Characterisation of Concurrent Multiband RF Transceiver for WLAN Applications
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Abstract — This paper reports design of a multiband RF transceiver for simultaneous operation at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz. The specific choice of the frequency is to meet the WLAN applications. The basic aim of the proposed design is to reduce the component count, to achieve power saving with a compact size. The proposed transceiver is devised by using indigenously designed dualband circuits and off the self-laboratory equipment’s. The entire transmitter structure is implemented using hybrid microwave integrated circuit (HMIC). The proposed multiband transceiver exhibits a link budget of -64 dBm and link margin of 74 dBm, the implemented transceiver exhibits an EMR of 1.5x10^{-7} for concurrent dual-standard WLAN application. Hence, the proposed transceiver is efficient as well as safe for the human operation.
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1. Introduction

The last decade witnessed initiation of massive applications in WLAN. With the growing demand of user, it becomes the need of hour that the front end circuit design must support more than one application simultaneously. This requirement may be accomplished by the use of multiband architecture of front end circuits. A multiband architecture can be achieved by parallel, switchable or concurrent arrangement of transceiver building blocks. Use of parallel system architecture for concurrent operation at individual frequency band is less attractive due to the requirements for high power consumption, large hardware and bulky nature. A switched mode multiband system has a drawback of inconsistent measurement conditions due to switching operation while operating at a particular band [1]. However, all these schemes are not commercially viable due to one or more reasons like the requirement of a large hardware, high power consumption, and complex radio architecture.

![Fig.1. Taxonomy of radio frontend design: (a) Parallel architecture; (b) Switchable architecture; (c) Concurrent architecture](image-url)
The current trend in the area of millimeterwave circuit design is to reduce the component count, to achieve compact size and to reduce the circuit size. Fig.1 depicts the taxonomy of radio frontend design to achieve concurrent operation. The current trend in the area of Microwave / Millimeter wave integrated circuit research is to reduce system losses, increase compactness and reduce the power consumption level so that the RF systems can be used as a portable handheld device. A concurrent multiband system, based on hardware sharing, fulfills all above criteria. Hence, the present work is motivated to provide a viable solution to bridge the gap between the compact size, low cost and power consumption and multiband operation.

The proposed RF transceiver concurrently operates at 2.44GHz and 5.25GHz band. Fig. 2 shows the conceptual block diagram of a concurrent multiband RF transceiver.

\[ \text{Fig. 2. Conceptual block diagram of the proposed multiband transceiver.} \]

Section 2 and 3 of the paper describes the transmitter section and the receiver section respectively. The system level analysis of the proposed transceiver is given in section 4. The conclusion and future scope of the proposed work is discussed in section 5.

2. The Transmitter

The transmitter section of the proposed dualband transceiver consist of indigenously designed dualband antenna & Wilkinson’s power divider(WPD), 2.44GH and 5.25GHz oscillators and a power amplifier to operated at the designated frequencies.

2.1 Dualband Antenna

In this analysis three concurrent dualband microstrip patch antenna array is used for the analysis purpose. The particular choice is owing to the fact that it has low cost, light weight and ease in fabrication. All these antenna structure operates simultaneously at 2.44GHz and 5.25GHz band [2-4]. Fig. 3 shows the fabricated prototype of the antenna used for the analysis purpose.
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Fig. 3. Fabricated prototype of 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz concurrent dualband antenna: (a) Directive antenna array; (b) Omnidirective antenna array and (c) Single patch omnidirective antenna.

The performance of these antennas in terms of gain and bandwidth is given in Table 1. From the comparison it is evidenced that the selected designs excels in the individual class applications.

