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Abstract

Pain is a troublesome issue in most of the cancer patients. Under treatment of pain can lead to poor quality of life in them. Thus, it is important to maintain continuity of cancer pain management even during a pandemic situation. In literature, the strategies for adopting the cancer pain management practices in light of current scenario of pandemic are not described. The outbreak of Novel corona virus infection (COVID-19) has imposed multiple challenges including restriction of access to routine health care system, psychological stress and impositions of strict infection control strategy. In this narrative review, we discuss the potential considerations of conventional cancer pain management model during outbreak of COVID-19. Effects of common analgesics on immune system have been described backed by evidence in literature. We provide a few strategies for the cancer pain management practices, which can be adopted during the pandemic. They are prioritization of patients for in-hospital visit, considering biological interactions and legal limitations while prescribing medications, considering only urgent cases for pain interventions and providing a holistic care with use of digital technology. Further good quality randomized controlled trials and formulation of international consensus guidelines is the need of the hour and is highly recommended.

Keywords: Cancer pain- pandemic- concerns- adaptation- COVID-19

Introduction

Cancer is a major global health problem. As per the World Health Organization (WHO) cancer is the second leading cause of death all over the world [1]. Majority of these patients live with chronic pain due to malignancy. Almost 50% patients with malignancies and 70% patients with advanced malignancies live with the curse of cancer pain [2-3]. Among these, 50% patients suffer from moderate to severe pain, while 25% suffer from more severity of pain [4-6]. Oncological treatments are also associated with acute pain syndromes in cancer patients with chronic pain, e.g. post chemotherapy oral mucositis, chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy, radiotherapy induced bone pain, intervention related pain after biopsy or surgery etc [7]. Cancer pain is a complex pathophysiological process. Its exact aetiology is still uncertain, but there are multiple possible mechanisms behind it. It involves visceral, somatic and neurogenic components [8]. Thus the management requires a step wise multimodal therapies to control this complex process. But often our cancer patients remain undertreated for their pain. As per the review of existing literature by Deandrea (2008) nearly one of two patients has under treatment of cancer pain [9]. This under treatment of pain leads to a poor quality of life in cancer patients [10]. Thus managing pain is a priority in cancer patients not only for physical well-being but also for psychological and ethical needs of the patients.

Unfortunately, we are standing amidst a pandemic right now. On 11th March, 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) has declared the outbreak of novel corona virus (COVID-19) as a global pandemic [11]. Aggressive contact tracing, identifying infected people and maintaining social distance are the major strategies for containment of infection. Many nations have imposed
strict lockdown measures, which has made the access to the conventional health care systems difficult for the patients. Routine practice for cancer patients has also curtailed down in view of less manpower and to decrease footfalls of patients in the hospitals [12]. Only high priority patients are considered for routine or emergency oncological treatments [13]. Similarly we need to analyse the conventional cancer pain management approach in light of this pandemic situation. This can help to formulate a strategy to maintain continuity of pain management in cancer patients during a pandemic.

We performed a literature search through common search engines, e.g. Medline, Cochrane library and Google scholar using the following key words: ‘Cancer’, ‘pain’, ‘COVID-19’, ‘Pandemic’. We could not identify any consensus guidelines or documents describing the concerns and changes in practice for cancer pain management in the context of recent or old pandemics. Thus we here present a narrative review on the aspects of conventional cancer pain management which can be potentially affected by the current pandemic situation. We also suggest some adaptation strategies which can be helpful for a cancer pain management centre.

Conventional model of cancer pain management

The cornerstone of traditional management of cancer pain is a pain ladder proposed by the WHO in the year of 1986 [14-15]. It was a three step ladder (Figure 1) for control of cancer pain [16]. In the first step, the treatment begins with a non-opioid medication. If not controlled, one needs to proceed to the second step to prescribe weak opioids along with non-opioids analgesics with or without adjuvants. If the pain is still uncontrolled, one can move towards the thyroid step where strong opioids can be prescribed and with adjuvants and non-opioids analgesics. The basic principle of prescribing drugs for cancer pain is:

- It should be by the mouth preferably.
- It should be prescribed round the clock.
- It should be individualized.
- It should be titrated according to the individual patient’s pain score.

