Coronary-specific quantification of myocardial deformation by strain echocardiography may disclose the culprit vessel in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome
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Aims
To compare the diagnostic accuracy of speckle tracking echocardiography technique using territorial longitudinal strain (TLS) for the detection of culprit vessel vs. vessel-specific wall motion score index (WMSI) in non-ST-segment elevation–acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS) patients scheduled for invasive coronary angiography (ICA).

Methods and results
One hundred and eighty-three patients (mean age: 66 ± 12 years, male: 71%) diagnosed with NSTE-ACS underwent echocardiography evaluation at hospital admission and ICA within 24 h. Culprit vessels were left anterior descending (LAD), left circumflex (CX), and right coronary arteries (RCAs) in 38.5%, 39.6%, and 21.4%, respectively. An increase of affected vessels [1-, 2-, and 3-vessel coronary artery disease (CAD)] was associated with increased WMSI and TLS values. There was a statistically significant difference of both WMSI-LAD, WMSI-CX, WMSI-RCA and TLS-LAD, TLS-CX, TLS-RCA of myocardial segments with underlying severe CAD compared to no CAD (P = 0.001 and P < 0.001, respectively). Moreover, a significant difference of TLS-LAD, TLS-CX, TLS-RCA, and WMSI-CX of myocardial segments with an underlying culprit vessel compared to non-culprit vessels (P < 0.001, P < 0.001, P = 0.022, and P < 0.001, respectively) was identified. WMSI-LAD and WMSI-RCA did not show statistical significant differences. A regression model revealed that the combination of WMSI + TLS was more accurate compared to WMSI alone in detecting the culprit vessel (LAD, P = 0.001; CX, P < 0.001; and RCA, P = 0.019).

Conclusion
Territorial longitudinal strain allows an accurate identification of the culprit vessel in NSTE-ACS patients. In addition to WMSI, TLS may be considered as part of routine echocardiography for better clinical assessment in this subset of patients.
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Introduction

Acute coronary syndromes affect ~800 000 patients per year in the USA, of whom two-thirds are diagnosed as non-ST-segment elevation–acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS). Over a period of 20 years, the proportion of non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) grew as high as four-fold in comparison to ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). This phenomenon is most likely due to both a reduced rate of STEMI and to a more prompt diagnostic process of NSTE-ACS.1,2 Non-ST-segment elevation–acute coronary syndrome occurs primarily as a consequence of a sudden drop of myocardial blood flow due to acute plaque rupture or plaque erosion leading to partially occluding thrombosis.3,4 Recently, novel cardiac imaging techniques have developed in the field of myocardial ischaemic injury.5–9 Specifically, in the setting of NSTE-ACS patients, conventional echocardiographic assessment may reveal regional myocardial wall motion abnormality of the left ventricle as well as absence of kinetic alteration (25–76% of cases).10–12 Speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) is a validated and accurate technique able to detect subtle changes of the regional systolic left ventricular (LV) function.13–15 Previous reports showed that global and regional LV two-dimensional (2D) strain may non-invasively predict multivessel coronary artery disease (CAD) in patients with NSTEMI.16–18 Early evaluation of these patients is crucial in order to choose the appropriate treatment strategy including the timing of reperfusion therapy. The aim of our study was to evaluate the ability of STE to predict the culprit vessel in patients with NSTE-ACS as compared to visual assessment of LV kinesis and, in particular, to the wall motion score index (WMSI).

