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ABSTRACT. Let $X$ be a compact complex space in Fujiki’s Class $\mathcal{C}$. We show that the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $X$ has the Jordan property: there is a (Jordan) constant $J = J(X)$ such that any finite subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ has an abelian subgroup $H \leq G$ with the index $[G : H] \leq J$. This extends, with a quite different method, the result of Prokhorov and Shramov for Moishezon threefolds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

It all began with a famous result, proved by Camille Jordan in the year 1878, asserting that for any field $k$ of characteristic 0 and any positive integer $n$, the (linear) automorphism group $\text{GL}_n(k)$ of an $n$-dimensional vector space has the Jordan property: there is a Jordan constant $J = J(n)$ such that every finite subgroup $H \leq \text{GL}_n(k)$ has an abelian subgroup $H_1$ of index $[H : H_1] \leq J(n)$.

People then wondered whether the same Jordan property is shared by other automorphism groups, for instance, (not necessarily linear) general automorphism groups or even birational automorphism groups of varieties.

More than a century having passed and only recently we achieved a quite well understanding of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2, $\text{Cr}(k) = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_k^2)$. In particular, if $\text{char}(k) = 0$, the work of Jean-Pierre Serre [23] yields (among other results) an explicit bound for the order of any finite subgroup of $\text{Cr}(k)$ and it implies that $\text{Cr}(k)$
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has the Jordan property. Soon after, Popov asked whether the group Aut(X) (resp. Bir(X)) of all automorphisms (resp. all birational automorphisms) of an algebraic variety X is Jordan (cf. [17, Question 2.30-2.31]). Popov himself proved that for a projective surface X, the group Bir(X) is Jordan unless X is birational to the product \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times E \) with E an elliptic curve. Late on Zarhin confirmed that Bir(\( \mathbb{P}^1 \times E \)) is not Jordan while Aut(X) is still Jordan when X is projective and birational to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times E \) (cf. [17, §2.2], [23, Theorem 5.3], [27, Theorem 1.2], [28, Theorem 1.3]).

For quasi-projective varieties, Bandman and Zarhin proved that Aut(X) is Jordan when \( \dim(X) = 2 \) or X is birational to the product \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times A \) with A having no rational curve (cf. [1, Theorem 1.7], [3, Theorem 4]).

For algebraic varieties of higher dimensions, with the help of the minimal model program, Prokhorov and Shramov [19, Theorem 1.8] confirmed the Jordan property of the group Bir(X) for any algebraic variety X, assuming either X is non-uniruled, or X has vanishing irregularity as well as the (then) outstanding Borisov-Alexeev-Borisov conjecture about the bounded-ness of terminal Fano varieties which has now been affirmatively confirmed by Birkar [6, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, the Cremona groups have the Jordan property, confirming a conjecture of Serre.

The first and third authors proved that Aut(X) is Jordan when X is a projective variety (cf. [14]). This result is extended to compact normal Kähler spaces by J. Kim [11], while Popov offered a much simpler proof by reducing the Jordan property to (real) Lie groups; see [18, Theorem 5] and also Theorem 2.6.

In non-algebraic cases, compact complex surfaces still behave well. Indeed, Prokhorov and Shramov [21, Theorems 1.6 and 1.7] showed that Aut(X) (and even the group Bim(X) of all bimeromorphic automorphisms of X) are Jordan for any non-projective compact complex surface X.

However, one cannot generalize Popov’s question further to the settings of non-compact complex manifolds, or diffeomorphism groups of compact Riemannian manifolds. We refer to [7], [18] and [29] for the counter examples; see also [2], [4] and [16] for positive cases.

In higher dimensions, it remains unknown whether the biholomorphic or biregular automorphism group Aut(X) is Jordan for any \emph{compact} complex manifold X or \emph{non-projective} algebraic variety X, respectively.

We refer to Mundet i Riera [16, §1] for an excellent survey of more related results.

