Simultaneous Stripping of Ammonia from Leachate: Experimental Insights and Key Microbial Players
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Abstract: Air stripping is commonly used to remove the ammonia in multistage treatment systems for municipal landfill leachate (LFL). This paper proposes a novel approach combining the process of stripping with biological removal of ammonia, based on simultaneous nitrification and denitrification (SND) in a single hybrid sequencing batch reactor (HSBR). To avoid the accumulation of free ammonia (N-FAN), the shallow aeration system was used for the treatment of raw LFL with N-TAN level of 1520 mg/L and pH 9.24. The mean N-FAN removal efficiency of 69% with the reaction rate of 55 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and mean ammonium (N-NH₄⁺) removal efficiency of 84% with the reaction rate of 44 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ were achieved within a month in such an HSBR (R1). The comparative HSBR (R2), with conventional aeration system maintaining the same concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO ≤ 1 mg/L), was removing only trace amounts of N-FAN and 48% of N-NH₄⁺. The quantitative analysis of 16S rRNA genes indicated that the number of total bacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria increased during the operation of both HSBRs, but was always higher in R1. Moreover, the bacterial community shift was observed since the beginning of the experiment; the relative abundance of Firmicutes, and Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria increased by 5.01, 3.25 and 9.67% respectively, whilst the abundance of Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria decreased by 15.59 and 0.95%. All of the surveyed bacteria groups, except Gammaproteobacteria, correlated significantly negatively (p < 0.001) with the concentrations of N-NH₄⁺ in the outflows from R1. The results allow us to suppose that simultaneous stripping and SND in a single reactor could be a promising, cost-effective and easy-to-operate solution for LFL treatment.
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1. Introduction

Despite the numerous solutions proposed in modern waste management models to reduce the mass of dumped municipal solid waste, landfilling is still a common method of disposal in many countries. Even those landfills which were properly designed and equipped with systems for monitoring and preventing the emissions are a potential source of environmental hazards, among which the most serious is the landfill leachate (LFL) [1–3]. This highly polluted liquid is produced in the process of water percolation through the waste pile, and leaches on its way products of physiochemical and biological reactions occurring in compressed layers of organic and inorganic materials. Water derives mainly from atmospheric precipitation, but is also contained as moisture in waste being delivered to the dumping site, or is generated de novo, as the organic matter decomposes. LFL is released outside when the liquid volume exceeds the retention capacity of the landfill, defined as the maximum volume...
of water that can be trapped in the porous material of the waste before it starts to leak [3]. The correct design of landfill lining should guarantee effective sealing against LFL penetration into the ground and, at the same time, allow drainage to the treatment system. In this point, choosing the technology of treatment seems to become complicated, since numerous solutions are proposed by researchers, including: nanofiltration; ultrafiltration or reverse osmosis [4]; radiolysis or ozonolysis [5]; chemical or electrochemical oxidation [6] also supported with ultrasounds or transition into a supercritical state [7]; chemical coagulation or electrocoagulation; flocculation and adsorption [8]; and lastly evaporation or stripping [9]. Although undoubtedly efficient in practice [10], all physical-chemical techniques demand a significant energy input, or a burden to the environment by consumption of chemical reagents and formation of secondary waste. They are therefore applicable where LFL contains a significant percentage of refractory organic compounds. Contrary to physical-chemical processes, the biological ones are much more environmentally friendly and cheaper in terms of operational costs, but in turn, are intended for LFL which is characterized by a relatively high BOD5/COD ratio (at least 0.1), typical for landfills in the early phases of maturation [11]. However, even if biodegradability potential is low, the biological treatment is still an economically viable solution as far as ammonia nitrogen removal is concerned [12]. Ammonium concentration significantly increases in LFL along with a decrease in COD concentration, when landfill’s evolution enters the anoxic phases.

Biological methods are based on the biochemical activity of microorganisms creating flocs of activated sludge or the biofilm. This activity is maintained in specific conditions provided by various biological reactors, taking into consideration biomass management (e.g., recirculation systems or sequential operation), the use of different materials as biomass carriers, strategies of aeration and application of external organic carbon sources. In principle, the technologies of biological treatment were transferred directly from municipal wastewater treatment, but demanded a special approach to adapt bacterial ecosystems. The LFL differs from municipal wastewater among others, in that it has much higher N-TAN load and has a lower BOD5/COD ratio. However, what is even more significant, when municipal wastewater has a relatively constant composition, is that LFL is characterized by long- and short-term substantial variations of all quantitative and qualitative indicators [13].

One of such key indicators is a pH, and LFLs from different sites are characterized by wide variations, ranged from <4 to >9 [14], depending on age and operational parameters of landfill. High values of pH can be utilized to remove the ammonia load by the physical process of stripping, N-TAN in water solutions, e.g., in wastewater, can be found in two forms, ammonium ions (N-NH4) and ammonia that tends to transfer into gaseous NH3 and is therefore commonly described as free ammonia (N-FAN).

Removal of N-TAN from wastewater is one of the main goals of the biological treatment process. In this process, the microbial degradation of nitrogenous compounds, being a part of the natural nitrogen cycle, is utilized in technical installations. Most modern treatment plants operate based on conventional biological nitrogen removal that consists of nitrification and denitrification [15]. The first process is an autotrophic two-step oxidation of N-NH4 to N-NO2 by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB that can be found mostly among the Beta and Gammaproteobacteria) and then to N-NO3 by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB, as Nitrobacter or Nitrospira). Some single species, such as Nitrospira, also shows an ability to catalyze both ammonia and nitrite oxidation (complete ammonia oxidizers—Conammox). Denitrification consists of a series of N-NO3 reductions with gaseous N2 with nitrite, nitric and nitrous oxide as intermediates, and is carried out by a number of heterotrophic denitrifiers represented in all the main phylogenetic groups.

In recent years, the autotrophic nitrogen removal process has also been discovered, containing partial nitrification to N-NO2, which is then an electron acceptor for anaerobic ammonium oxidizers (AnAOB) [16].

However, the presence of N-FAN causes a strong toxic impact on a variety of key organisms related to all the abovementioned nitrogen degradation processes. Possible mechanisms of ammonia toxicity have been postulated by Kadam and Boone [17] based on methanogenic bacteria investigations: first by
inhibition of the cytosolic enzymes activity by NH₃ and the second as an effect of N-NH₄ accumulation on intracellular pH or the concentration of other cations, mainly K⁺. The protons absorbed by NH₃ molecules can also lead to alkalization of the cytosol. The inhibitory effect of N-FAN on the wastewater treatment process has been extensively described by Liu et al. [18] In turn, these authors quote two main mechanisms of N-FAN toxicity on bacteria: inhibitory and biocidal. In the first mechanism, N-FAN at the inhibitory level passively diffuses through the cell membrane causing H⁺ imbalance and/or K⁺ deficiency leading to cell activity inhibition. N-FAN could also directly inhibit some key enzymes involved in hydrolysis, acetogenesis, methane production or sulfate reduction, but inhibition on the different enzymes could differ substantially. The biocidal mechanism is based on fact that some bacteria have cell membrane-bound enzymes protected by an extracellular polymeric substance. These substance can be disintegrated in the presence of N-FAN, and consequently the enzymes can be disrupted, causing inactivation or even lysis of the cell.

