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Abstract
Since the foam concrete is delicate, it is important to carefully choose the additives, such as superplasticiser (SP), to be used in this type of concrete. The effect of using different types and percentages of SP on the performance of foam concrete with target density of 1500±50 kg/m³ has been investigated in this study. A sustainable material, Palm Oil fuel ash (POFA), used as a sand replacement at a level of 20% by weight. Five types of SP have been used in this study, including Sulphonated polymer-based, selected synthetic and organic polymers-based and three Polycarboxylate ether-based (PCE). Different SP contents (0.0%, 1.0% and 2.0%) have been used as a ratio of cement weight. Workability, consistency, foam stability and mechanical properties were investigated. The results showed that types and quantity of SP have a significant effect on the different properties of the foam concrete. Workability, compression strength and tension strength improved remarkably for most specimens. The use of 2% SP4, a PCE SP, showed superior workability, compression strength and tensile strength with acceptable stability.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION
Owing to the enormous advantages that it offers, the interest in foam concrete has been increased. Foam concrete provides high strength to weight ratio, low density, high flowability, better thermal and acoustic isolation and lower thermal expansion [1-3]. Moreover, it reduces the weight of the construction which, in turn, reduces sections size and reinforcement quantities [4, 5]. It also reduces the production and transportation costs and facilitates the handling and fixing of the precast units [2]. Since the compaction and the vibration are not allowed in the foam concrete, the flowability of the foam concrete is a significant issue [6]. Low flowability causes the bubbles to collapse because of the stiff mixture, whereas the high flowability caused segregation which leads to collapse the bubbles as well [7]. Ling et al. 2018, [8] reported that optimum foam concrete flow, that showed the best mechanical properties, is between 20 mm and 25 mm. Nambiar et al. 2008, [6] stated that 145% of spread flow is adequate workability that provides good stability for the foam mixture. Furthermore, the recommended difference between the achieved plastic density and the target plastic density is between 2% and 7% [9, 10], or ± 50 kg/m³ as suggested by other studies [11-13].
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Superplasticiser (SP) used widely to improve the fresh and harden properties of the foam concrete. Indeed, it is important to evaluate the influence of the SP on the foam stability and on the consistency of the mixture. Although some types of SP tends to have an air-foaming behaviour, other types have an anti-foaming behaviour [5, 14]. According to Sakai et al. 2006, [15], the SP type has a significant effect on the air content of the fresh slurry. They noticed that Polycarboxylate ether-based SP (PCE) showed a smaller size of air compared with lignosulphonate and naphthalene SP. In addition, Coppola et al. 2017, [16] stated that PCE involves a larger amount of air than naphthalene-based SP and less than phosphonate-based SP. Various types and quantities have been used in foam concrete by several researchers including PCE [11, 17-20], naphthalene-based [6, 13, 21, 22], synthetic polymers based [23] and Sulphonate based [24, 25].

On the other hand, growing the palm oil use to produce biofuel generates enormous amounts of wastes. Annually about 80 million tons of dry solid biomass have been produced from the palm oil industry [26]. These materials have been burned in order to produce energy, generating palm oil fuel ash (POFA). Annually, Malaysia alone produces about 10 million tons of POFA [27]. The POFA has a relatively slow degradation which increases the damping areas and makes this problem accumulated. Additionally, the fact that these wastes are ash makes it easier to spread by the wind effect which causes pollution and several health consequences [28].

POFA has been used in concrete as an alternative of either cement or sand. Using these wastes as a sand replacement up to 8% have no significant effect on the concrete workability, as well have no effect on the compression strength [29]. Lim et al. 2013, [30] reported that replacing 20% of sand by POFA decreased the workability and enhanced the mechanical strength of foam concrete. Khalid et al. 2016, [31] mentioned that using grounded POFA as a replacement of sand showed better workability than ungrounded POFA. Moreover, the compression strength increased gradually by replacing the sand by 14% and 10% of grounded and ungrounded POFA respectively [31]. Yahaya et al. 2015, [28] noticed that both expansion and mass change resistance, of aerated concrete under sulphate attack, improved by replacing 30% of sand by POFA up to one year.

