The Effectiveness of Slow-Stroke Back Massage on Hospitalization Anxiety and Physiological Parameters in School-Age Children: A Randomized Clinical Trial Study
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Abstract

Background: The outcomes of hospitalization anxiety are mental health disorders. One of the methods of anxiety reduction is massage, which can cause reduction of pain and changes in physiological parameters.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the effects of slow-stroke back massage (SSBM) on hospitalization anxiety and physiological parameters in school-age children.

Methods: This clinical trial study included 80 school-aged children from Ali Ebne Abi Taleb hospital, located in Zahedan, who were selected using sequential sampling and randomly divided into two groups: a massage group (40) and a control group (40). Data were collected using a demographic questionnaire and the state-trait anxiety inventory for children (STAIC). Subjects in the massage group received SSBM, using sesame oil, for 3 days. Massage was given three times a day, and each massage session lasted for 15 - 20 minutes. Physiological parameters and hospitalization anxiety were determined from the second to fifth days. T-test and Chi-square were used for analysis data.

Results: There was a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) between the mean of systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressures (DBP), and pulse rate (PR) in the massage group prior to intervention (97.05 ± 20.7, 60.35 ± 16.69 and 95.45 ± 13.02 respectively) and on the fifth day (88.32 ± 16.58, 55.95 ± 12.7 and 90.45 ± 15.1 respectively). However, no difference was observed in mean respiratory rate (RR) in the massage group from the second day (17.55 ± 3.6) to fifth day (17.62 ± 3.27) (P = 0.096). The mean of state of anxiety, which was 36.4 ± 5.1 before intervention, was reduced by the fifth day to 31.2 ± 5.1 in the massage group (P < 0.0001, t = 5.2).

Conclusions: The results suggest that massage reduced hospitalization anxiety, PR, and BP. Therefore, we propose that nurses can use massage to reduce anxiety in school-age children in hospital. This method has no side-effects and is easily applicable.
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1. Background

Hospitalization of children increases their levels of anxiety (1). Anxiety is defined as an unpleasant feeling accompanied by mental and physical disorders (2). Anxiety increases the levels of cortisol and norepinephrine and changes physiological parameters (3, 4). The body responds to anxiety by activating the sympathetic system, which can stimulate the hypothalamus pituitary adrenocortical axis (5). Hospitalization anxiety has adverse emotional effects and results in physical and mental health disorders; in children, it also delays growth and biological and cognitive development, as well as having adverse neuromaturodevelopmental effects (6-9).

Family-centered care and complementary treatments are used to reduce hospitalization anxiety (1, 10). Complementary or alternative treatments are natural treatments. Massage therapy is the most used and most effective type of complementary treatment (11). Massage involves the use of touch to achieve therapeutic objectives, such as pain relief, and a reduction of trait anxiety and depression (12, 13). Massage increases the levels of dopamine and serotonin hormones, leading to the relaxation of muscles; maintains blood and lymph supply; reduces fatigue; changes physiological parameters; and increases neurological develop-
ment (14-17).

Stroking massage involves a soft motion of the hand on the skin in such a way that the hand slides on the skin and does not result in deep muscle movement (18). Slow-stroke back massage (SSBM) uses this slow stroke. SSBM was first introduced within a hospital context by Elizabeth in 1996 as slow, gentle, and rhythmic hand movements on the patient’s back at a steady speed (about 60 movements per minute), with mild and gentle pressure, for 3 - 10 minutes (19, 20). SSBM has been performed for more than 1,000 years in ancient China and India (14).

Researchers have made significant findings about the effectiveness of massage therapy on anxiety and physiological parameters (13, 21-23). A study conducted by Baron and Faubert (2005) showed that anxiety decreased after massage therapy (24). Haun et al. (2009) concluded that massage therapy reduced state and trait anxiety. In that study, massage reduced the respiratory rate (RR) but was did not result in significant changes in pulse rate (PR) and blood pressure (BP) (25).

2. Objectives

Because of the unpleasant effects of hospitalization anxiety on children, this study was implemented to investigate the effectiveness of SSBM on hospitalization anxiety and physiological parameters in school-age children.

3. Methods

3.1. Study and Samples

This randomized controlled trial (RCT) study included 80 school-age children (9 - 12 years of age) who were admitted to Emam Ali hospital in Zahedan, Iran, in 2013. The Emam Ali hospital is a general hospital and dependent on Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, which comprises four special wards (NICU, PICU, CCU, and ICU) and three public wards (pediatrics, surgery, and internal) for hospitalization of adults and children. The Pediatrics wards included two internal wards with 78 beds and a hematology ward with 9 beds.

