A UNIFIED FIELD THEORY II: GRAVITY INTERACTING
WITH A YANG-MILLS AND HIGGS FIELD

CLAUS GERHARDT

Abstract. We quantize the interaction of gravity with a Yang-Mills and Higgs field using canonical quantization. Similar to the approach in a previous paper we discard the Wheeler-DeWitt equation and express the Hamilton constraint by the evolution equation of the mean curvature of the hypersurfaces in the foliation defined by the Hamiltonian setting. Expressing the time derivative of the mean curvature with the help of the Poisson brackets the canonical quantization of this equation leads to a wave equation in $Q = (0, \infty) \times S_0$, where $S_0$ is one of the Cauchy hypersurfaces in the Hamiltonian setting. The wave equation describes the interaction of an arbitrary Riemannian metric in $S_0$ and a given Yang-Mills and Higgs field. If the metric is complete $Q$ is globally hyperbolic. In case $S_0$ is compact we also prove a spectral resolution of the wave equation and establish sufficient conditions guaranteeing a mass gap.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Definitions and notations 6
3. The Yang-Mills functional 8
4. The Higgs functional 12
5. The Hamilton condition 14
6. The quantization 17
7. The spectral resolution 24
References 27

1. Introduction

The quantization of gravity interacting with Yang-Mills and Higgs fields poses no additional greater challenges—at least in principle. The number of variables will be increased, the combined Hamiltonian is the sum of several...
individual Hamiltonians, and, since gravity is involved, we have the Hamilton constraint as a side condition. Deriving the Einstein equations by a Hamiltonian setting requires a global time function $x^0$ and foliation of spacetime by its level hypersurfaces. Thus, we consider a spacetime $N = N^{n+1}$ with metric $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$, assuming the existence of a global time function $x^0$ which will also define the time coordinate. Furthermore, we only consider metrics that can be split by the time function, i.e., the metrics can be expressed in the form

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}(x^0, x)dx^i dx^j,$$

where $w > 0$ is a smooth function and $g_{ij}(x^0, x)$ are Riemannian metrics.

Let

$$M(t) = \{x^0 = t\}, \quad t \in x^0(N) \equiv I,$$

be the coordinate slices, then the $g_{ij}$ are the induced metrics. Moreover, let $G$ be a compact, semi-simple, connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let

$$E_1 = (N, g, \pi, \text{Ad}(G))$$

be the corresponding adjoint bundle with base space $N$. Then we consider the functional

$$J = \int_N (\bar{R} - 2\Lambda) + \int_N (\alpha_1 L_{YM} + \alpha_2 L_H),$$

where the $\alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are positive coupling constants, $\bar{R}$ the scalar curvature, $\Lambda$ a cosmological constant, $L_{YM}$ the energy of a connection in $E_1$ and $L_H$ the energy of a Higgs field with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. The integration over $N$ is to be understood symbolically, since we shall always integrate over an open precompact subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset N$.

In a former paper [5] we already considered a canonical quantization of the above action and proved that it will be sufficient to only consider connections $A^a_{\mu}$ satisfying the Hamilton gauge

$$A^a_0 = 0,$$

thereby eliminating the Gauß constraint, such that the only remaining constraint is the Hamilton constraint, cf. [5, Theorem 2.3].

Using the ADM partition (1.2) of $N$, cf. [1], such that

$$N = I \times S_0,$$

where $S_0$ is the Cauchy hypersurface $M(0)$ and applying canonical quantization we obtained a Hamilton operator $H$ which was a normally hyperbolic operator in a fiber bundle $E$ with base space $S_0$ and fibers

$$F(x) \times (\mathfrak{g} \otimes T_x^{0,1}(S_0)) \times \mathfrak{g}, \quad x \in S_0,$$

where $F(x)$ is the space of Riemannian metrics. We quantized the action by looking at the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in this bundle. The fibers of $E$ are equipped with a Lorentzian metric such that they are globally hyperbolic. 
and the transformed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, which is now a hyperbolic operator, is a normally hyperbolic operator acting only in the fibers.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation has the form

$$\mathcal{H}u = 0,$$

with $u \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C})$ and we defined with the help of the Green’s operator a symplectic vector space and a corresponding Weyl system.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation seems to be the obvious quantization of the Hamilton condition. However, $\mathcal{H}$ acts only in the fibers and not in the base space which is due to the fact that the derivatives are only ordinary covariant derivatives and not functional derivatives, though they are supposed to be functional derivatives, but this property is not really invoked when a functional derivative is applied to $u$, since the result is the same as applying a partial derivative.

Therefore, we shall discard the Wheeler-DeWitt equation and express the Hamilton condition differently by looking at the evolution equation of the mean curvature of the foliation hypersurfaces $M(t)$ and implementing the Hamilton condition on the right-hand side of this evolution equation. The left-hand side, a time derivative, we shall express with the help of the Poisson brackets. After canonical quantization the Poisson brackets become a commutator and now we can employ the fact that the derivatives are functional derivatives, since we have to differentiate the scalar curvature of a metric.

As a result we obtain an elliptic differential operator in the base space, the main part of which is the Laplacian of the metric.

On the right-hand side of the evolution equation the interesting term is $H^2$, the square of the mean curvature. It will be transformed to a second time derivative and will be the only remaining derivative with respect to a fiber variable, since the differentiations with respect to the other variables cancel each other.

The resulting quantized equation is then a wave equation

$$\frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{n^2}{n-1} \right) \ddot{u} - \left( n-1 \right) t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} \Delta u - \frac{n}{2} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} R u + \alpha_1 n t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} F^i_{ij} F^{ij} u + \alpha_2 \frac{n^2}{4} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} \gamma^a_{abc} \sigma^{ij} \Phi^a_i \Phi^b_j u + \alpha_2 \frac{m^2}{2} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} V(\Phi) u + m^2 \Lambda u = 0,$$

in a globally hyperbolic spacetime

$$Q = (0, \infty) \times S_0$$

describing the interaction of a given complete Riemannian metric $\sigma_{ij}$ in $S_0$ with a given Yang-Mills and Higgs field; $V$ is the potential of the Higgs field and $m$ a positive constant. The existence of the time variable, and its range, is due to the Lorentzian metric in the fibers of $E$.

1.1. Remark. For the results and arguments in this paper it is completely irrelevant that the values of the Higgs field $\Phi$ lie in a Lie algebra, i.e., $\Phi$ could also be just an arbitrary scalar field, or we could consider a Higgs field as
well as an another arbitrary scalar field. Hence, let us stipulate that the Higgs field could also be just an arbitrary scalar field. It will later be used to produce a mass gap simply by interacting with the gravitation ignoring the Yang-Mills field.

If \( S_0 \) is compact we also prove a spectral resolution of equation (1.9) by first considering a stationary version of the hyperbolic equation, namely, the elliptic eigenvalue equation

\[
-(n-1)\Delta v - \frac{n}{2} R v + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} F_{ij} F^{ij} v \\
+ \alpha_2 \frac{n}{4} \gamma_{ab} \sigma^{ij} \phi_a \phi_b + \alpha_2 \frac{n}{2} m V(\phi) v = \mu v.
\]

It has countably many solutions \((v_i, \mu_i)\) such that

\[
\mu_0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots,
\]

\[
\lim_{i} \mu_i = \infty.
\]

Let \( v \) be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue \( \mu > 0 \), then we look at solutions of (1.9) of the form

\[
u(x,t) = w(t)v(x).
\]

\( u \) is then a solution of (1.9) provided \( w \) satisfies the implicit eigenvalue equation

\[
- \frac{1}{32} \frac{n^2}{n-1} \ddot{w} - \mu t^2 - \frac{4}{n} w - nt^2 \Lambda w = 0,
\]

where \( \Lambda \) is the eigenvalue.

