Acoustically enhanced microfluidic mixer to synthesize highly uniform nanodrugs without the addition of stabilizers
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Background: This article presents an acoustically enhanced microfluidic mixer to generate highly uniform and ultra-fine nanoparticles, offering significant advantages over conventional liquid antisolvent techniques.

Methods: The method employed a 3D microfluidic geometry whereby two different phases—solvent and antisolvent—were introduced at either side of a 1 μm thick resonating membrane, which contained a through-hole. The vibration of the membrane rapidly and efficiently mixed the two phases, at the location of the hole, leading to the formation of nanoparticles.

Results: The versatility of the device was demonstrated by synthesizing budesonide (a common asthma drug) with a mean diameter of 135.7 nm and a polydispersity index of 0.044.

Conclusion: The method offers a 40-fold reduction in the size of synthesized particles combined with a substantial improvement in uniformity, achieved without the need of stabilizers.
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Introduction

Approximately 40% of drugs used in the pharmaceutical industry are poorly soluble, which results in poor bioavailability and uncontrollable precipitation after dosing and requires employment of extreme basic/acidic conditions to enhance solubility.¹ The drug’s dissolution rate can be increased proportionally by reducing its size to nanometer scales, hence increasing its surface area-to-volume ratio.²–⁴ Using nanoscale drugs also provides a wide range of therapeutic advantages,⁵–¹¹ enhances targeted delivery to specific tissues, and improves the effectiveness of the drug by lowering its resistance and dose requirement.¹²,¹³ In aerosol drug delivery, particle size plays a critical role in determining how deep the drugs will be deposited,¹⁴,¹⁵ hence providing a crucial advantage to treat lung diseases.¹⁶–²¹

There are two common approaches to synthesize nanodrugs. The first of these, the attrition method, is a “top-down” approach, which relies on breaking down the bulk structure of the drug into finer sizes.²² The method is capable of generating nanodrugs without employing harsh solvents;²³ however, it requires a significant amount of energy to reduce the sizes to submicron levels and hence generates a high level of heating during operation, which may alter the physical state of drugs and surfactants.²⁴ The alternative “bottom-up” techniques aim to synthesize the drugs from smaller elements.²⁵–²⁷ The widely used liquid antisolvent (LAS) method offers relative simplicity by combining room temperature operation with low pressure requirements (in contrast to other methods such as supercritical antisolvent method,²⁸ where high
pressures are required). The LAS procedure is solely based on the nucleation of the drug when two different liquid phases are mixed. One phase is the solvent phase, where the drug of interest is dissolved. The addition of antisolvent phase into the solvent creates a supersaturated concentration environment, which affects the nucleation rate of the particles.

Typically, higher nucleation rates result in a larger proportion of submicrometer sized particles. However, during the mixing, the nucleation rate competes with the condensation and coagulation of the particles, which in turn work to reduce the supersaturation condition, hence increasing the particle size. Damköhler number, \( Da = \frac{t_{\text{mix}}}{t_{\text{precipitation}}} \) (where \( t_{\text{mix}} \) is the mixing time and \( t_{\text{precipitation}} \) is the precipitation time), affects the nucleation rate, and the larger \( Da \) values result in larger particle sizes. Particle size can be reduced by increasing \( t_{\text{precipitation}} \) often by means of additives and stabilizers, which coat the nanoparticle surface to prevent unwanted growth of the drug in suspension. Size reduction can also be achieved by reducing the mixing time \( t_{\text{mix}} \) and delaying precipitation through mechanical means. Here, we demonstrate an acoustically enhanced microfluidic method to significantly reduce the mixing time (down to 3 ms), and synthesize highly uniform, ultrafine particles without the use of stabilizers. Avoiding stabilizers is particularly desirable as their addition during production can cause toxicity issues and undermine the therapeutic efficiency of the drug. Moreover, selecting the optimal surfactants is often burdensome, as the interaction between drugs and added emulsions is poorly understood at nanoscales.

Central to the approach is a vibrating membrane, which is embedded in a microfluidic channel. Under acoustic excitation, the interaction of the resonating membrane with the surrounding fluid media generates an acoustic streaming field and corresponding very strong vortices. As a result, the antisolvent and solvent phases are rapidly and efficiently mixed creating the necessary supersaturation condition for the drugs with ultrafine particle sizes to nucleate while preventing accumulation of the formed particles. The commonly used asthma medication budesonide was chosen as a suitably well-characterized test case for the experiments aimed at demonstrating performance of the method.

