Orange-Brown Precipitate: A Threat to the Success of Endodontic Treatment – An In Vitro Study
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Abstract
AIM: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of various intermediate irrigating solutions in the removal of orange-brown precipitate formed due to alternative use of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and chlorhexidine (CHX) root canal irrigants.

METHODS: The root canals of 50 mandibular premolars were prepared using ProTaper Universal Rotary instruments (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to size F3. The roots were randomly divided into five experimental groups specified by the intermediate irrigant used; Group 1: No intermediate irrigant used (control), Group 2: Saline, Group 3: 7% maleic acid (MA), Group 4: 4% sodium thiosulfate, and Group 5: 70% isopropyl alcohol (n = 10). After final irrigation with CHX, the specimens were evaluated for the presence/absence of orange-brown precipitate under stereomicroscope.

RESULTS: Mean precipitate for all experimental groups was calculated using ANOVA F test which showed orange-brown precipitate; Parachloraniline; Sodium thiosulfate and 70% isopropyl alcohol.

CONCLUSION: About 7% MA is effective in minimizing the formation of orange-brown precipitate when used as intermediate irrigant between NaOCl and CHX. However, the precipitate was not observed with 4% sodium thiosulfate and 70% isopropyl alcohol.

Introduction

The main objective of endodontic therapy is the complete removal of microorganisms from the root canal system. Mechanical instrumentation alone cannot completely eliminate microbes from the complex root canal system. Irritants are recommended along with instrumentation to completely disinfect the root canal system [1].

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is used as the irrigant of first choice during root canal therapy due to its excellent antimicrobial properties and tissue dissolving potential [2].

In retreatment cases, the use of broad-spectrum antimicrobial solution, chlorhexidine (CHX) gluconate, is recommended as an adjunct or alternative to NaOCl due to its lesser toxicity [3] and antimicrobial substantivity [4]. It is also seen that the alternate use of NaOCl and CHX is to take advantage of the beneficial qualities of both NaOCl as well as CHX solutions [5].

When both NaOCl and CHX solutions are used alternatively as root canal irrigants, resulted in the formation of orange-brown precipitate, known as parachloroaniline; which is possibly carcinogenic to humans and has immune-toxic effects [6], [7]. Proper sealing of obturating material to canal walls is also hampered due to the orange-brown precipitate occluding the dentinal tubules [7].

Hence, many studies are being carried out to use intermediate intracanal solutions to eliminate the residual NaOCl before using CHX to prevent the formation of a precipitate [6], [7], [8], [9].

Sodium thiosulfate has been used as an antidote to cyanide poisoning [10] and in the treatment of calciphylaxis in hemodialysis patients with end-stage kidney disease [11]. It has also been effectively used for neutralizing NaOCl in the laboratory studies [12].

Various solutions such as distilled water, isopropyl alcohol, 50% citric acid, and 7% maleic acid (MA) are used as intermediate intracanal flush to nullify or eliminate NaOCl from the root canal before CHX is used to prevent orange-brown precipitate [13], [14].

Hence, this in vitro study was done to evaluate the effect of various intermediate irrigating solutions in the prevention of orange-brown precipitate formed due to alternative use of NaOCl and CHX root canal irrigants.
with the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference among the tested irrigants in the prevention of orange-brown precipitate.

Methodology

The sample size was calculated using statistical product and service solution (SPSS) version 21 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive quantitative data were expressed in proportion (percentage). Confidence interval is set at 95% and probability of alpha error set at 5%. Power of the study is set at 80%. The sample size was calculated with a total of 50 teeth which were further divided into five groups, 10 in each group.

Teeth which were free of caries, restoration, visible cracks, or other structural defects were included in the study and teeth with developmental anomalies, trauma dehydrated (brittle), and calcified canals were excluded from our study.

