Current evidence on the discontinuation of eculizumab in patients with atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome
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Abstract

Background. Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS) is a rare, life-threatening disorder for which eculizumab is the only approved treatment. Life-long treatment is indicated; however, eculizumab discontinuation has been reported.

Methods. Unpublished authors’ cases and published cases of eculizumab discontinuation are reviewed. We also report eculizumab discontinuation data from five clinical trials, plus long-term extensions and the global aHUS Registry.

Results. Of six unpublished authors’ cases, four patients had a subsequent thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) manifestation within 12 months of discontinuation. Case reports of 52 patients discontinuing eculizumab were identified; 16 (31%) had a subsequent TMA manifestation. In eculizumab clinical trials, 61/130 patients discontinued treatment between 2008 and 2015. Median follow-up post-discontinuation was 24 weeks and during this time 12 patients experienced 15 severe TMA complications and 9 of the 12 patients restarted eculizumab. TMA complications occurred irrespective of identified genetic mutation, high risk polymorphism or auto-antibody. In the global aHUS Registry, 76/296 patients (26%) discontinued, 12 (16%) of whom restarted.

Conclusions. The currently available evidence suggests TMA manifestations following discontinuation are unpredictable in both severity and timing. For evidence-based decision making, better risk stratification and valid monitoring strategies are required. Until these exist, the risk versus benefit of eculizumab discontinuation, either in specific clinical situations or at selected time points, should include consideration of the risk of further TMA manifestations.
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Introduction

Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS) is a rare, life-threatening disorder caused, in the majority of cases, by uncontrolled complement activation [1]. This results in endothelial injury and platelet activation presenting as thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), ischaemia and systemic end organ involvement [1–3]. Manifestation of disease requires an inherent predisposition, i.e. a genetically determined or acquired dysfunction in complement regulation, and a condition or event that initiates complement amplification, such as bacterial or viral infections, drugs, associated diseases, transplantation and, in women, pregnancy [1, 3]. The clinical course of aHUS can be both acute and severe, or almost subclinical and progressive. Historically, up to 67% of patients died or had end-stage renal disease (ESRD) within 3 years of diagnosis [4].

A paradigm shift in the management of aHUS has occurred with the availability of eculizumab, a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds to the terminal complement protein C5. Eculizumab blocks cleavage of C5–C5a (a potent anaphylatoxin) and C5b, inhibiting progression of the terminal complement pathway to the membrane attack complex, but leaving proximal complement functions intact. Eculizumab is currently the only approved treatment for aHUS [5].

Prospective clinical trials in patients with aHUS have shown that eculizumab is well tolerated and effective in both adult and paediatric patients with native or transplanted kidneys, whether or not a complement mutation has been identified [6, 7]. aHUS is a chronic disease, yet TMA manifestations are unpredictable and can lead to irreversible and potentially life-threatening complications. Therefore, life-long treatment with eculizumab is specified for patients with aHUS unless discontinuation is clinically indicated [8]. The dosing regimen in patients with aHUS was developed to maintain sufficient trough levels of eculizumab to sustain blockade of C5 between doses, including during potential periods of increased complement activation [7].

Despite our pathophysiologic understanding of aHUS and the label recommendation, reports of patients discontinuing eculizumab have been published. Among the justifications given are the wish to protect patients from potentially serious meningococcal infections, the risk of which is increased due to impaired complement-mediated lysis of Neisseria [9, 10]. The prevention of other, rare, adverse events, such as immunemediated drug reactions, and patient request have also been cited [11]. As with any life-long treatment, economic considerations exist and these have been discussed elsewhere [12]. Patients may also request to either continue or discontinue therapy and seek evidence to inform this decision.

This report will focus on available data for the medical evaluation of eculizumab discontinuation and TMA risk. Current evidence regarding discontinuation has been collated from case reports, clinical trials and the global aHUS Registry, and is discussed in light of the clinical experience of the authors.

Materials and methods

Patients with aHUS who discontinued eculizumab treatment were identified from three sources.

Identification of case studies of patients with aHUS who discontinued eculizumab

The authors analyzed their own cases of patients who had received eculizumab and subsequently discontinued treatment. In addition, a literature search was performed up to and including June 2015. The search used PubMed, and was limited to case reports or case series in English, using the terms ‘atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome’ and ‘eculizumab’. Both USA and UK spellings were used. Results were manually assessed for patient cases where treatment was discontinued after one or more doses of eculizumab.

