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**ABSTRACT**

Introduction: Introduction of Multi Detector computed Tomography has been a huge leap in the CT technology. MDCT has a high diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of focal and diffuse lesions of liver. The present study aimed to study the role of MDCT as a diagnostic modality for the benign lesions of liver.

Material and methods: A prospective study of the role of MDCT in detecting and characterizing neoplastic hepatic lesions was conducted in the period in radiology department of RMCH, Bareilly. Thirty patients, with hepatic benign lesions, were selected. Diagnosis was confirmed by surgery and histopathology, follow up imaging and other non-radiological investigations.

Results: Thirty patients were finally included as they were found to have benign lesions. Mean age of the patients was 49.8 years. 37% patients aged between 41 and 50 years while 17% patients were elderly. 63% were females. 63% patients had alcohol abuse while 60% were smokers. The most common presenting complaint was pain abdomen (n=15) followed by abdominal discomfort (n=13). Total of 29 lesions were identified on MDCT. The most common lesions were hemangioma (n=15) (Figure 1) followed by simple cyst (n=5).

Conclusion: MDCT is “The Imaging Modality of choice” in characterizing benign hepatic lesions.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Liver, due to its major function of detoxification and its rich blood supply by hepatic artery and portal vein becomes prone to various diseases and pathologic alterations. The practice for liver imaging has undergone a signiﬁcant changeover the past two decades. The conspicuity of a liver lesion on CT depends on the attenuation difference between the lesion and the normal liver, only minority of liver lesions that contain calcifications, cystic components, fat or hemorrhage can be detected by a non enhanced CT scan. Multiphase CT is an effective aid in determining the number, location, and nature of Focal liver lesions and monitoring their size over time. In patients with cancer, it is also helpful in detecting metastatic lesions. In the blood supply of Liver, 80% of normal liver parenchyma is supplied by the portal vein and 20% by the hepatic artery. The difference in blood supply results in different enhancement patterns between various liver lesions in the various phases of contrastenhancement (1).
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characteristics and clinical assessment are used [11]. In the available literature, especially in India there are fewer comprehensive studies about the role of multiphasic MDCT scan in characterising liver lesions under benign or malignant category. With the above background, this study was undertaken as an effort to assess the role of MDCT in detection and characterisation of focal benign liver lesions and help in deciding further course of management.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present study was conducted in the Department of Radiodiagnosis, RMCH, Bareilly. Data for the study was collected from 30 patients attending the Department of surgery with clinically suspected focal liver lesions, or previous images depicted focal hepatic lesions with non specific appearance detected clinically or sonographically. The patients were included if they showed clinical suspicion of focal liver lesions, and/or previous imaging studies depicted focal liver lesions with non specific appearance. All patients with history of trauma and allergic to contrast agents were excluded from the study.

Triphasic CT imaging technique of liver

Patients were kept fasting 4 hours prior to the CT scan to avoid complications while administrating contrast medium. Risks of contrast administration were explained to the patients and consent was obtained prior to the contrast study. Routine antero posterior topogram of the abdomen as initially taken in all patients in the supine position with the breath held. Axial sections of 5mm thickness were taken from the level of lung bases to the level of ischial tuberosities. In all the cases, plain scan was followed by intravenous contrast scan in suspended inspiration.

For contrast enhancement, 18G Vasofix (indwelling catheter) was placed in antecubital vein and dynamic injection at a rate of about 3-5 ml/sec of non-ionic contrast material (omnipaque 350 mg iodine/ml) were given initially. Sections were taken in HAP (20-30s), PVP (60s) and delayed (3-5 minutes) phases in cranio caudal direction from the superior margin to the inferior border of the liver.

Image interpretation

Dynamic viewing of all reconstructed images was done. First, the unenhanced, HAP and PVP images were reviewed for the presence of focal liver lesions. Second, the CT appearance of each lesion in each phases (unenhanced HAP, PVP and delayed images) was characterized based on enhancement patterns and its attenuation compared with that of the liver parenchyma in that phase.

Lesions were broadly grouped as hypervascular lesions relative to the surrounding parenchyma or, as hypovascular lesions compared with the surrounding parenchyma.

