Measurement Analysis and Evaluation of Desiccant Air Handling Units with Various Heat Source
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Abstract. In hot and humid summer as in Japan, dehumidification is important process for air conditioning. Desiccant air handling unit is one of the major system for dehumidification in which absorbent or sorbent absorbs moisture in the air and hot heat source is required in order to regenerate them. Desiccant air handling unit is becoming common system, however there is little information about actual operation results and evaluation based on the measurement results. This study provides actual data set and benchmarks which are useful for designing desiccant air handling unit and to evaluate energy performance of various desiccant air handling systems. Measurement results show that coefficient of performance of desiccant air handling unit itself is around 0.7 in summer with polymer sorbent. In addition, the coefficient of performance of desiccant air handling system is defined and the value depends on the coefficient of performance of heat source and the distribution between chilled water and hot water.

1 Introduction

In hot and humid summer as in Japan, dehumidification is important process for buildings in terms of air quality and thermal comfort. Mechanical dehumidification is commonly used to reduce moisture content of the air flow. Most of the dehumidification process is conducted by reducing the air temperature to lower than its dew point, which is so called condensation dehumidification. Alternatively, the desiccant air handling unit can reduce moisture of the air by adsorption phenomena of substances including silica gel or polymer sorbent. These are required to be regenerated periodically. For regeneration, waste heat from combined heat and power (hereafter, CHP) or heat pumps, and hot water from solar thermal system is typically used. Desiccant air handling unit (hereafter, DAHU) is one of the key technology for higher energy performance, however there is little information about actual operation results and evaluation based on the measurement results.

The purpose of this study is to provide actual data set and benchmarks which are useful for designing desiccant air handling unit and to evaluate energy performance of various desiccant air handling system (hereafter, DAHS) including heat sources. Two different DAHS in Tokyo and Kanagawa are selected for this study.

2 System configuration and specifications

2.1 System 1
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Fig. 1 shows the system 1 including DAHU, gas heat pump (hereafter, GHP), CHP, solar thermal system and absorption chiller.

The DAHU of system 1 is composed of pre-cooling coil, dehumidification wheel, regenerating coil, sensible heat exchanger and after-cooling coil. Chilled water from GHP around 8°C is supplied to pre- and after-cooling coil. Hot water around 70°C from GHP’s waste heat is supplied to regenerating coil. When the hot water from GHP is not enough hot, hot water from CHP and solar thermal system is used through heat exchanger for raising the temperature of hot water from GHP. Hot water from CHP and solar thermal system is then supplied to absorption chiller and tank for domestic hot water. Hot water from CHP and solar thermal system is used as cascade system.

Table 1 shows the specification of the system 1. The target room condition is 27°C and 45%. Supply air volume is decided by CO₂ condensation of each room. Return air volume is determined by the supply air volume. Supply dew point is determined by the return air dew point.

2.2 System 2

Fig. 2 shows the system 2 including DAHU, air handling unit, radiant panel, absorption chiller, CHP, and solar thermal system. The DAHU of system 2 is composed of pre-cooling coil, dehumidification wheel, regenerating coil, sensible heat exchanger and after-cooling coil. Chilled water from absorption chiller is firstly supplied to air handling unit (AHU), and then supplied to pre- and after-cooling coil around 12°C, finally supplied to
radiant panel for indoor air conditioning. Mixed hot water from CHP and solar thermal system is firstly supplied to absorption chiller as heat source, and then regenerating coil around 70°C. In system 2, both chilled water and hot water is used as cascading for improvement of thermal energy efficiency.

Table 2 shows the specifications of system 2. The target room condition is 26°C 45%. In system 2, dehumidification amount at pre-cooling coil and dehumidification wheel is determined by the supply dew point. When supply dew point is low and hot water to regenerating coil is not enough, chilled water will be supplied to pre-cooling coil to dehumidify the supply air. Supply air volume is determined by CO₂ condensation of each room.

Fig.1 Diagram of System 1

Fig.2 Diagram of System 2
Table 1. Specifications of system 1

| Device | Abbreviation | Rated specifications | Number |
|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------|
| Gas Heat Pump | GHP | Cooling capacity: 70kW, Heating capacity: 80kW Waste hot water capacity: 30kW (during cooling mode) Gas consumption: 67.9kW | 4 |
| Combined Heat and Power | CHP | Power: 35kW, Waste hot water: 51.5kW Power efficiency: 34% total efficiency : 84% Gas consumption: 103kW | 2 |
| Gas-fired double effect with hot water single effect absorption chiller (ABS) | ABS | Cooling capacity: 528 kW, Heating capacity: 340kW Hot water input for regenerator: 159kW Gas consumption: 32.5 Nm³/h | 1 |
| Evacuated type solar collector | SOL | 53kW (1.47kW/each), Area: 123 m² (3.41m²/each) | 36 |
| Radiator | RAD | 120kW | 1 |
| Desiccant air handling unit | DAHU | Desiccant material: polymer sorbent Supply air volume:14100 m³/h Exhaust air volume: 9750 m³/h Pre-cooling coil:192.6kW After-cooling coil: 58.1kW Regenerating coil: 101.0kW | 1 |
| Thermal storage tank for domestic hot water | TANK | 2 m³ | 1 |

