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Abstract

Background: The pancreas is an exo-endocrine organ that undergoes rapid autolysis soon after death, which limits its utility in academics and research. The timeline of autolytic changes of pancreatic islets and its immunoreactivity is limited in the literature. Decay score has been used to grade the autolytic changes in organs like the brain, lung and liver. However, reports are not available in the pancreas/ pancreatic islets. Knowledge regarding the decay score may be used as a torchbearer for the immunoreactivity of human pancreatic islets in autopsy cases. The present study is aimed to provide an optimal cut-off time based on the decay score before which pancreatic specimens should be collected for the purpose of immunohistochemical studies of pancreatic islets.
**Materials and methods:** Serial sections of twenty adult human pancreas obtained from the autopsy were subjected to H&E and immunohistochemical staining. Autolytic changes of pancreatic islets were graded by using decay score in H&E sections, which was compared with the results of the immunohistochemical reactivity of pancreatic islets in IHC sections.

**Results and Conclusions:** Pancreatic islets immunoreactivity was found to be well preserved in the samples collected early within 9 h with a decay score of less than 1.4. There was an inverse relation of decay score and immunoreactivity of pancreatic islets. The decay score of less than 1.4 has better-preserved immunoreactivity than having more than 1.4. This knowledge will help researchers working in the field of the endocrine pancreas.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Brain-dead organ donors and autopsy are the two major sources of whole human pancreatic tissue for academics and research [1–4]. The availability of brain-dead donor pancreas is limited in developing countries. Thus, the autopsy is the only source of the whole pancreatic tissue, yet its utility is limited by the appearance of early autolytic changes following death [5–7]. Well-preserved micro-architecture and immunogenicity are the integral part of any histological and immunohistochemical studies (IHC) on pancreas, including islets. A review of studies on the human pancreas did not reveal any standardized cut off time following death within which the specimen has to be collected for immunohistochemical studies of pancreatic islets. Decay score has been used to grade the autolytic changes in organs like the brain, lung and liver. However, decay score grading of autolytic changes is not performed in the pancreas/pancreatic islets. The present study is aimed to provide an optimal cut-off time based on the decay score before which pancreatic specimens should be collected from autopsy for the purpose of immunohistochemical studies of pancreatic islets.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pancreas collection:

A total of twenty autopsy specimens of the adult human (age range 23 to 75 years) pancreas were collected after the approval of the Institutional Ethical Committee (vide approval No. IEC/AIIMS BBSR/PG Thesis/2017-18/22). The cause of death, time since death and existence of other co-morbid conditions were documented (Table. 1). In most of the cases, the cause of death was road traffic accident and none of the cases were associated with chronic diseases like diabetes. Pancreatic specimens with congenital anomalies or showing gross abnormalities like a cyst, tumour was excluded.

The incision was made over the constricted neck region of the pancreas (in relation to portal vein) to divide the head from the rest of the pancreas. Then the rest of the pancreas was divided into two equal parts (body and tail) as described in the previous studies [8,9]. After overnight fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution, the whole coronal section and horizontal section of the pancreatic head, body and tail were again subdivided into small sub-blocks (Approximately 40 sub-blocks were obtained from each pancreas). All the well-labeled sub-blocks were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin followed by automated tissue processing by Leica automated tissue processor. Sections from the first tissue block of each part of the pancreas (one each from head, body and tail region; first coronal section) were utilized for this study, the rest of the paraffin-embedded pancreatic tissue blocks were preserved for further studies. Serial sections from the selected block were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemically with anti-synaptophysin and anti-insulin antibodies. Cases showing features of occult pancreatitis in H&E staining were also excluded and no IHC was done.

Immunohistochemistry

IHC was done on two consecutive 4µm thick paraffin sections. Antigen Retrieval was done with citrate buffer by using the heat antigen retrieval method under pressure. Serial sections of each block were stained using a rabbit monoclonal anti-synaptophysin antibody (1:300) (PathnSitu, Livermore, California) (45 minutes incubation) for identifying islets and rabbit monoclonal anti-insulin (1:200) (PathnSitu, Livermore,
California) (45 minutes incubation) for identifying beta cells. The primary antibody was detected by a secondary antibody labeled with Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and DAB (3,3diaminobenzidine) chromogen (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA). Immunohistochemical positivity was assessed under 40X in a bright-field microscope (Olympus BX43 microscope) based on the rate of positivity and its sharpness (Fig.1).

