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Abstract

Purpose The study investigated the feasibility of a positron-sensitive hand-held detector system for the intraoperative localisation of tumour deposits resulting from intravenous \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \) administration.

Methods A total of 17 patients (12 receiving preoperative \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \) PET imaging) with various histologically proven malignancies were included. Radioactivity from tumours and surrounding normal tissue was measured on average 3 h after administration of 36–110 MBq \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \) and the tumour-to-background (T/B) ratio was calculated. In addition, phantom studies were performed to evaluate the spatial resolution and sensitivity of the probe.

Results All known targeted tumour sites were identified by the positron probe. T/B ratios were generally high, with a mean T/B ratio of 6.6, allowing easy identification of most tumour sites. In one case of a hepatic metastasis, the T/B ratio of 1.34 was below expectations, since the preoperative \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \) PET scan was positive. The probe was instrumental in the localisation of three additional tumour lesions (two lymph nodes, one anastomotic ring) that were not immediately apparent at surgery. Phantom studies revealed that \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \)-containing gel (simulating tumour tissue), having 10 times more \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \) than surrounding “normal” background gel, was clearly detectable in quantities as low as 15 mg. As measured in two cases, the absorbed radiation doses ranged from 2.5 to 8.6 \( \mu \text{Sv/h} \) for the surgical team to 0.8 \( \mu \text{Sv/h} \) for the aesthetician.

Conclusion \( [^{18}\text{F}] \text{FDG} \)-accumulating tumour tissues can be localised with positron probes intraoperatively with a low radiation burden to the patient and medical personnel. The methodology holds promise for further clinical testing.
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Introduction

Surgery can potentially cure locally metastasising solid tumours. Nevertheless, the presence of residual disease following conservative surgical treatment is a common cause of locally recurrent cancer and might also be the source of distant metastases. Reliable intraoperative identification of small cancer masses would permit the surgeon to
selectively resect malignant tissue. Probe-guided surgery might allow the identification of tumour lesions that have remained undetected by preoperative imaging. Also, highly sensitive probe systems might be useful to differentiate tumour from scar after neoadjuvant cancer treatment and might allow the detection of small tumour masses at the level of resection margins.

Positron emission tomography (PET) with fluorine-18 labelled fluordeoxyglucose (\[^{18}\text{F}\]FDG) localises malignant tissue based on the up-regulation of glucose transporters and subsequent phosphorylation by hexokinase. Although the specificity of \[^{18}\text{F}\]FDG for malignant tissue is limited, whole-body \[^{18}\text{F}\]FDG PET imaging has been applied with tremendous success in a large number of malignancies for staging of initial and recurrent disease [1]. Additional oncological applications of PET are rapidly being developed and there is likely to be further expansion in applications with the availability of new positron-emitting radiopharmaceuticals for clinical use [2–4]. Therefore, intraoperative hand-held probes that detect beta particles (positrons) emitted from the radioactive decay of fluorine-18 have recently been developed [5–10]. Selective detection of beta particles may be advantageous for the localisation of very small amounts (mg) of tumour tissue. Beta particles resulting from the decay of fluorine-18 have a mean range of only 0.5 mm, and a maximum range of 2.4 mm in water before annihilation into two 511-keV photons [11, 12]. The short range of positrons in tissue necessitates primary localisation of suspected tumour tissue either visually by the surgeon or by using a high-energy gamma detector.

We tested a newly built commercially available beta and gamma probe system (SI PET-Probe System, Silicon Instruments, Berlin, Germany) that uses silicon detectors. The system is capable of simultaneous beta particle and high-energy photon detection using two separate probes.

**Materials and methods**

**Beta probe**

The detector unit of the intraoperative beta-probe system used in this study consists of a silicon detector incorporated into a single compact unit. The detector’s design has been optimised for a high beta particle sensitivity and low absorption of detector-penetrating photons. The shaft of the probe has a diameter of 11 mm while the tip of the detector has an outer diameter of 16 mm with an active circular detector area of 20 mm\(^2\) at the tip of the probe. The front face of the tip is covered with an extremely thin layer of light-impenetrable foil to ensure insensitivity to light and electromagnetic shielding. Electrical connections from the detectors to the electronics boards located in the probe handle are routed through a stainless steel tube connecting the probe tip and handle. The probe weighs 65 g and is easily handled by the surgeon (Fig. 1).

