Escherichia coli NemA Is an Efficient Chromate Reductase That Can Be Biologically Immobilized to Provide a Cell Free System for Remediation of Hexavalent Chromium

Katherine J. Robins¹, David O. Hooks²,³, Bernd H. A. Rehm²,³, David F. Ackerley¹,4

¹School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Kelburn Parade, Wellington, New Zealand, ²Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, Tennent Drive, Palmerston North, New Zealand, ³MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Victoria University of Wellington, Kelburn Parade, Wellington, New Zealand, ⁴Centre for Biodiscovery, Victoria University of Wellington, Kelburn Parade, Wellington, New Zealand

Abstract

Hexavalent chromium is a serious and widespread environmental pollutant. Although many bacteria have been identified that can transform highly water-soluble and toxic Cr(VI) to insoluble and relatively non-toxic Cr(III), bacterial bioremediation of Cr(VI) pollution is limited by a number of issues, in particular chromium toxicity to the remediating cells. To address this we sought to develop an immobilized enzymatic system for Cr(VI) remediation. To identify novel Cr(VI) reductase enzymes we first screened cell extracts from an Escherichia coli library of soluble oxidoreductases derived from a range of bacteria, but found that a number of these enzymes can reduce Cr(VI) indirectly, via redox intermediates present in the crude extracts. Instead, activity assays for 15 candidate enzymes purified as His6-tagged proteins identified E. coli NemA as a highly efficient Cr(VI) reductase (kcat/KM = 1.1 × 10⁵ M⁻¹s⁻¹ with NADH as cofactor). Fusion of nemA to the polyhydroxyalkanoate synthase gene phaC from Ralstonia eutropha enabled high-level biosynthesis of functionalized polyhydroxyalkanoate granules displaying stable and active NemA on their surface. When these granules were combined with either Bacillus subtilis glucose dehydrogenase or Candida boidinii formate dehydrogenase as a cofactor regenerating partner, high levels of chromate transformation were observed with only low initial concentrations of expensive NADH cofactor being required, the overall reaction being powered by consumption of the cheap sacrificial substrates glucose or formic acid, respectively. This system therefore offers promise as an economic solution for ex situ Cr(VI) remediation.

Introduction

Hexavalent chromium is generated as a water-soluble waste product by numerous industrial processes, including pigment production, leather tanning, wood preservation, and stainless steel manufacture. It is also a by-product of nuclear weapons manufacture, and at US Department of Energy waste sites is the second most abundant heavy metal contaminant [1]. Without human intervention, Cr(VI) has been projected to persist at manufacture, and at US Department of Energy waste sites is the second most abundant heavy metal contaminant [1]. Without human intervention, Cr(VI) has been projected to persist at hexavalent concentration levels for well over 1000 years [2]. Although Cr(VI) does not cause direct damage to DNA it is nonetheless a dangerous carcinogen due to its ability to penetrate cells via sulfate transporters, whereupon it is reductively activated to a variety of mutagenic and genotoxic intermediates [3]. In contrast, most cells are impermeable to Cr(III), which is generally insoluble under standard environmental conditions [4] and 1,000-fold less mutagenic than Cr(VI) in the Ames test [5].

A wide range of bacteria have been isolated that can reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) [6], offering promise for bioremediation as a cost-effective and environmentally friendly means to detoxify environmental Cr(VI) pollution. Bacterial Cr(VI) reduction can be both enzymatic and non-enzymatic, but either pathway is thought to unavoidably generate redox-active intermediates that inflict cellular damage and impact the viability of the remediating cell [7–10]. Some of the mechanisms that bacteria employ to defend themselves against Cr(VI) cytotoxicity, such as efflux or diminished Cr(VI) uptake [11,12], are counter-productive to bioremediation. Furthermore, many contaminated sites are nutrient poor [13] and co-contaminated with multiple pollutants likely to inhibit bacterial growth [1]. Biostimulation by addition of long-lived electron donating compounds such as polylactates [14] is an attractive strategy to promote bacterial growth and Cr(VI) transformation, but runs the risk of generating large amounts of biomass that can clog subsurface pores and confine bioremediation to a narrow zone [15].

As an alternative remediation strategy we have sought to develop an enzyme-based system for use in detoxifying Cr(VI) contaminated groundwater ex situ. An effective cell free system for Cr(VI) transformation would sidestep the issues of Cr(VI) and co-contaminant cytotoxicity, and nutrient limitation, that restrict
bacterial bioremediation. However, enzymes outside of the cellular environment are potentially subject to stability issues. As they also do not self-replicate and multiply like bacteria, a prerequisite for a viable enzymatic remediation strategy is that the enzyme be able to be prepared cheaply and in large quantities. Finally, it is essential that the enzyme be able to utilize a cost-effective electron source. The most efficient Cr(VI) transforming enzymes that have been described to date are flavoproteins [16–20], but these require expensive NAD(P)H cofactors to power reduction, and stoichiometric addition of these cofactors is not an economically viable proposition for large scale applications [21].