The ammonia stripping process is based on the principle of mass transfer, and when ammonia solution is contacted with air, a gaseous form can be transferred into the atmosphere. The relative concentrations of both forms are influenced by pH and temperature, which increases shifts in the chemical equilibrium and induces N-FAN formation [19].

Theoretically, at a temperature of 20 °C and a pH of 9, the N-FAN can reach over 40% of N-TAN. However, to ensure effective stripping in practice, the LFL must be brought to pH > 11 and then blown counter-currently with a stream of hot air, which implies a high energy consumption, and moreover demands further pH re-adjustment for the next stage of treatment or discharge [20]. For example, the pH preferred for the biological nitrification varies between 8 and 9, with less than 50% of the optimum rate occurring outside the range of 7.0 to 9.8 [21].

An alternative approach would be a combination of those two processes in one reactor for the treatment of LFL characterized by a relatively high pH, without the addition of extra alkalis, such as lime, for example. In such a reactor, the method of aeration would be of key importance; it should ensure a sufficient mass of air to sustain the nitrification and effective stripping, but at the same time the anoxic zones are preferred by heterotrophs. The implementation of sequential hybrid bioreactors (HSBR) seems to be promising because of the potential to maintain the biomass and air/oxygen gradation.

Therefore, the aim of the study was to determine whether the simultaneous biological and physical removal of ammonia can be achieved in a single HSBR reactor. Over the course of this study, alternative variants of aeration were investigated in terms of biological nitrogen and organic compound removal efficiency. Obtained data were supported by quantitative analysis of chosen bacteria groups.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Leachate Sampling

The LFL was gathered from landfill being a part of regional installation for municipal waste treatment (Kozodrza, Subcarpathian Province, Poland). The installation has been operated continuously since 1990 and has the ability to receive annually over 90 Gg of mixed municipal waste (after process of mechanical segregation). LFL was sampled once from the retention pond (50°07′03.4″ N 21°37′04.1″ E) that drains the outflow from currently operated quarters. Samples were transported in 10 L tanks to the laboratory, where they were immediately analyzed, and used in the experimental procedure. The remaining volume was stored in 4 °C and in darkness, to prevent microbial activity, and when needed to replenish the supply tank, the temperature was equilibrated to 25 °C overnight. Raw samples used in the experiment were characterized by a mean concentration of organic compounds expressed as COD of 12258 mg/L, BOD₅ of 494 mg/L, and humic substances of 233 mg/L, therefore BOD₅/COD had a ratio of 0.04, which was rather typical for stabilized other than active landfill [2]. However, the organic carbon was twice as high as observed in 2017 on this site [3]. The concentration of nitrogen was also higher; the N-TAN amounted to 1512 mg/L. The salinity of LFL sample, expressed as electric conductivity, was 28.3 mS/cm and pH reached a relatively high level of 9.24.
2.2. Experimental Set-Up

In this experiment, LFL was treated in a one-stage biological system composed of two parallel (R1 and R2), independent, glass jar hybrid reactors, 2 L of working volume each, equipped with submerged fixed biomass carriers (Figure 1). The hydraulic retention time (HRT) was set at 3 days, and a 24 h cycle consisted of 1.75 h of sedimentation, 0.15 h of decantation and subsequent refilling, and 22 h of mixing and aeration. Both reactors were equipped with a fine bubbles aeration system, but the position of diffusers made the difference between them—R1 was top-aerated, whilst R2 has a diffuser mounted below the frame clamping to the rim of the biomass carriers (all the features of construction shown on Figure 1). The intensity of the air supply has been valve-regulated to keep a constant value of DO ≤ 1 mg/L during the aeration phase, and oxygen distribution was supported by mechanical stirring. The temperature was stabilized at 25 °C (±0.1) by a control unit composed of a probe, a controller and bottom heaters. The biomass carriers were made of polyurethane-reticulated open-cell foam. To estimate the total area of its complex internal structure, a methodology based on Weaire-Phelan foam-like structure was adopted [22] and the measurements of foam structural elements were taken from fifty randomly selected pores, by optical scanning of foam slices on a calibration grid (ImageJ [23]). The results of these calculations are shown in Table 1.

Figure 1. The experimental set-up: lengthwise cross-section through the construction of hybrid sequencing batch reactors; (A) each glass jar with an active volume of 2 L was equipped with submerged fixed biomass carrier, based on a rigid frame made of straight metal pipe with two plastic plates mounted axially: lower was open-worked (p1), upper was solid (p2). Between plates the rim of cuboids made of reticulated 30 ppi PU foam (f) was attached, then plates were rotated by about 30° to slightly contort cuboids spirally according to the stirring direction, to create outer canals (B)—top view on the bed construction). Two aeration variants were tested: in R1 the fine bubble diffuser was mounted on the surface of the upper plate (d′), in R2 the air was delivered through the central pipe to the diffuser (d) mounted below the carriers. Mass and temperature transport in R1 was supported by stirrer (∼300 rpm), while in R2 speed of rotation was set only to avoid building up the residue on the bottom of the reactor. Both reactors were equipped with temperature probes coupled with heaters (h), as well as DO probes, allowing the regulation of air valves to maintain constant oxygen concentration. Cone-shaped plastic collars (b), with limited vertical movement, acted as mechanical froth breakers to avoid flotation of biomass by strongly foaming leachate. SBRs were fed from the top (i) and the operational sequence lasting 24 h (C) consisted of 1.75 h of sedimentation (S), 0.15 h of decantation and refilling (D/R, HRT = 3 days) and 22 h of mixing and aerating (M/A). The outflow (o) was sampled (OSa) during the decantation phase, whilst sludge samples (Ssa) were collected every five days, about one hour after M/A was started.
Table 1. Characteristics of the material used as biomass carrier.

| Parameters                                      | Units       |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Material                                       | Reticulated (Open Cell) | Polyurethane (Polyether) |
| Porosity (linear) declared by manufacturer      | ppi         | 30            |
| Size of one component (cuboid)                  | cm          | 3 x 3 x 10    |
| Number of cuboids in bed                        | -           | 6             |
| Cuboids geometrical area and volume             | cm²/cm³     | 828/540       |
| Measured mean strut length                      | Mm          | 1.278 (0.366) |
| kₐ (pore opening coefficient)                   | cm⁻¹        | 0.015         |
| Estimated single cell volume                    | cm³         | 0.088         |
| Estimated single cell surface area              | cm²         | 3168          |
| Est. total inner surface area                   | cm²         | 5.867         |
| Est. specific surface area                      | cm²/cm³     | 400.575       |
| Est. total outer surface area                   | cm²         | 3568.575      |
| Est. total area of biomass carriers             | cm²         | 3568.575      |

Reactors were inoculated once, at the start of the experiment, by an addition of 0.8 L (MLSS = 4.0 g/L) of suspended activated sludge taken from nitrification tank of local municipal wastewater treatment plant (PE ≈ 200,000). Before the introduction to the HSBRs, sludge was washed three times in tap water. Before the inoculation, both reactors were filled up with LFL and the whole operational cycle was executed to assess the potential of physical stripping of ammonia; in both reactors, only trace amounts of nitrogen (up to 5%) were removed without the involvement of microbial activity.

