Abstract

Background: Awareness of the danger signs of obstetric complications is the essential first step in accepting appropriate and timely referral to obstetric and newborn care. The objectives of this study were to assess women's awareness of danger signs of obstetric complications and to identify associated factors in a rural district in Tanzania.

Methods: A total of 1118 women who had been pregnant in the past two years were interviewed. A list of medically recognized potentially life threatening obstetric signs was obtained from the responses given. Chi-square test was used to determine associations between categorical variables and multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors associated with awareness of obstetric danger signs.

Results: More than 98% of the women attended antenatal care at least once. Half of the women knew at least one obstetric sign. The percentage of women who knew at least one danger sign during pregnancy was 26%, during delivery 23% and after delivery 40%. Few women knew three or more danger signs. According to multivariate logistic regression analysis having secondary education or more increased the likelihood of awareness of obstetric danger signs six-fold (OR = 5.8; 95% CI: 1.8–19) in comparison with no education at all. The likelihood to have more awareness increased significantly by increasing age of the mother, number of deliveries, number of antenatal visits, whether the delivery took place at a health institution and whether the mother was informed of having a risks/complications during antenatal care.

Conclusion: Women had low awareness of danger signs of obstetric complications. We recommend the following in order to increase awareness of danger signs of obstetrical complications: to improve quality of counseling and involving other family members in antenatal and postnatal care, to use radio messages and educational sessions targeting the whole community and to intensify provision of formal education as emphasized in the second millennium development goal.
Background
Worldwide, in 2005, 535,900 women died from causes related to pregnancy and childbirth; half of these deaths occurred in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Tanzania, located in sub-Saharan Africa has an estimated maternal mortality ratio ranging from 578 to 950 per 100,000 live-births [1,2]. The common causes of maternal deaths are hemorrhage, postpartum infection, hypertensive disorders, obstructed labor and abortion complications [3,4]. These life-threatening complications are treatable thus most of these deaths are avoidable if women with the complications have timely access to appropriate emergency obstetric care [5].

Three phases of delay to access care have been described [6]: delay in making the decision to seek care; delay in arrival at a health facility; and delay in receiving appropriate treatment after arriving at the health facility. Awareness of the danger signs of obstetric complications among pregnant women and in their communities is the first step to accepting appropriate and timely referral to essential obstetric and newborn care, thus, reducing the first and second phases of delay [5,7,8]. The danger signs occurring during pregnancy are predictive of poor outcome rather than historic risk factors [9].

In 2002, the Tanzanian government introduced focused antenatal care (FANC), a new model of antenatal care recommended by the World Health Organization [10]. Individual counseling on birth preparedness and complication readiness including danger signs of obstetric complications during antenatal and postnatal visits is emphasized. At present in the country, 94% of women attend antenatal care at least once, thus it is expected that majority of women would have received information on danger signs of obstetric complications [2]. Despite the high antenatal care attendance, a study in Rufiji showed that compliance with emergency referral advice is low. Half of the women with obstetric complications referred did not arrive at the referral hospitals [11]. Late or failure of women with obstetric complications to reach referral hospitals may be contributed by many reasons. One reason may be lack of awareness of significance of symptoms or obstetric complications.

The aim of this study was to assess women’s awareness of danger signs of obstetric complications and to identify associated factors in a rural district in Tanzania. This information is necessary for service providers and district-health management teams for improving the quality of antenatal care services provided in both the first line and referral health institutions.

Methods
Study setting
This was a cross-sectional study undertaken in Rufiji district, between November and December 2006. Rufiji district is one of the six districts of Coastal Region in Tanzania. The district covers an area of about 14,500 km². It has an estimated population of 203,000 with approximately 52% females, according to the 2002 Census [12]. The majority of the population are peasants, with 38% living below the national basic needs poverty line [13]. Geographically, the Rufiji district consists of flood plain, coastal-delta, and plateau zones. Most road networks in the district are difficult to pass especially during the rainy season. The district has five divisions divided into 19 wards: eight wards in the flood plain, four in the coastal-delta, and seven in the plateau zone. The total number of villages is 128, each with an average population of 1600.

The district has two hospitals, both providing comprehensive emergency obstetric care, four rural health centers and 48 dispensaries. Health workers provide maternal care in all health institutions.

