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Abstract

Background: With the worldwide levels of obesity new venues for promotion of healthy eating habits are necessary. Considering children’s eating habits are founded during their preschool years early educational establishments are a promising place for making health promoting interventions.

Methods: This systematic review evaluates different types of healthy eating interventions attempting to prevent obesity among 3 to 6 year-olds in preschools, kindergartens and day care facilities. Studies that included single interventions, educational interventions and/or multicomponent interventions were eligible for review. Included studies also had to have conducted both baseline and follow-up measurements. A systematic search of the databases Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL and PubMed was conducted to identify articles that met the inclusion criteria. The bibliographies of identified articles were also searched for relevant articles.

Results: The review identified 4186 articles, of which 26 studies met the inclusion criteria. Fifteen of the interventions took place in preschools, 10 in kindergartens and 1 in another facility where children were cared for by individuals other than their parents. Seventeen of the 26 included studies were located in North America, 1 in South America, 5 in Asia, and 3 in a European context.

Healthy eating interventions in day care facilities increased fruit and vegetable consumption and nutrition related knowledge among the target groups. Only 2 studies reported a significant decrease in body mass index.

Conclusions: This review highlights the scarcity of properly designed healthy eating interventions using clear indicators and verifiable outcomes. The potential of preschools as a potential setting for influencing children’s food choice at an early age should be more widely recognised and utilised.
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Introduction

The worldwide prevalence of overweight and obesity among preschool children has increased from 4.2% (95% CI: 3.2%, 5.2%) in 1990 to 6.7% (95% CI: 5.6% – 7.7%) in 2010 and is expected to increase even further to 9.1% (95% CI: 7.3 – 10.9) in 2020 [1]. This increase is disturbing due to the accompanying social, psychological and health effects and the link to subsequent morbidity and mortality in adulthood [2,3].

Considering the consequences of overweight and obesity on both a personal and societal level, healthier eating habits among children should be promoted as one of the actions to prevent overweight and obesity in future generations. The most common place for health promotion among children has previously been in the school setting mostly with children aged 6 to 12 years-old. But, there are promising findings in interventions targeting infants and 5-year-olds, although there is an underrepresentation of interventions and research within this age group [4]. Most of these interventions have been taking place in early education establishments for 3–6 year-olds like preschools in the U.S. or kindergartens as they are called outside the U.S. as well as daycare facilities, where children are nursed by a childcare giver in a private home. In this setting children consume a large number of their meals and may consume up to 70% of their daily nutrient intake [5]. These captive settings present a venue for intervention because institutional catering may be designed in such a way that nutritional guidelines are
followed, resulting in an adequate food intake [6] and improved food choices later in life [7]. The objectives of the early educational establishments are often to teach and develop the child’s opportunities and skills that will prepare them for a better future [8] and many of the previous interventions have either focused on developing food preferences among children often by exposure or with nutritional educational interventions or with a combination of these two approaches. Previous reviews have included intervention studies that evaluated the outcomes of dietary educational interventions versus control on changes in BMI, prevalence of obesity, rate of weight gain and other outcomes like reduction in body fat, but as stated previously this did not yield a sufficient number of studies to provide recommendations for practice [4,9]. The Toybox study [10] has published a number of reviews about several aspects of health promotion efforts for pre-schoolers including the assessments tools of energy-related behaviours used in European obesity prevention strategies [11], the effective behavioural models and behaviour change strategies underpinning preschool and school-based prevention interventions aimed at 4-6-year-olds [12]. They also published a narrative review of psychological and educational strategies applied to young children’s eating behaviour in order to reduce the risk of obesity and found that there was potential for exposure and rewards studies to improve children’s eating habits [13]. None of the recent published studies have included both interventions that include both exposure or meal modification and educational interventions and multicomponent interventions that combine both approaches. With the exception of [13] all the previous reviews include physical activity and although this is an important factor in obesity prevention, many interventions do only focus on nutritional education and is as such excluded from previous reviews.

The objective of this article is to review published literature on healthy eating interventions in day care facilities and analyse the effectiveness of different strategies in relation to their influence on children’s food choice at an early age. Based on findings, this article also provides recommendations for future interventions.

Methods
A systematic search for literature using four databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and CINAHL) was carried out. The search strategy was based on a careful selection of keywords and clear, pre-established criteria for inclusion of studies.

Inclusion criteria
Included studies were intervention studies with the objective of treating or preventing the occurrence of obesity by influencing preschool children’s eating habits. As a prerequisite for inclusion, the healthy eating interventions had to take place in institutions and had to have taken both baseline and follow-up measurements. Although it is acknowledged that physical activity interventions are important and should not be disregarded, this study focuses solely on healthy eating interventions. Only studies targeting children aged 3 to 6 years were included as it is this age group that predominantly attends early education facilities. Since early education and school systems vary from country to country, it was decided to include all interventions in day care facilities if the mean age was between 3 to 6 years old. Children in included studies also had to be healthy at initial baseline measurement, although obese children were included in order to recognize the already existing prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and the necessity to acknowledge treatment of this particular target group. Interventions that focused on diet, nutrition, food, eating or meals in day care facilities were included. Due to the importance of environmental factors in children’s acquisition of healthy eating habits, interventions including kitchen employees and childcare givers in day care facilities were also included. As the review concerns itself with the effectiveness of different interventional strategies, the types of interventions were categorized into single component interventions, educational components, and multicomponent interventions that aiming to promote healthy eating habits and counteract obesogenic actions in children attending day care facilities.

The review included studies measuring biological, anthropometric and attitudinal outcomes: body mass index, z-scores for height and weight, waist to height measurements, serum cholesterol levels, skin-fold measurements or prevalence of overweight and obesity in the sample population, as well as food consumption patterns, knowledge and attitude towards foods and liking and willingness to try new food.

