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ABSTRACT: Passion fruit has faced many problems in productivity, supply, prices and quality of the fruit as a result of the inadequate management of the orchards and the low application of existing technology. Increased planting density may promote an increase in productivity and thus provide better income to the producer. In this sense, this experiment was performed to study the increase of planting density on productivity and on the quality of yellow passion fruit in Jequitinhonha Valley, Minas Gerais, Brazil. The evaluated spacings between plants were 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 and 6.0 m. The spacing between the rows was three meters, which corresponded to 1,667, 1,111, 833, 667 and 556 plants ha⁻¹, respectively. Production per plant, productivity, juice yield per hectare, transverse and longitudinal diameter, peel thickness, soluble solids, titratable acidity, and the soluble solids /titratable acidity ratio of fruits were evaluated. Increasing the planting density to 1,667 plants per hectare promoted greater productivity. The densification did not affect the quality of the fruits.
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INTRODUCTION

In the region of Jequitinhonha Valley, Minas Gerais, Brazil, with the prohibition of mining, which was one activity developed over the years, the lack of jobs has become a serious social problem that affects the population. Thus, the development of fruit production in the region has become a new source of potential income for the families of producers.

Among fruit trees, yellow passion fruit has the potential of a rapid economic return with production distribution through most of the year and a high value of the fruit, which makes this crop an interesting alternative for family farming. Moreover, the level of employment is high, which can create strong social character. An orchard with one hectare of yellow passion fruit can generate three to four direct jobs and requires seven to eight people in the production chain (FERREIRA et al., 2003).

However, passion fruit is facing many problems regarding productivity, supply, prices and the quality of the fruit as a result of the inadequate management of the orchards and the low application of existing technology.

In this context, in order for producers to achieve better incomes, it is necessary to increase productivity without compromising the quality of the fruit because higher yields usually lead to greater profitability.

Thus, the densification of the crop can be a good alternative for overcoming the problems related to the cultivation of yellow passion fruit. Higher plant densities can promote higher productivity during the first harvests and enable increased profitability, even when producers have to reform the orchards every two years due to the occurrence of pests and diseases that cause depletion, lower production and the death of the plants (HAFLE et al., 2012; MELO JUNIOR et al., 2012; CAVICHIOLI et al., 2014).

In this sense, this research was conducted to evaluate the production of yellow passion fruit with reduced crop spacing in the soil and weather conditions of Valley of Jequitinhonha, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in Couto Magalhães de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil, located at 18° 04’ 15” South latitude and 43° 28’ 15” West longitude at 726 meters of altitude. The soil was a dystrophic Yellow Latosol, with 60% sand, 27% clay and 13% silt. The climate is tropical with well-defined dry and rainy seasons. The variations in temperature and rainfall were recorded during the experimental period (Figure 1).
Seedlings of yellow passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims) were produced from September to November 2013. The seeds were from ripe fruits acquired at the Diamantina market, Minas Gerais, Brazil by selecting fruit with characteristics desirable for the fruit fresh market; they were sown in plastic tubes with 120 cm³ volume, which were filled with commercial substrate Bioplant® and kept in greenhouse.

Fertilization and the correction of the planting holes were performed according to soil analyses (Table 1) and the recommendations for yellow passion fruit crop; an application of 200 g dolomitic limestone, 20 L cattle manure, 500 g superphosphate (P₂O₅ = 18%, S = 8%) and 50 g FTE BR 12® (Ca = 7.1%; S = 5.7%; B = 1.8%; Cu = 0.8%; Mn = 2.0%, Mo = 0.1%; Zn = 9.0%) was applied per hole 60 days before planting.

