Integrated pharmacokinetic–Pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) model to evaluate the in vivo antimicrobial activity of Marbofloxacin against *Pasteurella multocida* in piglets
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Marbofloxacin is a veterinary fluoroquinolone with high activity against *Pasteurella multocida*. We evaluated its in vivo activity against *P. multocida* based on in vivo time-kill data in swine using a tissue-cage model. A series of dosages ranging from 0.15 to 2.5 mg/kg were administered intramuscularly after challenge with *P. multocida* type B, serotype 2.

**Results:** The ratio of the 24 h area under the concentration-time curve divided by the minimum inhibitory concentration (AUC₂₄/TF/C/MIC) was the best PK/PD index correlated with the in vivo antibacterial effectiveness of marbofloxacin (R² = 0.9279). The AUC₂₄/TF/C/MIC necessary to achieve a 1-log₁₀ CFU/ml reduction and a 3-log₁₀ CFU/ml (90% of the maximum response) reduction as calculated by an inhibitory sigmoid E_max model were 13.48 h and 57.70 h, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Marbofloxacin is adequate for the treatment of swine infected with *P. multocida*. The tissue-cage model played a significant role in achieving these PK/PD results.
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**Background**

The increase in drug-resistance coupled with the lack of new and effective antimicrobials indicates that modified drug-dosage regimens may be useful clinical alternatives. In the clinic, pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) outcomes are interrelated [1]. PK/PD modeling in veterinary medicine can be used to define the relationship between the effects of different drug concentrations and therapeutic outcomes. In short, PK/PD modeling provides a chance for dosage optimization [2]. We used the tissue cage (TC) infection model to evaluate PK and PD profiles in interstitial fluids in the presence of an active immune response [3–5].

*P. multocida* is a widespread pathogen that inhabits mucosal surfaces and upper respiratory tracts of clinically healthy animals [6]. It is the causative agent of fowl cholera in poultry, atrophic rhinitis in swine and hemorrhagic septicemia in buffalo and cattle [7–10]. It also plays a major role in pneumonia in swine and ruminants as an opportunistic pathogen [11].

Marbofloxacin is a synthetic third-generation fluoroquinolone that is used solely in veterinary medicine [12, 13]. It is active against Gram-negative and some Gram-positive bacteria as well as mycoplasmas and other intracellular pathogens [14, 15]. It is widely used against most of the swine respiratory pathogens including *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae*, *Haemophilus parasuis* and *P. multocida* [16–19].
Marbofloxacin acts as a bactericidal concentration-dependent antibiotic similar to other fluoroquinolones. The AUC$_{24}$/MIC or C$_{\text{max}}$/MIC (peak concentration divided by the MIC) are the PK/PD surrogates that most closely reflect clinical outcomes. [20, 21]. We used an integrated PK/PD model to evaluate the in vivo antimicrobial activity of marbofloxacin against <i>P. multocida</i> in swine. This approach gives an approximation of the most effective treatment for achieving a bacteriological cure and minimizing the emergence of bacterial resistance.

**Methods**

**Animals and tissue-cages**
Twenty clinically healthy castrated crossbred piglets (~1-month Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire), purchased from Guangdong pig breeding farm, with body weights of 14 to 18 kg were included in the study. These animals were housed in individual pens for a week prior to experiments with a controlled temperature of 26 °C. The animals were fed antimicrobial-free feed twice a day with water available ad libitum. Tissue-cages were fabricated according to a previously published method [5]. Two tissue-cages were implanted subcutaneously in the neck of each piglet after sedation and local anesthesia. About 3–4 weeks later and after wound healing, 0.5 mL tissue-cage fluid (TCF) was sampled by percutaneous puncture. TCF samples were cultured for aerobic and anaerobic contaminants to ensure sterility before commencing the experiments.

**Bacterial strain and inoculant preparation**
<i>P. multocida</i> strain CVCC434 (type B, serotype 2) was purchased from the China Veterinary Culture Collection Centre (Beijing, China). The original bacterial culture was isolated from a piglet that died of plague in Jiang Su, China. <i>P. multocida</i> was grown on tryptic soy agar (Guangdong Huaikai Microbial, Guangzhou, China) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (BTSA). Bacteria were cultured in Mueller–Hinton broth (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA), with shaking at 37 °C and grown to $10^7$ CFU/mL. The cells were then concentrated by centrifugation at 3000×g for 10 min and suspended in sterile 0.9% NaCl to $10^8$ CFU/mL.

**Drugs**
Marbofloxacin was obtained as a 10% injectable aqueous solution from Yuan Zhen (Hebei, China). Marbofloxacin standard was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (GmbH) and ofloxacin was acquired from the National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). Pentobarbital sodium was from Jian Yang Biotechnology and procainamide hydrochloride was purchased from Xin Zheng (Tianjin).

