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Abstract

**Objective:** To establish the clinical relevance of S-carboxymethylcysteine in the treatment of glue ear in children using measures approximating those saving a child from operation for grommet insertion.

**Data sources:** Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, reference lists and reviews were used for randomised controlled trials comparing S-carboxymethylcysteine with placebo. Seven trials involving 283 children and 146 ears were found.

**Review methods:** Studies were randomised, double-blind comparisons of S-carboxymethylcysteine (any dose and duration) with placebo in otitis media with effusion. Quality of trial reporting and validity of methods were assessed and used in sensitivity analysis. Main outcomes were relative benefit and number-needed-to-treat to prevent one grommet operation compared with placebo.

**Results:** Successful outcomes were obtained in 17% of children given placebo (range 5% to 38% in individual studies) and in 35% of children given S-carboxymethylcysteine (range 22 to 80%). For combined data (children and ears) the relative benefit was 2.0 (95%CI 1.4 to 2.8) and number-needed-to-treat 5.5 (95% confidence interval 3.8 to 9.8). Pooled data from trials of higher reporting quality (4/7) or methodological validity (3/7) tended to have lower efficacy but were not statistically different from those of lower quality or validity.

**Conclusion:** S-carboxymethylcysteine is effective in the treatment of children with glue ear. For every five or six children treated with S-carboxymethylcysteine over one to three months, one will not undergo surgery for grommet insertion who would have done had they been given placebo. The confidence in this conclusion is limited because studies included relatively few children.
Background
Glue ear is a common cause of hearing impairment in children, and was reviewed in an Effective Health Care Bulletin in 1992 [1]. It can result in a hearing loss (measured in decibels of hearing loss, dB HL) of 0 to 50 dB HL, with an average of 20 dB HL. This degree of hearing loss can turn normal speech into a whisper. Unilateral glue ear is less of a problem, but bilateral glue ear with significant hearing loss is commonly considered to pose developmental problems to children. Compared with watchful waiting, early surgery to introduce ventilation tubes significantly reduced behavioral problems nine months later [2]. Persistent bilateral hearing impairment of 25–30 dB HL is thought sufficient to justify surgery.

The epidemiology of glue ear has been extensively reviewed [1]. It is estimated that about 6% of two-year-olds have bilateral hearing impairment of at least 25 dB HL that persists for at least three months. Persistent bilateral glue ear of at least three months has a natural resolution rate of only 15% over 18 months [2] and 20–30% over two years [3,4].

The average rate of surgical treatment for glue ear in England is about 5/1000 children under 15 years, and it is the most common operation in children, with as many as 60,000 operations annually [4].

An overview [6] concluded that S-carboxymethylcysteine increased the resolution rate significantly. That review did not take into account methodological quality of trials, and the outcomes chosen were unclear. Moreover, the statistical results could not be confirmed by recalculating the numbers given. The aim of this review was to re-search the literature, add at least one new study, and apply methodological quality filters in a sensitivity analysis to test the strength of the conclusion that mucolytics are beneficial in watchful waiting for glue ear.

An additional aim was to generate not only a statistical output (whether S-carboxymethylcysteine was better than placebo), but also to try to establish how much better it was. This might be described as the therapeutic effort, measured by the number needed to treat (NNT). NNT is treatment specific. It describes the difference between active treatment and control in achieving a particular clinical outcome [7]. Low NNTs indicate high treatment-specific efficacy. An NNT of 1 says that a favourable outcome occurs in every patient given the treatment but in no patient in a comparator group. This would be the 'perfect' result in, say, a therapeutic trial of an antibiotic compared with placebo with a sensitive organism. NNTs of 2 to 5 are indicative of high efficacy (as, for instance, with analgesics in acute pain [8]).

