Crystal Structure of the Michaelis Complex between Tissue-type Plasminogen Activator and Plasminogen Activators Inhibitor-1*
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Background: Recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) is a potent fibrinolytic agent used in clinics and is inactivated by endogenous PAI-1.

Results: The crystal structure of the tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex was determined.

Conclusion: Differences of inhibition of tPA and uPA by PAI-1 are revealed.

Significance: This study offers important clues to design a newer generation of tPA thrombolytics with reduced PAI-1 inactivation.

Thrombosis is a leading cause of death worldwide. Recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) is the Food and Drug Administration-approved thrombolytic drug. tPA is rapidly inactivated by endogenous plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1). Engineering on tPA to reduce its inhibition by PAI-1 without compromising its thrombolytic effect is a continuous effort. Precise details, with atomic resolution, of the molecular interactions between tPA and PAI-1 remain unknown despite previous extensive studies. Here, we report the crystal structure of the tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex, which shows significant differences from the structure of its urokinase-type plasminogen activator analogue, the uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex. The PAI-1 reactive center loop adopts a unique kinked conformation. The structure provides detailed interactions between tPA 37- and 60-loops with PAI-1. On the tPA side, the S2 and S1β pockets open up to accommodate PAI-1. This study provides structural basis to understand the specificity of PAI-1 and to design newer generation of thrombolytic agents with reduced PAI-1 inactivation.

Thrombosis is a major contributor to disability and mortality and is estimated to be the cause of one in four deaths worldwide (1). Current thrombolytic therapy consists of administration of a high dose of plasminogen activators (PAs), e.g. recombinant human tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) or streptokinase (2). Human tPA, along with urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), are the only two physiological PAs in human. They catalyze the conversion of plasminogen (~2 µM human plasma concentration) to the proteolytic active protease, plasmin, which then degrades fibrin. Recombinant tPA (Alteplase) is the Food and Drug Administration-approved treatment for ischemic strokes, administered intravenously to dissolve the clot and improve blood flow. Recombinant tPA is also used for myocardial infarction and pulmonary embolism (3). A high dose of recombinant tPA (up to 100 mg/50 kg) is typically needed in clinical applications, in part because of the rapid inactivation of recombinant tPA by endogenous plasminogen activators inhibitor 1 (PAI-1 or SERPINE1), a member of serine protease inhibitor (SERPIN) family. Such high dosage leads to dangerous side effects, e.g. intracranial hemorrhage and neurotoxicity (4, 5). Newer generation of recombinant tPA (2) or improved formulation of tPA (6), are continuously being developed. Tenecteplase (TNK-tPA) is a variant of tPA (7) showing slower inhibition by PAI-1.

Physiologically, PAI-1 inhibits PA rapidly and irreversibly, and is the primary negative regulator of the fibrinolytic system. PAI-1 itself has been recognized as a potential therapeutic target for treatment of thrombotic disorders (8, 9) and a marker for prognostic evaluation and disease monitoring (10). High levels of PAI-1 prevent formation of plasmin, resulting in fibrin accumulation and thrombosis.

PAI-1, and also PAI-2 (11), inhibit tPA and uPA by a suicide substrate mechanism (12, 13) common to all SERPIN members (see Fig. 1A). In this SERPIN mechanism, a long flexible loop of PAI-1 (reaction center loop, or RCL) inserts into the active site
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of PAs to form a transient Michaelis complex. The RCL is cleaved by PAs through the classical serine proteolytic mechanism. PAs form covalent acyl-enzyme intermediates with PAI-1 by cleaving the scissile bond of PAI-1 RCL, following the Michaelis complex. Before the hydrolysis of this acyl-enzyme intermediate, the PAI-1 RCL undergoes major conformational changes and inserts itself into the PAI-1 β sheet A. At the same time, the tPA in the intermediate is pulled to the other side of PAI-1, distorted, and deactivated before the hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme intermediate can take place. Alternatively, PAI-1 can also spontaneously deactivate by inserting its intact, uncleaved RCL into β sheet A, resulting in the more stable but inactive, so-called latent conformation (14).

As a protease inhibitor, PAI-1 is very specific to tPA and uPA; its inhibition of these two PAs is at least 1000 time faster than of any other proteases (12). The structural origin of PAI-1 specificity to uPA was revealed by the crystal structure of the uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex that we determined in 2011 (15). Compared with uPA, tPA is more susceptible to PAI-1 inhibition with a faster second order rate constant (2.6 × 10^7 M^-1 s^-1 versus 4.8 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1) (16).

In the present study, we determined the crystal structure of the Michaelis complex between tPA and PAI-1, which identifies key residues of tPA in the interface and provides insight on the specificity of PAI-1 for tPA and uPA. This structure also explains the PAI-1-resisting property of tenecteplase and offers important clues to design newer generation of PAI-1-resistant tPA variants.

