TENSION MECHANISM DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

The aim of the presented article is to show how to create a mathematical model of the tension mechanism working by a spring motion generation and contacting a rigid body at the end of the motion.

Keywords: Mechanism, analysis, contact force, simulation.

1. Introduction

The first step of the above described task solution was the creation of the mechanism mathematical model assembled from three movable parts and the motion generating spring (Fig. 1).

The second step was the analysis of the forces applied on the mechanism during the workflow.

2. Mechanism Modeling

The mechanism movement is generated by a spring affecting the sliding joint between the mechanism parts labeled 2 and 3 (Fig. 1).

The main problem of the analysis is the moment when the mechanism reaches its end position and contacts the frame rigid body with the part 1 (Fig. 1) because of the contact properties of the contact point.

![Fig. 1 Model of the mechanism structure including the spring and contact model (Source: authors)](image)

| Name            | Label | Value | Unit |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------|
| Part 1 length   | k_B   | 0.05  | m    |
| Part 1 centre of gravity distance | k_Lt1 | 0.02  | m    |
| Part 1 angle of the centre of gravity | k_φt1 | π/4   | rad  |
| Part 2 centre of gravity distance | k_A   | 0.03  | m    |
| Part 3 centre of gravity distance | k_Lt3 | 0.02  | m    |
| Distance of revolution joints O1 and O3 | k_H   | 0.1   | m    |
| Spring stiffness | SpringStiffnes | 1000  | N.m⁻¹ |
| Spring working stroke | MechMove | 0.03  | m    |
| Starting spring deformation | SpringDefstart | 0.1   | m    |

The initial values of the mechanism parameters are defined in Table 2.

| Name                           | Label | Value | Unit |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Part 1 initial angle of the centre of gravity | φ_{start} | -π/4 | rad  |
| Part 2 initial variable distance | k_Lt3 | 0     | m    |

The angle by the full pressed mechanism is defined as φ_{start}. The reaction force position k_{Lt3} influences only the moment value M.
The motion generating spring positions are defined in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2 Spring positions (Source: authors)](image)

### 2.2 Mass properties

The mechanism mass properties are defined according to the 3D model and its calculation model (Fig. 1) in Table 3.

| Name         | Label | Value  | Unit  |
|--------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Part 1 mass  | m₁    | 59.238 | kg    |
| Part 2 inertia | I₁₁  | 2.843445.10⁻² | kg·m² |
| Part 2 mass  | m₂    | 0.5   | kg    |
| Part 2 inertia | I₁₂  | 0.1   | kg·m² |
| Part 3 mass  | m₃    | 25.574 | kg    |
| Part 3 inertia | I₁₃  | 1.13983182.10⁻² | kg·m² |

### 2.3 Friction and contact properties

The developed simulation program in the chosen software (Mathcad) allows to activate the friction via the created “Friction” GUI button. Contact properties of the mechanism are defined according to the 3D model in Table 4.

| Name                                      | Label | Value  | Unit  |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Mechanism stiffness                       | Stiffnes | 200000 | N·m⁻¹ |
| Stiffness exponent                        | Stiff_exp | 2 (>1) | N·m   |
| Mechanism maximum damping factor          | Dampingmax | 500    | N·m⁻¹·s |
| Distance to max. damping build-up         | Damp_dst | 0.002  | m     |
| Joint O1 friction moment                  | M₁₁   | 0.5    | N·m   |
| Joint O1 friction factor                  | k₁₁   | 0      | -     |
| Joint O2 friction moment                  | M₁₂   | 1      | N·m   |
| Joint O2 friction factor                  | k₁₂   | 0      | -     |
| Joint O3 friction moment                  | M₁₃   | 1      | N·m   |
| Joint O3 friction factor                  | k₁₃   | 0      | -     |

The k₁₁, ₁₂, ₁₃ (rad.s⁻¹) and k₁₃ (m.s⁻¹) values are considered by the friction button turned to ON. The direction of the friction force (moment) depends on the motion direction (from the motion parts relative velocity sign). If the velocity is too slow, its direction can vary and so can also the direction of the friction force. Therefore, the friction force value is defined to rise around zero velocity to maximum [1] and [2].

