Cerebrovascular hemodynamics during pranayama techniques
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pranayama techniques are known to produce variable physiological effects on the body. We evaluated the effect of the two commonly practiced Pranayama techniques on cerebral hemodynamics. Materials and Methods: Fifteen healthy male volunteers, trained in Yoga and Pranayama, were included in the study. Mean age was 24 years (range 22–32 years). Study participants performed 2 Pranayamas in 2 different orders. Order 1 (n = 7) performed Bhastrika (bellows breaths) followed by Kumbhaka (breath retention) while order 2 (n = 8) performed Kumbhaka followed by Bhastrika. Both breathing techniques were performed for 1 min each. Continuous transcranial Doppler (TCD) monitoring was performed during the breathing techniques. TCD parameters that were recorded included peak systolic velocity (PSV), end-diastolic velocity (EDV), mean flow velocity (MFV), and pulsatility index (PI) of the right middle cerebral artery at baseline, 15, 30, 45, and 60 s. Results: Significant reductions in EDV (3.67 ± 6.48; P < 0.001) and MFV (22.00 ± 7.30; P < 0.001) with a significant increase in PI (2.43 ± 0.76; P < 0.001) were observed during Bhastrika. On the contrary, a significant increase in PSV (65.27 ± 13.75; P < 0.001), EDV (28.67 ± 12.03; P < 0.001), and MFV (43.67 ± 12.85; P < 0.001) with a significant reduction in PI (0.89 ± 0.28; P < 0.01) was observed only during Kumbhaka. Conclusion: Bhastrika and Kumbhaka practices of Pranayama produce considerable and opposing effects on cerebral hemodynamic parameters. Our findings may play a potential role in designing the Pranayama techniques according to patients' requirements.
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Introduction

Cerebral autoregulation represents the brain’s ability of maintaining cerebral perfusion over a wide range of physiological challenges. Maintenance of cerebral blood flow (CBF) ensures constant supply of nutrients and oxygen to the brain. Blood flow velocities in the major arteries of the circle of Willis can be monitored noninvasively with continuous Transcranial Doppler (TCD) and represent relative changes in CBF during short periods of physiological challenges. TCD, aptly called as the stethoscope of the brain is the only diagnostic tool, provides real-time monitoring of cerebrovascular hemodynamics in the major intracranial arteries.

Pranayama is an ancient art of breath control, which involves breathing through one or the other nostril, holding the breath in inspiration, expiration, etc. It is one of the most important yogic practices consists of long, sustained inhalation (Puraka), exhalation (Rechaka), and holding breath (Kumbhaka). Kumbhaka constitutes the most important part of Pranayama during which carbon dioxide (CO₂) level rises. The increase in CO₂ level provides a potent vasodilatory challenge to increase CBF. On the contrary, reduction in CO₂ induced by hyperventilation, produces vasoconstriction and reduces CBF in the intracranial arteries.
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Different types of Pranayama produce different physiological responses. For example, slow Pranayama results in reduction in heart rate and blood pressure, whereas Bhashrika Pranayama (bellows type rapid and deep breathing) increases the heart rate and blood pressure. To the best of our knowledge, information regarding cerebral hemodynamic alterations during various Pranayama techniques is lacking. In this study, we evaluated the cerebrovascular hemodynamic responses during the two Pranayama techniques - Bhashrika and Kumbhaka.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Initially, 16 healthy male volunteers, trained in Yoga and Pranayama, were recruited. However, one of them was later excluded due to insufficient experience. The 15 final participants (mean age 24 years, range 22–32 years) belonged to one of the reputed residential Yoga University in South India.

Inclusion criteria
Healthy male volunteers, aged 18 years and above, body mass index 18.5–24.99 kg/m², and practicing regular Yoga and Pranayama techniques for more than 1 year were the inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria
Participants with a history of any active systemic and mental illness, on regular medication for any diseases, chronic substance abuse, and not trained in Yoga and Pranayama were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and a signed written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Study design
A single group repeated measures design was used and each participant performed two Pranayama techniques (Bhashrika and Kumbhaka) in two different orders. The order of the sessions was randomized using 7 papers with the word “Bhashrika” and 8 with the word “Kumbhaka” put in an envelope and each participant drew a paper to determine their order. In the first order (\( n = 7 \)), participants performed Bhashrika followed by Kumbhaka while in the second order (\( n = 8 \)), performance of Kumbhaka was followed by Bhashrika. Figure 1 summarizes the study design and workflow.

