Potential New Approaches in Predicting Adverse Cardiac Events One Month after Major Vascular Surgery
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Significance of the Study

- The purpose of this study was to compare different cardiac risk scores in vascular elective surgery. The main finding of our study was that no risk factor tested individually had a statistically significant discriminatory ability. Combinations of traditional preoperative risk factors and scores can enhance the assessment of major adverse cardiac events in vascular surgery patients.
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of our study was to find the best model with sufficient power to improve the risk stratification in major vascular surgery patients during the first 30 days after this procedure. The discriminatory power of 4 biomarkers (tropinin I \([\text{TnI}]\), N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide \([\text{NT-proBNP}]\), creatine kinase-MB isoenzyme \([\text{CK-MB}]\), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein \([\text{hs-CRP}]\)) was tested as well as 2 risk assessment models and 13 different combinations of them. Subjects and Methods: The study included 122 patients (77% men, 23% women) with an average age of 67.03 ± 4.5 years. An aortobifemoral bypass was performed in 6.56% of the patients, a femoropopliteal bypass in 18.85%, and 49.18% received open surgical reconstruction of the carotid arteries. A total of 25.41% of the patients were given an aortobi-iliac bypass.

Results: During the first 30 days, 13 patients (10.7%) had 17 cardiac complications. The most common complication was the new onset of atrial fibrillation (35.3%). During the first 10 days, 10 patients had 1 complication and 2 patients had 2 cardiac events, while 1 patient had 3 complications. By comparing combinations of scores and markers, it was shown that revised cardiac risk index \((\text{RCRI})\) + Vascular Portsmouth Physiological and Operative Severity Score \((\text{V-POSSUM})\) + hsTnI and RCRI + V-POSSUM + hsTnI + NT-proBNP with 100% sensitivity, > 80% specificity had the best discriminatory ability (AUC 0.924 and 0.933, respectively; \(p < 0.001\) for both models) for cardiac complications during the 30 days after surgery.

Conclusion: Combinations of traditional preoperative risk factors and scores can enhance...
the assessment of major adverse cardiac events (MACE) in patients preparing for large vascular surgery. Using only one risk score in these patients seems to be underperforming in preoperative risk assessment.

Introduction

Preoperative assessment is a chance to improve the examination of patients, with the goal of optimizing their management with additional diagnostic and therapeutic procedures and medicines. It should be used to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiac events (MACE). Patients undergoing major vascular surgery have a particularly high risk of cardiac complications and approximately 60% of them have advanced or severe coronary artery disease [1]. Although it is incorporated into European and American guidelines for preoperative care [2, 3], the revised cardiac risk index (RCRI) has failed to predict the mortality and MACE in elective major vascular surgery patients [4]. The Vascular Portsmouth Physiological and Operative Severity Score (V-POSSUM) has been shown to be a useful tool in the prediction of short-term mortality in urgent and elective aortic aneurysm repair [5, 6].

The European Society of Cardiology recommends the use of natriuretic peptides and cardiac troponins as prognostic biomarkers of cardiac events in vascular surgery patients with an RCRI > 1 [2]. As a marker of cardiomyocyte injury, postoperative concentrations of troponin I (TnI) are useful in identifying patients with a high risk of mortality and morbidity after major vascular surgery [7].

N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) has emerged as a reliable preoperative biomarker in the prediction of short-term MACE in vascular surgery [8]. It is a marker of cardiac stretch and wall stress [9].

Although it is nonspecific, creatinine-kinase MB isoenzyme (CK-MB) correlates with the size of myocardial injury [10]. The increased inflammatory marker high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) has been associated with an increased risk of perioperative cardiac events in major noncardiac surgery [11] and with perioperative acute myocardial infarction in vascular surgery [12]. Anemia is well-documented preoperative risk factor for poor outcome in noncardiac surgery, and hemoglobin is included in V-POSSUM [13]. The aim of our study was to find the best model with discriminatory power to improve the risk stratification in major vascular surgery patients during the first 30 days after the procedure. We tested the discriminatory power of the four mentioned biomarkers (TnI, NT-proBNP, CK-MB, hs-CRP) included in the various pathophysiologic processes, two risk assessment models, as well as 13 different combinations of them.

