The functional significance of lipid diversity in cell biological processes is now being unraveled. Recent developments show the involvement of specific lipids and lipid derivatives in membrane structure and dynamics. For example phosphoinositides have been shown to be important mediators of membrane–cytoskeleton interactions (Hirao et al., 1996) and vesicular transport. Additionally, there is evidence for a role of phosphatidic acid in the formation of specific coats mediating the formation of transport vesicles (Roth and Sternweis, 1997). Considerable attention has recently been drawn to lateral assemblies of glycosphingolipids and cholesterol (termed rafts), which have been proposed to function as platforms for numerous cellular events including membrane trafficking, signaling, and cell adhesion.

Simons and Ikonen (1997) presented a model of glycosphingolipid–cholesterol rafts that predicts that attractive forces between sphingolipids with saturated hydrocarbon chains and cholesterol mediate the formation of lateral lipid assemblies in an unsaturated glycerophospholipid environment. The fundamental principle by which rafts exert their functions is a separation or concentration of specific membrane proteins and lipids in membrane microdomains. These domains may serve as platforms in the TGN for apical membrane sorting and as foci for recruitment and concentration of signaling molecules at the plasma membrane.

In polarized epithelial cells the apical and basolateral plasma membrane strongly differ in lipid and protein composition (Rodriguez-Boulan and Nelson, 1989). This lateral plasma membrane asymmetry is maintained by tight junctions which act as diffusion barriers. Membrane transport from the TGN to the apical or basolateral plasma membrane is mediated by distinct transport vesicles (Wandinger-Ness et al., 1990; Ikonen et al., 1995). Microdomains containing glycosphingolipid and cholesterol have been suggested to function as platforms for the generation of apically destined transport vesicles whereas specific signals in the cytosolic tails of transmembrane proteins confer basolateral targeting (Matter and Mellman, 1994; Simons and Ikonen, 1997). Cells that are not overtly polarized use similar separate apical and basolateral cognate routes to the cell surface (Müsch et al., 1996; Yoshi-mori et al., 1996). Whereas in epithelial cells rafts accumu-
late at the apical surface, in fibroblasts basolateral and apical markers can freely mix after arrival at the cell surface. The organization of raft membrane domains within the plasma membrane of non-polarized cells is therefore a critical issue for understanding raft function.

The distribution of several raft markers including sphingolipids and glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins has been analyzed on the surface of different non-epithelial cell types using immunoelectron microscopy (Mayor and Maxfield, 1995; Fujimoto, 1996). These markers where shown either to be evenly distributed over the plasma membrane or in case of the ganglioside GM1 in A431 cells to be slightly enriched in caveolae (Parton, 1994). Thus if raft domains are maintained in the plasma membrane of non-polarized cells they must be dispersed and highly dynamic and thus cannot be resolved by the microscopy techniques used (Harder and Simons, 1997).

One major tool currently used to study rafts is their relative resistance towards solubilization with Triton X-100 at 4°C. This leads to the isolation of a light membrane fraction termed detergent-insoluble glycolipid-enriched membranes (DIGs), which are thought to contain the remnants of the cellular raft domains aggregated together (Brown, 1992; Kurzchalia et al., 1995; Parton and Simons, 1995). Several membrane proteins are specifically enriched in the DIG fraction and thus considered to be raft proteins. This include a class of proteins that are anchored via a GPI moiety to the outer leaflet of the cellular membranes (Brown and Rose, 1992). Influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) is a raft-associated transmembrane protein and its DIG association was shown to depend critically on amino acids in the transmembrane domain facing the outer leaflet of the bilayer (Scheiffele et al., 1997). Some cytoplasmic proteins are found in the DIG fraction and are thus thought to be associated to raft domains via the cytoplasmic leaflet of the lipid bilayer. These include several signaling molecules such as Gα subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins or the src-like protein tyrosine kinases lck, fyn, and lyn that depend on multiple acylation for DIG association (Rodgers et al., 1994; Shenoy-Scaria et al., 1994; Mumby, 1997; Wolven et al., 1997).

Triton X-100 insolubility has proven a useful starting point for the analysis of raft domains and several lines of evidence indicate the validity of this criterion. Depletion of sphingolipids or cholesterol abolish the association of several proteins with the DIG fraction as predicted from their involvement in the formation of lateral lipid assemblies (Cerneus et al., 1993; Hanada et al., 1995; Scheiffele et al., 1997). Further support comes from the observation that HA as well as GPI-anchored placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP) become DIG associated upon biosynthetic transport indicating their incorporation into rafts after entering the Golgi complex (Skibbens et al., 1989; Brown and Rose, 1992). Moreover reconstitution experiments of GPI-anchored PLAP into liposomes of different lipid composition showed that the Triton X-100 insolubility of PLAP critically depends on a raft lipid environment (Schroeder et al., 1994). Recently a tight correlation between detergent insolubility and the formation of a liquid-ordered phase by sphingolipids and cholesterol in a liquid crystalline lipid bilayer could be demonstrated (Ahmed et al., 1997).

Nevertheless the characterization of raft domains using Triton X-100 insolubility has its limitations. DIGs will not represent the actual organization of raft domains in cellular membranes as raft markers were shown to coalesce upon extraction with Triton X-100 (Mayor and Maxfield, 1995). A further problem is that raft affinities of different markers are difficult to characterize. It is possible that relatively weak interactions with raft lipids cannot be detected using DIG association. Moreover, differential extraction does not demonstrate that the specific attraction between lipids and between lipids and proteins are responsible for the formation of raft domains. Here we used a different approach to study these interactions. We examined membrane proteins and lipids cross-linked with antibodies or toxins. Based on copatching behavior of different membrane components, our data revealed attractive or repellant forces between clusters of different membrane proteins and lipids. Our data provide evidence for specific lipid–lipid and lipid–protein interactions in cell membranes governing raft dispersion and clustering.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells**

BHK-21 cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere in G-MEM (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), 5% FCS, 10% tryptose phosphate (GIBCO BRL), supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml)/ streptomycin (100 μg/ml) and 2 mM glutamine (all GIBCO BRL). 2B2318 T–hybridoma cells (Blackman et al., 1992) as well as Jurkat T–lymphoma line (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) were kept in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO BRL), 10% FCS, penicillin (100 U/ml)/ streptomycin (100 mg/ml), 2 mM glutamine at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere.

