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Computational study of optical properties, and enantioselective synthesis of di-substituted esters of hydantoic and thiohydantoic acids
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ABSTRACT:

The title compounds with different optically active substituted ester of hydantoic and thiohydantoic were synthesized by the reaction of corresponding enantio-pure amino acids methyl ester hydrochloride with phenylisocyanate/thiocyanate in the presence of triethylamine. The duration of reaction was limited to avoid racemisation and produce high enantio-enriched compounds. Low values of ELUMO-HOMO gap 0.14, 0.16, 0.15, 0.15, and 0.10 eV were observed for compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively indicate soft, and high reactivity compounds. Values of ELUMO-HOMO gap also show that the compounds can easily decompose spontaneously to their elements. The order of synthesized compounds based on increasing reactivity depends on LUMO-HOMO energy gap represent as follows: 5>1>3, 4>2. Thermodynamic energies have been calculated for synthesized compounds including Enthalpy and Gibbs free energy.
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1. INTRODUCTION:

The reaction of α-amino acids with isocyanate or isothiocyanate generally takes place in basic aqueous solution to produce ureido acids. (Scheme 1.1). (Ware, 1950)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} & \quad \text{OH} \\
\text{NH}_2 & \quad \text{Or} \\
\text{RNCO} & \quad \text{Or} \\
\text{RNCS} & \quad \text{OH}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 1.1: The condensation of amino acids with isocyanate or isothiocyanate.

If a large excess of base is used, racemization and/or formation of hydantoin or thiohydantoin (Ballard et al., 2018) take place without formation of optically active hydantoic and thiohydantoic acid. Previously reported that ester of isocyanate reacts with an amine to produce ester of hydantoic acid. (Lombardino and Gerber, 1964)

Computational methods provide accurate, easy and time saving techniques for drug design. (Abdallah, 2019) Orbital energies calculation has been used to obtain ionization potential (IP) and electron affinity (EA) values for neutral molecules. The negative values of the highest occupied molecular orbital energy (-E_HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital energy (-E_LUMO) gives information to ionization potential and electron affinity,
respectively (i.e., IP = -E_{HOMO} and EA = -E_{LUMO}).  
(Shankar et al., 2009)

The energy level of E_{LUMO-HOMO} for all synthesized compounds elucidate physical and chemical information such as ionization potential (IP), electron affinity (EA), electronegativity (χ), electrophilicity index (ω), hardness (η), softness (S) and chemical potential (μ).

2. Experimental section

2.1 Materials and methods

All starting compounds were obtained from Fisher Scientific, Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fluorochem, Acros Organic, BDH, and Lancaster Synthesis and used without any further purification. ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker avance (400 MHz) spectrometer. Parts per million is a unit of chemical shift and tetra-methylsilane expressed as a standard. NMR spectra were recorded in solutions in the deuterated solvent mentioned in method section.

Optical rotations were measured with a Schmidt-Haensch Polartronic 1 in a 5.00 cm path length cell. The solvent and concentration (expressed in g/100 ml) of the solutions LCMS experiments were performed using a Waters 2790 liquid chromatography system and a Waters ZQ mass spectrometer. Samples were loaded using a Gilson 232XL auto-sampler. Low-resolution mass spectrometric data were determined using a Fisons VG Platform II quadrupole instrument using electrospray ionisation (ES), unless otherwise stated. High-resolution mass spectrometric data were obtained in electrospray (ES) mode unless otherwise reported, on a Waters Q-TOF micro-mass spectrometer.

2.2 Molecular Modeling

Gaussian 09W was used to perform ab initio molecular orbital (MO) calculations.(Frisch et al., 2016) employing the B3LYP functional and the 6–31 G basis set for all atoms.(Becke, 1993) For molecular structures optimization, GaussView 5.0.9 program was used for HOMO and LUMO surfaces area and electron distribution. (Frisch et al., 2000)

2.3 Energy Minimization Procedure

The chemical compounds with the correct stereochemistry were drawn on Chemdraw professional 16.0 and stored in mol format in Gaussian view 5.0.9.