As in [3] and [20], a group \( G \) is called \emph{strongly Jordan} if \( G \) is Jordan and if there is a constant \( N = N(G) \) such that any finite abelian subgroup of \( G \) is generated by at most \( N \) elements. By [13, Theorem 2.5], the group Aut(X) being Jordan automatically implies that it is strongly Jordan for any compact complex manifold X (or any compact
complex space by taking an equivariant resolution which is a composition of blowups (cf. [5, Theorem 13.2]).

Theorem 1.1 below is our main result. The assumption of $X$ being smooth can be weakened to being irreducible by taking an equivariant resolution. Recall that a compact reduced complex space is said to be in Fujiki’s class $\mathcal{C}$ if it is the meromorphic image of a compact Kähler manifold, or equivalently it is bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold. We refer to [10, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.1], [25, Chapter IV, Theorem 5] and [9, Theorem 0.7] for equivalent definitions and some properties of Fujiki’s class $\mathcal{C}$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $X$ be a connected complex manifold and let $Z$ be a non-empty compact complex subspace in Fujiki’s class $\mathcal{C}$. Then the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X, Z)$ of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $X$ preserving $Z$ is strongly Jordan.

The following result is immediately obtained by taking an equivariant resolution to reduce to the smooth case and then applying Theorem 1.1 with $Z = X$. In particular, it answers the question for the Moishezon manifolds by Prokhorov and Shramov, who proved the case of Moishezon threefolds by using a quite different method (cf. [20]).

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $X$ be a reduced compact complex space. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is strongly Jordan in the following cases (where (1) is a special case of (2)):

(1) $X$ is Moishezon, i.e., $X$ is bimeromorphic to a projective variety.

(2) $X$ is in Fujiki’s class $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., $X$ is the meromorphic image of a compact Kähler manifold.

**Difference, with others, of our approach towards non-projective varieties:**

In [20], the authors utilise the maximal rational connected fibration $X \dasharrow V$ which still exists for their Moishezon threefolds (and indeed, for all Moishezon manifolds), and the famous non-uniruled-ness of $V$ due to Graber, Harris and Starr, to either show that $X$ is indeed a projective variety and then apply [14], or show that $X$ is a rationally connected variety and then apply [19], or reduce to a very general fibre (a complex surface or curve) and then apply [21].

Our approach to Theorem 1.1 is based on a very simple idea: make use of the non-Kähler locus of a big $(1,1)$ class $[\alpha]$ on an “invariant” subspace $Z$ (in Fujiki’s class $\mathcal{C}$)

$$E_{nK}(\alpha) := E_{nK}([\alpha]) := \bigcap_{T \in [\alpha]} \text{Sing}(T)$$

to find some “invariant” Kähler submanifold $Z_1 \subseteq Z \subseteq X$. Here, the intersection ranges over all Kähler currents $T = \alpha + i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$ in the class $[\alpha]$, and $\text{Sing}(T)$ is the complement of the set of points $z \in Z$ such that $\varphi$ is smooth near $z$. We may need to frequently
shrink the automorphism group a bit to keep the “invariant” property, while the Jordan property is not affected. Next, we focus on the (linear) automorphism group of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Z_1/X}$ as inspired by Mundet i Riera [16]; see Lemma 2.3. This way, we reduce the question on (strongly) Jordan property to the case for compact Kähler manifolds by an equivariant compactification of $\mathcal{N}_{Z_1/X}$. We refer to [24, §2.4] for the further details on the non-Kähler locus of a big class.

We end the introduction with the following two questions.

**Question 1.3.** Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold. Suppose $X$ is Moishezon or is in Fujiki’s class $C$. Is $\text{Aut}_\tau(X) := \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g^*|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})} = \text{id}\}$ a finite-index extension of the neutral connected component $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ of $\text{Aut}(X)$?

