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A printed millimetre-wave modulator and antenna array for backscatter communications at gigabit data rates

John Kimionis1, Apostolos Georgiadis2, Spyridon Nektarios Daskalakis2 and Manos M. Tentzeris3

Future devices for the Internet of Things will require communication systems that can deliver higher data rates at low power. Backscatter radio—in which wireless communication is achieved via reflection rather than radiation—is a low-complexity approach that requires a minimal number of active elements. However, it is typically limited to data rates of hundreds of megabits per second because of the low frequency bands used and the modulation techniques involved. Here we report a millimetre-wave modulator and antenna array for backscatter communications at gigabit data rates. This radiofrequency front-end consists of a microstrip patch antenna array and a single pseudomorphic high-electron-mobility transistor that supports a range of modulation formats including binary phase shift keying, quadrature phase shift keying and quadrature amplitude modulation. The circuit is additively manufactured with inkjet printing using silver nanoparticle inks on a flexible liquid-crystal polymer substrate. A millimetre-wave transceiver is also designed to capture and downconvert the backscattered signals and route them for digital signal processing. With the system, we demonstrate a bit rate of two gigabits per second of backscatter transmission at millimetre-wave frequencies of 24–28 GHz, and with a front-end energy consumption of 0.17 pJ per bit.

Backscatter radio has been extensively used in commercial radiofrequency identification (RFID) applications in sub-gigahertz bands to wirelessly transmit static identification codes with kilobits per second (kbps)-level data rates. mmWave identification systems have been proposed to leverage the advantages of directional antennas with the beam-scanning capabilities used in readers, permitting localization and ranging functionality. Another advantage of mmWave operation is the direct integration of the antennas on integrated circuits (ICs), and various reader architectures and tag systems have been reported. These include pure complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technologies, combinations of CMOS and low-temperature co-fired ceramic (LTCC), bipolar CMOS, silicon micromachining and tag circuits consisting of off-the-shelf Schottky diodes placed on liquid-crystal polymer substrates. However, backscatter rates are typically limited to hundreds of megabits per second at a frequency of 2.4 GHz (refs. 15,16,17).

In this Article, we show that backscatter radio can be used for gigabit-data-rate mmWave communications, while minimizing the front-end complexity to a single high-frequency transistor. We also show that a single mmWave transistor can support a range of modulation formats, including binary phase shift keying (BPSK), quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) and quadrature amplitude modulation (16-QAM). Analogue modulation of the backscatter signal has previously been proposed18,19, but here we explore such modulation by introducing an analogue control signal in the gate of a commercial off-the-shelf transistor.

We have previously reported preliminary work on 4 Gbps binary modulation backscatter transmission at mmWave frequencies (and with a front-end with energy consumption of <0.15 pJ per bit)20. Using the same single transistor hardware, we now demonstrate transmission of a gigabits-per-second higher-order complex
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modulation and provide the received signal constellation in real time using commercial test equipment. We also show that the transmitted waveform provides a signal-to-noise and distortion level that are sufficient to implement complex modulation formats with high spectral efficiency (demonstrating a received gigabits-per-second 16-QAM signal constellation).

The low complexity of the front-end of our gigabit-data-rate backscatter means that it can be created with additive manufacturing using inkjet-printing techniques. Inkjet printing, which has been used to rapidly fabricate mmWave antennas22 and packaging solutions23, inherently requires a minimal amount of material and can achieve micrometre-level fabrication accuracy. The approach drastically reduces the fabrication cost and lead time typically associated with mmWave communication systems.

**Single-transistor high-order modulation**

The concept of single-transistor gigabit backscatter communications at mmWave frequencies is summarized in Fig. 1. A backscatter communicator consists of an antenna array with input impedance $Z_a$ and a single radiofrequency (RF) transistor that is used for modulation with impedance $Z$. By controlling the RF transistor’s gate bias with a voltage $V_g(t)$, the transistor impedance can be modified easily, yielding a variation of the reflection coefficient over time. The complex reflection coefficient between the antenna array input impedance and the voltage-controlled transistor impedance is

$$\Gamma(V_r(t)) = \frac{Z(V_g(t)) - Z_a^*}{Z(V_g(t)) + Z_a}$$

(1)

and denotes the relationship between the RF voltage $V_{RF}$ that is reflected when an incident RF voltage $V_{inc}_{RF}$ is induced at the antenna’s terminal, as is evident from $V_{RF} = \Gamma V_{inc}_{RF}$. The complex function $\Gamma$ can carry digital information (for example, a bitstream) in a similar way that a complex baseband communication signal digitally encodes information in a conventional transmitter. For example, binary data in typical RFIDs are encoded by transitioning between two $\Gamma$ values when voltages $V_0$ and $V_1$, respectively, are applied to the transistor gate.

