Detection of *Babesia canis vogeli*, *Babesia gibsoni* and *Ehrlichia canis* by multiplex PCR in naturally infected dogs in South India
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**ABSTRACT**

Tick borne haemoparasites and haemorickettsiales pose a major health risk to animals worldwide. The present study reports the development and validation of multiplex PCR to simultaneously detect the most prevalent tick borne pathogens infecting dogs in Kerala, South India. The assay targeting the small subunit ribosomal RNA genes of the organisms could amplify well demarcated amplicons of *B. canis vogeli*, *B. gibsoni* and *E. canis*. In the study population, which included both healthy dogs as well as those with clinical symptoms suggestive of the three infections under study, 46.6% animals were infected with one of the three pathogens, amongst which the occurrence of *B. gibsoni* was significantly the highest. Natural co-infections were also detected in nine dogs, which suggests the suitability of the assay to assist in the selection of pathogen specific treatment protocols.
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**Introduction**

Tick borne pathogens are an emerging problem worldwide. Canine babesiosis and ehrlichiosis are amongst the most prevalent vector borne haemo-parasites / rickettsiales of dogs in India. In South India, canine babesiosis have been reported in Tamil Nadu (SUNDAR et al., 2004; LAKSHMANAN and JOHN, 2007; SENTHILKUMAR et al., 2009) and Kerala, (SABU et al., 2002; KARUNAKARAN et al., 2011; TRESAMOL et al., 2013). Among the three subspecies of *Babesia canis* viz., *B. canis canis*, *B. canis*
vogeli and B. canis rossi, (UILENBERG et al., 1989), only B. canis vogeli has been reported to infect dogs in Kerala state (AUGUSTINE et al., 2017). Among the different Babesia spp., the latter also recorded a higher proportion of B. gibsoni among the study population. Babesia gibsoni is mainly transmitted by Haemaphysalis longicornis and Rhipicephalus sanguineus ticks (SOLANO-GALLEG0 and BANETH, 2011). Clinically, canine babesiosis is exhibited in a wide range of presentations from subclinical disease to serious illness characterised by fever, pallor, jaundice, splenomegaly, weakness and collapse, associated with intra and extra vascular haemolysis. Canine monocytic ehrlichiosis caused by Ehrlichia canis and transmitted by R. sanguineus, is also a febrile disease associated with lymphadenopathy, bone marrow suppression and pancytopenia.

Infections with multiple tick-transmitted pathogens may occur in animals in endemic areas, because the same tick species can be a vector of several pathogens (KLEDMANEE et al., 2009). Detection of concurrent infection is imperative in this context to ensure successful control. A single-step PCR assay to detect co-infection with three subspecies of B. canis (DUARTE et al., 2008), PCR-RFLP to detect and differentiate B. canis canis and B. canis vogeli (SOLANO-GALLEG0 et al., 2008), multiplex PCR assay to detect E. canis, Babesia spp. and Hepatozoon canis (KLEDMANEE et al., 2009), multiplex quantitative real time assay for B. canis vogeli and E. canis (PELEG et al., 2010) are among the several more successful attempts to detect multiple infection with vector-borne canine pathogens.

Concurrent infections of babesiosis and ehrlichiosis are not uncommon in South India (LAKSHMANAN and JOHN, 2007). It is noteworthy that effective therapeutic protocols for B. canis, B. gibsoni and E. canis involve the use of different drug combinations and hence warrant the need to sensitively detect concurrent infections at an early stage. Multiplex PCR, for detection of B. canis, B. gibsoni and E. canis in a single assay, could be useful for sensitive detection, selection of specific treatment for each disease and to assess the clearance of the organisms after treatment. This paper describes a multiplex PCR protocol targeting the simultaneous amplification of the small subunit ribosomal RNA of the three most prevalent tick borne pathogens of dogs in South India.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample collection.** Whole blood samples (n = 150) collected from dogs with clinical symptoms suggestive of babesiosis/ehrlichiosis (n = 65), as well as from apparently healthy dogs (n = 85) from different parts of Kerala, South India, formed the material for the study. Thin peripheral blood smears stained by Giemsa’s method were microscopically examined to identify the presence of Babesia spp. and Ehrlichia spp.

