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Abstract
As far as we know, no notion of concreteness for fibrations exists. We introduce such a notion and discuss some basic results about it.

1 Introduction
A concrete category is one with a faithful functor to the category of sets and functions, in which case it is also more specifically referred to as a construct. The idea is that a concrete category has to be thought of as to a category of sets equipped with an unspecified structure and homomorphisms between them.

As far as we know no notion of concreteness for fibrations exist. The main aim of this paper is that of discussing the topic in adherence with the point of view pursued in [4], together with some basic results about it.

In doing this for concreteness, the starting point was the recognition that the Isbell condition, definition 2.2, is, in fibrational terms, nothing but a condition expressing a precise representability relationship between the whole fibration and its base, as it is typical for all the “smallness” conditions for fibrations. Think of local smallness or comprehension, for example. We adopted this approach with the intention of getting rid of any set-theoretic definition or characterization of concreteness. Coherently, one is prepared to find out that theorem 2.3 no longer holds in general for fibrations, whereas a suitable version of it must be expected to hold, see theorem 3.10. We end by discussing concreteness of small fibrations in some detail.

2 Preliminaries
We assume that the reader is already acquainted with ordinary category theory. In any case, she may consult [15] for example, whereas for further details concerning the content of the present section she may consult [8], [9], [1], [2], [18].

Throughout the paper the metatheoretic framework is a theory of sets and classes with the axiom of choice for classes.

Following [2], with personal notation, we give the following

Definition 2.1. Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be a category. A concrete category over \( \mathcal{B} \) is a pair \( (\mathcal{C}, U) \) where \( \mathcal{C} \) is a category and \( U : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B} \) is a faithful functor. A concrete category over the category of sets and functions, \( \text{Sets} \), will be henceforth referred to as a construct. We say that a category \( \mathcal{C} \) is concrete over \( \mathcal{B} \), or a
construct, to implicitly mean that it is equipped with a faithful functor from it to $\mathbb{B}$, or $\text{Sets}$, respectively.

For every objects $A$, $B$ in a category $\mathcal{C}$, a span from $A$ to $B$ or $(A, B)$-span, is a diagram $A \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} X \overset{g}{\longrightarrow} B$, whereas an $(A, B)$-cospan is an $(A, B)$-span in $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$. When no confusion is likely to arise spans and cospans will be also briefly written as triplets $(f, X, g)$ and in both cases we will refer to $f$ and $g$ as to their components. Two $(A, B)$-spans $(f, X, g)$, $(f', X', g')$ are said to be equivalent if for every $(A, B)$-cospan $(h, Z, k)$, $hf = kg$ if and only if $hf' = kg'$, which fact will be also more briefly indicated $(f, X, g) \sim (f', X', g')$.

**Definition 2.2.** A category satisfies the Isbell condition if for every objects $A$ and $B$, there exists a set $\Sigma_{A,B}$ of $(A, B)$-spans no two different of which are equivalent and such that each $(A, B)$-span is equivalent to one element in $\Sigma_{A,B}$. Henceforth, $\Sigma_{A,B}$ will be also referred to as a choice set.

**Theorem 2.3.** A category $\mathcal{C}$ is a construct if and only if it satisfies the Isbell condition.

**Proof.** See [9], and [19] where the proof is carried out through the explicit construction of a faithful functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\text{Sets}$. 

## 3 Concrete fibrations

We here discuss concreteness of fibrations. Regarding the fibered category theory involved we only recall the definition of fiber category, cartesian morphism and fibered category, mostly with the intent of establishing terminology and notation. We assume basic knowledge in fibered category theory as well as knowledge of some advanced topics in it. We refer the reader to [7], [4], [17], [10] and [5]. Nonetheless, some of the relevant notions will be briefly recalled when needed.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a functor. For every object $I$ in $\mathbb{B}$, a morphism in $\mathbb{X}$ is said to be vertical over $I$ with respect to $P$ or $P$-vertical over $I$ if its image under $P$ is the identical morphism at $I$. The $P$-vertical morphisms at $I$ identify a category $\mathbb{X}_I$ which will henceforth referred to as fiber category over $I$ with respect to $P$ or the $P$-fiber over $I$. A morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbb{X}$ is said to be cartesian with respect to $P$ or $P$-cartesian if for every morphisms $v: J \rightarrow Px$, $g: Z \rightarrow Y$, with $Pgy = P\varphi \circ v$, there exists a unique morphism $\gamma: Z \rightarrow X$ with $\varphi \circ \gamma = g$ and $P\gamma = v$. The functor $P$ is said to be a fibration or a fibered category if for every object $Y$ in $\mathbb{X}$ and for every morphism $u: I \rightarrow PY$, there exists an $P$-cartesian morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ with $P\varphi = u$, to which we will henceforth refer to as $P$-cartesian lifting or $P$-reindexing of $Y$ along $u$. The categories $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ will be also respectively referred to as total category and base category of the fibration $P$.

