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ABSTRACT

The background of this research was the need for a blended learning-based Elchotectim model for PSTE students to develop a lesson plan in mathematics learning. The purpose of this study was to develop a lesson plan using a blended learning-based Elchotectim for PSTE students in mathematics learning. This research is development research using the Plomp method. This research procedure consists of a preliminary research stage, a prototype stage, and an assessment stage. The results of this study show that the final score of expert validation, which is 95.29. This study concluded that the lesson plan using the Elchotectim model based on blended learning for PSTE students in mathematics learning was valid. This research implies that it can become a reference for the application of blended learning-based Elchotectim for students.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lecturers have a significant role in achieving university learning goals [1-2]. Lecturers must be able to achieve learning objectives by creating a learning process following student characteristics. This learning process requires learning innovation from lecturers.

Learning innovation can be done by adding new elements to conventional learning or creating a new learning process. This learning innovation may align the concept of learning material with the environmental conditions of students [3-4]. This learning innovation is necessary because the University has a big responsibility for the competence of its graduates [5-6]. Global competition requires universities to produce graduates with knowledge and skills [7]. Therefore, there is a need for learning innovations carried out by lecturers, including lecturers in the primary school teacher education department.

The department of primary school teacher education produces students to become primary school teachers [8]. The department of primary school teacher education develops students to have four main competencies, namely pedagogical competence, personality competence, professional competence, and social competence. Pedagogical competence is related to the learning process, which includes planning, implementing, and evaluating the learning [9]. Personality competence is related to the reflection of a teacher's personality, such as dignity, stability, maturity, and wisdom [10]. Professional competence is related to the understanding of subject curriculum material, scientific structure, and methodology [11]. Meanwhile, social competence is related to a teacher's ability to interact with fellow teachers, education staff, parents, and the community [12]. These four competencies are necessary for the learning process in the department of primary school teacher education, including mathematics subjects.

Students of primary school teacher education are required to take mathematics courses [13-14] because mathematics is one of the compulsory learning in primary schools. Many paradigms state that learning mathematics is boring and scary. This is not caused by the difficulty of the material, but the concepts are not following the characteristics of primary school students. Therefore, students of primary school teacher education are required to take mathematics courses, which consist of basic concepts of mathematics in primary school,
learning mathematics in primary schools, low-grade mathematics education, and high-grade mathematics education.

However, the implementation of mathematics learning in elementary schools did not run optimally. From the final grades of elementary school teacher education students, only 40% of students get very satisfying grades. Besides, based on observation and documentation, there are no learning innovations that match the characteristics of students. Therefore, in previous studies, researchers developed a Blended Learning-based Elchotectim model for mathematics learning. This development follows the demands of a technology-based learning process. Also, Elchotectim learning is following the characteristics of students who tend to develop a project-based learning process.

The development of this model is accomplished in the form of a lesson plan. The lesson plan is significant in the learning process because it plays role as a guide for lecturers in achieving learning objectives. Therefore, this study aims to develop blended learning-based Elchotectim model for students of primary school teacher education.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research is development research using the Plomp method. This research procedure consists of a preliminary research stage, a prototype stage, and an assessment stage. The preliminary research stage contains needs analysis and literature review. Meanwhile, the prototype stage relates to designing a prototype, validation testing, and revision. The assessment stage consists of trials on the main scale. However, this research only conducted until the prototype stage due to limitations in the implementation of activities. The research instruments were the observation sheet and the validation sheet. In the preliminary phase, the data analysis explained descriptively the preliminary analysis related to needs analysis, content analysis, and literature review. The descriptive analysis provided conclusions for each analysis. The analysis results were used as an input for developing a lesson plan. Experts’ judgement was necessary to test the validity of this lesson plan. The experts give their opinion about the lesson plan.

In the validity, a Likert scale was used. The validity score is given by finding the average value with the following categories (Table 1).

| No | Value Scale         | Description      |
|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 1  | $80.00 < x \leq 100.00$ | Very high validity |
| 2  | $60.00 < x \leq 80.00$ | High validity    |
| 3  | $40.00 < x \leq 60.00$ | Medium validity  |
| 4  | $20.00 < x \leq 40.00$ | Low validity     |
| 5  | $10.00 < x \leq 20.00$ | Very low validity|

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Preliminary Research Stage

Needs analysis aims to critically determine the problem, to find information and appropriate problem-solving solutions. A needs analysis was carried out by collecting information through observation and interviews with mathematics lecturers in the primary school teacher education department. There is no lesson plan with a blended learning-based Elchotectim model for students of primary school teacher education. Therefore, it is necessary to develop this lesson plan.

This development is also supported by the modern learning concept, which demands a technology-based learning process to develop knowledge and skills. This skill development can work through maximizing the project-based learning system so that the technology-based learning model can operate together with the project-based learning process.

