Evaluation of the Importance of Some East Asian Tree Species for Refinement of Air Quality by Estimating Air Pollution Tolerance Index, Anticipated Performance Index, and Air Pollutant Uptake

Myeong Ja Kwak 1, Jong Kyu Lee 1, Sanghee Park 1, Yea Ji Lim 1, Handong Kim 1, Kyeong Nam Kim 1, Sun Mi Je 2, Chan Ryul Park 2 and Su Young Woo 1,* 1 Department of Environmental Horticulture, University of Seoul, Seoul 02504, Korea; 016na8349@hanmail.net (M.J.K.); gpl90@naver.com (J.K.L.); parksanghee9930@gmail.com (S.P.); oxll21@naver.com (Y.J.L.); blasterkhd92@gmail.com (H.K.); uforest81@daum.net (K.N.K.) 2 Urban Forests Research Center, National Institute of Forest Science, Seoul 02455, Korea; jesm0211@korea.kr (S.M.J.); maeulsoop@korea.kr (C.R.P.) * Correspondence: wsy@uos.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-10-3802-5242

Received: 13 March 2020; Accepted: 8 April 2020; Published: 10 April 2020

Abstract: Potentials of tree species as biofilters depend on appropriate selection based on their tolerance to air pollution, which is usually evaluated by the air pollution tolerance index (APTI) and anticipated performance index (API). Thus, these index values need as a means of scientific understanding to assess the role of urban trees for better greenspace planning/management to mitigate impacts of gaseous air pollution such as ozone (O3) and sulfur dioxide (SO2). O3 exposure to Chionanthus retusus, Pinus densiflora, and Ginkgo biloba showed higher stomatal O3 flux than the others, finally resulting in both favoring stomatal movement and maintaining carbon fixation. In contrast, despite the whole tree enhanced SO2 uptake under excess SO2 exposure, the carbon assimilation capacity was only found in Taxus cuspidata and Zelkova serrata as a consequence of no stomatal sluggishness. On the basis of API, P. densiflora and Prunus × yedoensis were good performers for developing greenspace, while Z. serrata and G. biloba were moderate performers; however, C. retusus and T. cuspidata were estimated to be poor and very poor performers, respectively, for reducing the air quality injury caused by air pollutants. The present study suggests that an integration of both APTI and API based on stomatal absorption flux is needed for selecting sound tree-species in greenspace planning/construction to control gaseous air pollutions.
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1. Introduction

Over the last few decades, many studies have focused on the ongoing global trend of relatively fast and steady urbanization [1–5]. Sustainable and healthy urban environments are increasingly important for human well-being, including human health, ecosystems, climate, and visibility [6]. Air pollution is an intractable global problem that affects human and ecosystem health, plant vitality, meteorological conditions, atmospheric quality, and more. The most common air pollutants include carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), ozone (O3), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5). Overall, trees are able to remove a significant amount of pollutants by the adsorption of airborne particulates on leaf surfaces and the absorption of gaseous pollutants through leaf stomata [7,8]. Therefore, urban trees can contribute to improving human well-being through
natural purification of air pollutants and particulate matter [9]. Because of this, urban greenspace plays an important role in cities, and the selection of tolerant tree species is essential to reform air quality in urban greenspace planning [10,11]. Overall, the findings of the studies mentioned above have suggested less of a causal relationship between exposure to air pollution and health outcomes in areas with more green space, although several recent studies revealed no significant correlations [12,13].

In previous studies, the potential of urban and suburban forests to remove harmful pollutants from the atmosphere has been quantified in many cities in Europe [14,15]. Air pollution removal by trees is recognized as an economic component of the pollution reduction strategy in global urbanization areas [16–18]. Gaseous air pollutants are partially removed from leaf surfaces but mainly reduced by air pollutant uptake through stomata [19,20]. Harmful gas pollutants may be dissolved by the moisture film on wettable leaf surfaces. In the case of non-wettable leaf surfaces, gas pollutants are primarily taken up through leaf stomata and may react in leaf mesophyll cells [19], ultimately leading to reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation [21–24]. The nature and effects of air pollutant abatement studies usually depend on the plant’s resistance or sensitivity to air pollution [25]. Plant response to air pollution at physiological and biochemical levels can be understood by analyzing factors that determine tolerance and sensitivity. Previous studies have identified an ideal species for the development of urban forests based on the air pollution tolerance index (APTI) and the anticipated performance index (API) to estimate tolerant plant species with the greatest possibility for reducing the injury caused by air pollutants. These two measurements can be applied in various tree species for developing green space anywhere in the world [26–28]. The APTI provides a reliable method for estimating the susceptibility level of tree species as the biofilter performance for managing ambient air quality in an urban area. Also, tolerance level of trees to air pollutants is specific to a site and varies with the type and level of air pollution [29]. The APTI value uses distinct biochemical parameters such as ascorbic acid, total chlorophyll, relative water content, and leaf extract pH that collectively reflect stress indicators [27,29–31].

As mentioned previously, air pollution mitigation potential by urban trees occurs mainly due to precipitation into leaf surfaces and/or stomata. Adsorption refers to the deposition of solid particulates in the atmosphere onto plant surfaces such as leaves or bark, and absorption indicates biofiltration by chemical reactions of plants with reactive air pollutants such as O₃ and SO₂ [32]. Air pollution reduction potential depends on physio-biochemical characteristics and antioxidative defense systems of plants [29,33,34], so it is necessary to improve air quality by selecting suitable species. However, in Korea, there is insufficient information available from APTI and API assessment in selecting appropriate trees to ameliorate air pollution. Furthermore, the relative magnitude of the benefit and value of urban trees on air quality and human health in Korea remains unknown. Through tree-based air pollution removal and other ecosystem services, urban trees are positively correlated with air quality improvement and consequently human health, but high canopy-covered areas are not necessarily positively related to air pollution reduction [16]. Currently, issues such as selecting the best species for a particular environment for air quality improvement complicate the process of green planning. Therefore, consideration of suitable urban trees is important to high-quality green infrastructure. In addition, there is an urgent need for basic data on the use of urban trees to improve air quality by understanding the interaction between trees and air pollutants. In the present study, we quantified the stomatal uptake of gaseous pollutants and estimated its specific tolerance on interactions between air pollutants and major urban trees.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experiment Site and Plant Materials

