cAMP Increases Density of ENaC Subunits in the Apical Membrane of MDCK Cells in Direct Proportion to Amiloride-sensitive Na⁺ Transport
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ABSTRACT Antidiuretic hormone and/or cAMP increase Na⁺ transport in the rat renal collecting duct and similar epithelia, including Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell monolayers grown in culture. This study was undertaken to determine if that increment in Na⁺ transport could be explained quantitatively by an increased density of ENaC Na⁺ channels in the apical membrane. MDCK cells with no endogenous ENaC expression were retrovirally transfected with rat α-, β-, and γ ENaC subunits, each of which were labeled with the FLAG epitope in their extracellular loop as described previously (Firsov, D., L. Schild, I. Gautschi, A.-M. Mérillat, E. Schneeberger, and B.C. Rossier. 1996. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:15370–15375). The density of ENaC subunits was quantified by specific binding of 125I-labeled anti-FLAG antibody (M2) to the apical membrane, which was found to be a saturable function of M2 concentration with half-maximal binding at 4–8 nM. Transepithelial Na⁺ transport was measured as the amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current (AS-Isc) across MDCK cells grown on permeable supports. Specific M2 binding was positively correlated with AS-Isc measured in the same experiments. Stimulation with cAMP (20 μM 8-p-chlorothio-cAMP plus 200 μM IBMX) significantly increased AS-Isc from 11.2 ± 1.3 μA/cm². M2 binding (at 1.7 nM M2) increased in direct proportion to AS-Isc from 0.62 ± 0.13 to 1.16 ± 0.18 fmol/cm². Based on the concentration dependence of M2 binding, the quantity of Na⁺ channels per unit of AS-Isc was calculated to be the same in the presence and absence of cAMP, 0.23 ± 0.04 and 0.21 ± 0.05 fmol/μA, respectively. These values would be consistent with a single channel conductance of ~5 pS (typically reported for ENaC channels) only if the open probability is <0.02, i.e., less than one-tenth of the typical value. We interpret the proportional increases in binding and AS-Isc to indicate that the increased density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane can account completely for the Isc increase produced by cAMP.
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INTRODUCTION Antidiuretic hormone (ADH),* in addition to its eponymous action, also stimulates Na⁺ reabsorption in the skin and urinary bladder epithelia of amphibians, in the rat cortical collecting duct (CCD), and in many in vitro and cultured epithelia possessing transport characteristics similar to the mammalian collecting duct (Tomita et al., 1985; Reif et al., 1986; Garty and Benos, 1988; Schafer and Hawk, 1992; Schafer, 1994; Garty and Palmer, 1997). As demonstrated previously in anuran epithelia, the increase in intracellular cAMP generated by the binding of ADH to V2 receptors results in an increase in the amiloride-sensitive conductance of the apical membrane of the principal cells of the collecting duct, allowing increased Na⁺ entry into the cell, which ultimately results in increased Na⁺ reabsorption (Schafer and Troutman, 1990).

The Na⁺ channels involved in this response to ADH and cAMP are now known to be oligomeric complexes of three protein subunits, α-, β-, and γ ENaC, encoded by discrete genes (Canessa et al., 1994). All three subunits share a similar topology, consisting of two transmembrane regions, a large cysteine-rich extracellular loop, and short COOH- and NH₂-terminal regions in the cytoplasm. When the three cloned subunits are expressed together in Xenopus oocytes, they form channels with characteristics comparable to those found in the apical membrane of intact epithelia such as the CCD, including: a characteristic similar to the mammalian collecting duct, allowing increased Na⁺ transport in mammalian epithelia, including Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell monolayers grown in culture. This study was undertaken to determine if that increment in Na⁺ transport could be explained quantitatively by an increased density of ENaC Na⁺ channels in the apical membrane. MDCK cells with no endogenous ENaC expression were retrovirally transfected with rat α-, β-, and γ ENaC subunits, each of which were labeled with the FLAG epitope in their extracellular loop as described previously (Firsov, D., L. Schild, I. Gautschi, A.-M. Mérillat, E. Schneeberger, and B.C. Rossier. 1996. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:15370–15375). The density of ENaC subunits was quantified by specific binding of 125I-labeled anti-FLAG antibody (M2) to the apical membrane, which was found to be a saturable function of M2 concentration with half-maximal binding at 4–8 nM. Transepithelial Na⁺ transport was measured as the amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current (AS-Isc) across MDCK cells grown on permeable supports. Specific M2 binding was positively correlated with AS-Isc measured in the same experiments. Stimulation with cAMP (20 μM 8-p-chlorothio-cAMP plus 200 μM IBMX) significantly increased AS-Isc from 11.2 ± 1.3 μA/cm². M2 binding (at 1.7 nM M2) increased in direct proportion to AS-Isc from 0.62 ± 0.13 to 1.16 ± 0.18 fmol/cm². Based on the concentration dependence of M2 binding, the quantity of Na⁺ channels per unit of AS-Isc was calculated to be the same in the presence and absence of cAMP, 0.23 ± 0.04 and 0.21 ± 0.05 fmol/μA, respectively. These values would be consistent with a single channel conductance of ~5 pS (typically reported for ENaC channels) only if the open probability is <0.02, i.e., less than one-tenth of the typical value. We interpret the proportional increases in binding and AS-Isc to indicate that the increased density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane can account completely for the Isc increase produced by cAMP.
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INTRODUCTION Antidiuretic hormone (ADH),* in addition to its eponymous action, also stimulates Na⁺ reabsorption in the skin and urinary bladder epithelia of amphibians, in the rat cortical collecting duct (CCD), and in many in vitro and cultured epithelia possessing transport characteristics similar to the mammalian collecting duct

* Abbreviations used in this paper: ADH, antidiuretic hormone; AS-Isc, amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current; Bmax, maximal specific binding; CCD, cortical collecting duct; CPT, 8-p-chlorophenylthio; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; ENaC, epithelial (amiloride-sensitive) Na⁺ channel; FLAG, octapeptide epitope DXKDDDDY; IBMX, isobutylmethylxanthine; Lₐ, short-circuit current; MDCK, Madin-Darby canine kidney; PKA, protein kinase A; Rₑ, transepithelial resistance; Vₑ, transepithelial voltage.

Portions of this work were previously published in abstract form (Morris, R.G., and J.A. Schafer. 2000. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 11:34A; and Morris, R.G., and J.A. Schafer. 2001. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 12:37A).
Interestingly, not all epithelia expressing ENaC respond to ADH or cAMP with increased Na\(^+\) transport. For example, ADH-dependent cAMP production in the rabbit CCD produces either no change or a decrease in Na\(^+\) transport (Schafer and Hawk, 1992; Schafer, 1994, 2002). Even in the same species, cAMP or ADH may have a different effect in different tissues, for example, stimulating Na\(^+\) transport in the rat CCD (Tomita et al., 1985; Reif et al., 1986), but not in the rat colon (Bridges et al., 1984). This variability in the response to cAMP or ADH has been attributed to differences in the structure of the \(\alpha\)ENaC subunit (Schnizler et al., 2001), or to differences in the actions of autacoids such as prostaglandin E\(_2\) that modify the response to ADH (Schafer, 2002).

The increase in Na\(^+\) reabsorption that occurs with ADH or cAMP in the rat CCD and other responsive epithelia represents an increase in the activity of ENaC hetero-oligomers in the apical membrane. Two general mechanisms have been put forward to explain this increased channel activity. First, modification of ENaC subunits, occurring as one of the ultimate events of ADH action, might cause an increase in the open probability \((P_\text{o})\) or an increase in the unit conductance of the multimeric channel complexes already present in the apical membrane with no change in their numbers. Alternatively, or in addition to its effects on individual channel kinetics, ADH might cause the insertion of assembled ENaC multimers into the apical membrane in a manner analogous to the ADH-stimulated insertion of aquaporin-2 water channels in the CCD (Wade, 1985).

