Domestic animals have been recognized as Nobel prize winning sources of biological material. For example, the ox served to identify oxytocin (du Vingneaud, Barlett and Johl, 1954), the sheep (Burgus et al., 1971) and the pig (Schally et al., 1971) to characterize gonadotrophin releasing hormones. As yet physiologists, in particular neuro-endocrinologists, have only taken limited advantage of them experimentally. Since this symposium is devoted to pig reproduction, we shall attempt to present what is
known on the control of pituitary hormone secretion by the central nervous system (CNS) in this species. Two systems will be of concern both pre- and postnatally: CNS control over luteinizing hormone (LH) secretion by the anterior pituitary and mechanisms leading to the release of the neurohormones oxytocin and vasopressin.

The systems controlling pituitary hormone secretion are beyond any doubt situated within the brain but not exclusively within the hypothalamus. (Figure 9.1). Extrahypothalamic areas, especially the limbic system and the mesencephalon, play a definite role and the portal vessels serve to channel releasing and inhibiting hormones from the region of the median eminence into the pituitary. Paraventricular and supraoptic neurohormones are sent via axons of the pituitary stalk into the posterior pituitary for storage and release. Although the electrical and neurochemical events of the brain constitute the common underlying mechanisms for the stimulation or inhibition of synthesis and release of neurosecretory materials, such as the releasing or inhibiting hormones, or oxytocin and vasopressin, factors other than those inherent to the CNS participate in their release. Thus peripherally-produced steroids, or nervous reflexes originating peripherally, are able to modulate neurosecretory activity and the pheromones may also be part of the control system. In reviewing current knowledge of the neuroendocrinology of the pig we shall limit our presentation to direct, rather than circumstantial, evidence for CNS control over hypophysial function and thus to an involvement of the brain in the control of reproduction in the pig.

Foetal and adult brain control of LH secretion

Brain control over pituitary hormone secretion probably commences well before the foetus turns to independent life. For the pig our laboratory investigated a number of elements of the secretion of LH both in chronically catheterized, unanaesthetized foetuses and in acute experiments. Measurable levels of LH were present during foetal life (Elsaesser et al., 1976; Colenbrander et al., 1977); furthermore the foetal pituitary could be stimulated by synthetic LH releasing hormone under in vivo and in vitro conditions (for details and references see Elsaesser, Chapter 5). However, this does not necessarily imply that the hypothalamus is already capable of releasing sufficient LH releasing hormone to trigger the release of LH into the circulation and even in the adult a single pulse of LH releasing hormone does not seem to reflect the natural mechanisms of release. However, essential differences in the electrical activity (Figure 9.2) of cortical brain structures between the late prenatal and the pubertal pig are not obvious (Konda et al., 1979) and this reduces the likelihood that the late prenatal brain might not be capable of functioning in a postnatal fashion.

We have therefore stimulated the foetal hypothalamus electrically and electrochemically at various foetal ages (Bruhn, Parvizi and Ellendorff, 1981 and unpublished). An LH response was virtually absent on day 60; however detectable stimulation of foetal plasma LH levels could be evoked at day 80 and were clearly observed at 105 days of age. It is thus evident
that somewhere between days 60 and 80 the brain–pituitary axis advances sufficiently in its maturation to be capable of exerting control over the secretion of LH releasing hormone from hypothalamic nerve terminals. The pituitary portal vessels must be able to pass this information on to the anterior pituitary which also becomes responsive prior to and around day 80. These observations have provided us with a time period over which to study the ontogeny of the other mechanisms involved in brain control over pituitary hormone secretion.

The only evidence that manipulation of the postnatal but prepubertal brain subsequently affects reproduction in the female pig indicates that mediobasal and anterior hypothalamic lesions, as well as constant illumination, result in anovulation (Döcke and Busch, 1974). This is probably due to an absence of the LH surge since polyfollicular ovaries were present.

