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1. Introduction

Given matrices $A := [a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$ and $B := [b_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$ in the matrix ring $M_n(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, the Schur/Hadamard/pointwise product of $A$ and $B$ is defined as

$$A \circ B := [a_{j,k}b_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}.$$  \hfill (1)

Recall that a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ is said to be positive (also known as self-adjoint positive semidefinite) if it is self-adjoint and

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{K}^n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard Hermitian inner product (which is left linear right conjugate linear) on $\mathbb{K}^n$ (to move with the tradition of ‘operator algebra’, by ‘positive’ we only consider self-adjoint matrices). In this case we write $A \succeq 0$ and we write $A \succeq B$ if all of $A$, $B$ and $A - B$ are positive. It is a century old result that whenever $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ are positive, then their Schur product $A \circ B$ is positive. Schur
originally proved this result in his famous ‘Crelle’ paper [45] and today there are varieties of proofs of this theorem. For a comprehensive look on Hadamard products we refer the reader to [17, 19, 37, 47, 54]. Once we know that the Schur product of two positive matrices is positive, then next step is to ask for a lower bound for the product, if exists. There are series of papers obtaining lower bounds for Schur product of positive correlation matrices [31, 53], positive invertible matrices [1, 2, 8, 9, 21, 30, 49, 51] but for arbitrary positive matrices there are a couple of recent results by Vybíral [50] which we mention now.

To state the results we need some notations. Given a matrix $M \in M_n(K)$, by $\overline{M}$ we mean the matrix obtained by taking conjugate of each entry of $M$. Conjugate transpose of a matrix $M$ is denoted by $M^*$ and $MT$ denotes its transpose. Notation $\text{diag}(M)$ denotes the vector consisting of the diagonal of matrix in the increasing subscripts. Matrix $E_n$ denotes the $n$ by $n$ matrix in $M_n(K)$ with all one’s. Given a vector $x \in K^n$, by $\text{diag}(x)$ we mean the $n$ by $n$ diagonal matrix obtained by putting $i$’th co-ordinate of $x$ as $(i, i)$ entry.

**Theorem 1.1.** [50] Let $A \in M_n(K)$ be a positive matrix. Let $M = AA^*$ and $y \in K^n$ be the vector of row sums of $A$. Then

$$M \succeq \frac{1}{n}yy^*.$$  

**Theorem 1.2.** [50] Let $M, N \in M_n(K)$ be positive matrices. Let $M = AA^*$, $M = BB^*$ and $y \in K^n$ be the vector of row sums of $A \circ B$. Then

$$M \circ N \succeq (A \circ B)(A \circ B)^* \succeq \frac{1}{n}yy^*.$$  

Immediate consequences of Theorem 1.2 are the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** [50] Let $M \in M_n(K)$ be a positive matrix. Then

$$M \circ \overline{M} \succeq \frac{1}{n}(\text{diag}(M))(\text{diag}(M))^T$$  

and

$$M \circ M \succeq \frac{1}{n}(\text{diag}(M))(\text{diag}(M))^*.$$  

**Corollary 1.4.** [50] Let $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a positive matrix such that all diagonal entries are one’s. Then

$$M \circ M \succeq \frac{1}{n}E_n.$$  

Vybíral used Corollary 1.4 to solve two decades old Novak’s conjecture which states as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** [15, 35, 36] (**Novak’s conjecture**) The matrix

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1 + \cos(x_{j,i} - x_{k,i})}{2} - \frac{1}{n}1_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

is positive for all $n, d \geq 2$ and all choices of $x_j = (x_{j,1}, \ldots, x_{j,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Theorem 1.2 is also used in the study of random variables, numerical integration, trigonometric polynomials and tensor product problems, see [14, 50]. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the Schur product of matrices over C*-algebras, obtain some fundamental results and to state some problems. A very handy tool which we use is the theory of Hilbert C*-modules. This was first introduced by Kaplansky [25] for commutative C*-algebras and later by Paschke [38] and Rieffel [41] for non commutative C*-algebras. The theory attained a greater height from the work of Kasparov [6, 20, 26]. For an introduction to the subject Hilbert C*-modules we refer [29, 33].
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Definition 1.6. [25,38,41] Let $A$ be a C*-algebra. A left module $\mathcal{E}$ over $A$ is said to be a (left) Hilbert C*-module if there exists a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \to A$ such that the following hold.

