HIGH SENSITIVE PCR METHOD FOR DETECTION OF PATHOGENIC *Leptospira* spp. IN PARAFFIN-EMBEDDED TISSUES
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**SUMMARY**

This study describes the development and application of a new PCR assay for the specific detection of pathogenic leptospires and its comparison with a previously reported PCR protocol. New primers were designed for PCR optimization and evaluation in artificially-infected paraffin-embedded tissues. PCR was then applied to post-mortem, paraffin-embedded samples, followed by amplicon sequencing. The PCR was more efficient than the reported protocol, allowing the amplification of expected DNA fragment from the artificially infected samples and from 44% of the post-mortem samples. The sequences of PCR amplicons from different patients showed >99% homology with pathogenic leptospires DNA sequences. The applicability of a highly sensitive and specific tool to screen histological specimens for the detection of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. would facilitate a better assessment of the prevalence and epidemiology of leptospirosis, which constitutes a health problem in many countries.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Leptospirosis is one of the most important zoonotic diseases worldwide. The disease varies from subclinical infection to a severe illness with multi-organ involvement. Because of the variety of clinical symptoms, leptospirosis is often misdiagnosed as influenza, hepatic disease and hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome or dengue fever, leading to an underestimation of prevalence. The tropical climate, which provides periods of intense rainfall resulting in floods, combined with a dense population living in poverty and poor sanitation conditions are key features which favor the maintenance and spread of leptospirosis.

The direct demonstration of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. as causative agent is an invaluable tool for the diagnosis of human leptospirosis; however, the current bacteriological methods for the detection of leptospires in clinical specimens such as blood, cerebrospinal fluid, urine and post mortem tissue have some disadvantages for routine use. Despite substantial recent improvements there is no current method that is sufficiently sensitive and specific, mainly in samples from deceased individuals where the diagnosis is based on presumptive stain assays.

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the most commonly used method for detecting leptospires in clinical samples. However, post-mortem diagnosis of leptospirosis in paraffin-embedded tissue is much less widely used and PCR based methods have low sensitivity for these types of samples.

The most frequently analyzed material in pathology laboratories is paraffin-embedded tissue; indeed, biological samples preserved in this way constitute a valuable source of information for retrospective analysis. Due to DNA fragmentation during sample processing for paraffin blocks, the PCR must be highly sensitive and specific; therefore, few reliable PCR methods exist currently for the detection of pathogen leptospires. The development of more efficient detection systems would therefore be of benefit in the confirmatory diagnosis and the understanding of the epidemiology of leptospirosis in a susceptible population.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Primers amplifying a 115bp PCR product from 23S ribosomal DNA were published previously. Partial sequences of genes encoding 23S rRNA of pathogenic leptospires were obtained from GenBank and aligned using Clustal software. Dimer formation was predicted with OPERON. Other parameters such as melting and annealing temperatures, primer length, GC content and 3’ extreme stability were evaluated using BioEdit software.

In order to design a new set of primers and using Bioedit software, we aligned sequences corresponding to the gene encoding the major
lipoprotein in pathogenic *Leptospira* spp., *lipL32*, which is found only in pathogenic strains of *Leptospira*.

*Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar Castellonis strain Castellon 3 was subcultured in EMJH medium for seven days. When cell density had reached approximately 10^5 cell/mL, the DNA was extracted using High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (ROCHE, Switzerland) for genomic DNA. The quality of extracted DNA was checked by spectrophotometry and by agarose gel electrophoresis.

The PCR was performed in a 25 µL volumes containing variable concentrations of each primer, 0.125 U of *Taq* polymerase (QIAGEN, Germany), PCR buffer 1X (dNTP 0.02 mM, MgCl, 0.25 mM, KCl 0.025M, Tris HCl 0.025M, bovine serum albumin 0.1 mg/mL), 5 µL of template DNA and made up to 25 µL with double-distilled water.