Material and methods

Study population

We studied consecutive symptomatic patients diagnosed with NSTE-ACS admitted in our tertiary referral hospital from September 2018 to September 2020. Non-ST-segment elevation–acute coronary syndrome was defined as an increase or decrease of cardiac biomarker with at least one value above the 99 percentile of the URL according to the recent international Guidelines.17 Twelve-lead surface EKG was performed at admission and myocardial ischaemia was defined as any ST-deviation >0.5 mm or symmetric T-wave inversion >3 mm in two or more contiguous leads. Serial high-sensitivity Troponin sampling was assessed to detect the peak level before and after invasive coronary angiography (ICA). Exclusion criteria were age <18 years, history of previous myocardial infarction and/or myocardial revascularization (PTCA and/or coronary artery by-pass graft), severe valvular heart disease, persistent ST-elevation or complete bundle branch block on surface EKG at hospital admission and/or during hospitalization, atrial fibrillation with heart rate faster than 100 b.p.m., and inadequate echocardiographic acoustic windows. A scoring system following score: (1) normal; (2) hypokinetic; (3) akinetic; and (4) dyskinetic. Imaging data were analysed and WMSI was calculated as the average value of the studied segments. Myocardial function was assessed by evaluating the deformation of the myocardium using 2D STE and endocardial borders were detected at 2D end-systole. The IE33 QLAB-CMQ software was used to analyse obtained values. All peak strain values were automatically recorded. Whenever auto-tracking failed to outline correctly, the two readers independently manually repeated the 2D speckle-tracking measurements. Untraceable segments were excluded from the analysis. A total of 16 segments were investigated, and we used apical four-chamber, apical two-chamber, and apical three-chamber views to calculate the global strain. The obtained mean value of all segmental strain was used to define the global longitudinal strain (GLS). The territorial longitudinal strain (TLS) was calculated based on the perfusion territories of left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD), circumflex artery (Cx), and right coronary artery (RCA) in a 16 segments LV model, grouping and averaging the segmental peak systolic strain values within each territory (Figure 1).21,23,24

Coronary angiography and treatment strategy

Patient medical management was performed in agreement with current Guidelines.12 All patients underwent ICA within the first 24 h from hospital admission. Coronary artery lesions were assessed by two skilled invasive cardiologists (more than 10 years of experience). Significant CAD was defined as any luminal stenosis more than 70% in a major epicardial coronary vessel and the culprit lesion was identified and treated with PTCA at the discretion of the operators.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as absolute frequencies (percentages), as appropriate. Group comparisons were performed using unpaired Student’s t-test for continuous variables, and χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables, as appropriate. Wall motion score index and TLS were tested in univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis for the identification of independent
variables predicting the culprit vessel. The incremental value in predicting culprit lesion by inclusion of TLS in addition to WMSI was assessed by the $\chi^2$ using Omnibus test of model coefficients. To assess the reproducibility of the strain measurements, intra- and interobserver variability were evaluated in a subset of 30 randomly selected patients. Each parameter was re-evaluated >2 weeks later on the same echocardiographic dataset by the main investigator and by a second investigator who was blinded to the results obtained by the main investigator. Both intra- and interobserver variability are reported in terms of intraclass correlation coefficients and coefficients of variation (percentages). All results were considered significant with $P$-values <0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

According to the pre-specified inclusion and exclusion criteria, the study population consisted of 183 patients [age $66 \pm 12$ years, male 130 (71%)]. Baseline characteristics of the population are listed in Table 1. Risk factors more represented were overweight and obesity (mean body mass index $26.9 \pm 3.9$), followed by hypertension (60%) and dyslipidaemia (50%). High-sensitivity Troponin T median range values were $921 \pm 3741$ pg/mL, and GRACE risk score was $112 \pm 27$. Most of patients were diagnosed with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) (86%), while the remaining patients presented with unstable angina. Culprit vessel was more frequently represented by LAD and Cx and less by RCA (38.5%, 39.6%, and 21.4%, respectively). The majority of patients had a preserved LVEF ($54 \pm 9$) and normal tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion values (Table 2). Table 3 showed the differences of WMSI and GLS/TLS values according to the severity of CAD (1-, 2-, and 3-vessel disease).

