Demographic factors associated with length of stay in hospital and histological diagnosis in adults undergoing appendicectomy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Appendicectomy remains of the most common emergency operations in the United Kingdom. The exact etiologies of appendicitis remain unclear with only potential causes suggested in the literature. Social deprivation and ethnicity have both been demonstrated to influence outcomes following many operations. There are currently no studies evaluating their roles with regards to severity and outcomes following appendicectomy.

Material and Methods: Demographic data were retrieved from health records for adult patients who underwent appendicectomy between 2010-2016 within a single NHS trust. To measure social deprivation, Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) rankings were used. Histology reports were reviewed and diagnosis classified into predefined categories: non-inflamed appendix, uncomplicated appendicitis, complicated appendicitis and gangrenous appendicitis.

Results: Three thousand four hundred and forty-four patients were identified. Mean age was 37.8 years (range 7 years). Using a generalized linear model, South Asian ethnicity specifically was found to be independently predictive of increased length of stay following appendicectomy (p< 0.001). Amongst South Asian patients, social deprivation was found to be further predictive of longer hospital stay (p= 0.005). Deprivation was found to be a predictor of complicated appendicitis but not of gangrenous appendicitis (p= 0.01). Male gender and age were also independent predictors of positive histology for appendicitis (p< 0.001 and p= 0.021 respectively).

Conclusion: This study is the first to report an independent association between South Asian ethnicity and increased length of stay for patients undergoing appendicectomy in a single NHS trust. The associations reported in this study may be a result of differences in the pathophysiology of acute appendicitis or represent inequalities in healthcare provision across ethnic and socioeconomic groups.
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INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis is one of the most common but also one of the most challenging acute surgical diagnoses (1). Despite an ongoing debate of conservative versus surgical management, appendicectomy remains one of the most frequently performed emergency surgical procedures in the world.

The wide differential diagnosis of acute lower abdominal pain often results in equivocal diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Whilst risk scoring systems have been developed that utilize a mixture of clinical and biochemical parameters (2-4), these are poorly implemented particularly in the United Kingdom. Due to a lack of validation of these scoring systems, a strong belief remains among practitioners that the decision to investigate invasively and consider operative management is best left to the judgement of the surgeon (5). The difficulties in clinical diagnosis of appendicitis, which are not purely investigation related, can therefore result in variability in the delivery of treatment and a potential subsequent variation in outcome.

Socioeconomic deprivation has been shown to be associated with increased length of stay in a variety of admissions, such as for coronary artery bypass and hip surgery (6,7). This is also supported by various national economic studies that suggest that lower income groups utilize more healthcare facilities, potentially due to later presentation to health professionals and as a result being more acutely unwell at first healthcare contact (8). This association has been reported to hold across pri-
mary care services, emergency departments and tertiary care centers (9-11).

The continued refinement of modern acute surgical services and the ongoing uptake of laparoscopic surgery for even severe appendicitis has led to shortened length of stay in hospital (12). These improvements in care should apply to patients of all ages and ethnicities and across all socioeconomic classes. However, evidence from centers around the world exists for differences between socioeconomic groups in both postoperative recovery and length of stay. An 11-year national analysis carried out in Taiwan demonstrated longer length of stay and increased costs in patients undergoing appendicectomy who belonged to lower socioeconomic groups (13). Despite ongoing debate on the etiology of appendicitis with many studies published on appendicitis pathology (14), there remains a paucity of research investigating the impact of social deprivation on outcomes following surgery for acute appendicitis.

Although differential incidence rates of appendicitis based on ethnic origin have been previously suggested, no direct associations have been proven definitively (15). Investigating the influence of ethnicity on the risk of developing acute appendicitis remains challenging as many other factors may be confounding such as social deprivation, cultural attitudes and biological factors that have yet to be elucidated.

The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between demographic factors (including ethnicity and social deprivation) and length of stay in hospital and the final histological diagnosis in patients who had undergone appendicectomy in a single NHS trust.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Data Source

All patients aged 17 years or older who underwent appendicectomy between 2010 and 2016 at any of the three hospitals within a single UK NHS Foundation trust in the West Midlands were included. The study was registered with the relevant NHS organisation’s governance department as a clinical audit, therefore an NHS Research Ethics Committee review was not required. Data were extracted from electronic health records. Variables collected included date of birth, gender, ethnicity, residential postcode, admission date, discharge date and histological diagnosis.