The former mechanism of ADH action, i.e., an increase in \(P_\text{o}\) of individual Na\(^+\) channels, has been supported by studies using patch clamping and reconstituted Na\(^+\) channels. Changes in the single channel properties might be a consequence of protein kinase A (PKA) activation by cAMP, and Shimkets et al. (1998) have shown that the COOH-terminal regions of \(\beta\)- and \(\gamma\)ENaC are phosphorylated by this signaling cascade. Using inside-out patches of A6 cell apical membranes, Prat et al. (1993) showed that application of PKA plus ATP activated Na\(^+\) channels at least in part by an increase in \(P_\text{o}\). They also showed, however, that this effect of PKA was dependent on the presence of “short” actin filaments and hypothesized that the action of PKA might be mediated by phosphorylation of G-actin rather than the channel itself. A role for actin in activating ENaC was also supported by Berdiev et al. (1996), who demonstrated that PKA activation of \(\alpha\)-, \(\beta\)-, and \(\gamma\)ENaC subunits incorporated into planar lipid bilayers required the presence of short actin filaments. PKA has also been shown to increase the \(P_\text{o}\) of several biochemically purified Na\(^+\) channel complexes incorporated into planar bilayers (Oh et al., 1993; Bradford et al., 1995; Ismailov and Benos, 1995; Senyk et al., 1995).

Although variable in magnitude, the increases in \(P_\text{o}\) that occur in these patch-clamp and bilayer experiments have been taken to support the view that direct activation of channels by cAMP/PKA can contribute to the increased amiloride-sensitive Na\(^+\) transport produced by ADH. This conclusion must, however, be tempered by the limitations of the experimental systems used. As indicated by the studies in lipid bilayers and detached membrane patches (Prat et al., 1993; Berdiev et al., 1996), the activity of ENaC channels depends on the presence of components of the cytoskeleton. In a detached patch or in a reconstituted system in which normal interactions of ENaC subunits with the cytoskeleton are disrupted, activation by PKA may involve changes in the interaction between residual or exogenous actin and ENaC that do not occur in the intact cell. It is also impossible to quantify the density of channels in the membrane of the native cells from the numbers measured in detached patches. When multiple channels are present in a patch, not only is it technically very difficult to determine their precise number, but the channels may also not be uniformly distributed, resulting in the “hot spots” of channel activity as described by Marunaka and Eaton (1991) in vasotocin-treated A6 cells.

Both patch-clamp and biochemical approaches have been used in support of the alternative hypothesis that ADH increases Na\(^+\) transport by the insertion of additional channels into the apical membrane. Marunaka and Eaton (1991) used patch clamping in A6 cell monolayers to show that both vasotocin and cAMP increased the number of Na\(^+\) channels per patch with no change in single channel kinetics. Kleyman et al. (1994) addressed the effect of ADH on the total pool of Na\(^+\) channels in A6 apical membranes using an antidiotopic antibody (anti-anti-amiloride) that has been shown to recognize \(\alpha\)ENaC (Kieber-Emmons et al., 1995). In these experiments, apical membrane proteins in intact A6 cells, with and without ADH treatment, were radio iodinated and the Na\(^+\) channels were subsequently immunoprecipitated from extracted proteins using the antidiotopic antibody. Kleyman et al. (1994) reported there was approximately a doubling of the immunoprecipitated Na\(^+\) channels after treatment with the hormone, which they concluded would account for the increase in Na\(^+\) transport produced by ADH. In another approach to quantifying Na\(^+\) channel density in the apical membrane, Snyder (2000) used transient transfection to introduce human ENaC subunits, which had been modified to allow fluorescence labeling, into cultured epithelial cells of thyroid origin. He demonstrated that cAMP stimulation produced an acute increase in the fluorescent labeling of ENaC in
the apical membrane that paralleled the increase in Na⁺ transport.

The measurement of the surface density of ENaC subunits in the intact epithelium has definite advantages. Not only are the subunits in their native environment, but the use of epithelial monolayers with >10⁶ cells inherently provides the statistical averaging that is lost when examining individual patches or reconstituted transporters. However, although the two surface-labeling studies discussed above undeniably show an increase in the density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane of A6 cells with ADH or cAMP, both are limited in their ability to relate the change in the surface density of the label quantitatively to the change in Na⁺ transport. In the experiments of Kleyman et al. (1994), the identity and the number of antigenic sites per ENaC subunit, as well as the affinity of the antidiotopic antibody for these sites, are unknown. Similarly, in Sny- der (2000) fluorescence could not be related quantitatively to channel density, and the cells examined by confocal microscopy were not representative of the average labeling of the whole epithelium, because not all cells expressed ENaC subunits in this transient transfection system.

These limitations were overcome in the surface-labeling experiments described by Firsov et al. (1996). These investigators modified rat α-, β-, and γENaC by introducing the octapeptide FLAG epitope (DYKD-DDDY) into the early (NH₂-terminal) region of the extracellular loop of each. When these subunits were expressed in Xenopus oocytes, the binding of ³²P-labeled monoclonal antibody to FLAG allowed these investigators to determine the surface density of the ENaC subunits on a femtomole per oocyte basis. The macroscopic amiloride-sensitive Na⁺ current and the surface density of ENaC subunits measured in the same oocyte were linearly related over a wide range with a slope of 1.1 μA/fmol. Although Firsov et al. (1996) did not examine the effect of cAMP, they found that a mutation in the β subunit associated with Liddle’s syndrome resulted in an increase in the surface density of ENaC subunits and an increase in amiloride-sensitive current.

Our objective in the present study was to use FLAG antibody surface labeling to quantify any change in the surface density of ENaC in the apical membrane of mammalian epithelial cells with cAMP treatment and to relate it to transepithelial Na⁺ transport measured in the same epithelia. We used retroviral transfection to develop a line of MDCK cells expressing “flagged” rat ENaC subunits, i.e., subunits labeled in the extracellular loop-domain with FLAG as described by Firsov et al. (1996). We measured the density of total ENaC subunits on the apical membrane surface and compared it with the amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current (Iₛ) measured in the same cells in the presence and absence of cAMP stimulation. Our results indicate that the increase in Iₛ produced by cAMP can be accounted for entirely by a proportional increase in the surface density of ENaC.

M A T E R I A L S A N D M E T H O D S

M D C K C e l l C u l t u r e s

MDCK cells were obtained as a gift from Dr. D. Rotin (University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada) with the permission of Dr. B. Rossier (University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland), whose laboratory originally developed the clone. This cell line, which exhibited most of the characteristics of the type-1 MDCK cells, including a transepithelial resistance (Rₑ) >1,000 Ω·cm², was chosen because it had been shown to have a negligible amiloride-sensitive conductance (Ishikawa et al., 1998). The unmodified wild-type cells are referred to below as parental MDCK cells. We also obtained a different clone of type-1 MDCK cells (a gift of Dr. D. Balkovetz, Nephrology Research and Training Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham), to serve as a source of protein for some of the immunoblots described below. These are referred to as type-1 MDCK cells.

Cultures of MDCK cells were expanded in T-75 flasks in a humidified incubator at 37°C in the presence of 4% CO₂. The medium was Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies) supplemented with 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1% Pen/Strep-fungizone, and 10% FBS. The medium was exchanged as needed, usually daily, and the cells were split upon confluence. For the both electrophysiological and surface labeling experiments, the cells were seeded on inserts with permeable membranes: either 24-mm cyclopores (Catalog No. 35-3090; Falcon) or 24-mm transwells (Catalog No. 3412; Costar) at a density of ~400,000 cells/insert, after which they were grown in the modified DMEM without selection antibiotics (see below).

O t h e r T i s s u e s

In some of the immunoblotting and RT-PCR studies described below, we also used protein and total RNA extracted from fresh samples of dog and rat renal cortex and inner medulla. These tissues were obtained from cadaveric animals that had been used in other studies in our own laboratory or in other laboratories at this university. All such studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Alabama at Birmingham.