**MIC determinations**
MIC values were determined by an agar dilution method as a preliminary screening according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) reference methods. In this study, we measured the MIC of marbofloxacin against the <i>P. multocida</i> in the tissue-cage fluid by a micro dilution assay in triplicate using the tissue-cage fluid as matrix.

**PK studies**
Tissue cage fluid (0.5 mL) was sampled 1 h after the injection of drug and thereafter at 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 30, 36, 48, 72 and 96 h. All the samples were centrifuged at 6000×g for 10 min. The supernatants were stored at −20 °C. The concentrations of marbofloxacin in tissue cage fluid were determined using a Waters 2695 series high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system with fluorescence detection [13, 22]. The chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent BDS C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm; internal diameter, 5 μm) at 28 °C with a thermostat column oven (Agilent 1200 series). All TCF samples were thawed at room temperature before analysis and 3 mL of trichloromethane was added to a 10 mL centrifuge tube with 200 μL tissue-cage fluids spiked with 10 μL ofloxacin internal standard, and vortexed for 1 min. The aqueous layer was discarded after centrifugation at 6000 g for 10 min and the organic layer was dried under a stream of nitrogen. The residue was suspended with 0.2 mL mobile phase and a 10 μL aliquot was taken and injected into HPLC for analysis after filtered through a 0.22 μm nylon syringe filter (JinTeng Experiment Equipment, Tianjing). The determination of marbofloxacin in the tissue cage fluid was linear within a range of 0.01–2 μg/mL and the correlation coefficient was >0.99. The lower limit of quantitation was 0.01 μg/mL. The recoveries of marbofloxacin in tissue-cage fluid were >85%. The standard deviations (SD) and the coefficients of variability (CV %) for both interday and intraday were <8% in TCF.

**Tissue-cage infection and in vivo kill curve of marbofloxacin**
Twenty piglets were divided into ten groups randomly, with 4 tissue-cages for each group. 24 h after 0.5 mL of <i>P. multocida</i> saline suspension (~2.0 × $10^8$ CFU/mL) were injected into the sterile tissue cage; nine groups were treated with a series of marbofloxacin intramuscular injections (10%) at 0.15, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.3, 1.6, 2.0, 2.5 mg/kg. The control group was given sterile physiological saline only. TCF samples were aspirated from the tissue-cages by percutaneous puncture at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 24 h after dosing. 100 μL of tissue cage fluid was serially diluted 10-fold in saline within 1 h of sampling for CFU determinations. From each dilution, 20 μL was
spread on BTSA plated in triplicate and incubated for 16 h at 37 °C. The limit of detection was 500 CFU/mL.

**Protein binding**

To determine the protein binding of marbofloxacin in TCF, marbofloxacin at 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 2 mg/L was spiked into pooled uninfected TCF in triplicate. An Amicon Centrifree Micropartition device with a 10 KDa cutoff (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) was used to remove the protein-bound drug as previously described [23, 24]. The marbofloxacin concentration before and after ultrafiltration and centrifugation was determined as described in the PK studies. The percent of drug bound to protein was calculated as the following equation: Bound % = (1 - Cu/Ci) × 100%, where Cu is the filtrate concentration and Ci is the initial concentration.

**Fig. 1** In vivo time killing curve of marbofloxacin against *P. multocida* in TCF. Kb-1 means the group treated by saline. Mean log_{10}CFU/mL values only (n = 4 experimentations). (Excel, Microsoft Office)

**Fig. 2** Pharmacokinetic profiles of marbofloxacin in TCF. The tissue-cages were infected with *P. multocida* as described above, 24 h later administered with single intramuscularly doses of 0.15, 0.3, 0.5, 0.81, 1, 1.3, 1.6, 2.0 and 2.5 mg/kg marbofloxacin as BW. All TCF were sampled by puncture at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 30, 36, 48, 72 and 96 h after dosing. Marbofloxacin concentrations were determined using a HPLC method with fluorescence detection. But a small number of samples sampled on 96 h were undetected. Each symbol represents the mean ± standard deviation of the levels in the four tissue-cages. (Excel, Microsoft Office)
Pharmacokinetic-Pharmacodynamic analysis

The MIC value was included in the calculation of the PK/PD index. According to standard methodology, an inhibitory sigmoid E\textsubscript{max} model was used to assess the PK/PD index with the highest predictive value to the different indices for each dosing regimen (Eq. 1). The R\textsuperscript{2} value was computed for the correlation between antimicrobial effectiveness and each of the PK/PD parameters.