Data from prospective and retrospective clinical trials including long-term follow-up studies

Patients who discontinued eculizumab while enrolled in any one of five eculizumab clinical trials, including long-term extensions, are reported [6, 7, 13, 14]. The safety and efficacy of eculizumab in patients with aHUS has been evaluated in four prospective, single-arm studies and one retrospective study. Study C08-003A/B, a prospective, open-label, single-arm study of 26 weeks (with a long-term extension), enrolled 20 adult and adolescent patients with long disease duration and chronic kidney injury undergoing prolonged plasma exchange/infusion at baseline [6]. Study C08-002A/B, a prospective, open-label, single-arm study of 26 weeks (with a long-term extension) in 17 adult and adolescent patients with clinical evidence of progressing TMA [6]. Two further prospective trials in adults (C10-004) and paediatric (C10-003) patients enrolled 41 and 22 patients with aHUS and signs of TMA, respectively [7, 14]. Study C09-001r was a retrospective, observational, non-interventional study of 30 adult/paediatric patients with aHUS treated with eculizumab [7]. In total, 130 patients with aHUS have enrolled in clinical studies. Patients who participated in any of these studies are also eligible to enrol in a long-term follow-up (LTFU) study assessing the safety of eculizumab over up to 5 years.

The global aHUS Registry

The global aHUS Registry was initiated to record information on the natural history of patients with aHUS irrespective of treatment, prospectively collect safety and effectiveness data on patients treated with eculizumab, and provide LTFU on aHUS treatment with eculizumab. All patients clinically diagnosed with aHUS are eligible and data are collected at enrolment and every 6 months thereafter for a minimum of 5 years. The current analysis includes 296 patients receiving eculizumab enrolled in the Registry as of 29 August 2014 [15]. Further details on the global aHUS Registry methodology have recently been published [16].

Results

Authors’ case reports

Unpublished cases of six patients from the authors’ clinics are summarized in Table 1. Overall, eculizumab treatment duration ranged from 1 to 14 months. Following discontinuation, four patients had a subsequent TMA event between 2 and 12 months later and immediately restarted eculizumab treatment. Of these, two had no identified mutation, one had a C3 and the other a membrane cofactor protein (MCP) mutation. The clinical manifestation of TMA followed infection or vaccination in three of the four cases.

Published case reports

As of June 2015, case reports describing 52 patients who discontinued eculizumab after multiple doses, and 5 who received a...
single eculizumab dose were available [11, 17–39] (Table 2A and B, respectively). After discontinuation, within the limited follow-up reported, TMA manifestations were reported in 16 of 52 (31%) patients receiving multiple doses, and four of five (80%) receiving a single dose of eculizumab.

The reported reasons for discontinuation and subsequent causes of TMA following discontinuation are varied. Table 2A shows that three patients requested to stop treatment (two with an identified mutation and one not tested), one patient discontinued due to an infection and another due to progression to ESRD after eculizumab was initiated in late-stage disease. Infection led to TMA in both cases in which a cause was reported.

Most cases reporting a single eculizumab dose were reported in 2009 and 2010, prior to approval for the treatment of aHUS and before evidence from clinical trials of the safety and efficacy of long-term eculizumab treatment was widely available. Among these cases, the primary reason for discontinuation appears to be the substantial improvement in symptoms (Table 2B).

Ardissino et al. describe a case series of 22 patients with aHUS receiving eculizumab who were given the option to discontinue eculizumab after resolution of TMA symptoms [11]. Following explanation of the risk of new TMA manifestations, 12 patients decided to continue treatment [10 of whom had a complement factor H (CFH) mutation]. Ten patients discontinued eculizumab after a median treatment duration of 5.6 (range 0.4–14.2) months. A recent follow-up included a further six patients who had discontinued eculizumab [17]. Patients had no signs of active TMA at the time of discontinuation. Follow-up ranged from 0.4 to 40 months and 5/16 patients (31%) experienced new TMA manifestations. Eculizumab was reintroduced in all five patients, with renal function returning to pre-discontinuation levels [17]. One patient also restarted eculizumab pre-emptively prior to kidney transplant [11].

A review of the use of eculizumab in England included a description of 14 patients in whom eculizumab was withdrawn [34], in one case due to the diagnosis of typical HUS. Of the 13 patients with aHUS, three (23%) had evidence of recurrent TMA. Five patients were discontinued after ≥ 4 months of dialysis without apparent improvement in renal function, two of whom restarted eculizumab within 10 weeks due to significant hemolysis, which subsequently recovered. Of these, one patient carried a CFH/CFHR1 hybrid mutation; in the other, no mutation was identified. Of two patients with MCP mutations who discontinued eculizumab, one had a new TMA manifestation after 36 weeks leading to the reintroduction of eculizumab and recovery of renal function.

Dose modification has also been reported in three cases, two in which the dosing interval was extended and one in which the dose was reduced. Subsequent evidence of TMA was reported in all three patients, after intervals of 6 days, 10 days and 5 months, and included schistocytes, elevated lactate dehydrogenase or renal function deterioration [41–43]. After restarting eculizumab according to the approved dosing regimen, these parameters normalized.

