Disturbed Communication between Actin- and Nucleotide-binding Sites in a Myosin II with Truncated 50/20-kDa Junction*
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The kinetic and functional consequences of deleting nine residues from an actin-binding surface loop (loop 2) were examined to investigate the role of this region in myosin function. The nucleotide binding properties of myosin were not altered by the deletion. However, the deletion affected actin binding and the communication between the actin- and nucleotide-binding sites. The affinity of M765NL for actin (644 nM) was approximately 100-fold lower than that of wild-type construct M765 (5.8 nM). Despite this reduction in affinity, actin binding weakened the affinity of ADP for the motor to a similar extent for both mutant and wild-type constructs. The addition of 0.5 μM actin decreased ADP affinity from 0.6 to 34 μM for M765NL and from 1.6 to 39 μM for M765. In contrast, communication between the actin- and nucleotide-binding sites appears disturbed in regard to phosphate release: thus, basal ATPase activity for M765NL (0.19 s⁻¹) was 3-fold larger than for M765 (0.06 s⁻¹), and the stimulation of ATPase activity by actin was 5-fold lower for M765NL. These results indicate different paths of communication between the actin- and nucleotide-binding sites, in regard to ADP and Pi release, and they confirm that loop 2 is involved in high affinity actin binding.

Myosins form a superfamily of ATP-driven molecular motors that produce unidirectional movement along actin filaments. Myosins consist of a globular catalytic domain, an extended neck region, and a functionally diverse tail region. The globular motor domain contains both actin- and nucleotide-binding sites. Force and movement are produced by this generic motor domain upon actin-induced ATP hydrolysis and subsequent product release (1, 2). The neck region contains one or more binding sites for calmodulin-like light chains. A rigid elongated structure is formed by the neck region; this amplifies small conformational changes in the globular motor domain, thus producing force and movement along actin filaments in steps of approximately 5–10 nm/ATP hydrolyzed (3, 4). The myosin II fragment, consisting of the motor domain and the neck region, is frequently referred to as subfragment-1 or S1. The functionally diverse tail region is important for formation of ordered assemblies or attachment to specific binding partners. This region of myosin II is for example involved in the formation of thick filaments in muscle (5–7) and in vesicle attachment in the case of myosin I and myosin V (6, 8–10).

Two prominent trypsin-sensitive surface loops form the borders of the 25-, 50-, and 20-kDa subdomains of S1 (11, 12). The first loop, loop 1, spanning the 25/50-kDa junction is situated near the nucleotide-binding site and is involved in determining the rate of ADP release (13, 14). The 50/20-kDa junction, also called loop 2, plays a central role in actin binding and consequently in tuning of the motor activity (15–17).

Myosin binding to actin has been described in a three-state model (18). First a collision complex is formed; this process involves long range electrostatic interactions. The resulting attached (A) state is a low affinity complex that undergoes a conformational change into the high affinity rigor (R) state. The equilibrium between the A and R states is strongly influenced by the nucleotide bound to the myosin motor. Myosin dissociates from actin upon ATP binding. After ATP hydrolysis, rebinding to actin and product release, the high affinity complex (R) is reformed, and simultaneously myosin moves one step forward along the actin filament.

The importance of loop 2 in tuning the enzymatic activity of myosin II was demonstrated in studies in which the native loop 2 was replaced with that from other myosins. Insertion of β-cardiac or skeletal loop 2 in smooth muscle heavy meromyosin led to an unregulated heavy meromyosin (19).

Replacement of Dictyostelium myosin loop 2 with several other loop 2 regions resulted in chimeric myosins with actin-activated ATPase activities that correlated well with the activity of the donor myosins (15). Insertion of positive charges into Dictyostelium myosin loop 2 increased the affinity for actin in the absence and presence of Mg²⁺-ATP. These constructs displayed a 2–3-fold increase in kcat, a more than 10-fold reduction in Kapp for actin, and an up to 70-fold increase in catalytic efficiency (17).

