Identification of Neuroglycan C and Interacting Partners as Potential Susceptibility Genes for Schizophrenia in a Southern Chinese Population
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Chromosome 3p was reported by previous studies as one of the regions showing strong evidence of linkage with schizophrenia. We performed a fine-mapping association study of a 6-Mb high-LD and gene-rich region on 3p in a Southern Chinese sample of 489 schizophrenia patients and 519 controls to search for susceptibility genes. In the initial screen, 4 SNPs out of the 144 tag SNPs genotyped were nominally significant ($P < 0.05$). One of the most significant SNPs (rs3732530, $P = 0.0048$) was a non-synonymous SNP in the neuroglycan C (NGC, also known as CSPG5) gene, which belongs to the neuregulin family. The gene prioritization program Endeavor ranked NGC 8th out of the 129 genes in the 6-Mb region and the highest among the genes within the same LD block. Further genotyping of NGC revealed 3 more SNPs to be nominally associated with schizophrenia. Three other genes (NRG1, ErbB3, ErbB4) involved in the neuregulin pathways were subsequently genotyped. Interaction analysis by multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) revealed a significant two-SNP interaction between NGC and NRG1 ($P = 0.015$) and three-SNP interactions between NRG1 and ErbB4 ($P = 0.009$). The gene NGC is exclusively expressed in the brain. It is implicated in neurodevelopment in rats and was previously shown to promote neurite outgrowth. Methamphetamine, a drug that may induce psychotic symptoms, was reported to alter the expression of NGC. Taken together, these results suggest that NGC may be a novel candidate gene, and neuregulin signaling pathways may play an important role in schizophrenia.
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INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia (SZ [MIM 181500]) is a severe, common neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorder affecting nearly 1% of the human population [Sawa and Snyder, 2002]. Although the disorder has high heritability (around 80% as shown by twin studies) [Cardno and Gottesman, 2000], the overall evidence from family and linkage studies indicates complex inheritance with the involvement of multiple genetic loci. Linkage and association studies have so far identified a few susceptibility genes of modest effect, including neuregulin 1 (NRG1), dysbindin (DTNBP1), and D-amino-acid oxidase (DAAO) [Harrison and Weinberger, 2005].

Chromosome 3p is one region with evidence of linkage with SZ, first implicated by a genome-wide linkage scan [Pulver et al., 1995] which identified 3p and 8p to have the two highest LOD scores (2.34 and 3.00, respectively). Another linkage study on targeted chromosomal regions yielded a two-point LOD score of ~1.5 in support of linkage to 3p [Maziade et al., 2001]. The best evidence of linkage to 3p came from the meta-analysis performed by Lewis et al. [2003]. This meta-analysis included data from 20 schizophrenia genome scans and employed a rank-based approach to combine evidence from different scans. The second bin on chromosome 3p showed strong statistical significance for linkage, ranking 2nd in the unweighted analysis and 3rd in the weighted analysis (in which each study was weighted by the square root of its sample size).

In this study, we attempt to screen chromosome 3p for susceptibility genes for SZ in a Southern Chinese sample of 489 schizophrenia patients and 519 controls. We decided to concentrate on the 47–53 Mb region of 3p, which has high levels of linkage disequilibrium (LD) and gene density (see Fig. 1). The region was interrogated by tag SNPs selected using a clustering algorithm [Ao et al., 2005] which has been further improved by using both LD and biological information in the selection process [Sham et al., 2007]. Using these tools, we have conducted a high-density association study of this 47–53 Mb region of 3p to search for SZ susceptibility genes. Candidate genes that contain significant SNPs from this screen were then subjected to further exploration which included the genotyping of additional SNPs in these genes and their interacting partners.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case–Control Sample

Four hundred eighty-nine patients with schizophrenia diagnosed by DSM-IV were recruited from mental hospitals in Hong Kong. Five hundred nineteen controls without serious mental disorders were recruited from the community. Details of sample collection can be found elsewhere [Chen et al., 2001].

Assay Design and Genotyping

We employed the Sequenom MassARRAY™ system for assay design and genotyping, using SpectroDESIGNER software version 2.0.0.17. Genotyping was carried out by primer extension and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. For quality control, 20 samples were duplicated and 4 negative controls were included in each 384-well plate. A successful assay was defined as one with (i) ≥ 90% of genotyping calls; and (ii) control genotypes not significantly deviating from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.01).

