SYDE1 Acts as an Oncogene in Glioma and has Diagnostic and Prognostic Values
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Objectives: Gliomas remain one of serious public health problems worldwide which demand further and deeper investigation. The aim of this study was to explore the association between synapse defective protein 1 homolog 1 (SYDE1) and gliomas via public database analysis and in vitro validation to determine the potential diagnostic and prognostic values.

Methods and Results: Compared with healthy brain tissues, there was a significant increase in SYDE1 expression in glioma tissues. Additionally, SYDE1 exhibited higher expression levels in glioma patients with unfavorable clinicopathological factors. In vitro knockdown of SYDE1 in glioma cell lines A172 inhibited their migrative and invasive ability but not the proliferative ability. GO and KEGG pathway analysis of the top 100 genes coexpressed with SYDE1 showed enrichments of tumor-associated terms. Further bioinformatic analysis revealed that the SNHG16/hsa-miR-520e/SYDE1 axis might be involved in glioma development.

Conclusions: SYDE1 is expressed at higher levels in gliomas than in healthy brains, and can promote metastasis and invasion but not proliferation of gliomas. Furthermore, SYDE1 has values in the diagnosis and prognosis prediction of gliomas.
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INTRODUCTION

Gliomas are one of the most common invasive malignancies in the central nervous system, accounting for approximately 51.4% of all primary brain tumors (Xue et al., 2017). In particular, gliomas constitute the majority of primary brain tumors in adults (Goodenberger and Jenkins, 2012). The current therapeutic approach for gliomas, however, is limited to complete surgical resection combined with radiotherapy and alkylating chemotherapy (Louis et al., 2016). Of note, since oncogenes are capable of sustaining tumor growth and conventional treatment does not take into account special parameters of various subtypes, the expectation of novel treatments is still grave for the general majority of patients (Arko et al., 2010; Gilbert et al., 2013; Kamiya-Matsuoka and Gilbert, 2015).
According to the 2007 WHO classification of central nervous system tumors, gliomas can be divided into low-grade glioma (LGG, grade I and II) and high-grade glioma (HGG, grade III and IV) (Louis et al., 2007). This classification is mainly based on the clinical and histopathological features of the glioma. The latest version of the WHO glioma category released in 2016 has included the evaluation of molecular characteristics, such as isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations, chromosome 1p/19q codeletion and RELA fusion positivity (Louis et al., 2016). IDH enzymes catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate and are essential in maintaining cellular homeostasis. IDH mutations have been reported by Yan et al. to occur in >80% of HGG cases (Yan et al., 2009). Chromosome 1p/19q codeletion is recognized as another hallmark of gliomas, which presents in approximately 60–90% of oligodendroglomas and 30–50% of oligoastrocytomas (Hofer and Lassman, 2010). Of note, 1p/19q codeletion is associated with a better response to radiotherapy and chemotherapy and can predict longer progression-free and overall survival (Dunbar, 2009). Given that IDH mutations and 1p/19q codeletions occur mostly in different subtypes of gliomas, the 2016 edition of the WHO glioma classification faces great challenges in clinical practice. Therefore, it is of great necessity to acquire an in-depth understanding of gliomas and identify other causal genes.

Synapse defective protein 1 homolog 1 (SYDE1) is a 79-kDa Rho GTPase-activating protein that is encoded by the SYDE1 gene located at chromosome 19p13.12. SYDE1 is highly expressed in the placenta, bone marrow and brain and is involved in the positive regulation of placental trophoblast cell migration and bone marrow cell differentiation (Lo et al., 2017). Moreover, SYDE1 has been revealed to be differentially expressed between cerebral cancer and normal controls and is recognized as a potential causal gene related to cervical cancer (Zhang et al., 2021). Buchner et al. deciphered the role of SYDE1 in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) via analysis of metastatic RCC mRNA expression profiles, which revealed that SYDE1 could discriminate well between RCC patients with favorable prognosis or poor prognosis (Buchner et al., 2010). Given the high expression level of SYDE1 in brain tissues, it is of great necessity to determine the function of SYDE1 in the pathogenesis of cerebral tumors, especially gliomas.

In this study, we utilized publicly available data from Oncomine, GEPIA2 and Human Protein Atlas (HPA) databases to examine SYDE1 expression in glioma tissues and normal control tissues, and we identified an increased level of SYDE1 in gliomas. Furthermore, SYDE1 expression was higher in HGGs than in LGGs, as revealed by bioinformatic analysis of the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA) datasets. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of SYDE1 human glioma samples from grades I to IV draws the same conclusion. Then, we performed RNAi-mediated SYDE1 knockdown in glioma cell lines in vitro, which revealed that SYDE1 knockdown abolished the migration and invasion of glioma cells. To decipher the mechanisms of SYDE1 in glioma pathogenesis, we further constructed a coexpression network of genes in gliomas from the cBioPortal database whose Spearman’s correlation index values with SYDE1 rank in the top 100 and found that these genes were enriched in tumor-associated GO terms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Application of Public Web-Based Databases

Gene expression profiling interactive analysis 2 (GEPIA2; http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) is a Chinese online tool for bioinformatics and visualized analysis based on the TCGA and GTEx databases. It is widely used because of its convenient operation and high efficiency although users do not have access to the primary data (Tang et al., 2019). The present study used survival analysis of SYDE1, hsa-miR-136, hsa-miR-302a, hsa-miR-424, hsa-miR-497, hsa-miR-529e, FG5D-AS1, MIR17HG, and SNHG16 to explore the relationships between SYDE1 expression and glioma prognosis and pathology.