We recall that a fibration is said to be cloven if it comes equipped with chosen $P$-reindexings. If $P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a cloven fibration, then for every object $Y$ in $\mathbb{X}$ and morphism $u: i \rightarrow PY$ in $\mathbb{B}$ a chosen $P$-reindexing of $Y$ along $u$ will be usually written $\gamma Y: u^*Y \rightarrow Y$. Fibered functors among cloven fibrations are
not assumed to preserve the chosen reindexings.

For every category with pullbacks \( \mathcal{B} \), the codomain functor \( \text{cod}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is a fibration which is usually referred to as fundamental fibration over \( \mathcal{B} \) since it allows \( \mathcal{B} \) to be fibered over itself.

Following [3], with personal terminology and notation, we give the following

**Definition 3.2.** A category with small morphisms is a pair \((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S})\) where \( \mathcal{B} \) is category with pullbacks and \( \mathcal{S} \) is a class of morphisms of \( \mathcal{B} \), referred to as small, satisfying the following requirements:

- every isomorphism is small.
- small morphisms are closed under composition.
- small morphisms are stable under pullback along any morphism of \( \mathcal{B} \).
- if \( f \) and \( f \circ g \), whenever the composite makes sense, are small, then \( g \) is small.

If \( \mathcal{B} \) has a terminal object, then a small object is one whose unique morphism to it is small. We will say that \( \mathcal{B} \) is a category with small morphisms to implicitly mean that it is a category with pullbacks together with a class of small morphisms. Whenever we won’t explicitly or implicitly refer to any notion of smallness in a fixed category, it has to be understood that each morphism is considered as small.

**Remark 3.3.** Categories with small morphisms should intuitively be thought of as categories of classes equipped with a suitable notion of smallness allowing a class/set distinction. Small morphisms have to be thought of as morphisms whose fibers are small objects. In the framework or Algebraic Set Theory they are usually required to satisfy a certain amount of axioms to provide theories of sets and classes as flexible as possible. The reader may further consult [12].

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be a category with small morphisms. A concrete fibration over \( \mathcal{B} \) is a pair \((P, U)\) where \( P: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is a fibration and \( U: P \rightarrow \text{cod} \) is a faithful fibered functor over \( \mathcal{B} \) such that for every morphism \( f: X \rightarrow Y \) in \( \mathcal{X} \), \( Uf \) is a commuting square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
|X| & \overset{|f|}{\longrightarrow} & |Y| \\
\downarrow^{UX} & & \downarrow^{UY} \\
PX & \overset{Pf}{\longrightarrow} & PY
\end{array}
\]

in which \( UX \) and \( UY \) are small morphisms. A concrete fibration over \( \text{Sets} \) will be henceforth referred to as a fibered construct.

