Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomal miR-25 Regulates the Ubiquitination and Degradation of Runx2 by SMURF1 to Promote Fracture Healing in Mice
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Recent evidence has demonstrated that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can release a large number of functionally specific microRNA (miRNA) microvesicles that play a role in promoting osteogenic differentiation, but the specific mechanism is not yet clear. Under such context, this study aims to elucidate the mechanism of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes (BMSC-Exo) promoting fracture healing in mice. We isolated and identified the BMSC-Exo. Bioinformatics analysis predicted high expression of miRNA in exosomes and verified the transfer of miR-25 in exosomes by immunofluorescence. Targeting relationship between miR-25 and Smad ubiquitination regulatory factor-1 (SMURF1) was predicted and verified by dual-luciferase reporter gene assay. Immunoprecipitation and protein stability assays were used to detect Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) ubiquitination and the effect of SMURF1 on Runx2 ubiquitination, respectively. The effect of miR-25 in BMSC-Exo on fracture healing in mice was assessed using X-ray imaging, alkaline phosphatase, alizarin red staining, EdU, CCK-8, and Transwell were used to evaluate the effects of exosomes transferred miR-25 on osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts. Bioinformatics analysis predicted that miR-25 expression in exosomes increased significantly. Moreover, the targeted regulation of SMURF1 by miR-25 was verified. SMURF1 inhibited Runx2 protein expression by promoting ubiquitination degradation of Runx2. Notably, miR-25 secreted by BMSC-Exo can accelerate osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts through SMURF1/Runx2 axis. Our results demonstrate that miR-25 in BMSC-Exo regulates the ubiquitination degradation of Runx2 by SMURF1 to promote fracture healing in mice.
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INTRODUCTION

Fracture non-union occurs in 10–20% of fractures and has a significant impact on quality of life and total cost of care. It is a costly and difficult clinical problem (1). Fracture healing is an extremely complex process that is regulated by thousands of genes and is significantly affected by the surrounding microenvironment and the cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and other
molecules in it (2). In-depth study of the fracture healing mechanism is expected to provide a promising way for fracture treatment, especially for the elderly, thereby improving the quality of life.

There have been mounting approaches available to enhance fracture healing, including biophysical and biological methods (3). Among them, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can differentiate into multi-lineage cells, suggesting their important prospects in the field of regenerative therapy. However, more and more evidences have proved that when used in cell therapy, MSCs differentiating into osteoblasts does not seem to be the main mechanism for their function (4). On the other hand, it has been proved that MSCs can secrete a variety of exosomes, which can be transported to and absorbed by surrounding or distant cells, and then regulate receptor cells to play diverse biological functions, such as protecting myocardium and kidney, improving ischemia, delaying liver fibrosis, improving pulmonary edema, inducing epithelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis to promote wound healing, promoting muscle regeneration, and neuroprotective activity (5). microRNA (miRNA) is an important component of exosomes, which can repair tissue damage by promoting proliferation and inhibiting cell apoptosis and many other ways (6). Given the bioinformatics analysis prior to our investigation, miR-25 was identified as a promising miRNA implicated in fracture healing. miR-25 has been recognized as an oncogenic miRNA in association with tumorigenesis as well as being implicated in pathogenesis of various disorders (7). More importantly, miR-25 has been reported to be functional as a cargo of exosomes derived from colorectal cancer cells and liposarcoma cells (8, 9), highly suggestive of its function involving exosome transfer. Additionally, it is essential to investigate the underlying mechanism of osteoblast differentiation induced by miRNAs derived from MSC-secreted exosomes so that we may have deeper understanding of bone loss diseases and it would contribute to the development of MSC-based therapeutic strategies for bone diseases. Raghuvaran Narayanan et al. (10) illustrated that exosomes of MSCs can cause significant upregulation of various genes, including growth factors such as bone morphogenetic protein 9 (BMP9) and transforming growth factor-β, transcription factors, and extracellular matrix molecules, thus testifying that MSC-derived exosomes have the potential to induce osteogenic differentiation. Other researchers have found that a variety of miRNAs were upregulated in MSC-derived exosomes, and the differentially expressed miRNAs were related to osteogenesis and promoting fracture healing (11, 12). The potential mechanism proposed above aims to explain the enhanced osteogenic effect of exosomes. However, the signaling pathways and molecular mechanisms of exosomes promoting fracture healing needs further study.

