Family Physician’s Educational Interview with Patients Helps in Early Recognition of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms
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Purpose: Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) can have an etiology outside the lower urinary tract (LUT) and are therefore an important diagnostic indicator for assessing the overall health of a person. However, LUTS is still mainly neglected by primary health care providers as well as by patients. In this study, we assessed the importance of an educational interview (EI) conducted by a GP on the patients’ ability to recognize LUTS. We also investigated other factors that affect the recognition of LUTS: subjects’ personal educational level, number of LUT symptoms and their bothersome level on a scale 0–10.

Patients and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in Croatia (2018–2020) by interviewing 499 subjects. We compared recognized LUT symptoms before and after the EI and determined whether their number, their bothersome level, or the subjects’ personal educational level were associated with LUTS recognition.

Results: EI significantly improved the ability to recognize LUTS (p=0.001). The number of LUT symptoms and bothersome level in subjects before EI was much greater than the number in subjects after EI (p<0.0001, mean>4 and p<0.0001, median>8, respectively). Subjects with higher educational level recognized LUTS with fewer symptoms after the EI (p<0.01), but no difference was observed among subjects who recognized LUTS before the EI.

Conclusion: For subjects to recognize LUTS, their condition had to be severe – more than 4 symptoms and bothersome level >8/10. Personal educational level had little impact on LUTS recognition. However, an EI proved to be an excellent tool for raising awareness about LUTS and its early recognition.
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Introduction

The term Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) is used for a variety of symptoms associated with lower urinary tract (LUT) dysfunction. In this study, the acronym “LUTS” was used to refer to the condition itself, regardless of the number or nature of symptoms, and the term “LUT symptoms” was used to refer to certain characteristics of one or more symptoms. LUTS can generally be divided into three main categories, depending on the time of onset during the micturition process:¹ The first group of LUT symptoms are storage symptoms. They manifest while a person stores urine in the bladder and does not try or does not want to urinate (frequency, nocturia, urgency, urinary incontinence, nocturnal
enuresis and altered bladder sensation). Voiding symptoms appear while a person is urinating or trying to urinate (weak or slow stream, splitting or spraying of the stream, intermittency, hesitancy, straining, terminal dribble). The third group of symptoms, post-micturition symptoms (feeling of incomplete emptying and post-micturition dribble) are experienced immediately after the person has finished urinating.

The term LUTS was introduced in medical terminology more than 25 years ago, to replace the old term – prostatism, a very important decision due to the misleading former name which led to a conclusion that only men could experience lower urinary tract symptoms, and that these symptoms occur only due to prostate-related reasons. At the time it was used, the misleading name resulted in approximately 10,000 unnecessary prostatectomies per year in Britain. Furthermore, LUTS should be recognized and treated as early as possible. Although symptoms manifest in the context of LUT, LUTS can be a sign of serious medical conditions with an etiology in other organic systems, or it can serve as base for the development of other diseases, and it significantly decreases the quality of life.

However, primary health care, and especially patients, still do not recognize LUTS early enough. Regardless of a large amount of existing literature on LUTS, its causes, clinical representation, and treatments, we know very little regarding the public awareness of its importance as a medical phenomenon. There are several studies on the connection of primary health care providers’ level of education on LUTS and their ability to recognize LUTS in patients, as well as on the connection of patients’ education on LUTS rehabilitation and their recovery rate. Certain studies have assessed the connection between a person’s educational level and the ability to evaluate the severity of LUTS using questionnaires in rural Africa, or seeking medical help regarding incontinence in women. Others have noted patients’ educational level but have not linked it to the ability to assess the presence or severity of LUTS.

The aim of this study is to assess the importance of education in recognizing LUTS through an educational interview (EI) with a physician. To our knowledge, this is the first study to address this topic in such a manner. Our scope is also to identify some of the factors that affect the recognition of LUTS by comparing the number of LUT symptoms, the degree of everyday life disturbance (“bothersome”, on a visual analog scale, VAS) and the subjects’ personal education level with the number of recognized LUT symptoms, all before and after the EI.

**Patients and Methods**

**Subjects**

The research was conducted among voluntary adult respondents in Community health center clinics of Primorje – Gorski kotar County, Croatia, from 2018 to 2020. Since LUTS does not have an ICD code, we studied the general population, without setting exclusion criteria given the (non)existence of any morbidities. There was a total of 12 groups, divided by gender and then by age (18–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, 61–70 and over 71 years old). We determined a minimal total sample of 384 subjects by the Altman nomogram (test power 0.8). Since non-parametric tests had to be used in the study, an additional 15% of the original sample size (58 subjects) was added, resulting in a minimal number of 442 respondents. The research consisted of two parts: the subjects first completed the “educational interview (EI)” and then continued the research process by participating in the “extended research (ER)”. The minimum of 442 subjects refers to the number of subjects completing the whole research process (EI and ER).

