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Abstract: In this paper, we established and carried-out the computational solution of some first order delay differential equations (DDEs) using hybrid extended backward differentiation formulae method in block forms without the application of interpolation techniques in determining the delay term. The discrete schemes were worked-out through the linear multistep collocation technique by matrix inversion approach from the continuous construction of each step number which clearly demonstrated the order and error constants, consistency, zero stability, convergence and region of absolute stability of this method after investigations. The results obtained after the implementation of this method validate that the lower step number integrated with hybrid extended future points performed better than the higher step numbers integrated with hybrid extended future points when compared with the exact solutions and other existing methods.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Delay differential equations have displayed great importance in its applications and diversities in modeling real life situations. Delay differential equations (DDEs) are differential equations which considers time series of past values of dependent variables and derivatives, whereas the evolution of ODEs depends only on the current values of these quantities. Every real life phenomenon involves delay and has been studied by many researchers. For decades, notable scholars have carried-out intensive research activities in the area of computational solutions for delay differential equations which have shown the numerous advantages of DDEs over ODEs for mathematical modeling of real life problems. The applications of DDEs can be seen in many real life situations involving celestial and quantum mechanics, nuclear and theoretical physics, astrophysics, quantum chemistry, molecular dynamics, engineering, medicine and economic dynamics and control. In physical sciences, Tziperman., Stone., Cane., Jarosh.,(1994), delay differential equations was used in the modeling of El Nino temperature oscillations in the Equatorial Pacific to determine the model single-species population growth. In electrical circuits, delays are introduced because it takes time for a signal to travel through a transmission line.

In this research work, we look forward to obtaining the computational solutions of the first order delay differential equations (DDEs) of the form:

\[ x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)), \quad \text{for } t > t_0, \tau > 0 \]
\[ x(t) = \alpha(t), \quad \text{for } t \leq t_0 \]

(1)

where \( \alpha(t) \) is the initial function, \( \tau \) is called the delay, \((t - \tau)\) is called the delay argument and \( x(t - \tau) \) is the solution of the delay argument using hybrid extended block backward differentiation formulae methods.

Renowned scholars such as Ballen, Zennaro, (1985), formulated the algorithms for solving non-stiff DDEs with time dependent delays using predictor-corrector version of the one-step
collocation method at Gaussian points. Evans, Raslan, (2005), constructed a numerical method for linear and non-linear higher order delay differential equations through Adomain decomposition method. Seong, Majid, (2015), applied the direct two-point fourth and fifth order multistep block method in the form of Adams- Moulton Method in predictor-corrected (PECE) mode to compute the numerical solutions at two points simultaneously for second order delay differential equations. Al-Mutib, (1977), Oberle, Pesh, (1981) used linear multistep approach in solving delay differential equations with the aid of interpolation techniques in estimating the delay argument. Ishak, Suleiman, Omar, (2008) applied interpolation method to investigate the delay argument while solving delay differential equations in a predictor-corrector mode. Bocharov, Marchuk, Romanyukha, (1996) adopted linear multistep methods for the numerical solution of initial value problem for stiff delay differential equations with several constant delays with Nordsieck's interpolation technique. Majid, Radzi, Ismail, (2012) solved delay differential equations by the five -point one-step block method using Neville’s interpolation in approximating the delay term. Heng, Ibrahim, Suleiman, Ismail, (2013) applied implicit 2-point Block Backward Differential Formulae to solve a set of delay differential equations with the help of interpolation technique in approximating the delay term. Edeki and Akinlabi (2017), used the Zhou method to obtain approximate-analytical solutions of certain system of functional differential equations (SFDEs) induced by proportional delays. Edeki, Akinlabi, Adeosun, (2017), procured the results of some system of time-fractional differential equations (TFDDEs) using proportional delays. Sirisena, Yakubu, (2019), used Reformulated Block Backward Differentiation Formulae Methods to study the numerical solutions of first order delay differential equations without the application of any interpolation technique for the delay term computation. Jena, Chakraverty, Edeki, and Ofuyatan, (2020), examined the results of fractional order delay differential equations by applying Shifted Legendre polynomials with Collocation and Galerkin settings. Yakubu and Chibuisi (2020), investigated the numerical solution of stiff differential equations using a class of fifth order block hybrid Adams Moulton’s Method to determine the accuracy and efficiency of each step number incorporated with off-grid points. Chibuisi, Osu, and Ogbogbo. (2020) implemented block simpson’s methods in solving first order
delay differential equations without using any interpolation techniques in examining the delay term. Osu, Chibuisi, Okwuchukwu, Olunkwa, and Okore. (2020), implemented third derivative block backward differentiation formulae for numerical solutions of first order delay differential equations without interpolation techniques in investigating the delay argument. Chibuisi, Osu, Amaraifu and Okore. (2020), solved first order delay differential equations using multiple off-grid hybrid block simpson’s methods without the application of any interpolation technique in estimating the delay term. Chibuisi, Osu, Edeki and Akinlabi. (2020), solved first DDEs using extended block backward differentiation formulae for efficiency of the numerical solution without the introduction of interpolation techniques in finding the delay argument.

The difficulty in applying these interpolation techniques by Majid et al., (2012) is that the numerical methods to be implemented in solving DDEs should be the same with the interpolating polynomials which is very difficult to carry out; otherwise, the accuracy of the method will not be preserved. It is important that in the evaluation of the delay argument, applying a reliable and coherent formula shall be highly recommended.

In order to prevail over the difficulty caused by using interpolation techniques in evaluating the delay argument; we applied idea of the sequence constructed by Sirisena et al. (2019) which we merge into the first order delay differential equations. Then we implemented hybrid extended block backward differentiation formulae methods to solve some first order delay differential equations containing the evaluated delay argument to improve the performance of the existing extended BBDF been studied by Chibuisi et al. (2020) in terms of efficiency, accuracy, consistency, convergence and region of absolute stability at constant step width $a$.

2. CONSTRUCTION STEPS

2.1 Construction of Multistep Collocation Approach

The $k$-step multistep collocation approach with $e$ collocation points was derived In Sirisena (1997) as;

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \alpha_j(x) y_{x+j} + a \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \beta_j(x) f_{x+j}(x, y(x))$$

(2)
where \( \alpha_s(x) \) and \( \beta_s(x) \) are continuous coefficients of the technique defined as:

\[
\alpha_s(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{g+e-1} \alpha_{s,r+1} x^r \quad \text{for} \quad s = \{0,1,\ldots,g-1\} \tag{3}
\]

\[
a\beta_s(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{g+e-1} a\beta_{s,r+1} x^r \quad \text{for} \quad s = \{0,1,\ldots,e-1\} \tag{4}
\]

where \( x_0, \ldots, x_{g-1} \) are the \( e \) collocation points, \( x_{s+1}, s = 0,1,2,\ldots,g-1 \) are the \( g \) arbitrarily chosen interpolation points and \( a \) is the constant step width.

To get \( \alpha_s(x) \) and \( \beta_s(x) \), Sirisena (1997) constructed a matrix equation of the form

\[
AB = I \tag{5}
\]

where \( I \) is the elementary matrix of dimension \( (g+e) \times (g+e) \) while \( B \) and \( A \) are matrices defined as

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{0,1} & \alpha_{1,1} & \ldots & \alpha_{g-1,1} & a\beta_{0,1} & \ldots & a\beta_{e-1,1} \\
\alpha_{0,2} & \alpha_{1,2} & \ldots & \alpha_{g-1,2} & a\beta_{0,2} & \ldots & a\beta_{e-1,2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_{0,g+e} & \alpha_{1,g+e} & \ldots & \alpha_{g-1,g+e} & a\beta_{0,g+e} & \ldots & a\beta_{e-1,g+e}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{6}
\]

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_v & x_v^2 & \ldots & x_v^{g+e-1} \\
1 & x_{v+1} & x_{v+1}^2 & \ldots & x_{v+1}^{g+e-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{v+g-1} & x_{v+g-1}^2 & \ldots & x_{v+g-1}^{g+e-1} \\
0 & 1 & 2x_0 & \ldots & (g+e-1)x_0^{g+e-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & 2x_{g-1} & \ldots & (g+e-1)x_{g-1}^{g+e-2}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{7}
\]

From the matrix equation (5), the columns of \( B = A^{-1} \) give the continuous coefficients of the continuous scheme of (2).

