Coral reefs have been seen to be particularly susceptible to temperature increases in ocean water that lead to a breakdown of an essential mutualistic relationship with photosynthetic algae. The molecular mechanisms of this temperature-dependent loss of symbiosis are less well understood. Here, the thermal stability of a critical metabolic enzyme, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, from the stony coral Acropora millepora was found to increase significantly in the presence of its cofactor NAD⁺. Determination of the structure of the cofactor–enzyme complex (PDB ID 6PX2) revealed variable NAD⁺ occupancy across the four monomers of the tetrameric enzyme. The structure of the fully occupied monomers was compared to those with partial cofactor occupancy, identifying regions of difference that may account for the increased thermal stability.

Introduction

Temperature has a profound influence on enzyme activity, not least because protein stability depends on temperature. Maintaining metabolic throughput at a range of temperatures is a challenge faced by the vast majority of the world’s organisms. In the case of mutualism or parasitism, both the host and the symbiont must be able to tolerate the same temperature ranges. Coral reefs have been seen to be particularly susceptible to temperature increases in ocean water that lead to a breakdown in symbiosis between coral and the photosynthetic algae that they host.

Under normal physiological conditions, a healthy coral enters into a symbiotic relationship with one of a variety of species of photosynthetic algae. These algae are hosted intracellularly and provide the coral with glucose, the product of photosynthesis. Increased water temperatures cause a breakdown of symbiosis and concomitant bleaching of the coral. The precise signal that prompts this symbiosis to break down is unknown, but some research has focused on the thermal stability of both coral and algal metabolic enzymes, since metabolic throughput is important to the calculus of mutual benefit. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase is a cytosolic enzyme central to sugar metabolism. During glycolysis, GAPDH oxidatively phosphorylates glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate, concomitantly reducing NAD⁺ to NADH, and under aerobic conditions these reducing equivalents are passed to the electron transport chain. Because of its importance in cellular ATP generation, regulation of GAPDH is an important regulator of metabolic rate. It should be noted that GAPDH is well-known for its ‘moonlighting’ role in other non-metabolic biochemical pathways, so it is possible that the enzyme has a more expansive role in coral. GAPDH is generally thought to be active as a tetramer, although dimeric forms have been identified. Each monomer has an NAD⁺ binding domain related to the one first identified by Rossmann in lactate dehydrogenase. In addition, there is a C-terminal catalytic domain that provides the environment to facilitate hydride transfer and phosphorylation among its three substrates, NAD⁺, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, and inorganic phosphate.

In the reef-building coral Acropora millepora, expression of the metabolic enzyme GAPDH has been observed to be temperature-dependent. Thermal stability of the enzyme itself has also long been the subject of study, as GAPDH was one of the earliest enzymes to be examined in order to understand the structural basis of the extreme heat stability of enzymes from hyperthermophilic organisms. GAPDH is a complex enzyme, with three substrates, important quaternary structure, and sensitivity to oxidation. The present structure does not provide a complete picture of its thermostability. The goal of the present study is to begin to characterize the structural basis of thermostability in metabolic enzymes of reef-building corals and their endosymbionts. We report here the melting temperature for Acropora millepora GAPDH, along with observation of a significant increase in the melting temperature of the enzyme
upon binding its NAD\textsuperscript{+} cofactor. We determined the crystal structure of this complex to a resolution of 2.4 Å (PDB ID 6PX2). This is among the first structures of any protein from this genus of coral.

Results

The melting temperature of recombinant GAPDH from 	extit{Acropora millepora} was determined via ThermoFluor (Fig. 1). The average $T_m$ was determined to be $63.2 \pm 1.5 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ ($n = 6$). Addition of 1 mM NAD\textsuperscript{+} significantly enhanced the stability of the enzyme, increasing the melting temperature by $15.2 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ to $78.4 \pm 1.4 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ ($n = 5$).

