Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma Mutational Spectrum Update and Signaling-Type Inference by Transcriptional Profiles: Literature Meta-Analysis and Study of Tumor Samples
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Simple Summary: Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) is a rare but clinically relevant tumor based on its aggressiveness and the limited therapeutic opportunities currently available for advanced cases. A better understanding of the mechanisms of MTC development is crucial to identify more effective means of intervention and therapies. Several studies have shown that RET and RAS genes play a central role in MTC. However, little is known about the signaling processes operating downstream of these genes. Here, we report mutation and gene expression profiles in proprietary sporadic MTCs, including both primary and metastatic tumors. We show that tumors derived from the same patient display similar expression profiles and that the latter can be used to obtain information about specific downstream signaling, identifying distinct molecular subtypes. Furthermore, by reviewing the relevant literature, we highlight that, along with RET and RAS, other less frequent genes are emerging as possible new players in MTC.

Abstract: Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) is a rare but aggressive tumor. Although RET and RAS genes are recognized drivers in MTC, associated downstream signaling pathways are largely unknown. In this study, we report 17 sporadic MTCs, collected at our institution, comprising patient-matched primary and lymph node metastatic tumors investigated for mutational and transcriptional profiles. As we identified two uncommon RET deletions (D898_E901del and E632_L633del), we also performed a literature review and meta-analysis to assess the occurrence of unconventional alterations in MTC, focusing on next-generation sequencing studies. We found that new gene alterations are emerging, along with the known RET/RAS drivers, involving not only RET by multiple concurrent mutations or deletions but also other previously underestimated cancer-related genes, especially in sporadic MTCs. In our MTC gene profiles, we found transcriptome similarity between patient-matched tissues and expression of immune genes only by a few samples. Furthermore, we defined a gene signature able to stratify samples into two distinct signaling types, termed MEN2B-like and MEN2A-like. We provide an updated overview of the MTC mutational spectrum and describe how transcriptional profiles can be used to define distinct MTC signaling subtypes that appear to be shared by various gene drivers, including the unconventional ones.
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1. Introduction

Thyroid tissue comprises two distinct types of endocrine cells: follicular cells, which constitute most of the thyroid follicular epithelium and produce thyroglobulin (precursor for the synthesis of thyroid hormones); and parafollicular cells (also called C cells) of neuroendocrine origin, which constitute less than 1% of thyroid tissue and the primary function of which is the secretion of the calcitonin hormone [1].

Thyroid tumors can arise from both cell types, although the vast majority are derived from follicular cells, whereas only 2–3% are derived from C cells and classified as medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) [2,3]. C-cell secretory products calcitonin and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) are considered MTC markers, and their serum levels are used in MTC patient follow-up [4]. Although quite rare, MTC is an aggressive tumor displaying local invasiveness and metastatic spreading, and few effective treatment options, other than surgery, are currently available for advanced cases [5].

MTC can occur in either hereditary (25%) or sporadic (75%) forms. Hereditary MTCs can develop with different phenotypes, either as familial MTCs or within multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN2) syndromes, in which other concurrent malignancies and pathological conditions are observed along with the MTC [6,7]. In these latter, two major subtypes have been described—MEN2 type A (MEN2A) and type B (MEN2B)—displaying both overlapping and distinct clinical features [8]. In particular, a genotype–phenotype correlation has been established, identifying distinctive germline gain-of-function mutations in the tyrosine kinase receptor RET, that lead to its aberrant activation. Mutations in the RET extracellular domain at various cysteine residues (C609, C618, C620, and C634) have been detected in MEN2A MTC patients, whereas mutations in the RET intracellular tyrosine kinase domain (mostly RET M918T) have been detected in MEN2B MTC patients [9,10]. Identified in more than 95% of the hereditary forms and in 30–50% of sporadic MTCs [11], RET has been recognized as a major driver in this neoplasia.

More recently, RAS mutations were detected in a fraction of RET-negative sporadic MTCs [12,13], suggesting that other genes can also play a role in MTC tumorigenesis. Also for sporadic cases a different clinical behavior has been observed according with the presence of specific RET mutations, for instance, identifying the highest risk of aggressiveness in RET M918T mutated cases [4].

Although several studies have investigated the mutational background of MTC, only a few have also analyzed the concurrent global gene expression. However, in the latter, the limited number of tested cases, mostly due to MTC rarity, as well as heterogeneous case lists comprising both hereditary and sporadic MTCs harboring various RET mutations, have led to confounding results not overlapping across independent cohorts and studies [14], hampering the identification of clear transcriptional profiles related to MTC. Thus, the signaling pathways downstream of recognized oncogenic drivers, as well as whether differences across specific subtypes exist, are still largely unknown in the context of MTC.

In this study we report a series of 17 sporadic MTCs, collected at our institution, including matched primary and lymph node metastatic tumors derived from eight patients. We have already described this series in a study aimed at the identification of circulating biomarkers for MTC prognosis and treatment response [15]. Here, we report their previously unpublished gene expression profiles.

This series, selected to be representative of the gene drivers identified in MTC [15], includes, along with typical RET and RAS mutations, uncommon RET deletions.

With the aim of assessing whether either these RET deletions represent a rare event or whether their occurrence has already been observed in MTC patients, we also performed a literature review. In particular, we focused on the most recent publications applying next-generation sequencing (NGS) in human MTCs. In our review, we also included also studies describing gene expression profiles in human MTC, initially researched as possible independent cohorts for our transcriptomic data validation.
Hereafter, we report the results of our literature review and meta-analysis, providing an updated overview of the MTC mutational spectrum, followed by the transcriptomic data of our MTC samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. MTC Sample Collection

We investigated 17 tumor tissues derived from 8 sporadic MTC patients, comprising patient-matched primary tumors (n = 8) and lymph node metastases (LNMs, n = 9) collected during total thyroidectomy and neck dissection. For three patients, LNMs were derived from subsequent lymphadenectomy performed after the initial surgery; for one of them, both a synchronous and a metachronous LNM were available and investigated. Three additional tissues derived from non-neoplastic thyroid (NT) obtained from patients with pathologies other than thyroid cancer were included as controls. Clinical–pathological characteristics for these MTCs are described [15] and summarized in Results Section 3.2.

Genomic DNA from MTCs was screened for RET and RAS mutations as reported in [15]; both primary tumors and LNMs were assessed, confirming mutational concordance among the patient-matched specimens.

RET was assessed both at germline and somatic levels to confirm MTC sporadic type. Furthermore, the following RET single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were investigated: exon11 rs1799939 (Gly691Ser, G > A), exon13 rs1800861 (Leu769Leu, G > T), and exon15 rs1800863 (Ser904Ser, C > G). In detail, DNA was amplified and directly sequenced by the following RET-specific primers (5′–3′ sequence). exon11 forward: CCTCTGCGGTGCCAAGCCTC; exon11 reverse: GACCTGGTTCTCCATGGAGTC. exon13 forward: CAGGAGCGATCGTTTGCAACC; exon13 reverse: ACCTCACCCTGCAGCTGGC. exon15 forward: GACTCGTGCTATTTTTCCTC; exon15 reverse: TATCTTTCCTAGGCTTCCCA.

This MTC set was primarily selected based on: the presence of various RET/RAS mutations (in order to be representative of MTC gene drivers) and the availability of patient-matched primary/metastatic tumor specimens. As matched specimens were not available for RET C634R MTCs, they were not included in the transcriptome analysis.

2.2. MTC Study Review and Meta-Analysis

We performed a literature search in PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/; accessed on 15 December 2021), first, to identify studies reporting gene expression profiles in human MTC for our transcriptomic data validation and, second, to assess the occurrence of RET deletions in other MTC series. We focused on studies applying high-throughput techniques, such as gene microarrays, RNA sequencing (seq), and NGS. We also included a study using a high-resolution array-based copy number alterations (CNA) platform [16]. The identified studies, along with their major features, are summarized in Table 1. For each of the literature-derived studies we considered the driving lesions reported for each sample. If the cohort comprised both sporadic and hereditary MTCs, they were assessed as separate groups. If additional MTC cohorts were reported different from that assessed by the specific high-throughput approach (termed “discovery set”), they were also considered (termed “validation set”). A total of 35 MTC cohorts were obtained from literature.

Our MTC series was also assessed; as they derived from a larger cohort [15], for the meta-analysis, we considered the latter, comprising 37 MTCs (33 sporadic and 4 hereditary). Two studies applying targeted NGS on thyroid cancers, including 8 and 15 MTCs, respectively [17,18] but not providing complete mutation data for each sample, were not included in the meta-analysis.