By evaluating the stability of foam, the consistency, workability and mechanical properties, the main objective of this study is to investigate the influence of different types and percentages of SP on the foam concrete behaviour. The outcomes of this comparison will provide an insight to the researchers and engineers to choose the appropriate SP type and content on the foam concrete. Moreover, this study attempts to solve the disposal problem by using raw POFA as sand replacement.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Materials

In this study, the lightweight foam concrete produced by using river sand, POFA, Portland cement, protein foam agent, regular tap water and five types of SP. Dry river sand with an oven-dry specific gravity (OD) and saturated surface dry specific gravity (SSD) equal to 2.55 and 2.61 respectively. The sand was collected from a local resource and sieved using a 1.18 mm sieve. Fine sand used since the large particles of sand settle in the lightweight mixture leading to collapse foam bubbles and cause segregation [32]. Sand and POFA physical properties and sieve analysis are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1 respectively. The used POFA was supplied by the United Palm Oil factory located in Penang, Malaysia. Sieving row POFA through sieve 1.18 mm has been done to ensure that POFA does not contain any coarse or unburned particles and to be as the size range as sand. Specific gravity and water absorption ratio of sand and POFA were determined based on ASTM C128 2001, [33]. The results of the X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis of POFA and cement are shown in Table 2. The microstructure of the used POFA tested by the scanning electron microscope (SEM) with a magnification of 70 times (Figure 2).

| Physical property     | Sand  | POFA |
|-----------------------|-------|------|
| Specific gravity (OD) | 2.55  | 1.97 |
| Specific gravity (SSD)| 2.61  | 2.06 |
| Absorption %          | 2.25  | 4.38 |
| D10 mm                | 0.20  | 0.20 |
| D30 mm                | 0.50  | 0.50 |
| D60 mm                | 0.85  | 0.85 |
| Cu = D60/D10          | 4.25  | 4.25 |
| Cc = D30 2 / (D10 x D60)| 1.47  | 1.47 |

Cu: Uniformity coefficient; Cc: Coefficient of curvature

Ordinary Portland cement complying with the specification of BS EN 197-1 2011, [34] has been used. The cement strength grade is 52.5 MPa and the chemical properties are presented in Table 2. A liquid protein foam locally produced with a trademark (NORAITE PA-1) was used. Five types of superplasticisers with various chemical compositions and different trademarks have been used in this study.

Table 3 presents the chemical-based and the physical properties of the used SPs.
2.2. Mix Proportions

In this study, foam concrete produced with a target density of 1500 kg/m³ by using constant cement-sand ratio 1:2 by weight. Twenty per cent of POFA and eighty per cent of sand, by weight, used as filler. The minimum percentage of water-cement ratio (W/C) required for fully hydration found to be 0.5 for the referenced specimen. This ratio is relatively high since the high absorption of POFA. The W/C ratio kept constant for all mixes in order to guarantee the ability to investigate the influence of the SPs. Eleven mixtures produced by using five types of SP with two contents along with referenced specimens. The contents of SP were 0.0%, as referenced specimen, 1.0% and 2.0% of cement weight. The mixes properties are listed in Table 4.

2.3. Production and Curing

The foam concrete laboratory produced by using a vertical shaft mixer. Cement, sand, POFA and water mixed, then the specified type and quantity of SP added to the ready mixed concrete. The pre-foamed method used to produce the foam by diluting the foaming agent in water with a ratio of 1:30 by weight. The resulting solution and compressed air mixed by foam generator to produce foam with a density of 65±3 kg/m³. The foam used directly to avoid the loss of stability and viscosity. Foam concrete fresh density maintained to be about 1630 kg/m³, to ensure that foam concrete hard density is within the desirable range, 1500±50 kg/m³. After casting, the specimens covered by a plastic sheet for 24 hours to prevent any moisture loss. Sealing curing applied by covering the samples by polythene sheet after 24 hours until the testing age.

