Broadband surface plasmon resonance sensor for fast spectroscopic photoacoustic microscopy
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A B S T R A C T

High-speed optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy (OR-PAM), integrating the merits of high spatial resolution and fast imaging acquisition, can observe dynamic processes of the optical absorption-based molecular specificities. However, it remains challenging for the evaluation to morphological and physiological parameters that are closely associated with photoacoustic spectrum due to the inadequate ultrasonic frequency response of the routinely-employed piezoelectric transducer. By utilizing the galvanometer for fast optical scanning and our previously-developed surface plasmon resonance sensor as an unfocused broadband ultrasonic detector, high-speed spectroscopic photoacoustic imaging was accessed in the OR-PAM system, achieving an acoustic bandwidth of ~125 MHz and B-scan rate at ~200 Hz over a scanning range of ~0.5 mm. Our system demonstrated the dynamic imaging of the moving phantoms’ structures and the simultaneous characterization of their photoacoustic spectra over time. Further, fast volumetric imaging and spectroscopic analysis of microanatomic features of a zebrafish eye ex vivo was obtained label-freely.

1. Introduction

Photoacoustic (PA) imaging technology, detecting ultrasounds generated from laser pulse absorption of biomolecules, provides optical absorption contrasts for structural, functional, and molecular imaging [1–3]. Over the past decades, the technology has found broad applications in investigating the physiopathology processes, for example imaging subcutaneous microvasculature, early diagnosis of tumors, and monitoring the blood oxygenation [4–14]. Among various PA imaging techniques, optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy (OR-PAM), applying optical objective for focusing the illumination laser, achieves diffraction-limited lateral resolution down to micrometer scale and even sub-micrometers, which thus reveals the optical absorption properties of the biological tissue at cellular level and even subcellular level [15,16]. Moreover, by the utilization of fast scanning mechanisms (e.g., galvanometer scanner or microelectromechanical system), the OR-PAM system accesses high-speed imaging acquisition, allowing for monitoring the dynamic physiological processes with mitigated motion artifacts [17–20].

For PA imaging acquisition, the signal amplitude is proportional to the optical absorption coefficient and local optical fluence, contributing to map the optical absorption distribution within the biological samples [1–3]. In addition to providing one dimensional depth-resolved information, intrinsic acoustic spectra in the PA impulses are closely associated to the microscopic orientation of the biological samples [1,2]. Therefore, analyzing the PA signals in the frequency domain offers the opportunities for quantitative evaluation on the microscopic features of biological particles stochastically distributed in tissues, including size, shape, orientation, and density [24]. Since the characteristic scale of various biological particles ranges from over 100 micrometers (e.g., blood vessels or lipid clusters) to the order of a few micrometers or submicron (e.g., individual red blood cells or cellular nuclei), the corresponding PA waves possess a very broad acoustic spectral band (from nearly DC up to ~hundreds of megahertz). This, thus, requires the ultrasonic detector with sufficient acoustic frequency response. Unfortunately, the piezoelectric transducers routinely used in the most existing PA imaging setups generally operate over a relatively narrow bandwidth (centered at its resonance frequency) because of the inherent physical property of the piezoelectric material [21–24]. In consequence, it inevitably imposes difficulties for acquiring broadband PA waves using...
the traditional piezoelectric transducers. This might overlook the abundant morphological information within the biological tissues [22, 23].

To overcome the drawbacks arising from the piezoelectric transducers, optical detection approaches of the ultrasounds have been proposed for the PA measurement [25–32]. Fabry–Perot interferometer can detect the ultrasounds with enhanced sensitivity, whereas its frequency response less than 50 MHz exacerbates the axial resolution and the PA volumetric imaging is still compromised [27]. The imprinted polymer optical micro-ring resonator possesses superior detection bandwidth with high ultrasonic detection sensitivity and large field of view (FOV) [25,26]. However, the detector requires time-consuming fabrication procedures with low yield, possibly imposing severe difficulties in practical biomedical PA applications. Taking advantage of ultrafast temporal response and strongly localized evanescent field at the interface of the sensing material and coupling medium, optical surface wave sensing technology, such as surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensor [28], polarization dependent reflection ultrasonic detection (PRUD) [29], and graphene-based detector [30], emerges as a promising candidate for the ultrasonic detection. Strikingly, by applying the polarization-differential light detection approach, our recently-developed SPR sensor can detect the PA impulses with high sensitivity over a broad acoustic spectral range (>170 MHz) [31,32]. This potentially enables the accurate response to the pressure transients from the biological specimens.

