Kinesin-2 motors: kinetics and biophysics
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ABSTRACT

Kinesin-2s are major transporters of cellular cargoes. This subfamily contains both homodimeric kinesins whose catalytic domains result from the same gene product and heterodimeric kinesins with motor domains derived from two different gene products. In this review, we focus on the progress to define the biochemical and biophysical properties of the kinesin-2 family members. Our understanding of their mechanochemical capabilities has been advanced by the ability to identify the kinesin-2 genes in multiple species, expression and purification of these motors for single molecule and ensemble assays, and development of new technologies enabling quantitative measurements of kinesin activity with greater sensitivity.

Kinesins constitute a superfamily of microtubule-based molecular motor enzymes that couple the chemical energy from ATP turnover to force production for diverse cellular functions (reviewed in (1-12)). Kinesins are classified into 15 different subfamilies, yet they share a structurally conserved kinesin motor domain (1, 3, 13-16). However, key amino acid residue changes can confer unique mechanochemical properties to each kinesin, which in turn specify cellular function. The N-terminal kinesins are composed of an N-terminal motor domain connected to a long α-helical region that dimerizes into a coiled-coil stalk that ends with a C-terminal domain that may interact with specific adaptor proteins for cargo linkage (Fig. 1). N-kinesin subfamilies include conventional kinesin-1, kinesin-2, kinesin-3, kinesin-5 Eg5/KSP, and kinesin-7 CENP-E, and all are best known for their roles in intracellular transport.

N-kinesins carry cargo directionally toward the plus-end of microtubules, which are polymerized from αβ-tubulin subunits to form a cylindrical polymer of 13 protofilaments. The kinesins are able to “read” the polarity of the microtubule because of the structural asymmetry of αβ-tubulin subunits. The movement of N-kinesins is designated as "processive," which implies that upon microtubule collision, a single, dimeric kinesin steps continuously toward the microtubule plus end in an asymmetric hand-over-hand manner hydrolyzing one ATP per 8-nm step for hundreds of steps (17-22). The 8-nm step size results from the distance between adjacent αβ-tubulin dimers along the microtubule lattice. As Fig. 2 illustrates, a processive kinesin binds the microtubule and then goes through a series of structural transitions, each modulated by nucleotide state. To maintain a processive run with continuous stepping, the
ATPase cycle of each head remains out-of-phase with the other to avoid premature release if both heads exist in a microtubule weak binding state simultaneously. The degree of processivity, quantified by “run length,” varies between kinesin subfamilies and is regulated by a series of “gating” mechanisms in which a chemical and/or mechanical requirement must be satisfied to proceed forward. There has been significant effort to define the determinants of processivity structurally and mechanistically. The framework has been shaped by work on kinesin-1 (recent advances include ref. (23-34)) and applied to kinesin-2.

**Kinesin-2 Subfamily**

Kinesin-2 was initially discovered in sea urchin eggs (35, 36). The purified protein promoted microtubule plus-end directed microtubule gliding in motility assays. Yet unlike kinesin-1, this kinesin was heterotrimeric with two different motor polypeptides and a non-motor accessory protein designated kinesin associated polypeptide (KAP). Soon thereafter, heterodimeric and heterotrimeric kinesins were identified in multiple eukaryotic species and like kinesin-1, they were associated with long distance cargo transport (reviewed in (3-7, 11)). In mammals, there are four kinesin-2 genes: KIF3A, KIF3B, KIF3C, and KIF17. KIF17 as well as its C. elegans homolog OSM-3 form homodimers that function as fast, highly processive motors and operate in multiple cell types including neurons (5, 6, 37-40). In contrast, KIF3A associates with either KIF3B or KIF3C to form heterodimeric KIF3AB and KIF3AC motors (41-45). KIF3B does not form heterodimers with KIF3C (45-47). Moreover, multiple studies showed that heterodimerization was preferential over homodimer formation (43, 46, 48, 49) although there is evidence for an injury-specific homodimer of KIF3CC in neurons (45, 47, 50, 51).