Six major street tree species in Seoul were selected based on street tree statistics of the Seoul Open Data Plaza [35], and these urban tree species were tested to assess their absorption capacity to gaseous air pollutants. This research was carried out to study the possibility of significantly reducing
urban air pollution based on physio-biochemical responses using chamber exposure experiments under controlled conditions for the following species: *Taxus cuspidata* Siebold & Zucc., *Pinus densiflora* Siebold & Zucc., *Ginkgo biloba* L., *Chionanthus retusus* Lindl. & Paxton, *Prunus* × *yedoensis* Matsum., and *Zelkova serrata* (Thunb.) Makino. Prior to experimental procedures, 20 individuals of two-year-old tree saplings with similar height and basal diameter were transplanted to 4-L plastic pots containing a commercial growth medium (Nong Kyung Co., Jincheon, Korea), followed by the four-week acclimation process, and then conducted a study over a period of three months. For each gas exposure, 10 individuals of each tree species were selected and divided by five into control or treatment group. All physiological parameters and stomatal uptake were measured from 12 and 15 leaves for O$_3$ and SO$_2$, respectively, using the third to fifth fully expanded leaves from the top of each plant for each treatment. For analyses of total chlorophyll content (TChl) and relative water content (RWC) at the end of each gas exposure experiment, leaf samples of each species were randomly detached from twigs, put into plastic bags, placed in an icebox, and then transported immediately to a laboratory. In addition, further leaf samples from each species were immediately packed in aluminum foil to avoid contamination, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept in a deep freezer at −80 °C until analyses of ascorbic acid content (AsA) and leaf extract pH.

### 2.2. Experimental Design and Growth Conditions

Trees were exposed to ozone (O$_3$) and sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), the major air pollutants generated in cities, divided into four groups, and treated as follows: (1) Ambient O$_3$ concentration (as control of O$_3$ treatment, OC: 10 nmol mol$^{-1}$); (2) O$_3$ exposure (elevated O$_3$, OT: 100 nmol mol$^{-1}$); (3) ambient SO$_2$ concentration (as control of SO$_2$ treatment, SC: 4 nmol mol$^{-1}$); and (4) SO$_2$ exposure (elevated SO$_2$, ST: 500 nmol mol$^{-1}$). The exposure period was five to seven weeks for O$_3$ and two to five days for SO$_2$, periods which cause visible damage. The experiment was carried out using a phytotron growth chamber (150 cm × 150 cm × 200 cm; Koito Industries, Yokohama, Japan) linked to a plant environment control system at the University of Seoul. Typical conditions of temperature, relative humidity, and concentration in each chamber were precisely controlled. In the phytotron growth chamber with sunlight and natural day-length, day/night temperature and relative humidity were maintained 25/20 °C and 50–60%, respectively, using a well-controlled air pollution fumigation system.

### 2.3. Monitoring Physiological Parameters

Leaf gas exchange was measured according to a previously reported method [36] using the LI-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis system (LI-6400XT; Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) equipped with a leaf cuvette that enables artificial LED Red and Blue light sources (6400-02B; LI-COR Inc., NE, Lincoln, USA). During measurements, conditions inside the LI-6400XT cuvettes were set up to match as follows: The cuvette block temperature was fixed at 25 °C, CO$_2$ concentration was 400 µmol mol$^{-1}$, photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was 1000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and the relative humidity was 50–55%.

\[
P_n = \frac{U_e(C_e - C_c)\times 100}{C_cE_s}
\]

where $P_n$: Net photosynthetic rate (µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $U_e$: Air flow into the leaf chamber (µmol s$^{-1}$), $C_e$: Mole fraction of CO$_2$ in the leaf chamber (µmol CO$_2$ mol$^{-1}$ air), $C_c$: Molar ratio of CO$_2$ entering the leaf chamber (µmol CO$_2$ mol$^{-1}$ air), $s$: Leaf area (cm$^2$), $E$: Transpiration (mmol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

### 2.4. Stomatal Ozone Uptake

O$_3$ uptake through leaf stomata was calculated by dividing leaf conductance by the ratio of the binary diffusivities for water vapor and O$_3$ in air (1.68) and multiplying by the O$_3$ concentration.
in the chamber at the time of measuring water vapor conductance [36]. The \( \text{O}_3 \) uptake rate, \( Q \), was calculated using the following Equation (2) [37].

\[
Q = z_a \times g_{gw}/1.68,
\]

where \( Q \): Stomatal \( \text{O}_3 \) uptake (nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( z_a \): The ambient \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration in the chamber, \( g_{gw} \): The gas phase conductance to water vapor, 1.68: The ratio of the binary diffusivities for water vapor and \( \text{O}_3 \) in the air.