**Antibodies and Expression Constructs**

Monoclonal mouse and polyclonal rabbit anti-PLAP antibodies were obtained from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark), anti-human transferrin receptor (hTIR) mAb from Boehhringer Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany), anti–Thy-1 monoclonal antibody from Serotec Ltd. (Oxford, UK), and the rabbit antibody against human transferrin from Zymed Labs Inc. (South San Francisco, CA). Anti-HA (Japan-strain A/Japan/305/57) rabbit serum No. 1 was a gift from M. Roth (University of Texas, Dallas, TX). Anti-HA (Japan-strain A/Japan/305/57) rabbit serum No. 2 was generated in our laboratory using a preparation of viral membrane proteins in micelles as antigen (Scheiffele et al., 1997). Affinity-purified anti–vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) was prepared in our laboratory. Anti–low density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-R) mAb C7 was a gift from K. Matter (University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland). Anti–human fyn polygonal antibodies were obtained from G. Alonso (European Molecular Biology Laboratory [EMBL], Heidelberg, Germany). Preabsorbed secondary FITC goat anti–rabbit or rhodamine goat anti–mouse antibodies, as well as 6-nm colloidal gold goat anti–mouse and 12-nm gold goat anti–rabbit were from Dianova (Hamburg, Germany).

A PLAP expression construct driven by Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) promoter was obtained from D. Brown (State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY; Brown et al., 1989), pSG-5 expression construct for human fyn driven by SV-40 early promoter was obtained from G. Alonso. All of the following expression constructs use the cytomegavirus (CMV) promoter. The hTIR expression construct in pCMV5 was described previ...
ously (Harder and Gerke, 1993). A hTIR deletion mutant (hTIR del 5–41) lacking amino acids 5–41 that deletes the basolateral targeting as well as the endocytosis signal (Odorizi and Tonegawa, 1997) was constructed by PCR using the oligonucleotide 5′-TCTGGGGATCCCAAGATGTTAGCTTAGTAGGAAAG-3′ and the oligonucleotide 5′-CTACGGAATCTCTTACCAACGCGGCTTTGATGACGTTAATGCCTTTGCAATGTC-3′ in a PCR reaction with the hTIR wild-type cDNA as template. The resulting product was cloned as a EcoRI-BamHI fragment into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). HA wild type (Japan-strain A/Japan/305/57) was cloned as a HindIII–BamHI fragment into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). HA LDL YA-18 and LDL YY-A18A35 in pCB6 were obtained from K. Matter (Matter et al., 1992). All plasmids were purified using Qui-agen columns (Quiagen, Inc., Chatsworth, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Transfection and Viral Infection

1/300 (or 1/200 for biochemical experiments) from a confluent BHK cell 75-cm² tissue culture flask (Nalge, Nunc Inc., Naperville, IL) were seeded in 2 ml of full medium on glass coverslips for immunofluorescence or Thermorax coverslips (Nunc Inc.), for electron microscopy in 3-cm tissue culture dishes. Per dish, 1–2 μg of each expression plasmid was used for cotransfection. Transfections were performed in Opti-MEM (GIBCO BRL) with 2–4 μg of lipofectamine (GIBCO BRL) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The DNA/lipofectamine was kept on the cells for 4 h. 5 × 10³ Jurkat T-lymphotoma cells suspended in 1 ml Opti-MEM were transfected for 4 h using 4 μg of lipofectamine and 2 μg of plasmid DNA in Falcon 15-mL polystyrene tubes (Becton Dickinson laborware, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Cells were collected before and after transfection by centrifugation at 800 rpm in a Microfuge 1.0 centrifuge (Heraeus, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Cells were incubated with VSV for 1 h followed by a 2-h incubation at 37°C with a chase of cycloheximide (5 μg/ml) for 1 h.

Immunofluorescence and Antibody-induced Patching

For immunofluorescence and Antibody-induced Patching, the expression levels of the two transiently expressed markers varied from cell to cell on one coverslip. This and the differences in cell shape precluded a computer-based quantification of the copatching. For quantitative analysis images of 20 randomly selected cells expressing each pair of markers were stored as digital files in a coded form. By inspection the images were scored in a blinded fashion by an individual not involved in the recording into four categories of copatching/segmentation: (1) coeluting (>80% overlap); (2) partial coeluting (clearly coinciding spots); (3) random distribution; and (4) segregation. Only areas of the cells that were in focus were considered for quantitation. The percentage of cells falling into the respective categories in at least four independent experiments (each performed in parallel) were expressed as average ± SD, thus ensuring objectivity and reproducibility of the scoring.

Choleratoxin–FITC Labeling of GM1 Labeling

Jurkat cells were transfected with PLAP and hTIR del 5–41 expression constructs as described above. Copatching of GM1 with PLAP and hTIR del 5–41 was performed by simultaneous incubation in suspension (in 500 μl MEM, 2 mg/ml BSA per 5 × 10⁵ cells) at 12°C with the anti-PLAP or hTIR mAb and FITC–choleratoxin B subunit (FITC-CTx; Sigma Chemical Co.) (8 μg/ml) under gentle rocking. Subsequently, primary antibodies were further clustered with rhodamine anti-mouse antibodies. Cells were washed, fixed, and then resuspended in PBS, and incubated with 1:50 dilution of the mixed fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody or 1:20 of the colloidal gold secondary antibodies. Cells were then fixed in 1:200 anti-transferin rabbit polyclonal at 1:100, anti-hTIR monoclonal at 1:100. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 12°C with the respective combination of antibodies, and then washed briefly at 4°C in PBS/BSA. Further cross-linking with secondary antibodies was performed at 12°C for 1 h. Either 1:100 dilution of the mixed fluorescence-labeled secondary or 1:200 of the colloidal gold secondary antibodies were used. In some experiments, the incubation with primary and secondary antibodies were performed at 37°C twice for 20 min. For fluorescence, cells were fixed and mounted as described above. Pictures exhibiting easily recognizable patches of the two respective markers were taken on an Axioptih micro-scope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) coupled to a Color Coolview 8-bit CCD color camera (Photonic Science, Millwall, UK). Filter settings for simultaneous detection of FITC and rhodamine signals were used. The digital images were processed using Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, Inc., Mountain View, CA) on a Macintosh computer (Apple Computer Co., Cupertino, CA). For better visualization, images showing a single color channel were transferred to a gray scale. We ensured that the linear signal intensities detected for both fluorophors were covered by a linear scale of the pixel intensities. Pictures were taken focusing on the apex of the cells. Under these conditions, fluorescence signal from the ventral side of the cells was not detected.