In the adult pig more extensive investigations have been carried out. Undoubtedly, a number of hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic brain areas can be stimulated in the pig with consequent changes in the blood levels of pituitary secretions. However, such responses are not always as uniform as might be expected when compared with a multitude of experiments in the rat and other smaller species, although there are possible experimental reasons for such differences, the most important being the much higher structural resolution that can be achieved in the pig's brain when compared with smaller species. Although changes in plasma LH in response to electrical stimulation of discrete brain areas are indicative of general brain control over pituitary LH release, it is known...
from many studies in small laboratory species that apart from the mediobasal hypothalamus and the preoptic area, the limbic and mesencephalic structures must be taken into account when considering the regulation of gonadotrophin secretion and this topic has been discussed elsewhere (Ellendorff, 1978; Ellendorff and Parvizi, 1980). Moreover, at any level (be it the amygdala, hippocampus, mesencephalon or the hypothalamus), the steroids, the classical neurotransmitters and the neuropeptides are essential in contributing to any changes in LH releasing hormone and finally LH release, and there is no reason to believe that the pig should differ in basic principles of design.

Figure 9.3 Examples of plasma LH response to electrical stimulation of the mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH) and the amygdala (AMY) in (a) and (b) intact male, (c) and (d) castrated male. All animals were chronically implanted and unanaesthetized. Stimulus parameters: 100–200 μA, 100 Hz, 0.5 ms 30 s on/off, 60 min, each stimulation at least two days apart. A: prior to stimulation; B: up to 60 min; C: 60–120 min; D: 140–200 min; E: 220–240 min post stimulation. Numbers in columns indicate numbers of samples taken at 10–20 min intervals. Arrows indicate onset of stimulation. From Ellendorff and Parvizi (unpublished)
In testing such interactions experimentally the intact and castrate male pig has been the model of preference. Electrical stimulation of various brain structures in the unanaesthetized male pig resulted in altered plasma levels of LH (Figure 9.3) (Ellendorff et al., 1973). Five of six orchidectomized boars had lowered plasma LH concentrations following amygdala stimulation and one did not change relative to controls. The response of intact males was not as clearly defined; of five boars stimulated two displayed increased, and one decreased, plasma LH levels and in one there was no change. Stimulation of the mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH), however, produced more equivocal results. We hypothesize therefore that gonadal steroids and/or differences in the levels of circulating gonadotrophins which have been reported previously in castrate and intact boars (Pomerantz et al., 1974) were responsible for the differences observed.

It is now well established in all species investigated that steroid receptors are present in at least the amygdala and hypothalamus. However, if functional significance is to be attributed to such binding or localization studies, peripheral as well as local application of steroids should result in measurable responses, e.g. changes in plasma LH levels. In the orchidectomized pig intramuscular injections (Figure 9.4 (c) and (d)) of testosterone (T), as well as oestradiol (E2), lowered plasma levels of LH within 24 hours, irrespective of the two dose levels given (15mg and 6.0 mg for T and 1.5mg and 0.6 mg for E2 per kg body weight). 5α-Dihydrotestosterone (5α-DHT) evoked an increase in plasma LH when given at a lower dose (6 mg/kg); however at considerably higher concentrations (15 mg/kg) LH levels were significantly depressed when compared with levels prior to treatment and in untreated controls (Parvizi et al., 1977). Although the responses to testosterone and oestradiol were consistent with results from other species, the stimulatory effect of 5α-DHT on LH secretion was unexpected and appears to be unique to the pig.

At least some of these effects should be due to the action of steroids on the hypothalamus and/or the amygdala. Microinjections of testosterone, oestradiol or 5α-DHT were therefore first placed into the amygdala of castrated males (Figure 9.4(e)). The outcome for testosterone and oestradiol was initially rather disappointing: 60 ng testosterone or 6 ng 17β-oestradiol did not alter plasma LH levels in 6/7 and in 5/6 animals respectively 2, 4, 24 or 48 hours after application. In contrast, when 5α-DHT (60 ng) was given to six animals, significantly elevated plasma LH was recorded in two animals within 4 hours, in four animals within 24 hours and in five animals within 48 hours after application to the amygdala. Initially we concluded that in the castrated male pig 5α-DHT participates in the regulation of LH secretion by a stimulatory role that is localized in the amygdala and becomes effective within 48 hours of exposure. It has been shown in other Chapters that rather striking effects of gonadal steroids (oestrogens) on LH secretion take much longer to develop. It is possible, however, that single microinjections of testosterone and of oestradiol in the amygdala are ineffective due to their rapid metabolism, or that the amygdala is not a location in which it is possible to provoke testosterone-mediated changes in LH. In order to test these possibilities crystalline testosterone or 5α-DHT was implanted into the mediobasal hypothalamus or the amygdala (Figure 9.4(a) and (b)) to assure a longer lasting exposure
of each structure (Parvizi et al., 1977). This time, 5/7 animals with testosterone implants in the amygdala reacted with slightly, but significantly, lowered plasma LH levels for a period of 10 days after the implant had been introduced (two animals showed no response). On the other hand, a clear increase in LH was observed when testosterone was placed into the mediobasal hypothalamus. 5α-DHT caused a stimulation of LH levels from both locations. We further concluded, therefore, that in the pig 5α-DHT exerts its positive effects on plasma LH both at the amygdala and MBH in short and long-term exposure situations.

