Robust Retinal Vessel Segmentation from a Data Augmentation Perspective
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Abstract. Retinal vessel segmentation is a fundamental step in screening, diagnosis, and treatment of various cardiovascular and ophthalmic diseases. Robustness is one of the most critical requirements for practical utilization, since the test images may be captured using different fundus cameras, or be affected by various pathological changes. We investigate this problem from a data augmentation perspective, with the merits of no additional training data or inference time. In this paper, we propose two new data augmentation modules, namely, channel-wise random Gamma correction and channel-wise random vessel augmentation. Given a training color fundus image, the former applies random gamma correction on each color channel of the entire image, while the latter intentionally enhances or decreases only the fine-grained blood vessel regions using morphological transformations. With the additional training samples generated by applying these two modules sequentially, a model could learn more invariant and discriminating features against both global and local disturbances. Experimental results on both real-world and synthetic datasets demonstrate that our method can improve the performance and robustness of a classic convolutional neural network architecture. The source code is available at https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/Research/tree/master/CV/robust_vessel_segmentation.
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1 Introduction

Retinal vessel segmentation plays a crucial role in computer-aided screening, diagnosis, and treatment of various cardiovascular and ophthalmic diseases such as stroke, diabetics, hypertension and retinopathy of prematurity [9]. A substantial amount of work has been reported in the last two decades for automated detecting blood vessels in retinal fundus images. These algorithms can mainly be categorized into two groups: the unsupervised and supervised methods. The
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unsupervised methods rely on strong but intuitive priors of the blood vessel appearance \cite{23}, while the supervised methods utilize labelled datasets based on given features \cite{4,14}. Among these algorithms, supervised segmentation of blood vessels based on deep learning has reached new performance levels \cite{15,18}.

Despite architectural advances based on deep learning have led to enormous progress at segmenting vessels in curated datasets, their ability to generalize to new situations is rarely studied. In contrast, the generalization ability, which refers to robustness, is an important factor for algorithms performance. To improve robustness, there exist two issues which need special attention. First, in the real world context of retinal fundus image analysis, the input images may come from different kinds of digital fundus camera systems. Since the tonal quality of a fundus image is affected by the characteristics of these systems \cite{16}, models fitting well to datasets collected from a specific class of fundus camera might fail to generalize to those captured from other types of machines. Second, for retinal vessel segmentation models to be adopted in practice, they also need to be robust on pathological changes, especially on those not included during the training stage.

These issues can be alleviated by different strategies. Image pre-processing techniques like contrast limited adaptive histogram equalization try to shrink the difference among samples by redistributing their pixel values. However, they only lead to limited improvement yet require additional inference time. Domain adaptation, on the other hand, learns to adapt models between domains. But more data from the target domain are needed to retrain the models when encountering a new circumstance. In contrast, data augmentation methods, which includes input transforms that the model should be invariant against, show great merits of without requiring any extra training data or inference time. Motivated by that, in this paper we investigate the robust retinal vessel segmentation problem from a data augmentation perspective.

Our method consists of two novel data augmentation modules, i.e., channel-wise random gamma correction and channel-wise random vessel augmentation, for training robust retinal vessel segmentation models. The former aims at varying the tonal quality of the whole image, while the latter only focuses on augmenting the visual appearance of retinal vessels. By doing so, the models are able to learn more representative features regardless of both global and local variations. The experimental results on three real world datasets suggest that the proposed method significantly increases the robustness on samples that are captured by different camera systems and/or affected by diverse pathological changes. Furthermore, we also conduct a thorough set of synthetic datasets to demonstrate that our augmentation scheme achieves reduced sensitivity to the variations of image brightness, contrast and saturation.

2 Methodology

In this section, we present a novel scheme to improve the robustness of retinal vessel segmentation, which comprises two data augmentation modules that in-
Fig. 1. Illustration of the proposed data augmentation scheme. (a) Original image from the DRIVE \cite{15} training set. (b) Sample image augmented via channel-wise random gamma correction (CWRGC). (c) A rough vessel map generated by morphological transformation (MT). (d) Sample image augmented via channel-wise random vessel augmentation (CWRVA).

crass the global and local invariance, respectively. Fig. 1 illustrates the process of virtual sample generation through the proposed data augmentation method.

