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Abstract
A system of a particle and a harmonic oscillator, which have pure point spectra if uncoupled, is known to acquire an absolutely continuous spectrum when they are coupled by a sufficiently strong point interaction. Here, the dynamical mechanism underlying this spectral phenomenon is exposed. The energy of the oscillator is proven to exponentially diverge in time, while the spatial probability distribution of the particle collapses into a δ-function at the interaction point. On account of this result, a generalized model with many oscillators which interact with the particle at different points is argued to provide a formal model for the approximate measurement of position and collapse of wave packets.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background: Smilansky’s model
Smilansky’s model [1] is an offspring of the theory of Quantum Graphs. It consists of a quantum particle coupled to a harmonic oscillator via a point interaction. The particle moves inside a one-dimensional hard box. The linear coordinates of the harmonic oscillator and of the particle are denoted by $q$ and by $x$, respectively, with $x \in I_L \equiv [-L/2, L/2]$. In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(I_L) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the Hamiltonian of the system is formally written as

$$H_{\alpha, L, \omega} = H^{(p)} \otimes I + I \otimes H^{(osc)}_\omega + \alpha q \delta(x),$$

where

$$H^{(osc)}_\omega = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 q^2$$
is a harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian with frequency $\omega$. $H_{L}^{(0)}$ is the Hamiltonian of the particle in the box and the last term describes the point interaction, which is scaled by the parameter $\alpha > 0$. In [1], Smilansky’s model was presented in two variants, one with $L = +\infty$ and the other with $L < +\infty$. The spectral theory of the former variant was rigorously analysed by Solomyak [2] and Naboko and Solomyak [3], who proved that for $\alpha > \omega$ a new branch of the absolutely continuous (ac) spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{L, \alpha, \omega}$ appears besides the one which is naturally associated with the unbounded motion of the particle. The new branch coincides with $\mathbb{R}$ and has multiplicity 1. The latter variant is the finite-box model which is studied in this paper. For this variant, an argument presented in [1] shows that normalizable eigenfunctions exist for $\alpha < \omega$ and do not exist for $\alpha > \omega$.

A generalization of Smilansky’s model has $N$ oscillators interacting with the particle at different points. Evans and Solomyak [4] have used a scattering theory approach to prove that a spectral transition occurs also in the multi-oscillator model with $N = 2$ and $L = \infty$. The nature of their argument makes it intuitively clear that the same conclusion is true for any $N > 0$.

Not much is known about the dynamics of Smilansky’s model. In [1], strong excitation of the oscillator was surmised for $\alpha > \omega$, with the particle dwelling near the interaction point. Due to inherent exponential instability of the dynamics, to be proven in this paper, numerical simulation of this system is problematic.

1.2. Outline

In this paper the dynamics of Smilansky’s finite-box model is studied for $\alpha > \omega$, in the single- and in the multi-oscillator cases (sections 2 and 3, respectively). The main results are propositions 2, 3 and 5. In the single-oscillator case, the energy of the harmonic oscillator diverges exponentially fast, and, in the limit $t \to \pm\infty$, the probability distribution of the particle collapses into a $\delta$-function at the interaction point. The dynamical origin of such exponential instability may be qualitatively illustrated as follows: for $q < 0$, the $q\delta$ term in the Hamiltonian acts like a potential well for the particle. When $\alpha > \omega$, interaction drives the oscillator still farther into the $q < 0$ region. This makes the potential well still deeper, and so on. The unbounded increase of the oscillator’s energy follows, which is balanced by the unbounded decrease of the energy of the particle as it falls deeper and deeper into the well.

This picture is further illustrated by an approximate description of the dynamics based on a band formalism. This is formally a Born–Oppenheimer approximation in which the particle plays the role of the fast degree of freedom; however, it is a long-time asymptotic approximation rather than an adiabatic one. In this approximation, the oscillator gets an effective spring constant, which becomes negative when $\alpha > \omega$.

The mathematical groundwork for the exact dynamical results of propositions 2 and 3 is provided by spectral results largely resting on the work of Naboko and Solomyak, which are described in sections 2.1 and 2.2. In particular, the existence of the ac spectrum of multiplicity 1 for $\alpha > \omega$ is assumed as a rigorously proven result because Naboko and Solomyak’s proof [3] of a new branch of ac spectrum in the $L = \infty$ case works, with minor modifications, also in the finite-box case. An independent proof of the existence of the ac spectrum (though not of its simplicity) is nevertheless provided here by spectral expansions, which are constructed in section 2.2 using formal eigenfunctions. Such eigenfunctions are studied in section 2.1 by adapting a method used in [3], which includes recourse to Birkhoff’s theory about asymptotic expansions of solutions of second-order difference equations [12]. In addition, new results about smooth dependence of eigenfunctions on energy, which are necessary for the purposes of spectral expansion, are proven (note 5.1), elaborating on the formulation by Wong and Li.
computations of bands provide evidence that at $\alpha$ less rigorous level. The validity of the scattering approach which was developed in [4] for the $L = \infty$ variant is assumed, so a spectral transition is again expected and indeed numerical computations of bands provide evidence that at $\alpha = \omega$ the morphology of the lowest bands undergoes a phase transition, which mirrors the spectral transition.

Using the scattering approach, the reduced state of the particle is shown to evolve towards a fully incoherent mixture of 'position eigenstates'. This process looks like the wave-packet reduction which is associated with the measurement of position and indeed the multi-oscillator model is surmised to provide a formal model for approximate position measurement, with the oscillators acting like detectors of the particle’s position.

2. Single-oscillator model

2.1. Formal eigenfunctions

For $\lambda > 0$, let $\Lambda : \psi(x, q) \mapsto \lambda \psi(\lambda x, \lambda q)$ denote the unitary scaling operator from $L^2(I_L) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(I_{\lambda L}, \lambda q) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\Lambda^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, L, \omega} \Lambda = \lambda^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha', L', \omega'},$$

where $\alpha' = \alpha/\lambda^2$, $L' = L\lambda$ and $\omega' = \omega/\lambda^2$. Therefore, one of the parameters $L, \omega, \alpha$ may always be reset to a prescribed value by suitably rescaling the coordinates $x$ and $q$ and the time $t$. Here, $L = 2\pi$ is assumed, and periodic boundary conditions at $x = \pm \pi$ are used, so the particle may be thought to move in a circle $\mathbb{S}$ with a distinguished point $O$. This choice affords some formal simplifications without hindering theoretical analysis (see footnote 2 on page 10). That being said, $\alpha, L, \omega$ will no longer be specified in subscripts to $\mathcal{H}$, unless strictly necessary. As the Hamiltonian is invariant under reflection ($x \mapsto -x$) at the interaction point $O$, odd functions with respect to $x$ make an invariant subspace. Such functions vanish at the interaction point, so in this subspace the particle and the oscillator do not interact. For this reason, analysis will be restricted to the invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}_+ = L^2_+(\mathbb{S}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $L^2_+(\mathbb{S})$ are the square-integrable functions on $\mathbb{S}$ which are invariant under reflection in $O$.

The theory which was developed by Solomyak and Naboko in papers [2, 3] for the case $L = \infty$ works, with minor modifications, in the present case as well. It starts by analysing the ‘formal eigenfunctions’ of $\mathcal{H}$, and this analysis will now be adapted to the present case because these very eigenfunctions will provide a key to the dynamical analysis to be presented in the following sections. The wavefunction $\psi(x, q)$ is expanded over the normalized eigenfunctions $\psi_n(q)$ of the harmonic oscillator (Hermite functions):

$$\psi(x, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(x) h_n(q).$$

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is thereby identified with $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{S})$, that is, the Hilbert space of sequences $\psi \equiv \{\psi_n(x)\}$ such that $\|\psi\|^2 = \sum_n \|\psi_n\|^2 < +\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ norm.

