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ABSTRACT

HPP (Hydropower Projects) are very complex and risky in nature. For HPP, it is extremely important not only to identify risks but also to adopt proper mitigation measure to complete the project within defined schedule and budget. Attempt has been made in this paper to identify critical risks associated with Dasu HPP and their mitigation measure to complete the project within predefined time and budget. The research methodology involved the questionnaire survey for identification of potential risks with their probabilities and impact. Pert-Master (Oracle Risk) software was used for simulation purposes. After feeding all data in the software, Monte Carlo simulation was run at 1,000 iterations to generate most probable duration of project, most probable cost of the project, critical risks sensitive to duration, critical risk sensitive to cost and statistically analyzed critical tasks/activities, which need due consideration for successful completion of project. Simulated schedule and budget was compared with planned one to find possible deviations, which may be eliminated by using mitigation measures proposed in the last section of the paper.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Energy crises have been a serious issue in Pakistan for the last two decades. HP is one of the cheapest sources of energy production to overcome these energy issues. On the other hand, it takes times and significant capital investment to complete HPP. Moreover, HPP are subject to a lot of risks and uncertainties. The WAPDA (Water & Power Development Authority) prepared at twenty-five years development plan as “Water Resources and Hydro Power Development – Vision 2025 Programme” in 2001. The “Vision 2025 Programme” visualized the Dasu HPP on the upper Indus, regarding its feasibility studies, detailed engineering design and execution. It was revealed that Dasu HPP, in all respects, is a robust and viable project with an excellent economic rate of return to Pakistan [1]. The salient features of Dasu HPP are mentioned in Table 1.
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The main focus of this research is to devise a methodology to complete HPP well in planned time and budget. Despite of good economic feasibility, Dasu HPP faces many risks due to its complexity of design, involvement of multi-lateral stakeholders and mass construction. For this purpose, proper scheduling and controlling of project is imperative. However, for such complex projects, facing diverse risks and uncertainties, normal scheduling technique may not be effective and therefore, a risk based scheduling technique should be used. Risk based scheduling technique considers all probable risks of project in planning, scheduling and control of project in order to complete it within planned and pre-defined time, cost and quality. This will only be possible if proper identification and assessment of key risks of the projects are made. Such risks may be categorized as Engineering, Procurement, Contractual, Financial, Construction/Execution, Health and Safety, Security, Natural Climatic & Environmental or Miscellaneous Risks.

On the basis of identified risks, risks are linked with the schedule, which was developed in Pert-Master software. Monte Carlo technique was used for simulation. Pert-Master software was also used for simulation which takes into account original schedule, all possible critical risks of the project while designing timelines and expected budget of project. Simulation also gives most probable schedule duration, most probable costs and critical risks of project. Moreover, performance indicators such as CPI (Cost Performance Indicator), SPI (Schedule Performance Indicator), CI (Criticality Index), SI (Sensitivity Index) and many other parameters were calculated for effective control of Dasu HPP [2].

As Dasu HPP is a public sector project therefore, it has its own complexities and riskiness due pros and cons of Public sector. Through this research, an attempt has been made to use software based analysis and simulation of scheduling and cost for Dasu HPP and developed methodology can be used as a guideline for the other HPP on Indus and other rivers in Pakistan. The study will also give policy options to Policy makers and other stakeholders about completion of projects in terms of expected cost overrun, expected completion time and critical risks of the project to determine true cost-benefit analysis of the project.

### TABLE 1. SALIENT FEATURES OF DASU HYDROPOWER PROJECTS [1]

| Salient Features                  | Details                                           |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Dam Type                          | RCC (Gravity Dam)                                 |
| Height of Dam                     | 242 m                                             |
| Gross storage capacity            | 1410 million m³                                   |
| Live storage capacity             | 820 million m³                                    |
| CCA                               | 158,800 km²                                       |
| Power Generation                  | - Stage-1 = 2,160 MW - Annual Energy 12,222 (GWh) |
| - Stage-2 = 2,160 MW - Annual Energy 9,263 (GWh) |
| Execution by                      | Water and Power Development Authority Pakistan    |
| Supervision Consultant            | DHC (Dasu Hydropower Consultant) a JV of Nippon Koei (Japan) and Dolsar (Turkey) with local sub-consultants DMC, NDC and PES |
| Contractor of main works           | China Gezhouba Group Co. Ltd.                     |
| Donor Agency                      | - World Bank (Main Donor)                         |
| - Local Commercial Banks (consortium of seven banks led by Habib Bank Ltd.) |
| - Credit Suisse Bank              |                                                  |
| Commencement Date                 | Main Civil Works (Dam & Powerhouse) commenced on June 23, 2017 |
| Completion Date                   | February, 2023                                    |
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Common Risks and Constraints in Public Sector Development Projects

