A porous metal-organic framework with ultrahigh acetylene uptake capacity under ambient conditions
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Acetylene, an important petrochemical raw material, is very difficult to store safely under compression because of its highly explosive nature. Here we present a porous metal-organic framework named FJI-H8, with both suitable pore space and rich open metal sites, for efficient storage of acetylene under ambient conditions. Compared with existing reports, FJI-H8 shows a record-high gravimetric acetylene uptake of 224 cm3 (STP) g−1 and the second-highest volumetric uptake of 196 cm3 (STP) cm−3 at 295 K and 1 atm. Increasing the storage temperature to 308 K has only a small effect on its acetylene storage capacity (~200 cm3 (STP) g−1). Furthermore, FJI-H8 exhibits an excellent repeatability with only 3.8% loss of its acetylene storage capacity after five cycles of adsorption-desorption tests. Grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation reveals that not only open metal sites but also the suitable pore space and geometry play key roles in its remarkable acetylene uptake.
Acetylene is a very important chemical feedstock for modern industry\(^1\)-\(^2\). Many widely used polymer products such as polyurethane and polyester plastics are synthesized from acetylene. However, the safe storage and transportation of acetylene still remain challenging because of its explosiveness when compressed under pressures over 2 atm at room temperature\(^3\)-\(^4\). Therefore, acetylene gas extensively used in industry so far has to be stored in special cylinders filled with acetone and porous materials suffering from lower acetylene purity and higher storage cost. Fortunately, the emergence of porous metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) brings promising solutions to the above problem due to their excellent performance for storage and separation of gases such as H\(_2\), O\(_2\), CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) (refs 5-17). MOFs have been recently studied for acetylene storage application\(^18\)-\(^27\). For example, at 273 K ZJU-5 shows a high acetylene uptake of 290 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\). However, the uptake drastically decreases to 193 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\) when the temperature rises to 295 K\(^18\). To effectively improve acetylene storage capacity at room temperature, Chen et al. explored a series of microporous MOFs with different structures and porosities and concluded that open Cu(II) sites and suitable pore space in MOFs played crucial roles for acetylene storage\(^18\)-\(^22\). In addition, the dendritic multi-carboxylate ligands with \(m\)-benzenedicarboxylate moieties tend to form various polyhedral nanocages along with rich open Cu(II) sites, which has been demonstrated as an efficient approach to improve the gas uptakes\(^26\)-\(^33\).

Considering the previous studies, we designed and synthesized a new robust multi-carboxylate ligand 3,3’,5,5’-tetra(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)-4,4’-dimethoxy-biphenyl (H\(_8\)tdvb, Supplementary Fig. 1). Reaction of H\(_8\)tdvb and Cu(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) under solvothermal conditions resulted in a porous MOF ([Cu\(_2\)tdvb-H\(_2\)O\(_4\)]\(_n\))·(solvent)\(_n\), abbreviated as FJI-H\(_8\)) with both suitable pore space and open metal sites. At 295 K, FJI-H\(_8\) exhibits a record-high acetylene uptake of 224 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\), greatly exceeding the previous record of 201 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\) held by HKUST-1 (ref. 19). Increasing the storage temperature to 308 K has only slight effect on its acetylene storage capacity (\(~200\) cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\)). Furthermore, the acetylene adsorption amount of FJI-H\(_8\) at 295 K has no obvious loss after five cycles of adsorption-desorption test.

Results

Structure of FJI-H\(_8\). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments revealed that FJI-H\(_8\) crystallized in the tetragonal space group P4\(_3\)/mmn (Supplementary Data 1). In the asymmetric unit, there are one-quarter of organic ligand and two kinds of Cu(II) ions both with the occupancies of 50%. One metal site is located on a mirror plane, while the other resides on a twofold axis. Further, the dinuclear core is centred about an inversion site. All the eight carboxyl groups are deprotonated in the organic ligand. Two inner phenyl rings of tddb are coplanar, while the four outer isophthalate groups are almost perpendicular to the diphenyl with a dihedral angle of 78.85° (Supplementary Fig. 2). Each tddb ligand coordinates to eight dicopper(II) paddlewheel secondary building units (SBUs) and each Cu\(_2\) SBU links to four tddb ligands. As anticipated, there are three types of polyhedral nanocages in FJI-H\(_8\) (Supplementary Fig. 3), that is, one regular cuboctahedral cage (Cage-A), one distorted octahedral cage (Cage-B) and one distorted cuboctahedral cage (Cage-C) (Fig. 1a). Cage-A is constructed by eight Cu\(_2\) SBUs and four tddb ligands. The centres of the eight paddlewheels together with the centroids of the four tddb ligands are considered as the 12 four-connected vertices of the cage. Therefore, the pore diameter is around 15 Å, which is estimated through the separations of two opposite vertices.

