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Objective. This study analyzed the influencing factors of acute medication poisoning in adults in the emergency department of our hospital from January 2016 to December 2019 and observed the curative effect of optimizing emergency procedures on adult acute medication poisoning. Our results showed that, among all acute drug poisoning patients, the most common poisoning drug was sleeping pills (24.22%), followed by painkillers (20.31%) and antipsychotics (16.41%). Education level, drug category, drug source, drug dosage, unknown drug composition, and medication side effect were all influencing factors of acute drug poisoning. High school education level or below, self-purchasing medicine, medication overdose, unknown drug composition, and medication side effect were the risk factors of acute medication poisoning. In addition, after the nursing management of optimizing emergency procedures for this type of patients, the rescue time, observation time, and hospital stay of the patients had been shortened, the incidence of complications was low, the rescue success rate was high, and the clinical application effect was good.

1. Introduction

The causes of acute drug poisoning in adults are complex, which are often related to the lack of awareness of personal medication safety and the toxic effects of the drug itself [1]. It refers to a drug poisoning event in which toxic drugs enter the human body through the respiratory tract, skin, mucous membrane, or digestive tract in a short time, causing damage to the patient’s body and occurrence of multiple organ dysfunction, which has the characteristics of rapid onset, severe illness, and high mortality [2, 3]. In recent years, its incidence in China has increased, accounting for about 6% to 8% of the total number of patients in the emergency department, which puts pressure on the diagnosis and treatment of the emergency department. It is reported that patients with acute poisoning are in a sudden and urgent situation. Patients often need to receive timely and effective treatment and care; otherwise, they are likely to endanger their lives. However, in view of the fact that the rescue success rate of poisoned patients is affected by the method of poisoning, the type of poisoning drugs, and the effect of emergency rescue. Therefore, grasping the clinical characteristics of acute poisoning events and establishing a timely and effective acute poisoning rescue process are necessary to shorten the rescue time and improve the treatment effect.

Optimization of emergency procedures is a medical care management model that promotes patients to see a doctor quickly and improves the treatment rate of patients [4]. It requires medical staff to increase the proficiency and cooperation of first aid operations on the basis of conventional first aid procedures. The specific implementation is to provide medical staff with a clear division of labor and skilled operation through professional rescue training and ultimately achieve the goal of shortening the rescue time and increasing the success rate of rescue. In recent years, good application effects have been achieved in the treatment of
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Information. A total of 128 adult patients with acute drug poisoning admitted to the emergency department of our hospital from January 2016 to December 2019 were selected. Inclusion criteria are as follows: obvious symptoms and signs of poisoning, history of drug exposure, first drug poisoning, and age ≥18 years. Exclusion criteria are as follows: patients with severe heart, lung, liver, kidney, and other basic diseases, patients who did not cooperate with treatment, and patients with surgical contraindications. All acute drug poisoning patients were regarded as the poisoning group; among them, 61 were males and 67 were females, aged 20–65 years, with an average age of 40.02 ± 3.87 years. In the same period, 128 patients who were admitted to the emergency department but were not poisoned were recruited as the nonpoisoned group. All patients and their families signed and approved by the ethics committee of our hospital as the research object, analyzes the influencing factors of their toxicity, and discusses the effect of optimization of emergency procedures on the poisoned patients, in order to provide a useful reference for the clinical treatment of adult patients with acute drug poisoning.

2.2. Research Methods. The control group was implemented routine first aid procedures. This meant that, after receiving patients with acute drug poisoning, medical staff quickly made judgments based on the patient’s condition, gave emergency treatment such as vomiting, gastric lavage, and catharsis, and closely monitored the patient’s heart rate, blood pressure, blood gas, and other indicators. The obstructions in the mouth and nose were cleared, and oxygen was supplied through a nasal cannula or mask. For patients with respiratory failure, tracheal intubation was implemented to ensure blood oxygen saturation. Sufficient hydration was given, and for patients who could not drink on their own, intravenous injection of normal saline was given to maintain water and electrolyte balance. Comfort and encouragement were provided to patients to increase their belief in survival, and for the patients with unstable mental states, appropriate sedative drugs were administered when necessary. After the rescue, the patients were transferred to the observation room for observation, and after the patients were in a stable condition, they were transferred to the general ward for treatment and care. The observation group was evaluated: (1) markedly effective: the patient was out of life-threatening stage, the blood test showed that the toxic drugs were basically eliminated, and the condition was stable; (2) effective: the patient was basically in no life-threatening condition, the blood test showed that more than 50% of the toxic drugs were eliminated, and the condition was relatively stable; and (3) invalid: the condition of the patient did not meet the abovementioned standards, and the condition worsened or the patient even died. Total effective rate = (total number of cases–number of invalid cases)/total number of cases × 100%.

