Study of Volatile Secondary Metabolites Present in *Piper carpunya* Leaves and in the Traditional Ecuadorian Beverage Guaviduca
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Abstract: *Piper carpunya* Ruiz & Pav. is an aromatic shrub native to Ecuador, the leaves of which are used to prepare the traditional beverage Guaviduca. Different health benefits are attributed to the guaviduca beverage, which is consumed as a traditional and folk medicine. In this study, fresh *P. carpunya* leaves were collected in the winter and summer and subjected to hydrodistillation for the extraction of the essential oil. The guaviduca beverage was prepared by infusion in water and the volatile compounds were isolated by liquid–liquid extraction. Chemical composition and enantioselective analyses were performed by gas chromatography. The antibacterial assay was assayed against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The scavenging radical properties of the essential oil were evaluated by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2′-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) assays. The acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity was measured using the spectrophotometric method. The chemical analysis allowed us to identify more than 98% of the compounds in all samples. The main constituent of the essential oil was 1,8-cineole (25.20 ± 1.31%) in *P. carpunya* collected in winter and (17.45 ± 2.33%) in *P. carpunya* collected in summer, while in the beverage, there was 14 mg/L. Safrole was identified in the essential oil (PCW 21.91 ± 2.79%; PCS 13.18 ± 1.72%) as well as in the beverage (2.43 ± 0.12 mg/L). Enantioselective analysis was used to investigate the enantiomeric ratio and excess of four chiral components. The essential oil presented a strong activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with a MIC of 500 µg/mL and a very strong anticholinesterase activity with an IC₅₀ of 36.42 ± 1.15 µg/mL.
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1. Introduction

Guaviduca is a traditional beverage from the Ecuadorian Amazon prepared by the members of the Shuar community. The guaviduca beverage is made with leaves of the *Piper carpunya* species through infusion. *Piper carpunya* is a species belonging to the Piperaceae family. The Piperaceae family comprises about 2658 species belonging to 13 genera [1], of which the genus *Piper* is the largest, made up of around 1450 species [2]. Many of these are used as culinary spices and in traditional medicine as a result of their different biological properties [3]. In Ecuador, 450 species of the Piperaceae family have been registered, of which 212 belong to the genus *Piper*, with 157 native and 61 endemic varieties [4,5].

*Piper carpunya* Ruiz & Pav. (syn *Piper lenticellosum* C.D.C.) is an aromatic shrub native to Ecuador and Peru. It is widely distributed between 0–2000 m a.s.l. in the Andean and Amazon region [4], and it is even found in the Ecuadorian coastal region [6]. The plant is popularly known as “Guaviduca,” and the traditional preparations of the fresh or dried...
leaves in infusions or decoctions are used in folk medicine for their anti-inflammatory, antiulcer, antidiarrheal, and antiparasitic effects and wound healing properties (dermatological activity) [7,8]. The anti-inflammatory activity has been confirmed in mice models, such as in carrageenan-induced paw edema [9,10]. This plant has been shown to protect against gastric ulcers induced by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) in rats [11]. In 2010, Quilez et al. concluded that the chemical compounds identified in P. carpunya are involved in the antisecretory, anti-inflammatory, and anti-Helicobacter pylori activities [12]. Previous phytochemical studies of Piper carpunya have reported the essential oil composition of the leaves and spikes [7,13]. These studies report compounds that may be harmful to human health. However, the presence of secondary metabolites in plant species changes due to variations in the ecological conditions, and genetic and environmental factors, the most important of these being temperature, moisture, soil, harvest period, geographical position, seasonal variations, and vegetative cycle [14]. The intrinsic and extrinsic factors are mainly related to the region where the species grow and the time of year in which the species are collected. The results obtained in previous studies support the use of P. carpunya in traditional medicine. However, there are no reports concerning the chemical compounds present in the guaviduca beverage prepared with the leaves of this species. This led us to investigate the chemical composition and enantiomeric distribution of the essential oil extracted from the P. carpunya species collected in winter and summer and also, the chemical composition from the volatile compounds present in this Ecuadorian beverage. Moreover, the antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anticholinesterase effects were assed for the volatile secondary metabolites present in Piper carpunya leaves.

2. Results

Through hydrodistillation in a Clevenger-type apparatus, we obtained ~40 mL of essential oil (EO) from 5000 g of Piper carpunya, which represents a yield of 0.81 ± 0.03% (v/w) (a mean of nine samples: three distillations x three collections) or 8.1 mL/Kg, which is considered as an intermediate yield [15], in reference to fresh plant material (68 ± 4% of moisture). The essential oil was a viscous liquid of subjective greenish-yellow color (RGB values of R:154, G:205, B:50 and CMYK values of C:0.25, M:0, Y:0.76, K:0.2). The essential oil’s relative density was \(d_{20} = 0.9321 \pm 0.0039\), its refractive index was \(n_{20} = 1.4877 \pm 0.0010\), and the specific rotation was \([\alpha]_{D20} = 3.5 \pm 0.1^\circ\) (dextro-rotatory).

2.1. Chemical Composition

The identification of compounds present in the Piper carpunya essential oil collected in the Ecuadorian Amazon and in the guaviduca beverage was carried out using mass spectral (MS) and retention index (RI) data. The results are summarized in Table 1. Considering that the guaviduca beverage is prepared and consumed throughout the year, the essential oil of the species collected in winter and summer was studied. The collections were performed every 15 days during two months for each season, in that way the variation in chemical composition was the greatest possible.

Thirty-four individual compounds were detected in the EO of P. carpunya collected in winter (PCW) and thirty compounds in the EO of the species collected in summer (PCS), which represent 98.86% and 98.26% of the total essential oil, respectively. Monoterpenes (hydrocarbons and oxygenates) were the most representative group with 83.38% in the PCW and 95.76% in PCS essential oil. In the PCW EO, eleven out of thirty-four compounds were oxygenated monoterpenes (OXM), with a contribution of 59.94%, nine were aliphatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ALM), which represent 23.45% of the total, sesquiterpenes (hydrocarbons and oxygenates) represent about 14%, and it was not possible to determine the presence of aromatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ARM). In the PCS EO, ALM compounds represent 55.58% and the OXM compounds 35.45%. Furthermore, a low amount of aliphatic sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (ALS) (1.93%) and traces of oxygenated sesquiterpene (OXS) were identified.
Table 1. Chemical composition of volatile compounds from *Piper carpunya* leaves collected in winter (PCW) and summer (PCS) and from the guaviduca beverage.

