Differential Consequences of Unilateral Nasal Air-Puff Stimulation on Breathing Pattern and Respiratory System Mechanics in Tracheotomized Rats
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ABSTRACT

Objective(s): Reflexes that rose from mechanoreceptors in nasal cavities have extensive neuro-regulatory effects on respiratory system. Because of side specific geometry and dual innervations of the nasal mucosa, we investigated the consequences of unilateral nasal stimulations on respiratory mechanics and breathing patterns.

Materials and Methods: Unilateral nasal air-puff stimulation (30 min) in the presence of propranolol (25 mg/kg) and atropine (5 mg/kg) were applied on tracheotomized spontaneously breathing rats. Breathing rate and pattern monitored. Peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) and flow (PIF) were exploited for calculation of resistance, dynamic compliance (C dyn), and estimation of respiratory system impedance (Z rs).

Results: During right-side stimulation, in propranolol (P<0.05) and atropine groups (P<0.01) PIP significantly decreased in comparison to the control group. Alternatively, it significantly increased in left-side and propranolol-left groups (P<0.05) than control group. Mean C dyn following left-side stimulation and propranolol, revealed significant decrements (P<0.05) than control group. In the case of atropine-right and atropine-left groups, mean C dyn had significantly decreased in comparison with atropine alone (P<0.05). Airway resistance (R) did not reveal significant difference during nasal stimulations whereas least square approximation revealed a significant side-specific frequency dependent deviation of imaginary part of impedance (X). An inverse correlation was determined for C dyn versus frequency following right side (R=-0.76) and left side (R=-0.53) stimulations.

Conclusion: For the reason that lower airways mechanics changed in a way independent from smooth muscle, it may be concluded from our data that unilateral nasal stimulations exert their different controls through higher regulatory centers.

Introduction

Despite of widespread cosmetic surgical operations of the nasal area, little concern is about to the physiological importance of the nasal cavities in the respiratory system, other than to warm up and moisturize the fresh air being supplied to the alveoli. Comprehensive search...
revealed no reliable documentation of possible post-operative side effects in the developing countries despite their high rate of cosmetic surgeries specific to the nasal area. Whilst from the gross respiratory system physics to the nuclear receptors of non-respiratory-associated chemicals inside the cell (1), all participate in shaping the final pattern of a breath. Future developments in cosmetic surgeries and intranasal drug delivery approaches (2) may be inclusive for complex structure and function of the upper airways.

Numerous simulations have shown that air flow properties through the nasal cavity are highly sensitive to the geometry of the passageway which could be straightforward affected even following minor repairs (3, 4). Altered fluid properties could lead to stress and refuse of energy dissipation inside, which in turn results in the disturbance of dynamic equilibrium and the firing rate of mechanical receptors devoted to the nasal mucosa (5). Afterwards, propagated discharges travel in either side of nasal septum to the central sensory and regulatory nuclei of the brainstem, such as solitary tract nucleus (NTS) and nucleus ambiguus in which several circuits are modifying respiratory reflexes (6). Activation of pump cells (P-cells) in NTS may be modulated via nasal receptors which thereby modify the input to the ventrolateral medulla involved in control of respiratory motor pattern (7). Transient inhibition of respiratory motor centers are associated with activation of bulbary expiratory units, therefore the activation of nasal afferents on medullary respiratory neurons could be well demonstrated on responses involved in ventilation and airway patency (8).

Enhanced elucidation of reflex phenomena involves uncovering the relationships between key mechanical determinants, such as changes of rate ($f$), tidal ventilation ($V_t$), trans-pulmonary pressure ($P_{\text{pl}}$) and complex indicators consist of airway resistance ($R$), dynamic compliance ($C_{\text{dyn}}$) and respiratory system impedance ($Z_i$) following nasal stimulation. Former investigations revealed that application of electrical stimulation (9) and alternative air-puff (8), and nasal dry and cold-dry air stimulation (10), separately could affect at least one of the aforementioned variables in dogs, guinea pigs, cats, and healthy individuals. Furthermore, side-specific dominance following the so called Pranayamic nasal breathing has been stated in recent investigation about blood pressure, pulmonary function, relaxation, cognition and concentration in humans (11-14).

