The Efficacy of Chinese Herbal Medicine as an Adjunctive Therapy for Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
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Abstract

Many published studies reflect the growing application of complementary and alternative medicine, particularly Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) use in combination with conventional cancer therapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), but its efficacy remains largely unexplored. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of CHM combined with conventional chemotherapy (CT) in the treatment of advanced NSCLC. Publications in 11 electronic databases were extensively searched, and 24 trials were included for analysis. A sum of 2,109 patients was enrolled in these studies, at which 1,064 patients participated in CT combined CHM and 1,039 in CT (six patients dropped out and were not reported the group enrolled). Compared to using CT alone, CHM combined with CT significantly increase one-year survival rate (RR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.15–1.60, p = 0.0003). Besides, the combined therapy significantly increased immediate tumor response (RR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.19–1.56, p < 0.0005) and improved Karnofsky performance score (KPS) (RR = 2.90, 95% CI = 1.62–5.18, p = 0.0003). Combined therapy remarkably reduced the nausea and vomiting at toxicity grade of III–IV (RR = 0.24, 95% CI = 0.12–0.50, p = 0.0001) and prevented the decline of hemoglobin and platelet in patients under CT at toxicity grade of I–IV (RR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.51–0.80, p < 0.0001). Moreover, the herbs that are frequently used in NSCLC patients were identified. This systematic review suggests that CHM as an adjuvant therapy can reduce CT toxicity, prolong survival rate, enhance immediate tumor response, and improve KPS in advanced NSCLC patients. However, due to the lack of large-scale randomized clinical trials in the included studies, further larger scale trials are needed.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common malignancy worldwide and a leading cause of cancer-related deaths. In 2012, it is estimated that 160,300 deaths (87,700 in men, 72,600 in women) from lung cancer would occur in the United States [1].

The non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common form of lung cancer, which accounts for approximately 85% of all lung cancer cases. Nowadays the standard treatment for patient with advanced NSCLC who has a good performance status, platinum-based chemotherapy (CT) is the first-line regimen [2,3]. However, platinum-based CT has the potential for severe adverse events, and the International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial suggests that more deaths in the CT group and the benefit of CT decreased over time [4,5]. In spite of the development of new CT regimens use in the treatment of NSCLC, the prognosis of the patients remains poor. Its five-year survival rate is as low as 15.9% [6,7]. Thus, there is an increasing awareness to maximize tumor control, prolong overall survival, minimize CT side-effects and improve quality of life (QoL).

In complementary and alternative medicine (CAM), Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) has become increasingly popular for the patients with advanced NSCLC. Recent studies have reported some CHMs in associated with platinum-based CT have definite superiority in relieving the symptoms of lung cancer patients, reducing the severe adverse effects (AEs) of standard cancer therapy, enhancing short-term efficacy and improving patients QoL [8]. There are a variety of herbs being used in different combinations and forms, such as oral administration and intravenous injection, to treat advanced NSCLC combined with platinum-based CT. However, albeit a number of studies being published in Chinese, the evidence on efficacy of CHM as adjuvant therapy to conventional CT is not well demonstrated in the Western world. Thus, the aim of this study is to carry out a comprehensive systematic review about the efficacy of CHM as an adjuvant therapy for advanced NSCLC.
Figure 1. Flow chart of study selection.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057604.g001

Figure 2. Number of patients with survival >one-year. Overall survivals estimated from meta-analysis of pairwise comparisons in the patients with chemotherapy combined Chinese herbal medicine (CTC, treatment group) versus patients in chemotherapy (CT, control group).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057604.g002
Methods

Clinical trials were retrieved from 11 databases as well as from conference papers and theses. The studies were reviewed independently by SGL and HYC. The data from included studies were extracted by the first reviewer and verified by the second reviewer. Discrepancies were rectified referring to the original articles. Only the studies which satisfied the criteria were included in the meta-analysis.

Search Strategy

The terms retrieved in databases were as the following: (non-small-cell lung cancer OR non-small-cell lung carcinoma OR NSCLC OR squamous cell lung carcinoma large cell lung carcinoma OR lung adenocarcinoma) AND (Chinese medicine OR traditional Chinese medicine OR Chinese herbal medicine OR Chinese herbal drug OR traditional herbal medicine OR herbal medicine OR traditional Japanese medicine OR traditional medicine OR ethnomedicine OR folk medicine OR folk remedies OR home remedies OR indigenous medicine OR primitive medicine OR materia medica OR homeopathic remedies OR nosodes OR traditional East Asian medicine OR traditional Far Eastern medicine OR Far East medicine OR Oriental medicine OR Korean medicine OR Tibetan medicine OR herb OR herbaceous agent OR medicinal plant OR medicinal herbs OR medicinal plant product OR plant extract OR plant preparation OR herbal preparation OR botanic OR botany OR Kampo OR Kang or traditional Mongolian medicine OR Mongolian folk medicine OR Chinese traditional medicine). The search strategy is as follows:

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Total | Weight | Risk Ratio | Risk Ratio |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------|------------|------------|
|                   | Events | Total | Events | Total | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Chen et al 2008   | 24     | 51    | 16    | 49    | 7.2% | 1.44 [0.88, 2.37] |
| Deng et al 2012   | 10     | 28    | 7     | 30    | 3.0% | 1.53 [0.68, 3.47] |
| Huang et al 2011  | 8      | 29    | 5     | 28    | 2.2% | 1.54 [0.57, 4.15] |
| Huang et al 2012  | 6      | 29    | 8     | 28    | 3.6% | 0.72 [0.29, 1.82] |
| Li et al 2003     | 7      | 40    | 5     | 40    | 2.2% | 1.40 [0.48, 4.04] |
| Li et al 2009     | 26     | 42    | 16    | 41    | 7.1% | 1.59 [1.01, 2.49] |
| Lin 2008          | 42     | 58    | 28    | 57    | 12.4% | 1.47 [1.08, 2.01] |
| Lin and Zheng 2011| 7      | 30    | 5     | 30    | 2.2% | 1.40 [0.50, 3.92] |
| Lu and Wei 2009   | 16     | 30    | 13    | 30    | 5.7% | 1.23 [0.73, 2.09] |
| Sun 2011          | 13     | 30    | 10    | 29    | 4.5% | 1.26 [0.66, 2.40] |
| Xu et al 2007     | 17     | 60    | 11    | 56    | 5.0% | 1.44 [0.74, 2.81] |
| Yang 2007         | 9      | 32    | 9     | 34    | 3.8% | 1.06 [0.48, 2.34] |
| Zhang et al 2008  | 23     | 51    | 19    | 55    | 8.0% | 1.31 [0.81, 2.10] |
| Zhang et al 2012  | 20     | 63    | 11    | 56    | 5.1% | 1.62 [0.85, 3.07] |
| Zheng et al 2010  | 15     | 30    | 14    | 30    | 6.1% | 1.07 [0.63, 1.81] |
| Zhou et al 2005   | 27     | 103   | 13    | 92    | 6.0% | 1.86 [1.02, 3.38] |
| Zhou et al 2012   | 4      | 25    | 1     | 25    | 0.4% | 4.00 [0.48, 33.33] |
| Zhu and Guo 2011  | 41     | 92    | 35    | 90    | 15.5% | 1.15 [0.81, 1.62] |

Total (95% CI): 823 / 800, 100.0% (1.36 [1.19, 1.56])

Total events: 315 / 226

Heterogeneity: CHI² = 7.39, df = 17 (P = 0.98); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.50 (P < 0.00001)

Figure 3. Immediate tumor responses. Immediate tumor responses estimated from meta-analysis of pairwise comparisons in patients with chemotherapy combined Chinese herbal medicine (CTC, treatment group) versus patients in chemotherapy (CT, control group). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057604.g003

Figure 4. Quality of life. The quality of life changes on Karnofsky performance scale (KPS) were estimated from meta-analysis of pairwise comparisons in patients with Chinese herbal medicine (CTC, treatment group) versus patients in chemotherapy (CT, control group). KPS improvement (the increase of KPS ≥10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057604.g004
A. Nausea and vomiting at toxicity grade of III~IV

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Huang et al 2011  | 0 29         | 0 28    |        | Not estimable                |                              |
| Huang et al 2012  | 3 28         | 7 29    | 20.9%  | 0.44 [0.13, 1.55]            |                              |
| Lu and Wei 2009   | 2 30         | 6 30    | 18.2%  | 0.33 [0.07, 1.52]            |                              |
| Xu et al 2007     | 1 60         | 3 56    | 9.4%   | 0.31 [0.03, 2.90]            |                              |
| Zheng et al 2010  | 2 30         | 17 30   | 51.5%  | 0.12 [0.03, 0.47]            |                              |
| Total (95% CI)    | 177 173      | 100.0%  | 0.24 [0.12, 0.50]            |                              |
| Total events      | 8 33         |         |        |                              |                              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² = 2.18, df = 3 (P = 0.54); I² = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 3.61 (P = 0.0001) |

B. Hemoglobin decline at the toxicity grade of I~IV

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Huang et al 2011  | 11 29        | 13 28   | 11.5%  | 0.82 [0.44, 1.51]            |                              |
| Li and Li et al 2012 | 16 40      | 20 39   | 17.6%  | 0.78 [0.48, 1.27]            |                              |
| Lu and Wei 2009   | 5 30         | 9 30    | 7.8%   | 0.56 [0.21, 1.46]            |                              |
| Sun 2011          | 4 30         | 16 29   | 14.1%  | 0.24 [0.09, 0.64]            |                              |
| Xu et al 2007     | 31 60        | 39 56   | 35.0%  | 0.74 [0.55, 1.00]            |                              |
| Zhu and Guo 2011  | 8 92         | 16 90   | 14.0%  | 0.49 [0.22, 1.09]            |                              |
| Total (95% CI)    | 281 272      | 100.0%  | 0.64 [0.51, 0.80]            |                              |
| Total events      | 75 113       |         |        |                              |                              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² = 6.64, df = 5 (P = 0.25); I² = 25% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 3.96 (P < 0.0001) |

