Single-Crystal X-ray Structures of conductive $\pi$-Stacking Dimers of Tetrakis(alkylthio)benzene Radical Cations
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Salts containing radical cations of 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(isopropylthio)benzene (TPB) and 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(ethylthio) benzene (TEB) have been successfully synthesized with $\text{SbF}_6^-$. These newly synthesized salts have been characterized by UV-Vis absorption, EPR spectroscopy, conductivity measurement, single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis as well as DFT calculation. This study raises the first crystal structure of conductive $\pi$-stacking radical cation with single phenyl ring and reveals their conductivity has relationship with the stack structure which affected by the substituent.

Infinite face-to-face $\pi$-stacking formations are known to be important for electrical and magnetic conduction in charge-transfer (CT) complex, since research reveals electron can migrate across the chains in form of unpaired electron interchain transport. Organic conjugated oligomers assembled into $\pi$-stacks are assumed to be conductive if they could relay reaction via the stacks. The crystallization of $\pi$-conjugated co-oligomer radical cation $3',4',4',5'$-dibutyl-$5,5'$-diphenyl-$2,2',5',2'$-terthiophene in 1996 gave the first example of these $\pi$-stacked conductive materials (Fig. 1). Owing to its intrinsic instability and difficulty of crystallization, no second example of $\pi$-stacked oxidized conjugated oligomers has been structurally characterized until we stabilized the conductive crystals of 4,4'-terphenylidiamine radical cations in 2012 by introducing the large $\pi$-conjugate system and weak coordinating anion (WCA) (Fig. 1). It is known that $\pi$-radical cations can be made persistently stable and isolated by appropriate structural modification with $\pi$-conjugated systems or alkyl substituents. Steric protection with alkyl or alkoxy groups could lead to stable and soluble (co-)oligomer radical cations, but at the same time is undesirable for formation of infinite $\pi$-stacks, and hence undesirable for electrical conduction. Therefore, the conductive $\pi$-stacked radical cation without large $\pi$-conjugated system has not been stabilized yet.

On the other hand, radical cations contained S atoms that play vital roles in chemical mechanism, biochemistry and material science have attracted considerable attention. $\pi$-Stacks of $\pi$-conjugated radical cations with thio groups can be potential applications to molecular electronics. CT complex consisted of electron donor tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) radical cation and electron acceptor 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNO) radical anion is a most notable example. Conductive thiophene/phenylene co-oligomer and TTF radical cations have also been stabilized by WCA recently (Fig. 1). These dramatic results led by S atoms enlighten us to study on the single phenyl substituted with alkylthio groups.

We now report syntheses, crystal structures, spectroscopic characterizations, and physical properties of 1,2,4,5-Tetrakis-(isopropylthio)benzene (TPB) and 1,2,4,5-Tetrakis(ethylthio)-benzene (TEB) radical cations with weakly coordinating anion $\text{SbF}_6^-$. Our results give first example of $\pi$-stacking conductive radical cation with single phenyl ring. The study of CT complex can be less dependent on the hard-soluble oligomers.

**Results**

**Synthesis.** TPB was commercially available while TEB was prepared according to the literature. Cyclic voltammetry of them in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at room temperature with 0.1 M NBu$_4$PF$_6$ as the supporting electrolyte showed well-defined curves with oxidation peaks at 1.17 (TEB) and 1.18 V (TPB, vs Ag/Ag$^+$), respectively (Fig. 2),
indicating that the radical cations are stable under these conditions. Upon oxidation with NOSbF_6, TPB and TEB successfully converted to soluble radical cation salts (Eq. 1). TPB \( ^{+} \) SbF_6 and TEB \( ^{+} \) SbF_6 were formed by oxidized TPB and TEB from equivalent NOSbF_6, while 2TEB \( ^{+} \) (TEB)_2SbF_6 was obtained from excess amount of TEB.

Crystal structures. Blue block crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic studies were obtained from the dichloromethane solution of TPB \( ^{+} \) SbF_6 at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\), and the details of the crystallographic data are shown in support information (Tables S1, S2, SI). The single crystal X-ray diffraction reveals that TPB\(^+\) has \(\pi\)-dimer structure.
(Fig. 3). Dimeric pairs are separated by counter anions. Cations and anions are linked by considerable H...F and S...F contacts (Fig. 4). Close packings of the TPB\(^+\) in dimeric pairs lead to staggered arrangements of the iPr groups to avoid steric crowding (Fig. 5). In a \(\pi\)-dimer, two independent radical cations have essentially the same structures (Fig. 3). The closest interplanar C-C distance (3.3183(3) Å) and S-S (3.5678(1) Å) between the two radical cations in the dimeric pairs are less than the sum of the van der Waals radii of these atoms (C-C 3.40 Å; S-S 3.70 Å) respectively, indicating electronic couplings between them. However, the closest interdimeric S-S distance (5.6861(1) Å) is too long, thus no interaction is observed between the pairs (Fig. 6).

