Reinventing the Wheel: Perpetual Innovation in Sinhalese Potter Assemblages

Deborah Winslow

1School for Advanced Research, 660 Garcia St., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, USA

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:
Received: 3rd February 2021
Accepted: 16th August 2021

Key words:
potter's wheel
technological change
longitudinal ethnography
dynamic systems
spatio-temporal scale
20th & 21st centuries
Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT
This paper describes a linked series of potter’s wheel reinventions and abandonments from the mid-20th century through 2013. The wheel is analyzed as one element in a complex and dynamic assemblage of people, resources, technologies, meanings, places, and time. Primary data come from ethnographic observations and interviews in a Sinhalese Sri Lankan potter community followed since 1974. As they shifted from one potter’s wheel to another, these potters have altered social and physical supporting technologies for procuring and preparing clay, acquiring fuel, organizing labour, and marketing pottery. Some, having reached the limits of a wheel’s capabilities and their own bodies, have abandoned the wheel in favour of moulds and mechanical presses, setting off more cascades of change. Their experiences help to clarify the adaptive capacities and limitations of both potter’s wheels and their users. As this story unfolds in often unanticipated ways, it reveals the importance of attending to spatiotemporal scale. Locally, the wheel highlights the relatively fast-changing affordances and constraints with which individual potters, households, and communities engage. But the wheel also brings into focus the slower moving consequences of regional heterogeneities and paths laid down by national colonial and post-colonial policies decades ago.

1. Introduction
The earliest appearances of potter’s wheels in the archaeological record have been documented comprehensively (eg. Berg, 2020; Evely, 1988; Rice, 1987, p.7, pp.128–136). The wheel’s advent is associated with early urbanism, artisanal specialization, and socioeconomic differentiation. Generally, it is seen as a technological step forward, even when employed for pottery shaping rather than throwing, complementing rather than replacing hand-building methods (Crewe and Knappett, 2012, p.178, p.181; Knappett and van der Leeuw, 2014, pp.76–77). The wheel’s rotative kinetic energy allowed potters to produce lighter, more symmetrical, and more standardized wares. Throwing also improved efficiency and facilitated mass production (Roux, 2003, pp.2–3; 2010, pp.221–222). Therefore, when it sometimes has been observed that the wheel’s adoption was followed by its decline or even disappearance, this has posed a puzzle for analysts.

Archaeologists have proposed diverse solutions to this puzzle, including: ancient political crises that produced ethnic differentiation with parallel technological heterogeneity (Franken and London, 1995, pp.219–221); depletion of deposits of clay sufficiently plastic and free of intrusions for wheel throwing (Magrill and Middleton, 2001, p.137); or the failure of wheel technology to be adopted by a sufficiently large network of potters to achieve redundancy and ensure cultural transmission (Roux, 2010, p.228; also, Knappett and van der Leeuw, 2014, pp.82–83). Each solution answers to a specific historical context; all accept that wheel-use decline is a setback in need of explanation. Here, in contrast, I present an instance in which wheel abandonment produced economic, social, and technological advancement, increasing incomes, social status, and productivity. My case concerns rural potters in Sri Lanka who gave up the wheel for some types of pottery. Doing so, they set off a cascade of other changes and thus transformed their industry’s “dynamic system” (Roux, 2003).
2. Methodology and results: longitudinal ethnography

As a cultural anthropologist, my primary methods are ethnographic: participant observation, semi-structured interviewing, life history interviews, and key informant conversations. I also have consulted the Colonial Record Office Library in London, the National Archives in Colombo, and private archives to cull historical information from Administration Reports and other 19th and 20th century sources. Fieldwork in Sri Lanka has totalled about 55 months: longer stays in 1973–1976 (33 months), 1992 (7 months), 2004 (2 months), and 2013 (9 months), with shorter trips of 1 to 6 weeks between.

Here I focus on a potter village that I call Walangama. Typically, I resided with a Walangama family for months at a time. On each longer trip, I systematically visited almost every Walangama household, usually more than once, for conversations that lasted hours. I updated demographics and kinship information, learned about social and economic developments, watched people work, gossiped, and sometimes collected more specialized information, such as a one-time network survey and a series of life history interviews. I have compiled some of these data into a relational database. Other information, including that gained by participating in Walangama life, informs the qualitative aspects of this account. I also have visited other potter communities, tile factories, and dairies to create a larger picture. My historical information comes from interviews with older Walangama residents and retired officials, archival records, and secondary sources. Together, this information allows me to piece together my understanding of how the Walangama pottery industry has changed in the context of other changes locally, regionally, and nationally: a longitudinal ethnography.