(i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{E}$. If $x \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$.

(ii) $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}$.

(iii) $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, $\forall a \in A$.

(iv) $\langle x, y \rangle = (y, x)^*$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{E}$.

(v) $\mathcal{E}$ is complete w.r.t. the norm $\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{E}$.

We are going to use the following inequality.

Lemma 1.7. [25] (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for Hilbert C*-modules) If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert C*-module over $A$, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle \leq \|\langle y, y \rangle\| \langle x, x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{E}.$$ 

We encounter the following standard Hilbert C*-module in this paper. Let $A$ be a C*-algebra and $A^n$ be the left module over $A$ w.r.t. natural operations. Modular $A$-inner product on $A^n$ is defined as

$$\langle (x_j)_{j=1}^n, (y_j)_{j=1}^n \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^* y_j, \quad \forall (x_j)_{j=1}^n, (y_j)_{j=1}^n \in A^n.$$ 

Hence the norm on $A^n$ becomes

$$\| (x_j)_{j=1}^n \| := \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^* x_j \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall (x_j)_{j=1}^n \in A^n.$$ 

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define Schur/Hadamard/pointwise product of two matrices over C*-algebras (Definition 2.1). This is not a direct mimic of Schur product of matrices over scalars. After the definition of Schur product, we derive Schur product theorem for matrices over commutative C*-algebras (Theorem 2.3), $\sigma$-finite W*-algebras or AW*-algebras (Theorem 2.11). Followed by these results, we ask Pólya-Szegő-Rudin question for positive matrices over C*-algebras (Question 2.11). We then develop the paper following the developments by Vybiral in [50] to the setting of C*-algebras. In Section 3 we first derive lower bound for positive matrices over C*-algebras (Theorem 3.1) and using that we derive lower bounds for Schur product (Theorem 3.11 and Corollaries 3.13, 3.14). We later state C*-algebraic version of Novak’s conjecture (Conjecture 1.3). We solve it for commutative unital C*-algebras (Theorem 3.4). Finally we end the paper by asking Question 1.5.

2. C*-algebraic Schur product, Schur product theorem and Pólya-Szegö-Rudin question

We first recall the basics in the theory of matrices over C*-algebras. More information can be found in [34,52]. Let $A$ be a unital C*-algebra and $n$ be a natural number. Set $M_n(A)$ is defined as the set of all $n$ by $n$ matrices over $A$ which becomes an algebra with respect to natural matrix operations. The involution of an element $A := [a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in M_n(A)$ as $A^* := [a_{k,j}^*]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$. Then $M_n(A)$ becomes a *-algebra. **Gelfand-Naimark-Segal theorem** says that there exists a unique universal representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, $\pi : M_n(A) \to M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$ is an isometric *-homomorphism. Using this, the norm on $M_n(A)$ is defined as

$$\|A\| := \|\pi(A)\|, \quad \forall A \in M_n(A)$$
which makes $M_n(A)$ as a C*-algebra (where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the C*-algebra of all continuous linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with the operator-norm).

We define C*-algebraic Schur product as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $A$ be a C*-algebra. Given $A := [a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}, B := [b_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in M_n(A)$, we define the C*-algebraic Schur/Hadamard/pointwise product of $A$ and $B$ as

$$A \circ B := \frac{1}{2} \left[ a_{j,k} b_{j,k} + b_{j,k} a_{j,k} \right]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}. \tag{2}$$

Whenever the C*-algebra is commutative, then (2) becomes

$$A \circ B = \left[ a_{j,k} b_{j,k} \right]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}.$$ 

In particular, Definition 2.1 reduces to the definition of classical Schur product given in Equation (1).

From a direct computation, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $A$ be a unital C*-algebra and let $A, B, C \in M_n(A)$. Then

(i) $A \circ B = B \circ A$.

