Physico-chemical Behavior of the Wastewater Treatment System from Puerto Berrío, Colombia
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Abstract

Waste stabilization ponds are an excellent alternative for municipal wastewater treatment in many developing countries located in tropical areas. This research study shows behavior results of several physicochemical parameters from the treatment system of Puerto Berrío Municipality in Colombia, a city with a hot and humid weather. Two field monitorings were carried out, one in the dry season and another in the rainy one at the inlet and outlet of the treatment system. Results showed a good behavior in the removal of main pollutants from the treated wastewater.
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I. INTRODUCCIÓN

Pond stabilization systems to treat domestic wastewater is used in many cities in Colombia. Nonetheless, these systems have disadvantages such as unpleasant odor generation and the requirement of vast land areas for their construction. Among the most common types of waste stabilization ponds are the facultative and anaerobic ones, where raw wastewater is exclusively treated by natural processes involving both algae and bacteria [1].

Facultative ponds are those that possess an aerobic, a facultative and an anaerobic zone, being respectively located on the surface, the intermediate and the bottom of the water body. The purpose of these ponds is to stabilize organic matter in an oxygenated medium, mainly provided by present algae [2]. Main biological components in an facultative ponds are bacteria and algae; their interaction constitutes the most important ecological effect on the self-purification process [3]. Bacteria and algae act symbiotically, with the overall result of organic matter degradation. In this process, soluble nutrients (nitrates, phosphates) and carbon dioxide are released in large quantities, which are then used for growth by algae. This way, activity of both is mutually beneficial [2].

In anaerobic ponds, treatment is carried out by action of anaerobic bacteria. As a result of high organic load and short retention period of residual water, the dissolved oxygen content remains very low or zero throughout the year. Thus, most of the suspended solids become incorporated into the layer of accumulated sludge at the bottom and part of the organic load is eliminated [3]. In this type of ponds any kind of microorganisms can be found, from strict anaerobes, in the bottom mud, to strict aerobes in the area immediately adjacent to the surface. In addition to bacteria and protozoa, presence of algae is essential in the facultative ponds, which are the main suppliers of dissolved oxygen [2]. Anaerobic ponds are normally used as the first phase in the treatment of urban wastewater with high organic load and high temperature. When used as pretreatment units, percentage of reduction in waste load is more important than the effluent quality, being necessary to give additional treatment before discharging the waste. They are generally arranged in series with facultative and maturation ponds [4]. Depth ranges between 5 and 10 meters (m) and its hydraulic retention time between 20 and 50 days [5]. One of the biggest problems presented by anaerobic ponds is odor generation, a parameter that fundamentally depends on pH and the oxide-reduction process occurring in there. One of the gases that can be emitted in an anaerobic pond, causing production of undesirable odors, is sulfhydric acid (H₂S). This compound results, mainly, from bacterial reduction of sulfate ion (SO₄²⁻) present in water [6].

On the other hand, hydraulic and biological behavior of all waste stabilization ponds is affected by different factors, some controllable by man, others not [7]. These self-dependence factors are: temperature, pH, BOD₅, COD, total suspended solids, dissolved oxygen, applied organic load, wind patterns, actual retention time, dispersion and mixing characteristics, solar energy, sewage characteristics, environmental factors and amount of nutrients for bacterial metabolism [8].

This research study aimed at evaluating the physical-chemical behavior of the wastewater treatment system of Puerto Berrío municipality in Colombia, to determine its efficiency in the removal of pollutants from wastewater.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Description of the wastewater treatment system of Puerto Berrío Municipality

The domestic wastewater treatment system for oxidation ponds in Puerto Berrío, Antioquia-Colombia, is made up of an anaerobic pond and two facultative ponds operating in parallel. The anaerobic pond has a volume of 12,960 m³, with 120 m in length, a 36 m width and a 3.0 m depth. Facultative ponds have a volume of 131,265.28 m³ each, with a length of 283.20 meters (m) and its hydraulic retention time between 20 and 50 days [5]. One of the biggest problems presented by anaerobic ponds is odor generation, a parameter that fundamentally depends on pH and the oxide-reduction process occurring in there. One of the gases that can be emitted in an anaerobic pond, causing production of undesirable odors, is sulfhydric acid (H₂S). This compound results, mainly, from bacterial reduction of sulfate ion (SO₄²⁻) present in water [6].

On the other hand, hydraulic and biological behavior of all waste stabilization ponds is affected by different factors, some controllable by man, others not [7]. These self-dependence factors are: temperature, pH, BOD₅, COD, total suspended solids, dissolved oxygen, applied organic load, wind patterns, actual retention time, dispersion and mixing characteristics, solar energy, sewage characteristics, environmental factors and amount of nutrients for bacterial metabolism [8].

This research study aimed at evaluating the physical-chemical behavior of the wastewater treatment system of Puerto Berrío municipality in Colombia, to determine its efficiency in the removal of pollutants from wastewater.
Figure 1 shows the location of Puerto Berrío municipality in the Antioquia department and a scheme of the studied wastewater treatment system.

