Rural–urban disparities in patient satisfaction with oral health care: a provincial survey
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Abstract

Background: Identifying spatial variation in patient satisfaction is essential to improve the quality of care. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate rural–urban disparities in patient satisfaction and to determine the factors that could influence satisfaction with oral health care.

Methods: Data from 1788 parents/caregivers of children who participated in the Quebec Ministry of Health clinical study were subject to secondary analysis. The Perneger model of patient satisfaction was used as the conceptual framework for the study. Satisfaction with oral health care was measured using the WHO-sponsored International Collaborative Study of Oral Health Outcomes (ICS-II). Explanatory variables included predisposing factors and enabling resources. Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics, as well as bivariate and linear regression models.

Results: Individuals with higher income, dental insurance coverage, having a family dentist, reporting ease in finding a dentist, and having access to a private dental clinic were more satisfied with oral health care (p < 0.001). There were statistically significant differences between rural and urban Quebec residents in their ratings of patient satisfaction on four items, including dental office location (p = 0.013), dental equipment (p = 0.016), cost of dental treatment (p < 0.001), and cleanliness of dental office (p = 0.004), with greater satisfaction for urban dwellers. The multiple linear regression model showed that major determinants of patient satisfaction were being born in Canada, income ≥ 40,000$ CAD, having a family dentist, and having visited the dentist in the last year for regular checkups. However, ethnicity, having difficulty finding a dentist, and being in need of dental treatment negatively influenced patient satisfaction with oral health care.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that Quebec rural–urban disparity exists in patient satisfaction with care and that determinants of health influence this outcome. Intensive and powerful knowledge dissemination activities are needed to mobilize policymakers in implementing public health strategies to reduce this disparity.

Keywords: Satisfaction, Disparity, Urban, Rural, Oral Health Care

Background

The evaluation of health care quality has traditionally been based on objective measurements of regulation bodies, such as harm and clinical errors, with less attention to patients’ experiences, expectations and ratings of care delivery [1]. In 2010, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) published guidelines for the improvement of...
quality health care systems, with an emphasis on patient centred-care, equity and efficiency of care [2, 3]. Accordingly, in the last decades of evidence-based practice, patients have been involved in clinical decision making and evaluation of care, and patient satisfaction has been used as an indicator of quality of health care [4–6]. Patient satisfaction is defined by Pascoe as “a patient’s response to a significant aspect of her/his experience of health care services” [7]. Satisfying patients results in positive health care outcomes, including increased trust in health care providers and health care systems [8].

Patient satisfaction entails numerous dimensions and is related to several factors, such as socio-economic background, cultural values, environmental characteristics of health care settings, accessibility and availability of care, patients’ previous experiences with health care, the quality and effectiveness of the treatment, as well as health care providers’ attitudes, experiences and knowledge [8, 9]. Demographic and socio-economic characteristics of patients including age, gender, place of residency, education, income, marital status, and race are considered to be among the main determinants of patient satisfaction with health care [10, 11]. According to the Batbaatar et al. [11] systematic review, the determinants of patient satisfaction include the environment where the care is delivered, as well as the accessibility of care. Numerous studies have demonstrated that easy access to care increases patient satisfaction [7, 11–14]. In addition, research has indicated that patient satisfaction is strongly linked to the distance where the health care facilities are located, as well as the accessibility of care. Furthermore, these studies showed that availability is dependent on available workforce and affordability, as well as flexibility of payment mechanisms, insurance status and insurance coverage; all of these increase patient ratings of satisfaction [11, 27].

Some studies showed that rural communities have lower levels of satisfaction with health care than their urban counterparts [28, 29]. Rural population are reported to have a poor quality of life, access to oral health, and oral health status [30–34]. People living in rural areas may also be less satisfied with their oral health care because of poor access to oral health care services that, in turn, could be influenced by several factors such as low socioeconomic status, geographic remoteness, shortages of dental professionals, lack of public transportation and limited dental insurance coverage [28, 35–38]. According to the Canadian Census 2016, about 19.4% of all Quebecers live in rural areas [39]. However, as per the study conducted by Emami et al., significantly higher number of general and specialists dentists (90.3%) are located in urban areas in Quebec [40]. Identifying spatial variation in patient satisfaction is essential to improve the provision of quality oral care [28]. According to available evidence, there is no study that examines rural–urban disparity in patient satisfaction with oral health care. Thus, additional research is needed to fill this knowledge gap. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate rural–urban disparities in patient satisfaction with oral health care and to determine factors that may influence patient satisfaction with oral health care.

