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Abstract

Objectives: As cultural places, museums and ruins are inseparable parts of the creation process of cultural and historical identity. The cultural heritage products covered by museums and ruins can be thought as a representation of a community and its highest values and truths. It is precisely for these reasons that the interest shown to museums and ruins can give clue for future plans of the cultural institutions in a country. The aim of this research is to forecast the number of visitors to the museums and ruins until 2037 in Turkey. Method: The research material consists of data about the number of visitors of the museums and ruins, between 2001 and 2019 in Turkey. The data were obtained from the Turkish Statistical Institute (TÜİK). Research data was analysed under the framework of Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) time series analysis in order to predict the future numbers of visitors to museums and ruins. Findings: The research findings show that time series contain highly usable estimates which indicate an increasing trend for the number of visitors to the museums and ruins in Turkey. Implications: In addition to practical implications, the present study is expected to contribute to the literature. Originality: The findings can also allow comparative studies, in which the issues about physical and digital users are compared, for museums and ruins which are willing to convert their content to digital platforms. Keywords: Numbers of visitors; museum visitors; ruin visitors; cultural areas; time series analyses.

Öz

Amaç: Kültürel yerler olarak müzeler ve kalemlar kültürel ve tarihi kimliğin yaratılmasını ayırmız bir parçasıdır. Müzeler ve kalemlar tarafından kapsanan kültürel miras ürünleri, bir topluluğun ve onun en yüksek değerlerinin ve gerçeklerinin temsili olarak düşünülebilir. İşte tam da bu nedenlerle müzeler ve ören yerlerine gösterilen ilgi, bir ülkedeki kültür kurumlarının gelecek planları için ipucu verebilir. Bu araştırmanın amacı Türkiye’de 2037 yılına kadar müze ve ören yerlerini ziyaret edeceklerin sayısını tahmin etmektir. Yöntem: Araştırma materyali, Türkiye’deki müze ve ören yeri ziyaretçi sayılara ilişkin 2001-2019
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Introduction

Culture is a traditional, social, innovative, continuous and unique heritage (Rumina and Kishwar, 2010, p. 10). Museums and ruins where tangible and intangible cultural heritage are exhibited are very valuable for the cultural heritage of the countries. In the widely used definitions, museum is described as a place where objects are provided, protected, exhibited, and visitors are given the opportunity to study, research and have fun. In 2007, International Council of Museum (ICOM, 2021) defines museum as “non-profit and permanent institution which acquires, conserves, researches, communicates and exhibits the tangible and intangible heritage for the purposes of education, study and enjoyment. In a similar vein, Merriam Webster (2019) describes museum as “an institution devoted to the procurement, care, study, and display of objects of lasting interest or value”. As Arinze (1999, p. 1) mentioned, the role of the museum is to collect objects and materials of cultural, religious and historical importance, preserve them, research into them and present them to the public for the purpose of education and enjoyment. On the other hand, ruins can be defined as the places where historical structures, spaces or cities are located and presented to the visitors. Ruins and museums are the places which reflect how art, culture and civilization developed while they also give clue about the development of humankind in the area where they are settled and its social organization (Sureka, 2013, p. 2).

Today, museums and ruins provide cultural and historical information for all visitors with information-oriented exhibitions and educational activities. They also respond to the cultural and physiological needs of the visitors with their shops, restaurants, exhibitions and seminar halls. With all these activities, museums and ruins contribute to the cultural economy of the countries by increasing the tourism potential and employment (Sureka, 2013; Yau, 2001). Museums and ruins have things, which tell the story of history created by nature or man. These two cultural places reflect intercultural relations as well as historical and cultural products of the nations. Through their programmes and activities, the museums and ruins can train their visitors. Visiting of the museums and ruins can be a guide to retrieve information about history and culture. This situation encourages reusing of cultural heritage, and creating cultural heritage of the future. Museums and ruins are among the most important part of cultural tourism. While cultural tourism increases the recognition of collections stored in museums and ruins, museums and ruins emerge as alternative places for tourism, by increasing the number of
tourists, and thus provide economic income to the region where they are located (Chan, 2009, pp. 7-9). All of these factors are supposed to help national growth, creativity and development.

