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Abstract

Advanced and accurate modelling of a Flapping Wing Micro Air Vehicle (FW MAV) and its control is one of the recent research topics related to the field of autonomous MAVs. Some desiring features of the FW MAV are quick flight, vertical take-off and landing, hovering, and fast turn, and enhanced manoeuvrability contrasted with similar-sized fixed and rotary wing MAVs. Inspired by the FW MAV’s advanced features, a four-wing Nature-inspired (NI) FW MAV is modelled and controlled in this work. The Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) clustering algorithm is utilized to construct the data-driven NIFW MAV model. Being model free, it does not depend on the system dynamics and can incorporate various uncertainties like sensor error, wind gust etc. Furthermore, a Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy structure based adaptive fuzzy controller is proposed. The proposed adaptive controller can tune its antecedent and consequent parameters using FCM clustering technique. This controller is employed to control the altitude of the NIFW MAV, and compared with a standalone Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller, and a Sliding Mode Control (SMC) theory based advanced controller. Parameter adaptation of the proposed controller helps to outperform its static PID counterpart. Performance of our controller is also comparable with its advanced and complex counterpart namely SMC-Fuzzy controller.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, the application of autonomous systems in the civil and military sector has increased significantly due to the advancement in control theory and electronics devices. Among various autonomous systems, significant effort is invested in modelling and controlling Micro Air Vehicles (MAVs), and this is one of the latest research topics in the field of autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). By definition MAVs usually have a maximum dimension of 150 mm, their size can be similar to a small bird, and they have a flight velocity of 10-20 $ms^{-1}$. Among these MAVs, very recently, nature-inspired flapping wing (NIFW) MAVs are becoming popular among researchers.
Sharp developments in micro-manufacturing techniques have made NIFW MAVs easily realisable. They are smaller in size and requires comparatively lower power than their fixed wings counterpart. The smaller size also provides them with the capability to perform at lower Reynolds numbers which cannot be obtained from rotary wing UAVs. Furthermore, these NIFW MAVs are able to facilitate a huge range of vital manoeuvres like vertical take-off and landing, gliding, roll banking, backward and sideways flying, which are not possible for similar sized fixed or rotary wing UAVs. Besides, NIFW MAVs have impressive potential in generating rapid acceleration during manoeuvres. The major benefits and feasibility of utilizing NIFW as MAV are described in [1]. These huge benefits of NIFW MAVs over other fixed and rotary wing UAVs have made them worthy of investigation. The flight dynamics of NIFW MAVs, whether bird inspired or insect-inspired is more complex than their rotary or fixed-wing counterparts, since the flight solely depends on the beating motion of the flapping wings. Therefore, researchers have investigated the flight dynamics of various flapping wing creatures in the last two decades [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. By analysing various features of nature-inspired flapping flight, the emphasis on developing NIFW MAVs is increasing in recent times [9, 10, 11].

Among different flying insects, dragonflies are one of the oldest with preferred mobility than most other insects as portrayed in [12, 13, 14, 15]. A dragonfly has four wings with the ability of quick flight, hovering, and fast moves. Inspired by their desired nature, specialists are attempting to develop Dragonfly liked FW MAV (DLFW MAV) model and trying to enhance their control precision. Linear and non-linear dynamics of a DL MAV was developed in [16] from flight test information. Besides, a sliding mode control theory based adaptive controller was proposed in their work to tune the input weighting matrix of LQR to deal with un-modelled parameters. To summarize, up to this point most of the strategies to model and control the FW MAV depend on first principle procedures, the exact numerical model is compulsory to manage their performance. Nevertheless, the FW MAVs are profoundly nonlinear and over-actuated systems. They may contain different vulnerabilities. An exact numerical model of FW MAVs considering these features is challenging to achieve. A smart solution to these issues is the employment of model-free knowledge-based data-driven techniques.

The data-driven modelling and control can play an important role in NIFW MAV system since they don’t require any mathematical model. Some of the commonly used non-linear data-driven modelling and control techniques are describing the function method, block structured systems, fuzzy logic, neural networks, and Nonlinear Autoregressive Moving Average Model with Exogenous inputs (NAR-MAX methods). Among these techniques, fuzzy logic and neural network systems are promising since they demonstrate learning capability from a set of data and approximate reasoning trait of human beings. They can cope with the imprecision and uncertainty of the decision-making process. In recent times fuzzy logic and neural networks are employed to model and control various MAVs [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28].
A Spiking Neural Network (SNN) to control an FW MAV called RoboBee was proposed in [29]. In [30], a Neural Immunology Network (NIN) based controller was proposed. NIN was inspired by the memory and immune system. Their controller can control the motion of FW MAVs by considering various system non-linearities. Besides, their control method can deal effectively with external perturbations and parameter variations since they do not need any precise dynamics model. A direct adaptive (DA) and hybrid adaptive fuzzy controller (HAFC) was developed in [31] to control dragonfly-like FW MAV model by simulation. Better trajectory tracking performance is observed from the HAFC than the DAFC.

