Measuring Solution Viscosity and its Effect on Enzyme Activity
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ABSTRACT

In proteins, some processes require conformational changes involving structural domain diffusion. Among these processes are protein folding, unfolding and enzyme catalysis. During catalysis some enzymes undergo large conformational changes as they progress through the catalytic cycle. According to Kramers theory, solvent viscosity results in friction against proteins in solution, and this should result in decreased motion, inhibiting catalysis in motile enzymes. Solution viscosity was increased by adding increasing concentrations of glycerol, sucrose and trehalose, resulting in a decrease in the reaction rate of the H\(^+\)-ATPase from the plasma membrane of Kluyveromyces lactic. A direct correlation was found between viscosity (\(\eta\)) and the inhibition of the maximum rate of catalysis (\(V_{\text{max}}\)). The protocol used to measure viscosity by means of a falling ball type viscometer is described, together with the determination of enzyme kinetics and the application of Kramers’ equation to evaluate the effect of viscosity on the rate of ATP hydrolysis by the H\(^+\)-ATPase.

INTRODUCTION

In proteins, processes involving conformational change are slowed by medium viscosity (1). The effect of viscosity on the rate of protein-dependent chemical reactions was originally described by Kramers (2). Kramers’ treatment was applied to protein folding and to other protein processes involving structural movements, such as folding or catalysis (3, 4). Following synthesis, proteins acquire a number of different conformations before reaching the “native” form. Likewise, denaturing involves passage through different unfolded states (5). In enzymes, during catalysis or ligand binding conformational changes occur, at least in the active site (6). Furthermore, many enzymes may exhibit widely different structural conformations, distinguishable by protease sensitivity, antibody recognition, circular dichroism or fluorescence (7). Thus, according to Kramers’ theory, enzymes alternating between widely different conformations during catalysis should be inhibited by viscosity (1). One such case is carbon-monoxy-myoglobin embedded in a trehalose glass matrix, where trehalose inhibits the release of carbon monoxide (8).

The E\(_1\)E\(_2\)-ATPases undergo large conformational changes during catalysis as they alternate between states E\(_1\) and E\(_2\) (9). Indeed, states E\(_1\) and E\(_2\) exhibit different sensitivity to proteases and antibodies (10). Thus, the isolated E\(_1\)E\(_2\), H\(^+\)-ATPase (EC 3.6.1.35) from Kluyveromyces lactic was chosen to study the inhibitory effects of increasing concentrations of different viscosogenic compounds on the rate of ATP hydrolysis. As predicted by Kramers’ theory (1), at 20°C, trehalose, sucrose or...
glycerol increased solvent viscosity while inhibiting the H+-ATPase, mainly through a decrease in $V_{\text{max}}$ (11). In addition, increasing the temperature resulted in diminished effects viscosity on the $V_{\text{max}}$ (11). Here, the method used to measure viscosity, the determination of enzyme kinetics and the application of Kramers’ theory to evaluate the effect of viscosity on enzyme activity are described in detail.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents**

Trehalose, ATP-disodium salt, pyruvate kinase-type II from rabbit muscle, lactate dehydrogenase-type XI from rabbit muscle, sodium azide, NADH, and phosphoenolpyruvate mono-(cyclohexylammonium) salt were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). All other reagents were of the best quality available commercially.

**Purification of the H+-ATPase**

The yeast *K. lactis* strain WM27 was grown in YPD medium at 30°C for 20 h and then the cells were harvested at midlog phase by centrifugation. Yeast walls were digested using zymolyase-20T (20 units/g wet weight) for 1 to 2 h at 30°C until spheroplasts were detected. The plasma membrane was obtained from sonicated spheroplasts and was isolated by differential centrifugation. The H+-ATPase was purified from the plasma membrane as described by Bowman et al. (12) and modified by Guerra et al. (13). The ATPase was suspended in a small volume of 1 mM EGTA-Tris, pH 7.0, and kept at -70°C until used. On SDS-PAGE, the 100,000 M$_r$ band corresponding to the plasma membrane H+-ATPase was about 50% of the total protein. At 20°C, the H+-ATPase specific activity was 6.91 ± 0.3 µmol ATP (mg protein·min)$^{-1}$. Protein concentration was determined as in Lowry et al. (14).