Methods

Design, setting, study participants

This study used the data (n = 1788) from a previous survey entitled “Dent Ma Region” [34]. The research study methodology has previously been published [34]. In brief, this study was an add-on to a provincial survey on the oral health status of Quebec’s primary school students (second grade & sixth grade school children), carried out in collaboration with the Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec (INSPQ) and the Quebec Ministry of Health and Social Services (MSSS). The target population of this survey was parents/caregivers of school children (second grade & sixth grade) who were living in the eight regions of the province of Quebec (not included North of Quebec, Indigenous population). A random subsample of the provincial survey was selected for the Dent Ma Region study using stratified two-stage data sampling. Rurality was defined according to the 2006 Metropolitan Area and Census Agglomeration Influenced Zone (MIZ) provided by Statistics Canada [41]. The residential post-code was used to assign respondents’ census geography using the Postal Code Conversion File Plus (PCCF+) [42]. Over sampling of certain schools in some rural areas was done to increase the reliability and precision of estimates for these regions. The sampling design considered the proper weighting of each unit and unequal probabilities of each sample, to be representative of rural–urban population of parents/caregivers of school children. Parents/caregivers, who agreed to participate after learning about the study from the dental examiner or the hygienist responsible for the INSPQ, received questionnaire packages with informed consent forms sent from the administrators of their children’s schools. Ethical approval was provided by the institutional review boards of the Université de Montréal and McGill University.

Study outcome and data collection

An adapted Perneger’s model of patient satisfaction was used as the conceptual framework to analyse the study results [43]. According to this model, patient satisfaction with health care is associated with the patient experience and the quality of care, as well as patient characteristics [43].
Patient satisfaction with care was measured using a validated and highly reliable instrument (α = 0.87) developed originally for the WHO-sponsored International Collaborative Study of Oral Health Outcomes (ICS-II) [44]. The questionnaire contains 12 items, with each item scored on a four-point Likert-type scale (very satisfied = 4, fairly satisfied = 3, dissatisfied = 2, very dissatisfied = 1). The total summary score was calculated by adding the scores for all items, resulting in a total summary score ranging from 12 to 48 [45].

Andersen’s behavioral model of health services utilization was used to examine to what extent predisposing, enabling factors and the need for care could influence patients’ satisfaction with oral health care [34, 46, 47]. Accordingly, the predisposing factors included age, gender, ethnicity (African, South American, Indigenous, European, North American, Asian, Middle Eastern), marital status, place of birth, language, education, occupation, perceived general and oral health, oral health knowledge and place of residency. Enabling resources included household income, dental insurance coverage, having a family dentist, difficulty in finding a dentist, distance to dental care provider in kilometers, means of transportation to dental care services and type of dental care providers. Questions about dental visits during the past year, the need for dental treatment and reason for dental visit were asked to evaluate the perceived dental care need. These data were collected through a self-administered and validated multidimensional questionnaire [34, 45]. The items in this questionnaire were extracted from the Canadian Oral Health Measures Survey and the Quebec Oral Health Surveillance questionnaire [34, 48, 49].

Data analysis
The data were weighted prior to the data analyses and were adjusted to take into account the survey design (value of 1.5). The data were first subjected to descriptive statistical tests to determine frequency counts, percentages, and univariate means, as well as to test for normality. Student’s t-tests and Pearson’s correlations were used to examine the association of independent variables with patients’ satisfaction ratings. All independent variables were incorporated into the multiple linear regression model to determine which of these variables are associated with total mean scores of patient satisfaction. The statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$, and data analyses were carried out using SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All methods were carried out in accordance with the STROBE guidelines for reporting a cross sectional study [50].

Results
The data set contained 1788 participants. The sample population was representative of the Quebec rural–urban population with approximately 19.0% (n = 333) living in rural areas and 81.4% (n = 1455) living in urban areas. The mean age of the sample was 39.3 ± 5.2 years. Most of the survey respondents were women (87.5%), married (87.5%) and had college/university education (81.0%). Most of them were employed full time (70.1%), with an annual income of $\geq 40,000 CAD (56.7%). Rural residents had lower education and employment rates, as well as lower incomes than their urban counterparts.

Bivariate analysis showed that the mean total patient satisfaction score for the sample was high (42.3 ± 4.5), and there was no significant difference in this score regarding place of residency ($p = 0.66$; Table 1). However, females, born in Canada, North Americans, married and those having oral health knowledge and good perceived oral and general health were more satisfied than their counterparts ($p < 0.05$). Respondents having incomes greater than $\geq 40,000$ CAD, dental insurance coverage, a family dentist, ease in finding a dentist and access to private dental clinics were more satisfied, as well ($p < 0.001$). Those who visited the dentist in the previous year, having no dental treatment needs and visited dentist for regular checkups rated their satisfaction higher with oral health care ($p < 0.001$; Table 1).

Regarding individual questionnaire items, all study participants highly rated their satisfaction with cleanliness and neatness of the dental office, whereas the lowest mean item score was for the cost of the last dental visit.

