GCC185 plays independent roles in Golgi structure maintenance and AP-1–mediated vesicle tethering
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GCC185 is a long coiled-coil protein localized to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) that functions in maintaining Golgi structure and tethering mannose 6-phosphate receptor (MPR)–containing transport vesicles en route to the Golgi. We report the identification of two distinct domains of GCC185 needed either for Golgi structure maintenance or transport vesicle tethering, demonstrating the independence of these two functions.

Introduction

Mannose 6-phosphate receptors (MPRs) deliver newly synthesized acid hydrolases to the lysosome (Ghosh et al., 2003). These receptors bind cargo at the TGN and are transported to late endosomes via early endosomes, where they release their cargo as a result of the lower pH of this compartment. Subsequently, MPRs are transported back to the TGN to participate in additional rounds of acid hydrolase delivery (Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009). Transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the TGN has been shown to require several proteins, including Rab9 (Lombardi et al., 1993; Riederer et al., 1994), TIP47 (Díaz and Pfeffer, 1998; Aivazian et al., 2006), a SNARE complex that includes Syntaxin 10, Syntaxin 16, Vti1a, and VAMP3 (Ganley et al., 2008), RhoBTB3 ATPase (Espinosa et al., 2009), and GCC185 (Reddy et al., 2006; Derby et al., 2007).

GCC185 is a Golgi-localized protein that is predicted to form a long coiled-coil structure that protrudes from the Golgi surface (Luke et al., 2003). GCC185 is needed for Golgi structure maintenance; if depleted from cells, the Golgi is transformed from a normal ribbon structure into a cluster of smaller ministacks (Reddy et al., 2006; Derby et al., 2007). This phenotype is common to the loss of any one of several Golgin proteins (Pfeffer, 2010). Additionally, GCC185 functions as a tether for MPR-containing vesicles inbound from late endosomes to the TGN; cells depleted of GCC185 accumulate MPR cargo in Rab9–decorated peripheral transport vesicle carriers (Reddy et al., 2006).

GCC185 is a long coiled-coil protein localized to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) that functions in maintaining Golgi structure and tethering mannose 6-phosphate receptor (MPR)–containing transport vesicles en route to the Golgi. We report the identification of two distinct domains of GCC185 needed either for Golgi structure maintenance or transport vesicle tethering, demonstrating the independence of these two functions.

The domain needed for vesicle tethering binds to the clathrin adaptor AP-1, and cells depleted of GCC185 accumulate MPRs in transport vesicles that are AP-1 decorated. This study supports a previously proposed role of AP-1 in retrograde transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the Golgi and indicates that docking may involve the interaction of vesicle-associated AP-1 protein with the TGN–associated tethering protein GCC185.

For GCC185 to tether MPR-containing transport vesicles, it must simultaneously bind to proteins on the TGN surface and proteins on the vesicles. The cooperative binding of Rab6 and Arl1 GTPases to the C terminus of GCC185 localizes and anchors the tether to the TGN (Burguete et al., 2008). Later work identified multiple Rab GTPase binding sites across the length of GCC185 that may facilitate MPR vesicle tethering (Sinka et al., 2008; Hayes et al., 2009).

In this study, we report identification of distinct GCC185 domains that are required for either maintenance of Golgi structure or tethering of MPR transport vesicles, demonstrating that the two functions of the protein are independent of one another. In addition, we show that a GCC185 domain required for vesicle tethering comprises a binding site for the clathrin adaptor protein AP-1. Clathrin adaptor proteins participate in packaging transport vesicles by binding the cytoplasmic domains of specific cargo proteins and concomitantly recruiting a clathrin coat (Edeling et al., 2006). AP-1 binds to the cytoplasmic domains of MPRs (Glickman et al., 1989) but has been thought to function in the export of MPRs from the TGN toward endosomes in conjunction with GGA (Golgi-localized γ-adaptin ear-containing ADP ribosylation factor binding) proteins (Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009). Our findings support the conclusion that AP-1 functions additionally in the transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the TGN, as originally suggested in mouse gene knockout experiments (Meyer et al., 2000).
Depletion of GCC185 results in the fragmentation of the Golgi into ministacks and the dispersal of MPRs into peripheral transport intermediates (Reddy et al., 2006). A series of truncation constructs was used to explore the contributions of various GCC185 domains toward maintaining Golgi ribbon structure and tethering MPR-containing transport vesicles. Previous work demonstrated a requirement for the first N-terminal coiled-coil domain (residues 1–358) and the absolute C terminus (1,575–1,684) for both of these processes (Hayes et al., 2009). As a starting point for the analysis, we tested a protein lacking ~30% of the N-terminal coiled-coil regions, a region absent in the Drosophila melanogaster GCC185 homologue (Δ359–889). Additional truncations were generated by deleting the protein sequence up to each break in the heptad repeat pattern of the predicted coiled-coil structure (Fig. 1 A).