**Viscosity measurements (See Protocol 1)**

A falling ball type viscometer (Gilmont Instruments, IL, USA), mounted in a constant-temperature chamber was employed. Carbohydrate solutions were prepared in 10 mM PIPES, pH 7.0. The viscometer was filled with each solution, degassed by vacuum application and allowed to equilibrate at each temperature for 10 min. Once the assay temperature was reached, the time of ball descent was measured and the viscosity was calculated as in (11) using equation [eq 1]:

$$\eta = K(\delta_b - \delta_l)t$$  \[1\]

where $\eta$ is the viscosity in cP, $K$ is the viscometer constant (we used a value of 0.3), $\delta_b$ is the density of the ball (2.53), $\delta_l$ is the density of the liquid (g/ml) and $t$ is the time of ball descent. The density of the solutions were measured by weighing 1 ml of the given solution at the test temperature. Experimental data were reproducible and standard deviations were smaller than 1%.

**H+-ATPase activity measurements (See Protocol 2)**

ATP saturation kinetics were evaluated using an enzyme-coupled assay (15). The reaction mixture was 10 mM Pipes, pH 7.0, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM sodium azide, 5 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 200 µM NADH, 12.5 IU pyruvate kinase, 10.45 IU lactate dehydrogenase and 5 mM MgCl$_2$, ATP (0.25 – 5.0 mM) and/or the viscosogenic agent at the final concentration indicated. To start the reaction the ATPase was added (4.3 µg prot in 4 µl). Absorbance decrease was recorded at 340 nm in an Aminco DW2000 spectrophotometer in split mode, equipped with a thermostated cell. Initial velocities for ATP hydrolysis were calculated from the slope value by using and NADH extinction coefficient of 6,200 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. The ATP hydrolysis activity was expressed as micromoles of ATP hydrolyzed per milligram protein per minute. Neither viscosity nor temperature inhibited the enzyme-coupled assay to an extent where the H+-ATPase activity ceased to be the limiting step.

**Analysis of enzyme kinetics**

Initial velocities of ATP hydrolysis were plotted against the concentration of ATP. The iterative program Microcal Origin 6.0® (Microcal Software Inc. MA) was used to analyse the data by non-linear regression. The Hill equation [eq 2] which describes a cooperative behaviour for enzyme kinetics was used in the fitting of the initial velocity data:

$$v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \cdot S^n}{S_{0.5}^n + S^n}$$  \[2\]

where $v$ is the initial velocity, $V_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum velocity, $S$ is the concentration of the varied substrate, $S_{0.5}$ is the substrate concentration where $v = 0.5V_{\text{max}}$ and $n$ is the Hill coefficient which in some cases describes the probable number of active sites.

The Arrhenius relation, normally used in biochemistry, does not contain a term that accounts for the restricting effect of molecular motions by the medium on the rate of a given reaction. In this regard, Kramers’ theory has been used to describe the effect of viscosity on the behavior of protein reactions where conformational changes are involved (1): in a diffusion dependent, enzyme-catalyzed reaction [eq 3], where the substrate binds to the enzyme to yield the product

$$S + E \leftrightarrow ES \rightarrow E + P$$  \[3\]

the rate of product formation ($k_{\text{cat}}$) is inhibited by the friction of the solvent with the protein, i.e. friction increases the activation energy needed to reach the transition state (14). In
turn, friction is a function of viscosity \( \eta \). Thus, the reaction rate constant depends linearly on \( \eta \) as showed in equation [eq 4] as described by Jacob and Schmid (1)

\[
k = \eta^{-1} \exp (-\Delta U/RT)
\]

