Characterization and evaluation of a Sarcoptes scabiei allergen as a candidate vaccine
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Abstract

Background: Sarcoptic mange caused by the mite Sarcoptes scabiei is a worldwide disease affecting both humans and animals. Here we report the molecular characterization and evaluation of a recombinant S. scabiei tropomyosin (SsTm) protein in a vaccination trial in rabbits.

Methods: The full-length cDNA was cloned in a bacterial pET vector, and the recombinant protein was expressed in BL21 (DE3) cells and purified. Using specific rabbit antiserum, tropomyosin was localized immunohistochemically in mite tissue sections. Vaccination trials with the recombinant SsTm was carried out in New Zealand rabbits.

Results: The full-length open reading frame (ORF) of the 852 bp cloned gene from S. scabiei encodes a 32.9 kDa protein. The amino acid sequence showed 98.94%, 97.89% and 98.59% homology to Dermatophagoides farina and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus group 10 allergens and Psoroptes ovis tropomyosin, respectively. Tropomyosin was localized immunohistochemically in mite tissue sections mainly in the mouthparts, legs and integument of the epidermis. The predicted cross-reactivity of SsTm indicated that it is an allergenic protein. While vaccination with the recombinant SsTm resulted in high levels of specific IgG (P < 0.01), a low IgE antibody response and no significant protection against S. scabiei challenge were observed. After challenge, specific IgG levels remained significantly higher than the control (P < 0.01), while changes of total IgE levels were not significant (P > 0.05). However, the lesion areas in the vaccination group decreased at the end of the experiment compared with controls.

Conclusions: Although vaccination with recombinant SsTm did not efficiently control sarcoptic mange in rabbits, the immunogenic properties of tropomyosin suggest it may be developed as a vaccine with alternative adjuvants or delivery methods.
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Background

Sarcoptic mange, caused by ectoparasite infestation with the mite Sarcoptes scabiei, is a disease distributed worldwide in both humans and animals. Overcrowded living conditions, poverty and poor hygiene are significant factors [1] for infection with S. scabiei mites in the currently estimated 300 million people worldwide [2,3]. In addition, Sarcoptes are common ectoparasites in domestic and wild populations of canids, cats, ungulates, boars, wombats, koalas, great apes and boids [4]. In Spain, sarcoptic mange is a widespread disease in wild rabbits [5]. The sarcoptes mites burrow into the epidermis and lay eggs in the stratum corneum for weeks, leading to a host immune response and antibody production and resulting in papules on the surface of the skin and pruritus. Sarcoptic mange, if left untreated, may cause significant morbidity and economic losses in livestock. Moreover, high costs are associated with acaricides used in infested livestock [6,7].

Although various acaricides considered as appropriate treatments are generally used to control sarcoptic mange [8], they can be highly toxic and strong resistance to them can be developed. Furthermore, the quality and safety of livestock products are threatened with the
potential for accidental environmental pollution with acaricides [9]. Thus, the Sarcoptes World Molecular Network (WMN) [10] was established to coordinate and support additional epidemiological, diagnostic, treatment and molecular studies of scabies mites.

Tropomyosin, a microfilament protein with a α-helical coiled-coil structure, is found in all cell types [11]. It has been identified as a conserved and cross-reactive allergen between mites and other invertebrates [12]. Therefore, tropomyosin is considered as a good model to study the contribution of the primary structure to the allergenicity of proteins [13]. Previous studies have shown that the group 10 tropomyosin allergen of house dust mites induces cross-reactivity of IgE and reacts with specific IgE from humans allergic to these allergens [14]. In addition, the tropomyosin allergen is present in shrimp, mites and insects, and may serve as a potential vaccine candidate antigen along with myosin and paramyosin [15], [16]. Successful vaccination with recombinant tropomyosin has been reported in other parasites. For instance, immunization with a tropomyosin-like protein purified from the rodent filarial Acanthocheilonema viteae was shown to decrease the number of adult worm burden by up to 65% as well as the circulating microfilariae by up to 93% in jirds (Meriones unguiculatus) [17]. Furthermore, tropomyosin is confirmed as an immunodominant allergen of sheep scab mites and shows promise as a vaccine candidate [18,19].

