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Abstract

The September 12, 2016 Gyeongju earthquakes ($M_L = 5.1$ of foreshock and $M_L = 5.8$ of mainshock) had significant effects on groundwater systems along the Yangsan Fault System composed of NNE-trending, right-lateral strike-slip faults in Korea. Hydrological changes induced by the earthquakes are important because no surface ruptures have been reported and few earthquakes usually occur in Korea. The main objective of this research was to propose a conceptual model interpreting the possible mechanisms of groundwater response to the earthquakes based on anomalous hydrogeochemical data including isotope concentrations with lithostratigraphic classification. For this, annual monitoring data (groundwater level, temperature, and electrical conductivity) and collected data (hydrochemical parameters, radon-222, and strontium isotopes) were used. Groundwater level anomalies could be attributed to the movement of the epicentral strike-slip fault. Radon concentration data showed the potential of groundwater mixing processes. Strontium anomalies could be related to the lithology and stratigraphy of the bedrock, reflecting the effect of water–rock interaction. Using a Self-Organizing Map (SOM) statistical analysis, associations of hydro-geochemical characteristics among groundwater wells were interpreted. By combining the grouped results of the SOM with lithostratigraphic unit data, 21 groundwater wells were classified into four groups, each corresponding to different hydrogeological behaviors. A new comprehensive conceptual model was developed to explain possible mechanisms for the hydrological and geochemical responses in each group, which have been respectively identified as water–rock
interaction, mixing of shallow and deep aquifers via sea water intrusion, bedrock fracture opening related to strike-slip fault movement, and no response.
Earthquakes have a great influence on groundwater hydrology, such as water table changes and hydrochemical anomalies. Typically, most studies have focused on earthquake forecasting, i.e. changes prior to earthquakes, or co-seismic behavior. There have been a limited number of studies that discuss the responses of groundwater systems, especially, focused on the hydrogeologic changes after earthquakes (Adinolfi Falcone et al., 2012; Amoruso et al., 2011; Barberio et al., 2017; Claesson et al., 2007; Ekemen Keskin, 2010; Galassi et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013; Matsumoto et al., 2003; Petitta et al., 2018; Wang and Manga, 2010; Wang et al., 2012; Yechieli and Bein, 2002). Seismic waves, for example, are known to cause changes in water level, temperature, and geochemistry (Matsumoto et al., 2003; Roeloffs et al., 2003; Roeloffs, 1998; Shi et al., 2015; Adinolfi Falcone et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). Seismicity can also cause abrupt changes or have long-term effects on the environment, particularly, groundwater systems. Hydrological responses to seismicity depend on several factors such as the earthquake magnitude, distance from the epicenter, the chemical and physical properties of the water, geological structures, permeability, and the pore pressure of rocks (Ekemen Keskin, 2010; Hartmann and Levy, 2005; Petitta et al., 2018).

For example, Ekemen Keskin (2010) stated that the observed changes in aquifers could be explained using a dilatancy-fluid model; the response to earthquakes could be attributed to the changes in the water mixing ratio because of aquifer permeability, pore pressure, and flow path. Moreover, locally heterogeneous responses of groundwater have been observed and
associated with the dominant lithology and mineralogy of bedrocks (Frape et al., 1984; Shand et al., 2009; Kim et al., 1996), local degree of deformation (Fitz-Diaz et al., 2011), or fracture networks allowing groundwater flow (Gray et al., 1991). Some studies also have proposed some conceptual models for describing the aquifer responses to earthquakes by analyzing hydraulic properties (Adinolfi Falcone et al., 2012; Amoruso and Crescentini, 2010; Amoruso et al., 2011; Barberio et al., 2017; Manga, 2001; Roeloffs, 1998; Tokunaga, 1999).

On September 12, 2016, two earthquakes (M_L = 5.1 and M_L = 5.8, respectively) occurred in Gyeongju, in the southeastern part of the Korean Peninsula (Korea Meteorological Administration). The mainshock of the Gyeongju events was recorded as the largest earthquake in Korea since instrumental seismic monitoring started in Korea in 1978. The source mechanism of the Gyeongju earthquakes displayed strike-slip movement of a branch of the Yangsan Fault (YSF) passing through the Gyeongju area (Kim et al., 2017b; Kim et al., 2016). Slip analysis and earthquake focal mechanism solutions have shown that the YSF is under a regional compressional stress field which might be a result of the continental collision of the Pacific, Eurasian, and Indian plates (Jiang et al., 2016; Park et al., 2007; Park et al., 2006; Zoback, 1992). Gyeongju area is spatially close to the YSF, thus the Gyeongju events might allow more detailed studies about characteristics of the YSF and its branch faults, including groundwater responses after earthquakes.

The earthquake-related indicators in hydrogeology generally include (i) groundwater level,
(ii) temperature, (iii) hydrochemistry, and (iv) isotope concentrations. Groundwater level monitoring has been broadly used to identify pre-, co-, and post- earthquake changes (Ben-Zion and Aki, 1990; Brodsky, 2003; Manga et al., 2012; Roeloffs, 1998; Shi et al., 2015; Wang and Manga, 2010). Seismic waves have been known to affect the groundwater level via oscillations and permanent offsets. Temperature changes are commonly analyzed using heat transport modeling (Ekemen Keskin, 2010; Wang et al., 2012).

In this study, groundwater chemistry, major elements, and some physical-chemical parameters (pH, EC, and temperature) were monitored. Among the isotopes, oxygen, hydrogen, and radon-222 were analyzed to determine the effects of the earthquake on groundwater. Radon-222, particularly, has been generally monitored as an earthquake precursor sampled in water or air (Igarashi et al., 1995; King, 1978; Liu et al., 1984; Noguchi and Wakita, 1977; Roeloffs, 1999; Teng, 1980; Wakita et al., 1980). Radon-222 is a radioactive nuclide with a half-life of approximately 3.8 days. It is produced from radium-226 in the natural radioactive decay chain of uranium-228; thus, its concentration is proportional to the uranium concentration in adjacent rocks. The transport of radon is influenced by fluid advection, diffusion, partition between the liquid and gas phases, and radioactive decay. The radon concentration in groundwater is dependent on the specific surface of the rocks (Hoehn and Von Gunten, 1989; Torgersen et al., 1990). Because the specific surface can be affected by earthquakes, the radon concentration can increase or decrease. Radon-222 also shows significant anomalies at fault zones prior to earthquakes (Ghosh et al., 2009; Walia et al.,
However, a few studies have delineated the response of radon concentration to earthquakes (Adinolfi Falcone et al., 2012; Igarashi et al., 1993; Igarashi and Wakita, 1990). Strontium isotopes have been used in only a few earthquake-related papers. Strontium isotopes are useful tracers for groundwater origin and water–rock mixing processes because $^{87}\text{Sr}$ is the daughter product of the natural decay of radioactive $^{87}\text{Rb}$ (half-life = 48.9 Ga). The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the bedrock aquifers were different according to rock types of bedrock (Frape et al., 1984; Frost and Toner, 2004; Négrel et al., 2004; Shand et al., 2009). Thus, strontium isotopes in groundwater can also reveal significant post-earthquake anomalies at fault zones according to bedrock type.