**Question 1.4.** Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold. If $X$ is Moishezon (or in Fujiki’s class $C$), can one find a bimeromorphic model $\tilde{X}$ of $X$ such that $\text{Aut}(X)$ lifts to $\tilde{X}$, and $\tilde{X}$ is projective (or Kähler)?

A positive answer to Question 1.4 implies a positive answer to Question 1.3, by making use of Fujiki [10, Theorem 4.8] or Lieberman [12, Proposition 2.2] and the norm criterion [15, Proposition 2.9] for the pseudo-effective cone and the nef cone.

A positive answer to Question 1.3 will render an alternative proof to Corollary 1.2 by applying Minkowski Theorem 2.5 to $\text{GL}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ in order to reduce to the case for $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ (a Lie group) which is a known case (cf. [18]).

Question 1.3 has a positive answer when $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold (cf. [10], [12]).
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2. **Preliminaries**

We use the following notation throughout this paper.
Notation 2.1. Let $X$ be a connected complex space.

(1) $\text{Aut}(X)$ is the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $X$.

(2) $\text{Aut}(X, Z) := \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g(Z) = Z\}$ for a subset $Z$ of $X$.

(3) $\text{Aut}(E 	o X) := \{g \in \text{Aut}(E) \mid g \text{ maps every bundle fibre linearly to some bundle fibre}\}$ for a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ over $X$.

In the following, $X$ is further assumed to be smooth and compact.

(4) $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ is the neutral connected component of $\text{Aut}(X)$.

(5) $\text{Aut}_\tau(X) := \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g^*|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})} = \text{id}\}$. Clearly, $\text{Aut}_\tau(X) \supseteq \text{Aut}_0(X)$.

Notation 2.2. Let $X$ be a connected complex manifold and $Z$ a connected complex submanifold. Let $T_X$ be the tangent bundle of $X$ and $N_{Z/X}$ the normal bundle. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(X, Z)$. Denote by $T_g$ the induced tangent automorphism of $T_X$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_Z & \longrightarrow & T_X|_Z & \longrightarrow & T_X|_Z/T_Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow T_{g|_Z} & & \downarrow T_g|_Z & & \downarrow N_g & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_Z & \longrightarrow & T_X|_Z & \longrightarrow & T_X|_Z/T_Z & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

where $N_g$ is the induced automorphism of the normal bundle $N_{Z/X} = (T_X|_Z)/T_Z$. In particular, we have a group homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{N} : \text{Aut}(X, Z) \to \text{Aut}(N_{Z/X} \to Z)
$$

via $g \mapsto N_g$.

The following result is very important in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a connected complex manifold and $Z$ a non-empty connected complex submanifold. Then the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{N} : \text{Aut}(X, Z) \to \text{Aut}(N_{Z/X} \to Z)
$$

contains no non-trivial subgroup of finite order. In particular, $\text{Aut}(N_{Z/X} \to Z)$ contains an isomorphic copy of every finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, Z)$.

Proof. Suppose $g \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{N}$ has finite order. Then $g|_Z = \text{id}$ (and hence $T_{g|_Z} = \text{id}$) and $N_g = \text{id}$. Let $z \in Z$. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ be a basis of $T_{X,z}$ such that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ is a basis of $T_{Z,z}$. Since $T_{g|_Z} = \text{id}$, we have $T_g|_z(x_i) = x_i$ for each $x_i$. Since $N_g|_{N_{Z/X,z}} = \text{id}$, we have $T_g|_z(y_j) = y_j \in T_{Z,z}$ for each $y_j$. Therefore, under the above basis, $T_g|_z$ is a lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries all being 1. In particular its eigenvalues are all equal to 1. Note that $T_g$ has finite order, hence it is diagonalizable and so it is the identity map. This, together with $X$ being connected, imply $g = \text{id}$ (cf. [16, Lemma 2.1(2)]). The lemma is proved. □
A group $G$ has bounded finite subgroups if there is a constant $N = N(G)$ such that any finite subgroup $H \leq G$ has order $|H| \leq N$.

