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Abstract

Background: "Sit to stand" being a prerequisite for walking, the inability of patients to perform it can result in institutionalization, impaired functioning and mobility in activities of daily living. There was a need to find out whether "sit to stand" ability correlates with gait speed, dynamic balance, and quality of life in stroke patients. It is a pilot study in which sixteen sub-acute and chronic stroke (> 6 months) patients aged 45 to 65 years with the ability to walk at least 10 m, Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score > 27 were included. Patients with musculoskeletal impairments of lower extremity which would affect walking were excluded from the study. Each patient performed five times sit to stand (5TSTS) from a standard chair and time taken was recorded. Timed up and go (TUG) test and 10-m walk test (10MWT) were used to measure the dynamic balance and gait speed respectively. Stroke Adapted Sickness Impact Profile-30 (SASIP-30) scale was used to determine the quality of life of the patients.

Results: Correlation between the outcomes of the variables was analyzed using Pearson correlation co-efficient. The 5TSTS scores showed strong positive correlation to TUG (r = 0.823, P < .000) and SASIP-30 (r = 0.841, P < .000). However, moderately strong negative relationship was found between 5TSTS and gait speed (r = −0.639, P < .008).

Conclusion: The study concludes that change in the 5TSTS performance can affect motor functions like dynamic balance and gait as well as quality of life. Exercise training focusing on sit-to-stand ability may also influence activities of daily living (ADLs) after stroke.
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Background

Stroke has a significant impact on all components of functionality, and is the leading cause of disability [1]. The disabilities are manifested in the form of impairment of body function or body structure, activity limitation, and/or participation restriction [2]. Additional limitations including gait-related activities are seen in more than half of the stroke survivors [3], and the most commonly cited concern after stroke is whether they will regain independent walking [3, 4]. “Sit to stand” (STS) is biomechanically demanding, requiring lower extremity joint torque and range of motion than walking or stair climbing [5]. Standing up from a seated position is one of the most frequently performed functional tasks, and it is an essential pre-requisite to walking [6]. The ability to stand up without assistance is important for independent living [6] and preventing falls [7]. STS requires coordination between trunk and lower limb movements, muscle strength, and control of equilibrium and stability [8]. Due to the various impairments of post stroke,
physiotherapists need to optimize patients’ performance of motor tasks in everyday life. Thus, clinicians need a reliable and valid functional measurement that will correlate to the status of a patient’s motor functions and functional mobility at baseline to monitor the patient’s progress as a result of treatment [9].

STS movement skill can help researchers and clinicians determine the functional level of a patient. Assessment of the STS movement has been done using various quantitative and semi-quantitative techniques [10]. In the last two decades, studies have been published regarding the performance of STS [11], and it has been reported that stroke may result in the alteration of STS performance, which may be seen as asymmetry of body weight support and joint moments produced, asymmetry of joint kinematics, and alteration in amount of support needed [12]. Although the timed STS test has been used as an outcome measure in stroke rehabilitation, the relationship between muscle strength, exercise endurance, and balance performance and five times sit-to-stand (5TSTS) scores is still unclear [9].

TUG is a simple test to measure the mobility of an individual, which include static and dynamic balance [13]. Shumway-Cook et al. concluded that subjects who take longer than 14 s to complete the TUG have a higher risk for falls [14].

Ambulation ability has been correlated with gait speed; changes in gait speed that result in a transition to a higher category of ambulation classification resulted in better function and quality of life; ambulation ability that is predicted by gait speed is a reliable method of classifying patients. Walking speed predicts level of function i.e. walking is limited to home at < 0.4 m/s and short community walks are feasible at 0.4-0.8 m/s (0.9 mph). Community mobility requires walking speed > 0.8 m/s (1.8 mph) [15].

Despite the frequent use of 5TSTS in various studies and found to be reliable, there is no study related to the patient’s functional status. The study aimed to delineate possible associations of 5TSTS scores with balance ability measured using the timed up and go (TUG) test, gait speed measured by 10-m walk test, and quality of life measured using the timed up and go (TUG) test, gait speed predicted level of function i.e. walking is limited to home at < 0.4 m/s and short community walks are feasible at 0.4-0.8 m/s (0.9 mph). Community mobility requires walking speed > 0.8 m/s (1.8 mph) [15].

