Arthroscopic Approach to Preservation of the Hip with Avascular Necrosis
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Abstract: Avascular necrosis (AVN) of the hip is a devastating disease that affects middle-aged adults with poor outcomes if not treated in its early stages. In recent years, subchondroplasty with calcium phosphate solution has shown promising results. Concomitant intra-articular pathologies, including femoroacetabular impingement and chondral lesions, have been described in hips affected by AVN. These should be addressed at the time of surgery to lower the risk of failure. In this Technical Note, we describe an arthroscopic approach to femoral head subchondroplasty with precollapse lesion in AVN affected hip, combined with labral reconstruction and acetabular chondral treatment.

Avascular necrosis (AVN) of the femoral head is a disabling disease, as it predominantly affects people in their third and fourth decades of life and ultimately leads to secondary degeneration of the hip joint with expected functional impairment.1,2 The end stage is osteoarthritis, so hip preservation in early stages (i.e., precollapse) of the disease is primordial.3,4 Multiple procedures or combination of techniques have been described to address AVN hips in precollapse stages, with mixed results.5 Femoral head subchondroplasty is a minimally invasive core decompression (CD) technique with bone substitute injection into the subchondral bone.6 Promising results have been recently reported with the advent use of calcium phosphate (CaPO4) solution in subchondral lesions around the knee.7,8 A similar approach has been described in the hip, but clinical outcomes are less evaluated.6,9

Concomitant central compartment pathology, including femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) as well as chondral and labral lesions, is frequently present in the context of femoral head AVN.10-12 There is an increasing body of evidence that FAI can lead to cartilage wear and eventual osteoarthritis.13-15 Therefore, without the treatment of associated articular pathology, the success of femoral head subchondroplasty in AVN may be compromised. In this paper, we describe an all-arthroscopic approach to subchondroplasty of femoral head osteonecrosis with precollapse lesion, combined with pincer and cam osteoplasty, labral reconstruction and treatment of acetabular chondral lesion, as well as grafting of an acetabular cyst.

Surgical Technique (With Video Illustration)
Preoperative planning, patient positioning, and surgical technique are described in Video 1.

Preoperative Planning
Plain radiographs are used to stage the disease and to rule out advanced osteoarthritis (Fig 1). Magnetic resonance imaging is performed to more accurately stage the disease and investigate for other pathologies that would be addressed at the same time during surgery (Fig 2). Magnetic resonance imaging also is used to screen for bilateral involvement in the early stage.17 Localization of the femoral head—affected region is essential to plan the surgical approach, as intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to confirm the lesion’s location. Computed tomography scan can best identify the affected area as well as identifying any subchondral cysts or FAI-related bony abnormalities (Figs 3 and 4).

Patient Positioning
Under general anesthesia, the patient is placed supine on a hip-traction operating table with legs abducted.
The feet are tightly strapped into padded boots. Balanced traction is used, and the medial thighs rest against a well-padded large perineal post. The operative lower limb is placed in 10° flexion, 15° internal rotation, 10° lateral tilt, and neutral abduction. The surgical field is prepped and draped with care to include enough skin surface along the lateral and posterolateral aspects of the thigh required for percutaneous decompression and bone substitute injection later in the procedure. Essential anatomic landmarks, including the greater trochanter and anterior superior iliac spine, are marked. Arthroscopic portals, including the standard anterolateral, mid-anterior, and anterior portals, are located (Fig 5).

**Evaluation, Debridement, and Acetabular Preparation**

The anterolateral portal is made in the usual manner under fluoroscopic guidance after optimal traction was applied to ensure subluxation at 1.1 cm. The mid-anterior portal is made in an outside-in fashion under direct vision. A capsulotomy, from the medial to the lateral synovial fold, which connects the 2 portals, is performed with a Samurai blade (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI). Diagnostic arthroscopy of the central compartment is then performed. Femoral head chondral integrity is assessed based on the previously described ballottement test.18 The labrum is assessed using a standard arthroscopic probe and, if found to be irreparable, is excised with a 4.5-mm Incisor Plus Elite blade (Smith & Nephew, Andover, MA) from the 12-o’clock to 3-o’clock position. The affected segment, measuring 75 mm, is defined with a Samurai blade (Stryker). A pincer-type FAI lesion is present and exposed after labral resection. This is removed and the acetabular boundary smoothed with a 4-mm Stonecutter burr (Smith & Nephew) in preparing a cancellous bed for the labral reconstruction.