KIF3AB forms a heterotrimeric complex by association with KAP (Fig. 1, (36, 52-54)). KAP is a distinctive adaptor largely composed of armadillo repeats (55, 56), and it is these motifs that provide specificity of the interaction between KIF3AB and KAP and between KIF3AB-KAP and its cargo. Note too that KIF3A, KIF3B, and KAP are all essential genes (57-62). Knockout mice for KIF3A or KIF3B show the absence of nodal cilia that are crucial for proper mesodermal patterning during embryogenesis and thus have a randomized left-right body axis (57-59). Other studies have linked KIF3AB-KAP to cilia-dependent signal transduction pathways including the Hedgehog signaling pathway (63, 64). KIF3AB-KAP transports multimeric protein complexes (designated IFT particles) into the cilium, and its transport role for ciliogenesis is considered the reason that KIF3AB-KAP is essential for development. It also appears to be the basis of similarity between KIF3AB-KAP and other kinesin-2 heterotrimeric orthologs.

In contrast, there is not strong experimental evidence that mammalian KIF3AC binds KAP (5, 52, 55, 65). Another observation to rule out an association of KAP with KIF3AC is the lack of sequence similarity of KIF3C at the putative KAP binding region of KIF3AB. Note too that a universal KIF3AC adaptor for cargo linkage has not yet been identified.

KIF3C exhibits a signature motif which is conserved in mammals, a 25-residue insert in loop L11 of the catalytic motor domain enriched in glycines and serines (Fig. 3, (38, 44, 66, 67)). When this insert was deleted from loop L11 of KIF3C (designated KIF3CΔL11), the run length of KIF3ACΔL11 increased from 1.23 µm for KIF3AC to 1.55 µm and therefore similar to the run length of KIF3AB at 1.62 µm. These results suggested that this motif regulated processivity (67). The KIF3C L11 insert was also implicated in the ability of homodimeric KIF3CC to be targeted to microtubule plus ends and act as a potent catastrophe factor to promote microtubule dynamics. KIF3CΔL11 lost the ability to promote catastrophe, suggesting that the loop L11 extension, unique to KIF3C is a structural motif required for regulation of microtubule dynamics by KIF3CC (51).

Yet, in spite of similarities in sequence and structure, KIF3AC appears to function specifically in neurons whereas KIF3AB-KAP and KIF17 appear more ubiquitously expressed (4, 5, 12, 38).
This review will focus specifically on the properties of KIF3AC and KIF3AB.

The neck linker hypothesis for kinesin-2 processivity

The neck linker domain was identified for kinesin-1 as the critical determinant of processivity (23, 68-71). Based on these studies it was proposed that a longer or shorter neck linker affected the communication and therefore the coordination between the motor domains. The impact observed by elongating the neck linker was a decrease in run length because of the higher probability of both motor heads reaching the ADP weak binding state at the same time. Initial studies with X. laevis kinesin-2 Xklp3A/3B revealed that it was not as processive as kinesin-1 and detached from the microtubule at low hindering loads rather than stall (72-75). The question ahead was to understand mechanistically and structurally why kinesin-2 was sensitive to force resulting in shorter run lengths and why it was so different from kinesin-1.

A series of publications were released beginning in 2009 that explored the single molecule behavior of mammalian KIF3AB to define the mechanistic basis of the shorter run lengths observed for Xklp3A/3B (76-78). The authors proposed that the shortened run length observed for KIF3AB was due in part to its longer neck linker (Fig. 3A). In comparison to kinesin-1, the neck linker of KIF3AB appeared to be extended by three residues (Asp-Ala-Leu, DAL) at its C-terminus and prior to helix α7 (Fig. 3A, (76-78)). Initial studies showed that the run length of homodimeric Drosophila kinesin-1 was 1.76 μm, yet the run length of kinesin-2 KIF3AB was 0.45 μm consistent with this hypothesis (76). Furthermore, when the neck linker of kinesin-1 was engineered and extended by the DAL motif of kinesin-2 (Fig. 3A), the kinesin-1+DAL run length decreased to 0.35 μm, thus providing additional evidence for the hypothesis that an extended neck linker shortened the run length observed for kinesin-2.