The main objective of this study was to identify hydrogeochemical changes related to the Gyeongju earthquake and then suggest a conceptual model of the response of the groundwater systems to the earthquake using the grouping results. In accordance with this objective, major research results were achieved via (i) performing a correlation and cluster analysis of hydrochemical parameters with geological characteristics using the SOM approach; (ii) analyzing pre-, co-, and post-seismic changes in groundwater level, temperature, and EC; and (iii) interpreting the results of isotopes (radon and strontium) sampled following the earthquake based on the grouping. These results could help to provide the possible mechanisms of groundwater changes induced by the earthquake. The overview of this research is shown in Fig. 1.
2. Study area

The Gyeongju earthquake sequence started with a foreshock ($M_L = 5.1$) at 10:44:32 UTC, on September 12, 2016, and the mainshock ($M_L = 5.8$) occurred at 11:32:55 UTC (Korea Meteorological Administration). During the first 10 days following the mainshock, more than 120 earthquakes of $M_L \geq 2.0$ were recorded in the epicentral region. The earthquakes, including the mainshock and strong aftershocks ($M_L \geq 3.5$) are listed in Table 1.

The Korean Peninsula is composed of three major Precambrian massifs: the Nangrim, Gyeonggi, and Youngnam from north to the south. The Gyeongsang Basin is the northern part of the Youngnam massif and the Yangsan Fault System has developed in the eastern part of the Gyeongsang Basin. The Yangsan Fault System is a group of NNE-trending major strike-slip faults. The Gyeongju earthquake and its abundant aftershocks occurred near the YSF (Fig. 2a), which has a linear expression for approximately 200 km, and is the longest major fault of the Yangsan Fault System (Kyung and Lee, 2006). The displacement of the fault varies between 21 km and 35 km depending on the location, and the arrangement of the granitic rocks in this area indicates Cenozoic dextral strike-slip of 21.3 km in the N 20° E direction along the YSF line (Hwang et al., 2004; Hwang et al., 2007).
Since Lee and Na (1983) first suggested that a Quaternary reactivation of the Yangsan Fault System could be possible, a number of seismic, geological, and geophysical studies have proved its seismic activation (Kyung and Lee, 1999; Kyung and Lee, 2006; Lee and Jin, 1991). The Gyeongju area has been subject to most of the large historical earthquakes that have occurred in Korea. Initial movement on the YSF was recorded to have occurred before 45 Ma, based on radiometric dating of volcanic rocks (Chang et al., 1990). Age dating using the accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) method indicates late Quaternary movement of the YSF between 2,400 and 2,000 yrs BP and an average vertical slip rate of approximately 0.04–0.05 mm/yr (Kyung and Chang, 2001). Recent measurement of the vertical slip rate of YSF reported less than 0.1 mm/yr on average (0.02–0.07 mm/yr in the southern part and 0.03–0.05 mm/yr in the northern part) (Kyung, 2003; Kyung and Lee, 2006), indicating that the YSF has been seismically active.

Paleo-stress analyses have noted that the stress regime of the YSF has changed more than three times (Kang and Ryoo, 2009; Kim et al., 1996), and during the Quaternary the ENE-WSW maximum compression is in agreement with the first-order stress field in east Asia (Chang et al., 2010; Heidbach et al., 2010; Zoback, 1992). Trench analysis of the Yugye Fault, the youngest Quaternary fault in the northern part of the YSF, also yielded a NW-SE or WNW-ENE compressional local maximum principal stress (Kim and Jin, 2006). For the Gyeongju event, also under this ENE-WNW compression, geophysical studies of the aftershocks recognized the subsurface fault plane has a strike of NNE 25–30° and a dip of
65–74° with a depth ranging from 11 km to 16 km, the width of the distribution of event locations is approximately 5 km in length, and was determined to be a branch of the YSF (Hong et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017a; Lee et al., 2018; Son et al., 2017). Twelve wells are located near the YSF and the surrounding area within the Gyeongsang Basin (Fig. 2b). The information for each well is shown in Table 2. The wells generally were installed by two types at each point. The one well (as labeled KW ##) indicates that the sampling point is consisted of only one type well, bedrock aquifer well. The alluvial aquifer wells were labeled KW##-1 and the bedrock aquifer wells were labeled KW## or KW##-2. The KW 11-3 refers the surface water sample near the KW 11 well. The lithostratigraphic unit indicates the characteristic of the bedrock aquifer wells (labeled as KW##-2). The Gyeongsang Basin is mainly composed of Cretaceous and Tertiary non-marine sediments and igneous rocks (Fig. 2b); Middle Cretaceous Hayang group sediments, Late Cretaceous Yucheon group rocks, Early Miocene Yeonil group rocks, Middle Miocene Janggi group, and Bulguksa group granitic rocks which intruded the Cretaceous rocks during the Late Cretaceous to Early Tertiary (Chang, 1975, 1977, 1978; Chang et al., 1990). The lithology of each stratigraphic unit as documented in detail by the Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM) can be briefly characterized as follows; the Hayang group is mostly composed of clastic sedimentary rocks including shale, mudstone, and sandstone with mafic or intermediate volcanic rocks. The Yucheon group consists of andesitic rocks and quartz andesites including plagioclase phenocrysts. The Yeonil group and Janggi group
consist of Early and Middle Miocene sedimentary and volcanic rocks that are ‘mainly’
exposed in the eastern part of the Gyeongsang Basin. The Yeonil group basin consists of a
tuffaceous Tertiary sedimentary basin, and Miocene basal conglomeratic rocks, which consist
of light brown to light gray conglomerate and sandstone. The Janggi group rocks mainly
consist of basaltic tuff and andesitic tuff of Early Miocene age. The Bulguksa intrusive rocks
are mainly composed of biotite granites accompanying grano-diorite, tonalite, and alkali-
feldspar granites (Hwang et al., 2004). Based on the lithology and stratigraphy, this study
divided the bedrock aquifer well locations into four areas; (i) Hayang-group shale and
sandstone (KW 1, KW 2, KW 9-2, KW 10-2), (ii) Bulguksa-group biotite granite (KW 3, KW
5-2, KW 12-2), (iii) tuff and tuffaceous sedimentary rocks of Yeonil-group and Janggi group
(KW 4-2, KW 6-2, KW 7-2), and (iv) Cretaceous volcanic rocks mainly composed of
andesite (KW 8-2, KW 11-2).

