I. INTRODUCTION

In order to simplify the clinical procedures and improve the quality of the bonding, a self-etching primer was introduced. It etches and primes the tooth surface simultaneously, also avoiding the risk of collagen collapse\(^1\). The self-etching adhesives have some advantages over total etching.
adhesives. The application step was reduced and the technique sensitivity associated with variations in the state of hydration of a demineralized collagen matrix is also eliminated\(^2\)\(^{-4}\).

The mechanism of SEP is different from the total etching systems. The acidic part of the primer is neutralized by calcium and phosphate ions released during demineralization. When water is evaporated during air-drying, the concentrations of solubilized calcium and phosphate within the primer may exceed the solubility product constants for a number of calcium and phosphate. Thus it is conceivable that residues of the primer or possibly precipitates of calcium phosphates could remain on the tooth surface and thereby masked the etching pattern. Therefore, demineralization is self-limiting, in that the high concentration of these ions tends to inhibit further dissolution of hydroxyapatite\(^5\). For this reason, Gordan et al.\(^6\) reported that self-etching primer systems showed minimal or no adhesive penetration of the enamel surface, while acid etching prior to their application created more consistent resin tag penetration.

The bond strength of SEP to dentin seems to be adequate\(^7\). However, clinical usage of self-etching primer system in enamel bonding has been a controversial issue. Some published researches offered evidence that a SEP could be used for composite to enamel bonding without phosphoric acid treatment\(^8\)\(^{-10}\). However, Hara et al.\(^11\) reported that bonding of self-etching adhesives to ground enamel was inferior when compared with single-bottle and multiple step, total-etch systems that utilize phosphoric acid as separate conditioner.

Itou et al.\(^12\) reported the priming time influenced the morphologies of the tooth-resin interfaces: the extended priming time resulted in more severe decalcification of the tooth substrates to create thicker resin tags into enamel and a thicker hybrid layer at the resin-dentin interface, but it is uncertain that these morphologic changes can increase the bond strength of resin-enamel adhesion.

In this study, the influence of different application time of self-etching primer and enamel etching before priming on the adhesion of resin composite to bovine enamel was examined by measuring the shear bond strength between resin composite and tooth substrate.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and thirty five extracted bovine anterior teeth without any defect of enamel were chosen. The teeth were sectioned at cemento-enamel junction and embedded in auto-polymerizing acrylic resin (Orthodontic Resin, Dentsply/Detrey, Konstanz, Germany) molds so that the prepared enamel surfaces were 2 mm above acrylic resin cylinders, and placed in tap water to reduce the temperature rise from the exothermic polymerization reaction.

After the resin had completely polymerized, the labial surfaces of the teeth were ground with model trimming wheel and 600-grit abrasive paper under wet conditions so that flat enamel surfaces were acquired. After ultrasonic cleaning with distilled water for 3 minutes to remove the excess debris, these surfaces were washed and dried with oil-free compressed air (Hotman, Dentro, Tokyo, Japan). The teeth were randomly divided into nine groups of 15 teeth and treated in the manner shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Experimental groups following the surface treatment methods

| Group | 32% phosphoric acid etching* (sec) | Priming time** (sec) |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | No                                | 5                   |
| 2     | No                                | 20                  |
| 3     | No                                | 60                  |
| 4     | 15                                | 5                   |
| 5     | 15                                | 20                  |
| 6     | 15                                | 60                  |
| 7     | 60                                | 5                   |
| 8     | 60                                | 20                  |
| 9     | 60                                | 60                  |

* 32% phosphoric acid with benzalkonium chloride (UNI-ETCH BAC. Bisco, Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA)
** Clearfil SE Bond primer (Kuraray Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan)
After the etching procedure, the bonding surface was fully rinsed with running water for 10 seconds and dried. Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) primer was applied to the tooth surface with Microbrush (Int'l Co., Ltd., Dungarvan, Waterford, Ireland) for 5, 20, 60 seconds for each group.

After primed tooth surface was dried with oil-free compressed air for 5 seconds, the bonding agent was applied to the surface and air-thinned. Then irradiated with curing light (Spectrum™ 800, Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Konstanz, Germany) for 10 seconds, with the intensity set at 400 mW/cm².

A mounting jig (Ultradent Product Inc., South Jordan, Utah, U. S. A.) was placed against the tooth surface and stabilized with an alignment tube. Hybrid resin composite (Clearfil AP-X, Kuraray Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was packed into the mold and light-cured for 40 seconds. After polymerization, the alignment tube and mold were removed and the specimens were placed in 37°C, 100% humidity. Twenty-four hours after storage, the specimens were tested in shear mode using a chisel-shaped rod in an Instron testing machine (Type 4202, Instron Corp., Canton, MA, USA) at crosshead speed of 1 mm/minute.