Recombinant 	extit{A. millepora} GAPDH crystallized in the low-symmetry space group $P1$ with eight copies in two tetramers making up the asymmetric unit (Table 1). The tetramer itself has fairly strong non-crystallographic symmetry, as it is a dimer of dimers related by three orthogonal rotational axes, as has been observed for other GAPDH enzymes. After balancing idealized geometry with fit to the electron density, the structural
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**Fig. 1** Incubation of 	extit{Acropora millepora} GAPDH with NAD\textsuperscript{+} increases its melting temperature by $15 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. In a ThermoFluor assay measuring change in Sypro Orange fluorescence as a function of temperature, binding of the NAD\textsuperscript{+} cofactor significantly stabilizes GAPDH. (above) Representative curves of relative fluorescence (increase in fluorescence indicates protein unfolding). (below) First derivative of relative fluorescence. The minimum of the first derivative denotes $T_m$. 

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry
solution gave $R/R_{\text{free}}$ values of 21/24%. Validation by MolProbity placed the structure in the 97th and 94th percentiles in clash score and MolProbity score. The PDB validation server shows this structure to be typical of structures of comparable resolution in measures of $R_{\text{free}}$, clash score; and number of Ramachandran, sidechain and real-space $R$-value $Z$ score (RSRZ) outliers (PDB ID 6PX2).

In addition to any bound NAD$^+$ that may have been retained from the expression step in *E. coli*, the crystals were soaked in NAD$^+$ during cryoprotection and freezing. The unit cell contains two tetramers, and the corresponding monomers are the chains labeled A:F, B:E, C:H, and D:G. Analysis of the electron density reveals differences in the extent to which NAD$^+$ is bound to the binding pockets of individual chains (Fig. 2).

The enzyme active sites all appear to contain a bound phosphate ion (Fig. 3). The protein was not stored in a phosphate buffer, but all purification steps prior to dialysis (cell lysis, binding to the nickel resin, and washing) were carried out in 50 mM phosphate. Phosphate binding in the GAPDH active site has ample precedent, with the enzyme observed to bind phosphate in two different sites. The site represented here has previously been identified by others as the “new Pi site”, with the phosphate poised between the active site cysteine and the critical nicotinamide cofactor that provides the hydride during GAPDH-mediated oxidation. Unlike for the NAD$^+$ cofactor, coordinate refinement for occupancy gave 1.0 in all cases, revealing a similar degree of electron density for all eight binding sites.

The most natural comparison for understanding the role of NAD$^+$ binding in acquisition of thermostability would be to compare the unbound (apo) form to a fully occupied form of the enzyme. However, we have yet to find crystallization conditions for the apo form of the enzyme. Therefore, comparison of the

### Table 1: Data collection and refinement statistics

| Data collection             | 19.95–2.4 (2.486–2.4) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Resolution range (Å)        | 78.219, 79.117, 138.819 |
| Space group                 | P1                    |
| Unit cell dimensions        | 76.68, 77.7, 67.98 |
| Total reflections           | 207 586 (20 686) |
| Completeness (%)            | 90.48 (94.25) |
| Mean $I/\sigma(I)$          | 13.74 (3.48) |
| CC1/2                       | 0.993 (0.911) |
| Refinement                  | 0.211 (0.281) |
| $R_{\text{free}}$           | 0.243 (0.316) |
| RMS (bonds)                 | 0.01 |
| RMS (angles)                | 1.4 |
| Ramachandran plot           | 94.92 |
| Favored regions (%)         | 4.51 |
| Allowed regions (%)         | 0.57 |

