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1. Introduction

Thyroid cancer is the most common type of human malignancies in endocrine system and is histologically categorized into papillary, follicular, medullary, anaplastic, and poorly differentiated thyroid cancer [1, 2], among which, papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) is the most common type of thyroid carcinomas with a rising prevalence at an average annual rate of nearly 4% in recent years [1, 2]. Early PTC has relatively good survival, while the 5-year survival rate of PTC with advanced stage is only 59% [3]. Importantly, although great advance in the clinical treatment of PTC, including surgery and/or physiotherapy or chemotherapy, recurrence and metastasis still occurred with high rates [4]. Thus, it is of great significance to further identify the pathogenesis of PTC to develop novel effective treatments for PTC.

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are one of stable and highly conservative noncoding RNA molecules with a covalently closed loop lacking the 5′-end cap and the 3′-end poly A tail [5, 6]. Increasing studies have shown the involvement of circRNAs in the tumorigenesis of many types of malignancy, such as gastric cancer [7], bladder cancer [8], hepatocellular carcinoma [9], and so on. Besides, previous studies also revealed that abnormal expression of circRNAs is drawn into the initiation and progression of PTC through by affecting the malignant behaviors of cancer cells [10, 11]. Circular RNA low-density lipoprotein receptor (circLDLR, hsa_circ_0003892) is a derived from LDLR gene with the length of 544 bp; it locates at chr19: 11230767-11238761. According to the analysis of GSE93522 dataset, the expression of circLDLR was found to be aberrantly upregulated in PTC. However, the biological functions of circLDLR in the progression of PTC remain unknown.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are one of small noncoding RNAs and can modulate specific gene expression programs by regulating posttranslational processes [9]. miRNAs have been documented to be involved in a variety of biological functions in cancers, thus affecting tumor progression [12].
Recently, Yuan et al. demonstrated that lncRNA HOTTIP promoted PTC progression by inducing cell malignant biological behavior via targeting miR-637 [13]. However, the action and molecular mechanism of miR-637 in PTC progression remain vague. Additionally, it is well known that miRNAs commonly exert their functions through targeting 3′-UTR of their target genes [14]. LIM-only protein 4 (LMO4) is a member of LIM domain only proteins (LMOs), which belongs to a subfamily of LIM-containing proteins [15]. Previous study showed LMO4 was increased in PTC and promoted cell growth, migration, and invasion via circBACH2/miR-139-5p/LMO4 axis [16]. Thus, it is not clear whether LMO4 is involved in PTC tumorigenesis as a target of miR-637.

In this study, we investigated the function of circLDLR in PTC carcinogenesis in vitro and in vivo and evaluated whether circLDLR exerted it biological functions via circLDLR/miR-637/LMO4 in regulating cell biological behaviors of PTC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Clinical Specimens. Tumor tissues and para-tumor samples were collected from 45 PTC patients in Wuhan No.1.Hospital and then immediately stored at -80°C until RNA isolation. The screening of included PTC patients was shown in Fig. S1. Follow-up was conducted regularly every 3 months in the first 2 years after surgery and reduced to every 6 months from the third year. The last follow-up was performed in April 2019. We had obtained the agreement of the Ethics Committee of Wuhan No.1.Hospital, and written informed consent was collected form all patients before this study.

