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Foodborne viruses
Albert Bosch¹,², Rosa M Pinto¹,² and Susana Guix¹,²

Among the wide variety of viral agents liable to be found as food contaminants, noroviruses and hepatitis A virus are responsible for most well characterized foodborne virus outbreaks. Additionally, hepatitis E virus has emerged as a potential zoonotic threat. Molecular methods, including an ISO standard, are available for norovirus and hepatitis A virus detection in foodstuffs, although the significance of genome copy detection with regard to the associated health risk is yet to be determined through viability assays. More precise and rapid methods for early foodborne outbreak investigation are being developed and they will need to be validated versus the ISO standard. In addition, protocols for next-generation sequencing characterization of outbreak-related samples must be developed, harmonized and validated as well.
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Introduction
A wide variety of viruses may be foodborne transmitted (Table 1). These viruses belong to numerous different families and the diseases associated with their infection may range from mild diarrhea to severe neural diseases, flaccid paralysis, with even rare events of myocarditis, respiratory disease or hemorrhagic fever. Nevertheless, the most frequently reported foodborne syndromes are gastroenteritis and hepatitis. This review will be focused on the viruses most commonly found as food contaminants, noroviruses (NoV); the virus causing the most abundant type of hepatitis, hepatitis A virus (HAV); and on another hepatitis virus that represents an emerging foodborne threat, hepatitis E virus (HEV).

Despite food is nowadays safer than ever, foodborne diseases are still an important cause of morbidity and mortality, although the actual global burden of unsafe food consumption remains hard to estimate [1]. Several factors, among them the increasing population and the demand for continuous availability of seasonal products all year around, lead to global food trade among regions with different hygienic standards and the vulnerability of the food supply.

The World Health Organization (WHO) Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group provided in 2015 the first estimates of global foodborne disease incidence, mortality, and disease burden in terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) [1]. The global burden of foodborne hazards was 33 million DALYs in 2010 (95% uncertainty interval [UI] 25–46); 40% affecting children under 5 years of age. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that each year roughly 48 million people in the US gets sick, 128,000 are hospitalized, and 3000 die from foodborne diseases (http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-foodborne-estimates.html).

Foodborne virus transmission
Figure 1 depicts the routes of enteric virus transmission, which essentially is through the fecal–oral route. Patients suffering from viral gastroenteritis may shed very high numbers of viruses in their feces, for example, may reach over 10¹⁰ NoV genome copies per gram (gc/g) of stool [2], while it is estimated that as many as 3 × 10⁷ virus particles are released in a single episode of vomiting [3]. Fecal shedding of HAV reaches its maximum, up to 10¹⁴ gc/g just before the onset of symptoms, at which point there is the maximum risk of fecal–oral transmission [4⁸]. For HEV, peak shedding of the virus (around 10⁹ gc/g) occurs during the incubation period and early acute phase of disease [5].

Viruses may contaminate a wide variety of food products at pre-harvest or post-harvest stages. Among those foods at risk of pre-harvest contamination, bivalve molluscan shellfish and fruits are most commonly associated with foodborne outbreaks. The 2014 report on virus alerts in Europe of the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF, http://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/rasff/), bivalve mussels were involved in 85% of the alerts, while fruits accounted for 15% of the alerts. Among bivalves, clams, usually imported frozen, caused 57% of all foodborne alerts, followed by oysters (15%) and mussels (11%). Among fruits, frozen strawberries and raspberries were involved each in 5% of all foodborne alerts, while 3% of the alerts involved frozen berry mix.
Post-harvest contamination results most likely from poor hygiene practices during food handling, and hence the foods most at risk are uncooked or lightly cooked products. Surfaces employed for food preparation, as well as other types of fomites, may act as vehicles for foodborne virus transmission. Some enteric viruses, for example, HAV and HEV, may also be parenterally transmitted. Foodborne infection can also be acquired, although much more rarely, through ingestion of products from an animal infected with a zoonotic virus, as has been documented for HEV after consumption of pork, wild boar or deer [6,7].