While visitation is one of the core purposes considered for a museum, museum studies are mostly theoretical; the rate of empirical studies on visitors is quite low (Kirchberg and Tröndle, 2012, p. 435). Empirical studies on museums generally focus on users’ characteristics, perceptions and behaviours. Almost none of the studies deal with forecasting of the number of visitors to the museums and ruins in the literature. Living museum and ruin services require a sufficient number of visitors and their sustainable interest. By knowing the quantity of visitors, developed museum and ruin services can be provided across the country through good planning. It can also play a decisive role on the processes such as determining the number of visitors to museums and ruins, managing the operations in these areas, planning for future applications and increasing promotion techniques. Yeh and Lin (2005, p. 7) claimed that, the growth of museums requires a better understanding of visitors. In this regard, knowing their numbers, their demographic features, their special interest, etc. can be informative to grow them. Museums and ruins are trying to attract cultural tourists. In order to increase visitor numbers and survive in the future, museums need to know potential visitor numbers. Therefore, visiting estimation can be useful for future plan. Knowing visitor numbers in advance is also important for staff operating at a museum and a ruin. Data about visitor numbers can highlight what days of the week and potentially what seasons of the year people visit museums and ruins. The times of heavy use can be considered predictive due to the fact that they can inform appropriate levels of staff and resource deployment and also strategies. The peak usage times can inform appropriate levels of staff and resource deployment and also strategies. Moreover, assessing trends and changes in numbers of visitors over years can be used to evaluate demanded performance of museums and ruins.

The aim of this research is to forecast the number of the visitors to the museum and ruins until 2037 in Turkey. The findings of this research can be useful for enhancing the number of visitors to the museums and ruins; and finally, for the development of cultural heritage-based tourism. The findings can also allow comparative studies, in which the issues about physical and digital users are compared, for museums and ruins which are opting to convert their content to digital platforms. This study is also expected to contribute to the limited literature on this topic.

**Museums and Ruins in Turkey**

Museums and ruins of Turkey are organized under the body of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism, the General Directorate of Cultural Assets and Museums (KVGM). Cultural heritage objects situated in museums and ruins have potential appeal to boost the cultural tourism demand in Turkey (Kervankıran, 2014, p. 348). Today, 199 museums and 143 organized ruins still serve as touristic, educational and scientific institutions under the Ministry of Culture and Tourism in Turkey (Kültürel miras 2019, 2020). According to the statistical indicators (2001-2019) data published by the Turkish Statistical Institute - TÜİK, a considerable progress has been made in the field of museum in Turkey. Twenty-eight new museums were founded between 2001-2019. The quantitative distribution of museums on the basis of provinces in Turkey shows that the cities home to the most museums are İstanbul with 76 museums, Ankara
with 46 museums and İzmir with 27 museums. On the other hand, the eight cities that do not have a museum are Artvin, Ardahan, Iğdır, Tunceli, Bingöl, Muş, Siirt and Şırnak (Kültür istatistikleri 2019, 2020).

As it is seen on Table 1, the number of museums in Turkey was 467 in 2019. Out of these, 199 museums were affiliated to the Culture and Tourism Ministry, while 268 of them fall in the private museum category. Number of works increased almost every year. The total number of works affiliated to the Culture and Tourism Ministry was 3,263,251. On the other hand, there were 408,649 works in private museums in 2019.