2.3. Gene Expression Profiles in Our MTC Series

Gene microarray profiling was performed on the 17 proprietary, patient-matched MTC samples and 3 NT controls. Total RNA was extracted from FFPE specimens by miRNeasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), and its quality was assessed by a Bioanalyzer
Gene profiles were established by HumanHT-12 WG-DASL V4.0 R2 expression beadchip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). RNA labeling, processing, and hybridization were performed according to Illumina standard protocols. Microarrays were scanned with an iScan microarray reader (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), and raw data were obtained by iCS software (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Data were processed using the lumi package [19]; raw data were log2-transformed and normalized using robust spline normalization. Multiple probes mapping to the same gene were collapsed using the “maxRowVariance” method [20]. Differentially expressed genes were identified using the limma R package [21]; p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method, and significance was assigned according to FDR < 0.05 and absolute fold change (FC) > 2 cutoffs. Microarray data were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/; accessed on 7 February 2022) with the accession number GSE196264.

2.4. Functional Enrichment Analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed by GSEA version 4.0.1 in pre-ranked mode, using the t-statistic derived from the class comparison analysis of MTC versus NT as ranking metric score. The Hallmarks and the C2 sub-collection Canonical pathway gene sets available in the Molecular Signatures Database v 7.4 were investigated. Gene sets smaller than 15 and larger than 500 genes were filtered out; according to filtering criteria, 50 and 1927 gene sets were tested for the Hallmarks and the Canonical pathways collection, respectively. Gene sets with FDR < 0.05 were considered significant.

For the Hallmarks gene set, functional biological super categories were manually assigned according to the reported “Process Category” [22].

2.5. Immune Genes and Scores

The expression of CTLA4, PD-1(PDCD1) and PD-L1 (CD274) genes was assessed in our sample series. We also applied the CIBERSORT [23] and ESTIMATE [24] algorithms that use gene expression signatures to infer the presence of immune cells in tumor specimens. The Immunoscore obtained from ESTIMATE was specifically considered; score data were normalized and represented as Zscore. CIBERSORT p-value output was used for sample stratification. p-values < 0.05 were considered significant, and samples were assigned to the “positive” for immune infiltration class; whereas p-values ≥ 0.05 were assigned to the “negative” class. The list of 18 immune-related genes reported by Pozdeyev et al. [25] termed Immune gene signature (IGS) was also assessed, and a corresponding IGS score was calculated for each sample as mean of the across-sample median-centered expression values.

2.6. MEN2B-like and MEN2A-like Signaling Score

First, we investigated the concurrent mRNA expression of a specific gene set previously shown to differentiate MEN2B from MEN2A MTC patients [26]. In detail, an initial list of 118 probes matching 107 genes was derived; genes were reannotated according to the HGNC-approved gene symbol, and nine unmatched genes were filtered out. A final list of 98 genes was obtained, including 82 genes upregulated in MEN2B MTCs and 16 genes upregulated in MEN2A MTCs. The global expression pattern of the 98-gene list was then assessed in our MTC series by unsupervised hierarchical clustering.

Next, an expression score was defined by the quantification of the composite expression of this 98-gene set in each sample. First, two separate scores (an MEN2B and an MEN2A score) were calculated as mean of the across-sample median-centered expression values, considering the 82 MEN2B upregulated genes and the 16 MEN2A upregulated genes separately. Then, a combined MEN2B/MEN2A score was defined, with the purpose of summarizing the information on multiple genes in a single variable. We applied a method analogous to that reported by Rusinek et al. [27]: for each sample, we calculated the sum of expression of the 82 MEN2B upregulated genes minus the sum of expression of the 16 MEN2A upregulated genes; this value was then divided by the number of genes in
the signature and centered to the mean across samples. MTCs with a positive score (closer to MEN2B than to MEN2A) were defined as MEN2B-like, whereas MTCs with a negative score (closer to MEN2A than to MEN2B) were defined as MEN2A-like.

2.7. MEN2B-/MEN2A-like Score Validation in an Independent Dataset

The public gene dataset GSE32662 (available in GEO) was investigated for MEN2B/MEN2A score validation.

Raw intensity expression values on Agilent Whole Human Genome Array were downloaded (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE32662; accessed on 1 December 2022). Microarray features and raw data were obtained with “getGEO” and “read_maimages” functions of the limma package [21]. Data were background-noise-adjusted and normalized to obtain a log2-expression matrix. Probes not associated to gene symbols and control probes were filtered out. Multiple probes mapping to the same gene were collapsed using the “avereps” function. All analyses were performed using R studio version 4.0.3.

The available gene profiles for the GSE32662 series included 52 MTC samples derived from 49 patients, comprising technical replicates for 3 patients (MTC-3, MTC22, MTC46); for the latter, samples the average expression value between replicates was considered.

The genes related to MEN2B/MEN2A signature, as well as its score definition, were investigated as described above; 94/98 genes were available and were used.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses and significance cutoffs are indicated in the specific subsections. For additional charts and figures, GraphPad Prism v5.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), Clustergrammer [28], or shinyheatmap [29] tools were used.

3. Results

3.1. Overview of High-Throughput Studies in MTC

First, we reviewed literature reporting gene expression profiles in MTC patients and identified six studies: four applying gene microarrays and two applying RNAseq (Table 1). Among these, only one study [30] displayed transcriptomic data availability (deposition on GEO public repository, series GSE32662) that could be subsequently used as an independent cohort for data validation.

| N  | Reference     | Approach | Platform                                      | Subtype * | Specimen * | Tissue * | Patient (n) * | Sample (n) * | Data Deposition ** |
|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Jain 2004     | Microarray | Hg-U95Av2 GeneChips (Affymetrix) | H         | Frozen     | P/M      | 19           | 25           | No                |
| 2  | Ameur 2009    | Microarray | Custom-designed (Agilent Technologies)       | S/H       | Frozen     | P        | 13           | 13           | Yes (arrayexpress) |
| 3  | Maliszewska 2013 | Microarray | Whole Human Genome Array 4 × 44K (Agilent Technologies) | S/H       | Frozen     | MTC 3    | 49           | 52           | Yes (GSE32662)    |
| 4  | Oczko-W. 2017 | Microarray | GeneChip Gene 1.0 ST arrays (Affymetrix)    | S/H       | Frozen     | MTC 3    | 60           | 60           | No                |
| 5  | Ye 2008       | CNA      | Human Genome hybridization 244K platform (Agilent Technologies) | S/H       | Frozen     | P        | 30           | 30           | No                |
Table 1. Cont.

| N  | Reference       | Approach                      | Platform                                      | Subtype * | Specimen * | Tissue * | Patient (n) * | Sample (n) * | Data Deposition ** |
|----|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 6  | Simbolo 2014    | Targeted NGS                 | Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 (Life Technologies) | S         | FFPE       | MTC 3    | 20           | 20           | No                |
| 7  | Ji 2015         | Targeted NGS                 | Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 (Life Technologies) | S/H/U 6   | FFPE       | MTC 3    | 84           | 84           | No                |
| 8  | Heilmann 2016   | Targeted NGS                 | FoundationOne panel 7                         | S/H/U 8   | FFPE       | P/M 8    | 34           | 34           | No                |
| 9  | Vanden Borre 2017 | Targeted NGS              | FoundationOne panel 7                         | U 9       | FFPE       | P/M 9    | 14           | 14           | No                |
| 10 | Zehir 2017      | Targeted NGS                 | MSK-IMPACT panel 10                          | S 11      | FFPE       | P/M 11   | 17           | 17           | Yes (cBioportal) 10 |
| 11 | Ciampi 2019     | Targeted NGS                 | Custom panel (Thermo Fisher) 12               | S         | Frozen/FPE | P/M 13   | 181          | 181          | Yes (COSP47106) 12 |
| 12 | Pozdeyev 2020   | Targeted NGS; RNAseq        | ThyroSeq v3 14, TruSEQ RNA Exome (Illumina)   | S/H       | FFPE       | P/M 15   | 27           | 30 15         | No                |
| 13 | Agrawal 2013    | WES                           | SureSelect paired-end v2.0 human exome        | S         | Frozen     | MTC 3    | 17           | 17           | No                |
| 14 | Cai 2015        | WES                           | 44M human exome array (NimbleGenEZ)          | H         | Frozen     | MTC 3    | 4            | 4            | No                |
| 15 | Chang 2018      | WES                           | TruSeq Exome (Illumina)                      | S         | NA         | MTC 3    | 7            | 7            | No                |
| 16 | Qu 2020         | WES; RNAseq                  | AI whole Exome CNV (iGeneTech); VAHTS RNA-Seq Library (Vazyme) 16 | S         | Frozen     | P         | 18           | 18           | No                |
| 17 | This study      | Microarray                    | HumanHT-12 WG-DASL V4.0 (Illumina)            | S         | FFPE       | P/M 11   | 20 17       |              | Yes (GSE196264)   |