Due to the successful implementation and evaluation of various neuro and fuzzy technique in FW MAV, in this work a FCM clustering based T-S fuzzy system is utilized to identify the FW MAV. In addition, a PD-like adaptive fuzzy controller is developed to control the altitude of the FW MAV.

### 2 Fuzzy Modelling and Adaptive Control of Flapping Wing Micro-Air Vehicle

The FW MAV used in our work is a simulated nature-inspired insect robot with four wings. The development process of the NIFW MAV flight simulator is described in [32]. From this flight simulator data has been collected to develop the fuzzy based identification and an adaptive controller. Due to the high cost and time consumption to develop and set-up experimental flight test, the utilization of such flight simulators are usual. In this flight simulator, the wing kinematics for a wing flapping in an inclined stroke plane are obtained from the derivation described in [33]. The flapping angle ($\phi$) in the flapping profile of the FW MAV can be expressed as follows

$$\phi(t) = \frac{\Phi_0}{2} \cos(\pi ft),$$

where $\Phi_0$ is the flapping amplitude in radian, $f$ is the flapping frequency in Hz, $t$ is the time in second. The angle of attack ($\alpha$) can be presented as

$$\alpha = \alpha_{ma} - \alpha_0 \sin (\omega dt + \psi),$$

where $\alpha_{ma}$ is mean angle of attack in radian, $\alpha_0$ is an amplitude of pitching oscillation in radian, $dt$ is time step in seconds and $\psi$ is the phase difference between the pitching and plunging motion. All the four wings of the FW MAV follows the same flapping profile.

In the simulator, each wing is controlled by an actuator. A symbolic diagram or body coordination of four wing. NIFW MAV is exhibited in Figure 1.

*Figure 1. Body coordination of a NIFW MAV.* Numbers indicate the actuator number

Each actuator is controlled by eight (8) flapping parameters namely 1) stroke plane angle (in rad), 2) flapping frequency (in Hz), 3) flapping amplitude (in rad), 4) mean angle of attack (in rad), 5) amplitude of pitching oscillation (in rad), 6) phase difference between the pitching and plunging motion, 7) time step (in sec), 8) kappa, set as zero in the plant. A parametric analysis is accomplished to find the dominant flapping parameter. After a complete parametric analysis, it is observed that among eight parameters the flapping amplitude is the dominant one to control the NIFW MAV. Effects of changing the flapping amplitude to some major manoeuvring of a NIFW MAV are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Effects of flapping amplitude in different manoeuvring of NIFW MAV

| Actuators | Flapping amplitude, $\phi_0$ (degree) | Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| 1, 2, 3, 4 | 90                                  | Take-off |
| 1, 3 and 2, 4 | 90 and 60                           | Roll-right |
| 1, 2 and 3, 4 | 60 and 90                           | Roll-left |
| 1, 3 and 2, 4 | 90 and 60                           | Pitch-up |
| 1, 3 and 2, 4 | 60 and 90                           | Pitch-down |
2.1 Fuzzy Clustering Based Modelling of the NIFW MAV

From the NIFW MAV flight simulator, the input-output data is collected to develop the data-driven model, where the four input datasets \((u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))\) are the four flapping amplitudes applied to four actuators. The three-dimensional (3D) rotational velocities \((\omega_{bx}, \omega_{by}, \omega_{bz})\) and translational velocities \((v_{bx}, v_{by}, v_{bz})\) of the NIFW MAV body are six output datasets. These four inputs \((u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t))\) and delayed outputs \((\omega_{bx}(t-1), \omega_{by}(t-1), \omega_{bz}(t-1), v_{bx}(t-1), v_{by}(t-1), v_{bz}(t-1))\) are the inputs to the Multi-Input Multi-output (MIMO) nonlinear NIFW MAV model, which can be expressed as follows

\[
FW(t) = f(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t), \omega_{bx}(t-1), \\
\omega_{by}(t-1), \omega_{bz}(t-1), v_{bx}(t-1), v_{by}(t-1), v_{bz}(t-1)),
\]

where \(FW(t)\) is the MIMO NIFW MAV model. In FCM, a data sample may belong to more than one cluster with a degree of belongingness that varies between 0 to 1, where the integration of degrees of belongingness of a data sample to all groups is always one as expressed below

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{c} \mu_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{4}
\]

where, \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, c\); \(c\) is the number of clusters, \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\); \(n\) is the number of inputs.