2.5. Stomatal Sulfur Dioxide Uptake

\( \text{SO}_2 \) uptake rates through leaf stomata were determined by modifying the equation, which was devised by [38]. Total \( \text{SO}_2 \) uptake is the sum of \( \text{SO}_2 \) adsorption rates to exterior leaf features and \( \text{SO}_2 \) absorption rates through leaf stomata. Here, the values of \( \text{SO}_2 \) uptake via stomata (hereafter referred to as \( \text{SO}_2 \) absorption rate) were calculated based on the following Equation (3), assuming that \( \text{SO}_2 \) causes a change in leaf metabolism.

\[
J_{\text{SO}_2} = S(0.531 \times L_W),
\]

where \( J_{\text{SO}_2} \): \( \text{SO}_2 \) absorption rate through stomata (nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( S \): \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentration around the leaf, 0.531: Ratio of \( \text{SO}_2 \) diffusivity to water vapor diffusivity, \( L_W \): Stomatal conductance (nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

2.6. Determination of Leaf Microstructural Changes to Air Pollutants

Visible observations were made from the point of time of visible damage. Leaf sample preparation for stomata observation was prepared as previously described [39] and was carried out by freeze-drying for one week on the samples collected from the same leaves used for measuring the photosynthetic function. After one week, the freeze-dried leaves were transferred to the electron microscope lab at the Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI) and cut into 1-mm sections. These samples were mounted on a metal stub and then coated with platinum using a sputter-coater (SCD 005; BAL-TEC, Balzers, Liechtenstein). Observation of ultra-fine structures of stomata was carried out using a focused ion beam-field emission scanning electron microscopy (FIB-FESEM; Auriga, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

2.7. Development of Air Pollution Tolerance Index (APTI) and Anticipated Performance Index (API)

Air pollution tolerance index (APTI) was measured to evaluate the trees’ resistance to air pollution. The APTI as an indicator of susceptibility to air pollution was analyzed by biochemical parameters of ascorbic acid content (AsA), leaf extract pH, total chlorophyll content (TChl), and relative water content (RWC). The value of each of these variables was measured by the following Equation (4) [40].

\[
\text{APTI} = (A \times (T + P) + R)/10, \quad (4)
\]

where \( A \) is the AsA (mg g\(^{-1}\) FW), \( T \) is the TChl (mg g\(^{-1}\) FW), \( P \) is the leaf extract pH, and \( R \) is the RWC (%).

Based on the resultant APTI values as well as some relevant morphological parameters and socio-economic importance (e.g., plant habit, crown structure, plant type, laminar structure, and economic value), the anticipated performance index (API) was calculated. Grades (+ or −) for these parameters were assigned as shown in Table 1. The API value was calculated for each tree species based on percentage score (Table 2). The percentage score was evaluated using the following Equation (5):

\[
\text{Percentage score} = \frac{\text{Grades obtained by tree species}}{\text{Maximum possible grades for any tree species}} \times 100, \quad (5)
\]
where the maximum possible grade scored for any plant is 16 [26–30,41].

Table 1. Gradation of urban tree species by air pollution tolerance index (APTI), morphobiological parameters, and socio-economic importance to determine the anticipated performance index (API).

| Grading Character          | Pattern of Assessment | Grading Allotted \(^1\) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| (a) Tolerance APTI         | 2.0–6.0               | +                      |
|                             | 6.1–10.0              | ++                     |
|                             | 10.1–14.0             | +++                    |
|                             | 14.1–18.0             | ++++                   |
|                             | 18.1–22.0             | ++++                   |
| (b) Biological plant habit | Small                 | –                      |
|                             | Medium                | +                      |
|                             | Large                 | ++                     |
| Crown structure             | Sparse/irregular/globular | –                  |
|                             | Spreading crown/open/semi-dense | +              |
|                             | Spreading dense       | ++                     |
| Plant type                  | Deciduous             | –                      |
|                             | Evergreen             | +                      |
| (c) Morphological laminar structure | Small   | –                      |
|                             | Medium                | +                      |
|                             | Large                 | ++                     |
| Texture                    | Smooth                | –                      |
|                             | Coriaceous            | +                      |
| Hardiness                  | Not hardy             | –                      |
|                             | Hardy                 | +                      |
| (d) Socio-economic frequency | <3 uses             | –                      |
|                             | 3–4 uses              | +                      |
|                             | >4 uses               | ++                     |

Note: A maximum grade for any tree species is 16. \(^1\) Gradation characteristics and grades allotted were adopted from [26–28,30].

Table 2. Anticipated performance index (API) and of urban tree species under gaseous air pollution [26–28,30,41].

| Score (%)  | API Value | Assessment of Category |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Up to 30.0 | 0         | Not recommended        |
| 31.0–40.0  | 1         | Very poor              |
| 41.0–50.0  | 2         | Poor                   |
| 51.0–60.0  | 3         | Moderate               |
| 61.0–70.0  | 4         | Good                   |
| 71.0–80.0  | 5         | Very Good              |
| 81.0–90.0  | 6         | Excellent              |
| 91.0–100.0 | 7         | Best                   |

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 (SPSS Inc., IBM Company Headquarters, Chicago, IL, USA), and the data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used at the \( p < 0.05 \) level to analyze the statistically significant differences in each species. Then, ANOVA was performed to identify the differences among the groups and the significance of each group was tested using the Tukey HSD test. The significance of the control and treatment groups for each air pollutant was statistically analyzed using the paired-samples t-test.
3. Results

3.1. Physiological Responses of Urban Trees Towards Air Pollutants

In the present study, photosynthesis of ozone (O\textsubscript{3}) exposed T. cuspidata, P. × yedoensis, and Z. serrata decreased by 50%, 26%, and 38%, respectively, compared with the control after four weeks of O\textsubscript{3} exposure. The photosynthetic capacity of P. densiflora, C. retusus, and G. biloba showed no statistically significant difference after O\textsubscript{3} treatment compared with the control, which can be explained by normal stomatal opening and maintenance (Figure 1a). After four weeks of O\textsubscript{3} exposure, stomatal conductance of T. cuspidata significantly decreased by 79% compared with the control. P. densiflora, C. retusus, P. × yedoensis, Z. serrata, and G. biloba were found to maintain stomatal conductance in O\textsubscript{3} treatment compared with control (Figure 1b).

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Photosynthetic rate (a) and stomatal conductance (b) of urban trees after four weeks of O\textsubscript{3} exposure of 100 ppb concentration. Each bar represents the mean of 15 replicates. Green bars, OC (ambient O\textsubscript{3}, 10 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}); gray bars, OT (elevated O\textsubscript{3}, 100 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}). Different lowercase and uppercase letters mean significant differences among tree species within ambient O\textsubscript{3} and elevated O\textsubscript{3}, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between ambient O\textsubscript{3} (OC) and elevated O\textsubscript{3} (OT) within each tree species (paired t-test, * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; ns, not significant).

