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Abstract

Objective To determine the prognostic utility of serum pre-albumin in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) patients treated with abiraterone (AA).

Patients and Methods 112 chemotherapy pretreated or chemotherapy-naive patients were scheduled for systemic treatment with AA. Serum pre-albumin levels were measured before and after 3 months of AA treatment. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to determine prognostic factors that were associated with PSA progression-free survival (PSA-PFS), radiographic PFS (rPFS) and overall survival (OS). The Harrell concordance index with variables only or combined pre-albumin data were used to evaluate the prognostic accuracy.

Results The group of patients with baseline pre-albumin value ≥20mg/dL had a longer OS, PSA-PFS, rPFS than those with pre-albumin value <20mg/dL. Based on the values of pre-albumin before and after 3 months of AA treatment, we divided these patients into 4 groups: high–high, high–low, low–high and low–low group. High–high group showed a significantly better OS, PSA-PFS, rPFS than other 3 groups. In multivariate analysis, low pre-albumin level remained significant predictors of OS (HR, 13.2; P<0.001), rPFS (HR, 3.7; P=0.003) and PSA-PFS (HR, 8.7; P<0.001). The estimated c-index of the multivariate model for OS increased from 0.814 without pre-albumin to 0.845 when pre-albumin added.

Conclusion Low pretreatment serum pre-albumin is a negative independent prognosticator of survival outcomes in mCRPC treated with AA and also increases the accuracy of established prognostic model. Serial pre-albumin evaluation might help clinicians guide clinical treatment of mCRPC patients.
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Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCA) is the most common cancer in men worldwide currently [1]. When it becomes metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), it is a heterogeneous disease with enormous variability of prognosis and treatment response between patients. Currently how to predict treatment response and prognosticate in these patients poses a major challenge. With the development of new agents treating mCRPC patients [2-11], how to better select treatment and sequencing
of these drugs is increasingly investigated. Owing to these, it is crucial to identify prognostic factors of these drugs, which would allow for the assessment of individual risk profiles.

Recent studies indicate that the prognosis of cancer is associated not only with the tumor cellular differentiation and biological behavior, but also with the immunological and nutritional status [12, 13]. The effect of inflammation in prognosis and progression has been shown in mCRPC patients. For example, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has shown a prognostic role in mCRPC patients also when treated with abiraterone (AA), enzalutamide, docetaxel, or cabazitaxel [14-17]. Nevertheless, the potential prognostic pretreatment factors are still limited in mCRPC. The nutritional status of patients with cancer is an important parameter affecting survival outcomes as well [18], while there are few studies who evaluate the prognostic factor of nutritional index in mCRPC. Fiala et al. found that serum albumin level, which is commonly used to assess the nutritional status, is an important prognostic factor in advanced non-small cell lung cancer [19]. Rosa et al showed lower pre-treatment level of albumin was associated with shorter PFS and OS of mCRPC treated with AA or enzalutamide [20]. Owing to these, we hypothesized that nutritional status may also play an important role of in the prognosis of mCRPC.

Pre-albumin, which is a sensitive marker for determining the state of malnutrition and easily quantified in hospital laboratories, is a visceral liver-synthesized protein. Studies have shown that pre-albumin has been proven to be a significant prognostic factor for upper tract urothelial [21], renal cancer [22], esophagus [23], and lung cancers [24] et al. However, the prognostic value of serum pre-albumin levels for PCA has not yet been reported.

Thus, this study was designed to clarify the potential prognostic value of pretreatment pre-albumin and albumin level in mCRPC patients treated with AA. Moreover, for enhancing the predictive accuracy for the prognosis of mCRPC patients treated with AA, we develop a prognostic model for these patients.

Patients and Methods

Patients

After obtaining the approval from the Committee for Ethics of Renji Hospital and informed consents of patients, 112 chemotherapy pretreated or chemotherapy-naive patients were scheduled for systemic treatment with AA. Patients were treated at the Department of Urology, Renji hospital between 2012 and 2016. Men aged ≥18 years with mCRPC were eligible: histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate; ongoing ADT with a serum testosterone level of less than 50 ng/dL (1.7 nmol/L); previous anti-androgen therapy followed by documented PSA progression after discontinuing the anti-androgen, disease progression according to Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 2 (PCWG2) criteria.

Clinical and pathological characteristics on age, serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level, serum albumin and pre-albumin level and blood cell counts, biopsy Gleason score were collected. All blood samples were measured before AA treatment and serum albumin and pre-albumin levels were also measured after 3 months of AA treatment.

