Parity and valley degeneracy in multilayer graphene
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We study spatial symmetry in general ABA-stacked multilayer graphene to illustrate how electronic spectra at the two valleys are related in a magnetic field. We show that the lattice of multilayers with an even number of layers, as well as that of monolayer graphene, satisfy spatial inversion symmetry, which rigorously guarantees valley degeneracy in the absence of time-reversal symmetry. A multilayer with an odd number of layers (three or more) lacks inversion symmetry, but there is another transformation imposing an approximate valley degeneracy, which arises because the low-energy Hamiltonian consists of separate monolayerlike and bilayerlike parts. We show that an external electrostatic potential generally breaks valley degeneracy in a magnetic field, in a markedly different manner in odd and even multilayers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fabrication of individual graphene flakes [1], followed by an observation of the integer quantum Hall effect in them [2–4], triggered an explosion of interest in the electronic properties of graphene. It was fuelled, in part, by the realization that the low-energy band structure of a graphene monolayer consists of two Dirac cones centered at inequivalent corners of the Brillouin zone, $K_+$ and $K_-$, which are called valleys [Fig. 1(b)]. They support chiral quasiparticles with opposite chirality in each valley, and a linear dispersion reminiscent of the quantum electrodynamics of massless fermions [5–7]. In the presence of time reversal symmetry, the energy spectrum is degenerate between the different valleys since the time reversal operation connects electronic states at $K_+$ to those at $K_-$. In graphene, not only time reversal symmetry, but parity, i.e., spatial inversion symmetry with respect to the center of a hexagon [7–9], is also able to transform electronic states between valleys. In the presence of a magnetic field, parity ensures degeneracy of the electronic spectra at different valleys.

In this paper, we study spatial symmetry in general ABA-stacked (Bernal) multilayer graphene composed of $N$ layers, to illustrate how the electronic spectra at the two valleys are related in a magnetic field. In multilayers with even $N$, including bilayers [4, 10, 11], the lattice obeys spatial inversion symmetry $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$ similarly to monolayers, which swaps electronic states between valleys ensuring valley degeneracy in the absence of time-reversal symmetry. The picture is different in multilayers with odd $N$, starting from trilayer graphene ($N = 3$), because their lattices do not satisfy spatial inversion symmetry [9, 12], Fig. 1(c). Here we consider an additional transformation which imposes an approximate valley degeneracy on the electronic spectra of odd-$N$ multilayers. It arises because the electronic Hamiltonian may be decomposed into separate monolayer- and bilayerlike parts [13–16], with each part satisfying an approximate inversion symmetry corresponding to that of the real lattice of monolayer or bilayer graphene, respectively. We predict a peculiar Landau level spectra in bilayer and trilayer graphenes in the presence of interlayer potential asymmetry, with unusual structures of broken valley degeneracy that are markedly different from each other.
II. SYMMETRY ANALYSIS OF THE ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

We present here general symmetry arguments without referring to model-specific details, in order to provide information about the valley degeneracy of Landau levels. We consider Bernal-stacked multilayer graphene with N-layers in an external uniform magnetic field B with arbitrary direction, and an external potential U(r) (other than the lattice potential) where \( r = (x, y, z) \). Without resorting to any approximations, we can formally express the total Hamiltonian of the system as \( H [B, U(r)] \), and we take \( x \) and \( y \)-axes to be parallel to the graphene layers, and the \( z \) axis in the perpendicular direction.

**Even-N multilayers:** In multilayers with an even number of layers, the lattice is symmetric with respect to spatial inversion symmetry \( P \) \( [(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)] \) as shown in Fig. (c), because the point group of the lattice \( D_{3d} \) \( [(E, 2C_3, 3C_2', i, 2S_6, 3\sigma_d)] \) can be regarded as a direct product of group \( D_3 \) \( [(E, 2C_3, 3C_2')] \) with the inversion group \( C_i \) \( ([E, i]) \). In the absence of \( B \), there is a symmetry with respect to time reversal \( T \) \( (t \rightarrow -t) \). The influence of \( P \) and \( T \) on the Hamiltonians of even-N multilayers is summarized as

\[
P : \quad PH [B, U(r)] P^{-1} = H [B, U(-r)],
\]

\[
T : \quad H^* [B, U(r)] = H [-B, U(r)].
\]

The magnetic field \( B \) does not change sign under the operation \( P \) because it is an axial vector. Manes et al.\[9\] used the combined PT operation to show that the Fermi points are stable with respect to the opening of a gap.