Table 1. Soil chemical analysis of the experimental area at the depths of 0 to 0.2 m and 0.2 to 0.4 m before planting in Couto Magalhães de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

| Depth (m) | pH | P  | K   | Ca  | Mg  | Al³⁺ | H + Al |
|----------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
|          | H₂O| mg dm⁻³ |-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| 0 – 0.2  | 5.10 | 1.83 | 40.70 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 3.70   |
| 0.2 – 0.4| 4.90 | 5.09 | 40.70 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.68 | 4.20   |

| Depth (m) | SB | t   | T   | V  | m  | OM  |
|----------|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|
|           | cmol dm⁻³ | % | cmol dm⁻³ | % | dag dm⁻³ |
| 0 – 0.2  | 1.40 | 1.90 | 5.10 | 28.00 | 26.00 | 0.40 |
| 0.2 – 0.4| 1.00 | 1.70 | 5.20 | 19.00 | 40.00 | 0.10 |
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The plants were trained to an espalier vertical system. A wire was stretched horizontally at 1.8 m above the soil, and a primary branch led to the wire, and two secondary branches were trained to the wire; tertiary branches (productive ones) with pending growth were pruned to 0.2 m above the soil.
The experimental design was in randomized blocks, with four blocks and three plants per plot. The spacing between evaluated plants were as follows: 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0 m. The spacing between the rows was three meters, which corresponded to 1,667, 1,111, 833, 667 and 556 plants ha\(^{-1}\).

Fertilizer for plant growth was applied per planting hole at 70 g N and 90 g K\(_2\)O. Fertilization was divided into three applications at 30, 60 and 120 days after transplanting the seedlings.

Fertilizer for production (first year post-planting) was applied per hole at 120 g N and 160 g K\(_2\)O and was divided into three applications in November, January and March (2014/2015). In all fertilizations, the sources of N and K were ammonium sulfate and potassium chloride.

Weed management was conducted by hoeing the rows to prevent competition for water, light and nutrients and by mowing between the lines and keeping the straw to prevent exposure of the soil. Water for the plants was supplied using drip irrigation during periods of drought. Evaluations were conducted during the two production cycles when the fruits were harvested at the appropriate maturation stage.

The first harvest, called the off-season by some producers, is the first production and occurs in the off-season when the plants are not yet fully formed; the evaluations were performed from April to July 2014.

The second harvest is the production of plants that occurs when the plants have completed their development, usually about one year after planting; evaluations were performed from November 2014 to June 2015.

The production per plant was determined by taking direct weigh measurements of fruits per experimental plot. From the production data (kg per plant), productivity was determined for each planting density (t ha\(^{-1}\)).

To determine juice yield, representative samples (10 fruits) for each spacing were taken for juice extraction. The fruits were weighed and pulped. The pulp was sieved to separate seed and the extracted juice volume. Individual juice yield was obtained by the ratio of extracted juice volume to its mass; the total juice yield of each treatment was calculated (t ha\(^{-1}\)).

In the quality assessment, samples of 10 fruits per plot were separated, having 50% yellow skin coloration, to determine the mass (g), transverse and longitudinal diameters (mm) peel thickness (mm), soluble solids (°Bx), titratable acidity (% of citric acid) and the soluble solids / titratable acidity ratio.

The data were submitted to an analysis of variance and polynomial regression. The choice of model was based on the potential to explain the biological phenomenon in question, the coefficient of determination and the significance of the regression coefficients using the t-test (p<0.05).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Differences were observed among the different spacings for the production per plant, productivity and juice yield in the two harvests. However, fruit quality was not affected by spacing.

The production per plant in the first harvest was 47.2% higher with the spacing of 4.5 m compared to plants spaced at 2.0 m (Figure 2A). In the second harvest, the response showed a linear increase, with higher production per plant at a spacing of 6.0 m (Figure 2B). The difference in production per plant between the first and second harvest occurred due to the conformation of the plants. In the first harvest, the plants at 5.0 and 6.0 m spacing were not fully developed, i.e., the secondary and tertiary branches (productive branches) were still developing. With the growth of new branches, assimilates were directed towards vegetative growth instead of production.

On the other hand, the lower production per plant with spacings of 2.0 and 3.0 m was due to the smaller area occupied by these plants; these plants had a smaller number of branches, which resulted in a reduction in the number of flowering buds and a lower number of fruits per plant (HAFLE et al., 2009).

