Does being a refugee affect prognosis in patients who underwent surgery due to peptic ulcer perforation?
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Although Turkey hosts the largest number of Syrian immigrants, the interpretation of their health problems seems to be inadequate and understudied. In this study, we aimed to investigate whether being a refugee is a prognostic factor or not for peptic ulcer perforation (PUP).

METHODS: A retrospective study was designed in Turkish Citizen patients and the refugees to compare the prognosis who underwent surgery for PUP. After ethical committee approval, the data of 143 patients, constituting 130 males and 13 females, operated for PUP, were collected. Patients' files, surgery notes and outpatient polyclinic data were evaluated.

RESULTS: In this study, 105 patients were Turkish Citizen, while the remaining 38 patients were refugees. Eight (7.6%) Turkish and one (2.6%) refugee patient died. There was no statistical significance between the two groups concerning mortality (p=0.445). Age, perforation diameter and localization, need of reoperation, nasogastric tube detention time, CRP, hematocrit, albumin, creatinine, BUN levels were found statistically significant for mortality.

CONCLUSION: Although being a refugee has been identified as a risk in the etiopathogenesis of peptic ulcer disease, we found that being a refugee in Turkey is not a negative prognostic factor for PUP.
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INTRODUCTION

Peptic ulcer disease refers to an insult to the mucosa of the upper digestive tract resulting in ulceration that extends beyond the mucosa and into the submucosal layers. Peptic ulcers disease most commonly occur in the stomach and duodenum. While most of peptic ulcers are initially asymptomatic, clinical manifestations range from mild dyspepsia to complications, including gastrointestinal system bleeding, perforation, and gastric outlet obstruction.[1]

Peptic ulcer perforation (PUP) had a high mortality rate before the 1950s. However, nowadays, the mortality rate is decreased due to some factors like technological progress in medicine and easy reach to the health service. Patients experiencing ulcer perforation usually have a peptic ulcer disease or gastritis history, and most of them have also used proton pump inhibitors (PPI).

PUP is known as a young smoker disease. Mainly stress, young age, smoking, usage of some medicines like non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and helicobacter pylori infections have been accused in etiology.

PUP is a common surgical emergency worldwide, with mortality rates up to 30%. PUP is the most common cause of emergency surgery among the complications of the gastro-duodenal ulcers. Morbidity and mortality in PUP occur due...
to secondary peritonitis and sepsis. Helicobacter pylori and the use of NSAIDs are common causes. Due to the differences between countries in age, sex, localization of perforation and underlying causes, mortality rates also vary. Early surgery, either by laparoscopic or open repair and proper sepsis management is essential for a good outcome. Selected patients can be managed non-operatively or with novel endoscopic approaches.[2-3]

Since 2011, the conflict in Syria has led to the migration of over five million refugees to Turkey and this number seems to be increased in the future. Only 6.1% of the Syrian refugees live in temporary shelters.[4] Most of them also have a problem because there is a minimal similarity between Turkish and Arabic languages. Also, some of these refugees are fugitive and have no registration to the Turkish State and Health System. Being homeless and unemployment usually lead to a poor environment concerning health. Due to the factors listed above, it is possible that refugees in Turkey admit to the hospital later than Turkish citizens do. Because of this condition, we thought that being a refugee could have been a prognostic factor for PUP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective clinical trial was conducted at the University of Health Sciences Haseki Training and Research Hospital. Clinical Ethical Committee approval was received from the University of Health Sciences Haseki Training and Research Hospital (18.10.2017-533). The patients who were diagnosed and treated by the General Surgery Department for PUP between January 2014 and January 2017 were included in this study. The patients were evaluated in two groups constituting of Turkish citizen and refugee patients.

In addition to clinical examination, the diagnosis was established by the help of biochemical laboratory findings, such as leucocyte count, C reactive protein (CRP) blood plasma level, plasma amylase, hematocrit (Htc) level, creatinine, albumin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) values, and radiological techniques like posterior-anterior chest and abdominal X-ray and if necessary computed tomography were used as imaging modalities.

