Angular momentum and topology in semiconducting single-wall carbon nanotubes
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Semiconducting single-wall carbon nanotubes are classified into two types by means of orbital angular momentum of valley state, which is useful to study their low energy electronic properties in finite-length. The classification is given by an integer \( d \), which is the greatest common divisor of two integers \( n \) and \( m \) specifying the chirality of nanotubes, by analyzing cutting lines. For the case that \( d \) is equal to or greater than four, two lowest subbands from two valleys have different angular momenta with respect to the nanotube axis. Reflecting the decoupling of two valleys, discrete energy levels in finite-length nanotubes exhibit nearly fourfold degeneracy and its small lift by the spin–orbit interaction. For the case that \( d \) is less than or equal to two, in which two lowest subbands from two valleys have the same angular momentum, discrete levels exhibit lift of fourfold degeneracy reflecting the coupling of two valleys. Especially, two valleys are strongly coupled when the chirality is close to the armchair chirality. An effective one-dimensional lattice model is derived by extracting states with relevant angular momentum, which reveals the valley coupling in the eigenstates. A bulk-edge correspondence, relationship between number of edge states and the winding number calculated in the corresponding bulk system, is analytically shown by using the argument principle, which enables us to estimate the number of edge states from the bulk property. The number of edge states depends not only on the chirality but also on the shape of boundary.

PACS numbers: 73.63.Fg, 73.22.Dj

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of quantum transport have revealed that single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) contain richer phenomena, especially in terms of the spin and valley degrees of freedom.4 Fourfold degeneracy of SWNTs, observed in the tunneling spectroscopy,2–11 has been widely considered as an intrinsic property of SWNTs, reflecting the two degenerate \( K \) and \( K' \) valleys in the two-dimensional (2D) Brillouin zone (BZ), together with two spin states of up and down. Measurements for ultraclean SWNTs22–23 show fine structures of lift of fourfold degeneracy caused by the spin–orbit interaction22–23. Recent studies focused on the angular momenta of two valleys as a conserved quantity in disorder-free finite-length metallic SWNTs (m-SWNTs).22–23 It is shown that the two valleys have the same angular momentum for the so-called metal-2 nanotubes, whereas they have different angular momenta for the metal-1 nanotubes22–23. (The definitions of metal-1 and metal-2 are given in Sec. II.) For finite-length m-SWNTs which has the same rotational symmetry with the bulk, the two valleys are decoupled and the spin–orbit interaction lifts the fourfold degeneracy for the metal-1 nanotubes, whereas valley coupling due to the inter-valley scattering at the ends lifts the fourfold degeneracy, regardless of spin–orbit interaction, for the metal-2 nanotubes.22–23 Valley coupling could play a role for large lift of the fourfold degeneracy in the experiments.7,9,11,15,25,26

Many of the quantum transport measurements have been performed for the m-SWNTs4–15 which could contain narrow energy gap induced by curvature of nanotube surface. Conducting of the semiconducting SWNTs (s-SWNTs) has also been investigated by adjusting the gate voltage.1,8,27–29 In fact, the s-SWNTs are double abundant of the m-SWNTs.22 However, the relationship between valley, angular momentum, and the finite-length effect is not well analyzed for the s-SWNTs, even though they play essential roles in the quantum transport measurements. Here we will focus on the s-SWNTs.

In this paper, we will classify the s-SWNTs by means of the angular momentum of the valley. We will show that the classification is performed by an integer \( d = \gcd(n, m) \), which is the greatest common divisor (gcd) of \( n \) and \( m \), where the integer set \((n, m)\) specifies the chiral vector22 (See Sec. II for the definition of chiral vector.) For the s-SWNTs with \( d \geq 4 \), the two valleys have different angular momenta and the two valleys are decoupled. For this case the spin–orbit interaction lifts the fourfold degeneracy in the finite-length nanotubes, as in the case of metal-1 nanotubes.22 On the other hand, for the s-SWNTs with \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \), the two valleys have the same angular momentum and valley coupling lifts the fourfold degeneracy, as in the case of metal-2 nanotubes.22 Especially for the case of \(|n - m| = 2\), which is close to the armchair chirality, the two valleys are strongly coupled. Note that \( d = 3 \), more generally \( \mod(d, 3) = 0 \), holds only for a part of m-SWNTs. In order to understand the coupling of two valleys, an effective one-dimensional (1D) lattice model is derived and analyzed. Chirality and edge shape dependences of the number of edge states, as another intrinsic feature in the finite-length nanotubes, are given by expanding the theory for valley coupling. Especially, a bulk-edge correspondence, relationship between the number of edge
states and the winding number given in the corresponding bulk system, is analytically shown.

The present study reveals that the coupling of two valleys occurs in the majority of SWNTs, even for ultra-clean tubes with clean edges, which conserve the angular momentum of ideal bulk states of electrons. The valley coupling appears as the lift of fourfold degeneracy, regardless of the spin–orbit interaction. Moreover, the bulk-edge correspondence for edge states in the semiconducting energy gap reveals another feature of SWNTs as topological insulating materials.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II classification of the s-SWNTs by means of the angular momentum of the valley is given. In Sec. III numerical calculation is given for finite-length s-SWNTs, which shows the valley coupling for \( d \leq 2 \) cases. In Sec. IV an effective 1D lattice model is derived (Sec. IV A), eigenstates under boundary condition and a topological viewpoint for the bulk 1D states is given from both a mode analysis of boundary lines and \( \Delta \theta/d \) components in the axis and circumference directions, respectively, where

\[
a_z = \frac{Td}{N}
\]

is the shortest distance between two \( A \) (B) atoms in the axis direction, \( T = a\sqrt{3(n^2 + m^2 + nm)/d_R} \) is the lattice constant of the 1D nanotube, \( N = 2(n^2 + m^2 + nm)/d_R \) is the number of A (B) atoms in the 1D nanotube unit cell, \( d_R = \gcd(2m + n, 2m + n) \),

\[
\Delta \theta = 2\pi \frac{t_1q - t_2p}{N} \tag{3}
\]

is the azimuth component of \( R \) in the cylindrical coordinates, \( t_1 = (2m + n)/d_R \), \( t_2 = -(2n + m)/d_R \). There is an arbitrariness to define \( p \) and \( q \) since \( R + \alpha \mathbf{C}_h/d \) also satisfies the definition of \( R \), with \( \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1 \). Two reciprocal lattice vectors, which are conjugate to \( \mathbf{C}_h/d \) and \( R \), are given by

\[
Q_1 = -qb_1 + pb_2, \quad Q_2 = \frac{m}{d} b_1 - \frac{n}{d} b_2, \tag{4}
\]

where \( b_1 = (2\pi/a)(1/\sqrt{3}, 1) \) and \( b_2 = (2\pi/a)(1/\sqrt{3}, -1) \) are the reciprocal lattice vectors of graphene, and the relations \( Q_1 \cdot \mathbf{C}_h/d = Q_2 \cdot \mathbf{R} = 2\pi \), \( Q_1 \cdot \mathbf{R} = Q_2 \cdot \mathbf{C}_h/d = 0 \) hold. The wavevector in the first BZ is expressed by

\[
\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mu Q_1}{d} + k \frac{Q_2}{|Q_2|}, \tag{5}
\]

with

\[
\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1, \text{ and, } 0 \leq k < \frac{2\pi}{a_z}. \tag{6}
\]

Note that \( |Q_2| = 2\pi/a_z \). The discretization of \( \mu \) is due to the periodic boundary condition in the circumference direction, which requires \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{C}_h/2\pi \) is an arbitrary integer. The integer \( \mu \) is the orbital angular momentum around the nanotube axis, and each line in the 2D \( k \)-space specified by \( \mu \) is called cutting line.

In comparison to the conventional formulation\(^{26}\) we have the relations, \( K_1 = Q_1/d + Q_2/\Delta \theta/d_1/2 \pi \) and \( K_2 = Q_2/d/N \), where \( K_1 \) represents the separation of cutting lines and \( K_2 \) is the vector of short cutting lines in the conventional formulation, and they are orthogonal each other. The cutting lines in the conventional formulation are given by \( \mu K_1 + k K_2 / |K_2| \) with \( \mu = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( -\pi/T < k < \pi/T \). The present formulation of 2D BZ by Eq. (5) is essentially the same with that given in our previous study\(^{22}\) in which the rectangular shape of 2D BZ is given by the translation of the triangle at the

II. ANGULAR MOMENTA OF TWO VALLEYS

In order to discuss the angular momentum of SWNTs, we first redefine the previous concept of cutting line\(^{36}\) by using the helical–angular construction\(^{37}\).

Let us consider a SWNT defined by rolling up the graphene sheet in the direction of the chiral vector \( \mathbf{C}_h = na_1 + ma_2 \equiv (n, m) \), where \( n \) and \( m \) are integers specifying the chirality of SWNT, \( a_1 = (\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)a \) and \( a_2 = (\sqrt{3}/2, -1/2)a \) are the unit vectors of graphene, \( a = 0.246 \text{ nm} \) is the lattice constant.\(^{22}\) In this paper, we consider the rolling of the graphene from the front to the back, and, unless otherwise indicated, the case of \( n \geq m \geq 0 \), which correspond to the “zigzag-right handedness”, except for the zigzag \((m = 0)\) and armchair \((n = m)\) nanotubes, according to Ref.\(^{32}\). The nanotubes of opposite handedness, zigzag-left handedness, are given for \((m, n)\), or rolling of the graphene sheet from the back to the front\(^{22}\).

A. Cutting line

Here we introduce the cutting line defined under the helical–angular construction\(^{37}\) and show the angular momentum of \( K \) and \( K' \) points for the m-SWNTs\(^{25}\) which are known in the previous studies.

To discuss the angular momenta of two valleys, it is convenient to introduce an alternative set of unit vectors, utilizing the rotational and the helical symmetry of SWNT, \( \mathbf{C}_h/d \) and \( \mathbf{R} = pa_1 + qa_2 \).\(^{33,34}\) Here \( d = \gcd(n, m) \) is the greatest common divisor \( (\gcd) \) of \( n \) and \( m \), and the integers \( p \) and \( q \) are chosen to satisfy the relation of

\[
mp - nq = d. \tag{1}
\]

Translation with \( \mathbf{C}_h/d \) corresponds to the \( \mathbf{C}_d \) rotation with respect to the nanotube axis. The vector \( \mathbf{R} \) has \( a_z \) and \( \Delta \theta/d \) components in the axis and circumference directions, respectively, where

\[
a_z = \frac{Td}{N} \tag{2}
\]
corner of the present parallelogram shape of 2D BZ by $(dA\theta/2\pi)Q_2$. It is convenient to use the two reciprocal lattice vectors $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ in the present formulation, rather than $K_1$ and $K_2$, to specify not only the angular momenta of two valleys but also the closest positions of $K$ and $K'$ points on the cutting lines, as shown below.

