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Abstract
The SeaQuest spectrometer at Fermilab was designed to detect oppositely-charged pairs of muons (dimuons) produced by interactions between a 120 GeV proton beam and liquid hydrogen, liquid deuterium and solid nuclear targets. The primary physics program uses the Drell-Yan process to probe antiquark distributions in the target nucleon. The spectrometer consists of a target system, two dipole magnets and four detector stations. The upstream magnet is a closed-aperture solid iron magnet which also serves as the beam dump, while the second magnet is an open aperture magnet. Each of the detector stations consists of scintillator hodoscopes and a high-resolution tracking device. The FPGA-based trigger compares the hodoscope signals to a set of pre-programmed roads to determine if the event contains oppositely-signed, high-mass muon pairs.
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1. Introduction to SeaQuest

The proton is composed of an effervescent sea of quarks, antiquarks and gluons. Many of the properties of the proton can be attributed to the flavors of quark excess (with respect to the antiquarks); however, the strong force and the sea of quark-antiquark pairs that it creates are primarily responsible for the proton’s mass. The SeaQuest experiment was designed to explore the flavor dependence of the proton’s sea quarks and modifications of the sea quark structure when the proton is contained within a nucleus.

Sensitivity to the sea quark distributions is achieved through the Drell-Yan reaction that necessarily involves antiquarks. To leading order in the strong coupling constant, \( \alpha_s \), the Drell-Yan is a pure electromagnetic annihilation of a quark in one hadron with an antiquark in a different hadron forming a massive virtual photon that decays into a detectable lepton-antilepton pair. This process was first observed by J.H. Christenson \textit{et al.} \cite{1, 2}. The features of the cross section were explained by S.D. Drell and T.-M. Yan \cite{3, 4} in terms of the parton model as a hard scattering of point-like partons multiplied by a convolution of the parton distributions of the interacting hadrons:

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma}{dx_1 dx_2} = \frac{4 \pi \alpha_e^2}{9 \sqrt{s} x_1 x_2} \times \sum_{q \in \{u, d, \ldots\}} e_q^2 [q_1(x_1)q_2(x_2) + q_1(x_1)\bar{q}_2(x_2)],
\]

where \( x_i \) represents Bjorken-\( x \), \( x_{Bj} \), of the interacting parton in hadron \( i \) (generally, the beam parton is denoted as 1 and the target as 2); \( q_i(x_i) \) is the parton distribution of quark of flavor \( q \); \( e_q \) is the charge of quark flavor \( q \); \( \sqrt{s} \) is the center-of-mass energy; \( \alpha_e \approx 1/137 \) is the fine structure constant; and the sum is over all quark flavors. The \( e_q^2 \) weighting of the parton distributions implies that with a proton beam, the cross section is primarily sensitive to the \( u- \) and \( \bar{u} \)-quark distributions. This expression is only leading order, and next-to-leading order (NLO) terms with the first power of \( \alpha_s \), contribute up to half of the cross section. In the SeaQuest spectrometer and many typical fixed-target experiments, the acceptance is biased toward large, positive Feynman-\( x \), \( x_F \approx x_1 - x_2 \), and thus the beam parton is generally a large \( x_{Bj} \) valence parton. For a proton beam, this implies that the interaction is between a valence beam quark and a lower-\( x_{Bj} \) target antiquark.

The SeaQuest experiment was proposed to measure the distributions of sea quarks in the nucleon and the modifications of these distributions in nuclei. The experiment is designed to measure the \( \mu^+\mu^- \) (dimuon) decay of the Drell-Yan virtual photon, produced using a 120 GeV proton beam extracted in a 4 s long, slow-spill from the Fermilab Main Injector. The spectrometer’s basic concept is based on previous Fermilab Drell-Yan spectrometers that were used with 800 GeV extracted beams \cite{5, 6, 7, 8}. To account for the difference in boost, the spectrometer was shortened significantly. Key features of the spectrometer include two large dipole magnets and four independent tracking/triggering stations. A schematic drawing of the spectrometer is shown in Fig. 1. The experiment uses liquid hydrogen, liquid deuterium, carbon, iron and tungsten targets as well as an empty liquid target flask and a “no target” position for background subtraction. Only one target intercepts the beam during any given slow-extraction spill. The first dipole magnet (called FMag) is a closed-aperture, solid iron magnet. The beam protons that do not interact in the targets are absorbed in the iron of the first magnet, which allows only muons to traverse the remaining spectrometer. The downstream magnet (denoted KMag) is a large, open-aperture magnet that was previously used in the Fermi-
lab KTeV experiment [9]. Each of the tracking/triggering stations consists of a set of scintillator hodoscopes to provide fast signals for an FPGA-based trigger system and a drift chamber for fine-grained tracking.

During the design of the spectrometer, the acceptance was extensively studied with Monte Carlo simulations. The goal was to maximize the acceptance for events with large $x^2$ within the constraints of the existing equipment, most significantly KMag, the coils in FMag and the drift chambers at station 2. The other primary optimization was the target to beam dump distance. To distinguish between tracks from the target and the beam dump, this distance should be relatively long; however, this increases the single muon background from pions formed in the target that decay before reaching the dump. The experiment uses a coordinate system where positive z is along the proton beam direction, positive y is vertically up and positive x is to beam left to complete the right-handed system.

This article describes each element of the spectrometer and associated systems. Over the course of the experiment, the spectrometer was upgraded several times. The recorded data have been divided into “Data Sets” (DS) based on the specific configuration of the detector and trigger. Table 1 lists the dates when each data set was recorded and the major spectrometer changes between the data sets.

2. Proton Beam Intensity Monitor

SeaQuest uses the 120 GeV proton beam from the Fermilab Main Injector. The beam is extracted in a slow spill lasting just under four seconds. Typically, the time between the beginning of spills is just over one minute. Beam is extracted using a resonant process and the extracted beam retains the 53.1 MHz structure of the Main Injector RF, dividing the beam into “RF buckets” that are less than 2 ns long and occur every 18.8 ns.

2.1. Sensitivity to Instantaneous Intensity

The SeaQuest beam intensity is not uniform in time throughout the slow spill. There are beam buckets in the Main Injector that are intentionally left empty to allow the injection kickers to inject 8 GeV protons from the Fermilab Booster without disturbing the protons already in the Main Injector and to allow the abort kickers can ramp to full field if needed. Typically, 492 of the 588 RF buckets in the Main Injector contain protons during the SeaQuest slow spill cycle. Unfortunately for SeaQuest, the number of protons in these 492 buckets varies greatly throughout a slow spill.