In this work, we developed a fast spectroscopic OR-PAM system for dynamic imaging and spectroscopic analysis of the moving objects, where a broadband SPR sensor maintained stationary for wide-directivity PA signal detection and a galvanometer was used for high-speed optical raster scanning. The directional response to the pressure transients of our SPR sensor was studied both theoretically and experimentally, which defined the OR-PAM system’s FOV at ~0.37 mm (x) × ~0.74 mm (y) for the axial distance at ~0.6 mm. High-speed B-scan imaging acquisition at ~200 Hz was achieved over a scanning range of ~500 μm with ~4.7-μm lateral resolution and ~125-MHz frequency response. We demonstrated the system’s feasibility for monitoring the movement of the phantoms and simultaneously characterizing the dynamics of the PA spectra. Further, label-free volumetric imaging of a zebrafish eye ex vivo was performed, which enabled the spectroscopic analysis of its microanatomic structures.

2. Methods

2.1. Working principle of the SPR sensor

Fig. 1(a) shows the schematic diagram of the high-speed spectroscopic PA imaging module, where an SPR sensor remains stationary for broadband ultrasonic detection and a galvanometer is employed for the fast raster scanning. For the PA excitation, a laser beam with high pulse repetition frequency (PRF) was redirected by a two-dimension galvanometer, and then focused on the sample by an objective, which realizes fast raster scanning. For the PA excitation, a laser beam with high pulse energy is transferred to the surface plasmon polaritons. The optimum incident angle of the SPR sensor (labeled by the red line) is studied theoretically in Fig. 1 (b). During the PA detection, the SPR sensor’s signal output was obtained by recording the intensity variation of the reflected light rather than the reflectivity [28–32]. This accompanies a declined light reflectance (Fig. 1(b)) because a fraction of the incident light energy is transferred to the surface plasmon polaritons. The optimum incident angle of the SPR sensor (labeled by the red line) is studied theoretically in Fig. 1(b). During the PA detection, the SPR sensor’s signal output was obtained by recording the intensity variation of the reflected light rather than the reflectivity [28–32]. For each incident angle (θ), the light intensity of the reflected beam (Iθ) delivered to the photodiode was carefully adjusted to avoid the power saturation of the photodiode (~0.225 mW in this work). The optimum incident angle can be derived by seeking the maximum of the first derivative of the reflected light intensity with respect to the incident angle (i.e., [dIθ/dθ]),

![Fig. 1. Working principle of the SPR sensor. (a) Schematic of wide-directivity PA detection by a stationary SPR sensor and fast-scanning galvanometer. Gx and Gv: two mirrors of the galvanometer; TE: transverse electric field; TM: transverse magnetic field. (b) Determination of the optimum incident angle. The dark line presents the reflectance (R) as a function of the incident angle (θ); the red line exhibits the first derivative of the reflected light intensity with respect to the incident angle (dR/dθ)), where IR is defined as the intensity of the reflected light for the incident angle at θ. (c) The intensity variation of the reflected light (dRIR) with respect to acoustic pressure, where the intensity difference (ΔIR) denotes the pressure-modulated value relative to that under static conditions. (d) Pressure response of the SPR sensor for the ultrasonic excitation. The inset shows the ultrasonic waveform and spectrum detected by the SPR sensor when the acoustic pressure is at ~107.0 kPa (highlighted by a blue box). Amp: amplitude.](image-url)
determining the optimum incident angle of our SPR sensor at $71.9^\circ$ in Fig. 1(b).

During the PA detection, the laser-induced acoustic pressure ($\Delta p$) causes the variation in refractive index (RI) of the water ($\Delta n$) following a relationship of $\Delta n/\Delta p \approx 1.35 \times 10^{-10} \text{Pa}^{-1}$ [30]). This leads to the changes in the reflected light intensity ($|\Delta I_r|$) relative to the value under initially static water when the incident angle is fixed at $71.9^\circ$. In Fig. 1 (c), $|\Delta I_r|$ displays a linear dependence upon the acoustic pressure with a slope ($K = |\Delta I_r|/\Delta p$) of $9.3 \times 10^{-7} \mu \text{W/Pa}$. The minimum detectable acoustic pressure ($P_{\text{min}}$) of our SPR sensor can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{min}} = \frac{R_{\text{noise}}}{K}$$

(1) 

where $R_{\text{noise}}$ represents the BP’s the noise level ($\mu \text{W}$) that primarily determines the minimum differentiable light energy variation. Considering the photoelectric conversion gain of $16 \times 10^3 \text{V/W}$ and the electrical noise of $0.18 \text{mV}$ of the BP, the noise level is estimated at $0.01125 \mu \text{W}$. Thus, the minimum detectable acoustic pressure of our SPR sensor is quantified at $121 \text{Pa}$. Note that the simulation in Fig. 1(c) suggests the pressure dynamic range of the SPR sensor at least $1 \text{MPa}$ in theory, much larger than the pressure values in practical biomedical PA imaging [2].

