Effect of Mixed Foundry Sand and Rice Hull Ash on the Mechanical Properties of Concrete
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Abstract: Waste foundry sand is the by-product of metal casting industry. Rice hull which is often burned after it is removed from rice is also a by-product of the agriculture industry. Disposing of these wastes leads to the environmental pollution. To optimal use of these wastes and avoid the adverse effects of dumping them, regular sand has been partially replaced with the waste foundry sand and rice hull ash pozzolan has been also used as a partial replacement for cement in making concrete. XRF, XRD and SEM experiments, compressive strength, tensile strength (Brazilian), flexural strength, modulus of elasticity and water absorption tests have been conducted. The results showed a slight decrease in compressive strength of samples in which regular sand was replaced with waste foundry sand by 15 percent; however, adding the rice hull ash to this mixture led to make a concrete comparable with control one. Also, using foundry sand in mix designs affects their physical performance. Moreover, the use of waste foundry sand in concrete is both economically and environmentally suitable.
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1. Introduction

One of the methods for achieving of sustainable products is recycling and reusing of waste materials. For this purpose, the waste material is using in making concrete and other building materials. This can even lead to improve properties, for example in concrete. Today, extensive research is being carried out on the use of recycled materials in concrete production [1].

In terms of the electrical resistivity and ultrasonic pulse velocity tests, the effect of replacing waste materials was below 14%, irrespective of whether natural or recycled aggregates were used. Such promising findings pave the way for more efficient and global management with a view to steering the construction industry toward the circular economy [2].

One of these waste materials is silica sand which is being used in casting industry. Using silica sand of the casting industry instead of the bank sand or the blown sand in concrete will result in saving the natural resources and keeping them for the future production. Moreover, since the process of cement manufacturing causes serious pollution, using alternative materials such as pozzolans in concrete industry is an absolute necessity. One of these pozzolans is ash from burned rice hull. This pozzolana is a by-products of the agriculture industry, so using it instead of cement can conserve the environment and also its high levels of silica can improve the strength and the durability of the concrete [3].

Kumar and Bhardwaj [4] studied the effect of replacing regular sand with foundry sand on the strength characteristics of the concrete. In this study, water-cement ratio was 0.45 and regular sand was replaced with foundry sand in percentages of 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 75 and 100. Compressive, tensile and flexural strength tests results on concrete samples in the ages of 7 and 28 days indicated that increasing the foundry sand replacement up to 50% will increase the strengths. However, if the replacement proportion exceeds 50% then the compressive strength decreases and the results are not satisfactory compared to control sample.

The results of Makul's research showed that the incorporation of untreated rice husk ash (RHA) and foundry sand waste (FDW) decreases the filling, passing ability, and segregation of high-performance self-consolidating concrete (SCC) while increasing its durability [5].

Basar and Aksoy [6] studied the potential of using foundry sand in concrete. They replaced regular sand with five percentages (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%) of waste foundry sand. The amount of cement was 350 kg/m3 and water-cement ratio was 0.5. Test results showed that by increasing the replacement proportions of regular sand with waste foundry sand, the strength performance and the density of concrete will reduce and the water absorption ratio of concrete mixtures will increase.
In Singh and Siddique’s study [7], concrete samples were made by replacing natural sand with waste foundry sand with five percentages (0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%). In preparing these samples water-cement ratio was 0.5. The compressive and tensile strength tests have been conducted at ages 7, 28 and 91 days, and also the modulus of elasticity and ultrasonic tests have been conducted on the concrete samples at ages 28 and 90 days. The results showed that by increasing the replacement percentage of the natural sand with waste foundry sand, the strength and durability properties of concrete samples will improve compared to the control concrete.

