Longitudinal development of incident gout from low-normal baseline serum urate concentrations: individual participant data analysis
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Abstract

Introduction: Elevated serum urate (SU) concentration is the central risk factor for the development of gout. The aim of this study was to examine the incidence of gout in people with low and normal SU levels (< 7.00 mg/dL).

Methods: Longitudinal cohort data from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC), Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study (CARDIA), and both the Original and Offspring cohorts of the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) were used to determine incident gout by baseline SU over 3, 5, 10, 12 and 15 year periods. A Cox proportional hazards model with covariables of age, gender, ethnicity, and cohort was calculated to report the hazard ratios (HR) for incident gout.

Results: The incidence of gout at 15 years for a baseline SU < 4.00 mg/dL was 0.59%, 4.00 – 4.49 mg/dL was 1.28%, 4.50 – 4.99 mg/dL was 0.86%, 5.00 – 5.49 mg/dL was 0.94%, 5.50 – 5.99 mg/dL was 1.52%, 6.00 – 6.49 mg/dL was 2.91%, 6.50 – 6.99 mg/dL was 3.2%, and > 7.00 mg/dL was 12.2%. In an adjusted Cox proportional hazards model, compared to the referent baseline SU < 4.00 mg/dL, there was a non-significant increase in incident gout for baseline SU bands between 4.00 – 5.49 mg/dL, whereas incident gout was significantly increased for SU 5.50 – 5.99 mg/dL (HR 2.60), 6.00 – 6.49 mg/dL (HR 3.70), 6.50 – 6.99 mg/dL (HR 5.24) and > 7.00 mg/dL (HR 18.62).

Conclusion: A baseline SU of 5.50 mg/dL or more is a risk factor for development of gout over 15 years. However, incident gout does occur over time in a small proportion of people with lower baseline SU levels.
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Many longitudinal observational studies have demonstrated that the risk of developing gout is strongly related to serum urate level, with the incidence of gout increasing with higher serum urate levels [1–5]. For example, the Normative Aging Study reported that the incidence of gout with a serum urate < 6.0 mg/dL was 0.8/1000 years, increasing to 4.1/1000 years with a serum urate of 7.0–7.9, and rising dramatically to 70.2/1000 years in those with a serum urate ≥10 mg/dL [1]. Modifiable and non-modifiable factors influence serum urate including body weight, medications, genetics, systemic inflammation, and to a small degree diet [6–12]. Dietary and genetic factors may interact and modify risk further [13, 14]. Current guidelines almost universally recommend a treatment target of < 6.00 mg/dL for the
treatment of gout [15]. While direct evidence of the validity of this particular target is lacking it is known that reducing serum urate below this level reduces gout flares over time [16].

While previous studies have shown the relationship between elevated serum urate and incident gout, the incidence of gout over a prolonged follow-up period is not well described for people with low to normal serum urate [1–4]. The concept of ‘low’ and ‘normal’ serum urate depends on the clinical context. Values for these concepts are not defined and is relative to both gender and co-morbidities, for example kidney function. Exploring the incidence of gout for a wide range of serum urate levels is important to understand the risk of gout for the majority of the population, who do not have elevated serum urate levels.

The aim of this study was to define the rate of incident clinically evident gout in those with low and normal serum urate levels (<7.00 mg/dL).

Methods

Inclusion

The cohorts and participant characteristics from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC), Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study (CARDIA), and both the Original and Offspring cohorts of the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) have been described previously [3]. Briefly, longitudinal observational cohort studies with (a) publicly available individual-level data, (b) incident gout data available and (c) clinically evident gout defined using recognised classification criteria, or doctor diagnosis, or participant self-report of disease, or self-report of doctor diagnosis, and (d) serum urate measured prior to assessment for incident gout; and (e) a minimum follow-up period of 3 years were included [17]. Database of Genotype and Phenotype project #834 (The genetic basis of gout) was utilised to access individual participant-level data.

Outcomes

The outcome of the study was incident gout. For all the included studies baseline data was taken as the first study visit where there was information about serum urate and the presence or absence of gout. Participants with baseline gout were excluded so the analysis time period in each study started with all participants without gout. The diagnosis of gout was then progressively recorded when it occurred in the participants of each study. The actual date was used preferably but if not the timepoint when it was next recorded. Then the incidence of gout was calculated over time for the timepoints 3 years, 5 years, 10 years, 12 years and 15 years. The number of incident cases was calculated at each timepoint and then the cumulative incidence as a percentage was also calculated.

