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Spectrum of ovarian tumors in a tertiary care hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Ovarian tumors are heterogeneous group of neoplasms with wide range of histopathological patterns. It is one of the leading causes of mortality in females. Often difficult to detect until they are advanced in stage or increased in size as symptoms are vague and insidious. Treatment and prognosis is based upon accurate surgical staging and thorough pathological evaluation.

Materials and Methods: A total 150 cases were studied from June 2014 to May 2017. Their age, clinical presentation and histopathological findings were reviewed and analyzed.

Results: Out of 150 cases of ovarian tumors studied 119 (79.3%) were benign, 9 (6.0%) borderline and 22 (14.6%) malignant. Age ranged from 9 to 80 years. The commonest presenting complaint in malignant and benign cases was pain in abdomen and in borderline cases abdominal distension. CA125, CEA and LDH were most commonly raised in 53.8%, 23% and 100% malignant cases respectively. Surface epithelial tumors were 68% followed by germ cell tumors 28% and sex cord stromal tumors 4%.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

Ovarian tumors are heterogeneous group of neoplasm with wide range of histological patterns. It accounts for 3% of all cancers in female and is the 5th leading cause of death due to cancer in United States.¹ Ovarian tumors are often difficult to detect until they are advanced in size or stage. Hence it is often called as “silent killer”. The treatment and prognosis of the ovarian tumors is based upon accurate surgical staging and a thorough pathological evaluation.

The need of reliable specific serum biomarkers for early detection of ovarian tumors remains a long awaited priority. In recent years there is significant development in use of immunohistochemistry for diagnosing ovarian tumors. There are distinctive immunohistochemical features for each of the three main categories of ovarian tumors.

There is increasing trend of ovarian carcinoma in females. The application of current knowledge and techniques would revolutionize the ovarian cancer statistics. This will help large number of women in each year and lead towards the more satisfactory therapy of disease.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective observational study of 3 years duration from 1st June 2014 to 30th May 2017 during which 150 cases were studied. Their clinical data (age, site, clinical presentation and levels of tumor markers), radiologic findings and gross appearance were obtained from the surgical histopathological record section of the institute. Formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin was retrieved and reviewed. Immunohistochemistry was performed in borderline and malignant cases.

3. Results

Total 150 cases of ovarian tumors were studied of which the most common was benign i.e.119 (79.3%) cases followed...
by 22 (14.6%) malignant cases and 9 (6.0%) borderline cases.

Table 1: Major histologic subtypes of ovarian tumors in present study

| Type                      | No. of cases | Percentage |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Surface epithelial tumors | 102          | 68%        |
| Germ cell tumors          | 42           | 28%        |
| Sex-cord stromal tumors   | 6            | 4%         |

3.1. Age distribution

In present study, the women affected were in the age range of 9 to 80 years with the mean of 38.6 years. Overall, the peak was seen at 31-40 years of age. The benign ovarian tumors were most common in the age group of 31-40yrs, borderline 41-60yrs and malignant 11-20yrs.

The youngest patient, 9yrs old female child was diagnosed as Yolk sac tumor of the ovary and the eldest patient, 80yrs old female was diagnosed as benign mixed epithelial tumor (benign mucinous cystadenoma with Brenner component in mural nodule).

3.2. Clinical presentation

The most common presentation in benign and malignant ovarian tumors was abdominal pain seen in 76 (64.4%) and 10 (45.4%) cases respectively whereas most common presentation in borderline ovarian tumors was abdominal distension in 4 (44.4%) cases.

Out of 150 ovarian tumors, 7 (4.6%) cases detected incidentally out of which 6 women detected at the time of routine antenatal ultrasonography checkup and one detected at radiological workup for cholelithiasis. Out of these 7 incidentally detected cases 6 were benign tumors and 1 was borderline serous tumor. Of these 6 benign tumors 4 were benign serous cystadenoma, 1 benign mucinous cystadenoma and 1 was mature cystic teratoma.

3.3. Tumor markers

CA125, CEA and LDH were raised most commonly in malignant cases. The highest value of CA125 in present study was 2075.7u/ml which was seen in 1 case of malignant serous cystadenocarcinoma. CEA was markedly raised in 2 cases of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma and 1 case of yolk sac tumor. AFP was raised with levels more than 10,000 in 4 cases out of which 3 cases were Yolk sac tumors and 1 case was immature teratoma.