We measure the detection sensitivity of our SPR sensor in Fig. 1(d). An acoustic emission source from a piezoelectric transducer (Olympus, $\sim 20$-MHz central frequency) driven by a pulse receiver (Olympus, 5073PR) was pre-calibrated by a commercial hydrophone (HGL-0085, Onda Corp.). Ultrasound caused the changes in the water RI, resulting in the temporary modulations to the light reflectance in the SPR sensor [31,32]. Both waveform and spectrum captured by our SPR sensor (the inset of Fig. 1(d)) resembled the pulse echo detected with the piezoelectric transducer (not shown), suggesting the accurate response to the ultrasonic excitation of our SPR [31,32]. The peak value of the SPR sensor’s signal output is approximately linear with respect to the acoustic pressure (ranging from $3.0$–$107.0 \text{ kPa}$) in Fig. 1(d). By extrapolating the linear range down to the noise level, we estimated the sensor’s noise-equivalent-pressure sensitivity at $480 \text{ Pa}$. The SPR sensor’s sensitivity determined experimentally is remarkably worse than the theoretical value (Fig. 1(c)), which is possibly from the imperfections in the sensor configuration, such as uneven illumination on the two separate photodetectors of the BP, the non-parallel interrogation light on the Au layer (i.e., the incident laser beam with a diverging angle), and the rough Au film surface.

2.2. Directional response of the SPR sensor

To access high-speed PAM imaging, the SPR sensor, serving as an unfocused ultrasonic detector, remains stationary during the imaging while the galvanometer operates at fast raster scanning of the PA illumination laser (Fig. 1(a)). Thus, it is required to study the directional acoustic response of our SPR sensor for determine the OR-PAM system’s FOV. The theoretical study on the directional response of our SPR sensor to the ultrasonic waves is conducted in Fig. 2. The surface plasmon (SP) field is reasonably identified as an active acoustic detection element [34]. Theoretically, the detected acoustic pressure of an SPR sensor is equivalent to the pressure value generated from a region that has an identical area with the SP field of the sensor. Owing to the extremely short penetration depth of highly localized evanescent SP waves, the SP field’s thickness is neglected [31,32].

In the theoretical analysis, the SP field is assumed as elliptical with the long axis of $180 \mu \text{m}$ and the short axis of $90 \mu \text{m}$, respectively, which is approximated to the lateral dimensions in our home-made SPR sensor. The $x$-$y$ plane of the coordinate system (Fig. 2(a)) coincides with the Au-water interface, in which the origin locates at the center of the SP field and the $y$ axis parallels to its long axis. The spherical acoustic waves emitting from an excited point source located at $A(x, y, z)$ propagate through coupling medium to perturb the SP field, changing the reflectance of the SPR sensor. The continuous acoustic waves in the form of the time harmonic are expressed by

$$u = u_0 e^{-j\omega t}$$

(2) 

where $u_0$ is the ultrasonic source vibration amplitude and $\omega$ is the ultrasonic angular frequency. The amplitude of the detected pressure can be calculated as [35,36]

$$|p(x, y, z, t)| = \frac{\alpha_0 \rho_0 u_0}{2\pi} \left| J_0 \left( \frac{4\pi R}{\lambda} \right) \right|$$

(3) 

where $\alpha_0$ and $\rho_0$ are the absorption coefficient and density of the medium, respectively. $\lambda$ is the sound wavelength. $R$ is the distance from the source to the detection point. (b) and (c) present the SPR sensor’s frequency response at $x$-$z$ plane (b) and $y$-$z$ plane (c), respectively, for the working distance at 1.0 mm. (d) and (e) show the distance-dependence ultrasonic response of the SPR sensor at $x$-$z$ plane (d) and $y$-$z$ plane (e), respectively, for the ultrasonic frequency at 100 MHz.