Up to now all mutational analyses of loop 2 involved enlarging of this region. From the atomic structure of the Dictyostelium myosin motor domain, it appears that a minimal size of the loop spanning the 50/20-kDa junction may be required to retain correct communication between the actin- and nucleotide-binding sites (3). Shortening of loop 2 is expected to produce conformational stress and a slight distortion of the myosin motor domain. To test the effect of loop 2 shortening on myosin motor activity, we have now constructed and characterized a Dictyostelium myosin II that has 9 amino acids of this loop exchanged for a single valine residue. ATPase rates, actin binding characteristics, nucleotide binding, in vitro motility, and functional complementation in vivo of this mutant myosin were studied to gain a better understanding of the role of loop 2 in myosin motor function.
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Proteins and Reagents—Rabbit skeletal actin was prepared by the method described by Lehrer and Kerwar (19). Mant-ATP was synthesized using the protocol described by Hiratsuka (20). 4’(iodoacetamido)anilino/naphthalene-6-sulfonic acid used to label actin with a fluorescent pyrene group (21) was from Molecular Probes. G418 was from Life Technologies, Inc., imidazole from BDH Laboratory Supplies (Poole, United Kingdom). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma.

Plasmid Construction—Subcloning was done using standard procedures (22). The vector pBIGMyD containing the complete coding sequence of the *Dictyostelium* myosin heavy chain A was used to construct the loop 2 deletion mutant, NL-myosin. First, the native loop 2 sequence TTCAATGATCCACATGGTGGTGGCAAG, encoding FNDP-NIASK, was changed using oligo-directed mutagenesis into TTC- GAATCTAGACTTAAG, encoding FESRLK. This gene was digested with BstI I and AflII, filled in using DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment and self-ligated. The resulting sequence was TTTCTAAG encoding FVK. Thus residues 613 to 621 were exchanged for a single valine residue. Plasmid pH2D0, a pBlXa-3H derivative, was used for the expression of M765 under the control of the *Dictyostelium* actin 15 promoter (23). M765 includes the first 765 amino acids of the *Dictyostelium mhCA* gene and carries a C-terminal His-7 tag. The NL-mutation was transferred to M765 from the plasmid encoding NL-myosin. The resulting plasmid pH2I encodes M765NL.

Protein Purification—*Dictyostelium* cells were grown on HL-5 medium supplemented with 10% calf serum. Typically 30–40 g of cells were used per purification, yielding up to 2 mg of pure protein per gram of cells (weight). The protein purification was performed as described earlier (25). Briefly, cells were Triton-lysed in the presence of alkaline phosphatase. The expressed myosin was extracted with Mg-ATP from the Triton-insoluble pellet. The His-tagged myosin head fragment (MHF) was purified by Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography. Full-length myosin and NL-myosin were purified as described by Ruppel et al. (26).

Stopped Flow Experiments—Stopped flow experiments were performed at 20 °C with a Hi-tech Scientific SF61 stopped-flow spectrophotometer equipped with a 100 W Xe/Hg lamp and a monochromator. The mant-derivatives of ATP or ADP were excited at 364 nm, pyrene was excited at 365 nm, and the emitted light was detected after passing through a KV389-nm cut-off filter. The rate of MHF binding to pyrene-actin was stopped-flow observation cell. The experimental buffer was 20 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM KCl.

Transient Kinetic Properties of Myosin Head Fragments—*Dictyostelium* MHFs have been shown to follow the same basic mechanism of actin and nucleotide binding that was described for S1 from rabbit fast skeletal muscle myosin and other muscle myosins. The dynamics of ATP binding and hydrolysis by the MHBs were analyzed in terms of the model shown in Scheme 1 (27) in which M represents *Dictyostelium* MHF. In this and the following schemes a notation is used that distinguishes between the constants in the presence and absence of actin by using bold (k₁, k₂, k₃) versus italics type (k₁, k₂, k₃) subscript A and D refer to actin (Rₐ) and ADP (R₃D), respectively.