To address all of these issues we: (i) screened a previously assembled library of NAD(P)H dependent bacterial oxidoreductase genes to identify the most effective Cr(VI) reducing candidate; (ii) showed that a cofactor regenerating enzyme partner can be employed to provide a renewable and inexpensive supply of reduced NAD(P)H to drive Cr(VI) transformation; and (iii) employed a biological system for immobilizing enzymes to polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) beads [22] as an economical means of generating large quantities of stable and active Cr(VI) reductase.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, growth conditions, plasmids and oxidoreductase genes

*E. coli* strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. All *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria broth (LB) supplemented with glucose (1% w/v), ampicillin (50 µg/ml), kanamycin (50 µg/ml) or chloramphenicol (75 µg/ml) as appropriate. For PHA bead production, *E. coli* cells were initially grown at 37°C in 1 L LB supplemented with glucose (1% w/v), ampicillin (100 µg/ml), and chloramphenicol (75 µg/ml). When the culture reached an OD_{600} of 0.3, fusion protein expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Following induction, cells were transferred to 25°C and cultivated for 44 h to allow production of PHA beads. For oxidoreductase library screening in whole cell lysates, candidate genes were over-expressed from plasmid pUCX in *E. coli* SOS-R1 as described [23]. The full list of candidate genes in the 45-membered oxidoreductase library (ordered alphabetically by bacterial strain each was cloned from) was as follows: *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6051) nfsA, ycaD, yjgD, yfbO; *Enterobacter cloacae* (ATCC 13047) nfsD; *Escherichia coli* (W3110) azoR, kfrE, mdaB, nemA, nfsA, nfsB, ydaA, yceA, ycfD, ycfE; *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 13090) nfsA, nfsB, nemA, ydaA; *Nostoc punctiforme* (GCC 73102) nfsA, ydaD; *Nostoc sp.* (GCC 7120); *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PAO1) azoR (PA1962), chsR (PA1204), mdaB (PA2357) nfsB (PA15190), ydaC (PA1220); *Pseudomonas putida* (KT2440) azoR (PP4358), kfrE (PP9726), nfsA (PP2940), nfsB (PP2432); *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* 1448a ydaA, mdaB, wkaI; *Salinibacter ruber* (ATCC 9430) mdaA, nfsA, nfsB; *Vibrio fischeri* (ATCC 7744) nfsA, nfsB (R1784); *Vibrio harveyi* (ATCC 33043) fus (flavin reductase P); *Vibrio harveyi* (KCTC 2720) fus (flavin reductase P); *Vibrio nolifusus* (ATCC 27562) azoR, nfsA, nfsB, nemA. To distinguish genes or enzymes with the same name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name, for the purpose of this work each oxidoreductase was referred to using standard nomenclature followed by an under-name.

Protein purification

Recombinant His6-tagged oxidoreductases and GDH from *B. subtilis* (ATCC 6051) were expressed from pET28a in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells and purified by nickel-affinity chromatography employing the His·Bind kit (Novagen, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. All enzymes were recovered at >90% purity as judged by SDS-PAGE analysis. For enzyme kinetic analyses, eluted fractions containing visible quantities of enzyme (judged by eye based on the yellow color of the associated flavin cofactor) were pooled and incubated on ice with an excess of pure FMN (FAD for MdaB_Ec) for at least 1 h before buffer-exchange into 40 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.0) using a 5 ml HiTrapTM desalting column (GE Healthcare Bio-Science, Uppsala, Sweden). Based on initial rate analysis in whole cell lysates the following oxidoreductases were selected and purified in this manner: NfaA_Kp; NfaA_St; YcnD_Bs; Frp_Vh1 (Nfa family); Yodgl_Bs, NfsB_Kp, FrpaseVL_Vi, NfsB_Vv; NfsB_St (NfsB family); NfaA_Ec (Nfa family); MdaB_Ec (MdaB family); ChsR_Pa, ChsR_St (ChsR/Vief family); KfrE_Pa (KfrE family); AzoR_Ec (AzoR family). For activity analysis in conjunction with cofactor regenerating partners or with substrates other than Cr(VI), NfaA_Ec was purified and treated as described above, but eluted and desalted post FMN treatment into 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) rather than Tris–Cl (pH 7.0). The reason for this is that the FDH purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, USA) is recommended for use in sodium phosphate buffer; moreover, we empirically determined that GDH likewise rapidly loses activity if stored in 50 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.0), but not 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) (not shown). Like NfaA_Ec, His6-tagged GDH was purified using the His·Bind kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions, but immediately desalted after elution into 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), containing 1 M NaCl (empirically found to enhance stability of purified GDH enzyme; not shown). Protein concentrations were calculated using the DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and enzyme purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE. Purified proteins were stored at 4°C and all reactions were performed within 1–2 weeks of initial purification, to prevent loss of enzyme activity through degradation or precipitation.