MTC subtype based on somatic/germline RET mutation and/or family history. Abbreviations: S, sporadic; H, hereditary; U, unknown; P, primary tumor; M, metastasis; CNA, copy number alteration; WES, whole-exome sequencing; NA, not available. * Specifically refers to the approach reported in column 2. ** Based on authors’ data availability statement. 1 Two technical and four biological replicates were also tested. 2 Any data were found on www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress, accessed on 6 April 2022 (based on several “Thyroid” related queries). 3 Tissue type (primary or metastasis) not specified. 4 Three technical replicates were also tested. 5 NGS panel with 50 genes. 6 Unknown; patients without data on RET germline mutations and/or MTC family history. 7 Different versions of the panel were used. 8 Somatic/germline RET mutational status predicted in silico. Unknown, patients with ambiguous or not available RET data. Metastases include 7 lymph nodes (LN) and 11 metastases from other sites. 9 Sporadic/hereditary type not specified. Metastases include eight LNs, one trachea, and one soft tissue metastasis. 10 Custom assay with at least 341 cancer-related genes. Mutation data deposition on http://cibioportal.org/msk-impact, accessed on 6 April 2022. 11 Authors’ indication of somatic mutations. Metastases include four LNs, two liver, and one chest wall metastasis. 12 NGS panel with 17 thyroid-cancer-related genes. Novel mutation submission to COSMIC database. 13 Metastases include 33 LN metastases and 1 tumor recurrence. 14 NGS panel with 112 thyroid-cancer-related genes. 15 Metastases include three LN metastases and one LN recurrence. Three matched LN metastases were also tested. 16 RNAseq also includes 11 independent patients not profiled by WES. 17 Three non-neoplastic thyroids from three independent patients were also tested.
These microarray studies have been recently revised [14]; refer to this publication for a more detailed description of their major findings.

We then expanded our literature review to the most recent publications applying NGS in MTC patients. We identified 12 studies (Table 1, studies 5 to 16; two of them describe both RNaseq and NGS [25,41]) in which either various commercial/custom targeted sequencing panels or whole-exome sequencing (WES) were exploited.

In all these studies, variable tissue specimens (FFPE/frozen), tissue types (primary tumor, metastasis, or not specified (generically indicated as MTC)), and MTC subtypes (sporadic, hereditary, or unknown) were investigated.

Excluding the set from Ciampi et al. [11], which represents the largest cohort investigated in MTC by a high-throughput approach to date, only three studies were able to collect samples from more than 45 MTC patients.

Collectively, considering both transcriptional and NGS approaches, 16 independent studies were found in the literature. Their major features are summarized in Table 1, along with those of our MTC series (study number 17).

3.2. Meta-Analysis of MTC Gene Drivers Highlights a More Complex Mutational Landscape

For each of the abovementioned studies (Table 1), we examined the driving lesions reported in each sample and their distribution across MTC subtypes.

Considering MTC subtypes, along with the sporadic and the hereditary types, an additional group termed “unknown” was found, including samples for which the sporadic/hereditary definition cannot be assigned due to unavailable data for RET germline mutational status and/or for MTC patient family history. The “unknown” samples identified in three different studies were analyzed as a separate group.

Along with the above-described case lists (Table 1), some studies reported additional MTC cohorts assessed, for instance, as validation set [25,30,31,38,41], which were also examined. Regarding our sample series, for the meta-analysis, we considered the entire cohort of 37 MTCs, from which the 17-MTC subset had been selected [15].

Collectively, 37 cohorts derived from 17 independent studies were identified and investigated, for a total of 839 MTC cases stratified in 608 sporadic, 177 hereditary, and 54 unknown samples (Figure 1).

Most of the reported genetic alterations, as expected, involve RET and RAS genes (Figure 1A). The most frequently mutated hotspot is confirmed to be RET M918T, followed by RET C634, with different amino acid substitutions; R and Y are the most prevalent and are especially detected in the hereditary cases, where they represent the prevalent driving lesions (C634R 15.3% and C634Y 16.4%, followed by M918T 14.1%; Figure 1B). In hereditary cases, mutations involving the C620 and C618 codons (with R substitutions being the most common) are also observed in multiple samples and cohorts, although they are quite infrequent in sporadic cases. Similarly, mutations involving codons C609, C611, D631, G768, L790, Y791, and V804 are observed almost exclusively in hereditary cases, although with variable percentages across the different cohorts. In contrast, mutations involving C630 and A883 codons, as well as the less common H568 and S1024 codons, appear to be specific to sporadic cases.

Following these RET mutations, frequent point mutations are also observed in RAS genes that represents the second top driver in MTC, especially H/KRAS (codons Q61 and G12/13 as the most common hotspots). RAS mutations appear to be specifically detected in sporadic cases and are completely absent in hereditary cases. Similar sporadic-specific distribution was also observed for MTC unconventional genetic alterations as (i) small RET deletion (del) or deletion coupled with insertion (delins), (ii) multiple co-occurring RET mutations, and (iii) mutations in multiple genes.
Figure 1. Meta-analysis of MTC patient mutational spectrum. (A) Mutation types identified in the 37 MTC cohorts derived from the 17 studies reported in Table 1. Cohorts are ordered based on MTC subtype and study. Heatmap shows the percentage of positive samples for the specific mutation in each cohort; the total number of samples (n) composing each cohort is at the bottom. For our series (study 17), the original cohort of 37 MTCs was considered. (B) Frequencies of the corresponding mutations in the specific MTC subtypes and in the whole set (total); the total number of mutation-positive patients (n) and their percentage are shown.
Our meta-analysis shows how RET del/delins, although rare within a given case list (detected in very few samples), are consistently reported by a progressively increasing number of independent studies (collectively, 5.3% of 609 sporadic cases; Figure 1B). The most frequent RET del/delins involve exon11 in the neighborhood of codons from 628 to 639 with variable alteration pattern, with the E632-L633del as the most common, followed by the D898-E901/E902del affecting RET exon15. Alternative RET del/delins, such as 509–511del and other insertions, have also been reported [36].

Multiple co-occurring RET mutations and mutations involving both RET and other MAPK pathway effectors, such as RAS and BRAF, have also been detected, although most have only been detected in a single study [11]. The only exception is represented by the RET M918T + HRAS Q61R double mutation described in two independent studies [11,25]; interestingly, both studies used a targeted NGS panel for thyroid-specific genes.

Furthermore, our meta-analysis confirms that studies applying bigger NGS panels or WES are able to identify possible drivers other than RET and RAS genes and to reduce the proportion of WT cases (those with unknown gene drivers) compared to earlier studies that assessed only RET gene mutations (Figure 1A, study from 2 to 5). Interestingly, WT samples, as well as those with mutations in “other genes”, are observed essentially only among the sporadic cases, whereas most of the hereditary cases appear to be driven by a specific set of RET mutations (RET-positive cases account for 98.3% of the 177 hereditary cases; Figure 1B).

For the “unknown” samples (those for which the sporadic/hereditary type cannot be assigned; Figure 1A), our analysis reveals the occurrence of gene alterations found in both sporadic and hereditary cases, such as RET M918T (33.3%) and RET C634 (9.3%), as well as alterations specifically observed in sporadic cases, such as RET C630R (3.7%), RET delins (5.5%), and RAS mutations (22.2%). In addition, this “unknown” group also includes specifically identified MTC unconventional alterations (such as KRAS_G48R mutation, KRAS amp, and particular RET insertions), the significance of which remains to be explored.

Among cases negative for RET/RAS alterations (group termed “other genes”; Figure 1), mutations in other cancer-relevant genes have been reported (Figure 2A), such as in the tumor suppressors TP53 and APC, known thyroid-cancer-related genes (TSHR, BRAF, and EIF1AX), tyrosine kinase receptors (EPHA3 and KIT), DNA damage response genes (CHK2, MSH6, MSH2, ATM, and MDC1), and other less common genes. Mutations in these genes are found in multiple patients, although the type of mutation appears quite heterogeneous and mostly patient-specific. Mutations in these genes are also identified specifically in patients harboring RET/RAS mutations (Figure 2B), suggesting that they may not be mutually exclusive with respect to these known gene drivers. Even considering these additional genes, a proportion of samples, especially those from the Qu et al. study [41], still remains, with an unknown driver (termed “other genes unique”), as they harbor mutations in unique genes not shared by other patients, the role of which remains to be fully characterized (Figure 2A).

