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Abstract

Background

Epigenetic regulation is necessary for maintaining gene expression patterns in multicellular organisms. The Polycomb Group (PcG) proteins form several complexes with important and deeply conserved epigenetic functions in both the plant and animal kingdoms. One such complex, the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), is critical to many developmental processes in plants including the regulation of major developmental transitions. In addition, PRC2 restricts the expression domain of various transcription factor families in Arabidopsis, including the class I KNOX genes and several of the ABCE class MADS box genes. While the functions of these transcription factors are known to be deeply conserved, whether or not their regulation by PRC2 is similarly conserved remains an open question.

Results

Here we use virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) to characterize the function of the PRC2 complex in lateral organ development of *Aquilegia x coerulea* ‘Origami’, a member of the lower eudicot order Ranunculales. Leaves with PRC2 down-regulation displayed a range of phenotypes including ruffled or curled laminae, additional lobing, and an increased frequency of higher order branching. Sepals and petals were also affected, being narrowed, distorted, or, in the case of the sepals, exhibiting partial homeotic transformation. Many of the petal limbs also had a particularly intense yellow coloration due to an accumulation of carotenoid pigments. We show that the *A. x coerulea* floral MADS box genes *AGAMOUS1* (*AqAG1*), *APETALA3*-3 (*AqAP3-3*) and *SEPALLATA3* (*AqSEP3*) are up-regulated in many tissues, while expression of the class I KNOX genes and several candidate genes involved in carotenoid production or degradation are largely unaffected.
Conclusions

PRC2 targeting of several floral MADS box genes may be conserved in dicots, but other known targets do not appear to be. In the case of the type I KNOX genes, this may reflect a regulatory shift associated with the evolution of compound leaves.
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Background

Maintenance of proper gene expression in differentiated cells is essential for the development of multicellular organisms and epigenetic regulation is an important player in this process. Reviewed in: [1-3]. One family of proteins with deeply conserved functions in epigenetic regulation is the Polycomb Group (PcG). The PcG was first discovered in Drosophila melanogaster as repressors of the HOX genes [4]. Several PcG complexes exist in both plants and animals, each with distinct functions in epigenetic silencing. Reviewed in: [5,6]. However, only the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) has been well characterized in multiple plant models. Reviewed in: [5,7]. The main function of the PRC2 complex is trimethylation of lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27), a histone modification known to suppress gene expression [8]. The PRC2 contains four core proteins; the histone methyltransferase Enhancer of Zeste (E(z)), and three other proteins thought to enhance PRC2 binding to nucleosome [9]. These include Suppressor of Zeste 12 (Su(z)12) and Extra Sex Combs (ESC), known respectively as EMBRYONIC FLOWER 2 (EMF2) and FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT ENDOSPERM (FIE) in plants, and Multi-Copy Suppressor of IRA 1 (MSI1) Reviewed in: [10]. The E(z) lineage in plants has experienced an ancient duplication such that most angiosperms have at least two paralogs, known as CURLY LEAF (CLF) and SWINGER (SWN) [11]. Many plant species have additional duplications in the core PRC2 loci that allow them to form several PRC2 complexes often with distinct developmental functions [12,13].

PRC2 is involved in a number of important developmental transitions. In the plant model system A. thaliana, these functions include endosperm development, early repression of flowering to allow proper vegetative development, the eventual transition to flowering, and flower organogenesis [14-17]. In grasses, the PRC2 complex plays roles in floral induction (rice and barley), flower development (rice), suppressing cell divisions in the unfertilized ovule (rice), and endosperm development (rice and maize) [12,18,19]. In the moss model Physcomitrella patens, PRC2-dependent remodeling appear to be required for the switch from gametophyte to sporophyte development [20,21].

In addition to its role in developmental transitions, PRC2 has been suggested to function in lateral organ development in A. thaliana. In fact, the first description of a plant PRC2 function was discovered with the characterization of the clf mutant in A. thaliana [17]. The clf plants had severely curled leaves, smaller narrower sepals and petals, and partial homeotic transformations of sepals and petals towards carpel and stamen identity, respectively. Two MADS box genes, the C class member AGAMOUS (AG) and the B class representative APETALA3 (AP3) were shown to be over-expressed in clf mutants, suggesting that the PRC2
complex was required for stable repression of these genes [17]. This was particularly interesting because MADS box genes regulate homeotic floral organ identity in plants somewhat analogously to the way HOX genes regulate segment identity in animals [22-25]. Further studies have subsequently shown that the E class MADS box gene SEPALLATA3 (SEP3) is similarly up-regulated in clf mutants [26]. PRC2 has also been shown to regulate the expression of the class I KNOX genes during vegetative development. The class I KNOX genes are a family of homeobox domain-containing loci in plants that have conserved roles in promoting pluripotency in the shoot apical meristem and in compound leaf development [27,28]. Katz et al [29] found that in addition to the phenotypes reported in clf mutant plants, FIE cosuppressed plants also had loss of apical dominance and fasciated stems, rolled leaves with varying degrees of serration, loss of phyllotaxy in the inflorescence, and many problems with ovary and ovule development. They further demonstrated that several class I KNOX genes, including BREVIPEDICELLUS (BP), KNOTTED-LIKE FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA 2 (KNAT2), and SHOOTMERISTEMLESS (STM), were over-expressed in rosette leaves of FIE silenced plants. In clf mutants, STM and KNAT2 were over-expressed but BP was not, possibly because the CLF paralog SWN was acting redundantly. The class I KNOX genes MOSS KNOTTED1-LIKE 2 and 5 (MKN2 and MKN5) were also shown to be over-expressed in PpFIE mutant gametophytes [21, 30], suggesting that PRC2 targeting of the class I KNOX genes may be deeply conserved.

