Three-Dimensional Transport Model for Intravitreal and Suprachoroidal Drug Injection
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PURPOSE. Quantitative understanding of the transport of therapeutic macromolecules following intraocular injections is critical for the design of efficient strategies in treating eye diseases, such as neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and macular edema (ME). Antiangiogenic treatments, such as neutralizing antibodies against VEGF or recently characterized antiangiogenic peptides, have shown promise in slowing disease progression.

METHODS. We developed a comprehensive three-dimensional (3D) transport model for intraocular injections using published data on drug distribution in rabbit eyes following intravitreal and suprachoroidal (SC) injection of sodium fluorescein (SF), bevacizumab, and ranibizumab. The model then was applied to evaluate the distribution of small molecules and antiangiogenic proteins following intravitreal and SC injections in human eyes.

RESULTS. The model predicts that intravitreally administered molecules are substantially mixed within the vitreous following injection, and that the long-term behavior of the injected drug does not depend on the initial mixing. Ocular pharmacokinetics of different drugs is sensitive to different clearance mechanisms. Effective retinal drug delivery is impacted by RPE permeability. For VEGF antibody, intravitreal injection provides sustained delivery to the retina, whereas SC injection provides more efficient, but short-lived, retinal delivery for smaller-sized molecules. Long-term suppression of neovascularization through SC administration of antiangiogenic drugs necessitates frequent injection or sustained delivery, such as microparticle-based delivery of antiangiogenic peptides.

CONCLUSIONS. A comprehensive 3D model for intravitreal and SC drug injection is developed to provide a framework and platform for testing drug delivery routes and sustained delivery devices for new and existing drugs.
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method of sustained delivery of drugs, such as triamcinolone acetonide (TA).\textsuperscript{7,8} 

Anti-VEGF therapy for AMD and ME uses intraocular injection of VEGF-neutralizing antibodies, ranibizumab and bevacizumab, or a fusion VEGF receptor aflibercept, that have been found to provide substantial benefits to patients; however, in a significant percentage of patients these therapeutic interventions are unable to eliminate neovascularization and edema, suggesting that other pathways and factors might be involved.\textsuperscript{9,10} 

Antiangiogenic short peptides are emerging as new promising agents for the treatment of AMD and ME. Classes of antiangiogenic peptides have been discovered,\textsuperscript{11} among them a serpin-derived peptide has been shown to be a promising potential therapeutic along with its biodegradable polymeric microparticle-based delivery system.\textsuperscript{12} Recently, a collagen IV-derived peptide has been demonstrated in several animal models to significantly reduce neovascularization and vascular leakage.\textsuperscript{13} To reduce the frequency of injections and to prolong the action of the antiangiogenic peptides, peptide-containing microparticles that slowly release peptide over an extended period of time can be injected to provide sustained inhibition of neovascularization and vascular leakage. Effective antiangiogenic agents including small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors, peptides, antibodies, siRNAs, or genes can be intraocularly delivered as treatment. Considering they can vary significantly in transport properties, characterization of ocular transport of different molecules is especially important to evaluate the efficacy of drug delivery strategies. Several computational models have been developed for different drug delivery techniques to the posterior segment of the eye including systemic delivery, intravitreal injection, and ocular implants. Balachandran and Barocas\textsuperscript{14} used a finite element method (FEM) to simulate the diffusion, convection, and active transport through the diffusion barriers of drugs delivered from systemic source. Jooybar et al.\textsuperscript{15} developed a similar model with detailed geometry using FEM-based COMSOL Multiphysics for ocular drug transport following intravitreal injection and ocular implants. Other models focused on in silico investigations of effectiveness of different kinds of ocular implants. Kavousanakis et al.\textsuperscript{16} simulated the delivery of an anti-VEGF fragment antibody to the posterior segment of the eye using a polymer gel implant. The pharmacokinetic model developed by Kotha et al.\textsuperscript{17} studied a polymer patch-like implant placed on sclera, and the three-dimensional (3D) model developed by Park et al.\textsuperscript{18} simulated an implant drug release profile, both using FEM similar to the previous models. Other studies focused on using computational models, in combination with experiments to determine the transport properties of individual components of the eye. For example, Haghiou et al.\textsuperscript{19} investigated the outward permeability of different ophthalmic drugs in the retina-choroid-sclera region of the eye, and Ranta et al.\textsuperscript{20} analyzed the effect of the diffusion barriers on pharmacokinetics of these ophthalmic drugs. A more recent model developed by Hutton-Smith et al.\textsuperscript{21} used a three-compartment model to assess the distribution of intravitreally delivered drugs across the retina, vitreous chamber, and anterior chamber. Despite a plethora of computational models dedicated to study ocular pharmacokinetics of drugs of different classes, there remains a lack of wide comparisons of computational models and experimental data.\textsuperscript{22} Recent developments in novel drug delivery techniques, such as SC injection, also warrant anatomically-detailed models of ocular pharmacokinetics validated by experimental data.

