Synthesis, Characterization, and Application of Europium(III) Complexes as Luminescent Markers of Banknotes
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In this work, three complexes were synthesized from the trivalent europium ion (Eu(III)), using the picrate anion (pic), and delta-valerolactam (DVL), epsilon-caprolactam (EPK), and oenantholactam (OEN). The synthesized complexes [Eu(pic)3∙(DVL)3], [Eu(pic)3∙(EPK)3], and [Eu(pic)3∙(OEN)3] were studied as luminescent markers for application as security elements in Brazilian banknotes. All complexes showed red color emission with absorption at 397 nm and emission at 614 nm. Qualitative luminescence tests were performed on R$10, R$20, R$50, and R$100 Brazilian banknotes. The complexes were applied on the surface of the banknotes and were exposed to different wavelengths of 254, 312, 365, and 320-400 nm. The chemical profiles of the complexes were identified on the banknotes employing the laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (LDI (±) MS) technique. Generally, tests were promising, and can thus provide a simple, fast, and easy method to identify the authenticity of questioned documents, with an average cost of R$0.65 per mg.
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Introduction

Documentoscopy or detection of forgery is the branch of forensic chemistry dealing with the study of questioned documents to verify their authenticity. It has a role in criminalistics, not only to determine the veracity of documents but also to discover the authorship of falsifications and the means that were employed.1-3

The falsification of documents is characterized as a crime of intelligence, and within the field of documentoscopy, banknotes, stamps, national driving licenses, identity cards, vehicle registration certificates, vehicle licensing certificates, credit cards, and checks stand out as primary targets of frauds.4 Within this context, the use of different analytical techniques is reported in the literature to determine authenticity, such as gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy to determine the ages of documents,5,6 video spectral comparator (VSC) to establish trace release order,7 and ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy for the differentiation of italic letters.8

The advancement of digital technologies in copying equipment, printing, and image processing has facilitated the falsification of documents, and the quality of falsified documents can be practically indistinguishable from the original document.9 Therefore, the development of efficient and rapid analytical techniques to determine authenticity is necessary. Typically, the techniques used include atomic force microscopy,10 FTIR spectroscopy,11 Raman spectroscopy,12,14 GC-MS,15 ESI MS (electrospray ionization mass spectrometry),16 LDI MS (laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry),17-19 and ambient ionization mass spectrometry techniques EASI MS (easy ambient
A series of lanthanide(III) picrate (pic) complexes with different organic molecules as coligands are reported in the literature. Silva et al.\textsuperscript{32} described the preparation of a complex isomorphous with hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA), with the formula $[\text{Ln(pic)}_3 \cdot (\text{HMPA})]$ (Ln = Nd, Eu). Miranda Jr. et al.\textsuperscript{33} reported the characterization of the structure with composition $[\text{Ln(pic)}_3 \cdot (\text{DTSO})]_3 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (Ln = La-Yb, Y), using 1,3-dithiane-1-oxide (DTSO) as a coligand. Melo et al.\textsuperscript{34} synthesized lanthanide(III) picrate complexes with $N,N$-dimethylacetamide (DMA), with the composition $[\text{Ln(pic)}_3 \cdot (\text{DMA})_3 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (Ln = La, Eu, Gd, Sm, Lu). Nunes et al.\textsuperscript{35} reported a complex with 3-picoline-$N$-oxide (3-picNO) and the formula $[\text{Eu(pic)}_3 \cdot (3\text{-picNO})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})]$. Marinho et al.\textsuperscript{36} described a synthesis utilizing pyrazine-$N$-oxide (pyzNO) obtaining compounds with the composition $[\text{Ln(pic)}_3 \cdot (\text{pyzNO})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (Ln = Nd, Eu). Destefani et al.\textsuperscript{37} reported a compound using $N$-methylcaprolactam (NMC) as coligand with the formula $[\text{Eu(pic)}_3 \cdot (\text{NMC})]$.