2.4 Chemistry

2.4.1. General procedure

Corresponding amino acids methyl ester hydrochlorides were dissolved in dichloromethane in a round bottom flask in the presence of triethylamine. Phenyl isocyanate/isothiocyanate was added to the solution. The mixture was allowed to shake at room temperature in ultrasonic bath for 10 mins. Acetic water was used to wash and organic solvent evaporated by rotary evaporator.

Synthesis of methyl (phenylcarbamoyl)-L-phenylalaninate (1)

A mixture of L-phenyl alanine methyl ester hydrochloride salt (1 g, 4.63 mmol) and Et3N (0.2 ml, 1.44 mmol) in 5 ml of CH2Cl2, phenylisocyanate (0.2 ml, 2.0 mmol) was slowly added.

Yield= 79.0 %, [α]D²⁰ = +51.3° (0.033 g/5 ml in acetone), HRMS calculated for C_{17}H_{18}N_{2}O_{3} m/z [ES] 321.1225; found 321.1215; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 6.39 – 6.28 (m, 7H, Ar), δ 6.23 – 6.19 (m, 2H, Ar), δ 6.15 (td, J = 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), δ 6.01 (s, 1H, NH₃), δ 4.68 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, NH₁), δ 3.94 (dt, J = 7.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H, CH), δ 2.83 (s, 3H, CH₃), δ 2.24 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H, CHA), δ 2.14 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.3 Hz, 1H, CHB). ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 172.9 (C9), δ 154.7 (C3), δ 137.9 (C7), δ 135.7 (C12), δ 130.9 (Ar), δ 128.9 (Ar), δ 128.2 (Ar), δ 126.8 (Ar), δ 123.5 (Ar), δ 120.5 (Ar), δ 53.6 (C2), δ 52.1 (C24), δ 37.9 (C5).

Synthesis of methyl (S)-2-phenyl-2-(3-phenylureido)acetate (2)

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020
A mixture of L-Phenylglycine methylester hydrochloride (1 g, 4.97 mmol) and Et3N (0.2 ml, 1.44 mmol) in 5 ml of CH2Cl2, phenylisocyanate (0.5 ml, 4.2 mmol) was slowly added.

Yield= 28.0 %, [α]D20 = +35.6° (0.15 g/5 ml in acetone), HRMS calculated for C16H16N2O3 m/z [ES] 284.1157; found 284.1161; 1H-NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 7.47 – 7.34 (s, 9H, Ar), δ 7.23 (s, 1H, NH3), δ 7.01 (m, 1H, Ar), δ 6.37 (broad, s, 1H, NH), δ 5.63 (s, 1H, CH), δ 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH3). 13C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 172.9 (C9), δ 155.3 (C3), δ 138.7 (C7), δ 137.3 (C5), δ 129.7 (Ar), δ 128.9 (Ar), δ 127.6 (Ar), δ 124.2 (Ar), δ 121.2 (Ar), δ 57.6 (C2), δ 53.3 (C23).

**Synthesis of methyl (phenylcarbamoyl)-L-alaninate (3)**
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L-alanine methyl ester hydrochloride salt (1.0 g, 7.19 mmol) and Et3N (1.4 ml, 10.75 mmol) in 25 ml of CH2Cl2, phenylisocyanate (1.5 ml, 12.56 mmol) was slowly added.

Yield= 47.0 %, [α]D20 = +72.5° (0.06 g/5 ml in acetone), HRMS calculated for C11H14N2O3 m/z [ES] 222.1004; found 222.1004; 1H- NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 7.36 – 7.22 (m, 5H, Ar + 1H, NH), δ 7.08 (tt, J = 6.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar ), δ 5.85 (broad, s, 1H, NH), δ 4.61 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H,CH), δ 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH3), 1.45 (d, 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH3). 13C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 172.3 (C9), δ 155.9 (C3), δ 138.9 (C7), δ 129.5 (Ar), δ 123.9 (C14), δ 120.9 (Ar), δ 52.9 (C2), δ 49.2 (C18), δ 19.1 (C5).

