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ABSTRACT

Background: Bone metastases are common and devastating to cancer patients. Existing treatments do not specifically target the disease sites and are therefore ineffective and systemically toxic. Here we present a new strategy to treat bone metastasis by targeting both the cancer cells ("the seed"), and their surrounding niche ("the soil"), using stem cells engineered to home to the bone metastatic niche and to maximise local delivery of multiple therapeutic factors.

Methods: We used mesenchymal stem cells engineered using mRNA to simultaneously express P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1)/Sialyl-Lewis X (SLEX) (homing factors), and modified versions of cytosine deaminase (CD) and osteoprotegerin (OPG) (therapeutic factors) to target and treat breast cancer bone metastases in two mouse models, a xenograft intratibial model and a syngeneic model of spontaneous bone metastasis.

Findings: We first confirmed that MSC engineered using mRNA produced functional proteins (PSGL-1, SLEX, CD and OPG) using various in vitro assays. We then demonstrated that mRNA-engineered MSC exhibit enhanced homing to the bone metastatic niche likely through interactions between PSGL-1/SLEX and P-selectin expressed on tumour vasculature. In both the xenograft intratibial model and syngeneic model of spontaneous bone metastasis, engineered MSC can effectively kill tumour cells and preserve bone integrity. The engineered MSC also exhibited minimal toxicity in vivo, compared to its non-targeted chemotherapy counterpart (5-fluorouracil).

Interpretation: Our combinatorial targeting of both the cancer cells and the niche represents a simple, safe and effective way to treat metastatic bone diseases, otherwise difficult to manage with existing strategies. It can also be applied to other cell types (e.g., T cells) and cargos (e.g., genome editing components) to treat a broad range of cancer and other complex diseases.

1. Introduction

Bone metastasis is one of the most common complications in many cancers, and is present in over 350,000 people who die each year in the United States [1]. Bone metastases are incurable, largely untreatable, and have devastating effects on quality of life. They occur in up to 70% of patients with advanced breast cancer, and are associated with a
Research in context

Evidence before this study

There are no cures for breast cancer bone metastases. We first surveyed the state-of-the-art for treatments currently used to treat bone metastases, and more specifically in breast cancer. We also looked for preclinical research, including targeted therapy such as nanoparticles or new chemistries (bisphosphonates, etc.). The search was done periodically using scientific database including PubMed, Web of Science and Google Scholar as well as for clinical trials on https://clinicaltrials.gov/. Importantly, existing approaches do not sufficiently target both the cancer and the tumour niche. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) appeared to be ideal candidates to deliver treatments to bone metastases due to their ability to home to the bone marrow and tumour sites, as shown by multiple reports including clinical studies. We therefore sought to engineer MSC using our previously established mRNA engineering strategy to develop a targeted, combinatorial platform to block the vicious cycle between tumour growth and bone destruction.

Added value of this study

Using two animal models of breast cancer bone metastases: one immunocompromised intratibal model (human breast cancer) and one syngeneic model of spontaneous bone metastases (murine breast cancer), we demonstrated for the first time that mRNA engineered MSC could be used as a powerful platform to target and treat bone metastases in breast cancer following systemic infusion, by efficiently homing to the bone metastatic niche and co-delivering therapeutics that induce tumour killing and inhibit tumour-induced bone resorption. In addition, this targeted treatment approach exhibited minimal unwanted toxicity.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our study suggests targeted, combined therapies targeting both cancer and the tumour niche can potentially be safer and more effective to treat bone metastases than monotherapies and non-targeted treatments (e.g., chemotherapies). This platform technology is modular and could be applied to other types of tumours or diseases that require delivery of multiple cargos. Moving towards clinical studies, future work should systematically study the dosage, number, frequency and schedules of treatments, potentially together with patient stratification based on disease stages, in order to obtain optimal therapeutic outcomes especially in the long-term. Furthermore, an optimal therapeutic schedule should be identified (sequential injections, repeated treatments and mixing of MSC engineered differently).

[5]. Standard of care drugs targeting bone resorption, including bisphosphonates and Denosumab (antibody targeting the receptor activator of NF-κB ligand, RANKL), which act by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis through different mechanisms, are controversial in their anti-tumour mechanisms [6,7]. Most importantly, these therapies, alone or in combination, are ineffective in targeting both tumour growth and osteolysis, often leading to relapse, new metastasis, drug resistance, and notably, high systemic toxicity [8]. In addition, targeted drug delivery systems for bone metastasis, especially those using nanoparticles, are still in their infancy [9–13], and typically suffer from rapid clearance, poor targeting efficiency, and inability to penetrate to the centre of large and poorly vascularised metastatic tumours [14].

Here we exploit a stem cell based approach for targeted delivery of a combination of therapeutics, which interrogates both the cancer and its niche. Stem cells, including mesenchymal stem (or stromal) cells (MSC), act as potent, autonomous, and adaptive agents [15,16], and have recently been tested as vehicles for drug delivery in cancer [17–22], including in clinical trials [23]. Specifically, using a facile mRNA-engineering approach, we programmed mesenchymal stem cells with machinery to enable a) specific and efficient bone metastasis homing through engineered P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1) and Sialyl-Lewis X (SLEX), which target highly expressed selectins in vessels surrounding the tumour [24–26], b) local cancer killing through the cytotoxic deaminase (CD)/pro-drug 5-Fluorocytosine (5-FC) system [27], and c) osteolysis inhibition within the tumour niche through expression of modified osteoprotegerin (OPG) [28], a natural decoy receptor for RANKL, a key mediator in tumour-induced osteoclastogenesis (Fig. 1). Previous studies targeting bone tumours through a cell-based therapy approach used genetically modified cells to only deliver a single therapeutic molecule [29–31]. Engineering cells with mRNA-based protein expression is advantageous for targeting bone metastasis due to its simplicity, safety (no genetic engineering), transient and rapid protein translation after transfection, and ability to express multiple factors simultaneously for combinatorial treatment [32–34]. In this report, using a xenograft intratibal model and a syngeneic model of spontaneous bone metastasis, we demonstrated that MSC engineered to simultaneously express PSGL-1/SLEX, CD, and OPG exhibit enhanced homing to the bone metastatic niche where they effectively kill tumour cells and preserve bone integrity with minimal toxicity.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethics statement

All studies were done in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines for care and use of animals under approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of California, Irvine (IACUC protocol #AUP-18-134).

2.2. Reagents

Minimum Essential Medium α, Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, Leibowitz L-15 Medium, EGM-2 Endothelial Cell Growth Medium, M-199 Medium, Endothelial Cell Growth Suspension (ECGS), Penicillin/streptomycin solution, 2% gelatin solution, Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium, Endothelial Cell Growth Suspension (ECGS), Penicillin/streptomycin solution, 2% gelatin solution, Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium, RNAiMAX Lipofectamine, 10× Tris-buffered saline (TBS), Scott’s Bluing Solution, Fisherfinest Histoplast paraffin, MX35 Ultra low-profile cryotome blades, polylysine slides, HPLC grade ethyl acetate, acetonitrile, ACS grade glacial acetic acid, 2-propanol, recombinant human TNF-α, recombinant human OPG, recombinant murine RANKL, Vybrant™ DiD lipolytic dye, CellTrace™ Calcein Green dye and 7-AAD viability assay dye were purchased from Fisher Scientific. 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Recombinant human TRAIL was obtained from Peprotech. Fetal bovine serum (FBS), sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), ACK
Fig. 1. Combinatorial targeting of cancer bone metastasis using mRNA engineered mesenchymal stem cells. Proposed strategy for bone metastasis treatment by targeted delivery of multiple factors using mRNA-engineered mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) equipped with functions for a) specific and efficient bone metastases homing through P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1) and Sialyl-Lewis X (SLEX), b) local cancer killing through cytosine deaminase (CD)/pro-drug 5-Fluorocytosine, and c) osteolysis inhibition within the tumour niche through a modified version of RANKL decoy receptor, osteoprotegerin (OPG).

Lysis Buffer, Tissue-Tek Optimal Cutting Temperature (OCT) embedding medium and Superfrost Plus slides were purchased from VWR. Puromycin powder, crystalline bovine serum albumin (BSA), Tween 20, Triton X-100, as well as donkey and goat normal sera, Harris’ Haematoxylin, Eosin Y, 2-methylbutane, 10% Formalin solution, sucrose, EDTA, 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), and 5-Fluorocytosine (5-FC) were purchased from MilliporeSigma. Isoflurane was purchased from Piramal Healthcare. Ketamine, xylazine, and buprenorphine were purchased through a modified version of RANKL decoy receptor, osteoprotegerin.