The described k-value defines the velocity interval of the maximum friction force. The principle of the calculation is similar to the contact model damping calculation (the value is equivalent to the Damp_dst value). The k-value is different for every friction force because every friction couple velocity varies. The lower is the k-value, the more accurate is the calculation. If the model does not work in the friction mode, the solution is to raise the k-value which is usually 1.10⁻³ and lower [3]. The k-value does not affect the calculation without the friction consideration. The start of the simulation has to be done with the zero value and the solver type FIXED (parameters for the Mathcad software).

There is also the fcn_step function defined because of the smooth transition between two values of the damping coefficient in the contact point. This leads to smooth rise of this coefficient without the step rise to the maximum in the contact moment.

The value of the damping rises during the defined penetration depth Damp_dst and then becomes stabilized to “Damping_max” value (Table 4, Fig. 3).
The Mathcad TOL worksheet variable controls the precision to which integrals and derivatives are evaluated. TOL also controls convergence criteria in Solve Blocks and in the root function. The two most recent estimates of a solution must differ by less than the built-in variable TOL. The CTOL worksheet variable controls how closely a constraint in a Solve Block must be met for a solution to be acceptable. It is used by optimizing functions like: Minimize, Maximize, Find, or Minerr. For example, for a constraint such as \( x < 2 \) must be satisfied to within CTOL before a solution is returned. If CTOL = 0.001 (the default), this constraint is satisfied if \( x < 2.001 \).

Figure 4 shows the simplified view of the mechanism with all important variables and their first and second derivatives.

3. Solver parameters

The solver parameters were defined as follows in Table 5.

| Solver parameters | Table 5 |
|-------------------|---------|
| Name | Label | Value | Unit |
| Time interval (0 - tend) | tcount | 1000 | - |
| Number of the points in the plotted graphs | Nt | 1001 | - |
| End time of the simulation | tend | 1 | S |
| Friction force | Fp | 10 | N |
| Friction force factor | k_T | 0 | - |

The larger is the number of the time interval (0 - tend) division during the simulation, the more accurate is the calculation. If the calculation does not converge, new solver has to be used (right button on the odesolve function in the MathCad) [4].

4. Interpolation of bodies coordinates

The bodies coordinates that are interesting for the interpolation during simulation are labeled \( \phi_2 \) and \( L_{t2} \) in Fig. 4. The parameters influencing the interpolation are defined in Table 6.

| Interpolation influencing parameters | Table 6 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Name | Label | Value | Unit |
| Control variable | CTOL | 0.00001 | - |
| Control variable | TOL | 0.00001 | - |
| Polynomial degree | FitDegree | 7 | - |
| Number of points | NuPo | 200 | - |

The calculated mechanism has one degree of freedom (DOF). All forces and masses are reduced to the mechanism part 1 coordinate \( \phi_1 \).

It is necessary to define the coordinates \( L_{\phi_1} \) and \( \phi_1 \) as a function of the \( \phi_1 \) coordinate. To make the calculation effective and simple, the coordinates \( L_{\phi_1} \) and \( \phi_1 \) are defined as a function of the \( \phi_1 \) by the interpolation polynomial of the 7th degree! The FitDegree value has to remain defined to 7 during the calculation because all equations are derived for this polynomial degree [7].

The \( R_{disp} \) function displays the \( L_{\phi_1} \) and \( \phi_1 \) coordinate values depending upon \( \phi_1 \), for the \( \phi_1 \) values from \( -\pi/2 \) to \( \pi/2 \). In this interval, the mechanism is resolvable - the values outside the interval will not be calculated correctly.