Over the past three decades, this ladder approach has been criticized and modified in many ways. One of the major adaptations is the addition of a fourth step to the ladder (Figure 2) advocating use of interventional pain procedures as appropriate [17]. These interventions include invasive techniques, neurolysis, neuraxial implants, neurosurgical techniques etc. This bidirectional ladder approach helps the physicians to manage acute pains associated with cancer along with chronic pain [17]. Later, debate sprouted for use of an intervention at an early stage of cancer pain. Amr and Makharita (2014) performed sympathetic plexus nerve blocks for inoperable abdomino-pelvic malignancies at an early stage, before the second stage of WHO ladder [18]. They found it had a better control of pain, lesser opioid requirements and better quality of life as compared to those patients who were treated with the conventional therapies. But, there is lack of large scale quality trial which proves benefits of early integration of invasive pain procedures in cancer patients. So, the most practiced approach is to start with a conventional approach and gradually opt for a more invasive procedure. Individualized strategies for interventional pain management at an early stage of cancer pain is highly desirable [19].

Among the medications, the most commonly used drugs for cancer pain can be divided into three categories: Opioids, non-opioids and adjuvant analogics. The opioids again can be classified into two for practical purposes: a weak opioid for step 2 and a strong opioid for step 3. The weak opioids which can be used in step 2 are: tramadol [20], codeine [21] and tapentadol [22]. The strong opioids include morphine, methadone [23], fentanyl [24], buprenorphine [25] etc. Though the oral preparations are preferred, other preparations have also been found useful for managing moderate to severe cancer pain, e.g. intravenous, subcutaneous [26], sublingual [27] or transdermal [28].

The non-opioid analogics are being prescribed at all the steps of the ladder from 1 to 3 [29]. The two such common drugs are acetaminophen and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS). The NSAIDS are particularly useful for somatic and bone pain due to its anti-inflammatory action [30]. But, unfortunately it has

Figure1. The Original World Health Organization Pain Ladder (Adopted from World Health Organization, 1987)
**1. Opioids**

Opioids are the backbone of managing moderate to severe cancer pain. But their effect on immune system remains controversial. Long term therapy with opioids for chronic pain has been found to suppress the immune system [38]. Long term opioid abusers also have been found to have an increased rate of infections [39]. Multiple mechanisms of immunosuppression by exogenous opioids has been suggested e.g. interaction with both innate and acquired immunity [40], effect on hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis [41], effect on endocrinal systems [42] etc. Large dose and prolonged duration of therapy with opioids have a more pronounced effect on endocrinal system [43]. Different opioids have different effect on immune system. Morphine [44] and Fentanyl [45] suppress the immunity the most; whereas Buprenorphine has a better response in immunocompromised persons [46]. Large dose and prolonged duration of therapy with opioids have a more pronounced effect on endocrinal and immune system [43]. Thus, we can conclude that one needs to be cautious with the patients on high dose of chronic opioids therapy for cancer pain. They need to follow the preventive precautions from Covid-19 infection more stringently.

**2. Non Opioid Analgesics:**

NSAIDS are widely used for somatic and bone pain related to cancers. There are some controversies regarding use of NSAIDS in COVID patients. In large trials, NSAIDS have been found to increase the complications due to respiratory infection [47]. Indomethacin, a potent NSAIDS, potentiates the expression of the angiotensin converting enzymes (ACE2) and is hypothesized to increase the COVID-19 infection [48]. In a contrasting study result, indomethacin was found to suppress viral load in vivo and in vitro against COVID 19 [49]. In hospitalized patients with COVID-19, a high proportion of patients are at high risk of developing AKI [50] due to fever and dehydration. This can be accentuated by the nephrotoxic property of NSAIDS [51]. Though inference from existing indirect links in the literature suggests a caution for use of NSAIDS in COVID-19 patients [52] there is no direct evidence of harmful effect of NSAIDS in COVID-19 patients.