Table 1

| Variables                  | Mean ± SD     |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Age (years)                | 56.19 ± 7.98  |
| Height (cm)                | 165.81 ± 7.45 |
| Weight (kg)                | 64.56 ± 9.37  |
| BMI                        | 23.56 ± 3.48  |
| Duration of stroke (months)| 26.19 ± 17.47 |
| Co-morbidities, no. (%)    |               |
| HTN                        | 5 (31.25)     |
| DM                         | 5 (31.25)     |
| CAD                        | 2 (12.5)      |
| Hypothyroidism             | 2 (12.5)      |
| 5TSTS (s)                  | 12.81 ± 3.91  |
| TUG (s)                    | 17.21 ± 11.06 |
| 10 MWT (m/s)               | 0.79 ± 0.36   |
| SASIP-30                   | 29.69 ± 21.15 |

**Note:** Variables are expressed in mean ± SD unless mentioned.

**Methods**

A pilot study with sixteen sub-acute and chronic stroke patients aged between 45 and 65 years (56.19 ± 7.98) with the ability to walk a distance of 10 m with or without using a walking aid were included. Patients were recruited from HAHC Hospital, New Delhi and nearby areas of Delhi. Patients with musculoskeletal impairments of the lower extremity, peripheral neuropathy, and other neurological disorders were excluded from the study. All the patients furnished written informed consent before participation in the study. The details of patient characteristics are depicted in Table 1. The study was approved by the Jamia Hamdard Institutional Ethics Committee (JHIEC), New Delhi, India.

**Procedure**

After the patients were enrolled, following measures were recorded starting with 5TSTS followed by TUG, 10MWT, and filling of Stroke Adapted Sickness Impact Profile-30 (SASIP-30) scale on the same day.

**Outcome measures**

**Five times sit-to-stand (5TSTS) test**

It is a reliable test in patients with stroke [16] and has a potential link with falls, strength of lower limbs, and even psychological measures [17]. For this test, the patients were given a practice trial and a minute of rest was allowed before the actual performance. Patients sat comfortably with leg well supported on the standard chair (47 cm) with a backrest. It was ensured the patient stood up completely (i.e., knee extension) and sat down (touched the chair) in the procedure. Standardized instructions were given as follows: “By the count of 3, please stand up and sit down as quickly as possible for 5 times. Place your hands on your lap and do not use them throughout the procedure. Lean your back against the chair’s backrest at the end of every repetition.” The
timing started once the subject’s back left the backrest and stopped once the back touched the backrest [9].

Timed up and go (TUG) test
Each patient stood up from a standard chair, walked a distance of 3 m, turned, and walked back to the chair, and sat down. The amount of time taken was recorded (in seconds) with a stopwatch. The patients wore their regular footwear and used their customary walking aid (cane, walker) if needed. Each patient started with the back against the chair, and walking aid in hand [13].

Ten-meter walk test (10MWT)
The patients were instructed to walk 10 m without any physical assistance. A well-marked distance of 2 m was allowed at the start and at the end for the patient to accelerate and decelerate. The time was measured while the individual walked a distance of 6 m. Speed (m/s) was determined by dividing the distance by the time taken to complete the distance [18].

SASIP-30
It is a scale to measure the QOL after stroke. The SASIP-30 consists of 8 subscales, viz., body care and movement, mobility, ambulation, social interaction, emotional behavior, alertness behavior, communication, and household management. Each of the 30 items is a statement describing a change in behavior that reflects the impact of illness on some aspect of daily life. Patients were asked to mark items most descriptive of themselves. The scores are also presented as a percentage of maximal dysfunction, ranging from 0 to 100%. Higher scores indicate a less desirable health outcome. A total score of more than 33 indicates impairment in ADLs, inability to live independently, difficulty with self-care, and difficulty with mobility and performing their main activity [19].

Statistical analysis
Data collected were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software, version 11.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics). Correlation between values of 5TSTS (seconds), TUG (seconds), 10MWT (m/s), and SASIP-30 were done by applying Pearson correlation coefficient with a significance level of \( p < 0.05 \) (2-tailed).

Results
The mean age of the patients was 56.19 ± 7.98 years. The mean duration of stroke was 26.19 ± 17.47 months (sub-acute and chronic patients). The mean weight and mean BMI were within normal ranges. But there were comorbidities present: Hypertension (HTN) [5], diabetes mellitus (DM) [5], coronary artery disease (CAD) [2], hypothyroidism [2]. Patient was taking medications for the comorbidities mentioned. The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. After analysis, as shown in Fig. 1, the 5TSTS score showed strong positive correlation with TUG (\( r = 0.823, P < .001 \)) and SASIP-30 (\( r = 0.841, P < .001 \)). However, there was a moderately strong negative relationship between 5TSTS and 10MWT (\( r = -0.639, P = .008 \)). Also, TUG was negatively correlated with 10MWT (\( r = -0.698, P = .003 \)) and positively with QOL (\( r = .745, P < .001 \)) whereas gait speed and QOL were negatively correlated with each other (\( r = 0.576, P = .019 \)).

