Advances in cryoEM and its impact on β-pore forming proteins
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Deployed by both hosts and pathogens, β-pore-forming proteins (β-PFPs) rupture membranes and lyse target cells. Soluble protein monomers oligomerize on the lipid bilayer where they undergo dramatic structural rearrangements, resulting in a transmembrane β-barrel pore. Advances in electron cryo-microscopy (cryoEM) sample preparation, image detection, and computational algorithms have led to a number of recent structures that reveal a molecular mechanism of pore formation in atomic detail.
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Introduction
The outer membrane of a cell provides an essential barrier from its external surroundings and creates a unique chemical environment for cellular processes. Pore-forming proteins (PFPs) puncture cell membranes allowing passage of solvent and often proteins into the target cell. Pore-forming proteins are exploited by a wide range of organisms as a mechanism to lyse target cells. Based on the secondary structure of their transmembrane regions, PFPs are classified into two broad subgroups (α and β). Both families undergo a transition from soluble monomeric proteins to membrane-embedded oligomeric assemblies [1]. Dramatic structural changes accompany this transition. Historically, X-ray crystallography has yielded high-resolution information for soluble states, while electron cryo-microscopy (cryoEM) provided low-resolution reconstructions of oligomeric membrane-associated complexes (Table 1). Advances in membrane-protein biochemistry, coupled with recent technical developments in cryoEM, have led to a burst of high-resolution structures of transmembrane complexes (Table 1). This review highlights the impact of cryoEM on recent β-PFP structures, focusing on the seminal work on the anthrax toxin protective antigen (PA) pore and later structures from the aerolysin and membrane attack complex perforin/cholesterol dependent cytolysin (MACPF/CDC) super-families.

β-PFPs have been visualised by negative stain and cryo-microscopy for nearly forty years. However, difficulties in stabilizing membrane proteins outside the lipid bilayer, together with heterogeneity of oligomeric assemblies, has made it challenging to characterise these complexes at a resolution necessary to detail a molecular mechanism of pore formation. Nonetheless, the excitement around the possibilities enabled by the ‘resolution revolution’ in cryoEM have made it an opportune time to re-visit these questions.

Fundamental advances in both hardware and software developments underpin all the recent sub-nanometer pore structures. Most notably, the use of direct electron detectors (DED) in data acquisition has provided a step change in increasing the signal-to-noise ratio of experimental images [2], and their rapid read-out has enabled the recording of movie frames. All of the high-resolution β-PFP structures were collected with direct electron detectors and used computational strategies to correct for beam-induced specimen motion across image frames [3]. Empirical Bayesian-based algorithms for image processing have also been instrumental in refinement of 3D structures [4,5]. This approach has proven most powerful in the classification of mixtures within samples [6], an important consideration for structural studies of notoriously heterogeneous pore and prepore assemblies.

Anthrax toxin protective antigen (PA) pore
Anthrax toxin is the major virulence factor for the pathogen Bacillus anthracis, and is a tractable model system for studying protein translocation. The toxin’s protective antigen (PA) binds to receptors on the target cell plasma membrane, oligomerizes into a heptameric prepore, and is endocytosed. Upon acidification, PA undergoes the transition to a transmembrane pore that translocates two enzymes, lethal factor and edema factor, into the cytosol of the infected cell [7].

Like many β-PFPs, anthrax toxin PA is an heterogeneous oligomeric assembly that is prone to aggregation when removed from a membrane environment [8]. To get around this, early structural studies of PA bound the
toxin to chaperone GroEL and used it as a molecular scaffold when triggering the prepore-to-pore transition [9]. As model membrane systems evolved, the PA pore was reconstituted in both liposomes and lipid nanodiscs before imaging by negative stain EM [10]. Later, low-resolution cryoEM was used to visualize a domain of lethal factor bound to the PA pore inserted in a lipid nanodisc [11]. However, it was not until pores were formed directly on the carbon support of an EM grid pre-treated with polylysine that enough monodisperse complexes could be embedded in a thin layer of ice for high-resolution studies [12**]. An automated data-collection strategy enabled thousands of micrograph movies to be recorded on a DED. Beam-induced specimen motion was corrected across movie frames to further increase the signal-to-noise ratio in the images. Within a Bayesian framework, particles were classified at both the two-dimensional and three-dimensional levels to achieve a homogeneous subset that finally reached high-resolution.