To explore the expression level of SYDE1 in CNS/brain tumors (especially gliomas) and normal brain tissues, Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org) was utilized to analyze the web-based data on the expression of SYDE1 in different types of gliomas and corresponding normal samples (Rhodes et al., 2004). This study compared the pattern of the expression of SYDE1 in four major types of gliomas, including GBMs, anaplastic astrocytomas, diffuse astrocytomas, and oligodendrogliomas, with the inclusion threshold described as a fold-change (FC) > 1.5, p < 0.01, and gene rank = all.

The HPA (https://www.proteinatlas.org) is a widely used online protein analysis database that contains 26,000 human proteins, and it was used to develop immunoassay technologies to analyze the expression levels of proteins in cell lines, human normal tissues and tumor tissues (Uhlen et al., 2015). The present study assessed the translational expression levels of SYDE1 in gliomas and normal brain tissues.

The top 100 coexpressed genes of SYDE1 were acquired from the cBioPortal database (http://www.cbioportal.org/), and were visualized via Cytoscape (https://cytoscape.org/) (Cerami et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2013). The mRNA/miRNA/lncRNA interactions were forecasted using starBase 3.0 (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/), which includes seven supported algorithms (PITA, miRmap, microT, miRanda, PicTar, RNA22, and TargetScan) (Li et al., 2014). Target miRNAs predicted by at least six algorithms were selected for further functional analysis.

Data Obtaining and Preprocessing

To evaluate the relations between SYDE1 expression and cancer prognosis and other clinicopathological characteristics, SYDE1 mRNA expression profiles in glioma samples and normal samples were downloaded from TCGA, CGGA and GEO. These mRNA profiles were quality controlled using RSeQC, and were normalized using the trimmed mean of M-values. Within the TCGA database, we subgrouped the glioma samples into three major cohorts, glioma, LGG, and GBM samples, which were
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TABLE 1

Clinicopathological characteristics of patient samples and expression of SYDE1 in glioma and normal tissues.

| Characteristics | Category | Number of cases (%) |
|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Age (years)     | <45      | 20 (44.4%)          |
|                 | ≥45      | 25 (55.6%)          |
| Gender          | Female   | 24 (53.3%)          |
|                 | Male     | 21 (46.7%)          |
| WHO grade       | Normal   | 5 (11.1%)           |
|                 | I        | 5 (11.1%)           |
|                 | II       | 10 (22.2%)          |
|                 | III      | 10 (22.2%)          |
|                 | IV       | 15 (33.3%)          |
| Histology       | Normal   | 5 (11.1%)           |
|                 | Pilocytic astrocytoma | 5 (11.1%) |
|                 | Oligoastrocytoma  | 3 (6.7%)            |
|                 | Astrocytoma     | 7 (15.6%)           |
|                 | Anaplastic astrocytoma | 9 (20.0%) |
|                 | Anaplastic oligodendroglia | 1 (2.2%) |
|                 | Glioblastoma    | 15 (33.3%)          |
| Expression of SYDE1 | Low expression | 22 (48.8%) |
|                 | High expression| 23 (51.1%)          |

named TCGA_glioma, TCGA_LGG, and TCGA_GBM, respectively. For the Chinese cohorts, SYDE1 mRNA expression and its corresponding clinicopathological characteristics were downloaded from CGGA (http://www. cgga.org.cn/), and these cohorts included three datasets, mRNA-array_301, mRNAseq_325, and mRNAseq_693 datasets, with 301, 325, and 693 glioma tissue samples, respectively. Several microarray datasets from the GEO database were also selected for our present study, including GSE4271 (generated from GPL96), GSE4290 (generated from GPL570), GSE4412 (generated from GPL96), GSE68848 (generated from GPL570) and GSE13041 (generated from GPL96, GPL570 or GPL8300). The prognostic values between miRNAs and lncRNAs were assessed by CGGA microRNA-array_198 and CGGA mRNA-seq 325, respectively.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

698 glioma cases were divided into two expression level groups based on the median expression value of SYDE1. GSEA was then conducted to identify hallmark gene sets that were enriched in the gene rank in the two groups. The h.all.v7.4.symbols.gmt in the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) was selected in GSEA version 4.1 to annotate gene sets. The cutoff criteria were set to nominal \( p < 0.05 \), normalized enrichment scores (NES) \( > 1.0 \) and false discovery rate (FDR) \( q > 0.25 \). Finally, hallmark gene sets with significant enrichment were chosen, and gene set enrichment plots were made.

Tissue Samples

This study included 40 human glioma samples (grade I: 5, grade II: 10, grade III: 10, and grade IV: 15) and five normal brain samples. Specifically, human glioma samples were paraffin-embedded and retrieved from Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. Normal brain samples were obtained from patients diagnosed with cerebral trauma surgery but without other brain diseases, which were collected during surgery after informed consent was obtained from patients who needed brain trauma surgery. The clinicopathological characteristics of 45 glioma and control cases is summarized in Table 1. This study was approved by the ethical committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital.

All mouse strains used in this study were on the C57BL/6 background and were approved by the ethical committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. Cerebrums were dissected and dissolved in TRIzol for RNA extraction.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on paraffin-embedded human glioma and normal brain tissues collected from Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. The sections were deparaffinized in a xylene gradient and rehydrated in an ethanol gradient. Antigen retrieval was performed in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate pH 6.0) at 100 C for 20 min. Then, endogenous peroxidase was deactivated by applying 3% \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in methanol. IHC of SYDE1 was performed by the Dako EnvisionTM method. Briefly, the sections (3 μm) were sequentially incubated with the anti-SYDE1 antibody (NBP1-89350, Novus Biologicals) and the HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (ab6721, Abcam). Then, the sections were color-developed with a DAB Immunohistochemistry Color Development Kit (E670033, Sangon Biotech) and counterstained with hematoxylin.