**Experimental Procedures**

*Materials—Pichia pastoris* strain X-33, Top10F', *E. coli* strain BL21, plasmid pH7-PL, and plasmid pPICZαA were purchased from Invitrogen. All enzymes were from Takara except that Pfu DNA polymerase was from Stratagene. Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides and clones sequencing were from Shanghai Sangon. YNB was from Sigma. Sepharose fast flow and Superdex 75 HR 10/30 size exclusion columns were from GE Healthcare. Other chemicals were purchased from Shanghai Chemical, Inc. Mass spectrometry for human tPA-serine protease domain (tPA-SPD) recombinant was carried out at the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry of Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, China).

Construction of Expression Plasmids of tPA-SPD for Expression in *P. pastoris*—Human tPA-SPD cDNA (276–527, or Ile16–Pro244 in the chymotrypsin numbering) containing S478A, C395A, and N448Q mutations (or S195A, C122A, and N173Q in the chymotrypsin numbering) was generated by PCR. This tPA-SPD cDNA was cloned into the secretory expression vector pPICzαA (Invitrogen) between the XhoI and SalI sites, right after the dipeptide (Lys-Arg, Kex2 cleavage site) is inserted after the N terminus restricted enzyme sites of XhoI. The expression host, *P. pastoris*, contains the Kex2 protease (Kex2p), which recognizes sequences of -Lys-Arg-↓ -Xaa- and -Arg-Arg-↓ -Xaa- (where ↓ is the site of cleavage). During recombinant protein expression, *P. pastoris* Kex2p enzyme will cleave the secreted tPA-SPD and generate a new N terminus of tPA-SPD, allowing the N terminus insertion into the serine protease and the formation of active enzyme.

Transformation and Expression of tPA-SPD in *P. pastoris*—The tPA-SPD DNA construct was transformed into Top10F', which was plated on LB plate (1% yeast extract, 2% tryptone, 0.5% NaCl, and 2% agar) containing 25 μg/ml Zeocin to select positive colonies. The resistant strains were sequenced to identify the right clones. The pPICZαA plasmids containing the right insert were linearized with BstXI restricted enzyme and electroporated (1500V, 25μF, 200Ω) into *P. pastoris* strain X-33 (EasySelect *Pichia* expression kit; Invitrogen). The transfected yeast X-33 were cultured on YPDS plate containing 100 μg/ml Zeocin at 28°C for 3 days to screen for colonies that have the transformed gene integrated into the host chromosomal DNA.

The *P. pastoris* clones were analyzed for expression of tPA-SPD insert. For protein expression, the expression clones were inoculated into 5 ml of BMGY medium (1% yeast extract, 2% tryptone, 1% glycerol, and 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.0) until the culture reached an A_600 of 6. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 1500 × g for 5 min at room temperature and resuspended in 10 ml of BMGY medium (1% yeast extract, 2% tryptone, 0.5% methanol, and 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.0) for expression. Then the cultures were induced every 24 h with 1% (v/v) methanol. After expression was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and optimized, a 4-liter scale tPA-SPD expression was followed.

Purification of tPA-SPD Expressed from *P. pastoris* Strain X-33—After 4 days of induction with 1% methanol, the culture medium was collected by centrifuging at 12,000 × g for 5 min at room temperature. The recombinant protein was captured from the expression medium by a cation exchange column Sepharose fast flow and Superdex 75 HR 10/30 size exclusion columns were from GE Healthcare. Other chemicals were purchased from Shanghai Chemical, Inc. Mass spectrometry for human tPA-serine protease domain (tPA-SPD) recombinant was carried out at the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry of Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, China).

Expression and Purification of PAI-1 Mutant 14-1B—The recombinant PAI-1 mutant 14-1B (18) containing four point mutations (N150H, K154T, Q319L, and M354I), and a hexahistidine tag was expressed in *Esherichia coli*, using the expression vector pT7-PL and BL21 cells as previously described (19). In brief, cells were grown at 37°C until mid-log phase followed by isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside induction at 16°C overnight. Cells were harvested the next day and resuspended in buffer 20 mM MES, pH 6.1, 1 mM NaCl, followed by ultracentrifugation. After sonication, cell pellets were separated by centrifugation, and the supernatant was loaded onto a 5-ml nickel affinity column (GE Healthcare). Subsequent Superdex75 gel filtration chromatography resulted in PAI-1 of greater than 95% purity.

