A review of nanostructured non-titania photocatalysts and hole scavenging agents for CO₂ photoreduction processes

Jeannie Z. Y. Tan* and M. Mercedes Maroto-Valer

The imperative for the development of sustainable energy technologies to alleviate the heavy reliance on fossil fuels as well as to mitigate the serious environmental issues associated with CO₂ emission has fostered the development of solar fuels through CO₂ photoreduction. The well-documented TiO₂ and modified TiO₂-based photocatalysts have been shown to photoreduce CO₂ into hydrocarbons. Meanwhile, there is also an increasing interest in the utilisation of non-titania based materials, namely metal sulphides, oxides, oxynitrides and nitrides, for CO₂ photoreduction. Distinct from other published reviews, we discuss here recent progress made in designing metal sulphide, oxide, oxynitride and nitride photocatalysts for CO₂ photoreduction through morphological changes, aiming at providing a systematic summary of non-titania based materials for CO₂ photoreduction. Furthermore, the introduction of hole scavengers in order to maximise the CO₂ photoreduction efficiency is also reviewed.

1. Introduction

Fossil fuels are currently unrivalled for energy generation, and our existing infrastructure is built to handle fossil fuels for transportation, heating and electricity.¹ Our heavy reliance on fossil fuels results in annual emissions of 32 Gt of CO₂.² This is likely to increase to 36–43 Gt by 2035, subject to policies governing CO₂ emissions and energy use, even with increasing renewable energy sources.³ To mitigate these environmental issues as well as alleviate our dependence on fossil fuels, harvesting the seemingly infinite solar energy and storing it in the form of chemical fuels hold significant promise to address current and future energy demands. Moreover, the chemical industry and a vast amount of chemical products rely heavily on using fossil fuel feedstock. This further motivates the development of sustainable processes to generate fuels and chemical feedstock from water and CO₂ using solar energy. Such a process is akin to photosynthesis in nature, and therefore, it is referred to as the artificial photosynthesis.

Photoelectrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in aqueous suspensions using semiconducting powders was first proposed by Inoue et al. in 1979.⁴ Later in 1987, the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ in the presence of H₂O was proposed by Thampi et al.⁵ Since then, an increasing number of studies on the photo(electro)catalytic reduction of CO₂ have been conducted (Fig. 1). Among these studies, almost 50% focused on the materials employed as photocatalysts for conversion of CO₂ under UV and/or visible irradiation. The rest of the studies concentrated mainly on modelling or process development. The use of TiO₂ as a photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction has been extensively studied and has been reviewed elsewhere.⁶⁻¹⁰ However, the lack of systematic studies of non-TiO₂ semiconducting materials, namely metal sulphides, oxides, oxynitrides and nitrides, for CO₂ photoreduction (CO₂PR) has inhibited the development of these photocatalysts compared to titania-based photocatalysts.

Although different photocatalysts (i.e., titania and non-titania based semiconductors) have been proposed in the literature, the overall CO₂PR conversion remains low especially under sunlight irradiation, making the CO₂PR system not practical for commercialisation. To further increase the efficiency of CO₂PR, the introduction of scavenging agents into the CO₂PR system has been proposed. However, so far, the introduction of hole scavenging agents has not been systematically studied, though studies started in the last century. Therefore, the necessity to systematically scrutinise the recent development of non-TiO₂ photocatalysts and hole scavenging agents for CO₂PR is of great demand.

There are enormous scientific and technical challenges involved in making even the simplest fuel, H₂, and even more so for carbon-based fuels by means of CO₂ photoreduction. Similar to other photocatalytic processes, solar-driven photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ in the presence of H₂O to hydrocarbon fuels uses semiconducting materials to harvest solar energy and provides active sites to allow the photocatalytic conversion process to occur. The basic steps of the photocatalytic process can be summarised as follows:

1. generation of charge carriers (electron–hole pairs) by semiconducting materials upon absorption of photons with appropriate energy from the irradiation of light,
(2) separation of charge carriers and their transportation to the surface of the photocatalyst, and 

(3) chemical redox reactions between the charge carriers and the reactants.

CO₂PR with H₂O into fuels is illustrated in Fig. 2. TiO₂ was the first material used for CO₂PR,⁴ and since then it has been widely used because of its abundance, availability, high chemical stability, low cost and non-toxicity.¹² Despite the great effort made in the CO₂PR using TiO₂ and its derivative materials, the efficiency of the process remains low,⁷ mainly attributed to the following factors:

(a) Rapid recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs;¹⁰
(b) Mild reducing power;
• The potential of the conduction band electrons is only slightly more negative than the multi-electron reduction potentials of CO₂, thus providing a very small driving force, whereas the potential of the valence band holes is much more positive than the water oxidation potential.⁷
(c) Limited visible light absorption due to the wide bandgap (3.0–3.2 eV) of TiO₂.¹³,¹⁴

Strategies including doping,¹⁵,¹⁶ coupling with semiconductors,¹⁷–¹⁹ dye sensitizing,²⁰,²¹ surface modification²²,²³ etc. have been extensively used to improve TiO₂ photocatalysts and are summarised elsewhere.⁹,¹¹,¹²,²⁴,²⁵ However, the two most commonly used methods for extending the absorption range to visible light, namely sensitization or doping, do not fully address the optical issue of wide bandgap materials. Sensitizing agents (e.g., dyes or quantum dots) often degrade when exposed to UV light and photogenerate oxidizing holes in TiO₂.⁷ Dopant atoms, on the other hand, can become the centers of charge recombination. Moreover, the additional energy states associated with foreign atoms are highly localized, resulting in suppressed charge mobility.²⁷ Hence, while TiO₂ remains a benchmark photocatalyst, there is a lot of interest in developing other materials for CO₂PR, such as carbon-based semiconductors (e.g., graphene-based composites,²⁸,²⁹ carbon nanotube composites,³⁰ g-C₃N₄ based composites,³¹–³⁳ and hybrid organic–inorganic materials³⁴–³⁷) and other inorganic transition or main group metal oxides, sulphides, oxynitrides, and nitrides. Since the use of carbon-based semiconductors for CO₂PR has been reviewed elsewhere,³⁸,³⁹ these photocatalysts are not be discussed herein.

Inorganic semiconductors, namely metal oxides, sulphides, oxynitrides and nitrides, are among the first semiconductors
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used for solar-driven reactions. They possess relatively high stability, are low cost and absorb light consisting of photons with energy equal to or greater than their bandgap.\cite{40} This very diverse group of materials includes both narrow and wide bandgap semiconductors; yet many of them offer a more favourable bandgap than TiO$_2$. Moreover, many recent CO$_2$PR developments follow similar trends to those for photocatalytic water splitting, as both processes share similar constraints on energy bands.\cite{41-43} Specifically, the quest for new semiconductor materials is focused on the following points:\cite{27}

(a) rising the valence band energy to decrease the bandgap,

(b) moving the conduction band to more reductive potentials,

(c) improving the quantum efficiency of exciton formation whilst suppressing charge recombination and

(d) using novel nanoscale morphologies to provide a large surface area with multiple photocatalytically active sites.

To achieve the quest mentioned above, different methods have been proposed previously and are reviewed in the following sections.

2. Non-TiO$_2$ materials for CO$_2$ photoreduction reactions

Although the position of conduction and valence bands is important for photocatalytic properties, the morphology of materials plays a critical role. Furthermore, manipulating the microstructure has also shown to alter the bandgap energy\cite{44} suppress the charge recombination,\cite{45} enhance the diffusion of electrons towards the surface of photocatalysts,\cite{46} induce quantum confinement effects\cite{47} and provide more photocatalytic active sites, thereby enhancing the photocatalytic performance. In this section, nanostructured non-TiO$_2$ semiconducting materials for CO$_2$PR published in the last two decades are reviewed, including metal sulphides, oxides, oxy-nitrides and nitrides.