| Peak | Compound | RI | RI ref | PCW | PCW | PCS | PCS | Beverage | Type | CF | MM (Da) |
|------|----------|----|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|------|----|--------|
| 1    | α-Thujene | 926 | 924    | -   | -   | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.21     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 2    | α-Pinene  | 932 | 932    | 2.65 | 0.34 | 4.71 | 0.44 | 0.79     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 3    | Sabinene  | 969 | 969    | -   | -   | 1.55 | 0.04 | 0.61     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 4    | β-Pinene  | 973 | 974    | 2.39 | 0.32 | 3.63 | 0.01 | 0.55     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 5    | Myrcene   | 986 | 988    | 0.70 | 0.09 | 1.62 | 0.16 | 0.61     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 6    | δ-2-Carene| 1004| 1001   | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.01 | -        | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 7    | α-Terpine| 1013| 1014   | 5.90 | 0.44 | 8.93 | 1.29 | 1.83     | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 8    | p-Cymene  | 1021| 1020   | -   | -   | 4.72 | 0.41 | 1.23     | ARM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 134.10 |
| 9    | β-Phellandrene | 1026 | 1025 | -   | -   | 4.63 | 1.20 | -        | ALM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 10   | 1,8-Cineole| 1029| 1026 | 25.20 | 1.31 | 17.45 | 0.44 | 0.72     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}O\) | 154.14 |
| 11   | (Z)-β-Ocimene | 1034| 1032 | 3.40 | 0.32 | 9.45 | 1.74 | 1.77     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 12   | (E)-β-Ocimene | 1045| 1044 | 5.66 | 0.53 | 15.50 | 2.53 | 2.67     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 13   | γ-Terpine| 1055| 1054   | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.01 | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 14   | cis-Sabinene hydrate | 1063| 1065 | 0.34 | 0.02 | -   | -   | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}O\) | 154.14 |
| 15   | Terpinolene | 1082| 1086 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.01 | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 136.13 |
| 16   | Linalool  | 1099| 1095   | 1.06 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.05 | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}O\) | 154.14 |
| 17   | Chrysanthene | 1121| 1124 | 1.35 | 0.05 | -   | -   | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 150.10 |
| 18   | Camphor   | 1140| 1141   | 0.15 | 0.01 | -   | -   | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 152.12 |
| 19   | Terpinen-4-ol | 1170| 1174 | 1.60 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.86     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}\) | 154.14 |
| 20   | α-Terpineol| 1195| 1186   | 3.15 | 0.16 | 1.36 | 0.41 | 0.15     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}\) | 154.13 |
| 21   | Ascariode | 1239| 1234   | 2.35 | 0.14 | 1.04 | 0.32 | 0.88     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{18}O_2\) | 168.12 |
| 22   | Cumin aldehyde | 1242| 1238 | -   | -   | 0.18 | 0.06 | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{12}O\) | 148.09 |
| 23   | Carvone   | 1244| 1239   | -   | -   | 0.43 | 0.07 | >0.1     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 150.10 |
| 24   | Piperitone | 1248| 1249 | 0.26 | 0.03 | -   | -   | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{16}\) | 152.12 |
| 25   | α-Terpinen-7-al | 1280| 1283 | 0.09 | 0.03 | -   | -   | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 150.10 |
| 26   | Safrole   | 1291| 1285   | 21.91 | 2.79 | 13.18 | 1.72 | 2.43     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{10}O_2\) | 162.07 |
| 27   | Thymol    | 1294| 1289   | -   | -   | 0.47 | 0.06 | -        | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 150.10 |
| 28   | Carvacrol | 1301| 1298   | -   | -   | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.79     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{14}\) | 150.10 |
| 29   | (Z)-Methyl cinnamate | 1304| 1299 | 2.48 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.59     | OXM  | C\(_{10}H_{10}O_2\) | 162.07 |
| 30   | Thymol acetate | 1346| 1349 | 0.28 | 0.14 | tr | - | - | - | OTC | C\(_{12}H_{16}O_2\) | 192.12 |
| 31   | trans-Caryophyllene | 1405| 1417 | 0.62 | 0.27 | - | - | - | ALS | C\(_{15}H_{24}\) | 204.19 |
| 32   | ρ-Cymen-7-ol acetate | 1421| 1421 | 1.45 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 0.14     | OTC  | C\(_{12}H_{16}O_2\) | 192.12 |
| 33   | α-Humulene | 1451| 1452 | 0.25 | 0.10 | - | - | - | ALS | C\(_{15}H_{24}\) | 204.19 |
| 34   | (E)-β-Farnesene | 1459| 1454 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.01 | >0.1     | ALS  | C\(_{15}H_{24}\) | 204.19 |
| Peak | Compound                      | RI  | RI<sup>ref</sup> | PCW %<sup>a</sup> | SD  | PCS %<sup>a</sup> | SD  | Beverage | Type | CF  | MM  |
|------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|----------|------|-----|-----|
| 35   | Germacrene D                  | 1476| 1480             | 6.67              | 0.44| 0.92              | 0.23| 0.21     | ALS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 204.19 |
| 36   | Bicyclogermacrene             | 1495| 1500             | 3.58              | 0.23| 0.85              | 0.41| >0.1     | ALS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 204.19 |
| 37   | (E, E)-α-Farnesene            | 1503| 1505             | 0.38              | 0.03| -                 | -   | -        | ALS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 204.19 |
| 38   | β-Sesquiphellandrene          | 1519| 1521             | 0.12              | 0.01| -                 | -   | -        | ALS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 204.19 |
| 39   | δ-Cadinene                    | 1521| 1522             | 0.27              | 0.02| -                 | -   | -        | ALS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 204.19 |
| 40   | (E)-Nerolidol                 | 1557| 1561             | tr                | -   | tr                | >0.1| -        | OXS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O | 222.20 |
| 41   | Spathulenol                   | 1573| 1577             | 1.18              | 0.10| tr                | -   | -        | OXS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | 220.18 |
| 42   | Carotol                       | 1590| 1594             | 0.48              | 0.19| -                 | -   | -        | OXS  | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O | 222.20 |

Aliphatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ALM) 23.45 55.58
Aromatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (ARM) - 4.72
Oxygenated monoterpenes (OXM) 59.94 35.45
Aliphatic sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (ALS) 12.09 1.93
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OXS) 1.66 tr
Other compounds (OTC) 1.72 0.57
Total identified 98.86 98.26

<sup>a</sup> Mean of nine determinations; RI: calculated retention indices; RI<sup>ref</sup>: references retention indices; tr: trace (<0.05%); SD: standard deviation; -: not detected; CF: Chemical Formula; MM: Monoisotopic mass.
The main constituent of the essential oil was 1,8-cineole (CAS 470-82-6) 25.20 ± 1.31% in PCW and 17.45 ± 2.33% in PCS. Safrole (CAS 94-59-7) was the second compound with the highest percentage in the PCW oil with 21.91 ± 2.79%, and the third in the PCS oil with 13.18 ± 1.72%. The other main compounds were germacrene D (6.67 ± 0.44), α-terpinene (5.90 ± 0.44), and (E)-β-ocimene (5.66 ± 0.53) in the PCW oil, and (E)-β-ocimene (15.50 ± 2.53), (Z)-β-ocimene (9.45 ± 1.74), and α-Terpineol (8.93 ± 1.29) in the PCS EO. In the guaviduca beverage, compounds of the same chemical nature (monoterpens and sesquiterpenes) as in the PCW and PCS essential oil samples were determined. The compounds with the highest abundance were 1,8-cineole with a concentration of around 14 mg/L, (E)-β-ocimene at 2.67 ± 0.13 mg/L, and safrole at 2.43 ± 0.12 mg/L.