A Leica LF200 microscope was used to image the stained sections. The intensity of SYDE1 signals was scored as negative (0), weak (1) or strong (2). The staining extent of SYDE1 was evaluated according to the immunoreactive tumor cell percentage, which was scored as I (0%, score = 0), II (1–5%, score = 1), III (6–25%, score = 2), IV (26–75%, score = 3) and V (76–100%, score = 4). SYDE1 signals (ranging from 0 to 8) were calculated by multiplying the intensity score with the staining extent score and were classified into low (0–4) or high (5–8) groups for Fisher’s exact tests.

Cell Culture

Human astroglial A172 cells and mouse glioma GL261 cells were gifts from the Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences. All cell lines were confirmed to be free of microorganism contamination. Glioma cell lines were grown in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (100-fold) streptomycin/penicillin.

RNA Extraction and qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from cerebrums or cultured glioma cell lines using TRIzol and then reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Superscript II reverse transcriptase Kit. qPCR was carried out using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix on the Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System. qPCR primers used to detect the expression levels of SYDE1 mRNA in human or mouse tissues were as follows: human forward 5′-CATCATCCAGAAGTGCGTTG-3′ and reverse: 5′-AATCCCT TGAGGATGCGAGT-3′; mouse forward: 5′-CCTACCAAACCTCCCGTACC-3′ and reverse: 5′-GGGCGGTCCCTCTCTCTATC-3′. The relative expression of each gene was normalized to ACTB and calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method.
Transfection of siRNA

The siRNA targeting human SYDE1 (siSYDE1) and the non-targeting control siRNA (siNC) were obtained from Shanghai Zorin Biological Technology. The sequence of siSYDE1 was 5′-UAGUGGGACUGUACCCGUUUdTdT-3′ (sense) and 5′-AAAGACGGUACAGUCCCACUAdTdT-3′ (antisense). The sequence of siNC was 5′-UUCUCCGAACGUGACACGUdTdT-3′ (sense) and 5′-ACGUGACACGUUCCGAGAAdTdT-3′ (antisense). LipoRNAiMAX transfection reagent was applied to deliver the siSYDE1 or siNC into glioma cell line A172. Transfection was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions when the cell monolayer reached 70–80% confluency.

Cell Proliferation Assay, Wound Scratch Assay and Transwell Assay

A172 cell lines were used for cell proliferation, wound scratch and Transwell assays. SYDE1-knockdown and control cells were seeded into 96-well plates (1,500 cells/well) for the cell proliferation assay. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Beyotime, C0038) was used to measure cell proliferation according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 10 μl of CCK-8 reagent was added to each well at the indicated detection times. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and then measured in a microplate reader at a wavelength of 450 nm to determine the optical density.

For the wound scratch assay, SYDE1-knockdown and control cells were placed into 6-well plates (1,500 cells/well). After confluence, a sterile pipette tip was used to scratch a straight line in the glioma monolayer cell. Images were recorded at 0 and 48 h after scratching, and ImageJ software was used to measure the scratch area.

Transwell assays were carried out using a 6-well culture insert (GIBCO, 140,640) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. SYDE1-knockdown and control cells were seeded in each well (20,000 cells/well). After culturing at 37°C for 24 h, the culture insert was removed. Photographs were recorded at 0 and 48 h after culture-insert removal to investigate and analyze the healed wound area.
***Statistical Analysis***

Statistical details are available in the figure legends. Unpaired/paired Student’s t-test (two-tailed) was used to analyze differences between two groups. One-way ANOVA was applied to analyze differences among three or more groups. Fisher’s exact test was used for the IHC quantification of SYDE1 expression in glioma patient samples and normal brain tissues. All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) if not further implicated and considered statistically significant with a p value <0.05. All analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 7 or Microsoft Excel.

For glioma survival analysis, Kaplan-Meier analysis and Cox regression analysis were combined to analyze the prognostic value of SYDE1. Kaplan–Meier survival plots were used to evaluate patient OS and DFS. Log-rank tests were used to determine significant differences between two groups. The overall design of our study is presented in the flow chart in Figure 1A.

### RESULTS

**The Expression of SYDE1 Significantly Correlated With the Clinical Features of Glioma Tissues**

To explore expression levels of SYDE1 in gliomas and their relation to clinical features and molecular subtypes, SYDE1 expression levels in pairs of gliomas and adjacent normal samples were compared using the Oncomine and GEPIA2 databases. We identified four analyses of upregulated SYDE1 between brain or CNS cancer and adjacent normal tissues in the Oncomine database that met the established threshold for |log2FC| > 1.5, p < 0.01 and gene rank = all (Figure 1B). The GEPIA2 databases indicated that SYDE1 was upregulated at higher levels in glioma subclasses LGG and GBMs than in the corresponding normal tissues (p < 0.05, Figure 1C). Both databases support the hypothesis that SYDE1 is upregulated in CNS tumors, such as gliomas, versus normal tissues. On the other hand, SYDE1 expression is higher in mouse glioma GL261 cells than normal C57BL/6 brains (Figure 1D).

We assessed the relationship between the clinicopathological parameters and SYDE1 expression. As shown in Supplementary Tables S1–S6, SYDE1 expression significantly correlated with age in CGGA mRNA-array_325, CGGA mRNA-array_693, GSE4271, and TCGA_glioma (p < 0.05). For the histological subcategories, SYDE1 expression was highly associated with this category in the CGGA mRNA-array_301, CGGA mRNA-array_325, CGGA mRNA-array_693, TCGA_LGG, and TCGA_glioma databases (p < 0.05). The analytical statistics also suggested that SYDE1 was involved in WHO grade (p < 0.05), and the updated WHO classification-related diagnostic molecular characteristics IDH mutation and 1p19q codeletion were also associated with SYDE1 expression in CGGA mRNA-array_325 and CGGA mRNA-array_693 (p < 0.001). Our data also revealed that SYDE1 was significantly associated with the PRS type in CGGA mRNA-array_325 and CGGA mRNA-array_693 (p < 0.05) and chemotherapy and radiotherapy in CGGA mRNA-array_325 and CGGA mRNA-array_693 (p < 0.001). Notably, the SYDE1 marker was also related to microvascular proliferation and necrosis in GSE4271 (p < 0.05). Overall, these findings confirm that SYDE1 expression is associated with different clinical outcomes and previous diagnostic biomarkers, which may benefit the diagnosis and treatment of gliomas.