2.1 Channel-wise Random Gamma Correction (CWRGC)

Gamma correction is a nonlinear operation used to encode and decode luminance or tristimulus values, and has been widely used as an image preprocessing step in automated vessel segmentation systems. Unlike current approaches which employ gamma correction in the HSV (Hue, Saturation, value) color space \cite{11,19}, we suggest to apply it directly in the RGB (Red, Green, Blue) color space.

And, different from the preprocessing method to make the spatial distribution of training test samples more consistent, we use the data augmentation method to increase the diversity of sample distribution, so that the model learning can overcome the interference of task-independent features and learn more effective features. In particular, a simple yet effective data augmentation technique, termed channel-wise random gamma correction, is developed. This method is formulated as

\[
\hat{V}_i = V_i^{\gamma_i}
\]

where \(\hat{V}_i\) and \(V_i^{\gamma_i}\) represent the intensity of the image before and after transformation, respectively. \(\gamma_i > 0\) is the correction value, and subscript \(i \in \{R, G, B\}\) denotes the corresponding red, green, or blue channel. By varying \(\gamma_i\) randomly, virtual examples covering a wide range of tonal quality can be created at the training stage. Fig. 1 (b) shows one of the generated images.

2.2 Channel-wise Random Vessel Augmentation (CWRVA)

Different from the first method that transforms the whole fundus images, our second method only focuses on blood vessel regions. This can be achieved by taking advantages of existing unsupervised methods, as they are able to provide the
rough vessel maps without requiring annotations. To be specific, morphological transformation [10] is used here due to its simplicity of implementation and effectiveness in practice. When the structuring element used in the morphological opening is orthogonal to the vessel direction and longer than the vessel width, it will eradicate a vessel or part of it. Based on this observation, morphological transformation is defined as follows

\[ I_{\theta} = I - (I \circ S_{e}^{\theta}), \quad (2) \]

\[ I_{S_{th}} = \sum_{\theta \in A} I_{\theta}, \quad (3) \]

where \( I_{\theta} \) is the top-hat transformed image, \( I \) is the image to be processed, \( \circ \) is the opening operation, \( S_{e} \) is the structuring element, and \( \theta \in A \) is the angular rotation equally distributed in \([0, \pi)\).

Given the top-hat transformed image, the blood vessel attention map for each color channel of the fundus image can then be obtained by

\[ M_{i} = N(I_{S_{th}}) \cdot \lambda_{i}, \quad (4) \]

where \( N(x) \) is a normalization function which scales and shifts the input array \( x \) so that the minimum and maximum value of \( x \) are 0 and 1, respectively, and \( \lambda_{i} \in [0, 1] \) is a random decay coefficient with \( i \in \{R, G, B\} \).

The proposed channel-wise random vessel augmentation is formulated as

\[ \tilde{V}_{i} = V_{i} \cdot (1 - M_{i}) + M_{i} \cdot 255, \quad (5) \]

where \( \tilde{V}_{i} \) and \( V_{i} \) denote the intensity values of the image before and after vessel augmentation, respectively. Virtual images with various visual effects can be generated through changing \( \lambda_{i} \) in equation (4). A typical example is shown in Fig. 1 (c).

3 Experiments

To evaluate the effectiveness of our method, a thorough set of ablation study experiments are conducted. The first experiment is performed on three real world datasets to show how our method impacts robustness on testing images collected by a different fundus camera and/or affected by different pathological changes. Furthermore, we also utilize synthetic datasets to investigate the sensitivity of different models to the variations of image brightness, contrast and saturation.

3.1 Experiments Setup

We adopt the U-Net architecture [13] in our experiments due to its popularity in medical image analysis community and formation of the basis for most of the recent architectural advances at segmenting retinal vessels [6,17]. In particular, we replace its feature encoder module with the pretrained ResNet-50, remaining
the first five feature extraction blocks without the global averaging pooling layer and the fully connected layers.

We employ random horizontal flip and random vertical flip with a probability of 50% as the basic data augmentation strategy (BS). In addition, two commonly used randomized data augmentation methods in literature are also implemented for comparison:

- RGN: Disturb the intensity of the red, green and blue channels by adding Gaussian noise with mean of 0 and standard deviation of 20.
- SVGC: Gamma correction of Saturation and Value (of the HSV color space) by raising pixels to a power in [0.25, 4].