The blue needle-like crystals cooling from the solution obtained from equivalent TEB and NOSbF\(_6\) have totally different structure compared with TPB\(^+\)SbF\(_6\) (Fig. 7). TEB\(^+\)SbF\(_6\) stacks along \(a\) axis as dimers and crystallizes in the space group \(\text{P-1}\) (Tables S1, S2; Figures S1, S2, SI). In a stack, the closest interplanar S-S distance between the two radical cations is 3.4518(3), indicating the electron can migrate well between them, while the closest interdimeric S-S distance (3.8356(4) Å) of two neighboring dimers is a little longer than 3.70 Å, implying a weak electronic couplings.

Crystals of 2TEB\(^+\) (TEB)\(_2\)SbF\(_6\) were obtained by using excess amount of TEB. The single crystal X-ray diffraction demonstrated neutral TEBs inserted in the stacks (Fig. 8, Tables S1, S2; Figures S3, S4, SI). As shown, TEB\(^+\) stacks as dimers and between two dimers there is a neutral TEB (Table S2, SI)\(^{15,26}\), the dimers and the neutral molecules are arranged at regular intervals. The closest interplanar S-S distance (3.5903(8) Å) between the two radical cations in the dimeric pairs is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of a sp\(^2\) S-S interaction (3.70 Å), indicating strong electronic couplings between them. However, the closest S-S distance (3.8163(3) Å)
Figure 6. Stereoscopic view of the stack of radical cation TPB$^+$ (distance [Å], hydrogen atoms are not shown).

Figure 7. Stereoscopic view of the stack of radical cation TEB$^+$ (distance [Å], hydrogen atoms are not shown).
between one radical cation and one neutral molecule is longer than 3.70 Å. The insulation of the neutral TEB make the electron could not migrate well across the neutral molecule. Therefore, the $\pi$-reaction could not convey thoroughly via the stacks.

UV-Vis and EPR spectroscopic analyses. UV-Vis absorption spectra of TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$, 2TEB$^+\text{(TEB)}\text{2SbF}_6^-$ and TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$ are presented in Fig. 9a, while the spectra of TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$, 2TEB$^+\text{(TEB)}\text{2SbF}_6^-$ and neutral TEB are in Fig. 9b. As seen in Fig. 9a, spectra of TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$ and 2TEB$^+\text{(TEB)}\text{2SbF}_6^-$ show the same peak position, while the spectrum of TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$ shows a slight red shift. However, the absorption intensity of TEB$^+\text{SbF}_6^-$ and 2TEB$^+\text{(TEB)}\text{2SbF}_6^-$ below 350 nm is different, the absorption intensity of 2TEB$^+\text{(TEB)}\text{2SbF}_6^-$ is supposed to be
sum of $\text{TEB SbF}_6$ and $\text{TEB}$ in Fig. 9b. To qualitatively assign electronic transitions in the UV-Vis spectra of these radical cations, we carried out time-dependent (TD)DFT computations at the (U)B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) levels using Gaussian [Frisch, M. J. et al. Gaussian 09, revision B.02 (Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010)]. The calculated transition energies of monomeric radical cations are in qualitative agreement with the experimental UV-Vis spectra, and here, only the results for TPB$^+\cdot$ are illustrated in Fig. 10. The peak sat around 760 nm, 580 nm and 390 nm are assigned to the transitions of HOMO($\alpha$) → LUMO($\alpha$) (I), HOMO($\beta$)-2 → LUMO($\beta$) (II), and HOMO($\beta$)-1 → LUMO($\beta$) (III), respectively (The calculated transitions from 357 nm to 308 nm are not shown).

Transitions in the UV spectrum of TEB$^+\cdot$ (Figures S5, SI) are similar to those of TPB$^+\cdot$.

The EPR spectrum of solution samples (Fig. 11) gave a powerful support of the formation of the tetrakis(alkylthio)benzene radical cations. The hyperfine coupling of hydrogen atoms in the solution EPR spectra are in good agreement with the patterns resulting from interactions with $H_{\text{ring}}$ (2H) and $H_{\text{alkyl}}$ (SEt or SiPr) atoms. This can be rationalized as the single charge is stabilized by the whole molecule seen from the experimental and simulated results as well as the calculated spin density maps (Fig. 12). $\text{TPB SbF}_6^-$ behaves the same as $\text{TEB SbF}_6^-$ in EPR spectrum because neutral TEB has no signal.