For electron microscopy, colloidal gold–labeled cells on Thermorax plastic coverslips were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) in 100 mM cacodylate buffer, pH 7.35, for 30 min at room temperature, thoroughly rinsed in distilled water, and then incubated in 3% uranyl acetate for 15 min at room temperature, thoroughly rinsed in tri-distilled water and then incubated in 3% uranyl acetate. After gradual dehydration in ethanol the coverslips were embedded in Epon. For electron microscopy, colloidal gold–labeled cells on Thermorax plastic coverslips were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) in 100 mM cacodylate buffer, pH 7.35, for 30 min at room temperature, thoroughly rinsed in distilled water, and then incubated in 3% uranyl acetate. After gradual dehydration in ethanol the coverslips were embedded in Epon. After removing the coverslips, ultrathin (50–70 nm) sections were counterstained in 3% uranyl acetate for 5 min, Reynolds’ lead citrate for 1 min, and then analyzed on a 10-C electron microscope (Carl Zeiss). Cholesterol extraction was performed as described (Klein et al., 1995; Keller and Simons, 1998a). Briefly, BHK cells were extracted with 10 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma Chemical Co.) in MEM, 50 mM Heps, pH 7.3, 0.35 g/liter carbonate (low carbonate) at 37°C on a rocking platform for 1 h, and subsequently processed as described above.

Quantitation of Copatching

For electron microscopy, colloidal gold–labeled cells on Thermorax plastic coverslips were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) in 100 mM cacodylate buffer, pH 7.35, for 30 min at room temperature, thoroughly rinsed in distilled water, and then incubated in 3% uranyl acetate. After gradual dehydration in ethanol the coverslips were embedded in Epon. After removing the coverslips, ultrathin (50–70 nm) sections were counterstained in 3% uranyl acetate for 5 min, Reynolds’ lead citrate for 1 min, and then analyzed on a 10-C electron microscope (Carl Zeiss). Cholesterol extraction was performed as described (Klein et al., 1995; Keller and Simons, 1998a). Briefly, BHK cells were extracted with 10 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma Chemical Co.) in MEM, 50 mM Heps, pH 7.3, 0.35 g/liter carbonate (low carbonate) at 37°C on a rocking platform for 1 h, and subsequently processed as described above.

Choleratoxin–FITC Labeling of GM1 Labeling

Jurkat cells were transfected with PLAP and hTIR del 5–41 expression constructs as described above. Copatching of GM1 with PLAP and hTIR del 5–41 was performed by simultaneous incubation in suspension (in 500 μl MEM, 2 mg/ml BSA per 5 × 10⁵ cells) at 12°C with the anti-PLAP or hTIR mAb and FITC–choleratoxin B subunit (FITC-CTx; Sigma Chemical Co.) (8 μg/ml) under gentle rocking. Subsequently, primary antibodies were further clustered with rhodamine anti-mouse antibodies. Cells were washed, fixed, and then resuspended in PBS, and incubated with 1:50 dilution of the mixed fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody or 1:20 of the colloidal gold–labeled antibodies. Cells were then fixed in 1:200 anti-transferin rabbit polyclonal at 1:100, anti-hTIR monoclonal at 1:100. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 12°C with the respective combination of antibodies, and then washed briefly at 4°C in PBS/BSA. Further cross-linking with secondary antibodies was performed at 12°C for 1 h. Either 1:100 dilution of the mixed fluorescence-labeled secondary or 1:200 of the colloidal gold secondary antibodies were used. In some experiments, the incubation with primary and secondary antibodies were performed at 37°C twice for 20 min. For fluorescence, cells were fixed and mounted as described above. Pictures exhibiting easily recognizable patches of the two respective markers were taken on an Axioptih microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) coupled to a Color Coolview 8-bit CCD color camera (Photonic Science, Millwall, UK). Filter settings for simultaneous detection of FITC and rhodamine signals were used. The digital images were processed using Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, Inc., Mountain View, CA) on a Macintosh computer (Apple Computer Co., Cupertino, CA). For better visualization, images showing a single color channel were transferred to a gray scale. We ensured that the linear signal intensities detected for both fluorophors were covered by a linear scale of the pixel intensities. Pictures were taken focusing on the apex of the cells. Under these conditions, fluorescence signal from the ventral side of the cells was not detected.
sample was overlaid with a 600-μl step of each of 35%, 30%, 25%, 20%, 0% Optiprep™ in TNE, 10% sucrose, 2% Triton X-100. The gradients were spun for 4 h at 40,000 rpm at 4°C. Six fractions from the top of the gradient were collected. The fractions were TCA precipitated and analyzed by Western blot with anti-VSV-G mAb PSD4 (Kreis, 1986) and anti-PLAP rabbit polyclonal antibodies followed by HRP-coupled secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and ECL (Amersham Buchler GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany).

Results

Extracellularly exposed lipids and membrane proteins can be laterally cross-linked with specific antibodies or multivalent bacterial toxins. This causes a redistribution of these plasma membrane elements which tend to form patches on the cell surface (Spiegel et al., 1984). Here we studied the patching behavior of different proteins and lipids. We focused specifically on putative glycosphingolipid–cholesterol raft elements and compared their behavior to membrane components presumed to have low affinity for raft domains. The starting point to define raft-associated proteins and lipids was their association to the Triton X-100-insoluble DIG membrane fraction. Our aim was to analyze how raft and non-raft domains are organized in the plasma membrane.

For this purpose we compared the patching behavior of pairs of either two raft membrane proteins or a raft protein and non-raft protein in fibroblastoid BHK 21 cells. We then used fluorescence-labeled cholera toxin to visualize and patch the raft-associated ganglioside GM1. The cells were incubated at low temperatures with a mouse mAb against one protein and a rabbit polyclonal antibody against the other protein marker followed by the two different fluorescence- or colloidal gold–labeled secondary antibodies. The relative distribution of the patches of the two different markers could then be analyzed using immunofluorescence or electron microscopy, respectively.