**2.1 Construction of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Three Off-grids Extended Future Points and One Extended Future Point for \( k = 2 \)**

Here, we integrated three off-grids extended future points at $x = x_{v+4}, x = x_{v+5}, x = x_{v+11}$ and one extended future point at $x = x_{v+3}$ as collocation points, thus the interpolation points, $g = 2$ and the collocation points $e = 5$ are considered, therefore, (2) becomes:

$$y(x) = a_0(x)y_v + a_1(x)y_{v+1} + a_2(x)f_{v+2} + \frac{\beta_1}{2}(x)f_{v+3} + \frac{\beta_2}{2}(x)f_{v+5} + \frac{\beta_3}{4}(x)f_{v+11}$$

(8)

The matrix $A$ in (5) becomes

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_v & x_v^2 & x_v^3 & x_v^4 & x_v^5 & x_v^6 \\
1 & x_v + a & (x_v + a)^2 & (x_v + a)^3 & (x_v + a)^4 & (x_v + a)^5 & (x_v + a)^6 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 4a & 3(x_v + 2a)^2 & 4(x_v + 2a)^3 & 5(x_v + 2a)^4 & 6(x_v + 2a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 9a & 3(x_v + \frac{9}{4}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{9}{4}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{9}{4}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{9}{4}a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 5a & 3(x_v + \frac{5}{2}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{5}{2}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{5}{2}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{5}{2}a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 11a & 3(x_v + \frac{11}{4}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{11}{4}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{11}{4}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{11}{4}a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 3a & 3(x_v + 3a)^2 & 4(x_v + 3a)^3 & 5(x_v + 3a)^4 & 6(x_v + 3a)^5 
\end{pmatrix}$$

The inverse of the matrix $B = A^{-1}$ is examined using Maple 18 from which the continuous scheme is obtained using (2), evaluating and simplifying it at

$x = x_{v+2}, x = x_{v+3}, x = x_{v+5}, x = x_{v+11}, x = x_{v+3}$ and its derivative at $x = x_{v+1}$, the following discrete schemes are obtained;

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{v+1} &= \frac{248}{45}af_{v+1} - \frac{1517}{10}af_{v+2} - \frac{4333}{90}af_{v+3} - \frac{21194}{45}af_{v+5} + \frac{19088}{45}af_{v+9} + \frac{3632}{15}af_{v+11} + y_v \\
y_{v+2} &= \frac{\text{...}}{\text{...}} \\
y_{v+3} &= \frac{\text{...}}{\text{...}} \\
y_{v+4} &= \frac{\text{...}}{\text{...}} \\
y_{v+5} &= \frac{\text{...}}{\text{...}} \\
y_{v+6} &= \frac{\text{...}}{\text{...}} \\
\end{align*}
\]
2.2 Construction of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Two Off-grids Extended Future Points and One Extended Future Point for $k = 3$

In this case, we integrated two off-grids extended future points at $x = x_{v+\frac{7}{2}}, x = x_{v+\frac{15}{4}}$ and one extended future point at $x = x_{v+4}$ as collocation points, thus the interpolation points, $g = 3$ and the collocation points $e = 4$ are considered, therefore, (2) becomes:

$$y(x) = \alpha_0(x)y_v + \alpha_1(x)y_{v+1} + \alpha_2(x)y_{v+2} + d[\beta_3(x)f_{v+3} + \beta_4(x)f_{v+4} + \beta_5(x)f_{v+5} + \beta_6(x)f_{v+6}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

The matrix $A$ in (5) becomes

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_v & x_v^2 & x_v^3 & x_v^4 & x_v^5 & x_v^6 \\ 1 & x_v + a & (x_v + a)^2 & (x_v + a)^3 & (x_v + a)^4 & (x_v + a)^5 & (x_v + a)^6 \\ 1 & x_v + 2a & (x_v + 2a)^2 & (x_v + 2a)^3 & (x_v + 2a)^4 & (x_v + 2a)^5 & (x_v + 2a)^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_v + 6a & 3(x_v + 3a)^2 & 4(x_v + 3a)^3 & 5(x_v + 3a)^4 & 6(x_v + 3a)^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_v + 7a & 3(x_v + \frac{7}{2}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{7}{2}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{7}{2}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{7}{2}a)^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_v + \frac{15}{2}a & 3(x_v + \frac{15}{4}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{15}{4}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{15}{4}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{15}{4}a)^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_v + 8a & 3(x_v + 4a)^2 & 4(x_v + 4a)^3 & 5(x_v + 4a)^4 & 6(x_v + 4a)^5 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

The inverse of the matrix $B = A^{-1}$ is examined using Maple 18 from which the continuous scheme is obtained using (2), evaluating and simplifying it

at $x = x_{v+3}, x = x_{v+\frac{7}{2}}, x = x_{v+\frac{15}{4}}, x = x_{v+4}$ and its derivative at $x = x_{v+1}$ and $x = x_{v+2}$, the following discrete schemes are obtained;
FIRST ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

\[ y_{v+1} = -\frac{9373517}{11408760} af_{v+1} - \frac{696013}{207432} af_{v+3} + \frac{292489}{103716} af_{v+4} + \frac{428528}{43215} af_{v+7} + \frac{2804480}{285219} af_{v+15} - \frac{3151}{23048} y_v + \frac{26199}{23048} y_{v+2} \]

\[ y_{v+2} = \frac{9373517}{437185} af_{v+2} - \frac{4075204}{624555} af_{v+3} + \frac{927397}{208185} af_{v+4} + \frac{10557376}{4371885} af_{v+7} - \frac{70227968}{9373517} af_{v+15} - \frac{1193}{13879} y_v + \frac{15072}{13879} y_{v+1} \]

\[ y_{v+3} = \frac{144377}{9373517} y_v + \frac{1564731}{9373517} y_{v+1} + \frac{10793871}{9373517} y_{v+2} + \frac{23388822}{9373517} y_{v+3} - \frac{45109440}{9373517} af_{v+3} + \frac{40722432}{9373517} af_{v+15} - \frac{10904274}{9373517} af_{v+4} \]

\[ y_{v+7} = \frac{913477}{599905088} y_v - \frac{24820803}{149976272} y_{v+1} + \frac{69005325}{599905088} y_{v+2} + \frac{402702825}{149976272} y_{v+3} - \frac{40561395}{9373517} af_{v+3} - \frac{38661000}{9373517} af_{v+15} \]

\[ y_{v+15} = \frac{146309933}{9598481408} y_v - \frac{24839325}{149976272} y_{v+1} + \frac{11041888275}{9598481408} y_{v+2} + \frac{12864892425}{4799240704} y_{v+3} - \frac{5038612425}{1199810176} af_{v+3} - \frac{31953835}{74988136} af_{v+15} \]

\[ y_{v+4} = \frac{1550656}{9373517} y_v + \frac{10781532}{9373517} y_{v+1} + \frac{1550656}{9373517} y_{v+2} + \frac{25178016}{9373517} y_{v+3} - \frac{39817728}{9373517} af_{v+3} - \frac{31953835}{74988136} af_{v+15} \]

\[ y_{v+15} = \frac{142641}{9373517} y_v - \frac{1550656}{9373517} y_{v+1} + \frac{10781532}{9373517} y_{v+2} + \frac{25178016}{9373517} y_{v+3} - \frac{39817728}{9373517} af_{v+3} - \frac{31953835}{74988136} af_{v+15} \]

\[ y_{v+4} = \frac{41828352}{9373517} y_v - \frac{9706980}{9373517} y_{v+1} - \frac{9706980}{9373517} y_{v+2} - \frac{9706980}{9373517} y_{v+3} - \frac{9706980}{9373517} af_{v+3} - \frac{31953835}{74988136} af_{v+15} \]

2.3 Construction of HEBBDF Method with Integrated One Off-grid Extended Future Point and One Extended Future Point for $k = 4$