Variables Used

Due to significant heterogeneity in the clinical coding of ethnicity, patients were reclassified into one of a set of nine ethnic categories: African, Asian (east/south/other), Caribbean, Caucasian, mixed, other or unknown.

Residential postcodes were used to obtain each patient’s corresponding ranking score based on the 2015 Indices of Multiple Deprivation. This is a multi-domain score generally regarded as the best measure of social deprivation available in the UK (16). As an indication, Birmingham ranked as the 6th most deprived Core City Local Authority at the time the indices were derived. Furthermore, areas within both the top and bottom deciles of deprivation were present within the catchment area of the NHS trust investigated in this study.

Admission and discharge dates were used to calculate each patient’s length of stay; this was rounded up and measured in whole calendar days.

Histology reports were reviewed for any macroscopic or microscopic confirmation of inflammation within the appendix. A modified version of the classification system for appendicitis previously described by Carr was used (17). Diagnoses were categorized into one of four groups: (i) normal or non-inflamed appendix, (ii) ‘uncomplicated appendicitis’ - inflamed appendix with or without suppuration, (iii) ‘complicated appendicitis’ - evidence of perforation, necrosis or both arising from the appendix, (iv) ‘gangrenous appendicitis’ - evidence of gangrene within the appendix with or without perforation. Where histological analysis gave an alternative diagnosis such as a neuroendocrine tumor or inflammatory bowel disease, these were categorized as a non-inflamed appendix. This enabled us to correlate demographic variables against histological evidence of appendicitis and not other diseases, as well as ensure maximal inclusion of patients in the analysis.

Statistical Analyses

The predictive potential of the demographic variables collected against length of stay in hospital was investigated using a generalized linear model. For histological diagnosis, a nominal variable, multinomial logistic regression was used. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.5.1 ‘Feather Spray’ (18).

RESULTS

3444 patients were included in the study (Table 1). There were 1675 female patients (48.76%) and 1769 male patients (51.24%). There was no significant difference in the sexual distribution (Chi-squared test, p = 0.2573). The average age for the whole cohort of patients was 37.8 years (range 73 years), however as expected there were a higher number of younger patients amongst both genders.

Distribution of the included patients across the defined ethnic categories used in this study can be found in Table 1. The two most prevalent categories were Caucasian (72.82%) and South Asian (12.70%). The ethnic categories with the highest mean IMD rankings and therefore the most socioeconomically deprived was African and South Asian, whereas the least deprived ethnic categories were East Asian and Caucasian (Table 2).

The total mean length of stay in hospital for all included patients was 4.33 days (SD 5.9 days); however, amongst older pa-
Length of Stay

A generalized linear model was created to test the associations of gender, ethnicity, and deprivation against length of stay (Table 4). Due to a potential confounding association between ethnicity and deprivation, an interaction term was included for these two variables. South Asian ethnicity was found to be the most significant predictor of increased length of stay in hospital (Z-value = 26.448, p < 0.001). Sex and social deprivation were not statistically significant independent predictors of increased length of stay. However, when interaction terms between deprivation and each of the ethnicities were considered, it was found that South Asian ethnicity was found to be independently associated with an increased length of stay in hospital (Z-value = 26.448, p < 0.001). This association was to be expected, as South Asian ethnicity was found to be independently associated with an increased length of stay in hospital (Z-value = 26.448, p < 0.001). Sex and social deprivation were not statistically significant independent predictors of increased length of stay.

Table 1. Summary table of sex, mean length of stay in days (LOS) and ethnic distribution by age group