Analyses

The upper limit of baseline serum urate for analysis was selected as 7.00 mg/dL, as this value is frequently used to defined hyperuricaemia in research settings [18]. This value is also close to the crystallization point of urate at temperature 37 °C in laboratory studies (~6.8 mg/dL) [19]. Cox proportional hazards (CPH) models were constructed using <4.00 mg/dL as the referent baseline serum urate level. The serum urate bands of 0.50 mg/dL were constructed up to and including 7.00 mg/dL, and then an additional category greater than 7.00 mg/dL was also added as a comparator. The CPH models assessed the incidence of gout at any time during follow-up. The CPH models were built with the covariables age, ethnicity and cohort, in addition to sex for the entire cohort analysis. The CPH models were completed in all participants, males, females, and in exploratory analysis, the female group was divided into a ≤50 years of age at the start of the observation period and >50 years of age at the start of the observation period to take into account the increase in serum urate that occurs around the time of menopause [20]. To provide a widely useable tool for modelling in subsequent projects models were constructed to fit the data. Dependent on the distribution either a linear or an exponential model was created to provide a best fit for the underlying data at each time point: 3, 5, 10, 12 and 15 years. No imputation of missing data was used. All analyses were undertaken using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences V.24.0 software.

Ethical approval

All participants gave written informed consent. The component studies (ARIC, FHS, CARDIA) had separate IRB approvals, described at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap. This study was approved by the University of Otago Human Health Ethics Committee.

Results

Baseline serum urate levels

The assembled cohort included 18,889 participants who were gout-free at baseline, with mean (SD) 11.2 (4.2) years and 212,363 total participant-years of follow-up (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). There were 11, 667/18,889 (61.8%) participants with a baseline serum urate < 6.00 mg/dL, and 15,669/18,889 (83.0%) participants with a baseline serum urate < 7.00 mg/dL. Baseline serum urate levels were lower in females than males; 8386/10,609 (78%) females and 3261/8280 (39%) males had a baseline serum urate of < 6.00 mg/L, and 9763/10,
Table 1 Baseline data of included participants

|                | N    | Mean (SD) Age at Baseline | Ethnicity: White or Caucasian | Ethnicity: Other | Mean (SD) Urate (mg/dL) |
|----------------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| ARIC (Male)    | 4558 | 54.3 (5.7)                | 3770 (82.7)                 | 788 (17.3)      | 6.6 (1.3)              |
| ARIC (Female)  | 5814 | 53.6 (5.6)                | 4433 (76.2)                 | 1381 (23.8)     | 5.4 (1.3)              |
| ARIC (Total)   | 10,372 | 53.9 (5.7)             | 8203 (79.1)                 | 2169 (20.9)     | 5.9 (1.5)              |
| CARDIA (Male)  | 1520 | 25.0 (3.6)                | 870 (57.2)                  | 650 (42.8)      | 6.2 (1.2)              |
| CARDIA (Female)| 1942 | 25.1 (3.7)                | 1001 (51.5)                 | 941 (48.5)      | 4.5 (1.0)              |
| CARDIA (Total) | 3462 | 25.1 (3.6)                | 1871 (54)                   | 1591 (46)       | 5.2 (1.3)              |
| FHS Original (Male) | 1027 | 41.6 (9.3)               | 1012 (98.5)                 | 15 (1.5)        | 5.9 (1.2)              |
| FHS Original (Female) | 1223 | 42.1 (9.1)              | 1204 (98.4)                 | 19 (1.6)        | 4.2 (1.0)              |
| FHS Original (Total) | 2250 | 41.9 (9.2)              | 2216 (98.5)                 | 34 (1.5)        | 4.9 (1.3)              |
| FHS Offspring (Male) | 1175 | 65.3 (7.6)               | 1175 (100)                  | 0 (0)           | 5.9 (1.2)              |
| FHS Offspring (Female) | 1630 | 66.3 (7.9)              | 1630 (100)                  | 0 (0)           | 4.9 (1.3)              |
| FHS Offspring (Total) | 2805 | 65.9 (7.8)              | 2805 (100)                  | 0 (0)           | 5.2 (1.3)              |
| Overall (Male) | 8280 | 48.9 (14.3)              | 6827 (82.5)                 | 1453 (17.5)     | 6.4 (1.3)              |
| Overall (Female) | 10,609 | 49 (14.4)              | 8268 (77.9)                 | 2341 (22.1)     | 5.0 (1.3)              |
| Overall (Total) | 18,889 | 49 (14.3)              | 15,095 (79.9)               | 3794 (20.1)     | 5.5 (1.5)              |

