An amorphous MoS$_x$ modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light$^\dagger$
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In this work, an MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite photocatalyst was successfully fabricated by a sonochemical approach, where amorphous MoS$_x$ was synthesized using a hydrothermal method with Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O, H$_2$SiO$_4$(W$_3$O$_9$)$_4$ and CH$_3$CSNH$_2$ as precursors, and g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets were produced using a two-step thermal polycondensation method. The hydrogen-evolution performance of the MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite was tested under visible light. The results show that the H$_2$-evolution rate of the MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (7 wt%) photocatalyst reaches a maximum value of 1586 μmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, which is about 70 times that of pure g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets. The main reason is that amorphous MoS$_x$ forms intimate heterojunctions with g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets, and the introduction of MoS$_x$ into g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets not only enhances the ability to convert H$^+$ into H$_2$, but also promotes the separation of photoinduced electron–hole pairs for the photocatalyst. BET analysis shows that the specific surface area and pore volume of g-C$_3$N$_4$ are decreased in the presence of MoS$_x$. XPS analysis manifests that MoS$_x$ provides a number of active sites. Mott–Schottky plots show that the conduction band of MoS$_x$ (–0.18 V vs. Ag/AgCl, pH = 7) is more negative than that of g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets.

1. Introduction

With the intensification of the energy crisis and environmental pollution, the human need for green and renewable energy has become more urgent. Recently, research into and applications of solar energy have attracted great attention. There is little doubt that once solar energy is fully utilized, mankind can solve the energy crisis and alleviate environmental pollution. Hydrogen, a clean fuel with its combustion product being water, has increasingly become the focus of research. Hydrogen can be obtained by solar-driven water splitting to obtain hydrogen using semiconductors such as TiO$_2$, CdS, and graphene. However, these materials have many different drawbacks, including the narrow range of response to visible light, environmental hazards and poor stability, which severely restrict their practical applications. For these reasons, the development of novel visible light responsive photocatalysts with high efficiency and good stability has become an important research direction.

Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$), a non-metallic semiconductor with a two-dimensional structure like graphene, has received great attention in the field of photocatalysis, due to its earth-abundance, high physicochemical stability, suitable band structure ($E_g$ = 2.7 eV) and low cost. Since it was first reported as a metal-free semiconductor photocatalyst for H$_2$ production in 2009, g-C$_3$N$_4$ has become a potential novel photocatalytic material for hydrogen production. Nevertheless, the photocatalytic performance of g-C$_3$N$_4$ is typically limited due to its high recombination of photoexcited electron–hole pairs, small surface area and low quantum efficiency. Different methods have been used to improve its photocatalytic properties by nanostructure modulation, ion doping, loading with co-catalysts, as well as compounding with other semiconductors.

Molybdenum sulfide is a layered transition-metal dichalcogenide, which has been considered a promising candidate to replace Pt as a co-catalyst for HER. Molybdenum sulfide has superior properties, such as low cost, relative abundance, tunable band structure and absorption of visible light.
Crystalline MoS$_2$ has been reported to be an excellent catalyst for both photocatalytic and electrocatalytic HER due to the existence of active sites derived from the sulfur edges of MoS$_2$ crystal layers.$^{21,22}$ Reports have always focused on MoS$_2$ as a co-catalyst to enhance the photocatalytic activity of HER under visible light: for example, MoS$_2$/C/N$_2$,$^{23,24}$ MoS$_2$/CdS,$^{25}$ MoS$_2$/graphene$^{26}$ and MoS$_2$/TiO$_2$. Crystalline MoS$_2$ has two distinct phases: the 2H-phase and the 1T-phase. 2H-MoS$_2$ exists in the natural environment and is more stable than 1T-MoS$_2$, but its poor electrical conductivity limits its performance for HER. Whereas 1T-MoS$_2$ has high electron transfer capability and has been a vital research object.$^{28}$ In addition, amorphous molybdenum sulfide (MoS$_x$) has also been found to be highly active for HER because of its ample unsaturated atoms.$^{29}$ In fact, amorphous MoS$_x$ has recently been demonstrated to be an excellent co-catalyst because of its active centers for HER. Huogen Yu and colleagues declared that an amorphous molybdenum sulfide modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite exhibits an obviously higher hydrogen-evolution activity than that of a crystalline molybdenum sulfide modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite.$^{30}$ More recently, Xiao Hai and colleagues further demonstrated that the co-catalytic performance of monolayer MoS$_2$ could be gradually enhanced by reducing its crystallinity, and a poorly crystalline MoS$_2$ monolayer shows even higher co-catalytic performance than that of Pt.$^{31}$

