Ivacaftor in People with Cystic Fibrosis and a 3849+10kb C→T or D1152H Residual Function Mutation
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Abstract

Rationale: Ivacaftor’s clinical effects in the residual function mutations 3849 + 10kb C→T and D1152H warrant further characterization.

Objectives: To evaluate ivacaftor’s effect in people with cystic fibrosis aged ≥6 years with 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H residual function mutations and to explore the correlation between ivacaftor-induced organoid-based cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator function measurements and clinical response to ivacaftor.

Methods: Participants were randomized (1:1) in this placebo-controlled crossover study; each treatment sequence included two 8-week treatments with an 8-week washout period. The primary endpoint was absolute change in lung clearance index2.5 from baseline through Week 8. Additional endpoints included lung function, patient-reported outcomes, and in vitro intestinal organoid-based measurements of ivacaftor-induced cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator function.

Results: Of 38 participants, 37 completed the study. The primary endpoint was met; the Bayesian posterior probability of improvement in lung clearance index2.5 with ivacaftor versus placebo was >99%. Additional endpoints improved with ivacaftor. Safety findings were consistent with ivacaftor’s known safety profile. Dose-dependent swelling was observed in 23 of 25 viable organoid cultures with ivacaftor treatment. Correlations between ivacaftor-induced organoid swelling and clinical endpoints were negligible to low.

Conclusions: In people with cystic fibrosis aged ≥6 years with a 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H mutation, ivacaftor treatment improved clinical endpoints compared with placebo; however, there was no correlation between organoid swelling and change in clinical endpoints. The organoid assay may assist in identification of ivacaftor-responsive mutations but in this study did not predict magnitude of clinical benefit for individual people with cystic fibrosis with these two mutations.

Clinical trial registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03068312).
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Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a rare, progressive, and lethal autosomal recessive disease with high morbidity and premature mortality (1, 2). Mutations in both alleles of the CFTR (CF transmembrane conductance regulator) gene lead to reduced quantity and/or function of the CFTR protein, resulting in reduced ion transport (1). Ivacaftor is a CFTR potentiator that enhances chloride transport in multiple mutant CFTR forms in vitro, including the G551D mutation, other gating mutations, and certain mutations associated with residual CFTR function (3–5). Residual CFTR function can result from a variety of molecular defects, including reduced and/or variable synthesis of CFTR channels, moderate defects in processing and trafficking, impaired channel gating, and altered channel conductance. These mutations result in sufficient CFTR protein quantity and/or function to allow some ion transport (3, 6, 7). Ivacaftor is indicated for the treatment of people with CF ≥4 months of age who have at least one mutation in the CFTR gene that is responsive to ivacaftor potentiation based on clinical and/or in vitro assay data in select regions (5), with geographic variation in the approved genotypes and ages indicated (8–10).

Residual function mutations are often associated with pancreatic sufficiency, and symptom onset generally occurs at a later age in people with these mutations than in people homozygous for the F508del mutation; however, the rate of disease progression in adulthood is similar regardless of CFTR genotype (11–13). People with CF who have residual function mutations are frequently (53–94%) pancreatic sufficient but often have impaired lung function on spirometry and elevated sweat chloride concentrations on sweat testing (11, 14, 15).

Residual function mutations are rare (16), and performing clinical trials in participants carrying a residual function mutation is challenging. Two of the most common residual function mutations, 3849 + 10kb C→T and D1152H (16), are associated with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and are more common in Israel, with allelic frequency for 3849 + 10kb C→T and D1152H being ~5% each (17). The 3849 + 10kb C→T mutation is characterized by a partially active splice site in intron 19 that leads to the insertion of a new 84-base pair exon that contains an in-frame stop codon between exons 19 and 20, resulting in both aberrant and normal CFTR transcripts (18, 19). In an in vitro model system using a panel of Fisher rat thyroid (FRT) cells, ivacaftor increased wild-type CFTR chloride transport in mutation forms associated with defects in protein processing (3, 4). Therefore, ivacaftor was expected to increase the function of the normal CFTR proteins derived from correctly spliced transcripts available at the cell surface in people with CF carrying the 3849 + 10kb C→T mutation. D1152H is a missense mutation that results in a normal or increased quantity of protein at the cell surface but reduced CFTR-mediated chloride transport. In vitro, ivacaftor is also known to increase chloride transport in D1152H-expressing FRT cells by 80.8% (3, 20).