Among cases negative for RET/RAS alterations (group termed “other genes”; Figure 1), mutations in other cancer-relevant genes have been reported (Figure 2A), such as in the tumor suppressors TP53 and APC, known thyroid-cancer-related genes (TSHR, BRAF, and EIF1AX), tyrosine kinase receptors (EPHA3 and KIT), DNA damage response genes (CHK2, MSH6, MSH2, ATM, and MDC1), and other less common genes. Mutations in these genes are found in multiple patients, although the type of mutation appears quite heterogeneous and mostly patient-specific. Mutations in these genes are also identified specifically in patients harboring RET/RAS mutations (Figure 2B), suggesting that they may not be mutually exclusive with respect to these known gene drivers. Even considering these additional genes, a proportion of samples, especially those from the Qu et al. study [41], still remains, with an unknown driver (termed “other genes unique”), as they harbor mutations in unique genes not shared by other patients, the role of which remains to be fully characterized (Figure 2A).

Mutations in additional genes belonging to similar pathways are then specifically observed only in RET/RAS-mutated patients and affect, for instance, the tumor suppressor PTK2, other tyrosine kinase receptors (MET, KDR/VEGFR2, EGFR, and ERBB3/HER3), and DNA damage response genes (MLH1, RAD50, and ERCC4), as well as cell cycle regulators (CDKN2A and CDKN2C) and SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling components (ARID1A, ARID1B, and SMARCA4) (Figure 2C).

Collectively, alterations in multiple genes are observed in 11% of the cases (94/839 MTCs) if we consider samples with mutations in “other genes” (n = 30, Figure 2A) alone or associated with RET/RAS drivers (n = 58, Figure 2B) and samples with RET+RAS/BRAF mutations (n = 6, Figure 1). The co-occurrence of multiple alterations in these “other” genes (Figure 2) has been similarly observed in aggressive thyroid tumors of follicular-cell origin [42–44]. Thus, the finding of multiple alterations in MTC, although in a fraction of cases, indicates that in this tumor type, the mutational landscape could also be more complex than previously thought.
Figure 2. Mutations in “other genes” in MTC patients. The type and distribution of mutations affecting other genes different from RET and RAS is shown. Each column represents a specific patient, and the presence of the indicated mutations is indicated by a blue tag. Only genes mutated in at least two patients are shown. (A) Patients lacking RET/RAS mutations (n = 30) were assigned to the group “other genes”; patients with mutations in other genes not identified in at least two patients were assigned to the group “other genes unique”. (B) Patients with concurrent RET/RAS mutations (n = 58). (C) Genes mutated only in patients reported in (B). * indicates nonsense mutations.
Focusing on our cohort (37 MTCs, including 33 sporadic and 4 hereditary cases; Figure 1, study 17), we found a mutational panel consistent with the other cohorts, including, along with common RET mutations, two of the most frequent RET deletions (i.e., E632-L633del and D898-E901del); for a discrete fraction of samples (27%), unfortunately, mutational data were not available due to low-quality FFPE-derived DNA.

The subset of eight sporadic MTC patients (Table 2) selected from this larger cohort, the gene expression profiles of which are hereafter described, although small, displayed a mutational pattern similar to that of the other sporadic MTC cohorts (Figure 3), particularly the most recent cohort including both RAS mutation and RET deletions.

Table 2. Characteristics of the eight proprietary sporadic MTC patients.

| Patient ID | Sex | Age (at dx) | TNM | Stage | ETE | Array ID (Primary Tumor) | Array ID (LNM) | RET/RAS Mutation | RET Polymorphisms |
|------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------|-----|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1          | M   | 61          | pT1aN1a | III   | no  | P1                      | M1             | RET C630R       | rs1799939; rs1800863 ** |
| 2          | M   | 60          | pT3N1b  | IVa   | yes | P2                      | M2             | RET D989_E901del a | wt               |
| 3          | M   | 56          | pT2mN1b | IVa   | no  | P3                      | M3.1; M3.2 *   | RET M918T b      | rs1800861         |
| 4          | F   | 52          | pT4N1b  | IVa   | yes | P4                      | M4             | HRAS Q61K       | rs1800861         |
| 5          | M   | 50          | pT3mN1b | IVa   | yes | P5                      | M5 *           | RET D989_E901del c | rs1799939; rs1800863 |
| 6          | M   | 28          | pT3mN1b | IVa   | yes | P6                      | M6             | RET M918T       | wt               |
| 7          | F   | 49          | pT3NX   | III   | no  | P7                      | M7 *           | RET M918T       | rs1799939; rs1800863 ** |
| 8          | M   | 41          | pT3mN1b | IVa   | no  | P8                      | M8             | RET E632_L633del | wt               |

Abbreviations: dx, diagnosis; ETE, extra thyroid extension; LNM, lymph node metastasis. * Three metachronous LNMs derived from subsequent lymph node dissection. Mean interval from initial surgery: 20.3 months (patient 3: 24 months, patient 5: 14 months, and patient 7: 23 months); in this interval, patients did not receive any systemic treatment and/or radiotherapy. ** Homozygosis for both polymorphisms. a RET del identified in subsequent testing following its original reporting [15]. b RET M918T mutation detected at low frequency in all the specimens tested for this patient. c RET del data available only for LNM; primary tumor data not evaluable (low-quality DNA).

Figure 3. Mutational spectrum in sporadic MTC cohorts. The percentage of the mutations reported in each MTC sporadic cohort is shown; cohorts are identified by the corresponding study ID (see Table 1) and the discovery (D) or validation (V) set type. The number of samples (n) in each cohort is in parentheses. The “Array” bar represents the eight patients described in Table 2 selected from a larger cohort (study 17). The RET Other class includes both mutations in less frequent codons and multiple co-occurring RET mutations.

3.3. Gene Expression Profiles in Our Cohort of Sporadic MTCs Identify Specific Sample Stratification and Signaling Pathways

Gene expression was assessed by microarray in the above-described set of sporadic MTCs (Table 2), comprising patient-matched primary tumor/LNM and NT controls.

As expected, NTs displayed transcriptional profiles different from those of MTCs, forming a separate group in unsupervised analyses (Figure 4A, hierarchical clustering, and Figure S1A, principal component analysis).
Figure 4. Gene expression profiles in our sporadic MTC cohort. (A) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering according to the top 2000 most variable genes in the proprietary series of 17 sporadic MTCs (patient-matched primary tumors and lymph node metastases (LNMs)) and 3 non-neoplastic thyroid (NT) controls. (B) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in MTC compared to NT. Log2 fold change (FC) and $-\log_{10}$ false discovery rate (FDR) values are shown; dashed lines represent cutoffs for differentially expressed gene selection (absolute FC > 2 and FDR < 0.05). Up- and downregulated genes are shown in red and in blue, respectively. (C) Distribution of the significant (FDR < 0.05) Hallmarks process categories from GSEA relative to the gene set shown in (B); see Figure S1C for Hallmarks collection complete data.

Regarding MTCs, we did not observe sample stratification based on tissue type (either primary tumor or LNM) (Figures 4 and S1A); however, we found a patient-specific stratification, with matched specimens clustered together (Figure 4A), with only the exception of two metastatic samples. This observation is consistent with previous reports describing significant transcriptome similarity between thyroid-cancer-derived primary tumors and patient-matched metastases, especially the LNMs [45–47].

This was further confirmed by class comparison analysis. Whereas a consistent panel of gene deregulation was identified in MTCs vs. NTs (Figure 4B, considering all 17 MTC samples) and further confirmed in primary tumors vs. NTs (Figure S1B), in the LNMs vs. primary tumors comparison, only two genes were differentially expressed with statistical
significance (Figure S1B). The first was the thyroglobulin (TG) gene, a known marker of a normal thyroid follicular epithelium, and the second gene (MAB21L2) was also found to be overexpressed in normal thyroid [48].

Both of them were downregulated in LNMs (Figure S1B), consistent with their different derivation site (LNMs derived from neck lymph nodes and primary tumors derived from thyroid). Thus, their differential expression can be explained by the presence of few residual normal thyroid cells in the primary tumor specimens.

