Predictive validity of effective shunt fraction in critically ill patients
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Abstract

Accurate measurement of pulmonary oxygenation is important for classification of disease severity and quantification of outcomes in clinical studies. We compared predictive validity of established tension-based methods with two new measures of shunt fraction: (1) a non-invasive effective shunt (ES); and (2) inferred values from an integrated mathematical model of gas exchange (DB). Median absolute error (MAE) values for the four measures considered were: alveolar-arterial difference, 7.30kPa; P_aO_2/F_iO_2 ratio, 2.41kPa; DB, 2.13kPa; ES: 1.88kPa. ES performed significantly better than other measures (p<10^{-10} in all comparisons). While the simplicity of P/F is suitable for routine use, the
superior predictive validity of ES should make this measure the preferred choice where physiological accuracy is important, such as for use as surrogate outcome in clinical research.
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Introduction

Hypoxia is the defining feature of respiratory failure. Accurate quantification of pulmonary oxygenation defect is essential to determine inclusion in clinical trials, measure outcomes in research studies, and to observe change in lung function in a clinical setting.

In severely hypoxic patients, direct measurement of intrapulmonary shunt provides the most accurate quantification of an oxygenation defect [1]. Tension-based indices, including P_{a}O_{2}/F_{I}O_{2} (P/F) ratio and alveolar - arterial (A-a) difference have poor agreement with intrapulmonary shunt fraction [1–3]. The primary limitation in tension-based indices is the marked and non-linear change in P_{a}O_{2} when F_{I}O_{2} is changed [4]. Brochard and colleagues demonstrated that this can be predicted from a simple mathematical model[5].

The concept of predictive validity is a mathematical reality check for a clinical measure. For a given clinical measure, predictive validity quantifies the extent to which that measure predicts an unseen event. This enables a rigorous, unbiased test of how well a clinical measure is describing a real entity. This approach, using mortality as the predicted event, was used in the development of consensus definitions for both acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)[6] and sepsis [7].

A measure that accurately reflects the true state of a patient’s lungs should not change markedly following a change in F_{I}O_{2}. Therefore, the prediction of a P_{a}O_{2} following a change in F_{I}O_{2}, assuming that the measure of the oxygenation defect remained unaltered, is a valid assessment for predictive validity.

We hypothesised that an easily-understood, content-based oxygenation index may be obtainable from routinely-acquired arterial blood gas (ABG) data, without any need for additional invasive measurements. In order to assess different approaches, we quantified the predictive validity of P/F, A-a and two new methods of estimating shunt fraction (effective shunt fraction (ES) and a database method (DB)) in a simple test: prediction of P_{a}O_{2} following a change in F_{I}O_{2} in a large retrospective cohort.
Materials and Methods

Data source and filtering

We used a set of 78,159 arterial blood gas samples taken from patients on the intensive care unit (ICU) at the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh between 2011 and 2016. This contained data from 6,511 patients. To obtain pairs of ABGs in which underlying pulmonary pathology was unlikely to change substantially between samples, we limited the analysis to pairs of samples taken within a 3hr window from weaning patients (F\textsubscript{I}O\textsubscript{2} decreasing) with stable alveolar ventilation (change in P\textsubscript{a}CO\textsubscript{2} < 0.3kPa). A baseline measure, reflecting the expected noise in predictive validity estimates, was calculated from pairs of ABGs meeting these criteria, but in which F\textsubscript{I}O\textsubscript{2} remained constant.

Predictive validity

Each measure was quantified for the earlier ABG in each pair. For ES, P/F and A-a, the F\textsubscript{I}O\textsubscript{2} in the second ABG was used to estimate the paired P\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2}. For the DB method, input settings for an integrated mathematical model of gas exchange were identified which matched to the first ABG. These were then extrapolated to the F\textsubscript{I}O\textsubscript{2} and P\textsubscript{a}CO\textsubscript{2} of the second ABG, and the mean P\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2} of all matching model runs taken to be the prediction (Figure 1b). Median absolute differences between predicted and observed P\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2} across all ABG pairs were taken as the predictive validity for each method.