M + ATP ⇄ M·ATP ⇄ M·ATP M·ADP P₁ k₁ k₂ k₃

Scheme 1

Acto-myosin complexes dissociate upon binding of ATP. This dissociation and the effect of ADP on it were analyzed in terms of models developed by Millar and Geeses (28) and Siemannowski and White (29). A and M in Scheme 2 represent actin and MHF, respectively.

Kₐ A·M + ADP ⇄ A·M·ADP

KₐD

Scheme 2

After mixing A-M and ATP a rapid equilibrium is reached, defined by the equilibrium constant Kᵱ. The following isomerization of the ternary complex limits the maximum rate of actin dissociation from the complex. Thus the observed rate constant for the ATP-induced dissociation of actin is given by Eq. 1.

k₂obs = [ATP][Kᵱk rightful[1 + Kᵱ][ATP]] (Eq. 1)

where Kᵱ represents the association constant for the ternary complex formation. In the presence of ADP, the two nucleotides compete for binding to A-M. Assuming a rapid equilibrium between A-M and the ADP bound state, k₄obs, for a fixed ATP concentration is given by Eq. 2.

k₄obs = k₄/[1 + [ADP][KₐD]] (Eq. 2)

where the k₄obs in the absence of ADP is k₄ and the dissociation constant KₐD represents the affinity for ADP for the acto-myosin complex (29).

Binding of *Dictyostelium* myosin to actin is discussed in terms of the three-state docking model originally formulated for skeletal muscle myosin (18) (Scheme 3).

\[ \frac{K_0}{A + M(N)} \quad \frac{K_1}{A - M(N)} \quad \frac{K_2}{A - M(N)} \quad \frac{K_3}{A - M(N)} \]

Collision complex A state R state

Scheme 3

**RESULTS**

Wild-type and mutant myosin constructs were expressed at similar levels in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cells were lysed in the presence of alkaline phosphatase to remove all ATP, and co- sedimentation with actin was used as the first purification step of MHF. In the case of M765 more than 95% of total MHF precipitated with actin, whereas for M765NL approximately 35% was recovered in the Triton-insoluble pellet. Yields of approximately 3 and 1 mg of MHF/gram of cells were obtained for M765 and M765NL following Mg²⁺-ATP extraction and Ni²⁺-NTA chromatography. The purity of the myosin or MHFs was ≥95% as evaluated by Coomassie staining (data not shown). The M765 constructs were used for nucleotide- and actin binding studies as they are soluble under physiological salt conditions, whereas full-length myosin constructs were used for *in vitro* motility assays and *in vivo* rescue studies.

Binding of mant-ATP to M765 and M765NL resulted in similar changes in fluorescence intensity, and almost identical values of \(9.2 \times 10^{7} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) and \(8.9 \times 10^{7} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) for the second order rate constant for mant-ATP binding \(k_{1,p}\) for M765NL was increased 2.5-fold compared with M765 (0.61 and 1.56 μs, respectively). This increase was mainly because of a 2.5-fold reduction in the rate of mant-ATP dissociation \(k_{1,p}\) from M765NL, 0.50 s⁻¹ compared with 1.21 s⁻¹ for M765. The second order rate constant for mant-ADP binding \(k_{1,p}\) was virtually identical to that of M765. Values of \(8.2 \times 10^{7} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) versus \(7.8 \times 10^{7} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) were obtained for the mutant and wild-type construct, respectively (Fig. 1B and Table 1).

Myosin-actin binding characteristics can be monitored by measuring the fluorescence quenching that occurs when myosin binds pyrene-labeled actin (32). Fluorescence quenching is thought to occur when myosin undergoes a conformational change from the attached weakly bound A-state to the strongly bound rigor R-state (18). The actin binding parameters determined for wild-type construct M765 were similar to those obtained with an S1-like *Dictyostelium* myosin II construct (17, 27). In contrast, addition of M765NL to pyrene-actin did not produce any fluorescence quenching. Co-precipitation of actin and M765NL demonstrated that actin binding occurs, but much less effective than with wild-type M765. Addition of excess ATP completely...
released the bound M765NL from actin (data not shown).