Initial Association Screen

For tag SNP selection, we downloaded genotype data on 1693 SNPs in the 3p region typed on the HapMap Asian population (JPT and CHB), data release 2005–03_16a_phaseI (Build 34). The CLUSTAG [Ao et al., 2005] program, which employs an agglomerative clustering algorithm, was used for the selection of tag SNPs, with the R² threshold set at 0.8. If a SNP failed in the assay design, it was replaced by the second best SNP within the same cluster. From the 814 common SNPs (those of minor allele frequency >5%), we selected 218 tag SNPs and successfully designed genotyping assays for 214 of them. Of these, 162 SNPs genotyped passed the quality control criteria. The average genotype call rate was 96%. Eighteen
SNPs with minor allele frequency under 1% were discarded, leaving 144 markers for the association analyses. To ensure that the remaining markers still captured the variation in the 3p region adequately, we reassessed the coverage of these 144 tag SNPs using Haploview. The proportion of the genetic variation captured was calculated with reference to HapMap release 21. We employed aggressive tagging with 2- and 3-marker haplotype tags. The original 144 tag SNPs captured 76% of the alleles in the linkage region at r-squared ≥0.8. The average R² is 0.948.

Statistical Analyses for Association

The programs WHAP (ver 2.06) [Saxena et al., 2007] (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/whap/) and UNPHASED (ver 3.09) [Dudbridge, 2003] were used to screen all the 144 markers for both allelic and haplotype-based association. Genotype data were phased and haplotype frequencies were estimated using a standard E-M approach implemented in both programs. The programs performed a regression-based haplotype association test for all haplotypes. Moving window analyses were performed with single marker and with two to four consecutive markers as haplotypes. The false discovery rate (FDR) approach [Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995] was used to correct for multiple testing. Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated for the significant markers. LD statistics between markers were calculated and represented graphically by HaploView [Barrett et al., 2005].

Prioritization of Genes Using Bioinformatics Tools

To incorporate biological significance into the consideration of potential candidate genes, we used the software Endeavor [Aerts et al., 2006] to rank all the genes included in our initial screen. Endeavor prioritizes candidate genes underlying diseases or biological pathways by their similarity to a set of “training genes” which are specified by the user. Training genes are those with previous evidence for association with a particular disease or pathway. The program assumes that genes involved in the same disease or pathway are likely to share features with the known candidates. The program employs up to 12 different data sources, such as pathway membership in KEGG, annotations in Gene Ontology, sequence similarity and protein interactions to assess the properties of the training set. The final ranking is obtained by combining all data sources using order statistics. Endeavor is among the most extensively validated software designed for this purpose. For example, it has been validated by leave-one-out cross-validations using 703 disease and pathway genes, and by in vivo studies in zebrafish [Aerts et al., 2006]. In the present study, a training set was selected from the SZgene database (http://www.schizophreniaforum.org/res/sczgene/default.asp accessed 17th September 2007) and a review article on SZ genetics by Straub and Weinberger [2006]. Genes in the “Top Results” list from SZgene, all of which have at least one variant showing a nominally significant result in meta-analyses, were selected, as well as those listed in Table I in Straub and Weinberger’s review (list of training genes is shown in Table I). To ensure the reliability of the rankings, we also repeated our analyses leaving one of the training genes out each time. This ensures that the results would not be seriously affected by individual training genes and protects against the risk that some training genes may later turn out to have no association with schizophrenia. We included all genes in the 47–53 Mb region on chromosome 3p as the testing set.

Weighted FDR Analysis

The rankings obtained from Endeavor were also used to weight the P-values obtained from the initial screen of the linkage region in a weighted FDR approach [Roeder et al., 2006]. The weight is based on the rankings of the 60 genes containing the genotyped markers. Different degrees of emphasis on biological information could be specified using different functions of the rankings; we used weights that were either directly proportional to ranking (linear weights) or to ranking squared (quadratic weights). Variants belonging to the same gene were given the same weighting. SNPs lying outside a gene were regarded as belonging to the nearest gene, provided that the gene was within 50 kb of the SNP.

Follow-Up Analyses of Identified Genes

For follow-up analyses, we used the WCLUS TAG [Sham et al., 2007] program for selection of additional tag SNPs within the most promising gene from the initial screen (which was neuroglycan C, see below). WCLUS TAG allows users to adjust the clustering threshold according to the location or presumed functional significance of the SNPs. In this study, the R² tagging threshold was set to be 1.0 for SNPs in coding regions, 0.8 for SNPs within 5’UTR, 3’UTR, 5’upstream and 3’downstream regions, and 0.3 for introns. We also genotyped possible interacting partners of neuroglycan C (NGC), namely NRG1, ErbB3, and ErbB4. NRG1 is the first member in the neuregulin family and is one of the most established susceptibility genes for SZ [Harrison and Law, 2006]. ErbB3 is a direct interacting partner of NGC while both ErbB3 and ErbB4 are binding receptors for NRG1 (see Discussion Section).