Exclusion criteria
Research into weight loss of obese children and any interventions involving children with special needs or who were chronically sick and required on-going counselling, such as patients with diabetes or heart disease, were excluded from the review. Studies taking place in nursery, primary or elementary schools were also excluded when the mean age was either younger than 3 years or older than 6 years old. Interventions targeting parents of preschool children and descriptive articles about pre-schoolers behaviour, knowledge and consumption were also excluded. Lastly, studies including a physical activity component were excluded unless the dietary component was clearly separated from the physical activity intervention during implementation and analysis.
Conducting the search

Literature for the review was obtained using a systematic search conducted during spring 2014 with relevant literature published up to and including the search period. A meta-analysis was intended, however due to a lack of sufficient data, a meta-analytical comparison was difficult to deploy.

Databases

The databases Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL and PubMed databases were used for the literature search. The search was restricted to articles written in English, German, Norwegian, Swedish, and Danish as these were the language capabilities present in the reviewing group. The filter for research involving humans only was activated and the search was conducted to obtain articles published between 1980 and 2014.

The search strategy was created using relevant terms describing settings, possible inputs in an intervention and possible outputs of an intervention. The search terms were refined a number of times in order to optimize the selection of articles, without compromising with the sensitivity of the search in order to take into account the vast number of articles published on the topic of children and obesity. The keywords can be found in Table 1.

Data management

The search hits were downloaded and saved in the databases. A total of 4186 papers were identified and screened on the basis of titles and abstracts by the first author, who has experience within a preschool venue, leaving 66 papers for further enquiries. Reference lists from the systematic review were scanned in order to identify interventions in kindergartens and preschools that the previous search had been unable to detect. Altogether, 10 papers were identified. After removing repeated studies and articles, 47 full text papers were retrieved through the library service at University of Aalborg, campus Copenhagen.

The 47 remaining papers were read independently by three reviewers in order to verify that they met the inclusion criteria. 33 papers were excluded as a consequence primarily because they did not publish results, solely was targeted parents or were descriptive in nature. The review process resulted in 26 papers left for analysis. Figure 1 contains an overview of the search process.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies Articles identified in the literature search were read by the first author and divided between three reviewers for further evaluation and was debated in meetings with all three reviewers present.

For each of the located interventions, the following was extracted: aim of the study, setting where 3–6 year-olds were cared for by others than their parents, study design, characteristics of the target group, sampling methods, sample size, ethnicity, and theoretical background. Furthermore; duration, content and delivery mechanism of the intervention was extracted, as well as information about the control group, random allocation to control or treatment and whether there was information missing from the article.

Quality assessment

The quality of the identified studies was assessed using a rating scheme from * (weak) to **** (very strong). The studies were rated according to the level of information available, study design, risk of bias, study population and study duration. The quality rating scheme was adapted from the Cochrane guidelines on quality assessment [14]. Table 2 illustrates definition and explanation of the research design rating scheme. Each included study was rated independently among the three first authors (MVM, SH & LRS) with strong inter-rater reliability and disputes over assessment were settled through discussion.

Results

The 26 studies that the literature search resulted in were divided into 8 single intervention studies, 11 educational interventions and 7 multicomponent studies. The single intervention studies involved the modification of a single factor in the environment in order to promote fruit or vegetable intake and preferences in children. Educational interventions were carried out in the kindergartens, either by teachers that had undergone a teaching program or by nutritional educators provided by the research program and aiming to increase children’s knowledge of healthy eating. Multicomponent interventions included more than one strategy to influence eating behaviour.

Table 3 shows the characteristics of the studies.

---

### Table 1 Keywords for literature search

| Setting | Behaviour | Outcome |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| Kindergarten, preschool, day care facilities, nursery | cooking ability, skill, or competence, food and nutrition literacy, curriculum or syllabus, teaching, taste development, food and meal policies, legislation or regulations or farm to fork or plate, garden farm or visits; food and taste education | BMI, body mass index, diabetes, skinfold, weight and height, and intervention, food neophobia and neophilia, food and meal preferences including liking, willingness to try, knowledge, food consumption or intake |
Populations studied
Altogether, 17 of the 26 included studies were North American, three of the studies were carried out in Asia, five in a European context and one study was conducted in South America. Thirteen of the interventions took place in preschools, 10 in kindergartens and three in other facilities where 3 to 6 year-olds were cared for by others than their parents.

Ethnicity and socio-demographic characteristics of participants
The majority of the single interventions was from the USA and included Caucasians. The educational interventions did not present a clear picture of any tendencies. All of the American multicomponent interventions were targeted towards low-income families or families from African-American or Latino backgrounds. The European interventions targeted children from middle-class families.

Interventions
Of the single intervention studies identified the majority [10,17-20,22] made modifications to the serving of vegetables, serving either novel or non-preferred vegetables and looked at the effect on vegetable preferences as well as whether peer-models had an influence on the children’s intake during lunch.

We identified eleven interventions consisting of nutritional educational programs carried out either by teachers in the kindergarten, individuals that had undergone a training program or by nutritional educators provided by the research project.

Seven multicomponent interventions included educational activities for the children and delivered similarly to the educational activities described previously. The multicomponent interventions also encompassed other activities like availability of fresh water and fruits and in some cases vegetables [8,36,39] the children participation in growing their own vegetables [22,37], newsletters for parents [36,41], food modifications in the canteen [42] and healthy school policies [41]. A detailed description of the interventions can be found in Table 3.

Table 4 shows the quality assessment and outcomes of interventions.

The study design of included studies
Fourteen of the 26 studies included in this review were randomized controlled studies or cluster randomized controlled trials. Nine quasi-experimental designed studies were found primarily as single or educational intervention [20-23,29,32-34,42]. Only one study used a crossover design as control [19], but neither the sampling method nor

Table 2 Quality rating scheme
| Rating | Definition | Study description | Design & methods |
|--------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
| *      | Weak       | Many details missing (three or more of the following: setting, intervention design, duration, intensity, population or statistical analysis) irrelevant design or methods | Methodological flaws (in statistical methods used or design of intervention) or the intervention was in a non-natural environment i.e. food laboratory |
| **     | Moderate   | One or two details missing | Small sample size (<50) or short duration (<one week) |
| ***    | Strong     | One or two details missing | Larger sample size or longer duration |
| ****   | Very Strong | Clearly presented with all details provided | Larger sample size or longer duration and at least one of the following criteria: population randomly allocated or matched for intervention or control, generalizable results, or validated dietary assessment |