We developed a physiologically-based computational 3D model for ocular diffusion of therapeutic drugs following injection into the vitreous cavity and SC space of the eye and performed simulations using COMSOL Multiphysics software. The model is validated with previously published experimental data for fluorophotometry scans in rabbit eyes following intraocular delivery of molecules of different molecular size including sodium fluorescein (SF), bevacizumab,\textsuperscript{4} and ranibizumab.\textsuperscript{23} The model then is used to predict the transport of small molecule SF, as well as larger antiangiogenic VEGF antibodies following intraocular injection into the human eye. Our model was able to characterize ocular transport of different therapeutic agents across diffusion and permeability barriers in the posterior segment of the eye and compute the local concentration in each layer of the eye to predict the efficacy of different ocular drug delivery strategies for a variety of therapeutic agents.

**METHODS**

**Model Geometry**

A 3D model detailing anatomical structures of the vitreous and posterior segment of the eye was developed using COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling software (version 5.3; COMSOL, Inc., Burlington, MA, USA). The geometry of the model is shown in Figure 1a. The shape of the eye is assumed to be approximately spherical. This study focused on the transport of the injected species in the posterior segment of the eye only. In this model, the posterior segment was divided into sclera (S), SC space, choroid (C), retina (R), and vitreous (V). The RPE is modeled as a thin layer located between the C and R layers of the eye. The inner limiting membrane (ILM) as a thin layer between R and V separates V from the rest of the posterior segment. Each layer of the posterior segment is represented as a spherical shell. The model geometry and finite-element meshing of the geometry are shown in Figure 2.

**Governing Equations**

In the model, the five regions of the posterior segment of the eye (S, SC, C, R, and V) have different transport properties as illustrated in Figure 1b. RPE between C and R, and ILM between R and V also are modeled as thin layers that have different transport properties from their adjacent layers. SC is a fluid-filled space created by the injection in the potential space between the sclera and choroid. An aqueous solution containing an experimental or therapeutic molecule or biodegradable particles containing therapeutic molecules is injected into the vitreous or SC space. The general 3D concentration distribution of the molecule is described by the convection-diffusion equation with first order clearance:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (D \nabla c) + \nabla \cdot (v c) = -K_{cl} c$$

(1)

where \(j\) denotes the region in the eye, \(c_j\) is the interstitial concentration, \(\phi_j\) is the void fraction or the fraction of the volume containing the interstitial fluid where the molecules can diffuse freely, as introduced previously,\textsuperscript{24} \(|D_j\) is the diffusivity, \(v\) is the convective velocity field, and \(K_{cl_j}\) is the clearance rate.