After SO\textsubscript{2} exposure to 500 ppb, carbon assimilation in P. densiflora, C. retusus, P. × yedoensis, and G. biloba decreased significantly compared with the control, whereas Z. serrata showed no statistically significant difference (Figure 2a). Leaf photosynthetic traits of P. densiflora and P. × yedoensis were markedly down-regulated by SO\textsubscript{2} treatment, by 58% and 46%, respectively, compared with those
of control. Stomatal conductance was significantly decreased in *P. densiflora*, *C. retusus*, *P. × yedoensis*, and *G. biloba* exposed to SO$_2$ compared with the control. Stomatal conductance of *Z. serrata* showed no statistically significant difference in SO$_2$ treatment compared with the control (Figure 2b). Importantly, *T. cuspidata* was greater in photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance than those for control (Figure 2), despite enhanced SO$_2$ absorption flux (except other species).

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Photosynthetic rate (a) and stomatal conductance (b) of urban trees after four days to SO$_2$ exposure of 500 ppb concentration. Each bar represents the mean of 12 replicates. Yellow bars, SC (ambient SO$_2$, 4 nmol mol$^{-1}$); gray bars, ST (elevated SO$_2$, 500 nmol mol$^{-1}$). Different lowercase and uppercase letters mean significant differences among tree species within ambient SO$_2$ and elevated SO$_2$, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between ambient SO$_2$ (SC) and elevated SO$_2$ (ST) within each tree species (paired t-test, *p* < 0.05; **p** < 0.01; ***p*** < 0.001; ns, not significant).

### 3.2. Leaf Response Towards Removal Efficiency of Air Pollutants

Under the O$_3$ concentration of 100 ppb, values of O$_3$ flux through stomata were higher in *C. retusus*, *P. densiflora*, and *G. biloba* compared with control; however, these species showed maintenance of carbon assimilation ability. O$_3$ flux of *T. cuspidata* showing stomatal closure had no statistically significant difference under O$_3$ treatment compared with the control (Figure 3). Carbon assimilation and O$_3$ uptake were high in *P. densiflora*, *C. retusus*, and *G. biloba*, but not in *T. cuspidata* showing O$_3$-induced stomatal closure.

In order to determine differences of SO$_2$ uptake via stomata, dynamics of SO$_2$ flux via stomata were calculated from six species after four days of exposure (Figure 4). Based on SO$_2$ uptake calculated by stomatal conductance, *Z. serrata* had the highest SO$_2$ absorption (34.5 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), while *G. biloba* presented the lowest absorption capacity (7.8 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), compared to other species (one-way ANOVA, *p* < 0.001), *C. retusus* (30.1 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), *P. densiflora* (18.7 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), *P. × yedoensis* (13.7 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), and *T. cuspidata* (12.8 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). The stomatal SO$_2$ flux of *P. × yedoensis*...
showed the lowest increase under SO$_2$ exposure (as compared to other plants), resulting from a drastic decrease in stomatal conductance over a period of a four-day exposure.

**Figure 3.** O$_3$ flux of urban trees after four weeks of exposure to 100 ppb O$_3$ concentration. Each bar represents the mean of 15 replicates. Green bars, OC (ambient O$_3$, 10 nmol mol$^{-1}$); gray bars, OT (elevated O$_3$, 100 nmol mol$^{-1}$). Different lowercase and uppercase letters mean significant differences among tree species within ambient O$_3$ and elevated O$_3$, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between OC and OT within each tree species (paired t-test, * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ns, not significant).

**Figure 4.** SO$_2$ flux by urban trees after four days of exposure to 500 ppb SO$_2$ concentration. Each bar represents the mean of 12 replicates. Yellow bars, SC (ambient SO$_2$, 4 nmol mol$^{-1}$); gray bars, ST (elevated SO$_2$, 500 nmol mol$^{-1}$). Different lowercase and uppercase letters mean significant differences among tree species within ambient SO$_2$ and elevated SO$_2$, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between SC and ST within each tree species (paired t-test, * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ns, not significant).

The leaves of G. biloba were found to be totally yellowed with pale brown stains after four weeks of O$_3$ exposure. C. retusus and Z. serrata showed black spots between the leaf veins and yellowing at the edges of the leaves. P. × yedoensis had red spots across the leaves (data not shown). In this study, anatomical changes and visible damages were observed in trees exposed to SO$_2$. The leaves of G. biloba
were observed to have a yellowing of the leaves due to chlorophyll destruction and the formation of light brown spots. \textit{C. retusus} and \textit{Z. serrata} showed browning between the leaf veins and yellowing at the periphery. \textit{P. \times yedoensis} showed browning and yellowing at the periphery of the leaf veins, and the leaves of \textit{P. densiflora} turned to light green and gray green from the apex, and turned brown as the damage persisted (data not shown).