| Age Group (y) | Female, n (%) | Male, n (%) | Mean LOS (range) | Ethnic Category, n (%) |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|
|               | African       | Asian (East) | Asian (Other)    | Asian (South)   | Caribbean | Caucasian | Mixed | Other | Unknown |
| 17-27         | 628 (50.00)   | 628 (50.00)  | 2.97 (0-93)      | 16 (1.27)       | 5 (0.40)  | 13 (1.04) | 189 (15.05) | 18 (1.43) | 909 (72.37) | 9 (0.72) | 41 (3.26) | 56 (4.46) | 1256 |
| 28-37         | 353 (47.70)   | 387 (52.30)  | 3.04 (0-26)      | 11 (1.49)       | 5 (0.68)  | 8 (1.08)  | 128 (17.30) | 16 (2.16) | 471 (63.65) | 5 (0.68) | 50 (6.76) | 46 (6.22) | 740  |
| 38-47         | 236 (45.30)   | 285 (54.70)  | 3.57 (0-24)      | 9 (1.73)        | 3 (0.58)  | 4 (0.77)  | 71 (13.63)  | 15 (2.88) | 355 (68.14) | 1 (0.19) | 21 (4.03) | 42 (8.06) | 521  |
| 48-57         | 195 (50.39)   | 192 (49.61)  | 4.48 (0-47)      | 5 (1.29)        | 1 (0.26)  | 0 (0.00)  | 24 (6.20)   | 10 (2.58) | 303 (78.29) | 1 (0.26) | 10 (2.58) | 33 (8.53) | 387  |
| 58-67         | 130 (49.43)   | 133 (50.57)  | 6.81 (0-74)      | 2 (0.76)        | 1 (0.38)  | 2 (0.76)  | 13 (4.94)   | 2 (0.76)  | 221 (84.03) | 0 (0.00) | 2 (0.76)  | 20 (7.60) | 263  |
| 68-77         | 96 (49.48)    | 98 (50.52)   | 9.07 (0-85)      | 3 (1.53)        | 0 (0.00)  | 0 (0.00)  | 9 (4.64)    | 2 (1.03)  | 172 (88.66) | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00)  | 8 (4.12)  | 194  |
| 77-87         | 33 (42.31)    | 45 (57.69)   | 10.94 (0-65)     | 0 (0.00)        | 0 (0.00)  | 0 (0.00)  | 2 (2.56)    | 1 (1.28)  | 70 (89.74)  | 0 (0.00) | 1 (1.28)  | 4 (5.13)  | 78   |
| 88+           | 4 (80.00)     | 1 (20.00)    | 22.80 (2-69)     | 0 (0.00)        | 0 (0.00)  | 0 (0.00)  | 0 (0.00)    | 0 (0.00)  | 5 (100)     | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00)  | 0 (0.00)  | 5    |
| Total         | 1675 (48.64)  | 1769 (51.36) | 4.09 (0-93)      | 46 (1.34)       | 15 (0.44) | 27 (0.78) | 436 (1.26)  | 64 (1.86) | 2506 (72.76) | 16 (0.46) | 125 (3.63) | 209 (6.07) | 3444 |

Table 2. Summary of indices of multiple deprivation rankings (MDR) for all ethnic categories

| Ethnicity | Total MDR Rank (Range) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| African   | 12825 (105-32737)      |
| Asian     | 6461 (105-28355)       |
| Caribbean | 80697 (180-32689)      |
| Caucasian | 145312 (62-38289)      |
| Mixed     | 391300 (105-31969)     |
| Other     | 165374 (765-31969)     |
| Unknown   | 58914 (105-31969)      |
2.841, p = 0.005). This suggests that although social deprivation is not a predictor of longer hospital stay in all patients, it may influence the admission duration of South Asian patients specifically.

**Histological Diagnosis**

The histological diagnoses found in each ethnic category are shown in Table 5. From a multinomial logistic regression analysis with histological diagnostic category as the dependent variable and a non-inflamed appendix as the reference outcome, it was found that IMD rank was independently predictive of having specifically ‘complicated’ appendicitis following appendicectomy (p = 0.01), but was not associated with having any evidence of gangrene on histology (p = 0.68). Therefore, the results of this study suggest that being more socioeconomically deprived results in a greater likelihood of having necrosis with or without perforation reported on histology but not gangrene.

Age was found to be a significant predictor of having confirmed appendicitis compared to having a histologically non-inflamed
appendix (p= 0.021), but no apparent difference in odds ratios was found in the prediction of complicated or gangrenous appendicitis based on age. Similarly, male sex was found to be significantly associated with having confirmed appendicitis (p< 0.001 in all 3 categories) compared to a histologically non-inflamed appendix, but much like age did not appear to predict complicated or gangrenous appendicitis. Any associations between any of the ethnic categories with any category of appendicitis did not hold when interacted with IMD ranking; these associations were therefore deemed not independent and so their statistical significance was ignored.

