Depth-wise fractionation of sulphur in cultivated soils of low and mid hills of Himachal Pradesh
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Abstract

The current investigation was conducted to study the fractions of sulphur in nine districts of low and mid hills of Himachal Pradesh. For this purpose 31 representative soil sampling sites were selected from nine districts and the soil samples were analyzed for physicochemical properties and different fractions of sulphur (water soluble sulphur, exchangeable sulphur, available sulphur, non-sulphate sulphur, organic sulphur and total sulphur). The results indicated that the total sulphur in soils varied from 98.2 to 470.1 mg kg⁻¹ in surface soil (0-15 cm) and 67.2 to 370.7 mg kg⁻¹ in sub-surface layer (15-60 cm). The organic sulphur varied from 80.5 to 401.1 mg kg⁻¹ in surface and 44 to 306.1 mg kg⁻¹ in sub-surface layer. The water soluble sulphur, exchangeable sulphur, available sulphur and non-sulphate sulphur varied from 1.7 to 9.2, 2.7 to 18.4, 4.5 to 27.6 and 10.2 to 58.9 mg kg⁻¹ respectively in surface soil and 0.5 to 5.4, 1 to 17.7, 3.7 to 23.5 and 12.5 to 50.2 mg kg⁻¹, respectively in sub-surface soil. It was observed during course of study that with increase in the soil depth the content of different fractions of sulphur decreased. These soils had the major part of their total sulphur content in organic form followed by non-sulphate sulphur, available sulphur, exchangeable sulphur and water soluble sulphur. It can be concluded that the soil texture and organic carbon content played a major role in determining the quantity of different fractions of sulphur in these soils.
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Introduction

Sulphur is one among the seventeen essential elements and the fourth most important nutrient for crop production after N, P and K. The sulphur deficiency is widespread in Indian soils and it has been emerging as major limitation in sustaining crop production and productivity. Intensive cultivation with high yielding varieties of crops and application of high rates of fertilizers devoid of secondary nutrients resulted in deficiency of secondary nutrients especially sulphur reserve of soil at a faster rate. Not surprisingly, sulphur in soils occurs in different forms such as water soluble, exchangeable, available, non-sulphate, organic and total sulphur. The availability of sulphur in a soil is not only altered by exist in dynamic equilibrium in soil (Azmi et al., 2018).

Materials and Methods

In the current study, 31 sampling sites were selected to represent the cultivated soils of low and mid hills of Himachal Pradesh. These sites represent the soils under maize-wheat, paddy-wheat, vegetables, tea gardens and orchards. The soil profile samples (at 0-15 cm, 15-30 cm and 30-60 cm depth) were collected from Kangra,
Una, Sirmour, Solan, Hamirpur, Mandi, Kullu, Bilaspur and Chamba districts and were analysed for physicochemical properties using standard methods (Jackson 1973). In order to study the depth-wise distribution of sulphur, these soil samples were analysed for different forms of sulphur. Total and organic sulphur were determined as per methods outlined by Chapman and Pratt (1961) and Bradlsy and Lancaster (1965), respectively. Water soluble sulphur was estimated by extracting the soil with de-ionized water. Exchangeable sulphur was estimated by subraction of water soluble sulphur from available sulphur. Available sulphur was extracted with 0.15% Calcium chloride solution (Williams and Steinsbergs 1959). The sulphur in all these extracts was estimated turbidimetrically (Chesnin and Yein 1951). The difference between organic sulphur plus available sulphur contents and total sulphur was denoted as non-sulphate sulphur.