**Increased SYDE1 Expression Was Positively Related to Older Age, Recurrence, Necrosis, and Microvascular Proliferation in Gliomas**

To ensure the functionality of SYDE1 in glioma development and clinical parameters, the SYDE1 gene expression levels were divided into two groups according to age, with a cutoff of 45 years. There was a distinguished difference between the two age groups with differential SYDE1 expression. Notably, the expression of SYDE1 in older patients aged ≥45 years was higher than that in patients aged <45 years in the CGGA mRNA-array_301 (p < 0.05, Supplementary Figure S1A), CGGA mRNA-array_325 (p < 0.05, Supplementary Figure S1B), GSE4271 (p < 0.05, Supplementary Figure S1C), and TCGA_glioma datasets (p < 0.05, Figure 2A). There was no significant difference between the glioma patient groups regarding sex or race. SYDE1 was chosen for further analysis because it closely correlated with the clinical features and because there were a small number of reports of its involvement in tumorigenesis. We also noted that the expression levels of SYDE1 were significantly upregulated in the recurrent groups compared to the primary groups in CGGA mRNA-array_325 (p < 0.05, Supplementary Figure S1D) and CGGA mRNA-array_693 (p < 0.05, Figure 2B). One of the GBM diagnostic criteria, microvascular proliferation, and necrosis, was also related to SYDE1 expression. Higher microvascular proliferation and grade IV necrosis occurred with higher SYDE1 expression in gliomas (p < 0.05, Figures 2C,D). We evaluated these findings in the GSE4271 dataset.

**High Expression of SYDE1 Indicated Higher WHO Grade and More Malignant Histological Subtypes in Gliomas**

We first observed that SYDE1 was highly expressed in gliomas, and an important, but unsolved, question is whether increased expression of SYDE1 is related to increasing glioma grade. The mRNA expression patterns of SYDE1 in different grades were further evaluated in the GEO, CGGA, and TCGA databases, which are based on clinical outcomes and gene expression. As shown in Figure 3A and Supplementary Figures S2A–H, the expression level of SYDE1 also increased with increasing WHO grade (p < 0.05). The protein expression pattern of SYDE1 in gliomas described by the Human Protein Atlas also helped account for this characteristic. As shown in Figures 4A–C, SYDE1 protein expression was moderate in LGG, and strong expression was detected in HGG tissues. This result is consistent with the mRNA microarrays. In the GSE4290 and GSE4412_GPL96 datasets, the expression level of SYDE1 increased in the order of control of oligodendrogliomas, astrocytomas, and GBMs. The expression of SYDE1 in GBMs was also highest in the other histologies in the GSE88848 dataset (p < 0.05, Figure 3B,
Supplementary Figures S2I, SJ). Furthermore, we also performed IHC to investigate SYDE1 expression in glioma tissue. Intriguingly, our results also exhibited such characteristics (Table 2). As shown in Figures 5A–F, positive staining of SYDE1 was predominantly found in grade IV glioma compared with grade I glioma. Therefore, SYDE1 strongly correlated with WHO glioma grade at the mRNA and protein levels.

**SYDE1 Expression Significantly Differentiated Glioma Subtypes and Was Statistically Associated With Molecular Genetic Features**

Initially, SYDE1 correlated with clinical parameters, and the expression levels of SYDE1 were significantly associated with glioma WHO grade. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that SYDE1 expression correlates with glioma subtype. Several molecular biomarkers, including the IDH mutation and chromosome 1p/19q codeletion, are used for classification of gliomas. These biomarkers support the basis for individual glioma clinical therapy and molecular targeted therapy. Based on these markers, different molecular classifications were proposed. Therefore, we further analyzed the relationship between SYDE1 expression and different classification subtypes. Our results showed that SYDE1 was more highly expressed in Mes subtypes than neural, PN, and Prolif subtypes based on CGGA mRNA-array_301, GSE4271, GSE13041_GPL96, and TCGA_glioma datasets (p < 0.05, Figures 6A–C,E), but this association was not pronounced in GSE13041_GPL8300 (Figure 6D). Another part of the data (CGGA mRNA-array_301, GSE4271, GSE13041_GPL96, and TCGA_glioma dataset) suggested that SYDE1 expression was significantly lower in the neural or PN subtypes (p < 0.05, Figures 6A–C,E). However, the ProMes subtypes had the lowest SYDE1 expression of all subtypes in the GSE13041_GPL8300 dataset (p < 0.05, Figure 6D). We
also noted that SYDE1 was differentially expressed in various subtypes between the CGGA, TCGA, and GEO databases ($p < 0.05$, Figures 6A–E).

Notably, SYDE1 expression strongly correlated with favorable prognostic factors (i.e., IDH mutation and chromosome 1p/19q codeletion). The analyzed data (CGGA mRNA_301, CGGA mRNA_325, and CGGA mRNA_693) indicated that glioma patients with the IDH_mutation attribute exhibited lower SYDE1 expression than patients with IDH_wildtype ($p < 0.001$, Figures 6F–H). Similarly, the 1p/19q codeletion demonstrated the same correlation, which means that SYDE1 expression was decreased in the 1p/19q_codeletion glioma
samples compared with the corresponding 1p/19q_noncodeletion samples ($p < 0.05$, Figures 6f–k).

