Open quantum rotors: Connecting correlations and physical currents
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We consider a finite one-dimensional chain of quantum rotors interacting with a set of thermal baths at different temperatures. When the interaction between the rotors is made chiral, such a system behaves as an autonomous thermal motor, converting heat currents into nonvanishing rotational ones. To study these effects, we consider a finite-size one-dimensional chiral clock model (CCM) consisting of interacting quantum rotors. In the thermodynamic limit of infinitely many constituents, this model exhibits a feasible phase transition. A dimer of quantum rotors with chiral interaction has been shown to give rise to a rotational current, when connected to two baths at different temperatures, as a result of the lack of thermal equilibrium and owing to the broken rotational symmetry. Recent work has shown that collective phenomena such as synchronization and classical phase transitions can enhance the dynamic and thermodynamic performances in systems of interacting molecular motors [35–37], of interacting work-to-work transducers [38–40], in a 2D system of classical rotors driven out of equilibrium by a temperature gradient [41], or in an out-of-equilibrium Frenkel-Kontorova model undergoing a commensurate-incommensurate phase transition [24]. These are a fascinating phenomena arising from the collective behavior in a many-body system [42], which divide the phases of matter characterized by different properties depending on the external conditions. This phenomenon also applies to quantum systems, where quantum fluctuations—rather than thermal ones—can trigger quantum phase transitions (QPTs) [43]. At a quantum critical point, the ground state of the system develops singular behavior, typically accompanied by the closing of the energy gap [43] with the first excited state and diverging quantum correlations [44–46], among other features.

The study of autonomous thermal motors and refrigerators based on quantum many-body effects could thus potentially allow for the identification of possible performance enhancements stemming from collective quantum phenomena such as a QPT. In this paper, we investigate the thermodynamics of an autonomous system in proximity of a QPT. We consider a finite-size one-dimensional chiral clock model (CCM) consisting of interacting quantum rotors. In the thermodynamic limit of infinitely many constituents, this model exhibits a well-characterized QPT [47–50]. A dimer of quantum rotors with chiral interaction has been shown to give rise to a rotational current, when connected to two baths at different temperatures, as a result of the lack of thermal equilibrium and owing to the broken rotational symmetry [30]. In the multicomponent system considered here, we find that such a dynamical response is maximal for values of the Hamiltonian parameters that result in a QPT in the thermodynamic limit. Although the rotational current turns out to always be finite, such a phenomenon is reminiscent of the diverging response to a change in an external thermodynamic force in systems at equilibrium in proximity of a phase transition, a phenomenon whose onset we are able to witness despite the finiteness of the system that we address. Furthermore we elucidate the relation between quantum correlations and

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a growing, cross-disciplinary interest in the understanding of the way quantum features affect the laws of thermodynamics [1–5] and explore the limits to thermal machines operating at the nanoscale [6–12]. While, so far, the focus of such investigations has being primarily put on simple quantum systems involving only a few degrees of freedom, the assessment of the thermodynamic performance of quantum many-body systems as working media of potential quantum motors have recently started to receive attention [13–18].

Autonomous thermal motors are of particular interests for the thermodynamics of both classical [19–24] and quantum processes [25–31]. Such devices are able to convert thermal currents into motion, and thus possibly work. Their most salient feature is that they can operate without the intervention of an external agent that changes their Hamiltonian, making their design ideal for application purposes. Autonomous quantum refrigerators have a similar task, cooling down a reservoir at the expenses of heat currents [32–34].

Recent work has shown that collective phenomena such as synchronization and classical phase transitions can enhance the dynamic and thermodynamic performances in systems of interacting molecular motors [35–37], of interacting work-to-work transducers [38–40], in a 2D system of classical rotors driven out of equilibrium by a temperature gradient [41], or in an out-of-equilibrium Frenkel-Kontorova model undergoing a
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thermodynamic currents in the considered CCM. While the unveiled phenomenology does not imply necessarily a causal link between the emergence of mechanical currents and the onset of many-body criticality, the interplay between these effects is suggestive of a strong role played by collective phenomena on the performance of heat-to-mechanical current conversion in such autonomous device. We emphasize that in this paper we only study the rotational currents of the rotors and the heat currents exchanged with the baths. The problem of work extraction entails the conversion of rotational motion into linear motion, a problem of technological interest, but of difficult implementation in the microscopic realm.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the basics of the CCM. In Sec. III, we consider the interaction of the rotors with independent thermal baths with staggered temperatures. We characterize the nonequilibrium steady state (NESS) of the model by looking at the tunneling and thermal currents. In Sec. IV, we connect the particle currents at the steady state with the correlations established within the clock model. Finally, in Sec. V, we summarize the main findings reported in the article.

