INTRODUCTION

Chronic subdural hematoma (CSDH) represents one of the most frequent intracranial hemorrhages encountered in neurological department, with elderly citizens being more frequently affected. The reasons why this type of hematoma occurs frequently among the elderly include an increase in antithrombotic medications, venous fragility, augmentation of the subdural space, and an increased exposure to traumatic injury resulting from frequent falls. For the initial management of CSDH, numerous surgical treatments have been proposed. However, the extent of surgical treatment required for CSDH is still controversial, and the optimal treatment for CSDH is not well defined. The choice of surgical technique for CSDH must be dictated by the degrees of organization of the hematoma. Burr-hole with drainage is mandatory for non-septated and mostly liquefied CSDH. Conversely, craniotomy is generally accepted as the optimal approach for reaccumulation of a CSDH, existence of a solid hematoma, failure of brain reexpansion, or marked swelling subjacent to the hematoma. The purpose of this study was to analyze the efficacy of small or large craniotomy with membranectomy as the initial treatment for CSDH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective study was performed using the medical records retained by our hospital. Computed tomography (CT) scans were the primary imaging method for the evaluation of CSDH in all patients. The technique of a burr-hole with closed system drainage for 24 to 72 hours was chosen for cases of non-septated and mostly liquefied CSDH. However, on the basis of CT and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings, cases of CSDH demonstrating either mixed density or hyperdense lesions (Fig. 1), intrahematomal membranes or web-like structures (Fig. 2), or an organized/calculated CSDHs (Fig. 3) were selected for craniotomy. We classified 317 patients with CSDH into three groups according to the extent of surgery required: group I, burr hole with drainage (n=259); group II, small crani-
Statistical analysis

SPSS software (version 18.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The mean values±standard deviations among the three groups were analyzed with ANOVA, and those between two groups were evaluated using Student’s t-test. We also performed a multivariable statistical analysis to evaluate the relationship between the factors and groups using the χ² test with a logistic regression model. Statistical significance was assumed if p<0.05.

RESULTS

Clinical presentation

Of these 317 patients, 259 (82%) received one or two burr-holes with drainage, including 191 males and 68 females. The average age of these burr-hole patients was 63.7±16.9 years, and 167 cases had experienced minor head trauma. The leading symptoms were headache (n=113), disturbance of consciousness (n=89), motor weakness (n=68), and gait disturbance (n=37). Fifteen of these patients were chronic alcoholics. Reoperation was performed in 23 (8.9%) cases.

Of the remaining 58 patients undergoing craniotomy, there were 46 men and 12 women, and the mean ages was 59.4 years (69.4±12.1, and 55.6±9.3 years, groups II and III, respectively), with a range of 40 to 83 years. Thirty-five patients had a history of minor head trauma approximately three weeks prior to admission. The major symptoms at presentation were disturbance of consciousness (n=113), headache (n=89), motor weakness (n=68), and gait disturbance (n=37). Fifteen of these patients were chronic alcoholics. Reoperation was performed in 23 (8.9%) cases.

Of the remaining 58 patients undergoing craniotomy, there were 46 men and 12 women, and the mean ages was 59.4 years (69.4±12.1, and 55.6±9.3 years, groups II and III, respectively), with a range of 40 to 83 years. Thirty-five patients had a history of minor head trauma approximately three weeks prior to admission. The major symptoms at presentation were disturbance of consciousness (n=113), headache (n=89), motor weakness (n=68), and gait disturbance (n=37). Fifteen of these patients were chronic alcoholics. Reoperation was performed in 23 (8.9%) cases.

Patient selection for craniotomy in groups II and, III

Based on CT and/or MRI findings, non-liquefied hematomas within CSDH (n=19), multilayer intrahematomal loculations (n=31), or thickened calcified membranes with heterogeneous structures in the hematoma cavity (n=8) were selected for small or large craniotomies (Table 3).
Operative methods
In the craniotomy groups, under general anesthesia, the dura was reflected and the outer membrane of a solid hematoma was excised following craniotomy. The removal of the hematoma was carried out under direct visualization. Non-liquefied hematomas or multilayer loculations were only partially removed with a small craniotomy, though these were completely removed with a large craniotomy. If neovascularization existed in the hematoma capsule, it was coagulated. The inner membrane was removed as much as possible.