In this study, we tested that miRNAs in exosomes derived from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMScs) (BMSC-Exo) were differentially expressed. In order to explore the molecular mechanism of BMSC-Exo derived miRNA on fracture healing, we verified that miR-25 was highly expressed in BMSC-Exo and the efficacy of MSC-Exo to promote osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts was mediated by miR-25. In addition, we deeply explored the downstream pathway of BMSC-Exo-derived miR-25 involved in fracture healing and pointed out that exosome-transferred miR-25 promoted fracture healing through the SMURF1/Runx2 axis. This study investigated the role of BMSC-Exo in the process of osteogenesis and fracture healing and revealed the regulatory mechanism of BMSC-Exo to promote fracture healing, which may contribute to the development of new strategies for fracture treatment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

miRNA microarray dataset GSE116726 loaded with annotation platform file GPL20712 was retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), including three control samples and three intervertebral disc degeneration samples. Differentially expressed miRNAs were screened out using “limma” package of R language (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/releases/bioc/html/limma.html) with |logFoldChange| > 1.5 and p < 0.001 as the threshold value. Osteoporosis-related miRNAs were retrieved in OsteoporosAtlas (http://biokb.ncpsb.org/osteoporosis/). The principal miRNAs that can promote osteogenesis and fracture healing were predicted by obtaining an intersection of osteoporosis-related miRNAs and significantly poorly expressed miRNAs in GSE116726 through jvenn tool (http://jvenn.toulouse.inra.fr/app/example.html). For further investigation on downstream regulatory mechanism of miRNAs, SMURF1 was predicted to be a possible target gene of miR-25 as revealed from a bioinformatics website (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/).

**Cell Culture and Transfection**

The mouse osteogenic cell line, MC3T3-E1, was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (https://www.atcc.org/) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% of fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10100147, Gibco BRL, Invitrogen, CA, USA) at 37°C under 5% CO₂.

LV5-GFP (lentivirus harboring overexpressed gene vector) and pSIH1-H1-copGFP [lentivirus harboring short hairpin RNA (shRNA) fluorescent expression vector] were used to construct a lentiviral packaging system. SMURF1 shRNA, Runx2 shRNA, and negative control shRNA (sh-NC) were obtained from Gene Pharma (Shanghai, China). The package virus was co-transfected with target vectors into HEK293T cells, and the supernatant was collected after 48 h of cell culture. The supernatant after filtration and centrifugation contained virus particles, and the virus titer was detected. Viruses growing in exponential phase were collected and divided into the sh-NC, OE-NC, OE-SMURF1, sh-Runx2-1, and sh-Runx2-2 groups according to different transfection protocols. Cells in logarithmic phase were detached with trypsin, dispersed into cell suspension at a density of 5 × 10⁶ cells/ml and seeded in a 6-well plate (2 ml/well) for incubation overnight at 37°C. Then, 48 h after infection, the expression of related genes in each group of cells was detected using reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).
Co-culture of MSCs and MC3T3-E1C Cells

Totally, 2 × 10⁶ MC3T3-E1C cells were seeded in the basolateral chambers of Transwell (3412, Corning, USA) while 4 × 10⁵ MSCs or MSCs treated with 10 μM GW4869 (inhibitor for exosome secretion) (D1692, Sigma, USA) were plated in the apical chambers (13, 14). After culture for 4–5 days, medium was renewed every 1–2 days. MC3T3-E1C cells were collected for subsequent experiments.

Animal Fracture Model

All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Second Hospital of Jilin University. Male C57BL/6J mice (n = 60; 6 weeks old) from the laboratory animal center of the Second Hospital of Jilin University. Anesthesia was performed with pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg body weight, 3%). Mice were sterilized with 0.5% povidone iodine solution, followed by blunt object, and posterolateral incision separation to establish a mouse right femur fracture model on a sterile workbench. The femur in the central shaft was cut with a diamond disk and the fracture was stabilized with a 0.6-mm intramedullary needle. Half of the mice were killed 14 days after the fracture to obtain bone calluses for further analysis. The rest were killed for follow-up investigations.

Treatment of Fracture Model Mice

First, the fracture sites of the mice were found and marked with X-ray. Then, 100 μl phosphate buffer saline (PBS) or 100 μl exosomes (10¹⁰ tablets) were injected into the fracture site (the skin of the fracture site was identified and labeled during radiographic examination). The above measures should be taken on the 0, 4th, and 7th days after fracture.

Histologic and Immunohistochemistry Analyses

The reserved callus tissues were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde. Sections of paraffin-embedded callus (5 μm thick) were then stained with hematoxylin, eosin (H&E), alcin blue, and proliferating nuclear antigen (PCNA). For immunohistochemistry, the callus sections were dewaxed, and rehydrated, followed by antigen retrieval using ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid-citrate buffer (pH = 6.0; G1202, Servicebio, Wuhan, Hubei, China). The sections were blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA) (G5001, Servicebio) and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody to PCNA (ab29, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). After incubation with secondary goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) for 50 min, the sections were fixed and then imaged using DP73 CCD Olympus imaging system (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and Olympus BX51 microscope.