While the functions of the floral ABC class and type I KNOX genes are thought to be conserved across angiosperms, comparative studies of their regulation have largely focused on upstream transcription factors, such as LEAFY or ARP family members [31, 32]. In order to begin addressing the question of whether PRC2-targeting interactions are similarly conserved, we have examined the functions of PRC2 members in lateral organ development of the emerging model system Aquilegia. The genus Aquilegia is a member of an early diverging lineage of the eudicotyledonous flowering plants, the Ranunculales, that arose before the radiation of the core eudicots [33]. It therefore can be used as a rough phylogenetic midpoint between A. thaliana and model systems in the grasses [34]. Additionally, many ecological, evolutionary and genetic studies have been conducted in Aquilegia over the past 50 years. These have taken advantage of its small genome (n=7, approximately 300 Mbp) as well as a number of more recent genomic tools, including the fully sequenced Aquilegia x coerulea genome [http://www.phytozome.net/search.php?method=Org_Acoerulea] Reviewed in: [33, 35]. The reverse genetic tool virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) has been optimized in several species of Aquilegia [36] for both leaf and floral development [37-40]. Previously we examined the evolution and expression of the PRC2 family in Aquilegia [41] and found that the genome contains a simple complement of PRC2 homologs: one copy each of the two plant E(z) homologs, AqCLF and AqSWN; an ESC homolog, AqFIE; a Su(z)12 homolog, AqEMF2; and a copy of MSI1, AqMSI1. We initially assessed gene expression throughout Aquilegia vulgaris development due to its strong vernalization dependency and found no obvious tissue or stage specialization. Furthermore, the ancient paralogs, AqCLF and AqSWN, are not imprinted in Aquilegia endosperm as is seen in other plant species [19, 41].

In the current study we have used VIGS to knock down the expression of AqFIE [Genbank: JN944599] and AqEMF2 [Genbank: JN944598] in unvernalized and vernalized Aquilegia coerulea ‘Origami’ plants using the ANTHOCYANIN SYNTHASE (AqANS) as a marker gene. Due to limitations of the VIGS approach, it is not possible to assess many life cycle transitions, most notably flowering time, but lateral organ development can still serve as a useful model for PRC2 function. We find that PRC2 plays a role in leaf and floral organ
development in *A. x coerulea*, particularly via down-regulation of the floral MADS box genes. This has allowed us to identify PRC2 targets that appear to be conserved between Arabidopsis and *Aquilegia* as well as some novel PRC2-regulated pathways.

**Methods**

**Virus-induced gene silencing**

The *Aquilegia* VIGS protocol was preformed as described previously [36]. TRV2-*AqCLF-AqANS*, TRV2-*AqSWN-AqANS*, TRV2-*AqFIE-AqANS* and TRV2-*AqEMF2-AqANS* constructs were prepared by PCR amplifying approximately 300 bp regions of each gene using primers that added *EcoR*1 and *XbaI* restriction sites to the 5’ and 3’ ends of the PRC products (see Additional file 1). The PCR products were then purified and cloned into the TRV2-*AqANS* construct [36] and electroporated into *Agrobacterium* strain GV101. *A. x coerulea* seedlings were grown to approximately the 4 to 6 leaf stage and then either treated as described in Gould and Kramer [36] for unvernalized samples or as described in Sharma and Kramer [37] for plants that had been vernalized for approximately 4 weeks at 4°C [36, 37]. The TRV2-*AqANS* and TRV2-*AqFIE-AqANS* constructs were each used to treat approximately 400 plants over 4 rounds of VIGS. Approximately 250 of these plants were VIGS treated before vernalization and approximately 150 of these plants were treated after vernalization. The TRV2-*AqEMF2-AqANS* construct was used to treat approximately 100 plants; roughly 50 of these plants were treated before vernalization and 50 were treated after vernalization. Leaves, petals, and sepals showing *AqANS* silencing were photographed, collected, and stored at -80°C for RNA analysis.

**RT-PCR**

RNA was extracted from control (*AqANS* silenced) and experimental (*AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* VIGS-treated) tissue. One half of the *AqANS* silenced (control) leaves were from separate unvernalized plants (C1 and C2) and half were from separate vernalized plants (C3 and C4). Five of the TRV2-*AqFIE-AqANS* treated leaves were from separate unvernalized plants (F2, F4, F5, F7, and F8) while three were collected from separate vernalized plants (F1, F3, and F6). All of the TRV2-*AqEMF2-AqANS* leaves were collected from separate vernalized plants (E1-E4). Sample numbers do not indicate order of leaf appearance but were collected at roughly the same stages of development. We selected a variety of observed phenotypes for each set of samples. For leaves, the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was used. For petals and sepals RNA was extracted using the Pure-Link Plant RNA Reagent small scale RNA isolation protocol (Ambion, Austin, TX). RNA was treated with Turbo DNase (Ambion, Austin, TX) and cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and oligo (dT) primers. cDNA was diluted 1:5 prior to use.