C. Hemoglobin decline at the toxicity grade of III~IV

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Huang et al 2011  | 1 29         | 2 28    | 13.3%  | 0.48 [0.05, 5.03]            |                              |
| Li and Li et al 2012 | 2 40       | 5 39    | 33.2%  | 0.39 [0.08, 1.89]            |                              |
| Lu and Wei 2009   | 0 30         | 0 30    |        | Not estimable                |                              |
| Sun 2011          | 0 30         | 2 29    | 16.7%  | 0.19 [0.01, 3.87]            |                              |
| Xu et al 2007     | 4 60         | 2 56    | 13.6%  | 1.87 [0.36, 9.80]            |                              |
| Zhu and Guo 2011  | 1 92         | 1 90    | 6.6%   | 0.98 [0.06, 15.40]           |                              |
| Zhu et al 2011    | 0 32         | 2 31    | 16.6%  | 0.19 [0.01, 3.88]            |                              |
| Total (95% CI)    | 313 303      | 100.0%  | 0.58 [0.26, 1.29]            |                              |
| Total events      | 8 14         |         |        |                              |                              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.35, df = 5 (P = 0.65); I² = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1.33 (P = 0.18) |
medicine OR Mongolian medicine OR phyotherapy OR herb therapy OR herbal therapy OR ethnopharmacology OR ethnobotany OR phyogenic OR alternative medicine OR alternative therapy OR complementary therapy OR complementary medicine OR TCM OR CHM OR Zhong Yi Xue) AND (clinical trial OR randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR multicenter study OR phase 1 clinical trial OR phase 2 clinical trial OR phase 3 clinical trial OR phase 4 clinical trial). The terms in Chinese adopted from the above terms were retrieved in Chinese databases.

**Databases**

The databases in English language included EMBASE (1974 to September 2012), MEDLINE (1946 to September 2012), AMED (from 1985 to September 2012), EBM Reviews included Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (2005 to September 2012), ACP Journal Club (1991 to September 2012), Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (September 2012), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (September 2012), Cochrane Methodology Register (September 2012), Health Technology Assessment (September 2012), NHS Economic Evaluation Database (September 2012). The databases in Chinese included CNKI (China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database, China academic journals, conference proceedings and theses; 1979 to September 2012).

**Inclusion Criteria**

Studies included in the meta-analysis had to meet all of the following criteria: (1) Participants: NSCLC patients had to be diagnosed by pathological sections and were treated by the CT. (2) Type of studies: only clinical randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible. (3) Type of intervention: studies reported CT combined with or without CHM. For studies using other agents as the third arm, only the two arms using CHM and/or CT will be included for analysis. (4) Type of outcome measurements: overall survival rate and tumor response were the main outcome measurements; other outcome measurement included reduction in AEs of CT, improvement in clinical symptoms and blood disorders were also considered.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Clinical trials were excluded if they did not meet the above criteria. In addition, studies with the followings were also excluded: (1) CHM were used in both of the intervention group and control group; (2) Non-original research (e.g. review article, letter to the editor); (3) Duplicated publications.

**Outcome Measures**

Survival rate, tumor response of CHM on the number of patients with complete response (CR) or partial response (PR), as well as those with progressive disease (PD) based on the WHO scale were examined. The improved or stable performance status of patients were investigated based on the Karnofsky performance score (KPS), in which 100 refers to a normal subject with no complaints, 70 refers to a patient unable to carry on normal activity, 50 refers to a patient who requires considerable assistance, 40 refers to a disabled patient and 30 refers to a hospitalization-recommended patient. The efficacy of CHM on relieving the AEs of CT including nausea and vomiting, hemoglobin (HB), platelet (PLT) were studied by grading the acute and subacute AEs of cancer treatment.

**Quality Assessment**

Methodological quality of RCTs was assessed using the five-point Jadad scale [9]. All trials were reviewed by at least two reviewers and any disagreement was resolved by third reviewer consensus. In addition, the risk of bias for the included studies was also assessed.

**Data Analysis**

The Review Manager 5.1 software (Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) was employed for data analysis. The effect data is expressed as relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). If the heterogeneity exists in pooled studies ($I^2 > 50\%$), a random model was applied, otherwise the fix model was applied. Statistic significant difference was considered as $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the Included Studies**

In the study, 2,998 articles were retrieved. 30 studies were finally included. Among these studies, six studies were not pooled for analysis as four studies had Jadad score 2 [10–13], and other two studies did not report tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging information [14,15]. Therefore, 24 eligible studies were included for meta-analysis. The study selection process details were described in Figure 1. A sum of 2,109 patients was enrolled in these studies, at which 1,064 patients participated in CT combined CHM (CTC) and 1,039 in CT (six patients dropped out and were not reported the group enrolled). A total 78 patients withdraw or dropped out, 36 patients in CTC, 36 in CT and six patients in groups not specified.