As shown in Table 2, there were statistically significant differences between rural and urban Quebec residents in patient ratings of four satisfaction items, including: dental office location ($p = 0.013$), dental equipment ($p = 0.016$), cost of dental treatment ($p < 0.001$) and cleanliness of the dental office ($p = 0.004$). Rural residents were less satisfied than their urban counterparts with the neighborhood where the dental office was located, as well as the cost of their last dental visit. In contrast, urban residents were less satisfied with the dental equipment and the cleanliness of the dental office than were rural residents.

The multiple linear regression model showed that major determinants of patient satisfaction were being born in Canada ($p < 0.001$), income $\geq 40,000$ CAD ($p < 0.05$), having a family dentist ($p < 0.001$), and having visited the dentist in the last year for regular checkups ($p < 0.05$). However, ethnicity ($p < 0.05$), having difficulty finding a dentist ($p < 0.001$), and being in need of dental treatment ($p < 0.05$) negatively influenced patient satisfaction with oral health care (Table 3). These factors explained 17% of the variability in patient satisfaction ratings.
Table 1 Bivariate analysis of predisposing factors, enabling factors, dental treatment needs (Andersen's behavioral model of health services use-based variables) associated with patient satisfaction

| Satisfaction differences | Mean ± SD | T  | Mean difference | Std. error | 95% Confidence interval         | p value |
|--------------------------|-----------|----|-----------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                   |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Male                     | 41.8 ± 4.6| −2.04| −0.663         | 0.32       | (−1.30, −0.03)               | 0.042   |
| Female                   | 42.4 ± 4.5|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Place of birth           |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Canada                   | 42.6 ± 4.4| 7.72| 3.25           | 0.42       | (2.43, 4.08)                 | < 0.001 |
| Others                   | 39.3 ± 4.4|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Ethnicity                |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| North American           | 42.5 ± 4.3| 4.51| 1.80           | 0.40       | (1.02, 2.58)                 | < 0.001 |
| Others                   | 40.7 ± 5.6|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Martial status           |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Single                   | 41.5 ± 4.7| 2.87| 0.94           | 0.33       | (0.30, 1.57)                | 0.004   |
| Married                  | 42.4 ± 4.5|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Income                   |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| <40,000$ CAD             | 41.7 ± 4.8| −4.54| −1.04         | 0.23       | (−1.49, −0.59)              | < 0.001 |
| ≥40,000$ CAD             | 42.8 ± 4.3|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Dental knowledge         |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Yes                      | 42.4 ± 4.5| 3.08| 1.93           | 0.63       | (0.70, 3.16)                | 0.002   |
| No                       | 40.5 ± 4.7|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Perceived general health |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Poor                     | 38.9 ± 4.4| 4.53| 3.51           | 0.77       | (1.99, 5.02)                | < 0.001 |
| Good                     | 42.4 ± 4.5|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Perceived oral health    |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Poor                     | 39.0 ± 5.1| 7.03| 3.50           | 0.50       | (2.52, 4.47)                | < 0.001 |
| Good                     | 42.5 ± 4.4|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Dental insurance         |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Yes                      | 42.8 ± 4.4| 6.50| 1.47           | 0.23       | (1.03, 1.91)                | < 0.001 |
| No                       | 41.4 ± 4.7|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Type of clinic           |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Private                  | 42.4 ± 4.5| 3.22| 1.64           | 0.51       | (0.64, 2.64)                | 0.001   |
| Public                   | 40.8 ± 5.0|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Difficulty finding dentist|         |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Difficult                | 39.0 ± 5.1| −8.04| −3.53         | 0.44       | (−4.39, −2.67)              | < 0.001 |
| Easy                     | 42.6 ± 4.4|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Having family dentist    |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Yes                      | 42.6 ± 4.3| 11.21| 5.31          | 0.47       | (4.39, 6.24)                | < 0.001 |
| No                       | 37.3 ± 5.2|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Dental treatment need    |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Yes                      | 41.7 ± 4.7| −4.78| −1.07         | 0.22       | (−1.50, −0.63)              | < 0.001 |
| No                       | 42.7 ± 4.4|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Having dental visit last year| |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Yes                      | 42.7 ± 4.2| 8.36| 2.51           | 0.30       | (1.29, 3.10)                | < 0.001 |
| No                       | 40.2 ± 5.4|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Reason of visiting dentist|         |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Check up                 | 42.9 ± 4.4| 5.30| 1.13          | 0.21       | (0.71, 1.55)                | < 0.001 |
| Other reasons            | 41.8 ± 4.6|    |                  |            |                                |         |
| Place of residency       |           |    |                 |            |                                |         |
| Rural                    | 42.2 ± 4 | 0.43| 0.12           | 0.28       | (−0.42, 0.66)               | 0.66    |
| Urban                    | 42.4 ± 4.6|    |                  |            |                                |         |
Patient satisfaction is a complex and multi-factorial concept [11, 51–57]. Satisfaction with dental care services has been previously studied, since patients' evaluation of the quality and experience of care is instrumental to the improvement of quality of services [57–60]. Nevertheless, disparities in these services remain a challenge for user access to health and oral health services globally, particularly for people who live in rural and remote neighborhoods [30, 38]. Spatial disparity that could influence patient satisfaction in oral health care has previously been highlighted in the literature [6, 38, 53, 61, 62]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates rural–urban disparities in patient satisfaction with oral health care in a Quebec population.

