Experimental and numerical investigations on fracture behavior of high silica glass/satin textile fiber reinforced hybrid polymer composites
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ABSTRACT

The fracture behavior of a high silica glass-satin textile fiber reinforced hybrid polymer composite (HPC) under the full range of in-plane loading conditions has been investigated experimentally and numerically. Loading conditions from pure mode-I through various mixed mode I/II ratios up to pure mode II have been generated by the aid of the proposed compound version of the CTS (compact tension shear) specimen. From the experimentally measured critical loads, the mode I, mode II and the various mixed mode I/II critical stress intensity factors at crack initiation have been determined by the aid of finite element analysis. Based on these results the parameters for a fracture criterion for the composite under consideration have been determined. After testing, both edges of the sample and the fracture surfaces were examined with a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The results infer that the ascendencies of Mode-I and Mode –II are highly dependent on loading angle (LA).
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Introduction

In recent years, composite materials have found increasing applications in construction, aerospace and automotive industries due to their good characteristics of light weight, improved strength, corrosion resistance, controlled anisotropic properties, reduced manufacturing and maintenance costs. However, there is a growing demand to improve upon composite materials with reduction in the cost of construction [1]. Hybrid Polymer Composites (HPC) are one of the recent developments to reduce the cost of expensive composites containing reinforcements like carbon fiber by incorporating a proportion of cheaper, low-quality fibers such as glass, textile, natural fibers etc., without reducing the mechanical properties of the original composite.

The properties of a hybrid composite mainly depend upon the fiber content, length of individual fiber, orientation, extent of intermingling of fibers, fiber to matrix bonding and arrangement of both the fibers. The strength of the hybrid composite is also dependent on the failure strain of individual fibers. Maximum hybrid results were obtained when the fibers were highly strain compatible [2].

Various attempts have been made to characterize fracture toughness under mode-I and mixed mode loading conditions, among beam type specimens is used commonly. If the so-called end loaded split (ELS) specimen was loaded by the upper arm, mixed-mode loading conditions at the crack tip are achieved. The mixed mode bending (MMB) test specimens have been proposed by combining the schemes used for double cantilever beam (DCB) [3, 4] and end notched flexure (ENF) tests to study mixed mode fracture toughness. However, for these test methods there are problems to create a wide range of mixed-mode ratios which limit their usefulness. Different beam type specimens would also be required in order to obtain reliable results for fracture toughness in pure mode-I, pure mode-II and mixed-mode loading conditions. It is therefore necessary to develop other test methods to evaluate the mixed mode fracture toughness under in-plane loading conditions starting from pure mode-I to pure mode-II.

Only limited work could be found in the literature regarding the mode-I, mode-II and mixed mode fracture behavior of hybrid composite materials [5-10] using compact tension shear (CTS) specimens. In the present investigation mode-I, mode-II and mixed mode fracture experiments were conducted on compact tension shear (CTS) specimen. The details of the specimen and fixtures are shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. CTS Specimen and loading fixture.

The fixture was modified for mode-I and mixed mode fracture tests. Then, numerical simulations of the fracture tests may be considered as being useful, at least, for two reasons. The first one is that the numerical simulations or virtual testing may replace many expensive and time consuming experiments. In this case, it is the necessary to test the numerical model in situation in which experimental results are easily available. The second one is that it is connected with the necessity to build new analytical models, and they can be compared with experimental results for the purpose of fitting the correct parameters of these models. Hence the title study was undertaken.

The most recent development is the proposed compound version of the CTS specimen which covers all in-plane mixed mode loading conditions, starting from pure mode-I through any mixed mode I/II ratio up to pure mode II loading. The CTS specimen proposed for fracture tests of isotropic materials under such general in-plane loading conditions, was found elsewhere [11-13]. The main novelty addressed in this work is how to overcome the offset loading when the CTS specimen is scaling up to the thickness of maximum 10mm, and accordingly the loading fixtures are designed to overcome the offset loading during mode-I, mode-II and mixed mode (I/II) in-plane fracture tests. The main objective of this study is to show the hybrid benefits in terms of fracture toughness properties by adding cheaper quality of woven satin textile fiber with woven high silica glass fiber reinforcement in order to reduce the cost of the hybrid composite system for the structural applications like aerospace, military and space etc., without seriously reducing its mechanical and morphological properties. Textile based hybrid polymer composites may have wide potential applications due to their excellent properties and the availability in a large quantity at low cost.
Experimental

