Nutrient Release and Ammonia Volatilization from Biochar-Blended Fertilizer with and without Densification
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Abstract: Blending fertilizer with biochar followed by densification to make it into a tablet can enhance the adsorption of fertilizer on the biochar surface and reduce the nutrient loss during handling. However, the nutrient release and ammonia volatilization from biochar-blended fertilizer with and without densification are not well understood. The objectives of this study were to determine the nutrient release and ammonia volatilization from an acid soil applied with biochar-blended NPK fertilizer with and without densification. The nutrient release of biochar-blended NPK was determined using water incubation for 30 days, whereas daily loss of ammonia was measured using a closed dynamic air flow system for 10 days. The densified biochar-blended NPK caused stronger physical binding of the nutrients within the tablet in addition to stronger chemical bondings between the nutrients with the biochar’s functional groups. As a result, nutrient release in the water incubation from the biochar-blended NPK fertilizer tablet was slower. However, blending the biochar with the NPK fertilizer increased soil ammonia volatilization relative to the NPK fertilizer alone. This demonstrates that the biochar-blended fertilizer tablet has the potential to serve as a slow release fertilizer for crop cultivation.
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1. Introduction

With an increase in global demand for food, the current farm productivity levels rely heavily on fertilizer use. The major constraints against using conventional fertilizers are their low crop nutrient use efficiency (NUE) and high nutrient losses through surface runoff and leaching into water bodies. Subsequently, nutrient loss has prompted farmers to over fertilize, which can lead to excessive osmotic potential in the soil water and inhibit microbial growth in soils. Therefore, developing fertilizers with minimal nutrient losses and simultaneously supplying proper nutrition for plant growth are of importance for agronomic and environmental sustainability.

High nitrogen (N) loss via leaching and volatilization during application of nitrogenous fertilizer has been reported by Majeed et al. [1]. As high as 45% of N loss of urea
was recorded after 30 days incubation in soil, whereas only 13% of N was lost from a slow release urea fertilizer [2]. Roshanravan et al. [3] reported that urea fertilizer granules released approximately 34% and 99% urea after 1 day and 30 days of incubation in water, respectively. The authors also found that approximately 69% of ammonia (NH$_3$) loss from conventional urea fertilizer had been reported after fertilizing the soil over 10 weeks. Another mobile soil nutrient, potassium (K), can be extensively lost by leaching during intense rainfall events [4]. High nutrient losses from the soil can lead to low crop NUE, which decreases the crop yield. Phosphorus (P) is another essential plant nutrient that enhances plant growth but it is not readily available to plants because of its high immobility. A strong fixation of P by the highly acidic or alkaline soil limits available phosphate for plant use [5].

The potential of biochar to reduce nutrient loss and increase nutrient availability to plants has been widely reported [6,7]. Adsorption of cationic nutrients to the negatively charged O-containing surface functional groups in the biochar could reduce nutrient leaching by biochar [8]. Nevertheless, the adsorption ability of biochar relies on the age of biochar and its application rate [9,10]. The addition of poultry litter biochar in the soil treated with urea could reduce the soil NH$_3$ volatilization by 71% [11]. However, inconsistent results had been reported on the effects of biochar addition on soil NH$_3$ volatilization [12]. In addition, fine biochar particles can be easily lost during the handling and transportation for soil application. The use of compressive pressure and binder in densification can increase the density of biochar particles, thereby minimizing the voids between the particles [13] and therefore, can reduce the loss during handling. Nevertheless, Dunisch et al. [14] reported a higher nutrient release of fine particle size biochar pellets, despite the fact that the voids between the biochar particles had been reduced during the pelletizing process. Although a biochar tablet embedded with fertilizer has been suggested as a potential cost-effective slow-release fertilizer, there is no sufficient information on nutrient release and soil NH$_3$ volatilization from the soil applied with this fertilizer product.