II. CHIRAL CLOCK MODEL: QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITION IN THE ISOLATED SYSTEM

Let us start by considering the $\mathbb{Z}_{N_s}$ CCM for $M$ quantum rotors [30,47–52], i.e., $M$ quantum systems with $N_s$ discrete energy levels. Each individual rotor can be seen as a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ rotor [30,47–52], i.e., a particle at the vertices of a regular polygon. We call $\{|j_k\rangle\}$ the orthogonal basis of the Hilbert space of the $k$th rotor, with $j_k = 0,\ldots,N_s - 1$ denoting the $N_s$ directions along which the angular momentum can point (or vertices of the polygon) and $|j_k + N_s\rangle = |j_k\rangle$. The CCM is then described by the Hamiltonian

$$
H_{ccm} = -f \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\sigma_k^x + \sigma_k^y) - (1 - f) \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\mu_k \mu_k^\dagger e^{i\phi_k} + \text{H.c.}),
$$

where $f$ is the control parameter that accounts for the relative weight between the free and interaction terms, and $\phi_k$ the so-called chiral phases. We assume periodic boundary conditions, so that $\mu_{M+1} = \mu_1$. Here the local operators $\mu_k$ and $\sigma_k$ for the $k$th rotor, are defined, in the vertex basis introduced above, as

$$
\mu_k = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \nu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \nu^2 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \nu^{N_s-1}
\end{bmatrix},
$$

and

$$
\sigma_k = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

with $\nu = e^{i\pi/N_s}$. The first term of the Hamiltonian encodes the dynamics of the individual rotors and gives rise to tunneling currents between their internal levels (cf. Fig. 1). For a particle at the vertices of a regular polygon, the tunneling currents can be visualised as describing the hopping of the single system between such vertices induced by the rotor internal Hamiltonian. The second term in the Hamiltonian encodes the interaction between nearest neighbors.

The model possesses a global $\mathbb{Z}_{N_s}$ symmetry and, classically, presents two phase transitions in 2D [53]. The interaction potential breaks a specific rotational symmetry when $\phi_k \neq q\pi/N_s$ ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$) in a dimer ($M = 2$) Refs. [30], while on a lattice model with periodic boundary conditions the same broken symmetry arises when a staggered phase is considered [41]. This is a necessary condition for the emergence of the rotational (particle) currents, as we will also see in the following (cf. Sec. III A). In this context, the order parameter of the model is the total magnetization $m = \sum_k (\mu_k + \mu_k^\dagger)/M$. From now on, we will focus on the minimal configuration allowing for nonzero currents, namely the case of $N_s = 3$. It should be noted that, our model is similar to the one investigated in Ref. [50] where the role of $\sigma$ and $\mu$ was interchanged. There, it was argued that the phase transition experimentally found in a one–dimensional chain of trapped alkali-metal atoms [54] belongs to the universality class of the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ chiral clock model considered here. In Refs. [49,50], the structure of the phase diagram of the CCM with $N_s = 3$ and homogeneous chiral phase $\phi_k = \psi$ was investigated in detail, showing that for small values of $\psi$ there is a direct transition from the ordered ($f \ll 1/2$) to a disordered phase ($f \gg 1/2$). For large chirality ($\psi > \pi/6$), the two phases are separated by an incommensurate phase. In Appendix A, we provide a brief summary of the symmetry-breaking QPT taking place in the ground state of $H_{ccm}$, while we refer to Refs. [49,50] for a thorough inspection of the model’s critical features.

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the CCM with periodic boundary conditions, interacting with thermal reservoirs with staggered temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$, and chiral nearest-neighbor interactions with phases $\phi_k$. In this illustration one of the individual rotors, or clocks, is depicted by a polygon whose vertices correspond to its internal levels $|j_k\rangle$ (for $N_s = 3$), which are coupled with an interaction strength $f$ [cf. Eq. (1)]. In the classical picture, a mechanical (or rotational) current corresponds to the steady state directed rotation of a particle hopping between the $N_s$ vertices of the polygon.
III. OPEN SYSTEM DYNAMICS: CORRELATIONS VS CURRENTS