This work reports the synthesis, characterization, and application of three new europium(III) picrate complexes, using three different lactams (delta-valerolactam, epsilon-caprolactam, and oenantholactam) as coligands, for luminescent markers of Brazilian R$10, R$20, R$50, and R$100 banknotes.

### Experimental

#### Materials

Ethanol, hydrochloric acid (HCl), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and urea (analytical grade with purity higher than 99.5%) were supplied by Vetec Química Fina Ltda, Duque de Caxias, RJ, Brazil. $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$ (99.9%), picric acid (pic) $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$ (99.9%), delta-valerolactam (DVL) $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{NO}$ (98%), epsilon-caprolactam (EPK) $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}$ (98%), and oenantholactam (OEN) $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}$ (98%) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals, St. Louis, USA.

The chemical stoichiometry of the complexes was determined through complexometric titration with 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ EDTA standard solution\textsuperscript{38} and elemental analysis using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash 1112-CHNS-O (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). The infrared spectra were obtained in transmittance mode with an attenuated total reflectance accessory (ATR) in the region of 4000-650 cm$^{-1}$, using a PerkinElmer FTIR Spectrum 400 MID/NIR spectrometer (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) at room temperature. UV-Vis region spectroscopy analyses were performed for the solid-state complexes using a PerkinElmer spectrometer (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), in the range of 220-800 nm. Excitation spectra were obtained at room temperature in the
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sonic-spray ionization mass spectrometry), PSI MS (paper spray ionization mass spectrometry), DESI MS (desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry), and DART MS (direct analysis in real time mass spectrometry).\textsuperscript{20-24} Among the types of forgery employed, the counterfeiting of banknotes deserves particular attention, since this is an increasingly common financial crime, both in the sense of increasing the number of falsified records and in the diversity of the falsification methods used by the falsifiers.\textsuperscript{25,26} In addition, this type of crime can affect the economy of several countries. Therefore, the use of efficient security items such as security papers, latent images, watermarks, magnetic strips, special printing techniques, holograms, and areas with infrared (IR) or ultraviolet (UV) light responses has been adopted as a form of fingerprinting for authentic banknotes.\textsuperscript{27}

It is of great importance to use security items that are easily and quickly identified by the population to prevent counterfeiting of banknotes. For this purpose, the use of new photoluminescent materials that emit light in the presence of electromagnetic radiation in the IR or UV region gains prominence because these materials meet the requirement of simple and rapid identification. New materials incorporating lanthanides\textsuperscript{28-37} may be potential photoluminescent markers for the authenticity of banknotes.

The luminescent property of lanthanide ions mainly originates from transitions involving partially occupied 4f orbitals. The luminescence phenomenon using direct excitation of the lanthanide ion is inefficient because it does not have high molar absorptivity. The light is absorbed by an organic molecule (ligand), which transfers energy to the lanthanide ion, which then emits luminescence resulting from intra-configuration transitions of orbital 4f and usually observed in the visible region. Hence, there is an intra-molecular energy transfer from the ligand to the metal ion known as the “antenna effect”.\textsuperscript{38,39}

