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ABSTRACT

Objective: Hands play a significant role in organism transmission. Poor hand hygiene practices in health care settings lead to nosocomial infection. Aseptic practice is the cornerstone of current surgery, thus rigid adherence to prescribed sterile techniques in the operating room is essential. The objective of this study was to evaluate nurses’ competencies regarding surgical hand scrubbing.

Material and Methods: Cross sectional observational design was used. The study was conducted in operating rooms in a tertiary care hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. This setting contains total seven operating rooms with 250 registered nurses. Sample size calculated through Slovin’s formula. Sample size was 154. Convenient sampling technique was used. An adopted checklist was used for observing the nurses’ practices of hand scrubbing. Data was analyzed in Statistical Software of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.

Results: Majority of nurses (72.7%) were between 21-30 years age group. Only 38.3% participants wore a face mask and surgical cap correctly and 61.7% did not wear correctly. Majority participants, 76% had short nails. All participants (100%) removed nail polish, artificial nails, and jewelry before scrubbing. Majority of participants applied a proper amount of Povidone iodine.

Conclusion: Operational hand scrub is a very vital component of operative procedure. Results of this study showed inadequate practices of nurses in the operating room, which was overall 77%. There is a need to improve nurses’ practices so, periodically audit, manager supervision and feedback, workshops should be organized.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infection (SSI) is most common cause of health care associated infection and it is a common complication of any surgery. Globally, SSI rates in developing countries ranged from 2.5 to 41.9 per cent with extremely higher rates.¹

Hands play a significant role in organism transmission. Poor hand-hygiene procedures in hospitals leads to nosocomial infection.² The Center for Disease Control (CDC) estimated that hand washing correctly decreases nosocomial infections by 30%.³ Hand scrubbing is an extension of the washing hands before surgery with antimicrobial soap and water.⁴ In 1860s, Joseph Lister’s surgical team first introduced the cleaning of hands and arms with antiseptic solution, which used hand-disinfected carbolic acid.⁵

Jewelry and nails can cause bacterial spread therefore, it is necessary to remove jewelry and keeps nail short before surgical hand scrubbing.⁶ The nurse of the operating room should wear facemask, cap, perform surgical hand scrubbing and sterile gowned or gloving to minimize the risk of SSIs.⁷ Standard hand antiseptic includes of an aqueous wash with or without a brush using detergent based on Povidone iodine or chlorhexidine.⁸
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With respect to scrub time, it is suggested that the first scrub is longer i.e. at least 5 minutes (300s) between consecutive clean operations than any later scrubs i.e. at least 3 minutes (180s). Aseptic procedure is the cornerstone of advanced surgery, so rigid adherence to prescribed sterile techniques is essential for both patient safety and operating room personnel. The aim of this research was to examine nurses’ practices of hand scrubbing before surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Study Design and Sampling
A Cross sectional observational design was used. The study was conducted in operating rooms in a tertiary care hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. The study duration was from December, 2019 to March, 2020. This setting contains total seven operating rooms with 250 registered nurses. Registered nurses of each operating room are as follows: emergency operating room (N = 60), Gynae (N = 30), Ortho and urology (N = 40), surgical (N = 40), Eye (N = 30), ENT (N = 20) and neurosurgery (N = 30). Sample size calculated through Slovin’s formula = N (1+ N (e)²). Sample size was 154. Convenient sampling technique was used.

Inclusion Criteria
Operating Room Registered Scrub Nurses age from 21 – 60 years, job experience from 1 year to more than 30 years, and minimum education general nursing diploma and higher education master in nursing.

Exclusion Criteria
Registered Nurses were working in other departments of the hospital, who were not present at the time of observation, Surgeons, anesthesia consultant and technicians.

Data Collection
An adopted checklist was used for observing the nurses’ practices of hand scrubbing. Instrument contains two sections: first section contains demographic characteristics and second section contain an observational checklist with ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ questions which contain three parts. First part is 6 items of scrub pre requisites, second part is 15 items of scrub process and third part is 1 item of scrub time. Each item rated Yes for ‘1’ and No for ‘0’ scored. The total score was 22 which were explained as: adequate (> 5%) and inadequate (< 85%).

