Hydrostatic Pressure-Induced Spectral Variation of Reichardt’s Dye: A Polarity/Pressure Dual Indicator
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ABSTRACT: The famous solvatochromic Reichardt’s dye was applied to quantify hydrostatic pressure in media. The UV/vis spectra of the dye in various organic solvents are shifted bathochromically or hypsochromically at the shorter- or longer-wavelength band, respectively, upon hydrostatic pressurization. The $\text{E}_T$ value, determined by an absorption maximum, in ethyl acetate increases from 38.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ at 0.1 MPa to 39.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ at 300 MPa, which is mostly equal to the one in chloroform at 0.1 MPa. These spectroscopic origins were supported by the time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations. The concept and approach proposed in this paper, i.e., a dual indicator, should attract the attention of a broad spectrum in multidisciplinary science.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chemical indicators are a type of sensor that outputs a spectral, optical, or electrochemical signal in response to a chemical input to be quantitatively determined. For instance, a pH indicator is a well-known example used to colorimetrically analyze pH in solution, where proton concentration and UV/vis spectral changes are the input and output information, respectively. Such chemical indicators serve as versatile chemosensors for sensing, probing, and imaging a wide range of analytes such as chemicals, volatile organic compounds (VOC), explosives, chiralities, biomolecules, and apoptosis for cancer treatments. Among the many dyes/chromophores/luminophores reported so far, a colorimetric indicator that can measure media conditions (e.g., polarity, viscosity, and polarizability) is critical for basic science as this makes it possible to more easily elucidate details about solvent–solute interactions. These weak interactions, i.e., solvation, are inherently considered to be one of the entropy-related factors controlling not only supramolecular complexation equilibria in the ground state, on the basis of eq 1, but also photoexcitation rates in the excited state. Indeed, the effect of hydrostatic pressure on molecular recognition in solutions have long been investigated, and greatly affect volume changes upon complexation. These facts indicate that simultaneously measuring pressure as well as polarity in reaction medium can lead to a deeper mechanistic understanding of the origins controlling chemical reactions. Although various pressure-responsive luminophores in solutions have been developed over the years, a dual chemometric indicator that is susceptible to mutually related polarity and pressure is quite rare.

Reichardt’s dye (1), shown in Figure 1, has long been known as a useful solvatochromic reagent, and generally, an empirical
**Results and Discussion**

The hydrostatic pressure effects on the absorption properties of dilute solutions of compound 1 were first examined in ethyl acetate, chloroform, dichloromethane, acetone, acetonitrile, 2-propanol, ethanol, and methanol as representative eight organic solvents (Figures S1–S7 in the Supporting Information (SI)).

As can be seen from Figure 3, the gradual shifts in the absorption bands A and C show both good linear relationships against the pressure applied, for example, slopes (α) of 0.80 cm⁻¹ MPa⁻¹ for the A band and of αC = −1.40 cm⁻¹ MPa⁻¹ for the C band, respectively. These slopes observed in other solvents are summarized in Table 1. Indicator 1 is spectroscopically susceptible to hydrostatic pressure stimuli, as expected. The apparent Eᵣ value at 300 MPa in ethyl acetate is 39.2 kcal mol⁻¹, which is mostly equal to the one in chloroform at 0.1 MPa, and eventually, the value in methanol at 300 MPa reached 56.1 kcal mol⁻¹, which is comparable to glycol (Eᵣ for glycol is 56.3 kcal mol⁻¹) as a more polar solvent. From the dual indicator point of view, the two sensitive bands can mutually be used as a reference; the slopes at the A band in

---

**Table 1. Hydrostatic Pressure-Induced Spectral Changes of Reichardt’s Dye in Organic Solvents Examined**

| solvent          | Eᵣ (kcal mol⁻¹) | αA (cm⁻¹ MPa⁻¹) | αC (cm⁻¹ MPa⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| ethyl acetate    | 38.1            | −1.40          | 0.80            |
| chloroform       | 39.1            | −1.51          | 1.01            |
| dichloromethane  | 40.7            | −1.64          | 1.38            |
| acetone          | 42.2            | −             | 0.89            |
| acetonitrile     | 45.6            | −0.82          | 0.87            |
| 2-propanol       | 48.4            | −1.30          | 1.39            |
| ethanol          | 51.9            | −0.98          | 1.34            |
| methanol         | 55.4            | −0.84          | 1.14            |

α Empirical polarity parameters of solvents by Reichardt; see ref 14.

**Table 1**
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&deg;Estimated from the absorption maximum in a solvent is now widely employed. This prompted us to develop a novel dual indicator that exhibits drastic UV/vis spectral changes in response to hydrostatic pressure stimuli as well as solvent polarity.

In the present study, to expand the range of I from the original to the dual indicator, we investigated the hydrostatic pressure effects on compound 1 in common organic solvents with its spectral variation upon hydrostatic pressurization and also examined the origins of the spectral changes by means of quantum mechanical calculations. The results will be discussed to elucidate the factors governing the hydrostatic pressure outcomes of I.

