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**Abstract**

An estimated 2.3 million disability-adjusted life years are lost globally from leishmaniasis. In Peru’s Amazon region, the department of Madre de Dios (MDD) rises above the rest of the country in terms of the annual incidence rates of human leishmaniasis. *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* is the species most frequently responsible for the form of disease that results in tissue destruction of the nose and mouth. However, essentially nothing is known regarding the reservoirs of this vector-borne, zoonotic parasite in MDD. Wild rodents have been suspected, or proven, to be reservoirs of several *Leishmania* spp. in various ecosystems and countries. Additionally, people who live or work in forested terrain, especially those who are not regionally local and whose immune systems are thus naive to the parasite, are at most risk for contracting *L. (V.) braziliensis*. Hence, the objective of this study was to collect tissues from wild rodents captured at several study sites along the Transoceanic Highway in MDD. Therefore, although these rodent species may persist and even thrive in moderately altered landscapes, we did not find any evidence to suggest they pose a risk for *L. (V.) braziliensis* transmission to human inhabitants in this highly prevalent region.
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**Introduction**

An estimated 2.3 million disability-adjusted life years are lost globally from leishmaniasis [1]. In the untreated mucocutaneous form of disease (MCL), highly destructive lesions of the nose and mouth may lead to difficulties in breathing and eating, as well as social ostracization. This severely disfiguring disease is most frequently caused by *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis*, a vector-borne protozoan parasite. Along with a suite of other *Leishmania* species, this parasite also causes cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), which while less severe than MCL, can still result in serious consequences. Secondary bacterial and fungal infections are common with leishmaniasis [2], and although skin lesions may eventually heal in months to years, *L. (V.) braziliensis* organisms that have been apparently inactive can cause metastatic lesions to the face decades later via spread through the bloodstream [3].

According to the World Health Organization, at least 88 nations are affected by leishmaniasis; however, just seven countries, Peru among them, account for 90% of the global annual human cases [1]. Of the two *Leishmania* subgenera, *L. (Viannia)* predominates in Peru and is represented by primarily four species: *L. lainsoni*, *L. braziliensis*, *L. guyanensis*, and *L. peruviana* [4,5]. Recent reports suggest that two additional species (*L. colombiensis* and *L. panamensis*) may now be present in Peru [5]. Within the subgenus *L. (Leishmania)*, only *L. amazonensis* species is present.

The Peruvian department of Madre de Dios (MDD) is located in the country’s Amazon region and borders both Brazil and Bolivia. This department has the lowest human population...
density, with an average of just 1.3 inhabitants/square kilometer in 2009 [6], yet has the highest incidence rate of leishmaniasis, with 487 cutaneous cases/100,000 people reported up through week 51 of 2012 (Figure 1)[7,8]. In reality, the true incidence rate in MDD is probably much higher, in part due to under-reporting associated with the difficulty of reaching medical attention from remote and impoverished communities, as well as the likely possibility that infections acquired in MDD are treated and recorded as cases in neighboring departments as people migrate between regions for employment opportunities [9]. In addition to environmental factors (e.g. habitat and climate), several other factors likely contribute to the high number of cases in MDD, including human activities that bring people into contact with vectors, such as road construction, extractive industries (e.g. mining and logging), agriculture, and an influx of naïve people migrating to this region from other parts of the country [6]. Leishmaniasis has long been recognized as a serious health problem in the Peruvian Amazon.

Yet, with the exception of recently published work on *Leishmania* in sand fly vectors in MDD [10], little attention has been given to the investigation of the disease ecology of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in MDD. Moreover, the wildlife reservoirs in this region are entirely unknown.

Much of the limited knowledge of potential reservoirs of *L. (V.) braziliensis* comes from field studies conducted in Brazil and Venezuela, where researchers have conflicting opinions on whether domestic animals serve as reservoirs. In the mid-1980s, the isolation of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from dogs and donkeys in communities with concurrent outbreaks of human leishmaniasis led some researchers to believe that these domestic animals may serve as reservoirs in certain settings [11]. Others have refuted this idea, stating that epidemiological evidence does not support domestic species as reservoirs, as domestic animals are largely absent from some Amazon areas where *L. (V.) braziliensis* is highly endemic, leading some to speculate that wildlife are likely more important in the maintenance and transmission of the disease [12]. However, molecular research on *L. (V.) braziliensis* suggests that these two lines of thought may not be at complete odds. Cupollo et al. [13] found that dogs and people were infected with an identical genotype of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in an urban area along the Brazilian Atlantic coast. In contrast, parasites isolated from the Brazilian Amazon forested areas demonstrated much higher genetic diversity. The authors reasoned that their findings could be reflective of the wide variety of hosts and vectors present in the Brazilian Amazon, and that when introduced into an urban area, *L. (V.) braziliensis* is restricted to peridomestic vectors and domestic vertebrate hosts, decreasing the parasite’s local genetic diversity.