Both WMSI and GLS values worsened in patients with multivessel disease. A significant difference of WMSI was noted for 3-vessel vs. 1-vessel CAD, except for WMSI-LAD (P-value 0.076). There was a statistically significant difference of TLS-LAD, TLS-RCA and TLS-CX values (P-value < 0.001) both in 3-vessel vs. 1-vessel and in 2-vessel vs. 1-vessel disease. We also found a significant difference of TLS-RCA values in 3-vessel vs. 2-vessel disease. Table 4 showed changes of WMSI and TLS with respect to the territorial distribution of each diseased coronary artery, both when the vessel was significantly stenotic and when it represented the culprit vessel. We found a statistically significant difference of WMSI-LAD, WMSI-CX, and WMSI-RCA of myocardial segments with underlying CAD compared to normal vessels. However, this statistical difference was not reported when RCA represented the culprit vessels. Changes of TLS-LAD, TLS-CX, and TLS-RCA were statistically significant both when the territorial tributary artery was severely stenotic and when the artery represented the culprit vessel vs. normal vessels. Figure 2 shows the discriminatory strength of the TSL in relation to the vessel culprit. Figure 3 and Table 5 showed the incremental value of WMSI + TLS in predicting the culprit vessel when compared with the model including WMSI alone for each vessel (for LAD, $P = 0.001$; for CX, $P < 0.001$; and for RCA, $P = 0.019$).

## Reproducibility

A total of 30 patients were randomly chosen for the inter- and intra-observer variability analysis. Intraobserver and interobserver intraclass correlation agreement for GLS, TLS-LAD, TLS-RCA, and TLS-CX were 0.94, 0.89, 0.88, 0.89 and 0.97, 0.99, 0.99, 0.98, respectively (Table 6).

## Discussion

This study involving an NSTE-ACS population with no history of CAD demonstrated a number of important findings: (i) a direct correlation between WMSI, GLS and TLS values and the increasing number of diseased vessels; (ii) a direct correlation between regional WMSI and TLS with vessel-specific CAD findings; (iii) good accuracy of TLS in detecting the culprit vessel; and (iv) the incremental value of a model including WMSI + TLS compared to WMSI alone in detecting the culprit vessel.

Previous experimental studies have demonstrated the value of 2D STE in detecting CAD in NSTEMI patients. Eek et al. demonstrated that GLS had higher predictive power than LVEF and WMSI to identify an acute coronary occlusion (ACO) in NSTE-ACS patients. They showed the correlation between the number of segments with reduced strain values and the presence of total occlusion. Mghaieth Zghal et al. evaluated 70 NSTEMI patients and reported the utility of TLS for prediction of ACO. Atici et al. reported GLS and TLS values were significantly different between CAD patients and the control group. They showed that an increase in severity of CAD resulted in a decrease of TLS-LAD, TLS-CX, and TLS-RCA values in NSTEMI patients.

In our study, we corroborate the above-mentioned results and demonstrated that GLS and TLS values show a significant difference (P-value < 0.001) between 3- and 2-vessel CAD compared to...
Table 1  Baseline characteristics of study population

| Clinical characteristics          | n = 183 |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 66 ± 12 |
| Female, n (%)                    | 53 (29) |
| BSA, m²                          | 1.9 ± 0.2 |
| BMI, kg/m²                       | 26.9 ± 3.9 |
| Smoking, n (%)                   | 74 (41) |
| Hypertension, n (%)              | 109 (60) |
| Diabetes, n (%)                  | 34 (19) |
| Dyslipidaemia, n (%)             | 91 (50) |
| Family history of CAD, n (%)     | 56 (31) |
| Cardiac arrest, n (%)            | 1 (0.5) |
| ST deviation, n (%)              | 96 (53) |
| LBBB, n (%)                      | 11 (6) |
| RBBB, n (%)                      | 6 (3) |
| HS-troponin, n, ng/L             | 921 ± 3741 |
| Killip, n (%)                    | 0 (1.5) |
| 1                               | 172 (94.5) |
| 2                               | 3 (1.6) |
| 3                               | 6 (3.4) |
| GRACE                            | 112 ± 27 |
| NSTEMI, n (%)                    | 156 (86) |
| Unstable angina, n (%)           | 26 (14) |
| Culprit vessel, n (%)            |         |
| Left main                        | 1 (0.5) |
| Left anterior descending artery  | 70 (38.5) |
| Circumflex artery                | 72 (39.6) |
| Right coronary artery            | 39 (21.4) |

BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; CAD, coronary artery disease; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Table 2  Echocardiographic parameters of study population

| Echocardiographic parameters     |         |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| LVEDVi (mL/m²)                   | 56 ± 16 |
| LVEVi (mL/m²)                    | 27 ± 13 |
| LVEF (%)                         | 54 ± 9 |
| LV mass index (g/m²)             | 31 ± 9 |
| E/A                              | 0.95 ± 0.43 |
| E/e                              | 10.9 ± 5.6 |
| LAVI (mL/m²)                     | 30.7 ± 9.4 |
| TAPSE (mm)                       | 21.8 ± 3.7 |
| S’ (cm/s)                        | 12.2 ± 2.4 |
| PAPs (mmHg)                      | 29.4 ± 7.8 |

LAVI, left atrial volume index; LVEDVi, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEVi, left ventricular end-systolic volume index; PAPs, pulmonary artery pressure; TAPSE, tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion.

Table 3  WMSI and STE in multivessel CAD

|                          | 1 vessel (n = 98) | 2 vessels (n = 49) | 3 vessels (n = 35) | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| WMSI                     | 1.2 ± 0.3*       | 1.3 ± 0.4        | 1.5 ± 0.5*       | 0.004   |
| WMSI-LAD                 | 1.2 ± 0.4*       | 1.3 ± 0.5        | 1.4 ± 0.5        | 0.076   |
| WMSI-RCA                 | 1.3 ± 0.4*       | 1.4 ± 0.5        | 1.5 ± 0.6*       | 0.020   |
| WMSI-CX                  | 1.3 ± 0.4*       | 1.4 ± 0.5        | 1.5 ± 0.6*       | 0.035   |
| GLS                      | −16.3 ± 2.1      | −14.9 ± 2.9      | −13.9 ± 3.3      | <0.001  |
| TLS-LAD                  | −16.2 ± 2.9      | −14.6 ± 3.5      | −13.9 ± 3.7      | <0.001  |
| TLS-RCA                  | −16.7 ± 2.6      | −15.3 ± 3.0      | −13.7 ± 3.1†     | <0.001  |
| TLS-CX                   | −15.5 ± 3.0      | −14 ± 3.2        | −12.4 ± 3.8      | <0.001  |

CX, circumflex coronary artery; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; TLS-LAD, territorial longitudinal strain in LAD territory; WMSI-LAD, wall-motion score index in the LAD territory.

1P<0.05 vs. 1 vessel.
2P<0.05 vs. 2 vessels.

1-vessel CAD. Moreover, the same finding was obtained comparing TLS-RCA values between 3-vessel and 2-vessel CAD. On the other hand, WMSI values were consistent with the above findings only when comparing 3-vessel CAD to 1-vessel CAD. Only WMSI-LAD results were not significant in this case.

A novel point of our study was to correlate both regional WMSI and TLS with the corresponding vessel disease. As expected, analysing regional WMSI and TLS separately, both demonstrated a significant difference comparing patients with coronary artery stenosis >70% and those with normal vessels. Subsequently, we repeated the same analysis with the addition of evaluating the culprit vessel and its distribution territory. Only TLS values showed a significant difference, while regional WMSI was significant only for lesions involving the CX artery. Possible explanations for higher detection of TLS compared to regional WMSI can be hypothesized. During myocardial ischaemia, the function of subendocardial fibres is impaired, which occurs before EKG findings, symptom onset and WMSI changes. For this reason, we chose STE which is a non-invasive imaging technique that allows assessment of global and regional myocardial function.13,28 Global strain evaluation may enhance our results and demonstrated that the model including WMSI and TLS represents a more powerful diagnostic method to identify culprit vessel as compared to WMSI alone.

