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Abstract

In this paper, our focus is to investigate the notion of irresolute topological vector spaces. Irresolute topological vector spaces are defined by using semi open sets and irresolute mappings. The notion of irresolute topological vector spaces is analog to the notion of topological vector spaces, but mathematically it behaves differently. An example is given to show that an irresolute topological vector space is not a topological vector space. It is proved that: 1) Irresolute topological vector spaces possess open hereditary property; 2) A homomorphism of irresolute topological vector spaces is irresolute if and only if it is irresolute at identity element; 3) In irresolute topological vector spaces, the scalar multiple of semi compact set is semi compact; 4) In irresolute topological vector spaces, every semi open set is translationally invariant.

Keywords

Topological Vector Space, Irresolute Topological Vector Space, Irresolute Mapping, Semi Open Set

1. Introduction

If a set is endowed with algebraic and topological structures, then by means of a mathematical phenomenon, we can construct a new structure, on the bases of an old structure which is well known. This is the case we have introduced and discussed for beautiful interaction between linearity and topology in this paper. Although the new notion is similar to the notion of topological vector spaces, mathematically it behaves differently. To define irresolute topological vector space, we keep the algebraic and topological structures unaltered on a set but continuity conditions of vector addition and scalar multiplication are replaced by one of the characterizations of irresolute mappings.

A topological vector space [1] is a structure in topology in which a vector space $X$ over a topological field $F(R$ or $C$) is endowed with a topology $\tau$ such that the vector space operations are continuous with respect to $\tau$.

The axioms for a space to become a topological vector space or linear topological space have been given and studied by Kolmogroff [2] in 1934 and von Neumann [3] in 1935. The relation between the axioms of topologi-
A set \( A \), is the intersection of all semi closed \( \overline{A} \) is non-empty. Every open set is semi open and every closed set is semi closed. It is known that union \( \bigcup \mathcal{A} \) is a continuous function. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a collection of semi open sets and semi continuity, many interesting concepts in topology were further generalized and investigated by number of mathematicians. A subset \( A \) of a topological space \( X \) is said to be semi open if, and only if, there exists an open set \( O \) in \( X \) such that \( O \subset A \subset \overline{O} \), or equivalently if \( A \subset \overline{\text{Int}(A)} \). \( \text{SO}(X) \) denotes the collection of all semi open sets in the topological space \( (X,\tau) \). The complement of a semi open set is said to be semi closed; the semi closure of \( A \subset X \), denoted by \( \text{sCl}(A) \), is the intersection of all semi closed subsets of \( X \) containing \( A \) [15]. It is known that \( x \in \text{sCl}(A) \) if, and only if, for any semi open set \( U \) containing \( x \), \( U \cap A \) is non-empty. Every open set is semi open and every closed set is semi closed. It is known that union of any collection of semi open sets is semi open set, while the intersection of two semi open sets need not be semi open. The intersection of an open set and a semi open set is semi open set. A subset \( A \) of a topological space \( X \) is said to be semi compact if for every cover of \( A \) by semi open sets of \( X \), there exists a finite sub cover.

Remember that, a set \( U \subset X \) is a semi open neighbourhood of a point \( x \in X \) if there exists \( A \in \text{SO}(X) \) such that \( x \in A \subset U \). A set \( A \subset X \) is semi open in \( X \) if, and only if, \( A \) is semi open neighbourhood of each of its points. If a semi open neighbourhood \( U \) of a point \( x \) is a semi open set, we say that \( U \) is a semi open neighbourhood of \( x \). If \( A \subset \text{SO}(X_1) \) and \( A_2 \subset \text{SO}(X_2) \), then \( A_1 \times A_2 \subset (X_1 \times X_2) \), where \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are topological spaces and \( X_1 \times X_2 \) is a product space. It is worth mentioning that a set semi open in the product space cannot be expressed as product of semi open sets in the components spaces. Basic properties of semi open sets are given in [14], and of semi closed sets in [15] [16], and references therein.

If \( X(f) \) is a vector space then \( e \) denotes its identity element, and for a fixed \( x \in X \), \( T : X \to X \), \( y \mapsto x + y \) and \( T_r : X \to X \), \( y \mapsto y + x \), denote the left and the right translation by \( x \), respectively. The addition mapping \( m : X \times X \to X \) is defined by \( m((x,y)) = x + y \), and the scalar multiplication mapping \( M : F \times X \to X \) is defined by \( M((\lambda, x)) = \lambda x \).