This luminescent ability of the lanthanide complexes, to absorb and emit radiation with characteristic wavelengths, defines these materials as light conversion molecular devices (DMCLs). The efficiency of the transfer of energy from the binder to the lanthanide ion depends on the chemical nature of the coordinated ligand.\textsuperscript{40} Among the lanthanide(III) ions, the elements europium, terbium, and thulium emit in the regions of visible red, green, and blue light, respectively.\textsuperscript{41,42} The luminescent properties of materials derived from these metals have broad applicability in several areas, including their use as bio-detectors,\textsuperscript{43,44} films,\textsuperscript{45} solar cells,\textsuperscript{46} and organic light-emitting diode (OLED),\textsuperscript{47} and, in the forensic field, in the detection of explosives,\textsuperscript{28} fingerprints,\textsuperscript{48,49} and gunshot residues.\textsuperscript{50,51}
range of 250-550 nm, with a slot opening of 0.75, monitoring the intensity of the \(^{3}D_0 \rightarrow ^{7}F_2\) transition at 616 nm. Emission spectra were obtained in the range of 550-750 nm at room temperature, with a slot opening of 0.75, and excitation at 397 nm, using a Quantum Master 40 spectrophotometer (Edison, New Jersey, USA) with a 75 W xenon lamp. Determinations of the exact masses of the complexes were made by laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry in both ionization modes, LDI (±) MS, using an FT-ICR model 9.4 T Solarix mass spectrometer, Bruker Daltonics (Bremen, Germany), equipped with a Smartbeam-II™ (355 nm) laser. LDI (±) MS data were acquired with 16 scans with a frequency of 200 Hz in the range of \(m/z\) 200-1200, using 100 laser shots per pixel, a small (ca. 30 µm) laser focus setting, and laser power ranging from 13 to 15%.

**Synthesis**

Synthesis of hydrated europium(III) picrate [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(H\(_2\)O)\(_{11}\)]

Initially, hydrated basic carbonate of europium(III), Eu\(_2\)O\(_3\) (5.0 g), and concentrated hydrochloric acid was added to the oxide suspension in water (800 mL). The solution was heated (85-90 °C) and urea was added until the solution reached a pH of approximately 7. Basic carbonate hydrate of europium(III) with a yield of 91% was obtained as a product, and 2.0 g of this compound was then suspended in an aqueous medium (100 mL). This solution was heated (85-90 °C) and picric acid was added until all basic carbonate was consumed. The resulting solution was filtered and allowed to stand at room temperature for crystallization. The obtained crystals had yellow coloration and were dried at room temperature and stored in an amber bottle. Yield 79%; anal. calcd. (%) for [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(H\(_2\)O)\(_{11}\)]: C 20.90, H 2.73, N 12.19, Eu III 14.70, found: C 20.60, H 2.50, N 11.83, Eu III 15.50; FTIR-ATR ν/cm\(^{-1}\) 1558 (s) ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\), 1335 (s) ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\), 797 (m) γCH, for free picric acid (Figure 1a) 1520 (s) ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\), 1539 (s) ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\), 782 (m) γCH.

Synthesis of complexes

The compounds were prepared by dissolving the hydrated europium(III) picrate in ethanol with an ethanolic solution of the lactam (molar ratio 1:3, lactam = DVL, EPK, and OEN). Triethyl orthoformate, which is used as a dehydrating agent, was then added, contributing to the removal of hydration waters from the europium(III) picrate. The system was stirred until a yellow solid appeared. The solid obtained was washed with ethyl ether, dried at room temperature, and stored in an amber bottle. The results of experimental and calculated elemental analysis and FTIR analysis of the complexes were as follows:

Europium(III) picrate with delta-valerolactam [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(DVL)\(_3\)]

Yield 63%; anal. calcd. (%) for C\(_{33}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_{12}\)O\(_{24}\)Eu: C 34.87, H 2.93, N 14.79, Eu III 13.40, found: C 35.88, H 3.09, N 14.39, Eu III 13.37; FTIR-ATR ν/cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1c) 2956 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1599 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\), 1534 (s) ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\), 1318 (s) ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\), 785 (m) γCH, for free DVL (Figure 1b) 2951 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1637 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\).

Europium(III) picrate with epsilon-caprolactam [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(EPK)\(_3\)]

Yield 68%; anal. calcd. (%) for C\(_{36}\)H\(_{39}\)N\(_{12}\)O\(_{24}\)Eu: C 36.69, H 3.33, N 14.26, Eu III 12.93, found: C 37.39, H 3.39, N 14.34, Eu III 13.01; FTIR-ATR ν/cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1e) 2935 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1607 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\), 1534 (s) ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\), 1324 (s) ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\), 790 (m) γCH, for free EPK (Figure 1d) 2930 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1647 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\).