2.1 Sulphides

Sulphide semiconductors received a lot of attention for CO$_2$PR. This was because their valence band, made of 3p orbitals of the sulphur atoms, is located higher than those of their oxide analogues, resulting in the conduction band being more reductive.\cite{42} Many sulphides have a narrow bandgap (e.g., PbS and Bi$_2$S$_3$), with the absorption onset in the visible and infrared regions. Amongst sulphide semiconductors, ZnS and CdS were the most studied sulphides for CO$_2$PR. ZnS is a wide bandgap semiconductor ($E_g = 3.66$ eV in the bulk); however, it possesses
a strong reducing power of the conduction band ($E_{CB} = -1.85$ V vs. the NHE at pH 7).\(^{48}\)

**Zinc-based materials.** The surface area of the photocatalyst is one of the key factors that can significantly affect the efficiency of the photocatalytic process. Koči et al. proposed the immobilization of ZnS on montmorillonite, a representative natural clay mineral, which possesses a high surface area and layered structure, to optimize the efficiency of the CO$_2$PR (Table 1 entry 1).\(^{49}\) The study demonstrated that the amount of ZnS loaded affected the degree of agglomeration that consequently influenced the electronic configuration as well as the efficiency of the ZnS/montmorillonite nanocomposite in the CO$_2$PR under UV irradiation (254 nm). A similar approach was demonstrated by Petra et al., in which ZnS was loaded onto large-surface-area SiO$_2$ (340 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) to reduce CO$_2$ to formate using 2,5-dihydrofuran as the reducing agent.\(^{50}\) The study revealed that the loading amount of ZnS significantly affected the yield and the optimal loading was 13% of ZnS into SiO$_2$, resulting in 7 mmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of HCOOH. Nonetheless, the fabricated samples with coverages above 7% of ZnS on the SiO$_2$ matrix could suppress the photo-corrosion of ZnS to Zn(0), which is the major disadvantage of sulphides in an aqueous dispersion because the oxidation of lattice S$^{2-}$ ions leads to elemental sulphur and eventually to sulphate.\(^{51}\)

Meng et al. proposed the co-doping of Cd and Cu into ZnS as one of the most active and optimized design routes for metal sulphide photocatalysts so far.\(^{52}\) It was found that the doping of Cu could promote the formation of S vacancies and narrow the bandgap energy of ZnS, whereas surface modification of Cu-doped ZnS with Cd$^{2+}$ enhanced the product selectivity towards HCOOH (99%) under solar light irradiation. Recently, solid solutions of Zn$_{1-x}$Cd$_x$S with a flower-like microstructure decorated with a cubic CeO$_2$ co-catalyst have been shown to exhibit enhanced CH$_3$OH production (0.542 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) when compared to pristine CeO$_2$ and Zn$_{1-x}$Cd$_x$S (0.139 and 0.073 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively) under visible light irradiation ($\lambda \geq 420$ nm).\(^{53}\)

**Cadmium-based materials.** CdS (2.4 eV and the absorption onset at 520 nm) is a narrow bandgap metal sulphide photocatalyst. Hence, CdS suffers from rapid recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. In order to enhance the separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs, surface-phase junctions deduced by the same semiconductors were proposed. Chai et al. fabricated a mixed-phase CdS that is composed of wurtzite and zinc-blende crystalline phases recently (Table 1 entry 2).\(^{54}\) The fabricated sample exhibited a long photogenerated electron lifetime and efficient charge transfer. The maximum CO and CH$_4$ evolution rate was 1.61 and 0.31 μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, and these production rates were maintained even after 100 h.

The conduction band of CdS is less reductive ($E_{CB} = -0.9$ V at pH 7 vs. NHE) than that of ZnS. Therefore, CdS is always decorated with noble metals, such as Ag. For instance, Zhu et al. proposed that the loaded Ag could act as an electron trap as well as an active site for CO$_2$PR on CdS.\(^{55}\) The photoproduction of CO was improved by three times when compared with that obtained with bare CdS. Alternatively, CdS can be supported with other wide bandgap semiconductors to enhance its reducing power for CO$_2$PR. Kisch et al. found that the coupling of CdS with ZnS strongly enhanced the CO$_2$PR activity when compared to SiO$_2$-supported CdS or ZnS samples because CdS and ZnS can absorb light at $\leq 530$ nm and $\geq 330$ nm, respectively.\(^{56}\) The study reported that 5 wt% CdS loaded onto ZnS induced a 40-fold and 16-fold enhancement in the production of HCOOH ($\sim$80 mM, λ ≥ 320 nm, 3 h) when compared to unmodified CdS and ZnS, respectively. This strong enhancement was attributed to the electronic semiconductor–support interaction effect that improved the charge separation efficiency of the coupled semiconductor system. A similar observation was also reported by Koči et al. recently, in which core–shell CdS/ZnS nanoparticles deposited on montmorillonite prepared by a one-pot synthesis exhibited enhanced CO$_2$PR activity in water under UV irradiation ($\lambda = 365$ nm).\(^{57}\) The increase in the yield was due to the enhanced charge separation of CdS cores by ZnS shells, the increase of surface area and the inhibition of CdS photocorrosion. CO$_2$PR performed with CdS coupled with Bi$_2$S$_3$, having smaller bandgap energy than CdS, was also reported.\(^{58}\) The Bi$_2$S$_3$/CdS nanocomposite fabricated with 15 wt% Bi$_2$S$_3$ exhibited the highest methanol production from CO$_2$ (6.13 mmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, Table 1 entry 3), which was at least 50% higher than those obtained with bare Bi$_2$S$_3$ (3.14 mmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and CdS (2.01 mmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$), under visible light irradiation. The enhanced photocatalytic activity suggested that the establishment of a heterojunction between CdS and Bi$_2$S$_3$ could improve charge separation and subsequently prolong the lifetime of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. Moreover, the surface area of the Bi$_2$S$_3$/CdS nanocomposite, which was 24–27 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, was slightly higher than those of the bare CdS and Bi$_2$S$_3$ (12 and 21 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively). Hence, the synergistic effect of surface area and the heterojunction established between these two semiconductors had significantly improved the overall performance in CO$_2$PR. Increasing the specific surface area does not only provide more active sites for the photocatalytic reaction, but also affects the optical properties of the material. For instance, Jin et al. recently proposed that by increasing the length-to-width ratio of Bi$_2$S$_3$ nanoribbons, which increased the bandgap energy of Bi$_2$S$_3$ from 1.22 to 1.38 eV, the CH$_3$OH yield obtained was increased from 25.94 to 32.02 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ under visible light irradiation (λ ≥ 420 nm).\(^{60}\) However, the coupling of Bi$_2$S$_3$ nanoribbons with CdS was not demonstrated. Hence, it will be interesting to see the performance of Bi$_2$S$_3$ nanoribbons/ CdS nanocomposites in the CO$_2$PR.

The coupling of CdS with other metal oxides, such as WO$_3$, has been demonstrated recently. For instance, Jin et al. proposed the coupling of WO$_3$ hollow spheres with CdS to form a hierarchical Z-scheme to increase the CO$_2$PR efficiency.\(^{61}\) The coupling of WO$_3$–CdS had greatly enhanced the photon-conversion of CO$_2$ to CH$_4$ to $\sim$1.0 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ under visible irradiation (λ ≥ 420 nm), whereas pristine WO$_3$ and CdS only produced trace amounts of CH$_4$.