The SeaQuest trigger is synchronized with the Main Injector RF and is able to discriminate between muons from interactions in different RF buckets. The SeaQuest trigger is designed to accept events containing a high mass pair of oppositely charged muons. Typically, this implies muon pairs in which both tracks have high transverse momentum. The trigger uses hits in one scintillation counter hodoscope located between FMag and KMag and three hodoscopes located downstream of KMag. For a detailed description of the hodoscopes and trigger, see Secs. 5 and 8, respectively. However, the vast majority of SeaQuest triggers are the result of hits from a number of unrelated particle tracks that can mimic a high mass muon pair. The probability that this type of trigger will occur increases dramatically with proton beam intensity. When this is combined with the non-uniformity of the slow spill extraction, the data acquisition system can be saturated with undesired triggers. The Beam Intensity Monitor was designed to solve this problem.
Figure 1: Schematic of the SeaQuest spectrometer. The 120 GeV proton beam enters from the left, and the solid iron magnet also serves as an absorber for the beam that did not interact in a target.

2.2. Beam Intensity Monitor
The SeaQuest Beam Intensity Monitor (BIM) senses when the beam intensity is above a (programmable) threshold and inhibits triggers for a window around the high-intensity RF bucket. The duration of the inhibit window is programmable, and was typically set to ±9 RF buckets. The inhibit threshold is generally set between 65,000 and 95,000 protons per RF bucket. The beam intensity is measured using a gas Cerenkov counter operated at atmospheric pressure, as shown in Fig. 2. The counter and digitization electronics were designed to have good time resolution, and a linear response over a large dynamic range. A 45° aluminized Kapton mirror held on an elliptical G10 frame directs light to a single photomultiplier tube (PMT). A baffle of black construction paper held parallel to the mirror ensures that the active path length in the radiator for protons.

1 At a beam intensity of $6 \times 10^{12}$ protons/(4 s) spill, the average number of protons in a full RF bucket is approximately 33,500.

2 After exposure to approximately $3 \times 10^{17}$ protons, the mirror reflectivity is significantly reduced in the beam spot and the mirror is replaced. The best mirror lifetime was found with a relatively thick vapor deposited layer of aluminum (1 μm).

3 A gas mixture of 80% Argon and 20% CO$_2$ is used as the Cerenkov radiator. This gas mixture is used...
Table 1: The SeaQuest experiment’s data sets and the dates when they were recorded. Note that the major breaks generally correlate with the Fermilab accelerator maintenance periods. See Sec. 6 for an explanation of the drift chamber configuration nomenclature.

| Data Set | Dates          | Drift Chamber Config. | Comments                                      |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1        | Mar.–Apr. 2012 | DC1.1;DC2;DC3p-m.1    | Commissioning                                  |
| 2        | Nov. 2013–Sep. 2014 | DC1.1;DC2;DC3p-m.2 | New station 3 (lower) drift chamber           |
|          |                |                       | New stations 1 and 2 photomultiplier bases    |
| 3        | Nov. 2014–Jul. 2015 | DC1.1;DC2;DC3p-m.2 |                                              |
| 4        | Nov. 2015–Feb. 2016 | DC1.2;DC2;DC3p-m.2 | New station 1 drift chamber (DC1.2)           |
|          |                | DC1.1;DC1.2; DC2;DC3p-3m.2 | Both DC1.1 and 1.2 installed at station 1 |
| 5        | Mar. 2016–Jul. 2016 | DC1.1;DC1.2; DC2;DC3p-3m.2 |                                              |
| 6        | Nov. 2016–Jul. 2017 | DC1.1;DC1.2; DC2;DC3p-3m.2 | DAQ upgrade (See Sec. 9.1)                   |

The SeaQuest experiment’s data sets and the dates when they were recorded. Note that the major breaks generally correlate with the Fermilab accelerator maintenance periods. See Sec. 6 for an explanation of the drift chamber configuration nomenclature.

The voltage divider (Electron Tubes Part Number TB1102C284AFN2) uses a circuit based on [10] to make the dynode voltages independent of phototube current. In addition to inhibiting triggers when the instantaneous intensity is above threshold, the BIM interface module provides the information required to count the number of protons incident on the SeaQuest targets while the experiment is ready and able to trigger. The BIM interface module provides (a) integrated beam for entire spill; (b) integrated beam while inhibit is asserted at trigger logic; (c) integrated beam during trigger dead time,
excluding buckets inhibited while the event is being recorded; (d) a snapshot of beam intensity close in time to the trigger (ADC measurements for 16 buckets before and after the trigger and the triggered bucket); and (e) a complete record of the bucket-by-bucket intensity for the slow spill. The timing of the inhibit signal and of all of the sums calculated by the BIM interface module are controlled using programmable registers. The module is normally controlled using a 100 Mbps Ethernet interface. One third of the complete spill record is recorded through the same Ethernet interface used to control the module. Two additional 100 Mbps Ethernet interfaces are used to record the remainder of the complete spill information. This readout occurs between spills. The snapshot of beam intensity close in time to the trigger is also output on a twisted-pair ribbon cable and is recorded through the SeaQuest event data acquisition system.

The linear range of the phototube and voltage divider was established using an LED pulser. The largest (linear) dynamic range was found with a bias voltage of about -900 V. This agrees with vendor-provided information on the phototube performance. The neutral density filters used to attenuate the Cerenkov light allow the tube to be biased at -870 V while providing signals of appropriate amplitude to match the QIE dynamic range.

The BIM measurement of beam intensity is normalized using a Secondary Emission Monitor (SEM) located upstream of the Cerenkov counter. The SEM signal is integrated over each spill. It is calibrated by measuring the activation of a thin foil placed in the beam. The linear dynamic range of the BIM measurement was also verified using the SEM.

3. Cryogenic and Solid Targets

The SeaQuest targets are centered 130 cm upstream of the first spectrometer magnet. The general design and many parts of the target are inherited from the E866/NuSea experiment [7, 8]. As depicted in Fig. 3 the target system consists of two liquid targets, three solid targets, and two positions for measuring background count rates—an empty flask and an empty solid-target holder. The targets are mounted on a remotely positionable table which translates in the x-direction over a range of 91.4 cm.

The details of the target materials in the seven target positions are summarized in Table 2. The $H_2$ used is 99.999% pure. The deuterium has come from two different sources. The first is a supply of gas at Fermilab that was previously used in bubble chamber experiments. This gas was known to have a
small hydrogen contamination and was measured by mass spectroscopy to be approximately 85.2% D$_2$, 12.7% HD, 1.2% $^4$He, and 0.8% H$_2$ by mole. Later, SeaQuest switched to commercially available D$_2$ which has an isotopic purity of greater than 99.8% with HD and H$_2$ forming the balance.

Each of the solid targets is divided into three disks of 1/3 the total thickness listed in Table 2. These are spaced 25.4 cm apart along the beam axis to approximate the spatial distribution of the liquid targets, thereby minimizing target-dependent variation in spectrometer acceptance. The one exception to this is that during the Data Set 2 period the iron disks were more closely spaced (17.1 cm).