**Conductivity measurements.** Two-probe single-crystal conductivity measurements on TPB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$, 2TEB$^+\cdot$(TEB)$_2$SbF$_6^-$ and TEB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$ at room temperature gave $\sigma = 3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ S/cm, $2.04 \times 10^{-6}$ S/cm, and $1.09 \times 10^{-5}$ S/cm along the axes on which stacks lie, respectively (Fig. 13, Table 1). Spin density maps showed the electron cloud on S atoms contribute a lot to the delocalization of charge. It is known that the conductivity of π-stacked radical cations originates from the migration of π-interactions between chains while anions have no contribution to conductivity. It can be expected that conductivity would be higher along the stacking direction (i.e., through π-stacks) because the axis of the stacking directions are not overlap with the axes of the unit cells. Comparing TPB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$ and TEB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$, we noticed the conductivity was improved by reducing steric hindrance of the substituents. TPB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$ gave the lowest conductivity because of its long interdimeric distance, from which the π-dimers were entirely separated. Comparing 2TEB$^+\cdot$(TEB)$_2$SbF$_6^-$ and TEB$^+\cdot$SbF$_6^-$, we could see the neutral molecule is an obstacle for electron transfer in a stack even though electron could go through its π-electron cloud.
Figure 11. (a) Experimental (CH₂Cl₂, 1 × 10⁻⁴ M, 233 K) and simulated EPR spectra of TEB⁺ with $a^H = 2.46$ G (8H), $a^H = 0.70$ G (2H), $g = 2.0076$; (b) Experimental (CH₂Cl₂, 1 × 10⁻⁴ M, 233 K) and simulated EPR spectra of TPB⁺ with $a^H = 1.90$ G (8H), $a^H = 0.64$ G (2H), $g = 2.0072$.

Figure 12. Spin-density maps for TPB⁺ (a) and TEB⁺ (b) calculated with the (U)B3LYP/6-31+G(d, p) method. The spin density was drawn at the isovalue of $4 \times 10^{-4}$ e/bohr³.

Figure 13. I-V curve for TEB⁺SbF₆⁻ at room temperature.
Table 1. Conductivity of crystals of radical cations.

| Name               | Conductivity, σ (S/cm, ×10⁻⁶) | Axis          |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| TEB⁺                | 0.39                          | a axis (100)  |
| 2TEB⁺ (TEB)₂SbF₆⁻  | 2.04                          | b axis (010)  |
| TEB⁺                | 10.9                          | a axis (100)  |

Conclusions

In conclusion, radical cations of 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(isopropylthio)benzene (TPB) and 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(ethylthio)benzene (TEB) have been successfully isolated as stable crystals, and their structures were determined by X-ray crystallography. Upon oxidation with NOSbF₆, TPB and TEB form stacks of radical cation π-dimers. Conductivity measurement reveals the interdimeric distance between two dimer pairs determines the ability of charge transformation. Thus our work not only raise the first example of π-stacking radical cation with single phenyl ring of weak conductivity, but also lead to a steric control synthesis and provide a systematic study of conductive π-stacking radical cations. Isolation of such radical species together with their structural determination will open up a new avenue for electrical conductors.

Methods

General Procedures. All experiments were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and a glove box. 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(isopropyl-thio)benzene (TPB) and NOSbF₆ (Alfa Aesar) were purchased and used upon arrival. 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(ethylthio)benzene (TEB) were prepared according to literatures. Solvents were dried prior to use. EPR spectra were obtained using a Bruker EMX-10/12 at room temperature. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on Lambda 35 spectrometers. Element analyses were performed on Elementar Vario EL III at Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, the Chinese Academy of Sciences. X-ray crystal structures were obtained by Bruker APEX-II CCD and PHOTON100 CMOS detectors. Single crystals were coated with Paratone-N oil and mounted using a glass fiber. Crystal data and structure refinement details are listed in the supporting information (Table 1, SI). For conductivity measurements, single-crystal samples were affixed on glass carriers and silver paste was used to connect samples and electrodes along the crystallographic axes. I-V curves were measured by using a computer-controlled Keithley 2400 source meter.