2.2. Cell Culture and Transfection. Human thyroid follicular epithelial cell line Nthy-ori 3-1 and human thyroid cancer cell lines (TPC-1, IHH-4) were purchased from Shanghai Academy of Life Science (Shanghai, China) and then were grown in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in accompany with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO2. The same experiment was repeated three times, and the average was taken. The primer sequences are as follows: circLDLR: F, 5′-GTTGATGATATCTGCTCAGTCAGG-3′, R, 5′-CATGGGAGGAGGAGATGGAGAGCCCTC-3′; miR-637: F, 5′-ACUCGGGGGCUUUGGGGCUCUGGG-3′, R, 5′-ACGAGCGAGAGAGAGGGCCAGU-3′; LMO4: F, 5′-GGATGCTTCTCCACTACATCA-3′, R, 5′-GTTGACATGGAAGGGCT-3′; GAPDH: F 5′-GAGAAACCTGCCAGTGATGAC-3′, R 5′-GGATATGATGAC-3′; AGTCAGACTGAC-3′, U6: F, 5′-CAGGGGCTCCGGGACGGCACA-3′, R, 5′-AAGCGGCTCAACGAAATTGTGCAAGTGACAGACGAGAGAGAGGGCCAGU-3′, and their negative control (mimic control (NC): sense, 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAGCUTT-3′, antisense, 5′-ACGAGGACGCGUUCUGCGAGATTTTTTC-3′, and inhibitor control (anti-NC): sense, 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAGCUTT-3′).

2.3. Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR). The isolation of total RNA was performed with the help of Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). A PARIS Kit (Invitrogen) was used to define the subcellular localization of circLDLR according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA (cDNA) generation was conducted using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and then, qPCR was implemented by a miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen). The relative expression was detected using the 2−ΔΔCt method with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) or U6 small nuclear B noncoding RNA (U6) as an internal control. The PCR reaction program started at 95°C for 2 min, 40 cycles for 95°C for 10 s followed by 60°C for 30 s. The same experiment was repeated three times, and the average was taken. The primer sequences are as follows: circLDLR: F, 5′-GTTGATGATATCTGCTCAGGT-3′, R, 5′-CATGGGAGGAGGAGATGGAGAGCCCTC-3′; miR-637: F, 5′-ACUCGGGGGCUUUGGGGCUCUGGG-3′, R, 5′-ACGAGCGAGAGAGAGGGCCAGU-3′; LMO4: F, 5′-GGATGCTTCTCCACTACATCA-3′, R, 5′-GTTGACATGGAAGGGCT-3′; GAPDH: F 5′-GAGAAACCTGCCAGTGATGAC-3′, R 5′-GGATATGATGAC-3′; AGTCAGACTGAC-3′, U6: F, 5′-CAGGGGCTCCGGGACGGCACA-3′, R, 5′-AAGCGGCTCAACGAAATTGTGCAAGTGACAGACGAGAGAGGGCCAGU-3′.

2.4. RNase R Treatment. The RNA (2 μg) was interacted with or without RNase R (3 U/mg, Qiagen) at 37°C for 20 min. Then, the resulting RNA was purified using an RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) and subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. The results represent as the average of three independent replicates.

2.5. Cell Proliferation Analysis. For cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay, TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells transfected with the experimental group (LMO4), their negative control (si-NC: 5′-CTCGTGACAGAGGAGAGGAGGCTGAGAGCCCTC-3′, and inhibitor control (anti-NC): sense, 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAGCUTT-3′, and inhibitor control (anti-NC): sense, 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAGCUTT-3′, and inhibitor control (anti-NC): sense, 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAGCUTT-3′).

For colony formation assay, transfected cells (5000/well) with RPMI-1640 medium were seeded in 6-well plates, and the medium was replaced with new medium every 3 days. Finally, the typical images were photographed, and the number of visible colonies (≥50 cells) was counted after 14 days of incubation. The experiment was repeated three times.
2.6. Flow Cytometer. Transfected TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (1 × 10^4 cells/μL) were collected and resuspended in binding buffer; then, 10 μL Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) were added into the cell suspension and interacted for 15 min under darkness. The apoptotic cells were evaluated by FACSscan flow cytometer within 1 h. Three replicate wells were set in each group, and the experiment was repeated three times.

2.7. Transwell Assay. The invasion ability of cells was detected by a 24-well transwell chamber (8 μm; Corning Costar, Cambridge, MA) precoated with Matrigel. Following transfection, cells suspended in serum-free medium (3 × 10^5 cells/mL) were seeded in the upper chamber of transwell; then, 500 μL complete medium mixed with 10% FBS was added into the lower chambers. 24 h later, invaded cells were counted by a microscope. The results represent as the average of three independent replicates.

