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Background: The study has aimed to investigate the Muslim patient’s psychological factors related to healthcare services that influence their loyalty intention for further treatment at private hospitals in Malaysia.

Methods: Data were collected from private hospitals in Klang Valley. A total of 379 responses from patients were analysed using the structural equation modelling approach.

Results: The findings revealed that administrative behaviour, nurse’s services and Shariah amenities have a highly significant impact on satisfaction. The healthcare technicality, hospital environment and physician’s services have a significant relationship with patient satisfaction. Patient satisfaction has a significant impact on patient loyalty to healthcare services at the hospital. Administrative behaviour, physicians’ services and healthcare technicality have a direct and positive relationship with loyalty intention, while Shariah amenity has a negative significant relationship with loyalty.

Conclusion: The results have important implications for product development and managerial considerations in hospitals. Service providers need to be mindful that all aspects, including Shariah amenities and generic healthcare service delivery, are important and need to be balanced and delivered satisfactorily to ensure customer satisfaction.

Keywords: Shariah amenities, medical service, patient satisfaction, patient loyalty, hospital

Introduction

The medical care service sector is facing continuous challenges while delivering services and demanding consistent quality performance.1 Duly, the medical field currently faces three prominent challenges: service integration, service quality and Internet-connected medical device security. While the medical providers promise to change healthcare service delivery forever, it will take some time before the advancements reach their full potential. Travers2 and Ebel3 stated that patients have a multifaceted demand for healthcare services. Thus, medical care providers should maintain the service quality through delivering the desired medical care consistent with the current professional medical knowledge which consists of all the service quality components that confirm quality medical services beyond religious beliefs.4 This phenomenon also offers a unique opportunity to the medical care sector to deliver a new product, which is the Islamic perspective medical service. Islamic medical care service is a new mode of healthcare services, which aims to extend the reform of the Islamic medical care system for attracting Muslim patients. Past studies on this topic have focused mainly on the popular discourse of generic medical service quality.5–8 There has been little knowledge available focusing on the niche market of Islamic-friendly medical services quality, especially in the area of satisfaction and loyalty intention with medical services at private hospitals.
In conjunction with this, the Malaysian government and private hospitals have taken the initiative to apply the Islamic-friendly concept to advise and patients on prayers and religious matters. New Straits Time reported that there are 55 major hospitals nationwide in Malaysia, which are part of the Muslim (Ibadah/worship) friendly hospital programme. Practicing medicine is not just a profession but is a social obligation, and this is the trust of the Islamic-friendly Hospital programme. Some Muslim healthcare workers are expected to provide the best care and service towards their patients and their community as they are seeking the pleasure of Allah SWT. Zawawi and Othman claimed that only a few hospitals offered Shariah-compliant services at the beginning of its introduction. Today, it is acknowledging that the number of hospitals certified with Shariah-compliant services is still few. However, according to SIRIM there are already 31 Shariah Compliant Institutions certified under MS 1900:2014, but the biggest number is in Islamic Institutions like Jabatan Pusat Zakat. For healthcare, most of the hospitals are commonly used the tag line of “Muslim/Ibadah/Halal Friendly Hospital” for the Islamic values even though they have not yet been certified with Shariah-compliant. Accordingly, due to the multidisciplinary scope of the subject matter, there exists confusion regarding “Islamic-friendly hospital”, “Ibadah-friendly hospital” and “Halal-friendly hospital” terms.

One challenge faced by academicians and practitioners is to identify the right terminologies as well as the proper clarifications of the concepts. As a result, “Islamic-friendly”, “Ibadah-friendly” and “Halal-friendly” terms, are often used interchangeably by academicians and practitioners since the concepts are similar. Nevertheless, according to most of these definitions are loosely defined and have not fully served the Islamic law (Shariah). Thus, this study will be studying the “Islamic-friendly medical” that denotes an attempt by the hospitals to create the patient’s experience enjoyable to Islamic values including allowing the patient to perform religious duties, while they are at the hospitals. The increasing trends in attitude towards Islamic values demonstrate how religion could be an appealing factor for the patient. Rahman and Zailani indicated that there is a supportive environment to the Islamic-friendly services in Malaysia for medical players to raise the value of their medical care. Given this situation, medical services quality from the Islamic perspective is an interesting issue to study.

Malaysia’s private hospitals offer excellent diagnostic capabilities and provide patients with comfortable and private facilities. The private system is enhanced with investments from the medical tourism sector. Currently, many Malaysian private hospitals attempt to position themselves as medical service providers for Muslim patients by offering generic and Muslim-friendly medical care practices, products and services. Nevertheless, the market share of Islamic friendly medical services providers is negligible in Malaysia. For instance, only 26.73% of private medical operators have been distinguished and perceived by the Ministry of Health as Muslim-friendly healthcare providers. These hospitals also have been facing a lot of difficulties and constraints in providing medical services to Muslim patients.