In multilayer graphenes, electronic properties are well described by a \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) approximation in the vicinity of \( K_+ \) and \( K_- \) points. We can consider the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) Hamiltonian (and thus eigenstates) at each valley separately, as long as the potential \( U(r) \) is smooth in the \( xy \)-plane compared to the atomic scale, and the magnetic field is not too strong as to mix states around the two valleys. When \( B = 0 \), the time-reversal symmetry in Eq. (2) ensures valley degeneracy of the electronic spectrum, because the operation \( T \) swaps eigenstates at \( K_+ \) and those of \( K_- \) through flipping the Bloch factor as \( e^{iK_z r} x = e^{iK_z r} x \). Here \( K_z (\xi = \pm) \) are the wave numbers corresponding to \( K_\xi \) points.\[17\] The operation of spatial inversion \( P \) also exchanges \( K_+ \) and \( K_- \) because the point \( -K_+ \) is equivalent to \( K_- \) in the Brillouin zone. Then the symmetry of Eq. (1) suggests that the eigenstates of \( K_\xi \) at \( [B, U(r)] \) are related to those of \( K_- \) at \( [B, U(-r)] \). From this we immediately conclude that the Landau levels in even-N multilayers are degenerate in valleys as long as \( U(r) = U(-r) \), or the external potential has inversion symmetry with the same axial point as that of the lattice potential.

**Odd-N multilayers:** In odd-N multilayers with \( N > 3 \), the point group \( D_{3h} \) \( [(E, 2C_3, 3C_2', \sigma_h, 2S_6, 3\sigma_v)] \) \( [9, 12] \) can be regarded as a direct product of the group \( D_3 \) \( [(E, 2C_3, 3C_2')] \) with the reflection group \( C_s \) \( ([E, \sigma_h]) \).

With respect to the even-\( N \) multilayers, spatial inversion is replaced by mirror reflection \( \sigma_h \) \( [(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, -z)] \) as shown in Fig. (c), which does not reverse the in-plane electronic momentum. The influence of \( T \) and \( \sigma_h \) on the Hamiltonians of odd-\( N \) multilayers is summarized as

\[
\sigma_h : \quad \sigma_h H [B, U(x, y, z)] \sigma_h^{-1} = H [B, U(x, y, -z)],
\]

\[
T : \quad H^* [B, U(r)] = H [-B, U(r)].
\]

Time reversal symmetry, Eq. (4), again ensures valley degeneracy of the electronic spectrum in the absence of an external magnetic field. The mirror reflection symmetry would seem to play the role of parity. However, it does not transform between states at the two valleys, and is, therefore, unable to guarantee valley degeneracy in a magnetic field. It merely ensures that the spectrum at each valley is identical when the potential \( U(r) \) is inverted with respect to \( z = 0 \). Actually, we can show that Landau levels in odd-layered multilayer graphenes are approximately valley-degenerate when \( U(r) = 0 \), by employing the effective mass Hamiltonian described in the following section.

Although we focus on ABA graphene multilayers in this paper, we point out that ABC (rhombohedral) graphene multilayers, which have a different layer stacking \( [18, 19] \), have inversion symmetry irrespective of their layer number, and thus the valley degeneracy of Landau levels is always guaranteed.

III. EFFECTIVE MASS HAMILTONIAN

To investigate the Landau level structure in detail we adopt the effective-mass description of graphite in the Slonczewski-Weiss-McClure parameterization\[20\]. We consider AB-stacked \( N \)-layer multilayer graphene in an external uniform magnetic field \( B \) perpendicular to the layer, and with an external electrostatic potential \( U_j \) at the \( j \)-th layer, which is uniform in the in-plane direction. In a basis with atomic components \( \psi_{A1}, \psi_{B1}, \psi_{A2}, \psi_{B2}, \psi_{A3}, \psi_{B3}, \ldots \), the multilayer Hamiltonian at the \( K_\xi \) valley\[13, 15, 21\] is

\[
\mathcal{H}^{(\xi)} = \begin{pmatrix}
H_1 & V^\dagger & V^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
V & H_2 & V^\dagger & H_3 & V & V^\dagger & 0 \\
0 & V & H_3 & V & V^\dagger & H_4 & V^\dagger \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
H_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
U_i & v \pi^\dagger \\
v \pi^\dagger & U_i + \delta
\end{pmatrix} (i: \text{odd})
\]

\[
U_i + \delta & v \pi^\dagger \\
v \pi^\dagger & U_i (i: \text{even})
\]

