Low temperature transport and specific heat studies of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ single crystals

N Ghosh$^{1,4}$, U K Rößler$^2$, K Nenkov$^2$, C Hucho$^1$, H L Bhat$^3$ and K-H Müller$^2$

$^1$ Paul Drude Institut für Festkörperlektronik, Hausvogtei Platz 5-7, Berlin-10117, Germany
$^2$ IFW Dresden, POB 270116, 01171 Dresden, Germany
$^3$ Physics Department, Indian Institute of Science, C V Raman Avenue, Bangalore-560012, India

E-mail: ghosh.nilotpal@gmail.com

Received 18 April 2008, in final form 20 July 2008
Published 4 September 2008
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/20/395219

Abstract

Electrical transport and specific heat properties of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ single crystals for $0.15 \leq x \leq 0.5$ have been studied in the low temperature regime. The resistivity in the ferromagnetic insulating (FMI) phase for $x \leq 0.3$ has an activated character. The dependence of the activation gap $\Delta$ on doping $x$ has been determined and the critical concentration for the zero-temperature metal–insulator transition is determined as $x_c \approx 0.33$. For a metallic sample with $x = 0.42$, a conventional electron–electron ($e$–$e$) scattering term $\propto T^2$ is found in the low temperature electrical resistivity, although the Kadowaki–Woods ratio is found to be much larger for this manganite than for a normal metal. There is a resistivity minimum observed around 60 K for a metallic sample with $x = 0.5$. The effect is attributed to weak localization and can be described by a negative $T^{1/2}$ weak-localization contribution to resistivity for a disordered three-dimensional electron system. The specific heat data have been fitted to contributions from free electrons ($\gamma$), spin excitations ($\beta_{3/2}$), lattice and a Schottky-like anomaly related to the rare-earth magnetism of the Nd ions. The value of $\gamma$ is larger than for normal metals, which is ascribed to magnetic ordering effects involving Nd. Also, the Schottky-like anomaly appears broadened and weakened suggesting inhomogeneous molecular fields at the Nd-sites.

1. Introduction

Colossal magnetoresistive manganites (R$_{1-x}$A$_x$MnO$_3$) are known for interesting physical properties and complicated electronic phase diagrams [1–5]. While many of these properties were known for more than 50 years [6], an appreciation of the magnitude of these effects is a more recent development [7]. Among the different phases in these manganites the occurrence of a ferromagnetic insulating (FMI) phase poses a difficult problem because the double exchange model predicts only metallic ferromagnetism at low temperature [8–10]. The FMI behaviour is observed in manganites at low divalent dopant concentration. At higher dopant concentration, crossing the threshold limit, a ferromagnetic metallic phase (FMM) is usually found. Urushibara et al described the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity in La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ in the composition range of metallic conductivity at low temperature as $\rho(T) = \rho(0) + AT^2$ [11]. Similar data were reported by Schiffer et al [12] and Snyder et al [13]. As pointed out by Kadowaki and Woods [14], a general relationship between the coefficient $A$ for the $T^2$ contribution to the resistivity and the square electronic heat-capacity coefficient $\gamma$ is obeyed in usual metallic systems. However, in manganites very large ratios $A/\gamma^2$ are found that are an order of magnitude larger than the Kadowaki–Woods ratio for metals with strong electron–electron ($e$–$e$) interactions [15]. This casts doubts.
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on the assumption that the usual e–e scattering underlies the $T^2$ dependence of the resistivity observed in metallic manganites [2]. In particular, manganites are well known examples of bad metallic behaviour [16, 17].

Some recent reports found that the manganites have resistivity minima and the resistivity at low temperature is higher than Mott’s maximum metallic resistivity of about 10 mΩ cm [18–20]. According to the scaling theory for disordered electronic systems in 3D metals [21], the weak localization and e–e scattering in the presence of strong disorder should influence the electrical conduction in manganites. This type of e–e interaction is described by a negative $T^{1/2}$ power law contribution to the resistivity. This contribution has been employed to fit the resistivity data of the intrinsically disordered systems such as manganites in recent reports [18–20]. In the ground state, one-magnon processes should not take place because of the half-metallic band-structure of the manganites with a fully polarized band of electrons at the Fermi level [22].

