Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a clinically and pathologically heterogeneous group of non-Alzheimer dementias characterised collectively by relatively selective, progressive atrophy involving the frontal or temporal lobes, or both. Cases of FTD have been described since the late 19th century, initially most comprehensively by Arnold Pick, who lent his name to the historical designation of the entire FTD spectrum as Pick’s disease. Only in the past three decades, however, has the clinical and pathological complexity of these diseases and their unique status as examples of selective brain degeneration been fully appreciated. FTD is substantially less common than Alzheimer’s disease, with estimates of population prevalence ranging from four to 15 per 100 000 before age 65 years in European and US epidemiological studies. However, this disease group is of disproportionate importance as a cause of young onset dementia and all the attendant socioeconomic and human costs that entails. Although onset is typically in the sixth decade of life, it may begin as early as the third or as late as the ninth decade, and the prevalence of FTD in older age groups has almost certainly been underestimated.

Here we provide a general overview of FTD, emphasising clinical aspects and highlighting recent progress and prospects. Figure 1 provides a schematic overview of FTD showing major clinical and neuroanatomical syndromes and diseases, and the relations between them.

What are the different syndromes of frontotemporal dementia?

There are three main clinical syndromes of FTD, defined on the basis of leading features at presentation. About half of cases present with behavioural change (behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia), and the remainder present with language decline (primary progressive aphasia) characterised either by impaired speech production (progressive non-fluent aphasia) or by impaired word comprehension and semantic memory (that is, memory for meaning) (semantic dementia). There is variable overlap clinically between the syndromes and atypical parkinsonism and motor neurone disease. New consensus diagnostic criteria for FTD and the progressive aphasias have recently been formulated, but they are likely to be refined as more specific information about disease pathophysiology arises and neuroimaging and other techniques that can capture pathophysiological changes become available.

Methods for bedside assessment of behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and the progressive aphasias are presented in the table and figure 2, respectively. It is particularly important for the non-specialist to have a workable framework for suspecting FTD, as diagnosis, particularly early in the course of the disease, is often challenging. In contrast to Alzheimer’s disease (the most common cause of dementia in later life), FTD often presents in middle life, and memory and navigational skills and other aspects of general intellect are often well maintained initially. Behavioural or personality changes may at first suggest a primary psychiatric disorder, particularly if accompanied by psychotic features: clues that such features are harbingers of FTD may include a lack of any prior psychiatric history and emergence of certain specific symptoms such as changes in eating behaviour or social faux pas. Isolated language disturbances may also be misattributed to psychological factors: early features of primary progressive aphasia may include stumbling over longer words, emergence (or re-emergence) of a stutter, grammatical slips or problems using more specialised vocabulary associated with a profession or hobby (for example, a keen gardener may lose the names for flowers). Brain imaging (ideally with magnetic resonance imaging) is mandatory in all suspected cases of FTD to rule out mimicking conditions such as brain tumours and to demonstrate progressive atrophy patterns that may confirm the diagnosis or identify a non-degenerative “phenocopy.”

We now discuss symptoms and clinical findings in the major syndromes of FTD.

Behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia

This syndrome is characterised by progressive decline in interpersonal and executive skills, with altered emotional responsivity and emergence of a variety of abnormal behaviours including apathy, disinhibition, obsessions, rituals, and stereotypies (see table 1).
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We now discuss symptoms and clinical findings in the major syndromes of FTD.

Behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia

This syndrome is characterised by progressive decline in interpersonal and executive skills, with altered emotional responsivity and emergence of a variety of abnormal behaviours including apathy, disinhibition, obsessions, rituals, and stereotypies (see table 1).

Behavioural variant FTD can develop indolently, and early detection may depend on subtle changes of social circumstances (such as switching jobs or
Summary points

Frontotemporal dementia refers to a diverse group of conditions that collectively are a major cause of young onset dementia.

Frontotemporal dementia produces selective brain atrophy involving the frontal and temporal lobes, requiring brain magnetic resonance imaging for accurate diagnosis.