In our experiments, $\gamma_i$ in equation (1) for the channel-wise random gamma correction (CWRGC) is randomly selected from [0.33, 3], and $\lambda_i$ in equation (4) for the channel-wise random vessel augmentation (CWRVA) is randomly picked in [0, 1]. All the models are trained on the DRIVE [15] training set using a publicly available library\footnote{https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PaddleSeg}. We use the “step-scaling” method provided in the library to resize the input images to 640 $\times$ 640, setting the scaling factor range from 0.75 to 1.25 with a step of 0.25. We use adam as the optimizer. The learning rate is initially set to be 0.005 and then decays following the “poly” policy with a power of 0.9. Instead of training all parameters from scratch, we fine-tune the network end-to-end from an ImageNet pre-trained model. We integrate both dice loss and binary cross entropy loss to train all models for 3000 epoches.

Following previous work, the retinal vessel segmentation results are evaluated quantitatively by the area under the receiver operation characteristic curve (AUC), accuracy (ACC), specificity (SP), sensitivity (SE), and F1-score (F1). However, we mainly focus on AUC and F1 when comparing the performance of different methods as they are more reliable for evaluating binary classifiers (say, to classify if a pixel belongs to vessels or not) \cite{8}. In particular, when we conclude that one method outperforms another, we mean that it achieves both the higher AUC and F1 if without stating which metrics are used.

\subsection{3.2 Generalization Across Different Datasets}

To validate how our augmentations impact robustness in a realistic setting, models trained on the DRIVE training set are applied to three datasets:

- \textbf{Testing set of DRIVE [15]}: the fundus images are captured from the same digital fundus camera system.
- \textbf{Full set of STARE [7]}: the provided images come by a different type of fundus camera, and contain more kinds of pathological changes
- \textbf{Full set of CHASE-DB1 [12]}: the images are captured by another type of fundus machine which has a smaller field of view.

From the evaluation results shown in Table 1 we can observe that: 1) BS+CWRGC+CWRVA achieves the best results in all datasets; 2) BS+CWRGC and
Table 1. Performance comparison on three Datasets.

| Method                                    | AUC    | ACC    | SP    | SE    | F1    |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| **DRIVE**                                 |        |        |       |       |       |
| BS                                        | 0.9755 | 0.9531 | 0.9750 | 0.8055 | 0.8126 |
| BS + RGN                                  | 0.9769 | 0.9531 | 0.9746 | 0.8088 | 0.8131 |
| BS + SVGC                                 | 0.9772 | 0.9540 | 0.9752 | 0.8108 | 0.8167 |
| BS + CWRGC                                | 0.9777 | 0.9539 | 0.9744 | 0.8150 | 0.8174 |
| BS + CWRVA                                | 0.9783 | **0.9545** | 0.9741 | 0.8225 | 0.8205 |
| BS + CWRGC + CWRVA                        | **0.9788** | **0.9545** | 0.9741 | **0.8227** | **0.8209** |
| **STARE**                                 |        |        |       |       |       |
| BS                                        | 0.9287 | 0.9334 | 0.9512 | 0.7103 | 0.6699 |
| BS + RGN                                  | 0.9147 | 0.9556 | 0.9812 | 0.6157 | 0.6273 |
| BS + SVGC                                 | 0.9288 | 0.9602 | **0.9837** | 0.6500 | 0.6769 |
| BS + CWRGC                                | 0.9892 | 0.9676 | 0.9738 | 0.8902 | 0.8056 |
| BS + CWRVA                                | 0.9771 | 0.9665 | 0.9816 | 0.7711 | 0.7652 |
| BS + CWRGC + CWRVA                        | **0.9893** | **0.9683** | 0.9745 | **0.8908** | **0.8082** |
| **CHASE-DB1**                             |        |        |       |       |       |
| BS                                        | 0.8794 | 0.9344 | 0.9825 | 0.2989 | 0.3768 |
| BS + RGN                                  | 0.9165 | 0.9394 | 0.9715 | 0.5159 | 0.5279 |
| BS + SVGC                                 | 0.9258 | 0.9465 | **0.9893** | 0.3848 | 0.4841 |
| BS + CWRGC                                | 0.9812 | **0.9623** | 0.9702 | 0.8565 | 0.7563 |
| BS + CWRVA                                | 0.9555 | 0.9522 | 0.9772 | 0.6230 | 0.6385 |
| BS + CWRGC + CWRVA                        | **0.9838** | 0.9612 | 0.9673 | **0.8818** | **0.7565** |

