Abstract

This article tries to contextualise the formulation of Islamic laws with regards to contemporary dynamics of non-Muslim leadership in the government. It particularly addresses the religious deliberation (ijtihad) of the traditionalist Muslim organisation, the Nahdlatul Ulama/NU, and its youth organisation, the Gerakan Pemuda Ansor. The construction of Islamic laws in contemporary Indonesia tells an insightful viewpoint in Islamic laws making and delivers multiplicity in Islamic interpretation. Despite the fact that these two organisations are of the same organisation, the NU, their formulation of Islamic laws with regards to non-Muslim leadership demonstrates a different and contrasting opinion. While the national board of the NU through its national congress in 1999 argues against non-Muslim leadership, the national religious deliberation board (bahtsul masail) of the Ansor in 2017 issues a legal opinion that approves non-Muslim leadership. As this article argues, the different opinions between these two institutions develops particularly because of different construct in defining Islam and democracy in the largest Muslim country Indonesia. They differ in reconciling istinbāt (methods for formulating the laws) of two principles of Islamic laws (usul al-fiqh); al-sabit (permanent) and al-mutahawwil (change). It further argues that the national board of the NU particularly sees the prohibition of non-Muslim leadership as al-sabit, an unchanged dictum, whereas the Ansor considers it al-mutahawwil as looking
at the Indonesian state constitution that does not discriminate its citizens based on religion. Thus, making Islamic laws accords to the state constitution.

[Persoalan kepemimpinan non-Muslim dalam ranah politik kembali menuai polemik. Pilkada DKI Jakarta membuka kembali perdebatan lama perihal boleh tidaknya menilih non-Muslim sebagai pemimpin. Keputusan hukum yang membahas persoalan ini adalah Muktamar NU di Lirboyo tahun 1999 dan Babstsul Masail Kiai Muda GP Ansor yang diadakan tahun 2017. Muktamar Lirboyo melarang menguasakan urusan kenegaraan kepada non-Muslim kecuali dalam keadaan darurat, sedang Babstsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor menghasilkan keputusan bahwa memilih pemimpin non-Muslim di Indonesia sah secara agama dan konstitusi. Artikel ini mencoba untuk mengkaji metode istinbāt yang digunakan dua forum tersebut, sekaligus dilibat posisi kedumanya dalam teori al-Sabit dan al-Mutahawwil Adonis. Dengan melakukan studi kepustakaan, kajian ini menghasilkan kesimpulan bahwa hasil keputusan Muktamar NU di Lirboyo menggunakan metode istinbāt bayani, dan masuk dalam kategori al-Sabit. Sedang keputusan Babstsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor menggunakan perpaduan istinbāt bayani dan istislahi, dan masuk dalam kategori al-Mutahawwil.]
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Introduction

The previous election of Governor of DKI Jakarta was remembering last controversy about voting a non-Muslim as a leader in Indonesia. Discussing about the election of non-Muslim leader is a case that would have never been done, prominently refers to nation-state context, as well as it is implemented in Indonesia. The polemic of non-Muslim leadership is not a hot issue in Islam. In Indonesia, the

---

1 Is a concept in which the inhabitants see themselves as in nation and one identity that have geographical boundaries under administration. The inhabitants see that between a human and others are related. See Daniel S. Papp, Contemporary International Relations: Framework for Understanding (London: Macmillan Publishing, 1988), p. 19. In another concept, nation-state means a set of idea about the state that built for all citizens based on agreement that produce opened contractual-transactional relation between parties. Nurcholis Madjid, Indonesia Kita (Jakarta: Paramadina, 2004), p. 42.
controversy related to this case emerged since Indonesia has not been become independent country yet.² Written in some fikih literature, this authorization is excessively discussed. Most of fuqaha agreed that in normal situation, bringing non-Muslim into being a chief is forbidden.³ Thus, this prohibition is not an absolute decision. There are some contexts in fikih that can be considered to change this certain prohibition into allowable thing.⁴ Moreover, Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) Congress at Lirboyo⁵ allowed non-Muslim authorization in the emergency situation only.⁶ The dynamics matters happened in non-Muslim authorization indicated that the controversy did not obviously reach the final point. The prohibition stated by fuqaha cannot be separated from the situation and condition at that time. The system that government used was khilafah as it has been implemented since Umayah Dynasty.⁷ It is different from the nation-state concept which is applied today in Indonesia. A chief, in Fikih Siyah is purposed as the people who authorizes secular and religious all at once (siyāsah al-Dunyā wa Ḥirāsah al-Dīn). Therefore, all

