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Abstract
In this study, we aimed to present a geriatric patient with the diagnosis of COVID-19 and with contradictory results in rRT-PCR examinations in short time intervals. A 69-year-old male patient was admitted to the emergency room on the 18th day of May 2020, with the complaints of fever, sweating, myalgia, dry cough that continued for 5 days, and the lack of taste that started on the day he applied to the emergency room. Comorbidity factors include diabetes mellitus, bronchial asthma, and hypertension. The patient has a history of 36 years of smoking 1.5 packs per day. High laboratory findings during hospitalization: monocytes, creatinine, CRP (C-reactive protein). In the thorax CT, in the parenchyma areas of both lungs, there are increases in attenuation with multilobe distributions (more visible at the level of the upper lobes) in the form of ground-glass opacities. May 19, 2020, was subjected to the rRT-PCR test, repeated twice on the 19th of May which also resulted in positive. Despite rRT-PCR tests, which were negative on 27th of May and positive on 28th of May, the patient, whose symptoms disappeared, and general condition improved, was discharged on June 1, 2020, with the recommendation for home isolation. In our case, unlike the incubation period only, we encountered a negative rRT-PCR result on the 8th day after diagnosis. Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic control and filiation evaluation with the rRT-PCR test may produce false negative results.
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Introduction
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), also known as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is a new zoonotic infectious disease which was first reported to the World Health Organization on 31 December 2019, and which was declared as a pandemic by the WHO on March 11, 2020 [1]. COVID-19 continues to affect elderly adults disproportionately with severe patient losses, from severe hospitalization to increased risk of mortality [2]. Advances in various diagnostic approaches such as real-time polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR), chest radiography and computed tomography (CT) imaging, and other modern diagnostic methods for this infection have been emphasized as the major diagnostic tools [3]. rRT-PCR is the preferred method for measuring mRNA [4]. Definite diagnosis of COVID-19 is based on the viral isolation or positive result of polymerase chain reaction from sputum, or throat swab, or nasal swab [5]. Although rRT-PCR is often described as a “gold” standard, it is far from being a standard assay [4]. In this study, we aimed to present a geriatric patient with the diagnosis of COVID-19 and with contradictory results in rRT-PCR examinations in short time intervals.

Case Report
A 69-year-old male patient was admitted to the emergency room on the 18th day of May 2020, with the complaints of fever, sweating, myalgia, dry cough that continued for 5 days, and the lack of taste that started on the day he applied to the
emergency room. Comorbidity factors include diabetes mellitus, bronchial asthma, and hypertension. The patient has a history of 36 years of smoking 1.5 packs per day, which lasted from 12 to 48 years old. The patient, who weighed 138 kg prior to the pandemic and was 1.74 m tall, now weighs 129 kg. In the emergency room, the temperature of the patient was 37.5 °C, SpO2 was 96, pulse was 93, noninvasive blood pressure arterial was 135/70, and blood sugar measurement from the finger was 140 mg/dL. The laboratory findings were leukocyte 7.9 $10^3$/mm$^3$ ($4-10.5$), neutrophils $4.7$ $10^3$/mm$^3$ ($1.82-7.42$), lymphocyte 2.2 $10^3$/mm$^3$ ($0.85-3$), monocytes $0.9$ $10^3$/mm$^3$ ($0.19-0.77$), platelets 277.0 $10^3$/mm$^3$ ($150-450$), blood urea nitrogen 30 mg/dL ($8-20$), creatinine 1.76 mg/dL ($0.81-1.44$), ALT 50 U/L, AST 46 U/L, GGT 38 U/L, LDH 303 U/L ($<248$), CRP 80.8 mg/L ($0-5$), D-dimer 223 μg/L ($0-242$), and sedimentation 1 h 18 mm/h ($0-20$).

In thorax CT of the patient, in the parenchyma areas of both lungs, there are increases in attenuation with multilobe distributions (more visible at the level of the upper lobes) in the form of ground-glass opacities (Fig. 1).