Potential interactions among genetic markers in the same or different genes were assessed by multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) [Ritchie et al., 2001; Hahn et al., 2003], a model-free approach for detecting interactions between loci in association studies. MDR reduces the multilocus genotype data to one dimension by classifying each multilocus genotype as either high-risk or low-risk by the ratio of affected individuals to unaffected individuals with that genotype. We used the standard 10-fold cross validation to evaluate the accuracy of prediction of 2-SNP and 3-SNP combinations and permutation tests to calculate empirical P-values. In order to test whether the interaction is significant over the main effects and whether the results are similar under a parametric approach, we also carried out logistic regression for interacting SNP pairs identified by MDR. The SNPs were coded in 0, 1, and 2 under the assumption of an additive model. The overall significance of interaction was assessed by a likelihood ratio test, comparing the full model (with all main effects and interaction terms) with the reduced model (with only the main effects).

Bioinformatics Analyses of Candidate Genes

We looked for functional domains that could be relevant to the disease for SNPs that showed statistically significant association.
SNP sequences were downloaded from public databases. The sequences were submitted to Pfam [Finn et al., 2006], a public protein family database. Pfam has a large collection of multiple sequence alignments and hidden Markov models covering many common protein domains and families. The Wise2 software package (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Wise2/) was used to blast DNA and protein sequences. Multiple species alignment was obtained for the domains to which our significant markers belong.

For the gene of interest, the domain structure of its protein was obtained from UniProt (Universal Protein Resource) (http://www.pir.uniprot.org/). Gene expression information was obtained from the Stanford Microarray Database (http://genome-www5.stanford.edu/).

RESULTS

Association Screen Identified NGC as a Promising Candidate Gene for Schizophrenia

In single marker association analyses, four SNPs were found to be nominally significant at $P < 0.05$ (Fig. 2 and Table II), although the global permutation $P$-value of the most significant of the 144 tests was only 0.3107. The best two markers rs3732530 ($P = 0.0048$) and rs4858867 ($P = 0.0040$) were situated in exon 2 in neuroglycan C (NGC, also known as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 5, or CSPG5) and intron 13 in microtubule associated protein 4 (MAP4), respectively. The $R^2$ between these 2 markers is 0.246.

The false discovery rate (or $q$-value) for the top 2 markers was 0.343. $P$-values for all 144 markers in the initial screen are shown in Supplementary Table I.

We further screened all possible haplotypes with sizes of two, three, and four markers (Supplementary Table II). Haplotype analyses did not reveal any results with stronger significance than single locus analysis, with the best results being for haplotypes that clustered around the most significant SNPs in single-marker analyses. The best haplotype was formed by the markers rs3732530 and rs4858867 ($P = 0.0066$).

We examined the LD structure of the associated regions based on HapMap data and noted that most of the significant signals from single-marker and haplotype analyses were clustered around an LD block containing the genes NGC, SMARCC1, DHX30, and MAP4. Our results suggested associations to

---

**TABLE I. Training Set of Genes for Endeavor Analysis (Alternative Names for Genes Are Shown in Brackets)***

| Gene name                      | Gene symbol |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Neuregulin-1                  | NRG1        |
| Disrupted in schizophrenia 1  | DISC1       |
| Regulator of G-protein signaling 4 | RG54     |
| Proline oxidase, mitochondrial precursor | PRODH |
| Dysbindin (Dystrobrevin-binding protein 1) | DTNB1 |
| $\alpha$-amino-acid oxidase   | DAO (DAOA)  |
| Catechol 0-methyltransferase  | COMT        |
| D[3] dopamine receptor        | DRD3        |
| Neuronal acetylcholine receptor subunit alpha-7 precursor | CHRNA7 |
| RAC-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase [protein kinase B] | AKT1 (PKB) |
| D[2] dopamine receptor [dopamine D2 receptor] | DPD2 |
| Metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 precursor | GRM3 |
| Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2B catalytic subunit gamma | PPP3CC |
| Glutamate decarboxylase 1     | GAD1        |
| Receptor tyro sine-protein kinase erbB-4 precursor | ERBB4 |
| Fasciculation and elongation protein zeta 1 | FEZ1 |
| Thioredoxin domain-containing protein 5 precursor | TXNDC5 (MUTED) |
| Oroficial cleft 1 candidate 1  | OFCC1 (MRDS1) |
| $\alpha$-amino acid oxidase activator [protein G72] | DAOA (G72) |
| Glutamate [NMDA] receptor subunit epsilon 2 precursor [N-methyl D-aspartate receptor subtype 2B] | GRIN2B (NMDAR2B) |
| Gamma-aminobutyric-acid receptor subunit beta-2 precursor | GABRB2 |
| Tryptophan 5-hydroxylase 1    | TPH1        |
| Sodium-dependent serotonin transporter [SHT transporter] | SLC6A4 |
| Interleukin-1 beta precursor  | IL1B        |
| Dopamine receptor D4          | DRD4        |
| Methyleneetetrahydrofolatereductase | MTHFR |
| Plexin-A2 precursor [Semaphorin receptor OCT] | PLXNA2 |
| Apolipoprotein E precursor    | APOE        |
| D[1A] dopamine receptor       | DPD1        |
| Haptoglobin precursor         | HP          |
| Cellular tumor antigen p53 [tumor suppressor p53] | TP53 (P53) |
this block, but could not distinguish the exact gene implicated in the disease due to high LD in the region. Hence we made use of the results from Endeavor to further prioritize genes in this region.

