Transcriptomic Profiling of 3D Glioblastoma Tumoroids for the Identification of Mechanisms Involved in Anticancer Drug Resistance
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Among various types of brain tumors, glioblastoma is the most malignant and highly aggressive brain tumor that possesses a high resistance against anticancer drugs. To understand the underlined mechanisms of tumor drug resistance, a new and more effective research approach is required. The three dimensional (3D) in vitro cell culture models could be a potential approach to study cancer features and biology, as well as screen for anticancer agents due to the close mimicry of the 3D tumor microenvironments. Materials and Methods: With our developed 3D alginate scaffolds, Ilumina RNA-sequencing was used to transcriptomically analyze and compare the gene expression profiles between glioblastoma cells in traditional 2-dimensional (2D) monolayer and in 3D Ca-alginate scaffolds at day 14. To verify the reliability and accuracy of Illumina RNA-Sequencing data, ATP-binding cassette transporter genes were chosen for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) verification. Results: The results showed that 7,411 and 3,915 genes of the 3D glioblastoma were up-regulated and down-regulated, respectively, compared with the 2D-cultured glioblastoma. Furthermore, the Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis revealed that genes related to the cell cycle and DNA replication were enriched in the group of down-regulated gene. On the other hand, the genes involved in mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling, autophagy, drug metabolism through cytochrome P450, and ATP-binding cassette transporter were found in the up-regulated gene collection. Conclusion: 3D glioblastoma tumoroids might potentially serve as a powerful platform for exploring glioblastoma biology. They can also be valuable in anti-glioblastoma drug screening, as well as the identification of novel molecular targets in clinical treatment of human glioblastoma.

Glioblastoma is one of the most common yet aggressive malignant primary human brain tumors, originating from astrocytes or their precursors (1). Numerous efforts have been invested in developing the clinical treatment of glioblastoma including radiation therapy, neurosurgery and chemotherapy. However, even with good, consistent treatment, patients tended to survive for only 14 months, with the recurrence of glioblastoma at 5-7 months post-treatment (2). A unique characteristic of glioblastoma is their infiltrative ability to diffusively invade normal brain tissue (3, 4), which is possibly the cause of high recurrence and anticancer drug resistance (5). In order to primarily understand the biology of this glioblastoma type and reveal the underlying mechanism of anticancer drug resistance, an effective in vitro tumor model is needed. However, in vitro studies based on 2D culture cannot correctly imitate the in vivo architecture and microenvironments within which cancer cells reside. Therefore, cells under 2D culture are different from those growing in vivo in terms of proliferation, morphology, cell–cell and cell–matrix interconnections, signal transduction, and transcriptomic profile (6, 7). In order to recapitulate such cell microenvironments in vivo, three-dimensional (3D) culture has been alternatively used as in vitro tumor models, which can simulate in vivo cell behaviors, and provide more comparable and reliable results (8). Several 3D in vitro glioblastoma models have been established using extracellular matrix-based scaffolds and elucidated the dramatic differences in terms of cell proliferation, morphology, and...
drug resistance between 2D and 3D glioblastoma cells in culture (9-11). Our previous study reported the up-regulation of genes associated with stemness and differentiation, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) angiogenesis factor in 3D glioblastoma, which might play important roles in the enhancement of drug resistance (11). Recently, several investigators have examined the effects of glioblastoma multiforme tumor cells culture condition on gene expression profiles. They found that in 3D glioblastoma multiforme PLA porous scaffolds compared to the 2D condition, genes in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase (PI3K)-AKT signaling pathways were up-regulated, while those in metabolism, or related to the extracellular matrix and transforming growth factor-beta pathways were down-regulated (12). Tejero, et al. found that the 3D glioblastoma organoids promoted the appearance of a quiescent cell population that represented the self-renewal ability high therapy resistance, and mesenchymal gene signatures (13). Furthermore, Jia, et al. found that the expression of genes associated with stemness, cell cycle, apoptosis, epithelia-mesenchymal transition, migration, invasion, and glioma malignancy, were upregulated in 3D glioma cell culture (14). Despite the number of 3D glioblastoma studies, the transcriptomic profile and molecular pathway need to be further explored in order to understand the global picture of the underlying drug resistance mechanism. In this study, the transcriptomic profiles of glioblastoma cells under 2D and 3D conditions were elucidated and analyzed using next-generation sequencing technology (Illumina HiSeq systems) to emphatically reveal pathways related to anticancer drug resistance in 3D in vitro glioblastoma.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents. Sodium alginate, arginine-glycine-aspartate and calcium chloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Calcein-AM, propidium iodide (PI), and 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole were purchased from Life Technologies Inc. (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The U-251 human glioblastoma cell line was obtained from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Salisbury, UK). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from HyClone (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), while nonessential amino acids, 0.5% trypsin-ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid and L-glutamax were purchased from Gibco (GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Fabrication of porous scaffolds. Ca-alginate scaffolds for 3D cell culture were fabricated using freeze-drying technique as described in our previous study (11). Briefly, 2% sodium alginate was dissolved in sterile deionized (DI) water. The sodium alginate solution was injected into 48-well culture plate at a volume of 400 μl/well. Sodium alginate solution was then frozen at −20˚C for 24 h prior to lyophilization to generate porous scaffolds. The scaffolds were cross-linked with 2% calcium chloride solution, washed several times with sterile DI water. The scaffolds were then sterilized with alcohol (75%) for 24 h, and stored at room temperature until use. Before use, the scaffolds were washed several times with sterile DI water, immersed in 100 mg/l arginine-glycine-aspartate peptide solution, and incubated overnight at 37˚C in a humidified incubator supplied with 5% CO₂ and 95% air. Morphology of Ca-alginate scaffold was evaluated and is shown in Figure 1. The porous structure of the Ca-alginate scaffolds was examined using scanning electron microscopy, demonstrating 100-400 μm pore size with the average 89% porosity.