Table 1. Performance Comparison of the antenna prototype

| Performance | Gain(dBi) | Bandwidth (MHz) | Dimensions {LxW (mm)} |
|-------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|             | At 2.44 GHz | At 5.25 GHz | At 2.44 GHz | At 5.25 GHz | |
| [2]         | 2.2       | 3.1            | 760            | 720            | 35x45        |
| [3]         | 5.0       | 4.75           | 780            | 760            | 60x79        |
| [4]*        | 7.21      | 8.12           | 120            | 90             | 78x97        |

* Directive antenna

2.2 The Wilkinson’s Power Divider/Combiner

The circuit topology of Wilkinson’s Power Divider (WPD) in [5] is modified according to the frequency ratio of 2.44/5.25 GHz i.e. 2.15 for the proposed design. A key shaped compact WPD is used for this analysis. It is designed using microstrip technology on a NH9320 substrate. The validation of the proposed topology is carried out with Agilent advanced design system (ADS) platform. Fig. 4 shows the fabricated prototype of WPD. A polygon conductor is used to connect ‘ZA’ and ‘ZB’ with length of 4.2mm at an angle of 45° with horizontal axis. The angle between microstrip lines of ‘ZA’ and ‘Zoc’ is 30°. The isolation between port 2 and port 3 is obtained by connecting a 100Ω resistor. Due to the modification in the circuit topology and use of polygon conductors and tapers, the dimensions are further optimized to meet the frequency ratio requirement along with compact size, better return and insertion loss with isolation on both bands. Table 2 summarizes the dimensions of the proposed WPD with empirical relations along with the optimized dimensions of the proposed structure. The length of open stub and ‘ZB’ are further miniaturized by meandering. The measured gain performance of WPD is summarised in Table 3. Further the capacity of the designed WPD is verified by providing a 10dBm power at port 1. Here two independent signal source (R&S SMR20: 10MHz to 20GHz and Agilent ES257D PSG: 1 00 kHz to 20GHz) are used at two designated bands. The WPD is connected to the source via a cable and connector. The power level is measured with the help of a power meter (R&S NRVS 1020). Table 4 provides the capacity of the proposed prototype as a power divider.
Further, the capacity of WPD as a concurrent power combiner (WPC) is validated by providing 8.8 dBm input power at port 2 with 2.44 GHz and 8 dBm of input power at port 3 with 5.25 GHz via cables and connectors. Variations in the supplied power at individual ports are due to the losses incurred by cables and connectors. A 9.20 dBm power is obtained at port 1 which clearly indicates that proposed WPD can be used as a power combiner for concurrent dualband operation.

### 2.3 The Oscillators

To cater the need of a source, two oscillators are designed to operate at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz. A Si-doped AlGaAs FET NE4210S01 is selected for this design since its operating frequency is from 2 GHz to 18 GHz. DC Bias simulation is performed in ADS using the transistor model. DC bias point for oscillator design was selected as VDS = 2 V and IDS = 10 mA and VGS = 0.69 V. A microstrip line biasing circuitry is selected for the DC bias NE4210S01. Fig. 5 shows a microstripline bias network.
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Fig. 5. Microstripline bias network.

In microstrip implementation, the inductor may be substituted by a high impedance line and the capacitor can be realized as an open or a radial stub. A radial stub is used to provide a broadly resonant RF short circuit. When cascaded with high impedance quarter wavelength transmission lines the radial stub provides an effective decoupling network for microwave amplifiers and other active components [6]. The high impedance (selected as 130) quarter wavelength (with electrical length 900) microstrip line is followed by a radial stub. The dimensions are calculated using ‘line calc’ tool in ADS. The bias network design is similar for 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz band except for the variation in the dimensions. Table 5 and 6 provides the dimensions of the bias network and radial stub respectively.

| Table 5. Dimensions for bias network |
|--------------------------------------|
| \(Z_0(\Omega)\) | Frequency(GHz) | W(mm) | L(mm) |
|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| 130            | 2.44           | 0.43  | 20.39 |
|                | 5.25           |       | 9.44  |

| Table 6. Dimensions for radial stub |
|-------------------------------------|
| Frequency(GHz)| \(r_1\)(mm)| \(r_2\)(mm)| \(\alpha\) (degree) |
|----------------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 2.44           | 0.43     | 12.89    | 60               |
| 5.25           |          | 6.86     |                  |