Using all 31 training genes, Endeavor ranked NGC 8th, MAP4 29th, DHX30 57th, and SMARCC1 67th out of the 129 genes in the 6MB region scanned (Table III). The NGC SNP rs3732530 had the lowest weighted \(P\)-value among the 144 genotyped SNPs. The weighted FDRs for rs3732530 were 0.411 and 0.302 under linear and quadratic weighting schemes, respectively. We repeated the analysis leaving each of the training genes out and calculated the average ranks. They were in general similar to the original ranks. The average rank of NGC was 9th and the actual leave-one-out rank ranged from 6th to 15th. The marker with the lowest \(P\)-value using the average ranks was again rs3732530, having weighted FDRs of 0.413 and 0.303 respectively under linear and quadratic weighting schemes. We also calculated the weighted \(P\)-values in the least favorable scenario when NGC had the lowest leave-one-out rank (15th). Notably, the NGC SNP rs3732530 still achieved the lowest weighted \(P\)-value among the 144 genotyped markers.

As NGC was ranked by Endeavor as the most functionally relevant among the four genes in the implicated LD block and previous biological experiments also suggested a role of NGC in neurodevelopment (see Discussion Section), we believed that it is the most promising candidate in the region and further genotyped this gene and its interacting partners.

### Follow-Up and MDR Analyses of NGC, NRG1, ErbB3, and ErbB4

In the follow-up phase, four out of seven markers tested within NGC showed nominally significant signals \((P < 0.05)\). The best SNP in this gene (rs3732530) survived permutation correction for multiple testing within the gene \((\text{corrected } P = 0.024)\). Two haplotypes were also nominally significant and the best haplotype was formed by markers rs3732530 and rs12489865 \((P = 0.0179)\) (Table IV, Supplementary Tables III and IV).

For ErbB4, two markers (rs2371276 and rs13032249) were found to be significant with \(P\)-values 0.0063 and 0.0465, respectively. Four haplotypes also showed \(P < 0.05\). Although the best ErbB4 marker did not withstand permutation correction, the \(q\)-values for the best two markers (NGC_5 and ErbB4_11) in the second stage of association analysis (considering all 58 SNPs) were 0.183. None of the NRG1 markers was significant when considered individually. However, three haplotypes showed nominal significance. The \(P\)-value for the most significant haplotype was 0.011, although this was not significant after permutation correction. No significant result was found in the three markers genotyped in ErbB3 (Table IV).

In MDR analyses, five marker combinations were shown to be statistically significant by permutation tests which corrected for the inclusion of multiple SNPs. The results were significant at an FDR of 0.05. The best two-SNP model was composed of variants from NGC and NRG1, while the best three-SNP model included SNPs from
ErbB4 and NRG1. Only the best 2-SNP model comprising NGC and NRG1 SNPs was also significant when logistic regression was employed (Table V).

Bioinformatics Analysis for NGC

None of the significant NGCSNPs had flanking sequences that lie in any structural units, except one, rs3732530, which was found to be in the chondroitin sulfate attachment domain (accession number PF06566 in the Pfam database). This SNP is a non-synonymous SNP, in which the change of allele from T to G results in an amino acid change from Val to Gly. The sequence of this domain is highly conserved among mouse, rat, human, and chicken, with an additional leucine- and proline-rich sequence attached to the chondroitin sulfate chains in chicken. This domain together with the cytoplasmic domain form the major part of the NGC protein.

DISCUSSION

Using a fine-mapping strategy and a range of statistical tools such as WCLUSTAG, weighted FDR and MDR, we identified neuroglycan C (NGC), a membrane-spanning chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, which is exclusively expressed in the brain, as a novel candidate gene for SZ. This finding is supported by both statistical and biological evidence.

