Puttur kattu (bandage) – A traditional bone setting practice in south India
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ABSTRACT

Traditional bone setting practices are quite popular in India and nearly 6000 traditional bone setting Vaidyas (Practitioners) are practicing the same in our country. Puttur kattu is a traditional way of bone setting practice, invented accidentally by K. Kesava Raju in 1881. Now, the fourth generation of his family is practicing this bone setting practice in hospitals at Puttur, Andhra Pradesh, with 200–300 patients per day. A prospective study was undertaken to analyze the techniques in diagnosis, way of management, medicine preparation, plants used and way of applications by traditional bone setter (TBS) Vaidyas, with special reference to Puttur. We also tried to understand the reasons which make lots of people go to Puttur for getting treatment, means of contact for treatment, pathology of fracture and outcome of some treated cases through this study. 54% of the studied patients came to Puttur TBS on the advice of old patients. It is observed that more educated people are patronizing this therapy and 23% patients of the observed cases took discharge from modern hospital voluntarily to receive Puttur kattu treatment. 80% patients believed that this therapy with home remedy would fasten the healing process. 44% patients opted for this therapy due to fear of pain, heavy plaster of Paris bandage, prolonged period of immobilization, surgery and amputation. 71% patients of the followed cases were satisfied with the treatment of TBS of Puttur with minimum complications. The authors also attempted to put forth the legacy of the tradition, the way of management and the plant used for bone setting by the Puttur bone setting Vaidyas.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditional bone setting is quite popular in India. Traditional bone setters (TBS) are one of the largest specialist groups practicing traditional medicine in our country.[1] It is believed that there are about 70,000 traditional healers and bonesetters in India and they treat 60% of trauma.[2] Among them, 3000 TBS Vaidyas are in various districts of Tamil Nadu, Pondicherry, Kerala and Karnataka. There are also many well-known places for bone setting in Orissa like Kalupada, Kuleila, Athagoda, etc. But Puttur Kattu is famous in Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Kerala and other northern states. Many Puttur Kattu clinics are found in big cities like Chennai, Coimbatore, Hyderabad, Visakapatnam, Bangalore, Pune, Mumbai, etc. Puttur Kattu, the art of setting of fractures and dislocations, is being practiced hereditarily in Rachapalem village near Puttur in the state of Andhra Pradesh since 1881. It attracts a minimum of 200–300 patients per day with various fractures and dislocations. Education is not a barrier to patronize this traditional treatment for their fracture and dislocation of bones. TBS offer cheaper treatment and are believed to use faster healing methods. Fear of heavy plaster of Paris bandage, prolonged period of immobilization and amputation influence people to visit TBS.[3,4] Specialized orthopedic operations require a up-to-date infrastructure and costly implants which are practically out of reach for the common people. In rural India, the condition is even worse as primary health centers practically lack any orthopedic services. Therefore, reorganization of TBS with proper training is necessary to utilize their services.
Although this is a long traditional practice, with detailed literature in Ayurveda, institutionally qualified Ayurvedic doctors are not able to practice bone setting management, perhaps due to lack of practical training during their undergraduate course. The Ayurvedic institutes or hospitals have no separate bone setting clinic or unit, except Government Ayurveda College, Trivandrum, Kerala. There is no postgraduate degree or diploma to support this century-old practice. This study is an attempt to reintroduce this traditional practice to Ayurvedic institutes.

Many studies are conducted outside our country to know the strength and weakness of TBS. The integration of traditional practice in bone setting started in China. Some studies have reported about the science and tradition of bone setting. Foundation for revitalization of local health tradition has taken some initiatives to study the TBS. But no special study for Puttur TBS was undertaken. Therefore, this prospective observational study was undertaken to analyze the techniques in diagnosis, way of management, medicine preparation, plants used and way of applications by Traditional Bone Setting, with special reference to Puttur. We also tried to understand the reasons which make lots of people go to Puttur for getting treatment, means of contact for treatment, pathology of fracture and outcome of some treated cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area
The present study was carried out at Puttur town and Rachapalem/Eswarapuram village in Chittur district of Andhra Pradesh state. It is nearly 125 km from Chennai and 25 km from Tirupati on the Chennai–Tirupati National Highway (No. 205).

