Effect of the protein corona on nanoparticles for modulating cytotoxicity and immunotoxicity
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Abstract: Although the cytotoxicity of nanoparticles (NPs) is greatly influenced by their interactions with blood proteins, toxic effects resulting from blood interactions are often ignored in the development and use of nanostructured biomaterials for in vivo applications. Protein coronas created during the initial reaction with NPs can determine the subsequent immunological cascade, and protein coronas formed on NPs can either stimulate or mitigate the immune response. Along these lines, understanding NP-protein corona formation in terms of physiochemical surface properties of the NPs and NP interactions with the immune system components in blood is an essential step for evaluating NP toxicity for in vivo therapeutics. This article reviews the most recent developments in NP-based protein coronas through the modification of NP surface properties and discusses the associated immune responses.
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Introduction

The development and rational design of nanoparticles (NPs) has created a new paradigm for overcoming current limitations and concerns in medicine.1-3 Compared with micron-sized particles, NPs can retain unique material characteristics, such as size, solubility, shape, surface charge, and chemistry, that can be tailored to increase the efficacy of NP-based therapeutics for various biomedical applications.1,2,4 In drug delivery systems, for example, conventional (micron-sized) particles are quickly cleared by the immune system and can only enter phagocytic cells, whereas NP-based drugs can be delivered to all organs and, thus, all cells.5,6

In this regard, NPs have been the subject of increasing concern from the medical community because of their immunological toxicity. Once NPs enter various organs and interact with blood, they come into immediate contact with various plasma constituents. These NP-bound blood proteins can influence the immune system by mediating subsequent immune cell responses.7-10 Protein corona or biological macromolecule adsorption is influenced by physiochemical material properties and can affect the in vivo toxicity of the material. For example, a study on polymer-based NPs designed for intravenous administration has demonstrated that NPs can stimulate and/or suppress immune cell responses, depending on the formation of protein coronas formed in blood.11 Furthermore, in vivo organ distribution and the clearance rate of nanomaterial-derived drug carriers in blood are significantly influenced by the formation of plasma proteins.7,11,12 As such, evaluating and understanding the toxicity of nanostructure-based materials coated with protein coronas is a critical step toward manipulating their subsequent immune response and cytotoxic effects.
Surprisingly, it was determined that the same NPs can induce different biological outcomes, depending on the control presence or absence of a protein corona. For example, silica NPs in serum conditions showed stronger accumulation at lysosomes. However, silica NPs without serum proteins exposed to cells showed a higher degree of attachment to the cell membrane and greater internalization (both lysosomes and cytosols; Figure 1). In addition, carboxylated polystyrene NPs under serum-free conditions exhibit a higher adhesion to the cell membrane than adhesion of the NP surface to the cell membrane under serum conditions.

The mechanism of selective uptake of NPs with or without serum proteins was identified by a recent study that analyzed this mechanism by a two-step process. The NPs were initially adhered to the cell membrane at 4°C and internalized by increasing temperature (37°C). High surface energy of the bare NPs can cause unspecific interactions and adsorb strongly to the cell membranes by the process of quite reactive, and chemically lowering energy. It was interpreted that the formation of a corona surrounding the NP lowered the energy by unspecific interactions and, thus, leads to less attachment of NPs at the cell membrane in the presence of biomolecules.

Selective cellular uptake by engineered biochemical structures of proteins also was studied. In this study, pure human serum albumin (HSA), modified HSA by succinic anhydride (HSAsuc), and modified HSA by succinic anhydride by ethylenediamine (HSAam) were coated on dihydrolipoic acid-coated quantum dots (DHLA-QDs) to investigate the effect of biochemical structures on cellular uptake and membrane binding (Figure 2). The HSAsuc-coated DHLA-QDs showed enhanced binding to the cell membrane compared with native HSA (Figure 2B). Uncoated DHLA-QDs recorded the highest uptake amount and membrane localization by cells compared with HSA, HSAsuc, and HSAam (Figure 2C). The results obtained highlighted that both the presence of a protein corona and modified chemical structures markedly suppress the membrane binding and uptake level of DHLA-QDs. Specifically, suggested articles indicate that engineered protein structures on NPs not only affect cellular uptake levels but also determine the intracellular location of NPs. For this reason, the biological effect of NPs cannot be solely understood by considering the intrinsic properties of the NP; we must also consider protein dynamics on NPs.

Various biomolecular coronas, antibodies, proteins, and peptides on NPs were specifically designed for targeting in nanomedicine. Specifically, the number of publications of protein corona has increased about 65% during the last 5 years (2009–2013). The majority of previous studies focused on understanding protein corona composition affected by NP properties, such as size, charge, and surface modification. Moreover, several studies have attempted to address the toxicity associated with various routes of NP administration. As such, few guidelines have been established and even fewer studies have been conducted to understand how protein coronas on nanomaterials are triggering NP toxicity. This may be attributed to a lack of comprehensive evaluation of

---

**Figure 1** Presence or absence of protein corona on nanoparticles can induce a different level of uptake and intracellular location.