Patients’ sex, age, nation, PUP localization, perforation diameter, operation techniques (open Graham patch, laparoscopic Graham patch and others), duration of hospital stay, duration of nasogastric tube usage, oral nutrition starting time, need of re-operation, complications and mortality were recorded. All data were analyzed by SPSS 15.0 for Windows. Descriptive statistics were carried out, and a comparison of two groups was made by the Mann-Whitney U and Chi-square tests for comparisons of numerical variables that do not provide a normal distribution. Predictive factors were examined by logistic regression analysis. The statistical significance level was considered as p<0.05.

RESULTS

The mean age was 40.0±17.6 (15-93) years. The total number of PUP patients was 143. Most of these patients were men (n=130). While 105 (73.4%) were Turkish citizen, and 38 (26.6%) were refugees. Pre-pyloric, post-pyloric and other sites, such as antrum, were the localizations of the perforations in 78 (54.5%), 62 (43.4%) and 3 (21%) patients, respectively. Mean duration of hospital stay was 6.8±3.4 (1-21) days. Six (4.2%) of the patients had reoperation because of complications like evisceration and intraabdominal abscess. A pneumoperitoneum image on an abdominal X-ray was present in 42 (29.4%) patients. Also, 128 of patients had posterior-anterior chest X-ray and 64 (44.8%) of all patients had a pneumoperitoneum image on chest X-ray 95 of patients had an abdominal computed tomography (CT) and 76 (53.5%) of all patients had a pneumoperitoneum image on CT. Mortality rate was 6.3% (n=9) (Table 1).

Abdominal X-ray assessment revealed statistical significance, because pneumoperitoneum presence at abdominal X-ray was 50% (n=19) in Turkish and 21.9% (n=23) in refugee patients (p=0.13). There was no statistical significance between mortality and the nation (Table 2).

Age, perforation diameter, reoperation and complication rates were statistically significantly higher in mortal patients (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.003, p<0.001, respectively). The mortality rate of patients with other types of surgery was higher than Graham patch surgery. In laboratory evaluations, CRP, creatinine, BUN, amylase values were significantly high, whereas Htc and albumin values were statistically significantly low (Table 3).

For the factors determining mortality, the number of days of nasogastric tube usage, post-pyloric localization and perforation diameter were the most significant factors in the model consisting of variables p<0.250 in the Single Variable analysis for logistic regression (p=0.003, p=0.038, p=0.001, respectively) (Table 4). There were no statistically significant differences in biochemical evaluations between the two groups.

DISCUSSION

PUP is more common in men than in women, and it is the most common form of a benign reason for acute abdomen, which needs emergency surgery after counted than acute appendicitis, creating a major health issue. Changes in living standards and medical usage, such as steroids, stress and smoking, contribute to perforation formation.

Several studies have demonstrated that Helicobacter pylori infection increases PUP rates. PPI may be used daily in routine by patients for chronic gastritis. The previous studies showed no increased risk of PUP by the increased age. Also, it is reported that the length of smoking and usage of NSAIDs increases the risk of PUP.[5-7]
Table 1. Demographic, biochemical and radiological findings of the groups