In addition, eight open Cu(II) sites point towards the centre of the cage and can interact directly with gas molecules residing inside, which can improve the gas adsorption ability\(^34\)-\(^38\). Cage-B consists of four Cu\(_2\) SBUs and two halves of tddb ligands, with a dimension of \(~8\) Å. For Cage-C, its 12 vertices consist of the centroids of eight Cu\(_2\) SBUs and four halves of tddb ligands, respectively. In addition, the dimension of this cage is estimated to be around \(12\) Å. On the whole, Cage-A is linked by six Cage-C through six rhombic faces and eight Cage-B through eight triangular faces (Supplementary Fig. 4). Similarly, Cage-C is linked by six Cage-A through six rhombic faces and eight Cage-B by sharing eight \(m\)-benzenedicarboxylate moieties (Supplementary Fig. 5). However, Cage-B is linked by four Cage-A through four triangular faces and four Cage-C by sharing four \(m\)-benzenedicarboxylate moieties (Supplementary Fig. 6). It should be noted that there are two kinds of Cu\(_2\) SBUs in FJI-H\(_8\) due to the fact that the distance between the paddlewheels and the centroids of the ligands are slightly different (8.96 and 9.45 Å, respectively). For the sake of clarity, if we simplify two types of Cu\(_2\) SBUs as two kinds of four-connected nodes and the tddb ligands as eight-connected nodes, FJI-H\(_8\) adopts the rare (4,4,8)-c URJ network with the topological point symbol of 4\(^1\)4\(^2\)8\(^2\) (Supplementary Fig. 7)\(^39\).

Figure 1 | Structural representations of FJI-H\(_8\) from X-ray diffraction data. (a) Three types of polyhedral nanocages observed in FJI-H\(_8\), that is, one regular cuboctahedral cage (Cage-A), one distorted octahedral cage (Cage-B) and one distorted cuboctahedral cage (Cage-C). (b) Combination of the three types of polyhedral nanocages. (The hydrogen atoms and hydroxymethyl groups are omitted for clarity.)
Porosity and N₂ adsorption of FJI-H8. The solvent accessible volume in fully evacuated FJI-H8 is 62.4% calculated by PLATON with a probe of 1.8 Å (ref. 40). To check the permanent porosity of FJI-H8, nitrogen adsorption isotherm was measured at 77 K and 1 atm. As demonstrated by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Supplementary Fig. 8), the activated sample retained the crystallinity after being evacuated for 10 h under 80°C. The N₂ sorption of FJI-H8 exhibits a typical reversible type I isotherm with a saturated adsorption amount of 531 cm² (STP) g⁻¹, indicating the microporous nature of FJI-H8. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller apparent surface area calculated from the N₂ adsorption data is 2025 ± 15 m² g⁻¹ and is well consistent with theoretical one (1907 m² g⁻¹) calculated by Poreblazer, which demonstrates that the sample is fully activated. Accordingly, the total pore volume is 0.82 cm³ g⁻¹. From the N₂ adsorption data, analysis by the non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) model confirms a narrow distribution of micropores around 12 Å (Fig. 2).