Recently, the synthesis of Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S solid solutions has attracted extensive attention due to their versatility in tuning the band structures.\(^{62}\) Moreover, the introduction of Zn can...
## Table 1  Photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction yields obtained with various photocatalysts

| No. | Photocatalyst | Product(s) of CO$_2$ photoreduction (μmol g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) | Light source | Ref. |
|-----|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|
| 1.  | ZnS/montmorillonite nanocomposite | CH$_4$ 1.17 | UV 8 W Hg lamp (λ = 254 nm) | 49  |
|     |                | CO 0.125 |                                          |      |
| 2.  | CdS wurtzite/zinc-blende nanohybrid | CO 1.61 | 300 W Xe lamp (λ ≈ 420 nm) | 54  |
|     |                | CH$_4$ 0.31 |                                          |      |
| 3.  | Bi$_2$S$_3$/CdS | CH$_3$OH 6.13 mmol g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 500 W Xe lamp (λ ≈ 320 nm) | 59  |
|     |                | CO 0.16 | 300 W Xe lamp (λ ≈ 420 nm) | 54  |
| 4.  | Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S solid solution and tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin iron(II) chloride | CO 1.28 μmol | 300 W Xe lamp (420 nm < λ < 780 nm) | 58  |
| 5.  | Cu$_2$S/CuS | CH$_4$ 0.31 |                                          |      |
| 6.  | RuO$_2$-modified Cu$_x$Ag$_y$Zn$_z$S$_m$ solid solutions | CH$_4$ 46.21 ± 6.50 μmol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | A.M 1.5 simulated sunlight | 60  |
| 7.  | ZnO | CH$_3$OH 325 | 355 nm laser beam | 74  |
| 8.  | NiO | CH$_3$O$_2$ 388 | 8 W fluorescent tube (7 mW cm$^{-2}$) | 75  |
| 9.  | Fluffy mesoporous ZnO | CO 0.73 |                                          |      |
| 10. | N-doped ZnO | CO 0.04 |                                          |      |
| 11. | ZnO plates | CO 763.5 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 76  |
|     |                | CH$_4$ 205.2 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ |                                          |      |
| 12. | Ultralong and ultrathin single crystal Zn$_2$GeO$_4$ nanoribbons | CH$_4$ 25 | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 77  |
| 13. | Zn$_2$GeO$_4$ nanorods | CO 179 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 78  |
|     |                | CH$_4$ 45 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ |                                          |      |
| 14. | Ru$_2$O$_5$ and Pt co-loaded Zn$_x$Ge$_{1-x}$O nano-sheaves | ~55 | 300 W Xe arc lamp (λ > 420 nm) | 79  |
| 15. | Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ nanosheet-scaffolded microspheres | 69 | 300 W Xe arc lamp with an IR cut filter | 80  |
| 16. | Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ hexagonal nanoplates | 47 | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 81  |
| 17. | Ce-doped ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ | CO ~20 | Visible light | 82  |
| 18. | Quasi-cubic WO$_3$ | ~0.34 | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 83  |
|     |                | ~1.1 | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 84  |
| 19. | Ultrathin single crystal WO$_3$ | CH$_4$ 2200 | Full-arc Xe lamp | 85  |
| 20. | Ultrathin W$_2$O$_5$ | CH$_4$ 45 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 86  |
| 21. | Bi$_2$WO$_6$ nanosheets with well-defined [001] facets | CH$_3$OH 326 | 300 W Xe arc lamp (λ > 420 nm) | 87  |
| 22. | Bi$_2$WO$_6$ | CH$_3$OH 42.6 | 300 W Xe arc lamp (λ > 420 nm) | 87  |
| 23. | NaNb$_2$O$_6$ nanowires | CH$_3$O 653 ppm g$_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 88  |
| 24. | KNb$_2$O$_6$ nanobelts | CO 3.58 | 350 W Xe lamp | 89  |
| 25. | HNb$_3$O$_8$ nanobelts | CO 1.71 |                                          |      |
| 26. | SrNb$_2$O$_6$ nanorods | CO 3.12 | 400 W Hg lamp | 90  |
| 27. | 3% NiO$_x$-Ta$_2$O$_5$-1% immobilised on reduced graphene | CH$_3$OH 197.92 | 400 W metal halide lamp | 91  |
| 28. | Core-shell Ni/NiO-loaded N-InTa$_2$O$_4$ | CO 60 | 400 W Hg lamp | 93  |
| 29. | LaTa$_3$O$_9$ | CO 90 | 300 W Xe lamp (full spectrum) | 94  |
| 30. | CaTa$_3$O$_9$ | CO 150 | 300 W Xe lamp | 95  |
| 31. | K$_2$Ta$_3$O$_9$ | CO 91.9 | 300 W Xe lamp (full spectrum) | 97  |
| 32. | Ag-modified Ga$_2$O$_3$ | CO 10.5 | UV light | 96  |
| 33. | Lamellar BiVO$_4$ | CH$_3$OH 5.52 | 300 W Xe lamp (full spectrum) | 97  |
| 34. | CuGa$_2$-Fe$_x$O$_y$ | CO ~9.2 | 300 W Xe arc lamp | 98  |
| 35. | CoAl-layered double hydroxides | CH$_4$ 4.2 | 500 W Xe lamp | 99  |
| 36. | Porous TaON | CH$_3$CHO 0.52 | 300 W Xe lamp | 100 |
| 37. | ZnAl$_2$O$_4$-modified ZnGa$_2$ON | CH$_4$ 9.2 | 300 W Xe lamp (λ > 420 nm) | 101 |
| 38. | ZnAl$_2$O$_4$-modified ZnGa$_2$ON | CH$_3$OH 2.03 | 300 W Xe lamp | 100 |
| 39. | GaN | CO 1130 | 300 W Xe lamp | 102 |
| 40. | Rh/Cr$_2$O$_3$-decorated GaN nanowires | CH$_4$ 3.5 | 300 W Xe lamp | 101 |
manipulate the structure of the surface atoms in CdS, which influences the adsorption or desorption of the reactants, intermediates and products in photocatalytic reactions. In a very recent study, Li et al. integrated the well-defined floccule-like Zn,Cd1−xS solid solution (Fig. 3) with tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin iron(III) chloride for CO2 photoreduction under visible light irradiation. The optimised photocatalyst, which was synthesized with Zn(NO3)2 · 6H2O and Cd(NO3)2 · 4H2O at 0.25 : 0.75 (ZCS-1, Fig. 3), produced 1.28 µmol of CO with a selectivity of 93% after 4 h. However, pristine CdS and other synthesized Zn,Cd1−xS solid solutions produced less than 0.4 µmol of CO under visible light irradiation. The superior performance of ZCS-1 was attributed to the presence of sulphur vacancies that trapped photogenerated electrons, provided CO2 adsorption sites and facilitated the interaction between the Zn,Cd1−xS solid solution and tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin iron(III) chloride, resulting in efficient interfacial electron transfer for the subsequent photocatalytic reduction reaction.

Copper-based materials. Cu2−xS, which have been shown to exhibit localised surface resonance in the near infrared region, and CuS, which has a direct bandgap of 2.0 eV, are nearly ideal for optimal sunlight absorption. By carefully controlling the anodization voltage and temperature during the electrochemical anodization of copper foil and copper-coated Kapton substrates, in sodium sulphide electrolyte, copper sulphides with a nanowall nanostructure were obtained (Fig. 4). The sample anodized with 1.5 V at 5 °C exhibited the highest methane formation in the CO2PR (Table 1 entry 5) under the irradiation of simulated sunlight. At low voltage and temperature, sulphur diffusion was low, leading to a lower concentration of excess sulphur in the sample that yielded CuSAs. As a result, less bulk Cu vacancy defects were formed within the sample. CuS exhibited higher charge mobility than the CuS nanostructured array, which was obtained at high temperature and high voltage.

To engineer the bandgap energy of the photocatalyst that matches the solar spectrum, a solid solution with large and small bandgap semiconductors was proposed. For instance, Arai et al. used the Cu-based sulphide complex Cu2ZnSnS4 with a direct bandgap of 1.5 eV and a large optical absorption coefficient and obtained a high selectivity of the photocatalytic CO2 reduction reaction (>80%). The Cu-based sulphide complex reported by Liu et al. showed that the Cu-based sulphide complex was able to reduce CO2 under visible light irradiation in the presence of a Ru co-catalyst. The Ru–Cu2AgIn2Zn5S18 solid solutions induced the formation of methanol in CO2PR under visible light irradiation (Table 1 entry 6). Although the study reported that the optimal performance could be obtained through the elemental composition manipulation, the nanostructures of the sulphide complex were not revealed. It is therefore questionable whether the efficiency of these photocatalysts could be further enhanced through the manipulation of their microstructures. Moreover, the stability of metal sulphates in most of the studies has not been demonstrated, and this should be emphasized more in future work.