**3. Adjuvant Analgesics**

Steroids are useful adjunct to treat pain related to neuropathy [53], radiation induced pain flare [54], brain metastasis [55] and spinal cord compression [56]. Though steroids showed positive impact on immune system in majority of the literature [57-58], in some studies it showed an increase in viral load [59-60]. Thus it needs to be used with caution in suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases.
Therapeutic concerns and adaptation strategies for managing cancer pain

As managing pain is a priority in cancer patients, we need to adapt to some strategies based on the concerns involving biophysiological interactions of analgesics and challenges imposed on systems due to pandemic. The basic principle for these strategies are minimizing the risk of infection in patients without affecting the pain management to its minimum. A summary of recommendations for adaptation strategies are presented in Table 1. The major strategies are described below:

1. Prioritization of patients for in hospital visits

Decreasing the daily footfalls of patients and caregivers in hospitals for routine follow up during the ongoing pandemic is important. This mandates to create

Table 1. Summary of Adaptation Strategies

| Strategies for in-hospital visits |
|----------------------------------|
| · Prioritize patients for in-hospital visits. |
| · Postpone all elective non-urgent pain procedures. |
| · Use telemedicine to its full capacity for triaging priority patients |
| · Consider telemedicine over face to face interaction, whenever possible. |
| · Adhere to the national, local and institutional legal and ethical guidelines for practice of telemedicine. |

Prescribing Opioids:

- Don’t change the on-going opioid treatment regimen without significant change in pain intensity or functional status.
- Use real time visual modalities of telemedicine, whenever is feasible to assess the compliance, monitoring of on-going opioid therapy and to assess the need to initiate opioids.
- Always document in the medical records during every visits (telemedicine and in-hospitals visits)
- Follow national, local or institutional policies for providing e-prescriptions of opioids.
- Any patient, who needs initiation of opioid therapy or change from one opioid to another, needs to have an in-hospital visit.
- For institutional dispensing of opioids, identify a key caregiver during teleconsultation and ask him to come to centre to collect the drugs. If the pain is stable, dispense at least drugs for 30 – 60 days.
- Any patients on opioids for cancer pain should be provided with an institutional emergency 24*7 helpline number.
- Educate patients and caregivers about potential side effects of opioids, including risk of infection; educate them for maintenance of hygiene and infection control strategies.
- Be extra careful for patients with fentanyl patch. If a patient develops fever, the patch should be removed and family should contact the emergency helpline number immediately.

Prescribing NSAIDS:

- Should be prescribed and continued in adherence with WHO pain ladder.
- Educate the patient to report immediately over emergency telephone helpline number if there is new onset fever or myalgia.

Prescribing steroids:

- Continuing or starting new steroid therapy for pain management in cancer patient should be individualized strategy based on benefits and risk of infection.
- Use dexamethasone or betamethasone whenever needed.
- Avoid use of steroids as adjuvants in neurolytic blocks and neuraxial administration.

Procedural considerations:

- Take up only urgent cases for pain intervention.
- All patients should be screened for symptoms and epidemiological link of COVID 19 before procedure.
- Follow local or institutional guidelines for RT-PCR testing of COVID 19 before the procedure.
- For COVID negative or low risk cases, perform in non covid area and for COVID positive or high risk cases perform the procedure at a designated COVID area of hospital.
- Use proper and adequate personal protective equipment (PPE).
- Minimize movement of staffs in the room.
- Use disposable items; don’t bring trolley into the room.
- Cover all the reusable equipment in plastic covers.
- If general anaesthesia is required, take all precautions to minimize aerosolization.