In addition to the in vitro studies that suggest potential treatment benefits with ivacaftor, two clinical studies including participants with residual function mutations showed improved lung function and other efficacy outcomes with ivacaftor. The first was a phase 2 study in which participants with CF ≥12 years of age could have had a residual function mutation on the first allele and one on the second allele as well (21). The second was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 crossover trial in participants with CF ≥12 years of age with the F508del mutation on one allele and a CFTR mutation associated with residual CFTR function on the other allele (22). Although both of these previous studies evaluated several residual function mutations, and 3849 + 10kb C→T and D1152H were among the mutations eligible for inclusion, the results were grouped together, and data were not reported for individual mutations (21, 22). The current study aimed to further characterize the clinical response to ivacaftor in people with CF with either a 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H mutation and with a variety of mutations in the second allele.

In studies of lung function in people with residual function mutations, sensitive methods are needed to capture airway disease because of the frequently milder disease phenotype at initial presentation. Therefore, the current study used multiple breath washout (MBW). MBW is able to detect early airway disease in people with CF more readily than spirometry—as has been demonstrated in children with CF (23). MBW can detect small airway abnormalities, whereas spirometry is rather insensitive to changes in the smaller airways.

There is growing interest in assays that may be used to evaluate new therapies and advance precision medicine initiatives (5, 24, 25). In vitro cell lines, including human bronchial epithelial cells and FRT cells, can provide crucial information on the impact of CFTR modulation on proteins expressed by several types of CFTR mutations (26–28). These cell lines have played a vital role in drug development, as well as drug approval, in select regions (5, 29). Assays are particularly needed to identify response in cases of rare mutations or mutations that cannot be characterized by other traditional methods, such as the splicing mutation 3849 + 10kb C→T (30, 31).

Intestinal organoids derived from rectal biopsies can be used as a tool to study the effect of CFTR mutations on mRNA splicing, protein processing and trafficking, and chloride channel function (31, 32). In an organoid model, forskolin-induced swelling (FIS) was reported to reflect the responsiveness of a mutation to a CFTR modulator (33). Ultimately, only clinical data can demonstrate a participant’s true response to therapy; this study aimed to explore the relationship between the result of the intestinal-derived organoid assay and clinical data and thus provide insight into the potential clinical utility of the organoid assay for predicting the extent of clinical benefits.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical effect of ivacaftor in people with CF ≥6 years of age with 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H residual function mutations and explore the correlation between ivacaftor-induced, organoid-based CFTR function measurements and clinical response to ivacaftor.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

This was a phase 3b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, single-center crossover study in people with CF ≥6 years of age with a 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H CFTR gene mutation and percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second (ppFEV₁) of ≥40% and ≥105% at screening (NCT03068312). Participants were randomized (1:1) to one of two sequences (ivacaftor→placebo or placebo→ivacaftor) that included two 8-week treatment periods with ivacaftor (150 mg every 12 h) or matching placebo,
separated by an 8-week washout period (Figure 1). Full inclusion and exclusion criteria are included in the online data supplement. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the independent ethics committee for the study center; all participants, parents, or guardians (as appropriate) provided written informed consent before participation.