S-Parameter simulation is performed with the DC bias network. Table 7 provides the S-parameters for the proposed oscillator design. Using the S-Parameters, transistor’s stability is analyzed at the two design frequencies with the help of \(K\)-\(\Delta\) and \(\mu\) test.

| Table 7. S-parameters of NE4210S01 transistor |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Frequency (GHz) | \(S_{11}\) | \(S_{12}\) | \(S_{21}\) | \(S_{22}\) |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2.44            | 1.20 \(-8.20^\circ\) | 0.07 \(83.81^\circ\) | 2.31 \(-128.84^\circ\) | 1.11 \(-14.79^\circ\) |
| 5.25            | 1.96 \(-48.89^\circ\) | 0.25 \(50.71^\circ\) | 6.81 \(168.06^\circ\) | 1.49 \(-54.86^\circ\) |

| Table 8. Stability analysis of NE4210S01 transistor |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| Frequency (GHz) | \(\Delta\) | \(K\) | \(\mu\) | \(\mu_{\text{prime}}\) |
|-----------------|----------|-------|-------|------------------|
| 2.44            | 1.184    | -0.847| -0.921| -0.779           |
| 5.25            | 1.866    | -0.452| -0.657| -0.363           |
Table 9: Dimensions of the matching network

| Frequency (GHz) | Z₀   | Effect  | Electrical Length | Width (mm) | Length (mm) | Optimised Length (mm) |
|----------------|------|---------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 2.44           | 50Ω  | Inductive | 84.480°          | 3.64       | 18.05       | 10.12                 |
|                |      | Capacitive | 103.610°         |            | 22.05       | 21.38                 |
| 5.25           | 50Ω  | Inductive | 93.502°          | 3.68       | 9.16        | 5.92                  |
|                |      | Capacitive | 118.920°         |            | 11.66       | 15                    |

Based on the S-parameters, an impedance matching network design is initiated. Using line calc tool and reflection coefficients for the transistor, a microstripline matching network is designed. Table 9 summarises the matching network configuration. Further, resonator is designed using the empirical relationship and is summarised in Table 10.

Table 10: Details of resonator design

| Parameter          | 2.44 GHz                        | 5.25 GHz                        |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Z₀(Ω)              | -43.912 - j260.9                | -23.296 - j107.945              |
| Z_L(Ω)             | 14.637 + j260.9                 | 7.765 + j107.945               |
| Electrical Length  | 169.15°                        | 155.146°                       |
| Physical Length (mm)| 36                              | 15.65                           |
| Physical Width (mm)| 3.64                            | 3.68                            |
| Optimised Length (mm)| 33                              | 9                               |

Based on these analyses, a fabricated prototype of the proposed oscillators is devised. Further, measurement of these oscillators is carried out with Agilent Fieldfox Spectrum Analyzer (100 MHz to 6 GHz). Fig. 6 depicts the measurement setup and the fabricated prototypes of the proposed oscillators. The measured power spectrum of the oscillators is depicted in Fig.7.

![Fig. 6. (a) Measurement setup and the fabricated prototypes of the proposed oscillators at (b) 5.25 GHz; (c) 2.44 GHz.](image-url)
The phase noise of the oscillators had been calculated by using the relation [6]:

\[
P_{\text{NOISE}} = P_{SB} - P_{C} - 10 \log_{10}(RBW) dBc
\]  

(1)

where \( P_{SB} \) = Sideband power in dB at an offset of 100 KHz, \( P_{C} \) = Carrier Power in dB; and \( RBW \) = Resolution bandwidth of the spectrum analyzer in MHz. Considering the losses incurred due to the measurement setup and tolerance of the fabrication process, the carrier power is assumed to be 0 dBm along with a RBW of 200 MHz. Table 11 summarizes the simulated and measured phase noise of the oscillators at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz.

| Frequency (GHz) | PSB dB | Simulated phase noise (dBc) | Measured phase noise (dBc) |
|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2.44           | -46    | -177.7                      | -109                      |
| 5.25           | -43    | -182.1                      | -106                      |

### 2.4 The Power Amplifier

Commercial IC GaLi-24\(^+\) is used as a power amplifier (PA) in this analysis. It is a surface mount device (SMD) and operates between DC to 6 GHz range. The typical characteristics are high gain of 25 dB typical at 100 MHz, high IP3: 35 dBm typical and high Pout, P1dB 19 dBm typically. The specific choice of IC is due to its support of wide operative range.

