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Objective This study evaluates the quality of life of the patients undergoing treatment at the oncology center in Yazd, Iran.

Methods This cross-sectional study was conducted on 29 patients with oral and head and neck cancer who were referred to the oncology center of Shahid Sadoughi hospital in Yazd between May 2015 and February 2016. Data were collected using the University of Washington Quality of Life questionnaire and a demographic questionnaire. The illness-related information was obtained from the patients’ medical records. Questionnaires were administered before the treatment and 6 months after its completion. Data analysis was performed in SPSS 21 using chi-square and ANOVA tests. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results The sample consisted of 17 (58.6%) women and 12 (41.4%) men with a mean age of 40.02±14.30 years. The most frequent cancer location was the oral cavity and the most frequent treatment method was surgery. The mean score of quality of life before and after treatment was 12.60±2.81 and 11.39±2.63, respectively. The most important issues of the patients before and after treatment were pain and saliva, respectively. Stage 3 and 4 had a significantly lower quality of life than stage 1 and 2 patients.

Conclusion The study found that undergoing treatment affects some dimensions of quality of life. Hence, choosing the best treatment method with due attention to side effects and follow-up sessions is recommended.
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Introduction

Cancers of head and neck area including lips, mouth, tongue, tonsils, pharynx, larynx, hypopharynx, and salivary glands are typically challenging to handle because, unlike malignancies of other organs, they cannot be easily delimited. Head and neck cancers are the sixth most common cancer in the world. About 40% of these cancers occur in the mouth, 15% in the hypopharynx, and 25% in the larynx. Head and neck area is the position of organs that control primary functions such as respiration, swallowing, talking, and hearing and basically any organ that is associated with social interaction.

In the case of oral cancer, research has shown an increase in the incidence of this cancer worldwide, and although this could be seen as a global health problem, two-thirds of the deaths due to this cancer occur in developing countries. Oral cancer and other head and neck malignancies have major effects such as pain, mucositis, dry mouth, and loss of taste, smell, appetite, and weight, which can severely affect the quality of life (QOL) of patients.

Head and neck cancers are known to have significantly high morbidity rates. In addition, the side effects of surgery and radiotherapy can also undermine the QOL of patients. The effects on appearance and functions often change the patients’ understanding and feelings and their ability to socially interact with others. Often, these patients are unable to hide the outward and functional effects of the illness, and this negatively affects their self-esteem and confidence. Given the major challenges that these patients must face in their lives after treatment and their need to maintain functionality despite their condition, their QOL is an important issue worthy of deep consideration. The QOL of patients with head and neck cancer can be improved through different therapeutic approaches. The efforts in this area have led to the development of several questionnaires and also QOL measurements becoming one of the components of treatment protocols. According to the definition of the World Health Organization, QOL is “an individual’s perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns.”

In a study by Aquarwal et al. on the QOL of patients with tongue cancer, they reported significant improvements in pain, general functions, and psychological state of these patients 12 months after treatment. In a research carried out by Boyapati et al., an acceptable level of QOL outcome was reported for local reconstructive treatment of early tongue/floor of mouth cancer. These researchers stated that local reconstructive surgery could be highly effective in managing early tongue/floor of mouth cancers. The instrument used in this research was the University of Washington Quality of Life (UW-QOL) questionnaire.

In an assessment of QOL of head and neck cancer patients undergoing modern radiotherapy, Chen showed that 80% of these patients had a good overall QOL. In another research, head and neck cancer patients who had undergone common surgical procedures with or without complementary therapy earned higher scores in most dimensions of QOL. There exist strong evidence suggesting a positive relationship between the survival of people with head and neck cancer and their physical activity before treatment, and also between their survival and the change in QOL from before treatment to 6 months after. It has been shown that patients with advanced cancer have lower QOL and higher scores in pain, fatigue, and loss of appetite dimensions. A study by Villaret et al., which evaluated the QOL of treated oral cancer patients with the UW-QOL questionnaire, showed that 77% of these patients had maintained their normal or near-normal functions 12 months after treatment. This study concluded that reconstructive treatment can be very effective in maintaining the...
QOL of oral cancer patients. For head and neck cancer, QOL assessments can play a central role in the patient's perception and understanding of treatment and provide a preview of the outcome of interventions. The present study aimed to assess the QOL of head and neck cancer patients who were referred to Shahid Sadoughi hospital of Yazd (the only cancer treatment center of Yazd province). This assessment was performed using the UW-QOL questionnaire, which is a simple and practical instrument containing special questions for patients with head and neck cancer.