2.2. Enantioselective Analysis

The enantioselective analysis was performed using 2,3-diethyl-6-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-β-cyclodextrin as a chiral selector [16,17]. Sabinene, phellandrene, linalool, and α-terpineol enantiomeric pairs were identified. The respective enantiomeric distribution and enantiomeric excess (e.e.) is presented in Table 2. In *Piper carinypa* EO, (1R, 5R)-(++)-Sabinene is the enantiomer with the highest enantiomeric distribution (93.3%) and an enantiomeric excess of 86.5%. The enantiomeric composition of essential oil can be used as a powerful tool for detecting adulterations [18]. These results further confirm that chiral secondary metabolites are often present in plants as enantiomeric mixtures.

Table 2. Enantioselective analysis of various chiral constituents of essential oil from *Piper carinypa*.

| Enantiomers          | RT  | RI  | Enantiomeric Distribution | e.e. |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----------------------------|------|
|                      | min | min | %                          | %    |
| (1R,5R)-(++)-Sabinene| 7.6 | 968 | 93.3                       | 86.5 |
| (1S,5S)-(--)-Sabinene| 7.83| 973 | 6.7                        |      |
| (R)-(--)-α-Phellandrene| 17.09| 1019| 78.3                       | 56.6 |
| (S)-(++)-α-Phellandrene| 18.64| 1026| 21.7                       |      |
| (S)-(++)-linalool    | 24.54| 1107| 76.6                       | 53.1 |
| (R)-(--)-Linalool    | 24.68| 1109| 23.4                       |      |
| (R)-(++)-α-Terpineol| 30.56| 1187| 70.0                       |      |
| (S)-(--)-α-Terpineol| 30.74| 1189| 30.0                       | 40.0 |

RT, Retention time; e.e. = enantiomeric excess.

2.3. Antimicrobial Activity

Considering that the compounds present in the essential oil exert synergistic or antagonistic effects, which produces a net activity [19], the antibacterial and antioxidant activities were determined as an estimate of their biological activity. The microdilution broth method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against bacteria of the essential oils obtained from leaves of *P. carinypa*. The antimicrobial activity values are shown in Table 3.

2.4. Antioxidant Capacity

*P. carinypa* essential oil was assessed for its antioxidant capacity using DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging assays. The antioxidant capacity was expressed as SC_{50}, as a measure of the scavenging potential of the essential oil. The use of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and Trolox as positive control allowed us to compare this value (Table 4).
Table 3. Antibacterial activity of essential oil from *Piper carpunya*, given as minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC, µg/mL).

| Microorganism                  | *P. carpunya* | Positive Control |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
|                                | MIC (µg/mL)  a|                  |
| Gram-negative bacteria         |               |                  |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) | >1000         | 15.6             |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 9997) | 500           | 1.95             |
| *Proteus vulgaris* (ATCC 8427)  | >1000         | 3.91             |
| *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) | >1000         | 1.95             |
| *Salmonella typhimurium* (LT2)  | >1000         | 1.95             |
| Gram-positive bacteria         |               |                  |
| *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212) | >1000         | 7.81             |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) | >1000         | 7.81             |

a Mean of nine determinations.

Table 4. Antioxidant activity of essential oils of *Piper carpunya*.

| Sample                          | DPPH  SC₅₀ * (µg/mL) | ABTS  SC₅₀ * (µg/mL) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Essential oil                   | >1000                | >1000                |
| BHT                             | 440 ± 30             | 840 ± 30             |
| Trolox                           | 450 ± 50             | 650 ± 20             |

*IC₅₀ = Inhibition Concentration of 50%.

Through the DPPH method, the essential oils studied showed little antioxidant activity (12% of radical scavenge to 1000 ppm), and it was not possible to determine the SC₅₀ values (>1000 µg/mL) at those concentrations. The SC₅₀ for BHT and Trolox was close, but BHT (SC₅₀ = 440 ± 30 µg/mL) was the most efficient. Employing the ABTS technique, an inhibition of 22% was obtained with 1000 ppm; however, the IC₅₀ not was reached at the concentration ranges tested (Figure 1).

2.5. Anticholinesterase Activity

The *P. carpunya* essential oil was assessed for its anticholinesterase potential by measuring the rate of reaction of AChE against three different concentrations of the essential oil (Figure 2). The IC₅₀ value obtained for guaviduca EO was 36.42 ± 1.15 µg/mL, which can be considered to be very strong as compared with the related EO from *Piper* spp., such as *P. betle*, *P. asutrosinense*, *P. puberulum*, *P. flaviflorum*, and *P hispidimervium*, in which the inhibition of AChE ranged from 12.4 mg/mL to 1.5 mg/mL as reported by Xiang et al. [20]. Donepezil exhibited an IC₅₀ value of 13.80 ± 1.01 nM. In traditional Ayurvedic and Chinese medicine practices, plants have been extensively used for cognitive disorder treatments, including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), a neurodegenerative disorder characterized mainly by impaired memory and behavior. According to the cholinergic hypothesis, memory impairment in patients suffering AD results from a deficit in cholinergic function in the brain [21]. Thus, the most relevant and successful approach was the inhibition of Cholinesterases to increase the levels of Acetylcholine. To date, only tacrine, donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine have been approved by the FDA for AD symptomatic treatment [22] and only DON (donezepil), RIV (rivastigmine), and GAL (galantamine) are strictly known as cholinesterase inhibitors.
In vivo anticholinesterase activity of *Piper carpunya* essential oil expressed as log (concentration essential oil (EO)) vs. normalized response rate of reaction to calculate IC\(_{50}\) value.

Figure 1. Antioxidant activity of *Piper carpunya* essential oil.
3. Discussion

Various compounds identified in the present investigation, such as 1,8-cineole (13.0%), safrole (14.9%), and spatulenol (9.8%), were also identified as major compounds in a study carried out on essential oil from leaves of *P. carpunya* species grown in the Peruvian Amazon [13] (the stage is not specified), although, with different percentages. In 2019, Ballesteros et al. determined that *P. carpunya* essential oil collected in the balsamic period (before flowering) in the Kutukutu Experimental Station, Morona Santiago province, Ecuador, is mainly composed of piperitone (26.2%). According to reports, this oil only contains 2.2% of safrole and 4% of 1,8-cineole [7]. Piperitone (33.97%), 1,8 cineole (11.92%), limonene (11.07%), and safrole (8.18%) were identified as major constituents of an essential oil of this species collected in July (the stage is not specified) in Guayas, an Ecuadorian coastal province [6]. The chemical composition of the essential oils of the same species varies in terms of intrinsic and extrinsic factors [14]. For this reason, the chemical composition of the compounds in the *P. carpunya* leaves was determined as the source of the compounds present in the beverage.