This prospective observational study was conducted by the Department of Kayachikitsa with the help of interns of Sri Jayendra Swaraswatee Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Chennai, between July 2005 and August 2008. Patients who came for treatment to Puttur out-patient clinic were recruited for the study. Puttur bone setting clinic was frequently visited for a period 3 years. An informed consent was obtained from the Puttur bone setters and their treated patients. After familiarizing with the practice of Puttur bone setters, preliminary information about the bone setting were obtained by watching their routine bone setting. Information about the legacy of the tradition, patient strength, hospital facilities, fees, diagnostic method, and way of management was collected with the help of questionnaire, from TBS. Information about the patients’ biodata, reasons for patronizing TBS and result of treatment at bone center was obtained and filled into prepared proforma. The data obtained were recorded and analyzed on Microsoft Excel.

The herb used in the paste was collected tactfully, since the villagers were not willing to reveal it’s identity. The herb is preserved and identified by taxonomist of Madras University. The information was recorded, ascertaining further by repeated visits and interviews.

RESULTS
There are three bone setting clinics in Puttur city, run by the TBS Vaidya of Rachapalem village. The village Rachapalem/Eswarapuram is about 2 km away from Puttur town and has 170 houses of Kshatriya community, but the bone setting practice is limited to people of only one caste with surname “Raju” and their close relatives of Kshatriya community. There are two bone setting hospitals with both out- and in-patient facilities in the Rachapalem village. The small hospital is managed by Kadallam Subramnu Raju and the big one by Suprapanaju Krishnanan Raju of the Raju community. The big hospital has 50 beds of its own, and the Tirupati Devasthanam Trust has donated an additional 25-bedded building.

The big hospital has a big hall for bone setting, computerized registration counter, waiting hall with TV and a pharmacy. There are 10 tables for bandage and plaster. They have 7 experts, 25 attendants and 4 office staff. They collect only ₹ 15.00 from the patients for registration, and the patients have to buy cloth, cotton and eggs from the pharmacy for another ₹ 10.00 to ₹ 30.00.

The consultation charge is free for poor people, but they are collecting a nominal fee ranging from ₹ 50.00 to ₹ 100.00 at the end of the treatment from all patients. The hospital is open on all seven days of the week from 7.30 AM to 6.30 PM with 1-hour lunch break from 1.30 PM to 2.30 PM. Like orthopedicians, they do not use expensive hospital equipments and medicine. They have no X-ray unit in their campus. Patients bring their X-rays, but X-rays are given less importance. Only the blood sugar levels of the patients are sometimes asked for.

A total of 146 patients were interviewed by our research team and 52 patients were followed up to the end stage of treatment. Most of the patients (65%) were in the age group of 0–20 years and there was a dominance of male patients (55.48%) in this study [Table 1]. Most of the patients [52 out of 146 (53%)] were from Tamil Nadu, 20% from Andhra Pradesh, 11% from Karnataka, 10% from Maharashtra and the rest 6% from other parts of India [Table 2]. Nearly 51% patients were educated above matric and 55% patients expressed that old treated patients were the means of contact of this center [Tables 3 and 4]. Fresh cases were 80 out of 146 (55%) which was dominant in this study and fracture of radius/ulna was found to be
more in this study [Tables 5 and 6]. Maximum patients responded that traditional skill is the only way to patronize this treatment [Table 7]. Maximum patients [i.e. 37 out of 52 (71%)] were satisfied with this treatment, and loss of joint movement was observed in only one case (2%) followed by malunion, nonunion and delayed union. No case complained about gangrene and Volkmann’s ischemic contracture [Table 8].