Herein, a novel kind of MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite has been prepared by a sonochemical approach. The g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets were prepared by a two-step protocol, 3.5 mg of primitive MoS$_x$ and 50 mg of g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets were dissolved in 80 mL of deionized water. The suspension was ultrasonicated for 2 h and then dried at 60 °C for 12 h. With this method, the amorphous MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite photocatalyst was synthesized, denoted as MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (7 wt%). By changing the amount of added MoS$_x$ (1.5–5.5 mg), MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (3–11 wt%), composite photocatalysts with different mass ratios of MoS$_x$ can be obtained. MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (7 wt%) was prepared by heating MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (7 wt%) in ambient N$_2$ at 360 °C for 2 h. 2.1 Preparation of amorphous MoS$_x$ and crystalline MoS$_2$. The amorphous MoS$_x$ was prepared by a hydrothermal method. The photocatalytic HER of MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ was investigated and the mechanism of MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ in improving the photocatalytic activity is discussed in detail. This work supplies a novel strategy for using amorphous MoS$_x$ as a co-catalyst to enhance the photocatalytic HER of g-C$_3$N$_4$ under visible light.

2. Experimental

2.1 Preparation

2.1.1 Preparation of an ultrathin g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheet photocatalyst. The g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets were prepared by a two-step thermal polycondensation method using melamine powder as the precursor.$^{3,9,12}$ In detail, 10 g of melamine was calcined in air at 550 °C for 4 h at a heating rate of 8 °C min$^{-1}$, then cooled down to room temperature. The obtained bulk g-C$_3$N$_4$ was milled into powder and then 0.4 g of the powder was heated at 500 °C for 2 h at a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ to prepare the g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets. Finally, 50 mg of the g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets was dispersed in 80 mL of deionized water at room temperature and exfoliated by ultrasonication for 2 h. The final product was centrifuged at 12 000 rpm and dried at 60 °C for 12 h and was named UCN.

2.1.2 Preparation of amorphous MoS$_x$ and crystalline MoS$_2$. The amorphous MoS$_x$ was prepared by a hydrothermal method. 0.2419 g of Na$_2$MoO$_4$, 2H$_2$O, 2.878 g of H$_2$SiO$_3$, and 0.4508 g of CH$_3$CSNH$_2$ were dissolved in 45 mL of deionized water and stirred for 1 h. The solution was then transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The autoclave was sealed tightly and maintained at 240 °C for 24 h. After naturally cooling down to room temperature, the obtained black solution was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm and the obtained precipitates were washed with deionized water and ethanol several times. The product was dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 8 h and milled into powder, and denoted as primitive MoS$_x$. 50 mg of the primitive MoS$_x$ was dispersed in 80 mL of deionized water at room temperature and exfoliated by ultrasonication for 2 h. The final product was centrifuged at 12 000 rpm, dried at 60 °C for 12 h and named MoS$_x$. Crystalline MoS$_2$ was obtained by heating the primitive MoS$_x$ at 360 °C in ambient N$_2$ for 2 h.