This Article introduces an exceptional difference compared with previous backscatter modulators that enables a high-order constellation (non-binary) with the use of a single transistor. Conceptually, multiple transistors or cascaded RF multiplexers could be used in different topologies to generate multiple reflection coefficient values, as has been showcased for UHF QAM backscatter in ref. 1 and refs. 16,24, respectively. However, increasing the number of transistors in a mmWave implementation may decrease the performance due to multiple device parasitics16, increase the cost and increase the complexity for higher constellation sizes, as seen in ref. 1, which limits scalability, whereas using switching-mode devices results in unwanted, increased spectrum occupancy, as argued in ref. 25. An important result of this work is that it applies the principles of continuously modulating the backscattered signal, as shown in analogue backscattering publications such as ref. 25 and our previous work related to pulse shaping of the backscattered signal26.

Modern communication devices push the signal processing complexity toward the digital baseband IC instead of, for example, employing multiple mixer stages in the RF front-end hardware. Radios tend to be more software-defined, leaving room for communication protocol upgrades and modulation scheme reconfiguration, while reutilizing unchanged, generic RF front-ends and antennas. The same philosophy is followed here, by keeping one single antenna array and one modulation transistor operating in mmWave bands, while pushing the complexity to lower-frequency digital operations.

The complex baseband signal $x(t)$ in this implementation is a wide-band (main lobe width of 500 MHz to 1 GHz) BPSK, QPSK or 16-QAM modulation, which is digitally upconverted to an $f_{sub} = 2$ GHz subcarrier, yielding a real-valued intermediate-frequency (IF) signal.
which is used to bias the transistor’s gate. Because the baseband signal is digitally mixed with a subcarrier, and the IF signal is real-valued, only one transistor will always need to be excited, regardless of the baseband signal’s number of dimensions, which guarantees a feature that enables easy scalability.

When the backscatter communicator is illuminated with a 24.5 GHz unmodulated continuous wave, it reflects a wave that relies on the amplitude and phase of $\Gamma$, effectively mixing the IF signal around the 24.5 GHz carrier. This wireless mixing process enables mmWave transmissions, completely eliminating the need for mmWave oscillators and mixers on the communicator. The result is a passband mmWave signal with upper and lower sidebands that is captured by a 24.5 GHz receiver and directly downconverted to d.c. with a mixer that is coherent with the 24.5 GHz transmitter that emits the carrier continuous wave. The in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) components of the mixer output are routed to a digital receiver that digitally removes the 2 GHz IF and demodulates the received complex baseband constellation. Employing a zero-IF downconverter in the mmWave hardware offers the flexibility of selecting any bias signal IF, without the need for additional mixing stages either in the backscatter communicator or the mmWave transceiver. The advantage of this heterodyne backscatter is that, within the bandwidth capability of the hardware, any baud rate, IF, constellation size and modulation format can be supported.

Printed mmWave backscatter communication array

In principle, any antenna array can be used for mmWave backscattering, as long as it has a single feed that can be attached to a transistor’s terminal. For demonstration purposes, a microstrip 5 × 1 series-fed patch antenna array was designed with five patch elements separated by transmission line sections of approximate length $\lambda_{\text{guided}}/2$, where $\lambda_{\text{guided}}$ is the effective wavelength in the microstrip, leading to in-phase excitation of the patches and a resonance frequency of 24.5 GHz. The antenna array is circularly polarized and circular polarization is achieved by appropriately truncating two corners of the patch radiators. The simulated and vector network analyser (VNA)-measured return losses of the array are shown in Fig. 2a, where the principal resonance can be seen around 24.5 GHz and a secondary resonance around 26.5 GHz. The multiple-resonance frequency response is due to the fact that the series-fed antenna array has a structure of a bandpass microstrip stepped-impedance filter. Owing to the Bode–Fano limits, a broadband-response antenna array will feature multiple local minima in the return loss response.