However, the FCM still requires a cost function to be minimized during partitioning the data set. The cost function in FCM can be expressed as follows

\[
J(X;U,V) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} J_i = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{ij}^m d_{ij}^2, \tag{5}
\]

where \(U = [\mu_{1j}, \mu_{2j}, \ldots, \mu_{cj}]\) is a fuzzy partition matrix of dataset \(X\), and \(X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}\); \(V = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_c]\) is a vector of cluster centres, \(v_i \in \mathbb{R}\); \(\mu_{ij}\) ranges between 0 to 1; \(d_{ij} = \|x_{ij} - v_{ij}\|\) is the Euclidian distance between the \(i-th\) cluster centre and \(i-th\) data point for the \(j-th\) rule; \(m \in [1, \infty)\) is a weighting exponent.

The two conditions to reach the minimum for Equation (5) are as follows

\[
y_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{ij}^m x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{ij}^m}, \quad \mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{c} (\frac{d_{ij}}{d_{kj}})^{2/(m-1)}}, \tag{6,7}
\]

The FCM algorithm repeatedly performs through Equation (6) and (7) until no more improvement is observed. The efficiency of Equation (6) and (7) and convergence of the FCM algorithm is proven in [34].

In batch training operation, the algorithm of FCM based T-S fuzzy model to determine the cluster centres \(v_i\) and the membership matrix \(U\) is presented in Algorithm below.

Algorithm FCM based T-S fuzzy model

\begin{itemize}
  \item [Input:] Input/target pair
  \item [Output:] Identified output
  \begin{itemize}
    \item [Initialisation:] 
      \begin{enumerate}
        \item Initialize the membership matrix \(Y\) with random values ranging between 0 to 1 such a way to satisfy the constraints in Equation (4).
      \end{enumerate}
    \item [LOOP Process] 
      \begin{enumerate}
        \item for \(i = 1\) to \(c\) do
          \begin{enumerate}
            \item Calculate the cluster centres \(v_i\) using Equation (5)
          \end{enumerate}
          \begin{enumerate}
            \item if (Cost function > Threshold) then
              \begin{enumerate}
                \item ADD new rule
              \end{enumerate}
            \item else
              \begin{enumerate}
                \item Identified output = evalfis(input(i))
              \end{enumerate}
            \end{enumerate}
        \item end if
      \end{enumerate}
      \begin{enumerate}
        \item return Identified output = evalfis(input(i))
      \end{enumerate}
  \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

In FCM, the performance has a dependency on the initial membership matrix values, which suggest running the algorithm for a few times.

2.2 Development of Adaptive Fuzzy Controller

A T-S fuzzy structure has been utilized to develop the adaptive fuzzy controller in this work. The FCM clustering technique is utilized to adapt the premise parameters such as centres and widths of the membership function of the controller. It has
also been utilized to adapt the consequent part of T-S fuzzy system of the adaptive controller. The proposed controller is trained with a stable PD controller, which has been exposed in Figure 2. However, the fuzzy controller performs better than the static PD controller since the fuzzy controller can adapt its parameter using FCM technique. The closed-loop adaptive control system is exhibited in Figure 3. The difference between the reference signal and plants output i.e. the error \( e(t) \) is one of the inputs to the controller, and the rate of change of that error \( (de(t)/dt) \) is another input to the controller, which is presented in the input layer of Figure 3. These crisp inputs are being fuzzified in the fuzzification layer, where Gaussian membership functions are utilized. To get the desired signal from the FW MAV model, the fuzzy controller alters the Gaussian membership functions width and centres by utilizing the FCM clustering technique, where the error signal \( e(t) \) is utilized as a cost function for the FCM clustering. After this, the 'AND' operation i.e. the product of all membership functions are obtained. Finally, output of the adaptive fuzzy controller is calculated in the output layer as follows

\[ y_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i} = \Psi \Theta, \quad (8) \]

where \( i = 1, 2, ..., N; N \) is the number of rules, \( \Psi \) is the product of normalized firing strength and input vector, and \( \Theta \) is the vector of consequent parameter, \( w_i \) is the rule firing strength of the \( i-th \) rule and can be expressed as

\[ w_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{A_j}, \quad (9) \]

