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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Pyogenic liver abscess continues to be a fatal illness in gastrointestinal, surgical, and critical care fields. The main clinical strategy in large liver abscesses is continued to be dilemmatic between computed tomography (CT)-guided drainage, wide broad spectrum of antibacterial therapy, and urgent abdominal surgery.

Case Series: Herein, we presented two cases of multiloculated, large liver abscesses in critically ill patients treated successfully by CT-guided drainage and antibacterial therapy.

Conclusion: We strongly suggested that in critically ill patients with large and multiloculated liver abscesses such clinical management might be an effective option of treatment strategy.
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INTRODUCTION

Pyogenic liver abscess continues to be a fatal illness in gastrointestinal, surgical, and critical care fields. Despite modern diagnostic technologies and minimally invasive therapeutic strategies, it is still associated with high morbidity and mortality rates [1, 2]. Moreover, it might rapidly progress to severe critical illness complicated by shock and multiple organ failure significantly worsening patient’s outcome [3]. The cornerstone of clinical management is based on appropriate antimicrobial therapy and interventional radiology (needle aspiration, percutaneous catheter drainage) [4]. Surgery still remains a main therapeutic approach in cases of large, multiloculated abscesses and concomitant intra-abdominal surgical problems [4, 5]. In this report, we describe two clinical cases of large, multiloculated liver abscesses in severe critically ill patients.

CASE SERIES

Case 1: A 68-year-old male has been transferred to our intensive care unit (ICU) from the emergency room after two weeks of fever (38.7 °C), progressive fatigue, and vomiting. His medical history included: hypertension, heavy smoking and non-obstructive coronary artery disease treated by micropirin, ACE-inhibitors and diuretics.
The patient was admitted to ICU in severe septic shock (systolic blood pressure < 80 mmHg, tachycardia > 130 bpm, fever 39 °C, lactate > 3 mmol/L) followed by acute renal failure (urine output 10–20 mL/h, (creatinine 225 μmol/L, urea 66 mmol/L) and respiratory distress (respiratory rate> 30 breaths/min, PO₂/FiO₂ 182). Slight abdominal distension and liver enlargement were observed in physical examination. Immediately after initial resuscitation and initiation of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy (metronidazole and cefuroxime), the patient underwent total body computed tomography (CT) scan which revealed a large (10x9 cm), multiloculated liver abscess in right lobe and small foreign body in the sigmoid colon (Figure 1A–B).

Laboratory findings of the patient were remarkable for white blood cell count 21000/µL, neutrophils 94%, and aPTT-60.6 s, INR 2.1.

The patient was transferred to the operating room and underwent urgent explorative laparotomy, sigmoidectomy, and colostomy. No surgical intervention with the liver abscess was performed. The patient was transferred to the ICU for further care. The CT-guided percutaneous drainage of the abscess was performed over next 48 hours twice (the second, for repositioning of the drain). A large amount of purulent fluid was drained, and cultures demonstrated positive growth of Bacteroides fragilis. After ten days, drainage was removed. Antibiotic therapy was adjusted according to sensitivity to ciprofloxacin and metronidazole and continued for at least seven weeks. Pathological examination of resected sigmoid has shown small chicken bone with evidence of perforation and local inflammatory tissue reaction. One month following his admission the patient was discharged, fully recovered, from the ICU.

**Case 2:** An 85-year-old female initially presented to surgical ward with severe abdominal pain, fever (39°C), nausea and vomiting. She was hemodynamically stable with no evident respiratory distress. On physical examination her abdomen was slightly distended. Her past medical history included: hypertension, diabetes mellitus type II and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Contrast CT scan of abdomen showed large (8.5x9 cm), multilocular abscess in segment VII–VIII of right hepatic lobe and multiple diverticulosis of colon with small amount of fluid in pelvis (Figure 2). The patient underwent emergent percutaneous CT-guided needle aspiration. Twenty milliliters of pus was drained and sent for Gram stain and culture. However, during next 48 hours she progressively developed septic shock (sinus tachycardia 116 beats/minute, systemic blood pressure 89/51 mmHg, lactate >2 mmol/L, pH 7.17) and multiple organ failure (urea 108 mmol/L, creatinine 110 μmol/L, PO₂/FiO₂ 93, INR1.5). The patient was admitted to our ICU. Laboratory findings revealed white blood cell count 27000/µL, neutrophils 95%.

Broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy was initiated with third generation cephalosporin and metronidazole.
Computed tomography (CT) scan was repeated initially after admission to ICU and demonstrated unchanged multiloculated process in the same segments of right hepatic lobe. Thus, CT-guided percutaneous drainage was performed (Figure 3). Both bacterial culture samples after needle aspiration and catheter drainage showed positive *Bacteroides fragilis* growth. The patient continued the catheter drainage over next two weeks and antibiotic therapy at least five weeks. Following the drainage, she demonstrated remarkable clinical improvement. Her subsequent intensive care unit hospitalization course was uneventful.

**DISCUSSION**

In the last two decades, cholelithiasis and biliary tract pathology have become the primary etiology of pyogenic liver abscess. More rarely, liver abscesses develop secondary to appendicitis, diverticulitis (colonic disease only) and colonic perforation after ingestion of foreign bodies. Pyogenic liver abscess related to foreign bodies in gastrointestinal tract is a well-known clinical entity. It has been described after ingestion of fish, chicken bones and toothpicks [6, 7]. Despite the difference of etiologic factors, the common clinical features are similar and usually include: right upper quadrant (RUQ) pain, fever, anorexia, and fatigue. Some patients complicated by severe sepsis/septic shock with multiple organ failure require intensive care. Overall mortality rate in intensive care units is about 28% [3]. Microbial cultures in most cases are polymorphic (Streptococcus group, Gram negative pathogens, Bacteroides species, etc.) [7, 8].

In Case 1, a large, multiloculated liver abscess developed after ingestion of a chicken bone and subsequent perforation of the sigmoid colon. In Case 2, the presence of liver abscess is believed to be associated with multiple diverticulosis of the same part of colon. In this case CT scan did not show any evidence of colonic perforation. Both patients developed a typical clinical picture with evidence of septic shock complicated by multiple organ failure (renal, hematologic, and respiratory). Clinical diagnosis was supported by microbiological studies positive for *Bacteroides fragilis* growth in pus of both patients. The initial management of liver abscess in both the patients was based on long-term antimicrobial therapy (seven and five weeks subsequently) and CT-guided percutaneous drainage only. No other surgical intervention was needed during hospital stay. This clinical approach is considered the mainstay of care of pyogenic liver abscess in most surgical wards over the world.

However, in contrast to our clinical findings, Glick et al., Hope et al. and Heneghan et al. [4, 6, 9] reported the urgent surgical intervention requirements in case of a large (>3 cm) and multiloculated pyogenic liver abscess. Hope et al. [9] found in a retrospective study of 107 patients a failure rate of 77% with systemic antimicrobial therapy and percutaneous drainage only. They conclude that initial open surgery approach has a higher success rate in cases of large, complex and multiloculated liver abscesses. Moreover, Chung et al. [10] supported these debates by stating that percutaneous drainage failure might lead to uncontrolled and fatal sepsis. Finally, Chen et al. [3] failed to find a difference in outcome of critically ill patients with pyogenic liver abscess in relation to treatment options. In a retrospective paper of 72 critically ill patients with liver abscesses they did not find in outcomes between patients treated by drainage and antibiotics, and primary surgery and antibiotics only.

In both of the cases presented here, we achieved a complete clinical response after initial percutaneous drainage and antibacterial treatment. These findings support the feasibility of this strategy in critically ill patients.

**CONCLUSION**

In the presence of sepsis and multiple organ failure, additional liver surgery might be an independent
and serious risk factor contributing to morbidity and mortality. Thus, percutaneous drainage might be an optional and safe procedure also in cases of large and multiloculated pyogenic liver abscesses.

**********

Author Contributions
Evgeni Brotfain – Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Leonid Koyfman – Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Amit Frenkel – Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Leibowitz Akiva – Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Kutz Ruslan – Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Alexander Zlotnik – Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published
Moti Klein - Substantial contributions to conception and design, Acquisition of data, Drafting the article, Revising it critically for important intellectual content, Final approval of the version to be published

Guarantor
The corresponding author is the guarantor of submission.

Conflict of Interest
Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Copyright
© Evgeni Brotfain et al. 2014; This article is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons attribution 3.0 License which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any means provided the original authors and original publisher are properly credited. (Please see www.ijcasereportsandimages.com/copyright-policy.php for more information.)