Assessment
Cerebrovascular hemodynamic changes
Cerebrovascular hemodynamic changes were monitored in the right middle cerebral artery (MCA) with TCD (Multi-Dop X, DWL, Germany). A 2-MHz TCD ultrasound transducer probe was placed with the use of head frame in the right temporal area just above the zygomatic arch and in front of the tragus of the ear. The transducer was adjusted to get consistent flow spectra between 45 and 60 mm. The right MCA was chosen only for consistency and technique of fixing the head frame and monitoring transducer. TCD parameters recorded during the monitoring included peak systolic velocity (PSV), end-diastolic velocity (EDV), mean flow velocities (MFV) in cm/s, and pulsatility index (PI). These measurements were recorded at baseline, 15, 30, 45, and 60 s during each Pranayama technique.

Intervention
Interventions were given in two different orders as described above. Each Pranayama technique was performed for 1 min with a rest period of 5 min to allow the cerebral hemodynamic patterns to settle at their baseline values.

Bhashrika (bellows breath for 1 min): Participants were asked to perform voluntary forceful inhalation and forceful exhalation through both nostrils for 60 s followed by gentle and normal breathing.

Kumbhaka (Internal breath retention for 1 min): Participants were asked to perform voluntary breath holding for 60 s followed by gentle and normal breathing.
Normal breathing (1 min): TCD monitoring was performed for 1 min during normal breathing pattern before and after Bhastrika and Kumbhaka.

Data analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by Student’s paired samples t-test with the use of Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, Version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc.

Results
All study participants demonstrated stable cerebral hemodynamic parameters during normal breathing. No significant changes were observed in PSV, EDV, MFV, and PI during normal breathing sessions. Bhastrika resulted in a significant reduction in EDV and MFV with a significant increase in PI between 15 and 60 s of the technique [Table 1]. Briefly, considerable reductions in EDV (3.67 ± 6.48; \( P < 0.001 \)) and MFV (22.00 ± 7.30; \( P < 0.001 \)) with a significant change in PI (2.43 ± 0.76; \( P < 0.001 \)) were observed during Bhastrika. During Kumbhaka [Table 2], a significant increase in PSV, EDV, and MFV with a significant reduction in PI was observed between 30 and 60 s of the breathing technique. Accordingly, a considerable increase in PSV (65.27 ± 13.75; \( P < 0.001 \)), EDV (28.67 ± 12.03; \( P < 0.001 \)), and MFV (43.67 ± 12.85; \( P < 0.001 \)) with a significant reduction in PI (0.89 ± 0.28; \( P < 0.01 \)) was observed only during Kumbhaka.

Discussion
Our study shows that Bhastrika and Kumbhaka practices of Pranayama produce considerable effects on cerebral hemodynamic parameters. CBF is regulated by the autonomic nervous system (ANS) by altering the tone of arteriolar sphincters. Some of the important determinants of CBF include partial pressure of arterial \( \text{CO}_2 \) (PaCO\(_2\)), mean arterial pressure (MAP), and cerebral metabolism. PaCO\(_2\) is the strongest regulator of arteriolar tone and an increase of 1 mm of Mercury (Hg) increases CBF by 3–6% while a 1 mmHg reduction decreases CBF by 1–3\%.[2]

We did not observe any significant change in the cerebral hemodynamic parameters during normal breath sessions, probably related to the maintenance of PaCO\(_2\), MAP, cerebral metabolism, and the balanced state of ANS within a very narrow and stable range.[12]

Hyperventilation reduces the end-tidal partial pressure of \( \text{CO}_2 \) by 15.5 ± 1.9 mmHg[1] by washing out \( \text{CO}_2 \). The resultant hypocapnea increases cerebrovascular resistance and decreases the CBF.[2] We strongly believe that a similar mechanism operates during Bhastrika, resulting in a significant reduction in EDV and MFV and an increased PI between 15 and 60 s. Our hypothesis is supported by a previous study on Bhastrika, where the sympathetic activation was reported indirectly by means of the increase in heart rate, rate pressure product, and double product.[10] Since the arteriolar sphincters reduce the blood flow mainly by vasoconstriction-induced reduction of EDV, the effect of hyperventilation (also applicable to Bhastrika) on PSV remains poorly understood. We observed a significant reduction in PSV only after 45 s of rapid breathing. The cerebral hemodynamic parameters gradually returned to their baseline values within 1 min of cessation of Bhastrika, most probably related to the normalization of PaCO\(_2\) during the normal breathing.