2.2 Oxides

Semiconducting oxides have been widely used as photocatalysts because of their stability and resistance to photocorrosion under irradiation. Hence, oxides have been used for photooxidation and photoreduction reactions. The intrinsic properties of metal oxides play a critical role in determining their feasibility for CO2PR. For example, CO2PR was observed for p-type NiO covalently linked with a Zn porphyrin light-harvesting sensitizer and rhenium bipyridine system, whereas the CO oxidation reaction was observed when a similar system was coupled with n-type NiO. There are two main groups of metal oxides with a closed-shell electronic configuration that have been at the centre of interest for a CO2PR system. The first group includes octahedrally coordinated d0 transition metal ions (Ti4+, Zr4+, Nb5+, Ta5+, V5+, and W6+). Apart from TiO2, which is the most prominent member of this group, other binary oxides (e.g., ZrO2, Nb2O5, and Ta2O5) have been used in CO2PR. A number of more complex oxides referred to as titanates, niobates, tantalates, etc. are often found in a perovskite composite, AMO3 (A = electropositive cation and M = transition metal; e.g., SrTiO3 and NaNbO3), or in perovskite-related structures. Since
A separate publication reported that ZnO plates with 11.9% \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction efficiency of N-doped ZnO (1.2 m² g⁻¹) under UV-vis light irradiation when compared to the pristine hexagonal ZnO plates (44.68 and 1.57 ppm h⁻¹ of CO and CH₄, respectively).

Doping has been widely used to extend the light absorption of wide bandgap semiconductors to a longer wavelength region by introducing intra-band states above the valence band. However, this approach tends to increase the recombination rate and decrease the charge mobility of the semiconductor, as discussed in Section 1. To avoid these drawbacks, the introduction of foreign cations into the binary semiconductor was considered instead of doping. For example, the ternary \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) semiconductor was used for \( \text{CO}_2 \) PR under UV-vis irradiation. By fabricating ultralong and ultrathin single crystal \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) nanoribbons, the photocatalytic reduction rate of \( \text{CO}_2 \) into \( \text{CH}_4 \) was greatly enhanced to 25.0 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \) when compared to that of the bulk \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) (trace amounts, Table 1, entry 12). The enhanced photocatalytic efficiency was attributed to the superb crystal quality and higher surface area (28.3 m² g⁻¹) when compared to the bulk \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) (0.75 m² g⁻¹), resulting in enhanced separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and charge mobility. In the following year, the same group proposed the synthesis of the single crystal \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) at 40 °C to optimise the surface area.\(^{79}\) As a result, the surface area of the synthesised \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) nanorods was 33.1 m² g⁻¹ which yielded 179 and 35 ppm g⁻¹ h⁻¹ of CO and CH₄, respectively. Further increasing the temperature to 100 °C, however, decreased the surface area to 14.8 m² g⁻¹, yielding only 3.2 and 0.4 ppm h⁻¹ of CO and CH₄, respectively. By reducing the concentration of the Ge-precursor and the solvothermal time employed in the first study in 2010 (refer to ref. 77) by half, a sheaf-like superstructured \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) was obtained and reported by the same group in 2012 (Fig. 6).\(^{79}\) Although the \( \text{CO}_2 \) PR of the superstructured \( \text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4 \) was not reported in this study, the optimised RuO₂ and Pt co-loaded \( \text{Zn}_{1.7}\text{GeN}_{1.8}\text{O} \) nano-sheaves after nitridation (32.33 m² g⁻¹) could produce \( \text{CH}_4 \) with an apparent quantum yield of 0.024% at 450 nm (Table 1 entry 14).

**Fig. 4** SEM images of the copper sulphide nanowalls oriented vertically to the copper foil anodized at 1.5 V at room temperature (a and c), and 3.0 V (b) and 1.5 V (d) at 5 °C. Reproduced from ref. 60 with permission.

**Fig. 5** \( \text{ZnO} \) synthesized from \( \text{NH}_4\text{Zn}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{NO}_3 \) with <3 mL (left) and >3 mL (right) of water. Reproduced from ref. 76 with permission.

a recent published review has covered the use of perovskite oxide nanomaterials for \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction,\(^{78}\) this area will not be further discussed here. The second group includes main group metal oxides in a d¹⁰ configuration with a general formula of \( \text{M}_2\text{O}_3 \) or \( \text{A}_2\text{M}_6\text{O}_{13} \), where \( \text{M} \) represents Ga, Ge, In, Sn, or Sb. Many of these photocatalytically active binary and ternary oxides initially found application in photocatalytic water splitting and photocatalytic mechanism of \( \text{ZnO} \) are similar to those of \( \text{TiO}_2 \), and thus, \( \text{ZnO} \) was also used for \( \text{CO}_2 \) PR. To compare the \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction efficiency of \( \text{ZnO} \) with that of other commonly used wide bandgap semiconductors, Yahaya et al. employed commercially available \( \text{TiO}_2 \), \( \text{ZnO} \) and \( \text{NiO} \) as photocatalysts for \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction under 355 nm UV laser irradiation.

**Zinc-based materials.** \( \text{ZnO} \) has been widely used in the photodegradation of organic dyes and chemicals due to its direct and wide bandgap (3.37 eV).\(^{80}\) Additionally, the bandgap and photocatalytic mechanism of \( \text{ZnO} \) are similar to those of \( \text{TiO}_2 \), and thus, \( \text{ZnO} \) was also used for \( \text{CO}_2 \) PR. To compare the \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction efficiency of \( \text{ZnO} \) with that of other commonly used wide bandgap semiconductors, Yahaya et al. employed commercially available \( \text{TiO}_2 \), \( \text{ZnO} \) and \( \text{NiO} \) as photocatalysts for \( \text{CO}_2 \) photoreduction under 355 nm UV laser irradiation.\(^{74}\) Among the samples, \( \text{ZnO} \) and \( \text{NiO} \) produced high yields of methanol (325 and 388 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \) over 1.5 h, respectively, Table 1, entries 7 and 8); whereas \( \text{TiO}_2 \) had the lowest production yield. In order to enhance the light absorption of commercial \( \text{ZnO} \) in the UV-vis region, \( \text{ZnO} \) was calcined to 350 °C and the \( \text{ZnO} \) obtained was immobilised onto a stainless-steel mesh to reduce the agglomeration of the photocatalyst.\(^{84}\) The maximum conversion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) achieved was 11.9% (i.e., percentage of \( \text{CH}_4 \) produced from \( \text{CO}_2 \) in the presence of a \( \text{CH}_4 \) reductant). A study revealed that the microstructure of \( \text{ZnO} \) played a more vital role than doping of \( \text{ZnO} \) with nitrogen (N-\( \text{ZnO} \)), even though the latter showed enhanced light absorption from 400 to 650 nm.\(^{75}\) A fluffy mesoporous structured \( \text{ZnO} \) with a surface area of 29.7 m² g⁻¹ exhibited enhanced \( \text{CO} \) production (0.73 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \), Table 1, entry 9) under UV-vis light irradiation when compared to the N-doped \( \text{ZnO} \) (1.2 m² g⁻¹, 0.04 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \), Table 1, entry 10).
Other nanostructured ternary Zn-based oxides were also proposed by the same group more recently, including ZnGa$_2$O nanosheet-scaffolded microspheres and hexagonal nanoplate-textured Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ with micro-octahedron architecture for CO$_2$PR application. The unique architecture of the synthesized ZnGa$_2$O and Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ significantly enhanced the separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs, increased the surface area and extended light absorption. Hence, the methane yield obtained from the CO$_2$PR was greatly improved from trace amounts to 69 and 47 ppm $(\text{g catalyst} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{C}_0)^{-1}$ for the nanostructured ZnGa$_2$O and Zn$_2$SnO$_4$, respectively (Table 1, entries 15 and 16).

To promote co-adsorption of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O, Guo et al. fabricated ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ spinels doped with Ce. By using in situ FTIR, it was found that the CO$_2$ amount adsorbed on the surface of Ce-doped ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ was much higher than that on pristine ZnFe$_2$O$_4$. This phenomenon was attributed to the increase of basicity due to the presence of alkaline CeO$_2$ and electron density on the surface of the Ce-doped ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, thereby increasing the number of adsorption bonds between the CO$_2$ molecules and the surface of the photocatalyst, and activating the O=O bond (Fig. 7). The formation of active b-CO$_2$$^2$– and b-HCO$_3$– species, which could be readily translated to highly valuable products in the CO$_2$ photoreduction, was detected. Recently, Xiao et al. discovered that ultrathin ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles with a high specific surface area (112.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) could promote the selectivity of the photoproduction of CH$_3$CHO over CH$_4$, and they produced 57.8 and 13.7 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively, under visible light irradiation (>400 nm). The yield obtained from the CO$_2$PR was $1.1 \text{μmol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ under visible light irradiation $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$, whereas commercial WO$_3$ powder produced only trace amounts of methane under the same conditions. A high aspect ratio of ultrathin W$_{18}$O$_{49}$ exhibited extended optical properties in the visible and near infrared regions due to the presence of a large amount of oxygen vacancies (Fig. 8).