Methods
We sought randomised comparisons of S-carboxymethylcysteine with placebo in children with otitis media with effusion. A number of databases, including the Cochrane Library (issue 2, 2001), MEDLINE (1996-March 2001), EMBASE (to August 2000) and PubMed (to June 2001) were searched using "S-carboxymethylcysteine", "Carboxy-cysteine", "S-CMC" and "mucodyne" as free text terms, and abstracts were then examined to see whether they had any prospect of qualifying. If they did, copies of the papers (any language) were obtained and read, and translated if necessary; the fate of all such papers is reported. The database of a pharmaceutical company (Rhone-Poulenc Rorer) was also examined for any published or unpublished studies. Reference lists of papers obtained and a previous overview [6] were also examined.

To be included, studies had to have been randomised comparisons of S-carboxymethylcysteine (any dose and duration) in otitis media with effusion in children aged under 16 years, to be full published papers, and to have a placebo comparison group. Abstracts were not considered. Authors were not contacted.

We were interested in outcomes (including adverse effects) for children, or failing that for individual ears, which could be interpreted as saving a child from an operation for grommet insertion. Ideally this would be a tympanogram type B becoming type A. Where this was not available (because several studies were quite old) we chose outcomes which would today influence the making of the clinical decision not to operate. Definitions used were:

- A normal tympanogram
- Reversion to normal audiometry
- Normal otoscopic findings
- Parental view (improvement/lack of problem).

For instance, in the earliest report [9] objective assessment was based on four factors – hearing levels for sound and speech, tuning fork tests, the appearance of the tympanic membranes and pure tone audiometry. At the final assessment children could have an outcome that was excellent (a normal history with no more than 10 decibels hearing loss at any frequency), improved (subjective improvement and improvement in one of the four objective criteria or improvement in three of the four objective factors), unchanged, or worse. Our judgement was that the top two categories constituted sufficient improvement to avoid surgery today. Fourteen of the 16
successes with carboxymethylcysteine and one of the three with placebo in this trial [9] were in the first of these categories. Similar judgements based on criteria used today to decide about surgery were made for the other papers. Contemporary practice is that children should have a history of deafness proven by audiology and a type B tympanogram, and should have undergone a period of watchful waiting before surgery to ensure that spontaneous resolution was unlikely.

Each report which could possibly be described as a randomised controlled trial was read independently by at least three authors (RAM, DC, GB) and scored using a commonly-used three item, 1–5 score, quality scale. [10] Consensus was then achieved. The maximum score of an included study was 5 and the minimum score was 2. Authors were not blinded because they already knew the literature. We also used a scale assessing trial validity (DC, GB)[11], in which the maximum score was 16. Where appropriate we adjusted the validity scale [11] to reflect current practice and to ensure clinical homogeneity. Analysis was by intention-to-treat where possible. For some studies which included children whose disease description would not be adequate for grommet insertion today, we extracted data only on those children where there was sufficient information to judge that an operation would be likely today (additional file 1).

Confidence intervals (95%) for single samples were calculated for proportions [12]. Relative benefit and relative risk estimates were calculated with 95% confidence intervals using a fixed effects model [13]. Heterogeneity tests were not used as they have previously been shown to be unhelpful [14], though homogeneity was examined visually [15]. Publication bias was not assessed using funnel plots as these tests have been shown to be unhelpful [16,17]. The number needed to treat (NNT) with confidence intervals was calculated by the method of Cook and Sackett [18] from the sum of all events and patients for treatment and placebo.

Relative benefit or risk was considered to be statistically significant when the 95% confidence interval did not include 1. NNT or NNH values were only calculated when the relative risk or benefit was statistically significant, and are reported with the 95% confidence interval. Statistical significance of any difference between numbers needed to treat was assumed if there was no overlap of the confidence intervals. Calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel 98 on a Power Macintosh G4.

Results
Twenty five reports were found and considered for this review. Nineteen studies were excluded for various reasons (additional file 2). Four were animal experiments, six were not randomised (including a study conducted post myringotomy), two were on postoperative children, four were letters with no data, two had no extractable data and one was a retrospective study. Seven published randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials met the inclusion criteria. Four studies [19–22] had information on affected children, while three [9,23,24] had information on affected ears. One study [9] included one adult and 45 children. Details of the seven included studies, together with entry criteria, dose and duration of treatment, outcomes and quality and validity scores are shown in (additional file 1).

