Continuous Blood Pressure Estimation From Electrocardiogram and Photoplethysmogram During Arrhythmias
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Objective: Continuous blood pressure (BP) provides valuable information for the disease management of patients with arrhythmias. The traditional intra-arterial method is too invasive for routine healthcare settings, whereas cuff-based devices are inferior in reliability and comfortable for long-term BP monitoring during arrhythmias. The study aimed to investigate an indirect method for continuous and cuff-less BP estimation based on electrocardiogram (ECG) and photoplethysmogram (PPG) signals during arrhythmias and to test its reliability for the determination of BP using invasive BP (IBP) as reference.

Methods: Thirty-five clinically stable patients (15 with ventricular arrhythmias and 20 with supraventricular arrhythmias) who had undergone radiofrequency ablation were enrolled in this study. Their ECG, PPG, and femoral arterial IBP signals were simultaneously recorded with a multi-parameter monitoring system. Fifteen features that have the potential ability in indicating beat-to-beat BP changes during arrhythmias were extracted from the ECG and PPG signals. Four machine learning algorithms, decision tree regression (DTR), support vector machine regression (SVR), adaptive boosting regression (AdaboostR), and random forest regression (RFR), were then implemented to develop the BP models.

Results: The results showed that the mean value ± standard deviation of root mean square error for the estimated systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP) with the RFR model against the reference in all patients were 5.87 ± 3.13 and 3.52 ± 1.38 mmHg, respectively, which achieved the best performance among all the models. Furthermore, the mean error ± standard deviation of error between the estimated SBP and DBP with the RFR model against the reference in all patients were −0.04 ± 6.11 and 0.11 ± 3.62 mmHg, respectively, which complied with the Association for the
INTRODUCTION

Heart arrhythmia, also known as irregular heartbeat or cardiac dysrhythmia, is a group of conditions characterized by a heartbeat that is irregular, too slow, or too fast (Kligfield et al., 2007). Arrhythmias can be classified into two types according to their origin: ventricular and supraventricular arrhythmias (Jenkins et al., 1979). Arrhythmias is an age-related disease (Hatch et al., 2011; Khurshid et al., 2018). For instance, the incidence of paroxysmal supraventricular arrhythmias is 14.8 per 100,000 person-years in adults aged 18 to 24 years, but in persons aged ≥65 years, the rate is increased to 231.9 per 100,000 person-years (Go et al., 2018). It is estimated that by 2050, supraventricular-related arrhythmias will affect approximately nine million individuals aged >60 years in China (Tse et al., 2013). Therefore, with the growth of the aging population, arrhythmias will bring an increasing burden and challenge to public healthcare management.

Blood pressure (BP) is an important physiological parameter of the human body. BP is closely related to the occurrence, development, and prognosis of arrhythmias (Yildirir et al., 2002). Continuous (beat to beat) BP monitoring can provide detailed BP changes to facilitate BP management (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2018). Thus, continuous BP monitoring is of importance for the health management of patients with arrhythmias. However, because of the irregular heart rate and stroke volume during arrhythmias, considerable variation (beat to beat BP changes) occurs in continuous BP. Regular intermittent BP measurement (e.g., auscultatory technique) was demonstrated to with high bias in such situations (Cohen and Townsend, 2017). In the clinical setting, the intra-arterial method is recommended for the BP measurement of patients with arrhythmias (Alpert et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the intra-arterial approach involves the catheter inserted into an arterial by physicians and is thus too invasive in routine application. A non-invasive approach that can provide continuous BP measurement is thus of great significance for the routine healthcare for patients with arrhythmias.