**Definition 1.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be single valued function between topological spaces (continuity not assumed). Then:

1) \( f : X \to Y \) is termed as semi continuous [14], if and only if, for each \( V \) open in \( Y \), there exists \( f^+(V) \in \text{SO}(X) \).

2) \( f : X \to Y \) is termed as irresolute [15], if, and only if, for each \( V \in \text{SO}(Y) \), there exists \( f^+(V) \in \text{SO}(X) \). Note that the function \( f : X \to Y \) is irresolute at \( x \in X \), if for each semi open set \( V \) in \( Y \) containing \( f(x) \), there exists a semi open set \( U \) in \( X \) containing \( x \) such that \( f(U) \subseteq V \).

Recall that a topological vector space \( (X(f),\tau) \) is a vector space over a topological field \( F \) (most often the real or complex numbers with their standard topologies) that is endowed with a topology such that:

1) Addition mapping \( m : X \times X \to X \) defined by \( m(x,y) = x + y; x,y \in X \) is continuous function.

2) Multiplication mapping \( M : F \times X \to X \) defined by \( M(\lambda, x) = \lambda x; \lambda \in F, x \in X \). is continuous function (where the domains of these functions are endowed with product topologies).
Equivalently, we have a topological vector space \( X \) over a topological field \( F \) (most often the real or complex numbers with their standard topologies) that is endowed with a topology such that:

1) for each \( x, y \in X \), and for each open neighbourhood \( W \) of \( x + y \) in \( X \), there exist neighbourhoods \( U \) and \( V \) of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively in \( X \), such that \( U + V \subseteq W \).

2) for each \( \lambda \in F, x \in X \) and for each open neighbourhood \( W \) in \( X \) containing \( \lambda x \), there exist neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( \lambda ) \in F \) and \( V \) of \( x \) in \( X \) such that \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \). Or equivalently, we have: topological Vector Space \( X \) over the field \( F(\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) \) with a topology on \( X \) such that \( (X, +) \) is a topological group and \( M: F \times X \to X \) is a continuous mapping.

3. Irresolute Topological Vector Spaces

In this section we will define and investigate basic properties of irresolute topological vector spaces. Examples are given to show that topological vector spaces are independent of irresolute topological vector spaces in general.

Definition 2. A space \( (X_{(r)}, \tau) \) is said to be an irresolute topological vector space over the field \( F \) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1) for each \( x, y \in X \) and for each semi open neighbourhood \( W \) of \( x + y \) in \( X \), there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) and \( V \) of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively, such that \( U + V \subseteq W \).

2) for each \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \) and for each semi open neighbourhood \( W \) of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \), there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( \lambda \) in \( F \) and \( V \) of \( x \) in \( X \) such that \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \).

Remark 1. Topological vector spaces are independent of irresolute topological vector spaces.

The following example shows that \( (\mathbb{R}_{(r)}, \tau) \) is neither a topological vector space nor an irresolute topological vector space.

Example 1. Consider the vector space \( \mathbb{R}_{(r)} \) endowed with the lower limit topology \( \tau \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), generated by the base \( \beta = \{(a, b): a < b \} \) where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( (\mathbb{R}_{(r)}, \tau) \) is neither a topological vector space nor an irresolute topological vector space.

Example 2. Let \( \tau \) be a topology on \( X = \mathbb{R} \) generated by the base \( \beta = \{(a, b): a < b \} \) and \( \beta = \{(a, b): a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}) \), then \( (\mathbb{R}_{(r)}, \tau) \) is a topological vector space as well as irresolute topological vector space over the field \( \mathbb{R} \).

The next example shows that \( (X_{(r)}, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space which fails to be a topological vector space.

Example 3. Consider the field \( F = \mathbb{R} \) with standard topology on \( F \). Let \( X = \mathbb{R} \), where topology defined on \( X \) be generated by the base \( \beta = \{(\phi, X) \cup \{(a, b), [0, c): a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \}) \). Then \( (\mathbb{R}_{(r)}, \tau) \) is not a topological vector space, because for \( x, y \in X, x \neq 0, y \neq 0 \) but \( x + y = 0 \), if we choose an open neighbourhood \( W = \{0, \delta\} \) of \( x + y \) in \( X \), then, there does not exist any open neighbourhoods \( U \) and \( V \) of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively in \( X \), which satisfy the relation \( U + V \subseteq W \).