2.8. Wound Healing Assays. Cell migration was analyzed by wound healing assays. Transfected cells were seeded into a 6-well plate (1 × 10^4 cells/mL) overnight. Then, a sterile micropipette tip was utilized to create wounds in cell monolayers, followed by washing with PBS to remove free-floating cells and cell debris. After 24 h, representative images were captured, and the distance was measured to calculate cell migration. Experiments were performed three times, and the average was taken.

2.9. Western Blot. Proteins were extracted using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Beijing, China). Extractive proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then shifted onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The antibodies used in this study included cleaved-caspase3 (C-caspase3) (1:1000, ab2302, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), matrix metallopeptidase 2 (MMP2) (1:5000; ab92536, Abcam), MMP9 (1:2000, ab38898, Cambridge, MA, USA), matrix metallopeptidase 2 (MMP2) cleaved-caspase3 (C-caspase3) (1:1000, ab2302, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), and cellular lysates were incubated with magnetic beads conjugated with human anti-Argonaute2 (Ago2) antibody (Millipore) or normal mouse IgG (Millipore) at 4°C for 4 h, followed by interaction with Proteinase K to digest the protein. Finally, immunoprecipitated RNA was extracted, and purified RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Analyses were performed in triplicates.

2.10. Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay. The bioinformatics analysis was executed by the online database CircInteractome (https://circinteractome.nia.nih.gov) or Targetscan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/). Dual-luciferase reporter assay was used for validating the interaction between miR-637 and circLDLR or LMO4. Wild (wt) type and mutant (mut) circLDLR and LMO4 3′ UTR containing the predicted binding sites of miR-637 were cloned into the pmirGLO Vector (Promega, Shanghai, China) to generate pmirGLO-circLDLR-wt, pmirGLO-circLDLR-mut, pmirGLO-LMO4-wt, or pmirGLO-LMO4-mut. Then, these constructed vectors combined with miR-637 mimic or mimic control (miR-NC) were cotransfected into TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). 48 h later, the luciferase activity normalized to Renilla luciferase activity was examined by a dual luciferase assay kit (Promega). The same experiment was repeated three times.

2.11. RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) Assay. TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were lysed RIP buffer (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), and cellular lysates were incubated with magnetic beads conjugated with human anti-Argonaute2 (Ago2) antibody (Millipore) or normal mouse IgG (Millipore) at 4°C for 4 h, followed by interaction with Proteinase K to digest the protein. Finally, immunoprecipitated RNA was extracted, and purified RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Analyses were performed in triplicates.

2.12. Xenograft Experiments In Vivo. BALB/c nude mice (N = 6, 4-6 weeks old) were obtained from National Laboratory Animal Center (Beijing, China). 1 × 10^6 TPC-1 cells stably transfected with sh-circLDLR or sh-NC were subcutaneously injected into the flanks of the nude mice. Tumor size was determined each week to calculate tumor volume. At day 35, mice were euthanized, and tumors of each group were weighed and harvested for molecular analysis. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation after deep anesthesia with 2% isoflurane. All experiments were done in Wuhan No.1.Hospital and approved by the Animal Research Committee of Wuhan No.1.Hospital.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. Data of at least three experiments were shown as mean values with standard deviation (SD). All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 7 software. Statistical differences between groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test, one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test as appropriate. The correlation analysis was carried out with Pearson correlation analysis. P value < 0.05 (*), P value < 0.01 (**), or P value < 0.001 (***)) was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. CircLDLR Expression in PTC and Its Correlation with Overall Survival. The expression of circLDLR in 45 PTC tissues and matched normal tissues was detected, and qRT-PCR analysis displayed that circLDLR was remarkably elevated in tumor tissues relative to those in normal tissues (Figure 1(a)). Then, the correlations of circLDLR expression and special clinicopathological parameters of PTC were analyzed; it was proved that higher circLDLR expression was correlated with advanced TNM stages, tumor size, and lymph node metastasis (Table 1, P < 0.05). Besides, that patients with PTC were divided into two groups depending on the median level of circLDLR expression, and we found that patients in the high circLDLR group had a significantly shorter overall survival than those in the low circLDLR group (Figure 1(b)). Then, the expression of circLDLR in cells was measured; as expected, circLDLR also was higher in PTC cell lines (TPC-1 and IHH-4) than those in normal Nthy-ori 3-1 cell lines (Figure 1(c)). Afterwards, the stability of circLDLR was investigated. Total RNA from proliferating TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells was treated with RNase R; by contrast with linear LDLR mRNA, circLDLR could resistant to...
the degradation by RNase R, indicating circLDLR stably functioned as a typical circRNA (Figure 1(d)). Besides that, qRT-PCR indicated that circLDLR was distributed mainly in the cytoplasm (Figure 1(e)). These data confirmed that circLDLR expression was elevated in PTC, and high circLDLR predicted poor overall survival in patients with PTC.