Europium(III) picrate with oenantholactam [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(OEN)\(_3\)]

Yield 72%; anal. calcd. (%) for C\(_{39}\)H\(_{45}\)N\(_{12}\)O\(_{24}\)Eu: C 38.43, H 3.69, N 13.79, Eu III 12.48, found: C 38.46, H 3.69, N 13.79, Eu III 12.48; FTIR-ATR ν/cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1g) 2891 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1599 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\), 1534 (s) ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\), 1318 (s) ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\), 785 (m) γCH, for free OEN (Figure 1f) 2890 (s) ν\(_{\text{NH}}\), 1637 (s) ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\).

![Figure 1. FTIR-ATR spectra of (a) pic: ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\) (7), ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\) (8), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (9), γOH (10), γCH (11); (b) DVL: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (1), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (2); (c) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(DVL)\(_3\)]: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (1), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (2), ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\) (7), ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\) (8), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (9), γCH (11); (d) EPK: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (3), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (4); (e) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(EPK)\(_3\)]: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (3), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (4), ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\) (7), ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\) (8), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (9), γCH (11); (f) OEN: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (5), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (6); (g) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)∙(OEN)\(_3\)]: ν\(_{\text{NH}}\) (5), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (6), ν\(_{\text{assNO2}}\) (7), ν\(_{\text{sNO2}}\) (8), ν\(_{\text{C=O}}\) (9), γCH (11).](image)
Results and Discussion

Synthesis

Hydrated basic carbonates of europium(III) were prepared by precipitation from homogeneous solutions via the hydrolysis of urea without the addition of an auxiliary anion, allowing the formation of hydrated europium(III) picrate by the direct reaction of hydrated basic carbonate of europium(III) with picric acid. This process obtained a high yield and a non-hygroscopic compound.

The stoichiometry of the complexes was obtained through elemental analysis (CHN), which confirmed the 1:3 molar ratio ([Eu(pic)₃∙(H₂O)₁₁]:lactam). FTIR spectra (Figure 1) identified the presence of asymmetric stretching frequencies (νassNO₂(region 7)) and symmetrical frequencies (νsNO₂(region 8)) for the picrate ion, demonstrating the coordination of this ion to the metallic center of the europium(III). The split and shifted νsNO₂vibration suggested that the picrate ions are coordinated to the metallic center in a bidentate form, through the phenolic oxygen atom and the o-nitro group oxygen atom. The disappearance of the out-of-plane vibration of the OH (region 10) group at 1151 cm⁻¹, corresponding to free picric acid, indicates that hydrogen has been replaced by the Eu³⁺ ion and that phenolic oxygen coordination to Eu³⁺ has occurred. The νC–O (region 9) vibration shift from 1260 to 1274 cm⁻¹ suggests that the substitution of phenolic hydrogen (OH) by Eu³⁺ increases the π character of the C–O bond. In addition, the νC=O (regions 2, 4, and
vibration shift for lower energy regions and decreased intensity of $\nu$NH (regions 1, 3, and 5) stretching of lactams, concerning the free ligand, suggests the coordination of carboxyl to the Eu$^{3+}$ ion.\textsuperscript{65}

Emission and excitation analysis

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of [Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$] in Figure S1a (Supplementary Information (SI) section) and [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$] complexes in Figure S1c (SI section) show bands with maximum absorption at 326 and 324 nm, respectively, which can be attributed to intra-ligand $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$-type electronic transitions, and a band with maximum intensity at 391 nm, which was observed for both, attributed to $n \rightarrow \pi^*$-type transitions. For the [Eu(pic)$_3$·(OEN)$_3$] complex in Figure S1b (SI section), a single broad band between 250-500 nm, overlapping the $5L_6^0$ level. The excitation spectra exhibit a broad band between 250-500 nm, which can be attributed to the overlapping $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions. In addition, a low-intensity band around 740 nm can be attributed to the overlapping $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $\nu^*$-$\pi^*$-type transitions. For the [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$] complex in Figure S1c (SI section) and characterized as the transition band of the ligands [Eu(pic)$_3$·(H$_2$O)$_{11}$] 11.38 7.45 [Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$] 16.17 7.41 [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$] 17.90 7.15 Table 1. Experimental intensity parameters $\Omega_2$ and $\Omega_4$ calculated for the complexes