The subjects were selected by sequential sampling and were randomly divided into two groups: 1, the massage group (40 subjects); and 2, the control group (40 subjects). The researcher selected the eligible participants for the sampling. The subjects were chosen using simple random sampling with a lottery method. For the selection of subjects, the eligible participants were numbered on separate slips of paper. The numbers were then folded and mixed up in a box. The numbers of slips were selected for the desired sample size. Every day, for example, 3 participants out of 5 or 6 participants were selected using the lottery method. Sampling in both groups was done every other week. This means that one week, massage group subjects were selected, and the next week the control group subjects were selected.

Sample size was calculated according to similar studies and by calculating the 5% significance level and 5% sample attrition, 5.5 clinically significant difference, and 90% power, based on the formula (1-β = (0.90), α = (0.05), σ = (5.5), σ₂₁ = (6.78)², σ₂₂ = (8.06)² (26) (Equation 1). Total sample size was calculated as 80, so we required 40 subjects randomly selected for each group (40 massage group and 40 control group).

\[ n = \frac{(\sigma₁² + \sigma₂²) \times (Z₁² + Z₂²)}{\sigma²} \]  

Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1, subjects were of Iranian nationality; 2, aged between 9 and 12 years of age; 3, without any physical and mental disorders; 4, without any history of mental disorders, cardiac disorders, or cancer; 5, having no back inflammation, wounds, or burns; 6, having hearing ability and the ability to read and write.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1, inability to physically and mentally prepare for the massage; 2, discharge from the hospital; 3, refusal to participate in the study; 4, avoiding answering questions; 5, death; 6, having cancer, heart diseases, spina bifida, convulsions, or dermatitis.

3.2. Measurement

Data were collected through a demographic questionnaire and the state-trait anxiety inventory for children (STAIC).

The demographic questionnaire was divided into three parts: 1, demographic questionnaire for mother and child. This questionnaire included five items (age, birth order, child’s educational level, educational level of mother, and; 2, items on the features of disease, child’s hospitalization, and reasons for hospitalization; 3, recording tabulation of physiological parameters.

The STAIC is a standard inventory. It comprises two 20-item scales that measure state and trait anxiety in 9- to 12-year-old children (27). The first set of 20 items measures state anxiety and is based on how the children are feeling at a given time. Each item begins with I feel... (28). The second set of 20 items measures trait anxiety. The child gives answers to these items based on how they feel. Each item under the state-trait parameter has three options and is rated on a three-point scale, with 1 indicating almost never, 2 indicating sometimes, and 3 indicating often (29).

STAIC scores range from 20 to 60. The scores from 20 to 33 indicate mild anxiety, 34 to 46 moderate anxiety, and 47 to 60 indicate severe anxiety (28).
The validity of the STAIC was assessed by 10 faculty members of the school of nursing. Content validity indexes (CVIs) were estimated at 89 and 78 in the state-anxiety subscale and trait-anxiety subscale.

The reliability of the STAIC has been confirmed in some studies (9, 27, 30). The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the STAIC-State form was determined as 0.89 by Spielberger (1973), and it was found to be 0.88 by Aytekin et al. (2015) (30, 31). Despite the high reliability of the STAIC, in this study, Cronbach’s alpha was calculated to determine the reliability of the STAIC. Cronbach’s alpha was calculated as 0.93 for the state subscale and 0.98 for the trait subscale.

In our study, to measure hospitalization anxiety, state anxiety was used for subjects to express their feelings at the moment of completion of the inventory.

Tools for Measuring of physiological parameters were a sphygmomanometer (ALPK2 analogue, Japan) and stethoscope (Japan). For the reliability of physiological tools, calibration was used. A medical engineer compared the physiological tools with standard tools and the errors of the physiological tools were revised as a result.

3.3. Protocol for Massage Therapy

The researcher selected the eligible participants from those admitted to the pediatrics unit of Emam Ali hospital. Written and verbal mother’s consent was obtained after adequate explanations to the subjects and mothers. In the massage group, the goals of the study were explained to the mothers and subjects. They were assured that the information would remain confidential. Mothers did not attend during treatment sessions. Massage was not implemented during nursing and medical interventions, such as medication, visiting time, and medical examinations. The environmental temperature was between 24 and 28°C.