2.1 The early 20th century: the collapse of a traditional subsistence system

Like most Sinhalese villages, Walangama was once quite small (Denham, 1912, pp.30–33). Between 1881 and 1921, its population averaged 102 people, all of Potter (Badahāla or Kumbāl) caste. But after the advent of malarial control measures such as DDT, census records and my own counts show that the population rose quickly: 213 people (1952), 359 people (1964), approximately 600 people (1975), and around 1000 people in 2013 (Winslow, 2016, p.226 n.3). That mid-century jump, which doubled the population, was particularly detrimental to Walangama’s multi-pronged subsistence strategy.

Walangama people did not always rely primarily on pottery-making; they preferred farming. They grew rice on their own fields and gardened dry grains and vegetables on nearby Crown (government-owned) lands. But pottery was a necessary fallback. The village’s land base is small and because it is located in the island’s drought-prone intermediate climatic zone, rice farming is unreliable; furthermore, Crown land access was never guaranteed. Pottery-making, too, had constraints: limited technology, inadequate workspace, and insufficient markets. Yet, while singly precarious, together farming, gardening, and potting once formed a resilient subsistence system. Unfortunately, by 1948, as Sri Lanka (then, Ceylon) achieved independence from Great Britain, Walangama was faced with the twin calamities of a rising population and the loss of their garden lands to coconut estate planters. Walangama stories of the 1940s were dismal tales of overcrowded houses, crying children, and chronic food insecurity.

2.2 Post-independence: improving the potter’s wheel

I first heard those stories in 1974; by then, Walangama’s situation had improved. The upturn began in July 1949 when one Albert Perera appeared on his bicycle, sent by the government to start up a “Pottery Demonstration Centre.” Walangama residents had petitioned their new, post-Independence Member of Parliament for aid. The MP had responded not by giving them the agricultural land they sought but by convincing the Ministry of Industries’ Cottage Industries Division to include Walangama in its program to “assist those engaged in village pottery” (Samarasinghe, 1956, p.14). This program was one of many through which the new government continued colonial social welfare policies rooted in Fabian socialism (Jayasuriya, 2001; Winslow, 2003, pp.53–54). By the time of Mr. Perera’s arrival, approximately 30 such centres had been established around the island (van Langenberg, 1951, p.GG21, emphasis added). Local “officers-in-charge,” such as Mr. Perera, as well as visiting inspectors, maintained a log of their activities. This log reveals that two months after Mr. Perera arrived in Walangama, he visited the Kurunegala railway station to “remove pottery wheels” – that is, to collect them to take to Walangama (Logbook: 8 September 1949).

Walangama’s traditional wheels were “floor wheels” (hima poruva), similar to southern India’s pivoted block wheels (Saraswati and Behura, 1966, pp.10–11). The 1948 floor wheels seem to have been unchanged from those described for Sinhalese potters decades earlier: “...a circular board about 2½ feet [76.2 cm] in diameter mounted on a stone pivot which fits into a larger stone socket embedded in the ground, the horizontal surface of the wheel itself standing not more than six inches above the ground” (Coomaraswamy, 1956 [1908], p.219). By 1974, when I first went to Walangama, floor wheels were no longer used; but in 2008, I observed one in another village. I was impressed by its instability. The potter was able to throw small pots, but the wheel wobbled on its pivot and to exert sufficient pressure against enough clay to make larger vessels, she would have needed an assistant.

The floor wheels were homemade, carved from circular sections excised from the buttress roots of trees (eg. Ficus retusa) found locally along streams. The round was roughly shaped with an axe, finished with finer tools, and surfaced

Online First
with clay. The socket-and-pivot set was typically of granite, purchased from itinerant stoneworkers or recycled from older wheels. I also have seen sets made of iron (such as in Figure 2). The pivot was attached to the wheel’s underside with a mixture of an adhesive substance like jak tree gum, and fibrous filler such as human hair or coconut husk fibre.

Potters used the floor wheels to throw off a hump. After forming the mouth, thick walls, and overall shape, they detached each pot from the hump, drawing a wire or thin strip of bamboo through the base high enough to leave the bottom open. After drying to leather stage, the pot was paddled (on the outside) against an anvil (on the inside) to thin the walls and extend them into a rounded bottom. If a foot was desired, the pot was set upside-down on the wheel, which was rotated while a snake of clay was attached and shaped. This technology is found throughout South Asia (Saraswati and Behura, 1966). In Sri Lanka, it dates back to at least the middle of the first millennium BC (Schenk, 2001, p.60; Somadeva, 2006, p.295).

