Antimony (Sb) based materials are regarded as promising anode materials for Li-ion batteries (LIBs) because of the high capacity, appropriate working potential, and earth abundance of antimony. However, the quick capacity decay due to the huge volume expansion during the cycling process seriously hinders its practical applications. Here, a nanocomposite of core@shell Sb@Sb₂O₃ particles anchored on 3D porous nitrogen-doped carbon (3DNC) nanosheets is synthesized by freeze drying and sintering in a reducing atmosphere. Structural characterization shows that the developed Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC electrode has a high surface area (839.8 m² g⁻¹) and unique Sb–O–C bonding, both contributing to the excellent electrochemical performance. The initial charge and discharge specific capacities of the Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC anode in LIB tests are 1109 mA h g⁻¹ and 1810 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. Also, it shows a charge capacity of 696.9 mA h g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ and 458 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹. Moreover, the assembled Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC|LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ battery exhibits a discharge capacity of more than 100 mA h g⁻¹ after 25 cycles at 100 mA g⁻¹. The synthetic method can be extended to obtain other nanocomposites of metal and carbon materials for high-performance energy storage devices.

Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been regarded as the most viable energy storage technology for portable electronic devices and electric vehicles in the past few decades. Nevertheless, the applications of large-scale energy storage systems and smart grids still require new-generation LIBs with higher energy density, faster rate capability, and longer cycle life. The currently used commercial graphite anode cannot meet the growing demand due to its low theoretical capacity and poor rate capability. Recently, alloy-type anodes and their compounds have been studied as alternative anodes for LIBs because of their higher theoretical capacities. Among metal-based anode materials, antimony (Sb) and its compounds exhibit great potential as anode materials due to their high theoretical capacity of 660 mA h g⁻¹, low polarization (~0.2 V), and suitable working voltage (0.8–0.9 V vs. Li⁺/Li). Unfortunately, the relatively low electron-conductivity of Sb and large volume expansion (150% from Sb to Li₃Sb) upon the charge/discharge process lead to particle pulverization and loss of electrical contact along with rapid capacity decay, which hinder the practical applications of Sb-based materials in LIBs.

In order to solve these issues, various efforts such as engineering the electrode structure and introducing buffer layers into Sb-based anodes have been made. For instance, Sb₂O₃/reduced graphene oxide (Sb₂O₃/rGO) nanocomposites exhibited improved electrochemical performance as anodes in both LIBs and sodium ion batteries (SIBs). A 3D nest-shaped Sb₂O₃/rGO composite was reported by wet chemistry as an anode material for LIBs and delivered a high capacity of 562 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 charge/discharge cycles, corresponding to 63% retention of initial capacity. Nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide bonded Sb nanoparticles (Sb/N-rGO) were synthesized by ball-milling nitrogen/Sb precursors and subsequent pyrolysis treatment, which showed a reversible capacity of 304.8 mA h g⁻¹ at 5 A g⁻¹ and 90.7% capacity retention after 500 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹ for SIBs. Based on these previous studies, rationally designed Sb/Sb₂O₃ nanostuctures combined with graphene buffer layers can significantly improve the electrochemical performance of Sb-based materials. However, Sb or Sb₂O₃ nanoparticles tend to aggregate during high-temperature pyrolysis and the interaction between the metal and the buffer layers is quite weak, leaving room for further development of Sb-based electrodes for electrochemical energy storage.

Here, with the help of the complexation between sodium alginate (SA) and Sb³⁺, core@shell Sb@Sb₂O₃ particles...
anchored on 3D porous nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets (Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC) are developed and employed as anode materials for LIBs. The Sb@Sb₂O₃ nanoparticles (~30 nm in diameter) are uniformly distributed on the 3D N-doped carbon nanosheets due to the SA-Sb⁺ complexation, which strongly stabilizes Sb against agglomeration during pyrolysis. The generated Sb-O-C chemical bonds could facilitate electron transformation between Sb@Sb₂O₃ and the carbon matrix, and the abundant void spaces of the 3D continuous conducting network could accommodate the volumetric expansion of Sb@Sb₂O₃ during Li-ion insertion/extraction. As a result, the developed Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC is endowed with excellent electrochemical performance in LIBs, showing a charge capacity of 696.9 mA h g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ and 458 mA h g⁻¹ at 5 A g⁻¹, which outperforms most of the reported Sb-based LIB anodes.¹⁷,¹⁸,²⁰–²²