Results and Discussion

Soil pH, Electrical conductivity (μS/cm), Organic carbon (g/kg), sand (%), silt (%), clay (%), Cation exchange capacity (cmol(p+)/kg) Base saturation (%) and Calcium carbonate (%) in low hill zone varied from 6.2 to 7.8, 150 to 290, 3.7 to 11.7, 47 to 80, 12 to 35, 8 to 18, 5 to 10.6, 60 to 74 and 0.30 to 2.15 in surface soils and from 6.3 to 7.9, 170 to 300, 0.9 to 9.8, 46 to 81, 13 to 35, 6 to 19, 3.4 to 10.4, 61 to 75 and 0.34 to 2.36 in subsurface soils, respectively. In mid hill zone soil pH, Electrical conductivity (μS/cm), Organic carbon (g/kg), sand (%), silt (%), clay (%), Cation exchange capacity (cmol(p+)/kg) Base saturation (%) and Calcium carbonate (%) varied from 5.4 to 6.8, 50 to 250, 3.4 to 20, 25 to 75, 12 to 43, 11 to 32, 6.9 to 16.4, 50 to 71 and 0.13 to 1.28 in surface soils and from 5.5 to 6.8, 90 to 290, 1.1 to 8.6, 26 to 76, 13 to 43, 10 to 31, 4.5 to 15.2, 51 to 72 and 0.14 to 1.31 in subsurface soils, respectively. Irrespective of the land use, organic carbon and cation exchange capacity decreased with increasing soil depth, soil pH, EC, and base saturation increased with increasing depth whereas other properties namely sand, silt and clay exhibited an irregular trend of distribution with soil depth. Water soluble, exchangeable, available, organic, non-sulphate and total sulphur in low hills varied from 1.7 to 6.6, 2.7 to 10.4, 4.5 to 16.5, 82.2 to 240.5, 10.2 to 25.5 and 98.2 to 281.9 in surface soils and from 0.5 to 4.4, 2.9 to 12, 3.7 to 15.8, 44 to 189.4, 12.5 to 29.8 and 67.2 to 230.1 in subsurface soils, respectively (Table 1).

In mid hills water soluble, exchangeable, available, organic, non-sulphate and total sulphur varied from 1.8 to 9.2, 3.7 to 18.4, 5.5 to 27.6, 80.5 to 401.1, 19.5 to 58.9 and 106.8 to 470.1 in surface soils and from 0.7 to 5.4, 1 to 17.7, 4.7 to 23.5, 58.1 to 306.1, 17.8 to 50.2 and 86.6 to 370.7 in subsurface soils, respectively (Table 2).

In the present study it was concluded that content of all forms of sulphur decreased with an increase in soil depth (Table 1&2). These had the tendency to get concentrated in upper layer and thereafter it decreases with increase in soil depth. It may be explained on the basis of decrease in organic carbon and clay content with depth. The nature and amount of soil organic matter, besides climate/altitude and soil texture, largely determined the content of sulphur fractions and their distribution pattern in soil profiles. These results were in agreement with the findings of Tripathi et al. (1997), Trivedi et al. (2000), Parkash et al. (2003) and Mondal (2016).

Conclusion

These soils of low and mid hills of Himachal Pradesh had the major part of their total sulphur content in organic form followed by non-sulphate, available , exchangeable and water soluble sulphur. It can be concluded that organic carbon, pH and clay content are the three important controlling factors of different forms of sulphur. Being the most important and widely measured fraction ‘available sulphur’ is mainly controlled by total sulphur and organic sulphur. And among the different fractions of sulphur, the organic sulphur is the dominant fraction irrespective of the soil type.
Table 1. Vertical distribution of different sulphur fractions (mg/kg) in representative soils of low hills