---

1 Models with point interactions, different from those considered in this paper, have already been used in studies of decoherence (see, e.g., [5, 6]), as well as models of particles interacting with oscillators [7]. Approaches to decoherence based on scattering have been used e.g. in [8, 6].
norm and the boldface symbol \( \| \| \) denotes the \( \ell^2 \)-norm. The Hamiltonian is formally identified with the differential operator which acts in \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{S}) \) according to
\[
\{ \psi_n(x) \} \mapsto [L_n \psi_n(x)],
\]
\[
L_n = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

A vector \( \psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{S}) \) is in the domain of the Hamiltonian if each \( \psi_n \) has a square-integrable second derivative in \( \mathbb{S} \setminus \{0\} \), such that \( \sum_n \|L_n \psi_n\|^2 < +\infty \), and, moreover, certain boundary conditions at \( x = 0 \) are satisfied. These are dictated by the \( \delta \)-function in (1). Using recurrence properties of the Hermite functions, such ’matching conditions’ may be written in the form [3]
\[
\psi_n'(0+) - \psi_n'(0-) = 2\alpha (2\omega)^{-1/2} \left( \sqrt{n+1} \psi_{n+1}(0) + \sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1}(0) \right),
\]
where the rightmost term is 0 for \( n = 0 \). The ’formal eigenfunctions’ are sequences \( \{u_n(x, E)\} \) that solve the infinite system of equations
\[
L_n u_n(x, E) = E u_n(x, E), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
for \( E \in \mathbb{R} \), and satisfy the matching conditions (6). Such sequences need not belong to \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{S}) \) and are sought in the form
\[
u_n(x, E) = C(n, E) v_n(x, E),
\]
where, for each \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), the functions
\[
u_n(x, E) = \rho_n(E) \cos (k_n(E)(|x| - \pi)), \quad k_n(E) = \sqrt{2E - (2n + 1)\omega},
\]
are the normalized solutions of equation (7). The factor \( \rho_n \) in (9) is chosen so that
\[
\|v_n(., E)\| = 1:
\]
\[
\rho_n(E) = \left( \pi + \frac{\sin(2k_n(E)\pi)}{2k_n(E)} \right)^{-1/2}.
\]
For \( n > E/\omega - 1/2 \), \( k_n(E) \) is imaginary and formulae (9) and (10) are conveniently rewritten with circular functions replaced by hyperbolic ones, and \( k_n(E) \) replaced by \( \chi_n(E) \equiv -ik_n(E) \).

Coefficients \( C(n, E) \) in (8) have to be chosen such that conditions (6) are satisfied. Substituting (8) and (9) in equations (6), one finds that to this end they must solve the following second-order difference equation:
\[
h_2(n, E) C(n + 2, E) + h_1(n, E) C(n + 1, E) + h_0(n, E) C(n, E) = 0 \quad (n \geq 0),
\]
with the initial conditions \( C(0, E) \) and \( C(1, E) \) that satisfy
\[
h_2(-1, E) C(1, E) = -h_1(-1, E) C(0, E),
\]
having denoted, for \( n \geq -1 \):
\[
h_2(n, E) = \alpha \sqrt{n + 2} v_{n+2}(0, E), \quad h_1(n, E) = (2\alpha)^{1/2} v_{n+1}'(0+, E),
\]
and for \( n \geq 0 \):
\[
h_0(n, E) = \alpha \sqrt{n + 1} v_n(0, E).
\]
Let \( E_\omega \) denote the set of real energies \( E \) such that either \( h_2(n, E) \) or \( h_0(n, E) \) or both vanish for some \( n \geq 0 \); such energies are given by \( 2E = (2n + 1)\omega + (r + 1/2)^2 \) for \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( n \geq 0 \), so \( E_\omega \) has at most finite intersection with any bounded interval. Whenever \( E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E_\omega \), solutions of equation (11) are in one-to-one correspondence with their initial values \( C(0, E) \) and \( C(1, E) \). In particular, the solution of (11) which verifies (12) exists, and is uniquely fixed by the value
discussed here. Of crucial importance is that for coefficients in equation (11).

It is worth noting, however, that the series \( \sum \) is required by the initial condition (12). In the rest of this work, the normalization factor \( \sum \) to a \( C \) sequence \( \zeta( \) as is required by the initial condition (12). In the rest of this work, the normalization factor \( C(0, E) \) in (17) will be fixed such that \( C(0, E) = \pi^{-1/2} \). This choice is aimed at lemma 1. Thanks to it, coefficients \( C(n, E) \) of the formal eigenfunction \( \{ u_n(x, E) \} \) (cf (8)) have the following asymptotic form:

\[
C(n, E) \sim C(0, E) \text{Re} \{ Z(E) C_n^+(n, E) \},
\]

where \( Z(E) \in \mathbb{C} \) has to be chosen so that

\[
\text{Re} \{ Z(E) C_n^+(0, E) \} = 1, \quad h_2(-1, E) \text{Re} \{ Z(E) C_n^+(1, E) \} = -h_1(-1, E),
\]

as is required by the initial condition (12). In the rest of this work, the normalization factor \( C(0, E) \) in (17) will be fixed such that \( C(0, E) = \pi^{-1/2} \). This choice is aimed at lemma 1. Thanks to it, coefficients \( C(n, E) \) of the formal eigenfunction \( \{ u_n(x, E) \} \) (cf (8)) have the following asymptotic form:

\[
C(n, E) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \cos(n \theta - \lambda E \log(n)) + \zeta(E) + O(n^{-3/2}).
\]

Here, \( \zeta(E) \) is the phase of \( Z(E) \); it is not explicitly known because the normal solutions \( C_n^+(n, E) \) are not known except for their asymptotic forms, so equations (18) cannot be solved explicitly. In note 5.1 (corollary 2), \( Z(E) \) is proven to be a \( C^1 \) function of \( E \) in any closed interval \( I \) having empty intersection with the set \( E_{o} \) of ‘exceptional’ energies, which is obtained on adding to \( E_{o} \) the threshold energies \( (n + 1/2) \omega \) \( (n \geq 0) \), which are branch points for coefficients in equation (11).

It should be stressed that (19) only holds when \( \alpha > \omega \), and that the case \( \alpha \leq \omega \) is not discussed here. Of crucial importance is that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |C(n, E)|^2 = +\infty,
\]

so the sequence \( \{ u_n(x, E) \} \) is not in \( L^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{S}) \) and does not define an eigenvector properly. It is worth noting, however, that the series \( \sum \) is pointwise convergent to a \( C^\infty \) function \( \psi_E(x, q) \) at all points \( (x, q) \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R} \), because if \( |x| > \delta > 0 \), then \( v_n(x, E) \) decays quite fast, \( \sim 2n^{1/4} e^{-3\sqrt{n}x} \) with \( n \), and the Hermite functions are uniformly bounded [9].

Such properties of the infinite recursion (11) are essentially identical to ones which were established in [3] for the \( L \rightarrow \infty \) case. Due to them, for \( \alpha > \omega \), the spectrum of \( \mathcal{H}_{0, L, \omega} \) acquires an absolutely continuous component with multiplicity 1.
2.2. Spectral expansions

Throughout the following, $\alpha > \omega$ is understood, and the absolutely continuous subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted $\mathcal{H}_{ac}$. In this section the above-described formal eigenfunctions $\{u_n(x, E)\}$ are used to construct spectral expansions.