HPP are mostly undertaken by Public sector under Public Sector Development Plans. In addition to its own technical complexity and riskiness, public sector imposes its own inherent risks on the project. Public sector projects are more prone to delays and cost overrun as compare to private sector projects. Peculiar risks and constraints of public sector development projects mainly increase budget, prolong timelines of the projects and produce reduced quality of work which decreases its benefits, service life, usage and expectations of project stakeholders. In many cases, projects were terminated halfway due to changing Govt. policies or not availability of funds or expertise. Mubin et. al. [3] discussed many factors for occurrence of such incidents including but not limited to;

(a) Delays in project preparation and approvals
(b) Thin funding due to change in Govt. priorities
(c) Significant delays in releases of funds of public projects p
(d) Releases not as per actual allocation in ADP or PSDP
(e) Delays in releases of funds
(f) Bureaucratic barriers and entanglements in project approval process and release of funds
(g) Overall political influence in execution of project and not limiting to project identification and inclusion in ADP or PSDP, fund releases and approvals
(h) Absence of proper economic / financial analysis and feasibilities of project
(i) Legal constraints and litigation with stakeholders
(j) Defects and negligence in project planning, designing, resourcing and execution of work
(k) Poor performance by implementing and executing agencies
(l) Weak M&E (Monitoring and Evaluation) and project management mechanism.

It was also emphasized by Mubin et. al. [3] that around 50% studied public projects were delayed or revised for extension in time.

2.2 Overview of Previous Relevant Researches

Mubin and Mubin [4] earlier studied the Risk Analysis for construction and operation of gas pipeline projects in Pakistan. In their study, simulation based scheduling and budgeting was conducted for construction of Oil and Gas pipelines projects. In this research, probability of risk occurrence “P” came out to be the function of project duration “T”. This means that riskiness of project increases many fold if timelines of projects are significantly long or extended. Shorter duration projects are lesser prone to risks of delays and cost overrun. However, intensity or impact of risks was found to be a function of enterprise internal and external environment.

It means that, economic conditions of the country, vital economic parameters and technological advancement are main factors which determine the impact of risk occurring in the construction of Oil and Gas pipelines projects. They also analyzed three most critical activities and risks of project by using software Risky Project along with giving most probable timelines and cost of studied project. Now the same model is to be adopted for HPP.

Besides, technical complexity and riskiness of HPP, agreed Contracts for construction impose their own inherited risks which significantly contribute in project
Simulations are important to workout most probable schedule of project, cost, quality of work, critical risks and other project results. Jiang et. al. [6], worked on simulations of project schedule and cost, before they are started. He highlighted that studies on real-time safety risk assessment aimed at reducing uncertainty and supporting quick response are rare. A method for RTSRA (Real-Time Safety Risk Assessment) to implement a dynamic evaluation of worker safety was modeled in this study. By employing the HMM (Hidden Markov Model), the RTSRA provides a mechanism for processing location data provided by the RTLS (Real-Time Location System) and analyzing the probability distributions of different states in terms of false positives and negatives. Simulation analysis demonstrated the logic of the proposed method and how it works. Application case shows that the proposed RTSRA is both feasible and effective in managing construction project safety concerns.

The delays in the public sector development projects are a world-wide phenomenon. The world over, it is regarded and considered as one of the most frequent issues of the development projects and project management. This area directly deals with the governance and public policy too as it focuses or embraces the projects being undertaken or initiated solely or exclusively for the benefit of the people or public at large. The public sector projects anywhere in the world, in particular Pakistan, face numerous potential threats and challenges due to time related factors that drag the project, schedule, cost and quality away from the desired level. By conducting a risk analysis provides a more accurate and realistic estimates of project costs and schedule based on Monte Carlo Simulation using Pert-Master. Jupri et. al. [7], worked on Risk Analysis of Construction Project Scheduling using PERT and Monte Carlo Simulation through a case study. The research work involved use of crystal ball @Risk software for risk analysis, Monte Carlo Simulation and Pert-Master to achieve the goals of study. The research