3.4. Radiological finding

Radiological findings were available in 102 (85.7%) out of 119 cases of benign ovarian tumors and 9 (100%) cases of borderline and 22 (100%) malignant ovarian tumors. The most common radiologic finding was cystic lesion seen in 93 (91.1%) benign cases and 5 (55.5%) borderline cases respectively. In malignant ovarian tumors the commonest radiologic finding were heterogeneous solid-cystic mass lesion with contrast enhancement seen in 14 (13.6%) cases.

3.5. Distribution of ovarian tumors

Among benign ovarian tumors serous cystadenoma was the commonest followed by mature cystic teratoma. The commonest borderline ovarian tumor was Borderline mucinous tumor. There was 1 case of Borderline Micropapillary serous tumor.

Fig. 1: Mature cystic teratoma: Cyst lined by stratified squamous epithelium with underlying adnexal structures, mature cartilage, thyroid and scanty smooth muscles.

Fig. 2: Fibrothecoma: Spindle cells few with moderate pale eosinophilic cytoplasm and few with vacuolated cytoplasm.

The most common category of malignant ovarian tumor was surface epithelial tumor seen in 40.9% cases followed by germ cell tumors seen in 36.36% cases. The most common malignant ovarian tumor was primary mucinous carcinoma seen in 7 (31.8%) cases out of 22 malignant ovarian tumors.
Table 2: Distribution of benign ovarian tumors

| Distribution | Surface epithelial tumors | Germ cell tumors | Sex cord stromal tumor |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|              | Serous                    | Mucinous         | Mixed epithelial     |
|              |                           |                  | Mature cystic         |
|              |                           |                  | teratoma             |
|              |                           |                  | Struma ovari         |
| No. of cases | 47                        | 31               | 5                    |
| Percentage   | 39.4%                     | 26.0%            | 4.2%                 |

Table 3: Distribution of borderline ovarian tumors

| Distribution | Borderline Serous | Borderline mucinous | Borderline endometrioid |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| No. of cases (n=9) | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| Percentage   | 33.3%             | 55.5%               | 11.1%                   |

Table 4: Distribution of various malignant ovarian tumors

| Malignant tumors                        | No. of cases | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Serous carcinoma                        | 1            | 4.5%       |
| Mucinous carcinoma                      | 7            | 31.8%      |
| Borderline mucinous with neuroendocrine carcinoma component | 1 | 4.5% |
| Granulosa cell tumor                    | 3            | 18.6%      |
| Sertoli cell tumor                      | 1            | 4.5%       |
| Immature teratoma                       | 1            | 4.5%       |
| Teratoma with poorly differentiated component | 1 | 4.5% |
| Yolk sac tumor (YST)                    | 2            | 9.0%       |
| Dysgerminoma (Dys)                      | 3            | 18.6%      |
| YST+Dys                                 | 1            | 4.5%       |
| Metastasis                              | 1            | 4.5%       |

3.6. Size distribution

In the present study the smallest tumor was of size 2.5 cm in diameter which was benign serous cystadenoma and the largest was of 30 cm in diameter which was benign mucinous cystadenoma. The benign tumors size were ranging from 2.5 to 30 cm with most common in the range of 6-10 cm whereas borderline tumors were ranging from 5-29 cm with most common in 16-20 cm range and malignant tumors were ranging from 5 to 22 cm with most common in range of 11-20 cm.

3.7. Laterality

Out of total 150 cases of ovarian tumors 142 (94.6%) cases were unilateral and 8 (5.3%) cases were bilateral. Out of 8 cases of bilateral ovarian tumors 6 cases were benign serous cystadenoma and 1 case each of primary mucinous cystadenocarcinoma and secondary mucinous carcinoma (metastatic).

3.8. Consistency

Of all benign and borderline ovarian tumors 112 cases (94.1%) of benign and 7 cases (77.7%) of borderline were
Fig. 5: Borderline endometrioid tumor: Shows proliferating, crowded glands lined by single to stratified tall columnar epithelium with mild nuclear pleomorphism. Stroma is fibroblastic. ER and PAX-8 positive.

Fig. 6: Serous carcinoma: Papillae lined by epithelium showing marked nuclear atypia, pleomorphism and hyperchromasia. P53 Positive in tumour cells.

Fig. 7: Mucinous carcinoma: Shows dilated glands filled with mucin and showing stromal invasion. Tumour cells are CK-7 positive.

Fig. 8: Dysgerminoma: Tumor cells arranged in lobules separated by fibrous septae that contain lymphocytes. Tumour cells are PLAP positive.

