Immediate and midterm follow-up results of excimer laser application in complex percutaneous coronary interventions: Report from a single center experience
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Abstract: Objective: To assess the efficacy and safety of laser-assisted percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) in an unselected population. Methods: One hundred consecutive patients, who underwent a laser assisted PCI between January 2008 and March 2012, were included in the present study. Fifty-one patients underwent laser ablation for thrombus vaporization (Group 1), 36 patients for neointima/plaque debulking (Group 2) and 13 patients for lesion compliance modification in calcified lesions (Group 3). Results: The rate of in-hospital serious events was 2%. The cumulative laser success was 82%, and it was significantly higher for Group 1 and Group 2 in comparison with Group 3 (p=0.001). Furthermore, the need for repeat revascularization was significantly higher in the Group 3 compared with the others two groups (46% vs. 8% for Group 1 and 11% for Group 2, p=0.03). The MACE rate was 14%. There was a trend toward a higher MACE rate in the Group 3 compared with others two groups (p=0.05). Conclusions: Laser ablation is an effective and safe tool for complex PCI. Patients underwent laser for thrombus vaporization or for neointima/plaque debulking had better immediate success and better outcome at follow-up than patients underwent laser for lesion compliance modification.
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Introduction

Nowdays, cardiac interventionalists perform more and more complex percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), but technical challenges remain despite advances in equipment and technique. Since the first intravascular laser intervention, the technique has been significantly improved by the use of optimized wavelength, the development of flexible multifiber catheters and an additional saline “flush and bathe” technique [1, 2]. Several coronary indications for laser use are currently accepted. Treatment of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and thrombus-laden coronary lesion are one of the most relevant because 308-nm pulsed-wave ultraviolet excimer laser light can vaporize thrombus, suppress platelet aggregation, and, unlike other thrombectomy devices, ablates the underlying plaque [3–8]. The efficacy of laser for in-stent restenosis has been suggested in several studies [9–12]. There are some evidences about the usefulness of laser in the treatment of degenerated saphe nous vein grafts [4, 13]. Other applications of the device are total occlusions, as long as crossable by a guidewire [14], moderately calcified and balloon refractory lesions [14, 15].

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy and safety of laser-assisted PCI in an unselected population. Furthermore, we analyzed major cardiovascular adverse event rate and target vessel revascularization at midterm follow-up.

Methods

Patient selection

Out of 102, we selected 100 patients who underwent a laser assisted PCI in the cath lab of Potenza San Carlo Hospital, from January 2008 to March 2012 for the
following indications: 51 patients for thrombus vaporization [16, 17] (Group 1), 36 patients for neointima/plaque debulking for in-stent restenosis or saphenous vein graft lesions (Group 2), and 13 patients for lesion compliance modification in moderate-highly calcified lesions (Group 3). All patients provided informed consent for the procedure and subsequent data collection and analysis for research purposes. Procedural anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy followed standard protocols. Aspirin was continued indefinitely, and thienopyridine was prescribed for at least 1 month after bare metal stent implantation and at least 12 months after drug eluting stent implantation.

### Coronary intervention

The laser ablation was carried out with the excimer laser system (CVX-300®, Spectranetics, Colorado Springs, CO) using a pulsed xenon-chlorine mid-ultraviolet wave length (wave length: 308 nm, pulse duration 135 ns and output of 165 mJ/pulse). The laser catheters with concentric tips and a size of 0.9 mm, 1.4 mm, 1.7 mm, and 2.0 mm were used, depending on the vessel size. For safety reason, catheter laser diameter was never >2.0 mm were used, depending on the vessel size. For safety reason, catheter laser diameter was never >50% of the reference vessel diameter. Lasering was started with a delivery rate of 25 Hz and an energy density of 45 mJ/mm², and was increased if necessary as described by Dörr et al. [7]. During the lasering, the saline flush-bathe technique was applied to facilitate laser transmitted pressure wave. The laser catheter was moved forward at speed of 0.2 to 0.5 mm. The safe pulse-and-retreat technique [7] was routinely applied. In case of incomplete ablation, additional passes were carried-out also with a bigger catheter. Coronary interventions were finalized by stenting the target lesion using the standard technique. Procedural costs were also computed.