3. Methods & materials

Water sampling and analysis method

Continuous monitoring data for water level, temperature, and electrical conductivity (EC),
from the National Groundwater Monitoring Network (NGMN) of the Korea Water Resources
Corporation, were analyzed (http://www.gims.go.kr). The hourly data, which were monitored
every hour on the hour, were used to observe the responses before, during, and after the
earthquake. Precipitation data obtained from the Korea Meteorological Administration were also analyzed with the water level variation (http://kma.go.kr). These daily data correspond to the cumulative quantities during the day.

Sampling of groundwater wells in alluvial and bedrock aquifers was conducted for three days (January 16, 2017 to January 18, 2017) four months after the earthquake. A total of 22 water samples, including one of surface water, were collected in 2-L polyethylene bottles using a Grundfos MP-1 pump. The samples were analyzed for hydrochemical parameters, major ions, radon concentration, and strontium isotopes. The hydrochemical parameters temperature, EC, dissolved oxygen (DO), total dissolved solids (TDS), pH, and salinity were measured in the field using an YSI ProDSS digital sampling system (Xylem, USA). The analysis of cations and anions (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, NO$_3$, SO$_4$, and HCO$_3$) including strontium isotopes, was completed using filtered water samples at the Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI). $^{\text{87}}$Sr/$^{\text{86}}$Sr ratios were obtained using a Neptune Multicollector-Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS; Thermo Finnigan, Germany) upgraded with a large dry interface pump. Yields were approximately 100% and the matrix concentration did not exceed 1% of the strontium concentrations. The total procedural blanks were negligible with less than 1 ng of Sr. The $^{\text{87}}$Sr/$^{\text{86}}$Sr ratios were normalized to $^{\text{86}}$Sr/$^{\text{88}}$Sr = 0.1194 (Faure, 1986), and the mean $^{\text{87}}$Sr/$^{\text{86}}$Sr ratio of NBS987 (U.S. National Bureau of Standards) was 0.710247 ± 0.000017 (2σ, n = 18). The radon concentrations in the groundwater samples were measured using RTM1688-2 of SARAD. An air-bubbling 500-ml flask was filled with sampled water.
and connected to the monitor for a closed air loop. The measurement was conducted at 15-min intervals. The attained values were calibrated adjusting for the short half-life of radon. The unit offers a high sensitivity of better than 3 cpm/(kBq/m³) obtained from a very small internal volume of only 130 ml.

**Self-Organizing Map (SOM)**

Self-Organizing Map (SOM) analysis is a neural network organized on a low-dimensional array of processing units (Kohonen, 1982). The SOM consists of two layers: the input layer and the output layer (neurons layer). These two layers are interconnected via a weight vector. The neurons in the output layer are connected to adjacent neurons by a neighborhood relation dictating the structure of the topographic map. In this study, the layer of neurons was arranged onto a two-dimensional grid. The SOM is an unsupervised learning algorithm without prior information of classification. The learning algorithm procedure can be described as follows: (i) determine the number of neurons, (ii) initialize the weight vectors with small random values, (iii) choose the best-matching neurons or the best-matching unit (BMU) that is the closest to the input vector, and (iv) update the best-matching neurons and neighboring neurons. The results can be visualized using two different types of map: the component planes and the U-matrix (Vesanto, 1999). The component plane representation visualizes relative component values of the weight vectors, providing correlations between components. The U-matrix, i.e. the unified distance matrix, enables clustering analysis using
the distance between the weight vectors and their neighborhood. The simulation was completed using the SOM toolbox 2.0 for Matlab 5 (Vesanto and Alhoniemi, 2000).

4. Results

4.1 Groundwater level, temperature, and EC changes

Groundwater level changes in seven monitoring wells can be classified into three types: (i) no change related to the earthquake (KW 5-1 and KW 5-2), (ii) maintenance after an instantaneous increase or decrease (KW 8-1, KW 8-2, and KW 11-2), and (iii) recovery to original values after a sudden change (KW 11-1) (Fig. 3). The groundwater level of the KW 5 wells did not change regardless of earthquake and precipitation (Fig. 3a). At the KW 8 wells, there was an abrupt increase during the earthquake and maintenance after the earthquake, particularly in the bedrock aquifer well (KW 8-2) (Fig. 3b). The groundwater level response to the earthquake in the alluvial aquifer well was in contrast to that in the bedrock aquifer well at the KW 11 wells (Fig. 3c). The groundwater level of KW 11-1 slightly increased before the earthquake and then decreased. However, the groundwater level of KW 11-2 drastically decreased and then gradually recovered and it remained at higher values compared to the original values.

Groundwater temperature changes only occurred at the KW 11 wells (Fig. 4a). These also showed an opposite change pattern, in which the groundwater temperature of KW 11-1
recovered to the original value after an instantaneous increase, whereas that of KW 11-2
recovered after a slight decrease. This anomaly was apparent in the alluvial aquifer well (KW
11-1), unlike the groundwater level anomaly.

A change in groundwater ECs was observed at eight monitoring wells before, during, or
after the earthquake. KW 1 responded to the earthquake in a peak form and gradually
recovered. KW 2 showed an increase prior to the earthquake and recovered to original values.
The groundwater EC consistently decreased and then remained at lower values at KW 6-1,
KW 6-2, and KW 10-2. The peak form of the KW 11 wells also indicated an opposite
direction (Fig. 4b). KW 11-1 peaked at a higher level several times prior to the earthquake,
while KW 11-2 peaked at a lower level before the earthquake and then recovered. Compared
to the water level data, however, it was difficult to interpret that the changes in EC could be
attributed to the earthquake.

4.2 Hydrogeochemical characteristics including isotopes (radon and strontium)

The hydrogeochemical data of 17 parameters (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, NO₃, SO₄, HCO₃,
temperature, pH, DO, EC, TDS, salinity, Sr, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, and radon) were collected from 21
groundwater samples and one surface water sample (KW 11-3) in January 2017. The
analytical results of the water samples are summarized in Table 3. The Na values were high in
KW 4-1, KW 4-2, and KW 6-2 (> 100 mg/L), and the Cl values were high in KW 4-1 and
KW 4-2 (> 100 mg/L). The KW 4-1 and KW 4-2 had especially high EC and salinity values.
The SO₄ values were high in KW 1 and KW 10-2 (> 200 mg/L).