In this study, we isolated the tropomyosin gene of Sarcoptes scabiei based on analysis of expressed sequence tags (EST). The recombinant protein (SsTm) was expressed, analyzed and purified for immunization of rabbits. Immunolocalization of tropomyosin in S. scabiei tissues was also performed.

**Methods**

**Mites and animals**

Sarcoptic mites (adults, nymphs and larvae) were collected from rabbits and stored at −70°C prior to RNA extraction. Mites were unfed prior to treatment. Four-month-old naive rabbits were prepared for a vaccination trial at the Laboratory Animal Center of Sichuan Agriculture University (China). All animals were handled in strict accordance with animal protection laws of the People’s Republic of China (A draft of an animal protection law in China was released on September 18, 2009). All procedures were strictly carried out according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Isolation of total RNA and amplification of cDNA encoding tropomyosin**

Extraction of total RNA was carried out using a commercial extraction kit (Waston, Shanghai, China) and transcribed into cDNA using RevertAid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and stored at −70°C. Based on the S. scabiei EST database [20], the forward (5’-ATGGCATGGGCCATCAAGAAAAATG-3’) and reverse (5’-TTATTTACCCAGTAAGTTGGGCA-3’) primers were used in a reaction mixture containing 5 μL cDNA in a total of 50 μL. The cycling parameters were 94°C for 5 min and 94°C for 45 sec, followed by 30 cycles of 49°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 45 sec and a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (2% TAE-agarose gel) and purified with a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Watson) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Expression and purification of recombinant tropomyosin**

The full-length coding sequence was amplified by PCR using specific primers (forward primer 5’-CATGGCATGGGCCATCAAGAAAA-3’; reverse primer 5’-CGGGATCTTTAATAACCATAAGTTTC-3’). The PCR products were digested with BamH I and Neo I (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan) and gel-purified. The cDNA was subcloned into the bacterial expression vector pET-32a (+) (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) and used to transform BL21 (DE3) Escherichia coli cells (Novagen). Briefly, individual colonies were selected and grown in LB medium with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) at 37°C until the OD600 value reached 1.0, and then isopropyl-beta-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added at the final concentration of 1 mM to induce recombinant protein expression for 4 h at 37°C. Purification of the recombinant SsTm was performed as previously described [21].

**Sequence analysis and cross-reactivity prediction**

The presence of a signal peptide was detected using SignalP-2.0 at the Center of Biological Sequence Analysis (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP-2.0/), and cellular localization was predicted using TMHMM (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). The molecular weight of the predicted protein was calculated using Compute pI/Mw (http://us.expasy.org/tools/pi_tool.html). Cross-reactivity with known allergens was predicted with the web server SDAP (http://fermi.utmb.edu/SDAP/).

**Western blotting**

For Western blotting, recombinant SsTm proteins were separated by electrophoresis and subsequently transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for 1 h in an electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA). The membrane was blocked with 5% skimmed milk in TBST (40 mM Tris–HCl, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.1 Tween-20, pH 7.4) for 4 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the membrane was incubated with rabbit antiserum diluted 1:200 (v/v) in 1% skimmed milk-TBST overnight at 4°C. The membrane
was washed 3 times (10 min/wash) in TBST and further incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG diluted 1:1000 for 1 h. After another three washes for about 10 min each with TBST, protein signals were detected using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3’-indolylphosphate (BCIP) and nitro-blue tetrazolium (NBT) substrates.

Immunolocalization of tropomyosin
In order to perform immunolocalization studies of mite sections, antiserum against SsTm were raised in rabbits using standard procedures [22]. Mites were fixed in 1% molten agarose and set in paraffin wax after solidification of the molten agarose. Briefly, 5 μm sections were cut, dried at 60°C, dewaxed, rehydrated, treated to inactive endogenous peroxidase and incubated in 25% normal goat serum in TBS for 15 min. Thereafter, the tissue sections were incubated at 4°C overnight with specific rabbit anti-SsTm antibodies diluted 1:1000 in TBS. After the sections were rinsed with TBS, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (AMRESCO, Texas, USA) diluted 1:200 was used for detection of the antibodies and visualized using the EnVision™ + System, HRP (DAB) (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and rinsed in water and dehydrated. Finally, sections were mounted in a resin-based mountant and viewed with a microscope. Negative controls were carried out by replacement of specific antibodies with negative rabbit serum.