The reaction was performed using a Mastercycler personal Eppendorf thermal cycler for 40 cycles. The annealing temperature was chosen from a temperature gradient (53, 55, 57, 59, 61 and 63 °C) with 0.5 µM of primer concentration and then, different primers concentrations were used: 0.5; 0.2 and 0.1 µM. The cycling process involved five steps as follows: 1) 94 °C for five min, 2) 94 °C for one min, 3) optimal annealing temperature for 30 sec, 4) extension at 72 °C for one min, and a final cooling step of 4 °C. A total of 20 µL of the PCR products was analyzed on a 2% agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide staining under ultraviolet light.

Serial and ten-fold dilutions of serovar Castellonis DNA were made from 7x10^3 geq/reaction to 0.7 geq/reaction for estimating the PCR detection limit. In addition, DNA extracted from related strains (*L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni (M20), *L. interrogans* serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae (RGA), *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona (Pomona), *L. interrogans* serovar Canicola (Hond Utrecht IV), *L. interrogans* serovar Hardjo (Hardjoprajitno), *L. interrogans* serovar Pyrogenes (Salinem), *L. kirschneri* serovar Gryppotiphsa (Moskva V), *L. interrogans* serovar Autumnalis (Akijami A), *L. kirschneri* serovar Cynopteri (3522 C) and unrelated species (*L. biflexa* serovar Patoc (Patoc I), *Borreliia burgdorferi* sensu stricto B31, *B. garinii* NE83, *B. afzelii* NE17, *Mycoplasma genitalium* ATCC 33530, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b ATCC 49629, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ATCC 49619, *S. agalactiae* ATCC 13813, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, and *E. coli* ATCC 25922), were used for the specificity assay. Results from the PCR sensitivity and specificity tests were compared with 23S-based PCR (23S PCR) results, according to the WOO et al. (1997) procedure.14

The new PCR method (LipL32 PCR) in paraffin-embedded tissues was compared with the 23S PCR. First, paraffin-embedded human tissues negative for leptospirosis by Haematoxilin-Eosin and Warthin-Starry stains were evaluated. Fragments of liver, lung and kidney samples were cut into small pieces (approximately 5 g) and inoculated with 100 µL of a bacterial suspension (approximately 10^5 cell/mL) and other bacterial species as described above using a syringe. Two pieces of inoculated and non-inoculated (negative control) tissues were immediately paraffin-embedded; finally they were used directly for DNA extraction.

Fifty seven paraffin-embedded organ samples (liver, kidney, lung, brain, heart or spleen) from 24 dead patients with suspicion of leptospirosis, received at the National Reference Laboratory of Leptospires (IPK) during 2012, were tested firstly by a PCR method detecting sample inhibition and this amplified a 268 bp of the gene encoding human β-globin15; after, the non-inhibited samples were subjected to the application of both PCR methods, LipL32 PCR and 23S PCR.

The DNA extraction was performed with Chelex-100 as described by DE ARMAS et al. (2006) with minor modifications. Briefly, 10 µm fragments of paraffin-embedded tissue (cut previously using microtome) were thinly cut with scalpel and put into a 1.5 mL tube containing 200 µL of 5% Chelex-100 solution in Tris-EDTA 1X; then it was vortexed and heated to 95 °C during 15 min, followed by a 13 000 xg spin for 10 min. Finally, 5 µL of supernatant containing the DNA was used as template for PCR assays.

Ten PCR amplified products from different patients were gel-purified with the QIAEX II (QIAGEN) commercial kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing of the gel-purified amplicons was performed using the GenomeLabTM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing with Quick Start Kit (Beckman Coulter®; USA).

This study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and it was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee.

**RESULTS**

The complete *in silico* analysis of 23S PCR primers predicted the formation of primer dimers, but the main deficiency was the recognition by BLAST, with 100% homology, of non-leptospiiral bacterial strains; mainly *E. coli*, *Streptococcus suis*, *S. agalactiae*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *P. mendocina*, *P. stutzeri*, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *B. amylophilae*, *Aeromonas veronii*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Brucella melitensis*, *Clostridium botulinum*, *C. lentocellum*, *Leishmania mexicana*, *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Candida parapsilosis*. This unexpected result led us to design a new set of primers that fulfilled the requirement for PCR specificity; they define a 146 bp region of DNA unique to pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. The new primers designated pFLp32-1 and pFLp32-2 have the sequences 5’-TAAATCAAGATCCCAATCTTCC-3’ and 5’-CCACAGATGCAACGAAAGATCC-3’, respectively.

