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Abstract

Introduction: Despite nutritional deficiency existed in congestive heart failure (CHF), there is a large amount of CHF patients suffer from obesity. This study aimed to identify the differences for increased BMI or obesity in CHF patients.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included adults from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2016. Differences were compared between CHF participants versus non-CHF participants, and BMI ≥ 30kg/m² versus BMI < 30kg/m² CHF participants.

Results: CHF participants were with higher BMI, lower energy and macronutrients intakes, lower physical activity level and longer rest time, lower hematocrit and hemoglobin level (all \( P < 0.05 \)) than non-CHF participants. The prevalence of BMI ≥ 30kg/m² in patients with CHF was 53.48%. There was no significant difference observed in energy, macronutrients intake between CHF patients with BMI ≥ 30kg/m² or < 30kg/m². The water intake (\( P = 0.032 \)), sedentary time (\( P = 0.002 \)), and hematocrit (\( P = 0.028 \)) were significantly different between CHF with BMI ≥ 30kg/m² and with < 30kg/m².

Conclusion: Compared with non-CHF participants, CHF patients exhibited higher BMI with lower energy and macronutrients intakes, lower physical activity level, longer rest time and hemodilution with lower hematocrit and hemoglobin level. Among CHF patients with BMI ≥ 30kg/m², higher sedentary time and hematocrit were observed.

Introduction

Congestive heart failure (CHF) is a complex clinical condition that represents the final evolution of all cardiac disease and a global public health problem which affects an estimated 26 million worldwide [1], which has a tremendous economic impact on the public healthcare system [2]. Studies revealed that CHF is associated with alterations in cardiac energy metabolism [3] and that nutrition intake may influence the evolution of the disease progress [4]. It was reported that a deficiency in energy and macronutrient intakes among CHF patients, which caused an undernourished or malnutritional condition [5–7]. Obesity [body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m²], with up to 40% prevalence among CHF patients [8], is recognized as a major independent risk factor for the development of CHF [9] and has a paradoxical impact on the prognosis of CHF [10, 11]. Studies indicated that a nonlinear U-shaped association between BMI and the risk of HF mortality, with a greater risk from being at the lowest group (mean BMI = 19.43 kg/m²), rather than being at the top category (mean BMI = 30.16 kg/m²) [12]. The beneficial effects of weight loss in HF patients are still controversial. Given the view that despite nutritional deficiency existed in CHF, there is a large amount of CHF patients suffer from obesity, and we still lacked studies to explain the reasons for the increased BMI in CHF patients. In this research, we used the data from the National Health and Examination Nutrition Survey (NHANES) 2007–2016 to identify the differences for increased BMI or obesity in CHF patients.
Method

Dataset

The NHANES data, a nationally representative multistage cross-sectional survey of the nonmilitary and noninstitutionalized population of the United States, was used as the data source [13]. The data is released by the National Center for Health Statistics once every 2 years. Each survey cycle collects self-reported and directly measured information from participants who undergo a series of questionnaires in a detailed in-home interview such as health conditions, behaviors and dietary intakes, and a physical examination during mobile examination. Also, each participant provides their blood for laboratory tests.

Study population

To identify the study population, we implemented the following exclusion criteria: participants < 18 years old, participants who were pregnant or breastfeeding at the time of the survey, and participants who lack information on CHF diagnosis, dietary data, examination data, laboratory data or questionnaire data. The final sample for this study consisted of 660 CHF participants and 20,923 non-CHF participants.

Definition of CHF and obesity

CHF was self-reported and was obtained from the medical conditions files that were administered in the home by an interviewer using the Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing system as part of the survey participant household interview. The final sample provided yes/no responses to the following question: “Has a doctor or other health professional ever told {you/SP} that {you/s/he}... had congestive HF?”

Height and weight were measured at the MEC examination. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. BMI was analyzed as both a continuous variable and as a categorical variable dichotomizing into BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ (obese) and BMI < 30 kg/m$^2$ (non-obese) or into four groups: BMI < 18.5 kg/m$^2$ (lean), BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m$^2$ (normal-weight), BMI 25-29.9 kg/m$^2$ (over-weight) and BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ (obese) [14].

