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Abstract

We investigate via Monte Carlo simulations the kinetically constrained Kob-Andersen lattice glass model showing that, contrary to current expectations, the relaxation process and the dynamical heterogeneities seem to be characterized by different time scales. Indeed, we found that the relaxation time is related to a reverse percolation transition, whereas the time of maximum heterogeneity is related to the spatial correlation between particles. This investigation leads to a geometrical interpretation of the relaxation processes and of the different observed time scales.

1 Introduction

The main challenge in the field of supercooled liquids is the understanding of the rapid increase of relaxation time and viscosity as temperature decreases [1]. Experimental evidences and theoretical models predict the simultaneous emergence of Dynamical Heterogeneities (DHs) [2, 3], which play the role of critical fluctuation in ordinary critical phenomena. Indeed, these studies depict DHs as dynamically correlated clusters: by lowering the temperature, the size of such clusters grows and their cooperative rearrangement becomes more complicated. This results in a rapid increase of typical cluster life-time, which is in turn related to the structural relaxation time, at a macroscopic level. Being defined as the volume integral of a four-point correlation function, \( g_4(r,t) \), the dynamical susceptibility \( \chi_4(t) \), estimates the volume of these clusters. Thus, \( \chi_4 \) is expected to grow as some power of the dynamical correlation length, \( \xi_4 \), derived by \( g_4(r,t) \); in particular \( \chi_4(t) \propto \xi_4(t)^d \) for compact clusters in \( d \) dimensions, whereas a smaller exponent is expected if clusters have a fractal structure. The time \( t^* \), where \( \chi_4(t) \) reaches its maximum, \( \chi_4^* \), is also the time where correlations start to decrease, and thus it is interpreted as an estimate of the typical cluster life-time. Then, if DHs and relaxation are strictly related, \( t^* \propto \tau \) is expected. However, there exist systems where \( \chi_4^* \) is found to decrease on approaching the transition [5, 6, 4], as well as early studies suggesting that the time of maximal correlation between particles displacements does not scale with the relaxation time \( \tau \) [7]. Accordingly, the relation between the dynamical susceptibility and
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the relaxation process remains elusive. Moreover, in order to unveil the precise relation between $\chi_4$ and $\xi_4$ one needs to know the explicit form of $g_4(r,t)$, which is not an easy experimental task.

Here we address this problem via a numerical study of the Kob-Anderson kinetically constrained lattice gas model [8] (KA-model), where it is possible to obtain very accurate data for the dynamical correlation length. After shortly reviewing the numerical model (Sec. 2), we illustrate the relaxation process (Sec. 3) and the DHs (Sec. 4). In Sec. 5 we show that the emergence of DHs is well described by the diffusing defect picture, which correctly predicts the exponents characterizing DHs. In Sec. 6 we explain the relaxation process in terms of "pacman" percolation, a model originally introduced to explain degradation of a gel due to the action of enzymes [9]. This picture furnishes a geometrical interpretation of the relaxation process and of the different observed timescales. This paper is based on the elaboration of results previously published [10, 11, 12].

2 Model and simulation details

The KA-model [8] is a kinetically constrained model [13], which consists in a cubic lattice of volume $V = L^3$ containing $N$ particles. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed along the $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions. The global density $\rho = N/V$ is the only control parameter and it plays the role of an inverse temperature. No interactions between particles are present apart from an hard-core repulsion, which prevents more than one particle to occupy the same lattice site: all microscopic configurations where the particles occupy $N$ among $V$ available sites are allowed, isoenergetic and equiprobable. Thus, the model is characterized by a trivial energy landscape, where no thermodynamic transition is available and time translational invariance holds. The system evolves following dynamical rules based on kinetic constraints: a continuous time stochastic process allows a particle to move in a near empty site if has less than $m = 4$ neighbors, and if it will also have less than $m = 4$ neighbors after the move. Previous studies have shown that this model reproduces many aspects of glass forming systems: the dynamics slows down on increasing the density, suggesting the existence of a transition of structural arrest at $\rho_{ka} = 0.881$ [8, 14, 15, 16]. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that in the thermodynamic limit the transition of dynamical arrest only occurs at $\rho = 1$ [17].