As it happens, the relationship between the upper and lower airways in the pathogenesis of respiratory abnormalities has been proposed for mechanism(s) that remain inadequately understood. Considerable information has been intuitively grasped from asthmatic individuals with nasal abnormalities, in which high levels of oxidant load and inflammatory mediators such as nitric oxide (NO) concentration in the airways were revealed (15,18). This information naturally raises a question as to which respiratory structure or function could be involved in this phenomenon. This condition could not be elucidated however, unless detailed mechanical properties of the respiratory system were understood. There is a trend favoring the benefits of spectral impedance measurements in the study of respiratory mechanics, therefore in order to increase our knowledge about changes of resistance ($R$) and reactance ($X$) a synergistic integration of flow and pressure monitoring for impedance measurement, we performed the unilateral nasal air-puff stimulation in rats. Consequently the aim of this study was to find out a detailed evaluation of the effects of side-specific nasal stimulations on dynamic compliance, respiratory system impedance and breathing patterns.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was performed in accordance with the Tehran University of Medical Sciences ethical instructions in animal research and experiments.

**Design**

Forty five NMRI rats of either sex between 8-12 weeks old, weighing 150–230 g, were included. All animals were housed under standard conditions until the time of experiments. They randomly divided into control and sham-operated and two main unilateral nasal stimulation groups of right side (RS) and left side (LS) of five rats per each and experiments started in a daily time range from 10 AM to 2 PM. In sham-operated group, an identical surgical procedure was performed and the catheter was put on the nostril without stimulation (see below). Single dose of atropine (5mg/kg) and propranolol (25mg/kg) injected intraperitoneally 10 min prior to stimulation in relevant groups and co-administered in a separated group.

**Nasal air-puff stimulation**

Animals initially were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride (75 mg/kg) and xylasine (5 mg/kg) given intraperitoneally (IP). Adequate anesthesia was assured with corneal and pedal reflexes. The trachea was exposed through a midline incision on a surgical warming pad and cannulated low in the neck and the esophagus was ligated. Laryngeal nerves were sectioned bilaterally with a glass hook. Nasal air-puff stimulation (23°C, 5 L/min, 65/ min) was delivered continuously with a conventional respirator (Palmer R2750 Europe) for 30 min (room air) ipsilaterally through a polyethylene catheter (ID: 1.3 mm), 5 mm beyond the nostril opening. Contralateral nostril was sutured and the duration of air puffs was kept constant at 0.2s and augmentation of the intrinsic activity of larynx as the effectiveness of stimulation was confirmed.
Data collection

Electrocardiography (ECG), blood pressure (data not shown), airway flow and pressure signals were recorded by a narcobiosys model physiograph for the full duration of the experiment, which lasted approximately 30 min, and air-puff stimulation was continuously applied to the nasal cavity. Dedicated non-heated piezoresistive flow (Honeywell ±3SLPM transmitter) and pressure transducers (Validyne MP445 Differential) for respiratory measurements were used during quiet breathing. Tracheal pressure was recorded from the side arm of the airway opening.

The very short data sampling intervals and the high power of time resolution applied during the calculations which almost assured a quasi-linearity of dynamic compliance.

Data analysis

Lung mechanics

For measuring \( C_{\text{rs}} \), first derivative of tidal volume was exploited by reading its interpolated values from the inspiratory phase divided by PIP with random selection criteria of 8–10 breaths.

For elimination of the influence on the respiration rate, averaged values from the inspiratory phases accordingly were divided by the frequency of respiration of the animal. Respiratory system impedance (was calculated from the interpolated values of \( R \) and \( X \) as a function of frequency \( f \)).

\[
(\omega) = R(\omega) + jX(\omega)
\]

Where and

\[
\omega = 2\pi f
\]

From the young module implementation, we expressed \( X \) as elastance (\( E \)) as a function of frequency (\( f \)).

Estimation of \( Z_{\text{rs}} \) was obtained from the flow and pressure signals by a least-squares algorithm described elsewhere (19, 20). Finally, for a full inferential assessment hidden inside the measured parameters, we used equation of motion for airway pressure (against changes in resistive and elastic properties of the respiratory system.