This eigenvalue problem we also considered in a previous paper and proved that it has countably many solutions \((w_i, \Lambda_i)\) with finite energy, i.e.,

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ |\dot{w}_i|^2 + (1 + t^2 + \mu t^2 - \frac{4}{n}) |w_i|^2 \right\} < \infty.
\]

More precisely, we proved, cf. [6, Theorem 6.7],

1.2. Theorem. Assume \( n \geq 2 \) and \( S_0 \) to be compact and let \((v, \mu)\) be a solution of the eigenvalue problem (1.11) with \( \mu > 0 \), then there exist countably many solutions \((w_i, \Lambda_i)\) of the implicit eigenvalue problem (1.15) such that

\[
\Lambda_i < \Lambda_{i+1} < \cdots < 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{i} \Lambda_i = 0,
\]

and such that the functions

\[
u_i = w_i v
\]

are solutions of the wave equation (1.9). The transformed eigenfunctions

\[
\tilde{w}_i(t) = w_i(\lambda_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}} t),
\]
where

\begin{equation}
\lambda_i = (-A_i)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}},
\end{equation}

form a basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+, \mathbb{C})$ and also of the Hilbert space $H$ defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^*_+, \mathbb{C})$ under the norm of the scalar product

\begin{equation}
\langle w, \tilde{w} \rangle_1 = \int_0^\infty \{ \tilde{w}' \tilde{w}' + t^2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} \},
\end{equation}

where a prime or a dot denotes differentiation with respect to $t$.

1.3. Remark. If $\mathcal{S}_0$ is not compact, then, let

\begin{equation}
\Omega \Subset \mathcal{S}_0
\end{equation}

be an arbitrary relatively compact open subset of $\mathcal{S}_0$ with smooth boundary, and exactly the same results as above will be valid in the cylinder

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{Q}(\Omega) = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^*_+
\end{equation}

by solving the eigenvalue problem (1.11) in the Sobolev space

\begin{equation}
H^{1,2}_0(\Omega) \cap H^{2,2}(\Omega)
\end{equation}

and arguing further as before.

Finally, we prove under which assumptions the lowest eigenvalue $\mu_0$ of the eigenvalue problem (1.11) is strictly positive. This property can also be called a mass gap. We prove the existence of a mass gap in two cases.

In the first case we prove:

1.4. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be compact and let $V$ satisfy

\begin{equation}
V(\Phi) > 0 \quad \text{a.e.},
\end{equation}

then there exists $m_0$ such that for all $m \geq m_0$ the first eigenvalue $\mu_0$ of equation (1.11) is strictly positive with an a priori bound from below depending on the data.

In the second case, we only assume $V \geq 0$ such that we may ignore the contribution of the Higgs field to the quadratic form defined by the elliptic operator in equation (1.11) completely, since its contribution is non-negative, and only look at the smaller operator

\begin{equation}
- (n-1) \Delta v - \frac{n}{2} R v + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} F_{ij} F^{ij} v.
\end{equation}

If we can prove that the eigenvalues of this operator are strictly positive, then the eigenvalues of equation (1.11) are also strictly positive.

1.5. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be compact, $R \leq 0$, then the smallest eigenvalue of the operator (1.27) is strictly positive provided either $R$ or $F_{ij} F^{ij}$ do not vanish everywhere.
2. Definitions and notations

The main objective of this section is to state the equations of Gauß, Codazzi, and Weingarten for spacelike hypersurfaces $M$ in a $(n+1)$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $N$. Geometric quantities in $N$ will be denoted by $\left(\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\right)$, $\left(\bar{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\right)$, etc., and those in $M$ by $\left(g^{ij}\right)$, $\left(R^{ijkl}\right)$, etc. Greek indices range from 0 to $n$ and Latin from 1 to $n$; the summation convention is always used. Generic coordinate systems in $N$ resp. $M$ will be denoted by $\left(x^\alpha\right)$ resp. $\left(\xi^i\right)$. Covariant differentiation will simply be indicated by indices, only in case of possible ambiguity they will be preceded by a semicolon, i.e., for a function $u$ in $N$, $\left(u^\alpha\right)$ will be the gradient and $\left(u^{\alpha\beta}\right)$ the Hessian, but e.g., the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor will be abbreviated by $\bar{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$; $\epsilon^\xi$. We also point out that

\begin{equation}
\bar{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \bar{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta, \iota} x_i^\iota
\end{equation}

with obvious generalizations to other quantities.

Let $M$ be a spacelike hypersurface, i.e., the induced metric is Riemannian, with a differentiable normal $\nu$ which is timelike.

In local coordinates, $\left(x^\alpha\right)$ and $\left(\xi^i\right)$, the geometric quantities of the spacelike hypersurface $M$ are connected through the following equations

\begin{equation}
x^\alpha_{ij} = h^{ij}_\nu x^\nu
\end{equation}

the so-called Gauß formula. Here, and also in the sequel, a covariant derivative is always a full tensor, i.e.

\begin{equation}
x^\alpha_{ij} = x^\alpha_{ij} - \Gamma^k_{ij} x^\nu_k + \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma} x^\beta_i x^\gamma_j.
\end{equation}

The comma indicates ordinary partial derivatives.

In this implicit definition the second fundamental form $\left(h^{ij}\right)$ is taken with respect to $\nu$.

The second equation is the Weingarten equation

\begin{equation}
\nu^\alpha_i = h^k_i x^\alpha_k,
\end{equation}

where we remember that $\nu^\alpha_i$ is a full tensor.

Finally, we have the Codazzi equation

\begin{equation}
h_{ij;k} - h_{ik;j} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho^\alpha_{i\beta} x^\gamma_j x^\delta_k
\end{equation}

and the Gauß equation

\begin{equation}
R_{ijkl} = -\{h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}\} + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} x^\alpha_i x^\beta_j x^\gamma_k x^\delta_l.
\end{equation}

Now, let us assume that $N$ is a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold with a Cauchy surface. $N$ is then a topological product $I \times S_0$, where $I$ is an open interval, $S_0$ is a Riemannian manifold, and there exists a Gaussian coordinate system $\left(x^\alpha\right)$, such that the metric in $N$ has the form

\begin{equation}
ds_N^2 = e^{2\psi} \{-dx^{02} + \sigma_{ij}(x^0,x)dx^i dx^j\},
\end{equation}
where $\sigma_{ij}$ is a Riemannian metric, $\psi$ a function on $N$, and $x$ an abbreviation for the spacelike components $(x^i)$. We also assume that the coordinate system is future oriented, i.e., the time coordinate $x^0$ increases on future directed curves. Hence, the contravariant timelike vector $(\xi^\alpha) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is future directed as is its covariant version $(\xi_\alpha) = e^{2\psi}(-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Let $M = \text{graph } u|_{S_0}$ be a spacelike hypersurface

\begin{equation}
M = \{(x^0, x): x^0 = u(x), x \in S_0 \},
\end{equation}

then the induced metric has the form

\begin{equation}
g_{ij} = e^{2\psi}\{-u_iu_j + \sigma_{ij}\}
\end{equation}

where $\sigma_{ij}$ is evaluated at $(u, x)$, and its inverse $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ can be expressed as

\begin{equation}
g^{ij} = e^{-2\psi}\{\sigma^{ij} + \frac{u^i u^j}{v^2}\},
\end{equation}

where $(\sigma^{ij}) = (\sigma_{ij})^{-1}$ and

\begin{equation}
u^i = \sigma^{ij} u_j, \\
u^2 = 1 - \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j \equiv 1 - |Du|^2.
\end{equation}

Hence, graph $u$ is spacelike if and only if $|Du| < 1$.

The covariant form of a normal vector of a graph looks like

\begin{equation}(\nu_\alpha) = \pm v^{-1} e^\psi (1, -u_i),\end{equation}

and the contravariant version is

\begin{equation}(\nu^\alpha) = \mp v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i).
\end{equation}

Thus, we have

2.1. Remark. Let $M$ be spacelike graph in a future oriented coordinate system. Then the contravariant future directed normal vector has the form

\begin{equation}(\nu^\alpha) = v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i)
\end{equation}

and the past directed

\begin{equation}(\nu^\alpha) = -v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i).
\end{equation}

In the Gauß formula (2.2) we are free to choose the future or past directed normal, but we stipulate that we always use the past directed normal. Look at the component $\alpha = 0$ in (2.2) and obtain in view of (2.15)

\begin{equation}e^{-\psi} v^{-1} h_{ij} = -u_{ij} - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{0i} u_i u_j - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{0j} u_i u_j - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij},
\end{equation}

Here, the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the induced metric of $M$, and

\begin{equation} - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij} = e^{-\psi} \bar{h}_{ij},
\end{equation}

where $(\bar{h}_{ij})$ is the second fundamental form of the hypersurfaces $\{x^0 = \text{const}\}$. 
An easy calculation shows
\begin{equation}
\bar{h}_{ij} e^{-\psi} \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} - \dot{\psi} \sigma_{ij},
\end{equation}
where the dot indicates differentiation with respect to \( x^0 \).