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses. The model used for molecular replacement was 4K9D.
structure of each chain was undertaken by aligning all chains individually with chain D, under the hypothesis that this alignment would highlight the extent and location of differences (Fig. 4). The overall C-alpha RMSD ranged from 0.32 to 0.068 and correlated with the NAD\(^+\) occupancy from coordinate refinement of the structural model (\(R^2 = 0.89\)) (Table 2). Structural differences are localized to residues 187–199, part of a region above the nicotinamide ring known as the S-loop (residues 179–201). Previous structural studies of NAD\(^+\)-bound and unbound forms of the enzyme have shown that the S-loop has an altered conformation when comparing NAD\(^+\)-bound and unbound structures.\(^{36}\) To a slightly lesser extent, we see differences in the two helices over the adenine (75–90 and 102–113). In chain C, for which the NAD\(^+\) occupancy is especially poor, Pro34 and Phe35 are seen to be in a uniquely different conformation than the other chains. These three regions are well fit to the electron density in the present structure, with the exception of 107–113 in chain H. Even though Pro34 has a large RMSD in the alignment between chains C and D, it is well within the most-favored Ramachandran region for both chains.

**Discussion**

The GAPDH enzyme from the reef-building stony coral *Acropora millepora* is a rather typical eukaryotic GAPDH, comprising an N-terminal NAD\(^+\)-binding Rossman fold and a catalytic domain that provides important active site residues. Its nearest structurally characterized homologs are mammalian (human, porcine and bovine), along with the nematode *Brugia malayi*, all of which share 75–76% amino acid identity with the coral sequence.\(^{36,37}\) The thermal stability of GAPDH from both corals and their algal symbionts could be important, as anthropogenic temperature increases in ocean water are causing the symbiosis to break down ever more frequently.\(^8\)

*A. millepora* GAPDH is a relatively thermostable enzyme, retaining its folded structure until 65 °C, as assessed by a Thermofluor assay. In this assay, a fluorescent dye that is highly quenched by water is incubated with the purified protein.\(^{38}\) The temperature is slowly raised, with continuous measurement of the fluorescence. When the protein denatures, the dye gains access to the hydrophobic residues of the protein’s formerly folded core, and the fluorescence increases dramatically. The resulting melting curve gives a measure of the thermostability of the protein. Like many proteins, AmGAPDH appears to be greatly stabilized in the presence of one of its cofactors, NAD\(^+\) (Fig. 1).\(^{39}\) We used a simple two-point experimental design here, because the goal was not to determine the binding constant of NAD\(^+\), but rather to confirm that we had created the experimental conditions to produce a form of the protein with higher thermal stability. In order to assess the structural basis for this increase in thermostability, we sought to crystallize the protein in the presence of NAD\(^+\).

In principle, the tetrameric GAPDH protein could bind four NAD\(^+\) cofactors. In practice, a wide range of occupancy has been reported, depending on the precise details of protein preparation. In addition to these experimental factors, there is likely cooperativity in binding NAD\(^+\), though we have not collected data on the cooperativity of this enzyme. Some eukaryotic GAPDH structures contain two NAD\(^+\) molecules, while others crystallize with three or four.\(^{36,41}\) Under the experimental conditions here (exposure to NAD\(^+\) during protein expression in *E. coli*, absence of NAD\(^+\) during purification, incubating the crystal in 50 mM NAD\(^+\) during cryoprotection), we see evidence of NAD\(^+\) in all four binding sites of the tetramer, although the electron density is particularly weak in two of them (chain C and chain H). In two of the chains (D and G), every atom is clearly delineated by the electron density contoured at 1.5 sigma (Fig. 2). In cases where specific atoms or molecules in the crystal are disordered and cannot clearly be modeled into electron density, numerous representational strategies are available.\(^{42}\) The two most common options are to model the atoms as present with full occupancy, allowing increased temperature

---

*Fig. 3* A single bound phosphate ion is observed, at full occupancy, in the “new Pi” site of all eight active sites. Chain C is shown, with active site residues His178, Cys151 and Ser150 depicted as sticks and NAD\(^+\) and phosphate depicted as ball-and-stick models. The final refined electron density map of phosphate is shown as black mesh contoured to 1.5 sigma (black = 2\(F_o\) – \(F_c\), 1.5 rmsd).

---
factors to indicate to the reader whether or not the atoms are within the observed electron density. The other strategy is to decrease the occupancy, to represent the fact that not every protein within the crystal has clearly identifiable atoms at this position. We have taken the latter approach, with occupancy ranging from 0.65 (chain C) to 1.0 (chains D and G).