---

**Figure 2.** UV/vis spectra of compound 1 in ethyl acetate (158 μM) at 0.1, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, 200, 220, 240, 260, 280, and 300 MPa, measured in a high-pressure cell at room temperature.

**Figure 3.** Plots of absorption maxima at (a) the C band (correlation coefficient r = 0.984) and (b) the A band (r = 0.963) in ethyl acetate.
dichloromethane and 2-propanol are quite similar but those at the C band differ remarkably.

The absorption spectrum has been simulated using TD-DFT(LRC-wPBEh), including the lowest 200 singlet states. The theoretical spectrum is shifted by $\Delta \lambda = 134$ nm to guide the eye and is shown in Figure 4 (blue line). Three CT bands are observed at 572, 688, and 930 nm. These three bands form the experimentally observed large A band around 800 nm. Both the shorter wavelength bands B and C are also reproduced at 301 and 392 nm and are attributed to a mix of local excitations (LE) and CT, and CT transitions, respectively. The peak calculated at 484 nm corresponds to the shoulder observed around 450 nm experimentally. The nature of the different peaks are illustrated by the difference of density associated to the transition from the ground state to the excited states with the largest oscillator strength; see Figures 5 and S8 (SI). All absorbing states are mainly of CT character, with a small mixing with local excitation (LE) occurring for the state lying within the absorption band around 300 nm. The average absorption spectrum calculated using 30 snapshots taken from an AIMD trajectory is shown in Figure 4 (red line). The motions in the ground state lead to a shift and a broadening of the CT band found at 930 nm. It spans from around 550–900 nm and is in good agreement with the experimentally observed band. Both peaks, at 484 and 392 nm, are shifted by 16 nm toward longer wavelengths (to 500 and 408 nm, respectively). The intense peak at 300 nm and the shoulder around 250 nm are qualitatively not affected by the ground-state molecular motions.

The geometry of the minimum of energy in the ground state of 1 corresponds to a quasi C$_2$ symmetry, with the C$_2$ axis along the ON bond. The values of $\tau_i$ defined in Figure 1, at this geometry are reported in Table 2. $\tau_1$ (31.1°) and $\tau_2$ (-49.9°) are rotations of part of the system around the C$_2$ axis and therefore do not break the symmetry. The four other angles can be put into two groups: $\tau_{11}$ and $\tau_{12}$ (~53.2 and ~51.0, respectively) and $\tau_{21}$ and $\tau_{22}$ (35.2 and 35.9, respectively).

The planarity of the molecule over the ground-state dynamics is investigated by looking at the $\tau$ angles along the AIMD trajectory. The distribution of the $\tau$ angles is shown in Figure 6 and the average value $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ and the variance $\sigma_i$ are reported in Table 2. Two different quasi normal distributions can be observed at ~47 and ~27° corresponding to two minima. Angles $\tau_{11}$, $\tau_{21}$, and $\tau_{22}$ are rotations around neighboring C–C bonds. Because of the proximity of bulky groups (see Figure 1), the angles are constrained to larger values to minimize steric hindrance. $\tau_1$, $\tau_{21}$, and $\tau_{22}$ are the rotations of groups located at either end of the molecule. There, the steric hindrance is much smaller and the phenyl groups can adopt a conformation closer to planarity. With a variance of $\sigma_1 = 20.3^\circ$, $\tau_1$ is the most flexible angle. All the other five angles have significantly smaller variance (of about $7^\circ$) as they are constrained by steric hindrance. The two ending phenyl groups characterized by $\tau_{21}$ and $\tau_{22}$ have a slightly larger variance due to their position. These overall small variances stress out the spatial congestion and a planar conformation is unlikely even under the high-pressure conditions. This fact indicates that the spectral changes of the indicator 1 by pressurizing are highly likely not to originate from structural changes of the phenyl groups but rather from inherent changes of the solvent’s physical properties, i.e., refractive index and thus polarizability under high pressure. The fact that the FWHM of the three experimentally observed bands do not change with the pressure points to the same conclusion as a change of the different $\tau$ angles would lead to a relative shift of the absorption energy of the electronic states depending on their nature.