The existing non-invasive approaches, including artery applanation tonometry and volume clamp technologies, have been used for continuous BP measurement, but they are complicated and uncomfortable for long-term monitoring (Peter et al., 2014). Moreover, the accuracy of these approaches is low, particularly in patients with arrhythmias (Kim et al., 2014; Ilies et al., 2015). Cuff-less methods, which can provide unobtrusive continuous and long-term BP monitoring, have received increasing attention in recent years. Typical cuff-less methods include pulse transit time (PTT)-based and multi-parameter–based approaches. PTT-based approaches have been widely studied, and they are the most popular methods for continuous BP measurement (Mukkamala et al., 2015; Huynh et al., 2018; Liu J. et al., 2018; Yang and Tavassolian, 2018; Ding and Zhang, 2019). As a potential BP measurement indicator, PTT refers to the time taken for a pulse wave to propagate between two locations in the cardiovascular system. It can be calculated from two pulse signals generated by the cardiovascular system, such as electrocardiogram (ECG) and photoplethysmogram (PPG) signals, or two peripheral PPG signals. A novel approach of measuring arterial BP based on multi-wavelength PPG was proposed recently by Liu J. et al. (2018); they provided compact and inexpensive wearable healthcare electronics for continuous BP measurement. However, because of the fixed hypothesis of the BP–PTT relationship, PTT-based approaches have low accuracy and robustness because other indicators such as vascular tone, physiological state, and individual affect the BP–PTT relationship. To improve the accuracy of PTT-based approaches, potential BP variation indicators, such as time-, slope-, ratio-, and area-based features, were extracted from ECG and PPG signals and considered along with PTT to construct a multi-parameter–based model for BP estimation (Baek et al., 2009; Ding X.-R. et al., 2016; Kachuee et al., 2017; Miao et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018; Liu Z.-D. et al., 2018). For instance, Ding X.-R. et al. (2016) proposed a new indicator, namely the PPG intensity ratio (PIR), which can reflect arterial diameter changes and thus indicate BP variation. Their experimental results demonstrated that the model using a combination of the PTT and PIR had better accuracy in tracking BP than that did the model based on PTT alone. Lin et al. (2018) also proposed additional PPG indicators for improving the performance of PTT–methods for continuous BP measurement. Kachuee et al. (2017) extracted physiological parameters and whole-based features from ECG and PPG signals and then established a continuous BP estimation model based on machine learning algorithms.

PTT-based and multi-parameter–based models enable cuff-less continuous BP measurement based on ECG and PPG signals. However, most of them have been mainly applied in individuals with regular heartbeat; a few studies using intermittent or Finapres BP as the gold reference have demonstrated attenuated performance for these models in patients with cardiovascular diseases (Wagner et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014; Ding X. et al., 2016). Studies on cuff-less and continuous BP measurement for patients with arrhythmias are limited. Continuous BP is
with a high degree of fluctuations under arrhythmias, and these fluctuations can be attributed to vessel compliance, unstable cardiac contractility, and consequent blood volume changes. By investigating the indicators causing BP variation from physiological signals (such as ECG and PPG signals) during arrhythmias and using these indicators to develop the model for BP estimation, continuous BP estimation in a cuff-less way can be realized for patients with arrhythmias.

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the feasibility of using ECG and PPG signals for continuous (beat-to-beat) systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) estimation during arrhythmias based on machine learning algorithms. Potential indicators extracted from ECG and PPG signals for large BP variation during arrhythmias were studied and then used to develop the BP model. The performance was validated using a clinical experiment involving 35 patients with arrhythmias, with the invasive technique as the gold reference. Since the hemodynamic responses to changes in BP vary considerably among individuals, as well as the difficulty of collecting too much data under arrhythmias, personalized modeling was used in this study. The potential clinical application of this work is that after initial BP calibration, it may provide accurate long-term BP tracking for patients with arrhythmias in a non-invasive way.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Protocol**