Pai et al [23] have recently proposed a new effective low-energy Hamiltonian starting from two qualitatively different coexisting vibronic states at each site of the lattice these being labelled as $l$ and $b$. Here $l$ vibrons describe a localized Jahn–Teller (JT) polaron and the other $b$ vibrons form a broad and dispersive band. Within this approach, the insulating gap in the FMI phase at low temperature is identified as the $T = 0$ electrical gap $\Delta$ between the occupied $l$ levels and the unoccupied $b$ bottom band. In principle, the theory is able to make detailed predictions on the electronic structure and transport properties through the whole range of compositions between the FMI and FMM phase in the perovskite manganites.

These theoretical developments and other experimental findings inspired us to explore the low temperature transport and specific heat properties of mixed-valent manganites. The present analysis is based on experimental data obtained on a series of single crystals from the Pb doped NdMnO$_3$ system, which is a less studied member of the perovskite manganite family [24, 25]. From our own earlier studies on these crystals, we presented a tentative phase diagram of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ for a wide range of temperature and composition [26] and some of their physical properties [26–29]. In the present investigation, we report further properties of these Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ single crystals and a detailed analysis of their low temperature resistivity and specific heat data.

2. Experiments

Single crystals of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ were grown by a high temperature solution growth method using PbO/PbF$_2$ as flux, as reported earlier [25]. The compositional analysis has been carried out by energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) and then substantiated by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP AES). Resistivity measurements were carried out by the standard four probe method in the range 4–300 K. The electrical contacts were generally made on the (100) plane of the single crystal samples. The contact material was a Ag–15 wt% In alloy. Magnetoresistance (MR) was measured in similar temperature ranges at 7 T magnetic field. The specific heat measurements were carried out in a physical property measurement system (PPMS model 6000, Quantum Design) from 40 K down to 2 K.

3. Results

3.1. Transport

Resistivity data for the Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ single crystals were already presented in [26] focusing on the metal–insulator (MI) transition at intermediate temperatures around 150 K. The activated behaviour, $\rho = \rho_0 \exp(\Delta/k_B T)$, is shown in the logarithmic plot of the resistivity $\rho$ as a function of inverse temperature $1/T$. This is shown for $x = 0.15, 0.3, 0.4$ and 0.5 in figure 1. The slopes of the straight sections of the resistivity in this plot measure the activation energy $E_A$. The crystals with $x = 0.15$ and 0.3 display an activated behaviour in both the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic state, albeit with different activation energies. The activation energy $E_A = E_\Delta$ in the high temperature paramagnetic insulating phase can be related to transport through Jahn–Teller (JT) polarons, as discussed in the literature [30]. The activation energy $E_\Delta$ depends on $x$ and becomes minimum for the most metallic sample close to $x = 0.4$ in our series of crystals [26].

For the ferromagnetic insulating samples, the same type of behaviour holds with an activation energy given by a gap energy, $E = \Delta$, in some temperature range below $T_C$ towards zero temperature. The gap energy $\Delta$, as derived from activated fits, decreases with the dopant concentration $x$. The dependence of the gap energy $\Delta$ versus dopant concentration $x$ is shown in figure 2. It can be compared with theoretical predictions. The critical doping for the $T = 0$ ferromagnetic insulator to ferromagnetic metal transition is determined by the vanishing of $\Delta$. According to the theory of Pai et al [23] a
dependence $\Delta (x) = E_{JT} - x^{1/2} D_0$ is expected, where $E_{JT}$ is the energy scale for the electron JT-phonon coupling, and $D_0$ is a measure of the electronic bandwidth of the itinerant $e_g$ electrons of Mn. Fits for the doping dependence of the experimental values of $\Delta (x)$ to this square-root behaviour are shown in figure 2. The values obtained from this fit for $E_{JT}$ and $D_0$ are around 273 (±38) and 493 (±79) meV respectively, when fitting was carried out in $x = 0.15$–0.3 range. On the other hand, the fitting in $x = 0.2$–0.3 range yields $E_{JT}$ and $D_0$ around 195 (±12) and 339 (±25) meV respectively. It is observed that the system for dopant concentration $x = 0.15$ does not fit the theoretical square-root dependence for $\Delta (x)$ well. As seen from figure 2 the experimentally determined value for $\Delta (x = 0.15)$ is appreciably increased. It is probable that at this low doping level further effects increase the apparent activation energy for the electronic transport. The extrapolation of $\Delta (x)$ towards a vanishing gap $\Delta = 0$ yields a critical doping level for the zero-temperature MI transition. The critical concentration for the zero-temperature MI transition in this system has been calculated from the parameters obtained from the fitting, excluding the point corresponding to $x = 0.15$, giving a value $x_c = (E_{JT}/D_0)^2 = 0.33$ (±0.02). We consider that the fit excluding $x = 0.15$ data provides the more reliable estimate of the critical concentration.