delays and cost overrun. There are different types of contracting arrangement which levy different kinds of risks on contracting parties. In HPP or energy projects in Pakistan, Turnkey or EPC (Engineering procurement and Construction) Contracting arrangements is preferred. EPC Contracts are riskiest arrangements for the Contractor as single party is responsible for all works including designing, procurement and construction. In this case, Contractor has to take all risks of the project and bid for a fix price and timeline of project failing to which heavy penalties are imposed by the Client. Moreover, no variations in rates or quantities are allowed. Mubin and Mannan [5] worked on the risk analysis for EPC projects. The study aimed to analyze risks in their projects executed by four EPC companies of Pakistan. Study highlighted number of critical risks for EPC projects. The study recommended that the simulation and risk-based planning, scheduling, estimating and controlling of EPC projects would result in achieving the most probable duration and probable cost which would avoid delays and cost overruns in such projects.

Construction of Dams and HP projects are subject to a lot of health and safety issues and risks on site. Moreover, due to a lot of uncertainties and risks volume of work increases, this may have negative impact on cost and schedule of project. Bowles et. al. [6] studied the applicability of Portfolio Risk Management in Dam Safety. This study results showed that Portfolio Risk Management tool when combined with engineering standards and risk assessment approaches, can be used for providing the systematic means for identification, estimation and evaluation of dam safety risks including comparisons with other industries. Nevertheless, it should be periodically updated to provide a basis for managing prioritized investigation and risk reduction measures.
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2.3 Adopted Methodology

The research methodology involved the questionnaire survey for identification of potential risks with their probabilities and impact. Normal scheduling techniques for a complex project don’t work well when there are a lot of risks in the project. Therefore, Jupri et. al. [7] in their research worked on the schedule of the project prepared in the MS Project software was transformed to Pert-Master for incorporating such risks which were relevant to the specific project activities to find out the probable date of completion with the given data. Major risk sources found out in the study are, approval and permits and labor & storage for all types of activities. Jupri et. al. [7] work, schedule of Dasu HPP was prepared in Primavera (P6) and then transferred to Pert-Master software and further analysis were made including simulations of schedule, cost and risks of projects as presented in next sections of the paper.

2.3.1 Risk Identification

All potential risks of project need to be carefully identified and assessed. Triangulation (three pronged) approach was used for identification of risks of Dasu HPP. On first step, risk identification was carried out through document reviewed. The referred documents included pre-feasibility studies, feasibility studies, basic
design report, detailed design reports, reports on construction planning and methodology. Documents were also reviewed from previous similar projects executed by WAPDA to identify the risks associated with such projects. On the Second step, interviews were conducted from experienced engineers and professionals working on Dasu HPP in different departments/divisions of WAPDA, Consultants, Contractors, JV Partners and Public. After thorough deliberations document reviews and interviews, total 130 risks were finally identified for Dasu HPP correlating step one and two, with the following breakup as shown in Table 2.

2.3.2 Probability of Occurrence and Impact of Risks

There are various hypotheses available in literature for estimating probabilities and impact of various risks. Some methods are statistical while others are mathematical. Some empirical (expert judgment) methods are also available among those some methods are conservative while other are optimistic and giving lower values of probabilities and impacts of various kinds of risks [1-3].

PMI (Project Management Institute), USA has given a standard for calculating Possibility of Occurrence and Impact Factor of risk given as can been seen from Table 3.

There is a lot of subjectivity in PMI approach of calculating Possibility of Occurrence and Impact factor of each risk as mentioned in Table 2. It is very difficult to categorize the probability of occurrence in percentage terms and therefore researcher find difficulty in judging the risk and marking them as Very High Chances (90%) to High Chances (75%) and so on. Therefore, for this purpose, a mechanism has been developed in consultation with all process owners and stakeholders for selecting probability of each risk. Tables 3-5 give opportunity to the risk professional to select a frequency with a base frequency of 50%. Neutral position of a risk, according to this mechanism will be 50% frequency. If there is a positive possibility of occurrence of risks, frequency higher than 50% will be selected. More the chances of occurrence, higher will be the frequency or vice versa. Similarly, the mechanism developed by the author, gives a risk professional the opportunity to assign separately the impact of risk in terms of time, cost or quality. Sometimes, it happens in an HPP, where cost is not too much implicit, it reduces the impact factor negatively downwards, however, it other indirect impact on time or quality is significant, which is ignored if we use the PMI approach. To avoid the subjectivity of PMI approach to identify, analyze and quantify risks, a quantitative approach has been used and mentioned in Table 4 and will be used for selecting an impact factor to a risk in a three-dimensional context of time, cost and quality to have three risk numbers separately [4].

