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Abstract: Anencephaly of one twin is a frequent congenital abnormality in a twin pregnancy and it’s associated with a poor prognosis of this pregnancy. In developed countries, the goal standard management calls for an interventional fetal medicine either by injection of a cardiotoxic or by intervention on the umbilical cord. Another option is an expectative management with strict follow-up of pregnant women and delivery organized in a level 3 maternity. There is still a challenge in the management of such high risk pregnancy in low income countries. A 37 years-old female, G3P2002, referred from a clinic for the better management of a 28 weeks and 4 days twin pregnancy in labor. Obstetrical ultrasonography realized in the second trimester revealed dichorionic-diamniotic pregnancy and the presence of anencephaly with hydramnios of one twin. The expectative approach was adopted for the management of this high risk pregnancy, unfortunately the death of the fetus occurred despite all the care provided. This case brings to lamp light the difficulties encountered in providing standard obstetrical care for high risk pregnancies in resource limited settings.
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1. Introduction

A multiple pregnancy is a medical condition usually associated with malformations. The risk is about 1.6 to 2 times comparing to a normal intra-uterine singleton pregnancy [1]. The malformation mainly involves one of the twins giving the possibility of saving the second fetus [1]. Anencephaly is the most frequent malformation seen in twin pregnancies besides cardiac and renal defects; frequently encountered malformations in twin gestations [2]. It can affect the prognosis of the pregnancy and necessitate a higher technical platform and an expert in fetal medicine. There are two classical options for its management: expectative management and selective exclusion of malformed fetus [3]. Many studies reveal the superiority in terms of duration of pregnancy and fetal prognosis of the normal twin when the selective exclusion of the anencephalic twin is employed [3]. The marked improvement in fetal medicine in developed countries has contributed to increase in the prognosis of such pregnancies, compared to less-developed countries where case series reveal a lower prognosis [4]. This case exposes the challenges in the management of such pregnancies in low income countries.
2. Case Presentation

A 37-years-old patient, G3P2002, referred from a clinic for the better management of 28 weeks and 4 days of gestation twin pregnancy in labor. The first prenatal consultation took place at 14 weeks, without folic acid prophylaxis. Three consecutive obstetrical ultrasounds were performed at 16, 22, and 26 weeks of pregnancy, had revealed and confirmed a dichorionic-biamniotic pregnancy and the presence of anencephaly with hydramnios of one twin. But the other twin didn’t have any abnormalities in all obstetrical ultrasounds carried out. The Expectative management method was adopted because of limited resources with monthly follow-up of the pregnancy. At 26 weeks the patient presented with a history of uterine contractions-labour type in character, nature, intensity and frequency, and after clinical examination, a diagnosis of active phase of premature labor was made and delivery occurred 3 hours later. The anencephalic twin was dead at birth while the APGAR of the second was 4/5 in the first and five minutes post birth. These twins had presented with no visible malformations, but died 2 hours later in a context of acute respiratory distress.

![Anenceplic twin](image1)

**Figure 1.** Second trimester obstetrical echography showing the anencephalic twin with the absence of skull and only presence of lower part of face.

![Normal twin](image2)

**Figure 2.** Echography of the normal twin at 22 weeks without cerebral abnormalities.
3. Discussion

Anencephaly is a clinical form of neural tube defect. One of the risk factors is the folic acid deficiency that was presumed in our patient who didn’t take the prophylaxis. Other risk factors were low socio economic level, absence of prenatal contact and in some studies fenugreek consumption in pregnancy [5]. This abnormality is one of the most frequent malformations in twin pregnancy like cardiac and renal defects [2]. Amadaou A et al found that the clinical frequency of Anencephaly was 5.5% in all twin pregnancy [4].

An anencephaly account in 50% of case is associated with hydramnios which may lead to high frequency of late abortions and premature labor [5], [6]. This hydramnios with is explained by difficulty of swallowing amniotic fluid by the fetus is more frequently found in bichorionic pregnancy than monochorionic [7]. Others complications that occurred in monochorionic pregnancy with the increased risk of intra-uterine death or severe brain damage due probably to exsanguination after the death of the anencephalic twin [8].

There are two therapeutic options for anencephaly: the expectative option or selective exclusion of malformed fetus [3]. The diagnosis must be earlier through the first trimester echography to permit the choice of one between the two methods with the consent of parents. The first strategy was the only option in our country because of non-developed fetal medicine. This option has a high risk of hydramnios, a frequency of 50%, most mark when the pregnancy is dichorionic like found in our patient. The expectative approach exposes therefore to hydramnios and needs iterative amniotic punctures with all infectious, serologic and bleeding risks [3].

The second treatment option is the selective feticide. This procedure consists in the interruption of one fetus within a multiple pregnancy after the first trimester [9]. It can be made by different technical methods depending on the chorionicity. In dichorionic pregnancy, because of absence of vascular anastomosis, we can use a drug that causes cardiac arrest without affecting the other twin [9]. These drugs can be potassium chlorid or lidocaine which are administrated directly in heart or in cord [9]. In Monochorionic pregnancy, we can coagulate, compress or stick of the cord [9]. It was proven that selective feticide reduce the neonatal mobi-mortality of the non malformed fetus and reduces the risk of psychologic trauma of the parents [3]. The complications of these procedures are mainly abortion which represents 3-16% of pregnancies [10]. This depends on the technique, the term and experience of the practitioner [10]. For our patient like in most similar cases reported in literature, the expectative technique has a poor outcome than selective feticide [4]. Other studies that compare the two attitude show that expectancy management are associated to the low gestational age at birth and with low birth weight than active management of the pregnancy [3]. This study reveals that the mid duration of the pregnancy was 38 weeks in feticide group and 34.9 weeks in expectancy management in dichorionic pregnancy. Recent studies showed that there was no difference in the both treatment in term of fetal mortality, but the lower risk of premature child in the feticide group [11]. In fact, we keep in mind that in these studies, the expectancy group has benefited of amniodrainage in case of hydramnios, which may explain the non inferiority of this technical approach. But in our context we have lack of scientific experience and material to apply this technic in several hospitals. Amadaou et al in a cases series found that on 6 cases of anencephaly managed by
expectative approach, the intra-uterin death of both twin dead occurs in 4 cases [4]. This result is due to the fact that any action like amniodrainage was not did, this reflect the reality of most hospital in low income countries.

4. Conclusion

Anencephaly of one twin is one the most frequent malformation in multiple pregnancies. The major risk factor identify in our patient was the absence of folic acid supplementation. It is associated with less good outcome notably in lows income countries where fetal medicine is not developed.
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