**The Unfavorable Prognostic Role of SYDE1 Expression in Glioma Patients**

We investigated the prognostic ability of SYDE1 for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) using the GEPIA2 online database. As expected, individuals with increased SYDE1 in gliomas were associated with poor OS and DFS ($p < 0.0001$, Figures 7A,B). The TCGA, CGGA, and GEO cohorts also supported the result that high SYDE1 expression in gliomas is associated with shorter OS time, and decreased levels of SYDE1 expression are associated with longer OS time in glioma patients ($p < 0.05$, Figures 7C–I).

**Cox Regression Analysis Revealed That SYDE1 May Be an Independent Survival Factor in Gliomas**

After validation of the prognostic function of SYDE1 in gliomas, we further analyzed the existing data in the CGGA and TCGA databases to confirm whether it was an independent survival predictor in individuals with gliomas. The results of univariate
Cox regression analysis demonstrated that some parameters, including SYDE1 expression, age, WHO grade, primary/recurrent/secondary (PRS) type, histology, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, IDH mutation, and 1p/19q codeletion status, were independent risk factors for the prognosis prediction in glioma patients based on the CGGA mRNA-array_325. Multivariate Cox regression analysis demonstrated that SYDE1 expression, age, WHO grade, PRS type, chemotherapy, and IDH mutation were independent risk factors for OS (p < 0.05, Supplementary Table S7). Notably, a similar result showed these features in the CGGA mRNA-array_693, TCGA_glioma, and TCGA_LGG datasets (p < 0.05, Supplementary Tables S8–S10). Based on these statistical results, SYDE1 can be considered an independent prognostic risk factor in gliomas, at least in part.

Knockdown of SYDE1 Suppressed Migratory and Invasive, but Not Proliferative Abilities of Glioma Cells in vitro

To date, the role of SYDE1 in glioma development remains largely undetermined. Based on the correlation between SYDE1 expression and glioma revealed by bioinformatic analysis and IHC, we performed in vitro experiments to further verify this association. To achieve this, we first tested three different siRNA oligonucleotide sequences for their efficiency in suppressing

FIGURE 6 | The association between SYDE1 expression and glioma subtypes, IDH mutation or 1p/19q co-deletion. (A–E) The association between SYDE1 expression and glioma subtypes. (F–H) The association between SYDE1 expression and IDH mutation. (I–K) The association between SYDE1 expression and 1p/19q co-deletion. *, p.value < 0.05; **, p.value < 0.01; ***, p.value < 0.001.
SYDE1 in A172 cells. After 24 h of siRNA transfection into human astroglial A172 cells, analysis of SYDE1 expression by qPCR indicated that siRNA#1 was the most effective, mediating 75.6 ± 0.9% mRNA knockdown (p < 0.01) compared with nontargeting siRNA (Figure 8A). The knockdown of SYDE1 failed to affect SYDE1 cell proliferation in the CCK-8 viability
Then, wound healing and transwell assays were performed, which revealed that SYDE1 knockdown significantly reduced the healed area at 24 h (Figures 8B,C) (p < 0.01) and the number of migrated cells at 24 h (Figures 8D,E) (p < 0.01).

### Prediction of Coexpression Genes for SYDE1 Using cBioPortal

GSEA has served as an effective strategy for determining the biological function of a novel gene implicated in tumor development (Wang et al., 2019). To preliminarily decipher the biological function of SYDE1 in glioma, GSEA was performed to identify gene sets enriched in the high- and low SYDE1 expression groups. In the high SYDE1 expression group, significantly enriched hallmark gene sets were associated with tumorigenesis and metastasis, including “Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition”, “p53 pathway”, “Apoptosis” and “Angiogenesis” (Figure 9A i-9A iv). In the low SYDE1 expression group, tumor-associated terms “Hedgehog signaling” and “Kras signaling” were the enriched gene sets (Figure 9A v-9A vi). As shown in Figures 9A,B comprehensive coexpression network was built according to the top 100 Spearman’s correlation index values from the cBioPortal databases. The top-ranking genes were related to the TRIP10, NR2F6, ACTN4, SBNNO2, and TGFB1L1 genes (p < 0.05), and a link between ACTN4 and TGFB1L1 expression and tumorigenesis was shown in glioma samples (Ji et al., 2019). GO enrichment analysis was conducted for the coexpressed genes of SYDE1 (p < 0.05, Figure 9C), and the
FIGURE 9 | Potential molecular mechanism of SYDE1 in glioma. (A) GSEA pathway using single-gene method of SYDE1. (i-iv) Enriched gene sets in the group of high SYDE1 expression. (v-vi) Enriched gene sets in the group of low SYDE1 expression. (B) The PPI network of the top 100 genes co-expressed with SYDE1 in glioma tissues. (C) GO enrichment results of 100 genes involved in PPI network.
TABLE 3 | Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis for predicted co-expression genes of SYDE1 in gliomas.

| Term                                  | ID    | Count | p-Value | FDR   | Fold enrichment | Genes                      |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Insulin signaling pathway             | ptr04910 | 4     | 0.041691 | 38.844556 | 5.033333333 | ARAF, MKNK2, PRKACA, TRIP10 |
| Adherens junction                     | ptr04520 | 3     | 0.062905 | 52.77482  | 5.919886364 | LMNA, PRKACA, TPM4          |
| Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum | ptr04141 | 4     | 0.086438 | 54.789246 | 65.040685     | P4HB, ST3A, CALR, PRKCSH   |
| Dilated cardiomyopathy                | ptr05414 | 3     | 0.066436 | 54.789246 | 4.159281437 | FGFR1, ACTN4, NECTIN2      |

