Diagnostic yield of additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy following radial endobronchial ultrasound lung biopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions
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Abstract
Background: Radial endobronchial ultrasound (R-EBUS) transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) improves the diagnostic yield from peripheral pulmonary lesions (PPLs). However, the small specimens obtained using small forceps through a guide sheath (GS) may impede diagnosis and molecular analysis. Here, we investigated the diagnostic significance of additional conventional TBLB with standard forceps after R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed data from 55 patients who underwent conventional TBLB after R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB for PPL diagnosis. Procedures were performed on single PPLs with no visible lesions on bronchoscopy. In cases with inconclusive pathologic confirmation, final diagnoses were made based on pathologic specimens or clinical observations.

Results: The median size of the target lesions was 28 mm. The appearances on computed tomography images were solid ($n=45$, 81.8%), part-solid ($n=7$, 12.7%), and cavitary nodules ($n=3$, 5.5%). A computed tomography bronchus sign was present in 35 (63.6%) cases, and a radial probe was positioned within target lesion in 32 (58.2%) cases. R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB was diagnostic in 30 (54.5%) patients, and subsequent conventional TBLB yielded additional diagnostic information in 8 (14.5%) patients. Probe positioning within target lesions and the outer margin of PPLs more than 1 cm from pleura were significantly associated with enhanced diagnostic yield from the combined procedures. In conventional TBLB, probe positioning within target lesions (75.0% vs. 11.8%, $P=0.004$) and characteristic of nonsolid nodules (83.3% vs. 15.8%, $P=0.006$) were significantly associated with additional diagnostic utility.

Conclusions: Conventional TBLB following R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB could be a useful procedure for diagnosing PPLs, especially for nonsolid nodules.

Key points
- Significant findings of the study: Additional conventional TBLB with standard forceps after R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB yielded an additional 14.5% diagnostic utility for peripheral pulmonary lesions. For conventional TBLB, probe positioning within target lesions and nonsolid nodules were significantly associated with additional diagnostic utility.
- What this study adds: Conventional TBLB with standard forceps after R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB is an effective and economically accessible diagnostic tool for peripheral pulmonary lesions.
Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. As the survival benefits of chest computed tomography (CT) scans for lung cancer screening have been proven, the detection rate of small peripheral lung nodules has increased.1 Diagnostic sampling of these small peripheral pulmonary lesions (PPLs) can usually be achieved via a percutaneous needle biopsy (PCNB). However, the incidence of pneumothorax has been reported to be 17–26.6% in CT-guided PCNB.2–6 Additionally, PCNB cannot be easily performed for PPLs that are surrounded by emphysema or in patients who cannot hold their breath.

Several guided-bronchoscopy technologies, such as radial endobronchial ultrasound (R-EBUS), electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB), and virtual bronchoscopy (VB), have improved the diagnostic yield of transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) for PPL.7–13 However, equipment costs are an important consideration for these methods. ENB and VB both require specialized planning software, and costly hardware. ENB also uses disposable locatable guides, which imposes considerable procedure-related costs. R-EBUS only requires an ultrasound and a reusable EBUS probe. In an ordinary hospital setting without expensive navigation equipment, combined R-EBUS and conventional TBLB could be a better way to improve diagnostic yield than R-EBUS TBLB alone. Diagnostic yields of R-EBUS-guided TBLB have been found to vary widely among previous studies, ranging from 58% to 77%.7–9,14 A guide-sheath (GS) improves the diagnostic yield of R-EBUS-guided TBLB by keeping the GS within the lesion after the radial probe has been removed.7 However, the small forceps that are required to pass through the GS may result in acquiring only a small amount of tissue, which may lead to inadequate specimens for pathologic diagnosis and molecular analysis. The advantage of additional TBLB under fluoroscopy is that the procedure may achieve a higher success rate given the knowledge of the path leading to the lesion through the previous EBUS-TBLB, and larger tissues can be obtained using relatively large forceps.

We investigated whether subsequent conventional TBLB using larger standard forceps after R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB could increase the diagnostic yield of PPLs.

Methods

Study population

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of all patients who underwent combined R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB and subsequent conventional fluoroscopy-guided TBLB to diagnose PPLs between July 2016 and July 2019. PPLs were defined as lesions surrounded by lung parenchyma and located within the outer one third of the lung. R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB or CT-guided PCNB were selected according to the preferences of the attending physicians. However, in cases such as significant cardiovascular disease, hypoxemia, inaccessible bronchial pathway to the target lesion on CT, and PPLs located in apical segments, PCNB was considered first. Patients who had any visible bronchial lesions on conventional bronchoscopy were excluded. To compare the diagnostic yield of the combined procedures with PCNB, we investigated the diagnostic yields and complication rates of patients who underwent PCNB during the same period. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Ewha Womans University Mokdong Hospital (approval number: 201910040).