Sampling method
Sampling was with a two-stage cluster. In the first stage, two wards were randomly selected in each zone, and in the second stage, two villages were randomly selected from each of the six wards (n = 12). In each of the 12 villages, all women who had been pregnant during the previous two years were selected for interview using structured questionnaires. Women who were pregnant for the first time at the time of the data collection were excluded.

Sample size
The crude birth rate in Tanzania is approximately 4% [2] which means 8% of the population is expected to have been pregnant or delivered in the past two years: this made 16,000 women eligible for the study. Assuming that 25% of the women were aware of obstetric danger signs, with a desired precision of 5% (95% confidence interval), a design effect of two and a non-participation rate of 10%, a total of 974 women were required for the study. The number of women selected for the study was 1 151 and of these, 33 (2.8%) were absent at the first and second visit and were regarded as non-respondents; thus, 1 118 women were interviewed.

Data collection
The questionnaire, translated and back translated, Swahili to English to Swahili, to ensure relevance and accuracy. The questionnaire was then piloted in a similar district (Mkuranga) in the same region. The interviews were evaluated by the researchers and necessary changes made.

The questionnaire included socio-demographic characteristics including age, marital status, education level and occupation; pregnancy characteristics including number of deliveries, number of pregnancies and whether the women were pregnant or not at the time of the interview;
experiences during their last pregnancy including whether they attended antenatal care, month of pregnancy booked for care, the number of visits made and if were informed of any risk or complication during antenatal care and danger signs of obstetric complications.

The antenatal cards used in their last pregnancy were available for 636 women and were reviewed for more information on the advice given to deliver in a hospital. Information on awareness of danger signs was collected by asking women if they knew any danger signs that may occur during pregnancy, delivery and after delivery separately in the same interview and those who knew danger signs were asked to mention them. Probing was used to elicit further responses.

The village leaders were informed of the research activities before data collection. House-to-house visits were made on the day of data collection. All women who had been pregnant in the past two years were identified and interviewed by pre-trained research assistants (nurse midwives).

Based on the recommendations of the national antenatal care guideline and the Safe Motherhood Initiative, a list of medically recognized life threatening obstetric signs were obtained from the women’s responses. The list included vaginal bleeding during pregnancy and delivery, severe vaginal bleeding after delivery, anemia, swelling of lower limbs, fits of pregnancy, severe headache, high grade fever, child does not move, severe abdominal pain, awareness of fast heart beats, high blood pressure, prolonged labor, loss of consciousness and retained placenta.

Data analysis
After data collection, responses for open-ended questions were reviewed, categorized, and coded for computerization. Data were entered with Epi Info and subsequently analyzed with SPSS. Awareness of danger signs of obstetric complication in this study was defined as the ability to mention at least one recognized danger sign during pregnancy, delivery or after delivery. Chi-square test was used to determine associations between categorical variables. The differences were deemed significant when p < 0.05. Bivariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors associated with awareness of obstetric danger signs. Variables significant in the bivariate analysis were then entered into a multivariate logistic regression analysis. The associations between awareness and each independent variable were estimated by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). A CI was considered statistically significant when the interval between the upper and lower values did not include one.

Ethical approval
The Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences (MUHAS) research and publication committee gave ethical clearance to conduct the study. Permission to conduct the study was obtained from Rufiji district and village authorities. The purpose of study, benefits, right to refuse participation, and liberty to refuse or leave the study at any time was explained to each participant before the interview. Verbal consent was regarded as sufficient to be included in the study. To ensure confidentiality, women’s names were not written on the questionnaires.

Results

Socio-demographic and pregnancy characteristics
The total number of women interviewed was 1 118. Median age was 26 (Range: 15–45) and median parity was three (Range: 1–14). A majority of women were married/ cohabiting (80%) and peasants (77%). Almost half (46%) of the women had completed primary education. One thousand one hundred (98%) of the women attended antenatal care at least once and the median number of visits was four (Range: 0–10).

Awareness of danger signs
Five hundred and seventy one (51.1%) of the women knew at least one obstetric danger sign. The percentage of women who knew at least one danger sign related to pregnancy was 26%, in relation to delivery 23%, and to the period after delivery 40%. Few women knew three or more danger signs, especially for the delivery period (Figure 1).