Clinically, these diseases present chiefly as progressive aphasia or as disinTEGRATION of personality and behaviour that may be misdiagnosed as a psychiatric disorder.

Up to around a quarter of cases arise from dominant mutations in one of three major causative genes.

Frontotemporal dementia is commonly associated with other neurological impairment, in particular parkinsonism or motor neurone disease.

Treatment remains supportive, but patients and families need extensive counselling, future planning, and involvement of social and mental health services.

Sources and selection criteria

We examined recent literature on frontotemporal dementia, targeting full text English language studies published since 1990. We selected articles on the basis of our personal knowledge and searches of the Medline database using the terms “frontotemporal dementia,” “frontotemporal lobar degeneration,” “progressive nonfluent aphasia,” “semantic dementia,” and “logopenic aphasia,” and each of these terms in conjunction with “diagnosis,” “treatment,” and “therapy.” The final selection of references was based on our judgment of relevance, completeness, and compatibility with recent clinical, pathological, and genetic criteria.

Semantic dementia

This is a highly characteristic syndrome led by progressive breakdown of semantic memory—the memory system that stores knowledge about objects and concepts based on the individual’s accumulated experience of the world. Typically, semantic dementia initially affects the highly elaborate brain knowledge system that mediates vocabulary—that is, knowledge of the meaning of words. Asking the meaning of familiar words, encountered, for example, in a menu (“What is asparagus?”), is pathognomonic of semantic dementia. Patients commonly present with fluent (or even garrulous) but empty, circumlocutory speech with early prominent difficulty retrieving names, use of less precise terms, and impaired comprehension of word meanings, accounting for the “semantic variant of progressive aphasia” in the current classification (see fig 2⇓). Early in the course of the disease, the semantic deficit may be well compensated and may emerge only on testing more specialised knowledge previously at the patient’s command. A more pervasive semantic impairment also affecting visual information (impaired recognition of familiar faces (prosopagnosia) or of visual objects (visual agnosia)) and other non-verbal domains such as odours and flavours generally develops later in the disease course, as do behavioural disturbances broadly similar to those in behavioural variant FTD. The general neurological examination is usually normal.

Semantic dementia shows a highly consistent and characteristic neuroanatomical (MRI) profile with selective, asymmetric anteroinferior temporal lobe cortical atrophy and hypometabolism (fig 1⇓).  The gyral gliosis at the temporal poles often persisting only as a residual “knife blade” skeleton. Atrophy is predominantly left sided but may be right sided in left hemisphere, and can be severe enough to affect parietal areas, and atrophy may be bilateral in some cases.
cases led by non-verbal semantic deficits, with spread between the cerebral hemispheres and into more posterior temporal and inferior frontal cortices over time.

**Progressive non-fluent aphasia**

This syndrome is led by a progressive breakdown in language output with effortful non-fluent speech. Several more or less distinct neurolinguistic syndromes are subsumed under the general category of progressive non-fluent aphasia. In some patients, speech sound (phonemic) or articulatory (phonetic, speech apraxic) errors are the dominant feature; whereas in others the syndrome is dominated by expressive agrammatism with terse telegraphic phrases (see fig 2). These features commonly coexist as the disease evolves. Apraxia of other orofacial movements or swallowing often accompanies speech apraxia and is tested by asking the patient to yawn or cough, which they are unable to do to command, although they can as a reflex. Impaired sentence comprehension can generally be demonstrated on neuropsychological assessment, and literacy skills become affected in most cases. Progressive non-fluent aphasia may herald the onset of a neurological overlap syndrome with atypical parkinsonism or less commonly motor neurone features.

Brain atrophy and hypometabolism in progressive non-fluent aphasia often affects perisylvian cortices in the dominant hemisphere mediating speech production (fig 1). However, the profile of atrophy varies widely in extent and severity between patients.