Table 1

| Year | Total | Museums affiliated to Culture and Tourism Ministry | Private museums | Total | Museums affiliated to Culture and Tourism Ministry | Private museums |
|------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 2001 | 171   | 171                                           | -              | 2,733,657 | 2,733,657                                       | -              |
| 2002 | 177   | 177                                           | -              | 3,095,034 | 2,744,257                                       | 350,777        |
| 2003 | 180   | 180                                           | -              | 3,128,694 | 2,775,194                                       | 353,500        |
| 2004 | 270   | 176                                           | 94             | 3,160,895 | 2,800,643                                       | 360,252        |
| 2005 | 245   | 156                                           | 89             | 3,127,294 | 2,790,566                                       | 336,728        |
| 2006 | 273   | 175                                           | 98             | 3,180,068 | 2,866,303                                       | 313,765        |
| 2007 | 247   | 165                                           | 82             | 3,044,570 | 2,767,149                                       | 277,421        |
| 2008 | 286   | 159                                           | 127            | 3,287,444 | 2,989,749                                       | 297,695        |
| 2009 | 304   | 183                                           | 121            | 3,412,581 | 3,044,197                                       | 368,384        |
| 2010 | 334   | 185                                           | 149            | 3,382,226 | 3,096,599                                       | 285,627        |
| 2011 | 339   | 189                                           | 150            | 3,431,177 | 3,149,982                                       | 281,195        |
| 2012 | 347   | 188                                           | 159            | 3,516,491 | 3,177,446                                       | 339,045        |
| 2013 | 350   | 187                                           | 163            | 3,531,656 | 3,174,867                                       | 356,789        |
| 2014 | 392   | 192                                           | 200            | 3,591,566 | 3,217,173                                       | 374,393        |
| 2015 | 409   | 193                                           | 216            | 3,628,715 | 3,235,113                                       | 393,602        |
| 2016 | 417   | 193                                           | 224            | 3,685,609 | 3,311,359                                       | 374,250        |
| 2017 | 438   | 199                                           | 239            | 3,692,915 | 3,306,073                                       | 386,842        |
| 2018 | 451   | 200                                           | 251            | 3,734,223 | 3,337,766                                       | 396,457        |
| 2019 | 467   | 199                                           | 268            | 3,671,900 | 3,263,251                                       | 408,649        |

Source: Kültür istatistikleri 2019, 2020.
Museums and ruins are very famous for cultural tourism in Turkey. Every year museums and ruins have numerous visitors. Table 2 and Table 3 show the most visited museums and ruins in Turkey in 2019.

**Table 2**  
*Most visited museums in Turkey in 2019*

| Name of the museum                            | Number of visitors |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Hagia Sophia, Istanbul                        | 3,727,361          |
| Mevlana Museum, Konya                         | 3,464,155          |
| Topkapı Palace, Istanbul                      | 2,364,946          |
| Hacıbekta Veli Museum, Nevşehir               | 592,727            |
| Museum of the Republic Ankara                 | 515,309            |
| Arkeological Museum, Istanbul                 | 427,643            |
| St. Nicholas (Santa Claus) Church and Museum, Antalya | 384,893          |
| Zeugma Mosaic Museum, Gaziantep               | 367,395            |
| Atatürk Congress and Ethnography Museum, Sivas | 345,760            |
| Museum of Anatolian Civilizations, Ankara     | 327,695            |

Source: Kültür Varlıkları ve Müzeler Genel Müdürlüğü, 2019.

Hagia Sophia was the most visited museum in the year of 2019 in Turkey. Hagia Sophia had over a million visitors when it was compared with the number of visitors in 2018. Mevlana Museum and Topkapı Palace were among the other mostly visited museums in 2019.

**Table 3**  
*Most visited ruins in Turkey in 2019*

| Name of the ruin                                   | Number of visitors |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Denizli Pamukkale Hierapolis                      | 2,557,863          |
| Ephesus İzmir                                     | 1,855,694          |
| Nevşehir Goreme Cappadocia                         | 1,403,444          |
| Çanakkale Troia                                    | 583,491            |
| Nevşehir Kaymaklı Underground City                 | 632,970            |
| Aksaray İhlara Valley                              | 566,917            |
| Nevşehir Derinkuyu Underground City                | 456,369            |
| Sanliurfa Göbeklitepe                              | 400,195            |
| Antalya Aspendos                                   | 320,856            |
| Antalya Myra Ruins                                | 274,605            |
| Antalya Olympos Ruins                             | 251,085            |
| Antalya Phaselis Ancient City                      | 237,962            |

Source: Kültür Varlıklar ve Müzeler Genel Müdürlüğü, 2019.
Denizli Pamukkale Hierapolis was the most visited ruins in the year of 2019 in Turkey. It was visited by 2.557,863 people. Ephesus and Cappadocia were the other popular ruins. They had more than one million visitors in 2019.