On the second day of hospitalization (1 hour before the first massage therapy [at 9:00 am]), the STAIC and demographic questionnaire were used to determine hospitalization anxiety and physiological parameters (systolic blood pressure [SBP], diastolic blood pressure [DBP], RR, and PR) for both groups. BP (mmHg) was measured from the left arm and hand after resting and lying on back. RR was measured by the researcher for one minute for both groups, and for measurement of PR (beat per minute), the researcher and recorded the physiological parameters.

The researcher carried out the massages alone, in a quiet place.

This intervention was performed three times daily (at 10:00 am, 3:00 pm, and 7:00 pm) for three days (from the second to fifth day of hospitalization). Each massage session lasted for 15 - 20 minutes. Physiological parameters and hospitalization anxiety were measured at 8:00 pm every day from the second to fourth days after hospitalization. The STAIC was completed by the subjects. The physiological parameters were measured again on the fifth day after hospitalization at 9:00 am (after intervention) (Figure 1).

In the control group, children were only controlled with routine care, without massage at the mentioned times. The physiological parameters and hospitalization anxiety were also assessed for this group.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

This clinical trial study was approved by the committee of ethics at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Registry code in the Iranian clinical trial registry center was IRCT201205199798N1.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by SPSS v.18 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and by using Chi-square, independent, and paired t-test samples. Chi-square was used to control qualitative demographic variables. The t-test was performed to compare the means of anxiety and physiological parameters before and after intervention in each group and between the two groups. We wanted to compare the means of anxiety and physiological parameters daily, not only on the second day and fifth day, so a repeated measure for analysis of data was not used. A K-S test was used to check normal distribution. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviations (SD). Significant P values were considered less than 0.05.
4. Results

4.1. Demographic Characteristics

Findings showed that the mean age of the children in the massage group was (11.01 ± 1.07), and of the control group it was (10.79 ± 1.30). Table 1 shows the main characteristics studied in both groups. The main demographic characteristics were not significant differences between the two groups (P > 0.05).

4.2. Physiological Parameters

Table 2 shows that the means of SBP and DBP on the second day (before intervention) in the massage group were 97.05 ± 20.7 and 60.35 ± 16.69, respectively, which had changed to 88.32 ± 16.58 and 55.95 ± 12.7, respectively, on the fifth day (P < 0.0001, t = 6.1 and P = 0.002, t = 3.7 respectively). The results of the paired t-test revealed no significant difference in changes of SBP and DBP in the control group before care by the fifth day (P = 0.131, t = -1.76). The results of an independent t-test showed that the means of SBP and DBP reduced significantly from before intervention to the fifth day between the two groups (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.002, respectively). The mean of PR before intervention in the massage group was 95.45 ± 13.02, which had changed significantly to 90.45 ± 15.1 by the fifth day (P = 0.001, t = 3.47). However, the mean of PR in the control group did not change significantly from before care to the fifth day (P = 0.353, t = -1.76). The results of the independent t-test showed that the mean of PR was significantly different from before intervention to the fifth day between the two groups (P = 0.003, Table 3). No significant difference was observed between the mean of RR between the groups from before intervention to the fifth day (P = 0.096).

4.3. State Anxiety

The results in Table 4 show that the mean of state anxiety, which was 36.4 ± 5.1 before intervention, was reduced by the fifth day to 31.2 ± 5.1 in the massage group (P <
Table 1. The Main Characteristics Studied in Both Groups

| Variables                     | Massage Group (N = 40) | Control Group (N = 40) | P-Value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (Mean ± SD)               | 11.01 ± 1.07           | 10.79 ± 1.30           | 0.412   |
| Birth orders                  |                        |                        | 0.080   |
| 1 - 2                         | 16 (40)                | 23 (57.5)              |         |
| 3 - 4                         | 24 (60)                | 17 (42.5)              |         |
| Educational level of child    |                        |                        | 0.093   |
| Primary school                | 28 (70)                | 20 (50)                |         |
| Secondary school              | 12 (30)                | 20 (50)                |         |
| Reasons for hospitalization   |                        |                        | 0.965   |
| Hematological disorders       | 13 (32.5)              | 12 (30)                |         |
| Gastric disorders             | 12 (30)                | 11 (27.5)              |         |
| Respiratory disorders         | 4 (10)                 | 5 (12.5)               |         |
| Neurological disorders        | 4 (10)                 | 4 (10)                 |         |
| Other                         | 7 (17.5)               | 8 (20)                 |         |
| History of separation from parents |                      |                        | 0.491   |
| Yes                           | 6 (15)                 | 4 (10)                 |         |
| No                            | 34 (85)                | 36 (90)                |         |
| Educational level of mother   |                        |                        | 0.252   |
| Primary school                | 25 (62.5)              | 29 (72.5)              |         |
| Secondary school              | 3 (7.5)                | 4 (10)                 |         |
| High school                   | 3 (7.5)                | 4 (10)                 |         |
| University                    | 9 (22.5)               | 3 (7.5)                |         |

*Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated.
\(^{\text{b}}\) t-test.
\(^{\text{c}}\) X^2 test.