Although researchers do not agree on the role of domestic animals as reservoirs of *L. (V.) braziliensis*, there is a general consensus that wildlife hosts exist and remain unidentified. While the sylvatic transmission cycle of leishmaniasis has been well established for some *Leishmania* species, the characterization of wildlife reservoirs of *L. (V.) braziliensis* has continued to elude scientists for decades [14]. In more recent years, limited data have supported the notion that rodents play a role in the sylvatic transmission cycle of *L. (V.) braziliensis* [12,15], just as many other *Leishmania* species have been detected in rodents [16,17]. We therefore hypothesized that rodents captured in the vicinity of the Interoceanic Highway of MDD would be infected with *Leishmania (V.) braziliensis*, providing further evidence to support their role as reservoirs for this pathogen in this highly prevalent region of the Peruvian Amazon. Our aim was to evaluate the most common rodent species in this area of Peru for infection with *L. (V.) braziliensis* by sampling both skin and visceral organs and screening these samples via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays.

### Methods

#### Ethics Statement

All trapping and sampling protocols were approved by the United States Naval Medical Research Unit-6 (NAMRU-6, formerly U.S. Naval Medical Research Center, NMRC) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol number NMRCD10-01) and the Peruvian Ministry of Agriculture (RD 383-2009-AG-DGFFS-DGEFFS and RD 425-2010-AG-DGFFS-DGEFFS). The contract to access genetic material was granted under permit number 005-2014-MINAGRI-DGFFS-DGEFFS. Oral consent from the community leaders and the parcel owners to trap on their lands was obtained.

#### Study Sites

The study sites were previously chosen as part of a larger study designed by NAMRU-6 to assess the impacts of habitat perturbation on the prevalence of pathogens in wildlife reservoirs and vectors. All sites were located adjacent to the Interoceanic Highway, which traverses Peru from the MDD Brazilian border to the Peruvian Pacific coast. At each study location, two line transects were established on either side of the road, with the exception of El Carmen where all four transects were situated on the northern side due to the inaccessibility of the southern side of the road. Transects ran approximately parallel to the Interoceanic Highway, and began at distances of 200 (near) and 1,000 (far) meters from the road.

Typically the habitat of the near transects consisted of a matrix of grassy pastures, fragmented forest patches, and small agricultural plots, or a solid stand of secondary forest. In general, the far transects ran through both primary and secondary forests, and were less disturbed (e.g. vegetation was more typical of original forest habitat) as compared to the near transects. Landscape level maps of the region’s vegetation, climate, soils, geology and other Department attributes have been previously published [18,19]. This investigation did not include an analysis of the study sites’ vegetation composition.

#### Field Methods

Rodents were trapped during October 2010 at two study sites, El Carmen (Puno Department) and Mazuko (MDD Department). In addition to these two locations, archived tissues were tested from rodent samples collected from three other MDD study sites: Iberia (October 2009), La Novia (October 2009), and Florida Baja (June 2010) (Figure 2). A cluster of three Sherman traps was placed at the transect starting point and at intervals of roughly 10 meters, with the terminal location having four traps, for a total of 33 trapping points and 100 Sherman traps/line (400/study location). In addition, for the capture of larger rodents, a Tomahawk trap (model 201) was placed approximately every 30 meters (10 traps/line). Traps were re-haïted daily at sundown and checked approximately 12 hours later (sunrise) on each of five consecutive nights, targeting terrestrial, nocturnal small mammals. Bait consisted of peanut butter mixed with oats, honey, raisins, sunflower seeds, and vanilla extract.

Traps containing captured animals were transported to a central processing area. Rodents were transferred from their trap to a gallon-sized zip-top clear plastic bag, through which they were anesthetized via an intraperitoneal injection of xylazine hydrochloride (Dormi-Xyl2; AgroVet Market S.A.; 20 mg/ml) and
Rodents Examined for Leishmania in Peruvian Amazon

ketamine hydrochloride [Ket-A-100; Laboratorio HO Farm Agrovet Market; 100 mg/mL]. The drugs were prepared by combining 0.3 ml xylazine with 3 ml ketamine in 26.7 mL of sterile water to obtain a 1:10 drug solution. Smaller rodents (i.e. *Oligoryzomys* sp., *Neacomys* sp., etc.) received 0.3 mL of this solution, whereas 1 mL was given to larger rodents (i.e. *Proechimys* sp.). Blood was collected via intracardiac puncture from immobilized rodents, which were then euthanized by cervical dislocation. Identification of rodent species was based on the assessment of morphologic characteristics by an experienced mammalogist from the San Marcos University Natural History Museum in Lima. Complete necropsies were performed on all rodents.

Skin biopsies (ear and tail), bone marrow, and liver samples were taken at El Carmen and Mazuko. The sample size for liver tissue samples was supplemented with archived specimens from the three additional study sites previously mentioned. Liver tissues were collected into 2ml cryogenic vials with or without 100% ethanol. All vials were maintained in liquid nitrogen in the field until they could be transferred to a −80°C freezer at NAMRU-6. Tails and ears were carefully examined for active or healed lesions indicative of *Leishmania*, as described and photographically depicted in previously published papers on species other than *L. (V.) braziliensis* [16,20]. The left ear was incised in half longitudinally from the auricular cartilage base to the tip of the ear, and the caudal half was removed. A small piece of skin was excised from the dorsal aspect of the tail, just caudal to the tail base, at the edge of the hairline. Ear and tail samples, as well as the femur for later bone marrow extraction, were each placed in separate vials containing 100% ethanol and maintained at ambient temperature.

