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Fractional neutron transport equation reflects the anomalous transport processes in nuclear reactor. In this paper, we will construct the fully discrete methods for this type of fractional equation with Riesz derivative, where the generalized WENO5 scheme is used in spatial direction and Runge–Kutta schemes are adopted in temporal direction. The linear stabilities of the generalized WENO5 schemes with different stages and different order ERK are discussed detailed. Numerical examples show the combinations of forward Euler/two-stage, second-order ERK and WENO5 are unstable and the three-stage, third-order ERK method with generalized WENO5 is stable and can maintain sharp transitions for discontinuous problem, and its convergence reaches fifth order for smooth boundary condition.

1. Introduction

The fractional differential operations, such as the natural generalizations of the classical operators of integer order, have become more and more popular. Many phenomena in physics, engineering, biology, chemistry, and other sciences have been described successfully by fractional calculus and fractional differential equations [1]. Recently, several numerical methods to solve fractional differential equations have been developing rapidly. For example, the finite difference method [2–5], the finite element method [6–13], the discontinuous finite element method [14–20], the spectral method [21–24], the finite volume method [25, 26], etc. However, most of them are focusing on the fractional parabolic equation. It seems that there are few works regarding the numerical methods for fractional hyperbolic equation, where the physical phenomena often refer to vibration and oscillation of the complex material or complex progress. Compared to the classical hyperbolic equation, the solutions of fractional hyperbolic equation may also develop discontinuously even when the initial condition is smooth. It is impossible to deduce the analytical solutions in most cases, and one thus has to rely on numerical methods. So, it is very meaningful to study the numerical methods for fractional hyperbolic equation.

As a typical representative of hyperbolic equation, the classical neutron transport equation studies the motions and interactions of neutrons with materials in a nuclear reactor. Besides, the transport equation also plays an important role within the transport theory. Compared to the classical neutron transport equation, the fractional neutron transport equation denotes the anomalous transport processes [27], which was studied by many researchers. Das and Biswas [28] developed a constitutive neutron diffusion equation for describing the neutron flux profile by using the concept of fractional divergence. Vyawahare et al. [29] studied a fractional-order model of a nuclear reactor, which predicts subdiffusive behaviour for long time. Vyawahare and Nataraj [30] dealt with a fractional-order model of neutron transport process and pointed out that it is a more faithful and realistic representation of neutron movements than the classical model.

Weighted essentially nonoscillatory (WENO) schemes [31–34] are the effective numerical methods to solve the hyperbolic equation, specially, the fifth-order WENO
(WENO5), which is a robust scheme for solving the classical neutron transport equation. Naturally, applying the WENO schemes to solve the fractional differential equation numerically is an interesting work. However, it seems that there are few works about the WENO schemes used to approximate the fractional differential equation except [3]. In [3], Deng et al. designed a WENO6 scheme for the fractional diffusion equation in the sense of Caputo derivative.

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial |x|^\alpha} = C_\alpha \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} u(t) \, dt - \int_x^b (x-t)^{-\alpha} u(t) \, dt \right\},
\]

in which \( C_\alpha = -1/(2 \cos((\pi/2)\alpha)) \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \).

The basic idea of numerical methods in this paper is to split the \( \alpha (0 < \alpha < 1) \) order fractional derivative into a fractional integral and a classical first derivative. Next, we apply the Gauss–Jacobi quadrature to approximate the fractional integral and WENO5 to approximate the classical first derivative separately. Then, the three-stage, third-order SSP (3,3) explicit Runge–Kutta (ERK) method or the third-order TVD ERK method [35] is selected as the discrete time method to solve the ODE systems. The numerical experiments confirm fifth-order convergence order and show the stability analyses of the designed schemes are discussed in Section 3. Finally, the numerical examples are presented to verify the convergence and stability of the designed schemes in Section 4.

### 2. The Generalized WENO5 Schemes

To achieve our method, we need to introduce the fractional integrals and fractional derivatives.