**Molecular analysis.** DNA extraction was done from whole blood with anticoagulant added by the phenol chloroform method (SAMBR0OK and RUSELL, 2001) with
modifications (ARAVINDAKSHAN et al., 1998) as well as by using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). The quality of DNA in the final elutes were estimated using a nanospectrophotometer (Nano drop 2000 C, Thermo-scientific, USA). Samples which yielded a ratio between 1.7 and 1.9 at 260:280 nm were selected for analysis.

The PCR reaction mixture and gradient cycling conditions were initially standardized using a known positive blood DNA sample, as revealed by heavy parasitaemia during microscopic examination of stained blood smears. The primers targeting 18S rRNA of B. canis and 16S rRNA of E. canis were selected as per DUARTE et al. (2008) and GAL et al. (2008) respectively. The primers targeting the 18S rRNA gene of B. gibsoni were designed using Primer 3 software (www.bioinformatics.org>sms2) and specificity confirmed by blast analysis (BLASTntool : www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The primers are listed in Table 1. The multiplex PCR was performed in a 30 µL reaction volume containing 3.0 µL of buffer (10X) without MgCl₂, 500 µM each of dNTP, 25 pmol each of forward and reverse primers, 2.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.5U of Taq DNA polymerase and 6.0 µL of template DNA. All the reagents were procured from Sigma Aldrich (USA). A no template control (NTC) was included in each run. A gradient thermal cycling program ((Bio-Rad T100, USA) was adopted, with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94 °C, 30 s), annealing (52 °C to 60 °C, 30 s) and extension (72 °C, 1 min) and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The amplified PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in 3% agarose gel (Hoefer, USA) and visualised in Gel-documentation system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The amplicons were purified using silica gel purification columns (GeneJET, Thermoscientific), sequenced using the Sangers dideoxy chain termination method and the sequences were aligned using Sequencher Version 5.0 (Sci Genom Labs Pvt Ltd, Cochin).

Table 1. Primers used for the study

| Sl No. | Organism               | Primer sequence                      |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1      | Babesia canis vogeli   | BAB1 F: 5’- GTG AAC CTT ATC ACT TAA AGG-3’ BAB4 R: 5’- CAA CTC CTC CAC GCA ATC G-3’ |
| 2      | B. gibsoni             | BAGI F: 5’- TTG GCG GCG TTT ATT AGT TC-3’ BAGI R: 5’- AAA GGG GAA AAC CCC AAA AG-3’ |
| 3      | Ehrlichia canis        | ECA F: 5’- AAC ACA TGC AAG TCG AAC GGA-3’ HE3R: 5’- TAT AGG TAC CTC CAT TAT CTT CCC TAT-3’ |
Results

Individual amplification reactions with known positive controls of *B. canis vogeli*, *B. gibsoni* and *E. canis* yielded specific PCR products of approximately 600 bp, 488 bp, and 412 bp sizes respectively. Positive PCR signals were not generated in any of the negative control samples. Further, there was no cross amplification of the species, thus confirming the specificity of the protocol. Sequence analysis of the three amplicons by BLAST tool against the respective published sequences in GenBank confirmed the identity of each sequence. Multiplex PCR standardized for simultaneous detection of natural infections of *B. canis vogeli*, *B. gibsoni* and *E. canis* generated specific and well demarcated amplicons at an optimum annealing temperature of 59 °C, when the DNA of known positive controls of these three pathogens were mixed to yield the template sample (Fig. 1). This protocol was further applied to the test DNA samples (n = 150) to validate the protocol, as well as to generate data on the true prevalence of infection among dogs in Kerala.