Based on the results of our study and the binomial distribution of our data, we used R software [21] to calculate one-sided Clopper-Pearson exact 95% confidence intervals for the true prevalence values (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/binom/binom.pdf; “binom” package). We selected this approach for its conservative attributes, as this method generates wide confidence intervals that often have coverage probabilities larger than the specified nominal confidence level [22].

**Laboratory Methods**

**DNA extractions.** All DNA extractions were performed using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, California, USA). Due to the inclusion of rodent hairs, which generally were not lysed by the kit reagents, slight modifications were made to the kit protocol for the skin samples. Each piece of skin was weighed on a tared microscope slide to standardize weights to ±25 mg. Using a sterile needle, skin samples were transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing PBS 1x solution and gently swirled to remove the ethanol coating. Samples were placed on a microscope slide to which a 20 μl drop of PBS 1x was added. A razor blade was used to macerate the skin into tiny particles, and the resulting homogenate was transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing 180 μl of ATL buffer and 20 μl of Proteinase K for overnight incubation at 56°C. The following morning, 200 μl of AL buffer was added to the tube, which was then incubated at 70°C for 10 minutes. Next, 200 μl of ethanol was added, mixed thoroughly by vortexing, and centrifuged at maximum speed (14,000 rpm) for 5 minutes to form a pellet of rodent hairs. The resulting supernatant was pipetted into the kit spin column, and the remaining steps followed the kit protocol. The DNA was eluted twice in 75 μl of AE buffer, each time letting it sit at room temperature for 5-10 minutes before centrifuging, resulting in 150 μl of eluted DNA.

DNA extraction of liver and bone marrow tissues followed the kit protocol. As with the skin samples, liver samples stored in ethanol were rinsed in PBS to remove excess ethanol. The ethanol-preserved femur was split longitudinally with a razor blade and a sterile needle was used to scrape the bone marrow plug from within the cavity into a microcentrifuge tube containing ATL buffer. For all extractions, a NanoDrop spectrophotometer was used to measure the quantity of nucleic acids present in 1 μl of eluted DNA.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction Assays.** Two separate conventional PCR assays were performed to amplify sequences of the highly conserved kinetoplast minicircular DNA (kDNA), found within the mitochondria of protozoa in the taxonomical class Kinetoplastida (e.g. *Leishmania*). Each kinetoplast contains thousands of copies of kDNA, enhancing the likelihood of parasite detection and contributing to the wide popularity of primers that target kDNA in both human and animal diagnostic studies of *Leishmania* [23].

In a cross-comparison of three other primer sets used to amplify the kDNA minicircle fragment, the primers MP1-L (forward: 5'-TACTCCCGACATGCTTCGTG-3') and MP3-H (reverse: 5'-GAACCGGTTTCTGTATTGCC-3') were found to have the greatest sensitivity as compared to other frequently used primers (e.g. B1/B2 noted in Table 1) [24]. We therefore used the MP1-L/MP3-H primers as our principle assay to amplify a 70 bp product sequence present in all species of the *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) complex [23]. The PCR reaction was performed in a volume of 20 μl, consisting of 9.2 μl of PCR grade water, 2 μl of PCR buffer (10x), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 125 μM dNTPs, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase recombinant (Invitrogen; 5U/μl), 0.5 μM of each primer, and 4 μl of template (DNA sample or positive/negative controls). The amplification thermocycler conditions were set to 5 minutes at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles each of 94°C×45 seconds, 58°C×45 seconds, and 72°C×1 minute, and a final extension cycle of 72°C for 5 minutes.

The second PCR assay amplified a 120 bp sequence, which is specific to the species of the *L. (Viannia)* subgenus, also detects other *Leishmania* species such as *L. (L.) amazonensis* [25]. The primers were A (forward: 5'-SSS CCM CTA TWT TAG ACC CCC-3') and B (reverse: 5'-GGG GAG GGG CGT CTT GCT AA-3'), previously published by Oliveira [26]. The PCR reaction was performed in a volume of 25 μl, consisting of 8.55 μl of PCR grade water, 2.5 μl of PCR buffer (10x), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM dNTPs, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase recombinant (Invitrogen; 5 U/μl), 0.4 μM of each primer, and 3 μl of template. The amplification thermocycler conditions were set to 4 minutes at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C×30 seconds, 60°C×30 seconds, and 72°C×30 seconds, and a final extension cycle of 72°C×7 minutes. This assay was restricted to a subset of the liver tissues, since we believed this tissue would be most likely to be positive for *Leishmania* species outside of the *Viannia* subgenus.
Figure 2. Department of Madre de Dios (Peru) human leishmaniasis incidence, by District (2012). Map of Madre de Dios with each district color-coded for the combined 2012 incidence rates of human cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis cases, where incidence = [(number of probable and confirmed laboratory cases in epidemiological reporting week #51)/(2012 estimated population)]*(10,000 people).
In order to verify the successful extraction of rodent DNA, a conventional PCR was run to detect a sequence of the highly conserved host housekeeping gene, interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein (IRBP). IRBP was previously shown to amplify across all tissue types used in this research (ear, tail, bone marrow, and liver) and all mammalian species tested, including several rodent species [27]. The IRBP PCR reaction was performed in a volume of 50 μl, consisting of 23.2 μl of PCR grade water, 5 μl of PCR buffer (10x), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.8 mM dNTPs, 1.5 units Taq DNA polymerase recombinant (Invitrogen; 5 U/μl), 0.5 μM of each primer, and 2 μl of template. The primers were IRBP-F (forward: 5’-TCCAACACCAACCTGAGATCTGGAC-3’) and IRBP-R (reverse: 5’-GTAGGAAGAAATCGGACTGGC-3’), previously published by Ferreira et al. [27]. The PCR amplification thermocycler conditions was set to 4 minutes at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C×30 seconds, 57°C×30 seconds, and 72°C×1 minute, and a final extension cycle of 72°C×5 minutes. This assay, which amplified a 227 bp product sequence, was run on all bone marrow tissues and a subset of the remaining tissues.