|                      | n  | %     |
|----------------------|----|-------|
| Sex                  |    |       |
| Male                 | 130| 90.9  |
| Female               | 13 | 9.1   |
| Age, Mean±SD         | 40.0±17.6 (15–93) |
| Nation               |    |       |
| Turkish              | 105| 73.4  |
| Others               | 38 | 26.6  |
| Localization         |    |       |
| Pre-pyloric          | 78 | 54.5  |
| Post-pyloric         | 62 | 43.4  |
| Other (e.g., Antrum) | 3  | 2.1   |
| Perforation diameter (mm) | 7.6±5.9 (2–30) |
| Operation            |    |       |
| Graham Patch         | 123| 86.0  |
| Technique            |    |       |
| Laparoscopic Graham Patch | 10 | 7.0  |
| Other (e.g., Anrectomy) | 10 | 7.0  |
| Duration of hospital stay | 6.8±3.4 (1–21) |
| Starting time of oral nutrition | 4.0±1.8 (2–18) |
| Nasogastric tube usage day | 3.6±2.5 (1–18) |
| Re-operation         |    |       |
| No                   | 137| 95.8  |
| Yes                  | 6  | 4.2   |
| Complication         |    |       |
| No                   | 122| 85.3  |
| Yes                  | 21 | 14.7  |
| Leucocytes           |    |       |
| 14.9±7.3 (3.47–75)   |    |       |
| C-reactive protein   |    |       |
| 55.7±97.8 (0.18–500) |    |       |
| Hematocrit           |    |       |
| 42.0±6.6 (15.9–62)   |    |       |
| Albumin              |    |       |
| 3.87±0.78 (1.23–5.4) |    |       |
| Creatinine           |    |       |
| 0.97±0.83 (0.21–8.79)|    |       |
| Blood urea nitrogen  |    |       |
| 40.0±29.4 (15.8–234) |    |       |
| Amylase              |    |       |
| 81.4±69.5 (21–731)   |    |       |
| Abdominal            |    |       |
| Direct X-Ray         |    |       |
| None                 | 21 | 14.7  |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 42 | 29.4  |
| Air-liquid level     | 5  | 3.5   |
| Normal               | 75 | 52.4  |
| Chest X-Ray          |    |       |
| None                 | 12 | 8.4   |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 64 | 44.8  |
| Normal               | 66 | 46.2  |
| Computed tomography  |    |       |
| None                 | 47 | 33.1  |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 76 | 53.5  |
| Free liquid          | 8  | 5.6   |
| Contrast extravulation | 6 | 4.2  |
| Normal               | 5  | 3.5   |
| Mortality            |    |       |
| No                   | 134| 93.7  |
| Yes                  | 9  | 6.3   |

Table 2. Comparison of the features patients’ nations

|                      |  |     |
|----------------------|---|-----|
|                      | Turkish | Others | p  |
|                      | n   | %    | n   | %    |
| Re-operation         |    |       |
| No                   | 100 | 95.2 | 37  | 97.4 | 1.000 |
| Yes                  | 5   | 4.8  | 1   | 2.6  |       |
| Complication         |    |       |
| No                   | 89  | 84.8 | 33  | 86.8 | 0.756 |
| Yes                  | 16  | 15.2 | 5   | 13.2 |       |
| Abdominal            |    |       |
| Direct x-ray         |    |       |
| None                 | 17  | 16.2 | 4   | 10.5 |       |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 23  | 21.9 | 19  | 50.0 | 0.013 |
| Air-liquid level     | 4   | 3.8  | 1   | 2.6  |       |
| Normal               | 61  | 58.1 | 14  | 36.8 |       |
| PALX                 |    |       |
| None                 | 10  | 9.5  | 2   | 3.5  | 0.183 |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 42  | 40.0 | 22  | 57.9 |       |
| Normal               | 53  | 50.5 | 14  | 36.8 |       |
| CT                   |    |       |
| None                 | 33  | 31.7 | 14  | 36.8 | 0.331 |
| Pneumoperitoneum     | 53  | 51.0 | 23  | 60.5 |       |
| Free liquid          | 7   | 6.7  | 1   | 2.6  |       |
| Contrast extravulation | 6 | 5.8  | 0   | 0.0  |       |
| Normal               | 5   | 4.8  | 0   | 0.0  |       |
| Mortality            |    |       |
| No                   | 97  | 92.4 | 37  | 97.4 | 0.445 |
| Yes                  | 8   | 7.6  | 1   | 2.6  |       |

Kanno et al. found that 87 (27%) of 329 peptic ulcers emerged from refugee shelters, and the majority (76 of 87) of them was the bleeding type. Multivariate regression showed that residence in a shelter was a strong risk factor for ulcer bleeding, independent of the progressiveness of ulcer diseases. Accommodation in a refugee shelter can be a strong risk factor for ulcer bleeding after a large-scale disaster since acid-suppressive drugs are supposed to decrease the risk for stress-induced ulcer bleeding. Although the trial was above actually related to ulcer bleeding risks, it shows us indirectly the PUP rate can be increased in the refugee population, too. Despite that opinion in our trial, we found that there was no statistical significance between refugees and Turkish citizens.