2.3. Cell culture

MDA-MB-231 human ductal adenocarcinoma cells, 4T1 murine breast cancer, RAW264.7 macrophages, and HL-60 promyeloblasts were purchased from American Type Culture Collection, Inc. (ATCC) and respectively cultured in Leibovitz’ L-15 medium, RPMI medium, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium and RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS. MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 cells were transduced to express both firefly luciferase enzyme and RFP (LucF/RFP) using CMV-Luc3-2A-RFP (Puro) lentiviral particles (GenTarget, San Diego, CA, USA) per the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 1 \times 10^6 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and infected with a multiplicity of infection of 10 particles/cell. 72 hours post-transduction, media was replaced with fresh media containing 20 μg/mL puromycin for selection. 5 μg/mL puromycin was used for routine culture to maintain transduction. LucF/RFP 4T1 CLL1 cells were in vivo selected from a mouse femur metastasis, which arose from a mammary fat pad injection of LucF/RFP 4T1 cells. 4T1 CLL1 were cultured similarly to parental 4T1 cells. Human mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), obtained under principles of informed consent from the bone marrow of a healthy donor (#8011L), were purchased from Texas A&M Institute for Regenerative Medicine (Bryan, TX, USA), a NIH-funded non-profit organisation for MSC isolation, characterisation and distribution. These cells were fully characterised per established guidelines [35,36]: MSC were tested for presence of viruses (HIV, hepatitis, etc.), characterised by their ability to differentiate into bone and fat, and by their negative expression for CD45, CD19, CD34, CD11b, CD79a, HLA-II:DR DQ DP, CD14 and positive expression for CD90, CD105, CD73a. MSC were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium-α enriched with 15% FBS, 2% l-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution. To further characterise if engineering affects MSC function, we performed additional osteogenic and adipogenic differentiations of our engineered MSC (Supplementary Fig. 1). Specifically, PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC were seeded onto 24-well tissue culture plates at a density of 6 \times 10^4 cells/well and cultured for 2–3 weeks. The media was made from Osteogenesis or Adipogenesis Differentiation Kits (EMD Millipore) and changed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At the end-point, cells were fixed and stained with Alizarin Red-S or Oil-Red-O, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were kindly provided by Dr. Hughes (Department of Cellular and Molecular Biosciences, University of California, Irvine) and cultured in M-199 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2% l-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution, and 50 μg/mL ECGS. MDA-MB231 LucF/RFP were cultured without CO₂.

2.4. mRNA synthesis and cell engineering

mRNA were synthesised by TriLink BioTechnologies (San Diego, CA, USA) as previously described using in vitro transcription [32, 34]. mRNA were capped and modified with a polyadenylated tail along with pseudouridine and 5-methylcytidine bases to decrease immunogenicity, enhance translation, and enhance stability. DNA coding sequences used for the mRNA synthesis are specified in Supplementary Table 1. P2 MSC were transfected with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX in Opti-MEM reduced serum medium at 80% confluence using 1 μg mRNA per 10 cm² of surface area.

2.5. Cytometry

A minimum of 150,000 cells was used for all membrane staining. Cells were washed and stained in 1% BSA in PBS on ice. 7-AAD was used as a viability dye at a concentration of 5 μg/mL and antibodies...
were used at 4 μg/mL (Supplementary Table 1). For apoptosis staining, 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC was added to 100 μL of cells re-suspended at 1 × 10^5 cells/mL in binding buffer, following the manufacturer’s recommendations. A minimum of 10,000 events were collected in the gates of interest. Unstained and single stained cells were used to generate the compensation matrix. An example of the gating strategy used for the analysis is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. Flow cytometry was performed on an Accuri C6 flow cytometer and an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Data were analysed using FlowJo version 10.1 software.

2.6. Immunofluorescence

For immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence, 8 μm sections were rehydrated using TBS. When necessary, tissue was permeabilised for 15 min with Tween 20 or Triton X-100. Blocking was done for 30 min using 5% normal serum from the appropriate species, diluted in a 1% BSA TBS solution. Primary antibodies were added at designated dilutions in blocking buffer (Supplementary Table 1) and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Primary antibody binding was detected using appropriate secondary antibodies incubated at room temperature for 60 min, and mounting was done using Fluoromount G containing DAPI (Southern Biotech) and 0.17 mm glass coverslips.

For the quantification of P-selectin expression, we selected 200 μm by 200 μm areas within the tibia containing MSC, and the average P-selectin signal was measured by dividing total photon counts emitted in Cy5 channel per surface area and subtracting the background signal. To evaluate how P-selectin expression varied depending on the distance from the tumour, we randomly selected large longitudinal leg sections containing both tumour and at least 900 μm of marrow. We used NIS-Elements AR Analysis 4.50.00 64-bit grid utility (Nikon) to automatically generate a grid with 100 μm squares (ROIs) covering the whole marrow section. All ROIs not covering marrow vasculature were excluded. Automated measurement calculated the mean fluorescence, 8 μm by 100 μm squares (ROIs) covering the whole marrow section. For immunohistochemistry and immunochemistry, 8 μm sections from the tumour, area, and P-selectin signal, and average signal was calculated per distance area from the tumour.

2.7. Western blotting

Cell lysates were prepared using an SDS-based buffer, and subsequent protein concentration was determined using a standard bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay. 40 μg of proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immun-Blot PVDF Membrane (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). After blocking in a 5% milk TBS-Tween solution, the membrane was blotted overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies directed against cytosine deaminase, Fc specific human IgG, and GAPDH before being probed with secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase for 1 h at RT (Supplementary Table 1). After incubation with ECL substrate (Fisher Scientific), luminescence was detected using a ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-rad) and quantified using Image Lab 3.0.1 Beta 2 (Bio-rad).

2.8. ELISA

OPG concentration was measured in culture supernatants of MSC using a human osteoprotegerin/TNFRSF11B DuoSet ELISA (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Each supernatant was assessed undiluted, diluted 1:10, and diluted 1:50 to be quantified in the linear range, and dosed in duplicates. As a control, 1 ng/mL of recombinant human OPG was included in the assays.

2.9. Flow chamber assay

5 × 10^5 P-2 HUVEC were seeded onto 35 × 10 mm Petri dishes (Corning) coated with 1% gelatin (Sigma) and incubated for one day to reach confluence. HUVEC were stimulated using 50 ng/mL human TNF-α 6 h prior to the assay to induce selectin expression. A flow chamber assay was performed using a PicoPlus syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) set at four different flow rates (4.42 μL/min to create 1 dyn/cm² shear force, 8.84 μL/min for 2 dyn/cm², 17.68 μL/min for 5 dyn/cm², and 35.36 μL/min for 10 dyn/cm²), and a vacuum pump (Welch, Mt. Prospect, IL, USA) collecting post-chamber efflux. Briefly, 1 × 10^6 cells/mL of each cell type to be assayed were stained with 2.5 μM CellTrace™ Calcein Green dye for better quantification using fluorescence, suspended in fresh EGM-2, and loaded in a 1 mL syringe attached to the syringe pump. A flow chamber gasket (GlycoTech, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) was attached to the top of the HUVEC-seeded petri dish, a Silastic™ (GlycoTech) fluid line was fixed to the tip of the syringe and connected to the inflow port of the flow chamber gasket, and a drain line was run from the outflow port of the gasket to the waste chamber of the vacuum pump. 300 μL of cell suspension was injected across the HUVEC layer for each run.

2.10. MDA-MB-231/MSC co-killing assays

On day 0, MSC were engineered using mRNA transfection. On day 1, MDA-MB-231 Luc/CFP cells were respectively plated in a 96-well plate at 1.5 × 10^4 cells per well and in a 24-well plate at 1 × 10^5 cells per well, to reach 50% confluency on day 2. On day 3, depending on the assay conditions, 5-FC or 5-FU was added, and different ratios of MSC were plated on top of cancer cells in MSC culture media. On day 9, the 24-well plate was imaged using brightfield and fluorescence to visualise the coculture and MDA-MB-231 expressing RFP. The 96-well plate was incubated with AlamarBlue™ (Fisher Scientific) at a 1:10 dilution in 100 μL fresh culture medium to measure the viability of the co-culture. After 5 to 6 h, the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm (the peak absorbance for the reduced form) and 600 nm (peak absorbance for the oxidised form) using a plate reader (Synergy HT, Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). Briefly, the metabolic growth (and thereby the viability) of the cells was determined by subtracting the absorbance of the oxidised form from that of the reduced form. Data were normalised to the control (untreated cells).

2.11. TRAIL-OPG assay

Concentrated media enriched for osteoprotegerin was generated by centrifuging 15 mL of conditioned media from MSC (day 3 post-engineering) using a 30 kDa Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter unit (EMD Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA) at 4000 g for 15 min. MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in a 96-well plate at a density of 1.5 × 10^4 cells/well and treated with recombinant human TRAIL in addition to rhOPG or concentrated MSC supernatant containing an equivalent concentration of OPG (as determined by ELISA).

2.12. Osteoclastic differentiation

Murine macrophages RAW264.7 cells were seeded at 1 × 10^3 cells per well in a 96-well plate and treated with 100 ng/mL murine RANKL in addition to human OPG or concentrated supernatant of MSC (from day 3 post-engineering). Medium was changed every other day. Each condition was performed in triplicate for each independent experiment. At day 6, cells were washed and fixed with 4% PFA and then TRAP stained using the Acid Phosphatase, Leukocyte (TRAP) Kit (MilliporeSigma) per manufacturer’s instructions, with a 1:100 Harris’ haematoxylin dilution in water for counterstaining. The entire wells were imaged under a light microscope and multinucleated TRAP-positive osteoclasts with >3 nuclei were counted.
2.13. LC-MS/MS for 5-FU quantification

2.13.1. Generation of conditioned media
MSC were seeded to a 24-well plate at 0.1 × 10^5, 0.5 × 10^5 and 1.0 × 10^5 cells per well in the presence of 400 μg/ml 5-FU. At different time-points (1 to 6 days), media was collected, centrifuged to remove floating cells and debris, and frozen at −80 °C until chemical extraction.

2.13.2. Compound extraction
To determine the extraction yield, culture medium was spiked with known concentrations of 5-FU (50 and 100 μg/mL). 250 μL of conditioned media sample was processed using 1.75 mL extraction buffer (1:1 ethyl acetate/2-propanol). After incubation and agitation, tubes were centrifuged at 3000g for 10 min, and the organic layers were collected. Remaining proteins were precipitated from the organic layers by adding 100 μL saturated ammonium sulphate solution. After centrifugation, the organic phases were transferred to new centrifuge tubes and the solvent was evaporated in a Savant DNA120 SpeedVac Concentrator (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Extracted compounds were then resuspended for LC-MS/MS in analysis buffer (Milli-Q water containing 2% acetonitrile and 0.2% acetic acid, similar to the mobile phase A used for the UPLC).