The objective of this study was to determine the nutrient release from biochar and NPK combination fertilizer with- and without-densification in the laboratory. In addition, the soil NH$_3$ volatilization of biochar blended fertilizer with and without densification was also determined using a closed dynamic air flow system model. We hypothesized that less voids in the blended biochar and fertilizer into tablets would release the nutrients slowly ascribed to the close connection between the biochar particles and fertilizer.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples Preparation

Four treatments were prepared in this study and they were as follows: (i) Biochar tablet (B-T), (ii) combination of biochar and NPK fertilizer tablet (BF-T), (iii) biochar and NPK without tablet (BF-NT), as well as (iv) NPK fertilizer (F-NT). Rice husk, rice straw, and rubber twigs were used as feedstocks for biochar production. Biochar was produced using a stainless steel kiln fabricated locally at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Perlis, Malaysia. Throughout the charring process, the average temperature of the kiln was approximately 340°C [15]. The charring was completed in two hours, after which the charred product was collected and weighed to determine the percentage yield of the charring process. The percentage yield of the char was approximately 34%. The charred materials were ground and sieved to pass a 1 mm sieve before the biochar tablets were produced. The B-T was prepared by blending a mixture of charred rice husk, charred rice straw, and charred rubber twigs at a ratio of 5:3:2, respectively with starch (20% by wt.), and water (30% by wt.) using a mixer. The ratio 5:3:2 used for blending a mixture of charred rice husk, charred rice straw, and charred rubber twigs into a tablet was based on the availability of the materials. Afterwards, the biochar mixture was densified using a single press tablet (MTB3, Micro-Tec, Brighton UK) with a punch weight of 0.4 tons. Tablets with dimensions of 6 mm in height and 10 mm in diameter were generated. For BF-T production, 5:3:3 NPK fertilizer was mixed with the biochar
followed by densification into tablets. A fertilizer rate of 5:3:3 for the sweet corn followed
the MARDI’s (Malaysia Agriculture Research Development Institute) recommendation.
The mixture ratio of fertilizer to biochar was 1:2 to indicate less fertilizer being used for
biochar-blended fertilizer. The same mixture ratio was used for BF-NT production but
no densification was used for BF-NT. The NPK fertilizer (F-NT) used for this study was
ammonium sulfate (AS), triple superphosphate (TSP), and muriate of potash (MOP).

2.2. Total Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Analysis
The content of total N in B-T, BF-T, and BF-NT was determined using a CNS analyzer
(TruSpec, LECO Corporation, Michigan, USA), whereas the dry ashing method [16] was
used to determine the total P and K. The F-NT was sent for total N, P, and K content
determination based on the 955.04, 958.01, and 965.09 AOAC Official Methods, respectively.

2.3. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectra (FTIR) Analysis
The B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT were analyzed using the Perkin–Elmer (Dresden,
Germany) FTIR Spectrometer Spectrum 2000 with a Perkin–Elmer Universal Attenuated
Total Reflectance (ATR) sampling accessory to obtain their FTIR spectra. Data collection
and processing were performed using Spectrum version 6.2.0.0055 software.

2.4. Nutrient Release Analysis
To determine the controlled release nature of the B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT, 1 g of
each sample was put in a beaker with 200 mL distilled water. The beaker was covered with
parafilm and kept for 30 days at room temperature (25 °C) [17] and all the measurements
were done in triplicates. After 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 days of incubation [18], approxi-
amately 20 mL of solution was extracted for ammonium (NH$_4^+$), nitrate (NO$_3^-$), P, and K
determination. The water-soluble P and K were analyzed using ICP-OES (Optima 8300,
Perkin Elmer), whereas the NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were analyzed using the steam distillation
method [19]. Ammonium was determined by pipetting 20 mL of the extractant into a
distillation tube, which was added to 0.2 g of MgO and distilled for 5 min. Next, 10 mL of
boric acid was used to trap NH$_4^+$. Afterwards, the distillation was continued by adding 0.2
g of Devarda’s alloy to the sample and the distillation proceeded for another five minutes to
determine NO$_3^-$ . The distillates of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were titrated with 0.01 M hydrochloric
acid (HCl). The percent nutrient release of the total amount was calculated as the following
equation:

\[
\text{Percent of nutrient release} (\%) = \left( \frac{CV}{TC} \right) \times 100\%
\]

where CV (mg/L) is the released amount and TC (mg/L) is total nutrient concentration.

2.5. Soil Ammonia Volatilization Analysis
A clayey mineral soil of Malacca series (Typic Hapludox) was used to determine
NH$_3$ volatilization from the biochar and fertilizers amendments. The specific soil was
selected because it is the common acidic soil found in Malaysia and is used for agricultural
cultivation. The soil sample was air dried and ground to pass a 2.0 mm sieve before
analysis. The soil texture was analyzed using the hydrometer method [20] and the soil was
found to be clayey (66.85% clay, 28.64% silt, and 5.85% sand). Chemical properties of the
soil (pH, electrical conductivity (EC), ammonium (NH$_4^+$), and nitrate (NO$_3^-$) contents)
were also determined before and after the incubation. pH of the soil was determined using
a pH meter (PB10, Sartorius, Taguig, Philippines), whereas EC of the soil was determined
using a conductivity meter (EC3000, STEP Systems GmbH, Nurnberg, Germany). The
soil pH and EC were determined in a soil:water mixture ratio of 1:5 (v/v). The method
of Keeney and Nelson [21] was used to extract soil NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ followed by steam
distillation.

