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ABSTRACT
Hematogenous dissemination of single tumor cells from the primary tumor is a common phenomenon in most solid malignancies. In breast cancer, presence of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in the peripheral blood and disseminated tumor cells (DTCs) in bone marrow predicts poor clinical outcome, both in early and metastatic setting. Beyond that, persistence of CTCs/DTCs is associated with shorter relapse-free interval as well. Numerous studies have shown that these cells differ from tumor cells in the primary tumor with regard to hormone and HER2 receptor status and it has been hypothesized that some of them might be in fact cancer stem cells. Recently, the first positive study on CTC-based therapy interventions has been presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2018, demonstrating that detection of CTCs may guide treatment decisions in metastatic HR-positive HER2-negative disease. In this review, we present the current state of evidence of tumor cell dissemination and discuss the implications for future trials.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Die hämatogene Dissemination vereinzelter Tumorzellen aus dem Primärtumor ist ein weitverbreitetes Phänomen bei den meisten soliden Malignomen. Beim Mammakarzinom weisen zirkulierende Tumorzellen (ZTZ) im peripheren Blut und disseminierte Tumorzellen (DTZ) im Knochenmark auf eine schlechte klinische Prognose hin, sowohl im Frühstadium als auch bei der metastasierten Erkrankung. Darüber hinaus ist der Nachweis von ZTZ/DTZ auch mit kürzeren rezidivfreien Intervallen assoziiert. Zahlreiche Studien haben gezeigt, dass sich diese Zellen hinsichtlich ihres Hormon- und HER2-Rezeptor-Status von den Tumorzellen des Primärtumors unterscheiden, und es wurde die Hypothese aufgestellt, dass einige von ihnen in Wirklichkeit Tumorstammzellen sein könnten. Vor Kurzem wurde anlässlich des 2018 San Antonio Breast Can-
Introduction

Dissemination of single cancer cells via blood stream is currently considered a crucial step during metastatic cascade. Historically, the first report on tumor cells in peripheral blood from a patient with a solid tumor has been published 150 years ago by Thomas Ashworth, a Melbourne-based surgeon (Fig. 1) [1]. Since then, an extensive body of evidence has been accumulated on the relevance and the biological meaning of hematogenous cancer spread. Isolated tumor cells are generally referred to as circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in the peripheral blood or disseminated tumor cells (DTCs) when encountered in bone marrow or other organs. In recent years, studies have shown with level 1 evidence that both CTCs and DTCs predict worse clinical outcome in early and metastatic setting [2–5].

Currently, numerous translational research projects are investigating this issue in breast cancer. Among 58 clinical trials registered at ClinicalTrials.gov and EudraCT which are recruiting or planned, the vast majority (53) focuses on CTCs in the blood, with only five trials designed to explore DTCs in the bone marrow. 41% of the trials are being conducted in the United States of America, followed by China (12%) and Germany (10%). A smaller number of studies has been initiated in France (9%) and Sweden (5%) (Fig. 2). Only four trials are phase III studies: two of them are based in Germany (DETECT III and DETECT V), one in India and one in China.

Beyond prognostication and therapy monitoring, CTC/DTC-guided treatment decisions are considered the most exciting potential of tumor cell dissemination. In 2018, results from the first positive trial on therapy based on CTC status were presented at
the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [6]. These and data from other studies have been recently thoroughly discussed at the yearly Consensus Conference on Tumor Cell Dissemination during the Annual Meeting of the German Society of Senology. In this review, we comment on the current data on hematogenous tumor cell dissemination and its possible clinical applications in the near future.

**Early Breast Cancer**

Two large meta-analyses confirmed that presence of CTCs or DTCs in patients with non-metastatic breast cancer (BC) is associated with poor survival [2, 4]. Similarly, patients with persistent CTCs/DTCs after completion of (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy are more at risk for developing a relapse [7, 8]. Recently, two studies have investigated whether a blood-test performed during routine follow-up in asymptomatic patients may identify those with particularly poor prognosis.

