Presynaptic NMDA receptors and spike timing-dependent depression at cortical synapses

Antonio Rodríguez-Moreno1,2*, Abhishek Banerjee1 and Ole Paulsen1,3*

1 Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
2 Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Cellular Biology, University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain
3 Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY AND NMDA RECEPTORS

One of the most interesting properties of the brain is its ability to change in response to experience. This property has been termed plasticity and is involved in the reorganization of cortical maps during development, and in learning and memory processes in the adult animal (for review, see Malenka and Bear, 2004). Plasticity is assumed to be mediated primarily by synaptic changes. Synaptic plasticity can be short-term (lasting from milliseconds to several minutes) or long-term (lasting from hours to months; see Citri and Malenka, 2009), which confer different functional, kinetic, pharmacological and signaling properties to the NMDA receptor (for review, see Cull-Candy et al., 2001). NMDA receptors participate in normal synaptic transmission, synaptic development and synaptic plasticity, and are involved in the pathogenesis of some neurological states and diseases including stroke, epilepsy, schizophrenia and neuro-pathic pain (Cull-Candy et al., 2001). These receptors have been localized in the postsynaptic membrane where they are activated by the co-agonists glutamate and glycine (or d-serine) and contribute to excitatory postsynaptic responses together with AMPA and kainate receptors. t-LTP depends on postsynaptic NMDA receptors acting as classical coincidence detectors where presynaptic spikes trigger the release of glutamate necessary to activate these receptors, and back-propagating action potentials produce postsynaptic depolarization which relieves the NMDA receptors of their voltage-dependent Mg2+ block leading to influx of Ca2+ ions. Surprisingly, in some cortical areas, postsynaptic loading of the NMDA receptor channel blocker MK-801 blocked t-LTP but...

It has recently been discovered that some forms of timing-dependent long-term depression (t-LTD) require presynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. In this review, we discuss the evidence for the presence of presynaptic NMDA receptors at cortical synapses and their possible role in the induction of t-LTD. Two basic models emerge for the induction of t-LTD at cortical synapses. In one model, coincident activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors and CB1 receptors mediates t-LTD. In a second model, CB1 receptors are not necessary, and the activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors alone appears to be sufficient for the induction of t-LTD.
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not t-LTD, suggesting that NMDA receptors involved in t-LTD are not postsynaptic. (Bender et al., 2006; Nevian and Sakmann, 2006; Corlew et al., 2007; see Corlew et al., 2008 for review). This finding raises the possibility that NMDA receptors involved in t-LTD might have a presynaptic location.