By implementing state-of-the-art methods in cryoEM, the structure of the PA pore revealed the toxin’s pH sensor and a mechanism for protein translocation in atomic detail. The PA pore is comprised of 4 individual domains arranged in a ‘flower-on-a-stem’ configuration (Figure 1a). During the prepore-to-pore transition, domain 2S undergoes dramatic structural rearrangements to form an extended β-hairpin that associates to form a long (105 Å) β-barrel pore (Figure 1a,b, orange ribbons). Low pH triggers the conformational change of a loop (2β10-2β11) (Figure 1b, inset) and the rotation of domain 2C (Figure 1b, blue circle), resulting in a ring of seven phenylalanine residues arranged within the lumen of the channel (Figure 1c). This seal, known as the φ-clamp, restricts passage of cations from the endosome to the cytosol during translocation of polypeptide chains [13]. The proton gradient generated across this transmembrane pore drives unidirectional transport, and the structure provides further evidence supporting a Brownian ratchet model for protein translocation [14].

### Aerolysin superfamily

The aerolysin superfamily comprises a group of β-PFPs characterized by a mushroom-like architecture, in which a central stem forms the β-barrel pore [15]. Similar to the anthrax PA toxin, soluble monomers bind the surface of membranes where they oligomerize and undergo a dramatic conformational change to form a transmembrane pore. For some members, such as lysenin, oligomerization is triggered by sphingomyelin-binding [16]. By contrast, aerolysin itself requires a proteolytic activation before oligomerization [17]. Recent high-resolution cryoEM structures of the lysenin pore [18**], together with a number of aerolysin pore intermediates [19**], have significantly advanced our understanding of how water-soluble monomers convert to transmembrane assemblies.

The structure of the lysenin pore provided the first atomic resolution information of how aerolysin-like proteins insert into membranes [18**,20]. A previous crystal structure of the soluble monomer defined the domain architecture and sphingomyelin-binding residues of the toxin [21]; however, the active transmembrane form remained elusive. Detergent solubilized lysenin is highly unstable and subject to aggregation at concentrations necessary for structural studies. Whereas this challenge was overcome for PA using a thin layer of amorphous carbon [12**], lysenin pores were adsorbed to holey carbon grids overlayed with graphene oxide [18**]. Graphene oxide is a support nearly transparent to the electron beam and results in higher signal-to-noise ratios of images and consequently improved image alignment accuracies [22]. The structure revealed that lysenin forms a nonameric pore whose β-barrel spans the length of the complex (97 Å) (Figure 2a). Upon pore formation, a flexible coil within the cap and receptor-binding domains (residues: V157-R159) facilitates a rotation of the cap domain towards the lumen of the barrel and triggers the toxin’s dramatic vertical collapse (20 Å) towards the membrane. The pore is formed by the

| β-PFP       | Membrane environment | Resolution (Å) | Detector | Motion-correction | 3D classification | Reference |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Pneumolysin | Liposome             | 28             | Film     | No                 | No                | [27]      |
| Perforin    | Liposome             | 28.5           | CCD      | No                 | No                | [29]      |
| Anthrax     | Nanodisc             | 22             | Film     | No                 | No                | [10]      |
| Pleurotoxin | Liposome             | 11             | CCD      | No                 | No                | [26]      |
| Sullisyn    | Liposome             | 15             | CCD      | No                 | No                | [20]      |
| Anthrax     | Detergent*           | 2.9            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [12**]    |
| MAC         | Detergent            | 8.5            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [25**]    |
| Pneumolysin | Amphiphil            | 4.5            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [34**]    |
| Lysenin     | Detergent            | 3.1            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [18**]    |
| Aerolysin   | Detergent            | 7.9            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [19**]    |
| Gasdermin   | Detergent            | 3.8            | DED      | Yes                | Yes               | [36**]    |

