A Game of Infection – Song of Respiratory Viruses and Interferons
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Humanity has experienced four major pandemics since the twentieth century, with the 1918 Spanish flu, the 2002 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), the 2009 swine flu, and the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 pandemics having the most important impact in human health. The 1918 Spanish flu caused unprecedented catastrophes in the recorded human history, with an estimated death toll between 50 – 100 million. While the 2002 SARS and 2009 swine flu pandemics caused approximately 780 and 280,000 deaths, respectively, the current COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in > 6 million deaths globally at the time of writing. COVID-19, instigated by the SARS–coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), causes unprecedented challenges in all facets of our lives, and never before brought scientists of all fields together to focus on this singular topic. While for the past 50 years research have been heavily focused on viruses themselves, we now understand that the host immune responses are just as important in determining the pathogenesis and outcomes of infection. Research in innate immune mechanisms is crucial in understanding all aspects of host antiviral programmes and the mechanisms underpinning virus-host interactions, which can be translated to the development of effective therapeutic avenues. This review summarizes what is known and what remains to be explored in the innate immune responses to influenza viruses and SARS-CoVs, and virus-host interactions in driving disease pathogenesis. This hopefully will encourage discussions and research on the unanswered questions, new paradigms, and antiviral strategies against these emerging infectious pathogens before the next pandemic occurs.
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INTRODUCTION

Influenza A viruses (IAVs) and severe acute respiratory syndrome – coronaviruses (SARS-CoVs) are both regarded as the most important respiratory infectious pathogens in human population. Their ever-changing nature constantly escapes from our current therapeutics, while emerging into novel viruses with unpredictable lethality. We have little immunity to these new viruses, and when they evolve and if they become pandemic, the consequences would be unthinkable. The 2009 H1N1
pandemic caused devastating effects, although by sheer luck the virus was not a lethal virus. The International Health Regulations Committee (World Health Organization) concluded in their 2010 report that “the world is ill-prepared to respond to a severe influenza pandemic or to any similarly global, sustained and threatening public health emergency.” (WHO, 2011). Ten years later, the unexpected appearance of the novel SARS-CoV-2 and CoV disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has again emphasised our lack of preparedness and apart from costly public health measures a complete absence of effective antiviral therapeutics. Despite serious concerns of vaccine mismatch and escape mutants (Australian Government, D.o.H., 2017; Paules et al., 2018), vaccination remains the most important preventative measure against IAVs and SARS-CoV-2. Nevertheless, there is also a need to develop immune-targeting therapeutics that can be immediately deployed at the initial phase of the pandemic before vaccines become available and accessible to all nations.

Human innate immunity is an ancient immune architecture that has evolved to protect the host from microbial insults. The host pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) recognise specific genetic features common to viruses, and these factors are essential barriers to most respiratory viruses and cross-species viral transmission.

IAVs and SARS-CoVs, in agreement with the Red Queen Hypothesis, have also evolved to withstand the selective pressure from the host immune responses (Brockhurst et al., 2014). These viruses produce not only essential proteins for viral replication but also factors that effectively weaken the host antiviral responses and enhances their virulence and survival. These virus-host interactions are the primary drivers of severe diseases in the host. While rapid advances in knowledge in the host innate antiviral responses and viral infections has been achieved by fundamental discoveries, how virus-host interactions mediate dysregulated immune responses and severe diseases remain to be further defined. Understanding these mechanisms will provide important insights in the development of effective antiviral therapeutics against these infectious pathogens.

In this Review, we summarize what the host antiviral networks have taught us, how the viruses compromise our defensive responses, and current unsolved mysteries in infection and innate immunity.

Intracellular Sentinels and the Enablers of the Antiviral Responses

IAVs and CoVs primarily infect human airway epithelial cells for viral replication. Human IAVs specifically bind with host cell surface glycoproteins carrying terminal α2,6 linked sialic acid residues, whereas avian IAVs bind to that with α2,3 linked sialic acid residues (Ito et al., 1997; Matrosovich et al., 2004). In contrast, SARS-CoVs gain cell entry by binding to angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE2) on airways epithelial cells (Wang Q et al., 2020; Scialo et al., 2020). IAVs and SARS-CoVs also infect alveolar type II pneumocytes and cause viral pneumonia that often requires hospitalization (Weinheimer et al., 2012; Wahl et al., 2021). Host innate immune responses initiated by these airway epithelial cells provide critical first line of defence against viral infections.