Adapted from Seymour et al. [15]. Table 2 showing the standards for quality rating of the studies.
| Study           | Aim of study                                                                 | Setting                  | Age (years) | Ethnicity                                                                 | Detailed description of the intervention                                                                 | Theoretical foundation |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Bannon et al.;  | The purpose of the study was to develop and test a commercial for apples on  | Kindergarten in Elementary | 5           | 92% Caucasian, 4% African-American, 4% Hispanic                            | The children were shown 60 s videos with either 1 positive gain-framed video; 1 negative loss-framed video; 1 control video prior to apple/animal crackers eating. | Not stated             |
| [16]            | kindergarten children's snack choice.                                         | school (USA)              |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| Birch et al.;   | The influence of peer models' food selections and eating behaviours on       | Preschool (USA)           | 3,1         | 87% Caucasians, 8% African American, 5% Asians, Middleclass families       | A target child who preferred vegetable A to B was seated with 3 or 4 peers with opposite preference patterns. 17 situations were arranged. Children were served their preferred and non-preferred vegetable pairs at lunch and asked to choose 1. On day 1 the target child chose first, while on days 2, 3, and 4 peers chose first. | Not stated             |
| [17]            | preschoolers' food preferences was investigated.                             |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| O’Connell et al.| The trial tested the hypotheses that children who are served unfamiliar     | Preschool (USA)           | 4.5         | Caucasians: 69%, Asian: 8%, African-American: 5%, Hispanic: 6%, Other: 12% From highly educated households. | Serving of unfamiliar vegetables repeatedly in a preschool lunch setting 10 times during a 6 week period. Influence of between child variability and thus peer influence. | Not stated             |
| [18]            | vegetables repeatedly in the preschool lunch setting will increase            |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
|                 | consumption of them, and that consumption will be influenced by peer         |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
|                 | eating behaviours and parental feeding behaviours.                           |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| Harnack et al.; | To evaluate the effects on serving vegetables first or together with the    | Preschool (USA)           | Missing     | Not stated                                                                 | Meal service strategy; serving vegetables first, compared with serving all food items at the same time compared with control (no change). Every strategy was implemented in two weeks. | Not stated             |
| [19]            | meal on fruit, vegetables and energy intake among preschoolers.              |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| Hendy; [20]     | The purpose of the study was to examine the effectiveness of trained peer   | Preschool (USA)           | 3-6         | 90% Caucasians, 8% African American, 2% Hispanic                           | Three novel foods presented during the preschool meal. 16 children were trained by their teachers to serve as peer models and given toy reinforcement. | Social cognitive theory |
|                 | models to increase food acceptance of preschool children and the test whether the same gender would be the most effective. |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| Leahy et al.;   | To test the effect of reducing the energy density of an entrée on children’s | Preschools, (USA)         | 3,9         | Caucasians: 69%, Asian: 27%, African-American: 4%                         | Children were served two version of a macaroni and cheese dish with the same palatability; one was energy dense and the other calorie-reduced. Each version was served 3 times. | Not stated             |
| [21]            | ad libitum intake.                                                           |                          |             |                                                                            |                                                                                                              |                        |
| Study | Objective | Setting | Age (years) | Demographics | Intervention | Notes |
|-------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| Noradilah; [22] | The objective of this study was to determine the effects of multiple exposures to a targeted vegetable among Malay preschoolers. | Kindergartens (Malaysia) | 5-6 | The majority of the fathers of the subjects (89.2%) had education up to secondary school, were self-employed (59.5%) and had monthly incomes of below RM1500 (91.9%). Meanwhile, the majority of the mothers were housewives (73%) with secondary education level (86.5%). | Not stated | The children were exposed to three exposures of round cabbage in the kindergarten setting. The test vegetable had been decided upon based on questionnaire data from the parents. The parents served the vegetable at home once in order to determine the child’s liking of round cabbage. |
| Ramsey; [23] | The objective of this study was to compare kindergarteners’ intake of food from a school lunch meal when they are pre-served a larger entrée portion to when they are allowed to choose from three preplated entrée portion sizes. | Kindergartens (USA) | 2-7 | Not stated | Not stated | A portion size of 4 chicken nuggets was the standard amount offered to the kindergarteners before the study. In the study they were given the choice to self-select smaller entrée portion sizes of 2, 3 and 4 nuggets. |
| Educational intervention | Baskale et al.; [24] | Nursery schools (Turkey) | 5 | Different socio-economic layers, but the groups were not significantly different. | Activities were carried out once a week by a nurse educator in the course of 6 weeks. The sessions were carried out in the children’s classroom and the lengths were 20–30 minutes. The themes were the food pyramid, variation of fruits and vegetables, and healthy bones. | Not stated |
| | | | | | | Parents in both intervention and control group were given nutrition education in 1 ½ -2 hours. |
| Cason KL; [25] | The objective of the educational program were to enable preschool children to identify nutritious snack foods, identify and name vegetables, increase willingness to try novel vegetables, help to prepare and consume nutritious foods using developmentally appropriate practices and acquire behaviours that contribute to nutritionally sound food choice and a healthy lifestyle. | Preschool (USA) | 4,4 | 63% African Americans 37% Caucasians | A theory-based curriculum of 12 lessons of 40 minutes every second week developed for preschool children, the core topics of healthy snacking, fruit and vegetables identification, and the Food Guide Pyramid. | Multiple intelligence theory. |
| Cespedes; [26] | The objective was to implement and evaluate a nutritional and physical activity educational intervention in preschools. | Preschools (Colombia) | 3,7 | Low-income: 58% Middle-income: 42% | Children were provided educational and interactive classroom activities throughout 5 months (1 hour daily). Parents participated in 3 workshops and weekly healthy messages were distributed. Teachers participated in 3 centralised workshops and 2 hourly personalised sessions every 14 days. Teachers also received a guidebook. | Social cognitive theory and the trans-theoretical model. |
| Study | Objective | Sample | Setting | Intervention Details | Theory |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------|