3.1. Target Control and Motion

The control system for the cryogenic targets uses a Siemens APACS+ programmable logic controller (PLC). This system contains several modules providing a large number of analog and digital input and output channels. Nearly all of the sensors providing telemetry on table position and liquid target parameters are processed by this system and the majority of the signals controlling valves, feedback for heating systems, and power signals for pumps and refrigerators originate in this system. The PLC is powered by an uninterruptible power supply and is capable of regulating the target systems and taking action under a large number of problem scenarios, even if disconnected from the target control computer and the rest of the network. The target control computer communicates with the PLC via an “M-BUS” interface. Programming and configuration of the PLC code is performed with Siemens 4-Mation software, and the real-time user interface to the PLC is built using the GE Fanuc iFix suite of software. The graphical user interface is built in iFix Workspace. This suite also includes remote historical data warehousing and plotting through iFix Historian and Proficy Portal software.

Motion of the target table is accomplished with a stepper motor driving a lead screw which moves the table on rails. The stepper motor, motor driver, and motor controller are made by Anaheim Automation. A single step of the motor translates the target table by 2.54 µm and target positions are confirmed by monitoring magnetic proximity switches mounted to the translating table and platform base. The software step position is recalibrated to the edge of the central proximity sensor each time the table passes. The motor controller is programmed using Anaheim Automation SMC60WIN software, running on the target control computer, and connected via USB. In operation, the target controller requires only control signals sent to its input registers from the PLC to reposition the target. Autoradiography of the titanium win-
Table 2: Characteristics of the seven SeaQuest target positions. The “Spills/Cycle” should be regarded as a typical configuration. It can vary in response to sample balancing needs and running configurations. The non-zero interaction length of the empty flask is due to the 51 μm-thick stainless steel end-caps of the flask and the 140 μm-thick titanium windows of the vacuum vessel that contains it.

| Position | Material | Density (g/cm$^3$) | Thickness (cm) | Interaction Lengths | Spills/Cycle |
|----------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1        | $H_2$    | 0.071              | 50.8           | 0.069               | 10           |
| 2        | Empty Flask | –                  | –              | 0.0016              | 2            |
| 3        | $D_2$    | 0.163              | 50.8           | 0.120               | 5            |
| 4        | No Target | –                  | –              | 0                   | 2            |
| 5        | Iron     | 7.87               | 1.905          | 0.114               | 1            |
| 6        | Carbon   | 1.80               | 3.322          | 0.209               | 2            |
| 7        | Tungsten | 19.30              | 0.953          | 0.096               | 1            |

dows and solid target disks has shown the beam to be positioned within 5 mm of target center and well within the target area for all positions.

3.2. Cryogenic Target System

The target flasks are 50.8 cm in length and 7.62 cm in diameter and each contains 2.2 l of liquid. The flask walls are made of 76 μm-thick stainless steel with 51 μm-thick stainless steel end-caps. Each flask is tested to a gauge pressure exceeding 110 kPa and is leak-checked to better than 10$^{-9}$ scc/s. The hydrogen and deuterium targets are liquefied from bottled gas by a pair of closed circuit He refrigeration systems. Each refrigerator is a Cryomech water-cooled CP950 compressor and AL230 cold head (Gifford-McMahon cycle) capable of approximately 25 W of cooling power at 20 K. The hydrogen and deuterium targets take approximately 18 and 12 hours to fill, respectively. Temperature sensitive resistors are used to monitor the level of the liquid during filling and data-taking.

In order to maintain liquid in the flask and control its density, the targets are operated along the vapor-liquid saturation curve. The pressure of vapor in the lines at the top of the flask is measured and that pressure is used to regulate power delivered to a group of three parallel, 500Ω heater resistors. A Watlow silicon controlled rectifier, controlled by the PLC, regulates the fraction of time 75 V is applied to the resistors, producing as much as 31.1 W of integrated heater power. A desired gas pressure (typically just above atmospheric pressure) is selected and the PLC regulates the heater current appropriately via a PID (proportional-integral-derivative) loop. The liquid density is computed using the intercept of the known saturation curve with the measured pressure. Variations in pressure and temperature measurements are used to estimate the uncertainty in the density.

An insulation vacuum that surrounds each target flask greatly reduces the heat-load seen by the cryogenic refrigerator. A schematic layout of the flask and high vacuum plumbing is given in Fig. 4. During normal operation, insulation vacuum is maintained by a diffusion pump backed by a mechanical fore pump at a level better than a millipascal ($10^{-5}$ Torr). A mechanical rough pump is used for purging the target flask prior to
filling and as a fall-back mechanism should conditions preclude the use of the diffusion pumping system (e.g. badly spoiled vacuum or failure of the diffusion fore pumps). Flask pressure is monitored by a pair of redundant Setra pressure transducers on the supply and vent lines. Cold-head temperatures are monitored by triple-redundant Cernox temperature sensors. Fore and rough vacuum are measured by thermocouple gauges or convection vacuum gauges.

Figure 5 shows the parameters of the liquid D$_2$ target as it is cooled down. The red curve shows the temperature of the condenser as it is cooled down from room temperature to 22 K. The blue curve indicates the resistance of the level sensor inside the target flask. The increase in the resistance is an indication of the formation of liquid inside the flask.

4. Spectrometer Magnets

Central to the spectrometer are two large dipole magnets. The upstream magnet (FMag) is a solid iron magnet assembled from 43.2 cm × 160 cm × 503 cm iron slabs, as shown in Fig. 6. The iron was recovered from the dismantled Columbia University Nevis Laboratory Cyclotron in 1980 [12]. This iron is extremely pure, allowing a 2000 A excitation current (at 25 V, using 50 kW of power) to generate a magnetic field of 1.8 Tesla (yielding an average 3.07 GeV/c total magnetic deflection). FMag uses one of the three sets of “bedstead” coils recovered from the dismantled E866 SM3 magnet [5, 6, 7, 8]. The coils are made from 5 cm square extruded aluminum. The current exciting FMag is monitored by the Fermilab accelerator control system and is broadcast to the SeaQuest slow data acquisition system every acceleration cycle. The magnet current is also input to the safety system to prevent beam from hitting the E906 spectrometer when FMag is not energized to a minimum level. The magnetic field distribution in FMag was modeled using a magnetostatic modeling program (EM Studio by Computer Simulation Technology). The excitation was checked by wrapping a 1-turn coil around the central region of the magnet and integrating the charge in-
Figure 6: Perspective drawing of FMag showing the arrangement of the iron slabs.

duced as the magnet was energized. The final calibration of the magnetic field is determined by examining the reconstructed mass of the $J/\psi(3097)$ resonance. FMag acts as a spectrometer magnet and also as the beam dump for the 120 GeV beam delivered to the SeaQuest spectrometer. There is a 5 cm diameter by 25 cm deep hole drilled into the upstream end of FMag along the beam axis. The 120 GeV protons in the beam that do not interact in the SeaQuest targets interact in the central iron slab. The hole moves the initial interaction points of the proton beam further away from the targets. Most of the instantaneous 2.0 kW beam power is dissipated in this slab and is eventually conducted to the coils and the external surfaces of FMag to be radiated away.