**Grading of Autolysis:**

All the H&E Slides were screened at lower power to identify the areas which showing maximum autolytic changes. Those identified areas were examined under a 40X bright field microscope (Olympus BX43 microscope). Five high power fields from each slide were used for the assessment of autolytic changes based on the cellular and nuclear architecture: normal nucleus (grade 1), pyknosis and minimal karyorrhexis (grade 2), prominent karyorrhexis (grade 3), no nucleus visible (grade 4) and no cell visible (grade 5) [10]. Autolytic changes will be heterogenous even in single islets; i.e., cells within single islets will show different grades of nuclear changes. To maintain the uniform standard of scoring the autolytic changes we adapted the decay score for each high-power field from previous literature [10]. The decay score is calculated by multiplying the percentage of cells with a certain grade of nuclear changes by the value of grades (1-5) and summing these values. For example, within a single islet, 25% of cells showing features of grade 1 (normal), 50% of cells with grade 2 and 25% of cells with grade 3 autolytic changes, the overall decay score was calculated as (0.25 X 1) + (0.5 X 2) + (0.25 X 3) = 2.0. The final decay score of each slide will be calculated from the mean of five high-power fields. Decay score grading was done by three independent observers in different time period, the average score of the three observers was taken as the final score.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were summarised and expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the data between more than two groups. Paired ‘t’ test was used to compare the data of means decay score in head, body and tail of the pancreas. P value < 0.05 taken as significant. Spearman correlation was used to compare the relation between decay score with time since death and immunoreactivity. Statistical test was performed by the
using SPSS software version 25 and graphs were plotted by Microsoft Excel 2019 software.

**RESULTS**

The pancreatic samples were categorized into three groups based on the time since death. Group 1 – less than 6 h of death, group 2 – from 6 h to 9 h of the death and group 3 – more than 9 h of death to 14 h.

*Micro-architectural changes in H&E*

The decay score of all the individual pancreas was plotted in figure 2 with its immunohistochemical reactivity status. Mean decay score of group 1, group 2 and group 3 are 1.351 ± 0.715, 1.409 ± 0.225 and 1.895 ± 0.793 respectively. The statistical significance was observed between group 1 and group 3 with a p-value of < 0.042. The statistically significant positive correlation (r = 0.479) was observed between decay score and the time since death with the p-value of 0.033. The mean decay score of head, body and tail of all the cases was 1.54 ± 0.655, 1.543 ± 0.604 and 1.56 ± 0.645. There was no statistically significant difference in the histological appearance of autolytic changes in various parts of the pancreas.

*Immunohistochemical reactivity*

Decay score and time since death was inversely proportional to the immunoreactivity of the pancreatic islets. Strong immunoreactivity of pancreatic islets in groups 1, 2 and 3 was 80%, 72% and 42.85% respectively (Fig. 1 & 3). The mean decay score of the pancreatic islets with strong immunoreactivity was 1.22 ± 0.182 and 2.205 ± 0.652 for specimens with poor immunoreactivity. The statistically significant negative correlation (r = -0.736) was observed between the decay score and immune reactivity of pancreatic islets with the p-value of < 0.0001. Furthermore, all the strong IHC positive tissue has decay score less than 1.4 except sample no 20 which had weak immune reactivity and a decay score of 1.39. Samples showing strong immunoreactivity for islets also shown similar reactivity for beta cells.
DISCUSSION

Most of the studies on pancreatic islets have used animal models [11]. The studies involving microscopic analysis of human pancreatic islets are limited and the majority of these are from developed countries where tissue samples from the brain-dead donor are easily available [12–14]. The critical factor limiting the use of human pancreatic samples from the autopsy is the appearance of early autolytic changes in the pancreatic tissue (exocrine and endocrine) within an hour of death [5]. As the pancreas contains a high number of proteolytic enzymes, it undergoes rapid autodigestion soon after death. The autopsy done after 12 hours of death renders the pancreatic tissue unusable [5]. The various components of pancreatic tissue like exocrine, endocrine and stromal parts may show a variable timeline of autolytic changes, i.e., the exocrine part undergoes autolysis earlier than endocrine and stromal components [7]. Siriwardana RC et al., given the timeline of autolytic changes in the pancreas as a whole, which may not be useful for endocrine study [5]. The current study is focused on the autolytic changes of endocrine pancreas (islets) to guide the immunohistochemical studies on pancreatic islets specifically.

As there is a paucity of data regarding time since death and an acceptable amount of autolytic changes in pancreatic islets, many studies in the literature have used a wide range of cut-off time varying from 6 h to 24 h after death or cold ischemia. The present study shows that autolytic changes increase with time, which in turn inversely affect the immunoreactivity of the pancreatic islets. Authors found that 67.15% of pancreatic samples collected after 9 h of death were showed diffused or absent immunoreactivity, but similar findings were found only in 23% of samples which were collected within 9 h of death. Thus, if the pancreatic tissue is preserved in formalin within 9 h of death, its immunoreactivity is highly maintained (75 to 80%). The finding of the present study is consistent with the existing literature in which the author graded the autolytic changes with H&E staining without mentioning its immunoreactivity [5].