Abbreviation: SD Standard deviation

Table 2 Raw incidence of gout at timepoints 3, 5, 10, 12 and 15 years of follow-up in the cohort in combined cohort, males and females

| Serum urate (mg/dL) | N    | 3 Years | 5 Years | 10 Years | 12 Years | 15 Years |
|---------------------|------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| All                 |      |         |         |          |          |          |
| < 4.00              | 2490 | 0.08%   | 0.20%   | 0.46%    | 0.52%    | 0.59%    |
| 4.00–4.49           | 1896 | 0.27%   | 0.32%   | 0.71%    | 0.94%    | 1.28%    |
| 4.50–4.99           | 2383 | 0.13%   | 0.30%   | 0.65%    | 0.75%    | 0.86%    |
| 5.00–5.49           | 2535 | 0.16%   | 0.24%   | 0.83%    | 0.94%    | 0.94%    |
| 5.50–5.99           | 2363 | 0.13%   | 0.34%   | 0.93%    | 1.27%    | 1.52%    |
| 6.00–6.49           | 2192 | 0.28%   | 0.51%   | 1.33%    | 1.73%    | 2.91%    |
| 6.50–6.99           | 1810 | 0.22%   | 0.45%   | 1.96%    | 2.81%    | 3.20%    |
| ≥7.00               | 3220 | 1.44%   | 3.41%   | 7.95%    | 10.44%   | 12.22%   |
| Males               |      |         |         |          |          |          |
| < 4.00              | 202  | 0.53%   | 1.61%   | 2.25%    | 2.25%    | 2.25%    |
| 4.00–4.49           | 291  | 0.00%   | 0.35%   | 1.09%    | 1.74%    | 2.41%    |
| 4.50–4.99           | 594  | 0.51%   | 0.51%   | 1.06%    | 1.06%    | 1.41%    |
| 5.00–5.49           | 968  | 1.41%   | 1.41%   | 0.57%    | 0.80%    | 0.80%    |
| 5.50–5.99           | 1226 | 0.17%   | 0.42%   | 0.93%    | 1.31%    | 1.71%    |
| 6.00–6.49           | 1365 | 0.37%   | 0.74%   | 1.44%    | 1.80%    | 3.35%    |
| 6.50–6.99           | 1260 | 0.32%   | 0.56%   | 1.97%    | 3.03%    | 3.50%    |
| ≥7.00               | 2374 | 1.66%   | 3.80%   | 7.87%    | 9.84%    | 11.38%   |
| Females             |      |         |         |          |          |          |
| < 4.00              | 2288 | 0.04%   | 0.09%   | 0.32%    | 0.38%    | 0.45%    |
| 4.00–4.49           | 1605 | 0.25%   | 0.32%   | 0.64%    | 0.78%    | 1.06%    |
| 4.50–4.99           | 1789 | 2.31%   | 0.23%   | 0.52%    | 0.66%    | 0.66%    |
| 5.00–5.49           | 1567 | 0.26%   | 0.39%   | 0.99%    | 0.99%    | 0.99%    |
| 5.50–5.99           | 1137 | 0.09%   | 0.27%   | 0.92%    | 1.21%    | 1.21%    |
| 6.00–6.49           | 827  | 0.12%   | 0.12%   | 1.15%    | 1.72%    | 1.72%    |
| 6.50–6.99           | 550  | 2.44%   | 0.19%   | 1.97%    | 1.97%    | 1.97%    |
| ≥7.00               | 846  | 0.84%   | 2.30%   | 8.19%    | 13.06%   | 16.45%   |
609 (92%) females and 5906/8280 (71%) male had a baseline serum urate < 7.00 mg/dL.

**Gout incidence**

As previously reported [3], the cumulative incidence of gout by 15 years was 3.2% (95% CI, 2.8 to 3.6%) in the entire cohort, with higher cumulative incidence in males than females; 4.94% (95% CI, 4.34 to 5.54%) in males and 1.90% (95% CI, 1.58 to 2.2%) in females. In the entire cohort, for the time periods 3 years, 5 years, 10 years and 12 years there was no clear pattern of increase in incident gout until 6 mg/dL, and then incidence clearly increased from the lower urate levels and dramatically increased in the > 7 mg/dL band (Table 2). The incidence of gout at 15 years with a baseline serum urate < 4.00 mg/dL was 0.59% (Table 2). For subsequent urate bands between 4.00 mg/dL to 5.99 mg/dL, there was a largely static incidence of gout. For participants with baseline serum urate of 6.00 mg/dL to 7.00 mg/dL the incidence rose more sharply to 2.9% for 6.00–6.49 mg/dL and 3.2% for participants with baseline serum urate of 6.50–6.99 mg/dL. For participants with baseline serum urate > 7.00 mg/dL, the 15 year incidence was 12.2%. This pattern was also observed in the separate analyses of males and females (Table 2), as well as in females > 50 years old at the start of the observation period (Supplementary Table 2).