All primers were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and all PCR reactions were performed in the GeneAmp PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). Products were visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels were stained with either ethidium bromide or GelRed (Phenix Research Products) and run at concentrations of 2.5%, 2%, and 1.5%, for the MP1-L/MP3-H, A/B, and IRBP assays, respectively.

**Controls.** Positive and negative controls were used in each PCR assay. The two negative controls were water and DNA

### Table 1. Literature review of *Leishmania (Viannia)* spp. prevalence in rodents in South American countries categorized by tissue type tested.

| Tissue    | Rodent⁴ | Country  | pos/N² | % Positive | Species³ | Specific assay⁵ [references in brackets]       |
|-----------|---------|----------|--------|------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Blood     | R. rattus | Venezuela | 3/58   | 5.2        | LVb      | Culture, PCR (kDNA) & RFLP [33]               |
|           | R. rattus | Brazil    | 1/61   | 1.6        | LVb      | PCR (kDNA; A/B) & DBH [24]                    |
|           | R. rattus | Brazil    | 21/78  | 26.9       | b-complex | nPCR (SSUrRNA; R221/R32 + R223/R333) [56]     |
| Bone Marrow | M. musculus | Brazil     | 1/4    | 25         | LVb      | PCR (kDNA) & RFLP [15]                        |
|           | N. lasarius | Brazil     | 2/4    | 50         | LVb      | PCR (kDNA) & RFLP [15]                        |
|           | R. norvegicus | Brazil     | 24/78  | 30.8       | b-complex | nPCR (SSUrRNA; R221/R32 + R223/R333) [56]     |
| Skin⁵     | M. caliginosus | Colombia    | 3/14   | 21.4       | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) with DBH [57]               |
|           | M. minutus | Colombia    | 1/2    | 50         | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) with DBH [57]               |
|           | M. musculus | Brazil      | 4/4    | 100        | LVb      | PCR (kDNA) & RFLP [15]                        |
|           | Proechimys spp. | Brazil     | 1/1    | 100        | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) with DBH [57]               |
|           | P. semispinosus | Colombia    | 5/33   | 15         | Viannia  | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) with DBH & others [46]      |
|           | R. norvegicus | Brazil      | 8/80   | 10.0       | b-complex | nPCR (SSUrRNA; R221/R32 + R223/R333) [56]     |
|           | R. rattus    | Brazil      | 1/62   | 1.6        | LVb      | PCR (kDNA; A/B) with DBH [24]                 |
|           | R. rattus    | Colombia    | 2/4    | 50         | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) with DBH [57]               |
| Liver     | O. perenensis | Peru         | 3/11   | 27.2       | Viannia  | PCR (kDNA; MP1-L/MP3-H) [59]                  |
|           | Proechimys spp. | Brazil     | 1/1    | 100        | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2) [58]                        |
| Spleen    | B. lasarius | Brazil      | 15/103 | 14.6       | LVb      | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2), MLEE & culture [12]        |
|           | N. squamipes | Brazil      | 43/153 | 28.1       | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2), MLEE & culture [12]        |
|           | R. norvegicus | Brazil      | 2/79   | 2.5        | b-complex | nPCR (SSUrRNA; R221/R32 + R223/R333) [56]     |
|           | R. rattus    | Brazil      | 13/81  | 16         | b-complex | PCR (kDNA; B1/B2), MLEE & culture [12]        |

¹Genus information for species abbreviations in alphabetical order: B. lasarius = Bolomys, M. caliginosus = Melanomys, M. minutus = Microryzomys, M. musculus = Mus, N. lasarius = Necromys, N. squamipes = Nectomys, O. perenensis = Oryzomyx (since reclassified as Hylaeamys perenensis), P. semispinosus = Proechimys, R. norvegicus and R. rattus = Rattus.
²pos/N = total positive/total tested
³LVb = Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis; b-complex = the braziliensis “complex” which includes the following four species: braziliensis, guyanensis, panamensis, and panamensis; Viannia = identification at the subgenera level
⁴PCR = polymerase chain reaction (gene and primer names are indicated in parentheses if reported); nPCR = nested PCR; RFLP = restriction fragment length polymorphism, DBH = dot-blot hybridization; MLEE = multi locus enzyme electrophoresis
⁵Skin samples were all ear biopsies, with the exception of references #46 and #48, which were tail biopsies and unspecified skin biopsies, respectively
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103358.t001
extracted from ear tissue of a *Leishmania*-negative Balb-C laboratory mouse maintained at the NAMRU-6 mouse colony. The positive control DNA was derived from a culture of the *Leishmania (V.*) braziliensis* reference strain MHOM/BR/84/LTB300. This DNA was diluted to a concentration of 0.2 ng/μl.