In general, a $K$ point, $K = (2b_1 + b_2)/3$, is expressed by,

$$\overrightarrow{K} = \frac{2n + m}{3} Q_1 + \frac{2p + q}{3} Q_2. \quad (7)$$

A $K'$ point is specified by $\overrightarrow{K'} = -\overrightarrow{K}$. By comparing Eqs. (5) and (7), it is concluded that the SWNT is metallic when $\text{mod}(2n + m, 3) = 0$ because the cutting lines with $(2n + m)/3$ and $-(2n + m)/3$, or equivalently,

$$\mu_K = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m}{3}, d \right), \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_{K'} = \text{mod} \left( -\frac{2n + m}{3}, d \right), \quad (9)$$

where $0 \leq \mu_K, \mu_{K'} \leq d - 1$, pass through the $K$ and $K'$ points, respectively, whereas it is semiconducting when $\text{mod}(2n + m, 3) \neq 0$ because there is no cutting line which passes the $K$ or $K'$ points. Here we used the property that the cutting line of $\mu$ is equivalent to that of $\mu + d$. Further, the m-SWNTs are classified into metal-1 ($d_R = d$) and metal-2 ($d_R = 3d$) \(\text{mod}(2n + m, 3) \neq 0\) Two different cutting lines $\mu_K \neq 0$ and $\mu_{K'} \neq 0$ pass through $K$ and $K'$ points, respectively, for metal-1, while, the cutting line $\mu_K = \mu_{K'} = 0$ passes through both $K$ and $K'$ points for metal-2, as already proven in Ref. 22. The $K$ ($K'$) point on the line $\mu_K$ ($\mu_{K'}$) is given by

$$k_K = \frac{2\pi}{3a_z} \text{mod}(2p + q, 3), \quad (10)$$

$$k_{K'} = \frac{2\pi}{3a_z} \text{mod}(-2p - q, 3). \quad (11)$$

Especially for the metal-2 nanotubes, since the relation $\text{mod}(2p + q, 3) = \text{mod}(2n/d, 3)$ holds, $k_K = 4\pi/3a_z$ \((2\pi/3a_z)\) and $k_{K'} = 2\pi/3a_z$ \((4\pi/3a_z)\) for $\text{mod}(m/d, 3) = 1$ \((2)\), as shown in Ref. 22.

**B. Classification of s-SWNTs**

Using the new definition we classify the s-SWNTs. For the s-SWNTs, the point closest to the $K$ point on the cutting lines is given by,

$$\overrightarrow{K} + \frac{1}{3}K_1 = \frac{2n + m + 1}{3} Q_1 + \frac{2p + q + \Delta\theta}{3} Q_2, \quad (12)$$

where $-$ and $+$ are applied for type-1 \(\text{mod}(2n + m, 3) = 1\) and type-2 \(\text{mod}(2n + m, 3) = 2\) s-SWNTs respectively (see Fig. 1). Here, we define $\mu$ specifying a cutting line which passes through a point closest to a $K$ ($K'$) point the orbital angular momentum of $K$ ($K'$) valley for the s-SWNTs. From Eq. (12), the closest cutting line to the $K$ point is given by

$$\mu_1 = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m + 1}{3}, d \right), \quad (13)$$

and that to the $K'$ point is given by

$$\mu_{1'} = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m + 1}{3}, d \right). \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the condition that the two cutting lines $\mu_1$ and $\mu_{1'}$ are identical is expressed by,

$$\text{mod} \left( 2 \times \frac{2n + m + 1}{3}, d \right) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Since both $n$ and $m$ are multiple of $d$, Eq. (15) holds only for $d = 1$ and $d = 2$. For the s-SWNTs with $d = 4, \mu_1$ and $\mu_{1'}$ are nonequivalent. (See cutting lines in Fig. 1 as examples for $d = 2$ and $d = 4$.) Here we exclude the case of $d = 3$, which holds only for a part of m-SWNTs. For $d = 1, \mu_1 = \mu_{1'} = 0$. For $d = 2$, we get $\mu_1 = \mu_{1'} = 1$ because they cannot be 0 by their definitions for $d \geq 2$. It is also known from Eq. (12) that a point $k_1$ ($k_{1'}$) on the line $\mu_1$ ($\mu_{1'}$), at which the bottom of the conduction band and the top of the valence band appears from $K$ ($K'$) valley, is given by

$$k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{3a_z} \text{mod} \left( 2p + q + \frac{\Delta\theta}{2\pi}, 3 \right), \quad (16)$$

$$k_{1'} = \frac{2\pi}{3a_z} \text{mod} \left[ - \left( 2p + q + \frac{\Delta\theta}{2\pi} \right), 3 \right]. \quad (17)$$

![FIG. 1. Cutting lines for (a) $(n, m) = (6, 4)$ ($d = 2$, type-1) and (b) $(n, m) = (8, 4)$ ($d = 4$, type-2) s-SWNT. The integers are chosen as (a) $(p, q) = (2, 1)$ and (b) $(p, q) = (1, 0)$. Shadow areas show 2D BZ given by two reciprocal lattice vectors $Q_1$ and $Q_2$. Dashed circles show $K$ and $K'$ points closest to the cutting lines. Right insets in each figure show enlarge near $K$ and $K'$ points. Arrows from $K$ and $K'$ points show $\pm K_1/3$ to the nearest cutting lines.](image-url)
The cutting lines and the 1D \( k \) points closest to \( K \) and \( K' \) points are summarized in Table I.

### Table I. Cutting lines \( \mu \) and 1D \( k \) points closest to \( K \) and \( K' \) points.

| Type          | Cutting line and 1D \( k \) point closest to \( K \) and \( K' \) |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Semiconducting SWNTs \([mod(2m + n, 3) = 1, 2]\) | \( \mu_1 = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m + 1}{3}, d \right) \), \( \mu_{1'} = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + 2m - 1}{3}, d \right) \) |
|               | \( k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{a} \text{mod} \left( 2p + q + \frac{4\pi}{3}, 3 \right) \) |
|               | \( k_{1'} = \frac{2\pi}{a} \text{mod} \left[ -\left( 2p + q + \frac{4\pi}{3} \right), 3 \right] \) |
|               | \( d = 1 \) \( \mu_1 = \mu_{1'} = 0 \) |
|               | \( d = 2 \) \( \mu_1 = \mu_{1'} = 1 \) |
|               | \( d \geq 4 \) \( \mu_1 \neq \mu_{1'} \) |
| Metallic SWNTs \([mod(2m + n, 3) = 0]\) | \( \mu_{k\sigma} = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m}{3}, d \right) \), \( \mu_{k\sigma'} = \text{mod} \left( \frac{2n + m}{3}, d \right) \) |
|               | \( k_K = \frac{2\pi}{3a} \text{mod} \left( 2p + q, 3 \right) \), \( k_{K'} = \frac{2\pi}{3a} \text{mod} \left( -2p - q, 3 \right) \) |
|               | \( \mu_{k\sigma} \neq \mu_{k\sigma'} \) |
|               | \( d_{k\sigma} = d \) |
|               | \( d_{k\sigma'} = 3d \) |
|               | \( k_K = \frac{4\pi}{9a}, k_{K'} = \frac{4\pi}{9a} \) [if \( \text{mod} \left( \frac{4\pi}{9}, 3 \right) = 1 \)] |
|               | \( k_K = \frac{8\pi}{3a}, k_{K'} = \frac{8\pi}{3a} \) [if \( \text{mod} \left( \frac{8\pi}{3}, 3 \right) = 2 \)] |

Figure 2 shows the classification of SWNTs up to \( 2n + m = 78 \). The ratio of the metal-2 nanotubes in the m-SWNTs, for both left and right handedness, is 50% in 0.5nm < \( d_t < 3 \)nm is about 75%, and that of \( d \leq 2 \) nanotubes in the s-SWNTs is about 85%. This indicates that the two valleys have the same angular momentum in the majority (82%) of SWNTs. (See Fig. 2 (b) for the ratios.)

It is expected that finite-length s-SWNTs with \( d \geq 4 \), as well as the metal-1 nanotubes with \( d \geq 3 \), which exhibit fourfold symmetries, are degenerate under the time reversal symmetry, and the cutting lines closest to \( K \) and \( K' \) are inequivalent for \( d \geq 3 \). It is important to note that the fourfold degeneracy is lifted by the spin-orbit interaction that keeps the time-reversal symmetry, which will be confirmed in numerical calculation presented in the Appendix A. On the other hand, for finite-length s-SWNTs with \( d \leq 2 \), as well as the metal-2 nanotubes, the strong valley coupling can occur, which breaks the valley degeneracy regardless of spin–orbit interaction, even the boundary is clean so as to keep the rotational symmetry of bulk, which will be shown in the next section.

### III. Valley Coupling in Finite-Length s-SWNTs

In this section, we will show features of valley coupling in the numerical calculation of the energy levels of finite-length s-SWNTs with \( d \leq 2 \).

In Fig. 3 (a), we show the numerically calculated energy levels \( \varepsilon_l \) near the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), where \( l \) is the index of the energy level numbered from HOMO \( (l = 0) \) in ascending order of the energy for the \((7,3)\) nanotube of 50.18 nm length. Here the origin of the energy is set to be \( \langle \varepsilon_{l=1} + \varepsilon_{l=0} \rangle / 2 = 0 \). The boundary is constructed by terminating at the plane orthogonal to the nanotube axis and removing Klein-type terminations at which terminated site neighbors two empty sites. This is categorized as the minimal boundary, in which the edge structure is selected to have minimum numbers of empty sites [dashed circles in Fig. 3 (a)] and dangling bonds, and these numbers are the same for both ends. The diagrammatic picture of the left end is shown in Fig. 3 (a). The calculation is done using the extended tight-binding method for the finite-length nanotubes, in which \( \pi \) and \( \sigma \) orbitals of carbon atom are taken into account, and the hopping and the overlap integrals between two atoms evaluated by the ab initio calculation for interatomic distance up to 10 bohr (≈ 5 Å) in...
the three-dimensional structure are included. The optimized position of carbon atoms is utilized from the previous calculation. To eliminate the dangling bonds in the numerical calculation, each single dangling bond at the ends is terminated by a hydrogen atom. The atomic spin–orbit interaction on each carbon atom are taken into account. Unless otherwise indicated, the value of $V_{SO} = 6$ meV for the atomic spin–orbit interaction is used in the calculation.