Now, assuming that $\Delta$ vanishes for this critical doping level $x = x_c$, we can proceed to analyse the transport data for samples with $x = 0.4$, 0.42, 0.5 > $x_c$, which display metallic character at low temperatures. Essentially, $x = 0.4$ and 0.42 show similar behaviour. The resistivity at low temperature can have contributions from residual resistivity $\rho_0$ due to static defects independent of temperature and from scattering by elementary excitations. The effect of electron–electronic scattering can be described by a term proportional to $T^2$ [15]. Taking these two contributions into account the resistivity should follow the relationship as $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2$. The data for $x = 0.42$ (figure 3(a)) are expected to follow this conventional behaviour. However, the $\rho (T)$ dependence with $x = 0.5$ sample shows a shallow but distinct minimum in the low temperature region (see inset in figure 1) indicating further effects. The resistivity minimum persists in the presence of magnetic fields and becomes even deeper, while shifting to higher temperature of about 110 K in a 5 T field (figure 3(b)). We assume that this anomaly is related to weak localization and electron–electron interaction in the correlated electron system owing to static disorder and large Coulomb interactions [21]. Additionally, higher order contributions in temperature may play a role. These are two-magnon interactions with a term...
interactions and an electron–phonon (e–p) term $\propto T^5$. Because it is difficult to distinguish between these two terms, we do not consider these two possible contributions separately, rather we restrict our fits to the two-magnon term only. Hence, the resistivity for the metallic low temperature range should be described by

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2 + \rho_e T^{1/2} + \rho_m T^{4.5}. \quad (1)$$

For the resistivity of the $x = 0.42$ sample, no indication of weak localization could be observed. To verify this, we have plotted the resistivity data after subtracting the residual resistivity against $T^{1/2}$ (see inset of figure 3(a)). The data are not linear as a function of $T^{1/2}$ in that temperature range, whereas linearity is supposed to be a prominent signature in support of weak localization and a $T^{1/2}$-term due to e–e interactions [31, 32]. Hence, resistivity data for $x = 0.42$ have been fitted according to equation (1) without the $T^{1/2}$ term. The result is shown in figure 3(a). The fit parameters for the stable fit in the temperature range $T < 50$ K are listed in table 1.

The resistivity data of the $x = 0.5$ crystal require inclusion of the $\rho_e T^{1/2}$ term due to weak localization. This is obvious from the linear part of the plots $\rho$ versus $T^{1/2}$ in the inset of figure 3(b) with and without an applied magnetic field. However, the presence of the electronic contribution $AT^2$ to resistivity is uncertain. As listed in table 2 we have tried various fits for the data in zero magnetic field: a fit in the low temperature range, excluding the $T^{4.5}$ term in the temperature range $T \leq 60$ K, leads to an insignificantly small or even negative contribution $AT^2$ with large errors. Similar results for the $AT^2$ term are found for fits including the $T^{4.5}$ term in an extended temperature range $T \leq 100$ K. Therefore, this electronic term appears to be irrelevant to a valid description of the data. However, one can get an upper estimate of the parameter $A (6.3 \times 10^{-6} \Omega \text{ cm K}^{-3/2})$ from the fit in the range $T \leq 60$ K. By putting $A \equiv 0$, we find a satisfactory fit in the same temperature range in zero field. A fit with a similar quality is achieved for the resistivity data in a field of 5 T (table 2 and figure 3(b)). Here, the magnitude of $\rho_0$ is slightly increased as compared to the fit to the zero-field resistivity. It must be noted that the lowest possible temperature at which we could measure the resistivity is around 5 K for $x = 0.5$. Hence, the value $\rho_0$ is actually close to $\rho_5$. K. In the fits, there is a trade-off between the negative $T^{1/2}$ term and the $\rho_0$, which leads to some systematic interrelation between these contributions. Therefore, the shift in $\rho_0$ is probably not related to a real physical effect due to the magnetic field. However, the strong increase in the magnitude of $\rho_e$ due to the magnetic field is clear, see figure 3(b). The two-magnon term $T^{4.5}$ is suppressed in a magnetic field, which suggests that it is dominated by two-magnon scattering contributions that are subdued by the magnetic field.