2.1.3 Fabrication of MoS$_x$/UCN and MoS$_x$/UCN composite photocatalyst. The MoS$_x$/UCN photocatalyst was fabricated by a green environmental sonochemical approach. For the typical procedure, 3.5 mg of primitive MoS$_x$ and 50 mg of g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets were dissolved in 80 mL of deionized water. The suspension was ultrasonicated for 2 h and then dried at 60 °C for 12 h. With this method, the amorphous MoS$_x$/UCN composite photocatalyst was synthesized, denoted as MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%). By changing the amount of added MoS$_x$ (1.5–5.5 mg), MoS$_x$/UCN (3–11 wt%), composite photocatalysts with different mass ratios of MoS$_x$ can be obtained. MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%) was prepared by heating MoS$_x$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ (7 wt%) in ambient N$_2$ at 360 °C for 2 h.

2.2 Characterization

The power X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were recorded by a Rigaku D/MAX2500 V X-ray diffractometer (Cu Kα1 irradiation, λ = 0.15406 Å). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed with an electron microscope (JEM-2100F, Japan) operating at 200 kV. The BET specific surface and pore volume of the samples were determined by a surface area and pore size analyzer (Quadsrasor evo, USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of the samples was performed using an ESCALAB250Xi photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo) with monochromatic Al Kα (1486.60 eV). The UV-vis spectra of the samples were measured on a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-3600, Shimadzu Corp, Japan). The photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the samples were measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-4500) with an excitation wavelength of 315 nm.

2.3 Photocatalytic hydrogen production

Photocatalytic H$_2$ production experiments were performed in a 300 mL sealed quartz reactor at room temperature. A 300 W Xe lamp with a UV-cutoff filter (λ > 400 nm) was used as the light source. In a typical photocatalytic experiment, 20 mg of photocatalyst powder and 10 mL of triethanolamine were added into the quartz reactor, which contained 90 mL of deionized water, and were sonicated for 1 min. Before the photocatalytic experiments, the quartz reactor was degassed and maintained under vacuum conditions for 30 min by a vacuum pump, to remove the dissolved oxygen and ensure anaerobic conditions. Then, the suspensions were stirred and irradiated for 5 h. The amount of H$_2$ released was measured by gas chromatography (FULL-9790II, with high-purity argon as the carrier gas).
2.4 Photoelectrochemical measurements

The electrochemical tests were carried out on a CHI660C electrochemical analyzer (Chenhua Instrument, Inc.) in a standard three-electrode configuration with a Pt sheet (1 cm² surface area) used as the counter electrode, Ag/AgCl (in saturated KCl) as the reference electrode, fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO, 2 cm² surface area) deposited with photocatalysts serving as a working electrode and 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution as the electrolyte. The working electrode was prepared as follows: 5 mg of photocatalyst power was mixed with 0.5 mL of ethyl alcohol, then 0.3 mL of Nafion solution (5 wt%) was added into the mixture to make a slurry by ultrasonic dispersal for 10 min. The slurry was uniformly dropped onto the working electrode. After drying at room temperature, the working electrode was transferred to a laboratory tube furnace and kept at 100 °C for 30 min in ambient N₂. The photocurrent measurements were performed under the visible light irradiation of a 300 W Xe lamp with a UV-cutoff filter (λ > 400 nm) and a potential of −0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl. The EIS experiments were conducted in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz, with an amplitude voltage of 5 mV at the open circuit voltage. Mott–Schottky analysis was carried out with potentials ranging from −0.9 to 0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl for UCN and −0.12 to 0.06 V vs. Ag/AgCl for MoSₓ with an amplitude voltage of 5 mV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization

The MoSₓ/UCN composite was synthesized by a sonochemical method, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. The XRD patterns of primitive MoSₓ and MoS₂ are shown in Fig. 2(a). Amorphous MoSₓ has only one weak and broad distinct diffraction peak at 14.4°. This demonstrates that the MoSₓ synthesized in this study has a very low crystallinity/amorphous structure, which is different from other MoS₂ synthesized by a hydrothermal method.33,34 This is probably because H₂SiMo₁₂O₄₀ is involved in the reaction.35 After calcination, amorphous MoSₓ can transform into crystallized MoS₂. The XRD pattern of MoS₂ has three distinct diffraction peaks at 14.4°, 32.9° and 58.2° corresponding to the (002), (100) and (110) planes of 2H-MoS₂ (JCPDS no. 87-1526, molybdenum disulfide 2H), respectively. Fig. 2(b) shows XRD patterns of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets and ultrathin g-C₃N₄ with different contents of MoS₂. For all samples, two diffraction peaks occur at 13.1° and 27.8°, and are indexed to be the (100) and (002) planes of g-C₃N₄ (JCPDS 87-1526). The strongest peak of (002) at about 27.8° is attributed to the inter-planar stacking of aromatic systems. The weak peak of (100) at 13.1° is induced by the in-plane structural stacking motif. The slight decrease in diffraction peak in the MoSₓ/UCN samples indicates that ultrathin g-C₃N₄ with less stacking is produced due to the exfoliation of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets in ultrasonic processing. Nevertheless, diffraction peaks corresponding to MoSₓ cannot be found in MoSₓ/UCN samples. This may be due to the amorphous structure of MoSₓ, and at the same time the broad diffraction peak of amorphous MoSₓ is too weak compared with the diffraction peak of the UCN crystal. The existence of MoSₓ in the MoSₓ/UCN composite will be confirmed further by our other tests.

