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ABSTRACT

The strictly anaerobic bacterium Clostridium acetobutylicum is well known for its ability to convert sugars into acids and solvents, most notably the potential biofuel butanol. However, the regulation of its fermentation metabolism, in particular the shift from acid to solvent production, remains poorly understood. The aim of this study was to investigate whether cell-cell communication plays a role in controlling the timing of this shift or the extent of solvent formation. Analysis of the available C. acetobutylicum genome sequences revealed the presence of eight putative RNPP-type quorum sensing systems, here designated qssA to qssH, each consisting of RNPP-type regulator gene followed by a small open reading frame encoding a putative signalling peptide precursor. The identified regulator and signal peptide precursor genes were designated qsrA to qsrH and qspA to qspH, respectively. Triplicate mutants were generated for each system in C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 and screened for phenotypic changes. Endospores counts after 7 days were only affected in the qsrG-deficient strains, whereas solvent formation was affected in all mutants with the exception of the qsrD-deficient strains. The qsrB mutants were of particular interest as they showed increased solvent formation during early solventogenesis. Overexpression of qsrB considerably reduced solvent and endospore formation, suggesting that it acts as a repressor. Addition of short synthetic peptides representing internal fragments of QspB counteracted QsrB-mediated repression and restored both solvent production and sporulation. Together, these findings support the hypothesis that QssB is a functional quorum sensing system involved in the regulation of early solventogenesis and sporulation.
IMPORTANCE

While quorum sensing has been studied in great detail in pathogenic bacteria, there is a dearth of information concerning its roles in industrially relevant organisms. Yet, these roles could be highly relevant given that industrial fermentations are usually carried out at high cell densities where such systems would be expected to be active. Here we show that peptide-based signalling regulates solvent formation and sporulation in the butanol-producing bacterium *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Our work sheds light on a pivotal biological phenomenon, the metabolic shift from acid to solvent fermentation and its link to sporulation. This shift and its regulation have been a focus of clostridial research for over three decades but remain little understood. The discovery that quorum sensing is a contributing factor has implications for other solvent-producing species of the genus and beyond.

INTRODUCTION

The strictly anaerobic bacterium *Clostridium acetobutylicum* is well known for its ability to convert sugars and starches into organic acids and solvents (1, 2). During the first half of the last century, the organism was used for the large scale industrial production of acetone and butanol, but the classical ABE (acetone-butanol-ethanol) fermentation process is not currently economically viable (3). Thus, considerable efforts have been devoted to improving the organism’s performance through metabolic engineering. However, decisive breakthroughs are still to be made, one reason being our limited understanding of the organism’s physiology and metabolism (4), in particular the mechanisms that govern timing and extent of solvent formation.
In a typical *C. acetobutylicum* batch culture, acid and solvent metabolism are associated with different growth phases. During the exponential phase, a typical butyrate fermentation is carried out which allows the bacterium to maximise ATP generation. At this stage, butyric and acetic acid, as well as hydrogen and carbon dioxide, are the main fermentation products, with the former two accumulating in the culture medium. However, the increasing concentration of these short chain fatty acids poses a problem for the cells as the pH of the medium decreases and un-dissociated acids diffuse back into the cells. To avoid collapse of the proton motive force, *C. acetobutylicum* shifts its metabolism to solvent formation. In batch culture, this shift usually occurs during the transition to stationary phase and is accompanied by the partial uptake of the previously produced acids, resulting in a pH increase. These acids, together with the remaining sugars, are then converted to butanol, acetone, and ethanol (1, 2). However, solvents at high concentrations, in particular butanol, are toxic to the cells, too. The metabolic switch to solvent formation therefore leads to the initiation of yet another survival strategy: the formation of heat-resistant endospores. After solvent formation has been initiated and after cells have entered stationary phase, an intracellular starch-like storage compound termed granulose is transitorily formed and accumulates in the cytoplasm (2). Early studies suggested that these granulose-containing, swollen ‘clostridial forms’ are the main solvent producing cells, but this view has recently been challenged for the ATCC 824 strain (5).

The regulatory mechanisms that govern acid and solvent metabolism, as well as sporulation, are subject to intensive research. The global transcriptional regulator Spo0A is known to be required for high solvent production in solventogenic *Clostridium* sp. and is also essential for the initiation of sporulation (6, 7). Although solvent formation in spoA mutants is still induced during the transition to stationary phase, the levels of acetone and butanol produced are
drastically reduced (7, 8). Other regulators implied in the regulation of solventogenesis are the
global regulator CodY, a small regulatory RNA, solB, and the catabolite control protein A (9-
11). The latter was shown to positively regulate the sol operon, which encodes key genes
required for acetone and butanol formation.

Presumably, transcription of several solvent genes is strongly activated by the binding of
phosphorylated Spo0A to specific sites, 0A boxes, which are present in the promoter regions
of these genes (7, 8) Responsible for the Spo0A phosphorylation state are three orphan
histidine kinases as well as an intracellular kinase-like protein which, however, acts as a
phosphatase (12). Unfortunately, none of the signals or cues activating or inhibiting these
proteins is currently known, although intracellular accumulation of butyrylphosphate has been
proposed as a possible physiological signal and Spo0A phosphodonor (13). So while the
general conditions for solventogenesis are well established, and considerable progress has
been made in unravelling at least some of the regulatory networks involved, we are still
largely ignorant of the cues and signals which ultimately control initiation and extent of
solvent formation, and of the pathways through which they mediate their effects.

We recently proposed that quorum sensing systems may be operational in C. acetobutylicum
and may play a role in regulating solvent metabolism (14). Quorum sensing is a mechanism of
cell-cell communication that relies on small, diffusible signal molecules often referred to as
autoinducers. These molecules are secreted during growth, accumulate in the extracellular
environment, and allow bacteria to coordinate gene expression with cell population density. In
the Firmicutes, quorum sensing systems are usually based on secreted autoinducing peptides
(AIPs) which can be linear or cyclic, and sometimes contain post-translational modifications
(15, 16). Relatively little is known about the operation of such systems in clostridial species,
but we have previously hypothesised (14) that quorum sensing might play a role in the regulation of solventogenesis based on the following considerations. First, for the solventogenic *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* an as yet unidentified, self-generated signal present in the supernatant of wild type cultures was capable of inducing solvent formation in a ‘low-solvent’ mutant (17). Second, in *C. acetobutylicum* and related “high solvent” producers such as *Clostridium beijernickii*, solventogenesis during batch culture growth is usually initiated at high cell densities. Third, genome sequencing has revealed a large number of putative quorum sensing systems within the Genus *Clostridium*, including all currently sequenced solvent-producing species (18; and unpublished data from this laboratory).