The mean of state anxiety before care in the control group was 34.3 ± 4.4 and by the fifth day was 33.9 ± 4.2, showing that the mean of state anxiety in the control group was not significantly different from before care to the fifth day (P = 0.267, t = 1.13). The changes in state anxiety were significantly different between the two groups from before intervention to the fifth day (P < 0.0001).

The change in mean of state anxiety was not significantly different between the two groups from the second (after intervention) to third days (P = 0.241; Table 4).

The means of state anxiety on the second day (after intervention) in the massage and control groups were 33.5 ± 4.2 and 33.48 ± 4.3, respectively, and on the fourth day reached 34.5 ± 4.49 and 33.08 ± 4.8, respectively (P = 0.071, t = -1.8 and P = 0.420, t = 0.8, respectively). Although the results of the paired t-test revealed no significant differences in changes of state anxiety in the massage group from the second to the fourth days, the independent t-test showed that the mean of state anxiety reduced significantly between the two groups from the second to fourth days (P < 0.05).

The paired t-test showed that the average of state anxiety reduced significantly from the third to fourth days in the massage group (P = 0.023, t = 2.3). No significant difference was observed between the mean of state anxiety from the third to the fourth days in the control group (P = 0.251, t = -1.15). The independent t-test showed that the mean of state anxiety reduced significantly between the two groups from the third to the fourth days (P = 0.017).

5. Discussion

This study evaluated the effects of SSBM on anxiety hospitalization and physiological parameters in school-age children. Massage therapy is one of the oldest forms of treatment known as a kind of complementary therapy (13). The effects of massage refer to the influence of automatic nervous system stimulation from different areas of
the body. Gentle massage stimulates a parasympathetic response, while the low pressure of massage may stimulate a sympathetic system response (33). There is contact between the body of the patient and the researcher’s hands during massage therapy. Physical contact can lead to reductions in anxiety, BP, and PR (13, 34). Peng et al. (2015) have written that massage reduces nervous emotions and provides a great balance between parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous system activities (35). Massage can increase the pressure in tissues, so, the gradient of pressure will increase between tissues and vessels. It can lead to facilitating the movement of liquids between tissues and vessels. This movement regulates BP (36).

The results indicated that SSBM reduced PR, SBP, DBP, and state anxiety during the three-day period. However, changes in RR during this period were not significantly different between the two groups.

Researchers have made significant findings about the effectiveness of massage therapy on anxiety and physiological parameters (13, 21, 23). Haun et al. (2009) concluded that massage therapy effected significant changes in RR, while PR, SBP, and DBP did not show any significant difference. One of the reasons for non-effectiveness of massage in Haun et al.’s study was the small sample of patients. Nonetheless, in their study, massage reduced state and trait anxiety (25).

Ejindu (2007) examined the effects of foot and facial massages on sleep induction and physiological parameters. Results of his study indicated that, as an affective intervention, massage therapy can reduce SBP and DBP, which was consistent with the results of the present study (37). The main differences between the studies are that we conducted SSBM on school-age children, whereas Ejindu’s study conducted foot and facial massages on adults.

In a study by Albert et al. (2009), the results showed a significant difference in the means of SBP and DBP for the massage and control groups on the second and third days, although this difference was not observed on the fourth and fifth days. In that study, fewer than 10% of the participants had used massage before surgery, thus, anxiety and physiological parameters were higher during massage therapy, and so these variables were not reduced on the fourth and fifth days. Furthermore, deep pressure massaging caused tension in various parts of the body (26). Albert et al. (2009) wrote that patients lying in a lateral position are less relaxed than when lying in a prone position (for the

