Residues T_{48} and A_{49} in HIV-1 NL4-3 Nef are responsible for the counteraction of autophagy initiation, which prevents the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of Gag through autophagosomes
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Abstract

Background: Autophagy plays an important role as a cellular defense mechanism against intracellular pathogens, like viruses. Specifically, autophagy orchestrates the recruitment of specialized cargo, including viral components needed for replication, for lysosomal degradation. In addition to this primary role, the cleavage of viral structures facilitates their association with pattern recognition receptors and MHC-I/II complexes, which assists in the modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses against these pathogens. Importantly, whereas autophagy restricts the replicative capacity of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), this virus has evolved the gene nef to circumvent this process through the inhibition of early and late stages of the autophagy cascade. Despite recent advances, many details of the mutual antagonism between HIV-1 and autophagy still remain unknown. Here, we uncover the genetic determinants that drive the autophagy-mediated restriction of HIV-1 as well as the counteraction imposed by Nef. Additionally, we also examine the implications of autophagy antagonism in HIV-1 infectivity.

Results: We found that sustained activation of autophagy potently inhibits HIV-1 replication through the degradation of HIV-1 Gag, and that this effect is more prominent for nef-deficient viruses. Gag re-localizes to autophagosomes where it interacts with the autophagosome markers LC3 and SQSTM1. Importantly, autophagy-mediated recognition and recruitment of Gag requires the myristoylation and ubiquitination of this virus protein, two post-translational modifications that are essential for Gag’s central role in virion assembly and budding. We also identified residues T_{48} and A_{49} in HIV-1 NL4-3 Nef as responsible for impairing the early stages of autophagy. Finally, a survey of pandemic HIV-1 transmitted/founder viruses revealed that these isolates are highly resistant to autophagy restriction.

Conclusions: This study provides evidence that autophagy antagonism is important for virus replication and suggests that the ability of Nef to counteract autophagy may have played an important role in mucosal transmission. Hence, disabling Nef in combination with the pharmacological manipulation of autophagy represents a promising strategy to prevent HIV spread.
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Background

Macroautophagy (hereafter autophagy) is a degradative process essential for cellular homeostasis characterized by the recruitment and delivery of intracellular targets to lysosomes for their degradation. Autophagy is activated under situations of stress such as starvation or infections [1–4] and results in the engulfment of autophagic cargo by specialized double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes), which eventually fuse with lysosomes to facilitate the degradation of their content. Remarkably, the autophagy machinery is highly conserved among all eukaryotic organisms, which already denotes the relevance of this pathway [5, 6]. Involving more than 30 autophagy-related genes, the autophagic process takes place in three consecutive steps: initiation, elongation and maturation. First, upon activation by a plethora of stress stimuli, the nucleation of a double-membrane structure called phagophore takes place. The phagophore is used as a primer for the biogenesis of autophagosomes [2, 7]. This step requires the formation of the multimolecular enzymatic complex class III phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase complex 1 (Class III PtdIns3K C1) that contains the protein Beclin1/BECN1, an essential initiator of autophagy [8, 9]. Second, the class III PtdIns3K C1 not only facilitates phagophore nucleation, but also elongation of this membranous structure through the recruitment of an E3-like enzymatic complex that mediates a key reaction in the autophagy pathway: the conjugation of phosphatidylinositol ethanolamine (PE) to the microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 (MAP1LC3 or LC3). The lipidation of LC3 converts its inactive and cytosolic isoform, LC3-I, into the autophagy-competent LC3-II variant, which is able to associate with both, the inner as well as the outer membrane of autophagosomes. Membrane-associated LC3-II plays a critical role in the elongation of autophagosomes and the recruitment of cargo for degradation [6, 10, 11]. Targets for autophagy elimination are usually poly-ubiquitinated proteins that are recognized and recruited by different autophagy receptors or adaptor proteins, which serve as a bridge between ubiquitin-tagged substrates and LC3-II molecules found on the inner membrane of elongating autophagosomes [12–14]. In this regard, sequestosome-1 (SQSTM1, also known as p62) is one of the main adaptor proteins, which, besides being responsible for substrate recognition and sequestration, also provides structural support for the formation and final enclosure of autophagosomes [12, 15, 16]. Finally, the last stage of autophagy involves the fusion between the autophagosome and a lysosome creating the so-called autophagolysosome or autolysosome. This fusion event leads to the degradation of the autophagic cargo due to the action of the lysosomal acid hydrolases [17, 18].

In addition to its role in cellular quality control and its contribution as an alternative source of energy under starvation conditions, autophagy has recently risen as a noteworthy asset in the innate defense against intracellular pathogens, such as viruses [19–21]. From this perspective, not only does autophagy promote the direct elimination of cytosolic viral components, but also boosts immune responses by using the residual products generated by autophagolysosomal degradation to engage endosomal pattern recognition receptors. In addition, these degradation products can be loaded onto MHC-I and MHC-II molecules to promote antigen-presentation [19, 22, 23]. Hence, autophagy activation plays a crucial role in eliciting both innate and adaptive responses against viruses. In line with these antiviral actions, we recently uncovered that autophagy restricts Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1 (HIV-1). However, this virus has evolved Nef, a well-known immune evasion factor [24–27], as a countermeasure for autophagy restriction. Particularly, autophagy significantly restricts virion production, and this is associated with reduced levels of the HIV structural protein Gag (p55) [28]. Considering that Gag is the precursor of the capsid protein (p24), and more importantly, is the main driver of virion assembly and budding, we reasoned that autophagy-mediated Gag clearance results in a defect in virion production. As for the ability of Nef to counteract this restriction, our study revealed that, besides its previously reported ability to prevent autophagosome-lysosome fusion [29–31], Nef enhances the association between the initiator of autophagy BECN1 and its natural inhibitor BCL2, preventing LC3 lipidation and halting autophagosome biogenesis. These findings indicate that besides its already known role at blocking late events in the autophagic cascade, Nef additionally intersects with autophagy initiation. Furthermore, this antagonistic effect of Nef is conserved across pandemic clades of HIV-1. Therefore, counteraction of autophagy may have been advantageous for viral infectivity, and thus, the successful spread of HIV-1 worldwide [28].

In this study, we sought to characterize the genetic determinants that govern the mutual antagonism between HIV and autophagy. First, we confirmed that HIV Gag is directed to autophagy-mediated elimination through a mechanism that involves Gag ubiquitination and its capacity to associate with membranes. Second, our mapping analyses uncovered that residues T48 and A49 within the N-terminal domain of NL4-3 Nef are essential for Nef’s ability to suppress the early stages of autophagy. Remarkably, mutation of these residues has no significant effects on Nef’s ability to block autophagy maturation or other activities of Nef such as MHC-I, CD4 or SERINC5 down-regulation, indicating that autophagy...
antagonism is genetically separable from other roles of Nef. Additionally, a survey of transmitted/founder (T/F) pandemic HIV-1 viruses revealed that autophagy antagonism is a common trait among these isolates. T/F viruses are highly infectious HIV variants that are commonly studied to identify phenotypic properties associated with increased viral transmission and infectivity [32–36]. Hence, the high resistance of these clones to autophagy restriction suggests that autophagy antagonism is important for infectivity and spread.

Results

The pharmacological activation of autophagy restricts HIV replication

In our previous study, we reported that short-term treatment with the autophagy-activating drug rapamycin negatively impacts virion release for nef-deficient HIV-1 NL4-3 in many cell types, including HEK293T, THP-1-derived macrophages, primary CD4+ T cells and Jurkat CD4+ T cells [28]. To evaluate the effect that autophagy poses on HIV fitness over several rounds of replication, we infected Jurkat cells with either HIV-1 NL4-3 or NL4-3 Δ nef and maintained a constant concentration of rapamycin (6.5 μM) for 72 h. Virus replication was monitored every 24 h by measuring the levels of the capsid protein p24 (CA) released to the supernatant by p24 antigen-capture ELISA. Whereas rapamycin caused a 5-fold reduction in the replication of wild type NL4-3 72 h post-infection, this effect was magnified for the nef-defective virus, resulting in a 60-fold defect in its replication kinetics (Fig. 1A). Western blot analyses of the cell lysates showed that rapamycin treatment promoted the conversion of LC3-I into LC3-II (which serves as a measure for autophagy flux), confirming that autophagy was effectively activated. Besides this effect on LC3, rapamycin caused a concomitant defect in the emergence of Gag (p55) (Fig. 1B). However, in line with our previous findings [28], the presence of Nef counteracted both reduction of Gag levels and autophagy flux. This last effect is evidenced by the fact that, even in the presence of rapamycin, cells infected with wild type NL4-3 exhibited an accumulation of LC3-I, whereas in the Δ nef-infected cells autophagy flux proceeded normally (Fig. 1B; see quantifications). To verify that rapamycin-induced autophagy is responsible for the defect in Gag levels and, in consequence, in virion production, similar assays were performed in the presence of 3-methyladenine (3-MA), a drug that blocks autophagy initiation [37, 38]. Since this compound can also trigger autophagy when used during prolonged treatments [39], 3-MA and rapamycin were added to the cultures for only 6 h. In this case, virion production was expressed as the percentage of maximal release relative to the DMSO-treated samples. Consistent with our previous work [28], addition of 3-MA prevented the activation of autophagy mediated by rapamycin, consequently rescuing virion production (Fig. 1C, D).

