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Abstract

DLL4-mediated NOTCH1 signaling represents an essential pathway for vascular development and has emerged as an attractive target for angiogenesis-based cancer therapies. However, newly reported toxicity findings raise safety concerns of chronic pathway blockade. Lessons learned from the development of γ-secretase inhibitors (GSIs) might offer insights into how to safely harness this important signaling pathway.

DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling pathway in angiogenesis

In metazoans, the evolutionarily conserved NOTCH pathway functions as an essential mechanism to regulate numerous cell fate/lineage decisions during embryogenesis, postnatal development, and in the maintenance of adult tissue homeostasis. NOTCH receptors are normally constrained in a dormant state. Ligand binding exposes the ADAM protease cleavage site that is normally buried within the negative regulatory region (NRR)[1]. Subsequent intramembrane cleavage catalyzed by γ-secretase, a multisubunit protein complex, permits the release of the intracellular portion (NICD) from the cell membrane and its entry into the nucleus where it forms a transcriptional activation complex. In mammals, the NOTCH signaling apparatus consists of four single-pass transmembrane receptors (NOTCH1-4) and at least five membrane-anchored ligands (Jagged1, 2 and Delta-like or DLL1, 3 and 4). Despite the apparent redundancy of multiple NOTCH ligands and receptors expressed in the vascular system, recent studies have revealed that the DLL4-NOTCH1 interaction appears to be the dominant functioning component in the vascular system. DLL4 was initially identified as an endothelium-specific NOTCH ligand [2-5]. Haploinsufficiency of Dll4 in mice results in early embryonic lethality due to severe vascular defects including impaired arteriogenesis, disrupted vascular hierarchy, and enhanced vascular density with reduced vessel caliber [2,6,7]. This uniquely non-redundant role of DLL4 goes beyond early embryonic development. Studies utilizing a DLL4-selective antagonistic antibody demonstrate that DLL4 is also essential for early postnatal vascular development, angiogenesis during pathological wound healing (unpublished observations) and tumor angiogenesis [8]. Compared to DLL4, NOTCH1 is more broadly expressed and targeted disruption of Notch1 results in vascular defects similar to what has been observed with Dll4 deficiency [9,10]. Moreover, results from recent work using paralogue-specific antibodies indicate that NOTCH1 inhibition alone is sufficient to disrupt angiogenesis [11].

Studies in multiple model systems have revealed important insights into the function of DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling in angiogenesis and the underlying mechanism of vascular defects resulting from attenuated DLL4/NOTCH1 activity [11,12]. Excessive angiogenic sprouting, branching and increased endothelial cell proliferation are commonly associated with blockade of DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling. Signaling induced by DLL4 through NOTCH1 causes downregulation of VEGFR2, whereas blocking DLL4 signaling leads to increased expression of VEGFR2 and VEGFR3 [13]. Therefore, DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling is apparently required to restrain the magnitude of response of endothelial cells to angiogenic stimuli. The chaotic angiogenesis resulting from DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade may reflect unrestricted VEGF signaling and disruption of a dynamic balance between tip cells and stalk cells during angiogenic sprouting [14].

Therapeutic promise

The initial speculation of DLL4 signaling involvement in tumor angiogenesis came from expression analyses of DLL4 [12]. Results from a flurry of recent studies confirmed that targeting DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling has a
profound impact on tumor angiogenesis and growth. Pathway blockade was achieved using either a DLL4-selective neutralizing antibody [8,15,16], a NOTCH1-selective antagonistic antibody[11], a soluble DLL4 fusion protein[17,18], or a soluble NOTCH fusion protein[19]. These reagents demonstrated broad and robust anti-tumor activity in a wide variety of tumor models.