Table 2. Specification of system 2

| Device | Abbreviation | Rated specifications | Number |
|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------|
| Combined Heat and Power | CHP | Power: 35kW, Waste hot water: 51.5kW Power efficiency: 34%, total efficiency : 84%, Gas consumption: 103kW | 3 |
| Gas-fired double effect with hot water single effect absorption chiller (ABS) | ABS | Cooling capacity: 422kW, Heating capacity: 337kW Hot water input for regenerator: 174kW Gas consumption: 31.3 Nm³/h | 1 |
| Evacuated type solar collector | SOL | 71kW (1.66kW/each) , Area: 147 m² (3.41 m²/each) | 43 |
| Thermal storage for solar thermal system | TANK | 4 m³ | 1 |
| Radiator | RAD | 50kW | 2 |
| Desiccant air handling unit 1 | DAHU 1 | Desiccant material: polymer sorbent Supply air volume:11360 m³/h Exhaust air volume: 5685 m³/h Pre-cooling coil:100.8kW After-cooling coil:28.0kW Regenerating coil: 71.4kW | 1 |
| Desiccant air handling unit 2 | DAHU2 | Desiccant material: polymer sorbent Supply air volume: 10340 m³/h, Exhaust air volume: 5895 m³/h Pre-cooling coil: 86kW After-cooling coil: 27.4 kW Regenerating coil: 73kW | 1 |

3 Measurement results and evaluation

The data for evaluation is provided by BEMS (Building Energy Management System). For evaluation of DAHU itself, since every one-minute data is fluctuated, the data is transformed into hourly average data. The energy data is measured every one hour, so the original data is used. System 1 started the its operation from July 2015, therefore the data in 2016 is used for analysis. System 2 starts the operation from March 2013. The data in 2015 is used for analysis.

3.1 Comparison between systems on psychrometric chart

Fig.3 shows the air state of system 1 and system 2 on psychrometric chart in August 2016 and August 2015 respectively under the condition that supply air volume is higher than 60% of rated air volume. Both in system 1 and system 2, supply air absolute humidity is 10g/kg'. However, air condition before dehumidification wheel of the regeneration side is much higher in system 2 because the air volume ratio of system 2 is lower than system 1, therefore system 2 required higher regeneration temperature. In system 2, when return air volume is not enough for regeneration, outdoor air is mixed in order to keep the minimum air volume. It results in fluctuation of regeneration temperature.

In system 1, since temperature entering dehumidification wheel of process side and supply air temperature is 20°C, relatively high chilled water can be used for improvement of performance of heat source.
3.2 Relative humidity factor

Relative humidity factor (η_RH) is one of the factors which shows the performance of the desiccant wheels. Relative humidity factor is defined with equation (1).

\[ \eta_{RH} = (RH_{pre,pro} - RH_{re,pre}) / (RH_{pre,pro} - RH_{re,dw}) \quad (1) \]

RH_{pre,pro} is relative humidity entering dehumidification wheel of process side, RH_{re,dw} is relative humidity passing dehumidification wheel of process side on the same enthalpy line with enthalpy entering dehumidification wheel, RH_{re,dw} is relative humidity entering dehumidification of regeneration side.

Fig. 4 shows the relation between relative humidity factor and dehumidified amount per supply air volume depending on air volume ratio. Both in system 1 and system 2, higher relative humidity factor results in greater amount of dehumidification at dehumidification wheel. As equation (1) shows, the lower relative humidity entering dehumidification wheel of regeneration side or/and the higher relative humidity entering dehumidification wheel of process side, the higher relative humidity factor can be achieved.

System 2 has lower relative humidity factor dehumidified amount at dehumidification wheel than system 1 because air volume ratio is lower. System 1 has clear tendency that higher air volume ratio has higher relative humidity factor.

3.3 Evaluation results

Fig. 4 relation between relative humidity factor and dehumidified amount at dehumidification wheel per supply air volume depending on air volume ratio (AVR)
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For evaluation of DAHU itself and DAHS, two different coefficient of performance (hereafter, COP) are proposed. One is desiccant COP $COP_{des}$, and the other is primary-energy-base COP $COP_{primary}$ as defined with equation (2) and (3) respectively.

