Diagnosis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension
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ABSTRACT Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is the only potentially curable form of pulmonary hypertension. Rapid and accurate diagnosis is pivotal for successful treatment. Clinical signs and symptoms can be nonspecific and risk factors such as history of venous thromboembolism may not always be present. Echocardiography is the recommended first diagnostic step. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing is a complementary tool that can help to identify patients with milder abnormalities and chronic thromboembolic disease, triggering the need for further investigation. Ventilation/perfusion ($V′/Q′$) scintigraphy is the imaging methodology of choice to exclude CTEPH. Single photon emission computed tomography $V′/Q′$ is gaining popularity over planar imaging. Assessment of pulmonary haemodynamics by right heart catheterisation is mandatory, although there is increasing interest in noninvasive haemodynamic evaluation. Despite the status of digital subtraction angiography as the gold standard, techniques such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging are increasingly used for characterising the pulmonary vasculature and assessment of operability. Promising new tools include dual-energy CT, combination of rotational angiography and cone beam CT, and positron emission tomography. These innovative procedures not only minimise misdiagnosis, but also provide additional vascular information relevant to treatment planning. Further research is needed to determine how these modalities will fit into the diagnostic algorithm for CTEPH.

Introduction

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is the only form of pulmonary hypertension that is potentially curable. However, without appropriate treatment, CTEPH has an estimated 5-year survival of 30% in patients with mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) >40 mmHg and 10% with mPAP >50 mmHg [1].

In recent times, there has been a resurgence of interest in the condition. This is the result of modification of existing treatments, such as pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA), to produce better clinical outcomes [2], the emergence of newer techniques such as balloon pulmonary angioplasty (BPA) and advances in pharmacotherapy. Swift and accurate diagnosis is a fundamental precondition for early establishment of relevant treatment. However, despite recent therapeutic progress, CTEPH remains underdiagnosed for a
multitude of reasons, encompassing clinical barriers, an ill-informed imaging community, nonuniformity in diagnostics despite international guidelines and delay in referral to expert centres. This review summarises the diagnostic algorithm and nonimaging and functional examinations necessary for patients with CTEPH. It also focuses on the necessary imaging criteria and modalities required to formulate a diagnosis and determine extent and severity of disease, thereby assisting management decisions. Up-and-coming imaging developments are explored.

The way into the diagnostic process: symptoms and clinical findings leading to the suspicion of CTEPH

Although a definitive prediction of the true incidence of CTEPH after acute pulmonary embolism is difficult, due to variations in the nature and size of screened populations, imaging modalities and criteria used for diagnosis and follow-up duration, the estimated value ranges from 0.4% to 8.8% [3, 4]. While up to 25% of patients with CTEPH may have no history of previous pulmonary embolism [5], a substantial number of patients diagnosed with acute pulmonary embolism might already have underlying chronic disease with previously unidentified pulmonary hypertension [6, 7].

Estimated incidences of CTEPH, based on numbers of acute thromboembolic events and reported data derived from follow-up visits, are seemingly different to the actual number of diagnosed cases in expert centres [3–5]. This might be partly explained by lack of clinical awareness of CTEPH and inadequate technical knowledge of the various diagnostic modalities among community care physicians. Although tremendous progress has been made during recent years in developing advanced investigative tools and strategies, patients must still be referred for relevant tests based on clinical suspicion, with the important caveat that none of the noninvasive modalities have 100% sensitivity.

Some patients enter the diagnostic process with unclear symptoms suggestive of pulmonary hypertension, while others are suspected to have CTEPH based on their history, risk factors and clinical examination. Symptoms of CTEPH include exertional breathlessness, fatigue and angina [5, 8], with syncope and oedema reported in late stages of disease progression. These nonspecific symptoms can be potentially misleading, hence appropriate evaluation is often delayed and the correct diagnosis is made only after a protracted journey [5, 8, 9]. Presentation of comorbidities might also complicate the diagnosis. A high level of suspicion should be entertained in the evaluation of patients with risk factors such as recurrent venous thromboembolism, antiphospholipid antibodies and lupus anticoagulant, inflammatory bowel disease, ventriculoatrial shunt, cardiac pacemaker, a history of splenectomy or thyroid hormone replacement [10]. Patients who are diagnosed with acute pulmonary embolism but show signs of chronic disease [6] should be explored for CTEPH.