We therefore investigated the role of a putative *agr*-type quorum sensing system in *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824, which we showed to be functional and involved in the regulation of sporulation and the production of granulose (14). However, the formation of organic acids and solvents from glucose was unaffected in mutants in which this system had been inactivated, suggesting that it played no role in the regulation of fermentation metabolism. Interestingly, however, the *C. acetobutylicum* genome has also been reported to contain two potential quorum sensing systems which bear similarity to the *rap-pher* systems present in *Bacillus subtilis* (19). In *Bacillus subtilis*, the *rap* genes encode a conserved group of regulatory phosphatases acting on phosphorylated response regulators, whereas the *pher* genes encode the precursors of short, linear signalling peptides which can bind to and inhibit the Rap proteins. The Rap proteins are part of the RNPP-type family of quorum sensing regulators, which derived its name from its best studied members, i.e. *Rap*, *NprR*, *PleR*, and *PrgX*, and is characterised by the presence of tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domains responsible for
promoting protein-protein interaction. The family comprises all currently known Gram-
positive cytoplasmic quorum sensing regulators which directly bind to their cognate signalling
peptide (20, 21). The signalling peptide is derived from the C-terminal part of the original pre-
pro peptide which is exported and further processed to its mature form. The mature signalling
peptide is transported back into the cells by oligopeptide permeases belonging to the family of
ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Thus, the uptake of these signalling molecules is an
ATP-consuming process (19). Apart from the Rap proteins, all other currently identified
RNPP-type regulators, including the two proposed C. acetobutylicum homologues (CA_C186
and CA_C3694), possess helix-turn-helix (HTH) motifs and are either known or likely to be
transcriptional regulators which become activated or inhibited upon binding their cognate
signal peptide (21).

Here, we report the discovery and mutational analysis of eight putative RNPP-type quorum
sensing systems in C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824.
RESULTS

Identification of eight putative RNPP-type quorum sensing systems in *C. acetobutylicum*.

Using the two previously identified *C. acetobutylicum* homologues (19) and other experimentally confirmed HTH-containing RNPP-type regulators such as PlcR and NprR in blastp searches, a total of eleven putative RNPP-type regulators genes were identified in the published *C. acetobutylicum* genomes (strains ATCC 824, DSM 1731, and EA 2018). The locus tags for these genes in the ATCC 824 strain were CA_C0186, CA_C0324, CA_C0957, CA_C0958, CA_C1043, CA_C1214, CA_C1949, CA_C2490, CA_C3694, CA_P0040 and CA_P0149. Most of them were annotated as either hypothetical proteins or regulators of the Xre family containing TPR domains, with CA_C0186 and CA_C3694 representing the previously identified homologues (19). In the current version of the ATCC 824 genome (NC_003030.1), CA_C3694 is annotated as a pseudogene in which the HTH motif and TPR domain-encoding parts of an RNPP-type regulator are separated by a stop codon. However, in the genomes of the DSM1731 and EA 2018 strains, these domains are encoded by a single gene. We therefore compared the published ATCC 824 sequence to that obtained for our version of this strain (unpublished) and also found the two domains to be encoded by a single gene. In the published ATCC 824 sequence, the insertion of a guanine at position 357 had shifted the reading frame so that a stop codon appeared to terminate CA_C3694 translation after 360 bp. The corrected sequence was identical to that in the EA2018 and DSM 1731 strains (22, 23).

To establish a putative role in quorum sensing, the regions flanking the above regulator genes were analysed for the presence of short ORFs encoding putative quorum sensing peptide precursors. For established RNPP-type systems, these precursors consist of a positively
charged N-terminus, followed by a hydrophobic region (together forming a signal peptide sequence, required for peptide export) and a C-terminal part containing the actual autoinducing peptide (19). Short ORFs fulfilling the above criteria could be identified downstream of the CA_C0186, CA_C0324, CA_C1043, CA_C1214, CA_C2490, CA_C3695/CA_C3694, CA_P0040 and CA_P0149 (Fig. 1A). Only one of these ORFs (CA_C3693) was annotated in the ATCC 824 genome sequence. The eight aforementioned regulator genes were therefore designated quorum sensing regulators A to H (qsrA to qsrH), and their cognate quorum sensing peptide (Qsp)-encoding genes qspA to qspH, respectively. Together they were referred to as quorum sensing systems A to H (QssA to QssH).

Comparison of the identified Qsp sequences revealed that they were of similar length but low overall sequence similarity (Fig. 1B). However, three amino acids at the C-terminal end were conserved in all Qsp proteins: a leucine, a proline and a tryptophan. The latter formed the C-terminal amino acid in all putative Qsp proteins, with the exception of QspB, which was extended by an additional seven amino acids.

The deduced Qsr sequences were also of similar length and showed low overall similarity, apart from N-terminal region, which contained a Xre-type HTH motif. Using TPRpred (24), the remaining parts of the Qsr proteins were predicted to each possess 7 TPR domains, with the exception of QsrF, for which only six putative domains were detected. Comparison with other HTH-containing RNPP-type regulators revealed low identity and similarity values, with very few conserved amino acid positions, again mainly positioned in the predicted HTH-domains of the proteins (data not shown).

Analysis of available genome sequences revealed the presence of similar systems in other members of the class Clostridia, notably the solventogenic C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum
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strain N1-4 the genome of which was found to contain five putative RNPP-type gene clusters (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). However, no such systems (i.e. containing both regulator and peptide) could be identified in the closely related C. beijerinckii.

**Insertional inactivation of qsr genes using ClosTron technology.** Using ClosTron technology (25), all eight identified qsr genes were insertionally inactivated in the ATTC 824 strain. Correct insertion of *ermB*-carrying introns into the target genes was confirmed by PCR screens, sequencing of PCR products, and Southern blotting as previously described (14; data not shown).

The chosen ClosTron insertion sites were located within the putative HTH-encoding region of the qsr genes (see Methods), thus ensuring that no active DNA-binding proteins could be formed. For each gene, at least three independently derived ClosTron clones were isolated and further characterised. This was done to avoid accidental isolation of mutant clones carrying undesired second site mutations: solventogenic *Clostridium* species including *C. acetobutylicum* are known to spontaneously ‘degenerate’, resulting in strains with a reduced or abolished capacity to form solvents and heat-resistant endospores (26, 27). A preliminary analysis revealed that one of the independently obtained qsrC mutant clones differed phenotypically from the other three and showed signs of degeneration (data not shown). This clone was therefore excluded from further, more detailed analyses.

**Phenotypic screening of qsr mutants.** The obtained qsr mutants were phenotypically characterised with respect to growth, colony morphology, starch degradation, granulose formation, sporulation, and solvent formation.
When cultured in CBM-S broth, several mutants showed minor differences in their growth kinetics when compared to the wild type (see Fig. S2 in supplemental material). Under the conditions employed, wild type cultures reached an OD$_{600}$ of 2.6 after 9 h, followed by a transient OD$_{600}$ decrease to 1.4 (24 h) before reaching the final maximum OD$_{600}$ of 3.1 (48 h). Concurrent with the transient decrease in OD$_{600}$, the cultures began to appear more viscous. Similar profiles were observed for the mutants strains, although qsrF and qsrG mutants reached lower final ODs after 48 h (1.92 and 1.03, respectively), qsrC and qsrD mutants grew more slowly, and qsrB mutant cultures showed the transitory OD$_{600}$ decrease and viscosity increase already after 12 h. The low final OD$_{600}$ of the qsrG mutant presumably reflected the strain’s tendency to form flock-like cell aggregates.

The ability to degrade starch was not affected in any of the mutants and granulose formation appeared similar to the wild type (data not shown). Interestingly, however, after 24 h of growth on CGM plates the qsrB mutants were observed as forming considerably larger colonies (2.0 mm) when compared to the wild type (1.3 mm), a difference that was statistically significant (p<0.00001; Table 1).