Target localization and procedures

CT images of axial, sagittal, and coronal views with a slice thickness of 1.0 mm were obtained to identify small bronchial branching and the bronchial path to a PPL. The window width and window level setting were 1500 Hounsfield units (HU) and −750 HU, respectively. A 4.9 mm bronchoscope (BF-Q290; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was advanced as far as possible into the nearest bronchus to the target lesion after reviewing the paths under the lung window setting of the CT image. Then, a 20-MHz mechanical radial-type probe (UM-S20-17S, Olympus) connected with EBUS (EU-M30S, Olympus), which was covered by a GS (SG-200C, Olympus), were inserted through the bronchoscope working channel of 20 mm. Once the lesion was confirmed by R-EBUS imaging and X-ray fluoroscopy, the probe was withdrawn keeping the GS in place. Small biopsy forceps with an outer diameter of 1.5 mm and a cup opening size of 4.0 mm (FB-233D, Olympus) were performed more than several hundred conventional bronchoscopies.
As a preliminary examination, we compared the weight of specimens obtained by different forceps using beef. These tests showed that small forceps used in R-EBUS-GS obtained 0.60 ± 0.24 mg of beef, FB-231D forceps obtained 2.51 ± 0.50 mg, and FB-19C-1 forceps obtained 0.90 ± 0.16 mg of beef (P < 0.001, Table S1).

**D**iagnosis

All target lesions were investigated to discriminate malignancy. A diagnosis of malignancy made by the combined procedures was considered true-positive. In cases of non-malignant results or inconclusive diagnoses, PCNB was performed to make the definitive diagnosis. In cases where the pathological diagnosis was ambiguous, the final diagnosis was made by surgical biopsy or clinical observation over one year.

**Statistical analysis**

Either a Pearson’s chi-square test or the Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables. Logistic regression analysis was used for the multivariate analysis of factors that affected the diagnostic yield of the combined procedure. We report the 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and all tests were two-sided. Differences between groups were considered significant when P-values were < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (version 22.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

A total of 57 patients underwent the combined procedure of R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB and subsequent conventional fluoroscopy-guided TBLB to diagnose PPLs during the study period. After excluding two patients who showed endobronchial lesion on conventional bronchoscopy, 55 patients were ultimately included in the present study. The mean age of the study population was 67.2 years; 29 (52.7%) were male (Table 1). Emphysematous changes in the lung were observed in 10 patients (18.2%); however, these did not surround any target lesions. The median size of target lesions was 28 mm. All target lesions were peripherally located, with a median distance between the outer margin of target lesions and the costal pleural surface of 5 mm; 17 (30.9%) abutted the pleura. Open-bronchus sign in a target lesion on CT imaging was present in 35 cases (63.6%). The EBUS probe was positioned within the lesion in 32 cases (58.2%), whereas it was adjacent to the lesion on EBUS imaging in 21 cases (38.2%); there were two cases (3.6%) of invisible lesions. Additionally, 297 patients underwent PCNB during the same period. There were no significant differences between the two groups, except for lesion size and imaging features on CT scans.

In total, 39 patients had confirmed diagnoses by the combined procedures. R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB was initially diagnostic in 30 patients (54.5%), while subsequent conventional TBLB was additionally diagnostic in eight patients (14.5%, Fig. 1). Malignancy was diagnosed in 36 patients (65.5%, Fig. 2a). Among these, 28 (77.8%) were initially diagnostic in 30 patients (52.7%), whereas it was adjacent to the lesion on EBUS imaging in 21 cases (38.2%); there were two cases (3.6%) of invisible lesions. Additionally, 297 patients underwent PCNB during the same period. There were no significant differences between the two groups, except for lesion size and imaging features on CT scans.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of patients who underwent endobronchial ultrasound with a guide-sheath combined with additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy and percutaneous needle biopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions

| Characteristics | TBLB | PCNB | P-value |
|----------------|------|------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD) | 67.2 (10.9) | 68.6 (12.1) | 0.417 |
| Male sex      | 29 (52.7) | 173 (58.2) | 0.447 |
| Chronic airway disease | 13 (23.6) | 57 (19.2) | 0.448 |
| Emphysema on CT scan | 10 (18.2) | 75 (25.3) | 0.260 |
| Lesion size, median (range) | 28 (17–79) | 37 (9–137) | 0.002 |
| <20 mm | 3 (5.5) | 32 (10.8) | |
| 20–30 mm | 29 (52.7) | 77 (25.9) | |
| >30 mm | 23 (41.8) | 188 (63.3) | |
| Lesion location | | | 0.960 |
| Upper lobe | 27 (49.1) | 152 (51.2) | |
| Middle or lingular lobe | 7 (12.7) | 36 (12.1) | |
| Lower lobe | 21 (38.2) | 109 (36.7) | |
| Distance from pleura, mm, median (range) | 5 (0–50) | 7 (0–70) | 0.381 |
| Lesions abutting the pleura | 17 (30.9) | 104 (35.0) | 0.556 |
| Presence of bronchus sign on CT scan | 35 (63.6) | 170 (57.2) | 0.058 |
| Endobronchial ultrasound visualization | | | |
| Within | 32 (58.2) | NA | |
| Adjacent | 21 (38.2) | NA | |
| Invisible | 2 (3.6) | NA | |
| Appearance on CT scan | | | 0.033 |
| Solid | 45 (81.8) | 275 (92.6) | |
| Part-solid | 7 (12.7) | 11 (3.7) | |
| Pure GGO | 0 | 1 (0.3) | |
| Cavitary | 3 (5.5) | 10 (3.4) | |