2.3. Physio-Chemical Analyses

Analyses of physio-chemical parameters were performed using methods which complied with the Polish Standards (Table 2). In the absence of other forms of mineral nitrogen in raw LFL (N-NO₂ and N-NO₃, data not included in tables), except ammonium, the value of N-Nᵦ reduced by N-Norg was practically equivalent to N-TAN. The concentration of N-FAN (mg/L) in LFL was estimated using the following formula [24]:

\[ N\text{-FAN} = \frac{N\text{-TAN}}{1 + 10^{(pK_a-pH)}} \]  

(1)

where the dissociation constant (pKₐ) is calculated from the formula below.

\[ pK_a = 0.09108 + \frac{2729.92}{T} \]  

(2)

where T is the temperature in Kelvin. The ammonium nitrogen was removed from LFL in accordance with the first-order reaction [25]:

\[ \frac{dC_N}{dt} = -k \times C_N \]  

(3)

where the relation between the concentration and time is expressed as:

\[ C_N = C_0 \cdot \exp(-k \times t) + C_e \]  

(4)

where C_N is nitrogen concentration (mg/L), C₀ is ammonium concentration at the beginning of the HSBR cycle (mg/L), Cₑ is ammonium concentration at the end of the HSBR cycle (mg/L), k is constant of the nitrogen removal rate (h⁻¹), r is nitrogen removal rate (mg/L·h) and t is time (h).
Table 2. Physio-chemical analyses and methods of the measurement.

| Parameter | Method | Description, Device and Reference to Analytical Standard |
|-----------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| pH        | Potentiometric | Elmetron CPC-401, [26] |
| κ         | Conductometric | Elmetron CPC-401, [27] |
| DO        | Membrane electrode | Elmetron CX 105 |
| COD       | Colourimetric. | Oxidation of organic substances with K₂Cr₂O₇ and H₂SO₄ in the presence of Ag₂SO₄ as a catalyst, at 172 °C, [28] |
| BOD₅      | Respirometric | Measurement of negative pressure at constant temperature of 20 °C and dark in presence of N-allythiourea as nitrification inhibitor, OxiTop®, [29] |
| N-NK      | Colourimetric. | Oxidation of nitrogen containing compounds in concentrated H₂SO₄ in presence of K₂SO₄/HgO catalyst, [30] |
| N-NH₄     | Colourimetric. | Distillation of sample in weakly alkaline conditions and titration with Tashiro’s indicator, VELP, [31] |
| N-NO₂     | Spectrophotometric | N-(1-Naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, Shimadzu, [32] |
| N-NO₃     | Spectrophotometric | Absorbance for λ = 410 nm with phenol disulfonic acid, Shimadzu, [33] |
| TSS       | Weighing | Residuals after 2 h at 105 °C, Radwag |
| VSS       | Weighing | Residuals after incineration for 2 h, at 550 °C, Radwag |

2.4. DNA Sampling and Analyses

Every five days, over one hour after starting the mixing/aerating phase, the reactors were gently shaken to release the sludge from the biomass carriers, then up to 20 mL of mixed liquor was sampled from each. Samples were immediately centrifuged for 10 min (3000 rcf), decanted supernatant was poured back to the SBRs and the remaining residue transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, centrifuged again for 10 min (6000 rcf) and re-suspended in sodium-phosphate buffer (0.0125 M, 5% SDS), then stored at −20 °C for further analysis. Immediately after the thawing, 0.5 mL of each sample was centrifuged for 10 min (6000 rcf), and the resulting pellet was used for DNA extraction according to the protocol of the manufacturer (Genomic Mini AX Bacteria + Spin, A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia Poland). The lysis step was based on the activity of mutanolysin, lysozyme and proteinase K, which was followed by purification on ion-exchange microcolumns, and DNA was eluted into 150 µL of a provided buffer.

Based on data regarding the relative abundance of taxons commonly identified in LFL treatment systems [34–37], a set of PCR primers was adopted to amplify fragments of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (926F/1062R), Bacteroidetes (Cfb798F/967R), Firmicutes (Firm928F/1040R), Actinobacteria (Act920F/1200R, [38]), Betaproteobacteria (Beta979F/1130R, [39]) and Gammaproteobacteria (Gamma1080F/1202R, [38]). This set was completed with primers universal for most of AnAOB 16S rRNA (Amx694F/960R of [40]).

Quantitative PCRs were performed in LightCycler®96 (Roche, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) and thermal profiles were defined as follows: 300 s of predenaturation in 95 °C, then up to 45 cycles containing: 15 s in 95 °C, 15 s in 61 °C or 60 °C (Betaproteobacteria) or 55 °C (AnAOB), and 20 s in 72 °C. Reaction mix consisted of 10 µL of RealTime 2xPCR Master Mix SYBR A (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland), 1 µL of each primer (10 mM, Genomed), 2 µL of DNA template and nuclease-free water added to a total volume of 20 µL. Amplifications were validated by melting curves inspection and randomly chosen samples were electrophoresed in EtBr stained 2% agarose gel, for up to two hours (3 V/cm, 1xTBE). All reactions were triplicated and included negative reagent controls.

To determine the reaction yield, quantitative standards were prepared; tDNA sample was isolated from activated sludge freshly collected from a wastewater treatment plant, each gene fragment has been amplified (WALK DNA Pwo Polymerase, A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland; Mastercycler, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and electrophoresed, then the amplicon bands were extracted from gel and purified on a silica column (Gel-out, A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland), resuspended in TE buffer, and reamplified. The obtained products were purified once again on silica columns (Clean-Up, A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland). Molecular weight (bp) and quantity of DNA (ng) in each band was determined [41] by quantitative electrophoresis in EtBr-stained 1.8% agarose gel,
in the presence of an equimolar 100 bp ladder (Carl Roth, Germany). To calibrate molecular weight
the electrophoresis, curves were fitted to log-linear function, whilst quantities were estimated on the
quadratic model. Based on this data, the approximate number of DNA molecules in stock concentration
was calculated, then standards were diluted in 10-fold series and added to the PCR reaction in equal
volumes. The results of qPCR measurements were re-calculated per one mL of the reactor active
volume, considering all changes of sample concentrations during subsequent laboratory procedures.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Differences in physio-chemical parameters, as well as the number of DNA copies between the
outflows from reactors (R1 and R2), were tested by nonparametric Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon (M–W)
test. Moment of stabilization was arbitrarily determined from the course of ammonia concentration
curve. Besides the distribution of the obtained data, the test was chosen because of its resistance to
extreme outliers, and its small sample size. Moreover, nonparametric Spearman’s rank correlation
coefficients were determined to describe the relations between concentration of nitrogen forms and
bacterial 16S rRNA gene abundance. All statistical analyses were performed by analytics software
package Statistica (Version 13.3, TIBCO Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Removal of Organic and Nitrogen Compounds

LFL inflowing to the reactors had an initial concentration of organic compounds expressed
by chemical oxygen demand exceeding 12 g/L, of which BOD₅ accounted only for 4%, and humic
substances even less than 2%. Thus, a load of organic compounds in the inflow was 8090.3 mg/day,
whilst a load of humic substances was 153.83 mg/day. The volumetric organic loading rate (OLR)
was 4.04 kg/m³·day, and the nitrogen loading rate (NLR) 0.498 kg/m³·day. Since N-TAN was the
only form of mineral nitrogen detected in LFL, at the level of 1.5 g/L, organics were anticipated to
be effectively removed neither during the start-up of both reactors nor in their further continuous
operation. In fact, as it clearly arises from the data presented in Figure 2, there were no significant
differences in COD values between outflows from R1 and R2 (MₑR1 = 11,183.3, σ = 1020; MₑR2 = 10,466.7;
σ = 1743). This resulted in the average efficiency of organic compound removal at the levels of 9.09%
and 14.90%, and 17.22% and 12.29% for HS, respectively for R1 and R2 (Figure 2). The literature review
shows that absorption may be one of the means of HS removal [42].