To ascertain the essential absence of the expected electronic contribution to the resistivity $AT^2$, we have additionally used graphical methods. We plot the resistivity against $T^2$ (after subtraction of the residual resistivity). The data for $x = 0.5$ do not fit a straight line (inset (b), figure 4), unlike those for $x = 0.42$ (inset (a), figure 4). In order to better display the deviations from the $T^2$ contribution, we have also numerically differentiated the data and plotted it $A^{-1} \partial \rho / \partial T^2$ versus $T$ in figure 4. Here, we have used the calculated value of $A$ from the slopes of the straight line fits (see insets, figure 4). In the plot of figure 4, the data show an upward deviation from 1 at higher temperatures. This should be related to the expected weak two-magnon scattering ($T^{4.5}$) and electron–phonon scattering ($T^5$) contributions.

### 3.2. Specific heat

The specific heat study of undoped NdMnO$_3$ has already been reported by Hemberger et al [33]. At low temperature, it has shown strong Schottky-type contributions which depend on the magnetic field. Here we have described a specific heat study for Pb doped NdMnO$_3$. The specific heat $C$ versus temperature data for the series of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ crystals are plotted in figure 5. For manganites the basic contributions to the specific heat in the low temperature range can be described by [34].

$$C = \beta_{3/2} T^{3/2} + \gamma T + B_1 T^3 + B_3 T^5. \quad (2)$$

| $x$ | $\rho_0$ (Ω cm) | $A$ (Ω cm K$^{-3}$) | $\rho_m$ (Ω cm K$^{-4.5}$) | $\chi^2$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 0.42 | 0.2001 | 7.4 x 10$^{-6}$ | 6.5 x 10$^{-11}$ | $\leq 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Figure 4. The plot of $A^{-1} \partial \rho / \partial T^2$ versus $T$ for $x = 0.42, 0.5$. This shows the deviation from the $T^2$ behaviour of the resistivity data at very low temperature for $x = 0.5$. The negative values of $A^{-1} \partial \rho / \partial T^2$ for $x = 0.5$ appear because there is a minimum and change of slope in the resistivity data. However, the data for the $x = 0.42$ sample follow the conventional $T^2$ behaviour. Insets (a) and (b) show $\rho$ versus $T^2$ (after subtracting the residual resistivity) for $x = 0.42$ and 0.5, respectively. The straight lines are linear fits.
Here, $\beta_{3/2}$ is the coefficient of the contribution from spin-wave excitations for ferromagnetic order, $\gamma$ is the coefficient of the electronic specific heat, $B_1$ and $B_5$ are coefficients of the contribution from the lattice. In the temperature range $T < 15$ K, an additional contribution from a Schottky-like effect strongly influences the behaviour of the specific heat for the Nd-based systems [27]. The best range for the fitting according to equation (2) has been found to be 20–40 K. For this temperature range nuclear hyperfine effects in the specific heat need not be considered, as they contribute appreciably only at much lower temperature $T < 2$ K. The results of the fitting by equation (2) is shown for $x = 0.4$ as a representative plot in figure 6. Similar fits have been achieved for $x = 0.15$ and 0.5 (not shown in the figure). An analysis of the specific heat of the $x = 0.3$ crystals has already been reported in [27].

The detailed results of fitting are given in table 3. We have extracted the initial value of $\gamma$ from the $y$-axis intercept in the plot of $C/T$ versus $T^2$ (inset of figure 6). To determine the magnon contribution to the specific heat, we extracted the spin stiffness constants $D$ from the magnetization data [27] using the Bloch $T^{3/2}$ law (see table 3). Subsequently, the corresponding values of $\beta_{3/2}$ are calculated from $D$ using the relation $\beta_{3/2} = 0.113R \Gamma (k_B/D)^{3/2}$. Here, $R = 8.314$ K mol$^{-1}$ is the universal gas constant and $a$ is the lattice parameter of the elementary perovskite cell [27]. Then the remaining coefficients $B_1$ and $B_5$ are determined by fitting. The coefficient $B_1$ corresponds to the Debye contribution to the specific heat at low temperature which can be expressed as,