In the association analyses, a non-synonymous SNP in NGC (rs3732530) showed a moderately high level of significance which survived permutation correction considering all the examined variants in the gene. Although a global permutation test for all 144 markers in the first screening stage did not show significance, the weighted FDR for the best two markers (in NGC and MAP4) was only 0.223, using quadratic weighting according to the rankings from Endeavor. It is noteworthy that NGC ranked 8th out of the 129 genes in the 6-MB region scanned and the highest among the genes lying in the same LD block.

Importantly, a large body of evidence from biological experiments also supports a role for NGC in the pathogenesis of SZ. NGC is exclusively expressed in the brain, and was discovered in the developing rat brain. NGC is first expressed at embryonic day 16 and the expression level continues to rise with a peak at the early postnatal period, coinciding with the period of active neurite formation and synaptogenesis in the rat brain. Expression of the gene decreases as the brain matures [Watanabe et al., 1995]. NGC is expressed in association with axons and dendrites in the CNS during development [Schumacher et al., 1997; Aono et al., 2000]. Nakanishi et al. [2006] showed that a recombinant ectodomain of NGC core protein enhances neurite outgrowth in rat neurons, supporting the idea that NGC is involved in neurodevelopment.

In addition, CALEB (chicken acidic leucine-rich EGF-like domain containing brain protein), a chick homolog of NGC, demonstrates biological functions associated with pathogenesis of schizophrenia. Expression of CALEB is restricted to the developing and adult nervous system. The component containing chondroitin sulfate was expressed in the embryonic nervous system and down-regulated in the adult. CALEB is involved in neurite formation; antibodies against CALEB result in impairment of neurite extension in a permissive environment [Schumacher et al., 1997]. Another
| Gene symbol | Overall rank | Average rank |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| GRM2        | 1            | 1.42         |
| PLXNB1      | 2            | 1.84         |
| SLC26A6     | 3            | 4.16         |
| MST1        | 4            | 4.06         |
| ITIH4       | 5            | 5.65         |
| MST1R       | 6            | 5.74         |
| GNAI2       | 7            | 6.39         |
| NGC         | 8            | 8.90         |
| BSN         | 9            | 9.13         |
| IMPDH2      | 10           | 11.55        |
| AMT         | 11           | 11.58        |
| CAMKV       | 12           | 16.23        |
| SEMA3B      | 13           | 14.45        |
| LAMB2       | 14           | 12.61        |
| COL7A1      | 15           | 15.35        |
| MAPKAPK3    | 16           | 14.97        |
| ITIH1       | 17           | 19.84        |
| USP4        | 18           | 23.99        |
| SETD2       | 19           | 20.90        |
| STAB1       | 20           | 19.45        |
| DAG1        | 21           | 17.90        |
| GNL3        | 22           | 21.97        |
| TLR9        | 23           | 22.23        |
| PRKAR2A     | 24           | 22.84        |
| SHISA5      | 25           | 26.45        |
| CDC25A      | 26           | 28.48        |
| BAP1        | 27           | 27.00        |
| NBEAL2      | 28           | 30.77        |
| MAP4        | 29           | 31.68        |
| RASSF1      | 30           | 30.61        |
| ACY1        | 31           | 32.16        |
| OARS        | 32           | 34.52        |
| RHCA        | 33           | 30.81        |
| DUSP7       | 34           | 33.06        |
| ZMYND10     | 35           | 37.48        |
| HYAL1       | 36           | 38.97        |
| DOCK3       | 37           | 38.00        |
| SCAP        | 38           | 38.00        |
| TUSC4       | 39           | 46.42        |
| ORIC1       | 40           | 40.35        |
| HYAL2       | 41           | 41.16        |
| CACNA2D2    | 42           | 44.52        |
| NISCH       | 43           | 46.55        |
| ARIH2       | 44           | 45.42        |
| PCBP4       | 45           | 40.23        |
| RAD54L2     | 46           | 49.19        |
| UOCR1       | 47           | 41.65        |
| SLC25A20    | 48           | 50.48        |
| TREX1       | 49           | 49.65        |
| USP19       | 50           | 62.19        |
| PBM1        | 51           | 53.26        |
| SEMA3F      | 52           | 49.74        |
| UBE1L       | 53           | 56.26        |
| P4HTM       | 54           | 52.32        |
| HIPK1       | 55           | 52.84        |
| SLC38A3     | 56           | 54.71        |
| DHX30       | 57           | 55.16        |

