The ability of postgraduate students to diagnose and treat migraine and tension-type headache: A hospital-based survey.
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ABSTRACT… Objective: The purpose of this study was to assess the ability of postgraduate medical students whether they can diagnose migraine and tension-type headache according to the International Headache Society criteria and whether they had an adequate clinical knowledge for the management of these headaches. Study Design: Cross Sectional Study. Setting: Departments of Neurology, PUMHS, Nawabshah and LUMHS, Jamshoro. Period: March and April 2018. Material & Methods: A questioner was designed outlining three options for the diagnosis of Migraine and Tension Type Headache (TTH) and the students were asked to pick the correct option which is based on the International Headache Society (IHS) criteria. In addition, a few more questions were incorporated relating to the management of these headaches, confidence in making the diagnosis, knowledge of most common type of headache, need for neuroimaging, presence of childhood migraine and the role of genes in causing migraine. Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 18. Results: A total of 73 postgraduate students responded to the questionnaire. Among them 21 were enrolled in MD and 52 were enrolled in FCPS training program. For migraine and TTH diagnostic criteria only 34% and 19% were able to pick the correct answers respectively. Although there answers were incorrect 65.8% students were confident about their diagnosis. Majority (58.9%) thought TTH as the most common headache and 11% thought migraine is the commonest. Most of the students 90.4% would go for neuroimaging in selected cases and the remaining 9.6%. Thought neuroimaging is indicated in every case of headache. In response to have you ever seen a child with migraine in 71% the response was NO. As far the question is there a role of genes in causing migraine 63% said YES while 37% thought there is NO role of genes in Migraine. Choice of drugs for acute and prophylactic treatment was also noted. Conclusion: The ability of postgraduate medical students to diagnose and treat migraine and tension-type headache was found to be less than satisfactory. There is a need for designing headache-specific CME programs for the postgraduate students so that their diagnostic and treatment abilities can be improved.
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INTRODUCTION
Migraine and Tension-type headache (TTH) are the most common primary headache disorders prevalent in the general population.¹ Primary headaches cause considerable disability leading to limitations in performing daily activities together with profound negative impact on social and professional life.²,³ Headache is also a common cause of seeking emergency medical care. A large study evaluating the common causes of visit to an emergency department revealed that around two-third of the visits were for a primary headache disorder.⁴ More distressing being the migraine headache. According to a large nationwide study approximately 18% females and 6% males suffer from migraine.⁵ In terms of disease burden and Disease-Adjusted Life Years (DALY) migraine ranks third among all the neurological diseases having disabling consequences.⁶ The economic burden for migraine is also quite high. The annual costs for migraine are over one billion dollars per year and migraine costs American employers 13 billion dollars per years due to absence and reduced productivity at work.⁷
Headache is also common in Pakistan. There are reports highlighting the prevalence of headache in adults as well as in children and other professionals. A study from rural Sindh has also reported disability and reduced quality of life among migraine sufferers. It is interesting to note that a significant proportion of medical students suffer from TTH and migraine. It is of grave concern that while medical students suffer from headache they do not take proper medication nor they seek timely consultation. If our medical professionals do not manage their own headache properly we wonder how they going to treat a case with headache while doing independent practice. Since the underdiagnosis of headache and related disorders remains a burning issue it is imperative that our trainee postgraduates students (PGs) do have a basic knowledge of diagnosing and treating common type of headaches. The International Headache Society (IHS) has provided diagnostic criteria for the diagnosis of migraine and TTH. To our knowledge no previous local study has critically examined the diagnostic ability of PGs especially in the light of recent IHS classifications and definitions. The purpose of this study was to assess the ability of PGs whether they can diagnose migraine and TTH according to IHS criteria and whether they have a basic knowledge of various treatment options that are available for the management of these headache.

MATERIAL & METHODS
The study was conducted at the Departments of Neurology, Peoples Medical University of Medical and Health Sciences (PUMHS), Nawabshah and Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences Jamshoro (LUMHS) Sindh during the period 1.3.2018 to 30.4.2018. The study was approved by ethical committee (Letter no. 134/2018). Both FCPS and MD trainee doctors (PGs) who were enrolled in their respective program were included in the study. A questionnaire was designed especially for this study. The questionnaire comprising three options two of which were incorrect and one correct based on the International Headache Society (IHS) diagnostic criteria for migraine and similarly three options for TTH two incorrect and one correct and each student was asked to pick the correct option according to their own knowledge. In another two open ended questions the PGs were given the task of writing the most appropriate drugs prescribed for the management of acute migraine and for prophylactic therapy in migraine. Further close ended questions pertaining to their decision making abilities like ordering CT/MRI brain, degree of their self confidence in diagnosing the type of headache, which is the most common headache (Migraine or TTH), whether pediatric migraine occurs (yes or no) and is there a role of genetics in causing migraine (yes or no) were also included.