In our study, enabling resources and predisposing factors were associated with patient ratings of satisfaction with care. People living in rural areas were less satisfied with the location of the dental office and cost of dental treatment than those in urban settings. These results are in line with the findings of a recent systematic review [11] in which the determinants of patient's satisfaction were shown to include patients' characteristics, affordability of care and access to care [11]. There is overwhelming evidence that rural residents must travel long distances to access dental care and that they have less

### Table 2: Rural–urban differences: patient satisfaction with Oral Health Care

| Questionnaire's item                                                                 | Urban mean ± SD | Rural mean ± SD | Mean difference | Std. error | 95% Confidence interval | p value* |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Getting an appointment when you wanted it                                           | 3.3 ± 0.6      | 3.3 ± 0.7      | −0.002         | 0.039      | (−0.78, 0.75)           | 0.70   |
| The time it took to get there?                                                       | 3.4 ± 0.7      | 3.4 ± 0.6      | −0.007         | 0.039      | (−0.084, 0.071)         | 0.87   |
| The neighborhood where the dental office is located                                 | 3.6 ± 0.6      | 3.5 ± 0.6      | 0.085          | 0.034      | (0.018, 0.152)          | 0.013  |
| The way you were made to feel welcome by the receptionist?                          | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 0.025          | 0.029      | (−0.033, 0.083)         | 0.39   |
| The way you were made to feel welcome by the hygienist/dental chairside assistant? | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 0.037          | 0.028      | (−0.092, 0.118)         | 0.19   |
| The way you were made to feel welcome by the dentist?                                | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 0.029          | 0.028      | (−0.026, 0.084)         | 0.30   |
| The information given you about what was wrong with your teeth?                     | 3.6 ± 0.2      | 3.6 ± 0.5      | 0.010          | 0.038      | (−0.052, 0.072)         | 0.75   |
| The information given you about what treatment was provided for you?                | 3.6 ± 0.5      | 3.7 ± 0.5      | −0.040         | 0.032      | (−0.102, 0.022)         | 0.21   |
| How up to date the dental equipment is?                                              | 3.6 ± 0.5      | 3.7 ± 0.5      | −0.079         | 0.033      | (−0.142, −0.015)        | 0.016  |
| The cost of your last dental visit?                                                  | 3.0 ± 0.8      | 2.8 ± 0.9      | 0.193          | 0.049      | (0.097, 0.289)          | <0.001 |
| The amount of time you waited to see the dentist?                                     | 3.4 ± 0.6      | 3.5 ± 0.6      | 0.193          | 0.049      | (−0.083, 0.061)         | 0.79   |
| The cleanliness and neatness of dental office                                        | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 3.8 ± 0.4      | −0.077         | 0.027      | (−0.129, −0.024)        | 0.004  |

* Adjusted for the effect plan

### Table 3: Multiple linear regression model factors associated with patient satisfaction total scores

| Variable                                             | B     | SE B | β      | t     | 95% Confidence interval | Sig   |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| Place of birth (ref: Canada)                         | 2.86  | 0.69 | 0.16   | 4.11  | (1.49, 4.22)            | <0.001|
| Ethnicity (ref: North American)                      | −1.13 | 0.57 | −0.07  | −1.98 | (−2.25, −0.01)          | 0.048 |
| Income (ref: ≥ 40,000$)                              | 0.68  | 0.31 | 0.07   | 2.14  | (0.05, 1.30)            | 0.032 |
| Difficulty to find a dentist (ref: Yes)              | −3.51 | 0.58 | −0.17  | −6.03 | (−4.65, −2.36)          | <0.001|
| Need for dental treatment (ref: Yes)                 | −0.70 | 0.29 | −0.07  | −2.44 | (−1.27, −0.13)          | 0.015 |
| Having family dentist (ref: Yes)                     | 4.13  | 0.74 | 0.19   | 5.56  | (2.67, 5.59)            | <0.001|
| Visiting the dentist in last year (ref: Yes)         | 0.87  | 0.40 | 0.06   | 2.14  | (0.07, 1.66)            | 0.032 |