Sample demographics, dietary condition and other covariates

We obtained information on age and sex from the NHANES self-reported demographics data. Blood pressures and heart rate were measured in the MEC examination by a physician. Averages of up to 4 values for systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded. Intakes of energy, water, and macronutrients, which was consisted of protein, carbohydrate, total sugars, total fat, and cholesterol, were obtained from self-reported dietary data, and an average of two days was recorded. Physical activity, including work, and recreational activities, was classified as vigorous, moderate, bicycling, or walking, and sedentary, and were obtained by self-report the number of days they engaged in that activity in a typical week and the average duration they engaged in that activity. For each activity, the Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET)-hr-week was calculated by multiplying the number of days, the mean duration and the respective MET level (MET-hr-week = days $\times$ duration $\times$ MET level). The MET levels for each activity are
provided as vigorous work/recreational-related activity=8 MET, moderate work/recreational-related activity=4 MET, walking or bicycling for transportation=4 MET. It was analyzed as a continuous variable for total activates MET and MET in each activity. Hematocrit (%) and hemoglobin (g/dL), which may imply hemodilution [15], were acquired from blood collected during the MEC examination using methods described by the US Department of Health and Human Services.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation or as the number (%). Differences between groups were tested by the chi-square test for categorical variables and independent Student’s t-test, ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc analysis for continuous variables, as appropriate. Since the sample size is large, a simple application of the Lyapunov or Lindeberg’s Central Limit Theorem guarantees large sample convergence of the weighted mean to a standard normal distribution, ensuring that the t-statistic would have a limiting t-distribution. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All tests were two-sided. All analyses were performed using R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, version 3.1.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

**Participants’ characteristics between CHF and non-CHF**

The flow chart for participant selection is outlined in Fig. 1. Table 1 presents the clinical characteristics of CHF and non-CHF participants in the NHANES 2007–2016. As noted, CHF participants were older than non-CHF participants (P< 0.05) with higher systolic blood pressure, lower diastolic blood pressure, and lower heart rate (all P< 0.05). Total nutrients intakes, including energy, protein, total carbohydrate, total sugar, total fat, and cholesterol as well as water were much lower compared with non-CHF patients (all P < 0.05). Also, CHF participants had lower physical activity level (P< 0.05) and longer sedentary time (P< 0.05). Moreover, hemoglobin and hematocrit were lower in CHF participants (P< 0.05). However, BMI was higher in CHF patients than counterparts (P< 0.05).
Table 1
Characteristics of Congestive Heart Failure group and non-Congestive Heart Failure participants

|                          | Congestive Heart Failure (n = 660) | Non-Congestive Heart failure (n = 20,923) | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Demographic and Weight Characteristics, Mean (SD) |                                    |                                          |         |
| Age (y)                  | 66.46 (12.72)                     | 48.65 (17.51)                           | < 0.001 |
| Race                     |                                    |                                          |         |
| Male (No., %)            | 360 (54.55)                       | 10,153 (48.53)                          | < 0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 31.96 (8.54)                      | 28.99 (6.80)                            | < 0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg)               | 129.82 (21.94)                    | 123.26 (18.17)                          | < 0.001 |
| DBP (mmHg)               | 65.57 (15.03)                     | 70.03 (12.76)                           | < 0.001 |
| Heart rate (beats/min)   | 71.02 (12.69)                     | 72.81 (12.15)                           | < 0.001 |
| Total Nutrient Intakes, Mean (SD) |                                |                                          |         |
| Energy (kcal)            | 1734.71 (717.33)                  | 2051.32 (872.86)                        | < 0.001 |
| Protein (gm)             | 69.85 (31.39)                     | 80.65 (36.92)                           | < 0.001 |
| Carbohydrate (gm)        | 213.08 (94.19)                    | 251.13 (111.62)                         | < 0.001 |
| Total sugars (gm)        | 93.56 (57.98)                     | 110.98 (68.26)                          | < 0.001 |
| Total fat (gm)           | 66.36 (32.80)                     | 76.58 (39.85)                           | < 0.001 |
| Cholesterol (mg)         | 266.10 (175.92)                   | 286.80 (197.44)                         | 0.0102  |
| Water (gm)               | 531.16 (584.86)                   | 646.00 (647.87)                         | < 0.001 |
| Physical Activity, Mean (SD) |                                |                                          |         |
| Total activity (MET-h/week) | 24.54 (66.46)                     | 57.68 (98.97)                           | < 0.001 |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, MET = Metabolic Equivalent of Task, SD = standard deviation
Participants’ characteristics by BMI category