All the patients recruited in the 24 studies were at stage III to IV of NSCLC TNM, and all of the studies were graded at least Jadad score 3. The risk of bias of all studies was shown in Table S1. The course of treatment varied from 4 to 16 weeks in the included studies. A list of therapeutic approaches and outcome assessment in each study, and the quality of studies assessed by five-point Jadad scale was listed in Table 1. All studies had claimed the baseline were comparable except one study [32] including age, gender, histopathology or TNM stage as shown in Table S2.

**Survival**

One-year survival was analyzed as shown in Figure 2. One-year survival in pooled studies showed a significant rise in CTC compared to CT alone (RR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.15–1.60, $p = 0.0003$, seven studies, 608 patients), with low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$) [16–22]. A half-year survival also demonstrated a favor of CTC compared to CT alone (RR = 1.18, 95% CI = 1.04–1.33, $p = 0.008$, two studies, 157 patients) as shown in Figure S1.

**Immediate Tumor Response**

As shown in Figure 3, CTC therapy was associated with a significant increase in the number of patients who reported complete or partial response (RR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.19–1.56, $p = 0.008$, two studies, 157 patients) as shown in Figure S1.
### Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

| Study | No. of participants/dropout or withdrawal | TAM stage | Control group | CHM formula | Duration (weeks) | Jadad scale |
|-------|------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Chen et al. 2008 [16] | 106/6 dropout patients | IIIB–IV NP | Shengmai injection | Gуйjin grand decoction | 12 | 3 |
| Chen et al. 2011 [17] | 770 | IIIB–IV NP/TP | Feiji recipe | Tumor response, survival rate, chemotoxicity | 83 | 3 |
| Deng et al. 2012 [18] | 660 | IIIB–IV TP | Feitai capsule | Tumor response, CT completion rate, CT delay rate, tumor response, survival rate, KPS | 12 | 4 |
| Deng et al. 2013 [19] | 603 withdrawns | IIIB–IV TP | Shengmai injection | Zhen Qi Liedu decoction | 8 | 3 |
| Huang et al. 2011 [20] | 60/3 withdrawals | IIIB–IV GP | Yinqi Yangyin decoction | Tumor response, survival rate, chemotoxicity, KPS | 83 | 3 |
| Huang et al. 2012 [21] | 60/3 withdrawals | IIIB–IV GP | Ziyin Qinre Jiedu decoction | Tumor response, chemotoxicity, KPS, CD4, CD8 | 11.4 | 3 |
| Li and Li 2012 [22] | 80/1 withdrawal | III–IV TP | Jianpi Yangxue decoction | Chemotoxicity | 3 | 3 |
| Li et al. 2003 [23] | 80/drop out: 7 patients in CTC and 5 patients in CT | III–IV CAP/EP/CT combined with radiotherapy | Intravenous injection of Xiaoji decoction based on syndrome differentiation | Tumor response, survival rate, chemotoxicity, KPS, CD4, CD8 | 84 | 3 |
| Li et al. 2009 [24] | 83/0 IIIB–IV MVP | Haishensu | Tumor response, survival rate, chemotoxicity, KPS, body weight | Chemotoxicity | 44 | 3 |
| Lin 2008 [25] | 129/drop out: 5 patients in CTC and 4 patients in CT | IIIB–IV MVP combined with radiotherapy | Fuzheng Kangai decoction | Chemotoxicity, KPS | 12 | 3 |
| Lin and Zheng 2012 [26] | 60/withdrawals | III–IV NP | Shengmai injection | Cost-tumor control rate ratio and cost-one year survival rate ratio | 8–16 | 4 |
| Lu and Wei 2009 [27] | 60 | III–IV NP | Feiyan Ning decoction | Survival rate, size of tumor, body weight, survival rate | 83 | 3 |
| Shan et al. 2011 [28] | 60/0 III–IV NP/NC/TP | CHM decoction and intravenous dripping of patent Chinese medicine | EORTC QLQ-LC43 | 8 | 3 |
| Sun 2011 [29] | 660/0 IIIB–IV MVP | NP | Tumor response, survival rate, chemotoxicity, body weight, tumor response, KPS, NK cells | Chemotoxicity | 9 | 4 |
| Xu et al. 2008 [30] | 120/withdrawals | III–IV NP/GP/MVP | Kangliu Zengxiao decoction, Feiyan Ning decoction | Survival rate, KPS, main clinical symptoms, chemotoxicity | 12-16 | 4 |
| Yang 2007 [31] | 770/0 IIIB–IV NP | Feiyan Ning decoction | Fuzheng Jiedu decoction | Chemotoxicity, KPS, body weight | 8–16 | 4 |
| Yao et al. 2011 [32] | 86/0 III–IV NP/CE/TP | Yangyin Ruanjian decoction | Cost-tumor control rate ratio and cost-one year survival rate ratio | Quality of life, size of tumor, body weight, survival rate, chemotoxicity, mean survival time | 12 | 3 |
| Zhang et al. 2008 [33] | 120/withdrawals | III–IV NP | Shengmai injection | Cost-tumor control rate ratio and cost-one year survival rate ratio | 84 | 3 |
| Zhang et al. 2012 [34] | 135/16 dropouts | IIIB–IV NP | NP/CE TP | Antiarithmetic | 135 | 6 |
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### Table 1. Cont.