Focusing on MTC vs. NT genes (Figure 4B and Table S1), among the top downregulated genes we found that genes related to thyroid function (such as TG, FOXE1, SLC26A4, TSHR, TPO, GLIS3, DUOX1, DUOX2, PAX8, and DIO2) were highly expressed in the NTs, as expected [49]. In contrast, among the top upregulated genes, we found MTC/C cell-specific markers, such as CEA (CEACAM5 gene and its paralog, CEACAM6), calcitonin (CALCA gene and its paralog, CALC B), and genes related to neuroendocrine functions (CHGA, CHGB, and GRP), in agreement with previous reports [25,26,31], as well as the RET gene and its coreceptor, GFRA4, in agreement with its oncogenic activation.

To better understand the biological processes represented by this gene panel (Figure 4B), we next performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). First, we explored the Hallmarks gene set collection and identified several pathways significantly enriched in MTC compared to NT (FDR < 0.05; Figure 4C and complete list in Figure S1C). Most of them were associated with gene downregulation (negatively enriched pathways), mainly related to immune, metabolic, development, and signaling functions. Only two pathways were positively enriched in MTC vs. NT, involving functions related to development (Figure 4C) and, in particular, endocrine-system-related organs (Figure S1C).

As the Hallmarks represents a general and concise collection [22], we then performed GSEA using the C2-Canonical pathways collection to explore more specific and detailed pathways (Figure S1D). This second analysis not only confirmed Hallmarks findings about the negatively enriched pathways in MTC involving immune-related and metabolic processes but also revealed the positive enrichment of neuroendocrine processes and pathways involving specific neurotransmitters (Figure S1D and complete list in Table S2).

As GSEA results suggested the downregulation (negative enrichment) of immune pathways in our MTC samples, to further confirm these data, we then investigated specific immune-related genes and signatures.

We assessed the expression of CTLA4, PD-1, and PD-L1 genes in our sample series (Figure 5B) and found average low expression of CTLA4 and PD-1 in MTCs compared to NTs, whereas PD-L1 expression was more heterogeneous across samples (Figure S2A). Only 2 out of 17 MTC samples (11.8%) displayed concurrent high levels of the three genes (M2 and P3; Figure 5B).

We also used CIBERSORT [23] and ESTIMATE [24] algorithms, able to predict the immune cell infiltration in tissue specimens by testing specific immune-related gene signatures. Among our samples, CIBERSORT identified only the LNM sample M2 as positive for the presence of immune infiltration (p-value < 0.05, Figure 5B). ESTIMATE produced similar although less stringent results, identifying a high immune score in few additional samples. As Pozdeyev et al. reported the enrichment of an immune gene signature (IGS) in a subset of MTCs, we also tested this gene set [25]. A total of 9 out of 18 genes composing the IGS were included in the immune gene set used by ESTIMATE. We observed overlapping results between IGS signature and ESTIMATE Immunoscore (Figure 5B).

Collectively, we found that only a few samples in our MTC series displayed immune infiltration. Although limited by the small size of our MTC cohort, these data are in agreement with previous reports describing immune infiltration in only small subsets of MTC patients [50,51].
Figure 5. Assessment of immune- and MTC-related gene signatures in our sporadic MTC cohort. (A) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering as reported in Figure 4A. LNM, lymph node metastasis; NT, non-neoplastic thyroid. (B) Expression of immune-related genes and signatures. CIBERSORT class attribution according to p-value (<0.05, positive; ≥0.05, negative). ESTIMATE Immunoscore expressed as normalized data (Zscore). Immune gene signature (IGS) score calculated as mean expression of the 18 IGS genes. (C) RET/RAS mutational status. NA *, not available data (this sample is the matched specimen for M5 LNM-harboring RET D898_E901del). Specific RET SNPs or their absence (WT) is indicated in grey. Dark grey indicates homozygosis. (D) Gene expression scores calculated separately for MEN2B and MEN2A upregulated genes, respectively. (E) Combined score for the attribution of either MEN2B-like or MEN2A-like type based on MEN2B/MEN2A signature. (F) MEN2B/MEN2A score in our sporadic MTCs stratified for driving lesion. All the specimens (primary tumor and LNM) are shown for each patient. (G) MEN2B/MEN2A score in the public MTC GSE32662 series stratified for MTC subtype and driving lesion.

We also used CIBERSORT [23] and ESTIMATE [24] algorithms, able to predict the immune cell infiltration in tissue specimens by testing specific immune-related gene signatures. Among our samples, CIBERSORT identified only the LNM sample M2 as positive...
3.4. MTC Signaling Subtypes Are Inferred by an MEN2B/MEN2A-Related Gene Signature

Considering the unsupervised hierarchical clustering in our samples, we observed an MTC stratification in two major clusters (MTC1 and MTC2) not related with either the tissue type or the possible presence of immune infiltration (Figures 4A and 5A,B).

As our MTCs harbor various RET/RAS mutations (Table 2), we investigated whether their stratification could be affected by different driving lesions. In particular, we wanted to test, first, whether RET M918T patients (3/8 of our series) formed a homogeneous group compared to the other patients and, second, whether patients with different RET deletions (D898_E901del and E632_L633del) displayed similar transcriptomes.

We found that RET M918T patients only partially clustered together (patients 6 and 7 in MTC1 and patient 3 in MTC2; Figure 5C). All patient 3 samples, clustering separately, displayed a low RET M918T mutational frequency [52].

Then, we found that RET D898_E901del samples clustered together in the MTC1 group, whereas RET E632_L633del clustered in the MTC2 group, along with RAS-mutated samples.

In addition, we observed a different distribution of RET polymorphisms. Samples with rs1799939 (G691S) and rs1800863 (S904S) SNPs in our MTCs detected in cooccurrence either in heterozygosis or in homozygosis localized in the MTC1 group, whereas samples with rs1800861(L769L) SNPs localized in the MTC2 group (Figure 5B).

Thus, the observed MTC stratification (MTC1 and MTC2 clusters) can be explained only in part by the specific type of mutations.

Next, we tested an MTC-related gene set previously described to differentiate MTC patients with MEN2B (all harboring RET M918T) from those with MEN2A (harboring various RET mutations: C609, C618, C620, and C634) [26].

We first assessed the global expression of this MEN2B/MEN2A signature (Figure S2B) and observed consistent sample stratification, confirming NT separation, clustering of patient-matched specimens, and separation of RET E632_L633del- and RAS-mutated samples, as well as separation of RET M918T-diverging samples from patient 3.

With the aim of combining the total gene information into a single variable, we then defined an expression score based on this gene signature. Initially, an MEN2B score and an MEN2A score were established, separately considering the genes upregulated in MEN2B and in MEN2A, respectively. We found that both NT- and RAS-mutated MTCs displayed low scores (Figure 5D), indicative of average low expression of both gene sets. A high MEN2A score was specifically observed in RET E632_L633del samples, whereas the other samples displayed a high MEN2B score. One sample with RET C630R (primary tumor from patient 1; Figure 5D) displayed high concurrent expression of both scores.

We then focused on MTCs and defined a combined MEN2B/MEN2A score, summarizing separate score information and the corresponding MEN2B-like or MEN2A-like type. We found that samples in the MTC1 cluster were MEN2B-like type, with the exception of the P1 sample, which was neutral, in agreement with the observed expression of both MEN2B and MEN2A gene sets (Figure 5D). In contrast, samples in the MTC2 cluster were MEN2A-like. The RET M918T-diverging samples from patient 3, although with a lower score, were MEN2B-like type, in agreement with the other RET M918T samples.

Collectively, we found different signaling subtypes in our MTC set based on MEN2B/MEN2A gene signature. The latter are consistent across patient-matched specimens and various mutations, as well as with the observed MTC unsupervised clustering. In particular, RET M918T samples were MEN2B-like (Figure 5F), with only one exception (M3_2 metastatic sample), as well as RET D898_E901del samples, whereas RAS-mutated and RET E632_L633del samples were MEN2A-like. RET C630R samples displayed a particular borderline type.

As validation for MEN2B-/MEN2A-like signaling types, we explored the only other MTC dataset found in the literature with publicly available transcriptomic profiles (GEO series GSE32662; see Table 1). This set comprised 49 patients, including both sporadic and hereditary MTCs partially characterized for RET mutations (Figure 5G). Although sparse
across various MTC and mutation types, with specific categories represented by only one or few samples, we found coherent data about MEN2B-/MEN2A-like types.

For the most part, \( RET \) M918T samples were MEN2B-like (11/14, 78.6%), including both sporadic and hereditary (one) samples, whereas other \( RET \) mutations were found to be either MEN2B- or MEN2A-like type, similarly to samples with an unknown driver (\( RET \) wt, \( RET \) only partially assessed, or not available -NA- data). Interestingly, all sporadic samples negative for the presence of \( RET \) M918T (i.e., \( RET \) exon16) were MEN2A-like.

