Endoscopic ultrasound evaluation in the surgical treatment of duodenal and peri-ampullary adenomas
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) for predicting depth of mucosal invasion and to analyze outcomes following endoscopic and transduodenal resection.

METHODS: Records of 111 patients seen at our institution from November 1999 to July 2011 with the post-operative pathological diagnosis of benign ampullary and duodenal adenomas were reviewed. Records of patients who underwent preoperative EUS for diagnostic purposes were identified. The accuracy of EUS in predicting the absence of muscular invasion was assessed by comparing EUS reports to the final surgical pathological results. In addition, the incidence of the post-operative complications over a period of 30 d and the subsequent long-term outcome (recurrence) over a period of 30 mo associated with endoscopic and transduodenal surgical resection was recorded, compared and analyzed.

RESULTS: Among 111 patients with benign ampullary and duodenal adenomas, 47 underwent preoperative EUS for 29 peri-ampullary lesions and 18 duodenal lesions. In addition, computed tomography was performed in 18 patients, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in 10 patients and esophagogastroduodenoscopy in 22 patients. There were 43 patients with sporadic adenomas and 4 patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)/other polyposis syndromes. In 38 (81%, P < 0.05) patients, EUS reliably identified absence of submucosal and muscularis invasion. In 4 cases, EUS underestimated submucosal invasion that was proven by pathology. In the other 5 patients, EUS predicted muscularis invasion which could not be demonstrated in the resected specimen. The main post-operative final pathological results included vil- lous adenoma (n = 5), adenoma (n = 8), tubulovillous adenoma (n = 10), tubular adenoma (n = 20) and hyperplastic polyp (n = 2). Among the 47 patients who underwent resection, 8 (17%, 5 of which corresponded to surgical resection) developed post-procedural complications which included retroperitoneal hematoma,
intra-abdominal abscess, wound infection, delayed gastric emptying and prolonged ileus. After median follow-up of 20 mo there were 6 local recurrences (13%, median follow-up = 20 mo) 4 of which were in patients with FAP.

CONCLUSION: EUS accurately predicts the depth of mucosal invasion in suspected benign ampullary and duodenal adenomas. These patients can safely undergo endoscopic or local resection.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Duodenal tumors often pose diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in their management as they arise in close proximity to biliary and pancreatic structures.[1] Villous tumors of the duodenum was first described by Perry in 1893 as a broad-based cauliflower-like mass that he referred to as a duodenal papilloma and in a 1981 review, only 73 cases had been reported by Komorowski et al.[2] Although tumors that arise in the duodenum are not typically common (only 1% of gastrointestinal tumors) a significant fraction of them (at least 25%) are adenomas at the time of presentation. Treatment of ampullary adenoma is complicated by difficult preoperative staging, malignant potential and a high recurrence rate.[3] Various techniques are advocated for their management ranging from simple excision to the ampullary tumor and the contiguous duodenal mucosa to wide resection of the mass including the papilla and adjacent duodenal, ductal and pancreatic tissue.[4] Despite their benign nature peri-ampullary and duodenal adenomas remain a therapeutic challenge because of their potential for malignant transformation. Surgical resection of duodenal tumors can be particularly difficult because of their location in the retroperitoneal space in contact with normal pancreatic gland entailing a high risk of postoperative morbidity and mortality.[5] The complex anatomy of the ampullary region and the difficulty of accurately diagnosing and staging via imaging and endoscopy makes the management of these tumors controversial and require multidisciplinary teams.[6] While these tumors can typically arise anywhere along the duodenum, they predominately appear in the first or second portion with tumors in the 3rd and 4th portions being less frequent but posing more difficulty in endoscopic detection.[6] Accurate preoperative histological diagnosis and staging of these tumors is therefore often difficult and inconclusive leading to controversy over the ideal management of treating these lesions. Transduodenal local excision (TDE), endoscopic snare excision or pancreatoduodenectomy (PD) are valid options for resection of these tumors.[6] Halstead first reported TDE of an ampullary mass in 1899.[7] In 1935 Whipple was the first to perform en bloc removal of the entire duodenum with the head of the pancreas in 1935 a procedure later refined to a one-stage procedure called PD in 1940 by Whipple[8]. Some studies have reported that the incidence of malignancy occurring in duodenal or ampullary tumors vary widely with some reports ranging from 35% to 60% and report that while the region of the ampulla can be accessible for endoscopic biopsy procedure, that there is a high incidence of false negative results for carcinoma, ranging from 25% to 60%.[7] In 1990, a collective series of 78 Japanese patients reported that the biopsy diagnosis of adenoma does not rule out the possibility of deeper carcinoma in ampullary tumors.[9] Another case report in 1992 found EUS as an emerging useful adjuvant to the preoperative evaluation of patients for potential local resection due to its ability to accurately diagnose duodenal adenomas and therefore usefulness in treatment planning.[10]. Based on these earlier reported high incidence of malignancy and unreliability of preoperative endoscopic diagnosis, optimal operative management of these tumors remains controversial.[10]. We performed a retrospective review of patients with suspected benign duodenal tumors who underwent preoperative EUS to determine the accuracy of this technique in predicting the absence of muscular invasion and also to analyze outcomes associated with endoscopic and transduodenal surgical resection at our institution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Records of 111 patients evaluated and treated at the University of Alabama Birmingham from November 1999 to July 2011 with the post-operative pathological diagnosis of benign ampullary and duodenal adenomas were identified and reviewed retrospectively. There were 43 patients with sporadic adenomas and 4 patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)/other polyposis syndromes. Patients were 55% women and average age was 63 years old (Table 1.)