The distribution of radon concentration in the 21 groundwater samples is shown in Fig. 5. The radon concentration ranged from 225 Bq/m³ to 23060 Bq/m³ in the Gyeongju area (see Table 3). The KW 5-1, KW 5-2, and KW 8-2 values were 15849, 17575, and 23060 Bq/m³, respectively, which were higher values than those of the other groundwater wells. These wells are near the epicenter. Lower values (< 1000 Bq/m³) were found in KW 6-1, KW 6-2, KW 7-1, KW 9-1, KW 9-2, KW 10-1, KW 10-2, and KW 11-1. The values between the alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells were similar in KW 4, KW 5, KW 6, and KW 7. The value difference between two formation wells was high in KW 8, KW 10, and KW 11. KW 7, KW 9, and KW 11 showed an anomaly in which the alluvial aquifer well had a higher radon concentration than the bedrock aquifer well.

The strontium isotopic compositions of groundwater samples in the Gyeongju area are shown in Fig. 6. Strontium concentrations ranged from 18.1 ppb to 4052 ppb. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values ranged from 0.705688 to 0.712368 (see Table 3). In the alluvial aquifer wells, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values ranged from 0.706191 to 0.708353, and these values were from 0.705688 to 0.712368 in the bedrock aquifer wells. The strontium isotopic compositions of the groundwater samples also reflected distinct ratios based on their lithology and stratigraphy. The Hayang group (KW 1, KW 2, KW 9-2 and KW 10-2) had high strontium concentrations. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values of the Bulguksa group (KW 3, KW 5-2, and KW 12-2) ranged from...
0.706575 to 0.708022. Cretaceous volcanic rocks (KW 8-2 and KW 11-2) are below the Bulguksa group. The KW 6 wells had distinct characteristics, in which KW 6-1 was far from KW 6-2.

The spatial distributions of strontium concentrations and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ are shown in Fig. 7. Exceptionally high strontium concentrations were observed in KW 1, KW 2, and KW 10-2 (>3000 ppb), whereas KW 3, KW 4-1, KW 6-1, KW 6-2, KW 7-2, KW 8-2, KW 10-3, KW 11-1 had significantly low values (< 100 ppb). The wells that had high strontium concentrations were in the Hayang group. For the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ results, KW 1, KW 2, KW 6-2, KW 10-2, and KW 11-2 had high ratio values, while KW 3, KW 6-1, KW 7-1, KW 8-2, and KW 11-1 had low ratio values. The values between the alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells were quite different in KW 6, KW 8, KW 10, and KW 11.

Calcium and strontium cation contents of the groundwater samples showed various distributions, ranging from 1.59 mg/L to 94.89 mg/L for calcium and from 18.1 ppb to 4052 ppb for strontium concentration (Fig. 8). The Sr$^{2+}$ cation contents of the Hayang group ranged from 18.1 ppb to 4052 ppb, which was much higher than the values generally measured in groundwater as hundreds of ppb (Frost and Toner, 2004; Santoni et al., 2016). Most groundwater wells located along the linear path, except KW 2, KW 4-2, and KW 11-2. KW 9-1 and KW 10-1 had high values among alluvial aquifer wells.

4.3 Self-Organizing Map (SOM)
There are few studies using SOM for groundwater quality data interpretation (Choi et al., 2014; Hong and Rosen, 2001; Lischeid, 2008). However, we used the SOM analysis for statistical analysis in the Gyeongju area because it can solve linear dimensionality reduction problems without biases. This method also provides the detailed local relationship between the variables by the component planes, which is helpful to understand groundwater systems visually. The contribution map of the variables is shown in the component map (Fig. 9). Each component plane represents the average component value at each node in a certain color; the white indicates the high values and the deep brown indicates the low values. The dataset contained data regarding 16 variables (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, NO₃, SO₄, HCO₃, Sr, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, temperature, pH, DO, EC, TDS, and salinity) (see Table 3). The raw data were normalized in order to work with transformed quantities with zero mean and unit standard deviation. By comparing component planes, the planes of Ca, SO₄, Sr, and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr show similar distributions, indicating a strong correlation between these variables. The Na, Cl, HCO₃, EC, TDS, and salinity values also had similar patterns to each other. These variables show vertical symmetry with the planes of Ca, SO₄, Sr, and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr. The components of temperature, pH, DO, K, and NO₃ are distinct from each other, showing no relationship with the other variables.

The clustering could be investigated with the visual inspection of the U-matrix result (Fig. 10). Deep brown shades on the U-matrix indicate a large distance between neighborhood nodes whereas white shades correspond to a short distance between nodes. Based on the
distances, the distribution of water samples could be classified into four groups: Group 1 (KW 1, KW 2, KW 9-1, and KW 10-1), Group 2 (KW 3, KW 5-1, KW 5-2, KW 6-2, KW 11-3, and KW 12-1), Group 3 (KW 4-1 and KW 4-2), and Group 4 (KW 8-1, KW 11-1, and KW 11-2). The classification has similar results with the classification based on lithostratigraphic unit data although the results did not include all water samples. Group 1 has relatively high values of Ca, Mg, SO\textsubscript{4}, NO\textsubscript{3}, Sr, and \ensuremath{^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr}. Group 2 falls between Group 3 and Group 4. Group 3 is characterized by distinctly high values in K, Na, Cl, HCO\textsubscript{3}, EC, TDS, and salinity. Group 4 has high DO values and a distinct low temperature and \ensuremath{^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr} with relatively low values of EC, TDS, salinity, and Sr.

5. Discussion

5.1 Groundwater level, temperature, and EC changes

The Gyeongju earthquake on September 12, 2016, remarkably affected the groundwater systems. The total data showing anomalies are shown in Table 4. The groundwater level, temperature, and EC data were analyzed considering pre-, co-, and post-seismic changes. For the groundwater level data, three anomaly types were observed (see Fig. 3). Among them, the maintenance of a groundwater level increase could be attributed to aquifer compaction (as observed in KW 8-1 and KW 8-2) (Lee et al., 2002). There is a possibility that the aquifers underwent non-recoverable deformation. The persistent groundwater level changes also have
been influenced by volumetric strain changes (Matsumoto et al., 2003; Roeloffs et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2007). In contrast, a greater decrease in groundwater level prior to the earthquake could be attributed to the opening of bedrock fractures (as observed in KW 11-1) (Fleeger et al., 1999; Kitagawa et al., 2006; Rojstaczer and Wolf, 1992; Rojstaczer et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2004). A decrease could also possibly be related to a change in permeability (Brodsky, 2003; Manga and Wang, 2007). Groundwater level oscillation also depends on the interactions between inflow/outflow of the well and of the aquifer (Cooper et al., 1965). There is another anomaly, an opposite change pattern between the alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells, as observed in all datasets of groundwater level, temperature, and EC data for KW 11 (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). This means that the two wells had weak interactions with each other.