² In Muktamar Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) in Banjarmasin, 1936 resulted that Indonesia is Dārul Islām. Nevertheless, at that time, the reign is on Dutch, but NU agreed that Indonesia is categorized as Dārul Islām. The term Dārul Islām in Muktamar Banjarmasin, according to KH. Ahmad Shiddiq, does not refer to the administration system, but refers to the term religious, exactly Islamic itself. Abdul Mun‘im DZ, Piagam Perjuangan Kebangsaan (Jakarta: Setjen PBNU-NU Online, 2011), p. 52.
³ Ibnu Hajar al-Haitami, Juz. IX, p. 72. Ali As-Shabuni, Rawā‘ al-Bayān fī Taṣfīr Ayat al-Aḥkām min Al-Qur‘ān, (Beirut: Dar Al-Fikr, 1980), p. 181.
⁴ Ar-Romli, he guided Argon’s citizens, Andalusia, to live in their country although they are led by Non-Muslim. Even, Muslims are not forbidden to pray there. Syihabuddin ar-Ramli, Fatawa ar-Ramli (Maktabah Syamilah), p. 182.
⁵ The results of Muktamar Lirboyo regarding some decisions related to non-Muslim reign. Those results will be examined in the next part.
⁶ Is the condition when there is an addiction and threats to five aspects in Islam, such as religion, soul, wealth, generation, and intelligence. Wahbah Zuhaili, Konsep Darurat dalam Hukum Islam: Studi Banding dengan Hukum Positif, trans Said Agil Husain al-Munawwar (Jakarta: Gaya Media Pratama, 1997), p. 71.
⁷ Umayyah Dynasty is Muslims’ reign after Khulafa’ al-Rasyidin. This dynasty existed in 41 H or 661 M. The founder of Umayyah Dynasty is Muawiyah ibn Abi Sufyan ibn Harb. Moh. Nurhakim, Sejarah dan Peradaban Islam (Malang: UMM Press, 2003), p. 53. Samsul Munir Amin, Sejarah Peradaban Islam (Jakarta: Amzah, 2009), p. 118.
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about authorization should be taken over by Muslim. It is fine different from the concept of nation-state in Indonesia, where a chief does not have religion responsibility. So, the constitution of Indonesia bestows an equal right authority to whoever to be the chief, without considering his ethnic group or religion.\footnote{Those principles are officially written in UUD 1945 article 27 verse (1) and (2); Article 28, Article 28D verse (3), article 28E. verse (3).}

The NU is the biggest Islamic organization in Indonesia. In etymology, NU means revival of *mufti*. This organization is established in Surabaya, on 31 January 1926/ 16\textsuperscript{th} or Rajab 1344 H.\footnote{H. Soeleiman Fadeli, *Antologi NU: Sejarah Istilah Amaliah Uswah* (Surabaya: Khalista, 2008), p. 1.} NU has given lots of contributions on historical journey of Indonesia, either before or after its independence. The existence of *Bahtsul Masail* institute as subordinate of NU has a significant role in the process of solving problems related to religious issues. In every congress, problems are always solved. One result of *Bahtsul Masail* that is the main focus of this study is the outcome of NU Congress XXX at Lirboyo in 1999, concerning non-Muslim reign. *Muktamar* Lirboyo yielded results as follows:

“Muslims are not allowed to be given a dominance to a non-Muslim aside from in a hurry situations which are: (a) in the fields that Muslims cannot take it in hand cause definite capability; (b) in the fields when there is Muslim that has capability, but there is an indication exists that he might betray; (c) since non-Muslim reign brings benefits.”\footnote{Lajnah Ta’lif wan Nasyr, *Aljamaah Fuqaha’; Solusi Problematika Aktual Hukum Islam, Keputusan Muktamar, Munas dan Konbes Nahdatul Ulama (1926-1999 M)* (Surabaya: Diantama, 2004), p. 581.}

The outcomes of *Muktamar* Lirboyo became the main principal of NU through non-Muslim reign. What decided by *Muktamar* Lirboyo was different from the result of *Bahtsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor* forum which was held at March 11-12, 2017. As the subordinate of NU, GP Ansor resulted a recent law referring to non-Muslim reign which different from what *Muktamar* Lirboyo’s result. By considering all sorts of aspects...
included nowadays circumstances of Indonesia, those forums resulted different final law. In *ikhtisār* released by GP Ansor, the following is point (f):

“The change of global politic system encourages the existence of fresh *ijtihad* which is based on late classic views. Ibn Taimiyah tried to leave the reciprocal categorization once by means of *dār murāḥabah* concept. Ibn Taimiyah’s views should be considered in order to see the system of modern statehood based on citizenship. This system seems difficult to review if only use the categorizations written in classic books. Consider about this case, also al-Ramli speaking and result of *Muktamar NU* Banjarmasin, the selected non-Muslim chief is constitutionally and religiously legitimate.”

These contrast results are debatable to be examined, prominently when they are seen by means of the existence of GP Ansor as the subordinate organization of NU, and considering at the *istinbāt* methodology of law used at once. Essentially, the writer saw these dissimilar point of views could contribute the development of *istinbāt* methodology in nowadays contexts.