As an extension of these studies, Shastry and Hancock (78) examined other N-terminal processive kinesins including kinesin-3 (C. elegans Unc104), kinesin-5 (X. laevis Eg5/KSP), and kinesin-7 (X. laevis CENP-E) in comparison to Drosophila kinesin-1 and murine KIF3AB (78). Based on the sequence alignment of helix α6, the neck-linker peptide, and helix α7, kinesin-1 exhibited a 14-residue neck linker, kinesin-2 and kinesin-3 each with 17-residue neck linkers, and kinesin-5 and kinesin-7 each with 18-residue neck linker domains (Fig. 3A). For these studies, a similar motor design approach was used in which the kinesin-specific motor domain with neck linker was fused to the neck-coil beginning at helix α7 of the proximal coiled-coil region of Drosophila kinesin-1. The results for these hybrid motors showed that processivity based on run length scaled with neck linker length except for CENP-E, which was highly processive with its 18-residue neck linker and became less processive as the neck linker was shortened.

The hypothesis that neck linker length controlled the efficiency of gating and therefore run length came under scrutiny immediately. Düselder et al. pursued a study with X. laevis kinesin-5 Eg5 in which they varied the length of the neck linker from 9-21 residues (79). Their results showed that native Eg5 motors with neck linkers down to 12 residues were highly processive but notably, the run lengths were maximal when the neck linker was close to that of the native X. laevis Eg5. The authors argued that there was no optimal neck linker length but proposed instead that the optimal neck linker was kinesin-specific (79). Guzik-Lendrum and colleagues designed KIF3 constructs that when expressed contained the N-terminal native sequence of each motor domain, neck linker, and native helix α7, followed by a dimerization motif to stabilize the native coiled-coil (67). Using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy, KIF3AC-Qdot complexes were found to be highly processive with run lengths of 1.23 μm, matching the run length of kinesin-1 (67). The authors concluded that the 17-residue neck linker of KIF3AC clearly did not impede processive stepping. Moreover, the newly designed KIF3AB exhibited a run length of 1.62 μm, exceeding the run length of KIF3AC and kinesin-1, and this run...
length was significantly greater than the run length published previously (76, 77).

Guzik-Lendrum et al. proposed that for each of the kinesin-2 motors studied, the significant difference in the run lengths observed was due to inclusion of native helix \( \alpha 7 \) to initiate the correct start of the coiled-coil (67). These studies clearly showed that in single molecule assays without a hindering load, KIF3AC and KIF3AB were highly processive (Table 1).

**Structural studies show that the coiled-coil predictions were not accurate**

The concept of neck linker length is inevitably tied to the question of the location of the start of the coiled-coil. Phillips et al. (80) initiated a comprehensive structural study to address this question using X-ray crystallography. The assumption has been that coiled-coil algorithms such as COILS (a Position-specific Scoring Matrix model) or MARCOIL (a Hidden Markov Model) were good predictors of coiled-coil domains (81, 82). The original estimates of the kinesin neck-linker length assumed that the coiled-coil would begin on a hydrophobic residue in either the \( a \) or \( d \) position of the coiled-coil heptad repeat (83). Yet, predictions of the first residue to adopt a helical conformation in any coiled-coil are ambiguous although these algorithms do recognize the heptad repeat within a coiled-coil domain. As Phillips et al. showed, the beginning of the coiled-coil in kinesin-2 was much more difficult to predict than that of kinesin-1 (80).

There are two structures for a dimeric N-terminal kinesin, rat kinesin-1 (PDB: 3KIN) and Drosophilia kinesin 1 (PDB: 2Y5W), and these provided the true \( \alpha 7 \) start and neck-linker length in the context of a dimeric kinesin (84, 85). Phillips et al. determined seven X-ray crystal structures of kinesin homodimers without their motor domains but included the neck-linker motif followed by helix \( \alpha 7 \) that is the start of the coiled-coil stalk. While the prediction of kinesin-1 was accurate with helix \( \alpha 7 \) beginning at Ala-345, those for KIF3A, KIF3C, Eg5, and CENP-E were predicted inaccurately (Fig. 3A). The predictions suggested that the kinesin-2 helix \( \alpha 7 \) would begin at Leu-360 in KIF3A and Leu-382 in KIF3C, yet in the crystal structures helix \( \alpha 7 \) begins five residues earlier at Pro-355 and Pro-377 in KIF3A and KIF3C respectively. Therefore, the neck linker is shortened from 17 residues to 12 residues, thus shorter than the neck linker of kinesin-1 at 14 residues. The crystal structures of both Eg5 and CENP-E reveal much shorter neck linkers than predicted (80). Helix \( \alpha 7 \) of Eg5 begins at Lys-371 instead of Ile-375, thus shortening the neck linker from the predicted 18 residues to 14 residues. Moreover, the coiled-coil of CENP-E begins at Asp-341 rather than Leu-345 resulting in a neck linker that is 14 residues rather than 18 as predicted. Structures of KIF17 have not yet been determined but as Fig. 3A shows, the sequence of the KIF17 neck linker and helix \( \alpha 7 \) are almost identical to those of KIF3A, KIF3B, and KIF3C, suggesting that its coiled-coil will also begin at the proline of PKDAL as determined for KIF3A and KIF3C.