Cr(VI) reductase assays

Kinetic assessment of purified Cr(VI) reductase activity. *Cr(VI)* reductase activity was measured by a modified 1,5-diphenyl carbazide assay [24]. Reactions were performed at 22°C in replicates with a final volume of 0.3 ml containing 1 mM NADH, 50 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.0) buffer, a range of chromate concentrations (0–200 µM) and sufficient enzyme to transform 100 µM of Cr(VI) within 2–10 min for the 200 µM replicate. The reaction was initiated by addition of 1 mM NADH and the solution was mixed by resuspending with a pipette for 10 s. Immediately after mixing the first 50 µl aliquot was added to a cuvette containing 950 µl of color developing solution (0.1N H₂SO₄ and 0.01% 1,5-diphenyl carbazide) and this was taken as C in replicates with a final volume of 0.3 ml containing 1 mM NADH, 50 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.0) buffer, a range of chromate concentrations (0–200 µM) and sufficient enzyme to transform 100 µM of Cr(VI) within 2–10 min for the 200 µM replicate. The reaction was initiated by addition of 1 mM NADH and the solution was mixed by resuspending with a pipette for 10 s. Immediately after mixing the first 50 µl aliquot was added to a cuvette containing 950 µl of color developing solution (0.1N H₂SO₄ and 0.01% 1,5-diphenyl carbazide) and this was taken as t = 0. Subsequent 50 µl aliquots from the reaction were stopped every 30 s thereafter in identical fashion. The absorbance of each sample was then read at 540 nm and the residual Cr(VI) concentration was determined by comparison with a standard curve. The reaction velocity was estimated by the amount of chromate reduced over a two minute period, unless this was found not to be linear in which case the enzyme concentration was adjusted appropriately and the replicate repeated. All reactions were performed in duplicate and kinetic parameters were calculated from a Lineweaver-Burk plot in Microsoft Excel.

1 mM NADH was found to be effectively saturating for each of
Nema_Ec, Frp_Vh1, and NfsA_Kp (e.g. K_m of Nema_Ec was measured as 450 μM; Figure S1 in File S1).

**Measurement of Cr(VI) reduction rate in the presence of crude extract or lawsone.** The enzymes AzoR_Ec, Nema_Ec and NfsA_Kp were tested for their ability to reduce Cr(VI) in the presence of cell lysate or lawsone. Cell lysate assays were carried out at 22 °C in 100 μl volumes, containing 150 μM Cr(VI), 500 μM NADH, 0.03 mg/ml enzyme in a mixture of either 0:1, 1:2, 2:1 or 1:0 E. coli cell lysate and 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.0). The reaction was initiated by addition of either FDH, 5 mM formic acid or GDH and NADPH. Non-stoichiometric Cr(VI) reduction rates were determined in the presence or absence of lawsone. The enzymes AzoR_Ec, Nema_Ec and NfsA_Kp were tested for their ability to reduce Cr(VI) in the presence of limiting NADH or NAD^+ and residual Cr(VI) concentration determined at 540 nm by comparison to a standard curve. Cr(VI) reduction rates in the presence or absence of lawsone were determined in an identical fashion to the “no cell lysate” replicates above, but with addition of lawsone to a final concentration of 0, 50, 100, 150 or 200 μM.

**Supply of reduced cofactor via FDH or GDH mediated cofactor regeneration.** Non-stoichiometric Cr(VI) reduction by Nema_Ec in the presence of limiting NADH or NAD^+ was achieved at 22 °C in 0.5 ml volumes containing 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 15 μg of purified recombinant Nema_Ec or 65 μg of PHA beads displaying Nema_Ec, 150 μM of K2Cr2O7, 0.05 mM of NADH or NAD^+, and 15 μg of either FDH +5 mM formic acid or GDH +5 mM glucose. Reduction of chromate was monitored over time as for the purified enzyme kinetic assays above.

