Emerging pollutants, related toxicity, and water quality decreasing: Tannery, textile, and pharmaceuticals load pollutants
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Abstract
The quality of drinking water is decreasing in distinct parts of the globe. Among the major sources of aquatic environmental pollution we have tannery wastewaters (TW). Pharmaceuticals into waters are reported as emerging pollutants and both of them are also related to surfactants and dyes usage during the manufacture. TW was the worst in terms of toxicity and organic matter, referred as chemical oxygen demand, when compared to surfactants, textile and pharmaceuticals considered in the present study. From the five surfactants alkylene oxide was relatively more toxic than the others to dafnids. The intention of the selected results, figures and discussion was to highlight so wide types and ways for water contamination as well as to demonstrate health and ecological risks related to effluent emissions by different productive sectors. When excessive amount of pollutants are introduced into the rivers they may reduce their biodegradation dynamics, reducing aquatic life diversity and relevant ecological services. Some important contributions to improve effluents control and treatment have also been discussed.

Introduction
Wastewater loadings have constantly been diluted in watershed all around the world, dis-considering the needs for safe drinking water for a growing global population and the ecological risks which they imply for decreasing living organisms species and ecosystem services. Scientists efforts have demonstrated the relationship between pollutants and human health for many years. During the last decade, the negative effects of emerging organic pollutants have been extensively discussed, with emphasis for pharmaceutical residues into environmental matrices [1,2].

Although some authors include microbial among the organic emerging pollutants, most of them refer only to chemicals. Emerging pollutants may be defined as synthetic or naturally occurring chemicals that are not commonly monitored in the environment but which have the potential to enter the environment and cause known or suspected adverse ecological and human health effects [1,3,4].

Regarding human needs of drinking water, the numbers are quite different even at one given country. The global population is higher than 7.5 billion people, while in Brazil we reach approximately 209.229.469 [5,6]. Major sector for water consumption in Brazil is agriculture (>50%) and according to FAO, agriculture, and especially irrigated agriculture is the sector with by far the largest consumptive water use and water withdrawal [7].

The objective of this paper was to highlight the environmental risks of three main type of effluents and their relationship with toxic charges that are received by aquatic systems. This group consists of the tannery, textile and pharmaceutical wastewaters, including chemical surfactants. Whole toxicity results were compared.

Tannery wastewaters
Tannery industries are listed as the most polluting activity due to the wide type of chemicals applied during the conversion of animal skins into leather. Chromium salts, phenolics, tannins, organic matter, among others products, are constantly released to the environment in tannery wastewater. These pollutants offer environmental risks to the aquatic life and human health [2]. In China high concentration of NH4-N and Ge were listed as impact and residues for the local ecosystem and human health [8]. Pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria are part of the organic matter in effluents (coliforms, anaerobic spore-forming bacilli. Streptococci, Staphylococci, etc) [9].

How leather is slowly killing the people and places that make it? It was written by [10]. In India there are more than 3,000 tanneries, and most of them (nearly 80%) are produced in chrome tanning process [11]. Health risks related to this manufacture was shown at Figure 1 and they were discussed by different authors. Brazil is also an important exporter of blue-leather tanning. Many efforts from different countries have been made for diminishing ecological and human health risks once this activity is very important for the economy and positive impacts of the good initiatives were presented during the discussion section [12-14].
Surfactants and textiles pollutants in effluents

Surfactants are one of the most common components used in detergents and softeners, comprising 15% to 40% of the total formulation. In the United States, for example, surfactants are generally listed as an ingredient contained in 117 cleaners in general, 68 in care products, and 103 in the laundry [15]. The per capita detergent consumption can reach up to 10kg by year depending on the location, as it is illustrated in the case of the United States [16].

In relation to the negative effects of surfactants on biota, some citations included: gradual destructuring of membrane systems, with interference in the energy metabolism and transport of nutrients and oxygen in different organisms; weakening of structures for organisms protection and various physiological changes; photosynthetic and chlorophyll rate reduction in vegetables, deleterious effects on several species of bacteria [16,17].

Textile wastewater

Textile effluents are critical because they have several contaminants, such as surfactants, dyestuffs, peroxides, salts, acids, which are toxic to aquatic fauna and flora and may contribute to important water quality parameters changes. Another critical aspect is the residual color in wastewaters. Some estimations show that up to 30% of dyestuffs used in fiber dyeing will make up the final effluent [18], although these numbers vary according to different factors.