One in four women recognized severe vaginal bleeding after delivery as a danger sign. Vaginal bleeding during pregnancy (9.6%) and delivery (13%) were mentioned as danger signs. Other danger signs known were anemia and seizures during pregnancy. Prolonged labor was known by only 1.5% of the women, while retained placenta was recognized by 8.0% (Table 1).

Factors associated with awareness of danger signs
There were no differences in the awareness of danger signs during pregnancy, during delivery or after delivery as related to age, educational level, number and place of deliveries, number of antenatal care visits and woman informed of a risk/complication during antenatal care (p < 0.05) (Table 2).

The independent variables marital status, occupation, and advice to deliver in hospital were not associated with awareness of a danger sign during pregnancy, delivery and after delivery in the bivariate logistic regression analysis, and were thus not included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Having secondary education or higher increased the likelihood of awareness of obstetric danger signs six-fold (OR = 5.8; 95% CI: 1.8–19). Moreover, the likelihood of awareness of obstetric danger signs increased with age, number of deliveries, number of antenatal visits, when delivery was at a health institution, and...
when the mother had been informed of having a risk factor or complication during antenatal care. (Table 3)

Discussion
In this rural district in Tanzania, almost half of the women were not aware of any danger sign of obstetric complications which is the sign of low awareness. A higher level of education was the most important predictive factor for increased awareness of danger signs. Other factors associated with increased awareness included multiparity, age, more than four antenatal care visits, and woman informed of having a risk or complication during antenatal care visits.

Most women attended antenatal care where they should have been informed about danger signs. However, a limitation of this study is that women were not asked of the source of information of the danger signs. Therefore it is difficult to decide whether their knowledge come from the antenatal care, personal experiences or general awareness in the community. Moreover, despite choosing the women who had pregnancy in the last two years there could be still room for the recall bias of the experiences in their last pregnancy.

Women were more aware of danger signs occurring after delivery than during pregnancy and labor/delivery. Severe vaginal bleeding after delivery was the most recognized danger sign, and was mentioned twice as often as other signs, such as vaginal bleeding during pregnancy and delivery, anemia, and fits in pregnancy. Higher awareness of vaginal bleeding after delivery is also reported in a poor fishing community in Karachi, Pakistan [14]. The reason excessive vaginal bleeding after delivery is most commonly recognized as a danger sign may be that it is the most visible sign and the most common cause of maternal death immediately after delivery [5,15]. Furthermore, the mean interval from the onset of severe bleeding to death is two hours in contrast to an average of 12 hours for bleeding during pregnancy and delivery [15].

Few women were aware of prolonged labor as an obstetric danger sign despite its association with both maternal and

Table 1: Women’s awareness of obstetric danger signs during pregnancy, delivery and after delivery (N = 1118).

| Obstetric danger sign                        | Awareness of |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                             | Pregnancy    | Delivery     | After delivery |
|                                             | n  | %  | n  | %  | n  | %  |
| Vaginal bleeding                            | 107 | 9.6 | 149 | 13.3 | 259 | 23.2 |
| Lack of blood (Anemia)                      | 97  | 8.7 | 28  | 2.5  | 83  | 7.4  |
| Fits of pregnancy                           | 78  | 7.0 | 103 | 9.2  | 116 | 10.4 |
| Severe abdominal pain                       | 60  | 5.4 | 19  | 1.7  | 52  | 4.7  |
| High grade fever                            | 56  | 5.0 | 7   | 0.6  | 32  | 2.9  |
| High blood pressure                         | 37  | 3.3 | 15  | 1.3  | 18  | 1.6  |
| Severe headache                             | 21  | 1.9 | 7   | 0.6  | 5   | 0.4  |
| Loss of consciousness                       | 18  | 1.6 | 7   | 0.7  | 25  | 2.7  |
| Swelling of the lower limbs                 | 77  | 6.9 | 21  | 1.9  |     |      |
| Awareness of fast heart beat                | 18  | 1.6 | 7   | 0.7  | 25  | 2.7  |
| Child does not move                         | 13  | 1.2 | 7   | 0.7  | 25  | 2.7  |
| Prolonged labor                             | 17  | 1.5 | 89  | 8.0  |
| Retained placenta                           | 832 | 74.4| 863 | 77.2 | 672 | 60.1 |
| Do not know any of the above                |     |    |     |      |     |      |