**Notes:** Different biological environments (serum-free medium versus complete medium supplemented with 10% serum) not only determine nanoparticle uptake levels but also influence intracellular nanoparticle location (lysosome). Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 staining of the lysosomes (secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa-647) of single cell in the serum-free medium conditions. Blue, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole-stained nuclei. Reprinted with permission from Lesniak A, Fenaroli F, Monopoli MP, Åberg C, Dawson KA, Salvati A. Effects of the presence or absence of a protein corona on silica nanoparticle uptake and impact on cells. *ACS Nano*. 2012;6(7):5845–5857. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.

**Abbreviations:** SF, serum-free medium; cMEM, complete medium.
the NP-protein corona that might dictate the immunological response of cells. For this reason, the objective of this article is to introduce the most recent advances in NP-protein corona formation with various components of the immune system and their subsequent cytotoxicity in blood systems.

General composition types of protein corona on NPs

“Soft” and “Hard” proteins

The process of corona formation is determined by the competition of countless proteins to adsorb at the approaching NP surface. Protein coronas are categorized as either “soft” or “hard” (Figure 3). Specifically, the soft corona represents loosely bound proteins on a NP surface over short time scales (ie, seconds to minutes) or weak interactions compared with the hard corona. In contrast, the “hard” corona represents tightly bound proteins with high affinity on the NP surface and for longer periods (ie, hours; Figure 4). The core NP (and other multiparticle assemblies) surrounded by a hard corona demonstrated slowly exchanging proteins, and these complexes represent “what the cell sees” (Figures 3 and 4). and are more significant in determining immunologic response than the bare material properties of the particle itself. In addition, the plasma-derived hard corona (ie, the final long-term corona) may be important when NPs are accumulated in various organs.
Cedervall et al. determined that the kinetic and equilibrium binding properties of NP-protein coronas depend not only on the NP surface and size but also on plasma protein identity, such as with HSA, fibrinogen, and lipoproteins. For example, HSA and fibrinogen exhibited higher rates of association and dissociation than apolipoprotein A-I and other plasma proteins. In addition, it has been demonstrated that HSA and fibrinogen adsorption dominate on hydrophobic particles more than on hydrophilic particles for short times.

However, initially attached proteins were subsequently replaced by lower-abundance proteins with higher affinity (ie, slower kinetics), such as lipoproteins, and especially apolipoprotein A-I. Thus, greater proteins with low affinity made up the protein corona during the initial period (soft corona) but were replaced with proteins of lower abundance and higher affinity at longer time scales (hard corona).

As a consequence, the hard corona may possess a greater role than the soft corona in determining the physiological response, and because of the long residence time, the hard corona on NP experiences further biological process (ie, endocytosis). As such, if adsorbed hard coronas with specific physiochemical nanomaterial properties do not invoke immune cell responses, they could be advantageous for reducing NP toxicity.

Protein adsorption on various NPs

The adsorptions of blood proteins on NP have been analyzed in recent studies. It was found that proteins (eg, albumin, apolipoprotein, immunoglobulins [Igs], complement, and fibrinogen) adsorbed on various NP (polymeric NPs, iron oxide NPs, gold NPs [Au-NPs], liposomes, QDs, and carbon nanotubes [CNTs]) surfaces exhibited structural changes in the bloodstream (ie, bioactivity). For example, Roach et al. studied the binding events of bovine serum albumin (BSA) and bovine fibrinogen (BFG) on silica nanospheres that exhibited both hydrophilic and hydrophobic surface curvature and performed secondary structure analysis (ie, infrared spectroscopy on surface-bound proteins). Compared with BSA, fibrinogen tended to undergo greater conformational changes in its secondary structure when proteins were adsorbed on NPs with high surface curvature (ie, small dimension of radius). In addition, surface properties of NPs, such as charge, size, and the effect of particle coating, affected the compatibility of NP-protein corona complexes with the immune system.

Lundqvist et al. categorized different hard corona formations on NPs by different chemistries (ie, untreated polystyrene NP, carboxyl-modified, and amine-modified) with different sizes (50 and 100 nm) (Figure 5).

---

| Corona                                      | Soft | Hard |
|---------------------------------------------|------|------|
| $K_d$ (dissociation constant)               | High | Low  |
| Adhesion to hydrophobicity                  | Low  | High |
| Molecular weight                            | Low  | High |
| Endosome–Lysosome trafficking               | Low* | Low*, High† |
| Conformational changes (sheet)              | Low  | High |

Figure 3 Schematic illustration and characteristics of a hard and a soft corona.

Notes: The protein corona encompassing the nanoparticles. Hard coronas are characterized by slow exchange (ie, several hours) and lower abundance, with a high affinity of proteins, whereas soft coronas are typified by rapid exchange (ie, several minutes) and lower affinity of proteins with weakly bound outer layers on nanoparticles. There is a different response of cellular and biochemical factors by soft and hard corona formation. *Compared with serum-free condition. †Compared with soft corona.
identified proteins were categorized according to their functions, such as Iggs, lipoproteins, complements, acute-phase proteins, and coagulation factors. Interestingly, both size and surface charge played a significant role in determining the NP-coronas, even for identical NP materials. For example, the 100-nm carboxyl-modified particles showed high Iggs adsorption, whereas the 50-nm carboxyl- and amine-modified particles exhibited relatively low Iggs adsorption (Figure 5). In this regard, specific blood protein adsorption and changes in protein conformation at certain dimensions of NPs can influence the subsequent immunotoxicity of cells.36–38

Recently, protein coronas adsorbed on polyvinylpyrrolidone-stabilized silver nanocubes in fetal bovine serum (FBS)-containing media were also quantified, using localized surface plasmon resonances.32 The soft corona formation was identified at the cube edges/corners versus facets at short incubation times.32 The soft corona also contained more proteins than the hard corona at all points (eightfold difference in 10% serum condition; Figure 6).32 This study suggests that polymer-coated NP coating may influence the kinetics of corona formation or protein distribution on NPs in biological media.