**High-energy gamma probe**

The high-energy gamma probe detector unit is a shielded silicon detector with a CsI(Tl) scintillation crystal gamma probe weighting 160 g. As recommended by the manufacturer, the energy threshold for 511-keV photon detection was set to 460 keV, electronically discarding photons with lower energy. The tip of the detector has an outer diameter of 11 mm with an active circular detector area of 16 mm\(^2\) at the tip of the probe. All measurements were done using a tungsten-shielded high-energy collimator provided with the system, which narrows the detector window to a diameter of 8 mm (Fig. 1).

For both probes, the variable-height pulses produced by the charge amplifiers were transmitted to the power- and pulse-processing module through 350 cm of shielded, multi-conductor cable. Sealed, watertight connectors were used to exclude the potential for electrical shock during use in surgery. Further technical specifications were not disclosed by the manufacturer.

![Fig. 1 Positron (a) and collimated high-energy gamma (b) probes manufactured by Silicon Instruments (Berlin, Germany)]](image)
In vitro performance tests

Probe performance for the detection of beta particles and 511-keV gamma rays (photons) was tested using $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG solution.

Sensitivity and linearity measurements

The counting rates of the probe were measured in air as a function of source strength over 26.3 h at 1-mm distance from a point source of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG (dried 1-$\mu$l drop of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG solution of less than 500 $\mu$m diameter). The amount of radioactivity ranged from 409 to 0.06 kBq over the length of the experiment. For annihilation photon detection testing, the point source was covered with a 1.0-mm-thick and 20-mm-wide piece of aluminium, sufficiently absorbing all beta particles emitted. Thus, the detector unit was bombarded with a pure flux of aluminium-penetrating 511-keV annihilation photons. The beta and photon data were fitted as a function of radioactivity using linear regression analysis, obtaining the sensitivity for beta particles and annihilation photons.

Resolution measurements

Resolution measurements were performed with the positron probe. The tip of the probe was positioned 1.0 mm above a dried 185 kBq $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG point source. This point source was then moved in 1.0-mm steps to both sides of the probe’s tip, which was either covered with a 60 (±4) $\mu$m thick latex foil (Microtek Probe Cover, Bremen, Germany) or uncovered. The full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) measurement was calculated from counts relative to 50% of maximum.

Probe phantom measurements

A simple phantom was used to simulate the detection of small amounts of superficial tumour tissue within background activity resulting from normal tissues. Briefly, a 12-cm-diameter cylindrical plastic container was filled with 5 MBq of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG, 30 g of gelatine and 200 ml of H$_2$O, stirred and refrigerated for 5 h until a semisolid, flat gel resulted, simulating normal background tissue. Various amounts of equally dense gel (15, 25, 75, 250 or 1,000 $\mu$l of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG solution containing 10 times more activity than background was filled into five appropriately sized spheres. A 10-min static 3D acquisition was started on an ECAT EXACT HR+ PET scanner (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN, USA). Image data were reconstructed using ordered subset expectation maximisation (OSEM, four iterations, 16 subsets). Attenuation correction was applied using a measured transmission scan. Image data were corrected for physical decay, random counts, dead time and scatter. Images were visually inspected for hot spots, and volumes of interest were defined in areas of increased activity to calculate the target to background ratio.

PET phantom measurements

A cylindrical phantom containing 9.4 l of water was filled with 37 MBq of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG as background. Fifteen, 25, 75, 250 or 1,000 $\mu$l of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG solution containing 10 times more activity than background was filled into five appropriately sized spheres. A 10-min static 3D acquisition was started on an ECAT EXACT HR+ PET scanner (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN, USA). Image data were reconstructed using ordered subset expectation maximisation (OSEM, four iterations, 16 subsets). Attenuation correction was applied using a measured transmission scan. Image data were corrected for physical decay, random counts, dead time and scatter. Images were visually inspected for hot spots, and volumes of interest were defined in areas of increased activity to calculate the target to background ratio.