**Results**

**Sampling**

A total of 65 rodents were captured at El Carmen from 9-13 October 2010 and 15 were captured from Mazuko the following week (15-19 Oct). Table 2 presents the sample sizes for these two study sites by tissue type and species. *Proechimys* spp. often shed their tail upon capture, a defensive adaptation known as tail autotomy, which precluded the collection of tail tissue from some animals. A small yellowish nodule of approximately 1.5 mm in diameter was found on the tail base of a single rodent (*Hylaeamys perenensis*) at El Carmen and, in the primary author’s opinion, did not match the description of *Leishmania* lesions previously described in rodents [16,20]. A piece of the tail containing this lesion was excised for diagnostic testing. Due to time and financial constraints, we tested a random subset of approximately 50% of the archived liver samples from Florida Baja, Iberia, and La Novia. These samples closely paralleled the proportion of each species captured at each location and are listed in Table 3. The overall trap success per study site ranged from approximately 1% to 7%, where trap success was defined as the total number of captures divided by the number of trap nights (i.e. number of traps set multiplied by the number of nights trapped).

For the combined total of 217 liver samples available for evaluation, 68.4% consisted of just three species: *Oligoryzomys microtis* (40.7%), *Hylaeamys perenensis* (formerly *Oryzomys perenensis*; 15.7%), and *Proechimys* spp. (12%). *O. microtis* was the most common species captured across all study sites except for El Carmen, accounting for 36%, 49%, 67%, and 73% of the total captures at La Novia, Florida Baja, Iberia, and Mazuko, respectively (Table 2). In contrast, the two most prevalent rodent species captured at El Carmen were *H. perenensis* (47.7%) and *Proechimys* spp. (26.2%). This study site was located in an ecoregion transitional zone between low lying amazon rainforest (“selva baja”) and high amazon rainforest (“selva alta”) and therefore its higher elevation (>500 m) likely reduced the presence of *O. microtis*, a species commonly found in lowland forests.

**Laboratory**

*Leishmania* PCR assays for all rodent samples were negative, including the tail sample with the small nodule described above. The positive control yielded an appropriate sized PCR band in all *Leishmania* assays. Regarding assay quality assessment, the BalbC mouse housekeeping IRBP dilution trial demonstrated that the host DNA 227 bp band was present at the initial concentration of 128 ng/μl and at dilutions of 1:10, 1:100, and 1:1,000, but was absent at the dilution of 1:100,000. Despite the conclusion that amplification by PCR was effective with an extremely low DNA concentration (0.128 ng/μl), we conservatively elected to use 5 ng/μl as our cut-off value for successful DNA extraction from rodent tissues. We expected that if a rodent were infected with *Leishmania*, the parasite DNA would be at a much lower concentration than would the host DNA, and thus the inclusion of samples with DNA concentrations of <5 ng/μl could have hindered the PCR detection of *Leishmania*.

Assuming that *Leishmania* can be detected in the liver of an infected rodent, and considering that all of our samples tested negative, we concluded with 95% confidence that true *Leishmania*...
prevalence in the combined rodent population within our study areas was between 0–1.4% (one-sided Clopper-Pearson interval) [21]. Although results might be more meaningful if we were to evaluate each rodent species among each study site, sample sizes were not robust enough to make these comparisons. However, across all study sites combined, for those rodent species with \( \geq 15 \) captures, the upper bound of the population prevalence confidence interval (CI) falls between 3.3% (sample size of 88 O. microtis) and 13.9% (sample size of 20 for Euryoryzomys nitidus and Neacomys spinosus). Likewise, when all rodent species are combined within a single study site, the CI upper bound ranges from 4.6% (El Carmen with \( n = 64 \) rodents) to 18.1% (Mazuko with \( n = 15 \) rodents). The data by species and study site is presented in Table 4.