Charge-dependent interactions of NPs with biological media and associated cellular uptake were investigated. Colloidal Au-NPs were modified with amphiphilic polymer NPs to obtain identical physical properties, with the exception of

---

**Figure 4** NP-protein complexes based on sulfonated polystyrene and silica NPs.

**Notes:** Semiquantitative studies dually determined the protein composition of the hard corona and the type and amount of the most relevant proteins as a function of plasma concentration. From proteomics analysis, human serum albumin (60 kDa), immunoglobulin, and fibrinogen (50–70 kDa, depending on the chain) were the main components of the corona on sulfonated polystyrene and silica NPs. Silica NPs exposed to 10% and 55% plasma showed decreased intensity of the protein bands at 50–70 kDa (ie, fibrinogen content); increasing plasma concentration corresponded to a greater reduction in band intensity. Apolipoproteins and complement proteins (30–43 kDa) were also detected in the silica NP corona and in larger quantity with increasing plasma concentration. Although apolipoproteins (26 kDa) and complement proteins (90 kDa) were found in the polystyrene NP corona, decreased amounts were observed at higher protein concentrations. Reprinted with permission from Monopoli MP, Walczyk D, Campbell A, et al. Physical-chemical aspects of protein corona: relevance to in vitro and in vivo biological impacts of nanoparticles. J Am Chem Soc. 2011;133(8):2525–2534.25 Copyright © 2011 American Chemical Society.

**Abbreviations:** NP, nanoparticle; HSA, human serum albumin.
the signs of surface charge (negative or positive) and, thus, removed other influential factors, such as different size and colloidal stability.\textsuperscript{39} This study clarified that the number of adsorbed HSA molecules per NP was not influenced by their surface charge. However, the cellular uptake rate of NPs was lower for negative NPs than for positive NPs, both in serum-free and serum-containing media.\textsuperscript{39} Furthermore, cytotoxicity assays (ie, Alamar Blue assay) exhibit a reduced cytotoxicity for negatively charged NPs compared with positively charged NPs by reduced uptake of negatively charged NPs.\textsuperscript{39}

As a consequence, internalization and cytotoxicity of NPs are significantly influenced by the surface charge of NPs.\textsuperscript{39} This study speculated that a different hydrodynamic radius was induced by changed conformational structures on negative and positive NPs.

It was also demonstrated that rapid corona formation can influence hemolysis, thromocyte activation, NP uptake, and endothelial cell death. Interestingly, 300 different protein coronas on NP rapidly interacted (within 30 seconds). As time advanced, the composition percentage was sustained (although the amount of bounded proteins changed). This study highlighted that very rapidly established complex protein coronas at the NP–biological interface can modulate early pathobiological effects, which has a great implications in nanomedicine and nanotoxicology.\textsuperscript{37} As such, this study addressed the concept that early exposure time to plasma in biological fluids can be another important factor for determining cytotoxicity.

**Mitigated toxicity on various NPs by the protein corona**

**Blood protein interaction with carbonic NPs**

CNTs are broadly used in various biomaterials applications because of their unique mechanical, electrical, optical, and biological properties.\textsuperscript{40,41} The first large-scale characterization of the protein corona using mass spectrometry-based proteomics demonstrated that more than 750 proteins were bound to CNTs.\textsuperscript{42}
Protein corona on nanoparticle for modulating cytotoxicity and immunotoxicity

Figure 6 Quantification of protein corona layers around silver nanocubes; comparison of hard and soft corona.