To further evaluate the physiological relevance of these observations, we performed parallel assays in primary CD4+ T cells obtained from three healthy donors. Similar to the results obtained in Jurkat cells, rapamycin treatment successfully restricted HIV replication. Once again, the impact on virus replication was associated with an increase in autophagy flux and a defect in the emergence of Gag over time. Although the degree of restriction was not as striking as in the Jurkat system, autophagy activation posed a bigger hurdle for HIV Δ nef than for wild type HIV (Fig. 1E, F). Likewise, treatment with 3-MA prevented autophagy activation and rescued Gag and virion levels (Fig. 1G, H). Hence, these findings indicate that the pharmacological activation of autophagy limits HIV replication in T cell lines and primary CD4+ T cells, and further confirm that Nef is an autophagy antagonist.

Autophagy specifically targets HIV Gag for autolysosomal degradation

Our results indicate that autophagy represents an important barrier for HIV replication. Therefore, we sought to determine what specific event in the virus life cycle autophagy is intersecting. The data presented in Fig. 1 indicate that autophagy activation is associated with a reduction in Gag (p55). Since Gag plays a crucial role in the recruitment of components for virion assembly [40–42], we hypothesized that autophagy causes defects in particle biogenesis by targeting Gag for elimination. Because autophagy maturation involves the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes, where the acidic pH and the presence of specialized proteases cause cargo degradation, we first assessed whether the pharmacological inhibition of lysosomal function could prevent the rapamycin-associated depletion of Gag. For this, we used HEK293T cells, since our previous work demonstrated that the restrictive role of autophagy on Gag levels and virion production—as well as the countering effect of Nef—is observed regardless of the cell type investigated, even in primary cells [28]. In addition, HEK293T cells are easier to manipulate, which is an advantage for mechanistic studies. Cells were transfected with the HIV-1 NL4-3 Δ nef proviral DNA, since this clone is more susceptible to autophagy restriction. 24 h later, the medium was replaced, and cells were treated with rapamycin for 12 h in the presence and absence of chloroquine (lysosomal inhibitor [43]). To rule out any potential degradation of Gag through the proteasomal pathway, we also included cells treated with rapamycin and ALLN, a proteasomal inhibitor [44]. As previously observed, Gag levels were reduced in cells treated with
Fig. 1  Autophagy restricts HIV replication in Jurkat and primary CD4+ T cells. A, Jurkat cells and E, primary CD4+ T cells were infected with HIV-1 NL4-3 or NL4-3 Δnef and treated with rapamycin (6.5 μM) for 3 days. Supernatants were collected at each time point and were analyzed by p24 antigen-capture ELISA to determine relative viral replication. Jurkat cells (C, D) and primary CD4+ T cells (G, H) were infected with HIV-1 NL4-3 or NL4-3 Δnef and treated with DMSO, rapamycin (6.5 μM) or rapamycin and 3-MA (3 mM) for 6 h. Next, supernatants were collected and analyzed by p24 antigen-capture ELISA to determine relative viral replication. Data represents the mean and SEM of three independent replicates and significantly different values are indicated by asterisks (*P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001). Cell lysates from Jurkat cells (B, D) and primary CD4+ T cells (F, H) were analyzed by western blotting for Gag/p55, LC3-I/LC3-II and ACTB (β-actin) for each time point. Blots are representative of three independent experiments.
rapamycin (Fig. 2A; lane 1 vs. 2). The addition of chloroquine effectively blocked autophagy maturation, reflected by a significant accumulation of LC3-II. LC3-II coats the internal and external membrane of autophagosomes. Hence, upon fusion with lysosomes, LC3-II molecules on the internal membrane, as well as LC3-associated autophagy receptors (i.e., SQSTM1), are susceptible to degradation. However, impairment of lysosomal function prevents this process, consequently increasing the overall levels of LC3-II and SQSTM1. Under these experimental conditions, not only was LC3-II and SQSTM1 degradation prevented but also the rapamycin-induced degradation of Gag (Fig. 2A; lanes 3 and 4). By contrast, treatment with ALLN, had no impact on the rapamycin-dependent degradation of Gag or SQSTM1 (Fig. 2A; lanes 5 and 6). Therefore, these results confirm that the reduction of Gag caused by rapamycin is due to increased autophagy-dependent degradation. Nevertheless, since HIV Gag is associated to cellular membranes through its myristoylated group in the N-terminus, it is plausible that the presence of Gag in autophagosomes may be coincidental, as a consequence of its membrane distribution. To rule this out, we assessed whether rapamycin-induced autophagy had a similar effect on the levels of gp120, another HIV protein that associates to cellular membranes. Remarkably, autophagy did not promote the degradation of gp120 (Fig. 2A), suggesting that autophagy targets Gag for degradation in a specific manner.

Next, the role of autophagy in targeting Gag for elimination was investigated by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) as well as fluorescence microscopy studies. We reasoned that if Gag is redistributed to autophagosomes by means of an autophagy receptor, we should detect a physical interaction—even if it is indirect—between LC3 and HIV Gag. For this, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with the fusion proteins Gag-EGFP and EGFP-LC3. 48 h later, cells were harvested, and lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation. In this case Gag-EGFP was pulled down with a Gag-specific antibody, and its association with EGFP-LC3 and SQSTM1 was then analyzed (Fig. 2B). Of note, although the Gag and LC3 constructs both contain EGFP, IPs and blots were performed with antibodies against Gag and LC3 and not against EGFP. In order to evaluate if the proteins present in the pulldown fraction were the result of unspecific binding with the magnetic beads employed in these IPs, a control consisting of the cell lysates plus the magnetic beads, but no antibody, was included (beads ctr). Also, to discriminate between the heavy and light chains of the antibody used in the IP and the proteins of interest, an IgG control consisting of lysis buffer and beads coated with the antibody (IgG ctr) was included. Our data revealed that Gag-EGFP interacts with the autophagosome-associated proteins EGFP-LC3 and SQSTM1 (Fig. 2B). This indicates that Gag is recruited to LC3-coated autophagosomes, possibly by means of the adaptor protein SQSTM1. However, because in these assays we used Gag and LC3 constructs fused with EGFP, and EGFP is well known to dimerize [45, 46], we performed a similar experiment, with a more physiological approach, to verify that association between Gag and LC3 is not an artifact due to EGFP oligomerization. For this, endogenous LC3 was immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells transfected with the full length wild-type NL4-3 provirus or an empty retroviral vector control. Unlike for Fig. 2A, we used the nef-competent NL4-3 clone to further examine whether viral proteins that inherently associate with cellular membranes, particularly by means of myristoylation (i.e., Gag, Nef), localize in autophagosomes coincidentally. In this case, the pulldown fraction was analyzed for the presence of SQSTM1, Gag, gp120 and Nef. As anticipated, the pool of LC3-interacting partners was positive for both SQSTM1 and Gag (Fig. 2C). However, no interactions between LC3 and Nef or gp120 were detected, which supports the notion that the autophagy-mediated recruitment of Gag is specific (Fig. 2C). Finally, to determine whether SQSTM1 plays a role in the elimination of Gag, similar assays were performed in cells depleted of this autophagy receptor. For this, HEK293T cells were transfected with a control siRNA or siRNAs specific for SQSTM1 1 day prior to the transfection with the NL4-3 Δnef provirus. 72 h later, cells were harvested, lysates were immunoprecipitated for LC3 and its association with Gag analyzed. Of note, the heavy chain of the antibody used in the IPs was detected in the IgG control sample, but it exhibits a different migration pattern than that of Gag (Fig. 2D, left lane). Whereas similar amounts of Gag were found in the pulldown fraction of both control and SQSTM1-knocked down cells, the amount of LC3-I present in the immunoprecipitates of cells depleted of SQSTM1 was remarkably high. Hence, binding between Gag and LC3-I/II relative to the control was reduced by 50% in this cellular context. In addition, due to the lower SQSTM1 levels, and thus slower autophagy flux, the overall amount of Gag/p55 and CA/p24 in the whole cell lysates was higher than in the control cells (Fig. 2D). Yet, the amount of Gag that was immunoprecipitated was not proportionally enriched, which further supports the notion that the recruitment and elimination of Gag through autophagy is greatly influenced by SQSTM1. Remarkably, the fact that LC3-I is the most abundant variant pulled down in the IPs (Fig. 2C, D) indicates that Gag might interact with nonlipidated LC3.

The recruitment of Gag to autophagosomes was demonstrated by fluorescence microscopy analyses. For this,
HEK293T stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were transfected with NL4-3 Δ nef proviral DNA. Gag was visualized using an Alexa-568 conjugated (red) secondary antibody and the nuclei was stained with DAPI (blue). In the absence of rapamycin, EGFP-LC3 displays a cytosolic distribution. However, upon autophagy activation, it becomes incorporated into nascent autophagosomes and is subsequently detected as green puncta [10]. Consistent with
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**Fig. 2** Autophagy targets HIV Gag for degradation. 
**A** HEK293T cells were transfected with HIV-1 NL4-3 Δ nef proviral DNA and treated with rapamycin (4 μM), chloroquine (60 μM) and/or ALLN (25 μM) for 12 h. 48 h later, lysates were analyzed by western blot for gp120, SQSTM1, p55, ACTB, and LC3. Densitometric analyses were performed to determine the relative ratios of gp120, SQSTM1 and p55. 

**B** HEK293T cells were co-transfected with Gag-EGFP, EGFP-LC3B or an empty vector. 48 h later, cells were harvested, and Gag was immunoprecipitated. The pulldown fraction was examined for SQSTM1 and LC3. Lysates were also analyzed by western blot for SQSTM1, Gag, LC3 and ACTB. 

**C** HEK293T cells were transfected with the HIV-1 NL4-3 provirus or an empty retroviral vector. 48 h later, cells were harvested, and LC3 was immunoprecipitated. The pulldown fraction was examined for LC3, SQSTM1, p55, gp120 and Nef. Lysates were also analyzed by western blot for gp120, SQSTM1, p55, Nef, LC3 and ACTB. 