Data from clinical trials and the global aHUS Registry
Initial and long-term outcomes from prospective studies have been reported elsewhere [6, 7, 13, 14]. Of interest here, 61 patients (including 21 paediatric patients) discontinued eculizumab treatment over the five clinical trials (N = 130), including extension studies and the LTFU. Selected demographics and

| Table 1. Characteristics of six patients with aHUS discontinuing eculizumab treatment (unpublished author cases) |
| --- |
| **Case** | **Age (years)** | **Gender** | **Kidney status** | **Complement mutation** | **Time on eculizumab (months)** | **Reason for discontinuation** | **Reason for new TMA event (months)** | **Time to new TMA event (months)** | **Treatment and/or outcome** |
| 1 | 39 | M | Native | No mutation identified, homozgyous CFH risk haplotype | 1 | Clinical improvement | | | |
| 2 | 16 | F | Native | C3 | 2 | No recovery of renal function | | | |
| 3 | 22 | M | Native | No mutation identified | 6 | Considered stable | | | |
| 4 | 37 | F | Native | MCP and homozygous CFH risk haplotype | 4.5 | Clinical improvement | | | |
| 5 | 37 | F | Native | MCP and homozygous CFH risk haplotype | 5 | Second patient request | | | |
| 6 | 38 | F | Native | No mutation identified | 14 | Patient request | | | |

In Case 5, patient did not discontinue but received a reduced dose of eculizumab (900 mg/month). CFH, complement factor H; DD, deceased donor; KTx, kidney transplant; LD, living donor; MCP, membrane cofactor protein; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.
| Case report   | Kidney status | Mutation                  | Time on eculizumab | Reason for discontinuation | Time to new TMA | Event underlying new TMA | Length of follow-up if no new TMA |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cayci et al. [19] | Native      | CFI                       | 3 weeks             | Safety concern of long-term eculizumab | –               | –                       | 4 months                         |
| Garjau et al. [20] | Native      | MCP                       | 27 weeks            | In ESRD, late eculizumab start | –               | –                       | Not reported                     |
| Delmas et al. [23] | Native      | CFH, CFI                  | Tapered after 18 months | Stable condition             | –               | –                       | 2 months                         |
| Gulleroglu et al. [25] | Native | MCP                       | 5 weeks             | Normal neurological, renal, and haematological parameters | –               | –                       | 9 months                         |
| Canignal et al. [26] | Native | None identified           | 6 months            | No mutation found            | –               | –                       | 6 months                         |
| Fakhouri et al. [28] | Native | 5 patients discontinued   | 3 weeks to 19 months | No detectable CFH antibodies, haemodialysis | –               | –                       | 5–13 months                      |
| De Sousa Amorim et al. [32] | Native | None identified, homozygous CFH and MCP risk haplotypes | 11 months | Absence of TMA | – | – | 12 months |
| Ardissino et al. [11, 17] | Native | (n = 10) KTx (n = 1)      | Median 4.3 (range 0.5–14.4) months | Physician-led patient decision | – | – | Range 0.4–40 months |
| Pu and Sido [30] | NR           | None identified           | 12 weeks            | Urinary infection            | –               | –                       | 12 months                         |
| Sheerin et al. [34] | NR           | 11/14; none identified (n = 6), CFH, MCP, C3 | 4 weeks to 134 weeks | No mutation identified (n = 4), still on dialysis at 4 months (n = 3), not aHUS (n = 2), MCP mutation (n = 1), non-compliance (n = 1) | – | – | Not reported, of patients with no identified mutations, 4 recovered, 2 are on dialysis, 2 patients died |
| Giordano et al. [21] | Native | CFH                       | 18 months           | Stable condition             | 45 days          | No specific event reported (reduced platelet count and increased proteinuria) | – |
| Carr et al. [22] | Native      | CFH                       | 9 months            | Patient request              | 6 months         | Respiratory infection   | – |
| Gilbert et al. [24] | Native | MCP                       | 9 weeks             | Cisplatin discontinuation and tumour excision | 15 weeks | No specific event reported (elevated sC5b9 and renal biopsy results) | – |
| Ardissino et al. [11, 17] | Native | 5/16 CFH, CFH, CFHR3/1 deletion, CFH antibodies | Median 4.3 (range 0.5–14.4) months | Physician-led patient decision | Range 0.7–17.3 months | Not reported | – |
Table 2. Continued