**Methods**

This cross-sectional study was conducted by interviewing respondents who reported to their GP, regardless of the reason. The sample was randomized in a way that at least the first 3 and no more than 5 subjects of a certain gender/age group were interviewed in each GP’s office. EI was not interventional since the educational part of the research served only as a diagnostic tool. The research setup is shown in Figure 1. The subjects first self-reported whether they had any problems urinating (1st detection). Then, they were informed about the clinical manifestations of the researched LUT symptoms (education). After that, it was immediately noted whether subjects recognized some of the mentioned LUT symptoms after the given explanation (2nd detection), and if so - the bothersome level of each reported LUT symptom expressed as a value on a visual analog scale (VAS). The VAS values were defined as 10 being the highest level of bothersome, 1 subjectively most easily tolerated everyday disturbance, and 0 meaning presence of LUTS, but without any subjective disturbances. The total number of LUT symptoms per person and the value of VAS scores for each LUT symptom were...
determined and compared across age-gender groups. We assessed the connection between the number of LUT symptoms and the VAS scores’ value, with the recognition of LUTS presence with or without EI.

Ethical Aspects
All participants read the Informed consent and signed a Consent to participate in the research. The research was conducted with the written consent of the clinical practices’ team leaders, and with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Community health center of Primorje-Gorski Kotar County (Croatia) and of the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Medicine (Croatia). Compliance with bioethical standards, basic bioethical principles, as well as those derived from them, in accordance with the Nuremberg Code, the latest revision of Helsinki declarations, and other relevant documents were ensured in the research.

Statistics
The data were processed statistically and graphically displayed using a computer software GraphPad Prism 8.0.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA). We used descriptive statistics, with percentages and medians with interquartile range (IQR) to describe the percentage of population affected by LUTS, the distribution of the number of LUT symptoms and its VAS values, respectively, as well as the mean value of LUT symptoms per person. The normality of data distribution was tested with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The McNemar test with Yates correction was used to determine the association of EI with LUTS recognition. The number of LUT symptoms per person and VAS scoring results in subjects who reported LUTS before and after the EI was compared by the Kruskal–Wallis test. The “bothersome” is shown in numbers ranging from 0 to 10 on the ordinal scale. The Kruskal–Wallis test and the Mann–Whitney U-test for post hoc analysis were used to analyze the association between the subjects’ personal education level and LUTS recognition. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Participant Characteristics
The total number of participants was 499 (N (male) = 241, N (female) = 258), all of whom completed the EI part of the survey, and 444 of them completed the ER part of the survey (37 participants per age/gender group). The characteristics of the study participants are described in the methods-subjects section.

Primary Health-Care Patients are Highly Unaware of Their Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms, and an Educational Interview with Their Health Care Provider Significantly Improves Their Recognition of LUTS
A total of 499 patients who visited their GP, regardless of the reason, were asked to self-assess whether they had any problems urinating. The results are shown in the Figure 2. Then, the EI with each subject was performed, where the clinical manifestation of the 11 LUT symptoms was described and explained. If, during the EI, subjects recognized any of the LUT symptoms that they had not previously recognized or mentioned, the information was noted. As shown in Figure 2, subjects reported significantly more LUT symptoms after EI (McNemar test with Yates correction, \( \chi^2 \) (1, N=241) = 92.01, \( p<0.0001 \) and \( \chi^2 \) (1, N=258) = 119.01, \( p<0.0001 \) for men and women, respectively).
The Higher Number of LUT Symptoms is Associated with Better Condition Recognition

Since there were subjects who recognized the presence of LUTS even before the educational interview, we tried to explore possible factors that could facilitate the recognition and understanding of the condition. We hypothesized that a person would notice LUTS more easily if he or she had more symptoms. Indeed, as seen in Figure 3, subjects who recognized the presence of LUTS before EI had a higher number of LUT symptoms than those who recognized the presence of LUTS only after EI (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p<0.0001$). On average, a person that can recognize the condition has already developed at least 4 LUT symptoms.