Outcomes
The postoperative percentages of the patients who achieved a grade 0 or 1 (no or only mild neurologic deficits) were 88% in group I, 88% in group II, and 90% in group III.

In group I, local postoperative complications, such as surgical wound infection, tension pneumocephalus, epidural hematoma, and seizure, occurred in nine patients. Systemic complications, including pneumonia and sepsis, occurred in 29 patients. An SDH recurred in 23 patients (8.9%), who required reoperation. The operative mortality rate in this group, defined as death within 30 days after surgery, was 8.1% (n=21).

In groups II and III, one patient had an intracerebral hemorrhage and two patients experienced seizures. Systemic complications comprised three cases of pneumonia. Reoperation, due to a recurrent SDH, was done in 12 patients. The operative mortality rate was 3.5% (n=2) in group III; no death was seen in group II. The mean hospital stay following the initial operation were 35.2±21.9 days (group I), 35.6±16.5 days (group II) and 33.1±14.5 days (group III).

Reoperation
The reoperation rate among the 317 patients with CSDH was 11.0% (n=35). The mean ages of reoperation (n=35) and non-reoperation groups (n=282) were 68.2±15.7, and 63.3±16.5 years, respectively, with no significant difference (p=0.100) (Table 5).

The average elapsed days before reoperation were 13 (group I), 16 (group II) and two (group III). The causes for reoperation after burr-hole with drainage (n=23) were acute rebleeding (n=4), an increased volume of residual subdural fluid within the hematoma cavity (n=15), and failure of brain re-expansion due to intrahematomal septations (n=4). Repeated burr-holes with drainage were performed in 15 patients, and a large craniotomy was required in eight patients. The causes for reoperation in the small craniotomy group (n=8) were recollection of...

Table 1. Surgical types in 317 patients with CSDH

| Types of surgery                  | Number (%) (n=317) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Burr-hole with drainage          | 259 (82)           |
| Small craniotomy                 | 16 (5)             |
| Large craniotomy                 | 42 (13)            |

CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma

Table 2. Clinical data and CT findings in 317 patients with CSDH

| Characteristics                  | Burr-hole (Group I) (n=259) | Small craniotomy (Group II) (n=16) | Large craniotomy (Group III) (n=42) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Male/Female                      | 191/68                      | 14/2                              | 32/10                             |
| Alcohol abuse                    | 15                          | 6                                 | 11                                |
| Head injury                      | 167                         | 9                                 | 26                                |
| Symptoms and signs               |                             |                                   |                                   |
| Disturbance of consciousness     | 89                          | 12                                | 34                                |
| Headache                         | 113                         | 8                                 | 26                                |
| Motor weakness                   | 68                          | 8                                 | 17                                |
| Dysphasia                        | 14                          | 5                                 | 8                                 |
| Gait disturbance                 | 37                          | 3                                 | 7                                 |
| Seizure                          | 5                           | 0                                 | 4                                 |
| CT density                       |                             |                                   |                                   |
| Mixed                            | 68                          | 12                                | 40                                |
| High                             | 28                          | 0                                 | 2                                 |
| Iso                              | 33                          | 0                                 | 0                                 |
| Low                              | 101                         | 0                                 | 0                                 |
| Layed                            | 29                          | 4                                 | 0                                 |
| Midline shift                    | 212                         | 15                                | 35                                |
| Neurological grade by MGS        |                             |                                   |                                   |
| Pre. op./Post. op.               |                             |                                   |                                   |
| 0                                | 0/202                       | 0/7                               | 0/17                              |
| 1                                | 163/26                      | 0/7                               | 16/21                             |
| 2                                | 72/5                        | 10/2                              | 13/2                              |
| 3                                | 19/5                        | 6/0                               | 11/0                              |
| 4                                | 5/21                        | 0/0                               | 2/2                               |

CT: computed tomography, CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma, MGS: Markwalder’s grade scale