Microscopic examination of CBM-S grown cultures revealed no noticeable changes in the number of endospores formed by qsr mutants when compared to the wild type. However, a significant 3-fold reduction (p=0.036) was observed for qsrG mutants when a more quantitative procedure was used, i.e. when the number of heat-resistant spores in a given culture volume was determined after 7 days of culture (Table S1 in supplemental material; more precisely, this procedure quantifies the number of heat-resistant colony forming units (cfu) as a measure for spores that can germinate and grow after heat treatment at 80 °C for 10 min).
The ability of \textit{qsr} mutants to form butanol, acetone, and ethanol was also assessed during early (24 h) and late (120 h) solventogenesis. According to their butanol production profiles (Fig. 2), \textit{qsr} mutants could be grouped into four categories: (i) early and late butanol titres similar to the wild type: \textit{qsrC} and \textit{qsrD} mutants; (ii) increased butanol titres during early solventogenesis: \textit{qsrB} mutants; (iii) decreased butanol titres during early solventogenesis: \textit{qsrA} and \textit{qsrE} mutants; (iv) decreased butanol titres during late solventogenesis: \textit{qsrF}, \textit{qsrG}, \textit{qsrH}.

As a general rule, changes in butanol titers were mirrored by the corresponding acetone concentrations (Fig. 2). However, this was not always the case for ethanol. For instance, final (120 h) ethanol titres were significantly increased for the \textit{qsrB} and \textit{qsrE} mutants and early (24 h) titres in \textit{qsrA} and \textit{qsrE} mutants were comparable to those of the wild type (Fig. 2).

\textbf{QsrB represses solvent formation.} Following the initial phenotypic screening, the \textit{qsrB} mutants were selected for further, more detailed analyses as they exhibited a number of relevant phenotypic changes including growth profile, colony size/morphology, and solvent production. Particularly relevant from a biotechnological perspective was the increased production of butanol during early solventogenesis. More detailed fermentation profiles were therefore generated, with samples taken in regular intervals during a 120 h growth experiment. These profiles confirmed the increased production of solvents during early solventogenesis and also revealed that, after entry into stationary phase, \textit{qsrB} cultures contained lower concentrations of butyric and acetic acid (see Fig. S3 in supplemental material). To obtain ultimate proof that \textit{qsrB} inactivation was responsible for the observed phenotypes, the obtained \textit{qsrB} mutants were genetically complemented. \textit{qsrB} under control of its native promoter was cloned into the modular shuttle vector pMTL85141 (28) and the resulting pMTL85141-qsrB
vector was used to transform the qsrB mutant strains via electroporation. As a control, unmodified pMTL85141 was also introduced into both wild type and qsrB mutant strains. Indeed, complementation with plasmid-based qsrB, but not the empty shuttle vector, reversed the effects of qsrB inactivation, i.e. it reduced the production of all three solvents, increased the production of acetic and butyric acid, and reduced colony size (Fig. 3 and Table 1). However, while colony size was restored to approximately wild type levels, the metabolic changes resulting from the complementation were more drastic, i.e. solvent production by the complemented qsrB mutants was significantly lower, and acid production markedly higher than observed for the wild type. It was hypothesised that this was caused by the presence of multiple qsrB copies in the complemented mutants. Indeed, when these experiments were repeated using the shuttle vector pMTL85143, which carries a strong constitutive ferredoxin gene promoter to drive the expression of the inserted qsrB gene, very similar results were obtained (data not shown). Expression of qsrB in the wild type using the pMTL85141-qsrB and pMTL85143-qsrB plasmids yielded fermentation profiles similar to the ones observed for the complemented qsrB mutant, with increased production of acids and considerably reduced solvent formation (Table 2).

**Overexpression of qsrB reduces spore formation.** Given the drastic effects that qsrB carrying plasmids had on acid and solvent formation, the number of heat-resistant endospores formed by the complemented qsrB mutants and qsrB overexpressing wild type were also assessed. These experiments revealed that in the presence of pMTL85141-qsrB both strains showed strongly reduced spore production (Fig. 4). Furthermore, while after 120 h and 168 h there was no statistically significant difference in spore counts between wild type and qsrB mutants which
both carried the empty pMTL85141 control plasmid, the latter reached final spore levels earlier than the wild type.

**Generation and characterisation of qspB mutants.** Based on the above results it appeared likely that qsrB-based quorum sensing contributes to the regulation of solvent formation and sporulation in *C. acetobutylicum*. To test this hypothesis, the role of the putative signalling peptide-encoding qspB gene, located downstream of qsrB, was investigated. Three independent qspB ClosTron mutants were generated and confirmed as described above for the qsrB mutants. While colony size, granulose formation, and final spore levels, were comparable to the wild type, qspB mutant cultures showed reduced levels of acetone and butanol during late stationary phase, i.e. after 72 h to 96 h. However, final (120 h) levels were comparable to the wild type (data not shown). Introduction of the aforementioned shuttle vectors (without insert) into qspB mutants and wild type abolished the observed differences and led to indistinguishable solvent profiles (Fig. 5). Thus, conclusive genetic complementation experiments could not be conducted. However, when the qspB overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB was introduced into both wild type and qspB-deficient strains, solvent production increased significantly and butyrate concentrations during stationary phase were considerably lower than in the control strains carrying the empty pMTL85143 plasmid. Acetate production, however, remained largely unchanged (Fig 5B, 5C). Overexpression of qspB also increased colony size and lead to an earlier increase in heat-resistant colonies, although final spore levels appeared to be similar to wild type vector control (Fig. 6).
qspB-encoded peptide fragments counteract QsrB-mediated repression of solventogenesis.
The similar phenotypes observed for qsrB-knockout and qspB-overexpressing strains suggested that either QspB or a QspB-derived quorum sensing peptide may act to inhibit QsrB activity. To test the latter hypothesis, thirteen peptide variants were synthesised, varying in length between 6 and 20 amino acids and covering various parts of the C-terminal region of QspB. These were then tested for their ability to restore butanol production in the qsrB overexpressing strain C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141-qsrB. Cultures of this strain were supplemented with individual synthetic peptides at a final concentration of 10 µM and assayed for butanol formation after 120 h. Several of the exogenously added peptides were capable of restoring high level butanol formation, whereas others had no discernible effect (Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). The latter group comprised all peptides terminating at amino acid 38 of the QspB sequence or starting at position 39, suggesting that the region conferring activity included amino acids upstream and downstream of these positions.

Based on these finding, additional peptide variants were designed, synthesised to a purity of >95% and similarly tested. Interestingly, exogenous addition of QspB7, a peptide comprising only seven amino acids (AEPTWGW) and matching positions 37-43 of the QspB precursor, was capable of fully restoring butanol production in the qsrB overexpressing C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143-qsrB strain (Fig. 7). The QspB7 sequence contained two of the three conserved amino acids present at the C-terminal end of all C. acetobutylicum Qsp proteins, i.e. proline and tryptophan (Fig. 1B). QspB-derived peptides capable of restoring high level butanol formation were also found to dramatically increase acetone and decrease acid production when added to the qsrB overexpressing strain (Fig. 7A, B). Furthermore, these peptides also restored high levels of sporulation (Fig. 7C)
DISCUSSION

For many years, the precise molecular signals and mechanisms that trigger solvent formation in Clostridia have remained elusive. Here we show for the first time that RNPP-type quorum sensing is one of the contributing factors in *C. acetobutylicum* and, potentially, other species.

RNPP-type quorum sensing systems consist of a TPR domain containing regulator proteins and small, linear signalling peptides which either activate or inhibit their corresponding regulators (21). Examples are the Rap-Phr systems in *B. subtilis*, which are involved in the regulation of competence and sporulation, and the PlcR-PapR systems of the *Bacillus cereus* group, which are known to regulate toxins and other genes important for environmental adaptation (19, 29).