**EVIDENCE FOR PRESYNAPTIC NMDA RECEPTORS**

The existence of presynaptic NMDA receptors was first proposed following the finding that NMDA receptor agonists facilitated noradrenaline release in synaptosome preparations from the hippocampus (Pittaluga and Raiteri, 1990, 1992; Wang et al., 1992) and cerebral cortex (Fink et al., 1990; Wang et al., 1992), and dopamine release in the striatum (Johnson and Jeng, 1991; Krebs et al., 1991; Wang, 1991). Recently, more evidence has appeared for presynaptic NMDA receptors involved in dopamine release in synaptosomes and synaptoneurosomes in the striatum (Whittaker et al., 2008). Evidence for presynaptic NMDA receptors was also found at neuromuscular synapses from *Xenopus* in culture where NMDA enhances transmitter release (Fu et al., 1995). The existence of presynaptic NMDA receptors has also been supported by anatomical evidence. Anatomical support for presynaptic NMDA receptors has come from immuno-electron microscopy experiments which have identified NMDA receptor immunolabeling in presynaptic elements of the neocortex (Aoki et al., 1994; DeBiasi et al., 1996; Charton et al., 1999; Corlew et al., 2007), the hippocampus (Siegel et al., 1994; Charton et al., 1999; Jourdain et al., 2007), the spinal cord (Liu et al., 1994), the amygdala (Farb et al., 1995; Pickel et al., 2006) and the cerebellum (Petralia et al., 1994; Bidore et al., 2009). Functionally, presynaptic NMDA receptors have been proposed to exist on both excitatory and inhibitory boutons, where they could modulate transmitter release. At cortical glutamatergic synapses they have generally been suggested to serve as facilitatory autoreceptors, reversibly enhancing glutamate release. A transient decrease of miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) frequency was seen following the application of the NMDA receptor antagonist d-AP5 when postsynaptic NMDA receptors were previously blocked by intracellular loading of MK-801 or by hyperpolarization. This was first demonstrated in the entorhinal cortex (Berretta and Jones, 1996; Woodhall et al., 2001) and subsequently in the visual cortex (Sjöström et al., 2003; Corlew et al., 2007; Li and Han, 2007; Li et al., 2008), somatosensory cortex (Bender et al., 2006; Brasier and Feldman, 2008) and hippocampus (Mameli et al., 2005; Jourdain et al., 2007; see Corlew et al., 2008 for review). Apart from the cerebral cortex, there is also evidence for physiologically active presynaptic NMDA receptors in the cerebellum (Glitsch and Marty, 1999; Casado et al., 2000; Duguid and Smart, 2004; Fiszman et al., 2005), amygdala (Humeau et al., 2003) and spinal cord (Liu et al., 1997; Badoni et al., 2004). For broader reviews on the evidence for presynaptic glutamate receptors, see MacDermott et al. (1999), Engelman and MacDermott (2004) and Pinheiro and Mulle (2008).

Presynaptic NMDA receptors have been implicated in plasticity at both excitatory and inhibitory synapses, including heterosynaptic associative LTP at thalamic and cortical afferent synapses in the amygdala (Humeau et al., 2003), depolarization-induced potentiation (Duguid and Smart, 2004) and LTD (Casado et al., 2002) in the cerebellum, LTD at GABAergic synapses in the tadpole optic tectum (Lien et al., 2006) and t-LTD in different cortical areas as discussed by Duguid and Sjöström (2006) and Corlew et al. (2008).

While these putative functional NMDA receptors are generally assumed to be at axonal locations, the existence of presynaptic, axonal NMDA receptors has been challenged by the discovery that somatodendritic NMDA receptor activation can affect axonal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels through voltage-dependent calcium channel activation, at least in cerebellar stellate cells (Christie and Jahr, 2008). A further challenge has come from the apparent lack of direct effect of NMDA application on axonal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels and axon excitability in cortical layer (L) 5 pyramidal neurons (Christie and Jahr, 2009).

To summarize, experiments in synaptosomes are suggestive of NMDA receptors being present in presynaptic boutons; immunoelectron microscopy experiments are also consistent with axonal NMDA receptors since immunolabeling has been found in axons in different regions. In slices, the existence of axonal presynaptic NMDA receptors has been proposed based on the observation that the addition of an NMDA receptor antagonist affects spontaneous, miniature and evoked neurotransmitter release, even after intracellular blockade of postsynaptic NMDA receptors (see Corlew et al., 2008 for review). The recent experiments by Christie and Jahr (2008, 2009) question the interpretation of these results, suggesting that the observed effects could be mediated by NMDA receptors located in the somatodendritic compartment of the presynaptic neuron.

To unequivocally demonstrate the existence of functional presynaptic axonal NMDA receptors a combination of different approaches will be required (see Corlew et al., 2008 for review):

(1) Immunogold electron microscopy (Farb et al., 1995);
(2) Direct monitoring of presynaptic function by calcium imaging whilst adding agonists or antagonists at NMDA receptors (Shin and Linden, 2005);
(3) Direct electrophysiological recording from presynaptic boutons (Fiszman et al., 2005);
(4) Direct loading of NMDA receptor antagonists into the presynaptic axon (Rodríguez-Moreno and Paulsen, 2008); and
(5) Compartment-specific interference with NMDA receptor function using molecular or genetic tools (Lynch, 2004; Safo and Regehr, 2005).

