Amyand’s hernia (AH) was first described in 1735 by Claudius Amyand in an 11-year-old male patient. The incidence of acute appendicitis (AA) within an inguinal hernia is approximately 0.13%. Preoperative diagnosis is rather difficult despite advances in imaging modalities. This case is presented to emphasise this rare comorbidity and to highlight that AH should be considered in cases with a foreign body in the inguinal canal and inguinal abscess.

Case Report

A 2-year-old girl was admitted to a paediatric haematology clinic one year ago with complaints of swelling and redness in her right groin, and antibiotic treatment was administered. Clinical findings improved, and the patient was discharged. Two months previously, antibiotic treatment was started again due to the same complaints. Since needle biopsy results were compatible with abscess, the patient was referred to our clinic. The general condition of the patient was well, and her body temperature was 38.5°C. Physical examination revealed swelling, redness and local temperature increase in the right inguinal region (Fig. 1). Blood biochemistry values were normal. White blood cell count was 16530/mm³, erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 60 mm/h, and C-reactive protein level was 71.5 mg/L. Direct abdominal radiography revealed an opacity in the right inguinal region, which was attributed to a foreign body (Fig. 2). Inguinal ultrasonography (US) performed with linear probe revealed a fluid collection with high-density content in the edematous subcutaneous fat tissue and accompanying multiple lymph nodes. Pelvic computed tomography (CT) revealed a 31×35×42 mm (anterioposterior x
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transverse x longitudinal) mass with soft tissue density in the subcutaneous compartment of right lower quadrant. A 10-mm foreign body with hyperdense appearance was located posterior to this lesion and close to the anterior abdominal wall in the abdomen. On laparotomy, the intra-abdominal area was clean. The appendix was found to be herniating in the hernial sac (AH) through the opening in the right internal inguinal ring. The appendix was reduced and noted to be perforated, and an abscess in the inguinal canal was detected. Appendectomy, abscess drainage and inguinal hernia repair were performed. The foreign body (metal lid??) found in the lower right quadrant on perioperative radiography was not detected on radiography following appendectomy. Examination of the removed appendix showed that the foreign body was located distal to the appendix (Fig. 3). The patient was discharged on 6th postoperative day.

Informed consent was obtained from the patient’s parents for publication.

Discussion

Faecal deposits, infections, tumours, and rarely foreign bodies (0.005%) constitute underlying causes in the pathophysiology of appendicitis. AH is a rare pathology. AH is defined as the inflamed or non-inflamed appendix entering the inguinal canal through the inguinal hernial sac. AH occurring with a foreign body is a much rarer condition. AH is frequently detected in boys and on the right side due to the anatomical location of the ovarian tissue in girls. The vast majority of AH cases are diagnosed within the first 6 months of life (85.7%).

Fig. 1. Perioperative view (abscess and incision site in the right inguinal area).

Fig. 2. Abdominal X-ray image demonstrates a radiopaque foreign body (arrow) in the right lower quadrant.

Fig. 3. Image of the foreign body (arrow) in the appendicular lumen.
AH is usually identified during surgery. Ultrasonography and CT are used for the identification of AH. However, imaging modalities are not routinely used for the diagnosis of inguinal hernias. Unlike those in the literature, the present study reported the case of a 2-year-old female. The appendix had entered the right inguinal canal, and perforated appendicitis and abscess had developed due to the foreign body in it. The clinical picture, which was treated at the haematology clinic one year ago, was probably due to the same cause. However, radiography was not performed at that time. Foreign body in the right inguinal region and associated abscess development was predicted before the surgery; however, AH was defined perioperatively.

Differential diagnosis of inguinal masses in children include hernia (inguinal or femoral), lymphadenopathy, hydrocele, cyst of the canal of Nuck, abscess, hematoma, and malignant soft tissue tumors such as sarcoma. The reasons for inguinal lymphadenopathy were local infections, bugbite, diaper dermatitis, syphilis, lymphogranuloma venereum, autoimmune diseases, storage disorders and malignancies. Abdominal X-ray examinations may be performed if hernia is suspected and visualization of herniated bowel aimed. Most frequently preferred imaging technique in evaluation of inguinal mass is US. With its real-time imaging ability US is a mainstay imaging technique in inguinal hernia since herniating bowel or intraabdominal fat content may be visualized during valsalva maneuver. US may be also used as a first-line imaging technique in the diagnosis of other inguinal mass-forming lesions such as enlarged lymph nodes, hydrocele, abscess and hematoma. CT or MRI may be required to interrogate the origin of the inguinal abscesses. Soft tissue sarcomas involving inguinal region should be evaluated with CT to determine the extent of these masses in the abdomen.

The prevailing opinion in the treatment of AH is that appendectomy should not be performed in cases in which AA findings are absent, and the appendix can be reduced into the abdomen. Amyand hernia is frequently detected incidentally during inguinal hernia operations. For this reason, inguinal approach is performed in AH cases. Appendectomy through the sac and high ligation procedures can be performed through inguinal transverse incision performed during inguinal hernia treatment. In the present case, laparotomy was planned due to the presence of a foreign body in the inguinal region extending into the abdomen. Appendectomy, abscess drainage and debridement and intra-abdominal inguinal hernia repair were performed.

In conclusion, AH should be considered in cases with recurrent inguinal abscess regardless of the gender of the child.
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