Blood smear examination could detect infection with either of these tick-borne pathogens in 28% of animals, while multiplex PCR assay revealed 46.6% (70/150) of sampled dogs to be positive (Table 2), which signalled the higher sensitivity of the latter to detect these pathogens during natural infections. Amongst these, a higher percentage of *B. gibsoni* (26%) infection was noted followed by *B. canis vogeli* (10.7%) and *E. canis* (4%). There was a large statistically significant difference in the prevalence of *B. gibsoni* (Cal $\chi^2 >$ Table $\chi^2$ at 1% level). However, by conventional microscopy, piroplasms of *B. canis* and *B. gibsoni* were observed in 10% and 16.6% of the study population respectively, while inclusion bodies of *E. canis* could be detected in only 1.3% of the samples (Fig. 2).
Table 2. Number of dogs infected with tick borne pathogens

| Nature of infection | Pathogen species detected in dogs by multiplex PCR |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     | *B. canis vogeli* | *B. gibsoni* | *E. canis* |
| Single infection    | 16               | 39*           | 6          |
| Coinfection with    |                  |               |            |
| *B. canis vogeli*   | -                | 3             | 5          |
| *B. gibsoni*        | 3                | -             | 1          |
| *E. canis*          | 0                | 1             | -          |

* Highly significant [Cal $\chi^2 >$ Table $\chi^2$ (1%)]

Fig. 2. Comparison of PCR and microscopy for pathogen detection
Multiplex PCR assay also proved to be useful in detecting natural co-infections with these pathogens. Concurrent infection with *E. canis* and *B. canis vogeli* (3.3%), *B. gibsoni* with *B. canis vogeli* (2%) and with *E. canis* (0.66%) could be detected with the PCR assay. It is noteworthy that co-infection could not be detected during blood smear examination. Among the three pathogens, the occurrence of *B. gibsoni* was the highest in this study by multiplex PCR as well as by conventional microscopy. The multiplex PCR could detect canine babesiosis and ehrlichiosis with 82.72% and 92.6% specificity, respectively, while the sensitivity was 100% (Table 3).

### Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity analysis of multiplex PCR

| Technique          | Staining positive | Staining negative |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Babesia spp.**   |                   |                   |
| PCR Positive       | 40                | 19                |
| PCR Negative       | 0                 | 91                |
| **Ehrlichia canis**|                   |                   |
| PCR Positive       | 1                 | 11                |
| PCR Negative       | 0                 | 138               |

### Discussion

Multiplex PCR assay, used to detect co-infection of pathogenic organisms prevalent in a geographical area, is a rapid and accurate tool to assess the epidemiological status of infection. There are several published studies on the detection of co-infection with subspecies of *Babesia* in dogs, utilising different PCR based assays and its higher sensitivity in detecting organisms is compared to conventional techniques (MATJILA et al., 2004; DUARTE et al., 2008; SOLANO-GALLEGO et al., 2008; COSTA-JUNIOR et al., 2012; KAMANI et al., 2013). Perusal of the literature suggested that multiplex PCR had not been attempted in India for identifying *B. canis vogeli*, *B. gibsoni* and *E. canis*, though co-infection of *B. canis vogeli* with *E. canis*, as well as with *H. canis* has been detected among dogs in North, North East and Central India by separate PCR assays (RANI et al., 2011). SINGH et al. (2014) surveyed 214 blood samples of dogs in North West India by conventional microscopy, and reported an overall prevalence of 7.47% *Babesia* spp. infection, among which the proportion of *B. gibsoni* was significantly the highest (6.34%). In spite of several records of canine babesiosis in South India based on microscopic examination of peripheral blood smears, the infection had been thoroughly underestimated in this area until AUGUSTINE et al. (2017) revealed the presence of *Babesia* spp. in 57% of clinically suspected dogs (46/80) using semi nested PCR. They also observed a higher sensitivity for the PCR assay in comparison to microscopic detection.
of piroplasms in stained blood smears. A retrospective study of canine haemoproteozoa in Tamil Nadu during 2006-2011, as reported by conventional microscopic detection, also revealed a higher prevalence of *B. gibsoni* (56.67%) followed by *E. canis* (23.21%) (VAIRAMUTHU et al., 2014). In this context, the development of a sensitive tool, for detection of multiple pathogen species associated with canine babesiosis and ehrlichiosis, assumes significance. Multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of the most prevalent tick borne canine pathogens, *viz.*, *B. canis vogeli, B. gibsoni* and *E. canis*, successfully validated in the present study, could serve to detect co-infections in endemic areas. The assay had higher sensitivity when compared to blood smear examination.