Budesonide is one of the most valuable therapeutic agents to treat pulmonary diseases yet its low aqueous solubility limits its absorption after oral delivery and poses a challenge for its efficacy. Generating a monodisperse suspension of submicrometer-diameter budesonide particles can address this issue. Numerous other methods were previously employed to synthesize budesonide nanodrugs. For instance, oil-in-water (O/W) emulsion evaporation techniques resulted in 200 nm diameter particles in the presence of surfactants. 640 nm diameter particles were possible without surfactants by using a high-pressure homogenizing method, at 60 cycles and <1,000 bars of pressure. The technique presented here combines operational simplicity with small diameter nanoparticles while avoiding the use of stabilizers, hence it offers a significant advantage over the earlier approaches.

**Methods**

**Microfluidic device preparation**

The device consists of a 500 μm wide, 50 μm deep polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluidic channel assembled with a free standing, 1,000 nm thick silicon nitride membrane (Figure 1A), through which a hole is etched. For the membranes, the front-side geometric features and back-side openings are patterned on 1 μm thick silicon nitride (SiN)-coated (100)-oriented Si wafers (4D LABS, Burnaby, BC, Canada) using a combination of photolithography and reactive ion etching (RIE). The wafers are then immersed in a 5 M potassium hydroxide (KOH) solution at 65°C for ~15 hours to selectively etch the Si and release the free-standing SiN membranes.

The PDMS channels are fabricated using standard procedures. After a mold of the channel geometry is etched by deep RIE (DRIE) into a Si wafer, its surface is rendered hydrophobic by a layer of Teflon coating. A PDMS solution mixed with curing agent, SYLGARD® 184 (Dow Corning, MI, USA) at ratio 10:1 (w/w), is cast on the mold. The mixture is left in a vacuum pump for 2 hours and then on a hot plate at 65°C for complete curing.

To assemble the devices, the PDMS channels are cut and bonded onto the chips containing individual membranes. The entire chip is then adhered onto a glass microscope slide, as shown in Figure 1B. A tube connector attached to the underside of the membrane (Figure 1C) allows a solution of diluted drugs to access the microfluidic channel.

The operation of the micromixer is vastly different when the piezoelectric transducer (PZT) is turned on and off, as shown in Figure 1D. In the absence of acoustic excitation, the fluids are separated within the channel with a clearly defined boundary. Once the device is activated, this boundary is replaced with the mixed solution, which covers the width of the channel; the device generates vortices around the membrane to rapidly mix the solution.

**Materials**

Budesonide was supplied by Yicheng Chemical Corporation (Jiangsu, China). Ethanol (99.7%, v/v; Merck Millipore,
Billerica, MA, USA) was employed as the principal solvent for the poorly dissolved drug. Purified water (Milli-Q®, Millipore Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as the antisolvent for the system. Throughout the experiments, the ratio between solvent and antisolvent was kept constant at 1:4.

**Experimental procedures – particle preparation and characterization**

During the experiments, the membrane was excited at its resonant frequency (ranging from 100 to 300 kHz depending on the geometries used) by a PZT glued onto the glass slide. The PZT was driven by a signal generator (Stanford Research Systems DS345) and an amplifier (AG 1006; T&C Power Conversion, Inc., Rochester, NY, USA) at 200 peak-to-peak voltage (Vpp). The membrane’s resonant frequency is found experimentally by frequency sweeping.

After synthesis, the size and distribution of particles were characterized through a dynamic light scattering (DLS) system (Zetasizer Nano ZS; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK), which surveys particles in suspension. The system analyzed the velocity distribution of particles moving by Brownian motion, providing a distribution of particle sizes (with a minimum of 10 measurements for each distribution). Typically, a solution of 400 μL was examined in a narrowed cuvette. DLS results provide a Z-average diameter (which corresponds to the mean diameter of the particles in the nanosuspension) and a polydispersity index (PDI), a dimensionless measure of the spread within the distribution. PDI values that are close to 0 indicate monodisperse particle sizes, whereas values approaching 1 indicate a wide spread in the particle size distribution. Typically, a PDI value of <0.05 is considered to be highly monodisperse, while PDI values ranging from 0.2 to 0.5 are considered to represent a relatively narrow particle size distribution.

As a final characterization step, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to determine the size and shape of the produced nanoparticles. Prior to SEM, the solution was positioned on a glass slide and liquid was allowed to evaporate at room temperature. This slide was then sputter-coated with gold for 90 seconds with a current of 25 mA. The samples were then imaged with an FEI Nova NanoSEM 430 Instrument.

During the experiments, we investigated two different configurations. First (mode 1, Figure 2A), solvent and antisolvent were fed from the top surface of the membrane;
mixing took place at the through-hole where acoustic excitation generated a strong vortex. For mode 2 operation (Figure 2A), the solution was introduced on the top channel and the antisolvent was added from the underside, via the through-hole in the membrane. In mode 2, the antisolvent was pumped from the underside in mode 2a; in contrast, the solvent was introduced from the bottom in mode 2b. As shown in Figure 2B, mode 2b results in the smallest mean particle diameter and the most uniform distribution of nanoparticles, hence this mode of operation was employed throughout the experiments.