In vivo performance tests

Patients considered surgical candidates underwent informed consent under approved study by the Technical University of Munich institutional review board. A total of 17 patients with histologically proven malignancies (five adenocarcinomas of the distal oesophagus and gastro-oesophageal junction, three gastric adenocarcinomas, one thyroid cancer, one breast cancer, two malignant melanomas, five colorectal cancers) were included. All patients underwent routine clinical staging including endoscopy and CT imaging as needed. Four of the five patients with
adenocarcinomas of the distal oesophagus and gastro-oesophageal junction received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Based on an established protocol at our institution, $^{[18F]}$FDG PET was performed before and 2 weeks after the start of chemotherapy. According to that protocol, two patients were considered as non-responders at PET while the remaining two were classified as partial responders [13]. Therefore, all four patients underwent emergency surgery before completion of the chemotherapy protocol. The patient’s history, PET imaging and probe measurement results are summarised in Table 1. Intraoperative probe readings were performed on average 3 h after tracer injection.

$^{[18F]}$FDG PET or PET/CT scanning was performed in a fasted state with glucose levels less than 120 mg/dl within 2 weeks prior to surgery. Due to the fact that some patients required urgent treatment and PET or PET/CT was not immediately available, PET scanning could only be performed in 12 of the 17 patients. Emission images covering the area from the neck to the pelvis (seven or eight bed positions, 5–7 min duration each) were acquired starting 60 min after injection of 300–400 MBq using an ECAT EXACT HR+ PET scanner (followed by a measured transmission scan) or on a Biograph Sensation 16 PET/CT scanner using the CT data for attenuation correction (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN, USA). Image data, corrected for dead time, random events, physical decay and scatter were reconstructed iteratively using attenuation-weighted OSEM algorithms (eight iterations, four subsets).

Standardised uptake values (SUVs) were calculated from an oval region of interest (ROI) measuring 1.5 cm$^2$ which was placed in the transaxial slice having the maximum tumour uptake on PET or PET/CT images. A recent study comparing SUV measurements obtained with these two scanners showed insignificant differences of the SUV measurements, indicating that results are largely interchangeable if identical OSEM reconstruction parameters are chosen [14].

All patients underwent surgery including probe-directed localisation of $^{[18F]}$FDG after intravenous injection of 36–110 MBq $^{[18F]}$FDG prior to anaesthesia. The amount of tracer injected was determined according to the projected time span between the start of the procedure and the time of intraoperative measurements. Intravenous fluids were void of carbohydrates. At surgery, the probe was covered with a sterile latex foil (same type as was used for in vitro testing). The operational field was scanned and absolute counts per second (cps) were determined at the known tumour sites and normal tissue of the same organ (at least 5 cm away from macroscopically visible tumour tissue). Counts were recorded in real time and visualised on the system’s monitor as cps. In addition, the system provided auditory signals.

| No. | Age (yr) | Body weight (kg) | Inj. activity (MBq) | TD (min) | T/B ratio | SUV$_{\text{mean}}$ | Histology |
|-----|----------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| 1   | 83       | 69              | 93.6              | 153      | 9.0       | 6.8             | Metastasis of papillary thyroid cancer |
| 2   | 40       | 88              | 47.0              | 80       | 3.5       | 5.3             | Gastric adenocarcinoma |
| 3   | 69       | 86              | 65.5              | 133      | 4.9       | 8.3             | Adenocarcinoma of the gastro-oesophageal junction |
| 4   | 56       | 70              | 41.4              | 365      | 3.4       | 6.6             | Recurrent rectal cancer |
| 5   | 43       | 86              | 110.6             | 300      | 3.2       | –               | Adenocarcinoma of the colon |
| 6   | 75       | 70              | 44.0              | 140      | 5.2       | –               | Adenocarcinoma of the colon |
| 7   | 63       | 89              | 73.6              | 199      | 1.3       | 7.2             | Liver metastasis of colon cancer |
| 8   | 76       | 66              | 48.5              | 149      | 4.1       | 4.1             | Gastric adenocarcinoma |
| 9   | 48       | 72              | 40.7              | 189      | 2.6       | 4.9             | Barret’s cancer |
| 10  | 60       | 86              | 41.1              | 105      | 5.6       | 2.8             | Ductal breast cancer |
| 11  | 57       | 58              | 36.6              | 165      | 10.4      | 2.3             | Adenocarcinoma of the distal oesophagus |
| 12  | 67       | 95              | 52.9              | 149      | 17.2      | –               | Adenocarcinoma of the distal oesophagus |
| 13  | 59       | 73              | 36.3              | 250      | 3.3       | 12.8            | Malignant melanoma |
| 14  | 73       | 86              | 37.7              | 19       | 12.3      | 5.0             | Malignant melanoma |
| 15  | 65       | 84              | 36.6              | 171      | 8.4       | 3.8             | Adenocarcinoma of the colon |
| 16  | 71       | 62              | 37.7              | 255      | 16.3      | –               | Gastric adenocarcinoma |
| 17  | 53       | 85              | 40.7              | 239      | 11.7      | –               | Adenocarcinoma of the gastro-oesophageal junction |

