ABSTRACT: The valency of quantum dot nanoparticles conjugated with biomolecules is closely related to their performance in cell tagging, tracking, and imaging experiments. Commercially available streptavidin conjugates (SAv QDs) are the most commonly used tool for preparing QD–biomolecule conjugates. The fluorescence quenching of biotin-4-fluorescein (B4F) provides a straightforward assay to quantify the number of biotin binding sites per SAv QD. The utility of this method was demonstrated by quantitatively characterizing the biotin binding capacity of commercially available amphiphilic poly(acrylic acid) Qdot ITK SAv conjugates and poly(ethylene glycol) modified Qdot PEG SAv conjugates with emission wavelengths of 525, 545, 565, 585, 605, 625, 655, 705, and 800 nm. Results showed that 5- to 30-fold more biotin binding sites are available on ITK SAv QDs compared to PEG SAv QDs of the same color with no systematic variation of biotin binding capacity with size.

INTRODUCTION

QDs are semiconductor nanoparticles that have size and composition tunable fluorescence emission spectra, narrow emission bands, and very high levels of brightness and photostability. In the 12 years since quantum dots (QDs) were first rendered biochemically stable for biological applications, many groups have been working to optimize or apply QD conjugates to answer biological, biomedical, and clinical research questions. A wide number of approaches have been used to chemically modify QDs with biomolecules and to apply them to a range of assays and imaging methods. The most practical bioconjugation method to emerge makes use of the high-affinity and selective interaction of biotinylated ligands with commercially available SAv QD conjugates. For example, SAv QDs can be used to perform multicolor analysis of multiple antigen-specific cell populations in flow cytometry. By creating QD conjugates or MHC tetramers targeted toward a variety of cell surface proteins, one can reveal phenotypic characteristics important in understanding cell differentiation and immune responses. Others have used SAv QDs conjugated to biotin-modified proteins of interest to target and image cellular structures such as membrane receptors, actin, nuclear antigens, or DNA.

As colloidal materials, synthesized QD conjugates are known to vary in physiochemical and biochemical properties. This variation has resulted in differences in cellular uptake, subcellular localization, and systemic distribution of QDs bearing different surface characteristics in vivo. Since they are colloidal materials, QDs tend to have multiple biological ligands linked on a single particle. Hence, QDs have biophysical and biological properties that are distinct from typical dye conjugates. For many biophysical and cell biology studies, stoichiometry must be adjusted to avoid multivalency issues such as receptor cross-linking. However, published methods for quantifying bioactivity of QD conjugates are material intensive or rely on special equipment.

Ligand density is known to affect cell internalization of recognized substrates, and variations in QD valency can affect cross-linking of surface proteins, activating signaling pathways and reducing receptor mobility. Such variation in QD bioactivity makes protocols unreliable and questions dependent on the binding capacity of QDs difficult to answer. For example, Wu et al. were unable to use biotin beads to develop flow cytometry calibration standards because the valency of SAv QDs was unregulated, as witnessed by inconsistent flow cytometry readings. In addition, variable valency can affect the quantitation of fluorescent binding experiments.
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Table 1. Summary of Literature Reports of Binding Capacity of SAv QDs

| no. of SAv per QD | no. of biotin binding sites per QD | source                  |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 4 to 10          | 16 to 40                         | Howarth, 2008           |
| 5 to 10          | 15 to 30                         | Young, 2005             |
| 5 to 10          | -                                | Vu, 2005                |
| 5 to 10          | -                                | Lidke, 2007             |
| 6 to 8           | 2 to 3                           | Invitrogen, 2006        |
| 8 to 10          | -                                | Wu, 2007                |
| -                | 10                               | Swift, 2006             |
| 10               | -                                | Carstairs, 2009         |
| 15 to 25         | -                                | Zhang, 2005             |
| -                | 20                               | Ruan, 2007              |

Conjugate valency is an essential parameter to determine for any conjugate, yet there are few reports and even fewer methods published for routine characterization of QD valency. Using an isotope dilution method, Cai et al. quantified the number of RGD peptides per QD particle. Clarke et al. developed a radiolabeling assay to track the attachment of small biomolecules to QD surfaces. Swift et al. determined the number of biotin binding sites per SAv QD using biotinylated QDs in a blocking binding assay with two-photon excitation fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy (TPE-XCS) analysis. Additional methods using chromatographic or electrophoretic techniques, gelelectrophoresis, and AFM qualitatively address questions of QD size, morphology, and mobility related to valency. It is essential to quantify the bioactivity of each preparation of QD conjugates, to account for non-uniformities in size, surface chemistry, and coupling efficiency. This is sine qua non for dye conjugates and represents a significant shortcoming in the literature of QD conjugates. The ability to correlate QD valency with bioactivity and performance will allow determination of the optimum number of bioligands required for efficient conjugate preparation or delivery into cells and may provide a quantitative basis for variations seen in both commercially available and homemade QDs.