The response of the energy of an electronic state to a change of polarity of its environment depends on the nature of the said state and on its dipole moment in particular. The absorption

![Figure 4. Theoretical absorption spectra. In blue: absorption at the ground-state minimum geometry. In red: averaged absorption over 30 snapshot geometries taken from the AIMD propagation in the ground state. A bathochromic shift of $\Delta \lambda = 134$ nm has been applied to the whole spectrum to align it on the experimental one. A broadening of $\Delta = 10$ nm has been used.](image)

| $i$ | $\tau_i$ | $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ | $\sigma_i$ |
|-----|----------|------------------------|---------|
| 1   | 31.1     | 25.0                   | 20.3    |
| 11  | -53.2    | -45.3                  | 6.4     |
| 12  | -51.0    | -47.3                  | 7.3     |
| 2   | -49.9    | -47.8                  | 7.7     |
| 21  | 35.2     | 29.7                   | 8.6     |
| 22  | 35.9     | 28.2                   | 9.1     |

*All values are reported in degrees.*

![Figure 5. Difference of electronic densities between the states composing the main studied absorption bands and the ground state. When said band is comprised of several states, only one representative transition is shown. See Figure S1 for a description of additional states. Red: loss of electronic density, blue: gain. The numbering of the state and its transition wavelength are shown below.](image)
energy of a transition therefore depends on the difference in dipole moment of the excited state ($\vec{\mu}_{Es}$) and of the ground state ($\vec{\mu}_{GS}$). The electronic ground state has a dipole moment of $\vec{\mu}_{GS} = 15.5$D along the ON direction. At equilibrium, the solvent accommodates this dipole and an increase of polarity of the environment will further stabilize the ground state.

The first excited state $S_1$, composing the band $A$, is a charge transfer from the “bottom” to the “top” of the molecule, i.e., in the same direction of $\vec{\mu}_{GS}$. This CT induces a flip of the dipole moment in the excited state: $\vec{\mu}_{Es} = 4.8$D along the N=N direction. The capacity of the solvent to reorganize to accommodate this large dipole moment change is hindered by the increase of pressure. This leads to an increase of the absorption energy and therefore to the hypsochromic shift of the $A$ band observed experimentally.

The main electronic states composing the absorption band $C$ have a dipole moment in the same direction as $\vec{\mu}_{GS}$ but of lower intensity. The interaction of these states with the solvent is therefore weaker than the ground state interaction with the environment. Increasing the pressure brings the solvent molecule out of their equilibrium geometry and the ground state is therefore destabilized. This destabilization is not as large for the electronic excited states composing the $C$ absorption band as their dipole moment, and therefore, their interaction with the solvent is smaller. This results in a decrease of the energy gap between the ground state and the states composing the $C$ absorption band and therefore to a bathochromic shift of the $C$ band.

Therefore, the contrasting pressure-induced wavelength shifts of the $A$ and $C$ bands can be attributed to the relative stabilization of ground or excited states, respectively, as were the cases with those observed in aromatic compounds accompanied by red shifts (related to $1^LE$ transitions) upon hydrostatic pressurization.13m,17

3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the spectral controls of Reichardt’s dye induced by hydrostatic pressure, enabling it to be used as a colorimetric polarity/pressure dual indicator. The pressure-induced spectral changes are very contrasted at the $A$ and $C$ bands, the origins of which were supported by the TD-DFT calculations. It can be therefore emphasized that the present approach thus observed by using Reichardt’s dye may be expandable to other chromophores and/or fluorophores for developing further dual indicators.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. General. UV/vis spectra were recorded on JASCO V-S60. Spectrophotometric grade solvents and Reichardt’s dye were used without further purification.

4.2. Computational Details. All calculations were performed with the range long corrected LRC-ωPBe functional18a and the 6-31G* Pople basis set18b,c within the Qchem 5.0 package.18d The range separation parameter $\omega = 0.148$Å$^{-1}$ was determined using the optimal tuning procedure that have proven efficient for organic molecule exhibiting low lying charge transfer states.18e,f The ground state minimum geometry has been optimized with DFT and a frequency analysis has been performed to ensure there were no imaginary frequencies. The electronic structure was computed with Time Dependent DFT (TD-DFT) within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation.18g The absorption spectrum was both determined by TD-DFT calculations including the lowest 200 singlet states and by averaging the absorption spectra of 30 different structures taken randomly along an ab initio molecular dynamics simulation performed with TeraChem within the QCPH 5.0 package with the 3-21G* basis set18h and the PBE functional,18i,j including the DFT-D3 Grimme dispersion correction.18m The oscillator strength of each transition ($f_n$) of energy $\omega_n$ was broadened with a Gaussian function

$$g(\omega - \omega_n) = \frac{2}{\pi \Delta} \exp \left( \frac{-2(\omega - \omega_n)^2}{\Delta^2} \right)$$

where $\Delta$ is the full-width at half maximum set to 10 nm.
4.3. General Procedure for Measurements under Hydrostatic Pressure. UV/vis spectroscopic experiments under high pressure were performed by using the high-pressure apparatus reported previously. A solution of a given concentration of compound 1 was introduced to a quartz cell for high pressure (3 mm width, 2 mm depth, and 7 mm height). The quartz cell was connected to a Teflon tube for adjusting the applied pressure, sealed by a metal stopper, and then placed in the high pressure apparatus. The sample solution thus put into the apparatus was measured by UV/vis spectroscopy upon hydrostatically pressurization from 0.1 to 300 MPa.
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