The multiple linear regression analysis included all the independent variables

### Discussion

Patient satisfaction is a complex and multi-factorial concept [11, 51–57]. Satisfaction with dental care services has been previously studied, since patients' evaluation of the quality and experience of care is instrumental to the improvement of quality of services [57–60]. Nevertheless, disparities in these services remain a challenge for user access to health and oral health services globally, particularly for people who live in rural and remote neighborhoods [30, 38]. Spatial disparity that could influence patient satisfaction in oral health care has previously been highlighted in the literature [6, 38, 53, 61, 62]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that...
and cleanliness of a dental office could influence patient satisfaction with care. As our study is in line with the Perneger [43] theoretical concept, factors such as expectations of health care, health care provider attitudes, quality of the health care and patient characteristics could all influence patient satisfaction [7, 43, 64]. According to previous dental literature [43, 45], meeting patients’ expectations produces greater satisfaction with care. In line with other study findings, our investigation showed that the facilities and cleanliness of a dental office could influence patient satisfaction; urban residents were less satisfied with dental equipment and cleanliness of the dental office [63, 65] than were rural residents. In fact, they might have higher expectations of dental care, as they have greater access to dental offices with high end technologies and facilities [6, 58, 66, 67].

We found no rural–urban differences in general satisfaction with care scores; this finding agrees with the results of previous studies that indicate patients are generally satisfied with oral health care [65, 67]. Geographical location was not the key determinant of satisfaction for rural population in our study. This could be because of an adaption to rural conditions, for example in terms of longer distance tolerance for doctor visits [68] and having fewer expectations regarding patient satisfaction with health care [69, 70]. High satisfaction ratings also could be attributed to the ceiling effect [71] or may suggest that individual item scoring might be a more sensitive measure for the quality and experience with care than global scoring. Respondents are generally reluctant to express negative opinions or openly disagree and try to give socially acceptable answers, possibly because of cultural differences in communication or attitude of patients [28, 37, 51].

Our regression model demonstrates that those who were born in Canada have higher satisfaction scores than those were not, possibly because the latter may face some kind of racial or ethnic discrimination that is not necessarily sensitive to their needs [11, 43, 72]. In our study, patients who visited the dentist for checkups showed higher satisfaction scores [67]. Regular checkups indicate increased compliance, fewer missed appointments, fewer pain episodes and a decreased need for advanced treatment [67, 73]. Not attending dental clinics regularly suggests a lack of awareness regarding the importance of oral health [34, 74]. Thus, improving public dental awareness in order to promote regular dental check-ups by oral health professionals might increase patient satisfaction with dental care received [63, 67]. Evidence shows that rural–urban differences in patient satisfaction with health care vary by domain/aspect such as cultural factors, health care service provision and quality, expectations and utilization of healthcare services, physical facility, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy [75–77]. In fact, rural residents in the US reported better satisfaction with staff responsiveness and care setting quietness than their urban peers, but lower satisfaction on cleanliness of a health care setting [78]. Similarly, an Indonesian study found that rural populations were more satisfied with responsiveness and less satisfied with empathy, whereas urban counterparts were the opposite [75]. On the other hand, polish rural patients admitted to a large urban hospitals were more satisfied with hospital settings, staff care, doctors’ professional skills, and hospitalization outcomes [77]. Similarly, a Scottish study identified higher satisfaction in the rural populations with their local doctors and local healthcare resources [79]. In contrast, there was no significant difference in satisfaction with primary health care services between rural and urban Ghanaian women [28].

The results of our study should be interpreted with caution due to certain limitations. Firstly, our study results cannot be generalized to other geographical areas, as this study was conducted with Quebec residents. Secondly, the questionnaire used to measure patient satisfaction didn’t include items regarding provider-level quality of care and interpersonal experience between providers and patients. Lastly, since the study was an add-on to the MSSS provincial clinical study, there were some limitations regarding narrow variability in age and gender. On the other hand, the strength of our study lies in the large sample size, and the dimensions used in our survey could possibly be used in future studies in the assessment of dental care.

Conclusion
These findings suggest that Quebec rural–urban disparities exist in patient satisfaction with care and that the determinants of health influence this outcome. Intensive and powerful knowledge dissemination activities will help to mobilize policy makers in implementing public health strategies to reduce this disparity.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Institut national de santé publique du Québec (INSPQ), the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MSSS) and their regional dentists in charge of collecting the data for this survey. We would like to acknowledge Mr. Pierre Rompré from the Faculty of Dentistry, Université de Montréal, Montréal for his assistance with data analysis.