**Study Population**

A total of 40 clinically stable patients with arrhythmias who required radiofrequency catheter ablation through the femoral artery at FuWai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences were evaluated observing the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with (1) malignant tumors; (2) severe organic heart diseases (myocardial infarction, congenital heart disease, severe valvular disease, and severe atrioventricular block); (3) arterial stenosis (upper extremity artery, thoracoabdominal aortic stenosis, and hip artery stenosis). Five patients were excluded because they met the exclusion criteria: one patient had malignant tumor; three patients had severe organic heart diseases, it may be difficult to accurately locate the position of characteristic points in their PPG waveforms and then to extract the PPG features (e.g., dicrotic wave related features) (Somayyeh et al., 2019). In this study, the extracted PPG features (Features 4 to 15, listed in **Table 1**) only rely on the location of three easily detectable points (i.e., the foot and peak of the PPG waveform and the peak of the first derivative of PPG),...
FIGURE 1 | (A) Experimental scene of simultaneous acquisition of ECG, PPG, and IBP signals. (B) Typical ECG, PPG, and IBP waveforms recorded in arrhythmias. The type of each beat is labeled in the ECG waveforms. The two adjacent beats in the IBP waveforms with the largest changes in SBP are marked in the red font. ECG, electrocardiogram; IBP, invasive blood pressure; PVC, premature ventricular contraction; PPG, photoplethysmogram; SR, sinus rhythm; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

TABLE 1 | Definitions and calculation methods of the extracted features.

| Features                  | Calculations                                      | Definitions                                                                 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) Pulse transit time R-foot | PTTrf                                             | Time delay from the R-peak of ECG to the foot of PPG                       |
| (2) Pulse transit time R-middle | PTTrm                                             | Time delay from the R-peak of ECG to the peak of the first derivative of PPG |
| (3) Pulse transit time R-peak        | PTTrp                                             | Time delay from the R-peak of ECG to the peak of PPG                       |
| (4) Ascending time         | AT                                                | Time span between the foot and peak of PPG in ascending edge               |
| (5) Ascending slope        | AS = I_{PF}/AT                                     | Ratio of PPG peak-foot point intensity difference in ascending edge (I_{PF}) to ascending time |
| (6) first part of AS       | FAS = I_{FM}/T_{FM}                               | Ratio of PPG M point intensity (I_{FM}) to the duration from the foot point to M point of PPG (T_{FM}) |
| (7) Ascending area         | SYSAREA = \sum P_{i} = F(I_i - I_{F})            | Area under the ascending portion of the PPG waveform                       |
| (8) Descending time        | DT                                                | Time span between the peak and foot of the PPG in Descending edge         |
| (9) Descending slope       | DS = I_{PF}′/DT                                   | Ratio of PPG peak-foot point intensity difference in descending edge (I_{PF}′) to descending time |
| (10) First part of DS      | FDS = I_{EF}′/T_{EF}′                             | Ratio of PPG M′ point intensity (I_{EF}′) to the duration from the M′ to foot point of PPG (T_{EF}′) |
| (11) Descending area       | DIAAREA = \sum F_{i} = P(I_i - I_{F}′)           | Area under the descending portion of the PPG waveform                      |
| (12) PPG intensity ratio   | PIR (Ding X.-R. et al., 2016)                      | Ratio of PPG peak intensity to foot intensity                              |
| (13) Pulse width           | PW                                               | Time span between the M point and M′ point of PPG                         |
| (14) Heart rate            | HR                                               | Time span between two adjacent peaks of PPG                               |
| (15) K value               | K (Miao et al., 2020)                             | PPG characteristic value                                                   |

Point M (and its corresponding point M′ in descending edge) represents the maximum derivative point of PPG; \( \sum P_{i} \) represents the cumulative sum of intensity difference of PPG from point foot (F) to peak point (P) in ascending edge; \( \sum F_{i} \) presents the cumulative sum of intensity difference of PPG from point peak (P) to point foot (F′) in descending edge. PPG, photoplethysmogram.

which improve the robustness of the features extracted from each cardiac cycle.

**Model Construction and Validation**

Four machine learning algorithms were implemented to establish the relationship between the extracted features and the reference BP values by using the Scikit-learn library in a Python programming environment (Pedregosa et al., 2011). It is important to note that the proposed method estimates the BP in terms of beat to beat, with the estimation frequency in seconds (depending on the patient’s heart rate), which can also be regarded as continuous (Kachuee et al., 2017).
FIGURE 2] Extracted physiological features from ECG, PPG, and 1st dPPG in a cardiac cycle. First dPPG indicates the first derivative of PPG. (A) PTT values extraction. (B) PPG features extraction. R represents the R-wave peak of ECG; F/F’ and P represent the foot and peak of the PPG waveform, respectively, and letter M (and its corresponding point M’) represents the peak of the first derivative of PPG. Abbreviations and detailed definitions show in Table 1.