Our simulations of the KA-model span a set of density values ranging over up $\rho = 0.87$. We have investigated a wide range of system sizes from $L = 8$ up to $L = 50$. Data reported in the following concern a system size $L = 30$, where we have performed the largest statistics: for each density values, the results are averaged on at least $10^2$ over up $10^4$ runs. The runs at higher density values last up $10^8$ Monte Carlo sweeps.

3 Structural Relaxation

Here we investigate the relaxation process focusing on the time evolution of the density of persistent particles $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{V} n_i(t)$, where $n_i(t)$ is known as 'persistence': $n_i(t) = 1(0)$ if site $i$ is (is not) persistently occupied by a particle
in the time interval $[0, t]$ [13]. $p(t)$ is related to the high wave vector limit of the intermediate self scattering function [19] and describes the relaxation of the system in a very direct way: initially $p(0) = \rho$, but as time proceeds, particles eventually move from their original positions and $p(t)$ decreases. This process is represented in Fig. 1 which shows the persistent particles in the system at different times of the same run.

Accordingly, the normalized average value of such a correlation function, $\langle p(t) \rangle / \rho$, represents the dynamic order parameter for relaxation. Fig. 2 shows that, in a large time window and for $\rho \leq 0.85$, $p(t)$ is well described by the von Schweidler law, $\langle p(t) \rangle / \rho = f_0 - (t/\tau)^b$, with $f_0 = 1$ and $b \simeq 0.3$. At short times, the dynamical susceptibility grows as $t^p$, with $p \simeq 0.61$. Adapted from Ref. [11].
Schweidler law:

$$\langle p(t) \rangle \rho = f_0 - (t/\tau)^b,$$

with $b \simeq 0.3$ and $f_0 \simeq 1$, whereas for larger time a stretched exponential fit works better. Being the relaxation time $\tau$ defined as $\langle p(\tau) \rangle \rho = 1/e$, Fig. 4b shows $\tau$ to diverge approaching the transition of structural arrest, $\tau(\rho) \propto (\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-\lambda_\tau}$, with $\lambda_\tau \simeq 4.7$, consistent with the result of Ref. [14].

### 4 Dynamical Heterogeneities

Fig. 1 show that as less and less persistent particles survive, spatial correlations between them emerge. These correlations are quantified by the dynamical susceptibility $\chi_4(t)$, related to the fluctuations of $p$,

$$\chi_4(t) = \frac{V}{\rho} \left( (\langle p(t)^2 \rangle - \langle p(t) \rangle^2) \right),$$

and to the volume integral of the spatial correlation function between persistent particles at time $t$,

$$\chi_4(t) = \frac{1}{\rho V} \sum_{i,j} V g_4(r,t)$$

where

$$g_4(r,t) = \langle n_i(t)n_j(t) \rangle - \langle n_i(t) \rangle \langle n_j(t) \rangle, \quad r = |i - j|.$$  

The spatial decay of $g_4(r,t)$ defines the dynamical correlation length $\xi_4(t)$. In the following we describe the behaviour of $g_4(r,t)$, $\xi_4(t)$ and $\chi_4(t)$.

#### 4.1 Four-point correlation function

In Fig. 3a we show $g_4(r,t)$ at different times as a function of $r$. It clarifies that the spatial extension of the correlations grows until an intermediate time and then decreases at larger time. Its value at $r = 0$, $g_4(0,t) = \langle p(t) \rangle (1 - \langle p(t) \rangle)$, is determined by Eq. 4.

The behaviour of $g_4(r,t)$ is consistent with a scaling form such as:

$$g_4(r,t) = A(t)^{r/\xi_4(t)} e^{-r^2/2+\eta},$$

where $\xi_4(t)$ is the dynamical correlation length (whose behaviour will be discussed in the next session) and $A(t)$ is the amplitude.

Fig. 3b shows $g_4(r,t)$ normalized by its value at $r = 0$ as a function of $r$, for different densities and at intermediate time (roughly of the order of $t^*_\chi$). As expected, $g_4$ becomes increasingly long ranged when the transition of structural arrest is approached.
4.2 Dynamical correlation length

From the data relative to $g_4(r,t)$, we have extracted the correlation length $\xi_4(t)$ via an exponential fit of the initial decay. The behaviour of $\xi_4(t)$ is illustrated in Fig. 4a for different values of the density, and is well described by

$$\xi_4(t) \propto t^a \exp \left(-at/t_\star^\xi\right). \quad (6)$$

Accordingly, at short times $\xi(t)$ grows as $t^a$ with $a \simeq 0.156$, and then it decreases after reaching its maximum value $\xi_\star^4$ at time $t_\star^\xi$. We find that the time diverges as $t_\star^\xi \propto (\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-\lambda_\tau}$, with $\lambda_\tau^\xi = 3.8 \pm 0.1$.