While most of the current anti-angiogenesis approaches act through a reduction or elimination of tumor blood vessels, DLL4 blockade results in the formation of a non-functional vasculature incapable of supporting tumor growth (Figure 1). Histological analysis of tumors treated with DLL4/NOTCH1 inhibitors has revealed that the reduced tumor growth is associated with an apparent increase in tumor vascular density. However, labeling with an intravascular tracer has demonstrated these vascular structures to be poorly perfused [8,11,17,18]. Inadequate vascular function was also reflected by the increased hypoxia observed in tumors treated with soluble DLL4 [17]. Two major changes following DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade might contribute to the defective function of the tumor vasculature: impairment of lumen formation and promotion of achaotic vascular network. Impaired lumen formation was described in the aortas of Dll4+/- embryos [2]. Antagonizing DLL4/NOTCH1 also caused a morphological change of endothelial sprouts formed in an in vitro 3D culture system, with the luminal space being absent or greatly reduced [8]. Conceivably, a similar change in tumor vessels may lead to a reduction in vessel lumen size that is incompatible with the passage of red blood cells. Indeed, tumor vascular histology and imaging studies have revealed a shift to smaller vessel calibers and inefficient blood flow in anti-DLL4 treated tumors [20] (unpublished observations). Since inhibition of DLL4 leads to excessive branching of tumor vessels, the other plausible cause of inefficient blood flow could be the manifestation of a chaotic vascular network lacking a functional hierarchy. DLL4 blockade could worsen the already impaired vascular communication in the tumor microvascular network and lead to exacerbated functional shunting, a suspected primary cause of dysfunctional microcirculation and local hypoxia in cancer [21].

In addition to tumor studies using anti-DLL4 as a single agent, additive anti-tumor activity was observed in combination with anti-VEGF therapy in a majority of tested tumor models. Since angiogenic sprouting after DLL4 blockade remains a VEGF-dependent process [8], DLL4 inhibition may increase the dependency of the tumor microvasculature on a VEGF-mediated survival signal. In anti-DLL4 treated neonatal mouse retinas, there was a defect in arteriogenesis with a complete absence of pericyte coverage of the retinal vessels [8]. Soluble DLL4 was also able to reduce the recruitment of pericytes in a murine xenograft tumor model [18]. Therefore, DLL4 blockade may impair the remodeling of the tumor vasculature to become more mature and stable, resulting in increased vulnerability of tumor vessels to VEGF blockade. In tumors targeted by DLL4/NOTCH1 inhibition, the hyperproliferative state of endothelial cells, together with the reduced protection of the tumor endothelium by supporting cells, may render the tumor vasculature more susceptible to agents that selectively target proliferating cells. Indeed, anti-DLL4 in combination with chemotherapy shows enhanced anti-tumor activity in preclinical tumor models despite the concern that reduced perfusion of tumor vessels might interfere with the delivery of therapeutic agents [15,16].

Existing data support the endothelial cell-autonomous role for DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling in restricting the angiogenic response [22]. The NOTCH pathway has been implicated in a variety of human cancers in connection with the genetic alterations and epigenetic events that lead to either constitutive NOTCH activation or sensitized response to ligand-induced activation [12]. Interestingly, a recent study has suggested that DLL4 blockade may reduce tumor-initiating cell frequency in certain xenograft models [15,16]. At present, however, the mechanism underlying DLL4-mediated tumor initiation and/or progression remains unclear.