The study presented here set out to examine the roles of putative RNPP-type quorum sensing systems in *C. acetobutylicum*, and in particular whether one or more of them played a role in the regulation of acid and solvent metabolism.

Bioinformatic analysis revealed the presence of at least eight such systems in each of the sequenced strains of this bacterium, including two that had previously been proposed (19). To investigate the regulatory role of these systems, we decided to inactivate the RNPP-type regulator genes, i.e. the different *qsr* genes, rather than the corresponding signalling peptide encoding genes. While inactivation of the latter would have provided the opportunity to complement any observed defects by adding back synthetic signalling peptides, it could not be ruled out that some or all Qsr proteins are responsive to more than one signal. Furthermore, the signalling peptide-encoding *qsp* genes are rather small and do therefore not represent ideal targets for ClosTron mutagenesis, whereas it was possible to inactivate all *qsr* genes by disrupting the postulated DNA-binding HTH motif encoded in the 5’ region of these genes.
Like all mutagenesis procedures which involve frequent re-streaking of colonies, the ClosTron procedure carries an inherent risk of enriching for and isolating degenerate mutant strains (14). At least three independent mutant clones were therefore generated for each qsr gene and checked for phenotypic consistency, thus ensuring that observed phenotypic changes were caused by ClosTron insertion rather than second site mutations.

As a detailed analysis of all eight systems was beyond the scope of this study, a phenotypic screen was carried out to identify mutants of interest, i.e. those showing clear phenotypic differences in particular with relation to solvent metabolism. Intriguingly, inactivation of seven of these systems resulted in changed solvent profiles, although further studies will be necessary to establish whether these systems are directly involved the regulation of solvent genes, or indirectly through effects resulting from other changes in the cells’ physiology and metabolism. QssB was selected for or a more detailed analysis, as it was the only system whose inactivation increased solvent formation and also affected multiple other phenotypes.

Our mutational analyses suggest that QssB plays a regulatory role during early solvent formation, controlling its extent and, potentially, precise timing. The data are consistent with QsrB acting as a repressor that is inactivated upon binding to its cognate QspB-derived signalling peptide. Such a role is supported by (i) the finding that solvent formation was increased by qsrB inactivation and strongly decreased by qsrB overexpression; (ii) the observation that overexpression of qsrB and qspB had the opposite effects on solvent formation, sporulation and colony size; and (iii) the fact that suppression of solvent formation and sporulation in qsrB overexpressing cells could be overcome by adding synthetic, linear QspB-derived peptides to the culture medium.
How repression of solvent formation is mediated on the molecular level remains to be investigated. For instance, it is currently not clear whether QsrB directly represses solvent gene expression or whether it acts further up in the regulatory hierarchy, for example by affecting expression of spo0A or the genes required for its phosphorylation. However, given that in C. acetobutylicum Spo0A is a key factor in the regulation of both solvent formation and sporulation (7), the latter hypothesis would not explain why endospore formation remained largely unaffected following qsrB inactivation. For some aerobic, endospore-forming bacteria, a link between RNPP-type quorum sensing, sporulation and Spo0A activity is firmly established. In B. subtilis, for instance, several Rap-Phr systems are involved in controlling the degree of Spo0A phosphorylation. Following their uptake into the cell, the Phr peptides bind to and thereby inhibit their cognate Rap phosphatases, thus allowing Spo0F phosphorylation and transfer of the activating phosphate to Spo0A via Spo0B (19, 21). In B. thuringiensis, on the other hand, transcription of the PlcR regulator gene is activated by auto induction and repressed by Spo0A. Thus, in this organism, PlcR integrates information on state of growth (through Spo0A) and cell density (through its cognate signal peptide, PapR) (30, 31).

It is unclear why inactivation of the peptide encoding gene qspB had only limited effects during early solventogenesis, as the QsrB is expected to remain active and repress solvent formation in the absence of its cognate, inactivating signalling peptide. An intriguing possibility could be that QsrB responds to more than one signalling peptide, i.e. lack of QspB may be compensated for by signalling peptides produced by the other quorum sensing systems. This may also explain why the sporulation profile of the qspB mutant was so similar to that of the wild type. The fact that qspB overexpression resulted in a small increase in sporulation may indicate that, under the employed culture conditions, wild type signal molecule concentrations were not
sufficiently high to completely deactivate the QsrB repressor at the time when this process was
induced, which would be in agreement with the slight increase in sporulation observed for the
qsrB mutant.

An interesting question is why quorum sensing control of solvent formation has evolved in C.
acetobutylicum. A possible explanation could be that coordinated, population-wide responses
are required to efficiently control the rapid production of toxic acids and, perhaps, at a later
stage, solvents. For an optimal response, individual cells within the population may need to
sense the density of acid producing cells and this is achieved by the extracellular accumulation
of peptide signals such as those derived from QspB. Thus, before critical concentrations are
irreversibly reached that lead to a fatal ‘acid crash’ (32), a population-wide decision is made to
stop production and trigger a metabolic shift resulting in acid re-uptake and solvent formation.
Integrated with other relevant environmental information this may enable the organism to
maximise the number of cells that can enter solventogenesis and thus, eventually, sporulation to
secure long term survival. According to this view, uptake of acids and their conversion into
solvents is a social, cooperative trait, which is co-ordinately induced through quorum sensing at
the appropriate population density.

It is evident from the above that sensing their density may help populations to shift from acid to
solvent metabolism at the optimal stage of growth. It is less clear, however, why the organism
contains such a large number of putative signalling systems, totalling nine together with the
previously described agr system (14). In many other bacteria, including pathogens, no more
than one or two systems have been identified (although larger numbers have been described in
some ubiquitous and metabolically versatile bacteria such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa) (33).
It is therefore intriguing to see that two other, physiologically very similar, solvent producing
Clostridium species also contain a large number of putative signalling systems. Our analysis of
the C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum genome revealed the presence of five complete RNPP-type
systems (Fig. S1) in addition to four potential agr system (not shown). By contrast, our
unpublished analysis of C. beijernickii NCIMB 8052 provided no evidence for complete (i.e.
regulator plus peptide) RNPP-type systems, but identified six putative agr systems. Thus, while
all three strains are members of the genus Clostridium sensu stricto, carry out ABE
fermentations and, in the case of C. beijernickii and C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum, are very
closely related, they have evolved very differently in terms of the cell-cell signalling systems
they employ.

Most likely, the explanation for employing multiple signalling systems lies in the complex life
cycles of these organisms which not only involve a shift in fermentation metabolism, but also
sporulation and, under certain conditions, fruiting body formation (34). These are all
phenotypes for which quorum sensing control has been demonstrated in other species.

Furthermore, use of multiple signals may permit ‘combinatorial communication’, enabling
bacteria to adjust gene expression to both social and physicochemical properties of their
environment, particularly when accumulation of the signal molecules differs due to their
individual properties such as half-life, or because they possess different diffusion constants
(35). Alternatively, different signals may enable cells to trigger responses at different density
thresholds. To add to the complexity, the genomes of C. acetobutylicum and indeed all other
members of the genus Clostridium sensu stricto, encode several orphan RNPP-type regulators
which are not flanked by small, signalling peptide encoding genes. Whether genes of this type
form part of a quorum sensing systems or act independently of signalling peptides remains to
be seen, but there is evidence to suggest that they, too, play major regulatory roles in their respective hosts. For instance, the CA_C0957/CA_C0958 regulators identified in this study were found to be essential for both solventogenesis and sporulation (Kotte and Winzer, unpublished data) and in *C. difficile*, another orphan RNPP-type regulator was very recently found to repress toxin production and motility, and upregulate sporulation (36).