Fig. 2. Directional response characteristics of the SPR sensor. (a) Schematic of an SPR sensor and its response to acoustic source. A ($x$, $y$, $z$) and B ($x'$, $y'$, $0$) are the positions of the acoustic source and the representative detection point of the SP field, respectively; $R$ is the distance from the source to the detection point. (b) and (c) present the SPR sensor’s frequency response at $x$-$z$ plane (b) and $y$-$z$ plane (c), respectively, for the working distance at 1.0 mm. (d) and (e) show the distance-dependence ultrasonic response of the SPR sensor at $x$-$z$ plane (d) and $y$-$z$ plane (e), respectively, for the ultrasonic frequency at 100 MHz.
where \( p \) is the detected pressure, \( \rho_0 \) is the conversion factor from acoustic pressure to voltage by our SPR sensor, and \( k \) is the ultrasonic wave vector. \( R \) is the distance from the acoustic source to a certain point \((B (x', y', 0))\) of the SP field, which can be expressed as \( R = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + z^2} \) according to the geometrical relationship in Fig. 2(a). Integral domain \( S \) represents the lateral dimension of the SP field. Due to proportional relation between the amplitude of a monopole acoustic source and its frequency, we remove the frequency term \( \omega \) from Eq. (3) to unify the acoustic amplitude at different frequencies [35]. The SPR sensor’s response to the ultrasonic waves is given as below:

\[
|p(x, y, z, t)| = \frac{\rho_0 u_0}{2\pi} \int e^{\frac{ikR}{R}} dS
\] (4)

Taking into account of the acoustic attenuation \((2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{dB/mm}^{-1}\text{MHz}^{-2})\) in the water, the frequency response patterns of the SPR sensor are characterized in Fig. 2(b) and (c) when the working distance is set at 1000 \( \mu \text{m} \). In both axes, the trend in the ultrasonic response at certain frequency appears similar, in which the pressure values decline as the lateral distance relative to the SPR sensor’s detection axis increasing. This feature becomes more serious for the high-frequency ultrasonic waves. Note that the directional response range along \( x \) axis and \( y \) axis is different owing to the asymmetric characteristics in the SP field of the SPR sensor [36].

Besides, we study the working distance-dependent ultrasonic response of the SPR sensor in Fig. 2(d) and (e). Here, the ultrasonic frequency is intentionally set at 100 MHz because it is in close proximity to the central frequency of acoustic spectral band of our SPR sensor itself [32]. For a given axial position, strong pressure response is in the close proximity to the center of the SPR sensor’s SP field. As the lateral distance between the point acoustic source and the SPR sensor increasing, the pressure response degrades at both \( x-z \) plane (Fig. 2(d)) and \( y-z \) plane (Fig. 2(e)). At larger axial distance, a weaker pressure response occurs.

Due to an asymmetric feature of the SPR sensor’s SP field, the spatial response exhibits the different patterns at the two planes (Fig. 2(d) and (e)) [30]. The theoretical simulations on the directional response characteristics of our SPR sensor (Fig. 2) allow for guiding the FOV design in the OR-PAM system [19,20].

2.3. PA spectral calibration

The time-resolved PA signal is produced by focusing the pulse laser onto the ultrathin graphene film with the thickness of \(~100 \text{ nm} [31,32]\), giving the PA spectrum from its Fourier transform. The frequency response of the measured PA signal \((f_{PA})\) can be expressed as the product of our OR-PAM system’s frequency response \((f_{sys})\) and the original PA spectrum of the sample \((f_{sam})\). Since the acoustic transient time crossing the graphene film is far shorter than the laser pulse duration \((\approx 1.2 \text{ ns})\), the sample’s PA spectrum \((f_{sam})\) is essentially identical to the power spectrum of the illuminating laser \((f_{laser})\). Therefore, the frequency response of our OR-PAM itself can be derived from \(f_{sys}=f_{PA}/f_{laser}\). For the spectroscopic analysis of the samples, the autologous spectrum \((f_{sam})\) can be derived from \(f_{sam}=f_{laser}/f_{sys}\) according to their measured PA spectra \((f_{PA})\) and the pre-calibrated frequency response of the system \((f_{sys})\).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Development of fast spectroscopic OR-PAM system

Fig. 3(a) shows the schematic of the high-speed spectroscopic OR-PAM system. Our recently-built SPR sensor was incorporated into the system as a broadband acoustic detector [31,32]. In brief, an interrogation light from a He – Ne laser (HNL100L-EC, Thorlabs Inc., 632.8-nm wavelength) passes through a polarizer (P, LPV1100-A, Thorlabs Inc.) and a half-wavelength plate (HWP, WPH10M-633, Thorlabs Inc.), producing a tunable linearly polarized light. After weakly focused by an achromatic lens (L1), the interrogation light is incident onto the