TABLE 4 | Reactome pathways analysis for predicted co-expression genes of SYDE1 in gliomas.

| Pathway identifier                  | Pathway name                                                   | Entities | FDR   | Fold enrichment | Genes                      |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| R-HSA-1474244 Extracellular matrix  | organization Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes       | 1.97E-05 | 0.010111351 | EFEMP2;SERPINH1;P9H1;PLOD3;FURIN;LTBP3;P4HB;PRKACA;COLGALT1 |
| R-HSA-1650814 Collagen formation    |                                                                  | 2.50E-05 | 0.010111351 | SERPINH1;P9H1;PLOD3;P4HB;COLGALT1 |
| R-HSA-1474290 Collagen formation    |                                                                  | 1.74E-04 | 0.003258571 | SERPINH1;P9H1;PLOD3;P4HB;COLGALT1 |
| R-HSA-1566948 Elastic fibre formation |                                                                  | 1.75E-04 | 0.003258571 | EFEMP2;FURIN;LTBP3          |
| R-HSA-5655302 Signaling by FGFR1 in disease |                                                                  | 5.00E-04 | 0.008057744 | PTBP1;STAT3;FGFR1          |
| R-HSA-2129379 Molecules associated with elastic fibres |                                                                  | 8.93E-04 | 0.119642788 | EFEMP2;LTBP3               |
| R-HSA-1839124 FGFR1 mutant receptor activation |                                                                  | 0.002088233 | 0.2236609666 | STAT3;FGFR1               |
| R-HSA-4419969 Depolymerisation of the Nuclear Lamina |                                                                  | 0.002214482 | 0.2236609666 | LMNA,PRKACA          |
| R-HSA-420597 Nectrin/Nectin trans heterodimerization |                                                                  | 0.002812629 | 0.232344096 | PVR;NECTIN2 |
| R-HSA-5663202 Diseases of signal transduction by growth factor receptors and second messengers |                                                                  | 0.002904301 | 0.232344096 | PTBP1;NOTCH3;ATP13A1;CDC37;STAT3;LMNA;ARAF;FGFR1;HDAC7 |

coexpressed genes primarily participated in the molecular functions of protein serine kinase activity and calcium ion binding. The coexpressed genes were involved in various cellular components, including stress fiber, ruffle, recycling endosome membrane, focal adhesion, extracellular exosome, and endoplasmic reticulum lumen. Enriched signaling pathways for the top 100 coexpressed genes of SYDE1 identified in the KEGG pathway analysis were ranked according to p values. As shown in Table 3, the insulin signaling pathway, adherens junctions, and protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum remained particularly significant. Reactome pathway analysis was further used to identify the metabolic pathways in which the top 100 coexpressed genes were related to metabolic pathways in which the top 100 coexpressed genes were ranked. As shown in Figure 10A, the insulin signaling pathway, adherens junctions, and protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum remained particularly significant.

**Construction of the mRNA/miRNA/IncRNA Network**

We used a comprehensive strategy for starBase 3.0, CGGA, and TargetScan databases to investigate the potential miRNA targets and the indirect lncRNAs targets of SYDE1 in gliomas. Recapitulation of this part of the work is shown in Figure 10A as a flow chart. A total of 143 target miRNAs were acquired from the PITA, miRmap, microT, miRanda, PicTar, and TargetScan databases. By intersecting the predicted target miRNAs, we created a Venn diagram to identify five individual miRNAs that were predicted by all six databases from the 143 miRNA assemblies. There were 14 target miRNAs of SYDE1 that were supported by at least five databases, and six miRNAs were predicted by the four most common databases (Figure 10B). The lncRNAs predicted by target miRNAs in starBase 3.0 were identified for the construction and integration of the mRNA-miRNA-lncRNA network, which may offer intuitive insight into the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) mechanism in gliomas (Figure 10C). The five optimal target miRNAs screened by the six databases were tested for relevance between the expression level and survival. Taking into consideration the clinical features from the CGGA dataset (miRNA-array_198 and CGGA mRNA-seq 325), the survival curves showed that patients with upregulation of hsa-miR-520e had a favorable OS in primary gliomas (p < 0.05, Figure 10H), but this generalization was not true for the other four miRNAs (hsa-miR-136, hsa-miR-302a, hsa-miR-424, and hsa-miR-497, Figures 10D-G). Considering that hsa-miR-520e is the target miRNA of SYDE1 with favorable outcomes in gliomas, the expression of the target lncRNAs (FGDS-AS1, MIR17HG, and SNHG16) of hsa-miR-520e was measured to calculate the prognostic ability of these
FIGURE 10 | Prediction of SYDE1-targeted miRNAs and lncRNAs. (A) A schematic overview of different steps taken to predict SYDE1-targeted miRNA and lncRNA. (B) Upset plot showing the number of predicted SYDE1-targeted miRNAs. (C) Network of SYDE1-targeted miRNAs and lncRNAs. (D–H) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for 5-miRNA signature. has-miR-136 (D), has-miR-424 (F), has-miR-497 (G) and has-miR-520e (H) are positively associated with OS, whereas has-miR-302a (E) is not associated with OS. (I–K) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for 3-lncRNA signature. FGD5-AS1 (I), MIR17HG (J) and SNHG16 (K) are positively associated with OS.
signatures. Expression of SNHG16 was higher in LGGs or HGGs than normal brain tissues (Supplementary Figure S4). The results demonstrated that the high expression of SNHG16 in primary glioma patients had a lower OS (p < 0.05, Figure 10K), and in the other two IncRNA (FGD5-AS1, MIR17HG) groups, there was no apparent defined association described for SNHG16 (Figures 10I,J). These results provided initial evidence for the SYDE1/hsa-miR-520e/SNHG16 network in gliomas.

