Painlevé integrability and multisoliton solutions of a generalized KdV system
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Abstract. The integrability of a generalized KdV model, which has abundant physical applications in many fields, is investigated by employing Painlevé test. Eventually, we discover a new generalized P-type KdV model in sense of WTC-Kruskal method. Subsequently, Hereman’s simplified bilinear method is used to examine the integrability of the resulted model. As a result, multiple soliton solutions of newly discovered model are formally obtained.

1 Introduction

A series of single component as well as coupled KdV equations and their generalizations has been studied widely [1–4, 6, 22]. For more than a century, the study of KdV equation is gaining popularity because of its broad applications in fluid dynamics. Also numerous theoretical models in other fields of physics have been discussed through KdV equation. For history, features and applications of KdV equation, one can refer [7].

Nowadays it is seen that the investigation of generalized system of equations with arbitrary coefficients rather than the specified ones has become hot topic as they reveal some new features, properties about the model for several different parameters which are more helpful in scientific as well as theoretical study [8, 9].

In this study, we propose a generalized coupled KdV system

\[ u_t + au_{xxx} + buu_x + cvu_x = 0, \]
\[ v_t + pv_{xxx} + qwv_x + ruv_x = 0, \]

(1)

to examine its integrability via Painlevé test and simplified form of Hirota’s bilinear method. Here coefficients \(a, b, c, p, q, r\) and \(r\) are nonzero random constants.

Integrable partial differential equations (PDEs), mainly ones having soliton solutions, have had a very consequential impact on phenomenology and theory [10]. Painlevé integrability is an important feature of a complete integrable PDE [11] i.e. a P-type PDE is likely to be integrable. As Ablowitz et al. [12, 13] stated that all similarity reductions of an integrable PDE have Painlevé property if their general solutions do not have movable singularities except on poles in the complex plane. In a nutshell, Painlevé test is used to inspect the singularity structure of solutions of differential equations.
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No doubt, integrable systems have performed a significant role in physical sciences and proved as a fundamental topic in soliton theory to explore more integrable models. To find new integrable models, study of extensions of existing integrable systems is an effective way. Complete integrability of a nonlinear evolution equation can be examined by many techniques like the Painlevé analysis, the Lax pair, the Bäcklund transformation method, the Hirota bilinear method etc. Among existing techniques, Hereman’ simplified bilinear method [14], which requires determination of multi soliton solutions, is more reliable, practical and more powerful in handling the integrable nonlinear evolution systems. For study on multi soliton solutions, one can refer [15–18].

2 Painlevé Test

In this section, we test the Painlevé integrability of system (1) in sense of WTC algorithm. Weiss et al. suggested WTC (Weidss Tabor Carnevale) method [19] of Painlevé analysis of PDEs. The method is algorithmic, effective and does not require reduction to ODEs for testing PDEs. Also by-product of this test can be used to construct Backlund transformation and exact solutions of PDEs. The effectiveness of the method can be seen in refs. [1, 19–21].

Following [19], we assume that system (1) has following Laurent expansion

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(x, t) &= \phi^{\alpha_1}(x, t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x, t) \phi^i(x, t), \\
  v(x, t) &= \phi^{\alpha_2}(x, t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i(x, t) \phi^i(x, t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( u_i(x, t), v_i(x, t) \) are analytic functions in the neighbourhood of movable singular manifold \( \phi(x, t) \) and \( u_0(x, t) \neq 0, v_0(x, t) \neq 0 \). For leading order analysis, we substitute \( u \approx u_0 \phi^{\alpha_1}, v \approx v_0 \phi^{\alpha_2} \) in system (1). Then equating the most dominant terms, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
  \alpha_1 &= -2, \quad \alpha_2 = -2, \\
  u_0(x, t) &= -\frac{12 \phi^2(aq - cp)}{(bq - cr)}, \\
  v_0(x, t) &= \frac{12 \phi^2(ar - bp)}{(bq - cr)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Next task is to find resonance points for \( \alpha_1 = -2, \alpha_2 = -2, u_0, v_0 \). So by plugging (2) into (1) along with \( \alpha_1 = -2, \alpha_2 = -2, u_0, v_0 \) and then comparing the coefficients of term \( \phi^{i-5} \), we get the following polynomial in \( i \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  (i + 1)(i - 4)(i - 6)(i - s) & + \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{3ap(bq - cr)} + 3) + (i - \frac{s}{6ap(bq - cr)}) \\
  - \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{2s} & + 3 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} i - \frac{s}{3ap(bq - cr)} - \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{s})](i - \frac{s}{6ap(bq - cr)}) + 1 = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ s = \left(324a^2qr - 162abpq - 486acpr + 324bcp^2 \\
+ 3 \sqrt{3}(3888a^4q^2r^2 - 3888a^3bpq^2r - 11664a^3cprq^2 \\
- 1225a^2b^2p^2q^2 + 18002a^2bcpq^2r + 6557a^2c^2p^2r^2 \\
- 3888ab^2cp^3q - 11664abc^2p^4 + 3888b^2c^2p^4 \right) a^2 p^2 (b^2 - cr)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \] 