**Synthesis of methyl (phenylcarbamoyl)-L-valinate (4)**
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A mixture of L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride salt (1.0 g, 5.96 mmol) and Et3N (1.5 ml, 10.76 mmol) in 25 ml of CH2Cl2, phenylisocyanate (0.5 ml, 4.2 mmol) was slowly added.

Yield= 40.0 %, M.p. [α]D20 = +76.3° (0.04 g/5 ml in acetone), HRMS calculated for C13H18N2O3 m/z [ES] 250.1317; found 250.1317; 1H-NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 7.14 (s, 1H, NH3), δ 6.97 – 6.88 (m, 4H, Ar), δ 6.72 – 6.62 (tt, J = 6.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar ), δ 5.57 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, NH), δ 4.17 (dd, J = 8.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H, CH17), δ 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH3), δ 1.80 (m, 1H, CH), 0.62 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH3), 0.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH3). 13C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 174.1 (C9), δ 156.1 (C3), δ 138.4 (C7), δ 129.2 (2xC, Ar), δ 132.8 (C14), δ 120.8 (2xC,Ar), δ 58.1 (C2), δ 52.3 (C21), δ 31.3 (C5), δ19.5 (C19), δ17.9 (C18).

**Synthesis of methyl (phenylcarbamothioyl)-L-alaninate (5)**
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L-Alanine methyl ester hydrochloride (3.3 g, 23.5 mmol) and and Et3N (1.5 ml, 10.76 mmol) in 100 ml of CH2Cl2, phenylisocyanate (2 ml, 16.8 mmol) was slowly added.

Yield= 60.0 %, [α]D20 = +41.7° (0.15 g/5 ml in acetone), HRMS calculated for C11H14N2O2S m/z [ES] 238.0777; found 238.0776; 1H-NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 8.36 (s, 1H, NH3), δ 7.55 – 7.20 (m, 5H, Ar), δ 6.76 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, NH), δ 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH), δ 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH3), 1.45 (dd, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 3H, CH3). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 180.1 (C3), δ 174.1 (C9), δ 136.4 (C7), δ 130.6 (2xC, Ar), δ 127.7 (C14), δ 125.2 (2xC,Ar), δ 53.8 (C2), δ 53.1 (C18), δ 18.9 (C5).

### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Chemistry

In this study, different substituted esters of hydantoic and thiodyantoic acids were synthesized by the reaction of corresponding optically active amino acids methyl ester hydrochloride with substituted isocyanate/isothiocyanate in the presence of triethylamine. To avoid racemisation (Ballard et
al., 2019) amount of triethylamine and reaction time has been decreased. (Scheme 2) 5,5-
disubstituted hydantoins were synthesized previously (Jawhar et al., 2018)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} & \text{O} \quad + \quad \text{RNC} \\
\text{NH}_2 \quad \text{H}-\text{Cl} \quad \text{RNCS} \\
\text{X} & \text{=} \text{O} (1-4) \\
\text{X} & \text{=} \text{S} (5)
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 2: Synthesis of compound 1-5

All synthesized compounds have been characterized by \(^1\)H-NMR and \(^13\)C-NMR spectra. The \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of compounds 2, and 3 showed a broad singlet at \(\delta \) 6.37, 5.85 ppm, respectively due to NH group in the compound. While, The \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of compounds 1, and 4 showed doublet at \(\delta \) 4.68 ppm (d, \(J = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H, NH) and \(\delta \) 5.7 ppm (d, \(J = 8.9 \) Hz, 1H, NH), respectively due to the hydrogen attached to the streogenic centre. Hydrogen attached to the streogenic centre showed doublet triplet at \(\delta \) 3.94 ppm with \(J = 7.9, 6.0 \) Hz, and doublet doublet at \(\delta \) 4.17 with \(J = 8.8, 4.9 \) Hz for compounds 1, and 4, respectively.

The \(^13\)C-NMR spectrum showed peaks which are in agreement with number of chemically equivalent carbons present in all compounds.