These results provided evidence that neck linker length in the context of the native sequence did not determine processivity. Moreover, this study reinforced the importance of conjoining the native sequences of both the native neck linker and helix \( \alpha 7 \) for engineered constructs to study the motile properties of kinesin family members (80). In addition, the Phillips et al. structural study revealed similar disparities in the coiled-coil predictions for a wide variety of non-motor proteins in the Protein Data Bank (80).

Andreasson et al. clarified the run length debate using full-length kinesin-2 motors expressed in Sf9 cells (86). Using full length KIF3AB motors, the authors showed that the run lengths in the absence of hindering load were quite long and approached run lengths of kinesin-1. However, against any appreciable external hindering load (~1 pN), stepping was disrupted and the processive run terminated. This study was critically important because it framed the run length discussion in the context of response to hindering load separated from unloaded processivity. Moreover, the authors stated that there was no evidence that force sensitivity was encoded in the neck linker (86). In addition, Milic et al. reported that kinesin-2 KIF17 continues to
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step under a 6-pN hindering load whereas KIF3AB detaches from the microtubule at these conditions (87). Note that the neck linker motif of KIF17 is almost identical in sequence to that of KIF3A and KIF3B (Fig. 3A), thereby weakening the argument that kinesin-2 motors are inherently less processive than other processive kinesins because of the neck linker length.

Presteady-state kinetics reveal unexpected properties of heterodimeric KIF3AC and KIF3AB

The unusual response to force by heterotrimeric kinesin-2 in combination with the series of publications about the role of neck linker length in processivity motivated a comprehensive analysis of KIF3AC and KIF3AB using presteady-state kinetics methodologies (stopped-flow, chemical quench flow) to probe the ATPase cycle (88-90). The KIF3 constructs used to generate the kinesin-2 motors included the native motor domain, neck linker, and helix α7 followed by a dimerization motif to stabilize the helix α7 coiled-coil (67, 88). The steady-state ATPase parameters were consistent with the predictions from the single molecule results (Table 1). What was surprising from these initial studies was that KIF3AB and KIF3AC were similar in their single molecule velocity as well as the velocities of homodimeric KIF3A and KIF3B. However, the single molecule velocity of homodimeric KIF3CC was exceptionally slow at 7.5 nm/s with the steady-state $k_{cat}$ at 1.1 s$^{-1}$. These initial results led the authors to propose that KIF3AA and KIF3BB were intrinsically fast in comparison to KIF3CC and thus during stepping, KIF3A accelerates KIF3C and KIF3C slows KIF3A (67).

Entry into the processive run

To pursue mechanistic studies, the experiments were designed based on the ATPase cycle in Fig. 2A and Table 1 (88-90). The series of experiments for entry into the processive run (Fig. 2, E0-E1) revealed that microtubule association for KIF3AC and KIF3AB was similar at ~7 μM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ followed by ADP release for KIF3AC at 51 s$^{-1}$ and 33.5 s$^{-1}$ for KIF3AB (Table 1). In contrast, these constants for KIF3AA and KIF3BB were significantly faster at ~11-13 μM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ with ADP release also fast at ~80 s$^{-1}$, yet the parameters for KIF3CC were very slow with microtubule association at 2.1 μM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and ADP release at 7.6 s$^{-1}$. These results reinforced the conclusion that KIF3AA and KIF3BB are both catalytically fast and similar to each other, yet KIF3CC is intrinsically extremely slow (67, 86).