$$C_{\text{Debye}} = (12/5) r R \pi^4 \left( \frac{T}{\theta_D} \right)^3$$

where $r$ is number of atoms in the unit cell, i.e. $r = 5$, $R$ the universal gas constant, and $\theta_D$ is the Debye temperature [35]. We have calculated the values of $\theta_D$ for $x = 0.15, 0.3, 0.4$ and 0.5 and they are 332 K, 292 K, 291 K and 285 K, respectively. Generally, the electronic specific heat term is not expected to be present for samples with $x = 0.15$ and 0.3 which are insulators at low temperature. However, it is observed that the magnitude of $\gamma$ is unusually large for all these samples. The enhanced values of $\gamma$ are most probably not related to the conduction electrons. The corresponding specific energy contribution may be due to magnetic effects related to the Nd ions and the Mn-sublattice [27]. In particular, the magnitude of $\gamma$ is presumably influenced by the tail of a Schottky-like anomaly due to the presence of Nd ions.
magnetization data via the Bloch equation fits. The data for a temperature range. The points are experimental and the solid lines (function should fit the excess specific heat at low temperature.

\[ \beta_{1/2} \]

\[ \gamma \]

\[ B_1 \]

\[ B_2 \]

\[ D \]

\[ \chi^2 \]

Table 3. Summary of fitting for the specific heat data in the temperature range 20–40K. The values of the spin stiffness \( D \) derived from magnetization data via the Bloch \( T^{3/2} \) law have been used to fix the \( \beta_{1/2} \) parameter for the ferromagnetic spin-wave contribution. The definition of the other coefficients are given in the text. \( \chi^2 \) is the standard deviation. The parameters for \( x = 0.3 \) are taken from [27].

| Composition       | \( \beta_{1/2} \) (mJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-3/2}\)) | \( \gamma \) (mJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-2}\)) | \( B_1 \) (mJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-4}\)) | \( B_2 \) (mJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-6}\) \times 10\(^{-3}\)) | \( D \) (meV \( \AA \)^\(^{2}\)) | \( \chi^2 \) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| \( \text{Nd}_{0.85}\text{Pb}_{0.15}\text{MnO}_3 \) | 19.57                                         | 71.82                                         | 0.26                                          | \( -0.068 \)                                    | 17.3                                          | \( 4.8 \times 10^{-3} \)                      |
| \( \text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Pb}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3 \) | 6.51                                          | 71.73                                         | 0.356                                         | \( -0.98 \)                                    | 34.9                                          | \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \)                       |
| \( \text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Pb}_{0.2}\text{MnO}_3 \) | 6                                             | 68.64                                         | 0.387                                         | \( -0.112 \)                                    | 37.8                                          | \( 3.3 \times 10^{-3} \)                      |
| \( \text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Pb}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3 \) | 1                                             | 111.51                                        | 0.411                                         | \( -0.125 \)                                    | 125.8                                         | \( 6 \times 10^{-3} \)                       |

As can be seen from figure 5, the specific heat below 15 K has a strong Schottky-like anomaly for all the samples. This effect is due to the Zeeman-like splitting of crystal-field ground-state multiplets in the Nd\(^{3+} \) ions, which has already been described for \( \text{Nd}_{0.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{MnO}_3 \) by Gordon et al [36].

A similar phenomenon was reported for \( \text{Pr}_{0.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{MnO}_3 \) [37]. Nd\(^{3+} \) ions have a ten-fold degeneracy for the ground-state \( J \) multiplet \( ^4I_{9/2} \), which is split by the crystal field into five Kramers doublets [38]. In \( \text{NdMnO}_3 \) it is reported that the Kramers doublet is split by the Nd–Mn exchange field (<20 K) [33]. Hence, an effective molecular field \( H_{\text{mf}} \) is assumed to be present at Nd-sites. Although this field splits each of the five crystal-field doublets, at low temperature, only the ground-state doublet needs to be considered [36].