5.2 Isotopic data (radon and strontium)

The hydrogeochemical data of 17 parameters (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, NO₃, SO₄, HCO₃, temperature, pH, DO, EC, TDS, salinity, Sr, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, and radon) were collected only after earthquake (Jan., 2017). A difference in radon concentration between the alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells could be considered more significant because of the mixing effect as observed in KW 8 and KW 11 (see Fig. 5). Seismotectonic activity may often change the mixing ratio of groundwater in a well (Claesson et al., 2007; Hartmann and Levy, 2005). The anomaly in which the alluvial aquifer well had a higher radon concentration than that of the bedrock
aquifer could be attributed to rainfall; however, in this area, rainfall did not occur during the sampling period (as observed in KW 7, KW 9, and KW 11).

The large variation in the $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio in the groundwater can consequently largely be explained by the nature of the aquifer lithology (see Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). For example, the high Rb/Sr ratio of composite silicate minerals such as feldspar and biotite can cause granitic bedrock to be highly radiogenic (Frost and Toner, 2004; Santoni et al., 2016). Generally, Cretaceous granites comprising the Gyeongsang basin had a strontium concentration from 62 ppm to 428 ppm and an $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio from 0.704610 to 0.711400 (Cheong and Jo, 2017). Basaltic rocks near the Yeonil group and Janggi group had strontium concentration from 439 ppm to 518 ppm and the $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio from 0.703850 to 0.704630 (Shimazu et al., 1990). In the Chaeyaksan basaltic volcanics of the Yucheon group, strontium concentration ranged from 731 ppm to 1667 ppm and the $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio from 0.705870 to 0.706440 (Yun, 1998). Thus, samples of the Bulguksa granite would be more radiogenic than those of the Yucheon group rocks, because of the composite minerals of the Bulguksa granite (plagioclase, feldspar, and biotite) which have high $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios. The strong correlation between strontium and calcium could indicate the chemical signature such as water-rock interactions (see Fig. 8). The outlier, KW 2, KW 4-2, and KW 11-2, can indicate another chemical mechanism such as other water source. The high values of KW 9-1 and KW 10-1 can suggest the influence from the KW 9-2 and KW 10-2.
5.3 The conceptual model with the grouping results

This paper is to combine the hydrologic, hydrogeochemical, and lithostratigraphic characteristics by applying the neural network method SOM. The SOM results using the hydrogeochemical data showed the 4 groups, however, these did not include KW 6-1, KW 7-1, KW 7-2, KW 8-2, KW 9-2, KW 10-2, and KW 12-2 in the U-matrix. For including all groundwater samples, the new grouping was conducted to reflect the two grouping results (lithostratigraphic unit and the SOM). The SOM results were also in agreement with the lithostratigraphic unit data, which was used usefully in arranging the bedrock aquifer wells based on bedrock characteristics. Especially, this statistical method could consider the factor of external effects, e.g. earthquakes, as well as the major ions. It is useful because the public activity generally has been existed around the groundwater wells. Among other methods, in general, Piper diagram provide relative proportions of water-rock interactions, which is difficult to indicate directly the external effects other than geologic characteristics. Thus, by using the two results, the final grouping yielded four classes of wells: Group A (KW 1, KW 2, KW 9, and KW 10); Group B (KW 3, KW 5, and KW 12); Group C (KW 4, KW 6, and KW 7); and Group D (KW 8 and KW 11). This is conducted by binding the alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells. The dependencies between the variables, hydrochemical parameters including strontium isotopes, could be interpreted with the component plane results from the SOM (see Fig. 9). These correlations were also used for analyzing the possible mechanisms at each group. The piper diagram was also analyzed with the groups (Fig. S1). In this diagram, most...
water samples were prevailing SO$_4$ and HCO$_3$, suggesting the possibility of water body mixing with other water type.

The lithology and stratigraphy of Group A is classified as Hayang group shale and sandstone of low porosity and high strontium concentrations. Particularly, the KW 9 and the KW 10 wells had a low radon concentration (< 1000 Bq/m3), high strontium concentration, high Ca value, low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio and low pH (see Fig. 8 and Fig. 9). There might be some possible mechanisms for the exceptionally strong chemical signatures. Regarding earthquakes, first, the fine-grained bedrock of Group A has a large reactive surface area that can effectively activate water–rock interaction and largely vary the groundwater chemistry via ion exchange (Pennisi et al., 2006). Second, particularly for KW 10-2 in Group A, the exceptionally high Sr samples appear to be an effect of cation exchange between the soil and surrounding water. The capacity of the cation-bearing soil (cation-exchange capacity; CEC) depends on the pH of the surrounding water, and the CEC of a soil generally show decreases with pH decreases (Sparks, 2003). The acidic water of KW10-2 (pH = 2.27) would lead to lower CEC and lead to leaching of Ca$^{2+}$ and Sr$^{2+}$ from the soil to surrounding groundwater. The flow into the groundwater in the Hayang group rocks could increase the chemical concentration of Group A. Third, the results could be attributed to geological characteristics, not related to the earthquake, as the intrinsic chemistry of the Hayang group shale and sandstone might affect the strontium concentrations. Such dramatically high values of Sr were previously observed in the Redbeds aquifer (from 885 ppb to 7851 ppb) where the lithology of the bedrock is
composed of shale and sandstone with high Rb/Sr ratios (Santoni et al., 2016). Moreover, by the SOM results, KW 1, KW 2, KW 9-1, and KW 10-1 were clustered as one group (see Fig. 10), also suggesting the strong influence from bedrock to shallow aquifer.

Group B wells are located in biotite granitic region of the Bulguksa group, which has a typical high radon concentration. The radon concentration is greatly influenced by uranium content; thus, its concentration is generally high in granite compared to that of sedimentary rocks. Typically, uranium concentration is high in granites, whereas it is low in sedimentary rocks. However, only the KW 5 wells had a high radon concentration. In particular, KW 5-1 had high values similar to those of KW 5-2. This could be attributed to deep fluid upwelling from the bedrock in the KW 5 wells (Chiodini et al., 2000; Minissale, 2004; Savoy et al., 2011).

In addition, the KW 5-1 was located toward SO$_4^{2-}$+Cl$^-$ in the piper diagram (see Fig. S1), which indicates the deep groundwater (Reddy and Nagabhushanam, 2012).

Group C is composed of tuff and tuffaceous sedimentary rocks of the Yeonil and Janggi groups. This group had a low radon concentration and a small difference in radon concentration between the alluvial and the bedrock aquifer wells (see Fig. 5), suggesting active water mixing between the two aquifers. In addition, the bedrock of this area contains conglomerates, which generally have high pore density, leading to active mixing with water compared to the shale-dominant lithology. This hypothesis seems to be consistent with the weak chemical signature of Group A. KW 4-1, KW 4-2, and KW 6-2 had high values of EC,
Cl, TDS, and salinity values (see Table 3 and Fig. 9), suggesting the possibility of sea water intrusion by the effects of the earthquakes. The piper diagram also indicated the sea water intrusion by the location of Group C, which got toward Na\(^+\)+K\(^+\) and HCO\(_3\)\(^-\) (see Fig. S1). These water samples were prevailing HCO\(_3\) water. Sea water intrusion might actively trigger mixing between the shallow and deep aquifers.