(1) Decision Tree Regression (DTR): decision trees are supervised machine learning models for predicting a target in the form of a tree structure, which consists of many nodes and branches (Quinlan, 1986). When the target variable of a decision tree is continuous, the model is called DTR. In this study, a DTR model was trained using a built-in function (Decision Tree Regressor) with default parameters from the Scikit-learn library.

(2) Support vector machine regression (SVR): support vector machines also belong to supervised learning algorithms. They can efficiently perform classification or solve a regression problem by non-linearly mapping input feature vectors into higher dimensional spaces by using a kernel function, such as radial basis function (RBF), polynomial function, and Sigmoid function (Awad and Khanna, 2015). In this study, an SVR function with RBF kernel in the Scikit-learn library was used for training and testing the BP estimation model. The penalty parameter and kernel parameter for each patient were selected through an exhaustive grid search (Lee and Lin, 2000).

(3) Adaptive Boosting Regression (AdaboostR): AdaboostR, in contrast to the SVR, is an ensemble learning algorithm that creates a strong estimator from many weak estimators (e.g., decision trees). In AdaboostR, the predictions of weak estimators are combined into a weighted sum that represents the final prediction (Freund et al., 1999). Compared with other complex and strong models, AdaboostR models are less prone to overfitting (Kachuee et al., 2017). For training the regression model, an AdaboostR function (AdaBoostRegressor) with default parameters in the Scikit-learn library was used.

(4) Random forest regression (RFR): Random forests are another popular ensemble method, where the final prediction is the composite outcome from many weak estimators (Breiman, 2001). Compared with the AdaboostR, the base estimators in the random forest are trained independently, so the random forest models require less training process (Liaw and Wiener, 2002). Moreover, random forests have the advantage of measuring the importance of variables (Cutler et al., 2012). Here, an RFR function (RandomForestRegressor) with 50 trees and other default parameters in the Scikit-learn library (Pedregosa et al., 2011) was used for model training. Then the feature scores returned by the trained model were used to evaluate the importance of the extracted features.

The machine learning algorithms mentioned above were implemented to construct an individual BP estimation model for each patient. The difference between the estimated BP (SBP or DBP) with the proposed regression algorithm and the reference BP (invasive SBP or DBP) is defined as the estimation error. Four metrics, including root-mean-square error (RMSE), mean error (ME), standard deviation of error (STD), and mean absolute error (MAE) between the predicted and the reference BP values were calculated to evaluate the estimation accuracy of different algorithms. The correlation coefficient (r-value) was also included as a metric to measure the consistency between the predicted BP values and the references (invasive SBP/DBP values). Formulas for these metrics are presented in Supplementary Text S1.

Details of the dataset partition and the construction and evaluation process for the individual model were illustrated in Figure 3. For each patient, the data were divided into training, validation, and test set, with a ratio of 6:2:2. Train the regression algorithms on the training set and then validate them on the validation set to select the best model. More specifically, the
RMSE was used to evaluate the estimation performance of each trained regression algorithm on the validation set for each patient. The trained regression algorithm with the lowest average (mean value ± standard deviation) RMSEs in all patients was considered as the best algorithm. The performance of this best algorithm in all patients was then further evaluated in terms of the values of ME ± STD and MAE according to the two most applied BP devices evaluation standards, the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) and British Hypertension Society (BHS). Statistical significance of the differences between the performance of different algorithms was also assessed using Student’s t-test. A p-value <0.05 considered as statistically significant.

**Feature Importance Assessment**

Identifying features that are critical for BP estimation under arrhythmias is of great clinical significance. Moreover, knowing which features in a model are important for its predicting results is valuable for selecting features, reducing data dimensionality, and improving the operability of the model. To evaluate the importance of each feature during arrhythmias in the study population, a relative weight-based strategy was proposed. The important relative level of feature $f_j$ in patient $p_i$ can be expressed as

$$FI_{i,j} = \frac{\omega_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \omega_{i,j}}$$  (1)
where $w_{i,j}$ with $i = 1,\ldots,N$ and $j = 1,\ldots,M$, is the weight score of feature $f_{j}$ returned by the RFR model in patient $P_{i}$, and $N$ and $M$ are the total number of patients and features in the experiment, respectively.