Experimental

Material preparation

Synthesis of Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC. 6 mmol SA and 15 g NaCl were first dissolved in 300 mL deionized (DI) water at 65 °C under vigorous stirring. Afterwards, 2 mmol SbCl₃ dissolved in ethanol was added slowly and kept stirring for 3 h. Later, 131 μL cyanamide was introduced into the above solution. The resulting solution was further stirred for 24 h at 65 °C. Thereafter, the solvent was evaporated at 85 °C until 50 mL solution was left, which was then freeze-dried to get the white solid. The as-prepared solid was subjected to thermal annealing at 700 °C in an Ar/H₂ (95/5%) atmosphere for 2 h, followed by washing with DI water to remove the NaCl template. After drying under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h, the sample was collected and is denoted as Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC (or Sb–C–N–1–3–1 corresponding to Sb/SA/cyanamide molar ratio of 1 : 3 : 1). By tuning the added amount of SA, Sb–C–N–1–2–1 and Sb–C–N–1–4–1 control samples can be prepared. For example, using 2 mmol SbCl₃, 4 mmol SA and 131 μL cyanamide leads to Sb–C–N–1–2–1, and Sb–C–N–1–4–1 control samples can be obtained by a similar method without adding cyanamide and SbCl₃, respectively.

Syntheses of Sb/3DNC and 3DNC. The as-prepared Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC was subjected to 1.0 M or 6.0 M H₂SO₄ treatment to remove Sb₂O₃ shells or Sb@Sb₂O₃ nanoparticles, respectively. Typically, 500 mg Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC was mixed with 1.0 M H₂SO₄ and kept stirring at 60 °C for 12 h. Afterwards, the mixture was filtered and washed with DI water thoroughly, followed by drying under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. The as-prepared product without Sb₂O₃ shells is denoted as Sb/3DNC. 3DNC without detectable Sb was prepared by a similar synthetic procedure except that the concentration of H₂SO₄ was 6.0 M.

Syntheses of control samples without NaCl and/or freeze-drying. Control Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC samples synthesized without adding NaCl, with NaCl but the reaction solution dried by evaporation instead of freeze-drying, and without NaCl and freeze-drying were prepared. The products are denoted as Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC-noNaCl, Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC-EV (evaporation), and Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC-noNaCl-EV, respectively.

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD, X’Pert3 powder) with Cu-Kα radiation was performed to identify the crystal structures of the samples in the 2θ range from 10 to 80° with a step size of 0.01° and a count time of 4 s. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI, Sirion 200), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI, Tecnai G2 20) and high resolution TEM (HRTEM, FEI, Tecnai G2 F30) were used to analyze the morphology and microstructure of the materials. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted on a TGA8000 analyzer at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ from 25 to 600 °C in air. Adsorption isotherms and surface area were determined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) measurements using an ASAP-2020 surface area analyzer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using an AXIS-ULTRA DLD-600 W spectrometer with a monochromatic Al Mg Kα X-ray source. The binding energy for each spectrum was calibrated using the C 1s peak (285 eV) as the reference.

Electrochemical testing

Electrochemical tests were performed using 2032 coin cells with lithium metal as the anode. The working electrodes were prepared by dispersing 80 wt% active materials (Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC and its controls), 10 wt% conducting agent (carbon black), and 10 wt% binder carboxymethylcellulose sodium (CMC, Aldrich) in DI water by magnetically stirring for 4 h. The obtained slurry was coated on current collectors (copper foil), roll-pressed, then dried at 100 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The loadings of the active materials were about 0.5 and 1.5 mg cm⁻². CR2032 coin-type cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box by using 1.0 M LiPF₆ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1 : 1 by volume) as an electrolyte and a Celgard-2400 microporous polypropylene membrane as the separator. The cycling and rate performance tests were conducted on a LAND system between 0.01 and 3.0 V at different current densities and all the specific capacities were calculated on the whole weight of active materials. All the characterizations and measurements were carried out at room temperature.