**Istīnbat and *al-Šābit-al-Mutahawwil* Theories in Islamic Thoughts**

Etymologically, the word *istinbāt* means get something out of its hiding. In Islam, the term *istinbāt* is used to produce an Islamic law based on the main sources. Therefore, *istinbāt* is a fundamental step in Islamic law discussion. *istinbāt*, its existence influences the principals to bring Islam law into reality which relevant and significant to every historicity. In Islamic law theory, there are three typologies of *istinbāt* of law methodology, such as *bayānī*, *ta‘līlī*, and *istiṣlāḥī*. First, *bayānī* method
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11 The result of *Bahtsul Masail* Kiai Muda Ansor March 2017 point (f).
12 Haidar Bagir and Syafiq Basri, *Ijtihad dalam Sorotan* (Bandung: Mizan, 1996), p. 25.
13 Romli SA., *Mogaranah Mazahib fi al-Ushul* (Jakarta: Gaya Media Pratama, 1999), p. 1.
which is also called al-Qawā'id al-Lugawīyah al-Uṣūlīyah.¹⁴ This method refers to language rules that used to analyze and understand the written text in Qur’an and hadīs Prophet.¹⁵ These norms are used as the primary resource in Islamic law due to Qur’an and Sunnah written rightly as language. Bayāni method includes two definitions, that are al-Tabāyun dan al-Tabyīn, which are the process of finding clarity (al-Zabūr) and giving clarity (al-Izhār), by means understanding (al-Fahm), making others understand (al-Ifhām), gaining meaning (al-Talaqqī) and transferring meaning (al-Tablīg).¹⁶ In this methodology, the object is texts. The third is istiślāḥi method, means that prosperity is the top of priority in how and why sharia is created. According to Izzuddin Ibn Abdisalam, all sharia certainty coming from Allah is containing prosperity, sometimes to avoid disruption or inviting prosperity.¹⁷ Then, in the next development, the method of finding sharia law that oriented on prosperity is also called by Maqāṣid al-Syarī‘ah.¹⁸

¹⁴ The principles of language have significant influence in the process of deciding Islamic law. Abdul Wahab Abd. Salam Tawilah, Ṣasar al-Lugah fi Ikhtilaṭ al-Mujtahīdin (Cairo: Dar al-Salam, 2000.)
¹⁵ M. Hasbi Umar, Nalar Fikih Kontemporer (Jakarta: Gaung Persada Press, 2007), p. 66.
¹⁶ Rahma Amir, “Kerangka Metodologi Penalaran hukum”, Jurnal Al-Ahkm, Vol. 5 No. 2, December, 2015, p. 171.
¹⁷ Izzuddin Ibn Abdissalam, Qawā'id al-Ahkām fi Masā'il al-Anām (Lebanon: Dar al-Ma‘rifah, t.t.), p. 9.
¹⁸ Maqāṣid al-Syarī‘ah comes from two words, maqāṣid and syarī‘ah. The word Maqāṣid merupakan plural form of maqāṣid which means come into. Mahir Hamid al-Hauli, Al-Istiqra’ wa Dauruhu fi Ma‘rifati Maqaṣid al-Syārī‘ ‘Inda al-Imām al-Syaṭibi, Unpublished paper, 13. While, terminology, there are some definitions by experts. Muhammad Tahir Ibn ‘Asyur defines Maqāṣid al-syarī‘ah as a general goal and obvious meaning in some measurements (kasyri‘). Ismail Hasani, Nazaqrīya al-Maqāṣid ‘Inda al-Imām Muhammad Tābir Ibnu ‘Asyur (Virginia: Al-Ma‘had al-‘Alami li al-Fikr al-Islami, 1995), p. 117-118. While ‘Allal al-Fasi defines Maqāṣid al-syarī‘ah as a goal and Allah’s certainty. ‘Allal al-Fasi, Maqāṣid al-Syarī‘ah al-Islāmīyah wa Makārimunba (Maroko: Maktabah al-Wahdah al-‘Arabiyyah, t.t.), p. 3. In Maqāṣid al-syarī‘ah there five principles which become fundamental things from what Allah gives to us. Those five aspects also called as darurīyaḥ, that means keeping religion (ḥifz u al-Dīn), keeping soul (ḥifz u al-Nafs), keeping wealth (ḥifz u al-Māl), keeping generation (ḥifz u al-Nasl), and keeping intelligent (ḥifz u al-Aql). Abu Hamid al-Ghazali, Al-Mustasfa min ‘Ilm al-Uṣūl (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyyah, 1983), p. 286.
Al-Šābit and al-Muṭahawwil theories are used to analyze the products of Islamic thought. According to Adonis\textsuperscript{19}, the Islamic view history can be divided into two. They are al-Šābi\textsuperscript{20} (permanent) and al-Muṭahawwil\textsuperscript{21} (changed). According to Adonis, the al-Šābit refers to people who imitate (iṭṭībā’) the recent others’ thinking. In other words, the decision produced from this method is only a copy-paste form,\textsuperscript{22} while al-Muṭahawwil refers to a thinking based on creativities (iḥdā’). Human creativity resources become the significant things in using this theory. Hence, this thinking, as known as al-Muṭahawwil is always progressive because of not using textual as the main pillar. Those classification (al-Šābit) and (al-Muṭahawwil) are not aimed at Islamic doctrine, but those classification is purposing at the way of thinking of Muslim of the way how to look at two aspects which are past and present events. The way of thinking above is reflected in various products of thinking, culture, and Muslim scientists from all dimensions, such as religion (fikih, theology, tasawuf, and so on), language and literature.\textsuperscript{23} Then, the competition between the unchanged (al-Šābit) and changed (al-Muṭahawwil) has recorded that (al-Šābit) is dominate. The way of imitating the thought is by repeating what are existed in medieval era, more precisely, when the condition of Islamic thought was in static period due to taqlīd\textsuperscript{24} as the main choice.