RESULTS
A total of 73 postgraduate students filled out the questioner. Among them 31 (42.5%) were male and 42 (57.5%) were female (Figure-1). Of the 73 postgraduate students 21 (28.8%) were enrolled in MD (Medicine) program and 52 (71.2%) in FCPS program. Year-wise breakdown for first, second, third and fourth year postgraduate students was 31(42.5%), 23(31.5%), 6(8.2%) and 13(17.8%) respectively (Figure-2). The basic characteristics of study participants are shown in Table-I.

When asked to pick the correct option for IHS diagnostic criteria for Migraine and Tension type headache 25 (34%) and 19 (26%) gave correct answer for Migraine and TTH respectively while
48 (66%) and 54 (74%) responded incorrectly (Figure-3 & 4).

Whether neuroimaging (CT/MRI) should be done in all cases of primary headache, 66 (90.4%) answered No while 7 (9.6%) answered Yes and whether neuroimaging be done in selected cases 66 (90.4%) answered Yes and 7 (9.6%) answered No. How confident they were in diagnosing the primary headache 48 (65.8%) were confident, 23 (31.5%) were less confident and 2 (2.7%) very confident. Majority of the students 43 (58.9%) thought TTH was most common type, 22 (30.1%) thought migraine as the most common whereas 8 (11%) considered migraine + TTH as the most common primary headache. When asked have you ever seen a child with migraine 52 (71.2%) responded No and 21 (28%) said Yes. Whether there is a role of genes in causing migraine 46 (63%) answered Yes and 27 (37%) answered No (Table-II). As for the management of acute migraine the majority (73%) favored NSAID while 51% favored Triptans (Table-III). For prophylactic therapy in migraine 34% favored Beta blockers and 33% Antiepileptics. Alarmingly 19% picked Triptans for the prophylaxis of migraine. In 15% the answer was don’t know (Table-IV). As far the correct or incorrect responses there were no significant differences among male and female students. FCPS students had edge over M.D students on IHS criteria for TTH only and LUMHS students had edge over PUMHS students on IHS criteria for migraine headache only. (Table-V).

| Basic Information | N (%) |
|-------------------|-------|
| Center            |       |
| LUMHS             | 36 (49.3%) |
| PMC               | 37 (50.7%) |
| Gender            |       |
| Male              | 31 (42.5%) |
| Female            | 42 (57.5%) |
| Training Program  |       |
| MD                | 21 (28.8%) |
| FCPS              | 52 (71.2%) |
| Year of Program   |       |
| First Year        | 31 (42.5%) |
| Second Year       | 23 (31.5%) |
| Third Year        | 6 (8.2%) |
| Fourth Year       | 13 (17.8%) |
| Age in years      |       |
| Mean              | 27.21±2.91 |

Table-I. Basic characteristics of study group.
Multiple Response Questions

| Medication Group         | N (%)   |
|--------------------------|---------|
| NSAID                    | 12 (16%)|
| Antiemetics              | 10 (14%)|
| Triptans                 | 14 (19%)|
| Ergotamine               | 2 (3%)  |
| Beta Blockers            | 25 (34%)|
| Antiepileptic            | 24 (33%)|
| Tricyclic Antidepressants| 8 (11%)  |
| SSRI                     | 5 (7%)   |
| Calcium Channel Blockers | 6 (8%)   |
| Gabanergic               | 6 (8%)   |
| Anti-Psychotics          | 4 (5%)   |
| Muscle Relaxant          | 1 (1%)   |
| Don't Know               | 11 (15%)|
|                          | 128 (175%)|

Table-III. Response for prophylactic therapy in migraine.

Multiple Response Questions

| Questions                                                   | n=73 % |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| How would you investigate in primary headache?             |        |
| Neuroimaging in All cases                                  |        |
| Yes                                                        | 7 (9.6%)|
| No                                                         | 66 (90.4%)|
| Neuroimaging in selected cases                             |        |
| Yes                                                        | 66 (90.4%)|
| No                                                         | 7 (9.6%)|
| How Confident you are in making diagnosis of primary headaches? |        |
| Less Confident                                             | 23 (31.5%)|
| Confident                                                  | 48 (65.8%)|
| Very Confident                                             | 2 (2.7%)|
| Does pediatric migraine exist?                             |        |
| Yes                                                        | 41 (56.2%)|
| No                                                         | 32 (43.8%)|
| Have you ever seen a child with migraine?                  |        |
| Yes                                                        | 21 (28.8%)|
| No                                                         | 52 (71.2%)|
| Which one is more common Headache?                         |        |
| Migraine                                                   | 8 (11.0%)|
| Tension Type                                               | 43 (58.9%)|
| Both                                                       | 22 (30.1%)|
| Is there any role of genetics in migraine?                 |        |
| Yes                                                        | 46 (63.0%)|
| No                                                         | 27 (37.0%)|