ECG signs of right heart strain include P-pulmonale, right bundle branch block, T-wave abnormalities in the chest leads and right-axis deviation [11–13]. Pulmonary vascular disease should be considered in patients with dyspnoea and normal flow and volumes but reduced transfer factor on lung function tests [14–16]. While decreased carbon dioxide levels are a recognised feature of idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension [17], hyperventilation and ineffective ventilation are even more pronounced in CTEPH [18]. Central sleep apnoea and Cheyne–Stokes respiration can be caused by CTEPH and should trigger further investigation [19].

Echocardiography

For patients with symptoms, signs and a history suggestive of pulmonary hypertension or CTEPH, or in those with dyspnoea of uncertain origin, the current guidelines recommend echocardiography as the first diagnostic step [11]. Evaluations include estimating peak velocity of tricuspid valve regurgitation, calculation of atrioventricular pressure gradients and detection of indirect signs of pulmonary hypertension such as right atrial and right ventricular dilatation, reduced right ventricular contractility and Doppler flow abnormalities in the right ventricular outflow tract [11]. However, these findings may be absent in the early stages of disease and echocardiography has been shown to miss pulmonary hypertension in as many as 10–31% of cases [16, 20, 21].

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing

Hyperventilation in pulmonary arterial hypertension and CTEPH typically appears as ineffective ventilation [18–21]. Hence, cases of hyperventilation should be examined carefully to avoid being misclassified as having an idiopathic or psychogenic cause. In contrast to patients with psychogenic hyperventilation, patients with ineffective ventilation due to pulmonary vascular obstruction present with elevated alveolar–capillary gradients of oxygen and carbon dioxide [18–21]. This might be helpful to identify those with underlying pulmonary vascular disease (figure 1). In a retrospective study, cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) was able to detect CTEPH despite normal echocardiography [21]. Although prospective evaluation of larger
cohorts is still lacking. CPET is a promising complementary diagnostic tool for functional evaluation of patients with pulmonary vascular disease.

The entity of chronic thromboembolic disease (CTED) has been described and is characterised by chronic thromboembolic pulmonary vascular obstruction with normal resting pulmonary artery pressures [22]. Despite normal resting haemodynamics, this cohort of patients does show improvement after PEA [22]. Standard echocardiography will miss this diagnosis, but CPET can provide invaluable assistance in revealing similar findings in patients with CTED and CTEPH [23, 24].

When pulmonary hypertension is confirmed by the abovementioned investigations, it is imperative to exclude CTEPH unless there is sufficient evidence of left heart or pulmonary disease [11]. Imaging not only helps to detect chronic thromboembolism, but can also help with the choice of suitable therapy.

Imaging

Ventilation/perfusion scintigraphy

Ventilation/perfusion ($V'/Q'$) scintigraphy is the imaging methodology of choice for exclusion of CTEPH. A normal $V'/Q'$ scan effectively excludes CTEPH with a sensitivity of 90–100% and a specificity of 94–100% [11]. The European Society of Cardiology guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism advocate $V'/Q'$ as the first-line test for diagnosing CTEPH following acute pulmonary embolism [25]. However, in the wider clinical setting there is reduced utilisation of $V'/Q'$ scintigraphy leading to a diagnostic care gap, as some clinicians deem it to be irrelevant despite the standard recommendations [26].

It is important to remember that although the typical natural history of acute pulmonary embolism is complete or near complete resolution within 30 days [27], >50% of patients can have persistent perfusion defects 6 months after the initial event, after which time thrombus resolution reaches a plateau phase [28]. The cardinal finding in pulmonary embolism is that of preserved ventilation and absent perfusion within a lung segment. However, it is well recognised that such a $V'/Q'$ mismatch can occur in a variety of conditions, including pulmonary artery sarcoma, vasculitis, venoocclusive disease, fibrosing mediastinitis and congenital pulmonary vascular abnormalities (figure 2). Although some centres only perform the perfusion component of the $V'/Q'$ scan, the ventilation data are often useful in defining the lung borders, aiding the detection of smaller peripheral perfusion defects. Furthermore, ventilation data provide additional information about alternative cardiopulmonary conditions, such as chronic obstructive lung disease, heart failure and pneumonia. Overall, the combination of ventilation and perfusion improves the specificity of the technique [29].

Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) $V'/Q'$ has increasingly gained popularity over planar imaging in the setting of acute pulmonary embolism due to its higher sensitivity and specificity, particularly in the presence of comorbidities [30, 31]. A well-known pitfall of planar $V'/Q'$ is “shine-through masking”, which occurs due to the superposition of abnormal areas of perfusion over regions with normal perfusion, resulting in underestimation of the presence and extent of pulmonary embolism. Likewise, misinterpretation can happen where there is nonocclusive disease resulting in a rather underwhelming $V'/Q'$. These limitations can be overcome with the multiplanar capability of SPECT, as it can provide better defect
delineation with less interobserver variation, in addition to improved quantification [32]. While there is extensive literature on the diagnostic performance of planar $V'/Q'$, the data for $V'/Q'$ SPECT in CTEPH are sparse. Extrapolating the diagnostic performance of SPECT $V'/Q'$ in acute pulmonary embolism, it is likely that SPECT will supersede planar imaging over the next few years.

Irrespective of the technique, $V'/Q'$ reporting should follow holistic principles rather than antiquated rules. The European Association of Nuclear Medicine guidelines for $V'/Q'$ strongly encourage a move away from probabilistic scores and promote “Gestalt” interpretation, based on the integration of different sets of criteria and the observer’s own experience [33]. In the context of CTEPH, there is a consensus that $V'/Q'$ readings should be binary, i.e. positive or negative. Although this may seem like an oversimplification in the setting of pulmonary hypertension, this approach can work, as a negative $V'/Q'$ result excludes group 4 conditions while a positive $V'/Q'$ requires downstream anatomical testing.

Pulmonary vascular macro- and microcirculation

The morphology of the diseased pulmonary arteries can be characterised noninvasively, using computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) angiography, or invasively, using traditional catheter pulmonary angiography. The CTEPH diagnostic criteria first described by AUGER et al. [34] in 1992 remain fundamentally similar to those currently used, albeit with a few modifications that have evolved with technological advances. The principal criteria are complete occlusion, pouch defects, intimal irregularity, intravascular webs and bands, stenosis and post-stenotic dilatation and abrupt tapering and truncation.

CT pulmonary angiography

Wide availability and ease of performance, in conjunction with robustly high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy, have made CT pulmonary angiography (CTPA) the imaging technique of choice for acute pulmonary embolism. In contrast, its role in CTEPH is less well defined.

Optimisation of acquisition and post-processing parameters are pivotal to avoid misdiagnosis. Short breath hold (3–5 s) acquisition reduces respiratory motion artefacts. Thin collimation and thin-slice ($\leq 1$ mm) reconstruction in a soft-tissue kernel and analysis in the three-dimensional mode improve the display of

FIGURE 2 A 53-year-old patient with fibrosing mediastinitis. a) Anterior and b) posterior views from a perfusion scintigraphy scan show multiple segmental perfusion defects [arrows]. c) Volume-rendered computed tomography angiography and d) magnetic resonance pulmonary angiography demonstrate attenuated pulmonary vasculature [arrows] with calcified mediastinal lymph nodes [arrowhead].
to note that while CTPA has been proven to be noninferior to and sharply defined) and mosaic attenuation. The dynamic combination of DECT for morphological vascular diagnosis of CTEPH will not be missed due to the presence of typical perfusion defects (discrete, segmental defects and hypo-attenuating areas of mosaic attenuation are indicative of a vascular cause, while discordance in the vascular perfusion pattern allows for qualitative assessment of hypoperfused lungs comparable to conventional grey-scale images, colour-coded overlays highlighting the iodine distribution and virtual bands, wedge-shaped infarcts, cavitating lesions and peripheral linear opacities are not specific, but are indicative of parenchymal scars. All of these findings require interrogation of the data in the appropriate “lung window” mode.

