Storage sheds like these Cameroonian corn cribs leave crops vulnerable to *Aspergillus* contamination. But contamination isn’t always as obvious as it is on this ear of corn, and aflatoxin exposure can go undetected until health effects occur. Aid and development agencies are helping farmers learn how to spot and prevent *Aspergillus*. Globe: NASA/Google Earth. All other images: © IITA.
In 2010 Kenyan authorities reported that 2.3 million bags of corn harvested in that country had been contaminated with fungal poisons known as aflatoxins. These toxins—which include aflatoxin B$_1$, the most potent naturally occurring liver carcinogen ever identified—are produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus*, and they infect corn (maize), nuts, and other crops, especially during periods of drought stress and intense heat. Aflatoxins have been implicated in poisoning outbreaks that killed hundreds of people in developing countries, and experts suspect many aflatoxin-related fatalities go unreported.

Toxicity risks from aflatoxins are very low in the United States and other developed countries, according to Charles Hurburgh, a professor of agricultural engineering and an extension grain specialist at Iowa State University. People in these countries eat a wide variety of foods with little or no risk of aflatoxin contamination, and for those foods where aflatoxins may occur, contamination is closely monitored and tightly regulated.

However, chronic exposures are endemic in developing countries, because aflatoxin monitoring is inadequate, populations tend to rely heavily on just a few staple crops that are vulnerable to *Aspergillus* infection, and growing conditions often favor mold growth. In a recent analysis of data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, only 1.3% of more than 2,000 U.S. blood samples had detectable levels of aflatoxins, compared with 78% of more than 3,000 blood serum samples from the nationally representative Kenya AIDS Indicator Survey.

The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that 4.5 billion people in the developing world may have chronic exposure to aflatoxins in their diet. And according to one analysis, these exposures account for between 25,200 and 155,000 cases of liver cancer every year, particularly in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. Now aflatoxins are drawing international attention from development groups and aid agencies, who are teaching farmers and buyers how to spot and combat the pervasive threat.

**Aflatoxins Under the Spotlight**

Several developments account for the increased focus. First, there is mounting evidence that aflatoxins cause or exacerbate impaired growth in children, a condition known as stunting. Characterized by low body weight, short stature, and impaired brain development, stunting can also increase a child’s risk of dying from illnesses such as diarrheal diseases, malaria, and measles. Aflatoxin control is now a priority for the World Bank and other aid groups that count reducing child morbidity and mortality among their major goals.

Second, says John Bowman, a senior agricultural advisor with the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), development experts worry that aflatoxins undermine efforts to base food aid on local agriculture—a cheaper, more sustainable approach to aid that both avoids flooding developing country markets with imported grain and breaks dependencies on foreign imports. Barbara Stinson, a senior partner with the nonprofit Meridian Institute, recounts how African stakeholders launched the Partnership for Aflatoxin Control in Africa (PACA) in 2011 after it was discovered that grain shipments headed for distribution in Somalia by the United Nations World Food Programme were contaminated with aflatoxins. That year USAID committed $12 million to PACA’s efforts, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has endowed a $19.6-million, five-year grant to support the formation and launch of PACA, as well as some pilot studies.

High temperatures weaken corn and other crops, Payne explains, particularly when they don’t get enough water. This can create fissures in developing grain tissues within which *Aspergillus* takes hold. “Moreover, heat stress goes hand in hand with insect-induced injury, and that also boosts crop vulnerability to *A. flavus* infections,” he says. The infections are triggered by combinations of high heat and moisture, either in the field or in storage. But once they take hold, they can persist even under very dry conditions.

Hurburgh points out that aflatoxin molecules are remarkably stable, able to resist even the industrial fermentation processes used to make corn-based ethanol. Residual aflatoxin contamination can wind up in “distillers grains,” the corn sludge left over from fermentation, which is used as livestock feed and in low-cost pet foods. Aflatoxin outbreaks have killed dogs in the United States, but not human consumers, according to Hurburgh.