**Crystalization and Data Collection**—The tPA-SPD-PAI-1 Michaelis complex was formed by mixing tPA-SPD and PAI-1 in a 1:1 molar ratio at low concentration (~0.5 mg/ml), followed by dialysis into 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 150 mM NaCl concentration to 0.5 ml volume for a further gel filtration chromatography purification, which yielded to a complex of greater than 99% purity. The purified complex was then con-
crystallized to 10 mg/ml before setting up crystallization trials. Crystals of the tPA-SPD-PAI-1 Michaelis complex were grown at 20 °C with the sitting drop method by mixing equal volumes of protein solution and precipitant solution (8% PEG-6K and 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.4), and appeared quickly within 1 day. However, the crystals always appeared as very thin plates and decayed rapidly in the x-ray beam, posing great difficulty for x-ray data collection. Most crystals diffracted to only 4–5 Å at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility BL-17U Beamline, and the diffracting spots often appeared as elongated or split shapes. After many crystallization and data collection trials for 1.5 years, one 3.16 Å data set was finally obtained at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility Beamline BL17U using 25% glycerol as cryoprotectant at a wavelength of 0.979 Å. The data was processed and scaled using the HKL2000 program package. The crystal belongs to $P2_12_12_1$ space group with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The relatively high $R_{merge}$ (0.22) was justified by the high redundancy (~7) and high completeness (99.9%). Furthermore, the precision-indicating merging $R$ factor $R_{merge}$ (21) showed a decent value (0.10). The $R_{merge}$ was previously used in the analysis of plasminogen structure and thrombin-PN-1 structure [22, 23].

**Phasing and Refinement**—The structure of the tPA-SPD-PAI-1 Michaelis complex was solved by molecular replacement method using MolRep program [24], which gave very strong and unambiguous solutions. A tPA was first positioned inside the crystal lattice using the structure of the tPA-SPD catalytic domain (PDB code 1A5H) [25] as a searching model and all the x-ray data up to 3.3 Å. The molecular replacement gave a contrast of 12.33, a signal to sigma ratio for translational function of 16.02, and a correlation coefficient of 0.365. Next, the position of PAI-1 was searched using the model of active stable variant of PAI-1 (Protein Data Bank code 1DVM) [26] while fixing the already positioned tPA-SPD model, giving only one translational function with a signal to sigma ratio of 19.4 and a correlation coefficient of 0.538. The molecular replacement model was subjected to iterative refinement and manual model rebuilding using Refmac [27] and Coot [28], respectively, giving a final $R$ factor and $R_{free}$ factor of 0.20 and 0.27, respectively. The structure was validated with PROCHECK [29] and analyzed by PyMOL [30] and PISA [31].

**Results and Discussion**

**Overall Structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis Complex**—Human tPA contains a fibronectin type II domain (amino acids 1–50), a growth factor domain (amino acids 51–91), two kringle domains (amino acids 92–261), an interdomain linker (amino acids 262–275), and a SPD (amino acids 276–527; Fig. 1A). The tPA-SPD domain is responsible for its plasminogen activation function and its inhibition by PAI-1. Thus, we used the recombinant tPA-SPD domain to form the Michaelis complex with PAI-1. We generated three mutations in tPA-SPD: S478A (or S195A in the chymotrypsin numbering) to render the tPA-SPD catalytically inactive, so the Michaelis complex does not proceed to the stable, covalent complex; N448Q (or N173Q in the chymotrypsin numbering) to remove the glycosylation on tPA-SPD, increasing the homogeneity of the recombinant protein and facilitating protein crystallization; and C395A (or C122A in the chymotrypsin numbering that will be used throughout the rest of text) mutation to remove the disulfide bond linked to K2 domain (Fig. 1B). The recombinant tPA-SPD was expressed in *P. pastoris* and characterized by SDS-PAGE and mass spectrometry after trypsin digestion. The crystal structure of the tPA-SPD-PAI-1 Michaelis complex was determined at 3.16 Å resolution and refined to reasonable $R$ factors ($R$ factor of 20.6%, $R_{free}$ of 27.0%) and good geometry (Table 1). The final refined model (Fig. 1C) was comprised of residues 8–379 of PAI-1-14-1B (18) and residues 16–243 of tPA-SPD. The flexible RCL of PAI-1 and the surface loops of tPA-SPD, which were often disordered in previously reported structures [25, 32], are well defined in this structure by the electron density maps (Fig. 2). The tPA-SPD adopts a typical protease conformation without major differences when compared with previous tPA-SPD structures, e.g. the tPA-SPD of PDB code 1RTF [32], giving a root mean square deviation of 0.57 Å for all 908 main chain atoms.

**Crystal Structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis Complex**

The Structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis Complex Is Distinct from the uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis Complex Homologue—The structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex reveals that the RCL, from residue Ser-I331 to Arg-I356 ("I" represents PAI-1 residues, and "E" represents tPA-S195A residues) inserts into the active site of tPA in an orientation quite different from that in the uPA-SPD-PAI-1 complex (15) (more on this below). As a result of the complex formation, an interface of 1202 Å² (solvent inaccessible area) between PAI-1 and tPA-SPD is formed, which is higher than that of the uPA-SPD-PAI-1 complex (1058 Å²). Of the total area of PAI-1, 8% is involved in interacting with tPA, whereas for uPA, only 6.9% is at the interface. In addition, the relative orientation between tPA and PAI-1 is quite different from its uPA homologue (Fig. 3) (15).