**Literature Review**

This study has used the concept of confirmation or disconfirmation theory, which focused on the customer’s perception of satisfaction and loyalty intention. The theory also seeks to explain customer satisfaction as a function of customer perceived services for healthcare treatment. In this study, the concept of perceived service quality of healthcare is derived from the SERVQUAL model. The SERVQUAL model is accepted as the most valid, reliable and realistic approach to measuring service quality. Numerous models have been created in the service quality literature to measure the customers’ psychological behavior of service quality. Parasuraman developed “the gaps’ measure”, which depends on comparing the consumers’ perception of the service and their expectations of the offered service level, either satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Based on the concept of confirmation or disconfirmation theory and SERVQUAL model, this study investigates the additional SERVQUAL criteria (eg, Shariah amenities, physicians’ services, nurses’ services, healthcare technicality, hospital environment, administrative behaviour) for measuring customer satisfaction and their loyalty intention for further treatment at hospitals.

Shariah amenities consisted of Islamic concepts and practices. Shariah is derived from the sources of Islamic law (eg Al-Qur’an and Al-Hadith). Abdelkader and Rahman postulated that Shariah amenities consisted of the availability of prayer facilities, such as a prayer room with prayer mats and copies of Al-Qur’an, Islamic TV channels, an alcohol-free minibar, alternate single-sex spa facilities, female housekeeping and room staff, halal food, the direction of Makkah indicated in room, prayer times in local time zone, and maps showing locations of nearby
mosques and locations of local halal restaurants. Generally, the physician’s and nurse’s sole objective is to help the patient. The character traits of the human and good physicians are embedded in the Al-Qur’an and Al-Hadith. As such, the Muslim physicians and nurses, guided by these two main sources of Islamic law, will possess the necessary character traits of virtuous physicians and nurses.

The use of various types of medical tools in this era makes medical facilities easier and more convenient for patients and doctors. Therefore, Islam allows having healthcare treatment in various ways unless the treatment is harmful, or the tools have such things which are not Shariah compliant. The hospital must guarantee a healthy and comfortable environment, which includes the provision for infection control, hygiene and personal wash and also provides clean water supply and good ventilation around the hospitals. These facilities will confirm the prevention of harm for the patients and visitors to the hospital.

Administrative behaviour in hospitals plays a key role in providing quality medical care services. To improve administrative behaviour and efficiency, hospitals need to upgrade their medical-care process approaches from the manufacturing sector. For example, Toussain and Radnor et al used a lean thinking approach in healthcare to ensure the quality of healthcare management in the hospitals. This approach is required to consider human factor paradigms and biotechnology for medical treatment safety, and socio-technical system aspects. The performance assessment in medical care is vital for both healthcare providers and patients because successful outcomes will equally be shared by all stakeholders in terms of satisfaction. Lyu et al and Rahman postulated that healthcare providers need to provide quality medical care for patient’s satisfaction. As satisfaction is a key component, healthcare providers need to be aware of quality medical care and provide well-trained medical doctors. Tsai et al postulated that government policymakers have made patient’s satisfaction a core measurable process to determine how doctor’s facilities are assessed.

Based on the review of the literature, Figure 1 proposes the conceptual model of medical services quality for patient’s satisfaction and their loyalty for further receive to healthcare service in private hospitals.

**Methodology**

**Measurement Instrument**

The measurement items were adapted from the previous studies. Shariah amenities were evaluated using eight items modified from and. These items are used for healthcare services rendered in the hospitals in accordance with the Islamic friendly. To evaluate the physician’s and nurse’s services, fourteen questions were modified from. For measuring healthcare technicality, six items were adapted from Nurunnabi and Islam and Alhassan et al. These items were considered for measuring the healthcare technicality as criteria for patient satisfaction and their loyalty intention for medical treatment at hospitals. The hospital environment refers to the sum of the elements and conditions in the hospital, which have an impact on patient through a good healthcare system, which is evaluated using seven items adapted from and. The administrative behaviour refers to the duties of administrative staff in the hospital which cover medical care systems, six items adapted from Dagger et al and Flannelly et al. Patient’s satisfaction is the choice of perceived value toward medical care services related to physical, spiritual and emotional satisfaction, which was evaluated using 20 items modified from. Loyalty is the key to business success for an organization, and it is used in this study for evaluating patient loyalty for future medical treatment at hospitals using eight items adapted from and. A 7-point Likert scale (1 = not applicable; ie, experience and judgment are not in favor), and (7 = highly agree; ie, the evidence and judgment strongly favour one over the other) was used in this study to measure the respondents’ view of medical care services, satisfaction, and loyalty intention. Vaus reported that the 7-point Likert scale could provide a wide choice of answers from the participants.