2.13.3. LC-MS/MS analysis
10 μL of extract was injected into an Acquity UPLC system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) and separated with an Acquity UPLC- BEH C18 1.7 μm, 2.1 x 50 mm analytical column (Waters). The auto-injector temperature was maintained at 5 °C and the column temperature at 25 °C. A gradient mobile phase elution was used, starting with 98% of solvent A (98% Milli-Q water, 2% acetonitrile and 0.2% acetic acid), and progressing in 3 min to 95% of solvent B (99.8% acetonitrile, 0.2% acetic acid) before holding in B for 60 s to elute the samples. The samples were then injected into a triple quadr mass spectrometer (Waters Micromass Quattro Premier XE™ Tandem Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer, Waters) for mass analysis. Electrospray ionisation was done using the negative ion mode (ESI−), which generates a precursor to product ion transition of m/z 128 > 85 for 5-FC and 129 > 42 for 5-FU, thus allowed discrimination of the two compounds for analysis. Cone voltage and collision cell energy were optimised using training sets (615–665 nm excitation, 695–770 nm emission) of the Xenogen IVIS Lumina II multispectral imager (Caliper LifeSciences, Waltham, MA, USA). Bioluminescence data were analysed using Living Image version 4.3.1 software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

For homing experiments, DiD-labelled MSC were imaged in vivo and ex vivo using the Cy5.5 filter sets (615–665 nm excitation, 695–770 nm emission) of the Xenogen IVIS Lumina II. Animals not transplanted with DiD-labelled MSC were used as a control to subtract tissue autofluorescence and obtain true signal from labelled MSC.

2.14. Animal experiments
Animal subjects were first sedated in a chamber, then kept under a nosecone for the procedures, using either 2% isoflurane mixed with 2 L/min O2, or by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of a 100 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine mixture. For all intratibial injections, animals were injected i.p. with 0.1 mg/kg buprenorphine for pre- and post-procedure analgesia.

To mimic established breast cancer bone metastases, 1 × 10^5 MDA-MB-231 LucF/RFP cells in 10 μL of sterile PBS were injected into the marrow cavity of the left tibia of 4- to 5-week-old female nude mice (strain code #194, C57BL/6J-Cg-Foxn1(Crl)), purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA), using a 28Gx1/2", 1/2 cc insulin syringe (ADW Diabetes). Note that for all studies (homing and efficacy studies) we also performed a mock PBS injection in the healthy tibia in the same animal to eliminate potential bias resulted from inflammation due to injection.

To establish a robust and spontaneous bone metastasis model [37], 1 × 10^4 cells of our bone metastatic clone 4T1 CLL1 LucF/RFP were injected, via the caudal artery (c.a.), into 5-week-old female BALB/cj mice (JAX#000651, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) using 29Gx1/2" 3/10 cc insulin syringes (ADW Diabtes). This model had a 90% incidence for bone metastases, and the majority of bone metastases were located in legs (femur/hip area) and spine, but we also found some metastases in the rib cage, the scapula and the mammary pad. For therapeutic treatment, MSC were injected either intratibially (1 × 10^4 cells in 10 μL sterile PBS) or intravenously (7 × 10^10–1 × 10^11 cells in 200 μL sterile PBS) into the lateral tail veins. 5-FU (500 mg/kg) and 5-FU (12.5 mg/kg for syngeneic model, 200 mg/kg for immunocompromised model) were injected i.p. in PBS. For our syngeneic model, we chose a 5-FU dose that was not overly toxic to animals but sufficient to induce anti-tumour effect based on the literature, and clinically relevant: several clinical dose recommendations for breast cancer include 375 mg/m² i.v. daily for five days every 3 weeks, which is equivalent to 12.84 mg/kg for an average American [38]. We performed a pilot study using 12.5 and 50 mg/kg of 5-FU and determined that 50 mg/kg was too toxic to animals, therefore deciding to use 12.5 mg/kg for the final study. Animals were closely monitored on an individual basis (visual examination, weight measurements).

Tumour growth inside the tibia was measured using bioluminescence. Mice were injected i.p. with 150 mg/kg sterile D-luciferase substrate (Perkin Elmer). After a 15 min hold time (peak of enzyme kinetics), tumour bioluminescence data were collected after 1 s and 1 min integration times using a Xenogen IVIS Lumina II multispectral imager (Caliper LifeSciences, Waltham, MA, USA). Bioluminescence data were analysed using Living Image version 4.3.1 software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

For survival experiments, animals were euthanised following well-defined end-point criteria: any evidence of pain or suffering (prostration, isolation, absence of grooming, lethargy, anorexia, dehydration, etc.) or, in our particular model, 1) when the tumour invaded the cortical bone, which was typically equivalent to a total photon flux ≥ 1 × 10^10 photons/s and was frequently accompanied by a visible limb deformity, 2) when the animal began to show signs of paraplegia, or 3) when the animal showed persistent cachexia and/or a >10% loss of body weight that was uncorrectable by supplemental nutrition and fluids.

The progression of paralysis in mice was assessed on a three point scale. A score of zero indicated free mouse movement with no obvious signs of paralysis. A score of one indicated the presence of retracted limbs, hunched posture, and visible trouble moving around. A score of one and a half indicated greatly restricted movement of hind limbs, which neared paralysis. A score of two indicated total paralysis of the hind limbs.

2.15. Bone marrow isolation
Mouse femurs and tibias were harvested immediately after sacrifice, and bones were thoroughly cleaned of all muscle tissue. Epiphyses were cut to expose the bone marrow cavity and bones were centrifuged in a perforated tube at 12000g for 30 s to isolate the bone marrow in a collection tube. Extracted marrow was washed in PBS then incubated in ACK lysis buffer at 37 °C for 3 min to lyse erythrocytes. After quenching with PBS, the bone marrow suspension was resuspended in PBS-BSA 1% at the desired concentration for subsequent flow-cytometry analysis.
2.16. Alu qPCR analysis for MSC homing

Whole legs were harvested after euthanasia, stripped down to a thin layer of muscle surrounding the bones (muscle prevents loss of fragilised bones fragments), and flash frozen in slurry of 70% ethanol and dry ice. Surgical tools were cleaned in between each leg to prevent cross contaminations. Tissues were thawed and immediately homogenised with mechanical force using metal bead agitation at 4 °C (Next Advance Bullet Blender® Storm with Navy 5 mL Lysis Kit). DNA extraction was performed on 25 mg of homogenised tissues (1/28th of the initial lysate) using chemical lysis and silica spin column purification (Qiagen DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit).

To quantify human MSC numbers in each mouse leg, an Alu qPCR assay was performed on 50 ng of extracted DNA using PowerUP™ SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Dun Laoghaire, Ireland), optimised primer sets for the human ALU transposable element (FWD: CACCTGTAATCAGCAGCTT and REV: CCCAGGCTGGAGTCGATT) [39], and the mouse GAPDH gene as an endogenous control (FWD: TGGCCTCGTGTCTTAC and REV: GAGTTGCTTGAAGTGCGCA) [40]. A QuantStudio™ 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System was used to run the qPCR, and data were analysed using QuantStudio™ 6 and 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System Software (Applied Biosystems, Dun Laoghaire, Ireland). A comparative CT value (∆CT) for human MSC in each tissue was derived by subtracting the mean of triplicate nGAPDH CT values from the mean of triplicate AluCT values [41].

A standard curve was established by injecting known quantities of MSC into the tibias of BALB/cJ mice following 6 fold serial dilutions of PBS injection only in the mouse tibia) ∆CT value [42].

2.17. Bone micro-computed analysis and bone damage scoring

Mouse tibias were fixed in 4% PFA for 48 h immediately after dissection. After tissue fixation, samples were transferred to a radiotransparent container in sterile PBS. For our initial study, 3D X-Ray imaging was performed using VersaXRM™ 410 (Xradia, Pleasanton, CA, USA) with 14 μm voxel size. 3D volumes for whole tibias and trabecular bone were reconstructed into DICOM files for segmentation using ScanIP software (Ver. 7.0, Simpleware Ltd.). ROIs were defined as the following: 1) epiphysis of the tibia down to the fibula insertion point for the whole tibia reconstruction, and 2) 100 slices (1.4 mm total) starting below the growth plate down to the diaphysis where trabeculae disappear for the trabecular bone reconstruction. Bone was segmented from the background using grayscale values, and a mask was generated from that thresholding to reconstruct the whole tibia via a built-in rendering function, followed by whole tibia smoothing using a “Recursive Gaussian” filter with cubic values of 2.0 cm. For trabecular reconstructions, a second ROI was generated on 2D slices after thresholding to outline the trabecular cavity and exclude the cortical bone. The morphological “Close” function was then used to fill the space in between outlines, thus generating a first mask, filling the cavity of the tibia. A “Multilevel Otsu segmentation” was applied to generate a second mask which encompassed only the background, excluding the trabecular bone. To generate a 3D trabecular bone model, a built-in rendering function was applied to a final mask resulting from the subtraction of the second mask from the first mask.