3.2. CircLDLR Knockdown Suppresses Cell Malignant Phenotypes in PTC. To explore the function of circLDLR in PTC, TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were transfected with si-circLDLR or si-NC; then, qRT-PCR analysis showed that circLDLR expression was significantly reduced by si-circLDLR as expected (Figure 2(a)). Subsequently, CCK-8 assay indicated that circLDLR knockdown inhibited the proliferation of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 2(b)); similarly, colony formation analysis also revealed that circLDLR silencing decreased the number of colonies formed in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 2(c)). Conversely, the apoptosis of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells was induced by circLDLR knockdown (Figure 2(d)). Meanwhile, transwell assay suggested that the invasion ability of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells was suppressed by circLDLR downregulation (Figure 2(e)); besides, wound-healing assay showed that circLDLR knockdown also inhibited the migration of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figures 2(f) and 2(g)). Moreover, the expression of C-caspase3 was upregulated, while MMP2 and MMP9 expression was downregulated by circLDLR knockdown in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figures 2(h) and 2(i)). Taken together, circLDLR knockdown suppressed PTC progression.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1:** CircLDLR expression in PTC and its correlation with overall survival. (a) qRT-PCR analysis of circLDLR expression in 45 PTC tissues and matched normal tissues. (b) Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis for the correlation between circLDLR expression and overall survival rate in PTC. (c) qRT-PCR analysis of circLDLR expression in PTC cell lines (TPC-1 and IHH-4) and normal Nthy-ori 3-1 cell lines. (d) qRT-PCR analysis of circLDLR and LDLR mRNA expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells in the presence or absence of RNase R. (e) Nuclear and cytoplasmic fraction experiment displaying the location of circLDLR in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells.

![Table 1](image)

**Table 1:** Correlation between circLDLR expression and clinicopathological parameters of papillary thyroid carcinoma patients (n = 45).