We are interested in exploring the physics of the CCM when interacting with thermal baths. In particular, we consider the case in which each rotor is in contact with an independent thermal reservoir and partition our system in two sub-lattices consisting of even (e) and odd (o) rotors, respectively. The inverse temperature of the two sub-lattices is set to be $\beta_e$ and $\beta_o$, respectively, and we will assume $\beta_e \neq \beta_o$, in general, thus realizing a staggered-temperature configuration (cf. Fig. 1 for a schematic illustration). As it will be shown later on in this section, the temperature difference gives rise to thermally driven mechanical currents in the system that are sustained asymmetrically in time. The system thus evolves towards a nonequilibrium steady state (NESS), whose properties we now aim at characterizing.

We describe the open system dynamics via the local Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKLS) master equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H_{\text{ccm}}, \rho] + \sum_{k=1}^{M} D_k(\rho),$$

with local dissipators

$$D_k(\bullet) = \sum_{j,j'} W^{(k)}_{j,j'} \left[ L^{(k)}_{j,j'} \bullet L^{(k)}_{j,j'} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L^{(k)}_{j,j'} L^{(k)}_{j,j'} , \bullet \right\},$$

defined in terms of the jump operators $L^{(k)}_{j,j'} = |j\rangle \langle j'|$ with $|j\rangle = |j_1, \ldots, j_M\rangle$ with $j_k = 0, \ldots, N_e - 1$. Each of the local dissipators $L^{(k)}_{j,j'}$ induces an incoherent transition between states $|j\rangle$ and $|j'|$, weighted by the rate $W^{(k)}_{j,j'}$ where only the spin of the $k$th rotor is rotated, that is, $|j\rangle \equiv |j_1, \ldots, j_k, \ldots, j_M\rangle \rightarrow |j'| \equiv |j_1, \ldots, j_k \pm 1, \ldots, j_M\rangle$.

The transition rates $W_{j,j'}$ from $|j\rangle$ to $|j'|$ fulfill the local detailed balance

$$W^{(k)}_{j,j'} / W^{(k)}_{j',j} = e^{\beta_k(E_j - E_j')},$$

where $E_j = \langle j | H_{\text{ccm}} | j \rangle$. The energies $E_j$ entering the local detailed balance condition Eq. (5) are not eigenvalues of the total system Hamiltonian $H_{\text{ccm}}$ as a consequence of the choice of local basis $|j_k\rangle$ defining the jump operators, see, for instance, Refs. [46,55]. For the transition rates, we take $W_{j,j'} = \gamma_k(E_j - E_j')$ with

$$\gamma_k(\omega) = \frac{g[\omega]}{1 - e^{-\beta_k[\omega]}} \zeta(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(\omega) = \begin{cases} e^{\beta_k[\omega]} & \omega \leq 0, \\ 1 & \omega > 0, \end{cases}$$

so as to match the corresponding expression for a generic bosonic bath with global detailed balance [56], and where $g$ is a microscopic rate. Before proceeding further, a note is in order. As it is well known, local master equations can be problematic from a thermodynamic point of view [57,58]. However, it should be noted that this conclusion has recently challenged by a stream of works [34,59–61] pointing towards a reconciliation of local master equation and thermodynamics. In particular, it has been shown that the local master equation is not, in general, at odds with the second law of thermodynamics as far as the proper expression for the heat currents is considered. In the specific case under study, we can split the Hamiltonian in its diagonal and nondiagonal part in the $|j\rangle$ basis as $H_{\text{ccm}} = H_0 + H_{ND}$, which allows us to introduce the individual energy currents

$$\dot{Q}_{D,k} = \text{tr}(\rho \partial_k^* [H_{D}, ]), \quad Q_{ND,k} = \text{tr}(\rho \partial_k^* [H_{ND}]),$$

where $\partial_k$ is the dual of $D_k$. In the steady state $\dot{\rho} = 0$, and using the adjoint master equation of (3), one obtains the energy conservation condition, that reads

$$d_k(\rho_{\text{ccm}}) = 0 = \sum_k \dot{Q}_{D,k} + \dot{Q}_{ND,k}.$$
where \( p_j \) is the instantaneous probability of finding the particle at vertex \( j \), and \( W_{ij} \) is the transition rate from \( j' \) to \( j \). In Ref. [30], the quantum analog of this classical current was defined as the sum of the tunneling and thermal current operators, namely,

\[
J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in}}_{j \rightarrow j'} = i(x_j H_{\text{cm}} x_{j'} - x_{j'} H_{\text{cm}} x_j),
\]