With regard to GCC185’s role in Golgi ribbon structure maintenance, large regions of the molecule could be deleted with little consequence. Thus, constructs missing residues 359–889, 359–1,030, or 359–1,331 were fully competent to rescue altered Golgi morphology (Fig. 1, B and C). Further deletion (359–1,438) generated a molecule that could no longer rescue normal Golgi structure (Fig. 1 C). Consistent with this observation, a GCC185 construct missing just residues 1,331–1,573 also failed to rescue Golgi ribbon morphology (Fig. 1, B and C),

**Results**

Depletion of GCC185 results in the fragmentation of the Golgi into ministacks and the dispersal of MPRs into peripheral transport intermediates (Reddy et al., 2006). A series of truncation constructs was used to explore the contributions of various GCC185 domains toward maintaining Golgi ribbon structure and tethering MPR-containing transport vesicles. Previous work demonstrated a requirement for the first N-terminal coiled-coil domain (residues 1–358) and the absolute C terminus (1,575–1,684) for both of these processes (Hayes et al., 2009). As a starting point for the analysis, we tested a protein lacking ~30% of the N-terminal coiled-coil regions, a region absent in the Drosophila melanogaster GCC185 homologue (Δ359–889). Additional truncations were generated by deleting the protein sequence up to each break in the heptad repeat pattern of the predicted coiled-coil structure (Fig. 1 A).

With regard to GCC185’s role in Golgi ribbon structure maintenance, large regions of the molecule could be deleted with little consequence. Thus, constructs missing residues 359–889, 359–1,030, or 359–1,331 were fully competent to rescue altered Golgi morphology (Fig. 1, B and C). Further deletion (359–1,438) generated a molecule that could no longer rescue normal Golgi structure (Fig. 1 C). Consistent with this observation, a GCC185 construct missing just residues 1,331–1,573 also failed to rescue Golgi ribbon morphology (Fig. 1, B and C),
Morphology was not a result of protein expression level differences, which was determined by fluorescence intensity or immunoblotting of transfected cells (Fig. 1 D). These experiments define GCC185 residues 938–1,032 as functioning specifically in transport vesicle tethering.

To further refine the precise determinants needed for vesicle tethering, additional constructs were generated (Fig. 3 A). As expected, deletion of just residues 889–1,032 within the context of the full-length protein yielded a construct that could not rescue vesicle tethering, even though the construct properly localized to the Golgi complex (Fig. 3 C). Residues 890–1,032 are predicted to form an unstructured region (Fig. 3 A, yellow box) followed by a potential coiled coil (Fig. 3 A, blue box). If we deleted just the unstructured region (Fig. 3 A, yellow box) to generate Δ889–939, the protein was functional for vesicle tethering (Fig. 3, B and C); in contrast, deletion of just the adjacent coiled coil sequences (938–1,032; Fig. 3 A, blue box) yielded a protein that could not rescue the loss of GCC185-mediated vesicle tethering at the TGN (Fig. 3, B and C). Control immunoblots verified that protein expression differences could not account for the failure of transfected proteins to rescue vesicle tethering (Fig. 3 D). These experiments define GCC185 residues 938–1,032 as functioning specifically in transport vesicle tethering.

In previous work, we showed that a fragment comprised of GCC185 residues 805–889 (Fig. 4 A, orange box) could inhibit in vitro transport reactions that reconstitute transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the Golgi (Hayes et al., 2009). In that study, we also identified a Rab9 GTPase binding site within this domain that includes I880 and L873. Because a construct lacking these residues was capable of rescue (Δ359–889; Fig. 2), it seemed unlikely that this Rab9 binding site would be essential for GCC185 function. As expected, GCC185 I880A/L873A was fully functional in restoring vesicle tethering in GCC185-depleted cells (Fig. 4 B). A construct lacking 21 residues of the coiled coil (Δ862–882) was also a wild type in its rescue capacity (Fig. 4, B and C). Thus, although residues 805–889 of GCC185 are not essential for function, a soluble construct representing this domain could interfere with normal GCC185 function (Hayes et al., 2009). Inhibition is likely to have been a result of titration of Rab9 GTPase from in vitro reactions.