A third clinical syndrome of progressive aphasia, logopenic (Greek “few words”) aphasia, presents with hesitant but grammatically correct speech marred by word-finding pauses, anoma, and impaired phonological working memory manifesting as disproportionate difficulty repeating spoken phrases versus single words (fig 2). Most cases will have underlying Alzheimer pathology, and more widespread cognitive deficits similar to those accompanying other Alzheimer phenotypes emerge later in the disease course. Brain MRI often shows predominantly left sided temporoparietal atrophy, though with substantial individual variation that overlaps with the neuroanatomical profile of progressive non-fluent aphasia.

**Overlap syndromes**

The FTD spectrum overlaps with the syndromes of progressive supranuclear palsy, corticobasal syndrome, and FTD with motor neurone disease. The progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome is characterised by impairment of vertical gaze, early postural instability with falls, and frontal behavioural changes with marked cognitive slowing (fronto-subcortical). Features of corticobasal syndrome include asymmetric apraxia accompanied by rigidity and myoclonus and classically non-volitional or “alien” actions of the affected limb. The phenotype may include prominent behavioural or language deficits.

In the syndrome of FTD with motor neurone disease, behavioural or language dysfunction may evolve in tandem with motor neurone disease. However, all patients with FTD presentations should be assessed for the appearance of motor neurone signs (often initially deltoid or triceps fasciculations), while cognitive and behavioural involvement in patients presenting with motor neurone disease is almost certainly under-recognised. Neuropsychological studies may confirm widespread denervation, but for uncertain reasons this is often normal earlier in the course of FTD with motor neurone disease.

As the genetic and pathological spectrum of FTD has become better defined, it has been recognised that molecular pathologies in this spectrum can alternatively present with clinical syndromes more typical of other neurodegenerative diseases, in particular Alzheimer’s disease.

**How is frontotemporal dementia distinguished from other diseases?**

FTD syndromes must be distinguished from non-degenerative processes that present with prominent behavioural, executive, and language disturbance (such as primary psychiatric illness, tumours, and cerebrovascular disease) and from other neurodegenerative diseases (notably atypical variants of Alzheimer’s disease). Although cerebrovascular changes are common on brain MRI in older patients, primary progressive aphasia can usually be distinguished from vascular aphasic syndromes based on a history of insidious deterioration; the distinction between behavioural variant FTD and cerebrovascular behavioural syndromes is more challenging, but may rest on the relative extent of vascular and regional atrophic changes. Neuropsychological assessment is valuable in substantiating the bedside impression—particularly for detecting “subclinical” involvement of cognitive domains that help to define the phenotype, for quantifying impairment in domains that may be difficult to assess at the bedside (such as semantic memory or sentence comprehension), and for tracking deterioration over time. On MRI, lack of the focal brain atrophy profiles that characterise FTD or prominent white matter change are important clues to an alternative disease.

Because of the wide phenotypic variation within the spectra of Alzheimer’s disease and FTD, it can be difficult to differentiate FTD from Alzheimer’s disease in an individual case based on clinical and imaging features. Clinical “red flags” that a patient has FTD rather than Alzheimer’s disease include early prominent behavioural features, especially if the social façade breaks down or insight is lost and if episodic and topographical memory remain relatively intact. Profiling of neurodegeneration marker proteins in cerebrospinal fluid is increasingly used in clinical diagnosis: a raised ratio of total tau protein to beta amyloid1-42 peptide sensitively predicts Alzheimer’s pathology, and incorporation of other amyloid and phosphorylated tau species may further enhance diagnosis. Cerebrospinal fluid profiling is likely in future to be supplemented by molecular neuroimaging (for example, for amyloid) and by more specialised structural MRI analysis tools. One large study found that cerebrospinal fluid profiling and analysis of brain MRI data with a specialised classifier algorithm could distinguish pathologically proven FTD from Alzheimer’s disease with around 75% accuracy. However, such techniques remain to be widely translated beyond specialist centres.