Synthesis of TPB⁺SbF₆⁻, 2TEB⁺(TEB)₂SbF₆⁻ and TEB⁺SbF₆⁻. Under anaerobic and anhydrous conditions, a mixture of TPB (0.100 g, 0.27 mmol), and NOSbF₆ (0.071 g, 0.27 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (~50 ml) were stirred at room temperature for 1d. The resultant blue solution was filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated and stored at ca. −20 °C for 1d to afford X-ray-quality crystals of radical salt TPB⁺SbF₆⁻. Yield: 0.12 g, 73%; Elemental analysis (%): calcd C 35.31, H 4.62; found C 35.24, H 4.60.

2TEB⁺(TEB)₂SbF₆⁻. TEB (0.127 g, 0.40 mmol), and NOSbF₆ (0.071 g, 0.27 mmol). Yield: 0.13 g, 68%; Elemental analysis (%): calcd C 35.31, H 4.62; found C 35.24, H 4.60.

TEB⁺SbF₆⁻. TEB (0.086 g, 0.27 mmol), and NOSbF₆ (0.071 g, 0.27 mmol). Yield: 0.11 g, 74%; Elemental analysis (%): calcd C 30.33, H 4.00; found C 29.78, H 4.36.

References

1. Peintinger, M. F., Beck, J. & Bredow, T. Charged stacks of dithiin, diselenin, thianthrene and selenanthrene radical cations: long range multicenter bonds. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 18702–18709 (2013).
2. Attah, I. K. et al. What is the structure of the naphthalene–benzene heterodimer radical cation? Binding energy, charge delocalization, and unexpected charge-transfer interaction in stacked dimer and trimer radical cations. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 6, 1111–1118 (2015).
3. Okamoto, K., Seki, S. & Tagawa, S. Formation of trimer and dimer radical cations of methyl-substituted benzenes in γ-irradiated low-temperature matrices. J. Phys. Chem. A 110, 8073–8080 (2006).
4. Miller, L. L. & Mann, K. R. π-Dimers and π-Stacks in Solution and in Conducting Polymers. Acc. Chem. Res. 29, 417–423 (1996).
5. Venkataraman, L., Klare, J. E., Nuckolls, C., Hybertsen, M. S. & Steigerwald, M. L. Dependence of single-molecule junction conductance on molecular conformation. Nature 442, 904–907 (2006).
6. Quinn, J. R., Foss, F. W., Venkataraman, L. & Breslow, R. Oxidation Potentials Correlate with Conductivities of Aromatic Molecular Wires. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 12376–12377 (2007).
7. Bissell, R. A., Cordova, E. A., Kaifer, E. & Stoddart, J. F. A chemically and electrochemically switchable molecular shuttle. Nature 369, 133–137 (1994).
8. Ballardini, R. et al. A Photochemically Driven Molecular Machine. Angew. Chem. 105, 1362–1364 (1993); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 32, 1301–1303 (1993).
9. Hixx, G. B. What’s new in stable radical chemistry? Org. Biomol. Chem. 5, 1321–1338 (2007).
10. Graf, D. D., Campbell, J. P., Mann, K. R. & Miller, L. L. Single-Crystal X-ray Structure of the Cation Radical of 3′,4′-Dibutyryl-2,5′-diphenyl-2′:5′,2″-tertiophene: Definitive Evidence for π-Stacked Oxidized Oligothiophenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 5480–5481 (1996).
11. Chen, X. et al. From Monomers to π Stacks, from Nonconductive to Conductive: Syntheses, Characterization, and Crystal Structures of Benzidine Radical Cations. Chem. Eur. J. 18, 11828–11836 (2012).
12. Nishinaga, T. & Komatsu, K. Persistent π radical cations: self-association and its steric control in the condensed phase. Org. Biomol. Chem. 3, 561–569 (2005).
13. Gingras, M., Raimundo, J.-M. & Chabre, Y. M. Persulfurated Aromatic Compounds. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45, 1686–1712 (2006).
14. Bock, H., Havlas, Z., Rauschenbach, A., Nather, C. & Kleine, M. Structurally recognizable electron density transfer in the donor-acceptorcomplex [1,2,4,5-tetrathiaoethylbenzene—bromine₂]. Chem. Commun. 13, 1529–1530 (1996).
15. Kobayashi, K., Koyama, E., Goto, M., Noda C. & Furukawa, N. Dealkylation of a 1,2-bis(benzylthio)benzene derivative: generation of benzodithioate or its equivalent via a dithia dication. Chem. Commun. 17, 1667–1668 (2000).
16. Fermi, A. et al. Molecular asterisks with a persulfurated benzene core are among the strongest organic phosphorescent emitters in the solid state. Dyes and Pigments 110, 113–122 (2014).