Now, we show that \( (\mathbb{R}_{(r)}, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space. To verify the first condition, let \( x, y \in X \).

Case I: Let \( x + y \neq 0 \). Consider a semi open neighbourhood \( W = \{x + y, x + y + \delta\} \) (or, \( W = \{x + y - \delta, x + y\} \)) of \( x + y \) in \( X \). Then, for the selection of semi open neighbourhoods \( U = \{x, x + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( U = \{x - \epsilon, x\} \)) and \( V = \{y, y + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( V = \{y, y - \epsilon\} \)) of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively in \( X \), we have \( U + V \subseteq W \) for every \( \epsilon < \delta/2 \).

Case II: Let \( x + y = 0 \), for \( x = 0, y = 0 \) or \( x \neq 0, y \neq 0 \). Consider a semi open neighbourhood \( W = \{0, \delta\} \) (or, \( W = \{-\delta, 0\} \)) of \( x + y \) in \( X \). Then, for the selection of semi open neighbourhoods \( U = \{x, x + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( U = \{x - \epsilon, x\} \)) and \( V = \{y, y + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( V = \{y, y - \epsilon\} \)) of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively in \( X \), we have \( U + V \subseteq W \) for every \( \epsilon < \delta/2 \).

Now, we have to verify the second condition. For this we have four cases.

Case I: Let \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \). Then for each semi open neighbourhood \( W = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + \delta\} \) (or, \( W = \{\lambda x - \delta, \lambda x\} \)) of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \), we can choose semi open neighbourhoods \( U = \{\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( U = \{\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda\} \)) and \( V = \{x, x + \epsilon\} \) (resp. \( V = \{x, x + \epsilon\} \)) of \( x \) and \( x \) in \( X \) respectively. Then, \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \) for every \( \epsilon < \delta/2(\lambda + x) \).

Case II: Let \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \) and \( \lambda < 0, x \leq 0 \). Then for each semi open neighbourhood \( W = \{\lambda x - \delta, \lambda x\} \)
(or, \( W = [\lambda x, \lambda x + \delta] \)) of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \), we can choose semi open neighbourhoods \( U = [\lambda, \lambda + e] \) (resp. \( U = (\lambda - e, \lambda] \)) and \( V = [x, x + e] \), (resp. \( V = (x - e, x] \)) containing \( \lambda \) and \( x \) in \( F \) and \( X \) respectively. Then, \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \) for every \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \) (resp. \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \)).

**Case III:** Let \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \) and \( \lambda > 0, x < 0 \). Then for each semi open neighbourhood \( W = (\lambda x - \delta, \lambda x] \) (or, \( W = [\lambda x, \lambda x + \delta] \)) of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \), we can choose semi open neighbourhoods \( U = [\lambda, \lambda + e] \) (resp. \( U = (\lambda - e, \lambda] \)) and \( V = [x, x + e] \), (resp. \( V = (x - e, x] \)) containing \( \lambda \) and \( x \) in \( F \) and \( X \) respectively. Then, \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \) for every \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \) (resp. \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \)).

**Case IV:** Let \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \) and \( \lambda < 0, x > 0 \). Then for each semi open neighbourhood \( W = (\lambda x - \delta, \lambda x] \) (or, \( W = [\lambda x, \lambda x + \delta] \)) of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \), we can choose semi open neighbourhoods \( U = (\lambda - e, \lambda] \) (resp. \( U = [\lambda, \lambda + e] \)) and \( V = [x, x + e] \), (resp. \( V = (x - e, x] \)) containing \( \lambda \) and \( x \) in \( F \) and \( X \) respectively. Then, \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \) for every \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \) (resp. \( e \in \left( \delta\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \right) \)).

Since, both conditions for irresolute topological vector spaces are satisfied, therefore, \( (X, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. Then:

1. The (left) right translation \( T_{(i)} : X \to X \) defined by \( T_{(i)}(y) = y + x \); for all \( x, y \in X \), is irresolute.
2. The translation \( M_{(i)} : X \to X \), defined by \( M_{(i)}(x) = \lambda x \); for all \( x \in X \), is irresolute.