The energy levels of $\varepsilon_l \leq -0.424$ eV ($l \leq -2$), which are originated from the valence band, and $\varepsilon_l \geq 0.535$ eV ($l \geq 3$), originated from the conduction band, are shown in Fig. 3(a). In the energy gap, there are fourfold degenerate localized states near the ends ($l = -1, 0, 1, 2$), two spin degenerate states polarized at B sublattices at left end and two spin degenerate states polarized at A sublattices at right end, at $\varepsilon = 0$, not shown in the figure), known as the edge states, which will be discussed in Sec. IV C. Due to the hopping to next nearest neighbor and farther sites, the localized states appear below the center of the energy gap. As increasing (decreasing) the energy from the bottom of the conduction band (the top of the valence band), the level separation, $\varepsilon_{l+1} - \varepsilon_l$, gradually increases to the constant value reflecting the changing from the quadratic to linear energy dispersion of the energy bands, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The energy levels are nearly degenerate in fourfold. In Fig. 3(c), we show intensity plot of Fourier transform of wavefunction on A sublattice for each level as a function of $k$. [A tiny magnetic field ($B = 10^{-6}$ T, corresponding spin splitting is $\sim 10^{-8}$ meV) parallel to the nanotube axis is applied to extract the spin-up majority states in the numerical calculation. The intensity plot is zone-folded in the 1D BZ of nanotube and is smoothed by a Gaussian function.] The fourfold degeneracy reflects the decoupling of the two valleys, which is confirmed that each eigenstate is formed from leftgoing and rightgoing states in a single valley, as shown in Fig. 3(c). The small lift of the fourfold degeneracy, shown in lower panel of Fig. 3(b), is due to the spin–orbit interaction and the small valley coupling in the finite-length effect, as seen in the finite-length metal-2 nanotubes.

For comparison in Fig. 3, the energy levels $\varepsilon_l$ and level separation $\varepsilon_{l+1} - \varepsilon_l$ for (6,4) nanotube of 50.14 nm length with a minimal boundary are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. As in the case of Fig. 3, the energy levels for the four edge states exist within the energy gap at $\varepsilon_l = 0$ for $l = -1, 0, 1, 2$, two states polarized at B sublattices at left end and two states polarized at A sublattices at right end (not shown in the figure). In contrast to the case of Fig. 3 except near the bottom or the top of the energy gap, the energy levels show twofold degeneracy reflecting the strongly couple of two valleys, which is confirmed in the intensity plot of Fig. 3(c). The oscillatory behavior of two- and fourfold degeneracies reflects the asymmetric group velocity in the same valley, as seen in the m-SWNTs. The behaviors of decoupling or strong coupling of two valleys demonstrated by the numerical calculation can be understand by the effective 1D lattice model, which is discussed in the next section.

**IV. EFFECTIVE 1D LATTICE MODEL**

An effective 1D lattice model, which includes only the nearest neighbor hopping term for the spinless case, exhibits not only the microscopic mechanism of valley coupling but also the number of edge states appearing in the energy gap.
the Hamiltonian is decomposed into projected Hamiltonian for \( \mu \)-th angular momentum, \( H = \sum_{\mu=0}^{d-1} H_{o,\mu} \), \( H_{o,\mu} = \sum_{Q} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_j \frac{C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)^\dagger} C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)} + H.c.}{c_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)^\dagger} C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)}} \). Here \( \Delta \nu_j \) and \( \Delta \ell_j \) for the three nearest neighbor carbon atoms are the \( \mathbf{C}_{h/d} \) and \( \mathbf{R} \) components of \( \Delta \), respectively, and their explicit forms are \( \Delta \nu_1 = -(p - q)/3 \), \( \Delta \nu_2 = -(2p + q)/3 \), \( \Delta \nu_3 = 2q + p/3 \), and \( \Delta \ell_1 = -(n - m)/3d \), \( (2n + m)/3d \), \( -(2m + n)/3d \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), respectively. By extracting the relevant \( H_{o,\mu} \), one gets an effective 1D Hamiltonian.

For the s-SWNTs, as well as the metal-1 nanotubes, since \( \Delta \ell_j \) and \( \Delta \nu_j \) are fractional numbers with three as the denominator, translation conversion of the coordinate for B sublattice such as \( \Delta \ell \rightarrow 0 \) would be convenient. This conversion makes A and B sublattices terminated at the same \( \ell = 1 \) site, which is convenient for topological discussion which will be given in Sec. IV C. Further, this conversion makes the hopping integrals in the Hamiltonian real numbers for \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \) cases, as shown below. The Hamiltonian is modified under this conversion, \( H_{o,\mu} \rightarrow H_{\mu} \), where

\[
H_{\mu} = \sum_{Q} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_j \frac{C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)^\dagger} C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)}}{c_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)^\dagger} C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)}} + H.c. \quad (19)
\]

where

\[
\Delta \ell_1' = 0, \quad \Delta \ell_2' = \frac{n}{d}, \quad \Delta \ell_3' = -\frac{m}{d}, \quad (20)
\]

and

\[
\Delta \nu_1' = 0, \quad \Delta \nu_2' = -p, \quad \Delta \nu_3' = q. \quad (21)
\]

The connection diagram of the effective 1D lattice model \( H_{\mu} \) is depicted in Figs. 5 (a) and 5 (b) for \((n/d,m/d) = (7,3)\) and \((3,2)\), respectively. The solid lines show the hopping between atoms. The solid circles represent the carbon atoms at the boundary, the dashed circles represent the empty atomic sites and the dashed lines represent the missing bonds.

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Energy levels and eigenstates for \((6,4)\) nanotube \((d = 2)\) of 50.14 nm length. (a) Energy levels \( \varepsilon_l \) from valence \((\varepsilon_l \leq -0.469 \text{ eV}, l \leq 2)\) and conduction \((\varepsilon_l \geq 0.639 \text{ eV}, l \geq 3)\) bands, where \( l \) is the level index. (b) Level separation \( \varepsilon_{l+1} - \varepsilon_l \) as a function of level index \( l \). (c) Intensity plot of Fourier transforms of wave functions of A sublattice for spin-up majority states. The blue solid and dashed lines show the energy band calculation under the periodic boundary condition near the \( K \) and \( K' \) valleys, respectively.

**A. Derivation of effective 1D lattice model**

First, we derive an effective 1D lattice model for each angular momentum \((e.g., \mu = 0 \text{ for } d = 1, \mu = 0 \text{ for } d = 2 \text{ s-SWNTs})\) from the nearest neighbor tight-binding Hamiltonian. To derive the effective model, we restrict ourselves in the nearest neighbor tight-binding model for \( \pi \) electrons, \( H = \sum_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_j C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)^\dagger} C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)} + H.c. \), where \( C_{A(r,+Q \Delta j)} \) is the creation (annihilation) operator of \( \pi \) electron on \( \sigma \) atom \((\sigma = A, B)\) at site \( r \), \( \Delta_j \) is the vector from \( A \) to nearest \( j \)-th B atom \((j = 1, 2, 3)\), and \( \gamma_j \) is the hopping integral between \( A \) and \( j \)-th B atom. The atom position is expressed by the two unit vectors as \( r = \nu \mathbf{C}_{h/d} + \ell \mathbf{R}, \) where \( \nu = 0, 1, \cdots, d - 1 \), and the integer \( \ell \) points the lattice position in the axis direction in units of \( a_z \).

By employing the Fourier transform in the \( Q_1 \) direction to the operator,

\[
c_{\sigma,\mu,\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{d-1} \exp \left( -i \frac{\mu}{d} Q_1 \cdot \nu \mathbf{C}_{h/d} \right) c_{\sigma,\nu}, \quad (18)
\]
B. Eigenstate

To understand the coupling of two valleys in the eigenstates, we will show how the eigenstates are constructed from the leftgoing and rightgoing traveling waves in the two valleys using the effective 1D model. Hereafter, 1D lattice site of the effective 1D model is measured in units of \( a_z \), for simplicity, and 1D wavenumber is therefore measured in units of \( 1/a_z \).

For an eigenstate with energy \( \varepsilon \) of \( H_\mu \), which has the amplitude \( \phi_{\sigma t} \) at \( \ell \)-site satisfying the solution of \( \phi_{\sigma t+i} = \lambda' \phi_{\sigma t} \), \( \phi_{\sigma B} = \eta \phi_{\lambda A} \), we have the following simultaneous equations for \( \lambda \) and \( \eta \),

\[
1 + e^{i2\pi \mu} \lambda - \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} + e^{-i2\pi \mu} \lambda^\ast = \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} \eta,
\]

\[
1 + e^{-i2\pi \mu} \lambda^\ast + e^{i2\pi \mu} \lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \eta.
\]

Assumption of using the same hopping integrals \( \gamma = \gamma_j \) is safely acceptable for the s-SWNTs, unlike the m-SWNTs in which narrow gap is induced by the small difference among \( \gamma_j \) reflecting the curvature of nanotube surface. The simultaneous equations have \( 2(n/d + m/d) \) sets of solutions \( (\lambda, \eta) \) because each equation is the \((n/d + m/d)\)th degree equation. We call A (B) mode for a mode with \(|\eta| > 1 \) \((|\eta| < 1)\) because the wavefunction is polarized at the A (B) sublattice. A mode with \(|\lambda| < 1 \) \((|\lambda| > 1)\) is an evanescent mode at the left (right) side, and a mode with \(|\lambda| = |\eta| = 1 \) is a traveling mode which extends in whole of the system.

In the low energy limit \(|\varepsilon/\gamma| \ll 1 \), the roots of left hand side of Eq. (22) \([\text{Eq. (23)}]\) gives \( \lambda \) for the A [B] modes. As shown in the Appendix [1], the number of evanescent modes at the left end for \( \sigma \) sublattice, \( N_\sigma \), are given by counting the number of roots in between two outermost roots, which correspond to the modes with the longest decay length, in the unit circle centered at the origin in the complex plane, as follows:

\[
N_A = \left\lfloor \frac{2n + m}{3d} \right\rfloor + n_A, \quad N_B = \left\lfloor \frac{n + 2m}{3d} \right\rfloor + n_B,
\]

where \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) is the floor function giving the greatest integer that is less than or equal to \( x \), and \((n_A, n_B) = (0, 1) \) \([1, 0]\) for the cases of \( \text{mod}(2n/d + m/d, 3) = 1 \) and \( |\mu/d - 1/2| > 1/6 \) \([< 1/6]\), or, \( \text{mod}(2n/d + m/d, 3) = 2 \) and \( |\mu/d - 1/2| < 1/6 \) \([> 1/6]\). The integers \( n_\sigma \) are summarized in Table II.