R e t r o v i r a l T r a n s f e s t i o n o f P a r e n t a l M D C K C e l l s

Three plasmids containing rat α-, β-, and γENaC subunits, into each of which the FLAG epitope had been introduced in the early portion of the extracellular loop as described by Firsov et al. (1996), were provided by Dr. B. Rossier (University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland). These flagged subunits are designated hereafter by a subscript F, e.g., α₁ENaC. These subunit cDNAs were provided in mammalian plasmids (a modification of pSD65), and new restriction sites appropriate for subcloning into the retroviral vectors (5’ XhoI and 3’ NotI) were added to each.

---

1In the course of the present experiments, we also measured whole-cell amiloride-sensitive currents in Xenopus oocytes expressing wild-type and FLAG-labeled rat ENaC subunits. Using a variety of cAMP analogs, we were unable to show any stimulatory effect, even at high concentrations in the presence of IBMX. Most likely the lack of effect is due to the high rate of endogenous cAMP production that we confirmed in these oocytes (unpublished data).
by PCR. The resulting cDNA constructs were bidirectionally sequenced in order to verify that the ENaC subunits had not been modified, and they were then subcloned into bicistronic retroviral vectors, which were generously provided by Dr. R. Boucher (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC). The three vectors, LXPIN, LXPFH, and LXPIIP, contained antibiotic resistance genes for, respectively, neomycin (G418), hygromycin, and puromycin, in one cloning position, with the α, β, or γ ENaC subunit in the other. Sequencing from the 5' and 3' termini verified the orientation and nucleotide fidelity of the subcloned ENaC subunits. Using the protocol of Comstock et al. (1997), PA317 packaging cells (American Type Culture Collection) were transfected with the retroviral vectors to produce three retrovirus: Lαβγ, LβγP, and LγγP, each containing the indicated flagged ENaC subunit and the respective antibiotic resistance gene.

Parental MDCK cells were first infected with one of the three retroviruses, and then selected with the corresponding antibiotic, followed by transfection and selection cycles with the other two virions. Selection media included (in combinations appropriate to the history of infection): G418 (800 μg/ml), hygromycin (300 μg/ml), and puromycin (5 μg/ml) DMEM. At the end of three rounds of infection and selection, colonies of cells that had been infected with all three subunits and exhibited the correct-resisting antibiotic resistances were isolated and expanded for screening while maintaining selection pressure with all three antibiotics.

Clones of the triply transfected MDCK cells (referred to as αβγ MDCK cells) were subsequently grown on permeable supports. After 4–5 d in culture, expression of the transfected ENaC subunits was increased by overnight “induction” with 1 μM dexamethasone plus 2 mM Na+/H11001 in the basolateral medium, which was contained in an inexpensive plastic container that was placed in a larger plastic container that served as a water bath whose temperature was maintained at 37°C by an immersion heater and temperature regulator. The basolateral current–receiving electrode was a stainless-steel sheet, whereas the basolateral voltage-sensing electrode, an Ag-AgCl2 electrode, was connected to the basolateral medium by an agar bridge. These basolateral electrodes served as a common electrode pair for all 24 inserts mounted in the Lucite manifold. The apical electrodes consisted of a small stainless-steel disc for current passing and a central agar bridge connected to an Ag-AgCl electrode. The apical electrode pair was encased in a housing made from a rubber stopper, which rested on the top rim of the cell culture inserts with the current-passing electrode and the agar bridge extending into the apical solution. Using this housing, the apical electrode pair could be moved rapidly from insert to insert for sequential electrophysiological measurements with the VCCR 600 voltage-clamp. A MacLab model 8e (ADInstruments) interface and a Macintosh computer were used to control the clamp and perform a rapid sequence of short-circuit, open-circuit, and current-passing recordings, and the data were stored on the computer.

Two solutions were used in the Using chambers, as well as in the multiinsert apparatus: unmodified DMEM or a “chloride-free” solution containing (in mM): 140 Na+ (amino benzene sulfonate, 24 Na+ bicarbonate 6 Ca2+ gluconate, 3 Mg2+ gluconate, 5 K+ gluconate, and 10 D-glucose.

**Surface Labeling of Flagged ENaC Subunits**

Anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; referred to below as M2) was radiolabeled with 125I in the UAB radiolabeling core facility at the Comprehensive Cancer Center using Iodo-Beads (Pierce Chemical Co.) per the manufacturer’s instructions. Labeled M2 antibody was initially purified over an anion-exchange column, eluted, and subsequently placed in a 10,000 MWC Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassette (Pierce Chemical Co.) and dialyzed for at least 24 h against PBS. The amount of labeled antibody was determined by a microprotein assay (# 232925; Pierce Chemical Co.) and was diluted to the desired specific activity by the addition of unlabeled M2 antibody. The specific activity was typically ~1.8 μCi/μg antibody, but it was modified as needed to give sample count rates that ranged from at least 20 times background to >10,000 cpm, depending on the antibody concentration used.

Equilibrium binding assays were performed on monolayers of αβγ MDCK cells grown on either cycloper or transwell 24-mm cell culture inserts. After experimental measurements and treatments, the inserts were placed in an ice bath and briefly rinsed with ice-cold PBS, and then incubated for 30 min with ice-cold blocking solution (PBS + 5% FBS). The apical blocking solution was aspirated and replaced with 500 μl of ice-cold blocking solution containing the desired concentration of 125I-labeled M2 antibody and incubated for 1 h. To determine the nonspecific binding, M2 antibody was added to paired inserts together with a 100-fold excess (by weight) of FLAG peptide (Research Genetics). After a 1-h incubation, excess label was aspirated and monolayers were washed four times with 1 ml of ice-cold blocking solution. Label remaining bound to the apical surface of the monolayers was then removed by “acid-stripping” as per Wiley and Cunningham (1982). Briefly, 750 μl of ice-cold acid wash solution (0.5 M NaCl, 0.2 M Na+ acetate, pH 2.4) was aliquoted onto the apical membrane of monolayers, incubated on ice for 5 min, and then harvested for gamma counting. Two acid strips were performed per monolayer to confirm that ≥90% of the bound label was removed. The counts from both acid strips were combined for data analysis. For each experimental point, triplicate inserts were labeled with 125I-labeled M2 antibody in the absence of competing peptide and a paired tri-
paired averages as appropriate, with significance ascribed at post-hoc testing was used for comparisons of paired and non-

Western Blotting and Immunoprecipitation of ENaC Subunits

For each Western blot, protein was harvested from two confluent 24-mm monolayers of αβγ, or parental MDCK cells. Monolayers were washed extensively with ice-cold PBS, removed from the filter inserts with cell scrapers, and briefly centrifuged. After aspirating the PBS, 600 µl of ice-cold lysis buffer (10 mM triethanolamine, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.6, plus PMSF [1 mg/10 ml] and leupeptin [1 µg/ml]) was added to the pellet, and the cells were homogenized with a glass mortar and pestle. SDS was then added (1% final concentration) to the homogenate, which was mixed with a 21-ga needle and syringe. The sample was transferred to a 1.5-ml tube and sheered with a 30-ga needle 3–4 times before centrifuging 15 min at 14,000 g. Approximately 90% of the supernatant was then recovered and concentrated using a Microcon 30 (Millipore) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein determinations were made with a MicroBCA Kit (Pierce Chemical Co.). Laemmli buffer containing mercaptoethanol was added to 10-µg samples of protein, which were briefly boiled and run on 10% polyacrylamide gels. Protein was electrophoretically transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Nitrobind; Osmonics) and processed for immunoblotting.

Antibodies specific to three rat ENaC subunits (Masilamani et al., 1999) were provided by Dr. M. Knepper (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The membrane blots were blocked with Blotto for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary ENaC antibodies at the appropriate dilutions (anti-αENaC L766 1:2,500, anti-βENaC L558 1:1,500, anti-γENaC L550 1:2,000). They were then washed three times with T-TBS (TBS/0.05% Tween-20), incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibody diluted 1:5000 in Blotto, and washed again with T-TBS. Blots were visualized with the ECL detection system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) per the manufacturer’s instructions. Autoradiograms of immunoblots were digitized on an Epson transparency scanner (Expression Model 1600). Densitometric comparisons of bands on the same blot were made using the NIH Image program and calibrated gel analysis subroutine (version 1.62; National Institutes of Health).