**Identification of SYDE1/Hsa-miR-520e/SNHG16 Interactions**

Hsa-miR-520e and SNHG16 were selected for further evaluation. A growing body of evidence demonstrates that IncRNAs play a key role in tumorigenesis by functioning as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) to mRNA. To delineate the precise SYDE1/hsa-miR-520e/SNHG16 interaction, the potential targets were collected from the PITA, miRmap, microT, miRanda, PicTar, TargetScan, and starBase 3.0 databases. Six databases identified SYDE1/hsa-miR-520e interactions, and one database identified an hsa-miR-520e/SNHG16 interaction (Supplementary Figure S5).

**DISCUSSION**

Gliomas are the most common primary malignant brain tumors in adults, and their exact molecular mechanisms have not yet been completely elucidated. In this study, we report the gene SYDE1 as a novel regulator of glioma tumors. First, we analyzed publicly published mRNA expression data of human glioma tissues and normal control tissues and found that SYDE1 expression was higher in gliomas than in healthy cerebral tissues. Then, normal human brain and glioma samples from grades I to IV were collected for IHC staining of SYDE1, which revealed that SYDE1 expression is positively correlated with the clinical malignancies of glioma. In addition, SYDE1 is more highly expressed in recurrent or necrotic gliomas or gliomas that occur in elderly patients.

A difference in the expression of a gene between normal brain tissue and glioma tissues indicates a potential modulatory mechanism of glioma development. There are many well-studied pathogenic genes and regulators of gliomas, including IDH1/2, 1p/19q codeletion, integrin β1 (ITGB1) and v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAP) V600E mutation (Chintala et al., 1996; Schiffman et al., 2010; Yip et al., 2012). In particular, ITGB1 encodes the β1 subunit of extracellular matrix (ECM) integrins and shows reduced expression in gliomas compared with normal controls. Treating a human glioblastoma cell line with anti-β1 antibodies in vitro diminishes integrin synthesis on the cell surface, which can result in an increase in matrix metalloprotease-2 activity and invasiveness of the cell (Chintala et al., 1996). In a similar manner, our data show that the expression of SYDE1 is higher in human glioma tissues, so it is reasonable to explore the biological function and molecular mechanism of SYDE1 in gliomas.

With regard to the molecular mechanism, it is noteworthy that GSEA of the high SYDE1 expression group showed enrichments in epithelial mesenchymal transition and the p53 pathway, which are highly related to tumorigenesis and metastasis. Moreover, GO and KEGG pathway analysis of SYDE1 coexpressed genes revealed an enrichment of tumor-associated terms, including protein serine kinase activity and focal adhesion. Of note, adhesion to and migration through the extracellular matrix (ECM) is recognized as an important part of the metastatic process and is necessary for the invasion of a variety of tumors (Pauli et al., 1983). The role of SYDE1 in modulating cell migration has already been reported in recent years. As revealed by Lo et al., SYDE1 can promote cytoskeletal remodeling as well as migration and invasion of placental trophoblast cells, which is crucial for maintaining the maternal-trophoblast interface (Lo et al., 2017). In our study, knockdown of SYDE1 in vitro significantly abolished the migration and invasion of glioma cell lines A172. Taken together, increased expression of SYDE1 in gliomas may lead to an overactivated transcriptional network that facilitates tumor invasion.

We next report the SNHG16/hsa-miR-520e axis as a downstream target of SYDE1 in gliomas. SNHG16 is a novel cancer-related IncRNA and has been demonstrated to function as an oncogene in human breast cancer, gastric cancer, or hepatocellular cancer (Yang and Wei, 2019). For instance, increased SNHG16 expression in human gastric cancer can promote in vitro proliferation and in vivo growth of gastric tumors (Lian et al., 2017). SNHG16 is also reported to be downregulated in several other malignant tumors, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, and to inhibit tumor growth (Lin et al., 2019). Considering the versatile role of SNHG16 in tumor development, we examined SNHG16 expression in our study and found that the SNHG16 level was significantly increased in gliomas. That is, upregulated SYDE1 in gliomas can potentially activate SNHG16 expression to facilitate the onset and progression of gliomas. microRNAs (miRNAs), such as hsa-miR-93, miR-338-3p, miR-124-3p and miR-128, are common targets of SNHG16 in human cancers (Xu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). In our study, hsa-miR-520e was identified to interact with SNHG16 in gliomas via RMBase 2.0, and further in vitro experiments to validate the SNHG16/hsa-miR-520e axis are in progress.

In summary, this study identifies SYDE1 as an oncogene in gliomas that can regulate the proliferation and migration of glioma cells and is predicted to interact with the SNHG16/hsa-miR-520e axis. In-depth elucidation of the functions of SYDE1 in gliomas, such as tumor formation in nude mice and population mutation screening, will provide a deeper understanding of the molecular pathology of gliomas. With these advances, SYDE1 can be a promising future biomarker for glioma clinical practices, including serving as a reference for the WHO classification and predicting the prognosis of glioma patients.
DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethical Committee of the Ninth People’s Hospital of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZT and SC conceived and developed the outline of the study. ZH, XZ, and BY downloaded the data and performed the statistical studies. ZH generated figures and tables and wrote the manuscript. JX participated in sample collection and performed in vitro assays. LJ and PH revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

Arko, L., Katsyv, I., Park, G. E., Luan, W. P., and Park, J. K. (2010). Experimental XZ, and BY downloaded the data and performed the statistical

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethical Committee of the Ninth People’s Hospital of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine.