**What causes frontotemporal dementia?**

The common pathological theme underpinning FTD is tissue deposition of abnormally aggregated proteins (see fig 1). Three major pathogenic proteins have been implicated in FTD: cases with cellular inclusions containing predominantly phosphorylated tau or transactive response DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) are approximately equally represented in autopsy series, while a substantial minority have inclusions containing fused in sarcoma (FUS) protein. These have been further classified into distinct subtypes, with only a dwindling minority of FTD cases having inclusions in which the pathogenic protein is unclear (see fig 1).
FTD has a substantial genetic component, with an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern or identifiable disease-causing mutations in around 10–20% of cases across large published series, and some family history in a higher proportion. Most familial cases of FTD have mutations in the microtubule associated protein tau (MAPT) or progranulin (GRN) genes or the recently identified hexanucleotide repeat expansion in the C9ORF72 gene (see fig 1)). Less often, mutations in the gene encoding valosin containing protein (VCP) cause FTD in association with inclusion body myositis and Paget’s disease of bone.

**Can we predict molecular pathologies in frontotemporal dementia?**

Despite recent histopathological and genetic advances, accurate prediction of underlying molecular pathologies within the FTD spectrum remains problematic (fig 1). This has important implications for targeting future disease modifying therapies and, more immediately, for counselling of patients and family members. While phenotypic variation and overlap are substantial, certain clinico-anatomical profiles are consistently associated with particular molecular pathologies (see fig 1). These include FTD with motor neuron disease associated with TDP-43 pathology; the semantic dementia syndrome and focal, asymmetric temporal lobe atrophy with TDP-43 type C pathology; behavioural variant FTD of very young onset and prominent caudate atrophy with FUS pathology; and the progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome with a specific tauopathy. Non-fluent speech breakdown and the development of parkinsonism are more commonly associated with tau than non-tau pathologies. The heritability of particular FTD syndromes varies substantially: semantic dementia is generally sporadic, whereas behavioural variant FTD and corticobasal syndrome are highly genetically as well as pathologically heterogeneous. Useful neuroanatomical associations which may help guide genetic testing include relatively symmetric anteromesial temporal lobe atrophy with MAPT mutations and striking asymmetric hemispheric atrophy with GRN mutations (see fig 1).

**What is the prognosis in frontotemporal dementia?**

The clinical course of all FTD syndromes is steadily progressive, dementia? What is the prognosis in frontotemporal tauopathy. Non-fluent speech breakdown and the development of young onset and prominent caudate atrophy with FUS pathology; and the progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome with a specific tauopathy. Non-fluent speech breakdown and the development of parkinsonism are more commonly associated with tau than non-tau pathologies. The heritability of particular FTD syndromes varies substantially: semantic dementia is generally sporadic, whereas behavioural variant FTD and corticobasal syndrome are highly genetically as well as pathologically heterogeneous. Useful neuroanatomical associations which may help guide genetic testing include relatively symmetric anteromesial temporal lobe atrophy with MAPT mutations and striking asymmetric hemispheric atrophy with GRN mutations (see fig 1). The clinical course of all FTD syndromes is steadily progressive, with a clinical genetics service. Genetic counselling of at-risk family members adds a further challenge and should always be undertaken in collaboration with a clinical genetics service. Options for pharmacotherapy are limited. The available evidence is derived largely from small, open label studies or case reports. Open label studies have shown no clear symptomatic benefit for cholinesterase inhibitors or memantine, and one randomised, double blind trial of memantine in behavioural variant FTD was also negative; these agents may aggravate behavioural disturbance. Small, double blind, controlled trials have provided some evidence for modest improvement of behavioural symptoms with trazodone though not paroxetine. Clinical experience suggests that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors may be useful in modulating intrusive or compulsive behaviours in some patients. Neuroleptic drugs are potentially associated with substantial risk of extrapyramidal and cognitive side effects, but adequate controlled studies to estimate the risk-benefit balance of these agents in FTD are lacking. For the moment, it seems pragmatic to reserve use of neuroleptics to newer generation agents at low doses when required for agitation that threatens the patient’s wellbeing and cannot be managed by other means. Limited data from a single randomised controlled trial suggest a transient benefit of intranasal oxytocin on emotion processing in behavioural variant FTD.
What are the future prospects for therapy?