Results and discussion

Structural characterization of Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC

The procedures for synthesizing Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC, Sb/3DNC, and 3DNC samples are illustrated in Fig. 1. Briefly, appropriate amounts of SA, cyanamide, SbCl₃, and NaCl were dissolved in DI water. Then the homogeneous solution was freeze-dried and calcined in 5% H₂/Ar at 700 °C for 3 h. After removing NaCl, which serves as the pore-forming agent in the synthesis, the Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC sample is obtained. Further etching Sb@Sb₂O₃/3DNC using 1.0 M or 6.0 M H₂SO₄ could remove...
Sb$_2$O$_3$ shells or Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles to produce Sb/3DNC or 3DNC samples, respectively.

The crystal structures of the prepared samples are characterized by XRD. As shown in Fig. 2a, all diffraction peaks of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC and Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/C can be indexed to metallic Sb (JCPDS card no. 35-0732) and cubic senarmontite Sb$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS card no. 43-1071). The peak intensity of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/C is relatively weaker than that of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC, suggesting the smaller particle size. As for the Sb/3DNC and 3DNC samples, it is obvious that Sb$_2$O$_3$ shells can be etched away by 1.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$ while Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles can be completely leached by 6.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$. The NC and 3DNC samples exhibit only a broad peak at $\sim$26$^\circ$ arising from the crystal plane of graphite, suggesting that the amorphous carbon is slightly graphitized under high temperature and no Sb-related particles exist in the samples. The percentage of carbon and Sb-based active materials was determined by TGA in air. As illustrated in Fig. 2b, the weight loss around 5% before 300 $^\circ$C is due to the water evaporation of the materials. With temperature increasing, there is a sharp decrease of weight between 300 $^\circ$C and 450 $^\circ$C, which can be ascribed to the oxidation of carbon in air. The results show that the total mass loading of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ is less than 9.41% for Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC. The relatively low content of Sb-based materials could reduce the effect of volume change and benefit the structural stability during charge/discharge processes.

The morphology and structure of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC were investigated by SEM and TEM. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, the material shows a continuous 3D porous network structure composed of nanosheets with the thickness of 12–20 nm. TEM images (Fig. 3c and d) indicate that Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ particles are uniformly distributed on the 3DNC nanosheets with an average size of ca. 30 nm likely due to the stabilization effect of SA–Sb$^{3+}$ complexation. HRTEM was conducted to further investigate the micro-structure of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC (Fig. 3e and f). From Fig. 3e the core and shell of a representative Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ particle can be clearly observed by the distinct Z contrast and discernible grain boundary. Specifically, the shell with the thickness of $\sim$5–11 nm displays clear lattice fringes with d-spacing of 0.322 nm, which can be assigned to the (222) plane of Sb$_2$O$_3$, while the core reveals a lattice fringe distance of 0.229 nm, attributable to the (210) plane of Sb (Fig. 3f). As shown in Fig. S2,† the Sb$_2$O$_3$ shells and Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles are removed in the case of Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC after 1.0 M and 6.0 M H$_2$SO$_4$ treatments, respectively. EDX elemental mappings (Fig. S1a†) further reveal that C, N, and Sb are homogeneously distributed throughout the selected particle. In contrast, O is much concentrated on the shell compared to the core area, confirming the existence of the core@shell structure. Additionally, the detection of N demonstrates the successful N-doping into the carbon nanosheets and the content is about 3.62 at% as measured by EDX (Fig. S1b†).