Cell cultures. U-251 glioblastoma cell line was used as a model in this study. For 2D cell culture, 50,000 cells of U-251 in 200 complete DMEM (supplemented with 10% v/v FBS, 1% v/v penicillin-streptomycin, 1% v/v L-glutamine, and 1% v/v nonessential amino acids) was added to each well of the 24-well cell culture plate. Cells were then incubated at 37˚C with 5% CO₂. Complete medium was replenished every 2 days with fresh. Morphology of cells was observed under an inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse TS2; Nikon Inc., Melville, NY, USA).

For 3D cell culture, Ca-alginate scaffolds were placed in 24-well cell culture plate with complete DMEM overnight prior to cell seeding. After 1-h incubation at 37˚C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator, 50,000 cells in 50 μl of complete DMEM were seeded directly onto each scaffold. Then 1 ml of complete medium was added to each well before further cultivation under the same conditions. Complete DMEM was renewed once every 2 days.
approximate insert size of 300 bp) were recovered. Each sample was then amplified by PCR for 11 cycles using P5 and P7 primers, with adaptors to both ends. Size selection of Adaptor-ligated DNA was performed by centrifugation at 60×g for 3 min. The total RNA from each sample was quantified and qualified by NanoDrop® (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) at OD 260 nm/OD 280 nm according to the manufacturer’s instructions (three replications each). Additionally, for cells under 3D conditions, 50 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid solution was added onto Ca-alginate scaffolds for cell isolation. Cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline and collected by centrifugation at 60×g for 3 min. The total RNA from each sample was quantified and qualified by NanoDrop® (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) at OD 260 nm/OD 280 nm and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Libraries with different indices were multiplexed and loaded on an Illumina HiSeq instrument according to manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out using a 2×150bp paired-end (PE) configuration; image analysis and base calling were conducted by the HiSeq Control Software (HCS) + OLB + GAPipeline-1.6 (Illumina) on a HiSeq instrument. The sequences were processed by the Genewiz Biotechnology Company (Jiangsu, Suzhou, PR China), and the basic bioinformatics data were analyzed by R&D genomics services at Vishuo Biomedical Pte. Ltd., Singapore.