| Mean | 62.3 | 77.9 | 52.0 | 184.3 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
| SD   | 12.0 | 11.0 | 21.7 | 72.2  | 4.8 | 2.8 |

$TD$ time difference between injection and probe measurements, $T/B$ tumour/background ratio, $SUV_{\text{mean}}$ mean standardised uptake value in tumour obtained from $^{[18F]}$FDG PET or PET/CT imaging
indicating the level of count reading. For further data analysis, measurements were obtained in triplicate using a gate time of 10 s. The time interval between \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG injection and measurement was recorded. The operative procedure was otherwise conducted as planned. The lesion-to-background ratio was calculated using the normal tissue of the respective tumour-involved organ as background. In two cases, a suspiciously enlarged lymph node was identified by the surgeon. Probe readings were performed from the suspicious as well as the non-involved lymph nodes for comparison. In one additional case, anastomotic rings were evaluated using the probe. For comparison, counts were obtained from normal anastomotic rings (aboral anastomosis).

Radiation dose measurements

Absorbed doses resulting from the decay of \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG in the operation room were measured with a calibrated digital dosimeter (Isotrak DoseGUARD S10, Braunschweig, Germany) for the most affected surgeon, his first assistant and the aesthetician during two procedures. The radiation detectors were worn beneath clothing at the level of the anterior chest. All patients received a Foley catheter to reduce urinary activity during the procedure.

Statistics

Linear fitting was performed with Sigma Plot 8.0 (SPSS Science). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of measurements.

Results

In vitro measurements

The sensitivity of the beta probe for fluorine-18 positron detection was measured as 0.25 cps per Bq (250 cps per kBq) at a distance of 1 mm from a point source without cover (Fig. 3). Positron sensitivity decreased on average by 33% using the intraoperative 60-\(\mu\)m-thick latex cover. The probe’s sensitivity to penetrating 511-keV photons was tested by shielding the probe’s tip with a 1-mm-thick lead cover and found to be negligible (less than 1.5% of total counts at any count rate up to 1,000 cps) (Fig. 4). At a distance of 10 mm to the point source, the sensitivity for positrons decreased by a factor of 5, while the ratio between true positron detection and background detection from penetrating photons increased slightly to 3.5% of total counts.

Linearity was maintained in the clinically important range (20–1,000 cps) and above to a count rate of approximately 10,000 cps (equivalent to approx. 50 kBq at point source). At higher activities (>50 kBq), linearity was increasingly lost owing to dead time (data not shown).

The sensitivity of the high-energy photon probe for fluorine-18 was measured to be 0.066 cps per kilobecquerel at a distance of 1 mm from a point source without any cover (data not shown). Linearity was maintained throughout the investigated range of activity up to 500 kBq. However, the sensitivity of this high-energy photon probe...
was considered too low to allow further in vivo testing. Consequently, intraoperative measurements were only performed with the beta probe.

At 1 mm distance from point source, the FWHM of the positron probe was determined to be approximately 5 mm (Fig. 4). At 10-mm distance from source, the resolution decreased slightly to an FWHM of approximately 8 mm.