The compounds present in the essential oil, such as 1,8-cineole, β-ocimene (E and Z), safrole, and others (Table 1), are also present, in different concentrations, in the guaviduca beverage. However, the composition of the beverage is more closely related to the composition of the essential oil extracted from the leaves collected in the summer, which shows that the compounds present in the beverage are similar qualitatively to those in the leaves used to make it. In the case of the guaviduca beverage, which is consumed mainly for its anti-inflammatory properties, 1,8-cineole (eucalyptol) is present, which, due to its anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, broncholytic, and mucolytic properties, is used to treat diseases of the respiratory tract [23]. In 2004, Santos [24] stated that 1,8-cineole caused repletion of glutathione and reduced myeloperoxidase activity, which causes reduction of colon inflammation in rats, confirming that 1,8-cineole has an anti-inflammatory action and suggesting its potential use in the prevention of ulceration and gastrointestinal inflammation as a dietary flavoring agent. 1,8-Cineole produced a notable increase in glucuronyltransferase activity when it was given via food/water to rats for 4 days or by aerosol for 5–8 days in doses of 500 mg/kg/day or 1000 mg/kg/day. This increase returned to normal after 20 days [19]. This effect was also studied by Yokota et al. in 1988 [25]. In their study, Yokota et al. established that eugenol dose-dependently increased levels of hepatic UDP-glucuronyltransferase when given in the diet at 1%, 3%, or 5% for 23 days. The antilulcer, anti diarrheal, and antiparasitic capacity associated with the guaviduca beverage may be due to the presence of β-ocimene (E and Z), which is associated with EOs with anticonvulsant activity, antifungal activity, antitumor activity, and pest resistance [26–29].

In *P. carpunya* EO and in the beverage prepared with this species, compounds with medicinal properties, such as those mentioned above, are present; however, compounds that are harmful to human health, such as safrole, are also present. In scientific reports, safrole is considered a human carcinogen based on sufficient evidence of hepatocarcinogenic in rats and mice. The US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) has evaluated the carcinogenicity of safrole and classifies it as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group B2: the agent (mixture) is possibly carcinogenic to humans). The major toxicities of safrole and isosafrole come from their carcinogenic nature after the oxidation of the allyl side chain and the oxidation of the methylenedioxy group [30]. Safrole is oxidized into 1-hydroxysafrole, isosafrole, and dihydrosafrole, which are all carcinogenic (Bogusz, 2008) and have been found to modify DNA covalently [31]. The effects of safrole can differ due to the exposure conditions, e.g., short-term vs. chronic toxicity [30]. Safrole cannot be used as fragrance ingredient: the International Fragrance Association (IFRA) recommends that the essential oils containing safrole should not be used at levels in which the total concentration exceeds 0.01% in consumer products [19,32]. The use of natural substances containing safrole is regulated by legal restriction, e.g., in Europe, a maximum concentration of 1 ppm in food and beverages is allowed [33]; in the USA, it has been prohibited in soft drinks in
since 1970s; it is allowed in China (State Food and Drug Administration of China, SFDA of China) in concentrations below 1 mg/L [34].

Regarding the in vitro antimicrobial activity of natural products, in 2017, Van Vuuren and Holl suggested a classification scale for extracts and essential oil [35]. In summary, for essential oils, the activity is classified as very strong when MIC is ≤100 µg/mL, strong when MIC is between 101 and 500 µg/mL, and moderate for values of MIC between 500 to 1000 µg/mL. On the basis of these criteria, the essential oil from *P. carpunya* presented a strong activity against Gram-negative bacterium *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 9997) with a MIC of 500 µg/mL. However, according to Cos et al., to correct for the many false positives, for all anti-infective bioassays, the IC₅₀ valid values should be lower than 100 µg/mL for mixtures of compounds and lower than 25 µM in the case of pure compounds [36]. Additionally, the essential oil was tested against two Gram-positive bacteria, but the EO did not show activity at the maximum concentration tested (1000 µg/mL). The loss of activity is frequently caused for the phenomenon of additive or synergistic effects in mixtures or extracts [36].

The antibacterial capacity against *K. pneumoniae* of the *P. carpunya* EO may be due to its high concentration in 1,8-cineole, which has been reported as a compound with antibacterial capacity [37]. Furthermore, 1,8-cineole showed significant levels of synergistic interaction when combined with antimicrobial compounds such as chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Candida albicans* [38]. On the other hand, it has been determined that essential oils with a high safrole content (75–85%) such as that extracted from *Piper xylosteoides* [39] and that from *Piper hispidinervum* [40,41] present weak activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Azuero, et al. [42] evaluated the antimicrobial effect of methanolic extracts of *P. carpunya* leaves and determined a high antibacterial effect against *E. coli* and a weak effect against *P. aeruginosa*; however, no effect against *S. aureus* was determined. In the same study, *P. carpunya* showed a high fungicidal action against *C. albicans*. Quílez et al. [12] isolated different compounds from an ethanolic extract, and the identified compounds were reported to present antibacterial activity [43–45].

The absence of antioxidant activity in the DPPH assay, for the essential oil in this study, may be explained by the fact that terpene compounds are not capable of donating a hydrogen atom [46]. However, in the investigations of antioxidant activity of lipophilic substances, the ABTS method has been proven to be appropriate for essential oils [47]. The results of this study indicate that the activity of compounds with proven in vivo antioxidant activity, such as 1,8-cineole [48], are being antagonized by other compounds present in the essential oil, since the EO did not present antioxidant activity in the in vitro test, which is an assay directly related to the nature of the compound (the compound must not be metabolized) [49] and of which the mechanism is known [50]. We suggest that the absence of in vitro antioxidant activity in *P. carpunya* essential oils mainly consisting of monoterpene and sesquiterpene, which have antioxidant activity, may be due to antagonism with other compounds.

On the other hand, in vitro and in vivo assays showed that the *P. carpunya* extract affects the action of neutrophils, reducing the release of myeloperoxidase enzymes which are involved in the pro-inflammatory activity. In addition, *P. carpunya* extract was involved in the preservation of antioxidant enzyme activity in mucosa exposed to damage [11]. Quílez et al. reported chemical compounds such as dihydrochalcones, isovitexin, and vitexin, isolated from *P. carpunya*, which have potent antioxidant free radical scavenging activities. Antioxidant activity is one of the proposed mechanisms of action for establishing anti-inflammatory properties [12]. Ballesteros et al. reported a weak radical scavenging ability (IC₅₀ 159.80 ± 3.4 µg/mL DPPH assay) of the *P. carpunya* essential oil. The IC₅₀ value of pure compounds was higher, indicating that this activity could correspond to the mixture with the minor compounds. Thus, it changes with the composition of the essential oil [7].
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

Dichloromethane, sodium sulfate anhydrous, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydral (DPPH), 2,2′-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), acethylthiocholine (AcSCh, SIGMA-O1480), phosphate buffered saline (PBS, SIGMAP4417), 5,5′-Dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, SIGMA-D218200), acetylcholinesterase (AChE, SIGMA-C3389), donepezil (SIGMA-D6821), methanol (MeOH), tris hydrochloride (Tris-HCl), and magnesium chloride hexahydrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Aliphatic hydrocarbons standard was purchased from CHEM SERVICE under the name Diesel Range Organics Mixture #2-GRO/DRO, with the code M-TPH6X4-1ML. Helium was purchased from INDURA Ecuador. Microbiological media as Sabourad and Mueller Himton Broth were purchased from DIPCO. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was obtained from Fisher. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purifications.