So, the resonance points for the only branch are
\[
\begin{align*}
&-1, 4, 6, \frac{s}{3ap(bq - cr)} + \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{s} + 3, \frac{-s}{6ap(bq - cr)} \\
&- \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{2s} + 3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \frac{s}{3ap(bq - cr)} - \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{s} \right), \\
&\frac{-s}{6ap(bq - cr)} - \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{2s} + 3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \frac{s}{3ap(bq - cr)} - \frac{13ap(bq - cr)}{s} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

We can clearly see that the branch contains non-integer resonances. So Painlevé test for arbitrary choice of coefficients of system (1) does not pass. But, with the help of (5) and (6), we find a condition \( p = a, q = c, r = b \) that yields the integer type resonances as -1, 0, 2, 4, 6, 7. So we obtain the following system that is likely to be \( P \)-integrable:
\[
\begin{align*}
&u_t + au_{xxx} + buu_x + cuu_x = 0, \\
v_t + av_{xxx} + cuv_x + buv_x = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Now to test the Painlevé integrability of (7), we need to verify the compatibility conditions. By substituting (2), truncated up to largest resonance i.e. \( i = 7 \), into system (1) and collecting the coefficients of polynomial in \( \phi \), we get the following resonance conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
u_0(t) &= -\frac{12a + cv_0(t)}{b}, & v_0(x, t) &= v_0(t), \\
u_1(t) &= 0, & v_1(t) &= 0, \\
u_2(t) &= -\frac{cv_2(t)}{b} + \frac{\psi'(t)}{b}, & v_2(t) &= v_2(t), \\
u_3(t) &= -\frac{cv_3(t)}{2ba}, & v_3(t) &= \frac{v_0(t)}{12a}, \\
u_4(t) &= -\frac{(12a + cv_4(t))v_4(t)}{b v_0(t)} + \frac{\psi'(t)}{b}, & v_4(t) &= v_4(t), \\
u_5(t) &= -\frac{cv_5(t)\psi_{tt} - 3av_{v_2} + 15av_{v_1(t)}}{90ba^2}, & v_5(t) &= -\frac{v_0(t)\psi_{tt} - 3av_{v_2}}{90a^2}, \\
u_6(t) &= -\frac{(12a + cv_6(t))v_6(t)}{b v_0(t)} + \frac{v_0(t)}{b v_0(t)}, & v_6(t) &= v_6(t), \\
u_7(t) &= -\frac{v_1(t)v_0(t) - v_4(t)v_0(t)}{2b v_0(t)^2}, & v_7(t) &= v_7(t).
\end{align*}
\]

The functions \( \psi, v_0, v_2, v_4, v_6 \) and \( v_7 \) in resonance conditions are arbitrary and depending on \( t \). It should be noted that Kruskal gauge is applied for singular manifold, i.e,
\[
\phi(x, t) = x - \psi(t), \quad u_r(x, t) = u_r(t), \quad v_r(x, t) = v_r(t).
\]
As a sufficient number of arbitrary functions exist for the solutions of (7), compatibility conditions are satisfied identically. This proves that system (7) is Painlevé integrable. So, we have determined a new generalized P-integrable KdV system (7). In the next section, we will use the simplified form of Hirota’s method to get more information on integrability of system (7).

3 Multi-soliton Solutions

In this part, the simplified form of Hirota’s method, suggested by Hereman et al. [14], is used to explore multiple soliton solution of (7) and the determination of N-soliton solutions will lead to integrability of the system. The Hereman’s simplified method does not depend on Hirota bilinear operator unlike Hirota’s method and uses the employment of auxiliary function f(x,t).

To obtain the dispersion relation for (7), we insert

\[ u(x,t) = e^{\theta_i}, \quad v(x,t) = e^{\theta_i}, \quad \theta_i = k_i x - c_i t, \]  

(10)

into the linear terms of (7). The resulting equation gives

\[ c_i = a k_i^3. \]  

(11)

So, the phase variables read as

\[ \theta_i = k_i x - a k_i^3 t. \]  

(12)
Figure 2: Profiles of the 2-solitons of the system (7).

Figure 3: Profiles of the 3-solitons of the system (7).
Next, we adopt the following transformations to determine multi soliton solutions of (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, t) &= R_1 (\ln f(x, t))_{xx} = R_1 \frac{f f_{xx} - f_x^2}{f^2}, \\
    v(x, t) &= R_2 (\ln f(x, t))_{xx} = R_2 \frac{f f_{xx} - f_x^2}{f^2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R_1, R_2 \) are constants to be known later.