Human innate immune system has developed a suite of intracellular PRRs to detect viral RNAs and trigger innate immune responses that restrict viral replication (Figure 1). There are two major classes of PRRs that facilitate the host antiviral responses, retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), endosomal Toll-like receptors (TLRs). RLRs include RIG-I and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5). RIG-I specifically recognises 5′ triphosphate RNAs (5′pppRNAs) that are produced by the IAVs, whereas MDA5 and TLR3/7 binds with virus double stranded (ds)RNAs. Two recent studies independently showed that RIG-I (Thorne et al., 2021) and MDA5 (Yin et al., 2021) was crucial in inducing antiviral responses to SARS-CoV-2, however the importance of the former remains controversial as SARS-CoV-2 has not been shown to produce 5′pppRNAs. Endosomal TLR3/7 also recognise IAV RNAs and are predicted to also detect that of SARS-CoVs, and mediate both antiviral and inflammatory responses.

Once engaged with viral RNAs, RIG-I is activated by adaptor proteins RING Finger (RFNP)135 (also known as RIPLET) and Tripartite Motif Containing 5 (TRIM25) (Gack et al., 2007; Hayman et al., 2019), and MDA-5 activation is dependent on interferon-inducible gene (ISG)15 (Liu et al., 2021). Activated RIG-I and MDA-5 interacts with mitochondrial antiviral signalling (MAVS) adaptor protein. MAVS forms fibrillar oligomeric complexes when activated and drives downstream antiviral cytokine production. MAVS recruits tumour-necrosis-factor (TNF)-receptor associated factor (TRAF)3, which activates TANK binding kinase (TBK1) – IκB kinase (IKK)c – IKKy complex and mediates activations of interferon (IFN) regulatory factor 3/7 (Paz et al., 2011). Activated IRFs then translocate into nucleus where they facilitate the production of antiviral proteins including type I IFNs [IFN-α/-β/-ν/κ/-ε (epsilon)/-ω (omega)] and type III IFNs IFN-λ1 [interleukin-29 (IL-29)], IFN-λ2 (IL-28A), IFN-λ3 (IL-28B), and IFN-λ4. The released IFNs act on the same/neighbouring cells to induce the expression of over 300 IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) via the transcription factor signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT1). Notable ISGs that have been well characterised include protein kinase R (PKR) that inhibits viral replication and induces apoptosis (Stark et al., 1998; Fitzgerald et al., 2003; Seth et al., 2005; Hsu et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2016), and IFN-inducible transmembrane (IFITM) 1, 2, and 3 proteins that entrap infecting virions within endosome and subsequent elimination in the endolysomes (Brass et al., 2009; Feeley et al., 2011; Compton et al., 2016).

In addition to RLRs and TLR3/, Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp (DEAD) box (DDX)1 protein is another PRR that has been shown to be important in IFN responses. Both RIG-I (aka. DDX58) and DD1 belongs to the same DEAD protein family. DDX1 forms a biomolecular condensate with DDX21 and Asp-Glu-Ala-His (DEAH) box (DHX)36 proteins (Figure 1) and induces type I IFNs via the adaptor toll-interleukin receptor (TIR)-containing adaptor molecule-1 (TICAM-1)/TIR domain-containing.
adaptor-induced IFN-β (TRIF) (Fuller-Pace, 2006; Zhang et al., 2011).

Endosomal TLR3 also employs TRIF as its adaptor protein and facilitates the activation of IKKa/β/γ complex (aka. NEMO) and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). NF-κB then drives the production of type I IFNs and pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, CXCL-8, and TNF-α (Vallabhapurapu and Karin, 2009). These cytokines recruit immune cells such as macrophages, neutrophils, and natural killer (NK) cells to the site of infection and remove virus-infected cells (Biron et al., 1999; Mandelboim et al., 2001; Hsu et al., 2016). TLR7 and its adaptor myeloid differentiation primary response (MyD)88 mediates activation of IRF7 and subsequent transcription of type I IFNs.

IAV infection can lead to heightened inflammation (aka. cytokine storm) that promotes tissue destruction and pneumonia (Hsu et al., 2017; Scharenberg et al., 2019; Vanders et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2019). Excessive IL-6, IL-1β, and TNFα levels along with increased recruitment of immune cells in the airways contribute to epithelial damage and acute lung injury, driving the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), leading to severe vascular leakage and pulmonary edema (Short et al., 2014). Local inflammation can spill over into the systemic circulation, causing systemic inflammation, sepsis, and death.