Acetylene adsorption property of FJI-H8. Considering the open metal sites and moderate pores in FJI-H8, its low-pressure acetylene uptake was measured under 1 atm. As expected, the C₂H₂ adsorption amount for FJI-H8 reaches up to 277 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ at 273 K and 1 atm, slightly less than the record of 290 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ (ref. 18). In practice, C₂H₂ gas is stored at ambient temperatures. Therefore, the C₂H₂ adsorption experiment at room temperature (295 K) was carried out. Exhilaratingly, FJI-H8 exhibits an adsorption amount of 224 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ for acetylene at 295 K and 1 atm, which is greatly higher than those of the two famous MOFs, known as HKUST-1 (201 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) and CoMOF-74 (197 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) (Table 1). Surprisingly, FJI-H8 exhibits an acetylene uptake of 206 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ at 303 K and 1 atm, which prompts us to investigate its acetylene uptake at an even higher temperature. The most commendable aspect is that the acetylene adsorption amount of FJI-H8 still reached 200 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ even when the temperature increased to 308 K (Fig. 3a). This value is comparable to that of HKUST-1 at 295 K. In other words, the acetylene uptake capacity of FJI-H8 decreases by a rate of 2.2 cm³ g⁻¹ K⁻¹ with the experimental temperature increasing from 273 to 308 K, which is almost only half to that of ZJU-5 (3.9 cm³ g⁻¹ K⁻¹) from 273 K to 298 K (Supplementary Table 1). Therefore, FJI-H8 is more suitable for practical applications over a wide temperature range around room temperature. In consideration of its practical application, we also tested the repeatability of FJI-H8 for acetylene storage. About 100 mg of desolvated sample was loaded onto an ASAP2020-M analyser and five cycles of acetylene adsorption at 295 K were recorded without the reactivation process between each cycle. For FJI-H8, there is only a 3.8% loss in absorbed quantity of C₂H₂ after five cycles, which indicates that FJI-H8 is promising in refillable acetylene storage (Fig. 3b).

The isosteric heat of acetylene adsorption for FJI-H8 is calculated to be 32.0 kJ mol⁻¹ based on the C₂H₂ adsorption isotherms at 273, 295, and 308 K (Supplementary Fig. 9). This value is larger than that of HKUST-1 (30.4 kJ mol⁻¹) (ref. 40). As has been reported previously, the high density of open metal sites within MOF materials may play crucial roles in the high acetylene storage capacities and high adsorption enthalpies. Supposing each open metal site binds one acetylene molecule, as established by Chen et al., the acetylene uptake by open Cu(II) sites in HKUST-1 accounts for ca. 60% of the total acetylene uptake at room temperature. For CoMOF-74, almost 80% of the total acetylene uptake is contributed by open Co(II) sites assuming each Co(II) site binds one acetylene molecule. Moreover, for MgMOF-74, if all the open Mg(II) sites can be fully loaded with one acetylene molecule per one open Mg(II) site, the theoretical acetylene uptake by open metal sites is even larger than the experimentally measured value at room temperature. As listed in Table 1, for most MOF materials, acetylene uptakes by open metal sites account for almost half of the acetylene uptake or even higher. However, in FJI-H8, the open Cu(II) site density is 3.59 mmol g⁻¹, which is lower than those for the reported MOFs with high acetylene uptake capacities. On the whole, the open Cu(II) sites can only contribute 87 cm³ of the total 224 cm³ for the acetylene storage capacity at 295 K and 1 atm. Thus, the remaining acetylene storage capacity should come from the suitable pore space in FJI-H8. It should be noted that the acetylene uptake by the pore space accounts for >60% of the whole acetylene uptake in FJI-H8, which is rarely seen in other reported MOFs. More surprisingly, the whole acetylene uptake decreases to 200 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ with a slight loss of 24 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹, when the temperature rises from 295 to 308 K. The above result indicates relatively strong interactions between acetylene molecules and pore space in FJI-H8.

In general, there are two kinds of representations to measure the gas adsorption properties of adsorbent materials, that is, gravimetric capacity in the unit of cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ and volumetric.

| Material         | OMS density (mmol g⁻¹) | C₂H₂ uptake (cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) | By OMS | By pore space | Sum |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------|-----|
| FJI-H8           | 3.59                   | 87                          | 137    | 224           |     |
| FJI-H8†          | 3.59                   | 87                          | 113    | 200           |     |
| HKUST-1 (ref. 19)| 4.96                   | 120                         | 81     | 201           |     |
| CoMOF-74 (ref. 20)| 6.41                | 155                         | 42     | 197           |     |
| ZJU-5 (ref. 18)  | 3.87                   | 95                          | 98     | 193           |     |
| MgMOF-74 (ref. 20)| 8.24                | 199                         | —      | 184           |     |
| NOFF-101 (ref. 55)| 3.44                | 84                          | 100    | 184           |     |
| ZJU-7 (ref. 56)  | 3.46                   | 85                          | 95     | 180           |     |
| Cu-TDPAT (ref. 26)| 3.74                | 91                          | 87     | 178           |     |
| PCN-16 (ref. 55) | 4.19                   | 102                         | 74     | 176           |     |

