Pharmacokinetic interactions between the potential COVID-19 treatment drugs lopinavir/ritonavir and arbidol in rats
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The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has occasioned worldwide alarm. Globally, the number of reported confirmed cases has exceeded 84.3 million as of this writing (January 2, 2021). Since there are no targeted therapies for COVID-19, the current focus is the repurposing of drugs approved for other uses. In some clinical trials, antiviral drugs such as remdesivir (Grein et al., 2020), lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) (Cao et al., 2020), chloroquine (Gao et al., 2020), hydroxychloroquine (Gautret et al., 2020), arbidol (Wang et al., 2020), and favipiravir (Cai et al., 2020b) have shown efficacy in COVID-19 patients. LPV/r combined with arbidol, which is the basic regimen in some regional hospitals in China including Zhejiang Province, has shown antiviral effects in COVID-19 patients (Guo et al., 2020). A retrospective cohort study also reported that this combination therapy showed better efficacy than LPV/r alone for the treatment of COVID-19 patients (Deng et al., 2020).

According to the epidemiological studies, 14%–53% of COVID-19 patients showed abnormal levels of serum aminotransferases during disease progression, which could have been caused by viral infection of liver cells or drug-induced hepatotoxicity (Zhang et al., 2020). There was a significantly higher proportion of patients with abnormal liver function after treatment with LPV/r (Cai et al., 2020a). As arbidol produced similar adverse reactions, the combination of these two drugs may increase the risk of liver injury. In our retrospective observational study, 76 of 131 adult COVID-19 patients developed liver injury, and the combination of LPV/r with arbidol was a risk factor for liver injury in non-critical COVID-19 patients (Jiang et al., 2020).

In the LPV/r regimen, lopinavir is combined with a low dose of ritonavir, which functions as a potent cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) inhibitor to suppress metabolism of lopinavir and boost its plasma concentration, thus increasing its efficacy. Both lopinavir and ritonavir have shown hepatotoxicity in the clinic, producing moderate to severe elevations of serum aminotransferase levels in 3%–10% and 15% of patients, respectively (LiverTox, 2012). Arbidol may also elevate serum aminotransferases, but the exact proportion of patients that occurs has not been reported. Given that CYP3A4 is a major isoenzyme involved in the metabolism of arbidol, interactions between LPV/r and arbidol, leading to increased exposure to LPV/r or arbidol, may be expected. Therefore, this interaction may imply a higher risk of hepatotoxicity. Taking these facts into consideration, we performed a study on the pharmacokinetic interactions between LPV/r and arbidol in order to provide some initial guidelines for combined therapy with these two drugs.

We established a rat model to investigate the pharmacokinetic interactions between LPV/r and arbidol. Rats were divided into three groups (arbidol,
LPV/r, and combination), each consisting of three male and three female rats. Arbidol was administered by gavage at a dose of 25 mg/kg, and LPV/r was composed of 50 mg/kg lopinavir and 12.5 mg/kg ritonavir. The concentrations of arbidol and lopinavir were determined by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, when co-administered with LPV/r, the maximum concentration \( (C_{\text{max}}) \) of arbidol increased from \((129.8\pm99.0)\) to \((221.8\pm112.1)\) ng/mL \((P>0.05)\), and the area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity (AUC\(_{0-\infty}\)) increased from \((705.6\pm258.5)\) to \((1250.3\pm255.5)\) h·ng/mL \((P<0.01)\). The pharmacokinetics of arbidol differed between male and female animals. In the arbidol group, the mean \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) of arbidol were 3.0 and 1.8 times higher in female rats than in male rats, respectively. In the combination group, the mean \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) of arbidol were 2.6 and 1.2 times higher in female rats than in male rats, respectively.

As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2, when co-administered with arbidol, the \( C_{\text{max}} \) of lopinavir increased from \((2336.4\pm504.7)\) to \((3500.6\pm749.6)\) ng/mL \((P<0.05)\), and the AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) increased from \((27 985.1\pm11 314.6)\) to \((37 101.8\pm10 836.6)\) h·ng/mL \((P<0.05)\). The pharmacokinetic parameters of lopinavir were also influenced by the sex of the experimental animals. In the LPV/r and combination groups, the mean AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) of lopinavir was 1.9 and 1.4 times higher in female rats than in male rats, respectively, and the time to maximum concentration \( t_{\text{max}} \) was also longer in female rats than in male rats.

Combined antiviral therapy may be an effective approach for curing patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. LPV/r in combination with arbidol is the mainstay of treatment in Zhejiang Province. However, not enough attention has been paid to the safety of these combination drug therapies. Based on clinical observations, the combination of these two drugs may increase the risk of liver injury. Due to their metabolic characteristics, potential drug–drug interactions must be taken into account.