**Protein interactions needed for GCC185 function**

A C-terminal region of GCC185 (residues 1,331–1,438) is needed to maintain Golgi ribbon structure (Fig. 1). Cytoplasmic linker–associated proteins (CLASPs) bind to GCC185 (Efimov et al., 2007) and contribute to maintenance of the Golgi structure (Miller et al., 2009). Therefore, we tested the obvious possibility that GCC185 residues 1,331–1,438 might comprise a CLASP binding site. As shown in Fig. 5 A, GCC185 bound strongly to CLASP1-α or 2-γ, whether or not the protein contained residues 1,331–1,573. This result indicates that the CLASP binding site is located elsewhere on GCC185 and that residues 1,331–1,438 contribute to Golgi structure by a mechanism distinct from CLASP recruitment.

and, not surprisingly, deletion of residues 359–1,574 also yielded a nonfunctional protein (Fig. 1 C). Failure to rescue Golgi ribbon morphology was not a result of protein expression level differences, which was determined by fluorescence intensity or immunoblotting of transfected cells (Fig. 1 D). These experiments define residues 1,332–1,438 (Fig. 1 A, green box) as a domain needed for GCC185’s role in maintenance of the Golgi ribbon.

**A central domain needed for transport vesicle tethering**

Rescue experiments designed to identify domains needed for MPR vesicle tethering revealed that tethering requires regions other than those needed to maintain Golgi structure. Deletion of residues 359–889 yielded a protein that was capable of restoring normal MPR localization in a majority of cells (Fig. 2, A and B). This construct is similar in size to the Drosophila homologue. Further deletion (Δ359–1,030) removed sequences that are needed for MPR transport vesicle consumption at the Golgi but not for Golgi structure maintenance (Fig. 2, A and B). Consistent with this finding, constructs missing residues 359–1,331, 359–1,438, or 359–1,574 were also nonfunctional for vesicle tethering (Fig. 2 B). These experiments identify a region from residues 890–1,030 (Fig. 1 A, blue box) that is essential for GCC185-mediated vesicle tethering.

To further refine the precise determinants needed for vesicle tethering, additional constructs were generated (Fig. 3 A). As expected, deletion of just residues 889–1,032 within the context of the full-length protein yielded a construct that could not rescue vesicle tethering, even though the construct properly localized to the Golgi complex (Fig. 3 C). Residues 890–1,032 are predicted to form an unstructured region (Fig. 3 A, yellow box) followed by a potential coiled coil (Fig. 3 A, blue box). If we deleted just the unstructured region (Fig. 3 A, yellow box) to generate Δ889–939, the protein was functional for vesicle tethering (Fig. 3, B and C); in contrast, deletion of just the adjacent coiled-coil sequences (938–1,032; Fig. 3 A, blue box) yielded a protein that could not rescue the loss of GCC185-mediated vesicle tethering at the TGN (Fig. 3, B and C). Control immunoblots verified that protein expression differences could not account for the failure of transfected proteins to rescue vesicle tethering (Fig. 3 D). These experiments define GCC185 residues 938–1,032 as functioning specifically in transport vesicle tethering.

In previous work, we showed that a fragment comprised of GCC185 residues 805–889 (Fig. 4 A, orange box) could inhibit in vitro transport reactions that reconstitute transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the Golgi (Hayes et al., 2009). In that study, we also identified a Rab9 GTPase binding site within this domain that includes I880 and L873. Because a construct lacking these residues was capable of rescue (Δ359–889; Fig. 2), it seemed unlikely that this Rab9 binding site would be essential for GCC185 function. As expected, GCC185 I880A/L873A was fully functional in restoring vesicle tethering in GCC185-depleted cells (Fig. 4 B). A construct lacking 21 residues of the coiled coil (Δ862–882) was also a wild type in its rescue capacity (Fig. 4, B and C). Thus, although residues 805–889 of GCC185 are not essential for function, a soluble construct representing this domain could interfere with normal GCC185 function (Hayes et al., 2009). Inhibition is likely to have been a result of titration of Rab9 GTPase from in vitro reactions.