CTPA has high sensitivity and specificity in detecting thromboembolic disease at the lobar (97–100% and 95–100%, respectively) and segmental (86–100% and 93–99%, respectively) levels [35, 40, 41]. It is important to note that while CTPA has been proven to be noninferior to $V'/Q'$ in diagnosing CTEPH [42], a negative CTPA does not exclude CTEPH, as subsegmental disease can be missed if reliance is placed only on CT.

Once the diagnosis of CTEPH is confirmed, CTPA can be used for assessment of operability. CT can provide a vascular roadmap for surgical planning and is the best modality for delineation of the proximal extent of the organised thromboembolic material [35], with good endarterectomy plane correlation. However, it must be emphasised that the use of CT for estimation of surgical suitability requires considerable imaging expertise and is usually best performed by high-volume, experienced institutions.

There is a large knowledge gap among the imaging community in the interpretation of CT in CTEPH, as the rarity of disease combined with the lack of expertise results in variable exposure to the condition among radiologists.

**Dual-energy CT**

Dual-energy CT (DECT) is a promising emerging tool that evaluates the material decomposition of iodine by simultaneous acquisition of two datasets at different tube voltages. The processed data generate conventional grey-scale images, colour-coded overlays highlighting the iodine distribution and virtual nonenhanced images from post-contrast images by using iodine-subtraction techniques. The resulting vascular perfusion pattern allows for qualitative assessment of hypoperfused lungs comparable to scintigraphy [43, 44]. The calculation of iodine distribution in the lung parenchyma (pulmonary/perfused blood volume (PBV) maps) offers qualitative and quantitative insights into pulmonary haemodynamics [45]. The PBV score has been shown to correlate with mPAP and PVR measurements on right heart catheterisation, and hence can be used to estimate CTEPH severity [46], although there is no direct prospective evidence to suggest that PBV defects on the initial presentation predict CTEPH evolution.

In addition, a strong correlation exists between PBV imaging and mosaic attenuation [44]. Concordant PBV defects and hypo-attenuating areas of mosaic attenuation are indicative of a vascular cause, while discordance suggests small airways disease. The combination of DECT intravascular and parenchymal enhancement can also be used to determine whether hypoperfused regions have corresponding focal vascular obstruction. This will be of particular benefit in patients with distal CTEPH, where there is no overt intraluminal clot, but the diagnosis of CTEPH will not be missed due to the presence of typical perfusion defects (discrete, segmental and sharply defined) and mosaic attenuation. The dynamic combination of DECT for morphological vascular evaluation and exquisite PBV functional information is more advantageous compared to sequential $V'/Q'$ scintigraphy and traditional CTPA (figure 3). This concept is the subject of ongoing evaluation.
Digital subtraction angiography
Catheter pulmonary angiography is still considered the gold standard for the assessment of pulmonary vasculature, but its routine use for diagnosis of CTEPH is being challenged by advances in noninvasive technology (figure 4). In a study of 24 patients, CT angiography (CTA) outperformed digital subtraction angiography (DSA) for detection of CTEPH [35]. The sensitivity of DSA ranged from 66% at main/lobar and 76% at segmental level, compared with 100% for CTA at comparable levels. DSA had a slight advantage over CTA at depicting subsegmental arteries (DSA 97%; CTA 80%), but the specificity of both techniques was excellent (100%). One acknowledged reason for the relatively lower sensitivities of DSA in this study compared with previous work is that the technical quality of DSA was lower than that for noninvasive techniques. The authors rightly felt that this was a reflection of routine clinical practice, particularly in centres where the interventional skill of imaging specialists has been largely superseded by CT, particularly in light of its relative ease of performance and accessibility. However, it must be emphasised that one supreme advantage of DSA is the ability to measure pulmonary arterial haemodynamics during right heart catheterisation. In addition, there has been a resurgence of interest in traditional angiography, due to the advent of BPA as a viable treatment option for selected patients with CTEPH who are unsuitable for surgery.
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**Figure 3** A 45-year-old with pulmonary hypertension. **a, b)** Dual-energy computed tomography pulmonary angiography and **c)** corresponding magnetic resonance pulmonary angiography confirm chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. There is dilatation of the main pulmonary artery (PA), dilated right atrium (RA) and right ventricle (RV) with right ventricular hypertrophy, eccentric thrombus in right lower lobe and left main pulmonary artery [arrows], multiple segmental perfusion defects (*), proximal pulmonary arterial stenosis and webs [arrowheads].
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**Figure 4** **a)** Magnetic resonance and **b)** catheter pulmonary angiography and **c)** computed tomography and **d)** catheter pulmonary angiography in two different cases of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. There is good disease correlation on all three modalities, e.g. pouch defect on magnetic resonance image [arrows] and proximal stenosis in left lower lobe artery [arrowhead].
Right heart catheterisation