2.3. Observation Indicators. A self-designed questionnaire was used to collect the general information of all patients, including gender, age, marital status, permanent residence, education level, and occupation, as well as the type, category, origin, source, usage, dosage, composition, and adverse reactions of drugs used, and analyze the influencing factors of patients with acute drug poisoning. Clinical data of patients in the poisoning group were collected, including rescue time, observation time, hospital stay, complication rate, and rescue success rate, and the treatment of patients in the control group and the observation group was compared. After treatment, the efficacy of control group and observation group was evaluated: (1) markedly effective: the patient was out of life-threatening stage, the blood test showed that the toxic drugs were basically eliminated, and the condition was stable; (2) effective: the patient was basically in no life-threatening condition, the blood test showed that more than 50% of the toxic drugs were eliminated, and the condition was relatively stable; and (3) invalid: the condition of the patient did not meet the abovementioned standards, and the condition worsened or the patient even died. Total effective rate = (total number of cases–number of invalid cases)/total number of cases × 100%.

2.4. Statistical Methods. SPSS 22.0 software was used for data processing. The measurement data were expressed by (X ± s). A t-test was performed for pairwise comparison. The enumeration data were expressed by %. A χ² test was implemented for comparison. Multivariate analysis was used in a binary logistic regression model. α = 0.05 was chosen as the
3. Results

3.1. Types of Poisoning Drugs in Patients. Among the 128 patients with acute drug poisoning, the most common poisoning drug was sleeping pills (31 cases, 24.22%), followed by painkillers (26 cases, 20.31%), antipsychotics (21 cases, 16.41%), hypoglycemic agents (18 cases, 14.06%), weight loss pills (15 cases, 11.72%), antibiotics (10 cases, 7.81%), and others (7 cases, 5.47%), as shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Single-Factor Analysis of Acute Drug Poisoning. The results showed that education level, drug category, drug source, drug dosage, unknown drug composition, and medication side effect were all influencing factors of acute drug poisoning (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 2.

3.3. Multifactor Analysis of Acute Drug Poisoning. The results showed that high school education and below, self-purchasing medicine, medication overdose, unknown drug component, and medication side effect were all risk factors for acute drug poisoning (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

3.4. Comparison of the Treatment Status of Patients in the Control Group and the Observation Group. The rescue time, observation time, hospital stay, complication rate, and rescue success rate in the control group were 43.96 ± 4.18 min, 23.78 ± 6.25 h, 5.35 ± 1.24 d, 28.13%, and 87.50%, respectively. The rescue time, observation time, hospital stay, complication rate, and rescue success rate in the observation group were 36.02 ± 3.31 min, 16.40 ± 4.97 h, 3.28 ± 1.07 d, 10.94%, and 98.44%, respectively. The rescue time, observation time, hospital stay, and complication rate of the observation group were lower than those of the control group, and the rescue success rate was higher than that of the control group (P < 0.05), as shown in Figure 2.

3.5. Comparison of Curative Effect between Control Group and Observation Group. The total effective rate of the observation group (98.44%) was better than that of the control group (84.37%) (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 4.

4. Discussion

With the accelerated economic development, the types of drugs sold on the market today are diverse, and there are more and more ways to purchase drugs by ourselves and relevant departments have a relatively high degree of difficulty in monitoring, coupled with people’s lack of knowledge about drug safety, resulting in an increasing incidence of drug poisoning among residents [8]. According to statistics, in 2015, among American adolescents and young people, the incidence of death due to drug poisoning was about 9.7 (per 100,000 people); in our country, the number of deaths due to poisoning accounts for about 8.90% of the total deaths, ranking fifth among the causes of death [9]. Studies [10–12] show that the primary cause of acute drug poisoning is suicide and the most common poisoning drug is sleeping pills, which is consistent with the results of this study. It shows that modern people are under great pressure in work and life, and some people cannot relieve the pressure in the right way and choose to take drugs to commit suicide. In addition, based on the high availability of painkillers, it has also become a common poisoning drug among adults, which ranks second in the rate of drug poisoning types in this study. In the study of Tschirdewahn et al. [13], psychotropic drug poisoning was the most common cause of drug poisoning. In the study of Chan [14], the incidence of this event was about 25%. In this study, about 16.41% of acute drug poisoning incidents were related to antipsychotic drugs, ranking among the top three and also having a certain proportion. This suggests that, in order to reduce the occurrence of acute drug poisoning incidents, attention to the mental and psychological health of residents cannot be ignored.

