrum can be seen to move cleanly to a higher energy (Fig. 3a), indicating that injected bunches were shorter than half the driver period. In fact, the bunches were measured (by the STEAM device in streaking mode) to have a duration of 670 fs, and thus occupied about 20% of the 3.33 ps period accelerating field. The increase in energy spread is attributed in part to the variation of the E field over the bunch temporal profile. Although bunches with charge up to 20 fC were coupled into the device, space–charge effects and the long travel distances from the d.c. gun lead to longer bunch duration and larger energy spread. For demonstrating terahertz-driven acceleration, the charge was limited to 1–5 fC during this experiment. Use of a terahertz-based re-buncher before the accelerator is thus anticipated for future experiments to reduce energy spread.

The performance of the device was simulated using a finite-element based code. Figure 3c shows snapshots of the electrons traversing the device and staying in phase with the field (full simulation results are presented in Supplementary Video 1). Figure 3d shows the electron energy as a function of distance. The energy gain can be seen to occur in three uneven steps corresponding to the three layers. The unevenness and the presence of deceleration at some points are evidence of dephasing due to the fact that the structure was designed for higher terahertz energies (see Supplementary Information). Simulations predict that megaelectronvolt electron beams with up to 10 pC of charge are achievable by increasing the number of layers and extending terahertz pulse energies to the millijoule level, which is within the reach of current terahertz-generation methods.

At timings off from the optimum acceleration, the electrons experienced strong temporal gradients of the E field resulting in large energy spreads (Fig. 2a). At the zero crossing of the field, the gradient is maximized and the electrons see symmetric acceleration and deceleration but no net energy gain. In this mode, the E field imparts a temporally varying energy or ‘chirp’ resulting in a velocity gradient that causes either compression or stretching (depending on the sign of the gradient) of the electron bunch as it propagates. This technique, known as ‘velocity bunching’, is an ideal application of terahertz technology, as the submillimetre-scale gradients allow bunch compression down to the femtosecond range. To test this concept, the applied terahertz energy was varied and a second STEAM device (‘streaker’) acting as a streak camera (described in the next section) was added to measure the bunch temporal profile at a point 200 mm downstream of the first device (‘buncher’).

Figure 4a shows the electron bunch temporal profiles measured at the streaker for various field strengths applied to the buncher. The initial decrease in bunch duration with increasing field confirms that the electrons arrive at the buncher with a space–charge-induced energy chirp. A minimum duration of ~100 fs full width at half maximum (FWHM) was achieved, after which the duration increases again (Fig. 4b), implying that for high fields, the electrons temporally focus before the streaker and are overcompressed by the time they are measured. The minimum bunch duration can thus be reduced by using stronger fields and a shorter propagation distance as shown by the simulation in Supplementary Fig. 7. As observed on the MCP detector (Fig. 4b, inset), a good e-beam profile is maintained at the optimal compressed condition. Figure 4c shows the evolution of the bunch duration with distance simulated for the minimum bunch duration case. The phase–space distributions in the insets show the reversal of the velocity correlation by the buncher and the eventual compression at the streaker location.
Fig. 5 | Terahertz lens for electron pulse focusing and defocusing. a, b. Measured transverse electron beam size at the MCP as a function of terahertz field for electron pulse focusing (a) and defocusing (b). This demonstration was performed with the Yb:KYW laser and a bunch charge of -1fC. Insets: spatial profiles of initial and focused and defocused electron beams on the MCP detector. The colour bars show the number of counts on the detector. c, d. Computed spatial B field distributions of the focusing (c) and defocusing (d) fields. Simulation was performed with -2 x 6 μJ THz radiation and a beam diameter of 3 mm.

By placing the electrons at the zero crossing in the electric mode (corresponding to the maximum B field rotating around the interaction region), the STEAM device can also operate as a focusing or defocusing element, as can be seen by the horizontal spreading of the beam profile in Fig. 2c. Due to the strong terahertz field that leads to over-focusing at the fixed MCP position, both focusing and defocusing schemes are observed here as an increase of the beam size. This focusing effect is a consequence of the well-known Panofsky–Wenzel theorem, which uses Gauss's law to show that longitudinal compressing and decompressing fields must be accompanied by transverse defocusing and focusing fields, respectively. The B field always cancels at the interaction point, while it still has a time-varying transverse distribution in the antinode region that contributes to the defocusing and focusing (illustrated in Fig. 5c,d).