Methods

This cross-sectional (descriptive–analytic) study was conducted on patients with head and neck cancer in Yazd province who were referred to Shahid Sadoughi hospital from May 2015 to February 2016. The data collection instrument was a QOL evaluation questionnaire and a demographic questionnaire querying age, education level, and occupation. Illness-related information, including cancer type and location, clinical stage, and treatment type were collected from the patients' medical files. QOL was evaluated using the UW-QOL questionnaire, which is a widely accepted instrument for measuring the QOL outcomes of head and neck cancers. This questionnaire consists of two parts. The first part has seven questions covering pain, appearance, swallowing, chewing, taste, and saliva. The second part has three global questions about how patients feel relative to before cancer, about their health-related QOL, and about their overall QOL. Of the seven questions contained in the first part, six are four-choice questions with scores between 0 and 3, and one is a three-choice question with a score between 0 and 2. The total score of the questionnaire ranges from 0 (worst) to 20 (best). Patients under the age of 18, those with secondary cancers, and those who could not understand the questions due to mental or cognitive impairments were excluded. For illiterate patients and those who could not read or respond for any reason, the researcher read the questions and answers and recorded the responses. All patients were informed that their names and personal information will remain completely confidential and that there is no obligation in responding to questions. Subjects were selected by convenience sampling from eligible patients encountered during the study period. Data analysis was performed in SPSS 21 using chi-square and ANOVA tests. In all statistical tests, the significance level was considered to be 0.05. All participants completed written informed consent. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Kerman Medical University code number.

Results

A total of 42 patients entered the study. Of these, 29 patients responded to all the questions both before and after the treatment. Of these 29 patients, 12 were male (41.4%) and 17 were female (58.6%). These patients had a mean age of 40.00±14.30 years and an age range of between 18 and 70 years. Among these patients, the most frequent cancer location was oral cavity (48.3%), the most frequent treatment method was surgery (75.9%), and the most frequent education level was grade school (41.4%). Demographic characteristics of the patients are provided in Table 1.

The mean and standard deviation of QOL scores in pain, appearance, swallowing, chewing, taste, and saliva dimensions (during the past 7 days) at the onset of treatment and after its end are presented in Table 2.

The mean QOL score in this study was 12.60±2.81 before treatment and changed to 11.39±2.63 after treatment.

Table 1. Demographic features of the patients.

| Variable            | Number | Percent |
|---------------------|--------|---------|
| Gender              |        |         |
| man                 | 12     | 41.4    |
| woman               | 17     | 58.6    |
| Educational level   |        |         |
| Elementary          | 13     | 44.8    |
| High school         | 8      | 27.5    |
| Diploma             | 5      | 17.2    |
| License             | 3      | 10.3    |
| Employee            | 9      | 31.0    |
| Job                 |        |         |
| Worker              | 12     | 41.4    |
| Jobless             | 8      | 27.5    |
| Tumor location      |        |         |
| Larynx              | 7      | 24.1    |
| Oropharynx          | 3      | 10.3    |
| Salivary gland      | 5      | 17.2    |
| Tumor stage         |        |         |
| Stage 1,2           | 19     | 65.5    |
| Stage 3,4           | 10     | 34.4    |
| Surgery             | 22     | 75.9    |
| Type of treatment   |        |         |
| Chemotherapy        | 2      | 6.9     |
| Radiotherapy        | 3      | 10.3    |
| Combination treatment | 2   | 6.9     |

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of QOL domains before and after treatment.

| Quality of life | Before treatment | After treatment |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                 | Mean | SD  | Mean | SD  |
| pain            | 1.15 | 0.23| 2.14 | 0.27|
| Appearance      | 2.18 | 0.18| 1.93 | 0.31|
| Swallowing      | 1.36 | 0.56| 1.23 | 0.48|
| Chewing         | 1.27 | 0.32| 1.18 | 0.21|
| Speech          | 2.28 | 0.63| 2.23 | 0.38|
| Taste           | 2.25 | 0.14| 2.14 | 0.16|
| Saliva          | 2.11 | 0.75| 1.75 | 0.76|

1 The city of Yazd is the capital of Yazd province in Iran.
observed between cancer stages in terms of QOL before and after treatment, in the sense that persons with stage 3 and 4 cancers had worse QOL outcomes.

The overall QOL after treatment was significantly lower than before treatment. The most frequent answers to the question “Which issues have been the most important to you during the past 7 days?” before and after treatment were pain (7 patients or 24.1%) and saliva (8 patients or 27.6%), respectively (Fig. 1). Answers to “health-related QOL during the past 7 days?” before and after treatment were pain, mouth dryness, and local pain. The most important issue mentioned before treatment was saliva problem. In the study of Chen et al.,23 the most frequent post-treatment complaint was saliva problem. In the study of Gandhi et al.24 it has been reported that in head and neck cancer cases, pain typically affects oral function. Research has also shown that pain is a common complaint in 58% of head and neck cancer patients with tongue cancer after treatment.25 Agarwal et al. also reported a decrease in pain in patients with tongue cancer after treatment.26