### Data collection, end points, and study definitions

Clinical follow-up was performed by telephone contact or office visit at 1, 6, and 12 months after the index procedure. Angiographic follow-up was clinically driven or scheduled at the operator discretion. Angiographic success was defined as a final residual stenosis less than 20% with TIMI flow grade 3 [18]. Laser success was defined as complete crossing of target lesion by laser catheter, a decrease in the diameter stenosis >20%, and a final TIMI 3 flow without any major coronary complication (distal embolization, major dissection, vessel perforation) [18]. A successful PCI was defined when an angiographic success was achieved without major clinical complications (e.g., death, AMI, emergency coronary artery bypass surgery) during hospitalization [19]. In patients undergone laser for thrombus vaporization, we evaluated the thrombus score [20] and myocardial blush grade [21], at baseline, after laser ablation and at the end of procedure. The clinical end points analyzed were peri-procedural AMI, death, after-discharge AMI, target vessel revascularization, target lesion revascularization, and major adverse cardiac events (MACE). MACE were defined as a composite of death, AMI, and target vessel revascularization during the follow-up period and were evaluated on a per-patient basis. All deaths were considered cardiac, unless otherwise documented. We defined post-procedural AMI as elevation of biomarker values >5×99th percentile upper reference limit [22]. Nonprocedural or after-discharge AMI was defined as an elevation of troponin above the upper range limit in combination with at least 1 of the following: symptoms of ischemia, electrocardiographic changes indicative of new ischemia, or the development of pathologic Q waves on electrocardiogram. Angiographic stent restenosis was consider a diameter stenosis of ≥50% within the 5-mm borders proximal or distal to the stent edge. We defined target lesion revascularization as repeat revascularization within the stent or within the 5-mm borders proximal or distal to the stent edge at the follow-up angiogram. Target lesion revascularization was considered ischemic-driven if associated with a positive functional study result and/or ischemic symptoms and a target lesion diameter stenosis of ≥50% by visual estimation, or a target lesion diameter stenosis of ≥70% with or without documented ischemia. Quantitative coronary angiographic analysis was performed based upon visual assessment.

### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are presented as percentages and were compared with chi-squared or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables are reported as mean±standard deviation or median and were compared with ANOVA. Pre- and post-procedure TIMI flow, blush grade, and thrombus score were compared using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, and all reported p-values are 2-sided. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 18 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results

*Table I* summarizes the principal clinical and angiographic features of the predefined three groups. In the thrombus vaporization group, 23 patients received a laser for stent thrombosis and 28 patients received laser for coronary thrombus resistant to manual catheter-aspiration during AMI (Fig. 1). In the neointima/plaque...
debunking group, 15 patients underwent laser for a non-focal stent restenosis (Fig. 2), of which 8 had drug-eluting stent restenosis, and 21 patients for a saphenous vein graft disease, in which the filter could not be positioned due to ostial or distal localization of the stenosis (n = 8), diffuse disease (n = 9), or completely occluded graft (n = 4). Thirteen patients underwent laser-assisted PCI for hard lesion compliance modification: 6 had uncrossable lesion with balloon, 5 had an undilatable balloon laser use. Conversely, thrombus score decreased from 4.20 ± 1.40 to 1.36 ± 1.51 (p < 0.001). In Group 2, the minimal lumen diameter increased from 0.25 ± 0.35 to 2.83 ± 0.76 (p = 0.001) after laser; accordingly, diameter stenosis decreased from 92 ± 10 to 14 ± 21 (p = 0.001).

**Quantitative coronary analysis, procedural findings, and in-hospital events**

**Table II** shows procedural findings and quantitative coronary analysis. In Group 1, TIMI flow increased from 1.4 ± 1.25 to 2.46 ± 0.89 (p < 0.001) and blush grade from 1.02 ± 1.29 to 2.41 ± 0.97 (p < 0.001) after laser use. Conversely, thrombus score decreased from 4.20 ± 1.40 to 1.36 ± 1.51 (p < 0.001). In Group 2, the minimal lumen diameter increased from 0.25 ± 0.35 to 2.83 ± 0.76 (p = 0.001) after laser; accordingly, diameter stenosis decreased from 92 ± 10 to 14 ± 21 (p = 0.001).