\textsuperscript{19} Adonis is not an authentic name. Hence the name is Ali Ahmad Said, Adonis is given by Anton Sa’adah, the founder of The Syrian Social Nationalist Party (SSNP) in 1940s. Adonis is the name of one of gods in Babilonia legend. Adonis, Arkeologi Sejarah Pemikiran Arab-Islam, trans. Khairon Nahdiyyin (Yogyakarta: LKiS, 2012), p. xvi.

\textsuperscript{20} Adonis defines al-Šābit as a thought based on the text, and what makes it in order (ṣābat) as the basic of established, both in understanding or evaluating. Adonis, Arkeologi..., p. xxvii.

\textsuperscript{21} al-Muṭahawwil, Adonis divides it into two: first, as a thought based on the text, but by interpretation which cause text can adapt to realities and changes. Second, as the thought that assumes text that does not contain authority, and based on intelligence, not verses (vision form God to man). See Adonis, Arkeologi...p. xxviii.

\textsuperscript{22} Ibid., p. vii.

\textsuperscript{23} Khairon Nahdiyyin, Dalam Pengantar Penerjemah Buku Adonis..., p. xxii.

\textsuperscript{24} Etymology, taqlīd is taken from al-Qalādah which mean following someone. While, terminology, taqlīd means following someone’s idea but he does not know the
But the thing should be understood as its substantial, Islam is not only about *ittibā‘* dimension and *ṣubūt*, but rather other dimension such as *ibdā‘* and *taḥawwul*.

**The Results of Muktamar NU Lirboyo and Kiai Muda Ansor Addressing Non-Muslim Leadership**

NU is the biggest organization in Indonesia. Established at 16 of Rajab in 1344 H, coincided exactly at 31 January 1926 leaded by K.H. Hasyim Asy’ari as Rais Akbar.\(^{25}\) NU exists to sustainably preserve and develop Islam through Ahlussunnah Waljamaah.\(^{26}\) In the term of faith, NU was following Abu Hasan and Abu Mansur. In *fikih*, it refers to one of the four schools of thought concerning Muslim. While related to tasawuf, NU stayed in Imam Junaidi al-Baghdadi and Abu Hamid’s al-Ghazali’s thought.\(^{27}\) The controversy of non-Muslim reign focused on *Bahtsul Masail Muktamar* XXX NU in Lirboyo, Kediri, was held in *Bahtsul Masail ad-Diniyah al-Waqi‘iyah* at 21 to 27 November 1999. This kind of discussion began by the following question: “What is Islamic law of empowering state affairs to non-Muslim?”

*Bahtsul Masail* forum resulted in a opinion that declares Muslims are not permitted to give dominance to a non-Muslim with the following written exceptions: *first*, in the fields that Muslim cannot take it in hand causes definite capability; *second*, in the fields when there is Muslim that has capability, but there is an indication exists that he might betray; *third*, since non-Muslim dominance brings benefits.\(^{28}\) Those results underlined that people classified into non-Muslim is around *ahl-Dzimmah* and it
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\(^{25}\) Abdul Muchith Muzadi, *NU dalam Perspektif Sejarah dan Ajaran* (Surabaya: Khalista, 2006), p. 36.

\(^{26}\) Djamaluddin dan Ahmad Chumaidi Umar, *Ke-NU-an Aahlussunnah Waljama‘ab* (Semarang: LP Ma‘arif, 1994), p. 42.

\(^{27}\) Laode Ida, *NU Muda* (Jakarta: Erlangga, 2004), p. 7.

\(^{28}\) Lajnah Ta‘lif wa Nasyr, *Ahkam al-Fuqaha’* (Surabaya: Diantama, 2004), p. 581.
should be an effective control mechanism.