(ii) $(A \circ B)^* = A^* \circ B^*$. In particular, if $A$ and $B$ are self-adjoint, then $A \circ B$ is self-adjoint.

(iii) $A \circ (B + C) = A \circ B + A \circ C$.

(iv) $(A + B) \circ C = A \circ C + B \circ C$.

One of the most important difference of Definition 2.1 from the classical Schur product is that the product may not be associative, i.e., $(A \circ B) \circ C \neq A \circ (B \circ C)$ in general.

Similar to the scalar case, $A := [a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in M_n(A)$ is said to be positive if it is self-adjoint and

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in A^n,$$

where $\geq$ is the partial order on the set of all positive elements of $A$. In this case we write $A \succeq 0$. It is well-known in the theory of C*-algebras that the set of all positive elements in a C*-algebra is a closed positive cone. We then have that the set of all positive matrices in $M_n(A)$ is a closed positive cone. Here comes the first version of C*-algebraic Schur product theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** (Commutative C*-algebraic version of Schur product theorem) Let $A$ be a commutative unital C*-algebra. If $M, N \in M_n(A)$ are positive, then their Schur product $M \circ N$ is also positive.

**Proof.** Let $x \in A^n$ and define $L := (M^{\frac{1}{2}})^T (\text{diag } x)(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T$. First note that $M \circ N$ is self-adjoint. Using the commutativity of C*-algebra, we get

$$\langle (M \circ N)x, x \rangle = x^* (M \circ N)x = \text{Tr}((\text{diag } x^*)M(\text{diag } x)N^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}((\text{diag } x^*)M(\text{diag } x)(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}((N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T(\text{diag } x^*)M(\text{diag } x)(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}((N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T(\text{diag } x^*)(M^{\frac{1}{2}})^T(M^{\frac{1}{2}})^T(\text{diag } x)(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^T)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(L^*L) \geq 0.$$ 

Since $x$ was arbitrary, the result follows. \hfill \Box

In the sequel, we use the following notation. Given $M \in M_n(A)$, we define

$$(M^\circ)^n := M \circ \cdots \circ M \quad \text{(n times)}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (M^\circ)^0 := I \quad \text{(identity matrix in } M_n(A)).$$
Corollary 2.4. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a commutative unital C*-algebra. Let \( M \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) be positive. If \( a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \cdots + a_nz^n \) is any polynomial with coefficients from \( \mathcal{A} \) with all \( a_0, \ldots, a_n \) are positive elements of \( \mathcal{A} \), then the matrix
\[
a_0I + a_1M + a_2(M^*)^2 + \cdots + a_n(M^*)^n \in M_n(\mathcal{A})
\]
is positive.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.3 and Mathematical induction. \( \square \)

Remark 2.5. Note that we used commutativity of C*-algebra in the proof of Theorem 2.3 and thus it cannot be carried over to non commutative C*-algebras.

Theorem 2.3 leads us to seek a similar result for non commutative C*-algebras. At present we don’t know Schur product theorem for positive matrices over arbitrary C*-algebras. For the purpose of definiteness, we state it as an open problem.

Question 2.6. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a C*-algebra. Given positive matrices \( M, N \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \), does \( M \circ N \) is positive? In other words, classify those C*-algebras \( \mathcal{A} \) such that \( M \circ N \) is positive whenever \( M, N \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) are positive.

To make some progress to Question 2.6, we give an affirmative answer for certain classes of C*-algebras (von Neumann algebras). To do so we need spectral theorem for matrices over C*-algebras. First let us recall two definitions.

Definition 2.7. A W*-algebra is called \( \sigma \)-finite if it contains no more than a countable set of mutually orthogonal projections.

Definition 2.8. A C*-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is called an AW*-algebra if the following conditions hold.

(i) Any set of orthogonal projections has supremum.

(ii) Any maximal commutative self-adjoint subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A} \) is generated by its projections.

Theorem 2.9. (Spectral theorem for Hilbert C*-modules) Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( \sigma \)-finite W*-algebra or an AW*-algebra. If \( M \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) is normal, then there exists a unitary matrix \( U \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) such that \( UMU^* \) is a diagonal matrix.