**Data Collection and Sample**

The questionnaires were distributed randomly to the Muslim patient at the selected fifteen Islamic-friendly private hospitals in Malaysia. The researcher only focused on adult patients from three hospital units. These were orthopaedic, surgery and medicine. Potential in-patients who came for a consultation on medical treatment were informed about the study and given the questionnaire. The non-probability random sampling technique was used for distributing and collecting questionnaires from Muslim patients in the hospitals. For outpatients, the respondents were chosen among those who had previously been hospitalised in hospitals. This ensured that the respondents had experience with services provided by the hospitals. To avoid the challenges that arise during data collection at each hospital, the first author of this study
sought assistance from a medical administrative officer to distribute and collect the questionnaire. The medical administration officer introduced the researcher to the patients and explained the research. This quickened the data collection and helped ensure the data were collected from an appropriate sample. This technique was also used by Ahmed et al. who claimed its advantage in terms of speedy distribution and attainment of more reliable responses.

Before collecting the data, an ethical research approval letter was obtained from the University Research Ethics Committee. An introductory letter was also obtained from the supervisory committee, which clearly expressed the reason for this study to acquire consent from the chosen hospitals for conducting the study. The self-distribution approach was used for collecting data from the respondents. Using the random sampling method, self-administered questionnaires with consent forms were distributed to patients in Muslim friendly hospitals, in two major areas of the Klang Valley. For each hospital, a medical administration officer was selected as an enumerator to distribute and collect the questionnaires. This helped in speeding up the data collection and obtaining answers in terms of gender, and ethnicity. The data collection process started in the first week of December 2018 and was completed in the first week of April 2019, taking more than six months to perform the task. We sent a consent form to the respondents whether they are willing to participate in this study. A total of 750 questionnaires with consent forms were physically distributed to Muslim patients in selecting fifteen Islamic friendly hospitals in Malaysia. Out of 750 questionnaires, we got return 507 responses, providing a return rate of 67.60%. However, only 379 questionnaires were usable for data analysis with a response rate of 50.53%.

For this study, fifteen Islamic friendly private hospitals were purposively selected from Klang Valley enclaves, in which ten hospitals are located in Selangor and five hospitals are located in Kuala Lumpur. There is no record of the total number of patients who have received medical services in the aforementioned surveyed hospitals; therefore, the questionnaires were distributed based on the size of the hospitals using the random sampling technique. The respondents were politely approached and requested to give their honest and truthful answers in completing the questionnaires, and they were ensured that their identities would be kept strictly confidential and would remain anonymous. The survey data were analysed in aggregate forms and used only for academic purposes. The sample size of this study was determined by using G*Power 3.1.9.2, as Faul et al. suggested that it is one of the
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most suitable analytical software programs for a statistical test in the social and behavioural sciences. In selecting the seven predictors (based on the conceptual model), and power (1-β error probability) of 0.99, the result indicated that the minimum sample size could be 253. Hence, the actual power was above 0.80, indicating a satisfactory level of sample power.

Data Analysis Tool
The structural equation modeling (SEM) statistical tool was applied to the AMOS 23.0 version to explore the relationship among the constructs. The main reason for using this statistical tool is that a measurement error can be assessed by the SEM, which performs a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), causal relationship, path analysis and a covariance structural analysis. Following the statistical procedure of Sekaran and Bougie, and Hair et al., this study examined the measurement model and a structural model. The SEM has a higher analyzing ability to check measurement errors, nonlinearities, correlated error terms, modelling interactions and dependent/independent relationships, thus, this study used the SEM technique.

Results and Analysis
Demographic Analysis
The respondents’ profile was analyzed based on the 379 respondents’ relevant responses, 52.2% were females, whilst 47.8% were male patients. Table 1 shows in detail the respondent’s information.

Measurement Model Analysis
The findings of the measurement model show satisfactory results. For example, the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.048, goodness-of-fit index (GFI) = 0.828, adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI) = 0.803, normed fit index (NFI) = 0.865, Incremental Fit Index (IFI) = 0.932, tucker-Lewis index (TLI) = 0.925, comparative fit index (CFI) = 0.931, Parsimony Standard Adjustment Index (PNFI) = 0.788, and Parsimonious comparative fit index (PCFI) = 0.849. Moreover, the Hoelter’s critical N’ for 0.5 and 0.01 levels was above 200 indicating that the sample was adequate. The standard regression weights of the model were above 0.5 indicating convergent validity. Construct reliability consists of a composite reliability (CR) and an average variance extracted (AVE). In this study, factors loading of all items were greater than 0.65, indicating a satisfactory level of convergent validity. The range of CR values was 0.86–0.91 with a cut-off point ≥0.60 and AVE values of 0.52–0.71 with a threshold value ≥0.50. Bourgeois et al discussed an AVE threshold value of 0.50 and CR > 0.60. Thus, the construct reliability was achieved for the measurement model. Byrne stated that the values of the CR and the AVE were the key components to measure the construct reliability and convergent validity. To measure the discriminant validity, this study ran the measurement model by linking all the independent and the dependent variables together to examine whether the constructs were highly correlated. Thungjaroenkul et al. indicated that if the correlation value between the two constructs exceeded 0.85, the discriminant validity could not be attained. The results indicated that all values of the constructs are below the threshold value of <0.85, and it was ensured that the discriminant validity was achieved for the measurement model (Table 2). A summary of measurement analysis results is shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.