Deciding and finding the results of the discussion above, here are the main considerations:

1. Q.S. An-Nisa: 141

\[\text{And Allah will never give the disbelievers over the believers a way (to overcome them).}\]

2. Tuhfah, page 72

\[\text{Muslims are not allowed to ask helps to either disbelievers or others aside from highly urgent condition. According to their views, asking helps to disbeliever is prohibited although in emergency way. However, in titimmah, it is mentioned exactly the probability of asking helps in emergency.}\]

3. Aṣy-Syarwāni ʿAla al-Tuhfah, page 72-73

\[\text{If an important matter requires a conferral that cannot be solved by Muslims or there is an indication exists that those Muslims might betray and safe in kafir dzimmi, so it is allowed to give the authorization due to emergency. However, for those who confer it, they should do controlling toward kafir and able to take them care of any attacks come from Muslims.}\]

4. Al-Maḥālī ʿAla al-Minhāj, page 172

\[\text{Muslims are not allowed to ask any helps to kafir since it is forbidden to authorize a kafir through Muslims in exception of emergency.}\]

5. Ahkām al-Sultāniyah, page 22 and 25

\[\text{The authority on two departments authority to authorize. Ama authority to authorize is the authority of Islam from which the deputy is responsible to manage and also responsible to the Imam.}\]
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“There are two kinds of ministry such as ta'fīd (conceptor) and tanfīd (executor). It is called by ta'fīd, if a leader / one in authority determines a minister to do all things that he ought to do in setting all matters which appropriate to his point of views and come to him upon the ijtīhad. Meanwhile, what the tanfīd is, the dominance is weaken than it and the requirements are more little in amount due to the limited of imam’s views and its controller.”

Bahtsul Masail held by Kiai Muda Ansor in Jakarta on 11 to 12 March 2017 is not addressing without significant results. This kind of discussion was held to solve Ansor’s worries considering the phenomenon happened at that time. The election of governor of Jakarta which brought religion as a political propaganda divided Muslims into two groups. It is written in the beginning of Bahtsul Masail result, that:

Islam and state are different topics that seem difficult to find the final discussion result. The experiences concerning Muslim countries nowadays need discussions. Some places such as Iraq, Syria, and Yemen are the closer factual examples. Since we are in Ansor, believe that the relation of Islam and state has already done for Indonesia. In 1984, NU has approved five basic principles of the Republic Indonesia (Pancasila) as the one and only principle. That issue aims at the conclusion which NU accepts nation-state, as the form of state with its all consequences. But unfortunately, what we found in society did not work in line with that suspicion. Since the independence of Indonesia, it had been agreed that this country belonged all Indonesian people and no differentiations based on religion. Therefore, it never happened as far as histories recorded that all the cabinets are Muslims. So do Indonesian people, it would never happen that all of them are Muslims. But in fact, there are still some who do not agree with it. One of obvious indicators is the existence of clamorous religion symbolization which is in serious condition in Jakarta’s governor election. It is possible that this case will affect other regions in Indonesia. If we ignore it, there will be disunity in Indonesia. The development of this country will be run well if only the society reach a harmony by diminishing some points of contradiction, particularly in a sensitive contradiction.29

Bahtsul Masail forum realized that the taken controversy was one of the sensitive and debatable issues, especially in Jakarta’s governor election. It is possible that this case will affect other regions in Indonesia. If we ignore it, there will be disunity in Indonesia. The development of this country will be run well if only the society reach a harmony by diminishing some points of contradiction, particularly in a sensitive contradiction.29

29 Result of Bahtsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor.
election. But the facts did not already strive to the better way, so it is needed to solve all about non-Muslim reign in all levels, in the context of nation-state like in Indonesia. Based on those description, this controversy discussed in waqi’iyab way, then investigated in maud’i’iyab. Regarding to the result of Bahtsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor, there were three decisions of Muktamar NU Congress about the reign that had been mentioned, for instance Muktamar in Banjarmasin, Surabaya, and Lirboyo\(^\text{30}\), Kediri. In Banjarmasin congress held in 1936, it was concluded that Indonesia is Islamic country, even if Dutch held the power at that time. What Islamic country mentioned before, means there was no aim that refers to the system of state-politics, but it refers to the term religious, exactly Islamic region.\(^\text{31}\) While in Muktamar NU in Surabaya, held in 1954, the result was unpredictable. Soekarno was selected as waliyul amri al-Daruri bi al-Syaukah. The main source of this result was the declaration of Imam Ghazali in Ihya’ Ulumiddin. This judgement was concluded based on prosperity and unity of Indonesia, practically in leadership.\(^\text{32}\) Based on those three results of Muktamar which discussed above, it can be considered that controversy about non-Muslim reign in nation-state like Indonesia is not a clumsy case. The finding referring to this problem is dynamics depends on context and situation around. This matter orders Kiai Muda Ansor to do re-inspection by considering context and the developing era.