3. The Yang-Mills functional

Let \( N = N^{n+1} \) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime with metric \((\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})\), \( G \) a compact, semi-simple, connected Lie group, \( \mathfrak{g} \) its Lie algebra and \( E_1 = (N, \mathfrak{g}, \pi, \text{Ad}(G)) \) the corresponding adjoint bundle with base space \( N \). The Yang-Mills functional is then defined by
\begin{equation}
J_{YM} = \int_N \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\lambda} F^{\mu\lambda} = \int_N \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{ab} \bar{g}^{\mu\rho} \bar{g}^{\lambda\rho} F_{a\mu}^b F_{b\lambda}^a,
\end{equation}
where \( \gamma_{ab} \) is the Cartan-Killing metric in \( \mathfrak{g} \),
\begin{equation}
F_{\mu\lambda} = A_{\mu\lambda}^a - A_{\mu\lambda}^a + f_{a}^{bc} A_{\mu}^b A_{\lambda}^c
\end{equation}
is the curvature of a connection
\begin{equation}
A = (A_{a})
\end{equation}
in \( E_1 \) and
\begin{equation}
f_c = (f_{a})
\end{equation}
are the structural constants of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The integration over \( N \) is to be understood symbolically since we shall always integrate over an open precompact subset \( \tilde{\Omega} \) of \( N \).

3.1. Definition. The adjoint bundle \( E_1 \) is vector bundle; let \( E_1^* \) be the dual bundle, then we denote by
\begin{equation}
T^{r,s}(E_1) = E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_1 \otimes E_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes E_1^*,
\end{equation}
the corresponding tensor bundle and by
\begin{equation}
\Gamma(T^{r,s}(E_1)),
\end{equation}
or more precisely,
\begin{equation}
\Gamma(N, T^{r,s}(E_1)),
\end{equation}
the sections of the bundle, where \( N \) is the base space. Especially we have
\begin{equation}
T^{1,0}(E_1) = E_1.
\end{equation}
Thus, we have
\begin{equation}
F_{\mu\lambda}^a \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}(E_1) \otimes T^{0,2}(N)).
\end{equation}
When we fix a connection \( \bar{A} \) in \( E_1 \), then a general connection \( A \) can be written in the form
\begin{equation}
A_{a}^\mu = \bar{A}_{a}^\mu + \bar{A}_{a}^\mu,
\end{equation}
where \( \sigma_{ij} \) and \( \psi \) are solutions of
\begin{equation}
\bar{h}_{ij} e^{-\psi} \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} - \dot{\psi} \sigma_{ij}.
\end{equation}
where $\tilde{A}_a^\mu$ is a tensor

\begin{equation}
(3.11) \quad \tilde{A}_a^\mu \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}(E_1) \otimes T^{0,1}(N)).
\end{equation}

To be absolutely precise a connection in $E_1$ is of the form

\begin{equation}
(3.12) \quad f_c A^c_\mu,
\end{equation}

where $f_c$ is defined in (3.4); $A^a_\mu$ is merely a coordinate representation.

3.2. Definition. A connection $A$ of the form (3.10) is sometimes also denoted by $(\bar{A}_a^\mu, \tilde{A}_a^\mu)$.

Since we assume that there exists a globally defined time function $x^0$ in $N$ we may consider globally defined tensors $(\tilde{A}_a^\mu)$ satisfying

\begin{equation}
(3.13) \quad \tilde{A}_0^a = 0.
\end{equation}

These tensors can be written in the form $(\bar{A}_a^\mu)$ and they can be viewed as maps

\begin{equation}
(3.14) \quad (\bar{A}_a^\mu) : N \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0),
\end{equation}

where $S_0$ is a Cauchy hypersurface of $N$, e.g., a coordinate slice

\begin{equation}
(3.15) \quad S_0 = \{x^0 = \text{const}\}.
\end{equation}

It is well-known that the Yang-Mills Lagrangian is singular and requires a local gauge fixing when applying canonical quantization. We impose a local gauge fixing by stipulating that the connection $\bar{A}$ satisfies

\begin{equation}
(3.16) \quad \bar{A}_0^a = 0.
\end{equation}

Hence, all connections in (3.10) will obey this condition since we also stipulate that the tensor fields $\tilde{A}_a^\mu$ have vanishing temporal components as in (3.13). The gauge (3.16) is known as the Hamilton gauge, cf. [2, p. 82]. However, this gauge fixing leads to the so-called Gauß constraint, since the first variation in the class of these connections will not formally yield the full Yang-Mills equations.

In a former theorem, [5, Theorem 2.3], we proved that the Gauß constraint does not exist and that it suffices to consider connections of the form (3.10) satisfying (3.13) and (3.16) in the Yang-Mills functional $J_{YM}$:

3.3. Theorem. Let $\bar{\Omega} \subseteq N$ be open and precompact such that there exists a local trivialization of $E_1$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $A = (\bar{A}_a^\mu, \tilde{A}_a^\mu)$ be a connection satisfying (3.13) and (3.16) in $\bar{\Omega}$, and suppose that the first variation of $J_{YM}$ vanishes at $A$ with respect to compact variations of $\bar{A}_a^\mu$ all satisfying (3.13). Then $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection, i.e., the Yang-Mills equation

\begin{equation}
(3.17) \quad F_\lambda^a_{\mu \nu} = 0
\end{equation}

is valid in $\bar{\Omega}$. 

Let \((B_{\rho_k}(x_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a covering of \(S_0\) by small open balls such that each ball lies in a coordinate chart of \(S_0\). Then the cylinders
\[(3.18) \quad U_k = I \times B_{\rho_k}(x_k)\]
are a covering of \(N\) such that each \(U_k\) is contractible, hence each bundle \(\pi^{-1}(U_k)\) is trivial and the connection \(\bar{A}\) can be expressed in coordinates in each \(U_k\)
\[(3.19) \quad \bar{A} = (\bar{A}_a^\mu) = f_a A_a^\mu dx^\mu.\]

We proved in [5, Lemma 2.5]:

3.4. Lemma. In each cylinder \(U_k\) there exists a gauge transformation \(\omega = \omega(t, x)\) such that
\[(3.20) \quad \bar{A}_0^a(t, x) = 0 \quad \forall (t, x) \in U_k\]
after applying the gauge transformation.

And in addition:

3.5. Lemma. Let \(U_k, U_l\) be overlapping cylinders and let \(\omega = \omega(t, x)\) be a gauge transformation relating the respective representations of the connection \(\bar{A}\) in the overlap \(U_k \cap U_l\) where both representations use the Hamilton gauge, then \(\omega\) does not depend on \(t\), i.e.,
\[(3.21) \quad \dot{\omega} = 0.\]

Let \(E_0\) be the adjoint bundle
\[(3.22) \quad E_0 = (S_0, \mathfrak{g}, \pi, \text{Ad}(\mathcal{G}))\]
with base space \(S_0\), where the gauge transformations only depend on the spatial variables \(x = (x^i)\). For fixed \(t\) \(A_{i,0}^a\) are elements of \(T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0)\)
\[(3.23) \quad A_{i,0}^a \in T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0),\]
but the vector potentials \(A_i^a(t, \cdot)\) are connections in \(E_0\) for fixed \(t\) and therefore cannot be used as independent variables, since the variables should be the components of a tensor. However, in view of the results in Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 the difference
\[(3.24) \quad \tilde{A}_i^a(t, \cdot) = A_i^a(t, \cdot) - A_i^a(0, \cdot) \in T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0).\]
Hence, we shall define \(\tilde{A}_i^a\) to be the independent variables such that
\[(3.25) \quad A_i^a = \tilde{A}_i^a(0, \cdot) + \tilde{A}_i^a\]
and we infer
\[(3.26) \quad A_{i,0}^a = \tilde{A}_{i,0}^a.\]
In the Hamilton gauge we therefore have
\[(3.27) \quad F_{0i}^a = \tilde{A}_{i,0}^a.\]
and hence we conclude
\[(3.28)\]
\[-\frac{1}{4} F^\mu_\lambda F^{\mu \lambda} = \frac{1}{2} w^{-2} g^{ij} \gamma_{ab} \ddot{A}^a_{i,0} \ddot{A}^b_{j,0} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij},\]
where we used (1.1).