* severe vaginal bleeding
fetal morbidity and mortality. In a study in The Gambia, involving urban and rural women attending antenatal care, prolonged labor was not recognized as a danger sign [16], a similar finding was reported from Malawi [17]. However, a study in Pakistan [14] reported that 23% of women are aware of this danger sign. The difference in knowledge observed is difficult to explain but it may be due to how interviews were conducted, whether prolonged labour is one among danger signs women are counseled during antenatal care or perception of prolonged labour in these culturally different areas.

Increased awareness among older and multiparous women may be related to their own experiences of pregnancy or events in the community. Women's own experience was an important source of information, as women who had experienced obstetric risk or complication in their last pregnancy were more aware of danger signs. This

Table 2: Women's awareness of danger signs during pregnancy, during delivery and after delivery presented by socio-demographic, obstetric characteristics, experience in the last pregnancy, and antenatal care attendance (N = 1118).

| Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristic | Pregnancy | Delivery | After delivery | Total |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|
| Age:                                           | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001     |       |
| -19                                            | 20 14.5   | 11 8.0   | 28 20.3       | 138   |
| 20–29                                          | 140 23.1  | 130 21.5 | 229 37.9      | 605   |
| 30–39                                          | 106 34.4  | 96 31.2  | 152 49.4      | 308   |
| 40+                                            | 20 29.9   | 18 26.9  | 37 55.2       | 67    |
| Marital status:                                | p = 0.25  | p = 0.85  | p = 0.12      |       |
| Single                                         | 31 20.7   | 32 21.3  | 51 34.0       | 150   |
| Married/Cohabiting                             | 233 26.0  | 205 22.9 | 360 40.2      | 895   |
| Divorced/Separated/Widowed                     | 22 30.1   | 18 24.7  | 35 47.9       | 73    |
| Educational level:                             | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001     |       |
| Not gone to school                             | 78 17.5   | 68 15.2  | 150 33.6      | 446   |
| Primary school incomplete                      | 35 25.5   | 33 24.1  | 51 37.2       | 137   |
| Primary school complete                        | 158 30.7  | 145 28.2 | 231 44.9      | 515   |
| Secondary school and above                     | 15 75.0   | 9 45.0   | 14 70.0       | 20    |
| Occupation:                                    | p = 0.084 | p = 0.44  | p = 0.15      |       |
| Peasant                                        | 214 24.9  | 197 23.0 | 328 38.2      | 858   |
| Housewife                                      | 19 19.4   | 17 17.3  | 41 41.8       | 98    |
| Petty businesswomen                            | 44 32.1   | 36 26.3  | 64 46.7       | 137   |
| Other                                          | 9 36.0    | 5 20.0   | 13 52.0       | 25    |
| Number of deliveries                           | p = 0.004 | p = 0.002 | p < 0.001     |       |
| 1                                              | 43 19.3   | 32 14.3  | 62 27.8       | 223   |
| 2 – 4                                          | 134 24.5  | 132 24.1 | 208 38.0      | 548   |
| 5 +                                            | 109 31.4  | 91 26.2  | 176 50.7      | 347   |
| Place of delivery:                             | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001     |       |
| Home/Roadside                                  | 74 19.6   | 57 15.1  | 125 33.1      | 378   |
| Health institution                             | 212 28.6  | 198 26.8 | 321 43.4      | 740   |
| Number of antenatal care visits<sup>a</sup>:    | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001     |       |
| -3                                             | 105 20.5  | 94 18.4  | 178 34.8      | 511   |
| 4 -                                            | 171 29.9  | 150 26.3 | 254 44.5      | 571   |
| Month booked antenatal care<sup>b</sup>:       | p = 0.138 | p = 0.007 | p = 0.087     |       |
| -3                                             | 67 28.5   | 68 28.9  | 104 44.3      | 235   |
| 4 -                                            | 214 24.7  | 182 21.0 | 338 39.1      | 865   |
| Informed of a risk/complication during antenatal care<sup>c</sup>: | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p = 0.003     |       |
| Yes                                            | 71 39.7   | 66 36.9  | 89 49.7       | 179   |
| No                                             | 210 22.8  | 184 20.0 | 353 38.3      | 921   |
| Advised to deliver in hospital<sup>d</sup>:     | p = 0.15  | p = 0.36  | p = 0.20      |       |
| Yes                                            | 58 23.9   | 56 23.0  | 93 38.3       | 243   |
| No                                             | 110 28.0  | 97 24.7  | 165 42.0      | 393   |