Notes: Schematic cartoon and transmission electron microscopy image of a silver nanocube surrounded by both soft (green) and hard (red) corona in a two-layer model. The soft corona mass was quantified and compared with the mass of hard corona. Data exhibited an eight times greater amount of protein on soft corona than hard corona for all points (ranging from 120 to 1,440 minutes). Reprinted with permission from Mălăuș T, Bochenkov VE, Ogaki R, Howard KA, Sutherland DS. Spatial mapping and quantification of soft and hard protein coronas on silver nanocubes. Nano Lett. 2014;14(4):2086–2093. Copyright © 2014 American Chemical Society.
The competitive binding of blood proteins on single-wall CNTs (SWCNTs) influenced cellular pathways and resulted in reduced cytotoxicity that depended on the presence of protein adsorption. Ge et al explored interactions between SWCNTs and human blood proteins and examined the cytotoxicity of SWCNTs for two types of human cell lines (human acute monocytic leukemia cell line [THP-1] and human umbilical vein endothelial cells) by coating the SWCNTs with blood proteins (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{43} Atomic force microscopy (AFM) data demonstrated that the adsorption of transferrin and BSA quickly reaches thermodynamic equilibrium in 10 minutes, whereas BFG and gamma globulin adsorbed onto the SWCNT surface over longer periods (approximately 5 hours; Figure 7A–E).\textsuperscript{43} This study suggested that the highly competitive binding of blood proteins on the SWCNT surface can affect subsequent cellular responses in a time-dependent manner. Specifically, viability of cells using a cell counting kit-8 assay in the presence of BFG-, BSA-, transferrin-, and gamma globulin-coated SWCNTs represented less cytotoxicity than uncoated SWCNTs (Figure 7F).\textsuperscript{43} In particular, BFG-coated SWCNTs showed no toxicity and reduced toxicity might be related that BFG proteins have structures with more protein layers (five layers) than fibrinogen, BSA, and transferrin (two or three protein layers) and, thus, can protect cells from direct exposure to bare SWCNT surfaces. Further analysis demonstrated that the π–π stacking interactions between SWCNTs and aromatic residues (Trp, Phe, and Tyr) notably affected the binding capabilities. This study suggested that the binding of various protein types onto the SWCNT surface can elicit different cytotoxic cellular responses and can provide an important guideline for elucidating structures of protein corona on NPs that are nontoxic to cells.\textsuperscript{43}

In the bloodstream, the occurrence of blood toxicity via the formation of protein corona on a CNT is another significant issue. In this regard, the role of protein corona composition of CNTs and their interaction with human blood platelets was studied.\textsuperscript{38}

Specifically, protein corona interaction on carboxylated, multiwalled CNTs (CNT-COOH) with common human serum proteins, such as HSA, fibrinogen, immunoglobulin G (IgG), and histone H1 (H1), revealed that bare CNT-COOH showed no toxicity and reduced toxicity might be related that BFG proteins have structures with more protein layers (five layers) than fibrinogen, BSA, and transferrin (two or three protein layers) and, thus, can protect cells from direct exposure to bare SWCNT surfaces. Further analysis demonstrated that the π–π stacking interactions between SWCNTs and aromatic residues (Trp, Phe, and Tyr) notably affected the binding capabilities. This study suggested that the binding of various protein types onto the SWCNT surface can elicit different cytotoxic cellular responses and can provide an important guideline for elucidating structures of protein corona on NPs that are nontoxic to cells.\textsuperscript{43}

**Figure 7** Time-dependent interactions between BFG, gamma globulin, transferrin, bovine serum albumin, and SWCNTs and the associated viability of human cell lines.

**Notes:** AFM images and molecular modeling illustrations represented proteins bindings to SWCNTs after incubation of (A and C) 10 minutes and (B and D) 5 hours. (E) Far-ultraviolet CD and near-ultraviolet CD (inset) spectra of proteins after incubation with SWCNTs. (A, C, E) Transferrin and bovine serum albumin protein molecules binding to SWCNT could form a stable structure in a short incubation time, within 10 minutes. However, BFG and immunoglobulin proteins bound to the surface of SWCNT exhibited nonuniformity during the initial adsorption process (10 minutes), and the CD spectra represent significant changes. After 5 hours, thermodynamically stable states were observed for BFG and immunoglobulin proteins. (F) Cytotoxicity of THP-1 and HUVEC incubated with 30 μg/mL SWCNTs with protein coatings for 6 and 12 hours. CCK-8 assay results showed increased cell viability when exposed to serum proteins, especially on BFG-coated SWCNTs. Reprinted with permission from Ge C, Du J, Zhao L, et al. Binding of blood proteins to carbon nanotubes reduces cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(41):16968–16973. Copyright © 2011 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

**Abbreviations:** BFG, bovine fibrinogen; BSA, bovine serum albumin; FBS, fetal bovine serum; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; SWCNT, single-wall carbon nanotube; CD, circular dichroism; CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8.
and H1 corona induced platelet aggregation and increased lactate dehydrogenase (one of cytotoxicity markers), whereas HSA, fibrinogen, and IgG corona on CNT-COOH attenuated subsequent cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{38}

The cytotoxicity and serum protein interaction of multiwalled CNTs with three different grades of carbon blacks were also investigated.\textsuperscript{44} The kinetics of various carbon NPs (CNPs) and serum proteins in the culture medium indicated that the adsorption of serum proteins (ie, FBS) on CNPs reached maximum values within 5 minutes.\textsuperscript{44}

AFM studies showed that CNPs were enveloped in serum proteins (NP-protein coronas) after simple mixing of CNPs and FBS proteins. It was identified that cellular uptake of CNPs was greater in serum-free medium than in medium containing serum (Figure 6A and B). Serum protein adsorption on CNPs attenuated the inherent cytotoxicity of CNPs and resulted in decreased cytotoxicity by increasing the amount of serum proteins adsorbed on the CNPs.\textsuperscript{44} A possible mechanism governing this behavior is that the presence of serum proteins in the medium significantly reduced the intracellular uptake of CNPs. As such, serum proteins adsorbed on CNPs can inhibit their toxicity by shielding impurities of metal catalysts and suppressing the competitive adsorption of other proteins in the medium.\textsuperscript{44,45}