| Clinical feature          | n  | circLDLR High | circLDLR Low | P value |
|---------------------------|----|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Age                       |    |               |              | 0.449   |
| ≥45                       | 24 | 11            | 13           |         |
| <45                       | 21 | 12            | 9            |         |
| Gender                    |    |               |              | 0.884   |
| Man                       | 23 | 12            | 11           |         |
| Woman                     | 22 | 11            | 11           |         |
| TNM stage                 |    |               |              | 0.011   |
| I/II                      | 18 | 5             | 13           |         |
| III/IV                    | 27 | 18            | 9            |         |
| Tumor size (cm³)          |    |               |              | <0.001  |
| ≥3                        | 24 | 18            | 6            |         |
| <3                        | 21 | 5             | 16           |         |
| Lymph node metastasis     |    |               |              | 0.005   |
| N0                        | 23 | 7             | 16           |         |
| N1                        | 22 | 16            | 6            |         |
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Figure 2: Continued.
Figure 2: CircLDLR knockdown suppresses cell malignant phenotypes in PTC. TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were transfected with si-circLDLR or si-NC. (a) qRT-PCR analysis of circLDLR expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells after transfection. (b, c) The proliferation analysis of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using CCK-8 assay and colony formation assay. (d) Flow cytometry analysis of apoptosis of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells. (e–g) The analysis of invasion and migration abilities of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using transwell assay and wound healing assay. (h, i) Western blot analysis of C-caspase3, MMP2, and MMP9 protein levels. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Figure 3: CircLDLR functions as an efficient miR-637 sponge in PTC cells. (a) Potential binding sites of circLDLR in miR-637 sequences. (b, c) Interaction analysis between circLDLR in miR-637 in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using the dual-luciferase reporter assay and RIP assay. (d) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-637 expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells transfected with circ-NC, circLDLR, si-circLDLR, or si-NC. (e) Levels detection of miR-637 in 45 PTC tissues and matched normal tissues with qRT-PCR. (f) The correlation analysis between circLDLR and miR-637 using Pearson correlation analysis. (g) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-637 expression in PTC cell lines (TPC-1 and IHH-4) and normal Nthy-ori 3-1 cell lines. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
3.3. CircLDLR Functions as an Efficient miR-637 Sponge in PTC Cells.

It has been reported that circRNAs can act as miRNA sponges to modulate the expression of downstream mRNAs [17]. Thus, whether circLDLR enhanced the malignant biological behaviors of PTC cell by sponging miRNAs was explored. According to prediction of CircInteractome, seven miRNAs with high score were selected, and we found that circLDLR knockdown significantly led to an increase of miR-637 expression in TPC-1 cells (Fig. S2). Thus, we hypothesized that miR-637 might be a target of circLDLR. The binding sites of miR-637 on circLDLR are shown in Figure 3(a). Then, the dual luciferase reporter assay
exhibited that miR-637 overexpression dramatically reduced the luciferase activity of the circLDLR-wt group in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells but not the circLDLR-mut group (Figure 3(b)). In the meanwhile, we discovered that circLDLR and miR-637 pulled down by Anti-Ago2 was predominantly enriched in the Ago2 overexpression group compared with the control Anti-IgG group in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 3(c)). These results confirmed that circLDLR targeted miR-637 in PTC. Additionally, qRT-PCR analysis indicated that miR-637 expression was inhibited by circLDLR overexpression but was elevated by circLDLR downregulation in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 3(d)). Subsequently, the expression of miR-637 in PTC was detected, and miR-637 was decreased in PTC tissues (Figure 3(e)) and was negatively correlated with circLDLR (Figure 3(f)). Also, miR-637 had a low expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells compared with the normal Nthy-ori 3-1 cell lines (Figure 3(g)). Therefore, these results indicated that miR-637 was a target of circLDLR and might be associated with the development of PTC.

3.4. MiR-637 Interference Reverses the Antitumor Effects Induced by si-circLDLR in PTC Cells. We then investigated whether circLDLR promoted the progression of PTC by interacting with miR-637. TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were transfected with si-NC, si-circLDLR, si-circLDLR + anti-NC, or si-circLDLR + anti-miR-637, and qRT-PCR analysis showed that miR-637 inhibition attenuated si-circLDLR-induced elevation of miR-637 in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 4(a)), suggesting the success of transfection. After that, rescue assay was conducted, and results showed miR-637 interference reversed circLDLR knockdown-mediated impairments of the proliferation (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)), invasion (Figure 4(e)) and migration (Figure 4(f)), and promotion of apoptosis (Figure 4(d)) in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells. Accordingly, western blot analysis also confirmed miR-637 inhibition could partly abate circLDLR-mediated migration and invasion inhibition and apoptosis enhancement, evidenced by the change in C-caspase3, MMP2, and MMP9 protein levels in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figures 4(g) and 4(h)). In all, we verified that LMO4 is a target of miR-637, and circLDLR regulates LMO4 via miR-637 in PTC cells. (a) The predicted binding sites of miR-637 on LMO4 sequences. (b) Luciferase activity detection in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells cotransfected with the reporter plasmid and the indicated miRNAs using the dual-luciferase reporter assay. (c) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-637 and LMO4 expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells pulled down by Anti-Ago2 or Anti-IgG. (d) Western blot analysis of LMO4 level in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells transfected with NC, miR-637, circ-NC + miR-637, and circLDLR + miR-637. (e, f) Level detection of LMO4 in 45 PTC tissues and matched normal tissues with qRT-PCR and western blot. (g, h) Correlation analysis between LMO4 and circLDLR or miR-637 in PTC tissues with Pearson correlation analysis. (i) Level detection of LMO4 in PTC cell lines (TPC-1 and IHH-4) and normal Nthy-ori 3-1 cell lines with western blot. ***P < 0.001.
circLDLR promoted PTC progression partly by downregulating miR-637.