Structural Model Analysis
The results indicated that the R² value for the endogenous variable of the patient’s satisfaction was 0.54, indicating the contribution of constructs to the Shariah amenities, physicians’ services, nurses’ services, healthcare technicality, hospital environment and administrative behaviour in estimating the patient’s satisfaction, which was 54%. Similarly, the R² value was 0.73 indicating the contribution of the construct to the overall patient’s satisfaction in estimating the patient loyalty for medical treatment, which was 73%. Figure 3 shows the results of structural model analysis for patient healthcare loyalty at hospital.

The study found a positive relationship between Shariah amenities and patients’ satisfaction and was significant at p < 0.01 (β = 0.246, C.R. = 4.472), indicating that Shariah amenities influence patient satisfaction. Therefore, H1a was accepted. The statistical analysis found a significant relationship of Beta coefficient (β = 0.279 and C.R. = 3.770). This finding signifies that physicians’ services quality has a direct effect on Muslim patients’ satisfaction, thereby, H1b is accepted. There is a positive relationship between nurses’ services quality and Muslim patient satisfaction and it was statistically significant (p < 0.05, β = 0.338, and C.R. = 4.970), and H1c is accepted. The study found a positive relationship between healthcare technicality and patients’ satisfaction and was statistically significant at p < 0.01 with β = 0.393,
Table 1 Demographic Information

| Characteristics                        | %       | Characteristics      | %       | Characteristics   | %       |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Gender: Male                            | 47.8 (181) | Country: UAE       | 7.7 (29)     | Reasons to visit: MC | 28.8 (109) |
| Female                                  | 52.2 (198)     | Saudi Arabia       | 4.2 (16)     | Improve health    | 12.4 (47)  |
| Age: Less than 25 years                 | 2.6 (10)     | Singapore          | 0.8 (3)      | Cure an illness   | 58.3 (221) |
| 26–35 years old                        | 66.5 (252)   | Indonesia          | 4.2 (16)     | Medical care      | 0.5 (2)   |
| 36–45 years old                        | 20.3 (77)    | Malaysia           | 58.8 (223)   | Stay hospital: 1–2 nights | 49.9 (189) |
| 46–55 years old                        | 9.0 (34)     | China              | 1.3 (5)      | 3–4 nights        | 34.6 (131) |
| 56 years and above                      | 1.6 (6)      | Bangladesh         | 5.3 (20)     | Over 5 nights     | 15.5 (59)  |
| Marital status: Single                  | 35.6 (135)   | Thailand           | 1.8 (7)      | Visit at hospital: 1–2 times | 48.3 (183) |
| Married                                 | 64.1 (243)   | Jordan             | 0.5 (2)      | 3–4 times         | 30.9 (117) |
| Divorce                                 | 0.3 (1)      | Iraq               | 0.3 (1)      | 5–6 times         | 12.9 (49)  |
| Education: SPM/O-Level:                 | 7.7 (29)     | Libya              | 0.3 (1)      | Over 6 times      | 7.9 (30)  |
| College degree                          | 6.3 (24)     | Nigeria            | 1.8 (7)      | Hygienic standard: Very unhygienic | 4.0 (15)  |
| Bachelor degree                         | 59.6 (226)   | Kenya              | 0.8 (3)      | Neither hygienic nor unhygienic | 4.0 (15)  |
| Master degree                           | 16.6 (63)    | Pakistan           | 0.5 (2)      | Unsure            | 12.4 (47) |
| Doctorate degree                        | 9.8 (37)     | Egypt              | 1.8 (7)      | Somewhat hygienic | 15.6 (59) |
| Monthly income:                         |           |                    |            |                   |         |
| USD 1000 and below [RM 4000 and below]  | 74.4 (282)   | Somalia            | 0.8 (3)      | Hygienic          | 48.8 (185) |
| USD 1001–3000 [RM 4001–10,000]         | 18.7 (71)    | Afghanistan        | 2.6 (10)     | Very hygienic     | 15.3 (58) |
| USD 3001–5000 [RM 10,001–20,000]       | 4.7 (18)     | Syria              | 0.5 (2)      | Interest to received MFMSC: |         |
| USD 5001 and above [RM 20001 and above] | 2.1 (8)      | India              | 0.5 (2)      | Not at all interested | 2.6 (10)  |
| Occupation:                             |           | Yemen              | 0.5 (2)      | Uninterested      | 2.9 (11)  |
| Gov. service                            | 10.0 (38)    | Iran               | 0.8 (3)      | Somewhat interested | 16.1 (61) |
| Private service                         | 23.7 (90)    | Sudan              | 1.1 (4)      | Interested        | 33.5 (127) |
| Business owner                          | 7.9 (30)     | Palestine          | 0.3 (2)      | Very interested   | 44.9 (170) |
| Self-employed                           | 16.1 (61)    | Tanzania           | 0.5 (2)      | Familiar to MFMSC: |         |
| Unemployed                              | 19.8 (75)    | USA                | 0.3 (1)      | Very unfamiliar   | 3.7 (14)  |
| House wife                              | 3.7 (14)     | Brunei             | 0.3 (1)      | A bit unfamiliar  | 5.8 (22)  |
| Student                                 | 16.1 (61)    | Vietnam            | 0.3 (1)      | Unsure            | 16.9 (64) |
| Retired/Pensioner                       | 2.1 (8)      | East Africa        | 0.3 (1)      | A bit familiar    | 24.0 (91) |
| Professional Accountant                 | 0.5 (2)      | Comoros            | 0.3 (1)      | Quite familiar    | 34.8 (132) |
|                                       |            | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 0.3 (1) | Very familiar | 14.8 (56) |
Table 2 Convergent Validity