Sohail et al. [8] studied the results of using foundry sand on compressive, tensile and flexural strengths of hardened concrete. To this end, 21 mix designs were tested. 11 mix designs which included the controlled concrete were made of regular sand and 10 mix designs were made of waste foundry sand as a partial replacement of regular sand with percentages of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100. Test results at the ages of 7, 28 and 56 days indicated that compressive strength of all mixtures except the one with 100% replacement increased compared to the control sample. Tensile strength test showed that partial replacement up to 70% cause an increase in tensile strength of the concrete containing waste foundry sand compared to the control sample. Replacements having more than 70% waste foundry sand will result in a reduction in tensile strength. In flexural strength test also, increasing the strength was up to 50% replacement. After this replacement percentage, flexural strength will reduce compared to the control sample.

According to Salokhe and Desai’s research [9], the replacement of regular sand with waste foundry sand in the percentages of 10, 20 and 30 caused 2.33, 23.37 and 24.8% loss of compressive strength respectively.

Guney et al. [10] reported 13.8 and 24.8% reduction in the compressive strength in the replacement ratios of 5 and 15% respectively. They concluded that the ultrafine particles of bentonite clay and finer particles of foundry sand increase the specific surface area of the particles, so these finer particles absorb the free water in the concrete paste. This leads to a lack of water in the vicinity of cement and consequently affects hydration.

Prabhu et al. [11] reported that replacing regular sand with casting sand with the percentages of 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% caused a reduction in the tensile strength to the amounts of 5.5, 6, 9, 16.4 and 19.9% respectively.

According to Saraswati et al. [12], using foundry sand of 20, 40 and 60% instead of regular sand resulted in 16, 17.9 and 26.7% loss of tensile strength where water-cement ratio was 0.4%. This tensile strength reduction is attributed to the ultrafine particles of Bentonite clay in the surface of foundry sand particles. These particles cover sand like a membrane and prevent proper adhesion between the cement paste and aggregates and reduce the tensile strength of concrete.

2. Materials and mix proportions

In this study, to ensure the accuracy of the results, all tests were conducted at the partner laboratories of the Iranian National Standards Organization.

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Cement

In this study, Portland cement type 1 (ASTM C150 Type I) has been used in all mix designs [13]. Chemical and physical properties of this type of cement are given in Table 1.

2.1.2 Rice hull ash

In this study, the rice hull ash had unit weight equal to 2090 kilograms per cubic meter and its surface area was equal to 976 square meters per kilograms.

2.1.3 Waste foundry sand

Waste foundry sand, used in this study, has been obtained from Amol ferrous foundry located in Iran. Its color is black and has the unit weight equal to 2180 kilograms per cubic meter and its water absorption ratio is equal to 0.42%. A demonstrative example of mentioned sand is shown in Fig. 2. Chemical composition of waste foundry sand is determined via XRF analysis according to ASTM E1621-13 [14]. XRF analysis results are shown in Table 1. The chemical analysis test has been conducted through X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) method based on BS EN 13925-1 [15] which its results can be seen in the Fig. 3. Quartz and SiO2 peaks can be seen in this figure. Quartz
is composed of continuous framework of SiO₄ where each oxygen atom is being shared between two tetrahedra. Thus, the general chemical formula of Quartz is SiO₂. The XRD analysis results on waste foundry sand are a clear evidence of this issue.

2.1.4 Aggregates

Bank sand with various size of 0-4.75 mm was used. Unit weight of bank sand was equal to 2619 kg/m³ and its water absorption ratio was equal to 3.6%. Crushed gravel was used as coarse aggregate. Its unit weight was equal to 2700 kg/m³ and its water absorption ratio was equal to 2.63%. The maximum size of coarse aggregate was 12.5 mm. Physical properties of aggregates are shown in Table 2.
Table 2. Physical properties of aggregates

| Property            | Used-foundry sand (UFS) | Regular sand (fine aggregate) | Coarse aggregate |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Specific gravity (kg/m³) | 2180                    | 2619                          | 2700            |
| SSD absorption (%)  | 0.42                    | 3.6                           | 2.63            |
| Fineness modulus    | 1.9                     | 2.55                          | 5.96            |

*SSD: Saturated Surface Dry

2.1.5 Super plasticizer
A polycarboxylic-based admixture acting as concrete super plasticizer has been used according to (ASTM C494 - Type G) to maintain the workability of concrete mixtures. It has a unit weight equal to 1.1 kg/litre and its color is dark green.