Convection in the back of the eye is driven by the difference in pressure between the hyaloid membrane, anterior to the vitreous humor, and the episcleral vein, posterior to the sclera. Convective flow driven by pressure gradient is modeled as a fluid flow through a porous, incompressible medium, using Darcy’s law, as in computational models developed by Balachandran and Barocas\textsuperscript{14} and Missel.\textsuperscript{25}
where $K_l$ is the hydraulic permeability of the material and $-\nabla P$ is the pressure gradient. The velocity field $v$ is proportional to the pressure gradient. Assuming the fluid is incompressible, $\nabla \cdot v = 0$; the pressure then can be computed by solving the partial differential equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \left( -\frac{K}{\mu} \nabla P \right) = 0$$

The velocity field then is calculated from Equation 2. RPE is known to actively transport molecules, such as fluorescein.\textsuperscript{26} Active transport is modeled by a constant radially outward convective field in the RPE layer. Rate of active transport of fluorescein is adapted from the model developed by Balachandran and Barocas.\textsuperscript{14} No active transport is assumed for antiangiogenic proteins.

**Clearance Mechanisms**

Intraocularly delivered drug clears from the eye through anterior and posterior clearance. In anterior clearance, drug is cleared from the vitreous humor through permeation to the anterior chamber across the hyaloid membrane. Existence of certain enzymes also suggests that a small amount of enzymatic degradation can take place within the vitreous.\textsuperscript{22} In posterior clearance, drug is cleared through the choroidal vasculature and episcleral vein. Anterior clearance and loss to choroidal vasculature are modeled with first-order clearance according to the pharmacokinetic model developed by Hutton-Smith et al.\textsuperscript{21} Clearance through episcleral vein is modeled with a constant flux boundary condition at the outer surface of sclera according to anatomically-detailed finite element models developed by Balachandran and Barocas\textsuperscript{14} and Missel.\textsuperscript{25}

**Boundary Conditions and Initial Conditions**

Flux balances and concentration continuities are applied at all internal boundaries, ensuring that mass balance is maintained for the transport across all internal boundaries separating adjacent layers. At the outer boundary of the sclera, a constant flux $-k_s \cdot c$ is applied to model the loss of drug to the episcleral vein. Zero-flux conditions are applied at all other exterior boundaries.

The injection into the SC space is assumed to be instantaneously mixed within the SC region and is modeled by specifying initial concentration $c_0_{SC}$ in the SC region. Intravitreal injection is modeled by the assumption that immediately after injection, the injected solution is partially mixed in a subvolume of vitreal fluid and settles at the bottom of the eye due to its higher specific gravity (Campochiaro PA, unpublished observations). Sensitivity to the values of the mixed subvolume is presented below and this parameter is shown not to be important except for short time after injection.

**Parameter Estimation**

All parameters used in the model for rabbit and human eyes are presented in Supplementary Table S1. Scleral permeability in rabbit eyes has been shown to follow an exponential fit to the molecular radius of the molecule as demonstrated in in vitro experiments.\textsuperscript{27} Least-square regression was performed on the values reported by the study, and used to predict the permeability for SF, 40 kDa Dextran, 250 kDa Dextran, ranibizumab, and bevacizumab. Diffusion coefficients for these molecules then are estimated by multiplying predicted permeability values by scleral thickness.\textsuperscript{28} Diffusion coefficients of the other layers of the eye then are estimated by the empirical relation between diffusivity and void fraction as described by the following equation:

$$D_j = D_s \frac{\phi_j}{\phi_j - \phi_s}$$

where $\phi_j$, $D_j$ are the void fraction, interstitial volume fraction, and diffusion coefficients of layer $j$, and $\phi_s$, $D_s$ are the void fraction and diffusion coefficients of sclera.