Translation of recent molecular progress into targeted therapies of the proteinopathies underpinning FTD seems likely to depend on earlier and more accurate disease detection and tracking as much as on animal and cellular models for drug development. More information is required about the clinical course of FTD syndromes, the early and presymptomatic manifestations of disease, and functional landmarks that subsequently affect patients’ daily lives. There is a particularly urgent need for new in vivo markers of specific pathological proteins (for example, tau binding ligands) and novel metrics of brain network disintegration and pathophysiology and complex behavioural symptoms in order to capitalise on recent insights from systems neuroscience.

Genetic forms of FTD may be particularly attractive candidates for targeted therapies, as there is potential for presymptomatic disease detection. One promising example is progranulin, which is associated with tauopathies in mice, and which might be a target for future therapies.

Collaboration among specialist centres, such as the Genetic Frontotemporal Dementia Initiative,16 with access to well characterised cohorts of patients with FTD will be essential to mount large scale trials of rationally targeted therapies that may finally allow us to treat these devastating diseases.
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Questions for further research

- Can tissue pathology be predicted reliably in frontotemporal dementia (FTD)? Identification of clinical, brain imaging, laboratory, and other markers of underlying pathology may allow targeting of disease modifying treatments in FTD
- What are the earliest features of disease onset in FTD? Identification of early—ideally, presymptomatic—markers of disease onset may allow intervention while structural brain damage is minimal, especially in genetic cases
- How can the evolution of FTD be tracked reliably? More detailed information about the clinical course of FTD would allow treatments to be evaluated and monitored
- What are the markers of prognosis and survival in individual patients with FTD? Identification of reliable prognostic markers would allow more specific advice to be given to patients and caregivers

Tips for non-specialists

- In contrast to Alzheimer’s disease (the most common cause of dementia in later life), frontotemporal dementia (FTD) often presents in middle life, and memory and navigational skills are often well maintained initially
- Behavioural or personality change first developing in later life may signal the onset of FTD rather than a primary psychiatric disorder, even if there are psychotic features, and particularly if heralded by changes in eating behaviour or social faux pas
- Problems articulating longer words, emergence of a stutter, grammatical slips, searching for words, or loss of comprehension of words (asking the meaning of words) may herald the onset of progressive aphasia
- Brain imaging (ideally with MRI) is mandatory in all suspected cases of FTD to rule out mimics such as brain tumours and to confirm the diagnosis

Additional educational resources

- Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration (www.theaftd.org/about/our-mission)—Free resource
- e-Brain e-learning initiative (www.ebrainjnc.com)—Interactive online learning for professionals in the neurosciences. Registration required

Resources for patients and caregivers

- Alzheimer’s Society frontotemporal dementia fact sheet (www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/documents_info.php?documentID=167)—Freely available factsheet “What is fronto-temporal dementia (including Pick’s disease)”? 
- Frontotemporal Dementia Support Group (www.ftdsg.org)—Free resource including web links to many other useful lay resources
- Support groups based at the Dementia Research Centre (www.ucl.ac.uk/drc/support-groups)—Free resource from Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, London

Table

Table 1 Bedside assessment of behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia (adapted from Rascovsky et al)

| Main behavioural features and subtypes* | Example† |
|----------------------------------------|----------|
| Disinhibition:                          |          |
| Socially inappropriate behaviour        | Inappropriately approaching, touching, or kissing strangers, verbal or physical aggression, fatuity, staring |
| Loss of manners or decorum              | Inappropriate laughter, jokes, or opinions that may be offensive to others, faux pas, lack of etiquette, altered dress sense |
| Impulsive, rash, or careless actions    | Reckless driving, new onset gambling, buying or selling objects without regard for consequences |
| Apathy and inertia:                     |          |
| Apathy                                 | Lacking initiative, ceasing to engage in former activities or hobbies, poor personal hygiene |
| Inertia                                | Needs prompting to initiate or continue routine activities, less likely to initiate or sustain a conversation |
| Reduced autonomy                       | Environmental dependency, utilisation behaviours (such as handling or using items or reading signs aloud when not required or appropriate to social context) |
| Loss of sympathy and empathy:          |          |
| Diminished response to other people’s needs and feelings | Making hurtful comments or disregarding other people’s pain or distress, less warmth or interest toward others (such as grandchildren, pets), hypomotivational, failure to appreciate ambiguous social signals (such as sarcasm) |
| Diminished social interest, interrelatedness, or personal warmth | Decrease in social engagement, emotional detachment, distant from friends and relatives, reduced libido, altered sense of humour |
| Perseverative, stereotyped, and compulsive or ritualistic behaviour: | |