When the number of visitors of the museums and ruins in 2019 are evaluated on the basis of provinces, it can be said that İstanbul (7,798,283), Nevşehir (3,805,388), Konya (3,666,108), Antalya (3,087,871), İzmir (3,059,225), Denizli (2,887,050), Ankara (986,538), Muğla (982,924), Çanakkale (838,991) and Şanlıurfa (729,966) are the top ten ranked cities (Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Döner, 2019).

In the museums of Turkey, archaeological and ethnographic artifacts and coins are the objects mostly exhibited. Museums affiliated to many institutions and organizations, including those belonging to the Ministry of Culture and Tourism, serve within the framework of a 360-degree panoramic museum approach (T. Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı, 2020). Developments in the field of informatics have resulted in virtual museum applications. Virtual museums bring many advantages such as increasing interest in cultural assets and museums, and allowing cultural assets to become more accessible and recognizable by people (Styliani, Fotis, Kostas and Petros, 2009, p. 520). However, in Turkey, digitalisation process is very problematic and also access of the digital objects are very limited.

The development of the museum and historical services offered on digital platforms requires being aware of the number of physical visitors to museums and historical sites. Estimating the number of visitors in advance can also be beneficial in terms of determining the future strategies related to museum and historical site visits.

Methodology
The aim of this study is to model the series of visitors’ numbers of museum and ruins in Turkey between 2001-2019 with Box-Jenkins methods and to evaluate the predictions of these models. Regarding museums and ruins, predicted data about visitor numbers may be important for works and functions such as planning, organization, management, and rearrangement of visitor services. Predicted visitor numbers may also be significant for future plans of cultural heritage institutions. These reasons may be shown as motivational factors of the study. Research data encompasses the number of visitors to the museums and ruins of Turkey between the years of 2001 and 2019. Secondary data obtained from TÜİK was used within the scope of the research. Since it is the institution that provides the recorded and shared data on the number of museum and ruin visitors, the data of the research is limited with the data of the TÜİK. SPSS version 25.0 was used for analysis. Table 4 shows the number of visitors to museums and historical sites per years (Kültür istatistikleri 2019, 2020).
Table 4
Visitor numbers of museums and ruins

| Year | Total         |
|------|--------------|
| 2001 | 17,971,247   |
| 2002 | 17,269,739   |
| 2003 | 15,765,033   |
| 2004 | 16,464,956   |
| 2005 | 21,737,901   |
| 2006 | 18,425,453   |
| 2007 | 20,566,682   |
| 2008 | 26,318,933   |
| 2009 | 27,817,977   |
| 2010 | 30,638,655   |
| 2011 | 35,297,920   |
| 2012 | 36,323,169   |
| 2013 | 37,373,837   |
| 2014 | 37,931,568   |
| 2015 | 37,048,066   |
| 2016 | 25,287,530   |
| 2017 | 30,661,676   |
| 2018 | 40,647,844   |
| 2019 | 51,306,549   |

Source: Kültür istatistikleri, 2019, 2020.

Time series is a series of observations made periodic time points and this series enable the development of an appropriate model and foreseeable prospects using statistical methods (Tekindal, Güllü, Yazıcı and Yavuz, 2016, pp. 966-967). However, the series should be stationary in order to make better estimates of the future values of a series based on their historical values. Since the non-stationary series contain highly variable and highly fluctuating values, the error rate of the estimates based on these series is quite high (Fischer, 1995). Stability can be defined as a probabilistic process whose average and variance do not change over time and its common variance between two periods depends on the distance between the two periods, not on the period in which this common variance is calculated (Gujarati, 2003; Yenice and Tekindal, 2015, pp. 182-184). Several tests are used to investigate stagnation. The most commonly used methods are; ACF (Autoregressive Correlation Function) and PACF (Partial Autocorrelation Function) graphs of the series and augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test (Dickey and Fuller, 1981).