### Table 2. Changes of Means in SBP and DBP From the Second to Fifth Days in the Two Groups

| Physiological Parameters | Massage Group (N = 40) | Control Group (N = 40) | P (t-Test) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| **SBP**                  |                        |                        |            |
| Second day (before intervention) | 97.05 ± 20.7          | 94.52 ± 17.85         | *<0.0001* <sup>4</sup> |
| Second day (after intervention) | 93.32 ± 15/6          | 88.5 ± 21.3           |            |
| Third day                | 97.32 ± 17.5          | 95.8 ± 14.94          |            |
| Fourth day               | 93.35 ± 18.76         | 90.05 ± 17.18         |            |
| Fifth day                | 88.32 ± 16.58         | 95.48 ± 14.26         |            |
| Mean differences of the second to fifth days | 8.38 ± 8.72          | 11.97 ± 3.9           |            |
| P (Paired t-test)        | P = 0.075, t = -2.08, Df = 39 | P = 0.131 , t = -1.76, Df = 39 |            |
| **DBP**                  |                        |                        | *0.002* <sup>4</sup> |
| Second day (before intervention) | 60.35 ± 16.69         | 56.55 ± 11.7          |            |
| Second day (after intervention) | 58.98 ± 13.85         | 53.32 ± 11.49         |            |
| Third day                | 58.38 ± 9.5           | 56.12 ± 9.7           |            |
| Fourth day               | 57.38 ± 11.38         | 56.98 ± 12.01         |            |
| Fifth day                | 55.95 ± 12.7          | 56.62 ± 10.31         |            |
| Mean differences of second to fifth days | 7.45 ± 4.40          | 11.03 ± 3.07          |            |
| P (Paired t-test)        | P = 0.002*, t = 3.7, Df = 39 | P = 0.38 , t = -1.76, Df = 39 |            |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; Df, degrees of freedom; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
<sup>b</sup>*P < 0.05.
<sup>c</sup>Independent sample t-test.
<sup>d</sup>Comparison between the two groups from before intervention to fifth day.
Table 3. Changes of Mean in PR and RR from the Second to Fifth Days in the Two Groups\textsuperscript{a, b}

| Physiological Parameters | Massage Group N = 40 | Control Group N = 40 | P (t-Test)  |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| PR                       |                      |                      |             |
| Second day (before intervention) | 13.02 ± 95.45   | 91.82 ± 12.9       | \(*0.003^{d}\) |
| Second day (after intervention) | 17.12 ± 94.1     | 92.3 ± 10.26       |             |
| Third day                | 12.2 ± 94.92       | 93.6 ± 10.6        |             |
| Fourth day               | 92.62 ± 13.3       | 90.02 ± 12.28      |             |
| Fifth day                | 90.45 ± 15.1       | 95.8 ± 10.5        |             |
| Mean differences of second to fifth days | 9.02 ± 5        | 14.21 ± 3.97      |             |
| P (Paired t-test)        | P = 0.001\textsuperscript{a}, t = 3.47, Df = 39 | P = 0.353, t = -4.76, Df = 39 |             |
| RR                       |                      |                      | 0.096\textsuperscript{d} |
| Second day (before intervention) | 17.55 ± 3.6     | 19.48 ± 5.43       |             |
| Second day (after intervention) | 18.08 ± 3.05    | 19.18 ± 5.31       |             |
| Third day                | 18.28 ± 3.17       | 18.7 ± 4.03        |             |
| Fourth day               | 19.20 ± 3.8        | 19.65 ± 4.81       |             |
| Fifth day                | 17.62 ± 3.27       | 18.5 ± 3.03        |             |
| Mean differences of second to fifth days | 1.74 ± 0.07    | 3.49 ± 0.97        |             |
| P (Paired t-test)        | P = 0.783, t = -0.27, Df = 39 | P = 0.081, t = 1.26, Df = 39 |             |

Abbreviations: PR, pulse rate; RR, respiratory rate; Df, degrees of freedom.
\textsuperscript{a}Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
\textsuperscript{b}\(*P < 0.05\).
\textsuperscript{c}Independent sample t-test.
\textsuperscript{d}Comparison between the two groups from before intervention to fifth day.

back massage). Inability to complete relaxation could have prevented decreased physiological parameters.

Dreyer et al. (2015) examined the effects of Swedish massage on pain, anxiety, relaxation, and tension for 3 days after colorectal surgery. The results indicated that Swedish massage reduced anxiety and physiological parameters significantly in patients. In that study, the high sample size and performance of massage by a massage therapist with special training and experience working in the hospital could be the cause of the positive effects of massage on psychological characteristics and physiological parameters (12).

Results of the study by Baron and Faubert (2005) showed that anxiety decreased after intervention (24). The results of the present study about anxiety are consistent with those of the study by Haun et al. (2009) (25) and Dreyer et al. (2015) (12) but are different from the report by Albert et al. (2009) (26). Albert et al. concluded that massage therapy was ineffective in reducing anxiety significantly (26).