| No. | Major Area                               | Number of Risks |
|-----|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1.  | Construction Risks                       | 75              |
| 2.  | Contractual Risks                        | 11              |
| 3.  | Engineering Risks                        | 12              |
| 4.  | HSE & Security Risks                     | 6               |
| 5.  | Natural, Climatic & Environmental Risks  | 10              |
| 6.  | Procurement Risks                        | 9               |
| 7.  | Miscellaneous Risks                      | 7               |
|     | Total Number of Risks                    | 130             |

**TABLE 2. CLASSIFICATION OF RISKS**
### TABLE 3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (PMI) PROBABILITY AND RISK IMPACT FACTOR [10]

| Possibility of Occurrence | Probability (%) | Type and Level of Risk Impact | Impact Factor (I) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Very High Chances         | 90              | When maximum impact on scope, time and cost | 0.9               |
| High Chances              | 75              | High impact on scope, medium impact on time and lesser impact on cost | 0.6               |
| Greater Chances           | 60              | High impact on time, medium impact on scope, and lesser impact on cost | 0.3               |
| Possible                  | 45              | When high impact on the cost of the project, medium impact on time and lesser impact on scope | 0.1               |
| Likely                    | 30              |                               |                   |
| Un-Likely                 | 15              |                               |                   |

### TABLE 4. CHANCES OF RISK OCCURRENCE AND ITS FREQUENCY FOR DASU HPP

| No. | Chances of Occurrence | Frequency (%) |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1   | Ultra high chances of occurrence | >90           |
| 2   | Extremely High chances of risk occurrence | >80           |
| 3   | Very high chances of risk occurrence | >70           |
| 4   | High chances of occurrence | >60           |
| 5   | Medium chance 50 - 50 (May or may not occur) | =50           |
| 6   | Low chances of occurrence | >40           |
| 7   | Very Low chances of occurrence | >30           |
| 8   | Extremely Low chances of occurrence | >20           |
| 9   | Ultra Low chances of occurrence | <20           |

### TABLE 5. TYPE AND LEVEL OF RISK IMPACT & IMPACT FACTOR

| No. | Chances of Occurrence | Frequency (%) |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1.  | Very High Impact on Cost (>7% cost increase) | 0.9           |
| 2.  | Very High Impact on Time (>7% time increase) |               |
| 3.  | Very High Impact on Quality (unaccepted to the Client) |               |
| 4.  | High Impact on Cost (4-7% cost increase) | 0.6           |
| 5.  | High Impact on Time (4-7% time increase) |               |
| 6.  | High Impact on Quality (accepted to the Client by with major changes) |               |
| 7.  | Medium impact on Cost (2-4% cost increase) | 0.3           |
| 8.  | Medium impact on Time (2-4% time increase) |               |
| 9.  | Medium impact on Quality (accepted to the Client by with minimum changes) |               |
| 10. | Low and Very Low impact on Cost (<2% cost increase) | 0.1           |
| 11. | Low and Very Low impact on Time (<2% time increase) |               |
| 12. | Low and Very Low impact on Quality (insignificant) |               |
2.3.3 Data Collection

Data was collected on designed questionnaire from 220 professionals associated to hydro power projects in various capacities working with national and international consultant organizations, contractors and client departments such as WAPDA, Planning Commission, P&D Department, etc. Professionals were targeted for giving response on the questionnaire that hold design, contract administration, procurement, execution, environment, geology, health & safety and infrastructures of HPP. The questionnaire was responded by 156 professionals. Every participant of the survey was to provide the frequency and impact of each risk. Knowing the fact that the impact of a risk can be upon any of variables like time, cost and quality, hence, in the questionnaire the respondents were asked to give their inputs for aforementioned possibilities of occurrence and impact factor for each identified risk.