| SN | Site         | Land use type | Depth (cm) | WS-S | Ex-S | Av-S | Org-S | NS-S | Total-S |
|----|--------------|---------------|------------|------|------|------|-------|------|---------|
|    |              |               | 0-15       |      |      |      |       |      |         |
| 1  | Sugal        | Maize-wheat   |            | 4.3  | 7.3  | 11.6 | 159.4 | 20.8 | 192.4   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 2.7  | 7.2  | 10.3 | 117.1 | 19.2 | 148.6   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.1  | 5.8  | 8.9  | 79.7  | 17.6 | 110.2   |
| 2  | SidhChalehr  | Maize-wheat   |            | 1.7  | 2.8  | 4.5  | 86.5  | 19.9 | 110.9   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 1.0  | 1.9  | 3.9  | 72.2  | 18.2 | 96.3    |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 0.7  | 0.9  | 3.7  | 53.9  | 16.3 | 79.9    |
| 3  | Naggal       | Paddy-wheat   |            | 5.7  | 10.3 | 16   | 203.9 | 24.1 | 244.1   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 4.2  | 9.6  | 14.8 | 144.1 | 23.9 | 184.8   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 2.9  | 7.8  | 13.7 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 140.8   |
| 4  | Fatehpur-I   | Vegetables    |            | 2.2  | 3.6  | 5.8  | 82.2  | 10.2 | 92.4    |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 1.1  | 2.7  | 4.8  | 57.2  | 9.5  | 74.5    |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 0.5  | 1.8  | 4.3  | 51.1  | 7.7  | 69.1    |
| 5  | Gharjarot    | Vegetables    |            | 6.1  | 10.4 | 16.5 | 220.5 | 25.5 | 262.5   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 4.3  | 9.5  | 15.8 | 169.5 | 23.4 | 212.7   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 2.9  | 7.4  | 14.9 | 153.7 | 20.8 | 198.4   |
| 6  | Jankaur-III  | Sugarcane     |            | 3.3  | 5.2  | 8.5  | 124.5 | 18.5 | 151.5   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 2.1  | 5.0  | 7.6  | 95.6  | 17.9 | 132.1   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.1  | 3.7  | 6.8  | 71.6  | 15.2 | 99.6    |
| 7  | Sarsan       | Sugarcane     |            | 3.0  | 5.0  | 8.0  | 111.0 | 21.7 | 140.7   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 1.8  | 4.7  | 7.5  | 82.7  | 21.6 | 113.8   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.0  | 2.8  | 6.8  | 70.7  | 19.4 | 94.2    |
| 8  | Indpur-I     | Orchards      |            | 1.8  | 2.7  | 4.5  | 84.5  | 17.0 | 106.0   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 0.7  | 2.0  | 3.7  | 44.0  | 15.5 | 67.2    |
| 9  | Panjahra-II  | Orchards      |            | 3.9  | 6.4  | 10.3 | 157.7 | 23.4 | 191.1   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.1  | 4.4  | 8.5  | 87.8  | 20.2 | 121.5   |

**Entisols**

| SN | Site         | Land use type | Depth (cm) | WS-S | Ex-S | Av-S | Org-S | NS-S | Total-S |
|----|--------------|---------------|------------|------|------|------|-------|------|---------|
| 1  | Sugal        | Maize-wheat   |            | 6.6  | 9.9  | 16.5 | 222.5 | 21.9 | 260.9   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 4.1  | 8.6  | 14.7 | 183.5 | 20.5 | 220.7   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 2.2  | 6.7  | 12.9 | 162.1 | 18.2 | 199.2   |
| 2  | Har          | Maize-wheat   |            | 2.7  | 4.4  | 7.1  | 110.9 | 23.9 | 141.9   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 1.6  | 3.6  | 6.2  | 88.4  | 22.1 | 119.7   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.2  | 1.7  | 5.9  | 61.9  | 18.4 | 94.2    |
| 3  | RampurRampur | Paddy-wheat   |            | 3.7  | 5.7  | 9.4  | 134.6 | 18.2 | 162.2   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 2.3  | 4.9  | 8.2  | 96.1  | 17.5 | 123.8   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.1  | 2.2  | 7.3  | 74.1  | 15.3 | 102.7   |
| 4  | Khajurua     | Vegetables    |            | 6.3  | 10.2 | 16.5 | 240.5 | 24.9 | 281.9   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 4.4  | 9.5  | 14.9 | 189.4 | 23.8 | 230.1   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.9  | 7.9  | 13.8 | 164.5 | 20.1 | 205.4   |
| 5  | Kotli Byas   | Sugarcane     |            | 3.8  | 6.0  | 9.8  | 153.2 | 20.6 | 183.6   |
|    |              |               | 15-30      | 2.5  | 5.2  | 8.7  | 106.8 | 19.7 | 137.2   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.0  | 4.3  | 7.3  | 83.0  | 17.8 | 114.1   |
| 6  | Kalera       | Orchards      |            | 2.7  | 4.0  | 6.7  | 110.3 | 21.6 | 138.6   |
|    |              |               | 30-60      | 1.0  | 2.1  | 5.1  | 57.9  | 20.4 | 87.4    |
|    |              |               |            | 3.9  | 6.3  | 10.1 | 146.8 | 20.8 | 177.6   |
|    |              |               |            | ±1.6 | ±2.8 | ±4.4 | ±53.3 | ±3.9 | ±60.3   |
|    |              |               |            | 1.9  | 4.9  | 8.9  | 100.7 | 18.5 | 132.5   |
|    |              |               |            | ±1.2 | ±2.7 | ±4.0 | ±43.9 | ±3.7 | ±49.9   |