Similar to IAV infection, excessive airway inflammation and epithelial damage also occurs in severe COVID-19. In addition to elevated IL-1β and TNF-α productions (Sheridan et al., 1997; Kolb et al., 2001; Kimura et al., 2009; Al-Sadi et al., 2013; Kimura et al., 2013), chemokine CCL2 that is typically released by damaged cells and recruits monocytes has also been shown to be increased in COVID-19 patients (Huang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). These uncontrolled inflammation and tissue damage compromises the integrity of epithelial-endothelial (air-blood) barrier, leading to ARDS that is evident in severe COVID-19 (Huang et al., 2020; McGonagle et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2020) as well as in highly pathogenic avian IAV H5N1 infection (Chotpitayasunondh et al., 2005; Tisoncik et al., 2012; Gu et al., 2019).

**Constitutively Protective or Pathogenic IFNs**

Protective, constitutive, and Inducible IFNs

The innate immune cytokines are thought to be only induced upon virus infection, reports have demonstrated that type I IFNs were constitutively expressed at low levels at resting state and primed the epithelial cells for a more robust antiviral responses to infection (Figure 2). This “revving-up” model has been observed with both IFN-α and IFN-β in IAV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (Hsu et al., 2012; Tsugawa et al., 2014), and may be driven by the constitutive expression of IRF3. This however is unlikely as IRF3 dimerization is not evident at resting state (Yoo et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015), and IRF3 deficiency had no effect on the production of constitutive IFN-β (Hata et al., 2001). Alternative mechanisms are possibly involved in the constitutive IFN responses. Indeed, transcriptional factors NF-κB and activating protein-1 (AP-1) appears to be essential in constitutive IFN-β production (Gough et al., 2010; Balachandran and Beg, 2011; Basagoudanavar et al., 2011), as subunits of these factors (RelA and c-Jun, respectively) have been reported to bind to the IFN-β promoter region at resting state, although they have been shown to be dispensable in virus-induced IFN-β expression.
in airway epithelial cells. Deeper elucidation is needed to decipher the exact mechanisms by which constitutive IFNs and ISGs are maintained, particularly the interactions amongst transcriptional factors such as IRFs, NF-κB, AP-1, and other factors.

Pathogenic IFNs
Type I and III IFNs have consistently been reported to inhibit IAV viral replication in both in vitro (Hsu et al., 2011), in vivo animal models (Mordstein et al., 2008; Davidson et al., 2015), and human clinical trials (Bennett et al., 2013). However, the same protective force appears to be detrimental in severe SARS-CoV infections. Longitudinal studies revealed that while most patients with SARS-CoV infection had early IFN expression and the disease was resolved as the SARS-CoV-specific antibodies increased, those with severe diseases had initially delayed but later persistent IFN responses that were associated with reduced levels of SARS-CoV-specific antibodies and poor clinical outcomes (Cameron et al., 2007). Similarly, patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 also showed early IFN responses (Galani et al., 2021) (Figure 3A), and those with severe diseases and those deceased had increased and prolonged IFN expressions in the blood (Lucas et al., 2020) (Figure 3B). Interestingly, human seasonal CoV OC43 has also been shown to induce a delayed but productive viral replication with minimal type I and III IFNs as well as ISGs expressions in differentiated human primary bronchial epithelial cell model (Loo et al., 2020). The same pathological roles of IFNs have also been recapitulated in in vivo models. Infection with SARS-CoV or with SARS-CoV-2 showed delayed but enhanced levels of type I IFN expressions (after peak viral replication) and increased infiltration of inflammatory cytokines and macrophages, vascular leakage, and increased disease severity (Channappanavar et al., 2016; Bessiere et al., 2021). Prophylactic IFN-α treatment (1 day before infection) or early treatment post infection (1 day post inoculation) in experimental SARS-CoV-2 infection in hamster led to reduced mortality. Late IFN-α treatment (3 days post inoculation) had no protective effects (Bessiere et al., 2021) (Figure 3C). The protracted type I and possibly type III IFNs may be a common innate immunological feature in beta-CoV infections (including OC43 and SARS-CoVs), and this may be driven by continuous stimulation of ISG expressions. ISGs including STATs, RLRs, and IRFs further amplify type I IFN productions, which increases the recruitment and activation of immune cells into the lung. IFN-β-treated, and SARS-CoV-infected mice showed increased infiltration of macrophages in the lung compared with non-IFN treated and infected group, and IFN-β-mediated pathogenesis was reduced when monocytes were depleted (Channappanavar et al., 2016).