**Lemma 1.** If $\Psi, \Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0^*)$ (the continuous, compactly supported functions having no exceptional energies in their support), then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dE_1 dE_2 \, \tilde{\Psi}(E_1) \Phi(E_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \, u_n(x, E_1) u_n(x, E_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dE \, \tilde{\Psi}(E) \Phi(E). \tag{21}
$$

**Proof.** Let $P_n(E_1, E_2) = (u_n(E_1), u_n(E_2))$ denote the scalar product in $L_2^+(\mathbb{S})$ of $u_n(x, E_1)$ and $u_n(x, E_2)$. From $L_n u_0 = E u_0$ (cp equation (5)),

$$
P_n(E_1, E_2) = - (2E_1)^{-1} \left( \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} + \int_{-2\alpha}^{\alpha} \right) dx \, u_n(x, E_2) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} u_n(x, E_1)
+ (2E_1)^{-1} (2n + 1) (u_n(E_1), u_n(E_2)). \tag{22}
$$

Integration by parts yields

$$2(E_1 - E_2) P_n(E_1, E_2) = [u_n'(0+, E_1) - u_n'(0-, E_1)] u_n(0, E_2)
- [u_n'(0+, E_2) - u_n'(0-, E_2)] u_n(0, E_1). \tag{23}
$$

Using the matching condition (6),

$$P_n(E_1, E_2) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha}} (W_{n+1}(E_1, E_2) - W_n(E_1, E_2)), \tag{24}
$$

where, for $n > 0$,

$$W_n(E_1, E_2) = \sqrt{n} (u_n(0, E_1) u_{n-1}(0, E_2) - u_{n-1}(0, E_1) u_n(0, E_2)). \tag{25}
$$

and $W_0(E_1, E_2) = 0$. Hence,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} P_n(E_1, E_2) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha}} W_{N+1}(E_1, E_2). \tag{26}
$$

Substituting in (25) the asymptotic form of $u_n(0)$ which follows from equations (9), (10) and (19) yields:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} P_n(E_1, E_2) \sim 2\alpha \sin(\theta) \frac{\sin((\lambda(E_1 - E_2) \ln(N) - \zeta(E_1) + \zeta(E_2)))}{\pi (E_1 - E_2)} \to N \rightarrow \delta(E_1 - E_2),
$$

where the definitions (16) of $\lambda$ and $\theta$ have been used. Convergence to the Dirac delta function is meant in the sense of equation (21) for continuous functions $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ supported in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0^*$ and rests on the regularity of $\zeta(E)$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0^*$, as established by corollary 2 in note 5.1. □

Thanks to (21), whenever $\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0^*)$, the sequence of functions which are defined on $\mathbb{S}$ by

$$
\psi_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dE \, \Psi(E) u_n(x, E), \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),
$$

is a vector in $L^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L_2^+(\mathbb{S})$. This vector will be denoted $\psi$, and the function $\Psi$ will be termed the spectral representative of $\psi$. Equation (21) says that the map $\iota : \Psi \mapsto \psi$ is isometric, so $\iota$ extends to an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L_2^+(\mathbb{S})$. Proposition 1 below easily follows. It shows that this map, or rather its inverse, yields a complete spectral representation of $\mathcal{H}$ restricted to its absolutely continuous subspace.
Proposition 1.

(i) For all $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
$$t(e^{-iE t} \Psi) = e^{-iE t} t(\Psi).$$  \hfill (28)

(ii) $t$ is a unitary isomorphism of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{ac}$.

A proof is presented in note 5.2.

2.3. Dynamics for $\alpha > \omega$

The spectral results in the previous sections have dynamical consequences as stated in the propositions below. Throughout this section, $\alpha > \omega$ is understood. Let $\psi \in L^2_c(\mathbb{S}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\psi\| = 1$. The notation $\psi(t) = e^{-iH t} \psi$ will be used; moreover, $(f)_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d f(t)$ will denote the time average up to time $T$ of a function $f(t)$.

Proposition 2. If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$, then the time-averaged energy of the oscillator grows in time, at least exponentially fast: i.e.
$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{\ln(E_{osc}(T))}{T} > 0,$$
where
$$E_{osc}(T) = \langle \left( \langle \psi(t), \mathbb{1} \otimes H_{osc} \psi(t) \rangle \right) \rangle_T.$$

A proof is given in appendix 5.3.

Proposition 3. If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$ and $\|\psi\| = 1$, then the probability distribution of the position $x$ of the particle weakly converges to $\delta(x)$ in the limit $t \to +\infty$.

This is equivalent to
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int dq \int_{\eta < |x| < \pi} dx |\psi(q, x, t)|^2 = 0$$
for any $0 < \eta < \pi$, which is proven in appendix 5.4.

One may reasonably expect the expectation value of the position $q$ of the oscillator to diverge to $-\infty$ as the particle endlessly falls in the $\delta$-potential. This will be further supported by arguments in the following section; however, no exact proof is attempted here.

The reduced state of the particle when the full system is in the pure state $\psi(t)$ is the positive trace class operator $S_\psi(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\text{Tr}(S_\psi(t)A) = \langle \psi(t), A \otimes \psi(t) \rangle$ for all bounded operators $A$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S})$. Proposition 3 entails a somewhat extreme form of decoherence for the reduced state.

Corollary 1. If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$, then for every $\phi$ and $\phi'$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S})$, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \langle \phi, S_\psi(t)\phi' \rangle = 0$.

A proof is presented in note 5.5.
2.4. Band dynamics: inverted oscillator

An intuitive picture of the above results is provided by an approximate description of the dynamics to be presented in this section. Hamiltonian (1) (with $L = 2\pi$) may also be presented in the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, H_{q}(q) + 1 \otimes H^{\text{inc}}_{q},$$

(31)

where, for any fixed value of $q$,

$$H_{q}(q) = H^{(p)} + \alpha q \delta(x)$$

(32)

is an operator in $L_{q}^{2}(\mathbb{S})$ [10]. It has a complete set of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues which parametrically depend on the product $\alpha q$. All eigenfunctions are real valued and have the form

$$\phi_{n,q}(x) = A_{n} \cos(\xi_{n}(|x| - \pi)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

(33)

where $A_{n}$ are normalization constants and $\xi_{n}$ are the solutions with $\text{Re}(\xi) \geq 0$ of the equation:

$$\tan(\pi \xi) = -\frac{\alpha q}{\xi}.$$  

Hence, $W_{0}(q)$ is negative whenever $q < 0$. A standard Feynman–Hellman argument yields

$$\frac{dW_{n}(q)}{dq} = \alpha \phi_{n,q}(0)^{2},$$

(34)

so the levels $W_{n}(q)$ are nondecreasing functions of $q$. The ground state energy $W_{0}(q)$ is asymptotically given by

$$W_{0}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \xi_{0}^{2} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } q \to +\infty; \\ -\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2} q^{2}, & \text{for } q \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$

(35)

When $q > 0$, the ground state eigenfunction still has the form (33) with $n = 0$. For $q < 0$, it is instead given by

$$\phi_{n,q}(x) = A_{0} \cosh(\chi(q)(|x| - \pi)) \quad (q < 0).$$

So for large negative $q$ it is sharply peaked at $x = 0$. Any $\psi \in L_{q}^{2}(\mathbb{S}) \otimes L_{q}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ may be expanded as

$$\psi(x, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n}(q) \phi_{n,q}(x), \quad Q_{n}(q) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \, \phi_{n,q}(x) \psi(x, q),$$

(36)

so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |Q_{n}(q)|^{2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \, |\psi(x, q)|^{2}.$$  

In this way, $\mathcal{S}$ is decomposed in ‘band subspaces’ $\mathfrak{B}_{n} \equiv \{ \psi(x, q) = Q_{n}(q) \phi_{n,q}(x) \mid Q_{n}(q) \in L_{q}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \}$. The projector onto the $n$th band subspace will be denoted $\Pi_{n}$. In the ‘band formalism’, it is easy to show that $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is bounded from below when $\alpha < \omega$. Indeed, if $\psi$ is in the domain of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\omega}$, then

$$(\psi, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\omega} \psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, W_{n}(q)|Q_{n}(q)|^{2} + (\psi, 1 \otimes H^{\text{inc}}_{\omega}) \psi.$$  

(37)
Singling out the contribution of the lowest band $B_0$ and using (35) and monotonicity of $W_0(q)$:

\[
(\psi, H_{\alpha,\omega} \psi) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx q^2 |\psi(x, q)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq W_n(q)(Q_n(q))^2 + (\psi, I \otimes H_{\omega}^{(osc)} \psi) \\
\geq (\psi, I \otimes H_{\omega}^{(osc)} \psi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq W_0(q)(Q_0(q))^2 + (\psi, I \otimes H_{\omega}^{(osc)} \psi) \\
\geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha^2} \|\psi\|^2.
\]

(38)

This shows that the abrupt change from semibounded to unbounded spectrum which occurs at $\alpha = \omega$ is related to a change in the structure of the 'ground band' alone. This transition is further elucidated by noting that the ground band plays the role of a stable variety due to the following.