Authors’ contributions
A.A. participated in data analysis and manuscript drafting. J.S.F. contributed to study conception and critical revision of the manuscript. F.T. participated in data analysis and critical revision of the manuscript. R.S. and C.G. critically revised and edited the manuscript. E.E. did the study conception and design, data analysis, manuscript drafting and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.
Funding
This study was carried out with funding from the Quebec-Network for Oral Health Research. Dr. Elham Emami was supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research- Clinician Scientist Award-Phase II entitled Investigating Urban–Rural Disparities in Oral Health and Oral Health Services: A Quebec Profile.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional review board of the Université de Montréal (12-115-CERES-D) and McGill University (A01-E05-19B). Written informed consents were obtained from all the study participants.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Faculty of Dentistry, McGill University, 2001 Avenue McGill College #500, Montreal, QC H3A 1G1, Canada. 2 Sri Aurobindo College of Dentistry, Sanwar Road, Indore, India. 3 Faculty of Dentistry, Université de Montréal, C.P 6178, succursale centre-ville, Montreal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada. 4 Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, 190 Boul Crémazie E, Montreal, QC H2P 1E2, Canada.

Received: 5 December 2020   Accepted: 6 May 2021
Published online: 15 May 2021

References
1. Jenkinson C, Coulter A, Bruster S, Richards N, Chandola T. Patients’ experiences and satisfaction with health care: results of a questionnaire study of specific aspects of care. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002;11(4):335–9.
2. Prakash B. Patient satisfaction. J Cutan Aesthet Surg. 2010;3:151–5.
3. Institute of Medicine Committee on Quality of Health Care in A. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US), 2001.
4. Vuori H. Patient satisfaction—Does it matter? Qual Assur Health Care. 1991;3:183–9.
5. Williams SJ, Calhan M. Key determinants of consumer satisfaction with general practice. Fam Med. 1999;6:237–42.
6. Luo JNY, Liu PP, Wong MCM. Patients’ satisfaction with dental care: a qualitative study to develop a satisfaction instrument. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):15.
7. Pascoe GC. Patient satisfaction in primary health care: a literature review and analysis. Eval program plann. 1983;6:3–4.
8. Press P. Patient satisfaction: Understanding and managing the experience of care. Chicago; Health Administration Press; 2006.
9. Cleary PD, McNeil BJ. Patient satisfaction as an indicator of quality care. Inquiry. 1988;25(1):25–36.
10. Myburgh NG, Solanki GC, Smith MJ, Laloo R. Patient satisfaction with health care providers in South Africa: the influences of race and socioeconomic status. Int J Qual Health Care. 2005;17(6):473–7.
11. Batbataa E, Dorjdagva J, Luvsannyma A, Savino MM, Amenta P. Determinants of patient satisfaction: a systematic review. Perspect Public Health. 2017;137(2):89–101.
12. Adler R, Vasiladis A, Bickell N. The relationship between continuity and patient satisfaction: a systematic review. Fam Pract. 2010;27(2):171–8.
13. Atkinson S, Haran D. Individual and district scale determinants of users’ satisfaction with primary health care in developing countries. Soc Sci Med. 2005;60(3):501–13.
14. Badri MA, Attia S, Ustad AM. Healthcare quality and moderators of patient satisfaction: testing for causality. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2009;22(4):382–410.
15. Aldana JM, Piechulek H, Al-Sabir A. Client satisfaction and quality of health care in rural Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(6):S12–7.
16. Blikker AP, Thompson AG. Predicting and comparing patient satisfaction in four different modes of health care across a nation. Soc Sci Med. 2006;63(6):1671–83.
17. Bleich SN, Ozaltin E, Murray CK. How does satisfaction with the health-care system relate to patient experience? Bull World Health Organ. 2009;87(4):271–8.
18. Chen LM, Birkmeyer JD, Saint S, Jha AK. Hospitalist staffing and patient satisfaction in the national medicare population. J Hosp Med. 2013;8(3):126–31.
19. Hsieh M, Kang JD. Understanding patient satisfaction and dissatisfaction with health care. Health Soc Work. 1991;16(6):281–90.
20. Kersnik J. Determinants of customer satisfaction with the health care system, with the possibility to choose a personal physician and with a family doctor in a transition country. Health Policy. 2001;57(2):155–64.
21. Kroneman MW, Maarse H, van der Zee J. Direct access in primary care and patient satisfaction: a European study. Health Policy. 2006;76(1):72–9.
22. Oermann MH, Masserang M, Maxey M, Lange MP. Clinic visit and waiting: patient education and satisfaction. nurs Econ. 2002;20(6):293–5.
23. Lin CT, Albertson GA, Schilling LM, Cyran EM, Anderson SN, Ware L, et al. Is patients’ perception of time spent with the physician a determinant of ambulatory patient satisfaction? Arch Intern Med. 2001;161(11):1437–42.
24. Victoor A, Delnoij DM, Friele RD, Rademakers J. Determinants of patient choice of healthcare providers: a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:272.
25. Xiao H, Barber JP. The effect of perceived health status on patient satisfaction. Value Health. 2008;11(4):719–25.
26. Ladhari R, Rigaux-Bricmont B. Determinants of patient satisfaction with public hospital services. Health Mark Q. 2013;30(4):299–318.
27. Andaleeb SS. Determinants of customer satisfaction with hospitals: a managerial model. Int J Health Care Qual Assur Inc Leadersh Health Serv. 1998;11(6–7):181–7.
28. Yaya S, Bishwajit G, Ekholueneatele M, Shah V, Kadio B, Udenigwe O. Urban-rural difference in satisfaction with primary healthcare services in Ghana. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):776.
29. Sharma RD, Chahal H. Rural health care services and patient satisfaction. J Rural Dev. 2003;22:363–79.
30. Skillman SM, Doescher MP, Mouradian WE, Brunson DK. The challenge to delivering oral health services in rural America. J Public Health Dent. 2010;70(Suppl 1):S49–57.
31. Vargas CM, Dye BA, Hayes K. Oral health care utilization by US rural residents, National Health Interview Survey 1999. J Public Health Dent. 2003;63(3):150–7.
32. Ahn S, Borum J, Smith ML, Ory MG, Phillips CD. Residential rurality and oral health disparities: influences of contextual and individual factors. J Prim Prev. 2011;32(1):29–41.
33. Vargas CM, Yellowitz JA, Hayes KL. Oral health status of older rural adults in the United States. J Am Dent Assoc. 2003;134(4):479–86.
34. Amin S, Burdine JN, Smith ML, Ory MG, Phillips CD. Residential rurality and oral health disparities: influences of contextual and individual factors. J Prim Prev. 2011;32(1):29–41.
35. Gaber A, Galameau C, Feine JS, Emami E. Rural–urban disparity in oral health-related quality of life. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2018;46(2):132–42.
36. Emami E, Kadoch N, Homayounfar S, Hamagea H, Dupont P, Giraudreau N, et al. Patient satisfaction with e-oral health care in rural and remote settings: a systematic review protocol. Syst Rev. 2017;6(1):174.
37. Giese JF, Cote JA. Defining consumer satisfaction. Acad Mark Sci Rev. 2000;2:1–27.
38. Baillie H, D’Adamo J. State case studies: improving access to dental care for the underserved. J Public Health Dent. 2012;72(3):221–34.
39. Emami E, Wootton J, Galameau C, Bedos C. Oral health and access to dental care: a qualitative exploration in rural Quebec. Can J Public Health. 2014;105(2):63–70.
40. Statistics Canada. Population and dwelling count highlight tables- Population counts, for Canada, provinces, and territories, census divisions, population centre size groups, and rural areas, 2016 Census. Ottawa, Ontario: Statistics Canada; 2016. https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recen
42. Wilkins R. PCCF.