**Table 1** Pharmacokinetic parameters of arbidol after oral administration of arbidol or co-administration of arbidol and LPV/r in rats

| Group          | \( t_{1/2} \) (h) | \( t_{\text{max}} \) (h) | \( C_{\text{max}} \) (ng/mL) | AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) (h·ng/mL) | AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) (h·ng/mL) |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Arbidol        |                   |                           |                              |                             |                             |
| Male (n=3)     | 2.7±0.4           | 0.6±0.1                   | 65.2±16.8                    | 479.0±122.6                 | 497.3±116.8                 |
| Female (n=3)   | 4.2±1.5           | 0.5±0.0                   | 194.3±108.1                  | 872.1±166.5                 | 913.9±152.4                 |
| Total (n=6)    | 3.5±1.3           | 0.5±0.1                   | 129.8±99.0                   | 675.6±251.9*                | 705.6±258.5*                |
| Combination    |                   |                           |                              |                             |                             |
| Male (n=3)     | 2.7±0.0           | 0.7±0.1                   | 124.3±29.3                   | 1133.9±206.3                | 1141.0±207.1                |
| Female (n=3)   | 3.6±0.6           | 0.5±0.0                   | 319.4±44.8                   | 1326.2±267.9                | 1359.7±290.7                |
| Total (n=6)    | 3.2±0.6           | 0.6±0.1                   | 221.8±112.1                  | 1230.0±238.4                | 1250.3±255.5                |

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD). \(* P<0.01\), compared with those in the combination group. LPV/r: lopinavir/ritonavir; \( t_{1/2}: \) half-life; \( t_{\text{max}}: \) time to maximum concentration; \( C_{\text{max}}: \) maximum concentration; AUC\(_{0-\infty}\): area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to the last quantifiable time point; AUC\(_{0-\infty}\): area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity.
account. Our study is the first to evaluate the pharmacokinetic interactions between LPV/r and arbidol in rats. When combined with LPV/r, exposure to arbidol increased acutely by approximately two times. Mean while, the C_{max} of lopinavir increased significantly (1.5 times). Since the pharmacokinetic profile of arbidol is influenced by CYP3A4, one can deduce that drug–drug interactions will change its plasma concentrations. In our study, given the role played by CYP3A4 inhibition, LPV/r increased the bioavailability of arbidol, while arbidol had no obvious effect on the AUC of lopinavir in the presence of ritonavir.

A significant, albeit accidental, finding of our study was that the pharmacokinetic profiles of arbidol and lopinavir differed depending on the gender of the experimental animals. Since arbidol was more sensitive to this gender effect, this may influence its safety and efficacy. Gender-based differences in CYP3A expression in rats are likely to explain these observations. This pharmacokinetic characteristic of arbidol has never been reported before. In the case of lopinavir, several clinical studies with patients did not show obvious differences in plasma concentrations between sexes (Ofotokun et al., 2007; Umeh et al., 2011). In contrast, Jullien et al. (2006) reported that clearance/bioavailability (CL/F) of lopinavir was gender-related, with a 39% increase observed in males compared with females.

We acknowledge that our study has some limitations. Firstly, both LPV/r and arbidol were given in a single dose. In clinical practice, arbidol is administered three times a day and LPV/r twice a day. Hence, multiple doses may cause more accumulation of arbidol and lopinavir, and this cannot be ignored. Secondly, this study involved animal experiments and our conclusions have yet to be confirmed in clinical trials. Thirdly, the association between increased

---

### Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of lopinavir after oral administration of LPV/r or co-administration of LPV/r and arbidol in rats

| Group       | t_{1/2} (h) | t_{max} (h) | C_{max} (ng/mL) | AUC_{0~\infty} (h·ng/mL) | AUC_{0~t} (h·ng/mL) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| LPV/r       |             |             |                 |                          |                     |
| Male (n=3)  | 1.5±0.5     | 6.0±2.0     | 2098.0±434.8    | 18142.9±3955.2           | 19042.7±4621.6     |
| Female (n=3)| 3.5±1.8     | 10.0±2.0    | 2574.8±526.5    | 33196.4±12906.2          | 36927.5±7667.8     |
| Total (n=6) | 2.5±1.6     | 8.0±2.8     | 2336.4±504.7    | 25669.6±11868.8          | 27985.1±11314.6    |
| Combination |             |             |                 |                          |                     |
| Male (n=3)  | 2.0±0.3     | 6.3±0.6     | 3565.8±943.3    | 28453.9±6323.4           | 30438.2±7227.4     |
| Female (n=3)| 1.9±0.4     | 9.7±0.6     | 3435.4±708.7    | 43657.0±8424.1           | 43765.4±8291.4     |
| Total (n=6) | 2.0±0.4     | 8.0±1.9     | 3500.6±749.6    | 36055.4±10664.0          | 37101.8±10083.6    |

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD). * P<0.05, compared with those in the combination group. LPV/r: lopinavir/ritonavir; t_{1/2}: half-life; t_{max}: time to maximum concentration; C_{max}: maximum concentration; AUC_{0~\infty}: area under the curve from time zero to infinite; AUC_{0~t}: area under the curve from time zero to the last quantifiable time point.

---

**Fig. 2** Plasma concentration–time courses of lopinavir: (a) in the presence and absence of arbidol; (b) male and female rats in the LPV/r group; (c) male and female rats in the combination group. Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD). LPV/r: lopinavir/ritonavir.
arbidol exposure, lopinavir C\textsubscript{max}, and exacerbated drug-induced liver injury should be studied further. Finally, only three rats were used to compare the pharmacokinetic differences between males and females, which is not sufficient to produce statistically significant results. Therefore, the findings reported here should be considered preliminary.

In summary, combined administration of LPV/r and arbidol produced a significant increase in the AUC of arbidol and the C\textsubscript{max} of lopinavir. The interaction between these two drugs may affect the safety of the combined treatment. Therefore, appropriate dose adjustment is recommended when LPV/r and arbidol are administered concurrently, and the dose of arbidol may need to be halved. The results obtained in this study may be useful to predict interactions between LPV/r and other medications metabolized by CYP3A4.
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