**Proof.** Let \( W \) be a semi open neighbourhood of \( T_{(i)}(y) = y + x \). Then by definition, there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) and \( V \) in \( X \) containing \( y \) and \( x \) respectively, such that \( U + V \subseteq W \). Then, \( T_{(i)}(U) + y + V \subseteq U + V \subseteq W \). This proves that, \( T_{(i)} : X \to X \) is irresolute mapping.

2. Let \( x \in X, \lambda \in F \), then \( M_{(i)}(x) = \lambda x \). Let \( W \) be any semi open neighbourhood of \( \lambda x \), then by definition, there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) in \( F \) of \( \lambda \) and \( V \) in \( X \) of \( x \), such that \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \). This gives that \( M_{(i)}(V) = \lambda V \subseteq U \cdot V \subseteq W \). This proves that \( M_{(i)} \) is an irresolute mapping.

**Remark 2.** In topological vector spaces, every open set is translationally invariant whereas in irresolute topological vector spaces, every semi open set is translationally invariant.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. If \( A \in SO(X) \), then:

1. \( A + x \in SO(X) \) for every \( x \in X \).
2. \( \lambda A \in SO(X) \) for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** Let \( y \in X \), and let \( z \in A + y \), then we have to prove that \( z \) is a semi-interior point of \( A + y \). Now, \( z = x + y \), where \( x \) is some point in \( A \). We can write \( x \in A + y + (-y) = A \). By the right translation \( T_{(-y)} : X \to X \), we have \( T_{(-y)}(z) = z + (-y) = x \). Since, \( X \) is irresolute topological vector space and \( T_{(-y)} \) is irresolute, by Theorem 1, we have for any semi open neighbourhood \( A \) containing \( T_{(-y)}(z) = x \), there exists semi open neighbourhood \( M_{z} \) of \( z \) such that \( T_{(-y)}(M_{z}) = M_{z} + (-y) \subseteq A \), that is \( M_{z} \subseteq A + y \). Thus for any \( z \in A + y \), we can find a semi open neighbourhood \( M_{z} \) such that \( M_{z} \subseteq A + y \). Hence \( A + y \in SO(X) \).

2. Let \( \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( z \in \lambda A \). This means \( z = \lambda x \), for some \( x \in A \), so we can write \( x = \lambda^{-1} \lambda x = A \) and \( x = \lambda^{-1} z \). Then we can define mapping \( M_{\lambda^{-1}} : X \to X \) by \( M_{\lambda^{-1}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} z = x \). Since, \( X \) is an irresolute topological vector space and by Theorem 1(2), \( \lambda^{-1} : X \to X \) is irresolute mapping, so, we have for any semi open neighbourhood \( A \) containing \( M_{\lambda^{-1}}(z) = x \), there exists semi open neighbourhood \( U_{z} \) of \( z \) such that \( M_{\lambda^{-1}}(U_{z}) = \lambda^{-1} U_{z} \subseteq A \). This gives \( U_{z} \subseteq \lambda A \). That is, for any \( z \in \lambda A \), we can find a semi open neighbourhood \( U_{z} \), such that \( U_{z} \subseteq \lambda A \). Hence \( \lambda A \in SO(X) \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. If \( A \in SO(X) \) and \( B \) is any subset of \( X \), then \( A + B \) is semi open in \( X \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( A \in SO(X) \) and \( B \subseteq X \). Then, for each \( x_{i} \in B \) and by Theorem 2 (1), We have \( A + x_{i} \in SO(X) \). Now, for each \( x_{i} \in B, A + B = A + \{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\} = \bigcup_{x \in B} \{A + x_{i}\} \). Because arbitrary union of semi open sets is semi open, therefore \( A + B \) is semi open in \( X \).

**Corollary 1.** Suppose \( (X, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space. If \( A \in SO(X) \), then the set...
Let \( U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (nA) \) be semi open in \( X \).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. Then \( M : F \times X \to X \) is an irresolute mapping.