* Soluble toxin triggered to transmembrane pore on grid support, detergent subsequently added. DED, direct electron detector; CCD, charge-coupled device.
restructuring of 5 β-strands and a 3_10 helix of the soluble monomer into an elongated β-hairpin whose transmembrane residues are comprised of a flexible insertion loop (Figure 2b, green ribbons). The lumen of the pore is rich in serine and threonine; the outer face is lined by hydrophobic amino acids. Similar to other transmembrane β-barrels, the lysenin pore contains two aromatic rings separated by the width of the bilayer. These residues, together with a histidine triad near the outer leaflet, lie at the interface between polar head-groups and hydrophobic acyl chains of the lipid and may stabilize the pore in the membrane.
CryoEM structures of aerolysin-like β-PFPs. (a) CryoEM reconstruction of a mature lysenin pore at 2.9 Å resolution (magenta surface; EMD-8105) and corresponding atomic model (green ribbons; PDB: 5GAQ). Right panel is a cross-section of the structure. Membrane bilayer is shown as a cartoon for reference. (b) Conformational changes within a lysenin monomer upon pore formation. Residues that undergo the dramatic structural re-arrangement to form a β-barrel are indicated (green). Atomic models for monomers in soluble (PDB: 3ZXD) and membrane-inserted (PDB: 5GAQ) states are shown. (c) Sequential images of the formation of the lysenin pore from the prepore to the quasipore and to the pore state. (d) Conformational changes in the lysenin monomer upon pore formation. Residues that undergo the dramatic structural re-arrangement to form a β-barrel are indicated (green). (e) Structures of lysenin and aerolysin with the α-helices highlighted in blue and the β-strands in yellow.
Although the lyсенin structure provided new insights into the final membrane-inserted state, structural characterization of different steps along the pathway is required for deriving a complete molecular mechanism. Aerolysin, the archetypal member of the superfamly, has been used to visualize prepore intermediates trapped by the introduction of cysteine bridges. Low-resolution cryoEM reconstructions of disulphide-locked aerolysin mutants revealed a swivelling of domains and vertical collapse of the complex during the prepore-to-pore conversion [23]. With images now recorded using a DED, these same mutants produced near-atomic resolution reconstructions (Figure 2c) [19**]. The improved signal-to-noise ratio of the DED-collected images enabled them to be sorted computationally and classified into homogeneous subsets. The structure of the aerolysin prepore revealed a heptameric oligomer whereby rotation of domain 4 drives the circular association of β-sandwich domains for each monomer. The prepore assembles into a novel concentric double β-barrel arrangement held together by a network of hydrophilic interactions (Figure 2e). During pore formation, the two barrels work together like a piston to rupture the bilayer. The inner β-barrel elongates and the protein undergoes a vertical collapse of nearly 40 Å towards the target membrane (Figure 2d). The piston injects hydrophobic loops at the tips of the β-hairpins into the membrane that then migrate laterally to anchor the complex like a rivet.

Membrane attack complex perforin/cholesterol dependent cytolyisin superfamly

By contrast to the narrow diameter of PA and aerolysin pores (30 Å), members of the membrane attack complex perforin/cholesterol dependent cytolyisin (MACPF/CDC) superfamly form giant β-barrel pores in target cell membranes. These complexes vary widely in stoichiometry (ranging 13–50 proteins) [24,25**,26] and can leave lesions up to 300 Å in the bilayer [27]. MACPF/CDC-containing proteins are one of the most prolific β-PFPs; they are secreted by bacteria as well as eukaryotic immune cells [28]. The MACPF/CDC fold is defined as a central kinked β-sheet with helical regions that unfurl into membrane-inserted β-hairpins upon pore formation. A number of low-resolution cryoEM structures of prepore and pore complexes on liposomes [26,27,29,30] (Figure 3a,b) illustrated how MACPF/CDC domains were oriented with respect to the membrane, however the molecular basis underlying these transitions remained unclear.