Interestingly, in the course of the experiment, there are no observed changes or significant
differences between the reactors in VSS value, which can be seen in Figure 2 (MₑR1 = 4381.7 mg/L;
σ = 429.6, MₑR₂ = 4150.83 mg/L; σ = 386.0, pₑM–W = 0.326).

However, there was an increase in observed TSS concentrations in outflows, but not in the
absence of statistical differences between the reactors. In this case, the TSS could be considered as
an indicator of the outflows of stabilization; its concentration had increased linearly in both reactors,
at a similar pace until the 18th day of the experiment (according to the Equation y = 456.8x + 2893.6
for R1, and y = 451x + 2462 for R2, with R² = 0.99 in both), then it remained at a similar level
(MₑR1 = 10,866.7 mg/L, σ = 362.8; MₑR₂ = 10,706.7 mg/L, σ = 134.4). Thus, VSS content in total solids
outflowing from the reactors at the start amounted to 54.81% in SBR1 and 54.96% in SBR2, then dropped
to the mean value of 28.13% (σ = 1.6) and 27.34% (σ = 1.7) respectively, which can be explained by
effective biomass attaching to the surface of the carrier structure. The weight of TSS accumulated
inside the foam structure at the end of the experiment was 19.87 g in R1 and 17.62 g in R2, which, after
conversion, gives 5.57 and 4.94 mg per square centimeter of an estimated total surface of biomass
carrier. A simple observation made after the experiment showed that short, manual shaking of the
whole reactor caused visible precipitation of a few centimeters’ layer of activated sludge released from
the carriers, but after just a few hours of mixing/aerating, the entire sludge had been built back into
the biomass carrier. Thereby, there is a certain difficulty in quantifying such biological parameters as
bacterial abundance in systems equipped with porous biomass carriers when each measurement could be only a derivative of the actual number of organisms inhabiting internal structure of the fills.

Figure 2. Physio-chemical characterization (COD, HS, TSS, VSS, pH and κ) of the outflows from R1 (black points, solid lines) and R2 (white points, dotted lines) in subsequent days of the experiment. Box-plot graphs show quartiles (box) and range (whiskers). No significant differences were observed between the reactors.

The raw LFL was characterized by a mean concentration of N-N\textsubscript{K} at the level of 1600 mg/L, including 94.5% of N-TAN. Thus, a load of nitrogen in the inflow was 997.92 mg/day. Neither nitrites nor nitrates were detected in the LFL sampled from landfill retention tank, as well as in the outflows from HSBRs after the treatment.

The temperature and pH in the biological systems treating wastewater highly loaded with ammonium nitrogen have a crucial impact on N-FAN concentration. As the scientific data confirms, a high concentration of N-FAN can promote nitrite accumulation by selective inhibition of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB), over the ammonia oxidizers (AOB) [43]. Akgul et al. [44] report that at a pH above 8.7, the concentration of N-FAN was about 550 mg/L and N-N\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{4}—2281 mg/L, while Yusof et al. [45] have indicated that at an initial N-N\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{4} concentration of 1452 mg/L, pH = 8.45 and a temperature of 25 °C, the concentration of N-FAN amounted to 243 mg/L. In this study, the temperature of the process was constantly maintained at 25 ± 1 °C, so the pH that was slightly increasing till the end in both HSBRs, from 9.2 to >9.6 (Figure 2), was the main parameter influencing N-FAN concentration. N-FAN concentration in outflows has been stabilized after three weeks of running the process, and amounted on average to 251.2 mg/L in R1 and even to 739.7 mg/L in R2 (Figure 3). The literature data suggest that N-FAN inhibits the ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) in a concentration which ranged from 10 to 150 mg/L, and nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) even at 0.1 mg/L [24]. Equation (1) underlines the role of pH and temperature rather than the concentration of ammonia, in the estimation of free ammonia concentration [46], therefore some authors recommend supplementation of buffering agents, but in proportion to N-N\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{4}, to enhance the biological process.
pH above 8.7, the concentration of N-FAN was about 550 mg/L and N-NH4—2281 mg/L, while Yusof et al. [45] have indicated that at an initial N-NH4 concentration of 1452 mg/L, pH = 8.45 and a temperature of 25 °C, the concentration of N-FAN amounted to 243 mg/L. In this study, the temperature of the process was constantly maintained at 25 ± 1 °C, so the pH that was slightly increasing till the end in both HSBRs, from 9.2 to > 9.6 (Figure 2), was the main parameter influencing N-FAN concentration. N-FAN concentration in outflows has been stabilized after three weeks of running the process, and amounted on average to 251.2 mg/L in R1 and even to 739.7 mg/L in R2 (Figure 3). The literature data suggest that N-FAN inhibits the ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) in a concentration which ranged from 10 to 150 mg/L, and nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) even at 0.1 mg/L [24]. Equation (1) underlines the role of pH and temperature rather than the concentration of ammonia, in the estimation of free ammonia concentration [46], therefore some authors recommend supplementation of buffering agents, but in proportion to N-NH4, to enhance the biological process.

Akgul et al. [44] noticed, that when NLR in the influent amounts to 0.47 kg/m·day, the ammonium nitrogen removal efficiency can reach 74%, and 65% of the removed ammonia is converted to nitrite. In this work, with an inflowing NLR of 0.498 kg/m³·day, neither nitrite nor nitrate were found in the outflow. Although the efficiency of N-TAN in the top-aerated reactor (R1) reached 76.8%, whilst in the reactor with bottom-aeration system (R2) it only reached 27.2% (Figure 3). In turn, van Dongen et al. [47] report that with NLR of 1.2 kg/m³·day, even over 80% of ammonium nitrogen has been converted to molecular nitrogen. In previous studies, Koc-Jurczyk and Jurczyk [22] have obtained that the efficiency of ammonium nitrogen removal ranged from 46.3% to 77.6%, depending on the porosity of the PU foam utilized as a biomass carrier. Wherein, the test was run in reactors intense aerated from the bottom (DO = 2 mg/L), and the carriers had the form of a submerged, loosely attached bunch of polymeric foam, without internal straight-run channels, that supports mass and temperature exchange. The best results were obtained with the linear porosity of 30 ppi, such as in the foam used in the current experiment.

Figure 3. The dynamics of nitrogen forms concentration in the outflows from R1 (black points, solid lines) and R2 (white points, dotted lines), in subsequent days (d) of the experiment, and their removal efficiency (grey bars—R1, white bars—R2). Box-plot graphs show quartiles (box) and range (whiskers). The probability values of M-W test were smaller than 0.001 in all cases (***).
Analyzing the nitrogen removal efficiency at such high pH values, all of its forms should be considered to emphasize the differences between differently aerated reactors (Figure 3). The low removal efficiency of N-TAN in R2 consisted of the biological removal of N-NH$_4$ with a mean efficiency of 44.2%, and marginal, only a few-percent, removal of N-FAN. This results in nitrogen accumulation. In turn, in the R1, over three-times higher N-TAN removal resulted from N-NH$_4$ removal reaching 87%, and a quite high removal of over 66% N-FAN.