For the (strongly) Jordan property, we may always replace the group by its normal subgroup with the quotient group having bounded finite subgroups. Indeed, we have:

**Lemma 2.4.** Consider the exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to G_1 \to G \to G_2 \to 1.$$ 

Suppose $G_1$ is Jordan (resp. strongly Jordan) and $G_2$ has bounded finite subgroups. Then $G$ is Jordan (resp. strongly Jordan).

To obtain quotient groups having bounded finite subgroups, we often use the following wonderful theorem of Minkowski which allows us to make use of rational representations of geometric automorphisms; see [22, Theorem 5, and §4.3].

**Theorem 2.5** (Minkowski). $\text{GL}_n(K)$ has bounded finite subgroups, whenever $K$ is a number field. The bound depends only on $n$ and the field extension degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

There are two ways to obtain the strongly Jordan property for compact Kähler manifolds. We adopt a shorter one here.

**Theorem 2.6.** ([11, Theorem 1.1], [18, Theorem 2]) Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is strongly Jordan.

**Proof.** The pullback action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ gives a faithful rational representation

$$\text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_r(X) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

with the latter group having bounded finite subgroups by Theorem 2.5. Note that $\text{Aut}_r(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$ is a finite group by [12, Proposition 2.2] or [10, Theorem 4.8]. Therefore, $\text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$ has bounded finite subgroups. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ is strongly Jordan. By the proof of [18, Theorem 2], there exists some $n$ such that $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ contains an isomorphic copy of every finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_0(X)$. Note that $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is strongly Jordan (cf. e.g. [14, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4]). The theorem follows. \qed

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1, and another open question**

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, ask Question 3.2 and give Remark 3.4 illustrating the usefulness of the latter. We begin with the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $E$ a holomorphic vector bundle of finite rank $r$. Then $\text{Aut}(E \to X)$ is strongly Jordan.
Proof. Let \( \mathcal{O} = X \times \mathbb{C} \) be the trivial line bundle over \( X \). Let \( T := \mathbb{C}^* \) act on \( \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \) by the natural scalar multiplication. Then there is a natural \( T \)-equivariant monomorphism

\[
\phi: \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \to X) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \to X)
\]

via \( g \mapsto g \oplus (g|_X \times \text{id}_\mathbb{C}) \). Hence we have the following \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \to X) \)-equivariant commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E} & \to & \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \to & X
\end{array}
\]

\[ \xrightarrow{\phi} \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) & \to & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \to & X
\end{array}
\]

here \( \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) := (\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})/T \) is an analytic \( \mathbb{P}^r \)-bundle over \( X \); hence it is again a compact Kähler manifold (cf. [26, Proposition 3.18, page78]).

Consider the homomorphism

\[
\psi: \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \to X) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))
\]

induced by the \( T \)-quotient. Note that \( \psi \circ \phi \) is still a monomorphism. By Theorem 2.6, \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \) and hence \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \to X) \) are strongly Jordan. \( \square \)

We wonder whether we can drop the assumption of \( X \) being compact Kähler in Theorem 3.1.

**Question 3.2.** Let \( X \) be a connected complex manifold and \( \mathcal{E} \) a holomorphic vector bundle of finite rank. Will \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E} \to X) \) or \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \) be (strongly) Jordan if so is \( \text{Aut}(X) \)?

We can make use of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 to prove the following:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( X \) be a connected complex manifold and \( Z \subseteq X \) a non-empty connected compact Kähler submanifold. Then \( \text{Aut}(X, Z) \) is strongly Jordan.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3, \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to Z) \) contains an isomorphic copy of every finite subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(X, Z) \). By Theorem 3.1, \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to Z) \) is strongly Jordan. The theorem follows. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.** Together with [21, Theorem 1.6], a positive answer to Question 3.2 for compact complex surfaces will deduce the (strongly) Jordan property of \( \text{Aut}(V) \) for every compact complex threefold \( V \) with \( \text{Sing}(V) \neq \emptyset \). Indeed, just take an equivariant log resolution and apply the same proof of Theorem 3.3 for \( X \) being any (smooth) exceptional prime divisor which is a compact complex surface.