Outcomes
The primary efficacy endpoint was absolute change in lung clearance index (LCI) at 2.5% of tracer gas concentration (LCI_{2.5}) from baseline through Week 8 of ivacaftor or placebo. Secondary efficacy endpoints included absolute change in LCI at 5.0% of tracer gas concentration (LCI_{5.0}), sweat chloride concentration, and ppFEV\(_1\) from baseline through 8 weeks of ivacaftor or placebo, and change in CF Questionnaire–Revised (CFQ-R) respiratory domain score from baseline at Week 8 of ivacaftor or placebo. The correlation between in vitro organoid-based swelling measurements and clinical response to ivacaftor was an additional prespecified, exploratory endpoint. Safety evaluations included incidence of adverse events (AEs), clinical laboratory parameters, vital signs, and physical examinations. An AE was defined as any untoward medical occurrence that developed or worsened during the study.

Statistical Analysis
Sample size calculation was based on achieving sufficient precision to estimate the average treatment difference through Week 8 in LCI_{2.5} between ivacaftor and placebo. Assuming a true SD of the paired differences of 1.00 in LCI_{2.5}, a sample size of 50 participants would produce a two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) of the mean treatment difference with a precision (margin of error) of 0.28 points. Similarly, the margin of error using a two-sided 80% CI would be 0.18 points. The study ultimately enrolled 38 participants.

Analyses of primary efficacy and additional efficacy endpoints were performed on the full analysis set, which was defined as all randomized participants who received at least one dose of study drug. The primary efficacy endpoint analysis was performed using the Bayesian posterior probability that the average treatment difference through Week 8 favored ivacaftor compared with placebo based on a prespecified minimum probability threshold of 80%. In Bayesian inference, the probability of a hypothesis before a study (prior probability) is updated after data are observed in the study (posterior probability); this approach provides a comprehensive posterior probability distribution of the average treatment difference that indicates the most likely values, probabilistically, of the average treatment difference, in contrast with the CI approach that provides only the range of plausible, equally likely values. A supportive analysis of the primary efficacy variable was performed using the frequentist CI based on a mixed-effects model for repeated measures. Subgroup analyses based on baseline ppFEV\(_1\) and CFTR gene mutation were also performed. Additional details are provided in the online supplement. For additional efficacy endpoints, the analyses were based on a mixed-effects model for repeated measures, and those details are also provided in the online supplement.

Safety analyses were performed on the safety set, which was defined as all participants who received at least one dose of study drug, using descriptive statistics.

**Organoid-based Measurements (FIS Assay)**
Rectal biopsies were collected from participants during screening at Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center and shipped to Hubrecht Organoid Technology, where the technology was developed and where organoid cultures were established as previously described (34). Briefly, organoid cultures were established using intestinal stem cells isolated and expanded from rectal biopsies of participants. Organoid swelling was measured with an FIS assay using approximately 30 experimental conditions (see online supplement). For each CFTR genotype group, a prespecified Pearson correlation analysis was performed as an exploratory endpoint on background-corrected area under the curve (AUC) of organoid swelling (for each experimental forskolin/VX-770 [ivacaftor] concentration) versus placebo-corrected change from baseline at Week 8 with ivacaftor treatment for specified clinical endpoints (LCI_{2.5}, ppFEV\(_1\), and sweat chloride). Nominal \(P\) values were reported for testing the significance of the correlations.

**Results**

**Participant Disposition and Baseline Characteristics**
Of 38 participants randomized, 19 were randomized to treatment sequence 1 (ivacaftor→placebo) and 19 were randomized to treatment sequence 2.
(placebo→ivacaftor). All participants received at least one dose of the study medication (Table E1 in the online supplement). One participant prematurely discontinued the study drug in treatment period 2 because of pregnancy but completed the study. Thirty-seven participants completed the full assigned duration of dosing. Baseline demographics and characteristics are summarized in Table 1. At screening, most participants were ≥12 years of age and had a ppFEV1 of ≥60 percentage points.