Theorem 2.10. (Non commutative C*-algebraic Schur product theorem) Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( \sigma \)-finite W*-algebra or an AW*-algebra and \( M, N \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) be positive. Let \( U = [u_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}, V = [v_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}) \) be unitary such that
\[
M = U \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad N = V \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_n \end{bmatrix} V^*,
\]
for some \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in \mathcal{A} \). If all \( \lambda_j, \mu_k, u_{l,m}, v_{r,s} \), \( 1 \leq j, k, l, m, r, s \leq n \) commute with each other, then the Schur product \( M \circ N \) is also positive.

Proof. Let \( \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\} \) be columns of \( U \) and \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \) be columns of \( V \). Then
\[
A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j u_j u_j^*, \quad B = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k v_k v_k^*
\]
where \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \) are eigenvalues of \( A \), \( \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( A^n \), \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \) are eigenvalues of \( B \) and \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \) is an orthonormal basis for \( A^n \) (they exist from Theorem 2.9). Definition 2 of Schur product says that \( M \circ N \) is self-adjoint. It is well known in the theory of C*-algebras that sum of positive elements in a C*-algebra is positive and the product of two commuting positive elements is positive. This observation, Theorem 2.13 and the following calculation shows that \( M \circ N \) is positive:

\[
M \circ N = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j u_j u_j^* \right) \circ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k v_k v_k^* \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_j \mu_k (u_j u_j^* \circ (v_k v_k^*)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_j \mu_k (u_j \circ v_k)(u_j \circ v_k)^* \geq 0.
\]

Since the spectral theorem fails for matrices over C*-algebras (see [10][22][23]), proof of Theorem 2.11 cannot be executed for arbitrary C*-algebras.

Given certain order structure, one naturally considers functions (in a suitable way) which preserve the order. For matrices over C*-algebras, we formulate this in the following definition.

**Definition 2.11.** Let \( B \) be a subset of a C*-algebra \( A \) and \( n \) be a natural number. Define \( \mathcal{P}_n(B) \) as the set of all \( n \) by \( n \) positive matrices with entries from \( B \). Given a function \( f : B \to A \), define a function

\[
\mathcal{P}_n(B) \ni A := \begin{bmatrix} a_{j,k} \end{bmatrix}_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \mapsto f[A] := \begin{bmatrix} f(a_{j,k}) \end{bmatrix}_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \in M_n(A).
\]

A function \( f : B \to A \) is said to be a **positivity preserver in all dimensions** if \( f[A] \in \mathcal{P}_n(A), \ \forall A \in \mathcal{P}_n(B), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

A function \( f : B \to A \) is said to be a **positivity preserver in fixed dimension** \( n \) if \( f[A] \in \mathcal{P}_n(A), \ \forall A \in \mathcal{P}_n(B) \).

We now have the important C*-algebraic Pólya-Szegő-Rudin open problem.

**Question 2.12.** (Pólya-Szegő-Rudin question for C*-algebraic Schur product of positive matrices) Let \( B \) be a subset of a (commutative) C*-algebra \( A \) and \( \mathcal{P}_n(B) \) be as in Definition 2.11.

(i) **Characterize** \( f \) **such that** \( f \) **is a positivity preserver for all** \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

(ii) **Characterize** \( f \) **such that** \( f \) **is a positivity preserver for fixed** \( n \).

Answer to (i) in Question 2.12 in the case \( A = \mathbb{R} \) (which is due to Pólya and Szegő [39]) is known from the works of Schoenberg [41], Vasudeva [48], Rudin [42], Christensen and Ressel [17]. Further the answer to Question (i) in the case \( A = \mathbb{C} \) (which is due to Rudin [42]) is also known from the work of Herz [12].

There are certain partial answers to (ii) in Question 2.12 from the works of Horn [16], Belton, Guillot, Khare, Putinar, Rajaratnam and Tao [3][5][11][28].