Data are shown as n (%), unless otherwise noted. CT, computed tomography; GGO, ground-glass opacity; NA, not applicable; PCNB, percutaneous needle biopsy; SD, standard deviation; TBLB, transbronchial lung biopsy.
three patients (5.5%) developed fever during the procedure. However, all episodes of fever were resolved spontaneously within 24 hours after the procedure. There was one episode of pneumothorax, which was resolved spontaneously without any source of infection. Meanwhile, there was a significant difference in the incidence of pneumothorax between the groups; there was one case (1.8%) among patients who underwent TBLB and 37 cases (12.5%) among patients who underwent PCNB (P < 0.001).

Discussion

The present study investigated the utility of subsequent conventional TBLB after R-EBUS-CS-guided TBLB for diagnosing PPIs. The combined procedures were diagnostic in 39 (79.6%) patients with malignancy and 11 (11.4%) patients with benign disease; 5 patients were either transferred out or lost to follow-up. Subsequent conventional TBLB yielded additional diagnostic confirmation in 4 (14.5%) patients. Interestingly, three cases (43.7%) of part-solid ground-glass nodules (GGNs) were diagnosed only by conventional TBLB.

Previous meta-analyses have shown that the diagnostic accuracy of R-EBUS-CS-guided TBLB ranged from 68.8% to 74.8%, which is comparable to our results (71.8%). Previous studies have demonstrated that the optimal yields require taking five or more specimens using optimal forceps. In the present study, we used three or more specimens using forceps of three different volumes (Table 1). Although the sum of tissue volumes is equal, there is a significant difference in the incidence of pneumothorax between the groups; there was one case (1.8%) among patients who underwent TBLB and 37 cases (12.5%) among patients who underwent PCNB (P < 0.001).
biopsies using small forceps can increase artifacts in the specimen. Izumo et al. reported that rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE) during EBUS-GS had a high sensitivity for PPLs; however, its specificity and diagnostic efficacy were lower compared with ROSE during EBUS-transbronchial needle aspiration. They described that the presence of bronchial ciliated epithelium, bronchial cartilage and abundant inflammatory cells around PPLs made ROSE more difficult for such lesions than for lymph nodes. The elongated form of specimens from oval shaped biopsy forceps has the advantage that the morphology of the epithelium and submucosa are more intact, and the large forceps used for transbronchial biopsy yield more tissue, including alveolar tissue, than small forceps. The larger specimens obtained with larger forceps may have additional utility for diagnosing PPLs. A larger amount of specimen might be beneficial not only for the pathological diagnosis of lung cancer but also for detailed molecular analyses. Given that a specific method is used for each mutational analysis, a larger amount of tissue is needed to perform multiple analyses.

Figure 2 The diagnostic process and final diagnoses of (a) 55 patients who underwent endobronchial ultrasound with a guide-sheath combined with additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy and (b) 297 patients who underwent percutaneous needle biopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions. PPLs, peripheral pulmonary lesions; PCNB, percutaneous needle biopsy; BFS, bronchofiberscopy; EBUS-TBNA, endobronchial ultrasound transbronchial needle aspiration.
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Table 2 Factors associated with the diagnostic yield of endobronchial ultrasound with a guide-sheath combined with additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy

| Characteristics                | Diagnostic yield (n = 39/55) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Location of lesion            |                              | 0.132   |
| Upper lobe                    | 21/27 (77.8)                 |         |
| Middle or lingular lobe       | 6/7 (85.7)                   |         |
| Lower lobe                    | 12/21 (57.1)                 |         |
| Lesion size                   |                              | 0.309   |
| ≤3 cm                         | 21/32 (65.6)                 |         |
| >3 cm                         | 18/23 (78.3)                 |         |
| Distance from pleura          |                              | 0.012   |
| ≤1 cm                         | 23/38 (60.5)                 |         |
| >1 cm                         | 16/17 (94.1)                 |         |
| Presence of bronchus sign on CT scan |              | <0.001  |
| Present                       | 31/35 (88.6)                 |         |
| Absent                        | 8/20 (40.0)                  |         |
| Endobronchial ultrasound visualization |                     | <0.001  |
| Within                        | 30/32 (93.8)                 |         |
| Adjacent to or invisible      | 9/23 (39.1)                  |         |
| Appearance on CT scan         |                              | 0.128   |
| Solid                         | 30/45 (66.7)                 |         |
| Nonsolid                      | 9/10 (90.0)                  |         |

Data are shown as n (%), unless otherwise noted. CT, computed tomography.