The precise chemical nature of the Qsp-derived peptide signals produced by *C. acetobutylicum* remains to be established. In *B. subtilis*, some of the Phr peptides are derived from the C-termini of their respective precursor proteins whereas others stem from internal parts (19). A similar situation appears to be present in *C. acetobutylicum*. Our structure activity analysis of QspB derived peptide sequences clearly showed that biological activity is associated with a short internal sequence. However, for the other seven putative Qsp homologs, sequences corresponding to this region form the C-terminal end of the protein (Fig. 1).

Whereas the Phr signals produced by *B. subtilis* are pentapeptides, the *C. acetobutylicum* QspB peptide appears to be slightly larger given that a heptapeptide was the shortest sequence for which biological activity was observed (Fig. 7). This heptapeptide, AEPTWGW, contained two of the three conserved amino acids present in the C-terminal region of all Qsp proteins, i.e. proline and tryptophan, whereas a slightly larger nonamer, LGAEPTWGW, showed similar activity but also contained the third conserved amino acid, leucine. The situation might resemble that of PlcR and its cognate heptapeptide signal, PapR, in the *B. cereus* group. Originally believed to be a pentapeptide due to its biological activity, the native PapR signal was later found to be a heptamer (37). PapR peptides from different strains of this group show some variation in the first three N-terminal residues, whereas the C-terminal parts are relatively conserved (38). Although the predicted *C. acetobutylicum* Qsp peptides show a larger degree of
variation, the aforementioned proline (position -5) and tryptophan (position -1) are always present. Interestingly, the peptides produced by *B. subtilis* and the *B. cereus* group all contain charged amino acids (19, 38), whereas this is not the case for the majority of Qsp peptides. Only QspB, QspD and QspE are predicted to carry a negative charge, whereas all other Qsp peptides are highly hydrophobic. Whether and how this relates to their biological roles remains to be investigated.

In summary, we have shown that multiple signalling systems exist in *C. acetobutylicum* and related organisms, at least one of which plays a role in the regulation of solvent formation and sporulation. Population density appears to be an important parameter that, together with other environmental and internal stimuli, is sensed and integrated by a complex regulatory network that governs fermentation metabolism, sporulation and other important aspects in the life cycle of these organisms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and media.** Bacterial strains utilised in this study are listed in Table S2. *C. acetobutylicum* ATTC 824 and its mutant derivatives were grown at 37°C in an anaerobic cabinet (MG1000 Anaerobic Work Station, Don Whitley Scientific) containing an atmosphere of 80% nitrogen, 10% hydrogen and 10% carbon dioxide. The organism was routinely cultured in supplemented clostridial basal medium (CBMS) (39), unless stated otherwise. CBMS was based on CBM as previously described (40) but contained glucose (50 g/l) and calcium carbonate (5 g/l) as a buffering agent. For agar plates, 10 g/l agar was added and calcium carbonate was omitted. *Escherichia coli* TOP10 was grown in Lysogeny broth at 37°C. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: chloramphenicol, 25 µg/ml;
erythromycin, 40 µg/ml; tetracycline, 10 µg/ml; thiamphenicol, 15 µg/ml. *C. acetobutylicum*

wild type and all mutants generated in this study were stored as spore stocks.

**Plasmids, oligonucleotides, DNA techniques.** Plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Tables S3 and S4 (supplemental material) and were synthesised by Eurofins MWG Operon, Germany. PCR amplifications were carried out using high fidelity Phusion polymerase or *Taq* DNA polymerase (both from New England Biolabs). Electroporation of *C. acetobutylicum* was performed as described previously (12). Plasmid isolation and genomic DNA preparations were carried out using the QIAprep Miniprep kit (Qiagen, UK) and DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen), respectively. Restriction enzymes were supplied by New England Biolabs and Promega and were used according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Southern blotting and hybridisation was carried out as previously described (39).

**Construction of mutants using ClosTron technology.** Mutants were constructed in *C. acetobutylicum* ATTC 824 using retargeted ClosTron plasmids according to Heap *et al.* (25). The plasmids were designed using the ‘intron targeting and design tool’ available on [http://www.ClosTron.com/ClosTron2.php](http://www.ClosTron.com/ClosTron2.php) and purchased from DNA2.0. Numbers in the plasmid names (Table S3) indicate the retargeting site used which, in the case of RNPP-type genes, was located within the HTH-encoding region. Genomic DNA from putative mutants was subjected to several PCR screens to establish whether the ClosTron-derived group II intron had inserted into the desired gene target. These included (i) primer pairs that annealed on either side of the target site and (ii) individual flanking primers together with a group II intron specific primer (the latter amplifying the intron–exon junctions). The generated PCR
fragments were sequenced to obtain definite proof that insertion had occurred at the desired position. Finally, using chromosomal DNA of all mutant, Southern blot analysis was performed to confirm that only single ClosTron insertions had occurred. At least three independent mutants were generated for each gene.

**Generation of complementation and overexpression vectors.** To construct the *qsrB* complementation vector pMTL85141-*qsrB*, a 1659 bp fragment containing the *qsrB* gene and a 351 bp 5’ non-coding region expected to contain the gene’s native promoter were PCR amplified from genomic *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 DNA using the primer pair QsrB_C_F1/QsrB_C_R1 (Table S4). These contained SbfI and NotI restriction sites, respectively, so that the resulting fragment could be cloned into the equally digested clostridial shuttle vector pMTL85141 (29). The resulting vector pMTL85141-*qsrB* was confirmed by restriction analysis and sequencing.

To obtain an overexpression vector in which *qsrB* expression was driven by the strong *C. sporogenes* *fdx*-promoter the 1336 bp *qsrB* gene was PCR amplified from genomic DNA with the primer pair QsrB_C_F2/QrB_C_R2 (Table S4). These primers contained NdeI and BamHI restriction sites, respectively, which were used to clone the obtained DNA fragment into the clostridial shuttle vector pMTL85143 downstream of the *fdx*-promoter (Dr. Ying Zhang, University of Nottingham, unpublished). The resulting vector pMTL85143-*qsrB* was confirmed by restriction analysis and sequencing.

To obtain the *qspB* expression vector pMTL85143-*qspB*, the 178 bp *qspB* gene was PCR amplified from genomic DNA of *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 using the primer pair QspB_C_F1/QspB_C_R1. These primers contained NdeI and EcoRI restriction sites,
respectively, which were used to clone the obtained DNA fragment into the clostridial shuttle vector pMTL85143 downstream of the fdx-promoter. The resulting vector pMTL85143-\textit{qspB} was confirmed by restriction analysis and sequencing.

**Spore assays and detection of granulose.** \textit{C. acetobutylicum} strains were grown in 5 ml CBMS to enable sporulation. After 7 days, a 200 µl sample of culture was heated to 80°C for 10 min. Serial dilutions were carried out and 20 µl aliquots of the heat-treated cell suspension plated onto CBM agar. Agar plates were incubated for 48 h before CFUs were enumerated. For each assay, a \textit{spo0A} mutant (25) and the wild type were included as negative and positive controls, respectively.

To assess the accumulation of granulose, \textit{C. acetobutylicum} strains were grown on CBM agar containing 5% glucose. Colonies were stained with iodine as previously described (14).