| Study | No. of participants/dropout or withdrawal | Duration (week) | Control group (CHM formula) | TCM stage | Assessment of outcome | CHM stage | TCM stage | Control group (CHM formula) | TCM stage | Assessment of outcome | CHM stage | TCM stage | Control group (CHM formula) | TCM stage | Assessment of outcome | CHM stage |
|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Zheng et al. | 40/drop out: 2 patients in CTC and 1 patient in CT | 8 | Reedper, Adrif, Nerci, Ose, Yagci | III–IV | Tumor response, chemotherapy toxicity, Tumor response rate, median survival time, clinical symptoms | IIIB–IV | DP | Shenmai injection | 2007 [37] | Tumor response | 8 | 3 |
| Zhou et al. | 324/30 drop out and withdrawals; III–IV | NP | Hechan Pian/Shenyi Jiaonang | III–IV | Overall response rate, median survival time, cost-effect ratio | III–IV | NP | Yiqi Yangyin Huatan decoction | 2005 [32] | Overall response rate | 10 | 4 |
| Zhu et al. | 52/2 withdrawals | III–IV | TP/NP/DP/GP | III–IV | Clinical symptoms, adverse effect ratio | III–IV | NP | Kangliu Zengxiao decoction | 2011 [36] | Clinical symptoms | 8 | 4 |

Adrenergic CGP = adrenergic-gynostemon-capsicum, CM = Chinese herbal medicine, CR = chemotherapy, CTC = chemotherapy combined with Chinese herb medicine, DDP = cisplatinum, D = docetaxel, EP = VP-16, + cisplatinum, NC = vinorelbine, + cisplatinum, NP = vinorelbine, + cisplatinum, KPS = Karnofsky performance score, MDC = macrophage-derived chemokine, MVP = mitomycin, + vindesine, CT = chemotherapy, CTC = chemotherapy combined with Chinese herb medicine, DDP = cisplatinum, DP = docetaxel

p<1.0E-5, 18 studies, 1,623 patients [16,18–34]. In addition, the advantage of CTC therapy was found in the number of patients who reported complete, partial and stable response (RR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.08–1.19, p<1.0E-5, 19 studies, 1,697 patients, figure not showed).

### Performance Status

Two types of KPS data were reported in the studies, the improvement of KPS (ten-point cutoff) and the value of KPS in pre- and post-treatment. Six studies of the 24 studies, with evaluation of 526 patients were being analyzed. 35.1% and 10.9% of patients reported improved (the increase of KPS≥10) in CTC (n = 270) and in CT (n = 256), respectively. Significant findings with improvement were shown in the CTC (RR = 2.90, 95% CI = 1.62–5.18, p = 0.0003, six studies, 526 patients) [21,26,29,30,35,36]. There was no significant heterogeneity among these studies (I² = 51%). Dropping one of any studies did not alter the result that favor of CTC. The value of KPS was reported with pre-treatment in seven studies [19,24–26,30,34,37] and post-treatment in four studies [24,25,34,37]. The pooled studies showed that the KPS of pre-treatment had no significant difference in CTC and CT (SMD = -0.04, 95% CI = -0.20–0.12, p = 0.64, I² = 0%). However, the pooled studies indicated the heterogeneity in the four studies of post-treatment (SMD = 1.03, 95% CI = -0.69–2.14, p = 0.07, I² = 95%). Interestingly, these four studies all claimed significant improvement in CTC compared to CT.

### Reduction in CT Toxicity

Nausea and vomiting are common AEs of CT. A significant reduction of nausea and vomiting at toxicity grade of III–IV in CTC compared to CT therapy was found (RR = 0.24, 95% CI = 0.12–0.50, p = 1.0E-4, five studies, 350 patients) [19,24,25,30,31] (Figure 5A). However, there was significant heterogeneity in the studies with reduction of nausea and vomiting at toxicity grade of I–IV (data not shown). One study reported a significant reduction of nausea and vomiting in CTC compared to CT at the 10th day of second treatment, based on the questionnaire EORTC QLQ-LC43 (combination of EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-LC13) [38]. The study was not pooled due to the different data types.

The decrease of HB at the toxicity grade of I–IV in patients with CTC therapy was significant reduced (RR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.51–0.80, p<1.0E-4, six studies, 553 patients, Figure 5B) [19,24,29,30,34,39]; yet CTC therapy did not show a significant difference in the decline of HB at the toxicity grade of III–IV (RR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.26–1.29, p = 0.18, seven studies, 616 patients) compared to CT alone (Figure 5C) [19,24,29,30,34,39,36].

The inhibition of white blood cells (WBCs) at the toxicity grade of III–IV or I–IV in patients with CTC therapy was significant reduced (RR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.26–0.52, p<1.0E-5, nine studies, 666 patients; RR = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.67–0.84, p<1.0E-5, eight studies, 603 patients, respectively) (Figure 6A and Figure 6B) [19,24,25,27,29–31,36,39].