The membrane attack complex (MAC) is a MACPF-containing human immune pore that ruptures the cell membranes of pathogens. Contrary to β-PFPs solved-to-date, the MAC pore is not a symmetric ring [25**]. The complex is comprised of 22 proteins (7 unique polypeptide chains), making it a challenge to biochemically isolate intact assemblies and to obtain a homogenous population of particles for high-resolution cryoEM. A number of crystal structures of complement components [31,32], together with a low-resolution reconstruction of a soluble regulated MAC [31], provided some insight into the inactive forms of the complex; however, it was not until new developments in membrane protein biochemistry, coupled with technical advances in cryoEM, that the complete pore was solved at sub-nanometer resolution (Figure 3c,d). Detergents lower surface tension, making it challenging to achieve thin ice. A new class of detergents (neopentyl glycol) with two hydrophilic heads and two lipophilic tails were essential for increasing MAC stability while considerably reducing the percentage of detergent required in the freezing buffer. Similar to anthrax PA toxin, an amorphous carbon layer overlaid on the cryoEM grid was used to adsorb detergent solubilized MAC and prevent aggregation. Although classification of DED-collected images was implemented, limited particle numbers prevented extensive analysis of MAC heterogeneity. An electron cryo-tomography study of MACs in liposomes demonstrated a wide range of pore complex assemblies [33*]. The MAC structure provided clarity on the stoichiometry of component proteins and showed they were arranged in a non-canonical, split-washer architecture (Figure 3e–g). Furthermore, the structure revealed a novel asymmetric β-barrel pore in which some hairpins do not fully penetrate the lipid bilayer. By contrast to symmetric and homo-oligomeric β-PFPs solved to-date, this structure was the first to investigate how a break in symmetry could impact pore formation and raised new questions about how these complexes interact with their lipid environment.

Secreted by the pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, pneumo-lysin (PLY) is a CDC-containing β-PFP that binds cholesterol to form lytic pores on human cells. Previously, low resolution cryoEM structures of prepore and pores in model membranes showed a dramatic vertical collapse [27] (Figure 3b), reminiscent of the aerolysin pore transition (Figure 2). The atomic resolution cryoEM structure of a solubilized PLY pore (Figure 3f) has now identified specific amino acids that govern this conformational change [34**]. As with the MAC, stabilizing extracted pores was crucial for structural studies. Detergents heavily influenced the oligomeric state of PLY and introduced preferred orientations of the particle when adsorbed on the carbon-coated cryoEM grid. Exchanging detergent for amphipols led to the

SGAQ) states are shown. (c) CryoEM structures (light pink surfaces) and corresponding atomic models (blue ribbons) of steps along the pathway to forming an aerolysin pore. Prepore at 3.9 Å resolution (EMD-8185; PDB: 5ZJH), post-prepore at 4.5 Å resolution (EMD-8188; PDB: 5Jzw), quasipore at 4.5 Å (EMD-8188; PDB: 5Jzw) and final pore at 7.9 Å resolution (EMD-8187; PDB: 5JZT) states are shown from left to right. (d) Atomic model of an aerolysin monomer within the heptameric oligomer for each state. Residues that undergo the structural re-arrangement to form a β-barrel are highlighted as blue ribbons. (e) Atomic models for lyсенin (left panel) and aerolysin (right panel) highlighting the concentric double β-barrel fold for this family of β-PFPs. The inner and outer barrels are blue and yellow, respectively.
CryoEM structures of β-PFPs from the MACPF/CDC superfamily. (a,b) CryoEM structures of (a) perforin pore at 28.5 Å resolution (purple surface; EMD-1769) and (b) pneumolysin (PLY) prepore (28 Å; EMD-1106) and pore (28 Å resolution; EMD-1107) complexes (blue surface) before the
stabilization of homogenous PLY pores and resulted in a more complete angular coverage in the reconstruction. Amphipols are a new type of surfactant that stabilize membrane proteins in a detergent-free environment and enable better control over ice-thickness and protein distribution during sample vitrification [35]. The dominant 42-fold symmetric pores were further isolated in silico using 2D and 3D classification of DED-collected images. The resulting high-resolution reconstruction revealed electrostatic charge complementarity between monomers and inter-protein salt-bridges that stabilize the giant β-barrel. As observed for aerolysin and lysenin pore structures, large-scale rotations of PLY domains accompany a vertical collapse of the toxin towards the membrane. A helix–turn–helix motif within domain 3, HTH (Figure 3g, magenta dotted circle), unlashes the transmembrane residues, enabling their helix-to-hairpin transition (Figure 3g, cyan ribbons). Neighbouring β-hairpins associate to form a giant (260 Å) β-barrel whose lumen is highly polar. By contrast, the outer surface of the barrel is nearly all hydrophobic, with a ring of aromatic residues anchoring the pore within the membrane.