The directivity (Fig. 2b) of the array remains approximately constant over the whole 23–28 GHz band, with a value of ~13 dBi. The realized gain of the array (which includes the effect of the return loss, as well as conductor losses) is shown in Fig. 2c. The gain at the centre frequency (24.5 GHz) is 10 dBi and the gain between 25.5 and 28 GHz is flat at 8 dBi. The realized gain defines the frequency regions for the mmWave backscatter operation: the carrier continuous wave that illuminates the communicator will be centred at 24.5 GHz, while the backscatter response (subcarrier) will be centred 2 GHz further, at 26.5 GHz. An extra observation has to be made for the region at 22.5 GHz, where the realized gain is as low as 2 dBi. This frequency region inherently acts as a filter, which reduces the power of the lower modulated sideband that would otherwise act as a self-interfering image at the receiver. This ‘filtering effect’ is illustrated in Fig. 1b, where the 22.5 GHz lobe is shown as attenuated.

The antenna array layout and the backscatter modulator front-end are shown in Fig. 3. The antenna feed is connected through a 50 Ω microstrip line to an enhancement-mode high-electron mobility transistor (E-pHEMT; Avago VNMMK-122530) drain. The transistor’s source has to be connected to an RF-short to achieve an ideal reflection of $\Gamma = -1$ when biased and $\Gamma = +1$ when non-biased. At the same time, a d.c. short is required to achieve the bias signal return through the gate–source path and effectively create a bias voltage of $V_{GS} > 0$. Although a straightforward choice would be to place a grounded via as close as possible to the source pad, the physical dimension of the via would exceed the pad size, effectively shorting the gate and the drain. This layout issue is alleviated by connecting the transistor’s source to a grounded via through two approximately $\lambda/4$ lines in series and in a gamma configuration, guaranteeing an unchanged impedance (RF-short). The exact lengths of the lines were optimized to achieve a $\lambda/2$ total electrical length. The transistor’s gate is connected to a bias feed (RF choke) implemented with $\lambda/4$ transformers instead of parasitics-heavy lumped inductors. The utilized distributed RF choke features a rejection better than 25 dB at 24.5 GHz, which is the front end’s principal frequency of operation. This guarantees minimal carrier signal leakage to the gate and in turn to the bias gigabits-per-second source.

The integrated backscatter communicator was implemented on a 0.1778-mm-thick flexible liquid-crystal polymer (LCP) substrate with one copper-clad side used as the ground plane, while the other side (exposed LCP) was used for inkjet-printing the antenna array with silver nanoparticle (SNP) ink. The printing process is described in detail in the Methods, and includes preparation of the LCP substrate, inkjet-printing of the array and modulator circuit traces, followed by attachment of the surface mount 0402 E-pHEMT. Because of the good adhesion of the SNP ink on the thin LCP substrate, the entire structure is flexible, which can make it appealing for integration with wearable devices (such as smart wristbands), robots, autonomous cars and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), space platforms and smart skins. For a printed SNP conductivity value of $\sigma = 5 \times 10^6$ S m$^{-1}$, the skin depth at 24 GHz is 1.45 μm, which can be
guaranteed by printing four layers of silver\(^{-22}\). The microphotographs in Fig. 4 show the high level of accuracy that is achieved with inkjet printing and four-layer stacking of SNPs. The accuracy of the fine feature sizes as well as metal-to-metal clearance gaps were achieved by modifying the surface energy of the LCP with UV–ozone exposure, as described in the Methods.

**Custom mmWave backscatter transceiver**

The test set-up of Fig. 5 was used to conduct the mmWave backscatter experiments. As shown in Fig. 1a, a mmWave transceiver was used to capture and downconvert the backscattered signals and route them for digital processing. For the testing in this work, a custom transceiver with off-the-shelf modules was set up, with a transmitter set up to emit a 24.5 GHz continuous wave. The spectrum of the receiver covered the whole 24–28 GHz band while discarding the 22.5 GHz frequency region, further attenuating the lower modulated sideband, as illustrated in Fig. 1b.

A voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO; Hittite HMC739\(^{30}\)) was set up as a 24.5 GHz synthesizer and its output was amplified by a power amplifier (PA; Hittite HMC863\(^{31}\)) before being sent to a wide-band horn antenna (A-info LB-180400-20-C-KF) for continuous-wave illumination of the backscatter array. The horn gain was 20 dB and the PA provided 24 dB of gain, +28.5 dBm of saturated output power or 27 dBm of output power for 1-dB compression, with a supply of +5.5 V. An identical horn antenna was used for the receive chain, connected to a mmWave low-noise amplifier (LNA; RF-Lambda RLNA26G40GB\(^{32}\)). The output of the LNA was connected to a zero-IF mixer (Hittite HMC977\(^{33}\)) with I/Q outputs.

To demonstrate the proof of concept of the proposed disruptive ultrabroadband backscatter communications, the mmWave communicator was connected to a Keysight M8190 arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) that provided the real-valued modulated bias signal. The transceiver’s I and Q outputs were directed to a Keysight Infiniium S-series digital storage oscilloscope (DSO) running vector signal analysis (VSA) digital demodulation software and performing constellation analysis (Fig. 5). The VSA receiver algorithm\(^{34}\) performed carrier and symbol synchronization and d.c. offset removal and rotation to generate a reference constellation. However, I/Q imbalance was not compensated.

The distance between the horn antennas and the backscatter RF front-end was fixed at 0.5 m. The linearly polarized transmit horn and received horn antennas were placed next to each other and rotated 90° with respect to each other to receive linearly polarized signals orthogonally polarized with respect to the transmitted linearly polarized continuous-wave signal. This cross-polarized arrangement improved the isolation between the transmitter (TX) and the receiver (RX) and permitted the system to reject structural scattering from the backscatter tag’s ground plane\(^{-22}\).

**Gigabit-per-second data rates for backscatter radio**

The AWG was first set up to output BPSK pseudorandom data with a data rate of 1 Gbps and a subcarrier of 2 GHz, that is, the main lobe of the modulation bias covering the band from ~1.5 GHz to

---

**Fig. 3 | mmWave antenna array hardware design.** a, Physical layout of the backscatter modulator and 5 × 1-antenna array. b, mmWave backscatter communicator flexible prototype with an integrated pHEMT transistor front-end and patch antenna array.

**Fig. 4 | Microphotographs of the inkjet-printed 24.5 GHz patch antenna array and backscatter communication circuit traces.** a, The shunt stub T-junction. b, 0402 SMD pads for the E-pHEMT. c, RF microstrip-to-patch transition.
2.5 GHz. Instead of performing binary-level switching between 0 V and 1 V, which results in excessive-bandwidth signals, the pulse-shaping principles of ref. 25 were applied to backscatter smooth, band-limited waveforms with most of the energy present in the main lobe. The front-end was biased with a BPSK waveform that was pulse-shaped with square-root raised cosine (SRRC) pulses and a rolloff factor of $\alpha = 0.35$ to limit the signal bandwidth. The demodulated signal is shown in Fig. 6a, where the captured BPSK constellation and main-lobe spectrum can be seen, with a root mean square (r.m.s.) error vector magnitude (EVM) of 24.76%. This value corresponds to a bit error rate (BER) of 0.2%35 and has been achieved without any signal pre-distortion or forward error coding (FEC) at the AWG, and without equalization at the DSO/VSA. Considering a leakage gate–source current of $I_{GS} = 200 \text{nA}$ and maximum bias voltage of $V_{max} = 1 \text{V}$, the maximum transistor static power consumption

$$P_{\text{static}} = I_{GS} \times V_{max}$$

is $P_{\text{static}} = 200 \text{nW}$. The maximum dynamic power consumption is

$$P_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{2} C_{GS} V_{max} f_{max}$$

where the gate capacitance is $C_{GS} = 0.3 \text{pF}$ and the maximum switching speed $f_{max} = f_{sub} + W$ is related to the subcarrier $f_{sub} = 2 \text{GHz}$ and the baseband bandwidth $W$ of the SRRC pulse used:

$$W = \frac{1 + \alpha}{T_{sym}}$$

where $\alpha = 0.35$ is the SRRC rolloff factor and $T_{sym}$ is the symbol period. In the case of 1 Gbps BPSK, $f_{max} = 2.675 \text{GHz}$ and $P_{\text{dyn}} = 401.25 \mu\text{W}$. The energy per bit is

$$E_b = \frac{\Delta (P_{\text{static}} + P_{\text{dyn}}) T_{bit}}{\Delta}$$

where $T_{bit}$ is the bit period. This is then $E_b = 0.4 \text{pJ}$ per bit.