Writing the density
\[(3.29)\]
\[\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}},\]
in the form
\[(3.30)\]
\[\sqrt{\tilde{g}} = \varphi \sqrt{\det \chi_{ij}},\]
where \(\chi\) is a fixed Riemannian metric in \(S_0\), \(\chi_{ij} = \chi_{ij}(x)\), such that \(0 < \varphi = \varphi(x, g_{ij})\) is a function, we obtain as Lagrangian function
\[(3.31)\]
\[L_{YM} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} \ddot{A}^a_{i,0} \ddot{A}^b_{j,0} w^{-1} \varphi - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi.\]

In order to prove a spectral resolution of the combined Hamilton operator after quantization we need to modify the Yang-Mills Lagrangian slightly. We shall call this modification process renormalization though the renormalization is different from the usual renormalization in quantum field theory.

3.6. **Remark.** The renormalization is necessary since the Yang-Mills energy depends quadratically on the inverse of the metric, and hence shows a wrong scaling behaviour with respect to the metric. The appropriate scaling behaviour would be linear.

3.7. **Definition.** When we only consider metrics \(\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}\) that can be split by a given time function \(x^0\), such that the Yang-Mills Lagrangian is expressed as in (3.31), then we define the renormalized Lagrangian by
\[(3.32)\]
\[L_{YM} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} \ddot{A}^a_{i,0} \ddot{A}^b_{j,0} w^{-1} \varphi - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi,\]
where \(p \in \mathbb{R}\) is real. We shall choose
\[(3.33)\]
\[p = \frac{2}{n}.\]
An equivalent description is, that we have replaced
\[(3.34)\]
\[F^2 = F_{\alpha \beta} \bar{F}^{\alpha \beta}\]
by
\[(3.35)\]
\[F^2 \varphi^p\]
though this always requires that the metric is split by a time function otherwise the definition of \(\varphi\) makes no sense.

The \(\ddot{A}_a^\alpha(t, \cdot)\) can be looked at to be mappings from \(S_0\) to \(T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0)\)
\[(3.36)\]
\[\ddot{A}_a^\alpha(t, \cdot) : S_0 \rightarrow T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0).\]

The fibers of \(T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(S_0)\) are the tensor products
\[(3.37)\]
\[g \otimes T^{0,1}_x(S_0), \quad x \in S_0,\]
which are vector spaces equipped with metric
(3.38) \[ \gamma_{ab} \otimes g^{ij}. \]
For our purposes it is more convenient to consider the fibers to be Riemannian manifolds endowed with the above metric. Let \((\zeta^p), 1 \leq p \leq n_1 n, \) where \(n_1 = \dim g, \) be local coordinates and

(3.39) \[ (\zeta^p) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_i^p(\zeta^p) \equiv \tilde{A}(\zeta) \]
be a local embedding, then the metric has the coefficients
(3.40) \[ G_{pq} = \langle \tilde{A}_p^a, \tilde{A}_q^b \rangle = \gamma_{ab} g^{ij} \tilde{A}_a^i,_{p} \tilde{A}_b^j,_{q}. \]
Hence, the Lagrangian \( L_{YMmod} \) in (3.32) can be expressed in the form

(3.41) \[ L_{YMmod} = \frac{1}{2} G_{pq} \dot{\zeta}^p \dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1} \varphi^{1 + \frac{2}{n}} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi^{1 + \frac{2}{n}} \]
and we deduce

(3.42) \[ \pi_p = \frac{\partial L_{YMmod}}{\partial \dot{\zeta}^p} = G_{pq} \dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1} \varphi^{1 + \frac{2}{n}} \]
yielding the Hamilton function

(3.43) \[ \dot{H}_{YMmod} = \pi_p \dot{\zeta}^p - L_{YMmod} \]

\[ \equiv H_{YMmod} w. \]
Thus, the effective Hamiltonian that will enter the Hamilton constraint equation is

(3.44) \[ H_{YMmod} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-(1 + \frac{2}{n})} G^{pq} \tilde{A}_p \tilde{A}_q + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \varphi^{1 + \frac{2}{n}}. \]
If the Yang-Mills Lagrangian is multiplied by a coupling constant \( \alpha_1, \) then the effective Lagrangian is

(3.45) \[ H_{YMmod} = \alpha_1 \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-(1 + \frac{2}{n})} G^{pq} \tilde{A}_p \tilde{A}_q + \alpha_1 \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \varphi^{1 + \frac{2}{n}}. \]

4. THE HIGGS FUNCTIONAL

Let \( \Phi \) be a scalar field, a map from \( N \) to \( E_1, \)

(4.1) \[ \Phi : N \rightarrow E_1, \]
i.e., \( \Phi \) is a section of \( E_1. \) The Higgs Lagrangian is defined by

(4.2) \[ L_H = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{ab} \Phi^\alpha \Phi^\beta - m V(\Phi), \]
where \( V \geq 0 \) is a smooth potential and \( m > 0 \) a constant. Given a global time function with corresponding foliation of \( N \) we also consider a renormalized potential, namely, we replace \( V \) by

(4.3) \[ V \varphi^q, \quad q = \frac{2}{n}, \]
such that
\begin{equation}
L_{H_{\text{mod}}} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{g} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \Phi^a \Phi^b - m V(\Phi) \varphi^a.
\end{equation}

Let us note that $V$ does not depend on the metric and hence has also the wrong scaling behaviour.

We assume for simplicity that in a local coordinate system $\Phi$ has real coefficients. The covariant derivatives of $\Phi$ are defined by a connection $A = (A^a_\mu)$ in $E_1$
\begin{equation}
\Phi^a_\mu = \Phi^a_\mu + f^a_{cb} A^c_\mu \Phi^b.
\end{equation}
As in the preceding section we work in a local trivialization of $E_1$ using the Hamilton gauge, i.e.,
\begin{equation}
A^a_0 = 0,
\end{equation}
hence, we conclude
\begin{equation}
\Phi^a_0 = \Phi^a_0.
\end{equation}
Moreover, let
\begin{equation}
\Phi : S_0 \to E_1
\end{equation}
be an arbitrary but fixed smooth section of $E_1$ depending only on $x \in S_0$ and let
\begin{equation}
\tilde{\Phi} : N \to E_1
\end{equation}
be an arbitrary smooth section, then we define
\begin{equation}
\Phi = \Phi + \tilde{\Phi}
\end{equation}
to be the argument that enters in the Higgs Lagrangian but stipulate that $\tilde{\Phi}$ will the variable.

Expressing the density $g$ as in (3.30) on page 11 we obtain the Lagrangian
\begin{equation}
L_{H_{\text{mod}}} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \Phi^a \Phi^b w^{-1} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g} \gamma^{ij} \gamma_{i j} \Phi^a \Phi^b w \varphi - m V(\Phi) w \varphi^{(1+q)}
\end{equation}
which we have to use for the Legendre transformation. Before applying the Legendre transformation we again consider the vector space $g$ to be a Riemannian manifold with metric $\gamma_{ab}$. The representation of $\Phi$ in the form $(\Phi^a)$ can be looked at, in a local trivialization, to be the representation of the local coordinates $(\Theta^a)$ such that the metric $\gamma_{ab}$ now depends on $x$.