**ROLE OF PRESYNAPTIC NMDA RECEPTORS IN SPIKE TIMING-DEPENDENT LTD**

The first evidence for a role of presynaptic NMDA receptors in STDP came from experiments at L5-L5 synapses of visual cortex where an NMDA receptor-dependent presynaptic form of t-LTD was described (Sjöström et al., 2003). This t-LTD requires activation of postsynaptic group I mGluRs and postsynaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} elevation. Results indicate that this form of t-LTD is expressed as a reduction in the probability of neurotransmitter release, thus implicating a retrograde signal from the postsynaptic to the presynaptic compartment (Sjöström et al., 2003). This retrograde messenger has been suggested to be endocannabinoids, which mediate many forms of short-term (Wilson et al., 2001; Brown et al., 2004) and long-term plasticity (Chevaleyre et al., 2006). Thus, it has been proposed that
the coincidence detector for t-LTD at this synapse is presynaptic and involves both presynaptic NMDA receptors and cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1 receptors) (Sjöström et al., 2003) (Figure 1).

Direct evidence for presynaptic NMDA receptor involvement in t-LTD has recently been obtained at L4-L2/3 synapses of somatosensory cortex using dual whole-cell recordings of synaptically-connected L4 and L2/3 neurons by loading the NMDA receptor channel blocker MK-801 intracellularly via a patch pipette into pre- or postsynaptic neurons. Induction of t-LTD was unaffected by postsynaptic loading of MK-801 but completely blocked by presynaptic MK-801, indicating that t-LTD requires presynaptic, but not postsynaptic NMRA receptors (Rodriguez-Moreno and Paulsen, 2008).

The subunit composition of these presynaptic receptors has been analyzed (Banerjee et al., 2009). For this purpose, two GluN2C/D subunit-prefering NMDA receptor antagonists, PPDA and UBP141 were used. These compounds selectively blocked t-LTD at L4-L2/3 synapses with no effect on t-LTP at the same synapses, which was instead blocked by the GluN2A subunit-prefering antagonist NVP-AAM077. They also had no blocking effect on t-LTD at L2/3-L2/3 synapses, which was, however, blocked by a GluN2B subunit-selective antagonist (Ro 25-6981; Banerjee et al., 2009). Compounds currently available are not highly selective for GluN2C/D-containing NMDA receptors and, moreover, are competitive antagonists. Thus, the inhibition produced depends on the effective glutamate concentration (Neyton and Paoletti, 2006), precluding strong conclusions to be drawn. Nevertheless, the double dissociation found, and the fact that these GluN2C/D subunit-prefering antagonists did not block t-LTD at a different synapse, suggest that L4-L2/3 presynaptic NMDA receptors contain GluN2C/D subunits (Banerjee et al., 2009). When available, experiments with GluN2C/D non-competitive antagonists should confirm whether results are due to different subunit composition of the receptors at pre- and postsynaptic sites or to different kinetics of glutamate transients at different locations. The current lack of selective compounds that can distinguish between GluN2C and GluN2D subunits precludes an investigation into whether it is GluN2C or GluN2D that is the important subunit for the induction of t-LTD. The possible involvement of presynaptic GluN2C/D

FIGURE 1 | Two models of presynaptic NMDA receptor-dependent t-LTD. Model 1: Presynaptic NMDA receptors and CB1 receptors drive t-LTD. In this model, during post-before-pre pairing, presynaptically released glutamate activates mGluRs and postsynaptic action potentials enhance Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. This would lead to endocannabinoid (eCB) synthesis. eCB diffuses retrogradely and binds to presynaptic CB1 receptors. Co-activation of presynaptic CB1 receptors and presynaptic NMDA receptors causes synaptic depression. In this model, presynaptic NMDA receptors are activated by glutamate release from the presynaptic terminal. Astrocytic release of gliotransmitters (glutamate as agonist and/or D-serine or glycine as co-agonist) may contribute to activating neuronal NMDA receptors. Model 2: In a second model, eCB-dependent retrograde signaling is not necessary for induction of t-LTD (dashed crosses), and activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors alone appears to be sufficient to drive presynaptic t-LTD. The source of transmitter activating presynaptic NMDA receptors is unknown.
subunits in t-LTD at layer 4-to-layer 2/3 synapses is particularly interesting because the deactivation time constant of GluN2C/D subunit-containing receptors is very slow (Momiyama et al., 1996; Brothwell et al., 2008; Wyllie, 2008). This might be relevant for the particularly broad time window for induction of t-LTD at this synapse (Feldman, 2000).