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda \in F \) and \( x \in X \). The \( M\left(\lambda, x\right) = \lambda x \). Let \( W \) be a semi open neighbourhood of \( \lambda x \) in \( X \). Since \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space, therefore there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( \lambda \) in \( F \) and \( V \) of \( x \) in \( X \) such that, \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \). Or \( M\left(U, V\right) = M\left(U \times V\right) = U \cdot V \subseteq W \). Since, \( U \subseteq SO(F, \lambda) \) and \( V \subseteq SO(X, x) \), therefore, \( U \times V \subseteq SO\left(F \times X, \lambda x\right) \). This proves that \( M : F \times X \to X \) is an irresolute mapping.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. The \( m : X \times X \to X \) defined by \( m((x, y)) = x + y \) is an irresolute mapping.

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in X \) and \( m((x, y)) = x + y \). Let \( W \) be a semi open neighbourhood of \( x + y \) in \( X \). Since \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space, therefore, there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( x \) and \( V \) of \( y \) in \( X \) such that, \( U + V \subseteq W \). Or \( m(U, V) = m(U \times V) = U + V \subseteq W \). Since, \( U \subseteq SO(X, x) \) and \( V \subseteq SO(X, y) \), therefore, \( U \times V \subseteq SO\left(X \times X, x + y\right) \). This proves that \( M : X \times X \to X \) is an irresolute mapping.

Let \( A \) be semi open in \( X \). Then, by Theorem 3, \( A + A = 2A \subseteq SO\left(X\right) \) and \( 2A + A = 3A \subseteq SO\left(X\right) \). Similarly, we can prove that each set \( 4A, 5A, \cdots \) is semi open in \( X \). Thus the set \( U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(nA\right) \) is semi open in \( X \).

**Definition 3.** A mapping \( f \) from a topological space to itself is called irresolute-homeomorphism [15], if it is bijective, irresolute and pre-semi open.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. For given \( y \in X \) and \( \lambda \in F \) with \( \lambda \neq 0 \), each translation mapping \( T_{\lambda} : x \mapsto x + y \) and multiplication mapping \( M_{\lambda} : x \mapsto \lambda x \), where \( x \in X \) is irresolute homeomorphism onto itself.

**Proof.** First, we show that \( T_{\lambda} : X \to X \) is an irresolute homeomorphism. It is obviously bijective. By Theorem 1, \( T_{\lambda} \) is irresolute. Moreover, \( T_{\lambda} \) is pre-semi open because for any semi open set \( U \), by Theorem 2 (1), \( T_{\lambda} \left(U\right) = U + y \) is semi open.

Similarly, we can prove that \( M_{\lambda} : x \mapsto \lambda x \) is an irresolute homeomorphism.

**Definition 4.** An irresolute topological vector space \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) is said to be irresolute homogenous space, if for each \( x, y \in X \), there exists irresolute homeomorphism \( f \) of the space \( X \) onto itself such that \( f\left(x\right) = y \).

**Theorem 7.** Every irresolute topological vector space is an irresolute homogenous space.

**Proof.** Let \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. Take \( x, y \in X \), put \( z = (-x) + y \). Define, \( T_{z} : X \to X \) by \( T_{z}\left(x\right) = x + z = y \). By Theorem 6, \( T_{z} : X \to X \) is irresolute homeomorphism, therefore \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) is an irresolute homogenous space.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose that \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space and \( S \) is a subspace of \( X \). If \( S \) contains a non-empty semi open subset of \( X \), then \( S \) is semi open in \( \left(X_{(p)}, \tau\right) \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( U \) is a non-empty semi open subset in \( X \), such that \( U \subseteq S \). By Theorem 2 (1), \( T_{y}\left(U\right) = U + y \) is semi open subset of \( X \) for each \( y \in S \). Thus \( S = \bigcup_{y \in S} \left(U + y\right) \) is semi open in \( X \) being union of semi open sets.

In general, intersection of two semi open sets is not semi open; however we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** [17] Let \( \left(X, \tau\right) \) be a topological space and \( A \subseteq X \). If \( A \) is open and \( U \) is semi open, then \( A \cap U \subseteq SO\left(X\right) \).

**Lemma 2.** [17] Suppose \( \left(X, \tau\right) \) is a topological space. \( A \subseteq X_{0} \subseteq X \), where \( X_{0} \subseteq SO\left(X\right) \), then \( A \subseteq SO\left(X_{0}\right) \) if, and only if, \( A \subseteq SO\left(X_{0}\right) \).