The important level of feature $f_{j}$ in the population was then calculated by averaging the feature level $FI_{i,j}$:

$$FI = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} FI_{i,j}$$

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics and of the Selected Study Population
Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, 35 clinically stable patients with arrhythmias who required radiofrequency catheter ablation through the femoral artery (age: 43.87 ± 14.01 years; 20 were men) were included in the analysis. Among the 35 patients, 15 had ventricular arrhythmias, and 20 had supraventricular arrhythmias.

Acquired Signals of ECG, PPG, and IBP
After removing the PPG signal segments with poor signal quality, a final of 17,796 beats of ECG, PPG, and IBP signals were obtained from 35 patients. The number of beats for each type (manually marked by two cardiologists) and beat-to-beat SBP/DBP change for each type were summarized in Table 2. Noted that the BP change for each beat (sinus beat or non-sinus beat) is defined as the difference between the BP of the beat and the BP of its nearest sinus beat. Figure 1B presents a typical example of a patient’s beat-to-beat comparison between the reference BP values (SBP-Ref and DBP-Ref, marked in black) and estimated BP values (SBP-RFR and DBP-RFR, marked in red) by using the RFR model. In the reference BP values, the maximum variations in SBP and DBP are higher than 70 and 20 mmHg, respectively, as shown in the 21-th and 22-th cycles in Figure 4B. The estimated BP values with the proposed approach could follow these large BP variations accurately. Therefore, the proposed method shows a good performance in BP measurement for patients with arrhythmias.

Evaluation Based on the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation and British Hypertension Society Standards
The overall performance of the proposed method (the best model based on RFR algorithm) was further evaluated against the AAMI (Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation [AAMI], 2009) and BHS (O’Brien et al., 1990) standards. The AAMI standard require the ME and STD of BP measurement devices to be ≤5 and ≤8 mmHg, respectively, whereas based on the BHS standard, BP measurement devices are graded based on their cumulative percentage (CP) of MAE under the three thresholds of 5, 10, and 15 mmHg.

Table 3 presents the performance of the proposed method in estimating BP by using the RFR algorithm in all patients, in which the performance was calculated based on the estimation errors in the test set of all patients. Details of the estimation performance of the proposed method under different types of beats (as categorized in Table 2) were summarized in Supplementary Table S1. Overall, ME ± STD values for the SBP and DBP estimation were −0.04 ± 6.11 and 0.11 ± 3.62 mmHg, respectively, indicating that the proposed method for the SBP and DBP estimation meets the AAMI standard for patients with arrhythmias in the present scenarios. According to the BHS standard, the proposed method is consistent with grade A for both SBP and DBP estimation. Besides, according to the
AAMI standard (Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation [AAMI], 2009), an evaluation population of at least 35 individuals is required when the BP measurement devices are intended for use in special patient populations. Here, we verified the proposed approach in a population of 35 patients with IBP signals as the reference, which guarantees statistical reliability according to the AAMI standard. Additionally, we also analyzed the performance of the proposed method with PPG features only. ME ± STD values for the SBP and DBP estimation using only PPG features were 0.11 ± 8.17 and −0.07 ± 4.14 mmHg, respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

Figure 5 presents an example of the correlation and Bland–Altman plots for the SBP and DBP estimated by the proposed model compared with the reference BP values in a representative patient. The correlation coefficients between the estimated SBP and DBP values and the reference values were 0.90 and 0.94, respectively, indicating a very high consistency between the BP estimates and the references. The Bland–Altman plot indicated that the estimated BP values approximated the reference values very well, with >95% of the points lying within the limit of agreement in SBP and DBP estimates. In particular, for this patient, the bias of differences between our estimation and the reference values for SBP and DBP were 0.4 ± 5.93 and −0.39 ± 3.99 mmHg, respectively.