Leaf stomata unexposed to \textit{O}_3 had a smooth and regular pore rim, a soft, small, uniform wax layer, and sporadic wax crystals. The stomata of leaves exposed to \textit{O}_3 in our study were swollen and had crystals in the amorphous wax layer. In particular, the guard cells severely damaged by \textit{O}_3 were completely destroyed or the wax layer was blocking the stomata. Unlike the data of stomatal conductance (Figure 2), acute exposure to \textit{SO}_2 led to partially observed stomatal closure in \textit{Z. serrata}, representing a possible mechanism to avoid internal damage by \textit{SO}_2 exposure (Figure 5k). In addition, swollen guard cells and collapsed subsidiary cells adjacent to some stomata were observed in \textit{Z. serrata} after acute exposure of \textit{SO}_2. Interestingly, \textit{Z. serrata} was strongly damaged in some trichomes (hair-like epidermal appendages), acting as a protective cover against air pollutants (Figure 5l). Consistent with the observed stomatal conductance in \textit{P. \times yedoensis}, stomata had small pores after \textit{SO}_2 exposure and they virtually closed by guard cells, leaf guard cells were swollen, and subsidiary cells represented the cytoplasmic shrinkage, as compared with the control (Figure 5o,p). Some stomata of \textit{P. densiflora} were blocked by the wax layer after exposure to \textit{SO}_2 (Figure 5g). Importantly, \textit{T. cuspidata} exposed to \textit{SO}_2 showed similar stomatal opening and undamaged wax layer compared with the control (Figure 5c,d), therefore resulting in enhanced or at least maintained activities in physiological parameters. \textit{G. biloba} revealed normal stomatal opening but was found to collapse epicuticular wax layers in excess exposure to \textit{SO}_2 (Figure 5s,t). Interestingly, \textit{C. retusus} showed some closing response of stomatal apertures, destruction of glandular trichomes, and deformation of non-glandular trichomes under \textit{SO}_2 exposure (Figure 5w,x).

### 3.3. Evaluation of Air Pollution Tolerance Index (APTI) and Anticipated Performance Index (API) to Air Pollutants

Four important biochemical variables, ascorbic acid content (AsA), relative moisture content (RWC), leaf extract pH, and total chlorophyll (TChl), were analyzed to evaluate the APTI of the six trees (Table 3 and Figure 6). As shown in Table 3, the AsA and pH of these tree species were confirmed to be normally maintained as the control level under air pollution exposure. On the other hand, there were significant variations in the RWC of \textit{P. densiflora} between unexposed controls and exposed groups to air pollutants. The RWC of \textit{P. densiflora} was significantly lower by 12% in exposed groups than in unexposed controls, whereas the RWC of \textit{P. \times yedoensis} was significantly higher by 7% in exposed groups. Interestingly, the RWC values of \textit{T. cuspidata}, \textit{C. retusus}, \textit{Z. serrata}, and \textit{G. biloba} were confirmed to be normally maintained as the control level under the air pollution exposure. The TChl of \textit{P. \times yedoensis}, and \textit{Z. serrata} to exposure of gaseous air pollution were significantly lower than those of the control group by 33% and 47%, respectively. The TChl of \textit{T. cuspidata}, \textit{P. densiflora}, \textit{C. retusus}, and \textit{G. biloba} was not statistically significant in the air pollution exposure.

As shown in Figure 6, the air pollution tolerance index (APTI) and anticipated performance index (API) were evaluated along with the efficiency of the absorption of air pollutants. The APTI of \textit{P. densiflora} to exposure of gaseous air pollutants was significantly lower than those of the control group by 11%. In particular, the APTI of \textit{T. cuspidata}, \textit{C. retusus}, \textit{P. \times yedoensis}, and \textit{Z. serrata} were not statistically significant in air pollution treatment compared with the control (Figure 6). Table 4 presented the obtained results of the grade allotted to urban tree species based on their APTI values and relevant morphobiological parameters, and socio-economic importance. The grade of \textit{P. densiflora} and \textit{P. \times yedoensis} showed higher values of 62.5% relating to a good performer, while the other four tree species were categorized with grades allotted as follows: \textit{Z. serrata} (moderate, 56.3%), \textit{G. biloba} (moderate, 56.3%), \textit{C. retusus} (poor, 50.0%), and \textit{T. cuspidata} (very poor, 37.5%).
Figure 5. Leaf surface topography showing stomata, grooves, trichomes, and waxes in urban trees after exposure to gaseous air toxic SO₂. (a–d) Taxus cuspidata, (e–h) Pinus densiflora, (i–l) Zelkova serrata, (m–p) Prunus × yedoensis, (q–t) Ginkgo biloba, and (u–x) Chionanthus retusus. (a,b,e,i,m,n,q,r,u,v) Control leaf surfaces (having normal stomatal movement) and (c,d,f,j,k,l,o,p,s,t,w,x) gaseous air pollution treated leaf surfaces (having abnormal stomatal movement). Scale bars: (b,n) 5 µm; (d,f,i,k,m,o,p,r,t,u,w) 10 µm; (a,c,e,g,j,s) 20 µm; (h,l) 50 µm; (j) 100 µm; and (v,x) 200 µm.

Table 3. Assessment of biochemical parameters in selected urban tree species after exposure to gaseous air pollution.

| Tree Species         | AsA (mg/g FW) | pH     | TChl (mg/g FW) | RWC (%) |
|----------------------|---------------|--------|----------------|---------|
| Taxus cuspidata      |               |        |                |         |
| Con.                 | 1.07 ± 0.30    | 5.56   | 2.69 ± 0.51    | 78 ± 6  |
| Tre.                 | 1.02 ± 0.25    | 5.54   | 2.46 ± 0.23    | 83 ± 6  |
| Pinus densiflora     |               |        |                |         |
| Con.                 | 1.12 ± 0.34    | 3.85   | 1.60 ± 0.25    | 81 ± 3  |
| Tre.                 | 1.12 ± 0.34    | 3.79   | 1.48 ± 0.22    | 72 ± 8  |
| Chionanthus retusus  |               |        |                |         |
| Con.                 | 0.55 ± 0.12    | 5.36   | 11.7 ± 4.44    | 66 ± 6  |
| Tre.                 | 0.47 ± 0.01    | 5.49   | 11.0 ± 4.82    | 69 ± 5  |
| Prunus × yedoensis   |               |        |                |         |
| Con.                 | 1.08 ± 0.32    | 5.66   | 3.32 ± 0.11    | 75 ± 3  |
| Tre.                 | 1.11 ± 0.37    | 5.57   | 2.22 ± 0.51    | 80 ± 5  |
Table 3. Cont.