4.2. Plant Material

The collection of the species was performed with the authorization (N° 001-IC-FLO-DBAP-VS-DRLZCH-MA) of the Ministerio del Ambiente de Ecuador (MAE), in the surroundings of the Shuar community “El Kiim” of the La Paz parish, Yacuambi canton, Zamora Chinchipe province (Ecuadorian Amazon), at a latitude of 3° 47′ 06″ S and a longitude of 78° 54′ 16″ W (Figure 3). The collection was performed in the months of February–March (in winter; Ecuador only has two seasons) and October–November (summer) at an altitude of 1025 m a.s.l. The samples collected in winter were in the fruiting stage and were identified as PCW; the samples collected in the summer in the foliation stage were designated as PCS. Airtight plastic containers were used for storage and transfer of the plant material until its use. The collection and transfer temperature was 20–24 °C (room temperature), and the pressure was around 90 KPa (room pressure).

Figure 3. Collection sector of the Piper carpunya species in the Ecuadorian Amazon.

The botanical identification of specimens was performed at the herbarium of the “Universidad Nacional de Loja” by Dr. Bolivar Merino. A voucher specimen number PPN-pi-010 is preserved in the Herbarium of the Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja.
The method AOAC 930.04-1930, Loss on drying (Moisture) in plants, was used to determine the moisture of plant material.

4.3. Essential Oil Isolation

The material was processed fresh, immediately after arriving at the laboratory, between 2 h and 4 h after being collected. The plant material was submitted to hydrodistillation for 4 h in a Clevenger-type apparatus. Subsequently, moisture was removed from the collected essential oil by the addition of anhydrous sodium sulphate, and finally, it was stored in amber-sealed vials at 4 °C to protect it from light until being used in the subsequent analysis [51].

4.4. Determination of Physical Properties of Essential Oil

Three physical properties were determined for the essential oil: relative density, refractive index, and optical rotation. The standard AFNOR NF T 75-111 [52] (equivalent to the standard ISO 279:1998) was used to determine the relative density, the standard AFNOR NF T 75-112 [52] (similar to ISO 279:1998) for the refractive index, and the standard method ISO 592:1998 for optical rotation. For relative density, an analytical balance (model Mettler AC 100, ±0.0001) and a pycnometer (1 mL) were used; for refraction index, a refractometer (model ABBE) was used; and for optical rotation, an automatic polarimeter (model Mrc-P810) was used. Measurements were performed at 20 °C and repeated three times.

4.5. Elaboration of the Beverage

The preparation of the guaviduca beverage was carried out according to the indications of the members of the El Kiim community. We placed 32 g of fresh guaviduca leaves (between two and three leaves of PCS) into 1 L of boiling water. The cooking process was immediately stopped (infusion), then the leaves were mixed with the water, and allowed to cool in a closed container. The container was periodically shaken lightly. The infusion rested until it reached room temperature (20 °C) and was then filtered through a sieve mesh (850 µm) (No. 20 ASTM E11). The liquid fraction was stored in airtight amber containers at 4 °C (refrigeration) until being used in the subsequent analysis.

4.6. Extraction of Compounds from Beverage

For the isolation of the compounds, 300 mL of the beverage was placed in a settling funnel, and 4.5 mL of dichloromethane (1.5% v/v solution) was added. The solution was gently shaken for one full minute every 10 min. After 1 h, the non-aqueous fraction was decanted, collected in amber vials, and refrigerated until being used in the subsequent analysis.

4.7. Compounds Identification

The quantitative and qualitative identification of the volatile secondary metabolites present in Piper carpunya leaves and guaviduca beverage was carried out using gas chromatography (GC). Quantitative analyses were carried out using an Agilent gas chromatograph (model 6890N series) equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC/FID) according to the procedure described by Valarezo et al. [53]. The relative amounts of individual components were calculated based on the GC peak area (FID response) without using a correction factor. For quantitative analysis, an external calibration curve was used. The calibration curve was obtained by injecting six dilutions of limonene. The dilutions were obtained by diluting 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 5, and 10 mg of limonene to 10 mL with dichloromethane. The calibration curve achieved a $R^2 > 0.99$. Qualitative analyses were performed using an Agilent gas chromatograph (model 6890N series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a mass spectrometer (quadrupole) detector (model Agilent series 5973 inert) (GC/MS) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) as per the procedures described earlier by Valarezo et al. [53]. Identification of the constituents was carried out by comparing the retention index (RI) and mass spectral data (MS) with those in the
literature [54–56]. For qualitative and quantitative analyses, an automatic injector (Agilent 7683 automatic liquid sampler, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and a non-polar column (J&W DB-5mis Ultra Inert GC column: 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were used.

4.8. Enantioselective Analysis

For enantioselective analysis, an Agilent gas chromatograph (model 6890N series) was used coupled to a mass spectrometer detector (quadrupole model Agilent series 5973 inert) using an enantioselective column with a stationary phase (2,3-diethyl-6-tert-butyldimethylsilyle-β-cyclodextrin). The chromatographic run was performed with a temperature ramp of 2 °C/min from 50 °C (mainatined for 2 min) to 220 °C (maintained for 2 min).

4.9. Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity was determined against five Gram-negative bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 9997), Proteus vulgaris (ATCC 8427), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), Salmonella typhimurium (LT2)) and two Gram-positive bacteria (Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 29212) and Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923)), according to the procedure previously described by Valarezo et al. [57]. The bacterial strains were incubated in Müeller–Hinton (MH) broth and DMSO was used to dissolve the essential oil. Gentamicine was used as a positive control for P. aeruginosa, K. pneumonia, P. vulgaris, E. coli, S. aureus, and ampicilline for E. faecalis and S. typhimurium. DMSO was used as a negative control and the results are reported as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (the lowest concentration of sample capable of inhibiting all visible signs of growth of the microorganism).

4.10. Antioxidant Capacity

4.10.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity of oils was measured based on the scavenging activity of the stabilized 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydryl radical.

The procedure was performed according to Brand Williams et al. [58] and Thaipong et al. [59]. The method consists in the preparation of a stable solution of DPPH with methanol until the absorbance is adjusted to 1.1 ± 0.02 units at a wavelength of 515 nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S UV .Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For the antiradical evaluation, 2850 µL of DPPH solution were allowed to react with 150 µL of P. carpunya essential oil at different concentrations, at room temperature and protected from light for 24 h. After that, the final absorbance was measured at the same wavelength. The same procedure was followed for positive controls BHT and Trolox, while methanol was used as a blank control.

The free radical-scavenging capacity was expressed as percentage and was calculated by: 
\[ R(\%) = [(A_s - A_i)/A_s]*100\% \]
where Ai represents the final absorbance of the DPPH after reacting with essential oil or positive controls, and As is the absorbance of the DPPH reaction with the blank control. The plotting of R (%) against the concentration of the essential oil allowed us to identify the oil concentration that provided 50% scavenging effect (SC50) of DPPH free radicals. All measurements were performed in triplicate and reported as the average value.