For our final studies, femur or tibia samples were imaged on a micro-Computed Tomography scanner, SkyScan 1076 (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) at (9 μm)³ voxel size, 50 kVp, 200 μA and using a 0.5 mm aluminium filter. Image reconstruction was performed with NRecon software (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) using a beam-hardening correction algorithm, at a setting of 40% and a ring artefact reduction size of 8. Samples were aligned vertically with Dataviewer software (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium). As reference points, the tips of the proximal tibial growth plate and distal femoral growth plate were noted. Then, using a custom method, an overview of each sample was visualised as 15 transverse 2D sections spaced every ~140 μm. In addition, CTvox software (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) used with a global threshold value that selected the majority of cortical bone outline and trabecular bone to make 3D renderings. Total bone volume and trabecular bone volume were analysed across 900 μm (100 slices), starting 180–360 μm from the reference point of the selected growth plate. The total bone was selected by manual contouring with elliptical cross-sections, encompassing the periosseous tissue and the marrow cavity. A global threshold was used to identify total bone and an erosion of 1–2 pixel was performed to eliminate partial volume effects. The trabecular region, inward ~100 μm from the cortex, was selected by an automated contouring routine or else by manual tracing every ~20 slices with automated interpolation. An adaptive threshold (using the mean maximum and minimum pixel intensity values of the surrounding ten pixels) was used to identify trabecular bone. The bone volume (BV) was determined using CTan (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium). For the femurs, analysis of the total BV was performed for the entirety of the femur bones.

For femur scoring, we asked 20 individuals, including 75% being unfamiliar with the research study, to blindly score each femur from the 3D reconstructions (videos and pictures). Scoring took into account the shaft damage (0: no visible damage, 1: <10% of bone missing, 2: 10–30% of bone missing and 3: extensive damage with >30% of the shaft missing) and the epiphysis damage (0: presence of both epiphysis with no damage, 1: presence of both epiphysis with little damage, 2: extensive damage/loss of one epiphysis and little to no damage on the other epiphysis, 3: extensive damage on both epiphysis, 4: loss of one epiphysis and extensive damage on the other one and 5: loss of both epiphysis).

2.18. Tissue processing

Mouse organs and limbs were respectively fixed for 24 or 48 h in 4% PFA at 4 °C. Mouse legs were then incubated in a decalcification solution (14% EDTA, 0.4% PFA (pH 7.4), in PBS) at 4 °C on a shaker, for 14 days. Decalcification solution was changed every other day, to gently decalcify the bones. All tissues to be flash-frozen were treated in a sucrose gradient (6% then 30%) for 48 h, followed by a 6 h incubation in a 1:1 mixture of OCT and 30% sucrose solution, prior to being embedded in OCT using liquid-nitrogen-cooled isopentine. Sectioning of frozen tissue was performed on a CM1950 Ag Protect cryostat (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, GER) on polylysine slides using MX35 Ultra low-profile microscope blades.

Tissues destined for paraffin embedding were fixed in 10% formalin for 48 h, prior to an ethanol gradient dehydration and paraffin embedding cycle. 7 μm tissue sectioning was performed using an RM2255 microtome (Leica) with Superfrost slides.

2.19. H&E staining and TRAP staining

Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining was performed following the standard procedure, and slides were mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 0.17 mm glass coverslips. Quantifications from H&E staining were done as the following. The entire spleen sections were scanned using a 10× objective, and connective tissue was outlined and measured using NIS Elements AR Analysis area function. In order to derive percentages, the total spleen area was divided by the sum of all connective tissue measurements. Three independent images of each animal’s intestine (550 μm by 750 μm) were imaged using a 10× objective. Goblet cells were identified by absence of staining in secretory region and counted using NIS Elements AR Analysis count function per villus (only intact villi counted). Bone marrow sections were imaged
using a 20× objective, and four images were randomly selected per animal. Leukocytes were analysed on the red channel of RGB images using NIS Elements AR Analysis Spot Finder, on Dark Spot mode, “dark, clustered” profile, with a 3.5 μm expected diameter and 7.5 contrast ratio selected to differentiate between cells and morphological features. “Remove bright” was set to 90 to reject erythrocytes. Counting methodology was validated using a manual count on a small region and was found to be ~99% accurate using the above settings. Total leukocyte count was divided by total enumerated region to yield a measurement of leukocytes per unit area.

TRAP staining was performed per manufacturer’s protocol using the using the Acid Phosphatase, Leukocyte (TRAP) Kit. Briefly, slides were deparaffinised using histoclear and tissue rehydrated through an ethanol gradient. Tissue was then incubated in TRAP staining solution at 37 °C for 60 min, then counterstained with a 1:4 dilution of Harris’ Haematoxylin in Milli-Q-water. The nuclear membranes were blued using Scott’s Bluing Reagent. Slides were mounted using Aquamount medium (Fisher Scientific) under 0.17 mm cover slips. For analysis, images were cropped to select the growth plate of the tibia. A 12 mm by 12 mm ROI was positioned to be horizontally centred relative to the growth plate, with the top edge of the ROI aligning with the highest point of the border between the proliferative and hypertrophic zones of the bone. This ROI was then duplicated to the immediate right and left of the initial counting ROI, creating a total of three counting ROI’s. Osteoclasts were counted on the basis of TRAP+ staining colouration, cell morphology and presence of multiple nuclei using the Cell Counter ImageJ plugin (NIH).

2.20. Microscopy

Imaging was performed using an Eclipse Ti epifluorescent microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, JPN). For brightfield imaging, the Nikon DS-Ri2 colour camera was used. For fluorescent imaging, a Lumencor Spectra X light engine was utilised to power LED light sources for excitation. Emission filters for DAPI, FITC, TRITC, Cy5, and Li-Cor 740 dyes were used, and fluorescent emissions were detected using an Andor Zyla 5.5 sCMOS camera. Confocal microscopy was performed using an Olympus FV3000 RS laser-scanning confocal microscope, utilising OBIS LS/LX laser modules (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and FV3000 Spectral Detector and High-sensitivity Spectral Detector units (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA). Final image processing was performed using NIS Elements Advanced Research package (Nikon) and ImageJ software (NIH).

2.21. Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed independently at least twice, and each condition within an experiment was done in duplicates or triplicates. When sample size was <30, or normal distribution and variance equality were not confirmed, non-parametric tests were used. To compare two groups, a Mann-Whitney test was performed (non-parametric t-test), and when comparing more than two groups a Kruskal-Wallis test was applied (non-parametric variance analysis). Both tests were followed by a multiple comparison test (adjusted significance level at 0.05/3). For the survival analysis, a log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was performed to compare treated groups to the control group. *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 and ****p < .0001. For animal experiments, the value for each animal and the median of the group were plotted. A power analysis was done from the first animal studies performed in order to determine the minimum animal number to be used for following studies. The first study’s goal was to evaluate the effect of three mRNA engineered MSC therapies (CD, OPG and CD/OPG BM-MSC) compared to PBS and Mock MSC. In our pilot data, the mean and standard deviation of the log-transferred before and after tumour growth ratio was 1.71 (1.03) in PBS group and −0.91 (1.90) in CD/OPG group respectively. For the ex-vivo bone analysis, the mean (SD) of bone loss was 0.18 (0.23) for PBS group and 0.94 (0.30) for the CD/OPG group. The primary comparisons were the three-treatment groups versus PBS. Based on the above summary information, a sample size of 10 mice per group can achieve 81.6% power to detect the observed difference of tumour growth with an adjusted significance level at 0.0167 (=0.05/3), and a 99% power for the observed difference in bone loss. The sample size was calculated based on a two-sample two-sided t-test. To evaluate the efficacy of our therapies in a second animal model, we decided to compare tumour growth. The primary comparison would be the three-treatment therapies (CD, OPG and CD/OPGMSC) vs. PBS group. We assumed that the effect size would be similar for this proposed study. Therefore, a sample size of 10 mice per group can achieve 84.9% power to detect the assumed difference of tumour growth with an adjusted significance level at 0.0167 (=0.05/3). A two-sample t-test was used in the sample size calculation. Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust for the three primary comparisons. For the toxicity study, the percentage of viable and necrotic cells are the two primary outcomes that reflect toxicity level and our main comparison was the three-treatment therapies (CD, OPG and CD/OPG) vs. 5-FU group. In a similar study performed in immunocompromised animals, the mean difference between 5FU and CD/OPG BM-MSC triple injection was 30.3% (with SD = 16.8%) for viable cells and 40.7% (with SD = 21.9%) for necrotic cells. The proposed 10 mice per group can achieve a 90.5% power to detect the observed difference in viable cells between 5FU and CD/OPG, and 92.2% power for necrotic cells, both at significance level = 0.0167 (=0.05/3). In order to analyse our pilot study and the repeated experiment for the local injection of engineered MSC into the intratibial MDA-MB231 tumours, a linear mixed model compared the tumour growth and the bone volume among different treatment groups, with a random effect, to adjust for the potential mouse correlation within each experiment. A method of false discovery rate (FDR) was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

All graphs and statistical analysis were done using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 h for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA; www.graphpad.com).