For a 1-soliton solution, the auxiliary function \( f(x, t) \) is set as

\[
    f(x, t) = 1 + e^{\theta_1}.
\]

Substituting (14), (13) into (7), and solving for \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), we get

\[
    R_1 = \text{free constants}, \quad R_2 = \frac{12a - bR_1}{c}.
\]

Consequently, we get the following 1-soliton solutions of (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, t) &= \frac{k_1^2 e^{k_1 x ak_1} t}{1 + e^{k_1 x ak_1} t} + e^{k_2 x ak_2} t + e^{k_3 x ak_3} t + e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t, \\
    v(x, t) &= \frac{12a - bR_1}{2} e^{k_1 x ak_1} t.
\end{align*}
\]

For 2-soliton solutions, we set the auxiliary function as

\[
    f(x, t) = 1 + e^{\theta_1} + e^{\theta_2} + a_{12} e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2},
\]

that, with the help of (13), yields the following solution of (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, t) &= \frac{R_1}{1 + e^{k_1 x ak_1} t + e^{k_2 x ak_2} t + e^{k_3 x ak_3} t + e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t} \\
    &\quad \times \left[ k_1^2 e^{k_1 x ak_1} t + k_2^2 e^{k_2 x ak_2} t + 2(k_1 - k_2)^2 \times e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t + k_2^2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \right. \\
    &\quad \times e^{k_3 x ak_3} t + k_1^2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \times e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t + k_2^2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \times e^{k_3 x ak_3} t \right], \\
    v(x, t) &= \frac{12a - bR_1}{c(1 + e^{k_1 x ak_1} t + e^{k_2 x ak_2} t + e^{k_3 x ak_3} t + e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t)} \\
    &\quad \times \left[ k_1^2 e^{k_1 x ak_1} t + k_2^2 e^{k_2 x ak_2} t + 2(k_1 - k_2)^2 \times e^{k_1 x ak_1 + k_2 x ak_2} t + k_2^2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \times e^{k_3 x ak_3} t \right],
\end{align*}
\]

with the phase shift by

\[
    a_{12} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2},
\]

and thus we set

\[
    a_{ij} = \frac{(k_1 - k_j)^2}{(k_1 + k_j)^2}.
\]
that will be used in determination of 3-soliton solution. It is also noticed that resonant phenomena [3] does not occur as the phase shift term \( a_{12} \) can never be 0 or \( \infty \) for \( |k_1| \neq |k_2| \).

For 3-soliton solution, the auxiliary function is taken as

\[
f(x,t) = 1 + e^{\theta_1} + e^{\theta_2} + a_{12} e^{\theta_1+\theta_2} + a_{23} e^{\theta_2+\theta_3} + a_{123} e^{\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3}
\]

\[
= 1 + e^{k_1 x - ak_1^1 t} + e^{k_2 x - ak_2^1 t} + e^{k_3 x - ak_3^1 t} + \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} e^{k_1 x - ak_1^2 t + k_2 x - ak_2^2 t} + \frac{(k_2 - k_3)^2}{(k_2 + k_3)^2} e^{k_2 x - ak_2^3 t + k_3 x - ak_3^3 t} + a_{123} e^{k_1 x - ak_1^4 t + k_2 x - ak_2^4 t + k_3 x - ak_3^4 t}.
\]

Continuing as before, we obtain the term

\[
a_{123} = a_{12} a_{23} a_{13}.
\]

This proves that 3-soliton solutions of (7) are derivable and can be obtained by substituting (21) into (13). In a series of papers [3, 4, 14, 22, 23], it is confirmed that every solitonic system that has \( N = 3 \) soliton solutions, it also has soliton solutions for \( N \geq 4 \), hence the system is integrable. This result shows that the system (7) is integrable and \( N \)-soliton solution for the system can be obtained for finite \( N, N \geq 1 \).

4 Numerical Simulations

This section presents the dynamics of solitonic system (7) in one spatial dimension for specific values of parameters, i.e., \( a = 1, b = 1, c = 1, k_1 = 1, k_2 = 2, k_3 = 3, R_1 = 1 \). Figure 1 portrays 1-soliton solution of (7) where 3D plots of \( u \) and \( v \) are shown in sub-figures 1a and 1e respectively. Profiles 1b, 1c, 1d demonstrate the progression of single soliton for component \( u \) whereas for \( v \), progression is displayed in 1f, 1g and 1h at time \( t = 0, 1, 2 \).

In Figure 2, 3D plot of 2-soliton solutions \( u \) and \( v \) are shown in 2a and 2e whereas progression of \( u \) and \( v \) are demonstrated in 2b, 2c, 2d and 2f, 2g and 2h respectively at time \( t = 0, 1, 2 \).

Sub-figures 3a and 3e presents 3D plot of 3-soliton solutions \( u \) and \( v \) respectively and advancement of solitons can be seen in 3b, 3c, 3d and 3f, 3g, 3h for the same in figure 3 at time \( t = 0, 0.66667, 1.3333 \).

5 Conclusion

A generalized coupled KdV model (1) is taken into consideration to test its integrability. Our results show that Painlevé property holds only for the condition \( p = a, q = c, r = b \). So a new P-type system (7) is obtained whose Painlevé integrability is tested by WTC method. To examine the complete integrability of the newly obtained system, simplified form of Hirota’s bilinear test is performed. Consequently, three soliton solutions P-type KdV system are formally obtained and confirmed that N-soliton solutions exist that lead to the complete integrability.
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