| Gorelick et al.; [27] | To develop a developmentally age-appropriate educational curriculum and assess the success of the project curricular objectives. | Preschools (USA) | 3-5<sup>2</sup> | Primarily Caucasians Wide SES range | A kit with assessment instruments, fifty classroom activities, patterns to make materials for the classrooms lessons, a recipe book and two film strips. The educational curriculum was delivered by teachers. Piaget's developmentally theory |
| Hu et al.; [28] | To evaluate the impact of nutrition education in kindergartens and to promote healthy dietary habits in preschool children. | Kindergarten (China) | 4-6<sup>2</sup> | Low-income: 14% Middle-income: 57% High income: 29% | Monthly nutrition education sessions were held over two semesters. The nutrition educational program consisted of a flexible curriculum for children and parents. An illustrated book to all children and pamphlets were delivered to parents. Two series of promotional pictures providing information regarding nutrition were shown to the children. |
| Johnson SL; [29] | Objective was to investigate whether children could be taught to focus on internal cues of hunger and satiety and consequently improve their self-regulation of energy intake. | Preschool (USA) | 4,7<sub>1</sub> | Primarily high socio economic population. | Introduction of hunger through video and role-play with adults and dolls. Children were instructed before, during and after eating to attend to cues of hunger and satiation. |
| Nemet; [30,31] | To examine the effects of a randomized school-based intervention on nutrition and physical activity knowledge and preferences, anthropometric measures and fitness in low socioeconomic children. | Kindergarten (Israel) | 3-6<sup>2</sup> | All kindergartens were situated in a low-socio economic area. | Three all-day seminars for teachers. Parents were invited for two health-day festivals. The nutritional intervention was designed mainly to improve nutritional knowledge and was delivered by preschool teachers. Monthly pamphlets with nutrition information were sent home via the children, who were asked to present the nutritional information to their parents. |
| Parcel et al.; [32] | To evaluate the impact of a health curriculum on educational and behavioural outcomes | Preschool (USA) | 2-4<sup>2</sup> | Not stated | Health education curriculum that was designed to teach selected age-appropriate types of behaviour that enables children to assume greater responsibility for their own health. The classes were taught everyday by a project employee, 1 teacher and 2 aides. The teachers additionally received two-three in-house training. Social Learning theory |
| Piziak V; [33] | The purpose was to test the effectiveness of a bilingual nutrition game to increase the servings of healthful foods particular vegetables, fruit and water offered to children and decrease the servings of sugar sweetened beverages in the Head Start population. | Preschool (USA) | 3-5<sub>2</sub> | The study took place at Head Start, a governmentally funded locally operated school for low-income families. Mexican-American: 57,3% | A pictorial nutrition education game played at class and during meals, the game lends itself to nutrition education. The cards and boards show colour images of culturally appropriate foods and the reverse side gives the name in English and Spanish which may also be used to improve reading skills. Not stated |
| Study | Characteristics of study | Kindergarten (Thailand) | Child care centres (USA) | Kindergarten (Germany) | Childcare centres (USA) | Kindergarten (Germany) |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Sirikulchaya-nonta et al.; [34] | To evaluate the use of food experience, multimedia, and role models for promoting fruit and vegetable consumption. | 4-5 | Not stated | Children: German nationality: Intervention: 91,6 Control: 92,4% Parents: Educational level medium – high: Intervention: 73% Control: 71% |
| Witt et al.; [35] | Determine whether an interactive nutrition and physical activity program for preschool children increases fruit and vegetable consumption | 4-5 | Not stated | Child care directors: 75% African American 50% College degree. Children: All centres had children from low-income families |
| Multicomponent | The intervention focused on improving health behaviour on a daily basis in the day care setting, aiming at establishing a health promoting behaviour patterns that might also be maintained outside of the day care setting. | 3-6 | Not stated | A garden-based intervention with a structured curriculum for child-care providers, consultations by a gardener, and technical assistance from a health educator. The curriculum included an overview module followed by monthly modules designed around a specific crop. |
| Bayer et al.; [36] | The purpose of this study was to assess the feasibility of a garden-based intervention to promote fruit and vegetable intake among children attending childcare. | 4 | Not stated | A nutritional intervention, consisting of fifteen 2 hours sessions once weekly over a period of 6 months. Ten modules only targeted children, another five parents and children or parents exclusively. Intervention activities consisted of familiarizing with different food types and preparation methods as well as cooking and eating meals together in groups of children, teachers and parents. Availability of fruit, vegetables and water was increased. |
| De Bock et al.; [38] | To assess the short-term impact of a nutritional intervention aimed at reducing childhood overweight in German pre-school children. | 4 | Not stated | Social Learning theory and Zajonc’s Exposure theory as well as the RE-AIM framework for the process evaluation |
| Study Authors | Study Objectives | Study Population | Sample Size | Study Design | Study Interventions | Study Outcomes |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Hammond et al. [39] | To evaluate the impact of an early childhood nutrition education program on kindergarten students' familiarity with and stated willingness-to-try 16 test foods | Kindergarten (CAN) | 5 | Cultural inheritance: | Nutrition Educational Program that includes 4 steps; food introduction activities, cooking, journal keeping activity, and communication between child and parents | Not stated |
| Hoffman et al. [40] | The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of a multi-year, multicomponent school-based F&V consumption during school lunch. | Kindergarten (USA) | 6 | Experimental group: African-American: 29% Latino: 41% Asian: 24% Caucasian: 3% Other: 2% Control: African-American: 30% Latino: 51% Asian: 0% Caucasian: 4% Other: 9% | Multi-year, multi-component fruit and vegetable promotion program, that includes school-wide, classroom, lunchroom and family components to promote F & V consumption with an emphasis on F&V in the school lunch. Program components were designed to capture students' attention and to increase retention of nutrition information using influential role models and deliver consistent messages across the setting. | Social Learning theory |
| Vereecken et al. [41] | To develop and assist Belgian preschools in the implementation of a healthy school policy and evaluate the impact of the intervention in children's food consumption. | Preschools (Belgium) | 3-4 | Intervention: Education low: 60% Education medium: 22% Education high: 18% Control: Education low: 57% Education medium: 26% Education high: 17% | A two-days training was given to school staff. An educational package, including an educational map for the teachers, an educative story and educational material was developed. Food messages and newsletters directed at the school staff and parents were made available. | Intervention Mapping |
| Williams et al. [42] | To evaluate the effects on a preschool nutrition education and food service intervention | Preschools (USA) | 2-5 | Minority, primarily African-American: 67% Latino: 33% The majority lived in families with annual income below poverty lines. | There was two intervention types; 1 with food service modification and nutrition education and 1 with food service modification and safety education. The nutrition education segment included a curriculum. The food service modification consisted of help to decrease the consumption of total and saturated fat. | Not stated |

