Skin of color lacks representation in medical student resources: A cross-sectional study

Dear Editors,

Visual diagnostic skills and pattern recognition in different skin tones are necessary for accurate diagnoses of dermatologic conditions in diverse patient populations. Prior studies have demonstrated large disparities between the representation of skin types among dermatology training materials (Alvarado and Feng, 2020; Ebede and Papier, 2006). Images of skin of color (SoC) are underrepresented in American Academy of Dermatology (AAD) annual meetings, medical textbooks, medical school curricular material, and web-based medical resources (Adelekun et al., 2021; Alvarado and Feng, 2020; Ebede and Papier, 2006). Furthermore, medical students lack training on how cutaneous pathology presents in different skin tones and are not as skilled in diagnosing dermatologic manifestations in darker skin compared with lighter skin (Alvarado and Feng, 2020; Adelekun et al., 2021; Fenton et al., 2020). Over the last decade, there has been an increase in the use of supplementary learning tools in medical school. Therefore, we sought to investigate the diversity of dermatology images among these commonly used medical school resources.

The following resources were comprehensively searched for photographs of dermatologic lesions: Amboss, Boards and Beyond, Firecracker, First Aid, Pathoma, and the AAD Basic Dermatology Curriculum. Images without a clear background skin type were excluded. One author classified each image as non-SoC (white to beige skin tones, corresponding to Fitzpatrick skin types I–III) or SoC (brown to black skin tones, corresponding to Fitzpatrick skin types IV–VI), and extracted information on the disease process based on the photo caption. A second author repeated this process in 10% of images randomly selected from each source, and inter-rater reliability was calculated using Cohen’s kappa, which indicated almost perfect agreement (κ = 0.94–1.0).

Of the 1123 images, 14.9% were classified as SoC (Table 1). The proportion of SoC images was highest in Pathoma (21.4%) and the AAD curriculum (19.4%) and lowest in Boards and Beyond (4.8%). Diseases with the highest proportion of SoC images were dyschromia (80.0%) and acne (38.8%). The lowest proportion of SoC images were alopecia (13.3%) and atopic dermatitis/eczema (10.8%), despite these conditions occurring commonly in populations with SoC (Table 2; Alexis et al., 2007; Ebede and Papier, 2006).

The limited representation of SoC in medical education has been a longstanding issue in dermatology education. Our results compound existing research showing the lack of diversity of images used in dermatology training. Although some diseases that are more common in SoC than in non-SoC populations (e.g., dyschromia) are well represented, diseases that commonly affect both SoC and non-SoC patients (e.g., alopecia and eczema) are poorly represented (Ebede and Papier, 2006; Fenton et al., 2020).

At the earliest stage of medical education, students are not trained in recognizing skin manifestations in different skin tones. This may ultimately result in delayed or incorrect diagnosis, improper treatment, and increased morbidity and mortality for patients with SoC (Alvarado and Feng, 2020; Fenton et al., 2020). Study limitations include the cross-sectional study design, small sample size, and subjective nature of photograph categorization.

To address racial disparities in dermatology, dermatologists and medical schools are working to reduce these gaps in education on SoC. Schools have created action committees to review medical school lecture slides for SoC and offer alternative SoC images for students. Resources such as VisualDx are increasingly used in dermatology training and feature a greater representation of SoC compared with other sources (Alvarado and Feng, 2020). Medical education resources need to reflect the diversity of skin types in our patient populations to ensure that medical students are properly trained to recognize diseases across all skin tones and can accurately diagnose and treat patients of all races.

### Table 1
Number of images of SoC and non-SoC phototypes in medical resources.

| Source                                      | SoC  (n (%) | Non-SoC (n (%)) | Indeterminate (n (%)) | Total N |
|---------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------|
| American Academy of Dermatology Basic Dermatology Curriculum | 124 (19.4)  | 509 (79.8)      | 5 (0.8)               | 638     |
| Amboss                                      | 18 (7.2)   | 228 (91.6)      | 3 (1.2)               | 249     |
| Boards and Beyond                           | 4 (4.8)    | 80 (95.2)       | 0 (0)                 | 84      |
| Firecracker                                 | 7 (10.0)   | 63 (90.0)       | 0 (0)                 | 70      |
| First Aid 2020                              | 11 (16.2)  | 56 (82.4)       | 1 (1.5)               | 68      |
| Pathoma                                     | 3 (21.4)   | 11 (78.6)       | 0 (0)                 | 14      |
| **Total**                                   | **167 (14.9)** | **947 (84.3)** | **9 (0.8)**           | **1123** |

SoC, skin of color.
school training materials must continue to be updated to include a representative view of all patients.
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Table 2

| Diagnosis                  | American Academy of Dermatology | Amboss | Boards and Beyond | Firecracker | First Aid 2020 | Pathoma | Total |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|----------------|---------|-------|
| Acne vulgaris              | 7/14                            | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 7/18 (38.9%) |
| Alopecia                  | 4/29                            | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 4/30 (13.3%) |
| Atopic dermatitis/eczema   | 3/15                            | 1/14   | 0/3<sup>*</sup> | 0/3<sup>*</sup> | 0/2<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 4/37 (10.8%) |
| Dyschromia                 | 10/11                           | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 1/1<sup>*</sup> | 1/2<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 12/15 (80.0%) |
| Pityriasis rosea           | 5/11                            | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/2<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 6/16 (37.5%) |
| Psoriasis                  | 10/40                           | 0/12<sup>*</sup> | 0/4<sup>*</sup> | 0/6<sup>*</sup> | 0/3<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 10/66 (15.2%) |
| Seborrheic dermatitis      | 5/18                            | 0/5<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/1<sup>*</sup> | 1/2<sup>*</sup> | 6/27 (22.2%) |
| Tinea infection            | 14/46                           | 1/11   | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 0/0<sup>*</sup> | 15/57 (26.3%) |

Values reported as number of skin-of-color images/total number of images for each disease.
<sup>>*</sup> Diagnoses with no skin-of-color images.