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ABSTRACT

Two (2) aeromagnetic maps on a scale of 1:100,000 covering parts of the Lower Benue Trough (Afikpo sheet 313 and Ugep sheet314) were digitized manually along a total of 70 profiles lines to obtain 5422 data points. The digitized values were contoured to obtain the total magnetic field intensity map of the study area.The merged data was processed and filtered using different softwares to perform anomaly separation techniques to obtain the residual fields, petters half width and maximum slope methods were analysed to obtain depths to basements, also lineaments trend and 3-D surface plots were analysed. The results indicate a dominant NE-SW orientation, four faults were also identified mostly at the edges of sediments-basement contacts. The depth to magnetic basement map reveals two identified sub-basins within two structural highs. The Afikpo area has a structural high (afikpo anticlinorium) with depth ranging from 0.8km – 1.8km which is flanked by a depression (graben) 2.0km – 4.0km, this sub-basin extends between Abanwan to Amagu (ebonyi state) and extents to Biakpan (cross river state).also another structural high occurs between lyamoyong and Okumerit (cross river state) 0.8km -1.8km as horst, this is flanked by another depression (graben) within the Mkpani – Ugep area (cross river state) 2.0km -3.0 km in sediments thickness. Hydrocarbon prospectivity within the study area should be within the identified Grabens that has shown greater sediments accumulation while the areas identified as horst has less potentials for hydrocarbon prospectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Mapping magnetic basement within sedimentary layered rocks is important in oil and gas exploration. Aeromagnetic surveys are applied in mapping magnetic anomalies and correlated with the underground geologic structures, Onuba et.al (2011), Reeves, (2005). The Afikpo – Ugep area is part of the Lower Benue Trough which lies within latitude 5°.5’.00’’ – 6°.o’.00’’N and longitude 7°.5’.00’’ – 8°.5’.00’’ E. Major and minor lineaments trend analysis, depth of sediments thickness and subsurface basin configuration was done using potential field softwares from the united states Geological surveys(USGS). Several geophysical work has been done on a regional scale using gravity and magnetic data (Ofoegbu, (1991), Obi, et. al (2008), Ajai, et. al (1991), Obi, et. al (2013), Onuba et. al (2011), and Onuemesi,(1997). Their results collaborates crustal thinning of the Lower Benue Trough. This study which extends from Afikpo towards part of Mamfe basin (Ugep) has not been previously studied in details except on reconnaissance data sets.

GEOLOGY OF THE STUDY AREA

The oldest rocks within the study area comprise of Precambrian basement rocks of Oban massif (Granite gneisses, banded gneisses, biotite garnet schist, quartz diorite, and porphyritic granites), these rocks are unconformably over lain by the Asu river group (Albian) which comprise of Sand stones, Shales, and Limestones. These unit is over lain by the Eze-Aku Shale unit which inturn is over lain Agwu Shale, Nkporo Shale and Imo Shale. These unit are over lain by Mamu Formation which comprise of coal units, Olade,(1975), Petters, (1978), Benkelli, (1982). These units are futher over lain by a thick Sanstone, Siltstone, and Shale units of Ajali Formation and Nsuka Formations which are futher over lain by the Tertiary Benin Formation mostly made of coastal plain Sandstones. Fig. 1.
DATA ANALYSIS: Two (2) aeromagnetic maps on a scale of 1:100,000 were used for this analysis both manual and computer processed methods were used in this study. The maps were acquired from the Nigerian Geological Survey Agency in hard copies format and subjected to manual digitization process where data was extracted along flight lines as XYZ values according to the United States Geological Services (USGS) specification on the potential field software version 2.2 (Phillips, 1997). The digitization was done at 1.0 km intervals to prevent frequency aliasing. The total number of data points extracted from the two maps was 5422, this data was stored in a file and used in a suite of software programs which include A2XYZ, DETOUR, P2GRD, ADDGRD, JMERGER, and PC-CONTOUR to produce the total magnetic field intensity map of the study area (Fig.2). A coloured version of the total field was produced using SURFER 9.0 software by using the merged data point file which was gridded and colour contoured to highlight magnetic anomalies for better interpretations (Olagundaye, 2004), Reeves, (2005). (Fig.3).
Fig.2: Total Magnetic Field Intensity Map of the Study area showing positions of major faults (Contoured At 20nT)
A total of 17 anomalies within Afikpo area sheet 313 and 142 within Ugep sheet 314 were analysed for length of anomalies, average width of anomalies, anomalies type, orientations of anomalies, and anomalies amount. The measurements were recorded as frequency distribution of lineaments (Tables 1.0 and 2.0). The normalized lengths show dominant orientations of lineaments trends which was used with the orientations extracted values to plot the rosette digrames which indicate the major and minor lineaments trends Fig.4 and Fig.5. The merged total magnetic field map was subjected to analysis using the software SURFIT to separate the regional field from the observed field to obtain a residual magnetic field (Fig.6). Also, depth to magnetic basement were computed using the petters half width method and maximum slope method along extracted profile lines (Dobrin and Sarvit 1998). A total of 16 profiles were extracted from the entire maps, the individual profile lines were digitized by by extracting distances (X), against total magnetic field (Z) at equally spaced distances along the flight lines. These parameters were plotted and depths to magnetic basements were estimated from these plots (Fig. 7, 8, 9, 10). These plots illustrated were only chosen for illustration purposes.