Phantom measurements

Phantom measurements were performed with the beta and high-energy photon probes covered with latex foil. Without the presence of background activity, the net decay-corrected beta counting rate for “tumour” gel was indeed nearly 10 times higher than that for “background” gel (9.6:1 ratio). Nevertheless, this ratio was not recovered during phantom measurements. The counting ratio between “tumour” and “background” gel decreased with the reduction in size of the “tumour” gel (3.6:1 for 1 g, 2.8:1 for 250 mg, 2.5:1 for 75 mg, 2.0:1 for 25 mg and 1.5:1 for the 15 mg “tumour” gel). Figure 5 displays these ratios, when the count rate at the centre of the tumour (normalised to 100%) is divided by the mean activity measured between 1.6 and 2 cm from the centre. Even when the probe’s head was covered with the latex foil, 15 mg of “tumour” gel was clearly localised by the positron probe given the selected “tumour”-to-“background” ratio of 10:1.

High-energy photon measurements revealed a much lower T/B ratio of 1.4:1 for the 1 g “tumour” gel, and 1.3:1 for the 250 mg “tumour” gel, while smaller “tumour” gels remained undetected. For this reason, in conjunction with its low sensitivity, the high-energy probe was not considered for further intraoperative testing.

PET phantom measurements

On the 10-min static acquisition image of the phantom, only the 250- and 1,000-μl spheres were visually identified, while smaller spheres containing 15, 25 and 75 μl of \(^{18}\text{F}\) FDG solution remained undetected. Target to background ratios were 2.7:1 for the 1,000-mg sphere and 2.1:1 for the 250-mg sphere, indicating significant partial volume effects.

In vivo measurements

Intraoperative tests have verified the feasibility of positron detection using this device by detecting all primarily targeted tumour sites. Known tumour tissue was clearly detected by the beta probe in 16 of 17 cases. The T/B ratios of primary targeted tumour lesions varied from 1.34:1 to 17.2:1, resulting in a T/B ratio of 6.6±4.8 (mean±SD) for the entire patient population. However, one \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG PET-positive liver metastasis of a colorectal cancer having a tumour-to-background ratio of 1.34 was difficult to localise during the procedure based on positron probe readings alone.

Besides these primary targeted tumour sites, several additional \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG-positive foci were identified using the positron probe during the procedures. In one case, a resection margin at the gastro-oesophageal anastomosis (stapler anastomosis) was found to have mildly increased counts at a small portion of the circumference (T/B ratio 1.3:1). Histology revealed the presence of focal tumour tissue at the level of the resection margin. Also, an adenocarcinoma of the distal oesophagus barely visualised on preoperative \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG PET imaging was clearly identified by intraoperative probe measurements (Table 1, case 11). Intraoperative positron probe scanning of the operation field revealed two additional \(^{18}\text{F}\)FDG-positive foci (T/B ratio 1.8 and 3.4) in two patients identified by the surgeon as lymph nodes close to the tumour site (Table 1, cases 2 and 6). Histological analysis of these lesions verified the presence of lymph node metastases.

Correlation of imaging and intraoperative beta probe findings

SUV measurements from PET and PET/CT imaging and correlative intraoperative probe measurements in relation to the injected dose and time span between tracer injection and probe readings are summarised in Table 1. Pre-operative PET images of patient 11 with a primary distal oesophageal cancer are shown in Fig. 6, displaying only mildly
increased metabolic activity (mean SUV 2.3) at the tumour site. Intraoperative measurements obtained from the tumour surface and normal oesophagus as background revealed a T/B ratio of 10.4, indicating that PET and probe readings do not necessarily correlate. Indeed, regression analysis performed from patients for whom PET imaging was available (n=12) revealed no significant correlation between the T/B ratio obtained from probe readings and SUV measurements (r²=0.15; n.s.).

Radiation dose measurements

Radiation dose measurements were performed during two procedures (patients 2 and 4). The length of the procedures ranged between 2 and 6.25 h. Absorbed radiation doses ranged from 2.5 to 8.6 μSv/h for the most affected surgeon to 0.8 μSv/h for the aesthetician.