Materials

Hybrid composite materials reinforced with Glass-Satin textile Fiber are a new type of laminated composites, which are becoming increasingly popular for various structural applications in the automotive, aerospace and other industrial sectors. The present investigation has been carried out with epoxy resin (L12-3202) at a room temperature with a curing hardener (H6). All these polymer products were supplied by Atul Limited, Polymer Division (Gujarat, India). The plain Woven high silica glass having thickness 0.26mm was manufactured by Interglass Technologies (Benzstrasse, Germany). The plain woven satin textile fiber having thickness 0.15mm and 100% polyester supplied by Wujiang Chunzhu Textile Auxiliaries Co., Ltd, chain.

Fabrication of composite

The aim of the test is to determine the fracture toughness of S-Glass-Satin-Epoxy thermosetting composite material. To prepare the specimens by hand layup method [14, 15], 18 layers of cross-ply glass and satin laminates, each of 0.2 and 0.15mm thickness alternatively of 350 mm length and 350 mm width were put together to form a block with dimension of 350*350*8 mm for fracture test. Similarly for tensile test, 6 layers alternatively of 350mm length and 350mm width and with the dimension of 350*350*4 mm, were used.

Tensile test

The main aim of the tensile test is to determine the elastic properties of the selected material. This test was carried out as per the ASTM D3039 standard [16]. Glass-Satin textile fiber reinforced composite was taken in the form of 4 mm thick sheets. To test its orthotropic material properties, the specimens were machined from prepared block in two different directions along and across the fiber direction as shown in Fig. 2.

Fracture test

Mode-I and mixed mode (I/II) Fracture toughness test for S-Glass-satin textile reinforced epoxy composite was carried out as per s ASTM standards [17]. Mixed-mode (I/II) fracture tests were conducted on CTS specimens with a thickness of 8 mm. The specimens were obtained in the in-plane loading. The initial notch depth was 45 mm and width of 0.25mm. Fig. 3 illustrates the major dimensions of the samples used in the tests and Fig. 4 shows mixed mode testing fixture for accommodating all the mixed mode angles for the CTS specimen from pure Mode I (α = 0°) to pure Mode -II (α = 90°).

Fig. 3. Geometry of the CTS specimen (dimensions in nm).

In this test method, a notched specimen was loaded in tension that has been pre-cracked. The load corresponding to a 2.5 % apparent increment of crack extension was...
established by a specified deviation from the linear portion of the record. The critical stress intensity factor ($K_I$) value was calculated from this load by equations that have been established on the basis of elastic stress analysis on specimens of the type described in the ASTM D 6671 standard [17]. The validity of the determination of the $K_{IC}$ value by these test methods depends upon the establishment of a sharp-crack condition at the tip of the crack, in a specimen of adequate size to give linear elastic behavior.

**Test setup**

Specimens prepared in accordance with ASTM standards were loaded on a computer controlled Universal Testing Machine. The specimens were clamped in custom-built Transfixing fixture which were designed and fabricated using EN150 steel to perform the fracture test for Mode-I and Mode-II. This was subjected to monotonic uniaxial tension at a displacement rate of 5 mm/min. The tests were closely monitored and conducted at room temperature, since it is difficult to detect the first point of damage in laminated composites. In order to overcome such difficulties, the applied loads are recorded. Using recorded values, the fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ and $K_{IIc}$ have been estimated by using load extension curve.

**Finite element analysis of the fracture model**

A 3D Finite Element model is created to simulate fracture test in ANSYS [18]. Solving a fracture mechanics problem, involves performing a linear elastic or elastic-plastic static analysis and then use specialized post processing commands or macros to calculate desired fracture parameters [18]. In this section, two main aspects are focused: Modeling the Crack Region and estimating Fracture Parameters ($K_{IC}$ and $K_{IIc}$) under plane strain conditions. The most important region in a Fracture model is the region around the edge of the crack.

Fig. 5. Singular element of ANSYS.