Discussion
The STS transition is one of the most important activities of daily living because people frequently use it as they move from sitting position to standing position and then often to walking [12]. There is scarcity of literature on relationship between sit-to-stand ability and other measures of functional independence. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate relationship of 5TSTS with the dynamic balance, gait speed, and the quality of life in chronic stroke patients.

Lower limb strength and balance reactions of either or both lower extremities are required for a successful performance of the 5TSTS test, although contributions from individual legs cannot be differentiated [20]. The results of this study revealed a strong positive relationship between 5TSTS test and dynamic balance (\( r = .823 \)), which few studies have investigated in stroke population to date (Fig. 1A). Most of the research reported that the 5TSTS test to be more dependent on the strength of lower extremities [21, 22], although other factors like position of the foot and spasticity of planter flexors also affect the symmetry and weight distribution [23]. Lord et al. found out that in community-dwelling older people, one of the most contributing factors in the variation in STS is knee extensor strength [22]. Schenkman et al. found that strength was relatively more important than balance in predicting the performance of standing in functionally impaired older people [24]. Also, Weiss et al. demonstrated that strength is the key element in the improvement of STS performance during training in stroke survivors [25]. Our finding, on the other hand showed that performance of 5TSTS also depends on balance. This is in corroboration with the finding of a research that was done by Shamay in which no significant correlation between 5TSTS scores and the muscle strength index but to balance after demographics were controlled. Performance on STS ability explains the dynamic balance which in turn affects the risk of falls in chronic stroke patients [9]. This was shown by one controlled trial study, i.e., different levels of sit-to-stand training can help improve dynamic standing balance control, particularly toward the anterior direction, which
is the most frequently demanded in daily life [26]. A similar finding was seen in study done by Pieper et al. who found high correlation between 5TSTS and TUG. They suggested that these physical performance measures may be interchangeable in their ability to predict physical functioning in these clinical groups despite differences in test demands [21]. The results of studies are found to be conflicting whether the performances of 5TSTS is dependent on strength or balance because of the difference in baseline function of subjects and/or specific differences in the type and length of the exercise training protocol [25].

Another striking finding of this study is that the 5TSTS was found to have a moderately strong negative relation with the gait speed (Fig. 1B). Gait speed, in turn, determines balance and functional independence in stroke patients. Gait velocity is a valid and reliable measure of walking recovery after stroke and is considered to be a valuable indicator of functional status of an individual. Schmidt et al. concluded that gait velocity gain that transition to a higher class of ambulation results in better function and quality of life, especially with regard to mobility and community participation in initial household ambulators [15]. Studies have shown that speed-dependent training help improve STS ability as this activity requires the generation of a vertical force equal to body weight in a fraction of a second. This may hasten independence, because faster force generation results in more effective use of available strength [27]. Bowden et al. conducted a study in chronic stroke patients to validate the speed-based classification system with quantitative measures of walking performance. It was found out that stroke patients who had gait speed less than 0.4 m/s were more likely to be household ambulators, between 0.4 and 0.8 m/s were limited community ambulators [28].

The results of our study revealed that gait speed is negatively correlated with quality of life \( (r = -0.576) \), and 5TSTS test is highly positively correlated with SASIP-30 \( (r = 0.841) \) after stroke (Fig. 1F). Therefore, performance of chronic stroke patients on 5TSTS test can give an insight into the patients’ level of ambulation and overall quality of life. Moreover, community mobility has a direct relationship with the social life of stroke patients which in turn may impact their quality of life.

Usually, in clinical practice, a therapist administers a variety of tests to assess the physical status of stroke patients which is often time consuming and physically demanding. The relationship of the 5TSTS and other variables shown indicate that 5TSTS can help in evaluating other motor functions, i.e., 5TSTS is a multidimensional test. For example, if a stroke patient does not perform well on 5TSTS test, administering TUG test may not be needed as these measures correlate well with each other. Establishing a relationship between these variables can be validated further.
Conclusions

The changes in STS ability can affect balance and walking speed. Due to strong correlation between these variables, the STS performance can be used as an indicator of the independence in stroke patients. Therapeutic interventions that focused on the “sit-to-stand” ability might give benefits in dynamic balance and quality of life.
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