| Tree Species        | AsA (mg/g FW) | pH   | TChl (mg/g FW) | RWC (%) |
|---------------------|---------------|------|----------------|---------|
|                     |               |      |                |         |
| Zelkova serrata     | Con. 1.14 ± 0.37 a | 6.03 ± 0.04 a | 7.37 ± 0.83 b | 72 ± 5 c,d |
|                     | Tre. 1.16 ± 0.43 a | 6.01 ± 0.02 a | 3.93 ± 0.96 b *** | 74 ± 8 a,b,c |
| Ginkgo biloba       | Con. 0.51 ± 0.17 b | 3.94 ± 0.03 d | 5.07 ± 3.28 b,c | 73 ± 4 c,d |
|                     | Tre. 0.50 ± 0.17 b | 3.99 ± 0.18 c | 4.15 ± 2.23 b | 66 ± 10 c |

Values are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 8). Different superscript lowercase letters represent significant differences among the six species in unexposed controls (Con.) and exposed groups (Tre.) to gaseous air toxic pollution, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between Con. and Tre. within each species (* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001).

Figure 6. Assessment of air pollution tolerance index (APTI) of selected urban tree species after exposure to gaseous air pollutants. Each bar represents the mean of eight replicates. Green bars, unexposed controls (CONTROL); gray bars, exposed groups (TREATMENT) to gaseous air pollution. Different lowercase and uppercase letters mean significant differences among tree species within CONTROL and TREATMENT, respectively (Tukey HSD test after one-way ANOVA). Asterisks represent significant differences between CONTROL and TREATMENT within each tree species (paired t-test, * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; ns, not significant).

Table 4. Anticipated performance index (API) of urban tree species based on the air pollution tolerance index (APTI) as well as morphological parameters and socio-economic importance.

| Species              | APTI  | PH | CS | PT | LS  | EV | Grade Allotted |
|----------------------|-------|----|----|----|-----|----|----------------|
| Taxus cuspidata      | ++    | ++ | +  | -  | -   | -  | 37.5, 1 Very poor |
| Pinus densiflora     | ++    | ++ | ++ | +  | ++  | -  | 62.5, 4 Good    |
| Chionanthus retusus  | ++    | ++ | ++ | -  | ++  | +  | 50.0, 2 Poor    |
| Prunus × yedoensis   | ++    | ++ | ++ | -  | ++  | -  | 62.5, 4 Good    |
| Zelkova serrata      | ++    | ++ | ++ | -  | +   | +  | 56.3, 3 Moderate |
| Ginkgo biloba        | ++    | ++ | ++ | -  | ++  | -  | 56.3, 3 Moderate |

1 APTI, air pollution tolerance index; PH, plant habit; CS, crown structure; PT, plant type; LS, laminar structure; S, size; T, texture; H, hardiness; EV, economic values.
4. Discussion

Previous studies of elevated air pollutants have demonstrated the genesis of an oxidative burst response as initial events in injury to plants by air pollutants, because plants must cope with the persistent levels of air pollution in natural or ecological contexts [32]. The uptake of ozone (O₃) through leaf stomata generates a range of ROS within the apoplast. As ROS exceeds the antioxidant capacity in the apoplast, ROS-induced intracellular oxidative stress accelerates senescence and photosynthetic damage, ultimately reducing productivity and yield [23]. Plants exposed to O₃ change stomatal conductance by stomata closure and inefficient stomatal sluggishness [42]; however, C. retusus, P. densiflora, and G. biloba showed the normal function of stomatal movement, therefore maintaining the stomatal conductance and photosynthetic capacity in response to O₃ exposure. The functional changes result from a stress response mechanism that blocks their intracellular uptake during O₃ exposure. Plants can mitigate secondary damage from O₃ by using a stomatal pore-blocking strategy [32]. According to [43], a decrease in photosynthetic damage rate over time in leaves exposed to a constant rise in O₃ could be explained by a decrease in stomatal conductance. O₃-induced visible lesion formation is induced by hormonal regulation such as ethylene and salicylic acid. In addition, jasmonic acid acts to limit the spread of the lesion. The initiation, propagation, and sequestration processes that determine the formation of ozone lesions after stomatal control, apoplastic antioxidants, and ROS perception can be described as a self-amplifying loop called the oxidative cell death cycle [44]. Yet, although the molecular biological mechanisms causing a plant’s visible damage from O₃ are not fully understood, physiological studies suggest that chronic elevations of O₃ reduce productivity primarily by decreasing photosynthesis [45].

Exposure to high concentrations of O₃ causes visible leaf symptoms in sensitive plants [46] and negative effects on photosynthesis and growth [23]. Plant responses to O₃ lead to protein changes by altering gene expression programs as a stress response mechanism prior to the induction of tissue damage. The decomposition of O₃ and subsequent accumulation of ROS in the extracellular space activates some signaling due to a finely balanced network of ROS-mediated cellular signaling [47]. Gaseous air pollutants penetrate leaf stomata and reach deep into cell membranes, so stomatal movements are key feedback loops, which is the first defense mechanism via regulating gas fluxes [45,48]. Conversely, environmental conditions that cause higher stomatal conductance than necessary for CO₂ absorption can exacerbate damage from O₃ absorption in forests. However, trees differ in their ability to detoxify O₃ by biochemical reactions that vary with age and ability [49,50].