Where stands for flow rate. Impedance data were computed for the full duration of the experiment, creating a continuous tracing of \( R_{\text{rs}}, X_{\text{rs}} \). These values were averaged over the periods of inspiratory phase providing a single impedance data point for each.

Statistical analysis

Scattered data were expressed as mean ± SD. The relationship between respiratory rate (\( f \)) and \( C_{\text{rs}} \) was evaluated by linear regression analysis, and the correlation coefficient (\( r \)) was calculated. We performed the linear regression analysis intra-individually and as there were different experimental interventions for each stimulation group; we performed one-way ANOVA and Tukey posttest.

Statistical significance was accepted at the \( p < 0.05 \) level. A Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to determine any significant difference between collected data at different time of experiments for normalized pressure and flow recordings.

Results

Changes in amplitude of breaths, and frequency of respiration

Figure 1 reveals the representative flow tracing of three experiments, respectively. As it is shown, there are considerable changes in the frequency and tidal ventilation following RS and LS. In lower tracing repetitive sighs were followed by changes in tidal flow and functional residual capacity (FRC) from the base line.
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between atropine and propranolol. Finally one-way ANOVA showed significant changes of PIP value between Atr and RS (P<0.05) and LS (P<0.01), respectively. Further analyses did not show significant differences for $C_{dyn}$ and total Rrs. ANOVA showed significant differences for $V_t$ among LS, Atr+LS and Prop+LS, respectively (P<0.05) (Table 1). With respect to RS and LS, atropine significantly decreased PIP with no significant changes in FT, f and $V_t$ (P<0.05 & P<0.01, respectively).

Changes in airway resistance, and inspiratory dynamic compliance

Left side stimulation revealed significant difference in end expiratory pressure (EXP) as compared to control and RS (P<0.05) (Figure 2). Changes in pressure are considerably affected in EXP and PIP (Table 1 and Figure 2a). A non-statistically significant trend was apparent in R following right side stimulation, (Figure 2c). Finally dynamic compliance ($C_{dyn}$) measured from inspiratory flow and pressure was significantly decreased after LS (P<0.05) (Figure 2d).

Figure 3 depicts multiple scattered plot of estimated dynamic compliance of control and unilateral nasal air-puff stimulations. A full inferential concept is frequency dependence of the $C_{dyn}$ to increased frequency even in the control group. Unilateral nasal stimulation non-uniformly changed the slope and steepness of the compliance trend line.

| Table 1. Descriptive values of respiratory parameters in stimulated and non-stimulated groups with different treatments during spontaneous breathing |
| --- |
| **Parameter** | Control | RS | LS | Atr | Atr+RS | Atr+LS | Prop | Prop+RS | Prop+LS |
| PIP (cmH2O) | 1.93±0.1 | 2.25±0.07 | 3.16±0.15 * | 2.62±0.1 | 2.05±0.16 | 3.16±0.15 * | 2.62±0.1 | 2.25±0.07 | 3.16±0.15 * |
| $F_t$ (ml s$^{-1}$) | 4.06±0.91 | 3.5±0.62 | 2.86±0.30 * | 2.94±1.19 | 3.9±1.12 | 3.88±1.1 | 3.24±1.185 | 2.85±0.80 | 3.5±0.62 |
| f (Breaths min$^{-1}$) | 102.6±18.24 | 116.7±8.1* | 128.4±7.6 * | 186.8±9.9 ** | 147.3±8.24 | 124.7±10.3 | 141.6±21.5* | 141.6±21.5* | 141.6±21.5* |
| $V_E$ (ml g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) | 2.82±0.23 | 2.54±0.54 | 2.29±0.28 * | 3.41±0.73 | 3.02±1.2 | 2.67±1.54 | 3.1±0.54 | 3.1±0.54 | 3.1±0.54 |

Values are mean±SEM. PIP: peak inspiratory pressure, $F_t$: tidal Flow, f: frequency of respiration and $V_E$: mass-specific ventilation. RS: right stimulation, LS: left stimulation, Prop: Propranolol, Atr: Atropine. *P<0.05 to the control, **P<0.01 to the control, #P<0.05 to the RS, †P<0.05 to the LS, ††P<0.01 to the LS. n=5

Figure 2. End expiratory pressure, inspiratory flow, resistance and dynamic compliance of the three different groups (n=6). Ctrl; control, RS; right side stimulation, LS; left side stimulation. *=P<0.05 to the control, #=P<0.05 to RS
which the equality of capacitive and inertive property of the reactance are met.