To confirm the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension, and CTEPH in particular, right heart catheterisation is mandatory [11]. Measurement of pulmonary arterial occlusion pressure (PAOP) is necessary to exclude post-capillary pulmonary hypertension resulting from comorbidities. As in CTEPH, intravascular obstructions might confound the correct estimation of PAOP in some patients: left ventricular end-diastolic pressure in such patients should be obtained by left ventricular catheterisation. The guidelines recommend a complete haemodynamic evaluation by right heart catheterisation including cardiac output [11], because PVR is important to assess prognosis and the risks associated with PEA [47].

Rotational angiography and cone beam CT

This combination utilises flat-panel technology to provide intraprocedural images using either three-dimensional rotational angiography (with contrast injection to visualise the blood vessels and high-contrast structures, such as bone and calcification) or cone-beam CT (without contrast injection to obtain CT-like soft-tissue contrast resolution). The CT images have high spatial resolution, but lower contrast resolution, increasing susceptibility to beam-hardening artefacts and noise. Image merging produces a three-dimensional roadmap that can be used in conjunction with real-time fluoroscopy, and provides the possibility of live monitoring from any angle and magnification. This can provide invaluable guidance for complex pulmonary vascular interventions [48–50].

Cardiac MR imaging

The place of MR imaging in the CTEPH management algorithm is very much dependent on local practice. Positive factors, such as wider availability and progressive technological improvement, with shorter acquisition times and larger volume coverage, have facilitated its growing acceptance.

With inherently high contrast resolution and spatial resolution, MR imaging has emerged as the reference standard in the assessment of right ventricular size and systolic function through the use of segmented balanced steady-state free precession cine sequences [51–53]. Cine imaging allows for morphological analysis of the right ventricle, which can be dilated and hypertrophied, with tricuspid regurgitation. Chronic right ventricle pressure overload leads to mechanical dyssynchrony due to flattening or convex bowing of the interventricular septum. This causes impairment of left ventricular diastolic filling with resultant reduction in left ventricular stroke volume and ejection fraction, and paradoxical movement of the interventricular septum. Semiquantitative analysis can provide an accurate and consistent estimation of biventricular functional parameters.

Contrast-enhanced MR angiography (ce-MRA) is the most effective MR technique for evaluation of the pulmonary macrocirculation. With acquisition times of ~12–14 s for a high-resolution dataset, it is possible to get diagnostic images even in patients with dyspnoea. Evaluation of the source data and multiplanar reformations are pivotal to extract the maximum morphological information, while rotating maximum-intensity projections can furnish an overview of the pulmonary vasculature in its entirety (online supplementary figure S1 and video). MRA is superior to DSA in depicting the precise proximal beginning of the thromboembolic material, with good correlation with origin of the dissection plane during PEA [54].

In the study by LEY et al. [35] comparing CTA, ce-MRA and DSA, the sensitivity and specificity of MRA for diagnosing disease at main/lobar level were 83.1% and 98.6%, respectively, and at the segmental level were 87.7% and 98.1%, respectively. The subsegmental arteries were demonstrated only in 75% of cases, compared
with 87% by DSA. By obtaining a delayed phase sequence, MRA can delineate the bronchial circulation, with the ability to then calculate the bronchopulmonary shunt fraction using phase-contrast MR imaging.