**Tungsten-based materials.** Among the first group of metal oxides, WO$_3$ has the smallest bandgap energy of 2.7 eV and as the edge of its conduction band is located at 0 V vs. NHE at pH 7, it cannot reduce CO$_2$. However, Xie et al. found that when the architecture of the WO$_3$ changed from quasi-cubic with an equal percentage of {002}, {200} and {020} facets to rectangular sheet-like with dominant {002} facets, it could induce CO$_2$PR in the presence of H$_2$O. The change of the predominantly exposed facets had a significant effect on the electronic configuration of WO$_3$, in which the rectangular sheet-like WO$_3$ possessed a slightly larger bandgap (2.79 eV) and its conduction band was increased by 0.3 eV. As a result, the conduction band was positioned slightly above the CH$_4$/CO$_2$ potential ($\approx -0.24$ V), inducing the methane formation from CO$_2$ at a rate of $\approx 0.34 \text{μmol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$. Chen et al. found that the conduction band of WO$_3$ was shifted to a more negative position ($\approx -0.42$ V, bandgap energy: 2.79 eV) with a stronger reducing driving force, when the ultrathin (~4–5 nm) single crystal WO$_3$ was synthesized using a solid–liquid phase arc discharge route in an aqueous solution. The yield obtained from the CO$_2$PR was $\approx 1.1 \text{μmol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ under visible light irradiation $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$, whereas commercial WO$_3$ powder produced only trace amounts of methane under the same conditions. A high aspect ratio of ultrathin W$_{18}$O$_{49}$ exhibited extended optical properties in the visible and near infrared regions due to the presence of a large amount of oxygen vacancies (Fig. 8).
ultrathin \(W_{18}O_{49}\) exhibited the photoreduction of \(CO_2\) to \(CH_4\) at 70 °C under visible light irradiation without a co-catalyst (Fig. 8, Table 1 entry 20). The study observed that the selectivity towards \(CH_4\) over other hydrocarbons (e.g., ethanol and acetone) was as high as 95%.

The introduction of foreign elements into tungsten oxide, which generated ternary \(Bi_3WO_6\), was reported.\(^8\) The \(Bi_2WO_6\) with predominant \{001\} facets was proposed to be the most energetically favoured reactive surface for \(CO_2\) dissociation, resulting in 1.1 \(\mu mol\ \text{g}^{-1}\ h^{-1}\) of methane under visible light irradiation (\(\lambda > 420\) nm), whereas the bulk \(Bi_2WO_6\) prepared through a solid state reaction produced only trace amounts of methane. Cheng et al. also proposed that the microstructure of \(Bi_2WO_6\) could enhance \(CO_2\) adsorption.\(^8\) A template-free anion exchange strategy was used to synthesize hollow microspheres of \(Bi_2WO_6\) (Fig. 9a and b). The synthesized \(Bi_2WO_6\) exhibited higher \(CO_2\) adsorption capacity when compared to \(BiVO_4\) and \(BiPO_4\) nanoparticles without hollow structures (Fig. 9c and d, respectively), leading to high photocconversion of \(CO_2\) into methanol.

**Niobate-based materials.** Niobates with a perovskite structure have gained some attention because they share many characteristics (i.e., non-toxicity, stability, and indirect wide bandgap) with titanates. Moreover, the conduction band of niobates is slightly more reductive than that of titanates, suggesting that niobates could be a more suitable material for \(CO_2\)PR. A study had shown that the microstructure of \(NaNbO_3\) played an important role in its photocatalytic activity. \(NaNbO_3\) nanowires (653 ppm h \(^{-1}\) g \(^{-1}\), Fig. 10a) with a smaller bandgap (3.2 eV) and larger surface area (12.0 m \(^2\) g \(^{-1}\)) exhibited much higher methane formation from \(CO_2\) when compared to the \(NaNbO_3\) bulk (3.2 eV, 1.4 m \(^2\) g \(^{-1}\), 22 ppm h \(^{-1}\) g \(^{-1}\), Fig. 10b).\(^8\) The enhanced photocatalytic activity was proposed to be due to the high crystallinity, high aspect ratio and anisotropic effect of the synthesized nanowires. Li et al. also demonstrated that the size and microstructure of photocatalysts play an important role in their photocatalytic activity.\(^8\) \(KNbO_3\) and \(HNbO_3\) nanobelts samples with a higher surface area, which were 28.8 and 39.4 m \(^2\) g \(^{-1}\), respectively, exhibited a \(\approx 10\) times higher photoproduction rate of methane from \(CO_2\) than the irregularly shaped \(KNb_3O_8\) and \(HNb_3O_8\) samples, which were 2.7 and 6.5 m \(^2\) g \(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 1 entry 24 and 25). A similar observation was reported by Xie et al., in which the nanoplates of \(SrNb_2O_6\) with an increased surface area revealed improved chemisorption of \(CO_2\) and the separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs.\(^7\) As a result, more products, such as \(CO\) and \(CH_4\), were obtained from the \(CO_2\)PR compared to the \(SrNb_2O_6\) nanorods and nanoparticles with a lower surface area. A more recent study revealed that the nanorod-structured \(SrNb_2O_6\) \((1.78 m^2g^{-1}, 51.2 \mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1})\) exhibited a higher photoreduction rate and selectivity towards \(CO\) evolution over \(H_2\) (>95%) than the \(SrNb_2O_6\) nanoflakes \((3.85 m^2g^{-1}, 6 \mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1}, \sim 39\%)\) and \(SrNb_2O_6\) nanoparticles even though the latter possessed a higher surface area.\(^9\) This phenomenon was attributed to the separation of the reduction and oxidation sites on the nanorods that decreased the recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs.

**Tantalum-based materials.** Tantalum-based semiconductors have been widely used as a photocatalyst for water splitting. Having higher potentials than \(TiO_2\) and above the reduction potential of \(CO_2/CH_3OH, H_2CO_3/CH_3OH, HCO_3^-/CH_3OH\) and \(CO_2^2-/CH_3OH, Ta_2O_5\) can be employed for the \(CO_2\)PR.\(^\text{ref. 88}\) However, the large bandgap energy of \(Ta_2O_5\) (\(\sim 3.9\) eV) has restricted its light absorption in the visible region.\(^\text{ref. 88}\) Hence, Sato et al. used \(N-Ta_2O_5\) to couple a series of ruthenium bipyrindine catalysts for the photocatalytic \(CO\) reduction to formic acid under visible light irradiation (405 nm).\(^\text{ref. 109}\) The photoreduction rate was found to be \(\sim 70 \mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1}\) in an acetonitrile/triethanolamine mixture. To enhance the electron transportation and suppress the electron–hole recombination, \(Ta_2O_5\) was immobilised on reduced graphene and \(NiO_x\) was used as the co-catalyst (Fig. 11).\(^\text{ref. 81}\) The highest photoproduction rate of methanol from 3% \(NiO_x-Ta_2O_5\) 1% reduced graphene sample was \(\sim 20.83\) and 197.92 \(\mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1}\) without and with the presence of NaHCO\(_3\) solution, respectively.

Much effort has focused on \(InTaO_4\) as the ternary tantalum-based semiconducting photocatalyst for \(CO_2\)PR. For instance, Pan and Chen demonstrated that \(InTaO_4\) could reduce \(CO_2\) to methanol \((\sim 1.1 \mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1})\) in 0.2 M KHCO\(_3\) aqueous solution under visible light irradiation.\(^\text{ref. 110}\) The methanol production rate could marginally increase to 1.2 \(\mu mol g_{catalyst}^{-1}\)
h⁻¹ after coupling 1 wt% NiO as the co-catalyst and 1.4 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹ after the application of reduction–oxidation pre-treatment. Tuning the size and crystallinity of InTaO₄ nanoparticles resulted in the bandgap energy range from 2.6 to 3.0 eV and could also enhance the production of methanol from CO₂. The highest production rate was about 2.7 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹ when 1.0 wt% NiO was added as the co-catalyst. The methanol generation from InTaO₄ was further enhanced by introducing core–shell Ni/NiO nanoparticles on nitrogen doped InTaO₄, leading to 160 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹ under the irradiation of a 400 W high-pressure mercury lamp.\(^{59}\) KTaO₃ was also used to reduce CO₂ to CO under visible light irradiation.\(^{12}\) Three samples were synthesized with different bandgaps ranging from 3.5 to 3.7 eV and yielded the highest amount of CO at ~62 ppm \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹.