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix}
-v_4 \pi^\dagger & v_3 \pi \\
v_3 \pi & v_1 \pi
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Here $\pi = \xi \pi_x + i \pi_y$, $\xi = \pm 1$ is the valley index and $\pi = -i h \nabla + eA$ with the vector potential $A$, which gives the external magnetic field as $B = \nabla \times A$. Parameter $U_i$ is the potential at the $i$-th layer, which is constant within each layer, and $\delta$ represents the energy difference between sites which have neighboring atoms right above or below them and those sites which do not, and thus it only exists for $N \geq 2$. Parameter $v$ is the band velocity of monolayer graphene, which is written as $v = \sqrt{3} a \gamma_0 / 2 h$. Other velocities are defined as $v_3 = \sqrt{3} a \gamma_3 / 2 h$ and $v_4 = \sqrt{3} a \gamma_4 / 2 h$. We neglect parameters $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_5$, which describe hopping between next-nearest neighboring layers. They actually break exact valley degeneracy as mentioned later.

For even-$N$ multilayers with $U_j = 0$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (5) satisfies

$$\sigma_{2N}^\dagger H^{(\xi)} \sigma_{2N} = H^{(-\xi)}$$

(7)

with $\sigma_{2N}$ being a $2N \times 2N$ matrix,

$$\sigma_{2N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

(8)

which is nothing but the inversion symmetry discussed in the previous section. In odd-$N$ multilayers with $U_j = 0$, the Landau levels are degenerate in valleys due to a different symmetry. We can show this by employing a unitary transformation which decomposes the Hamiltonian of $N$-layer graphene, Eq. (5), into subsystems equivalent to monolayer and bilayer graphenes. We construct the basis as $\{15, 22\}$

$$|\phi_{m}^{(X, odd)}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_m(j) |\psi_{X_j}\rangle$$

$$|\phi_{m}^{(X, even)}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} g_m(j) |\psi_{X_j}\rangle$$

(9)

where $X = A, B$, and

$$f_m(j) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{N+1}} \sin \left( \frac{m \pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi j}{2(N+1)} \right),$$

$$g_m(j) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{N+1}} \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi j}{2(N+1)} \right),$$

(10) (11)

where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ is the layer index. The label $m$ is the subsystem index which ranges as

$$m = \begin{cases} 1, 3, 5, \cdots, N - 1, & N = \text{even} \\ 0, 2, 4, \cdots, N - 1, & N = \text{odd} \end{cases}$$

(12)

The superscript such as $(A, \text{odd})$ indicates that the wavefunction has an amplitude only on $|A_{j}\rangle$ with odd $j$'s.

When the Hamiltonian $\{15\}$ with $U_j = 0$ is written in the basis Eq. (9), the matrix is block-diagonalized in each $m$. The case of $m = 0$ is special in that $g_m(j)$ is identically zero, so that only two bases $\{|\phi_0^{(A, \text{odd})}\rangle, |\phi_0^{(B, \text{odd})}\rangle\}$ survive in Eq. (10). The submatrix is written for this two component basis as

$$H_{m=0}^{(\xi)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \pi \delta \\ v \pi \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(13)

which is equivalent to the Hamiltonian of monolayer graphene except for the diagonal terms containing $\delta$. For $m \neq 0$, the submatrix for $\{|\phi_m^{(A, \text{odd})}\rangle, |\phi_m^{(B, \text{odd})}\rangle, |\phi_m^{(A, \text{even})}\rangle, |\phi_m^{(B, \text{even})}\rangle\}$ becomes

$$H_{m \neq 0}^{(\xi)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \pi \delta & -\lambda v_4 \pi \delta & \lambda v_3 \pi \\ v \pi \delta & 0 & -\lambda v_4 \pi \delta & \lambda v_3 \pi \\ -\lambda v_4 \pi \delta & -\lambda v_4 \pi \delta & 0 & v \pi \delta \\ \lambda v_3 \pi \delta & \lambda v_3 \pi \delta & -v \pi \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(14)

where $\lambda \equiv \lambda_m$ is defined by

$$\lambda_m = 2 \cos \kappa_m, \quad \kappa_m = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{m \pi}{2(N+1)}.$$ (15)