The treatments used to compare the percent of NH$_3$ volatilization loss in the clayey
soil were as follows:

- (1) Soil only (T1)
(2) 10.61 g Mineral NPK fertilizer [7.05 g AS + 2.08 g TSP + 1.48 g MOP] (T2)
(3) 21.81 g Biochar + 10.61 g NPK fertilizer (T3)
(4) 32.42 g Biochar tablet embedded with NPK fertilizer (T4).

The daily NH$_3$ loss was measured using a closed dynamic air flow system model. The system consisted of an exchange chamber of a 500 mL conical flask and 250 mL conical flask containing 75 mL boric acid, which were both stopped and fitted with an inlet/outlet. Approximately 250 g soil was weighed into the chamber (500 mL conical flask), after which it was moistened with distilled water to achieve 60% field capacity. The chamber inlet was connected to an air pump and the outlet was connected by a silicon tubing which contained boric acid and an indicator solution to trap NH$_3$ gas. Air was passed through the chamber at the rate of 4 L/min/chamber. This air flow rate was set and maintained throughout the incubation period using a Gilmont flow meter.

The NH$_3$ (g) released was captured in a trapping solution which contained 75 mL boric acid with bromocresol green and methyl red indicators and titrated with 0.1 M HCl to estimate the amount of NH$_3$ released. The entire incubation was conducted at room temperature. The boric acid indicator which was used to capture NH$_3$ gas was replaced every 24 h during incubation for 10 days. Measurement was continued until the loss declined to 1% of the N added in the NPK. The experimental design was a completely randomized design with three replicates for each treatment.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance was used to test for treatment effects and means of treatments were compared using Tukey’s test (SAS version 9.2).

3. Results
3.1. Total Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium Analysis

The total N, P, and K contents of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT are presented in Table 1. The B-T had the lowest total N, P, and K contents. Integration of NPK and biochar significantly increased the total N, P, K in BF-T and BF-NT. The NPK fertilizer used in this study had the highest N (13.73%), P (4.40%), and K (8.28%).

Table 1. Total N, P, and K contents of biochar tablets (B-T), biochar and fertilizer with (BF-T) and without tableting (BF-NT) in addition to NPK fertilizer (F-NT).

| Samples | Total Nutrient Content |
|---------|------------------------|
|         | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) |
| B-T     | 0.75c ± 0.01 | 0.07c ± 0.01 | 1.23c ± 0.09 |
| BF-T    | 4.96b ± 0.11 | 0.36b ± 0.01 | 2.45b ± 0.06 |
| BF-NT   | 5.05b ± 0.09 | 0.36b ± 0.01 | 2.58b ± 0.04 |
| F-NT    | 13.73a ± 0.27 | 4.40a ± 0.10 | 8.28a ± 0.23 |

Means in the same column with the same letters were not found to be significantly different by Tukey’s test ($p \geq 0.05$).

3.2. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectra (FTIR) Analysis

FTIR analysis was used to determine the changes of functional groups in B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. Figure 1 and Table 2 demonstrates the bands present in the spectra of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. The absorption bands ranging from 1600 to 1475 cm$^{-1}$ and from 900 to 690 cm$^{-1}$ for aromatic C were observed in B-T, BF-T and BF-NT. Figure 1 with a broad absorption between 3400 and 3200 cm$^{-1}$ in B-T suggests the presence of alcohol and phenolic groups in the charred rice residues and rubber tree twigs mixture tablets.
Figure 1. FTIR spectra of biochar tablets (B-T), biochar and fertilizer with (BF-T) and without tableting (BF-NT) as well as NPK fertilizer (F-NT).