3.2 Energy profile

To determine insight into the energy behavior between the compounds, selected physicochemical parameters were also calculated using B3LYP functional basis methods. (Table 1)

Table 1: Energy profile (in kcal/mol)

| Compounds | Hartree-Fock | B3LYP |
|-----------|-------------|-------|
| 1         | -612790.21  | -623560.62 |
| 2         | -585692.07  | -592487.81 |
| 3         | -692899.44  | -473199.81 |
| 4         | -517611.70  | -520857.24 |
| 5         | -672830.49  | -675972.48 |

3.3 Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) Analysis

Difference of energy between lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) in gas phase at the B3LYP level is an important factor to calculate molecular reaction potentials (Fareghi- Alamdari et al., 2015)

The B3LYP method and basis set of 6-31G has been used to obtain \(E_{\text{HOMO}}\), \(E_{\text{LUMO}}\) and LUMO-HOMO energy gap (\(E_g; \Delta\)) of compounds 1-5. Molecular orbital properties such as energy and frontier electron density are essential to give molecular reactivity information. Figure 1 shows values of HOMO energy (\(E_{\text{HOMO}}\)) and the LUMO energy (\(E_{\text{LUMO}}\)) of 1 is -0.31 eV and -0.017 eV, respectively. The energy of the HOMO is mainly related on the ionization potential and focused around carbonyl and nitrogen attached to the phenyl ring. While, the electron affinity can be observed by LUMO energy which is focused on benzyle attached to the chiral position. The differences of energy between both molecular orbital \(E_{\text{LUMO-HOMO}}\) calculated as a small value 0.14 eV for compound 1 is simply show higher reactivity compare with compounds 2, 3 and 4.

LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compound 2 shows 0.16 eV indicates less reactive compare with the other synthesized compounds. LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compounds 3 and 4 show values of 0.15 and 0.15 eV respectively, which observe similar softness and reactive compounds. A value of 0.10 eV is a LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compound 5 show high reactive compound compare with other synthesized compounds. (See supporting information) Hence, we can conclude synthesized compounds in order...
of increasing reactivity based on LUMO-HOMO energy gap as follows: 5>1>3, 4>2. The HOMO for all synthesized compounds is mostly located along the carbonyl and substituent attached to nitrogen. The LUMO is mostly located over the groups attached to the stereogenic center. Recently, Koopman’s theory has been used to calculate ionization potential for different compounds by Chong et al. (Chong et al., 2002) using orbital energies which is equal to a negative value of HOMO energy (IP = -E_{HOMO}). A negative value of LUMO energy is equal to electron affinity (EA = -E_{LUMO}) (Shankar et al., 2009, Rocha et al., 2015). The chemical hardness η of the molecule based on the molecular orbital can be calculated by the following equation (equation 1) (Galván et al., 2015)

$$\eta = \left( \frac{E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}}{2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 1}

While, electronegativity χ can be obtained by equation 2

$$\chi = -\frac{E_{LUMO} + E_{HOMO}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2}

Hence, Chemical hardness η is equal to the energy gap between LUMO and HOMO divided by two and the half-way between the LUMO and HOMO corresponds to electronegativity χ of the molecule. Hardness η and softness η values give information of the molecule about reactivity and stability. Therefore, Other chemical properties can be calculated by using LUMO and HOMO energy values for instance; hardness η = IP-EA/2, electrophilicity index ω = μ^2 /2η, electronegativity χ = IP+EA/2, chemical potential μ = χ, softness s = 1/2η and. (Rocha et al., 2015) (Table 2) Table 2 shows small values of ELUMO-HOMO gap indicating a soft molecule, more reactive, and higher polarizable compound than high values of ELUMO-HOMO. Values also show that the compound can easily decompose spontaneously to its elements. (Rao et al., 2016)