Note: P-value for χ² – test between those who did not know of any danger signs versus those who knew one or more danger sign.
<sup>a</sup> 18 women did not attend antenatal care
<sup>b</sup> 18 women did not know the number of antenatal care visits
<sup>c</sup> 482 women had no antenatal cards
implied that young women in their first pregnancy may need more consideration when providing counseling and health education.

Women who had completed primary education had higher awareness of danger signs than women with incomplete or no formal education. Better education is associated with enlightenment and awareness of different health conditions although exposure to information is crucial [17]. Studies in Tanzania and elsewhere indicate that a higher level of education is associated with lower maternal mortality [4,18,19] whereas other studies have shown no association [20,21]. Despite these conflicting results, we still believe that women's education is important for understanding health messages and to be able to make decisions regarding their health and care. Introducing appropriate Safe Motherhood information in primary schools to girls before they become pregnant may further improve women understanding of health messages including awareness of danger signs of obstetric complication [22].

In this study, 98.4% of the women had attended antenatal care. Women who made four or more antenatal care visits

---

**Table 3: Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of the likelihood of knowing one or more danger sign during pregnancy, during delivery and after delivery.**

| Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristic | Aware of danger sign | Bivariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                                               | Yes | No | OR  | 95% CI  | OR  | 95% CI  |
| **Age:**                                      |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| -19                                           | 41  | 97 | 1   |         |     |         |
| 20–29                                         | 295 | 310| 2.3 | 1.5–3.4 | 1.7 | 1.1–2.8 |
| 30–39                                         | 192 | 116| 3.9 | 2.5–6.0 | 2.3 | 1.2–4.1 |
| 40+                                           | 43  | 24 | 4.2 | 2.3–7.9 | 2.3 | 1.2–5.2 |
| **Marital status:**                           |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Single                                        | 68  | 82 | 1   |         |     |         |
| Married/Cohabitng                             | 461 | 434| 1.3 | 0.91–1.8|     |         |
| Divorced/Separated/Widowed                    | 42  | 31 | 1.6 | 0.93–2.9|     |         |
| **Educational level:**                        |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Not gone to school                            | 196 | 250| 1   |         |     |         |
| Primary school incomplete                     | 62  | 75 | 1.1 | 0.72–1.5| 1.0 | 0.67–1.6|
| Primary school complete                       | 297 | 218| 1.7 | 1.3–2.2 | 1.7 | 1.3–2.3 |
| Secondary school and above                    | 16  | 4  | 5.1 | 1.7–15  | 5.8 | 1.8–19  |
| **Occupation:**                               |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Peasant                                       | 430 | 428| 1   |         |     |         |
| Housewife                                     | 47  | 51 | 0.9 | 0.60–1.4|     |         |
| Petty businesswomen                           | 80  | 57 | 1.3 | 0.67–2.8|     |         |
| Other                                         | 14  | 11 | 1.4 | 0.97–2.0|     |         |
| **Number of deliveries**                      |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| 1                                            | 84  | 139| 1   |         |     |         |
| 2 – 4                                        | 275 | 273| 1.7 | 1.2–2.3 | 1.7 | 1.1–2.6 |
| 5 – 9                                        | 212 | 135| 2.6 | 1.8–3.7 | 2.2 | 1.3–3.8 |
| **Place of delivery:**                        |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Home/Roadside                                 | 168 | 210| 1   |         |     |         |
| Health institution                            | 403 | 337| 1.5 | 1.2–1.9 | 1.4 | 1.1–1.9 |
| **Number of antenatal care visits**:          |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| -3                                           | 230 | 281| 1   |         |     |         |
| 4                                            | 322 | 249| 1.5 | 1.2–2.0 | 1.4 | 1.1–1.9 |
| **Month booked antenatal care**:             |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| -3                                           | 137 | 98 | 1   |         |     |         |
| 4                                            | 427 | 438| 0.70| 0.52–0.93| 0.89| 0.64–1.2|
| **Informed of a risk/complication during antenatal care**: |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Yes                                          | 122 | 57 | 2.3 | 1.7–3.3 | 2.6 | 1.8–3.8 |
| No                                           | 442 | 479| 1   |         |     |         |
| **Advised to deliver in hospital**:           |     |    |     |         |     |         |
| Yes                                          | 119 | 124| 1   |         |     |         |
| No                                           | 207 | 186| 1.2 | 0.84–1.6|     |         |