(A)

| Case report           | Kidney status | Mutation                                         | Time on eculizumab | Reason for discontinuation<sup>a</sup>       | Time to new TMA | Event underlying new TMA | Length of follow-up if no new TMA |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Chaudhary et al. [27] | Native        | Heterozygous for CFHR1-3, CFH point mutation     | 9 months           | Patient request                           | Not reported    | Pregnancy                | --                               |
| Kourouklaris et al. [29] | Native        | Not tested                                       | ~6 weeks           | Patient request                           | 5 months        | No specific event reported (worsening anaemia, increased LDH and creatinine) | --                               |
| Schalk et al. [33]    | Native        | CFH                                              | 1 week (2 doses)   | Assumed absence of effect                 | 2 months        | Not reported              | --                               |
| Alachkar et al. [18]  | LD KTx        | None identified                                  | 8 months           | Stable serum creatinine and normalization of laboratory and clinical parameters | 5 months        | --                       | --                               |
| Wetzels et al. [31]   | NR            | 3 patients CFH                                   | 4–6 months         | Stable disease                            | 3 months for one patient | Not reported | 11–17 months for two patients |
| Sheerin et al. [34]   | NR            | 3/14; none identified, CFH, MCP                  | 24–27 weeks        | Still on dialysis at 4 months              | 6–36 weeks      | Haemolysis (n = 2)       | --                               |

(B)

| Case report           | Kidney status | Mutation                                    | Reason for discontinuation<sup>a</sup>       | Time to new TMA | Complement amplifying condition | Outcome                                                      |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mache et al. [35]     | Native        | No mutation identified                      | Improvement of renal function, platelet count normalization | 2 weeks         | Unknown                         | Eculizumab reintroduced, hypervolemic hypertension required haemodialysis, eculizumab discontinued again after the patient reached ESRD. A subsequent TMA complication resulted in anuria Patient progressed to ESRD |
| Kose et al. (case 2)  | Native        | CFH and CFI polymorphisms                  | Improvement of renal function, platelet count normalization | 2 months        | Unknown                         | --                                                          |
| Vilalta et al. [39]   | Native        | CFH                                         | Normalization of renal function and haematological stabilization | 8 weeks         | Unknown                         | No new TMA events over subsequent 2.5 years eculizumab treatment |
| Nürnberger et al. [36] | DD KTx aged 30 and 37 years | CFH and CFHR1 deletion              | Normalization of renal function and haemolysis markers | –               | –                               | Stable renal graft function at 8 months (last reported follow-up) |
| Larrea et al. and Zuber et al. [38, 40] | DD KTx       | CFH risk polymorphism                     | Single dose was planned                     | 12 days         | –                               | Eculizumab reintroduced                                      |

<sup>a</sup>As reported in published case study. aHUS, atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome; CF, complement factor; CFHR, complement factor H receptor; DD, deceased donor; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; KTx, kidney transplant; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LD, living donor; MCP, membrane cofactor protein; NR, not reported; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.
Table 3. Demographics and disease characteristics at baseline and discontinuation for 61 patients discontinuing eculizumab in clinical trials

|                                | Discontinuation with subsequent TMA event (n = 12) | Discontinuation without subsequent TMA event (n = 49) | All discontinued patients (n = 61) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age at parent study baseline (years), median (range) | 19.5 (0.0–80.0) | 27.0 (0.0–68.0) | 26.0 (0.0–80.0) |
| Female, n (%)                  | 6 (50)                                           | 30 (61)                                           | 36 (59)                           |
| Identified complement mutation or autoantibody, n (%) | 7 (58)                                           | 24 (49)                                           | 31 (51)                           |
| Factor H mutation              | 5 (42)                                           | 9 (18)                                            | 14 (23)                           |
| Time from TMA manifestation to start of eculizumab in parent trial (months), median (range) | 0.7 (0.0–19.1) | 0.8 (0.0–36.6) | 0.8 (0.0–36.6) |
| Time from diagnosis to start of eculizumab in parent trial (months), median (range) | 23.5 (0.0–112.5) | 1.0 (0.0–288.0) | 1.4 (0.0–288.0) |
| Duration of eculizumab treatment before discontinuation (weeks), median (range) | 19 (1–116) | 48 (1–231) | 27 (1–231) |
| Time to TMA manifestation after discontinuation (weeks), median (min–max) | 13 (4–127) | – | – |
| Follow-up time after discontinuation (weeks), median (min, max) | 14 (4–151) | 24 (0–145) | 24 (0–151) |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²), median (range) | 22.8 (10.0–105.5) | 15.7 (5.3–102.0) | 19.1 (5.3–105.5) |
| Dialysis, n (%) | 36.5 (10.1–151.3) | 42.9 (6.6–126.7) | 41.5 (6.6–151.3) |
| At parent study baseline | 20 (41)                                          | 12 (25)                                           | 12 (20)                           |
| At discontinuation             | 0 (0)                                            | 13 (27)                                           | 16 (26)                           |

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.

disease characteristics of these 61 patients are described in Table 3.