Fifty human permanent, intact, and mature maxillary anterior teeth with single canal, recently extracted were selected. After washing with distilled water and ultrasonic scaling, the specimens were immersed in 0.5% Chloramine T solution until use. The teeth were decoronated to obtain a standardized root length of 10 mm. Canal patency was evaluated using #10K file and teeth with canal obstructions were discarded. The actual length of each tooth was determined with #10K file, which was introduced into the canal until its tip emerged through the major apical foramen. The working length was established by subtracting 1 mm from the actual length. The apices of the specimens were sealed with wax to prevent extrusion of irrigating solutions. All the canals were prepared by the same operator using rotary ProTaper files (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) until F3 size. The canals were irrigated with 3% NaOCl between each instrumentation sequence. After complete mechanical instrumentation, all the samples were thoroughly flushed with 2.5 ml of 3% NaOCl. The samples were randomly allocated to five groups of 10 samples each based on the use of 2.5 ml of intermediate irrigating solution for 60 s:

Group 1 – No intermediary intracanal irrigant used (control group); Group 2 – Saline, Group 3 – 7% MA, Group 4 – 4% sodium thiosulfate, and Group 5 – 70% isopropyl alcohol. After that, all the group samples were irrigated with 2.5 ml of 2% CHX gluconate solution as final wash.

The exposed surfaces of the root canal were examined for the orange-brown precipitate using stereomicroscope (MAGNUS MS 24, India) at x10. The images were then transferred to a computer and evaluated.

Root samples of each group were examined at the coronal, middle, and apical third levels. The thickness of the precipitate was measured from its outer surface to the inner dentinal wall at a uniplanar level using Image Analysis System, Chroma System Pvt. Ltd., India.

Results

Overall intergroup comparison among tested irrigants for mean thickness of precipitate was done using ANOVA F test followed by Tukey’s post hoc to find intergroup pairwise multiple comparison between groups.

Although the precipitate was concentrated at all the level of the root canal, it was more significant at coronal and middle thirds followed by the apical third of the canals (Figure 1).

The mean thickness of the precipitate was maximum at the coronal, middle thirds, and minimum at the apical levels for all groups (Table 1 and Graph 1).

Table 1: Comparative statistics of mean precipitate in microns

| Groups | Cervical mean (SD) | Middle mean (SD) | Apical mean (SD) |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Group 1 | 888.9 (15.23)    | 422.3 (8.53)    | 250.0 (21.5)    |
| Group 2 | 383.3 (30.75)    | 252.6 (21.55)   | 71.42 (5.53)    |
| Group 3 | 124.3 (13.53)    | 70.59 (9.49)    | 22.4 (2.67)     |

ANOVA F test

| p value | significance |
|---------|--------------|
| p<0.001 | **           |

There was a statistically significant difference in the thickness of the precipitate formed by Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3 (p < 0.001). Intergroup pairwise comparison showed that the thickness of the precipitate was more in Group 1 compared to Group 2 and Group 3 at all the three levels which were statistically significant (p < 0.001) (Table 2).
As CHX is a dicaticonic acid (pH: 5.5–6.0) donates protons which are accepted by the basic NaOCl resulting in formation of insoluble neutral precipitate [6] which acts as a chemical smear layer and compromises the dentin permeability by occluding them in the coronal and middle thirds of the canal [7]. It affects the diffusion of intracanal medication. An additional interface between the sealer and the dentin also affects the obturation seal, especially with resin sealers, in which a hybrid layer is required [16]. Removing NaOCl by aspiration and paper points showed no significant reduction in precipitate formation as dentin and its tubules harbor enough residual NaOCl to react with the CHX, raising potential concerns [7]. Basrani et al. concluded that the formation and amount of PCA is directly related to the concentration of NaOCl, with 0.023% being the lowest concentration at which color change was observed and 0.19% minimum at which the precipitate formed [6].

Earlier studies have demonstrated the formation of precipitate by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (MS), X-ray photon spectroscopy (XPS), and time-of-flight secondary ion MS (TOF-SIMS). TOF-SIMS was used to determine the presence of PCA, but the amount was determined with the help of XPS [17].