The decrease of PLTs in numbers at the toxicity grade of III–IV was significant prevented in patients with CTC therapy (RR = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.87–0.98, p = 0.002, seven studies, 557 patients) [19,24,25,29,34,36,39]. The decrease of PLTs in numbers at the toxicity grade of III–IV was significant dismissed in patients with CTC therapy (RR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.31–0.60, p<1.0E-5, six studies, 494 patients) [19,24,25,29,34,39]. In addition, our study showed CTC therapy significantly prevented
### A. WBC reduction at the toxicity grade of III~IV

| Study or Subgroup   | Experimental | Control | Risk Ratio |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
|                     | Events Total | Events Total | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Huang et al 2011    | 2 29 3 28   | 3.2%   | 0.64 [0.12, 3.57] | – |
| Huang et al 2012    | 2 28 3 29   | 3.1%   | 0.69 [0.12, 3.83] | – |
| Li and Li et al 2012| 6 40 12 39  | 12.9%  | 0.49 [0.20, 1.17] | – |
| Lin 2008            | 7 58 20 57  | 21.4%  | 0.34 [0.16, 0.75] | – |
| Lu and Wei 2009     | 3 30 6 30   | 6.4%   | 0.50 [0.14, 1.82] | – |
| Sun 2011            | 0 30 1 29   | 1.6%   | 0.32 [0.01, 7.61] | – |
| Xu et al 2007       | 12 60 23 56 | 25.2%  | 0.49 [0.27, 0.88] | – |
| Zheng et al 2010    | 2 30 8 30   | 8.5%   | 0.25 [0.06, 1.06] | – |
| Zhu et al 2011      | 0 32 16 31  | 17.7%  | 0.03 [0.00, 0.47] | – |
| **Total (96% CI)**  | 337 329     | 100.0% | 0.36 [0.26, 0.52] | – |
| **Total events**    | 34 92       | –       | –             | – |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 5.97$, df = 9 ($P = 0.65$), $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.58$ ($P < 0.00001$) |

Favours experimental Favours control

### B. WBC reduction at the toxicity grade of I~IV

| Study or Subgroup   | Experimental | Control | Risk Ratio |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
|                     | Events Total | Events Total | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Huang et al 2011    | 9 29 12 28   | 5.7%   | 0.72 [0.36, 1.44] | – |
| Huang et al 2012    | 5 28 12 29   | 5.5%   | 0.43 [0.17, 1.07] | – |
| Li and Li et al 2012| 25 40 31 39  | 14.6%  | 0.79 [0.59, 1.05] | – |
| Lin 2008            | 37 58 50 57  | 23.4%  | 0.73 [0.59, 0.90] | – |
| Lu and Wei 2009     | 17 30 24 30  | 11.1%  | 0.71 [0.49, 1.02] | – |
| Sun 2011            | 5 30 13 29   | 6.1%   | 0.37 [0.15, 0.91] | – |
| Xu et al 2007       | 42 60 42 56  | 20.2%  | 0.93 [0.75, 1.17] | – |
| Zheng et al 2010    | 24 30 29 30  | 13.5%  | 0.83 [0.68, 1.00] | – |
| **Total (95% CI)**  | 305 298     | 100.0% | 0.75 [0.67, 0.84] | – |
| **Total events**    | 164 213     | –       | –             | – |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 8.72$, df = 7 ($P = 0.27$), $I^2 = 20\%$ |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.84$ ($P < 0.00001$) |

Favours experimental Favours control

### C. Platelet reduction at the toxicity grade of III~IV

| Study or Subgroup   | Experimental | Control | Risk Ratio |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
|                     | Events Total | Events Total | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Huang et al 2011    | 2 29 4 28   | 13.9%  | 0.48 [0.10, 2.43] | – |
| Huang et al 2012    | 2 28 4 29   | 13.4%  | 0.52 [0.10, 2.61] | – |
| Li and Li et al 2012| 1 40 3 39   | 10.4%  | 0.33 [0.04, 2.99] | – |
| Lu and Wei 2009     | 0 30 0 30   | Not estimable | – | – |
| Sun 2011            | 0 30 1 29   | 5.2%   | 0.32 [0.01, 7.61] | – |
| Zhu and Guo 2011    | 4 92 14 90  | 48.4%  | 0.28 [0.10, 0.82] | – |
| Zhu et al 2011      | 0 32 2 31   | 8.7%   | 0.19 [0.01, 3.98] | – |
| **Total (95% CI)**  | 281 276     | 100.0% | 0.34 [0.17, 0.68] | – |
| **Total events**    | 9 28        | –       | –             | – |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.71$, df = 5 ($P = 0.96$), $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.05$ ($P = 0.002$) |

Favours experimental Favours control

### D. Platelet reduction at the toxicity grade of I~IV

| Study or Subgroup   | Experimental | Control | Risk Ratio |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
|                     | Events Total | Events Total | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Huang et al 2011    | 7 29 14 28   | 15.9%  | 0.48 [0.23, 1.02] | – |
| Huang et al 2012    | 7 28 15 20   | 16.5%  | 0.48 [0.23, 1.00] | – |
| Li and Li et al 2012| 8 40 12 39   | 13.6%  | 0.65 [0.30, 1.42] | – |
| Lu and Wei 2009     | 6 30 13 30   | 14.5%  | 0.46 [0.20, 1.05] | – |
| Sun 2011            | 2 30 6 29   | 6.8%   | 0.32 [0.07, 1.47] | – |
| Zhu and Guo 2011    | 9 92 29 90  | 32.7%  | 0.30 [0.15, 0.60] | – |
| **Total (95% CI)**  | 249 245     | 100.0% | 0.43 [0.31, 0.66] | – |
| **Total events**    | 39 89       | –       | –             | – |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.40$, df = 5 ($P = 0.79$), $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.01$ ($P < 0.00001$) |
the decline of PLTs at the toxicity grade of III–IV and I–IV when dropped any one study.