We have also studied the effect of the synthetic conditions on the morphologies of the final samples (Fig. S3†). It is apparent that Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC-EV prepared by solution evaporation instead of freeze-drying (Fig. S3b†) exhibits nanosheet morphology but with a much less porous structure than Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC. As for the samples without the addition of NaCl, they exhibit irregular aggregated or stacked structures regardless of whether the solution is evaporated (Fig. S3c†) or freeze-dried (Fig. S3d†). Hence, it indicates that the presence of
the NaCl template and the freeze drying method can benefit the formation of a 3D continuous porous structure. Moreover, synthesis in the absence of Sb or cyanamide somehow inhibits the formation of 3D porous structures, as evidenced in the SEM images of NC (Fig. S3e†) and Sb@Sb2O3/C (Fig. S3f†), respectively. Fig. S3g and h† show the morphologies of Sb/3DNC and 3DNC, respectively. It is noted that H2SO4 etching will affect the morphologies to some extent but the 3D porous structures can be retained.

XPS was employed to characterize the surface electronic states of the developed materials. Fig. 4a displays the XPS survey spectra of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC, in which the signals of Sb, O, N, and C can be clearly observed. The high-resolution C 1s XPS spectrum of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC (Fig. 4b) can be deconvoluted into three peaks. The peak at 288.6 eV is associated with C−C bonding, while the other two peaks with binding energies of 285.5 eV and 284.6 eV can be assigned to C−N and C==C bonds, respectively. Three dominant peaks corresponding to graphitic, pyrrolic, and pyridinic N can be identified in the high-resolution N 1s XPS spectrum (Fig. 4c), among which the content of pyrrolic N is the highest. It is reported that doping pyrrolic and pyridinic N into carbon would promote the electron-conductivity and introduce plenty of defects as active sites for Li+ insertion/extraction, thereby improving the electrochemical performance.23−25 Interestingly, the interaction between Sb and the 3DNC matrix can be observed from the high-resolution Sb 3d and O 1s XPS spectra (Fig. 4d), where the peak at 534 eV can be assigned to the Sb−O−C bond.26 Such an interaction may possibly facilitate the electron transfer between Sb@Sb2O3 and the carbon matrix, leading to enhanced electrochemical performance. On the other hand, the carbon matrix can also protect Sb@Sb2O3 nanoparticles during electrochemical tests, which may result in better rate performance and cycle stability.

Fig. 5 displays the N2 adsorption−desorption isotherms and the corresponding pore size distributions of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC and NC. The BET surface area of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC is 839.8 m2 g−1, far larger than that of the sample without adding SbCl3 in the synthetic process (NC: 114.4 m2 g−1), even though both of them present a large amount of micropores with a diameter of ~2 nm. It demonstrates that the introduction of Sb3+ during the calcination process can greatly increase the specific surface area of materials, which may not only facilitate the penetration of the electrolyte into the electrode to improve the mass transport kinetics but also offers an extra area to accommodate volume changes during charge/discharge cycles.

Electrochemical properties

To evaluate the Li storage performance of the developed samples, 2032-type coin cells were assembled using metallic Li foil as the counter electrode. Fig. S4† shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC during the first cycles between 0 and 3 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s−1 at 25 °C. In the first cycle, there are two cathodic peaks located at 0.5 V and 1.2 V, likely corresponding to lithiation/the formation of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) film and the irreversible conversion of Sb2O3 into Sb, respectively.27−28 In the following two cycles, the cathodic peak at 0.5 V shifts to 0.75 V, which is due to the lithiation of Sb to form Li,Sb.29 During the first anodic scan, the peaks at 1.2 V and 1.4 V can be ascribed to the dealloying of Li,Sb and the decomposition of Li2O.30 After the first scan, the curves nearly overlap in the subsequent cycles, suggesting the high reversibility and stability of Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC. Fig. S5† displays the typical lithiation−delithiation voltage profiles at a current density of 100 mA g−1 of samples prepared with different ratios of precursors. Obviously, Sb−C−N−1−1−1−1 exhibits the best performance with the first charge and discharge capacities of 1810 mA h g−1 and 1109 mA h g−1, respectively, corresponding to an initial coulombic efficiency (CE) of 61.3%, which is far more than that of Sb−C−N−1−2−1 (58.7%) and Sb−C−N−1−4−1 (57.4%). As for the rate and cycle performance, Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC delivers a reversible capacity of 458 mA h g−1 at a high current density of 5 A g−1 (Fig. S5b†), and it shows no capacity decay after 500 cycles at a current density of 1 A g−1 (Fig. S5c†). It is worth noting that there is an overall capacity increase for all samples in the 300 cycles; such an activation process is mainly caused by the growth of an electrochemical active gel-like polymer layer, as well as the larger electrochemically active surface area of the porous architecture, which can enhance Li+ storage during cycles.31−33