Copatching of Influenza HA and GPI-anchored PLAP

We expressed as raft-associated protein markers the trimeric transmembrane protein influenza hemagglutinin (HA) and the GPI-anchored dimeric protein placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP). A well-characterized artifact when studying the distribution of a GPI anchored on the cell surface proteins is the antibody-induced redistribution of these proteins into patches if the proteins are not properly cross-linked by the fixative (Mayor et al., 1994). In our hands, paraformaldehyde fixation protocols resulted in varying degrees of patching of PLAP (data not shown). We obtained reproducible results using a fixation protocol using brief formaldehyde fixation and subsequent incubation in methanol at −20°C (FA/MeOH-fixation; Osborn et al., 1988). In cotransfected BHK cells, both markers were then evenly distributed over the plasma membrane (Fig. 1, A–C). Thus this fixation procedure produces the dispersed patterns of distribution published for other GPI-anchored proteins in line with previous detailed studies that showed no indication for clustering of GPI-anchored proteins on the cell surface of mammalian cells (Mayor and Maxfield, 1995). The additional intracellular staining derived from Golgi and/or endosomal localization of the overexpressed proteins. For an immunofluorescence analysis of antibody-induced cross-linking, we simultaneously incubated the cells with an anti-PLAP mAb, and either one of two different polyclonal rabbit sera against influenza virus HA. This incubation was performed at 12°C, thus minimizing metabolic activity of the cells during the incubations (transferrin is not internalized under these conditions; data not shown). This was followed by an incubation with the respective FITC- and rhodamine-coupled secondary antibodies at 12°C. Microscopical inspection of the fluorescence signal showed redistribution of both markers into patches. These patches overlapped in closely coinciding patches showing that the patches of the independently cross-linked markers coalesce (Fig. 1, D–J). The extensive overlap of the two cross-linked raft markers shows that there exist attractive forces between the independently formed patches of PLAP and HA. We then analyzed whether attractions between the independently cross-linked raft markers can still be detected when the cells are incubated at 37°C. We performed the patching experiments at 37°C with short antibody incubations (20 min for each the primary and the secondary antibodies, respectively). Endocytosis of PLAP and HA during these incubation time is <5% (Verkade, P., and T. Harder, unpublished results). We observed that the cross-linked PLAP and HA copatch at the surface of the BHK cells (Fig. 1, K–M) although not as extensively as at 12°C and the patches were often larger in size. These differences are possibly due to shorter incubation times used and cellular responses to the patching of the membrane components. Nevertheless, this shows that the forces responsible for copatching are not only detectable at 12°C but exist under physiological temperatures.

To determine the properties of the HA molecules that are responsible for these attractive forces we analyzed the coclustering of mutants of the HA molecule. We used a mutant of HA where the cytoplasmic tail was deleted (HAΔT). Here, as with the wild-type HA, an extensive overlap of HAΔT and PLAP was apparent (Fig. 1, N–P) showing that copatching is not determined by a direct interaction between HA and cytosolic components. We then tested the HA mutant in which cysteine 543 is mutated to tyrosine (HA Y543). This variant of HA contains a basolateral targeting signal and is efficiently internalized via clathrin-coated pits (Lazarovits and Roth, 1988; Brewer and Roth, 1991). HA Y543 copatched with GPI-anchored PLAP after antibody-induced cross-linking to the same extent as wild-type HA (Fig. 1, R–T) showing that a basolateral targeting signal and the potential to interact with a clathrin lattice does not perturb the interactions responsible for the copatching.

Segregation of Clustered PLAP from Patches of Transferrin Receptor and LDL Receptor

To analyze whether a common preference for raft lipid environment mediates the tight association of the cross-linked HA and PLAP we cross-linked membrane proteins not associating to DIGs. We tested copatching of the wild-
type hTfR, the LDL-R, and VSV-G in patching experiments with PLAP. Together with PLAP we transiently expressed hTfR and the LDL-R in BHK cells. Immunofluorescence on FA/MeOH-fixed cells showed that the hTfR and PLAP expression generated a strong staining that appeared evenly distributed over the whole plasma membrane (Fig. 2, A–C). We did not detect a concentration of the hTfR in coated pits most probably because the clathrin-dependent internalization pathway is saturated by the high number of receptors in the plasma membrane (Warren et al., 1997). Intracellular staining derives from Golgi and endosomal localization of the overexpressed markers. We then fol-

Figure 1. Copatching of cross-linked PLAP with influenza HA. The left column shows PLAP distribution in different experiments, the middle column HA distribution, and the right column the merge of the two signals. (A–C) Immunofluorescence of PLAP and wild-type HA on fixed BHK cells. (D–M) Copatching of PLAP with HA wild type. G–J show a detail of D–F. K–M show the copatching of PLAP and HA at 37°C. (N–P) Copatching of PLAP with HA tail minus, and (R–T) HA 543Y. PLAP antibodies were detected using rhodamine anti–mouse (red), HA antibodies using FITC anti–rabbit-labeled (green) secondary antibodies. Bars: (C) 10 μm; (F) 5 μm; (J, M, P, and T) 3 μm.
followed the behavior of cross-linked markers. Antibody-induced cross-linking of hTfR alone by incubation with a mouse mAb and respective secondary antibodies caused the formation of patches (data not shown). If PLAP was simultaneously cross-linked with hTfR using a polyclonal rabbit antibody, the patches of the respective markers were separated from patched PLAP (Fig. 2, D–J). In cells expressing high amounts of both markers this lead to a mosaic staining covering the complete cell surface. Importantly, the same results were obtained when a transferrin polyclonal antibody was used in conjunction with a mouse anti-PLAP mAb to induce simultaneous cross-linking of hTfR and PLAP (data not shown). Therefore segregation of the hTfR and PLAP patches was independent from the antibody combination used. These data clearly show that the attractive forces between cross-linked HA and PLAP molecules are not detectable between patches of hTfR and PLAP.