In linear elastic problems, it has been shown that the displacements near the crack tip (or crack front) vary as $\sqrt{r}$, where ‘r’ is the distance from the crack tip. The stresses and strains are singular at the crack tip, varying as $1/\sqrt{r}$. To pick up the singularity in the strain, the crack faces should be coincident, and the elements around the crack tip (or crack front) should be quadratic, with the middle side nodes placed at the quarter points. Such elements are called singular. The recommended element type for 3-D model is SOLID95 which is the 20-node brick element. This kind of element was used previously by P.S.Shivakumar Gouda [19]. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the first row of elements at a distance of 0.1mm from the crack tip around the crack front which should be singular elements. After modeling the
crack model, as per the standard dimensions, a quarter point elements are created at the crack tip.

**Boundary conditions**

The model is constrained in all degrees of freedom at the opposite side of crack to avoid the rigid body motion of the model. The tensile load is applied on the top half portion of the hole to simulate the pin load condition as shown in **Fig. 7**. The different modes of fracture are obtained by rotating the nodes of interest by required angle.

**Results and discussion**

Experiments have been carried out to characterize the candidate composite material under different loading conditions and with various specimen configurations. The analysis of the results and the influence of various parameters on the properties are summarized in the following sections.

**Tensile behavior of hybrid polymer composite**

Six specimens from each fiber orientation of the composite were tested. The dimensions of the test coupons were 165 mm in length, 12 mm in width and 4 mm in thickness respectively. The 12 sample specimens were tested at a cross-head speed of 5 mm/min. The elastic constants of high silica glass satin - epoxy composite used in this investigation are summarized in **Table 1**.

**Fracture behavior of hybrid polymer composite**

The critical stress intensity factors \( K_Ic \) and \( K_{IIc} \) are estimated by using geometrical factors or non-dimensional stress intensity factors \( f_1(a/w) \) and \( f_2(a/w) \) as given in Li-Chun Bian and Kwang Soo Kim [20]. The range of \( a/w \) ratio was found to be between 0.55 and 0.66 for different loading angles. Here \( a/w \) is the crack length ratio, where ‘a’ is the crack length and ‘w’ is the specimen width. **Fig. 8** shows the changes in non dimensional stress intensity factors \( f_1(a/w) \) for loading angle \( (LA) = 0^\circ \) and \( f_2(a/w) \) for loading angle, \( (LA) = 90^\circ \) due to change in crack length. The changes of the computed values on mode-I and mode-II non dimensional stress intensity factors are largely due to the variation in crack length ratio. Therefore the increase of the crack length leads to a reduction of mode-I fracture resistance, similarly the increase of the crack length leads to increase of mode-II fracture resistance.

**Results and discussion**

Experiments have been carried out to characterize the candidate composite material under different loading conditions and with various specimen configurations. The analysis of the results and the influence of various parameters on the properties are summarized in the following sections.

**Tensile behavior of hybrid polymer composite**

Six specimens from each fiber orientation of the composite were tested. The dimensions of the test coupons were 165 mm in length, 12 mm in width and 4 mm in thickness respectively. The 12 sample specimens were tested at a cross-head speed of 5 mm/min. The elastic constants of high silica glass satin - epoxy composite used in this investigation are summarized in **Table 1**.

![Table 1. The average values of elastic properties of high silica glass-satin textile epoxy hybrid polymer composite.](image)

| Material | \( E_1(MPa) \) | \( E_2(MPa) \) | \( E_3(MPa) \) | \( G_{12}(MPa) \) | \( G_{13}(MPa) \) | \( G_{23}(MPa) \) | \( \mu_{12} \) | \( \mu_{13} \) | \( \mu_{23} \) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| S-Glass  | 7414.8         | 6831.33        | 3561.33        | 2851.85        | 2627.44        | 1369.62        | 0.3       | 0.3       | 0.3       |
| Satin    |                |                |                |                |                |                |           |           |           |
| Epoxy    |                |                |                |                |                |                |           |           |           |
| Composite|                |                |                |                |                |                |           |           |           |

**Fracture behavior of hybrid polymer composite**

Further, the computed values of non dimensional stress intensity factors are plotted against the different loading angles as shown in **Fig. 9**. The figure infers that as mode-II loading contribution increases, the mode-I stress intensity factor decreases and mode-II stress intensity factor increases. It is also observed that for loading angles \( \alpha \leq 60^\circ \), the mode-I fracture becomes dominant. For \( \alpha \geq 75^\circ \) mode-II fracture becomes dominant. The natures of variation of these results are in good agreement with similar earlier results [21].