Among ecological effects related to dyes and textile effluents there are: induction of genotoxicity besides enzymatic changes and oxidative stress (Danio rerio, fish); high mucus secretion (Gambusia affinis) [19-21] mutageniciry - Salmonella bacteria test [22] acute and chronic toxicity to different organisms [4,23] in rats and mice, it has also been reported negative effects in the reproductive and hematopoietic systems, and histopathological lesions in heart and lungs [12,24].

Pharmaceuticals into several environmental matrices

Increased consumption of pharmaceutical has led to the identification of human and veterinary pharmaceuticals as emerging contaminants in the environment [25]. Sewage is the main rote of pharmaceuticals into the aquatic ecosystems (also veterinarian medicines, agriculture, and industry) [26]. Pharmaceuticals are continuously discharged but not always efficiently removed, so most of them persist in the environment [27]. After ingestion, they are excreted in biologically active form, as residues or active metabolite [28]. Mainly because of the incomplete degradation at wastewater plants, pharmaceutical residues and metabolites occur in rivers, lakes, and waters, groundwater, and also in drinking water [29,30].

Steroidal estrogens have become an emerging and serious concern. Worldwide, including estrone, estradiol, and estriol. They pose serious threats to soil, plants, water resources, and humans. Environmental concentrations of pharmaceuticals have been reported in the range of 10-1000 ng.L-1 [26]. The risks associated with pharmaceuticals are of chronic character once they are designed to trigger specific biological responses to living organisms and therefore can cause lethal effects even at low concentrations [31]. Aquatic organisms are invariably exposed to mixtures of contaminants and individual components may interact, producing synergistic effects or toxic effects [32]. The combined joint effects of blends should be considered and chemical risks for aquatic life have to deal with this complex exposure situation. Consequences of environmental pharmaceutical mixtures are identified as one of the top priority research needs to understand the risks represented by long-term exposure to pharmaceuticals [1,3]. Data on the main pharmaceuticals marked in Brazil in 2017, are shown in Table 1.

Development toxicity tests are designed to detect xenobiotic agents that affect embryonic development. Embryonic development can be considered a “weak link” in the life cycle of an organism [34] See Figure 2.

Methodology

The paper was based on the literature using a distinct database. The practical obtained data was carried out at Biological Environment Assays Laboratory (LEBA- IPEN/SP), in Brazil. Results were obtained during the last four years. This laboratory is in charge of ecotoxicity assays specially applied for effluents and dirty samples.

Sampling

Two sampling of tannery influent and effluent were carried out and exposed to aquatic organisms (acute effects). Five types of surfactants were assessed for toxicity in aqueous solutions (anionic and non-ionic) and they are the main surfactants used for textile dyeing. On the other hand, three sampling of red standard textile effluent (Reactive Red 239) were included. Acute toxicity of pharmaceuticals was measured with commercial products. In this particular case, the toxicity evaluations were carried out in aqueous solutions.

Toxicity data were expressed as EC50 numbers (median effective concentration) is the concentration of a chemical estimated to produce a specific effect in 50% of a population of test species after a specified lenght of exposure (24 or 48h). Typical effect criteria include immobility, a developmental abnormality or deformity, loss of equilibrium, failure to respond to an external stimulus, and abnormal behavior [34].

At least two biological organisms were exposed to each pollutant and three measurements were conducted. Average results from three measurements were presented for Daphnia similis and Vibrio fischeri (Figure 3). These assays were carried out according to standardized procedures [35,36]. The last assay was conducted at a Microbiics Analyser, based on decreasing luminescece of V. fischeri bactéria.
In relation to the toxicity of contaminants, the concentration-response relationship is a graded relationship between the concentration of the test chemical to which the organisms are exposed and the severity of the response elicited (Figure 4). Generally, the greater the exposure concentration of the test chemical, more severe is the lethality (or other biological indication of effects) [34].

**Results and discussion**

Referring to tannery wastes and wastewater, the results were presented in the following order: a load of tannery effluents (data from this paper) and quantity of solid waste, as an example, and according to [13], (Table 2) (Table 3). Toxicity data from the given examples were compared at Table 4, and included the three type of samples. Whole toxicity of five surfactants were compared at Figure 5. Textile effluents data was included in Table 4. Data obtained for pharmaceuticals were listed at Table 5. Fluoxetine hidrochloride, active substance of Prozac antidepressive product, was more toxic than the other and it is a low biodegradable chemical [37].

Tannery wastewater was presented as an example of environmental risks and the needs for improving all the manufacture processing.