The rate of actin binding to M765 and M765NL was measured by light scattering to confirm the reduced affinity of M765NL for actin. The time-dependent increase in light scattering that follows mixing of the myosin constructs with an excess of pyrene-actin could be described by a single exponential function. The $k_{obs}$ values increased linearly with increasing concentrations of actin over the range from 0.5 to 2.5 $\mu$M for M765 and up to 10 $\mu$M actin in the case of M765NL (Fig. 2). The slopes of the lines define the second order rate constants, $k_{+A}$, for actin binding (27). Values of $3.6 \pm 0.31 \times 10^6$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $0.43 \pm 0.01 \times 10^6$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ were obtained for M765 and M765NL, respectively. Because interaction of M765NL with pyrene-actin does not produce a change in the fluorescence signal, the rate of dissociation of the acto-myosin complex ($k_{-A}$) was determined in a competition experiment. In this experiment acto-M765NL complex was mixed with increasing concentrations of M765 (5 to 40 $\mu$M) and quenching of pyrene-actin fluorescence upon binding of M765 was recorded. The recorded processes were clearly biphasic and could be fitted to a biexponential function. The first phase of the observed process represents the binding of M765 to noncomplexed pyrene-actin. The $k_{obs}$ values for the fast phase increased with higher concentrations of M765 whereas the $k_{obs}$ for the slow phase was $0.85$ s$^{-1}$ in the presence of 2 $\mu$M M765 and decreased to $0.3$ s$^{-1}$ at 10 $\mu$M M765 (Fig. 2B). This decrease in $k_{obs}$ with increasing [M765] could be described by a hyperbola with a dissociation equilibrium constant $K_A$ of approximately 490 nM. The rate constant for actin dissociation from M765NL ($k_{-A}$) is defined by $k_{max}$ ($0.29$ s$^{-1}$). A dissociation rate constant $k_{-A}$ of $0.29$ s$^{-1}$ and an association rate constant $k_{+A}$ of $0.45 \times 10^6$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ predict a value for $K_A$ of 644 nM (Table I). The corresponding values for M765 were determined as $0.02$ s$^{-1}$ for $k_{-A}$ and 5.8 nM for $K_A$ as described earlier (17).

To study the communication between nucleotide- and actin-binding sites of M765NL, we examined the ATP-induced dissociation of acto-MHF as described in Scheme II. Preformed acto-MHF complex was mixed with increasing concentrations of ATP and the decrease in light scattering was monitored as the complex dissociated. The observed rate constants were linearly dependent on ATP concentrations in the range up to 25 $\mu$M (Fig. 3A). The second order binding constant $K_Ak_{-A}$ (see Scheme II) was increased 5-fold for M765NL to $5.1 \times 10^6$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. At higher concentrations of ATP (>1 mM) the observed rate constants saturate (Fig. 3B) and the [ATP] dependence of $k_{obs}$ could be described by a hyperbola (Eq. 1) as predicted by Scheme II, where $k_{max} = k_{-A}$ and $K_{ATP} = 1/K_A$. For M765NL both $K_A$ and $k_{-A}$ were increased compared with M765 (Table I).

The affinity of ADP for the pyrene-actin-MHF ($K_{AD}$) was determined from the competitive inhibition of ATP-induced dissociation of acto-MHF as shown in Fig. 4. Again the decrease in light scattering was monitored. The resulting data were fitted to Eq. 2 as shown under “Experimental Procedures.” Dissociation constants of 38 and 34 $\mu$M were obtained for M765 and M765NL, respectively (Table I).

Steady-state ATPase activity was measured for wild-type and mutant myosin constructs. First the high-salt Ca$^{2+}$ ATPase rate was determined. Hydrolysis of ATP in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ but in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and high concentrations of salt results in high hydrolysis rates, because these conditions favor the release of hydrolysis products (33). High salt-Ca$^{2+}$ ATPase did not significantly differ for M765 and M765NL, indicating that the mutant does not have a defect in the mechanism of hydrolysis (Table II). M765NL and full-length NL-myosin showed elevated basal levels of Mg$^{2+}$-ATPase hydrolysis activity when compared with the wild-type constructs (Table II). M765NL and NL-myosin showed little stimulation of their ATPase activity by actin. At concentrations much lower than $K_{app}$, the dependence of the apparent ATPase rate on actin concentration can be fitted to a straight line and the apparent second order rate constant $k_{app}/K_{app}$ of the reaction can be determined from the slope of this line. M765NL gave a 5–6-fold decreased value of 0.045 $\times 10^5$ $s^{-1}$ compared with M765 (0.23 $\times 10^5$ $M^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), and the results suggest a more than 5-fold increase in $K_{app}$ for M765NL (Fig. 5).