Additional experiments were pursued to test the hypothesis that the rate constant for microtubule association was a function of heterodimerization of KIF3AB and KIF3AC rather than the intrinsic properties of each motor domain. The microtubule association experiments were repeated with mixtures of KIF3AA + KIF3BB or KIF3AA + KIF3CC at the same motor concentration as KIF3AB or KIF3AC. The results clearly showed that regardless of the mixture composition, the KIF3AB transient could not be recapitulated by any sum of KIF3AA + KIF3BB and similarly the KIF3AC transient could not be captured by mixtures of KIF3AA and KIF3CC (89, 90). The authors concluded by proposing that although the processive run may begin by either KIF3A or KIF3B/KIF3C, the kinetics observed were an emergent property due to intermolecular communication within the heterodimer rather than the intrinsic catalytic capability of each motor head.

One important conclusion resulting from these studies is that the catalytic properties of KIF3AB and KIF3AC are well suited for cargo transport where they may readily detach from the microtubule track. Because the microtubule association constants are so high, there would be a high probability of motor rebinding the microtubule rapidly. The rate of rebinding of KIF3•ADP is determined by the local microtubule concentration, which was estimated previously at ~1 mM near the microtubule lattice (91). Therefore, as long as KIF3AB or KIF3AC remain in close proximity to the microtubule lattice, the rebinding rate would be ~7,000 s$^{-1}$ resulting in a very short detachment time, ~143 μs. The authors concluded that heterodimeric KIF3AB and KIF3AC are optimized for rapid rebinding to the microtubule to continue transport of their cargoes (89, 90).
ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis

The second-order rate constant for ATP binding was measured by preforming the microtubule-kinesin complex and rapidly mixing with the fluorescent analog mantATP in the stopped flow instrument (Fig. 2A, E1-E2). The results showed that this constant is quite fast for KIF3AB, KIF3AC, KIF3AA, and KIF3BB, yet significantly slower for KIF3CC ((88-90, 92), Table 1). Pulse-chase experiments using the chemical quench-flow instrument revealed that the rate constant for the ATP-promoted isomerization that occurs after ATP binding was similar for both KIF3AC and KIF3AB at ~82 s⁻¹ ((88, 89). The ATP-promoted isomerization has traditionally been viewed as representing the series of structural transitions that include neck linker docking and orientation of the active site residues around MgATP to form the intermediate poised for ATP hydrolysis (71, 93-95).

When ATP hydrolysis was measured directly, the rate constant for KIF3AC was determined at 69 s⁻¹. The authors concluded that the constants for ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis were not limiting the single molecule rate of stepping for KIF3AC at 186 nm/s or 23 s⁻¹ per 8-nm step (89). In contrast, the rate of ATP hydrolysis determined for KIF3AB was 33 s⁻¹, but the amplitude of the burst indicated that there were three ATP turnovers per active site collapsed into one (88). Therefore, the ATP hydrolysis constant must be significantly faster as pointed out by Chen et al. (92). Andreasson et al. modeled the ATP-promoted transition for KIF3AB including neck linker docking at a very fast rate, >500 s⁻¹ with much slower ATP hydrolysis ~80 s⁻¹ (86). Because ATP binding followed by the ATP-promoted structural transitions are coupled with ATP hydrolysis, these are linked where one is fast and the other is slow. Experimentally, the slow step is quantified but its identity cannot be determined from the experiments. Therefore, both the presteady-state kinetics and the load-dependent single molecule experiments identify a rate-limiting transition for stepping at ~80 s⁻¹ and a very fast rate that was not limiting the ATPase cycle (86, 89, 90, 92).

Andreasson et al. also reported that the run length was more sensitive to load than the velocity of stepping, leading to the hypothesis that the KIF3AB load-dependent processivity could result from a faster dissociation from the one-head bound state or slower binding of the tethered head under load or both (Fig. 2B, E2-E5). This would imply that relative to kinesin-1, the strict coordination of the ATPase cycle for KIF3A and KIF3B are not well maintained resulting in motor detachment from the microtubule at hindering loads as low as ~1 pN (86).

Is kinesin-2 processivity controlled by front head or rear head gating?