As shown in Table 2, the proportions of BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² were 53.48% (n = 353) in CHF participants and 37.01% (n = 7,744) in non-CHF participants. Compared with BMI < 30 kg/m² CHF participants, BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² CHF participants showed significantly younger age, larger amount of water intake, longer sedentary time, and higher hematocrit (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Among non-CHF participants, those BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² were older, had higher blood pressure and heart rate, lower energy, protein, total carbohydrate, and total sugar intakes but higher cholesterol and water intakes (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Physical activity level was lower and sedentary time was longer (both \( P < 0.05 \)) in BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² non-CHF participants. Meanwhile, hemoglobin and hematocrit were lower in BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² non-CHF participants than BMI < 30 kg/m² ones (both \( P < 0.05 \)).
Table 2
Characteristics of Congestive Heart Failure group and non-Congestive Heart Failure group (stratified by obese and non-obese)

| BMI (kg/m²) | Congestive Heart Failure | Non-Congestive Heart failure | P* value |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
|             | BMI < 30 kg/m² (n = 307) | BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² (n = 353)    |          |
|             | Age (y)                  |                             |          |
|             | 68.67 (12.86)            | 64.54 (12.30)               | 0.012    |
|             | 48.28 (18.17)            | 49.29 (16.30)               | < 0.001  |
|             | Race                     |                             |          |
|             | Male (No., %)            |                             |          |
|             | 178 (57.98)              | 181 (51.27)                 | < 0.001  |
|             | 6,786 (51.49)            | 3,367 (43.48)               | < 0.001  |
|             | BMI (kg/m²)              |                             |          |
|             | 25.34 (3.03)             | 37.72 (7.56)                | < 0.001  |
|             | 24.95 (3.13)             | 35.86 (5.78)                | < 0.001  |
|             | SBP pressure (mmHg)      |                             |          |
|             | 130.38 (23.38)           | 129.34 (20.63)              | 0.89     |
|             | 122.01 (18.53)           | 125.40 (17.33)              | < 0.001  |
|             | DBP (mmHg)               |                             |          |
|             | 64.13 (14.56)            | 66.81 (15.33)               | 0.04     |
|             | 69.15 (12.45)            | 71.51 (13.16)               | < 0.001  |
|             | Heart rate (beats/min)   |                             |          |
|             | 70.05 (12.37)            | 71.84 (12.92)               | 0.24     |
|             | 71.73 (11.9)             | 74.64 (12.23)               | < 0.001  |
|             | Total Nutrient Intakes, Mean (SD) |                   |          |
|             | Energy (kcal)            |                             |          |
|             | 1759.97 (716.89)         | 1712.74 (718.01)            | 0.90     |
|             | 2079.46 (894.56)         | 2003.43 (832.49)            | < 0.001  |
|             | Protein (gm)             |                             |          |
|             | 70.93 (32.74)            | 68.91 (30.19)               | 0.90     |
|             | 81.30 (37.76)            | 79.55 (35.44)               | 0.005    |
|             | Carbohydrate (gm)        |                             |          |
|             | 217.22 (95.26)           | 209.48 (93.24)              | 0.81     |
|             | 256.08 (114.39)          | 242.69 (106.21)             | < 0.001  |
|             | Total sugars (gm)        |                             |          |
|             | 96.15 (59.90)            | 91.31 (56.23)               | 0.80     |
|             | 112.65 (70.08)           | 108.13 (64.96)              | < 0.001  |
|             | Total fat (gm)           |                             |          |
|             | 65.65 (30.90)            | 66.98 (34.41)               | 0.97     |
|             | 76.48 (40.51)            | 76.73 (38.72)               | 0.97     |
|             | Cholesterol (mg)         |                             |          |
|             | 261.71 (181.87)          | 269.92 (170.75)             | 0.95     |
|             | 282.87 (200.12)          | 293.50 (192.62)             | < 0.001  |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, MET = Metaic Equivalent of Task, SD = standard deviation

P* value was analysis between 4 groups
### BMI (kg/m^2)