Research Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee [16/SS/0209].

Software and statistical analyses

All analysis was performed using Python 3.5.2 and scipy.stats version 0.18.1. A Kruskal-Wallis H-test was used to determine the difference in error rate between the different measures. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with Bonferroni correction were used as a stringent post test for pairwise comparisons.
Results

Comparison of oxygenation measures

From a total of 78159 ABGs from 6511 patients, a test set was selected at random, containing 54115 ABGs from 4558 patients. In a formal test set comprising 9635 pairs of ABGs, median absolute error (MAE) values for the four measures considered were: A-a, 7.30kPa; P/F, 2.41kPa; DB, 2.13kPa; ES: 1.88kPa. ES had significantly superior predictive validity than all other measures Table 1.

Table 1: Pairwise comparisons between errors in oxygenation measures in test set (Mann-Whitney u test, Bonferroni correction).

| Measure 1 | Measure 2 | p (MWu) | p Threshold | Reject null |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| A-a       | P/F       | <1E-300 | 0.008       | True        |
| A-a       | DB        | <1E-300 | 0.008       | True        |
| A-a       | ES        | <1E-300 | 0.008       | True        |
| P/F       | DB        | 6.86E-17| 0.008       | True        |
| P/F       | ES        | 1.37E-51| 0.008       | True        |
| DB        | ES        | 1.84E-12| 0.008       | True        |

Validation of assumed values

Three key assumed values are required for the calculation of ES: respiratory exchange ratio (RER), cardiac output (Q) and metabolic oxygen consumption (VO₂). Varying these values over wide ranges (RER, 0.8 to 1.1; Q, 3 to 15 l.min⁻¹; VO₂, 0.15 to 1 l.min⁻¹) had minimal effect on the value of effective shunt.

Discussion

This is the first study, to our knowledge, comparing the predictive validity of non-invasive effective shunt fraction with tension-based measures. Our observations are consistent in magnitude and direction with previous work using direct measurements of pulmonary shunt in human participants [1–3].

The poor performance of A-a difference is consistent with the report by Cane and colleagues [1], who demonstrated that A-a was the least reliable measure compared with invasive measurements of Qs/Qt. The very poor predictive validity of A-a in our study, together with previous work, leads us to conclude that this measure has no role in any context.
Figure 1: (a) Boxplot showing distribution of absolute error for each measure in all samples, together with baseline distribution of pairs of ABGs in which $F_I O_2$ was unchanged. (b) Range of possible $F_I O_2$-$P_a O_2$ combinations for conditions matching a single ABG result. (c) Range of possible results for conditions matching two ABG result at different $F_I O_2$. 
Marked changes in PaO2 may occur within a 3h interval due to real changes in pulmonary function, for example due to recruitment, suction, diuresis, or change in posture. We therefore cannot draw any inference from the absolute value of the error in prediction, only a comparison between different methods. Noise caused by these and other factors is expected to limit the maximum possible accuracy of any prediction of PaO2. This minimum achievable error is reflected by the baseline values (Figure 1a) showing the change in PaO2 between pairs of ABGs meeting the other selection criteria, with no change in FiO2.

Since V/Q heterogeneity and shunt are separate inputs into the physiological model used to generate the database (see Supplementary Information), this approach is expected to handle this distinction better than the other measures. However, as shown in Figure 1b, there is insufficient information in a single ABG to distinguish between shunt and V/Q heterogeneity. In contrast, with two ABGs taken at different settings of FiO2, the patient’s oxygen responsiveness is quantified, greatly restricting the range of possible values for both shunt and V/Q heterogeneity (Figure 1c). This double FiO2 test may resolve the uncertainty in quantifying pulmonary shunt, but is computationally-intensive.

The effective shunt fraction can be calculated on any ABG result, provided the FiO2 is known. The computation is fast and simple. Hence the method could be retrospectively applied to previous studies that hold ABG data in a machine-readable format. Whilst the simplicity of the P/F ratio will continue to make it the best choice for clinical use, the superior predictive validity of ES makes it a better choice where accurate quantification of oxygenation defect is necessary.
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