Data Analysis
Data was scrutinized on Statistical Software of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. Data was analyzed in the form of frequency and percentage.

RESULTS
Age Incidence
Table 1 shows that the majority of nurses (72.7%) were fall in 21 – 30 years age group. About half of the observations (50%) were complete in the morning shift.

Table 1: Distribution of Demographic Characteristics.

| Demographic Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Age                         |           |            |
| 21-30 Years                 | 112       | 72.7       |
| 31-40 Years                 | 38        | 24.7       |
| 41-50 Years                 | 4         | 2.6        |
| 51-60 Years                 | 0         | 0          |
| Education Level             |           |            |
| General Nursing Diploma     | 113       | 73.4       |
| BS Nursing Post RN          | 39        | 25.3       |
| BSN (Generic)               | 2         | 1.3        |
| Master in Nursing           | 0         | 0          |
| Job Experience              |           |            |
| 1-10 Years                  | 103       | 66.9       |
| 11-20 Years                 | 44        | 28.6       |
| 21-30 Years                 | 7         | 4.5        |
| >30 Years                   | 0         | 0          |
| Work Shift                  |           |            |
| Morning                     | 77        | 50         |
| Evening                     | 39        | 25.3       |
| Night                       | 38        | 24.7       |

Table 2 shows that only 38.3% participants wore surgical cap and face mask correctly. Majority participants 76% had short nails. All participants (100%) removed nail polish, artificial nails, and jewelry before scrubbing. Some participants were sure about availability of scrub items. 77.3% participants applied a proper amount of Povidone iodine. 77.3 percent of the participants scrub right palm over left hand back and vice versa with interlaced fingers. 79.2% participants rub palm to palm, finger interlaced and remaining participants did not do it. 74% participants done rub fingertips on the palms for both
hands and remaining participants did not perform it. Participants 58.4% continued their rotational action against the elbow arms for 1 minute. 80.5% participants did not move their arm back and forth in the water. Water was not splattered onto the dress by the 74.7% respondents. 69.5% participants completed the first scrub in 5 minutes and consecutive scrub in 3 minutes.

**DISCUSSION**
Operational hand scrub is very vital component of operative procedure. Improper hand scrub leads to infection, so surgical hand scrubbing is very important to protect contamination by surgical site. This research shows inadequate practices of nurses in the operating room which is overall 77%. A study was conducted to enhance the adherence with surgical hand scrubbing practices that showed low level of compliance in pre intervention phase which increased after intervention. The observed scrubs method had many flaws.

Some nurses wear caps and face mask correctly in this study. An association of perioperative registered nurses recommended (AORN) that head covers and face mask are an essential part of the operating room attire and should be worn all the time in OR premises. It is suggested that the head should be covered first to
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**Table 2: Observational Checklist of Surgical Hand Scrubbing.**