To verify that the expressed ENaC subunits were indeed flagged, α, β, and γ subunits were immunoprecipitated from protein harvested from two confluent 24-mm diameter monolayers of induced αβγ MDCK cells using M2 antibody (5 µl) and a protein-G immunoprecipitation kit (1719586; Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After immunoprecipitation, samples were boiled briefly in Laemmli buffer and processed for Western blotting as described above. In other experiments, the subunit-specific antibodies were used to immunoprecipitate the proteins, and the immunoblots were probed with the M2 antibody.

Statistics and Nonlinear Regression of Binding Saturation Plots

StatView for Macintosh (version 4.5.1, SAS Institute Inc.) was used for standard statistical calculations. Numerical results are presented as averages ± SEM. ANOVA with Bonferroni-Dunn post-hoc testing was used for comparisons of paired and non-paired averages as appropriate, with significance ascribed at $P < 0.05$.

Kaleidagraph for Macintosh (version 3.5.1; Synergy Software) was used for nonlinear least squares fitting of specific binding data to the Michaelis-Menten relationship. Quality of fit is indicated by the correlation coefficient ($r$) and associated $P$ value.

RESULTS

Expression of ENaC Subunit Proteins in MDCK Cells

In preliminary experiments, we first tested the antibodies to the rat α-β-, and γENaC subunits in immunoblots with protein extracted from rat kidney cortex, and we obtained the same bands reported by Masilamani et al. (1999) in their original description of the antibodies. Because MDCK cells were derived from dog kidney, we also tested the same rat antibodies against protein isolated from dog kidney and from type-1 MDCK cells from which our parental strain was derived. Unfortunately, only the antibody for the rat βENaC was found to also recognize the dog subunit as shown in Fig. 1. Using the βENaC antibody, a single band at ~101 kD was obtained in proteins isolated from dog kidney cortex and inner medulla, type-1 MDCK cells, and triply-transfected (αβγ) MDCK cells. However, there was no band in the parental MDCK cells used for transfection in these studies (first lane in Fig. 1). (All MDCK cells used for protein extraction had been induced overnight with butyrate and dexamethasone, and equal protein loading on each blot was independently verified among all MDCK cell lanes.) We also performed RT-PCR using degenerate, ENaC subunit–specific primer pairs that were shown to amplify ENaC subunits from reverse-transcribed total mRNA samples from dog kid-

![Figure 1. Western blot demonstrating the expression of the βENaC subunit in MDCK cells and dog kidney tissues. The lanes contained protein extracts from: parental MDCK cells (the line subsequently used for transfection of flagged ENaC subunits), another clone of Type-I MDCK cells, αβγ MDCK cells (the triply transfected cell line developed in this study), dog kidney cortex, and inner medulla. All three lines of MDCK cells were induced overnight with 2 mM butyrate plus 1 µM dexamethasone, and 20 µg of extracted protein was present in each lane. In the case of the dog kidney tissues, 50 µg of protein was present for each. The numbers on the left side indicate the molecular weights (kD) of proteins in the molecular weight calibration ladder.](image-url)
Figure 2. Expression of ENaC subunits in retrovirally transfected MDCK cells with and without induction. (A) Western blot of protein from triple-flagged (αβγγ MDCK) cells. The lanes are grouped according to the subunit-specific antibody, and in each subunit group extracts are from αβγγ MDCK cells without (first lane of pair) and with (second lane) overnight induction with 2 mM butyrate plus 1 μM dexamethasone. The numbers on the left indicate the molecular weights (kD) of proteins in the molecular weight calibration ladder. (B) Another composite of blots prepared in the same way as panel A, but showing the doublet for αENaC (see text). (C) Ratios of the densitometry measurements for the α-, β-, and γENaC subunit bands in the presence of induction to that in the absence of induction. The number of separate protein extractions and immunoblots is indicated in parentheses in each bar. Asterisk indicates average ratio is significantly different from 1.0.

When cDNA that had been prepared in the same manner from parental MDCK cells (induced overnight with butyrate and dexamethasone) was amplified with the same primers, only a faint band of the expected size for αENaC was obtained even with 40 cycles of amplification, but there was no amplification of β- or γENaC (unpublished data). The apparent low (or absence of) expression of significant βENaC protein or mRNA for any of the three subunits is consistent with the very low or absent amiloride-sensitive Na⁺ transport activity observed previously in this MDCK cell line (Ishikawa et al., 1998), and confirmed in our electrophysiological studies below.

As shown in Fig. 2 A, the subunit-specific antibodies to rat ENaCs showed the presence of all three ENaC subunits in the retrovirally transfected αβγγ MDCK cells with and without induction, and the approximate molecular weights were: α, 94 kD; β, 98 kD; γ, 89 kD. It should be noted that, whereas only a single band was obtained in all immunoblots for αENaC and γENaC, in some blots for βENaC we obtained two bands (ranges: 93–102 kD and 105–116 kD) as shown in Fig. 2 B. For nine separate protein samples from induced αβγγ MDCK cells, the βENaC doublet was present in six. In six of these blots in which protein samples from uninduced and induced αβγγ MDCK cells were paired, three showed the doublet and it was present in both induced and uninduced samples. The source of the higher molecular weight member of the doublet is unknown, and it was not observed in protein isolates from rat kidney by Masilamani et al. (1999). The 110-kD band was variable among different protein isolates, ranging from barely detectable in comparison with the 98-kD band to almost as dense (as in Fig. 2 B). The molecular weight difference between the bands of the doublet was ten times larger than weight of the FLAG epitope (~1.1 kD). This comparison and the absence of any β band in the parental cells (Fig. 1) rules out the possibility that the doublet was due to the presence of both wild-type and flagged βENaC in the transfected cells.

In the representative blot shown in Fig. 2 A, the levels of all three ENaC subunits appeared to be increased by overnight induction with butyrate and dexamethasone, whereas only αENaC appears to be significantly increased in Fig. 2 B. Densitometric ratios of paired induced and uninduced bands for each of the three ENaC subunits are presented in Fig. 2 C and show that there was significant induction only of αENaC (ratio of induced to uninduced 4.4 ± 1.1, P < 0.02) and βENaC (2.4 ± 0.5, P < 0.01), but not γENaC (3.2 ± 1.6, not significantly different from 1.0). However, as shown below, induced αβγγ MDCK cells exhibited a much higher amiloride-sensitive Iₖ.

To verify that the ENaC subunits expressed in transfected MDCK cells contained the FLAG epitope, two series of immunoprecipitation experiments were performed. First, proteins were immunoprecipitated from αβγγ MDCK cell lysates with the M2 antibody. The immunoprecipitated proteins were visualized on subsequent Western blots using the same subunit-specific antibodies as above. Bands of the appropriate molecular weights (Fig. 3 A) confirmed the presence of the FLAG in each of the ENaC subunits, but with the presence of a doublet for the β subunit. As further confirmation, a second series of immunoprecipitation experiments was
performed in which each ENaC subunit was initially immunoprecipitated from \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9251F \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9252F \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9253F MDCK cell lysates using the subunit-specific anti-ENaC antibodies. Immunoprecipitated proteins were processed for Western blotting and visualized with the M2 antibody shown in Fig. 3 B. Using this approach, the doublet for \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9252F subunit was absent, although, as noted above, the presence of this band was variable. Together, the results (Figs. 2, A and B, and 3, A and B) demonstrate that all three flagged ENaC subunits are expressed in the \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9251F \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9252F \(
\frac{1}{2}\)H9253F MDCK cells.