*The OMS density of MOFs was calculated based on the crystal information files. (Data for FJI-H8 at 308 K).
†The value of C₂H₂ uptake by OMS is larger than the sum value maybe because of the interaction between open Mg(II) sites and acetylene molecules are so weak that open Mg(II) sites cannot be fully loaded.
capacity in the unit of cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$. The relationship between them is $V_{\text{volumetric}} = V_{\text{gravimetric}} \times \rho$. If we take the solvent-free crystal density into consideration, FJI-H8 shows an acetylene uptake of 196 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ at 295 K and 1 atm, which is only inferior to the uptake of 230 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ for CoMOF-74 under the same conditions$^{20}$. On the basis of the volumetric acetylene uptake, FJI-H8 shows a safe acetylene storage density of 0.23 g cm$^{-3}$ in bulk material at 295 K and 1 atm, which is equivalent to the value of an imaginary state of acetylene under 21.3 MPa at room temperature and is $\sim$100 times of the compression limit for the safe storage of acetylene (0.2 MPa) at room temperature$^{3,43,44}$. This value is also at the highest level for the reported MOFs, and is only slightly lower than that of CoMOF-74 (0.27 g cm$^{-3}$), which is consistent with the volumetric analysis (Table 2).

Similarly, supposing each open metal site binds one acetylene molecule, the acetylene uptake by open Cu(II) sites in HKUST-1 accounts for ca. 54% of the volumetric acetylene uptake at room temperature. For CoMOF-74, 73% of the volumetric acetylene uptake is contributed by open Co(II) sites if each Co(II) site binds one acetylene molecule. Much like the gravimetric capacity, for the volumetric acetylene uptake of MgMOF-74 if all the open Mg(II) sites can be fully loaded with one acetylene molecule per each open Mg(II) site, the theoretical acetylene uptake by open metal sites is even larger than the experimental one at room temperature. As listed in Table 2 for most MOF materials, the volumetric acetylene uptake capacities contributed by open metal sites account for almost half of the acetylene uptakes or even higher. However, in FJI-H8, the open Cu(II) sites can only contribute 70 cm$^3$ out of the total 196 cm$^3$ volumetric acetylene storage capacity at 295 K and 1 atm. Comparatively, the volumetric acetylene uptake by the suitable pore space in FJI-H8 contributed to 64% of the whole amount, which is consistent with the gravimetric analysis aforementioned.

**The GCMC simulation.** Theoretically, the confirmation of acetylene adsorption sites within the MOF skeletons is very important for us to design new MOFs-based gas storage materials. The most intuitive method to get the confirmation of adsorbed acetylene molecules is single-crystal diffractions or the poly-crystal power diffractions$^{45,46}$. However, the above methods usually require high quality crystalline samples with high stability, which is not always available. On the other hand, theoretical simulation is a powerful tool that can give us a lot of useful information$^{19,47,48}$. To understand the acetylene-framework interactions, the acetylene adsorption property of FJI-H8 was studied by grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations$^{45,48}$. The calculated C$_2$H$_2$ adsorption isotherm is shown in Supplementary Fig. 10. As expected, the agreement between simulation and experiment of