Subjects and Methods

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Nis, Nis, Serbia. During 2017, we enrolled 122 patients prepared for major open elective vascular surgery (abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, infrainguinal arterial reconstruction, or carotid endarterectomy) from the Clinic for Cardiothoracic Surgery and Transplantation, Clinical Center Nis, in the prospective trial. The exclusion criteria were: (1) age < 21 years, (2) unstable coronary artery disease, and (3) decompensated heart failure. All surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia. All patients initially underwent detailed evaluation of their medical history, a physical examination, routine hematologic and biochemical blood analysis, a 12-lead electrocardiogram, and chest radiography. Blood samples were taken within 48 h prior to surgery from the antecubital vein and stored in serum vacutainer tubes without additives. NT-proBNP (pg/mL) and hsTnI (ng/mL) levels were measured from the whole blood specimens using chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay technology (CLEIA) and Magtration® technology on a PATHFAST Immunoanalyzer (Mitsubishi Chemical Europe GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany). After centrifugation, the serum was separated and frozen at −80 °C until analysis. The hs-CRP (mg/L) and CK-MB (U/L) were measured in serum using the immunoturbidimetry method on a Beckman Coulter AU 680 analyzer (Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, CA, USA). We used online risk calculator software to calculate the RCRI (www.mdcalc.com/revised-cardiac-risk-index-preoperative-risk) and V-POSSUM (http://www.riskprediction.org.uk/vasc-index.php). During the 30 days after the surgical procedure, we recorded MACE such as: acute myocardial infarction, ventricular arrhythmias, decompensated heart failure (NYHA 4; New York Heart Association Classification) [14], and a new onset of atrial fibrillation. All patients received optimal medical pre- and postoperative therapy for each cardiac disease or event prescribed by attending anesthesiologist and/or cardiologist according to ESC guidelines [2]. The most prescribed drugs were beta-blockers (73.8%), ACE (angiotensin-converting enzyme) inhibitors (70.5%), and antiplatelet drugs (64.8%).

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained were entered into the database, arranged into tables, and shown graphically. As a type of descriptive statistics, the data were presented in the form of their arithmetic mean and standard deviation, median and interquartile differences, minimum and maximum values, and in the form of absolute or relative numbers. The normality of the data was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. When comparing two data groups, if normal distribution was satisfied, a t test was used; otherwise Mann-Whitney’s U test was performed. When comparing three or more data sets, if normal distribution was satisfied, ANOVA was used, and the Tukey test was used for the post hoc analysis. If normal distribution was not satisfied when comparing three or more
data sets, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, in which case Mann-Whitney’s U test was used as a post hoc analysis. To compare the attributes, a \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact probability test was used. Cox regression analysis was used to determine the hazard ratio (HR) for each of the risk factors investigated. Then, based on the NT-proBNP and TnI values, the respondents were divided into two groups: those with a value in the upper quartile and others. ROC analysis was used to evaluate the model’s discrimination. To construct a ROC curve for multiple variables from logistic regression analysis, a single variable is formed based on the probability of several individual variables. A comparison of multiple ROC curves was carried out using the DeLong test. Statistical data processing including descriptive statistics methods, Kaplan-Meier curves, Cox regression analysis, and ROC analysis was carried out in the SPSS 16.0 program package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). MedCalc version 18 was used to compare the ROC curves. Statistical significance was determined for a \( p \) value < 0.05.