$COP_{des} = \frac{Q_{des}}{(Q_{pre} + Q_{after} + Q_{re})}$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$COP_{primary} = \frac{Q_{pre} + Q_{after} + Q_{re}}{(PE_{pre} + PE_{after} + PE_{re})}$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$Q_{des}$ is processed air load by DAHU, $Q_{pre}$ and $Q_{after}$ is chilled water demand to pre-cooling coil and after-cooling coil respectively, $Q_{re}$ is hot water demand to regenerating coil. $PE_{pre}$, $PE_{after}$, and $PE_{re}$ is primary energy consumption of each system to cover the each coil demand.

### 3.3.1 Results of $COP_{des}$

Fig.5 shows the monthly averaged $COP_{des}$ for system 1 and system 2. Both in system 1 and system 2, $COP_{des}$ in July and August is around 0.7.

![Graph](image.png)

Fig. 5 Monthly averaged $COP_{des}$

### 3.3.2 Results of thermal energy flow and $COP_{primary}$

Fig. 6 shows thermal energy flow of system 1 in August. All chilled water from GHP is supplied to pre- and after cooling coil. The waste hot water from GHP is supplied to regenerating coil, but when exhaust hot water from GHP is not enough, hot water from CHP will increase the exhaust hot water via heat exchanger. In August, approximately 41% of hot water from CHP and solar thermal system is distributed to regenerating coil in DAHU. 3% of hot water from CHP and solar thermal is assumed be heat loss due to circulation and so on.

![Diagram](image.png)

Fig.6 Thermal energy frow of system 1 in GJ/month

Fig. 7 shows the monthly thermal energy balance of CHP and solar thermal system in system 1 in 2016. In average, 90% of hot water is used for absorption chiller as heat source, regenerating coil in DAHU, and domestic hot water.

![Graph](image.png)

Fig.7 Monthly thermal energy balance of hot water from CHP and solar thermal system in system 1

In system 1, primary energy consumption to cover the demand of DAHU coils is given with equation (4) to equation (7).

\[ PE_{pre} + PE_{after} = PE_{ghp} + PE_{pump} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ PE_{re} = PE_{re}\ by\ GHP + PE_{re}\ by\ CHP\ and\ STS \] \hspace{1cm} (5)
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contributes production of both chilled water and hot water to DAHU. On the other hand, in system 1, hot water from CHP does not contribute the chilled water demand of DAHU. This difference results in higher COP\textsubscript{primary} in system 2 than in system 1.

![Fig. 11 COP\textsubscript{primary} and primary energy consumption in system 2 in 2015](image)

### 4 Discussion

According to another paper [1] which conducted measurement analysis of DAHU composed of precooling coil, dehumidification wheel, regenerating coil, sensible heat exchanger and after cooling coil, COP\textsubscript{des} is around 0.7 in July and August in 2013 and 2014. As shown in Fig. 5, systems in this study achieved almost same COP\textsubscript{des} with the reference paper. This value of COP\textsubscript{des} will be good benchmarks for evaluating DAHU with polymer sorbent for other systems.

When evaluating DAHS with heat source including chillers, CHP, solar thermal system and so on, it is necessary to consider the thermal energy flow as shown in Fig. 6 and Fig.9 for especially complex DAHS. The difference of COP\textsubscript{primary} between system 1 and system 2 resulted from system COP of heat source as well as sharing rate of heat source to cover coil demand. Therefore, it is difficult to show typical value of COP\textsubscript{primary}, however, this study provided good example of evaluation of DAHS with primary energy base.

### 5 Conclusion

This paper presented actual measurement data and evaluation of various desiccant air handling system including heat source during summer season.

1) High relative humidity factor results in larger amount of dehumidification at dehumidification wheel. Relative humidity factor is mainly determined by air volume ratio and the relative humidity entering dehumidification wheel both process and regeneration side.

2) Coefficient of performance of desiccant air handling unit itself is around 0.7 in summer with polymer sorbent.

3) Coefficient of performance of desiccant air handling system with primary energy base is higher than 1.0 in July and August. The value depends on the coefficient of performance of heat source and the distribution of each heat source.

### Appendix

In this study, the primary energy consumption for waste heat from CHP is given by equation (10).

$$PE_{wh} = PE_{g,CHP} - PE_{e,CHP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$PE_{wh}$ is assumed primary energy consumption for waste heat, $PE_{g,CHP}$ is primary gas consumption of CHP, $PE_{e,CHP}$ is generated power by CHP which is converted to primary energy. Primary energy consumption unit of electricity in Japan is 9.76 MJ/kWh. Primary energy factor for gas is 45MJ/Nm\textsuperscript{3}.
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