### Legacy of the tradition

The treatment was accidentally discovered by the forefather of the Raju clan of Puttur, named Kadallam Gopal Raju, in the year 1881. While hunting he found a herb that had good healing property. He brought a rabbit with broken bones from the forest and tried the herb on it. The result was a surprise for him. Convinced that the leaves had some medicinal properties, he made a paste and applied on the same rabbit only to confirm the therapeutic values of the plant. The rabbit was completely cured. In the next few years, he experimented on chicken, calves and sheep. After that, he also followed

### Table 1: Age and sex distribution of the patients who attended Puttur traditional bone setting clinic

| Age in years | Male (%) | Female (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| 0–20         | 36 (24.66) | 28 (19.17) | 64 (43.83) |
| 21–40        | 24 (16.44) | 19 (13)    | 43 (29.45) |
| 41–60        | 17 (11.64) | 15 (10.27) | 32 (22)    |
| Above 61     | 04 (2.72)  | 03 (2.05)  | 07 (4.8)   |
| Total        | 81 (55.48) | 65 (44.52) | 146       |

### Table 2: State wise inhabitance distribution of the patients who attended Puttur bone setting clinic

| Name of the state    | No of patients (%) |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Tamil Nadu           | 77 (52.73)         |
| Andhra Pradesh       | 29 (19.86)         |
| Karnataka            | 16 (11)            |
| Maharashtra          | 15 (10.27)         |
| Rest of the country  | 09 (6.16)          |
| Total                | 146                |

### Table 3: Educational qualification of the patients who attended Puttur bone setting clinic

| Educational qualification | No of patients (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Illiterate                | 27 (18.5)          |
| Below matric              | 35 (24)            |
| Above matric              | 54 (37)            |
| Graduates and above       | 30 (20.54)         |
| Total                     | 146                |

### Table 4: Means of contact for the patients who attended Puttur bone setting clinic

| Means of contact            | No of patients (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Direct contact              | 56 (38.35)         |
| Old patients                | 80 (54.79)         |
| Middle man                  | Nil                |
| Refer by TBS/doctor         | 10 (6.8)           |
| Total                       | 146                |

### Table 5: Types of patients who attended Puttur bone setting clinic

| Treatment history                        | No of patients (%) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Fresh case                               | 92 (63)            |
| Treated by modern orthro doctor          | 34 (23.28)         |
| Treated by other TBS                     | 20 (13.7)          |
| Total                                    | 146                |

### Table 6: Pathologies of fractures and dislocations found in 146 attended patients

| Pathology                        | Site       | No of patients (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Fracture                         | Femur      | 07 (4.7)           |
|                                  | Tibia      | 10 (6.8)           |
|                                  | Radius/ulna| 25 (17)            |
|                                  | Humerus    | 16 (11)            |
|                                  | Pott’s     | 03                 |
|                                  | Collis     | 18 (12.32)         |
|                                  | Barton     | 02                 |
|                                  | John’s     | 19 (13)            |
| Dislocation                      | Hip        | 05                 |
|                                  | Elbow      | 19 (13)            |
|                                  | Wrist      | 15 (10.27)         |
|                                  | Tarsal/meta tarsal | 18 (12.32)         |
| Total                            |            | 146                |

### Table 7: Reasons why the studied patients patronized Puttur bone setting practices

| Reason                                      | No of patients (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Quicker services                            | 102 (70)           |
| Cheap services                              | 134 (92)           |
| Fear of pain, immobilization/operation      | 65 (44.52)         |
| Traditional skill and fame                  | 1401 (96)          |
| High cost of modern treatment               | 87 (60)            |

### Table 8: Patients’ satisfaction/complaints after treatment by Puttur TBS (n = 52)

| Patients’ satisfaction/complaints          | No of patients (%) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Satisfied                                  | 37 (71)            |
| Cellulites                                 | 05                 |
| Malunion                                   | 03                 |
| Nonunion                                   | 01                 |
| Delayed union                              | 02                 |
| Stiffness of joint                         | 03                 |
| Loss of joint motion                       | 01                 |
| Volkman’s ischemic contracture             | Nil                |
| Gangrene                                   | Nil                |
| Total                                      | 52                 |
the *Susruta Samhita* of Ayurveda and he was convinced to treat human beings.