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) enters plants through the stomata. When stomata are opened to allow the exchange of CO₂, O₂, and water vapor with the atmosphere, SO₂ enters by diffusion because the concentration gradient of SO₂ inside the plant is low. High concentrations of SO₂ can cause continuous stomatal opening as epidermal cells surrounding the guard cells are damaged by SO₂ and no longer provide the structural support required. SO₂ also damages the stomatal pore opening mechanism by slowing down the control of stomatal closure. Once SO₂ enters the interior of a moist cell, it is converted to sulfite (SO₃²⁻) and hydrogen sulfite (HSO₃⁻), which are partially oxidized to sulfate (SO₄²⁻) [51,52]. Certain amounts of SO₂ can be metabolized by plants, but beyond a critical concentration and duration of exposure it causes damage. Sulfur oxides (SOₓ), which can be converted chemically into strong acids in the atmosphere, denature the membrane-related proteins and phospholipid bilayers within the cell membrane, which are essential for osmotic pressure regulation [53]. In particular, SO₂ absorption ability was higher in C. retusus and Z. serrata. Therefore, Z. serrata seems to be resistant to SO₂ because there was no significant difference in photosynthesis or air pollution tolerance index (APTI) value after SO₂ exposure compared with control. Membrane-related calcium ions, the second most important messenger in signaling to environmental stimuli, stabilize the membrane structure and affect the permeability (especially in conifers). Hydrogen ions of acids, including sulfuric acid, can displace calcium ions in the plasma membrane, thus damaging physiological responses in response to environmental stresses [53]. Closing stomata in the presence of harmful gases is a stress avoidance
mechanism for plants [54]. SO₂, one of the main air pollutants, has been reported to induce stomatal closure in major species in urban areas.

Based on an ‘integrated theory’ of the effects of O₃ on conifers, hardwoods, and crops proposed by a previous study, photosynthetic damage is linearly related to the rate of stomatal O₃ flux [55]. Also, O₃ flux through leaf stomata is closely related to O₃ damage in plants [56]. The overall effect of stomatal absorption of O₃ plays an important role in the physiological activity associated with stomatal openness [57]. O₃ can directly reduce stomatal conductance, and the decrease in stomatal conductance reduces the ability of photosynthetic carboxylation. If O₃ reduces stomatal conductance in forests on a large scale, there may be major effects on local hydrology, surface temperature, and global climate systems. O₃ fluxes are closely related to carbon assimilation and can reduce carbon losses by up to 19% in forest ecosystems [58]. A comparison of SO₂ flux from the atmosphere to the leaves with flux across the biofilm revealed that stomata were the major barrier for SO₂ to enter the leaves, except the cuticle. The SO₂ flux into the plant leaves can be calculated with sufficient accuracy, assuming that the intracellular SO₂ concentration is zero. SO₂, which enters the cells in the lobe, is trapped in the cytoplasm and reacts with water and light to form a new product, which is processed in chloroplasts [59].

Visible O₃ damage to plants is yellowing or whitening, chronic lesions, necrosis, and spots. In this study, visible damage was observed in plants exposed to 100 ppb of O₃. Different plant species have different symptoms of O₃ exposure. Damage to coniferous leaves is characterized by photobleaching or mottling. In leaves of deciduous broad-leaved trees, red spots appear on adaxial and abaxial leaf surfaces after O₃ exposure, and the red spots are uniformly distributed between leaf veins on the back of leaves. Leaves of plants with high anthocyanin content are reddened except for the veins [60]. The wax component of the cuticle layer is known to be affected by many environmental factors. Leaves with moisture stress or low nitrogen levels show increased epidermal wax layers, while leaves exposed to O₃ represent a reduced wax layer. Leaves of plants exposed to nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and aerosol black carbon have been shown to have a degraded wax crystal structure [53]. Once inside the plant, air pollutants can cause a variety of injuries. Prolonged exposure can lead to chronic damage, and significant exposure to transient concentrations can cause serious injury. Physiological damage by SO₂ includes down-regulation of photosynthesis [53,61]. Continuous exposure to SO₂ causes damage to plant leaves, and lesions are usually observed in the tissue surrounding opened stomata. In addition, the epicuticular and epistematal waxes are altered, and torsion and folding of leaf surfaces occur where epidermal cells collapse [53]. The epidermis and subsidiary cells that structurally support guard cells collapse due to leaf anatomical damages to SO₂ exposure, and the stomata remain open due to stomatal malfunction, which in turn degrades water use efficiency [53]. Morphological damage of the plant cuticle layer negatively affects the cuticle wax and results in a difference in SO₂ absorption capacity between plants by breaking the protective barrier between the inside and outside of the plant [62].

Stomatal closure in the presence of noxious gases is considered a stress avoidance mechanism. One of the major air pollutants, SO₂, has been reported to cause stomatal closure, but the mechanism is unknown [54]. Little is known about stomatal reactions to air pollutants in addition to O₃ in plants. Fully developed leaves show a clear damage structure associated with damage from exposure to SO₂. In general, plants exposed to SO₂ have smaller leaves than control leaves. A distinct protruding portion of the cuticle surrounding the stomata appears, the wax layer on the leaf surface is deformed, and blisters occur in the cuticle layer [63]. O₃ is a photochemically formed reactive gas responsible for the reduction of carbon assimilation in plant ecosystems. The low-level O₃ concentration in the atmosphere (less than 100 ppb) can inhibit carbon assimilation in urban and forest ecosystems [58]. Therefore, the sensitivity of species to ozone is a factor to consider in urban greening. In this study, the APTI of P. densiflora and G. biloba were significantly lower by 5% and 15%, respectively. In particular, after 100 ppb ozone exposure, the air pollutant resistance index of C. retusus was increased by 11% compared to the control. Corresponding to photosynthetic data, it is believed that C. retusus is resistant
to ozone. It appears that C. retusus has the ability to absorb O3 as well as its ability to absorb SO2, and thus it is considered to have excellent air pollutant resistance (Figures 3 and 4).