Feature Importance Assessment

Table 4 presents the average group importance levels of the extracted features, sorted by the importance level for predicting BP. In general, the features (SYSAREA, FAS, and AS) extracted from the ascending edge of PPG were more significant in the SBP estimation than were those (DIAAREA, FDS, and DS) extracted from the descending edge of PPG. Conflicting results were observed in DBP estimation: the features (DT and DIAAREA) extracted from the descending edge of PPG played a more significant role in the DBP estimation than those (AT and SYSAREA) extracted from the ascending edge of PPG. For SBP estimation, the most critical indicator was PTTrm, followed by SYSAREA (ascending area). Correspondingly, for DBP estimation, the most important predictor was descending time (DT), followed by DIAAREA (descending area).
DISCUSSION

In this study, different measures of PTT and several informative PPG features were calculated from ECG and PPG signals and then used to construct the BP estimation model based on various machine learning algorithms. The experimental results revealed that the combination of PTTs and PPG features in the proposed model based on machine learning algorithms could realize BP estimation with high accuracy during arrhythmias. The inherent strength of this paper is the verification of the feasibility of using ECG and PPG signals to estimate BP in patients with arrhythmias.

Comparison With Other Works

We compared the proposed method with other works (including multi-parameter-based methods and PTT-based methods in terms of dataset type, reference BP type, and the performance of each on its own dataset). Table 5 present the results of the comparison. Although the accuracy of the proposed method was lower than that of methods in studies in healthy populations using Finapres as the reference (Ding X.-R. et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2019), it substantially outperformed methods applied in intensive care unit patients (Kachuee et al., 2017). Furthermore, compared to other studies in hypertensive and aged population using the cuff-based method as the reference (Chen et al., 2018; Miao et al., 2020), our method was verified in patients with arrhythmias using the IBP as the standard and achieved relatively good performance, suggesting the higher reliability of our proposed approach.

Table 4 | Average group importance levels of PTTs and PPG features for blood pressure estimation.

| Feature | SBP | Feature | DBP |
|---------|-----|---------|-----|
| PTTm    | 0.1670 | DT    | 0.2190 |
| SYSAREA | 0.1281 | DIAAREA | 0.1392 |
| PTTrf   | 0.0889 | HR    | 0.0886 |
| FAS     | 0.0841 | K     | 0.0884 |
| AS      | 0.0778 | FAS   | 0.0821 |
| DT      | 0.0738 | DS    | 0.0548 |
| PIR     | 0.0637 | AS    | 0.0542 |
| DIAAREA | 0.0579 | PIR   | 0.0488 |
| PW      | 0.0574 | SYSAREA | 0.0474 |
| K       | 0.0419 | FDS   | 0.0371 |
| HR      | 0.0390 | PTTfp | 0.0367 |
| AT      | 0.0357 | PTTrf | 0.0362 |
| DS      | 0.0315 | PW    | 0.0357 |
| PTTfp   | 0.0278 | AT    | 0.0267 |
| FDS     | 0.0253 | PTTm  | 0.0250 |

Abbreviations and detailed definitions shown in Table 1.
Correlation Between PTT and BP During Arrhythmias

Pulse transit time is highly correlated with BP variation in healthy populations (Huynh et al., 2018; Yang and Tavassolian, 2018). However, the correlation is weak in patients with cardiovascular diseases (Wagner et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014; Ding X. et al., 2016). For instance, Wagner et al. (2010) investigated the relationship between PTT and BP in patients with chronic heart failure, and their results revealed that the correlation coefficient between PTT and BP for patients ($r = 0.23$) was lower than that in healthy individuals ($r = 0.73$), suggesting that the relationship between PTT and BP is weak. A potential reason is that the gold reference in the previous studies was intermittent BP based on the oscillometric technique or Finapres BP, which are not very reliable methods for patients with cardiovascular diseases. Furthermore, studies using IBP as the reference indicated that the beat-to-beat PTT correlated well with IBP ($r = 0.81$) in hypertension patients (Kim et al., 2013), particularly when BP has a wide variation in intensive care unit patients (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2018). Because IBP is recommended for patients with arrhythmias, whether the IBP–PTT association mentioned above sustains during arrhythmias should be investigated to provide accurate cuffless continuous BP measurement in arrhythmia patients.