In the present survey population, which included both healthy dogs and those with clinical symptoms suggestive of the three infections under study, babesiosis was detected in 42.66% animals. Different reports based on molecular assays conducted in babesiosis endemic countries revealed a sample prevalence that ranged from 0.01 to 6.6% (CRIADO-FORNELIO et al., 2003; DUH et al., 2004; INOKUMA et al., 2004; BIRKENHEUR et al., 2005; OYAMADA et al., 2005; M’GHIRBI and BOUATTOUR, 2008). Our studies support and confirm that canine babesiosis is endemic in this State of South India, with a significantly higher proportion of animals harbouring *B. gibsoni*, the small babesial piroplasm. *Babesia canis*, the large babesial piroplasm could be detected only in clinically suspected dogs, by both PCR and conventional microscopic techniques without a significant difference in sensitivity. In contrast, *B. gibsoni* could be detected by multiplex PCR in both suspected as well as healthy animals, indicating the possibility of carrier status for this pathogen in endemic areas. The higher sensitivity of multiplex PCR when compared to conventional microscopy for detecting *B. gibsoni* and *E. canis* infection needs considerable attention. Molecular evidence of *E. canis*, either as single or as concurrent infection, provided by the multiplex PCR assay also revealed that the prevalence of canine ehrlichiosis is low in this south-western state, compared to the neighbouring state of Tamil Nadu (RAJAGOPAL et al., 2004; LAKSHMANAN et al., 2007). These authors also established the higher sensitivity of PCR assays for detection of natural *E. canis* infections. Moreover, the results of the present study also demonstrated remarkable variations in the endemicity patterns of canine tick borne pathogens in south India.

The multi-pathogen detection assay was able to identify co-infection in nine dogs under field conditions. The higher occurrence of concurrent infections of *B. canis* and *E. canis* could be attributed to the existence of a common vector for these pathogens. Co-infections with more than one pathogen could partially explain variations in pathogenicity, clinical presentation, and response to therapy during natural infections. The technique not only reduces the time and cost involved in detecting multiple pathogens with superior sensitivity, but also holds promise as a valuable clinical tool to facilitate selection of
the appropriate treatment protocol during concurrent infections. The assay could be adopted to assess the carrier status of ticks in a particular geographical area, to map the epidemiological status of haemoparasitic and haemorickettsiales.
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Sažetak

Krvni paraziti i rikecije podrijetlom iz krpelja glavna su opasnost za zdravlje životinja diljem svijeta. U ovom je istraživanju razvijen i validiran višestruki PCR za istodobno dokazivanje najčešćih uzročnika koje na pse prenose krpelji u Kerali u Južnoj Indiji. Malim ciljnim subjediničnim ribosomskim RNA mogli su proizvesti jasno razlučivi produciti specifični za B. canis vogeli, B. gibsoni i E. canis. U pretraživanoj populaciji koja je obuhvaćala zdrave pse i one s kliničkim znakovima, koji su upućivali na infekciju uzrokovanu jednim od spomenutih uzročnika, 46,6 % životinja bilo je inficirano jednim od njih triju. Infekcija vrstom B. gibsoni bila je znatno češća. Prirodna je koinfekcija dokazana u devet pasa, što potvrđuje prikladnost testa za dijagnostiku i njegovo značenje pri poduzimanju odgovarajućeg liječenja.

Ključne riječi: višestruki PCR; Babesia canis vogeli; Babesia gibsoni; Ehrlichia canis
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