2.3.4 Simulation and Modeling

The schedule for Dasu, HPP was prepared in Primavera P6 software and then imported to Pert-Master software. All 130 identified risks were uploaded on the software and assigned to their relevant activities of the schedule to prepare the Risk-Based (simulated) Schedule and to find modeled cost of project. The basic aim of simulation was to find the deviation of Schedule and Budget from originally planned project. Moreover, it was intended to find the critical risks associated to the project. Probabilities of occurrence and impact of risks worked out with the help of experts as mentioned in section 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 were placed in software on the respective schedule of Dasu HPP. Quick Risks command was used for modeling of duration of the Project into three stages such as minimum duration, most likely and maximum duration, these all duration are based on the % remaining duration entered for the planning of the activities. Templated Quick Risk menu was used for adding uncertainty to the durations in terms of distribution shape and value such as low, medium and high, in order to overwrite the existing duration estimates.

Considering, possible risks and subsequent variation in time and cost of project, Monte Carlo simulation was run at 1,000 iterations. Simulation analyzed multiple scenarios of a model by repeatedly sampling values from the probability distributions for the uncertain variables and using those values given in Pert-Master software includes Simulated Schedule and Cost, Distribution Graphs, Tornado Graphs, Scatter Plot, Probabilistic Cash Flow and Criticality Index Report.

Triangular distribution was used in this research work for simulation purposes. The other Distribution Type like Uniform, Beta Pert and Enhanced do not match with the real situations at construction site.

Simulation worked out most probable duration of project, most probable cost of the project, critical risks sensitive to duration, critical risk sensitive to cost and criticality index of activities.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results from Software

After entering the software desired data regarding the activities and the risks, Monte Carlo Simulation was run on the schedule and simulated schedule of project was obtained which was further used to compare the different scenarios of project in terms of cost, delays and completion of the project. The main results are as follows:

3.2 Simulated Schedule (Duration) of Dasu HPP

Two scenarios were considered in Monet Carlo simulation schedule of Dasu HPP i.e. simulation with risk and simulation without risk. However, variation in activity duration vis-à-vis optimistic duration, pessimistic duration and most likely duration was in given in both scenarios. Simulation uses 80:100:120 distributions of optimistic, most likely and pessimistic duration of various project activities. The initial planned duration of project is 2556 days, which was worked out by conventional method of planning and estimation. Planned finished date
is 29th September, 2021. Against these deterministic values of duration and finish date of project, the simulated (most probable) duration and finish date for the Dasu HPP comes out to be 3113 days and 9th March, 2023 respectively considering no risks in simulation.

Considering probable risks of project mapped with the various activities of schedule, simulated duration and finish date comes out to be 3760 days and 15th January, 2025 respectively.

Some mitigation measures were applied to the risk to treat some risk, which were treatable. In this case, finish date and duration are 11th June, 2024 and 3642 days respectively after applying control and mitigation measures for identified risks in post-mitigation scenario.

The most probable variations between the originally planned schedule and simulated schedule comes out to be is 648 days i.e. project is subjected to delays of 648 days if no risk mitigation is considered. However, after plausible mitigation of the identified risks i.e. in post-mitigated scenario, project is expected to delay by 430 days subject to provision of funds as per plans as shown in Fig. 1(a-b).

The Duration Sensitivity of a task is a measure of the correlation between its duration and the duration (or dates) of the project (or a key task or summary). PertMaster analysis calculated top ten duration sensitive activities with respect to chances to overall delay of Dasu HPP are mentioned in Fig. 2.

### 3.3 Simulated Cost and Cost Sensitive Activities

The planned deterministic cost of project is PKR 406,128 Million. Post mitigate cost of Dasu HPP is PKR 848,360 Million, taking 80% as the most probable values P80 for entire plan, simulated cost of Dasu HPP with risks for post-mitigated cost is PKR 1,214,860 Million with applying control measures for proposed risks as shown in Fig. 3.

The variations between the entire plan and pre-mitigated is PKR 1,188,569 Million, the entire plan and post-mitigated is PKR 801,922 Million, and finally between pre-mitigated and post-mitigated is PKR 386,647 Million respectively.

The cost sensitivity of a task is a measure of the correlation between its cost and the cost of the project (or a key task or summary). Analysis obtained with the
help of Pert-Master simulation highlighted cost sensitive activities of Dasu HPP, which have more chances to increase the overall project cost of Dasu HPP. Top ten activities with respect to chances to increase overall cost of Dasu HPP are mentioned in Fig. 4.