Our approach was to apply a biotin-4-fluorescein (B4F) quenching titration assay to QDs to characterize the number of biotin binding sites per QD. B4F is a commercially available dye, which quenches upon binding to SAv. The number of biotin-binding sites is determined by the point of intersection between two binding regimes in a titration of the conjugate with B4F. The first regime of fluorescence quenching occurs at lower concentrations of B4F ligand relative to conjugate concentration. Here, B4F molecules are quantitatively bound by the available biotin binding sites. There is some nonlinearity in this regime due to the fact that the extent of B4F fluorescence quenching varies with the number of B4F molecules bound per substrate molecule. The most pronounced quenching occurs when all four sites of the SAv are bound with B4F. In a 4-to-1 B4F-to-SAv complex, the extent of B4F fluorescence quenching by SAv is 88%. After the saturation point, a second linear regime of concentration-dependent fluorescence occurs at higher concentrations of B4F ligand to substrate concentration. This fluorescence assay detects down to 0.5 nM binding sites in purified proteins with 30 min incubation conditions. This method provides a direct measurement of available binding sites, rather than the number of conjugated proteins. Where questions of ligand stoichiometry are important, this technique gives a more accurate, quantitative description of biochemical valency compared to size or charge dependent analyses. This method was applied to a range of commercial SAv functionalized QDs.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Calibration of B4F Concentration. The estimated or “nominal” concentration of B4F was determined from measured absorbance of B4F (Invitrogen) at 495 nm obtained by UV–vis spectroscopy on a PerkinElmer Lambda 45 instrument, based on an extinction coefficient of 68 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Kada et al. note uncertainty associated with this molar extinction coefficient, which can be calibrated for effective concentration of B4F using a known amount of substrate with certain extinction coefficient and number of binding sites. In this case, we used SAv to calibrate B4F concentration because it is well-known to have 4 biotin binding sites per molecule. The concentration of SAv (Sigma) was determined from measured absorbance of SAv at 280 nm with an extinction coefficient of 204 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ as provided by the manufacturer. 1 nM SAv was titrated with a 2-fold serial dilution of B4F in terms of nominal concentration as described in the assay below. Since there are 4 binding sites per SAv molecule, the intersection point of the titration marking saturation of all binding sites per SAv should occur at 4 nM B4F. Therefore, the value of the intersection point, in terms of nominal concentration, is divided by 4 to obtain a correction factor for effective concentration. Then, values of biotin binding site per particle, in terms of nominal concentration, are divided by the correction factor to obtain the number of biotin binding sites per particle, in terms of effective concentration.

B4F Fluorescence Binding Assay of SAv and SAv QDs. In black-walled, flat, clear-bottomed 96 well plates (CoStar), 1 nM (QD concentrations as provided by manufacturer) poly-(ethylene glycol) (PEG) SAv QDs (Invitrogen) with emission wavelengths (nm) of 525 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10141MP), 565 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10131MP), 585 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10111MP), 605 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10101MP), 625 (Qdot SAv conjugate A10196), 655 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10121MP), 705 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10161MP), and 800 (Qdot SAv conjugate Q10171MP); poly(acrylic acid) amphilic absorbance ratios or HABA filtrations are of limited utility due to the large UV absorbance cross-section of QDs. The literature reports a wide range of values for either the number of SAv per QD or number of biotin binding sites per QD as shown in Table 1. The goal of this study was to develop a rapid, straightforward, and quantitative method that utilizes minimal QD stock material to directly measure the biotin binding capacities of SAv QDs.
(AMP) SAv QDs (Invitrogen) with emission wavelengths (nm) of 525 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10041MP), 545 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10091MP), 565 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10031MP), 585 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10011MP), 605 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10001MP), 625 (Qtracker Cell Labeling Kit: Component A A10198), 655 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10021MP), 705 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10061MP), and 800 (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10071MP); and SAv were titrated in triplicate independent series with a 2-fold serial dilution of nominal 500 nM to 0.488 nM and 0 nM B4F in filtered 0.05 M Tris buffered saline (TBS) pH 8.0 (Sigma). This titration is therefore a noncumulative titration of QDs or SAv. A row of the serial dilution of B4F alone was included as a positive signal control. Samples were incubated for one hour at room temperature in the dark. As a control for specificity between SAv and the biotinylated dye, 525 ITK SAv QDs (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate Q10041MP) and SAv were blocked with 10 μM biotin for 30 min and titrated with B4F as described. Carboxyl QDs (Qdot 705 ITK carboxyl Q21361MP) were also titrated under the same conditions as a control for zero biotin binding sites of unfunctionalized QDs. Using a Tecan Safire2 fluorescence plate reader, wells were measured for fluorescence at 525 nm with excitation at 490 nm and gauging gain off of the highest 525 nm fluorescence signal: 500 nM biotin-4-fluorescein without QDs or SAv.