| BMI (kg/m^2) | Congestive Heart Failure | Non-Congestive Heart Failure | P* value |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
|              | BMI < 30 kg/m^2 (n = 307) | BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2 (n = 353) | P value  |
|              | BMI < 30 kg/m^2 (n = 13,179) | BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2 (n = 7,744) | P value  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Water (gm)               | 457.69 (484.71)             | 595.06 (653.72)             | 0.032    |
|                          | 615.04 (621.65)             | 698.70 (687.04)             | < 0.001  |
| Physical Activity, Mean (SD) |               |                             | < 0.001  |
| Total activity (MET-h/week) | 28.17 (67.00)             | 21.38 (65.91)             | 0.81     |
|                          | 60.85 (101.41)             | 52.28 (94.43)             | < 0.001  |
| Vigorous activity (MET-h/week) | 11.36 (41.45)             | 8.11 (43.99)             | 0.93     |
|                          | 29.53 (71.50)             | 24.93 (68.79)             | < 0.001  |
| Moderate activity (MET-h/week) | 12.85 (29.27)             | 11.06 (28.41)             | 0.94     |
|                          | 24.39 (42.80)             | 22.36 (41.49)             | < 0.001  |
| Sedimentary activity (min/week) | 379.29 (195.98)             | 434.43 (221.13)             | 0.002    |
|                          | 337.23 (199.05)             | 364.81 (208.91)             | < 0.001  |
| Walk or bicycle (MET-h/week) | 3.97 (16.42)             | 2.21 (13.51)             | 0.68     |
|                          | 6.93 (21.51)             | 4.99 (17.92)             | < 0.001  |
| Complete Blood Count - Whole Blood, Mean (SD) |             |                             | < 0.001  |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)        | 13.34 (1.80)             | 13.61 (1.65)             | 0.121    |
|                          | 14.12 (1.50)             | 14.00 (1.57)             | < 0.001  |
| Hematocrit (%)           | 39.31 (5.02)             | 40.25 (4.61)             | 0.028    |
|                          | 41.32 (4.21)             | 41.13 (4.30)             | 0.009    |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, MET = Metaolic Equivalent of Task, SD = standard deviation

P* value was analysis between 4 groups

### Discussion

There are two major findings in the present study. First, compared with non-CHF participants, CHF participants exhibited higher BMI with lower energy and macronutrient intakes, lower physical activity level and longer rest time and hemodilution (lower hematocrit and hemoglobin). Second, higher water intake, sedentary time and hematocrit were observed in CHF patients with BMI ≥ 30kg/m^2, while energy, macronutrient intake and physical level were similar between CHF patients with BMI ≥ 30kg/m^2 and < 30kg/m^2.
Excess body weight and a sedentary lifestyle are major public health problems worldwide [16]. Obesity (BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$) has been considered as a major independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease [17]. A previous study showed that up to 40% of patients with CHF suffered from obesity [8]. The interplay between obesity and CHF is complex. Despite obesity increases the risk of CHF, studies found that CHF patients who developed obesity or over-weight (25-29.9 kg/m$^2$) are associated with better prognosis compared with those who did not [18–20], with reductions in cardiovascular disease mortality (19% and 40%, respectively) and all-cause mortality (16% and 33%, respectively) compared to heart failure patients with normal-weight (BMI 20-24.9 kg/m$^2$), whereas heart failure patients with BMI $< 20$ kg/m$^2$ increased total and cardiovascular mortality (27% and 20%, respectively) [21]. This phenomenon is termed as “obesity paradox” and several potential reasons may account for it, such as earlier presentation, different etiology, greater metabolic reserves, protective cytokines, more tolerance of cardiac medications, different cardiorespiratory fitness level and less cachexia [22]. Based on previous studies, our study termed “obesity” as “BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$” to investigate the differences for increased BMI in CHF participants.

In our analysis, we recognized CHF participants presented with higher BMI than non-CHF participants and a higher proportion of BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ among CHF participants. Meanwhile, we also noticed an inadequate energy and macronutrient intakes in CHF participants, seen as significantly lower energy, protein, carbohydrate, total sugar, total fat, cholesterol, and water intakes. This implied that nutritional deficiency existed in CHF participants. Malnutrition is commonly prevalent in CHF, with a reported incidence of 7.5% and 57% in severe or moderate malnutrition, respectively [23]. These patients are older and frailty, with negative calorie and nitrogen balance and energy availability [24] for physical activity and are triggered by multiple factors such as anorexia, malabsorption secondary to intestinal edema, high energy demand, and cytokine-induced hypercatabolism [7, 25] and worse outcomes [6, 26, 27]. Although when compared with CHF patients with BMI $< 30$kg/m$^2$, those with BMI $\geq 30$kg/m$^2$ participants did not show a distinct increase in energy and macronutrient intakes. A constellation of researches revealed that failing myocardium utilized glucose switching from fatty acids as the primary energetic substrate to produce ATP [28], which may exert a protective role in preventing cardiomyocytes from oxidative radical excess and cell damage [29, 30], but a lower energy production [31]. With more total fat intake and less glucose intake, cells may have the less energetic substrate to produce enough energy. This would affect metabolism in the body and cause adipose tissue accumulation, and subsequently increased body weight. This may imply that inadequate or unbalanced energy and macronutrient intakes are account for the increase in BMI or obesity among CHF participants. A daily caloric intake of about 29 kcal/kg and a daily protein intake of 1.2–1.4 g/kg was recommended for CHF patients at normal weights and a less energy intake was required (20–24 kcal/kg/day), and a reduction in dietary fat intake to about 25% of total caloric intake (0.6–0.8 g/kg/day) for overweight and obese CHF patients [32, 33].