Amplification was performed using AccuStart PCR SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences Inc, Gaithersburg, MD). The amplification program began with 1 minute activation step at 94°C, followed by a 20 second denaturing step at 94°C, a 15 second annealing step at 55°C, and a 15 second extension at 72°C, repeated for 30 cycles. This cycle number was chosen for optimal detection of *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2*, which are expressed at relatively low levels in mature organs, especially compared to the high expression levels of *AqIPP2*. All primers used are listed in Additional file 1. Amplification of *ISOPENTYL*
**PYROPHOSPHATE: DIMETHYLALLYL PYROPHOSPHATE ISOMERASE2 (AqIPP2)** was used as a positive control [38, 42]. To test for expression of **APETALA3-1** (**AqAP3-1**), **APETALA3-2** (**AqAP3-2**), **APETALA3-3** (**AqAP3-3**), and **FUL-like-1** (**AqFL1**) in VIGS-treated leaves, cDNA from several leaves were pooled together prior to amplification. The control pool consisted of **AqANS**-silenced control leaves C1-4, the **AqFIE** VIGS-treated pool consisted of **AqFIE** leaves F3-6, and the **AqEMF2** VIGS-treated pool consisted of **AqEMF2** leaves E1-4.

**qRT-PCR**

cDNA was prepared from VIGS-treated tissue as described above. For the carpel sample, carpels were collected from 3 anthesis stage wild type plants and pooled together. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and treated as described above. cDNA from VIGS-treated tissue was then pooled together and diluted 1:10. The control sepal pool consisted of **AqANS**-silenced control sepals C1-4, the control petal pool consisted of **AqANS**-silenced control petals C1-4, the **AqFIE** sepal pool consisted of **AqFIE** VIGS-treated sepals F2, 3, 5, and 6, the **AqFIE** petal pool consisted of **AqFIE** VIGS-treated petals F2, 3, 5, and 6, the **AqEMF2** sepal pool consisted of **AqEMF2** VIGS-treated sepals E2, 3, and 4s, and the **AqEMF2** petal pool consisted of **AqEMF2** VIGS-treated petals E1 and E2. qRT-PCR was performed using PerfeCTa qPCR FastMix, Low ROX (Quant Biosciences Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) in the Stratagene Mx3005P QPCR system to study the relative expression of **AqAG1** and **AqAG2**. **AqIPP2** expression was used for value normalization. All primers are listed in Additional file 1.

**Microscopy**

Petals from wild type, **AqANS** VIGS-treated, and **AqEMF2** VIGS-treated plants were stored at −80 °C and then warmed to room temperature and mounted whole on glass slides in water. Cells were visualized in the Harvard Center for Biological Imaging on a Zeiss AxioImager Z2 microscope using trans-illumination with white light. Images were taken using a Zeiss AxioCam Mrc digital camera.

**Results**

We treated both unvernalized and vernalized plants with TRV2 constructs containing either **AqANS-AqFIE** or **AqANS-AqEMF2** fragments. TRV2-**AqANS** treated plants were used as controls throughout. Phenotypes of **AqFIE** and **AqEMF2** silenced plants were equivalent and will be discussed together. We also treated a small number of unvernalized plants with **AqANS-AqCLF** and **AqANS-AqSWN** VIGS constructs. Phenotypes from these plants were similar to those seen in **AqFIE** and **AqEMF2**, but were weaker (data not shown), most likely due to partial redundancy between **AqCLF** and **AqSWN**. Thus we chose to focus on **AqFIE** and **AqEMF2** VIGS-treated tissue. As is common for VIGS-treated plants, we recovered a range of phenotypes in a small percentage of VIGS-treated plants (roughly 10-15% of plants in each round) [36]. In the current experiment there is the added component that phenotypes are likely due to mis-expression of PRC2 target genes, and are therefore likely to have an added complexity due to ectopic expression of a potentially wide range of target loci.
Vegetative phenotypes

Wild type Aquilegia leaves are compound, typically bearing three leaflets that are themselves divided into two to three lobes (Fig. 1A). Although these leaflets are often relatively deeply lobed, they do not generally produce elongated, higher order petiolules within the leaflets. However, A. x coerulea does display heteroblasty over the course of its lifespan, varying leaf morphology as the individual progresses from the vegetative to the reproductive stage (Additional File 2). In late reproductive adult stages, higher order petiolules may be observed in which the central lobe of each leaflet becomes itself a separate leaflet borne its own petiolule (Additional File 2C). Using the terminology of Kim et al. 2003 [32], all of these leaf forms are non-peltately palmate in that the leaflets are not radially positioned around the terminus of the primary petiole.