Table 3. Criteria of patient’s selection for craniotomy in CSDH

| Criteria                                    | Small craniotomy (n=16) | Large craniotomy (n=42) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Non-liquefied hematoma within CSDH         | 8                       | 11                      | 0.157   |
| Multilayer intrahematomal loculations      | 8                       | 23                      | 0.976   |
| Organized/Calcified hematoma                | 0                       | 8                       |         |

CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma
Table 4. Outcome assessment in 317 patients with CSDH

| Type of assessment | Burr-hole (n=259) | Small craniotomy (n=16) | Large craniotomy (n=42) | p-value |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Local postop. complicationsa | 9 (1-29) | 1 | 2 | 0.558 |
| Other systemic complications1 | 29 (1) | 1 | 2 | 0.566 |
| Death | 21 (0) | 0 | 2 | 0.671 |
| Reoperation for recurrence | 23 (8) | 8 | 4 | <0.001 |
| Duration of hospital stay (day) | 35.2±21.9 | 35.6±16.5 | 33.1±14.5 | 0.827 |
| MGS at the time of discharge | 0.55±1.2 | 0.69±0.7 | 0.79±0.9 | 0.436 |
| Age (year) | 63.7±16.9 | 69.4±12.1 | 55.6±9.3 | 0.003 |

a. Cerebral hemorrhage, seizure, infection, epidural hematoma, pneumonia, sepsis; CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma, MGS: Markwalder’s Grade Scale

Table 5. Relation between age and reoperation in 317 patients with CSDH

| Factors | No. of patients |
|---------|-----------------|
| | RG | NRG | Total | p-value |
| Surgical method | | | | |
| Burr-hole | 23 | 236 | 259 | |
| Small craniotomy | 8 | 8 | 16 | |
| Large craniotomy | 4 | 38 | 42 | |
| Mean age | 68.2±15.7 | 63.3±16.5 | 0.100 | |

CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma, RG: recurrence group, NRG: non-recurrence group

Table 6. Reasons for reoperation in 35 patients with CSDH

| Characteristics | Burr hole (n=23) | Small craniotomy (n=8) | Large craniotomy (n=4) |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Interval between 1st & 2nd Op. (D) | 13 (1-48) | 16 (7-12) | 2 (1-2) |
| Reasons for reoperation | | | |
| Small bleed with recollection of CSDH | 15 | 8 | 2 |
| Large amounts of acute rebleeding | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Intrahematoma septations | 4 | 0 | 0 |

CSDH: chronic subdural hematoma, D: days

Table 7. Statistical comparison study for reoperation in three groups

| Category | Comparing group | p-value |
|----------|-----------------|---------|
| Reoperation for recurrence | | |
| I | II | <0.001 |
| II | I | 0.992 |
| III | I | <0.001 |
| III | II | 0.992 |

Group I: burr-hole with drainage (n=259), Group II: small craniotomy (n=16), Group III: large craniotomy (n=42)

subdural fluid (n=4), and a small rebleed with recollection of the CSDH (n=4). The causes for reoperation in the large craniotomy group (n=4) were recollection of CSDH with a considerable amount of rebleeding (n=2), and significant rebleeding in the subdural space (n=2). Among the cases of reoperation in groups II and III, ten patients received burr-holes with drainage, and two patients (group III) underwent a large craniectomy due to significant rebleeding in the subdural space (Table 6).

In the craniotomy groups, the reoperation rate was 50% (n=8) in group II, and 10% (n=4) in group III. The p-value for the reoperation rate comparison between the small and large craniotomy groups was <0.001, indicating that the large craniotomy group had a lower reoperation rate than the small craniotomy group (Table 7). CT imaging studies were performed in 56 patients in groups II and III prior to discharge. In 34 (61%) of these patients, subdural fluid remained. CT scans were performed in 51 of these patients during follow-up, and a complete resolution of the subdural fluid collection occurred in 43 patients (84%). The remaining eight patients had minimal subdural fluid collections, but none required subsequent surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Although CSDH is well known as a curable disease in the elderly and can be adequately managed with burr-holes with drainage, the initial treatment of CSDH can be ineffective; this results in a reoperation rate between 3 and 37%.[2,10,13,16,17] The causes of treatment failure include the presence of non-liquefied hematomas with various bleeding foci[22]; multilayer loculations within the hematoma, which produce non-communicating compartments[22]; and excessive formation of a solid membranes[15].