The study endpoints were PSA progressive free survival (PSA-PFS), radiographic progressive free survival (rPFS) and overall survival (OS). PSA-PFS was according to PCWG2 criteria [25], and radiographic progression was based on Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) [25] or two or more new bone lesions on bone scan or death, whichever occurred first.

Laboratory assays

Serum pre-albumin levels and other blood samples investigations were measured 1 week within before AA treatment and serum albumin and pre-albumin levels were also measured after 3 months of AA treatment. The serum pre-albumin level was assessed using turbidmetric immunoassay (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical Analysis

The cutoff points to stratify pre-albumin and albumin were using pre-albumin <20 mg/dl to identify low pre-albumin, albumin <35 g/L to identify hypoalbuminemia [26]. The cutoff points to stratify lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) and PSA was using LDH and PSA ≥median to identify high LDH and PSA. The optimal cut-off value for classifying NLR as low or high for subsequent analysis, which was determined using ROC curve analysis, was 3.

Frequencies and proportions were assessed for categorical variables, whereas means, medians, and ranges were computed for continuous variables. For categorical variables, Pearson’s chi-squared test was used. Correlations with PSA-PFS, and rPFS, and OS were assessed using Kaplan–Meier curves with log-rank statistics. Furthermore, uni- and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to calculate their respective hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. Only factors significant in univariate analyses were included in the subsequent multivariate analyses. A test result was considered as statistically significant for P<0.05. The c-index was built based on training set
with the R package ‘survival. We used SPSS version 21.0 for other key analyses.

**Results**

**Patients Characteristics**

A total of 112 mCRPC patients met eligibility criteria between 2012 and 2016. The clinical and pathological data of all patients are listed in Table 1. 42 (37.5%) patients had received chemotherapy before AA treatment, while the others not. There was no significant heterogeneity between chemotherapy-naive and post-chemotherapy setting in this study (Table 2). Median age was 72 years (66~77). At enrolment 112 (100%) patients had bone metastases and 36 (32.1%) had lymph node metastases. 81 (72.4%) patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG-PS) of 0 or 1, with 31 (18.61%) having an ECOG-PS of 2. Gleason score was available for 112 (100%) patients; 61 (54.5%) patients had a Gleason score of less than 7, and 51 (45.5%) patients had a score of 8-10. The baseline PSA at starting AA was 63.4(25.1~200.2) ng/dl. At a median follow-up of 20.2 months, 59 (52.7%) patients had died. The median OS, rPFS and PSA-PFS were 31.6 months (95%CI:26.7–36.5) and 18.6 months (95%CI: 17.0–20.2) (p < 0.001), 13.6 months (95%CI: 12.3–14.9) and 6.2 months (95%CI: 4.8–7.6) (p < 0.001), 12.1 months (95%CI: 11.5–12.7) and 5.7 months (95%CI: 4.7–6.7) (p < 0.001) in patients with baseline pre-albumin value ≥ 20mg/dL or <20mg/dL respectively (Figure 1). Based on the values of pre-albumin before and after 3 months of AA treatment, we divided these patients into 4 groups: high–high (n=18), high–low (n=34), low–high (n=22) and low–low group (n=38) (Table 5). High-high group showed a significantly better OS, PSA PFS, rPFS than the other 3 groups (Figure 2).

| Parameters | Overall (n=112) |
|------------|-----------------|
| Age (median, interquartile range), years | 72(66~77) |
| Percentage of Prior Chemotherapy | 42(37.5%) |
| PSA (median, interquartile range), ng/mL | 63.4(25.1–200.2) |
| LDH (median, interquartile range), U/L | 196(155–271.75) |
| Pre-Alb level at baseline ≤20mg/dL | 60(53.6%) |
| >20mg/dL | 52(46.4%) |
| Alb level at baseline ≤35g/L | 13(11.6%) |
| >35g/L | 99(88.4%) |
| NLR ≤3 | 75(67%) |
| >3 | 37(33.0%) |
| Gleason Score ≤7 | 61(54.5%) |
| >7 | 51(45.5%) |
| Metastatic site Bone metastasis | 112(100%) |
| The number of bone metastasis ≤10 | 25(22.3%) |
| >10 | 87(77.7%) |
| Lymph node metastasis | 36(32.1%) |
| ECOG score ≤1 | 61(54.5%) |
| 2 | 51(45.5%) |
| Median PSA PFS (median, 95% CI), months | 8.9(7.8–10.0) |
| Median rPFS (median, 95% CI), months | 9.7(9.0–10.4) |
| Median OS (median, 95% CI), months | 22.2(20.3–24.1) |
| Median follow-up (median, interquartile range), months | 20.2(14–22.9) |