**Theorem 9.** Every open subspace \( S \) of an irresolute topological vector space is also an irresolute topological vector space.
Proof. Suppose \( (X_f, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space and \( S \) is an open subspace of \( X \). Then, it satisfies the following properties.

1. For all \( x, y \in S \), we have \( x + y \in S \).
2. For any \( \lambda \in F \) and \( x \in S \), we have \( \lambda x \in S \). We define topology on \( S \) as, \( \tau_S = \{ S \cap O \mid O \in \tau \} \). We show that \( \left( S_f, \tau_S \right) \) is itself an irresolute topological vector space.

Now, let \( x, y \in S \), and \( W \) be any semi open neighbourhood of \( x + y \) in \( S \), then \( W \) is semi open neighborhood of \( x + y \) in \( X \). As \( \left( X_f, \tau \right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space, therefore, there exist semi open neighborhoods \( A \subseteq X \) of \( x \) and \( B \subseteq X \) of \( y \) such that \( A + B \subseteq W \). Now, the sets \( U = A \cap S \) and \( V = B \cap S \) are semi open in \( X \) containing \( x \) and \( y \) respectively. By Lemma, \( U, V \in \mathcal{S}(S) \), and \( U + V \subseteq W \).

Again, for \( \lambda \in F \), and \( x \in X \), let \( W \) be a semi open neighbourhood of \( \lambda x \) in \( S \) and hence semi open in \( X \). As \( \left( X_f, \tau \right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space, therefore there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( A \) of \( \lambda \) in \( F \) and \( B \) of \( x \) in \( X \) such that \( A \cdot B \subseteq W \). Now, the sets \( U = A \cap F \) and \( V = B \cap S \) are semi open in \( F \) and \( X \) respectively. Since, \( S \) is open, therefore by Lemma 2, \( V \) is semi open in \( S \). Hence for each semi open neighbourhood \( W \) of \( \lambda x \) in \( S \), there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) in \( F \) of \( \lambda \) and \( V \) in \( S \) of \( x \) such that \( U \cdot V \subseteq W \). This proves that \( \left( S_f, \tau_S \right) \) is an irresolute topological vector space.

**Theorem 10.** In irresolute topological vector spaces, for any semi open neighbourhood \( U \) of 0, there exists a semi open neighbourhood \( V \) of \( U \) such that \( V + V \subseteq U \).

Proof. The proof is trivial, therefore omitted.

**Theorem 11.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be subsets of an irresolute topological vector space. Then \( sCl(A) + sCl(B) \subseteq sCl(A + B) \).

Proof. Let \( x \in sCl(A) \) and \( y \in sCl(B) \), and let \( W \) be a semi open neighbourhood of \( x + y \). Then there exist semi open neighbourhoods \( U \) and \( V \) respectively, such that \( U + V \subseteq W \). Since, \( x \in sCl(A) \) and \( y \in sCl(B) \), there are \( a \in A \cap U \) and \( b \in B \cap V \). Then, \( a + b \in (A + B) \cap (U + V) \subseteq (A + B) \cap W \). This implies \( x + y \in sCl(A + B) \).

**Theorem 12.** Let \( \left( X_f, \tau \right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space, then every semi open subspace of \( X \) is semi closed in \( X \).

Proof. Let \( H \) be a semi open subspace of \( X \). As right translation \( T_y : X \to X \) is irresolute homeomorphism, therefore, \( H + x \) is semi open. Then, \( Y = \bigcup_{x \in H \cdot x} (H + x) \) is also semi open. Hence \( H = X - Y \), is semi-closed.

**Theorem 13.** Let \( f : \left( X_f, \tau \right) \to \left( Y_f, \tau \right) \) be a homomorphism of irresolute topological vector spaces, \( f \) is irresolute on \( X \) if it is irresolute at \( 0 \in X \).