Testosterone, on the other hand, is more difficult to characterize. It can be inhibitory or stimulatory to LH secretion depending on the site of accumulation and the duration of action. Alternatively, testosterone or its metabolites as well as other steroids, may induce immediate changes in the neurons to which they are attached, but these changes do not necessarily find an immediate expression in changing LH levels under normal conditions.

One hypothesis is that steroids either alter the sensitivity of neurons to incoming electrical impulses or alter the threshold for outgoing signals (e.g. action potentials). If this is true, then alterations in LH levels induced by electrical stimulation should be modifiable by prior exposure to steroids of the area to be stimulated. We have already mentioned that electrical stimulation of the amygdala in the orchidectomized pig per se usually decreases plasma LH levels and that microinjections of testosterone alone have no effect on LH. If, however, testosterone was microinjected prior to electrical stimulation, the expected decreasing effects of electrical stimulation on LH were not only abolished, but plasma LH was significantly elevated in 5/6 animals within 210 minutes after application of an electrical current to the amygdala (Figure 9.5). Oestradiol and 5α-DHT only abolished the LH decline (Parvizi and Ellendorff, 1980a) evoked by electrical stimulation alone. Thus a modulatory role of testosterone and its metabolites 5α-DHT and oestradiol can be postulated for the amygdala. Under what circumstances the fast or slow components become effective is not known.

Very little is known about mechanisms involved in these modulating effects. A link to neurotransmitter metabolism is suggested since some oestrogen metabolites (hydroxylated oestrogens, catecholestrogens)
microinjected with steroids into the amygdala 210 minutes prior to the onset of electrical stimulation of the amygdala.

Control: No prior microinjection; Testosterone, DHT: Animals received 60 ng of either substance in 1 μl of solvent; Oestradiol: Animal received 6 ng in 1 μl. ES: Electrical stimulation 10 Hz, 100 μA, 0.1 ms, 30 s on/off, 60 min. ***P < 0.001. From Parvizi and Ellendorff (1980a).

**Figure 9.5** Plasma LH levels in single animals which had been microinjected with steroids into the amygdala 210 minutes prior to the onset of electrical stimulation of the amygdala.

Compete with catecholamines for catechol-O-methyl-transferase (COMT) (Breuer, Vogel, and Knuppen, 1962). Higher affinity of COMT for 2-OH-oestrogens should, for instance, result in the accumulation of norepinephrine and therefore induce similar effects to norepinephrine. The pig was the first species in which a direct application of catecholoestrogens into the brain was attempted (Parvizi and Ellendorff, 1975). Microinjections of 60 ng 2-OH-oestradiol (2-OHE₂) into the amygdala...
were followed by a decrease in plasma LH within 90 minutes (Figure 9.6). This relatively rapid response fitted well with the effects observed after testosterone, but not after oestradiol microinjections into the amygdala. Electrical stimulation following 2-OHE$_2$ microinjections affected the inhibition induced by electrical stimulation alone but in equivocal fashion (Figure 9.7). Microinjection of 2-OHE$_2$ into the hypothalamus also inhibited plasma LH levels in the intact male. If the above hypothesis is