Fig. 3. (a) Schematic of the high-speed spectroscopic OR-PAM system. P: polarizer; HWP: half-wave plate; L: lens; M: mirror; PBS: polarization beam splitter; BP: balanced photodiode; Gx and Gy: two mirrors of the galvanometer. (b) Temporal profiles of the pulse laser, measured PA signal, and the system itself. (c) Spectra of the pulse laser \((f_{laser})\), the measured PA signal \((f_{PA})\), and the system \((f_{sys})\). (d) Depth resolution determined by the numerical shift-and-sum simulation. (e) The OR-PAM system’s lateral resolution.
prism-water interface with an incident angle at $\sim 71.9^\circ$ (Fig. 1(b)). For configuring the SPR sensor for PA imaging, Au film is preferred because it is immunized from oxidization in both the air and water, which assures excellent stability during the practical biomedical PA applications. An SP field is excited on the Au film surface, featuring approximately elliptical structure [31,32]. Polarization-differential light detection is adopted for reducing the noises [29–32], which applies a polarization beam splitter (PBS, CCM1-PBS251, Thorlabs Inc) for separating the linearly-polarized reflected beam into $p$- and $s$-polarized components and a BP (PDB435a, Thorlabs Inc) for the light intensity measurement. Eventually, a broadband PA wave is retrieved by measuring the intensity difference between the time-varying $p$-polarized light and the invariant $s$-polarized light [29–32]. The SPR sensor’s signal output is amplified by a low-noise amplifier (ZFL500NL+, Mini-Circuits, Brooklyn, NY) and digitized by a data acquisition card (ATS9870, Alazar Technologies Inc., Pointe-Claire, QC, Canada; 450-MHz bandwidth, 1-GS/s sampling rate).

For high-speed PA imaging, a galvanometer (TSHB203 M, Sunny) is employed for the raster scanning while maintaining both the SPR sensor and sample stationary during the PA signal acquisition. The PA illumination beam from a frequency-doubled Nd: YAG laser (SPOT-532, Elforslight Ltd., Daventry, UK,) is collimated by a pair of lenses ($L_3$ and $L_4$ in Fig. 3(a)), and then is redirected by the galvanometer. An objective (RM54X, Olympus) with numerical aperture (NA) of 0.1 is used to focus the PA illumination beam with a micrometer-level spot size on the sample [30]. This is much smaller compared with the SP field’s lateral dimension, indicating that the ultrasonic emission from a point source is reasonable in the theoretical analysis (Fig. 2). An interconnect card (PCIe-6321, National Instruments) programmed in LabVIEW is used to synchronize the excitation laser firing, raster scanning, and data acquisition.

We study the PA response of the OR-PAM system by irradiating a layer of graphene film ($\sim$100 nm in thickness) in Fig. 3(b). Broadband PA waves can be produced by focusing the pulse laser beam onto the ultrathin optical-absorption sample [31,32]. The time-resolved PA signal was recorded with a digital oscilloscope (MDO3104, Tektronix; bandwidth: 1 GHz; sampling rate: 5 GS/s) in Fig. 3(b), which corresponds to the power spectrum ($f_{PA}$) with a bandwidth of $\sim$125 MHz in Fig. 3(c). The frequency response of our OR-PAM itself ($f_{sys}$) can be derived from $f_{sys}=f_{x}f_{y}/f_{wastr}$, where $f_{wastr}$ is the spectrum (Fig. 3(c)) of the PA illumination laser pulse (Fig. 3(b)). This indicates that our OR-PAM system possesses an estimated bandwidth of $\sim$130 MHz in Fig. 3(c). The corresponding signal waveform at the time domain is exhibited in Fig. 3 (b). For the PA spectral analysis of the phantoms and biological tissues, the frequency characteristics of the samples itself ($f_{sam}$) can be calculated from $f_{sam}=f_{sys}/f_{x,y}$ where $f_{x,y}$ represents the measured spectral response using our OR-PAM system. Considering the SP field’s lateral dimensions of our SPR sensor and the distance ($\sim$1.0 mm) between the graphene film and the sensor surface, the measured spectral bandwidth is in accordance with the theoretical results [36]. Note that our OR-PAM system is sufficient for the PA imaging of biological tissues because the ultrasound attenuates seriously within tissues as the frequency more than 100 MHz [37,38].

During the PA imaging, the axial (depth) resolution ($R_a$) depends upon the sound speed ($c$) and the detector’s bandwidth (BW) [30], which is expressed as $R_a = 0.88c$/BW. Thus, the axial resolution in our OR-PAM system incorporating an SPR sensor is estimated at $\sim$10.4 μm. Alternatively, the resolving capability along the depth direction can be quantified by numerical simulations [39,40]. Assuming two optical-absorption point absorbers that produce the same PA impulses (as displayed in Fig. 3(b)) are positioned at different depth separations, the time-shifted waveform for two PA impulses defines the axial resolution at $\sim$8.9 μm when the contrast-to-noise ratio decreasing to 6.0 dB (Fig. 3(d)). This agrees with the estimated value ($\sim$10.4 μm) that is predominately determined by the sensor’s bandwidth. In addition, benefiting from the broadband frequency response of the SPR sensor, a more accurate evaluation is potentially accessed for the PA spectroscopic analysis of the biological specimens with various dimensions [36], which, otherwise, is impossible for the PAM systems with the traditional piezoelectric transducers due to the inadequate acoustic spectral response [24].