3.2. Prototype Stage

The prototype stage consists of designing a prototype, testing validation, and revising it. The lesson plan design consists of the structure of the subject's identity, the formulation of indicators and learning objectives, the materials of learning, the selection of learning approaches and models, the activities of learning, the selection of learning resources, and the assessment of learning. After that, there is a process of development and validation by experts. The validation was carried out by three experts. The results can be seen in the following table:
Table 2. The Result of Validation

| No | Assessment Points | Assessment 1 | Assessment 2 |
|----|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
|    |                   | Validator 1  | Validator 2  |
|    |                   | Validator 1  | Validator 2  |
| A. SUBJECT'S IDENTITY |            |              |              |
| 1  | Include the name of the university | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2  | Include the subjects | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3  | Include the class | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 4  | Include the semester | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5  | Include the general instructional objectives | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6  | Include the specific instructional objectives | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 7  | Include the indicators / objectives | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8  | Include the allocation of time/number of meetings | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 9  | The effectiveness of the time allocated to achieve the goal | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10 | The efficiency of the allocated time | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| B. THE FORMULATION OF INDICATORS AND LEARNING OBJECTIVES |            |              |              |
| 11 | The description of competency achievement indicators refers to specific instructional objectives | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12 | The description of learning objectives refers to competency achievement indicators | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 13 | Using observable operational verbs | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | Linkage and integration of basic competencies, achievement indicators, and learning objectives | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| C. THE MATERIALS OF LEARNING |            |              |              |
| 15 | The suitability of the learning material presented with the learning objectives | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 16 | Pay attention to differences in student ability levels | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 17 | Oriented to student learning needs | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| D. THE SELECTION OF LEARNING APPROACHES AND MODELS |            |              |              |
| 18 | The suitability of the learning approach with the learning objectives | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 19 | The suitability of the learning approach with the learning material | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
|    | Assessment Indicators: Suitable for Student Characteristics |            |              |              |
| 20 | The suitability of the learning approach with student characteristics | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 21 | Empowerment of students in learning activities | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| E. ACTIVITIES OF LEARNING |            |              |              |
| 22 | The accuracy of apperception and motivation in preliminary activities | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| 23 | The accuracy of the Project on core activities | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 24 | The accuracy of drawing conclusions, reflections, assessments, and feedback on closing activities | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
|    | Assessment Indicators: suitable for learning with a blended learning approach |            |              |              |
| 25 | The suitability of the learning steps in the blended learning approach | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 26 | Provide opportunities for students to think critically and systematically | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| F. THE SELECTION OF LEARNING RESOURCES |            |              |              |
| 27 | The suitability of learning resources to the achievement of learning objectives | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
|    | Assessment Indicators: Suitability of Learning Resources with Learning Objectives |            |              |              |
|    | Assessment Indicators: Suitability of Learning Resources with Learning Objectives |            |              |              |
### Learning Materials

|   | The suitability of learning resources with learning materials |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 28 |                                                                 |

**Assessment Indicators: Suitability of Learning Resources with Student Characteristics**

|   | The suitability of Learning Resources with student characteristics |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 29 |                                                                     |

### G. THE ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING

|   | The suitability of the selection of assessment techniques with learning objectives |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 30 |                                                                                   |

|   | The suitability of the selection of assessment items with learning objectives |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31 |                                                                                  |

|   | Description of assessment instruments with learning objectives |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 32 |                                                                  |

**Assessment Indicators: Occurrence and Accuracy of Assessment Procedures**

|   | Existence and accuracy of assessment procedures |
|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| 33 |                                                  |

|   | The occurrence of instruments, answer keys and scoring rubrics |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 34 |                                                                  |

| Total | 111 | 113 | 158 | 166 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Score | 65,29 | 66,47 | 92,94 | 97,64 |

| Average Score | 65,29 | 95,29 |
| Category       | High Validity | Very High Validity |
From the table above, validation 1 gets a score of 65.29 with the high validity category. Second revision and validation were necessary to improve the implementation of the lesson plan. Thus, the final score reached 95.29, with a very high validity category. In conclusion, the lesson plan with blended learning-based Elchotectim model is valid and feasible to use.

The lesson plan is crucial in the learning process [15]. A lesson plan can be a guide for lecturers in achieving learning goals. The lesson plan with the Elchotectim model based on blended learning is valid to be used. The lesson plan is developed with a clear identity. This is following the statement that the lesson plan must have a clear subject identity [16]. The lesson plan is also developed with indicators and learning objectives. This is in line with the statement that the learning plan must have indicators and measurable learning objectives [17]. The lesson plan is also developed with clear and precise learning materials. This is following the statement that developing a lesson plan must have clear learning material [18-19]. This lesson plan is also developed through an appropriate blended learning approach. This is in line with a statement that the lesson plan must have a clear learning approach [20-21].

Furthermore, the lesson plan is developed with a clear Elchotectim model of learning activities. This is following the statement that the lesson plan developed must have clear learning activity [22-23]. The lesson plan is also developed by selecting clear learning resources. This is following the statement that a lesson plan must have clear learning resources [24-25]. Therefore, the lesson plan with blended learning-based Elchotectim model for students of primary school teacher education is declared valid.

4. CONCLUSION

The results of this study show that the final score of expert validation is 95.29. This study concludes that the lesson plan for a blended learning-based Elchotectim model for students of primary school teacher education in mathematics learning is declared valid. Based on results of research and discussion, the researcher is concludes several things, they are.
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