The morphology and microstructure of UCN, MoSₓ and MoSₓ/UCN samples were investigated by TEM characterization, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) shows the two-dimensional morphology of the synthesized MoSₓ. Fig. 3(b) presents the typical TEM image of MoSₓ/UCN, which has a two-dimensional morphology similar to that of pure g-C₃N₄ nanosheets.36 Fig. 3(c) is an HRTEM image of MoSₓ, a disordered structure in the plane is observed, which indicates that MoSₓ is amorphous. The lattice fringe of the MoSₓ nanosheet edge confirms its layered structure with an interlayer distance of 0.62 nm. Fig. 3(d) shows HRTEM images for MoSₓ/UCN (7 wt%). The layered structure of MoSₓ can also be found, which demonstrates that the layered MoSₓ co-catalysts are successfully loaded onto the surface of UCN. Fig. 3(e) is the HRTEM image of another area in MoSₓ/UCN (7 wt%). The lattice spacing in Fig. 3(e) is 0.27 nm, which corresponds to the (100) facets of 2H-MoS₂. The lattice fringe of 2H-MoS₂ can be found because of the presence of partially crystalline MoS₂ in MoSₓ.37 The co-existence of MoS₂ and MoSₓ is shown in Fig. S1.† The EDX pattern (Fig. 3(f)) of area 1 in Fig. 3(b) and elemental mapping (Fig. S2†) fully prove the combination of UCN and MoSₓ from another perspective. The BET specific surface area and pore volume of the samples are listed in Table S2.† The BET specific surface area of UCN and MoSₓ/UCN are 89.29 and 72.56 m² g⁻¹ respectively. The pore volumes of UCN and MoSₓ/UCN are 0.31 and 0.18 m³ g⁻¹. After loading with MoSₓ, the specific surface area and pore volume of MoSₓ/UCN decreased, which may be caused by the MoSₓ covering the pores of UCN. The results indicate that a MoSₓ/UCN photocatalyst with a two-dimensional structure has been successfully prepared in this study.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was carried out to check the chemical states of the elements in MoSₓ/UCN photocatalysts. Fig. 4(a) indicates the presence of S, Mo, C, N and O in MoSₓ/UCN samples. Fig. 4(b–e) show the high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s, N 1s, Mo 3d and S 2p in the MoSₓ/UCN samples. The C 1s spectrum shown in Fig. 4(b) can be deconvoluted into three peaks at 284.6, 285.9 and 288.6 eV, which are ascribed to the sp²-bonded aromatic structure (N=C=N), graphitic carbon (C–C), and residual C–O, respectively.27,28 As shown in Fig. 4(c), N 1s can be deconvoluted into three peaks at around 398.7 eV, 400.2 eV and 401.4 eV. The main peak at 398.7 eV can be assigned to sp² hybridized aromatic N bonded to carbon atoms (C=N–C).29 In addition, the peaks at 400.2 eV and 401.4 eV are ascribed to the tertiary N bonded to carbon atoms in the form of N–(C)₃ and amino functional groups carrying
hydrogen (C–N–H), respectively. From Fig. 4(d), we can confirm that, except for two S 2s peaks at binding energies of 227.3 and 226.1 eV, other peaks can be readily assigned to the Mo 3d spectrum. The peaks at 232.6 and 229.5 eV are attributed to Mo 3d$_{3/2}$ and Mo 3d$_{5/2}$, which are binding energies for Mo$^{4+}$ species in amorphous molybdenum sulfide. The Mo 3d doublet at 229.8 and 233.3 eV may be attributed to Mo$^{4+}$ or Mo$^{5+}$ chemical state as in molybdenum oxy sulfide MoS$_x$O$_y$, which can be surmised to be the presence of Mo=O defects in MoS$_x$/UCN. Recently, Tran P. D. and colleagues found that Mo=O...
defects will translate into Mo-bound hydride species which can act as the active species for H₂-evolution. The doublet for Mo 3d at 233.1 and 236.1 eV suggests the presence of Mo⁶⁺, indicating the formation of small amounts of surface oxide species. The high-resolution XPS spectra of S 2p suggest the existence of both disulfide S₇⁻ and monosulfide S⁵⁻, as shown in Fig. 4(e). And the higher binding energy signals (at 163.1 and 164.2 eV) are assigned to apical S²⁻ or bridging S₂⁻, while the lower higher binding energy signals (at 161.3 and 162.6 eV) are assigned to unsaturated S²⁻ or terminal S₂⁻. The S atoms with high binding energy have better catalytic performance for the catalyst than those with low binding energy. The percentage of S atoms with high binding energy in MoSₓ is about 50%, which is conducive to the MoSₓ co-catalyst displaying a superior catalytic performance to crystalline MoS₂. The peaks at 168.2 and 169.4 eV could be attributed to S⁶⁺ or S⁴⁺ in surface oxide species. High-resolution XPS spectra of Mo 3d and S 2p in MoS₂ are shown in Fig. S3,† which shows a marked difference from MoSₓ. Furthermore, the atomic ratio of S/Mo was estimated as 2.7 according to XPS, which is higher than that of highly crystalline MoS₂. The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of UCN and MoSₓ/UCN are shown in Fig. 5(a). Pure UCN shows an absorption edge at 415 nm, which is consistent with previous studies.\[\text{Fig. 4} \quad \text{Typical (a) XPS survey spectra and high-resolution XPS spectra of (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s, (d) Mo 3d and (e) S 2p.}\]
Compared with UCN, the MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN composite exhibits a red-shift of the absorption edge and obviously enhanced light absorption in the visible region. The magnitude of the red-shift and the enhancement in light absorption gradually increase with increasing MoS\textsubscript{x} content. At the same time, the color of the prepared samples also changes from light yellow to dark gray. Fig. 5(b) shows that the $E_g$ value of UCN is 2.92 eV and the $E_g$ value of MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN (3–11 wt\%) is 2.86–2.73 eV. In short, MoS\textsubscript{x} expands the visible light-harvesting ability and narrows the $E_g$ value of the UCN photocatalyst. This lets the photocatalyst absorb more photons, thereby enhancing its photocatalytic properties. In addition, as shown in Fig. 5(c), the photo-luminescence emission of UCN is remarkably quenched when MoS\textsubscript{x} has been loaded onto it. And the intensity of the PL emission peaks for the MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN composite gradually decreases with an increase in MoS\textsubscript{x} content, which means that MoS\textsubscript{x} loading on the UCN surface can greatly suppress the rapid recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs in UCN. The results of UV-vis and PL measurements further prove that MoS\textsubscript{x} has been successfully deposited onto the surface of UCN, and 2D MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN heterojunctions have been constructed.