Imaging diagnostic modality used

Among 111 patients examined, 47 underwent preoperative EUS for diagnostic purposes (Figure 1). In addition, computed tomography (CT) was performed in 18 patients, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in 10 patients and esophagogastroduodenoscopy in 22 patients.

The accuracy of EUS in predicting duodenal adenoma absence of muscular invasion was assessed by com-
paring EUS reports and comparing it to final surgical pathological results. In addition, the incidence of post-operative complications over a period of 30 d and subsequent long-term outcome (recurrence) over a period of 30 mo associated with endoscopic and transduodenal surgical resection was recorded, compared and analyzed.

RESULTS

Location of tumors
Twenty-nine patients had peri-ampullary tumors defined by immediate proximity (within 2 cm) to the major duodenal papilla. The remaining 18 patients had adenomas elsewhere in the duodenum as described in Table 1.

Type of resection performed
Resection of the analyzed adenomas was performed endoscopically in 22 cases. Partial duodenectomy was performed in 9 cases, TDE with ampullectomy with sphincteroplasty in 10 cases and pancreaticoduodenectomy in 6 cases.

Final pathologic results
Postoperative final pathology results included villous adenoma (n = 5), adenoma (n = 8), tubulovillous adenoma (n = 10), tubular adenoma (n = 20) and hyperplastic polyp (n = 2), chronic inflammation (n = 1), reactive changes of normal small bowel mucosa (n = 1).

Long-term follow-up
After median follow-up of 20 mo, there were 6 local recurrences (13%) of which developed in patients with FAP (Table 2). Among the 47 patients who underwent resection, 8 (17%, 5 of which corresponded to surgical resection) developed post-procedural complications, which included retroperitoneal hematoma, intra-abdominal abscess, wound infection, delayed gastric emptying and prolonged ileus. EUS reliably identified absence of submucosal and muscularis invasion in 38 (81%) (P < 0.05) patients. There were 4 patients in whom EUS under-estimated invasion of the deep layers which was subsequently demonstrated in the final pathological analysis. In 5 cases (3 of which underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy, 1 underwent endoscopic resection, and 1 underwent transduodenal resection) EUS over-estimated submucosal invasion as this feature could not be proven in the resected specimen. Overall analysis therefore demonstrated that EUS predicted tumor muscularis invasion with a specificity of 88% and negative predictive value (NPV) of 90% (P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