Firstly, we define the \(\alpha\)th order left- and right-fractional integrals of function \( u(x) \) as follows:

\[
J_{\alpha,x}^a u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{u(s)}{(x-s)^{1-\alpha}} \, ds,
\]

\[
J_{\alpha,b}^a u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{u(s)}{(s-x)^{1-\alpha}} \, ds,
\]

where \( \alpha > 0 \). Next, we give the \(\alpha\)th order left- and right-Caputo derivatives:

\[
C_{\alpha,x} D_{\alpha,x}^\alpha u(x) = J_{\alpha-x}^{\alpha-a} [u^{(n)}(x)],
\]

\[
C_{\alpha,b} D_{\alpha,b}^\alpha u(x) = (-1)^n J_{\alpha-b}^{\alpha-a} [u^{(n)}(x)],
\]

in which \( n-1 < \alpha < n \in \mathbb{Z^+} \).

According to [36], when \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), we can easily derive the following relations between two fractional derivatives:

In this work, we will design the generalized WENO5 schemes to solve the following spatial fractional neutron transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \lambda \frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial |x|^\alpha} = f(x,t),
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a given constant coefficient and \( \partial^\alpha u/\partial |x|^\alpha \) represents the Riesz derivative given by
By using the definition of the Caputo derivative, we have

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + \lambda \left( J_{\alpha, a}^1 v(x, t) - J_{\alpha, b}^1 v(x, t) \right) + \lambda C_{\alpha} \frac{u(a, t)(x-a)^{-\alpha} - u(b, t)(b-x)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} = f(x, t),$$

(7)

where $v(x, t) = (\partial/\partial x)u(x, t)$.

In order to get a high-order accuracy of the numerical solution, we apply Gauss-type quadrature formula relative to Jacobi weights to compute the fractional integral terms in (7). Therefore, we transfer the integral intervals $[a, x]$ and $[x, b]$ to the standard interval $[-1, 1]$, respectively:

$$\int_a^x (x-\xi)^{-\alpha} v(\xi, t) d\xi = \left( \frac{x-a}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \int_{-1}^1 (1-\eta)^{-\alpha} v \left( \frac{a + x}{2} + \frac{x-a}{2} \eta, t \right) d\eta,$$

(8)

$$\int_x^b (\xi-x)^{-\alpha} v(\xi, t) d\xi = \left( \frac{b-x}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \int_{-1}^1 (1+\eta)^{-\alpha} v \left( \frac{b + x}{2} - \frac{x-b}{2} \eta, t \right) d\eta.$$

(9)

Now, we denote $h$ as the spatial step size, $h = (b-a)/N$, where $N$ is the numbers of subintervals divided in the spatial directions. Then, by using $N+1$ points Gauss–Jacobi quadrature and the Lagrange interpolation, the left- and right-fractional integrals in the right-hand side of (8) and (9) at the mesh points $x = x_i$ can be, respectively, approximated by

$$\int_a^x (x-\xi)^{-\alpha} v(\xi, t) d\xi \approx \left( \frac{x-a}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^N v(x_j, t) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N F_j \left( \frac{a + x}{2} + \frac{x-a}{2} \eta_k \right) \omega_k \right\},$$

$$\int_x^b (\xi-x)^{-\alpha} v(\xi, t) d\xi \approx \left( \frac{b-x}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^N v(x_j, t) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N F_j \left( \frac{b + x}{2} - \frac{x-b}{2} \eta_k \right) \omega_k \right\},$$

(10)

where $\{\eta_k\}_{k=0}^N$ is the Gauss nodes, $\{\omega_k\}_{k=0}^N$ and $\{\bar{\omega}_k\}_{k=0}^N$ are Jacobi weights, and $F_j$ is the Lagrange interpolation basis function associated with the points $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^N$, which can be replaced by any other kind of interpolation basis functions.

In this paper, we denote $u(x_j, t_n)$ and $u^e_j$ as the exact solution and the numerical solution at mesh point $(x_j, t_n)$, respectively. For the intermediate function $v(x_j, t)$, we use WENO5 to do the discretization as follows:

$$v(x_j, t) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_j, t) = \frac{1}{h} \left( \tilde{u}_{j-1/2} - \tilde{u}_{j+1/2} \right) + O(h^5), \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, N,$$

(11)

where the term $\tilde{u}_{j\pm1/2}$ is the numerical flux and can be split into positive and negative parts:

$$\tilde{u}_{j+1/2} = \tilde{u}^+_{j+1/2} + \tilde{u}^-_{j+1/2},$$

(12)

On stencil $S_r(\{1\}$, $r + s + 1 = 3$, $r = 0, 1, 2$.