**D** HEK293T cells treated with an irrelevant siRNA (si-ctr) or SQSTM1-specific siRNAs were transfected with HIV-1 NL4-3 Δ nef proviral DNA. 48 h post-transfection, cells were harvested and endogenous LC3 was immunoprecipitated. The pulldown fraction was examined for LC3 and p55. Lysates were analyzed by western blot for SQSTM1, p55, LC3 and ACTB. 

**E** HEK293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP-LC3B and HIV-1 NL4-3 Δ nef proviral DNA. Cells were exposed for 4 h to rapamycin (4 μM) or DMSO prior to microscopy visualization for EGFP-LC3 (green), Gag (red) and the nuclei (blue). Scale bar: 10 μm. All images are representative of three independent experiments.
the notion that Gag might interact with LC3-I, Gag was found scattered throughout the cytoplasm following a similar pattern as the cytosolic EGFP-LC3. However, after rapamycin treatment Gag exhibited a punctuate localization highly overlapping with LC3-coated autophagosomes (Fig. 2E). Similar observations were obtained in Jurkat cells (not shown). Hence, these findings confirm that upon autophagy activation HIV Gag is targeted to autophagosomes.

**Ubiquitination and myristoylation are required to target Gag for autophagy-mediated degradation**

In order to identify the genetic determinants that facilitate the autophagic recruitment and degradation of Gag, we performed immunoprecipitation assays and assessed the steady-state levels of three mutants of Gag. First, we used a G2A-Gag mutant, which cannot become myristoylated and thus, loses its ability to bind to membranes [47–51]. Second, since autophagy cargo is often poly-ubiquitinated, we introduced alanine substitutions at lysine residues in Gag predicted to become ubiquitinated (K113A, K114A, K335A, K359A, and K418A) generating the Ub-Gag mutant [44]. A third mutant (G2A/Ub-Gag) that lacks both the ability to become myristoylated and ubiquitinated was also generated. For this assay, HEK293T cells were transfected with the wild-type Gag-EGFP or the Gag-EGFP mutants and their interaction with the endogenous autophagy machinery was assessed by immunoprecipitation. Compared to wild-type Gag, LC3 interaction with the single mutants was significantly reduced, and almost completely abrogated for the double Gag mutant (Fig. 3A)—the relative interaction with LC3 was measured by densitometric analyses (Fig. 3A; bottom graph). Moreover, unlike wild type Gag, no significant fluctuations in the steady-state levels of the Gag mutants, particularly the double mutant, were observed after treatment with rapamycin for 12 h (Fig. 3B). Therefore, these findings indicate that not only Gag is specifically targeted by the autophagy machinery for autolysosomal clearance, but also that Gag ubiquitination and association with membranes are crucial for its autophagy-mediated recognition and degradation.

**Residues comprising positions 40 to 57 in the N-terminal domain of HIV-1 NL4-3 Nef are required to block the early stages of autophagy**

Unlike NL4-3 Nef, our previous work revealed that SIVmac239 Nef cannot counteract autophagy restriction [28]. We took advantage of this fact to generate chimeric Nef proteins where we swapped individual functional domains between these two proteins with the goal of performing a loss-of-function assay and reveal the specific residues within NL4-3 Nef responsible for autophagy antagonism. For this, we replaced the N-terminus, globular core, flexible loop and C-terminus in NL4-3 Nef by the ‘inactive’ domains from SIVmac239 Nef, generating the chimeras I, II, III and IV (Fig. 4A). In order to determine whether these chimeras were able to intersect with autophagy, we first evaluated the impact of the resulting proteins on autophagosome biogenesis by flow cytometry assays, employing the same EGFP-LC3 construct used in Fig. 2. Besides the chimeras, we included SIVmac239 Nef and NL4-3 Nef as negative and positive controls, respectively. The principle of these assays relies on the fact that EGFP-LC3 binds to autophagosomes during their elongation, making EGFP-LC3 resistant to saponin treatments. Hence, after washing cells with a saponin-based wash buffer, the EGFP signal detected correlates with autophagosome formation [28]. 48 h post-transfection, cells were treated with rapamycin for 4 h prior to flow cytometry processing. With the exception of chimera I-transfected cells, which had similar levels of autophagosome formation as those expressing SIVmac239 Nef, cells transfected with chimeras II, III and IV displayed low autophagosome biogenesis (Fig. 4B and Additional file 1: Fig. S1). To corroborate these observations, we next analyzed the effect of these chimeras on the relative levels of LC3 lipidation by western blot. All Nef constructs, including these chimeras, were cloned into the expression vector pCGCG, which harbors EGFP from an internal ribosomal entry site [52, 53]. This feature was especially useful for these assays, since in some instances the mutagenesis of the native proteins modified the epitope sequence where the anti-HIV/SIV Nef antibodies bind. Therefore, we analyzed transfection efficiency and the expression of our constructs by monitoring the levels of EGFP, as in previous studies [28, 52, 54–58]. Of note, expression of EGFP from this construct is cytosolic and, thus, does not interfere with our quantification of EGFP-LC3-containing autophagosomes, since it is washed away upon saponin treatment [28]. Consistent with the flow data, NL4-3 Nef, together with the chimeras II, III, and IV, reduced LC3 lipidation even upon stimulation with increasing concentrations of rapamycin (Fig. 4C). However, chimera I, as well as the negative control SIVmac239 Nef, showed significantly higher ratios of LC3-II:I and, thus, normal autophagy flux (Fig. 4C; see quantifications underneath the blots), which indicates that the capacity of NL4-3 Nef to block LC3 lipidation and formation of autophagosomes resides somewhere along the N-terminal domain of the protein.

In order to narrow down which particular region is responsible for this activity, we generated additional chimeric proteins replacing three portions within the N-terminal domain of NL4-3 Nef, as shown in Fig. 4D. Similar to our previous approach, we first tested the ability of...
chimeras I.I, I.II and I.III to impair autophagosome formation by measuring saponin resistant EGFP-LC3 using flow cytometry. Whereas chimeras I.I and I.II retained the full potential to limit autophagosome biogenesis, chimera I.III did not exhibit such effect on autophagy (Fig. 4E). Consistent with this, we also found that unlike the chimeras I.I and I.II, the chimeric protein I.III was not able to prevent LC3 lipidation upon autophagy activation by rapamycin (Fig. 4F). These observations indicate that the ability to inhibit the initiation of autophagy maps to a region between amino acids 40 and 57 in the N-terminal domain of NL4-3 Nef.

Residues T\textsubscript{48} and A\textsubscript{49} in NL4-3 Nef are responsible for countering autophagy initiation

To identify the specific residues in Nef required to intersect with the early stages of autophagy, we generated 9 pair-wise alanine-scanning mutants by site-directed mutagenesis comprising positions 40 to 57 (Fig. 5A). Of note, positions that naturally harbor alanine residues were replaced by valine. Next, the mutants were tested for their effect on autophagy initiation. For convenience, this panel of mutants along with wild type NL4-3 Nef was cloned into the expression vector pCI and were tagged with HA to facilitate western blot analyses and microscopy studies. For these assays, HEK293T cells were transfected with each of the 9 mutants, using wild-type NL4-3 Nef and an empty vector as positive and negative controls, respectively. As for Fig. 4, their impact on autophagosome biogenesis was assessed first by flow cytometry. All mutants except construct 48–49 Nef (which harbors T\textsubscript{48}A and A\textsubscript{49}V substitutions) were successful at preventing LC3 lipidation upon autophagy activation by rapamycin (Fig. 5F). The cell lysates were analyzed by western blot for Gag, ACTB, and LC3. All images are representative of 3 independent experiments. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks ***P ≤ 0.001.

Fig. 3 Gag ubiquitination and membrane association are required to target Gag for autophagy elimination. A HEK293T cells were transfected with Gag, G\textsubscript{2A}-Gag, Ub-Gag or G\textsubscript{2A}/Ub-Gag. 48 h later, cells were harvested and LC3 immunoprecipitated. The pulldown fraction was examined for LC3, SQSTM1 and Gag. Lysates were also analyzed by western blot for the levels of SQSTM1, Gag, LC3 and ACTB. Bottom graph: Densitometric analyses to determine the relative LC3-Gag interaction. Data represent the mean and SEM of 3 independent replicates. B HEK293T cells were transfected with Gag, G\textsubscript{2A}-Gag, Ub-Gag or G\textsubscript{2A}/Ub-Gag and treated with rapamycin (4 μM) for 12 h. The cell lysates were analyzed by western blot for Gag, ACTB, and LC3. All images are representative of 3 independent experiments. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks ***P ≤ 0.001.
Fig. 4  Residues 40–57 in the N-terminal domain of NL4-3 Nef are required to counteract autophagy initiation. A, D Schematic representation of the domains replaced for the generation HIV-1 NL4-3 and SIVmac239 Nef chimeras. B, E HEK293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP-LC3B and the different nef constructs: SIVmac239 nef, NL4-3 nef and the selected nef chimeras. 48 h post-transfection, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for autophagosome-associated EGFP-LC3B. Data correspond to the mean and SEM of the percentage of EGFP+ cells from three independent experiments. 
C, F HEK293T cells were transfected with NL4-3 nef, SIVmac239 nef and the selected chimeras. 48 h later, cells were exposed for 4 h to increasing concentrations of rapamycin (0–4 μM). Next, cells were analyzed by western blot for the levels of GFP, LC3, and ACTB. Densitometric analyses were performed to determine the ratio of LC3-II over LC3-I relative to SIVmac239 nef with no rapamycin treatment. All images are representative of three independent experiments. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01
Fig. 5  Nef uses residues 48–49 to prevent autophagosome biogenesis.  

A  Alignment comprising residues 40–57 in NL4-3 Nef. Substitutions introduced in each mutant are indicated in red.  