An Unusual Conformation of PAI-1 RCL upon Binding to tPA—The RCL was previously (19, 26, 33) observed to be flexible and consistently adopt an extended conformation just above β-sheet C in those uncomplexed active PAI-1 structures, regardless of the crystal packing and crystallization conditions (cyan in Fig. 4A). This orientation is close to the 147 loop of PA (cyan in Fig. 4B). Upon binding to uPA, PAI-1 RCL flips to the other side of the protease (green in Fig. 4B), near the 99-loop of PA, by moving 29.8 Å (distance between Ser-I338 in the two structures). In the current tPA-SPD-PAI-1 structure, the RCL of PAI-1 is at a location between free PAI-1 and uPA-bound PAI-1 (yellow in Fig. 4, A and B).

Interestingly, the segment P8–P4 (The number after “P” indicates the position of the residue N-terminal to the scissile bond; the prime indicates residues C-terminal to the scissile bond) of RCL (Thr-Ala-Val-Ile-Val) was observed to adopt a kinked conformation (Fig. 4B), seemingly related to the following two reasons. On one hand, the PAI-1 P8 Thr-I339 residue makes a strong hydrogen bond (2.3 Å) to a residue on the tPA 169-loop (Arg-E174), pulling the RCL toward that loop. On another hand, a hydrophobic segment of PAI-1 (Val-341–Ile-342) binds to the hydrophobic S1β pocket of tPA-SPD, which is impossible in the case of uPA because of the change of two residues (Ala-146–Leu-147 in tPA and Ser-146–Thr-147 in uPA). This hydrophobic interaction pulls the RCL away from the 169-loop and toward the 147-loop (Fig. 4B), lead-
ing to an overall kinked conformation of the segment P8-P4 of RCL. For PAI-1 in the uPA-bound form, this hydrophobic segment interacts with a hydrophobic residue of uPA 99-loop (Leu97b), which is inaccessible for tPA because of a two-residue shorter 99-loop and the absence of hydrophobic residue in the 99-loop. Consequently, the P4 Val-I343 does not occupy the S4

FIGURE 1. Proposed PAI-1 inhibitory mechanism and the overall structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex. A, proposed PAI-1 inhibitory mechanism (12). In this scheme, a noncovalent tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex is formed during the initial encounter \((k_1/k_\text{obs})\) of tPA with PAI-1, followed by a reversible scissile bond cleavage step \((k_2/k_\text{obs})\) that generates a loop-bound acyl-enzyme intermediate, where the distal cleaved RCL remains in the active pocket of tPA. A slow displacement of the distal cleaved RCL within tPA \((k_3/k_\text{obs})\) results in formation of a loop-displaced acyl-enzyme complex, which has two possible outcomes (branched mechanism): 1) the RCL inserts into the \(\beta\) sheet A, bringing tPA to another end of PAI-1, forming a covalent complex with tPA deactivated; and 2) in some cases, tPA can complete the hydrolysis of PAI-1 without being inactivated, which results in cleaved PAI-1 and active tPA. B, the domain structure of tPA (magenta) and PAI-1 (blue). The interdomain disulfide bond is also shown. K, kringle domain; G, growth factor domain; F, fibronectin type II domain. The tPA-SPD variant containing three mutations (C122A, N173Q, and S195A) and a stable PAI-1 variant (18) containing quadruple mutations (N150H, K154T, Q319L, and M354I) are used to form the Michaelis complex and for crystallization. C, crystal structure of Michaelis complex structure of tPA-SPD-PAI-1 in two orthogonal orientations.

TABLE 1
X-ray data collection and model refinement statistics for tPA-SPD-PAI-1 crystal
The numbers in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

| Data collection statistics | P2, 2, 2 | P2, 2, 2 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|
| Space group               | P2, 2, 2 | P2, 2, 2 |
| Cell dimensions           | a = 49.74 Å, b = 73.94 Å, c = 201.8 Å, α = β = γ = 90.0° | 979 |
| Wavelength (Å)            | 0.979 | 35.43-3.16 (3.27-3.16) |
| Resolution (Å)            | 6.97 (3.12) | 6.9 (7.2) |
| Completeness (%)          | 99.9 (99.8) | 99.9 (99.8) |
| Multiplicity              | 2.23 (0.82) | 6.9 (7.2) |
| Rmerge (%)                | 0.22 (0.82) | 0.10 (0.32) |

| Model refinement statistics | 0.206/0.270 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Root mean square deviations of bonds (Å)/angles (°) from ideality | 0.013/1.46 |
| Residues in most favored/outlier regions in Ramachandran plot | 0.90/0.03 |
| Average B-factor (Å²) of model | 47.30 |

\(R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_i |F_i| - \langle|F_i|\rangle|/\sum_i |F_i|\), where \(F_i\) is the intensity of the \(i\)th observation, and \(\langle|F_i|\rangle\) is the mean intensity of the reflections.