1Mean; 2Range.
| Study                  | Study design | Sampling        | n   | Duration | Limitations                                                                 | Quality | Main target behaviour                                                                 | Primary and secondary outcomes                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Triple intervention   | RCT          | Convenience     | 50  | 3 d      | No controlling for internal measurement bias.                                 | **      | Children:                                                                          | The children viewing the gain-framed and loss framed videos were significantly more likely to choose apples than controls. Among the children who saw one of the nutrition message videos, 56% chose apples rather than animal crackers; in the control condition only 33% chose apples. |
| Bannon et al.; [16]   | RCT          | Convenience     | 50  | 3 d      | Short time between exposure and control conditions.                          |         | Food preference questionnaire.                                                      |                                                                                                                                                |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | Small sample size.                                                            |         | Healthy Food questionnaire (children circled the food they thought were healthy). |                                                                                                                                                |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | Snack choice between an apple or a snack.                                    |         |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                |
| Birch LL; [17]        | P/P          | Convenience     | 39  | 4 d      | No control                                                                    | *       | Children:                                                                          | Vegetable preference increased significantly from day 1 to 4.                                                                                   |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | No data on allocation short duration of exposure.                             |         | Food preferences were assessed                                                     | The total consumption of vegetables decreased during the 4 days, but they still ate the non-preferred food item. Young children were more affected than older children by peer modelling. |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | Small sample size.                                                            |         | Food intake of the test vegetables                                                |                                                                                                                                                  |
| O'Connell et al.; [18]| RCT          | Randomly        | 96  | 6 w      |                                                                                   | ***     | Children: Willingness to try new vegetables.                                     | Repeated exposure did not increase vegetable consumption.                                                                                      |
|                       |              |                 |     |          |                                                                                   |         |                                                                                      | Greater consumption by tablemates was a significant predictor of greater vegetable consumption. 1 gr. of peer intake was associated with roughly 1/5 gr. Intake among the subjects. |
|                       |              |                 |     |          |                                                                                   |         |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                  |
| Harnack et al; [19]   | Randomized crossover Trial | Not stated | 53  | 6 w      | Sampling methodology not stated.                                              | **      | Children:                                                                          | Fruit intake was significantly higher with serving style 1.                                                                                     |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | Not enough time between exposure/control conditions.                          |         | Food and nutrient intake during lunch.                                            | Vegetables intake did not appear to increase                                                                                                     |
|                       |              |                 |     |          | Small sample size.                                                            |         |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                  |
| Hendy H; [20]         | Quasi        | Convenience     | 38  | Not stated| Duration not stated.                                                           | **      | Number of bites taken of the novel foods                                         | The study found an effect on food acceptance, but the effect had disappeared after 1 month.                                                                 |
|                       |              |                 |     |          |                                                                                   |         | Food preference                                                                     | The children serving as peer models rated their food preferences for the novel food higher than the observers.                                      |
| Study | Design | Setting | Duration | Control | Outcome and Findings |
|-------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------------------|
| Leahy et al.; [21] | Quasi Convenience | 77 6 d | No control | ** | Children: Preference assessment of the two dishes. Height and weight. Lunch intake of the two different dishes. Parents: Child feeding questionnaire. Socio-demographic variables. Decreasing the energy density of the entrée by 30% significantly decreased children’s energy intake by 25% and total lunch intake by 18%. Children consumed significantly more of the lower-energy-dense version. |
| Noradilah; [22] | Quasi Convenience, but randomly assigned to intervention | 37 3 d | The sample size is small and the duration short. Liking was assessed by parents | ** | Children: The liking scores were significantly higher after the intervention. Consumption of the test vegetable significantly increased from 21.58 to 28.26 on the 3rd day. The effect was especially evident among girls. |
| Ramsey; [23] | Quasi Not stated | 235 5 d | No individual data. Short duration No control conditions Sampling conditions are not stated | * | Children: Food intake at lunch. The food intake was on canteen level, not at an individual level. Children’s intake of chicken nuggets was greater when they were not given a choice of nugget portion size. Demonstrating that serving larger portion sizes in preschools increase children’s intake of them. |
| Educational intervention | RCT Convenience | 115 6 w | High drop-out rate (50% +). No evaluation of parent part of intervention | *** | Children: Body Mass Index. Mid-upper arm circumference. Nutrition knowledge. Parents: Demographic data. Food consumption of children. Children’s nutritional knowledge increased significantly compared to control group. Healthy food consumption increase significantly in milk, yoghurt, white meat and green leafy vegetables. No anthropometric differences. |
| Study                  | Design | Randomization | Duration | Sample Size | Notes                        | Children:                                                                                     | Parents:                                                                                     | Outcome of Interventions                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cason KL; [25]        | P/P    | Convenience   | 6102     | 24 w        | No control or comparison group | Knowledge and attitude pictorial questionnaire.                                               | Children's eating habits and food attitudes.                                                 | Subjects showed significant improvement in food identification and recognition, healthy snack identification, willingness to taste food, and frequency of fruit, vegetables, meat and dairy consumption. |
| Cespedes; [26]        | Cluster RCT | Randomly     | 1216     | 5 m         |                              | Children: Height and weight and knowledge and attitude scores.                               | Nutritional status, Parents, Parental knowledge and attitudes.                               | Children showed significantly changes in knowledge and attitudes. Parents showed statistically significant, but minor changes in knowledge, attitudes and habits. More children were eutrophic after the intervention. |
| Gorelick et al; [27]  | RCT    | Convenience   | 187      | 6 w         |                              | Identification of bread, fruits and vegetables, Food classification of bread, fruits and vegetables, Food preparation, Food choices | Nutrition-related eating behaviours, Nutrition knowledge, Attitudes to the factors they considered important when arranging their children’s dietary habits. Food frequency questionnaire | The outcomes were fruit identification, vegetable identification, bread identification, vegetables classification, fruit classification, matching, tooth brushing, hand washing, food preparation, food choices and there was a significant improvement over the course of the project. Older children scored higher than the younger ones. |
| Hu et al; [28]        | RCT    | Randomly     | 2102     | 10 m        | Educational intervention not theoretically founded | Parental knowledge and attitudes.                                                          | No significant difference in anthropometrics but difference in children's unhealthy diet related behaviours and parents attitudes and knowledge between intervention and control. |
| Johnson SL; [29]      | Quasi  | Convenience   | 25       | 6 w         | Small sample size No control Short exposure time | Children: Compensation index based on baseline food intake data. Anthropometric data.         | Food intake was measured and showed that children had improved their ability to compensate their energy intake according to the energy density of food offered. The intervention did not have an effect on BMI. |
| Study Reference | Design | Setting | Sample Size | Duration | Intervention Details | Outcome Measures | Findings |
|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Nemet; [30,31]  | RCT    | Not stated | 725         | 1 y      | Sampling methodology not stated | Children: Weight and height. Nutritional knowledge and preferences using a photo-elicited questionnaire. | ** Significant increase in nutritional and physical activity knowledge and preference  
** Significant decrease in number of overweight children.  
** Significant improvement in fitness  
No sign in BMI percentiles, but 32% from overweight to normal weight.  
At follow-up after 1 year with 206 children BMI and BMI percentiles were significantly lower in the intervention group compared to control.  
Nutritional knowledge and preferences remained significantly elevated in the intervention group compared to the control. |
| Parcel et al.; [32] | Quasi | Convenience | 173         | 4 y      | Allocation process is missing. Lack of transparency in changes of the sample throughout the study.  
Lack of information on validation | Mothers: Health values, health behaviour in the home.  
Children: Health locus of control.  
Preferences for health and safety behaviour  
Teachers: Observation of children regarding health and safety behaviour.  
Parents: Food frequency questionnaire. | ** No evidence of effect on fruit consumption as a replacement for candy according to parent self-reporting. However, there was strong evidence of less candy eating among the health curriculum group compared to the control according to teacher observation. No evidence of increased variety in food for lunch. |
| Piziak V; [33] | Quasi | Convenience | 413         | 1 y      | No control  
Sampling methodology not stated  
Intervention not theoretically founded  
Lack of information regarding intervention group. | Parents: Demographic variables  
Family F&V vegetables consumption behaviour.  
Changes in the children eating behaviour after the intervention.  
Children: F&V behaviour at lunch time in respect to kinds and amounts consumed. | ** There was a significant increase in vegetables served outside the preschools both on weeks and in weekends. |
| Sirikulchayanonta et al.; [34] | Quasi | Random selected school, but convenient chosen class | 26          | 8 w      | Lack of information of intervention group Small sample size. | Parents: Food experience, multimedia and role models were effective in increasing F&V consumption | * The use of food experience, multimedia and role models were effective in increasing F&V consumption. |
**Table 4 Quality assessment and outcome of interventions (Continued)**