4.10.2. ABTS Radical Cation Scavenging Activity

The ABTS antioxidant method was performed according the modifications of Thaipong et al. [59] to the initial procedure described by Arnao et al. [60]. Briefly, the ABTS radical cation (ABTS•+) was produced after the reaction for 12 h of equal volumes of two stock solutions (7.4 µM ABTS and 2.6 µM potassium persulfate). After that, an ABTS standard solution was prepared by dissolution in methanol until reaching an absorbance of 1.1 ± 0.02, at a wavelength of 734 nm. The antiradical evaluation was evaluated when 2850 µL of the
ABTS standard solution was allowed to react with 150 µL of the *P. carpunya* essential oil at different concentrations, at room temperature, and protected from light for 2 h. Then, the final absorbance was registered. Similar to the DPPH analysis, BHT and Trolox were used as positive controls, while deionized water was used as blank control. ABTS radical scavenging activity was calculated by: \( SA(\%) = \frac{(A_0 - A_i)}{A_0} \times 100\% \), where \( A_0 \) represents the absorbance of the ABTS•+ reaction with the blank control, and \( A_i \) is the final absorbance of ABTS•+ after reacting with the essential oil or positive controls. The SC\( \text{S}_50 \) was determined by comparing the graph of SA (%) against the concentration of essential oil. Every analysis was performed in triplicate and the average value was reported.

### 4.11. Anticholinesterase Activity

The AChE inhibitory activity was measured using the spectrophotometric method developed by Ellman, et al. [61], with slight modifications as suggested by Rhee, et al. [62]. Acetylthiocholine was used as the substrate to detect the inhibition of AChE. The reaction mixture contained 40 µL of Buffer C (Tris–HCl, 50 mM, pH 8, containing 0.1 M of sodium chloride and 0.02 M of magnesium chloride hexahydrate), 20 µL of the tested sample solution, 20 µL of AcSCh (15 mM, PBS pH 7.4), and 100 µL of DTNB (3 Mm, dissolved in Buffer C). The mixture was pre-incubated for 3 min at 25 °C. Finally, the enzymatic reaction was started with the addition of 20 µL of 0.5 U/mL AChE (137 U/mg solid) and then incubated at 25 °C for 30 min. The amount of product released was monitored in an EPOCH 2 (BIOTEK®) microplate reader every 1 min at 405 nm. All reactions were performed in triplicate in a 96-well microplate. Tested sample solutions from the essential oil were made by dissolving 10 mg in 1 mL MeOH. Two more dilutions were included in MEOH (10× dilution) to obtain final concentrations (1000, 100, and 10 µg/mL) of essential oil in MeOH. The IC\( \text{S}_50 \) value was calculated by curve fitting of data (non-linear regression analysis, PRISM 8.0.1, GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Donepezil was used as positive control. Any increase in absorbance because of spontaneous hydrolysis of the substrate was corrected by subtracting the absorbance at the end of pre-incubation from the absorbance measured after the addition of the enzyme. The IC\( \text{S}_50 \) value was measured from the corresponding rate of the reaction curve with Graph Pad v8.0.1.5.

### 5. Conclusions

A complete characterization of the chemical composition, enantioselective analysis, physical properties, and antimicrobial, antioxidant, and AChE inhibitor activities was conducted for *Piper carpunya* essential oil. The results show that the main compounds are present in the essential oil whether it is made from leaves collected in winter or summer. The compounds identified in the guaviduca beverage were volatile secondary metabolites, which are of the same nature as those present in the essential oil. A total of 34 compounds were identified in the EO collected in winter and thirty compounds in the EO collected in summer, while in the beverage there were twenty-three volatile compounds. The chemical compounds found in the PCW and PCS were mainly grouped into oxygenated monoterpenes and aliphatic monoterpenic hydrocarbons. The presence of safrole in the beverage was lower than in the essential oil, with a concentration of 2.43 ± 0.12 mg/L; however, this level is higher than the recommendations in Europe and China (a maximum of 1 ppm). This study of the chemical volatile compounds present in *P. carpunya* and in the guaviduca beverage contributes to our knowledge concerning the use traditional of this species. Despite the fact that guaviduca beverage contains beneficial compounds such as 1,8-cineole and β-ocimene, also contains safrole, which is a compound that is harmful to health. It was determined that safrole is present in beverage in an amount not acceptable for the international committees (US EPA, IFRA, SFDA of China, etc.). Further studies should be conducted to determine the antimicrobial and mainly, the cholinesterase inhibitory activity from the major compounds, to value the real potential of the essential oil from guaviduca despite the presence of safrole. Letal acute toxicity in mice can be proposed for a further study.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.V. and M.A.M.; methodology, E.C., J.X.R., M.A.B., and J.C.; validation, L.C., M.A.M., and J.C.; formal analysis, L.C., E.C., J.X.R., M.A.B., and J.C.; investigation, L.C., E.C., J.X.R., and M.A.B.; data curation, E.V. and M.A.M.; writing—original draft preparation, E.V. and M.A.M.; writing—review and editing, E.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja for financial support for this study through of project PROY QUI_0010. The authors thank also to Ministerio del Ambiente-Ecuador, for plant collection and research permissions (Autorización de Investigación Científica N° 001-IC-FLO-DBAP-VS-DRLZCH-MA). Special acknowledgments to members of the Shuar community “El Kiim”, especially its president Washington Tiwi.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. The Plant List. Piperaceae. Available online: http://www.thelplanalist.org/1.1/browse/A/Piperaceae/ (accessed on 4 July 2020).
2. Missouri Botanical Garden. Angiosperm Phylogeny Website. Available online: http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb/ (accessed on 29 April 2020).
3. Salehi, B.; Zakaria, Z.A.; Gyawali, R.; Ibrahim, S.A.; Rajkovic, J.; Shinwari, Z.K.; Khan, T.; Sharifi-Rad, J.; Ozleyen, A.; Turkdonmez, E.; et al. Piper Species: A Comprehensive Review on Their Phytochemistry, Biological Activities and Applications. Molecules 2019, 24, 1364. [CrossRef]
4. Jørgensen, P.M.; León-Yañez, S. Catalogue of the Vascular Plants of Ecuador. MissouriBotanical Garden Press; Missouri Botanical Garden Press: St. Louis, MI, USA, 1999; pp. 1–1181.
5. Ballesteros, J.L.; Tacchini, M.; Spagnoletti, A.; Grandini, A.; Paganetto, G.; Neri, L.M.; Marengo, A.; Angiolella, L.; Guerrini, A.; Sacchetti, G. Rediscovering Medicinal Amazonian Aromatic Plants: Piper carpunya (Piperaceae) Essential Oil as Paradigmatic Study. Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med. 2019, 2019, 6194640. [CrossRef]
6. Rondon, M.E.; Velasco, J.; Cornejo, X.; Fernandez, J.; Morocho, V. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of Piper lenticellosum C.D.C essential oil collected in Ecuador. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2016, 6, 156–159. [CrossRef]
7. Ballesteros, J.L.; Tacchini, M.; Spagnoletti, A.; Grandini, A.; Pagano, G.; Neri, L.M.; Marengo, A.; Angiolella, L.; Guerrini, A.; Sacchetti, G. Rediscovering Medicinal Amazonian Aromatic Plants: Piper carpunya (Piperaceae) Essential Oil as Paradigmatic Study. Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med. 2019, 2019, 6194640. [CrossRef]
8. Diaz, P.P.; Ramos, B.C.; Matta, G.E. New C6-C3 and C6-C1 compounds from Piper lenticellosum. J. Nat. Prod. 1986, 49, 690–691. [CrossRef]
9. Ortega, T.; Carretero, M.E.; Pascual, E.; Villar, A.M.; Chiriboga, X. Anti-inflammatory activity of ethanolic extracts of plants used in traditional medicine in Ecuador. Phytother. Res. 1996, 10, S121–S122.
10. De Las Heras, B.; Slowing, K.; Benedi, J.; Carretero, E.; Ortega, T.; Toledo, C.; Bermejo, P.; Iglesias, I.; Abad, M.J.; Gomez-Serranillos, P.; et al. Antiinflammatory and antioxidant activity of plants used in traditional medicine in Ecuador. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1998, 61, 161–166. [CrossRef]
11. Trabadelo, C.; Sanchez-Fidalgo, S.; Miño, P.; Berenguer, B.; Quilez, A.; De La Puerta, R.; Martin, M.J. Gastroprotective effects of piper carpunya against diclofenac-induced gastric lesions in rats. Pharm. Biol. 2008, 46, 829–837. [CrossRef]
12. Quilez, A.; Berenguer, B.; Gialdroni, G.; Souccar, C.; de Mendonça, S.; Oliveira, L.F.S.; Martín-Calero, M.J.; Vidari, G. Anti-secretory, anti-inflammatory and anti-Helicobacter pylori activities of several fractions isolated from Piper carpunya Ruiz & Pav. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2010, 128, 583–589. [CrossRef]
13. Vargas, L.; Velasco-Negueruela, A.; Pérez-Alonso, M.J.; Palá-Paúl, J.; Vallejo, M.C.G. Essential Oil Composition of the Leaves and Spikes of Piper carpunya Ruiz et Pavón (Piperaceae) from Peru. J. Essent. Oil Res. 2004, 16, 122–123. [CrossRef]
14. Burbott, A.J.; Loomis, W.D. Effects of Light and Temperature on the Monoterpenes of Peppermint. Plant Physiol. 1967, 42, 20–28. [CrossRef][PubMed]
15. Molares, S.; Gonzalez, S.B.; Ladio, A.; Agueda Castro, M. Etnobotánica, anatomía y caracterización físico-química del aceite esencial de Baccharis obovata Hook. et Arn. (Asteraceae: Astereae). Acta Bot. Bras. 2009, 23, 578–589. [CrossRef]
16. Pragadhesh, V.S.; Yadav, A.; Chanotiya, C.S. Role of substituents in cyclodextrin derivatives for enantioselective gas chromatographic separation of chiral terpenoids in the essential oils of Mentha spicata. J. Chromatogr. B 2015, 1002, 30–41. [CrossRef]
17. Takahisa, E.; Engel, K.-H. 2,3-Di-O-methoxymethyl-6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-β-cyclodextrin, a useful stationary phase for gas chromatographic separation of enantiomers. J. Chromatogr. A 2005, 1076, 148–154. [CrossRef]
18. König, W.A. Enantioselective capillary gas chromatography in the investigation of stereochemical correlations of terpenoids. Chirality 1998, 10, 499–504. [CrossRef]
19. Tisserand, R.; Young, R. Essential Oil Safety: A Guide for Health Care Professionals, 2nd ed.; Churchill Livingstone: London, UK, 2014.