3. Results

3.1. MSC engineering using mRNA and in vitro functional validation

Modified mRNA encoding each target protein (PSGL-1, FUT-7) for post-translational SLEX modification, CD and OPG) were designed and synthesised following previous protocols [34]. We first transfected both PSGL-1 and FUT-7 mRNA into MSC, which resulted in a strong expression of fucosylated PSGL-1 at the membrane of MSC, with a typical transfection efficiency of 50–70%, as measured by flow cytometry (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). Interestingly, positive cells tend to express both PSGL-1 and FUT-7 mRNA into MSC, which resulted in a strong expression and function of the homing ligands was then evaluated using a standard flow chamber assay, under shear forces mimicking in vivo circulatory conditions. When assayed on TNF-α activated endothelial cells under 1 to 10 dyn/cm², Native MSC were not able to roll on the endothelium, while PSGL-1/SLEX MSC displayed a robust rolling profile comparable to that of a leukocyte model, HIL-60, thus confirming the functionality of PSGL-1/SLEX modification (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Video 1). In addition, cells engineered with the four factors (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC) displayed a similar rolling profile from 1 to 5 dyn/cm² to that of PSGL-1/SLEX MSC, suggesting that engineering cells with multiple factors has minimal effect on the functionality of the others. We next assessed the expression and function of the first therapeutic factor, OPG, which should inhibit tumour-induced bone resorption by blocking RANKL.
Note that our form of OPG is 1) truncated (no binding to glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) [44]), 2) mutated (Y49R) to avoid binding to tumour necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), while preserving its binding to RANKL [29], and 3) fused to an Fc fragment of human IgG1 to increase its half-life [28] (Supplementary Table 2). As measured by ELISA, OPG accumulated over time in the culture medium of PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC and plateaued at approximately 70 ng/mL at day 6 (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Native MSC also secreted OPG, but at a substantially lower concentration than engineered MSC, which is common upon confluence and subsequent differentiation in vitro, but is unlikely to occur in vivo [44]. In addition, only PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC secreted the truncated form of OPG fused to the human IgG1 Fc fragment, as both monomers and dimers were detected in lysates of engineered MSC, but not in lysates of Native MSC when blotting for the Fc fragment (Supplementary Fig. 5b). We showed that OPG secreted by PSGL-1/ SLEX/CD/OPG MSC was significantly more efficient than that of Native MSC in inhibiting osteoclastic differentiation, as osteoclast numbers decreased by 89.1% compared to the PBS control (100 ng/mL RANKL alone) (Fig. 2b). We further demonstrated that our engineered form of OPG did not block TRAIL-induced apoptosis of MDA-MB231, whereas the natural OPG protein did (Supplementary Fig. 5c), therefore mitigating its risk in preventing cancer apoptosis when used as a treatment. Finally, we characterised the expression and activity of the second therapeutic factor, cytosine deaminase fused to the UPRT (CD) [27], used for direct tumour killing. The CD/5-FC system was chosen for this study among several options for prodrug/cytotoxic combinations [45] for different reasons. First, it is widely used in the context of cell-based delivery. It was the first suicide gene therapy protocol in the clinic (NCT02015819 and NCT01172986), and it is currently being used in clinical trials by engineered neural stem cells for treatment of glioblastoma [46]. Besides, the product 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is one of the standard care chemotherapy drugs for breast cancer in the clinic [47]. Finally, compared to other prodrug/cytotoxic systems, CD/5-FC demonstrated a potent anti-tumour efficacy in vivo [27]. We optimised a protocol using electrospray mass spectrometry, which allows discriminating between the chemically close 5-F and 5-FU compounds to measure the pro-drug conversion by the CD (Supplementary Fig. 3). Intracellular expression of CD was confirmed in PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC by both immunofluorescence and western blotting (Supplementary Fig. 6a, b). Functionally, the CD convertase was able to hydrolyse 5-F to 5-FU, which was detected in culture supernatants with LC-MS/MS, whereas 5-FU was not found in the supernatant of Native MSC (Fig. 2c). The 5-FU concentration was dependent on the engineered cell numbers and reached up to 30 μg/mL from 100,000 PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC, after 3 days of culture. Furthermore, the conversion of 5-F to 5-FU by our mRNA-engineered MSC was shown to be as efficient as conversion by MSC constitutively expressing the CD-UPRT after lentiviral transduction [19]. When co-cultured with breast cancer cells in the presence of 5-F, CD MSC were able to induce cell death, while Native MSC did not affect the cell viability for 6 days (Fig. 2d). Remarkably, CD MSC plated at a 1:2 ratio with cancer cells turned out to be as potent at 5-FU at inducing cancer cell killing for equal concentrations of 5-FC and 5-FU. Pictures of the co-culture, at day 6, showed a confluent cell layer with healthy cancer cells expressing RFP in presence of Native MSC, whereas in the presence of CD MSC and 400 μg/mL 5-FC, there were only a few apoptotic cancer cells left (Supplementary Fig. 6c).

3.2. Enhanced homing of engineered MSC to breast cancer bone metastases in vivo

Our engineered MSC’s homing using PSGL-1/SLEX (not otherwise expressed on Native MSC), which targets bone niche selectins, recapitulates the bone homing cascade of haematopoietic stem cells (HSC) [43,48] and circulating tumour cells [49]. We next assessed the homing ability of our engineered MSC to breast cancer bone metastases in vivo.

For this experiment, to mimic the clinical setting of patients with established bone metastases, we first used an immunocompromised animal model, in which human breast tumours were grown locally within the marrow cavity of the left tibia. Intratrabecular injection of breast cancer cells, to establish bone metastases, is a widely-used model to evaluate therapeutic intervention [50]. This route of injection was initially chosen in our study because it allowed us to develop robust and relatively homogenous tumours in the bone so that we could efficiently investigate MSC homing and treatment efficacy. We first checked the selectin expression within the tumour niche and found high expression of P-selectin in the bone marrow of the tumour leg (Fig. 3), but to a lesser extent in the healthy leg (Supplementary Fig. 7). We observed an inverse correlation between P-selectin expression and distance from the tumour site when we analysed P-selectin expression in the tumour legs of seven different animals (Fig. 3a,d). We observed large cells and smaller aggregates that highly express P-selectin, which we further identified to be megakaryocytes recruited to the tumour inflammatory site and their shed platelets, respectively, according to CD41 expression [25] (Fig. 3b). P-selectin expression is also observed on blood vessel endothelium, as shown by endomucin expression, a vascular marker (Fig. 3c).

A mock injection with PBS was performed in the healthy leg during the model induction. Once breast cancer bone metastases were established in all animals (typically 2 weeks post-implantation, around total bioluminescent signal $10^7–10^8$ p/s), and the inflammation caused by injection had been mostly resolved [51], $7 \times 10^5$ Native or PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG 24 h post-engineered MSC, pre-labelled with lipophilic dye (DiD), were injected i.v. via the tail vein. Mice were euthanised at 6, 24, 48 and 72 hours post cell transplantation. Legs and several relevant organs (lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys and heart) were isolated to measure the fluorescence emitted by DiD-MSC, using IVIS fluorescence imaging, to determine cell bio-distribution (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 8). PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC showed significantly increased migration to the tumour leg compared to Native MSC ($p < .05$, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison test) at 72 hours post-implantation (Fig. 4a), although we did not observe any significant homing preferentially to the tumour leg versus healthy legs from the same animals. Over the combined time-points, PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC were significantly more localised in the mouse legs than Native MSC (Supplementary Fig. 9a). We confirmed the cell integrity of the PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC that had homed to the bone marrow using fluorescent microscopy (Supplementary Fig. 9b-c). These findings justify the use of PSGL-1/SLEX engineering, to maximise the number of MSC that reach the tumour site. Moreover, when analysing the bone marrow for P-selectin expression and MSC content, we found that PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC tend to accumulate in areas with high vascular P-selectin expression (Fig. 4b), which are usually found around the tumour site (Fig. 3a-d). In fact, the number of PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC (but not Native MSC) that migrated to the bone marrow positively correlates with P-selectin expression (Pearson coefficient $r = 0.7643, p < .0001$) (Fig. 4c), suggesting the active PSGL-1/SLEX and P-selectin axis drives the homing of PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC to the bone marrow. Moreover, we also characterised the bio-distribution of Native and PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC in other organs, and noticed that they initially accumulated in the lungs and liver within 24 hours post-transplantation, and were then rapidly cleared (Supplementary Fig. 8), which is consistent with previous studies [52,53].

Next, since the immune system could contribute to the clearance of transplanted MSC, we investigated the homing of PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC in a second, syngeneic, mouse model. This syngeneic model leads to spontaneous bone metastases, thus avoiding any potential inflammation created by needle insertion through the growth plate, such as during the intratrabecular model. We first identified a bone specific Luc/RFP 4T1 mouse breast cancer cell clone (CLL1) (see Methods). CLL1 (10,000 cells) were injected systemically, through the caudal artery, and within a week, small, but detectable bone metastases formed, preferential to
the hind limbs and spine, as previously described [37]. The incidence of this model is excellent (>90% of animals developed bone metastases), but the model is very aggressive and mice usually have to be euthanised within 3 weeks. For this study, we aimed to further investigate engineered MSC homing to healthy legs versus tumour legs. As we were working with mouse tissue, we used Alu qPCR following an established approach [42] to detect human MSC in the leg. Despite the limited sensitivity of our protocol (see Methods), we were still able to detect PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC in 30% of the tumour legs, while none were detectable in the healthy legs. Up to 1156 MSC were detected per tumour leg 72 hours post transplantation, despite potential immune clearance in these immunocompetent animals (Supplementary Fig. 9 d, e).