![Fig. 8. Non dimensional stress intensity factors v/s crack length ratio.](image)

![Fig. 9. Non dimensional stress intensity factors v/s loading angle.](image)

**Fig. 9. Non dimensional stress intensity factors v/s loading angle.**

The load displacement curves from the experimental fracture tests are shown in **Fig.10**. From the curves, the
peak load \((P_c)\) at fracture are used to compute critical mode-I and mode-II stress intensity factors using [22-24].

\[
K_I = \frac{P_c \sqrt{\pi a}}{w t} f_I (a/w)
\]

\[
K_{II} = \frac{P_c \sqrt{\pi a}}{w t} f_{II} (a/w)
\]

Here \(P_c\) is the average critical load, \(t\) is the thickness of the specimen and \(f_I(a/w)\) and \(f_{II}(a/w)\) are the non dimensional geometrical factors for both pure mode-I and pure mode-II. Fracture tests were performed with a universal tensile testing machine under a loading speed of 5 mm per minute. Tests were conducted three times for each loading angle.

Load displacement curves were used to generate average fracture load for each loading angle as shown in the Table 2. The variation in load values \((P_c)\) observed in the Table 2 is because of errors in manual method of composite fabrication and curing at room temperature. The fracture toughness was determined experimentally with compact tension shear specimen under different mixed mode loading conditions. Average values of mixed mode critical stress intensity factors for glass-satin HPC are summarized in Table 3.

**Table 2.** Average critical mixed – mode fracture loads \(P_c\) for high silica glass-satin textile hybrid polymer composite.

| Loading Angle Number | 0° | 15° | 30° | 45° | 60° | 75° | 90° |
|----------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \(P_c\) (Newton)     |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1                    | 3650 | 2650 | 2750 | 3580 | 3392 | 3720 | 5690 |
| 2                    | 4520 | 4570 | 3381 | 3960 | 3427 | 3528 | 3390 |
| 3                    | 3750 | 3712 | 3010 | 3780 | 3510 | 3568 | 4560 |
| Average              | 3973 | 3644 | 3047 | 3773 | 3443 | 3605 | 4546 |

The effective stress intensity factors \((K_{eff})\) in mixed mode loading have been calculated using the relationship, \(K_{eff} = (K_I^2 + K_{II}^2)^{1/2}\) as given in [25]. The estimated \(K_{eff}\) values are plotted against loading and shown in Fig. 11. The figure shows that for a particular load the magnitude of \(K_{eff}\) decreases as \( \alpha \) increases. It is also clear from the Fig.

**Table 3.** Average critical stress intensity factors \((K_c)\) [Mpa m\(^{1/2}\)] for high silica glass-satin textile hybrid polymer composite.

| Stress Intensity Factors | 0°  | 15°  | 30°  | 45°  | 60°  | 75°  | 90°  |
|--------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| \(K_c\) \(\text{[Mpa m}^{1/2}\) | 7.431 | 6.817 | 5.453 | 5.799 | 4.595 | 2.967 | --   |
| \(K_e\) \(\text{[Mpa m}^{1/2}\) | 0.797 | 1.316 | 2.335 | 2.872 | 3.567 | 4.199 | --   |

It is observed from the Table 3, that \(K_c\) increases until \(\alpha = 60°\) and then decreases and \(K_{eff}\) increases as the mode-II loading contribution i.e as \(\alpha\) increases from 0° to 90°. For loading angles \(\alpha \leq 60°\), the mode-I contribution is greater than that of mode-II and the opening mode fracture becomes dominant. For loading angles \(\alpha \geq 75°\), there is an opposite trend. The shearing mode fracture becomes dominant, it can be seen from Table 3, that the opening mode \((\alpha = 0°)\) stress intensity factor is larger than the shearing mode \((\alpha = 90°)\) stress intensity factor. This means that, the cracked specimens are tougher in tensile loading conditions and weaker in shearing loading conditions. The researchers [8-11] have been proposed a number of mixed mode fracture criteria for describing the interlaminar fracture of composites. These criteria are relevant to those used for isotropic materials. Fracture criteria can be formulated based on the different fracture parameters like stress intensity factor (SIF) or energy release rate for which the critical fracture parameters \((K_c\) or \(G_c)\) can be determined experimentally. In recent research studies, the energy release rate during fracture were used preferably as fracture parameters but for composites, the stress intensity factors, which are also equally important to characterize the fracture in polymer composites in the present paper, showed to be more useful and common.