Table 4. Generalized linear model coefficients of index of multiple deprivation ranking (IMD Rank), ethnic category, age and interaction terms of deprivation ranking and ethnic category with length of stay as the dependent variable

|                          | Coefficient | Standard Error | Z-value | p        |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)              | -4.203 x 10^{-1} | 9.684 x 10^{-2} | 4.34    | <0.001   |
| IMD Rank                 | -4.168 x 10^{-6} | 9.467 x 10^{-6} | -0.44   | 0.660    |
| Ethnicity-Asian (East)   | 1.899 x 10^{-1} | 2.599 x 10^{-1} | 0.731   | 0.465    |
| Ethnicity-Asian (Other)  | -2.797 x 10^{-1} | 1.871 x 10^{-1} | -1.495  | 0.135    |
| Ethnicity-Asian (South)  | 3.431 x 10^{-1} | 9.867 x 10^{-2} | 3.478   | <0.001   |
| Ethnicity-Caribbean      | 1.007 x 10^{-1} | 1.272 x 10^{-1} | 0.791   | 0.429    |
| Ethnicity-Caucasian      | 1.709 x 10^{-1} | 9.615 x 10^{-2} | 1.778   | 0.075    |
| Ethnicity-Mixed          | -1.471 x 10^{-1} | 2.141 x 10^{-1} | -0.687  | 0.492    |
| Ethnicity-Other          | 3.472 x 10^{-1} | 1.133 x 10^{-1} | 3.064   | 0.002    |
| Ethnicity-Unknown        | 7.501 x 10^{-2} | 1.088 x 10^{-1} | 0.689   | 0.491    |
| Sex (Male)               | -3.605 x 10^{-2} | 1.651 x 10^{-2} | -2.183  | 0.029    |
| Age                      | 2.329 x 10^{-2} | 4.441 x 10^{-4} | 52.448  | <0.001   |
| IMD Rank x Asian (East)  | -2.139 x 10^{-6} | 1.48 x 10^{-5} | -0.144  | 0.885    |
| IMD Rank x Asian (Other) | 4.891 x 10^{-6} | 1.633 x 10^{-6} | 0.3     | 0.765    |
| IMD Rank x Asian (South) | 2.751 x 10^{-6} | 9.682 x 10^{-6} | 2.841   | 0.005    |
| IMD Rank x Caribbean     | -8.689 x 10^{-8} | 1.209 x 10^{-6} | -0.007  | 0.994    |
| IMD Rank x Caucasian     | -5.77 x 10^{-6} | 9.512 x 10^{-6} | -0.607  | 0.544    |
| IMD Rank x Mixed         | 4.06 x 10^{-5} | 1.563 x 10^{-5} | 2.597   | 0.009    |
| IMD Rank x Other         | -1.775 x 10^{-5} | 1.116 x 10^{-5} | -1.591  | 0.112    |
| IMD Rank x Unknown       | -5.962 x 10^{-6} | 9.999 x 10^{-6} | -0.596  | 0.551    |

(Note: coefficients and standard errors are very small as length of stay is a numerically low value).

Table 5. Distribution of histological diagnostic categories by ethnic category

| Ethnic Category | 1 - Non-inflamed Appendix | 2 - Uncomplicated Appendicitis | 3 - Complicated Appendicitis | 4 - Gangrenous Appendicitis | Total |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
|                 | n | %     | n | %     | n | %     | n | %     |
| African         | 11 | 23.91% | 26 | 56.52% | 4 | 8.70% | 5 | 10.87% | 46   |
| Asian (East)    | 4  | 26.67% | 7  | 46.67% | 3 | 20.00%| 1 | 6.67%  | 15   |
| Asian (Other)   | 6  | 22.22% | 14 | 51.85% | 7 | 25.93%| 0 | 0.00%  | 27   |
| Asian (South)   | 117 | 26.83%| 251| 57.57%| 48 | 11.01%| 20 | 4.59%  | 436  |
| Caribbean       | 19 | 29.69% | 30 | 46.88% | 9 | 14.06%| 6 | 9.38%  | 64   |
| Caucasian       | 738| 29.45%| 1340| 53.47%| 264| 10.53%| 164| 6.54%  | 2506 |
| Mixed           | 6  | 37.50% | 9  | 56.25% | 1  | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00%  | 16   |
| Other           | 32 | 25.60% | 67 | 53.60% | 17 | 13.60%| 9  | 7.20%  | 125  |
| Unknown         | 44 | 21.05% | 129| 61.72% | 27 | 12.92%| 9  | 4.31%  | 209  |
| Total           | 977| 28.37%| 1873| 54.38%| 380| 11.03%| 214| 6.21%  | 3444 |
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DISCUSSION
This study is the first analysis to suggest that South Asian ethnicity appears to be independently associated with increased length of stay in admissions for operative management of acute appendicitis. In addition, amongst South Asian patients, social deprivation was found to be associated with increased length of stay. This study is the first to investigate possible associations between demographic variables and histological evaluation post-appendicectomy. Social deprivation was found to be associated with a greater likelihood of observing necrosis with or without perforation on histological analysis, but did not predict the presence of gangrene. In keeping with previously published datasets, male gender and age remain strong independent predictors of positive histology for appendicitis following appendicectomy. Finally, we report a negative appendicectomy rate of 27.8% over 7 years in this single center analysis, marginally higher than the historically acceptable rate of 15-25% (19).