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen-deprivation therapy; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; OS, overall survival; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; PSA-PFS, PSA progression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio;

In univariate analysis, 7 variables were significant determinants of the PSA-PFS, rPFS and OS (Table 3). In multivariate analysis, low pre-albumin level (HR, 8.7; P<0.001) was an independent predictor of PSA-PFS, along with short duration of response to ADT (HR, 8.6; P<0.001), previous chemotherapy (HR, 2.2; P=0.002), LDH≥196U/L (HR, 3.1; P<0.001), ECOG PS≥1 (HR, 11.3; P=0.002), but hypoalbuminemia was not. As to rPFS, multivariable analysis also demonstrated that low pre-albumin level (HR, 3.7; P=0.003) was an independent predictor of rPFS, along with short duration of response to ADT (HR, 4.3; P=0.002), previous chemotherapy (HR, 2.2; P=0.002), LDH≥196U/L (HR, 3.1; P<0.001), ECOG PS≥1 (HR, 4;
P=0.006), but hypoalbuminemia was not. In multivariate analysis, low pre-albumin level (HR, 13.2; \( P < 0.001 \)), previous chemotherapy (HR, 2.5; \( P = 0.01 \)) and ECOG PS>1 (HR, 3.1; \( P = 0.04 \)) remained significant predictors of OS, while hypoalbuminemia was not (Table 4). Furthermore, in multivariate analysis, low pre-albumin level was still a significant independent prognostic predictor not only in the chemotherapy-naïve but also in the post-chemotherapy setting separately in this study (data not shown).

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of chemotherapy-naïve and post-chemotherapy mCRPC patients.

| Parameters                      | Chemotherapy-naïve (n=70) | Post-chemotherapy (n=42) | P value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (median, interquartile range), years | 70.3(63.8-78)             | 74.5(63.3-77)            | 0.8     |
| Percentage of Prior Chemotherapy | 0%                         | 42(100%)                 |         |
| PSA (median, interquartile range), ng/mL | 52.6(16.5-200)            | 68.9(31.9-206.6)         | 0.29    |
| Gleason Score                   |                            |                          | 0.13    |
| ≤7                              | 42(60%)                    | 19(45.2%)                |         |
| >7                              | 28(40%)                    | 28(54.8%)                |         |
| Metastatic site                 |                            |                          |         |
| Bone metastasis                | 70(100%)                   | 42(100%)                 | 0.8     |
| The number of bone metastasis  |                            |                          |         |
| ≤10                            | 15(21.4%)                  | 21(30%)                  |         |
| >10                            | 55(78.6%)                  | 49(70%)                  |         |

Abbreviations: mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer;

**Predictive accuracy of prognostic model of mCRPC treated with AA**

In multivariate analysis, pre-albumin level, previous chemotherapy, ECOG PS>1 remained significant predictors of OS. In the present study, we combine these indices to build a prognostic model of mCRPC treated with AA. The c-index of base model including previous chemotherapy and ECOG PS was 0.814, while it increased to 0.845 when adding pre-albumin level in the model.

**Discussion**

Markers of host nutrition, such as pre-albumin, have gained increasing attention as prognostic markers in cancers. The prognostic factor of baseline pre-albumin and pre-albumin change during AA therapy was explored in the present analysis. We investigated baseline pre-albumin level and its change during the first 3 months of AA treatment, and other standard prognostic factors in 112 mCRPC patients treated with AA. Multivariate analysis showed that baseline pre-albumin level was an independent predictor of OS, PSA PFS and rPFS, but not hypoalbuminemia. To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to investigate the prognostic impact of pre-albumin level in PCA patients.

It is well accepted that nutrition is an important determinant of immune responses and that malnutrition impairs the immune system, suppressing immune functions that are fundamental to host protection [27-29]. Furthermore, protein energy malnutrition is associated with significant immunodeficiency, especially in the cell-mediated mechanisms, which is crucial in the host defenses against infection or cancer [29]. Although early detection and prevention of malnutrition is significant, it is frequently underdiagnosed or overlooked during anti-cancer treatment, making it necessary to evaluate cancer patients with malnutrition. These findings support the importance of the role of nutritional markers in mCRPC and the necessity to investigate more accurate nutritional indices to predict prognosis in mCRPC treated with AA.

