Comparison of thermally and chemically reduced graphene oxides by thermal analysis and Raman spectroscopy
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Abstract
Graphene oxide (GO) is not only an intermediate in top-down graphene preparation, but it is also acknowledged for its convenient application when the hydrophobicity of graphene is a drawback. Its preparation through the wet exfoliation of graphite inevitably leads to a product lacking several of the outstanding features of graphene. The destroyed graphene structure can be at least partially reconstructed by thermal and/or wet chemical reduction. Thermally reduced graphene oxide (TRGO) was obtained by mild heat treatment (300 °C) in argon, while chemically reduced graphene oxide (CRGO) was prepared by using a green reagent, ascorbic acid (AA). The parent GO and the two GO derivatives were compared by thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy. Both reductive treatments resulted in enhanced thermal stability and higher C/O ratio. Although the chemical reduction with AA was more efficient in the elimination of the O functionalities, it also resulted in significant fragmentation of the graphene-like platelets.
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Introduction
Since the first isolation of graphene by Geim and Novoselov et al. [1], the flat two-dimensional (2D) monolayer of carbon atoms has attracted great interest for its excellent electrical, mechanical, optical, and thermal properties [2, 3]. Graphene has outstanding potential in various environmentally related areas including catalysis, energy storage, and sensor-related applications [4]. Although graphene has proven its ability to be applied in many sectors, its hydrophobicity is often a critical factor in manufacturing. Hydrophilic graphene derivatives such as graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxides (RGOs) may help to overcome these problems. GO consists of a low number of graphene sheets with structural defects (single vacancies, Stone–Wales defects, etc.) [5, 6] and is decorated with various oxygen-containing functional groups in the basal planes and peripheries [7–10].

GO is most often prepared by oxidative exfoliation of graphite in highly aggressive media [11–15]. The properties of the obtained GO depend on the graphite precursor and the exfoliation method applied and the efficiency of the purification [14, 16–18]. Recently, the intermediates being formed in the improved Hummers exfoliation method were followed by various methods [19]. The active sites of GO can be easily functionalized opening an avenue, e.g., for site-specific applications [7, 20, 21].

Graphene-like properties can be partially recovered by the reduction of GO. Reduction can be performed either by thermal treatment in inert or reductive atmosphere or by wet chemical ways. The chemistry and the properties of the RGO
depend on the reduction path, i.e., the temperature, duration, reducing agent, concentration, etc.

It was found that thermal reduction of GO may occasionally leads to explosion. The kinetics and mechanism of the thermal reduction of GO are not fully understood, therefore particularly larger samples should be heat treated with special care [22]. When heating GO in argon flow at 800 °C, the oxygen-containing functional groups (typically epoxy, carboxyl and carbonyl) were removed and concurrently small molecules (carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, water vapor) were released [23]. Sofer et al. investigated the thermal reduction of GO in inert nitrogen and reactive hydrogen atmosphere, respectively, also at 800 °C. Their gas chromatographic analysis coupled with mass spectrometry (GC–MS) revealed that beside the simple molecules listed above various aromatic compounds were also released. Their chemistry and amount were different in the two media and led to RGO products with significantly different C/O ratio: as expected, hydrogen gave the higher C/O ratio [24].

After thermal reduction in non-reactive Ar flow, the partially stacked GO colloids are restored, but the wrinkled graphene sheets form a loosely stacked graphene-like structure, but the perfect graphene is not re-established even at 2000 °C [25].

A wide variety of reducing agents can be used in the wet method, such as hydrogen sulfide [26], hydrazine [27, 28], hydroquinone [29], sodium borohydride [30], hydrohalic acids [31], ascorbic acid (AA) [32], or lithium aluminum hydride (LAH) [33]. All these reducing agents have advantages and drawbacks. Although hydrazine is toxic and explosive, it has high efficiency and produces graphene materials with good qualities [27]. A comparative study on the reduction efficiency of GO by AA, hydrazine and sodium borohydride showed that the FTIR peaks representing epoxy and hydroxyl groups decrease after reduction. This phenomenon was more pronounced when GO was treated with AA or hydrazine, the former giving the highest C/O ratio from XPS analysis [32]. Sodium borohydride was found efficient in the reduction of carbonyl, epoxy, and hydroxyl groups but only partially reduced carboxyl groups [30]. One of the advantages of AA is that it is nontoxic in contrast to hydrazine and is more stable in water than sodium borohydride. It is one of the most environmentally friendly reducing agent, generally applied in highly basic medium [32].

A comparative study of LAH, sodium borohydride, and hydrazine showed that the three agents reduced GO with different degree. Based on XPS analysis LAH resulted in the highest C/O ratio (12), higher than sodium borohydride (9.5). Reduction with hydrazine was also highly efficient (C/O = 11.5) and led to the concomitant introduction of nitrogen atom. LAH was also the most effective in the reduction of carboxyl groups compared to hydrazine and sodium borohydride [33].

The binding energy of the oxygen functionalities also depends on their location. The removal of the epoxy and hydroxyl groups from the graphitic sheet is easier than from the defective sites or edges. For their efficient removal, chemical and thermal treatments can be combined [34].