In the second harvest, the largest number of fruits plants cultivated at 6.0 m; this may be attributed to the greater number of productive branches due to the length of the secondary branches, which provided a greater production area.

The increase of production in plants cultivated at greater spacings is in accordance with Andrade Júnior et al. (2003), Araújo Neto et al. (2005) and Cavichioli et al. (2014).

In addition to the number of branches, which was determined by the length of the secondary branches as a function of spacing, the productive potential of yellow passion fruit was influenced by the genetic material used. Freitas et al. (2011) recorded variations from 15 to 115 fruits (3 - 7.7 kg) per plant in the first harvest when they evaluated the agronomic performance of 38 accessions of passion fruit in Cruz das Almas, Bahia, Brazil. In this experiment, although the
yellow passion fruit were purchased from the local market, the observed values of production per plant with the spacing of 4.5 m were superior to the best accessions evaluated by these authors.
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**Figure 2.** Production per plant (A) and (B), productivity (C) and (D), and juice yield (E) and (F) in first and second harvests of yellow passion fruit, respectively, cultivated at different planting spacings, Couto Magalhães de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

The highest productivity 13.7 t ha\(^{-1}\) was obtained with the highest density in the first harvest, which was 73.1% and 60.9% higher than the productivity of plants cultivated at spacings of 6.0 and 5.0 m, respectively (Figure 2C); the highest productivity was 44.1 t ha\(^{-1}\) in the second harvest, which was 62.8% and 81.2% higher than plants spaced at 6.0 m and 5.0 m (Figure 2D).

The observed results are promising because in Minas Gerais, Brazil, the projection for the first harvest (off-season) and second harvest are 5.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) and 25.0 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively, when the nutritional management used in this experiment was similar with the conventional spacing of 5.0 m.

The observed values are also satisfactory when compared with studies performed in other regions with increased plant density. In Adamantina, São Paulo, Brazil, the productivity of yellow passion fruit at a density of 3,125 plants ha\(^{-1}\) was 14.5 t ha\(^{-1}\), while at the density of 625 plants ha\(^{-1}\), the productivity was 4.3 t ha\(^{-1}\) in the first harvest (CHAVICHIOLLI et al., 2014). In São Tiago, Minas Gerais, Brazil, Araujo Neto et al. (2005) verified a productivity of 10.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) with a density of 1,841 plants ha\(^{-1}\).

For juice yield per hectare, in both harvests, the highest yield was observed at the spacing of 2.0 m and decreased with increased spacing (Figures 2E and 2F). In the first harvest, the plants cultivated at the spacing of 2.0 m had a juice yield 13.7 t ha\(^{-1}\) increase of 68.1%, and in the second harvest, that increase was 55.9% compared to plants spaced at 6.0 m. Juice yields are directly related to the productivities achieved in plants with these spacings.
The results demonstrate that with the use of smaller spacing between plants, higher juice yield per hectare is obtained. In this sense, a producer in the Jequitinhonha Valley who has interest in producing yellow passion fruit destined for the industry or in processing these fruits in a family agribusiness can achieve higher profitability using the spacing of 2.0 m because it promotes higher juice yield.

Significant differences did not occur in mass, longitudinal and transverse diameters, peel thickness, soluble solids, titratable acidity and the soluble solids / titratable acidity ratio in the fruits cultivated at the different spacings in both harvests (Table 2). These results are important from the point of view of marketing, which enables the producer to adapt the best spacing without loss in the quality of the fruits.