3.3. Engineered MSC with both CD and OPG exhibit therapeutic effects in treating bone metastases in MDA-MB231 xenograft intratibial model

We then sought to determine the therapeutic efficacy of MSC further engineered with CD, OPG, or both factors to examine if 1) our therapy is efficient in vivo, in a breast cancer bone metastasis model, and 2) if such combinatorial delivery is more beneficial than either therapeutic alone. After verifying the presence of the tumour within the tibia of mice (typically 2 weeks post cancer induction), we injected $1 \times 10^5$ MSC engineered with CD (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD MSC), OPG (PSGL-1/SLEX/OPG MSC), CD/OPG (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC) or Mock-transfected MSC into the tumour-bearing tibia (curative model) (Fig. 5a). PBS was injected in the control group (CT), as well as in the healthy legs (mock control for needle-induced inflammation and bone damages). We chose to use a local injection in this set of experiments, to allow for robust comparison between each treatment condition. The primary goal of this intratibial treatment injection was to test the efficacy of OPG and CD treatments, not to determine MSC homing (although the therapeutically engineered cells were also equipped with PSLG-1/SLEX, which replicates our final product, to be used in the systemic infusion in the following experiments). Mice were treated with 500 mg/kg of 5-FC pro-drug at 48 hours post-implantation, by which time 5-FC would have been cleared from filter organs if administered systemically as in our intended future clinical use.
A pilot experiment was first performed using \( n = 4 \) mice per group. Through this initial experiment, we established techniques to confirm tumour implantation within the tibia by overlaying bioluminescence and X-Ray (Supplementary Fig. 10a) and to characterise the presence of tumour cells within the bone marrow of the tibia by using both immunofluorescence (RFP expressed by cancer cells) and H&E staining (Supplementary Fig. 10b). From this experiment, we obtained a preliminary assessment on tumour killing and bone preservation among different treatment groups and determined the minimum number of mice per group required for statistical analysis using a power analysis (see Methods). We then repeated the experiment following the exact same treatment scheme (Fig. 5a) with \( n = 10 \) mice per group. Tumour growth within the tibia was monitored using bioluminescence imaging. Animals were randomised in each group and showed comparable tumour signal across groups before treatment (Supplementary Fig. 11). A tumour decrease was observed for animals treated with MSC engineered with both CD MSC groups, particularly CD/OPG MSC (\( p < .05 \) from Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, compared to Mock MSC), immediately following the treatment (Fig. 5b, c), but most of the tumours eventually grew back to a level comparable to control groups (PBS and Mock MSC). At the end-point, mice treated with CD/OPG MSC also exhibited smaller tumours compared to control groups (although the difference was not significant, due to high variability between good and bad responders). However, OPG MSC slowed the tumour growth, leading to significantly smaller tumours than the Mock MSC group (\( p < .05 \), Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc) in the longer-term (Fig. 5b, d, Supplementary Fig. 11). In addition, we analysed the tumour growth data.
points from both pilot and larger-scale experiments using a linear mixed model (LMM), which takes inter-experiment variability into account (Supplementary Table 3). This LMM analysis showed CD/OPG MSC treatment is most effective among all engineered MSC groups in decreasing tumour growth both immediately after treatment \( (p = .0039) \), and at the end-point \( (p = .0494) \), compared to the PBS control as it was used in both studies.

We further investigated tumour-induced bone damage by analysing bone structure of all tibias at the end-point, using microcomputed tomography (micro-CT). One representative animal from each group, which exhibited the closest values to the median of that group for the bone analysis, was presented in Fig. 5e. The 3D reconstruction of the entire tibia clearly indicated extensive bone damage in the PBS group, which was mainly gone in most of the groups, except for the OPG MSC treated mice, which exhibited trabecular bone structure similar to the one found in healthy legs (Fig. 5e, Supplementary Video 3). We further quantified the trabecular bone left in each tumour-bearing tibia, and illustrated the bone loss, by normalising the obtained values to the trabecular bone volume of healthy legs (Fig. 5f). Indeed, 70% of the mice from OPG MSC group had <50% trabecular bone loss, thus exhibiting significant protection against tumour-induced bone loss compared to Mock MSC group \( (p < .05, \text{Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc}) \). We also performed histology on the tumour-bearing tibias from those animals (five representative animals from each group as shown in Fig. 5g). Control groups (PBS and Mock MSC) showed extensive tumour invasion through the cortical bone, with total loss of bone architecture in some cases. In contrast, bone structure was preserved for good responder animals treated with engineered MSC, in particular in the OPG MSC group, where the tibias look healthier than for the other groups. Moreover, the LMM analysis of trabecular bone, from both pilot and larger-scale experiments, showed that both OPG MSC and CD/OPG MSC displayed significantly less trabecular bone damage than the PBS control group, with the OPG MSC being the most effective in protecting against tumour-induced bone damage (Supplementary Table 4). Furthermore, we evaluated the impact of OPG MSC treatment in inhibiting tumour-induced osteoclastogenesis in vivo using tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining for osteoclasts in the growth plate of the tibias of mice (both healthy and tumour legs) (Supplementary Fig. 12). Healthy legs of tumour mice treated with PBS were included as a control. Note that we could not include tumour legs, as the growth plates were gone, due to tumour invasion and high osteoclastic activity \cite{3} (Fig. 5g). We found that the
Engineered MSC exhibit therapeutic effects in treating bone metastases in vivo in the MDA-MB231 intratibial model. (a) Timeline of the therapeutic treatment validation. MSC were engineered as follows: Mock group (Mock transfected), CD group (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD), OPG group (PSGL-1/SLEX/OPG) and CD/OPG group (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG) and injected directly into the tibia, \( n = 10 \) per group. PBS group only received PBS in the tibia instead of MSC, \( n = 6 \). (b) The logarithm values of the tumour growth ratio (Total photon flux measured/Total photon flux on the day before the treatment started) are plotted over time for each group. Median of each group is shown. Pink double-headed arrow shows treatment duration. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, \(* p \leq 0.05 \) between Mock MSC and OPG MSC. (c) Bar graph shows the tumour growth ratio measured on the day after the treatment is done. Each point represents one animal, and the bars represent the median value of the group. Dashed line indicates a tumour growth ratio of 1 (animals below that line have tumour decrease). Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, \(* p \leq 0.05 \) between Mock MSC and CD/OPG MSC. (d) Bar graph shows the tumour growth ratio measured on the end-point day. Each point represents one animal, and the bars represent the median value of the group. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, \(* p \leq 0.05 \) between Mock MSC and OPG MSC. (e) MicroCT imaging was done on mouse tibias after euthanasia. Representative 3D reconstructions matching the median of each group for bone analysis are shown. First row shows whole tibias without the fibula, while bottom row shows trabecular bone. (f) Bar graph shows the trabecular bone ratio measured by normalising the trabecular bone volume of each tumour leg to the trabecular bone volume of healthy legs. Each point represents one animal, and the bars represent the median value of the group. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, \(* p \leq 0.05 \) between Mock MSC and OPG MSC. (g) H&E staining of mouse tibias at end-point demonstrate treatment with OPG MSC and CD/OPG MSC can clear tumour cells and preserve the bone structure, while tumour invades the cortical bone in control animals (PBS and Mock MSC groups). 5 representative mouse tibias are shown for each group. BM = healthy bone marrow. Scale bar: 500 \( \mu m \).
number of TRAP$^+$ osteoclasts was significantly reduced in the tumour tissue from the mice treated with OPG MSC compared to the PBS control ($p < .05$, Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc). Finally, in this experiment (Fig. 5), we observed OPG MSC exerted a stronger therapeutic effect than CD/OPG MSC. This could be because CD/OPG MSC has lower expression levels of therapeutic proteins due to engineering with multiple factors compared to OPG MSC. Nevertheless, the data above suggest that both CD (in short term) and OPG (in long term) exhibit therapeutic effects in vivo, but their co-expression should be further investigated to maximise their combinatorial effect in inhibiting both tumour growth and preserving bone integrity. We reason that even if OPG MSC were able to slow down tumour growth, they could not clear the tumour as CD MSC do, justifying the potential benefit of combination therapy. After all, the LMM analysis suggested potential benefit of the CD/OPG MSC combined therapy. Therefore, we decided to use the combination treatment PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC for the following intravenous (i.v.) administration studies. As our envisioned treatment in the clinic will be an off-the-shelf cell therapy administered via simple i.v. infusion, we next assessed efficacy, with a focus on survival, and systemic toxicity of our PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC following i.v. delivery. We compared their tumour-killing effect to a toxic dose of the chemotherapeutic drug 5-FU, a common treatment for breast cancer patients known for its side-effects [47]. As illustrated in Fig. 6a, we intravenously injected our engineered MSC through the tail vein, followed by daily treatment with 500 mg/kg 5-FU intraperitoneally (i.p.), starting 48 hours post-transplantation over 5 days. The control group consisted of tumour-bearing animals only injected with PBS. In another group, 200 mg/kg 5-FU was injected i.p. on the same schedule as the 5-FU group. This relatively high dose of 5-FU was used as a positive control for tumour killing and tissue toxicity, as previous studies we performed with lower doses (up to 50 mg/kg for five days during three consecutive weeks) neither induced toxicity nor killed MDA-MB231 xenografts in Nude animals [54]. We also included a triple MSC injection group to examine if multiple injections perform better than single injection; in this case, the treatment schedule was repeated for three consecutive weeks. 3 to 4 mice per group were sacrificed at the end of the treatment to evaluate tissue toxicity, while the rest of the mice were kept for long-term survival analysis. The overall survival of control animals or animals treated with Native MSC was very similar, with all the animals euthanised by 50 days (Fig. 6b). The toxicity of 5-FU was so severe that all animals died or had to be euthanised by day 10. Notably, around day 7, the 5-FU treated mice stopped grooming, started having diarrhoea and showed dramatic weight loss, indicating signs of toxicity. By contrast, mice treated with MSC did not show any sign of distress and gained weight during the experiment similar to the control mice. Importantly, their survival was significantly improved by the treatment, in particular for the triple injection group where 30% of the mice were still alive after 111 days, versus 12.5% for the single injection group (Fig. 6b).