**Synthesis and purification of Nema_Ec fused PHA beads.** PHA beads displaying covalently attached Nema_Ec were generated exactly as per the MalE-displaying PHA beads described by Jahns and Rehm [25]. To achieve this, the malE gene was excised from plasmid PET-11b PhaC-linker-MalE and replaced by E. coli nenA, amplified by PCR using the following primers: Forward: CCCCCCTGCGAGATGGCTGATGAAACTG; Reverse: CCCCGGGATCTTAAACGTCGGGTAATC (the underlined sequences indicate the recognition sequences for the XhoI and BamHI restriction enzymes used to replace malE with nenA, yielding plasmid PET-11b PhaC-linker-NemaA). During PHA synthesis (growth conditions as described above), the polyhydroxyalkanoate synthase PhaC becomes covalently attached to the surface of the resulting polyester bead, and hence the Nema_Ec fusion partner was also displayed in this manner. The fidelity of the PCR amplification and molecular cloning process was confirmed by DNA sequencing of the inserted nenA gene and surrounding plasmid regions (Macrogen, Korea). The successful production of polyester beads was determined by staining cultures with Nile Red and observing cells with fluorescence microscopy as previously described [26]. The E. coli cells containing polyester were then mechanically disrupted and the lysate was subjected to centrifugation (4,000 xg, 20 min, 4 °C) to sediment the PHA beads. Final separation of the PHA beads from bacterial lysate was achieved by ultracentrifugation on a glycerol gradient [27]. SDS-PAGE and scanning densitometry indicated that the fusion protein PhaC-Nema_Ec constituted ca. 22% of the total protein present in the bead suspension (with other major species having previously been identified as co-purified host cell proteins; BHA Rehm, unpublished data). Nema_Ec functionality was confirmed by Cr(VI) reductase (1,5-diphenyl carboxylic) assay as described above. Isolated PHA beads (wet weight of just over 1.5 g isolated from the 1 L E. coli culture) were stored in potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) with 20% ethanol at 4 °C until required.

**Assessment of the stability of bead-immobilized Nema_Ec**

Purified PHA beads displaying Nema_Ec were tested for their ability to reduce Cr(VI) over time. Reactions were performed at 22 °C in replicates with a final volume of 0.5 ml containing 150 μM or 50 μM Cr(VI), 1 mM NADH and 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The reaction was initiated by addition of 65 μg beads and 50 μl aliquots were taken at 30 s intervals and added to 950 μl of color developing solution to measure Cr(VI) concentration, as previously. Reactions were performed in duplicate on a weekly basis for 12 weeks and again after 30 and 36 weeks, and rates of reactions were compared across the entire time period.

**N-ethylmaleimide and CB1954 reduction assays**

To monitor N-ethylmaleimide reduction, reactions were performed in a 1 ml volume containing 200 μM NADPH, 10 μl of either 0.34 μg.ml^-1 purified Nema_Ec or Nema_Ec PHA bead suspension, and 100 μM N-ethylmaleimide. After 10 min

---

**Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.**

| Strain or plasmid       | Description                                      | Source                  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| E. coli                  |                                                  |                         |
| BL21                     | F-, ompT, hsdS2 (K- m^-1), gal, dcm               | Novagen                 |
| BL21(DE3)                | F-, ompT, hsdS2 (K- m^-1), gal, dcm (DE3)        | Novagen                 |
| SOS-R1                   | Strain W3110 F- lac- (del)A::Tn10A::lacZ, nhsA, nhsB | [23]                    |
| Plasmids                 |                                                  |                         |
| pUCX                     | pUC19 derivative containing lacI, tac promoter, lac operon, RBS region and rmb terminator from pMMB676EH. Ap^6. | [23]                    |
| pET28α^+                 | His6-tag expression vector. Km^8.                | Novagen                 |
| pMCS69                   | pBR315MC9 derivative containing genes phaA and phaB of R. eutropha colinear to lac promoter, Cp^8. | [43]                    |
| pET-14b-PhaC             | pET14b derivative containing gene phaC of R. eutropha colinear to T7 promoter, Ap^8. | [44]                    |
| pET-14b-PhaC-L-NemaE_c   | pET14b derivative containing gene nemA of E. coli fused to the 3’ end of phaC by a linker sequence. | This study              |

**doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059200.t001**
incubation at 22°C the concentration of N-ethylmaleimide reduced was calculated based on the concentration of NADPH oxidized (assuming a 1:2 stoichiometry), using an extinction coefficient for NADPH of 6.2 × 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 340 nm. To monitor CB1954 reduction, reactions were performed in a 1 mL volume containing 200 μM NADPH, 10 μL of either 0.34 μg mL⁻¹ purified NemA_Ec or NemA_Ec PHA bead suspension, and 100 μM CB1954. After 10 min incubation at 22°C the concentration of CB1954 reduced was calculated based on equal absorbance of both possible hydroxylamine reduction products of CB1954 at 420 nm; ε = 1.2 × 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ [26].