B  HEK293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP-LC3B and either an empty vector, NL4-3 nef or the selected nef mutants. 48 h post-transfection, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for autophagosome-associated EGFP-LC3B.  

C  HEK293T cells were transfected with NL4-3 nef or the selected mutants. 48 h later, cells were treated with rapamycin (4 μM) for 4 h and analyzed by western blot for HA, LC3, and ACTB. Densitometric analyses determine the ratio of LC3-II:I relative to NL4-3 nef are shown underneath the blots.  

D  HEK293T cells were co-transfected with BECN1 and either an empty vector, NL4-3 nef or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 48 h later, cells were harvested and BCL2 was immunoprecipitated. The pulldown fraction was examined for BCL2 and BECN1. Lysates were also analyzed by western blot for BECN1, ACTB, BCL2, LC3, and ACTB. Densitometric analyses indicate the relative BCL2-BECN1 interaction.  

E  HEK293T cells stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were transfected with an empty vector, NL4-3 nef, a Nef mutant harboring alanine substitutions at the residues responsible for blocking autophagy maturation (36–39 Nef) or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 48 h later, cells were treated with DMSO or rapamycin (4 μM) for 4 h prior to microscopy visualization of EGFP-LC3-coated autophagosomes. Graph: number of autophagosomes per cell from 15 randomly selected cells. Scale bar: 10 μm. Images are representative of three independent experiments. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks *P ≤ 0.05; ***P ≤ 0.001; ****P ≤ 0.0001
ability to limit autophagy flux, since when cells expressing this mutant were treated with rapamycin a rapid LC3-I-to-LC3-II conversion was observed (Fig. 5C). We previously demonstrated that HIV-1 NL4-3 Nef prevents early stages of autophagy by enhancing an association between BECN1 (a key protein in autophagy initiation) and its natural inhibitor BCL2 [28]. Hence, we sought to investigate the effect of mutations at positions 48–49 in Nef in the BECN1-BCL2 binding. For this, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with an empty vector (pCI), NL4-3 Nef or the 48–49 Nef mutant. 48 h later, cells were harvested and BCL2 was immunoprecipitated using a BCL2-specific antibody. The pulldown fraction was next analyzed for the presence of BCL2 and BECN1, as previously described [28]. In agreement with our previous findings, wild type NL4-3 Nef, but not the 48–49 Nef mutant, enhanced the association between BECN1 and BCL2 (Fig. 5D). Hence, these observations demonstrate that the ability of Nef to intersect with autophagy initiation through the BCL2-mediated sequestration of BECN1 maps to residues 48–49 in Nef.

Previous studies have reported that the ability of Nef to impair autophagosome-lysosome fusion maps to residues 36–39, which resemble the domain that Rubicon uses to block autophagy maturation [28, 31]. To confirm that Nef’s abilities to intersect with autophagy initiation and maturation are genetically separable, we assessed autophagosome biogenesis by fluorescence microscopy. For this, HEK293T cells stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were transfected with HA-GST (as an irrelevant protein), NL4-3 Nef, a Nef mutant harboring alanine substitutions at positions 36–39, or the 48–49 Nef mutant. 48 h later, cells were exposed to rapamycin (4 μM) for 4 h, and autophagosome formation was monitored by LC3 puncta. Consistent with our previous findings [28], wild type Nef significantly reduced autophagosome biogenesis. A similar phenotype is observed with the 36–39 Nef mutant, which is unable to block autophagy maturation. This is expected, since this mutant is still able to intersect with autophagy initiation [28]. By contrast, cells expressing the 48–49 Nef mutant displayed high levels of autophagosomes, reflecting its inability to prevent their generation. However, the level of autophagosomes was higher than that of the HA-GST control, supporting the notion that this mutant retains the ability to block autophagosome–lysosome fusion, causing in turn an accumulation of autophagosomes (Fig. 5E).

The ability of Nef to block autophagy initiation is genetically separable from other functional roles of Nef

To investigate whether mutations at residues T_{48} and A_{49} only affect the ability to counteract autophagy initiation or if they also impact other functional roles of Nef—probably due to destabilization of the protein—we compared the 48–49 Nef mutant with wild type NL4-3 Nef.
for its ability to down-regulate the membrane proteins MHC-I, SERINC5 and CD4, well-known functions of Nef that afford immune evasion [24–26]. HEK293T cells were used for the SERINC5 and MHC-I assays. Due to the low levels of endogenous SERINC5 in this cell line, cells were transfected with an empty vector or an expression vector encoding SERINC5. In addition, constructs encoding NL4-3 Nef, 48–49 Nef, or the empty pCI vector were included in these transfections. 48 h later, the surface levels of MHC-I and SERINC5 were examined by flow cytometry. Although we detected minor differences compared to wild type Nef in the ability to down-regulate SERINC5, the 48–49 Nef mutant still significantly reduced the surface levels of both SERINC5 and MHC-I (Fig. 7A, B). HeLa TZM-bl cells, which are engineered to endogenously express CD4 and CCR5 [59], were used for
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**Fig. 6** Nef uses residues 48–49 to prevent Gag redistribution to autophagosomes, restoring Gag and virion levels. A HEK293T cells stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were co-transfected with NL4-3 Δnef and either HA-GST (irrelevant protein), NL4-3 nef, or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 48 h later, cells were stimulated with rapamycin (4 μM) for 4 h and autophagosome formation was examined by fluorescence microscopy. B The Pearson's correlation coefficient for the Gag-LC3 co-localization was analyzed from three biological replicates. Scale bar: 10 μm. Images are representative of three independent experiments. C HEK293T were co-transfected with NL4-3 Δnef and either an empty vector, NL4-3 nef, or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 24 h later, cells were treated with DMSO or rapamycin (4 μM) for 12 h. The percentage of maximal virus release was determined by p24 ELISA of the culture supernatants. D Lysates were also analyzed by western blot for Gag, HA and ACTB. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks *P ≤ 0.05
the CD4 down-regulation assays, since HEK293T cells do not express CD4 endogenously. Cells were transfected with NL4-3 Nef, 48–49 Nef, or the empty pCI vector and 48 h later they were analyzed for the surface levels of CD4 by flow cytometry. Similar to our observations on MHC-I and SERINC5, 48–49 Nef potently down-regulated CD4 (Fig. 7C). Therefore, the ability to block early stages of autophagy maps to residues T48 and A49 in Nef and this activity is genetically separable from other major functions of this protein.

**HIV-1 transmitted/founder viruses conserve the ability to counteract autophagy**

Our previous work pointed to the evolutionary relevance of Nef’s ability to counteract the initiation of autophagy [28]. Particularly, we found that this activity is conserved among pandemic clades of HIV-1 but missing in non-pandemic clades as well as HIV-2 isolates, suggesting a potential role for autophagy antagonism in the successful global spread of HIV-1 [28]. If this is the case, resistance to autophagy restriction should be a conserved phenotypic trait among pandemic HIV-1 transmitted/founder (T/F) viruses. T/F viruses play an important role in mucosal transmission where, due to selective forces, only variants with high resistance to innate immune barriers are capable of infecting the new host and establish a chronic infection. Hence, studies on T/F viruses are of great interest, since they help uncover the immune blockades that need to be circumvented in order to establish de novo infections [32, 34, 35]. Based on this knowledge, we evaluated the endurance of T/F viruses to rapamycin treatments and their capacity to prevent formation of autophagosomes. We selected a panel of ten different T/F clones that belong to the pandemic subtypes B and C. For this study, HEK293T cells were transfected with the proviral DNA of these clones, wild-type NL4-3 or NL4-3 Δnef, which were used as positive and negative controls.

**Fig. 7** The ability of Nef to impair autophagy initiation is genetically separable from other functional roles of the protein. A, B HEK293T cells were co-transfected with SERINC5-HA and either an empty vector, NL4-3 nef or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 48 h later cells were analyzed by flow cytometry to measure the surface levels of MHC-I (A), and SERINC5 relative to the empty vector control (B). C HeLa TZM-bl cells were transfected with an empty vector, NL4-3 nef or 48–49 NL4-3 nef. 48 h later, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for their surface levels of CD4 relative to the empty vector control. Data correspond to the mean and SEM of three biological replicates. Histograms are representative of three independent experiments. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001, ****P ≤ 0.0001.
controls, respectively. Autophagy was induced by treating cells with 6.5 μM rapamycin for 18 h. Next, cell lysates were collected and analyzed by western blot, and the culture supernatants were used to measure virion production by p24 antigen-capture ELISA. Remarkably, all T/F viruses showed little reduction on both viral release and intracellular levels of HIV Gag upon rapamycin treatment, in contrast to the great impact observed on the autophagy-sensitive NL4-3 Δ nef (Fig. 8A, B), indicating the resistance of this T/F panel to autophagy restriction. In agreement with this finding, most viruses reduced autophagosome biogenesis (Fig. 8C, D), and this was especially obvious for the T/F isolates that belong to subtype C (Fig. 8D), which afforded a higher inhibitory effect on blocking autophagosome formation than wild type NL4-3. Hence, altogether these results indicate that pandemic T/F viruses intersect with the generation of type NL4-3. Hence, altogether these results indicate that the resistance of this T/F panel to autophagy restriction. Importantly, resistance to autophagy was observed in all T/F primary isolates tested and suggests that autophagy antagonism is critical for HIV-1 infectivity and transmission.