\[
J_{\text{th}}^{\text{in}}_{j \rightarrow j'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j,j'} W_{j,j'}^{(k)} \{ \{ x_j, L_{j,j'}^{(k)} \} - \{ x_j', L_{j,j'}^{(k)} \} \},
\]

where \( x_j = |j\rangle \langle j| \) is the projector onto a generic state \( |j\rangle \), \( L_{j,j'}^{(k)} = |j\rangle \langle j'| \) is the jump operator for the \( k \)th rotor between states \( |j\rangle \) and \( |j'| \) which is weighted by the thermal rate of the \( k \)th bath, and the sum runs over all the possible transitions between pair of states of the system and over all the baths. Note that we consider jump operators classically, probability current (9) in the classical limit. Recall that the thermal current reduces to the classical probability current (9) in the classical limit. Thus refer to the body of the paper for further details, and thus the currents vanish. While other symmetry-breaking choices for the chiral phases are possible, the staggered setup makes the properties within each of the two sublattices homogeneous.

In addition, \( J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in}} \neq 0 \) if \( \varphi \neq q \pi / N_s \) and \( f = 0 \), 1, and \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{in}} = \sum_{k} J_{\text{th}}^{\text{in},k} = 0 \) when \( \Delta T = 0 \). In a similar fashion, the thermal current fulfills \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th}} = \sum_{k} J_{\text{th}}^{\text{in},k} = 0 \) for \( \varphi \neq q \pi / N_s \) and \( f = 1 \), while \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th}} = 0 \) for \( \Delta T = 0 \). Thus a nonvanishing temperature gradient \( \Delta T \neq 0 \) is necessary for a net motor effect with nonvanishing \( J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in}} \) and \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th}} \) to arise. Figure 2 shows the individual steady state currents as a function of \( \varphi \) and \( f \) for each of the individual rotors (at even or odd sites) in a CCM with \( M = 6, N_s = 3, \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 1.1, \) and \( g = 0.2 \) which appear to be a nontrivial behavior. The choice of staggered temperatures and chiral phases gives equal currents within each sublattice. A similar behavior is found for different parameter combinations. Inspection of Fig. 2 suggests that the maximum of \( |J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in}}| \) is reached at \( f \approx 0.45 \), which is very close to the value \( f \approx 0.46 \) at which a QPT occurs in the ground state of the CCM at thermodynamic limit [cf. Appendix A]. However, the thermal current \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th}} \) is maximized for a slightly smaller value of \( f \). Also, the insets in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) show that, in the classical limit \( f = 0 \), the tunneling current is vanishing in both sublattices, while the rotors exhibit the same nonzero thermal rotational frequency. Note that, in spite of the opposite sign of thermal and tunneling currents for each rotor, the net current is nonzero in general, as shown in Fig. 2(e) and (f), which show \( J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in}} + J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th}} \) against \( \varphi \) and \( f \), respectively. Although not explicitly shown, the mean square value of the thermal current has a maximum at \( f \approx 1/2 \) while the analogous quantity for the tunneling current gets the value of \( 2f^2/3 \) independently of \( \varphi \). This value suggests that all clock states are equally

![FIG. 2. Behavior of the NESS tunneling and thermal currents \( J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in},k} \) and \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th},k} \) for each rotor (at even or odd site) in a chain with \( M = 6 \) and \( N_s = 3 \). (a) and (b) [(c) and (d)] illustrate such currents as functions of \( f \) for \( \varphi = (\pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2) \) for \( \beta_1 = 1 \) and \( \beta_2 = 1.1 \) with \( g = 0.2 \). (a) and (b) have been obtained taking fixed staggered chiral phases \( \varphi_\theta = (-1)^f \pi/2 \). The insets show the behavior of currents in the region close to \( f = 0 \). Note that \( J_{\text{th}}^{\text{th},k} = 0 \), while \( J_{\text{un}}^{\text{in},k} \neq 0 \) for \( f = 0 \). (c) and (d) are for \( f = 1/2 \), and \( \varphi_\theta = (-1)^f \varphi \), Here, the currents display a \( 2\pi / N_s \) periodicity in \( \varphi \). (e) and (f) show the net current for each of the motors (even or odd) as a function of \( f \) and \( \varphi \), respectively. We refer to the body of the paper for further details, and to Fig. 3 for the behavior of the total currents.](image-url)
populated at the NESS. However, as shown in Sec. IV, such state is not a maximally mixed one as it brings about coherence and nontrivial correlations among the individual rotors.