Eq. (14) is identical to the Hamiltonian of bilayer graphene, except that interlayer-coupling parameters $\gamma_1, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ are multiplied by the factor $\lambda$.

Since the decomposed Hamiltonian matrices are analogous to those of monolayer or bilayer graphene, they obey the corresponding inversion symmetry. The bilayer-type submatrix, Eq. (14), obeys

$$\sigma_4^\dagger H_m \sigma_4 = H_{m}^{(-\xi)},$$

(16)

which guarantees valley degeneracy of Landau levels. In the original basis, this “effective” inversion process Eq. (10) exchanges the wave amplitudes on odd-$j$th layers and those on even-$j$th ones. In even-$N$ multilayers, the operation Eq. (16) for each eigenstate becomes equivalent to the original inversion symmetry Eq. (15) except for a phase factor. For the monolayer-type submatrix Eq. (14) (exists in odd-$N$) satisfies

$$\sigma_4^\dagger H_{m=0} \sigma_4 \approx H_{m=0}^{(-\xi)},$$

(17)

but it is only approximate since $\delta$ breaks this symmetry, unlike in the bilayer-type symmetry, Eq. (16). The operation of Eq. (17) exchanges the wave amplitudes within odd-$j$ layers.

The extra parameters $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_5$ neglected here generally mix the states between different $m$'s, and also appear in diagonal elements within each $m$ [22]. In odd-$N$ multilayers, they lift the valley degeneracy by breaking the effective inversion symmetry Eq. (16) through additional matrix elements. It should be noted that, in even-$N$ multilayers, valley degeneracy is never influenced by any extra lattice parameter, because it is protected by inversion symmetry inherent in the lattice.
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generate Landau levels [10], except for the level at zero energy which is twofold degenerate. The valley degeneracy is guaranteed by the effective spatial inversion symmetry of the separate, monolayerlike and bilayerlike, parts of the decomposed Hamiltonian. Finite \( \Delta_1 \) breaks the effective spatial inversion symmetry and it splits the valley degeneracy of the levels [10, 26, 30], as indicated in the numerically-calculated spectrum with a magnetic field of \( \Gamma_B/\gamma_1 = 0.3 \), plotted in Fig. 2 [31].

In the limit \( \{ \varepsilon, \sqrt{\Gamma_B}/\Delta \} \ll \gamma_1 \), the Hamiltonian is approximately described by an effective Hamiltonian [10] operating in the space of two-component wave functions

\[ \Psi_{A1}, \Psi_{B2} \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_{AB}^{(\text{eff})} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\gamma_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\pi)^2 \\ \pi^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\varepsilon^2\pi^2/\gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 + 2\varepsilon^2\pi^2/\gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \] .(18)

The energy levels are obtained by assuming the wave function \( \Psi_{n,K} = (\xi_{1} \varphi_{n+\xi}, \xi_{2} \varphi_{n-\xi}) \), where \( \varphi_{m<0} \) is regarded as 0. They are given by

\[ \varepsilon_{n \geq 1} = \pm \sqrt{ \Gamma_B^2 \gamma_1^2 n(n+1) + \Delta^2 \left[ 1 - 2 \Gamma_B^2 \gamma_1^2 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^2 - \xi \Delta \Gamma_B^2 / \gamma_1^2 } , \]

\[ \varepsilon_0 = \xi \Delta \left( 1 - 2 \Gamma_B^2 / \gamma_1^2 \right) , \]

\[ \varepsilon_{-1} = \xi \Delta . \]

The splitting of the valley degeneracy in \( n \geq 1 \) (by terms containing \( \xi \)) is linear in the asymmetry potential \( \Delta \), and also in the magnetic field \( B \).