Box-Jenkins Method

**ARMA**

This method developed by Box and Jenkins consists of a combination of two different processes. The first process expresses the autoregressive model (AR) and the second process expresses the moving average (MA) process. The Box-Jenkins method is expressed by the ARMA model, a combination of these two models. However, Box-Jenkins method is required
to be stationary series. ARIMA (integrated autoregressive moving average) model is obtained with adding the series to the ARMA model by taking the difference from the d degree for stagnation. This model is widely used in estimating time series events due to its statistical characteristics and model structure (Wickramarachchi et al., 2017, pp. 143-144).

**ARIMA**

The essence of the Box-Jenkins method is the selection of an ARIMA model with the most convenient but limited number of parameters from a variety of model options, depending on the nature of the available data. As a whole, these models are represented as ARIMA (p, d, q). p: Autoregressive model (AR) degree, q: Moving average model (MA) degree, d: non-seasonal difference taking degree. The ARMA can be indicated in equation (1) (Box and Jenkins, 1976).

\[
Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_i \varepsilon_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \tag{1}
\]

Equation (2) is obtained when the difference of the non-stationary \(X_t\) series is taken once.

\[
\nabla X_t = X_t - X_{t-1} = X'_t \tag{2}
\]

If the series \(X_t\) is still not stationary, the difference is once again performed and the degree of difference is \(d = 2\).

\[
\nabla^2 X_t = \nabla (X'_t) = X'_t - X'_{t-1} = X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2} \tag{3}
\]

If the series is not still stationary, \(d\) times difference receiving process is continued until the series is stationary and so the ARIMA (p, d, q) model is obtained (Brockwell and Davis, 2002).

\[
X_t = \nabla^d Y_t = (1 - B)^d Y_t \tag{4}
\]

Seasonal Box-Jenkins models are generally expressed as ARIMA (p, d, q) (P, D, Q)s. Here P is the degree of Seasonal Autoregressive (SAR) model; D is the number of seasonal differencing operations; Q is the order of Seasonal Moving Average (SMA) model; and s is the period (Gujarati, 2003).

\[
(1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \ldots - \phi_p B^p)(1 - \phi_1 B^s - \phi_2 B^{2s} - \ldots - \phi_P B^{Ps})(1 - B)^d (1 - B^s)^D Z_t = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \ldots - \theta_q B^q)(1 - \theta_1 B^s - \theta_2 B^{2s} - \ldots - \theta_Q B^{Qs}) \varepsilon_t \tag{5}
\]

Hence the autocorrelations decay exponentially at lags 12, 24, 36, etc.

- Partial autocorrelation at lag 12 = \(\phi_1\).
- After lag 12, they equal 0

**SARIMA Models**

Seasonal ARIMA (p,q,d) (P,D,Q)s models ARIMA (p,d,q) models relationship is represented as below:

\[
\Phi P (B) \phi p (B) [1-Bs] D [1-B] dZt = \alpha + \Theta Q (B) \theta q (B) At. \tag{Schwert, 2015, p. 10}
\]

If we want to understand what is meant by the autocorrelation pattern with two autoregressive polynomials and two moving average polynomials, this model is also used if it is also the difference operator (Tekindal, 2008, pp. 139-140).

It can be said that setting up Box-Jenkins ARIMA models involves four basic steps. In the first step, the general model class is determined. Graphs of autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions are used to select the general model. Considering the autocorrelation
and partial autocorrelation functions in Figure 1, the properties of the theoretical functions related to ARIMA models are utilized (Yaman, Sarucan, Atak, Aktürk, 2001, pp. 27).

In the second step, a temporary model matching the structure of the data is determined. Autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions are used for this purpose. In the model determination stage, a model is selected from the AR, MA, ARMA or ARIMA model class.