Another observation is that phosphate is bound in all eight active sites (Fig. 3). The mechanism of catalysis requires two phosphate binding sites, one for the 3-phosphate of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (the substrate, or Ps, site) and the other for the inorganic phosphate that adds to the activated substrate to generate the 1,3-bisphosphate (the inorganic phosphate, or Pi, site). The actual picture of the active site that emerges from the consideration of GAPDH structures from many different organisms is more complex. It has been

Fig. 4 The effects of NAD\(^+\) binding on structure are highlighted by alignment of each chain to the fully occupied chain. Alpha carbons of each chain were aligned to chain D (100% NAD\(^+\) occupancy) and colored by RMSD deviation in a residue-by-residue pairwise comparison (red signifies greatest deviation, blue least, range 2.2–0.02 Å).

### Table 2 Occupancy of NAD\(^+\) and alignment to chain D

| Chain | NAD\(^+\) occupancy | Alignment RMSD |
|-------|----------------------|----------------|
| A     | 0.75                 | 0.2            |
| B     | 0.82                 | 0.2            |
| C     | 0.65                 | 0.3            |
| D     | 1.0                  | 0              |
| E     | 0.86                 | 0.2            |
| F     | 0.77                 | 0.2            |
| G     | 1.0                  | 0.07           |
| H     | 0.69                 | 0.2            |
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observed that there is more than one anion site in the active site. The Pi binding site identified original observations made on GAPDH from Bacillus stearothermophilus was not seen in subsequent structures of Thermotoga maritima GAPDH (reviewed in Cook49). By the consensus terminology of GAPDH anion-binding sites, the site occupied here in the Acropora millepora GAPDH structure is the new Pi site. The mechanistic consequences of these different inorganic phosphate binding sites are not entirely clear, nor is the significance of having one or the other site occupied in different protein preparations.48 In the case of A. millepora, we didn’t seek to experimentally enforce a particular type of phosphate occupancy, or even phosphate occupancy at all, but it is true that the protein was initially harvested from the E. coli host bacteria in a phosphate-containing buffer (50 mm sodium phosphate, pH 8.0).

The observation that the melting temperature of the protein increases in the presence of NAD\(^+\) suggests some structural change upon binding.49 A goal of these experiments was to compare atomic-resolution structures of the protein at 0 and 1 mM NAD\(^+\) concentrations. Though the protein crystallized under both conditions, the diffraction was poor for the 0 mM NAD\(^+\) condition. In addition, despite the fact that no NAD\(^+\) was added, electron density consistent with NAD\(^+\) occupation was observed in this position, apparently from endogenous NAD\(^+\) captured by the enzyme during expression in E. coli. Thus, we were not able to cleanly make the comparison between the apo and NAD\(^+\)-bound structures. The fact that this structure has eight chains with differing degrees of NAD\(^+\) occupancy allows us to consider the idea that we might be able to detect conformational differences associated with NAD\(^+\) binding from the single structure obtained at high NAD\(^+\) concentration. The occupancy was determined during structural refinement. After the apo enzyme had been refined, the NAD\(^+\) cofactors were placed into the electron density visible in the omit map. This was done via a combination of hand-fitting the cofactor into the electron density and by analogy to the structural homolog 4K9D (Brugia malayi GAPDH). It was observed during this process that a sphere of positive density correlated well with bound phosphates that have been observed in other GAPDH structures. These phosphates were observed in every chain, and were placed in the electron density by the same combination of fitting by eye and by analogy with the structure 4K9D. With both phosphate and NAD\(^+\) roughly placed, further refinement was carried out in Phenix using both individual B factors and occupancy, with automatic weighting of the geometrical restraints and the observed electron density. A comparison was also made to the maps generated by using the same strategy without refinement of occupancy. In the case where occupancy was set to 1.0, marked negative and positive difference density were observed in all chains except D and G. Allowing the occupancy to be refined did not completely resolve this problem, but this model, as expected, was fit much better by the \(2F_{o} - F_{c}\) map.