For $\tilde{u}_{j\pm1/2}$ and $\tilde{u}_{j\pm1/2}$, we define them on each stencil $S_r(\{\}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{u}^+_{j+1/2} = \omega_0 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 76 u_{j+1} \right) + \omega_1 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 56 u_{j+1} \right) + \omega_2 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 56 u_{j+1} - 16 u_{j+2} \right),$$

(13)

$$\tilde{u}^-_{j-1/2} = \omega_0 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 76 u_{j+1} \right) + \omega_1 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 56 u_{j+1} \right) + \omega_2 \left( 1 + 116 u_j + 56 u_{j+1} - 16 u_{j+2} \right),$$

(14)
where, for parameters \( \omega_k^+ \), stencil \( S_i, (j) \), and the other details of the WENO5 method, one can refer to [31, 34, 37].

Next, we will use the forward Euler method, SSP (3,3) schemes, and some other Runge–Kutta schemes to solve the ODE system in the temporal direction after doing the spatial discretization.

3. Linear Stability Analysis

In this section, we will discuss the linear stability properties of the generalized WENO5 method when coupled with different kinds of ERK methods for solving the fractional neutron transport equation (1). Here, we define CFL number as \( \sigma = \lambda \Delta t / h \) and only consider the corresponding homogeneous problem:

\[
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial |x|^\alpha} (x, t) = 0, \tag{15}
\]

under homogeneous boundary conditions \( u(a, t) = u(b, t) = 0 \).

In the following, we will show that the combination of the generalized WENO5 and forward Euler scheme for the fractional neutron transport equation (15) is linearly unstable.

**Lemma 1** (see [37]). When the forward Euler method is applied with the classical WENO5 scheme, the function \((\widehat{u}^{+}_{j+1/2} - \widehat{u}^{-}_{j-1/2})/\omega_j^\alpha\), which is defined as \( z(\phi) \), can be approximated by

\[
z(\phi) = \frac{7}{60} \phi^6 + O(\phi^8) + i \left( \phi + O(\phi^7) \right), \tag{16}
\]

where the Taylor expansions of \( \sin \phi \) and \( \cos \phi \) are used, \( \phi \) is a small positive number, only related to the spatial step \( h \), and \( i \) is the imaginary unit, i.e., \( i^2 = -1 \).

**Theorem 1.** The combination of the generalized WENO5 method and the forward Euler method for the fractional neutron transport equation (15) is linearly unstable.

**Proof.** Here, we only consider the Lax–Frichrichs flux splitting, which means that the negative part of the numerical flux is zero [37]. And, the same analysis and conclusions can be shifted to the other flux splitting.

According to the Fourier transform, we assume

\[
u_j = \hat{u}e^{i \phi}, \tag{17}\]

where \( \phi = \xi h \), and \( \xi \) is the spatial frequency. By using (13) and (17), we further conclude that

When the forward Euler method is adopted, the fully discrete generalized WENO5 method becomes

\[
u_j^{n+1} = \nu_j^n - \sigma \frac{C_n}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{N} z(\phi) \nu_j^n \left( \frac{x_j - a}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \omega_k - \left( \frac{b - x_j}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \hat{\omega}_k. \tag{19}\]

where \( L(u^n_{j-3}, u^n_{j-2}, \ldots, u^n_{j+2}) = \widehat{u}^{+}_{j+1/2} - \widehat{u}^{-}_{j-1/2} \), which can be defined as \( z(\phi) \nu_j^n \).

Then, equation (15) becomes

\[
u_j^{n+1} = \nu_j^n - \sigma \frac{C_n}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{N} z(\phi) \nu_j^n \left( \frac{x_j - a}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \omega_k - \left( \frac{b - x_j}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \hat{\omega}_k.
\]

\[
u_j^n = \left[ 1 - \sigma \frac{C_n}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{N} z(\phi) \nu_j^n \left( \frac{x_j - a}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \omega_k - \left( \frac{b - x_j}{2} \right)^{1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \hat{\omega}_k \right] \nu_j^n. \tag{20}\]
Define the amplification factor:

\[
g(az) = 1 - \sigma \frac{C_\alpha}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{N} z(\phi) \left[ \left( \frac{x_j - a}{2} \right)^{1-a} \sum_{k=0}^{N} F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k - \left( \frac{b - x_j}{2} \right)^{1-a} \sum_{k=0}^{N} F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k \right].
\]