17. Fanghanel, E., Herrmann, R. & Naarmann, H. Novel Benzo [d] 1,2,3-trithioles and their Hexachloroantimonates derived from Benzenehexathiol. Tetrahedron 51, 2533–2542 (1995).
18. Gao, X. et al. A facile synthesis of linear benzene-fused bis(tetrathiapfulvalene) compounds and their application for organic field-effect transistors. Chem. Commun. 26, 2750–2752 (2006).
19. Martin, R. E. & Diederich, F. Linear Monodisperse π-Conjugated Oligomers: Model Compounds for Polymers and More. Angew. Chem. 111, 1440–1469 (1999); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 1350–1377 (1999).
20. Heinze, J., Frontana-Uribe, B. A. & Ludwigs, S. Electrochemistry of Conducting Polymers—Persistent Models and New Concepts. Chem. Rev. 110, 4724–4771 (2010).
21. Chen, X. et al. Synthesis, Crystal Structure, and Physical Property of Sterically Unprotected Thiophene/Phenylene Co-Oligomer Radical Cations: A Conductive π–π Bonded Supermolecular meso-Helix. Chem. Asian J. 8, 238–243 (2013).
22. Gao, F. et al. Stabilizing Radical Cation and Dication of a Tetrathiafulvalene Derivative by a Weakly Coordinating Anion. Inorg. Chem. 53, 5321–5327 (2014).
23. Coronado, E., Curreli, S., Giménez-Saiz, C., Gómez-García, C. J. & Alberola, A. Radical Salts of Bis(ethylenediselenolato)tetrathiapfulvalene with Paramagnetic Tris(oxalato)metallate Anions. Inorg. Chem. 45, 10815–10824 (2006).
24. Mallow, O. et al. Diaryldichalcogenide radical cations. Chem. Sci. 6, 497–504 (2015).
25. Testaferrri, L., Tiecco, M., Tingoli, M., Chianelli, D. & Montanucci, M. Simple syntheses of aryl alkyl thiocarbamates and of aromatic thiols from unactivated aryl halides and efficient methods for selective dealkylation of aryl alkyl ethers and thiocarbamates. Synthesis 9, 751–755 (1983).
26. Bock, H., Rauschenbach, A., Nather, C., Kleine, M. & Havlas, Z. Wechselwirkungen in Molekülkristallen. 111. Kristallzüchtung und Strukturbestimmung von Donator/Akzeptor-Komplexen aus 1,2,4,5-Tetrakis(alkylthio)benzolen und Brom oder Iod. Liebig Ann. 12, 2185–2194 (1996).
27. Graf, D. D., Duan, R. G., Campbell, J. P., Miller, L. L. & Mann, K. R. From Monomers to π-Stacks. A Comprehensive Study of the Structure and Properties of Monomeric, π-Dimerized, and π-Stacked Forms of the Cation Radical of 3',4'-Dibuty1-2,5'-diphenyl-2,5'-terthiophene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 5888–5899 (1997).
28. As we know, neutral TEB and TPB can not be detected by EPR. Chen, X. et al. Synthesis, Characterization, and Structures of a Persistent Aniline Radical Cation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51, 11878–11881 (2012).
29. The conductivity may have relationship with hydrogen-bond interaction, solvent effect, and counter anion effect, and may be affected by mobility, charge transfer, charge localization and stability. Shacklette, L. W. et al. Solid - state synthesis of highly conducting polyphenylene from crystalline oligomers. J. Chem. Phys. 73, 4098–4102 (1980).
30. Dötz, M. & Klar, G. Organometalloidal Compounds with o-phenylene substituents, Part XXVII. 1 Synthesis, Characterization and Structure Determination of 2,3,7,8-Tetrakis(methylthio) and 2,3,7,8-bis(ethylenedithio)thianthrene. Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon. 84, 95–106 (1993).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 21501195, 21401085), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014QNA01), 2014 Jiangsu Innovation and Entrepreneurship Program and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Specially, we thank Prof. Yunxia Sui in Nanjing University who gave us help in EPR analysis.

Author Contributions
X.C. designed research, performed the main analysis and wrote the manuscript text. F.G. collected the crystal data and provided DFT calculation. W.Y. performed basic synthesis to get original materials. All of the authors reviewed and contributed to the manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Chen, X. et al. Single-Crystal X-ray Structures of conductive π-Stacking Dimers of Tetrakis(alkylthio)benzene Radical Cations. Sci. Rep. 6, 29314; doi: 10.1038/srep29314 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/