Graphene is a material composed of either a single layer or several layers of sp2-bonded carbons that has unique and highly attractive electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties.\textsuperscript{41,46,47}

Despite these unique properties, the use of graphene and its derivatives (eg, graphene oxide [GO]) has raised considerable concerns about human and environmental health. Recently, the immunotoxicity of hard corona composition on GO was analyzed.\textsuperscript{47} Long-term exposure to GO in plasma conditions (sufficient time to generate hard corona on NP) decreased reactive oxygen species production and reduced the cytotoxicity of GO.\textsuperscript{47}

In addition, Hu et al reported a protein corona-mediated reduction of cytotoxicity of GO.\textsuperscript{16} In their study, the authors performed a systematic investigation of the cellular toxicity of GO nanosheets and identified that GO interactions with FBS or a protein component in cell culture medium resulted in a decrease of cytotoxicity (Figure 8).\textsuperscript{16} Specifically, at low concentrations of FBS (ie, 1%), cytotoxicity of human lung cancer cells (A549) was sensitive to the presence of GO and showed concentration-dependent cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{16}

However, the cytotoxicity of GO was greatly mitigated in 10% FBS media and showed no concentration-dependent toxicity. Specifically, this study addressed the stimulated cytotoxicity of A549 via the direct interactions with bare GO nanosheets at the cell membrane that, ultimately, resulted in physical damage to the membrane.\textsuperscript{16}

### Blood protein interaction with polymeric NPs and other inorganic NPs

Polymeric NPs [eg, poly(sodium acrylate), poly(ethylene glycol), chitosan, etc] have been widely used as coating materials of NPs to avoid activation of the immune system, to reduce cytotoxicity, and to prolong drug circulation time in the blood system. Lemarchand et al investigated the NP–plasma protein interactions with dextran-grafted poly(e-caprolactone).\textsuperscript{48} The dextran modification on the NP surface significantly inhibited the complement system on human THP-1 and J774.A1 murine macrophage-like cell lines (phagocytosis). Specifically, dextran-coated poly(e-caprolactone) showed increased plasma protein adsorption compared with uncoated poly(e-caprolactone), with the exception of Ig adsorption.\textsuperscript{48}

The adsorption of proteins to inorganic NPs (eg, Au, Ag, FeO\textsubscript{2}, cobalt oxide, and CeO\textsubscript{2}) in serum-containing medium also has been investigated. Casals et al determined that the production of reactive oxygen species was decreased in THP-1 cells when cobalt oxide NPs were incubated with serum for 48 hours.\textsuperscript{49} Silver NPs (Ag-NPs) recorded the highest cytotoxicity to human cells compared with other metallic NPs, such as MoO\textsubscript{3} and FeO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{48,50,51} To improve biocompatibility of Ag-NPs or Au-NPs, various polymers have been coated on the NP surfaces. One study examined the cytotoxicity of spherical Ag-NPs (diameter of 50±20 nm) that were stabilized with either polyvinylpyrrolidone or citrate and dispersed in cell culture media (ie, pure media and media containing 10% BSA or 10% fetal calf serum).\textsuperscript{52} In this study, the release of Ag ions from polyvinylpyrrolidone-stabilized Ag-NPs and their biological effect on human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) were examined. The formation of Ag-protein coronas with BSA and fetal calf serum reduced the cytotoxicity of hMSCs by inhibiting the release of free Ag ions from the silver.

Citrate-coated Au-NPs, in different sizes, were examined with two types of culture media and cancer cell lines.\textsuperscript{53} This study showed that Au-NP-protein coronas formed in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium exerted greater cytotoxic effects compared with Dulbecco modified Eagle’s medium. This was attributed to the greater abundance and stability of Au-NP-protein coronas formed in Dulbecco’s medium than in the Roswell medium. These findings indicated that different compositions of cell culture media can determine the formation of NP-protein corona and, ultimately, the effect on cellular toxicity.
Figure 8 Increased cellular uptake and cytotoxicity were observed when cells were exposed to GO nanosheets in 1% FBS medium, but not in 10% FBS.

Notes: (A–C) Transmission electron microscopy images of A549 cells treated with (A and C) 100 μg/mL GO nanosheets and (B) FBS-coated GO nanosheets at 37°C for 2 hours. (C) Magnified images show interactions between GO nanosheets and A549 cells. Red arrows represent nanoparticles in cells. (D) Cell viability of A549 cells treated with GO nanosheets (20 μg/mL, 100 μg/mL) exposed to media containing 1% and 10% FBS for 2 hours. The viability of cells exposed to GO nanosheets in 1% FBS was lower than that of cells treated with FBS-coated GO nanosheets. Reprinted with permission from Hu W, Peng C, Lv M, et al. Protein corona-mediated mitigation of cytotoxicity of graphene oxide. ACS Nano. 2011;5(5):3693–3700. Copyright © 2011 American Chemical Society.

Abbreviations: GO, graphene oxide; FBS, fetal bovine serum.