Figure 3 illustrates the total currents \( (J^{\text{th}})_T \) for the same parameters as Fig. 2 for \( M = 4 \) and 6 rotors and \( \Delta T \neq 0 \). Note that for fixed \( M \) the total thermal current is larger than the tunneling one. Furthermore, for the two sizes here considered, \( (J^{\text{th}})_T \) is almost constant for increasing number of rotors, while \( (J^{\text{m}})_T \) decreases its value suggesting that for large \( M \) the total tunneling current will be negligible with respect to the thermal one. Hence, in the thermodynamic limit, one should expect \( (J^{\text{th}})_T + (J^{\text{m}})_T \approx (J^{\text{th}})_T \).

We now turn our attention to the steady state heat currents, as given by Eqs. (7). Here the heat currents are positive when flowing from the bath(s) to the system. The results, for two different sets of system parameters, are shown in Fig. 4. As previously done, we have chosen the even sublattice to be in contact with the hot bath. We observe that, for a small temperature gradient, the diagonal heat currents are both negative. This can be understood as follows. First, the first law—written in the form \( \sum_k (Q_{D,k} + Q_{ND,k}) = 0 \)—is valid. Second, we recall that the nondiagonal current \( Q_{ND} \) corresponds, within the framework of the collisional model, to the work done or produced when switching on and off the interaction of the system with the colliding particles making up the environment [34,61]. Thus the situation in Fig. 4 where \( Q_{ND,k} < 0 \) for all rotors is compensated by a large and positive \( \sum_k Q_{ND,k} \), corresponding to a net amount of work done on the system that is then dissipated in both the cold and hot baths. One can understand this result also noticing that, when \( f > 0 \) the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is not diagonal in the basis \( |j\rangle \). Thus Eq. (3) will introduce coherence in the steady state, resulting in a nonzero nondiagonal heat current, as given by the second line of Eq. (7).

For a larger temperature gradient, and one of the two temperatures relatively high, the heat currents exhibit a more classical behavior with a net diagonal current from the hot to the cold baths and a reduced nondiagonal heat current.

In the ground state of the system (1), the thermally driven current \( (J^{\text{th}})_k \) vanishes for any \( f \): at \( T = 0 \), there is no heat current to sustain the rotational motion. However, the tunneling current \( (J^{\text{m}})_k \) may in principle be nonvanishing in the ground state: Eq. (10) is indeed the discrete counterpart of the Schrödinger probability current, as discussed in Ref. [30]. We find nevertheless that also \( (J^{\text{m}})_k \) vanishes in the ground state of (1) for any \( f \). In Appendix B, we consider the rotated model of (1) with \( \sigma \rightarrow \mu \) and \( \mu \rightarrow \sigma \), and interestingly find that the \( (J^{\text{m}})_k \) shown a critical-like behavior in the ground state, being nonzero for \( f \lesssim f_c \).

IV. CONNECTING CURRENTS TO COLLECTIVE INFORMATION THEORETIC QUANTITIES

The connection between the location, in parameter space, of the quantum critical point of the CCM and that of the optimal particle currents is suggestive of a potential role of collective quantum phenomena in the establishment of the nonequilibrium features of the system. In this section, we explore such suggestion further by making use of a toolbox of information theoretic figures of merit that have been used, in the past, to explore the interplay between quantum critical phenomena and nonclassicality [46,63,64]. In doing so, we unveil the intrinsically collective nature of the features that have been highlighted in our analysis so far.

Quantitatively, we will consider the von Neumann entropy of a subsystem \( A \) of a compound \( A \cup B \), which is defined as

\[
S_A = -\text{Tr}[\rho_A \ln \rho_A],
\]