Fig. 9: Granulosa cell tumor: Tumor cells with scant eosinophilic cytoplasm and pale, round to oval nuclei with few showing nuclear grooves.

cystic in nature. Among 22 cases of malignant ovarian tumors 11 cases (50%) were solid and 7 cases (31.8%) were solid-cystic in nature.

3.9. Immunohistochemistry

WT1 positivity was noted in 3 (75%) out of total 4 serous tumors, CK 7 positivity in all 13 (100%) cases of mucinous tumors whereas CK20 positivity in 2 (15.3%) cases of mucinous tumors. Calretinin positivity was noted in 2 (66.6%) of 3 cases of Granulosa cell tumors whereas inhibin
### Table 5: Immunohistochemistry in various ovarian tumors

| IHC | Serous tumors | Mucinous tumors |
|-----|---------------|-----------------|
|     | WT1 N=4       | CK7 N=13       | CK20 N=13 |
|     | PAX8 N=3      | CDX2 N=5       | WT1 N=3  |
|     | P53 N=1       | N=5            | B-catenin N=3 |
| Borderline | 2(66.6%) | 5(100%) | 1(20%) |
| Malignant | 1 - | 1(12.5%) | 0 | 2(66.6%) |

Agarwal et al.\(^2\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) The percentage of germ cell tumors was higher as compared to Mondal et al.\(^4\) Agarwal et al.\(^2\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) Surprisingly in study of Vaidya et al.\(^4\) the percentage of germ cell tumor was very high i.e. 51.5%.

The frequency of malignant tumors in present study was 14.6% which is lower than frequencies of the same in studies of Agarwal et al.\(^2\) Mondal et al.\(^4\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) which is a good sign.

The most common benign ovarian tumor in present study was benign serous cystadenoma seen in 39.4% cases which is comparable to the studies of Agarwal et al.\(^2\) Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) and Mondal et al.\(^4\) The second most common benign ovarian tumor in present study was mature cystic teratoma which is similar to the study of Mondal et al.\(^4\) but is in discordance with Agarwal et al.\(^2\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) in which benign mucinous cystadenoma was second most common.

The most common borderline ovarian tumor in present study is borderline mucinous tumor i.e. 55.5% which is similar to Agarwal et al.\(^2\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) but in study of Mondal et al.\(^4\) borderline serous tumor was most common.

In studies conducted by Agarwal et al.\(^2\) Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) and Mondal et al.\(^4\) serous cystadenocarcinoma was most common tumor among malignant ovarian tumors in contrary to this in present study mucinous carcinoma was most common.

In present study abdominal pain was the most common presentation in 77.2% malignant cases. This finding is very well similar to which is comparable with Wasim et al.\(^6\) Mankar et al.\(^7\) and Amreem khan et al.\(^8\)

In present study the sensitivity and specificity of CA125 and CEA was comparable to the study conducted by Chen et al.\(^9\) however, it was not similar with the study of Agarwal et al.

The AFP was 100% specific for yolk sac tumor.

In present study 94.6% cases of the ovarian tumors were unilateral and 5.3% cases were bilateral. The most common among bilateral tumors were benign serous cystadenoma. However, in studies of Mondal et al.\(^4\) and Bhagyalaxmi et al.\(^3\) malignant serous carcinomas were most common among the bilateral tumors.

In present study right side preponderance was seen which is similar to Pilli et al.\(^10\) There was no side (right or left) preponderance seen in study of Agarwal et al.\(^2\)
Table 6: Comparison study of major categories of ovarian tumors

| Categories                      | Present study (2014-2017) n=150 | Bhagyalaxmi et al³ (2011-2014) n=267 | Agarwal et al² (2006-2011) n=226 | Mondal et al⁴ (2001-2010) n=957 | Vaidya et al⁵ (2011-2013) n=363 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Surface epithelial tumors       | 67.3%                           | 80%                                  | 72.1%                           | 67.9%                           | 43.5%                           |
| Germ cell tumors                | 28%                             | 14.2%                                | 19.2%                           | 23.1%                           | 51.5%                           |
| Sex-cord stromal tumors         | 4.0%                            | 4.1%                                 | 7.1%                            | 5.6%                            | 3.3%                            |

Table 7: Comparison study of frequencies of benign, borderline and malignant tumor

| Tumor status       | Present study (2014-2017) | Agarwal et al² (2006-2011) | Mondal et al⁴ (2001-2010) | Bhagyalaxmi A et al³ (2011-2014) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total              | 150                       | 226                       | 957                       | 26                              |
| Benign             | 79.3%                     | 61.1%                     | 63.1%                     | 78.3%                           |
| Borderline         | 6%                        | 7.1%                      | 7.3%                      | 3.7%                            |
| Malignant          | 14.6%                     | 31.9%                     | 29.6%                     | 18%                             |