2.4. Properties Measurements

Two methods used to evaluate the workability, slump flow test and spreadability test. The slump flow test has been done according to ASTM C 1611/C 1611M 2005, [35] by placing a sample of fresh concrete, without compacting or vibrating, inside the cone. Two diameters were measured, and the average value was taken. Meanwhile, to test the spreadability of the foam concrete, an open-ended cylinder with diameter and height equal to 107 mm placed on 400x400 mm steel plate and two perpendicular diameters were taken after raising the cylinder vertically. The evaluation of the mix stability conducted by calculating the ratio of the actual foam weight that added until target elastic density achieved to the calculated foam weight. Meanwhile, the consistency of the fresh mixture estimated by measuring the ratio of the fresh density to the designated density.
Cubes with dimensions of 100x100x100 mm prepared and tested in accordance with BS-EN-12390-3 2009, [36] using a hydraulic compression machine with a capacity of 3000 KN. Samples tested at a loading rate of 0.6 MPa/sec. A total number of 99 cubes tested at age of 7, 14 and 28 days. The average value of three specimens was taken. The flexural strength evaluated by using prism with dimensions of 160x160x40 mm in accordance with BS-EN-12390-5 2009, [37]. A concentrated load was subjected to the mid-span of the specimens after 28 days and the average value of three specimens was taken. The splitting tensile strength tested according to BS-EN-12390-6 2009, [38] by preparing simples with 100 mm diameter and 200 mm length under loading rate of 0.05 MPa/sec. Three specimens tested for each mix at 28 days and the average value was taken.

### Table 3: Superplasticisers properties

| Symbol | Chemical composition               | Trademark                  | Specific gravity | Colour       |
|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| SP1    | Sulphonated polymer-based          | MasterRheobuild 1100M      | 1.19             | Dark brown   |
| SP2    | Selected synthetic and organic polymers based | Conplast SP2000         | 1.22             | Dark brown   |
| SP3    | PCE based                         | MasterGlenium 393          | 1.04             | Yellowish-brown|
| SP4    | PCE based                         | MasterGlenium ACE 8109     | 1.03             | Brown        |
| SP5    | PCE based                         | MasterGlenium SKY 8788     | 1.08             | Dark brown   |

### Table 4: The mix proportion of the foam concrete

| Symbol | Cement kg/m³ | Sand kg/m³ | POFA kg/m³ | Water kg/m³ | SP % | SP kg/m³ | Spreadability mm | Slump flow mm |
|--------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|----------|------------------|---------------|
| SP0    | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 0%   | 0        | 14               | 20            |
| SP1-1  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 1%   | 0.47     | 16               | 31            |
| SP1-2  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 2%   | 0.94     | -                | -             |
| SP2-1  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 1%   | 0.47     | 16               | 24            |
| SP2-2  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 2%   | 0.94     | 26               | 69            |
| SP3-1  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 1%   | 0.47     | 30               | 69            |
| SP3-2  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 2%   | 0.94     | -                | -             |
| SP4-1  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 1%   | 0.47     | 24               | 37            |
| SP4-2  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 2%   | 0.94     | 32               | 77            |
| SP5-1  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 1%   | 0.47     | 16               | 30            |
| SP5-2  | 468.3        | 749.3      | 187.3      | 234.2       | 2%   | 0.94     | 32               | 70            |

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. The Properties of POFA

The raw POFA consists of a considerable amount of unburned and large materials which affect the stability of the fresh mixture and the hardened properties of the foam concrete. Therefore, POFA is usually sieved using 300 μm or less in order to be used as a cement replacement [39]. In this study, the POFA sieved using 1.18 mm to remove the unburned and large particles. The remaining waste, after sieving POFA with 1.18 mm, is approximately 33% of the total disposal compared with 81% for sieved POFA using 300 μm. In addition, the use of POFA as a sand replacement enables higher amounts of POFA to be recycled as the sand content in concrete is higher than cement.

Table 2 showed that the used POFA contains a high percentage of silicon dioxide (61.68%), meanwhile, the total of silicon dioxide, aluminium oxide and iron oxide is 73.03%. This makes the used POFA chemically meets the pozzolanic requirements of class-N and F of ASTM C618 2005, [40]. This results in a higher formation of C–S–H which enhance the strength of the concrete [30]. However, since the used POFA is ungrounded, the effect of the pozzolanic reaction is relatively small because the fineness of the silicon dioxide directly affects its reactivity [41, 42].