Hence, intermediate irrigants are tried to completely remove or deactivate NaOCl in the root canal before other irrigants are used as final rinse.

In Group 2, wherein saline was used as intermediate irrigant also showed orange-brown precipitate which was significantly less (p < 0.001) compared to Group 1 at all the levels of root canal. The mean thickness of the precipitate was found to be 383.3 µ (cervical), 252.6 µ (middle), and 71.42 µ (apical third) of the root canal. This finding is in agreement with the previous study, wherein authors used an intermediate irrigant after NaOCl and before CHX such as saline, 50% citric acid, and 14% EDTA, to prevent the formation of PCA, but none of those prevented it [18].

In Group 3, wherein 7% MA was used as an intermediate irrigant showed sparse orange-brown precipitate which was significantly less (p < 0.001) when compared to Group 1 and Group 2 at all the levels of the root canal but could not completely eliminate its formation. The mean thickness of precipitate was found to be 124.3 µ (cervical), 70.59 µ (middle), and 22.4 µ (apical third) of root canal.

MA is used as a conditioner in dental adhesives [19]. For smear layer removal, it has been shown that 7% MA is significantly better than 17% EDTA [20]. Furthermore, 7% MA is less cytotoxic comparing 17% EDTA [21], [22]. A study was conducted to evaluate the interaction between MA and CHX by high-performance liquid chromatography and to evaluate the available chlorine content in NaOCl by the standard iodine/thiosulfate titration method. The authors concluded that there were no adverse interactions or
precipitate formation observed when MA was combined with CHX, but the available chlorine content was reduced when NaOCl was mixed with MA [23]. Hence, MA as intermediate irrigant is one option to reduce the formation of orange-brown precipitate.

In Group 4, wherein 70% isopropyl alcohol was used showed no precipitate in any of the sample. Isopropyl alcohol as an intermediate rinse between NaOCl and CHX has been employed to prevent the formation of the precipitate as it is volatile, tensioactive, and highly electronegative and can penetrate deep to remove the residual NaOCl from the canals. The findings of our study are in agreement with the previous studies [8] which concluded that isopropyl alcohol resulted in completely clean canals, whereas the use of saline or distilled water produced a sparse precipitate. The precipitate was present mainly in the coronal and middle thirds of the canals.

In Group 5, wherein 4% sodium thiosulfate was used as an intermediate irrigant showed no precipitate in any of the sample showed no precipitate in any of the sample.

The reduction in the formation of orange-brown precipitate in Group 5 (NaOCl + sodium thiosulfate + CHX) may be attributed to sodium thiosulfate’s neutralizing action against NaOCl which is in accordance with the study conducted by Radcliffe et al. where 1.93% sodium thiosulfate was found to be effective in neutralizing 0.5% NaOCl and 3.86% successfully neutralized the concentrations >1.0% NaOCl [12]. Therefore, the intermediate flushes play an important role in preventing the formation of orange-brown precipitate due to alternate use of NaOCl and CHX.

Finally, if the flocculate is formed, then acetic acid can be used to dissolve the precipitate [24].

Few studies replaced CHX with QMix and the mixture of QMix™ and NaOCl did not result in parachloroaniline formation [9].

Other strategies to prevent the formation of orange-brown precipitate are to use of alexidine instead of CHX which has better antibacterial action sequentially or in combination with NaOCl irrigation which saves time and additional step of using intermediate flushes [25].

Conclusion

Within the limitations of the present study:

1. An orange-brown precipitate was formed at all the levels of root canal when chlorhexidine irrigant was used after NaOCl.
2. The precipitate was more in the cervical third when compared to middle and apical third which was statistically significant.
3. Saline and 7% MA used as intermediate irrigant minimized the formation of orange-brown precipitate but could not completely eliminate it.
4. Isopropyl alcohol and sodium thiosulfate could able to completely eliminate orange-brown precipitate when used as intermediate irrigant between NaOCl and chlorhexidine.
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