When the energy increases (decreases) from \( \varepsilon = 0 \), the evanescent modes with the longest decay length change to the traveling modes, as shown in Fig. 6. Let us explicitly consider the cases of \( d = 1 \) where \( \mu = 0 \) is only the independent cutting line, and \( \mu = 1 \) for \( d = 2 \), for which \( H_\mu \) contains the states closest to both \( K \) and \( K' \) points, as discussed in Sec. III. Since the modes we focus on are closest to

\[
\lambda_\tau = \exp(i\tau k_1),
\]

where \( \tau = 1 \) \((-1) \) denotes the \( K \) \((K') \) valley and \( k_1 \) is the wavenumber for the state closest to the \( K \) point given in Eq. (10), by employing the similar analysis for the m-SWNTs with narrow gap given in Appendix D of Ref. 22 these modes are given by expanding Eqs. (22) and (23) near \( \lambda_\tau \). (The detailed calculation for the modes is given in Appendix B.)

### TABLE II. Integers \( n_\sigma \) in Eq. (24) for given type of s-SWNTs, \( \text{mod}(2n/d + m/d, 3) \) and for given angular momentum \( \mu \), at \( \varepsilon = 0 \).

| \( n_\sigma \) | \( n_A \) | \( n_B \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( n_A \) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \( n_B \) | 1 | 0 | 1 |

where \( \tau = 1 \) \((-1) \) denotes the \( K \) \((K') \) valley and \( k_1 \) is the wavenumber for the state closest to the \( K \) point given in Eq. (10), by employing the similar analysis for the m-SWNTs with narrow gap given in Appendix D of Ref. 22 these modes are given by expanding Eqs. (22) and (23) near \( \lambda_\tau \). (The detailed calculation for the modes is given in Appendix B.)

FIG. 6. (Color online) Solutions \( \lambda \) for Eqs. (22) and (23) in the complex plane for \((n, m) = (6, 4) \), \((d, 2 \), type-1), \( \mu = 1 \), \( p = 2 \), \( q = 1 \). Modes near \( \lambda_\tau = e^{i\pi/3} \) are labeled by \( \tau = \pm 1 \), where \( k_1 = 1.133\pi \). Dashed circle \( C_1 \) shows the unit circle centered at the origin. Blue and red filled marks are the solutions for \( A \) and \( B \) modes, respectively, and black filled circles on \( C_1 \) are the solutions for the traveling modes, at \(|\varepsilon/\gamma| = 0.4 \). Open circles (squares) show the solutions for \( A \) \((B) \) modes at \( \varepsilon = 0 \). Insets show the enlargements near \( \lambda_\tau = \pm 1 \), and the dots between the open and filled marks show the solutions for the energies in \( 0 \leq |\varepsilon/\gamma| \leq 0.4 \) with energy interval of \( 0.4|\gamma|/100 \). Transition from evanescent modes to traveling modes occurs at \(|\varepsilon/\gamma| = \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2 = 0.21 \). Arrows and numbers show the changing of the modes when \( |\varepsilon| \) increases in \((1) \) \( 0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2 \), and \((2) \) \(|\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2 \).

At \( \varepsilon = 0 \), the B (A) modes with

\[
\lambda_{\tau,0} = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi k_1 - 2n a_z}{3d\tau}\right)
\]

are the modes with the longest decay length for the type-1 (type-2) s-SWNTs. When the energy increases (decreases) to \( \varepsilon = b\varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2 \), which corresponds to the energy bottom (top) of the conduction (valence) band for \( b = 1 \).
(b = −1), the mode of the longest decay length together with the conjugated mode $[(λ, η) ⇔ (1/λ', 1/η')]$ are continuously changing to the doubly degenerate modes with $λ = λ_r$ at each $τ$ valley, where $ε_{gap} = 2|γ/a|/3d_t$. When the energy increases or decreases further to

$$ε = b|γ|\sqrt{3a} 2a_z k^2 + \left(2a_z \sqrt{3d_t} \right)^2,$$

(27)

the doubly degenerate modes split into the leftgoing ($r = −1$) and rightgoing ($r = 1$) traveling modes with

$$λ_{τrk} = \exp[i(τk_1 + rk)]$$

(28)

at each $τ$ valley, where $k > 0$. The above changing of the modes is seen in Fig. 6 in the $λ$ complex plane for $(n, m) = (6, 4)$, $d = 2$ and $μ = 1$ [see the modes labeled by $τ = 1 (τ = −1)$, which correspond to the modes of $K (K')$ valley]. During the energy changing, the other modes remain almost unchanged, as shown that the filled and open marks are overlapped in Fig. 6. Since the two evanescent modes of $B [A]$ sublattice at the zero energy change to the traveling modes above and below the energy gap, the integers $N_τ$ is now given by Eq. (24) with $(n_A, n_B) = (0, −1) [(−1, 0)]$ for the type-1 [type-2] s-SWNTs. The integers $n_τ$ for the energy inside and outside the energy gap are summarized in Table III.

### Table III. Integers $n_τ$ in Eq. (24) for the energy inside and outside the energy gap, for $d = 1 (μ = 0)$ and $μ = 1$ of $d = 2$ cases.

| Type-1 s-SWNTs | Type-2 s-SWNTs |
|----------------|----------------|
| $|ε| < ε_{gap}$ | $|ε| > ε_{gap}$ |
| $n_A$ | 0 | 0 |
| $n_B$ | 1 | 0 |

The wavefunction near the left end is written as the linear combination of the relevant modes $(|λ| ≤ 1)$. Above and below the energy gap, the wavefunction is written as

$$φ^b_{σk}(ℓ) = \sum_τ g^b_{στrk}(ℓ) e^{iτk_1ℓ} + \sum_{m=1}^{N_σ} c_{σm,σ} (λ^<_{σm,σ})^ℓ,$$

(29)

where $λ^<_{σm,σ}$ denotes the evanescent modes of $σ$ sublattice at the left end which satisfy $|λ^<_{σm,σ}| < 1$, and

$$g^b_{στrk}(ℓ) = \sum_r b_{στrk} e^{iτrkℓ}$$

(30)

is the envelope function for the fast oscillating mode, $e^{iτk_1ℓ}$. Here we have the relation

$$c^b_{Bτrk} = e^{iφ^b_{Aτrk}} c^b_{Aτrk},$$

(31)

where $φ^b_{Aτrk}$ is the phase difference between A and B sublattices for the traveling mode. The explicit expression of $φ^b_{Aτrk}$ is given in Eq. (B2) in Appendix B2.

Under the boundary conditions, constriction on the coefficients of the traveling modes is determined. As shown in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), the following conditions hold for the minimal boundary,

$$φ^b_{Aτk}(ℓ) = 0 \text{ at } ℓ = −n/d + 1, \ldots, 0,$$

(32)

$$φ^b_{Bτk}(ℓ) = 0 \text{ at } ℓ = −m/d + 1, \ldots, 0.$$  

(33)

For the case of $n > m ≥ 0$, except for $m = n = 2$, the number of the boundary conditions for $A$ sublattice, $n/d$, is larger or equal to that of the relevant modes, the two fast oscillating modes and $N_A$ evanescent modes, $N_A + 2$. For $(n, m) = (7, 3)$ as an example, the number of relevant modes for $A$ sublattice is $N_A = 2 + 6$ and that of boundary conditions for $A$ sublattice is $n/d = 7$ in $|ε| > ε_{gap}/2$. For this case, the boundary conditions Eq. (32) act as the “fixed boundary condition” for the envelope function of $A$ sublattice, which force the envelope function zero near the left end, $g^b_{Aτrk}(ℓ → 0) = 0$. To satisfy this condition, the wavefunctions are formed from the leftgoing and rightgoing traveling modes within the same valley with the same amplitude, in order to have the following functional form,

$$g^b_{Aτrk}(ℓ) ∝ \sin(ktℓ).$$

(34)

Since the boundary conditions are satisfied by constructing the eigenfunctions in each valley separately, two valleys are decoupled and the valley degeneracy occurs. When the spin–orbit interaction turns on, Eq. (34) is satisfied for one of the two valleys while the component of another valley is vanished, as seen in Fig. 5 (c).

For the case of $m = n−2$, the number of boundary conditions is one less than that of the relevant modes for both $A$ and $B$ sublattice, $n/d = N_A + 1$ and $m/d = N_B + 1$. For the case of $μ = 1$ of $(n, m) = (6, 4)$, as an example, the numbers of boundary conditions for the $A$ and $B$ sublattices are $n/d = 3$ and $m/d = 2$, respectively, and that of relevant modes in $A$ and $B$ sublattices are $N_A + 2 = 4$ and $N_B + 2 = 3$, respectively, in $|ε| > ε_{gap}/2$. Therefore, the wavefunctions for $A$ and $B$ sublattices can be determined with an arbitrarity of the amplitude. In addition, by employing the similar analysis of our previous study for the m-SWNTs which is given in Appendix B3, it is shown that the coefficients satisfy $|c^b_{σ,τ=1,r,k}| = |c^b_{σ,τ=−1,−r,k}|$ and $c^b_{σ,τ=1,−r,k} = c^b_{σ,τ=−1,−r,k} = 0$, or equivalently, the first term in Eq. (29) is written as

$$φ^b_{σk}(ℓ) ∝ e^{i(ℓk_1+rk)ℓ} + e^{−i(ℓk_1+rk)ℓ} e^{−iφ}$$

(35)

for either $r = 1$ or $r = −1$ in the linear dispersion region in which the relation $|φ^b_{τrk} − φ^b_{−τrk}| = π$ holds, where $φ$ is the phase difference between the two traveling modes, $φ = arg e^{iφ_{σ,τ=1,r,k} − arg e^{iφ_{σ,τ=−1,−r,k}}$. Therefore, we have the strong coupling of two valleys, as in the case of the armchair nanotubes or the chiral metal-2 SWNTs with so-called the orthogonal boundary. Near the top of the valence band and bottom of the conduction band, on the
other hand, two valleys are decoupled since the eigenstates are constructed from the leftgoing and rightgoing states in the same valley to satisfy the boundary conditions, as also discussed in detail in Appendix B.3. It should be noted that the strong valley coupling could occur for the other chiralities than \( m = n - 2 \) for the s-SWNTs with other boundaries, as in the case of the metal-2 nanotubes.22

For the case of \( m > n \geq 0 \), the case of zigzag-left handedness, the similar discussion with above is employed: we have the fixed boundary condition for the envelop function of B sublattice at the left end, except for the case of \( m = n + 2 \) in which the strong valley coupling occurs.