Specific Binding of \(^{125}\)I-labeled M2 Antibody to \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) MDCK cells

M2 antibody labeled with \(^{125}\)I was used to measure the apical membrane surface density of FLAG. In initial experiments we found appreciable binding of this antibody to the \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) MDCK cells and culture inserts that persisted even in the presence of an excess of the unlabelled M2 antibody or the FLAG peptide. It was necessary to measure this nonspecific binding for each data point by adding a 100-fold excess (by weight) of FLAG peptide. (The ratio of specific to nonspecific binding, i.e., the signal-to-noise ratio, varied from 3:1 to 4:1, depending on the antibody concentration.) Thus, the specific binding of \(^{125}\)I-labeled M2 antibody was defined as the portion of the total binding displaced by the competing peptide.

Fig. 4 presents the results of seven experiments with \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) MDCK cells in DMEM in which specific binding was measured as a function of the 125I-labeled M2 antibody concentration. The data were fit to the Michaelis-Menten relationship by nonlinear, weighted least squares \((r = 0.95, P < 0.001)\). Estimates of maximal specific antibody binding in fmol/cm\(^2\) \((B_{\text{max}})\) and affinity \((K_{0.5})\) were 7.4 ± 0.7 fmoles/cm\(^2\) and 7.9 ± 1.9 nM, respectively. Averages from seven experiments with 126 inserts.
the membrane surface at equilibrium, we fit the data in Fig. 4 according to the Michaelis-Menten equation. Nonlinear least squares fitting gave estimates of $k_{0.5}$ and $B_{\text{max}}$ of 7.9 ± 1.9 nM and 7.4 ± 0.7 fmol/cm², respectively. $^2$ The $k_{0.5}$ thus obtained is not significantly different from the $k_{0.5}$ of ∼8 nM reported by Firsov et al. (1996) using the same FLAG-M2 antibody pairing in the Xenopus oocyte expression system.

We also performed three control-binding experiments on MDCK cells transfected with rat wild-type β- and γENaC subunits together with a rat αENaC subunit that was modified to contain an unrelated epitope (hemagglutinin, [HA]: YPYDVPDYA) in the same ectodomain region as the FLAG epitope in the construct of Firsov et al. (1996). Using a single $^{125}$I-labeled M2 antibody concentration (1.7 nM) revealed an insignificant amount of binding that could be displaced by the flag peptide ($n = 3, 0.04 ± 0.01$ fmol/cm²).

Finally, we conducted four experiments in which we measured the specific binding of $^{125}$I-labeled M2 antibody applied to the basolateral surface of the αβγγ MDCK monolayers in the presence and absence of FLAG peptide. There was no significant specific binding, however, it would have been difficult to discern specific binding at the lower levels observed on the apical surface (i.e., <2 fmol/cm²) because of the high levels of nonspecific binding when the antibody was added to basolateral side. We attributed these higher nonspecific counts to antibody binding to the membrane support because we found similar levels of nonspecific binding to membrane inserts in the absence of cells. The absence of significant ENaC activity in the apical membrane was also supported by the absence of any change in $V_T$ or $I_{sc}$ when amiloride was added to the basolateral membrane (see below).

**Electrophysiological Characteristics**

Parental MDCK cells exhibited very little evidence of amiloride-sensitive Na⁺ transport. In three experiments with parental MDCK cells that were not induced, the average baseline open-circuit $V_T$ and $I_{sc}$ in DMEM were, respectively, −1.2 ± 0.3 mV and 0.8 ± 0.1 μA/cm². In five experiments with parental cells that had been induced overnight with butyrate and dexamethasone, baseline $V_T$ and $I_{sc}$ were, respectively, −7.1 ± 2.1 mV and 3.7 ± 0.3 μA/cm². After the latter cells were treated with 20 μM 8-CPT-cAMP plus 200 μM IBMX, $I_{sc}$ decreased by only 1.8 ± 0.3 μA/cm² upon addition of 20 μM amiloride to the apical solution. In many other groups of parental cells, treated with both induction and cAMP, there was no change whatsoever in $I_{sc}$ upon the addition of amiloride to the apical solution. Thus, while there

---

$^2$Plots of specific binding as a function of antibody concentration are presented and analyzed using the Michaelis-Menten equation as described in materials and methods. This approach is used in preference to a Scatchard plot (bound/free versus free antibody concentration) because the Michaelis-Menten plot shows the actual data obtained and its variation, and because the nonlinear regression of the data according to the Michaelis-Menten relationship avoids the bias introduced into least-squares fitting by the linearized Scatchard relationship. Nevertheless, the results of Scatchard analysis were quite comparable with those obtained from nonlinear regression using the Michaelis-Menten equation. In the case of Fig. 4, linear regression of the Scatchard plot gave $k_{0.5}$ and $B_{\text{max}}$ estimates of, respectively, 9.2 ± 2.2 nM and 8.0 ± 0.8 fmol/cm² ($r = 0.90, P < 0.001$).
may be a small amiloride-sensitive $I_c$ in some groups of parental cells after overnight induction, it was small in comparison with the transfected cells.

Table I documents the effects of 20 µM amiloride on the open-circuit $V_T$ and $R_T$ that develop across confluent monolayers of $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells after overnight induction with both dexamethasone and butyrate. Addition of 20 µM amiloride to the apical medium significantly reduced $V_T$ from $-27.7 \pm 1.2$ mV to $-6.4 \pm 0.3$ mV ($n = 95$, $P < 0.001$), and significantly elevated $R_T$ from 2.2 ± 0.1 to 2.8 ± 0.1 kΩ·cm² ($n = 90$, $P < 0.001$).

We also examined the dose response of the transfected cells to amiloride. Based on the percent inhibition of $I_c$, the $k$ for amiloride in the absence and presence of cAMP treatment (20 µM 8-CPT-cAMP plus 200 µM IBMX) was, respectively, 0.48 ± 0.14 and 0.48 ± 0.18 µM. Addition of 10–100 µM amiloride to the basolateral membrane had no significant effect on either the basal $V_T$ or on $I_c$ in the presence or absence of cAMP treatment. These observations demonstrate the presence of functional amiloride-sensitive Na⁺ channels in the apical membranes of the $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells.

The effect of cAMP on transepithelial transport in $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells was examined in experiments measuring $I_c$ in Ussing chambers. Fig. 5 A compares the time course $I_c$ of representative experiments with $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells in DMEM and chloride-free media, and the average results of several experiments are presented in Fig. 5 B. In DMEM, basal $I_c$ was significantly stimulated from 8.1 ± 1.0 to a peak value of 18.9 ± 2.2 µA/cm² by the addition of 20 µM 8-CPT-cAMP plus 200 µM IBMX to the apical and basolateral media. There was a rapid spike in $I_c$, followed by a broader peak, which decayed over 30 min. Addition of 20 µM amiloride to the apical solution rapidly reduced $I_c$ to ~5 µA/cm². The effect of amiloride was statistically significant and confirmed the presence of amiloride-sensitive, cAMP-stimulated Na⁺ transport in $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells. As also shown in Fig. 5 A, in chloride-free medium the time course of 20 µM 8-CPT-cAMP plus 200 µM IBMX action was quite different. $I_c$ rose from 7.4 ± 1.0 to 13.6 ± 1.2 µA/cm² without the initial spike observed in DMEM. The cAMP-stimulated current also decayed more slowly in chloride-free medium. Again, addition of 20 µM amiloride to the apical side significantly reduced $I_c$ to ~3 µA/cm².

The biphasic response to cAMP in the presence of Cl⁻ in the bathing solution has been described previously for MDCK and similar epithelia. It has been interpreted to reflect at least two components of $I_c$: active Cl⁻ secretion and active Na⁺ transport via ENaC (Chalfant et al., 1993; Kleyman et al., 1994; Letz and Korbmacher, 1997; Morris et al., 1998), both of which are stimulated by cAMP. Under short-circuit conditions in these epithelia, cAMP produces a rapid initial increase in Cl⁻ secretion followed by a slower activation of Na⁺ transport. Furthermore, as observed in Fig. 5 A, after cAMP stimulation in the presence of Cl⁻, $I_c$ falls more rapidly than in its absence. Because our objective was to correlate any changes in the density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane with the amiloride-sensitive $I_c$, we used a chloride-free medium in the remainder of these studies to reduce any variability that might be introduced by differences in the time course of the $I_c$ response to cAMP.