where \( j = 1, 2, ..., n; n \) is the number of inputs, \( \mu_{A_j} \) is the membership function of the \( i-th \) rule and \( j-th \) input. In this work, Gaussian membership function is employed and can be expressed as:

\[ \mu_{A_j} = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x_j - \nu_j}{\sigma_j} \right)^2 \right), \quad (10) \]

where \( \nu_j \) is the center and \( \sigma_j \) is the width of the Gaussian membership function for the \( i-th \) rule and \( j-th \) input. In Figure 3, the \( z_i = a_{0j} + a_{1j}x_1 + a_{2j}x_2 + ... + a_{nj}x_n \) is expressing the consequent parameter of the \( i-th \) rule, where \( a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \) are consequent parameters of that rule. In this work, the inputs are \( x_1 = e \) and \( x_2 = \dot{e} \). The controller's output signal goes to the identified NIFW MAV model. Then the model’s output is integrated to get the vertical altitude from velocity, and compared with the reference position. The controller tunes its parameter until the model output follows the reference signal, and consequently, the error signal \( e(t) \) becomes zero. In this FCM based adaptive fuzzy controller, five Gaussian membership functions are utilized. Vectors of Initial centers for those membership functions are [-7.386 -4.93 -1.443 2.531 6.483] and [-38.24 -26.62 -8.747 13.63 36.7] respectively for the error \( e \) and a derivative of error \( \dot{e} \), whereas the vectors of widths are [5.837 5.055 5.667 6.274 5.856] and [27.21 24.65 27.3 29.43 26.3] for \( e \) and \( \dot{e} \) respectively.

**Stability Analysis of the Adaptive Fuzzy Controller:**

Usually, the stability test requires a mathematical model of the plant to be controlled. However, attaining a proper mathematical model of a highly non-linear over-actuated system like NIFW MAV is too difficult. In such situation, the model free adaptive fuzzy controller is an appropriate solution. In this work, the closed-loop stability of the adaptive fuzzy controller is ensured with the assistance of the PD controller as explained and proved in [27, 35].

**Theorem 1** The adaptation laws for the proposed adaptive fuzzy controller are expressed as

\[ \dot{\Theta}(t) = -k_p H(t) \Psi(t) s_i(t), \quad (11) \]

where \( \Theta(0) = \Theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{nR \times 1} \),

where \( n \) is the number of inputs, \( R \) is the number of rules, \( \Theta_0 \) is the initial value of \( \Theta \). The term \( H(t) \) of Equation (11) can be updated recursively as follows

\[ H(t) = -H(t) \Psi(t) \Psi^T(t) H(t), \quad (12) \]

where \( H(0) = H_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{nR \times nR} \), \( H_0 \) is the initial value of \( H \). \( n \) is presenting the number of inputs to the controller, and \( R \) is the number of rules. These adaptation laws assure a stable closed-loop control system.
Figure 2. Training of FCM based fuzzy adaptive control system from a stable PD controller

Figure 3. Closed-loop block diagram of FCM based fuzzy adaptive control system
0.01 seconds. In a physical NIFW MAV model, it can change its flapping amplitude within a certain range, which is between $-90^\circ$ and $90^\circ$. Therefore, a sinusoidal flapping amplitude varying between $-90^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ is applied to each actuator of all four wings of the NIFW MAV as shown in Figure 4, which helps the FCM clustering based NIFW MAV model to get the input datasets within the maximum range. In this technique, T-S fuzzy model with three (3) Gaussian membership function is utilized. From Figure 5 and Figure 6 it is clearly observed that all the translation velocities ($v_{bx}$, $v_{by}$, and $v_{bz}$), and the rotational velocities ($\omega_{bx}$, $\omega_{by}$, and $\omega_{bz}$) are identified with a negligible error.

The adaptive T-S fuzzy controller’s performance is evaluated with respect to various reference signals and the performance is compared with a PID controller, and SMC theory based fuzzy controller developed in [36]. In this work, the considered trajectories for tracking altitude are as follows: 1) constant height of 10 m; 2) sinusoidal wave function with an amplitude of 1 m and frequency of 1 Hz; 3) square wave function with an amplitude of 1 m and frequency of 0.1 Hz; and 4) different step functions. In all the figures, the proposed controller is named as "FCM-TS-Fuzzy", whereas the benchmarked controllers are named as "SMC-Fuzzy", and "PID". At first, tracking performance of the controllers are observed for a trajectory of constant height. The results are observed in Figure 7, from where it is observed that the proposed controller performs better than the PID controller. The performance is also comparable with SMC-Fuzzy controller. Besides, comparatively higher overshoot is observed from the PID controller at the transient state. After that, the performance is witnessed for a sinusoidal reference signal, where an improved performance is observed from the FCM-TS-Fuzzy controller as exposed in Figure 8. A square wave pulse signal is also inserted into the closed loop system to observe the efficacy of the proposed adaptive fuzzy controller. Our proposed controller outperforms the PID and SMC-Fuzzy controller as shown in Figure 9. Finally, three different types of step functions such as $Z_{by}(t) = 10u(t - 20)$, $Z_{bz}(t) = 5u(t) + 5u(t - 20)$, $Z_{by}(t) = -5u(t) + 10u(t - 20)$ are used as reference signal to check the proposed controller’s performance. Here $u(t)$ is a unit step function. Satisfactory and better performance than the PID controller is recorded in all cases as shown.