FIG. 3. Total NESS currents \( (J^{\text{m}})_T \) and \( (J^{\text{th}})_T \) for \( M = 4 \) and \( M = 6 \) rotors and \( \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 1.1 \) with \( g = 0.2 \). (a) and (b) are for \( \phi_0 = (-1)^j \pi / 2 \), while (c) and (d) are for \( f = 1/2 \).
where \( \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B[\rho] \) denotes the partial trace over \( B \). Another relevant measure is the negativity \( N_A \) [65], which is able to quantify entanglement and is given by

\[
N_A = \sum_{\lambda_n < 0} |\lambda_n|, \tag{13}
\]

where \( \rho^T = \sum_{n} \lambda_n |n\rangle \langle n| \) is the spectral decomposition of the partially transposed state with respect to subsystem \( A \). The total amount of correlations (classical and quantum) shared between the bipartitions \( A \) and \( B \) can be quantified using the mutual information

\[
I(A : B) = S_A + S_B - S_{A|B}, \tag{14}
\]

where \( S_{A|B} \) is the von Neumann entropy of the state of the whole compound. In addition, we shall compute the coherence of the system state using the \( L_1 \) norm [66]

\[
C(\rho) = \sum_{i \neq j} |\rho_{i,j}|, \tag{15}
\]

where \( \rho_{i,j} \) are the density matrix entries in the clock-state basis. Finally, we will use the quantifier of multipartite quantum correlations provided by the so-called global quantum discord [46,63]

\[
G(\rho) = \min_{\Pi'} \left\{ S(\rho||\Pi(\rho)) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} S(\rho_i||\Pi_i(\rho_i)) \right\}, \tag{16}
\]

where \( \rho_i \) denotes the reduced state of the \( i \)-th rotor, \( \Pi(\rho) = \sum_{j} \Pi_j \rho \Pi^j \) is a projector operator acting on the global state, and \( \Pi_i(\rho_i) \) the corresponding projector acting on the single-rotor states. Following Ref. [63], we choose \( \Pi_i = |j\rangle\langle j| \Pi_i^\rho \) with \( \Pi_i = \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} R_i(\theta_i) \) a collection of single-particle rotation operators, while the operator acting on the \( i \)-th rotor reads

\[
R_i(\theta_i) = e^{i\theta_i A_i}, \tag{17}
\]

where \( \theta_i = (\theta_{i,1}, \theta_{i,2}, \ldots, \theta_{i,n}) \) is a vector of \( n_a \) angles, and \( A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) \) is a vector of generators of rotations for the single rotor. We have considered the \( n_a = 8 \) Gell-Mann \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices as generators of rotations. The minimum in Eq. (16) is obtained by varying the set of angles \( \{\theta_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, M \), through an annealing procedure.

These instruments are all very informative of the quantum critical features of the ground-state QPT [44,45] in the CCM (cf. Appendix A). Here however we are mainly interested in the NESS properties. In such an open quantum system, critical features become blurred or disappear altogether. This might lead one to naively think that no connection could be established. Yet, the interplay between temperature gradient between sub-lattices, currents, and correlations reveal a rich phenomenology. Figure 5 shows the behavior of these quantities for different parameters. Contrary to the CCM ground state, these quantities show a smooth dependence on \( f \), which suggests that it is not in partition-dependent quantities that a behavior reminiscent of a critical one should be sought. However, it is interesting to observe that both \( S_A \) and \( I(A : B) \) have an inflexion point in the region where we expect the critical value of \( f \) to occur, which indicates a qualitative change in trend taking place around \( f \approx 0.46 \). On the other hand, the global quantum discord shows the nonclassical nature of the state of the NESS away from \( f = 0, 1 \), which correlates with the amount of coherence \( C \). However, while the coherence for the chiral model is maximum at \( f \approx 0.46 \), the global discord peaks at a slightly smaller value of \( f \), likely as a result of finite-size effects that are manifested differently in \( C \) and the global discord, the latter being, in general, a non linear function of the elements of the density matrix. This should be compared with Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) and Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), which show a similar behavior for the tunneling and thermal currents. This suggests that the degree of nonclassicality of the state of the system, as characterized by the coherence and the global discord, might play an important role for the out-of-equilibrium CCM to work as a thermal machine, thus converting heat currents into mechanical currents.

Note however, that although \( \rho_{SS} \) contains coherence for \( f \neq 0, 1 \), its maximum value is significantly smaller than in the ground-state where \( C \propto N_f^M \) for \( f > f_c \) [cf. Fig. 8(d)]. Similar behavior is observed for other choices of \( \varphi \), also for \( \varphi = q\pi/N_f \) with \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \). In addition, all these quantities inherit the periodicity \( 2\pi/N_f \) in the phase \( \varphi \). Finally, we stress that \( N_A = 0 \) if \( f, \varphi \), in contrast to the ground-state negativity (cf. Appendix A).