| Study                       | Design          | Sampling | N   | Duration | Methodology | Outcome                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----|----------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Witt et al.; [35]           | RCT             | Not stated | 263 | 6 w      | Sampling methodology not stated. ***                                     |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | The parental data at follow-up was only at 14%, which was insufficient to make substantive conclusions. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | The program led to an increased proportion of children with high fruit and vegetable consumption after 6 months, which was sustainable with adjusted odds ratios of 1.59 (1.26:2.01) and 1.48 (1.08:2.03) after 18 months. Subgroup analyses by gender, overweight and parental education, performed in order to assess consistency of effects, showed similar results. Prevalence of overweight and obesity as well as motoric testing results were not statistically different between intervention and control groups. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | The program led to an increased proportion of children with high fruit and vegetable consumption after 6 months, which was sustainable with adjusted odds ratios of 1.59 (1.26:2.01) and 1.48 (1.08:2.03) after 18 months. Subgroup analyses by gender, overweight and parental education, performed in order to assess consistency of effects, showed similar results. Prevalence of overweight and obesity as well as motoric testing results were not statistically different between intervention and control groups. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | The program led to an increased proportion of children with high fruit and vegetable consumption after 6 months, which was sustainable with adjusted odds ratios of 1.59 (1.26:2.01) and 1.48 (1.08:2.03) after 18 months. Subgroup analyses by gender, overweight and parental education, performed in order to assess consistency of effects, showed similar results. Prevalence of overweight and obesity as well as motoric testing results were not statistically different between intervention and control groups. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | The program led to an increased proportion of children with high fruit and vegetable consumption after 6 months, which was sustainable with adjusted odds ratios of 1.59 (1.26:2.01) and 1.48 (1.08:2.03) after 18 months. Subgroup analyses by gender, overweight and parental education, performed in order to assess consistency of effects, showed similar results. Prevalence of overweight and obesity as well as motoric testing results were not statistically different between intervention and control groups. |
| Multi component intervention| Cluster RCT     | Randomly | 1609| 1 y      | **          | Parents: Children eating habits and food frequency data were examined using a questionnaire. Anthropometrics (height, weight) and motoric testing of children were done at the yearly health examination offered to all children in the area of Bavaria. |
| Bayer et al.; [36]          | Cluster RCT     | Randomly | 1609| 1 y      | ****         | Parents: Children eating habits and food frequency data were examined using a questionnaire. Anthropometrics (height, weight) and motoric testing of children were done at the yearly health examination offered to all children in the area of Bavaria. |
| Brouwer et al.; [37]        | RCT             | Randomly | 16  | 4 m      | **          | Children: Structured dietary observation of food intake during meals and snack time in preschools. Child care centres: Demographic variables including low-income children and ethnicity of child care directors. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | Children: Structured dietary observation of food intake during meals and snack time in preschools. Child care centres: Demographic variables including low-income children and ethnicity of child care directors. |
| De Bock et al.; [38]        | Cluster RCT     | Convenience | 348 | 6 m      | ***         | Children: Height, weight, waist circumference, total body fat using skinfold measurement. Parents: Questionnaire assessing multiple domains of behaviour including Children’s eating behaviour and physical activity. Food frequency questionnaire. Socio-demographic information. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | Children: Height, weight, waist circumference, total body fat using skinfold measurement. Parents: Questionnaire assessing multiple domains of behaviour including Children’s eating behaviour and physical activity. Food frequency questionnaire. Socio-demographic information. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | Children: Height, weight, waist circumference, total body fat using skinfold measurement. Parents: Questionnaire assessing multiple domains of behaviour including Children’s eating behaviour and physical activity. Food frequency questionnaire. Socio-demographic information. |
|                             |                 |          |     |          | Children: Height, weight, waist circumference, total body fat using skinfold measurement. Parents: Questionnaire assessing multiple domains of behaviour including Children’s eating behaviour and physical activity. Food frequency questionnaire. Socio-demographic information. |
| Study Reference | Design | Setting | Sample Size | Duration | Outcome Measures |
|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| Hammond et al. [39] | RCT | Convenience | 123 | 7 m | Children: Interviews with children to test their familiarity. Parents: Demographic variables Children's willingness-to-eat. Changes in the child's dietary habits over the school year. |
| Hoffman et al. [40] | RCT | Convenience | 297 | 1 y | Children: Awareness of the intervention F&V preferences Weighed plate waste during 3 lunches in the preschool cafeteria. Height and weight Caregiver/parents: Demographic variables |
| Vereecken et al. [41] | RCT | Convenience | 476 | 6 m | Response rate is low 33% Parents: Food frequency questionnaire on their children's general food consumption. Socio-demographic information Questions relating to the school food policy. Teachers: Registration of food available for consumption. |
| Williams et al. [42] | Quasi | Convenience | 787 | 6 m | No information about the allocation process. Children: Dietary intake by observation during school and by interviewing the parents. Weight and height |