To rule out the possibility that interaction with clathrin-coated pits is responsible for the segregation, we constructed a mutant of hTfR where the cytosolic amino acids 5–41 are deleted (hTfR del 5–41). This deletion destroys basolateral targeting and renders the receptor incapable of

Figure 2. Patching of PLAP and transmembrane proteins hTfR, hTfR del 5–41, LDL-R YA18, and VSV-G. The left column shows PLAP distribution. The middle column shows distribution of hTfR (B, E, H, and L), LDL-R YA18 mutant (O), and VSV-G (S). The right column shows the merge of the two signals. A–C shows immunofluorescence of PLAP (green) and hTfR (red) on fixed BHK cells. D–J shows segregation of patched PLAP (green) and hTfR (red). G–J shows a detail of D–F. K–M shows segregation patches of cross-linked PLAP (green) and hTfR del 5–41 (red) at 37°C. N–P shows patches of cross-linked PLAP (green) and LDL-R YA18 (red). R–T show patches of PLAP (red) and VSV-G (green). Bars: (C) 10 μm; (F) 5 μm; (J, M, P, and T) 3 μm.
interacting with clathrin-coated pits (Odorizzi and Trowbridge, 1997). We observed that patches of hTfR del 5–41 were clearly segregated from cross-linked PLAP (data not shown). Moreover, this mutant allowed us to test whether patched TfR and PLAP still segregate at 37°C. We performed patching in cells coexpressing hTfR del 5–41 and PLAP at 37°C. The incubation times were 20 min for the primary antibody and secondary antibody, respectively. Under these conditions patches of PLAP and hTfR del 5–41 were sharply separated at the surface of the BHK cells (Fig. 2, K–M) showing that segregation of hTfR and PLAP can be detected at physiological temperatures.

We then tested LDL-R in the patching experiments together with PLAP. We expressed two mutants of the LDL-R. In the first mutant YA 18 the signal for coated pit localization—overlapping with the distal basolateral localization signal—is destroyed. The second mutant, YYA18A35, has lost both basolateral targeting signals and clathrin-coated pit localization signal and is transported apically in MDCK cells (Matter et al., 1992). We used a mouse mAb against LDL-R and a polyclonal anti-PLAP antibody followed by the respective fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies to compare the patches of LDL-R and PLAP. In both mutants there was little overlap visible (Fig. 2, N–P; and data not shown). However, in some cells clearly coinciding spots could be detected. We performed a quantitative analysis of the relative overlap of patched PLAP and the LDL-R YA 18 variant. 20 randomly taken fluorescence images of PLAP patched together with HA, VSV-G (see below), LDL-R YA 18, and hTfR were stored as coded digital files. The images were scored into four categories by an individual not involved in the recording of the pictures: (1) coclustering (>80% overlap); (2) partial coclustering (clearly coinciding spots); (3) random distribution; and (4) segregation. The percentages of cells falling into the four different categories are shown as averages from four independent experiments (Fig. 3). The objectivity and reproducibility of the scoring is indicated by the relatively low variation of the scores for every pair of markers. This analysis showed that patches of LDL-R and PLAP did not exhibit the extensive overlap seen for HA and PLAP clusters, nor were they as sharply separated as patches of hTfR and PLAP.

We then tested the VSV-G protein, which is also soluble in Triton X-100. As none of the expression constructs (including those that gave good expression in HeLa cells) resulted in efficient VSV-G expression in our BHK 21 cell system we infected PLAP expressing BHK cells with vesicular stomatitis virus. VSV-G and PLAP were clustered with a rabbit polyclonal antibody and a mouse mAb, respectively. We observed partial coclustering (Fig. 2, R–T). Quantification showed that copatching was intermediate between that observed for HA and PLAP and that of LDL-R and PLAP, respectively (Fig. 3).

Taken together we observed that different membrane proteins exhibit different degrees of copatching with PLAP ranging from a high degree of copatching of HA to a complete segregation in case of hTfR.

**Involvement of Glycosphingolipids and Cholesterol**

If HA and PLAP copatching is mediated by a common raft lipid preference raft lipids are predicted to copatch with PLAP. Glycosphingolipids are considered to be major constituents of raft domains because of their strong enrichment in the DIG membrane fraction. We therefore analyzed the patching behavior of the ganglioside GM1. GM1 was detected and clustered together with PLAP and hTfR del 5–41 using FITC-CTx as a specific clustering agent. Importantly, cholera toxin is pentavalent for GM1 thus causing the formation of clusters of five GM1 molecules, but not of large GM1 lattices (Merrit et al., 1994). BHK cells contain too low amounts of GM1 to be detected in our experiments. We therefore used Jurkat cells transiently expressing PLAP and hTfR del 5–41. Binding of FITC-CTx to these cells was performed during the incubation with the primary antibodies against PLAP and hTfR. By fluorescence microscopy the FITC-CTx/GM1 patches could be compared with the rhodamine signal of the secondary antibodies used to cross-link the anti-PLAP antibodies. This analysis showed an extensive overlap between the antibody-induced patches of PLAP and the patches of ganglioside GM1 induced by CTx (Fig. 4, A–C). These data clearly show that the cross-linked glycosphingolipids copatch with PLAP supporting a specific involvement of lipid interactions in the copatching phenomenon.

Importantly, in the control experiments clustered hTfR del 5–41 is excluded from patches of CTx-cross-linked GM1 showing again that hTfR clusters are segregated from the lipid domains of patched GM1 (Fig. 4, D–F). This strengthens the view that a distinct lipid environment of hTfR patches and PLAP/GM1 causes their separation.
We also tested whether of cholesterol depletion has an effect on the patching behavior. Cholesterol was extracted from the cells using methyl-β-cyclodextrin, a cyclic sugar with a hydrophobic cavity that specifically binds cholesterol rendering it soluble in aqueous solution (Klein et al., 1995). (See Keller and Simons, 1998a) for detailed characterization of cholesterol depletion in BHK cells.) This procedure has been shown extract ~70% of cellular cholesterol from BHK cells (Keller and Simons, 1998a) and to abolish association of several raft proteins with the Triton X-100-insoluble membranes indicating a weakening of interactions with raft domains (Scheiffele et al., 1997). We followed the patching behavior of PLAP (Fig. 5 A) as well as hTIR (Fig. 5 B) in methyl-β-cyclodextrin extracted cells. Indeed in comparison to control cells the clusters of PLAP in the cholesterol-depleted cells were much smaller and diffusely distributed over the cell surface suggesting that the forces responsible for the patching the antibody-induced clusters are disrupted. Importantly also the patching of cross-linked hTIR was inhibited showing the importance of cholesterol in both patching phenomena.