During a median follow-up period of 24 weeks after discontinuation, 12/61 patients (20%) experienced 15 severe TMA complications and 9 of these 12 patients restarted eculizumab (Table 4A). The median time to a TMA complication after discontinuation was 13 (range 4–127) weeks. Among patients who discontinued treatment, characteristics were similar between patients with and without TMA complications, except for a possibly higher proportion with a CFH mutation in the group experiencing TMA complications. None of the patients with new TMA events was on dialysis at the time of discontinuation. Following discontinuation, three patients with new TMA progressed to ESRD, one of whom required dialysis despite reinitiation of eculizumab. An additional two patients who received reduced eculizumab dosing experienced subsequent new TMA complications, resulting in ESRD in one patient (Table 4B).

Rates of eculizumab discontinuation and reinitiation have also been reported for 296 patients receiving eculizumab in the global aHUS Registry (data cut-off 29 August 2014). In patients aged <18 years, 28 (24%) discontinued, of whom 7 (25%) restarted eculizumab treatment. For adult patients, 48 (27%) discontinued and 5 (10%) subsequently restarted [15].

Discussion

Eculizumab, a first-in-class complement C5 inhibitor allowing specific blockade of terminal complement activation, is a well-tolerated and effective treatment for patients with aHUS. Moreover, the option of a pharmacologic intervention has drawn substantial scientific and clinical interest to the field, profoundly improving our understanding of the pathophysiology and clinical management of aHUS. Despite the understanding of a life-long inherent risk of unpredictable disease manifestations, which may be avoided by regulation of complement activation, patients may discontinue eculizumab therapy. The incidence, motivation and outcome of drug discontinuation are largely unknown or unreported. The present paper provides the most comprehensive review available to date, comprising not only the authors’ personal experience and published cases, but also data from all clinical trials and the global aHUS Registry.

From the original clinical trials starting in 2008, 47% of patients had discontinued treatment by 2015. This includes patients who initiated eculizumab prior to availability of any trial data guidance on dosing and who in some cases only received a single dose; current evidence would not support the use of a single dose of eculizumab to treat aHUS. A more recent analysis of the aHUS Registry reveals a discontinuation rate of 26%. Among the trial population, 20% of patients experienced new TMA manifestations following discontinuation of eculizumab and organ losses were reported in 5% of cases (3/61 patients), in one case despite reinitiation of eculizumab. In the largest published case series [11, 17], 31% of patients who stopped eculizumab treatment experienced TMA manifestations after discontinuation. The variation reported here in TMA after eculizumab discontinuation likely represents differences in patient characteristics between those reported in case studies, treated in a clinical trial and enrolled in a patient registry.

When reported, the reasons for treatment discontinuation include both medical and economic concerns as well as patient request. These factors need to be weighed against the associated risk of treatment discontinuation. For adequate judgement, the availability of proper risk stratification and valid monitoring strategies are crucial. A number of factors potentially affecting associated risk of disease progression have been discussed, these include the underlying genetic disorder, age at first manifestation, previous TMA manifestations, prevalence of
extrarenal manifestations, post-transplant setting and pregnancy-associated disease.

Some reports suggest a potential relationship between the type of complement mutation identified and the risk of subsequent clinical manifestations of TMA. Patients with CFH mutations appear to be at higher risk [11], although the exon in which the mutation is located may play a pivotal role [31]. It has also previously been demonstrated that patients with CFH or thrombomodulin mutations had the earliest onset of aHUS and the highest mortality, whereas MCP mutations were associated with the least severe outcomes [4]. However, it has been reported that patients without identified mutations have similarly poor outcomes to patients with identified mutations, thereby suggesting that the risk of new TMA manifestations in these groups is comparable [44]. Also, we report three patients with MCP mutations (Tables 1 and 2) who experienced a new TMA event following eculizumab discontinuation, indicating the risk in such patients.

TMA manifestations have been described in patients of all ages. A previous TMA manifestation may identify patients with
a high susceptibility to complement-activating conditions, and current clinical understanding would advocate not to discontinue treatment of a patient who has already had a life-threatening TMA manifestation. Children represent a high-risk group, in that common events leading to complement activation (i.e. infections or vaccinations) are frequent in this age group. Recent paediatric guidelines state that withdrawal should not be considered in children with life-threatening symptoms upon presentation or those not fully having recovered renal function [45].