Discussion

Many solid cancers that metastasise to regional lymph nodes or, in the case of gastrointestinal cancers, even to distant organs can potentially be cured by surgical resection [15]. Minimally invasive techniques have been adapted to surgical oncology, decreasing morbidity and addressing the quest for optimal function after tumour resection [16–19]. While current preoperative imaging modalities (ultrasound, X-ray, CT, MRI, PET, etc.) are used with the intention of determining a patient’s eligibility for surgery, their overall performance in identifying all potential metastases remains suboptimal. Nevertheless, PET has evolved as a powerful tool for the detection of a large number of cancers. A variety of radiopharmaceuticals have been developed for PET imaging of cancer, including those related to increased amino acid transport, DNA synthesis, somatostatin receptors and tumour hypoxia [2, 4, 20–22], but only [18F]FDG has as yet been approved for human use by the FDA. The physical properties of [18F]FDG make this molecule especially useful for intraoperative tumour imaging. The positron (positively charged electron) emitted from the proton-rich/neutron-deficient isotope travels only a rather short (mean range in water=0.5 mm [11]) distance in tissue before interaction with a negatively charged electron and subsequent annihilation, resulting in the emission of two 511-keV photons, which are used for coincidence imaging (PET) and for identification with photon-sensitive high-energy (gamma) probes, giving an approximation to the location of maximum positron emission [23]. [18F]FDG accumulation in tumour tissue is based on high cellular uptake, glycolytic rate and subsequent trapping, yielding excellent tumour-to-background ratios frequently greater than 10:1 [24].

Most current intraoperative probes are designed for the detection of relatively low-energy photons emitted from isotopes such as technetium-99m (140 keV) or indium-111.
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Physical testing results obtained with the positron probe used in this study compare favourably with previously tested detectors. At 1-mm distance from a point source of [18F]FDG, the sensitivity for positrons was found to be roughly 250 cps/kBq, while the FWHM was calculated as 5 mm using a point source. At 10-mm distance, sensitivity decreased fivefold and the FWHM was found to be 8 mm, indicating that performance is best when direct contact of the probe with the tissue is established. Covering the probe with a standard intraoperative ultrasound latex foil (as done in this study) decreases the sensitivity significantly. The penetration of positrons through the plastic foil is strongly dependent on the thickness of the material and may therefore vary between available products. Since the positron probe used in this study cannot be gas sterilised, this necessitates a moderate increase in the administered dose for intraoperative use.

Phantom studies performed with the positron probe covered with a latex foil revealed that T/B ratios suitable for intraoperative use were achieved with simulated tumour tissue as small as 15 mg. Data published by Raylman et al. [33] as well as our own phantom study indicate that tumour lesions have to be at least one order of magnitude larger to be detected under clinical scanning conditions using high-resolution PET scanners. If gas sterilisation were possible, probe measurements without latex foil would increase the sensitivity by approximately one-third, suggesting that even smaller lesions would become detectable. Thus, under optimal intraoperative measurement conditions only a few milligrams of superficial tumour tissue would be necessary for detection.

A dedicated positron probe composed of a plastic scintillator and a bismuth germanate (BGO) crystal was developed by Yamamoto et al. [27]. The FWHM of this probe was determined as 11 mm at 5-mm distance from source, while the sensitivity for positrons was rather low (2.6 cps/kBq measured 5 mm from the detector surface). Raylman and Wahl developed a detector unit consisting of a stack of two ion-implanted silicon detectors [28]. The sensitivity for the positron detection was found to be approximately 200 cps/kBq, while the resolution (FWHM) was not reported [29]. Zervos et al. investigated a “beta”-sensitive probe manufactured by Crystal (Berlin, Germany) in five patients. While technical specifications, sensitivity and resolution characteristics of this probe were not specifically stated, the measured tumour to background ratios after [18F]FDG administration were generally low (mean 1.6:1) and not different from those measured with a gamma probe (Neoprobe Corporation, Dublin, OH) using high energy window settings [30]. Daghighian et al. built a now commercially available dedicated positron probe (IntraMedical, Los Angeles, CA, USA) that uses two detectors, one that predominantly detects positrons and another that detects only gamma rays, allowing the subtraction of background gamma activity from “true” positron counts. They reported a sensitivity of 108 cps/kBq and a resolution of 10 mm (FWHM) for a source of 5-mm width [31]. Essner et al. reported successful detection of remaining tumour tissue in a single case of a melanoma metastasis in the neck [8], while Frac et al. investigated 5 patients with recurrent melanoma yielding a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 100% using this device [32].