### 3. The Receiver

The receiver consists of identical concurrent dualband antenna; similar as in case of transmitter, a low noise amplifier (LNA), Mixer and a data acquisition system (DAQ). All these subsystems are capable to work simultaneously at the designated frequency bands.

#### 3.1 The Low Noise Amplifier

A concurrent dualband LNA is used in this analysis to operate at 2.44/5.25 GHz. A single pseudomorphic HEMT (p-HEMT) viz. \( \text{ATF} = 36163 \) is used as an active device. The LNA is comprehended using standard HMIC process with major focus on maximum hardware sharing without any lumped circuitry. Figure 8 shows the fabricated prototype of the LNA [7].

Table 12 summarises the gain characteristics if the LNA. Further, the noise figure (NF) is measured with the help of Agilent noise figure meter setup. Fig. 9 depicts the noise measurement setup for the LNA setup and the plot of simulation and measured NF.
Fig. 8. Fabricated prototype of LNA with measurement setup.

Fig. 9. Noise figure measurement setup.

Table 12. Gain Characteristics of the LNA

| Frequency (GHz) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | $S_{21}$ (dB) |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
|                | Simulation    | Measurement   | Simulation    | Measurement   |
| 2.44           | -17.24        | -10.54        | 10.11         | 7.16          |
| 5.25           | -13.48        | -15.98        | 6.40          | 7.80          |

3.2 The Mixer

For the purpose of down conversion, two single band mixers from ‘Mini circuits’ have been used to operate at individual bands. ‘ZEM-4300MH’ mixer is used at 2.44 GHz. It has 8.5 dB conversion loss and 13 dBm power level. For 5.25 GHz, a ‘ZMX-7GR’ mixer with 8.5 dB conversion loss and 17dBm power level has been used. The individual mixers have been fed with the local oscillator frequencies, i.e. 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz to obtain the baseband signal. This baseband signal has been applied to the data acquisition (DAQ) system.

3.3 The DAQ

An ‘IoTECH DAQ-54’ system has been used with a sampling rate of 37 Hz for digitizing the baseband signal. The digitized baseband signal has been further processed using MATLAB to retrieve the required knowledge from the received signal.
4. The System Level Analysis

The proposed transceiver is further validated on a single substrate with relative dielectric constant of 3.2 and height of 60 mil with a loss tangent of 0.0024 with substrate height of 18μm. The sensor is made up of indigenously fabricated concurrent dualband subsystems and some commercial components and laboratory equipment’s. Table 13 summarizes the classification of the subsystems used in the proposed transceiver. Fig.10 shows the fabricated prototype of the proposed concurrent dualband RF transceiver for WLAN application.

Table 13. Subsystems of the proposed RF multiband transceiver

| Sr. No. | Subsystem      | Manufacturer | Specifications                              |
|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Source I & II  | Indigenous   | Operation at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz          |
| 2.      | Power Amplifier| Minicircuits | Operation from DC (GaLi-24+) to 6 GHz       |
| 3.      | WPC/WPD        | Indigenous   | Concurrent dualband at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz |
| 4.      | Antenna        | Indigenous   | Concurrent dual-band operation at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz |
| 5.      | LNA            | Indigenous   | Concurrent dualband operation at 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz |
| 6.      | Mixer I & II   | Minicircuits | response from (SYM-63LH+) DC to 1000 MHz    |
| 7.      | DAQ-54         | IoTech       | 22 bit resolution, Input Voltage = -10 V DC to 20 V DC |