Table 8: Comparison study of tumor markers

| Tumor marker | Present study (2014-2017) | Chen et al⁹ (2017) | Agarwal et al² (2006-2011) |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| CA 125       | Sensitivity 58.3%         | 62.75%            | 90%                      |
|              | Specificity 90.0%         | 70.6%             | 40%                      |
| CEA          | Sensitivity 23.0%         | 35.7%             | -                        |
|              | Specificity 95.0%         | 79%               | -                        |

It can be seen from present study that the size is not an important factor in assessing the nature of tumor similar to Agarwal et al² as size range in benign, borderline and malignant tumors were along similar size.

We encountered a rare case of mature cystic teratoma with foci of poorly differentiated carcinoma in a 72 years old female. This tumor was of size 20cm, solid cystic in nature. Serum CA19.9 was markedly raised. IHC marker pan CK7 was positive, CK20, WT1, PAX-8, synaptophysin were negative. The incidence of malignancy arising in a mature cystic teratoma is 1-2% as per Chaudhry et al. Another rare case of borderline mucinous tumor with neuroendocrine carcinoma component was encountered in this study.

Overall ovarian tumors were found in the age range of 9–80 years in the present studies. Maximum number of cases overall were in the age range of 31–40 years. Benign ovarian tumors were most common in the age group of 31-40 years and borderline ovarian tumors in 41-60 years which is similar to Bhagyalaxmi et al.³ Mondal et al⁴ and Agarwal et al.²

In present study the commonest age group affected in malignant cases was 11-20 years which is in discordance with other studies in literature but it is comparable with Vaidya et al⁵ in which 21-30 years was commonest. This could be due to higher number of germ cell tumors.

CK7 showed strong and diffuse cytoplasmic positivity in 100% primary mucinous tumors which is comparable with Kriplani and Patel et al.¹² and Cathro et al.¹³ for diagnosing primary mucinous tumors. CK7 and CK20 both were positive in two cases of mucinous tumor and in view of morphology and IHC like CDX2 and B-Catenin diagnosis of primary mucinous tumor was favored.

WT1 showed cytoplasmic positivity in 75% cases of serous tumors which is similar to study of Kriplani and Patel et al.¹²

5. Conclusion

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the various histopathological spectrum of ovarian tumors, their commonest age group distribution and histopathological and clinical features correlation. Ovarian tumors exhibit wide range of histopathological spectrum in our institute. The percentage of surface epithelial tumors constituted the greatest proportion followed by germ cell tumors. Serous cystadenoma was commonest followed by mature cystic teratoma in benign cases. Borderline mucinous cystadenoma was commonest in borderline. In malignant cases mucinous cystadenocarcinoma was commonest. Overall middle age is commonly affected. Patients commonly presents with pain in abdomen. Radiology has
Table 9: Comparison study of IHC of primary serous and mucinous (borderline + malignant) ovarian tumors

|                     | Present study (2014-2017) | Kriplani and Patel et al12 (2009-2011) | Cathro et al13 (2002) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Mucinous tumors     |                            |                                       |                      |
| Ck7                 | n=13 13 (100%) positive   | n=5 4 (80%)                           | n=14 12 (85.7%)      |
| Ck20                | 2 (15.3%) positive        | 2 (50%)                               | 6 (42.8%)            |
| n=4                 | 3 (75%)                   |                                       |                      |
| Serous tumors       |                            |                                       |                      |
| WT1                 | n=4 3 (75%)               |                                       |                      |

less specificity and but is complementary for diagnosing few malignant cases. Biochemical markers were contributory to distinguish benign and malignant cases. The size of the tumor is not related to the nature of the tumor but presence of solid elements makes malignancy more likely.

Common problems encountered while diagnosing tumors of epithelial origin especially serous and mucinous tumors is that tumor may include benign and borderline components in few areas and malignant counterparts in other areas. Hence, extensive sampling and sampling from solid areas as well as from mural nodules is very important. Also, many times, it is difficult to differentiate primary mucinous carcinoma from metastasis especially from appendix, intestine stomach, cervix, pancreas etc. in such situation IHC especially CK7, CK20, CDX2 and B- catenin along with clinical details plays important role.
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