With the same procedure, we integrated one off-grid extended future points at $x = x_{v+\frac{9}{2}}$ and one extended future point at $x = x_{v+5}$ as collocation points, thus the interpolation points, $g = 4$ and the collocation points $e = 3$ are considered, therefore, (2) becomes:

\[ y(x) = \alpha_0(x)y_v + \alpha_1(x)y_{v+1} + \alpha_2(x)y_{v+2} + \alpha_3(x)y_{v+3} + a\beta_4(x)f_{v+4} + \beta_3(x)f_{v+3} + \beta_2(x)f_{v+2} \]

(12)
The matrix $A$ in (5) becomes
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_v & x_v^2 & x_v^3 & x_v^4 & x_v^5 & x_v^6 \\
1 & x_v + a & (x_v + a)^2 & (x_v + a)^3 & (x_v + a)^4 & (x_v + a)^5 & (x_v + a)^6 \\
1 & x_v + 2a & (x_v + 2a)^2 & (x_v + 2a)^3 & (x_v + 2a)^4 & (x_v + 2a)^5 & (x_v + 2a)^6 \\
1 & x_v + 3a & (x_v + 3a)^2 & (x_v + 3a)^3 & (x_v + 3a)^4 & (x_v + 3a)^5 & (x_v + 3a)^6 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 8a & 3(x_v + 4a)^2 & 4(x_v + 4a)^3 & 5(x_v + 4a)^4 & 6(x_v + 4a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 9a & 3(x_v + \frac{9}{2}a)^2 & 4(x_v + \frac{9}{2}a)^3 & 5(x_v + \frac{9}{2}a)^4 & 6(x_v + \frac{9}{2}a)^5 \\
0 & 1 & 2x_v + 10a & 3(x_v + 5a)^2 & 4(x_v + 5a)^3 & 5(x_v + 5a)^4 & 6(x_v + 5a)^5
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The inverse of the matrix $B = A^{-1}$ is examined using Maple 18 from which the continuous scheme is obtained using (2), evaluating and simplifying it at $x = x_{v+4}, x = x_{v+2}$ and $x = x_{v+3}$, the following discrete schemes are obtained:

\[
y_{v+1} = -\frac{17939}{22302}af_{v+1} + \frac{8284}{11151}af_{v+4} + \frac{1325}{7434}af_{v+5} - \frac{128}{189}af_{v+\frac{9}{2}} - \frac{4594}{33453}y_v + \frac{2294}{1239}y_{v+2} - \frac{3413}{4779}y_{v+3}
\]

\[
y_{v+2} = -\frac{71756}{32355}af_{v+2} - \frac{2638}{2157}af_{v+4} - \frac{1706}{6471}af_{v+5} + \frac{33856}{32355}af_{v+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{1565}{19413}y_v - \frac{683}{719}y_{v+1} + \frac{36289}{19413}y_{v+3}
\]

\[
y_{v+3} = -\frac{215268}{173263}af_{v+3} - \frac{1253502}{1212841}af_{v+4} - \frac{219294}{1212841}af_{v+5} + \frac{939840}{1212841}af_{v+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{1486053}{1212841}y_v - \frac{43929}{173263}y_{v+1} + \frac{36289}{1212841}y_{v+2}
\]

\[
y_{v+4} = -\frac{1657}{125573}y_v + \frac{1982}{17939}y_{v+1} - \frac{55890}{125573}y_{v+2} + \frac{24178}{17939}y_{v+3} + \frac{152304}{125573}a f_{v+4} - \frac{75648}{125573}a f_{v+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{15804}{125573}a f_{v+5}
\]

\[
y_{v+\frac{9}{2}} = -\frac{230125}{18369536}y_v + \frac{1937925}{18369536}y_{v+1} - \frac{7890939}{18369536}y_{v+2} + \frac{24552675}{18369536}y_{v+3} + \frac{13480425}{9184768}a f_{v+4} - \frac{94815}{287024}a f_{v+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{978075}{9184768}+
\]

\[
y_{v+5} = -\frac{1702}{125573}y_v + \frac{2025}{17939}y_{v+1} - \frac{56650}{125573}y_{v+2} + \frac{24250}{17939}y_{v+3} + \frac{171900}{125573}a f_{v+4} + \frac{9600}{125573}a f_{v+\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{36240}{125573}a f_{v+5}
\]
3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Here, the examinations of order, error constant, consistency, zero stability and region of the absolute stability of (9), (11) and (13) are presented.

3.1 Order and Error Constant

The order and error constants for (9) are obtained as follows:

\[
C_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha^9_4 + \alpha^5_2 + \alpha^{11}_4 + \alpha_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_1 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \frac{9}{32} \alpha^9_4 + \frac{5}{8} \alpha^5_2 + \frac{11}{2} \alpha^{11}_4 + 3\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta^9_4 - \beta^5_2 - \beta^{11}_4 - \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \frac{81}{32} \alpha^9_4 + \frac{25}{32} \alpha^5_2 + \frac{121}{32} \alpha^{11}_4 + \frac{183}{32} \alpha^{4}_3 - \frac{39}{32} - \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 - \frac{1}{3} - \beta^9_4 - \beta^5_2 - \beta^{11}_4 - 3\beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_3 = \frac{1}{6} \alpha_1 + \frac{4}{3} \alpha_2 + \frac{243}{384} \alpha^9_4 + \frac{125}{128} \alpha^5_2 + \frac{1331}{384} \alpha^{11}_4 + \frac{9}{2} \alpha^{4}_3 - \frac{1}{2} - \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 - \frac{81}{32} - \frac{25}{32} - \frac{121}{32} - \frac{9}{2} - \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
C_4 = \frac{1}{24} \alpha + \frac{2}{3} \beta + \frac{2187}{2048} \alpha + \frac{625}{384} \alpha + \frac{14641}{6144} \alpha + \frac{27}{8} \alpha - \frac{1}{6} \beta - \frac{4}{3} \beta - \frac{243}{128} \beta - \frac{243}{128} \beta - \frac{125}{48} \beta^5
\]

\[
-\frac{1331}{384} \beta^\frac{11}{4} - \frac{9}{2} \beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_5 = \frac{1}{120} \alpha + \frac{4}{15} \alpha + \frac{19683}{40960} \alpha + \frac{625}{768} \alpha + \frac{161051}{122880} \alpha + \frac{81}{40} \alpha - \frac{1}{24} \beta - \frac{2}{3} \beta - \frac{2187}{2048} \beta - \frac{625}{384} \beta^5
\]

\[
-\frac{14641}{6144} \beta^\frac{11}{4} - \frac{27}{8} \beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_6 = \frac{1}{720} \alpha + \frac{4}{45} \alpha + \frac{59049}{327680} \alpha + \frac{3125}{9216} \alpha + \frac{177161}{2949120} \alpha + \frac{81}{80} \alpha - \frac{1}{120} \beta - \frac{4}{15} \beta - \frac{19683}{40960} \beta - \frac{625}{768} \beta^5
\]

\[
-\frac{161051}{122880} \beta^\frac{11}{4} - \frac{81}{40} \beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_7 = \frac{1}{5040} \alpha + \frac{8}{315} \alpha + \frac{534141}{9175040} \alpha + \frac{15625}{129024} \alpha + \frac{19487171}{82575360} \alpha + \frac{243}{560} \alpha - \frac{1}{720} \beta - \frac{4}{45} \beta - \frac{59049}{327680} \beta^\frac{9}{4}
\]