Efficacy Results

The primary endpoint of absolute change in LCI2.5 from baseline through Week 8 demonstrated improvement with ivacaftor versus placebo; the Bayesian analysis showed a >99% posterior probability for the average treatment difference between ivacaftor and placebo to be <0 (a probability >80% was defined as the criterion for improvement), with a posterior mean (SD) difference between ivacaftor and placebo of −0.68 (0.23) (Table 2). The median (25th percentile to 75th percentile) of the posterior probability distribution of the average treatment difference was −0.68 (−0.83 to −0.53); the range of values between the percentiles provides the most credible values of the average treatment difference. In a supportive analysis, the least squares mean of the average treatment difference in the change in LCI2.5 from baseline through Week 8 of treatment with ivacaftor versus placebo was −0.66 (95% CI, −1.10 to −0.21) (Figure 2A). Prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of the primary endpoint showed a similar trend that favored ivacaftor treatment regardless of baseline ppFEV1 (<60% vs. ≥60%) and CFTR genotype (3849 + 10kb C→T versus D1152H). The least squares mean (95% CI) of the average treatment difference in the change in LCI2.5 from baseline through Week 8 was −1.19 (−2.42 to 0.04) versus −0.57 (−1.07 to −0.06) for ppFEV1 <60% versus ≥60%, respectively, and −0.56 (−1.21 to 0.10) versus −0.93 (−1.51 to −0.34) for CFTR genotype 3849 + 10kb C→T versus D1152H, respectively (Table E2).

Additional endpoints also demonstrated improvements in the change from baseline through Week 8 with ivacaftor treatment versus placebo (Table 3 and Figures 2B and 2C). The least squares mean (95% CI) of the average treatment difference between ivacaftor and placebo was −9.2 mmol/L (−12.4 to −5.9 mmol/L) for the change in sweat chloride concentration, −0.3 (−0.5 to −0.1) for the change in LCI3.0, and 2.7 percentage points (0.6 to −4.7) for the change in ppFEV1. Substantial improvement in the CFQ-R respiratory domain score was observed in participants receiving ivacaftor compared with those receiving placebo, with a least squares mean (95% CI) difference in change from baseline at Week 8 in CFQ-R respiratory domain score of 18.7 (12.5 to −25.0).

Table 1. Baseline participant demographics and characteristics

|                      | Ivacaftor→Placebo (n = 19) | Placebo→Ivacaftor (n = 19) | Overall (N = 38) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Sex, M, n (%)        | 9 (47.4)                    | 9 (47.4)                    | 18 (47.4)       |
| Age                  |                             |                             |                 |
| Mean (SD), yr        | 32.6 (15.3)                 | 32.1 (15.6)                 | 32.3 (15.2)     |
| <12 yr at screening, n (%) | 2 (10.5)                  | 2 (10.5)                    | 4 (10.5)        |
| CFTR mutation, n (%) |                             |                             |                 |
| 3849 + 10kb C→T      | 11 (57.9)                   | 11 (57.9)                   | 22 (57.9)       |
| D1152H               | 8 (42.1)                    | 8 (42.1)                    | 16 (42.1)       |
| ppFEV1, <60% at screening, n (%) | 4 (21.1)          | 4 (21.1)                    | 8 (21.1)        |
| LCI2.5, mean (SD)    | 12.74 (4.04)                | 13.19 (5.45)                | 12.96 (4.74)    |
| BMI, mean (SD)       | 24.3 (3.7)                  | 23.5 (3.4)                  | 23.9 (3.5)      |
| ppFEV1, (mean, SD), percentage points | 74.8 (17.6) | 73.1 (16.5)                | 74.0 (16.9)     |
| Sweat chloride concentration, mean (SD), mmol/L | 50.6 (23.9) | 47.6 (24.7) | 49.1 (24.0) |
| CFQ-R respiratory domain score, mean (SD), points | 61.1 (20.3) | 63.5 (20.6) | 62.3 (20.3) |
| LCI3.0, mean (SD)    | 7.76 (2.03)                 | 7.71 (2.32)                 | 7.73 (2.15)     |

Definition of abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; CFQ-R = Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire–Revised; CFTR = cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; LCI2.5 = lung clearance index at 2.5% of tracer gas concentration; LCI5.0 = lung clearance index at 5.0% of tracer gas concentration; ppFEV1 = percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second; SD = standard deviation.