Discussion

Autophagy is a degradative and highly conserved pathway that is important for cellular homeostasis. In addition, autophagy serves as a potent mechanism of defense against viruses and other intracellular pathogens [20, 60]. Not only autophagy targets virions and viral components for degradation, but also aids in the activation of innate and adaptive responses against these parasites. Hence, the pharmacological manipulation of this pathway represents an attractive approach for the successful elimination of viruses such as HIV. However, in order to consider the exploitation of autophagy in therapeutic strategies against this virus, a complete understanding of the interplay between HIV and the autophagy machinery is necessary. In this regard, we recently reported that the pharmacological activation of autophagy successfully restricts HIV replication. Specifically, autophagy causes a significant reduction in Gag levels, which consequently leads to a defect in virion production. However, HIV has evolved the virulence factor Nef to counteract such effect [28]. In line with those studies, here we demon-

strate that this autophagy-mediated restriction is magnified when treatments with autophagy-activating drugs, such as rapamycin, are sustained over time, affecting sequential rounds of viral replication. These observations were reproduced in both CD4 T cell lines and primary CD4 T cells. In both scenarios, autophagy activation caused a striking reduction in the emergence of HIV Gag and in turn in virus replication. As anticipated, these effects were especially evident in the absence of HIV Nef. Rapamycin is an FDA-approved immunosuppressive drug commonly used after organ transplantation [61]. It has also been recommended for HIV + individuals on latency reversing agents to reduce the cytokine-associated cytotoxicity caused by these drugs [62]. Hence, in these settings, the probability of HIV to encounter cells that are maximally induced for autophagy is high, which speaks of the physiological relevance of our findings. However, the effects of sustained autophagy activation by rapamycin on the nef-deleted virus were more striking in Jurkat cells than in primary cells. As reported by us and others, the activation of naïve CD4 T cells potently triggers autophagy [28, 63–70]. As a consequence of this, these cells are better equipped to fight an infection than the Jurkat cells. Hence, it is likely that any incoming virus is already being impacted in this milieu. Moreover, given these high autophagy levels, rapamycin may not be able to further increase this activity, which would explain why the differences between the rapamycin and mock treatments are less dramatic in the primary cells. Nevertheless, these data still support the potential of the pharmacological activation of autophagy to limit HIV replication.

Our previous work pointed that the restrictive effect that autophagy imposes on HIV is due to a defect in Gag, most likely by targeting this protein for autolysosomal degradation [28]. Here we confirm this hypothesis. First, inhibition of lysosomal function but not proteasomal function rescues Gag levels. Second, Gag physically interacts with LC3, and this interaction might also occur with the unlipidated LC3 variant—which may explain why we detect an association between Gag and LC3 even in the presence of Nef. Third, Gag expression and recruitment by the autophagy machinery is greatly influenced by SQSTM1. Finally, Gag co-localizes with LC3-coated autophagosomes under conditions of autophagy activation. However, since Gag is naturally associated with cellular membranes by virtue of a myristoyl group, its presence in autophagosomes might be coincidental. This possibility was ruled out by assessing whether other membrane-associated HIV proteins could similarly be impacted by autophagy. Our proteasomal/lysosomal assays and immunoprecipitations confirmed that unlike Gag, gp120 and Nef are not affected by autophagy activation nor associate with LC3. Although these observations corroborate that Gag’s presence in autophagosomes is specific, our mapping assays revealed that the ability of the autophagy machinery to target Gag relies on the capacity of this protein to bind to membranes and to become ubiquitinated. Actually, most autophagic cargo is ubiquitinated. The finding that Gag ubiquitination is necessary for its autophagy-mediated clearance suggests that this post-translational modification enables Gag.
recognition by the ubiquitin associated domain (UBA) of autophagic receptors. SQSTM1 is the main autophagy receptor that recruits ubiquitinated cargo [12, 13, 71]. Hence, the interaction between Gag and SQSTM1 would be in line with this mechanism. The fact that Gag also needs to associate with membranes for its successful elimination in autolysosomes seems unrelated. However, the process that mediates the ubiquitination of Gag is highly dependent on Gag association with membranes [72]. This phenomenon would in turn explain why the G2A-Gag mutant (unable to bind to membranes), the Ub-Gag mutant (unable to become ubiquitinated) but particularly the G2A/Ub-Gag mutant fail at being recognized by the autophagy machinery (evidenced by a reduction in LC3 association), and consequently are resistant to autophagic degradation. The next logical step to further verify these findings would involve engineering a NL4-3 clone harboring mutations in Gag at the myristoyl and ubiquitination sites. However, both post-translational modifications are fundamental for Gag’s role in virion assembly and budding [73, 74]. Therefore, such mutant would be severely compromised. Taken together, our data indicate that due to the central role of Gag in the formation of viral particles, the autophagy-mediated elimination of this protein impairs the steps of virion assembly.

**Fig. 8** HIV-1 transmitted-founder (T/F) viruses from subtypes B and C conserve the ability to antagonize autophagy. **A, B** HEK293T cells were transfected with the proviral DNA of HIV-1 NL4-3, HIV-1 NL4-3 Δnef or the selected T/F clones that belong to the pandemic HIV-1 subtype B (A) or subtype C (B). 24 h later, the cell medium was replaced and supplemented with rapamycin (6.5 μM) or DMSO. 18 h later, the percentage of maximal viral production was measured by the accumulation of HIV p24 in the culture supernatant relative to the DMSO treatment. Bottom blots: Cell lysates were also analyzed by western blot for p55 and ACTB. In each case, the percentage of maximal virus production is indicated as the mean and SEM from 3 independent biological replicates. **C, D** HEK293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP-LC3B and the proviral DNA of HIV-1 NL4-3, HIV-1 NL4-3Δnef or the selected T/F clones that belong to the pandemic HIV-1 subtype B (C) and subtype C (D). 48 h post-transfection, cells were treated with DMSO or rapamycin and subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry for autophagosome-associated EGFP-LC3. Data correspond to the mean and SEM from three independent replicates. Significantly different values are indicated by asterisks *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.
and release and thus, the overall replicative capacity of HIV.

The identification of the genetic determinants in Gag that render this protein vulnerable to autophagy restriction only provide us with a partial picture of the HIV-autophagy interplay. In our previous studies, we characterized the mechanism by which Nef impairs autophagosome formation, but we did not map the domains in Nef required for this activity. To address this, we generated chimeric Nef proteins between active (NL4-3 Nef) and ‘inactive’ (SIVmac239 Nef) Nef alleles [28] in which the individual functional domains of the protein were replaced. The resulting chimeras were tested for their ability to intersect autophagy by measuring autophagosome formation (flow cytometry) and autophagy flux (western blot). These initial assays pointed to a region within the N-terminus of NL4-3 Nef required to intersect with the early stages of autophagy. We next introduced pair-wise amino acid substitutions in this region and tested the resulting mutants as detailed above. Our results show that amino acids T48 and A49 in NL4-3 Nef are required to block autophagosome biogenesis. In particular, specific point mutations on these residues abrogated Nef’s ability to enhance the BCL2-BECN1 interaction, and therefore, its ability to impair LC3 lipidation and formation of autophagosomes. As a consequence of this, a Nef mutant harboring substitutions at residues 48–49 was unable to prevent Gag redistribution to autophagosomes and, thus, restore Gag levels and virion production under conditions of rapamycin-induced autophagy. However, other relevant functions of Nef such as the down-regulation of MHC-I, SERINC5 or CD4 were not affected. Although mutation at residues 48–49 had a minor impact on SERINC5 down-regulation, the mutant protein was still able to significantly reduce the surface levels of SERINC5. Interestingly, previous studies on the Nef-autophagy interplay showed that residues D36LEK39, also found in the N-terminal domain of the protein, were required for the Nef-mediated impairment of autophagy maturation [31]. We previously confirmed the findings of that study by introducing alanine substitutions in that motif, which significantly affected Nef’s ability to block maturation. However, these replacements had no effect on the ability of Nef to inhibit autophagy initiation [28]. Similarly, while the 48–49 Nef mutant lost the capacity to block autophagy initiation, our microscopy studies show that it retains the ability to intersect with autophagy maturation. Hence, our results demonstrate that despite being ten amino acids apart, the determinants that govern Nef’s actions over autophagy initiation and maturation are in fact genetically separable. In future studies, we will investigate how mutations that specifically impair Nef’s ability to block autophagy initiation, maturation or both impact viral infectivity and fitness.

Although dispensable for replication in vitro, Nef is essential for infectivity in vivo. Nef achieves this by affording immune evasion through multiple mechanisms [25, 26, 75–77], and autophagy antagonism may be part of it. Consistent with this notion, our previous work pointed to a potential role for the Nef-mediated counteraction of autophagy in transmission and spread, since this activity was found highly conserved among Nef alleles of the most widely distributed pandemic clades of HIV-1 [28]. In fact, a recent publication demonstrated that treatments with different autophagy-enhancing drugs potently reduce viral transmission in mucosal ex vivo models [78]. Since T/F viruses are responsible for successful transmission events among individuals, we evaluated the susceptibility of a panel of pandemic HIV-1 T/F viruses to autophagy restriction. For this, we selected isolates from the HIV-1 subtypes B and C, which together represent more than 60% of all current HIV-1 infections worldwide [79, 80]. Strikingly, all the T/F clones tested, especially those belonging to subtype C, displayed high resistance to autophagy restriction as well as the capacity to prevent autophagosome formation. Future work will expand the T/F virus library and study the susceptibility of their Gag proteins to autophagy restriction as well as the role of their nef genes in autophagy antagonism. Overall, our findings suggest that T/F viruses circumvent autophagy, and that this activity could be partially responsible for their distinctive viral fitness and their capacity to overcome mucosal immune barriers. Hence, these observations further support the idea that autophagy counteraction plays an important role in HIV-1 transmission.