Recently, LaTa₇O₁₉ and CaTa₄O₁₁ (bandgap energies of 4.1 and 4.5 eV, respectively) were shown to be active for CO₂PR. CO was produced after loading with 1 wt% Ag co-catalyst due to the preferable conduction band positions (50 and 70 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹, respectively, in the presence of 0.1 M NaHCO₃ under the irradiation of a 400 W high-pressure mercury lamp).\(^{69}\) NaTaO₃ doped with different elements, such as Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba and La, has been proposed as a highly active photocatalyst for CO₂PR using water as the electron donor in the presence of a Ag co-catalyst under UV irradiation. \(^{24}\) Among the samples, Ba-doped NaTaO₃ loaded with 1.0 wt% Ag co-catalyst using the liquid-phase reduction method exhibited the highest CO production and selectivity from CO₂ (~50 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹ and 56%, respectively).

Quaternary tantalates have been developed recently and revealed to be active for CO₂ photoreduction in the presence of water.\(^ {111}\) \(K_xR_xTa_5O_{15}\) (RE = rare-earth element, namely La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Y, and Sm) was fabricated using the flux method with KCl, which favoured the rod-like morphology, followed by calcination treatment at 1150 °C for 6 h.\(^ {23}\) Among the quaternary tantalates, \(K_xCeTa_5O_{15}\) possessed the smallest bandgap energy (2.42 eV, 0.7 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹), but \(K_2YTa_5O_{15}\) photo-produced the highest amount of CO (3.86 eV, 91.9 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹). The addition of Y was shown to be beneficial for capturing CO₂ and subsequently for photoreduction. Meanwhile, the presence of K in the tantalates played an important role in determining the growth orientation of the rod-like structure, thereby affecting the activity in CO₂ photoreduction.

**Miscellaneous.** CeO₂ is a basic metal oxide that can transform inert linear CO₂ into b-HCO₃⁻ and b-CO₃²⁻ to reduce the reductive potential of CO₂.\(^ {114}\) Hence, it has recently attracted a lot of attention. However, it suffers from rapid recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and possesses a large bandgap (3.0–3.4 eV), which restricts the light absorption in the UV range.\(^ {115}\) To improve the performance of CeO₂ for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ under visible irradiation, Xiong et al. proposed the coupling of Ag/Ag₃PO₄ with CeO₂ to construct heterojunctions for enhancing the separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and improve light absorption because Ag₃PO₄ has a narrow bandgap of 2.42 eV.\(^ {116}\) The highest CH₃OH and C₂H₅OH yield obtained was 10.6 and 7.9 μmol \(g_{\text{catalyst}}\) h⁻¹, respectively. Zhang discovered that when Ni was loaded on CeO₂, the nanocomposite exhibited enhanced photo(thermo)catalytic performance and inhibited carbon deposition.\(^ {117}\) Moreover, it is interesting to note that the full light spectrum response from UV to infrared of the Ni metal on CeO₂ decreased the activation energy of C and CH oxidation steps, thus improving the overall photo(thermo)catalytic performance.

MgO was employed to photocatalytically reduce CO₂ into CO with a production rate of ~1.6 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ over 6 h in the presence of H₂ as the reductant under UV light (\(\lambda < 290\) nm).\(^ {118}\) Mesoporous Ga₂O₃ yielded 1.46 and 0.21 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ of CO and CH₂, respectively, from CO₂ under visible light irradiation.\(^ {119}\) When Ga₂O₃ was loaded with Ag, the photoproduction rate of CO from CO₂ was 10.5 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ under UV light irradiation (Table 1 entry 33).\(^ {36}\) Iron oxides were proposed as a photoactive centre to induce the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂.\(^ {120}\) Using electron spin resonance spectroscopy (ESR), the photogenerated electrons from the Fe–O species were efficiently consumed by CO₂ under UV irradiation.

Lamellar BiVO₄ was proposed to exhibit a selective methanol production from CO₂ photoreduction under visible light.
The production rate of the Co-doped BiVO4 was suggested to be three times higher than that of the pristine BiVO4, at a low concentration. The optimal CH4 production rate was 23.8 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, which was three times higher than that of the pristine BiVO4, at 60 °C with an atmospheric CO2 concentration (~400 ppm) under a UV lamp (25 W at 254 nm). The enhancement of the production rate of the Co-doped BiVO4 was suggested to be due to the presence of electron enriched adsorption sites, which was contributed by the Co dopant, activating the CO2 molecules for further reduction reaction.

Delafossite materials with a general stoichiometry of ABO2, in which A is a monovalent metal ion, such as Cu, Ag, and Pt, and B is a trivalent metal ion, such as Al, Ga, and Fe, as the new class of photocatalysts have also been considered for CO2 photoreduction.98 CuGaO2 (bandgap energy ~3.7 and weak absorption at 2.6 eV) and the Fe-alloyed CuGa1-xFe2O (1.5 eV) facilitated the photo-generation of CO from CO2 under the irradiation of a Xe lamp though varied amounts of Fe substituted into CuGaO2 did not significantly enhance the CO2 photoreduction performance (Table 1 entry 35).98

Based on the Lewis acidity of CO2, alkaline catalysts will benefit the adsorption and activation of CO2. Layered double hydroxide (LDH) materials usually possess high specific surface areas, which provide numerous active sites for the catalytic reaction. The fabricated CoAl LDH facilitated an enhanced CO2 photoreduction reaction when compared to P25 due to the surface alkaline OH groups for electron adsorption. In addition, the utilisation of LDH in CO2 photoreduction has been reviewed previously, and thus, interested readers may refer to the published review articles.99,102 In summary, metal oxides have shown their ability to promote photocatalytic reduction of CO2, as discussed in the previous section. However, most of these photocatalysts only work under UV irradiation due to their large bandgap energies (>3 eV). The relatively large bandgap of metal oxides originates from the valence band maximum, which is formed by O 2p orbitals and is more positive than 3 V.113 Hence, if metal oxides meet the thermodynamic requirement for CO2PR and H2O photooxidation, then the bandgap of the metal oxides inevitably becomes larger than 3.0 eV, which is too wide to absorb visible light.124

2.3 Oxynitrides

Tantalum-based materials. The N 2p orbital has a higher potential energy than the O 2p orbital, which indicates that metal oxynitrides as well as metal nitrides could be employed as an efficient photocatalyst.42,125 For example, in the case of tantalum oxynitride and tantalum nitride, when nitrogen-based N 2p atomic orbitals were introduced into Ta2O5, new orbitals with a higher bound state energy are generated, resulting in a decrease of bandgap energy.42,108,126 As a result, the bandgaps of TaON and Ta3N5 (2.5 and 2.1 eV, respectively) are both smaller than that of Ta2O5 (3.9 eV) and thus can effectively absorb visible light and drive the photocatalytic activity. Moreover, the N content plays an important role in determining the bandgap energy in oxynitrides and nitrides. Therefore, Gao et al. proposed a Ca-assisted urea synthesis method to controllably synthesize TaON and Ta3N5 with a tailored N composition.127 In addition, the initial urea:Ta molar ratio used in the proposed synthesis method was also beneficial to control the size and homogeneity of the final product. Recently, the use of the porous spherical architecture of TaON for CO2 photoreduction was proposed.100 The surface area of the porous spherical TaON was ~11.12 m² g⁻¹, whereas that of commercial TaON was ~7.41 m² g⁻¹. As a result, the CH2CHO and CH3OH production rates from CO2 using the porous TaON (0.52 and 2.03 μmol gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) were higher when compared to those of the commercial TaON (0.16 and 0.84 μmol gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) under visible light irradiation. The enhanced CO2PR in the porous TaON was attributed to the increase of surface area. In addition, the reduction of charge transfer distance and enhanced light scattering within the porous spherical structure were also suggested to play roles in enhancing the photocatalytic reduction of CO2.