Activated immune response by protein coronas
General recognition of NP-corona by immune cells

When NPs are injected into blood systems, they are considered foreign objects by a series of defense and recognition mechanisms. Interactions with plasma proteins and plasma factors can affect clearance and systemic toxicity of the NP delivery system. Recently, several studies focused on understanding the effect of the NP-protein corona on leukocytes and macrophages. Synthetic hydroxyapatite particles with a fine needle shape or hydroxyapatite aggregate can activate the NLR (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain receptors) family, pyrin domain-containing 3 inflammasome in lipopolysaccharide-induced macrophages, and can induce secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 1β and interleukin 18 in blood. It has been shown that the mineral hydroxyapatite NPs exhibit significant dose-dependent cytotoxicity via human macrophages.

The protein corona can significantly influence NP–cell interactions through different internalization and pathway activations. Selective cellular uptake of disulfide-stabilized poly-(methacrylic acid) nanoporous polymer particles with presence and without presence of protein corona conditions was recently reported. Interestingly, depending on the corona formation on NP, the uptake levels of monocytes and macrophages (differentiated monocyte) were opposites. Specifically, BSA adsorption on poly-(methacrylic acid) nanoporous polymer particles...
underwent conformational changes and showed decreased internalization efficiency by THP-1 compared with pure NPs (Figure 9). However, the BSA on nanoporous polymer particles triggered significant internalization and proinflammatory cytokine secretion (as a result of phagocytosis activity) by differentiated macrophage cells (Figure 9). This study highlighted selective cell recognition for protein corona formation on NPs.

The recognition of foreign NPs could be opsonin-dependent, opsonin-independent, or a combination of both. An opsonin is any protein (ie, IgG or complement proteins) that promotes phagocytosis by marking an antigen for an immune response. In addition, molecules that activate the complement system are considered opsonins. In a series of in vitro experiments, positive correlations between complement protein (C3) adsorption and uptake/clearance were observed for liposomes and poly(lactic acid) and poly(methyl methacrylate) NPs interacting with immune cells.

Along these lines, the physiochemical surface properties of NPs can mediate the protein corona formation in which NP

Figure 9 Opposite cellular uptake by monocyte (THP-1) and macrophage (dTHP-1) was observed in the presence and absence of protein corona. Notes: Different cellular uptake of PMAsh NPPs for THP-1 and dTHP-1 cells was measured in cRPMI, BSA-containing medium, and serum-free medium at 6 hours (visualized by fluorescence microscopy). dTHP-1 showed greater uptake for PMAsh NPPs in the presence of serum than the presence of THP-1 cells. Fluorescence images showed the cell membrane (green) and the internalized PMASH particles (red). Scale bars = 10 μm. Reprinted with permission from Yan Y, Gause KT, Kamphuis MM, et al. Differential roles of the protein corona in the cellular uptake of nanoporous polymer particles by monocyte and macrophage cell lines. ACS Nano. 2013;7(12):10960–10970. Copyright ©2013 American Chemical Society. 

Abbreviations: cRPMI, complete Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium; PMAsh NPPs, disulfide-stabilized poly(methacrylic acid) nanoporous polymer particles; BSA, bovine serum albumin; SF, serum-free medium.
surface properties can enhance the probability of immune cell activation.

**Complement activation by NP-protein coronas**

Although protein corona formation can mitigate nanotoxicity, the immune cell response can be activated, depending on the type of corona formation. The complement system is a vital component of the immune system and acts as a first line of defense against foreign materials. Complement can be activated via three general pathways (ie, classical, lectin, and alternative) after surface binding of key complement recognition proteins.\(^6^0\) To recognize and eliminate foreign materials, the function of the immune system can facilitate NP uptake by phagocytic cells via cell-killing mechanisms.\(^6^0,6^1\)

When NPs are exposed to blood, various NP-protein coronas can activate complement mechanisms and can cause inflammation and damage to the host.\(^6^0\) To attenuate complement activation, the surface functionality and availability of reactive functional groups of NPs need to be regulated.\(^6^0,6^1\)

Hulander et al showed that complement activation can be attenuated by immobilizing Au-NPs on a smooth gold substrate (with an average size of 58 nm) by creating nanostructures.\(^6^3\) In this study, immune complement was activated through the classical pathway when the complement protein (ie, C1) bound to IgG molecules adsorbed on a nanosurface.\(^6^4\) Specifically, the authors observed that complement activation was significantly attenuated (nearly a 50% reduction) by the nanostructured hydrophilic gold surfaces, even after preadsorption with human IgG. As such, the activation of the complement system was suppressed when in the presence of surface-bound hydrophilic Au-NPs, whereas it was highly activated when the nanostructured surface was hydrophobized. From these findings, it can be concluded that surface nanotopography with different surface energies can influence complex blood protein adsorption mechanisms and, to this extent, can influence complement pathways in the blood system.\(^6^3\)

Salvador-Morales et al demonstrated a method to control the levels of complement activation of poly(D,\(\_\)l-lactide-co-glycolide)-lipid poly(ethylene glycol) NPs by controlling surface chemical modification with methoxyl, carboxyl, and amine groups on NPs.\(^6^5\) Human serum and plasma protein-binding studies identified the binding of two key complement proteins, factor H (fH) and C3b \(\beta\) chain on the hybrid NPs played a key role in complement activation via the alternative pathway. In particular, fH can prevent the formation of C3 convertase by binding to C3b and can enhance decay activity of the convertase by dissociating Bb from the C3 and C5 convertase complexes. As a consequence, the suggested process can inhibit alternative complement pathways.\(^6^6\) In this study, hybrid polymeric structures of NPs with methoxyl surface groups bind with complement deactivating proteins (fH) and, thus, induce the lowest complement activation.\(^6^5\)