**Norovirus gastroenteritis**

NoV gastroenteritis by itself contributes to an estimated 7.6% of the total DALYs global burden of foodborne disease [1]. Gastroenteritis refers to any inflammatory process of the enteric track that is mostly employed to describe acute diarrhea, frequently accompanied by vomiting, nausea and abdominal pain [8]. Gastroenteritis may be non-invasive, inflammatory or invasive. Although bacteria usually cause the most severe cases of invasive gastroenteritis, viruses, more precisely NoV, are responsible for the largest number of cases, usually non-inflammatorious episodes of gastroenteritis (http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-foodborne-estimates.html) [3]. More severe disease and cases of chronic gastroenteritis may also occur among the elderly and immunosuppressed [9**].

Out of all foodborne alerts reported in 2014 by the RASFF (http://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/rasff), NoV accounted for 92% of these alerts. In addition, NoV is nowadays the leading cause of acute gastroenteritis among children less than 5 years of age who seek medical care [10]. In the US, NoV are the foremost cause of domestically acquired foodborne infections in general, and the second cause of domestically acquired foodborne illness resulting in hospitalization (http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-foodborne-estimates.html).

### Table 1

**Viruses that may be foodborne transmitted.**

| Primary tissue tropism | Common name          | Particle/genome               | Genus         | Family          | Associated disease(s) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| **Enterotropic**       | Human norovirus      | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Norovirus     | Caliciviridae   | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Human sapovirus      | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Sapovirus     | Caliciviridae   | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Aichi virus          | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Kobuvirus     | Picornaviridae  | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Human astrovirus      | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Mamastrovirus | Astroviridae    | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Human rotavirus      | Nonenveloped/segmented dsRNA  | Rotavirus     | Reoviridae      | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Human reovirus       | Nonenveloped/segmented dsRNA  | Orthoreovirus | Reoviridae      | Unknown               |
|                        | Human enteric adenovirus | Nonenveloped/dsDNA          | Mastadenovirus | Adenoviridae   | Gastroenteritis, fever, respiratory disease |
|                        | Human parovirus      | Nonenveloped/ssDNA            | Parvovirus    | Parvoviridae    | Gastroenteritis       |
|                        | Human picorbinavirus | Nonenveloped/ssDNA            | Picobirnavirus | Picobirnavirida | Gastroenteritis       |
| **Hepatotropic**       | Hepatitis A virus    | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Hepatovirus   | Picornaviridae  | Hepatitis             |
|                        | Hepatitis E virus    | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Orthohepevirus| Hepeviridae     | Hepatitis             |
|                        | Poliovirus           | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Enterovirus   | Picornaviridae  | Meningitis, flaccid paralysis, meningitis, fever |
|                        | Non-polio enteroviruses | Nonenveloped/ssRNA          | Enterovirus   | Enteroviridae   | Meningitis, herpangina, flaccid paralysis, cranial nerve dysfunction, hand-foot-and-mouth disease, myocarditis, heart anomalies, respiratory illness, rash, pleurodynia |
|                        | (incl. Coxsackie A and B virus, Echovirus, and Enterovirus D68 and 71) | | | | |
|                        | Human parechovirus   | Nonenveloped/ssRNA            | Parechovirus  | Picornaviridae  | Meningitis, respiratory disease, gastroenteritis |
|                        | Nipah virus          | Enveloped/ssRNA               | Henipavirus   | Paramyxoviridae | Encephalitis, respiratory disease |
|                        | Polyoma virus (JC, BK) | Nonenveloped/circular dsDNA  | Mononavirus    | Polyomaviridae  | Persistent infections, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, urinary tract diseases |
|                        | Tick-borne encephalitis virus | Nonenveloped/ssRNA | Flavivirus | Flaviridae       | Encephalitis, meningitis |
|                        | Human coronavirus    | Enveloped/ssRNA               | Betacoronavirus| Coronavirusidae | Respiratory disease, SARS, MERS, gastroenteritis |
| (incl. SARS and MERS CoV) | | | | | |
|                        | Avian influenza virus | Enveloped/segmented ssRNA     | Influenzavirus | Orthomyxoviridae | Influenza, respiratory disease |
| **Pneumotropic**       | Ebola virus          | Enveloped/ssRNA               | Ebola virus   | Filoviridae     | Gastroenteritis, hemorrhagic fever |
| **Multitropic**        |                       |                               |               |                 |                       |

---
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Figure 1

Routes of enteric virus transmission (see text for details).