U.S. users and exporters sample grain elevators extensively, particularly when they
suspect contamination, in order to ensure that levels don’t exceed the domestic standard for aflatoxins of 20 ppb, while companies in developed countries that make cereal and other processed foods are “always sampling” for aflatoxin, Payne says. To further limit the possibility of childhood exposure, aflatoxin contamination in milk (which can result from dairy cattle eating contaminated feed) is tightly regulated in the United States with an action guideline of just 0.5 ppb and in the European Union with an even more stringent maximum level of 0.05 ppb. Hurburgh says that monitoring increases in years when the weather creates more risk.

**A Hidden Risk**

By comparison, says Felicia Wu, Hannah Distinguished Professor of Food Science and Human Nutrition at Michigan State University, aflatoxin monitoring is rare in developing countries, with the exception of grains and nuts headed for export. “Even in countries where standards for aflatoxin exist, they may not always be enforced,” she says. “Subsistence farmers just eat what they grow; lack of awareness about aflatoxin is a serious issue.” In some cases, moldy foods are eaten simply because other foods might not be available, says Bowman.

A big part of the problem in Africa, according to Hurburgh, is that farmers do not have mechanical drying equipment; early harvest and rapid mechanical drying are big factors in U.S. farmers’ ability to keep aflatoxin levels down in difficult years. “The toxin forms at 25% moisture, down to about 17%,” Hurburgh says. “If the corn has to stand in the field warm and humid at these moistures, the risk is high.”

Even when they know about aflatoxin, inspectors in the developing world can’t always spot harmful *Aspergillus* infestations with the naked eye. For the most part, aflatoxin monitoring requires expensive technologies, such as ELISA test kits (which cost US$15 or more per sample), high-performance liquid chromatography, and mass spectrometers, which aren’t readily available to governments and marketers in poor countries, Wu says. Moreover, sampling is far from foolproof—a single ear of corn might have just a few highly infected kernels, which makes the contamination hard to detect. “Blended into a load of grain, you won’t find those kernels unless you sample in the right place,” explains Payne.

Francesca Nelson, a Kenya-based food security and nutrition advisor with USAID, says a variety of low-cost aflatoxin test kits for use in poor countries are now under development. But the best way to minimize aflatoxin risk, she emphasizes, is to try to prevent the poison from getting into foods in the first place. “Once it’s in the food supply, aflatoxin becomes very difficult to manage,” she says. “It tends to affect crops across very large agricultural areas.”

**Liver Effects**

Aflatoxins were first recognized as a health risk in the mid-twentieth century, when they were revealed as the cause of death among turkeys eating *A. flavus*-contaminated feed. Studies later showed that aflatoxin B, causes liver cancer in nonhuman primates, rodents, and fish, as well as in humans. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization classified aflatoxins as a Group 1 carcinogen (definitely carcinogenic to humans) in 1987,
Kitty Cardwell, a National Program leader and plant pathologist with the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), acknowledges that scientists can only speculate on how aflatoxins might induce stunting—they might suppress the immune system, she says, or produce enteric damage that limits the absorption of nutrients. Or stunting could be a result of simple liver toxicity. “No one knows the mechanism,” she says, “but the association is highly significant.”

Cardwell first noticed a relationship between aflatoxin exposure and stunting during the mid-1990s, when she was working for the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Benin and Nigeria. She and her colleagues had tested nearly 1,000 corn samples from the region and found high aflatoxin B concentrations in some regions during certain seasons. Cardwell knew that laboratory data had already associated aflatoxins with faltering growth in weaning animals, and she wondered about the risks to African children, who are often weaned onto corn-based foods.

She measured blood aflatoxin in more than 700 local children and compared the levels with a wide range of developmental end points. And what “leapt off the page,” she says, was a powerful correlation between aflatoxins in blood and impaired growth. “The higher the aflatoxin levels, the lower the growth rates,” she says.

Published in 2002 with a followup paper two years later, these were the first data to show an association between aflatoxins and stunting in human children. Since then, studies in Togo, Gambia, Ghana, Iran, Kenya, and the United Arab Emirates have shown similar findings, outlined in a review published by Wu and colleagues in 2011.