By Lemma 1, we get the amplification factor as follows:

\[
g(az) = 1 - \sigma \frac{C_\alpha}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \left( \Theta(\phi^6) + (\phi + \Theta(\phi^6)) \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left[ \left( \frac{x_j - a}{2} \right)^{1-a} \sum_{k=0}^{N} F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k - \left( \frac{b - x_j}{2} \right)^{1-a} \sum_{k=0}^{N} F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k \right] \right)
\]

\[
= 1 - \sigma \Theta(\phi^6) + \Theta(\phi^6) \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[ c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k \right] \phi^6 + \Theta(\phi^6)
\]

where \((C_\alpha/\Gamma(1 - \alpha))(x_j - a/2)^{1-a}\) and \(-(C_\alpha/\Gamma(1 - \alpha))(b - x_j/2)^{1-a}\) are denoted by \(c_j\) and \(d_j\) correspondingly.

According to a simple calculation, we can get that

\[
|g|^2 = 1 + \sigma^2 \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[ c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j}{2} + \frac{x_j - a}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j}{2} + \frac{b - x_j}{2} \eta_k \right) \alpha_k \right] \phi^6 + \Theta(\phi^6) \right]^2 + \Theta(\phi^6)
\]

\[> 1, \quad \forall \sigma > 0.
\]

The proof is complete.

Next, we consider the following \(s\)-stage ERK method to replace the forward Euler method:

\[
y_n^1 = y_n,
\]

\[
y_n^k = y_n + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{k,i} f(t_n + c_i \Delta t, y_n^i), \quad k = 2, \ldots, s,
\]

\[
y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i \Delta t, y_n^i),
\]

where the coefficients satisfy \(c_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{k,j}\), for \(k = 1, \ldots, s\), and \(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1\).

Lemma 2 (see [38, 39]). The amplification factor of the \(s\)-stage, \(p\)-order ERK method is

\[
g(\bar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{1} \delta^l + \sum_{l=p+1}^{s} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j \left( \sum_{j > m_1 > \ldots > m_{l-1} \leq 1} a_{j,m_1,m_2} \ldots a_{m_{l-2},m_{l-1}} \right) \right) \bar{z}^l = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{1} \delta^l + \sum_{l=p+1}^{s} \bar{z}^l b^T A^{l-1} e,
\]

where \(b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s), A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq s}\) are the coefficients of the \(s\)-stage, \(p\)-order ERK method, \(e = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)\), and \(\bar{z} = -\alpha z\), where \(z\) is caused by the character of the spatial operator.
Remark that, from Theorem 1, we can see that the operator \( \hat{\omega} \) should be adjusted to 
\[- \sigma \sum_{j=0}^{N} z(\phi) \sum_{k=0}^{N} c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j + a}{2} \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j + b}{2} \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right) \]

in the fractional neutron transport equation, which is caused by the left and right spatial fractional integral terms.

### Theorem 2

The combination of the generalized WENO5 method with any two-stage, second-order ERK method for the fractional neutron transport equation (15) is linearly unstable.

**Proof.** According to Lemmas 1 and 2, the amplification factor can be written as

\[
g(\tilde{\omega}) = 1 + \tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}^2
\]

\[
= 1 - \sigma \sum_{k=0}^{N} z(\phi) \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j + a}{2} \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j + b}{2} \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} z(\phi) \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j + a}{2} \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j + b}{2} \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
= 1 - \sigma \sum_{k=0}^{N} z(\phi) \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j + a}{2} \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j + b}{2} \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} z(\phi) \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j F_j \left( \frac{a + x_j + a}{2} \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( \frac{b + x_j + b}{2} \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
= 1 - \sigma \left[ \frac{7}{60} \phi^6 + O(\phi^8) + 1(\phi + O(\phi^7)) \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{7}{60} \phi^6 + O(\phi^8) + 1(\phi + O(\phi^7)) \right]^2
\]

where the constant \( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left[ c_j F_j \left( (a + x_j + a)/(2) \eta_k \omega_k + d_j F_j \left( (b + x_j + b)/(2) \eta_k \omega_k \right) \right) \right] \) is denoted by \( \kappa \).