**C. Edge state**

The number of edge states appeared at \( \varepsilon = 0 \) is evaluated by the difference between the number of independent evanescent modes and the number of boundary conditions, as in the case of previous discussions for graphene with certain boundaries38 and for the m-SWNTs.22 For the case of \( n > m \geq 0 \), the number of edge states which are localized at A and B sublattices at the left end are given by,

\[
N_{\text{edge}}^{(\text{L},A)} = 0, \quad N_{\text{edge}}^{(\text{L},B)} = N_B - \frac{m}{d}, \tag{36}
\]

respectively, for each \( \mu \) and each spin state. Since the number of boundary conditions is larger or equal to that of the relevant modes for A sublattice, the number of edge states for A sublattice is zero. As shown in Appendix B.3 these edge states appear only at \( \varepsilon = 0 \). The numbers of edge states are estimated using Eq. (24) with Table I. For instance, \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(\text{L},B)} = 1 \) in \((n, m) = (7, 3)\) nanotube. For \((6, 4)\) nanotube, \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(\text{L},B)} = 0 \) for \( \mu = 0 \) and \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(\text{L},B)} = 1 \) for \( \mu = 1 \). Since the numbers estimated by Eq. (22) give the edge states for each spin and each end, therefore total number of edge states is 4 for both \((7, 3)\) and \((6, 4)\) nanotubes, which is consistent with the numerical calculation in Figs. 3 and 4.

As an alternative way to evaluate the number of edge states, we can employ the theory of topological categorization for the bulk systems.44,45 The winding number is frequently discussed in topological insulating materials. For the SWNTs, the winding number for the angular momentum \( \mu \), \( w_\mu \), is given by

\[
w_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \frac{\partial \arg f_\mu (k)}{\partial k}, \tag{37}
\]

where \( f_\mu (k) \) is the off-diagonal term of the Hamiltonian matrix of \( H_\mu \).

\[
f_\mu (k) = \langle \Delta k | H_\mu | Bk \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j e^{-i\Delta \nu_j' \mu} e^{i\Delta k}, \tag{38}
\]

\( |\sigma k \mu \rangle \) is the Bloch state of \( \sigma \) sublattice with wavenumber \( k \) and the angular momentum \( \mu \). Since the integral of Eq. (38) gives phase accumulation from \( k = 0 \) to \( k = 2\pi \) for the function with \( 2\pi \) periodicity, the winding number of Eq. (37) is an integer. Further, under continuous changing of the system parameters such as the hopping integrals \( \gamma_j \), the winding number is invariant, staying at an integer value, as long as it is well-defined value. In fact, the winding number is well-defined value for a system with a finite energy gap, in which \( f_\mu (k) \) is finite value for any \( k \) and then \( \arg f_\mu (k) \) is well-defined value for any \( 0 \leq k < 2\pi \). Note that energy gap closes at \( k \), at which \( f_\mu (k) = 0 \) and thus \( \arg f_\mu (k) \) cannot be defined. When a metallic phase appears during the changing of system parameters, we may have a topological phase transition, which could be induced by applying the magnetic field for m-SWNTs with narrow energy gap.22,46

The winding number has been widely used as the number of edge states for the topological systems, as well as other topological invariants, in the context of categorization of materials by their topological properties.47–49 For the integer quantum Hall systems, it is well-known that the filling number of the Landau levels as the bulk quantity, which is known to be a topological invariant called Chern number50,51 and the number of edge channels have one-to-one correspondence.52–55 For 1D systems, on the other hand, only a few examples56–58 are known to show exact one-to-one correspondence of the winding number and the number of edge states, so-called bulk-edge correspondence, which would be important for better understanding of the topological materials. Here we will show the bulk-edge correspondence: the winding number is the number of edge states for the s-SWNTs by using the results from the analysis in Sec. IV B with a theorem in the complex analysis.

First, we show the numerically evaluated \( w_\mu \) values for s-SWNTs of \((n, m) = (7, 3)\) and \((6, 4)\). Figure 7 shows the phases of \( f_\mu (k) \) in for (a) \((n, m) = (7, 3)\) and (b) \((6, 4)\), which is plotted on torus in which circumferential direction correspond to argument of \( f_\mu (k) \) as a function of \( k \) in the direction around the torus, to show the “winding” property clearly, in \( 0 \leq k < 2\pi \) and \( 0 \leq \arg f_\mu < 2\pi \). For both cases, the total winding number, how many times the curves winds around the circumference direction, is one. Therefore, the total edge states including both ends and two spin states is estimated to be four, which is consistent with the numerical calculation showing the edge states at \( l = -1, 0, 1, 2 \).

Let us show that the number of edge states estimated from the mode analysis shown in Eq. (22) and the winding number in Eq. (37) is identical for the s-SWNTs. This “bulk-edge correspondence” is proven by using the following theorem known as Cauchy’s argument principle in the complex analysis,

\[
\oint_C d\lambda \frac{\partial \log F(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = 2\pi (n_r - n_p), \tag{39}
\]

where \( n_r \) and \( n_p \) are the number of complex roots and
The right hand side of Eq. (41) is exactly the left hand side of Eq. (42), which gives the same result with Eq. (36), by the argument principle of Eq. (39) to Eq. (40), we have
\[ w_\mu = N_B - \frac{m}{d}, \tag{42} \]
which gives the same result with \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,B)} \) in Eq. (39), showing the bulk-edge correspondence,
\[ w_\mu = N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,B)}. \tag{43} \]
Equation (43) shows that the winding number is the number of edge states for B sublattice at left end, which gives more precise relation than other cases which show the relation of winding number and the difference of number of edge states between two sublattices. It would be worthwhile to mention the case of zigzag-left handedness, \( m > n \geq 0 \). For this case, the number of edge states are given by,
\[ N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,A)} = N_A - \frac{n}{d}, \quad N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,B)} = 0, \tag{44} \]
since the number of boundary conditions is larger or equal to that of the relevant modes for B sublattice. Using the relation, \( N_B - m/d = -(N_A - n/d) \), which holds at \( \varepsilon = 0 \) since \( n_A = 1 - n_B \) (see Table I), regardless of left and right handedness, we get the following bulk-edge correspondence for zigzag-left handedness,
\[ w_\mu = -N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,A)}. \tag{45} \]
We now get the bulk-edge correspondence for both zigzag-right and left handedness cases. When sign of the winding number is positive (negative), the number of edge states for B (A) sublattice is given by the absolute value of the winding number, while that of A (B) sublattice is zero. The bulk-edge correspondence and the number of edge states for A and B sublattices are summarized in Table IV:

| Zigzag-right handedness | Zigzag-left handedness |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| \( w_\mu \)             | \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,B)} \) | \( -N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,A)} \) |
| \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,A)} \) | 0                        | \( N_A - \frac{n}{d} \) |
| \( N_{\text{edge}}^{(L,B)} \) | \( N_B - \frac{m}{d} \) | 0 |

In general, the number of edge states depends on the boundary shape. For the case that the termination of A sublattice is at \( \ell = \Delta \ell \) and that at B sublattice is at \( \ell = 1 \), for instance, the winding number which gives the number of edge states should be calculated for the different bulk Hamiltonian as follows (the transformation of the Hamiltonian from \( H_\mu \) to \( H'_\mu \) is depicted in Fig. 8);
\[ H'_\mu = \sum_\ell \sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} \Delta \nu j} \mu c_\mu^\dagger A(\mu, \ell)^\dagger \ell B(\mu, \ell + \Delta \ell_j + \Delta \ell) + \text{H.c.} \tag{46} \]
The winding number for Eq. (46) is then calculated as,
\[ w'_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \arg f_\mu'(k) = w_\mu + \Delta \ell, \tag{47} \]
where
\[ f_\mu'(k) = \langle Ak| H'_\mu |Bk\mu \rangle = e^{ik\Delta \ell} f_\mu(k). \tag{48} \]
Note that both Eqs. (19) and (46) gives the same energy band and the same number of evanescent modes for the same chirality \((n, m)\), since their difference appears only on the phase of off-diagonal term of the Hamiltonian matrix. The number of boundary conditions for the A sublattice increases by \( \Delta \ell \) while that for the B sublattice decreases by \( \Delta \ell \). Therefore, it can be checked that the number of edge states is given by Eq. (48). Equation (47) explains the numerically observed difference of the numbers of edge states between different boundaries shown in Figs. 2 and 3 in our previous study. Note that Eq. (47) itself can be applied to evaluate the number of edge states for m-SWNTs with appropriate values of \( \gamma_j \) to reproduce the narrow gap induced by the curvature and the spin–orbit interaction. It is shown in the Appendix that \( w_\mu \) can be non-zero values, except for the armchair nanotubes, by employing an analysis with viewpoint of the symmetry of SWNTs.
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APPENDIX B: DISCRETE ENERGY LEVELS FOR FINITE-LENGTH VALLEY DECOUPLED S-SWNTS

In Fig. 9, we show numerical calculation of the discrete energy levels for $(8, 4)$ nanotube, which has $d = 4$, as an example for the case of $d \geq 4$. The calculation is done by the same method with Figs. 4 and 3, the extended tight-binding method, for the finite-length of 50.07 nm, with a minimal boundary for both ends which keep the bulk $C_{d=4}$ rotational symmetry. The calculated energy levels show fourfold degeneracy. This clearly shows the decoupling of two valley. In the actual situation, the spin–orbit interaction lifts the fourfold degeneracy.

APPENDIX B: ANALYSIS OF EFFECTIVE 1D LATTICE MODEL

Here we give the detailed calculation for Secs. IVB and IVC.
1. Number of evanescent modes

First, we give the detailed derivation of Eq. (24). In the low energy limit $|\varepsilon/\gamma| \ll 1$ and by using the same hopping integrals $\gamma = \gamma_j$, we get the following equations for the A and B modes, respectively, from Eqs. (22) and (23).

\[ e^{-i\frac{2\pi p q}{d} \lambda} = (-1 - \lambda')^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}, \quad (B1) \]
\[ e^{i\frac{2\pi p q}{d} \lambda} = (-1 - \lambda')^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}. \quad (B2) \]

where

\[ \lambda' = e^{-i\frac{2\pi (p+q) n}{d} \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}} \quad (B3) \]

is introduced since this conversion aligns the evanescent modes of $|\lambda| < 1$, which also satisfy $|\lambda'| < 1$, on a curve between $\lambda'_+ = e^{i2\pi/3}$ and $\lambda'_- = e^{i4\pi/3}$, as shown in Fig. 10. Hereafter we explicitly show the analysis for A mode. Eq. (B1) can be separated into two equations for absolute and phase values as follows:

\[ |\lambda'|^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}} = |1 + \lambda'|^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}, \quad (B4) \]
\[ \left(\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}\right) \arg(-1 - \lambda') - \frac{n}{d} \arg\lambda' + \frac{2\pi}{d} \mu = 2\pi t', \quad (B5) \]

where $t'$ is an arbitrary integer. Since Eqs. (B1) and (B3) have the same forms with the equations discussed for the metallic condition, the similar discussion can be employed for counting the evanescent modes, as shown below.