Acetabular cartilage lesions adjacent to this area are then addressed and graded according to Kelly’s classification19 (Fig 6A). Grade 2 to 4 lesions are debrided with an angled Open Curette (Smith & Nephew) to establish a stable chondral margin. The final affected surface is approximately 7×3 cm² with 1 cm depth. Microfracture is performed with a 60° angled Chondral Pick (Smith & Nephew) in a circumferential, peripheral to central, manner. These are spaced 2 to 3 mm (Fig 6B).

**Labral Reconstruction**

The length of allograft required in this case was 75 mm, and we estimated the thickness of the native labrum to be 10 mm. An appropriate tibialis anterior...
tendon allograft is selected and prepared. One end is whip-stitched with ULTRABRAID suture (Smith & Nephew) and the opposite end with a different cobraid color of ULTRABRAID suture (Smith & Nephew). The graft is shuttled into the joint through the mid-anterior portal and placed along the prepared labral bed. A Bioraptor 2.9 Knotless Suture Anchor (Smith & Nephew) is placed medially to secure the graft position. The remainder of the labral reconstruction is achieved anterior to posterior with Bioraptor 2.3 PK Suture Anchors (Smith & Nephew). Six anchors were necessary to achieve final fixation (Fig 7). Circumferential sutures are used with Revo-type knots.20 The hip is finally reduced in its socket, and a good, watertight seal as well as a contained acetabular defect are obtained. A partial release of the psoas muscle (white portion of the musculotendinous junction) was performed in this case, as it was rubbing on the labral construct medially. Femoral neck osteoplasty was additionally required once the femoral head was reduced and assessed.

### Chondral Reconstruction

The hip is redislocated. An 18G spinal needle is placed intra-capsular via a posterolateral entry. Suction is attached to the spinal needle and the hip is thoroughly irrigated and evacuated of fluid and debris. A dry joint is imperative to begin this surgical step. The prepared chondral bed is carefully dried with Surgical Strips (Codman Neuro, Raynham, MA), and the BST-Cargel (Piramal Life Sciences, Bio-Orthopaedics Division, Canada) is evenly applied to the entirety of the defect (Fig 8). We followed the technique described by Al-Qarni et al.21

### Decompression and Grafting

Next, we address decompression of the femoral head lesion. This is also performed with a dry joint. An 18G spinal needle is placed percutaneously on the lateral cortex of the proximal femur cranial to the lesser trochanter and aligned with the femoral head lesion with fluoroscopic assistance. A stab incision is made, and the 11G AccuPort Cannula (Zimmer, Mahwah, NJ)
is drilled and placed within the femoral head lesion. Once the position in the defect area of AVN is confirmed with fluoroscopy in the anteroposterior and lateral planes, the inner drill is removed and the bone substitute (AccuFill, injectable CaPO₄; Zimmer) is injected. Fluoroscopic control is necessary to observe the radio-opacity filling the femoral head lesion while visualizing the hip joint with the arthroscope. Care should be taken to avoid escape of the bone substitute into the intra-articular space as well as the vastus lateralis muscle.

This patient additionally had an acetabular subchondral cyst. Bone substitute injection was also performed to fill the defect. We advise to perform this step before labral reconstruction as large subchondral cysts may impair labral anchor fixation. Under fluoroscopic guidance, an 18G spinal needle is passed percutaneously to the pelvic rim parallel to joint line and in a trajectory that avoided labral or chondral injury. The entry point was in the region of the proximal mid-anterior portal location. A small skin incision is made to permit a 4-mm dilator followed by the manufacturer’s sleeve. The cortex was drilled with a 3-mm drill and the shorter bone substitute sleeve is directed into the cyst. Injection of CaPO₄ solution into the desired location is confirmed with the image intensifier by increased radio-opacity (Fig 9). Similarly, we should avoid intra-articular spread by direct visualization with the arthroscope. The filling was stopped once extravasation of bone substitute occurs from the cortical drill-hole.

**Postoperative Care**

The patient is placed in a hinged-hip brace, with restricted range of motion of 0 to 90° of flexion. Toe-touch weight-bearing is advised. Progressive range of motion exercises are initiated early. The brace is discontinued at 6 weeks and gradual progression to weight-bearing as tolerated over the next 6 weeks is instructed.