**Herbs Frequently used in NSCLC**

19 studies have reported herbs and decoctions. Among them, *Radix Astragalus, Radix Adenophorae and Radix Ophiopogonis* are the most commonly used herbs for NSCLC (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Recent studies showed that the use of CAM has increasingly gained recognition and usage in cancer patients [40,41]. CHM is especially popular among the CAM usage as palliative care for cancer patients, but the efficacy of the combined use of CAM and CT on advanced NSCLC cancer remains under explored due to language barrier of many studies reported in Chinese language [42]. Meta-analysis is a powerful statistical analysis of results from individual studies, which increases the precision of a treatment effect and settles controversies studies [43]. In the present study, the pooled data with advanced NSCLC has shown that combined therapy significantly improved the survival rates, immediate tumor response and performance status of advanced NSCLC patients. We also found that, when compared with CT alone, the CTC therapy significantly reduce AEs associated with chemotherapeutic interventions, including nausea and vomiting, decrease in the peripheral blood leukocytes and HB (Figure 5 and Figure 6).

In contrast to most of the previous meta-analyses in this area, our systematic review set the inclusion criteria with Jadad score ≥3 to increase the study quality and included studies with stage III–IV of TNM to minimize the heterogeneity among the studies. It may be encouraging to see that the adjunctive use of CHM with CT may prolong survival in advanced stage NSCLC patients, and CT-related side effects appear to be less frequent and milder in the use of concomitant CAM treatment, which suggest CAM may enhance the compliance to CT and eventually result in improving KPS of patients. The efficacy of CHM as an adjuvant therapy for NSCLC is in line with our previous findings in colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma [44–46].

According to Chinese medicine theory, illness is caused by the disharmony of yin and yang, and Chinese medicine aims to restore the balance of yin and yang to alleviate the disease symptoms. CHMs have been commonly used in Asia for thousands of years. Interestingly, it is reported that 61 out of 74 lung cancer patients recruited by 17 Community Clinical Oncology Program (CCOP) affiliates throughout the United States have used CAM while undergoing CT or radiation therapy [47]. However, the potential for integrating CAM into conventional CT treatment remains to be evaluated [48]. *Radix Astragalus, Radix Adenophorae, Radix Ophiopogonis, Radix Glycyrrhizae, Poria and Oldenlandia diffusa* identified in the study have the function of tonifying qi, nourishing yin and removing blood stasis, which are in accordance to the commonest symptoms in NSCLC patients undergoing CT (i.e. blood stasis, vital energy and yin deficiency). It is in line with other findings that *Radix Astragalus, Radix Ophiopogonis and Oldenlandia diffusa* are commonly used for NSCLC [49]. Besides, it has also been reported that there was a significant effectiveness of adding astragalus-based herbal treatment to standard CT regimens [50]. Several experimental researches have revealed that *Adenophora polysaccharides and Oldenlandia diffusa* extract could effectively inhibited the growth of cancer cell lines and induced significant increase of apoptosis. Furthermore, there was a significant inhibition of lung metastases in animal model with no noticeable AEs [51,52]. *Radix Adenophorae and Radix Ophiopogonis* were also shown to have anti-inflammation and immunomodulating effects [53,54]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that *Radix Astragalus*, the dried root of *Astragalus membranaceus*, has anti-tumor, immunomodulating and immunorestorative effects in vivo and in vitro [55,56]. It is in accordance with other findings that *Radix Astragalus* has immunologic benefits by stimulating macrophage, natural killer cell activity but inhibiting T-helper cell type 2 cytokines [57]. In addition, the combination of *Radix Astragalus* and *Radix Angelicae* increased WBC, HB and PLT in cyclophosphamide-induced anemic rat [58]. This study also indicated that these two herbs are associated with enhancing erythropoietin expression [59]. Erythropoietin is also able to prevent against cisplatin cytotoxicity in cells via several mechanisms [59,60]. These findings may give some insights on the mechanism of how CHM improved the hematological parameters in this systematic review. Furthermore, *Radix Astragalus* also reduced toxicity induced by cyclophosphamide [61]. Though the molecular mechanism is not fully understood, the immunostimulating effects and the reduction of chemotherapy-induced toxicity may be the two major advantages for CHM as adjuvant therapy in NSCLC treatment.