The risk of TMA manifestation increases in some conditions, such as organ transplantation. In contrast to aHUS patients with native kidneys, a post-transplant setting incurs a number of additional potential TMA risk factors, including the allograft endothelium, immunosuppressants and related infections. Also, with the reduced neophron mass of a single transplanted kidney, recovery from a TMA manifestation is more modest than in patients with native kidneys [46–48]. Another example is pregnancy, with the risk of a TMA manifestation in aHUS increasing dramatically after parturition and loss of local complement regulation [49]. Despite often being stated, avoiding further pregnancy does not limit the risk of further clinical TMA manifestation. This is illustrated by Case 6, which reports pregnancy-associated aHUS manifesting again after transplantation in response to common complement-amplifying conditions (Table 1).

Current evidence suggests that new TMA risk following discontinuation is unpredictable [50]. Since defective complement regulation in aHUS results in continuous overactivation of the alternative complement pathway [4, 51, 52], reliable biomarkers of overall complement activity and disease progression are essential. A simple haemolytic assay with patient serum and sheep erythrocytes has been described for assessing complement activation. However, this assay only appeared sensitive in patients with CFH-related aHUS [53, 54], and only measures complement activation in serum and not at the endothelial cell surface. Measurement of complement proteins in plasma is of limited value: in patients with genetic mutations in CFH, MCP, CFI and thrombomodulin or with anti-CFH antibodies, reduced C3 levels have been found in only 30–50% of cases [4]. A recent study demonstrated the proportion of patients with increased levels of C5a and sC5b9 in plasma were similar during subclinical disease (58% and 64% of patients, respectively) and active levels of C5a and sC5b9 in plasma were similar during subclinical disease [55]. Despite measuring complement activity at the site of injury (i.e. the endothelial level), and for the first time showing a correlation to clinical disease activity, this cell-based assay is limited by availability and is not routinely clinically applicable. Currently, functional tests of complement activity and measurement of complement proteins does not provide unequivocal data allowing the presence or absence of aHUS to be confirmed. Therefore, the optimum strategy for monitoring complement activation and the evolution of aHUS—particularly in identifying ongoing subclinical TMA, which may not manifest with clinical symptoms—is not clear and remains a key question for the future.

When TMA occurs after discontinuation, restarting eculizumab and subsequent long-term use can prevent further TMA manifestations. Vilalta et al. report TMA manifesting 8 weeks after a single eculizumab dose; the patient then remained TMA event-free over a further 2.5 years of ongoing eculizumab treatment [39]. However, in one patient from a clinical trial, and the case of Mache et al. [35], the restart of eculizumab could not prevent deterioration of renal function, TMA and subsequent ESRD. Aside from renal recovery, there are patients who benefit from resolution of debilitating extrarenal TMA manifestations such as cardiovascular or central nervous system involvement [1, 51]. An example is Case 3 (Table 1), who despite continued dependence on dialysis remained free from acute presentation and gastrointestinal symptoms on long-term eculizumab treatment.

Discontinuing eculizumab therapy potentially puts patients at risk in two ways: firstly, the risk of progressive disease and new TMA and, secondly, the risk of not experiencing the reported clinical benefits of long-term therapy. A feature of the prospective trials was the improvement in renal function over a period of >2 years (C08-002A/B, C08-003A/B) [13], and therefore, for many patients, continuous therapy may result in ongoing benefit.

In summary, on the basis of current evidence and clinical understanding, discontinuation of eculizumab may increase the risk of further clinical manifestations of TMA, which are unpredictable in severity and timing. It is not yet clear whether patients with or without identified genetic mutations are at higher risk of new TMA manifestations when eculizumab is discontinued. The current data are limited due to being based on published clinical cases and retrospective analysis of clinical trial data, as well as being constrained by the limited duration of follow-up reported. Observational studies such as the aHUS Registry and the aHUS IFTU study will be a key source of data for future analyses of outcomes for patients with aHUS. Additional efforts are underway to collect more clinical evidence through the EVIDENCE study (NCT02614898). The purpose of this study is to assess TMA manifestations in patients with aHUS whether or not treated with eculizumab. Until tools are available to provide more robust risk stratification and adequately monitor complement activation and disease activity, the option to discontinue eculizumab will not be an evidence-based decision. More comprehensive collection of data on discontinuation and its consequences will empower informed decision making by clinicians and patients.

Conflict of interest statement
N.H. and F.d.A.M. have received consulting fees from Alexion Pharma GmBH. N.H., F.d.A.M., T.D., I.F., K.H. and M.M. received travel expenses and honoraria from Alexion Pharma GmBH for attending an advisory board. C.G. is an employee and stakeholder of Alexion Pharma GmBH.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Jimmy Wang of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for support with statistical analyses. Medical writing support (funded by Alexion Pharma GmBH) was provided by Matthew deSchoolmeester, PhD, of Bioscript Medical Ltd.