**Proposition 4.** If $\alpha > \omega$, then, for arbitrary $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{ac}$, and for all integer $n > 0$,

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \| \Pi_n e^{-iH_{\alpha,\omega} t} \psi \| = 0.
\]

A proof is presented in section 5.6. This suggests that asymptotic solutions in time of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation may be sought in the form $\psi(x, q, t) = Q_0(q, t) \phi_{q,0}(x)$. No exact proof is attempted here; nevertheless, direct substitution yields a Schrödinger equation for the band wavefunction $Q_0(q, t)$:

\[
i \frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 Q_0}{\partial q^2} + \mathcal{V}(q)Q_0,
\]

where the band potential $\mathcal{V}(q)$ is given by

\[
\mathcal{V}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 q^2 + W_0(q) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} dx \left| \frac{\partial \phi_{q,0}(x)}{\partial q} \right|^2.
\]

A calculation reported in note 5.7 shows that the last term on the right-hand side is $O(q^{-2})$ as $q \to -\infty$ and tends to a constant when $q \to +\infty$. At large $q$, the band potential is then determined by the other two terms. The band potential which results from these two terms alone is shown in figure 1. As $\alpha$ grows beyond $\omega$, it turns from concave to monotonic increasing and then, at large negative $q$, $\mathcal{V}(q) \sim -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - \omega^2)q^2$, that is, the potential of an inverted harmonic oscillator. This sort of phase transition qualitatively explains the growth of the harmonic oscillator’s energy which was proven in proposition 1, and suggests that it is exponential with the rate $\lambda^{-1} = 2\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \omega^2}$.

3. Collapse of wave packets

3.1. A multi-oscillator model

Smilansky’s model has generalizations, in which an arbitrary finite number $N$ of harmonic oscillators interacts with the particle at different points $O_1, \ldots, O_N$. In the $L = \infty$ case,
the corresponding spectral theory has been developed by Evans and Solomyak [4] using a
scattering theory approach. Translated to the present case, this approach is as follows. All
oscillators are assumed to have the same frequency $\omega$ and a coupling constant $\alpha$, and circular
ordering is assumed for the interaction points $O_i$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let a rigid wall be
inserted at a point $Z_i$ in between $O_i$ and $O_{i+1}$, and let $I_i$ denote the arc $Z_i$, $Z_{i+1}$. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}$ of the resulting system differs from $\mathcal{H}$ because of Dirichlet conditions at the point $Z_i$, and is actually an orthogonal sum of operators $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}_i$ in $\mathcal{D} \equiv L^2(J_i) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, each of which
 describes the particle in a rigid box $J_i$, coupled to the $i$th oscillator alone. Therefore, thanks
to what is known about the single-oscillator box model, $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}$ has an $ac$ spectrum coinciding
with $\mathbb{R}$ when $\alpha > \omega$. Møller wave operators are defined by

$$\Omega_{\pm}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{(b)}) = \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{it\mathcal{H}} e^{-it\mathcal{H}^{(b)}} P_{ac}, \quad (40)$$

where $P_{ac}^{(b)}$ denotes projection onto the absolutely continuous subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}$. They are said
to be complete if their range coincides with the entire absolutely continuous subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Whenever this happens, the wave operators $\Omega_{\pm}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{(b)})$ also exist [11]. Existence and completeness have been proven in [4] for the model with $L = \infty$. Here, they are assumed also
for the multi-oscillator box model. In the absence of a formal proof paraphrasing the proof
of Evans and Solomyak, this assumption rests on intuition provided by proposition 2: as the
wavefunction which evolves in $\mathcal{D}$ under Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}_i$ is drained by the $i$th interaction
point, the boundaries at $Z_i$ and $Z_{i+1}$ become influential, and so does the difference between $\mathcal{H}$
and $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}$. ²

Existence and completeness of wave operators enforce unitary equivalence of the
absolutely continuous parts of $\mathcal{H}$ and of $\mathcal{H}^{(b)}$, hence infinitely degenerate Lebesgue spectrum
of $\mathcal{H}$ at $\alpha > \omega$.

² The same picture accounts for irrelevance of boundary conditions in the single-oscillator box model.
Figure 2. The lowest energy band in the model with two oscillators, for $\alpha = 0.7$ and $\omega = 1$.

3.2. Band formalism

There is a band formalism also for the $N$-oscillator case. The case $N = 2$ will be briefly described. Oscillators 1 and 2 with respective coordinates $q_1$ and $q_2$ are coupled to the particle at points $x = 0$ and $\pm \pi$, respectively, diametrally opposite in $\mathcal{S}$. The particle Hamiltonian which now replaces (32) parametrically depends on $q_1$ and $q_2$, and has real-valued eigenfunctions $\phi_{q_1, q_2, n}(x)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{S}^+)$ and eigenvalues $W_n(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{2} \xi_n^2$, where $\xi_n$ are the solutions with $\text{Re}(\xi_n) \geq 0$ (numbered in non-decreasing order of the corresponding eigenvalues) of the equation

$$\tan(\pi \xi) = \frac{\alpha (q_1 + q_2)}{\xi^2 - \alpha^2 q_1 q_2}. \tag{41}$$

‘Band potentials’ $E_n(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2) + W_n(q_1, q_2)$ computed by numerically solving equation (41) are shown in figures 2 and 3. As in the $N = 1$ case, the spectral transition at $\alpha = \omega$ is concomitant to a phase transition in the structure of the lowest band. A transition is observed for the second lowest energy band as well, at a higher value of $\alpha / \omega \approx 1.414$ (not shown), but not for higher bands. The structure of the overcritical ground band, shown in figure 3, is explained as follows. When $1 < \alpha / \omega$, $\xi_0$ is found to be imaginary in the region of the $(q_1, q_2)$ plane which is defined by the inequality

$$q_- < \frac{-q_+}{1 + \pi q_+},$$

where $q_-$ and $q_+$ are, respectively, the minimum and the maximum of $q_1$ and $q_2$. Moving out to infinity in $\mathcal{R}$ along a half-line starting at $(0, 0)$, the asymptotic behaviour of $\xi_0$ is $\sim -i \alpha q_-$, so the band potential $E_0(q_1, q_2)$ diverges to $-\infty$, except along directions lying within an angle of $\arcsin(\omega / \alpha) - \pi / 4$ on either side of the half-line $q_1 = q_2 < 0$, where instead it diverges to $+\infty$ as long as $\omega < \alpha < \omega \sqrt{2}$. This is why in figure 3 one observes two valleys, labelled 1 and 2, that descend to $-\infty$ along the negative $q_1$ axis and the negative $q_2$ axis, respectively, and are separated by a crest, which rises along the $q_1 = q_2 < 0$ half-line. In region $\mathcal{R}$, the ground eigenfunction has the form

$$\phi_{q_1, q_2, 0}(x) = C_1(q_1, q_2) e^{i E_0(q_1, q_2) |x|} + C_2(q_1, q_2) e^{-i E_0(q_1, q_2) |x|},$$
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Figure 3. Same as figure 2, for $\alpha = 1.3$ and $\omega = 1$.

It has two peaks, labelled 1 and 2, at the interaction points of oscillators 1 and 2. Descending along either valley, both peaks become narrower and narrower; however, calculation shows that the whole probability is asymptotically in time caught within the peak which shares the label of the valley.

Like in section 2.4, for $\omega < \alpha < \omega \sqrt{2}$, the quantum dynamics is asymptotically attracted by the 0th band subspace, which consists of functions of the form $Q_0(q_1, q_2)\phi_{0,q_1,q_2}(x)$. The ‘band wavefunction’ $Q_0(q_1, q_2, t)$ asymptotically in time solves the Schrödinger equation for a particle in the plane $(q_1, q_2)$, subject to a potential that behaves at $\infty$ like the one in figure 3. A classical particle would escape to infinity along one valley, so one and just one oscillator would undergo unbounded excitation.

3.3. Collapse of wave packets

Existence and completeness of wave operators have the following immediate consequence, which generalizes proposition 3.