The annealing temperature gradient assay showed that the best amplification in terms of quantity of PCR product was observed at 59 °C, and it was selected for all further studies. A primer concentration of 0.5 µM showed the clearest and most prominent PCR product in agarose gel electrophoresis; this was used, for all subsequent experiments. The detection limit assay of LipL32 PCR showed amplification down to a dilution corresponding to 7geq/reaction (Fig. 1). In addition, all the leptospiiral strains except for the saprophytic serovar Patoc gave rise to the expected DNA fragment. On the other hand, no bands were observed when the non-leptospiiral strains were used for the LipL32 PCR. In contrast, the 23S PCR resulted in non-specific amplification in some cases with non-leptospiiral strains as *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, although weak signals were observed in both cases.

When we applied the LipL32 PCR to detect pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in fresh tissues that had been inoculated with bacterial suspensions and immediately embedded in paraffin, all of the artificially infected
samples showed the expected amplicon by gel electrophoresis, while no product was observed from the organs artificially infected with non-leptosporal strains. However, with 23S PCR three samples infected with *Leptospira* were negative and the others were positive but with a lower intensity band; no product was generated from the non-leptosporal strains.

Just two samples from liver and kidney revealed PCR inhibition by human β-globin PCR; these were not used in further studies. Forty four percent of samples (24/55) from 12 deceased patients with suspected leptospirosis were positive by the LipL32 PCR, while no amplification was observed when 23S PCR was used (Fig. 2). The organs showing the highest intensity of PCR product were kidney (10/18), liver (7/16) and lung (6/9), but with no statistically significant differences between them (p > 0.05). In 83% (10/12) of the patients it was noted there was positivity in more than one organ.

All sequenced DNA fragments showed > 99% sequence identity to the pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. *lipL32* gene fragment in GenBank, confirming the amplification specificity of the pfLp32-1 and pfLp32-2 primers.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we designed and validated a PCR strategy for the detection of pathogenic leptospires in paraffin-embedded organs. PCR analysis of such specimens is hindered by fastidious specimen due to the possible DNA fragmentation produced during the paraffin fixing process and by the low burden of leptospires, particularly when the analysis is performed on thin paraffin sections and with samples contaminated by other microorganisms. In these cases, it is necessary to have a highly sensitive and specific PCR method, capable of amplifying a small DNA region.

The primers reported for use in the 23S PCR are not suitable for a highly specific PCR due to the fact that they theoretically recognized, with 100% match, a wide group of microorganisms not belonging to the genus *Leptospira*. This lack of specificity presents a major problem, since several species of microorganisms could be present in organ fragments and unexpected amplification can appear. In contrast, the LipL32 PCR was highly specific for pathogenic leptospires. According to results obtained with respect to specificity assay for 23S PCR, the in silico results for non-leptosporal strains (except to *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* where weak and unspecific bands appeared) were different from the empirical results. This could be explained by genetic differences among the experimentally used bacterial strains and those whose DNA sequences appear in GenBank database. Besides, it is necessary to have the same recognizing pattern by both primers to target DNA, in order to assure a specific amplification.

What was remarkable in the sensitivity assay with LipL32 primers (Fig. 1, panel A) was the fact that free primers start to appear when the template concentration is lower and less product is amplified. However, Panel B did not show any band of free primers, which suggested that the 23S primer concentration was insufficient for optimal amplification, and this could have affected the PCR sensitivity. But given the unsatisfactory in silico evaluation performance of primers, we decided not to optimize parameters in the PCR.