**Discussion**

Air puff stimulation enabled us to study respiratory parameters occurring in parallel during quiet breathing. As shown in Figure 1, tidal flow show considerable changes during quiet breathing. There are significant changes of frequency following nasal stimulation. As it is inferred from the middle tracing, there is a moderate increase in the steepness of the flow recording following RS. On the other hand, lower tracing shows respiration pattern after LS which is substantially altered from normal rhythm. Attenuation of the slope of inspiratory phase and late-expiratory upward deflections indicate the possible air-trapping (21). Therefore increased FRC following successive cycles is a strong determinant of development of dynamic hyperinflation. Principal among our result is that the net effect of each side of stimulation is significantly different in case of FT, C_{dyn} and X_{rs}. Our only option is to infer what is going on in the airways such that it is different for each side.

Estimated C_{dyn} plotted against the f with averages extrapolated from the calculated quantities revealed significant deviation, and emphasizes attenuation of C_{dyn} due to increased f as mentioned by other studies (21, 22). In Figure 3, both RS and LS inclined to reduce C_{dyn} with increasing f. Decreased C_{dyn} could be the result of increased FRC and the stretching of airways due to hyperinflation and air trapping especially following LS. A comparison between Figure 3 and 4, indicate the f ≈75Hz as a critical frequency at which C_{dyn} and X_{rs} are switched behind between RS and LS.

The relationship between lung compliance and f has been the subject of several former studies (22-24) in which it is obvious that the frequency-dependence of compliance occurs in situations of obstruction (25). According to the theory of Otis et al. (1956), frequency-dependence of C_{dyn} implies the beginning of compartmentation with two different time constants that point out the distribution of inspired gas by single and double exponential functions.

Former studies could not give a complete description of mechanical changes of the respiratory system in response to nasal stimulations as the authors did not provide a detailed explanation as to which lung properties are involved. Thus an altered ventilatory pattern itself may affect the lung mechanics so that active effects of nasal stimulation are biased (26-28). While the airway resistance (Raw) determined in the present study is consistent with those reported previously, the current X_{rs} appear to be somewhat different. This property is highly dependent on fluid velocity and physical driving forces, which extends as far down as the alveolar ducts.

Unilateral nasal stimulation which leads to increase in...
f all had a decrease in $C_{res}$. At lower $f$ (<77/min), RS tends to increase $C_{res}$ compared to control, however because of greater slope, it reveals decreasing values afterwards. The continuity of the lines make it noteworthy as it would soon cross the LS line in higher $f$, which reveals the complex effects on viscoelastic properties of the respiratory system. These properties may be mediated independently from the activation of smooth muscles, which means that our experiment with administration of atropine didn’t reveal considerable changes in $C_{res}$ at $f$>70/min, which might be considered as peripheral airway narrowing. Non-uniformly distributed ventilation and that possible recruitment of the remaining compartments may be responsible for undetectable alterations of total lung resistance in those studies.

Spectral analysis of $Z_{ch}$ showed prominent changes of reactance ($X_{ch}$) by a frequency dependent manner. As it is shown in the Figure 4, $X_{ch}$ is highly deviated to the right following LS to the extent that zero-line crossing is away from control. This is as significant characteristic of $X_{ch}$ because this point is equivalent to the resonance frequency ($f_{res}$) which balances capacitive and inductive, so deviation from the control $f_{res}$ states an alteration in the dynamic constraints which implies the possible changes in smooth muscle tone and thickness of airways.

Conclusion

Our data propose that $C_{res}$ is changed following unilateral nasal stimulation in a frequency-dependent manner which is significantly different among RS and LS. Because of reactive and capacitive changes of the lower airways in a way independent from smooth muscle, it may be concluded that nasal stimulation exert its effects through higher control centers.
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