Conclusions

In this report, we have demonstrated that autophagy antagonism is important for virus replication and have identified the genetic determinants that drive the mutual antagonism between HIV and autophagy. First, we found that autophagy restriction is accomplished through the ubiquitin-dependent recognition and autolysosomal degradation of the virus protein Gag. Second, we uncovered that HIV-1 Nef-mediated inhibition of autophagy initiation requires residues T48 and A49. Finally, our studies with HIV-1 transmitted/founder viruses indicate that autophagy antagonism might be crucial for mucosal transmission. Therefore, these findings could open new avenues for the design of approaches aimed at rendering the virus susceptible to autophagy elimination or even novel PreP regimens aimed at intersecting mucosal transmission.
Methods
Plasmids and DNA constructs

The following full-length proviral constructs were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH. Wild-type HIV-1 NL4-3 (pNL4-3, #1142) and NL4-3 Δnef (pNL4-3 ΔNef, #12755) were obtained from Drs. Malcolm Martin and Olivier Schwartz, respectively [81, 82]. The proviral constructs for subtype B transmitted/founder clones CH077 (pCH077.t/2627, #11742), CH106 (pCH106.c/2633, #11743), RHPA (pRHPA.c/2635, #11744), THRO (pTHRO.c/2626, #11745), TRJO (pTRJO.c/2851, #11747), and CH058 (pCH058.c/2960, #11856) were obtained from Dr. John Kappes and Dr. Christina Ochsenbauer. The subtype C transmitted/founder clones Z331M (pZ331M, #13248), Z3576 (pZ3576F, #13256), Z3618 (pZ3618M, #13262), and Z4248 (pZ4248M, #13277) were obtained from Dr. Eric Hunter [34, 36, 83]. HIV-1 viruses obtained from Dr. Karla Kirkegaard’s lab) and pcDNA4-BECN1-Flag were provided by Adaptimmune (a gift from Dr. Qing Zhong’s lab) were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH from Dr. Marilyn Resh [85, 86]. Gag mutants G2A-Gag, Ub-Gag and the double mutant G2A/Ub-Gag were generated by quickchange site-directed mutagenesis of pGag-GFP, as described before [44]. Human SERINC5 was synthesized by IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) as a mini-gene into a cloning vector. Subsequently, SERINC5 was subcloned into the pcDNA5 expression vector using the unique restriction sites Kpn I and BamH I. An HA tag was introduced in the C-terminal domain to facilitate protein detection.

Transfections

6 × 10^5 HEK293T (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC], CRL-11268) cells were plated 24 h before transfection. Cells were transfected with 2000 ng of each expression construct using GenJet in vitro DNA transfection reagent (SignaGen Laboratories, SL100488), following the manufacturer’s suggestions. For the SQSTM1 depletion studies, 8 × 10^5 HEK293T cells were transfected with 100 nM of SignalSilence siRNA II specific for SQSTM1 (Cell Signaling, #6399) using Lipofectamine 3000 (ThermoFisher Scientific, #L3000001), following the manufacturer’s instructions. 24 h later, the medium was replaced, and cells were transfected once again with 2000 ng of NL4-3 ΔNef proviral DNA using GenJet in vitro transfection reagent. For every transfection, cell viability was monitored to evaluate potential cellular toxicity. No evidence of toxicity was observed since viability usually ranged between 90 and 100%.

Infections

10^6 Jurkat CD4+ T cells (ATCC, TIB-152) or 10^6 primary CD4+ T cells (Zen-Bio, Inc., SER-PBMC4+TH-N-F) were infected with 100 ng of p24 equivalents of HIV-1 NL4-3 or NL4-3 Δnef by spinoculation for 3 h at 37 °C. Prior to infection, primary naïve CD4+ T cells were activated using 25 μL anti-CD3/CD28 beads (Invitrogen, #111.31D), 1 μg/mL of IL-4 (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, #500-P24), 2 μg/mL IL-12 (Peprotech, #500-P154G), 1 ng/mL TGF-B (Peprotech, #100-21) and expanded for 3 days in RPMI medium (ThermoFisher Scientific, #11875-119) supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher Scientific, #26140-079) and 30 IU/mL of IL-2 (NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH; #136). After infection, cells were washed and re-suspended in 4 mL of RPMI medium supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum and 30 IU/mL of IL-2 (IL-2 was added only for primary cells). Next, cells were either treated with DMSO or rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich, #R8781) at 6.5 μM, which was sustained until the end of the experiment. Samples were analyzed at 6, 24, 48 and 72 h post-infection. For the 3-MA assays, cells were washed 24 h post-infection and supplemented with DMSO, rapamycin (6.5 μM) or a combination of rapamycin (6.5 μM) and 3-MA (3 mM) for 6 h. Cell lysates as
and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (BioRad, #1620177) using a Trans-Blot SD (BioRad, #1703940) or Trans-Blot Turbo (BioRad, #1704150) transfer systems. After protein transfer, the PVDF membranes were incubated at room temperature for 1 h with blocking buffer (BioRad, #1706404). Membranes were then incubated with the respective primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C (antibody sources and dilutions are detailed in Table 1). Next, membranes were washed 3 times in PBS-tween (Sigma-Aldrich, #P3563) for 15 min at room temperature prior to their incubation for 1 h with the secondary antibody (antibody sources and dilutions are detailed in Table 1). After the incubation with the secondary antibodies, the membranes were washed 3 additional times and developed using SuperSignal West Femto maximum-sensitivity substrate (Pierce, 34095). Finally, imaging was carried out using a Li-Cor Odyssey Fc Imager 2800 (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) and Chemidoc™ Imaging System (BioRad, 12003153). Densitometric analyses were performed using Image Studio (Li-Cor) and Image Lab (BioRad) softwares.

Flow cytometry

3 × 10^5 HEK293T cells were co-transfected with 2000 ng of EGFP-LC3B and 2000 ng of either pCGCG-NL4-3 nef, SIVmac239 nef, Chimeras I, II, III, IV, II, III, I, III, I, III, pCI-NL4-3 nef-HA, or pairwise amino acid mutants of Nef. 2000 ng of the full-length proviruses of HIV-1 transmitted/founder viruses CH077 (pCH077.t/2627), CH106 (pCH106.c/2633), RHPA (pRHPA.c/2635), THRO (pTHRO.c/2626), TRJO (pTRJO.c/2851), CH058 (pCH058.c/2960), Z331M (pZ331M), Z3576 (pZ3576F), 3618 (pZ3618M), and Z4248 (pZ4248M) were used to assess their overall ability to circumvent autophagy. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were trypsinized (ThermoFisher Scientific, #25200), collected in 1 mL of DPBS and centrifuged at 400×g for 5 min. After discarding the supernatant, cells were washed and permeabilized for 10 min at 4 °C using 0.05% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich, #47036) in DPBS. Cells were subsequently washed 2 additional times, resuspended in 2 mL DPBS, and centrifuged at 400×g for 5 min. Finally, samples were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in DPBS. Cells were analyzed using an Attune instrument (ThermoFisher Scientific, #25200). The data obtained from 50000 events were then processed using FlowJo software (version 10.5.3). Debris and doublets were excluded through FSC and SSC gating, and the percentage of EGFP-positive single cells was calculated for each sample after setting an appropriately gate using an unstained control.

Western blotting

HEK293T, Jurkat and primary CD4+ T cells were washed using DPBS and harvested in lysis IP buffer (Thermo Scientific, #87788) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche, #04693116001) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails 2 and 3 (Sigma-Aldrich, #P5726 and #P0044). Cell lysates were incubated on ice for 1 h and then centrifuged at 16,000×g for 8 min to pellet down cell debris. The supernatant was collected and mixed 1:1 with 2× SDS sample buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, #S3401) before being boiled for 5 min. Proteins were then separated by electrophoresis on SDS-PAGE polyacrylamide gels (8–12%) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane.
pCI-NL4-3-Nef48–49. For SERINC5 analyses, HEK293T cells were additionally co-transfected with 500 ng of pcDNA5-SERINC5-HA. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were trypsinized, collected in 1 mL of DPBS and centrifuged at 400×g for 5 min. After discarding the supernatant, cells were incubated in 200 μL of blocking buffer (5% FBS-containing DPBS) for 10 min at room temperature prior to staining using antibodies specific for human APC-HLA-ABC (MHC-I), or FITC-CD4 (Table 1) for 20 min in the dark at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice, resuspended in 2 mL DPBS, and centrifuged at 400×g for 5 min. Finally, samples were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in DPBS and imaged. For the SERINC5 staining, a primary anti-SERINC5 rabbit antibody that associates with the extracellular domain of SERINC5 was used followed by washes and incubation with an anti-rabbit Alexa-488 secondary antibody (Table 1). Finally, samples were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in DPBS. Cells were analyzed using a BD Accuri C6 Plus instrument (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The data obtained from 50000 events were then processed using FlowJo software (version 10.5.3). Debris and doublets were excluded through FSC and SSC gating, and the median fluorescence of APC/Alexa488/FITC-positive single cells was calculated for each sample after setting an appropriately gate using an unstained control.