**Protein interactions needed for GCC185 function**

A C-terminal region of GCC185 (residues 1,331–1,438) is needed to maintain Golgi ribbon structure (Fig. 1). Cytoplasmic linker–associated proteins (CLASPs) bind to GCC185 (Efimov et al., 2007) and contribute to maintenance of the Golgi structure (Miller et al., 2009). Therefore, we tested the obvious possibility that GCC185 residues 1,331–1,438 might comprise a CLASP binding site. As shown in Fig. 5 A, GCC185 bound strongly to CLASP1-α or 2-γ, whether or not the protein contained residues 1,331–1,573. This result indicates that the CLASP binding site is located elsewhere on GCC185 and that residues 1,331–1,438 contribute to Golgi structure by a mechanism distinct from CLASP recruitment.
transport, as mouse cells lacking this complex accumulate MPRs in early endosomes (Meyer et al., 2000). Therefore, we tested whether purified AP-1 complex binds directly to the region of GCC185 that is needed for MPR transport vesicle tethering.

GST fusion constructs were generated that represent the domain of GCC185 that participates in vesicle tethering (890–1,031, 939–1,031, or 1,032–1,331 as a control; Fig. 5, B and C).

GCC185's C terminus is needed for its Golgi localization, a process that is mediated by Rab6 and Arl1 GTPases (Burguete et al., 2008). As for vesicle tethering, GCC185 residues 939–1,031 may bind directly to a constituent of transport vesicles or to another intermediate protein that interacts with vesicles. Therefore, we sought possible binding partners of this domain. The AP-1 clathrin adaptor has been implicated in MPR retrograde transport, as mouse cells lacking this complex accumulate MPRs in early endosomes (Meyer et al., 2000). Therefore, we tested whether purified AP-1 complex binds directly to the region of GCC185 that is needed for MPR transport vesicle tethering.

Figure 3. **Coiled-coil residues 939–1,031 are required for MPR vesicle tethering.** (A) A schematic diagram of GCC185 and the truncation constructs used in the depletion and rescue experiments. In blue is a coiled-coil region needed for MPR vesicle consumption; in yellow is an adjacent putative unstructured region. (B) GCC185 depletion and rescue with the indicated constructs as described in Fig. 2. Approximate cell outlines are indicated. Bars, 10 µm. (C) Quantification of rescue. MPR dispersal was scored visually using blinded datasets; the data represent the mean of at least two experiments in which a total of >100 cells were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM from independent experiments. (D) An immunoblot of the indicated GCC185 constructs after 48 h of expression in HeLa cells. Proteins were detected with anti-myc tag antibody. Molecular mass marker mobility is shown in kilodaltons.

Figure 4. **A Rab9 binding site in coiled-coil 3 is dispensable for vesicle tethering.** (A) A schematic diagram of GCC185. Depicted in orange is a coiled-coil region containing a Rab9 binding site (Hayes et al., 2009). (B) GCC185-depleted cells were rescued and analyzed as described in Fig. 2. The quantification of rescue is shown. MPR dispersal was scored visually from blinded datasets; the data represent the mean of at least two experiments in which a total of >45 cells were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM from independent experiments. (C) Representative images. MPR localization (top) and GCC185 rescue with Δ862–882 (bottom) are shown. Approximate cell outlines are indicated. Bar, 10 µm.
We have previously shown that a large proportion of these vesicles are Rab9 positive and that they lack the early endosome marker early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1; Reddy et al., 2006). Indeed, in triple-labeled deconvolution immunofluorescence microscopy experiments, 94% of 138 double-labeled MPR- and AP-1–positive vesicles lacked the EEA1 protein, supporting the conclusion that these are not early endosomes.

Because transport intermediates should be diffraction-limited spots by light microscopy, we first imaged 100-nm fluorescent beads and processed the images with a deconvolution algorithm to determine the range of pixel sizes that would roughly represent transport vesicles and not, for example, peripheral endosomes. 70% of the beads were detected within a range of 6–16 pixels in size; thus, this range was chosen to score vesicle-sized structures while excluding larger endosomes. Control HeLa cells showed very few peripheral vesicles containing MPRs, and only a small percentage of these objects also carried AP-1 (Fig. 6, A, C, and E). In GCC185-depleted cells, however, many peripheral MPR-containing vesicles were seen, and >30% of these vesicles also carried AP-1 (Fig. 6, B, D, and E).