Figure 1 Vegetative phenotypes of PRC2 VIGS-treated plants. A. AqANS-treated leaf (Control) with three lobed leaflets. First order petiolules are marked with asterisks. B-I. AqFIE-silenced leaves and leaflets (abbreviated aqfie). B. Entire leaf with highly branched leaflets. C-E. Each leaflet from the leaf shown in B with higher order petiolules marked with asterisks and reduced central lobe indicated with an arrow. Leaflets are arranged in clockwise order starting with the left lateral leaflet in B. F. Leaflet with curled laminae, increased branching (asterisks) and ectopic outgrowth on the adaxial lamina (white arrowhead). G. Leaflet with reduced lamina and narrow lobes that are deeply divided. H. Entire leaf showing increasing internal branching (asterisks) and curling. I. Entire leaf with deep lobes and aberrantly shaped laminae. J-M. AqEMF2-silenced leaves (abbreviated aqemf2). J. Entire leaf showing curled/ruffled laminae and deep lobing. K. Central leaflet from J exhibiting curled laminae, increased degree of lobing and serration. L. Entire leaf with internal branching (asterisks) and curled laminae. M. Leaflet with reduced central lobe (arrow). Scale bars: 1 cm.

We observed AqANS silencing in 10-15% of treated plants across the AqFIE- and AqEMF2-VIGS experiments. In addition to the AqANS-silencing, the leaves of these plants showed a complex set of phenotypes. The most consistently observed perturbation was curled or ruffled laminae that typically curled toward the abaxial surface (~10-12% of treated plants and, thus, the majority of silenced plants) (Fig. 1F, H, J-L). We also observed an increased frequency of higher order branching in which fully formed petiolules developed within the leaflet, creating as many as ten or twelve distinct leaflets rather than the usual three (Fig. 1B-F, H, L and Additional file 2E). While we have never observed such higher order branching in control leaves, either in the context of these experiments or others [40], we obtained 15 leaves from a total of 10 AqFIE- and AqEMF2-treated plants that exhibited increased branching. When quantified (Additional file 2E), the presence of higher order petiolules is significant at p<0.05 for unvernalized lateral leaflets but not significant for the other stages/leaflet types. However, it is obvious that there is much more branching variation in silenced leaflets than in controls. In many cases, the margins of the laminae had additional lobing relative to control leaves (observed in 10% of treated plants) (Fig. 1B-E, K) and, in a small number of cases, the central lobe of the terminal leaflet was severely reduced (seen in multiple leaves from 5 plants) (Fig. 1D, M). Laminar area was highly variable with some leaflets appearing to have expanded area (~25 plants) (Fig. 1F) while others seemed reduced (~8 plants) (Fig. 1G, I, M). In two plants, ectopic finger-like projections were observed on the adaxial surface of laminae (Fig. 1F), which was never observed in control leaflets.
Floral phenotypes

Wild type *A. x coerulea* flowers possess five organ types: sepals, petals, stamens, staminodia and carpels [39]. We have focused on the sepals and petals because they showed strong phenotypes in the silenced flowers. Wild type sepals are flat and ovate with an entire margin (Fig. 2A-B). The petals are notable for the presence of a long hollow nectar spur, which forms near the attachment point (Fig. 2A). This feature divides the organ into two regions, the proximal spur and the distal limb. Spurs in *A. x coerulea* are typically 5-6 cm in length and slightly curved. The limb region is relatively flat with a rounded, weakly lobed margin (Fig. 2C). In 25 flowers from vernalized *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2*-treated plants, we observed sepals that were narrower than wildtype organs and dramatically folded towards the adaxial surface (Fig. 2D, F, L, P). In severely affected flowers, petals were narrowed and stunted (10 flowers, Fig. 2D, G, Q) or exhibited sharply bent spurs (12 flowers, Fig. 2H-I, K, M, Q). In two *AqEMF2*-silenced flowers, the sepals exhibited chimeric petal identity including ectopic spur formation (Fig. 2M-N). Perhaps most surprising, many of the perianth organs had a definite yellow hue, with the petal limbs showing particularly intense yellow coloration (observed in at least one organ from 15 flowers) (Fig. 2E, I-M, O). Such coloration was not observed in *AqANS*-silenced control flowers (Fig. 2A-C). Examination of the *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2*-silenced organs under high magnification reveals that yellow pigment is deposited in plastids (Additional file 3A), consistent with carotenoids rather than the vacuole-based aurones that are produced in some *Aquilegia* species [43, 44].

**Figure 2 Floral phenotypes of PRC2 VIGS-treated plants.** A-C. *AqANS*-silenced control flower and perianth organs (Control). A. Entire flower. B. Entire sepal. C. Petal limb. D-J. *AqFIE*-silenced flowers and organs (abbreviated *aqfie*). D. Severely affected flower. E. Moderately affected flower. F. Narrow, folded sepal of flower in D. G. Narrow, stunted petal of flower in D. H-I. Petals with bent spurs from moderately affected flowers. J. Yellow limb of moderately affected petal. K-Q. *AqEMF2*-silenced flowers and organs (abbreviated *aqemf2*). K-L. Severely affected flowers. M-N. Sepal/petal chimeras from first whorl of flowers such as K. O. Yellow limb of second whorl petal from flower in K. P. Narrow, folded sepal from flower in L. Q. Narrow, bent petal from flower in L. Scale bars: 1 cm.