### Immunoprecipitation assays

For the Gag-LC3 immunoprecipitations, $8 \times 10^5$ HEK293T cells were transfected with 2000 ng of HIV-1 NL4-3 proviral DNA or pGag-EGFP (or the mutants G2A-Gag, Ub-Gag and G2A/Ub-Gag). For some IPs, 2000 ng of pC3-EGFP-LC3B were also included. For the BECN1-BCL2 IPs, $8 \times 10^5$ HEK293T cells were transfected with 2000 ng of pcDNA4-BECN1-Flag and 2000 ng of either pCI, pCI-NL4-3-Nef, or pCI-NL4-3-Nef48–49. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were washed and lysed using lysis IP buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails 2 and 3. The whole cell lysates were then pre-incubated for 1 h at room temperature with Protein G magnetic beads (New England Biolabs, #S1430S) to pre-clear the samples by removing unspecific binding proteins. Fresh protein G magnetic beads were then pre-coated with the primary antibody of interest for each assay (anti-HIV-1

| Protein or tag | Antibody | Dilution | Source |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------|
| ACTB/β-actin  | Mouse monoclonal (C4) to ACTB/β-actin | 1:1000 | Sigma-Aldrich, MAB1501 |
| BCL2          | Mouse monoclonal (124) to BCL2 | 1:1000 | Cell Signaling Technology, 150715 |
| BECN1         | Rabbit monoclonal (D40C5) to BECN1 | 1:1000 | Cell Signaling Technology, 34955 |
| CD4           | Mouse monoclonal (RPA-T4) to CD4 (FITC-conjugated) | 1:200 | BD biosciences, 561005 |
| GFP           | Mouse monoclonal (4B10B2) | 1:1000 | Sigma-Aldrich, SAB5300167 |
| LC3           | Rabbit polyclonal and Rabbit monoclonal (D11) to LC3B | 1:1000 | Cell Signaling Technology, 27755 and 38685 |
| HA            | Mouse monoclonal (16B12) to HA | 1:200 (microscopy) | Covance, MMS-101R |
|               | Rabbit polyclonal to HA | 1:100 | Abcam, ab137838 |
| HIV Nef       | Mouse monoclonal (2H12) to HIV-1 Nef | 1:1000 | ThermoFisher Scientific, MA1-71505 |
| HIV-1 Gag p55/p24 | Mouse monoclonal (183-H12-SC) to HIV p24 | 1:1000 | NIH AIDS Reagent Program, 3537 |
|               | (1:200 (microscopy)) | | |
| HIV-1 gp120   | Goat polyclonal to HIV-1 gp120 | 1:1000 | Abcam, ab21179 |
| HLA-ABC       | Mouse monoclonal (G46-2-6) to human HLA-ABC (APC-conjugated) | 1:200 | BD Biosciences 562006 |
| SERINC5       | Rabbit polyclonal to SERINC5 | 1:200 | Sigma HPA037898 |
| SQSTM1/p62    | Mouse monoclonal to SQSTM1/p62 | 1:1000 | Abcam, ab56416 |
| SIV Nef       | Mouse monoclonal (17.2) to SIV Nef | 1:1000 | NIH AIDS Reagent Program, 2659 |
| Mouse IgG     | Goat polyclonal (HRP-conjugated) | 1:2000 | Pierce, 31430 |
| Mouse IgG1    | Goat polyclonal (Alexa-568 conjugated) | 1:500 | ThermoFisher Scientific, A21134 |
| Mouse IgG1    | Goat polyclonal (Alexa-350 conjugated) | 1:200 | ThermoFisher Scientific, A21120 |
| Rabbit IgG    | Goat polyclonal (HRP-conjugated) | 1:2000 | Abcam, ab97051 |
| Rabbit IgG    | Donkey polyclonal (HRP-conjugated) | 1:2000 | Abcam, ab16284 |
| Rabbit IgG1   | Goat polyclonal (Alexa-488 conjugated) | 1:200 | ThermoFisher Scientific, A11008 |
| Rabbit IgG    | Goat polyclonal (Alexa-568 conjugated) | 1:200 | ThermoFisher Scientific, A11011 |
| Goat IgG      | Donkey polyclonal (HRP-conjugated) | 1:2000 | Abcam, ab6885 |

**Table 1** Antibody sources and conditions
Gagp55/p24, anti-LC3 clone D11 or anti-BCL2) (Table 1) for 1 h at room temperature. Next, pre-cleared cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation by incubating them with the antibody-coated beads overnight at 4 °C on a rotating platform. Next, beads were washed in lysis IP buffer five times. Finally, washed beads were resuspended in 2 x SDS sample buffer and boiled for 5 min prior to their analysis by western blot. The relative binding between our proteins of interest was calculated by densitometric analyses using the software Image Studio (Li-Cor) and Image Lab (BioRad).

Fluorescence microscopy

2 x 10⁴ HEK293T stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were transfected in sterile tissue culture-treated 8-well slides with 100 ng of HIV NL4-3Δnef. Similar assays were performed by providing in trans 100 ng of NL4-3 Nef-HA, 48–49 Nef-HA or HA-GST. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, a set of cells was treated for 4 h with rapamycin at 4 μM. After washing the samples with DPBS (Invitrogen, 14190-144), permeabilization and fixation was achieved by incubating the cells for 10 min in acetone-methanol (1:1) at −30 °C. Next, cells were incubated for 1 h with the blocking antibody diluent solution (2% fish skin gelatin + 0.1% triton X-100 1× DPBS with 10% goat serum) and incubated 1 more hour with mouse monoclonal anti-Gag p55/p24 primary and rabbit polyclonal anti-HA antibody mix at 1:100 and 1:200 dilution, respectively (Table 1). Subsequently, cells were washed and incubated for another hour with a goat anti-mouse IgG1 secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa-350 or Alexa-568 fluorophore to stain for Gag using a 1:200 and 1:500 dilution, respectively. HA was visualized with a goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with Alexa-568 (Table 1) at a dilution 1:200. For the Gag-LC3 only slides, the nuclei were stained by incubating the samples for 5 min with DAPI (1:5000; Invitrogen, 62248).

For the LC3 puncta studies, 2 x 10⁴ HEK293T cells stably expressing EGFP-LC3 were transfected with 100 ng of HA-GST, NL4-3 Nef-HA, 36–39 Nef-HA or 48–49 Nef-HA. Forty-eight hours later, cells were stimulated with rapamycin (4 μM) for 4 h, prior to microscopy visualization. Cells were stained in an analogous manner as in the assays detailed above, using a mouse monoclonal anti-HA primary antibody at 1:200 dilution and an anti-mouse IgG1 secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa-568 at a 1:500 dilution (Table 1).

Prior to their visualization, the slides were washed using distilled water and mounted using an antifluorescing mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, #3304770). Visualization was performed by confocal microscopy on an Olympus FV3000 microscope and a Lionheart imaging instrument (BioTek, Winooski, VT) using the 60 x and 40 x objective and the lasers/filter cubes 405, 488, and 561 nm to achieve the excitation of DAPI, GFP and Alexa-568, respectively. After collection, images were processed and analyzed using ImageJ and Photoshop (Adobe), where proportional adjustments of brightness/contrast were applied.

Statistical analysis

All statistical calculations were performed with a two-tailed unpaired Student T test using Graph Pad Prism version 9.0.0. P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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Additional file 1: Figure S1. Representative dot plots of the analysis of saponin-resistant EGFP-LC3-II in HEK293T cells transfected with SIVmac239 Nef, HIV-1 NL4-3 Nef, or the Nef chimeras I, II, III, or IV. FSC: forward scatter.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions

SCG and RSM designed the study and wrote the manuscript. SCG, YX and JB performed the experiments. YS provided technical assistance. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

These studies have been funded by the University of Rochester Medical Center (start-up funds for Dr. Serra-Moreno), the HIV Accessory and Regulatory Complexes Center (NIH/NIAID) Grant P50AI150476, and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Grant R01 AI155012. The funding agencies had no participation in the experimental design, data collection and interpretation of results.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

1Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. 2Microbiology and Immunology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA.