Thus, attached fH on NPs can down-regulate signal pathways in the complement system via the alternative pathway by controlling surface chemical modification.\(^6^5\)

**Fibrinogen adsorption on NPs**

Exposure of foreign NPs to blood can result in the adsorption of several protein layers (in this case, immune-activating proteins) that often triggers activation of the immune system.\(^3^6\)

When foreign NPs come in contact with blood, plasma proteins adsorb onto the NP surfaces within a second and form a NP-protein corona. Although forming a NP-protein corona reduces cytoxicity and deactivates the immune system, the adsorption of certain types of plasma proteins can induce adverse effects on the immune system, such as complement activation.

For example, specific plasma proteins can affect platelet adhesion and initiate thrombogenesis.\(^6^7\) Fibrinogen, fibronectin, vitronectin, and von Willebrand factors are major mediators of platelet aggregation by binding with platelet receptors, such as GP IIb/IIIa.\(^6^4\) Among these proteins, fibrinogen is a 340 kDa soluble plasma glycoprotein that is converted by thrombin into fibrin during the formation of a blood clot.\(^6^7,6^8\) A recent study has shown that fibrinogen in plasma can adsorb to NP surfaces at significantly higher quantities than other adhesion proteins.\(^6^5\) In addition, the correlation between fibrinogen adsorption and platelet was examined.\(^6^8\) In a separate study, Park and Khang also demonstrated that the chemical functional intensity of carboxyl groups on SWCNTs, which corresponds to the water dispersity or hydrophilicity of CNTs, can induce conformational changes in the fibrinogen domains.\(^4^5\) Alleviating the density of carboxyl groups of SWCNT can alter the secondary structure of fibrinogen and, thus, alter bioactivity. Different patterns of heat denaturation (change of three-dimensional structures of proteins) were observed on fibrinogen with NPs compared with free fibrinogen when increasing the hydrophilicity and concentration of SWCNTs.

Importantly, fibrinogen can trigger hemostasis (stops bleeds from a damaged blood vessel) and leukocyte activation via a well-known regulator of the inflammatory response.\(^6^9\)

The integrin receptor Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18, \(\alpha\)M\(\beta\)2, and CR3) is the most common complex member of the entire integrin family. Mac-1 is dominantly expressed on activated leukocytes, primarily neutrophils and monocytes, and can mediate critical adhesive reactions during the inflammatory response.\(^6^9\) In particular, Mac-1 can promote the strong adhesion of
neutrophils to endothelial cells and activate diapedesis, a process in which neutrophils migrate through the interstitial matrix (ie, a type of extracellular matrix found in interstitial connective tissue).70 The Mac-1 integrin is also involved in other neutrophil responses, such as phagocytosis, homotypic aggregation, degranulation, and adhesion to microorganisms.70

Ligands of Mac-1 can be stimulated by many extracellular matrix proteins (eg, fibronectin, collagens, thrombospondin, Cyr61, etc), blood proteins (eg, fibrinogen, iC3b, kininogen, factor H, factor X, t-PA, and so on), and proteases (eg, elastase, myeloperoxidase, plasminogen, etc).

Recently, inflammatory responses to fibrinogen-adsorbed NPs by Mac-1 activation have been reported. Deng et al showed that negatively charged poly(acrylic acid)-conjugated Au-NPs can bind and induce the unfolding of fibrinogen by promoting interactions with Mac-1 (Figure 10).71 Activation of this receptor can stimulate the NF-κB signaling pathway and lead to the release of inflammatory cytokines. In this study, poly(acrylic acid)–Au-NP induced conformational changes in the fibrinogen structure significantly involved with binding to Mac-1-receptor-positive THP-1 cells, but not to Mac-1-receptor-negative HL-60 cells. In addition, fibrinogen/poly(acrylic acid)–Au-NP complexes increased levels of nuclear factor-κB in THP-1 cells (Figure 10E). As such, this study confirmed that NP surface density is another critical factor in fibrinogen binding. The study also demonstrated that negatively charged NPs induced the unfolding of fibrinogen, and thus, fibrinogen-bound NPs triggered subsequent proinflammatory responses.