**Safety challenges**

Targeting a key step in the generation of amyloidogenic peptides and the proteolytic activation of NOTCH signaling, a number of GSIs are currently in preclinical and clinical development for indications ranging from Alzheimer disease to T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) [23]. A major hurdle to the therapeutic development of GSIs has been the on-target toxicity in the gastrointestinal
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**Figure 1** Potential effects of DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade on tumor vasculature
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tract. Inhibition of NOTCH signaling results in goblet cell metaplasia due to the skewed differentiation of epithelial cells in the intestinal crypts away from an enterocyte fate and towards that of a secretory goblet cell [24]. Development of goblet cell metaplasia requires simultaneous disruption of both Notch1 and Notch2 [25]. Because GSIs indiscriminately block all NOTCH receptors, targeted inhibition of individual receptors might help to alleviate the observed gut toxicity. Using paralogue-specific anti-NOTCH antibodies, Wu et al recently showed that selective NOTCH1 inhibition resulted in only mild goblet cell metaplasia, representing an important progress in overcoming the toxicity associated with pan-Notch inhibitors [11]. Recently, Radtke’s group identified DLL1 and DLL4 as the key physiological ligands that mediate NOTCH signaling in the mouse intestinal epithelium [26]. Intestine-specific inactivation of either Dll4 or jag1 had no obvious phenotype, while loss of Dll1 resulted in a moderate increase in goblet cell numbers. Dll1-Dll4 double knock-out mice developed intestinal abnormalities to a degree similar to Notch1-Notch2 compound mutant mice [26]. These findings are consistent with earlier work showing that neutralizing anti-DLL4 antibodies had no discernable impact on goblet cell differentiation and/or the proliferation of crypt progenitor cells [8].

While selective inhibition of DLL4 apparently avoids the gut toxicity that plagued the therapeutic application of GSIs, other safety concerns have been raised [27]. Administration of a DLL4-specific neutralizing antibody caused a rapid and significant change in mouse liver gene expression, including the upregulation of endothelium-specific genes as well as genes implicated in proliferation and cell cycle regulation. More importantly, mice, rats and cynomolgus monkeys exposed to anti-DLL4 antibody developed histopathological changes in the liver, including profound sinusoidal dilation and centrilobular hepatocyte atrophy [27]. These changes are believed to be a class effect of inhibiting DLL4 signaling through NOTCH1, since similar changes were also observed when DLL4/NOTCH1 signaling was inhibited by agents targeting NOTCH1 or γ-secretase. Along with the histological findings, hepatic clinical pathology changes were observed in treated animals. These findings suggest that DLL4 signaling is essential for maintaining the structural and functional integrity of the liver sinusoidal endothelium as well as hepatocyte homeostasis. Since it has been documented that some chemotherapy agents could cause liver sinusoidal dilation or other types of liver damage [28], extra precaution should be taken when combination therapy is administered.

Besides the liver clinical- and histopathology changes observed upon exposure to anti-DLL4 antibody, other concerning safety signals were seen following prolonged treatment. Most strikingly, skin lesions with features of vascular neoplasms were observed in male rats. In addition, rare tumors with similar features were identified in heart and lung [27]. Among the species tested, which included mice, rats and monkeys, the proliferative vascular lesions were reported only in rats after 8 weeks of continuous anti-DLL4 exposure. However, the potential consequences of longer drug exposure in other species remain uncertain. In clinical trials, patients treated with semagacestat (LY450139), a γ-secretase inhibitor, had an increased risk of skin cancer [29]. A recent study employing an elegant genetic model showed that loss of Notch1 caused widespread vascular tumors, particularly in the liver, further underscoring the potential safety concerns associated with continuous blockade of NOTCH1 signaling [30].

Accumulating evidence suggests that DLL4-mediated NOTCH signaling is not restricted to the vascular compartment. Recent genetic studies have identified DLL4 expressed by thymic epithelial cells as the essential and nonredundant NOTCH1 ligand responsible for intrathyMIC T cell development [31,32]. Consistent with DLL4 genetic inactivation, anti-DLL4 treatment resulted in a complete blockade of T cell development coupled with ectopic appearance of immature B cells in the thymus (unpublished observations). In addition, DLL4 together with DLL1 regulate NOTCH signaling in the intestinal crypt [26]. The recognition of a broader role for DLL4-mediated NOTCH signaling raises the concern that on-target toxicity of DLL4 inhibition could extend beyond the endothelium.