### Results

In this study, we included 122 patients (94 men [77.0%], 28 women [23.0%]) with an average age of 67.03 ± 4.5 years (min 48, max 84 years). Regarding the cardiovascular risk factors, 85.2% (104) of our patients had arterial hypertension, 25.4% (31) had dyslipidemia, 31.1% (38) insulin-independent diabetes mellitus, and 15.6% (19) had insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Among the enrolled patients: 40.2% (49) were smokers and 40.2% (49) had a positive family history for cardiac diseases. Regarding the comorbidities, 21.3% (26) of the patients had coronary artery disease, 17.2% (21) had previous myocardial infarction, 1.6% (2) had prior surgical myocardial revascularization, 5.7% (7) had previous percutaneous coronary intervention, 9.8% (12) had cardiomyopathy, 4.9% (6) patients have atrial fibrillation, and 26.2% (32) had previous cerebrovascular insult.

Eight patients had open reconstruction of the aorta or aortobifemoral bypass (6.56%), in 23 patients open reconstruction of the infrainguinal arteries was performed or femoropopliteal bypass (18.85%), and in 60 patients open surgical reconstruction of the carotid arteries was carried out (49.18%). In 31 patients, infrarenal aortic repair was performed (aortobi-iliac bypass [25.41%]). The results of basic biochemical tests and NT-proBNP, cTnI, and hs-CRP measurements are presented in Table 1.

During the first 30 days, 13 patients (10.7%) had 17 cardiac complications. The most common complication was the new onset of atrial fibrillation (35.3%). Ten patients had 1 complication (76.9%) and 2 patients had 2 cardiac events (15.4%), while 1 patient had 3 complications (7.7%). One patient died as a consequence of myocar-

| Parameter | Median ± SD | Min | Max |
|-----------|------------|-----|-----|
| Hemoglobin | 16.35±17.33 | 10.00 | 130.00 |
| Creatinine | 96.76±27.76 | 56.40 | 236.00 |
| Leukocytes | 8.09±2.42 | 3.00 | 17.00 |
| Platelets | 233.11±78.20 | 17.00 | 552.00 |
| LVEF | 54.99±7.25 | 38.00 | 76.00 |
| LDH | 3.01±1.00 | 1.00 | 5.80 |
| hsCRP | 6.58±12.67 | 0.00 | 105.00 |
| NT-proBNP | 400.25±860.82 | 2.00 | 6,682.00 |
| hsTnI | 3.28±15.16 | 0 | 129.00 |
| P-SEP | 107.93±115.51 | 1.08 | 982.00 |
| CK-MB | 27.14±47.64 | 38.00 | 236.00 |
| ESR | 9.80±2.42 | 3.00 | 17.00 |
| HDL cholesterol | 1.10±0.26 | 0.52 | 2.00 |
| CK-MB | 6.58±12.67 | 0.00 | 105.00 |

Table 1. Basic biochemical parameters

No pulmonary thromboembolism was detected in any of the patients.

Cardiac events during the first month after elective major surgery were not related to age, gender, atrial fibrillation, prior stroke, coronary artery disease, cardiomyopathy, prior percutaneous coronary intervention, previous myocardial infarction or surgical revascularization, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, smoking, or a family history of cardiac diseases. The average duration spent in an intensive care unit was significantly longer in patients with postoperative cardiovascular complications.

There was no relation between the type of surgery and postoperative complications. In both groups (with and without complications), a carotid endarterectomy was most often performed (38.5 and 50.5%). Analysis of the ROC curve for individual markers showed no statistically significant discriminatory ability. By comparing combinations of scores and markers (Table 2; Fig. 1), it was seen that an RCRI with hsTnI had good discriminatory power (AUC 0.885, \( p < 0.001 \)). By adding the V-POSSUM to RCRI + hsTnI, we achieved a model with even better discriminatory ability compared to the previous combina-
Comparison of the ROC curves for different combinations showed that there is a statistically significant difference between the following combinations: RCRI + hsTnI versus RCRI + V-POSSUM (ΔAUC = 0.131, \(p = 0.007\)), RCRI + V-POSSUM versus RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM + hsTnI (ΔAUC = 0.179, \(p < 0.001\)), RCRI + V-POSSUM versus RCRI + hsTnI + NT-proBNP (ΔAUC = 0.145, \(p = 0.005\)), RCRI + V-POSSUM versus RCRI + hsTnI + V-POSSUM (ΔAUC = 0.170, \(p < 0.001\)), RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM versus RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM + hsTnI (ΔAUC = 0.175, \(p = 0.008\)), RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM versus RCRI + hsTnI + V-POSSUM (ΔAUC = 0.165, \(p = 0.026\)). There were no statistically significant differences between the other combinations.