3.4 Top Ten Critical Risks Evaluated by the Pert-Master Software Impacting Schedule and Cost of Dasu HPP

Simulation worked out top ten critical risks influencing duration and cost of the project described in Table 6.

3.5 Top Ten Critical Activities (Tasks) Evaluated by Pert-Master

The CI (Criticality Index) is proportion of iteration out of total simulation cycles i.e. 1,000 in which it remained critical. CI is a statistical evaluation of critical activities, which is much more reliable as compare to Critical Path of project activities calculated by CPM (Critical Path Method) of project scheduling. After simulation, top ten activities vis-à-vis criticality index were analyzed by the software as mentioned Table 7.
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3.6 Risk Mitigation Measures

After thorough literature review [1-3,5,9] and discussion with internal and external stakeholders including Client, Joint Venture Partners, Consultants, Contractors, Sub Contractors, Suppliers and other experts, strategies to mitigate the critical risks evaluated by Pert-Master software (Table 6) were devised along with the responsibility of concerned stakeholder. In that way a responsibility matrix was prepared for the critical risks of the project as shown in the Table 8.

4. CONCLUSION

For this research, a methodology was designed and adopted for developing a risk based scheduling of Dasu HPP. For risk based scheduling, risk identification, classification, analysis, and quantifications of risks were made. Monte Carlo simulation was run by using Pert-Master software. Based on the software analysis, it is concluded that project is highly risky project. The initial planned duration of project is 2556 days, which was worked out by conventional method of planning and estimation. Planned finished date is 29th September, 2021. Against these deterministic values of duration and finish date of project, the simulated (most probable) duration and finish date for the Dasu HPP comes out to be 3760 days and 15th January, 2025 respectively. Mitigation measures were applied to the risk to treat some risks, which were treatable. In this case, finish date and duration
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of project comes out to be 11th June, 2024 and 3642 days respectively after applying control and mitigation measures for identified risks in post-mitigation scenario at P-80.

Project is prone to delay and if no substantial measure is taken project will be delayed up to 1204 days. If some mitigation measures are adopted to control the risks, completion of project will be delayed by around 648 days. However, if risks are properly mitigated, this delay may be reduced to maximum 430 days. Simulation tells us that there is wide possibility that project will be completed in almost triple the cost of its initial planned cost keeping in view the potential risks, existing project execution pace and deployment of resources. However, if the critical risks are mitigated, simulated cost will remain almost double of the

| Risk ID | 10 Critical Risks Impacting Duration | Risk ID | 10 Critical Risks Impacting Cost |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| R-0460  | Litigation (dispute during testing & commission) | R-1030  | Failure testing & commission of transmission line equipment |
| R-0140  | Identification of design errors of diversion tunnels during execution | R-1240  | Redesign due change in alignment of power tunnel due to geology (presence of soft rock) |
| R-0260  | Delayed procurement of gates & guide frames | R-0860  | Cracking of girder during handling & placing |
| R-0270  | Failure (rework) for testing & commission of equipment | R-1110  | Damage of grid station equipment during handling |
| R-1180  | Risk of possession at site | R-1250  | Over design of diversion tunnel due to error in hydrological data |
| R-0230  | Unavailability of key resources for spillways | R-1070  | Chances of hard strata encountered during switchyard civil works |
| R-1240  | Redesign due change in alignment of power tunnel due to geology (presence of soft rock) | R-1230  | Quality issue regarding starter dam (tension crack can appear) |
| R-0720  | Compaction fails for sub-base & base (rework) | R-0990  | Variation in material specifications |
| R-1060  | Contractor's lack of managerial skills (scope, time, quality, resources etc.) | R-1130  | Change in contract price due to large variation of scope of work |
| R-1080  | Delayed arrival of panels (RP, CP etc.) for switchyard | R-0760  | Quality issue in drainage & erosion works |

**TABLE 7. TOP TEN CRITICAL RISKS**

| No. | Task ID | Task Description |
|-----|---------|------------------|
| 1.  | 0030    | Land Development |
| 2.  | 0160    | Diversion Tunnel |
| 3.  | 0490    | Testing & Commissioning of Electro-Mechanical Equipment |
| 4.  | 0370    | Commissioning Works of HSS for Dam |
| 5.  | 0420    | Commissioning Works of HSS for Water Ways & Power House |
| 6.  | 0170    | Starter Dam U/S and D/S |
| 7.  | 0180    | Integrated Coffen Dam |
| 8.  | 0190    | Concrete for Dam & Appurtenant Structures |
| 9.  | 0200    | Spillways Works |
| 10. | 0220    | Installation of Conduit, Gate & Guide frames for Dam & Appurtenant Structures |
initially planned cost. Based on the analysis, the project stakeholders of Dasu HPP should focus on time and cost sensitive activities, critical project risks as well as the critical activities to complete the project successfully.