Golgi-localized AP-1 and GCC185 represent distinct TGN domains

The finding that a block in transport vesicle tethering leads to the accumulation of MPRs in AP-1–coated transport intermediates strongly supports a role for AP-1 in retrograde transport.
whereas residues 1,331–1,438 are needed for Golgi structure maintenance. This demonstrates that the two functions of the protein are separable. The region of GCC185 needed for vesicle tethering has the capacity to bind the clathrin adaptor AP-1 but not AP-2. This is exciting because most tethers bind to coat proteins corresponding to the transport step they catalyze; little was known previously about the coat that decorates MPR-containing vesicles inbound to the Golgi, and our finding led us to test whether these vesicles are, in fact, AP-1 coated. Indeed, in cells depleted of GCC185, MPRs accumulate in small vesicles that costain at a high frequency with anti–AP-1 antibodies.

AP-1 is highly enriched at the TGN as a result of strong binding interactions with phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (Wang et al., 2003) and Arf1 GTPase (Zhu et al., 1998). Immuno-EM has shown that MPR-containing clathrin-coated vesicles near the TGN are decorated with both AP-1 and so-called GGA proteins (Doray et al., 2002). Live-cell video microscopy has also detected AP-1 and GGA proteins on clathrin-coated structures that emanate from the TGN and deliver MPRs to early endosomes (Huang et al., 2001; Puertollano et al., 2003; Waguri et al., 2003; Polishchuk et al., 2006). These results support the conclusion that AP-1 functions in MPR export from the TGN to endosomes (Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009). Recent studies in Drosophila cells are entirely consistent with this conclusion and indicate that AP-1 and GGA proteins play redundant roles in MPR export (Hirst et al., 2009; Kametaka et al., 2010).

Discussion

We have shown, for the first time, that GCC185 contains two distinct functional domains that are needed to either maintain Golgi structure or to mediate transport vesicle consumption. Residues 939–1,031 are needed for tethering of MPR-containing vesicles to the Golgi but not for maintenance of Golgi structure, whereas residues 1,331–1,438 are needed for Golgi structure maintenance. This demonstrates that the two functions of the protein are separable. The region of GCC185 needed for vesicle tethering has the capacity to bind the clathrin adaptor AP-1 but not AP-2. This is exciting because most tethers bind to coat proteins corresponding to the transport step they catalyze; little was known previously about the coat that decorates MPR-containing vesicles inbound to the Golgi, and our finding led us to test whether these vesicles are, in fact, AP-1 coated. Indeed, in cells depleted of GCC185, MPRs accumulate in small vesicles that costain at a high frequency with anti–AP-1 antibodies.

AP-1 is highly enriched at the TGN as a result of strong binding interactions with phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (Wang et al., 2003) and Arf1 GTPase (Zhu et al., 1998). Immuno-EM has shown that MPR-containing clathrin-coated vesicles near the TGN are decorated with both AP-1 and so-called GGA proteins (Doray et al., 2002). Live-cell video microscopy has also detected AP-1 and GGA proteins on clathrin-coated structures that emanate from the TGN and deliver MPRs to early endosomes (Huang et al., 2001; Puertollano et al., 2003; Waguri et al., 2003; Polishchuk et al., 2006). These results support the conclusion that AP-1 functions in MPR export from the TGN to endosomes (Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009). Recent studies in Drosophila cells are entirely consistent with this conclusion and indicate that AP-1 and GGA proteins play redundant roles in MPR export (Hirst et al., 2009; Kametaka et al., 2010).

Figure 6. AP-1 decorates transport vesicles that accumulate in cells depleted of GCC185. (A and B) Deconvolved micrographs of cells either mock transfected (A) or transfected with GCC185 siRNA (B) labeled for MPR and AP-1. MPR localization was visualized using 2G11 mouse anti-MPR and Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-IgG2α mouse antibodies. AP-1 was visualized using 100/3 mouse anti–AP-1 and Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti-IgG2b mouse antibodies. Approximate cell outlines are indicated. Bars, 10 µm. (C and D) Enlargement of the boxed regions in control (A) or GCC185-depleted (B) cells. Bars, 2.5 µm. (E) Quantification of the percentage of peripheral MPR-containing vesicles that also contain AP-1. For GCC185-depleted cells, 490 MPR+ vesicles were counted from five cells from two independent experiments. For control cells, 76 MPR+ peripheral vesicles were counted from four cells from three independent experiments. Error bars represent SEM.
GCC185 is predicted to form a long structure that may extend 240 nm from the TGN surface (Hayes et al., 2009). Yet, the binding site that we have found necessary for vesicle docking (939–1,031) is located right in the middle of the protein. The presence of a nonessential Rab9 binding site immediately adjacent to the AP-1 binding site suggests that Rab9 binding may facilitate tethering by providing another vesicle-associated partner for GCC185 to bind to, even if that site is not required. The identification of these binding sites has provided an important clue to the nature of the vesicles that may be tethered; however, it does not provide an answer as to how the GCC185 protein accomplishes vesicle tethering. One possibility is that there is an additional transport vesicle binding site located closer to the N terminus. Given the presence of numerous Rab GTPase binding sites (Hayes et al., 2009) as well as binding sites for the target SNARE Syntaxin 16 (Ganley et al., 2008), it would not be surprising if additional binding sites are detected elsewhere in the protein. Importantly, the N-terminal 359 residues are essential for GCC185 function (Hayes et al., 2009), and the precise role of this domain deserves further analysis.