| Characteristics                      | Loading | AVE  | CR  |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------|-----|
| Shariah Amenities                    |         |      |     |
| [SA1] The hospital provides a copy of the Holy Quran in the prayer room | 0.70    | 0.58 | 0.87 |
| [SA3] The hospital provides halal foods and drinks | 0.68    |      |     |
| [SA4] The hospital provides a prayer room for each ward for patients | 0.83    |      |     |
| [SA5] The hospital provides prayer amenities (times [Azan], mats, direction of Qibla, wudhu) in the prayer room | 0.81    |      |     |
| [SA7] The hospital provides an ablution pipe for solat purpose | 0.79    |      |     |
| Physicians’ Services                 |         |      |     |
| [PQ1] Physicians inform me regarding the use of vaccines that are porcine in origin | 0.69    | 0.59 | 0.88 |
| [PQ3] Physicians respond promptly to my request | 0.82    |      |     |
| [PQ4] Physicians understand my specific problem | 0.84    |      |     |
| [PQ5] Physicians are very sympathetic towards me | 0.74    |      |     |
| [PQ6] Physicians respect my privacy | 0.74    |      |     |
| Nurses’ Services                     |         |      |     |
| [NQ2] Nurses are ever willing to help me | 0.82    | 0.66 | 0.89 |
| [NQ3] Nurses respond promptly to my request | 0.85    |      |     |
| [NQ4] Nurses respect Islamic values and principles | 0.82    |      |     |
| [NQ5] Nurses are very sympathetic towards me | 0.77    |      |     |
| Healthcare Technicality              |         |      |     |
| [TQ1] This hospital uses up-to-date equipment | 0.61    |      |     |
| [TQ2] This hospital provides service on time | 0.74    |      |     |
| [TQ3] This hospital fulfilis the promised service at the promised time | 0.76    |      |     |
| [TQ4] This hospital keeps accurate records and documents | 0.74    |      |     |
| [TQ5] This hospital has adequate of consulting rooms | 0.77    |      |     |
| [TQ6] This hospital provides a stable amenities (eg water and electricity) | 0.70    |      |     |
| Hospital Environment                 |         |      |     |
| [EI1] This hospital has a clean and hygienic appearance | 0.80    | 0.64 | 0.90 |
| [EI2] The waiting room of this hospital is pleasant | 0.84    |      |     |
| [EI3] The surrounding area of this hospital is quiet | 0.73    |      |     |
| [EI4] The rooms of this hospital are pleasant | 0.86    |      |     |
| [EI5] This hospital is located in a safe and secure place | 0.76    |      |     |
| Administrative Behaviour             |         |      |     |
| [AB1] The administrative procedures of this hospital are (paper work and paying of bills) fast and easy | 0.67    | 0.60 | 0.88 |
| [AB2] The administrative staff of this hospital respect my concerns | 0.79    |      |     |
| [AB3] The administrative staff of this hospital are courteous | 0.79    |      |     |
| [AB5] The administrative staff of this hospital are treating me with respect and dignity | 0.80    |      |     |
| [AB6] Overall, I have confidence in this hospital’s administrative system | 0.82    |      |     |
| Physical Satisfaction                |         |      |     |
| [PS1] I am satisfied with the medical treatment provided in this hospital | 0.86    | 0.71 | 0.91 |
| [PS2] I am satisfied with the feeling of security in receiving treatment here | 0.86    |      |     |
| [PS3] I am satisfied with the physicians who treated me | 0.87    |      |     |
| [PS4] I am satisfied with the nurses who treated me | 0.77    |      |     |
| Emotional Satisfaction               |         |      |     |
| [ES3] Medical professionals in this hospital help me to overcome my fears/concerns on the outcome of medical treatment | 0.88    | 0.68 | 0.86 |
| [ES4] Medical professionals in this hospital give me credible hope or encouragement | 0.89    |      |     |
| [ES6] Medical professionals in this hospital listen to my fears, hopes, pain and dreams | 0.68    |      |     |