Survival rate, immediate tumor response and chemo-toxicity are three major outcomes in the studies. However, not all the studies simultaneously reported the three outcomes. For example, Zhang et al. [21] reported all three outcomes while Li and Li [39] reported the reduction of chemo-toxicity only. Nevertheless, we analyzed all available data in these reports without any subjective selection. KPS is a scale for the evaluation of cancer patients. In this study, we analyzed continuous data (average of KPS scores) and discontinuous data (patient number with an increase of KPS ≥10). There is significant heterogeneity when we pooled studies with continuous data, although the baseline has no heterogeneity in the meta-analysis. The variation of KPS value in the studies may be due to the differences in treatment duration,

---

**Figure 6. Reduction of adverse effects.** Reduction of adverse effects estimated from meta-analysis of pairwise comparisons in patients with Chinese herbal medicine (CHM, treatment group) versus patients without CHM (control group). (A) The inhibition of white blood cells (WBCs) at the toxicity grade of I–IV. (B) The inhibition of WBCs at the toxicity grade of I–IV. (C) The decrease of platelets in numbers at the toxicity grade of I–IV. (D) The decrease of platelets in numbers at the toxicity grade of III–IV. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057604.g006

---

**Table 2. Herbs frequently used for non-small cell lung cancer.**

| Chinese herbal medicine | Frequency |
|-------------------------|-----------|
|                         | Count %   |
| Radix Astragalus        | 10        | 52.6 |
| Radix Adenophorae       | 8         | 42.1 |
| Radix Ophiopogonis      | 7         | 36.8 |
| Radix Glycyrrhizae      | 5         | 26.3 |
| Poria                   | 5         | 26.3 |
| Herba Oldenlandia Diffusa | 5       | 26.3 |
| Radix Asparagus         | 4         | 21.1 |
| Semen Persicae          | 4         | 21.1 |
| Radix Notoginseng       | 4         | 21.1 |

---

According to Chinese medicine theory, illness is caused by the disharmony of yin and yang, and Chinese medicine aims to restore the balance of yin and yang to alleviate the disease symptoms. CHMs have been commonly used in Asia for thousands of years. Interestingly, it is reported that 61 out of 74 lung cancer patients recruited by 17 Community Clinical Oncology Program (CCOP) affiliates throughout the United States have used CAM while undergoing CT or radiation therapy [47]. However, the potential for integrating CAM into conventional CT treatment remains to be evaluated [48]. *Radix Astragalus, Radix Adenophorae, Radix Ophiopogonis, Radix Glycyrrhizae, Poria and Oldenlandia diffusa* identified in the study have the function of tonifying qi, nourishing yin and removing blood stasis, which are in accordance to the commonest symptoms in NSCLC patients undergoing CT (i.e. blood stasis, vital energy and yin deficiency). It is in line with other findings that *Radix Astragalus, Radix Ophiopogonis and Oldenlandia diffusa* are commonly used for NSCLC [49]. Besides, it has also been reported that there was a significant effectiveness of adding astragalus-based herbal treatment to standard CT regimens [50]. Several experimental researches have revealed that *Adenophora polysaccharides and Oldenlandia diffusa* extract could effectively inhibited the growth of cancer cell lines and induced significant increase of apoptosis. Furthermore, there was a significant inhibition of lung metastases in animal model with no noticeable AEs [51,52]. *Radix Adenophorae and Radix Ophiopogonis* were also shown to have anti-inflammation and immunomodulating effects [53,54]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that *Radix Astragalus*, the dried root of *Astragalus membranaceus*, has anti-tumor, immunomodulating and immunorestorative effects in vivo and in vitro [55,56]. It is in accordance with other findings that *Radix Astragalus* has immunologic benefits by stimulating macrophage, natural killer cell activity but inhibiting T-helper cell type 2 cytokines [57]. In addition, the combination of *Radix Astragalus* and *Radix Angelicae* increased WBC, HB and PLT in cyclophosphamide-induced anemic rat [58]. This study also indicated that these two herbs are associated with enhancing erythropoietin expression [59]. Erythropoietin is also able to prevent against cisplatin cytotoxicity in cells via several mechanisms [59,60]. These findings may give some insights on the mechanism of how CHM improved the hematological parameters in this systematic review. Furthermore, *Radix Astragalus* also reduced toxicity induced by cyclophosphamide [61]. Though the molecular mechanism is not fully understood, the immunostimulating effects and the reduction of chemotherapy-induced toxicity may be the two major advantages for CHM as adjuvant therapy in NSCLC treatment.

Survival rate, immediate tumor response and chemo-toxicity are three major outcomes in the studies. However, not all the studies simultaneously reported the three outcomes. For example, Zhang et al. [21] reported all three outcomes while Li and Li [39] reported the reduction of chemo-toxicity only. Nevertheless, we analyzed all available data in these reports without any subjective selection. KPS is a scale for the evaluation of cancer patients. In this study, we analyzed continuous data (average of KPS scores) and discontinuous data (patient number with an increase of KPS ≥10). There is significant heterogeneity when we pooled studies with continuous data, although the baseline has no heterogeneity in the meta-analysis. The variation of KPS value in the studies may be due to the differences in treatment duration,
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