Transport through RPE is characterized by permeability across the thin RPE layer. It has been shown that RPE has lower permeability for molecules of larger size, and that RPE permeability decreases exponentially with respect to the molecular radius.\textsuperscript{29} Literature values for in vitro permeabilities
of carboxyfluorescein, 4 kDa FITC-Dextran, 10 kDa FITC-Dextran, 20 kDa FITC-Dextran, 40 kDa FITC-Dextran, and 80 kDa FITC-Dextran are fitted exponentially to their Stokes-Einstein radius. Baseline RPE permeability of SF is estimated using the exponential fit and molecular radius. It has been shown that ILM would permit molecules smaller than 70 kDa to diffuse across it.30 In the present model, ILM is not considered a resistive barrier for small molecules such as SF; for large molecules permeability across ILM is assumed to be lower than its adjacent layers. Estimate of permeabilities of ranibizumab and bevacizumab across ILM and RPE are adapted from an experimentally-validated compartmental model developed by Hutton-Smith et al.21

Geometric parameters, including thicknesses and void fractions of layers in human eyes are adapted from Mac Gabhann et al.24 Dimensions of rabbit eyes, thickness of RPE, and thickness of SC space after injection into SC space are obtained from experimental studies and optical images of posterior segment of rabbit eyes.4,31 Thickness of ILM is adapted from experimental studies on ILM morphometry.32 Parameters characterizing convective flow within the eye, including hydraulic resistivities, pressure at hyaloid membrane and sclera are adapted from the study of Balachandran and Barocas.14 Pressure at hyaloid membrane, the intraocular pressure (IOP), is assumed to rise to 50 mm Hg immediately after injection and reduced stepwise to 15 mm Hg at 30 minutes after injection.33

Initial Distribution of Drug Immediately Following Intraocular Injection

The initial condition is given by specifying the initial distribution of the drug immediately following intraocular injection. For intravitreal injection, the injected fluid is assumed to partially mix within the vitreous and be significantly diluted. Intravitreally administered solutions of fluorescently-labeled ranibizumab have higher specific gravity compared to mostly aqueous vitreous humor that has a density similar to that of water.34 Therefore, due to the higher specific gravity of the injected fluid, it is further assumed that the mixture settles at the bottom of the vitreous humor; however, we showed that this assumption only affects the concentration distribution at the initial times, with the long-term behavior only dependent on the amount of injected species. Note that the hydrodynamics of mixing for fluids with different viscosities and specific gravities relevant to the problem of intravitreal injection is a complex phenomenon and has not been investigated to our knowledge; however, relevant studies for a fluid jet impinging on a fluid reservoir suggest that the mixing effect is significant.35,36 The degree of the initial mixing that occurs following intravitreal injection is explored in more detail in the Results section. We also assume a similar initial distribution for SF solution. For SC delivery, the injected fluid is assumed to immediately fill the SC space homogeneously following injection.

Details of the numerical solution of the above transport equations are presented in Supplementary Materials.

RESULTS

Intravitreally Administered Solution is Mixed Significantly in the Vitreous

The degree to which intravitreally administered solution containing SF or fluorescently-labeled ranibizumab is mixed within the vitreous, as well as the long-term impact of the initial distribution were explored in silico by varying the volume that the solution initially occupies immediately after injection.

For intravitreal injection of 50 µL aqueous solution containing ranibizumab, the solution was assumed to be mixed with the vitreous humor to form a mixture that settles at the bottom of the vitreous as discussed above. To investigate the degree of mixing, the mixture was assumed to occupy 50 µL (same as injected volume), 250 µL (5 times the injected volume), and 400 µL (8 times the injected volume) in the initial condition. As shown in Figure 3, the concentration distributions at different time points following injection computed with different initial conditions were compared to previously reported experimental fluorophotometry data.25 The simulation results showed that the assumption of the mixture occupying 400 µL was most consistent with experimental data. In Figure 3C, the concentration along the visual axis shows the greatest difference due to mixing 1 day after injection. Four days after injection and later, the concentration profiles from different degrees of mixing were within 5% of their average, showing that the concentration distribution of injected species was only affected at initial times, and that the long-term behavior only depended on the amount of drug injected. As shown in Figure 3D, the pharmacokinetic profile showing the average concentration of ranibizumab in the vitreous following injection also were independent of the mixing.