Assessment of *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* down-regulation

Due to limited RNA availability, we used standard RT-PCR to assess target gene down-regulation in leaves, sepals and petals compared to their expression in *AqANS* silenced control tissue. Even in *AqANS* silenced (control) tissue, *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* are expressed at low levels relative to the loading control *AqIPP2*. The experimental samples (F1-8 and E1-4) were selected to represent all of the observed phenotypes and were derived from separate plants. This analysis demonstrated that in the TRV2-*AqFIE-AqANS* treated plants, *AqFIE* was strongly down-regulated, being undetectable in a number of samples (Fig. 3A and Fig. 4). Likewise, *AqEMF2* expression is reduced to undetectable levels in most tested *AqEMF2*-silenced samples (Fig. 3A and Fig. 4). We also tested for *AqEMF2* in *AqFIE*-treated plants and vice versa, and found that *AqEMF2* levels are often reduced in *AqFIE*-treated leaves, although the reciprocal is generally not true (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, we tested the other PRC2-complex members, *AqCLF* and *AqSWN*, and found no consistent evidence of their down-regulation in either type of silenced tissue (Additional file 4A).

**Figure 3 RT-PCR expression data in PRC2 VIGS-treated leaves.** *AqIPP2* was used as a loading control for all reactions. Note that the expression of *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* are low...
relative to the expression of AqIPP2. A. Expression of AqFIE and AqEMF2 in AqANS-silenced control leaves (C1-C4), and AqFIE-silenced (F1-F8) and AqEMF3-silenced (E1-E4) leaves. AqFIE is clearly down-regulated in AqFIE-silenced tissue and, likewise, AqEMF2 is down-regulated in AqEMF2-silenced tissue. Interestingly, AqEMF2 also appears to be down-regulated in AqFIE-treated leaves but AqFIE expression is unaffected in AqEMF2-treated leaves. B. Expression of several floral organ identity genes in AqANS-silenced control leaves (C1-C4), and AqFIE-silenced (F1-F8) and AqEMF3-silenced (E1-E4) leaves. In several of the AqFIE down-regulated leaves and all of the AqEMF2 down-regulated leaves, AqAG1 is over-expressed compared to AqANS-silenced control leaves. While the expression of the SEPALLATA homologs is variable in both control and experimental leaves, AqSEP3 may be up-regulated in some of the AqFIE- and all of the AqEMF2-silenced leaves. C. Expression of several of the A. x coerulea class I KNOX genes in AqANS-silenced control leaves (C1-C4), and AqFIE-silenced (F1-F8) and AqEMF3-silenced (E1-E4) leaves. Expression of these genes is unaffected in the mature AqFIE- and AqEMF2-silenced leaves.

Assessment of candidate gene expression

We tested for ectopic expression of a wide panel of potential target genes, with a focus on the floral organ identity loci and type I KNOX homologs (Fig. 3, Fig. 5, and Additional file 4B). We again compared the expression of these genes to expression in AqANS-silenced control tissue. One of the two A. x coerulea the C class MADS box genes, AGAMOUS 1 (AqAG1) (see Additional file 1 for all gene identification numbers), is consistently up-regulated in silenced leaves and floral organs. The second AGAMOUS homologs, AGAMOUS2 (AqAG2) may also be slightly up-regulated in some of the leaves, although AqAG2 shows basal expression in control floral organs (Figs. 3 and 5). The three A. x coerulea SEPALLATA paralogs (AqSEP1, AqSEP2, and AqSEP3) are somewhat difficult to assess because they are variably expressed in control leaves but AqSEP3 in particular seems to be up-regulated in AqEMF2-silenced leaves (Fig. 3B). These genes were not assessed in floral organs because they are already broadly expressed in these tissues. A. x coerulea also has three paralogs of the B class MADS box gene, APETALA3 (AqAP3-1, AqAP3-2, and AqAP3-3). The petal-specific AqAP3-3 locus is highly up-regulated in AqEMF2-silenced sepals, which also showed chimeric sepal/petal identity in several cases (Fig. 5A). Additionally two of the three AP3 paralogs are moderately up-regulated in PRC2 VIGS-treated leaves (Additional file 4B), but the expression of AqAP3-1 and AqAP3-2 is unaffected in mature sepals and petals (Fig. 5A and B). We also looked at the expression of FUL-like 1 (AqFLL1), which is normally expressed in early leaves, but no ectopic expression was detected (Fig. 5 and Additional file 4B).
regulated in all *AqFIE*- and *AqEMF2*-treated organs compared to the controls. *AqAP3-3* also appears to be up-regulated in some of the sepals, particularly in *AqEMF2* down-regulated first whorl organs, several of which were in fact sepal/petal chimeras (s/p). Expression of *AqAP3-2* and *AqFL1* is variable in mature sepals and is difficult to assess. *AqAG2* and *AqAP3-1* expression does not appear to be affected in silenced tissue. B. Expression of several floral organ identity genes in *AqANS*-silenced control petals (C1-C4), and *AqFIE*- (F2-F6) and *AqEMF2*- (E1-E4s/p) VIGS-treated petals. *AqAG1* is up-regulated in all *AqFIE*- and *AqEMF2*-treated tissue compared to the controls. C and D. Quantitative Real Time PCR analysis of expression of *AqAG1* and *AqAG2* in *AqFIE*, *AqEMF2*, and *AqANS* control silenced tissue and wild type carpels. cDNA from two to four samples was pooled together prior to analysis. For each data point, three technical replicates were analyzed. *AqIPP2* expression was used for normalization. C. Average fold change in the expression of *AqAG1* in *AqFIE*, *AqEMF2*, and *AqANS* control silenced tissue normalized to wild type carpels with SD error bars. D. Average fold change in the expression of *AqAG2* in *AqFIE*, *AqEMF2*, and *AqANS* control silenced tissue normalized to wild type carpels with SD error bars.