There had been several reports about the increase of peptic ulcers under a large-scale from disaster to war. It was still unclear that severe psychological stress itself caused peptic ulcer independently of two major causes, which are Helico-
bacter pylori infection and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. Disaster (psychological) stress possibly induces peptic ulcer independently of two major causes described above. Moreover, people living in a refugee shelter immediately af-

| Table 3. A multivariate analyses for mortality |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| | Mortality | p |
| | | No | Mean±SD | Yes | Mean±SD |
| | | n | % | | n | % |
| Sex | Male | 122 | 91.0 | 8 | 88.9 | 0.587 |
| | Female | 12 | 9.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Age | 38.1±15.9 (35) | 69.3±17.2 (70) | <0.001 |
| Nation | Turkish | 97 | 72.4 | 8 | 88.9 | 0.445 |
| | Others | 37 | 27.6 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Localization | Pre-pyloric | 76 | 56.7 | 2 | 22.2 | 0.151 |
| | Post-pyloric | 55 | 41.0 | 7 | 77.8 |
| | Others | 3 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Perforation(mm) | 6.8±4.6 (5) | 19.3±9.4 (20) | <0.001 |
| Operation | Graham Patch | 118 | 88.1 | 5 | 55.6 | 0.003 |
| | Lap Graham Patch | 10 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Other (e.g., Antrectomy) | 6 | 4.5 | 4 | 44.4 |
| Duration of hospital stay | 6.7±3.2 (6) | 8.4±5.9 (10) | 0.621 |
| Starting of oral nutrition | 4.0±1.9 (4) | 3.9±0.4 (4) | 0.587 |
| Nasogastric tube duration | 3.4±1.9 (3) | 7.7±6.1 (5) | 0.085 |
| Re-operation | No | 131 | 97.8 | 6 | 66.7 | 0.003 |
| | Yes | 3 | 2.2 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Complication | No | 119 | 88.8 | 3 | 33.3 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 15 | 11.2 | 6 | 66.7 |
| Leucocytes | 14.7±7.4 (13.9) | 16.6±6.2 (15.7) | 0.287 |
| C-reactive protein | 108±94.9 (8.7) | 128.2±117.6 (110.4) | 0.021 |
| Hematocrit | 42.3±6.1 (42.7) | 37.6±11.4 (38.3) | 0.026 |
| Albumin | 3.8±0.67 (4.2) | 2.29±0.70 (2.11) | <0.001 |
| Creatinine | 0.92±0.81 (0.8) | 1.73±0.88 (1.57) | <0.001 |
| Blood urea nitrogen | 37.1±26.4 (32) | 83.8±38.4 (97) | <0.001 |
| Amylase | 78.3±67.4 (65.8) | 128.5±87.3 (115) | 0.099 |
| Abdominal direct | | | | |
| X-ray | None | 19 | 14.2 | 2 | 22.2 | 0.065 |
| | Pneumoperitoneum | 42 | 31.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Air-liquid level | 4 | 3.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| | Normal | 69 | 51.5 | 6 | 66.7 |
| Chest X-Ray | None | 11 | 8.2 | 1 | 11.1 | 0.343 |
| | Pneumoperitoneum | 62 | 46.3 | 2 | 22.2 |
| | Normal | 61 | 45.5 | 6 | 66.7 |
| Computed tomography | None | 46 | 34.3 | 1 | 12.5 | 0.014 |
| | Pneumoperitoneum | 73 | 54.5 | 3 | 37.5 |
| | Free liquid | 6 | 4.5 | 2 | 25.0 |
| | Contrast extrapolulation | 4 | 3.0 | 2 | 25.0 |
| | Normal | 5 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
ter a disaster are a strong risk group of peptic ulcer bleeding.\[5\]

Carlsson et al.[8] also found that war is a reason for post-traumatic stress disease and some of the outcomes were symptoms of depression and anxiety. We also know that anxiety and depression may cause peptic ulcer disease and its complications.