Fig.3: Coloured version of total magnetic field intensity map of the study area.
Fig. 4: Rose diagram of Ugep area

Fig. 5: Rose diagram of Afikpo area
Table 1.0: Frequency distribution of lineaments in the ugep (sheet 314)

| Orientation| Frequency | Length (km) | Normal Length (L/LT) | % of Normal Length (L/LT X 100) |
|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1-10/181-190| 1         | 3.3         | 0.0069               | 0.69                             |
| 11-20/191-200| 5         | 22.5        | 0.0470               | 4.7                              |
| 21-30/201-210| 4         | 7.6         | 0.0158               | 1.58                             |
| 31-40/211-220| 10        | 23.2        | 0.0485               | 4.85                             |
| 41-50/221-230| 13        | 36.6        | 0.0765               | 7.65                             |
| 51-60/231-240| 22        | 57.5        | 0.1202               | 12.02                            |
| 61-70/241-250| 34        | 106.2       | 0.2220               | 22.2                             |
| 71-80/251-260| 15        | 37.8        | 0.0790               | 7.9                              |
| 81-90/261-270| 11        | 76.94       | 0.1608               | 16.08                            |
| 91-100/271-280| 12        | 39.6        | 0.0828               | 8.28                             |
| 101-110/281-290| 7         | 26.1        | 0.0545               | 5.45                             |
| 111-120/291-300| 3         | 11.4        | 0.0238               | 2.38                             |
| 121-130/301-310| 2         | 3.8         | 0.0077               | 0.79                             |
| 131-140/311-320| 3         | 11.8        | 0.0246               | 2.46                             |
| 141-150/321-330| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 151-160/331-340| 1         | 13.9        | 0.0296               | 2.9                              |
| 161-170/341-350| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 171-180/351-360| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| **TOTAL**    | **143**   | **478.24**  | **0.9993**           | **99.93**                        |
Table 2.0: Frequency distribution of lineaments in the afikpo (sheet 313)

| Orientation (°) | Frequency | Length (km) | Normal Length (L/LT) | % of Normal Length (L/LT x 100) |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1-10/181-190   | -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 11-20/191-200  | -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 21-30/201-210  | 1         | 1.3         | 0.0233               | 2.33                             |
| 31-40/211-220  | -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 41-50/221-230  | 1         | 1.5         | 0.0269               | 2.69                             |
| 51-60/231-240  | 3         | 9.5         | 0.1708               | 17.08                            |
| 61-70/241-250  | 1         | 1.2         | 0.0215               | 2.15                             |
| 71-80/251-260  | 1         | 2.5         | 0.0449               | 4.49                             |
| 81-90/261-270  | 3         | 6.0         | 0.1079               | 10.79                            |
| 91-100/271-280 | 1         | 2.5         | 0.0449               | 4.49                             |
| 101-110/281-290| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 111-120/291-300| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 121-130/301-310| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 131-140/311-320| 3         | 15.3        | 0.2751               | 27.51                            |
| 141-150/321-330| 2         | 6.8         | 0.1223               | 12.23                            |
| 151-160/331-340| 1         | 3.0         | 0.0539               | 5.39                             |
| 161-170/341-350| -         | -           | -                    | -                                |
| 171-180/351-360| 1         | 6.0         | 0.1079               | 10.79                            |
| **TOTAL**      | **18**    | **55.6**    | **0.9994**           | **99.94**                        |

Fig.7.0: Magnetic profile line 1.0 depth computation using maximum slope and petters half width methods along Afipko
Fig. 8.0: Magnetic profile line 2.0 depth computation using maximum slope and petters half width methods along Afikpo area.