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

| Characteristics                          | Loading | AVE | CR |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|-----|----|
| Spiritual Satisfaction                  |         |     |    |
| [SS1] Medical professionals in this hospital pray for me for my health and rapid recuperation. | 0.74    | 0.70| 0.90|
| [SS3] Medical professionals in this hospital recite “bismillah” before my medical procedure | 0.84    |     |    |
| [SS4] Medical professionals in this hospital help me to appreciate Allah cares for people whom I love | 0.90    |     |    |
| [SS5] Medical professionals of this hospital actively address my other spiritual needs (trust, faith, love to Allah) | 0.86    |     |    |
| Patient Loyalty                         |         |     |    |
| [IR1] I intend to revisit this hospital because of ease inaccessibility to treatment | 0.77    | 0.56| 0.88|
| [IR2] I intend to revisit this hospital because of its Islamic-friendly medical quality service | 0.82    |     |    |
| [IR3] I intend to revisit this hospital because healthcare professionals are consistently courteous and respectful to me | 0.84    |     |    |
| [IR4] I intend to continue to use this hospital for its Islamic-friendly healthcare practices | 0.77    |     |    |
| [IR5] I intend to revisit this hospital because the physicians are aware of the presence of potentially non-Halal ingredients in medicines | 0.61    |     |    |
| [IR8] I intend to revisit this hospital because of precise and clear explanations of my treatment results | 0.65    |     |    |

C.R. = 4.415, and p-value = 0.000, thereby, H1d is accepted. A positive relationship was found between environmental infrastructure services quality and Muslim patients’ satisfaction and was statistically significant at p = 0.004 level with β = 0.331, and C.R. = 3.848, and thus H1e was accepted. The findings also showed a positive strong relationship between the two constructs and was significant at p < 0.01 with β = 0.544, and C.R. = 7.064. Therefore, H1f was accepted.

Hypothesis H2a predicts that Shariah amenities have a significant negative effect on loyalty intention for medical treatment. The hypothesis was accepted with a negative relationship of β coefficient = -0.202 and C.R. = −2.845 with p-value = 0.031, therefore, H2a is accepted. Hypothesis H2b predicted that physicians’ services have a significant effect on Muslim patient loyalty intention for medical treatment (β coefficient = 0.270 and C.R. = 3.913 and p value = 0.002). Hypothesis H2c predicted that nurses’ services have a significant effect on Muslim patients’ loyalty intention for medical treatment. The statistical analysis found a negative and insignificant relationship of β coefficient = −0.083 and C.R. = −1.886 and p-value= 0.106. Therefore, H2c is not significant. Hypothesis H2d predicted that healthcare technicality has a significant positive effect on Muslim patients’ loyalty intention for medical treatment. Statistical analysis also discovered a significant positive relationship, β coefficient = 0.320, C.R. = 3.903, and H2d is accepted. Hypothesis H1e predicted that the hospital environment has a positive significant effect on Muslim patients’ loyalty intention for medical treatment (β coefficient = −0.094, and C.R. = 1.068); therefore, H1e is not significant. There is a significant relationship between the two constructs, administrative behaviour and the Muslim patients’ loyalty intention for medical treatment, and it was statistically and positively significant at p < 0.01 with β coefficient = 0.289, and C.R. = 3.010, thereby, H2f is accepted. H3 illustrated the path from Muslim patients’ satisfaction to Muslim patient loyalty for medical treatment was positively significant at p < 0.01 with β = 0.755, and C.R. = 8.206, therefore, H3 is accepted (Table 3).

According to the results of Table 4, the statistical analysis found a significant path coefficient β for X-M (a) = 0.515, M-Y (b) = 0.764, and an insignificant direct path X-Y (c) = - 0.031 at a significant level of 1% with p < 0.01. The results of Sobel’s statistical test were 5.740 with the proportion of mediation being 0.927. Thus, it could be concluded that the relationship between Shariah amenities and patient’s loyalty for medical treatment was fully mediated by patients’ satisfaction. The mediating role of patients’ satisfaction was tested for the relationship between physicians’ services and patient loyalty for medical treatment. The statistical analysis found a significant path coefficient for X-M (a) = 0.543, M-Y (b) = 0.714, and a direct path X-Y (c) = 0.125 with the proportion of mediation = 0.756.

From the statistical analysis, it was found that a significant path coefficient for X-M (a) = 0.577, M-Y (b) = 0.727, and a direct path X-Y (c) = 0.096 at the significant level of 1% (p < 0.01), with the result of Sobel’s test statistics = 4.739 and the proportion of
mediation = 0.814. The findings specified a significant direct relationship between nurses’ services and patients’ loyalty for medical treatment, with a significant Sobel Z test result of 4.739, with Z ≥ 2.58. Similarly, patient satisfaction mediates the effect of healthcare technicality, hospital environment, and administrative behavior on patient loyalty. Table 4 shows the summary results of mediating effect.