Proposition 5. If $\alpha > \omega$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$ with $\|\psi\| = 1$, then the probability distribution of the particle converges weakly as $t \to \pm \infty$ to a superposition of $\delta$ functions supported at the interaction points:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \cdots \int dq_1 \cdots dq_N |\psi(x, q_1, \ldots, q_N, t)|^2 \to \pm \infty \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j^\pm \delta(x - O_j),$$

where

$$\gamma_j^\pm = \|P_j \Omega_\pm (\mathcal{H}^{(b)}, \mathcal{H})\psi\|^2,$$

and $P_j$ denotes projection onto $\mathcal{H}_j$.

A proof is presented in section 5.8. This in particular implies that the right-hand sides in (42) do not depend on the positions $Z_i$ of the rigid walls.

Corollary 1 about complete decoherence of the reduced state of the particle generalizes to the multi-oscillator case. Hence, one may say that coupling to the oscillators causes the
reduced state of the particle to evolve exponentially fast towards an ‘incoherent superposition of position eigenstates’. In the case when the particle is initially in a pure state, this process is similar to the wave-packet reduction which is conventionally associated with measurements of position. Here, the measuring apparatus consists of \( N \) oscillators, and the \( N \) interaction points have to be chosen in a thick homogeneous grid. Under the assumptions in proposition 5, as \( t \to +\infty \), the pure state \( \psi(t) = e^{-iHt}\psi \) comes closer and closer to the state \( e^{-i\Omega^}\Omega^* \psi \). This is a coherent superposition of states which have the particle in a box and the corresponding oscillator in a highly excited state. Tracing out the oscillators yields an incoherent mixture of alternatives for the particle position; however, the probability of finding the particle in the \( m \)th box is given by \( \gamma_m = \|P_m/\Omega^\|\|\psi\|^2 \) as in equation (42) and not by \( \int P_m(x) \psi_0(x) \|\psi\|^2 \) as in an ideal measurement. The difference lies with replacing the initial state \( \psi \) with the ‘outgoing state’ \( \Omega^\psi \), and may be ascribed to the non-instantaneous nature of the measurement process. Increasing the number \( N \) of oscillators (hence increasing the precision of the measurement) while keeping \( \alpha/N \) and \( \omega/N \) constant causes the timescale of the exponentially fast reduction process to decrease proportional to \( 1/N \) (see the scaling rule (3) and remarks at the end of section 2.4). This suggests a possibility of retrieving the ideal measurement of position by a suitable limit process.

4. Concluding remarks

Dynamical instability in Smilansky’s model is due to a positive feedback loop between a fall of the particle in the \( \delta \)-potential well and excitation of the oscillator. This effect may not crucially rest on point interaction, nor on linear dependence of the interaction on the coordinate of the oscillator. While such special features are probably optimal in simplifying mathematical analysis, a similar behaviour may be reproducible with smoother interaction potentials and also in purely classical models.

Smilansky’s model is somewhat unrealistic from a physical viewpoint, as it is not easy to conceive of physical realizations, albeit approximate. Generalizations of the model to higher dimension and more realistic couplings—if at all possible—may enhance physical interest.

5. Notes and proofs

5.1. BA&WL theory

In this note, proposition 6 and its corollary 2 are proven. They are essential ingredients in the derivation of the spectral expansion in section 2.2 (notably in the proof of lemma 1). Proposition 6 is proven by a rephrased version of a method which was introduced by Wong and Li [13] in the context of a theory of Birkhoff and Adams about asymptotic expansions for \( n \to +\infty \) of solutions of second-order difference equations of the form:

\[
C(n+2) + p(n) C(n+1) + q(n) C(n) = 0.
\]  

The main result of that theory (theorem 8.36 in [12]) is that, whenever coefficients \( p(n) \) and \( q(n) \) have asymptotic expansions for \( n \to +\infty \) in powers of \( n^{-1} \):

\[
p(n) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a(k) n^{-k}, \quad q(n) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b(k) n^{-k},
\]
the equation has two linearly independent ‘normal’ solutions, which have asymptotic expansions:

\[ C_\pm(n) \sim \sigma_\pm^n n^{\alpha_\pm} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_{\pm}(s) n^{-s} \]  

where \( \sigma_\pm \) are the (assumedly distinct) roots of the equation:

\[ \sigma^2 + a(0) \sigma + b(0) = 0, \]

and

\[ \alpha_\pm = \frac{a(1)}{a(0)} \sigma_\pm + \frac{b(1)}{a(0)} \sigma_\pm + 2 \frac{b(0)}{a(0)}. \]  

Coefficients \( c_{\pm}(s) \) in (45) are recursively determined by directly substituting (45) in (43) with \( c_{\pm}(0) = 1 \). Equation (11) may be written in the form of equation (43), with coefficients that additionally depend on \( E \):

\[ p(n) = p(n, E) = -\frac{h_1(n, E)}{h_2(n, E)}, \quad q(n) = q(n, E) = -\frac{h_0(n, E)}{h_2(n, E)} , \]  

where \( h_0, h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are as in equations (13) and (14). Using (9) and (10) one computes asymptotic expansions (44). In particular,

\[ a(0, E) = \frac{2 \omega}{\alpha}, \quad a(1, E) = -\omega \left( 1 + \frac{E}{\omega} \right), \quad b(0, E) = 1, \quad b(1, E) = -1, \]

whence it follows that expansions (45) have the form (15) at lowest orders.

In the following \( I \) will denote an arbitrary closed interval contained in \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{E}_a^* \); positive quantities only dependent on \( \alpha, \omega \), and \( I \) will be denoted by \( c_1, c_2, \ldots \); derivatives with respect to \( E \) will be denoted by a dot, as, e.g., in \( \dot{p}(n, E), \dot{q}(n, E) \ldots \). The following lemma sets premises for the proof of proposition 6.

**Lemma 2.** For all positive \( n \), \( p(n, E) \) and \( q(n, E) \) as given by (48), (13) and (14) are \( C^1 \) functions of \( E \in I \). Their asymptotic expansions (44) are uniform in \( I \). Their derivatives have uniform asymptotic expansions in \( I \) in powers of \( n^{-1} \), with coefficients given by the derivatives of the coefficients \( a(s, E) \) and \( b(s, E) \), as specified in (48), (13) and (14). In particular, \( n\dot{p}(n, E) \) and \( n\dot{q}(n, E) \) are bounded in \( I \) by some \( c_1 > 0 \).

**Proof.** By direct inspection. \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.** For all \( n \geq 0 \) the normal solutions \( C_\pm^*(n, E) \) of equation (43), with coefficients as in (48), are \( C^1 \) functions of \( E \in I \).

**Proof.** The proof is the same for both normal solutions, so subscripts \( \pm \) will be left understood throughout. Thanks to lemma 2, all coefficients \( c(s, E) \) are \( C^1 \) functions of \( E \in I \), because each of them is determined by a finite number of coefficients \( a \) and \( b \). Let \( \mathfrak{R} \) and \( \mathfrak{R}_0 \) denote operators that act on sequences \( w : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \) according to

\[ (\mathfrak{R}w)(n, E) = w(n + 2, E) + p(n, E)w(n + 1, E) + q(n, E)w(n, E), \]  

\[ (\mathfrak{R}_0 w)(n, E) = w(n + 2, E) + a(0, E)w(n + 1, E) + b(0, E)w(n, E). \]