In this study, we evaluated three measures of PTT (Features 1 to 3, listed in Table 1), namely PTTrf, PTTrm, and PTTrp, for BP estimation during arrhythmias, with IBP signals as the reference. Our analysis results indicated that PTT features play a more important role in SBP estimation than PPG features. Supplementary Table S2 presents the overall performance comparison between the proposed method with and without PTT features (PTTrf, PTTrm, and PTTrp) for BP estimation. After removing the PTT features, the performance of the proposed method decreased, especially the SBP estimation did not meet the AAMI standard. Therefore, we recommend using the combination of PTT and PPG features to obtain a more accurate BP estimation under arrhythmias. Moreover, we found that PTTrm was more critical for SBP estimation than other PTT features.

PTTrm was well correlated with SBP ($r = 0.61 \pm 0.23$; Figure 6) during arrhythmias. These findings provide a potential insight to estimate continuous BP from ECG and PPG signals in patients with arrhythmias. The physiological significance of the correlation between PTT (PTTrm) and BP can be reasonably deduced. Unstable cardiac contraction and insufficient effective cardiac output induced by reduced ventricular filling time are observed during arrhythmias (e.g., supraventricular arrhythmias) (Hebbar and Hueston, 2002). In terms of physiology, under the same conditions for peripheral resistance and arterial stiffness, the attenuated cardiac contraction during arrhythmias can result in low BP. Besides, studies (Eliaikim et al., 1971; Weinman et al., 1971) on the changes in pulse wave velocity (the reciprocal of PTT) in patients with arrhythmias have demonstrated that PTT calculated from ECG and PPG is inversely related to the duration of the preceding cardiac cycles during arrhythmias, particularly in shorter cycles. That is, when the heartbeat interval is shortened during arrhythmias, PTT increases. Therefore, PTT is correlated with beat-to-beat BP during arrhythmias.

PPG Features for BP Estimation

Photoplethysmogram is a direct reflection of blood volume changes in the microvascular bed of tissue and then in the artery; thus, it correlates with BP variation. Furthermore, because of the changes in blood perfusion in peripheral blood vessels, PPG reflects not only the blood ejection from the heart but also the condition of peripheral arteries closely related to BP.

In previous studies, several parameters have been extracted from PPG signals and considered along with PTT for BP estimation. For instance, Lin et al. (2018) and Baek et al. (2009) have indicated that useful features obtained from the second derivative wave of PPG have the potential to be non-invasive surrogate markers for BP estimation. However, all the studies mentioned have mainly focused on a healthy population. The extracted features they proposed lacks accuracy in patients because of the inappropriate PPG signal. In this study, we extracted several crucial features that could be accurately calculated from the PPG signal to estimate the BP. Feature importance assessment results demonstrated that among all the PPG features, systolic area (SYSAREA), mainly extracted from the systole (ascending edge) of PPG signals, is the most critical feature for SBP estimation (importance value = 0.1281), whereas DT, extracted from the diastole (descending edge) of

### TABLE 5 | Comparison with other works.

| Work                          | Dataset                  | Reference BP     | SBP (mmHg) ME STD MAE | DBP (mmHg) ME STD MAE |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| PTT and PIR (Ding X.-R. et al., 2018) | 27 Healthy subjects     | Finapres         | −0.37 5.21 4.09        | −0.18 4.13 3.18        |
| Multi-parameter (Yan et al., 2019) | 70 Healthy subjects     | Finapres         | 0.04 5.00 –             | 0.01 3.69 –             |
| PTT and heart-rate variability (Chen et al., 2018) | 29 Hypertensive subjects | Auscultatory technique | 0.73 10.04 –           | 0.90 7.10 –             |
| Multi-parameter (Miao et al., 2020) | 85 Aged subjects        | Oscillometric technique | 1.62 7.76 6.13         | 1.59 5.52 4.54         |
| Multi-parameter (Kachuee et al., 2017) | 57 ICU patients         | Intra-arterial   | −0.04 6.11 5.89        | 0.11 3.62 2.59         |

Abbreviations shown in Table 3.
FIGURE 6 | Group average absolute correlations (mean value ± standard deviation) between three PTTs and the PPG features that most relevant to blood pressure versus (A) SBP and (B) DBP. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; other abbreviations and detailed definitions show in Table 1.