Similar results were obtained from the simulation of intravitreal injection of SF. Simulation results with the assumption of the injected mixture occupying 400 µL were most consistent with experimental data (Supplementary Fig. S1).
Intraocular Administration of Antiangiogenic Proteins

Simulations of transport in the rabbit eyes of ranibizumab following intravitreal injection and bevacizumab following SC injection were performed using the model. Concentration profiles along the visual axis at different time points following injection were compared to fluorophotometry scans for validation (Figs. 4c, 4d). 3D concentration distributions of ranibizumab and bevacizumab at different time points following injection are shown in Figure 5. The simulation and experiment showed that ranibizumab was distributed homogeneously within the vitreous approximately two days following injection and that 90% of ranibizumab cleared from the vitreous 14 days following injection. For SC injection of bevacizumab (Figs. 4d, 4h), bevacizumab was concentrated mostly near the SC space, and 90% of bevacizumab cleared out 12 hours after injection.

Simulation of Intraocular Administration of Sodium Fluorescein and Antiangiogenic Proteins Into Human Eyes

The model was adjusted to human eye dimensions for prediction of transport of SF in human eyes following intravitreal and SC administration. Transport of molecules of similar size to SF, such as small molecules or short therapeutic peptides, following intraocular injection should be similar to that of SF unless their distributions are affected by binding to their target receptors; simulations for therapeutic peptides using similar models will be presented elsewhere. Prediction of concentration distributions of SF in human eyes following intravitreal injection and SC injection is presented in Figure 6. For intravitreally administered SF, (Figs. 6a–c), the injected solution was significantly diluted in the vitreous. Retinal concentration was similar to the vitreal concentration. Choroidal and scleral concentrations were lower than the concentration in retina. Vitreal concentration of SF was at 20% of its peak value approximately 6 hours after injection and 90% of the injected SF cleared out from human eyes approximately 10 hours after injection. For SC injection of SF (Figs. 6d–f), the injected solution was held at the SC space between sclera and choroid. The fluid was not diluted and the concentration of SF in the SC space was similar to the concentration of the injected fluid. The choroidal and scleral concentrations were lower than the concentration in retina. Vitreal concentration of SF was at 20% of its maximum value 24 hours after injection.

The model also was used to predict transport of anti-VEGF antibodies following intraocular administration, including intravitreally-administered ranibizumab and suprachoroidally-administered bevacizumab in human eyes. Concentration distributions in human eyes following intraocular injection are shown in Figure 7. Transport of ranibizumab and bevacizumab represented the transport of similarly-sized...
antiangiogenic proteins following intracocular administration. As with SF, intravitreally administered ranibizumab was significantly diluted in the vitreous following intravitreal injection. As shown in Figure 7c, retinal concentration was similar to vitreal concentration. The scleral and choroidal concentrations were significantly lower. Suprachoroidally-injected bevacizumab was not diluted, and targeted directly the chorioretinal tissues. Following SC administration (Fig. 7d), bevacizumab concentrations in the SC space and choroid were at the same level, and retinal concentration was significantly lower due to the permeation-limiting RPE. It should be noted that, while after intravitreal administration the level of the drug persisted for over 2 weeks, after SC administration the drug persisted on the order of a day, which should necessitate either frequent injections, which is not practical, or the use of sustained delivery, such as microparticle-based delivery.

Parameter Sensitivity for RPE and ILM Permeability

Retinal delivery of antiangiogenic proteins is affected by the diffusion barrier RPE between choroid and retina, and by the diffusion barrier ILM between retina and vitreous. Permeability of molecules across these barriers can vary between individuals, and some diseases would cause structural changes of the RPE and ILM; therefore, varying their transport properties as discussed below. The data used for model validation were not spatially resolved enough to accurately assess the permeability across the thin diffusion barriers, due to the nature of the fluorophotometry experiments. Therefore, the uncertainty due to RPE and ILM permeability was explored by comparing the results obtained from varying the RPE and ILM permeabilities from their baseline values (Fig. 8).