### 3.2 Photocatalytic H\textsubscript{2}-evolution activity

The photocatalytic H\textsubscript{2}-evolution performance of pure UCN, MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN (7 wt\%) and UCN/MoS\textsubscript{x} with different percentages of MoS\textsubscript{x} were evaluated under visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm) using triethanolamine as a sacrificial reagent. Fig. 6(a) shows the H\textsubscript{2}-evolution rate by pure UCN and the MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN composite. The linearly increasing rate of H\textsubscript{2}-evolution clearly shows that the MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN composite photocatalysts exhibit very good photostability within five hours. Further comparison of the average photocatalytic H\textsubscript{2}-evolution rates of various photocatalysts is shown in Fig. 6(b). It can be seen that the H\textsubscript{2}-evolution rate of pure UCN is only 23 μmol g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}, due to its fast recombination of electron–hole pairs. After 3–7 wt\% MoS\textsubscript{x} have combined with UCN, the average hydrogen-evolution rates of MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN increase to an optimal value of 1586 μmol g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}. With a further increase in MoS\textsubscript{x} from 7 wt\% to 11 wt\%, the average H\textsubscript{2}-evolution rate begins to decrease from 1586 μmol g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} to 994 g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}. We also found that the photocatalytic activity of MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN is much lower than that of MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN, which indicates that as a co-catalyst MoS\textsubscript{x} is much better than crystal MoS\textsubscript{x}. It is obvious that the photocatalytic activity of the binary composite photocatalysts is much higher than that of the pure UCN photocatalyst. The most outstanding H\textsubscript{2}-evolution rate of the MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN (7 wt\%) photocatalyst is about 70 times that of pure UCN. In addition, MoS\textsubscript{x} itself does not show such high photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation in the test, which confirms that MoS\textsubscript{x} only plays the role of co-catalyst in MoS\textsubscript{x}/UCN. In order to investigate the photocatalytic stability...
of the MoS$_x$/UCN composite, cycle running tests were conducted under visible light irradiation and are shown in Fig. 6(c) The activity of the photocatalyst decreases quickly with the first three cycle tests. This phenomenon could be induced by the degradation of MoS$_x$. After the fourth cycle, the H$_2$-evolution volume remains at about 62% of the H$_2$ volume produced with the first run test. From these results, deposition of MoS$_x$ on the surface of UCN plays a decisive role in enhancing the photocatalytic activity of H$_2$-evolution. As mentioned, on the one hand, MoS$_x$ can improve the electron–hole separation efficiency as well as the visible light response of UCN; on the other hand, MoS$_x$ can provide a large number of active sites for H$_2$-evolution. However, excessive MoS$_x$ above 7 wt% may lead to a lower H$_2$-evolution rate, which can be caused by the shielding effects of MoS$_x$ for both the surface active sites and the visible light response of UCN.$^{32,51}$ For comparison, the results of our research work and other reports are listed in Table S1.$^\dagger$ The MoS$_x$/UCN samples in our research work show much better photocatalytic activity for H$_2$-evolution compared with most of the other research.

### 3.3 Photoelectrochemical measurements

To further confirm the elevated separation efficiency of photogenerated carriers and the transfer rate of charge carriers for

![Fig. 7](image-url)
photocatalysts, the transient photocurrent response ($I-t$ curves) and the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of pure UCN and the MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%) composite photocatalysts performed by a typical three-electrode system are shown in Fig. 7. The photocurrent of the MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%) under visible light is almost twice that of UCN, as shown in Fig. 7(a). The higher photocurrent of MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%) can be attributed to the introduction of MoS$_x$, which increases the separation of electron–hole pairs. As shown in Fig. 7(b), it is obvious that MoS$_x$/UCN (7 wt%) exhibits a smaller arc size compared to that of pure UCN, suggesting that the addition of MoS$_x$ can accelerate the electron transfer through the intimate interfaces between UCN and MoS$_x$.