Plan of palliative radiotherapy:

- Use single fraction (8Gy,1#) palliative radiotherapy, whenever needed for bony pain.

Psychosocial support:

- Use telemedicine and online platforms to provide access to peer support group.
- Involve patients on online cognitive behavioural therapy sessions from experts.
- Maintain physical distance instead of a social distance with family members.
- Refrain from panic creating social media and news.
2. All staff should wear full personal protective equipment (PPE).

COVID 19 positive and high risk cases:

1. All patients to be called at designated COVID areas.
2. Airway management during anaesthesia should be done by most experienced person with rapid sequence intubation. Bag mask ventilation should be avoided. The intubation should be performed under a customized intubation box or plastic cover. Always use two viral filters, e.g. one at patient end and one at expiratory limb of ventilator [73-74]

3. Minimize the staff numbers in the room to minimum.
4. All staffs should wear impervious gown, well fitted N95 mask, head cap, shoe cover, face shield and sterile gloves.
5. Arrange all the drugs and equipment beforehand; bring them to the procedure room in a clean plastic bag.
6. Cover the ultrasound probe with plastics to avoid contamination.
7. Doff and Perform hand hygiene properly at the end of procedure.

4. Rest precautions are as same as for low risk cases.

Table 2. The High Priority Patients with Cancer Pain for Urgent in-hospital Visit During Pandemic

| Acute Pain | Acute on Chronic Pain | Chronic Pain |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1. Severe (NRS>7/10) pain arising from treatment of anticancer treatments: | 1. Severe breakthrough pain (NRS>7/10), not subsided by oral rescue doses. | 1. New onset of opioid therapy. |
| · Grade 3 and 4 oral mucositis. | 2. Severe (NRS>7/10) pain related to tumour: | 2. Change over from one opioid to another. |
| · Chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy WHO*2 grade 3 or 4 | · Impending vertebral collapse. | 3. Refilling of opioids. (In hospital visit at least by care giver after real time teleconsultation). |
| · Acute post chemotherapy enterocolitis. | · Pathological fracture. | |
| · Acute radiation induced bone pain. | · Hollow viscus perforation. | |
| · Radiation induced plexopathies. | · Malignant bowel obstruction. | |
| · Radiation induced proctitis. | · Large malignant ascites. | |
| · Acute pain flare after hormonal therapy | · Raised intracranial tension in brain metastasis. | |
| · Extravasation of cytotoxic agents. | · Haemorrhage, infection in tumour. | |
| 2. Severe (NRS>7/10) pain arising from interventions: | · Invasion of tumour into bone. | |
| · Acute severe postoperative pain. | 3. Severe (NRS>7/10) pain related to analgesics: | |
| · Biopsy | · Opioid induced hyperalgesia. | |
| · Thoracocentesis. | | |
| · Catheter insertion. | | |

*1. Toxicity grading of oral mucositis (OM) according to World Health Organization (WHO) and National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (NCI-CTC) criteria [83]; *2 Oncoprof. WHO toxicity scale. 2009 [84].