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Compound & $\Omega_2$ ($\times 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$) & $\Omega_4$ ($\times 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$) \\
\hline
[Eu(pic)$_3$·(H$_2$O)$_{11}$] & 11.38 & 7.45 \\
[Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$] & 16.17 & 7.41 \\
[Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$] & 17.90 & 7.15 \\
[Eu(pic)$_3$·(OEN)$_3$] & 15.76 & 7.29 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
\caption{Experimental intensity parameters $\Omega_2$ and $\Omega_4$ calculated for the compounds}
\end{table}

The experimental intensity parameter $\Omega_2$ shows different values for the compounds in Table 1, indicating that the Eu$^{3+}$ ions are in different chemical environments and that...
a highly polarizable chemical environment exists around the Eu$^{III}$. According to the literature,$^{73}$ the $\Omega_2$ value is most influenced by small angular changes in the local geometry. This effect, together with changes in the polarizability of the ligating atom ($\alpha$), has been used to explain the hypersensitivity of certain 4f-4f transitions to changes in the chemical environment. Borges et al.$^{74}$ reported a new anionic complex containing 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium (EMIm) with the composition (EMIm)$_2$[Eu(Pic)$_4$·(H$_2$O)$_2$]Pic and found values for $\Omega_2$ ($16.7 \times 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$) and $\Omega_4$ ($7.7 \times 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$). This is consistent with the values found for $\Omega_2$ and $\Omega_4$ in the present study for the compounds.

The red color of the synthesized compounds was verified using the chromaticity diagram in Figure 3 generated by the Spectra Lux 1.0 software,$^{75}$ which used the emission spectra between 550-750 nm. The following coordinates were obtained: ($x = 0.68; y = 0.31$) for [Eu(pic)$_3$·(H$_2$O)$_{11}$] in Figure 3b, ($x = 0.67; y = 0.32$) for [Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$] in Figure 3d, ($x = 0.68; y = 0.32$) for [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$] in Figure 3f, and ($x = 0.68; y = 0.32$) for [Eu(pic)$_3$·(OEN)$_3$] in Figure 3h. The values found for the chromaticity coordinates are in agreement with the standard values for red luminophores ($x = 0.64; y = 0.33$).$^{75,76}$

Photoluminescence analyses were qualitatively performed on the banknotes to visually assess the light emission of each complex present on the R$50 banknotes. Figure 4 shows the light emission of each complex under excitation at different wavelengths.

The emission of red light, corresponding to each complex present in the banknotes, under excitation at different wavelengths (254, 312, 365, and 320-400 nm (Lumatec Spritelite 400)) was easily visualized. These excitation
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Figure 3. Emission spectra at room temperature, $\lambda_{exc.} = 397$ nm, of (a) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(H$_2$O)$_{11}$], (c) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$], (e) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$], and (g) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(OEN)$_3$] and their respective chromaticity diagrams: (b) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(H$_2$O)$_{11}$], (d) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(DVL)$_3$], (f) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(EPK)$_3$], and (h) [Eu(pic)$_3$·(OEN)$_3$].
wavelengths were visually evaluated by observation of the emitted light from the complexes. At 365 and 320-400 nm, which are wavelengths close to that obtained in the excitation spectra (397 nm), the observed red light emission was more intense than that at the 254 and 312 nm wavelengths. In addition to the luminescence from the complexes added to the banknote surfaces, Figures 4i-4k also show a region of emitted green light, which is attributable to one of the security elements already present in the banknote itself.