Table 2. Primary and supportive analyses of change in LCI2.5 from baseline through Week 8 of treatment with ivacaftor or placebo

| LCI2.5                  | Placebo (n = 38) | Ivacaftor (n = 38) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Baseline, mean (SD)     | 13.0 (4.7)      |                    |
| Bayesian analysis       |                 | 0.9976             |
| Posterior probability   | 0.68 (0.23)     |                    |
| of the average          | −0.08 (−0.83 to−0.53) |     |
| treatment difference    |                 |                    |
| (ivacaftor vs.         |                 |                    |
| placebo) from baseline  |                 |                    |
| through Week 8 is <0    |                 |                    |
| Posterior median of     | −0.68 (−0.83 to −0.53) |     |
| average treatment       |                 |                    |
| difference (25th        |                 |                    |
| percentile to 75th      |                 |                    |
| percentile)             |                 |                    |
| Change from baseline    | 0.20 (−0.17 to 0.57) | −0.46 (−0.83 to −0.09) |
| through Week 8, LS      |                 |                    |
| mean (95% CI)           | n = 37          | n = 37             |
| Treatment difference, LS| −0.66 (−1.10 to −0.21) |     |
| mean (95% CI)           |                 |                    |

Definition of abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; LCI2.5 = lung clearance index at 2.5% of tracer gas concentration; LS = least squares; SD = standard deviation.
Safety Results

Overall, AEs were reported in 22 participants (57.9%) treated with ivacaftor and 22 (57.9%) treated with placebo (Table E3). There were no deaths in this study. AEs leading to study drug interruption occurred in one participant receiving ivacaftor and one participant receiving placebo. No participant had an AE resulting in study drug discontinuation. Most AEs were consistent with manifestations of CF and ivacaftor treatment and were mild or moderate in severity. Serious AEs occurred in three participants (7.9%) overall, one of whom was receiving ivacaftor (spontaneous abortion) and two of whom were receiving placebo (one infective pulmonary exacerbation of CF; one pancreatitis).

Organoid Measurements (Exploratory Analysis)

Twenty-nine organoid cultures were successfully established from 33 biopsies (Figure E1). Four of these did not meet quality control standards, resulting in 25 viable organoid cultures for the planned assays. These 25 viable organoid cultures with a $3849 + 10kb C \rightarrow T$ or $D1152H$ mutation had highly reproducible FIS assay results. At baseline, organoids generally exhibited dose-dependent swelling with increasing concentrations of forskolin, which activates the CFTR channel, consistent with the fact that these mutations have residual CFTR function (Figure E2). These forskolin-only data were used for background correction of the swelling response to treatment with VX-770. Organoids from participants in this crossover study generally demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in background-corrected AUC of swelling with VX-770 treatment (Figure 3). As expected, most organoid cultures showed a high degree of AUC swelling response to VX-770, but some individual organoid cultures were variable, with two organoid cultures carrying the $3849 + 10kb C \rightarrow T$ mutation showing limited response (data not shown). A Pearson correlation analysis showed no evidence of correlation between the degree of background-corrected AUC of organoid swelling and that of placebo-corrected change from baseline response in clinical endpoints (Table 4). More specifically, the extent of swelling of organoids derived from participants with either a $3849 + 10kb C \rightarrow T$ or $D1152H$. 