Assuming that the effective moment of Nd\(^{3+} \) ions in the ground state is \( \mu_{\text{Nd}} \) and that the splitting of the doublet is \( \Delta_s = 2\mu_{\text{Nd}} H_{\text{mf}} \), a contribution from a two-level Schottky function should fit the excess specific heat at low temperature (\( T < 15 \) K). We have used the Schottky function for a two-level system given by [35, 37]

\[
C_{\text{Sch}}(T, H) = n_{\text{Sch}} N_a k_B \left( \frac{\Delta_s}{k_B T} \right)^2 \frac{\exp\left( \frac{\Delta_s}{k_B T} \right)}{\left( 1 + \exp\left( \frac{\Delta_s}{k_B T} \right) \right)^2} \tag{4}
\]

where \( n_{\text{Sch}} \) is the coefficient of the contribution from the Schottky effect, and \( N_a \) is the Avogadro number. The fitting is carried out by adding this Schottky term to equation (3), while keeping the lattice contribution (parameters \( B_1, B_2 \)) and the spin-wave contribution (\( \beta_{1/2} \)) fixed. The result is shown in figure 7. The values of Schottky gaps \( \Delta_s \) and Schottky coefficients \( n_{\text{Sch}} \) for \( x = 0.15, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 \) obtained by fitting are \( 1.14, 0.95, 0.88, 0.68 \) meV and \( 0.5, 0.54, 0.56, 0.34 \) respectively. It has been noticed that this anomaly can be better fitted by a modification of the linear contribution \( \gamma T \) in the temperature range 2 to 15 K. For example, if we relax the value of \( \gamma \) during fitting, the modified \( \gamma \) values for \( x = 0.15, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 \) will become 44, 37, 29 and 61 mJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-2}\) respectively. Thus, the fact that the enhanced linear contribution \( \gamma T \) to the specific heat (as shown in table 2) is affected by magnetic contributions is reflected by these reduced \( \gamma \) values [27]. We assume this broad linear specific heat contribution to originate from possible ordering of Nd moments. We observe that the magnitude of the Schottky gap \( \Delta_s \) increases with the concentration of Nd\(^{3+} \) (figure 8) ions. Equivalently, the molecular field experienced by the Nd ion is the strongest at the lowest \( x \) and decreases as \( x \) increases. However, the expected full contribution of the split ground-state Kramers doublet from the Nd\(^{3+} \) ions is not found in this fit, which would require that \( n_{\text{Sch}} = 1 - x \). Also the fit in

Figure 7. Result of fits including the Schottky effect to the specific heat data of \( \text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Pb}_x\text{MnO}_3 \) for \( x = 0.15, 0.3 \) and 0.4 in the low temperature range. The points are experimental and the solid lines are the fits. The data for \( x = 0.3 \) have been taken from [27].

Figure 8. The variation of the Schottky gap \( \Delta_s \) and the molecular field \( H_{\text{mf}} \) with Pb concentration \( x \) for \( \text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Pb}_x\text{MnO}_3 \).
the low temperature range is not overall satisfactory as seen in figure 7.

4. Discussion and conclusions

We have seen that the gap energy $\Delta$ in the ferromagnetic insulating phase at low temperature varies with $x$ and vanishes at a critical value $x_c \approx 0.33$. Although the origin of the gap is not clearly understood yet, we can find some explanation in the light of the theory by Pai et al [23]. The theory considers three important on-site interactions in manganites, namely the Jahn–Teller (JT) effect, Hund’s rule coupling ($J_H$), and Coulomb repulsion $U$. The effective bandwidth $2W (W = x^{1/2}D_0)$ of the $b$ band decreases significantly as $x$ decreases for any sizable $U$. Consequently, the bottom of the $b$ band shifts above the Fermi level for small $x$. At $T = 0$ all $e_g$ electrons become localized as $l$ polaron. Mobile $b$ states are occupied only by thermal excitations across the gap. The system is still ferromagnetic because of the Hund’s rule coupling $J_H$ that remains operative also for $l$ polaron. This explains the insulating ferromagnetic behaviour at low doping with a thermally activated electronic transport [39]. Furthermore, with increasing $x$, $W$ increases and beyond a critical concentration $x_c$, when the bandwidth equals the JT-distortion energy, $W(x) = E_{JT}$, the low temperature state becomes a ferromagnetic metal.