All combinations of biomarkers had statistically significant discriminatory power with AUC > 0.8 (Table 3; Fig. 2). Excellent discriminatory ability for determining cardiac complications in the first month was achieved by hsTnI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM (AUC 0.916, \(p < 0.001\)). Comparison of the ROC curves showed that adding hsTnI to NT-proBNP significantly improved the discriminatory ability of the model (ΔAUC 0.132, \(p = 0.016\)). The high-sensitivity TnI + hs-CRP + NT-proBNP model had a statistically significantly better discriminatory ability compared to NT-proBNP (ΔAUC 0.132, \(p = 0.020\)). The high-sensitivity TnI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM model had a statistically significantly better discriminatory ability than the hsTnI + hs-CRP model (ΔAUC 0.073, \(p = 0.045\)).

The following models had a statistically significant better discriminatory ability in comparison to the individual discriminatory ability of V-POSSUM: hsTnI + hs-CRP (ΔAUC 0.182, \(p = 0.028\)), hsTnI + NT-proBNP (ΔAUC 0.240, \(p = 0.002\)), hsTnI + CRP + NT-proBNP (ΔAUC 0.239, \(p = 0.003\)), hsTnI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM (ΔAUC 0.254, \(p < 0.001\)), hsTnI + V-POSSUM (ΔAUC 0.228, \(p < 0.001\)).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to compare different cardiac risk scores in vascular elective surgery in a large single-institute patient population. The incorporation of
biomarkers which reflect myocardial injury, inflammation, and myocardial wall stress into well-known risk models significantly improved stratification for MACE. The results show that five algorithms had high accuracy in the short-term estimation of cardiac complications. During postoperative monitoring, all cardiac complications were recorded. The absence of consensus for defining MACE is a major obstacle in comparing the results with other studies that explore the same problem [15].

The ROC curve (c-statistic) is a good statistical tool for determining the performance of risk factors as MACE predictors [16]. AUC > 90% and \( p < 0.001 \) were regarded as having significant discriminatory power with statistical significance.

The main finding of our study is that no risk factor tested individually had a statistically significant discriminatory ability. We suggest that the lack of efficiency of the V-POSSUM score could be attributed to the previously observed geographic variations in the potency of this score [6]. Many previous studies have suggested that RCRI has lower discriminatory potential for estimating adverse cardiac events and that it may be more useful in excluding low-risk patients [17]. RCRI is limited in terms of the identification of patients at high cardiac risk in real clinical settings [18, 19]. In a study of 467 patients, Bae et al. [20] showed that about 80% of patients with perioperative MACE were classified as being in the low- or intermediate-risk groups.

Assays for hsTnI from blood samples can detect values < 0.020 ng/mL, which is the upper referent limit (99th percentile). The lowest concentration of TnI with a coefficient of variation (CV) \( \leq 10\% \) within the limit of quantification (LoQ) was 0.0031 ng/mL [21]. Given that the LoQ of troponin assays may be equal or higher but cannot be lower than the limit of detection (LoD) [22], and the reported value of LoD for TnT was 5 ng/L [23], we chose to investigate the influence of preoperative TnI concentration and not TnT which was used more often for this purpose.