4.1 Link Budget Calculation

The measurements were carried out in indoor conditions with the distance between the antenna and the human subject varying between 0.5 m and 3 m. The experiment is carried out with three different antenna configurations such as concurrent dualband with a single patch, omni-directional patch antenna array and a direction patch antenna array. The transmitter and receiver losses are estimated by considering the contribution of individual subsystem, in terms of its gain or NF, in the transceiver. The estimation of link budget is calculated by using Eq. 2. Table 14 gives the detail parametric analysis data in each of these cases.

\[
P_{RX} = P_{TX} + G_{TX} - L_{TX} - L_{FS} + G_{RX} - L_{RX}
\]  (2)

Where where \(P_{TX}\) = Transmitted output power in \(dBm\), \(G_{TX}\) = Transmitter antenna gain in \(dBi\), \(L_{TX}\) = Transmitter losses in \(dB\), \(L_{FS}\) = Free space losses in \(dB\), \(G_{RX}\) = Receiver antenna gain in \(dBi\), \(L_{RX}\) = Receiver losses in \(dB\).

4.2. Link Margin Calculation

To ensure proper working of the proposed multiband transceiver, its sensitivity analysis is very important. Fig. 10 shows the block diagram of the RF section of the proposed transceiver for sensitivity analysis. The diagram depicts the individual subsystems gain and NF contribution. With this measurement setup, it is observed that the signal strength at the receiver end decreases considerably with the distance. The sensitivity analysis is carried out for a bandwidth of 3 Hz.

Table 15 provides the performance of the proposed transceiver under different measurement conditions. With an output SNR of value as high as 20 \(dB\), detection of very weak signals is guaranteed. The link margin of the proposed transceiver attain a minimum value of 74\(dB\) with the help of a single patch antenna. This indicates that the proposed transceiver can detect the target accurately at considerable distance if the required link budget is less than 74 \(dB\).
Fig. 10. Block diagram of RF section of the proposed transceiver for sensitivity analysis.

4.3. Detection Range Analysis

The range of detection for the proposed transceiver is estimated with the help of the Radar range equation.

\[ P_R = P_T \left[ A \frac{G_T G_R}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi R^2} \right) \right] \quad (3) \]

Here, \( PT = 10 \, dB \), \( \lambda = 0.078 \, m \) and Radar cross section \( (\sigma) = 0.01 \) [129]. The range is estimated with different antenna configurations. Substituting the gain \( (G) \) values in Eq. 3, the maximum distance of detection under free space condition and without any obstacle was calculated. Table 16 summarizes the detection range for the proposed sensor. The range may be further increased by using high gain antenna and LNA in the design architecture.

Table:14. Link budget performance of the proposed NIVSD sensor

| Parameter                  | Antenna array (Directive) | Antenna array (Omni-directive) | Single patch |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Antenna Gain (dB)          | 7.5                       | 5.5                            | 2.5          |
| Transmitter losses (dBm)   | 10.41                     |                                |              |
| Receiver losses (dBm)      | 8.81                      |                                |              |
| Path loss (dBm)            |                           |                                |              |
| at 0.5 m                   | 44.13                     |                                |              |
| at 1 m                     | 50.15                     |                                |              |
| at 2 m                     | 56.17                     |                                |              |
| at 3 m                     | 59.70                     |                                |              |
| Link budget (dBm)          |                           |                                |              |
| at 0.5 m                   | -38.35                    | -42.35                         | -49.05       |
| at 1 m                     | -44.37                    | -48.37                         | -55.07       |
| at 2 m                     | -50.39                    | -54.39                         | -61.09       |
| at 3 m                     | -53.92                    | -57.92                         | -64.62       |
Table 15. Link margin performance of the proposed NIVSD sensor

| Parameter                  | Antenna array (Directive) | Antenna array (Omni-directive) | Single patch |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Thermal noise              |                           | −174 dBm/Hz                   |              |
| SNR                        |                           | 20 dB                         |              |
| Sensitivity                |                           | −138.62 dBm                   |              |
| Link budget(dBm)           | at 0.5 m                  | −38.35                        | −42.35       | −49.05       |
|                            | at 1m                     | −44.37                        | −48.37       | −55.07       |
|                            | at 2 m                    | −50.39                        | −54.39       | −61.09       |
|                            | at 3m                     | −53.92                        | −57.92       | −64.62       |
| Link Margin (dBm)          | at 0.5 m                  | 100.27                        | 96.27        | 89.57        |
|                            | at 1m                     | 94.25                         | 90.25        | 83.55        |
|                            | at 2 m                    | 88.23                         | 84.23        | 77.53        |
|                            | at 3m                     | 84.70                         | 80.70        | 74           |