### Table I

| Treatment | $V_T$ | $R_T$ | Eq. $I_c$ |
|-----------|------|------|----------|
| Basal     | $-27.7 \pm 1.2$ | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 12.5 |
| Amiloride | $-6.4 \pm 0.3^c$ | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 2.2 |

*After overnight induction with 2 mM butyrate and 1 µM dexamethasone, preparation of $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells on either cyclospore or transwell membrane inserts were incubated in DMEM. Basal transepithelial voltage ($V_T$), apical to basolateral) and resistance ($R_T$) were measured during the first 20–30 min incubation with no additions to the medium. Amiloride (20 µM) was then added to the apical solution and the same parameters were measured when they reached a nadir 1–3 min after amiloride addition.

$^b$ $n = 90$.

$^c$ The "equivalent" short-circuit current (Eq. $I_c$) is calculated as $V_I/R_I$.

$^d$ Difference between basal and amiloride values of $V_T$ and $R_T$ significant ($P < 0.001$) by paired t-test.

---

3. Drs. J.K. Bubien and Z.-H. Zhou in our department were kind enough to conduct preliminary patch clamp studies of the cells used in these studies using methods they have described previously (Bubien et al., 2001; Zhou and Bubien, 2001). In all experiments with $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells, they observed one to three channels per patch. We used two different approaches to produce cell cultures that exhibited a wide range of ENaC expression and, thus, of surface binding. In both sets of experiments, the chloride-free medium was used. First, we prepared mixtures of varying proportions of parental (wild-type) to $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$ MDCK cells at the time of seeding onto cell culture inserts while keeping the total number of cells constant. For each experiment, a tray of six cell culture inserts was seeded for each mixture of cells. This ar-
Figure 6. Correlation between specific binding of \(^{125}\text{I}\)-labeled M2 antibody and amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current \((I_{sc})\). The M2 antibody concentration in the binding experiments was 16 nM. Chloride-free medium was used for both the apical and basolateral solutions. Two methods were used to vary the range of \(I_{sc}\) in the MDCK cultures: (a) the culture inserts were seeded with mixtures of \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) and parental MDCK cells (square data points, with ratio of parental to \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) MDCK cells indicated in the symbol key). (b) The \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) MDCK cells either were given no induction or were induced with 2 mM butyrate and/or 1 \(\mu\)M dexamethasone, as indicated in the symbol key. The least-squares linear regression, indicated by the line, has a slope of 0.12 \pm 0.03 fmol/\(\mu\)A (\(P < 0.001\)) and intercept of 0.62 \pm 0.33 (NS). The correlation coefficient \(r\) is 0.82 (\(P < 0.001\)).

Figure 7. Specific binding of \(^{125}\text{I}\)-labeled M2 antibody in \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) MDCK cells as a function of antibody concentration in the presence and absence of cAMP treatment. In each of six experiments, 72 culture inserts were incubated in chloride-free medium for 30–40 min at 37°C. At this point, 20 \(\mu\)M CPT-cAMP plus 200 \(\mu\)M IBMX was added to the apical solution of one-half of the inserts (+cAMP) and only the vehicle to the other half (control). 30 min after cAMP treatment, the inserts where chilled in an ice bath and surface labeling was conducted as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Specifically bound \(^{125}\text{I}\)-labeled M2 antibody is plotted as a function of the \(^{125}\text{I}\)-labeled M2 antibody concentration added to the apical membrane. The data were fit to the Michaelis-Menten relationship by nonlinear regression (control: \(r = 0.99, P < 0.001\); +cAMP; \(r = 0.97, P < 0.001\)). Asterisk denotes specific binding was significantly higher in cAMP-treated than controls.

arrangement typically resulted in a total of four trays, or 24 inserts for each experiment. After reaching confluence, the cells were induced with butyrate and dexamethasone and the following day the cell culture inserts were placed in the multiinsert apparatus for rapid, consecutive measurement of \(I_{sc}\) in each of the 24 inserts. The amiloride-sensitive short-circuit current \((\text{AS-}I_{sc})\) was calculated as the mean paired difference between \(I_{sc}\) measured just before and that measured within 3 min after adding 20 \(\mu\)M amiloride to the apical solution. There was considerable variability in \(\text{AS-}I_{sc}\) measured for a given cell mixture, which probably reflects a variable rate of growth of parental compared with \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) MDCK cells among the different preparations of cells used to plate the inserts. Nevertheless, this approach provided inserts with the wide range of \(\text{AS-}I_{sc}\) that was desired.

In the second protocol, we varied the expression of ENaC among the four trays used for each experiment by varying the induction method. In each experiment, we seeded \(\alpha_5\beta_2\gamma_7\) MDCK cells onto cell culture inserts resulting in four trays, or 24 inserts, per experiment. The evening before the experiment, one tray was not induced, the second tray was induced with 2 mM butyrate only, the third with 1 \(\mu\)M dexamethasone only, and the fourth tray of cells was induced with the standard combination of dexamethasone and butyrate. As in the previous protocol, \(I_{sc}\) was measured in the presence and absence of amiloride using the multi-insert apparatus. In nine experiments conducted in chloride-free medium, the average \(\text{AS-}I_{sc}\) values in uninduced MDCK cells, and in cells induced with butyrate alone, dexamethasone alone, or both agents were, respectively, 2.7 \pm 0.7, 8.1 \pm 1.3, 11.8 \pm 0.7, and 16.7 \pm 1.6 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\) (each mean significantly different from the other three by ANOVA).

In each of the two sets of experiments described above, the specific binding \(^{125}\text{I}\)-labeled M2 antibody was measured at an antibody concentration of 16 nM. This intermediate concentration was chosen because the ratio of specific to nonspecific binding (i.e., the signal-to-noise ratio) decreased at higher antibody concentrations as specific binding sites became saturated. Specific binding was measured in the same inserts as \(I_{sc}\) and it was plotted against the \(\text{AS-}I_{sc}\) as shown in Fig. 6. Linear regression demonstrated a significant correlation between specific binding and \(\text{AS-}I_{sc}\) (\(n = 45, r = 0.82, P < 0.001\)). The slope of this relationship was 0.12 \pm 0.03 fmol/\(\mu\)A, and the intercept (0.62 \pm 0.33 fmol/cm\(^2\)) was not significantly different from zero.

\(c\)AMP Increases ENaC Membrane Density
Effect of cAMP on Surface Labeling and \( I_{sc} \)

Fig. 7 presents the cumulative results of paired experiments examining the specific binding of \(^{125}\)I-labeled M2 antibody to the apical surface of \( \alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2 \) MDCK cells as a function of M2 antibody concentration, in the presence and absence of cAMP stimulation. After the standard overnight induction with dexamethasone and butyrate, the cell culture medium was replaced with chloride-free medium. After a 30-min equilibration period, 20 \( \mu \)M 8-CPT-cAMP plus 200 \( \mu \)M IBMX (hereafter referred to as cAMP treatment), or vehicle for control monolayers, was added to the apical and basolateral media. 30 min after the addition of the reagents, the trays of inserts were processed for the binding assay as described previously. For each of six experiments (432 total inserts), paired binding assays were performed at six different \(^{125}\)I-M2 antibody concentrations. In other words, for each experiment 72 total inserts were used in the following manner. Six trays, each containing six cell culture inserts, were used for the control group with one \(^{125}\)I-M2 antibody concentration (three inserts with and three without competing FLAG peptide) per tray. The experimental group was paired in the same manner; six trays each containing six cell culture inserts with a single \(^{125}\)I-M2 antibody concentration per tray. Specific counts were converted to fmol/cm\(^2\) of specifically bound (displaceable by FLAG peptide) M2 antibody and plotted as a function of the M2 antibody concentration (Fig. 7).