**Patches of GPI-anchored PLAP Accumulate src-like Tyrosine Kinase fyn**

Cross-linked raft components may sequester and stabilize raft lipid domains that coalesce to become large membrane patches. This predicts that these patches are enriched in specific non–cross-linked raft membrane proteins which preferentially partition into these lipid domains. To test this we followed the distribution of non–cross-linked PLAP in cells with patched HA (and vice versa). We could occasionally demonstrate enrichment of non–cross-linked PLAP in HA patches, this behavior was however quite variable (data not shown). Possibly, movement of proteins with an extracellular domain into the patches is sterically hindered by tightly packed proteins and antibodies. To circumvent this problem we studied whether patching of PLAP—associated to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane via a GPI–lipid anchor—influences the distribution of raft proteins anchored in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane bilayer. In these experiments we studied the distribution of the src-like protein tyrosine kinase fyn, which is anchored into the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane by two fatty acyl chains and may specifically partition into raft domains (Wolven et al., 1997). BHK cells were transfected and transiently coexpressed fyn and PLAP. Immunofluorescence after FA/MeOH fixation using anti-fyn polyclonal antibodies showed that fyn was mostly evenly distributed over the plasma membrane (Fig. 6 A). The morphological changes and formation of intracellular aggregates in fyn-overexpressing cells did not affect the analysis. PLAP patching was then induced at 12°C by incubation with anti-PLAP mAb and respective rhodamine labeled anti-mouse antibodies. Subsequently, the cells were fixed using FA/MeOH and the distribution of fyn was analyzed by immunofluorescence using polyclonal rabbit anti-fyn antibodies and FITC-coupled secondary antibodies. Most interestingly patching of PLAP (Fig. 6 B) caused a dramatic change in fyn pattern (Fig. 6 C) that became concentrated in domains of the plasma membrane that frequently coincided with patched PLAP (see insert Fig. 6, B and C). In control experiments the effect of TIR patching was analyzed in BHK cells coexpressing hTIR and fyn using anti-hTIR mAb for patching as described above. In most cells there was no visible effect of hTIR patching on the distribution of fyn that remained evenly distributed in the plasma membrane. Occasionally patching of hTIR induced concentration of fyn in subdomains of the plasma membrane that however did not significantly overlap with hTIR patches (data not shown). These findings show that patches of outer leaflet-anchored PLAP attract inner leaflet components of the lipid bilayer and strongly support the notion that patches of PLAP represent specific raft lipid domains.

**Coclustering of HA and PLAP Is Independent of Caveolae**

We used electron microscopy to study the morphology of...
the patched membrane components. First, PLAP and TfR were coexpressed in BHK cells and copatching was performed as described above. However, now colloidal gold-labeled secondary antibodies were used to detect and cross-link the respective primary antibodies. 6-nm gold-labeled anti–mouse antibodies were used for the mouse mAb against hTfR and PLAP polyclonal rabbit antibodies were cross-linked with 12-nm gold. The relative distribution of the membrane proteins was analyzed in Epon sections of the transfected cells. The analysis confirmed the sharp segregation of PLAP and hTfR patches seen in immunofluorescence. Both patches covered large areas of the plasma membrane (>500 nm) and the transition of one domain to the other is very sharp (Fig. 7A, arrowheads). PLAP patches are occasionally found in the vicinity of caveolar invaginations (Fig. 7C, arrowhead) but were mostly detected at smooth domains of the plasma membrane. Importantly, hTfR patches only occasionally coincided with clathrin-coated invaginations (Fig. 7B, arrow) in line with our interpretation that the high level of hTfR overexpression saturates the plasma membrane AP2/clathrin internalization pathway. This together with the segregation of patches of PLAP and hTfR del 5–41 shows that segregation is independent of the interaction of hTfR with clathrin-coated pits.

We then studied the copatching of two raft markers using PLAP- and HA-overexpressing cells in electron microscopy. PLAP was cross-linked with anti-PLAP mAb followed by 6-nm gold-labeled anti–mouse antibodies. 12-nm gold antibodies were used for the detection and clustering of rabbit polyclonal anti-HA antibodies. As seen in immunofluorescence the two gold particles showed extensive colocalization on the cell surface showing that movement of the independently cross-linked raft markers into closely coinciding patches can be followed at the electron-microscopical level. Copatches of HA and PLAP are mostly found at non-invaginated regions of the PM (Fig. 7E and F, arrow depicts clathrin-coated invagination). Thus the copatching of HA and PLAP cannot be explained by parallel movement of the two raft markers into caveolae as described previously for coclustering of two different GPI-anchored proteins (Mayor et al., 1994).

Several reports describe a redistribution of raft markers into caveolae following cross-linking with antibodies or multivalent toxins (Mayor et al., 1994; Parton, 1994; Fujimoto, 1996) We therefore addressed not only the role of caveolae as defined by morphological criteria, but also the possible involvement of caveolin 1, a cholesterol-binding protein involved in the formation of caveolar invaginations. We performed copatching experiments in 2B2318 T–cell hybridoma cells. These cells have been shown not to express caveolin and as a consequence not to have caveolae (Fra et al., 1995). As raft markers we used GPI-anchored Thy-1 cross-linked with an mAb and GM1 cross-linked with FITC-CTx. After simultaneous incubation with anti-Thy-1 antibodies and FITC-CTx followed by further cross-linking with the rhodamine anti–mouse antibody, we observed extensive overlap of the two markers (Fig. 8). These observations demonstrate that copatching of the two membrane elements requires neither caveolin nor caveolae.