---

**Table 2 | Contributions of open metal sites (OMSs) and pore space in acetylene uptakes for selected MOFs at room temperature and 1 atm for volumetric capacity in the unit of cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$.**

| Material       | Framework Density (g cm$^{-3}$)$^{\dagger}$ | OMS density (mmol cm$^{-3}$) | C$_2$H$_2$ uptake (cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$) | Density$^\dagger$ (g cm$^{-3}$) | P$^\dagger$ (MPa) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                |                                             |                             | By OMS                                      | By pore space                  | Sum             |
| FJI-H8         | 0.873                                       | 3.13                        | 70                                          | 126                           | 196             | 0.23           | 21.3           |
| FJI-H8$^\ddagger$ | 0.873                                       | 3.13                        | 70                                          | 105                           | 175             | 0.20           | 19.9           |
| HKUST-1 (ref. 19) | 0.879                                       | 4.36                        | 87                                          | 80                            | 177             | 0.21           | 19.3           |
| CoMOF-74 (ref. 20) | 1.169                                       | 7.49                        | 168                                         | 62                            | 230             | 0.27           | 25.1           |
| ZJU-5 (ref. 18) | 0.598                                       | 2.31                        | 52                                          | 63                            | 115             | 0.13           | 12.5           |
| MgMOF-74 (ref. 20) | 0.909                                       | 7.49                        | 168                                         | -                             | 167             | 0.19           | 18.2           |
| NOTT-101 (ref. 55) | 0.684                                       | 2.35                        | 53                                          | 73                            | 126             | 0.15           | 13.9           |
| ZJU-7 (ref. 56) | 0.750                                       | 2.60                        | 58                                          | 77                            | 135             | 0.16           | 14.7           |
| Cu-TDPAT (ref. 26) | 0.783                                       | 2.93                        | 66                                          | 73                            | 139             | 0.16           | 15.1           |
| PCN-16 (ref. 55) | 0.723                                       | 3.03                        | 68                                          | 59                            | 127             | 0.15           | 13.8           |

$^{\dagger}$The OMS density of MOFs was calculated based on the crystal information files.
$^{\ddagger}$The framework density was calculated from single-crystal X-ray data.
$^{\dagger}$Density of adsorbed C$_2$H$_2$ in bulk material.
$^{\ddagger}$Pressure of C$_2$H$_2$ at 295 K corresponding to the calculated density of adsorbed C$_2$H$_2$ in bulk material.
$^\ddagger$Data for FJI-H8 at 308 K.
$^*$The value of C$_2$H$_2$ uptake by OMS is larger than the sum value maybe because of the interaction between open Mg(II) sites and acetylene molecules are so weak that open Mg(II) sites cannot be fully loaded.
acetylene adsorption is almost perfect at pressures below 0.3 atm, whereas the uptake at higher pressures is slightly overestimated with a deviation of 7%. Furthermore, the calculated adsorption heat of 28.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) is also comparable to the experimental one.

The slice is viewed along the crystallographic \(a\) axis. The framework is displayed in capped sticks plot, and the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the open metal sites within MOFs materials usually play an important role in the high gas storage capacities due to the strong interactions between acetylene molecules and the metal sites. Supposing each open metal site binds one acetylene molecule, for most previously reported MOFs materials the acetylene uptake by open metal sites theoretically accounts for 60% of the whole amount, which is rarely seen in other reported MOFs. As is to be expected, the acetylene uptake by the pore space accounts for >60% of the whole amount, which is rarely seen in other reported MOFs. If the perfect adsorbent materials should have both high gravimetric uptake and high volumetric capacity. In practice, very few adsorbents including MOFs can meet this requirement. For example, CoMOF-74 shows the highest volumetric acetylene uptake of 230 cm\(^3\) (STP) cm\(^{-3}\) at 295 K and 1 atm; however, the gravimetric acetylene uptake of CoMOF-74 is only 197 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\) (ref. 20). Similarly, although the gravimetric acetylene capacity of HKUST-1 reaches up to 201 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\) at 295 K and 1 atm, it shows a volumetric acetylene uptake of 177 cm\(^3\) (STP) cm\(^{-3}\) (ref. 19). In our case, compared with the reported results measured at 295 K and 1 atm, FJI-H8 exhibits the highest acetylene uptake of 224 cm\(^3\) (STP) g\(^{-1}\) in gravimetric capacity, and also shows a high value of 196 cm\(^3\) (STP) cm\(^{-3}\) in terms of volumetric capacity, which is only lower than that of CoMOF-74, due to its lower crystal density. More importantly, high acetylene uptakes around room temperature, low decrease rate of acetylene uptakes in the temperature range from 273 to 308 K and excellent repeatability make FJI-H8 a suitable candidate for practical applications. Although MOF-based acetylene storage has not exhibited economical advantage to acetone-based one at this stage, the purity of acetylene stored in FJI-H8 is higher than that in acetone. Furthermore, since acetone is an explosive pollutant, the storage of acetylene by recyclable FJI-H8 is safer and cleaner. More importantly, the cost of MOF-based acetylene storage may decrease markedly if large-scale application is implemented. Therefore, the exploration of MOFs for safe and pure acetylene storage is significant not only for theoretical studies but also for practical applications.