The lateral resolution of our system is quantified in Fig. 3(e). A sharp blade edge was imaged using the PA illumination with 50-nJ pulse energy and $\sim$100-nm scanning step. PA amplitudes across the edge gave the edge spread function (ESF, Fig. 3(e)). Taking the first derivative of the ESF, a line spread function (LSF) was derived to define the lateral resolution of $\sim$4.7 μm (Fig. 3(e)) [41]. Due to optical aberrations at the air-water interface, the estimated lateral resolution was slightly worse than the theoretical diffraction-limited resolution. Obviously, the PA illumination focus spot on the sample is much smaller than the SP field’s dimension (having an elliptical structure with $\sim$90-μm short axis and $\sim$180-μm long axis). Therefore, it is reasonable for considering the ultrasonic wave emission from a point source (Fig. 2) because of the PA excitation volume with a micrometer-scale lateral dimension and nanometer-level vertical length.

3.2. FOV determination of the OR-PAM system

The OR-PAM system’s FOV, which is primarily dependent on the directional response of the acoustic detector, is critical for denoting the imaging region [35,36]. In Fig. 4, the FOV is determined by imaging a black tape, which was mounted on an one-dimensional stage for adjusting its axial distance relative to the sensor while the PA illumination focus maintained on the sample at each axial distance. During the PA imaging at each distance, both the SPR sensor and the sample remained stationary, and only galvanometer was activated for the raster scanning. A volumetric image consisting of 300 pixels ($x$) × 300 pixels ($y$) × 512 pixels ($z$) was acquired by setting the laser PRF at 30 kHz and the scanning step at 10 μm, which took $\sim$0.01 s for the B-scan imaging (i.e., 100-Hz B-scan rate) and $\sim$3.0 s for the whole three-dimensional imaging. We applied the PA illumination with the pulse energy at $\sim$80 nJ, which induced no obvious damage to the sample. A representative maximum-amplitude-projection (MAP) image by projecting the maximum PA amplitude of each A-line along the depth direction is displayed in Fig. 4(a), where the sample was positioned $\sim$0.6 mm away from the SPR sensor. The PA amplitudes along $x$ axis and $y$ axis (highlighted by the blue and dark dashed lines in Fig. 4(a), respectively) are shown in Fig. 4(b), indicating the estimated FOVs at $\sim$0.34 mm and $\sim$0.76 mm along these two directions according to the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the fitting curves. The remarkable difference in the FOVs at $x$ axis and $y$ axis primarily arises from the elliptical SP field of the SPR sensor [34], representing a good agreement with the theoretical result (Fig. 2).

As the axial distance increases, the OR-PAM system’s FOVs enlarges as illustrated in Fig. 4(c). The distance-dependence characteristic of the FOV is in accordance with the simulations (dashed lines in Fig. 4(c) and Fig. 2(d) and (e)). Although an enlarged FOV can be achieved when positioning the sample at a larger axial distance (Fig. 4(c)), the frequency response of the system is compromised as the transverse displacement of the PA illumination spot relative to the SPR sensor’s acoustic detection axis increasing (Fig. 2(b–e)) [36]. When performing the PA imaging of the large-scale specimens (e.g., major blood vessels), they can be placed at a relatively distant axial position because the frequency components of their PA signals are dominated at the low-frequency ranges [23,24]. For PAM imaging of the biological particles with small dimensions (e.g., red blood cells or cell nuclei) that launches the PA impulses with broad acoustic spectrum up to hundreds of megahertz, a reduced axial distance is preferred to ensure the accurate response to high acoustic frequency [21,22].

3.3. Fast spectroscopic imaging of the phantom

We performed the spectroscopic imaging of a moving phantom made...
from a piece of black tape that was transversely translated (MT1/M-Z8, Thorlabs Inc.) with an averaged velocity of \( \sim 0.045 \text{ mm/s} \). The FOV of the OR-PAM system was set at an area of \( 750 \mu\text{m} \times 750 \mu\text{m} \) (Fig. 5(a)), which consumed \( \sim 0.75 \text{ s per frame for acquiring a volumetric image} \) consisting of 150 pixels \( x \times 150 \) pixels \( y \times 512 \) pixels \( z \) when adopting the PA illumination at 30-kHz PRF and \( \sim 5.0-\mu\text{m} \) scanning step size. Sequential PAM images were captured as the phantom crossing through the system’s FOV (Fig. 5(a) and Video 1 in Supplementary file).