The number of values labeled NuPo specifies the calculation of the interpolation polynomial coefficients for the \( \phi_1 \) and \( L_{\phi_1} \) coordinates. The Disp_Coeff software feature displays the polynomial coefficients. The angle of the \( \phi_1 \) coordinate between the threshold limit \( -\pi/2 \) and \( \pi/2 \) is defined as f1.
Reduced forces are labeled as $F_{\text{pred}}$ appertaining the spring, $F_k$ appertaining the contact and $F_{\text{TR}}$ appertaining the damping.

The motion equation solution leads to the output of the coordinate $\varphi_1$ – its angular velocity $d\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_1$ angle. If the solution failed, it would be necessary to change the solver type (Mathcad “Odesolve” button - ADAMS, FIXED, ...).

The creation of $N_t$ values for the simulation time $0 - t_{\text{end}}$ ($\varphi_1$, $d\varphi_1$, $dd\varphi_1$) is defined with the help of the $tt$ parameter – the time interval vector from 0 to $t_{\text{end}}$ divided to $N_t$ values.

6. Contact force simulation results

The resulting contact force – the main goal of the complex tension mechanism simulation is shown in Fig. 6. The contact force peak value of 284.14 N was reached in 0.09 s.

The comparison between the interpolated data (red) and real data (blue) for the angle $\varphi_1$ from $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$ (Fig. 5) attends only for the test of the interpolated and calculated data match. In the case of extreme mechanism dimensions, the values could not match and it would be necessary to rise the interpolation polynomial degree [8] - [10].

The $\varphi_2$ and $L_{t2}$ coordinates are defined at the start of the simulation (pressed mechanism) for the value $\varphi_{1\text{start}}$. The initial values for the calculations noted above are shown in Table 7.

Initial values of the mechanism variables

| Name                              | Label | Value  | Unit |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|------|
| Part 2 initial angle of the centre of gravity | $\varphi_{1\text{start}}$ | 61.298 | Deg  |
| Part 2 initial variable distance  | $L_{t2\text{start}}$ | 0.044  | M    |

The spring force labeled as $\text{fcn}_F_p(\text{def})$ is defined as linear, the output parameter is the $L_{t2}$ value.

5. Motion equation calculation

The differential motion equation for the mechanism is defined according to the labels of the parameters defined in the previous text and tables as

$$
I_{\text{red}}(x) := I_{l1} + m_1 \left[ \begin{array}{l}
(7a_{11}\cdot x^4 + 6a_{11}\cdot x^3 + 5a_{11}\cdot x^2 + 4a_{11}\cdot x + 3a_{11}\cdot 1 + 2a_{11}\cdot x + a_{11})
\end{array} \right]
$$

Reduced forces are labeled as $F_{\text{pred}}$ appertaining the spring, $F_k$ appertaining the contact and $F_{\text{TR}}$ appertaining the damping.

The motion equation solution leads to the output of the coordinate $\varphi_1$ – its angular velocity $d\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_1$ angle. If the solution failed, it would be necessary to change the solver type (Mathcad “Odesolve” button - ADAMS, FIXED, ...).

The creation of $N_t$ values for the simulation time $0 - t_{\text{end}}$ ($\varphi_1$, $d\varphi_1$, $dd\varphi_1$) is defined with the help of the $tt$ parameter – the time interval vector from 0 to $t_{\text{end}}$ divided to $N_t$ values.

6. Contact force simulation results

The resulting contact force – the main goal of the complex tension mechanism simulation is shown in Fig. 6. The contact force peak value of 284.14 N was reached in 0.09 s.
components. It was necessary to use the right model of the friction and contact properties and to calculate the mechanism coordinates via the interpolation polynomial of the 7-th degree. The result of the simulation was the definition of the peak contact force between the mechanism component and the frame rigid body.

7. Conclusion

The mathematical model of the tension mechanism working by a spring motion generation and contacting a rigid body at the end of the motion was built considering the main problem of the analysis - the moment when the mechanism reaches its end position and contacts the frame rigid body with one of its components. It was necessary to use the right model of the friction and contact properties and to calculate the mechanism coordinates via the interpolation polynomial of the 7-th degree. The result of the simulation was the definition of the peak contact force between the mechanism component and the frame rigid body.
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