The condition (B1) gives a closed curve passing $\lambda'_+$ and $\lambda'_-$ in the complex plane, as shown by the blue curve in Fig. 10. Then, $n/d + m/d$ positions satisfying Eq. (B5) on the curve give the solutions of $\lambda'$ of Eq. (B1), as shown by the open marks on the blue curve in Fig. 10. The number of evanescent modes at the left end, which satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$, is given by counting the number of modes on the curve in between $\lambda'_+$ and $\lambda'_-$. The left hand side of Eq. (B5) monotonically decreases when $\lambda$ moves from $\lambda'_+$ and $\lambda'_-$ on the curve, since $\arg(-1 - \lambda')$ decreases when $\arg(\lambda')$ increases. Note that $\arg(\lambda'_+)$ and $\arg(-1 - \lambda')$ are defined in $0 \leq \arg(\lambda'_+)$, $\arg(-1 - \lambda') < 2\pi$ for this case. The values of $t'$ satisfying Eq. (B5) at $\lambda'_+$ and $\lambda'_-$ are given by

\[ t'_+ = \frac{n + 2m}{3d} + \frac{\mu}{d}, \quad t'_- = -\frac{n - m}{3d} + \frac{\mu}{d}, \quad (B6) \]

respectively. Note that $t'_j$ are fractional numbers, unlike the metallic case. By counting the integers $t'$ in $l'_+ < t'_+ < l'_+$, we get $N_A$. By employing the similar discussion with above, we also get $N_B$. The results are summarized in Eq. (24) and in Table II.

2. Evanescent modes and traveling modes

Here we will give the evanescent modes with the longest decay length at $\varepsilon = 0$, Eq. (28), and their changing to traveling modes in Eq. (26) when energy increases or decreases to $|\varepsilon| > \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2$. We restrict $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ cases, in which $(\lambda^*, \eta^*)$ is also a set of solution for a solution $(\lambda, \eta)$ since $\gamma_j, \varepsilon$ and $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}}} (j = 1, 2, 3)$ are real numbers.

First, we mention the relation between $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$, which is defined by Eq. (B3), for the mode of $\lambda = \lambda_t = e^{i\varepsilon k_1 a}$. After some algebraic calculation, we get the corresponding phase of $\lambda = \lambda_t$ in $\lambda'$ as follows:

\[ \arg\lambda'|_{\lambda=\lambda_t} = \tau k_1 \left(\frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d}\right) - \frac{2\pi}{d} \left(\frac{p + q}{3}\right) \mu \]
\[ = \tau \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \delta \theta\right) + 2\pi \alpha, \quad (B7) \]

for both $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ cases, where $\alpha$ is an integer, and

\[ \delta \theta = -t_y \frac{2\pi}{d} \frac{n - m}{3 (n^2 + m^2 + nm)}, \quad (B8) \]

where

\[ t_y = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for type-1}, \\ -1 & \text{for type-2}, \end{cases} \quad (B9) \]

is introduced for type-1 $(\mod2n + m, 3) = 1$ and type-2 $(\mod2n + m, 3) = 2$ s-SWNTs. Since Eq. (B8) is the small correction, the solution near $\lambda'_+ (\lambda'_-)$ corresponds that at $K (K')$ valley.

The evanescent modes with the longest decay length at $\varepsilon = 0$ have $\lambda'$ closest to $\lambda'_+$, and they are expressed by

\[ \lambda' = (1 - \delta \varepsilon) \exp \left[ i \tau \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \delta \phi\right)\right]. \quad (B10) \]
with small values of $|\delta r| \ll 1$ and $|\delta \varphi| \ll 1$. For small $\delta r$ and $\delta \varphi$, we have the relation, $-1 - \lambda' = (1 - \delta r') e^{-i(2\pi/3 - \delta \varphi')}$, where $\delta r' = \delta r/2 + \sqrt{3} \delta \varphi/2$ and $\delta \varphi' = -\sqrt{3} \delta r/2 + \delta \varphi/2$. Eq. (B4) gives the following relation,

$$\delta r = \sqrt{3} (n + m) \delta \varphi,$$

and Eq. (B5) gives the following relation,

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (n + m) \delta r + \frac{1}{2} (n - m) \delta \varphi = 2\pi \tau (l'_\tau - l'_{0,\tau}) d,$$

where $l'_{0,\tau}$ is the integer closest to $l'$. By doing the similar analysis with that after Eq. (B6) in Appendix B1, we get

$$\tau (l'_\tau - l'_{0,\tau}) d = -i_y \frac{1}{3}.$$  (B12)

From Eqs. (B11) and (B12), we get

$$\delta r = -t_y \frac{2a_z}{3d_t} \left( \frac{n}{d} + \frac{m}{d} \right), \quad \delta \varphi = -t_y \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{n - m}{(n^2 + m^2 + nm)}.$$  (B14)

In fact, $\delta \varphi = \delta \theta$. The similar calculation for the B mode gives the results which has opposite sign for $\delta r$, $-t_y \to t_y$ in Eq. (B14), while the same equation holds for $\delta \varphi$. The conversion from $\lambda'$ to $\lambda$ for Eq. (B10) is performed by using Eqs. (B3) and (B7), then we get

$$\lambda_{\tau,0}^{r} = \exp \left( i\tau k_1 + t_y \sigma_{2a_z/3d_t} \right).$$  (B15)

where $\sigma = 1$ ($\sigma = -1$) for A (B) mode. For the evanescent modes at the left end, which satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$, we get Eq. (22). Substituting $\lambda_{\tau,0}^{r}$ of Eq. (B15) for Eq. (22) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and expanding in the first order of $a_z/d_t$, we get the following relation,

$$1 + e^{i(\frac{2\pi}{3} p_y + \tau (k_1 + \theta))} + e^{-i(\frac{2\pi}{3} p_y + \tau (k_1 + \theta))}$$

$$= t_y e^{-i[\frac{2\pi}{3} p_y + \tau (k_1 + \theta)]} \frac{a_z}{\sqrt{3d_t}},$$  (B16)

which will be used in the following analysis, where $\theta = \arccos \frac{2a_z + m + nm}{\sqrt{2a_z + m + nm}}$ is the chiral angle.

The evanescent modes in the energy gap are expressed by

$$\lambda_{\tau k} = \exp (i\tau k_1 - \kappa),$$  (B17)

where $|\kappa| \leq 2a_z/3d_t$. Substituting Eq. (B17) for Eqs. (22) and (23), expanding in the first order of $\kappa$, and using Eq. (B16), we get the energy

$$\varepsilon_k^{b} = b |\gamma| \frac{\sqrt{a_z}}{2a_z} \sqrt{\left( \frac{2a_z}{3d_t} \right)^2 - \kappa^2},$$  (B18)

and $\eta$,

$$\eta_{\tau k}^{b} = \frac{b}{1} \exp \left\{ \frac{-i}{d} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{d} p_y + \tau \left( k_1 \frac{n}{d} + \theta \right) \right] \right\} \frac{\text{sgn} (\gamma)}{t_y} \sqrt{\frac{2a_z}{3d_t} + t_y \kappa} \sqrt{\frac{2a_z}{3d_t} - t_y \kappa}.$$  (B19)

For a state in $|\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2$, the $\lambda$ solution of Eqs. (22) and (23) has the following form,

$$\lambda_{\tau k} = \exp \left[ i(\tau k_1 + rk) \right].$$  (B20)

By the similar calculation with that for Eq. (B18), we get the energy

$$\varepsilon_k^{b} = b |\gamma| \frac{\sqrt{a_z}}{2a_z} \sqrt{k^2 + \left( \frac{2a_z}{3d_t} \right)^2},$$  (B21)

and $\eta$,

$$\eta_{\tau k}^{b} = \exp \left( i\Phi_{\tau k}^{b} \right),$$  (B22)

where

$$\Phi_{\tau k}^{b} = \frac{2\pi}{d} p_y - \tau \left( k_1 \frac{n}{d} + \theta \right) + \arg \left[ b \gamma \left( t_y \frac{2a_z}{3d_t} - ik \right) \right].$$  (B23)

3. Strong coupling of two valleys

The wavefunctions of A and B sublattices above and below the energy gap near the left end are written as

$$\phi_A^{\text{h}} (\ell) = \sum_{\tau} g_{\lambda k}^{\text{h}} \lambda_{\tau k} = \sum_{\tau} c_{A\lambda m} \left( \lambda_{\text{A} m}^{<} \right)^{\text{h}} \ell,$$  (B24)

$$\phi_B^{\text{h}} (\ell) = \sum_{\tau} g_{B\lambda k}^{\text{h}} \lambda_{\tau k} = \sum_{\tau} c_{Bm\lambda} \left( \lambda_{\text{B} m}^{<} \right)^{\text{h}} \ell.$$  (B25)

The envelope functions $g_{\lambda k}^{\text{h}}$ are regarded as constant values near the left end. The boundary conditions of Eqs. (B22) and (B23) for the wavefunctions of Eqs. (B24) and (B25) are expressed by the following matrix form,

$$D_o c_o = 0,$$  (B26)

where

$$D_o = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} A_+ & A_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_+ & B_- \\ 0 & 0 & D_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_B & 0 \end{array} \right),$$  (B27)
with the submatrices,

\[
A_\tau = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
(\lambda_\tau)^{-1} & \cdots & (\lambda_\tau)^{-1} \\
(\lambda_\tau)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} & \cdots & (\lambda_\tau)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad B_\tau = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
(\lambda_\tau)^{-1} & \cdots & (\lambda_\tau)^{-1} \\
(\lambda_\tau)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} & \cdots & (\lambda_\tau)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

in general, we have the following relation between two complex numbers,

\[
g^b_{\sigma \tau r k} = r \tau g_{\sigma \tau k} e^{i \Phi_\tau},
\]

where \( r_\tau \geq 0, 0 \leq \Phi_\tau < 2\pi \). Using Eq. (B32), Eq. (B26) is rewritten as

\[
Dc = 0,
\]

where

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
A_+ & A_- & D_A & 0 \\
r_+ e^{i \Phi_\tau} B_+ & r_- e^{i \Phi_\tau} B_- & 0 & D_B
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
c^T = (g^b_{A,+}, g^b_{A,-}, \cdots, g^b_{A}, \cdots, g^b_{B}, \cdots, g^b_{B}).
\]

The matrix \( D \) is the \((n/d + m/d) \times (n/d + m/d)\) square matrix.

Hereafter let us focus on the case of \( m = n - 2 \), in which \( N_A = n/d - 1 \) and \( N_B = m/d - 1 \). Since the number of boundary conditions is one less than that of the relevant modes for both A and B sublattice, both A and B sublattices have non-trivial solutions, \( g^b_{\sigma r k} \neq 0 \) for both \( \sigma = A \) and B. For this case, \( r_+ \) and \( r_- \) are finite positive values. To satisfy Eq. (B33) for non-trivial coefficients, \( c \neq 0 \), the determinant of the matrix \( D \) should be zero, \(|D| = 0\). We will show the condition for \(|D| = 0\).