Stabilization of Membrane Domains by Antibody-induced Cross-linking

Triton X-100 insolubility has been used as a diagnostic tool to identify raft membrane proteins. We therefore asked how far detergent insolubility is related to antibody-induced patching, which we here used as an indication for

Figure 6. Accumulation of fyn in membrane domains formed by patched PLAP. A shows distribution of overexpressed fyn in FA/MeOH-fixed BHK cells. Patches of PLAP are shown in B, and the corresponding distribution of fyn in C. The inserts show a detail of the overlap between PLAP and fyn staining. The faint diffuse signal in the rhodamine (PLAP) channel that coincides with the intensely bright fyn aggregates (FITC) is most probably because of a small overflow from the FITC to the rhodamine channel. The overlap between the patched PLAP and the signal from the fyn immunofluorescence at the cell surface is however clearly independent from the intracellular fyn aggregates. Bars: (A) 10 μm; (C) 5 μm.
specific raft lipid–protein interactions. We tested whether cross-linking with antibodies had an effect on the association of PLAP to a Triton X-100–insoluble membrane fraction. We transfected cells transiently with the PLAP expression construct and cross-linked as described above with antibodies. Subsequently the cells were solubilized with 2% Triton X-100 at 4°C and subjected to flotation by a Optiprep™ density gradient centrifugation in order isolate a Triton X-100–insoluble membrane fractions. PLAP was detected after solubilization with Triton X-100 at 4°C by Western blot analysis of the gradient fractions (Fig. 9, left). PLAP floated to 20% Optiprep™ irrespective of whether it was cross-linked with antibodies or not. Interestingly, the yield of PLAP in the light Triton X-100–resistant membrane fraction was significantly higher if PLAP was cross-linked with antibodies. Stabilization of DIG association by antibody-induced patching became even more evident if solubilization was performed at 30°C, at which temperature uncross-linked PLAP became completely solubilized. Under these conditions a significant fraction of the patched PLAP floats with the detergent-resistant low density membranes. This protective effect was also observable if cells were cholesterol-depleted using cyclodextrin, i.e., under conditions that lead to reduction of morphologically visible copatching and to a decrease of insolubility of monomeric PLAP (not shown). This indicates that either residual cholesterol may be sufficient to produce a raft-like environment in the clusters of PLAP or that the antibody cross-linked PLAP molecules gather lipids such as glycosphingolipids independently of cholesterol and that this assembly is then protected against Triton X-100 solubilization.

We next studied the effects of cross-linking on the Triton X-100 solubility of VSV-G. Cells were infected with VSV for 2 h and then treated with cycloheximide to chase VSV-G to the cell surface. After extraction with 2% Triton X-100 at 4°C VSV-G the samples were analyzed by Optiprep™ gradient centrifugation (Fig. 9, right). Even though VSV-G copatches to a certain extent with PLAP it is Triton X-100 soluble and the bulk of VSV-G resided in

Figure 7. Electron microscopical analysis of patched membrane components. A and B show separation of PLAP patches (12-nm gold) and hTfR patches (6-nm gold). Arrowheads, the sharp boundaries between the patches. Arrows in B show a clathrin-coated invagination labeled for hTfR. C shows patches of PLAP surrounding caveolar-like, noncoated invaginations (arrowhead). D and E show copatching of HA (12-nm gold) and PLAP (6-nm gold) on smooth membrane regions. Arrow in E marks clathrin-coated invagination. Bars: (A–C) 250 nm; (D and E) 150 nM.
the heavy Optiprep™ fraction irrespective whether it was cross-linked with antibodies or not. Thus the lipid associated to VSV-G clusters are less tightly bound than the lipids associated to cross-linked PLAP.

**Discussion**

Biophysical analysis on artificial membranes have demonstrated cohesive forces between glycosphingolipids themselves and between sphingolipids and cholesterol (for review see Brown, 1998). These forces are thought to be responsible for the formation of domains in an environment of unsaturated glycerophospholipids. In cell membranes these forces are postulated to mediate a similar assembly of sphingolipid–cholesterol raft domains predicted to be in a L<sub>α</sub> phase separated from an L<sub>d</sub> phase of the surrounding unsaturated phosphatidylcholine (PC)-rich environment. These different liquid membrane phases have been first described in model membranes containing cholesterol and PC with saturated acyl chains (Sankaram and Thompson, 1991). Cholesterol has then been shown to trigger separation of a detergent-insoluble L<sub>α</sub> phase from a L<sub>d</sub> phase in sphingolipid and unsaturated PC containing model membranes i.e. to be able to generate L<sub>α</sub> and L<sub>d</sub> phases in mixtures of naturally occurring lipids (Ahmed et al., 1997). At high temperatures lipid bilayers have reduced tendency to form coexisting L<sub>α</sub> and L<sub>d</sub> phases (Sankaram and Thompson, 1991). However, the coexistence of L<sub>α</sub> and L<sub>d</sub> phases at 37°C could be demonstrated in artificial bilayers mimicking the lipid composition of the plasma membrane (Ahmed et al., 1997). In line with these findings, we find that at 12°C as well as at 37°C there exist specific lipid-dependent attractive or repellant forces between patched membrane components. These forces have to be attributed to properties of the respective membrane components. Our observations do not exclude that these forces cause the formation of lipid domains as a consequence of cross-linking. However, we suggest that lateral cross-linking of a membrane component causes multiplication of membrane interactions and as a consequence intrinsically existing weak interactions become exaggerated and can be detected as specific repellant and attractive forces. We observed coalescence of patched raft markers such as GPI-anchored PLAP with Influenza HA, and the raft lipid ganglioside GM1 as well as copatching of GPI-anchored Thy-1 with GM1. This suggests that copatching is a consequence of the coalescence of a common lipid microdomain containing the cross-linked raft membrane components. The patches of transferrin receptor are sharply separated from the patched raft components indicating that the lipids surrounding hTfR clusters are not miscible with raft domains.

**Coalescence of Lipid Domains**

Our data strongly suggest that copatching is mainly a lipid-mediated process. First, we observed extensive copatching of the raft lipid GM1 and different GPI-anchored proteins both linked via fatty acids to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane. This and the copatching of PLAP and HA lacking a cytoplasmic tail domain shows that no direct cytoplasmic interactions are involved in the process. Active rearrangements of cellular components are most probably not involved as most experiments were performed at low temperatures. Patching of cross-linked PLAP as well as of cross-linked hTfR is inhibited by cyclodextrin extraction of cellular cholesterol. This underlines the demonstrated role of cholesterol in raft integrity (Scheiffele et al., 1997) and in a separation of L<sub>d</sub> and L<sub>α</sub> phases in artificial
membranes (Sankaram and Thompson, 1991; Ahmed et al., 1997) and probably in the formation of different membrane phases in which clustered hTfR and PLAP reside.