Role of Ethnicity
The catchment area of the NHS trust investigated in this study included significant areas with large non-Caucasian communities with and without social deprivation. Also included were municipalities with largely Caucasian populations, again with varying levels of deprivation. The sample of patients obtained overall therefore was felt to be sufficiently representative of the UK population.

Diagnostic delay may lead to either or both of increased length of stay in hospital and prolonged postoperative recovery. Cultural differences between ethnicities may affect presentation behaviors and pathways to secondary care and attitudes to emergency surgery (20). Where language barriers exist between patients and healthcare professionals, the reporting of symptoms may be affected sufficiently to lead to delays in action being taken or misunderstandings regarding severity of symptoms leading to potential overtreatment. As these factors can either prolong or shorten admission duration, the data collected and analyses performed in this study are unable to differentiate whether any of them are independently important factors.

Nonetheless, the specific associations between South Asian ethnicity both alone and in combination with deprivation are surprising, particularly given that the center studied is known to have a significant South Asian population. This ethnic category in particular may have certain perioperative risk factors that may affect recovery from appendicectomy such as diabetes, obesity and cardiovascular disease. However, this may not explain the observations from this study fully given the major proportion of younger patients included, who would be expected to have minimal co-morbidities. Although not fully elucidated, the etiology of acute appendicitis may have a more severe etiology in South Asian patients-the exact reasons for this are unclear. However, no significant association was found between South Asian ethnicity and a histological diagnosis of more complicated appendicitis.

Overall, whilst an association between South Asian ethnicity and prolonged length of stay for admissions with appendicectomy has been observed in this study, no definitive conclusions can be made as to reasons why this is the case. Some of these reasons, to name a few, may be a disparity in health-related knowledge between South Asians and other ethnicities, increased prevalence of certain co-morbidities (e.g., type 2 diabetes), cultural differences in attitudes to healthcare in the perioperative period (21), differences in the pharmacokinetics of antibiotics and analgesics (22), measurement of symptoms using methods that are less appropriate for certain ethnicities (e.g., pain scales not being entirely holistic). Furthermore, the causes for South Asians having increased length of stay may be different geographically and vary by local health economy.

Role of Social Deprivation
Associations between social deprivation and longer admission duration have been observed elsewhere in the literature and in different clinical settings (6,7). With a relationship only seen in South Asian patients, this study is the first to suggest an increased length of stay due to deprivation amongst a relatively specific demographic group in a single acute NHS trust. In addition, this study is the first in general to be able to provide potential insights into the influence of social deprivation on length of stay across different ethnic categories following emergency surgery.

There may be numerous reasons for longer stays in hospital amongst the more deprived population. These may include different thresholds for patients to present themselves acutely, differences in routes of presentation either via primary or directly to secondary care and differences in health education and awareness regarding the nature of acute medical issues. Different attitudes to surgery could lead to differences between socioeconomic groups in potential attempts at non-operative management—for example there may be preferences amongst certain groups not to have surgery and therefore trial antibiotics first. Finally, there may be ethnic or cultural barriers to timely discharge from hospital following surgery, such as availability of care at home or in social care (23).