2.2 Mix proportions

2.2.1 Construction and maintenance of concrete samples
Cubic mold (15×15×15cm) was used to test the compressive strength and water absorption ratio. To conduct tensile strength and modulus of elasticity tests, cylindrical mold of 15×30 cm dimensions was used. 7×7×28 cm prism mold was used to test the flexural strength. After preparing the concrete and casting it into the molds, samples are covered with the plastic sheets due to reduce the moisture loss and are cured at laboratory conditions at 23 °C temperature for 24 hours. Then they were removed from mold after 24 hours and were kept in the water tank for 7 to 28 days as a curing process. Fig. 4 shows the samples made at present study.

Figure 4. Cubic and cylindrical samples

2.2.2 Mix designs specifications
Three water-cement ratios (0.4, 0.45 and 0.5) have been used to make 24 mix designs. For the first 12 designs except control concrete, regular sand has been replaced with waste foundry sand with the percentages of 15, 20 and 25. In the second 12 designs the first group were made but by replacing the consumed cement with 10% rice hull ash. The specifications of mix designs have been shown in Table 3.

3. Experimental work

3.1 Compressive strength
Compressive testing is determined in accordance with ASTM C39/C39M-17 standard test method [16]. Compressive strength test results with loading speeds between 0.15 and 0.34 MPa/s and at the ages of 7 and 28 days have been shown in the Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 8. According to results, replacing regular sand with waste foundry sand causes a reduction in the compressive strength of concrete samples. This trend tends to increase by increasing replacement proportions (i.e., the more the replacement proportion, the less the compressive strength of the samples). In the water-cement ratio of 0.4, 15% replacement regular sand with waste foundry sand caused a marginal reduction of 4.75%. But 6.1 and 15.6% decline in compressive strength obtained for the sample containing 20 and 25% waste foundry sand respectively compared to control sample at the age of 28 days. Compressive strength reductions in the samples with 0.45 water-cement ratio are equal to 6.1, 11, and 16.1% and for 0.5 water-cement ratio are equal to 13, 14 and 15%. So increasing water-cement ratio causes an increasing reduction in compressive strength of sample containing foundry sand. The existence of rice hull ash in designs comparing with the initial designs with water-cement ratio of 0.4 shows that there is 9.5% decrease in compressive strength at the age of 7 days; however, an increase equal to 2.5% occurs at the age of 28 days. In the water-cement...
ratio of 0.45 and 0.5 this increase in compressive strength is equal to 3.2% and 0.96% respectively. As obvious from the numbers, the highly effective use of rice hull ash is in designs with water-cement ratio of 0.45.

| Mixture ID   | w/c | CEMENT (kg/m$^3$) | WATER (kg/m$^3$) | Rice husk ash (kg/m$^3$) | AGGREGATES (kg/m$^3$) | SP (cement wt%) |
|--------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| M0W40R0      | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 0                         | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M0W45R10     | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 0                         | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M0W50R10     | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 0                         | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M15W40R0     | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 0                         | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M15W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 0                         | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M15W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 0                         | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M20W40R0     | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 0                         | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M20W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 0                         | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M20W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 0                         | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M25W40R0     | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 0                         | 1088                  | 190.5          |
| M25W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 0                         | 1088                  | 190.5          |
| M25W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 0                         | 1088                  | 190.5          |
| M0W40R10     | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 45                        | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M0W45R10     | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 45                        | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M0W50R10     | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 45                        | 1088                  | 0.6            |
| M15W40R10    | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 45                        | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M15W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 45                        | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M15W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 45                        | 1088                  | 114.3          |
| M20W40R10    | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 45                        | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M20W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 45                        | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M20W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 45                        | 1088                  | 152.4          |
| M25W40R10    | 0.4 | 450               | 180               | 45                        | 1088                  | 190.5          |
| M25W45R10    | 0.45| 450               | 202.5             | 45                        | 1088                  | 190.5          |
| M25W50R10    | 0.5 | 450               | 225               | 45                        | 1088                  | 190.5          |

* w/c: Water-Cement ratio; WFS: Waste Foundry Sand; SP: Super Plasticizer.

