Applying modular Galois representations to the Inverse Galois Problem
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For many finite groups the Inverse Galois Problem (IGP) can be approached through modular/automorphic Galois representations. This report is about the ideas and the methods that my coauthors and I have used so far, and their limitations (in my experience).

In this report I will mostly stick to the case of 2-dimensional Galois representations because it is technically much simpler and already exhibits essential features; occasionally I’ll mention n-dimensional symplectic representations; details on that case can be found in Sara Arias-de-Reyna’s report on our joint work with Dieulefait and Shin.

Basics of the approach

The link between the IGP and Galois representations. Let $K/Q$ be a finite Galois extension such that $G := \text{Gal}(K/Q) \subset \text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ is a subgroup. Then $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/Q) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ is an $n$-dimensional continuous Galois representation with image $G$. Conversely, given a Galois representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ (all our Galois representations are assumed continuous), then $\text{im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ is the Galois group of the Galois extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ker}(\rho)}/\mathbb{Q}$.

Source of Galois representations: abelian varieties. Let $A$ be a $\text{GL}_{2}$-type abelian variety over $Q$ of dimension $d$ with multiplication by the number field $F/\mathbb{Q}$ (of degree $d$) with integer ring $O_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$. Then for every prime ideal $p \subset O_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$, the $\ell$-adic Tate module of $A$ gives rise to $\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2}(O_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}})$. These representations are a special case of those presented next (due to work of Ribet and the proof of Serre’s modularity conjecture).

The approach through modular Galois representations for the groups $\text{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ and $\text{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ is totally imaginary (which is ‘much more likely’ than being totally real), then Serre’s modularity conjecture should in principle work (1) Control/predetermine the type of the image $\overline{\rho}_{f,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

(2) Control/predetermine the coefficient field $Q_{f}$.

Problem (2) appears harder to me.

Controlling the type of the images. By a classical theorem of Dickson, if $\overline{\rho}_{f,\ell}$ is irreducible, then it is either induced from a lower dimensional representation (only possibility: a character) or $\overline{\rho}_{f,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \in \{\text{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}), \text{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})\}$.
for some \( d \) (we call this case \textbf{huge/big image}). Under the assumption of a transvection in the image, we have generalised this result to symplectic representations. In our applications we want to exclude reducibility and induction. One can expect a \textbf{generic huge image result} (for \( \text{GL}_2 \) this is classical work of Ribet; for other cases e.g. recent work of Larsen and Chin Yin Hui in this direction [HL13]).

\textbf{Inner twists.} If one has e.g. determined that \( \mathbb{P}^\text{pro}_{f,\lambda}(G_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \) is huge, one still needs to compute which \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and which of the two cases \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \), \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \) occurs. The answer is given by \textbf{inner twists}. For \( \text{GL}_2 \) these are well-understood (with Dieulefait we exclude them by a good choice of \( f \)); for \( \text{PSp}_n \) we proved a generalisation allowing us to describe \( d \) by means of a number field, but, as to now we are unable to distinguish between the two cases.

**Coefficient field**

One knows that \( \mathbb{Q}_f \) is either totally real or totally imaginary (depending on the nebentype of \( f \)). Moreover, \( [\mathbb{Q}_f : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \dim S_k(N) \), where \( S_k(N) \) is the space of cusp forms of level \( N \) and weight \( k \). Furthermore, a result of Serre says that for any sequence \( (N_n, k_n)_n \) such that \( N_n + k_n \) tends to infinity, there is \( f_n \in S_{k_n}(N_n) \) such that \( [\mathbb{Q}_{f_n} : \mathbb{Q}] \) tends to infinity. However, to the best of my knowledge, almost \textbf{nothing is known about the arithmetic of the coefficient fields and the Galois groups of their normal closures over} \( \mathbb{Q} \). In my experience, this is the \textbf{biggest obstacle} preventing us from obtaining very strong results on the IGP.

\textbf{Almost complete control through Maeda’s conjecture.} A conjecture of Maeda gives us some control on the coefficient field by claiming that for any \( f \in S_k(1) \) one has \( [\mathbb{Q}_f : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim S_k(1) =: m_k \) and that the Galois group of the normal closure of \( \mathbb{Q}_f \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is \( S_{m_k} \), the symmetric group. The conjecture has been numerically tested for quite high values of \( k \), but to my knowledge a proof is out of sight at the moment and there’s no generalisation to higher dimensions either. Assuming Maeda’s conjecture I was able to prove in [Wie13] that for even \( d \) the groups \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \) occur as Galois groups over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with only \( \ell \) ramifying for all \( \ell \), except possibly a density-0 set. In a nutshell, for the proof I choose a sequence \( f_n \) of forms of level \( 1 \) such that \( [\mathbb{Q}_{f_n} : \mathbb{Q}] \) strictly increases. That the Galois group is the symmetric group ensures two things: firstly, every \( \mathbb{Q}_{f_n} \) possesses a degree-\( d \) prime; secondly, the fields \( \mathbb{Q}_{f_n} \) and \( \mathbb{Q}_{f_m} \) for \( n \neq m \) are almost disjoint (in the sense that their intersection is at most quadratic) and thus the sets of primes of degree \( d \) in the two fields are almost independent, so that their density adds up to 1 when \( n \to \infty \). This illustrates that \textbf{some control on the coefficient field promises strong results on the IGP}.