Successful performance evaluation of LipL32 PCR in artificially infected tissues demonstrated the usefulness of this assay when tissues were used as matrix. In addition, during the artificial infection procedure, 10^5 cell/mL was used in order to ensure efficiency of the process, in spite of the low leptosporal burden probably founded in post-mortem samples.

The successful PCR amplification with paraffin-embedded samples supports for the first time the use of Chelex-100 for the DNA extraction from leptospires in this kind of sample. Chelex-100 has an increased affinity for heavy metal cations such as Ca^{2+}, Mn^{2+} and Mg^{2+}. It is known that the divalent metals can introduce DNA damage at a high temperature (e.g. 100 °C), and in the case of Mg^{2+}, it is necessary for nuclease activity. Therefore, the use of Chelex-100 inactivates the nucleases and removes the divalent metals and some other PCR inhibitors. The use of an internal control has allowed the demonstration of the high performance of Chelex-100 extraction method in these samples, with only a 3.5% of inhibited reactions.

The positive LipL32 PCR for the paraffin-embedded tissues and the identification of the amplicon as a *lipL32* gene fragment of *L. interrogans* confirmed the etiological cause of death for the patients.
studiad. The deficiency of the 23S PCR for the detection of DNA of pathogenic leptospires was also demonstrated; however, D’ANDREA et al. (2012) validated this assay in paraffin-embedded tissue with encouraging results4. Hence, a highly sensitive and specific PCR constitutes a valuable alternative for the leptospirosis confirmation in fatal human cases, in which a positive serological result is absent, because of either the lack of a serum sample or a significant level of antibodies.

Leptospirosis causes damage to capillary endothelial cells as the underlying cause of clinical manifestations, such as renal tubular dysfunction, liver disease, myocarditis and pulmonary hemorrhage6. The fact of finding higher positivity rates in kidney, liver and lung samples was consistent with this notion. A similar observation was reported in a recent molecular study using fresh tissues12. The positivity found in the lung samples supports the results reported by other authors on the potentially fatal damage to this organ during leptospirosis infection8,13,14. The positivity was found in more than one organ per patient which suggests multi-organ infection. Unfortunately, clinical-epidemiological information from the deceased was incomplete; thus we could not make any inference of the fonded positivity with multiorgan failure.

Paraffin-embedded tissue blocks are routinely used for histopathological examination and are also useful for specific pathogen detection by PCR. Paraffin-embedded tissue is stable at ambient temperature for an extended period of time and relatively easy to transport compared to fresh tissue, which has to be processed or frozen immediately2. In addition, archival material is an invaluable source for retrospective molecular and clinical investigation; nevertheless, fresh tissue samples are the specimens of relevance for prevalence and epidemiological studies for leptospirosis, consequently this kind of sample would be also evaluated using the proposed PCR.

As a final point, the detection of DNA from paraffin-embedded specimens by PCR is important for the retrospective study of different infectious diseases, including their epidemiology and risk assessment. This is particularly important for leptospirosis, due to the variety of clinical symptoms and signs, potentially leading to misdiagnosis and underestimation of disease prevalence worldwide.

**Resumen**

PCR altamente sensible para la detección de Leptospira spp. patógenas en tejidos embebidos en parafina

El presente estudio describe el desarrollo y aplicación de un nuevo ensayo de PCR para la detección específica de leptospiras patógenas y su comparación con un protocolo reportado previamente. Se diseñaron nuevos cebadores para la optimización y evaluación de la PCR en tejidos embebidos en parafina infectados artificialmente. La PCR se aplicó además a muestras de tejidos embebidos en parafina y se realizó la secuenciación del amplión resultante. La PCR diseñada fue más eficiente que el protocolo reportado, permitiendo la amplificación del fragmento de ADN esperado en las muestras infectadas artificialmente y del 44% de las muestras post mortem. Se secuenciaron 10 ampliones provenientes de pacientes diferentes. La aplicabilidad de una herramienta altamente sensible y específica en la búsqueda de leptospiras patógenas en especímenes histopatológicos podría facilitar una mejor valoración de la prevalencia y la epidemiología de la leptospirosis, la que constituye un problema de salud en disímiles países.
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