---

**Figure 9.6** Plasma LH (mean ± S.E.M.; LER-786-3) after microinjection of 2-OH-oestradiol (2-OHE$_2$) into the amygdala of an individual orchidectomized adult miniature pig. NaCl-EtOH: 2 μl of a stock made from 7 ml 0.9% NaCl and 3 ml 20% EtOH; 2-OHE$_2$: 2 μl NaCl-EtOH containing 60 ng OHE$_2$ (seven to nine samples per block obtained at 15 minute intervals). Each column (B–E) was compared with the corresponding control period (A) by Student's t-test. 2-OHE$_2$ treatment resulted in a significant decrease in columns (B) and (C), (P<0.001) and in column (D), (P<0.01). A = 0-2 hours before microinjection; B = 0-2 hours after microinjection; C = 2-4 hours after microinjection; D = 24-25.5 hours after microinjection, E = 48-49.5 hours after microinjection. By courtesy of Parvizi and Ellendorff (1975)

---

**Figure 9.7** Response of two animals to electrical stimulation (ES) of the amygdala following microinjection of 2-OHE$_2$ 210 minutes prior to ES. (a) 2-OHE$_2$ had lowered LH prior to the onset of ES; ES did not alter LH. (b) 2-OHE$_2$ had not altered LH levels prior to ES; ES lowered LH. ** P<0.01. By courtesy of Parvizi and Ellendorff (1980)
true, we would also expect inhibition of LH secretion when the hypothalamus is exposed to norepinephrine and, indeed, when 60 ng of norepinephrine was microinjected into the hypothalamus, 7/9 males responded with reduced plasma LH levels (Figure 9.8). Microinjections of 60 ng norepinephrine into the third ventricle, on the other hand, induced a surge of LH in 4/4 males similar to data reported for the rat (Schneider and McCann, 1970).

Apart from steroid–neurotransmitter interaction, the interference of neurobiologically-active peptides with the regulation of LH has become a focus of discussion. Peripheral injections of endorphins into small laboratory species affect the secretion of pituitary hormones (Bruni et al., 1977) and the hypothalamus has been suggested as the site of action. The microinjection of β-endorphin into the hypothalamus or amygdala of the pig (Parvizi and Ellendorff, 1980b) suggests that it is not the hypothalamus but the amygdala in which β-endorphin becomes effective (Figure 9.9). If β-endorphin was microinjected simultaneously into the amygdala and hypothalamus, a small enhancement of the LH decline was observed when compared with a microinjection into the amygdala alone. This may give a
Figure 9.9 Decrease of plasma LH levels 100-180 minutes after microinjection of 30 ng β-endorphin into the amygdala (AMY) or mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH) or both (AMY+MBH) of ovariectomized miniature pigs. ‘No micro’ = control blood sampling without any treatment; ‘Sol.’ = microinjection of 1 µl of 9.7% saline. Numbers in the bars represent the number of animals. For statistical analysis the period of sampling was divided into three blocks each of nine successive samples taken at 10 minute intervals. The mean LH value for each animal within each block was calculated. A one-way analysis of variance was then carried out to detect differences among mean LH values of treatments and time blocks.

first insight into a most complex system of regulation of gonadotrophin secretion by neuroactive peptides in the pig as well as in other species.

OLFACTION

A discussion of the central nervous system and the control of reproduction in the pig would be incomplete without mentioning the olfactory system. It is unique because the steroidal pheromones produced by the boar have been identified and are available synthetically. The interest of the neuroendocrinologist centres around its uptake and the possible transmission of pheromonal information within the CNS. The olfactory system of the pig is essentially the same as that of other mammals (Reinhardt et al., 1981). The mitral cell layer of the main olfactory bulb receives input from the olfactory nerves and passes the information on via the lateral olfactory tract (LOT) to higher centres, including the amygdala and, to a lesser extent, the hypothalamus. These connections are often reciprocal. If 5α-androst-16-ene-3-one (Δ-16) and 3α-hydroxy-5α-androst-16-ene are pheromones, they could become effective via the olfactory system, though other forms of uptake, e.g. the nasal mucosa, should not be discarded.
In the pig both Δ-16 and testosterone alter the electrical activity of mitral neurons after exposure of the olfactory system to aerosols of these substances (Figure 9.10), and the neurons may be activated by both or either one of the steroids indicating discriminatory abilities of the olfactory system for steroids. The connections to the amygdala could be one pathway by which behavioural or endocrine changes may be brought about. In addition to the main olfactory system, the accessory olfactory system may be involved in reproductive functions (Ladewig, Price and Hart, 1980). This is well developed in the pig with a nasopalatine duct allowing access to the vomeronasal organ from where fibres project over the surface of the main bulb into the accessory bulb.
Oxytocin and vasopressin secretion