Our study found lower hematocrit and hemoglobin levels in CHF participants, while CHF with BMI $\geq 30$kg/m$^2$ participants had higher hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration than those with BMI $< 30$kg/m$^2$. These results demonstrated that hemodilution and fluid retention are common in CHF, especially in those with lower BMI level (BMI $< 30$kg/m$^2$). This observation may be another potential
reason to explain “obesity paradox”. Considerable evidence demonstrated that total blood volume and cardiac output are positively correlated with the degree of excess body weight [18, 22, 34] and further preserved or even increased skeletal muscle mass (i.e. lean mass) [35, 36], which may cause BMI higher vice versa. This may explain the phenomenon of elevated hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration in CHF with BMI ≥ 30kg/m² participants and fluid retention may not be the reason for increased BMI or obesity in CHF participants. Hemodilution was common in chronic heart failure [37] and has a deleterious effect as it may impair peripheral oxygen delivery [38] and is often neglected as compensatory mechanisms may mask signs of volume [39]. Our study found lower hematocrit and hemoglobin level in CHF participants, while CHF with BMI ≥ 30kg/m² participants had higher hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration than BMI < 30kg/m² ones. These results demonstrated that hemodilution and fluid retention are common in CHF, especially in those with lower BMI level (BMI < 30kg/m²). Previous studies indicated a higher mortality rate in patients with hemodilution than in those with hemoconcentration in acute heart failure patients [15], while fluid restriction could only improve signs and symptoms of chronic heart failure in patients in moderate to severe chronic heart failure [40] and aggressive fluid removal positively affected survival [41]. In the present study, CHF with BMI ≥ 30kg/m² participants had higher hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration than BMI < 30kg/m² ones, indicating that obese CHF participants were less likely to develop hemodilution. This could be one explanation for “obesity paradox”. Furthermore, hemodynamic changes including increased stroke volume and increased arterial pressure may compensate the impaired peripheral oxygen delivery, leading CHF patients with increased BMI or obesity better prognoses. Still, we could not ignore that there was fluid retention in our CHF group which implied an inadequate usage of diuretics and fluid management should be enhanced.

Another finding in our analysis is that we demonstrated that CHF participants had lower physical activity levels and spent a longer time in rest, especially those BMI ≥ 30kg/m² participants. It was revealed that sedentary time caused metabolic alterations at the muscle level and next influence gross metabolic disturbances in the whole body [42]. It has been reported that prolonged sedentary time would impair mitochondrial function by elevating oxidative stress levels [43], which decreased the mitochondrial respiration level [44] and caused insufficient ATP production for daily activity and metabolism. Emerging evidences have demonstrated a significant dose-response association between sitting time and cardiovascular disease mortality [45–48] and the relative risks associated with sedentary time were higher among participants without regular physical activity [49, 50]. Meanwhile, there have been proven cardioprotective effects of regular physical activity on cardiovascular health, with improving cardiac compliance, reducing arterial stiffness and ventricular afterload, and finally reducing the risk of future cardiac dysfunction and improving cardiovascular outcomes [42]. Moreover, researches showed an increasing lean mass with resistance exercise training could effectively improve muscular fitness in CHF [51, 52], which could prevent sarcopenia [53] or even cachexia in CHF patients and have better prognosis [54].

Our investigation has several limitations. We only included macronutrient in our analysis and lacked the data of micronutrient intake. Therefore, the present study may have underestimated the nutritional deficit
and its effects on obesity among participants. Also, details regarding the etiology, subtype (HF with reduced ejection fraction versus HF with preserved ejection fraction), and severity of HF were not available. Moreover, CHF participants included in our analysis were self-reported in the NHANES survey. This may lead to possible reporting and recall bias. Finally, we lacked follow-up data of these participants, including BMI changes and relative outcomes, so we were unable to recognize the effects of nutrients intake and physical activity on BMI changes and prognoses in patients with CHF.

Conclusion

Higher water intake, sedentary time and hematocrit were observed in BMI $\geq 30$kg/$m^2$ CHF participants. It seems that longer resting time and an unbalanced diet may be associated with a higher prevalence of increased BMI or obesity in CHF participants. Future research is warranted to explore the mechanisms underlying this finding and whether intentional weight loss with combination of diet, exercise and others could be contributing to better health outcomes in BMI $\geq 30$kg/$m^2$ CHF participants.
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