In Group D, the radon concentration was quite different between the two wells and the groundwater level anomaly occurred (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 5). The wells of this group are in Cretaceous mainly andesitic volcanic rocks. This group showed obvious anomaly caused by the earthquakes with the annual data. The maintenance of a groundwater level increase was observed in KW 8 wells, indicating the possibility of aquifer compaction. KW 11-1, which showed the greater decrease of groundwater level prior to the earthquake, suggested the possibility of the opening of bedrock fractures. In addition, KW 11 wells, in particular, showed many factors including groundwater level, temperature, and EC responded to the earthquake in an opposite manner (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). The radon concentration of KW 11-1 was also higher than that of KW 11-2. The Sr contents of Group B and Group D show a wide range of concentrations observed in other studies of groundwater in the granitic bedrock aquifers; e.g., an Sr\(^{2+}\) from 67 to 169 ppb (Frost and Toner, 2004) and from 103 to 553 ppb (Santoni et al., 2016). This wide range might be associated with the different amount of plagioclase in each matrix rock of the groundwater. Water flow via granite can be controlled by the dissolution of anorthite and alkali feldspar. The former occurs more rapidly, providing
and Sr\(^{2+}\) with a low \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratio (see Fig. 8 and Fig. 9) (Bullen et al., 1997; Franklyn et al., 1991; Négrel, 2006). In contrast, one groundwater chemistry study in Canada showed that dissolution of alkali feldspar can increase the \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratio providing sodium and potassium (Bullen et al., 1996). Therefore, the various compositions of the granite and the fluid mobility would be determinative in the \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratio. Moreover, KW 11-1 also located in high SO\(_4^{2-}\) than KW 11-2 in the piper diagram, suggesting the deep water influence to KW 11-1 (Reddy and Nagabhushanam, 2012).

In accordance with this analysis, conceptual models of groundwater changes induced by the earthquakes can be suggested (Fig. 11). Four different models were inferred by data analysis and the grouping result using the SOM approach. First, a response highlighting the mixing with deep groundwater or bedrock can be attributed to a deep fluid rise, which resulted in high strontium concentrations, as observed in the wells of Group A (KW 1 and KW 2). In addition, low radon values and high \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratios were observed in the wells of the alluvial aquifer, KW 9-1 and KW 10-1. Second, the possibility of non-recoverable deformation after deep fluid upwelling can be suggested as there was no change in water level and there were high radon concentrations in both wells of the alluvial and bedrock aquifers (KW 5-1 and KW 5-2) in Group B. This hypothesis can be supported by studies showing that the stress reduction after an earthquake causes closure of cracks (King and Cocco, 2001; Nur and Booker, 1972; Peng and Zhao, 2009; Scholz, 2002; Scholz et al., 1973). The other wells of Group B could be classified as an uninfluenced by the earthquakes. Third, another
mechanism, the strong interaction between shallow and deep aquifers, can be attributed to sea
water intrusion by the data showing a small difference in radon concentration between the
alluvial and the bedrock aquifer wells, as observed in Group C. Finally, the response to the
movement of the strike-slip fault can be explained considering the location of Group D,
which is near the YSF. The water level anomaly suggests the potential that the source of the
alluvial aquifer well changed a different source compared to that of bedrock aquifer well after
the earthquakes (see Fig 3). Bedrock fracture opening could cause a decrease in water level,
suggesting that surrounding aquifer affected the alluvial aquifer of these wells because of the
difference in water level, as observed in KW 11-2. In contrast, the groundwater level
appeared to remain constant at a higher value than the pre-earthquake value via aquifer
compaction because of the movement of the strike-slip fault at the KW 8 wells.

6 Conclusion

The 2016 Gyeongju earthquakes affected the pre-, co-, and post-earthquake groundwater
systems. Changes were observed in groundwater level, temperature, EC, hydrochemistry,
radon-222, and strontium isotopic data. The main findings obtained via data analysis from 21
monitoring wells are as follows:

1. The observed groundwater level anomaly could be attributed to pre-earthquakes effect,
not a seasonal effect. Maintenance, persistent or abrupt changes, and oscillation of
water levels were observed in some wells.

2. The radon concentration could be interpreted as the difference between alluvial and bedrock aquifer wells. A relatively small difference between two radon values implies active mixing processes between the shallow and deep aquifers.

3. Strontium isotopes were interpreted with the lithology and stratigraphy of bedrock, indicating the potential of water–rock interactions. These isotopes (Sr$^{2+}$ concentrations and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio) also could suggest both geologically independent causes and dependent causes with respect to the earthquakes.

4. The SOM statistic tool was found to be useful for identifying each group having common characteristics and the influence of the earthquakes on hydrogeochemical parameters. The final grouping can explain the possible mechanisms via different hydrogeochemical processes: (i) water–rock interactions because of deep fluid rising, (ii) no response to the earthquakes or non-recoverable deformation after the earthquake, (iii) aquifer mixing vertically due to sea water intrusion, and (iv) the effect of the movement of the strike-slip fault.

These results can have significant impact on regional and national Authorities, because seismicity has increased in the area near Gyeongju since 2016. It may be more helpful in efficiently managing groundwater systems to analyze the combined hydrogeochemical and lithostratigraphic characteristics of the area. In addition, the studied parameters and the
adopted methods would be positively applied for other earthquake zones, particularly for grouping interpretation of response of monitoring wells.
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Study flow that processes observation data and obtains conceptual models. The used data (groundwater level, temperature, EC, radon-222, and strontium isotopes) and the adopted method (SOM statistical method) were described with related main results. At the end of flow map, the conceptual models using the grouping results were described by the table.

Figure 1. Location map of the study area and well locations. The upper right map shows the location of Gyeongju area on the southeastern Korean Peninsula. A color scale bar for the elevation map (Elev. in meter) was shown in the right bottom of the figure. Red stars indicate the epicenters of the mainshock, foreshock, and the largest aftershock of the 2016 Gyeongju earthquakes. Magnitudes of the other aftershocks of M_L ≥ 3.0 are also marked by circles illustrated by the color table. Gyeongju (yellow square) and the well locations (blue squares) are highlighted. (b) Geological map of the study area. The color legend shows the lithostratigraphic units comprising the Gyeongju area. Major faults comprising the Yangsan Fault System are denoted with abbreviations; YSF, Yangsan Fault; MoRF, Moryang Fault, MiRF, Miryang Fault; USF, Ulsan Fault, JNF, Jain Fault. The two maps (Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)) use the same map scale, which is located in the right side of Fig. 2(b).