\[
E = E_{\text{max}} - (E_{\text{max}} - E_0) \times C_e^{N_e} / \left( E_{\text{C50}}^{N_e} + C_e^{N_e} \right)
\]

\( E \) is the antibacterial effect; \( E_0 \) represents maximum drug effect 24 h after i.m. administration. \( E_{\text{max}} \) is the change of bacterial load (Log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL) in the infected tissue-cage with no drug present. \( C_e \) is the PK/PD index magnitude. \( E_{\text{C50}} \) is the magnitude required for achieve 50% of \( E_{\text{max}} \) and \( N \) is the Hill coefficient that describes the sigmoid shape. PK and PD indices were calculated using a non-compartment model in WinNonlin 6.2 software (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Results

MIC of marbofloxacin for \( P. \) \textit{multocida}

Tissue cage fluid was sterile as assessed by aerobic and anaerobic culture. We then determined that the MIC of marbofloxacin for \( P. \) \textit{multocida} in tissue cage fluid was 0.12 \( \mu \)g/mL ex vivo. This did not change after exposure to marbofloxacin for 24 h and this number was used for PK/PD calculations.

TC infection and in vivo kill curve of marbofloxacin

We determined the in vivo antibacterial activity of marbofloxacin against \( P. \) \textit{multocida} CVCC434. \( P. \) \textit{multocida} inoculated into tissue cages in the absence of antibiotic was 8.79 ± 0.22 log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL averaged over all tissue cages. When marbofloxacin was administered intramuscularly, the bacterial counts for marbofloxacin-treated groups decreased by 0.72 to 3.85log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL. By this time, the control saline-treated cages had increased to 9.17log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL. Marbofloxacin exerted bactericidal activity when the dosage via intramuscular injection exceeded 1.3 mg/kg (Fig. 1).

PK study

The concentration-time profile of marbofloxacin in the infected cages were calculated as AUC\textsubscript{24h} and \( C_{\text{max}} \) of

| Doses (mg/kg) | AUC\textsubscript{24h} (h\( \mu \)g/mL) (X\textsuperscript{a} ± SD) | \( C_{\text{max}} \) (\( \mu \)g/mL) (X\textsuperscript{a} ± SD) |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.15         | 0.72 ± 0.09                     | 0.04 ± 0.01                     |
| 0.3          | 2.04 ± 0.23                     | 0.11 ± 0.01                     |
| 0.5          | 2.70 ± 0.20                     | 0.14 ± 0.01                     |
| 0.8          | 4.65 ± 0.68                     | 0.27 ± 0.07                     |
| 1.0          | 5.25 ± 0.40                     | 0.31 ± 0.07                     |
| 1.3          | 7.89 ± 1.49                     | 0.51 ± 0.06                     |
| 1.6          | 8.53 ± 0.70                     | 0.53 ± 0.06                     |
| 2.0          | 9.70 ± 0.82                     | 0.54 ± 0.12                     |
| 2.5          | 12.03 ± 0.56                    | 0.74 ± 0.10                     |

\( X^a \) is the mean value.
marbofloxacin in the tissue cage fluid following multiple dosages obtained from the measured marbofloxacin concentration data from each cage (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The mean values of AUC 24 for marbofloxacin increased with dose escalation in the tissue cage fluid. A significant correlation ($R^2 = 0.9812$) was observed between AUC 24 TCF and dosages (Fig. 3).

**PB**
The protein binding of marbofloxacin in tissue cage fluid was 47.89 ± 1.86% and 52.90 ± 3.30% in serum within the range of 0.05 ~ 2 mg/L for marbofloxacin (Table 2).

**Discussion**
Respiratory diseases greatly affect the production and health of swine and result in high economic losses [25]. In Europe, *P. multocida* is one of the most common bacterial species among porcine respiratory diseases and leads to atrophic rhinitis in young pigs [26]. Marbofloxacin shows excellent effectiveness for treating *P. multocida* infection through in vitro tests [16]. The protein binding of marbofloxacin was low in previous studies and was 52% for serum, 40% for tissue cage fluid in cattle and 49.4% for pig serum [3, 16]. Only free drug in the interstitial fluid is microbiologically active against extracellular bacteria [3, 27].

In the present study, the protein binding of marbofloxacin in pig serum and TCF were 52.90% and 47.89% respectively and these values were independent of concentration in the range of 0.05 ~ 2 μg/mL. The AUC$_{24}$TCF of marbofloxacin following single intramuscular administration (2.5 mg/kg) was estimated to be 12.03 h·μg/mL, which was lower than that in plasma (25.8 h·μg/mL) [28]. The C$_{\text{max}}$ for tissue cage fluid was lower than previously reported in plasma (25.7 μg/mL) and tissue cage fluid (1.57 μg/mL) in pigs [28, 29].