**TABLE 8. MITIGATION MEASURES OF CRITICAL RISK FOR DASU HPP VIS-A-VIS TIE AND COST**

| Risk ID | Major Risk | Strategies to Mitigate Risks | Responsibility |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| R-0460  | Litigation (Dispute During Testing & Commission) | Read the E&M details in-concern with the civil drawings. Do the installation of E&M specialized parts as per operational manual | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-0140  | Identification of Design Errors of Diversion Tunnels During Execution | Check & analyze the design data before the start of execution of diversion tunnel. Prefer the software interpretations in order to avoid error | Consultant Project Manager (Design) |
| R-0260  | Delayed Procurement of Gates & Guide frames | Quickly place order as the concreting works finish | Contractor Project Manager |
| R-0270  | Failure(Rework) For Testing & Commission of Equipment | Follow up the verification of the connection details | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-1180  | Risk of Possession At Site | Land acquisition process should be started parallel with the detailed drawings. Do the stakeholder consultation with locals and communities before the award of contracts. | Government/Client |
| R-0230  | Unavailabilty of Key Resources for Spillways | Confirm all the concerned resources such as material, manpower & machineries before the start of spillways. | Contractor Project Manager |
| R-1240  | Redesign Due Change In Alignment Of Power Tunnel Due To Geology (Presence Of Soft Rock) | Improve the data assessment for design of power tunnels. Do the geological survey and editing of rocks carefully with new modern instruments. Use 3-D modeling of tunnels before its drilling in rocks | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-0720  | Compaction Fails For Sub-Base & Base (Rework) | Improve compaction method. Verify number of passes of roller. Use materials containing negligible impurities | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-1060  | Contractor’s Lack of Managerial Skills (Scope, Time, Quality, Resources Etc.) | Select Project Manager having similar experience. Do prequalification of contractor technical ability. Do prequalification of contractor financial ability | Contractor Owner |
| R-1080  | Delayed Arrival of Panels (RP,CP etc.) for Switchyard | Quickly place order as the civil works for panels completed | Contractor Project Manager |
| R-1030  | Failure Testing & Commission of Transmission Line Equipment | Do inspection of the connection details | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-0860  | Cracking Of Girder During Handling & Placing | Do loading & unloading of girders carefully by mechanical means. Do not lift the girders which are curing process | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-1110  | Damage of Grid Station Equipment During Handling | Do loading & unloading of grid station equipment carefully by mechanical means. Use manufacturer / vendor / supplier team for handling & installation works | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-1250  | Over Design of Diversion Tunnel Due to Error In Hydrological Data | Verify the Hydrological data before the start of works for diversion tunnels. Enhance the software for the design of tunnels PHASE-2 | Consultant Project Manager (Design) |
| R-1070  | Chances of Hard Strata Encountered During Switchyard Civil Works | Use mechanical tools & equipment for the hard rocks. Use of explosive can also be encouraged whenever required | Consultant Project Manager (Design) |
| R-1230  | Quality Issue Regarding Starter Dam (Tension Crack Can Appear in Concrete) | Use vibrating instruments for the better compaction of concrete. Use approved curing chemical & construction chemical during batching of concrete ingredients | Contractor Construction Manager |
| R-0990  | Variation In Material Specifications | Do focus on the available materials in market. Avoid much changes in the design & scope of work | Contractor Project Manager |
| R-1130  | Change In Contract Price Due to Large Variation Of Scope Of Work | Define the scope of work properly. Do the proper survey work to avoid change in the scope of work. Do the good co-ordination between the stakeholders | Contractor Project Manager |
| R-0760  | Quality Issue In Drainage & Erosion Works | Improve the quality of concrete by improving compaction tools. Use the approved waterproofing material | Contractor Construction Manager |
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