Flow Cytometry

The mouse BMSCs were purchased from the Cyagen Biosciences Inc. (Suzhou, Jiangsu, China) (https://www.cyagen.com/cn/zh-cn/product/bone-marrow-msc-MUBMX-01001.html) and cultured in H-DMEM (Solarbio Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) with 10% FBS at 37°C with 5% CO₂ under saturated humidity. The contents of related markers of BMSCs were detected by flow cytometry. The cells were found to be positive for BMSC markers, including CD29 (ab21845, Abcam), CD44 (ab25024, Abcam), and CD73 (ab239246, Abcam) while negative for hematopoietic markers, including CD34 (ab18224, Abcam), CD45 (ab27287, Abcam), and human leukocyte antigen-DR (HLA-DR) (ab1182, Abcam).

Exosome Isolation and Purification

In order to avoid the influence of exosomes, PBS used in the subsequent experiments were centrifuged for 16 h at 100,000 × g and 4°C (Beckman Coulter Avanti j-30i, USA) to remove exosomes. After incubation for 48–72 h, cell culture medium was collected and exosomes were extracted by ultracentrifugation. In short, the cell medium was centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min, at 2,000 × g for 15 min, and at 12,000 × g for 30 min to remove floating cells and cellular debris, followed by treatment with 0.22-μm filter. The collected supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g and 4°C for 2 h, washed with PBS, subjected to a second round of ultracentrifugation under the same conditions, and resuspended in PBS to obtain BMSC-secreted exosomes (BMSC-Exo). Exosomes were used immediately for subsequent experiments or stored at −80°C.

Detection of Exosome Uptake

FAMmiR-25 was transfected into BMSCs and exosomes were extracted. The purified BMSC-Exo was mixed with 1 μM Dil (Invitrogen), and the exosome-Dil suspension was incubated under conventional conditions for 5 min. By using a 70Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) for 1-h centrifugation at 4°C and 100,000 × g, the excess Dil was removed from the labeled exosomes. The precipitate was resuspended in PBS. Dil-labeled exosomes were co-cultured with MC3T3-E1C cells for 6 h, which were then washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. The uptake of exosome was visualized with fluorescence microscope.

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from callus tissues using Trizol (15596026, Invitrogen), and cDNA was synthesized with the Prime Script RT Reagent Kit (RR047A, Takara). The sample was added with the SYBR Premix EX Taq kit (RR420A, Takara) and subjected to RT-qPCR in the real-time fluorescence qPCR (ABI7500, ABI, Foster City, CA, USA). Three duplicate holes were prepared for each sample. Primer sequences of SMURF1 and Runx2 were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) (Table 1). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the internal control for SMURF1 and Runx2, and U6 as the internal control for miR-25a, and the relative expression of the product was evaluated using the 2−ΔΔCT method.

Western Blot Analysis

Total proteins were extracted from tissues or cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer lysis buffer (R0010, Solarbio). The protein was lysed at 4°C for 15 min and centrifuged at 15,000 r/min for 15 min. The supernatant was...
extracted. Bicinchoninic acid kit (20201ES76, Yeasen Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was used to determine the protein concentration of each sample. Quantification was performed according to different concentrations. After separation by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, the protein was transferred onto the polyvinylidene fluoride membrane by wet method and blocked with 5% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 (TBST) for 5 min, three times in total and incubated in The dual luciferase reporter vector of SMURF1 (R: 5′-CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA-3′) at the binding site of miR-25 and the mutant plasmids were co-transfected into 293T cells, respectively. After transfection, the cells were cultured in 0.5% TritonX-100/PBS, and stained using Apollo solution for three to four times. Finally, 15 µl of 2× sodium dodecyl sulfate loading buffer was added and boiled for 5 min. Western blot analysis was performed to detect changes in Runx2 ubiquitination levels.

**IP Assays**

Immunoprecipitation experiments were performed using anti-FLAG or anti-Myc-conjugated agarose (30 µl/sample). Precipitates were subjected to a cell-counting process using antibodies against Myc and HA tags, respectively. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) was conducted on differentiated MC3T3-E1C cells were performed using antibodies to SMURF1 (ab236081, 1:100, Abcam), and Runx2 (ab192256, 1:200, Abcam) secondary antibody of rabbit antibody to IgG (Pierce, Franklin Park, IL, USA) as previously reported (15).

**Dual-Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay**

The dual luciferase reporter vector of SMURF1 3′untranslated region (3′UTR) at the binding site of miR-25 and the mutant plasmids [pmirGLO-SMURF1-wide type (WT) and PmirGLO-SMURF1-mutant (MUT)] were constructed, respectively. The reporter plasmids miR-25 mimic and negative control (NC) plasmids were co-transfected into 293T cells, respectively. After transfection for 24 h, the cells were lysed and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 1 min, and the supernatant was collected. Luciferase activity was detected by a dual-luciferase reporter gene assay system (Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System, E1910, Promega, Madison, WI, USA). In each sample, 100 µl firefly/Renilla luciferase working solution was added to detect firefly/Renilla luciferase activity. The ratio of firefly luciferase activity to Renilla luciferase activity was used as relative luciferase activity.