Before the evaluation of \(|D|\), we will show some relations, which will be used later. By using the roots of the left hand side of Eq. (22), it is rewritten as,

\[
1 + e^{i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \lambda^{-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{-i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}}
\]

\[
eq e^{-i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \left( \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} + e^{i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \lambda^{-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{i\frac{2\pi m}{d} (p+q) \mu} \right)
\]

\[
eq e^{-i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \prod_{m_{1}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda - \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{m_{2}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda - \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \prod_{r=\pm} \left( \lambda - \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \right). \quad (B36)
\]

The value \( \prod_{m_{1}=1}^{n-1} \left( -\lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \) in the right hand side of Eq. (B36) is a real number. This is because there is another root, \( \lambda^{*} \), for a complex root \( \lambda^{*} \), for \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \) since \( e^{i\frac{2\pi m}{d} \mu} \) and \( e^{i\frac{2\pi m}{d} (p+q) \mu} \), which appear in the left hand side of Eq. (B36), are real numbers. For the traveling mode \( (\lambda, \eta) = (\lambda_{\tau r k}, \eta_{\tau r k}) \), a part of right hand side of Eq. (B36) is calculated as,

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda_{\tau r k} - \lambda_{\eta r k}} = -\left( i \frac{2a_{z}}{3d} - ir \right) 2r \sin k_{1}.
\]

Therefore, we have the following relation,

\[
\prod_{m_{1}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda_{\tau r k} - \lambda_{\eta r k} \right) \prod_{m_{2}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda_{\tau r k} - \lambda_{\eta r k}^{*} \right) = Re \left( \frac{1}{\Delta \mu_{\tau} \mu_{r}^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \Phi_{\tau r k}^{*} \right)} \prod_{m_{1}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda_{\tau r k} - \lambda_{\eta r k}^{*} \right) \prod_{m_{2}=1}^{n-1} \left( \lambda_{\tau r k} - \lambda_{\eta r k}^{*} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\quad = Re \left( \frac{1}{\Delta \mu_{\tau} \mu_{r}^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \Phi_{\tau r k}^{*} \right)}} \right).
\]

and

\[
\lambda_{B m'}^{*} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{A m'}^{*}}, \quad (B39)
\]

for \( m' = 1, \cdots, N_{B} \), because there is one-to-one correspondence between the evanescent mode of B sublattice at the left end and that of A sublattice at the right end, since \((1/\lambda^*,1/\eta^*)\) is the conjugated mode of \((\lambda,\eta)\) for Eqs. (22) and (23). and, there is another root, \( \lambda_{A m'}^{*} \), for a complex root \( \lambda_{A m'}^{*} \), for \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \), as mentioned before.

The determinant of \( D \) is expanded as,

\[
|D| = r_+ e^{i \Phi_\tau} |A_- D_A| |B_+ D_B| - r_- e^{-i \Phi_\tau} |A_+ D_A| |B_- D_B|.
\]
By using Eq. (B39), and the relation on the Vandermonde matrix, the determinant is calculated to be,

\[
|D| = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1} \prod_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 \leq \frac{p}{2}-1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{Am_1}^\sigma} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{Am_2}^\sigma} \right) \prod_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 \leq \frac{p}{2}-1} \left( \lambda_{Am_1}^\sigma - \lambda_{Am_2}^\sigma \right)
\]

Using Eq. (B38), we have

\[
|D| \propto e^{i\phi_+ + \phi_\tau = 1, r, k = 0} + r_+ e^{i\phi_+ + \phi_\tau = 1, r, k = 0} \cdot \prod_{m_1 = 1}^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{Am_1}^\sigma} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{Am_2}^\sigma} \right) \prod_{m_2 = 1}^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \left( \lambda_{Am_1}^\sigma - \lambda_{Am_2}^\sigma \right)
\]

Therefore, the condition of \(|D| = 0\) is expressed by the following relations,

\[
r_+ = r_- \tag{B43}
\]

and

\[
\Phi_+ - \Phi_- = \Phi_0 = 1, r, k = 0 - \Phi_0 = -1, r, k = 0 + \pi + 2\pi \alpha \tag{B44}
\]

where \(\alpha\) is an arbitrary integer.

In fact, the envelope functions are constructed from the leftgoing and rightgoing modes as expressed in Eq. (30), that is,

\[
g_{Ark}^b = c_{A\tau rk} + c_{A\tau -rk}, \tag{B45}
g_{Brk}^b = e^{i\phi_{\tau rk}} \left[ c_{A\tau rk} + e^{i(\phi_{\tau rk} - \phi_{\tau -rk})} c_{A\tau -rk} \right]. \tag{B46}
\]

By converting Eqs. (B45) and (B46) into the form of Eq (B32), we can check the explicit form of the envelope functions which satisfy Eqs. (B43) and (B44).

Let us consider the following two cases, (i) near the top of the valence band and bottom of the conduction band, \(k \ll a_z/d_z\), and, (ii) the linear dispersion region, \(k \gg a_z/d_z\). For the case of (i), since \(\phi_{\tau rk}^b \simeq \phi_{\tau -rk}^b\), from Eqs. (B45) and (B46) we have

\[
g_{Brk}^b = e^{i\phi_{\tau rk}} \Phi_{Ark}^b \tag{B47}
\]

By comparing Eqs. (B32) and (B47), we have \(r_\tau = 1\) and \(\Phi_\tau = \phi_{\tau rk}^b = 0\). For this case Eq. (B44) cannot be satisfied, that is, \(|D| \neq 0\). Therefore, \(c = 0\), to satisfy the boundary conditions. This means that the following relation holds,

\[
g_{\sigma rk}^b \propto \sin(k\ell) \tag{B48}
\]

for the envelope functions of both \(\sigma = A\) and \(\sigma = B\). Similar to the case of \(m = n - 2\), the boundary conditions are satisfied by constructing the eigenstate in each valley separately. Therefore, two valleys are decoupled and the valley degeneracy occurs.

For the case of (ii) the linear dispersion region, in which the relation \(|\phi_{\tau rk}^b - \phi_{\tau -rk}^b| = \pi\) holds, Eq. (B46) is rewritten as

\[
g_{Brk}^b = e^{i\phi_{\tau rk}} (c_{A\tau rk} - c_{A\tau -rk}). \tag{B49}
\]

To satisfy Eq. (B44), we have

\[
c_{A\tau rk}^b \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad c_{A\tau -rk}^b = 0, \tag{B50}
\]

for either \(r = 1\) or \(r = -1\), or,

\[
\arg(c_{A\tau rk}^b) = \arg(c_{A\tau -rk}^b) = \pi \alpha, \tag{B51}
\]

where \(\alpha\) is an arbitrary integer.

When we apply the boundary conditions for the right end, the second condition of Eq. (B51) is too strict to determine quantized wavenumber. For \(d = 1\) and \(d = 2\) cases, the following relation on the wavefunctions reflecting the parity symmetry of the effective 1D Hamiltonian, which corresponds to the \(C_\sigma^\ell\) rotational symmetry around the axis perpendicular to the nanotube axis, is utilized for the boundary conditions of the right end \(22\).

\[
\phi_{Ak}^b(\ell) = p_a \phi_{Ak}^b(N_\ell + 1 - \ell) \quad \text{for any } \ell, \tag{B52}
\]

where \(p_a = \pm 1\) are the parity eigenvalues, \(\ell = N_\ell\) is the right end. Equation (B52) is calculated as,

\[
c_{\sigma rk}^b = p_a e^{i\phi_{\sigma -rk}^b} e^{-i(k_\tau + r)N_\ell} c_{\sigma -rk}^b. \tag{B53}
\]

For the case of Eq. (B51), Eq. (B53) is applied for both \(r = 1\) and \(r = -1\). This is over-complete to determine the quantized wavenumber. Therefore, the condition of Eq. (B50), which means the strong valley coupling, is realized for the finite-length systems.

4. Edge states at zero energy

By employing the similar discussion with Appendix B.3, we will show the condition of emerging of edge states.
For long nanotubes, the eigenfunctions within the energy gap, $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_{\text{gap}}/2$, are written as,

$$\phi_A(\ell) = \sum_{m_A=1}^{N_A} c_{A,m_A} (\lambda_{A,m_A}^\varepsilon)^\ell + \sum_{m_B=1}^{N_B} c_{B,m_B} \frac{1}{\eta_{B,m_B}} (\lambda_{B,m_B}^\varepsilon)^\ell,$$

(B54)

$$\phi_B(\ell) = \sum_{m_A=1}^{N_A} c_{A,m_A} \eta_{A,m_A} (\lambda_{A,m_A}^\varepsilon)^\ell + \sum_{m_B=1}^{N_B} c_{B,m_B} (\lambda_{B,m_B}^\varepsilon)^\ell,$$

(B55)

where $|\eta_{A,m_A}| < 1$ and $|1/\eta_{B,m_B}| < 1$, and especially, $\eta_{A,m_A} = 1/\eta_{B,m_B} = 0$ at $\varepsilon = 0$. By employing the boundary conditions of Eqs. (32) and (33) to the eigenfunctions (B54) and (B55), we get the following relation,

$$D_e c_e = 0,$$

(B56)

where

$$D_e = \begin{pmatrix} D_A & E_B \\ E_A & D_B \end{pmatrix},$$

(B57)

and

$$c_e^T = (c_{A1}, \ldots, c_{A,N_A}, c_{B1}, \ldots, c_{B,N_B}),$$

(B58)

where $D_A$ and $D_B$ are given in Eqs. (B29) and (B30), and

$$E_A = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{A1} & \cdots & \eta_{A,N_A} \\ \eta_{A1} (\lambda_{A1}^\varepsilon)^{-1} & \cdots & \eta_{A,N_A} (\lambda_{A,N_A}^\varepsilon)^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_{A1} (\lambda_{A1}^\varepsilon)^{-i+1} & \cdots & \eta_{A,N_A} (\lambda_{A,N_A}^\varepsilon)^{-i+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

(B59)

$$E_B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\eta_{B1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_{B,N_B}} \\ \frac{1}{\eta_{B1}} (\lambda_{B1}^\varepsilon)^{-1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_{B,N_B}} (\lambda_{B,N_B}^\varepsilon)^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_{B1}} (\lambda_{B1}^\varepsilon)^{-i+1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_{B,N_B}} (\lambda_{B,N_B}^\varepsilon)^{-i+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

(B60)

The condition that Eq. (B56) has non-trivial solutions, $c_e \neq 0$, is the determinant of the matrix $D_e$ is zero, $|D_e| = 0$. This condition is satisfied when $\eta_{A,m_A} = 1/\eta_{B,m_B} = 0$, which is the case of $\varepsilon = 0$, since $E_A = 0$ and $E_B = 0$, and both $D_A$ and $D_B$ are not square matrices. At finite energy $\varepsilon \neq 0$, $\eta_{A,m_A}$ and $\eta_{B,m_B}$ have finite values, then the determinant cannot be zero, $|D_e| \neq 0$, except for accidental cases.