Fig. 9.0: Magnetic profile line 1.0 depth computation using maximum slope and petters half width methods along Ugep area.
Fig. 10: Magnetic profile line 2.0 depth computation using maximum slope and petters half width methods along Ugęp area.

Depth to magnetic basement values were generated from the estimated depth values and repositioned in their longitude and latitude coordinates and contoured as depth to magnetic basementmap which reveals horst and graben structures. Two sub-basin are seen aroun Abanwan and Okumerit flanked by their surrounding structural high marked by shallower depths. (Fig.11). Also, the merged total magnetic digitized grid file was used in the software SURFER 9.0 to plot a 3-D surface plot which indicates the general magnetic susceptibilities of the area which also indicate horst and graben features, these areas the earlier identified sub-basins and their structural highs. (Fig.12).
Fig. 11: Depth map derived from manually digitized petter's half width and maximum slope methods of the study area.
RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The manual trend analysis produced two rosette from Afikpo and Ugep areas indicate a dominant NE-SW orientation at Ugep area with orientations of anomalies between 61° - 71° and 241° and 250° being dominant. These are typical of heavy fracture zones and predominantly fault terrains. Also, the NW-SE trending anomalies has orientations between 131° - 140° and 311° - 320° respectively, these anomalies are dominant in

Fig.12.0 3-D Surface plot of magnetic intensity field of the study area
Afikpo which are less fractured and indicate deeper sedimentary rocks piles. Faults are indicated with dotted lines and marked F1 – F4 (Fig.2) using the total magnetic field intensity maps, criteria used include abrupt changes in orientation of contour lines, abrupt changes in amplitude of contours amount and tightness or loose contour lines, fault F1 stretches towards Abanwan and Ugep, F2 stretches towards Afikpo and Ugep, F3 stretches in N-S direction from Afikpo towards Ugep, while F4 lies between Abanwan and Afikpo and stretches towards Biapkan in cross river State. The presence of faults is indicative of the availability of trapping structures for entrapment of possibly generated hydrocarbons. The results from the manual depth analysis (Fig.11.0 and table 3.0) reveals areas with depths considered as thick sediments accumulations (>3.5km) and shallow sediments accumulations (<1.0km), these areas represent horst and graben structures. These results are in agreement with previous work in many authors around the Nigerian Benue Trough (Ofoegbu, 1991; Obi et. al, 2013; Fairyhead, and Okereke 1990).

The estimated depths within the study area reveals the highest depth at 4.0km in Abanwan and lowest at 0.8km at Iyamoyong. Also, between Okumiririt and iyamoyong depth ranges between 2.2km to 2.8km, between Abanwan and Biapkan depth ranges are 2.6 km – 3.6 km. The study reveals 2 sub-basins (Fig.11.0), the Abanwan sub-basin in Afikpo area of Ebonyi state which extends to Biapkan areas of Cross River State and the Nkpani sub-basin in Cross River State which forms parts of the Ikom- Mame basin. These identified sub-basins has sediments thickness enough to generate hydrocarbons given that all other conditions are favourable.

CONCLUSION

The study utilized two hard copies aeromagnetic maps which were digitized, processed and analysed for magnetic anomalies trends and depth to source of magnetic anomalies, both manual and computer techniques were employed to obtain the general lineament trends in the NE-SW with minor trends NW-SE. Typical basement relief features such as Horst and Grabens were identified along with faults and magnetic lineament trends. Two sub-basins were identified which has potentials for generating hydrocarbons since the sediment thickness were greater than 3.5km. It’s recommended that further geochemical and geophysical investigations be made within the identified sub-basins for further subsurface basin evaluation.
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