Once SO2 is released into the atmosphere, it can affect air and land environments on different spatial and temporal scales, with detrimental effects on human health and plant communities [64]. The results demonstrated that Z. serrata had a higher tolerance ability to SO2 pollution compared with the other tree species, indicating the maintenance of photosynthetic capacity and stomatal conductance (Figures 2 and 4). In contrast, corresponding to the APTI, it is believed that T. cuspidata, C. retusus, P. × yedoensis, Z. serrata, G. biloba are resistant to gaseous air pollutants. Studies have shown that ascorbic acid content, total chlorophyll content, leaf extract pH, and relative moisture content are biochemical variables related to air pollutant resistance and sensitivity. The APTI can be used to rank the resistance to air pollution [20,40,65]. APTI value determines the tolerance towards environmental pollutants in plants because all these biochemical parameters determine the susceptibility of plant species to pollution [20,66]. TChl is related to AsA productivity, and the AsA is mainly concentrated in chloroplasts. The high RWC is well suited for helping maintain physiologically proper balances under stress conditions such as exposure to air pollution when evaporation rates are generally high. In addition, high RWC can provide drought resistance in plants. When transpiration rates in leaves decrease due to air pollution, the physio-biochemical functions of plants are degraded by a loss of its engine that draws water from the roots to supply photosynthesis [20].

Previous studies have demonstrated that urban forests can play an important role in mitigating urban air quality [7,8,14,17,27,30]. However, trees respond differently to different gaseous air pollutants [27,29]. Several studies have clearly shown the impacts of biochemical parameters (TChl and AsA) to air pollution on plants [26–31,40,41]. As shown in our results, its impact on plant growth in response to elevated air pollution generally causes alterations of micro-morphological (stomata, wax layers, and trichomes), biochemical (TChl and AsA), and physiological (pH and RWC) parameters [27,30,31]. However, a single parameter such as total chlorophyll, leaf extract pH, ascorbic acid content may not provide sufficient insights, indicating conflicting results for the same species [26]. APTI is a biochemical approach that can be used to select eco-friendly urban trees which can be employed for the improvement of air quality [29,30,66]. Despite the importance of APTI for selecting environmentally beneficial tree species in urban forest development, there is still an urgent need for its usefulness. A previous study [26] suggested that the APTI alone is not adequate to select the suitability of eco-friendly tree species for greenspace development, although it can be employed to identify sensitive plants for biomonitoring of air pollution. Furthermore, the anticipated performance index (API) by integrating of APTI values, morphobiological parameters, and socio-economic characters on plants can be very effective in a practical approach for the development of a sink to control air pollution [26,27,31]. This study was to evaluate stomatal uptake of gaseous air pollutants and a combination of the APTI and API on the potential of tree species commonly growing in urban forests, Korea, for sustainable greenspace development. Based upon API (Table 4), P. densiflora and P. × yedoensis were anticipated as good performers, while Z. serrata and G. biloba were predicted to be moderate performers. The remaining C. retusus and T. cuspidata were evaluated as poor and very poor performers, respectively, for developing greenspace to control gaseous air pollutants. Previous studies revealed that plant species based on their higher APTI and API values are more tolerant to air pollutants and have the capacity for managing seriously polluted regions [31]. Further, plants falling into API categories of best, excellent, very good, good, and moderate performers can be recommended as a superior biofilter for the development of greenspace planning/maintaining around urban areas and highly polluted sites [27,31]. Based on the integration of API values and stomatal absorption flux, P. densiflora and Z. serrata showed the maintained physiological parameters in air pollution conditions and can be recommended as a suitable species for reducing air pollution in O3 and SO2 contaminated areas, respectively. Despite being poor and very poor performers, C. retusus and T. cuspidata were maintained and improved in O3 or SO2, respectively, either for photosynthetic ability or stomatal conductance. As a result, a combination of APTI and API based on gas uptake through stomata (stomatal flux) can also be of immense importance for developing greenspace to control air pollution.
5. Conclusions

Air pollution causes the reduction of carbon assimilation, possibly due to cell damage by diffusing into intercellular spaces through stomata, and the gaseous pollutants can affect urban forest ecosystem services. The current and future potential of urban forests on air quality improvement can be achieved judiciously by selecting suitable trees to mitigate and adapt air pollutants. To fulfill the purpose of that activity, the current paper focuses on the importance of integrating of air pollution tolerance index (APTI), anticipated performance index (API), and air pollutant uptake (stomatal flux) to examine the potential suitability of some urban tree species. *C. retusus*, *P. densiflora*, and *G. biloba* showed higher stomatal O$_3$ uptake under elevated O$_3$ exposure; however, there were no remarkable changes in stomatal movement and carbon fixation. Despite high SO$_2$ uptake of *T. cuspidata* and *Z. serrata*, photosynthetic ability and stomatal conductance were maintained under excess SO$_2$ exposure as compared to control. The maintenance of APTI values to air pollution exposure was observed for *T. cuspidata*, *C. retusus*, *P. × yedoensis*, *Z. serrata*, and *G. biloba* except for *P. densiflora*. Upon considering API for air quality amelioration, *P. densiflora* and *P. × yedoensis* were good performers, *Z. serrata* and *G. biloba* were moderate performers, but *C. retusus* and *T. cuspidata* were estimated to be poor and very poor performers, respectively. Based on their API values, *P. densiflora*, *P. × yedoensis*, *Z. serrata*, and *G. biloba* can be recommended as appropriate species for greenspace development in air polluted regions. In particular, based on API value and stomatal uptake, *P. densiflora* and *Z. serrata* can be recommended as appropriate species for greenspace development in O$_3$ and SO$_2$ polluted regions, respectively, by maintaining physiological parameters. Interestingly, *C. retusus* and *T. cuspidata*, despite being poor and very poor performers, showed maintained and enhanced photosynthetic ability and stomatal conductance in O$_3$ and SO$_2$ exposure, respectively. Our data showed the idea that the integration of APTI and API played a distinctive role in determining the gas-induced susceptible responses of tree species but may not be ideal for selecting suitable species to reform air quality for sustainable and healthy urban environments. In this study, the decreased stomatal conductance reflecting stomatal closure in air pollution-sensitive species affects the dynamics of stomatal flux, indicating that it faces an important challenge in the contribution of urban trees on improving air quality. Thus, it becomes important to address the integration of APTI and API values with stomatal uptake of air pollutants based on potential tree health benefits.
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