For intravitreal injection, ILM resists drug entrance into the retina from the vitreous, while RPE keeps drug from leaving the retina to the choroid where it is lost to circulation. Vitreal concentration is not sensitive to RPE and ILM permeability (Figs. 8a, 8c). Retinal concentration is very sensitive to RPE permeability, but not to ILM permeability (Figs. 8b, 8d). A 5-fold increase in RPE permeability causes peak retinal concentration to decrease by more than 60%.

For SC delivery, RPE is the diffusion barrier that keeps injected molecules from entering the retina. Figures 8e to 8h show the SC and retinal concentrations following SC administration of bevacizumab using different RPE and ILM permeability values. Retinal concentration of bevacizumab showed high sensitivity to RPE permeability (Fig. 8f) and low sensitivity to ILM permeability (Fig. 8h). A 5-fold increase in RPE permeability resulted in an approximately 6-fold increase in peak retinal concentration.

DISCUSSION

Intravitreally Administered Solutions are Partially Mixed Within the Vitreous Humor Immediately After Injection

For intravitreal injection of a solution containing certain substances, assuming the injected fluid is less viscous than
the vitreous humor (the relative viscosity of vitreous humor has been reported as 1.59)\textsuperscript{37} and the injection of approximately 50 \( \mu \)L liquid is performed using a 28-gauge (G) or similar needle within one second, the Reynolds number is estimated to be approximately 350. Based on an experimental study of a submerged fluid jet injected into a reservoir, with the same or different viscosities,\textsuperscript{35,36} significant mixing would occur during the injection process. The viscosity of the human vitreous depends on many factors, such as age and disease. Relative viscosity of human vitreous varies from approximately 1 at birth to over 2 at later age.\textsuperscript{37} Vitreous viscosity also can be affected by conditions, such as myopia, and ocular procedures, such as cataract extraction.\textsuperscript{37,38} Additionally, different injection speed or needle size would affect the Reynolds number of the injected solution, and result in different initial distribution of the drug immediately after injection. Therefore, significant mixing of injected solution and vitreous humor would occur immediately following intravitreal injection. Mixing causes the

**FIGURE 5.** 3D distribution of ranibizumab and bevacizumab concentration following intraocular administration in rabbit eyes. (a) Concentration distribution at 0, 1, 2, 4, and 6 days following intravitreal injection of ranibizumab. (b) Concentration distribution at 0, 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 4 hours following SC injection of bevacizumab.

**FIGURE 6.** Model prediction of SF transport in human eyes following (a–c) intravitreal injection, and (d–f) SC injection. (a) Predicted 3D concentration distribution at 0, 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours following intravitreal injection. (b) Predicted concentration along the visual axis at 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours following intravitreal injection. (c) Average concentration over time in sclera, choroid, retina, and vitreous following intravitreal injection. (d) Predicted 3D concentration distribution at 0, 0.5, and 1 hour following SC injection. (e) Predicted concentration along the visual axis at 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours following SC injection. (f) Average concentration over time in sclera, choroid, retina, and SC space following intravitreal injection.
injected solution to be diluted and to occupy 2 to 10 times the injected volume within the vitreous. The degree to which the mixing occurs is likely to vary among individuals due to all of the aforementioned reasons.

However, as demonstrated in the Results, the long-term distribution of drug concentration after the administered drug has reached homogeneity within the vitreous is less impacted by the initial distribution, and therefore, would less likely be affected by this individual variability and would show more consistency among individuals.