| Table I | Baseline clinical characteristics of 100 patients underwent laser-assisted percutaneous coronary intervention |
|---|---|
| **Thrombus vaporization (N=51)** | **Plaque debulking (N=36)** | **Compliance modification (N=13)** | **P-value** |
| Age (years) | 59 ± 12 | 64 ± 8 | 67 ± 10 | 0.12 |
| Male gender | 86 | 75 | 71 | 0.51 |
| Previous MI | 32 | 37 | 43 | 0.85 |
| Previous CABG | 11 | 75 | 29 | 0.001 |
| Previous PCI | 29 | 55 | 43 | 0.14 |
| DM | 7 | 30 | 57 | 0.004 |
| Hypertension | 54 | 70 | 86 | 0.17 |
| Dyslipidemia | 36 | 60 | 57 | 0.18 |
| Family history | 29 | 50 | 14 | 0.14 |
| Smoking | 64 | 70 | 43 | 0.44 |
| Angina | 15 | 65 | 57 | 0.007 |
| ACS-NSTEMI | 30 | 35 | 43 | 0.849 |
| STEMI | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 |
| Ejection fraction | 48 ± 8 | 48 ± 9 | 54 ± 10 | 0.94 |
| Multivessel disease | 46 | 90 | 86 | 0.02 |
| Lesion location | | | | |
| LAD | 46 | 16 | 57 | 0.001 |
| LCX | 4 | 16 | 0 | |
| RCA | 43 | 10 | 43 | |
| SVG | 7 | 58 | 0 | |
| TIMI-flow 3 | 25 | 35 | 100 | 0.01 |

Data are presented as percentages or means ± standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. MI = myocardial infarction; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; DM = diabetes mellitus; ACS-NSTEMI = acute coronary syndrome-non ST-elevation myocardial infarction; LAD = left anterior descending; LCX = left circumflex; RCA = right coronary artery; SVG = saphenous vein graft; TIMI = thrombolysis in myocardial infarction

| Table II | Angiographic procedural characteristics and follow-up data of 100 patients underwent laser-assisted percutaneous coronary intervention |
|---|---|
| **Thrombus vaporization (N=51)** | **Plaque debulking (N=36)** | **Compliance modification (N=13)** | **P-value** |
| QCA pre | | | |
| Lesion length | 25 ± 15 | 27 ± 16 | 15 ± 4 | 0.13 |
| % Stenosis | 95 ± 8 | 92 ± 10 | 89 ± 10 | 0.31 |
| MLD | 0.19 ± 0.28 | 0.25 ± 0.35 | 0.31 ± 0.30 | 0.54 |
| RVD | 3.11 ± 0.40 | 3.08 ± 0.59 | 2.79 ± 0.24 | 0.25 |
| QCA post | | | |
| % Stenosis | 11 ± 18 | 14 ± 21 | 30 ± 44 | 0.15 |
| MLD | 2.91 ± 0.66 | 2.83 ± 0.76 | 2.14 ± 1.38 | 0.06 |
| RVD | 3.29 ± 0.35 | 3.25 ± 0.54 | 2.90 ± 0.32 | 0.10 |
| Procedural characteristics | | | |
| Stent implantation | 93 | 85 | 72 | 0.27 |
| Rotablator | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0.001 |
| Laser catheter size 0.9 mm | 18 | 10 | 29 | 0.45 |
| 1.4 mm | 43 | 50 | 29 | |
| 1.7 mm | 39 | 30 | 42 | |
| 2.0 mm | 0 | 10 | 0 | |
| Laser Hz | 25.5 ± 8.3 | 25.2 ± 1.1 | 54.3 ± 27.6 | 0.001 |
| Laser mJ/mm² | 44.1 ± 9.1 | 45.2 ± 1.1 | 54.3 ± 25.1 | 0.08 |
| Laser success | 86 | 89 | 43 | 0.001 |
| Procedural success | 96 | 95 | 71 | 0.05 |
| Complication | 14 | 5 | 14 | 0.56 |

Data are presented as percentages or means ± standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. MLD = minimal lumen diameter; RVD = reference vessel diameter
There was a trend toward a smaller post-procedure minimal lumen diameter and higher residual stenosis in the Group 3 compared with the others two group. Out of 87 patients receiving a stent, 52% received a drug eluting stent. Eighteen patients had a laser failure: unable to achieve the target lesions in 5 patients for excess vessel tortuosity, ineffective ablation in 11 patients, and 2 effective ablations but complicate with a major dissection. Laser success was significant higher for the Group 1 and Group 2 in comparison with Group 3 ($p = 0.001$). There was a strong trend toward higher procedural success rate for the Group 1 (96%) and Group 2 (95%) in comparison with Group 3 (71%; $p = 0.05$). Laser success and procedural success in the 21 patients with saphenous vein graft disease were, respectively, 86% and 91%. Procedural complications were recorded in 11 patients, of which only two had a clinical consequence. Four patients had a distal embolization, two during a PCI, without a distal protection, on friable, plaque-burden lesions of saphenous vein graft and the other two, during a primary PCI. Two patients had minor coronary perforation and two a small coronary dissection; both complications sealed with stents. These patients were asymptomatic at follow-up. Two patients had spiral dissection, during PCI for diffusely calcified lesions on right coronary artery, that evolved in Q-wave MI due to vessel occlusion. The last dissection occurred to a 45-year-old female, during a primary PCI on ostial left anterior descending, after laser with a 1.7-mm catheter for a manual catheter resistant thrombus. The dissection associated with a minor coronary perforation has been treated with conventional bare-metal stent. This patient after 3 months underwent angiography that showed a severe stenosis associated with a coronary pseudo-aneurysm on the ostial left anterior descending. The patient underwent a successful coronary artery bypass with a left internal mammary for the left anterior descending, and a computer tomography showed the complete closure of the pseudo-aneurysm [23].