The autolytic changes of a particular islet will be heterogeneous in nature, i.e., all the cells in the islet may not show a similar grade of autolysis. The decay score system helps us to objectively score the autolytic changes in a particular islet. We observed an increasing trend of decay score with time. Higher the decay score of pancreatic islets represents the greater degree of tissue destruction, which in turn results in poor
immunoreactivity of the tissue. We found that slides with a decay score of less than 1.4 had good immunoreactivity and this value (decay score 1.4) may be used as the cut off to proceed for immunohistochemical study. Immunoreactivity of samples obtained from surgeries and the brain-dead donors were mainly influenced by the cold ischemia time. The cold ischemia time is the interval between the removal of tissue from the body to its contact with formalin. Autolytic changes still occur even in the period of cold ischemia [15]. Autolytic changes may be delayed in the fetal pancreas (under five-month) due to underdeveloped exocrine part (less proteolytic enzymes) when compared with adult pancreas [16].

Even though most of the cases showed a consistent relation between degree of autolysis and time since death; in one case severe autolytic changes (decay score of 2.63) was observed in less than 6 h of death but in few other specimens well-preserved microarchitectures (decay score of 1.49) and staining immunogenicity were seen even after 12 h of death. Similar findings have also been reported in post-mortem studies of lung, liver and brain tissue, in which authors justified that the above variation as a pattern of normal distribution [10]. Apart from that, the inaccurate record of the time of death in cases where the death occurred outside the hospital setup may explain the confounding results. In spite of the controversial observation between time since death and immunoreactivity, the relationship between the decay score and immunoreactivity of pancreatic tissue is maintained. Thus, the decay score might be used as a tool to know the antigenic status of the tissue prior to the immunohistochemistry of autopsy specimens.

Immunoreactivity is not only affected by time since death, but also by any defect in the tissue processing and staining procedure. Studies have reported that factors like fixation time, dehydration, clearing, paraffin impregnation, antigen retrieval and IHC staining procedures also play a significant role in the optimal expression of tissue antigenicity [10,17]. The authors believe that the factors involving tissue processing and staining have a very insignificant role in the present study as all the samples used here were processed and stained by using the standard protocol in the same laboratory setup.

CONCLUSIONS
Pancreatic islets immunoreactivity was found to be well preserved in the samples collected early within 9 h with a decay score of less than 1.4. There is an inverse relationship between the decay score and immunoreactivity of islets i.e smaller the decay score, better the immunoreactivity. To the best of our knowledge, no literature is available on the autolytic changes and immunoreactivity of human pancreatic islets. The present study concludes that the time since death may not be the sole criterion to determine the immunoreactivity of the pancreatic islets. The decay score of pancreatic islets must be taken into consideration prior to the immunohistochemical study. This knowledge will help the researchers working in the field of the endocrine pancreas.
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Table 1. Information about the subjects:

| Case No | Age (Years) | Gender | BMI (Kg/m²) | Time since death (Hrs) |
|---------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------------------|
| 1       | 45          | M      | 29.30       | 13.5                   |
| 2       | 52          | M      | 28.73       | 4.5                    |
| 3       | 28          | M      | 21.10       | 9                      |
| 4       | 60          | M      | 22.20       | 7.5                    |
| 5       | 43          | F      | 18.49       | 7.25                   |
| 6       | 30          | F      | 20.57       | 8.5                    |
| 7       | 34          | F      | 20.89       | 13                     |
| 8       | 34          | F      | 22.22       | 10                     |
| 9       | 35          | M      | 24.28       | 5                      |
| 10      | 75          | M      | 18.33       | 5.25                   |
| 11      | 45          | M      | 19.08       | 9                      |
| 12      | 27          | M      | 19.77       | 12                     |
| 13      | 25          | M      | 28.48       | 7                      |
| 14      | 62          | M      | 21.47       | 5.5                    |
| 15      | 45          | F      | 21.32       | 4.5                    |
| 16      | 28          | M      | 25.79       | 7.5                    |
| 17      | 23          | F      | 23.61       | 7.25                   |
| 18      | 42          | F      | 33.25       | 12                     |
| 19      | 34          | F      | 18.92       | 10.5                   |
| 20      | 35          | M      | 27.28       | 10                     |

**Figure 1.** Photographs of Immunohistochemistry slides showing sharp and strong positivity (A) in the islets with decay score of less than 1.4. B – showing the diffused immunoreactivity.

**Figure 2.** Scatter plot showing the comparison of decay score with time since death ($R^2 = 0.185$) and immunoreactivity status.

**Figure 3.** Graph showing the percentage of pancreatic sample having preserved and diffused immunoreactivity in relation to time since death.
Relationship of Decay score with time since death and immunoreactivity

Red dot - Poor Immunoreactivity; Green dot - Good Immunoreactivity