While preclinical models have revealed the potential toxicities associated with DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade, safety findings in humans have just begun to emerge from recent clinical trials. DLL4 targeting antibodies, OMP-21M18 and REGN421, have entered clinical development in recent years. In a Phase I study of OMP-21M18, 28% of patients experienced grade III asymptomatic hypertension, a condition that has not been described in preclinical studies [33]. Since hypertension is a known dose-limiting side effect of anti-VEGF therapy [34,35], patients receiving combination therapy of anti-DLL4 and anti-VEGF should be carefully monitored.

**Potential path forward for targeting DLL4/NOTCH1**

Preclinical studies have suggested that DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade could augment the efficacy of anti-VEGF in “sensitive” tumors. DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade may also have the potential to enhance the effects of chemotherapy or other targeted therapies in tumors that are either intrinsically less dependent on VEGF or have progressed due to a shift to dependency on other angiogenic pathways. While significant safety concerns have been raised in recent preclinical studies, the full therapeutic potential
of targeting DLL4/NOTCH1 should be further explored given its remarkable impact on the tumor vasculature and tumor growth in preclinical models. Lessons learned from the preclinical and clinical development of GSIs may offer some clues for a potential path forward. Since it is generally believed that the outcome of Notch signaling is dependent on context and the degree of activation, it is possible that partial pathway inhibition might ameliorate the toxicities associated with stringent and continuous pathway blockade while maintaining efficacy. Indeed, Cullion et al were able to show that a GSI dosing schedule with drug holidays largely avoided the gut toxicity while maintaining significant efficacy in mouse T-ALL models [36,37]. It will be interesting and exciting to determine if strategies based on the same principle could expand the therapeutic window of selective targeting of DLL4/NOTCH1. The other remarkable observation from Ferrando’s group was that dexamethasone protected mice against GSI-induced gut toxicity by shifting differentiation away from goblet cell development [38]. Since the effects of DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade might be partly attributed to enhanced VEGF signaling, anti-VEGF may have the potential to protect against anti-DLL4 mediated toxicity. Interestingly, it has been reported that bevacizumab protects against injury in patients treated with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, which is known to cause liver sinusoidal dilation [39]. Finally, it is important to point out that, given the potent effect of DLL4/NOTCH1 blockade and its potential safety implications, future development of anti-DLL4/NOTCH1 therapeutics will require careful monitoring of patient safety until the relevance and/or translatability of observed preclinical toxicity concerns are better understood in the clinical setting.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Weian Ye, Jessica Couch and Kevin Leong for valuable suggestions and critical reading of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 15 April 2011 Accepted: 8 August 2011
Published: 8 August 2011