The rate of in-hospital serious events was 2%: two Q-wave AMI, in patients with spiral dissection. Nine patients had an asymptomatic but significant post-PCI AMI. The median cost of a procedure was $9.150 ± 2.680$ Euros.

Follow-up analysis

The median follow-up was 526±263 days. The MACE rate was 14%. Only two patients died at follow-up (one of sudden death and another of an end-stage heart failure). No patient had an AMI at follow-up. Twelve patients had a target lesion revascularization, of which three underwent coronary bypass and nine a second PCI. There was a trend toward a higher MACE rate in the Group 3 compared with others two groups ($p = 0.05$). The need for repeat revascularization was significantly higher in Group 3 compared with the other two groups (46% vs. 8% for Group 1 and 11% for Group 2, $p = 0.03$). Of note, MACE rate in the patients with saphenous vein graft disease was 5%.

The higher MACE rate was found in women and in patients with a less complex lesion, but this could be a bias related to the low number ($n=5$) of B1 type lesion. Conversely, patients underwent laser for AMI had significant lower MACE. We also found a positive trend between target vessel revascularization and a laser cath-
eter diameter used in the patients whose procedure was successful ($n=82$); this could be related to the higher risk of vessel injury with bigger catheter diameter.

**Discussion**

In the present study, the low rate of in-hospital serious event demonstrated that laser was a safe tool for complex PCI. In our series, the “flush-and-bathe” laser technique resulted in 82% of laser success, significantly lower in patients with solid lesions (43% for lesion compliance modification group) than patients with softer lesions (86% for thrombus-laden lesion vaporization group and 89% for neointima/plaque debulking). Patients in the lesion compliance modification group also had a lower procedural success rate (71% versus 96% and 95%) and a higher rate of target vessel revascularization (46% versus 8% and 11%). The higher target vessel revascularization rate in the compliance modification group may be related to the higher diabetes mellitus rate and to a smaller final minimal lumen diameter.

The literature on this topic is not unique. One study made a clear distinction between calcified and noncalcified lesions, with respective procedural success rate of 79% and 96% ($p<0.05$) [24]. A large study conducted on this topic showed that, in calcified lesions, laser success rate improved using X80 catheter at high energy (up to 80 mJ/mm² and 80 Hz) if compared with standard procedure. A main limitation of the present study is the absence of multicenter randomized studies comparing laser ablation safety and long-term efficacy with standard procedure. A recent published paper, including 60 all comers patients, showed, if compared with our study, a similar procedural success for overall population (93%), lesion vaporization group (91%), and for neointima/plaque debulking group (100%) but a higher success (89%) in lesion compliance modification group [25]. However, the same authors reported lower MACE rate at 6 months follow-up in the first two groups compared with the lesion compliance modification group (respectively, 17%, 18.7%, and 33.3%). The highest energies used to modify calcified lesion compliance may induce vessel injury, leading to a higher target vessel revascularization in the follow-up.

In present study, the patients underwent laser for thrombus vaporization had excellent results: 96% of procedural success, 10% MACE, and 8% target vessel revascularization rate. The CARMEL trial carried out in patients underwent laser for AMI showed an excellent immediate results: procedural success 91% with a 5% of complication rate [3]. Our data, on this subset of patients, are similar to that of Ambrosini et al., reporting the largest single experience, with a 99% of procedural success and 95% event free survival after 6 months of follow-up [8].

In our study, the laser and procedural success in patients with saphenous vein graft disease were, respectively, 86% and 91%, with a 5% MACE rate. A large “pre-
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