Processive stepping continues because the chemical and structural transitions on one head are inhibited until the partner head proceeds through its mechanochemical cycle (Fig. 2). The front-head gating model proposes that when both heads are bound to the microtubule (Fig. 2A, E5), ATP binding on the front head is inhibited until ATP hydrolysis occurs on the lagging head, followed by phosphate release, and motor head detachment (Fig. 2A, E5-E1) (23, 96-98). This model previously posited that strain within the two-head bound state inhibited the ability of ATP to bind at the active site of the front head. However, more recently, Dogan et al. have shown for kinesin-1 that it is not strain per se but the backward orientation of the front head neck linker (29). As Fig. 2A and 2B show when both heads are bound to the microtubule, the front head's neck linker is undocked and pointed backward while the neck linker of the rear head is docked onto the catalytic core pointed to the plus end of the microtubule.

In contrast, the rear-head gating model (Fig. 2B) proposes that binding of the front head accelerates detachment of the trailing head from the microtubule (99, 100). More recently, the rear-head gating model has been refined to propose that ATP hydrolysis at E2-E3 (Fig. 2B) occurs while the ADP head is in its diffusional search to find its next microtubule binding site (Fig. 2B, E3-E5). Therefore, it becomes a kinetic race for the ADP head to step forward, bind to the microtubule, and release ADP before phosphate release from the bound head occurs to form an ADP weak binding state (28, 31, 101). These two models are not
necessarily mutually exclusive of each other, thus making it difficult to design definitive experiments that distinguish one model from the other especially for KIF3AB and KIF3AC (33, 86, 87, 92).

The rear head gating model has been difficult to test because it requires capturing the transient intermediate states during the ATPase cycle. To tackle this question, Mickolajczyk and Hancock (33), have used a new imaging method designated "iSCAT" in which interference reflection dark field microscopy in combination with laser illumination is able to achieve extremely high spatial (nm) and temporal (<1 ms) resolution of unloaded kinesin motility. A 30-nm gold particle was attached to one head of the KIF3AA-kinesin-1 hybrid motor resulting in nanometer precision for tracking steps (33). The authors argue that they can distinguish one-head versus two-head bound states and can manipulate the kinetics of each state using KIF3AA-kinesin-1 hybrid motors with different length neck linkers. The authors propose that greater processivity is correlated with faster attachment of the tethered head prior to detachment of post-ATP hydrolysis one-head vulnerable ADP•P state (Fig. 2B, E2-E4). Therefore, processivity is maintained through a race for tethered head attachment at its next microtubule-binding site before the one-head bound E3 ADP•P intermediate detaches from the microtubule (Fig. 2B). These experiments are technically challenging and depend on ATP analogs and neck linker insertions to specifically affect the duration of the one-head bound state but not the two-head-bound state. Moreover, it is difficult to reconcile this new model with high resolution X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy studies (27, 102). These structures indicate that ATP binding induces a large structural change within the catalytic motor domain that drives docking of the neck linker and therefore immediately results in a forward step.

The recent publications in support of the rear-head gating model will no doubt motivate the motility field to design new types of experiments with technological advances to provide additional support for the front head and/or rear head models.

Concluding remarks and outstanding questions

Kinesin-2 KIF3AB and KIF3AC are fascinating molecular motors especially when one considers how similar their catalytic motor domain sequences are to each other and to kinesin-1. Outstanding questions include how is force sensitivity encoded structurally for kinesin-2s and whether the response to force by KIF3AC is similar to KIF3AB and therefore a key principle for kinesin-2s. While there is a much greater understanding of the behavior of heterotrimeric kinesin-2 in intraflagellar transport, there are significant gaps in our understanding of KIF3AC transport in neurons. For example, its adaptors for linkage to cargo have yet to be identified as well as the identity of the KIF3AC-specific dendritic organelles. Moreover, the catalytic properties of KIF3C remain puzzling. Why is KIF3C so slow and how is this property encoded? Lastly, we do not yet know if KIF3AB and KIF3AC read the tubulin code differently for selective transport to axons versus dendrites (12, 38, 103). There are many discoveries ahead waiting for novel experiments and innovative technologies.
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1. Molecular Organization of Kinesin-1, -2, -5, and -7 Processive Motors. These processive kinesins all contain two molecular motor domains, although the molecular organization of the remaining and associated polypeptide chains differs within and between kinesin subfamilies. The depictions shown here include representative space-filling models for domains whose three-dimensional structures are known and cartoons for those segments whose structures are yet to be determined. The lengths for the coiled-coiled and globular domains, whose structures have not been defined, are not drawn to scale. The X-ray coordinates used to generate this figure include the motor domains for Kinesin-1: 3KIN, Eg5: 4PXU, CENP-E: 1T5C, KIF3B: 3B6U, KIF3C: 3B6V, and KIF17: 2VVG. The coordinates for the Eg5 BASS tetramerization domain and kinesin-1 light chain are 4PXU and 3CEQ, respectively.