Physical testing results obtained with the positron probe used in this study compare favourably with previously tested detectors. At 1-mm distance from a point source of [18F]FDG, the sensitivity for positrons was found to be roughly 250 cps/kBq, while the FWHM was calculated as 5 mm using a point source. At 10-mm distance, sensitivity decreased fivefold and the FWHM was found to be 8 mm, indicating that performance is best when direct contact of the probe with the tissue is established. Covering the probe with a standard intraoperative ultrasound latex foil (as done in this study) decreases the sensitivity significantly. The penetration of positrons through the plastic foil is strongly dependent on the thickness of the material and may therefore vary between available products. Since the positron probe used in this study cannot be gas sterilised, this necessitates a moderate increase in the administered dose for intraoperative use.

Phantom studies performed with the positron probe covered with a latex foil revealed that T/B ratios suitable for intraoperative use were achieved with simulated tumour tissue as small as 15 mg. Data published by Raylman et al. [33] as well as our own phantom study indicate that tumour lesions have to be at least one order of magnitude larger to be detected under clinical scanning conditions using high-resolution PET scanners. If gas sterilisation were possible, probe measurements without latex foil would increase the sensitivity by approximately one-third, suggesting that even smaller lesions would become detectable. Thus, under optimal intraoperative measurement conditions only a few milligrams of superficial tumour tissue would be necessary for detection.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to utilise a dedicated positron probe (beta detection only) for intraoperative detection of tumour tissue in a series of patients. All primarily targeted tumours had higher counts than adjacent normal tissue, and all but one had a T/B ratio greater than 1.5:1, allowing easy in vivo detection of the primarily targeted tumour tissue. The suboptimal T/B ratio in a single case of a liver metastasis despite preoperative visualisation by [18F]FDG PET scanning may be due to individual changes of the hepatic glucose metabolism during surgery. It is well known that general anaesthesia and intraoperative stress can have profound effects on liver metabolism and ultimately may alter hepatic [18F]FDG uptake as well [34, 35]. Also, since positrons resulting from the decay of fluorine-18 travel only up to a maximum range of 2.4 mm in tissue, even a thin layer of non-malignant tissue above the lesion may render it impossible to detect the malignancy, because the majority of positrons will originate from the most superficial tissues and not from the cancer beneath it. Subsequent studies are needed to investigate this effect by measuring the thickness of normal tissue above tumour at the site of measurement.

Intraoperative testing revealed that a ratio between target tissue and background was most suitable for the detection of tumour tissue. One can identify the level of radioactivity at the tissue surface based on the measured counts in real time by moving the probe’s head in the surgical field from tumour to adjacent normal background tissue of the same
organ and back. But since the system did not provide the ability to set a certain threshold as background activity, a calculated T/B ratio was used instead of raw counts for further analysis.

The spatial resolution of the probe decreases with the size of the detector head, while sensitivity increases with detector size. However, the spatial resolution is almost irrelevant for the detection of tumour tissue that has at least the size of the detector head. In such cases, a high sensitivity is beneficial since it allows minimisation of the radiation burden to the patient and personnel. However, spatial resolution would become relevant if very small tumour masses (a few milligrams) not easily identifiable by the human eye were to be targeted. Then, a time-consuming scan of the surgical bed would be needed. Clearly, an imaging device based on positron detection that could be placed into the surgical field would be highly desirable.

The goal of this study was to examine the utility of the probe for identifying known malignancies and not to attempt to find tumour lesions not visualised by preoperative imaging. Nevertheless, during surgery two $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG-positive foci were identified as being enlarged lymph nodes and later found to be metastatic lymph nodes at histology. In one case, anastomotic rings were investigated and one ring was found to be marginally positive based on probe measurements and later verified to contain tumour tissue at histology.