**Trilayer graphene:** The trilayer graphene [12, 13, 21] is given by the multilayer Hamiltonian, Eq. (5), with \( N = 3 \). We again retain the parameters \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \), and set the layer potential as \( (U_1, U_2, U_3) = \Delta_1(1,0,-1) \), to focus our attention on interlayer asymmetry \( \Delta_1 = (U_1 - U_2)/2 \). As described in Section III, we perform a unitary transformation to decompose the Hamiltonian into a monolayerlike part, Eq. (13), and a bilayerlike part, Eq. (14) (such a decomposed trilayer Hamiltonian is written explicitly in Ref. [32]).

In the absence of interlayer asymmetry, \( \Delta_1 = 0 \), the spectrum consists of superimposed monolayerlike and bilayerlike spectra [13], with fourfold (valley and spin) degenerate Landau levels, except for the level at zero energy which is eightfold degenerate. The valley degeneracy is guaranteed by the effective spatial inversion symmetry of the separate, monolayerlike and bilayerlike, parts of the decomposed Hamiltonian. Finite \( \Delta_1 \) breaks the effective spatial inversion symmetry and it splits the valley degeneracy of the levels as indicated in the numerically-calculated spectrum at \( \Gamma_B/\gamma_1 = 0.3 \), plotted in Fig. 2 [31]. Unlike in bilayer, the energy spectrum at each valley is an even function of \( \Delta_1 \), due to the reflection symmetry argued in Sec. [11]. The valley splitting is therefore

![FIG. 2: The low-energy Landau level spectrum of bilayer graphene with \( \Gamma_B/\gamma_1 = 0.3 \) at each valley, plotted as a function of interlayer asymmetry \( \Delta \).](image)
Eq. (22) yields four energy levels for each $n$, except for zero energy where the different levels are degenerate at each single valley, and the energy level (and thus splitting) is allowed to be linear in $\Delta_1$.

In the limit $\{\varepsilon, \sqrt{n}\Gamma_B, \Delta_1\} \ll \gamma_1$, it is possible to obtain a simplified description of four electronic bands near zero energy, by eliminating atomic components associated with bands split away from zero by energy $\pm \sqrt{2}\gamma_1$, to obtain a four-component effective Hamiltonian in basis $[\psi_{A1} - \psi_{A3}]/\sqrt{2}$, $[\psi_{B1} - \psi_{B3}]/\sqrt{2}$, $[\psi_{A1} + \psi_{A3}]/\sqrt{2}$, $\psi_{B2}$,

\[
H_{ABA}^{(\text{eff})} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & v\pi & \Delta_1 & 0 \\
v\pi & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\Delta_1 v\pi}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1} \\
\Delta_1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\Delta_1 v\pi}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1} \\
0 & -\frac{\Delta_1 v\pi}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1} & -\frac{\Delta_1 v\pi}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1} & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}
\]

The energy levels are obtained by assuming the wave function $\Psi_{n,K} = (c_1 \varphi_{n+\xi}, c_2 \varphi_{n}, c_3 \varphi_{n-\xi}, c_4 \varphi_{n-\zeta})$. For levels with index $n \geq 1$ at valley $K_+$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (22) yields four energy levels for each $n$, given by

\[
\varepsilon^{(u)}_{n \geq 1, K} = \pm \sqrt{\Delta_1^2 + (n + 1)^2 \Gamma_B^2}, \tag{23}
\]

\[
\varepsilon^{(l)}_{n \geq 1, K} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{n + 1} \Gamma_B}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1} \frac{\Delta_1^2 - (n + 1)^2 \Gamma_B^2}{\sqrt{\Delta_1^2 + (n + 1)^2 \Gamma_B^2}}. \tag{24}
\]

For index $n = 0$, there are three energy levels,

\[
\varepsilon^{(u)}_{n = 0, K} = \pm \sqrt{\Delta_1^2 + \Gamma_B^2}, \tag{25}
\]

\[
\varepsilon^{(l)}_{n = 0, K} = 0, \tag{26}
\]

and, for $n = -1$, two energy levels,

\[
\varepsilon^{(u)}_{-1, K} = \pm \Delta_1. \tag{27}
\]

Here, the superscript $(u)$ means that the level is related to an “upper” energy band which is split away from zero energy by $\Delta_1$ (i.e. the Landau level energy approaches $\pm \Delta_1$ as $\Gamma_B \to 0$), whereas superscript $(l)$ means that the level is related to a “lower” energy band near zero energy (i.e. the Landau level energy approaches zero as $\Gamma_B \to 0$).