Mr. Perera’s “modern” wheels, still used in Walangama, are hand-turned, single wheels, 65–70 cm in diameter. They may be hand-carved, but most are made of milled boards joined underneath with wood or metal straps and shaped by a carpenter. Because they are mounted at chair height on a metal pipe set in a ball-bearing race, they are more comfortable for the potter and sustain faster, longer spins. They, too, are combined with paddle-and-anvil finishing. A potter can work productively solo for all but the largest pots. A married couple might work alongside each other, sharing all aspects of potting; they might divide the work, with the more expert one throwing while the spouse does the final beating; or one might both throw and beat while his or her spouse is engaged in child care, farming, estate labour, or other employment. There is no proscription on women using the wheel and women are among the most skilled potters, but mothers of infants do more childcare and men do more farming (Winslow, 1994).
After their late-1949 introduction, the new wheels were adopted quickly. The government intended that they be used in the pottery centre or purchased for home use. But the potters preferred home workshops and found the price unaffordable, so they soon figured out how to make them (Logbook, 11 March 1952). Within four years, the regional ceramics inspector reported that pottery production had “increased to 25 percent more than the previous year and there is every possibility for further increase in production” (Logbook, 26 February 1953). Indeed, as logbook entries show, Mr. Perera’s biggest problem soon became marketing, not production.

Selling pottery became easier after 1950 when the pottery centre became a “pottery society,” one of many production and marketing coöperatives established by Sri Lanka’s socialist government in the early decades after Independence (Fernando, 1951, p.E35). As coöp members, the potters could sell directly to the coöp and avoid their previous practices of selling in weekly markets, by house-to-house hawking in neighbouring communities, and bartering pots for rice on bullock cart journeys during harvest season. At first, the coöp hoped to purchase a lorry and market pottery collectively. But despite repeated loan applications, the regional inspectors of ceramic coöperatives seem not to have approved this proposal. So, Mr. Perera worked with the coöp leaders to wholesale the pottery to traders, some from the village and some from outside, who transported it in rented lorries throughout the district and beyond. This improved sales and gave village men (and at least one woman) expertise in lorry rentals, contracts, and marketing – skills that served the village well later.

The coöperative faced more challenges: clay and crowding. Clay is locally abundant along water bodies and in fields. Traditionally, it was free for the taking because its...
removal lowered fields and improved irrigation water flow. But after national price supports increased the profitability of rice farming beginning in 1948 (Weerahewa, 2004, p.8), farmers became reluctant to grant access. The government then helped pottery coöperatives purchase clay fields, which the Walangama coöp did in 1954 (Logbook November 1954; Perera, 1960, J56; Samarasinghe, 1956, J84). Potters also need space enough for workshops, kilns, and areas to shelter drying pots and store clay, kiln fuel, and finished wares. Walangama, hemmed in by coconut estates and with a rising population, lacked room to expand. Village representatives again approached their MP and in keeping with the socialist ethic of the time, he again proved helpful by facilitating Walangama’s inclusion in a new national Village Expansion Scheme. In 1955, sixty acres of coconut estate land adjoining Walangama were commandeered by the government. Fifty families received one-acre plots and the balance was reserved for later common uses (Arulpiragasam, 1955, p.A182).

Thus, the robust pottery industry I encountered in 1974 was not a timeless artisanal tradition but the outcome of over two decades of innovations and problem solving. The 1970s were difficult in Sri Lanka; price controls and import substitution policies produced scarcities, unemployment, and social unrest (Athukorala and Jayasuriya, 1994, p.33). But because cooking on three-stone hearths and hauling water in clay pots remained the rural norm, pottery prices tracked inflation (Winslow, 1996, p.711, Figure 2). Walangama household incomes, Rs. 400 to 500 a month, were above average (Gavan and Chandrasekera, 1979, p.19, Table 5). Equally important, when the national economic environment suddenly shifted, Walangama’s new pottery-based subsistence system proved sufficiently flexible to adapt, although their wheel did not.

2.3. The open economy and the potter’s wheel’s limits
In 1977, the Sri Lankan electorate voted in a government that ran on a neoliberal platform of opening the economy and encouraging foreign investment. Its first major undertaking was the Accelerated Mahaweli Development Project, 150 km to Walangama’s north. It was a massive multi-dam scheme to harness the Mahaweli River for hydroelectric power, provide irrigation for agriculture, resettle landless farmers, and expand rice and dairy farming (Athukorala and Jayasuriya 1994, p.10, n.44). By the early 1990s, the dairy farming component of this scheme had dramatically increased production of buffalo milk yogurt or curd (Winslow, 1996, pp.713–715).