Images of different phases were analyzed separately and later were reinterpreted together. Later the lesions were confirmed by Fine needle aspiration cytology /biopsy / USG / surgery / follow-up as and when required. In some patients with multiple lesions biopsy was performed on only two lesions, rest with similar CT appearance were assumed as the same lesion. If the lesion did not show any change in size after minimum of six months then the lesion was presumed to be benign.

If the number of lesions were > 10 then analysis of 10 most representative lesions were performed using the combination of all the phases.

Appearance of each lesion in each phase was described on the basis of attenuation and homogeneity of the lesion in comparison to the liver parenchyma in that phase. The pattern of enhancement was a three-pattern name that includes appearance of lesion in each phase e.g. (hypo/hypo/hypo).

Additional patterns of subtype enhancement in arterial phase like peripheral puddles, variegated, continuous hyperattenuating rim, incomplete rim and cleft were also considered.

Data were presented as frequency and percentages

**RESULTS**

Thirty patients were finally included as they were found to have benign lesions. Mean age of the patients was 49.8 years. 37% patients aged between 41 and 50 years while 17% patients were elderly, 63% were females,63% patients had alcohol abuse while 60% were smokers. The most common presenting complaint was pain abdomen (n=15) followed by abdominal discomfort (n=13) (Table 1).

| Table 1: General characteristics |
|----------------------------------|
| **Age (years)**                  |
| 21-30                            |
| 31-40                            |
| 41-50                            |
| 51-60                            |
| >60                              |
| **Sex**                          |
| Male                             |
| Female                           |
| **Past history**                 |
| Smoking                          |
| Alcohol                          |
| **Presenting complaints**        |
| Pain abdomen                     |
| Abdominal                        |
| Discomfort                       |
| Anorexia                         |
| Fever                            |
| Weight loss                      |

| Table 2: Various type of lesions |
|---------------------------------|
| **Number of lesions**           |
| Abscess                         |
| Adenoma                         |
| FNH                             |
| Hemangioma                      |
| Hydatid cyst                    |
| Simple cyst                     |

Type of focal liver lesions

Table 2 showed various types of lesions on MDCT. Total of 29 lesions were identified on MDCT. The most common lesions were hemangioma (n=15) (Figure 1) followed by simple cyst (n=5) (Figure 2).
DISCUSSION
Imaging of the liver with the help of Multidetector CT scan is a common procedure in the current era. It is recommended to do scanning using triple-pass technique, highlighting the arterial, parenchymal, and portal venous phases.

Multidetector CT help in the acquisition of very thin sections over a large area of an organ and also permits scanning during multiple specific phases of intravenous contrast enhancement allowing the creation of multiplanar reconstructions with high z-axis resolution.

The most common age group affected in our study was 41 to 70 years. It is in concordance with study by Patel et al [12]. Hepatic cysts are common benign liver lesions that occur in 2%–7% of the population. These lesions may be isolated or multiple and vary from a few millimetres to several centimetres in diameter [13]. On non enhanced CT scans, A hepatic cyst appears as a homogeneous and hypoattenuating lesion,and after administration of contrast material ,there is no enhancement of its wall or content [14]. In our study, there were 5 lesions of simple cysts. Hepatic haemangioma are the most common benign hepatic tumour and are found incidentally at routine radiologic examinations such as sonography and CT scan at rate of 5- 20% [15,16]. On nonenhanced CT scan ,The typical haemangioma appears as a hypoattenuating lesion.and in arterial phase, early peripheral nodular enhancement is seen . The attenuation of the peripheral nodules is similar to that of the adjacent aorta [17]. In venous phase, centripetal enhancement is seen which progresses to uniform filling in the delayed phase [18,19].

CONCLUSION
In recent decades, MDCT has become the mainstay for diagnosis of hepatic lesions because of its ease, availability, cost effectiveness, less time consumption, ability to reveal anatomy of structures, extent of the disease process and most importantly, guiding the surgeons to plan surgery accordingly.

The results of this study prove MDCT to be highly sensitive in sorting the hepatic lesions into clinically relevant categories which helps in achieving correct diagnosis and evaluation of lesion. This study opens new possibilities of prevention of liver disease with early detection and consequent management of hepatic lesions.
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