The characterization of benign duodenal and peri-ampullary tumors, offers a diagnostic challenge to reliably distinguish adenomas from malignant lesions and render the possibility of transduodenal resection. While duodenal tumors are not common accounting for less than 1% of total gastrointestinal tumors and 25% of them are benign, the precise characterization continues to present a diagnostic challenge secondary to the complexity of the involved anatomical region. The surgical management of duodenal tumors is also challenging largely due to

EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound.

| Table 1 Summary of clinical data of patients with benign duodenal and peri-ampullary adenomas who underwent endoscopic ultrasound pre-operatively |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age, yr, mean ± SD (range) | 63.4 ± 12.63 (25-90) |
| Sex | 45% male; 55% female |
| Types of resection | Endoscopic (22); pancreaticoduodenectomy (6); transduodenal (10); partial duodenectomy (9) |
| Tumor location | Periampullary (29); duodenal (18) |
| Post operative final path | Villous adenoma (5); tubulovillous adenoma (10); tubular adenoma (20); hyperplastic polyp (2); chronic inflammation (1); adenoma (8); Reactive epithelial changes of normal small bowel mucosa (1) |
| EUS prediction | Absence of muscularis invasion (n = 5, P < 0.05); underestimated submucosal invasion (n = 4, P < 0.05); Accurately predicted depth of tumor invasion (n = 38, P < 0.05) |

EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound.

| Table 2 2 x 2 Contingency table |
|----------------------------------|
| Absence of muscularis involvement path |
|                                    |
|                                   |
| Muscularis involvement EUS        |
| Yes | 36 | 4 |
| No  | 5  | 2 |

1 Positive predictive value: 28%; Negative predictive value: 90%; Sensitivity: 33%; Specificity: 87.8% (P < 0.05). EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound.

Figure 1 Endoscopic ultrasound showing absence of muscularis invasion in this lesion and as such amendable to local resection. PD: Pancreatoduodenectomy; CBD: Common bile duct.
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to their close relationship with the pancreatic and biliary ducts and their deep location[5].

The incidence of malignancy occurring in duodenal or ampullary tumors varies widely with false negative results for carcinoma as high as 60%[7] in the peri-ampullary region.

PD is the treatment of choice for invasive malignancies arising in the ampullary region and duodenum but its indication for treatment of benign peri-ampullary lesions is less clear. Several studies indicate that TDE exhibits less mortality and morbidity than PD[10]. Ampullectomy has been recommended as the procedure of choice to resect benign lesions smaller than 3 cm. TDE is therefore an organ-preserving operation with low morbidity but careful attention to the complex nature of the anatomy of the peri-ampullary region must be given to maximize its chances of success[11]. EUS is reportedly helpful in identifying non-invasive lesions suitable for local resection, but no preoperative test has been proven accurate enough to substitute for clinical judgment and intraoperative pathological confirmation[10]. In a study of local resection for ampullary tumors, the findings recommend local ampullary resection as an acceptable treatment in benign and selected premalignant and malignant ampullary lesions with a low threshold for conversion to PD when appropriate[13]. Another study of 63 patients proposed PD for even benign lesions because two patients in their series had to undergo repeat operations (PD) 4 and 22 years later for stage IV disease[14]. Local resection has been shown to be a viable alternative to PD in patients with benign tumors or as a palliative procedure in malignant cases with severe co morbidities where radical resection carries unacceptable surgical risk[15].