The focusing was tested by monitoring the beam spatial profile at the MCP for varying terahertz pulse energies. Figure 5a shows the results for the focusing configuration, which corresponded to the longitudinal decompression condition. At best, the electron beam diameter was reduced by 2x compared with its input value. For higher field strengths, however, the device focal length became shorter than the 180 mm distance to the MCP, causing the measured beam size to increase again. Similar to photon beams, a focusing optic with higher focusing power results in a smaller beam at focus, provided that the input beam size is constant (see Supplementary Information). The defocusing configuration is obtained by shifting the electron timing to the longitudinal compression condition, which occurs at an adjacent zero crossing of opposite sign. In this case, the electron beam diameter increases monotonically with the terahertz field (Fig. 5b), as expected. For both cases, the focusing performance is significantly beyond what is offered by conventional electrostatic and proposed dielectric focusing structures and is comparable to those of plasma lenses. Peak focusing gradients of >2kT m⁻¹ were calculated based on ~2 x 6 μJ of coupled terahertz energy. A small (less than a factor of two) asymmetry is noticeable for the focusing strengths in the horizontal and vertical planes. This asymmetry is due to the asymmetry of the interaction region, which leads to stronger gradients in the vertical direction (Fig. 5c,d).

Fig. 6 | Terahertz streak camera. a. Measured images of the e beam on the MCP detector with and without the terahertz deflection field. b. Time-dependent deflection diagrams measured by varying the delay between the arrival time of the electron bunch and the deflecting terahertz pulse for initially compressed and uncompressed electron bunches. This demonstration was performed with the Yb:KYW laser and a bunch charge of -1fC, except for the maximum streaked beam (a), where a bunch charge of -10fC was used to obtain a long pulse for demonstration. Deflectograms using the Yb:YLF laser can be found in the Supplementary Information showing more than 70 mrad deflection.
Magnetic mode

In the magnetic mode, the relative timing of the terahertz fields is different from that of the electric mode by a half period, resulting in reinforcement of the magnetic and cancellation of the electric fields at the interaction region. In this configuration, electron acceleration is minimized (Fig. 2b), and the $B$ field dominates the interaction causing a transverse deflection of the $e$ beam that depends on the terahertz phase at the interaction (Fig. 2d). When electrons sweep the positive cycle of the $B$ field, the deflection is maximized and the beam profile is also best preserved. In this mode, $e$ beams can be precisely steered (Fig. 2e, top and bottom beams) by varying the terahertz pulse energy. Here, we achieved continuous control of the beam angle over a range of 70 mrad, which was limited by the aperture of the device (Supplementary Fig. 6). Increasing the aperture enables greater range at the cost of a weaker deflection field, as the field confinement is affected.

Electrons sweeping the zero-crossing cycle of the terahertz $B$ field, however, experience a strong deflection as a function of delay time enabling the measurement of the temporal bunch profiles of very short bunches by mapping (or ‘streaking’) them onto the spatial dimension of a detector. To test this concept, a first STEAM device was used in compression mode (as described above) to provide electrons of varying bunch durations at a second, downstream STEAM device, which analysed the temporal profiles by streaking. Figure 6a shows raw images of a temporally long $e$ beam with the terahertz streaking field switched on and off. streaking ‘deflectograms’, generated by plotting a lineout of the spatial charge distribution along the streaking dimension as a function of delay relative to the terahertz field, are shown in Fig. 6b for compressed and uncompressed electron bunches. The degree of streaking, indicated by the vertical extent of the deflectogram, depends clearly on the bunch duration and on the phase of the terahertz field, as expected. For a terahertz energy of $\sim 2 \times 6 \mu J$ coupled into the device, a maximum deflection rate of $> 140 \text{ mrad/}fs^2$ was achieved (Supplementary Fig. 7), corresponding to a temporal resolution below 10 fs. The resolution was limited here by the 350 μm size of the unsteaked bunch. These results represent a new record in terahertz-based streaking gradient as well as the use of terahertz $B$ fields for deflection and streaking.