Proof. Let \( x \in X \). Suppose \( W \) is semi open neighbourhood of \( y = f(x) \) in \( Y \). Since, \( T_y : Y \to Y \) is irresolute, therefore, there is a semi open neighbourhood \( V \) of \( y \) such that \( T_y(V) = V + y \subseteq W \). Now, since \( f \) is irresolute at \( 0 \in X \), there exists semi open neighbourhood \( U \) of 0 in \( X \) such that \( f(U) \subseteq V \). Since \( T_y : X \to X \) is irresolute, therefore, \( U + x \) is semi open neighbourhood of \( x \). Thus, \( f(U + x) = f(U) + f(x) = f(U) + y \subseteq W \). This proves that, \( f \) is irresolute at \( x \) and hence on \( X \).

**Theorem 14.** Let \( \left( X_f, \tau \right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space and \( A, B \) are subsets of \( X \). If \( B \) is semi open, then for any set \( A \), we have \( A + B = sCl(A) + B \).

Proof. As we know that \( A \subseteq sCl(A) \) so \( A + B \subseteq sCl(A) + B \). Conversely, let \( y \in sCl(A) + B \) and write \( y = x + b \) where \( x \in sCl(A) \) and \( b \in B \). There exists a semi open neighbourhood \( V \) of \( y \) such that \( T_y(V) = V + b \subseteq B \). Now, \( V \) is semi open in \( X \), this gives that \( -V \) is also semi open neighborhood of \( 0 \) in \( X \). Since, \( x \in sCl(A) \), so, \( a = A \cap (x - V) \). We know that \( y = x + b = a - a + x + b = a + V + b \subseteq A + B \). Therefore, \( sCl(A) + B \subseteq A + B \). Hence, \( A + B = sCl(A) + B \).

**Theorem 15.** Let \( \left( X_f, \tau \right) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. Then the scalar multiple of semi closed set is semi closed.

Proof. Let \( B \in SC(X) \), then \( X - B \in SO(X) \). \[ M_B(X - B) = \lambda(X - B) = \lambda X - \lambda B = X - \lambda B \in SO(X) \].
Therefore, \( \lambda B \in SC(X) \).

**Theorem 16.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an irresolute topological vector space. Then scalar multiple of semi-compact set is semi-compact.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a semi-compact subsets of \( X \). Let \( \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{V}\} \) be a semi open cover of \( \lambda A \) for some non-zero \( \lambda \in F \), then \( \lambda A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}} U_\alpha \). This gives \( A \subseteq (1/\lambda) \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}} U_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} U_\alpha \right) \). Since, \( U_\alpha \in SO(X) \) and \( (X, \tau) \) is an irresolute topological vector space, therefore, \( \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} U_\alpha \right\} \in SO(X) \) for each \( \alpha \in \mathcal{V} \). Since, \( A \) is semi-compact therefore, there exist a finite subset \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) of \( \mathcal{V} \) such that \( A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} U_\alpha \right) \). This implies that \( \lambda A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0} (U_\alpha) \). Hence \( \lambda A \) is semi-compact in \( X \).

**Definition 5.** [18] A space is said to be P-regular, if for each semi closed set \( F \) and \( x \notin F \), there exist disjoint open sets \( U \) and \( V \) such that \( x \in U \) and \( F \subseteq V \).

**Theorem 17.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be a P-regular and irresolute topological vector space. Then the algebraic sum of a semi-compact set \( A \) and semi-closed set \( B \) is semi-closed.

**Proof.** Let \( x \notin A + B \), then for some \( a \in A, \ x \notin a + B \). Since, the translation mapping is irresolute homeomorphism so \( T^{-1}(B) = a + B \), where \( a + B \) is semi closed. Since \( X \) is P-regular space, therefore, there exist open sets \( U_a \) and \( V_a \) such that \( x \in U_a, a + B \subseteq V_a \) and \( U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset \). Also \( V_a - B = \bigcup_{b \in B} (V_a - b) \) is semi open and contains \( a \). Hence, \( A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (V_a - B) \). Since, \( A \) is semi-compact, therefore there exists a finite subset \( \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n\} \) of elements of \( A \), such that \( A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (V_{a_i} - B) \). Let \( U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{a_i} \), then \( U \) is a neighbourhood of \( x \). We claim that \( U \cap (A + B) = \emptyset \). If not, then \( y = a + b \in U \cap (A + B) \), then \( y \in V_{a_i} \) for some \( i \) and \( y \in U_{a_i} \), which is contradiction to the fact that \( U_{a_i} \cap V_{a_i} = \emptyset \).
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