According to AP-1’s role in TGN export, it was at first surprising that AP-1 gene disruption led to the accumulation of MPRs in early endosomes (Meyer et al., 2000). This finding can be explained if GGAs, with or without AP-1, mediate TGN export of MPRs, whereas AP-1 (and not GGAs) functions in MPR transport both at the TGN and at endosomes. The conclusion that AP-1 plays a unique role at endosomes is supported by the observation that AP-1 depletion, but not GGA depletion, inhibits Nef-mediated down-regulation of myosin heavy chain–class I (Hirst et al., 2009).

AP-1–mediated retrograde transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the TGN would be expected to involve a clathrin coat. Function-blocking X19 anticlathrin heavy chain antibodies failed to inhibit in vitro transport of MPRs from late endosomes to the TGN under conditions in which transferrin endocytosis was inhibited (Draper et al., 1990). Liu et al. (2001) have identified a novel clathrin isoform (CHC22) that is important for retrograde transport of proteins from endosomes to the Golgi (Esk et al., 2010). Depletion of CHC22 results in the redistribution of MPRs away from the perinuclear region (Esk et al., 2010). Unlike conventional clathrin (CHC17), CHC22 does not bind to light chains and is able to interact with AP-1 and AP-3 but not AP-2 complexes (Liu et al., 2001). X19 antibody fails to recognize CHC22 (Brodsky, F., personal communication), which would explain why X19 failed to inhibit MPR transport to the Golgi (Draper et al., 1990). Thus, CHC22, shown by Esk et al. (2010) to be important for MPR retrograde transport, likely functions in concert with AP-1 on the transport vesicles that we detected in cells depleted of GCC185 protein. AP-1 has the capacity to bind MPRs present in late endosomes and may work in concert with TIP47 and Rab9 proteins (Díaz and Pfeffer, 1998; Carroll et al., 2001) to package MPR cargo for export from late endosomes.

GCC185 is predicted to form a long structure that may extend 240 nm from the TGN surface (Hayes et al., 2009). Yet, the binding site that we have found necessary for vesicle docking (939–1,031) is located right in the middle of the protein. The presence of a nonessential Rab9 binding site immediately adjacent to the AP-1 binding site suggests that Rab9 binding may facilitate tethering by providing another vesicle-associated partner for GCC185 to bind to, even if that site is not required. The identification of these binding sites has provided an important clue to the nature of the vesicles that may be tethered; however, it does not provide an answer as to how the GCC185 protein accomplishes vesicle tethering. One possibility is that there is an additional transport vesicle binding site located closer to the N terminus. Given the presence of numerous Rab GTPase binding sites (Hayes et al., 2009) as well as binding sites for the target SNARE Syntaxin 16 (Ganley et al., 2008), it would not be surprising if additional binding sites are detected elsewhere in the protein. Importantly, the N-terminal 359 residues are essential for GCC185 function (Hayes et al., 2009), and the precise role of this domain deserves further analysis.