**Cox proportional hazards models**

In the entire cohort, compared to the referent baseline serum urate < 4.00 mg/dL, there was no significant increased risk of incident gout for baseline serum urate bands up to 5.49 mg/dL. However, the 4.00–4.49 group had a hazard ratio of 1.96 with a 95% CI of 1.00–3.83, suggesting a trend to increased gout in this urate band. Incident gout risk was significantly increased in those with baseline serum urate 5.50–5.99 mg/dL (HR 2.60), 6.00–6.50 mg/dL (HR 3.70), 6.50–6.99 mg/dL (HR 5.24) and > 7.00 mg/dL (HR 18.62) (Table 3). The full model adjusted with covariables and unadjusted is shown in Supplementary Table 3.

In males, compared to the referent baseline serum urate < 4.00 mg/dL, there was no clear increased risk in gout incidence for serum urate bands between 4.00 mg/dL/ Table 3 Cox proportional hazards models for the entire group, males, and females (adjusted for the following covariates: age, ethnicity and original cohort, in addition to gender for the entire group analysis)

| Serum urate Referant < 4.0 mg/dL | Beta  | SE  | P     | Hazard ratio | 95% Lower CI | 95% Upper CI |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| All                              |       |     |       |              |              |              |
| 4.0–4.49 mg/dL                   | 0.671 | 0.343| 0.050 | 1.96         | 1.00         | 3.83         |
| 4.5–4.99 mg/dL                   | 0.357 | 0.347| 0.034 | 1.43         | 0.72         | 2.82         |
| 5.0–5.49 mg/dL                   | 0.502 | 0.335| 0.134 | 1.65         | 0.86         | 3.19         |
| 5.5–5.99 mg/dL                   | 0.957 | 0.317| 0.003 | 2.60         | 1.40         | 4.85         |
| 6.0–6.49 mg/dL                   | 1.308 | 0.308| 0.000 | 3.70         | 2.02         | 6.77         |
| 6.5–6.99 mg/dL                   | 1.657 | 0.305| 0.000 | 5.24         | 2.88         | 9.53         |
| ≥7.00 mg/dL                      | 2.924 | 0.279| 0.000 | 18.62        | 10.78        | 32.15        |
| Male                             |       |     |       |              |              |              |
| 4.0–4.49 mg/dL                   | 0.068 | 0.646| 0.916 | 1.07         | 0.30         | 3.80         |
| 4.5–4.99 mg/dL                   | −0.381| 0.627| 0.543 | 0.68         | 0.20         | 2.33         |
| 5.0–5.49 mg/dL                   | −0.767| 0.628| 0.222 | 0.46         | 0.14         | 1.59         |
| 5.5–5.99 mg/dL                   | 0.119 | 0.551| 0.829 | 1.13         | 0.38         | 3.32         |
| 6.0–6.49 mg/dL                   | 0.593 | 0.532| 0.264 | 1.81         | 0.64         | 5.13         |
| 6.5–6.99 mg/dL                   | 0.922 | 0.528| 0.081 | 2.51         | 0.89         | 7.07         |
| ≥7.00 mg/dL                      | 2.105 | 0.508| 0.000 | 8.21         | 3.04         | 22.20        |
| Female                           |       |     |       |              |              |              |
| 4.0–4.49 mg/dL                   | 0.649 | 0.406| 0.110 | 1.91         | 0.86         | 4.25         |
| 4.5–4.99 mg/dL                   | 0.369 | 0.422| 0.381 | 1.45         | 0.63         | 3.31         |
| 5.0–5.49 mg/dL                   | 0.819 | 0.399| 0.040 | 2.27         | 1.04         | 4.95         |
| 5.5–5.99 mg/dL                   | 0.981 | 0.412| 0.017 | 2.67         | 1.19         | 5.98         |
| 6.0–6.49 mg/dL                   | 1.052 | 0.438| 0.016 | 2.86         | 1.21         | 6.76         |
| 6.5–6.99 mg/dL                   | 1.422 | 0.439| 0.001 | 4.14         | 1.75         | 9.80         |
| ≥7.00 mg/dL                      | 2.958 | 0.345| 0.000 | 19.27        | 9.80         | 37.87        |