| S# | Items                                                | Yes   | No   |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|
|    | **Basic requirement Items**                          |       |     |
| 1  | Surgical attire(cap, mask)                           | 59 (38.3%) | 95 (61.7%) |
| 2  | Short nails                                          | 117 (76%) | 37 (24%) |
| 3  | Remove all jewelry or nail polish                    | 154 (100%) |   |
| 4  | Availability of scrub items                          | 74 (48.1%) | 80 (51.9%) |
| 5  | Wash hands when visibly soiled                       | 135 (87.7%) | 19 (12.3%) |
| 6  | Count scrub time                                     | 86 (55.8%) | 68 (44.2%) |
|    | **Items of Scrub Process**                           |       |     |
| 7  | Use correct amount (5ml) of scrub solution           | 119 (77.3%) | 35 (22.7%) |
| 8  | Rub one palm on back of other hand and vice versa    | 119 (77.3%) | 35 (22.7%) |
| 9  | With finger fused, rub hand to hand                  | 122 (79.2%) | 32 (20.8%) |
| 10 | Rub forward and backward in rotational manner        | 123 (79.9%) | 31 (20.1%) |
| 11 | Rotary rubbing of both thumbs                        | 118 (76.6%) | 36 (23.4%) |
| 12 | Use palms to wipe tips of finger                     | 114 (74%) | 40 (26%) |
| 13 | Clean in rotational action continuously               | 90 (58.4%) | 64 (41.6%) |
| 14 | Wash hands and arms in one direction                 | 134 (87%) | 20 (13%) |
| 15 | Don’t push your arms back by the water               | 124 (80.5%) | 30 (19.5%) |
| 16 | Avoid water splash on kit                            | 115 (74.7%) | 39 (25.3%) |
| 17 | Hands above the elbow at all the times               | 129 (83.8%) | 25 (16.2%) |
| 18 | Pick sterile towel and move away from sterilized area| 128 (83.1%) | 26 (16.9%) |
| 19 | Dry one hand with one side of towel                  | 136 (88.3%) | 18 (11.7%) |
| 20 | Dry other hand with use of other side of towel       | 140 (90.9%) | 14 (9.1%) |
| 21 | Do not repeat to dry area                            | 154 (100%) |   |
|    | **Item of Scrub Time**                               |       |     |
| 22 | First scrub 5 minutes and consecutive scrub 3 minutes| 107 (69.5%) | 47 (30.5%) |
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prevent bacterial contamination before scrub. A face mask should be worn in such a way that the mouth and nose both covered properly.\textsuperscript{13} Loison, et al., (2017) conducted a multicenter study for surgical attire audit. Research found a lack of compliance among OR staff due to incorrect jewelry wearing and head caps.\textsuperscript{14}

Majority participant had short nails and all participants removed jewelry or nail polish before scrubbing. A study found that few participants were completely complies with standard sterile techniques of hand scrubbing. During observations, it was observed that some participants did not remove nail polish and have long nails.\textsuperscript{15}

Majority participants completed the first scrub of the day within 5 minutes and other consecutive scrub within 3 minutes in this study. Although the WHO recommends that the hand scrub times are at least 300 s for the first scrub and at least 180 s for subsequent scrubs. A research that calculated manual bacterial colony counts based on scrub time (120s, 240s, and 360s) found that upon longer the scrub time, bacterial count was lower.\textsuperscript{9} A study revealed that surgical hand scrub duration 2 minutes and 30 second and also found that surgical team scrubbed longer duration in complex procedures.\textsuperscript{4} Although over-scrubbing and under scrubbing could enhance the chance of skin damage, it is important to follow rules of scrubbing. Skin damage from scrubbing can also cause them to not scrub perfectly. Over-scrubbing also results in substantial water wastage.\textsuperscript{16}

Previous researches have shown that better understanding of a rule would lead to higher agreement with it.\textsuperscript{17} Lack of information about hospital policies causes discrepancies in staff scrubbing.\textsuperscript{18} It was recommended that documented policy should be provided to operating room staff. It may progress the standard of scrubbing and then avoid infections after the surgery.\textsuperscript{19}

CONCLUSION

This study detected the nurses’ practices regarding surgical hand scrubbing. Result showed inadequate practices of nurses. There should be written protocols everywhere in the hospitals and hospital administration should make it mandatory. Scrubbing material like antiseptic solution and brushes should be available all the time. There is a need to improve nurses’ practices so, periodically audit, manager supervision and feedback, workshops should be organized.

Ethical Consideration

Approval letter was obtained from Principal, Lahore School of Nursing, and The University of Lahore. Permission letter was received from the Nursing Superintendent of study setting. Data was collected only for research purpose. This study was not harmful for any participants. Participation was completely voluntary. The data was kept confidential.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I greatly appreciated the authors and participants for their immense contribution.