The downstream magnet (KMag) is a 300 cm long iron rectangular magnet with a 289 cm wide by 203 cm high central air gap. It was originally constructed by the E799/KTeV collaboration at Fermilab. It is excited to a central field of 0.4 Tesla (0.39 GeV/c magnetic deflection) by 1600 A at 270 V (430 kW). The spatial distribution of the magnetic field in KMag was measured by the KTeV collaboration. SeaQuest checked the central field calibration with a Hall probe. The final value for the magnetic field again derives from the measurement of the exact mass of the $J/\psi(3097)$ resonance. The field of both magnets is oriented vertically so that the bend plane is horizontal. In normal running conditions, both FMag and KMag bend muons in the same direction.

5. Hodoscopes

There are four plastic scintillator hodoscope stations that are used as the primary trigger for the spectrometer. Stations 1 and 2 use recycled scintillator bars from the HERMES experiment. Stations 3 and 4 use new Eljen EJ-200 scintillator material. The x-planes are arranged vertically to measure the x-position (bend plane). The y-planes are arranged horizontally to measure the y-position (non-bend plane).

Stations 1 and 2 each have single x-y planes with 1 inch PMTs. Station 3 has a single x plane, and station 4 has two y planes and one x plane, all with 2 inch PMTs. The station 4 hodoscopes have PMTs on both ends of the scintillator bars. The scintillator bars in each plane are split to form a top/bottom or left/right pair. The bars are arranged with a slight (2-3 mm) overlap. While improving efficiency, the overlap decreases the trigger’s ability to reduce background by effectively making the trigger roads wider. Table 3 gives the number of scintillators, their physical sizes, and total apertures for each plane.

Stations 1 and 2 must operate at very high rates and in the magnetic fringe fields from the large open-aperture magnet (KMag). During the 2012 commissioning run, the stations 1 and 2 hodoscope occupancies were found to depend nonlinearly on the beam intensity, indicating that in the high rate sections of the hodoscopes, the phototube voltage dividers were sagging. Before data tak-
Table 3: Number and sizes of scintillators at each hodoscope plane. Location refers to the distance along the beam axis from the front face of FMag. The designation (L) and (R) refer to beam left or right.

| Array | Plane | Number | Length (cm) | Width (cm) | Width (cm) | Location (cm) |
|-------|-------|--------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Y     | 1     | 20 × 2 | 78.7        | 7.32       | 140        | 653           |
| X     | 1     | 23 × 2 | 69.9        | 7.32       | 161        | 663           |
| Y     | 2     | 16 × 2 | 152.0       | 13.0       | 203        | 1403          |
| X     | 2     | 19 × 2 | 132.0       | 13.0       | 241        | 1421          |
| X     | 3     | 16 × 2 | 132.0       | 13.0       | 241        | 1958          |
| Y1    | 4     | 16 × 2 | 152.4       | 23.16      | 737        | 2130 (L) 2146 (R) |
| Y2    | 4     | 16 × 2 | 152.4       | 23.16      | 737        | 2200 (L) 2217 (R) |
| X     | 4     | 16 × 2 | 182.9       | 19.33      | 305        | 2240          |

Due to the physical length of the scintillator bars, in particular in stations 3 and 4, the output pulses from the photomultipliers were 20-25 ns long. To correct for this each PMT base has a “clip line” attached at its output that has a 2.5ns long twisted pair cable with a 24 Ω resistor to provide an inverted reflected signal that arrives at the peak of the scintillator pulse to reduce the pulse width to approximately 10-15 ns full width. The effect of the “clip line” can be seen in the oscilloscope traces in Fig.8. All PMTs are powered by LeCroy 1440 High Voltage systems, which are controlled by software, which stores the voltages in a database, so that the setting for each run can be retrieved. The hodoscope signal cables are 94 ± 2 ns long. Each PMT signal is sent to a discriminator and then to a TDC. Gross adjustments of the signal timing were made at a patch panel with short delay cables and fine adjustments were handled by the trigger FPGA modules (as discussed in Sec.8).

For maintenance, the hodoscope arrays in stations 3 and 4 can be rolled out of the beam line. The frames holding the hodoscopes are suspended from above with a rail and “jib traveler” trolley system made by Ronstan for use in sailboats. Each trolley can support 650 kg.

6. Tracking Chambers

Drift chambers at stations 1, 2, and 3 measured the positions of muons at the sta-
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<sup>5</sup>See [http://www.ronstan.com.au/marine5](http://www.ronstan.com.au/marine5)
Figure 7: Schematic diagram of the MOSFET-stabilized photomultiplier base.

Each station contained two wire planes measuring the x-position as well as two planes each measuring left and right stereo angles at ±14° (denoted u and v) for a total of six wire planes. The actual configuration of the chambers changed with time as will be discussed below. The resolution of dimuon invariant mass is dominated by multiple scattering and energy loss in FMag. To keep the position resolution contribution to the overall resolution less than 10% of the total mass resolution, the position resolution of an individual plane is required to be 400 µm, corresponding to a momentum resolution of $\Delta p/p \% = 0.03 \cdot p \text{ (GeV/c)}$. To achieve a track reconstruction efficiency of at least 90%, while allowing only one inefficient plane at every station, the single plane efficiency needed to be greater than 95%. The chambers must operate at high rates because of the large background particle flux. This is most important at the most upstream chamber, station 1. The hit rate is maximum at the edge of the chamber acceptance. As extracted from experimental data taken with an unbiased trigger, the average hit rate reaches a maximum of 3.0, 1.6 and 0.7 MHz/wire at stations 1, 2, and 3, respectively, at a beam intensity of $5 \times 10^{12}$ protons/(4 s). It was necessary to design the chambers so that the probability of double hits per wire per event would be small.

6.1. Chamber Configuration

The basic structure is common to all the chambers. Each drift chamber consists of six planes of sense wires. Wires are aligned in the vertical direction in two planes called x and x'. Wires are tilted by +14° in two planes called u and u', and by −14° in two planes.
Figure 8: Oscilloscope traces recorded using a radioactive source on the station 2 hodoscopes without (red) and with (blue) the “clip line”. Because of the reflection of the clip line, the amplitude of the blue curve is lower. The vertical scale is 50 mV/div and the horizontal is 10 ns/div. Both traces were averaged by the oscilloscope over 256 triggers.

called $v$ and $v'$. The wires in the primed planes are offset by half the drift cell size, which help to resolve the left-right ambiguity of drift direction. Every wire plane is oriented perpendicular to the $z$ axis, and each drift cell is rectangularly shaped. The drift chambers in the experiment are named “DC” followed by the station number, for example, at station 2 the chambers are called DC2. At station 1, a smaller chamber DC1.1 was used for data sets 1-3. This was replaced by a new larger chamber DC1.2 with better expected high rate capability in data sets 4-6. However a choice was made to reinstall DC1.1 upstream of its previous position for data sets 5-6, so for these periods, there were two drift chambers at station 1. At station 3, separate drift chambers cover the top and bottom halves, and are called “DC3p” and “DC3m” where “p” and “m” stand for “plus” and “minus.” Table 4 summarizes the parameters of the drift chambers. Over the course of the experiment DC3m was also upgraded, as indicated in Tab. 1. With the exception of DC1.2, all chambers used a gas mixture of Ar:CH$_4$:CF$_4$ (88:8:4). The gas mixture for DC1.2 is Ar:CF$_4$:C$_4$H$_{10}$:C$_3$H$_8$O$_2$ (81:5:12:2). With this gas mixture, the electron drift velocity of DC1.2 is larger than 50 $\mu$m/ns for essentially the entire cell.