**Inceptisols**

| Mean±SD (surface soils) | Mean±SD (Sub - surface soils) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3.9 ±1.6                | 1.9 ±1.2                       |
| 6.3 ±2.8                | 4.9 ±2.7                       |
| 10.1 ±4.4               | 8.9 ±4.0                       |
| 146.8 ±53.3             | 100.7 ±43.9                    |
| 20.8 ±3.9               | 18.5 ±3.7                      |
| 177.6 ±60.3             | 132.5 ±49.9                    |

Mean±SD for surface and subsurface soils in cultivated soils.
Table 2: Vertical distribution of different sulphur fractions (mg/kg) in representative soils of mid hills

| SN | Site      | Land use type    | Depth (cm) | WS-S | Ex-S | Av-S | Org-S | NS-S | Total-S |
|----|-----------|------------------|------------|------|------|------|-------|------|---------|
|    |           |                  |            |      |      |      |       |      |         |
|    |           |                  | Entisols   |      |      |      |       |      |         |
| 1  | Panjla    | Maize-wheat      | 0-15       | 2.0  | 4.4  | 6.4  | 80.5  | 19.9 | 106.8   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.2  | 4.1  | 5.8  | 69.9  | 20.5 | 97.2    |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 0.9  | 2.8  | 5.7  | 58.3  | 18.3 | 76.6    |
| 2  | Sukrayin  | Maize-wheat      | 0-15       | 4.9  | 10.4 | 15.3 | 186.3 | 45.1 | 246.7   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 2.3  | 9.8  | 14.1 | 148.8 | 43.3 | 210.2   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.2  | 7.1  | 13.3 | 117.2 | 41.7 | 179.2   |
| 3  | Bsal      | Paddy-wheat      | 0-15       | 1.8  | 3.7  | 5.5  | 94.5  | 19.5 | 119.5   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.1  | 2.9  | 5.0  | 73.0  | 18.2 | 91.2    |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 0.7  | 1.0  | 4.7  | 58.1  | 17.8 | 86.6    |
| 4  | Deor     | Vegetables       | 0-15       | 4.9  | 10.4 | 15.3 | 199.7 | 21.2 | 236.2   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 2.3  | 9.8  | 14.1 | 148.8 | 43.3 | 210.2   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.2  | 7.1  | 13.3 | 117.2 | 41.7 | 179.2   |
| 5  | Duwara   | Vegetables       | 0-15       | 9.2  | 18.4 | 27.6 | 375.4 | 41.5 | 444.5   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 4.8  | 17.7 | 23.5 | 303.5 | 40.2 | 370.2   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 2.8  | 15.0 | 21.8 | 264.2 | 38.2 | 314.2   |
| 6  | Jia      | Orchards         | 0-30       | 4.5  | 10.0 | 14.5 | 298.2 | 23.5 | 336.2   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.4  | 8.2  | 12.6 | 276.5 | 22.7 | 318.8   |
| 7  | Drabad   | Orchards         | 0-30       | 6.9  | 13.4 | 20.3 | 296.7 | 58.9 | 375.9   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 3.1  | 9.6  | 13.7 | 176.1 | 20.3 | 212.1   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 2.5  | 12.9 | 19.4 | 189.7 | 50.2 | 239.9   |