The first analysis was conducted by Sparano et al. on a large group of HER2-negative BC patients participating in the ECOG-ACRIN trial E5103 [9, 10]. This trial was originally designed to explore the use of bevacizumab in combination with adjuvant chemotherapy. 546 patients presented for blood sampling five years after diagnosis. In 4.8% of cases at least one CTC was detected per 7.5 ml blood by using the CellSearch assay. In the subgroup of 353 patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive disease, the recurrence rates per person-year of follow-up in the CTC-positive and CTC-negative groups were 21.4 and 2.0%, respectively. In the multivariate analysis, a positive CTC result was associated with a 13.1-fold higher risk of recurrence.

Similar results were reported by the German SUCCESS-A trial [11]. In this phase III trial patients with high-risk BC were first randomized to 3 cycles of epirubicin-fluorouracil-cyclophosphamide followed by either 3 cycles of docetaxel or 3 cycles of gemcitabine-docetaxel, followed by a second randomization to 2 vs. 5 years of zoledronate treatment. Presence of CTCs five years after chemotherapy was assessed using the CellSearch system. At least one CTC was detected in 7.8% of patients. In HR-positive patients, CTC status was a significant prognostic factor for recurrence-free survival (hazard ratio 5.14 in the univariate and 5.95 in the multivariate analysis, respectively).

These two trials showed for the first time that the remaining relapse risk after BC treatment can be assessed using CTC detection. Future studies will clarify which strategies, e.g. intensified follow-up or extended adjuvant endocrine therapy, should be offered to patients with CTC persistence.
Next to therapy monitoring and estimating prognosis, CTC detection might be used to predict therapy benefit. Retrospective analyses of NSABP-B31 and NECTG-N9831 have suggested that some patients might benefit from HER2-directed treatment even though they have HER2-negative disease [12, 13]. Using CTC detection, the phase II Treat-CTC trial aimed to identify these HER2-negative early BC patients that might respond to additional trastuzumab therapy. Patients with centrally confirmed HER2 nonamplified primary tumors and at least one CTC per 15 ml blood (CellSearch assay) following surgery and (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy were randomized (1:1) to receive either 6 cycles of trastuzumab or 18 weeks of observation. Primary endpoint was the CTC detection rate (≥ 1 CTC/15 ml blood vs. no detectable CTCs) 18 weeks after randomization [14]. Secondary endpoints were invasive disease-free survival (iDFS) and cardiac safety. Of 1317 patients screened 95 (7.2%) were CTC-positive. Sixty-three of these patients were randomized to trastuzumab (31 patients) and observation (32 patients). At week 18, 5 out of 31 patients that had received trastuzumab and 4 out of 32 in the observation arm were still CTC-positive (p = 0.765). The study was stopped due to the recommendations of an independent data committee after a median follow-up of 13 months. One-year iDFS was 93.8% (95% CI 77.3–98.4) in the observation vs. 84.8% (95% CI 63.4–94.2) in the trastuzumab arm. Several limitations might explain the negative results of Treat-CTC. First, only few patients were randomized and the CTC detection rate at week 18 was low. Second, results were biased by the use of endocrine treatment in hormone receptor-positive patients and third, CTCs were merely enumerated but not further characterized. As results of the NSABP-B47 trial recently demonstrated that there is no benefit for adjuvant trastuzumab in patients with HER2-negative tumors [15], determination of HER2 amplification in CTCs might help to more precisely guide HER2-targeted treatment. This concept is currently addressed by the German DETECT studies in the metastatic setting (see below).