**Cell Counting Kit-8 Assay**

The cells were cultured to the logarithmic growth stage, and then inoculated into a 96-well plate (1 × 10^4 cells/well). Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) solution (10 µl/sample) was added to each well and incubated with cells at 37°C for 4 h. Then the absorbance at 450 nm was measured by microplate reader (Synergy 4; BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) to calculate the number of living cells.

**5-Ethynyl-2′-Deoxyuridine Assay**

Cell growth was detected by Cell-Light 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) Apollo 567 In vitro kit (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China). After transfection, the cells were cultured in a 96-well plate (1 × 10^6/well) for 48 h, followed by incubation with an EdU-labeled medium of 50 µM for 2 h. Then, the cells were immobilized in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeated in 0.5% TritonX-100/PBS, and stained using Apollo solution and Hoechst33342. An inverted fluorescence microscope
I X73-AIZFL/PH (OLYMPUS Corporation, Japan) was used to generate cell images.

Transwell Migration Assay
The cells (1.5 x 10^4 cells/wells, three replicates in each group) were resuspended in the medium with 5% FBS and inoculated into the apical chambers (8 µm) of a 24-well Transwell plate (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). The basolateral chambers were filled with a complete medium (containing 10% FBS) containing the test compound. After 12 h, the cells on the upper surface of the filter membrane were washed and 0.5% crystal violet was used to stain the cells that migrated to the lower surface. An optical microscope (Leica DMI6000B, Germany) was used to observe the migration of cells.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis of the data in this study was performed using SPSS 21.0 statistical software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The measurement data were represented as mean ± standard deviation. Comparison between two groups was conducted via unpaired t-test, and the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison between multiple groups, and Tukey’s was used for post-test. The data of each group at different time points were compared by Bonferroni-corrected repeated measures ANOVA. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
BMSC-Exo Biology Identification
Transplantation of MSCs has been reported to promote tissue regeneration, including fracture healing (16). Interestingly, Ochi et al. found that BMSCs can accelerate fracture healing via secreting exosomes (12). To further reveal the molecular mechanism of BMSCs in fracture healing, BMSCs were successfully isolated from mice. Next, flow cytometry was used to detect the expression of BMSC surface marker CD29, CD44, CD73, CD34, CD45, and HLA-DR. The flow cytometry showed that the isolated cells were positive for CD29, CD44, and CD73 while negative for CD34, CD45, and HLA-DR, indicating that the isolated cells were BMSCs (Figure 1A) (17).

Furthermore, we evaluated the ability of MSCs to induce differentiation in vitro, and the results showed that BMSCs have osteogenic and adipogenic abilities (Figures 1B,C). Exosomes were isolated and purified from human BMSC culture medium by hypercentrifugation. The size distribution of exosomes was measured with dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Figure 1D), revealing exosome diameter distribution range between 30 and 100 nm. The morphology of exosomes was observed using transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Figure 1E); results of which showed that exosomes were cup shaped or spherical relative to cell lysates. Western blot assay of exosome marker proteins (CD63, TSG101, and calnexin) showed that CD63 and TSG101 were highly expressed while calnexin was poorly expressed (Figure 1F) (17). The above results proved that we successfully extracted BMSC-Exo. In order to further investigate whether osteoblasts can uptake BMSCs-Exo, exosomes were labeled with a fluorescent dye (PKH67) and then added with MC3T3-E1C cells for incubation for 12 h. Green fluorescence was observed in cells under fluorescence microscope (Figure 1G). In summary, BMSC-Exo was successfully isolated and effectively up taken by osteoblasts.

Exosomal miR-25-Mediated MSC-Exo Promotes Osteogenic Differentiation, Proliferation, and Migration of Osteoblasts
Recent evidence suggests that MSCs can release a great amount of miRNA microvesicles with a variety of functional properties (18) and play an important role in promoting angiogenesis (19).
and osteogenic differentiation (20), but the specific mechanism remains to be studied.

To determine the miRNA to key mediation of MSCs, 234 differentially expressed miRNAs were obtained from skeletal injury-related microarray dataset GSE116726, including 106 upregulated miRNAs and 128 downregulated miRNAs (Figure 2A). Based on OsteoporosAtlas, 131 miRNAs were obtained in association with osteoporosis, followed by an interaction with downregulated miRNAs from GSE116726, revealing seven miRNAs that might promote osteogenesis and fracture healing (Figure 2B). A corresponding heat map displayed the expression of seven candidate miRNAs (Figure 2C). Notably, miR-25 has been reported to be from MSCs (21). Therefore, it was inferred that miR-25 might be involved in promoting action of MSC-Exo on osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts.