\(R_{\text{merge}}\) is a redundancy-independent and precision-indicating merging R factor value (21).

\(R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_i |F_i| - |F_i|/\sum_i |F_i|\), where \(F_i\) and \(F_i\) are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes, respectively. \(R_{\text{merge}}\) was calculated using 5% of the data excluded from refinement.
pocket but fits into the opposite S1 pocket above the S1 pocket, through a complementary interface of 102 Å² (Figs. 4B and 5C).

**tPA Gln-E192 Is a Switch to Control the Entrance to S1/H9252**

We observed that the tPA S1/H9252 specificity pocket is occupied by PAI-1, which is unique among the published protease-serpin Michaelis complex structures (15, 23, 34–37), except the Michaelis complex between rat trypsin and *Manduca sexta* antitrypsin (38), in which the S1β pocket of trypsin is partially occupied by P9-Ala of antitrypsin. The tPA S1β pocket is a small hydrophobic pocket, formed by residues Ala-E146, Gln-E192, and Gly-E219 at the edges and the disulfide bond between Cys-E191 and Cys-E220 at the base. The tPA S1β pocket was previously observed to be blocked by the Gln-E192, which forms hydrogen bonds with two residues of the 147-loop (Lys-E143, 3.2 Å, and Ala-E146, 3.4 Å) (Fig. 5A) (25, 32, 39). In the present Michaelis complex structure, Gln-E192 interacts with a residue at the -s3C (Thr-I205, by a hydrogen bond of 3.1Å) and the P3 residue (Pro-I349, by a van der Waals contact of 3.5Å) of PAI-1 (Fig. 5B). It seems these two PAI-1 residues displaced the tPA 147-loop residues (Lys-E143 and Ala-E146) for their interactions with Gln-E192. As a result, the tPA S1β pocket is opened up to harbor P4 Val-I343 (Fig. 5C). Thus, Gln-E192 undergoes a conformational change upon PAI-1 binding and appears as a switch to control the entrance to S1β (40). It appears that the S1β pocket of uPA is less hydrophobic than that of tPA, because of two residue differences in the 147-loop (Ala-146 – Leu-147 in tPA and Ser-146 – Thr-147 in uPA). This interaction site between tPA and PAI-1 may be a target site for the further improvement of recombinant tPA for thrombolysis.
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FIGURE 4. Conformation of the RCL in the tPA-PAI-1 is different from in free PAI-1 and in uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complexes. A. alignment of active PAI-1 RCL in free form and in PA-bound form. The charged surface representation is the PAI-1 with its RCL removed from the PDB. The RCLs (cyan) of active free PAI-1 (PDB code 1B3K and 1DVM) (26, 33) were observed to adopt an extended conformation just above β-sheet C regardless of the crystal packing and crystallization conditions. The RCL (green) of uPA-bound PAI-1 was observed to flip to another side of PA (PDB code 3PB1) (15). The RCL (yellow) of tPA-bound PAI-1 is at a location between free PAI-1 and uPA-bound. B, left panel, the relative conformations of the RCL in the tPA-SPD-PAI-1 and the uPA-SPD-PAI-1 (PDB-ID 3PB1) Michaelis complexes are displayed on a charged surface representation of tPA-SPD. The RCL in the current structure (yellow) has a localization between the RCL of free PAI-1 (cyan, PDB code 1B3K (33)) and the RCL in the uPA-bound form (green). Right panel, the detailed interactions leading to the kinked conformation of PAI-1. Arg-E174 of tPA (magenta) and Thr-1339 of PAI-1 (yellow) forms a hydrogen bond, which fixes one side of the RCL. The PAI-1 segment Val-341–Ile-342–Val-343 interacts with tPA through hydrophobic interaction, fixing the other end of PAI-1 RCL.

tPA 37-Loop Is a Key Region Making Extensive Interactions with PAI-1—In the Michaelis complexes, the 37-loop (residues 33KHRSPGER39) of tPA has twice as large a contact area with PAI-1 (247 Å²) than uPA (124 Å²) (15), highlighting the importance of this loop in its inhibition by PAI-1 (41, 42).

On the PAI-1 side, three regions have direct interactions with the tPA 37-loop (Fig. 6A). The first region is a negatively charged patch formed on a charged surface representation of tPA-SPD. The RCL in the current structure (yellow) has a localization between the RCL of free PAI-1 (cyan, PDB code 1B3K (33)) and the RCL in the uPA-bound form (green). Right panel, the detailed interactions leading to the kinked conformation of PAI-1. Arg-E174 of tPA (magenta) and Thr-1339 of PAI-1 (yellow) forms a hydrogen bond, which fixes one side of the RCL. The PAI-1 segment Val-341–Ile-342–Val-343 interacts with tPA through hydrophobic interaction, fixing the other end of PAI-1 RCL.