The observed behavior of the mutual information correlates with that of the total current \( \langle J^\theta + J^\phi \rangle_T \approx \langle J^\theta \rangle_T \) [cf. Figs. 3(b) and 5(b)]. Building on this observation, we investigate the thermal susceptibility of the total current \( \langle \Delta J^\theta \rangle_T/\Delta T \) with that of the mutual information, \( \Delta(A: B)/\Delta T \) when \( \Delta T \to 0 \), where \( \langle \Delta J^\theta \rangle_T = \langle J^\theta(\Delta T = 0) \rangle_T \), denotes the increment in the total current between \( 0 < |\Delta T| \ll 1 \) and \( \Delta T = 0 \) (equal temperatures for both sub-lattices), and equivalently for the mutual information. Note that since \( \langle J^\theta(\Delta T = 0) \rangle_T = 0 \), it follows

![Fig. 5. Information measures of the NESS for \( M = 4 \) rotors as a function of the control parameter \( f \). (a)–(d) show the von Neumann entropy \( S_A \), mutual information \( I(A : B) \), coherence \( C \) and global discord, respectively, with \( A \) denoting the first half of the chain, namely, rotors 1 and 2. Different points (and colors) correspond to distinct values of the staggered chiral phase, such that \( \varphi_i = (-1)^i \varphi \), and local temperature \( \beta_i \), while \( g = 0.2 \) and \( \beta_0 = 1.1 \). See main text for further details.](image-url)
\[ \Delta_{\text{CCM}} \equiv \Delta_{\text{CCM}}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} \left( \rho_j \right) \varphi \left( 1 - \frac{1}{M} \right) \]

This equation represents the critical ground state feature of the clock model, where \( \varphi \) is the control parameter. The critical value \( fc \) is determined by the crossing of the Binder cumulant, which indicates the transition to the chiral phase.

The CCM exhibits a \( Z_N \) symmetry, which can be exploited to split the Hilbert space in \( N_s \) subspaces. The ground state is contained in the subspace with eigenvalue 1. In the case of \( N_s = 3 \), the operator reads as \( \mathcal{U} = \Pi_0 + \nu \Pi_1 \Pi_0^+ + \nu^2 \Pi_2 \Pi_0^+ \), where \( \Pi_n \) denotes the projector on the corresponding subspace. One can use this symmetry to reduce the dimension of the Hilbert space.
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APPENDIX A: CRITICAL GROUND STATE FEATURES IN THE CHIRAL CLOCK MODEL

As already noted, the CCM exhibits a \( Z_N \) symmetry. In order to exploit this symmetry, it is handy to remap the Hamiltonian as \( \sigma \rightarrow \mu \) and \( \mu \rightarrow -\sigma \) (as in Ref. [50]), so that the operator

\[ \mathcal{U} = \prod_{k=1}^{M} \Pi_{k}^\dagger \]  

allows us to split the Hilbert space in \( N_s \) subspaces. The ground state is contained in the subspace with eigenvalue 1. In the case of \( N_s = 3 \), the operator reads as \( \mathcal{U} = \Pi_0 + \nu \Pi_1 \Pi_0^+ + \nu^2 \Pi_2 \Pi_0^+ \), where \( \Pi_n \) denotes the projector on the corresponding subspace. One can use this symmetry to reduce the dimension of the Hilbert space. In particular,

\[ H_{\text{CCM}}^0 = \Pi_0 H_{\text{CCM}} \Pi_0^\dagger \]  