Then, we have that

\[
|g|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \bar{\phi}^2 + O(\bar{\phi}^4) \right)^2
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{1}{4} \kappa^4 \sigma^4 \bar{\phi}^4 + O(\bar{\phi}^6)
\]

\[
> 1 , \quad \forall \sigma > 0.
\]

The proof is finished. \( \Box \)

### Theorem 3

The combination of the generalized WENO5 method with any three-stage, three-order ERK method for the fractional neutron transport equation (15) is linearly stable.

**Proof.** According to Lemmas 1 and 2 and the proof of Theorem 2, we can get the amplification factor as follows:

\[
g(\tilde{\omega}) = 1 + \tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\omega}^3
\]

\[
= 1 - \kappa \sigma \left[ \frac{7}{60} \phi^6 + O(\phi^8) + 1(\phi + O(\phi^7)) \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{7}{60} \phi^6 + O(\phi^8) + 1(\phi + O(\phi^7)) \right]^2
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{1}{12} \kappa^3 \sigma^3 \bar{\phi}^4 + \left( \frac{7}{60} \sigma + \frac{1}{36} \kappa^6 \bar{\phi}^4 + O(\bar{\phi}^6) \right)^2
\]

\[
< 1 , \quad \forall \sigma > 0.
\]

The proof is finished.
From the above proof, we can see that the conclusion of the linear stabilities for the combination of the generalized WENO5 method with the ERK method in the fractional neutron transport equation is the same as in the classical neutron transport equation. The reason is that the added fractional integral terms are approximated by a linear combination, in which the weights are bounded in the Gauss–Jacobi quadrature formulas. Therefore, all of the conclusions about the linear stabilities for the classical hyperbolic conservation law \([37]\) can be shifted to problem (1), where the proofs are omitted.

\[ \Box \]

4. Numerical Examples and Results

In this section, we will design three numerical examples to examine the effectiveness of the numerical algorithms. The first example with periodic boundary conditions is designed to verify the linear stability of the proposed schemes. The second example with two points’ boundary conditions is computed to verify the convergence order. And, the third example is designed for numerical solving piecewise smooth solution and discontinuous initial condition.

**Example 1.** Consider the following fractional neutron transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + RL_{0,x}^\alpha u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad x \in [0,1],
\]

where \(a = -24/\Gamma (5 - \alpha), b = 360/\Gamma (6 - \alpha), c = -2160/\Gamma (7 - \alpha), \) and \(d = 5040/\Gamma (8 - \alpha).\) Then, the exact solution is \(u(x,t) = e^{-t}x^4(1 - x)^3.\)

Applying the SSP (3,3) method with the WENO5 scheme, we get \(L^{100}\) error and convergence order in Table 2. And the fifth-order convergence of the combination of the SSP (3,3) method with the WENO5 scheme is still observed.

**Example 2.** Consider the following fractional neutron transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + RL_{0,x}^\alpha u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad x \in [0,1], \quad t \in [0,T],
\]

with the initial condition, two points’ boundary conditions,

\[
u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0,
\]

and the source term is

\[
f(x,t) = e^{-t}(\sin^4 - 3x - 3x^2 + x^5 + ax^3 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx^2),
\]

where \(u(x,t) = e^{-t}[\sin(4\pi x) - 2 \sin(2\pi x)]\) is chosen as the exact solution.

Firstly, we use the forward Euler method with the WENO5 scheme. Next, we use the two-stage, second-order SSP ERK method with the WENO5 scheme. We compute the two cases for \(\alpha = 0.9\) and \(\sigma = 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.1\), which are shown in Figures 1 and 2 separately. According to the theoretical analyses, spurious oscillations due to linear instabilities are present.

Then, we use the SSP (3,3) ERK method with the WENO5 scheme. \(L^{100}\) error and convergence order are shown in Table 1. From Table 1, we can see that the numerical scheme performs well and shows the fifth-order convergence accuracy.

**Example 3.** Suppose that \([a,b] = [-10,10].\) Consider the fractional neutron transport equation as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial |x|^{\alpha}} (x,t) = 0, \quad x \in [-10,10],
\]

with the boundary conditions \(u(-10,t) = 1, u(10,t) = 0,\) and the initial condition

\[
u(x,0) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \leq 0, \\
0, & x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In the simulation of Figure 3, we apply the SSP (3,3) ERK method with the WENO5 scheme and take \(N = 200\) and \(\sigma = 0.1.\) Figure 3 shows numerical solutions with different orders of fractional derivative, \(\alpha = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8\) at \(T = 0.1.\) It can be seen that sharp transitions are retained in the numerical simulations for different \(\alpha.\)

Figure 4 shows the numerical solutions and their absolute errors for \(\alpha = 0.9, N = 100\) and \(200,\) and \(\sigma = 0.1\) at \(T = 1.\) It can be seen that the bigger the \(N\) value, the sharper the transitions in the simulations.