REFERENCES

1. Asaad AM, Badr SA. Surgical site infections in developing countries: The current burden and future challenges. Clin Microbiol. 2016; 5 (6): 1-2.
2. Paudel A, Bista B. Compliance of surgical hand scrub in operation theatre of teaching hospital, Chitwan. J Chit Med Sci. 2019; 31; 9 (1): 36-40.
3. Abdollahi L, Tabrizi JS, Jodati A, Safaie N, Moradi-Joo M, Daemi A. Quality of surgical scrub in a heart hospital: Do not take it for granted. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res. 2017; 9 (3): 164.
4. Bhasme AS, Menezes RJ, D’ souza T, Ipe J. Duration of surgical hand scrub in orthopaedic surgeries. Int J Orthop Sci. 2017; 3 (3): 34-6.
5. Stilo A, Troiano G, Melcarne L, Gioffrè ME, Nante N, Messina G, Laganà P. Hand washing in operating room: a procedural comparison. Epidemiol Biostat Public Health. 2016; 20; 13 (3).
6. Nsekambabaye JP. Operating room nurse’s knowledge, attitude and practice of sterile techniques in Rwanda referral hospitals (Doctoral dissertation), 2017.
7. Mahmoud MH, Asaad AM, Qureshi MA. Hand rubbing and scrubbing in relation to microbial count among surgical team members in a Saudi Hospital. J Am Sci. 2013; 9 (7): 457-64.
8. Malik R. Assess the knowledge and practice regarding scrubbing technique among staff nurses working in operation theatres. The Pharma Innovation J. 2019; 8 (6): 1260-1264.
9. Ezzat A, Safdar MM, Ahmed I. Are we following the WHO recommendations for surgical scrubbing? Scott Med J. 2014; 59 (4): 214-9.
10. Labrague LJ, Arteche DL, Yboa BC, Pacolor NF. Operating room nurses’ knowledge and practice of sterile technique. J Nurs Care, 2012; 25; 1 (4); 1-5.
11. Abraham SP, Deva R, Babu V. The knowledge and practice of operating room nurses regarding sterile technique in a tertiary hospital. South Ind. 2016; 5 (3): 63-.
12. Khan A, Nausheen S. Compliance of surgical hand washing before surgery: Role of remote video surveillance. J Pak Med Assoc. 2017; 1; 67 (1): 92-6.
13. Singh A, Sen S, Tomar AS, Aron N. Operation Theater Occupancy. Ocular Infect: Prophylaxis Manag. 2017, 30: 38.
14. Loison G, Troughton R, Raymond F, Lepelletier D, Lucet JC, Avril C, et al. Compliance with clothing regulations and traffic flow in the operating room: a multi-centre study of staff discipline during surgical procedures. J Hosp Infect. 2017; 96 (3): 281-5.
15. Schwartz X, Schnitz M, Safdar N, Pop-Vicas A. Adherence to surgical hand antisepsis: Barriers and facilitators in a tertiary care hospital. Am J Infect Control, 2018; 1; 46 (6): 714-6.
16. Qorbani A, Khime Sari Z, Mollapour A, Shafikhani M. Comparison of two methods to reduce the microbial load Betadine and rubbing skin surgery surgical team. Iran J Microbiol. 2009; 3: 55-60.
17. MosadeghRad AM, Khalaj F. The impact of quality management in reducing surgical site infection: an action research. Med Council J. 2015; 33 (2): 110-8.
18. Kim SS, Jeoung KH. Effects of security policies, security awareness of hospital employee to patients' personal information protection. Indian J Sci Technol. 2015; 8: 21.
19. Liu LQ, Mehigan S. The effects of surgical hand scrubbing protocols on skin integrity and surgical site infection rates: a systematic review. AORN J. 2016, 1; 103 (5): 468-82.

Additional Information
Disclosures: Authors report no conflict of interest.
Ethical Review Board Approval: The study was conformed to the ethical review board requirements.
Human Subjects: Consent was obtained by all patients/participants in this study.
Conflicts of Interest:
In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following:
Financial Relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work.
Other Relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Address for Correspondence:
Uzma Khursheed
Email: Khursheed_uzma26@gmail.com

| Sr.# | Author’s Full Name      | Intellectual/Contribution to Paper in Terms of:                  | Signature by the author(s) |
|-----|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.  | Uzma Khursheed         | 1. Main investigator/ data collection & paper writing             |                            |
| 2.  | Muhammad Hussain       | 2. Data analysis                                                 |                            |
| 3.  | Muhammad Afzal         | 3. Literature review                                             |                            |
| 4.  | Syed Amir Gilani       | 4. Analysis of data and quality insurance                        |                            |