Routes of Clearance Following Intraocular Injection Depend on Method Of Delivery and Molecule Size

Sensitivity to clearance parameters demonstrated in Supplementary Figure S2 showed that for intravitreally delivered drugs, the pharmacokinetic profiles of the drug in vitreous and retina are most sensitive to clearance rate in vitreous. For suprachoroidally-delivered drugs, however, choroidal clearance and episcleral clearance can have a role. For small molecules, such as fluorescein, the concentration profile is most sensitive to clearance from the episcleral vein. For large molecules, such as bevacizumab, the concentration profile in the SC space and retina is insensitive to episcleral clearance rate, but sensitive to choroidal clearance rate. This suggests that molecular size and delivery method can affect the clearance routes. Small molecules that diffuse more readily to the episcleral surface will become cleared into the circulation through the episcleral vein. Large molecules will be cleared primarily through choroidal vasculature. Design of an optimal drug delivery strategy must consider contributions of different clearance routes and any conditions that might affect them (for example, high IOP can limit the clearance through the anterior routes and high vein pressure can limit posterior clearance).

Effectiveness of Retinal Delivery of Drugs can be Affected by RPE and ILM Permeability

The model demonstrated that effectiveness of retinal delivery of large-sized molecules is sensitive to RPE and ILM permeability. Retinal delivery from the intravitreal route was mostly affected by RPE permeability, and retinal delivery from the SC route was affected by RPE and ILM. RPE permeability is known to have high individual variability due to age and diseases. Thus, diseases that cause the structural changes to RPE, such as diabetic retinopathy, would alter the effectiveness of retinal delivery through SC or intravitreal injection. For individuals with diseases that cause the RPE barrier permeability to be significantly higher, for example, targeted delivery of drug to the retina would be more easily achieved through SC injection because RPE no longer limits the permeation of drug from choroid to retina.

In vivo fluorophotometry is a powerful tool for studying the pharmacokinetics of intraocularly administered drugs. However, due to its limited spatial resolution (of 0.25–0.5 mm), and the fact that fluorescence signals are spatially convoluted, further limiting its accuracy in evaluating the concentration gradient along the visual axis, fluorophotometry data are not sufficient in quantitative studies of the transport property of RPE as a diffusion barrier.
Drug Delivery to the Retina Following SC Injection is Sensitive to Permeability Across the RPE

Although concentration in the SC space following SC injection of bevacizumab is sensitive to choroidal clearance rate and largely unaffected by permeability across diffusion barriers (Supplementary Fig. S4A), retinal concentration is very sensitive to permeability across RPE compared to clearance parameters. The model predicted that a 5-fold decrease in permeability prevents most of the drug from entering the retina following SC injection of bevacizumab (Supplementary Fig. S4B).

Molecules of Smaller Size Can Permeate Through the Diffusion Barrier and Reach Retina More Easily

In the simulations and experiments on intraocular drug delivery in human and rabbit eyes, SF and antiangiogenic proteins represent molecules of small and large hydraulic radii, respectively. The effectiveness of delivery of antiangiogenic agents to the retina through intraocular injection is limited due to the existence of permeation-limiting barriers, such as RPE and ILM. For transscleral administration of antiangiogenic drugs, the molecules must pass through an additional barrier, the episcleral boundary (ESB). Although the transport properties of ESB as diffusion barriers are not as well understood and characterized, all the diffusion barriers, ESB, RPE, and ILM, are likely to limit the diffusion of larger size molecules. The model predicts that SF reaches retina more easily than large antiangiogenic proteins, such as ranibizumab, because the permeation-limiting effect is significantly lower for smaller compared to larger antiangiogenic proteins, like ranibizumab and bevacizumab. Therefore, achieving a sustained suppression of neovascularization would necessitate some form of sustained delivery, such as a microparticle-based delivery system that encapsulates nanoparticles formulated with a therapeutic peptide; in addition, a therapeutic peptide has been shown to form a natural depot upon injection and that is slowly released from the depot.