In order to study the energy band structure of the semiconductor photocatalysts, a Mott–Schottky study was carried out. From the Mott–Schottky plots (Fig. 8), the conduction band potential of UCN and MoS$_x$ can be estimated. The conduction bands (CBs) are determined to be $-1.28$ V and $-0.18$ V (vs. $E_{Ag/AgCl}$, pH = 7) for UCN and MoS$_x$, respectively. The potentials are converted to the NHE scale according to the equation:

$$E_{NHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + E^0_{Ag/AgCl} (0.198 \text{ V})$$

The recalculated valence band (VB) potentials of UCN and MoS$_x$ are $-1.08$ and $0.02$ V (vs. $E_{NHE}$, pH = 7), respectively.

Following the equation $E_{VB} = E_{CB} + E_g/e$, the valence band potentials for UCN and MoS$_x$ are $1.84$ and $1.76$ V (vs. NHE, pH = 7). The conduction band potential for pure UCN ($-1.08$ V vs. $E_{NHE}$, pH = 7) is more negative than the H$^+$ reduction potential ($-0.410$ V vs. $E_{NHE}$ at pH = 7), which is enough to reduce H$^+$ and produce H$_2$. The conduction band potential of pure MoS$_x$ is more positive than the H$^+$ reduction potential when the pH value equals 7, which results in an inertness of HER for MoS$_x$ under visible irradiation. The result is consistent with the studies of previous reports.

3.4 Photocatalytic mechanism

Based on the experimental results, a possible mechanism is proposed to explain the enhanced H$_2$-production activity of MoS$_x$/UCN. As shown in Fig. 9, the conduction band position for UCN is higher than that of MoS$_x$. Under visible light irradiation, UCN can capture the photons, and electrons in the valence band will transfer to the conduction band to form electron–hole pairs. After that, the electrons may move to the surface of UCN to reduce H$^+$ and produce H$_2$ by sufficient overpotential. But pure UCN shows poor H$_2$-evolution performance due to the lack of active species for H$_2$ production. After deposition of MoS$_x$, the electrons excited on the surface of UCN can transfer to MoS$_x$, because the conduction band of MoS$_x$ is more negative than that of UCN. First, the fast recombination of electron–hole
pairs in UCN can be suppressed, and, second, the rapid consumption of electrons on the MoS$_2$ surface may lead to the production of more H$_2$. Meanwhile, the holes in the VB of UCN are consumed by the triethanolamine sacrificial reagent. Furthermore, compared to MoS$_2$, MoS$_x$ has a larger number of unsaturated S-atoms and unsaturated Mo-atoms. Unsaturated S-atoms and unsaturated Mo-atoms can absorb H$^+$ to form S–H and Mo–H bonds, which can work as active species for H$_2$ production, so MoS$_x$ shows better co-catalytic performance than MoS$_2$.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, a facile sonochemical approach was used to fabricate an MoS$_x$/UCN composite photocatalyst for superior photocatalytic H$_2$ production. The results demonstrate that amorphous MoS$_x$ loaded on UCN plays a co-catalyst role, the range of visible light absorption has been expanded, a large number of active species has been offered, and the separation and transportation of charge carriers have been promoted, leading to a tremendous improvement in the photocatalytic activity of UCN under visible light irradiation. For MoS$_x$/UCN, the optimal content of MoS$_x$ is 7 wt%, in which the rate of H$_2$ evolution can reach a maximum value of 1586 $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. The present work successfully provides a novel g-C$_3$N$_4$ and amorphous MoS$_x$ binary photocatalyst with excellent photocatalytic hydrogen-evolution performance.
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