*See quality rating scheme in Table 2. Indicating: the strength of the studies: *Weak, ** Moderate, *** Strong and **** Very strong.*
the time between intervention and control were stated, making the control effect limited.

**Sampling methods**
Random sampling had been used in only five of the 26 studies as most of the studies were based on convenience sampling. Two studies combined random and convenience sampling [22,34]. Four studies did not describe the sampling method used [19,23,30,31,35].

**Sample size**
Sample sizes varied greatly between single interventions and the educational and multicomponent interventions. The mean sample size of the single component interventions was 78 and the mean sample size among the educational and multicomponent interventions were 1031 and 522. The mean sample size of all 26 studies was 601.

**Main target behaviours**
Food preferences, willingness-to-try novel foods and nutrient intake during lunch were the most used target behaviours in the single interventions. Not surprisingly knowledge and attitudes were the most used target behaviours in the educational interventions, but also consumption of target foods were evaluated using food frequency questionnaires answered by parents. The consumption of target foods were also evaluated in multicomponent studies, but here the intake was measured using observation by researchers or teachers in the setting, just as it was the case for single interventions. Anthropometric measurements of height and weight were applied across the studies, although they only happened in two single interventions [19,20], however it was only used to control for BMI in the statistical analysis. The multicomponent interventions included other anthropometric measures as well.

**Duration of intervention**
The single change interventions were relatively short in duration, lasting from 3 to 4 days and up to 6 weeks. The educational interventions with a smaller sample size lasted from 5 to 8 weeks and the studies involving a higher number of participants were of longer duration of between 10 months to 2 years. However, there were exceptions to this, including Cason [25] who evaluated a preschool nutrition program involving 6102 children over 24 weeks and Parcel et al. [32] who carried out a 4 year study targeting approximately 200 preschool children Hendy [20] failed to report their intervention duration. The duration of the multicomponent interventions was generally between 4 and 7 months and up to 1 year.

**Theoretical foundations of interventions**
16 of the 26 included interventions did not base their interventions on health behavioural theories. 6 of the studies used Bandura’s social cognitive theory or the related social learning theory. Piaget’s developmental theory was used in 2 studies and others were the theory of multiple intelligences or Zajonc’s exposure theory.

**Information missing from articles**
In the single interventions Hendy [20] failed to state the duration of their intervention and Ramsey et al. [23] did not mention their allocation process, however this was due to the study taking place at one canteen without individual data. Nemet et al. [30] and Witt et al. [35] failed to report their sampling process, which was quite surprising considering the high research rigour their studies otherwise presented.

**Bias**
The single interventions generally had small sample sizes, lacked controls and were of relatively short duration and with a short period of time in-between the exposure and follow-up measurements and. The majority of studies in both the educational and multicomponent intervention groups suffered from low response rates.

**Effects of interventions**
**Single intervention** Single exposure interventions failed to demonstrate a significant increase in vegetable consumption. Fruit intake was more easily influenced, however. Results also showed that younger children in particular were influenced by role models and that girls may be more promising role models than boys [17,18].

**Educational intervention** None of the educational interventions resulted in a change in anthropometric measurements, with the exception of [30] who observed a significant decrease in children’s BMI in the overweight children group who became normal weight. At follow-up after one year the BMI and BMI percentiles were significantly lower in the intervention group compared to the control group. Promising results were also found in 6 of the studies where an increase in the consumption of fruit and vegetables was observed. However, none of these changes were significant at the 0.05 level, with the exception of [35], where a significant increase was found in the consumption of fruit by 20.8% and in vegetable snacks by 33.1%. Witt et al. [35] found a significant increase in vegetables served outside preschools, but this was based on mother’s own food frequency data, which may have biased the results [33]. One of the major effects of the educational interventions was in the level of knowledge among its participants. For instance, the level of nutrition-related knowledge increased in two studies [24,30] and the identification of fruits and vegetables increased in two studies [25,27].
Multicomponent interventions
Six of the multicomponent interventions showed a significant increase in fruit and vegetable consumption, but one found the effect only to be present on fruit consumption after follow-up after 1 year. None of the other studies found an effect on BMI, but one intervention resulted in a decrease in the relative risk of serum cholesterol among children [42]. Only one study [39] evaluated knowledge and found that familiarity with novel foods increased significantly.