RNA extraction. Total RNA from U-251 cells after 14 days under both 2D and 3D cell culture was extracted with NucleoSpin RNA Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (three replications each). Additionally, for cells under 3D conditions, 50 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid solution was added onto Ca-alginate scaffolds for cell isolation.

Cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline and collected by centrifugation at 60×g for 3 min. The total RNA from each sample was quantified and qualified by NanoDrop® (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) at OD 260 nm/OD 280 nm and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA), respectively. The integrity of these RNA samples was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. One microgram of total RNA with RNA integrity number value above 7 was used for the subsequent library preparation.

RNA sequencing library construction. RNA-seq library preparation, sequencing and analysis were carried out by Vishuo Biomedical Ltd. (Thailand) Next-generation sequencing library preparations were constructed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (NEB-Next Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina®; Illumina, New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA). Poly(A) mRNA isolation was performed using NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (NEB) or Ribonuclease Removal Kit (Illumina). mRNA fragmentation and primering was performed using NEBNext First-Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer and NEBNext Random Primers. First-Strand cDNA was synthesized using ProtoScript II Reverse Transcriptase and the second-strand cDNA was synthesized using Second Strand Synthesis Enzyme Mix. Purified double-stranded cDNA produced using AxyPrep Mag polymerase chain reaction Clean-up (Axygen Scientific Inc., Union, CA, USA) was then treated with End Prep Enzyme Mix to repair both ends and add a dA-tailing in one reaction, followed by a T-A ligation to add adaptors to both ends. Size selection of Adaptor-ligated DNA was then performed using AxyPrep Mag polymerase chain reaction (PCR) Clean-Up (Axygen), and fragments of ~360 bp (with the approximate insert size of 300 bp) were recovered. Each sample was then amplified by PCR for 11 cycles using P5 and P7 primers, with both primers carrying sequences which can anneal with flow cell to perform bridge PCR and P7 primer carrying a six-base index allowing for multiplexing. The PCR products were cleaned up using AxyPrep Mag PCR Clean-up (Axygen), validated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies), and quantified by Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Libraries with different indices were multiplexed and loaded on an Illumina HiSeq instrument according to manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out using a 2×150bp paired-end (PE) configuration; image analysis and base calling were conducted by the HiSeq Control Software (HCS) + OLB + GAPipeline-1.6 (Illumina) on a HiSeq instrument. The sequences were processed by the Genewiz Biotechnology Company (Jiangsu, Suzhou, PR China), and the basic bioinformatics data were analyzed by R&D genomics services at Vishuo Biomedical Pte. Ltd., Singapore.

Quantification of gene expression and differential expression analysis. To quality control, the raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were firstly processed by Trimmomatic (v0.30). In this step, the clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing low-quality reads and those containing adapter. At the same time, the percentage of bases with quality scores higher than 20 or 30 (Q20, Q30), and GC content of the clean data were calculated. All downstream analyses were based on the clean data of high quality. The reference genome sequences and gene model annotation files of relative species were then downloaded from genome website (UCSC, NCBI, ENSEMBL). Hisat2 (v2.0.1) was then used to index reference genome sequence. The clean data were aligned to reference genome via Hisat2 (v2.0.1) software. HTSeq (v0.6.1) estimated gene and isoform expression levels from the pair-end clean data (15). Differential expression analysis used the DESeq Bioconductor package, a model based on the negative binomial distribution. After adjusting by Benjamini and Hochberg’s approach for controlling the false-discovery rate, p-values for genes of were set at less than 0.05 to detect differentially expressed ones (15, 16).