3.2 Tensile strength

Tensile strength test results at the ages of 7 and 28 days are shown in the Figs. 9, 10 and 11. Like compressive strength, replacing regular sand with foundry sand reduces tensile strength. For water-cement ratio of 0.4, this reduction is 16, 23, and 26% in the replacement ratios of 15, 20, and 25% for age of 7 days and 11.1, 25.9, 27.7% for age of 28 days. By replacing 10% of the rice hull ash, the reduction would be 5, 23.9, and 25.6% at the age of 28 days. This shows the beneficial effect of rice hull ash on tensile strength increase. On average, at the age of 28, tensile strength reductions for water-cement ratio of 0.4, 0.45 and 0.5 are equal to 21.6, 30.5 and 20.6% respectively. It can be seen that the most effective water-cement ratio for obtaining a better tensile strength when using foundry sand is 0.5.

![Figure 5](https://www.example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5.** Compressive strength test results of mixes with waste foundry sand at the age of 7 days

3.3 Flexural strength

Flexural testing machine has been shown in Fig. 12. The results of flexural strength test are similar to that of compressive and tensile strength tests; that is, replacing the regular sand with foundry sand reduces the flexural
strength comparing to the control concrete. According to Fig. 13, 14 and 15, flexural strength has been about 3.5-7.13MPa for the first 12 designs and about 3.62-7.28MPa for the second 12 designs containing rice hull ash. Prabhu et al. [11] have reported that the sand particles are the main reason for the strength reduction in the mixtures containing foundry sand. The smaller foundry sand grading comparing to the regular sand increases the water absorption of the particles in the sand surface and reduces the free water in concrete. The result of this phenomenon is reduction in fluidity and workability of concrete which makes the matrix structure of the concrete more porous and reduces the flexural strength.

![Figure 6. Compressive strength test results of mixes with waste foundry sand at the age of 28 days](image)

![Figure 7. Compressive strength results in samples with both waste foundry sand and rice hull ash at the age of 7 days](image)

![Figure 8. Compressive strength results in samples with both waste foundry sand and rice hull ash at the age of 28 days](image)

![Figure 9. Tensile strength results in water-cement ratio of 0.40](image)
3.4 Elasticity modulus

The ASTMC496/C496M-14 standard test method [17] is used to determine the modulus of elasticity. The results of elasticity modulus are shown in Figs. 16, 17 and 18. It could be seen that, by replacing the regular sand with the foundry sand in 15% design, the elasticity modulus is reduced by 13% for water-cement ratio of 0.4. This reduction is equal to 14.2, and 14.5% for designs including 20 and 25% replacement respectively. The average of elasticity modulus in designs containing foundry sand and designs containing both foundry sand plus rice hull ash in the water-cement ratio of 0.4 were 25.9 GPa and 23.9 GPa respectively. These are 21.5 GPa and 19 GPa respectively for the water-cement ratio of 0.45 and also 20.1 GPa and 16.6 GPa for water-cement ratio of 0.5. These results show that adding pozzolana in all water-cement ratios will reduces elasticity modulus. This reduction rate increases by increasing the water-cement ratio. Basar et al. [6] reported that the reduction of elasticity modulus were 2.1, 6.9, 15.3 and 28.2% in the replacement percentages of 10, 20, 30 and 40%. Unlike the results of compressive, tensile and flexural strength tests, the results of elasticity modulus test show that the rice hull ash has a negative impact on the elasticity modulus. In general, increasing water-cement ratio can have a negative impact and reduce the compressive, tensile and flexural strengths. Increase in water-cement ratio also reduces the elasticity modulus up to 20% in water-cement ratio of 0.4 comparing to water-cement ratio of 0.5.