\[
-\frac{3125}{9216} \beta^\frac{5}{2} - \frac{177161}{2949120} \beta^\frac{11}{4} - \frac{81}{80} \beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix}
67031 \\
1935360 \\
-6280327 \\
3359784960 \\
-6800355 \\
3640655872 \\
-2976175 \\
1592786944 \\
26228807 \\
-14042529792 \\
232669 \\
124436480
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Therefore, (9) has order \( d = 6 \) and error constants,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
67031 & 6280327 & 6800355 & 2976175 & 26228807 & 232669
\end{pmatrix}
\]
With the same approach, (11) can be presented as:
\[
C_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_7 + \alpha^{15/4} + \alpha_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
C_1 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \frac{7}{2}\alpha + \frac{15}{4}\alpha^{15/4} + 4\alpha_4 - \beta_0 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta^{7/2} - \beta^{15/4} - \beta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + 2\alpha_2 + \frac{9}{2}\alpha + \frac{49}{8}\alpha + \frac{225}{32}\alpha^{15/4} + 8\alpha_4 - \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 - 3\beta_3 - \frac{7}{2}\beta^{7/2} - \frac{15}{4}\beta^{15/4} - 4\beta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
C_3 = \frac{1}{6}\alpha + \frac{4}{3}\alpha + \frac{9}{2}\alpha + \frac{343}{48}\alpha^{15/4} + \frac{1125}{128}\alpha^{15/4} + \frac{32}{3}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta - 2\beta_2 - \frac{9}{2}\beta - \frac{49}{8}\beta^{7/2} - \frac{225}{32}\beta^{15/4} - 8\beta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
C_4 = \frac{1}{24}\alpha + \frac{2}{3}\alpha + \frac{27}{8}\alpha + \frac{2401}{384}\alpha + \frac{16875}{2048}\alpha^{15/4} + \frac{32}{3}\alpha - \frac{1}{6}\beta - \frac{4}{3}\beta - \frac{9}{2}\beta^{7/2} - \frac{343}{48}\beta^{15/4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\frac{1125}{128}\beta^{15/4} - \frac{32}{3}\beta^{7/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Therefore, (11) has order $d = 6$ and error constants,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1835179 \\
139394304 \\
6496457 \\
419700960 \\
17421171 \\
5249169520 \\
250360775 \\
7678751264 \\
16053110535 \\
4914422480896 \\
610393 \\
187470340
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Applying the same approach, (13) can be obtained as:

\[ C_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_1 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + \frac{9}{2}\alpha_5 - \beta_0 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta_4 - \beta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \frac{9}{2}\alpha_3 + 8\alpha_4 + \frac{81}{8}\alpha_5 + \frac{25}{2}\alpha - \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 - 3\beta_3 - 4\beta_4 - \frac{9}{2}\beta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{1}{6}\alpha + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_2 + \frac{9}{2}\alpha_3 + \frac{32}{3}\alpha_4 + \frac{243}{16}\alpha_5 + \frac{125}{6}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 - 2\beta_2 - \frac{9}{2}\beta_3 - 8\beta_4 - \frac{81}{8}\beta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_4 = \frac{1}{24}\alpha + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2 + \frac{27}{8}\alpha_3 + \frac{32}{3}\alpha_4 + \frac{2187}{128}\alpha_5 + \frac{625}{24}\alpha - \frac{1}{6}\beta_1 - \frac{4}{3}\beta_2 - \frac{9}{2}\beta_3 - \frac{32}{3}\beta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \frac{243}{16}\beta_5 - \frac{125}{6}\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ C_5 = \frac{1}{120} \alpha + \frac{4}{15} \alpha + \frac{81}{40} \alpha + \frac{128}{15} \alpha + \frac{19683}{1280} \alpha - \frac{1}{24} \beta - \frac{2}{3} \beta - \frac{27}{8} \beta - \frac{32}{3} \beta \]

\[ \frac{2187}{128} \beta - \frac{625}{24} \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_6 = \frac{1}{720} \alpha + \frac{4}{45} \alpha + \frac{81}{80} \alpha + \frac{256}{45} \alpha + \frac{59049}{5120} \alpha + \frac{3125}{144} \alpha - \frac{1}{120} \beta - \frac{4}{15} \beta - \frac{81}{40} \beta - \frac{128}{15} \beta \]

\[ \frac{19683}{1280} \beta - \frac{625}{24} \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ C_7 = \frac{1}{5040} \alpha + \frac{8}{315} \alpha + \frac{243}{560} \alpha + \frac{1024}{315} \alpha + \frac{531441}{71680} \alpha + \frac{15625}{1008} \alpha - \frac{1}{720} \beta - \frac{4}{45} \beta - \frac{81}{80} \beta \]

\[ \frac{256}{45} \beta - \frac{59049}{5120} \beta - \frac{3125}{144} \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 180601 \\ 9366840 \\ 48523 \\ 2174256 \\ 7688973 \\ 679190960 \\ 216271 \\ 35160440 \\ 1684125 \\ 293912576 \\ 45505 \\ 7032088 \end{pmatrix} \]

Therefore, (13) has order \( d = 6 \) and error constants,

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 180601 \\ 9366840 \\ 48523 \\ 2174256 \\ 7688973 \\ 679190960 \\ 216271 \\ 35160440 \\ 1684125 \\ 293912576 \\ 45505 \\ 7032088 \end{pmatrix} \]

### 3.2 Consistency

Since the schemes in (9), (11) and (13) satisfy the condition for consistency of order \( d \geq 1 \), then
they are consistent.

3.3 Stability Analysis

The zero stability for (9) is estimated as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1809 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1736 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
264627 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
253952 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
115775 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
111104 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
264627 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
253952 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1809 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1736 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{v+1} \\
y_{v+2} \\
y_{v+\frac{9}{4}} \\
y_{v+\frac{5}{2}} \\
y_{v+\frac{11}{4}} \\
y_{v+3} \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
73 \\
1736 \\
10675 \\
253952 \\
4671 \\
111104 \\
10675 \\
253952 \\
73 \\
1736 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{v+\frac{11}{4}} \\
y_{v+\frac{5}{2}} \\
y_{v+\frac{9}{4}} \\
y_{v+2} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where $J^{(1)}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1809 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1736}{264627} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{253952}{115775} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{111104}{264627} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{253952}{-1809} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1736 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $J^{(1)}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 73 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1736}{10675} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{253952}{4671} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{111104}{111104} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10675}{253952} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{73}{1736} \end{pmatrix}$

and $E^{(i)}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 248 & 1517 & 19088 & 21194 & 3632 & 4333 \\ \frac{45}{1859461} & \frac{10}{288754} & \frac{45}{404263} & \frac{15}{21502} & \frac{15}{66763} \\ 0 & \frac{156240}{6090795} & \frac{13020}{3932955} & \frac{1395}{244215} & \frac{22320}{1516995} \\ 0 & \frac{507904}{2662935} & \frac{15872}{1729095} & \frac{5552}{15285} & \frac{94905}{31744} \\ 0 & \frac{222208}{274022287} & \frac{6944}{59597461} & \frac{992}{10922219} & \frac{31698}{6820128} \\ 0 & \frac{207957}{22855680} & \frac{31698}{1904640} & \frac{2334}{714240} & \frac{7611}{714240} \\ 0 & \frac{17360}{207957} & \frac{1085}{135333} & \frac{155}{22855680} & \frac{2480}{7611} \end{pmatrix}$

$\Phi(\phi) = \det(\phi J_{2}^{(i)} - J_{1}^{(i)})$

$= |\phi J_{2}^{(i)} - J_{1}^{(i)}| = 0.$

(14)

Now we have,

$\Phi(\phi) = \phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1809 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1736}{264627} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{253952}{115775} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{111104}{264627} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{253952}{-1809} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1736 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
Using Maple (18) software, we obtain:

\[ \Phi(\phi) = \phi^5(\phi + 1) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \phi^5(\phi + 1) = 0 \]

\[ \Rightarrow \phi_i = -1, \phi_2 = 0, \phi_3 = 0, \phi_4 = 0, \phi_5 = 0, \phi_6 = 0. \text{Since } |\phi_i| < 1, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \text{ (9) is zero stable.} \]
Implementing the same approach, then (11) is presented as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{26199}{23048} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
15072 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1564731 & -10793871 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
9373517 & 9373517 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
24820803 & 690053525 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
149976272 & 599905088 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
149976272 & 11041888275 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1550656 & 10781532 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
9373517 & 9373517 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{v+1} \\
y_{v+2} \\
y_{v+3} \\
y_{v+7/4} \\
y_{v+15/4} \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{3151}{23048} \\
\frac{1193}{13879} \\
\frac{144377}{9373517} \\
\frac{913477}{9373517} \\
\frac{146309933}{9373517} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{9373517}{11408760} & 0 & -\frac{696013}{4371885} & \frac{428528}{624555} & -\frac{2804480}{4371885} \\
0 & \frac{9373517}{4371885} & -\frac{207432}{624555} & \frac{43215}{4371885} & -\frac{285219}{4371885} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2338822}{624555} & -\frac{10557376}{4371885} & \frac{70227968}{4371885} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{9373517}{624555} & -\frac{45109440}{4371885} & \frac{927397}{4371885} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{149976272}{4371885} & -\frac{40561395}{9373517} & \frac{38661000}{9373517} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{12864892425}{9373517} & -\frac{5038612425}{9373517} & \frac{335171025}{9373517} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{25178016}{9373517} & -\frac{39817728}{9373517} & \frac{9706980}{9373517} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
f_{v+1} \\
f_{v+2} \\
f_{v+3} \\
f_{v+7/4} \\
f_{v+15/4} \\
\end{pmatrix} + a
\]
where