**Figure 1.** Kaplan–Meier analysis based on baseline pre-albumin value
Table 3. Univariable analyses of various clinical parameters in mCRPC

| Parameters                          | PSA PFS HR (95% CI) | P-value | OS HR (95% CI) | P-value | rPFS HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (years)                         |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| PSA (<62µg/L VS >62µg/L)            |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Previous chemotherapy (yes vs no)  |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| NLR (≥3 VS <3)                      |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Gleason Score (≥7 VS >7)            |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| LDH (≥196U/L VS <196 U/L)           |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Pre-Alb (≥30mg/dL VS ≥30mg/dL)      |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Alb (≥35g/L VS ≥35 g/L)             |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| The duration of response to ADT (<12months VS ≥12 months) |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| ECOG PS (<2 VS ≥2)                 |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen-deprivation therapy; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; OS, overall survival; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; PSA-PFS, PSA progression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; Alb, albumin.

Table 4. Multivariable analyses of various clinical parameters in mCRPC

| Parameters                          | PSA PFS HR (95% CI) | P-value | OS HR (95% CI) | P-value | rPFS HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Previous chemotherapy (yes vs no)  |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| NLR (≥3 VS <3)                      |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| LDH (≥196U/L VS <196 U/L)           |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Pre-Alb (≥30mg/dL VS ≥30mg/dL)      |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| Alb (≥35g/L VS ≥35 g/L)             |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| The duration of response to ADT (<12months VS ≥12 months) |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |
| ECOG PS (<2 VS ≥2)                 |                     |         |                |         |                 |         |

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen-deprivation therapy; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; OS, overall survival; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; PSA-PFS, PSA progression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.

Table 5. Changes in pre-albumin value and clinical outcome.

| Pre-albumin | PSA PFS Median PSA PFS (95% CI) | P-value; HR | rPFS Median rPFS (95% CI) | P-value; HR | OS Median OS (95% CI) | P-value; HR |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Hign-Hign   | 14.5 (12.9-16.1)                | <0.001; 26.2| 15.2 (14.7-15.7)          | <0.001; 17.4| 32.6 (25.9-39.4)      | 0.048; 2.4  |
| Hign-Low    | 11.1 (10.7-11.6)                | <0.001; 42.7| 12.2 (10.4-14.2)          | <0.001; 39.3| 25.3 (17.9-32.7)      | 0.009; 27.6 |
| Low-Hign    | 8.2 (7.7-8.7)                   | <0.001; 48.7| 8.4 (7.7-9.1)             | <0.001; 39.3| 20.0 (18.7-21.3)      | <0.001; 27.6 |
| Low-Low     | 4.9 (4.3-5.5)                   | <0.001; 48.7| 5.1 (4.9-5.3)             | <0.001; 48.7| 17.7 (16.9-18.5)      | <0.001; 38.9 |

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen-deprivation therapy; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; OS, overall survival; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; PSA-PFS, PSA progression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactic dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.
Few studies have been conducted to determine the influence of pretreatment nutritional status in the prognosis of mCRPC. Pre-albumin is a visceral liver-synthesized protein, which is sensitive to determine malnutrition, and its biological half-life is approximately 2.5 days. In addition, it is not altered by stress or acute inflammation [30]. Albumin cannot be a suitable sensitive indicator of nutritional insufficiency as it has a half-life of 20 days [31]. In addition, their levels are significantly influenced with age and inflammation compared with pre-albumin [32, 33]. So we hypothesized that the pre-albumin level may be a better indicator than albumin level for determining nutritional status and prognosis of mCRPC. In our study, low pre-albumin level was an independent predictor in mCRPC patients treated with AA but not hypoalbuminemia in multivariable analysis.

It is interesting to note that in our study we found high- high group showed a significantly better OS, PSA PFS, rPFS than other group. Compared with high-low group, high- high group showed a significantly better OS, PSA PFS, rPFS. Furthermore, compared with low-low group, low-high group showed a significantly better clinical outcome as well. Owing to these, we consumed that lower serum pre-albumin level may indicate worse prognosis of mCRPC patients treated with AA and serial pre-albumin evaluation would help clinicians in selecting appropriate therapy regimen timely. These may strength the predictor role of pre-albumin in mCRPC. Moreover, these may indicate that if serum pre-albumin value is low, nutritional support or supplementation could improve the prognosis of mCRPC patients treated with AA.