Results
The comparison of the particle size distribution with and without acoustic excitation of the membrane is presented in Figure 3, in which the flow rate was fixed at 8 μL/minute, the drug concentration was 0.2 mg/mL, and the membrane was actuated at a frequency of 177.6 kHz. As expected, the particle size distribution in both cases follows a log-normal distribution.43,44 Without any actuation, the passive 3D T-junction proposed in this study is capable of reducing the mean size of the particles to 210.3 ± 154.8 nm (based on 10 repeat measurements). This is already a 20-fold reduction in size in comparison to other microfluidic techniques employing passive T-junction mixers, for which the average particle size was 5.85 μm in the absence of stabilizing chemicals.45

After the acoustic feature of the mixer was activated, the average particle diameter was further reduced to 135.7 nm. Moreover, the uniformity improved dramatically, the PDI changed from 0.238 down to 0.044, and the standard deviation in particle diameter was reduced from 154.8 to 38.13 nm. Interestingly, when 2 wt% polyethylene glycol (PEG), a commonly used stabilizer, was added into the system, the standard deviation increased twofold, the PDI value increased to 0.3 from 0.044, and the mean particle size was practically unchanged. This is clearly shown in DLS particle size distribution (Figure 3). As suggested in earlier work, applying ultrasound in multiphase systems can be used to stabilize and reduce the size of emulsions.46

In order to confirm the repeatability and uniformity of the results, the synthesis experiments were repeated 14 different times under identical conditions. For each experiment, mean diameters were calculated after 10 repeat measurements.
The estimated mean diameter is 136.4 nm with a standard deviation of 1.06 nm for mean values, hence indicating that the results are highly repeatable.

Introduction of the active mixing during the LAS process enhanced the induction period, nucleation rate, and metastable zone width. As the antisolvent was rapidly mixed with the organic solvent for the solution to reach supercritical concentration, the insoluble drugs emerged from the solvent solution.

Many studies have shown the advantages of ultrasound in crystallization. For instance, ultrasound probe was used in a T-junction channel to enhance the uniformity of particles.46–48 The effect of ultrasound in the crystallization process was investigated in a milli-fluidic device which employed a PZT plate vibrating beneath the mixing channel.49 Similarly, numerous studies of antisolvent crystallization were considered,50,51 where ultrasound was introduced from the bottom of the beakers while mixing was enhanced via diffusion or mechanical rotation of a stirrer. All of these have shown varying levels of improvement in the uniformity and size of particles across different studies.

A more recent study in 201652 used ultrasound to reduce the diameter of budesonide-loaded solid lipid to 170–200 nm. However, it should be noted that this process requires an extremely long homogenization time and involves the use of complex solutions of Lipoid S100, S154, and budesonide. In contrast, our device homogenizes a continuous solution without emulsifiers at significantly shorter times. The mixing occurs almost instantaneously. The difference can be accounted for by the fact that ultrasound is integrated fully as a driving mechanism of mixing, rather than simply aiding the process; this enables a fast mixing time and a total elimination of surfactants from the process.

The device performance was investigated at concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 1 mg/mL under a fixed flow rate of 20 μL/minute with a square-shaped membrane actuated at 156.7 kHz. As the concentration of the drugs increased by fivefold, the mean particle diameter was observed to change to 212.7 nm, still offering a considerable improvement with respect to earlier studies. For 0.2 and 0.5 mg/mL concentrations, the nanoparticles continued to be synthesized at very high uniformity, which was compromised at higher concentrations, as shown in Figure 4. For this study, the drug was studied at low concentrations to eliminate the need for stabilizers and establish the smallest diameter particles that can be synthesized. It is worth noting that multiple mixers could be set in parallel to increase the yield of the nanodrugs.

Finally, the device performance was assessed at operational flow rates ranging from 10 to 50 μL/min (Figure 5). As the flow rates were increased, the average diameters varied...
between from 111 nm and 135.7 nm, while the uniformity decreased slightly (standard deviation in the particle size distribution changed from 38.1 to 51.5 nm). To demonstrate the stability of the particles produced with the method, DLS measurements were conducted 1 hour and 1 day after mixing. Figure 6 shows that despite lack of stabilizers, the particle size distribution remained constant and no coalescence was observed.

An acoustically enhanced microfluidic method was demonstrated to synthesize highly uniform budesonide nanoparticles with a mean diameter of <150 nm, reducing the size by 40-fold in comparison to earlier works. This was achieved without adding any surfactants into the mixture, hence, significantly simplifying the process and eliminating undesirable complications, which are associated with additives.
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