Several lines of evidence indicate that this form of t-LTD is presynaptic: (i) t-LTD is blocked when presynaptic NMDA receptors are blocked by internal MK-801 in recordings from pairs of synaptically-connected L4 and L2/3 cells (Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen, 2008), (ii) an increase in paired-pulse ratio is observed after a t-LTD protocol (Bender et al., 2006), and (iii) coefficient of variation (CV) analysis is consistent with presynaptic expression (Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen, 2008).

Although previous studies have implicated endocannabinoid signaling through CB1 receptors in this form of t-LTD at rat L4-L2/3 synapses (Bender et al., 2006), it was recently reported that t-LTD does not need CB1 receptor activation at the mouse L4-L2/3 synapse (Hardingham et al., 2008; Banerjee et al., 2009), suggesting a possible species and/or age difference. In contrast, CB1 receptors are necessary for induction of t-LTD at horizontal synapses (L2/3-L2/3), supporting the idea that different excitatory synapses onto the same postsynaptic neurons can have different requirements for the induction of synaptic plasticity (Banerjee et al., 2009).

It is clear from these results that endocannabinoids are not obligatory for all forms of timing-dependent synaptic depression. The results also suggest that at least two distinct forms of presynaptic NMDA receptor-dependent LTD can be dissociated, one dependent on endocannabinoid signaling and the GluN2B subunit (Sjöström et al., 2003; Banerjee et al., 2009) (Figure 1), and another, independent of endocannabinoids but dependent on presynaptic NMDA receptors containing GluN2C/D subunits (Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen, 2008; Banerjee et al., 2009) (Figure 1). Notably, both of these forms of t-LTD have in common a dependence on presynaptic NMDA receptors, suggesting that NMDA receptors mediate t-LTD while CB1 receptors may have a permissive role.

**MECHANISM OF PRESYNAPTIC t-LTD**

The results described above suggest that, at L4-L2/3 synapses, t-LTD is mediated by presynaptic NMDA receptors that contain GluN2C/D subunits. Since there is no evidence that other presynaptic receptors are implicated, we suggest that presynaptic NMDA receptors are effectively mediating this form of t-LTD. In this model, postsynaptic spikes allow the activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors when followed by a presynaptic spike. It is, however, unknown whether the depolarization requirement often observed for unblocking NMDA receptors is necessary for activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors, since GluN2C/D and GluN3A-containing NMDA receptors show less voltage sensitivity than other NMDA receptor types (Cull-Candy et al., 2001; Clarke and Johnson, 2006). The presence of NMDA receptors with low conductance and reduced susceptibility to Mg2+ block in the presynaptic layer 4 spiny stellate cells was reported earlier using transgenic mice (Binshtok et al., 2006). Another interesting aspect to consider is the relationship with frequency in the induction of this form of t-LTD. t-LTD has been observed in neocortical slices using different stimulation frequencies from 0.1 to 20 Hz, indicating that this form of plasticity can be elicited at low frequencies of stimulation (Feldman, 2000; Sjöström et al., 2001, 2003; Bender et al., 2006; Nevin and Sakmann, 2006; Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen, 2008; Banerjee et al., 2009). However, at 40 Hz and above, only t-LTP was observed, irrespective of the timing between pre- and postsynaptic action potentials (Sjöström et al., 2001). Timing-dependent LTD at L4-L2/3 synapses in synaptically-connected cells during paired recordings can be induced by pairing single presynaptic and postsynaptic action potentials at 0.2 Hz (Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen, 2008), constraining the possible mechanisms involved in this form of t-LTD.