Results

In ongoing work aiming to discovering effective nitroreductases for cancer gene therapy we have assembled a large collection of bacterial oxidoreductases, over-expressed from plasmid pUCX in E. coli W3110 [23,29]. As previous results have indicated that Cr(VI) reduction is likely a promiscuous activity of flavoenzymes in general [19,30], we screened a representative sub-library from this collection (45 oxidoreductases, derived from 14 different bacterial species and comprising 12 distinct enzyme families; details in Methods and Materials) to identify the most effective Cr(VI) reducing enzymes. In preliminary screens, however, we observed little to no correlation between the over-expressed Cr(VI) reductase activity measured in E. coli cell lysates and the activity of selected enzyme candidates at a purified protein level (not shown). Several of our most active enzymes in cell lysates, in particular those from the AzoR enzyme family, were nearly inactive as purified (His6-tagged) proteins. This was initially surprising to us, as previous directed evolution studies had shown a strong correlation between the activities of clonal variants measured at a cell lysate and purified protein level [31]. However, AzoR from E. coli (AzoR_Ec) has previously been described as an azoreductase whose activity is greatly enhanced in the presence of quinone redox mediators such as lawsone [32]. Based on this we hypothesized that quinones or other redox mediators present in E. coli cell lysate might be transferring electrons to Cr(VI) via indirect reduction pathways. The activity of purified AzoR_Ec was therefore re-tested in mixtures of 0:1, 1:2, 2:1 and 1:0 E. coli cell lysate and Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.0), and we found that the velocity of Cr(VI) reduction substantially increased with increasing levels of cell lysate. In contrast, enzymes such as NemA from E. coli (NemA_Ec) [23] and NfsA from Rikobesia pneumoniae (NfsA_Kp) [33] were unaffected by the addition of cell lysate (Figure 1). Similar results were observed when lawsone was added to enzyme reaction mixtures, with the rate of Cr(VI) reduction by AzoR_Ec substantially increased by lawsone addition, whereas NfsA_Kp was unaffected, and NemA_Ec activity was inhibited by this quinone (not shown).

From these observations we concluded that cell lysate screens were not an appropriate means for comparing the Cr(VI) reducing potential of unrelated enzymes. Instead, we expressed and purified (as His6-tagged recombinant proteins) 15 oxidoreductases we had previously cloned into plasmid pET28a⁺ (derived from seven different flavoenzyme families in total; details in Methods and Materials). Measurement of the Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters for each purified recombinant enzyme revealed that NemA_Ec was substantially the fastest Cr(VI) reductase, possessing a 4- to 15-fold greater apparent kcat and greater specificity constant (kcat/Km) for chromate than any of the other enzymes tested (Table 2) (representative Michaelis-Menten and Line-weaver-Burk plots are illustrated in Figure S1 in File S1). In contrast, purified AzoR_Ec in the absence of added cell lysate or lawsone was 2–3 orders of magnitude less efficient. An old yellow enzyme family member from Thermus scotoductus SA-01 is the only native soluble oxidoreductase to have previously been measured as having a greater specificity constant for chromate reduction than NemA_Ec [18]. Although NemA_Ec was previously shown to have a preference for NADPH over NADH as cofactor for reduction of several substrates, including trans-2-hexenal [34] and the anti-cancer prodrug CB1954 (5-aziridin-1-yl)-2,4-dinitrobenzamide) [23], no strong cofactor preference was apparent with Kc(CrO4²⁻) as a substrate (Table 2). Likewise, no substantial cofactor preference was observed for the NfsA-family enzymes Frp_Vh1 and NfsA_Kp (not shown).

All purified enzyme assays described in the preceding paragraph were performed at approximately physiological pH 7.0, in 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer. Serendipitously, this was found to be close to the optimal pH for Cr(VI) reduction by NemA (Figure S2A in File S1). Moreover, there was no significant difference in the velocity of Cr(VI) reduction by NemA_Ec in replicate reactions buffered with either 50 mM Tris-Cl or 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH>0.05, T-test) (Figure S2B in File S1). At pH 7.0, no spontaneous reduction of Cr(VI) by direct electron transfer from NADH (i.e. in control reactions lacking enzyme) was measurable within the timeframe of these assays (not shown).