_Bahtsul Masail_ Forum Kiai Muda Ansor also discussed about KH. Sahal Mahfudz talks related to the terminology of classical Islamic Politic that is not suitable to match with the concept of nation-state according to him. The dichotomy _dzimmi-barbi_ is the one of the terms that would be a matter if it is applied in nation-state like in Indonesia. This kind of paradigm usually puts non-Muslims as the second-grade community and do not belong to an association which equals to Muslim. Whereas, in

\(^{30}\) It has been examined in previous page.

\(^{31}\) Bughyatul Mustarsyidin.

\(^{32}\) The result of Muktamar NU is criticized by Perti and PSII who disagree with _waliyul amri al-Daruri bi al-Syaukah_ that pinned to Soekarno.
democracy, all citizens have similar right authority in all aspects without being separated by religion, reins and ethnicities. It is a must that *dzimmi-barbi* should be understood as a selected concept when the citizenship is based on religion and not based on nation-state as implemented in Indonesia. The applying of these two concepts should be done by considering the context and system of citizenship used. Therefore, as the manifestation of Islamic law that aiming at social justice, so doing re-contemplation and renewing some terminologies in *fikih* of classical Islamic politic is a must.\(^{33}\) About the policy of choosing non-Muslim in the election, Kiai Muda Ansor mentioned the judgement of Ali as-Sabuni and Said Ramadan al-Buti told that it is proscribed thing to choose non-Muslim as leader. This thought became popular for Muslim. Some references in *fikih* of classical Islamic politic told the same thing as before. The opinion of as-Sabuni and al-Buti was in line with the result of *Muktamar* NU in Lirboyo.

In addition, Kiai Muda Ansor was inclining to the opinion that mentions if choosing non-Muslim as a leader is allowed. To strengthen its argument, this forum used the opinion of Syaikh Ali Jum’ah, as the ex-adviser on religious law for a region of Egypt. These are the three reasons of the probability to choose non-Muslim as a chief:

1. The context of nowadays state structures is not similar as the past. In modern, every citizen has similar right authority; in consequence, a non-Muslim is able to have an equal position in military, police force, chief executive and others government offices.
2. The purpose of this position is in order to make resembling of its duties. Therefore, the most important thing from the election of government part is the capability and integrity a candidate in

---

\(^{33}\) It became Ahmad ar-Raisuni’s concern, a Muslim intellect from Maroko that yelled re-contemplation to topics in classic Islamic fikih that is already not suitable to this era. Ahmad ar-Raisuni, *Fikih al-Saurah Murajaat fi al-Fikih al-Siyasi al-Islami* (Kairo: Dar al-Kalimah, 2013).
carry out the duties.
3. The prohibition to select non-Muslim as a leader is especially for *khilājah al-Uzma* only, which covers all the matters includes state and religion. While an executive now is only concerning about state.\(^{34}\)

In summary, Bahtsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor is resulted these following points:
1. Referring to three results of *Muktamar* NU: Banjarmasin, Surabaya, and Lirboyo, Kediri; the best solution to respond all issues related to nationality.
2. As what expected by KH. Sahal Mahfudz, it needs an effort to obtain the permanent solution to make the complicated things apart cause the difficulties of applying the concept of state structure using classical *fikih* in modern era.
3. Basically, KH. Wachid Hasyim’s attitudes as representative of NU and BPUPKI let the action of religion struggle model that oriented to achieve the substantive of Islamic doctrine than the symbols of Islamic itself.
4. It is necessary to change the concept of friend-rival which is based on solely different religion into friend-rival concept based on obvious enmity (*hirāb*). This is in line with *ukhuwwah waṭaniyah* concept by NU without ignoring *ukhuwwah Islamiyah*.
5. Most of *mufti* are override-count on *Muktamar* NU Lirboyo results- to the election of non-Muslim as a leader or executive. The decision is reached carefully. However, the *ijtihad* done by contemporary ulama, such as Syaikh Ali Jum’ah and Syaikh Abdul Hamid al-‘Atrasy, in choosing a non-Muslim leader, should be seen as the effort to solve problems related to the applying of Islamic doctrine in the context of modern state politic system. By considering both capacities, it is not excessive if the opinion of

\(^{34}\) The results of *Bahtsul Masalil* Kiai Muda Ansor.
both ulama above is categorized as khilaf mu’tabar.

6. The change of global politic system requires the existence of new ijtihad with basically based on classical point of view. Ibn Taimiyah views should be considered in order to see the system of modern statehood based on citizenship. This system seems difficult to review with only use the categorizations written in classic books. Considering about this case, also al-Ramli opinion and result of Muktamar NU Banjarmasin, the selected non-Muslim leader is constitutionally and religiously legitimate

Those results were officially existed on Sunday, 19 March 2017. At that time, the mushohib were KH. Abdul Ghofur Maimoen and KH. Shofiyulloh Mukhlas. The formulators of the results were KH. Muhammad Najib Buchori, KH. Abdul Lathif Malik, KH. Ahmad Nadhif Abdul Mujib, K. Faridu Asrihi, and KH. Abu Bakar Yahya.