Table 3. Procedural Precautions and Strategies

| COVID 19 negative and low risk cases | COVID 19 positive and high risk cases: |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Patient to be managed at a clean non covid area. | 1. All patients to be called at designated COVID areas. |
| 2. Patient should enter wearing a surgical mask. | 2. All staff should wear full personal protective equipment (PPE). |
| 3. Minimize the staff numbers in the room to minimum. | 3. Airway management during anaesthesia should be done by most experienced person with rapid sequence intubation. Bag mask ventilation should be avoided. The intubation should be performed under a customized intubation box or plastic cover. Always use two viral filters, e.g. one at patient end and one at expiratory limb of ventilator [73-74] |
| 4. All staffs should wear impervious gown, well fitted N95 mask, head cap, shoe cover, face shield and sterile gloves. | |
| 5. Arrange all the drugs and equipment beforehand; bring them to the procedure room in a clean plastic bag. | |
| 6. Cover the ultrasound probe with plastics to avoid contamination. | |
| 7. Doff and Perform hand hygiene properly at the end of procedure. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| a triaging method for choosing the high priority patients for managing cancer pain in the hospital setting. The high priority patients with cancer pain can be categorized as acute pain syndromes, chronic pain syndromes and acute on chronic pain syndromes (Table 2). Some of the examples of such high priority patients for cancer pain management are as follows, but not limited to: | |
| · Acute exacerbation of chronic pain (Numeric rating scale [NRS]>7/10), not controlled by oral therapy. | • An intrathecal pump disconnection or displaced. |
| · Patients with impending spinal cord compression. | • An infected pain device implant. |
| · New onset pathological fracture. | A screening method should be established for the patients before they visit the hospital for pain management. Telemedicine is a potential modality of screening patients with chronic pain for priority service. The telemedicine service has regained its popularity during pandemic as it does allow face to face interaction with patients over a video consultation with good patient acceptability [61].This can aid to physically examine the patients, checking the compliance by counting drug strips [62] identifying any reactions or side effects to the therapies and consulting with primary physicians [63] to take a shared decision. Many countries, e.g. Canada, |
| · Severe Pain following an oncological treatment. | |
| · Patients having side effect of the pain therapies, e.g.: overdose of opioids. | |

*87*
UK, US has waived off the restrictions on previously imposed restrictions on use of telemedicine. Some of the countries, e.g. India [64] has allowed registered medical practitioners to use telemedicine mainly addressing the current pandemic situation and may be amended from time to time in future. Thus, the practitioners need to be updated about such regulations and license required for practicing pain management and prescribing drugs over telemedicine. Moreover individualized assessment for urgency and treatment plan should be made for each patient. Figure 3 describes an integrated strategy of implementing telemedicine service for prioritization of patients and prescribing medications.

2. Prescription strategies of analgesics

The analgesics prescription should be majorly based on conventional WHO pain ladder. Following drugs need careful consideration and some guiding principles for prescription:

A. Opioid Prescription strategies:

Opioids prescriptions need special consideration as opioids potentially suppress the immune system [38]. Though there is still lack of good randomized clinical trials [65], many literature suggests that opioids cause an unfavourable modulation of immune system and increase severity of respiratory infection. Moreover, fever is one of the common symptoms in cancer patients. Fentanyl absorption from transdermal patch increases in such patients [66] precipitating the respiratory depression.

Another major concern for prescribing opioids is legal barriers. In most of the countries prescriptions of opioids are regulated by strict laws with vigorous punishment. As for example, In India the prescription of opioids is regulated by the amendments of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (NDPS) Act, 2014 [67]. The restrictions on e-prescriptions of opioids are still on, even after the recent amendment of the Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulation, 2002 by the Board of Governors (BoG) along with NITI Aayog on 25th March, 2020 [64]. A recent petition has been filed by the Indian academy of palliative care (IAPC) at the Board of Governors (BOG) of medical council of India (MCI). It seeks relaxation on refilling and changeover from one opioids to another over teleconsultation. But, the decision on this petition is still pending. Thus we recommend not providing e-prescriptions for opioids in India. But, telemedicine with real time video consultation should be used to maximum capacity to evaluate the pain, checking adherence to

---

**Figure 3. Integrated Strategy of Prioritization Patients with Cancer Pain for in Hospital Visits During COVID-19 Pandemic**
opioids therapy and monitoring side effects. Any initiation or changing one opioid to another needs an in-person visit. For prescription and dispensing of opioids, a responsible caregiver should be identified during teleconsultation and sent to hospital to collect it, if the patient cannot come to the clinic. As, opioids are key medications for managing moderate to severe cancer pain, it is important to balance the risk of infection and desired analgesics effect of opioids. Thus, we suggest the following principles to be followed during pandemic to prescribe opioids in cancer patients for pain management:

- Don’t change the on-going opioid treatment regimen without any documented significant change in pain intensity or functional status.
- Use real time visual modalities of telemedicine, whenever feasible to assess the pain and document it in every follow up visits.
- Use real time visual modalities of telemedicine, whenever feasible, to assess the compliance to opioids therapy by pill counts. Always cross check, document in the medical records.
- Many countries do not allow e-prescriptions of opioids yet. Thus, providing prescriptions and dispensing of opioids should be only in person in such cases. Identify a key caregiver during teleconsultation over video calls and ask him to come to centre to collect the drugs. If the pain is stable, dispense at least drugs for 30 – 60 days to decrease the need of travel. Any initiation or changing one opioid to another needs an in-person visit.
- Always identify a primary caregiver and educate them along with patient himself about potential side effects of opioids. Provide an emergency helpline number to assist and guide them in case of suspicion of opioid overdose.
- Inform and educate patients and family members about the risk of infection in patients with opioid therapies, especially with high dose of long term opioid therapy. Educate them about infection control strategies, e.g. hand hygiene, coughing or sneezing hygiene, use of masks, restricted entry of visitors etc.
- Be extra careful for patients with fentanyl patch. Patients should be educated about risk of overdose in case they have fever. If a patient develops fever, the patch should be removed. An emergency helpline number should be available with patient to help them in such situation. Such patients should be considered as a high priority patients for in hospital management with isolation facility.

**B. Anti-inflammatory drugs prescription strategies:**

There are some evidences, as already described earlier, that suggest that NSAIDS may aggravate the complications in a COVID-19 patient. But the evidence is not direct and concrete. Thus NSAIDS should be continued for a patient whenever needed. Although, educating patients about some warning signs of COVID-19 infection is important for them. Always provide with institutional emergency helpline number for such patients. The following major principles should be followed during the pandemic:

- Continue the anti-inflammatory agents for all the patients who were previously on it.
- Can start the drug in new patients if required for control of pain, especially patients with somatic or bony involvement.
- Educate the patient to report immediately over emergency telephone helpline number if there is new onset fever or myalgia.

**C. Steroids prescription strategies:**

Steroids are considered as useful adjuvant in many cancer pain syndromes. But, there is risk and controversy regarding use of steroids in patients during the pandemic, as it can lead to altered immune response [68]. Thus, following principles should be followed while using steroids for cancer pain management:

- Don’t change the on-going steroid treatment regimen without any documented significant change in pain intensity or functional status.
- Whenever steroid needs to be used, use dexamethasone or betamethasone, as they cause short term immunosuppression as compared to methyl prednisolone [69].
- Avoid use of steroids as adjuvants in neurolytic blocks and neuraxial administration, as evidence suggests them not to be safe [70].

**3. Strategies for interventional procedures for cancer pain:**

Interventional pain procedures are now an integral part of cancer pain management [71]. Different interventions, e.g. intrathecal pump placement with continuous neuraxial drug delivery, neuromodulation, neurolytic blocks etc have shown a better quality of life in terminal cancer patients. But, pain procedures are known to cause several complications, including serious life threatening infections [71-72]. Thus two main adaptation strategies should be adopted for pain intervention procedures in cancer patients during this pandemic:

- Choose only “urgent” cases as eligible for interventional procedures.
- Follow procedural considerations for strict infection control.

**a. Choosing “urgent” cases for pain intervention:**

Though modification of WHO ladder suggests an early integration of interventional procedures for cancer pain, the scientific evidences are limited and not robust. Thus an individualized approach should be considered for patients requiring interventional pain procedures. Only cases where benefits clearly outweigh the risks should be considered for eligibility. All new insertion of implants should be avoided. Pain interventions often need hospital admission for the patient and even administration of anaesthesia for it. During a pandemic, when decreasing footfalls of patients at hospital is a priority, we need to be very careful to choose only those patients who require pain interventions urgently. Examples of such “urgent” conditions may include, but not limited to the following: [73]
• Intrathecal pump (ITP) failure, displaced or disconnection causing inadequate delivery.
• ITP refill with medications and before expiry of battery life to prevent withdrawal symptoms
• Infection of the implants.

b. Procedural considerations and strategies [74].