Based on these findings, NemA_Ec was taken forward as a preferred Cr(VI) reductase candidate for further study. We first tested whether Cr(VI) reduction by NemA_Ec could be powered by a cofactor-regenerating partner enzyme. As noted previously, the cost of NADPH and NADPH cofactors (particularly the latter) is prohibitively expensive for their stoichiometric use in large scale applications. A number of industrial applications that utilize NAD(P)H powered enzymatic transformations therefore employ only small starting quantities of NAD(P)H, and couple the primary reaction to a secondary reaction catalyzed by a partner enzyme such as formate dehydrogenase (FDH) or glucose dehydrogenase (GDH), which continuously regenerate reduced NADH or NADH/P, respectively [21]. To test whether FDH and GDH can also be used to power Cr(VI) transformation by NemA_Ec we cloned, expressed and purified GDH from B. subtilis as a His6-
NADH cofactor to power Cr(VI) reduction by NemAEc. In both FDH and GDH can be used to regenerate reduced applications. It has previously been demonstrated that PHA immobilizing NemA_Ec to a matrix amenable to bioremediation we next sought to develop an economical and scalable method for supply purified chromate reductase with reduced NADH cofactor, within the timeframe of these assays (Figure S3 in File S1). Electron transfer from NADH or due to low pH was measurable 5 mM formic acid and 1 mM NADH without buffer (final pH of 5). Stoichiometric reduction of Cr(VI) in the presence of limiting Cr(VI) in the presence of excess (1 mM) NADH; 2) catalyzed regenerating partner NemA_Ec: 1) rapidly reduced all of the other known NemA_Ec substrates (Figure 2) or GDH and 5 mM formic acid (Figure 2). In contrast, when either was added instead of NADH (Figure 2). AzoR_Ecc 380 0.03 8.7 3.610^2
NfsA_Stc 180 0.02 1.4 10^2
NfsB_Vvc 2200 0.80 3.610^2
NfsB_St 320 0.03 7.8 10^3
Ygdg_Bs 63 1 0.48 0.10 (7.7 10^3)
YcnB_Bs 73 2 0.37 0.03 (5.0 10^3)
FRasel_VF 160 3 0.44 0.02 (2.7 10^3)
NfsB_PA 1300 100 1.5 0.1 (7.7 10^3)
MdaB_Ec 170 120 0.20 0.11 (1.1 10^3)
ChrR_PA 37 2 0.031 0.001 (8.3 10^2)
KefF_Pac 140 0.06 4.3 10^3
NfsB_Vv 2200 0.80 3.610^2
NfsB_St 180 0.02 1.4 10^2
Azor_Ec 380 0.03 8.7 10^3

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for purified recombinant oxidoreductases with Cr(VI) as substrate.

| Enzyme | K_M (μM) | k_cat (s^-1) | k_cat/K_M (M^-1s^-1) |
|--------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| NemA_Ec (NADH) | 1.6 ± 0.9 | 1.0 ± 0.1 | (1.1 ± 6)10^5 |
| NemA_Ec (NADPH) | 23 ± 4 | 2.1 ± 0.1 | (9.4 ± 1.2)10^4 |
| Frp_Vh | 3.8 ± 0.5 | 0.32 ± 0.05 | (8.4 ± 1.7)10^4 |
| NfsA_Kp | 1.7 ± 1.0 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | (6.9 ± 2.7)10^4 |
| NfsB_Kp | 47 ± 4 | 0.37 ± 0.03 | (7.8 ± 1.0)10^3 |
| Ygdg_Bs | 63 ± 1 | 0.48 ± 0.10 | (7.7 ± 1.5)10^3 |
| YcnB_Bs | 73 ± 2 | 0.37 ± 0.03 | (5.0 ± 0.5)10^3 |
| FRasel_VF | 160 ± 3 | 0.44 ± 0.02 | (2.7 ± 0.1)10^3 |
| NfsB_St | 320 ± 120 | 0.54 ± 0.019 | (1.7 ± 0.9)10^3 |
| ChrR_PA | 1300 ± 100 | 1.5 ± 0.1 | (7.7 ± 1.1)10^3 |
| MdaB_Ec | 170 ± 120 | 0.20 ± 0.11 | (1.1 ± 1.0)10^3 |
| ChrR_St | 37 ± 2 | 0.031 ± 0.001 | (8.3 ± 1.4)10^2 |
| KefF_Pac | 140 | 0.06 | 4.3 10^3 |
| NfsB_Vv | 2200 | 0.80 | 3.6 10^2 |
| NfsB_St | 180 | 0.02 | 1.4 10^2 |
| Azor_Ec | 380 | 0.03 | 8.7 10^3 |

*Enzyme nomenclature is described in full in Materials and Methods. With the exception of NemA_Ec, all enzyme kinetics were measured with NADH as cofactor on the basis that this cofactor is more cost-effective for high level use than NADPH. NemA_Ec kinetic parameters were measured with both cofactors to enable any preference to be identified.

aApparent K_M and k_cat as measured at 1 mM NADH (or 1 mM NADPH, for NemA_Ec only). Data are the mean of two independent replicates, and error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation.

bNo error bars are available, as derivation of meaningful values from a Lineweaver-Burk plot for these low activity enzymes required the replicate data to be averaged prior to analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059200.t002

generated by genetically fusing a protein of interest to a PHA synthase enzyme. During polymer synthesis the PHA synthase, together with its fusion partner, becomes covalently attached and displayed on the surface of the resulting PHA bead [25,35]. As PHA beads can constitute up to 80% of the dry weight of a bacterial cell [36] and the covalently bound fusion protein accumulates at high copy number per bead [35,37], this method provides an efficient and economical ‘one pot’ system for preparing a high yield of immobilized and readily purified enzyme.