The evaluation of pulmonary microcirculation involves application of time-resolved MRA techniques that can produce data regarding the perfusion of the lung parenchyma and a supplementary low spatial resolution image of the pulmonary arteries. CTEPH perfusion defects are segmental compared with the patchy deficits seen in pulmonary arterial hypertension [55]. Absolute quantification of perfusion is feasible, but requires determination of the arterial input function and is not routinely performed in clinical practice. Due to its unique advantages of noninvasiveness, lack of exposure to ionising radiation, superior diagnostic accuracy and consistent reproducibility, MR can be used for serial follow-up of patients before and after surgical treatment of CTEPH, as it can detect changes in parameters reflecting cardiac remodelling and pulmonary clearance [56]. After successful PEA, there is a reduction in pulmonary arterial diameter with concomitant increase in pulmonary peak velocities. The postoperative reduction in mPAP correlates well with the increase in right ventricular ejection fraction [57].

The fast multispectral capability of MR imaging lends itself to functional imaging of the lungs using hyperpolarised noble gases such as helium-3 and xenon-129, with the potential to produce regional information on normal and abnormal lung ventilation. This evolving application holds enormous potential for enhancing sensitivity and contrast in pulmonary imaging.

**Positron emission tomography**

There is increasing interest in the utility of metabolic imaging in pulmonary hypertension. A recent study demonstrated increased uptake of glucose and fatty acids (using $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and β-methyl-p-$^{123}$I-iodophenyl-pentadecanoic acid (BMIPP), respectively) in the right ventricle in patients with CTEPH with reduction in uptake post-PEA [58]. BMIPP accumulation in the right ventricle also correlates well with pulmonary haemodynamics [59]. Interesting though these findings are, the role of positron emission tomography (PET) in CTEPH is primarily to distinguish it from other conditions that can mimic the disease and so avoid misdiagnosis and minimise delay in the establishment of appropriate treatment (figure 5).

Pulmonary artery sarcoma (PAS) exhibits a distinct difference in the specific uptake value ($\text{SUV}_{\text{max}}$) on PET in comparison to CTEPH. In general, $\text{SUV}_{\text{max}}$ of PAS is approximately three-fold or more compared with thrombi [60]. Using an $\text{SUV}_{\text{max}}$ cut-off of 3.5, PET–CT has been shown to have sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 100% in the diagnosis of malignant pulmonary artery lesions, with an average value of 10.2 (range 4.9–42.5) for PAS versus 1.7 (range 1.3–2.1) for thromboembolic disease [61]. Although thrombi in general do not bind FDG avidly, proximal vessels with thrombi show higher $\text{SUV}_{\text{max}}$ compared with vessels without thrombi [62]. Pulmonary infarctions can exhibit high FDG uptake and be mistaken for satellite metastatic nodules in the lung periphery. This uptake is attributed to the composition of the thrombus (organised tissue and inflammatory cells) and is proportional to the numbers of macrophages or neutrophils, rather than the lesion size [63].

Other conditions that show high vascular FDG uptake are forms of vasculitis, such as Takayasu’s arteritis, due to macrophage-rich areas within an area of inflammation. There is usually more pronounced uptake in the thoracic vessels, particularly at the level of the aortic arch and subclavian arteries, and this is prevalent in patients with predominantly systemic complaints [64]. It is important to remember that quiescent vasculitis may not show any avidity for FDG.

**Noninvasive assessment of pulmonary haemodynamics**

Attempts to correlate noninvasively measured haemodynamic parameters with right heart catheter data have met with limited success. The small number of comparable studies, paucity of prospective clinical cohorts, inevitable delays between noninvasive examinations and invasive right heart catheterisation and the attendant haemodynamic variations that can happen even in a short time frame, are a few of the reasons that make data validation challenging.

Pulmonary artery distensibility derived from the relative cross-sectional area change between systole and diastole obtained by vessel area segmentation throughout the cardiac cycle is a reliable parameter for identifying pulmonary hypertension. A cut-off value of 16.5% was shown to have sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 96%, respectively, for diagnosing pulmonary hypertension [65], but correlations with mPAP and PVR were moderate [66, 67].