\[
J_2^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \frac{-26199}{23048} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-15072}{13879} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-1564731}{9373517} & \frac{-10793871}{9373517} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{24820803}{149976272} & \frac{-690053525}{15072} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{149976272}{1550656} & \frac{99905088}{9373517} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\frac{9373517}{9373517} & \frac{-9373517}{9373517} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
J_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3151 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{23048}{1193} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{13879}{144377} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{9373517}{9134775} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{9373517}{9598481408} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{9373517}{142641}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
E_2^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
-9373517 & 0 & -696013 & 428528 & 2804480 & 292489 \\
11408760 & 0 & 207432 & 43215 & 285219 & 103716 \\
0 & 9373517 & -624555 & 624555 & 4371885 & 208185 \\
4371885 & 0 & 2338822 & 45109440 & 40722432 & 10904274 \\
0 & 9373517 & 402702825 & 10557376 & 70227968 & 927397 \\
0 & 0 & 402702825 & 2338822 & 38661000 & 335171025 \\
0 & 0 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517 \\
0 & 0 & 149976272 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517 \\
0 & 0 & 12864892425 & 5038612425 & 319538835 & 676350675 \\
0 & 0 & 4799240704 & 1199810176 & 74988136 & 599905088 \\
0 & 0 & 25178016 & 39817728 & 41828352 & 9706980 \\
0 & 0 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\Phi(\phi) = \det \left( \phi J_2^{(2)} - J_1^{(2)} \right)
= \left| \phi J_2^{(2)} - J_1^{(2)} \right| = 0.
\]

(15)
Now we have,

\[
\Phi(\phi) = \phi \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -26199/23048 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3151/23048 \\
-15072/13879 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1193/13879 \\
1564731/9373517 & -10793871/9373517 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -144377/9373517 \\
24820803/149976272 & -690053525/149976272 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -9134775/9373517 \\
149976272/24839325 & 599905088/24839325 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -9598481408/9373517 \\
1550656/9373517 & 10781532/9373517 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 142641 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Phi(\phi) = \phi \begin{bmatrix}
\phi & -26199/23048 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3151/23048 \\
-15072/13879 & \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1193/13879 \\
1564731/9373517 & -10793871/9373517 & \phi & 0 & 0 & -144377/9373517 \\
24820803/149976272 & -690053525/149976272 & 0 & \phi & 0 & -9134775/9373517 \\
149976272/24839325 & 599905088/24839325 & 0 & 0 & \phi & -9598481408/9373517 \\
1550656/9373517 & 10781532/9373517 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 142641 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \Phi(\phi) = \phi \begin{bmatrix}
\phi & -26199/23048 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3151/23048 \\
-15072/13879 & \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1193/13879 \\
1564731/9373517 & -10793871/9373517 & \phi & 0 & 0 & 144377/9373517 \\
24820803/149976272 & -690053525/149976272 & 0 & \phi & 0 & 9134775/9373517 \\
149976272/24839325 & 599905088/24839325 & 0 & 0 & \phi & 9598481408/9373517 \\
1550656/9373517 & 10781532/9373517 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -142641 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Using Maple (18) software, we obtain:

\[
\Phi(\phi) = -\frac{9373517}{39985399} \phi^5 (\phi + 1)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow -\frac{9373517}{39985399} \phi^5 (\phi + 1) = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \phi_1 = -1, \ \phi_2 = 0, \ \phi_3 = 0, \ \phi_4 = 0, \ \phi_5 = 0, \ \phi_6 = 0. \text{Since } |\phi_i| < 1, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \text{ (11) is zero stable.}
\]

With the same procedure (13) can be presented as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{2294}{1239} & \frac{3413}{4779} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{683}{719} & 1 & -\frac{36289}{19413} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{43929}{173263} & -\frac{1486053}{1212841} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1982}{17939} & \frac{55890}{125573} & -\frac{24178}{17939} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1937925}{18369536} & \frac{7890939}{125573} & -\frac{24552675}{17939} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{2025}{17939} & \frac{56650}{125573} & -\frac{24250}{17939} & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4594 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1565 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -34291 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1212841 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1657 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125573 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1702 & 0 \\
\frac{9373517}{39985399} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125573 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
+\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{17939}{22302} & 0 & 0 & 8284 & -128 & 1325 \\
\frac{71756}{32355} & 0 & -2638 & 33856 & -1706 & 7434 \\
0 & 0 & -215268 & 2157 & 32355 & 6471 \\
0 & 0 & 173263 & 1212841 & 1212841 & 1212841 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 152304 & 75648 & 15804 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 13480425 & 94815 & 978075 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 9184768 & 287024 & 9184768 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 171900 & 9600 & 36240 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 125573 & 125573 & 125573
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
f_{v+1} \\
f_{v+2} \\
f_{v+3} \\
f_{v+4} \\
f_{v+\frac{9}{2}} \\
f_{v+5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{r_2}^g \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{r_4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{r_3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{r_2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{r_1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_r
\end{pmatrix} + a
\]

where

\[
J_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -2294/1239 & 3413/4779 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
683/719 & 1 & -36289/19413 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
43929/173263 & -1486053/1212841 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1982/17939 & 55890/17939 & -24178/17939 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1937925/18369536 & 7890939/18369536 & -24552675/18369536 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2025/17939 & 56650/17939 & -24250/17939 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \cdot J_1^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4594/33453 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1565/19413 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 34291/1212841 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125573 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1657 & 0 & 0 & 230125 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 18369536 & 0 & 0 & 1702/125573
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
E_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
-17939/22302 & 0 & 0 & 8284/11151 & 128/189 & 1325/7434 \\
0 & -71756/32355 & 0 & 2638/2157 & 33856/32355 & 1706/6471 \\
0 & 0 & 215268/173263 & 1253502/1212841 & 939840/1212841 & 219294/219294 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 152304/173263 & 75648/15804 & 125573/125573 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 13480425/9184768 & 94815/978075 & 125573/125573 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 91184768/171900 & 287024/36240 & 125573/125573
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[ \Phi(\phi) = \det \left( \phi J_2^{(3)} - J_1^{(3)} \right) \]
\[ = |\phi J_2^{(3)} - J_1^{(3)}| = 0. \]  

Now we have,

\[
\Phi(\phi) = \phi \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2294 & 3413 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4594 \\ 1239 & 4779 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 33453 \\ 683 & 1 & -36289 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1565 \\ 719 & 19413 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 19413 \\ 43929 & 1486053 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 34291 \\ 173263 & 1212841 & 1982 & 55890 & 24178 & 1212841 \\ 17939 & 125573 & 17939 & 19413 & 125573 \\ 1937925 & 7890939 & 24552675 & 0 & 1 & 230125 \\ 18369536 & 18369536 & 18369536 & 0 & 0 & 18369536 \\ 2025 & 56650 & 24250 & 0 & 0 & 1702 \\ 17939 & 125573 & 17939 & 125573 & 0 & 1702 \end{vmatrix}
\]
Using Maple (18) software, we obtain:

\[
\Phi(\phi) = \frac{51489235360}{154349784183} \phi^5 (\phi+1)
\]

\[\Rightarrow \Phi(\phi) = \frac{51489235360}{154349784183} \phi^5 (\phi+1) = 0\]

\[\Rightarrow \phi_1 = -1, \phi_2 = 0, \phi_3 = 0, \phi_4 = 0, \phi_5 = 0, \phi_6 = 0\]. Since \(|\phi_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\), (13) is zero stable.

### 3.4 Convergence

Since (9), (11) and (13) are both consistent and zero stable, therefore they are convergent.