*Based on Rascovsky et al (2011) JAMA 306:1227-1238
†Examples are not exhaustive
Examples†

| Main behavioural features and subtypes* | Examples† |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Simple repetitive movements             | Tapping, clapping, rubbing, scratching, picking at self, humming, rocking |
| Complex, compulsive, or ritualistic behaviours | Counting and cleaning rituals, collecting or hoarding, checking, ordering objects, walking fixed routes, clock watching, new obsessional interests or preoccupations (such as religiosity, musicophilia) |
| Stereotypy of speech                    | Habitual repetition of words, phrases, or themes |
| Hyperorality and dietary changes:       | Carbohydrate cravings (particularly sweets), food fads |
| Altered food preferences                | Consuming excessive amounts of food, gluttony, rapid, messy eating, overfilling mouth, compulsive use of alcohol or smoking |
| Binge eating, increased consumption of alcohol or cigarettes | Pica, features of Kluver-Bucy syndrome |
| Oral exploration or consumption of inedible objects | Unaware of or unconcerned by difficulties |
| Loss of insight                         | Hallucinations (especially somatic or visual), delusions (especially somatic or paranoid)‡ |
| Others:                                | Hypochondriasis, heightened distress with innocuous stimuli, lack of distress in response to painful stimuli |
| Altered temperature sensibility         | Dressing inappropriate to climate |

*Within the broad phenotype of behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia; clinical features in individual patients are highly variable. †Early features are often loss of warmth and empathy, social faux pas, and altered eating behaviour or food preferences. ‡Especially in association with expansions in the C9ORF72 gene.
Figures

Fig 1 Molecular pathologies and phenotypic correlations in frontotemporal dementia. The schematic shows major genes causing frontotemporal dementia, histopathological substrates, and clinical phenotypes. Neuroanatomical profiles are shown as coronal magnetic resonance imaging sections (left hemisphere displayed on the right) abutting the corresponding pathological substrates, with regions of predominant regional atrophy demarcated by white rectangles. Genetic bases for pathological substrates and phenotypic associations of tissue pathologies are shown as intersecting (for example, mutations in the progranulin gene (GRN) are associated with TDP-43 type A (TDP-A) pathology, which may be associated with clinical syndromes of behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), progressive non-fluent aphasia (PNFA), corticobasal syndrome (CBS), and frontotemporal dementia with motor neurone disease (FTD-MND)). Group functional neuroimaging studies have demonstrated involvement of intrinsic, large scale brain networks in FTD syndromes: a medial paralimbic network (including anterior cingulate, orbital frontal, and frontoinsular cortices) in bvFTD; an anterior temporal and inferior frontal network in semantic dementia; and dorsally directed dominant hemisphere language networks in PNFA. However, the network correlates of particular molecular pathologies are less well established. This scheme arranges diseases according to whether they produce damage that is relatively more restricted to anterior (toward left of figure) areas or extends posteriorly (toward right of figure) within each cerebral hemisphere, whether damage within a hemisphere is more focally restricted to the temporal lobes (toward bottom of figure) or more distributed (toward top of figure); and according to the degree of asymmetry of involvement between the two hemispheres (more asymmetrical diseases shown more centrally).
Fig 2 Bedside clinical assessment of the progressive aphasias: a simple algorithm (informed by current consensus criteria for progressive aphasia) for syndromic diagnosis of patients presenting with progressive language decline. The clinical syndromic diagnosis should be supplemented by neuropsychological assessment, brain magnetic resonance imaging, and ancillary investigations including cerebrospinal fluid examination (see text)