More information is provided by kinetic analysis of diurnal operational cycle (Figure 4). The kinetics of nitrogen removal was tested after stabilization of concentrations in the outflows. The reaction rate constant $k$ was higher for R2 than for R1. Moreover, the reaction rate $r$, calculated in accordance with Equation (4), was straightly higher in R2 (Table 3). However, in R2 ammonia removal occurred most intensively during the first 3 h and was stopped later. In the case of the top-aerated reactor, the intense removal took place over the first 8 h and still occurred until the end of cycle, thus correlation coefficients for first order reaction were higher for R1 and N-NH$_4$.

![Figure 4. The kinetics of ammonia forms removal during the operational cycle.](image_url)

| SBR 1 | SBR 2 |
|-------|-------|
| N-TAN | N-TAN | N-NH$_4$ | N-TAN | N-NH$_4$ | N-NH$_4$ |
| $C_0$ [mg L$^{-1}$] | 440 | 275 | 160 | 150 | 205 | 45 |
| $k$ [h$^{-1}$] | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 1.4 | 0.22 |
| $r$ [mg L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$] | 44 | 55 | 20.8 | 72 | 287 | 9.9 |
| conformity factor | 0.0286 | 0.0446 | 0.022 | 0.077 | 0.0446 | 0.0138 |
| correlation coefficient | 0.876 | 0.872 | 0.88 | 0.679 | 0.484 | 0.75 |

As it was proved by Guo et al. [4], temperature affects not only the efficiency of ammonia removal, but also the reaction rate. Sun et al. [43] achieved rapid growth of nitrification at relatively low temperatures (13.0–17.6 °C) only after 77 days and maintained it by N-FAN concentration that inhibits NOB activity and a reduction of their energy source. In these conditions, AOB became a dominant nitrifying bacteria in the reactor. In turn, Peng et al. [12] inhibited NOB growth by N-FAN concentration, but it almost had no impact on AOB activity. Wang et al. [48], besides the suppression of NOB activity in granular sludge achieved by N-FAN, also used step-feeding to obtain nitrification in only 44 days, while Gu et al. [49] achieved nitrification even in 40 days by a real-time control strategy including pH and oxygen supply. This lead to an optimization of the nitrifying bacteria population with AOB as a dominant group. On the other hand, Ramaswami et al. [50] proposed an application of differently
structured materials as biomass carriers: coke, expanded clay beads and polyethylene molds, to solve the problem of insufficient ammonia retention in membrane treatment systems. The authors stated that such structural parameters of coke as small particle size and rugged surface, supports faster development of biofilm. However, these features also facilitate adsorption of organic compounds, thus promoting the growth of heterotrophic bacteria.

### 3.2. Quantitative Dynamics of Bacterial Community

Quantitative dynamics of selected groups of microorganisms was expressed as a number of group-specific 16S rRNA gene copies, estimated during the course of the experiment (Figure 5). In this work, an approach based on the absolute gene quantification was adopted to the general description of ammonia stripping impact in a single-reactor on key microbial players. The set of primers complementary to ribosomal RNA genes at relatively high taxonomic levels of phyllum or class, mostly identified in the LFL treating systems (discussed below), was adopted. The assumption of this generalization was to avoid problems of data interpretation when likely short-term shifts in bacterial communities at the species- or cluster-level occur in reactors inoculated with municipal wastewater sludge but operated by LFL [51].

All of the analyzed groups showed upward trends in abundance over the experiment period. Finally, the total number of bacteria reached 1.09 × 10^9 in mL of top-aerated reactor-active volume (R1) and 7.18 × 10^7 in mL of bottom-aerated (R2) (Table 4).

#### Table 4. Abundance of examined bacteria groups in 3rd, 30th and 60th day of experiment and the results of Spearman’s linear correlation between number of DNA copies and the dominant forms of nitrogen in outflows from R1 and R2 (values of \( p \leq 0.01 \) and \( R \geq \pm 0.7 \) bolded).

|       | Bacteria | Bacteroidetes | Firmicutes | Gammaproteobacteria | Betaproteobacteria | Actinobacteria | AnAOB |
|-------|----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|
| 3rd d |          |               |            |                     |                   |                |       |
| R1    | 1.85 x 10^7 | 3.85 x 10^6 | 3.82 x 10^6 | 7.08 x 10^5 | 4.68 x 10^5 | 7.20 x 10^5 | 1.80 x 10^5 |
| R2    | 1.63 x 10^7 | 3.54 x 10^6 | 3.68 x 10^6 | 6.98 x 10^5 | 4.34 x 10^5 | 6.94 x 10^5 | 3.01 x 10^5 |
| 33rd d|          |               |            |                     |                   |                |       |
| R1    | 6.15 x 10^7 | 5.86 x 10^6 | 5.50 x 10^6 | 5.58 x 10^6 | 2.50 x 10^6 | 2.18 x 10^6 | 2.63 x 10^4 |
| R2    | 2.81 x 10^7 | 3.27 x 10^6 | 2.83 x 10^6 | 2.01 x 10^6 | 1.01 x 10^6 | 7.81 x 10^5 | 1.58 x 10^6 |
| 60th d|          |               |            |                     |                   |                |       |
| R1    | 7.18 x 10^7 | 5.69 x 10^6 | 7.72 x 10^6 | 1.47 x 10^7 | 6.31 x 10^6 | 3.21 x 10^6 | 7.24 x 10^5 |
| R2    | 7.18 x 10^7 | 8.21 x 10^6 | 4.87 x 10^6 | 6.25 x 10^6 | 4.42 x 10^6 | 2.25 x 10^6 | 4.89 x 10^5 |

Excluding the first two to four sampling points (before the stabilization of N-TAN in outflows), significant statistical differences were observed in a number of phyllum-specific 16S rRNA gene copies at the level of \( p < 0.05 \) between the HSBRs, except Bacteroidetes, Betaproteobacteria and AnAOBs, which could be caused by substantial abundance shifts in these groups. However, in all cases the values of quartiles were higher in R1.

Table 4 displays statistical data concerning the correlation between the number of specific 16S rRNA gene copies inside HSBRs and the concentration of mineral nitrogen forms in outflows from HSBRs. Only the cases demonstrating at least statistically significant high correlations (\( R > 0.69 \)), according to Guilford’s interpretation, were taken into account. Even on that prudent basis, it is difficult to draw conclusions from the relationship with bacterial abundance, considering only N-TAN concentrations. However, separation of nitrogen forms for the statistical analysis allowed to highlight that no statistically significant correlation was observed in R2 between N-FAN concentration and bacteria quantity, and only Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria-specific 16S rRNA copies correlated
negatively with N-FAN (and also N-TAN) in R1. Most of the significant correlations were negative. If the increase of 16S rRNA genes copy number corresponds with a decrease of N-NH$_4^+$ in the outflow, this simply indicates the biological removal of nitrogen. Following the same reasoning, the increase of N-FAN corresponding with the decrease of bacterial DNA should imply that this form of nitrogen controls the number of bacteria. Therefore, it must be concluded that N-NH$_4^+$ removal is a result of biological process as the concentration of this form in R1 is highly negatively correlated with the number of 16S rRNA gene copies for most of the analyzed groups, except Gammaproteobacteria. In R2, only Firmicutes reveals the relationship with N-TAN and N-NH$_4^+$ removal.