In the third step, the parameters of the transient model are estimated using efficient statistical techniques, and their significance is tested by calculating the standard errors of the coefficients. In the last stage, the conformity check of the determined model for estimation is made. In this context, the autocorrelation function is examined by plotting the autocorrelation coefficients of the errors of the temporary model, which is assumed to be suitable. If the function in question shows a certain shape, it is concluded that the errors are not randomly distributed. Such a finding means that the temporary model determined is not suitable. Therefore, going back to the second stage, this process is repeated until a suitable model is determined with a new temporary model. The model, which passed the conformity check, is now ready to be used for estimation (Yaman et al., 2001, p. 27). Goodness of Fit Criteria Obtained models are evaluated to find fittest one of them. In this context, goodness of fit criteria is determined then the different models compare with each other. $R^2$ which is in the range of 0-1 is the goodness of fit criterion of the linear model. If the $R^2$ values are smaller than 0-1 range, this indicate that the model does not have a good fit for the data. Stationary $R^2$ is preferred for trend or seasonal pattern. A criterion compares the stationary part of the model and the basic model. The Square Root of Mean Square Errors (RMSE), which is the square root of mean square errors, is expected to take smaller values, which show that model forecasting is better. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) can be used in the comparison of different series. Mean Average Error (MAE) encompasses the series’ own units. Maximum Absolute Error (MaxAPE) indicates the highest error occurring among the forecasted values. It is useful for the worst scenarios among the forecasts. MaxAE shows the maximum absolute error. Normalized Bayesyan Information Criterion (Norm. BIC) is the general measure of the total fit of the model. It provides a comparison between different models when the series are the same, and smaller values indicate a better model (Tekindal et al., 2016, p. 967).

Findings
Time series analysis of the number of people between 2001 and 2019 was carried out in order to estimate the number of visitors to museums and ruins. The time series graph of the number of visitors to the museum and ruins is presented in Figure 1.
When Figure 1 is examined, it can be said that there are fluctuations and the series is in an upward trend. Seasonality and trend in the series cause the series to be non-stationary. The ACF (autocorrelation) and PACF (partial autocorrelation) graphs of the series are given in Figure 2 and Figure 3 for the study of stability. As it is seen, the series is not stable since more than one delay is outside from the confidence limits. Firstly, the logarithm of the series was taken and the differences between the values of the series would be reduced to partially stabilize. Once the difference was taken for the trend, it was concluded that the series became stagnant. Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the ACF and PACF graphs of the number of visitors to the museum and ruins because of receiving the difference.

Figure 2

ACF graph of the number of visitors to the museums and ruins
Figure 3

PACF graph of the number of visitors to the museums and ruins

Figure 4

The graph of the differenced series of the number of museum and ruin visitor
Figure 5
The ACF graph of the differenced series of number of visitors to the museums and ruins visitor

Figure 6
PACF graph of the differenced series of number of visitors to the museums and ruins visitor
When the ACF and PACF graphs in Figure 5 and Figure 6 are examined, it is seen that no delay exceeds the confidence limit and the other two delays are close to the confidence limit. These situations can be neglected and it can be said that the series is still stationary. Stability analysis of the series was also carried out using the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test. According to the ADF test before the difference, the series is not stationary ($t=-0.289$; $p=0.119$) and after the difference, the series is said to be stationary ($t=-12.381$; $p=0.001$). While trying to create an appropriate model by making use of these operations, several different models were tried and ARIMA (0,1,0) model was found to be the most suitable model for the number of visitors to the museum and ruins.

**Figure 7**

*The Box-Jenkins model forecast graph of the number of visitors to the museum and ruins per year*

The Figure 7 illustrates the graph of observed number of visitors to the museum and ruins per year. Due to scale in the presentation of the graphic, the year range has advanced until 2049. According to the graphic data, it is observed that the number of museums and archaeological sites tend to increase until 2037.