Corollary 2.14 and the observation that the set of all positive matrices in \( M_n(A) \) is a closed set gives a partial answer to (i) in Question 2.12.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let \( A \) be a commutative unital C*-algebra. Let the power series \( f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \) over \( A \) be convergent on a subset \( B \) of \( A \). If all \( a_n \)'s are positive elements of \( A \), then the matrix

\[
f[A] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (A^*)^n \in M_n(A)
\]
3. LOWER BOUNDS FOR C*-ALGEBRAIC SCHUR PRODUCT

Our first result is on the lower bound of positive matrices over C*-algebras.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A$ be a unital C*-algebra (need not be commutative) and $A \in M_n(A)$ be a positive matrix. Let $M = AA^*$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}^n$ be the vector of row sums of $A$. Then

$$ M \succeq \frac{1}{n}yy^*, $$

i.e.,

$$ \langle Mx, x \rangle \geq \frac{1}{n} \langle x, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}^n. \quad (3) $$

**Proof.** Set

$$ A := \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(A), \quad x := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}^n, \quad y := \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}^n. $$

Since $y$ is the vector of row sums of $A$, we have

$$ y_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n. $$

Consider

$$ \langle Mx, x \rangle = \langle AA^*x, x \rangle = \langle A^*x, A^*x \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,1}^*x_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,2}^*x_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,n}^*x_k \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{l=1}^n a_{l,1}^*x_l \\ \sum_{l=1}^n a_{l,2}^*x_l \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{l=1}^n a_{l,n}^*x_l \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle $$

$$ = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,j}^*x_k \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^n a_{l,j}^*x_l \right)^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{k,j}^*x_k x_l^* a_{l,j}, $$

which is the left side of Inequality (3). Set

$$ e_n := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}^n, \quad z := \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,1}^*x_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,2}^*x_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,n}^*x_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}^n. $$

We now consider the right side of Inequality (3) and use Lemma 1.7 to get
\[
\frac{1}{n} \langle yy^* x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \langle y^* x, y^* x \rangle \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} y_1^* \\ y_2^* \\ \vdots \\ y_n^* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k^* x_k \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} y_l^* x_l \right)^* \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{k,r}^* x_k \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} x_l^* a_{l,s} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{k,r}^* x_k \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} x_l^* a_{l,s} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} a_{l,s}^* x_l \right) \left( \sum_{s=1}^{n} x_l^* a_{l,s} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,1}^* x_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,2}^* x_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,n}^* x_k \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,1}^* x_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,2}^* x_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,n}^* x_k \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{1}{n} \langle z, e_n \rangle \langle e_n, z \rangle \leq \frac{1}{n} \langle e_n, e_n \rangle \langle z, z \rangle = \langle z, z \rangle \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j z_j^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k,j}^* x_k x_k^* a_{l,j}^* \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{k,j}^* x_k x_l^* a_{l,j} = \langle M x, x \rangle \\
\]

which is the required inequality. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \(A\) be a commutative unital C*-algebra. Let \(M, N \in M_n(A)\) be positive matrices. Let \(M = AA^*\), \(N = BB^*\) and \(y \in \mathcal{A}_n\) be the vector of row sums of \(AB\). Then

\[
M \circ N \succeq (A \circ B)(A \circ B)^* \succeq \frac{1}{n} y y^*. 
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}\) be columns of \(A\) and \(\{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}\) be columns of \(B\). Then using commutativity and Theorem 3.1 we get...
\[ M \circ N = (AA^*) \circ (BB^*) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j A_j^* \right) \circ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_k B_k^* \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A_j A_j^* \circ B_k B_k^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A_j \circ B_k)(A_j \circ B_k)^* \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_j \circ B_j)(A_j \circ B_j)^* = (A \circ B)(A \circ B)^* \geq \frac{1}{n} \|y\|^2. \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( M \in M_n(A) \) be a positive matrix. Then
\[ M \circ M \geq \frac{1}{n} (\text{diag } M)(\text{diag } M)^*. \]

**Proof.** Let \( B = A \) in Theorem 3.2. Result follows by noting that diagonal entries of \( M \) are row sums of \( A \circ A \).

\[ \square \]

Following corollary is immediate from Corollary 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( M \in M_n(A) \) be a positive matrix such that all diagonal entries of \( M \) are one’s. Then
\[ M \circ M \geq \frac{1}{n} E_n. \]