The different effects of massage therapy in the present study can be attributed to the massage performed by the researcher. However, the results may be different if the same massage is performed by mothers. It is possible that massage for more than three days can have different effects than those observed in this study. We recommend further research to explore whether performance of SSBM by mothers might reveal positive effects on anxiety and physiological parameters in children admitted to a hospital for treatment.

5.1. Strengths and Limitations of Study

The present study had several important points. It was the first study to measure hospitalization anxiety in Iran. In previous studies, the effects of stroking and Swedish massages on anxiety and physiological parameters were examined, while in present study, the effects of SSBM were examined on these variables. These results strongly suggest that Sims be carried out on children to reduce their anxiety during hospitalization and to reduce their BP and PR during hospitalization, because children are less able to cope with hospitalization anxiety, which includes absence of parents, especially mothers, and application of invasive procedures, such as intravenous (IV) therapy and tracheotomy. No side-effects were observed in subjects. Subjects reported that their massage made them feel relaxed.
Table 4. Changes of the Mean in State Anxiety From the Second to Fifth Days in the Two Groups$^{a,b}$

|                     | Massage Group | Control Group | P (t-Test)$^d$ |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Second day (before intervention) | 36.4 ± 5.1    | 34.3 ± 4.4    | $^*$< 0.0001$^e$ |
| Fifth day           | 31.2 ± 5.1    | 33.9 ± 4.2    |               |
| Mean differences of second to fifth days | -5.2 ± 6.2    | -0.43 ± 2.5   | 0.267, t=1.13, Df=39$^e$ |
| P (Paired t-test)   | P<0.0001$^*$, t=5.2, Df=39$^e$ | P=0.267, t=1.13, Df=39$^e$ |
| Second day (after intervention) | 33.5 ± 4.2    | 33.48 ± 4.3   | 0.241         |
| Third day           | 33 ± 4.7      | 33.5 ± 3.7    |               |
| Mean differences of second to third days  | -0.52 ± 2.03  | 0.02 ± 2.1    |               |
| P (Paired t-test)   | P=0.113, t=1.6, Df=39$^e$ | P=0.941, t=0.73, Df=39$^e$ |
| Second day (after intervention) | 33.5 ± 4.2    | 33.48 ± 4.3   |               |
| Fourth day          | 34.5 ± 4.49   | 33.08 ± 4.8   | $^*$0.051     |
| Mean differences of second to fourth days | 1.02 ± 3.5    | -0.4 ± 2.9    |               |
| P (Paired t-test)   | P=0.071, t=1.8, Df=39$^e$ | P=0.420, t=0.8, Df=39$^e$ |
| Third day           | 33 ± 4.7      | 33.5 ± 3.7    |               |
| Fourth day          | 34.5 ± 4.49   | 33.08 ± 4.8   | $^*$0.017     |
| Mean differences of third to fourth days  | 1.55 ± 4.39   | -0.42 ± 2.3   |               |
| P (Paired t-test)   | P=0.023$^*$, t=2.3, Df=39$^e$ | P=0.251, t=1.15, Df=39$^e$ |

$^a$ Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
$^b$ $^*$P < 0.05.
$^c$ Independent sample t-test.
$^d$ Comparison between the two groups from before intervention to fifth day.
$^e$ Degrees of freedom.

Given the effects of SSBM on hospitalization anxiety and physiological parameters, healthcare professionals and nurses can use massage therapy to reduce hospitalization anxiety in children. Nurses can teach massage therapy to the mothers of children, and nursing supervisors can recommend massage therapy in pediatrics workshops for nurses. It was observed that this method had no side-effects and is easily applicable.

More research with larger samples and longer follow-up periods are needed for validation of these results. In addition, massage therapy programs can be conducted after open heart surgery in children to reduce anxiety and BP after surgery.

The limitations of this study include the inability to control potential intervention variables that were beyond the researcher’s control, such as the emotional, physical, cultural, and social conditions of the included children, and the delay in implementation of massage due to subjects sleeping or meeting with family at the intended time of massage implementation.

5.2. Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that the SSBM was effective in reducing state anxiety in school-age children in the three-day period. This reduction is probably due to a reduction of stress hormones (epinephrine) and the release of beta-endorphins stimulated by massage (38). However, SSBM was ineffective in reducing state anxiety in the second to third days because the patients in the massage group had not yet received sufficient intervention.

The results also showed that SSBM significantly reduced SBF, DBP, and PR during the three-day period. However, SSBM was ineffective in reducing RR during the three-day period. Physiological indicators, including PR and BP, changed over the 30 minutes after the massage, but the effects of massage on RR were unclear (22).