From this result and from Eq. (6) it follows that the maximum dynamic correlation length diverges as $\xi_\star^4 \propto t_\star^\xi \propto (\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-\nu}$, with $\nu = a\lambda_\tau^\xi \simeq 0.54$, in very good agreement with data (Fig. 5). Note here a very important result: contrary to what is expected, we find that $\tau$ and $t_\star^\xi$ are not proportional but seems to diverge with different exponents, $\lambda_\tau > \lambda_\tau^\xi$, approaching the transition of structural arrest. The presence of a growing correlation length suggests that the system is approaching a critical point as the density increases. This scenario is conveniently described interpreting $\mu = -\log(t)$ as a chemical potential for the persistent particles, considering that the density of persistent particles monotonically decreases as time advances. The line where the correlation length reaches its maximum value in the $\mu$-$\rho$ plane can therefore be interpreted as a Widom line, which in a second order transition ends at the critical point. The results of Fig. 6 suggest the presence of a critical point located at $\rho = \rho_{ka}$ and $\mu = -\infty$, where the correlation length diverges. Actually, the Widom line will possibly bend, ending at $\rho = 1$ where the transition is known to occur in the thermodynamic limit. Such an approach may open the way to a renormalization group treatment of the glass transition.
Figure 4: Panel a: dynamical correlation length for different values of the density. Panel b: divergence of the relaxation time $\tau$, of the time where the correlation length acquires its maximum value $t_{\xi}^*$, and of the time where the dynamical susceptibility acquires its maximum value, $t_{\chi}^*$. At low density, $t_{\chi}^* \propto \tau$, while at high density $t_{\chi}^* \propto t_{\xi}^*$. Errors on $t_{\xi}^*$ and $t_{\chi}^*$ are of the order of 5%. From Ref. [11].

Figure 5: Dynamical correlation length at $t = t^*$, and prediction of the diffusing defect picture, $\xi^* \propto t^{*a} \propto \tau^q$, $q = a\lambda_\tau/\lambda_{t^*}$. The full line is a $(\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-\nu}$, $\nu \simeq 0.54$ (we fix $\rho_{ka} = 0.881$ as estimated from the divergence of the relaxation time). From Ref. [11].

4.3 Dynamical Susceptibility

The emergence of an increasingly heterogeneous dynamics is clearly signaled by the dynamical susceptibility $\chi^4(t)$, shown in Fig. 7 for different values of the density. Qualitatively the behavior of $\chi^4(t)$ appears similar to the one observed for $\xi^4(t)$, initially growing as $\chi^4(t) \propto t^p$, with $p \simeq 0.6$ and then decreasing after reaching its maximum value $\chi^4_{\ast}$ at a time $t_{\chi}^*$. The decoupling between $t_{\chi}^*$ and $\tau$ strongly influences $\chi^4(\rho)$ and the time $t_{\chi}^*(\rho)$, leading to a complex behavior.
In facts, inspired by Eq. 3 and Eq. 5 we find that $\chi_4(t)$ is well approximated by

$$\chi_4(t) \propto A(t) \xi(t)^{2-v} = \left[\langle p(t) \rangle (1 - \langle p(t) \rangle)\right] \xi(t)^{2-v},$$

where we have estimated $A(t) = g_4(0, t)$ and $\eta \simeq 0$ consistent with Ref. \[21\]. Accordingly, the behaviour of the susceptibility is essentially given by the product of two competitive factors, the amplitude and the correlation length. Indeed, at low densities $t^*_\xi \gg \tau$, the amplitude dominates and Eq. \[7\] predicts $t^*_\chi \propto \tau$. By contrast, at high density $t^*_\xi \ll \tau$, and the maximum of the susceptibility $\chi^*_\chi$ occurs at $t^*_\chi \propto t^*_\xi$. Such behavior of $t^*_\chi$ is apparent in Fig. 4 at intermediate density $t^*_\xi$ shows a clear crossover separating the two asymptotic regimes, where $t^*_\chi$ scales as $\tau$ in the limit of low-density and as $t^*_\xi$ in the limit of high density. Asymptotically, when $t^*_\chi \propto \tau$, the maximum of the susceptibility scales as $\chi^*_\chi \propto \tau^{2a} \propto (\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-\gamma}$, with $\gamma = 2a \lambda_\tau$, in agreement with our results. Conversely, when $t^*_\chi \propto t^*_\xi$, we have $\chi^*_\chi \propto (t^*_\xi)^{2a} \propto (\xi(t)^2)^{2a} \propto (\rho_{ka} - \rho)^{-q}$, with $q = 2a \lambda_\tau^{-1}$.