Vaccination trial
Preparation of the challenge inoculum of S. scabiei mites was designed similarly to that described previously [23]. Number of rabbits per group was designed similarly to a study of S. scabiei challenge trial [24]. Eighteen female New Zealand rabbits, about 4 months of age, were randomly divided into three groups (6 rabbits per group). Animals were immunized as follows: group 1, 100 μg SsTm mixed with 100 μg QuilA(0.01 g/ml, International Laboratory, USA); group 2, 100 μg QuilA in normal saline (NS) as adjuvant controls; group 3, only NS as unvaccinated controls. All animals were immunized four times by hypodermic injection at 1-week intervals for the first three times and a 2-week interval before the last vaccination. Two weeks after the last vaccination, the rabbits were challenged with approximately 2000 live mites each on the convex surface of the left ear for 48 h maintained by a piece of gauze. Blood samples were taken prior to vaccination, every week during vaccination and challenge until week 4 post-challenge. Skin lesions were photographed weekly after challenge. Mange lesion areas and the body weights were measured during the vaccination trial every week. The lesions were graded as follows: score 0 if no area was infected; score 1 if <10% of the area was infected; score 2 if 10–25% of the area was infected; score 3 if 25–50% of the area was infected; score 4 if 50–100% of the area was infected.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)
Serum samples were collected from immunized and control rabbits for assessment of antibody responses. Specific IgG and total IgE levels against SsTm were determined by ELISA. Purified SsTm was used to coat 96-well ELISA plates (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) at 4 °C overnight in 50 μl of carbonate buffer (0.06 M, pH 9.6). The plates were washed with PBS containing 0.05 % Tween-20 (PBST) four times and then blocked with 100 μl of 1% BSA in PBS and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After the wells were washed again with PBST, 100 μl of sera (1:100) were added to the wells and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The wells were washed four times with PBST and incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000) at 37°C for 1 h. Following the final wash cycle, the wells were incubated with 50 μl TMB substrate (45 mM dibasic sodium phosphate, 0.22 mM citric acid, 0.42 mM 3, 3’, 5, 5’tetramethylbenzidine and 30% H2O2), and the reaction was stopped after 15 min by adding 50 μl per well of 0.5 M H2SO4. The optical density (OD) was measured at 460 nm using a microplate reader. Total IgE levels were measured using a rabbit IgE ELISA kit (Groundwork biotechnology Diagnosticate, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), Dunnett’s test and least significant difference (LSD) using SPSS version 13.0 for Windows. Differences with P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Amplification of cDNA encoding tropomyosin
A cDNA sequence was obtained with an open reading frame of 852 bp (GenBank: JF922117) encoding a 284 amino acid tropomyosin protein. By phylogenetic analysis, this tropomyosin sequence from scabies mites showed high homology to that of Dermatophagoides farinae (Der f 10) (83.90%), Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (Der p 10) (85.26%), Psoroptes ovis (85.03%) and other arthropods (e.g. Boophilus microplus, Haemaphysalis longicornis, Lepidoglyphus destructor) (72%-82%). Other tropomyosin sequences in the tree from nematodes, trematodes, fish and ticks all formed separate and distinct clades (Figure 1). The protein was predicted to have a molecular weight of 32.90 kDa, a pl = 4.43, as well as strong hydrophobicity and antigenicity. No signal peptides or transmembrane domains were evident in the
protein, indicating that the peptide chain is not anchored to a cellular membrane. An alignment of SsTm with other tropomyosin proteins is shown in Figure 2. The amino acid sequence of SsTm is rich in Glu (20.4%), Ala (12.0%) and Lys (9.9%) and highly homologous to Der f 10, Der p 10 and P. ovis tropomyosin with identities of 98.94%, 97.89% and 98.59%, respectively. Prediction of cross-reactivity

A FASTA alignment was used to identify whether the SsTm protein is potentially cross-reactive based on the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO)/World Health Organization (WHO) allergenicity rules. The results showed that SsTm is related to 4 allergenic proteins from 4 species of mites (Table 1). The most homologous protein in the Structural Database of Allergenic Proteins (SDAP) to SmTm was found to be Tyr p 10 (Tyrophagus putrescentiae) from a storage mite (E < 0.01).