In spite of the different mechanisms of the various reducing agents, no exclusive selectivity can be achieved. Nevertheless, the advantage of both the thermal and wet chemical treatments is that the extent of the reduction can be tuned by the selected agent and the reaction parameters. Thus, RGO with different hydrophilic/hydrophobic properties can be obtained.

For this study GO was prepared by the improved Hummers exfoliation method [14]. RGOs were obtained from this GO by thermal and wet routes. Thermally reduced graphene oxide (TRGO) was obtained by heating freeze-dried GO rods at moderate temperature (300 °C) in argon flow. A green reducing agent, AA at high pH, was used yielding chemically reduced graphene oxide (CRGO) which was also investigated in freeze-dried state. The behavior of the precursor and the two reduced graphene oxides obtained along these pathways was studied by thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectroscopy (TG/DTA–MS) both in inert and oxidative atmosphere. The occasional reconstruction of the graphitic structure was followed by Raman spectroscopy through the ratio of the G and D bands.

**Experimental**

**Materials and methods**

**Sample preparation**

Natural graphite powder (GR) was purchased from Tyn, China, with average particle size of 63 μm (95%). Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared according to the improved Hummers’ method [14]. The product was washed several times till neutral pH was achieved with a yield of 33%. The brown 1 w/w % aqueous suspension was kept in an amber bottle. Part of the aqueous GO suspension was freeze-dried (−100 °C, 48 h) to obtain GOloyo rods. Thermally reduced graphene oxide (TRGO) was obtained from dry GOloyo after 3 h at 300 °C in argon flow (4 dm³ h⁻¹) with a yield of 53%. Chemical reduction of the suspended GO was performed by treating the suspension in 0.02 M aqueous ascorbic acid at pH 10 (95 °C, 1 h) [32]. The pH was set with cc NH₃OH. The black suspension was washed several times till neutral pH was achieved. After freeze-drying (−100 °C, 72 h), the chemically reduced graphene oxide (CRGO) was obtained with a yield of 63%. The sample preparation is summarized in Fig. 1.
Methods

The thermal behavior of graphene oxide and its derivatives was accomplished by TG/DTA (SDT 2960, TA Instruments Inc., USA) instrument. TG measurements were conducted under dynamic conditions both in air and nitrogen (flow rate 130 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$) with a ramp rate 5 °C min$^{-1}$ up to 110 °C then 10 °C min$^{-1}$ to 900 °C (except GR, which was heated up to 950 °C and GOlyo up to 650 °C in air). Approximately, 4 mg of each sample was placed in an open Pt crucible. Parallel with the TG measurement, the analysis of the evolved volatile products was carried out on Thermostar GSD 200T (Balzers Instruments) quadruple mass spectrometer (MS) equipped with a Chaneltron detector and coupled to the TG/DTA instrument. The gas transfer lines to the spectrometer were kept at 200 °C. Data collection was carried out with QuadStar 422v7.02 software in scanning mode in the range of $m/z = 1$–300, and in multiple ion detection mode (MID) on 64 selected $m/z$ channels.

Raman spectra were recorded with a LabRAM (Horiba Jobin–Yvon) instrument having a $\lambda = 532$ nm Nd:YAG laser source (laser power at the focus point is 15 mW). Filter of 0.6 OD was used to reduce excitation beam energy reducing the chance of sample degradation. The exposure time was 120 s per point. Results shown here are the averages of five different locations in the samples. A 1800 g mm$^{-1}$ grating dispersed the Raman-scattered light before it reached the CCD detector. LabSpec 5 software was applied for parameter optimization and data collection.

Results and discussion

The thermal stability of the pristine GR, GOlyo, and the two reduced derivatives was studied up to 900 °C in inert and oxidative media (except GR, which was heated up to 950 °C and GOlyo up to 650 °C in oxidative media), using nitrogen and air flows, respectively. Figure 2 compares the TG curves and their first derivatives.

GR, as expected, was thermally stable and had only a 2.3% mass reduction until 900 °C in N$_2$ atmosphere (Fig. 2 GR). Mass spectrometry revealed that this loss was due to release of sulfur dioxide (Fig. 3 GR). That is, the natural graphite used contains traces of sulfur or its derivatives.

The TG/DTG curves in air show that the oxidation of the graphite starts already around 700 °C and by 950 °C the loss is about 70%. The volatile products were identified as carbon dioxide ($m/z = 12, 22, 44, 45$ and $46$) and sulfur dioxide ($m/z = 46, 64$). Although all the listed fragments were experimentally detected, for simplicity, only the $m/z = 44$ and 64 were plotted for CO$_2$ and SO$_2$, respectively. No burning was observed and CO evolution if any was below the detection limit.