Having arrived at a model with NAD\(^+\) occupancy varying by chain, we then used the occupancy to roughly rank the extent of binding. In order to examine chain differences, we used chain D as a reference and aligned each chain to it in turn (Fig. 4). Areas of lower spatial agreement were colored red, while regions where the chains aligned well were colored white and blue. For clarity, the RMSD was plotted using only the alpha carbons and not the side chains. The plot reveals that regions of difference are fairly localized. The consensus difference is in the catalytic domain, from residues 187–199. This helix-loop structure is part of the S-loop region (residues 179–201), which has been seen to vary in GAPDH structures with and without bound NAD\(^+\).50,47 Indeed, a recent study has shown that this loop’s flexibility is such that it may be perturbed by the presence of cryoprotectants.48 In addition, one of the helix–helix interactions of the Rossmann fold is seen to vary among the eight chains of the AmGAPDH structure. This region comprises residues 75–90 and 102–113 and appears at the top of the structures in Fig. 4. For chain C, specifically, which is in the same tetramer as chain D but which has the poorest NAD\(^+\) occupancy, the turn at Phe33–Pro34 is acutely red, signifying the highest level of structural difference from the reference (D) subunit. This Pro is fairly common in GAPDH sequences but is not universally conserved. A recent structure of mammalian (bovine) GAPDH also shows these two residues to give the largest difference between NAD\(^+\)-bound and unbound chains.49 Globally, the alignment of all eight chains does make clear that the overall RMSD correlates well with the occupancy of NAD\(^+\) (Table 2). The present study illuminates the regions of the protein that change under conditions of high NAD\(^+\) occupancy. High NAD\(^+\) occupancy correlates to a state of increased thermal stability for the protein overall (Fig. 1). In order to tease out which conformational changes are associated with functional aspects of NAD\(^+\) binding (positioning of the cofactor for catalysis, for example) and which are most associated with thermal stability, a more complete study of stability across temperature would need to be undertaken, ideally with crystal structures providing snapshots of AmGAPDH mutants of varying thermal stability under conditions of varying NAD\(^+\) occupancy.

The question of whether or not the melting behavior at elevated NAD\(^+\) concentration represents either a physiologically or functionally relevant observation depends on a certain degree of extrapolation from the Thermostfluor assay. Acropora is a widely distributed coral that inhabits waters of varying depth, across the world’s oceans. Shallow-water coral may experience significant day–night temperature variation, but certainly the observed melting temperatures are outside the range of physiological exposure. Though it is reasonable to suppose that proteins with melting temperatures that are 10°C apart might have different thermally allowable motions at lower (more physiological) temperatures, the Thermostfluor methodology provides no direct insight into this question. The extent of these motions or packing of the structure are presumably the mechanisms whereby different melting behavior at high temperature might affect either enzymatic activity or structure at physiological temperature. We cannot definitively conclude that the effect we see has relevance to GAPDH activity. Nonetheless, the residues identified in this study probably represent a good starting place for further investigation into temperature–activity relationships in this enzyme.
In conclusion, we are interested in the temperature-sensitivity of metabolic enzymes from coral, because rising ocean temperatures have resulted in widespread coral bleaching. The GAPDH from the stony coral *Acropora millepora* was observed to melt at a significantly increased temperature in the presence of NAD⁺. The crystal structure of this co-complex reveals both that the eight active sites in the asymmetric unit have very different NAD⁺ occupancy and that the extent of cofactor occupancy correlates with conformational changes in the polypeptide backbone.