### 3 Results and Discussion

The data used for the MIMO nonlinear NIFW MAV system identification is based on a 100 seconds simulation in Simulink with a time step of...
in Figure 10, Figure 11, and Figure 12. The SMC-Fuzzy controller performs slightly better than the proposed controller. However, the results are comparable with a simple structure of the proposed controller compare to the SMC-Fuzzy controller. Besides, the root mean square error (RMSE) for PID, SMC-Fuzzy and the proposed adaptive fuzzy controller in all cases are tabulated in Table 1, where RMSEs of the fuzzy controller are less than the PID controller, and very close to the SMC-Fuzzy controller.

![Figure 8. Altitude tracking performance of NIFW MAV controllers for a sinusoidal trajectory](image)

![Figure 9. Altitude tracking performance of NIFW MAV controllers for a square wave trajectory](image)

![Figure 10. Altitude tracking performance of NIFW MAV controllers for a step wave trajectory](image)

![Figure 11. Altitude tracking performance of FW MAV controllers for a step wave trajectory](image)

![Figure 12. Altitude tracking performance of NIFW MAV controllers for a constant height trajectory](image)

![Figure 13. A square wave pulse signal is also inserted into the closed loop system to observe the performance is witnessed for a sinusoidal reference](image)
fuzzy controller outperforms the PID controller. In compare to the SMC-Fuzzy controller, the architecture of the proposed fuzzy controller is much simpler. However, a comparable performance is witnessed from the proposed controller. The proposed fuzzy controller helps the MAV to follow various desired trajectories with RMSE of only 0.5693, 0.0737, 0.2039, 0.4023, 0.6266 and 0.7188. In future, our adaptive fuzzy controller will be advanced to an evolving controller by utilizing learning machine algorithms and will be implemented in a NIFW MAV hardware.

4 Conclusion

Acquiring an exact numerical model and the control of a highly nonlinear over-actuated complex system like NIFW MAV is difficult. Besides, the uncertainties are hard or sometimes impossible to incorporate in such a model. Propelled by various points of interest of model-free techniques using neural networks, and fuzzy logic systems, in this work an FCM clustering based nonlinear fuzzy MIMO NIFW MAV model is developed, where the datasets are recorded from a built-up NIFW MAV flight simulator. Moreover, an adaptive fuzzy controller is developed and employed to control the MAVs altitude. In the developed controller, the FCM clustering is used to tune the antecedent parameters, whereas the PD theory is utilized to adapt the consequent parameters. To evaluate the controller’s performance, it is contrasted with a PID controller with respect to constant height, sinusoidal wave, square wave, and three different step functions. In all cases, our developed adaptive fuzzy controller outperforms the PID controller. In compare to the SMC-Fuzzy controller, the architecture of the proposed fuzzy controller is much simpler. However, a comparable performance is witnessed from the proposed controller. The proposed fuzzy controller helps the MAV to follow various desired trajectories with RMSE of only 0.5693, 0.0737, 0.2039, 0.4023, 0.6266 and 0.7188. In future, our adaptive fuzzy controller will be advanced to an evolving controller by utilizing learning machine algorithms and will be implemented in a NIFW MAV hardware.

Table 2. Controllers performance (Measured RMSE)

| Reference Signal | FCM-TS-Fuzzy RMSE | SMC-Fuzzy RMSE | PID RMSE |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|
| Constant height  | 0.5693            | 0.5876         | 0.6630 |
| Sinusoidal       | 0.0737            | 0.0790         | 0.2096 |
| Square wave      | 0.2039            | 0.2098         | 0.2493 |
| Step 1           | 0.4023            | 0.3856         | 0.4000 |
| Step 2           | 0.6266            | 0.5678         | 0.6701 |
| Step 3           | 0.6888            | 0.6695         | 0.7188 |
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