Let us define
\begin{equation}
p^a = \frac{\partial L_{H_{\text{mod}}}}{\partial \dot{\Phi}^a}, \quad \dot{\Theta}^a = \Theta^a_0,
\end{equation}
then we obtain the Hamiltonian
\begin{align}
\dot{H}_{\text{Hmod}} &= p_a \dot{\Phi}^a - L_{H_{\text{mod}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-1} \gamma_{ab} p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2} \bar{g} \gamma^{ij} \gamma_{i j} \Phi^a \Phi^b w \varphi + m V(\Phi) w \varphi^{(1+q)} \\
&\equiv H_{H_{\text{mod}}}.
\end{align}
Thus, the Hamiltonian which will enter the Hamilton constraint is

\[ H_{\text{mod}} = \frac{1}{2} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \gamma_{ab} \Phi^a \Phi^b + m V(\phi) \phi^{(1+q)}. \]

If the Higgs Lagrangian is multiplied by a coupling constant \( \alpha \), then

\[ H_{\text{mod}} = \alpha \frac{1}{2} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} p_a p_b + \alpha \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \gamma_{ab} \Phi^a \Phi^b + \alpha m V(\phi) \phi^{(1+q)}. \]

5. The Hamilton condition

Considering the foliation given by the time function \( t \) the Einstein-Hilbert functional with cosmological constant \( \Lambda \) can be expressed in the form

\[ J_G = \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^{ij,kl} \pi_{ij} \pi_{kl} - (R - 2\Lambda) \phi \right\} w \varphi \sqrt{\chi}, \]

where we already replaced the density \( \sqrt{g} \) by \( \varphi \sqrt{\chi} \), which is due to Arnowitt, Deser and Misner [1]. The metric \( G^{ij,kl} \) is defined by

\[ G^{ij,kl} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{ik} g^{jl} + g^{il} g^{jk}) - g^{ij} g^{kl}, \]

and its inverse is given by

\[ G_{ij,kl} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{ik} g_{jk} + g_{il} g_{jk}) - \frac{1}{1-n} g_{ij} g_{kl}. \]

\( R \) is the scalar curvature of the metric \( g_{ij} \).

The corresponding Hamiltonian \( H_G \) has the form

\[ H_G = \{ \varphi^{-1} G^{ij,kl} \pi_{ij} \pi_{kl} - (R - 2\Lambda) \phi \} w, \]

cf. [6, Section 3]. Hence, the Hamiltonian of the combined Lagrangian is

\[ \mathcal{H} = H_G + H_{YM\text{mod}} + H_{H\text{mod}}, \]

where coupling constants are already integrated in the Hamiltonians and the Hamilton equations

\[ \dot{g}_{ij} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \pi_{ij}}, \]

\[ \dot{\pi}^{ij} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta g_{ij}}, \]

are equivalent to the tangential Einstein equations

\[ G_{ij} + A g_{ij} - T_{ij} = 0, \]

where \( T_{\alpha \beta} \) is the stress-energy tensor comprised of the modified Yang-Mills and Higgs Lagrangians.

The normal component of the Einstein equations

\[ G_{\alpha \beta} \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta - A - T_{\alpha \beta} \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta = 0 \]

cannot be derived from the Hamilton equations and this equation has to be stipulated as an extra condition, the so-called Hamilton condition.
In [4, Theorem 3.2] we proved that any metric \((\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})\) which splits according to (1.1) on page 2 satisfying (5.8) and (5.9) on page 14 also solves the full Einstein equations, i.e., it also satisfies the mixed components
\[
G_{0j} + \Lambda g_{0j} - T_{0j} = 0.
\]

The Hamilton condition is equivalent to the equation
\[
\mathcal{H} = 0
\]
and after quantization, when the quantized Hamiltonian, still denoted by \(\mathcal{H}\), is a differential operator in a fiber bundle, the quantum equivalent of equation (5.11) is considered to be
\[
\mathcal{H}u = 0,
\]
i.e., the elements of the kernel of \(\mathcal{H}\) are supposed to be the physical interesting solutions. The equation (5.12) is known as the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. In our former papers [4, 5] we used this approach and solved the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in a fiber bundle \(E\). The Hamilton operator is then a hyperbolic operator acting only in the fibers of the bundle as a differential operator and not in the base space \(S_0\), which is unsatisfactory. Therefore we shall express the Hamilton condition differently.

The foliation \(M(t)\) is also the solution set of the geometric flow
\[
\dot{x} = -w^\nu
\]
with initial hypersurface
\[
M_0 = S_0,
\]
where \(\nu\) is the past directed normal, cf. [3, equ. (2.3.25)]. Let \(h_{ij}\) be the second fundamental form of \(M(t)\), then \(\pi^{ij}\) and \(h_{ij}\) are related by the equation
\[
h_{ij} = -\varphi^{-1}G_{ijkl}\pi^{kl},
\]
cf. [6, equ. (4.6)], and the second Hamilton equation
\[
\dot{\pi}^{ij} = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta g_{ij}}
\]
is equivalent to the evolution equation of the \(h_{ij}\) if the tangential Einstein equations (5.8) are supposed to be satisfied. In [6, Section 6] we used the evolution equation of the mean curvature
\[
H = g^{ij}h_{ij}
\]
to express the Hamilton condition, i.e., we modified this equation such that it was equivalent to the Hamilton condition and we shall use this approach again in the present situation.

We note that
\[
\pi^{ij} = (Hg^{ij} - h^{ij})\varphi,
\]
and hence
\[
(n-1)H\varphi = g_{ij}\pi^{ij}.
\]
We shall modify the evolution equation

\begin{equation}
(\varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{ij} \pi^{ij})' = -\frac{1}{4}\varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}}g^{kl}g_{kl} \pi^{ij} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{ij} \pi^{ij} + \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{ij} \dot{\pi}^{ij}
\end{equation}

where we used that

\begin{equation}
h_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{ij} w^{1},
\end{equation}

in view of (2.18) on page 8, where we emphasize that the symbol $H$ represents the mean curvature and $\mathcal{H}$ the Hamilton function. The Hamilton function is the sum of three Hamiltonians

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H} = H_0 + H_1 + H_2,
\end{equation}

where $H_0$ is the gravitational, $H_1$ the renormalized Yang-Mills and $H_2$ the renormalized Higgs Hamiltonian. Thus, we infer

\begin{equation}
g_{ij} \dot{\pi}^{ij} = -g_{ij} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta g_{ij}} = -g_{ij} \frac{\delta (H_0 + H_1 + H_2)}{\delta g_{ij}}
\end{equation}

and we deduce further

\begin{equation}
-g_{ij} \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta g_{ij}} = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 2\right) \varphi^{-1} G_{ij,kl} \pi^{kl} w + \frac{n}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w - \frac{1}{2} R \varphi w
\end{equation}

where the scalar curvature and the Laplacian are defined by the metric $g_{ij}$; for a proof see the proof of [6, Theorem 3.2].

Writing

\begin{equation}
H_1 = \alpha_1^{-1} \frac{1}{2} G^{pq} \tilde{\pi}_p \tilde{\pi}_q \varphi^{-(1 + \frac{n}{2})} w + C_1
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
H_2 = \alpha_2^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} p_a p_b \varphi^{-1} w + C_2
\end{equation}

we infer

\begin{equation}
-g_{ij} \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta g_{ij}} = \frac{n}{2} \alpha_1^{-1} \frac{1}{2} G^{pq} \tilde{\pi}_p \tilde{\pi}_q \varphi^{-(1 + \frac{n}{2})} w - g_{ij} \frac{\delta C_1}{\delta g_{ij}}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
-g_{ij} \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta g_{ij}} = \frac{n}{2} \alpha_2^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} p_a p_b \varphi^{-1} w - g_{ij} \frac{\delta C_2}{\delta g_{ij}}.
\end{equation}
Hence, we conclude

\[
\frac{1}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \pi^{i,j} g_{kl} \pi^{k,l} \varphi^{2} w
+ \frac{n}{2} \varphi^{-1} G_{i,j,k,l} \pi^{i} \pi^{j} \varphi^{-2} w + \frac{n}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi^{2} w
\]

\[ (5.29) \]

\[
\begin{align*}
& - \frac{1}{2} R \varphi^{2} w - (n - 1) \Delta w \varphi^{2} \\
& + \frac{n}{2} \left( \alpha_1 \frac{1}{2} g_{pq} \pi_{p} \pi_{q} \varphi^{-(1 + \frac{3}{4})} + \alpha_2 \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} p_{a} p_{b} \varphi^{-1} \right) \varphi^{-2} w \\
& - g_{ij} \left\{ \frac{\delta C_1}{\delta g_{ij}} + \frac{\delta C_2}{\delta g_{ij}} \right\} \varphi^{-2} 
\end{align*}
\]

On the right-hand side of this evolution equation we now implement the Hamilton condition by replacing

\[ (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w - H_1 - H_2. \]

Expressing the time derivative on the left-hand side of (5.29) with the help of the Poisson brackets, we finally obtain

\[
\{ \varphi^{-2} g_{ij} \pi^{i,j}, H \} =
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \pi^{i,j} g_{kl} \pi^{k,l} \varphi^{2} w \\
& + \frac{n}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi^{2} w - \frac{n}{2} (C_1 + C_2) \varphi^{-2} \\
& + \frac{n}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi^{2} w - \frac{1}{2} R \varphi^{2} w - (n - 1) \Delta w \varphi^{2} \\
& - g_{ij} \left\{ \frac{\delta C_1}{\delta g_{ij}} + \frac{\delta C_2}{\delta g_{ij}} \right\} \varphi^{-2} 
\end{align*}
\]

(5.32)

which is equivalent to the Hamilton condition if the Hamilton equations are valid.