3.5. LMO4 Is a Target of miR-637 and circLDLR Regulates LMO4 via miR-637 in PTC Cells. The related target genes of miR-637 were further predicted. According to the prediction of Targetscan program, LMO4 was found that might be a target of miR-760 with putative binding sites (Figure 5(a)). Then, a reduction of luciferase activity in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells cotransfected with LMO4-wt and miR-637 mimic was detected (Figure 5(b)). Moreover, RIP assay displayed that LMO4 and miR-637 expression was notably enriched in Ago2 immunoprecipitates relative to the control IgG immunoprecipitates (Figure 5(c)). These data revealed that LMO4 was a target of miR-637. Afterwards, we discovered that LMO4 expression was decreased by miR-637 overexpression, and miR-637 overexpression reversed circLDLR-induced increase of LMO4 level in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 5(d)). Thus, a circLDLR/miR-637/LMO4 axis in PTC was identified. After that, the expression of LMO4 was analyzed; we found that LMO4 expression was upregulated in PTC tumor tissues at mRNA and protein levels (Figures 5(e) and 5(f)). Besides that, LMO4 was positively correlated with circLDLR (Figure 5(g)), while negatively

**Figure 6:** MiR-637 suppresses cell malignant phenotypes in PTC cells by targeting LMO4. TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were transfected with NC, miR-637, miR-637 + vector, or miR-637 + LMO4. (a) Western blot analysis of LMO4 expression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells after transfection. (b, c) The proliferation analysis of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using CCK-8 assay and colony formation assay. (d) Flow cytometry analysis of apoptosis of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells. (e, f) The analysis of invasion and migration abilities of TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells using transwell assay and wound healing assay. (g, h) Western blot analysis of C-caspase3, MMP2, and MMP9 protein levels. ***P < 0.001.
correlated with miR-637 (Figure 5(h)) in PTC tissues, further suggesting the relationship among circLDLR, miR-637, and LMO4 in PTC. Similarly, LMO4 was also elevated in PTC cell lines (Figure 5(i)). These results suggested that miR-637 targeted LMO4 and circLDLR regulated LMO4 via miR-637 in PTC cells.

3.6. MiR-637 Suppresses Cell Malignant Phenotypes in PTC Cells by Targeting LMO4. Given the axis of circLDLR/miR-637/LMO4 in PTC cells, we further studied whether LMO4 was involved in the action of circLDLR/miR-637 axis in PTC progression. TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells were transfected with NC, miR-637, miR-637 + vector, or miR-637 + LMO4, and then qRT-PCR analysis showed that LMO4 overexpression rescued miR-637 mimic-induced decrease of LMO4 in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figure 6(a)). Then, functional experiments were conducted. Results exhibited that miR-637 re-expression impaired the proliferation (Figures 6(b) and 6(c)), invasion (Figure 6(e)), and migration (Figure 6(f)) but induced apoptosis (Figure 6(d)) in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells. Besides that, miR-637 overexpression led to the increase of C-caspase3 and decrease of MMP2 and MMP9 in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figures 6(g) and 6(h)). Thus, miR-637 inhibited PTC progression. However, rescue assay showed that the antitumor functions of miR-637 were significantly reversed by LMO4 overexpression in TPC-1 and IHH-4 cells (Figures 6(b) and 6(h)). Altogether, miR-637 suppressed PTC progression partly by targeting LMO4.