**Table 5**

*Estimates of the number of visitors to the museum and ruins by Box-Jenkins Models*

| Year | Forecast       | Upper Limit (UCL) | Lower Limit (LCL) |
|------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2020 | 53,158,510     | 42,502.720        | 63,814.301        |
| 2021 | 55,010,471     | 39,940.908        | 70,080.035        |
| 2022 | 56,862,433     | 38,406.062        | 75,318.803        |
| 2023 | 58,714,394     | 37,402.813        | 80,025.975        |
| 2024 | 60,566,355     | 36,739.283        | 84,393.427        |
| 2025 | 62,418,316     | 36,317.066        | 88,519.566        |
| 2026 | 64,270,278     | 36,077.706        | 92,462.850        |
The estimated values up to 2037 can be seen in Table 5. According to the table, it can be said that number of visitors of museums and ruins have an increasing trend.

### Table 6

The goodness of fit criteria of the Box-Jenkins Model

| Model | Model Fit statistics |
|-------|----------------------|
|       | Stationary R-squared | R-squared | RMSE | MAPE | MAE | MaxAPE | MaxAE | Normalized BIC |
| Box Jenkins Model (Number of visitors to the museums and ruins FORECAST) | 0.050 | 0.741 | 5050579.180 | 7.8694 | 353855.247 | 53,831 | 13612497.032 | 31,031 |

Table 6 shows the goodness of fit criteria of the Box Jenkins Model. As a result of this study we can conclude that the Box-Jenkins models, which is generated for the number of visitors to museums and ruins, were statistically significant (p<0.05). The MAPE value (7.8694) shows that the series contains highly usable estimates.

### Discussion

Data of this research covers a 19-year period (2001-2019). These years have been determined in this way within the framework of the data accessible via TÜİK. Visitor number estimates cover the period until 2037. Although it was possible to predict for further years, the reliability of the tests would be unfortunately low in this case. The dynamic structure of estimation research should be preserved by updating the predictions regarding the number of visitors within the framework of new data released every year.

### Conclusion

Forecasting the future is very important for social, economic and cultural development of a country. It is possible for all institutions in decision-making to maintain and improve their situation in the future. Forecasting provides to estimate future events and to find appropriate solutions within the framework of a good plan. In this study, we tried to determine the future
number of visitors to the museums and ruins in Turkey by looking at the present and past number of visitors. Time series were used to estimate the future number of visitors to the museums and ruins. Among the Box Jenkins models, the best predictive one was seen to be the ARIMA (0,1,2) model.

An independents unit should be set up to organize such data and to make prediction analysis in Republic of Turkey Ministry of Culture and Tourism. In this way, the predictions for the future situation of the number of visitors can be made regularly. Thus, useful policies may be developed through forecasts for the future for having more effective cultural tourism and other related activities. In addition, museums and ruins as exhibition places of cultural heritage can make their future plan which is a key managerial task. An effective organizational plan prevents loss of time and effort.

As a country case, Turkey has many museums and ruins. However, museums mostly include archaeological and ethnographic artefacts. Museums and ruins contribute to the development of international tourism with their works reflecting the common history of humanity. Thus, they enable inter-communal communication to be strengthened and cultural riches specific to different societies to be recognized.

This study shows how forecast can become subject to measurement of visitor numbers of museums and ruins. The analysis of this study highlights the success of the estimation models. Forecasting studies may contribute to the establishment of stronger future plans for not only museums and ruins but also all of the cultural heritage places and institutions. In this context, relevant institutions should include their forecasting work as a routine action plan.

References

Arinze, E. N. (1999). The role of the museum in society. https://maltwood.uvic.ca/cam/activities/past_conferences/1999conf/CAM'99-EmmanuelArinze.GuyanaFinal.pdf

Box, G. E. P. and Jenkins, G. M. (1976). Time series analysis: Forecasting and control. Holden-Day Inc.

Brockwell, P. and Davis, R. (2002). Introduction to time series and forecasting (2nd ed.). Springer.

Chan, Y. O. C. (2009). History museums as tourist attractions: a comparison of Hong Kong and Macau [Master of philosophy thesis]. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/48537895.pdf

Dickey, D. A. and Fuller, W. A. (1981). Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root. Econometrica, 49(4), 1057-1072.

Fischer, B. (1995). Decomposition of time series comparing different methods in theory and practice, Eurostat Working Paper, no9/1998/A/8.