**Definition 3.5.** Let \( P: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) be a functor and \( A, B \) be objects in the same \( P \)-fiber. An \((A, B)\)-span in \( P \) is an \((A, B)\)-span \((f, X, g)\) in \( \mathcal{X} \) with \( Pf = Pg \). A vertical \((A, B)\)-span in \( P \) is an \((A, B)\)-span in \( P \) whose components are \( P \)-vertical morphisms. An \((A, B)\)-cospan in \( P \) is an \((A, B)\)-span in the opposite
Definition 3.6. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a category with small morphisms and $P: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibration. $P$ satisfies the Isbell condition if for every objects $A$, $B$ in the same $P$-fiber, there exists an $(A, B)$-span $(\pi_A, R, \pi_B)$ in $P$, with $P\pi_A$ a small morphism, such that for every $(A, B)$-span $(f, X, g)$, there exists a unique $P$-cartesian morphism $\theta: S \to R$, such that $(f, X, g) \sim_P (\pi_A\theta, S, P\pi_B\theta)$. The span $(\pi_A, R, \pi_B)$ will be also referred to as a choice span for $P$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a category with small morphisms and $P: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a cloven fibration. $P$ satisfies the Isbell condition if and only if for every object $I$ in $\mathbb{B}$, and objects $A$, $B$ in $\mathbb{X}_I$, there exists a small morphism $\pi: \Sigma_{A,B} \to I$ together with an $P$-vertical $(\pi^*A, \pi^*B)$-span $(p_A, R, p_B)$ such that for every morphism $u: J \to I$ and $P$-vertical $(u^*A, u^*B)$-span $(a, X, b)$ there exists a unique morphism $\bar{\pi}: J \to \Sigma_{A,B}$ such that $\pi \circ \bar{\pi} = u$ and for every morphism $v: I \to K$ and $(A, B)$-cospan $(h, Z, k)$ with $Ph = Pk = v$, the outer part of diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
R \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
B
\end{array}
\]

commutes over $J$ if and only if its inner part does, where the $P$-vertical morphisms $\bar{p}_A$, $\bar{p}_B$, $\bar{h}$, $\bar{k}$ have been uniquely obtained as shown in the following diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
u^*A & \xrightarrow{\pi^*A} & A \\
\pi_A & \downarrow & \downarrow h \\
\bar{p}_A & \downarrow \bar{h} & \downarrow h \\
u^*R & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}} & R \\
\bar{p}_B & \downarrow \bar{k} & \downarrow k \\
u^*B & \xrightarrow{\pi^*B} & B
\end{array}
\]

where $\bar{u}R$ is a chosen $P$-reindexing of $R$ along $\pi$ and the upper and lower horizontal morphisms in the lefmost diagram are uniquely induced and $P$-cartesian over $\pi$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Remark 3.8. Referring to definition 3.6 it is worth briefly discussing the case in which the $P$-cartesian morphism $\theta$ is a mediating one, that is when in fact $\theta: X \to R$, $\pi_A\theta = f$ and $\pi_B\theta = g$, and in turn the remaining part of the required universal property is automatically verified. With respect to a cloven fibration $P$ over a base category with small morphisms $\mathbb{B}$ the satisfaction of the Isbell condition in this special case, amounts to the following: for every object...
I in \( \mathcal{B} \), and objects \( A, B \) over \( I \), there exists a small morphism \( \pi: \Sigma_{A,B} \to I \) together with an \( \mathcal{B} \)-vertical \((\pi^*A, \pi^*B)\)-span \((p_A, R, p_B)\) such that for every morphism \( u: J \to I \) and \( \mathcal{B} \)-vertical \((u^*A, u^*B)\)-span \((a, X, b)\), there exists a unique morphism \( \varpi: J \to \Sigma_{A,B} \) such that \( \pi \circ \varpi = u \), and \( \varpi (p_A) \simeq a, \varpi (p_B) \simeq b \), vertically over \( J \).

**Proposition 3.9.** Let \( P: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B} \) be a fibration that satisfies the Isbell condition. The following facts hold:

(i) for every object \( X \) in \( \mathcal{X} \), the assignment \( X \mapsto \Sigma_{X,X} \to PX \) extends to a fibered functor \( P \to \text{cod} \) over \( \mathcal{B} \).

(ii) if moreover \( (\mathcal{X}, P) \) is a concrete category over \( \mathcal{B} \), then the previous assignment extends to a faithful fibered functor.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a category. The following facts are equivalent:

(i) \( \mathcal{C} \) is a construct.

(ii) \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfies the Isbell condition.

(iii) \( \text{proj}: \text{Fam}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Sets} \) is a fibered construct.

(iv) \( \text{proj}: \text{Fam}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Sets} \) satisfies the Isbell condition.

**Proof.** (i) ⇔ (ii): see theorem 2.3

(i) ⇔ (iii): every faithful functor \( \mathcal{C} \to \text{Sets} \) extends to a faithful fibered functor as required in (iii) and, viceversa, every faithful fibered functor as in (iii) restricts to a faithful functor as in (i).