---

**Table 1.** Diversity and commercialization of some type of pharmaceuticals in Brazil (2017), according National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (Anvisa) [33], with adaptations

| Application            | Classes of pharmaceuticals                                             | Packaging (millions) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cardiovascular diseases | Antiarrhythmics; Vasodilators, Cardioglicosides and Diuretics.          | 694                  |
| Antidepressants        | Selective Reuptake Inhibitors: Serotonin, Noradrenaline, Dopamine, Tryptic and Tetracyclic; Monoamine oxidase inhibitors. | 650                  |
| Digestive system and metabolism | Antacids, Digestive and Gastric.                                       | 603                  |
| Respiratory system diseases | Nasal decongestant.                                                   | 436                  |
| Hormonal Contraceptives | Ethinylestradiol, Levonorgestrel, Drospirenone and Cyproterone Acetate. | 336                  |
| Antibiotics            | Aminoglycosides; Cephalosporins, Macrolides, Penicillins, Quinolones, Sulphonamides and Tetracyclines. | 305                  |
| Antiinflammatory Analgesics | Diclofenac, Dipyrone, Ibuprofen, Nimesulide and Paracetamol.           | 200                  |
| Dermatologists         | Creams, Lotions, Shampoos, Body Moisturizers, Sunscreens.               | 175                  |
| Cancer                 | Approximately 50 cancer Pharmaceuticals are considered Essential by the World Health Organization. | 41                   |
Table 2. Tannery effluent: organic matter and whole acute toxicity

| Description               | BOD and COD (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) | Toxiciy – EC50 (% v/v) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Raw Effluent              | 4.140*                       | 1.44 ± 0.21            |
| Conventional Treatment    | 820*                         | 13.44 ± 2.13           |
| Effluent                  |                              |                        |

BOD** COD** Biological and chemical oxygen demand; EC50 – Average effective concentration for D.similis exposures for 48 hours.

Table 3. Main constituents of solids residues from tannery manufacture. Source: Saxena et al., 2015 [13]

| Natural os solid waste generated | Quantity (kg) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Salt from handshaking             | 80            |
| Salt from solar pans (not realized) | 220          |
| Hair (pasting ovine)             | 100           |
| Raw trimmings                    | 40            |
| Lime sludge (mostly bovine)      | 60            |
| Fleshing                         | 120           |
| Wet blue trimmings (grains splits) | 30           |
| Chrome splitting (bovine)        | 65            |
| Chrome shaving (mostly bovine)   | 95            |
| Buffinf dust (including shaving bovine after crust) | 65 |
| Dyed trimmings                   | 35            |
| Dry sludge from Common effluent treatment plant | 125 |

According to Table 3 more than 100 kg of chrome may enter the environment through the deposition of the solid sludge, among salts and a wide list of chemicals [13].

From the five surfactants, alquilene oxide as the more toxic than the others with EC50 ranged from 1.8 mg/L (Nonionic and Anionic – alkylene oxide) to 19.4 mg/L (Anionic - Dodecylbenzene Sulfonate), demonstrating the high toxicity of these compounds to the exposed organism (Figure 5). Important is to highlight that surfactants are applied during most of producing sector, which include tannery, pharmaceuticals, food and others. [38] demonstrated values of EC 50 for D. magna of 29.87 mg/L and V. fischeri of 32.71 mg/L (anionic surfactant). In this study, EC50 of the anionic surfactant dodecylbenzene sulfonate was 19.4 mg/L (D. similis). For non-ionic surfactants, EC 50(mg/L) values for V.fischeri of 0.35 and for D.magna of 6.8 are reported by [39]. In this study, for D. similis, the EC50 (mg/L) of these surfactants was between 4.2 and 12.4.

In the present study, the organisms Daphnia similis (crustacean) and Vibrio fischeri (bacteria) were exposed to a textile effluent with dye reactive Red 239 for evaluation of acute toxicity (Table 4). The values expressed by EC 50(%) showed high toxicity of effluent for the two organisms: EC 50(%) 6.75 (D. similis) and 8.40 (V. fischeri). Different toxicity studies also revealed the high toxicity of textile effluents, with values of EC 50(%) between 1.40 and 28.1 for C. sativus, D. similis, D. subspicatus, V. fischeri, among others organisms [4,23,41].