Our study shows that GCC185 does not need to be especially long to support Golgi ribbon structure maintenance. Although the protein is normally a dimer of 185-kD subunits, a smaller dimer comprised of two 130-kD chains was functional in this regard. GCC185 C-terminal determinants localize the protein on the Golgi and may also bind CLASP proteins that catalyze microtubule polymerization from the Golgi surface (Efimov et al., 2007). Residues 1,331–1,573 needed for normal Golgi ribbon morphology do not mediate CLASP binding; thus, another interaction must mediate this process.
Finally, deconvolution light microscopy has shown that GCC185 and Golgin 245 occupy distinct domains of the TGN, as originally detected by Derby et al. (2004). Importantly, TGN-associated AP-1 protein is distinct from domains decorated with either GCC185 or Golgin 245 protein (the latter shown indirectly). This indicates that transport vesicle-forming microdomains are adjacent to, but distinct from, regions that function in transport vesicle tethering. It will be of interest to determine whether these membrane domains are continuous or whether a fusion event is needed to bring recycled MPRs into vesicle-forming regions of the TGN. The localization of TGN clathrin in distal, nascent coated buds that are distinct from cisternal membranes has been seen in three-dimensional electron microscopic tomograms of the TGN (Fig. 9 in Ladinsky et al., 1999).

The process of transport vesicle tethering remains the least understood aspect of membrane traffic in eukaryotic cells. To serve as a tether, a protein must have the capacity to localize to a target membrane and reach out to interact with inbound transport vesicles. We have shown that GCC185 uses its C-terminus to anchor at the Golgi via Rab6 and Arl1 GTPase binding. Here, we have identified a precise domain that can bind AP-1 on incoming transport vesicles and in some way facilitate the subsequent docking and fusion of these vesicles with their target. Future work will focus on conformational transitions taken by GCC185 to bring vesicle-associated SNARE proteins close enough to the target membrane to engage targeted SNAREs and permit membrane fusion.

Materials and methods

Expression plasmids
cDNA rescue plasmids were prepared with eight silent mutations in the siRNA-targeted region and ligated into pcDNA3.1[+](Invitrogen) modified with an N-terminal myc tag as previously described (Hayes et al., 2009). The Δ359–XXX rescue constructs were prepared by concatenation of a PCR product encoding residues 34–358 with a GGATCC linker (AS) to sequences encoding the C terminus. These included Δ359–889 (34–358–AS–890–1,684), Δ359–1,030 (34–358–AS–1,031–1,684), Δ359–1,331 (34–358–AS–1,332–1,684), Δ359–1,438 (34–358–AS–1,439–1,684), and Δ359–1,574 (34–358–AS–1,575–1,674). The Δ1,331–1,573 rescue construct encoded residues 1–1,330 linked to 1,574–1,684.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Cell fixation, staining, and mounting in Mowiol were performed as previously described (Hayes et al., 2009). In brief, 24 h before fixation, cells were split onto 22 × 22-mm coverslips in a 6-well plate. Cells were washed twice in PBS and fixed for 20 min in 3.7% formaldehyde in 200 mM Hepes, pH 7.4. After fixation, cells were washed twice and incubated for 15 min in DME (100/2, 1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti–AP-1 (100/3, 1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti–AP-2 (100/2, 1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti–GM130 (1:500; BD), mouse anti–Golgin 245 (1:400; BD), mouse anti-EEA1 (1:1,000), rabbit anti-GCC185 (Reddy et al., 2006), and chicken anti-myc (1:750; Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.) primary antibodies were used. Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti–mouse (1:1,000), Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti–rabbit (1:1,000), Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti–chicken (1:2,000, Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti–mouse IgG2a, 1:2,000), and Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti–mouse IgG2a, 1:1,000 secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen. Micrographs for Figs. 1–4 and 6 were acquired using a microscope (Eclipse 80i; Nikon) fitted with a 60×/NA 1.4 plan apochromat objective lens, a Sedat Quad filter set (Chroma Technology Corp.), and a charge-coupled device camera (CoolSnapHQ; Photometrics) at RT. Wavelength selection was performed using a controller (Lambda 10-3; Sutter Instrument). Z sections were acquired with a Z axis drive (MFC-2000; Applied Scientific Instrumentation) at 0.2-µm steps. All microscope instrumentation was controlled by MetaMorphs manufacturer’s software (Molecular Devices). Micrographs for Fig. 7 were acquired at RT using a microscope (IX70; Olympus) fitted with a 100×/NA 1.4 plan apochromat objective lens, a Sedat Quad filter set (Semrock), and a charge-coupled device camera (CoolSnap HQ). All instrumentation was controlled by the integrated DeltaVision system (Applied Precision). Wavelength selection and z stack acquisition were performed using this system. Z sections were acquired at 0.2-µm steps. Images were deconvolved using a theoretical point spread function with softWoRx (v.4.1.0);
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