NoV are genetically and antigenically highly diverse agents distributed into seven genogroups (GI to GVII) with altogether more than 30 genotypes distributed worldwide, and with GI, GII and GIV infecting humans [11,12]. In the last decade, strains belonging to GII, genotype 4 (GII.4) accounted for the majority of cases worldwide, with pandemic GII.4 strains periodically emerging and replacing the previous predominant strain [13,14]. A new variant (GII.17) causing outbreaks has recently emerged in China and Japan replacing the previously dominant GII.4 genotype Sydney 2012 variant in some areas in Asia, although it has been reported in only a limited number of cases on other continents [15].

Susceptibility to NoV infection is related to histo-blood group antigens (HBGAs), which act as co-receptor factors for these viruses [16]. Expression of HBGAs is determined by the FUT2 gene and resistance to NoV infection follows a Mendelian pattern. NoV fulfill the following axioms: (i) different specific glycans are employed by different NoV strains, (ii) everyone may be infected by a specific NoV strain, and (iii) no NoV strain is able to infect all the human population.

Asymptomatic NoV shedding by healthy individuals is common, with a reported prevalence ranging from 1 to 16% in different parts of the world [2]. In a screening performed in food handlers and healthcare workers related with outbreaks, around 60% shed NoV and 70% of them were asymptomatic shedders (Sabrià et al., submitted).

Nevertheless, irrigation with sewage contaminated water rather than from a single infected food handler seems to be the main cause of large outbreaks of NoV gastroenteritis linked to soft fruit consumption, such as the large outbreak affecting 11,000 individuals in Germany caused by imported frozen strawberries [17]. The detection of several different genotypes in the strawberries reinforce the idea that sewage contamination originated the outbreak. Bivalve shellfish grown and harvested from sewage-contaminated waters have also been recognized as frequent vehicles for NoV transmission [18]. In vitro, in vivo and environmental studies have demonstrated that some bivalve mollusks may selectively accumulate NoV strains due to the presence in bivalve tissues of HBGAs shared with humans [19].

The fecal virus titers after natural and experimental infection is around 10^3–10^10 gc/g without significant differences between symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals [2] (Sabrià et al., submitted). Besides being transmitted by the fecal–oral route, NoV are readily spread through the release of enormous amounts in
episodes of projectile vomiting. Having in mind their low infectious dose, reported to be between 20 and 1300 particles [20], it is obvious that vomiting greatly contributes to the spread of NoV gastroenteritis in closed settings such as restaurants, hotels, health care facilities and cruise ships [3]. In fact, NoV genomes have been detected in the air of healthcare facilities during outbreaks [21].

There are presently several on-going efforts to develop vaccines to prevent NoV infections. Besides generating systemic and mucosal immune responses, intranasal vaccination with virus-like particles (VLP) corresponding to NoV GL1 (Norwalk virus) reduces the symptoms of illness by more than 50%. However, despite the substantial impact on morbidity that NoV vaccines could have, extensive work is required to target multiple genotypes of interest.

**Hepatitis A**

Hepatitis A infection is highly endemic in developing regions while is much less frequent in developed regions. This epidemiological pattern has important implications on the average age of exposure and on the severity of the clinical disease. The infection is mostly asymptomatic in children younger than six while the severity increases thereafter, being the illness very severe in those older than sixty (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs328/en/). Since the infection induces a life-long immunity, severe infections among adults are rare in endemic regions where most children are infected early in life. By contrast, in non-endemic developed countries the disease occurs mostly in adulthood, mainly as a consequence of consuming contaminated water or food, traveling to endemic regions or having risky sexual practices and hence the likelihood of developing severe symptomatic illness is high [22].

Despite a nucleotide diversity similar to that of other picornaviruses, capsid structural constraints limit its amino acid variability, and thus HAV exists as a single serotype, with human strains distributed into three genotypes (I, II and III) and seven subgenotypes (IA, IB, IC, IIA, IIB, IIIA and IIIB) [23]. Genotypic characterization is highly relevant to trace the origin of an outbreak [24,25], but also to anticipate the severity of cases. Hepatitis A cases associated to subgenotype IIIA have been reported to be more severe, with higher alteration of clinical parameters and requiring longer hospitalization. In a study conducted in Catalonia, covering the decade 2004–2013, a significant increase of subgenotype IIIA was detected in 2012 and associated cases were all in toddlers younger than 4 years [26]. This is an unexpected result since under the age of six years hepatitis A is mostly asymptomatic and thus indicates a more severe outcome compared to other genotypes.