The data for each group were subjected to one-way ANOVAs followed by Duncan’s multiple range tests at p < 0.05 to make comparisons among the groups.

### III. RESULTS

The results of the shear bond strength were shown in Table 2.

Following the result of statistical analysis, 32% phosphoric acid etching before SEP primer application (Groups 4-9) increased the bond strength compared to non-etching group (Groups 1-3) (p < 0.05). The increased additional etching time (15 to 60 sec) did not affect to the shear bond strength of SEP to enamel.

In non-etching groups, Group 1 showed significantly lower bond strength than Groups 2 and 3.

### Table 2. Shear bond strengths of resin composite to enamel with Clearfil SE Bond (mean ± S.D. in MPa, n = 15)

| Group | n  | Shear bond strength (MPa) | Duncan’s grouping |
|-------|----|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1     | 15 | 17.5 ± 6.8               | A                |
| 2     | 15 | 24.6 ± 4.3               | B                |
| 3     | 15 | 23.4 ± 7.8               | B                |
| 4     | 15 | 30.5 ± 7.0               | CD               |
| 5     | 15 | 31.9 ± 5.3               | CD               |
| 6     | 15 | 27.9 ± 6.7               | C                |
| 7     | 15 | 30.3 ± 7.2               | CD               |
| 8     | 15 | 32.6 ± 4.8               | D                |
| 9     | 15 | 32.3 ± 7.1               | D                |

* Statistically significant difference by one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).

Groups denoted with the same letters are not significantly different at p < 0.05.

Increased priming time - longer than the manufacturer’s recommendation - had no significant effect on bond strength (p > 0.05). However, in 15 and 60 sec etching groups, the effect of priming time was not significant (Groups 4=5=6 and Groups 7=8=9).

In 5 sec, 20 sec and 60 sec priming groups, the additional phosphoric acid etching would increase the bond strength of SEP (p < 0.05).

### IV. DISCUSSION

Recently, multi-functional primers, so-called self-etching primers (SEP), have been introduced for the simultaneous conditioning/priming of both enamel and dentin without being rinsed off. The rationale behind these acidic monomers is the formation of a continuum between the tooth surface and the adhesive material by the simultaneous demineralization and resin penetration of the enamel and dentin surface with acidic molecules that can be polymerized in situ."
etching and bonding were controversial. Shimada et al.\textsuperscript{13} reported that the Clearfil SE Bond system exhibited high enamel bond strengths in spite of its mild etching effect. Length of resin tags has been shown to contribute little to the bond strength of resin to enamel and bonding is mainly attributable to the ability of the resin to penetrate between the enamel crystallites and rods\textsuperscript{14,15}. On the contrary, Torii et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported that enamel etching pattern of SEP appears to be less retentive than that produced by phosphoric acid etching. Thus the decalcifying ability of self-etching primer against enamel may be insufficient for the creation of tight adhesion.

The results of this study showed that the additional phosphoric acid etching groups increased bond strengths (19~74\%) on enamel when they were compared in the same priming time groups. It can be explained that there was a great difference in the morphology of enamel surfaces treated with phosphoric acid versus self-etching primer when observed with SEM\textsuperscript{16}. Phosphoric acid etching created a deep etching pattern on the enamel surface as previously reported, while self-etching primer seemed to selectively decalcify the interprismatic enamel. When comparing the morphologies of resin-enamel interfaces, the specimens conditioned with phosphoric acid showed thick tag-like extensions, whereas those conditioned with the self-etching primers presented thin ones.

When compared the bond strength of 15 sec and 60 sec phosphoric etching groups, there was no difference. This agreed with the previous reports that shear bond strengths and marginal microleakage are similar for 15- and 60-second etching times\textsuperscript{17-19}. Also SEM study indicated that 15 sec etching times provided the same surface roughness as a 60 second etching\textsuperscript{20}.

Another object in this study was evaluation of the effect of priming time of SEP on the enamel bond strength. Based on the Ferrari’s report\textsuperscript{21} – increased priming time of Clearfil Liner Bond 2 resulted in less leakage score of enamel margins than the shorter ones – we hypothesized that if the priming time with self-etching primer were extended, it might show higher decalcification against enamel to improve enamel adhesion without an adverse effect on dentin adhesion.