![Fig. 12. SEM graph showing fracture surfaces of pure model-I loading \((\alpha = 0°)\). (A) Resin cracking with fiber deboning and (B) clear deboning between fibers.](image)

**Fractography analysis**

Investigations of fracture surfaces by scanning electron microscopy are necessary to determine the failure criteria and further to identify the mechanism involved in toughening by observing the phase morphology in cross linked epoxy matrix structure. Furthermore, the resin fractography analysis should indicate the concentration and dispersion of the toughness and also it should help to optimize the level of toughness modification and hopefully relate to results obtained from the composite fracture.
toughness test conducted for various loading angles. All fracture surfaces were subsequently examined in scanning electron microscope to determine the degree of resin fracture and degree of micro cracking which precedes the fracture were determined by hackles on the fractured surfaces. Fracture surfaces of pure mode-I (\( \alpha = 0^\circ \)), are shown in Fig. 12. The brittle fracture from pure mode-I failure was characterized by a smooth corrugated surface with resin cracking which could also be the result of fiber debonding in the interface of two fibers. However the weak interface does not necessarily refer to the low fracture toughness.

Interfacial failures somehow cause fiber bridging that enhances the delamination growth and combined with fiber pull-out will dissipate additional energy. SEM micrographs also revealed that the fracture surfaces of the high silica glass-satin epoxy composite change with mixed mode ratio. Figures 13a and b show the fracture surfaces at mixed mode I/II loading conditions (\( \alpha = 45^\circ \)).

From the earlier discussion about pure mode-I, the fracture surface was very flat indicating a brittle cracking of resin which would explain the high mode-I fracture toughness. As mode-II loading contribution is added, the fracture surfaces become rougher and slicing in mode-II loading component. The fracture surface shows the traces of fibers in the resin regions combined with voids and debonded fibers.

Fig. 13 (A) & (B). SEM graph showing fracture surfaces of mixed mode loading of \( \alpha = 45^\circ \).

Fig. 14 (A) & (B). SEM graph showing fracture surfaces of mixed mode loading of \( \alpha = 30^\circ \).

The general-purpose finite element (FE) code ANSYS was used in this study. CTS specimen is subjected to pure mode-I to pure mode-II and wide range of mixed mode loadings has been considered in the present study. The required orthotropic material properties, maximum load and crack length are inputted which are obtained previously through experimental tensile and fracture test. The loading and displacement boundary conditions were used in this analysis. Three-dimensional elastic FE calculations were performed using SOLID95, the 20-node higher order brick elements. In these calculations, the material behavior has
been considered to be linear elastic. The stress intensity factors in mode-I and mode-II loading have been computed for various loadings and crack lengths using ANSYS post processor. The magnitudes of experimental $K_{IC}$ and $K_{IIC}$ are compared with FE results and cited in Table 4.

The results indicate that there exists some discrepancy in estimation of stress intensity factors by experimental fracture test and present FE results. It is found that there is 15% to 16% error in estimation of $K_{IC}$ and $K_{IIC}$. This discrepancy in estimated magnitudes of stress intensity factors attributed to varied loading conditions in experimental fracture test through loading fixtures. It is observed from Table 4, that the stress intensity factor for mode I is greater up to an angle $\alpha=60^\circ$ and for loading angles $\alpha \leq 60^\circ$, the mode-I contribution is greater than that of mode-II and the opening mode fracture becomes dominant. This is in similar agreement with experimental results.

Conclusion

The major conclusions derived from this investigation are as follows:

i. The numerical results indicated that for loading angles close to pure mode-II, a high ratio of mode-II to mode-I fracture is dominant and there is an opposite trend for loading angles close to pure mode-I.

ii. Finite element results witnessed that the increase of the mode-II loading contribution leads to an increase of fracture resistance in the woven high silica glass and satin textile epoxy composite and increase of crack length leads to reduction of resistance to fracture.

iii. The results indicate that there exists some discrepancy in estimation of stress intensity factor by experimental fracture test and present FE results. This discrepancy in estimated magnitudes of stress intensity factor attributed to varied loading conditions in experimental fracture test through loading fixtures.

iv. The observation of fracture surface showed that the mode-I fracture surface is of a brittle matrix cracking with relatively smooth flat matrix fracture and shows a little debonding between fiber and matrix.

v. As the mode –II loading contribution increases, the fracture surfaces become rougher and sliced fibers at an angle can be observed.
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