Information was available for 283 children and 146 ears. S-carboxymethylcysteine was given as a syrup or linctus at various doses (sometimes age-related) for periods as little as 10 or 15 days [22,24], but other studies used it for between one and three months. Only two studies [9,22] individually showed statistical benefit of treatment over placebo (Figure 1). (additional file 3) has the number of events, relative benefits and NNT for each individual trial.

Figure 1
Relative benefit calculated for each individual trial (blue) and the combined data (red). The bars represent the limits of the 95% confidence interval of the relative benefit. Where this crosses 1, this indicates no statistically significant difference from placebo.

The L’Abbé plot for individual studies is shown in Figure 2. The only study below the line of equality [24] had extractable outcomes for Type B to Type A tympanograms available for only three children in the placebo group. We included this trial in order to be inclusive, and because it would have a small weight in the overall results.

Successful outcomes were obtained in 17% of children given placebo (range 5% to 38% in individual studies)
and in 35% of children given S-carboxymethylcysteine (range 22 to 80%). The numbers-needed-to-treat for children, ears, combined data and sensitivity analyses are shown in Table 1. All analyses showed statistical homogeneity, despite the low power of conventional tests to demonstrate this [14]. There was significant treatment effect, with similar magnitudes of effects for both children and ears. The combined data had a number-needed-to-treat of 5.5 (95% confidence interval 3.8 to 9.8). This means that for every five or six children treated with S-carboxymethylcysteine over one to three months, one will not undergo surgery for grommet insertion who would have done had they been given placebo.

Four studies had quality scores of 3 or more [9,19,20,24]. The number-needed-to-treat for these high quality studies was 6.7 (4.2 to 17). Three studies [19–21] had validity scores of 8 or more. These failed to reach statistical significance with a relative benefit of 1.5 (0.96 to 2.3) and a number-needed-to-treat of 8.5 (4.4 to 105). For four studies [9,19,22,23] intention-to-treat analysis was possible. The number-needed-to-treat for these studies was 5.1 (3.9 to 8.3); there was no statistical benefit in the three trials in which only per protocol data could be used.

Two trials [9,19] had more than 50 patients in the comparison, and in these the NNT was 6.3 (4.0 to 15). Confidence intervals of numbers-needed-to-treat of large and small trials, of trials of high and low quality, of high and low validity, and with intention-to-treat or per protocol analysis overlapped (Table 1).

Definite comments about adverse effects were reported in only three studies. [9,19,22] Only one adverse effect was recorded in a child given S-carboxymethylcysteine who had a rash and vomited.

**Discussion**

Glue ear in children is a significant cause or morbidity, in which hearing loss may affect development. Because glue ear resolves spontaneously in most children, watchful waiting has been recommended for up to six months before surgery [1], and this recommendation is now common practice. Variability in the success rates with placebo between 5% and 38% in these seven studies is likely to reflect different policies over a quarter century, as well as the random play of chance.

On average (Table 1) for every 100 children given placebo in the seven trials, 17 would have avoided surgery (95% confidence interval 11 to 23). That figure is similar to rates in contemporary British settings. In Dundee in the late 1980s, 33 of 191 (17%) of children referred for surgery resolved without surgical treatment [25]. In the placebo group of the large randomised study in Oxford in the late 1990s, the resolution rate without surgery was 13% [19], while in a watchful waiting arm of another large randomised study in Bristol 15% did not require surgery [2]. In terms of resolution rates, the pooled data in the meta-analysis resembled clinical practice.

Children who have not undergone a period of watchful waiting may be regarded as having a less severe form of the disease, and S-carboxymethylcysteine may be less effective where the disease was longer established. In the four trials in this meta-analysis with placebo resolution rates of 32–38% the number of children was small, at 3, 16, 19 and 20 respectively, contributing about a quarter of those given placebo. Disease severity is unlikely to confound the results, therefore.