References

1. Gordon WR, Vardar-Ulu D, Histen G, Sanchez-Izrazary C, Aster JC, Blacklow SC: Structural basis for autoinhibition of Notch. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2007, 14:290-300.
2. Gale NW, Dominguez MG, Nogueria I, Pan L, Hughes V, Valenzuela DM, Murphy AJ, Adams NC, Lin HC, Holash J, Thurstorn G, Yancopoulos GD: Haploinsufficiency of delta-like 4 ligand results in embryonic lethality due to major defects in arterial and vascular development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101:15949-15954.
3. Mailhos C, Modlich U, Lewis J, Harris A, Bicknell R, Ish-Horowicz D: Delta4, an endothelial specific notch ligand expressed at sites of physiological and tumor angiogenesis. Differentiation 2001, 69:135-144.
4. Rao PK, Dorsch M, Chickering T, Zheng G, Joong C, Goodarz K, Adesch T, McCarthy S: Isolation and characterization of the notch ligand delta4. Exp Cell Res 2000, 260:379-386.
5. Shutter J, Scully S, Fan W, Richards WG, Kitajewski J, Deblanvre GA, Knitter CR, Stark KL: DLL4, a novel Notch ligand expressed in arterial endothelium. Genes Dev 2000, 14:1313-1318.
6. Duarte A, Hirashima M, Benedetto R, Trindade A, Diniz P, Bekman E, Costa L, Henrique D, Rossant J: Dosage-sensitive requirement for mouse DLL4 in artery development. Genes Dev 2004, 18:2474-2478.
7. Krebs LT, Shutter JR, Tanagli K, Honjo T, Stark KL, Gridley T. Haploinsufficient lethality and formation of arteriovenous malformations in Notch pathway mutants. Genes Dev 2004, 18:2469-2473.
8. Ridgway J, Zhang G, Wu Y, Stawicki S, Liang WC, Chantrey Y, Kowalski J, Watts RL, Callahan C, Kasman L, Singh M, Chien M, Tan C, Hongo JAS, de Sauvage F, Plowman G, Yan M: Inhibition of DLL4 signalling inhibits tumour growth by deregulating angiogenesis. Nature 2006, 444:1083-1087.
9. Krebs LT, Xue Y, Norton CR, Shutter JR, Maguire M, Sundberg JP, Callahan D, D’Alosso V, Kitajewski J, Callahan R, Smith GH, Stark KL, Gridley T: Notch signaling is essential for vascular morphogenesis in mice. Genes Dev 2000, 14:1343-1352.
10. Limbourg PP, Takeshita K, Radtke F, Bronson RT, Chiu MT, Liao JK: Essential role of endothelial Notch1 in angiogenesis. Circulation 2005, 111:1826-1832.
11. Wu Y, Cain-Horm C, Chow L, Hagenbeek T, de Leon GP, Chen Y, Finkle D, Venook R, Wu Y, Ridgway J, Schahn-Reed D, Dow GJ, Shelton A, Stawicki S, Watts RL, Zhang J, Chow Y, Howard P, Kadyk L, Yan M, Zha J, Callahan CA, Watts R, Hongo JAS, de Sauvage F, Plowman G, Yan M: Inhibition of DLL4 signaling inhibits tumor growth by deregulating angiogenesis. Clin Cancer Res 2006, 12:7243-7246.
12. Jakobsson L, Bentley K, Gerhardt H: VEGFRs and Notch: a dynamic collaboration in vascular patterning. Biochem Soc Trans 2009, 37:1233-1236.
13. Jakobsson L, Franco CA, Bentley K, Collins RT, Ponsioen B, Aspalter IM, Rosewell I, Busse M, Thurston G, Medvednik A, Schulze-Merker S, Gerhardt H: Endothelial cells dynamically compete for the tip cell position during angiogenic sprouting. Nat Cell Biol 2010, 12:943-953.
14. Fischer M, Yen WC, Kapoun AM, Wang M, O’Young G, Lewicki J, Gurney A, Hoey T: Anti-DLL4 Inhibits Growth and Reduces Tumor-Initiating Cell Frequency in Colorectal Tumors with Oncogenic KRAS Mutations. Cancer Res 2011, 71:1502-1515.
15. Hoey T, Yen WC, Axelrod F, Basi J, Donigian L, Dylla S, Fitch-Bruhns M, Latzec S, Park K, Sato A, Wang X, Clarke MF, Lewicki J, Gurney A: DLL4 blockade inhibits tumor growth and reduces tumor-initiating cell frequency. Cell Stem Cell 2009, 5:168-177.
16. Nogueria-Troise I, Daly C, Papadopoulos NL, Coetzee S, Boland P, Gale NW, Lin HC, Yancopoulos GD, Thurston G: Blockade of DLL4 inhibits tumor growth by promoting non-productive angiogenesis. Nature 2006, 444:1032-1037.
17. Schelnht JS, Jiang W, Kumar SR, Kasnoperov V, Trindade A, Benedetto R, Djokovic D, Borges C, Ley EJ, Duarte A, Gill PS: Inhibition of DLL4 mediated signaling induces proliferation of immature vessels and results in poor tissue perfusion. Blood 2007.
18. Funahashi Y, Hernandez SL, Das I, Ahn A, Huang J, Vorontschikha M, Sharma A, Kanamara E, Borisenko V, Desvila DM, Suzuki A, Wang X, Shawber CJ, Kandel JJ, Yamashiro DJ, Kitajewski J: A notch1 ecotomain construct inhibits endothelial notch signaling, tumor growth, and angiogenesis. Cancer Res 2008, 68:4727-4735.
19. Li JL, Sainson RC, Shi W, Leek R, Harrington LS, Preusser M, Biswas S, Turley H, Heikamp E, Hindelink EA, Harris AL: Delta-like 4 Notch ligand regulates tumor angiogenesis, improves tumor vascular function, and promotes tumor growth in vivo. Cancer Res 2007, 67:11244-11253.
20. Pries AR, Hopfner M, de la Noble F, Dewhirst MW, Secomb TW: The shunt problem: control of functional shunting in normal and tumour vasculature. Nat Rev Cancer 2010, 10:387-393.
21. Siemann AF, Lawson ND: Notch signalling limits angiogenic cell behaviour in developing zebrafish arteries. Nature 2007, 445:781-784.
22. Shih le M, Wang TL: Notch signalling, gamma-secretase inhibitors, and cancer therapy. Cancer Res 2007, 67:1879-1882.
24. van Es JH, van Gijn ME, Riccio O, van den Born M, Vooijs M, Begthel H, Cozijnsen M, Robine S, Winton DJ, Radtke F, Clevers H: Notch/gamma-secretase inhibition turns proliferative cells in intestinal crypts and adenomas into goblet cells. *Nature* 2005, 435:959-963.