Table 2. FTIR wavenumber identification of biochar tablets (B-T), biochar and fertilizer with (BF-T) and without tableting (BF-NT) as well as NPK fertilizer (F-NT).

| Samples | Corresponding Characteristic Vibration | Functional Groups | References |
|---------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| B-T     | O-H (3400–3200), C-O (1300–1000)       | Alcohol and phenolic | [22]       |
|         | C=H (900–690)                          | Aromatic          | [23]       |
| BF-T    | N-H (3100–2700), NH bending (1640–1550), C-N (1350–1000) | Amine             | [24,25]    |
|         | C=H (900–690)                          | Aromatic          | [23]       |
|         | S=O (1420–1370)                        | Organic sulfate   | [26]       |
| BF-NT   | C=H (1600–1475), C-O (1300–1000)       | Aromatic          | [23]       |
|         | S=O (1420–1370)                        | Alcohol           | [22]       |
| F-NT    | N-H (3100–2700), C-N (1350–1000)       | Amine             | [24,25]    |
|         | S=O (1420–1370)                        | Organic sulfate   | [26]       |

The absorbance band obtained between 3100 and 2700 cm\(^{-1}\) in BF-T was attributed to the presence of NH stretch, whereas the band between 3400 and 3200 cm\(^{-1}\) (alcohol and phenolic groups) disappeared in BF-T. Similar bands of NH stretch (3100–2700 cm\(^{-1}\)), S=O (1420–1370 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C-N (1350–1000 cm\(^{-1}\)) were observed in BF-T and F-NT. The difference between BF-T and F-NT was the only presence of NH bending (1640–1550 cm\(^{-1}\)) in BF-T. Despite the same biochar and NPK being used for BF-T and BF-NT production, bands of NH stretch (3100–2700 cm\(^{-1}\)) and S=O (1420–1370 cm\(^{-1}\)) disappeared in the BF-NT without densification.

3.3. Ammonium Release Analysis

The release patterns of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT in water were determined using a water incubation test to check their potential application as slow release fertilizers. Figure 2 demonstrates 30 days of ammonium release studies in distilled water upon the addition of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. The N fertilizer used in this study was ammonium sulfate. The highest NH\(_4^+\) release was observed in F-NT, which ranged from 2645.07 mg/L to 5535.60 mg/L for 30 days of water incubation (Figure 2). Total N content of the F-NT in this study was 13.73%. This suggests that approximately 2% to 4% of total N applied was released in soluble NH\(_4^+\) form for 30 days of water incubation (Figure 3).
Nevertheless, the lowest NH$_4^+$ release was observed in B-T which ranged from 14.00 mg/L to 24.27 mg/L for 30 days of water incubation (Figure 2). The NH$_4^+$ release of B-T was approximately 0.3% of the total N applied for 30 days of water incubation (Figure 3). The NH$_4^+$ release trend of BF-NT was similar to F-NT, for which NH$_4^+$ increased steeply for 30 days of water incubation. Nevertheless, a smaller amount of NH$_4^+$ was released with a combination of biochar and NPK fertilizer (BF-NT) compared with NPK only (F-NT). In contrast, the NH$_4^+$ release of BF-T increased gradually until the 10th day and after which remained constant in water incubation until the 30th day.
Compared with BF-NT, BF-T demonstrated lower NH$_4^+$ release during the 30 days of water incubation (Figure 2). The NH$_4^+$ release of BF-NT ranged from 502.13 mg/L to 1632.40 mg/L, whereas that of BF-T ranged from 630.93 mg/L to 897.87 mg/L. Figure 3 shows that the NH$_4^+$ released was approximately 1% to 3.5% of the total amount of the N applied for BF-NT, whereas that of BF-T was approximately 1% to 2% of the total amount of the N applied.

3.4. Nitrate Release Analysis

Figure 4 demonstrates the release trend of the soluble NO$_3^-$ in distilled water upon the addition of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT over 30 days of water incubation. The F-NT released about 46.67 mg/L NO$_3^-$, which accounted for approximately 0.03% of the total amount of N released from F-NT as NO$_3^-$ (Figure 5). The BF-NT released approximately 29.87 mg/L NO$_3^-$ (0.06% of the total amount of N), whereas BF-T released 24.27 mg/L NO$_3^-$ (0.05% of the total amount of N). For B-T only, it released 26.13 mg/L NO$_3^-$ (0.35% of the total amount of N).

Figure 4. Nitrate release at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.