Thus, we have proved:

5.1. Theorem. Let \( N = N^{n+1} \) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime and let the metric \( g_{a\beta} \) be expressed as in (1.1) on page 2. Then, the metric satisfies the full Einstein equations if and only if the metric is a solution of the Hamilton equations and of the equation (5.32).

6. The quantization

For the quantization we use a similar model as in [6, Section 4]. First, we switch to the gauge \( w = 1 \). In our previous paper we considered a bundle...
with base space $S_0$ and fibers $F(x)$, $x \in S_0$, the elements of which were the Riemannian $(g_{ij}(x))$. The fibers were equipped with the Lorentzian metric

$$\varphi^{-1}G_{ij,kl},$$

which, in a suitable coordinate system

$$(t, \xi^A), \quad t = \varphi^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

has the form

$$ds^2 = -\frac{16(n-1)}{n}dt^2 + \frac{4(n-1)}{n}t^2 G_{AB}d\xi^A d\xi^B,$$

where $G_{AB}$ is independent of $t$ and the coordinates $(t, \xi^A)$ are independent of $x$, cf. [6, equ. (4.60)].

In the present situation we consider a bundle $E$ with base space $S_0$ and the fibers over $x \in S_0$ are

$$F(x) \times (g \otimes T_x^{01}(S_0)) \times g,$$

where the additional components are due to the Yang-Mills fields $(\tilde{A}_a^i)$ and the Higgs field $(\tilde{\Phi}^a)$. Let us emphasize that the elements of the fibers are tensors and that a fixed connection $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_a^i(x))$ and fixed Higgs field $\bar{\Phi}^a$ are used to define the connections

$$(6.5) \quad A_a^i = \tilde{A}_a^i + \bar{A}_a^i$$

resp. the Higgs fields

$$(6.6) \quad \Phi^a = \tilde{\Phi}^a + \bar{\Phi}^a$$

the terms in the Hamiltonian will depend on. After the quantization is finished and we have obtained the final equation governing the interaction of a Riemannian metric with Yang-Mills and Higgs fields, we shall choose $\tilde{A}_a^i = 0$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^a = 0$ such that only the arbitrary sections $A_a^i$ and $\Phi^a$ are involved and not any elements of the bundle.

The fibers in (6.4) are equipped with the metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{16(n-1)}{n}dt^2 + \frac{4(n-1)}{n}t^2 G_{AB}d\xi^A d\xi^B + t^2 \alpha_1 G_{pq} d\zeta^p d\zeta^q + t^2 \alpha_2 \gamma_{ab} d\Theta^a d\Theta^b,$$

where the metrics $G_{pq}$ and $\gamma_{ab}$ are independent of $t$. The metric $G_{pq}$ in (3.45) on page 12 is related with $G_{pq}$ by

$$G_{pq} = t^2 G_{pq},$$

Here, we used that a metric

$$(6.9) \quad g_{ij}(x) \in F(x)$$

can be expressed in the form

$$(6.10) \quad g_{ij} = t^2 \sigma_{ij},$$
where $\sigma_{ij}$ is dependent of $t$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
\det \sigma_{ij} = \det \chi_{ij},
\end{equation}
cf. [6, equ. (4.66)].

Let us abbreviate the fiber metric in (6.7) by
\begin{equation}
ds^2 = \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n^2,
\end{equation}
such that
\begin{equation}
\xi^0 = t,
\end{equation}
and let $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ be the corresponding Ricci tensor, then
\begin{equation}
\bar{R}_{0\beta} = 0 \quad \forall \beta
\end{equation}
as can be easily derived by introducing a conformal time
\begin{equation}
\tau = \log t
\end{equation}
such that
\begin{equation}
\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\psi} g_{\alpha\beta},
\end{equation}
where the coefficients $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are independent of $\tau$,
\begin{equation}
g_{00} = -1,
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\psi = \tau + c, \quad c = \text{const}
\end{equation}
and using the well-known formula
\begin{equation}
\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - (n - 1)[\psi_{\alpha\beta} - \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta] - g_{\alpha\beta}[\Delta \psi + (n - 1) \| D\psi \|^2]
\end{equation}
connecting the Ricci tensors of conformal metrics. Norms and derivatives on the right-hand side are all with respect to the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$. The index 0 now refers to the variable $\tau$.

We can now quantize the Hamiltonian setting using the original variables $(g_{ij}, \pi^{kl}, \ldots)$. We consider the bundle $E$ equipped with the metric (6.7) in the fibers and with the Riemannian metric $\chi$ in $S_0$. Furthermore, let
\begin{equation}
C_c^\infty(E)
\end{equation}
be the space of real valued smooth functions with compact support in $E$.

In the quantization process, where we choose $\hbar = 1$, the variables $g_{ij}$, $\pi^{ij}$, etc. are then replaced by operators $\hat{g}_{ij}$, $\hat{\pi}^{ij}$, etc. acting in $C_c^\infty(E)$ and satisfying the commutation relations
\begin{equation}
[\hat{g}_{ij}, \hat{\pi}^{kl}] = i\delta_{ij}^{kl},
\end{equation}
for the gravitational variables,
\begin{equation}
[\hat{\psi}^p, \hat{n}_q] = i\delta^p_q
\end{equation}
for the Yang-Mills variables, and
\begin{equation}
[\hat{\theta}^a, \hat{p}_b] = i\delta^a_b
\end{equation}
for the Higgs variables, while all the other commutators vanish. These operators are realized by defining $\hat{g}_{ij}$ to be the multiplication operator

$$\hat{g}_{ij} u = g_{ij} u$$

and $\hat{\pi}^{ij}$ to be the functional derivative

$$\hat{\pi}^{ij} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{ij}},$$

i.e., if $u \in C^\infty_c(E)$, then

$$\frac{\delta u}{\delta g_{ij}}$$

is the Euler-Lagrange operator of the functional

$$\int_{S_0} u \sqrt{\chi} \equiv \int_{S_0} u.$$  

Hence, if $u$ only depends on $(x, g_{ij})$ and not on derivatives of the metric, then

$$\frac{\delta u}{\delta g_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial g_{ij}}.$$  

The same definitions and reasonings are also valid for the other variables. Therefore, the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ can be looked at as the hyperbolic differential operator

$$\hat{H} = -\Delta + C_0 + C_1 + C_2,$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian of the metric in (6.7) acting on functions $u \in C^\infty_c(E)$ and the symbols $C_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, represent the lower order terms of the respective Hamiltonians $H_0$, $H_1$ and $H_2$.

Following Dirac the Poisson brackets on the left-hand side of (5.32) on page 17 are replaced by $\frac{1}{\hbar}$ times the commutators of the transformed quantities in the quantization process, since $\hbar = 1$. Dropping the hats in the following to improve the readability the left-hand side of equation (5.32) is transformed to

$$i[H, \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{ij} \hat{\pi}^{ij}] = [H, \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{ij}}].$$

As we proved in [6, equ. (6.25)]

$$\varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{ij}} = n \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

when applied to functions $u$, hence

$$[-\Delta, n \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] u = 0,$$

in view of (6.14), and

$$[C_0 + C_1 + C_2, \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{ij}}] u = -(n-1)\varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\Delta} u \varphi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{ij}} C_k \right) u,$$
cf. [6, equ. (6.27)], where \( \tilde{\Delta} \) is the Laplace operator with respect to the metric \( g_{ij} \). Here, we evaluate the equation (6.33) at an arbitrary point

\[(x, g_{ij}, \tilde{A}^a_i, \tilde{\Phi}^a) \equiv (x, t, \zeta^A)\]

in \( E \), where we used the abbreviation

\[(\zeta^a) = (\zeta^0, \zeta^A) \equiv (t, \zeta^A)\]

to denote the fiber coordinates in a local trivialization. The spatial fiber coordinates \( (\zeta^A) \) are the coordinates for the fibers of the subbundle

\[E_1 = \{ t = 1 \}\]

which is a Cauchy hypersurface, since the fibers of \( E \) are globally hyperbolic, cf. [5, Theorem 4.1].