In the COVID-19 Pandemic Clinic, following the pantoprazole $1 \times 40$ mg, hydroxychloroquine sulfate (200 mg) after $2 \times 400$-mg loading dose, $2 \times 200$-mg maintenance dose, oseltamivir $2 \times 200$ mg, vitamin C (ascorbic acid) 500 mg/5 mL, Oksapar 6000 ANTI-XA IU/0.6 mL, and Beklomethasone dipropionate, salbutamol 100 mcg inhaler was administered.

In the patient with palpitations 2 days following the hospitalization, ECG: supra ventricular tachycardia (137/min), left bundle branch echocardiography: Ejection fraction 60%, left ventricular concentric hypertrophy, diastolic dysfunction, and stage I left atrium dilatation were detected. By monitoring the patient, 6-mg intravenous bolus adenosine was administered. Due to ongoing supraventricular tachycardia, 12-mg adenosine intravenous bolus was repeated 10 min later. Through the intervention, the patient returned to sinus rhythm. Metoprolol $1 \times 50$-mg, amlodipine $1 \times 10$ mg, and adenosine 250 mg/50mL intravenous infusion were added to the patient’s treatment.

RT-PCR test the patient living in a low socioeconomically low area resulted as negative within the scope of filiation screening on April 21, 2020. Patient with positive Covid-19 IgM Rapid Test performed on May 19, 2020, was subjected to the rRT-PCR test, repeated twice on the 19th of May which also resulted in positive. Despite rRT-PCR tests, which were negative on 27th of May and positive on 28th of May, the patient, whose symptoms disappeared, and general condition improved, was discharged on June 1, 2020, with the recommendation for home isolation. The results of the repeated rRT-PCR test on June 15, 2020 were also negative (Fig. 2). The patient does not currently have any symptoms related to the disease.

**Discussion**

Although subfebrile fever and leukocytosis can usually be seen in viral infections, it is noteworthy that our patient had normal fever and leukocyte levels. In the literature, investigating COVID-19 pneumonia, increased CRP serum level, and lymphopenia are noted [6]. In our case, while neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts were at normal values, monocyte and CRP values exhibited increases.

Both lung and cardiovascular injuries are common in the management of COVID-19 infections, especially those with only cardiovascular disease symptoms [7].

---

**Fig. 1** Thoracic CT image of ground-glass opacities in the lung

---

**Coronal lung window**

**Axial lung window**
Although a case of hydroxychloroquine-induced ventricular tachycardia has been reported in the literature [8], in our case, we could not determine the cause of supraventricular tachycardia since we also administered beta-agonist therapy together with hydroxychloroquine. Since our case has cardiac comorbidities, SARS-CoV-2 infection has been complicated to include the lungs. Morbidity and mortality of elderly patients with COVID-19 are higher than young and middle-aged patients [9]. In our case, intensive care and mechanical ventilation support were not required during the hospitalization process.

rRT-PCR results are just a momentary display of information about a specific amount of transcripts in a cell or tissue [4]. The nucleic acid test functions as the gold standard method for confirming the SARS-CoV-2 infection; however, some recent studies have detected false negative results of real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) [4]. In the literature, CT sensitivity in the diagnosis of COVID-19 was determined as 97.2%, while the initial rRT-PCR sensitivity was only 83.3% (10). However, rRT-PCR may initially give false negative results and it is suggested that rRT-PCR should be repeated for avoiding the isolation and misdiagnosis of patients with typical CT findings but negative rRT-PCR results [10]. False negative results increase especially during the incubation period of the disease. Similar to our case, there are case reports of reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test initially false negative and later positive in the literature [11]. Acquired immunity may protect against SARS-CoV-2 [12]. In contrast, in the case were reported that recovered from COVID-19 pneumonia with positive serology, 6 negative nasopharyngeal rRT-PCR tests for 1 month and then a second IgM seroconversion and positive rRT-PCR test result after exposure to the virus [12]. In our case, unlike the incubation period only, we encountered a negative rRT-PCR result on the 8th day after diagnosis. Therefore, it can be argued that COVID-19 pandemic control and filiation evaluation with the rRT-PCR test may produce false negative results.
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