Stinson says research investments still need to be made on aflatoxin exposure and childhood stunting, which in 2010 was estimated to afflict more than 171 million children worldwide. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is planning for additional studies in this area, she says, as are other organizations. Meanwhile, the current evidence on stunting, combined with well-documented risks for liver cancer and aflatoxicosis, justify global efforts to minimize human exposure, she says.

**Confronting the Problem**

According to Stinson, reducing those exposures can be accomplished by combining a number of good practices, such as early harvesting during weather patterns that favor Aspergillus infections (followed by rapid drying and storage of the crop), separating poor- and good-quality grain, drying to reduce grain moisture content, and using storage containers that minimize temperature and moisture conditions that favor fungal growth. In addition, she says, it helps if farmers and others use good sampling practices and inexpensive tests for aflatoxins to remove bad grain at various points in the agricultural supply chain. In a 2011 publication Wu and colleagues assessed the costs and efficacy associated with a number of other risk-mitigation practices, among them improved irrigation, grain ozonation (which kills aflatoxin B, but also can result in degradation of essential nutrients), and hepatitis B vaccination to lessen liver cancer risks among aflatoxin-exposed individuals.

PACA, USAID, and other organizations emphasize promoting field-based biocontrol methods that can prevent Aspergillus from taking hold. The leading biocontrol method was developed by Peter Cotty, a research plant pathologist at the USDA and an adjunct professor at the University of Arizona. During the late 1980s Cotty was studying the virulence of different A. flavus strains, which number in the thousands. Virulence in this case refers to the strain’s ability to colonize and infect plant seeds. What Cotty found was that atoxigenic strains—meaning those that don’t produce aflatoxins—are as virulent as those strains that do.

Intrigued with that finding, Cotty proposed a novel idea: By inoculating agricultural fields with atoxigenic strains of A. flavus at early stages in the crop growing cycle, it might be possible to ward off aflatoxin contamination. Since the atoxigenic strains are equally virulent, he reasoned, deliberate inoculation might give them a growth advantage and a chance to outcompete their toxic counterparts. Critics found his idea preposterous. In a 1993 article, the Wall Street Journal reported that seed companies were “aghast that the government would consider releasing a fungus that would still infect plants even if it doesn’t taint the crop with a carcinogen.”

But according to Cotty, other options hadn’t panned out. Scientists have never successfully developed an acceptable fungus, nor have they been able to breed viable varieties of resistant corn.

Today, Cotty’s biocontrol method, now patented by the USDA Agricultural Research Service, is gaining in popularity. Known as AF36, it’s manufactured and distributed in the southwestern United States by the Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council for use on corn, cotton, and pistachios. An analogous product is sold in Africa under the name Aflasafe. Syngenta, a global agribusiness firm, manufactures and markets a separate product known as Afla-guard” for U.S. distribution and use on corn and peanuts.

Cotty also collaborates with Ranajit Bandyopadhyay, a plant pathologist with the IITA, who is leading efforts to develop atoxigenic strains for use in Africa. According to
Bandyopadhyay, those strains come from the African countries in which they’re applied, which is important because it means they’re already adapted to the natural environment and thus more likely to colonize targeted crop plants.

**Building Biocontrols**

To develop country-specific Aflasafe products, Bandyopadhyay and Cotty start with a collection of 5,000 strains obtained from widely distributed crop samples in each country. They use a series of selection criteria to narrow the number to roughly a dozen nontoxic strains, each screened for genetic stability, the ability to colonize target crops, and persistence in the field. Screening also ensures the strains have defects in one or more genes associated with aflatoxin production, Bandyopadhyay says. Ultimately they select four local atoxigenic strains to go in each customized product.

Citing evidence gathered during IITA field studies in Nigeria, Bandyopadhyay claims the biocontrol method can reduce aflatoxin contamination in corn and groundnuts by 80–90%, in some cases even as much as 99%. He adds that IITA is now working with a variety of partners
to promote biocontrol in Nigeria, Senegal, Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique, Zambia, and Burkina Faso, with plans to expand into several East African countries over the coming three years. Likewise, the USDA and IITA have a pilot program investigating Aflasafe uses in Kenya that’s been running for nearly three years, according to Nelson. But the main challenge, Bandyopadhyay says, is convincing local farmers that biocontrol is worth the investment. “How do you sell something that has a hidden benefit?” he asks. “The problem with aflatoxin is that you can’t see it. And unless the levels are very high, the expression of health impacts isn’t immediately obvious.