When E. coli nema was fused to the phaC gene from R. eutropha and over-expressed together with the R. eutropha phaAB genes in E. coli, high levels of PHA bead production were observed in cells stained with Nile Red (a hydrophobic fluorogenic dye that associates strongly with PHA; Figure S4 in File S1). To demonstrate that functional NemA_Ec was displayed on the beads, purified beads were incubated with 150 μM Cr(VI), together with FDH, 5 mM formic acid and 50 μM NAD+, and complete Cr(VI) transformation was observed (Figure 3). Similar results were observed when purified His6-tagged GDH was employed as a cofactor regenerating partner (not shown). We also sought to generate PHA beads displaying B. subtilis GDH, however these beads were not active in glucose metabolism and cofactor regeneration, most likely due to an inability of the PhaC-fused GDH monomers to reconstitute the tetrameric form required for function of this enzyme [38].

We were unable to estimate the concentration of NemA_Ec protein displayed on the PHA beads, precluding a direct head-to-head comparison of immobilized versus free enzyme activities. Instead, we sought to at least determine whether immobilization on PHA beads had compromised NemA_Ec activity. We reasoned that any distortion of the NemA_Ec active site incurred by fusion to PhaC and display on the PHA beads would impact activity with different substrates to a varying extent. To test this we compared the relative activities of free and immobilized enzyme with two other known NemA_Ec substrates (N-ethylmalamidie [39] and CB1954 [23]) in addition to Cr(VI). When otherwise identical reactions were established containing either free or immobilized NemA_Ec, and incubated for 10 min with either K2CrO4, N-
ethylenediamine, or CB1954 as substrate, it was found that both free and immobilized enzyme had reduced similar amounts of Cr(VI). In contrast, the immobilized enzyme had reduced substantially more CB1954, but the free enzyme had reduced more N-ethylmaleimide (Figure 4). This result suggested that immobilization on the surface of PHA beads had impaired NemA_Ec function to a certain extent, with the degree of impairment less for CB1954 as a substrate than for Cr(VI) or N-ethylmaleimide.

Although our inability to accurately measure the concentration of NemA_Ec protein displayed on the PHA beads precluded us from determining the $k_{\text{cat}}$ of the immobilized enzyme, we were still able to measure the $K_m$. At 1 mM NADH the $K_m$ of immobilized NemA_Ec for Cr(VI) was observed to be 94±26 μM, substantially greater than that of the free enzyme (16±8.6 μM; Table 2). In contrast, the $K_m$ for NADH was effectively unaltered (490±30 μM for immobilized NemA_Ec versus 450±30 μM for the free enzyme). This result is consistent with immobilization of Nem_A_Ec having impaired its ability to transform Cr(VI). Nonetheless, our previous data (e.g. Figure 3) indicate that even immobilized NemA_Ec is still an efficient Cr(VI) reductase. Moreover, with over 1.5 g of beads able to be harvested from just a 1 L culture of E. coli grown in LB medium, obtaining sufficient quantities of immobilized NemA_Ec for effective Cr(VI) reduction is unlikely to prove problematic. Finally, we note that the stability of PHA bead immobilized NemA_Ec is high; after 36 weeks of storage at 4 °C no discernible reduction in the Cr(VI) reducing activity of the immobilized enzyme was detected (not shown).

Discussion

While discovery and/or engineering of catalytically superior Cr(VI) reducing enzymes offers scope for improving bacterial bio-remediation, there are a number of issues that limit the applicability of such enzymes in a whole-cell context. Not only is Cr(VI) itself toxic to remediating bacteria, it has also previously been shown that expression of enhanced chromate reductase variants in Pseudomona spatu or Escherichia coli did not significantly improve the rate of chromate transformation by intact cells, whereas it did significantly increase the rate for permeabilized cells and crude cell lysates [31]. This suggests that Cr(VI) uptake by intact cells can become rate limiting, such that improving intracellular Cr(VI) reduction will have no impact on bio-remedia- tion potential. Even if these and other problems such as nutrient limitation or the bacterial effect of other toxins present at polluted sites can be overcome, societal concerns and regulations restricting release of genetically modified organisms will remain a major roadblock.