Next, we tested for up-regulation of three of the five *A. x coerulea* class I KNOX genes. No significant ectopic KNOX gene expression could be detected in the leaves. Weak expression of the *SHOOTMERISTEMLESS 2* (*AqSTM2*) and *KNOTTED* (*AqKN*) homologs is detected in *AqEMF2*-silenced leaves (Fig 3C), however, we also occasionally detected comparable expression of these genes in control (*AqANS*-silenced) leaves, so it is difficult to ascribe significance to this expression. Given the lack of clear up-regulation in leaves and due to a limited amount of floral RNA, class I KNOX gene expression was not tested in the floral organs.

Although *AqAG1* is consistently over-expressed in *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* silenced sepals and petals, we never saw any evidence of carpel identity in these organs. We therefore pooled cDNA from several *AqANS*- (control), *AqFIE*-, and *AqEMF2*-treated petals and sepals and used qRT-PCR to further examined the expression of *AqAG1* and *AqAG2* in these organs as well as in wild type carpels (Fig. 5C and D). We found that while *AqAG1* was clearly up-regulated in *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* silenced organs compared to the controls, *AqAG1* expression was still much lower than in wild type carpels (about 0.05 to 0.2 fold). In contrast, *AqAG2* expression was similar in control and PRC2 silenced tissue, but much lower than in wild type carpels.

Lastly, in an effort to investigate the carotenoid production, we identified the likely *A. x coerulea* homologs of a range of components of the carotenoid pathway in *A. thaliana*, including enzymes involved in production (*PHYTOENE SYNTHASE* (*PSY*)) and *CAROTENOID ISOMERASE* (*CRTISO*) and breakdown (*CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYGENASE 4* (*CCD4*) and 9-*CIS-EPOXYCAROTENOID DIOXYGENASE 3* (*NCED3*)) of carotenoids [45]. *A. x coerulea* has two copies *CCD4* (*AqCCD4* and *AqCCD4L*) and two genes that are closely related to *A. thaliana* *PSY* (*AqPSYL1* and *AqPSYL2*). Previous studies in *A. thaliana* have indicated that both *CRTISO* and *NCED3* are positively epigenetically regulated by other SET domain containing proteins so we were particularly interested in the expression of these genes in *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* down-regulated tissue [46, 47]. We used RT-PCR to examine the expression of these six genes in *AqANS*- (control), *AqFIE*-, and *AqEMF2*-treated petals (Additional file 3B). Given the observed phenotypes, we might expect the expression of *AqPSYL1*, *AqPSYL2*, or *AqCRTISO* to be up-regulated or *AqCCD4*, *AqCCD4L*, or *AqNCED3* to be down-regulated. Unfortunately, no clear patterns are apparent from these reactions.
Discussion

*AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* VIGS-treated plants displayed a range of lateral organ phenotypes. Silenced leaves often had ruffled or curled lamina, additional lobing, and an increased frequency of higher order branching. The perianth organs were generally narrower than wild type organs. Sepals were also curled and petal were stunted or had bent spurs, while petal limbs also had a particularly intense yellow coloration seemingly due to an accumulation of carotenoid pigments in these cells. Many of the phenotypes we observed are similar to those seen in *clf* mutants and *FIE* cosuppressed *A. thaliana*, including curled leaves and narrow perianth organs [17, 29]. Unlike *clf* mutants and *AG* over-expressers in *A. thaliana*, dramatic transformation towards carpel identity was not observed in the *AqFIE-* and *AqEMF2*-treated sepal or petals. However, the level of *AqAG1* expression in these organs was much less than what is seen in wild type *Aquilegia* carpels. Interestingly, the distinct folded morphology of the sepal may suggest slight transformation towards carpel identity as silenced leaves were folded towards the abaxial surface while the sepals were dramatically folded towards the adaxial surface, which is similar to the folding pattern of the *Aquilegia* carpel [48].

It is interesting to note that in *AqFIE* silenced leaves, *AqEMF2* is also down-regulated. The reverse is not true in *AqEMF2* silenced leaves, and *AqEMF2* expression is not affected in *AqFIE* silenced floral organs. This result suggests that PRC2 may be directly or indirectly regulating *AqEMF2* expression in *A. x coerulea* leaves, which could account for the generally more severe phenotypes observed in *AqFIE* silenced leaves compared to *AqEMF2* silenced leaves. *AqEMF2* is the only member of the complex that appears to be PRC2-regulated as the expression of *AqCLF* and *AqSWN* is not affected in PRC2 down-regulated leaves. In general, the potential for this type of cross-regulation is relatively unexplored in *A. thaliana* and, therefore, bears further study.