FIG. 7. (a) Binder cumulant \( B \) for the ground state of the CCM with \( N_s = 3 \) for different system sizes (from \( M = 4 \) to 10 rotors) as a function of \( f \) and with \( \varphi_s = \pi/8 \) (solid lines) and staggered chiral phase \( \varphi_c = (-1)^f \pi/8 \) (dashed lines). The vertical dotted line indicates the critical value \( f_c \) where the QPT takes place, reported in Ref. [50]. (b) shows a zoom close to the region where Binder cumulants intersect (close to \( f_c \)). For homogeneous chiral phase, the crossing approaches the reported value \( f_c \). The intersections in \( B \) for staggered chiral phases suggests that location of \( f_c \) is shifted to a slightly larger value.
contains the ground state $\forall f$ with a well defined symmetry. For $f < f_c$, the ground state becomes $N_c$-fold degenerate. In Ref. [50], the ground-state energy critical exponents of the $H_{ccm}$ were investigated. For completeness, here we just provide a brief summary of the critical features of such a model. In particular, note that the ground-state order parameter $m = \frac{1}{Nt} \sum_{k=1}^{M}(\mu_k + \mu_k^*)$ within the $\Pi_0$ subspace is given by
\begin{equation}
\langle \varphi(f) | m | \varphi(f) \rangle \equiv 0 \quad \forall f ,
\end{equation}
where $| \varphi(f) \rangle$ denotes the ground state of $H_{ccm}^{0}$. As customary in symmetry-breaking phase transitions, one needs to resort to $m^2$ and $m^4$, which clearly reveal the symmetry-broken phase for $f < f_c$, (and thus the QPT). Moreover, the location of the QPT can be witnessed at the energy gap $\Delta$ or Binder cumulant $B$ [67]. The energy gap between the first and excited state closes at $f_c$ following the universal scaling law [43]
\begin{equation}
\Delta \sim |f - f_c|^{\nu} ,
\end{equation}
where $\nu$ are critical exponents of the QPT. The Binder cumulant is defined as [67]
\begin{equation}
B = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 - \frac{\langle m^4 \rangle}{\langle m^2 \rangle^2} \right)
\end{equation}
where $\langle m^4 \rangle$ and $\langle m^2 \rangle$ are evaluated over the ground state, i.e., $\langle \varphi(f) | m^2 | \varphi(f) \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi(f) | m^4 | \varphi(f) \rangle$. This quantity has been proven very useful to locate the critical point $f_c$ (see for example Refs. [68,69]). Applying finite-size scaling arguments, $B$ is expected to become size independent at $f_c$. Hence, the QPT takes place at the value of $f$ at which the Binder cumulant $B$ for different system sizes $M$ interpose, although finite-size corrections still yield small deviations to the size-independent intersections. In Fig. 7 we show the resulting Binder cumulant $B$ for the ground state of the CCM for $N_s = 3$ for the case of a staggered and homogeneous chiral phase $\varphi_c = (-1)^f \pi/8$ and $\varphi_c = \pi/8$, respectively. The location of the QPT, i.e., $f_c$, for the homogeneous chiral phase is consistent with the reported value in Ref. [50], $f_c = 0.46267$ which is indicated by a dotted vertical line, while $f_c$ appears to be shifted to a slightly larger value for a staggered chiral phase. The signatures of the QPT are already evident even for the considered system sizes $M \leq 10$.

In addition, in Fig. 8 we show the quantum information measures on the CCM ground-state as a function of the control parameter $f$, namely, von Neumann entropy $S_A$, negativity $N_A$, coherence $C$, mutual information $I(A : B)$ and global quantum discord $G$. The system is split in half, so the partition $A$ includes the first $M/2$ rotors, namely, rotors 1 and 2 for $M = 4$ and 1, 2, 3 for $M = 6$.

**APPENDIX B: TUNNELING CURRENT IN A ROTATED CCM MODEL**

As commented in the main text, while the ground-state properties of the CCM model remain unaltered upon the rotation $\sigma \to \mu$ and $\mu \to \sigma$, the tunneling current becomes remarkably different. Note that the definition of the tunneling current $J_{tun}(j \to j')$ given in (10) is independent of the specific choice of the Hamiltonian. In particular, for
\begin{equation}
\bar{H}_{ccm} = -f \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\mu_k + \mu_k^*) - (1 - f) \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\sigma_k \sigma_{k+1}^* \mathcal{E}_{\text{spin}} + \text{H.c.})
\end{equation}
with staggered chiral phases $\varphi_c = (-1)^f \varphi$, the tunneling current in its ground state is non zero. Moreover, the behavior of $J_{tun}$ resembles that of a critical quantity across a phase transition. The resulting tunneling current for a parity-preserving ground state is plotted in Fig. 9 for $M$ from 4 to 12 rotors, which indicate a sharp transition around the QPT.

![Fig. 8. Quantum information measures of the ground state of the CCM with periodic boundary conditions and staggered chiral phase $\varphi_c = (-1)^f \pi/2$ for $M = 4$ and 6 rotors, which unveil the QPT taking place in the system.](image)

![Fig. 9. (a) Tunneling current for the odd rotores ($J_{tun}$) in the ground state of the CCM $\bar{H}_{ccm}$ given in Eq. (B1), with $\varphi_c = (-1)^f \pi/2$ and as a function of $f$. The tunneling current for even rotors is reversed in sign, i.e., $-J_{tun}$. (b) shows a zoom closer to the transition point to signal the sharper behavior of ($J_{tun}$) as $M$ increases.](image)
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