BS+CWRVA outperform BS, BS+RGN and BS+SVGC in all datasets. 3) BS performs worst on DRIVE, DRIVE-GRAY and CHASE-DB1, but outperforms BS+RGN in STARE; 4) the performance of BS+RGN and BS+SVGC degenerates significantly on STARE and CHASE-DB1; 5) although CWRVA works slightly better than CWRGC in the DRIVE testing set, such superiority fails to generalize to other datasets; 6) SVGC achieves the highest SP in all testing set, at the expense of getting a much lower SE when comparing to BS+CWRGC, BS+CWRVA, and BS+CWRGC+CWRVA; 7) CWRGC outperform SVGC in all datasets in terms of AUC and F1. This experiment shows that in RGB space is indeed significantly better than in HSV space due that directly applied to RGB space can be targeted to optimize the hue change problem. Fig. 2 shows some visual examples of the segmentation results. The results on real world datasets suggest that the proposed method possess the significant generalization improvement to samples captured by different camera systems and/or affected by diverse pathological changes. This is due to the different camera systems resulting in the various image hues, while gamma correction is good at generating augmentation images with multiple different hues to improve the robustness of the model. In addition, pathological changes are mainly reflected in the local changes of fundus image texture and vascular region, and random vessel augmentation could increase the variation diversity of vascular region, thus improving the robustness of the model in the region with pathological changes.
3.3 Robustness to Brightness, Contrast and Saturation

In order to investigate a model's robustness on the more complex situation, the virtual dataset are employed. Thus, three image processing functions are respectively introduced to adjust the brightness, contrast and saturation of a color fundus image. Let $V$ be the input image in RGB space, $G(V)$ be the function to convert the input image from RGB space to gray space, and $M(V)$ be the mean function, the brightness jitter, contrast jitter and saturation jitter
can then be defined, respectively, as

\[ B(V) = V \cdot (1 - b), \]
\[ C(V) = V \cdot (1 - c) + M(G(V)) \cdot c, \]
\[ S(V) = V \cdot (1 - s) + G(V) \cdot s \]

where \( b \in [-1, 1] \) is brightness jitter ratio, \( c \in [-1, 1] \) is the contrast jitter ratio, and \( s \in [-1, 1] \) is saturation jitter ratio. The output values of these functions are all limited to \([0, 255]\).

By respectively varying \( b, c \) and \( s \) from -0.5 to 0.5 with a step of 0.1, we construct 30 more datasets with different degree of brightness, contrast and saturation based on the testing set of DRIVE. The evaluation results of different data augmentation strategies on these datasets are shown in Fig. 3. It can be obviously seen that: 1) models trained with the proposed methods are less sensitive to the variations of image brightness, contrast and saturation than BS and
BS+RGN in terms of all the five evaluation metrics; 2) BS+CWRGC+CWRVA method consistently achieves the best results for all sorts of settings. These results indicates the proposed algorithms lead to reduced sensitivity to these naturally occurring variations.

4 Conclusion

This paper investigates the practicability and robustness of retinal vessel segmentation from a data augmentation perspective, with the advantages of not requiring extra training data or inference time. Our method comprises two new data augmentation modules to increase the performance and robustness of models learned. The channel-wise random gamma correction module aims at covering a wide range of tonal quality of the global image, while the channel-wise random vessel augmentation module focuses on diversifying the local visual appearance of the retinal vessels only. The proposed methods achieve excellent results on both real-world and virtual datasets. Experimental results on various real-world public datasets show that the proposed method could consistently stabilize the segmentation performance on samples captured by different cameras or affected by various pathological changes. Moreover, by conducting synthetic databases, we also observe that the proposed method is less sensitive to the variations of image brightness, contrast, and saturation. In the future, we plan to explore more general techniques for robust automated image analyzing systems.
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