Possible Pathogenic Mechanisms by Late IFN Productions
Severe SARS-CoV-2 infection has been characterized with high levels of circulating mitochondrial (mt)DNA in the peripheral blood (Scozzi et al., 2021), strongly indicating compromised mitochondrial membrane integrity and function. mtDNAs stimulate the productions of type I IFNs expressions via cGAS-cGAMP-mediated STING pathway (Yang et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2020). Viral RNAs and host mtDNAs may likely trigger excessive expression of type I and III IFNs (Table 1).

In addition to enhanced clearance of virus-infected epithelial cells by macrophages and NK cells, exaggerated IFN levels may directly instigate sudden epithelial death. IAV infections have been shown to induce epithelial cell necroptosis (Shubina et al.,...
2020), and constitutive type I IFNs have recently been implicated in the induction of necroptosis via a key effector Mixed Lineage Kinase Domain Like Pseudokinase (MLKL) (Sarhan et al., 2019). ISGs including PKR, 2’S 5’A oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS), TNF-alpha related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL), and galectin 9 have also been implicated in apoptosis induction (Chawla-Sarkar et al., 2003; Haw et al., 2016). While the exact ISGs and mechanisms that participate in IFN-mediated pathogenesis require further investigation, these signals enable an extensive suicidal response that clears virus-infected cells but at the expense of lung tissue integrity.

This late persistent IFN signature appears to be unique to severe COVID-19 and have not been observed with severe IAV infections (Wong et al., 2018; Turianova et al., 2019). This “interferonopathy” in COVID-19 may not be solely driven by type I IFNs. Type I and III IFNs have distinct homology and receptor utilisation but appear to induce similar ISG profiles (Kotenko et al., 2003; Sheppard et al., 2003; Prokunina-Olsson et al., 2013). While their functional differences and redundancies remain to be further defined, type I IFN-β has been reported to mediate acute ISG signatures, while type III IFN-λ induced more sustained ISG responses (Levy et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2016). IFN-λ has also been shown to be the primary effector of the antiviral defences against viral infection at the mucosal barrier such as the gastrointestinal tract (Pott et al., 2011; Li et al., 2019).

Surprisingly, IFN-λ4, a member of the type III IFNs, was recently demonstrated to be expressed but mostly retained intracellularly in HCV and Sendai virus infection, instead of

| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| IFN timing | Protective | Pathogenic |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| Role | Mechanisms | O Viral RNA stimulation of IFNs and ISGs | O Viral RNA and mitochondrial RNA stimulation of IFNs and ISGs |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| Role | O Early IFN- and ISG-mediated inhibition of virus replication | O Excessive IFN- and ISG-induced cell death |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| Role | O Minimal removal of virus-infected epithelial cells by macrophages and NK cells | O ER-retained IFN-λ4, ER stress, and UPR-induced cell death |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| IFN timing | Early IFNs | Late IFNs |
| Role | O Increased specific antibody levels | |
being secreted like other IFNs (Obajemu et al., 2017; Onabajo et al., 2021). Intracellular IFN-λ4 caused endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and the associated unfolded protein response (UPR), indicating that the translated IFN-λ4 were likely misfolded, and that the prolonged ER stress and UPR could lead to apoptotic death and tissue damage. It remains to be defined if IAV and CoV infections also cause accumulation of misfolded IFN-λ4, albeit ER stress and UPR has been demonstrated in SARS-CoV-2 infection (Koseler et al., 2020; Pathinayake et al., 2020; Bartolini et al., 2022).

The timing and magnitudes of IFN inductions, as well as the mechanisms of action of ISGs is therefore crucial in determining the molecular equipoise of protective and pathogenic IFNs. While the precise mechanisms require further investigation, it is tempting to speculate that IFN-mediated pathogenesis in COVID-19 is also attributed to viral factors.