Some studies suggested the association of a given subgenotype to fulminant cases but different conclusions were drawn from studies conducted in different countries, or even in the same country but in different years [27]. We have performed for this revision a meta-analysis with all data included in previous studies to balance the worldwide prevalence of subgenotypes in different years. This meta-analysis has revealed that the prevalence of subgenotypes IA, IB and IIIA is of 66%, 14% and 21%, respectively, while their association to fulminant cases is of 30%, 30% and 41%, respectively. Thus, it can be concluded that fulminant outcomes associate with infections with viruses belonging to subgenotypes IB and IIIA. From this meta-analysis it can also be inferred that subgenotypes IA, IIIA and IB are the most abundant worldwide. Particularly, IA is the most prevalent genotype followed by IB (particularly in Africa) with the exception of the South Asian continent, including India and Pakistan, where IIIA is the most abundant type. However, it should be pointed out that subgenotype IIIA is rapidly spreading to other parts of the world [27,28].

Several highly effective inactivated vaccines exist, thanks to the occurrence of a single serotype [4,23], however, vaccine-escape mutants have been isolated from HIV+ patients [29] who underwent incomplete vaccination. These are optimal conditions for the selection of mutants present in the quasispecies able to escape the neutralization action of antibodies [23]. Fortunately, these vaccine-escape mutants circulated for a short period of time [26] likely due to a lower fitness than the wild-type viruses [29]. Recently, several HAV-related viruses have been found in seals [30] and small mammals [31] which indicate that the possibility of emergence of a new serotype through a zoonotic origin cannot be ruled out.

**Hepatitis E**

HEV infects a wide range of mammalian species, as well as chickens and trouts [32]. HEV infection usually leads to acute hepatitis that can become fulminant, particularly among pregnant women and in patients with preexisting liver disease, or may even evolve to a chronic state, especially in immunosuppressed individuals [33]. HEV has been shown to produce a range of extra-hepatic manifestations including aplastic anemia, acute thyroiditis, glomerulonephritis as well as neurological disorders such as Guillain-Barré syndrome, neuralgic amyotrophy and encephalitis [34,35].

Through whole genome analysis of existing sequences, seven genotypes of mammalian HEV have been established within subgenus Orthohepevirinae A [32]. The predominant host species for genotypes in this subgenus are: human for HEV-1 and HEV-2; human, pig, wild boar, rabbit, deer and mongoose for HEV-3; human and pig for HEV-4; wild boar for HEV-5 and HEV-6; and camel for HEV-7. In addition, Orthohepevirinae B predominantly infects chickens, Orthohepevirinae C rats and ferrets, and Orthohepevirinae D bats [32].
Until recently, hepatitis E was considered endemic in developing countries and rare in developed countries, essentially linked to travelers returning from endemic regions. However, recent evidence points that autochthonous HEV infection in developed areas is much more prevalent than previously acknowledged [36]. For instance, in some industrialized parts of Europe, seroprevalence rates higher than 50% of the population are reported [37–39]. The source and route of these silent infections remain unclear but evidence points to a porcine zoonosis with HEV-3 circulating among European pigs [6,40].

Sporadic cases of hepatitis E have been clearly linked to the consumption of raw or undercooked animal meats such as pig livers, wild boar, sausages, and deer meats [7,41]. HEV is also present in porcine muscle. In addition, since large amounts of viruses excreted in feces, animal manure land application and runoffs can contaminate irrigation and drinking water with concomitant contamination of fresh produce or shellfish. HEV RNA of swine origin has been detected in swine manure, sewage water and oysters, and consumption of contaminated shellfish has been as well implicated in sporadic cases of hepatitis E [7,42,43]. Therefore, the animal strains of HEV pose not only a zoonotic risk but also food and environmental safety concerns.