**continued...**

**TABLE III.** Endeavor Ranks of All Genes in the 6 Mb Region Scanned

| Gene symbol | Overall rank | Average rank |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| TEX264      | 58           | 62.32        |
| TUSC2       | 59           | 59.19        |
| CISH        | 60           | 60.77        |
| H4LY3       | 61           | 56.13        |
| ABHD14A     | 62           | 51.32        |
| SFMBT1      | 64           | 65.48        |
| HEMK1       | 65           | 67.32        |
| SEMA3G      | 66           | 64.03        |
| SMARCC1     | 67           | 64.00        |
| ITIH3       | 68           | 69.68        |
| GPX1        | 69           | 59.00        |
| RBM5        | 70           | 75.35        |
| HIPK2       | 71           | 71.13        |
| NEK4        | 72           | 78.29        |
| KIF9        | 73           | 78.00        |
| PPM1M       | 74           | 80.84        |
| GLYCTK      | 75           | 74.74        |
| KLHL18      | 76           | 75.35        |
| TNCC1       | 77           | 72.35        |
| MON1A       | 78           | 75.68        |
| GNAT1       | 79           | 76.90        |
| PARP3       | 80           | 75.29        |
| VRBPB       | 81           | 82.26        |
| PTPN23      | 82           | 78.19        |
| NT5DC2      | 83           | 81.77        |
| RPL29       | 84           | 83.00        |
| TMEM89      | 85           | 90.32        |
| RFN123      | 86           | 85.10        |
| CAMP        | 87           | 77.19        |
| APEH        | 88           | 88.77        |
| TMEM115     | 89           | 86.68        |
| WDR51A      | 90           | 90.97        |
| CCDC36      | 91           | 89.81        |
| GPTBD1      | 92           | 86.35        |
| RBM15B      | 93           | 93.19        |
| RBM6        | 94           | 96.90        |
| TRAIP       | 95           | 96.26        |
| AMI0G3      | 96           | 96.16        |
| C3orf71     | 97           | 97.90        |
| WDR6        | 98           | 100.35       |
| ABHD14B     | 99           | 101.32       |
| FBXW12      | 100          | 93.35        |
| NICO1       | 101          | 101.84       |
| TCTA        | 102          | 104.10       |
| PFKFB4      | 103          | 107.68       |
| SPC51       | 104          | 102.55       |
| C3orf62     | 105          | 105.03       |
| GPR62       | 106          | 93.61        |
| PHF7        | 107          | 107.00       |
| ALAS1       | 108          | 108.23       |
| NME6        | 109          | 106.35       |
| CCDC71      | 110          | 109.16       |
| CYB561D2    | 111          | 112.87       |
| RPP9        | 112          | 118.06       |
| ZNF589      | 113          | 115.00       |
| GMCPPB      | 114          | 112.97       |
| DALR03      | 115          | 115.32       |
| TMEM113     | 116          | 115.39       |
study [Juttner et al., 2005] showed that CALEB-deficient mice exhibit impaired synapse function in early postnatal stages.

NGC has been implicated in the effects of methamphetamine and cocaine, two drugs that are known to produce psychotic symptoms such as paranoid delusions and hallucinations. Ishikawa et al. [2006] reported that repeated administration of methamphetamine significantly increased mRNA levels of NGC in numerous rat brain areas, such as frontal cortex, nucleus accumbens and hippocampus. Moreover, NGC protein levels in the nucleus accumbens increased in rats repeatedly treated by methamphetamine. Up-regulation in both mRNA and protein levels of NGC was also found in chronic cocaine-treated rats [Toda et al., 2002].

NGC possesses an EGF-like extracellular domain and is an active growth factor. It is considered to be the sixth member of the neuregulin family, as it acts as a direct ligand for ErbB3 tyrosine kinases that can transactivate ErbB2 [Kinugasa et al., 2004]. Neuregulin 1 (NRG1), the first member of the neuregulin family, is a strong candidate gene for SZ. It is involved in a large variety of processes that may be relevant to the pathogenesis of SZ, such as neuronal migration, oligodendrocyte development, myelin formation, and neurotransmitter receptor (particularly NMDA receptor) function [Corfas et al., 2004; Harrison and Law, 2006]. Being another member of the neuregulin family, NGC might share some of the functional properties with NRG1 and hence may be involved in the pathogenesis of SZ.

NRG1 has been reported to be associated with SZ in different populations. The initial study on NRG1 by Stefansson et al. [2002] and many other studies [Yang et al., 2003; Corvin et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004, 2006; Tang et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2004] identified SNPs and haplotypes near the 5' end of the gene to be associated with SZ. On the other hand, the 3' end region was also implicated in a few studies [Yang et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004; Petryshen et al., 2005], two involving Chinese samples [Yang et al., 2003; Corvin et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2004].