Figure 5. Percent nitrate release of total N applied at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.
3.5. Phosphorus Release Analysis

Figure 6 demonstrates the P release patterns for B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. F-NT rapidly releases soluble P during the 30 days of water incubation (7.68 mg/L to 26.75 mg/L). Triple superphosphate was the P source in our study. Approximately 0.06% of the total P was leached from F-NT as soluble P (Figure 7). In contrast, the P release of B-T was stable. There was relatively small amounts of soluble P released from B-T (0.28 mg/L to 0.41 mg/L) and the amount which accounted for 0.06% of total P was leached from B-T (Figure 7). For BF-T and BF-NT, both increased the soluble P release gradually (Figure 6). The release accounted for 0.34% and 0.35% of total P leached from BF-T and BF-NT, respectively (Figure 7). Although there was a gradual increase of soluble P in both BF-T and BF-NT (Figure 6), a higher P release percentage was recorded in the treatments with the combination of biochar and fertilizer compared with F-NT (Figure 7).

Figure 6. Phosphorus release at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.

Figure 7. Percent phosphorus release of total P applied at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.
3.6. Potassium Release Analysis

Figure 8 illustrates differences of F-NT, BF-NT, BF-T, and B-T in their release of soluble K. The soluble K release of B-T remained unchanged over time (3.46 mg/L to 5.57 mg/L) (Figure 8) and the release accounted for 0.05% of the total K applied (Figure 9). Conversely, the K release of F-NT was rapid over time (38.23 mg/L to 137.12 mg/L). Muriate of potash was the source of K for F-NT in this study and approximately 0.16% of the total K applied was leached on the 30th day of the water incubation (Figure 9). In comparison to F-NT, the water soluble K release for BF-NT and BF-T moderately increased over time (Figure 8). Approximately 0.16% of the total K applied was leached for BF-T, whereas 0.24% of the total K applied was leached for BF-NT on the 30th day of the water incubation (Figure 9).

![Figure 8. Potassium release at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.](image1)

![Figure 9. Percent potassium release of total K applied at five day intervals for 30 days of a water incubation experiment.](image2)
3.7. Percentage of Soluble Nutrient Release during Water Incubation Experiment

Overall, F-NT demonstrated the highest percent soluble NH$_4^+$ release of total N applied (Table 3). The percent soluble ammonium release declined in the order of BF-NT (3.23%) > BF-T (1.81%) > B-T (0.32%). In contrast, B-T showed the highest percent soluble nitrate release during water incubation on the 30th day. Compared with BF-NT, BF-T had a significantly lower percent soluble NH$_4^+$ (1.81%) and K (0.16%) release on the 30th day. Nevertheless, the lowest percent soluble NH$_4^+$, P and K release was recorded in B-T on the 30th day of the water incubation (Table 3).

Table 3. Percent soluble nutrient release from different treatments during water incubation on the 30th day.

| Samples | Percent Nutrient Release (%) | Ammonium | Nitrate | Phosphorus | Potassium |
|---------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|
|         |                             |          |         |            |           |
| B-T     |                             | 0.32d ± 0.05 | 0.35a ± 0.04 | 0.06b ± 0.01 | 0.05c ± 0.01 |
| BF-T    |                             | 1.81c ± 0.03 | 0.05b ± 0.01 | 0.34a ± 0.01 | 0.16b ± 0.01 |
| BF-NT   |                             | 3.23b ± 0.04 | 0.06b ± 0.01 | 0.35a ± 0.01 | 0.24a ± 0.01 |
| F-NT    |                             | 4.03a ± 0.22 | 0.03b ± 0.01 | 0.06b ± 0.01 | 0.17b ± 0.01 |

Means in the same column with the same letters were not found to be significantly different by Tukey’s test ($p \geq 0.05$).

3.8. Selected Soil Chemical Characteristics before the Soil Ammonia Volatilization Experiment

Table 4 presents the selected soil chemical characteristics before the soil NH$_3$ volatilization experiment. pH and EC of soil used in this present study were 5.68 and 0.02 mS cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The soil had low available NH$_4$ (42.93 mg kg$^{-1}$) and available NO$_3$ (17.87 mg kg$^{-1}$).

Table 4. Selected soil chemical characteristics before the soil ammonia volatilization experiment.

| Chemical Characteristics | Soil                        | pH     | Electrical conductivity (mS cm$^{-1}$) | Available ammonium (mg kg$^{-1}$) | Available nitrate (mg kg$^{-1}$) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          |                             | 5.68 ± 0.07 | 0.02 ± 0.01                           | 42.93 ± 4.94                      | 17.87 ± 1.94                     |

Values are means of three replicates followed by ± standard error of means ($n = 3$).