Table 2. Mass (g), PT – peel thickness (mm), LD – longitudinal diameter (mm), and the TD – transverse diameter (mm) of fruits in the first and second harvests of yellow passion fruit cultivated in the different planting spacings, Couto Magalhães de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

| Spacing between plants (m) | Mass 1st harvest\(^n\) | Mass 2nd harvest\(^n\) | PT 1st harvest\(^n\) | PT 2nd harvest\(^n\) | LD 1st harvest\(^n\) | LD 2nd harvest\(^n\) | TD 1st harvest\(^n\) | TD 2nd harvest\(^n\) |
|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2.0                        | 120.70                 | 127.40                 | 5.52                 | 4.55                 | 84.04               | 71.75               | 81.08               | 67.9                |
| 3.0                        | 125.00                 | 126.90                 | 4.96                 | 4.76                 | 90.22               | 83.94               | 100.62              | 68.7                |
| 4.0                        | 122.10                 | 123.40                 | 4.60                 | 4.96                 | 81.87               | 75.99               | 82.04               | 67.2                |
| 5.0                        | 128.70                 | 129.20                 | 4.73                 | 4.57                 | 90.68               | 74.89               | 83.47               | 68.9                |
| 6.0                        | 119.70                 | 130.80                 | 4.56                 | 5.32                 | 93.32               | 77.03               | 82.21               | 68.04               |
| Average                    | 123.20                 | 127.50                 | 4.87                 | 4.85                 | 88.03               | 72.72               | 85.88               | 68.16               |
| CV (%)                     | 19.40                  | 7.40                   | 10.50                | 12.80                | 6.20                | 5.30                | 12.00               | 3.50                |

\(^n\) - not significant (p<0.05)

As shown by some authors, peel thickness values are inversely proportional to juice yield (NEGREIROS et al., 2007; VIANNA-SILVA et al., 2008). Thus, fruit with thinner peel, as observed in this study, have higher pulp yield, which is interesting for both for the fresh fruit market and for the industry.

Regarding the diameter of the fruits, means of 88.03 and 85.88 mm for the longitudinal diameter and 72.72 and 68.16 mm for the transverse diameter were observed in the first and second harvests, respectively (Table 2). The values observed in the fruits suggest a good price in the market because they are within the standard of “class 3A” (≥ 65 mm and <75 mm in transverse diameter), which is a good standard of classification by CEAGESP. Obtaining fruits with good classification guarantees the producer greater economic returns at the time of sale because at the fresh fruit market, size is one of the main parameters evaluated by consumers.

The sizes of fruits observed in this study were similar to fruit from other regions. In the Tangará da Serra, Mato Grosso, Brazil, the marketed fruits ranged from 69.5 to 78.6 mm in transverse diameter and 73.3 mm to 94.1 mm in longitudinal diameter (TRENTIN et al., 2014).

The average of soluble solids was 14.55 and 14.72° Bx in the first and second harvests, respectively (Table 3). These results are consistent with that reported in the literature, which recorded variations from 11.65 to 17.29° Bx (ARAÚJO NETO et al., 2005; HAFLE et al., 2009; COBRA et al., 2015).
Fruits with high soluble solids are the most desirable for industrialization because approximately 11 kg of fruit are needed with soluble solids between 11% and 12% to obtain 1 kg of concentrated juice with 50 °Bx. Thus, the higher the total soluble, the lower the amount of pulp needed to obtain the product.

Regarding the titratable acidity, the values observed in both harvests (Table 3) are with the recommendation of ‘Ministério da Agricultura e do Abastecimento’, Brazil; as there is a minimum value of 2.5% for the titratable acidity in passion fruit juice for use in the industry, which enables the producer to market to both the fresh market and to the industry during the entire production period.

No variations in soluble solids and acidity favored the soluble solids / acidity ratio, which did not differ in the fruits from the different spacings; the average values were 3.46 in the first harvest and 5.06 in the second harvest (Table 3).

The variation from the first to second harvests in the soluble solids / acidity ratio can be attributed to the increase in the minimum temperature from 13.2 °C to 17.0 °C and in the maximum temperature from 25.7 °C to 27.8 °C (Figure 1), which may have influenced the decrease in acidity in the second harvest, as observed by Cavichioni et al. (2008).

According to the obtained results, the increase in planting density promoted the increase in the productivity of yellow passion fruit from the first harvest without reducing the quality of fruits. This result is very relevant because it enables producers to receive greater economic returns, which allow for the capitalization and the permanence of these producers in the activity.

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing the planting density to 1,667 plants per hectare promoted greater productivity.

The densification did not affect the quality of the fruits.
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