A major limitation of using CTCs to estimate prognosis or predict treatment efficacy is their low detection rate in early breast cancer. Detection of single disseminated tumor cells (DTCs) in the bone marrow is an alternative approach that is feasible especially at the time-point of primary surgery: after bone marrow aspiration from the iliac crest, DTCs are generally detected by isolation of mononuclear cells, immunocytochemistry (pan-cytokeratin staining) and cytomorphic criteria [16]. To confirm their prognostic relevance, a large pooled analysis (PADDY) was presented at the 2018 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium [17]. Individual patient data from 10 307 patients, derived from 11 centers across Europe and the U.S., was analyzed. Of all patients included, 2814 (27.3%) had at least one detectable DTC. The proportion of DTC-positive patients was higher in patients with larger tumor burden (tumor size, lymph node involvement, p < 0.001) or more aggressive tumor (ER/PR negativity, HER2 positivity or higher grading, p < 0.001). DTC detection was significantly associated with an impaired prognosis: after a median follow-up of 7.6 years the multivariate hazard ratios (HR; 95% CI) were 1.23 (1.06–1.43; p < 0.006) for overall survival (OS) and 1.30 (1.12–1.52, p < 0.001) for disease-free survival (DFS). There was a statistically significant interaction between biological tumor subtype and DTC-positivity (p = 0.014) and the prognostic impact was especially pronounced in Luminal B (defined as ER/PR-positive, HER2-negative and G3) patients. Additionally, it was shown previously that DTCs are already detectable in patients with pre-invasive breast cancer (i.e. ductal carcinoma in situ, DCIS) [18, 19]. These results emphasize the hypothesis of parallel tumor progression where metastatic disease derives from single cells that migrate and disseminate from very early breast cancer lesions [20, 21]. Future trials should now investigate whether DTC detection is useful to tailor adjuvant treatment. For example the HER2 expression of DTCs differs from that of the primary tumor and patients with HER2-positive DTC have an increased risk of metastatic relapse and might therefore benefit from trastuzumab treatment [22, 23]. Moreover, DTC detection seems to predict efficacy of bone-targeted therapy. The prospective MRD-1 trial found that zoledronic acid might eliminate DTCs from the bone marrow and a retrospective analysis of a large data-set revealed that bisphosphonates are more effective for the prevention of distant metastasis in DTC-positive patients [24–26]. Currently there are prospective trials ongoing to evaluate the effect of denosumab in DTC-positive patients (NCT01545648, NCT02682693).

Metastatic Breast Cancer

In the last two decades, numerous studies have confirmed presence of CTCs in patients with metastatic BC as a strong independent prognostic factor [3, 27, 28]. Due to large numbers of CTCs encountered in patients with advanced disease, the cutoff of 5 CTCs per 7.5 ml blood is usually used in case of CellSearch-based detection (in contrast to early BC, where patients with at least one CTC are referred to as CTC-positive) [29]. Recently, Cristofanilli et al. evaluated CTC samples from 2436 women with metastatic BC from 17 European centers participating in the European Pooled Analysis Consortium and a single large U.S. institution, the MD Anderson Cancer Center in a pooled analysis [30]. Patients with <5 CTCs per 7.5 ml blood had significantly longer median OS than those with ≥5 CTCs (36.3 vs. 16.0 months, p < 0.0001). This association was independent of the tumor subtype, prior treatment and disease location.

In most patients, CTC counts decline rapidly after start of systemic therapy. However, patients with persistently high CTC numbers are significantly more at risk for relapse [31]. Martin et al. measured CTCs in patients receiving palliative chemotherapy and reported that CTC numbers after the first cycle of treatment were associated with response to therapy and survival in the multivariate analysis [32]. Thus, CTC dynamics are able to predict whether the patients will benefit from therapy sooner than conventional radiological imaging. However, it remains unclear which therapeutic strategy should be offered to non-responders. In the SWOG 0500 trial, blood samples were evaluated before and during first-line chemotherapy in 595 patients [31]. Out of these, 123 patients had increased CTC counts both prior to therapy and after the first cycle and were randomized either to continue treatment or switch to another chemotherapy regimen (Fig. 3). PFS and OS were similar in both arms. Possibly, CTC persistence identifies patients resistant to conventional chemotherapy, indepen-
not inferior to the arms, showing that standardized CTC-based risk estimation was concordant according to their risk profiles and randomization arm. Patients received either endocrine therapy or chemotherapy in both arms. In between, in about 40% of the population, clinically high risk and \(<\ 5\ CTC\)s; these patients received chemotherapy in both the control arm and the CTC arm. At the other side of the spectrum were 13.5% of patients whose clinical risk estimation (clinical vs. blood-based) was discordant and \(\geq\ 5\ CTC\)s. These patients received endocrine therapy plus CDK4/6 inhibitor ribociclib.