Table 3. Liver tissue samples of rodent species from additional sites examined for Leishmania by polymerase chain reaction, where \( n_1 \) is the total number captured (% of total captures) and \( n_2 \) is the total number tested.

| Study Site | La Novia | Florida Baja | Iberia |
|------------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Species    | \( n_1 \) (%) | \( n_2 \) | \( n_1 \) (%) | \( n_2 \) | \( n_1 \) (%) | \( n_2 \) |
| Euryoryzomys nitidus | 13 (18.8) | 8 6 (5.1) | 3 16 (18.8) | 9 |
| Hylaeamys perenensis | 1 (1.4) | 0 3 (2.5) | 2 0 | 0 |
| Neacomys spinosus | 16 (23.2) | 6 0 | 0 5 (5.9) | 1 |
| Necromys lenguarum | 0 (0) | 0 49 (41.5) | 23 0 | 0 |
| Oecomys bicolor | 4 (5.8) | 1 0 | 0 2 (2.4) | 1 |
| Oligoryzomys microtis | 25 (36.2) | 14 58 (49.2) | 35 57 (67.1) | 25 |
| Oxymycterus inca | 1 (1.4) | 0 0 | 0 1 (1.2) | 1 |
| Proechimys spp. | 9 (13) | 5 2 (1.7) | 1 3 (3.5) | 3 |
| Rattus rattus | 0 (0) | 0 0 | 1 1 (1.2) | 1 |
| TOTAL | 69 | 34 118 | 64 85 | 41 |

\(^{1}\)Proechimys spp. by study site consisted of: 3 P. brevicauda at Iberia; 6 P. brevicauda, 2 P. simonsi, and 1 P. pattoni at La Novia; and 1 P. simonsi and 1 P. brevicauda at Florida Baja.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103358.t003

Table 4. Upper bound Clopper-Pearson one-sided 95% confidence intervals for an observed Leishmania prevalence of 0%, evaluated by two strata: rodent species (for those species with \( \geq 15 \) individuals represented) and study site.

| Strata | Sample size | CP_{ub} (%) |
|--------|-------------|-------------|
| Rodent Species | | |
| Oligoryzomys microtis | 88 | 3.3 |
| Hylaeamys perenensis | 34 | 8.4 |
| Proechimys spp. | 27 | 10.5 |
| Necromys lenguarum | 23 | 12.2 |
| Euryoryzomys nitidus | 20 | 13.9 |
| Neacomys spinosus | 20 | 13.9 |
| Study Site | | |
| El Carmen | 64 | 4.6 |
| Florida Baja | 64 | 4.6 |
| Iberia | 41 | 7.0 |
| La Novia | 34 | 8.4 |
| Mazuko | 15 | 18.1 |

Calculations were performed in R using the package “binom” (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/binom/binom.pdf).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103358.t004

Discussion

The fact that all 217 rodents examined for Leishmania DNA tested negative by PCR suggests that native rodents are unlikely to serve as the primary reservoir for Leishmania to humans in our study regions along the Transoceanic Highway in Madre de Dios (MDD). Data on human-infective Leishmania is available from both the National (Peruvian National Ministry of Health; MINSA) and Regional (Dirección Regional de Salud, DIRESA) government health departments. Although the number of cases fluctuates annually, in weekly epidemiological bulletins, MINSA consistently reports MDD as the Peruvian Department with the highest incidence rates [28]. In 2012, MINSA reported that MDD had a CL incidence rate six-times greater than that of the next highest Department, Amazonas (487 versus 80 cases/100,000 people).
(Figure 1). Likewise, the 2012 incidence rate of MCL was more than six-times greater than the next highest Department of Cusco (45 versus 7 cases/100,000 people) (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 2, data can also be examined at the district level. All of our study sites in MDD were located in districts with comparatively high incidence rates of human leishmaniasis. Especially notable is that our study site of La Novia was situated near the border of Las Piedras and Tahuamanu, districts which in 2012 MINSA reported as having the highest incidence rates of leishmaniasis in MDD, with 208 and 175 cases/10,000 people, respectively. Therefore, from a broad-scale geographic distribution perspective, our study sites were suitable for the evaluation of L. (V.) braziliensis in potential wildlife reservoirs living in disturbed habitats.

However, we cannot exclude the possibility that Leishmania may not have been highly prevalent in the “geographic pockets” comprising our study sites at the time of sampling. Within each Department, the health system is subdivided into a network of health establishments, known as “micorede,” each of which is composed of multiple small health posts (“puestos de salud”), as well as a larger health center that serves as the head of the micorede [29]. The primary level of care occurs at the health posts, where health technicians are responsible for reporting leishmaniasis cases to the centralized health centers. These data are then compiled and evaluated at both the micorede and district level. As an example, the Mazuko micorede, which covered solely the district of Inambari and is bisected by the Interoceanic Highway, reported 65 human cases of leishmaniasis in 2009 [6].

Research on sandfly vectors further supports our belief that Leishmania was likely present in our study region. In the community of Flor de Acre (Iberia district), located approximately 15 km from our Iberia study site, research in 2009 identified 33 different species of sandflies [10]. Lutzomyia auraensis represented 63% of the sandfly captures and several pools of this sandfly species were PCR-positive for both L. (V.) braziliensis and L. (V.) lainsoni. Moreover, positive sandflies were collected directly by human houses, proving that infected sandflies are not limited to pristine forest habitat in MDD. Furthermore, concurrent sampling of sandflies from the Mazuko, El Carmen, and Florida Baja study sites confirmed that Leishmania spp. is present in multiple sandfly vectors [Hugo Valdivia, personal communication, September 2013]. Therefore we assume that Leishmania is present in our individual study sites.