Discussion
The findings indicate there is a significant relationship between Shariah amenities and patient’s satisfaction but there is a significant negative relationship between Shariah amenities and patient loyalty. The indication echoes the findings of previous studies. Rahman et al identified the positive significant link between Shariah amenities and satisfaction but there is no available study that examined the direct relationship between Shariah amenities and loyalty. Thus, this is the first to examine the direct negative relationship between Shariah amenities and loyalty. Previous literature indicated the importance of the physician’s role in enhancing patient satisfaction with medical care services. Muslim patients looked for medical care, friendliness, and religious-oriented affinity, and supportive empathy, helpful and attentive physicians. This study found that physicians’ medical services quality had a significant relationship with Muslim patient satisfaction. The statistical analysis indicated a significant positive relationship between nurses’ services and patient’s satisfaction. This finding contradicts the previous study by Rahman and Zailani, which identified nurse’s medical care practices have no significant relationship with satisfaction; however, Benzimra et al and Celik found there is a significant relationship between service quality, nurse services and satisfaction. Although most patients cannot evaluate the healthcare technicality of a hospital’s service quality in terms of technical accuracies and procedures, the statistical findings showed that Muslim patient’s satisfaction was also highly dependent.
on healthcare technicality of the hospital. It is expected as the development of medical care services requires healthcare technicality services for a satisfactory treatment at the hospital. This finding supports the study by Rose et al, Zullig et al, Sim and Lee, who indicated that healthcare technicality is the most important aspect of hospital services.

There is a significant relationship between hospital environment and patient satisfaction. This finding is related to the previous studies. The hospital may

Table 3 Path Coefficient

| Hypo | Relation | Estimate | S.E. | C.R. | P   | Comment |
|------|----------|----------|------|------|-----|---------|
| H1a  | PS <— SA | 0.246    | 0.055| 4.472| 0.000| Significant |
| H1b  | PS <— PY | 0.279    | 0.074| 3.770| 0.000| Significant |
| H1c  | PS <— NS | 0.338    | 0.068| 4.970| 0.000| Significant |
| H1d  | PS <— HT | 0.393    | 0.089| 4.415| 0.000| Significant |
| H1e  | PS <— HE | 0.331    | 0.086| 3.848| 0.004| Significant |
| H1f  | PS <— AB | 0.544    | 0.077| 7.064| 0.000| Significant |
| H2a  | LO <— SA | −0.202   | 0.071| −2.845| 0.031| Significant |
| H2b  | LO <— PY | 0.270    | 0.069| 3.913| 0.002| Significant |
| H2c  | LO <— NS | −0.083   | 0.044| −1.886| 0.106| Not Significant |
| H2d  | LO <— HT | 0.320    | 0.082| 3.902| 0.003| Significant |
| H2e  | LO <— HE | 0.094    | 0.088| 1.068| 0.235| Not Significant |
| H2f  | LO <— AB | 0.289    | 0.096| 3.010| 0.012| Significant |
| H3   | LO <— MPS| 0.755    | 0.092| 8.206| 0.000| Significant |

Notes: Significant level p ≤ 0.01, 0.05.
Abbreviations: Hypo, hypotheses; SE, standard estimation; CR, critical ratio; PS, patient’s satisfaction; SA, Shariah amenities; PY, physician’s services; NS, nurse’s services; HT, healthcare technicality; HE, hospital environment; AB, administrative behaviour; LO, loyalty.
improve its environment by encouraging all staff members to be friendly with their patients. This effort can increase patient satisfaction levels. Good hospital policies (eg control of room temperature, lighting, implementing quiet hours or installing sound-absorbing materials in busy hospital areas, such as nursing places) may also have an effect on hospital environments. When patients feel more comfortable, they may be more likely to have better rest and recover more quickly. Staff’s effort to maintain cleanliness and follow safety protocol can also increase patients’ satisfaction.

The statistical results identified a significant relationship between administrative behaviour and Muslim patient’s satisfaction. This finding is relevant to the previous studies that have developed a relationship between medical personnel behaviour and patient’s satisfaction. The administrative behaviour is related to how medical staff (specialist physicians, nurses and administrative staff) behave with the patients. Patients’ behavioural intention is influenced by their satisfaction in receiving medical services at hospitals. This study examines and finds that there is a significant link between patient satisfaction and loyalty for future medical treatment at hospitals in Malaysia. This result is related to the previous studies highlighted on patient’s satisfaction, preferences, adherence, and loyalty towards medical care services at the hospital. The structural model demonstrates that there is a highly significant relationship between Muslim patient satisfaction and their loyalty. As noted by Wu et al, Muslim patients are more likely to revisit the hospitals for future medical treatment once they are satisfied with their medical services.