where \( k \) is the rate constant for the reaction (\( k_{\text{cat}} \) or \( V_{\text{max}} \) for enzyme catalyzed reactions), \( \eta \) is the macroscopic viscosity of the solvent, \( R \) is the gas constant (8.314 J (K mol))\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is the absolute temperature and \( \Delta U \) is the free energy barrier imposed by solvent friction. At a fixed temperature, any increase in viscosity would be expected to result in an increase in \( \Delta U \). When plotting \( V_{\text{max}} \) versus \( \eta^{-1} \) a straight line is obtained which has a slope of 1, indicating that at the given temperature, there is a complete dependence of the rate of reaction on solution viscosity. In cases where friction does not exist, the reaction rate depends solely on the true activation energy and on temperature; thus \( \eta \) is replaced by the time constant (\( \tau \)) or, as \( \tau = k_{0}^{-1} \), by \( k_{0}^{-1} \) (1). To assess whether the catalytic activity of the H\(^+-\)ATPase is inhibited by viscosity as predicted by Kramers’ relation (1), the \( V_{\text{max}} \) data, defined as \( V_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max}0} \), were plotted against the solvent relative viscosity, defined as \( \eta/\eta_{0} \). Where \( V_{\text{max}0} \) and \( \eta_{0} \) are respectively the \( V_{\text{max}} \) and the viscosity in the absence of trehalose and \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( \eta \) are the observed values at each trehalose concentration.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Trehalose, sucrose and glycerol inhibit the *K. lactis* plasma membrane H\(^+-\)ATPase**

The study of protein dynamics and function upon variation on external factors is an important task (1). Medium viscosity and hydration exert diverse effects on protein structure and function; the thin hydration layer surrounding the protein is most important for these effects (16). In addition, diverse solutes contribute to these effects, depending on their chemical and viscosogenic properties (16). In some cells, the viscosogenic molecules trehalose, sucrose and glycerol accumulate in the cytoplasm in response to different conditions, such as heat or desiccation (11). This accumulation probably modifies reaction rates *in vivo* (11). In order to evaluate the role of viscosity on enzyme activity, it was decided to measure the activity of the H\(^+-\)ATPase at 20°C in the absence and in the presence of viscosogenic agent. In the absence of additions, the kinetic parameters for the H\(^+-\)ATPase were \( V_{\text{max}0} = 6.91 \) \( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{mg prot}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \), \( S_{0.5} = 1.08 \) mM and \( n = 1.7 \) (Table 3). Then, in the presence of increasing concentrations of trehalose, sucrose or glycerol, inhibition of the H\(^+-\)ATPase activity was observed. Inhibition was reflected as both a decrease in \( V_{\text{max}} \) and an increase in \( S_{0.5} \) (Table 3), while \( n \) was not modified. The \( V_{\text{max}} \) values decreased at all the viscosogenic agent concentrations tested while \( S_{0.5} \) values were not significantly affected at the lower concentrations (Table 3). These results indicated that the viscosogenic agent-mediated inhibition was not that of a classical enzyme inhibitor which needs to bind to a specific site of the enzyme and thus, the kinetic parameters of this inhibition were not linear, but instead these agents acted by modifying the whole environment around the protein in a concentration dependent fashion (16). In this sense, it has been reported that the oxygen affinity of hemoglobin decreases linearly with the chemical potential of water as a result of the osmotic effect of the solutes: sucrose, stachyose and PEG (150 and 400) without affecting the Hill coefficient (17). In this study, it was suggested that dehydration of the active site structure diminished affinity for the ligands. In hemoglobin, the binding of 60 water molecules is required in order for the protein to reach the fully oxygenated state (17). In a study on fumarase it was proposed that the dissociation of the product from the active site requires the replacement by water molecules and that in the presence of glycerol and sucrose, water becomes less available in the medium, resulting in the re-binding of the product to the active site (18).

**Correlation between viscosity and H\(^+-\)ATPase inhibition**

If a reaction obeys Kramers’ theory, a linear relationship should be observed when plotting the rate constant of the reaction against solution viscosity [eq 4]. In order to evaluate the role of viscosity on the rate of ATP hydrolysis by the H\(^+-\)ATPase, the viscosity of solutions containing increasing concentrations of trehalose, sucrose or glycerol was measured (Fig. 1A). Viscosity increased exponentially with the concentration of each viscosogenic agent (Fig. 1A).
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(η/η₀), as described by Jacob and Schmid (1) (Fig. 1B). In Fig. 1B, it may be observed that a linear correlation could be established between the change in viscosity and the change in enzyme V_max. In addition, while both sucrose and trehalose behaved similarly, the inhibition of the enzyme was higher in the case of glycerol (Fig. 1B).

![Graph](image1)

**Fig. 1B: Solution viscosity (η) inhibits the plasma membrane H^+-ATPase.** The V_max at a given concentration of solute was calculated by measuring the rate of ATP hydrolysis versus ATP concentration and fitting to the Hill equation [eq 2]. The relative V_max (V_max0/V_max) was plotted against the relative viscosity (η/η₀). Both, η₀ and V_max0 are the solution viscosity and the maximum velocity respectively in the absence of the viscosogenic agent: Glycerol ○; Trehalose ●; Sucrose □.