In World War I, his services were utilized by British Government. They took him to all places for treating the wounded soldiers and civilians. He practiced for 20 years with 10–15 patients per day. He had no children of his own but passed on the secret of the herb to his brother’s and sister’s sons. His brother’s own grandson, Dr. K. Gopal Raju, an allopathic doctor, has enriched his skill of bone setting with this familiar herbal medicine and is the founder of a small hospital. On the other hand, his sister’s son, Suprapanaju Subba Raju, who was the revenue officer in British government, laid the foundation stone of a big bone setting hospital in 1950. Now, the fourth generation of Raju family is practicing the skill of bone setting.

**Ways of management**

When a patient arrives in the bandage room, Puttur bonesetters ascertain the nature of injury. They feel the arm or leg for dislocated joint or fracture. In some cases, despite the protests from the patients, they twist, pull and poke the arm or leg to locate the exact dislocation or fracture. Once they are sure about the diagnosis, they dip a piece of gauge into the paste of the medicinal herb and tie it around the area of dislocation or fracture. To immobilize the area of injury, they tie up short pieces of bamboo sticks with a bandage. A sling around the neck is fixed and instruction to apply sesame oil on the bandage everyday and advice to visit the clinic after 15 days is given to the patients. The patients are required to visit the bonesetter twice. Very rarely, the patient visits the bonesetter thrice. The second time, the medicinal herb is mixed with the white of egg and turmeric and applied in the affected area. It reduces the pain and swelling fast. The second time, the medicinal herb is mixed with the white portion of egg is applied for healing the bone. The patient himself can remove the second bandage after 1 month at home. They are known to cure the fractures and dislocations of almost any part of the body including backbone, skull, patella, ribs, clavicle and nose. Sometimes, the patients are administered oral calcium and analgesic also. They take only 5–10 minutes for completion of all fracture and dislocation cases, but in case of compound fracture, they take more time for suturing the wound and putting the bone inside. They prepare the paste from a single herb that is collected from nearby places the previous day and use them fresh every day. They are not cultivating the plant although there is a high requirement but are totally dependent on wild growths.

The herb used for making paste for bandage is *Kasamarda* [Latin name: *Cassia accedentalis* (Caesalpiniaeaceae); Telugu: Kasinda; Tamil: *Ponnnavirai*, Nattam takarai; Sanskrit and Oriya: Kasamarda]. However, the specialty does not lie with the herb but with the skill in manipulating the bones and setting the alignment in the right order.

**DISCUSSION**

This study reveals that males account for a large portion of patients seeking TBS treatment and shows that males are predominantly injured, just like in any other trauma. The mean age was 48.6 ± 29.2 years; this shows that young adult patients mostly patronize the bone setters. It is interesting that 23% of studied cases opted for this treatment after receiving the modern treatment. More educated people are receiving this treatment, which is contrary to that reported in earlier studies of Thanni.[3] A highly remarkable degree of expertise and skill of Puttur TBS was observed in this study, as there are no radiological aids employed in their practice. Many investigators have attributed arguable competence to the TBS earlier like this Puttur kattu.[7] Puttur bone setting practice is a very low cost treatment as pointed out earlier by scholars of TBS.[13-16] The middle man was not chosen as the means of contact with the Puttur TBS, whereas previous studies stated that 41% people from West Indies came for treatment to TBS through middle-men.[14] It has minimum complications and satisfaction rate, but many failures of bone setting procedures have been reported with minimum success rate, leading to a bad reputation of the traditional bone setting providers. Bonesetters have been widely criticized for their use of “irrational” methods.[17-19]

Accurate statistics about traditional bonesetters is still very limited and the prospective role of bonesetters in the healthcare system remains uncertain.[20]

Puttur bonesetters claim a nearer to 100% recovery in most of the cases of dislocations and fractures. They say that the recovery depends on how cooperative the patients are. The first time, when the injury is fresh, the application of herbal paste acts as an anti-inflammatory agent and reduces the pain and swelling fast. The second time, the paste along with the white portion of egg is applied for enhancing the bone healing by accelerating the hard callus formation and remodeling.

**CONCLUSION**

The wide acceptance of this TBS practice may be due to the non-invasive techniques and low price. This study is an example of traditional bone setting practices in our country. More systematic studies of bonesetters’ practices and their role in the healthcare system of our country may be undertaken to fill up the rural needs.
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