A. RCLs (cartoon) on PAI-1 surface: view from tPA
B. RCL (cartoon) on tPA surface

FIGURE 5. The residue Glu-I350 acts as a switch to control the entrance to S1β pocket of tPA. The opening up of tPA S1β binding pocket (B), compared with the free tPA (PDB code 1A5H), which does not have S1β pocket (A) (25), is due to the rotation of tPA Glu-I350 residue. The S1β then accommodates P4 Val-I343 of PAI-1 (C).

A. tPA in the absence of PAI-1
B. PAI-1-bound tPA
C. PAI S1β accommodates PAI-1 P4 Val-I343

studies. A second region is the P4’ Glu-I350 residue of PAI-1, which is close (3.6 Å) to the tPA di-arginines (Arg-E37a-Arg-E37b) moiety, forming two salt bridges with Arg-37a. Glu-I350 also interacts with another arginine residue (Arg-E39) of tPA by a salt bridge. These three salt bridges are partially shielded away from solvent by the long 37-loop, potentially leading to lower dielectric constant in this region and thus enhancing these charged interactions. The importance of PAI-1 Glu-I350 in tPA binding was also previously identified by site-directed mutagenesis (43, 44). The third region of PAI-1 interacting with tPA 37-loop is the P2’ Ala-I348 of PAI-1, which forms a hydrogen bond with the side chain amino group of tPA Arg-E39. This interaction is at the center of tPA-SPD-PAI-1 interface and is likely more insulated from solvent. Together, the tPA-SPD-PAI-1 interactions on the 37-loop are mainly ionic in nature. As a result, the 37-loop may play a role in orienting tPA toward PAI-1 at a long distance before the formation of the encounter complex.

The residue Glu-I351 of PAI-1 was previously shown by mutational studies to implicate in the tPA binding (43), although the opposite conclusion was obtained in a different study (45). Our structure shows that this residue does not interact with the 37-loop and may have a weak van der Waals contact (4.2 Å to Pro-E149) with the 147-loop of tPA-SPD. For comparison, Glu-I351 is critical in the uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex formation (15), forming a strong (2.7 Å) hydrogen bond with the side chain phenolic group of Tyr-E149.

The tPA 37-loop residues responsible for the direct interactions with PAI-1 comprise Arg-E37a, Arg-E37b, and Arg-E39. On uPA, the corresponding residues are less charged: Arg-E37a, Gly-E37b, and Thr-E39. The current structure demonstrates stronger P’ exosite interaction of PAI-1 to tPA when compared with uPA. These results support the proposed PAI-1
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Amino group of Lys-I207 within 2 Å and another one between the side chain hydroxy of Tyr-E99 and the side chain amino of Lys-I207 within 3.4 Å. It should be pointed out the 2 Å hydrogen bond is unusually short but was well supported by the electron density map, although the resolution of the structure was limited (3.16 Å). The short hydrogen bond was previously reported in other cases (49). This patch on tPA likely contributes to the fast association between tPA and PAI-1. Such conclusion is supported by an earlier study, where a Y99L mutation on tPA reduced inhibition by PAI-1 by more than 10-fold (50).

The tPA Glu-60a interacts with the Arg-I271 on PAI-1 β-s2C by two salt bridges and one strong hydrogen bond (2.4 Å). The interactions with Glu-60a are buried in a shallow pocket surrounded by Leu-I269, Pro-I270, and Glu-I350, yielding strong complementary interfaces.

In the free tPA structures without PAI-1 (25, 32), Gln-60 and Glu-60a adopt the conformations similar to the current structure of Michaelis complex, regardless of crystal packing. In these conformations, Gln-60 and Glu-60a locate at the edge of tPA active pocket and are ready to interact with the Lys-I207 and Arg-I271 of PAI-1 without any conformational changes. Therefore, the tPA 60-loop also contributes to the fast association between tPA and PAI-1. In uPA, a noncharged Ile locates at position 60, making it unable to form strong interactions with the Lys-I207 on PAI-1 β-s3C. The 60a residue of uPA is an aspartate and does not have direct interaction with PAI-1 Arg-I271 (15). It appears that the uPA 60-loop has less interaction with PAI-1 compared with that of tPA.

Enlargement of tPA S2 Pocket upon PAI-1 Binding—Previous structural studies (25, 32, 39) showed that the S2 pocket of tPA has a relatively small size (Fig. 7A) because of the presence of the large residue at position 99 (Tyr-E99), leading to a typical preference for small residues such as Gly at position P2. On the other hand, tPA was found to be able to hydrolyze substrates with larger P2 residues including Pro, Ser, or Ala (51). How does tPA accommodate PAI-1 which has a larger P2 residue (Ala)? We observed in the current structure that the S2 pocket of tPA is enlarged through a 90° rotation of Tyr-E99 phenolic side chain along its Cα–Cγ axis (Fig. 7B), leading to the accommodation of PAI-1 P2 Ala at the S2 pocket (Fig. 7C).