### TABLE III. (Continued)

| Gene symbol | Overall rank | Average rank |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| CDDC51      | 117          | 112.19       |
| C3orf54     | 118          | 117.65       |
| TMEM103     | 119          | 118.74       |
| I0CF2       | 120          | 119.35       |
| UCN2        | 121          | 118.97       |
| DNN1H1      | 122          | 119.45       |
| KLHDC8B     | 123          | 123.35       |
| I0CF1       | 124          | 123.90       |
| C3orf60     | 125          | 124.61       |
| C3orf45     | 126          | 125.10       |
| CDH29       | 127          | 127.16       |
| STGC3       | 128          | 127.81       |
| C3orf18     | 129          | 125.23       |

### TABLE IV. All Markers Showing Nominal Significance (P < 0.05) in Single SNP (Allelic or Genotypic) or Haplotype Analyses in the Follow-Up Phase

| Marker number | rs number     | Genotypic P-value | Allelic P-value | 2-marker P-value | 3-marker P-value | 4-marker P-value |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NGC           |               |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| NGC_3         | rs10865948    | 0.090             | 0.029           |                 |                 |                 |
| NGC_4         | rs11716779    | 0.230             | 0.538           | 0.040           |                 |                 |
| NGC_5         | rs3732530     | **0.007**         | **0.005**       | 0.018           |                 |                 |
| NGC_6         | rs12489865    | 0.022             | 0.017           |                 |                 |                 |
| NGC_7         | rs37555317    | 0.091             | 0.046           |                 |                 |                 |
| NRG1          |               |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| NRG1_5        | rs1531746     | 0.664             | 0.801           | **0.016**       |                 |                 |
| NRG1_6        | rs10503904    | 0.594             | 0.658           |                 | **0.011**      | 0.020           |
| NRG1_9        | rs6986716     | 0.243             | 0.094           |                 | **0.011**      | 0.020           |
| NRG1_10       | rs10503915    | 0.055             | 0.286           |                 |                 |                 |
| NRG1_11       | rs7016681     | 0.928             | 0.711           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4         |               |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_10      | rs2272024     | 0.994             | 0.982           | 0.036           |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_11      | rs2371276     | **0.002**         | **0.006**       | 0.033           | **0.040**      |                 |
| ErbB4_12      | rs10205553    | 0.834             | 0.945           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_13      | rs6435659     | 0.432             | 0.986           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_14      | rs6435660     | 0.067             | 0.132           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_17      | rs6435665     | 0.241             | 0.118           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_18      | rs6435670     | 0.941             | 0.757           |                 | **0.017**      |                 |
| ErbB4_19      | rs839530      | 0.551             | 0.278           |                 |                 |                 |
| ErbB4_20      | rs12478950    | 0.227             | 0.265           |                 |                 |                 |

P-values for haplotype analyses are shown next to the 1st SNP in the sliding window. P-values that are nominally significant (<0.05) are in bold. For haplotype analyses, only nominally significant results are shown.
et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004]. The 5′ and 3′ regions containing susceptibility variants were designated regions A and B by Thomson et al. [2007]. Interestingly, the risk haplotypes identified in this study are between regions A and B, a region of this large gene (~1.1 Mb) that most previous studies have not thoroughly screened, except Thomson et al. [2007] and Benzel et al. [2007]. Further comprehensive studies of NRG1 may be warranted, especially in non-Caucasian groups.

NRG1–ErbB signaling regulates a variety of processes that may be involved in SZ [Corfas et al., 2004]. ErbB3 and ErbB4 are hence good functional candidate genes for SZ. ErbB3 is a direct interacting partner of NRG. Three microarray studies [Hakak et al., 2001; Tkachev et al., 2003; Aston et al., 2004] have shown that ErbB3, as a myelination-related gene, has altered expression in the post-mortem brains of schizophrenic patients. Oligodendrocyte dysfunction and disturbances in myelination are receiving increasing attention as important mechanisms underlying SZ [Karoutzou et al., 2008]. ErbB3 has also been examined previously in three association studies [Benzel et al., 2007; Kanazawa et al., 2007; Watanabe et al., 2007], but no susceptibility variants have been identified. We also failed to find any positive associations in ErbB3 in this study.

ErbB4 is another biological candidate for SZ and association studies have been performed on this gene. Silberberg et al. [2006] identified three SNPs in ErbB4 which showed marked differences in allele and genotype frequencies between schizophrenic patients and controls. Benzel et al. [2007] screened 109 SNPs in ErbB4 and found 14 SNPs with \( P \)-values < 0.05 in either genotypic or allelic tests. Our study is the first to investigate ErbB4 polymorphisms in an Asian population. The two nominally significant SNPs and four-marker haplotypes did not withstand permutation correction, but the FDR of the most significant ErbB4 SNP was nevertheless 0.183, which can be considered suggestive, though not conclusive.

It should be noted that as the focus of the current study is on fine-mapping of chromosome 3p and discovery of NRG as a novel candidate gene, the genotyping coverage of the above interacting partners (NRG1, ErbB3, and ErbB4) may not be comprehensive enough. It is therefore possible that some susceptibility variants in these genes were not captured.

