On the high energy pulsar population detected by Fermi
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The Large Area Telescope (LAT), Fermi’s main instrument, is providing a new view of the local energetic pulsar population. In addition to identifying a pulsar origin of a large fraction of the bright unidentified Galactic EGRET sources, the LAT results provide a great opportunity to study a sizable population of high-energy pulsars. Correlations of their physical properties, such as the trend of the luminosity versus the rotational energy loss rate, help identify global features of the gamma-ray pulsar population. Several lines of evidence, including the light curve and spectral features, suggest that gamma-ray emission from the brightest pulsars arises largely in the outer magnetosphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the launch of the Fermi satellite and during its first six months of data taking in survey mode the pulsar population discovered in the gamma-ray sky is grown as far as 46 objects. All their features and a first study of the new population are summarized in The First Fermi Large Area Telescope Catalog of Gamma-ray Pulsars [Abdo, A. A., et al., for the Fermi LAT collaboration 2009]. Fermi data were analyzed to search for pulsations using 762 contemporaneous ephemerides obtained from radio telescopes and 5 from X-ray telescopes. A group of 218 of these ephemerides come from a list of good candidate gamma-ray pulsars selected with spin-down power greater than $10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The rest of the ephemerides is a sample of 544 pulsars from nearly the entire $P - \dot{P}$ plane, reducing in this way the possible bias of the LAT pulsar searches. In total the LAT detected 29 radio-selected pulsars, 5 of them are EGRET pulsars, and 8 are MSPs [Abdo et al. 2009c]. The LAT has also discovered 16 gamma-selected pulsars [Abdo et al. 2009a]. The discovery of these pulsars, as well as the determination of their timing ephemerides come directly from LAT gamma-ray data. Including Geminga, the new population counts 17 gamma-selected pulsars. A campaign to search for their radio counterpart started soon and three of them have already been found [Camilo et al. 2009; Abdo et al. 2009b]. However, with good probability some of them are true radio-quiet gamma-ray pulsars, as in the case of CTA1 [Abdo et al. 2008] and PSR 1836+5925, that were deeply searched for radio pulsations in the past. With this large population of pulsars statistical studies on their features can be performed, that allow to better understand their physics and emission mechanisms.

Figure 1: Phase difference $\Delta$ between the gamma-ray peaks, versus the phase lag $\delta$ between the main radio peak and the nearest gamma-ray peak. Pulsars without a radio detection are plotted with $\delta = 0$. With present light curves we cannot generally measure $\Delta < 0.15$; objects classified as single-peaked are plotted with $\Delta = 0$. Two such objects, both MSPs, are off the plot at $\delta > 0.8$. Blue squares: gamma-ray-selected pulsars. Red triangles: millisecond gamma-ray pulsars. Green circles: all other radio loud gamma-ray pulsars.
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2. TIMING AND SPECTRAL FEATURES

Deep analysis were performed on all the pulsars detected by Fermi. Here we summarize and discuss their results. A detailed description of these analysis can been found in the first Fermi pulsar catalog [Abdo, A. A., et al., for the Fermi LAT collaboration 2009].

Long base ephemerides that cover the first six months of LAT sky survey were evaluated for each of the detected pulsars. For the radio loud pulsars they are built joining the radio data of several radio telescopes. All the telescopes involved in this work are Parkes, Nançay, Westerbork Synthesis radio telescopes, Arecibo, Green Bank Telescope, and the 76-m Lovell radio telescope at Jodrell Bank. For the gamma-selected pulsars the timing solutions are evaluated using the LAT-data with a technique described in [Ray et al. 2009]. The LAT observation is divided in several time windows of 10-20 days depending on the brightness of the source; the data in the windows are analyzed in order to define a pulse time of arrival (ToA) for each of them, that are finally used to build the time solution over the entire period of observation.

The light curves obtained folding the photons with the calculated ephemeris were analyzed and parametrized. As first, they have been classified for their peak multiplicity, as single or double peaked. In the latter case the distance in phase of the two gamma-ray peaks (∆) was measured. Most of the radio loud gamma-ray pulsars have a radio profile as simple as a single peak, or it is always possible in general to clearly define a main radio peak. The phase delay of the first gamma-ray peak respect to the main radio peak (δ) was evaluated.