Typology of Methodology of Istinbāṭ Method of Muktamar NU Lirboyo and Babtsul Masail Kiai Muda Ansor

A lot of problems have been discussed in Muktamar NU at Lirboyo in 1999. One important thing is about statehood leadership. The main question emerges in the forum was: “How does Islamic law authorize state affairs of Muslim to non-Muslim?” Literally, it can be understood that the main question was not addressing the highest chief executive but the ministers. The fact written above can be easily accepted because the president at that time was KH. Abdurrahman Wahid. What means by ‘authorizing the state administration’ was referring to authorize it to presidential ministers. Shortly, this forum obsessing in solving the problems related to the Islamic law of how about inaugurate ministers, legislatives, governor, regent and so on, by the president of Indonesia, Gus Dur.

Basically, Muktamar forum resulted that Muslim was not allowed to give dominance to non-Muslim, particularly for Indonesian administration,
except in an emergency. It sounds interesting to know ‘emergency’ is the key word from this result. In other words, the forum desired to strengthen that no reasons to give an authorization to non-Muslim when it is in safe way. Deciding ‘emergency’ as the background of the result was like a compromise from this forum on how to implement classical *fikih* of Islamic law in Indonesia. Therefore, emergency situation of this case was only an ‘escape’, so the result was not out of Islamic law rules. The circumstance of Indonesia could not be considered in order to change the concepts in *fikih* of classical politic doctrine that was not already suitable to the era. These concepts would have been applied in different era. If these concepts do not seem to be compatible, the concepts cannot be changed into any, but the decision would be run into the key ‘emergency’. Regarding the results of *Baitsul Masail Muktamar* Lirboyo, it can be seen that the method used tends to *istinbāt bayāni* method. *Bayāni* method is the way of thinking which uses text as the main focus. It refers to what has been written in the text, both in Qur’an, *Sunnah*, and texts of holy books. The basis used in this forum is Qur’an 4:141. From a holistic perspective, Allah will never let disbelievers to destroy Muslims. This verse is used to legitimate the suspicion that non-Muslim cannot be given a dominance which they can use to shatter Muslim. At the end of spectrum, yield the dominance to non-Muslim to arrange the system can be used as the way to merge mankind. Besides, there are some texts on classical *fikih* which are worked as reference. Written in *Tuhfah*, Muslims are not allowed to ask any helps to non-Muslims aside from emergency. It is also mentioned that another judgement said, asking helps to non-Muslim *dzimmi* is settled forbidden in spite of emergency. Agreed, *al-Mahalli ‘ala al-Minhaj* also writes what it is as *Tuhfah*’s.

There are three prominent things within classical *fikih* holy book being references. *First*, the issue related to the involvement of non-Muslim in executive structural that does not belong to a clumsy issue. Although it is literally not allowed, but in fact, classic *fikih* unfolds opportunities to
non-Muslim to take a part in executive structural in spite of in emergency situation only. The dynamics occur around *fuqaha* indicates this problem is still related to religious consideration scope, not about religion. *Second*, ‘emergency’ as the background of the result is like a compromise from this forum. Since the fact indicates that in reality not all items in government can be guaranteed by Muslim, the consideration of ability and morality becomes the main reason of why non-Muslim is given an opportunity to state the structure, not only just because of ability, but also morality. *Third*, the term *dzimmi* is still being a key in those references. It has to be acknowledged that *dzimmi* is the term of classic *fikih*. This term is opposite *harbi*. Literally, dichotomy of *dzimmi-harbi* within classical references has to be viewed exactly as it obtained in the past. This kind of terminology is a classification of inhabitant status based on religion. Muslims as number one of citizen, while non-Muslims belong to *dzimmi* as the next number with their limits.

Despite using theory of Adonis about Unchanged and Changed, the writer categorizes the results of *Bahtsul Masail Muktamar* NU in Lirboyo into Unchanged category (*al-Ṣābit*). The result of *Muktamar* which prohibits an authorization of countrywide affairs to non-Muslim (in normally) indicates that this decision imitates (*ittiba‘*) the previous point of views. Inability to leave the regulations within classical *fikih* doctrine becomes the reason of why this decision is suitable to be categorized to *al-Ṣābit*. This result has no audacity to leave the term *dzimmi* as a criterion of citizenship status. It can be seen from the result of *Muktamar* which brings along the term *dzimmi*, whereas, as written above, *dzimmi* is a term made in the context of classical state system which differentiates citizenship based on religion. But what happened in Indonesia is already clear that nation is not differentiated by religion, but rather based on citizenship (*al-Muwaṭanali*).