Bioinformatics evaluation. The genes differentially expressed of between 2D and 3D cultures of U-251 cells were analyzed by evaluating the Gene Ontologies (GO) and using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) software for pathway evaluation. GO-TermFinder was used to identify GO terms that annotated enriched genes with a significant p<0.05. In-house scripts were used to enrich genes with significant differential expression in KEGG pathways.
Quantitative real-time (qRT) PCR validation. To further validate the accuracy of DESeq2 results, qRT-PCR analyses were performed. RNA samples from 2D and 3D cell cultures at day 14 were collected and reverse transcribed using ReverTra Ace® qPCR RT Master Mix with gDNA Remover (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). The cDNA transcription was used as template for quantitative real time PCR mixed with qPCR BioSyGreen Mix Low-Rox (PCR BIOSYSTEMS, London, UK) by thermocycling using QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific), using the following program: 95˚C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 30 s and 60˚C for 30 s and 72˚C for 45 s. A melting curve analysis of the PCR products was performed by heating at 60˚C for 60 s, 95˚C for 15 s. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was selected as the reference gene. The expression levels of ABCA1, ABCA2, ABCA3, ABCA5, ABCA6, ABCA8, ABCA9, ABCA10, and ABCA12 were examined. The primers designed for the genes of interest are shown in Table I. The relative expression of these genes for 3D cell cultures compared those in with 2D culture was determined by the 2−ΔΔCt method, and each target gene was normalized against the GAPDH reference gene.

Statistical analysis. The results are expressed as mean values±standard deviation. Comparison between different groups was performed using Student’s t-test (SPSS version 16.0; SPSS Inc., Hong Kong, China). Differences were considered significant p≤0.05.

Results

U-251 glioblastoma cell culture. The morphology of the U-251 cells in the 2D and 3D culture condition at day 14 was examined using fluorescence microscopy. Cells cultured under conventional 2D conditions tended to grow as flat monolayer sheets, while cells cultured under 3D conditions managed to expand intercellular interactions to form cell spheroids similarly to in vivo tumor. After 14 days of 3D cell culture, spheroids with the diameter of up to 50 μm were found in the culture system (Figure 2).

Transcriptome sequencing and mapping to the reference genome. During sequencing, quality concerns may arise. A small number of target sequences might be read into adapter sequences, and bases toward the 3'-end might have low quality due to the lengthy sequencing cycles. In order to eliminate the negative effect of these technical issues, low-quality reads and contaminations were filtered out before data analysis. In addition, adapter sequences were removed. A total of 283,439,522 raw reads were primarily generated; after removing low-quality reads and those containing adapter and poly-N, 281,713,096 clean reads remained (Table II). The
remaining clean data were used to map to the reference genome. From cells under 2D conditions, samples 2D-1, 2D-2, and 2D-3 had 95.094%, 95.0841%, and 95.2281% of reads mapped to the reference genome, respectively. From cells under 3D conditions, the percent of reads mapped to the reference genome of samples 3D-1, 3D-2, and 3D-3 were 94.1352%, 93.6812%, and 92.94%, respectively.

Differential gene expression between 2D and 3D culture conditions. The level of gene expression was measured by read density; the higher the read density, the higher the level of gene expression. The expected number of fragments per kilobase of target transcript length per million reads mapped (FPKM) is the most common method used to evaluate the level of gene expression (17). Collaboratively, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to reduce data complexity and analyze the sample relationship and the scale of the difference between the transcriptomes of 2D and 3D condition. The results of PCA revealed the significant difference between these two conditions (Figure 3A). Moreover, the DESeq2 differential expression analysis was further analyzed to specifically determine genes with significant differential gene expression profile between the groups. The significant differential gene expression was defined based on a fold change greater than 2 or less than −2 and false-discovery rate less than 0.05 to achieve both statistical and biological significance (Figure 3B). Based on these standards, the differential gene expression of U-251 glioblastoma comparing between cells under 2D and 3D conditions was recorded. As shown in Figure 3C, 7,411 differentially expressed genes involved in the most important biochemical metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways. KEGG is the primary public pathway database (18) used for this type of analysis. Pathway enrichment analysis performed in this section was based on KEGG pathway units 'cooperation' between genes with various functions. Pathway functional enrichment facilitates the determination of differentially expressed genes involved in the most important biochemical metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways. KEGG pathway analysis of U-251 cells cultured under 2D and 3D conditions. Physiological activities are the result of ‘cooperation’ between genes with various functions. Pathway functional enrichment facilitates the determination of differentially expressed genes involved in the most important biochemical metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways. KEGG is the primary public pathway database (18) used for this type of analysis. Pathway enrichment analysis performed in this section was based on KEGG pathway units with a hypergeometric test to highlight the pathways of the differentially expressed genes significantly enriched against the transcriptome background. The differential gene expression was mainly enriched in mitophagy, autophagy, extracellular matrix–receptor interaction, cell cycle, fatty acid metabolism, RNA degradation, pyrimidine metabolism, DNA
replication, pathways in cancer, viral carcinogenesis, steroid biosynthesis, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway. Here, some important pathways were revealed the major differences in glioblastoma cells cultured under 2D and 3D conditions, as well as pathways that cause the anticancer drug resistance of glioblastoma. The results based on KEGG pathway analysis showed the down-regulated genes were mainly enriched in pathways related to the cell cycle and DNA replication, while the up-regulated genes were mainly enriched in MAPK signaling, autophagy, drug metabolism–cytochrome P450, and ABC transporter pathway. Heatmaps were generated based on the differentially expressed genes of each particular pathway defined by FPKM value (Figure 6).