Most of the pulsars show two dominant, relatively sharp peaks, suggesting the caustics from the edge of a hollow cone. When a single peak is seen, it tends to be broader, suggesting a tangential cut through an emission cone. This picture is realized in the Outer Gap and the high altitude portion of the Slot Gap models. As well, these models predict the correlation observed in figure 1 in the radio lag (δ) versus the the gamma-ray peaks separation (∆).

The spectrum energy of all the LAT gamma-ray pulsars is well fitted by a power-law with an exponential cut-off.

\[
\frac{dN}{dE} = KE_{\text{GeV}}^{-\Gamma} \exp\left(\frac{E}{E_c}\right)
\]  

(1)

The significance of the exponential cut-off respect of a simply power-law model has been evaluated with the likelihood ratio test. For some pulsars the significance is below 5σ, and the cut-off energy (E_c) is poorly determined for those few with the significance lower than 3σ.

In figure 2 is plotted the cut-off energy versus the magnetic field at the light cylinder (B_{LC}).

\[
B_{LC} = \left(\frac{318\pi^4\dot{P}}{e^2P^5}\right)^{1/2} \approx 2.94 \times 10^8(\dot{P}P^{-5})^{1/2} \text{ G}.
\]

(2)

Along the right axis of figure 2 is shown the histogram of the cut-off energies (E_c), that have a range of only about a decade, from 1 to 10 GeV, and are weakly correlated with the magnetic field at the light cylinder (B_{LC}).

The fact that this features are common to all the different types of pulsars strongly implies that the...
gamma-ray emission originates in similar locations in the magnetosphere relative to the light cylinder. Such a correlation of $E_c$ with $B_{LC}$ is actually expected in all outer magnetosphere models where the gamma-ray emission primarily comes from curvature radiation of electrons whose acceleration is balanced by radiation losses [Muslimov and Harding 2004, Zhang et al. 2004, Hirotani 2008 [12]].

In figure 4 is plotted the spectral index versus the rotational energy loss rate ($E$). As shown in the histogram along the right axis, the spectral indices are distributed around $\sim 1.5$ and there is a general trend for the young pulsars to show a softer spectrum at large $E$. This may be indicative of higher pair multiplicity, which would steepen the spectrum for the more energetic pulsars, either by steepening the spectrum of the curvature radiation-generating primary electrons [Romani 1996] or by inclusion of an additional soft spectral component associated with robust pair formation [Takata and Chang 2007, Harding et al. 2008].

3. THE LOCAL POPULATION AND THE PULSAR LUMINOSITY

To evaluate the Galactic pulsar distribution as well as their luminosity, a good knowledge of the distances is needed. The annual trigonometric parallax method give the most precise estimate of the distance, but unfortunately it is available only for few nearby pul-
sors. Among the other methods, the most commonly used estimates the pulsar distance from the radio dispersion measure (DM) coupled to an electron density distribution model, as the NE2001 [Cordes and Lazio 2002]. The distances measured with this method have to be taken carefully and a conservative error of 30% is assumed for the calculation of the pulsar luminosity. When distances from different methods disagree and no one is more convincing than the other, a distance range is assumed.

In figure 4 the pulsar distribution on the Galactic plane clearly shows that the LAT is detecting a local population of gamma-ray pulsars, most of them not farther than 3 kpc (the inner circle in the figure). For the pulsars with an estimated distance range, only the closer edge of the range is drawn in the figure.

In order to give a complete description of the gamma-ray pulsar population, the possible association with sources in other wavelengths has been investigated. At least 19 of the 46 LAT gamma-ray pulsars are positional associated with a PWN and/or SNR, and 9 of them are associated with TeV sources. Those pulsars with both TeV and PWN associations are typically young, with ages less than 20 kyr. There are at least 3 more pulsars associated with TeV sources but not with PWNs or SNR.

Among the LAT gamma-ray pulsars, 17 were unidentified EGRET sources. This result improves the discussions about the nature of the Galactic unidentified EGRET sources, as well as the discussion on the pulsars emission models. Population synthesis studies have shown that the expected ratio of radio-loud and radio-quiet pulsars strongly depends on the assumed emission model. The total Galactic birthrate of energetic pulsars evaluated from the LAT sample is of 1/50 yr, with gamma-selected object representing half or more. The small ratio of radio-selected to gamma-selected gamma-ray pulsars suggests that gamma-ray emission has an appreciably larger extent than the radio beams, such as expected in the outer gap (OG) [Romani and Yadigaroglu 1995] and slot-gap/two pole caustic (SG/TPC) models [Muslimov and Harding 2004] [Dyks and Rudak 2003].