**Determination of colony size.** Overnight cultures were serial diluted before plating onto CGM plates (clostridial growth medium containing 1.5% agar; 41) and further incubation for 24 h. To avoid negative impacts on growth, the CGM plates did not contain antibiotics. Measurements were taken from enlarged plate images alongside a scale. For each colony three independent diameter readings were taken and averaged to account for the fact that some colonies were noncircular. A total of twenty colonies per strain were analysed.

**Addition of synthetic QspB fragments to \textit{qsrB} overexpressing strains.** Synthetic linear peptides representing C-terminal fragments of the QspB sequence were synthesised and purified by Peptide Protein Research Ltd (Fareham, UK). Thirteen variants were initially
obtained (Fig. S4 in supplementary materials) the purity of which was estimated to range from 89-99%, apart from peptides TRSLLGAE, LGAEPTWGWNISKLLF, and TRSLLGAEPT-WGWNISKLLF (72%, 79% and 83%, respectively). A selection of peptides showing the highest activities in an initial screen as well as several additional variants (as listed in Fig. 7) were then re-synthesised to >95% purity. Lyophilised peptides were dissolved in DMSO to obtain 20 mM stock solutions. 200 ml of CBMS was inoculated to OD 0.05 with a C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143-qsrB pre-culture and grown for 4 h. At this point, 10-ml aliquots of the culture were distributed into individual 15-ml Falcon tubes, each containing 5 μl of a particular 20 mM peptide stock solution. Controls only contained 5 μl DMSO. Each peptide or control culture was set up in triplicate.

**Analysis of fermentation products.** C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 wild type and mutants were grown in 50-ml-Falcon tubes containing 30 ml of CBMS. At relevant time points, 1 ml samples were removed, placed on ice and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 5 min to obtain cells-free culture supernatant. Extraction of fermentation products and their gas chromatographic analysis was carried out as described previously (39).

**Statistical analysis.** All numerical data were stored and analysed in IBM SPSS Statistics 19 and 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, US) and Microsoft Excel 2007 and 2010. Significance levels were determined with an independent sample two way t-test in IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM). Data were graphically visualised in GraphPad Prism5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA) and Excel. Errors bars provided indicate standard deviation.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic representation of C. acetobutylicum RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters (A) and alignment of putative signalling peptide precursor sequences (B).

(A) Eight RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters have been identified (QssA to QssH), each encoding an RNPP-type regulator (QsrA to QspH, large arrows) and a signalling peptide precursor (QspA to QspH, short yellow arrows). The locus tags for each system are provided, where available. Regions encoding a helix-turn-helix motif (HTH, green) and tetratricopeptide repeat domains (red) are indicated. (B) The Clustal Omega amino acid sequence alignment shows the eight predicted Qsp proteins. Red font indicates amino acids that are 100% conserved; blue and green fonts indicate positively (K, R) and negatively charged (D, E) amino acids, respectively. Identical (*), conserved (:), and semi-conserved substitutions (.) are shown. Numbers indicate the length of the different precursor proteins. Positively charged,
hydrophobic, and predicted signalling peptide-encoding regions are indicated with blue, grey, and red lines, respectively.

Figure 2. Solvent formation by *C. acetobutylicum* qsr mutants.
Formation of butanol (A), acetone (B) and ethanol (C) was monitored in CBM-S broth after 24 h (left hand panels) and 124 h (right hand panels) for all eight *qsr* mutants and compared to the ATTC 824 parent strain. (B) After 72 h, culture supernatant samples were taken and analysed for the produced acids (acetate, checks; butyrate, lines) and solvents (butanol, white; acetone, grey; ethanol, black). The data represent the mean of three independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤0.05) compared to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk.

Figure 3. Fermentation profile of *C. acetobutylicum* wild type, *qsrB* mutants and genetically complemented *qsrB* mutants
Growth (A) and production of acids (B) and solvents (C) were compared for the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (closed circles), the *qsrB* mutants containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (open circles), and the *qsrB* mutants containing the complementation plasmid pMTL85141-*qsrB* (open triangles). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤0.05) compared to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure 4. Effect of \textit{qsrB} deletion and overexpression on sporulation.

The ability to sporulate was assessed for the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (closed circles), the \textit{qsrB} mutants containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (open circles), the \textit{qsrB} mutants containing the pMTL85141-\textit{qsrB} complementation plasmid (open triangles), and the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the pMTL85141-\textit{qsrB} complementation plasmid (closed triangles). Sporulation efficiencies were assessed by determining the number of heat-resistant endospores produced at the indicated time points. Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Only the upper half of the error bar is shown in cases where the lower half extends beyond the x axis. Significant differences (p≤0.05) compared to the vector-carrying wild type and vector-carrying \textit{qsrB} mutant are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.

Figure 5. Fermentation profiles of \textit{qspB}-overexpressing \textit{C. acetobutylicum} wild type and \textit{qspB} mutants.

Growth (A) and production of acids (B) and solvents (C) were compared for the ATTC 824 parent strain (closed symbols) and \textit{qspB} mutant (open symbols) containing either the empty pMTL85143 vector (circles) or the overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-\textit{qspB} (triangles). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤ 0.05) compared to the vector controls are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure 6. Effect of qsrB overexpression on sporulation and colony size.

(A) The ability to sporulate was assessed for the *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85143 vector (black bars) and the overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB (white bars). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤ 0.05) compared to the vector controls are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant measurement. (B) 5-day old colonies of *C. acetobutylicum* carrying the empty pMTL85143 vector (left) and overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB, respectively.

Figure 7. Synthetic peptides alleviate qsrB-mediated repression of solvent formation and sporulation.

(A) Solvent titres: butanol (light grey), acetone (dark grey), ethanol (black). (B) Acid titres: butyrate (dark grey), acetate (light grey). (C) Spore titres (heat-resistant CFU). Synthetic peptides (D) were dissolved in DMSO and individually added to cultures of *C. acetobutylicum* pMTL85143-qsrB after 4 h of growth to a final concentration of 10 μM. DMSO-only controls were performed for *C. acetobutylicum* pMTL85143 and *C. acetobutylicum* pMTL85143-qsrB, respectively. Cultures were grown for 5 days prior to analysis. *C. acetobutylicum* pMTL85143 vector control (Vector); *C. acetobutylicum* pMTL85143-qsrB cultures (QsrB). Presence of specific synthetic peptides as shown in (D) is indicated (+ QspB). The complete QspB sequence is given at the bottom with the three conserved amino acid positions in the C-terminal region (leucine, proline, tryptophan) indicated by bold red lettering. Data represent the mean of three independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation.
TABLE 1 Colony size of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* parents strain and *qsrB* mutants on CGM medium after 24 h

| Strain | Mean colony size in mm ±SD (n=20) |
|--------|----------------------------------|
| *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 | 1.3 ±0.49 |
| *C. acetobutylicum qsrB::CTermB* | 2.0 ±0.24**** |
| *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 pMTL 85141 | 1.2 ±0.32 |
| *C. acetobutylicum qsrB::CTermB pMTL85141* | 1.5 ±0.35** |
| *C. acetobutylicum qsrB::CTermB pMTL85141-qsrB* | 1.0 ±0.28NS |

NS not significantly different to the vector carrying wildtype; **significantly different to the vector carrying wildtype at p<0.01; *****significantly different to the vector-free wildtype control at p<0.00001.