**Future perspectives and conclusions**

Despite the diversity of β-PFPs, these structures reveal a number of conserved mechanisms of pore formation (Figure 4). Large-scale domain rotations accompany the aqueous-to-transmembrane transition. These conformational changes unlash membrane-inserting residues, allowing them to restructure and pierce the bilayer. The final pore state is comprised of a β-barrel that extends beyond the width of the membrane. Two rings of aromatic residues lie at the interface between polar lipid headgroups and hydrophobic tails of the bilayer, thus anchoring the barrel’s position within the outer and inner leaflets. Electrostatic interactions play a key role in mediating both oligomerization interfaces as well as interactions with lipids.

β-PFPs are one of many biological systems that have benefited from the ‘resolution revolution’ in cryoEM. Direct electron detectors have been the single biggest hardware advance and have greatly increased the signal to noise level in cryoEM images. Improved signal-to-noise ratios in the data have enabled a number of computational algorithms to correct for beam-induced specimen motion and to more accurately assign orientations to individual single-particles. In the past, difficulties in solving structures of oligomeric assemblies were due, in part, to challenges in symmetry determination. Low signal to noise ratios in cryoEM images contributed to weak peaks in rotational power spectrum used to assign point group symmetries. In addition, stoichiometric heterogeneity of the oligomer further complicated analysis of a bulk population. Mixtures unable to be purified biochemically can now be isolated in silico using 2D and 3D classification techniques. Indeed, extensive classification of cryoEM images for the recent Gsdermin A3 pore resolved subtle differences between...
oligomers are reducible are the improved hotspots resulting from optimizing purification and conformational variability within chemically identical subunits of the bacterial chaperone GroEL [36**]. Investigating the asymmetric nature of homo-oligomeric β-PFP assemblies may lead the uncovering of conformational hotspots that trigger pore formation.

Even with better detectors and new image processing algorithms, ultimately the sample and how it interacts with the EM grid during freezing remains a limiting factor for many β-PFPs. Grid supports have been instrumental in preventing aggregation of anthrax PA toxin, lyssenin, MAC and PLY pore structures. However, supports can introduce further challenges by increasing background noise or preferred particle orientations. For lyssenin, graphene oxide was used to minimize electron scattering from the support [18**]. Pre-treating amorphous carbon with polylysine improved the angular distribution of PA toxin on the grid [12**], while exchanging detergent for amphiphils reduced over-represented views for PLY pores. New computational tools that rapidly assess angular coverage and predict tilts to improve the distribution could be incorporated into data collection strategies [39,40*]. Tuneable grid supports are another area of future development that address many of the sample preparation challenges faced by β-PFPs. Hydrogenation of graphene through ionising with low-pressure gas can be an effective way to adjust protein adsorption [41]; however, it does not allow control of protein orientation. Self-assembling monolayers whose surface properties can be chemically modified have been used to improve the angular distribution of particles in ice [42]. Altering hydrophilicity of cryoEM grids through PEG-derived monolayers may offer an attractive alternative to detergent-treatment of supports, which may adversely impact membrane protein stability. Inkjet-dispensed vitrification methods [43,44], which allow small scale, reproducible sample preparation will substantially improve throughput for cryo-EM sample screening. As yields for purifying membrane proteins are notoriously low, this may provide a powerful high-throughput screening tool for optimizing β-PFP samples for cryoEM in the future.

Conflict of interest statement
Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements
This work is supported by a CRUK Career Establishment Award (C26409/ A166990 to DR; CMB is funded by a BBSRC Doctoral Training Program grant, Ref. BB/J014575/1.