Abbreviations: SE Standard error, CI Confidence Interval
dL and 5.99 mg/dL (Table 3). There was a non-
significant increased risk for serum urate bands between
6.00 mg/dL and 6.99 mg/dL, and significantly higher risk
for serum urate > 7.00 mg/dL. In contrast, for females,
compared to the referent baseline serum urate < 4.00
mg/dL, virtually all of the serum urate bands had an in-
creased risk, although not all were statistically significant
(Table 3). In all females, there was a significant increased
risk of incident gout for baseline serum urate from
5.00–5.49 mg/dL. A similar pattern was observed for fe-
males who were > 50 years old at the start of the obser-
vation period, although for females ≤50 years of age, the
risk of gout increased at a higher serum urate band
(Supplementary Table 4).

Best fit models at each time point
The relationship between baseline serum urate and inci-
dent gout in the early follow-up periods of three and 5
years was linear (see Eq. 1). However, an exponential
component was required to best construct the 10, 12
and 15 year timepoints (see Eq. 2). The raw incidence
figures and the incidence as predicted by the models is
shown in Fig. 1. Model parameters for each timepoint in
the combined gender group are shown in Supplementary
Table 5.

\[ I = a + bSU \]  

Eq. 1

Where \( I \) is incidence, \( a \) is the intercept, \( b \) is the slope
and \( SU \) is serum urate. The model used for incident
gout at the 10, 12 and 15 year time points are shown in
Eq. 2.

\[ I = \exp(a + bSU) \]  

Eq. 2

Discussion
This longitudinal analysis of individual participant data
shows that people with a baseline serum urate below the
laboratory crystallization point of urate at temperature
37 °C can develop clinically evident gout over an ex-
tended observation time. While an elevated serum urate
>7.00 mg/dL is associated with substantially in-
creased risk of incident gout, gout develops in 0.59–3.2%
of those with lower serum urate levels (< 7.00 mg/dL).
Given that the large majority of the population do not

---

**Fig. 1** The incidence of gout observed in the cohort and the model predicted incidence (see methods) over each time period; a: 3 year, b: 5 year,
c: 10 year, d: 12 year and e: 15 year
have serum urate levels > 7.00 mg/dL (even in contemporary obesogenic environments [18]), these findings have significance when considering population risk of gout.

The CPH analysis of the entire cohort (which adjusted for sex within the model) demonstrated that serum urate > 5.50 mg/dL is a risk factor for development of clinically evident gout over 15 years, compared with the referent baseline serum urate < 4.00 mg/dL. We did observe differences in the patterns of risk according to baseline serum urate band in males and females; in males there was higher risk for serum urate level bands between 6.00 mg/dL and 6.99 mg/dL, and significantly higher risk for serum urate > 7.00 mg/dL. In contrast, for females, virtually all of the serum urate level bands had an increased risk. The reason for this is unclear, but could reflect fundamental differences in the pathogenesis of gout between men and women, at least at lower urate levels. The difference seen in the female groups (less than and greater than 50 years of age) may well be related to menopausal status [20]. Estrogen is uricosuric and the loss of estrogen after menopause may explain the change in incident gout risk seen between the two female age groups [21].

The strengths of this data set include the large number of participants and the long follow-up time of 15 years. Limitations include analysis of a single serum urate level, which may not reflect testing in clinical practice. We did not include longitudinal medical information like kidney function, body mass index or medication use as we wanted to design models that could be used to provide an estimate of future incident gout without knowing what future changes in health might occur. The absence of covariates such as obesity and diuretic use mean that the model is not as accurate as it could have been. Many co-morbidities which impact on serum urate such as obesity, impaired kidney function and the metabolic syndrome are inter-related further complicating the construction of an accurate model. Although serum urate is generally a stable analyte, urate can change over time, particularly in the setting of changes in kidney function, medications, body weight, and post-menopause [9, 22, 23]. There was also variation in the ascertainment of gout across the cohorts, including some cohorts using self-report gout and so it is possible that incident gout may have been misclassified in some participants. However, the definitions of gout used in this study have equivalent accuracy compared to microscopically proven gout for use in epidemiological studies [24]. We were unable to adjust for competing risk of death in our analyses. The disconnect between the prevalence of hyperuricaemia (~20–25%) and incident gout (~5%) is an area which requires further investigation to identify other factors that promote clinically evident gout.

Conclusions
In summary, although baseline serum urate of 5.50 mg/dL or more is a risk factor for development of gout, incident gout does occur over time in a small proportion of people with lower baseline serum urate levels. These data will enable the estimation of the effect of public health interventions to lower serum urate on the risk of subsequent incident gout.
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