41. Statistics Canada. Census Metropolitan Influenced Zones: Detailed Definition Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2011. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/92-215-x/2011001/other-autre/miz-zim-def-eng.htm. Accessed 19 Dec 2019.

43. Perneger TV. Adjustment for patient characteristics in satisfaction surveys. Int J Qual Health Care. 2004;16(6):435–5.

44. Watt RG. Emerging theories into the social determinants of health: Implications for oral health promotion. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2002;30(4):241–7.

45. Reifel NM, Rana H, Marcus M. Consumer satisfaction. Adv Dent Res. 1997;11(2):281–90.

46. Andersen RM. Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: Does it matter? J Health Soc Behav. 1995;36(1):1–10.

47. Andersen RM. National health surveys and the behavioral model of health services use. Med Care. 2008;46(7):647–53.

48. Health Canada. Report on the findings of the oral health component of the Canadian Health Measures Survey 2007–2008. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada; 2011. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-215-x/2011001/other-autre/miz-zim-def-eng.htm. Accessed 19 Dec 2019.

49. Brodeur J-M, Payette M, Benigeri M, Olivier M, Chabot D, Williamson S, et al. Étude sur la santé bucco-dentaire des adultes de 18 ans et plus du Québec: résultats du sondage. Montréal: Direction de la santé publique de Montréal-Centre; 1995.

50. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. BMJ. 2007;335(7624):806–8.

51. Ahmad I, Nawaz A, Din S. Dynamics of patient satisfaction from health care services: Gomal J Med Sci. 2011;9:37–41.

52. Riley JL, III, Gordan VV, VanDemark SS, Fellows JL, Rindal DB, Gilbert GH, et al. Concordance between patient satisfaction and the dentist’s view: findings from the National Dental Practice-Based Research Network. J Am Dent Assoc. 2014;145(4):355–62.