References and recommended reading
Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

1. Anderluh G, Lakey JH: Disparate proteins use similar architectures to damage membranes. Trends Biochem Sci 2008, 33:482–490.
2. McMullan G, Chen S, Henderson R, Faruqi AR: Detective quantum efficiency of electron area detectors in electron microscopy. Ultramicroscopy 2009, 109:1126–1143.
3. Campbell MG, Cheng A, Brilot AF, Moellner A, Lyumkis D, Veesler D, Pan J, Harrison SC, Potter CS, Carragher B et al.: Movies of ice-embedded particles enhance resolution in electron cryomicroscopy. Structure 2012, 20:1823-1828.
4. Scheres SHW: RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure determination. J Struct Biol 2012, 180:519–530.
5. Scheres SHW: A Bayesian view on cryo-EM structure determination. J Mol Biol 2012, 415:406–418.
6. Scheres SHW, Gao H, Valle M, Herman GT, Eggermont PPB, Frank J, Carazo J-M: Disentangling conformational states of macromolecules in 3D-EM through likelihood optimization. Nat Methods 2007, 4:27-29.
7. Young JaT, Collier RJ: Anthrax toxin: receptor binding, internalization, pore formation, and translocation. Annu Rev Biochem 2007, 76:243-265.
8. Venier G, Wang J, Jennings LD, Sun J, Fischer A, Song L, Collier RJ: Solubilization and characterization of the anthrax toxin pore in detergent micelles. Protein Sci 2009, 18:1882-1895.
9. Katayama H, Janowik AE, Brzowski M, Jurczyk J, Falke S, Gogol EP, Collier RJ, Fisher MT: GroEL as a molecular scaffold for structural analysis of the anthrax toxin pore. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2008, 15:794–760.
10. Katayama H, Wang J, Tama F, Chollet L, Gogol EP, Collier RJ, Fisher MT: Three-dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin pore inserted into lipid nanodiscs and lipid vesicles. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2010, 107:3453-3457.
11. Gogol EP, Akkaladevi N, Szerzen L, Mukherjee S, Chollet-Hinton L, Katayama H, Pentelute BL, Collier RJ, Fisher MT: Three dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin translocon-lethal factor complex by cryo-electron microscopy. Protein Sci 2013, 22:596–594.
12. Jiang J, Pentelute BL, Collier RJ, Zhou ZH: Atomic structure of anthrax protective antigen pore elucidates toxin translocation. Nature 2015, 521:543-549.
13. Kraut BA: A phenylalanine clamp catalyzes protein translocation through the anthrax toxin pore. Science (80-) 2005, 309:777-781.
14. Wynia-Smith SL, Brown MJ, Chirichella G, Kemalyan G, Kraut BA: Electrostatic ratchet in the protective antigen channel promotes anthrax toxin translocation. J Biol Chem 2012, 287:43753-43764.
15. Parker MW, Buckley JT, Postma JP, Tucker AD, Leonard K, Pattus F, Tsernoglou D: Structure of the Aeromonas toxin proaerolysin in its water-soluble and membrane-channel states. Nature 1994, 367:292-295.
16. Kiyokawa E, Makino A, Ishii K, Otsuka N, Yamaji-Hasegawa A, Kobayashi T: Recognition of sphingomyelin by lyssenin and lyssenin-related proteins. Biochemistry 2004, 43:9766-9773.
17. Iacoviche I, Degiacomi MT, Pernot L, Ho S, Schiltz M, Dal Peraro M, van der Goot FG: Dual chaperone role of the c-terminal propeptide in folding and oligomerization of the pore-forming toxin aerolysin. PLoS Pathog 2011, 7.

18. Bokori-Brown M, Martin TG, Naylor CE, Basak AK, Titball RW, Savva CG: Cryo-EM structure of lysenin pore elucidates membrane insertion by an aerolysin family protein. Nat Commun 2016, 7:12953.

This paper provides the first atomic-resolution information for a pore from the aerolysin family of β-barrel forming proteins and shows how the β-barrel is anchored within the membrane.