Of note, different MEN2B-/MEN2A-like types were observed in relation to the same \( RET \) mutation but with different amino acid substitution. In particular, referring to C634 residue, Arg (R) was associated with MEN2B-like type (4/5 of mutated samples), whereas either Ser (S) or Tyr (Y) substitutions were associated with the MEN2A-like type. Similarly, referring to C630 residue, R substitution was associated with the MEN2B-like type in our samples (Figure 5F), whereas Gly (G) substitution was associated with the MEN2A-like type in the GSE32662 sample (Figure 5G). This possibly suggests that substitutions by amino acids harboring specific chemical and structural features may differently impact downstream signaling and related subtypes. However, these represent preliminary observations that require further studies and validation in additional samples and cohorts.

Collectively, these data suggest that the application of MEN2B/MEN2A signature and its derived score can be used to infer signaling subtypes in MTC.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we reported gene expression profiles in a sporadic MTC series, comprising, along with typical \( RET/RAS \) mutations, patients with uncommon \( RET \) deletions.

Through a literature review and meta-analysis, we provided evidence that \( RET \) deletions, as well as other uncommon genetic alterations, are identified in MTC by a progressively increasing number of studies, in particular, in those applying next-generation sequencing.

Our study highlights how, along with the known and well characterized MTC gene drivers, additional less frequent genetic alterations are emerging. Although rare and detected in few samples, they are consistently identified in several independent cohorts. They involve not only the \( RET \) gene, in which single and multiple point mutations, as well as small deletions/deletions–insertions are described, but also other cancer-related genes, the alteration of which has been reported either alone or in association with the \( RET/RAS \) drivers. Although these other cancer-related genes (such as TP53, tyrosine kinase receptors, DNA damage response effectors, etc.) are well characterized in other tumor types, including follicular-cell-derived thyroid cancers [42–44], in the MTC context, their identification represents a recent finding, and their role remains to be further investigated and defined.

A step toward a better understanding of the downstream effects associated with these unconventional genetic alterations in MTC can be represented by the results described herein concerning \( RET \) deletions.

Three patients harboring the two most frequent \( RET \) deletions found in MTC (i.e., exon11 E632-L633del and exon15 D898-E901del) were investigated herein. For these samples, we observed different transcriptional profiles and signaling types, with E632-L633del samples resembling MEN2A (prevalently characterized by \( RET \) exon11 mutations), whereas D898-E901del samples resemble MEN2B (signaling type identified in \( RET \) exon16 M918T-mutated patients).

This indicates that different \( RET \) deletions activate distinct signaling cascades consistent with their localization in \( RET \)-specific functional domains (i.e., the extracellular cysteine-rich domain for exon11 lesions and the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain for exon15–16 lesions, respectively).

To the best of our knowledge, only two other studies have reported transcriptional profiles of MTCs with \( RET \) deletions. One study described a sporadic MTC with \( RET \)
E632delinsGLC (study 12, Table 1), for which only the expression of specific immune-
related genes was reported [25].

Another study described four sporadic MTCs with unspecified RET exon11del/delins
(study 4, Table 1); however, their expression profiles are not clearly identifiable, as they were
anonymously reported, along with other sporadic/hereditary MTCs harboring various
RET mutations [32].

Interestingly, the authors of the latter study also proposed an MTC sub-classification
termed MEN2B-like and MEN2A-like, although this was assigned a priori based on the RET
mutation type (MTCs with RET exon10-11 mutations assigned to the MEN2A-like class,
whereas MTCs with RET exon16 M918T mutation assigned to the MEN2B-like class) [32].
In contrast, in the present study, we derived our MEN2B-/MEN2A-like signaling type
in an unbiased manner based on the composite expression of a 98-gene panel previously
identified in MEN2B vs. MEN2A MTCs [26]. This classification can be applied, as our data
showed, not only to patients with canonical RET mutations (exon10-11 and exon16) but
also to patients with uncommon genetic alterations or even with unavailable mutational
data to infer their signaling type and obtain a clue about their resemblance to an MEN2B or
a MEN2A MTC.

Oczko-Wojciechowska et al. also reported a four-gene classifier comprising two genes
(NNAT and PTPRT) upregulated in MTCs with MEN2A-like mutations and two genes
(GABRR1 and NTRK3) upregulated in MTCs with MEN2B-like mutations [32]. Two of
these genes are also included in our MEN2B/MEN2A signature (Table S3; NNAT in the
MEN2A upregulated gene set; GABRR1 in the MEN2B upregulated gene set). This four-
gene classifier displays mostly coherent expression in our MTCs and consistency with our
sample classification (Figure S3A), suggesting that overlapping and combinable results
can be obtained. Unfortunately, we cannot assess our MEN2B/MEN2A signature in their MTC
series, as transcriptomic data are not deposited and not available for independent testing.

Furthermore, we found that the expression of another gene, PROM1, indicated as
overexpressed in RET M918T-mutated MTCs [30], is consistent in our samples and with
our MEN2B/MEN2A scoring (Figure S3B).

This collectively suggests that our MEN2B-/MEN2A-like classification not only sig-
ificantly correlates with relevant genes identified by other MTC studies but that it could
also be integrated and possibly optimized in the future with the addition of further gene
markers identified in MTC.

A critical point in the MTC research field is represented by the lack of specific gene
markers differentiating MTC from its non-tumoral control.

In this study, as in others [34,53], we considered a normal thyroid as non-neoplastic
control. Nevertheless, we are aware that this represents a limitation because the proper non-
tumoral control for MTC should be endocrine C cells, although this is currently not feasible.
C cells constitute less than 1% of the normal thyroid and are interspersed throughout
the tissue; their isolation, collection, and purification are still difficult to achieve. To our
knowledge, only a few attempts have been made in this regard, essentially based on cell
sorting in non-human tissues [54], with limited results and no further investigations. Thus,
this still remains an issue to be resolved.

It is possible that future technologies, such as single-cell RNA sequencing or spatial
transcriptomics, could be exploited to address this point. The application of these emerg-
ing advanced technologies able to resolve gene expression information at the single-cell
level [55] could produce useful data for the rare subpopulation of C cells, leading to the
identification of which genes and pathways are physiological in these endocrine cells and
which are relevant to MTC oncogenic transformation.

In addition, another investigation useful to better understand the MTC transformation
and progression cascade could be the analysis of larger MTC case lists comprising both
early and late-stage MTCs. This could provide information about the possible processes
involved in MTC cell transformation toward a more aggressive phenotype.
In our unsupervised clustering, we observed that, along with the driving lesion and the MEN2B-/MEN2A-like transcriptional subtype, the presence of specific RET polymorphisms could also possibly contribute to MTC sub-classification. This is in agreement with previous reports describing that specific RET SNPs may act as genetic modifiers in MTC, possibly affecting its susceptibility, progression, or phenotypic expression [56–58], although controversial data exist regarding this issue [59]. A possible affecting role of RET SNPs therefore needs to be confirmed in additional samples and cohorts; however, due to MTC rarity, such cohorts are difficult to obtain.

Our literature review further highlights how, excluding Ciampi et al., set [11], most of the tested cohorts, including ours, comprise a small number of patients, confirming the difficulties for individual research groups to collect large MTC sets.

In this sense, a common initiative for the collection of MTC case lists derived from multiple centers and their genomic characterization by integrated high-throughput approaches should be seriously taken in consideration. However, to avoid variable quality of the input material and of the derived results, standard operating procedures should be applied for sample collection and storage. To this end, the creation of a consortium networking multiple centers could provide guidelines for pre-analytical sample processing and identification of a few accredited laboratories for omics data generation according to a centralized approach. Similar initiatives have already proven successful, as observed for consortia such the Cancer Genome Atlas.

Another point to be taken in consideration is the general concept of data usability and sharing, such as transcriptomic data deposition, as this represents an essential tool for data validation, especially in the context of rare diseases, such as MTC.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we provided an updated overview of the mutational spectrum identified in MTC. We highlighted how, especially in sporadic MTC, new gene alterations involving both RET and other cancer-related genes are emerging alongside the well-known RET and RAS mutations, suggesting a more complex mutational landscape than previously thought.

We also described how, along with driving lesions, additional transcriptional and genetic elements, such as expression of distinct gene sets and the presence of specific RET polymorphisms, could differently affect MTC oncogenic pathways.