In addition to geographic location, the selection of appropriate biological tissues is also important for the successful detection of pathogens in wildlife. Although in humans L. (V.) braziliensis is generally isolated from dermal lesions, rodents infected with this species of Leishmania are usually asymptomatic [30], leading to difficulty in the selection of skin biopsy sites. However, as it is thought that the sandfly vectors most readily feed upon unhaired parts of the body, such as the ear and tail, these external sites are commonly sampled for testing of cutaneous Leishmania species. Previous research has proven that Leishmania parasites can be detected from apparently normal skin (i.e. rodent ears without visible lesions) [30,31], thus demonstrating that the pathogen may be present even in the absence of lesions. Although considered dermotropic, studies have shown that members of the Leishmania Viannia subgenus may at times visceralize, depending on the host and Leishmania species [12,15,32]. Unfortunately, information on L. (V.) braziliensis tissue site predilection in rodents is limited to just a few studies, where the parasite has been definitively identified in the spleen [12], bone marrow [15], skin [15], and blood [33] in a few individual rodents of several species (Table 1). Although few studies have tested liver tissues of wild rodents for L. (V.) braziliensis, experimental studies in hamsters and wild rodents have demonstrated that this parasite will metastasize to the liver when inoculated into the skin [34,35]. Our decision to test liver, skin, and bone marrow tissues is supported by the above and suggests that our negative laboratory results were not associated with our tissue choice selection.

The exact definition of what constitutes a reservoir has sparked scientific debates among researchers [36–39]. However, incidental hosts, defined as hosts that are capable of becoming infected but that are not required for disease maintenance, are most often considered irrelevant to the long-term persistence of disease. In well-studied Leishmania systems, reservoir hosts are usually abundant and represent a large proportion of the mammalian biomass [14]. The three most prevalent rodent species captured in our study likely fulfill the relative biomass criterion for effective reservoirs in our study areas.

Patton et al. [40] performed one of the most comprehensive small mammal surveys in the Amazon region, capturing 2,850 animals from 16 study sites along the Juruá River in the Western Amazon region of Brazil. Orthomyozos perenensis (synonymous H. perenensis) was present in every terrestrial habitat trapped along the river and represented 16.4% of the overall species composition. In comparison, this species comprised 10.2% of the total captures in our work. Olgyeyomyozos microtis was also widely distributed in the Patton study, but had a strong preference for grassy habitats. This species has a broad geographic range across Amazonian regions of Brazil, Peru, and Bolivia, and can be found in both primary and secondary lowland forests, as well as forest edges. One notable difference between the Brazilian study and ours was with respect to the percent composition of Proechimys spp. and O. microtis. These two species comprised 12.5% and 43.8% of the total captures in our study, respectively. In contrast, in the Patton study the representation of these species was completely reversed, at 40% and 11.3%, respectively. This variation in species composition likely reflects habitat diversity, yet serves to illustrate that either species may be a dominant force in the local ecosystem’s rodent biomass.

Furthermore, Proechimys spp. are consistently among the most frequently captured rodents in small mammal studies performed in appropriate habitat of Central and South America [41-43]. In the Peruvian Amazon, Proechimys spp. (P. breviceuda and others) accounted for 65% of 684 rodents captured over a 3-year period along the Iquitos-Nauta highway in the Department of Loreto [42]. All three Proechimys species captured in this study (P. simonsi, P. breviceuda, and P. pattoni) have been previously documented in the Peruvian selva baja ecoregion; however, P. simonsi has a more extensive geographic distribution, including the lower regions of higher-elevation tropical forests [44]. Thus, it makes sense that at the El Carmen study site, P. simonsi was the only Proechimys species identified. Members of this genus have been reported with L. (V.) amazonensis, L. (V.) guyanensis, and L. (V.) panamensis infections under both experimental and natural conditions [41,45–47]. However, we could find no confirmed reports of active infection of L. (V.) braziliensis in Proechimys species.

If abundance and biomass were the sole considerations of reservoir capacity, then the three rodent species with the highest capture frequencies in our study might be likely reservoir candidates for Leishmania in this geographic region. However, according to Ashford [14], in well-defined Leishmania reservoir systems, the following additional characteristics appear to be salient features of reservoir hosts: life-span (host lives long enough to maintain infection throughout non-transmission season), response to infection (parasites localize to the skin where they are available to feeding sand flies), duration of infection...
rodents were repeatedly infected with \textit{L. braziliensis}. Our negative data indicate that these ecological requirements are not likely fulfilled by the most prevalent rodent species examined in our study.

An experimental study on \textit{P. semispinosus} found that the host duration of infection was transient. Specifically, when these rodents were repeatedly infected with \textit{L. (V.) panamensis}, they developed cutaneous lesions upon initial inoculation, but soon mounted an immune response that not only cleared infection, but also prevented lesions from forming upon subsequent inoculations [46]. Additionally, xenodiagnosis performed with two species of sand flies indicated that host-vector transmission was very poor [46]. Thus, it may be that rodents naturally infected with \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} also maintain a short period of infectivity, decreasing the probability that a feeding sand fly would become infected or that a PCR assay would detect a positive individual.