Expression of recombinant SsTm and Western blot analysis

SDS-PAGE analysis showed that the molecular weight of the expressed protein was approximately 50 kDa, consisting of the predicted 32.9 kDa SsTm protein and an additional peptide expressed from the pET-32a (+) vector (20 kDa). The recombinant SsTm was confirmed to be a soluble protein. Furthermore, the results showed that the maximum expression of recombinant SsTm was obtained at 37°C at 4 h after induction with 0.4 mol/L IPTG (data not shown). The recombinant SsTm was detected in Western blots by serum raised in rabbits against S. scabiei (Figure 3), while no reaction was observed when using the negative control serum (data not shown).

Immunolocalization

The staining was evidently widespread in mites, particularly in the mouthparts and legs. The SsTm protein also appeared in the integument of epidermis and stomach muscle (Figure 4). No staining was detected in sections of S. scabiei developed with pre-immune serum (data not shown).

SsTm vaccination trial in rabbits

The protective effects of recombinant SsTm in rabbits against S. scabiei was identified by measuring the infested areas (Table 2). Forty-eight hours after challenge, inflammation in almost all of the infected auricles of the rabbits and a number of small papules were observed when the auricles were palpated. No differences were observed among the three groups in lesion levels. Over the course of infection, the papules were replaced by crusts in all rabbits, and the infested areas increased rapidly. At the end of the trial, margins of the infected auricles were covered by thick crusts in some rabbits. Although the mange lesions did not spread to limbs, many mites were detected in skin scrapings from rabbits in the three groups (data not shown). Interestingly, the lesion areas in vaccinated groups were apparently reduced compared with NS control groups at the end of the experiment. However, it was difficult to distinguish between the vaccinated group and controls by identifying the severity of lesions.

Serological responses to SsTm vaccination were evaluated by ELISA (Figures 5). Scabies-specific IgG antibodies increased after the first immunization in all the vaccinated animals (P < 0.001), which were significantly higher than the levels observed in QuilA groups and non-vaccinated groups (P < 0.001). The levels of scabies-specific IgG antibodies peaked (OD450 2.02) at the fifth week and stabilized at high levels after challenge in the vaccinated groups. In the QuilA and non-vaccinated groups, the OD450 values of specific IgG remained low throughout the experiment. Due to the lack of an effective secondary anti-rabbit IgE antibody, we chose to measure the levels of total IgE, which did not change obviously after the four immunizations compared with the levels of pre-immune serum in all animals. In the four weeks after challenge, IgE levels of the unvaccinated group and QuilA group increased slightly, but the OD450
value was still low (OD450 < 1.0). No statistically significant difference was observed between the SsTm group and saline group (P > 0.05). However, specific antibody titers decreased slightly at weeks 9 and 10 and may be associated with the reduced lesion areas in the vaccinated group.

**Discussion**
In this study, we described the molecular characterization of tropomyosin from Sarcoptes scabiei and evaluated its ability to induce protection against infection in rabbits. An EST study of S. scabiei has identified the presence of a sequence with high homology to the Mag44 sequence in dust mites [20]. Here, we successfully cloned and expressed SsTm encoded by the tropomyosin gene, and this protein revealed high homology to group 10 allergen of storage mites, group 10 allergen of house dust mites and *P. ovis* tropomyosin. Group 10 house dust mite allergen is conserved and cross-reactive with tropomyosin of species such as shellfish and other arthropods [26]. Furthermore, patients who are allergic to house dust mites show clinical symptoms when eating shellfish [27]. The prediction of cross-reactivity indicated a highly significant sequence match of SsTm with the tropomyosin amino acid sequences of other species. Genebank accession numbers: A. simplex, CAB93501; B. microplus, AAD17324; Der f 10, BAA04557; Der p 10, CAJ44440; L. destructor, CAB71342; P. ovis, CAJ38272; S. scabiei, JF922117.