Why would cross-linking with antibodies or toxins induce patching? Lateral cross-linking of membrane proteins or lipids causes multimerization of their membrane binding sites. If the monomeric membrane component has a preference for specific membrane microdomains multiple membrane interactions of the clustered constituents will cooperatively strengthen the association. In cellular membranes this may cause an accumulation of the lipid domains around the clusters. Therefore the clusters may have a stabilizing effect on raft lipid domains themselves and on the association of the cross-linked raft marker with these domains. Indeed, we found that cross-linking of GPI-anchored PLAP with antibodies stabilizes its association with theDIG fraction, leading to increased temperature resistance to Triton X-100 solubilization. Stabilized lipid membrane domains may coalesce which leads to copatching of two clustered membrane components preferring the same lipid environment. On the other hand, the weakly associated domains become too large, then their entry into cell vesicles is restricted.

Differents Affinities for Raft Association

An important parameter governing raft dynamics is the specific partition coefficient which can be expected to determine the raft-associated fraction of all membrane proteins and lipids. Partial copatching of cross-linked LDL-R and to an even larger extent of VSV-G indeed indicates that certain proteins exhibit a weak but significant raft interaction which is not detectable by the DIG criterion. Upon multimerization these weak interactions are strengthened and lead to formation of a raft lipid environment. The association of VSV-G to these domains is however not strong enough to confer DIG association. Therefore probably only proteins which strongly interact with rafts are Triton X-100 insoluble, whereas weakly raft-associated proteins (for example VSV-G) are Triton X-100 soluble. Tightly packed VSV-G in the budding virus may therefore weakly interact with raft domains and cause formation of microdomains and indeed VSV envelopes have been shown to be somewhat enriched in sphingomyelin and cholesterol, compared with the host plasma membrane (Welti and Glaser, 1994). Sequestration of raft lipid domains by viral proteins may also explain a phenomenon that has puzzled virologists for years namely that VSV particles specifically include antibody cross-linked GPI-anchored Thy-1 while excluding other plasma membrane proteins (Calafat et al., 1983).

Cellular Structures Regulating Raft Dynamics

Raft dynamics can be influenced by specific proteins. A good example are the caveolae (flask-shaped invaginations of the plasma membrane; see Lisanti et al., 1995; Par-
intracellular membranes and be an important element of intracellular sorting mechanisms.

**Raft Dispersion and Cellular Responses to Patching**

Here we suggest that rafts at steady state are small and highly dispersed in line with theoretical considerations (Vaz and Almeida, 1993). When the dispersed raft domains are induced to form patches by clustering of membrane components they coalesce into percolating large domains. These changes in the structure of raft domains may represent an important starting point for the generation of intracellular signals. According to this view, raft-associated signaling molecules are normally separated in dispersed non-percolating raft domains. In the large raft domains formed by patching these molecules come together and can interact with each other and their targets (see discussion in Vaz and Almeida, 1993). This is supported by our finding that patches of PLAP an outer leaflet GPI-anchored protein accumulate intracellular src-related protein tyrosine kinase fyn, which is anchored via double fatty acylation to the inner leaflet of the lipid bilayer (Shenoy-Scaria et al., 1994; Wolven et al., 1997). Specific interactions between outer leaflet raft lipids and the inner leaflet lipids may mediate a coupling between the two leaflets of the bilayer in raft domains. Possibly these interactions involve intercalation of outer leaflet lipid acyl chains into the inner leaflet and vice versa or may involve transbilayer cholesterol dimers (Harris et al., 1995). Binding could also be mediated by other membrane proteins which serve as receptors for fyn. The data presented here suggest a mechanism of signal transduction mediated by cross-linking of GPI-anchored proteins (Brown, 1993) and strongly support a role for raft lipid domains in the activation of doubly acylated src-like protein tyrosine kinases.

Several cellular responses have been described after patching of membrane components, for example, non-clathrin-mediated endocytic events (Deckert et al., 1996) or activation of T-lymphocytes (Brown, 1993). Patches of cross-linked membrane components were moreover described to move in an actin-dependent manner to one pole of lymphocytes in a process called capping. Interestingly, the involvement of lipid domains in this process was suggested in early studies (Karnovsky et al., 1982) supported by the finding that cholesterol depletion inhibited capping (Hoover et al., 1983). These studies however did not describe an effect of cholesterol depletion on the actin-independent patching phenomenon. We suggest that this process involves coalescence of lipid microdomains leading to a copatching of small cross-linked entities with one another. Cross-linking of the IgE receptor FcεRI by multimeric antigens is a well-studied example (for review see Holowka and Baird, 1996). This cross-linking induces the formation of lateral assemblies of FcεRI receptors, which in mast and basophilic cells mediate an allergic response such as secretion of histamines. At the sites of clustered IgE receptors, specific lipid domains were shown to form that could be specifically stained with lipid dye Dil16 (Thomas et al., 1994). The fraction of FcεRI which was found in a Triton X-100-resistant membrane fraction (using very low amounts of detergent) as well as the amount of DIG-associated tyrosine kinase lyn increased (Field et al., 1997).

Moreover antibody cross-linked ganglioside G1b copatched with clustered FcεRI receptors (Pierini et al., 1996). Therefore the domains of patched FcεRI are very similar to the domains of clustered raft proteins and lipids that we describe here. Our data presented here support the view that the formation of specific raft lipid domains at the sites of patched FcεRI are important for the signaling cascade after FcεRI engagement.

The generation of stabilized membrane lipid domains from a highly dispersed distribution by cross-linking of membrane components may represent a general mode of regulating membrane structure. Interaction with intracellular (adaptors and coats) or extracellular ligands (multimeric antigens binding to IgE) will distribute proteins into patches that in turn recruit downstream effector molecules. Cooperativity ensures specificity and the regulation of the process. This lipid-mediated mechanism may play a role for different functions of the cell ranging from intracellular membrane sorting to signaling processes.
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