The Landau level spectrum at the second valley, $K_-$, differs as compared to that at $K_+$. For levels with index $n \geq 1$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (22) again yields four energy levels for each $n$ given by

\[
\varepsilon^{(u)}_{n \geq 1, K}, = \pm \sqrt{\Delta_1^2 + n \Gamma_B^2}, \tag{28}
\]

\[
\varepsilon^{(l)}_{n \geq 1, K}, = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{n + 1} \Gamma_B [\Delta_1^2 - n \Gamma_B^2]}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1 \sqrt{\Delta_1^2 + n \Gamma_B^2}}. \tag{29}
\]

For index $n = 0$, there are two energy levels at $K_-$,

\[
\varepsilon^{(l)}_{0, K} = \pm \frac{\Delta_1 \Gamma_B}{\sqrt{2}\gamma_1}. \tag{30}
\]

and, for $n = -1$, one energy level,

\[
\varepsilon^{(l)}_{1, K} = 0. \tag{31}
\]

In the limit $\Delta_1 = 0$, the levels are degenerate in pairs of $\varepsilon^{(u)}_{n,K} = \varepsilon^{(u)}_{n+1,K}$ ($n \geq 0$), and $\varepsilon^{(l)}_{n,K} = \varepsilon^{(l)}_{n,K}$ ($n \geq 1$), which form monolayerlike and bilayerlike spectra, respectively. In the high-field limit, $|\Delta_1| \ll \Gamma_B$, the valley splitting of those levels is proportional to $\Delta_1^2/\gamma_1$ but independent of $B$, unlike bilayer graphene.

The other levels give degenerate zero-energy states at $\Delta_1 = 0$. For $K_+$($K$-), one of the levels $\varepsilon^{(u)}_{-1,K}^{(u)}(\varepsilon^{(l)}_{0,K-})$ corresponds to the zero-energy level of the monolayerlike spectrum, whereas $\varepsilon^{(l)}_{0,K}^{(l)}(\varepsilon^{(u)}_{-1,K})$ and one of $\varepsilon^{(u)}_{1,K}^{(u)}(\varepsilon^{(l)}_{0,K})$ correspond to two zero-energy levels of the bilayerlike spectrum. This yields an overall twelvefold degeneracy with spin degeneracy included (as opposed to the eightfold degeneracy in bilayers and fourfold degeneracy in monolayers). In the presence of finite interlayer asymmetry, two of the otherwise-zero levels at each valley are hybridized and split away from zero [Eq. (27) at $K_+$, and Eq. (30) at $K_-$], whereas one level at each valley remains at zero [Eq. (26) at $K_+$ and Eq. (31) at $K_-$]. Zero energy states are formed primarily by different atomic orbitals at different valleys, and, as in bilayers [10, 12, 37], the orbitals in trilayers are on different layers, so that
those levels exhibit quite different dependences on the
interlayer asymmetry between $K_+$ and $K_-$.

In realistic experimental situations, interlayer potential
asymmetry can be produced by gate-induced electric
fields. There the potentials $U_i$, which are taken as ex-
ternal parameters in the present work, should be deter-
mined self-consistently including the screening effect of
graphene electrons [24, 32]. The self-consistent Landau
level structure and quantum Hall effect as a function of
gate voltage would be an important future study [38].

It has been pointed out [38] that a number of level
crossings will occur in bilayer graphene at finite asym-
metry, Fig. 2. We note that the Landau level spectrum
of trilayer graphene, Fig. 3, has a very rich pattern of
level crossings at a range of different values of energy
and asymmetry. The presence of additional terms in the
Hamiltonian will tend to produce some anti-crossings in
the spectrum. The precise position and nature of level
crossings in the spectra of multilayer graphenes will be
the subject of future investigation.
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