The neurohypophysis, like the anterior pituitary, is also able to secrete its hormones prenatally, so the magnocellular neurosecretory system of the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei must be functional in the foetal pig. Circulating levels of oxytocin and lysine vasopressin (LVP) are detectable at the foetal age of 75 and 109 days respectively. In fact, concentrations of both hormones exceed those found in simultaneous maternal samples (MacDonald et al., 1979). In addition, the foetus responds with elevated LVP levels when exposed to haemorrhage (Forsling, Macdonald, and Ellendorff, 1979).

In the adult sow both parturition and nursing are associated with massive oxytocin release (Forsling et al., 1979). In late pregnancy oxytocin concentrations of sow plasma are close to the lower level of detection and only a few hours (<7 hours) before foetal expulsion does the range of oxytocin release increase to about 24 μU oxytocin/ml. Immediately after expulsion this value could be exceeded almost threefold and during delivery of the placentas a similar high surge of oxytocin occurs (Figure 9.11). It is likely that the extremely high concentrations of oxytocin are due to mechanical stimulation of the cervix thus producing a Ferguson reflex release of oxytocin. It is not easy to explain the mechanisms leading to the initial release of oxytocin prior to expulsion of the first foetus, although several endocrine changes take place at this time. Plasma prostaglandin levels display a surge of release (Silver et al., 1979) and prostaglandins stimulate oxytocin release in the sow (Ellendorff et al., 1979). Oestrogens clearly reach their maximal values immediately prior to parturition (Shearer et al., 1972; Ash and Heap, 1975; Taverne et al., 1979) and progesterone declines rapidly just prior to and during parturition, when it is closely related to changing oxytocin levels (Forsling et al., 1979). It is also likely that the release pattern of oxytocin follows a cascade effect that has been
proposed for the steep rise of a number of hormones prior to parturition (Thorburn, Challis and Currie, 1977).

The first evidence that oxytocin is responsible for milk ejection in the sow as in other species came from experiments in which injections of oxytocin (Whittlestone, 1954) produced a subsequent increase in intramammary pressure. Later some oxytocin measurements by bioassay (Folley and Knaggs, 1966) indicated a rise of oxytocin during the act of suckling. We have also observed that oxytocin levels were highest during suckling and lowest during periods of no suckling and higher peak levels of oxytocin occurred in early lactation when compared with later stages (Forsling et al., 1979).

More recently a detailed analysis of oxytocin and time-linked events was undertaken (Ellendorff, Forsling and Poulain, 1981) and it was found that the suckling event that occurs every 40–50 minutes is associated with a surge in oxytocin (Figure 9.12) in the absence of any appreciable increase in vasopressin secretion. The characteristic grunting pattern described previously in detail (Fraser, 1973) reaches its crescendo around the time of oxytocin release but is not always indicative of oxytocin release. The amount of oxytocin secreted during a successful milk ejection corresponds to about 10 mU of oxytocin given as a single rapid injection. The signal initiating the secretion of oxytocin from the neurohypophysis is probably very similar to that described in the rat (for review see Cross et al., 1975). Oxytocin release and intramammary pressure changes are almost identical to the natural milk ejection when the posterior pituitary of the rat is stimulated at frequencies between 25 and 40 Hz under such experimental conditions; however, there is also significant release of vasopressin. A distinct difference to the rat exists in the pig (as probably in many other mammals) with respect to sleep patterns related to nursing. In contrast to
the rat which invariably displays a slow wave sleep EEG (Lincoln et al., 1980; Voloschin and Tramezzani, 1979), a similar sleep pattern is not predictably associated with the onset of each suckling period in the pig (Poulain, Rodriguez and Ellendorff, 1981).
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