Under such context, we hypothesized that BMSC-Exo promotes osteogenic differentiation of cells by transferring specific miRNA. RT-qPCR confirmed the enrichment of miR-25 in BMSC-Exo (Figure 2D). To examine whether BMSC-Exo transferred miR-25 to osteoblasts MC3T3-E1C, we first transfected the BMSCs with FAM-labeled (green) miR-25, then labeled the secreted exosomes containing FAM-miR-25 with DiI (red), and co-cultured the exosomes with Hoechst-labeled (blue) MC3T3-E1C cells. The results showed that red and green signals were detected in MC3T3-E1C cytoplasm co-cultured with exosomes (Figure 2E). Moreover, the expression level of miR-25 in MC3T3-E1C cells was significantly increased after co-culture of exosomes and BMSCs, but there was no difference regarding the expression of miR-25 in MC3T3-E1C cells when compared with that in MC3T3-E1C cells after co-culture with GW4869 (Figure 2F). Thus, the transfer of miR-25 in vitro was confirmed.

In order to assess the effect of exosome-transferred miR-25 on osteogenic differentiation of osteoblasts, we downregulated the expression of miR-25 in BMSCs with miR-25 inhibitor, and RT-qPCR showed that the expression of miR-25 in exosomes with miR-25 inhibitor was significantly decreased compared with the control group (Figure 2G). After co-culture of BMSCs with MC3T3-E1C, there was no statistical difference regarding the expression of miR-25 between the MC3T3-E1C + miR-25 inhibitor and t MC3T3-E1C cells alone (Figure 2H). Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and alizarin red staining were used to detect the osteogenic ability of cells in all groups. The ALP staining area in the MC3T3-E1C + miR-25 inhibitor group was smaller than that in the NC group (MC3T3-E1C + inhibitor NC). Mineral precipitation in cells was significantly reduced (Figures 2I,J). EdU and CCK-8 assays showed that miR-25 inhibitor inhibited the proliferation of MC3T3-E1C cells (Figures 2K,L). Transwell migration assay was used to assess the migration capacity of cells in all groups. Compared with the MC3T3-E1C + inhibitor NC group, the migration of cells in the MC3T3-E1C + miR-25 inhibitor group was significantly reduced (Figure 2M). In summary, miR-25 could promote osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts through MSC-Exo.

MSC-Exo Promotes Fracture Healing in Mice by Upregulating miR-25

To further validate whether MSC-Exo promotes fracture healing in mice through miR-25 in vivo, we knocked down the! expression of miR-25 in BMSCs and extracted exosomes. Mice were injected with MSC-Exo after fracture, and the expression of miR-25 was determined by RT-qPCR on days 4, 7, 14, and 21 after fracture. RT-qPCR assay showed that, compared with the Exo (inhibitor NC) group, the expression of miR-25 in the Exo (miR-25 inhibitor) group was significantly decreased, with no significant difference from the control group without exosomes (Figure 3A). The healing of bone formation during fracture healing in mice was evaluated on days 7, 14, and 21 after fracture (Figure 3B). On day 14 after fracture, immunohistochemistry assay showed that PCNA positivity was more abundant in tissue sections of Exo (inhibitor NC) group (Figure 3C). H&E staining showed that the proportion of mineralization in the Exo (inhibitor NC) group was higher than the control group (Figure 3D), suggesting better healing.

SMURF1 Is a Downstream Target of miR-25

To get insight of the downstream regulatory mechanism of miR-25, we performed further analysis with bioinformatics Website (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/) and found that SMURF1 may be the target of miR-25 (Figure 4A). Furthermore, it has recently been reported that SMURF1 participate in osteogenesis differentiation (22). Next, we verified the targeting relationship between miR-25 and SMURF1, and dual-luciferase reporter gene assay showed that the co-transfection of miR-25 with PmirGLO-SMURF1-WT resulted in a significant reduction in fluorescence intensity, compared with the co-transfection with PmirGLO-SMURF1-MUT in the mimic NC group, but there was no difference regarding the co-transfection with miR-25 and PmirGLO-SMURF1-MUT (Figure 4B). To verify the regulatory relationship between miR-25 and SMURF1, we overexpressed/interfered with miR-25 in MC3T3-E1CC cells. Western blot analysis and RT-qPCR showed that miR-25 expression significantly increased and SMURF1 expression significantly decreased in the miR-25 mimic group compared with the mimic NC group. In contrast, miR-25 expression was significantly decreased and SMURF1 expression was significantly increased in the miR-25 inhibitor group (Figures 4C-E). The above results demonstrated that SMURF1 is a downstream target of miR-25.

miR-25 Promotes Osteogenic Differentiation, Proliferation, and Migration of Osteoblasts via SMURF1