The important result of *Bahtsul Masail* Kiai Muda Ansor is the probability to vote a non-Muslim chief in the context of election in Indonesia. Not only ‘probable’, but this forum states that voting non-
Muslim in the election is legal, whether in accordance with religion or constitution. Considering the type of *istinbāṭ* used, *Bahtsul Masail* Kiai Muda Ansor is using combination between *bayānī* and *istiṣlāḥī*. As a part of NU, the way of discovering a law through text is persistent hold by, and combining them into good deed approach. By using these two merged methods, it is not astonishment that the result of Kiai Muda Ansor Congress was different from the results in *Muktamar* Lirboyo. To strengthen its argument, this forum did not only use *fikih*, but also cited experts’ view such as Ali Jum’ah dan Abdul Hamid ‘Atrasy. The fact of state-nation system is different from state concept within classic politic *fikih*, parallel with Ali Jum’ah point of view. This forum comprehended that the difference context happened should be a primary consideration in making every decision. But if the text of classic *fikih* is applied in the context of modern statehood, a new problem will probably emerge, for instance the status of inhabitant. In classic *fikih* doctrine, citizenship is differentiated based on religion, so the term *dzimmi-barbi* disbelievers appears. If this kind of concept is implemented in the context of state-nation such as in Indonesia, a serious problem may exist. In the context of state-nation, the status of inhabitant is defined by citizenship (*al-Muwāṭanah*), not about their religion. So, all citizenships have similar authorization whatever their religion. As the result, what decided by Kiai Muda Ansor in combining between text and context leads the writer to add the typology of *istinbāṭ* they used into *bayānī* and *istiṣlāḥī*. Kiai Muda Ansor does not leave any texts in implementing *bayānī* method. Texts are still used as the basis in making decision. Named as *istiṣlāḥī* caused of what resulted by Kiai Muda Ansor did not utilizing textual texts as the main answer, but the aim (*maqāsid*) of texts precisely became the main basic. In other words, Kiai Muda Ansor tended to consider substances than what has formally been written. The way of thinking was popular known as *istiṣlāḥī* method.

Meanwhile, considering the Adonis’ theory, what resulted by *Bahtsul*
Masail Kiai Muda Ansor was counted in al-Mutahawwil category. The law of the ability to vote non-Muslim chief was religious and constitutionally valid, and it belongs to creation (ibda’), and was not an imitation (ittiba’). This forum assumed that the justness is not in written in the text only, but it is found within the aims to be achieved. Daring to produce a new result was a way of thinking that should be appreciated. The consciousness of context in Indonesia is totally different from context of state within classic fikih doctrines was the basis of why this forum could produce a progressive result. Texts as references are not longer examined literally, but it’s substances and the goals within are considered. Therefore, the Adonis’ theory of Changed is neither longer considered as the justness which assumed as “in proper” nor a hegemony point of view which hold quo status. That is why the Unchanged theory is usually reputed as mistaken way of thinking and should be removed and banned. Due to deciding different result from majority, as the result, Kiai Muda Ansor forum causes many controversies.

It seems to be not sufficient if solving problems related to Islamic law by only using bayani approach, considering the plurality contexts of Indonesia. Texts must not be the primary pillar in solving any problems appear. Besides, there is a goal or maqāsid that should be considered. Then, developing istislahi method as the primary key in solving problems is a must, regarding the context of Indonesia. It has to avoid the practical Islamic law congress that has no significant roles. Therefore, Kiai Muda Ansor disposed to consider about substances than formal form can be a penetration in doing inspecting and developing the methodology of gaining Islamic law in Indonesia. However, each decision in Islamic law is aiming at benefits at last. Thus, it is prohibited if there is a formulation of law that causes a damage or anxiety. If it happens, it is wrong to accuse Islam, but it could be because of the way of how the law was produced.
Conclusion

The above discussion delivers a fruitful insight with regards to the dynamics of Islamic laws in contemporary Indonesia. The contrasting opinions between the national board of the Nahdlatul Ulama/NU and its youth organisational wing reflects the multiplicity of Islamic laws within the traditionalist NU circle. Thus, there have been different and contrasting opinions within the NU. This article has demonstrated a particular case which tells a different construction of Islamic laws with regards to non-Muslim leadership in the largest Muslim country Indonesia and at the same time informs pluralism in formulating Islam laws. The contrasting view of the national board of the NU and its youth organisational wing particularly develops because of different construct in defining Islam and democracy in the largest Muslim country Indonesia. These two institutions differ in reconciling *istinbāt* (methods for formulating the laws) of two principles of Islamic laws (*usul al-fiqh*); *al-sabit* (permanent) and *al-mutahawwil* (change). The NU seems to limit the *istinbāt* through the *bayāni* (explanatory) method, while the Ansor combines *bayāni* and *istiṣlāḥ* (common good). It further argues that the national board of the NU particularly sees the prohibition of non-Muslim leadership as *al-sabit*, an unchanged dictum, whereas the Ansor considers it *al-mutahawwil* as looking at the Indonesian state constitution that does not discriminate its citizens based on religion. Thus, making Islamic laws accords to the state constitution.
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