In contrast an immobilized enzyme-based system for Cr(VI) remediation would not suffer these limitations, but would face additional challenges from the extracellular environment; potential stability issues, a lack of reducing equivalents and – because it presumably cannot self-replicate – a requirement to be able to be produced affordably and in high yield. In this work we addressed each of these issues, identifying an effective and stable Cr(VI) reductase from a bacterial oxidoreductase library; demonstrating a low-cost biological approach for expressing and purifying this enzyme in an immobilized form; and showing that effective Cr(VI) transformation can be powered by only a low starting concentration of cofactor, which is continuously regenerated by a partner enzyme that consumes a cheap sacrificial substrate. We anticipate that the most plausible means of applying this system in Cr(VI) remediation would be for decontamination of groundwater ex situ, where administration of enzymes, cofactors and sacrificial substrates could be carefully controlled and the PHA-immobilized enzymes could be easily recovered and re-used. Moreover, as NemA has previously been shown to degrade other environmental pollutants such as the explosives glycerol trinitrate and penta- erythritol tetranitrate [34], the applications of NemA-functionalized PHA beads in environmental remediation could be far more wide-ranging than just Cr(VI) transformation.

Although we were unable to produce functional B. subtilis GDH on the surface of PHA beads, most probably because the PhaG-fused monomers were physically constrained from reconstituting the tetramer required for function of this enzyme [38], it is possible that C. boidinii FDH, a dimeric enzyme [40] would prove more successful in this regard. A large number of alternative enzyme systems that have been described for regeneration of NADPH cofactors [21] will be considered for future studies. An effective,

---

Figure 3. Cr(VI) transformation by PHA beads displaying functional NemA_Ec fused to covalently tethered PhaC from R. eutropha. 65 μg of PHA beads displaying NemA_Ec were incubated with 150 μM potassium chromate, 15 μg FDH, 5 mM formic acid and 50 μM NAD⁺ in 0.5 ml of 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) and incubated at 22 °C for 75 min. The concentration of Cr(VI) remaining at each of the time-points indicated was measured by diphenyl carbazide assay. Data are the mean of three independent replicates, and error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059200.g003

Figure 4. Relative activity of free NemA_Ec versus PHA bead immobilized NemA_Ec with different substrates. Replicate reactions were established containing 200 μM NADPH; 10 μl of either 0.34 μg.ml⁻¹ purified NemA_Ec or NemA_Ec PHA bead suspension; and 100 μl of either K₂CrO₄, N-ethylmaleimide, or CB1954. After 10 min incubation at 22 °C the concentration of each substrate remaining was measured, enabling the concentration of substrate reduced to be calculated. Data are the mean of three independent replicates, and error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059200.g004
stable, affordable and easily purified cofactor regenerating enzyme immobilized on PHA beads would doubtless have wide-ranging utility beyond Cr(VI) remediation, with a large number of industrial applications having been described for these enzymes, and immobilization being a prerequisite for most of these [41,42].

This work also highlighted a disadvantage in using whole cell or crude extract preparations to screen for redox activities catalyzed by highly promiscuous enzymes, in that the desired activity may prove to be indirect, mediated by one or more secondary substrates that may not be relevant to the intended application of the target enzyme. A particular concern for directed evolution studies that seek to improve the activity of such enzymes by an iterative process of mutagenesis and screening is that selected variants may be improved in their metabolism of secondary substrates rather than the primary substrate. That such an outcome was not observed during the previous directed evolution of E. coli ChrRI to improve chromate reduction [31] suggests that this scenario may not arise if the native enzyme does not already reduce the primary substrate via secondary pathways. As we have demonstrated here, a simple comparison of the activity of the purified native enzyme in the presence or absence of added cell lysate could be used to test for this.

Supporting Information

File S1 Figure S1. Representative Michaelis-Menten (panels A,C) and Lineweaver-Burk (panels B,D) plots to measure apparent $k_{cat}$ and apparent $K_m$ for NemA_Ec with Cr(VI) (panels A,B) and NADH (panels C,D) as substrate. Graphs are single repeats of reaction velocity measured at each substrate concentration. The apparent $k_{cat}$ and apparent $K_m$ for Cr(VI) as substrate were measured at 1 mM NADH; and the apparent $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ with NADH as substrate were measured at 150 mM Cr(VI). Figure S2. A. Identification of the pH optimum for NemA_Ec. 15 µg NemA_Ec were incubated at 22°C with 150 µM potassium chromate and 1 mM NADH in either 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 5.0, 6.5 or 7.0) or 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5 or 8.0). Reactions were initiated by addition of NemA_Ec and rate of Cr(VI) reduction measured by diphenylcarbazide assay. Data are the mean of three independent replicates, and error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation. B. Comparison of Cr(VI) reduction velocity at pH 7.0 in sodium phosphate buffer or Tris-Cl buffer.
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