Let \( Y(n, E) = \exp(\pm in\theta \mp iE \log(n)) \), and let a normal solution be written in the form

\[ C^*(n, E) = L_\pm(n, E) + \epsilon_\pm(n, E), \]  

where
where $N$ is an integer and $L_N(n, E)$ is obtained on truncating at the $(N - 1)$th order the asymptotic expansion (45) of the normal solution:

$$L_N(n, E) = n^{-1/2} Y(n, E) \sum_{0}^{N-1} c(s, E)n^{-s}.$$

Direct calculation yields

$$\langle \mathfrak{N}L_N \rangle(n, E) = n^{-1/2} Y(n, E) R_N(n, E), \quad (52)$$

where, for any fixed $n$, $R_N(n, E)$ is a $C^1$ function of $E \in I$ because such are all coefficients $c(s, E)$, $(0 \leq s \leq N - 1)$, and, moreover,

$$R_N(n, E) = O(n^{-N-1}), \quad (53)$$

uniformly with respect to $E \in I$ as $n \to \infty$. Differentiating (52) on both sides, $\hat{R}_N(n, E)$ is found to have a uniform asymptotic expansion in $I$ in powers of $n^{-1}$, so (53) entails that

$$\hat{R}_N(n, E) = O(n^{-N-1}), \quad (54)$$

uniformly in $I$. Substitution of (51) and (52) into (43) yields

$$\langle \mathfrak{N}e_N \rangle(n, E) = -n^{-1/2} Y(n, E) R_N(n, E),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle \mathfrak{N}e_N \rangle(n, E) = -n^{-1/2} Y(n, E) R_N(n, E) - q(n, E) e_N(n, E) - \tilde{p}(n, E) e_N(n + 1, E), \quad (55)$$

where $\tilde{p}(n, E) = p(n, E) - a_0(E)$ and $\tilde{q}(n, E) = q(n, E) - b_0(E)$. Equation (55) may be read as an inhomogeneous second-order difference equation, so it can be rewritten in an ‘integral’ form using a ‘Green function’ for the operator $\mathfrak{N}_0$. This is provided by the function [13]

$$G(n) = s(n - 1) \frac{\sin((n - 1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)}, \quad (56)$$

where $s(n) = 1$ for $n \geq 0$ and $s(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$. Therefore, introducing the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ that formally acts on sequences as in

$$\langle \mathfrak{G}w \rangle(n, E) = -\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} G(n - k) \left( \tilde{q}(k, E) w(k, E) + \tilde{p}(k, E) w(k + 1, E) \right), \quad (57)$$

and denoting

$$d_N(n, E) = -\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} G(n - k) k^{-1/2} Y(k, E) R_N(k, E), \quad (58)$$

the sequence $e_N(n, E)$ must solve the following equation written in the vector form:

$$e_N = d_N + \mathfrak{G} e_N. \quad (59)$$

No solution of the homogeneous equation $\mathfrak{N}_0 e_N = 0$ appears on the rhs of (59) because such solutions do not vanish at infinity, as is instead required of $e_N(n, E)$. Let $\sigma$ and $\sigma^\dagger$ denote the left shift operator and its adjoint: $(\sigma w)(n, E) = w(n + 1, E)$ $(n \geq 1)$, $(\sigma^\dagger w)(n, E) = w(n - 1, E)$ if $n > 1$, and $(\sigma^\dagger w)(1, E) = 0$. If $e_N$ satisfies (59), then $\tilde{e}_N := \sigma^N e_N$ satisfies

$$\tilde{e}_N = \sigma^N d_N + \mathfrak{G}_N \tilde{e}_N, \quad \mathfrak{G}_N = \sigma^N \mathfrak{G} \sigma^{\dagger N}. \quad (60)$$

The operator $\mathfrak{G}_N$ is explicitly given by equation (57) after replacing $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ by $\sigma^N \tilde{a}$ and $\sigma^N \tilde{b}$ respectively. Thanks to lemma 3 and to the contraction mapping theorem, if $N$ is
sufficiently large then equation (60) has a unique solution in the Banach space $X_{N,1}$ of sequences $w: \mathbb{N} \to C^1(I)$ such that
\[
\|w\|_X \coloneq \|w\|_N + \|\dot{w}\|_{N^*} < +\infty,
\] (61)
where
\[
\|w\|_N = \sup \{(N+n)^{N+1/2}|w(n,E)|, \; n \geq 0, \; E \in I\},
\]
\[
\|w\|_{N^*} = \sup \left\{(N+n)^{N+1/2}\frac{1}{\log(N+n)}|w(n,E)|, \; n \geq 0, \; E \in I\right\}.
\] (62)

Thus found $\tilde{e}_N$ determines $e_N(n, E)$ as a $C^1$ function, and hence, via equation (51), the normal solution for $n \geq N + 1$. For such $n$, the thesis is then proven because $L_N(n,E)$ is itself $C^1$ wrt $E$ thanks to already noted properties of coefficients $c(s,E)$. The values of the normal solution thus found at $n = N + 1$ and $n = N + 2$ can then be used to retrieve the normal solution for $0 \leq n \leq N$ by solving equation (43) backwards (which is possible because $q(n,E) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, thanks to the assumption that $E$ is not in $E_n^{*}$). As this process involves a finite number of steps, and $p$ and $q$ are $C^1$ functions, the proof is complete. □

**Lemma 3.** (i) $\sigma^N d_N \in X_{N,1}$, (ii) $\Theta_N$ is a bounded operator in $X_{N,1}$ and its norm is bounded by
\[
\|\Theta\|_X \leq 2ec_2(c_1 + 3\beta)(N + 1/2)^{-1},
\]
where $c_1$ is as in lemma 2, $c_2 = \sin(\theta)^{-1} = (1 - \omega^2/\alpha^2)^{-1/2}$ and
\[
\beta = \sup \{k (|\tilde{p}(k,E)| + |\tilde{q}(k,E)|, k \in \mathbb{N}, E \in I\}.
\]

**Proof.**
(i) From equations (58) and (53),
\[
d_N(n,E) \leq c_2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{-N-3/2} = O(n^{-N-1/2});
\]
therefore, $\|d_N\|_N$ is finite and so is $\|\sigma^{N+1} d_N\|_N$. Next, the derivative of the $k$th term in the sum on the rhs in equation (58) is $O(k^{-N-3/2} \log(1+k))$, so the sum of such derivatives is absolutely and uniformly convergent in $I$ to the derivative of $d_N(n,E)$, and $\|d_N\|_{N^*}$ is finite.
(ii) Noting that
\[
\sup\{|(\Theta_N w)(n, E)| \mid k \geq n\} \leq \beta,
\]
and similarly for $\tilde{q}$, one may write
\[
|((\Theta_N w))(n, E)| \leq c_2\beta\|w\|_N \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (N+k)^{-N-3/2}
\]
\[
\leq c_2\beta\|w\|_N \int_{n-1}^{\infty} (N+x)^{-N-3/2}
\]
\[
= c_2\beta\|w\|_N (N + 1/2)^{-1}(N + n - 1)^{-N-1/2},
\] (63)
so
\[
\|\Theta_N w\|_N \leq 2c_2\beta(N + 1/2)^{-1}\|w\|_N,
\] (64)
thanks to \((N + n)^{N+1/2}(N + n - 1)^{-N-1/2} < 2\varepsilon\). To estimate \(\|\Theta_N w\|_{N^*}\):

\[
(\Theta_N w)(n, E) \leq c_2 \varepsilon \|w\|_N \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} (k + N)^{-N-3/2} \quad + c_2 \varepsilon \|\hat{w}\|_{N^*} \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} (k + N)^{-N-3/2} \log(k + N).
\]

Estimating the sums on the rhs as was done in \((63)\) leads to

\[
(\Theta_N w)(n, E) \leq (2c_1c_2 \varepsilon \|w\|_N + 4c_2 \varepsilon \|\hat{w}\|_{N^*})(N + 1/2)^{-1}.
\]

Thanks to definition \((61)\), the latter estimate along with \((64)\) yields the claimed bound on the norm of \(\Theta_N\) as an operator in \(X_{N, I}\).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.** If \(E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_w\), then the difference equation \((11)\) has a particular solution which satisfies the initial condition \((12)\), and moreover has the asymptotics \((19)\), where \(\xi(E)\) is a \(C^1\) function of \(E\) in any closed interval of energies containing no exceptional points.