Curd is made and sold in single-use clay pots. Because they are thrown out after a single use, curd pots (kiri haTi, milk pots) are made more quickly, crudely, and from poorer quality clay than ordinary pottery (walan). Many curd producers in the Mahaweli area originally came from the traditional curd producing areas of southern Sri Lanka. Those producers continued to import the needed pots from their home suppliers, 300 km away. Traditionally, Walangama potters did not make curd pots.

But in 1981, a Walangama man wholesaling coöp pottery in the Mahaweli region noticed the lorry loads of curd pots arriving from the south coast. He realized that because Walangama was much closer, he could profitably underbid the southern suppliers. Leveraging his experience selling coöp pottery, he secured a contract to supply pots to a northern dairy farm. He then returned to Walangama to recruit neighbours and relatives to help him fill the order, paying them a small stipend to learn this new skill. They already made a similar pot, the ätiliya, a shallow pot with...
some households made both. Hardworking traditional potters are as financially successful and respected as anyone, but curd pots are considered more easily profitable because they require less skill and sales are less seasonal. Money is not the only attraction. Young mothers told me that they preferred the mould machines because the mess is less than wheel-throwing. A quick handwash and they are ready to tend to an infant or toddler. The machines also afforded potters new options. Younger potters may borrow mould machines from traders who also supply them clay and kiln fuelwood. In return, the trader buys the pots at a small discount, thus securing a steady supply in what remains a producers' market. As households become more established financially and children reach school age, they can buy their own machines and secure their own supplies. The more entrepreneurial may hire others to help, including non-potters from surrounding villages because operating mould machines is relatively unskilled work. In this way, pottery production increasingly has become more a business and less a stigmatized identity. The coöp once freed their grandparents from the indignities of face-to-face selling; now, the mould machines have freed some from making pottery at all. Whether this will translate into increased internal stratification within Walangama remains to be seen. So far, it has not, perhaps because the community is laced with internal kin ties and has a strong ethic of sharing (Winslow, 2009, pp.260–261).

However, while the machines have solved old problems they also have created new ones. By 2013, curd pot
production had expanded to around a million pots a month, making it increasingly time-consuming to secure supplies. The bottoms and walls of moulded pots are thicker, an artefact of the technology, although traders also claim that dairy farms like the heavier pots because they give the impression of more product. Table 1 compares the “one litre” hand-thrown pot (Figure 10) with the “one litre” moulded pot (Figure 11). The moulded pot required about 40 percent more clay for a similar volume.

The coöp’s clay field has not been exhausted but because the field’s perimeter was excavated first, deep gullies now make accessing the interior difficult. Those who produce a lot of curd pots find it easier to buy clay from farmers. Heavier pots also require more fuelwood to fire. Walangama potters fire their pots in shallow pit kilns built around the pots themselves. There have been some minor modifications, such as constructing a permanent brick wall at one kiln end, but overall the system has changed little despite the changes in the industry. In the 1970s, they used bio-waste husks and branches from nearby coconut estates, but as the world market for coconut oil has declined, estates are being sold for housing development. The increased competition for the waste from the few remaining estates allows estate owners to charge for materials they were once happy to see hauled away for free. In the early 2000s, the potters supplemented estate wastes with lumber mill scraps, but then a particle board factory was built in their region. The mill buys wood scraps in bulk, undercutting potter access. In 2015, I saw Walangama producers of both traditional pottery and curd pots travelling widely in search of kiln fuel.

3. Theories, reasoning, and discussion

In part, Walangama’s potter’s wheel story illustrates the importance of a dynamic systems approach to understanding technological change. As Roux explained, “...change is not seen as process of adaptation and equilibrium inherent in homeostatic system, but as an emergent property of complex patterns of interaction in real time” (2003, p.6). Thus, in Walangama, change emerged and continues to emerge from perpetual efforts to adapt to changing opportunities and challenges.

Technologies are rarely seamless, perfected totalities. Weak points are inevitable and multi-faceted. In Walangama, dissatisfaction with being easily identified as low-caste potters and the challenge of combining wheel use with daily demands of family life were as much weak points as were the floor wheel’s wobbles and handcraft’s physical toil. These stresses conditioned their responses to changing opportunities and encouraged people to accept (in the case of ball-bearing wheels) or seek (in the case of curd-pot machines) alternatives. But those responses introduced or illuminated new weak points, such as the pressures on clay and fuel wood. A dynamic system is unlikely ever to reach a point of fixation or stasis because it always contains within it the seeds of change (Allen et al., 2010).