The application of an MTC-related gene set led to the definition of two alternative signaling types, indicated as either MEN2B-like or MEN2A-like. These signaling types are identified both in the presence of canonical drivers, such as RET M918T and RAS mutations, and in the presence of rare alterations, such as RET deletions. Particularly for MTCs with uncommon alterations, this represents a useful finding to better understand the downstream signaling mediated by these less frequent and still poorly investigated lesions.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cancers14081951/s1, Figure S1: Gene expression profiles and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) in our sporadic MTC cohort; Figure S2: Assessment of immune- and MTC-related gene sets in our sporadic MTC cohort; Figure S3: Assessment of selected MTC-related genes derived from the literature; Table S1: List of 1666 significant genes from MTC vs. NT class comparison (absolute FC > 2; FDR < 0.05); Table S2: List of gene sets significantly enriched in GSEA with C2-Canonical pathways collection (FDR < 0.05); Table S3: MEN2B/MEN2A signature gene list.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.G.B., P.R. and E.M.; formal analysis—literature review and meta-analysis, E.M.; formal analysis—proprietary series gene profiles, M.D., P.R. and E.M.; formal analysis—public series GSE32662, E.M., F.P. and A.C.; investigation—proprietary series gene profiles, L.D.C.; investigation—RET/RAS mutations, A.A.; data curation, M.D., E.M. and F.P.; writing—original draft preparation, E.M.; writing—review and editing, E.M., M.G.B. and A.G.; visualization, E.M.; resources, M.G.B.; supervision, M.G.B.; funding acquisition, M.G.B. and A.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially funded by ISS64740045 AstraZeneca grant.
**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (protocol code INT 178/13).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Raw and preprocessed gene expression data presented in this study are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository with the accession number GSE196264.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Edoardo Marchesi for technical support in microarray wet analysis and Laura Locati for support in proprietary case list collection.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Kondo, T.; Ezzat, S.; Asa, S.L. Pathogenetic mechanisms in thyroid follicular-cell neoplasia. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2006**, *6*, 292–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Fagin, J.A.; Wells, S.A., Jr. Biologic and Clinical Perspectives on Thyroid Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *375*, 1054–1067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Cabanillas, M.E.; McFadden, D.G.; Durante, C. Thyroid cancer. *Lancet* **2016**, *388*, 2783–2795. [CrossRef]

4. Wells, S.A., Jr.; Asa, S.L.; Dralle, H.; Elisei, R.; Evans, D.B.; Gagel, R.F.; Lee, N.; Machens, A.; Moley, J.F.; Pacini, F.; et al. Revised American Thyroid Association guidelines for the management of medullary thyroid carcinoma. *Thyroid* **2015**, *25*, 567–610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Bible, K.C.; Ryder, M. Evolving molecularly targeted therapies for advanced-stage thyroid cancers. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2016**, *13*, 403–416. [CrossRef]

6. Xing, M. Molecular pathogenesis and mechanisms of thyroid cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2013**, *13*, 184–199. [CrossRef]

7. Elisei, R.; Bottici, V.; Cappagli, V.; Ramone, T.; Tacito, A.; Ciampi, R.; Romei, C. Clinical utility of genetic diagnosis for sporadic and hereditary medullary thyroid carcinoma. *Ann. Endocrinol.* **2019**, *80*, 187–190. [CrossRef]

8. Barletta, J.A.; Nosé, V.; Sadow, P.M. Genomics and Epigenomics of Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma: From Sporadic Disease to Familial Manifestations. *Endocr. Pathol.* **2021**, *32*, 35–43. [CrossRef]

9. Romei, C.; Ciampi, R.; Elisei, R. A comprehensive overview of the role of the RET proto-oncogene in thyroid carcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *12*, 192–202. [CrossRef]

10. Mulligan, L.M. RET revisited: Expanding the oncogenic portfolio. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2014**, *14*, 173–186. [CrossRef]

11. Ciampi, R.; Romei, C.; Ramone, T.; Prete, A.; Tacito, A.; Cappagli, V.; Bottici, V.; Viola, D.; Torregrossa, L.; Ugolini, C.; et al. Genetic Landscape of Somatic Mutations in a Large Cohort of Sporadic Medullary Thyroid Carcinomas Studied by Next-Generation Targeted Sequencing. *iScience* **2019**, *20*, 324–336. [CrossRef]

12. Moura, M.M.; Cavaco, B.M.; Pinto, A.E.; Leite, V. High prevalence of RAS mutations in RET-negative sporadic medullary thyroid carcinomas. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2011**, *96*, E863–E868. [CrossRef]

13. Nikiforov, Y.E.; Nikiforova, M.N. Molecular Genetics and Diagnosis of Thyroid Cancer. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2011**, *7*, 569–580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Oczko-Wojciechowska, M.; Czarniecka, A.; Gawlik, T.; Jarzab, B.; Krajewska, J. Current Status of the Prognostic Molecular Markers in Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2015**, *22*, R251–R263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Romeo, P.; Colombo, C.; Granata, R.; Calareso, G.; Gualeni, A.V.; Dugo, M.; De, C.L.; Rizzetti, M.G.; Zanframundo, A.; Aiello, A.; et al. Circulating miR-375 as a novel prognostic marker for metastatic medullary thyroid cancer patients. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2018**, *25*, 217–231. [CrossRef]

16. Ye, L.; Santarpia, L.; Cote, G.J.; El-Naggar, A.K.; Gagel, R.F. High resolution array-comparative genomic hybridization profiling reveals deoxyribonucleic acid copy number alterations associated with medullary thyroid carcinoma. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2008**, *93*, 4367–4372. [CrossRef]

17. Itiniguez-Arizta, N.M.; Jasim, S.; Ryder, M.M.; Chintankutlawar, A.V.; Morris, J.C.; Hilger, C.R.; Menefee, M.E.; Smallridge, R.C.; Karlin, N.J.; Alcaino, C.; et al. Foundation One Genomic Interrogation of Thyroid Cancers in Patients with Metastatic Disease Requiring Systemic Therapy. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2020**, *105*, e2346–e2357. [CrossRef]

18. Nikiforova, M.N.; Wald, A.L.; Roy, S.; Durso, M.B.; Nikiforov, Y.E. Targeted next-generation sequencing panel (ThyroSeq) for detection of mutations in thyroid cancer. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2013**, *98*, E1852–E1860. [CrossRef]

19. Du, P.; Kibbe, W.A.; Lin, S.M. lumi: A pipeline for processing Illumina microarray. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *24*, 1547–1548. [CrossRef]

20. Miller, J.A.; Cai, C.; Langfelder, P.; Geschwind, D.H.; Kurian, S.M.; Salomon, D.R.; Horvath, S. Strategies for aggregating gene expression data: The collapseRows R function. *BMC Bioinform.* **2011**, *12*, 322. [CrossRef]

21. Ritchie, M.E.; Phipson, B.; Wu, D.; Hu, Y.; Law, C.W.; Shi, W.; Smyth, G.K. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2015**, *43*, e47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Liberzon, A.; Birger, C.; Thorvaldsdottir, H.; Ghandi, M.; Mesirov, J.P.; Tamayo, P. The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.* **2015**, *1*, 417–425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Newman, A.M.; Liu, C.L.; Green, M.R.; Gentle, A.J.; Feng, W.; Xu, Y.; Hoang, C.D.; Diehn, M.; Alizadeh, A.A. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. Nat. Methods 2015, 12, 453–457. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Yoshihara, K.; Shahmoradgoli, M.; MarkA nez, E.; Vegesna, R.; Kim, H.; Torres-Garcia, W.; Treviño, V.; Shen, H.; Laird, P.W.; Levine, D.A.; et al. Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Pozdeyev, N.; Erickson, T.A.; Zhang, L.; Ellison, K.; Rivard, C.J.; Sams, S.; Hirsch, F.R.; Haugen, B.R.; French, J.D. Comprehensive Immune Profiling of Medullary Thyroid Cancer. Thyroid 2020, 30, 1263–1279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Jain, S.; Watson, M.A.; DeBenedetti, M.K.; Hiraki, Y.; Moley, J.F.; Milbrandt, J. Expression profiles provide insights into early malignant potential and skeletal abnormalities in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B syndrome tumors. Cancer Res. 2004, 64, 3907–3913. [CrossRef]

27. Rusinek, D.; Pfeifer, A.; Cieslicka, M.; Kowalska, M.; Pawlaczek, A.; Krajewska, J.; Szpak-Ulczok, S.; Tyszkiewicz, T.; Halczok, M.; Czarnecka, A.; et al. TERT Promoter Mutations and Their Impact on Gene Expression Profile in Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. Cancers 2020, 12, 1597. [CrossRef]