The DC1.1, DC2 and DC3m.1 chambers have been used in previous Fermilab experiments, E605 (DC2 and DC3m.1) [5] and E866/NuSea (DC1.1) [7, 8]. Since these chambers had not been in use for over a decade, they required significant work to bring them to a working condition. This process included restringing a large number (approximately 30% of the sense wires) of broken or loose wires with wires of appropriate tension and developing new readout electronics.

The DC3p and DC3m.2 chambers were designed and constructed for this experiment in order to cover the large acceptance required at station 3. The initial commissioning data and Data Set 1 were completed with DC3m.1 while DC3m.2 was being designed and constructed. As DC3m.2 is 25 cm wider than DC3m.1 at each side, it provided a 20% increase in number of accepted events at $x_2 \approx 0.3$ and 10% at $x_2 \approx 0.4$. DC3m.2 also provided better operational stability; a lower number of dead or noisy wires and lower leak current. The DC1.2 chamber was also designed and constructed for this experiment. It is wider than DC1.1 by 25 cm at each side, also providing an increase in statistical sensitivity at large $x_2$. In addition, DC1.2 has a smaller cell width for better hit-rate tolerance.

6.2. Electronics

The SeaQuest wire chambers use a custom amplifier-discriminator integrated circuit called ASDQ designed at the University of Pennsylvania for CDF [14]. A new 8-channel “ASDQ card” and 64-channel “Level Shifter
Table 4: Parameters of all chambers. Those of primed planes are almost the same as of unprimed planes. For the x measuring planes, the position is the distance between the chamber and the upstream face of FMag, while for u and v it denotes the offset relative to the x plane.

| Chamber | View | No. of wires | Cell width \(\times\) height | Position |
|---------|------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| DC1.1   | x    | 160          | 0.64 \(\times\) 122          | 616      |
|         | u, v | 201          | 0.64 \(\times\) 122          | \(\pm\) 20|
| DC1.2   | x    | 320          | 0.50 \(\times\) 137          | 691      |
|         | u, v | 384          | 0.50 \(\times\) 137          | \(\pm\) 1.2|
| DC2     | x    | 112          | 2.1 \(\times\) 264           | 1347     |
|         | u, v | 128          | 2.0 \(\times\) 264           | \(\pm\) 25|
| DC3p    | x    | 116          | 2.0 \(\times\) 166           | 1931     |
|         | u, v | 134          | 2.0 \(\times\) 166           | \(\pm\) 6 |
| DC3m.1  | x    | 176          | 1.0 \(\times\) 168           | 1879     |
|         | u, v | 208          | 1.0 \(\times\) 163           | \(\pm\) 19|
| DC3m.2  | x    | 116          | 2.0 \(\times\) 166           | 1895     |
|         | u, v | 134          | 2.0 \(\times\) 166           | \(\pm\) 6|

Board” (LSB) were developed at Fermilab for SeaQuest. The LSBs convert the differential hit signals output by the ASDQs to standard low-voltage differential signaling (LVDS). They also provide bias, threshold, and control voltages for the ASDQ cards.

The ASDQ cards are mounted directly on each wire chamber. On DC2 and DC3, copper grounding card guides connect to the chamber frames and provide both mechanical support and the reference voltage for the ASDQ inputs. Except for DC1.1, all cathode wires are biased with negative high voltage, and the anode wire signals are DC coupled to the ASDQ inputs. DC1.1 uses positive HV applied to the anode wires. The signals from these chambers are AC coupled to the ASDQ inputs through high voltage blocking capacitors that are integral to the chambers.

A single twisted pair ribbon cable connects each ASDQ card to an LSB. Signals from 8 ASDQ cards may be input to a single LSB. The LVDS outputs from an LSB are carried on four 17-pair ribbon cables to TDC modules. Typically, one 64-channel LSB is connected to one corresponding 64-channel TDC. Up to 14 LSBs are housed in a 9U crate that distributes 24 V power to the LSBs on a narrow backplane. The 24 V is provided by a rack-mounted linear power supply connected to the LSB crate backplane. Multiple LSB crates can be powered by a single supply. One LSB per station is controlled using an Ethernet interface. Control of the other LSBs at that station use a serial protocol implemented with short RJ11 cables linking one LSB to the next. A test pulse can also be injected to selected groups of channels. The timing of the test pulse can be determined by a TTL pulse distributed to the LSBs using a daisy-chain of LEMO cables, or independently by each ASDQ card being tested.
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6Unitrack “Ground-R-Guide,” see [http://www.unitrack.com/metal_card_guide-ground-r-guide.html](http://www.unitrack.com/metal_card_guide-ground-r-guide.html)
Table 5: Performance of the drift chambers in the SeaQuest experiment between April 2014 and June 2015. The position resolution and detection efficiency are the average of the resolutions and efficiencies for all six planes in the specified chamber. The resolutions are the average value for each chamber of the RMS of the difference between the measured position in a plane and the position calculated at the z coordinate of that plane using a fit with the plane excluded from the fit.

| Chamber | Max. drift (ns) | Pos. res. (µm) | Detection eff. (%) |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| DC1.1   | 100            | 225            | 99-100            |
| DC2     | 260            | 325            | 96-99             |
| DC3p    | 220            | 240            | 95-98             |
| DC3m.2  | 210            | 246            | 97-98             |

6.3. Drift Chamber Performance

The performance of each drift chamber was measured in situ. Muon tracks were reconstructed with chamber planes of stations 1, 2, and 3. The efficiency for each plane was determined by measuring the probability of a hit near where the muon track crossed the plane. The distance between hit and muon track (residual) was used to extract the position resolution. Measurement results for the chambers used in the running period April 2014 - June 2015 are summarized in Tab. 5. The single-plane efficiency is better than the requirement (>95%) for all planes. The position measurement resolution of all the planes is better than the requirement (<400 µm). The residuals from track fits are shown in Fig. 9.

The performance described above was measured with low occupancy. At high occupancy, the efficiency may be lower for several reasons. For example, the probability that a signal hit is associated with a background hit is estimated to be 30%, 40% and 10%\(^7\) at the edges (where the hit rate is highest) of stations 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Signal hits are sometimes lost due to associated background hits at the data-acquisition stage or the track reconstruction stage. This effect may cause a few percent of inefficiency at the edges of the stations. The rate tolerance of the chambers and analysis techniques to overcome possible limitations are being examined.