As a general discussion and comparisons we consider the tannery effluent as the worst example, during this projekt. Although tannery activities are crucial for east asian countries economy, the environmental and health pollution aspects have to be given a due consideration. Due to leather exporting ammount from Bangladesh, some Hazaribagh neighborhood is hated as one of the five most toxic,

Table 4. EC50 values obtained for all samples which are used for the toxic charge determination

| Samples           | Organisms-test | EC 50(%) | TU     |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|--------|
| Pharmaceuticals    |                |          |        |
| Propranolol       | V. fischeri *  | 55.20    | 1.81   |
|                   | D. similis **  | 7.45     | 13.42  |
| Fluoxetine        | D. similis **  | 12.58    | 7.94   |
| FLX + PRP         | V. fischeri* D.silis ** | 45.65 | 2.19   |
|                   |                | 9.38     | 10.66  |
| Surfactants       |                |          |        |
| Nonionic          | D. similis **  | 0.42 - 1.24 | 80.64 - 238.09 |
| Anionic           | D. similis **  | 1.94     | 51.54  |
| Textile           | V. fischeri *  | 8.40     | 11.90  |
|                   | D. similis **  | 6.75     | 14.81  |
| Tannery           | D. similis **  | 1.44     | 69.44  |

* 15 min; ** 48 h; FLX= Fluoxetine; PRP = Propanolol; TU= Toxicity Units; EC 50= median effective concentration.

Table 5. Few examples of toxicity of pharmaceuticals and mixtures for water flea and bacteria

| Pharmaceuticals | Organism-test | EC 50 (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) | Reference |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Fluoxetine      | V. fischeri * | 5.89                   | [37]      |
|                 | D. similis ** | 12.80                  |           |
| Diclofenac      | V. fischeri * | 5.12                   | 24.86     | [40] |
|                 | D. similis ** |                      |           |
| Propranolol     | V. fischeri * | 55.20                  | 7.45      | Present study |
|                 | D. similis ** |                      |           |
| FLX + PRP       | V. fischeri*  | 45.65                  | 9.38      | Present study |
|                 | D.silis **    |                      |           |
| FLX + DIC       | V. fischeri*  | 14.95                  | 24.23     | [40] |
|                 | D.silis **    |                      |           |

* 15 min; ** 48 h; FLX= Fluoxetine; PRP = Propanolol; DIC= Diclofenac; EC 50= median effective concentration.
heavily-polluted sites on the entire planet [42]. Back in 1981, a study carried out by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) found no link between the tanning process and nasal cancer in tannery workers. However, over the next few years, additional case reports and studies began uncovering a link not just to nasal cancer but bladder and testicular cancer as well, which was associated with the dyes or solvents employed in the finishing process. By the mid-1990s, a number of other forms, including lung and pancreatic cancer, both of which are way down the list of cancer you might survive and they were associated with dust and tanning. By the start of this century, researchers had uncovered another link between hexavalent chromium or Cr (VI) compounds and increased risk of respiratory cancer.

How leather is slowly killing the people and places that make it? The answer might be given by the statistical data from health organizations and so for the regulations and limits that have to rise from this bad experience and evidences [10,43,44].

Even in fully modernized and carefully managed facilities, it is nearly impossible to reclaim all of the pollutants generated by the tanning process. As a rule of thumb, tanning one ton of hide typically results in 20 to 80 cubic meters of wastewater with chromium concentrations around 250 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and sulfide concentrations at roughly 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\), not to mention the effluent from the preparation phase and the pesticides often added to keep mild growth down during transport to the facility. And, 70 percent of an untreated hide is eventually discarded as solid waste (air, fat, meat, sinew) could go straight into the trash. On the other hand positive actions have to be mentioned as the UNIDO Manual – Occupational Safety and Health Aspects of Leather Manufacture (Report), edited in India, in 1999 [14]. Protective directives have also been published. Distinct groups of scientists and technicians are developing Treatment Technologies and trying to audit and monitoring these type of manufacture.

In order to highlight the main achievement and good initiatives there are Scientific research and technology developments for controlling and monitoring pollutants; efforts given to the publication of a [14], combination of treatment technology for wastewater and possible reuse of water by productive sectors, etc (Figure 6).

Coming back to the initial paragraphs of this manuscript, the amount of potable water needed for a 7.5 billion people world, there is only one solution: to take care about any type of wastewater discharges, once they probably will go through to the rivers and after to the sea, flowing with hundreds of chemicals, including pharmaceuticals, dyes, surfactants, organic and inorganic compounds. Of course that at previous step, we have to use less natural resources and manage them in an intelligent way. It should be kept in mind that each person is responsible by a better environment and we have to ask us what to do with our left pharmaceuticals? Why not reuse the water used during bath and laundry? Why should we try to understand environmental regulations? Again, by the few examples and numbers here presented we may believe and prevent the damage that pollutants represent to animals and human health.
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