**Appendix C: Winding number under symmetry of SWNTs**

It is convenient to consider topological invariants in each subspace of the Hilbert space under a symmetry. The topological property of the subsystem $H_\mu$ is characterized by the winding number $w_\mu$ defined by

$$w_\mu = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \text{Tr} \left\{ \Gamma_\mu(k) \left[ H_\mu(k) + i \frac{\partial H_\mu(k)}{\partial k} \right] \right\},$$

(C1)

where

$$H_\mu(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_\mu(k) \\ f_\mu(k)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(C2)

is the $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian matrix formed by the base of Bloch functions $|\sigma k\mu\rangle$ with $\sigma = A$ and B, and

$$\Gamma_\mu(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(C3)

is known as so-called the chiral operator which multiple $-1$ on $[Bk\mu]$ in the operated state. Since $w_\mu$ can be either positive or negative integers, the number of total edge states at each end and each spin is given by $\sum |w_\mu|$. We put $\mu$ and $k$ on the operator $\Gamma_\mu(k)$, to emphasize that the operation acts in $(k, \mu)$ subspace of the Hilbert space. The above defined winding number is a topological invariant since the bipartite system $H_\mu$, as well as the superconductors within the mean field theory, satisfies so-called sublattice (or chiral) symmetry, $\{\Gamma_\mu(k), H_\mu(k)\} = 0$.

The winding number corresponds to the number of edge states on an end in which A and B sublattices are terminated at the same position. [As examples, see left ends in Figs. 5 (a) and 5 (b)]. In other words, for a case that A and B sublattices are terminated at different positions in the original 1D model, a modified model, in which translation of B sublattice is performed for the original model so as to have an end terminating both sublattices at the same position, should be employed for calculating the winding number. In general, the numbers of edge states for the different terminations are different each other, such as the minimal and orthogonal boundaries discussed in Ref. 22 for the same bulk systems. Substituting Eqs. (C2) and (C3) for Eq. (C1), one gets Eq. (D7) which is convenient to evaluate the winding number numerically. Since $f_\mu(k)$ is a periodic function of $k$ of period $2\pi$, the winding number is an integer. Hereafter we will show the winding number is a nontrivial value, that is, $w_\mu$ can be non-zero, under the symmetry of SWNTs. In the following, we employ a generalized discussion for application to not only the SWNTs but also other materials. The following discussion is restricted for the spinless case.

For the time reversal operation, $T$, which changes $(k, \mu)$ to $(-k, -\mu)$, we have

$$TH_\mu(k)T^{-1} = H_{-\mu}(-k)$$

(C4)

and

$$T\Gamma_\mu(k)T^{-1} = p_\mu \Gamma_{-\mu}(-k).$$

(C5)
where \( p_t = 1 \) for the SWNTs. When the operation also changes the “pseudo-spin” \( \sigma \) to \( -\sigma \), Eq. \( (C8) \) shows anticommutation relation of \( p_t = -1 \), which occurs for so-called class DIII and CI superconductors in which the Nambu pseudo-spin for the BCS particle-hole index changes the sign under the \( T \) operation.\(^{47,48}\) Using Eqs. \( (C4) \) and \( (C8) \) to Eq. \( (C1) \), it is shown that the winding number of \( -\mu \) subspace, \( w_{-\mu} \), has the following relation,

\[
w_{-\mu} = p_\mu w_{\mu}, \tag{C6}
\]

where we used the relation of \( \langle \beta | O | \alpha \rangle = \langle \tilde{\alpha} | T O^\dagger T^{-1} | \tilde{\beta} \rangle \) for the antilinear operator \( T \) and the anticommutation relation of \( \Gamma_\mu(k) \) and \( H_\mu(k) \), where \( O \) is a linear operator and tilde on the states indicates the antilinear operated states, \( | \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = \mathcal{T}|\alpha\rangle \) and \( | \tilde{\beta} \rangle = \mathcal{T}|\beta\rangle \).

In the sense of symmetry of point group, chiral SWNTs contains \( C_2 \) rotational symmetry around the axis perpendicular to the nanotube axis, in addition to \( C_d \) rotational symmetry. The \( C_2 \) operation changes \( (k, \mu) \) to \( (-k, -\mu) \), and the sublattice index \( \sigma \) to \( -\sigma \), where \( -\sigma = B \) (A) for \( \sigma = A \) (B). We have the relations

\[
C_2^t H_\mu(k) C_2^{-1} = H_{-\mu}(-k) \tag{C7}
\]

and

\[
C_2^t \Gamma_\mu(k) C_2^{-1} = p_2 \Gamma_{-\mu}(-k), \tag{C8}
\]

where \( p_2 = -1 \). The anticommutation relation of Eq. \( (C8) \) reflects that \( C_2 \) exchanges the A and B sublattices. Then, we have the following relation for the winding number,

\[
w_{-\mu} = -p_2 w_{\mu}. \tag{C9}
\]

Since Eqs. \( (C6) \) and \( (C9) \) are identical for the present case, which do not give extra restriction for the winding number, it is concluded that \( w_{\mu} \) can be a non-zero value. For a case of \( p_2 = 1 \) reflecting the “pseudo-spin” keeping \( C_2 \) operation, for instance, gives the opposite result that \( w_{\mu} = 0 \), even though this is not the present case.

For the achiral SWNTs, the armchair and the zigzag nanotubes, there exist extra symmetries. Let us consider mirror reflection \( \sigma_v \) with a vertical mirror plane including the nanotube axis, which changes \( \mu \) to \( -\mu \) while the direction of \( k \) is kept unchanged. Strictly speaking, \( \sigma_v \) changes \( (k, \mu) \) to \( (k', -\mu) \) where \( k' = k - 2\Delta \theta \mu \) has the additional constant to \( k \) reflecting that \( Q_1/d \), which separates the two neighbor cutting lines, has \( -\Delta \theta/2\pi \) components in \( Q_2 \) direction in the oblique coordinates for \( (k, \mu) \) (see Sec. II A). Further, \( \sigma_v \) exchanges the sublattice index \( A \leftrightarrow B \) for the armchair nanotubes while it is unchanged for the zigzag nanotubes. We have the relations

\[
\sigma_v H_\mu(k) \sigma_v^{-1} = H_{-\mu}(k') \tag{C10}
\]

and

\[
\sigma_v \Gamma_\mu(k) \sigma_v^{-1} = p_v \Gamma_{-\mu}(k'), \tag{C11}
\]

where \( p_v = -1 \) (1) for the armchair (zigzag) nanotubes. Using Eqs. \( (C10) \) and \( (C11) \) to \( (C1) \), we have the following relation,

\[
w_{-\mu} = p_v w_{\mu}. \tag{C12}
\]

The relation \( (C12) \), combined with Eq. \( (C6) \), gives \( w_{\mu} = 0 \) for the armchair nanotubes while it does not give extra restriction for the zigzag nanotubes. For the other symmetry, mirror symmetry with a plane perpendicular to the nanotube axis, \( \sigma_h \), we have \( \sigma_h \Gamma_\mu(k) \sigma_h^{-1} = p_h \Gamma_{-\mu}(-k') \), where \( p_h = 1 \) (1) for the armchair (zigzag) nanotubes. The similar calculation with above gives

\[
w_{\mu} = -p_h w_{\mu}, \tag{C13}
\]

which accidentally gives the same results from Eqs. \( (C9) \) and \( (C12) \). The inversion symmetry does not give any further extra restrictions from above since the operation is represented by the combination of \( C_2 \) and \( \sigma_v \) operations.

To complete the symmetric property of the winding number, we also add the property from the \( d \)-fold rotational symmetry, which requires \( [C_d, H_\mu(k)] = 0 \). From the relation \( C_d \Gamma_\mu(k) C_d^{-1} = p_d \Gamma_\mu(k) \), where \( p_d = 1 \) for the SWNTs, and Eq. \( (C6) \), we have

\[
w_{\mu} = p_d w_{\mu}. \tag{C14}
\]

This nontrivial, however for the other case of \( p_d = -1 \) where the \( d \)-fold rotation exchanges the A and B sublattices, we have the winding number \( w_{\mu} = 0 \).

The obtained necessary conditions for the nontrivial winding numbers are summarized as,

\[
p_d = -p_2 = p_v = -p_h = 1, \quad (p_t = 1), \tag{C15}
\]

for the SWNTs and other bipartite insulators,

\[
p_d = p_2 = -p_v = -p_h = 1, \quad (p_t = -1), \tag{C16}
\]

for the topological superconductors.\(^{66}\)

As mentioned above, the SWNTs, except for the armchair nanotubes, have nontrivial winding number. Here the indices of the SWNTs are summarized as follows;

\[
p_t = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p_d = -p_2 = 1, \tag{C17}
\]

for the all SWNTs. In addition to Eq. \( (C17) \),

\[
p_v = -p_h = 1, \tag{C18}
\]

for the zigzag nanotubes, and

\[
p_v = -p_h = -1, \tag{C19}
\]

for the armchair nanotubes. As another example of the application of Eq. \( (C15) \), we employ it to so-called the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model for the polyacetylene.\(^{67}\) The system has no rotational symmetry \( (d = 1) \), \( p_2 = -1 \), \( p_v = 1 \) and \( p_t = 1 \) are all the relevant indices for the
system, then the system has nontrivial winding number, which is consistent with the well-known properties of zero-energy edge states for the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model.68,69

The result that there is no edge states for the armchair nanotubes from the above discussion is consistent with the numerical calculation in Ref. 22 which does not show any evanescent modes for the armchair nanotube with the minimal boundary. Note that the small energy gap of the order of sub-milli-electron-volt is induced by the spin–orbit interaction. This property for the armchair nanotubes is contrast to the other topological materials,20–22 in which edge states appears in the energy gap induced by the spin–orbit interaction. We confirmed by the extended tight-binding calculation with large spin–orbit interaction (V_{SO} = 1 eV) for (n, m) = (6, 6), 50 nm length armchair nanotube that there is no edge state in the energy gap of ~ 0.13 eV. The effective 1D model with additional imaginary hopping terms24 to reproduces the spin–orbit effect, also showed winding number being zero for the armchair nanotubes.
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