From Figure 2, it can be observed that POFA contains angular, porous and solid particles, fibres and fractions. The high content of angular and porous particles explains the high absorption and the low specific gravity shown by POFA (Table 1) and predicts an increase in the water demand and reduction on the workability of the fresh mixture.

#### 3.2. Density and Volumetric Foam Stability

The measurements of the foam concrete density were conducted for fresh mixture before adding the foam (mortar) and after adding the foam (fresh), as well for hard density (Table 5). As shown there, both type and percentage of the SP remarkably influence the amount of entered air to the mortar. In spite of SP4-1.0% which showed excessive air entrainment (more than 20%), using 1.0% of SP decreased the mortar density by approximately 4.3%, although using 2% of SP increases the mortar density by an average of 5.8% compared with SP0. The reduction of the density, by using 1.0% of SP, confirms the findings of Alaka et al. 2016, [43] and Łaźniewska et al. 2012, [44]. However,
increasing the density by using 2.0% of SP occurred due to the high increase in the dispersity of the fine grains which increases the packing density [45].

The results also indicated that the use of SP2 (selected synthetic and organic polymer-based) leads to collapse the foam bubbles, which explain the increase in their hard density to more than 1500 kg/m³. The use of 1% and 2% SP2 lead resulted in partially and fully collapse of the foam bubbles, respectively. Thereby, all specimens showed good consistency, close to 1.0, except for SP2 which showed relatively high values equal to 1.09 and 1.25 for 1.0% and 2.0% respectively. For these specimens, the collapsible foam formed a thin layer, approximately 2 mm, over the concrete (Figure 3). In contrast with SP2, SP5-1 has a high foaming behaviour by entering an excessive amount of air to the concrete which reduced the hard density to 1366 kg/m³ without using any amount of foam. For other specimens, the hard densities fall in the targeted range, 1500 ± 50 kg/m³.

As mentioned earlier, the ratio of the actual to calculated foam volume, that is required to reach the target density within the range of ±50 kg/m³, used to estimate the lossing of the foam during the mixing process (the foam stability). The results of the actual foam, calculated foam and foam stability are presented in Table 5. As shown there, the SP type has an apparent influence on the stability of the foam. For specimens that did not attain the targeted density, namely (SP2-1, SP2-2 and SP5-1), the foam stability was unmeasurable.

| Specimens | SP% | Mortar density kg/m³ | Fresh density kg/m³ | Hard density kg/m³ | Consistency | Act. foam kg/m³ | Cal. Foam kg/m³ | Act. Foam /Cal. Foam |
|-----------|-----|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| SP0       | 0%  | 1887                 | 1648                | 1492              | 1.01        | 12.17         | 8.54          | 1.43              |
| SP1-1     | 1%  | 1830                 | 1652                | 1537              | 1.01        | 9.04          | 7.92          | 1.14              |
| SP1-2     | 2%  | Segregation          | -                   | -                 | -           | -             | -             | -                 |
| SP2-1     | 1%  | 1804                 | 1778                | 1679              | 1.09        | -             | 2.56          | N/A               |
| SP2-2     | 2%  | 2045                 | 2045                | 1972              | 1.25        | -             | 9.90          | N/A               |
| SP3-1     | 1%  | 1827                 | 1580                | 1462              | 0.97        | 5.12          | 6.52          | 0.79              |
| SP3-2     | 2%  | Segregation          | -                   | -                 | -           | -             | -             | -                 |
| SP4-1     | 1%  | 1750                 | 1644                | 1505              | 1.01        | 4.30          | 3.60          | 1.19              |
| SP4-2     | 2%  | 1976                 | 1630                | 1533              | 1.00        | 15.77         | 8.00          | 1.97              |
| SP5-1     | 1%  | 1812                 | 1485                | 1366              | 0.91        | 0.00          | 0.00          | N/A               |
| SP5-2     | 2%  | 1970                 | 1615                | 1495              | 0.99        | 8.21          | 7.83          | 1.05              |

Otherwise, the foam stability showed good results, close to 1.0, except for SP0 and SP4-2% which showed relatively high ratios. This occurred because SP0 showed low workability which makes the mixture cohesive. In contrast, SP4-2 showed a high flowability. This, in turn, leads to breaking some of the foam bubbles during the mixing process in both cases (the cohesive and high flowable mixture). Meanwhile, adding 2% SP1 and SP3 caused segregation which also caused to loss of the foam bubble because of the weak holding of the mixture. These results confirm the findings of Namibiar et al. 2006, [46] who noticed that stiff mixture and high w/c increased the foam concrete density due to collapsing the foam bubbles.