Figure 5 shows the numerical solutions when the parameters are chosen as \(T = 0.1, \alpha = 0.9, N = 200,\) and \(\sigma = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7\) separately.
Figure 1: Absolute error of Example 1 with the forward Euler method and WENO5 scheme for different CFL numbers at $T = 0.1$, $N = 2560$, and $\alpha = 0.9$. (a) $\sigma = 0.3$, (b) $\sigma = 0.5$, (c) $\sigma = 0.8$, and (d) $\sigma = 1.1$. 
Figure 2: Absolute error of Example 1 with the two-stage, second-order ERK method and WENO5 scheme for different CFL numbers at $T = 0.1$, $N = 10240$, and $\alpha = 0.9$: (a) $\sigma = 0.3$, (b) $\sigma = 0.5$, (c) $\sigma = 0.8$, and (d) $\sigma = 1.1$.

Table 1: Errors and convergence rates of Example 1 with the third-order TVD ERK method and WENO5 scheme for $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\sigma = 0.4$ at $T = 0.1$.

| $N$  | $L^{\infty}$  | cv.rate |
|------|--------------|---------|
| 40   | 4.6260e−003  | —       |
| 80   | 1.0090e−003  | 2.1968  |
| 160  | 1.8011e−005  | 5.8079  |
| 320  | 3.4944e−007  | 5.6877  |

Table 2: The $L^{\infty}$ errors and convergence rates of Example 2 with the third-order TVD ERK method and WENO5 scheme for $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\sigma = 0.4$ at $T = 0.1$.

| $N$  | $L^{\infty}$  | cv.rate |
|------|--------------|---------|
| 40   | 2.3533e−006  | —       |
| 80   | 1.0556e−007  | 4.4786  |
| 160  | 7.5624e−009  | 3.8031  |
| 320  | 2.6494e−010  | 4.8351  |
Figure 3: Numerical solutions of Example 3 with $N = 200$ and $\sigma = 0.1$ for different orders of fractional derivatives at $T = 0.1$. (a) $\alpha = 0.2$, (b) $\alpha = 0.4$, (c) $\alpha = 0.6$, and (d) $\alpha = 0.8$. 
Figure 4: The numerical solutions and their absolute errors of Example 3 with $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\sigma = 0.1$ for different $N$ at $T = 1$. (a) $N = 100$, (b) $N = 100$, (c) $N = 200$, and (d) $N = 200$. 
Figure 5: The numerical solutions of Example 3 with $\alpha = 0.9$ and $N = 200$ and for different CFL numbers at $T = 0.1$. (a) $\sigma = 0.1$, (b) $\sigma = 0.3$, (c) $\sigma = 0.5$, and (d) $\sigma = 0.7$. 
Figure 6 shows the numerical solutions when the parameters are chosen as $\alpha = 0.9$ and $N = 200$, at $T = 1, T = 15$, and $T = 30$ separately.

5. Conclusion

This paper develops the generalized WENO5 methods for numerically solving the spatial fractional neutron transport equation with smooth solutions or piecewise smooth solutions, which is a new attempt in the work of numerical solution for fractional differential equation. By using the classical WENO5 schemes and the Gauss–Jacobi quadrature, we perform the spatial discretization. Then, we get the nonlinear ODE systems, which are computed by the SSP (3,3) Runge–Kutta method and some other Runge–Kutta method. The linear stabilities of the numerical schemes are proved. And, the numerical results are present to verify the effectiveness and reliability of the numerical schemes.

Generally speaking, the WENO scheme is widely used to solve the problem that the solution of the equation is not very smooth and can maintain a high-order convergence rate as well. Therefore, for the upcoming work, we will mainly discuss the discontinuous problems and the nonlinear problems with the WENO method in the future. Maybe, by using the nonuniform mesh method or meshless method together with the WENO scheme for the fractional problems is a good choice.
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