Table 1. Comparison of weights of thrown and moulded one-litre curd-pots.

| Pot type       | Wt. (grams) | Vol. (ml) | Base thickness (mm) | Outside height (mm) |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Hand-thrown    | 602         | 900       | 5.8                 | 50                  |
| Moulded        | 849         | 950       | 6.5                 | 55                  |

Figure 11. A curd pot made with a mould machine, using clay that has been processed with a clay mill. Note the ridge left by the mould, the flat bottom, and the lack of intrusions. Photo: D. Winslow, 2013.

Figure 12. A mould machine in use. The sitting potter is opening the mould to remove the pot. The man standing is operating the screw to lower a plate into the mould and then retract it.
But while Roux’s dynamic systems framework usefully highlights bottom-up changes, Walangama’s experience over the past century shows the importance of extending analyses beyond the micro-scale. At the meso-scale, we are reminded that no community exists in isolation. Walangama is located in the intermediate zone between Sri Lanka’s wet and dry zones where, because rainfall fluctuates from year to year, they long relied on pottery-making as a back-up to agriculture. But there have also been locational advantages. The region is rich in low-humic gley soils with deep clay deposits (Panabokke, 1996, Map 1). The coconut estates that thrived in this zone once provided bio-wastes to fuel kilns. A lower population density meant that there was eventual room for expansion. The village lies on a well-travelled road that connected it to the expanding Mahaweli region to the north and to the traditional population centres of Kurunegala, Kandy, and Colombo nearer by.

The subtle importance of these mundane specifics becomes clearer when we compare Walangama with two nearby potter communities, also with good access to clay, workspace, and, until recently, fuelwood. Kelinawala lies about seven km from Walangama, a walkable distance. Kelinawala potters do not make curd pots and their industry is declining as young people seek other kinds of work. People there told me that their poor road lacks a bus service, and outside traders never came their way. When the Kelinawala pottery society amassed a lorry load, they would ask kinsmen in the Walangama pottery society to pass on the message to traders who only then came to buy, assured that a difficult trip would be worthwhile. Consequently, Kelinawala never developed its own traders, as Walangama did. The second example is Ambagahawewa, also about seven km from Walangama. Ambagahawewa adjoins a famous old pilgrimage centre. Over generations, these potters have developed a reputation for superior wheel-thrown pottery, which they sell to pilgrims and to wholesalers who come from throughout the island. They do not eschew change: the community shares clay mills, some younger potters have adopted electric wheels, and new pottery styles are added when there is demand. But given the reliable market for their traditional pottery, they have stayed with wheel-throwing. Walangama, Kelinawala, and Ambagahawewa are not culturally distinct and all have benefitted from government programs. But locational subtleties have encouraged different trajectories.

Wolangama’s history also encourages us to think beyond the region. After Independence, Sri Lanka continued the social liberalism that had guided British colonial policy in the early 20th century (Jayasuriya, 2001, p.3). This commitment brought Walangama, Kelinawala, and Ambagahawewa their cooperatives, improved potter’s wheels, expansion land, and clay fields. In 1949, the government even banned the import of pottery from India to support the domestic industry (Samarasinghe, 1956, p.183). That same approach to protecting the local economy also raised the cost of vehicles with high import dues, which is probably why the Walangama coop was not allowed to buy its own lorry. But for Walangama, this later turned out to be advantageous. Village men rented vehicles, developed experience with contracts, and built contact networks. They were thus well positioned to expand into curd pots when the opportunity arose after the economy was liberalized in 1977.

Thus, we can see that the Walangama potters and their wheels are embedded in a variety of systems that interact at multiple spatial and temporal scales. In this complex and dynamic world, we are led to focus on “emergence, rather than existence” (van der Leeuw, 2020, p.104). The technology we are trying to describe is always in the process of becoming.

4. Conclusion

“Any attempt to deal with the morphogenetic properties of dynamic systems must acknowledge the important role played by unforeseen events and the fact that actions often combine to produce phenomena we might define as the spontaneous structuring of order” (van der Leeuw, 2020, p.106).

There was a time when the potters of Walangama wanted only to be rice farmers. For generations, it was their most esteemed subsistence activity, promising both food security and social status. But by the mid-twentieth century, they did not have, and could not get, enough farm land to support their growing population. So, they accepted what was afforded them. As a result, new and unanticipated futures emerged, different ways of being and different sorts of security. Walangama’s potter’s wheel story illustrates how this technology, perhaps any technology, exists in a dynamic, historical, inter-scalar web of connections. Looking back, we can identify the reasons why these potters adopted the ball-bearing wheel when they had the opportunity. But it would have been hard to foresee the complex series of linked developments that emerged, leading eventually to that wheel’s partial abandonment.
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