A review of 19 cases of villous tumors of the duodenum, suggests that some small benign ampullary villous adenomas or those with carcinoma in situ can be excised locally but prefer PD in the fit patient for better local control both of extensive benign lesions and cancers without distant metastases[16]. Other proponents of local resection confirm that benign duodenal villous tumors can be managed successfully by local submucosal excision[17]. In selected patients, endoscopic mucosal resection of superficial neoplastic lesions is associated with low morbidity when compared to surgery[18]. Pre-operative evaluation of these tumors to assess tumor depth is therefore paramount when planning the optimal therapeutic approach for resection of benign duodenal and peri-ampullary tumors. EUS has emerged as a useful technique in assessing the depth of invasion and is often employed when planning therapeutic approach. EUS images of tumors of the duodenal papilla correspond well to the final histological findings and report EUS as a reliable procedure for determining the extent of tumors in this location[19]. Comparison of EUS with other imaging modalities such as conventional sonography, CT, and angiography proved it to be the most effective method for local staging of pancreatic and ampullary cancers[20]. EUS can readily detect the presence of an ampullary or duodenal tumor in 96% of cases and reliably characterizes malignant lesions[21,22].

Consistent with prior publications, 16 of the 18 duodenal tumors in our study had tumors in the first or second portion of the duodenum (Table 1). Considering the above stated advantages, EUS is often employed at our institution when planning therapeutic approach to these tumors (Figure 1). Multiple studies have shown that EUS is superior to CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and transabdominal US in local peri-ampullary staging[23,24]. Previous studies evaluating the role of endoscopic resection of ampullary adenomas have presented it as a reasonable alternative to transduodenal surgical excision but long term follow-up data are needed to evaluate pre-operative staging accuracy and recurrence rates[25,26].

Our retrospective review shows that patients with peri-ampullary tumors and EUS showing absence of muscularis invasion can safely undergo transduodenal ampullectomy with sphincteroplasty and that this procedure results in satisfactory long-term outcomes. Muscularis invasion and pancreatic duct dilatation are features of malignant neoplasms that can be safely ruled out by EUS. Our findings further support endoscopic resection and TDE as safe treatment modalities for benign duodenal adenomas that avoid morbidity associated with PD and are associated with satisfactory long-term outcomes.

We conclude that EUS can accurately predict depth of mucosal invasion in the preoperative evaluation of suspected peri-ampullary and duodenal adenomas. These patients can safely undergo endoscopic or local resection with acceptable local control rates sparing the need for more extensive operations.

COMMENTS

Background

Management of benign duodenal and peri-ampullary adenomas is controversial as to the best management strategy. Some proponents of a more radical approach advocate a more aggressive pancreaticoduodenectomy while other proponents are in favor of a less invasive local resection.

Research frontiers

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) has emerged as a useful modality in the preoperative evaluation of these lesions and is used at our institution to guide management planning.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Duodenal tumors often pose diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in their management as they arise in close proximity to biliary and pancreatic structures and EUS has been shown to be a useful means of preoperative evaluation of these lesions to guide the management planning. In one study, the sensitivity of EUS in the diagnosis of benign tumors was reported as 92%. The management of these benign tumors continues to be controversial posing a challenge to the surgeon, endoscopist and patients.

Applications

Based on their results, EUS can accurately predict depth of mucosal invasion in 81% (P < 0.05) of benign ampullary and duodenal adenomas with a specificity of 88% (P < 0.05) and negative predictive value of 90% (P < 0.05). These patients can safely undergo endoscopic or local resection with acceptable local control rates sparing the need for more extensive operations.

Terminology

Endoscopic ultrasound is an imaging modality that is used mostly in the upper digestive tract and in the respiratory system. It involves the insertion of a probe into a hollow organ and using ultrasound it is able to obtain images of internal organs that are in the chest, and abdomen and aids in visualizing these structures as well as blood vessels adjacent to them using the doppler imaging.
Gastroenterologists with advanced training typically perform the procedure. Transduodenal ampullectomy with sphincteroplasty is a local surgical excision procedure that is less invasive than the standard pancreaticoduodenectomy which is a radical resection typically used for more invasive lesions.

**Peer review**

This is a unique collection of cases establishing the value of endoscopic ultrasound in the management of duodenal and peri-ampullary adenomas and also reviewing the suitability and the results of the various possible operative procedures. With attention to minor details, it is an important paper and well worth publishing.
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