This result is in agreement with those obtained with carbonic anhydrase, where it was observed that glycerol caused the largest k_cat decrease in comparison with sucrose (19). In this regard, it has been reported that these solutes (glycerol, sucrose and trehalose) induce preferential hydration of the protein (20). However, the mechanism by which hydration occurs seems to be different. For glycerol, preferential hydration is induced by a solvophobic effect, i.e. glycerol-protein surface interactions are unfavorable and thus glycerol tends to migrate away from proteins. In contrast, sucrose and trehalose are preferentially excluded through a decrease in the accessible surface of the carbohydrate induced by both a steric impediment and an increase in solution surface-tension (20).

**Effect of temperature on viscosity and on the viscosity-promoted inhibition of the H^+-ATPase**

Increasing the temperature from 20 to 45°C led to a decrease in solution viscosity η both in the absence and in the presence of 0.5 M trehalose (Fig. 2). At 0.5 M trehalose, η was much higher than in the absence of trehalose. Then as temperature increased, these values decreased from η=1.0 at 20°C to η=0.95 cP at 45°C. In the absence of trehalose, the same effect of temperature was observed, as viscosity decreased from η=1.0 at 20°C to η=0.6 cP at 45°C.

![Graph](image2)

**Fig. 2: Effect of temperature on viscosity.** Viscosity solution was measured as described in Fig. 1 in the absence of trehalose (○) and in the presence of 0.5 M trehalose (●) at the indicated temperatures.

In order to determine whether temperature modulated the effects of viscosity on the activity of the H^+-ATPase, a number of solutions containing increasing concentrations of trehalose were studied at 20, 35 and 40°C. At each trehalose concentration and temperature, both the relative V_max (V_max0/V_max) and the relative viscosity values (η/η₀) were determined and plotted (Fig. 3).

![Graph](image3)

**Fig. 3: Temperature-mediated modulation of the effects of viscosity on the H^+-ATPase.** The V_max was calculated in the presence of different trehalose
concentrations and temperatures as described in methods. The relative $V_{\text{max}}$ ($V_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{max0}}$) was plotted against the relative viscosity ($\eta/\eta_0$). $\eta_0$ is the viscosity of the solution in the absence of trehalose and $V_{\text{max0}}$ is the $V_{\text{max}}$ in the absence of trehalose. Temperatures (°C): ○ 20, ● 35 and □ 40. The solid lines are linear regressions of the data.

The correlation between ($V_{\text{max0}}/V_{\text{max}}$) and ($\eta/\eta_0$) was linear at all temperatures. However, at 20°C the effects of $\eta$ on $V_{\text{max}}$ were higher than at 35°C and this in turn exhibited higher effect than at 40°C. These results have been explained proposing that the energy required by the enzyme to overcome the viscosity-mediated inhibition may be provided by the increase in temperature (14, 16).

Solution viscosity was modified using increasing concentrations of the viscosogenic agents, trehalose, sucrose or glycerol. The rate of ATP hydrolysis catalysed by the H+-ATPase was inhibited by viscosity as evidenced by the lower $V_{\text{max}}$ values. It is suggested that whenever enzyme kinetics are performed under conditions where viscosity and/or temperature vary, these parameters should be taken into account in order to interpret data properly.
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PROTOCOLS