In Clearfil Liner Bond 2 system, the performance of self-etching primer can be enhanced by multiple primer application\textsuperscript{20} and prolonged action of the primer\textsuperscript{21}. Clearfil Liner Bond primer contains phosphoric acid ester monomer (Phenyl-P) and salicylic acid derivative monomer (5-NMSA), which promotes the affinity to collagen fiber (Kuraray Co., Ltd) and shown to desensitize hypersensitive dentin\textsuperscript{23}. Because the resin tags and adhesive lateral branches are well formed after the Clearfil Liner Bond 2 primer for 60 seconds than 30 seconds, the 60-second application time seems to be more reliable than a shorter conditioning time clinically\textsuperscript{21}.

However, the results showed that increased application time of SEP was not effective to increase the bond strength, except in Group 1 – application time was shorter than manufacturer’s recommendation. This result agreed with the previous report\textsuperscript{22}. We can deduce the reason of the similar bond strengths to the enamel by the self-limit effect of SEP.

The primer of SEP is not rinsed after application, but air-dried only. The calcium and phosphate ions that were dissolved from the hydroxyapatite crystals must be suspended in the watery solution of the primer\textsuperscript{24}. Presumably minerals will precipitate within the primer and tend to limit further dissolution of the apatite due to the common ion effect of calcium and phosphate and thereby limit the depth of enamel surface demineralization. Moreover, it is very likely that the binding of calcium ions to the phosphate residues in primer molecules contributes to the inactivation of the molecule’s acidity. In addition, evaporation of water during air drying, as well as light curing of the primer and subsequently applied bonding agents, will restrict and inhibit the self-etching effect of the primer molecules\textsuperscript{20}. Thus extended application of SEP did not increase the bond strength.

Hayakawa et al.\textsuperscript{5} reported that when enamel was treated with the self-etching primer containing MDP, the prolongation of the application time
had no significant influence on its adhesion. And he also observed the patterns from the MDP self-etching primer treatment were different from those with the Phenyl-P self-etching primer. There were no distinct structures on the enamel surface, but many precipitates were observed. It was difficult to identify the precipitate materials, which are either the reaction products of MDP and enamel or the dissolution materials from the enamel.

In 5 sec application of SEP groups, the effect of additional phosphoric acid etching was more significant than 20 sec or 60 sec SEP application groups. In 5 sec priming groups, increase of bond strengths were 73~74%, but in 20 and 60 sec priming groups, increase were 19~38%. This means that SEP has less demineralization ability to enamel.

Recently, Kubo et al.\textsuperscript{25} noted the presence of leakage at the enamel site of cervical restorations performed using Clearfil Liner Bond 2 following manufacturer’s instructions. In order to avoid leakage at the enamel site they recommended the use of 37% phosphoric acid as an enamel etchant in combination with Clearfil Liner Bond 2 bonding system for the cervical restorations that involve enamel margins. In this study, the bond strength of the composite resin to bovine enamel attained by Clearfil SE Bond system was clinically acceptable (over 25 MPa) but additional acid etching can significantly increase bond strength.

For the evaluation of the clinical performance of self-etching primer systems on enamel bonding, further studies are needed by various products and various test methods include the evaluation of microleakage at the enamel margins with and without loading and appraisement of adverse effect of thermocycling may be needed.

V. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate combined effect of additional phosphoric acid etching and priming time of self-etching primer (SEP) on enamel shear bond strengths.

The labial surfaces of 135 bovine teeth were ground with a 600-grit abrasive paper under wet conditions so that flat enamel surfaces were exposed. The surfaces were treated with following groups: Group 1 (no etch, 5s prime), Group 2 (no etch, 20s prime), Group 3 (no etch, 60s prime), Group 4 (15s etch, 5s prime), Group 5 (15s etch, 20s prime), Group 6 (15s etch, 60s prime), Group 7 (60s etch, 5s prime), Group 8 (60s etch, 20s prime), Group 9 (60s etch, 60s prime). In Groups 4-9, 32% phosphoric acid (UNI-ETCH, Bisco, Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA) was applied before SEP treatment. Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were used as a self-etching bonding agent and Hybrid composite (Clearfil AP-X, Kuraray Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was packed into the mold and light-cured for 40 seconds. Twenty-four hours after storage, the specimens were tested in shear bond strength mode. The results were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Duncan’s multiple range tests.

The result were as the following:

In the same SEP priming group, additional phosphoric acid etched groups showed higher shear bond strength, and difference between 15 sec and 60 sec groups were not significant (1 < 4.7 Groups/ 2 < 5.8 Groups/ 3 < 6.9 Groups).

The effect of SEP primer application time was not significant except Group 1 (shorter than recommended time).

In conclusion, enamel etching before priming showed significant increase on shear bond strength of SEP system, and etching time or priming time was no significant effect.
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