We have found the values for $D_0$ and $E_{JT}$ of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ from our analysis. These are of an acceptable order of magnitude, but appreciably smaller than the values estimated by Pai et al. The smaller value for $D_0$ may be related to the decrease of the half-bandwidth due to a cationic radius in Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ which is comparatively smaller than in the wide-band systems such as La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ [23]. However, the measured activation energy $\Delta(x)$ for the composition Nd$_{0.85}$Pb$_{0.15}$MnO$_3$ does not fit well with the dependence expected from the theory of Pai et al [23]. This is possibly related to appreciable antiferromagnetic couplings giving rise to stronger spin-disorder scattering at this low doping close to the insulating antiferromagnetic phase. This could cause an increased apparent activation energy for the crystal with $x = 0.15$. It is noticed that the metallic phase sets in above $x = 0.3$, and for compositions beyond this level we have found a clear metal–insulator transition at high temperatures.

The empirical relationship between the coefficient $A$ for the electronic contribution to resistivity and the coefficient $\gamma$ of the electronic specific heat has been found by Kadowaki and Woods with $A/\gamma^2 \approx 1 \times 10^{-5} \mu \Omega \text{cm} (\text{mol K}^2 \text{mJ}^{-1})^2$ [14]. For the metallic composition $x = 0.42$, we find a Kadowaki–Woods ratio around $850 \times 10^{-5} \mu \Omega \text{cm} (\text{mol K}^2 \text{mJ}^{-1})^2$. This may suggest that the properties of the metal-like manganites are far from those expected for a normal metal. However, we have found that there is still a positive contribution from e–e interaction with conventional exponent $\alpha T^2$ in $x = 0.42$. But, this contribution is not prominent in $x = 0.5$ at $\mu_0H = 0$, possibly due to the resistivity minimum found for this composition. We have attributed this resistivity minimum to weak localization in a disordered correlated system [21]. Resistivity minima at low temperature due to Kondo effect [40] usually disappear in a relatively weak applied field. Moreover, the manganite system at $x = 0.5$ behaves as a homogeneous ferromagnetic metal and not like a metallic alloy with dilute magnetic impurities. Hence, the resistivity minimum observed here for the $x = 0.5$ single crystal should not be related to a Kondo effect.

On the other hand, the enhanced weak-localization contribution term $\rho_\ell$ in the presence of magnetic field is an expected effect. According to [21], the e–e interaction in the presence of strong disorder is special and the magnetic field enhances this interaction which results in an increased resistivity. From the resistivity in the $x = 0.5$ sample, we also find a reduction in the $\rho_H$ term by a magnetic field, which is consistent with a reduced two-magnon scattering. Here, one should remember that it has not been possible to distinguish the $T^{4.5}$ term due to two-magnon scattering from the $T^5$ electron–phonon interaction term.

The weak localization and $T^{1/2}$ e–e interaction should be attributed to the static disorder in these manganites caused by the mixed A-site substitution of tri-valent rare-earth Nd$^{3+}$ by divalent ions (Pb$^{2+}$), which gives rise to some static electronic effects. The magnitude of disorder can be quantified by the A-site variance $\sigma^2 = (r_N^2) = (r_{A^0})^2$ where $r_{A^0}$ is average cationic radius [4]. The $\sigma^2$ for $x = 0.3$ is 0.0101 [27]. Taking this value into consideration the disorder for $x = 0.42$ and 0.5 is around 0.0141 and 0.0168 respectively. The difference between the fitting of resistivity data for $x = 0.42$ and 0.5 (see tables 1 and 2) is clearly observed. Hence, the disorder may not be large enough to cause a prominent effect of weak localization in $x = 0.42$, whereas its influence is strong in the sample with $x = 0.5$. In addition, it should be mentioned for the specific Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ system, that the half-doped system does not show indications of charge-ordered/orbital-ordered and antiferromagnetic behaviour. This is consistent with the proposed phase diagram of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$, where no indication of a charge-ordered/orbital-ordered phase was observed around 50:50 composition [26].