2. KIF3 Stepping Models. Two variations of a kinesin stepping cycle are presented: a front-head gated model (A), and a revised rear-head gated model (B). Each cycle begins as one motor head collides with the microtubule, ADP is released, and the asymmetry of the ATPase cycle on each motor domain is established (E0-E1). The E1 intermediate is tightly bound to the microtubule with its leading head nucleotide-free and the trailing head detached as the weak binding ADP state. (A), ATP binds to the leading head and generates a structural transition transmitted through the neck-linker motif (E2-E3). The ATP-induced structural transition is designated neck linker docking (*), and shifts the lagging unbound head forward by 16 nm to the next microtubule binding site toward the microtubule plus end (E2-E4). ADP is subsequently released (E4-E5). Both heads are bound to the microtubule with the leading head now nucleotide-free and tightly bound to the microtubule. ATP hydrolysis on the rear head (E5) results in another series of structural transitions in which phosphate is released, the trailing head transitions into a weakly bound ADP state, and detaches from the microtubule to form the E1 intermediate. The first 8-nm step of the cycle is coupled to one ATP turnover, and positions the new leading head to begin the second step of the processive run waiting for ATP (E1). The front-head-gated model (A) proposes that ATP binding promotes neck linker docking that is coupled with a structural step (E2-E5) and that in the two-head bound state (E5), ATP binding on the leading head is inhibited. In contrast, the revised rear-head-gated model (B) proposes that ATP binding partially docks the neck linker onto the catalytic core, but posits that ATP hydrolysis (E2-E4) occurs while the tethered head is in its diffusional search for its microtubule binding site with ATP hydrolysis required to completely dock the neck linker. The rear-head-gated model proposes that the E3-E4 intermediate is in a kinetic race for the front head to bind tightly to the microtubule before phosphate is released on the read head (E4-E5).

3. Species specific neck linker length analysis and KIF3C loop L11 sequence motif. A, Neck linker sequence comparison for processive kinesins and neck linker length predictions based on structural analysis (80). B, Species-specific alignment of loop L11 sequences between KIF3A, KIF3B, and KIF3C in comparison to other processive kinesins. Red sequence represents the extended KIF3C-specific residues.
Table 1. ATPase scheme and experimentally determined constants

|                  | KIF3AB | KIF3AC | KIF3AA | KIF3BB | KIF3CC |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| **MT Association** | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      |
| $k_{+1}$ $\mu$M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ | 7.0 ± 0.4 | 6.6 ± 0.2 | 11.4 ± 0.6 | 11.9 ± 0.1 | 2.1 ± 0.1 |
| $k_{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ | 0.8 ± 0.4 | N.D. | 2.4 ± 0.9 | N.D. | 0.6 ± 0.1 |
| **ADP Release** | $k_{+2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 33.5 ± 0.6 | 51.4 ± 2.2 | 77.7 ± 1.4 | 80.2 ± 2.5 | 7.6 ± 0.1 |
| $K_{12/MT}$ $\mu$M | 3.1 ± 0.2 | 6.3 ± 0.7 | 4.4 ± 0.2 | 4.0 ± 0.4 | 1.7 ± 0.1 |
| **MantATP Binding** | $k_{+3}$ $\mu$M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ | 7.5 ± 0.5 | 11.0 ± 0.6 | 16.0 ± 0.5 | N.D. | 0.68 ± 0.04 |
| $k_{3}$ s$^{-1}$ | 46.1 ± 5.5 | 21.4 ± 7.2 | 10.5 ± 5.1 | N.D. | 7.7 ± 0.4 |
| **ATP-isomerization** | $k_{+4}$ s$^{-1}$ | 84.0 ± 1.9 | 81.0 ± 1.0 | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |
| **ATP hydrolysis** | $k_{+5}$ s$^{-1}$ | 33.0 ± 2.5 | 69.1 ± 1.2 | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |
| $A_{max}$  | 3.0 ± 0.2 per site | 0.77 ± 0.02 per site | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |
| **MT Dissociation** | $k_{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ | 22.3 ± 0.7 | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. |
| **Steady State** | $k_{cat}$ s$^{-1}$ | 31.7 ± 1.2 | 21.5 ± 0.3 | 34.7 ± 0.5 | 32.1 ± 0.4 | 1.1 ± 0.02 |
| $K_{M,ATP}$ $\mu$M | 122.9 ± 15.0 | 138.1 ± 12.8 | 47.7 ± 0.1 | 71.4 ± 4.0 | 4.8 ± 0.5 |
| $K_{12/MT}$ $\mu$M | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 0.23 ± 0.03 | 0.19 ± 0.002 | 0.14 ± 0.005 | 0.04 ± 0.007 |
| **Velocity** | - nm/s | 246.2 ± 11.1 | 186.5 ± 5.6 | 293.2 ± 4.2 | 327.6 ± 7.2 | 7.5 ± 0.4 |
| **Run Length** | - $\mu$m | 1.62 ± 0.11 | 1.23 ± 0.09 | 0.98 ± 0.05 | 1.51 ± 0.16 | 0.57 ± 0.03 |