During Kumbhaka, a significant increase in PSV, EDV, and MFV was observed during 30–60 s, accompanied by a significant increase in PI. Kumbhaka might lead to the development of hypercapnia and hypoxia because previous studies on breath-holding maneuver reported a reduction

### Table 1: Cerebral hemodynamic parameters during Bhastrika (n=15)

| Parameter | Baseline | 15 s | 30 s | 45 s | 60 s |
|-----------|----------|------|------|------|------|
| PSV (cm/s) | 52.53±15.39 | 51.87±15.02 | 56.13±18.21 | 52.53±15.71 | 50.87±15.71 |
| EDV (cm/s) | 21.67±7.53 | 4.67±6.85 | 3.67±6.48 | 5.07±6.67 | 3.67±6.48 |
| MFV (cm/s) | 34.20±10.81 | 22.87±7.93 | 22.87±8.02 | 22.00±7.30 | 22.78±6.52 |
| PI | 0.91±0.16 | 2.26±0.88 | 2.43±0.76 | 2.34±0.79 | 2.16±0.68 |

All values are in mean±SD. *\( P<0.05 \). PSV: Peak systolic velocity, EDV: End-diastolic velocity, MV: Mean flow velocity, PI: Pulsatility index, SD: Standard deviation

### Table 2: Cerebral hemodynamic parameters during Kumbhaka (n=15)

| Parameter | Baseline | 15 s | 30 s | 45 s | 60 s |
|-----------|----------|------|------|------|------|
| PSV (cm/s) | 50.73±12.41 | 50.60±11.84 | 55.20±12.53 | 58.60±12.57 | 65.27±13.75 |
| EDV (cm/s) | 17.27±7.33 | 18.47±7.24 | 22.67±8.24 | 25.73±9.84 | 28.67±12.03 |
| MFV (cm/s) | 30.00±7.67 | 28.93±7.04 | 33.87±8.77 | 38.80±10.25 | 43.67±12.85 |
| PI | 1.20±0.38 | 1.11±0.34 | 0.99±0.25 | 0.89±0.28 | 0.90±0.31 |

All values are in mean±SD. *\( P<0.05 \). PSV: Peak systolic velocity, EDV: End-diastolic velocity, MV: Mean flow velocity, PI: Pulsatility index, SD: Standard deviation
of end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen below its normal level of ~100 mmHg while end-tidal PaCO$_2$ rises above its normal level of ~40 mmHg during breath holding.$^{[12]}$ Hence, we assume that the same phenomenon might have been responsible for an increase in CBF and reduction in cerebrovascular resistance in this present study.$^{[2]}$ However, lack of the objective measures such as end-tidal PaCO$_2$ is limiting the claim for the support of the result.

The mechanism by which hypercapnia and hypoxia affect cerebrovascular resistance remains poorly understood. Cerebrovascular smooth muscles are believed to be sensitive to changes in PaCO$_2$ and hydrogen ion (H$^+$) concentration. Increase in CO$_2$$^{[12]}$ and hypoxia$^{[2]}$ leads to increased H$^+$ concentration, which activates voltage-gated potassium (K$^+$) channels. The resultant hyperpolarization of endothelial cells reduces intracellular calcium, leading to cerebrovascular relaxation and vasodilatation.$^{[2,12]}$ Other proposed mechanisms include the release of vasoactive agents such as nitric oxide and prostaglandins in response to the velocity-induced shear stress$^{[12]}$ and adenosine-induced vasodilation.$^{[2]}$

Certain limitations of the study need to be acknowledged. First, we did not monitor the partial pressures of oxygen and CO$_2$ during various Pranayama techniques to delineate the underlying physiological mechanisms for the observed changes in cerebral hemodynamic parameters. Second, we feel that monitoring of some of the autonomic variables, specifically blood pressure might have helped in a better understanding of the changes in PSV during the recovery periods of both practices. Third, our study might appear to have a small number of participants. One of the reasons for this is due to inclusion of trained professionals in Yogic Pranayama techniques. Hence, further study is required with the large sample size and more objective measurements for the better understanding.

**Conclusion**

Our study suggests that Bhashrika and Kumbhaka practices produced different cerebral hemodynamic changes which are almost opposite to each other. The changes were uniform among the study participants.

We hypothesize that our findings may play a potential role in designing the Pranayama techniques according to patients’ requirements. We recommend further studies with all the relevant parameters in larger sample sizes for better understanding of cerebrovascular hemodynamic changes during various Pranayama techniques.
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