Any intervention needs special precautions during a pandemic regarding infection control strategy. At our centre, All urgent cases of intervention undergo a screening for COVID-19 (RT-PCR) before the procedure, as per our institutional protocol. We suggest following local or institutional protocol regarding preprocedure testing. History taking and examination should take place over video consultation before calling every patient to the hospital. History taking should rule out features suggestive of a suspected case of COVID-19, e.g. fever, dry cough, history of residence in containment zones, history of direct contact with known positive case or any history of active quarantine. Usually the procedures are less aerosol generating, they are considered as low risk cases. But, the procedures, if needs an administration of anaesthesia, it should be considered as highly aerosol generating and high risk procedures [75-76]. The strategies are summarized in Table 3.

4. Considerations for palliative radiotherapy (RT):

Palliative radiotherapy is an useful adjuvant modality for managing resistant bony pain due to metastatic cancer. Providing radiotherapy needs in hospital visit by patients. Moreover acute side effects of palliative radiotherapy may be seen after radiotherapy up to 4-6 weeks [31]. Thus, it is the need of the hour to decrease the dose and duration of palliative radiotherapy. The European Study Group of Bone Metastases (GEMO) has already published their recommendations for palliative radiotherapy in painful bony metastasis [77]. The following principles should be followed in view of the current pandemic:

a. Palliative radiotherapy should be considered pain due to bony metastases which is not responsive to strong opioids, patients with acute intolerance to opioids, with impending spinal cord compression.

b. Use single fraction radiotherapy (8GY/1#) instead of conventional fractionated treatment (20GY, 5#) [77].

c. If a repeat session is required, wait for at least 4 weeks [78]

d.In metastatic spinal cord compression, routine use of high dose steroids during radiotherapy is poorly supported by evidence in literatures. Thus we suggest using a short course of corticosteroids (Dexamethasone 16 mg daily) only if there is high chance of acute inflammatory oedema after radiotherapy [77]. A suspicion of COVID-19 infection needs to be ruled out in all such patients who will be considered for corticosteroids.

5. Providing holistic care for pain:

Cancer pain can be multifactorial and needs multimodal approach of management. Dame Cicely Saunders coined the term “total pain” for describing multidimensional domains of pain [79]. The same concept has been adopted for managing cancer pain time and again. et al. showed that managing psychological distress helps to manage cancer pain better and improves overall quality of life. Social distancing and quarantine in the era of pandemic has tremendous impact on psychological distress of the patients [80]. Social stigma and restrictions in mobility tends to cause barriers for the patients to access the social support groups and trained psychologists. Telemedicine can be an effective alternative to provide this psychological support to them. Feasibility and viability of an online system for managing chronic pain with multidisciplinary approach has been already established [81]. As compared to a face to face session, online individualized cognitive behavioural therapies for cancer patients can be really helpful and effective to deal with the psychological distress [82]. Different types of nonpharmacological interventions that can be provided through an online platform are providing mindfulness therapies, interactive peer support groups, expert counselling for grief and bereavement, physical rehabilitation training and cognitive therapies.

In conclusion, management of cancer pain is a priority service during pandemic for health care providers due to its physical and ethical considerations. The possible biophysiological considerations of different analogues with COVID 19 infection should be kept in mind. The strategies adopted for safe and effective management of cancer pain includes prioritization of patients for in hospital visits and urgent interventions, special precautions for prescribing high dose of opioids, steroids and NSAIDS, modification in dose of palliative radiotherapy and using telehealth whenever feasible for screening, triaging, managing therapies and providing psycho-social support. Further high quality clinical trials and an international collaborative consensus guideline for managing cancer pain is the need of hour and highly recommended.
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