\textbf{A conjecture of Coleman on \( \text{GL}_2 \)-type abelian varieties.} The modular form \( f \) corresponding to a \( \text{GL}_2 \)-type abelian variety with multiplication by \( F \) has coefficient field \( \mathbb{Q}_f = \mathbb{Q} \). However, I don’t know of any method to construct a \( \text{GL}_2 \)-type abelian variety with multiplication by a given field. Indeed, a conjecture attributed to Coleman (see [BFGR06]) predicts that for a given dimension, only finitely many number fields occur. In other words, for weight-2 modular forms in all levels, there are only finitely many \( \mathbb{Q}_f \) of a given degree. Under the assumption of Coleman’s conjecture, it is impossible to obtain \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \) for all \( \ell \) from \( \text{GL}_2 \)-type abelian surfaces because there will be a positive density set of \( \ell \) that are split in all number fields of degree 2 that occur as multiplication fields. Although I don’t know if there are finitely or infinitely many quadratic fields occurring as \( \mathbb{Q}_f \) for \( f \) of arbitrary level and arbitrary weight, this nevertheless suggests to me that one should make use of modular forms of \textbf{arbitrary coefficient degrees} for approaching \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \) for fixed \( d \) (as we did when we assumed Maeda’s conjecture).

\textbf{Numerical data.} Some very simple computer calculations for \( p = 2 \) during my PhD have very quickly revealed that all \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{2^e}) \) with \( 1 \leq d \leq 77 \) occur over \( \mathbb{Q} \). With Marcel Mohya we plotted \( \mathbb{F}_{f,\lambda} \) for small fixed weight and \( f \) having prime levels [MW11]. The computations suggest that the maximum and the average degrees (for \( f \) in \( S_k(N) \) for \( N \) prime) of \( \mathbb{F}_{f,\lambda} \) are roughly proportional to the dimension of \( S_k(N) \).

**The local ‘bad primes’ approach to the main challenges**

We need to gain some control on the coefficient fields and in the absence of a generic huge image result, we also need to force huge image of the Galois representation. In all our work (like in that of Khare-Larsen-Savin [KL08]), we approach this by choosing suitable inertial types, or in the language of abelian varieties, by choosing certain types of bad reduction. The basic idea appeared in the work of Khare-Wintenberger on Serre’s modularity conjecture. More precisely, one chooses inertial types at some primes \( q \) guaranteeing that \( \mathcal{I}_{f,\lambda}(I_q) \) contains certain elements (\( I_q \) denotes the inertia group at \( q \)). For instance, if an element that is conjugate to \( (+1, 1) \) is contained, the representation cannot be induced. In the \( n \)-dimensional symplectic case, we use this to obtain a transvection in the image, allowing us to apply our classification (see above). We also employ Khare-Larsen-Savin’s generalisation of Khare-Wintenberger’s good-dihedral primes. More precisely, for \( \text{GL}_2 \) we impose \( \mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}G_{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda} = \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \) where \( \alpha \) is a character of \( \mathbb{Q}_\lambda^\times \) of prime order \( t \) not descending to \( \mathbb{Q}_d^\times \). This has two uses: (1) As the representation is \textbf{irreducible} locally at \( q \), so it is globally. (2) \( \mathbb{Q}_f \) contains \( \zeta_t + \zeta_t^{-1} \) (this follows from an explicit description of the induction). This \textbf{cyclotomic field in the coefficient field} can be exploited in two ways. (2a) By making \( t \) big, \( \mathbb{F}_{f,\lambda} : \mathbb{F}_d \) becomes big. \textbf{This leads to the results in the vertical direction}. (2b) Given \( d \), by choosing \( t \) suitably, \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t + \zeta_t^{-1}) \)
contains prime ideals of degree \(d\), thus \(\mathbb{Q}_f\) contains prime ideals of degree \(d\), which makes the results in the horizontal direction work. In the absence of any knowledge on the Galois closure of \(\mathbb{Q}_f\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) in general, I do not know of any other way to guarantee that degree-\(d\) primes exist at all (we need them to realise \(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)\)). My feeling is that the cyclotomic field \(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t + \zeta_t^{-1})\) only makes up a very small part of the coefficient field, i.e. that \(|\mathbb{Q}_f : \mathbb{Q}|\) will be much bigger than \(|\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t + \zeta_t^{-1}) : \mathbb{Q}|\). Thus, in our results in the horizontal direction, for given \(d\) and \(f\), we only obtain very small densities. Moreover, I cannot prove that by varying \(f\) for fixed \(d\), the sets of primes of residue degree \(d\) are not contained in each other. Any information, for instance, on the ramification of \(\mathbb{Q}_f\) changing with \(f\) or on the Galois group would probably enable us to obtain a big density by taking the union of the sets of degree-\(d\) primes for many \(f\).

**Constructing the relevant modular/automorphic forms**

For finishing the approach, one must finally construct or show the existence of modular/automorphic forms having the required inertial types. For modular forms one can do this in quite a down-to-earth way by using level raising. This approach was taken in the work by Dieulefait and me. In the symplectic case, we exploit work of Shin, as well as level-lowering results of Barnet-Lamb, Gee, Geraghty and Taylor [BLGGT13]. Khare-Larsen-Savin [KLS08] use other automorphic techniques.

**Conclusion**

The presented approach to the IGP for many families of finite groups through automorphic representations seems in principle promising. In my opinion, the main obstacle is a poor understanding of the coefficient fields. The approach has the advantage that it allows full control on the ramification. A disadvantage is that one does not obtain a regular realisation.
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