---

* 18 women did not attend antenatal care
* 18 women did not know the number of antenatal care visits
* 482 women had no antenatal cards
were more aware of danger signs, independent of gestational age at booking. It is worth noting that women advised to deliver in hospital due to risk identified during antenatal care were not more aware of danger signs than those not advised. Provision of information aimed at increasing awareness of risk factors and danger signs in pregnancy is a challenge to antenatal programs and the difficulties involved should not be underestimated. A recent Cochrane [23] review failed to find high quality evidence for the benefit of antenatal education for child birth. Furthermore, a literature review of qualitative studies concluded that interaction between patient and nurse has a complex and multifaceted nature [24]. Studies from The Gambia, Nepal, Tanzania and Zimbabwe, report that less than three minutes are spent on individual counseling per consultation in antenatal clinics [16,25-27], whereas, simulation of FANC in Tanzania shows that the necessary time to provide appropriate information is 15 minutes [26]. It is recommended that the sociocultural aspects should be taken into account in modern concepts of information, education and communication (IEC) [28].

In addition to the antenatal and postnatal care counseling, other sources of information including community-based radio messages and educational sessions for women’s groups, husbands, mothers-in-law, and other family members, who play an important role in the decision making process, require strengthening [7,29]. Further studies on the quality of counseling on danger signs and utilization of health services, and appropriate training modalities for health workers are needed. Qualitative approaches, such as in-depth interviews, can be used to explore how women perceive the information given.

Conclusion
In this study, although majority of the women attended antenatal care but generally had low awareness of danger signs of obstetric complications. Better awareness of danger signs was strongly associated with higher level of education of the woman.

We recommend the following in order to increase awareness of danger signs of obstetrical complications: To improve quality of counseling to women on health messages especially danger signs of obstetric complication, and involving husbands and other family members in antenatal and postnatal care; to use radio messages and educational sessions targeting the whole community and to intensify provision of formal education as emphasized in the second millennium development goal to enable women better understand information given.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
ABP participated in the design of the study, data collection, performed statistical analysis and drafted the first manuscript. DPU participated in design of the study and data collection. AC participated in design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. GL participated in design of the study and reviewed the manuscript. LN participated in design of the study, helped to performed statistical analysis and interpretation. ED conceived of the study, participated in the design and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
Special thanks go to the research assistants involved in data collection. The study was funded by the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida/SAREC) through MUHAS reproductive health research program.