According to the review report by Zhou et al. [15], factors such as multidrug, changed drug use, or inappropriate use were common research subtypes and risk factors for adverse drug events. However, the report did not fully
mention subtypes such as education, lifestyle, physical environment, and psychology. The results of this study found that high school education and below, self-purchasing drugs, overdose of drugs, unknown drug components, and medication side effect are risk factors for adult acute drug poisoning. In the report of Dayasiri et al. [16], social and cultural differences, prescription practices, free supply of nonprescription drugs, and improper drug storage can also lead to deliberate or accidental drug poisoning. The results of this study are partially consistent with them. Analyzing the reasons, patients with a high school education level and below may have a low level of understanding of the disease, relatively poor compliance with the doctor’s instructions for taking medication, and noncompliance with the doctor’s instructions to use drugs indiscriminately, leading to acute drug poisoning. Patients who buy medicines on their own often go to pharmacies or buy medicines through their relatives and friends. Most of these medicines are over-the-counter medicines, which are low in safety and difficult to control their usage and dosage. The general population takes medicines without the guidance of a doctor but only on their own experience; among them, the possibility of poisoning is greater [17]. Too low drug dose is not enough to cure the disease, and too high drug dose can damage internal organs and even cause life-threatening complications. The composition of the drug is unknown, indicating that there is a high possibility of harmful or toxic substances in the drug. Especially in Chinese patent medicine, because of the composition of raw materials conflicts or improper decocting method during production, the drug toxicity increases. In addition, in our country, with the widespread use of Chinese herbal medicine, compared with Western medicine and other synthetic drugs, its side effects are less severe and the safety is high, but more people will mistakenly believe that it is harmless or completely nontoxic; therefore, accidental ingestion or unverified consumption of various medicinal plants and large amounts of medicinal plants has also become one of the important reasons for acute drug poisoning and other adverse drug events [18–20]. There is a certain probability of adverse drug reactions after each drug

| Table 2: Single-factor analysis of acute drug poisoning (n (%)). |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Influencing factors | Poisoning group (n = 128) | Nonpoisoned group (n = 128) | χ² | P |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|
| Marital status       |                          |                             |    |    |
| Married              | 90 (70.31)               | 98 (76.56)                  | 1.282 | 0.258 |
| Unmarried            | 38 (29.69)               | 30 (23.44)                  |    |    |
| Permanent residence  |                          |                             |    |    |
| Town                 | 61 (47.66)               | 72 (56.25)                  | 1.894 | 0.169 |
| Rural area           | 67 (52.34)               | 56 (43.75)                  |    |    |
| Education            |                          |                             |    |    |
| High school and below | 81 (63.28)              | 63 (49.22)                  | 5.143 | 0.023 |
| University and above | 47 (36.72)               | 65 (50.78)                  |    |    |
| Profession           |                          |                             |    |    |
| Physical worker      | 55 (42.97)               | 62 (48.44)                  | 0.771 | 0.380 |
| Mental worker        | 73 (57.03)               | 66 (51.56)                  |    |    |
| Drug category        | Traditional Chinese medicine | 56 (43.75)                  | 4.267 | 0.039 |
| Western medicine     | 72 (56.25)               | 88 (68.75)                  |    |    |
| Drug origin          |                          |                             |    |    |
| Domestic             | 108 (84.38)              | 101 (78.91)                 | 1.277 | 0.258 |
| Foreign              | 20 (15.62)               | 27 (21.09)                  |    |    |
| Drug source          |                          |                             |    |    |
| Self-purchase medicine | 41 (32.03)             | 23 (17.97)                  | 6.750 | 0.009 |
| Doctor prescribed medicine | 87 (67.97)         | 105 (82.03)                 |    |    |
| Mode of administration |                        |                             |    |    |
| Digestive tract      | 124 (96.88)              | 119 (92.97)                 | 2.103 | 0.349 |
| Respiratory tract    | 1 (0.78)                 | 3 (2.34)                    |    |    |
| Skin and mucous membranes | 3 (2.34)           | 6 (4.69)                    |    |    |
| Drug dosage          |                          |                             |    |    |
| Overdose             | 33 (25.78)               | 9 (7.03)                    | 16.406 | <0.001 |
| Normal               | 91 (71.09)               | 114 (89.06)                 |    |    |
| Small amount         | 4 (3.13)                 | 5 (3.91)                    |    |    |
| Unknown drug composition |                   |                             |    |    |
| Yes                  | 25 (19.53)               | 8 (6.25)                    | 10.054 | 0.002 |
| No                   | 103 (80.47)              | 120 (93.75)                 |    |    |
| Medication side effect |                          |                             |    |    |
| Yes                  | 28 (21.88)               | 7 (5.47)                    | 14.596 | <0.001 |
| No                   | 100 (78.12)              | 121 (94.53)                 |    |    |