To demonstrate the backscattering of high-order modulation with a single transistor, the AWG was set up to generate a QPSK waveform, digitally upconverted to a 2 GHz IF subcarrier, and the real-valued analogue signal output was used to drive the mmWave communicator. In Fig. 6b, the demodulated QPSK constellation is shown, with an EVM of 14.75%, or BER of 0.6%. The baud rate is 500 Msymbols s$^{-1}$, with an effective bit rate of 1 Gbps. The energy per bit in this case is $E_b = 0.35 \text{pJ}$ per bit. In Fig. 6c, the bit rate is increased even more, with a baud rate of 500 Msymbols s$^{-1}$, but with a 16-QAM constellation, boosting the bit rate to 2 Gbps, with an EVM of 12.37%, a BER of 8% and maximum $E_b$ of 0.17 pJ per bit. The 0.17 pJ per bit refers to the front-end only and the overall consumption of the tag should include the power dissipated in generating the gigabit-per-second modulation signal; however, this presents a challenge in all such gigabit-per-second systems due to the high power consumption of the AWG (digital-to-analogue converters and field programmable gate array logic). This work clearly demonstrates gigabit-per-second-level data rates for backscatter radio, accompanied by extremely low-energy front-end consumptions, as shown in Table 1. The fact that this performance can be achieved with all-printed antenna arrays of a small size (5 × 1) shows the great potential of using mmWave backscatter for low-complexity Gbit communication, without directly utilizing high-complexity mmWave modules (VCOs, mixers and amplifiers) on mobile devices, sensors or IoT nodes.

**Backscatter range in mmWaves**

Although mmWave propagation corresponds to an increased free-space path loss compared to propagation using signals at lower frequencies in the UHF or low gigahertz frequency bands due to a smaller wavelength, the overall system path loss is offset by the substantially larger antenna gains. Furthermore, recent works have supported the fact that rain attenuation and atmospheric absorption at mmWave frequencies would not present an important degradation of performance, especially when selecting specific low-absorption frequency ranges, such as the one around 28 GHz, for transmission. The range of backscatter communication
systems such as RFID systems assuming free-space propagation is estimated as

\[ R \propto \lambda \sqrt{G_r G_t} \quad (7) \]

where \( G_r \) is the transmit reader/interrogator antenna gain, \( G_t \) is the receive tag antenna gain and \( \lambda \) is the free-space operating wavelength. The proportionality factor depends on the transmitted power, the sensitivity of the reader interrogator or the receive tag sensitivity, the polarization mismatch between the transmit and receive antennas and the impedance mismatch between the tag antenna and the tag transistor circuit. Therefore, assuming a fixed proportionality constant, scaling down the operating wavelength can be offset by scaling up by the square of the amount of the product of the transmit and receive antenna gains. For example, increasing the operating frequency by an order of magnitude (that is, 10 dB, for example from 2.4 GHz to 24 GHz) would require an increase of the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB). The system prototype that we have implemented comprises a transmit antenna with 20 dB of gain and a receive antenna with 10 dB of gain. A typical UHF reader antenna has 7 dB of gain and a typical UHF RFID tag 0 dB of gain. Compared to a typical UHF RFID scenario, our prototype introduces an increase in the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB). The system prototype that we have implemented comprises a transmit antenna with 20 dB of gain and a receive antenna with 10 dB of gain. A typical UHF reader antenna has 7 dB of gain and a typical UHF RFID tag 0 dB of gain. Compared to a typical UHF RFID scenario, our prototype introduces an increase in the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB). The system prototype that we have implemented comprises a transmit antenna with 20 dB of gain and a receive antenna with 10 dB of gain. A typical UHF reader antenna has 7 dB of gain and a typical UHF RFID tag 0 dB of gain. Compared to a typical UHF RFID scenario, our prototype introduces an increase in the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB). The system prototype that we have implemented comprises a transmit antenna with 20 dB of gain and a receive antenna with 10 dB of gain. A typical UHF reader antenna has 7 dB of gain and a typical UHF RFID tag 0 dB of gain. Compared to a typical UHF RFID scenario, our prototype introduces an increase in the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB). The system prototype that we have implemented comprises a transmit antenna with 20 dB of gain and a receive antenna with 10 dB of gain. A typical UHF reader antenna has 7 dB of gain and a typical UHF RFID tag 0 dB of gain. Compared to a typical UHF RFID scenario, our prototype introduces an increase in the combined transmit and receive antenna gains by two orders of magnitude (that is, 20 dB).