Acknowledgments

This article is extracted from a master’s degree thesis in pediatric nursing. The authors are grateful to all the mothers and children for warmly collaborating and for their support and participation in this study.

Footnotes

Authors’ Contribution: Alia Jalalodini and Manijeh Nourian conceived the study and contributed in the design...
of the study and the drafting of the manuscript; Alia Jalalodini conducted the protocol; Ki Parasch Saatchi advised on the method of massage therapy, and Amir Kavousi advised on data analysis; Mahnaz Ghalje revised and approved the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure: There is no conflict of interest with any financial organization in this study.

Funding/Support: The present article, written by Alia Jalalodini and Dr Manijeh Nourian, was financially supported by the Research Deputy of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

References

1. Wilson D, Wong DL, Hockenberry MJ. Wong’s nursing care of infants and children. Elsevier; 2011.
2. Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, Sussman N. Kaplan and Sadock’s pocket handbook of psychiatric drug treatment. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
3. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Hefner KL, Glaser R, Malarkey WB, Atkinson C, et al. How stress and anxiety can alter immediate and late phase skin test responses in allergic rhinitis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2009;34(5):670-80. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.11.010. [PubMed: 19150180].
4. Boyd MA. Psychiatric nursing: Contemporary practice. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
5. Bennett S, Bennett M, Chatchawan U, Jenjaiwit P, Pantumethakul R, Kunhasura S, et al. Acute effects of traditional Thai massage on cortisol levels, arterial blood pressure and stress perception in academic stress condition: A single blind randomised controlled trial. J of body and mov ther. 2016;20(2):286-92.
6. Bsiiri-Moghaddam K, Basiri-Moghaddam M, Sadeghmoghaddam L, Ahmadi F. The concept of hospitalization of children from the view point of parents and children. Iran Pediatr. 2011;21(2):201-8. [PubMed: 23056788].
7. Jessica A, Babb Kristina M, Delgianndis Christopher A, Murgatroyd Benjamin C, Nephew BC. Preparation depression and anxiety as an integrative cross domain target for psychiatric preventative measure. Behav Brain Res. 2015;32-44.
8. Roberts CM, Kane R, Bishop B, Cross D, Fenton J, Hart B. The prevention of anxiety and depression in children from disadvantaged schools. Behav Res Ther. 2010;48(1):68-73. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2009.09.002. [PubMed: 19786274].
9. Li HC, Lopez V. Development and validation of a short form of the Chinese version of the State Anxiety Scale for Children. Int J Nurs Stud. 2007;44(4):566-73. doi: 10.1016/j.jnurstu.2005.12.004. [PubMed: 16444452].
10. Tisiti T, Raftopoulos V, Papastavrou E, Charalambous A. Complementary and alternative medical interventions for the management of anxiety in parents of children who are hospitalized and suffer from a malignancy: a systematic review of RCTs. Europ J of Integ Med. 2014;6(1):112-24.
11. Field T. Massage therapy research review. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2014;20(4):224-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2014.07.002. [PubMed: 2512361].
12. Dreyer NE, Cutchall SM, Huebner M, Foss DM, Lovely JK, Bauer BA, et al. Effect of massage therapy on pain, anxiety, relaxation, and tension after colorectal surgery: A randomized study. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2015;21(2):154-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2015.06.004. [PubMed: 26256133].
13. Zakhos SM, Ariae E, Atia A, Rashidlamir A, Saadatyar A. The effect of massage therapy on depression, anxiety and stress in adolescent wrestlers. Inter J of Sport Stud. 2015;3(3):321-7.
14. Ko YL, Lee HJ. Randomised controlled trial of the effectiveness of using back massage to improve sleep quality among Taiwanese insomnia postpartum women. Midwifery. 2014;30(1):60-4. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2012.11.005. [PubMed: 23400501].
15. Field T, Hernandez-Reif M, Diego M, Fraser M. Lower back pain and sleep disturbance are reduced following massage therapy. J of Body Mov Ther. 2007;11(2):234-5.
16. Karagozoglu S, Kahve E. Effects of back massage on chemotherapy-related fatigue and anxiety: supportive care and therapeutic touch in cancer nursing. Appl Nurs Res. 2013;26(4):210-7. doi: 10.1016/j.aplnur.2013.07.002. [PubMed: 24055144].