At each snapshot, time-resolved PA signals were selected from 50 pixels of the sample. The acoustic spectra \( f(f) \) were readily derived by the Fourier transformation to these PA signals. After recovering the original spectra of the phantoms according to \( f_{\text{norm}}=f/f_{\text{sys}} \) and averaging the normalized acoustic spectra, the PA frequency response of our OR-PAM at each frame was characterized. Fig. 5(b) represents the measured bandwidths (-6.0 dB) of the PA spectra over time. As expected, only a slight fluctuation (17.2 MHz \( \pm 1.8 \text{ MHz} \) in the spectral band appeared frame by frame because of the same sample imaged during the whole period. The relatively narrow spectral bandwidth of the phantom is attributed to the fact that the black tape itself with the large thickness (\( \sim 180 \mu\text{m} \)) produces the PA impulses with dominant low frequency components [31], even though the broadband frequency response of our SPR sensor (Fig. 3(c)). Potentially, our OR-PAM system could not only visualize the moving large-dimension biological specimens (e.g., the cell aggregations in the major blood vessels) [45] but conduct the PA spectroscopic analysis to these objects.

Further, a complicated phantom made from a tungsten filament knot (40 \( \mu\text{m} \) in diameter) is imaged in Fig. 6 using our high-speed spectroscopic OR-PAM system. Because the tungsten filament has strong optical absorption with very shallow optical penetration depth at visible light range [32], broadband PA waves can be produced under the illumination of 532-nm wavelength. A home-made mount with a window (Fig. 6(a)) was used to hold the tungsten filament knot for both the PA illumination and the acoustic coupling, and then was transferred to an one-dimensional motorized stage. The galvanometer mirrors were activated for fast raster scanning, which covered the imaging region with an area of 50 \( \mu\text{m} \times 500 \mu\text{m} \) (Fig. 6(b)). The number of scanning points at each B-scan (along y axis) was 100, corresponding to the B-scan rate of 200 Hz by setting the laser PRF at 20 kHz. Due to the limited directional response along x axis of our SPR sensor (Fig. 2 and 4), we intentionally reduced the scanning region at the x direction for adequate PA detection. Thus, the three-dimensional imaging acquisition took 0.05 s for each snapshot. Once the raster scanning was completed, the one-dimensional motorized stage was synchronized for moving the phantom towards the FOV of our SPR sensor with a step size of 50 \( \mu\text{m} \). The fast raster scanning of the galvanometer and the mechanical scanning of motorized stage operated sequentially until the phantom was imaged completely. It took \( \sim 1.0 \text{ s} \) for acquiring the whole phantom imaging (Fig. 6(c)) with an area of 1000 \( \mu\text{m} \times 500 \mu\text{m} \) (Fig. 6(b)), much faster compared with the previously-developed OR-PAM systems using the mechanical raster scanning [31,32]. More importantly, the delicately designed FOV (50 \( \mu\text{m} \) and 500 \( \mu\text{m} \) along \( x \) axis and \( y \) axis, respectively) ensured the adequate response to the high-frequency ultrasounds (Fig. 2), enabling high-speed spectroscopic imaging in the OR-PAM system.

Representative PAM images captured at different times (Fig. 6(b)) gave the partial view of the phantom, which allows for reconstructing the whole structure (Fig. 6(c)) by stitching these fragmental visualizations together. After implementing the Fourier transformation and spectral calibration to the measured PA signals, the acoustic spectra of the segmental phantom are exhibited in Fig. 6(d), where the PA spectral band (i.e., center frequency, -6.0-dB spectral band, and -12.0-dB spectral band) is quantified over time. The broadband of our SPR sensor (Figs. 2 and 3(c)) can respond the phantom’s PA impulses with the frequency components larger than \( \sim 120 \text{ MHz} \) at -12.0-dB bandwidth. Furthermore, the PA spectra captured in the different snapshots fluctuated obviously (Fig. 6(d)). We attributed the visualizations to the fact that the imaged segments of the phantom (Fig. 6(b)) featured remarkable discrepancies in shape and orientation, leading to the laser-induced
PA impulses encoding the complicated frequency components that were closely associated with both structure and scale [22–25]. Obviously, the PA signals of the tungsten filament knot characterized by more diverse acoustic spectra compared with the black tape with a relatively homogeneous structure. This implies that our system can obtain the PA spectroscopic analysis of the samples in addition to the high-speed morphological imaging.