In conclusion, FJI-H8 is a promising candidate for acetylene storage at around room temperature. The high acetylene uptake of FJI-H8 shows that not only open metal sites but also suitable pore space plays key roles in MOF-based acetylene storage. Our results shed light on the rational design and synthesis of new MOFs materials for acetylene storage based on the two factors above.

**Methods**

**Materials and equipment.** All reagents and solvents used in synthetic studies were commercially available and used as supplied without further purification. The ligand \(\text{H}_{2}\text{dadb}_{2}\) \((\text{H}_{2}\text{dadb}_{2} = 3,3',5,5'-\text{tetra/(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)-4,4'-dimethoxybiphenyl})\) was synthesized through the routine in the Supplementary Fig. 1. Elemental analyses for C, H and N were carried out on a German Elementary Vario EL III instrument. \(^1\)H NMR spectra were obtained on a Burker AVANCE 400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Mass-accurate match spectra were obtained using a DECAX-30000 LCQ Deca XP mass spectrometer with electro-spray ionization (ESI). The PXRD patterns were collected by a Rigaku Mini 600 X-ray diffractometer using Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54 \AA\)). Simulations of the PXRD spectrum are carried out by the single-crystal data and diffraction-crystal module of the Mercury program available free of charge via internet at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/mercury.

**Synthesis of 3,3',5,5'-tetrabromo-4,4'-biphenol (1).** Bromine (13.8 ml, 268.6 mmol) was rapidly added to a solution of 4,4'-biphenol (10.0 g, 54 mol) in methanol (400 ml). After 1 h of stirring, the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed sequentially with aqueous solutions of NaHC\(\text{O}_{3}\), Na\(\text{SO}_{4}\) and water. The resulting white powder was dissolved in acetone and dried over anhydrous Na\(\text{SO}_{4}\). Pure compound 1 was obtained by recrystallization in acetone (14.6 g, 54%). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(\text{3}\)) \(\delta = 9.93, 7.65\) (s, 4H) p.p.m.

**Synthesis of 3,3',5,5'-tetrabromo-4,4'-dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (2).** Compound 1 (4.0 g, 8 mmol), iodomethane (6.8 g, 48 mmol) and K\(\text{CO}_{3}\) (3.3 g, 24 mmol) were dissolved into acetonitrile (100 ml). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h and then cooled to room temperature. Acetonitrile was removed using rotary evaporator and the resulting mixture was poured into water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 100 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Mg\(\text{SO}_{4}\) and then the solvent was removed again using rotary evaporator. After purification by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane as eluent and evaporation of the fraction containing the product, compound 2 was obtained as a white powder. (2.98 g, 68%). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(\text{3}\)) \(\delta = 3.94, 7.65\) (s, 4H) p.p.m.