A recombinant hepatitis E vaccine, HEV 239, has been licensed in China although so far it is not globally available [44].

### The tools to control viral contamination

Molecular methods such as quantitative real time RT-PCR (RTqPCR) have been the methods of choice for virological analysis of food due to the low concentration of viruses normally present on contaminated foodstuffs. In addition, the low number of contaminating virus particles may not be uniformly distributed and some of the components in the food matrix may be potent inhibitors of molecular assays. Two International Organization for Standardization (ISO) procedures for quantitative and qualitative detection of NoV (GI and GII) and HAV in selected foodstuffs (soft fruits, salad vegetables and bivalve molluscan shellfish), bottled water and food surfaces were published in 2013 (ISO/TS 15216-1 and ISO/TS 15216-2) [45**]. The availability of these standard methods may set the basis for the formulation of regulatory standards for viruses in food and water in the near future. The following sections describe these validated methods and other published efforts channeled toward the optimization of the virus concentration procedure, as well as approaches aiming at providing added value to the basic viral detection and assisting in the interpretation of a positive result. A general flow chart for the recently developed analytical options for the detection and characterization of viruses in food is shown in Figure 2.

### Validated method for screening NoV and HAV

Box 1 summarizes the key features of the methods and the minimum quality control requirements. With slight modifications in some instances, the ISO methods have been widely used to screen naturally contaminated sample matrices [46,47], and several companies also offer commercial kits based on RTqPCR assays closely related to the one used in the ISO validation. However, despite the wide acceptance of the standardized method and its variations among research labs, most studies do not report the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the assay and this complicates comparisons and drawing of general conclusions. Regarding the process control virus, the use of Mengo virus strain MCo or murine norovirus (MNV) is widespread in most studies [48]. Due to the complexity of food matrices, an extraction efficiency above 1% is considered satisfactory. An assay multiplexing HAV, NoV GI, NoV GII and Mengo virus as a process control was optimized and validated on naturally contaminated bivalve mollusks and water samples [49**]. The quadruplex assay fulfills the ISO requirements, showing in the worst-case scenario an average sensitivity loss of 0.4 logs. Most virus concentration protocols are time-consuming and laborious, and the great variety of food matrices makes selection of a single method not straightforward. For berries, baby spinaches, lettuce and sliced tomatoes for example, alternative methods of extraction in which RNAs are directly extracted from food have shown good performance and shorter times [50,51]. However, all alternative methods must be validated versus the ISO standard.

### Special case: in-house protocols to detect HEV in pork products

HEV contamination of meat products is not only restricted to the product surface and hence virus extraction requires other experimental approaches. Although not standardized yet, there are several methods available for detection of HEV in meat and meat products that have been applied to screen retail products in several countries, finding a broad distribution in most cases [41,52,53]. There is the need for an ISO standard for HEV detection in food products.

### Digital RT-PCR (RTdPCR)

Digital RT-PCR (RTdPCR) is an endpoint quantitative approach that accurately estimates genome copies based on the Poisson distribution. Sensitivity of RTdPCR is comparable to RTqPCR for most targets with increased accuracy since RTqPCR tends to overestimate the number of genome copies in a given sample [54*].

Microfluidic and nanofluidic assays are novel high-throughput methods for simultaneous qualitative detection of numerous pathogens in the same sample, but due to the small volumes of reactions, all reported...
Interpretation of the public health significance of PCR positive results

One of the main pitfalls of the use of molecular methods in food safety is that they do not discern between infectious and noninfectious viruses. The proportion of inactivated viruses in food and water samples may vary depending on how long these viruses have persisted in the environment and/or in the samples. On the other hand, since viral nucleic acids (intact or fragmented) may stand some of the inactivation treatments applied in the food industry, the lack of correlation between genome copies and infectious titers also hampers the assessment of the efficacy of inactivation procedures (extensively reviewed by Ceupens et al., [56*]). Improper interpretation of molecular data can easily lead to wrong decision-making in the food industry and misconceptions of the associated public health risk.

During the last decade, adaptations to the conventional RTqPCR protocols (also denominated ‘viability PCR’ assays) have been developed to reduce and minimize the detection of non-infectious viruses and free nucleic acids (detailed reviews have been published elsewhere [57,58*]). However, validation of these ‘viability PCR’ assays depends on the use of cell-adapted viral strains, when available, or virus surrogates since none of main foodborne virus threats may be detected by infectivity assays.