The finding in the MDR analyses of significant statistical interactions between SNPs at NRG1 and NRG1 is intriguing as these two genes are both members of the neuregulin family and share ErbB3 as a receptor. Thus it is plausible that they may play complementary or synergistic roles in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia, for example, in neurodevelopmental processes. Notably, the interaction between this pair of SNPs was also confirmed by logistic regression and the interaction was significant over the main effects. We also identified two sets of 3-locus interactions between NRG1 and ErbB4, consistent with the results of functional and association studies showing these two genes interact. Statistical epistasis between the Icelandic haplotype of NRG1 and a ErbB4 variant was previously reported by Norton et al. [2006]. Interestingly, we found statistical interaction between NRG and ErbB4 that was also modestly significant. Previous experiments showed that NRG directly binds to ErbB3 but not to ErbB4. Although NRG and ErbB4 may not be direct interacting partners, the two genes may be involved in complementary pathways related to brain development or other processes implicated in SZ. NRG, NRG1, and ErbB4 may be part of a network regulating a variety of processes involved in SZ.

Although these three-locus interactions were biologically plausible and supported by MDR, they did not show significance when logistic regression was used. This may be due to the different nature of the two approaches. MDR is non-parametric and completely model-free. MDR requires no mode of inheritance to be specified as any multilocus genotype can be formed as the high-risk or low-risk groups. It has high power to detect interactions in the absence of main effects [Ritchie et al., 2003]. Despite being more flexible, the genotype patterns identified by MDR may be very complex and difficult to interpret. On the other hand, logistic regression is a form of generalized linear model and does not involve partitioning of genotype combinations into high-risk and low-risk groups. Logistic regression may run into problems when dealing with large numbers of SNPs as the number of possible interaction terms increases rapidly with each additional SNP. Inclusion of too many independent variables with respect to the number of outcome events increases type 1 and type 2 errors [Peduzzi et al., 1996]. An inheritance model is often assumed to limit the number of terms in the regression model (we assumed an additive model in this study). However, logistic regression has an advantage over MDR in that it allows evaluation of interaction controlling for main effects. In view of the substantial differences between the two methods, some discrepancies between the test results are expected. Nevertheless, one should also alert to the possibility of false positives, particularly because we have included all SNPs regardless

---

**TABLE V. Average Prediction Accuracies of the Best Combinations of SNPs Identified by MDR and Logistic Regression**

| SNP combination | rs number of SNPs | Testing accuracy | MDR p | Logistic regression P |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 2-SNP           |                   |                  |       |                       |
| 1st NRG1 3, NRG1 12 | rs10865948, rs4733130 | 0.5711 | 0.015 | 0.009 |
| 2nd ErbB4 11, ErbB4 19 | rs2371276, rs839530 | 0.5642 | 0.031 | 0.125 |
| 3rd ErbB4 11, NGC 1 | rs2371276, rs628631 | 0.5522 | 0.097 | 0.140 |
| 3-SNP           |                   |                  |       |                       |
| 1st ErbB4 6, ErbB4 13, NRG1 8 | rs10197225, rs6435659, rs2347504 | 0.5754 | 0.009 | 0.996 |
| 2nd ErbB4 3, ErbB4 11, NRG1 7 | rs3748962, rs2371276, rs1545961 | 0.5751 | 0.009 | 0.409 |
| 3rd ErbB4 6, ErbB4 13, NGC 6 | rs10197225, rs6435659, rs12488685 | 0.5617 | 0.043 | 0.295 |

*P*-values for MDR were derived from 1,000 permutations.

**P**-values for logistic regression were derived by comparing the full model [with all main effects and interaction terms] with the reduced model [with only the main effects]. \( P < 0.05 \) are in bold.
of whether they were independently associated with the disease. The interactions identified in this study should not be regarded as confirmatory and further association and functional studies are required to clarify the findings. ErbB3 was not found in the interacting pairs in this study. However, its functional importance cannot be excluded. It is possible that the functional variant has not been captured (e.g., the variant may be a rare one) or there may be variants lying outside the gene which regulate its expression.

In summary, we have performed a high-density association screen of a high-LD, gene-rich region on chromosome 3p and discovered NGC as a novel candidate gene for SZ. A role for NGC in SZ is supported by previous biological findings. Our methodology demonstrates the use of tag SNPs, bioinformatics tools, weighted FDR and MDR in association studies to identify new susceptibility genes for complex diseases. Although our study provides statistical evidence for the involvement of NGC and other candidate genes in SZ, our results cannot be considered conclusive. Further replications, preferably with larger samples and in other populations, are desirable for validation of our findings.
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