**Proof.** The complex amplitude \(Z(E)\) (cf equation \((17)\)), which determines the sought for solution in terms of the normal solutions, is found by solving equations \((18)\); so it is a smooth function of the values of the normal solutions at \(n = 0\) and \(n = 1\). The conclusion follows because \(\xi(E)\) is the phase of \(Z(E)\).

\[\square\]

5.2. Proof of lemma 1

(i) First, it will be proven that if \(E^m \Psi(E) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\) for some integer \(m\) then \(\psi = \iota(\Psi)\) is in the domain of \(\mathcal{H}^m\), and \(\iota(E^m \Psi) = \mathcal{H}^m \psi\).

It is easy to see that

\[
L^m_n \psi_n(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(E) L^m_n u_n(x, E) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} E^m \Psi(E) u_n(x, E)
\]

(with \(L_n\) defined as in \((5)\) holds for all \(\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_n)\) and all positive integers \(m, n\), so, thanks to \((21)\) the sequence \(L^m_n \psi_n\) is in \(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2_+(S)\) whenever \(E^m \Psi(E) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\). On the other hand, the sequence \(|L^m_n \psi_n\rangle\rangle\) satisfies the matching condition \((6)\) because so does \(u_n\), and because \(L^m_n u_n = E u_n\). The same is then true for the sequence \(|L^m_n \psi_n\rangle\rangle\) because the condition \(\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_n)\) allows for computing left- and right-hand derivatives of \(L^m_n \psi_n(x)\) at \(x = 0\) under the integral sign. Therefore, \(\psi\) is in the domain of \(\mathcal{H}^m\) whenever \(\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}_n)\) and \(\mathcal{H}^m \psi = \iota(E^m \Psi)\). As \(\mathcal{H}^m\) is a closed operator, the same is true whenever \(\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\) and \(E^m \Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\).

(ii) Follows by continuity, because \(\iota\) is isometric.

(iii) To prove that \(\iota\) is onto: note that, thanks to \((21)\) and \((28)\), the time-correlation \((\psi, e^{-i t \Psi} \psi)\) coincides with the Fourier transform of \(|\Psi(E)|^2\). Therefore, \(|\Psi(E)|^2\) is the density of the absolutely continuous spectral measure of \(\psi\) with respect to \(\mathcal{H}\) (also known as the local density of states). As \(\mathcal{H}\) has a simple absolutely continuous spectrum coinciding with \(\mathbb{R}\), \(\psi\) is a cyclic vector whenever its local density of states is Lebesgue—almost everywhere different from zero. So, whenever \(\Psi(E)\) is a.e. nonzero, \(\psi\) is a cyclic vector of \(\mathcal{H}\), so the closed span of \(|e^{-i t \mathcal{H}^m} \psi\rangle\rangle_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\) is the whole of \(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2_+ (S)\), whence \(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2_+ (S) = \iota(L^2(\mathbb{R}))\) follows.
5.3. Proof of proposition 2

The expectation value of the energy of the oscillator in a state \( \psi = \{ \psi_n(x) \} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2_\omega(\mathbb{S}) \) of the composite system is given by

\[
(\psi, \mathbb{1} \otimes H^{\text{osc}}_\omega) \psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \mid \psi_n(x) \mid^2,
\]

and so the sequence

\[
P(n, E) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \mid u_n(x, E) \mid^2 \quad (n \geq 0),
\]

may be thought of as a non-normalizable distribution of the energy of the oscillator over its unperturbed levels, when the full system has energy \( E \). The present proof of proposition 2 rests on the following inequality, which is an immediate consequence of equations (8)–(10) and (19):

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} nP(n, E) \leq \pi^{-1}.
\]

Let \( \psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{ac}}, \| \psi \| = 1 \) and \( \Psi(E) \) be its spectral representative, so that \( |\Psi(E)|^2 \) is the density of the absolutely continuous spectral measure of \( \psi \) with respect to \( \mathcal{H} \). Thanks to (67) there is a continuous function \( \Psi_1(E) \), compactly supported in \( \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\text{ac}} \), so that on the one hand

\[
\int dE \mid \Psi(E) - \Psi_1(E) \mid^2 < 1/8,
\]

and on the other hand

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} P(n, E) < C \ln(N), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}
\]

for some positive constant \( C \) and for all \( E \) in the support of \( \Psi_1 \). Let \( \psi_1 = i(\Psi_1) \), \( \psi_2 = \psi - \psi_1 \) and \( \psi(t) = e^{-iEt} \psi = \{ \psi_n(x, t) \} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes L^2_\omega(\mathbb{S}) \). For \( T > 0 \), the probability of finding the energy of the oscillator in its \( n \)th level, averaged from time 0 to time \( T \), is

\[
P_n(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \mid \psi_n(x, t) \mid^2.
\]

Let \( p_{1,n}(T) \) and \( p_{2,n}(T) \) denote the functions which are defined by the same equation, with \( \psi \) replaced by \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \), respectively. By construction of \( \Psi_1 \), the spectral representation of \( \psi_1 \) has the form (27), so proposition 1 yields

\[
p_{1,n}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx \left| \int dE e^{-iEt} \Psi_1(E) u_n(x, t) \right|^2.
\]

On the other hand, denoting by \( \mathcal{F} \) the Fourier–Plancherel transform in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \):

\[
\int_0^T dt \left| \int dE e^{-iEt} \Psi_1(E) u_n(x, t) \right|^2 = 2\pi \int_0^T dt \left| \mathcal{F} \Psi_1 u_n(x, .) (t) \right|^2
\]

\[
\leq 2\pi \| \mathcal{F} \Psi_1 u_n(x, .) \|^2 = 2\pi \| \Psi_1 u_n(x, .) \|^2
\]

\[
= 2\pi \int dE u_n^2(x, t) |\Psi_1(E)|^2.
\]
Replacing (74) in (71), and using (66) and inequality (69), which holds throughout the support of $\Psi_1$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} p_{1,n}(T) \leq 2\pi CT^{-1} \ln(N).$$

(75)

With $N = N(T) := e^{CT}$, where $C_1 = 1/(16\pi C)$, this estimate yields

$$\sum_{n \leq N(T)} p_{1,n}(T) < \frac{1}{8}.$$  

(76)

From the definitions of $p_n(T)$, $p_{1,n}(T)$ and $p_{2,n}(T)$, and equation (18) it immediately follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N(T)} p_n(T) \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N(T)} p_{1,n}(T) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N(T)} p_{2,n}(T) < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{d}t \left( \psi(t), \mathbf{1} \otimes H^{(osc)} \omega \psi(t) \right) > \left( \frac{1}{2} + N(T) \right) \omega \sum_{n > N(T)} p_n(T) > \frac{1}{2} N(T) \omega = \frac{1}{2} e^{CT} \omega.$$

(77)

5.4. Proof of proposition 3

For $0 < \eta < \pi$ let $A_\eta = (-\pi, -\eta) \cup (\eta, \pi)$. The explicit form of $u_\eta$ given in equations (8) and (9) shows that $|u_\eta(x)| \leq |C(n, E)| p_n \cosh(\chi_n(E)(\pi - \eta))$, whenever $n > E/\omega - 1/2$ and $x \in A_\eta$; so, if in addition $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E^*\omega$, then from (10) and from the asymptotic formula (19) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{A_\eta} dx \left| u_n^2(x, E) \right| < +\infty$$  

(78)

because the integrals in the sum decrease exponentially fast for $n \to \infty$. Thanks to (78), for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ one can find a compact set $B_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus E^*\omega$ and a continuous function $\Psi_\epsilon$ supported in $B_\epsilon$, so that, on the one hand,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{A_\eta} dx \left| u_n^2(x, E) \right| < C_\epsilon, \quad \forall E \in B_\epsilon,$$

(79)

for some positive constant $C_\epsilon$ and, on the other hand, $\|\psi - \psi^{(\epsilon)}\|^2 < \epsilon$, where $\psi^{(\epsilon)} = \mathbf{i}(\Psi_\epsilon)$. Then

$$\int_{A_\eta \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \left| \psi(x, q, t) \right|^2 \leq 2 \int_{A_\eta \times \mathbb{R}} \left| \psi(x, q, t) - \psi^{(\epsilon)}(x, q, t) \right|^2$$

$$+ 2 \int_{A_\eta \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \left| \psi^{(\epsilon)}(x, q, t) \right|^2$$

$$\leq 2\|\psi - \psi^{(\epsilon)}\|^2 + 2 \int_{A_\eta \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \left| \psi^{(\epsilon)}(x, q, t) \right|^2$$

$$\leq 2\epsilon + 2 \int_{A_\eta \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \left| \psi^{(\epsilon)}(x, q, t) \right|^2.$$  