**Conclusion**

In spite of the improved efficacy of many NP-based drug delivery systems, unexpected interactions with different blood components can limit their use in various biomedical applications. Protein adsorption to NPs (ie, the NP-protein corona) is critical to understanding how immune cells interact with NPs and how the NP-protein corona can regulate immunotoxicity. Although NP-protein coronas generally reduce cytotoxicity and immunotoxicity, immunotoxicity can be mitigated or activated depending on the type of NP and adsorbed plasma protein (Table 1). At this time, there is a limited understanding of the connection between the

---

**Figure 10** Fibrinogen bound on PAA-GNP can activate NF-κB via Mac-1 receptors.

**Notes:** (A) SDS-PAGE showing bands of human plasma proteins at ~65, 55, and 45 kDa bound to PAA-GNP with diameters of 5, 10, and 20 nm. (B) Smaller the PAA-GNP (5 nm of dimension, blue arrow), greater portion of fibrinogen bounds on NP than 20 nm of PAA-GNP (red arrow). Purified fibrinogen (0.6 mg) was incubated with increasing concentrations of PAA-GNP. Inset representing unbound fibrinogen is recalculated according to the identical surface area of two NPs. (C) Crystal structure of fibrinogen. Inset shows the C terminus of the g chain (purple) that interacts with the Mac-1 receptor. (D) Far-UV CD representing conformational changes of fibrinogen in its absence and presence with increasing concentrations (for 5 nm PAA-GNP). (E) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay showing increased nuclear localization of NF-κB in THP-1 cells that were exposed to fibrinogen/PAA-GNP complexes. The blue arrow (fifth lane) highlights the location of the p65 complex of NF-κB, which was determined by a supershift with anti-p65 antibody (fifth lane). Reprinted with permission from Deng ZJ, Liang M, Monteiro M, Tosh I, Minchin RF. Nanoparticle-induced unfolding of fibrinogen promotes Mac-1 receptor activation and inflammation. Nat Nanotechnol. 2011;6(1):39–44.71 Copyright © 2011 Nature Publishing Group.

**Abbreviations:** PAA-GNP, poly(acrylic acid)-coated gold nanoparticles; NF-κB, nuclear factor-kappa B; Mac-1, macrophage-1 antigen; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; UV, ultraviolet; CD, circular dichroism; NP, nanoparticle.
| Nanomaterial | Type       | Size               | Shape   | Modification                  | Interacted proteins | Level of cytotoxicity | Type of cytotoxicity/ immunotoxicity | References |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| SWCNT       | Organic    | Outer diameter: <2 nm, length: 5–30 µm | Tube    | –                             | BFG, Igγ, Tf, BSA   | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 43         |
| MWNT        | Organic    | Outer diameters: 60–100 nm length, 1–2 µm | Tube    | COOH–                         | HSA                 | ↓                    | PLT aggregation, ROS production, LDH release | 38         |
| CBs         | Organic    | 14 nm              | Sheet   | –                             | BSA                 | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 44         |
| GO          | Organic    | 4–18 nm            | Sheet   | –                             | FBS                 | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 16         |
| PCL-DEX     | Organic    | 130–200 nm         | Core-shell | –                           | FBS                 | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 48         |
| PVP-Ag NPs  | Inorganic  | 9 nm               | Sphere  | Organically coated            | FBS                 | ↓                    | ROS production                      | 49         |
| PVP-Ag NPs  | Inorganic  | 65, 85 nm          | Sphere  | Organically coated            | FBS, BSA            | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 52         |
| Au-NPs      | Inorganic  | 10 nm              | Sphere  | –PO(OH)2 (provide [-] charge) | BSA, BSA            | ↓                    | Attenuated cell viability           | 39         |
| Liposomes   | Organic    | 800 nm             | Sphere  | –                             | IgG, Hydrophobized  | ↑                    | Complement activation               | 61         |
| PAA-GNP     | Inorganic  | 5 cm               | Sphere  | Organically coated            | FBG                 | ↑                    | Inflammation activation             | 71         |

**Abbreviations:** SCWNT, single-wall carbon nanotubes; MWNT, multi-wall carbon nanotubes; CBs, carbon blacks; GO, graphene oxide; PCL–DEX, dextran grafted poly(e-caprolactone) side chains; CoO-NPs, cobalt oxide nanoparticles; PVP-Ag NPs, Poly (N-vinylpyrrolidone) silver nanoparticles; Au-NPs, gold nanoparticles; PLGA–Lipid–PeG NPs, poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide)-lipid poly(ethylene glycol) nanoparticles; PLA-NPs, polyD,L-lactic acid nanoparticles; PMA₃₈ NPs, poly-(methacrylic acid) nanoporous polymer particles; PAA-GNP, Poly (acrylic acid)-cojugated gold nanoparticle; BFG, bovine fibrinogen; Igγ, gamma globulin; Tf, transferrin; BSA, bovine serum albumin; FBS, fetal bovine serum; FCS, fetal calf serum; IgG, immunoglobulin G; C3, complement component 3; CCs, complement components; C3b, complement component C3b; PLT, platelet; ROS, reactive oxidative stress; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
physicochemical properties of NPs and their corresponding effect on the physiological system. As such, it still remains unclear how to optimally synthesize and chemically modify NPs for in vivo application. It is clear, however, that understanding NP-protein corona formation and routes of administration can support the ability to control immune responses and cytotoxicity. The formation and immunological response to NP-protein coronas is significantly influenced by the physicochemical surface properties of the NPs (ie, physical surface architecture and chemical functionality), and thus, future works should address the effective physicochemical properties of NP for determining protein corona and associated toxicological evaluation by analyzing protein distribution and examining in vitro and in vivo responses. With an improved understanding of NP-protein corona interactions in the immune system, the adverse aspects of NPs will be anticipated in advance and inhibited through rational design.
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