References
1. Hill K, Thomas K, AbouZahr C, Walker N, Say L, Inoue M, et al.: Estimates of maternal mortality worldwide between 1990 and 2005: an assessment of available data. Lancet 2007, 370(9595):1311-9.
2. NBS: Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey 2004/5. Dar es salaam, Tanzania: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and ORC Macro; 2005.
3. Abouzahr C, Wardlaw T, Stanton C, Hill K: Maternal mortality. World Health Stat Q 1996, 49(2):77-87.
4. Urassa E, Lindmark G, Nystrom L: Maternal mortality in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: Socio-economic, obstetric history and accessibility of health care factors. Afr J Health Sci 1995, 2(1):242-9.
5. WHO: Mother-Baby Package: Implementing Safe Motherhood in Countries. Practical Guide: Maternal Health and Safe Motherhood Programme. In Division of Family Health Geneva: World Health Organization; 1994.
6. Thaddeus S, Maine D: Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. Soc Sci Med 1994, 38(8):1091-110.
7. Perreira KM, Bailey PE, de Bocalett i E, Hurtado E, Recinos de Villagran S, Matute J: Increasing awareness of danger signs in pregnancy through community- and clinic-based education in Guatemala. Matern Child Health J 2002, 6(1):19-28.
8. Kilimw N, Awiar P, Baah I, Yawus M, Chakraborty J: Perceived delay in healthcare-seeking for episodes of serious illness and its implications for safe motherhood interventions in rural Bangladesh. J Health Popul Nutr 2006, 24(4):403-12.
9. Berglund A, Lindmark G: The usefulness of initial risk assessment as a predictor of pregnancy complications and prematurity delivery. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1999, 78(10):871-6.
10. MoH: Focused antenatal care, malaria and syphilis in pregnancy: Orientation package for service providers. Tanzanian Reproductive and Child Health Section 2002.
11. Urassa DP, Carlstedt A, Nyström L, Massawe SN, Lindmark G: Are process indicators adequate to assess essential obstetric care at district level – a case study from Rufiji district, Tanzania. Afr J Reprod Health 2005, 9(3):100-11.
12. NBS: 2002 Population and Housing Census. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS); 2002.
13. NBS: Household budget survey: 2007 analytical report. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and ORC Macro; 2007.
14. Hasan JI, Nisar N: Women’s perceptions regarding obstetric complications and care in a poor fishing community in Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc 2002, 52(4):148-52.
15. Li XF, Fortney JA, Kotelchuck M, Glover LH: The postpartum period: the key to maternal mortality. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1996, 54(1):1-10.
16. Anya SE, Hydara A, Jaihe LE: Antenatal care in The Gambia: missed opportunity for information, education and communication. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2008, 8:9.

17. Kumwami LC, McNerney P: Primigravidae's knowledge about obstetric complications in an urban health centre in Malawi. Curationis 2006, 29(3):41-9.

18. Setel P, Whiting D, Hemed Y, Alberti KG: Educational status is related to mortality at the community level in three areas of Tanzania, 1992-1998. J Epidemiol Community Health 2000, 54(12):936-7.

19. Garenne M, Mbaye K, Bah MD, Correa P: Risk factors for maternal mortality: a case-control study in Dakar hospitals (Senegal). Afr J Reprod Health 1997, 1(1):14-24.

20. Mbito MT, Fawcus S, Lindmark G, Nyström L: Maternal mortality in rural and urban Zimbabwe: social and reproductive factors in an incident case-referrent study. Soc Sci Med 1993, 36(9):1197-205.

21. Evjen-Olsen B, Hinderaker SG, Lie RT, Bergso P, Gasheka P, Kvale G: Risk factors for maternal death in the highlands of rural northern Tanzania: a case-control study. BMC Public Health 2008, 8:52.

22. Mushiti DL, Mpembeni RM, Jahn A: Knowledge about safe motherhood and HIV/AIDS among school pupils in a rural area in Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2007, 7:5.

23. Gagnon AJ, Sandall J: Individual or group antenatal education for childbirth or parenthood, or both. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007:CD002869.

24. Virtanen H, Leino-Kilpi H, Salantera S: Empowering discourse in patient education. Patient Educ Couns 2007, 66(2):140-6.

25. Jahn A, Dar Iang M, Shah U, Diesfeld Hj: Maternity care in rural Nepal: a health service analysis. Trop Med Int Health 2000, 5(9):657-65.

26. von Both C, Flessa S, Makuwani A, Mpembeni R, Jahn A: How much time do health services spend on antenatal care? Implications for the introduction of the focused antenatal care model in Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2006, 6:22.

27. Munira N, Munanja SP, Zhandu I, Nyström L, Lindmark G: Effect of a new antenatal care programme on the attitudes of pregnant women and midwives towards antenatal care in Harare. Cent Afr J Med 1997, 43(5):131-5.

28. WHO: Information, education and communication: lessons from the past, perspectives for the future. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001.

29. Pembe A, Urassa D, Darj E, Carlstedt A, Olsson P: Qualitative study of maternal referrals in rural Tanzania: Decision making and acceptance of referral advise. Afr J Reprod Health 2008, 12(2):120-31.

Pre-publication history
The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2393/9/12/prepub