TABLE 2 Effect of *qsrB* overexpression on the fermentation product profile of wild type *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 after 120 h.

| Product | *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 [mM] | pMTL85141 | pMTL85141-qsrB | pMTL85143 | pMTL85143-qsrB |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| Butyrate | 7 ±1 | 36 ±3**** | 13 ±13 | 48 ±15**** |
| Acetate | 6 ±3 | 14 ±1** | 25 ±18 | 35 ±16* |
| Butanol | 103 ±15 | 15 ±3**** | 86 ±23 | 9 ±4*** |
| Acetone | 54 ±4 | 4 ±1**** | 38 ±18 | 1 ±1** |
| Ethanol | 18 ±4 | 4 ±3** | 15 ±9 | 1 ±1* |

*, **, *** and **** indicate significant differences to the vector carrying wild type at p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.001, and p<0.0001, respectively.
Figure 1. Schematic representation of *C. acetobutylicum* RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters (A) and alignment of putative signalling peptide precursor sequences (B).

(A) Eight RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters have been identified (QssA to QssH), each encoding an RNPP-type regulator (QsrA to QspH, large arrows) and a signalling peptide precursor (QspA to QspH, short yellow arrows). The locus tags for each system are provided, where available. Regions encoding a helix-turn-helix motif (HTH, green) and tetratricopeptide repeat domains (red) are indicated. (B) The Clustal Omega amino acid sequence alignment shows the eight predicted Qsp proteins. Red font indicates amino acids that are 100\% conserved; blue and green fonts indicate positively (K, R) and negatively charged (D, E) amino acids, respectively. Identical (*), conserved (:), and semi-conserved substitutions (.) are shown. Numbers indicate the length of the different precursor proteins. Positively charged, hydrophobic, and predicted signalling peptide-encoding regions are indicated with blue, grey, and red lines, respectively.
Figure 2. Solvent formation by *C. acetobutylicum* qsr mutants. Formation of butanol (top), acetone (middle) and ethanol (bottom) was monitored in CBM-S broth after 24 h (left hand panels) and 120 h (right hand panels) for all eight qsr mutants (light grey) and compared to the ATTC 824 parent strain (dark grey). The data represent the mean of three independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤0.05) compared to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk.
Figure 3. Fermentation profile of *C. acetobutylicum* wild type, *qsrB* mutants and genetically complemented *qsrB* mutants.

Growth (A) and production of acids (B) and solvents (C) were compared for the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (closed circles), the *qsrB* mutant containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (open circles), and the *qsrB* mutant containing the complementation plasmid pMTL85141-*qsrB* (open triangles). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤ 0.05) compared to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure 4. Effect of $qsrB$ deletion and overexpression on sporulation.

The ability to sporulate was assessed for the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (closed circles), the $qsrB$ mutants containing the empty pMTL85141 vector (open circles), the $qsrB$ mutants containing the pMTL85141-$qsrB$ complementation plasmid (open triangles), and the ATTC 824 parent strain containing the pMTL85141-$qsrB$ complementation plasmid (closed triangles). Sporulation efficiencies were assessed by determining the number of heat-resistant endospores produced at the indicated time points. Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Only the upper half of the error bar is shown in cases where the lower half extends beyond the x axis. Significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) compared to the vector-carrying wild type and vector-carrying $qsrB$ mutant are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure 5. Fermentation profiles of qspB-overexpressing C. acetobutylicum wild type and qspB mutants.

Growth (A) and production of acids (B) and solvents (C) were compared for the ATTC 824 parent strain (closed symbols) and qspB mutant (open symbols) containing either the empty pMTL85143 vector (circles) or the overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB (triangles). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) compared to the vector controls are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure 6. Effect of qsrB overexpression on sporulation and colony size.

(A) The ability to sporulate was assessed for the *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 parent strain containing the empty pMTL85143 vector (black bars) and the overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB (white bars). Data represent the mean of four independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤ 0.05) compared to the vector controls are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant measurement. (B) 5-day old colonies of *C. acetobutylicum* carrying the empty pMTL85143 vector (left) and overexpression plasmid pMTL85143-qspB, respectively.
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Figure 7. Synthetic peptides alleviate qsrB-mediated repression of solvent formation and sporulation.

(A) Solvent titres: butanol (light grey), acetone (dark grey), ethanol (black). (B) Acid titres: butyrate (dark grey), acetate (light grey). (C) Spore titres (heat-resistant CFU). Synthetic peptides (D) were dissolved in DMSO and individually added to cultures of C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143-qsrB after 4 h of growth to a final concentration of 10 M. DMSO-only controls were performed for C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143 and C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143-qsrB, respectively. Cultures were grown for 5 days prior to analysis. C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143 vector control (Vector); C. acetobutylicum pMTL85143-qsrB cultures (QsrB). Presence of specific synthetic peptides as shown in (D) is indicated (+ QspB). The complete QspB sequence is given at the bottom with the three conserved amino acid positions in the C-terminal region (leucine, proline, tryptophan) indicated by bold red lettering. Data represent the mean of three independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation.
### TABLE S

| Strain                        | Heat-resistant CFU/ml | p \(^1\) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrA::CTermB | 9.50 × 10\(^7\)     | 0.561    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrB::CTermB | 1.18 × 10\(^8\)  | 0.929    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrC::CTermB | 7.57 × 10\(^7\)   | 0.323    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrD::CTermB | 8.91 × 10\(^7\)  | 0.473    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrE::CTermB | 1.26 × 10\(^8\)  | 0.743    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrF::CTermB | 8.22 × 10\(^7\)  | 0.339    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrG::CTermB | 3.85 × 10\(^7\)  | *0.036   |
| *C. acetobutylicum* qsrH::CTermB | 1.21 × 10\(^8\)  | 0.857    |
| *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824  | 1.15 × 10\(^8\)  | -        |

\(^1\)Significant differences to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk.
**Table S2. Bacterial strains used in this study**

| Strain | Relevant properties | Source/reference |
|--------|---------------------|------------------|
| **E. coli Top10** | F-  mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC)  Φ80lacZAM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 nupG | Invitrogen |
| **E. coli Top 10 pAN2** | E. coli Top 10 with methylation plasmid pAN2 containing the ϕ3TI methyltransferase | Heap et al. (2007) |
| **C. acetobutylicum** ATCC 824 | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 wild type | Prof. Hubert Bahl, University of Rostock (COSMIC-strain) |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrA::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrA ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrB::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrB ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrC::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrC ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrD::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrD ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrE::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrE ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrF::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrF ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrG::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrG ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrH::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qsrH ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qspB::CTermB | C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824 qspB ClosTron mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** pMTL85141 | ATTC 824 wild type with empty pMTL85141 vector | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** pMTL85143 | ATTC 824 wild type with empty pMTL85143 vector | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrB::CTermB pMTL85141 | qsrB mutant with empty ATTC 824 wild type with empty pMTL85141 vector | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrB::CTermB pMTL85141-qsrB | Complemented qsrB mutant carrying pMTL85141-qsrB | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrB::CTermB pMTL85143 | qsrB mutant with empty pMTL85143 vector | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qsrB::CTermB pMTL85143-qsrB | Complemented qsrB mutant carrying pMTL85143-qsrB | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** pMTL85141-qsrB | qsrB overexpressing ATTC 824 wild type carrying pMTL85141-qsrB | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** pMTL85141-qsrB | qsrB overexpressing ATTC 824 wild type carrying pMTL85141-qsrB | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qspB::CTermB pMTL85143 | qspB mutant with empty plasmid | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** qspB::CTermB pMTL85143-qspB | Complemented qspB mutant | This work |
| **C. acetobutylicum** pMTL85143-qspB | qspB overexpressing ATTC 824 wild type carrying pMTL85143-qspB | This work |
| Plasmid           | Relevant properties                                                                 | Source                      |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| pAN2              | Plasmid containing ϕ3TI methyltransferase                                            | Heap et al. (2007)          |
| pCR2.1-TOPO       | A plasmid that is supplied linearized with A-overhangs for convenient cloning of PCR fragments | Invitrogen                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrA-102| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrA<sup>1</sup>                                    | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrB-102| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrB<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrC-102| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrC<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrD-49| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrD<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrE-58| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrE<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrF-107| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrF<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrG-93| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrG<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qsrH-58| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qsrH<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL007C-E2::qspB-53| Clostron plasmid retargeted to qspB<sup>1</sup>                                   | This study                  |
| pMTL85141         | Clostridium modular plasmid containing catP                                         | Heap et al. (2009)          |
| pMTL85143         | pMTL85141 with <i>C. sporogenes</i> ferredoxin promoter upstream of multiple cloning site | Dr Ying Zhang, Univ. of Nottingham |
| pMTL85141-qsrB    | pMTL85141 containing qsrB coding region and 351 bp non-coding region upstream       | This study                  |
| pMTL85143-qsrB    | pMTL85143 containing qsrB coding region                                              | This study                  |
| pMTL85143-qspB    | pMTL85143 containing qspB coding region                                              | This study                  |