Table-V. Training Program wise response rate for Migraine & TTH.
DISCUSSION

For an accurate clinical diagnosis certain criteria are applied so that proper diagnosis can be made. Since the diagnosis of various headache types such as migraine and TTH headache is mainly clinical, the International Headache Society (IHS) has laid down the diagnostic criteria and guidelines for the accurate diagnosis. During clinical assessment of a patient with headache those physicians who follow these criteria are expected to perform better compared to those who do not follow the IHS criteria. That is what we intended to observe in our study. It is alarming to note that a greater proportion of PGs performed poorly on the given tasks. Majority (66%) could not answer the correct IHS criteria for migraine and 74% could not answer TTH criteria correctly. Knowing that migraine and TTH are so prevalent in the general population and that our PGs could hardly apply a correct IHS criteria for proper diagnosis is noteworthy as well as worrisome. The question arise whether this dismal scenario is confined only in the institutions we study or is it same across the country. A multicenter study in major institutions across the country would probably yield an answer to this question.

Since the local studies on the same issue among the PGs are not available for comparison the other alternative approach remained with us was to look at more or less similar studies carried out on the general practitioners or family physicians. International studies where primary care physicians were assessed has also revealed marked deficiencies in making proper diagnosis of various headache types. In a study from Turkey, almost 90% of the primary care physician could not apply correctly the IHS criteria for the diagnosis of migraine. In another study from Saudi Arabian, out of 55% responders covering demographic, factual and practical aspects of headache disorders 45% of the primary health care physicians had inadequate knowledge. Furthermore, in a recent survey conducted in different provinces of Pakistan 62% practicing family physicians were able to distinguish between TTH and migraine headache on the basis of history alone. This is quite encouraging but not surprising since FPs are more involved and experienced in general practice compared to PGs. With the same analogy, comparing investigating and treatment preferences among Pakistani FPs and PGs would not be ideal given the limitation pointed out above on lack of secondary data for comparison. However, since both the FPs and PGs are product of the same medical education system looking at their management approaches would yield some information on how far they are coherent. For instance, only 35% FPs thought Triptans were the most effective treatment for acute migraine whereas 51% of PGs in our study had the same view. In a broader sense these figures are acceptable. But the alarming part is when 19% of FPs choose topiramate for treating acute migraine, 12% were not aware of these medications and almost 6% thought they are not indicated in migraine prophylaxis. Similar blunders were made by the PGs as well. For example, 19% PGs in our study choose triptans and 16% PGs picked NSADs for prophylactic therapy in migraine and 15% did not know what to say. Given the standard practicing guidelines these figures are not acceptable. Since patients well being is at stake these shortcomings among PGs in particular need to be addressed seriously.

Having highlighted the lacunae or the weaknesses, obviously the best way forward is better education. Published reports indicate that the proper training in this area helps to overcome the shortcomings to a greater extent. Arranging continuing medical education (CME) program specifically for headache diagnosis and care has shown tremendous improvement in diagnostic abilities of primary care physicians. In an American study the CME program coined “Brainstorm” presentation was developed to cover the essential elements of migraine care. Improvement in scores from pre-presentation to post-presentation had a significant immediate impact on the knowledge of the participating physicians. Similarly in a 2-day headache education program for GPs diagnostic accuracy and choice of proper treatment increased from 56% to 81%. The same study proposed to adopt such educational program that may further be extended to the university level.
While clinical assessment of any patient is an integral part of postgraduate medical training, it is imperative that certain areas of significance such as headache-care should not remain out of focus. In a most relevant study describing the status of headache education in medical schools all responders believed that headache including migraine is an important subject to teach and two-third of the responders found headache education inadequate in the medical schools. Such state of affair is also evident in our study. Therefore there is a need to stress for CME programs on headache diagnosis and treatment for PGs. Further research is needed to know precisely what other measures beside CME programs can be helpful particularly for the PGs who are destined to become the future consultants.

CONCLUSION

The ability of postgraduate medical students to diagnose and treat migraine and tension-type headache was found to be less than satisfactory. There is a need for designing headache-specific CME programs for the postgraduate students so that their diagnostic and treatment abilities can be improved.
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