The CO2PR is a multi-electron process, and a variety of products can be produced using a single semiconducting photocatalyst. The achievement of efficient and selective production of highly valuable fuels is critical for viable CO2 photoreduction processes. The application of perovskite oxynitrides, such as CaTaO2N coupled with the binuclear Ru(II) complex photosensitiser and loaded with the Ag co-catalyst, revealed an enhanced selectivity for HCOOH production (>99%) from CO2 under visible light irradiation due to the enhanced interfacial electron transfer.128 A similar approach with the same photosensitiser and co-catalyst coupled with yttrium–tantalum oxynitride (YTON) was recently proposed by the same group.129 The YTON (2.1 eV) exhibited a smaller bandgap than CaTaO2N (2.5 eV), thus extending the light absorption up to 600 nm. Moreover, the selectivity for HCOOH formation from CO2 was not affected and remained as high as that in their previous study (>99%).

Zinc-based materials. Mesoporous ZnGeON was used as a photocatalyst for CO2PR under visible light irradiation (λ < 400 nm).130 The prolonged nitridation time from 1 to 15 h decreased the Zn and O contents, in which Zn was evaporated and O was substituted by N, at 800 °C in an NH3 environment. However, the crystallinity of ZnGeON was enhanced with a slight decrease in the surface area. The ZnGeON nitrided for 10 h (24.4 m² g⁻¹) exhibited the highest CH4 production rate of 2.7 ppm gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹, which was higher than those of the ZnGeON prepared using the solid-state reaction (3.3 m² g⁻¹, 1.1 ppm gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) and N-doped TiO2 (2.2 ppm gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹). As discussed in Section 2.2, zinc-based materials like ZnGa2O4 possessed a bandgap energy of ~4.5 eV, in which
the valence band was mainly composed of O 2p and the conduction band was formed from the hybridization of Ga 4s and Zn 4p orbitals. In order to reduce the bandgap energy, nitridation of mesoporous ZnGa2O4 was proposed. The nitridation of ZnGa2O4 stimulated the hybridization of Zn 3d, N 2p and O 2p and formed the valence band, whereas the conduction band was composed of the Ga 4s and 4p orbitals. As a result, the bandgap energy was reduced to 2.5 eV, resulting from the uplifting of the maximum of the valence band and the lowering of the minimum of the conduction band. To further enhance the CO2PR performance, a ZnGa2ON solid solution was modified with ZnAl2O4 that acted as the CO2 arrester (Fig. 12). The increase of Zn content had also decreased the bandgap energy to 2.3 eV. Benefiting from the mesoporous structure, smaller bandgap and enhanced CO2 adsorption ability, the ZnAl2O4-modified ZnGa2ON showed a methane generation rate of 9.2 μmol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1} from CO2, which was 9 times higher than that of the pristine ZnGa2ON under visible light irradiation (λ ≥ 420 nm).

2.4 Nitrides

**Gallium-based materials.** Through engineering the nanostructure of the co-catalyst used, selectivity using semiconducting nitrides could be enhanced dramatically, as demonstrated by AlOtaiib et al. The decoration of the nonpolar GaN nanowires with the Rh core and amorphous Cr2O3 shell co-catalyst significantly increased the production rate of CH4 from 1.3 (bare GaN) to 3.4 μmol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1}, but the CO production rate decreased from 1130 (bare GaN) to ~120 μmol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1} in 24 h (Fig. 12). Due to the effective collection of photogenerated electrons by the Rh core and amorphous Cr2O3 shell co-catalyst, no apparent reductive reaction (e.g., photoreduction of CO2 to CO) occurred on the surface of GaN without Rh coverage. As a result, the product selectivity towards CH4 was enhanced in the CO2PR under UV-visible light irradiation. In addition, the decoration of the GaN nanowires with Rh/Cr2O3 could suppress the back reaction that formed H2O from H2 and O2, and offered adsorption sites for CO2.

In summary, both metal oxynitrides and nitrides have shown their capability to photoreduce CO2 with more favourable optical properties when compared to metal oxides. Unfortunately, these groups of materials have not been extensively explored.

![Fig. 12](image)

(a) High-resolution TEM image and (b) TEM image of Rh/Cr2O3 core/shell decorated GaN nanowires. (c) Schematic of the photoreduction processes of CO2 on Rh/Cr2O3-decorated GaN nanowires. Reproduced from ref. 102 with permission.

3. Hole scavengers for CO2 photoreduction

Various semiconducting materials have been proposed as photocatalysts for CO2PR under UV and/or visible irradiation, as discussed in Section 2 and summarised in some other references. However, the quantum efficiency of the CO2 photoconversion into hydrocarbons remained low and could not rely only on the development of photocatalysts. System optimisation plays an important role in optimising the conversion rate and selectivity as well as photocatalyst stability. Therefore, the increase of CO2PR efficiency through the introduction of a hole scavenging agent has gained significant interest. In this section, the use of organic and inorganic hole scavengers is reviewed.

3.1 Inorganic hole scavengers

As discussed in Section 2.1, metal sulphides suffer from photocorrosion in an aqueous dispersion due to the oxidation of lattice S2− ions to elemental S and subsequently to sulphates. Hence, the addition of reducing agents to prevent the oxidation of the lattice S2− ions by scavenging the photogenerated holes was proposed. Kanemoto et al. achieved a cumulative quantum yield of 72% with irradiation of UV light at 313 nm and 0.1 M HCOOH and CO2 respectively when NaH2PO4 and Na2S (0.35 and 0.24 M, respectively) were added into the system that contained ZnS as the photocatalyst for CO2PR (Table 2 entry 1).

A systematic study was recently carried out to investigate the effect of Na2S as the hole scavenger for ZnS on the CO2PR at λ = 345 nm. The study elucidated that the photogenerated holes on the surface of ZnS were directly consumed by Na2S, whereas photogenerated electrons were pumped into the conduction band simultaneously. In addition, the behaviour of the reaction rate at different pH values resembled that of the solubility of CO2, discarding the direct participation of the HCO3− ions to elemental S and subsequently to sulphates. The study demonstrated that KHCO3 acted as an effective hole scavenger as well as a buffer to mitigate the pH change induced by the CO2 saturation. This phenomenon, however, was not observed when only K2SO3 was used as the hole scavenging agent.

The optimised solution with 0.1 g of colloidal ZnS, 0.1 M K2SO3 and 0.5 M KHCO3 achieved 464.2 and 81.3 μmol g_{catalyst}^{-1} h^{-1} of HCOOH and CO2, respectively, under UV-vis irradiation (Table 2 entry 2). The selectivity towards HCOOH was reported to be 12.5%, and this could be improved to 95.0% when Cd was added to the colloidal ZnS suspension as the co-catalyst.