The PK/PD model integrating the PK parameter, the in vitro MIC and PD outcome are all valuable in designing rational dosage regimens and in the measurement of susceptibility breakpoints [20, 30]. Our data indicated that AUC$_{24}$TCF/MIC was the best parameter that correlated with the in vivo antibacterial effects of marbofloxacin against *P. multocida*. This agrees with previous studies of marbofloxacin activity against *P. multocida* [3, 31]. We found an AUC$_{24}$TCF/MIC value of 100.25 h (2.5 mg/kg) that was lower than the reported value in plasma in piglets (264.10 h) [28].

**Table 2** Protein binding of marbofloxacin in serum and tissue cage fluid

| Spiked concentration (μg/mL) | Protein binding (X ± SD) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                             | Serum | TCF         |
| 0.05                        | 52.17 ± 3.36 | 45.71±1.30 |
| 0.1                         | 53.84 ± 2.13 | 48.68±1.39 |
| 0.5                         | 48.92 ± 2.68 | 46.99±0.73 |
| 1                           | 55.58 ± 0.35 | 48.20±1.66 |
| 2                           | 53.98 ± 1.42 | 49.90±0.40 |
| total                       | 52.90 ± 3.30 | 47.89±1.86 |

**Fig. 4** Relationships between PK/PD parameters (AUC$_{\text{24}}$/MIC, C$_{\text{max}}$/MIC, T > MIC %) and antibacterial effect (E) after 24 h of therapy. $R^2$ is the correlation coefficient. (Winnonlin software)
Table 3 PK/PD analysis of marbofloxacin in TCF infection model

| Parameter                        | Value     |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| $E_{\text{max}} \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ | 0.05      |
| $E_{1/2} \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$   | –4.20     |
| AUC$_{24}$/MIC for 1 log reduction(h) | 13.48     |
| AUC$_{24}$/MIC EC$_{50}$ (h)       | 29.78     |
| AUC$_{24}$/MIC for bactericidal action(h) | 57.70     |
| Slope (N)                        | 1.41      |

The AUC$_{24h}$/TCF/MIC ratios that produced a 1-log reduction, and 50% and 90% of the maximum effect were 13.48 h, 29.78 h, and 57.70 h, respectively. The AUC$_{24}$/TCF/MIC ratio for bactericidal effects in this study was different from previous study values of 31.29, 278.08, and 50.65 h for 3-log reductions [3, 22, 31]. These differences may be accounted for by examining the ex vivo PK/PD model. This model holds the antibiotic concentration constant but this concentration would vary with time in the animal infection model [32]. In addition, the ex vivo and neutropenic murine lung infection models do not take the host immune responses into consideration. Finally, the magnitude of PK/PD indices were influenced by the bacterial load and this may influence characteristics of the PK parameters. A higher antimicrobial concentration would be required for higher pathogen loads [31, 33].

An AUC$_{24}$/MIC ratio exceeding a value of 125 h was reported as useful for the treatment of infections caused by Gram-negative organisms while reducing the risk of resistance induction [34–36]. In combination with the MIC$_{90}$ (0.06 μg/mL) from two previous studies, and the PK characteristics in this study, we can infer that a dosage regimen of marbofloxacin 2.0 mg/kg (AUC$_{24}$/TCF/MIC, 161.67 h > 125 h) was effective for the treatment of P. multocida infections [37, 38]. However, considering that we found an AUC$_{24}$/TCF/MIC ratio of 57.70 h that produced a 3-log reduction in this investigation, a marbofloxacin dose of 1.34 mg/kg every 24 h is recommended for treatment of P. multocida infections with MIC values lower than 0.12 μg/mL. The dosage 1.34 mg/kg was calculated by the equation $AUC_{24} = 4.76 \cdot \text{Dose} + 0.5776$ (Fig. 3). However, we did not assess the diffusion of marbofloxacin in lungs and the immune responses in lungs may be different from that in tissue cage fluid.

Conclusions

The present study characterized the in vivo effectiveness of marbofloxacin against P. multocida in a tissue cage model in pigs. The AUC$_{24}$/TCF/MIC proved to be the PK/PD index that predicted the antimicrobial activity of marbofloxacin against P. multocida. Marbofloxacin presented excellent antibacterial activity with an AUC24TCF/MIC ratio of 57.70 h for a 3-log reduction in bacteria. Although this study needs to be validated by clinical treatment under practical conditions, the results indicated that it may be a critical step closer to clinical trials for optimization of dosage regimens.
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