**Figure 5.** Quantitative dynamics of bacterial 16S rRNA genes during start-up of shallow (R1) and deep aerated (R2) HSBRs treating municipal LFL. Statistically significant $p$-values of M-W statistics calculated from the data collected during the period marked by grey field were shown (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$).
There is a lack of data combining microbial temporal dynamics with nitrogen removal in systems treating LFL or high-strength N-NH$_4$ wastewaters. For example, Peng et al. [52] studied the bacterial community in biofilters treating synthetic wastewater with a low carbon/nitrogen ratio. The authors made an attempt to find the relationship between the nitrogen concentrations in effluent and relative abundances of the dominant nitrifying bacteria identified on filter media. Interestingly, between a number of microorganisms, only the *Nitrosospira* (Betaproteobacteria) played an important indicative role and was significantly negatively correlated with N-NH$_4$ concentrations in effluent (Pearson $R = -0.807$), but not with total nitrogen.

Based on the assumption that the number of bacteria-specific 16S rRNA gene copies is a superior set of data that includes most of the lower taxonomic levels, it was used as a reference to estimate the percentage content of the rest of the analyzed groups. Since it was almost an order of magnitude higher than the number of DNA copies specific for the next most abundant groups (Gammaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes) and was obviously higher than the sum of all the remaining 16S rRNA gene copies. Hence, over 66% of bacteria was not associated with any of the considered groups (Figure 6).

**Figure 6.** Percentage share of phylum-specific 16S rRNA gene copies after inoculation (mean value) and on 60th day of the experiment in R1 and R2.

Share of unidentified bacteria did not change significantly throughout the experiment, from 65.2% to 65.3% in R1, and 63.7% in R2. After the inoculation and entering of activated sludge into the carriers, the mean percentage share of phylum-specific 16S rRNA gene copies was as follows: Bacteroidetes dominates between the analyzed groups, with over 21%, Actinobacteria represents approximately 4.1%, followed by Gamma and Betaproteobacteria with 4% and 2.6% respectively, and 2.2% of Firmicutes. AnAOBs were observed only in trace amounts. Significant changes of the relative abundance structure was observed on 60th day of HSBRs operation; Gammaproteobacteria was the most abundant identified class in R1 with a share of almost 13.5%, followed by Firmicutes raised to 7.1% and 5.8% Betaproteobacteria. The most noticeable decrease of 15.6% was observed in Bacteroidetes, while Actinobacteria abundance did not change significantly. Growth of AnAOBs was noted, despite aerobic conditions, however this group was still in vestigial amounts. In R2, despite Bacteroidetes were twice lowered, phylum remained most numerous with an 11.4% share, followed by Gammaproteobacteria, which doubled to 8.7%. Firmicutes and Betaproteobacteria percentage share reached similar values like in R1.

These observations do not coincide, at first glance, with data obtained by the authors cited below. However, in this experiment absolute quantification approach was adopted using standard curves constructed with scalar dilutions of reaction standards when the most of recent data on relative bacteria abundance in bioreactors are based on high throughput sequencing. Besides that this technique allows
an analysis of previously inaccessible biological resources, it must be taken into consideration that they generally inform about a number of gene variants such as phylotypes that could be assigned to given taxa, but measurements of the actual number of each phylotype copies in volumetric or weight units, is not as precise as in qPCR.

Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes are commonly detected in reactors treating LFL. Representants of this phyla are responsible for hydrolysis of high-molecular compounds and biopolymers. Additionally, Firmicutes are able to survive hyper-salinity conditions, by producing endospores in especially severe periods [2,34,35]. Díaz et al. [53] studied bacterial communities in samples taken from different points of LFL treating Nitrification–Denitrification system. Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria were dominant in all the samples analyzed. Proteobacteria represented more than 50% of the total bacteria in both anoxic and oxic reactors, and Betaproteobacteria was the most abundant class. Authors also reported a significant reduction in the relative abundance of Firmicutes phylum after biological treatment compared with raw LFL.

Concentration of DO is a crucial parameter influencing nitrification processes and bacterial abundance. Fudala-Książek et al. [54] in a partial nitrification (PN) reactor, with controlled DO of 0.5–1.5 mg/L, achieved 72 to 99% ammonia removal, Wei et al. [55] reached 99.1% at 0.5–1.0 mg/L, whilst Wang et al. [56], treating wastewater highly loaded with ammonia (1.2–1.3 g/L), observed increasing efficiency of ammonia removal with increase concentration of DO from 36% in 0.5–1.0 mg O₂/L to 59% in 2.0–3.0 mg/L, but a further increase to 4.0 mg/L has an inhibitory effect.

In recent years, numerous molecular data regarding microbial communities in LFL treatment systems have been reported by researchers using various analytic techniques, such as denaturing or temperature gradient electrophoresis (DGGE or TGGE), single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), and lately, new generation sequencing (NGC). However, most of these data concern biodiversity rather than abundance. Therefore, qPCR allows for an interesting comparison of obtained data, and most of all gives the opportunity to correlate biological data with physio-chemical parameters. Zhang et al. [2] have revealed in concentrated LFL: 51.1% Proteobacteria, 23.4% of Bacteriodetes and 6.4% of Firmicutes. Liang et al. [57] analyzed a bacterial community in an Anammox reactor supplied with low COD (0.3–1.0 g/L) and a significant concentration of N-NO₂ ranged from 0.4 to 1.0 g/L, at a high temperature of 30 °C. They identified DNA sequences mostly classified to Bacteriodetes and Firmicutes phyla, including 45% of *Bacillus* sp., 25% of *Paenibacillus* sp. and 13% *Staphylococcus* sp. Partial nitrification reactors examined by Gabarro et al. [58] based on 16S rRNA gene sequences derived from the DGGE-PCR, was settled mainly by Bacteriodetes (52%), Alfa and Betaproteobacteria. They also reported that during the experiment the ammonia oxidizer abundance increased from 5% to 33%, whereas nitrite oxidizers dropped from 2.5% to only 0.25%.

Xie et al. [34], in a low-temperature reactor, treated LFL characterized by high N-NH₄ concentrations, but also an advantageous BOD/COD ratio of 0.1–1, identified a rich bacteria community including such phyla as: Gemmatimonadetes, Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteriodetes, Deinococcus-Thermus, Planctomycetes and numerous nitroso- and nitro-bacteria. Xie et al. [36] made an attempt to describe microbial abundance in LFL of a similar composition as described above, but treated in a higher temperature (30 °C) and with a limited oxygen access (DO = 0.2–0.5 mg/L). In these conditions, Proteobacteria dominated the community with an abundance reaching 46.4% (including 12.4% of Gammaproteobacteria and 11.3% of Betaproteobacteria), followed by Actinobacteria 7.2–16.8%, Bacteriodetes 3.0–10.3% and Firmicutes 2.4–7.3%. AOBs did not exceed 1.78% and NOBs 0.22%, with *Nitrospira* at the level of 0.05–0.17%. Moreover, AnAOB abundance constituted 0.1–0.5%, while phylum Planctomycetes (3.2–5.4%) was represented by Candidatus Kuenenia that occurred in less than 0.01%. Xie et al. [35] processed in a high-temperature Anammox membrane reactor the LFL that contained significant amounts of organic carbon, up to 13 g/L, and also a high load of ammonia, exceeding 3 g/L. In these experimental conditions authors revealed, by high-throughput 454 pyrosequencing, the structure of a microbial community that contains: 29.7% of Firmicutes, 27.9% of Bacteroidetes and only 6.06% of Proteobacteria. In the same work, an attempt was made to absolute
quantify the bacterial cells by qPCR. The number of AOB cells was estimated at $1.58 \times 10^8$ per gram, and the number of AnAOBs at $1.09 \times 10^8$, which gave respectively only 0.41% and 0.27% of the total bacteria number.