Figure 3. Time series data of groundwater level in (a) KW 5; (b) KW 8; and (c) KW 11.
The dates of the mainshock and aftershock of the earthquake ($M_L \geq 4.5$) are marked as the orange colored line.

**Figure. 4.** Time series data of the KW 11 well: (a) temperature and (b) electrical conductivities. The dates of the mainshock and aftershock of the earthquake ($M_L \geq 4.5$) are marked as the orange colored line.

**Figure. 5.** Spatial distribution of radon concentrations in the Gyeongju area.

**Figure. 6.** $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs $1/\text{Sr}$ plot for the groundwater samples. The rectangular boxes indicate each group defined considering the results of both SOM and lithostratigraphy. Green colored box is Group A (shale and sandstone), orange colored box is Group B (granite), yellow colored box is the KW 6 wells of Group C, and the red colored box is Group D (andesite).

**Figure. 7.** Spatial distribution of strontium concentrations and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the Gyeongju area.

**Figure. 8.** Correlation plot of strontium and calcium values of the groundwater samples in Gyeongju area.

**Figure. 9.** Visualization of the component planes of the hydrogeochemical data for the Gyeongju area from the SOM results. The white indicates the high values of nodes and the deep brown is the low values of nodes.
Figure 10. U-matrix visualization and pattern of group formation of the SOM results in the Gyeongju area. The word of a hexagon denotes the sample number.

Figure 11. (a) Conceptual model to explain the responses of the groundwater system induced by the Gyeongju earthquakes: active water-rock interactions increasing the geochemical signature (KW 9 in Group A), water level anomaly related to non-recoverable deformation (KW 5 in Group B) (dotted line indicates the water table before the earthquakes, the solid line and red inverted triangle indicate the water table after the earthquakes), strong mixing between shallow and deep aquifer caused by sea water intrusion (KW 4 in the Group C), and strike-slip deformation leading to the difference between the alluvial aquifer and the bedrock aquifer (Group D). (b) Simplified geological cross section of KW 6-1.

Fig. S1. Piper diagram with four groups of groundwater samples.
Table 1. The mainshock and aftershock data (ML≥3.5) of the Gyeongju earthquake.

| Date, time     | ML  | Longitude | Latitude |
|----------------|-----|-----------|----------|
| 2016-11-13, 21:52:57 | 3.5 | 36.36 N   | 126.63 E |
| 2016-11-06, 06:26:22  | 3.5 | 33.76 N   | 125.07 E |
| 2016-09-21, 11:53:54  | 3.5 | 35.75 N   | 129.18 E |
| 2016-09-19, 20:33:58  | 4.5 | 35.74 N   | 129.18 E |
| 2016-09-12, 20:34:22  | 3.6 | 35.78 N   | 129.19 E |
| **2016-09-12, 20:32:54** | **5.8** | **35.76 N** | **129.19 E** |
| **2016-09-12, 19:44:32** | **5.1** | **35.77 N** | **129.19 E** |

† The bold italics is the mainshock of the Gyeongju earthquakes.
| Well ID | Longitude | Latitude | Distance from epicenter (km) | Well type                  | Lithostratigraphic unit                                      | Sampling depth (m) |
|---------|-----------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| KW 1    | 36.17 N   | 128.72 E | 59.99                      | Bedrock                   | Hayang Group (cretaceous grey, dark grey siltstone and shale) | 30                |
| KW 2    | 36.11 N   | 128.92 E | 45.85                      | Bedrock                   | Hayang Group (cretaceous greenish grey, dark grey shale with carbonate and sandstone) | 50                |
| KW 3    | 36.13 N   | 129.26 E | 41.82                      | Bedrock                   | Bulguksa Granite (biotite granites of Late Cretaceous to Early Tertiary age) | 20                |
| KW 4    | 36.00 N   | 129.31 E | 29.33                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Yeonil Group (light brown shale and mudstone coexisting with conglomerate of Miocene age) | 28, 35            |
| KW 5    | 35.75 N   | 129.32 E | 12.57                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Bulguksa Granite (biotite granites of Late Cretaceous to Early Tertiary age) | 8, 39             |
| KW 6    | 35.83 N   | 129.41 E | 21.51                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Janggi Group (andesite and tuff of Miocene age)              | 20, 30            |
| KW 7    | 35.90 N   | 129.27 E | 16.94                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Yeonil Group (conglomerate of Miocene age)                  | 5, 50             |
| KW 8    | 35.75 N   | 129.05 E | 12.76                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Yucheon Group (andesite, porphyry andesite, and brecciated andesite of Cretaceous age) | 5, 40             |
| KW 9    | 35.82 N   | 129.10 E | 10.57                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Hayang Group (black and greenish grey shale with hornfels of Cretaceous age) | 10, 50            |
| KW 10   | 35.58 N   | 129.21 E | 20.13                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Hayang Group (greenish grey and dark grey sandstone, siltstone, shale coexisting with mudstone and conglomerate of Cretaceous age) | 10, 28            |
| KW 11   | 35.62 N   | 129.08 E | 19.35                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Yucheon Group (granite of Cretaceous age)                   | 10, 28            |
| KW 12   | 35.75 N   | 128.65 E | 48.68                      | Alluvial, Bedrock         | Bulguksa Granite (intrusive rocks and granite porphyry of Cretaceous age) | 8, 50             |

*Well type refers the aquifer characteristics of location of installed well. The alluvial refers the well installed in the alluvial aquifer.*
Table 3. Hydrogeochemical data collected after 2016 Gyeongju earthquake.