![Figure 2](#)

*Comparison of SsTm with the tropomyosin amino acid sequences of other species.* Genebank accession numbers: A. simplex, CAB93501; B. microplus, AAD17324; Der f 10, BAA04557; Der p 10, CAJ44440; L. destructor, CAB71342; P. ovis, CAJ38272; S. scabiei, JF922117.

![Table 1](#)

**Table 1 Predicted cross-reactivity between SsTm and known allergens in SDAP**

| No | Allergen Accession | Species | Sequence Length | bit score | E score |
|----|-------------------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| 1  | Tyr p 10.00101    | ABQ96644| Storage mite   | 284       | 33.9    | 3.7e-03 |
| 2  | Der f 10.00101    | ABU79468| American house dust mite | 289 | 33.7    | 4.4e-03 |
| 3  | Der p 10.00101    | CA75141 | European house dust mite | 284 | 33.1    | 6.4e-03 |
| 4  | Blo t 10.00101    | ABU79466| mite           | 284       | 32.9    | 7.3e-03 |

*The bit score is equivalent to the bit score reported by BLAST. A 1-bit increase in score corresponds to a 2-fold reduction in expectation, and a 10-bit increase implies 1000-fold lower expectation. Sequences with E values < 0.01 are almost always homologous.*
with allergens of other mites. Thus, tropomyosin is not a specific allergen for Sarcoptic mites and may have high cross-reactivity with tropomysin of other mites and invertebrates [28].

In this study, SsTm was localized by immunohistochemistry in the mouthparts, muscle and legs of scabies mites, as similarly observed in P. ovis [19]. As an actin-binding protein, tropomyosin can stabilize actin fibers and plays an important role in development and activities of vertebrates [11]. Moreover, the mouthparts and legs of mites are important organs for feeding and burrowing. Hence, it is possible that the function of tropomyosin is related to the survival activities of S. scabiei.

Many studies have identified tropomyosin as a highly allergenic protein from parasites, such as nematodes [29], trematodes [30] and mites [31], and it induces Th2-IgE mediated responses in sheep infested with the sheep scab mite [18]. Furthermore, specific IgG and IgE antibody levels have been shown to be dramatically increased in rabbits and goats exhibiting signs of sarcoptic mange [31,32]. In addition, IgE is also an important antibody in the host defense against scabies mites [32].

Although the whole mite extracts have been used in studies of S. scabiei vaccination trials recently, abundant mites are difficult to acquire owing to the lack of an in vitro culture system [6]. Furthermore, tropomyosin has been demonstrated to effectively induce protective responses against other parasites such as the filarial nematode A. viteae as mentioned above. The troponin I-like protein of Haemaphysalis longicornis, which has been considered as a potential vaccine candidate antigen, may be identical to tropomyosin [33]. Hence, we chose the recombinant protein as a potential candidate antigen. In the previous studies, we stopped the experiment four weeks after infestation because scabies mange of

Table 2 Mean mange lesion scores* after challenge

| Group          | Prior to challenge | Week 1     | Week 2     | Week 3     | Week 4     |
|----------------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| unvaccinated group | 0                  | 2.08±0.39  | 2.36±0.16  | 2.7±0.10   | 3.06±0.10  |
| SsTm group      | 0                  | 1.96±0.34  | 2.67±0.32  | 2±0.26     | 2.74±0.19  |
| QuilA group     | 0                  | 2±0.13     | 2.88±0.24  | 3.5±0.56   | 3.68±0.10  |