5 Diffusing defects

The results described so far are rationalized in the diffusing defects paradigm \[2\, 21\, 22\, 23\], where the relaxation is ascribed to the presence of possibly extended diffusing defects, with density $\rho_d$. The diffusing defects picture provides that at short times the correlation length grow as $\xi_4(t) \propto t^{1/z}$. The number of distinct
Figure 7: Dynamical Susceptibility, $\chi_4(t)$, for different values of the density, as indicated. At short times, $\chi_4(t)$ grows as $t^p$, with $p \approx 0.61$. Adapted from Ref. [11].

sites visited by a defect increases as $n_v(t) \propto t^{d_f/x}$, where $d_f$ and $1/x$ are respectively the fractal dimension and the diffusion exponent characterizing the defect walk. Thus, the total number of distinct sites visited by the defects at time $t$ is proportional to $\rho_d n_v(t)$. These assumptions are expected to hold at least at short times, i.e. before defects interact. Under this condition, each site visited by a defect corresponds to a particle which first moves from its original position. Thus, we expect that at short times the total number of distinct visited sites scales as the density of particles which have already relaxed at that time (the non-persistent particles), $1 - \langle p(t) \rangle / \rho$. From the decay observed for the density of persistent particles, we can infer $1 - \langle p(t) \rangle / \rho = (1/\tau)^b t^b \propto \rho_d n_v(t) \propto \rho_d t^{d_f/x}$.

Therefore this picture reproduces the von Schweidler law, and relates the density of defects $\rho_d$ with the relaxation time [24], $\rho_d \propto \tau^{-b}$. By comparing the correlation length scaling provided by diffusing defect picture with the observed short time behaviour, $\xi_4(t) \propto t^a$ (Fig. 5), we can conclude $1/a = a$ and $d_f = b/a \approx 2$.

Then we find that defects have a sub-diffusive nature, although they conserve the same fractal dimension of usual random walkers. We suggests this may be ascribed to defects that behave as random walkers, although characterized by a fat–tail waiting time distribution and, possibly, by weak spatial correlations which slows down the diffusion. In fact, it has been proved that these factors do not affect the fractal dimensions of the walkers [25] [26]. Dealing with the susceptibility, the diffusing defect picture predicts that at short times, $\chi_4(t) \propto \rho_d n_v(t)^2 \propto t^{2b}$, which compared with our result allows to correctly estimate $p = 2b$.

6 Reverse percolation

Looking for a geometrical interpretation of the relaxation process, we were inspired by the analogy with chemical gels. The mechanical rigidity of chemical
gels, in fact, arises from a percolating network of polymers which acts as a backbone in a liquid media. Since the bond of such network are of a chemical nature, relaxation cannot spontaneously occur and the system is solid at any time-scale. However relaxation may be induced by external factors, such as diffusing enzymes able to cut the bonds they meet \cite{9, 27}; in this case, the system stays solid until the network survives, but it relaxes and becomes liquid at larger time-scales, when the network disappears. In our case the glass former may be though as rigid on time-scales smaller than the relaxation time, \( \tau \). We suppose that during this time a percolating cluster of persistent particles plays the role of the physical backbone in gels; here the bonds are of a dynamical kind, i.e. we consider that two particles \( i \) and \( j \) are bonded in the interval \([0,t]\) if they are nearest neighbours and persistent in this time interval. Defects, instead, play the role of enzymes and progressively destroy the cluster. A reverse dynamical percolation transition is expected for time-scales of the order of the relaxation time. In fact, as the absence of the percolating cluster leads to the loss of rigidity, we expect that this transition is related to the relaxation process.

Data shown in Fig. 8 confirm our hypothesis. For density, beyond the onset of glassy dynamics, a cluster of persistent particles always spans the system at short times. We indicate with \( P(t) \) its strength, i.e. the density of persistent particles belonging to such cluster. \( \langle P(t) \rangle \) vanishes at a time \( t_{\text{per}} \) which is found to scale with the relaxation times, \( \tau \), as the density increases (Fig. 8 inset).