| Well ID | Ca  | K   | Mg  | Na  | Cl  | SO₄ | NO₃ | HCO₃ | Temp. | pH  | DO  | EC  | TDS | Sal. | Radon | Sr/Sr²⁶Sr |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----------|
|         | (mg/L) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       | (℃) |     | (μS/cm) | (mg/L) | (%)   | (Bq/m³) |
| KW 1    | 86.0 | 2.27 | 33.7 | 63.1 | 13.6 | 247 | 0.52 | 292  | 3660  | 14.8 | 7.03 | 0.72 | 898.0 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 6693 | 0.712368 |
| KW 2    | 48.7 | 1.31 | 15.9 | 21.0 | 15.5 | 34.6 | 15.9 | 182  | 3393  | 15.0 | 7.59 | 0.95 | 412.1 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 3193 | 0.709754 |
| KW 3    | 13.8 | 0.56 | 3.27 | 51.8 | 32.5 | 7.92 | 13.1 | 91.2 | 31.30 | 15.5 | 7.87 | 3.16 | 294.2 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 2366 | 0.706575 |
| KW 4-1  | 8.91 | 16.9 | 11.8 | 193  | 111  | 73.8 | 0.21 | 300  | 79.60 | 15.0 | 8.45 | 0.62 | 950.0 | 0.62 | 0.47 | 2416 | 0.708188 |
| KW 4-2  | 6.32 | 6.33 | 7.78 | 778  | 721  | 45.7 | 0.25 | 846  | 225.0 | 15.9 | 8.26 | 0.66 | 3310  | 2.15 | 1.74 | 2425 | 0.707283 |
| KW 5-1  | 17.7 | 2.67 | 7.07 | 13.0 | 9.45 | 49.5 | 13.5 | 31.2 | 147.0 | 15.4 | 7.52 | 2.24 | 213.1 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 15849 | 0.707610 |
| KW 5-2  | 30.5 | 2.43 | 5.11 | 35.2 | 17.7 | 27.9 | 0.34 | 137  | 170.0 | 15.6 | 6.55 | 0.74 | 309.7 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 17575 | 0.707356 |
| KW 6-1  | 1.76 | 3.79 | 0.99 | 74.4 | 21.6 | 26.0 | 0.28 | 124  | 18.10 | 15.0 | 6.87 | 0.64 | 315.5 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 225  | 0.706191 |
| KW 6-2  | 1.59 | 1.75 | 0.68 | 146  | 33.9 | 17.0 | 0.14 | 257  | 65.10 | 14.9 | 8.15 | 0.69 | 530.0 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 368  | 0.711835 |
| KW 7-1  | 15.8 | 9.11 | 5.75 | 15.7 | 11.1 | 2.47 | 1.15 | 90.2 | 117.0 | 16.1 | 7.29 | 0.80 | 180.1 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 1218 | 0.706590 |
| KW 7-2  | 9.94 | 0.99 | 3.71 | 15.8 | 9.62 | 2.79 | 1.95 | 68.3 | 78.00 | 15.1 | 7.01 | 2.76 | 140.3 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 992  | 0.705688 |
| KW 8-1  | 19.1 | 6.36 | 3.91 | 19.2 | 22.2 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 57.7 | 115.0 | 11.5 | 7.01 | 1.63 | 224.7 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 5974 | 0.708231 |
| KW 8-2  | 12.7 | 0.33 | 2.02 | 18.5 | 3.52 | 15.3 | 0.81 | 64.4 | 75.00 | 14.6 | 7.30 | 0.82 | 146.8 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 23060 | 0.706177 |
| KW 9-1  | 53.6 | 16.9 | 21.6 | 12.3 | 18.4 | 46.6 | 40.9 | 187  | 379.0 | 14.3 | 7.02 | 5.17 | 513.0 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 585  | 0.707919 |
| KW 9-2  | 62.4 | 10.4 | 24.0 | 13.3 | 18.6 | 43.8 | 38.9 | 204  | 538.0 | 14.9 | 6.90 | 0.58 | 521.0 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 249  | 0.707469 |
| KW 10-1 | 29.2 | 4.23 | 6.50 | 21.0 | 22.5 | 31.3 | 19.2 | 76.6 | 194.0 | 17.2 | 7.69 | 7.05 | 294.7 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 228  | 0.708353 |
| KW 10-2 | 94.9 | 2.27 | 13.2 | 92.6 | 15.5 | 305 | 0.10 | 161  | 4052 | 16.3 | 2.27 | 7.07 | 865.0 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 758  | 0.712029 |
| KW 11-1 | 11.7 | 2.11 | 1.93 | 8.38 | 7.04 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 32.6 | 82.60 | 9.40 | 7.53 | 11.7 | 215.9 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 4204 | 0.706385 |
| KW 11-2 | 32.6 | 1.49 | 2.19 | 13.0 | 7.65 | 34.6 | 4.14 | 79.6 | 54.20 | 14.9 | 7.21 | 6.98 | 121.5 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 488  | 0.706122 |
| KW 11-3 | 24.4 | 2.23 | 8.02 | 7.88 | 7.87 | 14.6 | 7.87 | 93.6 | 212.0 | 15.0 | 7.07 | 0.96 | 227.3 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 1950 | 0.709625 |
| KW 12-1 | 20.9 | 5.02 | 5.61 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 34.7 | 18.7 | 51.0 | 134.0 | 15.0 | 6.34 | 3.81 | 231.6 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 1755 | 0.707885 |
| KW 12-2 | 24.0 | 6.19 | 5.22 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 32.2 | 22.9 | 58.9 | 136.0 | 14.8 | 6.11 | 4.52 | 247.6 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 1088 | 0.708022 |

KW ##-1 refers the alluvial aquifer well, KW ##-2 or no hyphen well refers the bedrock aquifer well, and KW 11-3 indicates the surface water sample near the KW 11 wells.
Table 4. Anomaly data of groundwater wells based on the grouping results.

| Group | Well ID | Well type | Groundwater level | Temperature | EC | Radon con. (H: > 15000 L: < 800) | Radon con. Difference | Strontium con. (H: > 3000 L: < 100) | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (H: > 0.709 L: < 0.707) |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|----|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|       |        |           |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
| A     | KW 9   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 10  | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 1   | Bedrock   |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 2   | Bedrock   |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
| B     | KW 3   | Bedrock   |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 5   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 12  | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 4   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 6   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 7   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 8   | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |
|       | KW 11  | Alluvial  |                   |             |    |                                 |                       |                                   |                                   |

†‘O’ refers that the anomaly was detected, ‘H’ refers the high concentration, and ‘L’ refers the low concentration.
3. Strontium isotopes
- Value difference between two wells $\rightarrow$ water-rock interactions
- Sr & Ca correlations $\rightarrow$ carbonate dissolution

4. SOM (Self-Organizing Map) using hydrogeochemical data
- Correlation analysis
- Clustering analysis $\rightarrow$ ‘4 Groups’
- in accordance with lithostratigraphic unit data

| “CONCEPTUAL MODEL” |
|---------------------|
| Group | Possible Mechanisms |
|-------|----------------------|
| A     | Active water-rock interactions |
| B     | No response (only KW 5 : aquifer compaction) |
| C     | Strong mixing between shallow and deep aquifer |
| D     | Direct affected by the strike-slip fault movement |

1. Pre-, co-, post- earthquake data
1) Groundwater Level
   $\rightarrow$ anomaly related to the movement of strike-slip fault
2) Groundwater Temperature
3) Groundwater EC

2. Radon-222
- High concentration $\rightarrow$ Granite bedrock wells
- Value difference between two wells $\rightarrow$ mixing between shallow and deep aquifer
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