In the above study, a separate group of \textit{Proechimys} was inoculated with \textit{L. (Leishmania) chagasi}, and serology was performed to evaluate the humoral response to infection [46]. Only 60\% of the ten infected animals developed anti-\textit{Leishmania} antibodies, with levels falling below the positive threshold value within 2–4 months post-infection. Additionally, one animal with detectable \textit{Leishmania} parasites in the spleen remained antibody-negative throughout the study. This data and the fact that \textit{Leishmania} serology often cross-reacts with other closely related parasites (\textit{i.e.} \textit{Trypanosoma cruzi} and \textit{T. rangeli}) [46,48], suggests that our decision to exclude serological tests was not a limitation of our study.

Silva et al. [49] elaborate on the condition of infection prevalence, specifying that it must be higher than 20\% in order for a host to be considered a primary reservoir. For those vector-borne diseases with relatively well-understood disease ecology, the pathogen prevalence in rodent reservoirs appears to support the above stated condition. For example, the tick-borne pathogens \textit{Borrelia afzelii} and \textit{B. burgdorferi}, both of which cause Lyme disease in humans, have been found at prevalences of 56.8\% in bank voles and 80\% in western gray squirrels, respectively [50,51].

Similar to many species of \textit{Leishmania}, rodents infected with \textit{Borrelia} remain asymptomatic and testing is often via PCR of ear biopsy specimens. With respect to \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis}, the relatively sparse data regarding parasite prevalence in potential wildlife reservoirs is suggestive that the 20\% threshold criterion may be substantiated. Although the prevalence of \textit{Leishmania} previously reported in rodents ranges greatly, it is interesting to note that in eastern Brazil, with respect to splenic tissue, the combined \textit{Leishmania} prevalence for native rodents was 22.7\% (58/256) (Table 1). In contrast, the spleenic prevalence in synanthropic species (\textit{i.e.} \textit{Rattus} spp. and \textit{Mus musculus}) was only 9.4\% (15/160), perhaps reflecting the fact that these introduced species have had less time to co-evolve with the parasite. Additional research in north-eastern Brazil has suggested that the overall prevalence of \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} in small mammals is about 20\% in this region [30].

Chaves et al. [39] caution that due to seasonal changes in vector populations, the host prevalence can fall above or below the 20\% threshold specified by Silva et al. [49]. However, the prevalence found in our study (0 to 1.7\%) is so far below the suggested threshold that it is unlikely to be explained by seasonal variation in vectors. Therefore, in our study areas, the most frequently collected rodents may at most be incidental hosts, which as stated earlier, are not considered reservoirs and therefore would not constitute a major source of infection.

Although we did not detect \textit{Leishmania} in the common rodent species captured in this study, our traps targeted primarily terrestrial rodents. We therefore cannot draw any conclusions about the reservoir potential of arboreal rodent species that may have been present in the study area but were not represented in our sample, such as bamboo rats (\textit{Dactylonymus} spp.). Nevertheless, we believe that other mammalian wildlife taxa should be more strongly considered in the transmission cycle of \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} in the Peruvian Amazon region. For example, research has implicated opossums as reservoirs of \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} [48] and sloths have been identified as reservoirs of other members of the \textit{Leishmania Vianna} subgenus [14]. Additionally, bats have been found infected with \textit{L. chagasi} and \textit{L. amazonensis} [52,53] and should not be ignored in the search for sylvatic reservoirs of \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis}. To date, only a few studies have investigated the possible role of bats in the transmission cycle of leishmaniasis. Recently, a single study identified \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} from the blood, liver, and a cutaneous lesion of two bats collected in Brazil [54].

Madre de Dios is not only highly endemic for \textit{L. braziliensis}, but is also rife with activities that alter the landscape, such as agriculture (croplands and cattle farming) and resource extraction industries (mining and logging). These land use practices are accompanied by the development of roads and urban areas, which create an interface among humans, domestic animals, and wildlife, especially species that are able to easily adapt to habitat modifications. The dominant rodent species captured in our study, are prime examples of such species, as our study sites were partially situated near farmlands and cattle pastures, as well as the Interocinicol Highway. Other studies have previously shown that \textit{Oligoryzomys} spp., \textit{Proechimys} spp., and \textit{H. perenensis} are all tolerant to some level of disturbed habitat. For example, in a Brazilian study of twelve various sized fragmented forest patches located within a matrix of agriculture and cattle-raising fields, a related species, \textit{O. nigripes} was consistently reported as one of the most common species captured [55]. Patton et al. [40] reported that nearly all of 321 \textit{O. microtis} were captured from seasonally available grassy river edges or disturbed habitats, including old or active garden plots, pastures, and land adjacent to human dwellings. Likewise, \textit{P. simoni} and \textit{P. brevicauda} are also commonly found in active and abandoned cultivated plots, as well as disturbed forests and edge habitats [40]. Patton et al. [40] noted that although \textit{O. perenensis} was ubiquitous among all habitat types, it was especially tolerant of open, disturbed habitats along the river margin. Our negative results imply that although incidental infections of \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} may occur, the three most abundant rodent species captured in our study areas are unlikely to serve as a common source of \textit{Leishmania} infection along the Transoceanic Highway in MDD. Therefore, the fact that these species may persist and even thrive in moderately altered landscapes, we did not find any evidence to suggest that they pose a risk for \textit{L. (V.) braziliensis} transmission to human inhabitants in this region.
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