20. Xiang, C.-P.; Han, J.-X.; Li, X.-C.; Li, Y.-H.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, L.; Qu, Y.; Hao, C.-Y.; Li, H.-Z.; Yang, C.-R.; et al. Chemical Composition and Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitory Activity of Essential Oils from Piper Species. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2017, 65, 3702–3710. [CrossRef]

21. Howes, M.-J.R.; Houghton, P.J. Plants used in Chinese and Indian traditional medicine for improvement of memory and cognitive function. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 2003, 75, 513–527. [CrossRef]

22. Zarotsky, V.; Sramek, J.J.; Cutler, N.R. Galantamine hydrobromide: An agent for Alzheimer’s disease. Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 2003, 60, 446–452. [CrossRef]

23. Aprotosoaie, A.C.; Luca, V.S.; Trifan, A.; Miron, A. Chapter 7—Antigenotoxic Potential of Some Dietary Non-phenolic Phytochemicals. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry; Attaur, R., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; Volume 60, pp. 223–297.

24. Santos, F.A.; Silva, R.M.; Campos, A.R.; de Araújo, R.P.; Lima Júnior, R.C.P.; Rao, V.S.N. 1,8-cineole (eucalyptol), a monoterpene oxide attenuates the colonic damage in rats on acute TNBS-colitis. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2004, 42, 579–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Yokota, H.; Hashimoto, H.; Motoya, M.; Yuasa, A. Enhancement of UDP-glucuronyltransferase, UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, and glutathione S-transferase activities in rat liver by dietary administration of eugenol. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1988, 37, 799–802. [CrossRef]

26. Cascone, P.; Iodice, L.; Maffei, M.E.; Bossi, S.; Arimura, G.-I.; Guerrieri, E. Tobacco overexpressing β-ocimene induces direct and indirect responses against aphids in receiver tomato plants. J. Plant Physiol. 2015, 173, 25–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Sayyah, M.; Nadjafnia, L.; Kamalinejad, M. Anticonvulsant activity and chemical composition of Artemisia dracunculus L. essential oil. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1994, 42, 283–287. [CrossRef]

28. Russo, E.B.; Marcu, J. Chapter Three—Cannabis Pharmacology: The Usual Suspects and a Few Promising Leads. In Advances in Pharmacology; Kendall, D., Alexander, S.P.H., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2017; Volume 80, pp. 67–134.

29. Bondim, L.M.; Menezes, L.R.A.; Rodrigues, A.C.B.C.; Dias, R.B.; Gurgel Rocha, C.A.; Soares, M.B.P.; Neto, A.F.S.; Nascimento, M.P.; Campos, A.F.; Silva, L.C.R.C.E.; et al. Antitumour Activity of the Microencapsulation of Annona squamosa Essential Oil. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2016, 118, 208–213. [CrossRef]

30. Wexler, P. Encyclopedia of Toxicology, 3rd ed.; Academic Press: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2014; p. 5220.

31. Gates, K. Covalent Modification of DNA by Natural Products. In Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry; Barton, S.D., Meth-Cohn, O., Nakanishi, K., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999.

32. Sue, C. Essential Chemistry for Aromatherapy; Churchill Livingstone: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2009.