6.1. Remark. If we consider \( u \) to depend on the left-hand side of (6.34), then \( \tilde{\Delta} u \) has to be evaluated by applying the chain rule. However, if we consider \( u \) to depend on \( (x, t, \zeta^A) \), which are independent variables, then \( \tilde{\Delta} u \) is the Laplacian of

\[(\cdot, t, \zeta^A).\]

We shall adopt the latter view. Indeed, after having derived the quantized version of (5.32) on page 17 we shall consider \( u \) to depend on \( (x, t) \) and only implicitly on a fixed \( \zeta^A \), i.e., on a given \( (\tilde{A}^a_i) \) and \( (\tilde{\Phi}^a) \), especially since we shall then specify

\[\tilde{A}^a_i = 0 \quad \& \quad \tilde{\Phi}^a = 0.\]

Let us now transform the right-hand side of (5.32) on page 17 by having in mind that \( w = 1 \) and by multiplying all terms with \( \varphi^\frac{1}{2} \) before applying them to a function \( u \). Later, when we compare the left and right-hand sides, we of course multiply the left-hand side by the same factor \( \varphi^\frac{1}{2} \).

The only non-trivial term on the right-hand side of (5.32) is the first one with the second derivatives. We arrange the covariant derivatives such that we obtain

\[-\frac{1}{32} \frac{n^2}{n - 1} \dddot{u},\]

where the derivatives are ordinary partial derivatives with respect to \( t \), cf. the arguments in [6, equ. (6.28)–(6.33)]. The other terms are trivial and we infer that the right-hand side is transformed to

\[-\frac{1}{32} \frac{n^2}{n - 1} \dddot{u} - \frac{n}{2} (C_0 + C_1 + C_2) u - (g_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y_{ij}} (C_0 + C_1 + C_2)) u.\]
Now, multiplying (6.33) by $\varphi^2$ and observing that it equals (6.40), we finally obtain the hyperbolic equation
\begin{align}
\frac{1}{32} \frac{n^2}{n-1} \ddot{u} - (n-1)\varphi \Delta u - \frac{n}{2} (R - 2A) \varphi u + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} F_{ij} F^{ij} \varphi^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \\
+ \alpha_2 \frac{n}{4} \gamma_{ab} g^{ij} \phi^a g^b \varphi u + \alpha_2 \frac{n}{2} m V(\phi) \varphi^{1-\frac{2}{n}} u = 0,
\end{align}
where
\begin{align}
(g_{ij}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k, \tilde{\Phi})
\end{align}
are arbitrary but fixed elements of the bundle.

In [6, equ. (6.35)–(6.37)] we have shown that
\begin{align}
g_{ij}(x,t) = t^{\frac{n}{2}} \sigma_{ij}(x),
\end{align}
where
\begin{align}
\det \sigma_{ij} = \det \chi_{ij},
\end{align}
such that
\begin{align}
(\sigma_{ij}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^a_k, \tilde{\Phi}^b)
\end{align}
belong to the subbundle $E_1$. Observing that
\begin{align}
\Delta u = t^{-\frac{4}{n}} \Delta_{\sigma_{ij}} u,
\end{align}
and
\begin{align}
R = t^{-\frac{4}{n}} R_{\sigma_{ij}},
\end{align}
where $R_{\sigma_{ij}}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $\sigma_{ij}$, we can express (6.41) in the form
\begin{align}
\frac{1}{32} \frac{n^2}{n-1} \ddot{u} - (n-1)t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} \Delta u - \frac{n}{2} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} R u + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} F_{ij} F^{ij} u \\
+ \alpha_2 \frac{n}{4} t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} \gamma_{ab} \sigma_{ij} \phi^a \phi^b u + \alpha_2 \frac{n}{2} m t^{2-\frac{4}{n}} V(\phi) u + nt^2 Au = 0,
\end{align}
where we dropped the tilde from $\Delta u$ and where the Laplacian, the scalar curvature and the raising and lowering of indices are defined with respect to the metric $\sigma_{ij}$.

In [6, Remark 6.8] we have proved that we may choose $\sigma_{ij} = \chi_{ij}$, and since $\chi_{ij}$ has been an arbitrary Riemannian metric on $S_0$, we can therefore prove:

6.2. Theorem. Let $(S_0, \sigma_{ij})$ be a connected, complete, and smooth $n$-dimensional Riemann manifold and let $E_0 = (S_0, g, \pi, \text{Ad}(G))$ be the adjoint bundle defined in (3.22) on page 10, and let
\begin{align}
A = (A^a_i)
\end{align}
be an arbitrary smooth connection in $E_0$, i.e., an arbitrary smooth section, and let
\begin{align}
\Phi = (\Phi^a)
\end{align}
be an arbitrary smooth Higgs field, then the hyperbolic equation (6.48) in
\begin{equation}
Q = \mathbb{R}_+^* \times S_0
\end{equation}
describes the quantized version of the interaction of \((S_0, \sigma_{ij})\) with these bosonic fields.

**Proof.** We only have to prove that we may choose the connection \((A_a^a)\) and the Higgs field \((\Phi^a)\) as arbitrary smooth sections. This follows immediately by evaluating (6.48) at the bundle elements
\begin{equation}
\bar{A}_a^a = 0 \quad \land \quad \bar{\Phi}^a = 0,
\end{equation}
then the connection \(A_a^a\) and the Higgs field \(\Phi^a\) coincide with \(\bar{A}_a^a\) resp. \(\bar{\Phi}^a\) which are arbitrary smooth sections. \(\square\)

6.3. **Remark.** If we define in \(Q\) the Lorentz metric
\begin{equation}
d\bar{s}^2 = -32\frac{n-1}{n^2} dt^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j,
\end{equation}
then \(Q\) is globally hyperbolic and the operator in (6.48) is symmetric. If we equip \(Q\) with the metric
\begin{equation}
d\bar{s}^2 = -32\frac{n-1}{n^2} dt^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} t^\frac{4}{n-2} \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j,
\end{equation}
then \(Q\) is also globally hyperbolic, the operator in (6.48) normally hyperbolic but not symmetric, and \(Q\) has a big bang singularity in \(t = 0\) if \(n \geq 3\).

**Proof.** Since \(\sigma_{ij}\) is complete it suffices to prove the big bang assertion. Let
\begin{equation}
M(t) = \{x^0 = t\}
\end{equation}
be the Cauchy hypersurfaces and \(h_{ij}\) their second fundamental form with respect to the past directed normal, then
\begin{equation}
h_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} (t^\frac{4}{n-2})' \sigma_{ij} = p \frac{1}{2(n-1)} t^{-(p+1)} \sigma_{ij},
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
p = 2 - \frac{4}{n}.
\end{equation}
Hence the \(M(t)\) are all umbilical. Let \(H\) be the mean curvature, then
\begin{equation}
H = \frac{np}{2} t^{-1}.
\end{equation}
Moreover, let \(\tilde{R}\) be the scalar curvature of the \(M(t)\) and \(R\) the scalar curvature of \(\sigma_{ij}\), then
\begin{equation}
\tilde{R} = (n-1) t^p R
\end{equation}
and we deduce
\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to 0} R = 0
\end{equation}
and
\[ (6.61) \lim_{t \to 0} H^2 = \infty. \]

Hence, some sectional curvatures of the ambient metric must also get unbounded in view of the Gauß equation and the fact that the \( M(t) \) are umbilical.

\[ \square \]

7. The spectral resolution

In case \( S_0 \) is compact we can prove a spectral resolution for the equation \((6.48)\) on page 22, where \( A \) will act as an implicit eigenvalue. The proof is similar as in our previous paper [6, Section 6]. First, let us consider an elliptic eigenvalue problem which can be looked at to be the stationary version of equation \((6.48)\).