### Table 2. Discriminatory ability of different combinations of biomarkers and clinical scores

| Combinations of markers and scores | SEN  | SPEC | AUC  | SE   | 95% CI          | \( p \) |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|-------|
| RCRI                             | 30.8 | 87.2 | 0.557| 0.092| 0.376–0.738     | 0.502 |
| RCRI + NT-proBNP                 | 76.9 | 68.8 | 0.735| 0.079| 0.581–0.889     | 0.006*|
| RCRI + hsTnI                     | 100.0| 76.1 | 0.885| 0.032| 0.822–0.947     | 0.000*|
| RCRI + V-POSSUM                  | 53.8 | 77.1 | 0.754| 0.051| 0.654–0.855     | 0.003*|
| RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM      | 84.6 | 72.5 | 0.758| 0.073| 0.615–0.902     | 0.002*|
| RCRI + hsTnI + NT-proBNP         | 92.3 | 79.8 | 0.899| 0.031| 0.837–0.960     | 0.000*|
| RCRI + hsTnI + V-POSSUM          | 100.0| 80.7 | 0.924| 0.024| 0.876–0.972     | 0.000*|
| RCRI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM + hsTnI| 100.0| 80.7 | 0.933| 0.024| 0.886–0.980     | 0.000*|

* Statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

### Table 3. ROC curve for postoperative cardiac events

| Combinations of scores and markers | SEN  | SPEC | AUC  | SE   | 95% CI          | \( p \) |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|-------|
| NT-proBNP                         | 76.9 | 69.4 | 0.769| 0.071| 0.630–0.907     | <0.001*|
| V-POSSUM                          | 61.5 | 70.4 | 0.663| 0.077| 0.511–0.814     | 0.056 |
| hsTnI + hsCRP                     | 100.0| 77.8 | 0.843| 0.035| 0.773–0.912     | <0.001*|
| hsTnI + NT-proBNP                 | 92.3 | 83.3 | 0.901| 0.030| 0.842–0.960     | <0.001*|
| hsTnI + CK-MB                     | 100.0| 76.9 | 0.854| 0.034| 0.788–0.920     | <0.001*|
| hsTnI + V-POSSUM                  | 92.3 | 81.5 | 0.889| 0.031| 0.829–0.949     | <0.001*|
| hsTnI + CRP + NT-proBNP           | 84.6 | 85.2 | 0.901| 0.030| 0.842–0.959     | <0.001*|
| hsTnI + NT-proBNP + V-POSSUM      | 92.3 | 83.3 | 0.916| 0.028| 0.861–0.970     | <0.001*|

* Statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).
Two models showed particularly good characteristics: RCRI + V-POSSUM + hsTnI and RCRI + V-POSSUM + hsTnI + NT-proBNP with 100% sensitivity, >80% specificity and AUC 92.4 and 93.3%, respectively. Other studies have confirmed the potential predictive value of combinations of risk scores in surgical patients. Park et al. [24] highlighted the significance in the prediction of short-term MACE for a combination of NT-proBNP, RCRI, and echocardiographic parameters in major noncardiac surgery. A combination of hs-CRP and NT-proBNP led to an increase of 5% in AUC and improved the prediction of postoperative cardiac events, although this differs from our study [25]. The addition of hsTnT and NT-proBNP improved the medium quality of RCRI in the estimation of postoperative myocardial infarction in noncardiac surgery by increasing the AUC by 12.6% [26]. A study that defined MACE in a similar way to our study demonstrated the low accuracy and underestimation of MACE by RCRI and another specific risk model for vascular surgery [27]. Although we were not able to demonstrate the importance of individual markers in the prediction of postoperative cardiovascular events, in a recently published study, we showed the importance of midregional proadrenomedulin as a single predictive marker measured preoperatively [28, 29]. It is difficult to create a unique way of determining the risk score in patients preparing for major vascular surgery if a large number of events are followed in the postoperative course. In the future, we need to evaluate the models tested in our study for the prediction of short-term mortality, but a larger sample is needed.

Conclusion

Combinations of traditional preoperative risk factors and scores can enhance the assessment of major adverse cardiac events in patients who are preparing for a large vascular surgery. Those patients usually have coronary artery disease or at least traditional risk factors for it. The use of a single risk score in these patients seems to be underperforming in preoperative risk assessment. However, a combination could be a better way to predict the 30-day outcome in elective vascular surgery.
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