Briefly, molecular approaches for predicting virus infectivity examine two major virus characteristics: integrity of the virus genome, and capsid structural stability. Viability dyes such as ethidium monoazaide (EMA) or propidium monoazaide (PMA) in combination with RTqPCR have been employed to examine capsid integrity and the validity of the approach depends on the target virus and the applied disinfection procedures [59,60*]. Factors such as the degree of secondary structure present within the target RTqPCR region, its level of interaction and protection by capsid proteins, the mechanical stability or plasticity of the viral capsid, or the level of viral aggregation within the sample, may cause bias in the application of EMA/PMA-RTqPCR methods. Finally, some of the most promising alternative approaches, developed for NoV, are based on integrated in situ capture assays.
Box 1 Key features of the validated ISO/TS 15216-1 and ISO/TS 15216-2 procedures

**Step 1: Virus extraction and concentration**

| Sample size | Method |
|-------------|--------|
| Soft fruits and salad vegetables 25 g/chopped | Elution with agitation followed by precipitation with PEG/NaCl |
| Bivalve molluscan shellfish 2 g digestive gland from 10 animals | Treatment with a proteinase K solution |
| Bottled water Up to 5 L | Adsorption and elution using positively charged membranes followed by concentration by ultrafiltration |
| Food surfaces Maximum area 100 cm² | Swabbing |

**Step 2: RNA extraction**

- Common to all samples
- Reagents should enable processing of 500 μl of extracted virus
- Addition of a process control virus
- Based on virus capsid disruption with chaotropic reagents and adsorption of RNA to silica particles

**Step 3: RT-qPCR**

- One-step RT-qPCR assay
- Reagents should allow processing of 5 μl RNA in 25 μl total volume
- Simultaneous monoplex assays for each specific target (NoV G1, NoV GII, HAV and process control virus)
- Use of hydrolysis probes
- Addition of an external control RNA (purified single-stranded RNA carrying the target sequence for each target virus)
- Use of double-stranded DNA control material to make a standard curve

**Step 4: Quality control**

- Virus extraction efficiency should be ≥1%
- RT-PCR inhibition should be ≤75%
- Amplification efficiencies should range between 90 and 110%

combining virus binding to cells or to porcine gastric mucin with RTqPCR [61,62]. Whether ‘viability PCR’ protocols could be used in combination with the ISO extraction/concentration methods requires further investigation.

**Biotracing foodborne outbreaks**

Genotype and subtype information from food contaminated strains is required to trace the transmission source, and to characterize and compare strains circulating in the environment with strains causing clinical burden, which may help to infer virulence properties of specific strains. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) approaches are progressively replacing standard Sanger sequencing, opening a new era of public health microbiology and outbreak investigations of foodborne pathogens. Thousands of sequence reads are obtained which may belong to different genomic regions enabling multiple genetic characterizations. Depending on virus and regions employed, this approach may provide information on the genetic variability, recombination events, virulence traits and, even for some viruses, geographic tracing. Excellent reviews have been published on the use of NGS platforms for viral diagnostics and pathogen discovery [63**,64,65] although it is still unclear whether they could be cost-effective and sensitive enough to be employed in food samples with low levels of contaminant viruses.

**Conclusions**

The multinational hepatitis A outbreaks occurring in Europe in 2013 and 2014 with over 1400 cases linked to fresh and frozen strawberries and berry mix evidenced the usefulness of virus sequencing to link sporadic cases reported in different EU/EEA countries in outbreaks [66,67]. However, due to different sequencing practices and protocols in EU/EEA countries, the interpretation of the sequencing results was often challenging and untimely.

Molecular data based on NGS are increasingly replacing the numerous different methodologies currently in use in human and veterinary reference laboratories for outbreak investigation and attribution modeling. These methods have the potential for early identification of foodborne organisms with epidemic character and the resulting data is beginning to be integrated into risk assessment studies. The epidemic potential of a virus genotype or even a subtype, may vary considerably, and is a function of its
inherent genetic characteristics and their capacity to mutate, survive and spread through the food chain.
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