In order to provide further insights into the structure−performance correlation of the developed Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC anode, the rate and cycle performance of different control samples were evaluated (Fig. 6). Notably, Sb@Sb2O3/3DNC demonstrates the best electrochemical performance among all...
the samples, with a discharge capacity of 304.3 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 A g\(^{-1}\) and 690.4 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\). In contrast, Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/C exhibits relatively low capacity (262 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 A g\(^{-1}\)) and poorer cycling stability (502 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\)), indicating that the introduction of N can effectively enhance the reversible capacity and rate capability. On the other hand, the electrochemical performance of NC (145.8 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 A g\(^{-1}\) and 502.3 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\)) is much poorer than that of 3DNC with the removal of Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) (247 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 5 A g\(^{-1}\) and 559 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\)), which may be attributed to the possible larger specific surface area of 3DNC resulting from acid treatment. In addition, Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC and Sb/3DNC show excellent and comparable rate performance. From the first five active cycles at low current density in Fig. 6c, the sample without the Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) shell, owing to 1.0 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) etching, experiences a relatively faster capacity loss and its reversible capacity remains at 550 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles, lower than that of Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC (650 mA h g\(^{-1}\)). We speculate that it is not only due to the higher theoretical capacity of Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) than Sb, but also the synergistic effect between the two components that can accommodate the volume expansion as well as the Sb–O–C structure which facilitates the electron transfer between Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) and the carbon matrix. The thin coating of Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) is partly reduced to the Sb/Li\(_2\)O nanocomposite during charge, and the amorphous phase Li\(_2\)O can act as a buffer to relieve the volume change of Sb during cycling. TEM images demonstrate that even though some nanoparticles slightly aggregate and become smaller after such long cycles, the core@shell structure could still be preserved. The results indicate the strong structural stability between Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) and the 3D porous nanosheet matrix, which can maintain the structural reversibility in the long-term lithiation and delithiation process.

To further explore the capability of the prepared Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC electrode in the practical application of LIBs, a full cell was assembled using Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC and NCM622 as the anode and cathode, respectively. The electrochemical performance of the full cell is tested and the results are displayed in Fig. 8. The obtained voltage profiles are within the voltage window of 2.0 to 4.5 V in the second to the fourth charge and discharge processes, and there is a voltage plateau at ca. 2.6 V in the discharge curve (Fig. 8a). Moreover, the cycling performance of the Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC||NCM622 full cell is presented in Fig. 8b and the specific capacity is calculated based on the mass of the cathode. It should be noted that a discharge capacity of 100 mA h g\(^{-1}\) could be maintained after 25 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), suggesting that Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC is a potentially promising anode material in realistic LIBs.

Conclusions

In summary, core@shell Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles anchored on 3D porous nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets were developed and employed as an anode for LIBs for the first time. The resultant Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\)/3DNC hybrid is endowed with 3D porous N-doped carbon nanosheets and uniformly distributed Sb@Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles, which provide sufficient void space for relieving volume expansion and facilitating mass transport. Due to its
unique structure, the Sb@Sb$_2$O$_3$/3DNC anode delivers a high charge capacity of 696.9 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles at a current density of 1 A g$^{-1}$ and 458 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 5 A g$^{-1}$ in LIB tests, which outperforms most of the reported Sb-based anodes. The outstanding electrochemical performance indicates the potential of applying the developed anode material for LIBs. The facile synthetic approach taking the advantages of carefully designed metal nanostructures and electron-conductive buffer layers can be extended to the fabrication of other advanced electrode materials for next-generation energy storage.
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