**Discussion**

This paper describes a technique of arthroscopic hip preservation surgery, which addressed a variety of central and peripheral compartment pathologies coexisting...
with AVN of the femoral head (Tables 1 and 2). A combination of mini-invasive gestures often is required in precollapse stages of AVN, as chondral surface integrity is primordial to joint survival.4,22

Nonoperative treatment of AVN of the femoral head is associated with poor outcomes compared with CD in early stages of the disease.23-25 The best outcomes can be expected from core decompression in patients with necrotic lesions involving less than 50% of the femoral head.26 Minimally invasive arthroscopy-assisted techniques have been described.6,27 Recent trends in treating early AVN are toward the combination of CD with biomaterials.28 Subchondroplasty, which includes the injection of CaPO_4 material in addition to CD, is an emerging surgical technique with good outcomes in subchondral lesions around the knee.7,8 Data regarding clinical outcomes in the hip are still lacking, but a few studies showed promising results in the short and mid-term follow-up.29-31 Subchondroplasty also seems effective in preventing further collapse of the femoral head.29,32 Civinini et al.29 reported on 37 hips with precollapse and early precollapse (Steinberg stage I-IIIA33). In total, 78.4% had no further collapse at a mean follow-up of 20.6 months, and only 3 hips were converted to total hip arthroplasty. There were also no complications, which demonstrates the safety of this procedure. In fact, the aim of subchondroplasty is to prevent collapse of the femoral head and to delay osteoarthritic changes.3 Subchondroplasty is increasingly adopted in treating cystic lesions in the femoral head and acetabulum during hip arthroscopy, which shows the importance of combining fluoroscopy with arthroscopy for the successful treatment of intra-articular hip pathologies.6,9 CaPO_4 substitute has shown better biomechanical behavior in maintaining articular congruency in tibial plateau fractures compared with autologous bone.34 Moreover, AccuFill (Zimmer), which was used in this

Fig 7. Intra-articular visualization of the acetabulum after chondral preparation and labral reconstruction in a left hip in supine position, viewing from the anterolateral portal.

Fig 8. Final view of the acetabular rim after labral and chondral reconstructions in a dry left hip in supine position, viewing from the anterolateral portal.

Fig 9. Intra-operative fluoroscopic image showing radio-opacities of bone substitute within the femoral head and subchondral area of the acetabulum in a left hip in supine position. The arthroscopic camera (C) is inserted through the anterolateral portal. The AccuPort cannula (APc) is used to inject 2 mL of AccuFill inside the acetabular cyst. In total, 5 mL of AccuFill solution was used in the femoral head avascular necrosis affected area, overlapping on this view with the tip of trocar (T) advanced through the femoral neck.
case, is a nanocrystalline CaPO₄ injectable solution that has proven its efficacy and demonstrated adequate implantation into the trabecular bone among different bone substitute materials.³⁵

Concomitant intra-articular pathologies are underestimated in the context of AVN. These should be addressed as well to prevent clinical failure. Special attention should be paid to chondral lesions, as these are major prognostic factors in osteoarthritis development.³ Surgical options include microfracture (MF), mosaicplasty, autologous chondrocyte implantation, and osteochondral allograft transplantation, with MF being the most commonly used.³⁶ Use of a gel-forming, chitosan-based biopolymer BST-CarGel (Piramal Life Sciences, Bio-Orthopaedics Division), in the reconstruction of chondral lesions is a recent modality,³⁷,³⁸ and it works by stabilizing the blood clot by dispersing a soluble polymer scaffold containing chitosan.³⁹ CarGel was first safely reported in femoral condyle lesions in a well-designed, multicenter, randomized controlled trial.³⁷ At short- to mid-term follow-up, CarGel generated significantly greater lesion filling and superior repair tissue quality compared with MF treatment alone in short- to mid-term follow-up.⁴⁰ Recent reports showed similar promising outcomes in treating acetabular defects.³⁸,⁴¹ John et al.,³⁸ in their cohort of 80 patients, demonstrated a significant decrease in progressive joint space narrowing and conversion to total hip arthroplasty with the use of CarGel in adjunct to MF compared with MF alone (34.6% vs 5.9% of cases converted to hip arthroplasty, respectively; \( P = .001 \)).

Attempts at hip preservation in the young population are emerging, given the ongoing advancements in hip arthroscopic techniques. AVN of the femoral head is one the challenging pathologies as it is frequently associated with intra-articular lesions. A fluoroscopic-assisted hip arthroscopy is often necessary to address all pathologies for better outcomes after femoral subchondroplasty. This surgical technique successfully addressed treatment of a mixed-type FAI lesion, labral reconstruction with allograft, and acetabular chondral reconstruction in addition to subchondroplasty of both the femoral head and the acetabular rim.
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