3.7. CircLDLR Knockdown Impedes PTC Growth In Vivo. To investigate the functions of circLDLR in vivo, a xenograft tumor model was established. As shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b), circLDLR knockdown suppressed the growth of xenograft tumors, evidenced by the smaller size and lighter weight of tumors in the sh-circLDLR group. In addition, knockdown of circLDLR decreased the levels of circLDLR and LMO4 (Figures 7(c) and 7(e)) but increased the level of miR-637 (Figure 7(d)) in xenograft tumors. Furthermore, western bolt analysis showed that PCNA was decreased, but C-caspase3 was increased in the xenograft tumors of the sh-circLDLR group compared with the sh-NC group, furthering revealing circLDLR silencing suppressed tumor growth in vivo. Collectively, circLDLR silencing hindered tumor growth in vivo via regulating miR-637 and LMO4.

4. Discussion

PTC is the most frequent endocrine malignancy and generally has a good prognosis. Nevertheless, accumulating evidence has exhibited that early stage extradural invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastases, and recurrence occasionally occur in some cases, which lead to a poor prognosis, even death in PTC patients [14, 18]. Up to date, increasing researches have reported the implication of circRNAs in the development and progression of cancers through modulating diverse cellular processes [16, 19, 20]. In PTC, some circRNAs have also been indicated to be
implicated in cancer progression. For example, Wei et al. found that circZFR promoted cell proliferation and invasion in PTC via upregulating C8orf4 expression through miR-1261 [21]. Bi et al. revealed that circRNA_102171 contributed to the progression of PTC by activating CTNNBIP1/Wnt/β-catenin [22]. Thus, circRNAs may be promising candidates for controlling the progression of PTC.

According to the analysis of GSE93522 dataset, the expression of circLDLR was found to be aberrantly upregulated in PTC. Importantly, we also observed that circLDLR was elevated in clinical PTC tissues and cell lines, and high circLDLR expression predicted poor prognosis. However, the biological function of circLDLR in PTC tumorogenesis was not reported yet. Sustained proliferation and metastatic progression are the major drivers of lethality in cancers, and metastasis accounts for the vast majority of patient mortality [28-30]. Thus, the role of circLDLR in PTC cell growth and metastasis was investigated. We found that knockdown of circLDLR inhibited PTC cell proliferation, invasion, and migration but facilitated apoptosis in vitro. Moreover, clinical relevance of circLDLR was validated by the observed suppression in ccRCC tumorigenic in nude mice after circLDLR silencing. Altogether, we demonstrated that circLDLR siRNA might be a promising molecule for the treatment of PTC.

It has been identified that circRNAs can function as miRNA sponges to modulate gene expression through abolishing the activity of miRNAs [17]. Subsequently, whether circLDLR served it biological function by acting as miRNA sponge was investigated. Through bioinformatics analysis and interaction analysis, we confirmed that miR-637 was a target of circLDLR in PTC cells. MiR-637 is a well-recognized tumor suppressor. For instance, miR-637 suppressed hepatoma cell viability and invasion via targetedly degrading the expression of AKT1 [23]. MiR-637 decrease was related to poor overall survival and accelerated malignant properties of glioma cells by targeting AKT1 [24]. However, the function of miR-637 in PTC remains unclear. In our work, miR-637 was downregulated in PTC tissues and cell lines; then, we discovered that restoration of miR-637 suppressed cell malignant phenotypes in PTC, thereby hindering cancer progression. After that, rescue assay sug-

Figure 8: The schematic diagram illustrates circLDLR/miR-637/LMO4 axis in PTC tumorigenesis. CircLDLR promotes proliferation and metastasis and suppresses apoptosis of PTC through miR-637/LMO4 axis.
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