Received: 13 July 2021   Accepted: 5 October 2021

Published online: 28 October 2021
References
1. Mizushima N. Autophagy: process and function. Genes Dev. 2007;21(22):2861–73.
2. Glick D, Barth S, Macleod KF. Autophagy: cellular and molecular mechanisms. J Pathol. 2010;221(3):13–12.
3. Munz C. Regulation of innate immunity by the molecular machinery of macroautophagy. Cell Microbiol. 2014;16(11):1627–36.
4. Jewell JL, Russell RC, Guan KL. Amino acid signalling upstream of mTOR. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14(3):133–9.
5. Nakatogawa H, Suzuki K, Kamada Y, Ohsumi Y. Dynamics and diversity in autophagy mechanisms: lessons from yeast. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(7):458–67.
6. Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Ohsumi Y. The role of Atg proteins in autophagosome formation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2011;27:107–32.
7. Hurley JH, Young LN. Mechanisms of autophagy initiation. Annu Rev Biochem. 2017;86:225–44.
8. Nascimbeni AC, Codogno P, Morel E. Local detection of PtdIns3P by autophagy regulator Beclin-1. Biochem. 2017;86:225–44.
9. Petiot A, Ogier-Denis E, Blommaart EF, Meijer AJ, Codogno P. Distinct classes of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases are involved in signaling pathways that control macroautophagy in HT-29 cells. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(2):992–8.
10. Seglen PO, Gordon PB. 3-Methyladenine: specific inhibitor of autophagic/lysosomal protein degradation in isolated rat hepatocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1982;79(6):1889–92.
11. Wu YT, Tan HL, Shui G, Bauvy C, Huang Q, Wenk MR, et al. Dual role of ATG5 in autophagy: selective recognition of ubiquitinated protein aggregates by autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(33):24131–45.
12. Behrends C, Fulda S. Receptor proteins in selective autophagy. Int J Cell Biol. 2012;2012:673292.
13. Kirkin V, McEwan DG, Novak I, Dikic I. A role for ubiquitin in selective autophagy. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai). 2015;47(8):571–80.
14. Kraft C, Peter M, Hofmann K. Selective autophagy: ubiquitin-mediated recognition and beyond. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12(9):836–41.
15. Panik S, Clausen TH, Lamark T, Brech A, Bruun JA, Outzen H, et al. p62/SQSTM1 binds directly to Atg8/LC3 to facilitate degradation of ubiquitinated protein aggregates by autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(33):24131–45.
16. Behrends C, Fulda S. Receptor proteins in selective autophagy. Int J Cell Biol. 2012;2012:673292.
17. Xu Z, Yang L, Xu S, Zhang Z, Cao Y. The receptor proteins: pivotal roles in selective autophagy. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai). 2015;47(8):571–80.
18. Reggiori F, Ungermann C. Autophagosome maturation and fusion. J Mol Biol. 2017;429(4):486–96.
19. Ganley I. Autophagy-mediated maturation and lysosomal fusion. Essays Biochem. 2013;55:65–78.
20. Randow F, Munz C. Autophagy in the regulation of pathogen replication and adaptive immunity. Trends Immunol. 2012;33(10):475–87.
21. Rey-Jurodo E, Riedel CA, Gonzalez PA, Bueno SM, Kalergis AM. Contribution of autophagy to antiviral immunity. FEBS Lett. 2015;589(22):3461–70.
22. Delgado M, Singh S, De Haro S, Master S, Ponpuak M, Dinkins C, et al. HIV-1 Nef counteracts autophagy restriction by enhancing the association between BECN1 and its inhibitor BCL2 in a PRKX-dependent manner. Autophagy. 2020;17:553–77.
23. Kyri GB, Dinkins C, Davis AS, Roberts E, Singh SB, Dong C, et al. Autophagy pathway intersects with HIV-1 biosynthesis and regulates viral yields in macrophages. J Cell Biol. 2009;180(2):255–68.
24. Campbell GR, Rawat P, Bruckman RS, Spector SA. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Nef inhibits autophagy through transcription factor EB sequestration. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(6):e1005018.
25. Chang C, Young LN, Morris KL, von Bulow S, Schoneberg J, Yamamoto-Irino H, et al. Bidirectional control of autophagy by BECN1. BARA domain dynamics. Mol Cell. 2019;73(2):339-356.
26. Joseph SB, Swanson R, Kashuba AD, Cohen MS. Bottlenecks in HIV-1 transmission: insights from the study of founder viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13(7):414–25.
27. Joseph SB, Swanson R. HIV/AIDS. A fitness bottleneck in HIV-1 transmission. Science. 2014;345(6193):136–7.
28. Kyei GB, Dinkins C, Davis AS, Roberts E, Singh SB, Dong C, et al. Identification and characterization of transmitted and early founder virus envelopes in primary HIV-1 infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(21):7552–7.
29. Parrish NF, Gao F, Li H, Giorgi EE, Parrish EH, et al. Phenotypic properties of transmitted founder HIV-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(17):6626–33.
30. Salazar-Gonzalez JF, Salazar-Mag DA, Mag DA, Decker JK, Pham KT, Salazar MG, et al. Identification and characterization of transmitted and early founder virus envelopes in primary HIV-1 infection. J Exp Med. 2009;206(6):1273–89.
31. Petiot A, Oger-Denis E, Blommaart EF, Meijer AJ, Codogno P. Distinct classes of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases are involved in signaling pathways that control macroautophagy in HT-29 cells. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(2):992–8.
32. Seglen PO, Gordon PB. 3-Methyladenine: specific inhibitor of autophagic/lysosomal protein degradation in isolated rat hepatocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1982;79(6):1889–92.
33. Usami Y, Wu Y, Gottlinger HG. SERINC3 and SERINC5 restrict HIV-1 infectivity and are counteracted by Nef. Nature. 2015;526(7602):218–23.
34. Roeth JF, Williams M, Kasper MR, Filzen TM, Collins KL. HIV-1 Nef disrupts MHC-I trafficking by recruiting AP-1 to the MHC-I cytoplasmic tail. J Cell Biol. 2004;165(5):903–13.
35. Mangasarian A, Piquet V, Wang JK, Chen YL, Trono D. Nef-induced CD4 and major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) down-regulation are governed by distinct determinants: N-terminal alpha helix and proline repeat of Nef selectively regulate MHC-I trafficking. J Virol. 1999;73(3):1964–73.
36. Swigut T, Shohdy N, Skowronska J. Mechanism for down-regulation of CD28 by Nef. J Immunol. 2001;167(7):393–404.
37. Castro-Gonzalez S, Shi Y, Colomer-Lluch M, Song Y, Mowrey K, Almodovar S, et al. HIV-1 Nef counteracts autophagy restriction by enhancing the association between BECN1 and its inhibitor BCL2 in a PRKX-dependent manner. Autophagy. 2020;17:553–77.
51. Peitsch RM, McLauchlin S. Binding of acylated peptides and fatty acids to phospholipid vesicles: pertinence to myristoylated proteins. Biochemistry. 1993;32(39):10346–43.

52. Jia B, Serra-Moreno R, Neidermayer W, Rahmberg A, Mackey J, Fofana IB, et al. Species-specific activity of SIV Nef and HIV-1 Vpu in overcoming restriction by tetherin/BST2. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(3):e1000429.

53. Serra-Moreno R, Jia B, Breed M, Alvarez X, Evans DT. Compensatory changes in the cytoplasmic tail of gp41 confer resistance to tetherin/BST-2 in a pathogenic nef-deleted SIV. Cell Host Microbe. 2011;9(4):47–57.

54. Arias JF, Colomer-Lluch M, von Bredow B, Greene JM, MacDonald J, O’Connor DH, et al. Tetherin antagonism by HIV-1 group M Nef proteins. J Virol. 2016;90(23):10701–14.

55. Serra-Moreno R, Zimmermann K, Stern LJ, Evans DT. Tetherin/BST-2 antagonism by Nef depends on a direct physical interaction between Nef and tetherin, and on clathrin-mediated endocytosis. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(7):e1003487.

56. Tavakoli-Tameh A, Janaka SK, Zarbock K, O’Connor S, Crosno K, Capuano O’Connor DH, et al. Tetherin antagonism by HIV-1 group M Nef proteins. J Virol. 2017;91(10):e00848-17.

57. Sauter D, Hue S, Petit SJ, Planter JC, Towers GJ, Kirchhoff F, et al. HIV-1 group P is unable to antagonize human tetherin by Vpu, Env or Nef. Retrovirology. 2011;8:103.

58. Sauter D, Schindler M, Specht A, Landford WN, Munch J, Kim KA, et al. Tetherin-driven adaptation of Nef and Vpu function and the evolution of pandemic and nonpandemic HIV-1 strains. Cell Host Microbe. 2009;6(5):409–21.

59. Platt EJ, Wehrly K, Kuhmann SE, Chesebro B, Kabat D. Effects of CCR5 and CD4 cell surface concentrations on infections by macrophagetropic isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol. 1998;72(4):285–64.

60. Dreux M, Chisari FV. Viruses and the autophagy machinery. Cell Cycle. 2017;16(4):e1008487.

61. Augustine JJ, Bodziak KA, Hricik DE. Use of sirolimus in solid organ transplantation. Drugs. 2007;67(3):369–91.

62. Martin AR, Pollack RA, Caporossi AM, Ambinder RF, Durand CM, Siliciano RF. Rapamycin-mediated mTOR inhibition uncouples HIV-1 latency reversal from cytokine-associated toxicity. J Clin Invest. 2017;127(2):651–6.

63. Botto Y, Patel B, Macan F. Common gamma-chain cytokine signaling is required for macroautophagy induction during CD4+ T-cell activation. Autophagy. 2015;11(10):1864–77.

64. Hubbard VM, Valdor R, Patel B, Singh R, Cuervo AM, Macian F. Macroautophagy regulates energy metabolism during effector T cell activation. J Autophagy. 2015;11(10):1864–77.

65. Schwartz O, Maresch V, Danos O, Heard JM. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Nef promotes the emergence of lifelong recombination in human and nonhuman cells transfected with an infectious molecular clone. J Virol. 1986;59(2):284–91.

66. McLaughlin S. Binding of acylated peptides and fatty acids to phospholipid vesicles: pertinence to myristoylated proteins. Biochemistry. 1993;32(39):10346–43.

67. Magiorkinis G, Angelis K, Mamas I, Katzourakis A, Hatzakis A, Albert J, et al. The global spread of HIV-1 subtype B epidemic. Infect Genet Evol. 2016;46:169–79.

68. Deymier MJ, Claiborne DT, Endé Z, Ratner HK, Klimbme W, Allen S, et al. Particle infectivity of HIV-1 full-length genome infectious molecular clones in a subtype C heterosexual transmission pair following high fidelity amplification and unbiased cloning. Virology. 2014;468–470:545–61.

69. Heigele A, Kmiec D, Regensburger K, Langer S, Peiffer L, Sturzel CM, et al. The potency of Nef-mediated SERINC5 antagonism correlates with the prevalence of primate lentiviruses in the wild. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;20(3):381–91.

70. Basmaciogullari S, Pizzato M. The activity of Nef on HIV-1 infectivity. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:232.

71. Trautz B, Pierini V, Wombacher R, Stolp B, Chase AJ, Pizzato M, et al. The antagonism of HIV-1 Nef to SERINC5 particle infectivity restriction involves the counteraction of virion-associated pools of the restriction factor. J Virol. 2016;90(23):10915–27.

72. Claudhry A, Verghese DA, Das SR, Jameel S, George A, Bal V, et al. HIV-1 Nef promotes endocytosis of cell surface MHC class II molecules via a constitutive pathway. J Immunol. 2009;183(4):2415–24.-

73. Cloherty AP, van Teijlingen NH, Eisdten T, van Hamme JL, Rader AG, Geijtenbeek TBR, et al. Autophagy-enhancing drugs limit mucosal HIV-1 acquisition and suppress viral replication ex vivo. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4767.

74. Tavakoli-Tameh A, Janaka SK, Zarbock K, O’Connor S, Crosno K, Capuano O’Connor DH, et al. Tetherin antagonism by HIV-1 group M Nef proteins. J Virol. 2017;91(10):e00848-17.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.