Discussion
Assessment of QOL of head and neck cancer patients can contribute to our understanding of the effects of these malignancies and related treatments on their daily activities and to the undergoing efforts to improve the existing support measures.2 The need for effective interventions to improve the health-related QOL of cancer patients is widely recognized.14 The mean age of the patients in this study was 40.00±14.30, which is lower than the age range reported in other works.2,19 This difference can be attributed to the type of study and the population studied.2 In the present study, the most common cancer location was the oral cavity, which is consistent with the observations of Oliveira et al.2

In the present study, surgery was the most common method of treatment. The studies carried out by Oliveira et al.2 and Kumar et al.28 also reported the same finding. However, this is inconsistent with the reports of Østhus et al.22 and Chiou et al.21 In the present study, pain was the most prevalent problem before treatment but was considerably alleviated after treatment. Agarwal et al. also reported a decrease in pain in patients with tongue cancer after treatment.26 The study conducted by Gandhi et al. also found that pain was one of the four most important symptoms in patients with head and neck cancer.23

Table 3. Comparison of total score of QoL before and after treatment according to demographic and clinical variables.

| Variable          | Before treatment | After treatment | P value |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                   | Mean             | SD              | Mean    | SD    |         |
| Gender            |                  |                 |         |       |         |
| Man               | 12.23            | 3.28            | 11.25   | 2.74  | 0.05<   |
| Woman             | 11.89            | 2.34            | 11.53   | 2.52  |         |
| Educational level |                  |                 |         |       |         |
| Elementary        | 12.21            | 3.04            | 10.27   | 2.10  |         |
| High school       | 13.85            | 4.12            | 12.52   | 3.15  | 0.05<   |
| Diploma           | 12.94            | 2.31            | 11.79   | 2.10  |         |
| License           | 11.53            | 1.77            | 10.98   | 3.17  |         |
| Age group (years) |                  |                 |         |       |         |
| <40               | 12.52            | 3.01            | 10.89   | 3.12  |         |
| 40–59             | 12.51            | 2.64            | 11.19   | 2.43  | 0.05<   |
| ≥60               | 12.85            | 3.78            | 12.09   | 2.34  |         |
| Oral cavity       | 12.92            | 4.01            | 13.12   | 3.21  |         |
| Larynx            | 13.38            | 2.80            | 11.84   | 2.50  | 0.05<   |
| Oropharynx        | 11.51            | 2.36            | 10.42   | 1.54  |         |
| Salivary gland    | 12.63            | 2.07            | 12.18   | 3.27  |         |
| Tumor location    |                  |                 |         |       |         |
| Stage I, II       | 14.07            | 3.29            | 12.09   | 2.09  | 0.05>   |
| Stage III, IV     | 11.15            | 2.15            | 10.69   | 3.17  |         |
| Surgery           | 13.17            | 3.37            | 12.73   | 2.28  |         |
| Type of treatment |                  |                 |         |       |         |
| Chemotherapy      | 12.56            | 3.25            | 12.45   | 3.14  | 0.05<   |
| Radiotherapy      | 12.26            | 2.15            | 10.27   | 2.15  |         |
| Combination treatment | 10.41   | 2.54            | 10.11   | 2.95  |         |
| Total score       | 12.60            | 2.81            | 11.39   | 2.63  | 0.05>   |
significant relationship was observed between the treatment method and the cancer-related QOL relative to before treatment. Crombie et al. also did not find a significant relationship between the treatment method and the QOL of patients.

In the present study, overall QOL after treatment was lower than before treatment, although some improvements were also observed, most notably in pain. This study found that people with oropharynx and larynx cancer had better post-treatment QOL outcomes than those with cancer in salivary gland and oral cavity. This is consistent with the results reported by Bjordal et al.

In the present study, the clinical stage of cancer had a significant relationship with the QOL score, as people with stage 3 and 4 cancers had worse QOL outcomes. This is not consistent with the findings of other studies such as Gandhi et al., Lee et al., Yucel et al.,

and Torabi et al., which have found no statistically significant relationship between the clinical stage and oral health-related QOL. This inconsistency could be due to the type of the study and the questionnaire used here.

In this study, the mean total QOL score after treatment was significantly lower than before treatment, which may be because 34.4% of the patients were in stage 3 or 4.

Hammerlid et al. have also reported a higher frequency of dental problems, viscous saliva, loss of taste, dysphagia, and feeling of sickness among stage 3 and 4 patients. After treatment, in response to the question “How would you rate your health-related quality of life?” no patient rated their QOL as very poor or very well.

The most important limitation of this study was reliance on self-reports and lack of clinical examinations to assess pain, dry mouth, swallowing, and other parameters enquired in the questionnaire.

Conclusion

This study found that stage 3 and 4 head and neck cancer patients had significantly lower QOL than stage 1 and 2 patients both before and after treatment. Before treatment, patients reported that their most important problem during the preceding 7 days was pain, but after treatment, saliva was their biggest problem. Patients generally gave a better rating to their QOL after treatment.
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