19. Iacoviche I, De Carlo S, Cirauqui N, Dal Peraro M, van der Goot FG, Zuber B: Cryo-EM structure of aerolysin variants reveals a novel protein fold and the pore-formation process. Nat Commun 2016, 7:12062.

Using cryoEM of disulfide-locked aerolysin mutants, this paper develops a structural timeline for pore formation and demonstrates how a double β-barrel acts as a piston that drives membrane insertion.

20. Podobnik M, Savory P, Rojko N, Kisovec M, Wood N, Hambley R, Pugh J, Wallace EJ, McNell L, Bruce M et al.: Crystal structure of an invertebrate cytosolin pore reveals unique properties and mechanism of assembly. Nat Commun 2016, 7:11598.

21. De Colibus L, Sonnen AF, Morris KJ, Siebert CA, Abrusci P, Plitzko J, Hodnik V, Leippe M, Volpi E, Anderluh G et al.: Structures of lysenin reveal a shared evolutionary origin for pore-forming proteins and its mode of sphingomyelin recognition. Structure 2012, 20:1498-1507.

22. Pantelic RS, Meyer JC, Kaiser U, Baumeister W, Plitzko JM: Graphene oxide: a substrate for optimizing preparations of frozen-hydrated samples. J Struct Biol 2010, 170:152-156.

23. Degiacomi MT, Iacoviche I, Pernot L, Chami M, Kudryashev M, Stahlberg H, van der Goot FG, Dal Peraro M: Molecular assembly of the aerolysin pore reveals a swarming membrane-insertion mechanism. Nat Chem Biol 2013, 9:620-629.

24. Morgan PJ, Hyman SC, Rowe AJ, Mitchell TJ, Andrew PW, Saibil HR: Subunit organisation and symmetry of pore-forming, oligomeric pneumolysins. FEBS Lett 1995, 371:77-80.

25. Sema M, Giles JL, Morgan BP, Bubeck D: Structural basis of complement membrane attack complex formation. Nat Commun 2016, 7.

This paper reports the first 3D structure of the membrane attack complex (MAC), a lytic human immune pore. The paper explains how MAC assemblies and opens new directions of research investigating the lipid environment’s impact on MAC function.

26. Lukoyanova N, Kondos SC, Farabella I, Law RHP, Reboluf CB, Caradoc-Davies TT, Spicer BA, Kleifeld O, Traore DAK, EKkel SM et al.: Conformational changes during pore formation by the perforin-related protein pneumolysin. PLoS Biol 2015, 13.

27. Tilley SJ, Orlova EV, Gilbert RJ, Andrew PW, Saibil HR: Structural basis of pore formation by the bacterial toxin pneumolysin. Cell 2005, 121:247-256.

28. Rosado CJ, Buckle AM, Law RHP, Butcher RE, Kan W-T, Bird CH, Ung K, Browne KA, Baran K, Bashlanykh-Puhalovich TA et al.: A common fold mediates vertebrate defense and bacterial attack. Science (80-) 2007, 317:1548-1551.

29. Law RHP, Lukoyanova N, Vesikobinin I, Caradoc-Davies TT, Baran K, Dunstone MA, D’Angelo ME, Orlova EV, Coubalb F, Verschoor S et al.: The structural basis for membrane binding and pore formation by lymphocyte perforin. Nature 2010, 468:447-451.

30. Leung C, Dudkina NV, Lukoyanova N, Hodel AW, Farabella I, Pandurangan AP, Jian N, Fires Damaso M, Osmanovic D, Rebolu CF et al.: Stepwise visualization of membrane pore formation by sulyisin, a bacterial cholesterol-dependent cytolysin. Elife 2014, 3:e04247.

31. Hadders MA, Bubeck D, Roversi P, Hakobyan S, Forneris F, Morgan BP, Pangburn MK, Lorc a O, Lea SM, Gros P: Assembly and regulation of the membrane attack complex based on structures of sC5b6 and sC5b9. Cell Rep 2012, 1.

32. Lovelace LL, Cooper CL, Sodetz JM, Lebioda L: Structure of human C5 protein provides mechanistic insight into membrane pore formation by complement. J Biol Chem 2011, 286:17585-17592.