Next, we overexpressed miR-25 or both miR-25 and SMURF1 in MC3T3-E1C cells. RT-qPCR showed that the expression of miR-25 significantly increased and SMURF1 significantly decreased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group compared with the mimic NC + OE-NC group. Compared with the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group, the expression of miR-25 in the miR-25 mimic + OE-SMURF group showed no significant change, and SUMRF1
significantly increased (Figure 5A). Western blot analysis for determination on SMURF1 protein level revealed same results with those of RT-qPCR (Figure 5B). Next, ALP and alicar in red staining were used to evaluate the osteogenic ability of cells in all groups, and we found that the ALP staining area increased and the mineral precipitate in cells significantly increased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group. In contrast, the ALP staining area and mineral precipitate in cells were significantly reduced in the miR-25 mimic + OE-SMURF1 group in comparison with the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group (Figures 5C,D). In addition, EdU and CCK-8 assay showed that the cell proliferation rate was significantly increased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group and significantly decreased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-SMURF1 group (Figures 5E,F). Furthermore, we confirmed that cell migration capacity was significantly increased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-NC group and significantly decreased in the miR-25 mimic + OE-SMURF1 group via Transwell migration assay (Figure 5G). Taken together, miR-25 promotes osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts through SMURF1.
SMURF1 Degrades Runx2 by Promoting Ubiquitination

Subsequent experiments were performed for the molecular mechanism of SMURF1 regulating osteogenic differentiation. Inhibition of SMURF1 has been reported to significantly increase Runx2 at the protein level, which is associated with reduced ubiquitination of Runx2 (23), and Runx2 is an osteogenic differentiation factor that promotes cellular osteogenic differentiation and fracture healing (24). Therefore, we hypothesized that SMURF1 affects osteogenic differentiation of cells through ubiquitination of Runx2. To address this hypothesis, we first overexpressed or interfered SMURF1 in MC3T3-E1C cells. The expression efficiency of SMURF1 was assessed by RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis, and
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FIGURE 4 | SMURF1 is a downstream target of miR-25. (A) Bioinformatics predicted the binding site of miR-25 to SMURF1. (B) Dual-luciferase assay confirmed the relationship between miR-25 and SMURF1. (C) The expression of miR-25 in each group was detected by RT-qPCR. (D) The expression of SMURF1 in each group was detected by RT-qPCR. (E) Protein expression levels of SMURF1 in each group, *p < 0.05 compared with the mimic NC group, #p < 0.05 compared with the inhibitor NC group. (The results were measurement data, which were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Unpaired t-test was used for comparison between two groups, and one-way ANOVA was used for comparison among multiple groups, and Tukey’s was used for post-test. The experiment was repeated three times independently).

FIGURE 5 | miR-25 facilitates osteogenic differentiation, proliferation and migration of osteoblasts via SMURF1. (A) The mRNA expression levels of miR-25 and SMURF1 in each group were detected by RT-qPCR. (B) SMURF1 protein level determined by Western blot analysis. (C) ALP staining was used to evaluate the osteogenic differentiation of cells. (D) ARS staining was used to evaluate the osteogenic differentiation of cells. (E) Cell proliferation in each group was detected using EdU assay. (F) The cell proliferation in all groups was evaluated by CCK-8 assay. (G) Transwell assay was used to detect the migration of cells in all groups, *p < 0.05 compared with the OE-NC group, #p < 0.05 compared with the OE-SMURF1 group. (The results were measurement data, which were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-mortem-test were used for comparison among multiple groups. The data of each group at different time points were compared, the repeated measures ANOVA was adopted, and the post-mortem-test was conducted by Bonferroni. The experiment was repeated for three times independently).

sh-SMURF1-1 was selected for subsequent experiments (Figures 6A,B).

Next, Western blot analysis was used to evaluate Runx2 expression, and we found that compared with the OE-NC group, the protein expression of Runx2 in the OE-SMURF1 group was significantly decreased, and the protein expression of Runx2 in the sh-SMURF1-1 and sh-SMURF1-2 group was significantly increased compared with the sh-NC group while
FIGURE 6 | SMURF1 degrades Runx2 by promoting ubiquitination. (A) SMURF1 expression was detected by RT-qPCR. (B) The protein expression of SMURF1 in each group was evaluated by Western blot analysis. (C) The protein expression of Runx2 in each group was evaluated by Western blot analysis. *p < 0.05 compared with the OE-NC group. #p < 0.05 compared with the sh-NC group. (D) The binding of SMURF1 and Runx2 in the cells was detected by IP assay. (E) The effect of SMURF1 on the stability of Runx2 was detected by Western blot analysis after treatment with CHX. (F) After SMURF1 was overexpressed in cells, IP assay showed a decrease in Runx2 ubiquitination. (G) The effect of SMURF1 overexpression on Runx2 expression in cells was detected by Western blot analysis after MG132 treatment. (The results were measurement data, which were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-mortem-test were used for comparison among multiple groups. The data of each group at different time points were compared, the repeated measures ANOVA was adopted, and the postmortem-test was conducted by Bonferroni. The experiment was repeated for three times independently).