Inorganic salts (e.g., NaOH, Na2S, etc.) have been reported to have a significant effect on CO2PR. The addition of NaOH had been shown to increase the solubility of CO2 compared to pure H2O because the OH− ions provided by NaOH in aqueous solution reacted with the dissolved CO2 and transformed into CO3 2− and further into HCO3− in the CO2-saturated system. It was suggested that the high
concentration of HCO$_3^-$ present in the system could accelerate the photoreduction reaction, thereby enhancing the photoreduction performance.\textsuperscript{136,137} The direct consumption of HCO$_3^-$ to produce CO was observed in a CO$_2$ aqueous solution using a Zn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ photocatalyst.\textsuperscript{138} This observation was further investigated by Nakanishi et al., in which the production of CO occurred after transforming HCO$_3^-$ into CO$_2$.\textsuperscript{94} Therefore, the addition of HCO$_3^-$ increased the CO$_2$ supply in the aqueous system, but did not enhance the numbers of reacted electrons and holes. In other words, under basic conditions, H$_2$ production could be significantly suppressed, resulting in a high selectivity of CO from CO$_2$. Jin et al. \textsuperscript{138} concurred that the addition of NaOH promoted the formation of HCO$_3^-$; however, the production of methanol was observed in the photocatalytic system with a BiVO$_4$ photocatalyst.\textsuperscript{97}

The addition of NaOH, Na$_2$CO$_3$ and NaHCO$_3$ to the CO$_2$ photoreduction aqueous solution was shown to promote the photoproduction of CO, whereas H$_2$SO$_4$ and NaCl were found to favour water splitting, leading to the production of H$_2$.\textsuperscript{90,94,136,137} However, a recent study has proposed that the inclusion of Cl$^-$ from NaCl could scavenge the photogenerated holes for the CO$_2$ photocatalytic reduction process in a aqueous solution over Ni-Al layered double hydroxides.\textsuperscript{139} The selectivity towards CO over H$_2$ was 86% when NaCl was added into the photoreduction system (\textasciitilde 6.95 and \textasciitilde 1.16 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of CO and H$_2$, respectively), whereas in the absence of a scavenging agent, the selectivity towards CO over H$_2$ was only 54% (\textasciitilde 3.15 and \textasciitilde 2.63 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of CO and H$_2$, respectively). The authors also pointed out that the addition of NaHCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$ promoted the production of H$_2$ via the reduction of H$^+$ derived from H$_2$O instead of the CO$_2$PR. Neither Na$_2$SO$_4$ nor NaNO$_3$ could positively enhance the production of CO. A similar extent of CO evolution and selectivity were also observed when other chloride salts were added, namely CsCl (\textasciitilde 8 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of CO, 82%), MgCl$_2$ (\textasciitilde 7 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of CO, 82%) and CaCl$_2$ (\textasciitilde 7.5 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of CO, 82%). However, other halogenide salts (NaBr and NaI) showed a weaker photoreduction ability than NaCl.

### 3.2 Organic hole scavengers

Since the last century, ZnS had been used as photocatalyst for the CO$_2$PR.\textsuperscript{130,137} Triethylamine (TEA) showed its feasibility to be used as the hole scavenger, inhibiting the photocorrosion for sulphite photocatalysts.\textsuperscript{7} In ZnS systems, 2-propanol was one of
the common hole scavengers for CO₂PR. A previous report proposed that the light energy could be stored within the light-induced reaction given as

$$\text{CO}_2 + (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHOH} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} + (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CO}$$

The Gibbs free energy of this reaction is +62.8 kJ mol⁻¹ at 25 °C.\(^{147}\)

In a system of Cd-loaded ZnS, CO₂ photoproduced formic acid with a quantum efficiency 32.5% in the presence of 1 M 2-propanol.\(^{147}\) Further increasing the Cd concentration resulted in the formation of CO. A study revealed that CdS was capable of photoreducing CO₂ to CO when N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) containing 1 v/v% water was employed in the system.\(^{148}\) A similar observation was reported, in which CO was photoproduced when CdS was dispersed in DMF under the irradiation of a 500 W mercury lamp with a 300 nm cut off filter.\(^{149}\) When DMF was substituted with a low polarity solvent, such as CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂, CO production was dominant, whereas when using a high polar solvent, such as H₂O, formate was produced. This was because the adsorbability of the CO₂⁻⁻, an intermediate species after the activation of CO₂, was strongly dependent on the polarity of the solvent used. For instance, low polarity molecules enabled strong adsorption of CO₂⁻⁻ on the Cd sites of CdS through the carbon atom of CO₂⁻⁻, which was not highly solvated in solvents of low polarity, resulting in the formation of CO. When high polar solvents were used, CO₂⁻⁻ was stabilised in the system and established only weak interactions with the photocatalyst. As a result, CO₂⁻⁻ tended to react with a proton and produced formate.

A recent study suggested that the CO₂ photoreduction process can be greener when glycerol, which is a green solvent derived from vegetable oil, was used as the hole scavenger instead of petroleum-derived solvents.\(^{144}\) In this study, wurtzite ZnS facilitated the photoproduction of formic acid from CO₂ with an apparent quantum efficiency of 3.2% and 0.9% when glycerol and 2-propanol, respectively, were employed as the hole scavenger.

Cyclohexanol was used as the hole scavenger for the CO₂ photoreduction under UV light irradiation.\(^{149}\) The optimised sample exhibited the production of cyclohexyl formate and cyclohexanone (178.1 and 170.2 μmol g⁻¹ cat, respectively) after 8 h. The authors elucidated that the production of cyclohexanone was slightly lower than that of cyclohexyl formate because some of the photogenerated holes were consumed by cyclohexanol to form cyclohexyl ether.

A recent study demonstrated that a Ru(II)-complex/C₃N₄ nanocomposite could induce the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by using a mixture of solvents (N,N-dimethylacetamide and DMA/TEOA).\(^{142}\) The apparent quantum efficiency achieved was 5.7% at 400 nm (Table 2 Entry 12). In addition, the product selectivity of the Ru(II)-complex/C₃N₄ nanocomposite could be enhanced through manipulating the solvent used (Fig. 13).\(^{145}\)

In order to avoid using organic solvents as the medium, the mononuclear Ru(II) complex proposed in a previous study\(^{144}\) was replaced with a binuclear Ru(II) complex coupled with Ag/C₃N₄ and was employed as the photocatalyst (Table 2 entry 13).\(^{143}\) Since no reduction product was obtained in pure water, a hole scavenger (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate, EDTA·Na₂) was added to promote the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction in water. The main product was HCOOH, and H₂ was produced as a by-product under visible light irradiation (λ > 400 nm). Other hole scavenging agents (e.g., potassium oxalate and sodium ascorbate) were shown to be useful for the CO₂PR. Among the three hole scavenging agents, sodium ascorbate exhibited the best performance with 31.7 μmol and 86% selectivity towards HCOOH. The HCOOH production could be further enhanced to 83.3 μmol with selectivity 97% when K₂CO₃ (0.1 M) was used as an additive.\(^{144}\) However, the production of H₂ was reduced by half.

The introduction of organic and inorganic hole scavenging agents has exhibited advantages to enhance the efficiency of CO₂PR. The presence of hole scavenging agents in the CO₂PR process is necessary if the oxidation reaction in the CO₂PR cannot be inhibited by the photocatalyst. Moreover, to avoid carbon contamination and false positive errors for the photogeneration of hydrocarbons in the CO₂PR process, inorganic hole scavenging agents are preferred.

### 4. Conclusions and future directions

To date, significant achievements have been made in the design and fabrication of photocatalysts and the optimisation of photocatalytic systems. CO₂PR using metal sulphides, oxides, oxynitrides and nitrides accumulated so far have offered alternative photocatalytic materials other than TiO₂. Material properties, including the surface area, light harvesting, and charge generation, separation and transportation, have been manipulated through the structural and morphological control during the fabrication processes, leading to enhanced CO₂PR performance. Amongst the non-titania photocatalysts (metal sulphides, oxides, oxynitrides and nitrides) reviewed here, the ultrathin W₁₈O₄₉ exhibited the highest CH₄ yield (2200 μmol g⁻¹ cat⁻¹ h⁻¹) from CO₂ under visible light irradiation. The
The presence of oxygen vacancies was suggested to play an important role in the \textit{CO$_2$PR}. On the other hand, the addition of inorganic salts or organic solvents into an aqueous system has shown to effectively scavenge the photogenerated holes and/or increase \textit{CO$_2$} solubility.

Although significant studies have been carried out on \textit{CO$_2$PR}, some challenges still remain. Firstly, an in-depth understanding of the working mechanism in a \textit{CO$_2$} photoreduction process is still not well understood. Hence, a trial-and-error approach was used when designing photocatalysts, attempting to achieve a high \textit{CO$_2$PR} efficiency. Secondly, the insight into the \textit{CO$_2$PR} in the presence of hole scavenging agent(s) is not available. Moreover, due to this lack of knowledge, a rational design to combine state-of-art photocatalysts with the desired hole scavenging agent(s) for carbon fuel production is difficult to achieve. Therefore, more effort is required in material advancement, studies of the combined effect of the proposed photocatalyst with a hole scavenger should be encouraged. In addition, further investigation of \textit{CO$_2$PR} at the molecular level through \textit{in situ} characterisation techniques should be carried out as this is key to boosting the efficiency of \textit{CO$_2$PR}.
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