References
1. Loirat C, Fremeaux-Bacchi V. Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2011; 6: 60
2. Noris M, Remuzzi G. Atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361: 1676–1687
3. Campistol JM, Arias M, Ariceta G et al. An update for atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome: diagnosis and treatment. A consensus document. *Nefrologia* 2015; 35: 421–447
4. Noris M, Caprioli J, Bresin E et al. Relative role of genetic complement abnormalities in sporadic and familial aHUS and their impact on clinical phenotype. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 5: 1844–1859
5. Cofelli R, Kukreja A, Bedard K et al. Eculizumab reduces complement activation, inflammation, endothelial damage, thrombosis, and renal injury markers in aHUS. Blood 2015; 125: 3253–3262
6. Legendre CM, Licht C, Muus P et al. Terminal complement inhibitor eculizumab in atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 2169–2181
7. Keating GM. Eculizumab: a review of its use in atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. Drugs 2013; 73: 2053–2066
8. European Medicines Agency. Soliris (eculizumab) [summary of product characteristics], 2015. Paris, France: Alexion Europe SAS. http://www.emea.europa.eu/docs/EN_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000791/WC500054208.pdf (March 2016, date last accessed)
9. Ram S, Lewis LA, Rice PA. Infections of people with complement abnormalities in sporadic and familial aHUS and patients who have undergone splenectomy. Clin Microbiol Rev 2010; 23: 740–780
10. Struijk GH, Bouts AH, Rijkers GT et al. Meningococcal sepsis complicating eculizumab treatment despite prior vaccination. Am J Transplant 2013; 13: 619–620
11. Ardissino G, Testa S, Possenti I et al. Discontinuation of eculizumab maintenance treatment for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a report of 10 cases. Am J Kidney Dis 2014; 64: 633–637
12. Davin JC, van de Kar NC. Advances and challenges in the management of complement-mediated thrombotic microangiopathies. Ther Adv Hematol 2015; 6: 171–185
13. Licht C, Greenbaum LA, Muus P et al. Efficacy and safety of eculizumab in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome from 2-year extensions of phase 2 studies. Kidney Int 2015; 87: 1061–1073
14. Greenbaum LA, Fila M, Ardissino G et al. Eculizumab is a safe and effective treatment in pediatric patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Kidney Int 2016; 89: 701–711
15. Licht C, Ardissino G, Ariceta G et al. Characteristics of 521 adult and pediatric patients in the global aHUS registry. Poster SA-POS10. Presented at the American Society of Nephrology (ASN) Kidney Week 2014 Annual Meeting, 11–16 November 2014, Philadelphia, PA
16. Licht C, Ardissino G, Ariceta G et al. The global aHUS registry: methodology and initial patient characteristics. BMC Nephrol 2015; 16: 207
17. Ardissino G, Possenti I, Tel F et al. Discontinuation of eculizumab treatment in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: an update. Am J Kidney Dis 2015; 66: 172–173
18. Alachkar N, Bagnasco SM, Montgomery RA. Eculizumab for the treatment of two recurrences of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in a kidney allograft. Transpl Int 2012; 25: e93–e95
19. Cayci FS, Cakar N, Hancer VS et al. Eculizumab therapy in a child with hemolytic uremic syndrome and CFI mutation. Pediatr Nephrol 2012; 27: 2327–2331
20. Garaju M, Azancot M, Ramos R et al. Early treatment with eculizumab in atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome. Clin Kidney J 2012; 5: 31–33
21. Giordano M, Castellano G, Messina G et al. Preservation of renal function in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome by eculizumab: a case report. Pediatr Nephrol 2012; 130: e1385–e1388
22. Carr R, Cataland SR. Relapse of aHUS after discontinuation of therapy with eculizumab in a patient with aHUS and factor H mutation. Ann hematol 2013; 92: 845–846
23. Delmas Y, Bordes C, Liorat C et al. Post-partum atypical haemolytic-uraemic syndrome treated with eculizumab: terminal complement activity assessment in clinical practice. Clin Kidney J 2013; 6: 243–244
24. Gilbert RD, Stanley LK, Fowler DJ et al. Cisplatin-induced hemolytic uremic syndrome associated with a novel intronic mutation of treated with eculizumab. Clin Kidney J 2013; 6: 421–425
25. Gulleroglu K, Fidan K, Hancer VS et al. Neurologic involvement in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome and successful treatment with eculizumab. Pediatr Nephrol 2013; 28: 827–830
26. Canigral C, Moscardo F, Castro C et al. Eculizumab for the treatment of pregnancy-related atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Ann Hematol 2014; 93: 1421–1422
27. Chaudhary P, Hegpur M, Sarkissian S et al. Atypical haemolytic-uraemic syndrome due to heterozygous mutations of CFH/CFHR1-3 and complement factor H 479. Blood Transfus 2014; 12: 111–113
28. Fakhouri F, Delmas Y, Provot F et al. Insights from the use in clinical practice of eculizumab in adult patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome affecting the native kidneys: an analysis of 19 cases. Am J Kidney Dis 2014; 63: 40–48