**Counterstrike by the IAVs and SARS-CoVs**

Both IAVs and CoVs encode virulence factors that subvert host innate antiviral systems. IAV produces non-structural (NS)1 and polymerase basic (PB)1-F2 proteins that target multiple components of the IFN system (Hsu, 2018). NS1 is a small multifunctional protein that provides stealth within the cells and impairs the host antiviral responses. The RNA-binding domain of the NS1 recognises and shields viral nucleic acids from the host PRR system (Hatada and Fukuda, 1992; Qian et al., 1995; Chien et al., 2004). The non-structured effector domain stabilizes the RNA-binding domain but also interacts and interferes with host proteins including RIPLET, TRIM25, and PKR (Figure 4). RIPLET and TRIM25 facilitates activation of RIG-I and NS1 directly binds with these adaptors and inhibits RIG-I-mediated type I and III IFN expression (Wang et al., 2002; Bornholdt and Prasad, 2008; Rajsbaum et al., 2012). NS1 also impedes PKR from binding to viral RNAs and prevents PKR-mediated apoptosis. NS1 inhibits viral RNA recognition by PKR via the NS1 RNA-binding domain, and also directly interacts with PKR and suppresses PKR-mediated apoptosis through its effector domain (Bergmann et al., 2000; Dauber et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Min et al., 2007). Host PI3K signalling pathway is an important modulator of cellular proliferation and apoptosis. IAV has been shown to utilize this pathway as an alternative entry into cells in addition to the sialic acid residues-bearing glycoproteins (Hsu et al., 2011; Hsu et al., 2015). NS1 effector domain directly interacts with PI3K subunit p85β, further enhancing the rate of virus internalization, and this interaction also led to inhibition of PI3K-induced apoptosis (Hale et al., 2006; Shin et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008; Kedzierski et al., 2017). In addition to subverting host antiviral responses, NS1 also stalls

![Figure 4](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2022.937460/full#fig4)
host mRNA processing. NS1 binds with the pre-mRNA cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor 30 (CPSF30) and poly A binding II (PABII) proteins, shutting down the host protein synthesis during infection (Nemeroff et al., 1998; Chen et al., 1999; Noah et al., 2003) (Figure 4).

Human H3N2 NS1 possesses a Ala-Arg-Ser-Lys (ARSK) tail at the carboxyl terminus (amino acid residues 226 – 229), which is analogous to the ART(Thr)K motif found on the lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4) in the host cell nucleus (Marazzi et al., 2012). Like H3K4 ARTK, NS1 ARSK tail acts as a molecular mimic that directly interacts with human polymerase II-associated factor 1 (hPAFI) and inhibits host protein synthesis (Figure 4). Interestingly, this ARSK tail is exclusively found in the human H3N2 and appears to be lost in other IAV subtypes, including highly pathogenic viruses H5N1, H7N9, and the pandemic H1N1 2009. The reason for this loss of a seemingly beneficial motif in other subtypes remains a mystery.

PB1-F2 is an accessory protein translated from an alternative open reading frame of PB1. PB1-F2 promotes inflammatory cytokine storm during IAV infection (McAuley et al., 2013) and also modulate antiviral responses. PB1-F2 contains a carboxyl-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence and directly binds with MAVS and disrupts RIG-I docking (Varga et al., 2011; Varga et al., 2012) (Figure 5A). PB1-F2 also targets inner and outer mitochondrial membrane transport proteins adenine nucleotide translocator 3 (ANT3) and voltage-dependent anion channel 1 (VDAC1), impairing mitochondrial membrane integrity and inducing apoptosis (Yoshizumi et al., 2014). Remarkably PB1-F2 has been shown to form a fibrillar aggregate structure that is dependent on the α-helical oligomerization domain at the carboxyl-terminus. This amyloid-like fibres have been hypothesized to form membrane pores, indicating that the PB1-F2 fibrillar structure may directly impair mitochondrial function (Chanturiya et al., 2004; Bruns et al., 2007; McAuley et al., 2013). Indeed, PB1-F2 has been demonstrated to translocate into the innate mitochondrial space via mitochondrial import receptor TOM40 protein (Yoshizumi et al., 2014), and avian IAV H7N9 PB1-F2 enhanced production of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and calcium efflux, leading to mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation and apoptosis (Pinar et al., 2017). Interestingly, full length PB1-F2 is mostly expressed by the highly pathogenic viruses including H5N1 and 1918 H1N1, as well as the human seasonal H3N2 virus. In contrast most seasonal H1N1 viruses express PB1-F2 without the carboxyl-terminus, which did not translocate into mitochondrial membrane (Yoshizumi et al., 2014). The truncated PB1-F2 of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 is thought to contribute to the reduced severity of the 2009 pandemic H1N1. This then raises an interesting question. Have the PB1-F2 of seasonal human IAVs lost all of its IFN antagonistic, inflammation- and death-inducing capabilities and what evolutionary advantages do this loss serve for the IAVs that adapt in humans? What does the truncated PB1-F2 do in the host cells? It is possible that PB1-F2 progressively loses the