While all the complexes produced light emissions that allowed their visual identification on banknotes, the [Eu(pic), (EPK),] complex was selected and applied on the surface of the R$10, R$20, and R$100 banknotes. Figure 5 shows the red light emission of the [Eu(pic), (EPK),] complex under excitation at different wavelengths on the R$10, R$20, and R$100 banknotes.

Based on the results in Figure 5, it was observed that the luminescence of the [Eu(pic), (EPK),] is visually...
noticeable at different wavelengths. The 365 nm wavelength emits more intense luminescence because it is closer to the maximum excitation wavelength (397 nm) of the \[\text{[Eu(pic)}_3 \cdot \text{(EPK)}_3 \text{]}\] complex.

**LDI (±) MS**

To identify the chemical profile of the complexes deposited on the banknote surfaces while preserving their integrity, the LDI (±) MS technique was used, in which an analysis is made from the incidence of a laser beam (which may be pulsed ultraviolet or IR) focusing on a surface, allowing analyte desorption and ionization without the necessity of previous sample preparation.\(^{77-80}\) Figures 6a-6d show the chemical profile of R$50 banknotes obtained by LDI (+) MS (Figure 6a) without Eu\(^{III}\) complexes, and with (Figure 6b) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(DVL)\(_3\)], (Figure 6c) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(EPK)\(_3\)], and (Figure 6d) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(OEN)\(_3\)].

Figure 6a shows the chemical profile of the banknote with no complexes applied. It was observed that an ion of \(m/z\) 575.08132 is present. This ion is found abundantly in different regions of the R$50 banknotes before application of the complexes and thus can be characterized as a natural chemical marker to recognize the authenticity of banknotes. Eberlin \textit{et al.}\(^{22}\) reported an analysis of Brazilian banknotes using DESI MS and EASI MS, identifying ions of \(m/z\) 391, 413, 429, 803 and 819 as natural markers that characterize the chemical profile of the authentic banknotes. Schmidt \textit{et al.}\(^{81}\) also reported the study of a second family of real banknotes using EASI (+) MS, where the ion of \(m/z\) 443 was used as a fingerprint of authenticity.

LDI (+) MS spectra in Figures 6a-6d were obtained with mass resolution \(m/\Delta m_{50\%}\) ca. 381783, where \(\Delta m_{50\%}\) is the full peak width at half-maximum peak height and \(m/z\) ca. 400,\(^{82}\) and showed mass errors ranging from 0.76 to 4.64 ppm as shown in Table 2. For the [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(DVL)\(_3\)] complex, with molecular formula (M) = EuC\(_{33}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_{12}\)O\(_{24}\) and molecular weight (M\(_w\)) = 1134 Da, LDI (+) MS analysis (Figure 6b) showed a signal representing the ion of \(m/z\) 906.10605, \([\text{EuC}_{27}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_{9}\text{O}_{17}]^+\), double bond equivalent
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**Figure 6.** Chemical profile of R$50 banknotes obtained by LDI (+) MS (a) without Eu\(^{III}\) complexes, and with (b) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(DVL)\(_3\)], (c) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(EPK)\(_3\)], and (d) [Eu(pic)\(_3\)\cdot(OEN)\(_3\)].
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(DBE) = 17, which represents the loss of a picrate molecule in the form of picric acid, $C_6H_3N_3O_7$ (228 Da) from the original formula, thus allowing the ionization to occur. An ion of $m/z$ 807.03722, $[\text{EuC}_{22}H_{22}N_8O_{16}]^+$, DBE = 16 is also observed, which originates from the loss of a DVL molecule, $M = C_5H_9NO$ (99 Da), from the ion of $m/z$ 906.10605.