Intraoperative probe performance is dependent on two major factors, the metabolism of the administered radiopharmaceutical and the technical performance of the probe. Physiological parameters that influence the ability to detect tumour tissue in situ will include the time interval between tracer injection and scanning, the uptake of the tracer in tumour tissue compared with its surrounding normal tissue, and the clearance of the radiopharmaceutical from blood. In our experience, a minimum T/B ratio of 1.5 is needed for the operating surgeon to comfortably identify a significant difference between tumour and normal surrounding tissues. Since the uptake ratio between tumour and normal tissue generally increases with time, it seems advantageous to inject the radiopharmaceutical prior to the start of the procedure and to adjust the administered dose accordingly. Also, $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG administration before the start of the procedure should be preferred because the intraoperative glucose metabolism may be influenced in unpredictable ways by the surgical trauma as well as the anaesthetics used.

However, injection of the radiopharmaceutical prior to the start of surgery requires the use of a probe system that provides optimal sensitivity for positrons, because otherwise the administered radioactivity may result in a significant cumulative radiation dose for the surgical team, if frequent procedures are performed. The probe system used in this study showed the highest sensitivity of all positron probes published so far. As a result, the radiation burden to the patient and the surgical team can be substantially reduced compared with that associated with the use of high-energy gamma probes, while still maintaining a favourable high target-to-background ratio [25, 26]. Injected doses of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG were rather low (range 36–110 MBq). Dose selections were made according to the anticipated time difference between injection and presumed intraoperative probe measurements, which can be troublesome in the event of unexpected procedural delays. In this study, intraoperative measurements of absorbed doses performed in a rather limited number of procedures were found to be low and ranged from 3 to 9 $\mu$Sv/h for the most affected surgeons to 0.8 $\mu$Sv/h for the aesthetician. Besides being dependent on the injected dose of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG, absorbed doses to personnel were essentially influenced by the distance from the patient’s body and the duration of exposure. However, these preliminary data suggest that even frequent repetition of positron-guided surgical procedures would not exceed established dose limits for the operating room personnel as long as high-sensitivity probes are used.

Nevertheless, the in vivo testing of the positron probe revealed technical limitations that need to be addressed by the manufacturer. First, the relatively large diameter of the tip of the positron probe currently prevents its use for endoscopic procedures. However, a significantly smaller detector design would result in a loss of sensitivity, potentially limiting its in vivo usefulness. Also, the manufacturer was unable to resolve a technical issue in that intense pressure to the detector surface resulted in false readings. While this technical flaw could easily be prevented during procedures by using the probe with non-pressured direct tissue contact, this defect still limits its intraoperative applications. Most importantly, the sensitivity of the high-energy gamma probe provided with the system was much too low to be clinically applicable with the administered doses of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG. Still, the application of a high-energy gamma probe would be beneficial if the tumour tissue cannot be easily exposed during surgery or the tumour surface is covered by normal non-malignant tissues.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates the feasibility of localising $[^{18}\text{F}]$ FDG-avid tumour tissue using a positron probe during surgery. The high sensitivity of the positron probe allowed procedures to be performed with a low radiation burden to the patient and personnel, while the high-energy gamma probe was not clinically useful owing to its low sensitivity. Based on phantom studies, the amount of tumour tissue needed for localisation is at least one order of magnitude smaller compared with whole-body $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG PET and the
detection of very small $[^{18}F]$FDG deposits (a few milligrams) is possible. In this study we have shown that positron probes are able to identify primary tumours, malignant lymph nodes and tumour infiltrates in anastomotic rings based on their $[^{18}F]$FDG uptake during surgery. However, intraoperative metabolic changes may severely influence $[^{18}F]$FDG uptake and may decrease the achievable tumour-to-background contrast (as demonstrated for a liver metastasis), indicating that close intraoperative monitoring of the glucose metabolism and proper timing of measurements is advisable.

As suggested by results from our phantom studies, the use of high-sensitivity positron probes may allow for the detection of remaining tumour tissue in resection beds. However, the detection of such small tumour lesions would necessitate a thorough and time-consuming exploration of the operative site, which in many cases may not be feasible. Given the short range of positrons in tissue, tumour sites that are covered with benign tissues may potentially remain unnoticed by positron detection alone. Combined positron/ high-energy gamma detectors with reasonably high sensitivity may be the best choice to overcome this limitation.
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