---

**Figure 2.** Least squares mean change from baseline by visit through Week 8 in (A) lung clearance index at 2.5% of initial tracer gas concentration, (B) sweat chloride concentration, and (C) percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second. BL = baseline; CI = confidence interval; LCI$_{2.5}$ = lung clearance index at 2.5% of initial tracer gas concentration; LS = least squares; ppFEV$_1$ = percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second.
or D1152H mutation, as measured by the FIS assay, did not correlate with the magnitude of any of the individual clinical responses for LCI_{2.5}, sweat chloride, or ppFEV\textsubscript{1} in participants who received ivacaftor for 8 weeks.

**Discussion**

**Clinical Data**

The data presented here further characterize the clinical response to ivacaftor in people with CF who are ≧6 years of age with either a 3849 + 10kb C→T or D1152H mutation. Ivacaftor led to improvements in all primary and additional secondary endpoints versus placebo through (or at) 8 weeks of treatment. The primary efficacy endpoint analysis for LCI_{2.5}, based on a Bayesian approach, yielded a >99% posterior probability that the average treatment difference between ivacaftor and placebo was <0 (and −0.83 to −0.53 as the range of the most credible values of the average treatment difference). The subgroup analyses indicated that compared with participants with a 3849 + 10kb C→T mutation, those with a D1152H mutation had a larger least squares mean improvement in LCI_{2.5}. This may be attributed to differences in CFTR potentiation by ivacaftor for the two mutations. In people with the 3849 + 10kb C→T mutation, ivacaftor increases ion transport by wild-type CFTR protein—the concentration of which is likely to be relatively low. However, in people with the D1152H mutation, ivacaftor increases ion transport by the mutated CFTR protein—the concentration of which is likely to be relatively high. The safety profile in this study was consistent with the known safety profile of ivacaftor.

Residual function mutations are often associated with higher ppFEV\textsubscript{1} compared with other types of CFTR mutations (11, 12); because many participants had normal or near-normal ppFEV\textsubscript{1}, we used the LCI measure of pulmonary function that has demonstrated treatment differences in previous studies in people with CF with ppFEV\textsubscript{1} of >70% (35–37). Previous clinical trials of CFTR modulators in people with CF have reported treatment differences for LCI_{2.5} with least squares means in a range similar to that in the current study, as follows: −0.2 at 2 weeks (21), −2.2 average at 2–4 weeks (35), −1.1 through 24 weeks (36), and −1.1 mean change at Week 4 and −0.9 mean change at Week 24 (38). Note that 21% of participants enrolled in this study had baseline ppFEV\textsubscript{1} of <60%. Improvements in LCI_{2.5} in these participants were directionally consistent with the mean improvement demonstrated in all participants in this study and greater than that in participants with ppFEV\textsubscript{1} of ≧60% (Table E2). These data suggest that LCI may be a useful tool for measuring the impact of CFTR modulation in people with CF with low baseline ppFEV\textsubscript{1}, a population in which LCI has been less frequently used; this has been based both on lack of need (i.e., ppFEV\textsubscript{1} being more sensitive in this group than in those with milder disease) and practicality (washouts take longer in more severe disease) (39).

Sweat chloride concentrations were reduced, on average, by 8 weeks of ivacaftor treatment. This is notable considering that some participants had near-normal sweat chloride concentrations at baseline and sweat chloride concentrations might not be expected to decrease in such participants.

In addition to the improvement in lung function, ivacaftor led to substantial and clinically meaningful improvement in a patient-reported outcome. Participants treated with ivacaftor had a 17.1-point mean improvement in their CFQ-R respiratory domain score, a substantial increase that was well above the 4.0-point minimal clinically important difference (40).

**Organoid Assays**

When assessed as an overall population, the organoids from participants with the mutations studied demonstrated a swelling response, supporting the utility of organoids to identify responsive mutations at the population level, similar to other assay systems commonly used in CF (e.g., human bronchial epithelial and FRT cell lines) (3). However, the data in this study also demonstrated that individual organoid swelling was not useful for identifying individual people with CF who will respond to targeted therapies based on individual magnitudes of response. A previous study demonstrated that organoid swelling in the presence of VX-770 was positively correlated with clinical change in ppFEV\textsubscript{1} when pooled participant outcomes from different populations were compared with preclinical in vitro results from different participants (31). An additional aim of this data collection was to determine whether organoid swelling is predictive of outcome.