![Fig. 1. Location study area](image)

B. Physicochemical parameters and monitoring days

Two stages were proposed for developing the project: the first one consisted of monitoring the pond on site to measure temperature, pH and flow. Samples were packaged in containers and refrigerated during 24 hours, taking specific samples every 1 hour, from 6:00 am until 5:00 am, the following day. The second monitoring was done only in the effluent. Subsequently, the respective composition was made and sent to an accredited laboratory to evaluate detergents, total phosphorus, fats and oils, hydrocarbons, nitrates, nitrites, ammoniacal nitrogen, ortho phosphates, dissolved oxygen, pH, sedimentable solids, total suspended solids, COD, BOD₅. The second stage included the processing and analysis of the data obtained in the first phase.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Removal efficiencies of physicochemical parameters

Figure 2 shows results obtained for pH at the inlet and outlet of the pond system for the two monitorings performed, while Figure 3 shows results for temperature.

![Fig. 2. Behavior of influent and effluent pH from the pond system in: a) first monitoring and b) second monitoring.](image)

Fig. 2. Behavior of influent and effluent pH from the pond system in: a) first monitoring and b) second monitoring.
To define whether there are significant differences between inlet values and outlet values of both pH and temperature, a variance analysis was performed. Table 1 shows results of the performed ANOVAS.

Table 1. P-value from the comparison of pH and temperature obtained in the monitoring.

| Parameters                              | P-Value |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| Affluent and effluent pH (1st monitoring) | 0.000   |
| Affluent and effluent pH (2nd monitoring) | 0.028   |
| Inlet and outlet temperature (1st monitoring) | 0.000   |
| Inlet and outlet temperature (2nd monitoring) | 0.000   |

Table 1 shows that pH and temperature values, at the inlet and outlet, have statistically significant differences, i.e., they change due to the wastewater treatment in the pond. Nonetheless, Figures 1 and 2 indicate that in the first monitoring there is a trend to increase pH and the temperature, while in the second monitoring the trend is the opposite. This is because the sampling was at different times, one in the dry season and the other one in the rainy season, where intermittent rains occurred, explaining the atypical behavior of these parameters.

Table 2 shows results obtained for the concentrations of pollutants from the wastewater affluent to the treatment system.

Table 2. Results of chemical parameters monitored in the pond system.

| Parameters                              | Monitoring #1 Mean ± Standard deviation | Monitoring #2 Mean ± Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                        | Inlet Lagoons                           | Outlet Lagoons                          |
| Total Phosphorus (mg P/L)              | 5,426 ± 0,109                           | 2,532 ± 0,051                           |
| Orthophosphates (mg PO4 3/L)           | 5,234 ± 0,262                           | 3,909 ± 0,195                           |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg NH3-N/L)       | 16,31 ± 1,79                            | 12,04 ± 1,32                            |
| Total Nitrogen (mg N/L)                | 32,732 ± ND                             | 18,620 ± ND                             |
| Nitrates (mg NO3/L)                    | < 1,000 ± ND                            | < 1,000 ± ND                            |
| Nitrites (mg NO2 /L)                   | < 0,050 ± ND                            | < 0,050 ± ND                            |
| pH (pH units)                          | 6,95 ± 0,21                             | 7,39 ± 0,22                             |
| Fats and oils (mg/L)                   | 299 ± 24                                | < 8,0 ± ND                              |
| Hydrocarbons (mg/L)                    | 54 ± 4                                  | < 9,0 ± ND                              |
| Detergents (mg SAAM/L)                 | 3,675 ± ND                              | 1,229 ± ND                              |
| Sedimentable Solids (mL/L)             | 10 ± 2                                  | < 0,1 ± ND                              |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg TSS/L)      | 725 ± 28                                | 50 ± 2                                  |
| BOD₅ (mg/L)                            | 114                                     | 9,17                                    |
| COD (mg O₂ /L)                         | 741                                     | 418                                     |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg O₂ /L)            | 0,13 ± ND                               | 5,15 ± ND                               |

Mean concentration ± Standard deviation
The average value found in both samples for the Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) in the treatment system was 691.5 mg/L, typical value of domestic wastewater, which should be in a range of 250 to 1,000 mg/L [9]. For the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), the average value obtained was 251 mg/L, being within the typical range for domestic wastewater (110 to 400 mg / L). Both parameters are in the strong concentration range for wastewater [9].

For the facultative ponds of the treatment system from Puerto Berrio municipality, the pH found is in the appropriate range (6.0 to 9.0) to minimize the production of H₂S, given that the stability of the physicochemical variables, product of the occurrence of higher mixing rates in the water column at night, can generate high emission of H₂S gas into the atmosphere and cause bad odors [10].

Regarding nutrients, concentrations found for total phosphorus and total nitrogen are not within the typical ranges for this type of water, 4 to 15 mg/L for phosphorus and 20 to 85 mg/L for nitrogen, a fact that can generate algae growth problems and present premature eutrophication in the water mirror [2]. Non-homogeneous behavior in the water mirror of the concentrations of the analyzed parameters could be due to the very complex hydrodynamics of the stabilization ponds [11]. Factors such as wind, solar radiation, relative humidity, temperature and geometry of the pond play a very important role in the flow pattern of these treatment systems [12].

Figure 4 shows removal efficiencies of all the physicochemical parameters monitored in the two samples.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Regarding the results found in this research study, a good control of the physicochemical parameters and the flow entering the waste stabilization ponds were evidenced, which is essential for the proper operation of the treatment system. There is also a good removal of BOD₅, COD and total suspended solids. The high temperature conditions at the site where the treatment system is located, allows a large calorific storage capacity to be present in the facultative ponds, favoring organic matter removal.

Wastewater treatment plants must be systems well-managed by Public Services providers and/or by Municipal administrations to avoid trauma to the community and the environmental authorities due to low efficiency, odors and other factors, which can lead to fines and penalties by the state.
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