3.9. Daily Loss of Ammonia for Ten Days of Incubation

Soil only (T1) and T2 (F-NT) did not emit NH$_3$ (Figure 10). Ammonia volatilization peaked on the second day for T3 (BF-NT) (1.5%) and T4 (BF-T) (3%), after which there was a rapid decrease until day 10th. The total NH$_3$ loss for T3 and T4 were 8.98% and 13.52%, respectively (Table 5).

Table 5. Accumulation of ammonia volatilization for 10 days of incubation.

| Treatments | Total Ammonia Volatilization (%) |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| T1         | 0                                |
| T2         | 0                                |
| T3         | 8.98b ± 0.22                     |
| T4         | 13.52a ± 0.25                    |

Means in the same column with the same letters were not found to be significantly different by Tukey’s test ($p \geq 0.05$).
Figure 10. Daily loss of ammonia for 10 days of incubation. T1: Soil only, T2: F-NT, T3: BF-NT, T4: BF-T.

3.10. Effects of Treatments on Selected Soil Chemical Properties after at Ten Day of the Ammonia Volatilization Experiment

After 10 days of incubation of soil NH$_3$ volatilization, soil pH of T3 (5.52) remained constant with T1 (5.68), while soil pH of T2 (4.95) and T4 (5.04) significantly declined (Table 6). Soil EC increased in the order of T1 < T3 < T2 < T4 after 10 days of incubation. Treatment T1 shows the lowest soil ammonium while T2 shows the highest ammonium concentration in soil. Meanwhile, soil NH$_4$ concentrations in T3 and T4 were significantly lower than T2. T1 without any fertilizers input showed the lowest NO$_3^-$ concentration, while other treatments showed no significant difference for NO$_3^-$ concentration after 10 days of incubation.

Table 6. Selected soil chemical characteristics at 10 days of an ammonia volatilization experiment.

| Treatments | Soil Chemical Properties |
|------------|--------------------------|
|            | pH           | EC           | Ammonium (mg/kg) | Nitrate (mg/kg) |
| T1         | 5.68a ± 0.07 | 0.02c ± 0.01 | 42.93c ± 4.94    | 17.87b ± 1.94   |
| T2         | 4.95b ± 0.04 | 6.95ab ± 0.14| 2251.2a ± 64.48  | 36.4ab ± 2.80   |
| T3         | 5.52a ± 0.08 | 6.45b ± 0.20 | 1770.53b ± 9.47  | 42.93ab ± 6.53  |
| T4         | 5.04b ± 0.18 | 7.02a ± 0.06 | 1936.67b ± 35.13 | 46.67ab ± 8.14  |

Means in the same column with the same letters were not found to be significantly different by Tukey’s test ($p \geq 0.05$). T1: Soil only, T2: F-NT, T3: BF-NT, T4: BF-T.

4. Discussion

Biochar is an organic amendment which is used to improve soil productivity but low density biochar handling poses a challenge. Densification of biochar into tablet can address this problem. Table 1 provides a comparison among total N, P, and K contents of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. The lowest total N, P, and K of B-T suggests that sole application of B-T only is not sufficient for plants to obtain sufficient N, P, and K for their growth and development. Therefore, it is essential to integrate NPK fertilizer with biochar for soil and crop quality enhancement. The total N, P, and K in BF-T and BF-NT were not significantly different because the same amount and type of materials were used for the production of
BF-T and BF-NT. This also implies that the densification did not significantly impact on the nutrient content of BF-T.

The FTIR spectra revealed the changes of functional groups in B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT. The aromatic C rings are typical chemical groups of biochar [27,28]. This indicates that the B-T, BF-T, and BF-NT consisted of aromatic C compounds which would be degraded slowly by microorganisms [29]. The alcoholic and phenolic groups in B-T enable adsorption of cations on the biochar surfaces [30]. However, the appearance of NH stretch (3100–2700 cm$^{-1}$) in the BF-T and disappearance of a band (3400–3200 cm$^{-1}$), suggest that adsorption sites of alcoholic and phenolic groups were exchanged with NH$_4^+$ substances after the addition of ammonium-based fertilizer into the biochar. Similar bands of NH stretch, S=O, and C-N in BF-T and F-NT, indicate that the fertilizers were well integrated into the biochar following densification. Similar findings were also reported by Zhang et al. [31], who found similar bands in the NH$_3$ modified biochar. In comparison to F-NT, the NH bending was only present in BF-T, indicating that N compounds encrusted in the structure of BF-T [31] and this made it more stable against degradation. The absence of amine and organic sulfate in BF-NT without densification suggests weak binding and interaction of the fertilizer with the biochar surface groups.