Exosomal Transferred miR-25 Promotes Osteogenic Differentiation, Proliferation, and Migration of Osteoblasts via the SMURF1/Runx2 Axis

In order to determine whether exosomal transferred miR-25 affects osteogenic differentiation through the SMURF1/Runx2 axis, we first interfered with Runx2 in MC3T3-E1C cells and then detected the interference efficiency by RT-qPCR. Sh-Runx2-1 was selected for subsequent experiments because of its higher interference efficiency (Figure 7A). Next, MC3T3-E1C cells after interference were co-cultured with BMSCs. RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis showed that the expression of miR-25 and Runx2 in sh-NC + BMSC group after co-culture was significantly increased and SMURF1 expression was significantly decreased (Figure 7B). However, the expression of miR-25 and SMURF1 in the sh-Runx2-1 + BMSC group did not change significantly, while the expression of Runx2 decreased significantly relative to the sh-NC + BMSC group (Figure 7C). ALP and alizarin red staining were used to evaluate the osteogenic ability of cells. As shown in Figures 7D,E, compared with the sh-NC group, the ALP staining area in the sh-NC + BMSC group increased and the mineral precipitate in the cells significantly increased. In addition, compared with the sh-NC + BMSC group, the ALP staining area in the sh-Runx2-1 + BMSC group decreased and the mineral precipitate in the cells significantly decreased. Moreover, EdU and CCK-8 assay revealed that the proliferation rate of cells in the sh-NC + BMSC group observably increased compared with the sh-NC group but significantly decreased in the sh-Runx2-1 + BMSC group compared with the sh-NC + BMSC group (Figures 7F,G). Similarly, Transwell migration assay was used to evaluate the migration capacity of cells, and as
FIGURE 7 | Exosomal transferred miR-25 promotes osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts via the SMURF1/Runx2 axis. (A) The expression efficiency of Runx2 was detected with RT-qPCR after MC3T3-E1 cells treated with interfered Runx2. (B) The expression efficiency of miR-25 was evaluated by RT-qPCR. (C) Western blot analysis of SMURF1 and Runx2 expression. (D) ALP staining was used to detect the osteogenic differentiation of cells. (E) ARS staining was used to detect the osteogenic differentiation of cells. (F) The proliferation of cells was determined by EdU assay. (G) CCK-8 assay was used to evaluate the cell proliferation in all groups. (H) Transwell migration was used to evaluate the migration ability of cells, *p < 0.05 compared with the sh-NC group, #p < 0.05 compared with the sh-NC + BMSC group. (The results were measurement data, which were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s postmortem-test were used for comparison among multiple groups. The data of each group at different time points were compared, the repeated measures ANOVA was adopted, and the postmortem-test was conducted by Bonferroni. The experiment was repeated for three times independently).

shown in Figure 7H, compared with the sh-NC group, the cell migration ability in the sh-NC + BMSC group was significantly increased but decreased in the sh-Runx2-1 + BMSC group. To conclude, exosomal transferred miR-25 promotes osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts via the SMURF1/Runx2 axis.

MSC-Exo-Loaded miR-25 Downregulates SMURF1 and Upregulates Runx2 at the Fracture Site of Mice

For further investigation on whether miR-25 transferred by exosomes could affect osteogenic differentiation via the SMURF1/Runx2 axis, RT-qPCR, and Western blot analysis were performed to measure the expression levels of SMURF1 and Runx2 in callus tissues. Results revealed significantly downregulated SMURF1 and upregulated Runx2 in the presence of Exo (miR-25 inhibitor) (Figures 8A,B), indicating that miR-25 loaded in exosomes derived from MSCs promotes Runx2 expression while inhibiting SMURF1 expression at the fracture site of mice.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, a large number of the applications of stem cell-derived exosomes in bone repair and regeneration have been covered. According to current results, BMSC-Exo is a promising means to enhance fracture healing. Nonetheless, its underlying mechanisms remain uncertain (25). This study aimed to explore the concrete mechanism of BMSC-Exo promoting fracture healing in mice. Conclusively, the data demonstrated that miR-25 carried by exosomes derived from BMSCs promoted fracture healing in mice by inhibiting SMURF1-mediated ubiquitination degradation of Runx2, which may provide new therapeutic strategies for accelerating fracture healing.
Increasing evidences have revealed that transplanted MSCs exert therapeutic effects by paracrine cytokines or extracellular vesicles and that exosome transplantation has similar therapeutic effects and functional characteristics as directly transplanted stem cells, but the adverse reactions are minor (20, 26). Lots of researches have reported high expression of multiple miRNAs in BMSCs-derived exosomes. Qin Yunhao et al. (11) analyzed the miRNAs in MSC-derived exosomes and proposed three types of miRNAs related to osteogenesis, miR-196a, miR-27a, and miR-206, which were highly upregulated. Furuta et al. (12) analyzed the cytokines and miRNAs in MSC-derived exosomes and speculated that the differentially expressed miRNAs in MSC-derived exosomes, such as miR-21, miR-4532, miR-125b-5p, and miR-338-3p may help enhance osteogenesis and angiogenesis. In our study, we predicted through bioinformatics analysis and verified that miR-25 was highly expressed in BMSC-Exo, and exosomal transferred miR-25 mediates MSC-Exo to promote osteoblast differentiation, proliferation, and migration. Lang et al. (27) found that overexpression of miR-25 can significantly activate the Wnt signaling pathway which causes enhanced expression of downstream proteins such as β-catenin, PCNA, and BMP2, thereby promoting fracture healing. The effect of miR-25 on fracture healing is consistent with our conclusion. The BMSC-Exo promoted fracture healing in mice by upregulating the expression of miR-25. Lang provides the basis for the mechanism of fracture healing at the animal level, and our work reveals the mechanism of BMSCs promoting fracture healing at the cell therapy level and molecular level.