**RT-qPCR verification.** To further validate the results of Illumina RNA-Sequencing data, qRT-PCR was performed to investigate the mRNA expression of ABCA1, ABCA2, ABCA3, ABCA5, ABCA6, ABCA8, ABCA9, ABCA10 and ABCA12. The validation qRT-PCR results are shown in Figure 7. The mRNA expression of these genes in cells under 3D culture was significantly higher than that of 2D cell culture, by 3-fold or higher. These results indicate that all genes showed consistent expression patterns with the Illumina RNA-Sequencing data, confirming that our experimental results were valid.

**Discussion**

2D cell culture has been used for in vitro models in drug screening for primary identification and verification of bioactive compounds that were subsequently translated into clinical cancer treatment (19). However, there was poor correlation in terms of the effectiveness of emerging anticancer agents tested in 2D cell culture in that of the actual treatment in patients, which emphasizes the need for the development of novel, effective and translatable in vitro models (20). Culture conditions are known to crucially affect the regulation of cell fate and responsiveness of cells to external stimuli. 2D culture conditions are widely favored due to their easy and fast testing capability but cells grown in such conditions may lose their natural phenotypic and functional characteristics (21). The limitations of 2D cell culture might be overcome by culturing cells under more physiological 3D conditions. Thus, 3D in vitro tumor models would be powerful and effective tool, in cancer research and low-cost anticancer drug screening (10, 11). Closely imitating the complex in vivo tumor microenvironment helps researchers to reveal and understand the underlying molecular mechanism for tumorigenesis, development, proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and resistance to anticancer drugs.

In the present study, we utilized a 3D Ca-alginate scaffold as a model to create glioblastoma micro tumor spheroids and analyze their global gene expression profiles when cultured under 2D and 3D culture condition by Illumina RNA-sequencing. Furthermore, we identified the putative pathways enriched with differentially expressed genes, which potentially play a crucial role in the enhancement of anticancer drug resistance in glioblastoma.

Several RNA-sequencing studies demonstrated significant, tumor-relevant molecular changes induced by 3D cell culture (12, 22, 23). Glioblastoma spheroids in 3D culture mimicked in vivo tissues, organs and even patient tumors, providing
similar cellular properties including hypoxic gradients, cellular heterogeneity with both slow-dividing cells and proliferative tumor bulk (24, 25) and higher drug resistance (10). Our transcriptomic study provides other evidence of the global molecular alterations due to different microenvironments under 2D and 3D cell culture conditions, especially for the expression of genes related to the cell cycle, DNA replication, MAPK signaling, autophagy, drug metabolism-cytochrome P450, and ABC transporter pathway.