Figure 3 Collapsible foam layer

Table 5 Mix densities and foam quantities

Where:
A: spreadability before adding the foam; B: spreadability after adding the foam; C slump flow.

Figure 4 Spreadability and slump flow results

3.3. Workability

The results of slump flow and spreadability tests, before and after adding the foam, are given in Figure 4. As could be seen, there is no clear relation between slump flow and spreadability has been found, however, both of which gives a good indicator for the workability properties of the foam concrete.

Figure 4 Spreadability and slump flow results
As expected, the use of a superplasticiser with a fixed water content improved the workability of all mixtures compared to the referenced specimens. The reference specimen showed poor workability behaviour, while the workability of the specimens with SP differs depends on the SP type and dosage. The slump flow of specimens with SP ranged between 24 and 77 mm by increasing about (20% and 285%), however, the spreadability was between 16 and 33 mm by increasing about (14% and 136%). Adding the foam to the mixture leads to a slight reduction on spreadability in most cases. This occurred since adding the foam reduce the mortar weight and increase the cohesion between the bubbles and the solid particles which confirms the results of Nambiar et al. 2008, [6] and Karl et al. 1993, [47].

### 3.4. Compression Strength

The compression strength of the foam concrete specimens with several SP types and dosages after 7, 14 and 28 days of sealing curing are shown in Table 6. It can be seen that compression capacity increased for all specimens with age. As well, using SP leads to a significant improvement in the compression strength of foam concrete. This improvement differs based on the SP type and dosage which shows a good agreement with previous findings of Mangane et al. 2018, [48] who reported an increase in compression strength after 28 days for several SP types under fixed W/C. In addition, the SP content of the same type showed an improvement in the compression strength.

| SP type | SP% | Compression [MPa] 7d | 14d | 28d | Tension [MPa] 28d | Flexural [MPa] 28d |
|---------|-----|---------------------|-----|-----|-----------------|------------------|
| SP0     | 0.0%| 7.9                 | 11.3| 12.7| 0.9             | 4.3              |
| SP-1    | 1.0%| 11.1               | 13.8| 17.3| 1.2             | 4.2              |
| SP-2    | 2.0%| Segregation        | -   | 22.6| 1.5             | 8.1              |
| SP-2    | 1.0%| 13.1               | 20.2| 44.2| 2.4             | 10.4             |
| SP-3    | 1.0%| 10.2               | 10.9| 16.7| 1.0             | 5.0              |
| SP-2    | 2.0%| Segregation        | -   | 14.4| 1.1             | 3.4              |
| SP-4    | 1.0%| 9.2                | 13.6| 14.4| 1.1             | 3.4              |
| SP-2    | 2.0%| 14.7               | 15.2| 19.4| 1.4             | 5.3              |
| SP-3    | 1.0%| 8.27               | 9.47| 11.2| 0.89            | 2.7              |
| SP-2    | 2.0%| 11.7               | 16.8| 18.0| 1.8             | 5.0              |

This occurred since increasing the SP enhances the ability to optimize and redistribute the large pores in the slurry and the pores become more uniform than before. This finding is in line with the results of Wu et al. 2020, [49], who noticed a significant increase in compression strength gradually with increasing the SP content from 1.0% to 2.0%.