| Molecular parameters | B3LYP/6-31G |
|----------------------|-------------|
| EHOMO (eV)           | -0.31       |
| ELUMO (eV)           | -0.17       |
| ΔELUMO-HOMO (eV)     | 0.14        |
| Ionization potential, IP (eV) | 0.31 |
| Electron affinity, EA (eV) | 0.17 |
| Electronegativity, χ (eV) | 0.24 |
| Chemical potential, μ (eV) | -0.24 |
| Chemical hardness, η (eV) | 0.07 |
| Chemical softness, s (eV-1) | 7.14 |
| Global electrophilicity index ω | 0.41 |
3.4 Molecular electrostatic potential
The molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) is a tool to give a good correlation between physicochemical properties and molecular structure reactivity to express binding site of drug and nucleophile and electrophile position of the molecule. (Scrocco and Tomasi, 1978) (Rao et al., 2016). Red color region in Figure 2 for compound 5 shows a maximum electron density which is cover phenyl attached to the nitrogen, C=O, and sulfur. Electron density lowest region (Blue color) corresponds to the hydrogen atoms bound to the methyl on the chiral centre and oxygen of ester, and carbon attached to the nitrogen. (Kubinyi et al., 2006, Moro et al., 2005) (Figure 2) Molecular electrostatic potential surface for compounds 1-4 represent variety of electron distribution with different color areas. (See supporting information Figure S14-17)
Figure 2: Molecular electrostatic potential surface and charge distribution value for compound 5.

Figure S1: $^1$H-NMR spectrum of 1
Figure S2: $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of 1

Figure S3: $^1$H- NMR spectrum of 2
Figure S4: $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of 2

Figure S5: $^1$H-NMR spectrum of 3
Figure S6: $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of 3

Figure S7: $^1$H-NMR spectrum of 4
**Figure S8:** $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of 4

**Figure S9:** $^1$H-NMR spectrum of 5
Figure S10: $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of 5
Figure S11: Frontier molecular orbitals of 2 (Δ: Energy gap between LUMO and HOMO)
Figure S12: Frontier molecular orbitals of 3 (Δ: Energy gap between LUMO and HOMO)
Figure S13: Frontier molecular orbitals of 4 (Δ: Energy gap between LUMO and HOMO)
Figure S14: Frontier molecular orbitals of 5 (Δ: Energy gap between LUMO and HOMO)
Figure S15: Molecular electrostatic potential for compound 1.

Figure S16: Molecular electrostatic potential for compound 2.
3.5 Thermodynamic parameters
Thermodynamic parameters for all synthesized compounds have been calculated by using B3LYP/6-31G level in Gaussian 09 W. All values such as Molar heat capacity constant volume (Cv)(Abdullah et al., 2016), relative energy (E), enthalpies (ΔH), entropies (S) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG) are shown in Table 3. Table 4 shows negative values of relative energy, Gibbs free energy and enthalpies of 1-5.
Table 3: Thermodynamic parameters of 1-5

| Compounds | E(kcal/mol) | ΔG(kcal/mol) | ΔH(kcal/mol) | S(cal/molK) | C_V(cal/molK) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1         | 217.728     | -623483      | -623437      | 155.739      | 76.097        |
| 2         | 198.920     | -598831      | -598787      | 148.857      | 71.195        |
| 3         | 163.752     | -478544      | -478505      | 131.711      | 58.136        |
| 4         | 201.128     | -527850      | -527807      | 144.993      | 68.439        |
| 5         | 162.432     | -681201      | -681161      | 134.346      | 59.307        |

Conclusions

Different substituted esters of hydantoic and thiohydantoic acids have been synthesized with optical active properties. A complete structural, NMR, Mass and thermodynamic parameters have been calculated for compounds 1-5. The relatively low value of $E_{\text{LUMO-HOMO}}$ gap indicates low chemical stability and high reactivity of compounds 1-5. The positive values of thermodynamic parameters suggest high reactivity of compounds 1-5. The differences of energy between both molecular orbitals $E_{\text{LUMO-HOMO}}$ calculated as a small value 0.10 eV for compound 5 which simply shows higher reactivity than the other compounds mentioned in this study. LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compound 2 shows 0.16 eV indicates less reactive compound in comparison with other synthesized compounds. LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compounds 3, and 4 show values of 0.15, and 0.15 eV, respectively which observe approximately similar softness and reactive compounds. A value of 0.14 eV is a LUMO-HOMO energy gap for compound 1 show less reactive than compound 5 and more reactive than other synthesized compounds. Hence, we can conclude synthesized compounds in order of increasing reactivity based on LUMO-HOMO energy gap as follows ; 5>1>3, 4>2.
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