**Conclusions**

We have reported a multi-gigabit-per-second backscatter with a printed mmWave modulator and antenna, which has a front-end power consumption of 0.17 pJ per bit. The potential of pJ-per-bit communication at those frequencies is considerable, given that commonly used active RF modules for mmWave communication consume several orders of magnitude more power. For example, an off-the-shelf mmWave mixer, HMC977, has a power consumption of 600–700 mW, whereas the power consumption of our single-transistor backscatter front-end is on the order of 0.5 mW. Viewing backscatter communication as ‘remote’ mixing could lead to drastically reduced complexity in commercial devices that require high-data-rate communication.

To benefit from the upcoming 5G standards and reach gigabit data-transfer speeds, cell phones will have to upgrade to full 28 GHz radios. However, the 2.4 GHz electronics that are already present in most cell phones could act as an IF bridge between baseband and mmWave frequencies with the addition of a single mmWave transistor for over-the-air mixing. Backscattering at mmWave frequencies has the potential to bring 5G network compatibility to devices without actually incorporating additional highly complex and costly 28 GHz Tx/Rx chains. Scenarios such as cell-phone data offloading (for example, sending photographs/videos to cloud storage) at hotspots in cafes or other public places are in line with the concept of wireless-charging hotspots that appear in similar locations.

Using single-transistor front-ends for backscatter mixing instead of active mmWave mixers has a direct effect on the communication radio’s cost, which drops by one to two orders of magnitude (from tens of dollars for an active mixer down to less than a dollar for a single transistor). These cost savings, combined with the notably smaller footprint of a minimal backscatter front-end, could reduce the total production cost of mobile devices, making wireless technology capable of rapid data transfer more accessible.
Moreover, by further optimization of reader sensitivity and the backscatter communicator’s antenna gain, higher-order constellations can be supported, which in turn would reduce the required symbol rate (and thus bandwidth) for a given bit rate. This would relax the bandwidth requirements of antennas and devices, and would reduce the device’s power consumption further due to the lower switching speeds. Finally, the implementation of wearable systems and small mobile devices creates additional challenges due to multipath and antenna bending, as well as antenna misalignments. Such implementations will require further evaluation of the approach.

Methods

Inkjet-printing fabrication of the backscatter communicator. The substrate used for the printed array fabrication was double-side copper-clad, 0.1778-mm-thick Ultralam 3850HT LCP from Rogers Corporation (€i = 3.14, tan δ = 0.002), with one side of the copper cladding chemically etched off. Before printing, the LCP-exposed side of the substrate was cleaned by adhering and removing Kapton tape, which abrasively removed dust. The substrate was then exposed in a UV–ozone cleaner for 10 s to break down surface contaminants such as grease and modify the substrate’s surface energy for improved ink adhesion.

All printing was performed with a Dimatix DMP-2831 material deposition inkjet printer using Suntronic EMD5730 inkjet ink, with a 40% nanosilver UV–ozone cleaner for 10 s to break down surface contaminants such as grease and modify the substrate’s surface energy for improved ink adhesion.

Simulations. For the simulations, the Keysight Advanced Design System (ADS) solver was used to optimize the backscatter modulator and 5 x 1-antenna array, and export the results shown in Fig. 2b,c. A full electromagnetic analysis with the method of moments was applied to the backscatter modulator and 5 x 1-antenna array to estimate the losses from the LCP substrate and SNP, the fringing fields and the electromagnetic coupling between ports. Next, harmonic-balance and large-signal analysis was applied, taking into account the nonlinear behaviour of the E-PHEMT.

In the simulations, the PHEMT was biased through a microstrip stub RF choke (rejection better than 25 dB at 24 GHz) and for a bias voltage of 0 V and 1 V, respectively, the reflection coefficient values Γ_i and Γ_j were exported up to 40 GHz. The reflection coefficient difference amplitude |ΔΓ| = |Γ_i − Γ_j| was then calculated; this is known as the modulation factor, which needs to be maximized to optimize backscatter communication performance.