(80)
From equation (4)
\[
\int \int_{A_0 \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \, |\psi(x, q, t)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{A_0} dx \, |\psi_n(x, t)|^2,
\]
and then, since the spectral representative \(\Psi_\epsilon\) of \(\psi^{(\epsilon)}\) is compactly supported in \(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_\alpha^*,\) the spectral representation (27) can be used to the effect that
\[
\int \int_{A_0 \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \, |\psi(x, q, t)|^2 = \int \int_{B_0 \times B_0} dE \, dE' \, e^{-i(E'-E)\eta} \Psi_\epsilon(E) \Psi_\epsilon(E') \, G_\eta(E, E'), \quad (81)
\]
where
\[
G_\eta(E, E') = \int_{A_0} dx \, \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n(x, E)u_n(x, E').
\]
Thanks to (79), \(G_\eta(E, E')\) is bounded in \(B_0 \times B_0;\) on the other hand, \(\Psi_\epsilon(E)\) is summable over \(B_0,\) so the integral on the rhs in (81) tends to 0 in the limit \(t \to \infty\) thanks to the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma. From (80) it follows that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int \int_{A_0 \times \mathbb{R}} dx \, dq \, |\psi(x, q, t)|^2 \leq 2\epsilon,
\]
whence the claim (30) follows, because \(\epsilon > 0\) is arbitrary.

5.5. Proof of corollary 1

By positivity of \(S(t),\) it is sufficient to prove the claim for \(\phi = \phi'\) and \(\|\phi\| = 1.\) Let \(P_\phi = (\phi, .)\phi\)
denote projection along \(\phi,\) and let \(P_\phi\) and \(P_\phi^\perp\) respectively denote projection onto the functions supported in \(\eta < |x| < \pi\) and its orthogonal complement. Then
\[
(\phi, S(t)\phi) = \text{Tr}(S(t)P_\phi) \leq |\text{Tr}(S(t)P_\phi P_\phi)| + |\text{Tr}(S(t)P_\phi P_\phi^\perp)|. \quad (82)
\]
For any \(\eta > 0,\) the first term on the rhs in (82) tends to 0 as \(t \to \pm \infty\) thanks to equation (30) due to
\[
|\text{Tr}(S(t)P_\phi P_\phi)| = |(\psi(t), P_\phi P_\phi \psi(t))| \leq \|P_\phi \psi(t)\|.
\]
On the other hand, the second term on the rhs in (82) can be made arbitrarily small, uniformly with respect to \(t,\) by choosing \(\eta\) small enough:
\[
|\text{Tr}(S(t)P_\phi P_\phi^\perp)| \leq \|P_\phi^\perp \psi(t)\| \leq \|P_\phi^\perp \phi\|.
\]
Hence the lhs in (82), which does not depend on \(\eta,\) tends to 0 in the limit \(t \to \pm \infty.\)

5.6. Proof of proposition 4

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, |Q_\eta(q, t)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, \left| \left( \int_{|x|<\eta} + \int_{\eta<|x|<\pi} \right) dx \, \phi_{\eta,n}(x) \psi(x, q, t) \right|^2
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left\{ R(\eta, q) + S(\eta, q) \right\}, \quad (83)
\]
where
\[
R(\eta, q) = 2 \left| \int_{|x|<\eta} dx \, \phi_{\eta,n}(x) \psi(x, q, t) \right|^2,
\]
\[
S(\eta, q) = 2 \left[ \int_{\eta<|x|<\pi} dx \, \phi_{\eta,n}(x) \psi(x, q, t) \right]^2. \quad (84)
\]
From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \ R(n, q) \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left( \int_{|q|<\eta} dx \, \phi_{q,n}^2(x) \right) \left( \int_{|q|<\eta} dx \, |\psi(x, q, t)|^2 \right),
\]
and using that \( \phi_{q,n} \) with \( n > 0 \) are uniformly bounded by \((\pi - 1)^{-1/2}\) and that \( \|\psi(t)\| = 1 \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \ R(n, q) \leq C \eta,
\]
for a suitable constant \( C \). Similarly, using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and \( \int dx \phi_{q,n}^2(x) = 1 \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \ S(n, q) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \int_{|q|<\pi} dx |\psi(x, q, t)|^2,
\]
so, thanks to proposition 3,
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, |Q_n(q, t)|^2 \leq C \eta,
\]
and the claim follows because \( \eta > 0 \) is arbitrary.

The above argument fails if \( n = 0 \) because \( \phi_{q,0} \) is not uniformly bounded in \( q < 0 \).

5.7. About the band potential

Here the third term in the band potential (39) is estimated. A standard perturbative calculation yields
\[
\gamma_q(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \, \phi_{q,n}(x) \frac{\partial \phi_{q,n}(x)}{\partial q} = \alpha \frac{\phi_{q,n}(0) \phi_{q,n}(0)}{W(q) - W_0(q)}, \quad (n \neq l)
\]
and \( \gamma_0 = 0 \); so, thanks to orthonormality and completeness of \( \{\phi_{q,n}\} : \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{q,n}}{\partial q} \right)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{q0}^2(q)
\]
\[
= a^2 \phi_{q,0}^2(0) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\phi_{q,n}(0)}{(W_q(q) - W_0(q))^2}.
\]
It is easy to see that \( |\phi_{q,n}(0)| \leq (\pi - 1)^{-1/2} \) whenever \( n > 0 \), that \( W_q(q) > 1/2 \) and that \( |\phi_{q,0}| = \sqrt{\gamma_{q0}}q \) for \( q \to -\infty \). Using this and the asymptotic form of \( W_0(q) \) given in (35),
(87) is found to be \( O(q^{-2}) \) for \( q \to -\infty \) and \( \sim \text{const} \) as \( q \to +\infty \).

5.8. Proof of proposition 5

The following notation will be used. For sufficiently small \( \eta > 0 \), \( A_{l,\eta} = J_{l} \setminus D_{j} \), where
\( D_{j} \subset J_{j} \) is an arc of size \( \eta > 0 \) centred at \( O_{j} \) and \( A_{\eta} = \bigcup_{j} A_{l,\eta} \). \( P_{\eta} \) will denote the projector of
\( L^2(\mathbb{S}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) onto \( L^2(A_{\eta}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( P_{l,\eta} \) will denote the projector of \( L^2(J_{l}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) onto
\( L^2(A_{l,\eta}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

Existence of \( \Omega_{\pm} \equiv \Omega_{\pm}(\mathcal{H}^{(b)}, \mathcal{H}) \) entails that
\[
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} (e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\eta}t}, P_{\eta} e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\eta}t}) = \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} (e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0t}, \Omega_{\pm} \psi, P_{\eta} e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\eta}t}, \Omega_{\pm} \psi)
\]
\[
= \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\eta}t}, \Omega_{\pm} \psi, P_{l,\eta} e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\eta}t}, \Omega_{\pm} \psi).
\]
The quantity of which the \( t \to \infty \) limit is taken in the above equations is the probability of finding the particle in \( A_\eta \) at time \( t \). Each subspace \( P_j(H) \) is invariant under the evolution ruled by \( H(b) \), so the sum in the last line is equal to

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{-iH(b)_j t} \Omega_\pm \psi, P_{j,\eta} e^{-iH(b)_j t} \Omega_\pm \psi \right) .
\]

(90)

Each term in the sum is a probability of finding the particle at time \( t \) in \( J_{j,\eta} \), with the evolution \( e^{-iH(b)_j t} \). In each invariant subspace this evolution is that of a single-oscillator model, so, thanks to proposition 3, each term in the sum tends to 0 as \( t \to \infty \), so does the probability of finding the particle in \( A_\eta \) for all \( \eta > 0 \), which is equivalent to the thesis.
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