Note that the \( \partial \bar{\partial} \)-lemma holds for compact complex manifolds in Fujiki’s class \( \mathcal{C} \). So it is free for us to use the equivalent Bott-Chern \((\partial \bar{\partial})\), Dolbeault \((\bar{\partial})\) and De Rham \((d)\)
cohomologies. Moreover, Hodge decomposition holds true. We refer to [8, Lemma 5.15 and Proposition 5.17] and [10, Proposition 1.6 and Corollary 1.7] for the details.

Now we are ready for:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We take the reduced structure of \( Z \). We first let \( G := \text{Aut}(X, Z) \). To show \( G \) is strongly Jordan, we shrink \( Z \) and \( G \) by running the Main Program several times such that the final \( Z \) is a \( G \)-invariant non-empty connected compact Kähler submanifold of \( X \). Note that Fujiki’s class \( C \) is closed under taking closed subspaces and compact meromorphic images.

Main Program.

If \( Z \) is smooth connected compact Kähler, then we stop.

If \( Z \) is not connected, we run Step A and restart the Main Program; else we continue.

If \( Z \) is singular, we run Step B and restart the Main Program; else we continue.

Else: assume that \( Z \) is smooth connected compact in \( C \) but not Kähler. Let

\[
G_\tau := \{ g \in G \mid (g|_Z)^*|_{H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})} = \text{id} \}.
\]

By the Hodge decomposition (which still exists for those in \( C \)), \( G_\tau \) acts trivially, via pullback, on \( H^{1,1}_{\partial \bar{\partial}}(Z, \mathbb{R}) \). Since \( Z \in C \), there is a big real \((1,1)\)-class \([\alpha] \in H^{1,1}_{\partial \bar{\partial}}(Z, \mathbb{R})\) by [9, Theorem 0.7]. Note that its non-Kähler locus \( E_{nK}(\alpha) \) is a \( G_\tau \)-invariant non-empty closed analytic subset of \( Z \) with \( \dim(E_{nK}(\alpha)) < \dim(Z) \) (cf. [24, §2.4]). We replace \( Z \) by \( E_{nK}(\alpha) \) (with reduced structure) and \( G \) by \( \text{Aut}(X, E_{nK}(\alpha)) \). Since \( G_\tau \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, E_{nK}(\alpha)) \), if \( \text{Aut}(X, E_{nK}(\alpha)) \) is strongly Jordan, so is \( G_\tau \) and, by noting that \( G/G_\tau \) has bounded finite subgroups (cf. Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5), also \( G \) is strongly Jordan. Then we restart the Main Program.

Step A. We replace \( G \) by its subgroup of finite index such that \( G \) fixes all (finitely many) connected components of \( Z \). We replace \( Z \) by one of its connected component (with reduced structure).

Step B. If \( Z \) is singular, then its singular locus \( \text{Sing}(Z) \) is a \( G \)-invariant non-empty closed analytic subset of \( Z \) with \( \dim(\text{Sing}(Z)) < \dim(Z) \). We then replace only \( Z \) by \( \text{Sing}(Z) \) (with reduced structure).

End of the proof:

Note that our finitely many replacements of \( G \) always fit the assumption in Lemma 2.4. So it suffices to show that the finally chosen \( G \) is still strongly Jordan. By Theorem 3.3, \( \text{Aut}(X, Z) \) is strongly Jordan, because our finally chosen \( Z \) is non-empty, smooth, and compact Kähler. Since our current \( G \) is contained in \( \text{Aut}(X, Z) \), Theorem 1.1 follows. □
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