(ii) ⇔ (iv): let \( I \) be a set and \( A \doteq (A_i)_{i \in I}, B \doteq (B_i)_{i \in I} \) be \( I \)-indexed families of objects of \( \mathcal{C} \). Since \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfies the Isbell condition, for every \( i \in I \) there exists a choice set \( \Sigma_{A_i,B_i} \) for the \((A_i, B_i)\)-spans in \( \mathcal{C} \). Let its elements be indicated as \((\pi, R^i_{(\pi, \pi')}, \pi')\). Put \( \Sigma_{A,B} = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Sigma_{A_i,B_i} \) and let \( p: \Sigma_{A,B} \to I \) be the evident projection. We claim that the \((A,B)\)-span

\[
\begin{align*}
  & (p, \pi_A) \\
  & (R^i_{(\pi, \pi')})(i, (\pi, \pi')) \in \Sigma_{A,B} \\
  & (p, \pi_B) \\
  & (B_i) \in I
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \pi_A = (\pi, R^i_{(\pi, \pi')} \to A_i)(i, (\pi, \pi')) \in \Sigma_{A,B}, \pi_B = (\pi' : R^i_{(\pi, \pi')} \to B_i)(i, (\pi, \pi')) \in \Sigma_{A,B} \) is a choice span for \( \text{proj} \). Indeed, for every \((A,B)\)-span \((u, f), (X_j)_{j \in J}, (u, g)\) with \( u: J \to I, f = (f_j: X_j \to A_{u(j)})_{j \in J}, g = (X_j \to B_{u(j)})_{j \in J} \), let \( \varpi: J \to \Sigma_{A,B} \) be the function \( \varpi (j) \doteq (u (j), (\pi_{f_j}, R^i_{(\pi_{f_j}, \pi'_{g_j})}, \pi'_{g_j})) \), with \( (\pi_{f_j}, R^i_{(\pi_{f_j}, \pi'_{g_j})}, \pi'_{g_j}) \in \Sigma_{A_{u(j)}, B_{u(j)}} \), the unique span equivalent to \((f_j, X_j, g_j)\) in \( \mathcal{C} \). Put

\[
\theta \doteq (\varpi, \text{id}): (R^i_{(\pi_{f_j}, \pi'_{g_j})})_{j \in J} \to (R^i_{(\pi, \pi')})(i, (\pi, \pi')) \in \Sigma_{A,B}
\]

and observe that for every \((A, B)\)-cospan \(((v, h), (Z_l)_{l \in L}, (v, k))\), with \( v: I \to L, h = (A_i \to Z_{v(i)})_{i \in I}, k = (B_i \to Z_{v(i)})_{i \in I} \), and for every \( j \in J, h_{u(j)} \circ f_j = \cdots \)
$k_{w(j)} \circ g_j$ if and only if $h_{u(j)} \circ \pi_f = k_{w(j)} \circ \pi_g$, since $C$ satisfies the Isbell condition, and that $\theta$ is unique by construction. (iv)$\Rightarrow$(i): $C$ satisfies the Isbell condition because $\text{proj}$ satisfies the Isbell condition with respect to 1-indexed families of objects of $C$ in particular, with 1 a terminal object in $\text{Sets}$. \hfill \Box

4 Concreteness of small fibrations

The notion of internal category makes sense with respect to an ambient category with pullbacks. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, we will henceforth assume such a minimal requirement. We do not fully recall what an internal category is. We describe it as a 6-tuple $C = (C_0, C_1, d_0, d_1, c, i)$ where $d_0, d_1: C_1 \to C_0, c: C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \to C_1, i: C_0 \to C_1$ fit in suitable commutative diagrams, with

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C_1 \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow d_1 \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & C_0
\end{array}
\]

a pullback. A full definition can be found in \cite{10}. \cite{11}. We will refer to an internal category in $\text{Sets}$ as to a small category.

Definition 4.1. Let $C = (C_0, C_1, d_0, d_1, c, i)$ be an internal category in a category $\mathbb{B}$. An internal diagram on $C$ is a pair $(p: F \to C_0, q: C_1 \times_{C_0} F \to F)$ where

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_1 \times_{C_0} F & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & F \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & C_0
\end{array}
\]

is a pullback, and such that the following identities hold:

- $p \circ q = d_1 \circ \pi_1$.
- $q \circ \langle ip, id_F \rangle = id_F$.
- $q \circ (C_1 \times_{C_0} q) = q \circ (c \times_{C_0} F)$.

Internal diagrams on $C$ will be also denoted $(p, q): C \to \mathbb{B}$.