|    |           |                  | Inceptisols           |      |      |      |       |      |         |
| 8  | Dun      | Maize-wheat      | 0-15       | 3.9  | 7.6  | 11.5 | 158.7 | 46.9 | 217.1   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 2.5  | 6.2  | 10.7 | 121.4 | 44.4 | 180.5   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.2  | 5.4  | 9.6  | 106.4 | 41.7 | 166.4   |
| 9  | Sambar-8-| Maize-wheat      | 0-15       | 4.8  | 10.5 | 15.3 | 240.9 | 21.3 | 277.2   |
|    | mile     |                  | 15-30      | 3.2  | 9.2  | 14.4 | 192.3 | 20.5 | 230.2   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.1  | 7.4  | 12.5 | 129.7 | 18.5 | 167.7   |
| 10 | Sutiara  | Paddy-wheat      | 0-15       | 3.5  | 6.8  | 10.3 | 163.6 | 46.2 | 220.1   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.7  | 6.0  | 8.7  | 134.3 | 44.3 | 190.3   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.0  | 4.2  | 8.2  | 99.0  | 40.3 | 158.5   |
| 11 | Bharatkoo| Vegetables       | 0-15       | 6.4  | 14.6 | 21.0 | 351.0 | 40.2 | 412.2   |
|    | p        |                  | 15-30      | 5.4  | 13.9 | 20.3 | 306.1 | 42.3 | 370.7   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 3.7  | 11.2 | 18.9 | 134.6 | 40.3 | 202.8   |
| 12 | Tarked   | Tea gardens      | 0-30       | 5.9  | 14.1 | 19.9 | 397.8 | 45.7 | 463.4   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.2  | 12.3 | 16.5 | 223.9 | 41.2 | 294.6   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.4  | 8.4  | 11.8 | 153.4 | 19.5 | 189.7   |
| 13 | Bhuntar  | Orchards         | 0-30       | 6.4  | 14.6 | 21.0 | 351.0 | 40.2 | 412.2   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 4.8  | 11.2 | 18.9 | 134.6 | 40.3 | 202.8   |
| 14 | Kroth    | Paddy-wheat      | 0-15       | 3.3  | 6.9  | 10.2 | 134.9 | 41.9 | 187.0   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 2.4  | 5.2  | 9.6  | 123.4 | 40.5 | 176.6   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.1  | 4.8  | 8.9  | 104.9 | 39.8 | 144.7   |
| 15 | Taragarh | Tea gardens      | 0-30       | 7.7  | 15.4 | 23.1 | 309.4 | 22.6 | 352.0   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 7.4  | 15.0 | 22.6 | 306.1 | 23.4 | 360.7   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 3.4  | 12.2 | 20.6 | 202.7 | 19.3 | 241.6   |
| 16 | Sungal   | Tea gardens      | 0-30       | 7.1  | 15.5 | 22.6 | 401.1 | 46.4 | 470.8   |
|    |           |                  | 15-30      | 1.4  | 13.9 | 19.3 | 211.8 | 42.7 | 254.5   |
|    |           |                  | 30-60      | 1.4  | 13.9 | 19.3 | 211.8 | 42.7 | 254.5   |

Mean±SD (surface soils)  
5.1±2.0  10.7±4.2  15.8±6.2  245.3±105.5  35.2±3.2  296.3±116.4  210.2±79.9

Mean±SD (Sub - surface soils)  
2.1±1.2  8.4±4.2  13.1±5.5  158.4±71.2  32.5±11.6  209.9±79.9
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