3.5 Water absorption

Comparing water absorption test results of designs in Fig. 19, 20 and 21 show that both replacements of regular sand with foundry sand and cement with rice hull ash increase the water absorption ratio of the samples. The highest water absorption ratios are equal to 2.7, 3.1 and 3.3% respectively for three water-cement ratios of 0.4, 0.45 and 0.5 and are associated with the design including 25% foundry sand as replacement of regular sand.
Increase in water absorption is due to lower unit weight of foundry sand comparing to regular sand, therefore foundry sand fills the volume of concrete paste more than regular sand. In other word, by increasing the volume of aggregates in the mixture, the volume of transition area in the mixture and the effects of leakage formed around them will increase. According to BS 1881: Part 122 [18], the quality of concrete is divided into three categories; poor (>5%), average (3-5%) and good (0-3%) for water-cement ratio. In this study, mix designs with water-cement ratio of 0.4 have water absorption between 1.2-2.7% for 28 days that they are classified as good. Water absorptions for mix designs with water-cement ratio of 0.45 and 0.5 are between 2.5-3.1% and 2.7-3.3% respectively where they are classified as average and good.

Figure 13. Flexural strength test results in the water-cement ratio of 0.4

Figure 14. Flexural strength test results in the water-cement ratio of 0.45

Figure 15. Flexural strength test results in the water-cement ratio of 0.5

Figure 16. The results of elasticity modulus in the water-cement ratio of 0.4
3.6 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) test results

After beginning the hydration in cement, calcium, hydroxyl and aluminate ions which have obtained from dissolving the calcium sulfate and calcium aluminate in water are combined together and produce the calcium hydroxide and Ettringite. Since the main factor of the strength in the solid section of hydrated cement paste is Van der Waals forces, the adhesion between two surfaces of solid sections depends on these intermolecular forces. The degree of adhesion depends on the nature and the extent of these surfaces. Calcium hydroxide is a combination
with a specific formula which is Ca(OH)$_2$. This combination is usually formed from separated large crystals having hexagonal prismatic or plate forms. These crystals have large size and thus have a structure which is more porous than the crystals of the cement paste or mortar, hence cement paste weakens and reduces the strength of concrete. On the other hand after the compaction of fresh concrete, a thin layer of water is formed around coarse aggregates. This water causes the plate-form calcium hydroxide to be formed like crystals that are in a direction virtually perpendicular to the surface of the aggregate. This is the reason for the weakness of the area between the cement paste and aggregate (transition area).

Rice hull ash like other pozzolans reacts with the calcium hydroxide resulted from cement hydration and produces hydrated calcium silicate (3 CaO • 2 SiO$_2$ • 4 H$_2$O). C-S-H small crystals with hexagonal structure have a large lateral surface and thus considerable adhesion capabilities. Hydrated calcium silicate particles not only have a strong tendency to stick to each other but also adhere to the hydration products with smaller lateral surface that is calcium hydroxide, non-hydrated clinker particles and fine and coarse particles of the sand and gravel. This makes a reduction in the capillary pores in the concrete structure and increases the strength of pozzolanic samples. Fig. 22 shows mix design M25W40R0 containing 25% foundry sand. Foundry sand contains 95% silica sand with chemical formula SiO$_2$. Point A in this figure is associated with the foundry sand in the concrete mixture. Fig. 23.A shows the Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) analysis of this point which indicates the presence of silica and oxygen elements in foundry sand composition. This is a confirmation of existing silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) elements. The cement in mix designs included 62.04% lime combination (CaO), 21.3% Silica (SiO$_2$), 4.94% Aluminum oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$) and 3.08% Magnesium oxide (MgO). Fig. 23.B is EDX analysis of the point B which is associated to the cement paste in concrete structure. EDX analysis shows the components of cement. Fig. 24 exhibits mix design M25W40R10 containing 25% foundry sand and 10% rice hull ash. Figs. 25.A and B are EDX analysis of two points of foundry sand in concrete mixture. The content of foundry sand is a combination of 95% silica sand and alkaline elements such as MgO, Al$_2$O$_3$, K$_2$O and Na$_2$O; however, the EDX analysis of the different parts of foundry sand indicates the elements Si, Al, Mg, O and K.