**Methods**

Cloning and expression

GAPDH from *Acropora millepora* was amplified from a cDNA library of coral obtained through the aquarium trade. The amino acid sequence obtained was >99% identical (332/333 residues) to a GAPDH sequence from the congener *A. digitifera* available in GenBank (accession no. XP_015752321.1). The gene was sub-cloned in ligation-independent manner into the bacterial expression vector pNIC-CTHF (Addgene plasmid # 26105, a gift from Opher Gileadi), which adds a 6×-histidinyl and FLAG tag to the C-terminus of the recombinant protein. Briefly, PCR primers were designed to add extensions on the 5’ end of the forward and reverse cDNA strands, matching vector sequences that flank the cloning site, as described in ref. 49. Cohesive ends were generated by digesting the pNIC-CTHF vector with restriction enzyme BfuAI (New England Biolabs), and both vector and insert were treated with T4 DNA polymerase in the presence of complementary nucleotides (dCTP for the vector, dGTP for the insert). 1 μL of vector and 2 μL of insert were used to anneal and transform into 50 μL Turbo competent *E. coli* (New England Biolabs), which were grown overnight at 37 °C on 5% sucrose – 50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin LB-agar plates. The accuracy of the construct was confirmed by sequencing both strands. Heterologous expression was carried out in the BL21(DE3) strain of *E. coli* (Invitrogen). TB broth was inoculated with a dilution of 1 : 50 with an overnight culture in the presence of 50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin. After three hours of growth at 37 °C with shaking at 220 rpm, the temperature of the shaker was lowered to 20 °C, and protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.8 μM IPTG. After overnight incubation, cells were collected by centrifugation and sonicated in approximately 20 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole). The lysate was cleared by high-speed centrifugation and the clarified lysate rocked with 1 mL of nickel resin slurry (Profinity IMAC resin, BioRad) for 1 hour at 4°C. The resin was washed with 100 mL of wash buffer (50 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole). Protein was eluted from the resin with 10–15 mL of elution buffer (50 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole). The eluted protein was dialyzed at 4 °C with stirring overnight into dialysis into dialysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM calcium chloride), then concentrated by centrifugation. Concentration was approximated by UV/vis (NanoDrop, Thermo Fisher), with the absorption coefficient taken to be 1 AU = 1 mg mL⁻¹. When a concentration of 5–15 mg mL⁻¹ had been reached, purified recombinant GAPDH was flash-frozen in 5–100 μL aliquots in thin-walled microcentrifuge tubes dropped into liquid nitrogen.

To purify recombinant GAPDH for the Thermofluor assay (below), a 250 mL overnight culture was lysed with Cellytic B (SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, MO) containing lysozyme (1 mg mL⁻¹), benzonase (50 U mL⁻¹) and protease inhibitors (ProBlock Gold, Gold Biotechnology, St. Louis, MO). After a 15 minute incubation at RT with rocking, the lysate was cleared by centrifugation and passed through a column containing 2 mL of His-Select nickel affinity gel (SigmaAldrich) at a rate of 0.6 mL min⁻¹, which had been pre-washed with 40 mL wash buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). The column was washed with another 72 mL of wash buffer, and recombinant GAPDH was eluted and collected in 4 mL fractions using elution buffer (wash buffer containing 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). GAPDH activity was measured spectrophotometrically by following the reduction of NAD⁺ at 340 nM, and fractions with the greatest activity were pooled. The enzyme was further purified into GAPDH dilution buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.3 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) via FPLC, using an S-200 size exclusion column, and purity was assessed via SDS-PAGE followed by staining with colloidal Coomassie stain. Purified GAPDH was used immediately in the Thermofluor assay.

Melting temperature

In order to assess the thermal stability of the recombinant protein, melting curves were determined using a Thermofluor (also known as a Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF)) assay.

This assay uses a real-time thermal cycler to ramp temperature while monitoring changes in fluorescence of a dye that is quenched by water but fluoresces when exposed to the hydrophobic residues of partially unfolded proteins. Thus, an increase in fluorescence gives a direct indication of the apparent melting temperature (Tₘ) of the protein. The minimum of the first derivative of the change in fluorescence is used to determine the inflection point of the melting curve, and thus the Tₘ.