29. Kourouklis A, Ioannou K, Athanasiou I et al. Postpartum thrombotic microangiopathy revealed as atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome successfully treated with eculizumab: a case report. J Med Case Rep 2014; 8: 307
30. Pu JJ, Sido A. Successful discontinuation of eculizumab therapy in a patient with aHUS. Ann Hematol 2014; 93: 1423–1425
31. Wetzels JF, van de Kar NC. Discontinuation of eculizumab maintenance treatment for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis 2015; 65: 342
32. De Sousa Amorim E, Blasco M, Quintana L et al. Eculizumab in pregnancy-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: insights for optimizing management. J Nephrol 2015; 28: 641–645
33. Schalk G, Kirchhoff M, Wehling C et al. A complicated case of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome with frequent relapses under eculizumab. Pediatr Nephrol 2015; 30: 1039–1042
34. Sheerin NS, Kavanagh D, Goodship TH et al. A national specialized service in England for atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome—the first year’s experience. QJM 2016; 109: 27–33
35. Mache CJ, Acham-Roschitz B, Fremeaux-Bacchi V et al. Complement inhibitor eculizumab in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 4: 1312–1316
36. Nüernberger J, Philipp T, Wittke O et al. Eculizumab for atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 542–544
37. Kose O, Zimmerhackl LB, Jungraithmayr T et al. New treatment options for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome with the complement inhibitor eculizumab. Clin Kidney J 2010; 3: 669–672
38. Larrea CF, Cofan F, Oppenheimer F et al. Efficacy of eculizumab in the treatment of recurrent atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome after renal transplantation. Transplantation 2010; 89: 903–904
39. Vilalta R, Lara E, Madrid A et al. Long-term eculizumab improves clinical outcomes in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 2012; 27: 2323–2326
40. Zuber J, Le Quintrec M, Krid S et al. Eculizumab for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome recurrence in renal transplantation. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 3337–3354
41. Chatelut V, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Lobbedez T et al. Safety and long-term efficacy of eculizumab in a renal transplant patient with recurrent atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. Am J Transplant 2009; 9: 2644–2645
42. Ariceta G, Arrizabalaga B, Aguirre M et al. Eculizumab in the treatment of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in infants. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2012; 59: 707–710
43. Malina M, Gulati A, Bagga A et al. Peripheral gangrene in children with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2013; 131: e331–e335
44. Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Fakhouri F, Garnier A et al. Genetics and outcome of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a nationwide French series comparing children and adults. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 8: 554–562
45. Loirat C, Fakhouri F, Ariceta G et al. An international consensus approach to the management of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2016; 31: 15–39
46. Legendre C, Greenbaum L, Sheerin N et al. Eculizumab efficacy in aHUS patients with progressing TMA, with or without prior renal transplant. *Am J Transplant* 2013; 13: 278–279
47. Loirat C, Legendre CM, Ogawa M et al. Safety and efficacy of eculizumab in adult aHUS patients, with or without a history of renal transplant. *Am J Nephrol* 2014; 25: 754
48. Zuber J, Le Quintrec M, Morris H et al. Targeted strategies in the prevention and management of atypical HUS recurrence after kidney transplantation. *Transplant Rev (Orlando)* 2013; 27: 117–125
49. Fakhouri F, Roumenina L, Provot F et al. Pregnancy-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome revisited in the era of complement gene mutations. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; 21: 859–867
50. Nester CM, Barbour T, de Cordoba SR et al. Atypical aHUS: state of the art. *Mol Immunol* 2015; 67: 31–42
51. Noris M, Remuzzi G. Cardiovascular complications in atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2014; 10: 174–180
52. Heinen S, Pluthero FG, van Eimeren VF et al. Monitoring and modeling treatment of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Mol Immunol* 2013; 54: 84–88
53. Sanchez-Corral P, Gonzalez-Rubio C, Rodriguez de Cordoba S et al. Functional analysis in serum from atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome patients reveals impaired protection of host cells associated with mutations in factor H. *Mol Immunol* 2004; 41: 81–84
54. Roumenina LT, Loirat C, Dragon-Durey MA et al. Alternative complement pathway assessment in patients with atypical HUS. *J Immunol Methods* 2011; 365: 8–26
55. Noris M, Galbusera M, Gastoldi S et al. Dynamics of complement activation in aHUS and how to monitor eculizumab therapy. *Blood* 2014; 124: 1715–1726