GOlyo is significantly less stable due to the high concentration of surface oxygen groups. The C/O atomic ratio from XPS measurement was 2.6 [36]. The 5% loss at 100 °C in both gases implies the release of adsorbed water retained on the hydrophilic surface (Fig. 2 GOlyo). The run of the two TG curves is overlapping up to 200 °C where a significant mass loss occurs in both media. In nitrogen, this step is followed by a slow continuous decay with a slope of ca 0.03% °C$^{-1}$ reaching a total mass loss of 63% by 900 °C. On the other hand, in air a second, steep step occurs in the 400–600 °C range leading to the complete oxidation of the sample. Mass spectrometry revealed the evolution of carbon dioxide ($m/z = 12, 22, 44, 45$ and $46$) and sulfur dioxide ($m/z = 48, 49, 50, 64, 65$ and 66) (Fig. 3 GOlyo). The CO$_2$ released around 200 °C may come from carboxylic groups [35], while the second set of the CO$_2$ related signals detected only in air escorts the complete oxidation of the carbon. The lack of SO$_2$ above 800 °C indicates that the sulfur content of the natural graphite precursor was eliminated during the wet exfoliation. At the same time, the use of sulfuric acid in the Hummers process led to the entrapment of sulfur species which were detected at much lower temperature, between 200 and 300 °C.

As mentioned in Introduction part, the properties of the reduced GO depend on the reduction path. The mild thermal route increased the C/O atomic ratio to 5.7, while the AA treatment was more effective resulting in a C/O ratio of 7.3 [36]. Indeed, comparison of Fig. 2 TRGO, CRGO exposes that the thermal behavior of the two reduced GOs are not identical.

Accordingly, TRGO in N$_2$ shows a limited but gradual mass loss as high as 33% by 900 °C releasing traces of CO$_2$ and, in the two different temperature ranges, also SO$_2$ ($m/z = 48$ and 64). In air, the sharp mass loss is due to evolving carbon dioxide ($m/z = 12, 22, 44, 45$ and $46$) in the
450–550 °C range beside sulfur dioxide (m/z = 64) between 300 and 450 °C and above 800 °C (Figs. 2 TRGO, 3 TRGO).

In N₂ gas flow, the mass of the more reduced CRGO decreased continuously to a total mass loss of 24% while releasing only sulfur dioxide (m/z = 48, 49, 50, 64, 65 and 66) (Figs. 2 CRGO, 3 CRGO). In air, the slow SO₂ evolution was below the detection limit, only carbon dioxide was observed (m/z = 12, 22, 44, 45 and 46) in the temperature range of 300–620 °C. It is worthwhile to mention that while both GOlyo and TRGO were completely oxidized in air by the end of the measurement, CRGO retained 2% of its original mass when heated to 900 °C.

Raman spectroscopy is a versatile and therefore widely used tool to identify and characterize the chemical and physical properties of carbon materials, as due to the conjugation of the carbon–carbon double bonds, they give high Raman intensities [37, 38]. Two principle features of the spectrum, the G and D bands, are used most often to follow the changes during various treatments. The band appearing around 1587 cm⁻¹ is the G band. It corresponds to the in-plane vibrational mode that involves sp² hybridized carbon atoms that comprises the graphene sheet. The disorder or the defect band, i.e., the D band is generally weak in graphite and high-quality graphene. It is related to a ring breathing mode from sp² carbon rings near a graphene edge or a defect.

The amount of defects present in a sample is generally quantified by the intensity ratio of these bands. The structural changes occurring in our samples during the various treatments were also followed by Raman spectroscopy. Figure 4 shows the 800–1800 cm⁻¹ range of the recorded spectra. As expected, the G bands appear around 1587 cm⁻¹, and the position of the D bands is in the 1347–1356 cm⁻¹ range. The spectrum of the pristine graphite displays a strong G and a weak D band with an intensity ratio I_G/I_D 6.0 ± 0.5.

The Hummers’ exfoliation, including also insertion of oxygen functional groups, significantly destroys the graphitic order as reflected not only by the results discussed above but also by the significantly diminished intensity ratio to 1.18 ± 0.01. The reduction processes further suppress the value of I_G/I_D. For TRGO, this ratio 1.08 ± 0.01 is close to that for GOlyo implying that the moderate thermal treatment probably does not enter new defects. A comparison of Raman spectra of reduced GO obtained after thermal treatment in hydrogen and nitrogen atmospheres showed that the inert atmosphere resulted in less defect than the reactive one [24]. Much significant decrease in the I_G/I_D value (0.75 ± 0.03) was found in CRGO. Such phenomenon is commonly found after chemical GO reduction, and is attributed to the fragmentation of the sp² domains upon reduction of the GO [27].
Conclusions

The chemical exfoliation performed according to the improved Hummers’ method results in severe damage in the ideal graphene sheets. The oxygen functionalities and the defects could be at least partially eliminated by physical and/or chemical reduction. Comparison of the products from a 300 °C thermal treatment in inert argon atmosphere and from chemical reduction by ascorbic acid (AA) in basic medium was performed by thermal methods assisted by mass spectrometry and Raman spectroscopy. Although the C/O ratio improves during both treatments, the reduced derivatives are different according to their chemical and thermal properties. The trend in the $I_G/I_D$ intensity ratios also corroborates that the two reduction methods compared in this study led to products different in chemistry, thermal behavior, and imperfections. The
chemical reductive treatment caused further imperfections by the simultaneous fragmentation of the platelets.
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