### 3.5 Region of Absolute Stability

The regions of absolute stability of the numerical methods for DDEs are considered. We considered finding the \(U\) - and \(W\)-stability by applying (9), (11) and (13) to the DDEs of this form:

\[
x'(t) = \rho x(t) + \delta x(t-\tau), \quad t \geq t_0
\]

\[x(t) = \alpha(t), \quad t \leq t_0\]  

where \(\alpha(t)\) is the initial function, \(\rho, \delta\) are complex coefficients, \(\tau = va, v \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a\) is the step size and \(v = \frac{\tau}{a}\), \(v\) is a positive integer. Let \(L_1 = a\rho\) and \(L_2 = a\delta\), then from (9), we have;
FIRST ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

\[
Y_{V+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{v+1} \\
y_{v+2} \\
y_{v+9/4} \\
y_{v+9/2} \\
y_{v+11/4} \\
y_{v+3}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_v = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{v-11/4} \\
y_{v-5/4} \\
y_{v-9/4} \\
y_{v-9/2} \\
y_{v-11/4} \\
y_{v-3}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{V+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
f_{v+1} \\
f_{v+2} \\
f_{v+9/4} \\
f_{v+9/2} \\
f_{v+11/4} \\
f_{v+3}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F_v = \begin{pmatrix}
f_{v-11/4} \\
f_{v-5/4} \\
f_{v-9/4} \\
f_{v-9/2} \\
f_{v-11/4} \\
f_{v-3}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since \( J_2^{(1)} = \)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1809 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1736 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
264627 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
253952 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1809 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
J_1^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 73 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1736 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 253952 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 253952 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1736
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
E_2^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix}
248 & 1517 & 19088 & 21194 & 3632 & 4333 \\
45 & 10 & 45 & 15 & 15 & 90 \\
0 & 1859461 & 288754 & 404263 & 21502 & 66763 \\
0 & 156240 & 9765 & 13020 & 1395 & 22320 \\
0 & 6090795 & 465975 & 3932955 & 244215 & 1516995 \\
0 & 507904 & 15872 & 126976 & 15872 & 507904 \\
0 & 2662935 & 202995 & 1729095 & 15285 & 94905 \\
0 & 222208 & 6944 & 55552 & 992 & 31744 \\
0 & 274022287 & 20901419 & 59597461 & 10922219 & 68201287 \\
0 & 22855680 & 714240 & 1904640 & 714240 & 22855680 \\
0 & 207957 & 31698 & 135333 & 2334 & 7611 \\
0 & 17360 & 1085 & 4340 & 155 & 2480
\end{pmatrix}
\]

we have,

\[
J_2^{(1)}Y_{v+2} = J_1^{(1)}Y_{v+1} - a \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_i^{(1)} F_{v+i}
\]

With the same technique for (11), we have
\[
Y_{v+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{v+1} \\
y_{v+2} \\
y_{v+3} \\
y_{v+4} \\
y_{v+5} \\
y_{v+6} \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_v = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{v-1} \\
y_{v-2} \\
y_{v-3} \\
y_{v-4} \\
y_{v-5} \\
y_{v} \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{v+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
f_{v+1} \\
f_{v+2} \\
f_{v+3} \\
f_{v+4} \\
f_{v+5} \\
f_{v+6} \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_v = \begin{pmatrix}
f_{v-1} \\
f_{v-2} \\
f_{v-3} \\
f_{v-4} \\
f_{v-5} \\
f_{v} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since

\[
J_2^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -26199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3151 \\
-26199 & 23048 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{23048}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1307}{9} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{9373517}{9} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{9373517}{9}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
E_2^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix}
9373517 & 0 & -696013 & 428528 & -2804480 & 292489 \\
0 & 9373517 & 207432 & 43215 & 285219 & 103716 \\
0 & 0 & 9373517 & 4075204 & 10557376 & 70227968 & 208185 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4371885 & 624555 & 4371885 & 208185 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9373517 & 9373517 & 9373517 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9373517 & 9373517 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9373517 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
we have,
\[
J_2^{(2)}Y_{v+2} = J_1^{(2)}Y_{v+1} - a \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_i^{(2)} F_{v+i}
\]  

(19)

Applying the same approach for (13), we have
\[
Y_{v+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
    y_{v+1} \\
y_{v+2} \\
y_{v+3} \\
y_{v+4} \\
y_{v+9} \\
y_{v+5}
\end{pmatrix},
\quad
Y_v = \begin{pmatrix}
    y_{v+9} \\
y_{v-4} \\
y_{v-3} \\
y_{v-2} \\
y_{v-1} \\
y_v
\end{pmatrix},
\quad
F_{v+6} = \begin{pmatrix}
    f_{v+1} \\
f_{v+2} \\
f_{v+3} \\
f_{v+4} \\
f_{v+9} \\
f_{v+5}
\end{pmatrix}
\quad
\text{and}
\quad
F_v = \begin{pmatrix}
    f_{v-3} \\
f_{v-2} \\
f_{v-1} \\
f_v
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since
\[
J_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & -2294 & 3413 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    683 & 1 & -36289 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    719 & 1486053 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    173263 & -121841 & 24178 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    1982 & 55890 & -17939 & 2455265 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    1937925 & 7890939 & -18369536 & -215268 & 125573 & 125573 & 125573
\end{pmatrix},
\quad
J_1^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4594 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1565 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -34291 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1657 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1212841 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1212841
\end{pmatrix},
\quad
E_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    -17939 & 0 & 0 & 8284 & 0 & 1325 \\
    2302 & -71756 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11151 \\
    0 & 32355 & 0 & -2638 & 0 & 128 \\
    0 & 173263 & -121841 & 215268 & 215268 & 1212841 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 173263 & 215268 & 1212841 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125573 & 125573
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and
\[
E_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    -17939 & 0 & 0 & 8284 & 0 & 1325 \\
    2302 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11151 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 128 \\
    0 & 173263 & -121841 & 215268 & 9184768 & 1212841 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 171900 & 287024 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125573
\end{pmatrix}
\]
we have,

$$J_2^{(3)} Y_{V^{+2}} = J_1^{(3)} Y_{V^{+1}} - a \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(3)} F_{V^{+i}}$$

(20)

The polynomials of $V$- and $W$-stability are constructed by introducing (18), (19) and (20) to (17) and (9), (11) and (13) to (17) as stated below START

$$\sigma^{(1)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ \left( J_2^{(1)} - L_1 E_2^{(1)} \right) \varepsilon^{2r} - \left( J_1^{(1)} - L_1 E_1^{(1)} \right) \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(1)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(21)

$$\sigma^{(2)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ \left( J_2^{(2)} - L_1 E_2^{(2)} \right) \varepsilon^{2r} - \left( J_1^{(2)} - L_1 E_1^{(2)} \right) \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(2)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(22)

$$\sigma^{(3)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ \left( J_2^{(3)} - L_1 E_2^{(3)} \right) \varepsilon^{2r} - \left( J_1^{(3)} - L_1 E_1^{(3)} \right) \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(3)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(23)

and

$$\Omega^{(1)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ J_2^{(1)} \varepsilon^{2r} - J_1^{(1)} \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(1)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(24)

$$\Omega^{(2)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ J_2^{(2)} \varepsilon^{2r} - J_1^{(2)} \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(2)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(25)

$$\Omega^{(3)} (\varepsilon) = \det \left[ J_2^{(3)} \varepsilon^{2r} - J_1^{(3)} \varepsilon^{1r} - L_2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{i}^{(3)} \varepsilon^{i} \right]$$

(26)

Making use of Maple 18 and MATLAB, the region of $U$- and $W$-stability for (9), (11) and (13) are shown in Fig.1 to 6.

Fig.1.Region of $U$-stability (HEBBDFM) in (9)
Fig. 2. Region of $U$-stability (HEBBDFM) in (11)

Fig. 3. Region of $U$-stability (HEBBDFM) in (13)

Fig. 4. Region of $W$-stability (HEBBDFM) in (9)
The $U$-stability regions in Figs 1 to 3 lie inside the open-ended region while the $W$-stability regions in Figs 4 to 6 lie inside the enclosed region.

4. NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

In this section, some first-order delay differential equations shall be solved using (9), (11) and (13) of the discrete schemes been constructed. The delay argument shall be evaluated using the idea of sequence developed by Sirisena et al. (2019).