In our analysis of candidate target genes, we found that *AqAG1* is often ectopically expressed in PRC2 down-regulated tissue. *AqAP3-3* and *AqSEP3* are also up-regulated in some organs, but expression of the class I KNOX genes and several candidate genes involved in carotenoid production or degradation seem largely unaffected. Mutations in *AG* and *SEP3* are known to suppress the curled leaf phenotype in *clf* mutant plants while over-expression of these MADS box genes, which themselves function together in a complex [49], is thought to be the cause of the curled leaf phenotype [26]. It is, therefore, possible that over-expression of *AqAG1* and *AqSEP3* is similarly responsible for many of the observed phenotypes in *AqFIE* and *AqEMF2* silenced leaves. These findings lead us to conclude that PRC2-based regulation of *AG* and *SEP3* homologs is deeply conserved in eudicots. It has recently been shown that several chromatin remodeling factors associate with MADS complexes and one model is that an important function of MADS domain complexes may be to recruit chromatin remodeling complexes to target loci in order to alter transcription of these genes and direct organ development [50, 51]. For example, RELATIVE OF EARLY FLOWERING 6 (REF6) was enriched in protein complexes that were isolated via immunoprecipitation using tagged ABCE class MADS box proteins [50]. REF6 has been shown to specifically demethylate H3K27me3, the histone modification deposited by PRC2 [52]. Activation of *SEP3* by *APETALAI 1 (API)* in *A. thaliana* results in the reduction of H3K27me3 at the *SEP3* promoter, suggesting that *API* may recruit *REF6* to the *SEP3* promoter in order to help induce *SEP3* gene function [50]. Our data suggests that this key dependency on epigenetic regulation for the switch from vegetative to floral development may be important outside of *A. thaliana*. There are some complications, however. Of the two *A. x coerulea AG* homologs, only one, *AqAG1*, is strongly regulated by PRC2. Perhaps consistent with this observation, sequencing
of the Aquilegia genome (http://www.phytozome.net/search.php?method=Org_Acoerulea) reveals that AqAG1 does contain the large regulatory second intron that is common to AG homologs [53, 54] while AqAG2’s second intron is much smaller. These results suggest that PRC2 regulation can be directed in a paralog-specific fashion and may even play some role in the distinct expression patterns observed among these gene copies [39].

The class I KNOX genes are directly or indirectly regulated by PRC2 in both A. thaliana and Physcomitrella, however, we detected little or no increase in KNOX gene expression in our AqFIE and AqEMF2 silenced leaves. This is somewhat surprising because of the higher order branching that we observed in silenced leaves, including several of the tested RNA samples. The class I KNOX genes are thought to play a role in compound leaf development in a number of species. In many, but not all, compound leafed taxa where KNOX gene expression has been studied, including Aquilegia, it has been shown that the genes are expressed in the shoot apical meristem and down-regulated in incipient leaf primordia (P0), but subsequently turned back on in early leaf primordia [28]. Down-regulation of class I KNOX genes in the leaves of models such as tomato or Cardamine causes reduced branching while over-expression leads to increased branching [55, 56], suggesting that KNOX genes act to maintain indeterminacy in compound leaves and promote leaflet initiation.

There are several possible explanations for why we did not observe significant ectopic KNOX gene expression in our VIGS-treated leaves. First, it is possible the KNOX genes were ectopically expressed early in leaf development when the higher order branching actually developed, but were later down-regulated by redundant mechanisms, such as ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1 (ASI)-mediated repression [57, 58]. In A. thaliana ASI mediated silencing of some of the KNOX genes has been shown to require the PRC2 complex and it is thought that AS1 and AS2 directly recruit the PRC2 complex to KNOX loci [59]. However, it is important to remember that in other taxa with compound leaves, the KNOX and ASI homologs have lost their mutually exclusive regulatory interactions and are expressed together at later stages [32]. This may suggest that the ASI-dependent epigenetic silencing of KNOX genes that has been described in several simple-leafed models [57, 58] does not hold for plants with compound leaves. Along these lines, it is also possible that the increased branching phenotypes are due to other factors, such as accelerated phase change or novel genetic mechanisms regulating leaflet branching in Aquilegia. For instance, a recent functional study of the gene AqFL1 in A. x coerulea revealed that it promotes proper leaf margin development, a unique finding for homologs of this gene lineage [40]. This raises the possibility that factors other than the KNOX genes contribute to compound leaf branching in Aquilegia.

In addition to the conserved role in regulating AG, AP3, and SEP3, A. x coerulea PRC2 may target novel pathways, including those regulating carotenoid production or degradation. In A. thaliana patches of yellow anther-like tissue are observed on clf mutant petals [17]. However, the yellow pigmentation we observed is due to the accumulation of carotenoids in the plastids rather than to a partial homeotic transformation. While genes in the carotenoid pathway are not known to be suppressed by PRC2, some loci are positively epigenetically regulated in A. thaliana. Previous studies have shown that a major enzyme in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway, CRTISO, requires the chromatin modifying enzyme SET DOMAIN GROUP 8 (SDG8) to maintain its expression [46], NCED3, an enzyme that cleaves some types of carotenoids as a part of abscisic acid (ABA) synthesis, is similarly epigenetically regulated by the A. thaliana trithorax homolog ATX1 [47]. While none of the genes we tested were consistently up- or down-regulated in AqFIE and AqEMF2 silenced petals, carotenoid
production is very genetically complex and we were unable to test all of the candidate loci [60]. Thus, it seems likely that PRC2 regulates an as yet unidentified enzyme in this pathway in *A. x coerulea*.