Research to date focuses on the nutrient release pattern of blended biochar and fertilizer instead, comparing nutrient release patterns of blended biochar and fertilizer with and without densification. In our present study, the nutrient release patterns of B-T, BF-T, BF-NT, and F-NT were studied using a water incubation test conducted in the laboratory. Though it may not correspond to real conditions in the field, this method enabled us to compare the release pattern of the biochar and fertilizers under accelerated conditions. The N fertilizer used in this present study was ammonium sulfate. Compared with other ammonium-based fertilizers, ammonium sulfate has lower N content and a minimal N loss [32]. Ammonium sulfate is a soluble N fertilizer and this explains the rapid increase in the water soluble NH$_4^+$ for F-NT during 30 days of water incubation. The lowest NH$_4^+$ release in B-T is related to the volatilized N during combustion, after which the remaining N was transformed into a more stable form. This stable N which was relatively resistant to leaching [33]. Compared with F-NT, a smaller amount of NH$_4^+$ was released upon a combination of biochar and NPK fertilizer during the water incubation. One of the reasons for this finding could be the absorption of ammonium to the negatively charged surface functional groups (CO-stretching) in the biochar (Figure 1). This decreased the amount of NH$_4^+$ release for BF-NT. Our results suggest that the slow release of N- NH$_4^+$ in BF-T relates to the stronger binding of ammonium sulfate in the pores and functional groups in biochar followed by the densification. A negligible quantity of soluble NO$_3^-$ released from the F-NT might be explained by the significant amount of ammonium sulfate used in the F-NT being made of NH$_4^+$-N [32]. The soluble NO$_3^-$ release from the B-T was within the range of NO$_3^-$ concentration in the soil amended with biochar [34].

The slowest P release recorded in B-T is related to the binding of P with multivalent metal cations in biochar that are not easily solubilized with water [35]. Similar findings were also reported by Novak et al. [35], who found less than 1% soluble P was released of the total P for the biochar alone. A higher percent P release was observed in the treatments with biochar and fertilizer compared with F-NT. Higher P solubility after combining biochar and NPK was mainly regulated by the interaction of P with mineral ash (particularly Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$) in the biochar. This reaction neutralized the water solution to increase the P availability. This suggests that combined use of biochar and NPK can increase P availability for plants because P is immobile due to adsorption, precipitation, and conversion into an organic form. Minimal release of water soluble K likely occurred from B-T, because of its relatively lower total K content (1.23%) and K was likely bound in the aromatic structural forms. These compounds are less soluble in water. The highest percentage of water soluble K leached from BF-NT indicates that the fine ash biochar without densification has a higher surface area and this enables water to solubilize more nutrients, including K [36].
On day 30 of the water incubation experiment, a high percentage of water-soluble ammonium in F-NT was consistent with the soluble fertilizer sources. This water-soluble ammonium suggests short term availability for the plant uptake. High leaching of NH$_4^+$ in the short term could acidify the soil to impede the nutrient uptake efficiency of the plants. Additionally, the plants might not be able to uptake the sufficient nutrients efficiently because of the rapid release of the nutrients. In comparison to BF-NT, the BF-T had a significantly lower percentage of soluble nutrient release and this suggests that the BF-T could serve as a slow release fertilizer because tableting it increased the bonding between these mobile nutrients and biochar structural forms. However, too low nutrient release from B-T will result in poor nutrient uptake, which could limit a plant’s growth and development.

Soil NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ are not only susceptible to leaching but they are also lost through ammonia volatilization. Ammonia volatilization is mainly affected by soil texture, NH$_4^+$ concentration, and soil pH [37]. Mineral clay soil (Malacca series, Oxisol) was used in our soil NH$_3$ volatilization study. The pH of this soil is typical of Oxisols [38]. Apparently, soil available NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were too low to meet plant demand [39]. Ammonia loss is generally considered as one of the major N loss pathways from agricultural land. Soil NH$_3$ loss after N application commonly lasts for 10 days [40]. In this present study, soil alone did not emit NH$_3$. Likewise, no NH$_3$ loss was observed in the fertilized soil (T2). The NPK fertilizers used in this present study were AS, TSP, and MOP. Mixing these fertilizers creates a very low pH in fertilizer microsites [15] because it comprises acidic sulfate and phosphate anions. This interaction retarded the AS hydrolysis, which ended up inhibiting the ammonia emission.