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with the diagnostic yield of endobronchial ultrasound with a guide-sheath combined with additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy

| Characteristics                | Univariate         | Multivariate       |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                               | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P-value            | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
| Presence of bronchus sign on CT scan | 11.625 (2.946–45.877) | <0.001         | 11.625 (2.946–45.877) | <0.001 |
| Endobronchial ultrasound visualization | 23.333 (4.444–122.510) | <0.001         | 23.333 (4.444–122.510) | <0.001 |
| Distance from pleura           | 10.435 (1.249–87.144) | 0.030             | 10.435 (1.249–87.144) | 0.017  |

CI, confidence interval; CT, computed tomography.

Table 4 Factors associated with the diagnostic utility of additional conventional transbronchial lung biopsy in patients who could not be diagnosed by endobronchial ultrasound with a guide-sheath-guided transbronchial lung biopsy

| Characteristics                | Diagnostic yield (n = 8/25) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Location of lesion            |                              | 0.345   |
| Upper lobe                    | 5/11 (45.5)                  |         |
| Middle or lingular lobe       | 0/2                          |         |
| Lower lobe                    | 3/12 (25.0)                  |         |
| Lesion size                   |                              | 1.000   |
| ≤3 cm                         | 5/17 (29.4)                  |         |
| >3 cm                         | 3/8 (37.5)                   |         |
| Distance from pleura          |                              | 0.231   |
| ≤1 cm                         | 6/22 (27.3)                  |         |
| >1 cm                         | 2/3 (66.7)                   |         |
| Presence of bronchus sign on CT scan |                        | 0.081   |
| Present                       | 6/11 (54.5)                  |         |
| Absent                        | 2/14 (14.3)                  |         |
| Endobronchial ultrasound visualization |                      | 0.004   |
| Within                        | 6/8 (75.0)                   |         |
| Adjacent or invisible         | 2/17 (11.8)                  |         |
| Appearance on CT scan         |                              | 0.006   |
| Solid                         | 3/19 (15.8)                  |         |
| Nonsolid                      | 5/6 (83.3)                   |         |

Data are shown as n (%), unless otherwise noted. CT, computed tomography.

Mutational analyses, although high-quality targeted next generation sequencing can be performed using a small amount of tissue, even a liquid biopsy.

Nonsolid nodules were associated with additional diagnostic utility from conventional TBLB. A previous report showed similar results, as GGNs were a significant factor associated with enhanced diagnostic yield in the course of conventional TBLB following EBUS-TBLB.27 Additionally, there have been several studies regarding the diagnostic success for peripheral ground glass opacity dominant lesions by R-EBUS-TBLB.28–30 Interestingly, Ikezawa et al. reported that the number of biopsy specimens was an important factor for increasing the diagnostic yield of R-EBUS-TBLB in GGNs.29 The heterogeneity of EBUS imaging in GGNs may hinder confirming the position of the probe; thus, the large amount of tissue acquired from using larger forceps during conventional TBLB could increase the diagnostic yield.

Various factors, such as lesion size, location, position of the probe, and CT bronchus sign are known to be associated with diagnostic yield of R-EBUS for PPLs.10,31,32 Consistent with previous studies, positioning of the probe within target lesion and location of the lesion were factors associated with an accurate diagnosis in multivariate analysis. We included 38 (69.1%) cases located within 1 cm from the pleura, including 17 (30.9%) cases abutting the pleura. This might have led to the relatively low diagnostic utility of R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB in our study.

The present study has several limitations. First, we included a small number of patients and this was a retrospective analysis, so there may have been selection bias. Second, we did not compare the diagnostic utility of the combined procedures with R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB alone; thus, we cannot determine whether the combined procedures were statistically superior to R-EBUS-GS-guided TBLB alone. Third, R-EBUS-GS-guided transbronchial needle aspiration and ROSE, which
have the potential to improve the diagnostic yield of EBUS-TLB, were not performed in our institute. Finally, we did not measure the time for additional conventional TLB, which is one of the quality assessment factors of the procedure.

In conclusion, performing conventional TLB after R-EBUS-GS-guided TLB for the diagnosis of PPLs could be a useful procedure, especially for nonsolid nodules. The distance between target lesions and the costal pleura, and correct positioning of the EBUS probe within target lesions are key factors that can improve the diagnostic yield of the combined procedures.
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