In every instance except one (a single pair of triplicate inserts out of 36 total pairs) specific binding was lower in control cells than in those treated with cAMP. As shown in Fig. 7, paired comparisons showed significantly higher binding in the cAMP-treated cells at five of the six M2 antibody concentrations examined. The data in Fig. 7 were fit with Michaelis-Menten curves as described previously, and \( k_{b0.5} \) and \( B_{\text{max}} \) from the nonlinear fitting were: 4.8 \( \pm \) 2.1 nM and 2.5 \( \pm \) 0.5 fmol/cm\(^2\), respectively, for the control group, and 4.5 \( \pm \) 1.6 nM and 3.4 \( \pm \) 0.6 fmol/cm\(^2\), respectively, for the cAMP-stimulated group.\(^4\) The mean paired difference of the estimates of \( B_{\text{max}} \) with versus without cAMP stimulation was statistically significant (\( n = 6, P < 0.001 \)), with \( B_{\text{max}} \) in cAMP-treated cells 40\% higher than in control cells, whereas treatment with cAMP had no significant effect on \( k_{b0.5} \). These data indicate that cAMP treatment increased the apical membrane surface density of ENaC.

To correlate the cAMP-stimulated increase in the surface density of ENaC subunits with the increase in amiloride-sensitive \( I_{sc} \), additional experiments were conducted in which AS-\( I_{sc} \) measurements in the absence and presence of cAMP stimulation were performed.

\(^{4}\)Scatchard analyses of these data gave \( k_{b0.5} \) estimates of: 4.6 \( \pm \) 0.8 (control) and 3.5 \( \pm \) 0.6 (+cAMP), and \( B_{\text{max}} \) estimates of 1.9 \( \pm \) 0.5 (control) and 2.9 \( \pm \) 0.5 (+cAMP).

### Table II

Comparison of M2 Antibody Binding to Amiloride-sensitive Short-circuit Current in \( \alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2 \) MDCK Cells with and without cAMP Treatment

| Bound M2 Ab | AS-\( I_{sc} \) | Est. \( B_{\text{max}} \) | Est. \( B_{\text{max}}/\text{AS-}I_{sc} \) |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| fmole/cm\(^2\) | \( \mu \)A/cm\(^2\) | fmole/cm\(^2\) | fmoles/\( \mu \)A |
| Control | 0.62 \( \pm \) 0.13 | 11.2 \( \pm \) 1.3 | 2.37 \( \pm \) 0.48 | 0.21 \( \pm \) 0.05 |
| +cAMP | 1.16 \( \pm \) 0.18 \( ^* \) | 18.1 \( \pm \) 1.5 \( ^* \) | 4.49 \( \pm \) 0.70 | 0.23 \( \pm \) 0.04 |

\(^*\)Mean paired difference between control and +cAMP groups is significant with \( P < 0.001 \).

The data presented above relate to two main issues that are addressed below. First, the fundamental properties of the MDCK cell line retrovirally transfected with ENaC subunits are discussed in the context of its applicability as a model epithelium for the study of ENaC regulation. Second, this model is used to examine the acute effects of cAMP on ENaC expression in the apical membrane of a high resistance mammalian epithelium and to correlate this expression with cAMP-stimulated increases in \( I_{sc} \).

**ENaC Protein Expression and Amiloride-sensitive \( \text{Na}^+ \) Transport in Transfected MDCK Cells**

The parental line of MDCK cells that was used for retroviral transfection had no significant expression of any of the three ENaC subunits at the mRNA level and no expression of the endogenous (dog) \( \beta \)ENaC protein (Fig. 1), whereas the triply transfected \( \alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2 \)
MDCK cells expressed all three exogenous rat ENaC proteins (Fig. 2). The immunoprecipitation experiments in Fig. 3 further demonstrate that the FLAG epitope was present in all three subunits. The αβγ MDCK cells also exhibited a significantly higher $V_I$ than observed in the parental cells, and that $V_I$ was inhibited $>75\%$ by amiloride (Table I) added to the apical but not to the basolateral membrane. These results demonstrated that the ENaC subunits expressed in the αβγ MDCK cells were assembled and trafficked preferentially to the apical membrane, and that they were mediating amiloride-sensitive Na$^+$ transport.

The results of experiments in which $I_C$ was measured in αβγ MDCK cells was also consistent with previous studies in MDCK cell lines that have high endogenous rates of amiloride-sensitive reabsorption as well as similar cultured lines, such as A6 cells (Bindels et al., 1988; Chalfant et al., 1993; Kleymen et al., 1994; Blazer-Yost and Helman, 1997; Morris et al., 1998). In particular, in DMEM the time course of the transport response to cAMP (Fig. 5 A) was the same as that observed in these previous studies. There was an initial rapid increase in $I_C$ followed by a decline, which has been attributed to stimulation of Cl$^-$ secretion (under the voltage-clamp conditions) by cAMP, then a lesser secondary maximum, which has been attributed to stimulation of Na$^+$ transport via ENaC and which subsequently decays (Chalfant et al., 1993; Letz and Korbmacher, 1997; Morris et al., 1998). The initial transient was absent in a chloride-free medium, and $I_C$ peaked 10–15 min after cAMP treatment, followed by a slow decay, remaining elevated above baseline even 30 min after the addition. It is tempting to speculate that this more sustained current reflects the loss of an inhibitory influence of CFTR-mediated Cl$^-$ transport on Na$^+$ transport (Schwiebert et al., 1995); however, Stutts et al. (1995) showed that the inhibitory effect of CFTR on ENaC channels in MDCK cells transfected with both transporters occurred even in a chloride-free medium. Nevertheless, the mechanism involved in the effect of Cl$^-$, we used the chloride-free medium for most of the experiments in this study in order to avoid the complications presented by the more labile $I_C$ in DMEM and to minimize the possible contribution of Cl$^-$ conductance changes on $I_C$.

Comparison of the Effects of cAMP on $I_C$ and ENaC Subunit Density in the Apical Membrane

Labeling of the apical membrane of the αβγ MDCK cells with the M2 antibody was shown to be saturable with an affinity in the nanomolar range. The $k_{0.5}$ ranged from 3 to 8 nM (Figs. 4 and 7), which was comparable to the $k_{0.5}$ of $\sim$3 nM reported by Firsov et al. (1996) for M2 antibody binding to Xenopus oocytes transfected with the same flagged ENaC subunits. Most of the $^{125}$I-labeled M2 antibody binding could be displaced competitively either by FLAG or unlabeled antibody, but 20–30% of the counts remained even in the presence of a 100-fold excess (by weight) of FLAG. These residual counts were attributed to nonspecific binding to the cells and the culture inserts, and in all cases the specific binding was calculated as the paired difference between binding in the presence and absence of FLAG. Specific binding of $^{125}$I-labeled M2, defined in the manner just described, was demonstrated to be directly proportional to the amiloride-sensitive $I_C$ in the αβγ MDCK cells (Fig. 6).

The effects of cAMP on the binding of M2 antibody to the apical membrane of αβγ MDCK cells were examined in two separate series of experiments in chloride-free medium. First, the results shown in Fig. 7 demonstrated that the specific binding of $^{125}$I-labeled M2 antibody was greater in cAMP-stimulated cells than in controls over a range of antibody concentrations with no change in the binding affinity. Furthermore, $B_{\text{max}}$, obtained by nonlinear fitting to the Michaelis-Menten equation, was 40% higher than in controls ($P < 0.001$).

Second, in the experiments summarized by Table II, $I_C$ measurements and binding assays were conducted in trays of cultured cells on inserts plated at the same time and treated in the same manner. The binding assays in this series of experiments were performed using a single concentration (1.7 nM) of $^{125}$I-labeled M2 antibody. The specific surface binding of radioligand was significantly higher by 86 ± 19% in αβγ MDCK cells treated with cAMP compared with untreated controls (Table II). Extrapolation of these binding values obtained with 1.7 nM M2 antibody gives $B_{\text{max}}$ values comparable to the $B_{\text{max}}$ values obtained from the previous saturation binding experiments (Table II). In the parallel electrophysiological experiments, cAMP stimulation also significantly increased the amiloride-sensitive $I_C$ by 61 ± 10% (Table II). The difference between the fractional increases in cAMP-stimulated $I_C$ and M2 antibody binding (−25 ± 21%) was not statistically significant. Cut another way, the increases in the surface density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane and the amiloride-sensitive $I_C$ were proportional.