Among all crucial cellular properties found to be different in our 3D model of glioblastoma, cell proliferation governed by the cell cycle pathway seemed to exhibit the most dramatic alteration. In our experiments, there were 56 differentially expressed genes downregulated in this pathway comparing between 2D and 3D condition (Figure 6A). The cell cycle pathway is the series of events that control cell division. Regulation of the cell cycle is related to the survival of cells, including the detection and repair of genetic damage, as well as the prevention of uncontrolled cell division. Since 3D glioblastoma spheroids are known to feature the hypoxic gradients and cellular heterogeneity which exist in tumors in vivo, such hypoxia may affect the cell cycle. Richards et al. demonstrated that hypoxia has the potential to induce cell-cycle arrest in glioblastoma cells (26). 3D glioblastoma cells residing within nutrient- and oxygen-deprived regions are mostly quiescent. Transcriptional profiling data revealed that quiescent stem cells were characterized by the down-regulation of genes associated with cell-cycle progression [i.e. cyclin A2 (CCNA2), CCNB1, and CCNE2] (27). Consistent with this, our study showed the expression of genes related to the cell cycle, such as CCNA1, CCND3, CCNB1, CCNB2, CDC14, CDC20 and polo like kinase 1 (PLK1), were down-regulated under 3D culture conditions. DNA replication pathway, the series of biological processes by which DNA replication occurs during the S-phase of the cell cycle, also exhibited major differential gene expression. A total of 28 genes in the DNA replication pathway were found to be down-regulated under 3D conditions compared to 2D conditions (Figure 6B), which was possibly the consequences of cell-cycle arrest.

Despite the suppression of proliferation, reflected in the down-regulation of cell cycle and DNA replication pathways, the MAPK signaling pathway, which regulates cellular response to the extracellular signals, was affected in the opposite manner, in which 49 genes were found to be up-regulated under 3D conditions (Figure 6C). MAPK signaling pathway, often known as the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signal cascade, functions to transmit upstream extracellular signals to its downstream effectors. This pathway regulates a broad range of physiological processes such as cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, migration development, inflammatory responses and apoptosis (28) for cells in general, and the progression, invasion, survival and drug resistance in the context of cancer cells. Xu et al. demonstrated that MAPK8 promoted resistance to anticancer drug, accelerated cell proliferation and inhibited the apoptosis of glioblastoma cells via activating the MAPK signaling pathway (29). Other studies have reported that in tumor tissue samples derived from patient, extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase (ERK) was phosphorylated, indicating that this survival pathway was active in glioma cells (30). In addition, the MAPK/c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling transduction pathway was also reported to induce defensive mechanisms protecting against various stress situations and was been repeatedly linked to the molecular events involved in autophagy regulation (31). Lin et al. reported that temozolomide-induced autophagy was mediated by JNK activation in U87 cell lines, and the JNK inhibitor SP600125 inhibited cell autophagy, further increasing the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis (32).

The involvement of MAPK signaling pathway in autophagy regulation conceivably may have contributed to up-regulation of 19 autophagy-related genes in our study (Figure 6D). Autophagy is a lysosomal-based degradative pathway that

Figure 4. Heatmap of gene expression in glioblastoma cells under 2D and 3D culture conditions for 14 days. 2D-1 to -3: Replicates 1-3 under 2D culture conditions; 3D-1 to -3: Replicates 1-3 under 3D culture conditions.
processes self-cannibalization, allowing the degradation of intracellular components, including soluble proteins, aggregated proteins, organelles, macromolecular complexes, and foreign bodies to their relative biological building blocks during periods of starvation. Such self-eating and recycling provides cells with survival advantages under various stress situations (31). Autophagy can support growth of tumor at later stages, allowing tumor cells to survive with limited oxygen and nutrients, as well as under cytotoxic conditions caused by drug treatment (33). Bingel et al. found that autophagy-controlling transcription factors, such as transcription factor EB (TFEB) and forkhead box O3 (FOXO3) were up-regulated in tumors and 3D neuroblastoma cells and may underlie some mechanisms of chemotherapy resistance (33). In addition, 3D glioblastoma cells were able to undergo metabolic reprogramming to sustain their rapid growth and proliferation.