For comparison, uPA has a His at position 99. The side chain imidazole of the His-E99 is not rotatable because the imidazole group has polar directional interaction with the surrounding residues Tyr-94 (3.3 Å) and Leu-97b (3.3 Å to main chain carboxyl group) (52). Hence, uPA has strict preference for a Gly residue at the P2 position of its substrates or inhibitors. In a H99Y variant of uPA, the rotation of Tyr-99 was also observed (53). Therefore, the tPA S2 pocket is intrinsically expandable but not induced by PAI-1, which is different from the tPA S1β pocket. Activated coagulation factor IX (FIXa) has a very low activity toward its substrate in the absence of its cofactor factor VIIIa. It was found that loop 99 of FIXa adopts a locked conformation that interferes with canonical substrate binding in S2–S4 pockets and hinders access of substrate to the catalytic site in the absence, but not in the presence, of FVIIIa (54).

Interactions between P1 Arg-I346 and the S1 Pocket—The P1 residue (Arg-I346) of PAI-1 occupies the tPA S1 specificity pocket and has a strong interaction with tPA (Fig. 8A) by mak-

Inhibitory mechanism, where release of the P’ side of the cleaved RCL from the substrate pocket of the protease is thought to be rate-limiting (18), and tPA exhibited a much slower rate of formation of the final covalent complex than uPA. This proposed mechanism was also supported by previous charge-reversal mutations on the 37-loop of tPA (R37aE,R37bE, R39E), yielding a tPA mutant that showed much slower association rate (by 25,000 times) to PAI-1 compared with the wild type tPA (47). The grafting of the tPA 37-loop to thrombin rendered the inhibition of the fusion protein by PAI-1, giving a second order rate constants of 10^6 M^-1 s^-1, much higher than the wild type thrombin (10^3 M^-1 s^-1) (48).

Exosite Interactions between tPA 60-Loop and PAI-1—In the current Michaelis complex structure, tPA 60-loop has a large contact surface (152 Å²) and makes strong interaction with PAI-1 (Fig. 6B) mainly by residues Gln-E60 and Glu-E60a of tPA. The tPA Gln-E60, together with tPA residues at the 99-loop (Asp-E96 and Tyr-E99), constitutes a negatively charged patch. This patch interacts with the positively charged Lys-I207 on β-s3C of PAI-1 (forming two hydrogen bonds: one between the side chain hydroxy of Gln-E60 and the side chain amino group of Lys-I207 within 2 Å and another one between the side chain hydroxy of Tyr-E99 and the side chain amino of Lys-I207 within 3.4 Å). It should be pointed out the 2 Å hydrogen bond is unusually short but was well supported by the electron density map, although the resolution of the structure was limited (3.16 Å). The short hydrogen bond was previously reported in other cases (49). This patch on tPA likely contributes to the fast association between tPA and PAI-1. Such conclusion is supported by an earlier study, where a Y99L mutation on tPA reduced inhibition by PAI-1 by more than 10-fold (50).

The tPA Glu-60a interacts with the Arg-I271 on PAI-1 β-s2C by two salt bridges and one strong hydrogen bond (2.4 Å). The interactions with Glu-60a are buried in a shallow pocket surrounded by Leu-I269, Pro-I270, and Glu-I350, yielding strong complementary interfaces.

In the free tPA structures without PAI-1 (25, 32), Gln-60 and Glu-60a adopt the conformations similar to the current structure of Michaelis complex, regardless of crystal packing. In these conformations, Gln-60 and Glu-60a locate at the edge of tPA active pocket and are ready to interact with the Lys-I207 and Arg-I271 of PAI-1 without any conformational changes. Therefore, the tPA 60-loop also contributes to the fast association between tPA and PAI-1. In uPA, a noncharged Ile locates at position 60, making it unable to form strong interactions with the Lys-I207 on PAI-1 β-s3C. The 60a residue of uPA is an aspartate and does not have direct interaction with PAI-1 Arg-I271 (15). It appears that the uPA 60-loop has less interaction with PAI-1 compared with that of tPA.

Enlargement of tPA S2 Pocket upon PAI-1 Binding—Previous structural studies (25, 32, 39) showed that the S2 pocket of tPA has a relatively small size (Fig. 7A) because of the presence of the large residue at position 99 (Tyr-E99), leading to a typical preference for small residues such as Gly at position P2. On the other hand, tPA was found to be able to hydrolyze substrates with larger P2 residues including Pro, Ser, or Ala (51). How does tPA accommodate PAI-1 which has a larger P2 residue (Ala)? We observed in the current structure that the S2 pocket of tPA is enlarged through a 90° rotation of Tyr-E99 phenolic side chain along its Cα–Cγ axis (Fig. 7B), leading to the accommodation of PAI-1 P2 Ala at the S2 pocket (Fig. 7C).