**Conclusions**

A critical role for PRC2 in maintaining the repression of *AG*, *SEP3*, and possibly *AP3* appears to be conserved across eudicots. This conservation underscores the importance of chromatin remodeling factors in regulating the floral transition and the proper localization of floral organ identity.

Class I KNOX genes are not ectopically expressed in PRC2 down-regulated tissue in *A. x coerulea*, possibly due to a regulatory shift associated with the evolution of compound leaves.

*A. x coerulea* PRC2 plays a significant role in regulating the carotenoid pathway in floral organs, which has not been observed in other taxa.

This study, the first to examine PRC2 function in angiosperms outside *A. thaliana* or the grasses, highlights how little we still know about the general conservation or targeting mechanisms underlying PRC2 function in major developmental transitions.
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Additional files

Additional file 1 as XLS
Additional file 2 Table of all PCR primers.

Additional file 2 as JPEG
Additional file 2 Heteroblasty in A. x coerulea leaves. A. Unvernalized leaf with 3 major lobes in the lateral leaflets. B. Unvernalized leaf with 2 major lobes in the lateral leaflet. C. Vernalized leaf with higher order petiolules where the central lobe of each leaflet is a separate leaflet borne on a petiolule (asterisks). D. Vernalized leaf with 2 major lobes in the lateral leaflets. These leaves are more deeply lobed than similar unvernalization leaves. E. Average number of higher order petiolules within medial or lateral leaflets in wild type unvernalized, AqFIE silenced unvernalized, wild type vernalized, and AqFIE silenced leaves with standard deviations. Both unvernalized and vernalized AqFIE silenced lateral leaflets had on average more higher order petiolules than the wild type. Unvernalized AqFIE silenced lateral leaflets also had a slightly higher average number of higher order petiolules compared to wild type, but vernalized AqFIE silenced leaves had a slightly lower number of petiolules per medial leaflet. When quantified, this increase is significant (*) at p<0.05 for unvernalized lateral leaflets but not significant for the other stages/leaflet types.

Additional file 3 as JPEG
Additional file 3 The PRC2 regulates carotenoid production in A. coerulea petals. A. High magnification views of epidermal cells in A. x coerulea petal limbs. From left to right:
Anthocyanin of untreated petal limb (anthocyanin is deposited in the vacuole, resulting in a very even distribution of color), almost complete lack of color in AqANS-silenced petal limb, and punctate pattern of carotenoid deposition in plastids of AqEMF2-silenced petal limb. B. Expression of several A. x coerulea homologs of genes important in carotenoid production (CRTISO and PSY) and degradation (CCD4 and NCED3) in AqANS-silenced control petals (C1-C4) and AqFIE (F1-F6) and AqEMF2 (E1 and E2) treated petals. Petals with strong yellow pigment are highlighted in dark yellow (F1, F5, and E1) and petals with pale yellow pigment are highlighted in light yellow (F2-F4). The expression of these genes is not consistently affected in the AqFIE and AqEMF2 silenced petal samples. It is possible that other genes in the carotenoid pathway are being misexpressed. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Additional file 4 as JPEG
Additional file 4 Additional candidate gene expression in PRC2 VIGS-treated leaves. A. Expression of AqCLF and AqSWN in AqFIE- and AqEMF2-treated leaves. Although AqEMF2 appears to be down-regulated in some AqFIE-silenced leaves, the expression of AqCLF and AqSWN in these leaves is not affected. B. Expression of AqAG1, AqFL1, AqAP3-1, AqAP3-2, and AqAP3-3 in pooled AqANS silenced control leaves (C) and AqFIE (F) and AqEMF2 (E) silenced leaves. AqAP3-1 AqAP3-2 and AqAP3-3 is moderately up-regulated in both AqFIE and AqEMF2 silenced tissue while AqFL1 expression is unaffected.
|          | Control | AgFIE-silenced | AgEMF2-silenced |
|----------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| AqFIE    | C1      | F2             | E2              |
| AqEMF2   | C2      | F3             | E3              |
| AqIPP2   | C3      | F4             | E4s             |
| AqEMF2   | C4      | F5             | E4s/p           |

|          | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | E1 | E2 |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| AqFIE    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AqEMF2   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AqIPP2   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
### Petioles per Lateral Unit

| Treatment         | Petioles per Median Unit |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Ctrl. Unvernalized| 0                        |
| *AgFIE* Unvernalized | 0.5 ± 0.8*              |
| Ctrl. Vernalized  | 0.6 ± 0.5                |
| *AgFIE* Vernalized | 1.15 ± 1.6              |
**Figure A**

**Figure B**

| Gene          | Control | AqFIE-silenced | AqEMF2-silenced |
|---------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| **AqCCD4 (-)**| C1      | F1             | F1              |
| **AqCCD4L (-)**| C2      | F2             | E1              |
| **AqCRTISO (+)**| C3      | F3             | E2              |
| **AqNCED3 (-)**| C4      | F4             |                 |
| **AqPSYL1 (+)**|         | F5             |                 |
| **AqPSYL2 (+)**|         | F6             |                 |
| **AqIPP2**    |         |                |                 |