The requirement of presynaptic NMDA receptors for t-LTD raises several interesting questions:

(i) What is the source of the transmitter that activates presynaptic NMDA receptors?
   In principle, there are several possible different sources of transmitter mediating the activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors. Glutamate could be released by the presynaptic neuron and NMDA receptors activated as autoreceptors. Glutamate could also be released by the postsynaptic neuron, as retrograde release of glutamate has been suggested (Harkany et al., 2004). Glial cells have also been shown to release glutamate and modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity (see Perea et al., 2009 for review). Co-agonists at NMDA receptors, such as d-serine, are also released by astrocytes and have recently been shown to be involved in plasticity (Henneberger et al., 2010). Glutamate (and/or co-agonists at NMDA receptors) of glial origin could reach presynaptic NMDA receptors and activate them (Jourdain et al., 2007). Another possible source of transmitter could be the spillover from neighboring synapses. Spillover of transmitter appears less likely, however, since t-LTD can be induced at very low frequency in pairs of synaptically-connected cells, leaving the postsynaptic neuron and glial cells as the most likely sources. The exact source of transmitter that activates presynaptic NMDA receptors remains to be determined.

(ii) Is the activation of these presynaptic NMDA receptors tonic or phasic in nature?
   Rodríguez- Moreno and Paulsen (2008) showed that the application of the NMDA receptor antagonist d-AP5 did not alter the EPSP slope at the L4-L2/3 synapse, suggesting that these receptors are not tonically active. Brasier and Feldman (2008) found that addition of d-AP5 caused a reduction of AMPA currents at the L4-L2/3 synapse, suggesting that, in principle, these receptors could be tonically activated, though these results were obtained in the presence of glutamate transporter blockers.

(iii) What is the role of the postsynaptic action potential in the pairing protocol?
   Induction of t-LTD at this synapse requires pairing of postsynaptic action potentials with presynaptic activity. The exact role of the postsynaptic action potentials has not yet been determined. Previous experiments have shown that this form of LTD requires a rise in postsynaptic Ca2+, as it is blocked by the presence of BAPTA in the postsynaptic cell (Bender et al., 2006; Nevian and Sakmann, 2006). The postsynaptic action potential could mediate Ca2+ entry through...
voltage-dependent calcium channels and/or induce release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from internal stores. This implies that a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent signal from the postsynaptic neuron is most probably necessary, but the nature of this signal remains to be determined.

(iv) Why do t-LTP protocols not also produce LTD?

If a slow Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent postsynaptic process is involved in the induction of t-LTD, one might expect that the postsynaptic condition for induction of t-LTD would also be satisfied during a pre-before-post paradigm. Why do t-LTP protocols not also induce t-LTD? Postsynaptic action potentials might trigger the release of a retrograde messenger that acts on the presynaptic element during the presynaptic action potential. Because the time window for post-before-pre pairing is relatively narrow, it would suggest that this retrograde signal has to act in a similarly short time window (~10 ms) to operate as a presynaptic coincidence signal. This time scale would appear to make postsynaptic enzyme-dependent mechanisms less likely candidates to provide the presynaptic coincidence signal during induction of t-LTD. There is clearly more work to be done before we understand the detailed mechanisms of induction of presynaptic NMDA receptor-dependent t-LTD.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, spike timing-dependent LTD requires presynaptic NMDA receptors at some cortical synapses. Two basic models are emerging to explain the mechanism of these presynaptic forms of t-LTD. In one model, presynaptic NMDA receptors and CB1 receptors mediate t-LTD (Sjöström et al., 2003). A second model, suggests that presynaptic NMDA receptors mediate a form of t-LTD that is independent of CB1 receptor activation (Rodríguez-Moreno and Paulsen, 2008; Banerjee et al., 2009). Notably, both forms of t-LTD have in common a dependence of presynaptic NMDA receptors, suggesting that NMDA receptors, might be essential to mediate t-LTD, while CB1 receptors have a permissive role when involved.
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