The deletion also affects the motor function of myosin. In vitro motility studies demonstrated that the NL-myosin binds actin, but all actin filaments diffused away instantly upon ATP addition (data not shown). Furthermore, NL-myosin did not interfere with motility of wild-type myosin when mixed in an in vitro motility experiment (data not shown). This behavior of NL-myosin in in vitro motility experiments can be explained by its low affinity for actin and high rate for ATP-induced dissociation of acto-M765NL (34). The deletion in the 5020-kDa junction strongly affects myosin function in vivo also. Dictyostelium offers the unique possibility to assess myosin function in vivo (35, 36). Dictyostelium cells lacking the myosin II gene show a defined phenotype. Myosin II null cells are unable to grow in suspension culture, are often multinucleated, show a defect in the capping of cell surface receptors and cannot complete the developmental cycle (37, 38). Introduction of wild-type myosin in myosin II null cells completely rescues these phenotypic changes (35). When the NL-myosin was reintroduced into the myosin null cells, none of the developmental defects were rescued, and the transformants were not able to grow in sus-
increasing concentrations of actin were incubated with 0.25 m
librium constant [M765] could be described by a hyperbola with a dissociation equi-
function. The first phase of the observed process represents the binding
processes were clearly biphasic and could be fitted to a bi-exponential

The deletion of part of loop 2 should result in local structural
perturbations that interfere with normal communication be-
which the 50/20-kDa junction is truncated. Previously we had
examined the effect on myosin function of increasing the length
and altering the charge of the loop 2 region and found that
extensions of the loop 2 region by up to 20 residues did not alter
kinetic behavior of the myosin motor. In contrast there was a
clear correlation between the charge of loop 2, the activation by
actin of the mutant constructs' ATPase rates and the strength
of interaction of mutant motor domains and actin (17). Crys-
tallographic studies of the myosin motor domain predict that a
minimal size of loop 2 is required for normal motor function.

pension (data not shown). This indicates that NL-myosin is not
functional in vivo.

DISCUSSION

In this report we describe the kinetic and functional analysis
of myosin mutant constructs M765NL and NL-myosin, in
The present transient kinetic analysis of M765NL demonstrates that nucleotide binding to MHF is not affected by partial deletion of loop 2. The affinities of M765NL for both mant-ADP and mant-ATP are very similar to the values determined for M765 and 0.61 to 34 μM for M765NL (Table I). Thus, although M765NL displays a large decrease in actin affinity, actin is still effective in displacing ADP from the mutant myosin motor, by inducing a 56-fold weakening of ADP binding. The ratio of $K_{A1}/K_{P}$ indicates that coupling between actin binding and ADP release is normal or slightly increased in M765NL. In contrast, the 3-fold elevated basal ATPase activity of M765NL, and the failure of actin to enhance ATPase activity to the normal extent suggest that the communication between actin- and nucleotide-binding sites is disturbed in regard to phosphate release.

In summary, deletion of 9 amino acids from the loop spanning the 50/20-kDa junction results in a myosin with almost normal nucleotide binding and hydrolysis properties but approximately 100-fold decreased actin affinity. Two independent routes of communication between the actin- and nucleotide-binding sites are apparent from this work. One route is responsible for the actin-induced phosphate release from the nucleotide-binding site. This first route of communication is disturbed by the deletion of part of loop 2. The second route, which appears to function normally in M765NL, is responsible for the actin-dependent decrease in ADP affinity that facilitates the dissociation of ADP.
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