**Table 3.** MMRM analysis of absolute change from baseline in additional efficacy endpoints through/at Week 8

| Change from Baseline*† | Placebo (N = 38) | Ivacaftor (N = 38) | Difference, Ivacaftor vs. Placebo |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| LCI_{8.0} through Week 8, LS mean (95% CI) | n = 37 | n = 37 | n = 37 | 0.13 (−0.06 to 0.32) | −0.16 (−0.34 to 0.03) | −0.28 (−0.48 to −0.08) |
| Sweat chloride concentration through Week 8, LS mean (95% CI), mmol/L | n = 38 | n = 36 | n = 36 | −0.1 (−2.4 to 2.3) | −9.3 (−11.7 to −6.8) | −9.2 (−12.4 to −5.9) |
| ppFEV\textsubscript{1} through Week 8, LS mean (95% CI), percentage points | n = 36 | n = 38 | n = 38 | −0.5 (−2.1 to 1.2) | 2.2 (0.6 to 3.8) | 2.7 (0.6 to 4.7) |
| CFQ-R respiratory domain score at Week 8, LS mean (95% CI), points | n = 38 | n = 37 | n = 37 | −1.7 (−6.3 to 3.0) | 17.1 (12.4 to 21.8) | 18.7 (12.5 to 25.0) |

*Definition of abbreviations: CFQ-R = Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire–Revised; CI = confidence interval; LCI_{8.0} = lung clearance index at 5.0% of tracer gas concentration; LS = least squares; MMRM = mixed-effects model for repeated measures; ppFEV\textsubscript{1} = percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second.
†CFQ-R respiratory domain score was evaluated at Week 8; other efficacy endpoints were evaluated through Week 8.
‡Baseline was defined as the most recent nonmissing measurement before the first dose of study medication in treatment period 1. Change from baseline through Week 8 was assessed using the MMRM, with treatment, visit (period), treatment*visit (period), and period as fixed effects and baseline outcome as a covariate.
on an individual level (e.g., whether a participant with a greater degree of FIS in the organoid assay also has a greater clinical response). To this end, Berkers and colleagues (33) recently published an analysis correlating ex vivo organoid swelling response to in vivo sweat chloride and ppFEV1 responses to CFTR modulation, in which the results suggested that the organoid outcome is predictive of clinical outcome in individuals. No swelling threshold was defined to determine response (33). In contrast, the results from the current study did not show a correlation at the individual participant level between organoid swelling response to ivacaftor and clinical response to ivacaftor treatment as measured by sweat chloride, ppFEV1, and LCl1.2. For the forskolin-only organoid swelling used for background correction, the individual biological variation at baseline precludes meaningful comparisons of background swelling between groups of participants with different baseline characteristics. A previous analysis of changes in sweat chloride concentrations and ppFEV1 in people with CF treated with ivacaftor found that in individuals, reductions in sweat chloride concentrations did not predict improvements in ppFEV1 but that there was a significant correlation between those outcomes at a population level (41).

**Study Limitations**

Participants were evaluated at a single center, and the sample size was comparatively small (38 participants), which may limit extrapolation of the findings to the overall population with CF. However, because of the low prevalence of these mutations (16), enrolling more participants would have been challenging. Despite these limitations, the overall clinical data are consistent with previous reports of improvements in lung function and other clinical outcomes with ivacaftor therapy in people with CF and a residual function mutation (21, 22).

The crossover design of the study enabled within-subject comparison of the effects of ivacaftor treatment on multiple endpoints. Use of the crossover design increased the power of the study to detect an improvement in efficacy endpoints in this rare participant population.