The study believed that the investigations on the relationships of medical services quality are different from the previous studies. The study has confirmed with the Standard and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia (SIRIM) and experts that this study is considered new as no one has tested the quality management systems requirements in the context of hospitals. Therefore, in terms of significance and contributions, it is believed that this study can justify its significance why it needs to be conducted. The significant and insignificant findings are beyond the control of the study as long as the study has justified the reasons behind those findings; it is believed to be significant.

The study found one full mediation and five partial mediation relationships of Muslim patient satisfaction in the relationship between the Islamic friendly medical services quality and Muslim patient loyalty for future medical treatment. Muslim patient’s satisfaction fully mediates the relationship between Shariah amenities and Muslim patient’s loyalty. Besides, the study found a partial mediation relationship of Muslim patient’s satisfaction among physician’s services, nurse’s services, healthcare technicality, hospital environment and administrative behaviour with loyalty. This finding implies that the path from physician’s services to Muslim patient’s satisfaction is a critical route to influence patient’s loyalty for future medical treatment at Malaysian hospitals. Based on the findings, it is indicated that Muslim patient satisfaction plays a critical mediating role in influencing the relationships between the criteria of Islamic friendly medical services quality and Muslim patient’s loyalty for medical treatment. The particulars of each mediation relationship are discussed in the following sub-sections. The above result is consistent with, who found the mediating role of satisfaction in the relationship between a hospital brand image and loyalty.

**Conclusion**

This study has a significant theoretical and knowledge contribution, which shows the aspects of Islamic friendly medical services quality, which influence patient’s satisfaction and loyalty. Shariah amenities have a significant relationship with loyalty through the satisfaction of Muslim patients. Although Shariah amenities have a positive relationship with satisfaction, surprisingly, it has a negative relationship.
with loyalty for future medical treatment at hospitals. Some researchers used Shariah amenities in different contexts and found that Shariah amenities are important for Muslim consumers when deciding to purchase different products and services. In the aspect of medical services quality for Muslim perspective, this study revealed all aspects of Islamic friendly medical services quality – administrative, nurses’ services, healthcare technicality, hospital environment, and physicians’ services – have a relationship with satisfaction. Patients can judge hospital services quality, especially through the physicians, nurses, hospital environment services and administrative behaviour. As patients’ judgment is a core aspect of quality care, physicians and nurses should be careful to provide the expected service to the patients. Although the hospital environment is important for patient’s satisfaction, it is not considered important when deciding to revisit the hospital in the future. Besides, healthcare technicality influences patients’ satisfaction and loyalty among Muslim patients at the hospitals.

The findings provide a basis for managerial and marketing recommendations in the development of quality medical services for Muslim patients. Hospital managers need to understand and provide Islamic friendly medical services that ensure patients’ satisfaction and stimulate loyalty for future usage of medical treatment. Note that managers at the hospital need to be mindful while adopting Shariah amenities. This criterion is a necessary but not the only aspect of quality medical service in Islamic friendly medical hospitals. Muslim patients prefer to see the Shariah amenities along with other service quality criteria such as nurse’s services, physician’s services, healthcare technicality, hospital environment, and administrative behaviour while receiving medical treatment. Perhaps, the most significant observation of this study is that despite the significant positive relationship between Shariah amenities and patient’s satisfaction, its relationship with loyalty is negative. Thus, hospital marketing departments need to be mindful and understand when promoting Shariah amenities, as its excessive promotion might be counter-productive in attracting future patients.

The main limitation of this study is that the research participants were only Muslim patients who were recovering from health ailments. Many who were rather ill were not willing to answer the questionnaire. Usually, patients were in a hurry to meet their physicians, and some had a limited understanding of the English language. Many were unable to answer the questionnaires. The researcher did not insist on everyone being selected at the location to answer the questionnaire. Most patients who responded to the questionnaire had sufficient fitness physically and mentally to answer the questionnaire. The measurement of patient’s satisfaction was based on the perceptual scales, which may not be as precise as the objective scales. Perceptual scales are usually subjected to the respondent’s bias, whereas the objective scales acquire more quantifiable data. Being a social scientist, the researcher is only familiar with the perceptual scale. The real scale could be more effectively measured by scientists, such as medical doctors themselves.

Future research should take into consideration expanding the study on public hospitals, clinics and health centres with a view to extracting the common dominating factors of interest. With larger samples from the public hospitals, the findings are expected to provide a more accurate picture of the services, and they could be generalized as representing Islamic medical services provision in Malaysia. Comparative research can also be undertaken to assess the differences in medical services quality from the Islamic perspective, satisfaction and their loyalty to medical treatment in the Malaysian public hospitals and the private healthcare providers. Such an investigation is important to keep track of the country’s development towards sustainability, which is presently the national agenda in Malaysia. Patient’s friends and family members’ perceptions may also represent a potential study area as these groups could become the key influencers of patients’ medical care choices.
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