Conclusions and outlook

We have demonstrated a novel segmented terahertz electron accelerator and manipulator setting new records in terahertz acceleration, streaking and focusing with a very compact device. The segmented structure makes it possible to phase match the electron–terahertz interaction for non-relativistic beams, making it ideal for use as a high-gradient photocathode laser with RF-oscillator for ultrafast electron and X-ray sources. The independent control over the counter-propagating terahertz pulse timing gives the STEAM device the ability to switch dynamically between acceleration, compression, focusing, deflection and streaking modes. As has been theoretically shown in ref. 24, the use of terahertz pulses also brings other advantages, including negligible heat loads, high repetition rates and compactness while still supporting substantial charge in the picocoulomb regime. Furthermore, the three-layer structure demonstrated in this study indicates the path forward towards relativistic electron energies by staging more layers for higher operation efficiency.

Using only $\sim 2 \times 6 \mu J$ of terahertz energy, the STEAM device has demonstrated peak acceleration gradients of 70 MV m$^{-1}$, compression of a bunch from over 1 ps to 100 fs, focusing strength of $\sim 2 \text{ kT m}^{-1}$ and streaking gradients of $> 140 \text{ mrad/}fs^2$, leading to a temporal resolution below 10 fs. By scaling to millijoule-level terahertz energies, which are already available in some terahertz wavelength ranges, the field strengths in the device can be increased by over an order of magnitude, far exceeding those of conventional radiofrequency devices. The exceptional performance and compactness of this terahertz-based device makes it very attractive for pursuing electron sources, such as ultrafast electron diffractometers, that operate in the few- and subfemtosecond range necessary for probing the fastest material dynamics. In the pursuit of these sources, the demand is increasing for compact, high-gradient diagnostics and beam manipulation devices for novel and conventional accelerator platforms alike. In large-scale facilities, such as the European X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL), the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) or the Swiss free-electron laser (SwissFEL), the STEAM devices can be used to add new, powerful and adaptable capabilities without major and therefore costly restructuring of the machine. More significant are the advantages in terms of cost and accessibility that come from using STEAM devices as the core components of an all-terahertz-powered compact, high-gradient accelerator with the ability to produce high-quality, controllable bunches of femtosecond or attosecond duration on a table top. The results presented here are a step in demonstrating the feasibility of that vision.
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Methods

Laser systems. Experiments were performed both with a 550 fs, 4 mJ, 1,030 nm Yb:KYW laser operating at 1 kHz, to demonstrate high repetition rates, as well as with a 1.1 ps, 40 mJ, 1,020 nm Yb:YLF laser operating at 10 Hz, to demonstrate high peak accelerations. Using these systems, terahertz pulses with a centre frequency of 0.3 THz were generated (Fig. 1, bottom right inset) by the well-established tilted pulse-front method\textsuperscript{15} from a LiNbO\textsubscript{3} crystal, resulting in $2 \times 2 \mu J$ pulses and $2 \times 30 \mu J$ from the Yb:KYW and Yb:YLF laser systems, respectively. Substantial losses due to transport and coupling of the terahertz beam, however, resulted in pulse energies at the interaction region of only $2 \times 0.5 \mu J$ and $2 \times 6 \mu J$ for the Yb:KYW and Yb:YLF lasers, respectively. The beam diameter at focus was measured to be 3 mm. This diameter was also used for the corresponding simulations.

STEAM device dimensions. The STEAM device was designed with three layers of thickness $h = [0.225, 0.225, 0.250]$ mm and with dielectric slabs in the second and third layers made of fused silica ($\varepsilon_r = 4.41$, where $\varepsilon_r$ is permittivity) and of length $L = [0.42, 0.84]$ mm. The entrance and exit apertures were 120 $\mu$m diameter. Further design parameters for the structure are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1.

Code availability. The code used in this paper is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.