In multivariate analysis, previous chemotherapy was an adverse prognostic factor in mCRPC patients treated with AA in our study. Furthermore, in the present study, during 3 months of AA treatment post-chemotherapy mCRPC patients showed serum pre-albumin values decreased more frequently than chemotherapy-naive patients (p=0.029). It might showed that previous chemotherapy could lead to serum pre-albumin values decrease, which indicated adverse prognosis in mCRPC patients treated with AA. This phenomenon may partly account for why post-chemotherapy mCRPC patients showed worse prognosis than chemotherapy-naive patients. In the future, to determine this conclusion, we would conduct further research to determine whether any clinical factors led to pre-albumin variation after AA treatment.

Because the most widely used routine prognostic assessment of mCRPC currently still relies on traditional clinicopathological prognostic variables including LDH, NLR, the type and duration of prior therapy [34-36], recent progress in the identification of genetic and molecular alterations in mCRPC has been made [37-39]. Although the predictive accuracy of prognostic model might be enhanced by these biomarkers, the high costs of analysis, the time-consuming preparation and the lack of evidence together prevent them into clinical practice. In the present study, we showed that adding pre-albumin was able to raise the predictive accuracy in this cohort of mCRPC regarding to OS. The c-index of the model with traditional variables plus pre-albumin became higher than the base model alone in OS (0.845 vs. 0.814) in our cohort of patients. Because of the plentiful treatment choices in mCRPC and high cost of mCRPC treatment, detailed understanding of individual risk factor associated with patients’ prognosis will impart benefits for clinical outcome and individual patient treatment choices, such as chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Furthermore, the pre-albumin could represent a novel predictive marker of clinical outcome to other treatments such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy in PCA, and should be tested in these clinical situations.

Our results were consistent with previous studies. McKay et al. [35] identified 161 mCRPC patients who had received AA. They concluded duration of primary ADT and no use of prior chemotherapy were significant prognostic factors in mCRPC treated with AA. Chi KN et al identified 762 mCRPC patients treated AA after docetaxel and developed a prognostic index model. They concluded LDH, ECOG PS, presence of liver metastases, albumin, alkaline phosphatase and time from start of initial ADT to start of AA treatment ≤36 months were significant prognostic factors in mCRPC treated with AA after docetaxel. However, this prognostic index model was only suitable for post-chemotherapy mCRPC patients treated with AA.

In contrast to these studies, to our knowledge ours is the first designed to evaluate the impact of nutritional status on survival in mCRPC. Compared with percentage weight loss and BMI to assess pretreatment nutritional status, serum pre-albumin is a more direct, objective, easily measured value. In addition, we developed a new prognostic model for mCRPC treated with AA both in chemotherapy-naive and post-chemotherapy setting. Furthermore, our results showed serial pre-albumin evaluation might guide clinicians to conduct nutritional support or supplementation to improve the prognosis of mCRPC patients treated with AA and help clinicians change therapy regimen timely.

There were some limitations in our study. Firstly, the relative shorter follow-up might limit the
accuracy of our results. Secondly, this study was based on the retrospective nature of the data collection and completed at a single center. Additionally, we did not compare the pre-albumin with other nutritional tools. Thirdly, the patients in our study were included with chemotherapy-naïve and post-chemotherapy setting. However, in this study, there was no significant heterogeneity between chemotherapy-naïve and post-chemotherapy setting (table 2). In addition, in separate analysis, these results have also shown serum pre-albumin was a prognostic factor of mCRPC patients treated with AA not only in the chemotherapy-naïve but also in the post-chemotherapy setting separately in this study. Owing to these, the prognostic factor of serum pre-albumin seemed to be solid in spite of combining chemotherapy-naïve and post-chemotherapy mCRPC for analysis. Further large-scale population-based prospective studies will be needed to conduct to fully consolidate the results.

Implications for Practice

This prospective cohort study of 112 mCRPC patients showed that serum pre-albumin was an independent prognostic indicator of OS and PFS of mCRPC patients treated with AA. Serial pre-albumin evaluation might guide clinicians to conduct nutritional support or supplementation to improve the prognosis of mCRPC patients treated with AA and help clinicians change therapy regimen timely.
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