Table 16: Detection range of the proposed sensor

| Antenna Configuration          | Gain (dBi) | Detection Range (meters) |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Concurrent dualband Single Patch | 2.3        | 2.8                      |
| Concurrent Dualband Omnidirectional array | 5.5        | 4                        |
| Concurrent Dualband Directional array    | 7.5        | 5                        |

4.4 Safety Factor Analysis

For an RF transceiver to be deployed in the field, the level of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) to which the human operators or users may get exposed during the measurements is a crucial factor in the adaptation of the transceiver and its design. For the proposed transceiver, the RF level at various level is estimated. Table 17 summarizes the safety factor analysis with different types of antenna. It is evident from the values tabulated in Table 17 that this sensor is safe enough to be used since the amount of EMR is quite small and will never turn out to be hazardous to the human users when deployed commercially. The safety factor is estimated by using the Eq.4.

\[
S\left(\frac{W}{m^2}\right) = \left[ \frac{P_T G_T}{4\pi L^2} \right]
\]

where \( P_T \) = Radiating power in \( dBm \), \( G_T \) = Antenna gain in \( dBi \), \( L \) = Distance between the antenna and the obstacle in meters.

Table 17: Safety factor analysis *

| Distance (Lm) | Concurrent dualband single patch antenna | Concurrent dualband omni-directional patch antenna | Concurrent dualband directive patch antenna |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0.5           | 1.78×10^{-8}                           | 1.11×10^{-7}                                    | 5.41×10^{-9}                              |
| 1             | 4.45×10^{-8}                           | 2.78×10^{-7}                                    | 1.35×10^{-9}                              |
| 2             | 1.11×10^{-7}                           | 6.95×10^{-7}                                    | 3.37×10^{-9}                              |
| 3             | 4.49×10^{-7}                           | 3.08×10^{-7}                                    | 1.5×10^{-9}                               |
Figure 11: Characterization of the proposed concurrent dualband RF transceiver (a) Fabricated PCB prototype (b) Prototype with casing.

**Table 18: Qualitative Analysis**

| Contributions       | Operation band | Concurrent operation | Design of subsystems          | Transceiver operation | Technology |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Sharma et. al.[8]   | 2.4 and 5.2 GHz| Yes                  | Off the shelf laboratory equipment | No                    | --         |
| Akhtar and Pathak [9]| 2.4 and 5.2GHz | Yes                  | Off the shelf laboratory equipment | No                    | HMIC       |
| A. Behzad et.al.[10]| 2.4 and 5-6 GHz| Yes                  | --                            | No                    | COMS       |
| **Present work**    | **2.44 and 5.25 GHz** | **Yes**              | **Indigenous**                | **Yes**               | **HMIC**   |
5. Conclusions

In this paper, a concurrent dualband RF transceiver is proposed and demonstrated. The proposed transceiver is devised for simultaneous operation over two frequency bands, namely 2.44 GHz and 5.25 GHz band. The proposed system bridge the trade-off between lower noise content and higher detection accuracy; which was unattended before the initiation of this work. The fabricated prototype demonstrated a fair working with its sensitivity analysis. Link margin and link budget are used as the cost function for the sensitivity analysis. The fabricated prototype demonstrated a link budget of -64 dBm and link margin of 74 dBm.

Further, the implemented transceiver exhibits an EMR of 1.5x10^{-7} for concurrent dual-standard WLAN application. Hence, the proposed transceiver is efficient as well as safe for the human operation. In future, the proposed system can be converted into a reconfigurable system to achieve adaptable operation.
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