For instance, it can take decades to develop cancer.” Still during an unpublished willingness-to-pay study conducted in Nigeria, Bandyopadhyay says IITA researchers found that when informed of aflatoxins’ health risks and the economic benefits of control, 82% of farmers queried said they’d pay US$12–15 per hectare for biocontrol treatments.

Three years ago, a World Bank–funded agriculture development project purchased 8 tons of Aflasafe for distribution in Nigeria and covered 50% of the out-of-pocket cost for farmers who purchased it. According to Bandyopadhyay, the project lowered that subsidy to 25% the next year and eliminated it completely in 2013. Meanwhile, aid groups and governments are experimenting with other incentives, such as bundling Aflasafe into technical packages that also include better seed, fertilizer, and pest controls. “That’s one way to jumpstart economic demand,” explains Cardwell.

Now, under a subcontract to the Meridian Institute, IITA is building a demonstration-scale manufacturing facility on its own campus that will produce 5 tons of Aflasafe an hour, enough to cover 4,000 hectares a day. According to Bandyopadhyay, the overall goal of that effort is to enable manufacturers to produce enough Aflasafe to treat a million hectares in Africa within the next few years and reduce aflatoxin contamination in those areas by 90%.

**Next Steps**

Meanwhile, experts are still trying to breed aflatoxin-resistant varieties of corn and other

\[A. \text{flavus}\] has an unusually high tolerance to heat, compared with other fungi; it thrives in temperatures approaching 100°F and even higher. High temperatures weaken corn and other crops, particularly during times of drought, and create fissures in developing grain plant tissues within which \[A. \text{flavus}\] can take hold. Insect damage also can boost crops’ vulnerability to fungal infection. Both images: © IITA
crops. Despite years of effort, they have yet to produce a single commercially viable cultivar. But Robert Brown, a research plant pathologist with the Agricultural Research Service, says he and his colleagues have bred several potentially viable varieties from aflatoxin-resistant samples collected in the United States and Africa. According to Brown’s unpublished data, aflatoxin levels are nearly 87-fold lower in the resistant varieties than in nonresistant control kernels.

USAID’s Bowman says that along with biocontrol, resistance breeding remains an important part of strategies to minimize aflatoxin exposure in the developing world. The trick, Payne says, is to breed resistant lines with other commercially viable attributes, such as high yield.

The lack of consistent standards within African countries and elsewhere in the world is also problematic, Nelson adds. PACA and other groups are working to support development of harmonized standards in Africa, but selecting a shared value is a complex and arduous prospect. “Countries don’t want to be held to a standard they don’t think they can achieve,” Nelson explains.

Still, Sinnsom says, aflatoxins are a major trade issue because some 15 African countries have aflatoxin limits above which crops are not allowed into the country. “Individual countries need to establish standards, train farmers, and work through agricultural extensions to control aflatoxin,” she says. “Each country with an aflatoxin problem needs an aflatoxin action plan.”

Ultimately, tackling aflatoxins in the developing world will require a profound social transformation, Cardwell emphasizes. “We need a system that makes aflatoxin control worthwhile,” she says. “Technically, it’s no-brainer, but from a social and development context it’s extremely complex.”

Charles W. Schmidt, MS, an award-winning science writer from Portland, ME, has written for Discover Magazine, Science, and Nature Medicine.
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Erratum: “Breaking the Mold: New Strategies for Fighting Aflatoxins”

The Focus article “Breaking the Mold: New Strategies for Fighting Aflatoxins” [Environ Health Perspect 121:A270–A275 (2013); http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.121-A270] incorrectly identified Qidong and Fusui as provinces in China. Qidong is a city, and Fusui is a county. EHP regrets the error.