Occasionally, advanced age has been considered to be a risk factor for recurrence and reoperation.[9,20] However, most studies have demonstrated no relationship between recurrence rate and age.[9,11,17,23] In the current study of 317 surgically treated patients, the mean ages of patients in the reoperation and non-reoperation groups were not significantly different, implying no relationship between age and reoperation rate. However, many authors have reported that older patients may require a prolonged recovery time to restore the brain[23,12,25], and that unnecessary reoperation have been performed secondary to prolonged reaccumulations of blood within the hematoma cavity.[21] Jeong et al.[7] suggested that if complete brain re-expansion is not observed immediately after operation in elderly patients, a six-week trace-observation should be performed, and that reoperation should be performed only if neurologic symptoms reappeared or if the cerebral sulci were diffusely effaced by recurrence.[15].
MRI is not frequently used for the diagnosis of CSDH, but its superiority over CT has been well documented. MRI provides precise evidence for the extension of SDH, facilitates the detection of CT - isodense SDH, as well as detection of small clots near the skull base and vertex, and often provides an accurate estimation of the age of the SDH. Furthermore, contrast-enhanced MRI may demonstrate connective tissue reactions occurring during the maturation of SDH. Rocchi et al. recommended that preoperative MRI should always be performed in the following cases: 1) CSDH with an unusual appearance on CT scans, such as the presence of heterogeneous areas with high-density margins, multiple compartments, septations, and various bleeding foci, 2) cases of recurrent CSDH, and 3) enhancement of some portions of the hematoma and its membranes after contrast enhanced CT. Furthermore, they insisted that craniotomy should be performed primarily in these cases, without attempting other approaches.

In our craniotomy groups, MRI scans were performed in 29 cases. Of these, 12 cases showed irregular web-like structures within the CSDH, 11 demonstrated non-liquefied hematomas with various bleeding foci, and six had thickened, calcified inner membranes with heterogeneous structures within the hematoma cavity. In the past, when a CSDH displayed these radiologic features, we performed craniotomy with membranectomy to remove the hematoma only after one attempt of evacuation with burr-hole. Recently, we adopted craniotomy with membranectomy as the initial treatment.

Tanikawa et al. reported that a multilayer intrahematomal structure led to a high recurrence rate and that these structures were well visualized on T2-weighted MRI. They found that a significant number of these cases did not achieve complete recovery using initial burr-hole surgery. Therefore, they initially performed small craniotomies, and achieved more favorable results and a significantly shortened hospital stay. Lee et al. reported a reoperation rate of 6.7% in 30 cases of small craniotomies, and achieved more favorable recovery using initial burr-hole surgery. These patients collectively underwent four small craniotomies and two enlarged craniotomies. The authors emphasized that it was important to remove the organized SDH and the outer membrane in proportion to the hematoma expansion. Imazumi et al. reported five cases of an organized CSDH, and proposed that a large craniotomy was the best treatment for calcified or organized CSDH associated with progressive symptoms. In our eight cases of organized/calcified CSDH, we performed large craniotomy with extended membranectomy; these cases required no reoperation and postoperative results were excellent.

In our craniotomy cases, the choice of a small or large craniotomy for CSDH treatment depended on the surgeon's judgment. The mean age of patients undergoing a small craniotomy was older than that of large craniotomy patients. The p-value for non-liquefied hematomas between the large and small craniotomy groups was 0.157 and that for multilayer intrahematomal loculations was 0.976. These results indicate that non-liquefied hematomas and multilayer intrahematomal loculations are not important factors when deciding between either a small or large craniotomy. A large craniotomy was the treatment choice for calcified or organized CSDHs.

CONCLUSION

Among the 58 patients requiring craniotomy with membranectomy as the initial treatment, 16 underwent a small craniotomy (group II) and 42 patients underwent a large craniotomy (group III). There were no significant differences in the postoperative neurological status, complications, or days of hospital stay between these two groups. However, the large craniotomy
with extended membranectomy group had superior results in terms of rate of reoperation. Until a systemic evaluation of these techniques is undertaken, we feel that a large craniotomy re-
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