33. Sharp TH, Koster AJ, Gros P: Heterogeneous MAC Initiator and pore structures in a lipid bilayer by phase-plate cryo-electron tomography. Cell Rep 2016, 15:1-8.

Using a phase-plate to enlarge the image contrast of cryo electron tomograms, this paper reveals the stoichiometric heterogeneity of the complement membrane attack complex within a lipid environment.

34. van Pee K, Neuhaus A, D’Imprima E, Mills DJ, Kühlerbrandt W, Yildiz O: Cryo-EM structures of membrane pore and prepro complex reveal cytolytic mechanism of Pneumolysin. Elife 2017, 6.

Pneumolysin is a β-barrel forming toxin secreted by human pathogen StrepTooccus pneumoniae, and is the main virulence factor for the bacterium. This paper presents the first atomic resolution structure for a giant β-barrel pore and reveals how electrostatic interactions stabilize the complex.

35. Popot JL, Althoff T, Bagnard D, Bänkices J-L, Bazzacco P, Billon-Denis E, Catore LJJ, Champell P, Charevin D, Cocco MJ et al.: Amphipols from A to Z*. Annu Rev Biophys 2011, 40:379-408.

36. Ruan J, Xia S, Liu X, Lieberman J, Wu H: Cryo-EM structure of the gasdermin A3 membrane pore. Nature 2018, 557:62-67.

The cryoEM structure of gasdermin A3 revealed how an amphiphilic helix interacts with lipids to form pores that play a role in inflammatory cell death.

37. Hoang T, Nguyen D, Galej WP, Bai X, Oubridge C, Andrew J, • Cryo-EM structure of the yeast U4/U6 US tri-snRNP at 3.7 Å resolution. Nature 2016, 530:298-302.

By applying masked refinement strategies from signal subtracted particles, the authors implemented novel image processing methods to resolve conformational flexibility of the yeast spliceosomal complex.

38. Roh SH, Hryc CF, Jeong HH, Fei X, Jakana J, Lorimer GH, Chiu W, • Subunit conformational variation within individual GroEL oligomers resolved by Cryo-EM. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017, 114:8259-8264.

This paper uses computational approaches that investigate conformational heterogeneity of chemically identical subunits to reveal conformational hotspots within GroEL.

39. Naydenova K, Russo CJ: Measuring the effects of particle orientation to improve the efficiency of electron cryomicroscopy. Nat Commun 2017, 8:629.

40. Tan YZ, Baldwin PR, Davis JH, Williamson JR, Potter CS, Carragher B, Lyumkins D: Addressing preferred specimen orientation in single-particle cryo-EM through tilting. Nat Methods 2017, 14:785-786.

Orientation bias of biological samples on cryoEM grids dramatically impacts the anisotropy of the 3D reconstruction. By tilting the specimen within the electron microscope, the authors show how to achieve high-resolution cryoEM structures of preferentially oriented single-particles.

41. Russo CJ, Passmore LA: Controlling protein adsorption on graphene for cryo-EM using low-energy hydrogen plasmas. Nat Methods 2014, 11:649-652.

42. Meyerson JR, Rao P, Kumar J, Chittori S, Banerjee S, Pierson J, Mayer ML, Subramaniam S: Self-assembled monolayers improve protein distribution on holey carbon cryo-EM supports. Sci Rep 2015, 4:7084.

43. Jain T, Sheehan P, Crum J, Carragher B, Potter CS: Spottion: a prototype for an integrated inkjet dispense and vitrification system for cryo-TEM. J Struct Biol 2012, 179:68-75.

44. Kemmerling S, Ziegler J, Schweighauer G, Arnold SA, Giss D, Müller SA, Ringler P, Goldie KN, Goedecke N, Hierlemann A et al.: Connecting μ-fluidics to electron microscopy. J Struct Biol 2012, 177:129-134.

45. Feld G, Thoren KL, Kintzer AF, Sterling HJ, Tang II, Greenberg SG, Williams ER, Krantz SA: Structural basis for the unfolding of anthrax lethal factor by protective antigen oligomers. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010, 17:1383-1390.