Higher Values of VAS Scores Attributed to LUT Symptoms are Associated with Better Condition Recognition

We also hypothesized that if a particular LUT symptom was more bothersome (higher VAS score), it would be
For a person to recognize it. We compared the highest bothersome reported LUT symptom VAS scores, with the time of reporting the symptom (before or after EI). Figure 4 shows that subjects who recognized LUTS before EI had higher VAS score values than those who recognized and reported LUTS only after EI (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Surprisingly, the median VAS score value had to be greater than 8 (IQR 4–10) for a person to recognize and report LUTS. This data also supports the assumption that EI facilitates the recognition of LUTS, since subjects recognized their LUT symptoms despite the low VAS scores (median = 2, IQR 0–5).

**Discussion**

Several studies have already addressed the general public’s poor awareness of LUTS’ importance, as well as the awareness of GPs. To our knowledge, we are the first to conduct a study on the importance of an educational interview with a GP on the recognition of LUTS, and the first to look for LUTS in the general population who were unaware of their condition.

Surprisingly, a high frequency of studied individuals was not aware of their LUTS. The self-reported prevalence of LUT symptoms before EI was not consistent with the results of similar studies. For example, the male population over 41 and 51 years of age had lower prevalence of LUTS than expected. Moreover, the male population aged between 31 and 40 did not report the presence of any LUT symptom at all. Furthermore, in the female population, older women did not have higher frequencies of LUTS than the younger ones, as would be expected.

Our study showed that a person needed to have at least 4 symptoms to be aware of LUTS. However, it is important to note that these patients did not seek medical help due to LUTS, but only reported the symptom upon enrolment into study.

VAS scores of bothersome level attributed to LUT symptoms recognized before EI were very high – half of the respondents had a VAS higher than 4, with a median of 8. The scale included a value of 0 (a person recognized the presence of certain LUT symptom, but the presence of that symptom had no impact on everyday life and does not bother the subject at all), which was also used during the calculation of the median, mathematically lowering the median value.

From an informal conversation with the participants after EI, we learned that they consider most symptoms to be easier for a person to recognize it. We compared the highest bothersome reported LUT symptom VAS scores, with the time of reporting the symptom (before or after EI). Figure 4 shows that subjects who recognized LUTS before EI had higher VAS score values than those who recognized and reported LUTS only after EI (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Surprisingly, the median VAS score value had to be greater than 8 (IQR 4–10) for a person to recognize and report LUTS. This data also supports the assumption that EI facilitates the recognition of LUTS, since subjects recognized their LUT symptoms despite the low VAS scores (median = 2, IQR 0–5).

**Personal Educational Level Does Not Play a Major Role in Recognizing LUTS**

The subjects were divided into three groups, depending on their personal educational level expressed as years of completed education. According to Croatian standards, these groups are as follows: without formal education or completed primary school (duration 8 years), high school education level (11 or 12 years) and graduated from college or higher (at least 15 years of education). Data on subjects’ personal education levels were collected during the second phase of the research (ER), which included 444 participants. Subjects with higher education were able to recognize urinary problems while still having fewer symptoms than less educated subjects, but only after EI, as seen in Figure 5 (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p = 0.0691 \) and \( p < 0.0001 \), for “before EI” and “after EI” group, respectively. The results of post hoc Mann–Whitney analysis are noted with an asterisk sign in Figure 5). LUTS recognition in regard of VAS scores was not associated with personal educational level (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p = 0.8498 \) and \( p = 0.1138 \), for “before EI” and “after EI” group, respectively).
be normal for their age, or that they do not need to pay attention to them. Very few people were aware that LUTS might not have a cause solely in the urinary tract and can be a sign of a plethora of other diseases, or that symptoms may worsen over time and greatly impair health-related quality of life.

The study showed that subjects’ personal education level did not play a major role in recognizing LUTS. This was expected, as formal education does not teach the general population specifically about this matter, or any health-related matter in general. Nevertheless, given the results of EI, we believe that better health education at the basic levels of education would be of great benefit to future generations.

The results presented in this study have to be seen in light of some limitations. The sample size was statistically determined so that the number of subjects was sufficient and representative for Primorje – Gorski kotar County. However, although we do not expect differences in the rest of Croatia, a larger sample covering the entire population of the country would give a better insight into the situation.

**Conclusion**

Our results show that an educational interview with a general practitioner significantly helps patients in recognition of lower urinary tract symptoms. After educational interview, subjects were able to recognize lower urinary tract symptoms while still having fewer symptoms and relatively low bothersome levels. The overall effect of the study, although not shown in figures, was that many subjects sought the help of a general practitioner, or a urologist, where needed, for symptoms that had been neglected until educational interview.
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