In addition, at the time of euthanasia, we observed a noticeable reduction (about 2/3) of the size of spleens in the 5-FU group compared to the control group, whereas MSC treatment did not seem to affect the spleen size and morphology (Supplementary Fig. 13a). H&E analysis of spleen sections confirmed tissue damage induced by 5-FU, with a decrease of the size of germinal centres, replaced by fibrotic tissue (Fig. 6c–d, Supplementary Fig. 13b). In regard to toxicity to other organs, including lungs, liver, kidney, bone marrow, and small/large intestines, we observed reduction of the size of villi in the small intestine and a decrease of the number of goblet cells per villus. Severe haemolysis happened in the bone marrow for the 5-FU group with a decrease of the number of leukocytes, while no significant difference in tissue structure was observed among groups for the other organs (Fig. 6c–d, Supplementary Fig. 14). In addition, we isolated the bone marrow to analyse the general cell viability and the different populations using flow cytometry. Consistent with our previous conclusions, PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC did not induce significant cell death within the healthy bone marrow, unlike the 5-FU treatment [55], which considerably increased the percentage of necrotic cells (1.68 fold increase), and decreased the percentage of viable cells (2.71 fold decrease) compared to the CT group (Fig. 6e). Although the percentage of Annexin V$^+$ cells was not significantly different between each group, 5-FU induced stronger apoptosis with a median of fluorescence intensity (MFI) 4.8 times higher than that of the other groups for Annexin V FITC. The MFI for the 7-ADD$^+$ cells in the 5-FU group was also 16.7 times higher than the other groups, confirming an overall lower cell viability in the bone marrow of 5-FU treated animals (Supplementary Fig. 13c). Regarding the populations affected, we only observed a slight increase of B cells B220$^+$ in the single injection group (5.24% versus 3%) (Fig. 6f). However, these changes are minimal compared to those induced by high-doses of 5-FU, which, unsurprisingly, induced a 3.84-fold decrease of the myeloid component, a 4.7-fold decrease of B220$^{mid}$ B cells and 14.8-fold increase of B220$^{high}$ B cells within the bone marrow, compared to the control group [55]. Taken together, these results showed that our engineered MSC based therapy was able to extend the animals’ survival without inducing significant systemic toxicity compared to high-dose 5-FU treatment. Finally, we performed preliminary assessment of the bone integrity, which demonstrated PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC exhibited a promising protective effect on bone damage, compared to the Control (PBS) and Native MSC groups (Supplementary Fig. 15, Supplementary Table 5). With this encouraging preliminary study, we decided to extensively investigate our engineered MSC’s therapeutic effects in killing tumour cells and protecting against bone loss, as well as its potential toxicity using a more clinically relevant animal model (immunocompetent, and of spontaneous bone metastasis, see below).

3.4. Engineered MSC with both CD and OPG exhibit superior therapeutic effects in a syngeneic model of spontaneous bone metastases

We next tested our engineered MSC therapy in our second syngeneic mouse model, which takes into consideration the immune system component and leads to spontaneous bone metastases (Supplementary Fig. 16a). We included PBS and Mock-transfected MSC, the combined therapy PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC (CD/OPG MSC), as well as the monotherapies PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC (CD MSC) and PSGL-1/SLEX/OPG (OPG MSC). We focused on two main outcomes for this study: efficacy and toxicity. A minimum number of 10 mice per group was determined by the power analysis; we included 10 animals for PBS, Mock-transfected MSC and 5-FU groups, while we used 13 animals in the CD MSC, OPG MSC and CD/OPG MSC groups. As soon as all animals had detectable bone metastases, we systemically injected engineered MSC groups, followed by 500 mg/kg 5-FU for 5 consecutive days, starting two days post MSC transplantation (Fig. 7a). PBS injections were done as a control. For a treatment reference, we injected 5-FU i.p. with a clinically relevant dose of 12.5 mg/kg [38] (see Methods for dose optimisation), following the same schedule as for 5-FC injections. We first evaluated the efficacy by measuring the tumour growth ratio over time (total photon flux divided by the total photon flux measured before treatment for each animal). PBS was used as a control since MSC transplantation could induce an immune response in this model, thus not being an appropriate control. Although initially very small, tumours developed extremely rapidly (Supplementary Fig. 16a). Among the groups tested, only the CD/OPG MSC treatment was able to induce a significant tumour decrease, as determined by a non-parametric ANOVA at the end-point ($p < .05$ between PBS and CD/OPG groups) (Fig. 7b). We further investigated the differences between the combined therapies and the monotherapies and plotted the tumour growth ratio at the end-point, for each animal, for CD and CD/OPG groups as well as for OPG and CD/OPG groups (Supplementary Fig. 16b and c). We noticed several populations based on response to treatment, with a clear good responder group for the mice treated by CD/OPG MSC, which was absent in groups treated by CD MSC or OPG MSC. The combined therapy was more efficient in preventing tumour growth than CD MSC.
monotherapy (*p < .05, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc), and also tends to be more efficient than OPG MSC therapy (p = .0638, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc). Moreover, mice treated by CD/OPG MSC seemed healthier than other groups overall, with less moribund mice, better grooming and ability to move around, at the end-point (Supplementary Video 4). Due to the aggressiveness of this model, mouse condition deteriorated extremely rapidly (paraplegia and diarrhoea due to spine metastasis, etc.).

**Statistical analysis:**

- Kruskal-Wallis followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparison test among each group to compare all conditions to the control.
- Post hoc comparisons were done on the H&E staining: percentage of connective tissue to assess spleen fibrosis, number of goblet cells per villus to evaluate intestine damage, and number of leukocytes per bone marrow area to measure toxicity.

**Fig. 6.** Systemic infusion of PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC improves animal survival without inducing systemic toxicity in the MDA-MB231 intratibial model. (a) Timeline of the therapeutic treatments. PBS or 7 x 10^5 MSC (Native or PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG) were injected i.v. and 48 h later 500 mg/kg 5-FC or 200 mg/kg 5-FU was injected i.p. once a day for 5 days. Mice were euthanised as defined by end-point criteria (total photon flux x 10^{10} p/s, signs of pain or distress, etc.). (b) PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC treatment improves overall animal survival. The graph shows the percentage of survival of the animals in the different groups: CT (PBS control, 11 mice), Native MSC + 5-FC treatment (6 mice), 5-FU (5 mice), PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC single injection + 5-FC treatment (8 mice), and PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC triple injection + 5-FC treatment (10 mice). Statistical analysis: Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test, *p < .05. (c) PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC treatment group exhibits minimal systemic toxicity compared to 5-FU treatment. Tissue analysis was performed following H&E staining to evaluate toxicity-induced damage. Panel shows organs where the greatest damage was observed: spleen, small intestine, and bone marrow. Scale bars: 500 μm for spleen and bone marrow, 250 μm for the small intestine.

**Eng MSC** = PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG MSC. (d) Engineered MSC did not induce significant tissue damage. Quantifications were done on the H&E staining: percentage of connective tissue to assess spleen fibrosis, number of goblet cells per villus to evaluate intestine damage, and number of leukocytes per bone marrow area to measure toxicity. Bar graph shows the median for each group, and each point represents one analysed leg. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparison test among each group (viable, apoptotic and necrotic) to compare all conditions to the control; *p ≤ .05, ****p ≤ .0001.

**Fig. 5.** Engineered MSC did not lead to significant cell death in the bone marrow. Flow cytometry was performed on bone marrow to analyse the percentages of viable, apoptotic and necrotic cells. 2 to 3 animals were used for each group, and both legs were analysed. As no major differences were observed between the healthy and the tumour leg, data from both legs were pooled. Bar graph shows the median for each group, and each point represents one analysed leg. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparison test among each group to compare all conditions to the control; *p < .05, **p < .01. (f) Engineered MSC did not alter cell composition of the bone marrow. Flow cytometry was performed on equal numbers of bone marrow cells to analyse the different populations: monocytes/macrophages (Mono/Macro), granulocytes and B lymphocytes (B220high and B220low). 2 to 3 animals were used for each group, and both legs were analysed. As no major differences were observed between the healthy and the tumour leg, data from both legs were pooled. Bar graph shows the median for each group, and each point represents one analysed leg. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparison test among each group to compare all conditions to the control; *p < .05, ****p < .0001.
weight loss, and tumours breaking through the cortical bone). We decided to score the paralysis to quantify this effect, and confirmed that CD/OPG MSC treated mice were less paralysed than mice in PBS control group ($p < .05$, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post hoc), with the majority of mice being free of movement, while the majority of animals in other groups were dragging their hind limbs (Fig. 7c). We then examined treatment effects on tumour-induced bone damage for those animals, and randomly picked 6 animals per groups, which possessed clear bone metastatic signal coming from the leg before the treatment. As a majority of bone metastases found in the hind limbs were located in the hip and femur area, we decided to focus on the femurs, instead of the tibias, for this model (Fig. 7D, Supplementary Video 5). For each sample, we asked twenty people (75% of them not being familiar with this study or not doing research) to blindly score the shaft damage and the epiphysis damage. The scoring matched the 3D reconstructions, with significantly less bone damage in the group treated with CD/OPG MSC ($p < .05$ analysed by Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc test, between PBS and CD/OPG groups, Fig. 7e). In addition, we quantified the total bone volume and the remaining trabecular bone in the epiphysis. CD/OPG MSC and OPG...
MSC tend to have more total bone volume than the PBS control (although the results were not significant) (Fig. 7f). Similarly, animals treated with CD/OPG MSC tended to have more remaining trabecular bone than the PBS control group (p = .0748 analysed by Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc test, Supplementary Fig. 16d).