**Synthesis of 3,3',5,5'-tetra(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)-4,4'-dimethoxy-biphenyl (3).** Compound 2 (1.06 g, 2 mmol), diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborol-2-yl)-1,3-benzene dicarboxylate (4.18 g, 12 mmol), Cs\(\text{CO}_{3}\) (11.8 g, 36 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (0.092 g, 0.08 mmol) were added to a 500-ml schlenk flask charged with stir bar. The flask was pumped under vacuum and refilled with N\(_2\) for three times, and then 350 ml degassed 1,4-dioxane was transferred to the system and the reaction mixture was heated to 85°C for 72 h under N\(_2\) atmosphere. After the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, the compound was removed using rotary evaporator and the resulting mixture was poured into water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 100 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Mg\(\text{SO}_{4}\) and then the solvent was removed again using rotary evaporator. After purification by column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:5 v/v) as eluent and evaporation of the fraction containing the product, compound 3 was obtained as a pale yellow solid. (1.60 g, 73%). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(\text{3}\)) \(\delta = 1.44\) (t, 24H), 3.21 (s, 6H), 4.45 (q, 16H), 7.66 (s, 4H), 8.52 (s, 8H), 8.73 (s, 4H) p.p.m.
Synthesis of 3,5,5′-5′′-tetra[3,5-di(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4,4′-dimethoxy-biphenyl] (H₄tddb). Compound 3 (2.19 g, 4 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml of THF, to which 20 ml of 10 M NaOH aqueous solution was added. The mixture was stirred under reflux for 10 h, and then the organic solvent was removed using rotary evaporator. The aqeous phase was acidified to pH 2 using 6 M HCl aqueous solution. The resulting precipitate was recrystallized from acetonitrile, washed with water (200 ml) and dried under vacuum to afford H₄tddb. (1.58 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d₆) δ 3.10 (s, 6H), 7.97 (s, 4H), 8.43 (s, 4H), 8.51 (s, 8H), 13.40 (s, 8H) p.p.m.

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 167.0, 154.5, 139.0, 136.4, 134.5, 134.4, 132.0, 130.1, 129.4, 124.9, 121.9, 114.0 p.p.m. ESI-MS (ESI+ mode): calculated for C₆₀H₅₀O₂₂: 869.1. Found: 869.0.

Synthesis of FJI-H₈. Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (30 mg) and H₂tddb (10 mg) were dissolved in 1.5 ml of N,N-diethylformamide and 0.45 ml of water in a 25 ml pyrex vial, to which 25 μl of HCl were added. The mixture was heated in 85 °C oven for 3 h to yield 6 mg of blue–green crystals (yield: 65% based on H₂tddb). The crystals obtained were redissolved and washed with N,N-diethylformamide. Elemental analyses calculated (%) for Cu₄H₂O₂₆C₆₀H₄O₂₂: C 45.82, H 3.65.

Computational methods. To characterize the adsorption sites of acetylene on FJI-H₈, GCMC simulations were carried out using Sorption Module in Materials Studio50. All GCMC simulations included a 4,000,000-cycle equilibration period followed by a 4,000,000-cycle production run. Atoms in FJI-H₈ were held fixed at their crystallographic positions. The van der Waals interactions were represented using a LJ potential, applying Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules to calculate interactions between different atom types. An LJ cutoff distance of 12.5 Å was used for the simulations. The Ewald sum technique was used to compute the electrostatic interactions. Four unit cells of FJI-H₈ were used for the simulations. Acetylene isomers were simulated at 295 K up to 1.0 bar. GCMC simulations reported the absolute adsorption data, which were then used to compute the excess adsorption data for comparison with experimental data using the relation

\[ N_{\text{excess}} = N_{\text{total}} - V_p \rho, \]

where ρ is the bulk density of acetylene at simulation conditions. The density needed was calculated using the Peng–Robinson equation of state. Vp is the pore volume calculated by PLATON52.

X-ray data collection and structure determination of FJI-H₈. A blue–green block crystal of FJI-H₈ was taken directly from the mother liquor, transferred to oil and mounted into a loop. The crystal was kept at 100.0(1) K during data collection to a SuperNova diffractometer equipped with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) using an area detector. A monoclinic crystal structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on \( F^2 \) using SHELXTL package49. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model.

Low-pressure gas sorption measurements. Low-pressure (<1 bar) adsorption measurements were performed using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020-M surface area and pore size analyser. The fresh crystalline sample of FJI-H₈ was degassed under dynamic vacuum at 80 °C for 10 h after solvent exchange with methanol and then dichloromethane for 3 days each. A colour change from blue–green to deep purple–blue was observed during the activation process, which is attributed to the remove of terminal coordinated water of dicopper(II) paddlewheel SBU’s, thus indicating the generation of open metal sites in the framework. Low-pressure N₂ adsorption isotherms were measured at 77 K in a liquid nitrogen bath. The specific surface area were determined using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller model from the N₂ sorption data. Low-pressure acetylene adsorption isotherms were measured at 273, 293, 303 and 308 K. The isotherm heat of adsorption was calculated through the Clausius–Clapeyron equation using the four sets of acetylene adsorption data collected.
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