*The lesions were graded as follows: score 0 if no area was infected; score 1 if <10% of the area was infected; score 2 if 10–25% of the area was infected; score 3 if 25–50% of the area was infected; score 4 if 50–100% of the area was infected.
rabbits may cause devastating effects of fitness in the short-term [34]. Furthermore, lesion areas could hardly be measured if mites transferred throughout the body of the rabbit such as limbs and head. In this study, immunization of rabbits with recombinant SsTm in the present study did not provide significant protection against \textit{S. scabiei} challenge, although a high level of specific IgG and a decrease in lesion areas were elicited. Findings of two previous vaccination studies [24,35] with insoluble and soluble mite protein fractions in goats infested with \textit{S. scabiei} var. ovis revealed that the responses did not have any protective value. We obtained a similar result to the latter study in which vaccination with the soluble protein fraction induced high levels of scabies-specific IgG but failed to induce a significant level of specific IgE. Vaccination with soluble recombinant SsTm in this current study likewise did not generate evident levels of IgE in rabbits. Conversely, goats that received repeated mite challenge have been shown to develop strong IgG and IgE antibody responses [23]. Another report showed that extremely high total IgE and IgG levels were induced in the serum of crusted scabies patients [36]. In the current study, a significant rise in specific IgG antibody was detected in vaccinated animals compared to the control animals, but the total IgE antibody level was not obviously elevated within four weeks after the challenge. Thus, low IgE levels may either indicate that SsTm truly had little effect or that the

**Figure 5** Specific IgG(A) and IgE(B) antibody levels in sera of rabbits immunized with \textit{S. scabiei} tropomyosin detected by ELISA. Rabbits were vaccinated four times with SsTm, QuilA and saline and challenged with approximately 2000 mites. Results are shown as means (± S.D.). OD values were determined as absorbance at 450 nm. V1, first vaccination; V2, second vaccination; V3, third vaccination; V4, fourth vaccination. *P<0.01, compared with NS control group.
infection time period was insufficient for the influence of this protein to be observed.
IgG responses against whole S. scabiei antigen extracts have been using to diagnose sarcoptic mange in pigs [37] and also to evaluate protective effects via serum IgG and IgE responses in a vaccination trial against S. scabiei. In another previous study, it was suggested that the mite densities after challenge in animals vaccinated with fresh mite extracts were considerably lower than those in control animals, although skin lesions were still obvious in all goats [38]. Hence, our results indicate that antibodies induced by SsTm may not be the effective response needed for protection. Another similar vaccination study of Ssa1g and Ssa2g in rabbits showed no protective effect, although the immunized rabbits did not exhibit the typical crust characteristics [39]. Nevertheless, differences in lesion characteristics were not obvious between the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups in our study. It seems that the allergenicity of SsTm was too low to induce adequate protective immunity or perhaps subtle structural changes of recombinant SsTm led to a loss of allergenicity and ability to generate a protective immune response. Due to the lack of immunization studies against S. scabiei with recombinant mite proteins, it is unclear why tropomyosin has been identified as an allergenic protein but not when isolated from mites.

The immune response mechanism of scabies mites remains unclear despite the attention this field has received by many researchers. Cell-mediated immunity has been shown to be principally involved but antibodies are also relevant immune responses induced by immunization against scabies mites [40].Unlike other ectoparasites, which live on the skin surface of the host, scabies mites thrive in the epidermis of the host and feed on epidermal proteins and host plasma. It is possible that the low level of protective immunoglobulin is ingested into the gut or that tropomyosin is not the target of protective immunity in S. scabiei. Multiple studies on the specific mechanisms of immune evasion in scabies mites have shown a multigene family of inactivated scabies mite serine proteases (SMIPPs) to be responsible for the adaptation to parasitism in the epidermal burrows, and these proteins may also have an influence on protective efficacy [41], [42].

Conclusions
In summary, we have characterized an allergenic protein SsTm from S. scabiei by demonstrating its localization in muscle tissues, mouthparts and legs, and implicated its potentially significant functional role in S. scabiei. Although, no significant protective effect was detected against mite challenge in rabbits vaccinated with recombinant SsTm, multiple vaccination studies have suggested that tropomyosin should be evaluated for its vaccine potential. This study established a foundation for further research on recombinant vaccines in scabies mite. Clearly, future studies should focus on development of more effective methods to enhance the protective efficacy of recombinant vaccines against sarcoptic mange.
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