Protocol 1: Measurement of Solution Viscosity

1. Prepare a 1 M stock solution of the viscosogenic agent to be tested, in buffer.
2. Prepare a solution of the buffer in the absence of the viscosogenic agent.
3. Mix both solutions to obtain the desired solute concentration.
4. Ensure there are no non-dissolved particles in the solution as these interfere with ball descent.
5. Fill up the viscometer, with approximately 5 ml. Ensure no bubbles are formed. If bubbles form, remove them using a glass fiber wire.
6. Keep the rest of the mixture in a sealed tube, as this will be used to determine density.
7. Subject the viscometer to vacuum pressure in order to de-gas the solution.
8. Add the ball and allow it to reach the bottom of the tube. Cap the viscometer using the adapter and secure it with the nut.
9. Insert the viscometer in the rubber stopper and use the latter to cap a Kitasato flask previously filled with water. The sealed flask will constitute the constant temperature chamber (Fig. 4).
10. Circulate water from a circulating bath into the Kitasato flask and allow for temperature equilibration for approximately 10 min.
11. Ball descent is started by turning the Kitasato flask 180°. The viscometer must be vertical during descent of the ball.
12. A stopwatch is used to measure the time elapsed between the crossing of the ball through the first and through the second line (10 cm) of the viscometer.
13. Descent time may be measured again simply by rotating the flask 180°.
14. Calculate density by weighing 1 ml of the solution taken at the same temperature of viscosity determination.
15. Once density and time of descent are known, substitute these values into equation [eq 1] in methods.

Fig. 4: Drawing of the setting used to measure viscosity. A Kitasato flask containing the viscometer was filled with water and connected to a circulating bath with controlled temperature. The clamp holding the flask to the support could be rotated 180°C in order to duplicate the measurement of the time of ball descent.
Characteristics of the falling ball type viscometer

The viscometer is manufactured by Gilmont Instruments (a division of Barnant Company, Barrington, IL, USA). The tube (10 inches long and 1 inch in diameter) is made of high precision bore glass tubing with stabilizing beads. There are three different tube sizes, each one covering a different range of viscosity. Calibration constants are provided by the manufacturer. See Table 1.

| Size No. | Viscosity range in cP | Viscometer constant K |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | 0.2 - 10              | 0.3                   |
| 2       | 2 - 10                | 3.3                   |
| 3       | 20 - 1000            | 35.0                  |

The viscometer used in this study was size number 1. This viscometer was supplied with a glass ball (δ_b = 2.53) and a stainless steel ball (δ_b = 8.02). The viscosity ranges covered by each ball type are 0.2 to 2 cP for the glass ball and 1 to 10 cP for the stainless steel ball.

After being performed the measurements (solution density and descent time) for a given solution at the test temperature, these are used to calculate viscosity as described in equation [eq 1]. A typical calculation at 20°C for trehalose solutions was as follows:

| Trehalose concentration (M) | Solution density (g/ml) | Descent time (minutes:seconds) | Viscosity (cP) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 0.2                         | 1.041                   | 3:08                          | 1.39          |
| 0.4                         | 1.044                   | 3:33                          | 1.58          |
| 0.5                         | 1.068                   | 4:04                          | 1.78          |
| 0.6                         | 1.082                   | 4:47                          | 2.08          |
| 0.8                         | 1.109                   | 5:40                          | 2.41          |

Protocol 2: Coupled enzyme assay to determine the saturation kinetics for the plasma membrane H^+-ATPase

1. Prepare all components of the reaction mixture as stock solutions: 0.5 M phosphoenol-pyruvate, 1 M MgCl_2, 1 M Na-azide (prepare 1 ml in an Eppendorf tube), 2 M KCl, 100 mM ATP, 40 mM NADH, 10 mM PIPES pH 7.0. In this buffer also prepare stock solutions of 1 M trehalose, 1 M sucrose and 4 M glycerol. The enzymes, lactate dehydrogenase (10.5 IU) and pyruvate kinase (12.5 IU) are used as supplied.
2. Mix all reaction mixture components in the spectrophotometer cell to the final concentrations indicated. Remember not to add the H^+-ATPase. Note that at the higher carbohydrate concentrations the solution becomes highly viscous and thus the stirring rate must be properly adjusted in order to attain solution homogeneity. Note that an excess in the rate of stirring may damage proteins.
3. Incubate the solution at the test temperature for at least 10 min.
4. Initiate the reaction by adding the H^+-ATPase.
5. Follow the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm for about 10 min.
6. Determine the slope of the line obtained (A.O.D. per min).
7. Determine the rate of ATP hydrolysis as follows:
8. \( v = \Delta O.D.(V)(\varepsilon b mg \text{ protein})^{-1} (1,000,000) \) where \( \Delta O.D. \) is the absorbance decrease per min, (see step 6), \( V \) is expressed in liters, \( \varepsilon \) is the NADH extinction coefficient of 6200 per cm per M, \( b \) is the path length of the cell (1 cm) and \( v \) is the rate of ATP hydrolysis expressed as micromol of ATP per mg protein per min.
9. A set of 8-10 data points are adequate to carry out the fitting procedure to the Hill equation [eq 2] by non-linear regression (14) using an iterative software, where the best kinetic parameters values (\( V_{max}, K_m \) or \( n \)) that fit the data are obtained. In this work, the H^+-ATPase displayed a sigmoid dependence upon substrate concentration (S) and thus the power (Hill coefficient, \( n \)) affecting the parameters in eq 2 was higher than 1, thus indicating a cooperative kinetics for the H^+-ATPase. However, for other enzymes under study kinetics could be hyperbolic and thus the Michaelis-Menten equation should be considered.
10. As in all other enzyme-coupled assays, ensure that the maximal rate of the assay is higher than the maximal rate of the enzyme to be assayed under all the conditions to be tested. We do this by adding a saturating concentration of ADP (2 mM) to the assay mixture in the absence of the enzyme and recording the rate of NADH oxidation.