For comparison, uPA has a His at position 99. The side chain imidazole of the His-E99 is not rotatable because the imidazole group has polar directional interaction with the surrounding residues Tyr-94 (3.3 Å) and Leu-97b (3.3 Å to main chain carboxyl group) (52). Hence, uPA has strict preference for a Gly residue at the P2 position of its substrates or inhibitors. In a H99Y variant of uPA, the rotation of Tyr-99 was also observed (53). Therefore, the tPA S2 pocket is intrinsically expandable but not induced by PAI-1, which is different from the tPA S1β pocket. Activated coagulation factor IX (FIXa) has a very low activity toward its substrate in the absence of its cofactor factor VIIIa. It was found that loop 99 of FIXa adopts a locked conformation that interferes with canonical substrate binding in S2–S4 pockets and hinders access of substrate to the catalytic site in the absence, but not in the presence, of FVIIIa (54).

Interactions between P1 Arg-I346 and the S1 Pocket—The P1 residue (Arg-I346) of PAI-1 occupies the tPA S1 specificity pocket and has a strong interaction with tPA (Fig. 8A) by mak-
ing a total of six hydrogen bonds (three main chain hydrogen bonds from the carbonyl to Ala-E195 and oxyanion hole residues Gly-E193 and Asp-E194, one hydrogen bond from the nitrogen atom to Ser-E214, and two bifurcated hydrogen bonds from the side chain guanidine group to the carboxylate of Asp-E189) and three salt bridges (three charged hydrogen bonds from the side chain guanidine group to the carboxylate of Asp-E189) with the surrounding tPA residues. These interactions between PAI-1 P1 residue and tPA S1 pocket are different from that (Fig. 8B) in the uPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex (15), because of one residue difference at position 190 (Ser-190 in uPA and Ala-190 in tPA).

Mutations on Recombinant tPA to Evade Inactivation by Endogenous PAI-1—Recombinant tPA (or its variants) is the Food and Drug Administration-approved drug for ischemic strokes. It is administered intravenously to improve blood flow by dissolving clots and to maintain patency of blood vessels. However, the tPA treatment for ischemic strokes has a short therapeutic window (<3 h within occlusion) and the high incidence of post-treatment complications, including intracerebral hemorrhage (55, 56), leading to its limited clinical use (only 3–8% of patients eligible for such therapy) (3, 4, 57). Newer generation of recombinant tPA is being continuously developed (2). One idea is to generate tPA variants that is resistant to inactivation by its endogenous inhibitor PAI-1, thus reducing the dose of recombinant tPA used.

Previous mutagenesis studies identified that the residues at positions 36, 37, and 39 of tPA-SPD are pivotal for the inactivation by PAI-1 but play no role in activation of plasminogen (41, 42). A tPA variant in which four alanines replaced the amino acids in positions 36–37b (KHRR36–37bAAAA) has a 90-fold increase in resistance to PAI-1 without compromising fibrin specificity (46, 58). These SPD mutations were combined with the mutations at the kringle 1 domain of tPA, and the resulting tPA variant is named TNK-tPA (tenecteplase) (7) and was shown to be the most effective thrombotic agent approved by Food and Drug Administration so far, although the bleeding risk is still present. The current structure of tPA-PAI-1 Michaelis complex shows the direct interactions between tPA residues R37a-R37b and PAI-1, providing a structural rationale for the resistance of TNK-tPA for PAI-1 inactivation.

The inhibition of tPA by PAI-1 involves multiple steps (Fig. 1A), and each step can be exploited to design of recombinant tPA resisting PAI-1 inactivation. Even though the formation of Michaelis complex represents only the first step, the current structure of the Michaelis complex seems can explain the results from previous studies. One study showed the tPA variant with R39A mutation has reduced PAI-1 inactivation (47), which is consistent with the observation in this structure that tPA Arg-39 indeed has directly interactions with PAI-1 Ala-348 and Glu-350. The tPA-Y99L mutant had less binding to PAI-1, without compromising plasminogen activation (50). This tPA Tyr-99 residue defines the S2 pocket and interacts with PAI-1 Lys-207.

Along this line, our current structure can provide further clues to design new PAI-1-resisitant tPA variants. As described above, tPA residues Gln-60 and Glu-60a of the 60-loop, Tyr-151 of the 147-loop, and Arg-174 of the 169-loop all participate in extensive exosite interactions with PAI-1. Site-directed mutations on these residues may maximize tPA resistance to
inhibition by PAI-1. In addition, the tPA S1β pocket was occupied by PAI-1 P4 Val-343, and mutations around the pocket may enhance tPA resistance to inhibition by PAI-1. The advantage of the mutations at this pocket is not to perturb plasminogen activation activity, because this pocket has some distance away from the catalytic triad. The effects of these mutations on fibrin specificity and fibrin degradation must, of course, be evaluated.
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