The figure also reveals that the cluster strength overlaps with the total density of persistent particles, \( \langle P(t) \rangle \simeq \langle p(t) \rangle \), up to large times. This means that in this interval the percolating cluster is the only cluster present. At larger time \( p(t) \) slowly decays, while \( P(t) \) vanishes. This is due to the onset of finite clusters with a broad size distribution, that give contributions to \( p(t) \), but not to \( P(t) \). This circumstance may explain the crossover observed for the dynamic correlation function (see Fig. 2); at short time, the decay of \( \langle p(t) \rangle / \rho \) is characterized by a single relaxation time, which is the life-time of the percolating cluster, and this leads to the von Schweidler law (Eq. 1). By contrast, the broad spectra of finite cluster life-time determine the stretched exponential decay at large times.

To better understand the geometrical properties of this process, we investigate the correlation length, \( \xi_{\text{per}}(t) \), which is defined by the percolative correlation function \( g_{\text{per}}(r,t) \):

\[
g_{\text{per}}(r,t) = g_{\text{pc}}(r,t) - \langle P(t) \rangle^2 = \langle n_i(t)n_j(t) \rangle - \langle P(t) \rangle^2 \tag{8}
\]

where the pair-connected correlation function \( g_{\text{pc}}(r,t) \) is limited to the pairs of particles that belong to the same dynamical cluster. \( g_{\text{pc}}(r,t) \) can be also expressed as \( g_{\text{pc}}(r,t) = P_{i,j}^f(r,t) + P_{i,j}^\infty(r,t) \) where \( P_{i,j}^f(r,t) \) and \( P_{i,j}^\infty(r,t) \) are the probabilities that two sites \( i \) and \( j \) belong to the same finite cluster, or to the percolating cluster respectively. Accordingly, if the percolating cluster is absent \( g_{\text{per}}(r,t) = P_{i,j}^f(r,t) \) and \( \xi_{\text{per}}(t) \) measures the typical size of finite clusters. Conversely, if finite clusters are negligible, then

\[
g_{\text{per}}(r,t) = P_{i,j}^\infty(r,t) - \langle P(t) \rangle^2 \tag{9}
\]

measures the extension of the density fluctuations within the percolating cluster. In our case, at short time, \( \langle P(t) \rangle \simeq \langle p(t) \rangle \) and \( P_{i,j}^\infty(r,t) \simeq \langle n_i(t)n_j(t) \rangle_{|i-j|=\infty} \), because almost all persistent particles belong to the percolating cluster, making the connectedness condition negligible \cite{25}. Inserting these equalities in Eq. 9
and comparing it with the definition of the four point correlation function, $g_4(r,t)$ (see Eq. 4), we find that $g_{\text{per}}(r,t) \simeq g_4(r,t)$, and consequently $\xi_{\text{per}}(t) \simeq \xi_4(t)$. Indeed, Fig. 8 confirms that the dynamical correlation length coincides with the percolative length, as long as finite clusters are negligible. The percolative length is affected by the two timescales characterizing the glassy dynamics, the time $t = t^*_\xi$ where the dynamical length reaches its maximum value, and the percolating time related to the relaxation of the system. At high densities, this makes $\xi_{\text{per}}$ non-monotonic, as in Fig. 8.

7 Conclusion

We have shown that in the KA model relaxation process and DHs are characterized by two different timescales $\tau$ and $t^*_\xi$, which implies that they are less tangled than expected. We explain this feature in the diffusing defect picture, where we relate the relaxation process to a reverse percolation transition, obtaining a geometrical interpretation of the different time-scales. Accordingly, to their definitions, $\tau$ occurs when a given large fraction of all sites has relaxed, while $t^*_\xi$ occurs when the correlations between the persistent particles decreases. Indeed, nothing forbids the correlations to decrease before a large fraction of all sites has relaxed, $t^*_\xi < \tau$. However, in models of defects behaving as random walkers, one finds that $\tau \propto t^*_\xi$. Therefore, one may speculate that, in our case, the decoupling between the two time-scales is due to a complex nature of defects. For instance, they may be non-conservative and characterized by birth and death rate with a constant average number, or they may not diffuse as random walkers.
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