3.4. Spectroscopic PA imaging of a zebrafish eye ex vivo

Zebrafish, having high genomic similarity with humans, is considered to be an ideal animal model in biology and diseases researches [48, 49]. To demonstrate the capability of our system for fast morphological imaging and broadband PA spectroscopic analysis of biological tissue, we performed PA imaging of an eye ex vivo from ~7 day-post
fertilization zebrafish larva. The zebrafish larva was anesthetized by positioning it into ~0.2 % tricaine and then euthanized by transferring it in ice water for 15 min before the dissection. The eye of the zebrafish larva was carefully removed and transferred to a coverslip, which was covered by a drop of the molten agarose. After ~20 min at room temperature until the agarose solidified, the eye was sandwiched between the coverslip and the agarose. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with laboratory animal protocols approved by the Animal Studies Committee of the Shenzhen University.

Relying on the optical absorption of melanin, we obtained label-free volumetric imaging of the zebrafish eye, which took ~3 s for acquiring a FOV of ~600 μm (x) × 600 μm (y) consisting of 300 pixels (x) × 300 pixels (y). To achieve high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), the energy of the PA illumination laser delivered to the specimen was ~500 nJ per pulse, which corresponds to ~17 mJ/cm² at the specimen surface.

From the volumetric view (Fig. 7(a)) of the zebrafish eye, the microstructures of the zebrafish eye were clearly visible. Further, the PA spectra, which was calculated from the Fourier transform to each time-resolved PA signal, was divided into three spectral bands (low-frequency: ~1–30 MHz; middle-frequency: ~30–60 MHz; high-frequency: ~60–100 MHz). By the inverse fast Fourier transform, the corresponding time-resolved PA signals were obtained, forming the frequency-dependent PAM images in Fig. 7(b). As the frequency increasing, the anatomic dimensions gradually decrease, exhibiting many finer structures. The superposed view from the three spectral bands (Fig. 7(c)) represents the similar morphological features with the volumetric visualization (Fig. 7(a)), which is primarily because both views were reconstructed from the whole spectral band. The PA image reconstructed from the frequency domain revealed the spectrally-encoded information, which otherwise is overlooked in the volumetric view (Fig. 7(a)). As shown in the white boxes in Fig. 7(b) and (c), the spectrally-resolved anatomic structures are visible. This indicates that our spectroscopic OR-PAM system is capable of identifying the micro-anatomical features with different dimensions and shapes that are closely associated with the acoustic frequency components [24].

4. Conclusion

In this work, we developed a high-speed spectroscopic OR-PAM system by integrating our previously-established broadband SPR sensor with the fast galvanometer scanning, demonstrating the capabilities of high-speed imaging acquisition over a scanning range of ~0.5 mm with broad spectral response of ~120 MHz. This allowed the OR-PAM system for visualizing the moving phantoms and characterizing the PA spectra over time simultaneously. Further, spectrally-dependent microanatomic images of the zebrafish eye were obtained over a frequency range up to 100 MHz, which, otherwise, is overlooked in our previous reports [31, 32].

It remains challenging to image the specimens with small size or weak optical absorption (e.g., red blood cell or cell nucleus) in vivo due to the insufficient ultrasonic detection sensitivity of the SPR sensor (~480 Pa in Fig. 1(d)). One solution is to adopt the advanced sensing methods, such as plasmonic waveguide resonance [41], phase sensing [42], and plasmonic metamaterial [43]. With the improved sensitivity, in vivo imaging is potentially accessed with the fast imaging acquisition and accurate PA spectroscopic analysis, which thus facilitate the practical biomedical PA investigations [24]. Additionally, the PA excitation and ultrasonic detection are on opposite sides of the imaging target in current OR-PAM system, which can’t image the complicated anatomic sites (e.g., eye and brain). This constraint can be overcome by configuring a miniature SPR sensor within the central cone of a reflective objective, which permits our OR-PAM operating at reflection mode [32]. Due to the directional response characteristics of the SPR sensor, the imaging SNR decreases as the FOV increases. Hybrid optical and mechanical scanning modality [50] could achieve an enlarged FOV with sufficient imaging contrast. In a real biological environment, the PA spectroscopic analysis could be accessed using the illumination laser at a certain wavelength to suppress the background noises. Taking account of the sample dimensions, the target of interest can be identified by selectively extracting the characteristic acoustic frequency components of the targeted chromophore.

In summary, with broadband frequency response and fast imaging rate, our OR-PAM system can acquire the volumetric imaging at high speed, and simultaneously conduct the spectroscopic analysis to the samples. These results suggest the potentials of the high-speed spectroscopic system in many prospective biomedical applications [44–47], for example, dynamic monitoring of the tumor response to various treatments by PA volumetric visualization and spectrally-resolved discrimination.
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