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at:

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2021.714203/full#supplementary-material

FUNDING

The work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (grant no. 18ZR1422200).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Yun Sun for her valuable assistance to this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2021.714203/full#supplementary-material

NF-κB Signaling. Clin. Cancer Res. 25 (22), 6868–6881. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-19-0747

Kamiya-Matsuoka, C., and Gilbert, M. R. (2015). Treating Recurrent Glioblastoma: an Update. CNS Oncol. 4 (2), 91–104. doi:10.2217/cns.14.55

Li, J.-H., Liu, S., Zhou, H., Qu, L.-H., and Yang, J.-H. (2014). starBase v2.0: Decoding miRNA-ceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA and Protein-RNA Interaction Networks from Large-Scale CLIP-Seq Data. Nucl. Acids Res. 42, D92–D97. Database issue. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1248

Lian, D., Amin, B., Du, D., and Yan, W. (2017). Enhanced Expression of the Long Non-coding RNA SNHG16 Contributes to Gastric Cancer Progression and Metastasis. Cmr 21 (1), 151–160. doi:10.3323/cmr-170462

Lin, Q., Zheng, H., Xu, J., Zhang, F., and Pan, H. (2019). LncRNA SNHG16 Aggravates Tumorigenesis and Development of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Sponging miR-4500 and Targeting STAT3. J. Cel Biochem 120, 11604–11615. doi:10.1002/jcb.28440

Lo, H.-F., Tsai, C.-Y., Chen, C.-P., Wang, L.-J., Lee, Y.-S., Chen, C.-Y., et al. (2017). Association of Dysfunctional Synapse Defective 1 (SYDE1) with Restricted Fetal Growth - SYDE1 Regulates Placental Cell Migration and Invasion. J. Pathol. 241 (3), 324–336. doi:10.1002/path.4835

Lou, D. N., Ohgaki, H., Wiestler, O. D., Cavenee, W. K., Burger, P. C., Jouvet, A., et al. (2007). The 2007 WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. Acta Neuropathol. 114 (2), 97–109. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0243-4

Lou, D. N., Perry, A., Reifenberger, G., von Deimling, A., Figarella-Branger, D., Cavenee, W. K., et al. (2016). The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a Summary. Acta Neuropathol. 131 (6), 803–820. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1455-1

Pauli, B. U., Schwartz, D. E., Thonar, E. J.-M., and Kuettner, K. E. (1983). Tumor Characteristic of a Subset of Pediatric Malignant Astrocytomas. J. Pathol. 142 (1), 512–519. doi:10.1002/path.10188

Rhodes, D. R., Yu, J., Shanker, K., Deshpande, N., Varambally, R., Ghosh, D., et al. (2004). ONCOMINE: a Cancer Microarray Database and Integrated Data-Mining Platform. Neoplasia 6 (1), 1–6. doi:10.14766/15586(04)00047-2

Schiffman, J. D., Hodgson, J. G., VandenBerg, S. R., Flaherty, P., Polley, M.-Y. C., Yu, M., et al. (2010). Oncogenic BRAF Mutation with CDKN2A Inactivation Is Characteristic of a Subset of Pediatric Malignant Astrocytomas. Cancer Res. 70 (2), 512–519. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-09-1851
Tang, Z., Kang, B., Li, C., Chen, T., and Zhang, Z. (2019). GEPIA2: an Enhanced Web Server for Large-Scale Expression Profiling and Interactive Analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (W1), W556–w560. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz430

Uhlen, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallström, B. M., Lindskog, C., Oksvold, P., Mardinoglu, A., et al. (2015). Tissue-based Map of the Human Proteome. *Science* 347 (6220), 1260419. doi:10.1126/science.1260419

Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Peng, M., and Yi, L. (2019). UBASH3B Is a Novel Prognostic Biomarker and Correlated with Immune Infiltrates in Prostate Cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 1517. doi:10.3389/oncog.2019.01517

Wu, W., Guo, L., Liang, Z., Liu, Y., and Yao, Z. (2020). Lnc-SNHG16/miR-128 axis Modulates Malignant Phenotype through WNT/β-catenin Pathway in Cervical Cancer Cells. *J. Cancer* 11 (8), 2201–2212. doi:10.7150/jca.40319

Xu, F., Zha, G., Wu, Y., Cai, W., and Ao, J. (2018). Overexpressing IncRNA SNHG16 Inhibited HCC Proliferation and Chemoresistance by Functionally Sponging Hsa-miR-93. *OncoTargets Ther.* 11, 8855–8863. doi:10.2147/ott.S182005

Xue, S., Hu, M., Iyer, V., and Yu, J. (2017). Blocking the PD-1/pd-L1 Pathway in Glioma: a Potential New Treatment Strategy. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 10 (1), 81. doi:10.1186/s13045-017-0455-6

Yan, H., Parsons, D. W., Jin, G., McLendon, R., Rasheed, B. A., Yuan, W., et al. (2009). IDH1andIDH2Mutations in Gliomas. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 360 (8), 765–773. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0808710

Yang, M., and Wei, W. (2019). SNHG16: A Novel Long-Non Coding RNA in Human Cancers. *OncoTargets Ther* 12, 11679–11690. doi:10.2147/ott.S231630

Yip, S., Butterfield, Y. S., Morozova, O., Chittaranjan, S., Blough, M. D., An, J., et al. (2012). Concurrent CIC Mutations, IDH Mutations, and 1p/19q Loss Distinguish Oligodendrogliomas from Other Cancers. *J. Pathol.* 226 (1), 7–16. doi:10.1002/path.2995

Zhang, L., Lu, H., Zhang, S., Wang, T., Zhao, H., Guan, F., et al. (2021). Leveraging Methylation Alterations to Discover Potential Causal Genes Associated with the Survival Risk of Cervical Cancer in TCGA through a Two-Stage Inference Approach. *Front. Genet.* 12, 667877. doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.667877

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

*Copyright © 2021 Han, Zhuang, Yang, Jin, Hong, Xue, Chen and Tian. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*