Gujarati, D. N. (2003). Basic econometrics (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

International Council of Museum - ICOM. (2021). Museum definition. https://icom.museum/en/resources/standards-guidelines/museum-definition/

Kervankıran, İ. (2014). Dünyada değişe n müze algısı ekseninde Türkiye’deki müze turizmine bakış. Turkish Studies, 9(11), 345-369.

Kirchberg, V. and Tröndle, M. (2012). Experiencing exhibitions: A review of studies on visitor experiences in museums. Curator: The Museum Journal, 55(4), 435-452.
Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Döner Sermaye İşletmesi Merkez Müdürlüğü. (2019). 2019 yılı müze ve örenyeri ziyaretçi istatistikleri. http://www.dosim.gov.tr/assets/documents/2019-ZIYARETCI-WEB-SITESI.pdf

Kültür istatistikleri 2019. (2020). Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu (TÜİK). https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Bulten/Index?p=Kulturel-Miras-2019-33633

Kültürel miras 2019. (2020). Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu (TÜİK). https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Bulten/Index?p=Kulturel-Miras-2019-33633

Kültür Varlıklar ve Müzeler Genel Müdürlüğü. (2019). Bakanlığımıza bağlı müzeler. https://kvmgm.ktb.gov.tr/TR-43253/bakanligimiza-bagli-muzeler.html

Merriam Webster. (2019). Museum. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary. https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/museum

Rumina, R. and Kishwar, P. (2010). Introduction to cultural studies. Himalaya Publishing House.

Schwert, G. W. (2015). Time series analysis: trend & seasonality. APS 425 - Advanced Managerial Data Analysis. http://schwert.ssb.rochester.edu/a425/a425_trend.pdf

Styliani, S., Fotis, L., Kostas, K. and Petros, P. (2009). Virtual museums, a survey and some issues for consideration. Journal of Cultural Heritage, 10, 520-528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2009.03.003

Sureka, F. (2013). Müze ve ören yerı arasındaki bildirişim ve etkileşim sorunları: Alacahöyük müzesi ve ören yerı için bir uygulama çalışması [Yüksek lisans sanat çalışması raporu]. http://www.openaccess.hacettepe.edu.tr:8080/xmlui/handle/11655/2278

T.C. Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı. (2020). Sanal gezinti. https://www.ktb.gov.tr/TR-96599/sanal-gezinti.html

Tekindal, M. A. (2008). Avrupa Birliği ülkeleri ve Türkiye’nin borsa endekslerinin modellenmesi ve geleceğe yönelik kestirimlerinin değerlendirilmesi. R. Kasap (Ed.). In 17. İstatistik Araştırma Sempozyumu Bildiriler Kitabı (pp. 135-153). Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu.

Tekindal, M. A., Güllü, Ö., Yazıcı A. C. and Yavuz, Y. (2016). The modelling of Time-Series and the evaluation of forecasts for the future: The case of the number of persons per physician in Turkey between 1928 and 2010. Biomedical Research, 27(3), 965-971.

Wickramarachchi, A. R., Herath, H. M. L. K., Jayasinghe-Mudalige, U. K., Edirisinghe, J. C., Udugama, J. M. M., Lokuuge, L. D. M. N. and Wijesuriya, W. (2017). An analysis of price behavior of major poultry products in Sri Lanka. The Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 12(2), 138-148.

Yaman, K., Sarucan, A., Atak, M. and Aktürk, N. (2001). Dinamik çizelgeleme için görüntü işleme ve ARIMA modelleri yardımıyla veri hazırlanma. Gazi Üniversitesi Mühendislik Mimarlık Fakültesi Dergisi, 16(1), 19-40.

Yau, R. (2001). Museums are for people. http://www.lcsd.gov.hk/ce/Museum/History/en_US/web/mh/publications/spa_pspeci_04_0.html

Yeh, J. T. and Lin, C. L. (2005). Museum marketing and strategy: Directors’ perception and belief. The Journal of American Academy of Business, 6(2), 279-284.

Yenice, S. and Tekindal, M. A. (2015). Forecasting the stock indexes of fragile five countries through Box-Jenkins methods. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 6(8), 180-191.