After purification, GAPDH was diluted to a nominal concentration of 150 μg mL⁻¹ in assay buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.3 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) containing 1× dilution of Sypro Orange dye (Invitrogen), and containing either no NAD⁺ or 1 mM NAD⁺. Samples were transferred to thin-walled strip cap microcentrifuge tubes optimized for fluorescence (real-time PCR tube strips, Eppendorf). Strips were placed in a real-time cycler (Bio-Rad C1000, with the CFX96 Real-Time accessory), and temperature was ramped from 20 to 95 °C in 0.5 °C increments for 30 s each. Relative fluorescence was measured using the FRET channel, and BioRad CFX manager software collected fluorescence data and calculated the 1st derivative of fluorescence, to provide an indication of Tₘ. Assays of melting with and without NAD⁺ were run in triplicate, and Tₘ is reported as the average of the three runs.

Crystallization

Following the report of Moreau, crystallization was attempted without further purification beyond the nickel column. Samples of protein at 5–15 mg mL⁻¹ were thawed and screened
by sitting drop vapor diffusion. Protein crystals were observed in several conditions of the initial 96-well screens. For example, crystallization was seen in the following conditions of the JCSG+ screen (Molecular Dimensions): 1.6 M sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate pH 6.5 (B11); 0.2 M potassium citrate tribasic monohydrate, 20% w/v PEG 3350 (B12); and 0.1 M bicine pH 9.0, 10% w/v PEG 6000 (E10). Follow-up screening gave reproducible crystals near all three conditions. The crystals selected for irradiation were those grown as thin plates from 1.6 M sodium citrate, pH 6.5. Well solution was diluted 1:5 with ethylene glycol and brought to a concentration of 50 mM NAD+. Crystals were transferred into a 10 µL drop of this mixture at room temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by immersion into liquid nitrogen, then directly loaded into pucks soaking. The co-crystals were looped and cryocooled by temperature by cryoloop and soaked for 3 min without back-soaking.

In order to avoid model bias, the coordinates were randomized and reﬁnement proceeded with a protein-only model using simulated annealing. After several rounds of reﬁnement, the electron density of the ligands was ﬁtted by eye with NAD+, using restraints generated by ELBOW. Particularly in areas of low NAD+ occupancy, there is signiﬁcant risk that electron density in these binding sites may come from crystallization additves, buffer components, etc. The indicator that was used for assigning NAD+ to the electron density was the presence of the two distinctively spaced electron-dense phosphorus atoms of the diphosphate linkage. For inorganic phosphate sites, the observed electron density is greater than can be modeled with water, and the protein was puriﬁed from phosphate buffer, but we cannot rule out the possibility that the sites might be occupied by other species. With the assistance of real-space occupancy validation within Coot, problematic areas of the structure were rebuilt by hand. In addition, geometric outliers were corrected. Several rounds of reﬁnement were undertaken to optimize the map quality. Iterative building and reﬁnement were undertaken to attempt to balance fit to the density, as assessed by Rfree, and geometric considerations checked by MolProbity.23 The PDB_REDO server was also employed during this iterative reﬁnement.24 A ﬁnal reﬁnement step was performed using TLS groups, torsional NCS, occupancy reﬁnement, and automated determination of the optimal stereochemistry/X-ray weighting within Phenix. The resulting structure was ﬁnally reﬁned with Refmac and validated using MolProbity and the wwPDB validation server.25 The reﬁned model and its structure factors were deposited to the PDB under the PDB ID 6PX2.

In order to establish cofactor occupancy, the individual temperature factors of all atoms and occupancy of all residues were reﬁned against the X-ray data. The best-occupied NAD+ cofactors are found in chains D and G. The lowest occupancy is seen in chains C and H (Table 2). Even in these binding pockets without clear electron density for NAD+, though, there is clear evidence of binding (Fig. 2), as the diphosphate linkage that joins the two nucleotides can be observed in all cases. At a rather generous electron density contour level (1.0 sigma), electron density for parts of the ribose, nicotinamide and adenine rings may be observed.
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