For the $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{DVL})₃]$ complex (Figure 6c), for which $M = \text{EuC}_{26}H_{39}N_9O_{12}$ and $M_w = 1176$ Da, the loss of a picrate molecule in the form of picric acid, $M = C_6H_3N_3O_7$ (228 Da), is detected, forming an ion of $m/z$ 948.15637, $[\text{EuC}_{30}H_{37}N_9O_{17}]^+$, and DBE = 17. The formation of an ion of $m/z$ 835.07109, $[\text{EuC}_{24}H_{26}N_8O_{16}]^+$, and DBE = 16 was also observed, originating from the loss of an EPK molecule, $M = C_5H_{11}NO$ (113 Da), from the ion of $m/z$ 948.15637.

For the $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{EPK})₃]$ complex, with $M = \text{EuC}_{36}H_{39}N_9O_{12}$ and $M_w = 1216$ Da, LDI (+) MS analysis (Figure 6d) shows that the loss of a picrate molecule in the form of picric acid, $M = C_6H_3N_3O_7$ (228 Da), produces an ion of $m/z$ 990.19932, $[\text{EuC}_{33}H_{43}N_9O_{17}]^+$, and DBE = 17. The signal observed at $m/z$ 863.09949, $[\text{EuC}_{26}H_{30}N_8O_{16}]^+$, and DBE = 16 originated from the loss of an OEN molecule, $M = C_7H_{13}NO$ (127 Da).

LDI mass spectra in negative ionization mode, i.e., LDI (−) MS, for three complexes are shown in Figure S3 (SI section), presenting the same chemical profiles, which identify only the presence of the signal related to the ion of $m/z$ 1064.87567, $[\text{EuC}_{26}H_{39}N_9O_{12}]^-$ with error = 2.48 ppm and DBE = 27. The results obtained by LDI (−) MS for the three complexes, shown in Figure S3, resembled the ESI (−) Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) MS chemical profile of a europium picrate complex with $N$-methylcaprolactam, $M = \text{Eu(PIC)}₃$(NMK)₃, and an ion of $m/z$ 1064.87567, $[\text{EuC}_{26}H_{39}N_9O_{12}]^-$, as reported by Destefani et al.83 For the LDI (±) mass spectra of the banknotes marked with complexes, the signal of the ion of $m/z$ 575.08132 (unmarked banknote) has been suppressed by signals coming from the complexes.

The isotopologue patterns of the experimental and theoretical signals of $m/z$ 906.10605, 807.03722, 948.15637, 835.07109, 990.19932, and 863.09949 in the LDI (+) MS spectra (Figure 7) were compared and showed good agreement, thus confirming the presence of EuIII complexes in the studied banknotes.

Conclusions

In this study, the $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{DVL})₃]$, $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{EPK})₃]$, and $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{OEN})₃]$ complexes were shown as new potential luminescent security materials for application in questioned documents. The identification of the complexes on the banknotes can be easily performed because wavelengths in the UV region can be used to produce light emission by the complexes. It was also possible to identify the complexes present on the surface of the banknotes using the non-destructive LDI (±) MS technique. Finally, the average cost for the synthesis of the complexes was R$0.65 per mg.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary data (UV-Vis, excitation spectra, and LDI (−) MS) are available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as a PDF file.
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| Compound | Molecular formula | $m/z$ measured | $m/z$ theoretical | Error / ppm | DBE |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-----|
| $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{DVL})₃]$ | $[\text{EuC}_{26}H_{39}N_9O_{12}]^+$ | 906.10605 | 906.10502 | 1.13 | 17 |
| $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{EPK})₃]$ | $[\text{EuC}_{30}H_{37}N_9O_{17}]^+$ | 948.15637 | 948.15197 | 4.64 | 17 |
| $[\text{Eu(pic)₃} \cdot (\text{OEN})₃]$ | $[\text{EuC}_{33}H_{43}N_9O_{17}]^+$ | 990.19932 | 990.19892 | 0.40 | 17 |

DBE: double bond equivalent; pic: picrate anion; DVL: delta-valerolactam; EPK: epsilon-caprolactam; OEN: oenantholactam.
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