7.1. Lemma. Let \( S_0 \) be compact equipped with the metric \( \sigma_{ij} \). Then, the eigenvalue problem
\[
- (n-1) \Delta v - \frac{n}{2} R v + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} F_{ij} F^{ij} v \\
+ \alpha_2 \frac{n}{4} \gamma_{ab} \sigma^{ij} \Phi^a_i \Phi^b_j v + \alpha_2 \frac{n}{2} m V(\Phi) v = \mu v
\]
has countably many solutions \((v_i, \mu_i)\) such that
\[
\mu_0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots,
\]
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \mu_i = \infty,
\]
and
\[
\int_{S_0} \bar{v}_i v_j = \delta_{ij},
\]
where now we consider complex valued functions. The solutions are smooth in \( S_0 \) and form a basis in \( L^2(S_0, \mathbb{C}) \).

This result is well-known. For clarification let us recall \( R \) is the scalar curvature of \( \sigma_{ij} \), and the other coefficients depend on a given smooth Yang-Mills field and a Higgs field. There is no sign condition on the potential \( V \), but later, when establishing assumptions guaranteeing that
\[
\mu_0 > 0,
\]
we shall require that
\[
V \geq 0,
\]
or even
\[
V > 0 \quad \text{a.e.,}
\]
i.e., \( V \) is strictly positive except on a Lebesgue null set. The constant \( m \) is always supposed to be non-negative.
To prove a spectral resolution of the hyperbolic equation (6.48) we choose an eigenfunction \( v = v_i \) with positive eigenvalue \( \mu = \mu_i \) and look at solutions of (6.48) of the form

(7.8) \[ u(x, t) = w(t)v(x). \]

\( u \) is then a solution of (6.48) provided \( w \) satisfies the implicit eigenvalue equation

(7.9) \[ -\frac{1}{32} n^2 \ddot{w} - \mu t^2 \frac{\dot{w}}{t} w - nt^2 \Lambda w = 0, \]

where \( \Lambda \) is the eigenvalue.

This eigenvalue problem we also considered in our previous paper and proved that it has countably many solutions \((w_i, \Lambda_i)\) with finite energy, i.e.,

(7.10) \[ \int_0^\infty \left\{ |\dot{w}_i|^2 + (1 + t^2 + \mu t^2 - 4n) |w_i|^2 \right\} < \infty. \]

More precisely, we proved, cf. [6, Theorem 6.7],

7.2. Theorem. Assume \( n \geq 2 \) and \( S_0 \) to be compact and let \((v, \mu)\) be a solution of the eigenvalue problem (7.1) with \( \mu > 0 \), then there exist countably many solutions \((w_i, \Lambda_i)\) of the implicit eigenvalue problem (7.9) such that

(7.11) \[ \Lambda_i < \Lambda_{i+1} < \cdots < 0, \]

(7.12) \[ \lim_i \Lambda_i = 0, \]

and such that the functions

(7.13) \[ u_i = w_i v \]

are solutions of the wave equations (6.48). The transformed eigenfunctions

(7.14) \[ \tilde{w}_i(t) = w_i(\lambda_i^{-\frac{n+1}{n}} t), \]

where

(7.15) \[ \lambda_i = (-\Lambda_i)^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}, \]

form a basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+, \mathbb{C}) \) and also of the Hilbert space \( H \) defined as the completion of \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^*_+, \mathbb{C}) \) under the norm of the scalar product

(7.16) \[ \langle w, \tilde{w} \rangle_1 = \int_0^\infty \{ \tilde{w}' \tilde{w}' + t^2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} \}, \]

where a prime or a dot denotes differentiation with respect to \( t \).

7.3. Remark. If \( S_0 \) is not compact, then, let

(7.17) \[ \Omega \Subset S_0 \]

be an arbitrary relatively compact open subset of \( S_0 \) with smooth boundary, and exactly the same results as above will be valid in the cylinder

(7.18) \[ Q(\Omega) = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^*_+. \]
by solving the eigenvalue problem (7.1) in the Sobolev space
\[ H_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap H^{2,2}(\Omega) \]
and arguing further as before.

Finally, let us consider under which assumptions the lowest eigenvalue \( \mu_0 \) of the eigenvalue problem (7.1) is strictly positive. This property can also be called a mass gap. We prove the existence of a mass gap in two cases.

In the first case we assume that \( V \) satisfies the condition (7.7).

7.4. **Theorem.** Let \( S_0 \) be compact and let \( V \) satisfy (7.7), then there exists \( m_0 \) such that for all \( m \geq m_0 \) the first eigenvalue \( \mu_0 \) of equation (7.1) is strictly positive with an a priori bound from below depending on the data.

The theorem immediately follows from a well-known compactness lemma:

7.5. **Lemma.** Under the assumptions of the previous theorem there exists for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) a constant \( c_\epsilon \) such that
\[ \int_{S_0} |u|^2 \leq \epsilon \int_{S_0} |Du|^2 + c_\epsilon \int_{S_0} V |u|^2 \quad \forall u \in C^1(S_0). \]

**Proof.** We prove the estimate (7.20) in the Sobolev space \( H^{1,2}(S_0) \) instead of \( C^1(S_0) \), since this is the appropriate function space, and argue by contradiction.

If the estimate (7.20) would be false, then there would exist \( \epsilon > 0 \) and a sequence of functions
\[ u_k \in H^{1,2}(S_0) \]
such that
\[ \int_{S_0} |u_k|^2 > \epsilon \int_{S_0} |Du_k|^2 + k \int_{S_0} V |u_k|^2. \]

Without loss of generality we may assume
\[ \int_{S_0} |u_k|^2 = 1. \]
Hence, the \( u_k \) are bounded in \( H^{1,2}(S_0) \) and a subsequence, not relabeled, will weakly converge in \( H^{1,2}(S_0) \) to a function \( u \) such that
\[ u_k \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(S_0), \]
since the embedding from \( H^{1,2}(S_0) \) into \( L^2(S_0) \) is compact, and we would deduce
\[ \int_{S_0} |u|^2 = 1 \]
and also
\[ \int_{S_0} V |u|^2 = 0, \]
In the second case, we only assume $V \geq 0$ such that we may ignore the contribution of the Higgs field to the quadratic form defined by the elliptic operator in equation (7.1) completely, since its contribution is non-negative, and only look at the smaller operator

\begin{equation}
-(n - 1)\Delta v - \frac{n}{2} Rv + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} F^{ij} F_{ij} v.
\end{equation}

If we can prove that the eigenvalues of this operator are strictly positive, then the eigenvalues of equation (7.1) are also strictly positive.

**7.6. Theorem.** Let $S_0$ be compact, $R \leq 0$, then the smallest eigenvalue of the operator (7.27) is strictly positive provided either $R$ or $F^{ij} F_{ij}$ do not vanish everywhere.

**Proof.** Under the assumptions the eigenvalues are always non-negative and the spectral resolution described in Lemma 7.1 is valid. Therefore, assume that $\mu_0 = 0$ and let $u$ be a corresponding eigenfunction, then

\begin{equation}
0 = \int_{S_0} |Du|^2 - \frac{n}{2} \int_{S_0} R|u|^2 + \alpha_1 \frac{n}{8} \int_{S_0} F^{ij} F_{ij} |u|^2.
\end{equation}

Hence, each of the integrals will vanish and we conclude that

\begin{equation}
u = \text{const}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
-R + F^{ij} F_{ij} = 0,
\end{equation}

contradicting the assumptions. \qed

**7.7. Remark.** The eigenfunctions $v$ of the eigenvalue problem (7.1) certainly have a mass if the assumptions of Theorem 7.4 are satisfied and the eigenfunctions $w$ of (7.9) have positive energy independently of any Yang-Mills field, only because of the interaction of gravity with the scalar field. We therefore believe that the eigenfunctions $v$ could be responsible for the dark matter and the corresponding eigenfunctions $w$ for the dark energy, where

\begin{equation}
u = wv
\end{equation}

has to be a solution of the hyperbolic equation (6.48) on page 22.
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