| Table 3: Multivariate analysis of acute drug poisoning. |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Influencing factors | B   | SE  | Wald  | df | Sig | Exp (B) | Exp (B) 95% CI |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|-----|---------|----------------|
| Education            | 0.628 | 0.301 | 4.353 | 1 | 0.038 | 1.907 | 1.745–2.323 |
| Drug category        | 0.455 | 0.249 | 3.339 | 1 | 0.061 | 1.482 | 1.378–1.690 |
| Drug source          | 1.041 | 0.420 | 6.143 | 1 | 0.015 | 3.125 | 2.625–4.624 |
| Drug dosage          | 3.690 | 0.941 | 15.377 | 2 | <0.001 | 6.638 | 6.151–8.793 |
| Unknown drug composition | 1.967 | 0.717 | 7.526 | 1 | 0.008 | 3.890 | 3.482–5.091 |
| Medication side effect | 3.228 | 0.925 | 12.178 | 1 | <0.001 | 5.518 | 4.924–6.845 |
is taken, but most patients with adverse drug reactions have mild symptoms and can tolerate or relieve themselves after the drug is discontinued. Only a small part of the patient’s body has an antagonistic reaction with the drug and cannot be absorbed and utilized, and when the concentration of the drug in the blood reaches a certain amount, poisoning occurs [21]. It is recommended that patients should follow the doctor’s advice when taking medicines, purchase medicines under the guidance of doctors, and go to the hospital in time if adverse reactions occur. Doctors should inform patients and their families about the knowledge of diseases and drugs in advance and continuously improve people’s awareness of drug safety through face-to-face communication. Relevant national health departments should vigorously carry out health education on the prevention of drug poisoning, popularize health knowledge related to common drugs, raise residents’ awareness of drug safety, and reduce the incidence of acute drug poisoning.

Optimizing emergency procedures is a new type of rescue mode, which can improve the first aid efficiency of medical staff and save rescue time; its application in the first aid of pesticide poisoning has a good clinical application effect [22, 23]. In this study, the optimized emergency procedures were applied to patients with acute drug poisoning, and the curative effect was significant. It has the advantages of short rescue time, observation time, and hospital stay, low complication rate, and high rescue success rate. The following reasons are considered: before the patient arrived at the hospital, the family members followed the guidance of the medical staff to induce vomiting on the patient in advance, which reduced the patient’s gastrointestinal tract absorption of poisoning drugs; the establishment of the green channel shortened the poisoning time of patients due to registration, queuing, and other processes; two medical staff had undergone professional training, division of labor and cooperation, and tacit cooperation, which effectively saved patients’ rescue time and improved the rescue success rate; nursing staff implemented a shift system to monitor the recovery of patients, which helped to deal with the abnormal situation in time when the patient had an abnormal situation, and shortened the observation time; during the hospitalization period, the nursing staff continuously communicated with the patient, enlightened and encouraged, helped the patient to recover from the condition, and shortened the hospitalization time; and the medical staff emphasized to the patient the precautions during the recovery period, which improved the patient’s awareness of the disease and compliance with treatment, and reduced the incidence of complications.

In summary, adults with high school education level or below, self-purchase of medication, medication overdose, unknown drug composition, and medication side effect are more likely to suffer from acute medication poisoning. It is worthy of clinical popularization to optimizing emergency

| Curative effect       | Control group (n = 64) | Observation group (n = 64) | $\chi^2$ | $P$   |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------|
| Markedly effective    | 42 (65.63)             | 58 (90.63)                | 11.703  | <0.001|
| Effective             | 12 (18.75)             | 5 (7.81)                  | 3.324   | 0.068 |
| Invalid               | 10 (15.62)             | 1 (1.56)                  | 5.480   | 0.019 |
| Total effective       | 54 (84.37)             | 63 (98.44)                | 5.480   | 0.019 |
procedures with short rescue time, observation time, and hospital stay, low complication rate, high rescue success rate, and good application effect.
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