Figure 5. Gene Ontologies (GO) enrichment histogram. Color code is to distinguish the categories of biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions between 2D and 3D conditions.
Figure 6. Differently expressed genes within pathways under 2D (n=3) and 3D (n=3) cell culture conditions after 14 days. A: Cell cycle. B: DNA replication. C: Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling. D: Autophagy pathway. E: Drug metabolism-cytochrome P450. F: ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters.
Hence, glioblastoma cells often have distinct nutrient requirements such as higher level of glucose, a phenomena associated with the Warburg effect which is characterized by a high glycolytic rate (34-36). The elevated rates of glucose uptake and metabolism to sustain their rapid growth combined with nutrient deprivation in glioblastoma spheroids may cause autophagy enhancement and the transition of cells to a quiescent state (37) and promote survival, and as such constitutes a vital mechanism of drug resistance (38). Wang et al. demonstrated that upon glucose starvation, while the majority of cancer cells perished due to chemotherapeutic cytotoxicity, subsets of cancer cells can up-regulate their autophagic activity, enter quiescence, and acquire survival advantage and ultimately chemoresistance (39).

Several mechanisms have been proposed for drug resistance of glioblastomas (40, 41). Intracellular drug inactivation may occur as a result of the increased concentrations of enzymes such as glutathione S-transferase (GST), CYP450 and aldehyde dehydrogenases. These enzymes may have a role in reduced efficacy of chemotherapy against glioblastomas (42, 43). CYP450 plays a key role as phase I drug-metabolizing enzymes to catalyze the oxidative biotransformation of most drugs and other lipophilic xenobiotics (44). In this study, 23 genes in drug metabolism-CYP450 were found to be up-regulated in 3D glioblastoma (Figure 6E) which emphasizes the increase of malignancy of 3D glioblastoma comparing to those in 2D. In the context of cancer, such family of enzymes are of particular interest since they exhibited important extrahepatic function and have been linked to various malignancies (45). Moreover, genotyping and epidemiological studies showed CYP1A1 was correlated with increased risk for brain tumor (46). CYP1B1 has been shown to be overexpressed in various malignant tumors (47). Barnett et al. demonstrated that CYP1B1 is expressed in gliomas (48) and the level of expression depends on tumor type and grade (43). Aldehyde oxidases and GSTs along with their isoenzyme glutathione S-transferase pi 1 (GSTP1), were also up-regulated in this study. Aldehyde oxidases take part in the metabolism of alkylating agents mediating resistance to anticancer drugs (49), while phase II drug-metabolizing enzymes, GSTs, are responsible for catalyzing the formation of glutathione-S-conjugates with electrophiles, inactivating and facilitating excretion of these drug molecules (50). Previous studies stated that GST genes were up-regulated in response to oxidative stress and inexplicably overexpressed in many tumors, causing problems during cancer chemotherapy by directly affecting drug metabolism or by potentially reducing the ability of the drugs to interact with DNA and other cellular molecules (51). GSTP1 is the primary isoenzyme contributing to total GST activity in both normal brain and brain tumors (42, 52). Hara et al. also examined expression of GSTP1 in 31 gliomas and six normal brain tissues and showed that gliomas had a strongly positive reaction for GSTP1 immunostaining in both in vitro models and patient glioma samples (53).

Another mechanism mediating multiple drug resistance is the active efflux of anticancer drugs out of cells through multidrug-resistance proteins embedded in the cellular
membrane (54). Drugs can be transported across the membrane against electrochemical potentials in ATP-dependent manner via ABC transporters, which were found to be up-regulated in this study (Figure 6F). The family of ABC transporters is involved in diverse physiological processes and responsible for the uptake and efflux of broad type of substances from cancer cells (55). Rama, et al. demonstrated that ABCA1, ABCC4, and ABCC5 were overexpressed in glioblastoma cells and drug resistance has been correlated with the presence of ABC transporters (56).

Our work has provided transcriptomic perspective and quantitative measurements of genes differently expressed in glioblastoma cells and drug resistance has been correlated with the presence of ABC transporters (56).

Our work has provided transcriptomic perspective and quantitative measurements of genes differently expressed in glioblastoma cells under 2D and 3D *in vitro* culture conditions. Based on our findings, future research should investigate data from proteomics and metabolomics in order to understand cell phenotype and identify novel therapeutic targets in glioblastoma (Figure 8). The *in vitro* 3D glioblastoma culture model has the potential to serve as a powerful platform for tumor study and anticancer drug screening and potentially be applied to further proteomic and metabolomics studies.
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