REFERENCES
1. Wong WM, Wong BC, Hui CK, et al. Pyogenic liver abscess: Retrospective analysis of 80 cases over a 10-year period. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2002;17(9):1001–7.
2. Rahimian J, Wilson T, Oram V, Holzman RS. Pyogenic liver abscess: recent trends in etiology and mortality. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2004;39(11):1654–9.
3. Chen W, Chen CH, Chiu KL, et al. Clinical outcome and prognostic factors of patients with pyogenic liver abscess requiring intensive care. Crit Care Med 2008;36(4):1184–8.
4. Heneghan HM, Healy NA, Martin ST, et al. Modern management of pyogenic hepatic abscess: a case series and review of the literature. BMC Research Notes 2011;4:80–8.
5. Bertel CK, van Heerden JA, Sheedy PF 2nd. Treatment of pyogenic hepatic abscesses. Surgical vs percutaneous drainage. Arch Surg 1986;121(5):554–8.
6. Glick WA, Simo KA, Swan RZ, Sindram D, Iannitti DA, Martinie JB. Pyogenic hepatic abscess secondary to endolumenal perforation of an ingested foreign body. J Gastrointest Surg 2012;16(4):885–7.
7. Santos SA, Alberto SC, Cruz E, et al. Hepatic abscess induced by foreign body: Case report and literature review. World J Gastroenterol 2007;13(9):1466–70.
8. Bilimoria KY, Eagan RK, Rex DK. Colonoscopic identification of a foreign body causing an hepatic abscess. J Clin Gastroenterol 2003;37(1):82–5.
9. Hope WW, Vrochides DV, Newcomb WL, Mayo-Smith WW, Iannitti DA. Optimal treatment of hepatic abscess. Am Surg 2008;74(2):178–2.
10. Chung YF, Tan YM, Lui HF, et al. Management of pyogenic liver abscesses – percutaneous or open drainage? Singapore Med J 2007;48(12):1158–65.
Edorium Journals: An introduction

Edorium Journals Team

About Edorium Journals
Edorium Journals is a publisher of high-quality, open access, international scholarly journals covering subjects in basic sciences and clinical specialties and subspecialties.

Invitation for article submission
We sincerely invite you to submit your valuable research for publication to Edorium Journals.

But why should you publish with Edorium Journals?
In less than 10 words - we give you what no one does.

Vision of being the best
We have the vision of making our journals the best and the most authoritative journals in their respective specialties. We are working towards this goal every day of every week of every month of every year.

Exceptional services
We care for you, your work and your time. Our efficient, personalized and courteous services are a testimony to this.

Editorial Review
All manuscripts submitted to Edorium Journals undergo pre-processing review, first editorial review, peer review, second editorial review and finally third editorial review.

Peer Review
All manuscripts submitted to Edorium Journals undergo anonymous, double-blind, external peer review.

Early View version
Early View version of your manuscript will be published in the journal within 72 hours of final acceptance.

Manuscript status
From submission to publication of your article you will get regular updates (minimum six times) about status of your manuscripts directly in your email.

Our Commitment

Six weeks
You will get first decision on your manuscript within six weeks (42 days) of submission. If we fail to honor this by even one day, we will publish your manuscript free of charge.

Four weeks
After we receive page proofs, your manuscript will be published in the journal within four weeks (31 days). If we fail to honor this by even one day, we will publish your manuscript free of charge and refund you the full article publication charges you paid for your manuscript.

Mentored Review Articles (MRA)
Our academic program “Mentored Review Article” (MRA) gives you a unique opportunity to publish papers under mentorship of international faculty. These articles are published free of charges.

Most Favored Author program
Join this program and publish any number of articles free of charge for one to five years.

Favored Author program
One email is all it takes to become our favored author. You will not only get fee waivers but also get information and insights about scholarly publishing.

Institutional Membership program
Join our Institutional Memberships program and help scholars from your institute make their research accessible to all and save thousands of dollars in fees make their research accessible to all.

Our presence
We have some of the best designed publication formats. Our websites are very user friendly and enable you to do your work very easily with no hassle.

Something more...
We request you to have a look at our website to know more about us and our services.

We welcome you to interact with us, share with us, join us and of course publish with us.

CONNECT WITH US

Edorium Journals: On Web
Browse Journals