4.1 Implementation of Numerical Problems

Problem 1

\[ x'(t) = -1000x(t) + x(t - (\ln(1000 - 1))), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 3 \]

\[ x(t) = e^{-t}, \quad t \leq 0 \]

Exact solution \( x(t) = e^{-t} \)
Problem 2

\[ x'(t) = -1000x(t) + 997e^{-3}x(t-1) + (1000 - 997e^{-3}), \ 0 \leq t \leq 3 \]

\[ x(t) = 1 + e^{-3t}, \ t \leq 0 \]

Exact solution \( x(t) = 1 + e^{-3t} \)

Table 4.1.1: Numerical Solution of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Off-grid Extended Future Points and One Extended Future Point for Problem 1

| \( t \) | Exact Solution | \( k = 2 \) Computational Solution | \( k = 3 \) Computational Solution | \( k = 4 \) Computational Solution |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.01 | 0.990049834 | 0.99005027 | 0.990049781 | 0.990049833 |
| 0.02 | 0.980198673 | 0.980198556 | 0.980198692 | 0.980198674 |
| 0.03 | 0.970445534 | 0.970445443 | 0.970445525 | 0.970445533 |
| 0.04 | 0.960789439 | 0.960788262 | 0.960789427 | 0.960789441 |
| 0.05 | 0.951229425 | 0.951229548 | 0.951229458 | 0.951229421 |
| 0.06 | 0.941764534 | 0.941764395 | 0.941764521 | 0.941764536 |
| 0.07 | 0.93239382 | 0.932394212 | 0.932393827 | 0.932393818 |
| 0.08 | 0.923116346 | 0.923116285 | 0.923116342 | 0.923116349 |
| 0.09 | 0.913931185 | 0.913931228 | 0.913931178 | 0.913931185 |
| 0.1 | 0.904837418 | 0.90483857 | 0.904837424 | 0.904837424 |
| 0.11 | 0.895834135 | 0.895834011 | 0.895834135 | 0.895834134 |
| 0.12 | 0.886920437 | 0.886920445 | 0.88692043 | 0.886920437 |
| 0.13 | 0.878095431 | 0.878098402 | 0.878095404 | 0.878095431 |
| 0.14 | 0.869358235 | 0.869357868 | 0.869358248 | 0.869358236 |
| 0.15 | 0.860707976 | 0.860708091 | 0.860707972 | 0.860707975 |
| 0.16 | 0.852143789 | 0.852143413 | 0.852143785 | 0.85214379 |
| 0.17 | 0.843664817 | 0.843664858 | 0.843664803 | 0.843664817 |
| 0.18 | 0.835270211 | 0.835270198 | 0.83527022 | 0.835270212 |
| 0.19 | 0.826959134 | 0.82695957 | 0.826959131 | 0.826959134 |
| 0.2 | 0.818730753 | 0.818730689 | 0.818730761 | 0.818730756 |
| 0.21 | 0.810584246 | 0.81058418 | 0.810584233 | 0.810584246 |
| 0.22 | 0.802518798 | 0.802517968 | 0.802518805 | 0.802518798 |
| 0.23 | 0.79453603 | 0.794533712 | 0.7945336 | 0.794533602 |
| 0.24 | 0.786627861 | 0.786627844 | 0.786627867 | 0.786627861 |
| 0.25 | 0.778800783 | 0.778801357 | 0.778800767 | 0.77880078 |
| 0.26 | 0.771051586 | 0.771051512 | 0.771051587 | 0.771051587 |
| 0.27 | 0.763379494 | 0.763379506 | 0.763379491 | 0.763379494 |
| 0.28 | 0.755783741 | 0.755783528 | 0.755783739 | 0.755783741 |
| 0.29 | 0.748263568 | 0.748263592 | 0.74826351 | 0.748263568 |
| 0.3 | 0.740818221 | 0.740818177 | 0.740818238 | 0.740818223 |
Table 4.1.2: Numerical Solution of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Off-grid Extended Future Points and One Extended Future Point for Problem 2

| T  | Exact Solution | $k = 2$ Computational Solution | $k = 3$ Computational Solution | $k = 4$ Computational Solution |
|----|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.01 | 1.970445534   | 1.970448149                  | 1.970445527                  | 1.970445534                  |
| 0.02 | 1.941764534   | 1.941764203                  | 1.941764534                  | 1.941764533                  |
| 0.03 | 1.913931185   | 1.913931359                  | 1.913931187                  | 1.913931184                  |
| 0.04 | 1.886920437   | 1.886922874                  | 1.886920424                  | 1.886920436                  |
| 0.05 | 1.860707976   | 1.860707673                  | 1.860707969                  | 1.860707979                  |
| 0.06 | 1.835270211   | 1.835270327                  | 1.835270211                  | 1.835270216                  |
| 0.07 | 1.810584246   | 1.810587578                  | 1.810584245                  | 1.810584244                  |
| 0.08 | 1.786627861   | 1.786627444                  | 1.78662753                  | 1.786627861                  |
| 0.09 | 1.763379494   | 1.763379634                  | 1.763379448                  | 1.763379492                  |
| 0.1  | 1.740818221   | 1.74082047                   | 1.740818235                  | 1.740818229                  |
| 0.11 | 1.718923733   | 1.718923448                  | 1.718923725                  | 1.718923731                  |
| 0.12 | 1.697676326   | 1.697676407                  | 1.697676323                  | 1.697676327                  |
| 0.13 | 1.677056874   | 1.677059151                  | 1.677056776                  | 1.677056872                  |
| 0.14 | 1.65704682    | 1.657046528                  | 1.657046854                  | 1.657046821                  |
| 0.15 | 1.637628152   | 1.637628263                  | 1.637628136                  | 1.63762815                  |
| 0.16 | 1.618783392   | 1.618785777                  | 1.61878337                  | 1.618783396                  |
| 0.17 | 1.600495579   | 1.600495256                  | 1.600495497                  | 1.600495577                  |
| 0.18 | 1.582748252   | 1.582748366                  | 1.58274828                  | 1.582748252                  |
| 0.19 | 1.565525439   | 1.565527012                  | 1.565525423                  | 1.565525438                  |
| 0.2  | 1.548811636   | 1.548811434                  | 1.548811622                  | 1.548811642                  |
| 0.21 | 1.532591801   | 1.53259188                   | 1.53259175                  | 1.532591799                  |
| 0.22 | 1.516851334   | 1.516853106                  | 1.516851348                  | 1.516851334                  |
| 0.23 | 1.501576069   | 1.501575851                  | 1.501576061                  | 1.501576069                  |
| 0.24 | 1.486752256   | 1.486752409                  | 1.486752254                  | 1.486752257                  |
| 0.25 | 1.472366553   | 1.472367915                  | 1.472366548                  | 1.472366551                  |
| 0.26 | 1.458406011   | 1.458405815                  | 1.458406012                  | 1.458406012                  |
| 0.27 | 1.444858066   | 1.444858137                  | 1.444858066                  | 1.444858066                  |
| 0.28 | 1.431710523   | 1.431712328                  | 1.431710522                  | 1.431710524                  |
| 0.29 | 1.418951549   | 1.418951321                  | 1.418951511                  | 1.41895155                  |
| 0.3  | 1.40656966    | 1.406569696                  | 1.406569675                  | 1.406569662                  |

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Here, the solutions of the schemes derived in (9), (11) and (13), shall be examined in solving the two problems above by computing their absolute errors.
5.1 Analysis of Results

The analysis of results is obtained by determining absolute differences of the exact solutions and the numerical solutions. The results are presented in the tables 5.1.1 to 5.1.2,