28. Fernandez, N.F.; Gundersen, G.W.; Rahman, A.; Grimes, M.L.; Rikova, K.; Hornbeck, P.; Ma’ayan, A. Clustergrammer, a web-based heatmap visualization and analysis tool for high-dimensional biological data. Sci. Data 2017, 4, 170151. [CrossRef]

29. Khomtchouk, B.B.; Henressy, J.R.; Wahlestedt, C. Shinyheatmap: Ultra fast low memory heatmap web interface for big data genomics. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0176334. [CrossRef]

30. Maliszewska, A.; Leandro-Garcia, L.J.; Castelblanco, E.; Maciá, A.; De Cubas, A.; Gómez-López, G.; Ingладa-Pérez, L.; Álvarez-Escolá, C.; De la Vega, L.; Letón, R.; et al. Differential gene expression of medullary thyroid carcinoma reveals specific markers associated with genetic conditions. Am. J. Pathol. 2013, 182, 350–362. [CrossRef]

31. Ameer, N.; Lacroix, L.; Roucan, S.; Roux, V.; Broutin, S.; Talbot, M.; Dupuy, C.; Caillou, B.; Schlumberger, M.; Bidart, J.M. Aggressive inherited and sporadic medullary thyroid carcinomas display similar oncogenic pathways. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 2009, 16, 1261–1272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Oczko-Wojciechowska, M.; Swierniak, M.; Krajewska, J.; Kowalska, M.; Skowrońska, T.; Wojtas, B.; Rusinek, D.; Pawlaczek, A.; Czarnecka, A.; et al. Differences in the transcriptome of medullary thyroid cancer regarding the status and type of RET gene mutations. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 42074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Simbolo, M.; Mian, C.; Barollo, S.; Fassan, M.; Malficini, A.; Neves, D.; Scardoni, M.; Pennelli, G.; Rugge, M.; Pelizzo, M.R.; et al. High-throughput mutation profiling improves diagnostic stratification of sporadic medullary thyroid carcinomas. Virchows Arch. 2014, 463, 73–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Ji, J.H.; Oh, Y.L.; Hong, M.; Yun, J.W.; Lee, H.W.; Kim, D.; Ji, Y.; Kim, D.H.; Park, W.Y.; Shin, H.T.; et al. Identification of Driving ALK Fusion Genes and Genomic Landscape of Medullary Thyroid Cancer. PLoS Genet. 2015, 11, e1005467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Heilmann, A.M.; Subbiah, V.; Wang, K.; Sun, J.X.; Elvin, J.A.; Chmielecki, J.; Sherman, S.I.; Murthy, R.; Busaidy, N.L.; Subbiah, I.; et al. Comprehensive Genomic Profiling of Clinically Advanced Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma. Oncology 2016, 90, 339–346. [CrossRef]

36. Vanden, B.P.; Schrock, A.B.; Anderson, P.M.; Morris, J.C., III; Heilmann, A.M.; Holmes, O.; Wang, K.; Johnson, A.; Waguespack, S.G.; Ou, S.I.; et al. Pediatric, Adolescent, and Young Adult Thyroid Carcinoma Harbors Frequent and Diverse Targetable Genomic Alterations, Including Kinase Fusions. Oncologist 2017, 22, 255–263. [CrossRef]

37. Zehir, A.; Benayed, R.; Shah, R.H.; Syed, A.; Middha, S.; Kim, H.R.; Srinivasan, P.; Gao, J.; Chakravarty, D.; Devlin, S.M.; et al. Aggressive inherited and sporadic medullary thyroid carcinomas display similar oncogenic pathways. Endocr. Relat. Cancer. 2018, 25, 453–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Pozdeyev, N.; Gay, L.; Sokol, E.S.; Hartmaier, R.J.; Deaver, K.E.; Davis, S.N.; French, J.D.; Vanden, B.P.; LaBarbera, D.V.; Tan, A.C.; et al. Genetic analysis of 779 advanced differentiated and anaplastic thyroid cancers. Clin. Cancer Res. 2018, 24, 3059–3068. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Xu, B.; Fuchs, T.; Dogan, S.; Landa, I.; Katabi, N.; Fagin, J.A.; Tuttle, R.M.; Sherman, E.; Gill, A.J.; Ghossein, R. Dissecting Anaplastic Thyroid Carcinoma: A Comprehensive Clinical, Histologic, Immunophenotypic, and Molecular Study of 360 Cases. Thyroid 2020, 30, 1505–1517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Tarabichi, M.; Saiselet, M.; Tresallet, C.; Hoang, C.; Larsimont, D.; Andry, G.; Maenhaut, C.; Detours, V. Revisiting the transcriptional analysis of primary tumours and associated nodal metastases with enhanced biological and statistical controls: Application to thyroid cancer. Br. J. Cancer 2015, 112, 1665–1674. [CrossRef]

46. Saiselet, M.; Gacquer, D.; Spinette, A.; Craciun, L.; Caussin-Petrucci, M.; Andry, G.; Detours, V.; Maenhaut, C. New global analysis of the microRNA transcriptome of primary tumors and lymph node metastases of papillary thyroid cancer. BMC Genom. 2015, 16, 828. [CrossRef]

47. Colombo, C.; Minna, E.; Gargiuli, C.; Muzzo, M.; Dugo, M.; De, C.L.; Pogliaghi, G.; Tosi, D.; Bulfamante, G.; Greco, A.; et al. The molecular and gene/miRNA expression profiles of radioiodine resistant papillary thyroid cancer. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 2020, 39, 245. [CrossRef]

48. Minna, E.; Department of Research, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy. MAB21L2 gene expression in normal thyroid vs. follicular cell derived thyroid cancer. unpublished data. 2021.

49. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of papillary thyroid carcinoma. Cell 2014, 159, 676–690. [CrossRef]

50. Bongiovanni, M.; Rebecchini, C.; Saglietti, C.; Builliard, J.L.; Marino, L.; de Leval, L.; Sykiotis, G.P. Very low expression of PD-L1 in medullary thyroid carcinoma. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 2017, 24, L35–L38. [CrossRef]

51. Shi, X.; Li, C.W.; Tan, L.C.; Wen, S.S.; Liao, T.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, T.Z.; Ma, B.; Yu, P.C.; Lu, Z.W.; et al. Immune Co-inhibitory Receptors PD-1, CTLA-4, TIM-3, LAG-3, and TIGIT in Medullary Thyroid Cancers: A Large Cohort Study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2021, 106, 120–132. [CrossRef]

52. Aiello, A.; Department of Pathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy. RET exon16 Sanger sequencing in patient matched primary and lymph node metastatic MTC tissues. unpublished data. 2021.

53. Musholt, T.J.; Hanack, J.; Brehm, C.; von Wasielewsk, R.; Musholt, P.B. Searching for non-RET molecular alterations in medullary thyroid carcinoma: Expression analysis by mRNA differential display. World J. Surg. 2005, 29, 472–482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Moersch, U.; Nielsen, H.S.; Lundsgaard, D.; Oleksiewicz, M.B. Flow sorting from organ material by intracellular markers. Cytom. Part A J. Int. Soc. Anal. Cytol. 2007, 71, 495–500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Method of the Year 2020: Spatially resolved transcriptomics. Nat. Methods 2021, 18, 9–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Machens, A.; Frank-Raue, K.; Lorenz, K.; Rondot, S.; Raue, F.; Dralle, H. Clinical relevance of RET variants G691S, L769L, S836S and S904S to sporadic medullary thyroid cancer. Clin. Endocrinol. 2012, 76, 691–697. [CrossRef]

57. Skalniak, A.; Troimiuk-Müldner, M.; Przybylik-Mazurek, E.; Hubalewska-Dydejczyk, A. Modifier Role of Common RET Variants in Sporadic Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 11794. [CrossRef]

58. Colombo, C.; Minna, E.; Romeo, P.; Lecis, D.; Persani, L.; Mondellini, P.; Pierotti, M.A.; Greco, A.; Fugazzola, L.; et al. The modifier role of RET-G691S polymorphism in hereditary medullary thyroid carcinoma: Functional characterization and expression/penetrance studies. Orphanet. J. Rare Dis. 2015, 10, 25. [CrossRef]

59. Lantieri, F.; Caroli, F.; Ceccherini, I.; Griseri, P. The involvement of the RET variant G691S in medullary thyroid carcinoma: Conflicting results of meta-analyses need to be reconciled. Int. J. Cancer 2013, 133, 2808–2819. [CrossRef]