4. **C*-algebraic Novak’s conjecture**

It is well known that the exponential map
\[ e : A \ni x \mapsto e^x := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \in A \]
is a well defined map on a unital C*-algebra (more is true, it is well-defined on unital Banach algebras). Using this map and from the definition of trigonometric functions (for instance, see Chapter 8 in [13]) we define C*-algebraic sine and cosine functions as follows.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( A \) be a unital C*-algebra. Define the C*-algebraic sine function by
\[ \sin : A \ni x \mapsto \sin x := \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i} \in A. \]

Define the C*-algebraic cosine function by
\[ \cos : A \ni x \mapsto \cos x := \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2} \in A. \]

By a direct computation, we have the following result. The result also shows the similarity and differences of C*-algebraic trigonometric functions with usual trigonometric functions.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( A \) be a unital C*-algebra. Then
\[ \begin{align*}
\text{(i)} & \qquad \sin(-x) = -\sin x, \forall x \in A. \\
\text{(ii)} & \qquad \cos(-x) = \cos x, \forall x \in A. \\
\text{(iii)} & \qquad \sin(x + y) = \sin x \cos y + \cos x \sin y, \forall x, y \in A \text{ such that } xy = yx. \\
\text{(iv)} & \qquad \cos(x + y) = \cos x \cos y - \sin x \sin y, \forall x, y \in A \text{ such that } xy = yx. \\
\text{(v)} & \qquad (\sin x)^* = \sin x^*, \forall x \in A.
\end{align*} \]
(vi) $\cos^* x = \cos^* x, \forall x \in A$.

(vii) $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1, \forall x \in A$.

In the sequel, by $A_{sa}$ we mean the set of all self-adjoint elements in the unital C*-algebra $A$. Motivated from Novak's conjecture (Theorem 1.5), we formulate the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.3.** (C*-algebraic Novak's conjecture) Let $A$ be a unital C*-algebra. Then the matrix

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{x_{j,k}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

is positive for all $n, d \geq 2$ and all choices of $x_j = (x_{j,1}, \ldots, x_{j,d}) \in A_{sa}^d, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.

We solve a special case of Conjecture 4.3.

**Theorem 4.4.** (Commutative C*-algebraic Novak's conjecture) Let $A$ be a commutative unital C*-algebra. Then the matrix

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{x_{j,k}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

is positive for all $n, d \geq 2$ and all choices of $x_j = (x_{j,1}, \ldots, x_{j,d}) \in A_{sa}^d, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.

**Proof.** We first show that the matrix

$$A := \left[ \cos (z_j - z_k) \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

is positive for all $n, d \geq 2$ and all choices of $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in A_{sa}$. First note that Theorem 4.2 says that the matrix $A$ is self adjoint. An important theorem used by Vybíral in his proof of Novak's conjecture is the Bochner theorem [40]. Since Bochner theorem for C*-algebras is probably not known, we use Theorem 4.2 and make a direct computation which is inspired from computation done in [46]. Let $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in A_{sa}^d$. Then

$$\langle Ay, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos (z_j - z_k) y_j y_k^*$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \cos z_j \cos z_k + \sin z_j \sin z_k \right) y_j y_k^*$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\cos z_j) y_j \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\cos z_j) y_j \right)^* + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\sin z_j) y_j \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\sin z_j) y_j \right)^*$$

$$\geq 0.$$
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\[ \prod_{l=1}^{d} \left[ \frac{1 + \cos(x_{j,l} - x_{k,l})}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right] \geq 0. \]

We end the paper by asking an open problem similar to question asked by Vybíral in arXiv version (see https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11726v1) of the paper [50].

**Question 4.5.** Can the bound in Theorem 3.2 be improved for the C*-algebraic Schur product of positive matrices over (commutative) unital C*-algebras?

**Final sentence:** Improved version of Theorem 3.2 is given by Dr. Apoorva Khare (see Theorem A in [27]) but it seems that the arguments used in the proof of Theorem A in [27] do not work for C*-algebras.
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