One might argue, however, that the increase in M2 Ab binding produced by cAMP treatment was due to some allosteric rearrangement of ENaC subunits, which were already present in the apical membrane, that made more FLAG binding sites accessible to the antibody. If this putative molecular rearrangement were accompanied by an increase in channel $P_o$, our results would not necessarily be inconsistent with other findings that PKA, the intermediate mediator of cAMP effects, can increase $P_o$ of Na$^+$ channels in planar lipid bilayers (Berdiev et al., 1996; Jovov et al., 1999) or detached membrane patches (Prat et al.,
However, there are no data in support of any molecular rearrangement. Furthermore, it seems unlikely that the number of sites newly exposed by cAMP action would be in direct proportion to the increase in AS-I_{sc}, or that there would be no change in the apparent affinity of binding, as we have found in our studies. For these reasons, we feel that our data are more easily explained by an increase in the surface density of ENaC channels.

Quantitative Comparison of the ENaC Subunit Density with Single Channel Characteristics

Because there is one FLAG epitope per ENaC subunit, the M2 binding data permit an estimate of the molar density of ENaC subunits in the apical membrane assuming one bound antibody per subunit as shown by Firsov et al. (1996). Thus, the B_{max} estimates from Fig. 7 and Table II give a range of 2.4–2.5 fmol of ENaC subunits/cm² of apical membrane in the control cells, and 3.4–4.5 fmol/cm² in the cAMP-treated cells. Based on a cell density of \(~2.5\times10^6\) cells/cm² in the confluent cultures, the subunit density would be on the order of 500–600 per cell under control conditions, and 800–1,100 per cell in the presence of cAMP.

The analysis presented in Table II shows that \(~0.22\) fmol of ENaC subunits are present per microampere of AS-I_{sc} both in the presence and absence of cAMP. Thus, \(~130\) subunits are present in the membrane for every microampere of AS-I_{sc}. This result can be compared with the number of subunits predicted from the single channel kinetic data obtained from patch clamp and fluctuation analyses. Ishikawa et al. (1998) obtained a single channel conductance of 4.7 pS when unmodified rat ENaC subunits were transfected into the same MDCK cell line used in our studies. This conductance agrees well with the range of 3.7–4.9 pS observed in a variety of epithelia possessing the highly selective Na⁺ channel (Garty and Palmer, 1997). Assuming an apical membrane voltage of \(~80\) mV under short-circuit conditions, a 4.7 pS conductance would predict a single channel current of 0.38 pA. Using the average \(P_o\) of 0.5 typically reported for the highly selective Na⁺ channel (Garty and Palmer, 1997), our estimate of 130 subunits/pA indicates that \(~25\) subunits are present in the membrane for each channel.

This estimate of the subunit number per channel exceeds that expected based on estimates of the channel composition. The majority of studies indicate that the channel is a heterotetrameric assembly of ENaC subunits, but stoichiometries involving up to nine subunits per channel have been proposed (Firsov et al., 1998; Kosari et al., 1998; Snyder et al., 1998). Although our calculated number of subunits per channel may be compromised by the accuracy of the \(B_{max}\) values and the assumptions made, Firsov et al. (1996) also found that the membrane density of ENaC subunits transfected into Xenopus oocytes exceeded that expected from the amiloride-sensitive current. There are two possible explanations for the apparent excess number of subunits. A fraction of the subunits in the membrane may be unassembled, i.e., not associated with a functional channel. Firsov et al. (1996) discounted this possibility as well as the presence of a pool of assembled but electrically silent channels in their oocyte expression studies based on the fact that the relationship between current and binding was linear and had a zero intercept. Although the Y-intercept of our correlation plot (Fig. 5) is not significantly different from zero, the error of this estimate cannot exclude the possibility that 20–30% of the specific binding was not associated with transporting channels.

An alternative explanation for the apparent excess of subunits is that the \(P_o\) of the channels is <0.5. Firsov et al. (1996) proposed that the ENaC channels expressed in oocytes had a \(P_o\) in the range of 0.004–0.014. In the present experiments, assuming a heterotetrameric stoichiometry, \(P_o\) would have to be <0.02 for the subunit density to be consistent with the macroscopic currents measured. As argued by Firsov et al. (1996), the long open and closed times that characterize ENaC channels make the determination of the number of channels in a patch difficult and bias the \(P_o\) determination to higher values. In their review of such studies, Garty and Palmer (1997) noted that the average \(P_o\) of 0.5 is misleading because individual measurements exhibit a bimodal distribution with the majority of the measurements either >0.7 or <0.3.

An interesting sidelight to this issue is raised by our binding results in DMEM, in which the AS-I_{sc} is calculated to be 10.3 \(\mu\)A/cm² (Table I), whereas \(B_{max}\) is 7.4 fmol/cm². The ratio of binding to AS-I_{sc} is thus \(~0.7\) fmol/\(\mu\)A or >400 subunits/pA, which is threefold higher than calculated above for experiments in chloride-free medium. In other words, it appears that in DMEM the number of electrically silent subunits is greater or the \(P_o\) lower than in the absence of chloride. However, these calculations should be regarded with caution for two reasons. First, the electrophysiological parameters were not measured in the same experiments as the binding for the experiments in DMEM. Second, we did not measure \(I_{sc}\) but rather \(V_T\) and \(R_T\) in the electrophysiological experiments, and thus we could compute only an “equivalent \(I_{sc}\)” \((V_T/R_T)\) under open circuit conditions (Table I). These values would be expected to underestimate the true \(I_{sc}\), but certainly not by an amount sufficient to explain a threefold higher estimate of the subunits per \(\mu\)A. Thus, the possibility that the channel density per \(\mu\)A is greater in the presence of chloride is deserving of examination in further studies.
Conclusions

The results summarized above lead us to conclude that increases Na\(^+\) transport produced by cAMP are due to a proportional increase in the number of ENaC channels in the apical membrane, which is in agreement with the previous studies using less quantitative methods of determining the ENaC surface density as described in the introduction (Marunaka and Eaton, 1991; Kleyman et al., 1994; Snyder, 2000). Thus, our findings support the view that membrane trafficking is responsible for the regulation of ENaC activity that is mediated by cAMP.

Vesicular trafficking as a mechanism of ENaC regulation has received recent support from observations that all ENaC is present in cytoplasmic vesicles in subapical membrane region and that trafficking events are stimulated by cAMP. Using confocal and electron microscopy in immunohistochemical studies of the rat kidney, Hager et al. (2001) have shown that all three ENaC subunits are present in the apical membrane and cytoplasmic membrane vesicles of principal cells in the cortical collecting duct, and that cytoplasmic αENaC was found exclusively in the apical membrane region, where it colocalized with the aquaporin-2 water channel. Butterworth et al. (2001) used a membrane-specific fluorescent probe and confocal microscopy in A6 cells to show that elevation of intracellular cAMP increases the rate of endo- and exocytosis, with the increase exocytosis dominating.

Other studies have shown that the half-life of ENaC channels in the apical membrane is on the order of one to several hours (Weisz et al., 2000; Rotin et al., 2001), implying that ultimately ENaC activity must be maintained by trafficking. The pulse-chase experiments of Weisz et al. (2000) have also shown that aldosterone and ADH increase the membrane surface expression of βENaC in A6 cells, but not that of α- or γENaC, which contrasts with the apical localization of αENaC in the experiments of Hager et al. (2001). Our results, however, do not support the view that trafficking of a single subunit is responsible for cAMP regulation of ENaC because they show an increase in total (α, β, and γ) ENaC in the apical membrane. As proposed by Firsov et al. (1996), it seems more likely that a preassembled complex of all three ENaC subunits is trafficked to the apical membrane.
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