Notation 4.2. Following \cite{10}, where internal diagrams are referred to as category actions, for every pair of morphisms $(f: I \to C_1, a: I \to F)$ we write $f \cdot a$ for the composite $q \circ (f, a)$.

Definition 4.3. Let $C$ be an internal category in a category $\mathbb{B}$. An internal diagram $(p, q): C \to \mathbb{B}$ is faithful if for every $f, g: I \to C_1$, with $d_0 f = d_0 g$, $d_1 f = d_1 g$, and for every $a: I \to F$ with $pa = d_0 f$, if $f \cdot a = g \cdot a$, then $f = g$.

Remark 4.4. Internal categories with a faithful internal diagram may be referred to as concrete internal category but every internal category $C$ is concrete in this sense, since it can be verified that a faithful internal diagram on it is $(d_1: C_1 \to C_0, c: C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \to C_1)$. This is nothing but the internalization of the well known result that every small category has a faithful functor to $\text{Sets}$, see \cite{6}.
Now, let $\mathbf{C}$ be an internal category in $\mathcal{B}$ and $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{C})$ be the category identified by the following data:

**objects:** are pairs $(I, X)$ where $I$ is an object of $\mathcal{B}$ and $X: I \to C_0$ is in $\mathcal{B}$.

**morphisms:** are pairs $(u, f): (I, X) \to (J, Y)$ where $u: I \to J$ and $f: I \to C_1$ in $\mathcal{B}$, fitting together in the commutative diagram

$\begin{array}{ccc}
I & \xrightarrow{u} & J \\
\downarrow^{X} & & \downarrow^{Y} \\
C_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & C_1 \\
\downarrow^{d_1} & & \downarrow^{c_0} \\
C_0 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0
\end{array}$

**composition:** is given by the rule

$$(I, X) \xrightarrow{(u, f)} (J, Y) \xrightarrow{(v, g)} (K, Z)$$

$$(I, X) \xrightarrow{(u, f) \circ (v, g)} (K, Z)$$

**identity:** the identity morphism at $(I, X)$ say, is $(id_I, i \circ X)$.

For every object $(I, X)$ of $\text{Fam}(\mathbf{C})$, the assignment $(I, X) \mapsto I$ extends to a functor $\text{proj}: \text{Fam}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{B}$ which is a fibration referred to as the *externalization* of $\mathbf{C}$. For every morphism $u: I \to J$ and object $(J, Y)$, a $\text{proj}$-cartesian lifting of $(J, Y)$ along $u$ can be taken as

$$(I, Y \circ u) \xrightarrow{(u, i \circ Y \circ u)} (J, Y)$$

A *small fibration* is one which is essentially the externalization of some internal category in its base.

Internal diagrams on an internal category $\mathbf{C}$ in a category $\mathcal{B}$ correspond to fibered functors from the externalization of $\mathbf{C}$ to the fundamental fibration over $\mathcal{B}$; see [10].

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an internal category in a category $\mathcal{B}$. Faithful internal internal diagrams on $\mathbf{C}$ give rise to faithful fibered functors from the externalization of $\mathbf{C}$ to the fundamental fibration over $\mathcal{B}$.

**Proof.** Straightforward. \(\Box\)

**Proposition 4.6.** Every small fibration over a base category with finite limits satisfies the Isbell condition.

**Proof.** Let $\mathbf{C} = (C_0, C_1, d_0, d_1, c, i)$ be an internal category in a category $\mathcal{B}$ with finite limits and $\text{proj}: \text{Fam}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{B}$ be its externalization. For every object $I$
in B and I-indexed families A, B: I → C_0, construct the diagram

$$\Sigma_{A,B} \xrightarrow{h} S \xrightarrow{\sigma} C_0$$

(1)

in which all the quadrilaterals are pullbacks. We claim that the proj-vertical \((\pi^*(I, A), \pi^*(I, B))\)-span that fits in diagram

$$\Sigma_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\pi} I \xrightarrow{\langle A,B \rangle} \Sigma_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\pi} I$$

is universal as described in remark 3.8. Indeed, for every morphism \(u: J \to I\) and for every proj-vertical \((u^*(I, A), u^*(I, B))\)-span

$$\Sigma_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\pi} I \xrightarrow{\langle A,B \rangle} \Sigma_{A,B}$$

there exists a unique morphism \(\tilde{u}: J \to S\) with \(\sigma \circ \tilde{u} = X\) and \((s_1, s_2) \circ \tilde{u} = \langle f, g \rangle\). Thus, in turn there exists a unique morphism \(\pi: J \to \Sigma_{A,B}\) with \(\pi \circ \tilde{u} = u\) and \(h \circ \pi = \tilde{u}\). It can be seen that diagram

$$J \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_{A,B}$$

commutes over \(\pi\).