Other constraints on pulsar models can come from the investigation of the relation between the pulsar luminosity ($L_\gamma$) and the rotational energy loss rate ($\dot{E}$). In figure 5 is plotted this relation for the gamma-ray pulsars detected by LAT. The dashed line indicates $L_\gamma = \dot{E}$, while the dot-dashed line indicates $L_\gamma \propto \dot{E}^{1/2}$, where

$$L_\gamma \equiv 4\pi d^2 f_\Omega G_{100}.$$  

The flux correction factor $f_\Omega$ [Watters et al 2009] is model-dependent and depends on the magnetic in-
clination and observer angles $\alpha$ and $\zeta$. Both the outer gap and slot gap models predict $f_\Omega \sim 1$, in contrast to earlier use of $f_\Omega = 1/4\pi \approx 0.08$ [in e.g. Thompson et al. [1994], or $f_\Omega = 0.5$ for MSPs [in e.g. Pierro et al. [1997]]. For simplicity, we use $f_\Omega = 1$ throughout the paper, which presumably induces an artificial spread in the quoted $L_\gamma$ values. However, it is the quadratic distance dependence for $L_\gamma$ that dominates the uncertainty in $L_\gamma$ in nearly all cases.

Gamma-rays dominate the total power $L_{\text{tot}}$ radiated by most known high-energy pulsars, that is, $L_{\text{tot}} \approx L_\gamma$. The Crab is a notable exception, with X-ray luminosity $L_X \sim 10 \times L_\gamma$. In Figure 5 we plot both $L_\gamma$ and $L_X + L_\gamma$. $L_X$ for $E < 100$ MeV is taken from Figure 9 of [Kuiper et al. 2001].

Many models for the gamma-ray emitting gap predict that the gamma-ray luminosity of the pulsars is proportional to the square root of the spin-down power ($L_\gamma \propto \dot{E}$). This implies that the gamma-ray efficiency increases with decreasing $\dot{E}$, down to $10^{34} - 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ where the gap saturates at large efficiency. However the luminosity evolution of the LAT pulsars sample seems more complicated.

At high $\dot{E}$ ($> 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$) the data seems to be in rough agreement with the predicted trend. For $10^{35} < \dot{E} < 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ $L_\gamma$ seem nearly constant, but the uncertainties in this range are very large and could hide the true luminosity evolution. In the range $10^{34} < \dot{E} < 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ the gap saturation is expected to occur in both Slot Gap ($\sim 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$) and Outer Gap models ($\sim 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$) and at least for the OG model $f$ is expect to drop well below 1. However, with the wide range of gamma-ray efficiencies in the plot it is not possible to discriminate between these model predictions. Finally for $\dot{E} < 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ the sample is dominated by the MSPs and the trend seems more consistent with $\dot{E}$.

4. DISCUSSION

The LAT is providing a new local population of gamma-ray pulsars, composed by tree families: the radio loud normal pulsars, the gamma-selected pulsars, and the millisecond pulsars. Considering also that gamma-ray pulsations have been searched for pulsars spanning the entire $P - \dot{P}$ plane, it makes of the LAT population an unbiased one that provide a new window on pulsar demographics and physics.

Evidence is that a large fraction of the local energetic pulsars are GeV emitters. There is also a significant correlation with X-ray and TeV bright pulsar wind nebulae. Conversely, the pulsar origin of a large fraction of the bright unidentified Galactic EGRET sources is now uncovered. Plausible pulsar counterparts are also found for several previously detected TeV sources. In this sense the “mystery” of the unidentified EGRET sources is largely solved.

Finally, the light curve and spectral evidence summarized above suggests that these pulsars have high altitude emission zones whose fan-like beams scan over a large portion of the celestial sphere. This is also confirmed by the estimated pulsars birth rate and by the ratio of radio-selected to gamma-selected gamma-ray pulsars.
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