![Figure 21. The results of water absorption in water-cement ratio of 0.5](image_url)

![Figure 22. Electronic microscope image of mix design M25W40R0](image_url)
Figure 23. EDX analysis of mix design M25W40R0 for points A & B

| Element     | Series | unn. C | norm. C | Atom. C |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
|             |        | wt.-%  | wt.-%   | at.-%   |
| Oxygen      | H series | 43.54  | 55.23   | 68.41   |
| Silicon     | H series | 35.29  | 44.77   | 51.59   |
|             |         |        |         | Total:  78.8 % |

Figure 24. Electronic microscope image of mix design M25W40R10
Fig. 26 shows the SEM image of mix design M0W40R10. Fig. 27 shows EDX analysis of point A in Fig. 26 that indicates there are the elements of silicon (Si), oxygen (O) and calcium (Ca) in the aggregate compositions. These elements form the chemical structure of silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and calcium oxide (CaO) in the aggregate composition. Fig. 28.A is electronic microscope image of control concrete mix design M0W40R0. Fig. 28.B is also associated to the M25W40R0 design that shows the microscopic structure of the design containing 25% foundry sand have capillary micro cracks and more pores comparing to the control design. These pores and cracks reduce the strength properties of M25W40R0 design concrete compared to the control design. Fig. 29.A is the electronic microscope image of control concrete mix design M0W40R0. In its structure, there are capillary micro cracks and calcium hydroxide particles with plate structure and perpendicular to the aggregates. According to the microscopic image of M0W40R10 design (Fig. 29.B), adding 10% of the rice hull ash to the mix design caused calcium hydroxide from hydration process to turn into hydrated calcium silicate with crystal structure where it increases the concrete strength. The electronic microscopic image in Fig. 30.A is related to mix design M25W40R0 where it is easy to observe capillary cracks and pores in cement paste and also a rupture between cement paste and aggregate. But in the microscopic image in Fig. 30.B, adding the rice hull ash to M25W40R0 design forms hydrated calcium silicate. Using 10% of rice hull ash caused the concrete structure to be denser and also caused the cement paste to embrace the aggregate more cohesively.
Figure 26. Electronic microscope image of mix design M0W40R10

Figure 27. EDX analysis of mix design M0W40R10 for point A

Figure 28. Electronic microscope image: (a) mix design M0W40R0, (b) mix design M25W40R0

Figure 29. Electronic microscope image: (a) mix design M0W40R0, (b) mix design M0W40R10
4. Conclusion

The main objective of this study is to investigate the performance of the waste materials (foundry sand and rice hall ash) in concrete mixes. In addition to examining the mechanical properties of the produced concrete, its microstructure properties were also thoroughly investigated. The following conclusions are drawn from this study:

1) Compressive strength test results showed that in the water-cement ratio of 0.4 by replacing 15%, 20% and 25% of regular sand with foundry sand, the strength will be reduced by 4.75%, 6.1% and 11% respectively. However, all samples containing waste foundry sand satisfied the compressive strength requirements for structural concrete. Therefore, its use in concrete is both economically and environmentally suitable.

2) Using foundry sand in the designs reduces strength performances of the concrete such as tensile strength, flexural strength and elasticity modulus.

3) Using foundry sand in mix designs affects their physical performance where by increasing the replacement of regular sand with foundry sand, the water absorption of concrete samples increases.

4) Increasing the water-cement ratio in mix designs reduces the compressive strength, tensile strength, flexural strength and elasticity modulus. On the other hand, increasing the water-cement ratio increases the water absorption in the concrete samples in control design but increasing the replacement percentage of regular sand with foundry sand reduces its growth rate.

5) Using rice hull ash in mix designs increases the compressive, tensile and flexural strength. This shows that by using both 15% foundry sand, as a partial replacement of regular sand, and rice hull ash simultaneously, it is possible to make a concrete which its compressive strength is comparable with the concrete made of regular sand.

6) Unlike the results of compressive, tensile and flexural strengths, rice hull ash addition reduces the elasticity modulus and increases the water absorption in the concrete samples comparing to the samples without ash.
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