In Dovjak et al.'s[7] trial, they found that the mortality in elderly patients over the age of 80 years with peptic ulcers in the case of complications, such as bleeding and perforation, is higher than the general population. In our trial, we also found that mortality increases with the age of patients. Duodenal ulcers are associated with Helicobacter pylori infections in 90% of cases and in 70% of gastric ulcers.[7]

In Varcus et al.'s[3] trial and review, there were 32 studies included, counting 3488 patients with Laparoscopic patch and 5208 with Graham patch. LPR patients had shorter hospital stays. They also emphasized the increased mortality rate in Graham patch patients because of their tendency to be more shocked or with higher ASA at presentation. In our series, there was no difference concerning mortality.

In Mohsina et al.'s[9] clinical trial, they evaluated the feasibility and efficacy of ERAS pathways in patients undergoing emergency simple closure of perforated duodenal ulcer. In this trial, patients with refractory shock, ASA class ≥3, and perforation size ≥1 cm were excluded. Ninety-nine patients were included and 49 and 50 patients were included in standard care and ERAS group, respectively. The duration of hospital stay in the ERAS group was significantly shorter. There was a significant reduction in postoperative morbidity, such as postoperative nausea, vomiting and pulmonary complications. This trial shows us early nasogastric tube extraction and starting oral nutrition may reduce morbidity and mortality rate. This was also a lack of our trial, and that should be surveyed in the future, too.

In our study, patients who underwent laparoscopic Graham patch have no mortality, and it was statistically significant. However, no clinical significance was considered because laparoscopic surgery was performed in appropriate patients. Wang et al.[10] found that there was no significant difference in baseline data between the laparoscopic patch and Graham patch (all p>0.05). No significant differences in operation time, the morbidity of postoperative complication, mortality, reoperation probability, nasogastric decompression time, fluid diet recovery time and hospitalization cost were found between two groups (all p>0.05).

According to several studies, being a refugee is a risk factor for peptic ulcer disease and its complication; therefore, we decided to investigate whether being a refugee was a prognostic factor for PUP. However, we found there was no difference between refugees and Turkish citizens concerning mortality and morbidity.

In our study, we found a similar prognosis between Turkish patients and refugees. We thought that, as a government policy, uncharged emergency healthcare and easy admission to hospital might be the reason for a similar prognosis between two groups.

---

**Table 4. Logistic regression analysis for factors determining mortality**

|                     |  p     | OR    | 95% CI   |
|---------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| **Enter Model**     |        |       |          |
| Age                 | 0.392  | 1.031 | 0.961    | 1.106    |
| Localization       |        |       |          |
| pre-pyloric         | 0.292  |       |          |
| post-pyloric        | 0.117  | 9.327 | 0.573    | 151.846  |
| Other (e.g., Antrectomy) | 0.999 | 0.000 | 0.000    | .        |
| Perforation (mm)    | 0.027  | 1.268 | 1.027    | 1.565    |
| Operation Graham Patch | 0.324 |       |          |
| Localization       |        |       |          |
| pre-pyloric         | 0.038  | 19.218| 1.171    | 315.289  |
| Other (e.g., Antrectomy) | 0.999 | 0.000 | 0.000    | .        |
| Perforation (mm)    | 0.001  | 1.312 | 1.120    | 1.536    |
| Nasogastric tube duration | 0.003 | 1.436 | 1.132    | 1.823    |

---
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