**Filtration of B4F Dye.** PEG and ITK SAvs 525 and 705 QDs, SAv, and B4F alone were assayed as described above. Afterward, samples were transferred to 10 000 or 30 000 MW cutoff filter plates (Millipore or Pall, respectively) and centrifuged at 3000 g for 1 h or 10 min, respectively. Eluent was transferred to optical well plates and analyzed using a Tecan Safire2 fluorescence plate reader for fluorescence at 525 nm with excitation at 490 nm and gauging gain off of the highest 525 nm fluorescence signal: 500 nM biotin-4-fluorescein without QDs or SAv.

**Data and Statistical Analysis.** Background fluorescence signal at 0 nM B4F for SAv and SAv QDs was subtracted from signal at 500 nM to 0.488 nM B4F. The signal for each species was plotted against B4F nominal concentration. Using GraphPad Prism software, the linear regime of each series was defined by the points that fell on a linear regression by robust $R^2$ value (closest to 1.0) and inspection. Since fluorescence is linear with dye concentration, we can be certain about the points that fit a linear regression. The first outlier of the linear regression was used to define the end of the nonlinear regime. The nonlinear regime was fit to a second-order polynomial; the nonlinearity is the result of stronger fluorescence quenching of B4F when 3–4 versus 1–2 biotin binding sites per SAv molecule are occupied. The intersection point of the titration was determined by solving for the positive root of the polynomial generated from the equivalence of the two-fit function using Matlab software. The x-value of the root represents the number of biotin sites per particle. Standard deviations of the roots of the polynomial were calculated using Matlab from the standard deviation of the coefficients of the polynomial and linear fits. The numbers of biotin binding sites were converted from terms of nominal concentration to terms of effective concentration of B4F by dividing by the correction factor obtained in the calibration of B4F concentration with SAv as described in Calibration of B4F Concentration. While graphical analysis was conducted in linear scale, titration profiles were plotted in log-log scale for presentation.

### RESULTS

The approach described in this paper is a quick, simple assay relying on small volumes of stock QD material (4–10 μL given stock at 1–2 μM) that can be used routinely to characterize the number of biotin binding sites per SAv QD.

| emission wavelength (nm) | number of biotin binding sites | PEG SAv QDs | ITK SAv QDs |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 525                     | 4 (1)                         | Q10141MP    | 41 (12)     |
| 545                     | NA                            | NA          | 63 (8)      |
| 565                     | 3 (1)                         | Q10131MP    | 62 (8)      |
| 585                     | 5 (1)                         | Q10111MP    | 83 (16)     |
| 605                     | 2 (1)                         | Q10101MP    | 60 (7)      |
| 625                     | 5 (1)                         | A10196      | 61 (6)      |
| 655                     | 5 (1)                         | Q10121MP    | 67 (10)     |
| 705                     | 5 (1)                         | Q10161MP    | 72 (13)     |
| 800                     | 12 (1)                        | Q10171MP    | 79 (24)     |

The results obtained are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2. The effective biotin binding capacities per particle by B4F fluorescence quenching assay for PEG SAv Quantum Dots and ITK SAv Quantum Dots are illustrated. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of independent triplicate samples.
number of biotin binding sites on QDs. This fluorescence-quenching assay revealed significant quantitative differences in biotin binding capacity of commercially available SAv QDs (Invitrogen, Corp, Carlsbad, CA). The average number of biotin binding sites per batch of PEG SAv QDs and ITK SAv QDs studied in this work are illustrated in Figure 1 and listed in Table 2. These analyses were conducted on new QD samples, as supplied by the manufacturer, assuming stock materials are free of impurities or unconjugated SAv. If analyses will be conducted on older samples, then ultrafiltration of QD materials prior to titration with B4F would eliminate any free SAv due to degradation from analysis.

Representative titration profiles of PEG versus ITK SAv 705 QDs are shown in Figure 2. To prove the quantitative and accurate nature of this assay despite potential FRET effects or overlap of emission wavelengths between B4F emission and 525 QDs, samples of PEG and ITK SAv QDs with 525 and 705 nm emission wavelengths were measured before and after ultrafiltration (30 kDa) of unbound B4F dye to determine the number of biotin binding sites per particle as shown in Figure 3.

The nominal concentration of B4F in this study was close to the effective concentration derived by titration of a known concentration of free SAv protein (see Supporting Information Figure S1). It is well-known that free SAv has 4 biotin binding sites per molecule.47 As a result, the factor by which all QD species’ nominal binding capacity values were divided by in this work was 1.384.