33. Siano, F.; Ghizzoni, C.; Gionfriddo, F.; Colombo, E.; Servillo, L.; Castaldo, D. Determination of estragole, safrole and eugenol methyl ether in food products. Food Chem. 2003, 81, 469–475. [CrossRef]

34. Bogusz, M.J.; Al-Tufail, M. Chapter 18 Toxicological aspects of herbal remedies. In Handbook of Analytical Separations; Bogusz, M.J., Ed.; Elsevier Science B.V.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; Volume 6, pp. 589–610.

35. Van Vuuren, S.; Holl, D. Antimicrobial natural product research: A review from a South African perspective for the years 2009–2016. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2017, 208, 236–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Cos, P.; Vlietinck, A.J.; Berghe, D.V.; Maes, L. Anti-infective potential of natural products: How to develop a stronger in vitro ‘proof-of-concept’. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2006, 106, 290–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Hendry, E.R.; Worthington, T.; Conway, B.R.; Lambart, P.A. Antimicrobial efficacy of eucalyptus oil and 1,8-cineole alone and in combination with chlorhexidine digluconate against microorganisms grown in planktonic and biofilm cultures. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2009, 64, 1219–1225. [CrossRef]

38. Simçek, M.; Duman, R. Effect of 1,8-cineole on Antimicrobial Activity of Chlorhexidine Gluconate. Pharmaceut. Res. 2017, 9, 234–237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Dognini, J.; Meneghetti, E.K.; Teske, M.N.; Begnini, I.M.; Rebelo, R.A.; Dalmarco, E.M.; Verdi, M.; de Gasper, A.L. Antibacterial activity of high safrole contain essential oils from Piper xylosteoides (Kunth) Steudel. Planta Med. 2012, 78, 546–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Lobato, A.M.; Ribeiro, A.; Pinheiro, M.D.F.D.S.; Maia, J.G.S. Atividade antimicrobiana de óleos essenciais da Amazônia. Acta Amaz. 1989, 19, 355–363. [CrossRef]

41. Sauter, I.P.; Rossa, G.E.; Lucas, A.M.; Cibulski, S.P.; Roehe, P.M.; da Silva, L.A.A.; Rott, M.B.; Vargas, R.M.F.; Cassel, E.; von Poser, G.L. Chemical composition and amoebicidal activity of Piper hispidinervum (Piperaceae) essential oil. J. Plant Physiol. 2003, 160, 579–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Aparicio, J.; Jaramillo-Jaramillo, C.; San Martin, D.; D’Armas, H. Analysis of antimicrobial effect of twelve medicinal plants of ancient use in Ecuador. Cienc. Unemi 2016, 9, 11–18. [CrossRef]

43. Zarotsky, V.; Sramek, J.J.; Cutler, N.R. Galantamine hydrobromide: An agent for Alzheimer’s disease. Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 2003, 60, 446–452. [CrossRef]

44. Wu, B.; Lin, W.H.; Gao, H.Y.; Zheng, L.; Wu, L.J.; Kim, C.S. Four antibacterial monoterpenoid derivatives from the herba of Seneio Cannabifolius less. Indian J. Pharmac. Sci. 2006, 68, 332–336. [CrossRef]

45. Masuoka, C.; Ono, M.; Ito, Y.; Nohara, T. Antioxidative, Antihyaluronidase and Antityrosinase Activities of Some Constituents from the Aerial Part of Piper elongatum VAHL. Food Sci. Technol. Res. 2003, 9, 197–201. [CrossRef]
46. Mata, A.T.; Proença, C.; Ferreira, A.R.; Serralheiro, M.L.M.; Nogueira, J.M.F.; Araújo, M.E.M. Antioxidant and antiacetylcholinesterase activities of five plants used as Portuguese food spices. Food Chem. 2007, 103, 778–786. [CrossRef]

47. Andrade, M.A.; das Graças Cardoso, M.; de Andrade, J.; Silva, L.F.; Teixeira, M.L.; Valério Resende, J.M.; da Silva Figueiredo, A.C.; Barroso, J.G. Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of Essential Oils from Cinnamodendron dinisii Schwacke and Siparuna guianensis Aublet. Antioxidants 2013, 2, 384–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Ciftci, O.; Ozdemir, I.; Tanyildizi, S.; Yıldız, S.; Oguzturk, H. Antioxidative effects of curcumin, ß-myrcene and 1,8-cineole against 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin-induced oxidative stress in rats liver. Toxicol. Ind. Health 2011, 27, 447–453. [CrossRef]

49. Finley, J.W.; Kong, A.N.; Hintze, K.J.; Jeffery, E.H.; Ji, L.L.; Lei, X.G. Antioxidants in foods: State of the science important to the food industry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 6837–6846. [CrossRef]

50. Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Prior, R.L. The Chemistry behind Antioxidant Capacity Assays. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 1841–1856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Valarezo, E.; Merino, G.; Cruz-Erazo, C.; Cartuche, L. Bioactivity evaluation of the native Amazonian species of Ecuador: Piper lineatum Ruiz & Pav. essential oil. Nat. Volatiles Essent. Oils 2020, 7, 14–25. [CrossRef]

52. Association Française de Normalisation (AFNOR). Huiles Essentielles. Tome 1, Échantillonnage et Méthodes D’analyse; Paris La Défense, AFNOR: Paris, France, 2000.

53. Valarezo, E.; Ojeda-Riascos, S.; Cartuche, L.; Andrade-González, N.; González-Sánchez, I.; Meneses, M.A. Extraction and Study of the Essential Oil of Copal (Dacryodes peruviana), an Amazonian Fruit with the Highest Yield Worldwide. Plants 2020, 9, 1658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Adams, R.P. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas. Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, 4th ed.; Allured Publishing Corporation: Carol Stream, IL, USA, 2007.

55. NIST 05. Mass Spectral Library (NIST/EPA/NIH); National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 2005.

56. NIST. Libro del Web de Química del NIST, SRD 69. in Base de Datos de Referencia Estándar del NIST Número 69. Available online: http://webbook.nist.gov (accessed on 19 May 2020).

57. Valarezo, E.; Benitez, L.; Palacio, C.; Aguilar, S.; Armijos, C.; Calva, J.; Ramirez, J. Volatile and non-volatile metabolite study of endemic ecuadorian specie Piper lanceolifolium Kunth. J. Essent. Oil Res. 2020. [CrossRef]

58. Brand-Williams, W.; Cuvelier, M.E.; Berset, C. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 1995, 28, 25–30. [CrossRef]

59. Thaipong, K.; Boonprakob, U.; Crosby, K.; Cisneros-Zevallos, L.; Hawkins Byrne, D. Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2006, 19, 669–675. [CrossRef]

60. Arnau, M.B.; Cano, A.; Acosta, M. The hydrophilic and lipophilic contribution to total antioxidant activity. Food Chem. 2001, 73, 239–244. [CrossRef]

61. Ellman, G.L.; Courtney, K.D.; Andres, V.; Featherstone, R.M. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1961, 7, 88–95. [CrossRef]

62. Rhee, I.K.; van de Meent, M.; Ingkaninan, K.; Verpoorte, R. Screening for acetylcholinesterase inhibitors from Amaryllidaceae using silica gel thin-layer chromatography in combination with bioactivity staining. J. Chromatogr. A 2001, 915, 217–223. [CrossRef]