**Table 5.1.1: Absolute Error of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Off-grid Extended Future Points and One Extended Future Point for Problem 1**

| T     | k = 2 Error  | k = 3 Error  | k = 4 Error  |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0.01  | 4.36051E-07  | 5.27492E-08  | 8.49168E-10  |
| 0.02  | 1.17007E-07  | 1.83932E-08  | 5.93245E-10  |
| 0.03  | 9.08485E-08  | 9.04851E-09  | 8.48508E-10  |
| 0.04  | 1.17705E-06  | 1.23523E-08  | 2.24768E-09  |
| 0.05  | 1.23099E-07  | 3.35993E-08  | 3.90071E-09  |
| 0.06  | 1.38184E-07  | 1.28842E-08  | 2.24768E-09  |
| 0.07  | 3.91666E-08  | 9.04851E-09  | 4.13364E-10  |
| 0.08  | 4.24288E-07  | 6.87123E-09  | 6.71228E-10  |
| 0.09  | 1.15176E-06  | 6.26404E-09  | 1.96404E-09  |
| 0.1   | 1.23997E-07  | 3.47178E-12  | 8.96528E-10  |
| 0.11  | 8.18284E-09  | 7.11716E-09  | 5.82843E-10  |
| 0.12  | 2.97138E-06  | 2.69206E-08  | 2.79439E-10  |
| 0.13  | 3.67599E-07  | 1.25012E-08  | 4.01194E-10  |
| 0.14  | 1.14775E-07  | 4.42506E-09  | 1.72506E-09  |
| 0.15  | 3.75966E-07  | 3.56621E-09  | 1.03379E-09  |
| 0.16  | 4.09036E-08  | 1.33964E-08  | 3.03616E-10  |
| 0.17  | 1.31113E-08  | 8.58873E-09  | 3.88728E-10  |
| 0.18  | 4.36257E-07  | 2.84336E-09  | 4.43362E-10  |
| 0.19  | 6.4078E-08   | 7.92202E-09  | 2.42202E-09  |
| 0.2   | 6.60702E-08  | 1.32702E-08  | 7.01871E-11  |
| 0.21  | 8.30062E-07  | 7.43752E-09  | 4.37521E-10  |
| 0.22  | 1.09797E-07  | 2.20333E-09  | 4.03334E-10  |
| 0.23  | 1.72666E-08  | 5.73345E-09  | 3.33446E-10  |
| 0.24  | 5.73629E-07  | 1.62714E-08  | 3.0714E-09   |
| 0.25  | 7.38036E-08  | 7.96434E-10  | 7.96434E-10  |
| 0.26  | 1.18631E-08  | 3.23685E-09  | 6.31468E-11  |
| 0.27  | 2.13756E-07  | 2.15573E-09  | 4.55725E-10  |
| 0.28  | 2.47214E-08  | 5.73786E-08  | 2.21435E-10  |
| 0.29  | 4.39817E-08  | 1.68183E-08  | 1.91828E-09  |
| 0.3   | 4.39817E-08  | 1.68183E-08  | 1.91828E-09  |
Table 5.1.2: Absolute Error of HEBBDF Method with Integrated Off-grid Extended
Future Points and One Extended Future Point for Problem 2

| T     | k = 2 Error     | k = 3 Error     | k = 4 Error     |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.01  | 2.61545E-06     | 6.54851E-09     | 4.51492E-10     |
| 0.02  | 3.30584E-07     | 4.15751E-10     | 5.84249E-10     |
| 0.03  | 1.73729E-07     | 1.72877E-09     | 1.27123E-09     |
| 0.04  | 2.43728E-06     | 1.67172E-08     | 7.17157E-10     |
| 0.05  | 3.03425E-07     | 7.42506E-09     | 2.57494E-09     |
| 0.06  | 1.15589E-07     | 4.11272E-10     | 4.58873E-09     |
| 0.07  | 3.33203E-06     | 9.70187E-09     | 1.97019E-09     |
| 0.08  | 4.16067E-07     | 8.06655E-09     | 6.65534E-11     |
| 0.09  | 1.39663E-07     | 4.63369E-08     | 2.33685E-09     |
| 0.1   | 2.24932E-06     | 1.43183E-08     | 8.31828E-09     |
| 0.11  | 2.85432E-07     | 8.43193E-09     | 2.43193E-09     |
| 0.12  | 8.0929E-08      | 3.07103E-09     | 9.28969E-10     |
| 0.13  | 2.2765E-06      | 9.84982E-08     | 2.49816E-09     |
| 0.14  | 2.91815E-07     | 3.41849E-08     | 1.18494E-09     |
| 0.15  | 1.11378E-07     | 1.56218E-08     | 1.62177E-09     |
| 0.16  | 2.38519E-06     | 2.18061E-08     | 4.19386E-09     |
| 0.17  | 3.22812E-07     | 8.18123E-08     | 1.81227E-09     |
| 0.18  | 1.13626E-07     | 2.7626E-08      | 3.7399E-10      |
| 0.19  | 1.5733E-06      | 1.56995E-08     | 6.99537E-10     |
| 0.2   | 2.02094E-07     | 1.4094E-08      | 5.90597E-09     |
| 0.21  | 7.89931E-08     | 5.10069E-08     | 2.0069E-09      |
| 0.22  | 1.77151E-06     | 1.35083E-08     | 4.91699E-10     |
| 0.23  | 2.18066E-07     | 8.06606E-09     | 6.60556E-11     |
| 0.24  | 1.5304E-07      | 1.95997E-09     | 1.04003E-09     |
| 0.25  | 1.36226E-06     | 4.74101E-09     | 1.74101E-09     |
| 0.26  | 1.96305E-07     | 6.94776E-10     | 6.94776E-10     |
| 0.27  | 7.07771E-08     | 2.22941E-10     | 2.22941E-10     |
| 0.28  | 1.80457E-06     | 1.42908E-09     | 5.7092E-10      |
| 0.29  | 2.28248E-07     | 3.82476E-08     | 7.52361E-10     |
| 0.3   | 3.62594E-08     | 1.52594E-08     | 2.2594E-09      |

The notations used in the table below are stated as:

HEBBDFM = Hybrid Extended Block Backward Differentiation Formulae Methods for step numbers \( k = 2, 3 \) and \( 4 \).

EBBDFM = Extended Block Backward Differentiation Formulae Methods for step numbers
$k = 2, 3 \text{ and } 4$.

**MAXE** = Maximum Error.

**Table 5.1.3**: Comparison Between the Maximum Absolute Errors of HEBBDFM and the EBBDF been studied by Chibuisi et al. (2020) on Numerical Solutions of first order DDEs for constant step size $h = 0.01$ for step numbers $k = 2, 3 \text{ and } 4$ using Problem 1

| Numerical Method       | MAXE     |
|------------------------|----------|
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 2 | 2.97E-06 |
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 3 | 5.74E-08 |
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 4 | 3.90E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 2  | 2.72E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 3  | 1.61E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 4  | 1.96E-09 |

**Table 5.1.4**: Comparison Between the Maximum Absolute Errors of HEBBDFM and the EBBDF been studied by Chibuisi et al. (2020) on Numerical Solutions of first order DDEs for constant step size $h = 0.01$ for step numbers $k = 2, 3 \text{ and } 4$ using Problem 2

| Numerical Method       | MAXE     |
|------------------------|----------|
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 2 | 3.33E-06 |
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 3 | 9.85E-08 |
| HEBBDFM MAXE for k = 4 | 8.32E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 2  | 7.55E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 3  | 4.34E-09 |
| EBBDFM MAXE for k = 4  | 5.42E-09 |

Using Microsoft Excel, the MAXE for HEBBDFM and EBBDFM for Problem 1 and 2 are displayed as;
5.2 Conclusions

In conclusion, the discrete schemes of (9), (11) and (13), were worked-out from their individual continuous form and were revealed to be convergent, $U$ - and $W$ -stable. Also, it was revealed in
tables 5.1.1 to 5.1.2 that the lower step number of HEBBDF method with integrated off-grid extended future points and one extended future point performed better than the higher step numbers of HEBBDF method with integrated off-grid extended future points and one extended future point when compared with the exact solutions. Most importantly, this method performed better in terms of efficiency, accuracy, consistency, convergence and region of absolute stability at constant step width \( a \) when compared with other existing method as presented in table 5.1.3, 5.1.4 and figure 7 and 8. Therefore, it is recommended that HEBBDFM schemes for step numbers \( k = 2,3, \text{and } 4 \) are suitable for solving DDEs. Further studies should be investigated for step numbers \( k = 5,6,7... \) on the development of discrete schemes of HEBBDFM for solutions of DDEs without the implementation of interpolation techniques in examining their delay arguments.
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