Silva et al. [37] analyzed the microbial community by pyrosequencing of the hypervariable V3–V4 region in the samples taken from a two-stage system consisting of photo-Fenton followed by activated sludge for treating LFL sampled from a mature landfill. The most abundant phyla in the nitrification reactor were Bacteroidetes with almost 43%, then Proteobacteria with over 32% (68% of which was Beta, 21% Alfa and 9% Betaproteobacteria), and Firmicutes with 11%. Moreover, Nitrosomonadaceae represented less than 7% of Betaproteobacteria. Among less abundant phyla Deinococcus-Thermus (3%), Actinobacteria (2.4%) and Tenericutes (1.2%) were mentioned. In a denitrification reactor, Proteobacteria dominated with almost 74%, of which only 4.8% were Betaproteobacteria, Alfaproteobacteria represented as much as 78.3%, and Gammaproteobacteria 17%. Bacteroidetes was the second most abundant group with 16.1% of the total, while Actinobacteria were represented in only 1% of DNA sequences, and Firmicutes did not exceed even that.

3.3. Simultaneous Ammonia Stripping Supporting Biological Ammonium Removal in a Single Reactor

Ammonia stripping is a popular technological solution for high strength LFLs, because of its effectiveness. Cheung et al. [59] investigated the effectiveness of ammonia stripping at relatively low air flow rates, a low temperature and lime dosages of 10 g/L, as a pretreatment to remove N-TAN and COD load in LFL from two different landfills. After 1 day of aeration at 20 °C, the removal of N-TAN reached 70% to 90% depending on the aeration expenditure, but regardless of the origin of leachate. In contrast, COD were only reduced by lime precipitation, achieving 24% and 47% according to the age of the landfill. Authors stated that the most important factors affecting the efficiency of ammonia removal by stripping were leachate quality and the configuration of the reactor.

Generally, in LFL treating systems, ammonia stripping is proposed as a first stage pre-treatment before, e.g., biological stages. Smaou et al. [60] evaluated the performance of the 3-stage system based on air stripping, anaerobic digestion and aerobic-activated sludge post-treatment. Stripping of raw LFL characterized by pH 7.9 and high loads of N-TAN (2.8 g/L) resulted in an 80% reduction of N-TAN. High concentration organics (COD 30 g/L) with a relatively high BOD$_5$/COD ratio of 0.3 allowed for the further batch anaerobic treatment of diluted LFL. As the authors reported after 80 days, the cumulative methane production was more than double after ammonia stripping.

There is a lack of data on the nitrogen removal efficiency in one-stage LFL biological treatment coupled with ammonia stripping. This technological solution is considered, e.g., in digesters processing bio-waste containing nitrogen-rich co-substrates. Fernandez-Gonzalez et al. [61] proposed to pair thermophilic reactors with an air-side stripping column operated 3 h, 3 to 5 times a week, and treating 21% of the reactor volume in each batch, at 65 °C and without a pH control. In these conditions, the bacteria community, assessed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis, was mainly composed of Firmicutes, which on average represented 80.2% of biomass, followed by Bacteroidetes (8.6%). The authors concluded that the bacterial community structure was not affected by periodical exposition to the harsh stripping conditions, including high temperature, pH and oxygen level, but were mainly shaped by such interrelated parameters as OLR, VFA and N-FAN.

Similarly, Yao et al. [62] studied the possibilities of an application of thermophilic daily manure anaerobic digestion with simultaneous N-FAN stripping within the same digester to simplify the system and to reduce operational costs of the process by overcoming ammonia inhibition occurring at 1.8–2.4 g N-TAN/L. Therefore the ammonia stripping strategy maintained N-TAN levels below 1.5 g/L, achieved with the optimum rate of airflow of 1 L/min, which significantly enhanced the microbial activity, and allowed it to reach a 2.3-fold higher cumulative methane production than in the control.

Roughly speaking, the metabolic pathways of nitrogen conversion demand oxygen or oxides as an electron acceptors, it is therefore obvious that the aeration strategy has a key role in the effective functioning of biological treatment systems, especially when ammonia prevails in wastewater. Most of
the processes utilized for nitrogen removal engage in the complex bacterial community, and a range of conditions, from oxygen-rich to anaerobic, are demanded depending on the specific requirements of microorganisms and the role they play. Therefore, to maintain a relevant DO concentration, the aeration strategy should include such issues as, for example, the method of air delivery, compartmentation of the reactor into aeration and mixing zones, or the definition of the time of aeration in sequential batch reactors, etc. From an economical point of view, on the technical scale, aeration must be considered also as the most cost-intensive process, consuming up to 90% of the energy used by the plant [63,64]. Therefore, on the one hand, more effective methods of air supply are considered, on the other, biological processes that consume less oxygen are, especially when ammonia removal is the main purpose of treatment, not organics [49,54,55]. However, anaerobic conditions make it difficult to strip the surplus nitrogen to the atmosphere.

Although significant removal of N-NH$_4$ was observed in both HSBRs, and a thesis of biological nature of this process is supported by observed differential growth of microbial biomass, it must be also taken into consideration that the ammonia in water solutions has an equilibrium point pKa = 9.25 at 25 °C, which meets characteristics of LFL used in the experiment. In such conditions, a significant part of the nitrogen is out of reach of biological conversion. Moreover, a higher concentration of N-FAN may inhibit microbiological activity, decreasing the removal efficiency of the remaining N-NH$_4$. A slight increase of pH observed during the experiment theoretically allowed the conversion of over half of N-TAN to N-FAN form, but H$^+$ concentration still did not inhibit biological activity of nitrificators. The nitrification process increases H$_2$CO$_3$ and decreases HCO$_3$ levels, thus the pH would tend to be reduced. However, this effect is mediated by CO$_2$ stripping, therefore finally the pH is raised by aeration. The literature data shows that significant amounts of CO$_2$ could be stripped in biological reactors, however, models describing this process could be more complicated than in the case of N-FAN [65]. The increase of pH in biological reactors could be also explained by other mechanisms, such as assimilatory sulfate reduction in sulfur-rich LFL. Anthonisen [21] explains that the nitrification is less affected at pH values between 8 and 9 because of the ability of N-FAN and free nitrous acid to penetrate cell membranes of the nitrifying organisms. When the pH inside the cell is lower than the pH outside, N-FAN penetrates the membrane and inhibits the bacteria activity, whilst N-NH$_4$ remains in the environment outside the cell. If pH inside the cell is higher than outside, the N-FNA penetrates the cell, rather than N-NO$_2$.