![FIGURE 5](https://www.frontiersin.org) | SARS-CoV virulence factors, their targets in the host and type I interferonopathies. (A) SARS-CoVs encode numerous viral factors that directly reduce the hosts antiviral responses. Nucleocapsid (N) protein inhibits RIG-I activation, matrix (M), non-structural protein (nsp)13, and nsps6 impairs TANK binding kinase (TBK1) activation, thus impairing the production of type I and III IFN expressions. Open-reading frame (ORF)3a and ORF6 targets suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS) that negatively regulates signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)1 activation. ORF9b inhibits MAVS activity, suppressing RIG-I signal transduction. Does ORF9b compromise mitochondrial membrane integrity and promote mitochondrial (mt)DNAs escape? mtDNAs further drive the production of type I IFNs via cytoplasmic DNA sensor cGAS and STING and contribute to the type I interferonopathies observed in severe COVID-19. (B) Type I interferonopathies is initially facilitated by viral RNAs that induce the expression of type I and III IFNs and IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), which further promote the productions of these IFNs. Excessive IFNs also promote the recruitment and activation of innate immune cells including macrophages and natural killer (NK) cells that destroy virus-infected epithelial cells. Intrinsically viral proteins cause mitochondrial membrane rupture, leading to the release of mtDNAs that not only further promote IFN productions, but also cell death. This figure was created with BioRender.com.
carboxyl-terminus as newly emerged viruses adapt in human, evolving into variants that replicate efficiently in human hosts without extensive apoptosis.

There also appears to be two opposing signals by the two most important IAV virulence factors, NS1 (anti-apoptotic) and PB1-F2 (pro-apoptotic). The molecular equipoise of apoptosis is currently unknown, although for human viruses the lost PB1-F2 carboxyl-terminus may indicate a more anti-apoptotic signals for the host cells. An inefficient virus kills it host, and a clever virus stays with it.

For SARS-CoVs, despite the protracted type I IFN signatures observed in severe COVID-19, SARS-CoVs express numerous molecules that inhibit host antiviral responses. Recent *in vitro* screening assays have indicated several SARS-CoV-2 factors that appeared to modulate host IFN responses, including structural N and M proteins, open reading frames (ORFs) 3a, 6, 7b, and 9b, and non-structural proteins (nsp) 1, 6, and 13 (Lei et al., 2020; Xia et al., 2020) (**Figure 5A**). Ectopically expressed SARS-CoV-2 N protein has been shown to bind and impede RIG-I-mediated IFN responses upon sendai virus infection (Chen et al., 2020). Whether this indicates SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA recognition by RIG-I require further investigation, but this demonstrates an interesting targeting choice by the N protein, and may indicate non-viral RNA binding functions of RIG-I.

M protein, nsp6 and nsp13 has been shown to directly interfere with TBK1 activation and inhibit IRF3 activation and IFN productions (Xia et al., 2020; Sui et al., 2021; Sui et al., 2022). ORF3a and ORF6 inhibits type I IFN responses by upregulating the suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS) 1 expression, a negative regulator of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 activation (Lei et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021) (**Figure 5A**). ORF9b has been shown to localize to the mitochondria and impair type I IFN productions via its interactions with MAVS and TOM70 (Shi et al., 2014; Bojkova et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2020). This may thus explain the presence of high circulating mtDNAs in those with severe COVID-19 (Scozzi et al., 2021) and COVID-19 interferonopathies (**Figure 5A**).