17. Procanoy RS, Mendes EW, Silveira RC. Massage therapy improves neurodevelopment outcome at two years corrected age for very low birth weight infants. Early Hum Dev. 2010;86(1):7-11. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumde.2009.12.001. [PubMed: 20022270].
18. Nahavandy Nejad S. Scientific methods in massage therapy education. 1 ed. Esfahan University of Medical Sciences; 2007, pp. 3004-8.
19. Atashi V, Siboni S, Khatoni M, Alimoradi Z, Mojdehi Panah H. The effect of SSBM massage on anxiety and fatigue of patients with multiple sclerosis. J Appl Environ Biol Sci. 2014;4(8):217-23.
20. Hasankhani H, Ghaderi F, Lakdizaji S, Nahamin M. The effect of the Slow-Stroke back massage on fatigue of diazyed patients. Inter Res J of Appl and Biol Sci. 2013;4(10):3004-8.
21. Lenetra LJ, In: Exploring effects of therapeutic massage and patient teaching in the practice of diaphragmatic breathing on blood pressure, stress and anxiety in hypertensive African women: An intervention study. Southern university and agricultural and mechanical college. editor. Baton Rouge; 2009.
22. Sui-Whi J, Effects of a full-body massage on pain intensity, anxiety, and physiologic relaxation in Taiwanese patients with metastatic bone pain: A pilot study. University of Washington; 2005.
23. O’Flaherty LA, van Dijk M, Albertyn R, Millar A, Rode H. Aromatherapy massage seems to enhance relaxation in children with burns: an observational pilot study. Burns. 2012;38(5):340-5. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2012.01.007. [PubMed: 22935182].
24. Baron LJ, Faubert C. The role of Tai Chi Chuan in reducing state anxiety and enhancing mood of children with special needs. J of Body and Mov Ther. 2005;9(2):120-33.
25. Haun JN, Graham-Pole J, Shortley B. Children with cancer and blood diseases experience positive physical and psychological effects from massage therapy. Int J Ther Massage Bodywork. 2009;2(5):7-14.
26. Albert NM, Gillinov AM, Lytle BW, Feng J, Covenar Y, Blackstone EH. A randomized trial of massage therapy after heart surgery. Heart Lung. 2009;38(6):480-90. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2009.03.001. [PubMed: 19944472].
27. Mosavi R, Mosavi S, Mahmoudi Gharyaei J, Akbari S. Tools to assess and diagnose anxiety disorders in children and adolescents. Artesh. Esalam Republ Iran Med Sci Uni. 2009;6(2):547-54.
28. Ng E, Lee K. Effects of trait test anxiety and state anxiety on children’s working memory task performance. Learn and Indi Diff. 2015;40:141-8.
29. Aschenbrand SG, Kendall PC. The effect of perceived child anxiety status on parental latency to intervene with anxious and nonanxious youth. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2012;80(2):232-8. doi: 10.1037/a0027230. [PubMed: 22309473].
30. Aytekin A, Doru O, Kucukoglu S. The effects of distraction on preoper-ative anxiety level in children. J of Peri Anes Nurs. 2018;38(1):56-62.
31. Spielberger CD. Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory for children. Palo alto; 1973.
32. Kivlan BR. The effect of asystem treatment on muscle performance. Ran-gos school of health sciences; 2014.
33. Babajani S, Babatbar H, Ebadi A, Mahmoudi H, Nasiri E. The effect of foot reflexology massage on the level of pain during chest tube remov-al after open heart surgery. Iran J Crit Care Nurs. 2014;7(1):35-22.
34. Billhult A, Maatta S. Light pressure massage for patients with severe anxiety. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2009;15(2):96-101. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2008.10.003. [PubMed: 19349888].

35. Peng S, Ying B, Chen Y, Sun X. Effects of massage on the anxiety of patients receiving percutaneous coronary intervention. Psychiatr Danub. 2015;27(1):44-9. [PubMed: 25754447].

36. Adib-Hajbaghery M, Abasi A, Rajabi-Beheshtabad R, Azizi-Fini I. The effects of massage therapy by the patient’s relative on vital signs of males admitted in critical care unit. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2012;1(1):16-21.

37. Ejindu A. The effects of foot and facial massage on sleep induction, blood pressure, pulse and respiratory rate: crossover pilot study. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2007;13(4):266-75. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2007.03.008. [PubMed: 17950182].

38. Taavoni S, Darsareh F, Joolae S, Haghani H. The effect of aromatherapy massage on the psychological symptoms of post-menopausal Iranian women. Complement Ther Med. 2013;21(3):158–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2013.03.007. [PubMed: 23642946].