Our outcomes have important clinical implications because an early and accurate evaluation of the severity of CAD with TLS may
**Figure 2** Box plots of territorial longitudinal strain. This figure shows the discriminatory strength of the territorial longitudinal strain. TLS-CX, territorial longitudinal strain-left circumflex artery; TLS-LAD, territorial longitudinal strain-left anterior descending; TLS-RCA, territorial longitudinal strain-right coronary artery.

**Figure 3** Predictive models of culprit vessel. Incremental value of wall motion score index + territorial longitudinal strain in predicting the culprit vessel when compared with a model including wall motion score index alone for each vessel.

**Table 4** Coronary-specific WMSI and TLS and culprit vessel

|               | LAD no stenosis   | LAD stenosis no culprit | LAD stenosis culprit | P-value |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|
|               | (n = 56)          | (n = 56)                | (n = 70)             |         |
| WMSI-LAD      | 1.1 ± 0.3         | 1.3 ± 0.5*              | 1.4 ± 0.5*           | 0.005   |
| TLS-LAD       | −17.3 ± 2.6       | −14.8 ± 3.5*            | −14.2 ± 3.1*         | <0.001  |
|               |                   |                         |                      |         |
| CX no stenosis| (n = 89)          |                         |                      |         |
| WMSI-CX       | 1.2 ± 0.4         | 1.2 ± 0.4               | 1.6 ± 0.6*†‡         | <0.001  |
| TLS-CX        | −16.2 ± 2.8       | −14.1 ± 3.4*            | −12.4 ± 3.0*†‡       | <0.001  |
|               |                   |                         |                      |         |
| RCA no stenosis| (n = 103)        |                         |                      |         |
| WMSI-RCA      | 1.2 ± 0.4         | 1.6 ± 0.6*              | 1.4 ± 0.4            | <0.001  |
| TLS-RCA       | −16.8 ± 2.6       | −14.0 ± 3.3*            | −14.8 ± 2.7*         | <0.001  |

CX, circumflex coronary artery; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; TLS-LAD, territorial longitudinal strain in LAD territory; WMSI-LAD, wall-motion score index in the LAD territory.

*Versus no stenosis.
†Versus stenosis no culprit.
offer insightful information about the clinical risk of the patient, and subsequently this could be useful to target initial management. Therefore, from a practical perspective, both wall motion assessment and STE may be useful and easy techniques during the initial evaluation of NSTE-ACS patients.

Limitations
The present study has numerous limitations. First, the population was relatively small. However, we selected only patients without pre-existing systolic dysfunction and ischaemic history to get TLS and WMSI for the acute presentation. Second, the retrospective nature of our study limits the clinical application. Third, we studied culprit vessel without taking into account the possible ACO of the culprit artery, which would benefit from early reperfusion therapy. Fourth, although we collected clinical parameters such as Killip score elements, the limited number of enrolled patients did not allow us to consider loading conditions and inotropic changes that may influence LV systolic function in statistical analyses. Fifth, the number of involved coronary arteries is not equally distributed and this may explain why WMSI-CX showed a better correlation with the culprit vessel as compared to WMSI-LAD and RCA. Sixth, we employed a simplified model of TLS in respect to relative coronary artery distribution without taking into account the variability derived from coronary dominance. Therefore, it is likely that in 20–25% of cases TLS of the inferior wall may be inaccurate. Seventh, we performed a multiple assessment of coronary-specific TLS showing the different values in case of absence of disease, presence of significant disease non-culprit and presence of significant disease culprit, a comprehensive evaluation of multivessel patients need a larger study population. Eighth, echocardiography is a user-dependent technique by definition even though we provided a double analysis by two independent readers. Moreover, strain cut-off values should be considered carefully because of the lack of software standards for optimal cut-offs and, hence, larger multicentre and multivendor studies are warranted.

Conclusion
Coronary-specific quantification of myocardial deformation by strain echocardiography allows an accurate identification of the culprit vessel in NSTE-ACS patients. In addition to WMSI, TLS may be considered as part of routine echocardiography for better clinical assessment in this subset of patients.
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