53. Newsome PR, Wright GH. Patient management: A review of patient satisfaction. 2: Dental patient satisfaction: an appraisal of recent literature. Br Dent J. 1999;186(4 Spec No):166–70.

54. Anderson R, Thomas DW, Phillips CJ. The effectiveness of out-of-hours dental services: II. Patient satisfaction. Br Dent J. 2005;198(3):151–6.

55. Al-Abri R, Al-Balousi A. Patient satisfaction survey as a tool towards quality improvement. Oman Med J. 2014;29(1):3–7.

56. Westaway MS, Rheeber P, Van Zyl DG, Seager JR. Interpersonal and organizational dimensions of patient satisfaction: the moderating effects of health status. Int J Qual Health Care. 2003;15(4):337–44.

57. Ali DA. Patient satisfaction in dental healthcare centers. Eur J Dent. 2016;10(3):309–14.

58. Ntatebye M, Scheutz F, Poulsen S. Patient satisfaction with emergency oral health care in rural Tanzania. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1998;26(5):289–95.

59. Gündal P, Çankaya H, Onem E, Dinçer S, Yilmaz T. Factors of patient satisfaction/dissatisfaction in a dental faculty outpatient clinic in Turkey. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2000;28(6):461–9.

60. Kelman HR. Evaluation of health care quality by consumers. Int J Health Serv. 1976;6(3):431–42.

61. Okullo I, Åström AN, Haugejorden O. Social inequalities in oral health and in use of oral health care services among adolescents in Uganda. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2004;14(5):326–35.

62. Carlisle K, Larkins S, Croker F. Disparities in dental health of rural Australians: hospitalisation rates and utilisation of public dental services in three communities in North Queensland. Rural Remote Health. 2017;17(1):3807.

63. Newsome PR, Wright GH. A review of patient satisfaction: 1 Concepts of satisfaction. Br Dent J. 1999;186(4 Spec No):161–5.

64. Thi PLN, Brioncon S, Emperour F, Guillemin F. Factors determining inpatient satisfaction with care. Soc Sci Med. 2002;54:493–504.

65. Chang W-J, Chang Y-H. Patient satisfaction analysis: Identifying key drivers and enhancing service quality of dental care. J Dent Sci. 2013;8(3):239–47.

66. Kravitz RL. Measuring patients’ expectations and requests. Ann Intern Med. 2001;134:881–8.

67. Okullo I, Åström AN, Haugejorden O. Influence of perceived provider performance on satisfaction with oral health care among adolescents. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2004;32(8):447–55.

68. McGrail MR, Humphreys JS, Ward B. Accessing doctors at times of need: measuring the distance tolerance of rural residents for health-related travel. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015;15:1212.

69. Johnson IR, McDonnell C, O’Connell AM, Glynn LG. Patient perspectives on health, health needs, and health care services in a rural Irish community: a qualitative study. Rural Remote Health. 2011;11(3):1659.

70. Weinhold I, Guntner S. Rural-urban differences in determinants of patient satisfaction with primary care. Soc Sci Med. 2018;212:76–85.

71. Moret L, Nguyen J-M, Pillen N, Failllard B, Lombral P, Gasquet J. Improvement of psychometric properties of a scale measuring inpatient satisfaction with care: a better response rate and a reduction of the ceiling effect. BMC Health Serv Res. 2007;7:197.

72. Ngo-Metzger Q, Ledegda ATR, Phillips RS. Asian Americans’ reports of their health care experiences. J Gen Intern Med. 2004;19(2):111–9.

73. Sitzia J, Wood N. Patient satisfaction: a review of issues and concepts. Soc Sci Med. 1997;45(12):1829–43.

74. Andersen RM, Davidson PL. Ethnicity, aging, and oral health outcomes: a cross-sectional study of a dental population. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2004;32(4):257–65.

75. Akbar FH, Pratiwi R, Samad R, Fanissa F. Patient satisfaction on health care services. Gomal J Med Sci. 2011;9:37–41.

76. Ezat S, Azuddin AN, Mohd Dom T, Izzah N. Customers’ satisfaction among urban and rural public health clinics in state of Selangor. Malaysia. 2010;10:52–67.

77. Mailach D, Karczewksa B, Szpak A, Charkiewicz A, Krzyżak M. Does place of residence affect patient satisfaction with hospital health care? Ann Agric Environ Med. 2020;27(1):86–90.

78. Kang Y, Taeng H-M, Zhang T. Rural disparities in hospital patient satisfaction: multilevel analysis of the Massachusetts AHA, SID, and HCAHPS data. J Patient Exp. 2020;7(4):607–14.

79. Farmer J, Hinds K, Richards H, Godden D. Urban versus rural populations’ views of health care in Scotland. J Health Serv Res Policy. 2005;10:212–9.