Interventricular septal (IVS) curvature may predict mortality and clinical outcome in patients with CTEPH. The MR-derived measurement of IVS curvature is proportional to systolic (s)PAP, with a value >67 mmHg observed when leftward curvature is present [68]. This finding was extended to include mPAP as well as sPAP and further validated using ECG-gated 320-slice CT imaging [41]. On the basis of multiple linear regression analysis, mPAP has been shown to be computable exclusively from MR phase-contrast flow measurements [69]. Good inverse correlation has been demonstrated between mPAP and sPAP and
MR-derived pressure-wave velocity [66], and DECT-derived PBV values also correlate with pulmonary haemodynamics [70].

The Cobb angle is defined as the angle between the interventricular septum and the line between the sternal midpoint and thoracic vertebral spinous process, and is measured during diastole. CT-based measurement of Cobb angle correlates with PVR and N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide level. A cut-off value of 67.55° has 72.5% sensitivity and 84% specificity for predicting PVR \( \geq 1000 \) dyn·s·cm\(^{-5}\) [71]. In addition, MR models to estimate PVR obtained using a derivation cohort based on physiological plausibility and statistical criteria have been successful in demonstrating the feasibility of purely MR-based detection of increased PVR with high accuracy [72, 73]. The mean average pulmonary artery velocity had the strongest univariate correlation with PVR, irrespective of the cause and severity of pulmonary hypertension [72].

An MR study [74] was able to duplicate the earlier echocardiographic finding of an abnormal mid-systolic velocity deceleration (notch) in patients with CTEPH [75] and demonstrated its disappearance following PEA in parallel with an increase of peak velocity.

Multidirectional phase-contrast MR imaging is a propitious tool for assessment of pulmonary haemodynamics [76, 77]. Although there is no published literature about its potential use in CTEPH, its unique ability to provide vectorial flow data promises to give new insights into pulmonary arterial physiology. Vortical blood flow in the main pulmonary artery develops when mPAP exceeds 16.0 mmHg, and its duration increases linearly with mPAP. A vortical flow that is \( \geq 14.3\% \) of the cardiac interval corresponds to pulmonary hypertension with a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 96% [78, 79]. A publication using multivariate modelling that included relative area change of the main pulmonary artery, and flow characteristics also demonstrated the feasibility of estimating PVR in pulmonary hypertension [80].

Four-dimensional flow could be explored to identify patients who are at risk for developing pulmonary hypertension following acute pulmonary embolism or serial monitoring of patients who have CTED as opposed to CTEPH to work out ideal timing of surgery.

**Conclusion**

Routine screening for CTEPH after pulmonary embolism is not supported by current evidence. However, in symptomatic patients with typical risk factors and clinical findings suggestive of chronic thromboembolic vascular disease, careful clinical evaluation and imaging is necessary to confirm or exclude CTEPH. Current guidelines recommend that all patients with suspected CTEPH be referred to an expert centre for accurate differential diagnosis and operability assessment to be carried out by a multidisciplinary team. Noninvasive imaging techniques are complementary and not competing modalities in the investigation and management of CTEPH. Currently, \( V'/Q' \) scintigraphy remains the screening method of choice. Right heart catheterisation is mandatory for haemodynamic evaluation. While a combination of CTPA for morphological delineation and MR imaging for functional and pulmonary vascular assessment with catheter angiography reserved as a problem-solving tool is a safe approach, the authors acknowledge that there might be difficulties in didactic implementation of such a proposition due to lack of appropriate equipment and/or expertise. Given that MR imaging is an expensive examination with limited availability, selective pulmonary angiography is likely to be required in most patients to identify those who may benefit from surgery or angioplasty.

Newer developments, such as dual-energy iodine mapping that can act as a surrogate marker of lung perfusion may in the future replace \( V'/Q' \) scintigraphy, but it must be emphasised that in the current global financial climate, the cheaper and reliable \( V'/Q' \) is unlikely to be ousted by more expensive technologies in the near future or in a more widespread fashion. The feasibility of noninvasive assessment of haemodynamic parameters has been established, but further validation with reproducibility studies in large patient populations is clearly warranted. The use of four-dimensional flow techniques to detect latent pulmonary hypertension is intriguing, but this is still an area of active research and requires standardisation prior to integration into routine clinical use.
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