**Protocol 3: Correlation between viscosity and enzyme inhibition**

1. Make a plot of the calculated $V_{\text{max}}$ against the reciprocal of the viscosity.
2. Using linear regression analysis, calculate the slope of the straight line that best fits the data points.
3. An effect of viscosity on the $V_{\text{max}}$ is assumed if the correlation coefficient of the linear regression is at least 0.98.
4. The value of the slope is directly proportional to the dependence: that is, a higher slope indicates a higher effect of viscosity on $V_{\text{max}}$.
5. Note that the dependence of the $V_{\text{max}}$ on viscosity is also dependent on the nature of the viscosogenic agent under test and on the temperature, i.e. glycerol is a more potent inhibitor than sucrose or trehalose. In regard to temperature, both viscosity and the effects of viscosity on enzyme activity decrease as temperature rises.

| Polyol   | Concentration (M) | Mass Fraction (c) | Viscosity (cP) | $V_{\text{max}}$ $\mu$mols ATP mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ | $S_{0.5}$ mM |
|----------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Trehalose| 0.0               | 0.00             | 1.03          | 6.91                                            | 1.08        |
|          | 0.2               | 0.07             | 1.39          | 5.80                                            | 1.21        |
|          | 0.4               | 0.13             | 1.58          | 5.59                                            | 1.29        |
|          | 0.5               | 0.16             | 1.78          | 5.22                                            | 1.38        |
|          | 0.6               | 0.19             | 2.08          | 4.49                                            | 1.87        |
|          | 0.8               | 0.25             | 2.41          | 3.73                                            | 2.29        |
| Sucrose  | 0.0               | 0.00             | 1.03          | 6.91                                            | 1.08        |
|          | 0.2               | 0.07             | 1.24          | 6.26                                            | 1.10        |
|          | 0.4               | 0.13             | 1.53          | 5.62                                            | 1.16        |
|          | 0.5               | 0.17             | 1.74          | 5.25                                            | 1.37        |
|          | 0.6               | 0.20             | 2.02          | 4.83                                            | 1.57        |
|          | 0.8               | 0.25             | 2.56          | 4.17                                            | 1.95        |
| Glycerol | 0.0               | 0.00             | 1.03          | 6.91                                            | 1.08        |
|          | 1.0               | 0.09             | 1.31          | 6.24                                            | 1.16        |
|          | 2.0               | 0.18             | 1.71          | 4.65                                            | 1.18        |
|          | 3.0               | 0.27             | 2.26          | 4.05                                            | 1.27        |
|          | 3.5               | 0.31             | 2.58          | 2.88                                            | 1.78        |
|          | 4.0               | 0.35             | 3.05          | 1.94                                            | 2.23        |

Solutions viscosities were calculated as described in equation [eq 1] by using the measured time of ball descent and solution density at 20°C. Initial rates of ATP hydrolysis were measured at 20°C as described in methods in the presence of the indicated concentrations of, trehalose, sucrose or glycerol. Mass fraction (c) was obtained by calculating the grams of solute in the total grams of the mixture in 1 ml. The kinetic parameters ($V_{\text{max}}$, $S_{0.5}$ and n) were obtained by non-linear regression, fitting the data to the Hill equation [eq 2]. The Hill coefficient (n= 1.7) was not modified by viscosity and thus it is not included in the table. Standard deviations were always less than 5% of the reported values.