Constants reported previously in ref. (67, 88-90). MT, microtubule; MantATP, 2’-(or 3’)-O-(N-methylanthraniloyl)ATP.
Figure 1
## Figure 3.

### A. Neck Linker Length:

| Kinesin          | αβ    | NL                  | α7      | Predicted | Observed |
|------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| M. musculus KIF3A | 336   | LRANRRA KNQIKRNKGDAL- | LRQPQKEEIEELK | 374      | 17       | 12       |
| M. musculus KIF3B | 331   | LRANRRA KNIKKPRVNEKPDAL- | LRFQEIEARLQAQL | 369      | 17       | 12       |
| M. musculus KIF3C | 358   | LRANRRA KNQIKRNKGDAL- | LRQPQKEEIEELK | 396      | 17       | 12       |
| H. sapiens KIF17  | 326   | LRANRRA KNQIKRNKGDAL- | LRQPQKEEIEELK | 364      | 17       | NO       |
| M. musculus KIF17 | 326   | LRANRRA KNQIKRNKGDAL- | LRQPQKEEIEELK | 364      | 17       | NO       |
| D. melanogaster KHC | 324   | LQFQRRRA KTKMNVCVNEELT---- | AESWRKRYEKEEK | 359      | 14       | 14       |
| H. sapiens Eg5    | 350   | LEYAHRA KHIIKPKFVQQKLYKAL | IREYTHIIEILKRD | 389      | 18       | 14       |
| H. sapiens CENP-E | 320   | LQFQRRRA KTKMNVCVNEELT---- | AESWRKRYEKEEK | 359      | 18       | 14       |

### B. Sequence Analysis

| Kinesin          | H. sapiens | KIF3A | M. musculus | 251 | LAGSRQKTGA------------------------ | TGQRLEATKINSL | 278 |
|------------------|------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| KIF3B            | H. sapiens | 246   | M. musculus | 246 | LAGSRQKTGA------------------------ | TGQRLEATKINSL | 273 |
| KIF3C            | H. sapiens | 247   | M. musculus | 247 | LAGSRQNFKQGPTGQAKTPSGGGGGGS---- | GGAGGERFKEASKINSL | 298 |
| KIF3CAL11        | B. taurus  | 247   | M. musculus | 247 | LAGSRQNFKQGPTGQAKTPSGGGGGGS---- | GGAGGERFKEASKINSL | 300 |
|                  | E. caballus | 247   | M. musculus | 247 | LAGSRQNFKQGPTGQAKTPSGGGGGGS---- | GGAGGERFKEASKINSL | 296 |
| KHC              | H. sapiens | 232   | M. musculus | 232 | LAGSEKSVKTS---------------------- | AEGLVEAKNINSL | 259 |
| KHC              | D. melanogaster | 239  | M. musculus | 239 | LAGSEKSVKTS---------------------- | AEGLVEAKNINSL | 287 |
| Eg5              | H. sapiens | 266   | M. musculus | 266 | LAGSEKSVKTS---------------------- | AEGLVEAKNINSL | 293 |
| CENP-E           | H. sapiens | 236   | M. musculus | 236 | LAGSEKSVKTS---------------------- | AEGLVEAKNINSL | 263 |
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