CTC-guided Therapy Decisions in Metastatic Setting: Ready for Prime Time?

In recent years, several studies have aimed at exploring the potential of CTCs to guide treatment in metastatic BC. Two large studies have been initiated in France (Institut Curie) and Germany (DETECT study group), respectively. While the DETECT trials are still recruiting, the results of the French STIC CTC trial were presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2018 [6]. This study was designed to investigate whether CTC counts may select optimal therapy for patients with HR-positive HER2-negative metastatic disease (Fig. 4). 778 patients were randomized before start of first-line therapy: in the standard arm patient’s oncologist decided whether the patient was suitable for endocrine therapy or chemotherapy; in the experimental arm the treatment was based on the CTC numbers. In about half of the patient population, a concordant low risk estimate was obtained (i.e. clinically low risk and \(<\ 5\ CTC\)s per 7.5 ml blood). These patients received endocrine therapy in both the control arm and the CTC arm. At the other side of the spectrum were 13.5% of patients whose clinical risk estimation and the blood test both showed high risk situation (i.e. clinically high risk and \(\geq\ 5\ CTC\)s); these patients received chemotherapy in both arms. In between, in about 40% of the population, the risk estimation (clinical vs. blood-based) was discordant and patients received either endocrine therapy or chemotherapy according to their risk profiles and randomization arm.

The progression-free and overall survival were identical in both arms, showing that standardized CTC-based risk estimation was not inferior to the – often poorly reproducible – clinical assessment. Interestingly, in patients with discordant risk estimation (i.e. clinically low risk but \(\geq\ 5\ CTC\)s or clinically high risk but \(<\ 5\ CTC\)s), the PFS and OS were significantly longer if patients received chemotherapy. Admittedly, the interpretation of these results is not easy: the STIC CTC trial was designed before the approval of CDK4/6 inhibitors and the majority of patients with HR-positive HER2-negative metastatic BC is now treated with endocrine-based combination treatment [33]. Having said that, the evidence provided by this trial demonstrates that assessment of CTC numbers is able to identify patients in need of a treatment more effective than endocrine monotherapy.

In contrast, the DETECT study program aims at investigating the influence of CTC characteristics – and not CTC counts – on response to therapy. Currently, the choice of systemic therapy in the metastatic setting is based on the properties of the primary tumor or metastasis. However, numerous studies have shown that expression profiles of CTCs may differ from both the primary tumor and distant metastasis [21, 34, 35]. The first phase III trial within this large translational project is the DETECT III study (Fig. 5). In this trial, patients with HER2-negative metastatic BC are first screened for HER2-positive CTCs and, in case such cells are detected, randomized to standard therapy \(\pm\) HER2-targeted treatment with lapatinib. Patients with HER2-negative CTCs are offered several study options within the DETECT IV trials. Women with HER2-positive tumors may participate in the DETECT V trial and receive dual anti-HER2 blockade in combination with either chemotherapy or endocrine therapy plus CDK4/6 inhibitor ribociclib.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the detection and characterization of CTCs and DTCs holds great promise to more precisely monitor and target the source of metastatic spread. For this purpose it is important to better understand the biological principles of tumor evolution. In the future, combining molecular characteristics (e.g. single cell sequencing) of CTCs and DTCs with clinical data, immunological markers and other forms of liquid biopsy (e.g. circulating tumor DNA or miRNA) might help to identify biomarkers or drugable targets to more precisely monitor and/or tailor systemic treatment.
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▶ Fig. 4 Design of the STIC CTC trial – the first positive study on CTC-based treatment interventions (Randomized Trial to Evaluate the Medico-economic Interest of Taking Into Account Circulating Tumor Cells to Determine the Kind of First Line Treatment for Metastatic, Hormone-receptors Positive, Breast Cancers).

▶ Fig. 5 Study design of the DETECT trials – the largest study program on CTC-guided therapies worldwide [36].
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