The analysis of the specific heat data points to some particular effects in the magnetic system across the series of crystals. It is seen in table 3 that the value of $D$ increases with $x$, because the average cationic radius ($r_{A^0}$) increases with $x$ as well. Since $D$ is directly proportional to the exchange integral, the higher $D$ value implies larger exchange coupling resulting in higher $T_C$ [27]. The fact that the $x = 0.5$ sample has the highest $T_C$ supports the above explanations. The Debye temperature $\theta_D$ decreases with the increase of $x$ here (see table 3). However, the Debye temperatures are smaller than the usual values reported for manganites [41]. This may be due to the fact that the analysis has not been carried out in the constant $\theta_D$ region and the value is affected by systematic drifts [27, 35]. The electronic contribution $\gamma T$ to the specific heat is unusually large and present, even in the insulating phase, at low temperature. We believe that this broad specific heat contribution is related to a magnetic ordering of Nd moments, and/or to frustrated glassy ordering in the coupled Nd–Mn magnetic system, owing to the dilution of the rare-earth A-site. Such an effect would be poorly emulated by an enhanced value of $\gamma$ over the temperature range up to about
involving the diluted Nd$^{3+}$ ion. Therefore, the detailed understanding of the magnetic effects is difficult for two specific reasons: (i) Even where the $AT^2$ term is clearly present as in $x = 0.42$, the $\gamma$ from specific heat is overestimated by the magnetic contributions to the low temperature specific heat. Therefore, there is no clear way to estimate the Kadowaki–Woods ratio even in that case. In this respect, the true electronic $\gamma$ may be smaller, thus the calculated KW ratio is a lower estimate. (ii) As our study has suggested for $x = 0.5$ the $AT^2$ term is not discernible in some cases. Thus, the material does not behave like a metallic system at all, where such a term in resistivity would result from e–e scattering.

The specific heat measurements at low temperature show a strong influence of a Schottky-like anomaly. The peaks due to Schottky-like anomaly are significantly broadened. This may be the effect of a distribution of ground-state splittings on the Nd due to an inhomogeneous molecular field, e.g. related to a Nd–Nd magnetic exchange and the dilution on the A-site. In particular, there may be a greater number of Nd-sites with very low molecular field and correspondingly low Schottky-like contribution to specific heat. This could explain why only a part of the expected contribution of the two-level systems to the specific heat is found in our fitting. However, efforts to fit the Schottky-anomaly with more than one doublet energy to mimic a distribution of molecular fields were inconclusive owing to the great number of necessary parameters in such fittings. The molecular field leading to the Zeeman-split levels of the ground-state doublet in Nd$^{3+}$ also includes contributions from the Nd–Mn exchange couplings [42]. A direct coupling with the Mn–O subsystem may require some particular form of superexchange like 4f–3d coupling. If an Nd–Mn exchange mechanism is to be the most important contributor, then an inhomogeneity of this molecular field would require an inhomogeneous ordering of the Mn-sublattice. On the other hand, the molecular field as measured by the magnitude of the effective single Schottky gap changes with Nd ion concentration. It is not obvious, why and how the interaction between the Nd and Mn–O subsystem could yield a decreasing molecular field with increasing doping $x$. In particular, the internal Mn–O exchange becomes stronger with increasing $x$ as seen from the increasing Curie temperature up to $x = 0.5$. This indicates that the change of the effective molecular field $H_{\text{eff}}$ is influenced by the Nd content, which may be due to an exchange interaction between the Nd ions, e.g., by a long-range indirect exchange of the RKKY-type. In the case of the Nd–Sr system it has been noticed that the Nd–Nd interaction is small compared to the Nd–Mn interaction [36]. Therefore, the detailed understanding of the magnetic effects involving the diluted Nd$^{3+}$ sublattice on the A-sites requires further theoretical analysis of possible magnetic exchange mechanisms in manganites.

In conclusion, the low temperature transport property and specific heat of Nd$_{1-x}$Pb$_x$MnO$_3$ have been analysed for $x = 0.15, 0.3, 0.4$, and $0.5$. The activation gap $\Delta$ for ferromagnetic insulator samples disappears beyond $x = 0.3$, where the true zero-temperature MI transition takes place at an estimated critical concentration $x_C \approx 0.33$. A positive e–e scattering term $AT^2$ describes the leading resistivity effect in the low temperature transport data for the metallic sample $x = 0.42$. A negative $T^{1/2}$ contribution from e–e scattering valid for disordered systems explains a shallow minimum in the resistivity for the $x = 0.5$ crystal. Low temperature specific heat data have been analysed for these samples. Anomalously large values of the electronic specific heat coefficient $\gamma$ probably originate from magnetic effects. They could be related to a glassy magnetic ordering on the diluted Nd-sublattice. Indications of inhomogeneous magnetic properties are also found from a wide and subdued Schottky-like contribution to the specific heat from the Zeeman-split Kramers ground-state doublets in Nd$^{3+}$-ions.
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