Our second goal was to evaluate potential toxicity of our engineered MSC. This time, we compared the toxicity of each treatment to our 5-FU reference. First, we measured the body weight of the animals during the whole experiment, and although all animals did lose weight because of the aggressive bone metastases, our engineered MSC therapies did not induce significant weight loss compared to the PBS group, while 5-FU did (Fig. 7g). Then, we performed H&E staining on peripheral organs, including spleen, intestines, and bone marrow, which did not exhibit any major toxicity among all treatment groups (Supplementary Fig. 16e).

We also analysed the bone marrow of the mouse femur for 10 animals per group at the end-point, both for cell viability (Fig. 7h, Supplementary Fig. 16f), and myeloid cell population (Supplementary Fig. 16g). MSC groups had minimal effect on these measures, while 5-FU reference treatment exhibited significant toxicity. Taken together, these findings suggest that 1) our combined CD/OPG MSC therapy exhibited therapeutic efficacy in a syngeneic mouse model of bone metastasis, while monotherapies and 5-FU did not, and 2) our targeted approach is significantly less toxic than its non-targeted chemotherapy counterpart in vivo.

4. Discussion

Bone metastases are common, incurable, and associated with debilitating complications. Existing monotherapies and drug delivery systems are ineffective and often present severe side effects [2,8]. Efficient treatment of metastatic bone diseases requires simultaneous addressing of tumour growth and bone resorption. Although previous studies showed synergistic effects for breast cancer bone metastases treatment when combining bisphosphonates and chemotherapeutic agents [56,57], drug delivery and associated systemic toxicity remain a challenge. Here, we introduce a new paradigm of bone metastasis treatment by embracing a combination therapy that targets both the cancer cells and their niche through a stem-cell–based vehicle that homes to the bone metastases. We reasoned that such a combinatorial treatment targeting multiple cancer mechanisms would be of paramount importance to efficiently treat bone metastases along with preventing drug resistance, relapse, and development of new metastases compared to monotherapies, with limited side-effects. Indeed, by co-delivering both an anti-tumour agent (CD/5-FU pro-drug) [20] and an anti-osteolytic agent (OPG) [58], our engineered stem cell system displayed great potential in both killing tumour cells and preserving bone integrity. Given the current challenges in co-delivery of biologics in a controlled fashion, our mRNA-based cell engineering presents a simple and powerful way to target multiple mechanisms (tumour-selectin expression, tumour growth and bone resorption) simultaneously in a rapid and transient way following treatment, therefore avoiding potential long-term safety issues observed in traditional viral engineering approaches [59,60]. Previous studies targeting bone tumours through a cell-based therapy used genetically modified cells, which usually only delivered a single therapeutic molecule [29–31]. We showed that not only does mRNA engineering allow concurrent expression of multiple factors, but that it also leads to therapeutic efficacy similar to that of genetic engineering [19]. Moreover, safety concerns are limited regarding MSC persistence following transplantation as MSC are killed along with the surrounding cancer cells within days after converting 5-FC to 5-FU [19].

MSC represent ideal trophic vehicles for drug delivery to bone metastases as systemically delivered MSC have been shown to preferentially localise to, and subsequently integrate with the bone marrow [61], showing promising potential for bone tumour treatment [62]. Our system also takes advantage of the engineered homing of MSC to specifically and efficiently deliver ‘cargo’ to the target tumour site. This ‘active’ targeting circumvents many hurdles associated with conventional delivery systems (i.e., by direct administration or nanoparticles), which cannot efficiently reach and penetrate metastatic sites [14]. By recapitulating the bone-homing cascade of HSC and metastatic cancer cells, we are able to maximise MSC delivery to bones, through interactions between PSG1-1/SLEX and selectins on activated bone vasculature [9], and on megakaryocytes and platelets [63], in the tumour area. Indeed, P- and E-selectins are overexpressed in many patients’ tumours [64]. It is also interesting to note that PSG1-1/SLEX-engineered MSC could interact with circulating platelets, as observed in the leucocyte and tumour cell trafficking cascades [63,65,66], en route to the bone metastatic niche, which could further facilitate MSC delivery, and will be investigated in the future. This targeted approach would significantly minimise undesirable toxicity, as observed in treatment with chemotherapy, including 5-FU, in the clinic. Our results clearly showed that engineered MSC home more to the bone metastatic sites than native MSC. Interestingly, we did not observe a significant difference in the homing of engineered MSC to tumour-bearing legs and to healthy legs overall, (whole leg imaging) in our first MDA-MB231 intratibial model. This could be due to the basal expression of selectins and/or activated selectin expression following needle-induced inflammation in the tibia, or tumour-induced systemic inflammation in the bone marrow vasculature of healthy legs [25,26]. In fact, we also noticed P-selectin expression within the bone marrow of the healthy leg of tumour-bearing mice, corroborating this hypothesis. We observed that MSC were sparse in the healthy leg, but in the tumour leg they were

---

**Fig. 7.** CD/OPG MSC exhibit therapeutic effects and minimal toxicity in a syngeneic mouse model of spontaneous bone metastasis. (a) Timeline of the therapeutic treatment. Spontaneous bone metastases were induced by caudal artery injection of a 4T1 bone metastatic clone (CLL1) to BALB/c nude mice. Once bone metastases were detected, engineered MSC or PBS were systemically administered to animals via iv. injection, and 5-FU was injected i.p. for 5 consecutive days 48 h post transplantation. 125 mg/kg 5-FU was used as a reference group for treatment. MSC were engineered as follows: Mock group (Mock transduced), CD group (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD), OPG group (PSGL-1/SLEX/OPG) and CD/OPG group (PSGL-1/SLEX/CD/OPG). PBS, Mock and 5-FU groups: n = 10 animals per group. CD, OPG and CD/OPG groups: n = 13 per group. (b) CD/OPG MSC inhibits tumour growth compared to PBS control group. Bioluminescence imaging was performed over time and signal was quantified in the lower body to measure bone metastases development in legs and spine. The median of the tumour growth ratio (total photon flux over time normalised to total photon flux before treatment) was plotted for each group. Statistical analysis done at the end-point: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .05 between PBS and CD/OPG MSC. (c) CD/OPG treatment improves mouse mobility. Paralysis of the animals was scored at the end-point (see Methods). Bar graph shows the median score for each group, and each point represents one animal. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .05 between PBS and CD/OPG MSC. (d) Femurs bearing bone metastases are less damaged in CD/OPG MSC treated group. Micro-CT analysis was done on mouse femurs exhibiting clear leg metastases (usually around the hip area) before treatment from 6 mice per group. 3D reconstructions were made from the whole femurs. (e) Bone damage was blindly scored by a panel of 20 unbiased persons (shaft damage from 0 to 3 and epiphysis damage from 0 to 5 based on importance of damage). The average scoring was shown for each animal. Bar graph represents the median score for each group, and each point represents one animal. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .05 between PBS and CD/OPG MSC. (f) CD/OPG MSC and CD/OPG treatments seem to protect against bone loss. The total bone volume was quantified for each femur. Bar graph shows the median bone volume for each group, and each point represents one animal. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .05 between PBS and CD/OPG MSC. (g) 5-FU treatment, but not MSC groups, induces significant body weight loss. Graph shows the median body weight loss for each group over time. Statistical analysis done at the end-point: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .01 between PBS and 5-FU. (h) Only 5-FU treatment induces additional apoptosis in the bone marrow. At the end-point, the bone marrow of the healthier leg was isolated and cell apoptosis was analysed using flow-cytometry (AnnexinV+/7-AAD-) for n = 10 animals per group. Bar graph shows the median percent of apoptosis for each group, and each point represents one animal. Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc, *p* < .05 between 5-FU and CD/OPG MSC, and *p* < .01 between PBS and 5-FU.
from the second syngeneic model, which is extremely aggressive, con-
vantageous in effectively managing bone metastases. Indeed, our data
the vicious circle between tumours and their niche, and therefore is ad-
would exhibit different and complementary mechanisms in blocking
CD and OPG co-delivered by MSC. In particular, we would like to assess the CD’s bystander effect on
versus
mRNA. In addition, we will further characterise the impact of monother-
expression of the therapeu-
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make sure they have comparable expression, and that there are no com-
competition for protein translation in the case of engineering with multiple
in vitro and in vivo expression of the therapeu-
tics of combined versus monotherapies in the first intratibial model to
further understand why CD/OPG MSC did not perform as potently as OPG MSC. Through our study, it became clear to us that, due to
the different mechanisms of action between CD and OPG, the optimal, sustained therapeutic benefits from combinatorial treatment will likely
be dependent on tumour size and bone damage levels at study entry as well as treatment schedules, which will be exploited in the future. In
particular, we will assess in vitro and in vivo expression of the therapeutic
dosage, number, frequency, and schedules of treatments
at different stages of
tumour induced bone damage. In particular, we will dissect therapeutic
effects of combined versus monotherapies in preserving bone integrity in vivo, at different stages of
their therapeutic function
in preserving bone integrity in vivo, at different stages of
in vivo, CD/OPG MSC did not perform as potently as OPG MSC. Through our study, it became clear to us that, due to
the different mechanisms of action between CD and OPG, the optimal, sustained therapeutic benefits from combinatorial treatment will likely
be dependent on tumour size and bone damage levels at study entry as well as treatment schedules, which will be exploited in the future. In
particular, we will assess in vitro and in vivo expression of the therapeutic
dosage, number, frequency, and schedules of treatments
at different stages of
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effects of combined versus monotherapies in preserving bone integrity in vivo, at different stages of
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effects of combined versus monotherapies in preserving bone integrity in vivo, at different stages of
tumour induced bone damage.
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