25. Riccio O, van Gijn ME, Bezdek AC, Pellegrinet L, van Es JH, Zimber-Strobl U, Strobl LJ, Honjo T, Clevers H, Radtke F: Loss of intestinal crypt progenitor cells owing to inactivation of both Notch1 and Notch2 is accompanied by derepression of CDK inhibitors p27Kip1 and p57Kip2. *EMBO Rep* 2008, 9:377-383.

26. Pellegrinet L, Rodilla V, Liu Z, Chen S, Koch U, Espinosa L, Kaestner KH, Kopan R, Lewis J, Radtke F: Dll1- and dll4-mediated notch signaling are required for homeostasis of intestinal stem cells. *Gastroenterology* 2011, 140:1230-1240 e1237.

27. Yan M, Callahan CA, Beyer JC, Allamneni KP, Zhang G, Ridgway JB, Niessen K, Plowman GD: Chronic DLL4 blockade induces vascular neoplasms. *Nature* 2010, 463:6-7.

28. Vauthey JN, Pawlik TM, Ribero D, Zorzi D, Hoff PM, Xiong HQ, Eng C, Lauwers GY, Mine-kenudson M, Risio M, Muratore A, Capussotti L, Cutley SA, Abdalla BK: Chemotherapy regimen predicts steatohepatitis and an increase in 90-day mortality after surgery for hepatic colorectal metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 2006, 24:2065-2072.

29. Aster JC: Notch targeting 2.0. *Blood* 2009, 113:6044-6045.

30. Cullion K, Draheim KM, Hermance N, Tammam J, Sharma VM, Ware C, Kelliher MA: Targeting the Notch1 and mTOR pathways in a mouse T-ALL model. *Blood* 2009, 113:6172-6181.

31. Real PJ, Tosello V, Palomero T, Castillo M, Hernando E, de Stanchina E, Barnes K, Sawai C, Homminga I: Gamma-secretase inhibitors reverse glucocorticoid resistance in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat Med* 2009, 15:50-58.

32. Ribero D, Wang H, Donadon M, Zorzi D, Thomas MB, Eng C, Chang DZ, Cutley SA, Abdalla BK, Ellis LM, Vauthey JN: Bevacizumab improves pathologic response and protects against hepatic injury in patients treated with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for colorectal liver metastases. *Cancer* 2007, 110:2761-2767.