It is remarkable for SARS-CoVs to encode multiple IFN-antagonistic factors, could this, along with higher levels of initial exposure to virus and viral replication, explain the delayed type I IFN and type III IFN responses in those with severe COVID-19? Expression of these viral antagonistic factors in the early phase of infection restricts early IFN responses and maximize viral replication, whilst eliciting strong pro-inflammatory responses in the airways. High levels of viral RNAs and mtDNAs from damaged mitochondria then continuously drive excessive production of IFNs, which potentially become self-sustained through positive feed-back loops (**Figure 5B**). Integrated spatial and temporal transcriptomic, proteomic, and interactomic analyses of viral and host proteins will thus provide critical insights in the dynamic expressions and interactions of viral proteins and host antiviral responses, and to reveal optimal intervention strategies.

**CONCLUDING PERSPECTIVES**

The arms race between the host and the virus dictates the outcomes of the infection. While advanced genetic screening technologies have accelerated the discovery of host intrinsic restriction factors involved in host antiviral immunity and viral infection, their detailed molecular mechanisms of actions require further investigation. This includes constitutive IFN revving-up system and how they are produced and maintained at the mucosal sites and the transcription mechanisms involved. Are they constitutively expressed at low levels and released or are they stored within the cells such as IFN-λ4 in the ER? Despite the shared Jak-STAT pathway activated by type I and III IFNs, how are they differentially and transcriptionally tuned and whether they induce unique ISG signatures also deserve further clarification. These are fundamental but underexplored areas in innate antiviral immunity, identifying the diversity and functional insights into IFN and ISG biology will provide a wealth of advances in conserved and novel mechanisms of protection from viral pathogens.

Furthermore, there are many important but unanswered questions central to the inception of interferonopathies. This includes the identities of the stimulating moieties (viral RNAs, self-DNAs (mtDNAs), and/or other unidentified molecules) that induce exaggerated type I and perhaps type III IFNs, their signalling kinetics and specific ISG repertoires and precise mechanisms that control viral infection or drive interferonopathies.

The continuous viral mutations give the viruses a huge evolutionary advantage in subverting host innate antiviral systems for survival. IAVs and SARS-CoVs virulence factors strategically compromise key PRR and IFN signalling transduction, host gene transcription, as well as ISG effector functions. As more intrinsic antiviral and cell biological factors are continually being characterised, more of which have been shown to be targeted by viral virulence factors. It is critical that we understand the full spectrum of interacting partners of the IAV and CoV virulence factors and the mutations that render the viruses more infectious or virulent. This may contribute to the surveillance of not just emerging viruses but also their virulence potentials in human.

As we head into the third year of the COVID-19 pandemic and eased restrictions, SARS-CoV-2 is likely to become epidemic and co-circulate with seasonal IAVs in the community. Several studies have reported incidences of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 co-infection amongst those recovered from severe COVID-19 and in those deceased (Wang D et al., 2020; Khodamoradi et al., 2020; Konala et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Cuadrado-Payan et al., 2020; Khorramdelazad et al., 2021). Whether and how co-infection leads to worsened clinical outcomes need to be elucidated, although a recent study showed IAV and SARS-CoV-2 co-infection was significantly associated with increased risk of death (Swets et al., 2022). More importantly, IAV infection has also been shown to increase angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)2 expression, the host surface receptor required for SARS-CoV-2 entry into host cells, which may lead to enhanced diseases (Schweitzer et al., 2021). Is SARS-influenza or “flurona” winter coming or is it here already?

It is also important to understand how inborn error of type I IFN signalling impacts overall antiviral responses in severe viral infections and immune-targeted therapeutics such as inhaled IFN-β (Djukanović et al., 2014). In particular, autosomal-
recessive deficiencies in IRF7 and IFNAR1 and autosomal-dominant deficiencies in TLR3, TBK1, IRF3, IRF7, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 genes have been found in a small percentage of patients with severe influenza and COVID-19 (Ciancanelli et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2019; Thomsen et al., 2019). This indicates that not all would benefit from IFN treatment and alternative immune-targeting therapeutic options need to be developed. Regardless, emerging respiratory infectious viruses will always pose a threat to human and our way of life, we need to continually invest in and support research in virology, immunology, and therapeutic strategies before the next pandemic occurs.

In summary, there has been a major explosion of knowledge in PRRs and IFNs, and this will provide important understandings in critical antiviral elements in controlling viral discovery. We look forward to further exciting fundamental discoveries and translational research, and how these fields might develop into potential therapeutics against emerging respiratory infectious viruses in the future.
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