Unconjugated QDs (Supporting Information Figure S2) and biotin blocked QDs (Supporting Information Figure S3) showed no signature of binding or nonlinearity, thus lacking any measurable biotin binding capacity, and confirming the specificity of the quenching for the biotin–SAv interaction.

Kada et al. have shown that this assay is accurate and reproducible down to 0.5 nM SAv with 30 min incubation.46 Nevertheless, an incubation time study was conducted which confirmed that this assay was accurate at 1 nM SAv with 1 h incubation (Supporting Information Figure S4) and reproducible (compare to Supporting Information Figure S4 to Figure S1).

**DISCUSSION**

The biotin binding sites per QD determined by the B4F method as shown in Table 2 are more instructive than the rough estimates reported by the literature and commercial sources as listed in Table 1. Invitrogen notes that any values they provide are indeed only estimates, dependent on batch, emission wavelength, and steric hindrance of bound SAv.48 However, assuming estimates of SAv QD valency has been insufficient for a number of research applications.34,39,49,50 It should be noted that the biotin binding values determined here represent the number of biotin binding sites available to B4F and other molecules with similar steric hindrance characteristics. Therefore, these values may not translate to the number of biotin binding sites available to larger biotinylated molecules. Nonetheless, our method allows for a standard approach for batch-specific characterization of the number of biotin binding sites per QD.

It is clear from Table 2 that there are significantly more biotin binding sites available on ITK SAv QDs compared to PEG SAv QDs. PEG SAv QDs (the regular Qdot SAv conjugates from Invitrogen) utilize a PEG linker chemistry to ensure high-quality staining and low background levels under physiological conditions, whereas ITK SAv QDs have SAv covalently attached to the inner amphiphilic coating without the PEG linker for applications such as fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET).51 Clearly, the PEG linker chemistry results in a significantly lower average number of biotin binding sites. Therefore, it is important to understand which type of SAv QD—PEG modified or unmodified—one has purchased when biotin binding capacity plays a large role in results, as it would in many QD functionalization and labeling experiments.
Figure 3 shows that emission of 525 QDs does not interfere with the accuracy of the B4F titration signal. Notably, B4F fluorescence properties for the purpose of this assay in ITK SAv QDs—where the PEG linker chemistry is omitted—are not affected by FRET effects due to proximity with QDs. Since results were altered by neither titration nor the removal of QD-bound B4F, there is no interference from the QD absorbance or emission in these results. Filtration is therefore unnecessary, and this assay can be done as a simple “mix-and-read” fluorescence titration.

Invitrogen estimates that QDs of greater size, a characteristic dependent on core composition and emission wavelength, will have a higher number of SAv ligands. However, our results show that this mnemonic is neither consistently nor anecdotally true in an array of commercial samples. Some intermediate-sized QDs, such as the 605-nm-emitting PEG SAv QD and the 585-nm-emitting ITK SAv QD samples, exhibited significantly lower or higher binding capacity compared to larger or smaller QDs as shown in Figure 1 and Table 2. These results underscore the need for a batch-specific characterization method such as the one developed here.

The fluorescence-binding assay described here enables parallel analysis of many samples at one time with minimal sample consumption. According to Invitrogen, the most accurate method for general detection of extent of functionalization on QDs is by size exclusion chromatography, where more highly conjugated QDs would have a longer retention time than less conjugated QDs. Our lab, as well as other laboratories, previously reported using gel electrophoresis to determine the extent of conjugation of a given batch of QD conjugates. However, these methods are material and time intensive and infer conjugation from size rather than directly measuring bioactivity. Lastly, the multiplate assay format requires smaller sample volumes, consuming <4% of the commercially provided material for a triplicate analysis of the biotin binding capacity.

The B4F titration method described and applied in this paper has demonstrated significant variance in biotin binding capacity of commercial QDs of different surface chemistry and emission color. Quantitative characterization of the binding capacity of commercially available amphiphilic polymer stabilized SAv QDs (Qdot ITK SAv conjugate) and poly(ethylene glycol) functional SAv QDs (Qdot SAv conjugate) with emission wavelengths of 525, 545, 565, 585, 605, 625, 655, 705, and 800 nm demonstrated that significantly more biotin binding sites are available on ITK SAv QDs compared to PEG SAv QDs. The number of biotin binding sites per SAv QD does not necessarily increase with QD size across a series of QD colors. Importantly, this assay gives quantitative and accurate information without interference from FRET effects or fluorescence emission overlap with B4F. Measurements using this method give accurate values of SAv QD biotin binding capacity and could be used as a tool to benchmark shelf stability and functionalization chemistry of QD SAv conjugates. This information and the reported methodology should be standard practice for characterization of QD SAv conjugates prior to further conjugation.
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