7. Muon Identification

Muon identification at SeaQuest is accomplished with station 4, which is located downstream of a 1 m thick iron wall. Like the other stations, this station contains both triggering hodoscopes (which were described in Sec. 5) and tracking detectors. The station 4 tracking detectors consist of 4 layers of proportional tube planes. Each plane is made of 9 proportional tube modules, with each module assembled from 16 proportional tubes, each 12 ft long with a 2 in diameter, staggered to form two sub-layers. The proportional tubes are oriented along the horizontal (vertical) direction to provide precision measurements in the y(x)-coordinate in the first and fourth (second and third) planes, as shown in Fig. 10. The wall thickness of each tube is 1/16 in. The central anode wire is a gold-plated 20 µm diameter tungsten wire. The proportional tubes used the same gas mixture as the drift chambers. The proportional tubes modules were originally developed for a Homeland Security project at Los Alamos National Laboratory that used cosmic ray muon radiography imaging [15].

A typical high energy muon traverses two proportional tubes in each plane and induces hit signals on two anode wires. Groups of 16 proportional tube anodes are read out independently through Nanometric Systems.

\(^7\)Probability = background rate × time window.

3.0 MHz/wire × 100 ns in the case of DC1.1
Figure 9: The residual distributions from each of the drift chamber planes.
Figure 10: (Upper) Top (x-z) view of the proportional tube layout. (Lower) Side (y-z) view of the proportional tube layout.

N-277 16-channel Amplifier/Discriminator cards with a common threshold preset externally.\(^8\)

The small, momentum-dependent deflection of the track angles before and after the iron absorber is used as the key signature of a muon track. The position resolution has been studied with cosmic rays and with \(J/\psi\) dimuon samples. The resolution, shown in Fig. 11, was determined to be about 0.5 mm in drift distance, which is more than sufficient for muon identification purposes. For the muon identification, 8 hits from 4 planes of the proportional tubes are used to form a muon road pointing back to the target. With a maximum drift time of 650 ns, the proportional tube can have acceptable performance with a singles rate up to 2 MHz/wire. In normal operation the hit rate was typically below 1 MHz/wire.

8. Trigger

The SeaQuest trigger uses discriminated signals from the hodoscope counters and is designed to be sufficiently flexible to quickly accommodate changes in the spectrometer, beam conditions, and physics goals. The trigger is optimized to accept high-mass (4-10 GeV/c\(^2\)) dimuons originating from the targets. In order to keep the trigger rate low enough to maintain an acceptable DAQ dead time, most other sources of dimuons, such as \(J/\psi\) decays, are suppressed. A detailed description of the main components of the firmware design (the TDC, the delay adjustment, and the trigger logic) is given in Ref. [16]. Details about the final design and performance of the trigger system, including the trigger logic optimization, can be found in Ref. [17].

8.1. Overall Structure

The SeaQuest Trigger System uses 9 CAEN V1495 VME modules that include an Altera EP1C20F400C6 FPGA, and a “Trigger Supervisor” VME module designed at Jefferson Laboratory [18]. The arrangement of these modules is depicted in Fig. 12. The trigger consists of three separate “Levels” of V1495 modules. Level 0 contains four V1495 modules, one for each hodoscope “quadrant” (upper bend plane, lower bend plane, upper nonbend plane, and lower nonbend plane). Level 0 operates in two distinct
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\(^8\)Nanometric Systems Inc., Oak Park, IL. The N-277 data sheet is available at [https://hallcweb.jlab.org/experiments/hks/datasheets/nanometric.pdf](https://hallcweb.jlab.org/experiments/hks/datasheets/nanometric.pdf)
modes. In “Production” mode (normal data-taking), Level 0 simply passes the input signals from the hodoscopes directly through to the Level 1 modules. In “Pulser” mode, Level 0 generates arbitrary hit patterns on its outputs. Level 0 Pulser Tests are used to verify the behavior of Level 1 and Level 2. Level 1 also consists of four V1495 modules, each taking output signals from one Level 0 board as input. Level 1 is responsible for finding four-hit track candidates in each quadrant. The track candidates are grouped into bins, and these bins are sent to Level 2 as a bit string (up to 32 bits from each Level 1 module). Level 2 is a single board, which takes up to 32 channels of input from each Level 1 board. Level 2 is the “track correlator.” Level 2 forms all possible pairs of track candidates from Level 1, applies firmware-defined selection criteria, and sends five independent output triggers to the Trigger Supervisor. (See Sec. 9.2.)

8.2. Firmware

Custom firmware was written to meet the requirements for the SeaQuest trigger. The Level 1 and 2 firmwares are largely identical, differing only in the content of the logic pipeline. There are three main parts of the firmware: the TDC block, the delay adjustment pipeline, and the trigger matrix. Level 0 shares the TDC block and the delay adjustment pipeline, but the trigger matrix is unused. Additionally, Level 0 contains the Pulser-mode firmware components.

The TDC digitizes the input signals within each V1495 module. A four-phase sampling scheme is used to achieve 1.177 ns resolution with a 212.4 MHz clock. An on-board PLL (Phase Locked Loop) is used, with a 16/4 ratio, to generate the 212.4 MHz clock from the external 53.1 MHz MI (Main Injector) RF clock. The MI RF clock is synchronized with the RF structure of the delivered proton beam. The TDC block generates four
clocks from the fast clock, with phases offset by 90° (0°, 90°, 180°, 270°). The input of each channel is sampled with all four clocks, to achieve an effective TDC resolution of 1.177 ns.

The delay-adjustment pipeline aligns the timing of the input signals and provides event storage. It consists of RAM blocks where digitized hits are stored in 16 clock-tick (18.8 ns) bins. The input timing for each channel is individually adjustable in 1.177 ns steps. Each 16 clock-tick bin (within each channel), can hold only one hit. If multiple hits arrive in the same TDC bin, only the latest hit is stored. This pipeline also serves as event storage for DAQ readout of the V1495 TDC contents.

The trigger matrix is a lookup-table-based trigger logic implementation. The digitized hits from the TDC block are sent to the Trigger Matrix where they are combined to generate the output signals. For each RF bin, the Level 1 Trigger Matrix compares the pattern of hits against a list of hit patterns designated as “Trigger Roads.” Any and all matching patterns generate an output bit. The output bits are binned by charge and average $x$-momentum ($p_x$) of the track. There are twelve, 0.5 GeV/c-wide $p_x$-bins for each charge, so each Level 1 board outputs a 24-bit word for each RF clock cycle. If multiple patterns match a single charge/$p_x$ bin, the output bit is still set to True. The Level 2 Trigger Matrix checks all possible combinations of individual roads (found by Level 1) against a lookup table of valid “di-roads.” Although possible in principle, the Level 2 trigger does not utilize the non-bend plane information nor the $p_x$-bin information. The definitions of the Level 2 output triggers are given in Tab. 6.