Backscatter signal modelling. A detailed analysis and derivation of the backscatter signal model can be found in ref. 16, where binary modulation is performed with a single transistor that alternates between two discrete states (‘on’/’off’ switching when the transistor’s gate bias is high or low). Moreover, analysis of backscatter modulation with pulse-shaped signals instead of hard level switching is provided in ref. 16, where a single transistor is used to generate arbitrary, smooth waveforms, by continuous variation of the transistor’s gate bias over time. The following analysis shows how a single transistor can still be used to backscatter signals corresponding to complex constellations, for example QPSK or 16-QAM.

A complex baseband QAM signal has the form

\[ x(t) = I(t) + j Q(t) = \sum_{n} a_n (I_n g_r(t - n T) + j Q_n g_t(t - n T)) \]

where \( I(t) \) and \( Q(t) \) correspond to the in-phase and quadrature signal components, respectively, \( g_r(t) \) is the transmit filter pulse (for example, an SRRC with baseband bandwidth \( W \)), \( T \) is the symbol period, and \( a_n, g_r, g_t \) are the nth in-phase and quadrature symbols to be transmitted. For QPSK/QAM, \( a_n, g_r, g_t \) ∈ [−1, 1], while in 16-QAM, \( a_n, g_r, g_t \) ∈ [±1, ±3], and so on.

The bias signal applied to the communicator’s transistor gate corresponds to an IF signal and can be represented as

\[ x_{bias} = \Re [x(t) e^{j 2 \pi f_{sub} t}] = I(t) \cos(2 \pi f_{sub} t) + Q(t) \sin(2 \pi f_{sub} t) \]

where \( f_{sub} \) is the IF (subcarrier) frequency. Assuming that the bias signal level is normalized, that is, \( x_{bias}(t) \in [−1, +1] \), and the voltage applied to the transistor gate is unipolar, that is \( V_g(0, +1) \) V, the relationship between the bias voltage and the IF signal is

\[ V_b(t) = I(t) \cos(2 \pi f_{sub} t) + Q(t) \sin(2 \pi f_{sub} t) \]

In a simplified scenario, applying a low bias voltage \( V_g(0, 0) \) V to the transistor’s gate will turn the transistor ‘off’, creating an RF-open, which yields a reflection coefficient value \( \Gamma(\mu = +1) \), whereas a high bias voltage \( V_g(0, +1) \) V will create an RF-short, which corresponds to a reflection coefficient of \( \Gamma = 1 \). Furthermore, we will assume a linear relationship between the bias voltage and the reflection coefficient:

\[ \Gamma(V_b(t)) = -2 V_b(t) + 1 = -x_{bias}(t) \]

In other words, the IF signal is directly translated to reflection coefficient values. The above assumptions deviate from reality in practical systems, because perfect RF-short and RF-open are difficult to achieve with mmWave transistors due to parasitics and device insertion loss, which constrain \( \Gamma \) to an interval other than \( [−1, +1] \). However, with proper signal normalization, as described in ref. 16, the reflected signals can be mapped to the \([−1, +1]\) region. Also, the relationship between bias voltage and reflection coefficient is not exactly linear, which causes signal distortion. The exact \( \Gamma(V_b) \) function has to be characterized with the methods described in ref. 16, and any nonlinearities have to be taken into account with appropriate signal pre-distortion at the communicator, or equalization at the receiver.

Nevertheless, the aforementioned assumptions simplify the analysis of the heterodyne backscatter system. The backscattered signal that is received by a base station/access point and directly downconverted (zero-IF) is

\[ r(t) = a_de^{j \phi_{bias}} + a_{mod} e^{j \Gamma x_{bias}(t)} \]

and is a complex signal that contains the real-valued IF bias signal. To remove the IF carrier, a frequency shifting operation followed by a low-pass filter operation is needed. The output signal is

\[ y(t) = LFP(\mu \leq |\Gamma(t)| - \frac{1}{2} f_{sub}) \]

\[ = LFP(\mu \leq |\Gamma(t)| - \frac{1}{2} f_{sub}) (a_de^{j \phi_{bias}} - a_{mod} e^{j \Gamma x_{bias}(t)}) \]

which allows recovery of the original baseband QAM signal \( x(t) \), regardless of the constellation size.

Data availability

The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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