Viable organoid cultures were obtained from 25 of the 38 studied participants, which may further limit the generalizability of the findings. This sample size, however, is consistent with the sample size of other organoid studies (31, 33). Limitations inherent to in vitro assays, including true biological variability such as the variability in the quantity of correctly spliced 3849 +10kb C→T by biopsy tissue organ type (42), may have affected the interpretability of the correlation results. Given that organoids were derived from rectal tissue, it is plausible that these organoids were not predictive of clinical response to ivacaftor (as measured by LCl1.2 and ppFEV1) because of potential variability in splicing between rectal and lung tissues. RNA concentrations in organoids derived from gastrointestinal tissue will not necessarily represent the RNA concentration in the respiratory system (42). Therefore, CFTR function in the intestine may not provide an accurate prediction of the response in lung function induced by CFTR modulator therapy.

**Conclusions**

This study further characterized the clinical response to ivacaftor in people with CF with a 3849 +10kb C→T or D1152H mutation. In this 8-week study, improvements in lung function measured by LCl1.2 were seen in participants. Ivacaftor treatment resulted in improvements over placebo in other

---

**Figure 3.** Dose–response curve of mean background-corrected area under the curve of organoid swelling versus forskolin concentration by VX-770 dose for (A) 3849 +10kb C→T (n = 16) and (B) D1152H mutations (n = 9). Each point represents the average background-corrected area under the curve of organoid swelling at corresponding forskolin and VX-770 concentrations. AUC = area under the curve; SE = standard error.
Table 4. Pearson correlation between background-corrected AUC of organoid swelling and placebo-corrected change from baseline in clinical endpoint at Week 8 of VX-770 treatment by genotype and experimental condition

| Clinical Endpoint | Statistic | Genotype 3849 + 10kbC→T (n = 16) | Genotype D1152H (n = 9) |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| LCl₂,₅          | n        | 13                               | 8                      |
|                  | Pearson correlation* | −0.44                           | 0.53                   |
|                  | P value  | 0.13                             | 0.18                   |
| Sweat chloride concentration | n        | 14                               | 8                      |
|                  | Pearson correlation* | −0.33                           | 0.21                   |
|                  | P value  | 0.24                             | 0.62                   |
| ppFEV₁          | n        | 14                               | 7                      |
|                  | Pearson correlation* | −0.07                           | −0.23                  |
|                  | P value  | 0.81                             | 0.63                   |

Definition of abbreviations: AUC = area under the curve; LCl₂,₅ = lung clearance index at 2.5% of tracer gas concentration; ppFEV₁ = percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

*Pearson correlations are presented for a single experimental condition (forskolin, 0.128 μM; VX-770, 3.0 μM). This experimental condition demonstrated the largest average swelling for both mutations (Figure E2).

outcomes, such as LCl₂,₅, sweat chloride concentrations, ppFEV₁, and CFQ-R respiratory domain score. These findings were consistent with a previous phase 3 study demonstrating the clinical benefit of ivacaftor in people with CF with residual function mutations and F508del on the other allele (22). Overall safety findings were consistent with underlying CF disease and the known safety profile of ivacaftor.

Organoids may serve as a complementary tool to the FRT* assay and other current in vitro assessment methods and present an opportunity for testing mutations that are not available in current in vitro assays (e.g., splice mutations or large insertions or deletions). In this study, the organoid assay findings demonstrated responsiveness of these mutations to ivacaftor, which translated into clinical benefit; however, there was no evidence of correlation between the degree of organoid swelling and magnitude of change in clinical endpoints in individual participants. Together, these results suggest that the organoid assay may assist in identification of ivacaftor-responsive variants but may not be able to predict the magnitude of clinical benefit for the individual person with CF. Further work is required to fully understand the role of organoid assays given the remaining goal of providing effective therapies for people with CF with mutations not currently indicated for existing modulator therapy.
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