**Remark 4.7.** We observe that proposition 4.6 can be restated with respect to a base category with small morphisms by further requiring every diagonal morphism to be small, as well as all the structure morphisms of an internal category in it.
Recall from [14, 13] the notion of pullback of a parallel pair of morphisms in a category with pullbacks: for every morphisms \(h: T \to A\) and \(f, g: X \to A\), the pullback of the pair \((f, g)\) along \(h\) can be constructed as shown in diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\downarrow h \quad \downarrow f \\
A \quad X \\
\downarrow g \quad \downarrow T \\
\bullet \\
\end{array}
\]

in which all the quadrilaterals are pullbacks.

**Proposition 4.8.** Let \(C = (C_0, C_1, d_0, d_1, c, i)\) be an internal category in a category \(\mathbb{B}\) with finite limits. The externalization of \(C\) is a concrete fibration.

**Proof.** We prove the thesis by showing that for every object \((I, X)\), the assignment \((I, X) \mapsto \Sigma_{X,X} \to I\) extends to a faithful fibered functor as required. In view of proposition 4.5 we do this by describing the faithful internal diagram that gives rise to the wanted faithful fibered functor. Construct the pullback of the parallel pair \((d_0 \times d_0, d_1 \times d_1)\) against \(\Delta_0: C_0 \to C_0 \times C_0\), like this:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma & \quad & F & \quad & \tau \\
\downarrow \Delta_0 & \quad & \downarrow F & \quad & \downarrow C_0 \\
C_0 & \quad & C_0 \times C_0 & \quad & C_0 \times C_0 \\
\downarrow d_0 \times d_0 & \quad & \downarrow d_1 \times d_1 & \quad & \downarrow \Delta_0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Let the first component of the wanted internal diagram be \(p = \tau \circ p_1\). Construct the pullback \((\pi_1, C_1 \times C_0, F, \pi_2)\) of \(p\) against \(d_0\). Construct the morphism \(\epsilon: C_1 \to F\), uniquely induced in diagram 2 by the triple \((d_1, \Delta_1: C_1 \to C_1 \times C_1, d_0)\) and observe that it is monic. Construct the pullback \((q_1, F \times C_0, F, q_2)\) of \(p\) against \(\sigma \circ p_2\) and let \(\mu: C_1 \times C_0 \to F \times C_0, F\) be the uniquely induced morphism in it, determined by the pair \((\epsilon \pi_1, \pi_2)\). Construct the pullback \((\pi_1 \times \pi_1, (C_1 \times C_0, C_1) \times (C_1 \times C_0, C_1))\) of \(d_1 \times d_1\) against \(d_0 \times d_0\) and let \(\gamma: F \times C_0 \to (C_1 \times C_0, C_1) \times (C_1 \times C_0, C_1)\) be the uniquely induced morphism determined by the pair \((s_1, s_2) \tau q_1, (t_1, t_2) q_1 q_2)\). Construct the second component \(q_1: C_1 \times C_0 \to F\) of the wanted internal diagram as the uniquely induced morphism in 2 determined by the triple \((d_1 \pi_1, (c \times c) \gamma \mu, \sigma p_2 \pi_2)\). Thus, the identity \(pq = d_1 \pi_1\) holds by construction, and the remaining identities are easily checked to hold, so that \((p, q): C \to \mathbb{B}\) and it is faithful. Indeed, let \(f, g: I \to C_1\) with \(d_0 f = d_0 g\) and \(d_1 f = d_1 g\) be such that for every \(a: I \to F\), \(f \cdot a = g \cdot a\). Thus, in particular, it can be easily checked that \(\epsilon f = f \cdot (\epsilon i \circ d_0 f) = g \cdot (\epsilon i \circ d_0 g) = \epsilon g\), and use the fact that \(\epsilon\) is monic. \(\square\)
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