Level 0’s “Pulser Mode” requires additional firmware blocks for storing hit patterns and generating “pulser” output signals. In “Pulser Test” mode, Level 0 reads hit patterns from text files, loads those hit patterns into RAM blocks, and generates output signals based on those patterns. With Level 1 and Level 2 operating normally, the “pulser” output from Level 0 is treated identically to real hodoscope signals. Comparing the output of Level 2 with the expectation based on the loaded pattern, the behavior of the Level 1 and Level 2 trigger logic can be verified.

8.3. Performance

SeaQuest’s FPGA-based trigger system has performed, and continues to perform, quite well throughout data-recording. Together with the Beam Intensity Monitor, the trigger is able to preferentially select candidate dimuon events out of a very high-rate environment. Continuous improvements to the trigger system have led to improved signal acceptance, background rejection, and self-monitoring.

Figure 13 (left) shows the trigger acceptance in mass, relative to the geometric acceptance of the hodoscopes, for the Data Set 2 and Data Set 3 “matrices.” The trigger roads are chosen to significantly suppress events with $M < 4 \text{ GeV/c}^2$. Dimuons generated by charmonium decays would otherwise dominate event rate, and overwhelm the DAQ. Above 4.2 GeV/c$^2$, the sample of reconstructed dimuons is dominated by the Drell-Yan process. Since the main physics goals of SeaQuest cover a broad range in $x^2$, the trigger acceptance must be relatively flat in $x^2$. Figure 13 (right) shows the trigger acceptance (relative to the geometric hodoscope acceptance) versus $x^2$, for events with mass greater than 4.2 GeV/c$^2$.

The consistent and reliable behavior of the V1495 trigger system was verified through extensive in situ and bench pulser testing. The analysis of recorded data verified the internal consistency of the trigger in real experimental conditions. That is, by comparing the recorded input hits to the generated
Table 6: The five outputs of the Level 2 trigger module. “Matrix 1” is the main production trigger. “Matrix 3” is used to estimate combinatoric background contributions. The other three are not currently used in the analysis. The column labeled “Side” denotes the combination of either the top or bottom (T or B respectively) that the triggering tracks were found.

| Name   | Side   | Charge  | $p_T$ Req. | Notes                     |
|--------|--------|---------|------------|---------------------------|
| Matrix 1 | TB/BT  | + − / − + | None       | Main physics trigger       |
| Matrix 2 | TT/BB  | + − / − + | None       | Same-Side trigger          |
| Matrix 3 | TB/BT  | ++ / − − | None       | Like-Charge trigger        |
| Matrix 4 | T/B    | + / −    | None       | All singles trigger        |
| Matrix 5 | T/B    | + / −    | $p_T > 3\text{ GeV/c}$ | High-$p_T$ singles trigger |

9. Data Acquisition Systems

Data acquisition for SeaQuest is divided into three separate systems based on timing and bandwidth requirements that could not be easily met with a single central system. The three subsystems are called “Event DAQ,” “Scaler DAQ,” and “Beam DAQ.” The Event DAQ records the event-by-event main detector information and the trigger timing. The Scaler DAQ records the scaler information on a 7.5 kHz clock and at the end of the spill. The Beam DAQ records information from the beam line Cerenkov detector (discussed in Sec. 2). Both the Event and Scaler DAQs use the VME-based “CODA” (CEBAF On-line Data Acquisition) system developed by the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (JLab). The VME modules used by SeaQuest are described in Sec. 9.2.

9.1. Event DAQ

The Event DAQ digitizes the signals from the spectrometer on an event-by-event basis. This system uses multiple front-end VME crates that operate in parallel, each reading a specific part of the spectrometer. Each VME crate contains a single board processor, a trigger interface (or supervisor) and a number of TDCs or other modules to be read out. In SeaQuest, the number of front-end crates varied with the spectrometer configuration, but in general, there were about 14 front-end VME crates along with one trigger supervisor (TS) crate. While the details of the CODA framework can be found elsewhere [19], some of the basic features are described here. The data from the individual front-end VME crates is transmitted over gigabit Ethernet using a private network.

The system is triggered by either a signal from the trigger matrix (see Sec. 8) or from NIM diagnostics triggers. These signals are processed by the TS [18]. The TS can receive up to 12 different input triggers. The first four triggers can be pre-scaled by up to 24 bits and are used for the matrix triggers from the CAEN V1495 logic. The second four triggers (MATRIX5, NIM1-3) can be pre-scaled up to 16 bits. The remaining four triggers are not pre-scalable. When the TS accepts a trigger, it transmits a signal to the trigger interface (TI) in each front-end VME crate. The TI acknowledges the trigger and alerts the VME processor to read out the event. The TS also generates the signal that is used for the TDC common stop. When each front-end VME crate has completed its readout, the TI signals the TS that the read out is complete. The TS also sends a trigger to QIE (see Sec. 2). The QIE system retains
data from a 12 to 16 RF bucket time window around the trigger to measure the beam intensity before and after each trigger. This output is encoded and read by a scaler-latch located in one of the front-end VME crates.

During the recording of Data Sets 1-6, readout time was approximately 150 µs. Since most of the dead time was associated with data transfer over the VME backplanes, a buffered readout scheme was developed in which data is stored locally in each TDC module during the four-second slow spill and transferred over the VME backplanes only between spills. This reduced the readout time to approximately 30 µs during the spill. This was deployed in fall 2016 between Data Sets 6 and 7.

9.2. DAQ Electronics

Each VME crate is equipped with a single board computer, or Read Out Controller (ROC). Each processor is responsible for the readout of the VME modules within its crate. The SeaQuest systems use Motorola MVME55000 and MVME61000 boards running the VXWorks operating system, and Concurrent Technologies VX913/012-13 running Linux.

Trigger inputs come from the TS that accepts trigger inputs from the V1495 system and from NIM logic. It prescales some trigger inputs and fans out the trigger to the rest of the Event DAQ. The TI in each VME crate receives the trigger and passes it to the ROC in that VME crate. When the ROC is ready for another trigger, it alerts the TI. The TI in turn sends a signal to the TS indicating it is ready for another trigger. The TS inhibits triggers until all TIs are ready. A Struck SIS3610 module provides the handshake between the NIM trigger logic and Scaler DAQ and sends the interrupt to the scaler ROC when the scaler DAQ accepts the trigger [20].

The discriminated signals from the hodoscopes, drift chambers and proportional tubes are converted into time signals by custom Time-to-Digital Converter (TDC) modules [21]. These modules have 64-channel input in a 6U VMEbus form factor and are equipped with a low-power and radiation-hardened Microsemi ProA-SIC3 Flash based FPGA [22]. The firmware digitizes multiple input hits of both polarities while allowing users to turn on a multiple-hit elimination logic to remove after-pulses in the wire chambers and proportional tubes. A scaler is implemented in the firmware to record the number of hits in each channel.