High levels of N-FAN does not imply yet high efficiency of the technical process of stripping. To achieve direct transition of N-FAN to the atmosphere in gaseous form, such parameters must jointly be provided as high pH (>11), high temperature and highly developed surface of liquid-gas contact [20], which were mostly not fulfilled in this experiment, whilst aeration was limited to maintain DO level ≤ 1 mg O$_2$/L. As the results show, the method of air delivery to reactors determines the difference in the effectiveness of N-TAN removal. The biological process, under conditions of limited access to oxygen, could have an impact on pH, and consequently increase the susceptibility of nitrogen for removal by stripping, which reduces the concentration of N-FAN and enhances microbial activity. In R2, where the biomass and number of bacterial DNA copies was lower, N-FAN accumulation occurred, and both processes were ineffective.

Considering the biological part of nitrogen removal, it can be stated that N-NH$_4$ was removed with relatively high efficiency in top-aerated SBR, which was obtained in a relatively short time, in conditions of high concentration of N-FAN, carbon-nitrogen imbalance and low carbon bioavailability. Such conditions are preferred by AOB and favor them over the NOB, therefore are often proposed as a method through which the nitrite pathway is achieved, when most of the N-NH$_4$ can be converted into N-NO$_2$, and then to gaseous N$_2$ by autotrophic anaerobic ammonium oxidizers (AnAOB) or anaerobic denitrifiers. Several processes may be considered as the model fitted with obtained data [44,66]. However, such parameters of treatment as: hydraulic retention time, temperature, C/N ratio and above all, no accumulation of N-NO$_2$ and N-NO$_3$ observed during the diurnal analysis of nitrogen removal kinetics (Figure 4) allows us to state that simultaneous nitrification and denitrification would be the
most likely process responsible for the biological part of ammonium removal. The occurrence of SND without the external carbon source is possible due to accumulation of organic compounds in the deeper layers of biomass carriers [67]. The autotrophic nitrifiers grows more slowly than heterotrophic bacteria, therefore SND requires a carbon source to reduce the rate of heterotrophic nitrification and denitrification [47]. Autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms can compete when OLR is high. In SBR, the competition for oxygen between heterotrophic and autotrophic bacteria occurs at OLR higher than 2 kg/m^3·day [4]. At 30 °C, the COD/N ratio should be at least 10, lower values like 7.5 achieved in this experiment, may result in a rapid reduction of the available carbon and disrupt the process [68]. However, the application of biomass carriers results in the development of the microbial community structure, and helps increase the mass of organic compounds [60], thus the ratio should not always be a key parameter for SND.

In the SND process, the most common bacteria responsible for the two step conversion are the autotrophic organisms, like *Nitrosomonas* or *Nitrobacter*, and varied heterotrophs. The former obtain energy from the oxidation of ammonia and carbon from CO_2, and use oxygen as the electron acceptor. The heterotrophs are responsible for denitrification and the reduction of nitrates prefer low levels of DO. SND accommodates autotrophs and heterotrophs in one reactor with controlled DO levels, by developing an oxygen gradient. Technically this can be done in two approaches, one by adding the source of oxygen in one isolated location of the reactor—when DO concentration decreases with distance, nitrifiers gives the way to the heterotrophs to complete the nitrogen removal. The other method is to produce the floc of activated sludge wherein DO concentration drops in deeper layers. In the proposed construction of the hybrid reactor, these two methods are combined; oxygen is supplied from above, and the structure of biomass carriers supports the creation of the DO gradient. A selection of material used as a biomass carrier has a key importance; previous experiences in the application of different biomass carriers turned our attention to reticulated polymeric foams, characterized by porous but repetitive structures with a highly developed extended surface. These materials used as a filling in hybrid sequential reactors prevent biomass washout and create suitable conditions for simultaneous growth of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms [22].

Moreover, the operational costs of the proposed design, including energy consumption, should be considered. A shortened migration distance of air bubbles in shallow-aerated SBR means a shorter time of contact between the gas and liquid phases, which was discussed, e.g., in the example of a semi-technical scale system with activated sludge mixed by aeration [69]. Thus, seemingly lower energy expenditure for low pressure aeration is combined with reduced efficiency of mass transfer, and must be compensated by a larger volume of injected air or intense mixing to maintain DO level. In this work, air inlet arrangement also implied the differences in hydrodynamics between the reactors; in R2 mass transport was forced mainly with air bubbles, therefore fluid flowed from the inside channel upward and downward along the side walls, when in R1 mass transport was supported by stirrer generating an upward flow along the side walls, as in standard axially mixed reactors [70] (the directions are suggested by arrows on Figure 1). The relatively high effect of stripping achieved in this experiment may be also related to the high foaming power of LFL. Foaming is usually considered a negative side effect in WWTP. However, the foam structure allows one of the most efficient gas-liquid mass transfer [71], although the excessive foam must be effectively broken.

4. Conclusions

Biological sequential batch reactors are often operated in landfills as a low cost and environmental friendly technological solution alternative to physicochemical processes, especially when high loads of N-TAN are considered. However, most LFLs are characterized by temporal variability of chemical composition, including pH. In some landfills, pH of LFL exceeds a value of 9.0, inducing N-FAN formation. Simple modification of HSBR aeration, proposed by the authors, partially removed N-FAN by simultaneous stripping in a single reactor, avoiding its accumulation, and helping to overcome
inhibition of bacterial growth. Application of HSBRs filled with reticulated open-pore polymer foam with a highly developed surface area, enhanced the SND process to remove remaining N-NH$_4$.

Despite the removal of N-TAN not being complete, the economics of the process in a technical scale must be considered taking into account, inter alia, unit costs of physical stripping or the complications with controlling pH in a biological stage. The proposed system can be also used as a low-cost element of the multi-stage installation.
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**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AnAOB | anaerobic ammonium oxidizers |
| AOB | ammonia-oxidizing bacteria |
| BOD$_5$ | biological oxygen demand in five days |
| COD | chemical oxygen demand |
| DGGE | denaturing gradient electrophoresis |
| DO | dissolved oxygen |
| HRT | hydraulic retention time |
| HS | humic substances |
| HSBR | hybrid sequencing batch reactor |
| LFL | landfill leachate |
| M-W | Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon statistics |
| MLSS | mixed liquor suspended solids |
| N-FAN | free ammonium nitrogen |
| N-N$_K$ | Kjeldahl nitrogen |
| N-N$_{org}$ | organic nitrogen |
| N-NH$_4$ | ammonia nitrogen |
| N-FNA | free nitrous acid |
| N-NO$_2$ | nitrite nitrogen |
| N-NO$_3$ | nitrate nitrogen |
| N-TAN | total ammonia nitrogen |
| NGC | new generation sequencing |
| NLR | nitrogen loading rate |
| NOB | nitrite-oxidizing bacteria |
| OLR | organic loading rate |
| PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| PN | part nitrification |
| PU | polyurethane |
| qPCR | quantitative polymerase chain reaction |
| SND | simultaneous nitrification and denitrification |
| SSCP | single-strand conformation polymorphism |
| TGGE | temperature gradient electrophoresis |
| TSS | total suspended solids |
| VFA | volatile fatty acid |
| VSS | volatile suspended solids |
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