Co-application of fertilizer and biochar, regardless of whether there was tableting or not, caused significantly higher NH$_3$ loss compared with the unfertilized control (T1) and F-NT treatments (T2). The soil NH$_3$ emission was relatively rapid after day one of the co-application of fertilizer and biochar, regardless of whether there was tableting or not, because base salts of the biochar increased the soil pH to trigger hydrolysis of the nitrogen fertilizers. Rapid NH$_3$ emission after N application has been reported by Wang et al. [40]. Ammonia volatilization peaked on the second day for BF-NT (T3) and BF-T (T4) followed by a rapid decrease because of hydrolysis of the N fertilizer to NH$_4^+$, particularly when the initial soil water is high. With time, the soil water and NH$_4^+$ contents decreased within five days after fertilization. This was accompanied by a gradual NH$_3$ loss decline. Our findings contrasted with that of Mencaroni et al. [41] who reported that mixing organic and mineral fertilizer reduces NH$_3$ volatilization. The high pH of the biochar used in our study might have caused a favorable condition for NH$_3$ volatilization and this observation is consistent with that of Sha et al. [12], who reported that soil NH$_3$ volatilization depends on the characteristics of the input material. Compared with T4, the lower soil NH$_3$ emitted from T3 could be associated with the higher surface area and porosity of the biochar and fertilizer without tableting enhanced NH$_3$ absorption.

Soil pH is generally the main factor affecting NH$_3$ emissions [41]. The biochar in T3 did not significantly change the soil pH after on the 10th day of the ammonia volatilization experiment and this was possibly because of the higher surface area of the biochar without tableting and the counteracting effects of biochar and fertilizer on soil pH [42]. This contributed to the stability (buffering capacity) of soil pH. In contrast, BF-T (T4) significantly decreased soil pH at 10 days of the incubation study because of the slow breakdown of the tablets followed by H ions release from the fertilizer during the nitrification. This might have acidified the soil with T4. The low soil pH with T2 is associated with the acidity of the NPK fertilizer. Soil EC has also been implicated in ammonia emission [43]. In comparison with T2, the lower NH$_4^+$ concentrations in T3 and T4 were ascribed to the intense NH$_4^+$ volatilization during the soil incubation study. This caused less NH$_4^+$ retention in the soil (Table 5). Because of the acidic nature of the soil with T2, a significant amount of the NH$_3$ converted to NH$_4^+$ were not significantly volatilized. NH$_3$ volatilization depends on nitrification because its onset marked the end of volatilization [44]. T1 without the
fertilizers has the lowest NO$_3^-$ concentration. The low NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the soils with fertilizer and biochar could be attributed to the composition of ammonium sulfate used in the NPK fertilizer dominated by NH$_4^+$-N [32].

5. Conclusions

The slowest nutrient release in B-T is associated with its relatively lower total nutrient contents and the nutrients were likely bound in aromatic structural forms. Conversely, a rapid increase in the water soluble ammonium for F-NT was because F-NT is a soluble fertilizer. Combined use of biochar and NPK can increase P availability for plants because P is immobile due to adsorption, precipitation, and conversion into an organic form. The blending of biochar and NPK fertilizer into tablets caused a stronger physical binding of the nutrients within the tablet in addition to stronger chemical bondings between the nutrients with the biochar’s functional groups. This contributes to BF-T slowing the release of nutrients (particularly N and K) in the water incubation. Nevertheless, co-application of fertilizer and biochar, regardless of whether there was tableting or not, increased soil NH$_3$ volatilization compared with the F-NT. Mixing NPK fertilizers creates a very low pH in the F-NT microsite, which could inhibit the NH$_3$ emission. The results demonstrated that the lower soil NH$_3$ emitted from T3 could be associated with a higher surface area and porosity of the biochar and fertilizer without tableting enhanced NH$_3$ absorption. Although blending of biochar and NPK fertilizer into tablets showed the slowest nutrient release in the water incubation, it had the highest soil NH$_3$ volatilization among the treatments. This laboratory incubation experiment may not correspond to real conditions in the field but this method allows us to accurately compare the release patterns of the blended biochar and fertilizer with- and without-tableting under accelerated conditions. Thus, a long-term field study is required to confirm the findings obtained.
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