<sup>1</sup>Numbers following the gene name indicate the predicted insertion site of the encoded Clostron derivative, with S and A denoting sense and anti-sense orientation, respectively.
### Table S4. Oligonucleotides used in this study

| Oligonucleotide          | Sequence (5' to 3')                                                                 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **ClosTron mutant screening** |                                                                                      |
| QsrA_F                   | AAGAGGAATTAGCGGGAGCTGAG                                                              |
| QsrA_R                   | CGACTCTGTCAATTGTTGGAGAAGGC                                                         |
| QsrB_F                   | CGATATTGTGGAGAAGAGTTACTC                                                           |
| QsrB_R                   | AGATAATCCGGACGTATACCC                                                               |
| QsrC_F                   | TCAAATCTGGCTATTGGGGTAAAAGC                                                        |
| QsrC_R                   | AGCATATTGTGCTGATGTTGACTAG                                                          |
| QsrD_F                   | GGAGAGTTTGCTATTGTTGTC                                                              |
| QsrD_R                   | AGCTTGGATTTCCTCATCC                                                              |
| QsrE_F                   | GATAAGGGAGAAGTGCTATGGGAAG                                                          |
| QsrE_R                   | TCTCTTTGAAAAAGGCTCTCCT                                                               |
| QsrF_F                   | AGATGATATTGTAGGTACAGAAGCAC                                                         |
| QsrF_R                   | GTCCTGTATTATGAGGGCGATC                                                             |
| QsrG_F                   | ACGGCCGGATCAAGAAGATCTGG                                                           |
| QsrG_R                   | ATCGCTTGGATTTCTCATTCCTCC                                                          |
| QsrH_F                   | GCACCTTGAGATAATGCTATTGAGGAGACAG                                                  |
| QsrH_R                   | TGCTGACCTTCTTAGAAGGTTTGCT                                                         |
| EBS universal            | CGAAATTGAAACTTGGCTCAGTAAA                                                          |
| **Cloning**              |                                                                                      |
| QsrB_C_F1                | TATATACCCTGAGGGCTAATTACTAAAGCATATAATACG                                           |
| QsrB_C_R1                | TATATAGGGCGGCTTACTTAAAACTTTTTTTTTTATTATATTTTCTATGTC                                |
| QsrB_C_F2                | CTGCTGTATGAGGAAACTGTC                                                             |
| QsrB_C_R2                | AACATCGGATCCTATTTCTCTACTAAAC                                                     |
| QspB_C_F1                | TGCTACATATGTATTACAAAAAGATGTAATTAATTTATTTAG                                       |
| QspB_C_R1                | TTTTTGAAATTGCGGTGGTTTTGTTAAAAATTTTTAAAAAC                                          |
| **Southern Blot probe generation** |                                                                                      |
| EBS2                     | TGAAGCGCAAGTATTTGCTAAATTCTCTCAGTACGAGGAAAGTGCT                                     |
| Intron Sall-R1           | ATACCTGTAGGTTGACTTGCACCACCTCTTC                                                  |
Figure S1. Schematic representation of *C. saccharoperbutylicum* RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters (A) and alignment of putative signalling peptide precursor sequences (B).

(A) Four RNPP quorum sensing gene clusters have been identified, each encoding an RNPP-type regulator (large arrows) and a signalling peptide precursor (short yellow arrows). The locus tags for each system are provided. Regions encoding a helix-turn-helix motif (HTH, green) and tetratricopeptide repeat domains (red) are indicated. (B) The Clustal Omega amino acid sequence alignment shows the four predicted Qsp proteins. A conserved proline in the C-terminal region is indicated with red font; blue and green fonts indicate positively (K, R) and negatively charged (D, E) amino acids, respectively. Identical (*), conserved (.), and semi-conserved substitutions (.) are shown. Numbers indicate the length of the different precursor proteins. Positively charged, hydrophobic, and predicted signalling peptide-encoding regions are indicated with blue, grey, and red lines, respectively.
Figure S2. Growth of *C. acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 and derived *qsr* mutants in CBM-S medium.
The data represent the mean of three independently cultures. A) Wildtype (closed circles); *qsrA* (open squares), *qsrB* (open circles), *qsrC* (closed triangles) and *qsrD* (open diamonds) mutants. B) Wildtype (closed circles); *qsrE* (open squares), *qsrF* (open circles), *qsrG* (closed triangles) and *qsrH* (open diamonds) mutants.
Figure S3. Solvent and acid production by *C. acetobutylicum* *qsrB* mutants
(A) Concentration of butanol (circles), acetone (squares) and ethanol (triangles) in the culture supernatant at the indicated time points. Open and closed symbols represent *qsrB* mutant and ATTC 824 parent strain data, respectively. (B) Concentration of butyrate (circles) and acetate (squares) in the culture supernatant at the indicated time point. Open and closed symbols represent *qsrB* mutant and ATTC 824 parent strain data, respectively. Data represent the mean of three independent cultures with error bars indicating the standard deviation. Significant differences (p≤ 0.05) compared to the wildtype are indicated by an asterisk next to the relevant data point.
Figure S4. Synthetic peptides alleviate qsrB-mediated repression of solvent formation.

The indicated synthetic peptides dissolved in DMSO were added to cultures of C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141-qsrB after 4 h of growth to a final concentration of 10 μM. Equivalent DMSO controls were performed for C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141 and C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141-qsrB, respectively. Triplicate cultures were grown for 5 days and analysed for final butanol titres. The ability to overcome qsrB-mediated repression of butanol formation was scored in comparison to the DMSO controls as follows: -, no significant difference to the C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141-qsrB DMSO control; ++, final butanol levels 40-66% of the C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141 DMSO control; ++++, final butanol levels 67-100% of the C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141 DMSO control. C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141-qsrB DMSO and C. acetobutylicum pMTL85141 DMSO controls produced 14±8 mM and 123±17 mM of butanol, respectively. The complete QspB sequence is given at the top with the three conserved amino acid positions (leucine, proline, tryptophan) in the C-terminal region indicated by bold red lettering.