PPG signals, is the most important PPG feature (importance value = 0.2190). Figure 6 presents the average group absolute correlation coefficients between the reference BP versus the corresponding extracted features, which are also consistent with the findings above. These findings are reasonable because SBP variation mainly depends on cardiac contraction and relevant stroke volume reflected in the systole of PPG signal, whereas DBP variation mostly depends on peripheral resistance and arterial stiffness reflected in the diastole of the PPG signal.

**Clinical Application Prospect**

Experimental results based on 35 clinically stable patients indicated that beat-to-beat BP can be accurately estimated from ECG and PPG signals under arrhythmias via machine learning algorithms. Due to the large individual differences in BP changes under arrhythmias, personalized modeling was used in this study. However, there are still potential clinical application prospects of this work in the field of continuous BP measurement. In the clinical setting, the intra-arterial method is recommended for the BP measurement of patients with arrhythmias (Alpert et al., 2006). However, prolonged insertion of a catheter into the artery can cause risks such as bleeding, thrombosis, arterial damage for patients (Bedford, 1978; Scheer et al., 2002). Adopting a fusion strategy, in which individual initial calibration is first performed through the IBP measured by artery intubation, followed by long-term tracking using the ECG-PPG–based method, would reduce the risks associated with prolonged artery cannulation and provide a non-invasive and accurate long-term continuous BP monitoring for patients with arrhythmias. This strategy is similar to the artery applation tonometry and volume clamp techniques, which also require the cuff-based BP for initial calibration (Chung et al., 2013). Moreover, this work provides the experimental fundament for establishing a universal BP estimation model under arrhythmias in the future.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Our study has several strengths. Firstly, this study was the first to investigate the potential of using ECG and PPG signals for cuff-less and continuous BP estimation under arrhythmias. Secondly, an invasive method, which directly measures the BP inside the vessels, was used as the gold reference to evaluate the performance of the proposed method. Finally, we have identified crucial features for BP estimation under arrhythmias from ECG and PPG signals. However, there are some limitations to the present study. Firstly, IBP waveforms were measured at the right femoral artery to prevent additional injury during the operation, whereas the PPG sensor was placed on the left index finger. The inconsistency between BPs in the right artery and left artery may have also led to a certain degree of error in the model. Secondly, the practical meaning of PTTrm and DT, which are of most importance for SBP and DBP estimation respectively, are still unclear and need to be further studied. Thirdly, as different patients may have different hemodynamic responses to BP changes, personalized modeling was used in this study.
Although personalized modeling has its shortcomings, it has been widely adopted in previous studies to reduce individual differences (Ding X.-R. et al., 2016; Miao et al., 2017, 2020). Finally, due to the complexity in collecting IBP for a long time, only 35 clinically stable patients were enrolled in our study for short-term BP estimation. In the future, a larger cohort study, including more patients with arrhythmias, will be conducted to discover short- and long-term indicators and then develop a universal BP estimation model under arrhythmias.

CONCLUSION

In this study, for the first time, we investigated the potential of a cuff-less and continuous BP estimation approach from ECG and PPG signals during arrhythmias. Thirty-five clinically stable patients with arrhythmias who underwent radiofrequency ablation were enrolled for simultaneously ECG, PPG, and IBP signal collection. Fifteen features were extracted from ECG and PPG and then used to construct the BP model with IBP as the gold reference based on machine learning algorithms. The proposed approach complied with the AAMI and Grade A of BHS standards in SBP and DBP estimation during arrhythmias, indicating that the feasibility of using ECG and PPG signals to estimate continuous BP in an indirect way for patients with arrhythmias. Further research is needed in the future to deeply explore the value of ECG and PPG signals in estimating continuous BP in more patients with arrhythmias, to promote the clinical application prospect.
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