Discussion and conclusions
This review finds that healthy eating interventions can influence the consumption of vegetables through different strategies. The studies acknowledged that a single exposure strategy was insufficient to increase vegetable consumption and that there needs to be an educational component as well. This was supported by the fact that the over half of the educational interventions and six of the eight multicomponent interventions resulted in an increase in vegetable consumption. The increase in consumption was greater in the multicomponent studies which could indicate that the more comprehensive the intervention strategy, the more likely the intervention is to be successful.

The effectiveness of the interventions on anthropometric change was more inconclusive, the single interventions did not include measures of BMI and considering how short the duration of their interventions were, it might also be difficult to find change in anthropometric measures. None of the other intervention types that did in fact use anthropometric measurements found an effect on BMI, with the exception of [31]. However Witt et al. [35] found an effect on serum cholesterol.

The educational and the majority of multicomponent interventions included an educational component and the former did find significant increases in nutrition related knowledge, but the multicomponent interventions did not evaluate intermediate effects of knowledge in addition to anthropometrics. This highlights the fact that multicomponent interventions should include measures on knowledge, when they include an educational component, particularly, because the duration of multicomponent interventions often was shorter than the pure educational interventions and anthropometric change is difficult to find during short intervention periods. A lack of follow-up in all of the interventions makes it difficult to conclude whether the observed effects were sustainable over time. With the exception of De Bock et al. [38] and Hoffman et al. [40] the multicomponent and even some of the educational intervention failed either to base or mention the theoretical foundations that they based their educational programmes on. This may be excused in the single interventions that base their studies on empirical data from food choice development theories, but interventions aiming at delivering educational programmes should have some knowledge of health behavioural or educational theories that explains the process behind the success or failure of the implementation of their educational programs. This is again highlighted by the fact that process evaluations were only performed in three of the interventions and the evaluations consisted of either revision of the provided educational materials or checking the adherence to the program, but they did not focus on drivers or barriers behind the implementation of the interventions and thereby to increase the understanding of what made the intervention successful or unsuccessful.

Ethnicity and socio-demographic background play an important role in the development of eating habits and this should be taken into account so interventions are targeted towards those that need it the most. A setting-based approach can be an important intermediate for this, if it is applied to institutions where children of low-income families are nursed and educated. Several educational and multicomponent interventions were targeted towards institutions with children of low-income families and several of them e.g. Cespedes et al. [26], Vereecken et al. [41], and Williams et al. [42] had positive results especially on the consumption of fruits and vegetables that supports the notion of early education establishments as a potential setting to decrease inequalities in health.

Quality of the evidence
Overall the quality of the intervention studies became better the more comprehensive they were; the single intervention studies were generally of weak quality with small sample sizes, short durations and, in some cases, a lack of controls, which makes it difficult to generalize to a larger population, especially because they were mostly carried out among American Caucasians from families with high socio-economic status. The educational interventions were of better quality and with the largest populations, but still suffered from limitations like lack of consideration in the allocation process, in some cases lack of controls and high drop-out rates. The multicomponent interventions were the most well-designed studies, but also suffered from high drop-out rates and as mentioned above the effectiveness of the educational components were difficult conclude upon, because they failed to evaluate on knowledge. With the exception of Nemet et al. [31] there was a lack of follow-up evaluations that makes it difficult to state whether the outcomes of interventions are sustainable over time.

Author’s conclusions
Implications for practice
The majority of interventions found promising results when targeting the consumption of healthy foods or when
attempting to increase children’s knowledge of healthy eating, providing sufficient evidence in support of using preschools as a setting for the prevention of chronic disease by making behavioural and lifestyle changes. Interventions are more likely to be successful if they take actions on several levels into account.

Implications for research
This review supports the need for a longer follow-up of intervention studies in order to assess whether results will be sustainable and how they might influence children’s eating habits later in life. Anthropometric measurements were included in some of the multicomponent interventions but as nutritional status measured as BMI does not change rapidly, interventions using BMI as the outcome measure should be of a longer duration or they should include other intermediate measures such as knowledge and consumption in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention.

Parents may not always be aware of what their children consume outside of the home, or about their knowledge surrounding fruits and vegetables, particularly when children learn about food and healthy eating behaviour in their kindergartens. Even though many choices are made on behalf of the children by their parents at home, children today spend a reasonably large amount of time away from the home environment in day care facilities, together with playmates or cared by other members of family. As a result, a child’s food choice is no longer restricted to being a sole family matter. Children’s knowledge and awareness of food is also being influenced in pedagogical activities, in day care facilities or by talking to their peers. It would therefore be suitable to develop innovative data collection methods, ensuring that the children are able to express what they like to eat and what they know about a given food-related topic. Such innovative research methods should take the developmental stages of the children into account and could perhaps rely more heavily on pictures or on IT material.

The review found that healthy eating interventions in preschools could significantly increase fruit and vegetable consumption and nutrition-related knowledge among preschool children if the strategy used, is either educational or an educational in combination with supporting component. It further highlights the relative scarcity of properly designed interventions, with clear indicators and verifiable outcomes. Key messages are that preschools are a potentially important setting for influencing children’s food choice at an early age and that there is still room for research in this field. Healthy eating promotion efforts have previously been focusing on schools, but within the last decade the focus have started to shift to pre-schoolers. This review synthesize some of the interventions that promote healthy eating habits on early education establishments using different strategies. The field of health promotion among this younger age group is still in its earlier stages, but future studies with thorough research designs are currently being undertaken like the Toybox study [10] and the Growing Health Study [43], the healthy caregivers-Healthy children [44] and the Program Si! [45]. These studies may improve our understanding of the effectiveness and underlying mechanisms behind successful implementation of healthy eating efforts in early education establishments.

Highlights

- Healthy eating interventions in preschools were classified by their type.
- Comprehensive interventions were more likely to succeed in behaviour change, especially when targeting children of low-income families.
- Preschools are a promising venue for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption.
- Evaluations showed a positive increase in food-related knowledge.
- Properly designed interventions, with clear indicators and outcomes are scarce.
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