The observation that increased social deprivation raises the likelihood of normal histology following appendicectomy may be due to a vast array of factors. A key factor influencing histology will be the preferences of operating surgeons regarding the removal of the macroscopically normal ‘lily-white’ appendix. If there is a preference to perform an appendicectomy even when laparoscopy is negative for acute appendicitis, the pro-
portion of histopathology results reporting a non-inflamed appendix will be increased independent of any patient factors. For the three hospitals investigated in this study, the departmental policy was that if acute appendicitis was deemed the most likely diagnosis preoperatively and no other pathology to explain the patient’s symptoms was identified on laparoscopy, appendicectomy was justifiable. No data regarding the macroscopic appearance of the appendix at the time of surgery was collected in this study, which precluded any investigation into surgeon-based influences on the study results. However, the data obtained in this study allowed a pragmatic investigation into histological outcomes following appendicectomy.

Despite the lack of high-level evidence in the form of randomized trials or meta-analyses, there appears to be a growing international consensus that during surgery for suspected acute appendicitis, a macroscopically normal appendix should be removed (24). Nevertheless, heterogeneity in management of the normal appendix means that patient factors affecting histological diagnosis will always remain difficult to investigate from observational studies in particular. Data from this study suggest an increased likelihood of negative histology amongst patients who are more socioeconomically deprived. Any further studies investigating demographic factors and histological outcomes following appendicectomy will need to remain pragmatic in order to accommodate differences in operative management of acute appendicitis.

Finally, many of the possible reasons for the observed influence of social deprivation and histological outcome overlap with previously mentioned factors affecting length of stay such as pathways to the operating theatre, health behaviors and attitudes affecting time to presentation from onset of symptoms and availability of healthcare resources, both perioperatively and outside of hospital.

Hospitals should ideally adapt their services according to local health needs. Where certain socioeconomic groups are more populous and with specific healthcare requirements, appropriate services should be established amongst healthcare providers to ensure patients receive the best possible care.

Role of Age & Sex

Despite the increased incidence of appendicitis in younger male patients, it has previously been reported that female patients undergo twice as many appendicectomies (25). Our analysis reported a higher rate of positive histology for appendicitis in male patients. The differential diagnosis of right-sided lower abdominal pain is much wider in the young female patient, with consideration required towards potential gynecological causes of abdominal pain. Furthermore in younger patients, there may be a greater likelihood for the operating surgeon to perform a ‘prophylactic appendicectomy’ in which a normal appendix is removed in order to minimize the risk of appendicitis at a later time (26).

In addition, the uptake of laparoscopy particularly for both the investigation and management of acute right sided abdominal pain continues to increase and is now becoming the standard of care for the operative management of appendicitis. Combined with pressures to increase the throughput of emergency surgical services in the NHS, the threshold for laparoscopy may be decreasing and therefore partially explain higher negative appendicectomy rates seen in some centers such as the setting of this study. However, continuing variations in practice amongst surgeons makes this a difficult conclusion to make definitively.

Also of importance is the common practice in the UK of confirming acute appendicitis radiologically in older patients before proceeding to surgery, irrespective of gender. Although not stipulated in any published UK guidelines, most patients of more advanced age undergo cross sectional imaging in order to rule out cecal pathologies such as right sided colonic malignancy before deciding on operative management. This results in a significantly lower negative appendicectomy rate within the elderly cohort.

The role of age and gender in the management of undifferentiated right lower quadrant pain was investigated as part of the UK Right Iliac Fossa Treatment (RIFT) audit (27). This cross-sectional snapshot audit took place more recently than the period of this observational study and across a more generalizable population. Prior to moving forward with further research into the age and sex associations suggested by this study, there would be utility in establishing whether a more national investigation such as the RIFT study corroborates the findings of this single center longitudinal analysis.

Study Limitations

A key limitation of this study was that data were only collected from patients who underwent appendicectomy. Patients who were successfully treated non-operatively or who presented to a healthcare professional with symptoms suggestive of appendicitis, but never reached the care of an acute surgical team, were not included.

Length of stay was measured in whole calendar days between the admission and discharge date, rounded up where required. There is potential therefore for the artificial increase in length of stay by one day for patients who underwent surgery overnight. Nonetheless, whilst this may affect the applicability of length of stay as an absolute measure when comparing this study’s dataset with that of another study, the associations observed should be unaffected as this potential systematic error would apply to all of the study population.
The reclassification of patients into one of nine predetermined ethnic categories due to such a significant heterogeneity in initial ethnicity coding resulted in a loss of more detailed information about patient’s nationalities. Younger patients in particular are more likely to have been born in the UK and therefore potentially not have the same risk factors as those from their family’s country of origin.