The Scaler-Latch (SL) uses the same hardware as the TDCs. The only difference is the firmware. The SL receives a 16 channel LVDS output from the Beam Intensity Monitor interface module (see Sec. 2).
SL is initialized when all 16 channels are low for longer than 12.8 $\mu$s. The SL is triggered when it receives a high signal and then every 100 ns, the SL records 16 bits data until 128 words are captured for each trigger. If there are more than 128 words, the extra words will start a new trigger and overwrite the buffer.

The VME Scaler used for this system is a custom made 32 bit per channel 140 MHz scaler produced by IPN Orsay for the G0 Experiment at JLab [23].

9.3. Scaler DAQ

The Scaler DAQ system operates independently of the Event DAQ. This system is alive whether or not the Event DAQ is recording events and allows the experiment to monitor the spectrometer, trigger and beam conditions. The system is comprised of one VME crate reading out four scalers. One of these scalers is triggered by the coincidence of a 7.5 kHz gate generator and the beam spill signal. This records the 7.5 kHz response of two unrelated hodoscopes and can be used to calculate the duty factor of the incoming beam. The other three scalers are triggered by the BOS or EOS signals and record spill-level rates. Data collected by these spill-level scalers include the number of times each Event DAQ trigger is satisfied, intensity of the beam, and the rates of the hodoscope arrays. As with the Event DAQ, the readout of the VME-based DAQ is done using CODA. An independent program analyzes the data in realtime to monitor the performance of the detector and trigger, as well as the quality of the beam. A particularly useful diagnostic was a fast Fourier transform of the beam intensity recorded at 7.5 kHz during the 4 s spill, as shown in Fig. 14.

9.4. Beam DAQ

The Beam DAQ reads the data from a Cerenkov detector in the proton beam (see Sec. 2). This records the 53 MHz structure of the beam, i.e. the intensity of each RF bucket. Its calculation of the 53 MHz duty factor $DF = \frac{I}{I^2}$ is the primary measure of the quality of the delivered beam that accelerator operators use for tuning. The 53 MHz readout capability also enables a very accurate determination of live time.

There are four types of data that are recorded by the QIE board during the spill: (a) the intensity of each RF bucket; (b) the number of protons inhibited due to high instantaneous intensity for each inhibit generated; (c) the number of protons missed because the trigger system was busy during readout. This number excludes inhibited protons to avoid double counting; and (d) the sum of beam intensity, $I$, and intensity squared $I^2$ for the spill, from which the duty factor is calculated.

The Beam DAQ commences the readout of each of these blocks of data when the EOS signal is seen. The block of pulse height data for all buckets is about 300 MB. To read this much data in time to analyze it and be ready for the next spill, the DAQ program uses Boost’s implementation of multi-
Three threads are used to read the data from the Beam Intensity Monitor board’s three Ethernet chips, and up to eight threads are used to analyze the data expeditiously. The analyzed data is displayed on a public webpage so that shift personnel and accelerator operators can monitor the quality of the beam. A representative plot of part of a spill’s beam intensity is shown in Fig. 15.

9.5. Slow Controls

A suite of auxiliary scripts runs on a gateway server to handle slow control, synchronization of DAQ data streams, and status monitoring. These scripts utilize the standard Experimental Physics and Industrial Control System (EPICS) [24] software package to communicate the values of process variables across various servers.

Since the three independent DAQ systems write output to three separate files, the decoding codes must know how to link to the same beam spill. This is accomplished by assigning a spill ID number for each spill and writing it into the data stream of each DAQ. One master spill ID is stored in a file, which is updated each spill. A script will increment the number stored in this file each time the EOS signal is seen. The script further writes this value into the easily accessible but volatile memory of the EPICS server. The file is inserted directly into the CODA output files of both the Event DAQ and Scaler DAQ, so that the spill ID is stored directly inside the data recorded during that spill. Programs performing realtime analysis read the spill ID via EPICS and similarly attach this number to any output they create.

Slow control data are collected when the EOS signal is delivered. The data describe the accelerator, target, and environmental conditions during the beam spill. The accelerator information describes the intensity and quality of the beam delivered, configuration of the accelerator, and status of SeaQuest’s focusing and analysis magnets. These data are collected by Fermilab’s accelerator controls network (ACNET) [23] and retrieved by SeaQuest scripts from the ACNET using its XML-RPC server. Target data are read from an EPICS instance that interfaces directly with the target system’s open platform communication (OPC). The target in the beam, target rotation pattern, and pertinent pressures and temperatures of the cryogenics are recorded. Environmental conditions are monitored by a multichannel digital multimeter that communicates over Ethernet and gathers data from temperature, pressure, and humidity sensors deployed throughout the detector hall. The values are reported via a slow control script on request that requires less than 10 seconds to run. The temperatures measured include DAQ crates, and ambient temperatures at lower and upper parts of the detector hall. The humidity sensors have thermistors so that dew points can be calculated. Combined with pressure sensors these can be used to understand high voltage leakage currents. These data are written to a file and inserted into the CODA output files of both the Event DAQ and Scaler DAQ. The spill ID is included in this file for redundancy, should the synchronization protocols described above fail.

The status of data collection is monitored in realtime to augment the ability of shifter personnel and experts to ensure high-quality data. Critical components monitored include the accelerator spill signals and whether beam is being delivered, that all three DAQ systems are alive and realtime monitoring is up-to-date, and whether there is adequate disk space. The results of these checks are output to a public webpage. If there is a problem that jeopardizes data collection, the shifter is notified with an audible alarm and

---

http://www.boost.org
10. Future Measurements and Summary

We have described the SeaQuest spectrometer. It was designed to detect dimuon pairs produced in 120 GeV proton-proton and proton-nucleus collisions, with an emphasis on accepting dimuons produced in the decay of a high mass virtual photon or meson. The spectrometer was modeled after earlier, highly-successful dimuon spectrometers at Fermilab. The spectrometer has been in operation since 2012 collecting data for the SeaQuest measurement of $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$. Since we began the construction of the SeaQuest spectrometer for this measurement, additional measurements have been proposed using the spectrometer either parasitically or in dedicated runs, including (a) a search for dark sector photons [26]; (b) a study of the transverse polarization of sea quarks by measuring the Sivers asymmetry with a transversely polarized target [27]; and (c) a high statistics test of the Sivers DIS/Drell-Yan sign change with a polarized proton beam [27]. Funding is being sought for the polarized measurements, which have received Stage I approval from Fermilab. The dark photon search is running parasitically with the current measurement.
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Figure 15: The beam intensity measured by the Beam DAQ Cerenkov counter every beam bucket. Each strip shows the number of protons per beam bucket as a function of time for approximately 33 $\mu$s. The upper and lower plots begin 2.0 s and 2.5 s after the beginning of the same spill. The horizontal red line in each plot is the threshold above which the beam veto is set.
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