On average (Table 1) for every 100 children given S-carboxymethylcysteine in the seven trials, 35 would have avoided surgery (95% confidence interval 29 to 41). There was a consistent benefit for use of S-carboxymethylcysteine with an overall relative benefit of 2.0 (1.4 to 2.8). One child in every five or six given S-carboxymethylcysteine for one to three months would be spared an operation for grommet insertion. Only one adverse effect was reported in these seven trials.
How confident can we be of this result? Clearly there is the potential for negative studies not to have been published, though no unpublished studies were found in searching a manufacturer’s database of trials. Extensive searching both here and in a previous review [6] using a different search strategy found the same studies. We excluded one study [26] from the previous review because it included children having myringotomy. Quality scores were 2 in three studies and 4 or 5 in four. We know that a quality score of 2 or less may be associated with over-estimation of treatment effects [27,28]. Here, both lower quality scores, lower validity scores, and trials with fewer than 50 children yielded non-significantly better estimates of effects (Table 1). Intention to treat analysis produced better results than per protocol analysis, in that per protocol analysis was not significantly different from placebo in three small studies.

Sensitivity analysis showed that choosing only studies of higher quality, higher validity, those that reported intention to treat results, or were larger made no appreciable difference to the overall conclusion. The proviso, though, is that for these sensitivity analyses the numbers of children or ears was small [29], and no definitive conclusions should be drawn other than the absence of gross effects.

Outcomes chosen by investigators over 25 years differed. We chose to use endpoints that would today be reliable indicators that a child could sensibly be spared an operation for grommet insertion. Re-analysis of the Pig- natoro review [6] was necessary because it did not choose sparing operation as an outcome, because we were unable to reconcile the data in that paper to the odds ratios given, because we wanted outputs in the form of number-needed-to-treat, and to add information from our own study [19].

### Table 1: Summary of results

| Results by:       | Number of studies | with S-CMC | with placebo | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|                   | Number/Total (%)  |            |              |                           |              |
| Children          | 4                 | 54/14 (38) | 30/140 (21)  | 1.7 (1.2 to 2.4)          | 6.1 (3.7 to 17) |
| Ears              | 3                 | 23/77 (30) | 5/69 (7)     | 3.8 (1.6 to 9.1)          | 4.4 (2.9 to 9.3) |
| Combined          | 7                 | 77/220 (35)| 35/209 (17)  | 2.0 (1.4 to 2.8)          | 5.5 (3.8 to 9.8) |

| Sensitivity analysis (ears or children) | Number of studies | with S-CMC | with placebo | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Quality score ≥3                      | 4                 | 44/153     | 21/153       | 2.1 (1.3 to 3.3)          | 6.7 (4.2 to 17) |
| Quality score ≤2                      | 3                 | 33/67      | 14/56        | 1.9 (1.2 to 3.1)          | 4.1 (2.5 to 13) |
| Validity score >8                     | 3                 | 38/123     | 23/120       | 1.5 (0.96 to 2.3)         | 8.5 (4.4 to 105) |
| Validity score ≤7                     | 4                 | 39/97      | 12/89        | 3.0 (1.7 to 5.1)          | 3.7 (2.6 to 6.8) |
| Intention-to-treat                    | 4                 | 53/164     | 22/171       | 2.5 (1.6 to 3.9)          | 5.1 (3.6 to 9.3) |
| Per protocol                          | 3                 | 24/56      | 13/38        | 1.3 (0.75 to 2.2)         | 11.6 (3.5 to -8.9) |
| More than 50 in total                 | 2                 | 33/124     | 14/131       | 2.5 (1.4 to 4.5)          | 6.3 (4.0 to 15) |
| Fewer than 50 total                   | 5                 | 44/96      | 21/78        | 1.7 (1.2 to 2.6)          | 5.3 (3.0 to 20) |
There is a clear indication for a large randomised trial

Conclusions
There is a clear indication for a large randomised trial carried out in real-world circumstances.
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