The anti-foaming behaviour that SP2 showed, caused a remarkable increase in the density which, in turn, lead to an increase in the compression strength as expected. Figure 5 presents the compression strength of the specimens that attained the target density. As could be seen there, the increasing of the compression strength due to increasing the age and SP content has no predictable pattern and depend mainly on the SP type and content. At 28 days, SP4 (PCE) with 2.0% SP showed the superior compression strength which is equal to 19.4 MPa. Also, the improvement in the strength was equal to 85%, 35% and 53% compared with SP0 at the ages of 7, 14 and 28 days, respectively. On the other hand, at the level of 1.0% SP, SP1 (sulphonated polymer-based) showed the highest compression strength (17.3 MPa) by an increasing equal to 36% at 28 days compared with SP0.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** The compression strength of specimens that attain the target density

### 3.5. Tension Strength

The splitting tensile strength test carried out after 28 days of sealing curing. Table 6 presents the results of the tensile strength for all specimens while the splitting...
tensile strength for the specimens that meet the target density is shown in Figure 6 with the increasing values compared to SP0. As seen there, using the SP showed an increase in the splitting tensile strength for all specimens except for SP5-1 which showed a reduction due to its low density. The splitting tensile strength for specimens with SP was approximately between 1.0 and 1.8 MPa at 28 days compared with 0.9 MPa for the referenced specimen. In addition, the splitting tensile strength and compression strength have no direct relation. For an instant, SP4-2 showed the highest compression strength, however, SP5-2% showed the highest splitting tensile strength. This finding shows a good agreement with the previous studies of [24, 43].

On the other hand, the specimens that didn’t attain the target density, either due to the anti-foaming tendency or the highly air-entertainer behaviour of the SP, showed a relative splitting tensile strength with the density. This has occurred because an increase in the density of foam concrete leads to an increase in mechanical behaviour [18, 50, 51]. Equation (1) that suggested by Shafigh et al. 2010, [52], gives an acceptable estimation for the tensile strength and could be used for foam concrete contain POFA as sand replacement.

\[ f_t = 0.2 \sqrt{f_{cu}} \]

Where: \( f_t \) = 28 days splitting tensile strength (MPa) and \( f_{cu} \) = 28 days compression strength (MPa).

![Figure 6 Tensile splitting tensile strength of specimens that attain the target density](image)

**3.6. Flexural Strength**

The results of the flexural strength of the foam concrete after 28 days of seal curing are presented in Table 6 for the different types and contents of SP. The flexural strength for the specimen that reached the target density was in the range of 3.4 and 5.3 MPa, as presented in Figure 7. As could be seen there, SP4-2 showed the superior flexural strength by an increase of about 29.1% compared to the reference specimen. While using 1.0% of SP4 showed less flexural strength by a reduction of about 35% compared with using 2.0% of the same type of SP.

Unlike the splitting tensile strength, using SP did not improve the flexural strength for all the specimens. Indeed, the referenced specimens showed a flexural strength equal to 4.3 MPa which is higher than SP1 and SP4-1 which showed flexural strength equal to 4.2 and 3.8 MPa, respectively. Otherwise, similar to compression and tensile strength for the specimens that didn’t attain the target density, the flexural strength increased gradually with increasing the hard density.

![Figure 7 Flexural strength of specimens that attain the target density](image)

**4.0 CONCLUSIONS**

This study shed light on the influence of several types and different percentages of superplasticiser on the foam concrete behaviour with a density of 1500±50 kg/m³. POFA was used as a partial replacement of sand at a level of 20%. The use of POFA as a filler enables larger particles and higher quantities of POFA to be recycled, which have better environmental impacts than the use of POFA as a binder.

The result of this investigation confirms that using SP has a remarkable improvement on the workability and mechanical behaviour of the foam concrete. The improvement in the slump-flow was between 20% and 285%, however, it was between 14% and 136% for the spreadability. The selected synthetic and organic polymers-based SP (SP2) exhibited an anti-foaming behaviour leading the foam bubbles to partially and fully collapse by using 1.0% and 2.0%, respectively. Regardless of SP2, using 1.0% of other SPs produces a stable mixture with remarkable air inclusion for all mixtures. SP5 entered an excessive amount of air that lowered the density below the target density. However, using 2% of SP increase the mortar density, increase the foam demand and increases the possibility of segregation to occur. The segregation occurred by using 2.0% of SP1 and SP3.

Overall, SP4 (PCE) showed superior workability, compression strength and flexural strength in comparison with all specimens. Moreover, it showed a stable mixture at high contents and entered an
acceptable amount of air to produce foam concrete with densities up to 1600 kg/m³.
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