Intravitreal Injection of Antiangiogenic Proteins Provides More Sustained Suppression of Angiogenesis

Intravitreally administered molecules remain largely inside the vitreous humor following injection. The benefit of intravitreal compared to SC injection for delivery of antiangiogenic proteins is more sustained suppression of angiogenesis. For intravitreal injection of ranibizumab in rabbit eyes, drugs administered through the SC space show faster clearance following injection. Suprachoroidally administered bevacizumab cleared out 90% 6 hours following injection. This limitation can be overcome by using some form of sustained delivery, such as ocular implants, microparticle-based drug delivery systems, or depot formation by some antiangiogenic peptides.

SC Administration Provides More Efficient Retinal Delivery for Smaller Molecules and Clearance is Faster Following Injection

Intravitreally administered drug formulation is significantly mixed and diluted inside the vitreous humor. This would mean that to achieve similar concentration, a larger dose would be needed for intravitreal administration compared to SC injection.
tion. However, the invasiveness of intravitreal injection makes this intraocular drug delivery route less desirable. Intravitreal injection is associated with rare complications, such as retinal detachment and cataract. SC injection, as a less invasive alternative to intravitreal injection, uses a microneedle to inject directly between the choroid and retina in the back of the eye, avoiding injection directly into the eye altogether. As discussed previously, during SC injection, the SC space will open gradually while becoming filled with the injected solution. This would mean almost no dilution occurs during SC administration of drugs. The SC space simply holds the injected fluid and serves as a reservoir for diffusion of drug into the choroid and retina. Following SC delivery, as predicted by the model, the drug concentrates near the SC space, and stays mostly near the scleral, choroidal, and retinal tissues. For large molecules, such as many antiangiogenic proteins, including bevacizumab and ranibizumab, however, the effectiveness of retinal delivery through SC injection is limited due to the permeability-limiting RPE between choroid and retina that keeps large molecules from entering the retina from the choroid. This could be overcome by using smaller molecules, such as short antiangiogenic peptides, as small molecules can move much more freely across the RPE. We proposed that using a combination of the SC injection technique to reduce invasiveness, drugs of small molecular size to better permeate through the RPE, and sustained delivery systems, such as a natural depot formation or biodegradable microparticles, would achieve sustained suppression of neovascularization with benefits to patients of wet AMD and ME.

Limitations of the Model

The model does not consider binding of the drugs to the tissues within the eye. Although permeability values used in the model are measured in ocular tissue, drugs can have different binding kinetics in vivo, especially in pathologic conditions where binding can have a major effect on diffusion of the drug and permeation of drug across barriers. In addition, following SC injection, closure kinetics of the SC space and clearance kinetics and clearance routes have been studied. The SC space is observed to close within an hour after injection. If the injected fluid in the SC space were squeezed out to the periphery as the SC space closes, the transit of drug concentration in the retina would be further accelerated as a result.

Conclusions

We developed a physiologically-based anatomically-correct 3D transport model for intraocular drug delivery. To the best of our knowledge, our model is the first to use spatial concentration distribution from published in vivo fluorophotometry data for validation for a small molecule and a protein drug following intravitreal and SC injections. This provided more confidence in predicting local concentration distribution, which is relevant in many applications including drug delivery to the SC space, placement of ocular implant, and design of optimal delivery strategy for sustained delivery. Our model was fitted to experimental pharmacokinetics data for intravitreally- and suprachoroidally-delivered sodium fluorescein and therapeutic antibody, and validated with in vivo fluorophotometry data. The model was able to predict in rabbit and human eyes the 3D distribution of drugs of different size following intraocular administration.

The model suggested that that the initial mixing effect of the intravitreally-injected drug due to injection has little effect on long-term drug distribution. Distinct clearance mechanisms differentially impact clearance of drugs of various sizes administered through different routes, and permeabilities of different diffusion barriers will differentially impact effectiveness of intravitreal and SC drug delivery to the retina. The model provided a framework and platform for testing new drugs and sustained delivery devices as well as of regimens for existing ones.
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