A miRNA can function by combining 3′UTR of multiple genes. Previous studies have reported that miR-25 can play a role in promoting osteogenesis by targeting the Rac1 gene. In this study, we confirmed that SMURF1 was another new target of miR-25 (28). Overexpression of miR-25 led to significantly reduced expression of SMURF1 and augmented osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts. Moreover, SMURF1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase, its downstream ubiquitination targets Smad1/5 and Runx2 are key transcription factors for osteoblast differentiation induced by BMP2 (22, 29). Based on the above research, we further verified that SMURF1 degraded Runx2 by promoting ubiquitination. Furthermore, after taking up BMSC-Exo-transferred miR-25, osteoblasts had decreased SMURF1 expression and increased Runx2, resulting in accelerated differentiation, increased proliferation rate, and enhanced migration. After interfering with Runx2, co-culture of MSCs with osteoblasts would not promote osteoblast differentiation. To sum up, miR-25 transferred by exosomes promotes osteogenic differentiation, proliferation, and migration of osteoblasts through the SMURF1/Runx2 axis. Our study confirmed for the first time that miRNAs in BMSC-Exo affect bone healing by regulating SMURF1.

Although our data suggest that SMURF1/Runx2 axis may be the main mediator of BMSC-Exo-transferred miR-25 to promote fracture healing, this does not mean that SMURF1 is the only mechanism by which miR-25 promotes osteoblast function. For example, miR-25 negatively regulates the function of osteoclasts through nuclear factor IX. Overexpression of miR-25 in rat fractures promotes fracture healing by activating the Wnt signaling pathway. The enhanced expression of miR-25 can promote cell viability and migration and upregulation of Runx2 and Ocn markers by enhancing Rac1 expression (27, 28, 30). Therefore, exosome-derived miR-25-mediated promotion of fracture healing may work through multiple mechanisms. Notably, miR-15b has recently been shown to exert promoting effect on osteoblast differentiation by indirectly protecting Runx2 protein from SMURF1-mediated degradation, suggesting miR-15b act as a positive regulator for osteoblast differentiation (31, 32). Hence, it is reasonable to infer that miR-15b may be an important regulator in contribution to osteogenesis and fracture healing. However, due to the limited time and funding, we cannot perform related experiments currently, which will be further investigated in our future research.

**FIGURE 8** | MSC-Exo mediates the expression of SMURF1 and Runx2 at the fracture site by promoting miR-25 expression. (A) mRNA expression of SMURF1 and Runx2 in the callus tissues determined by RT-qPCR. (B) Protein levels of SMURF1 and Runx2 in the callus tissues determined by Western blot analysis. *p < 0.05 compared with the control group.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings elaborated the BMSC-Exo-derived miR-25 as a functional carrier of BMSCs, which affected fracture healing by regulating ubiquitination of Runx2 by SMURF1 to regulate osteoblast differentiation. These results indicated that BMSC-Exos can promote fracture healing by interfering with key factors in osteogenesis, revealing the new mechanism of BMSC-Exo-mediated fracture treatment, and are expected to become a potential clinical strategy. However, the in vitro system was exposed to normoxia, which was different from the hypoxic environment in vivo. Previous reports have convincingly demonstrated that the quality and therapeutic function of MSCs are affected by isolation methods and culture conditions. The content of exosomes is regulated by hypoxic preconditioning, which ultimately affects its angiogenic potential and its immunomodulatory and regenerative properties (33, 34). Thus, further study could be taken to analyze this mechanism pattern of MSC exosomes under hypoxic environment which can simulate the in vivo environment. In addition, the experimental system is very simple containing only MSCs and osteoblasts, but the physiological environment is complex containing a variety of cells. Hereby, in actual clinical application, how many exosomes secreted by MSC can be taken up and used by osteoblasts and to what extent they can function to fracture healing need to be deeply explored and optimized.
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