Although the study period was seven years, only the Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 was used. New indices of multiple deprivation are not released annually however and although social deprivation is evidently difficult to quantify, the Index of Multiple Deprivation scores are considered the best method available in the UK (16). Nonetheless, measuring socioeconomic deprivation in younger patients is more difficult as some of the variables used in determining their IMD score are either not applicable to them or may not pertain to their primary residence. A typical example of this would apply to a university student who should not necessarily be classed as unemployed with no income and in addition may not normally reside in the catchment area of the studied hospital.

In this study, a negative appendicectomy was regarded as the absence of inflammation of the appendix as stated on the histopathology report, irrespective of the final diagnosis. Non-inflammatory but nonetheless pathological findings on histology were therefore regarded as normal. These included diagnoses such as neuroendocrine tumors, Meckel’s diverticulitis with a non-inflamed appendix and parasitic infection without inflammation. The effects of these pathologies on length of stay in hospital and their different incidences across demographic groups may affect the results of this study. However, these pathologies are all rare and would likely not affect a sufficiently large sample size significantly.

CONCLUSION

Overall, this retrospective longitudinal analysis is the first to highlight South Asian patients as a particular demographic group at risk of increased length of stay for an admission with appendicectomy. The differential effect of social deprivation across ethnicities observed in this study has not been reported in other studies investigating the patient pathway for acute appendicitis.

Further population-based research is required in order to investigate possible causative factors that cannot be proven conclusively from observational data, both locally to establish potential region-specific inequalities and in other areas to ascertain whether similar associations are seen.

Finally, we report a higher negative appendicectomy rate amongst young female patients compared to their male counterparts, most likely due to differences in diagnostic difficulty between these two groups and surgeon specific preferences on the operative management of a normal appearing appendix.
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Appendektomi uygulanan yetişkin hastalarda hastanede kalış süresi ve histolojik tanıya ilişkin demografik faktörler
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ÖZET

Giriş ve Amaç: Appendektomi halen Birleşik Krallık'ta en sık yapılan acil cerrahi müdahalelerden biridir. Literatürde sadece potansiyel sebepleri belirtilirken apandisitin tam etiyolojisi halen bilinmemektedir. Birçok cerrahi müdahale sonrasında sonuçları etkileyen iki mekanizma olarak düşük sosyal deney ve etnik kimlik gösterilmiştir. Apendektomi sonrası bu mekanizmaların rolünün ciddiyetini ve sonuçlarını betimleyen herhangi bir çalışma yoktur.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Tek bir Ulusal Sağlık Hizmeti bölgesi içerisinde 2010-2016 arasında appendektomi uygulanan yetişkin hastaların sağlık kayıtlarından demografik bilgilere ulaşıldı. Sosyal yoksunluğu ölçmek için Çoklu Yoksunluk İndeksleri (ÇYİ) sıralamaları kullanıldı. Histoloji raporları gözden geçirildi ve tanı önceden belirlenmiş kategorilere ayrıldı: yangılı olmayan apendiks, komplike olmayan apendisit, komplike apendisit ve gangrenöz apendisit.

Bulgular: Üç bin dört yüz kırk dört hasta belirlendi. Ortalama yaş 37,8 yıl (aralık 73 yıl) idi. Genel lineer bir model kullanarak Güney Asya etnisitinin appendektomi sonrası daha uzun hastanede kalışı bağımsız olarak öngören bir parameter olarak bulundu (p< 0,001). Güney Asyalı hastalar arasında sosyal yoksunluk da uzamış hastanede kalış süresinin yordayıcı olarak bulundu (p= 0,005). Sosyal yoksunluk, gangrenöz apendisitin değil komplike apendisitin yordayıcı olarak bulundu (p= 0,01). Erkek cinsiyeti ve yaş, pozitif apandisit histolojisi için bağımsız yordayıcılar olarak bulundu (sırasıyla p< 0,001 ve p= 0,021).

Sonuç: Bu çalışma, tek bir Ulusal Sağlık Hizmeti bölgesinde appendektomi uygulanan hastalar da Güney Asya etnisitesi ve uzamış hastanede kalış süresi arasında bağımsız bir ilişki bulan ilk çalışmaddir. Bu çalışmada bildirilen ilişkiler akut apendisit patofizyolojisindeki farklılıkların bir sonucu olabilirken etnik ve sosyoekonomik gruplar arasında sağlığa ulaşma eşitsizlikleri de yansıtıyor olabilir.
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