Study of role of bacterial vaginosis in pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility and preterm labor
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Abstract

Background and Objective: Prevention is better than cure. Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is associated with a two-fold increased risk of preterm birth but its role in upper genital tract infection (pelvic inflammatory disease), tubal damage and infertility is less certain. Our study aimed at detecting the incidence of BV in infertility, preterm labour and pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). Material and Methods: Study comprised of 50 preterm labor women subjects, 12 infertile women subjects and 12 women subjects with pelvic inflammatory disease. Gram staining, Giemsa staining, KOH mount, pH determination of vaginal swabs from posterior fornix of women subjects of reproductive age (15 – 45 years) group who attended Gynaecology and Obstetrics OPD/IPD of our tertiary care hospitals were carried out. Results: Of the 50 preterm labor cases, 10% (5) cases were of asymptomatic BV, 46% (23) case were of symptomatic BV. Of the 12 infertile women, 50% (6) cases were diagnosed to have BV. Of the 12 women with PID, 83% (10) cases were diagnosed to have BV. Conclusion: Most of the complications due to BV like pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility, preterm labor can be prevented if BV is diagnosed early and treated. In view of the potentially serious complications associated with BV, a routine screening of these patients is essential and simple tests like Gram Stain, Giemsa Stain, monitoring of pH should be recommended to be included as a routine screening test as part of Antenatal Care.
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Introduction

The perinatal mortality and morbidity associated with preterm deliveries very high due to early neonatal death, respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular hemorrhage, birth asphyxia, hyperbilirubinemia, hypothermia, cerebral palsy. Therefore, preterm labour and the complications of preterm deliveries are the most common, costly and catastrophic complication of pregnancy. Besides this, the emotional trauma to parents of such babies cannot be accounted. Hence for preterm labour prevention is better than cure. Despite preterm delivery being the major contributor to perinatal morbidity and mortality globally, the incidence of preterm labour has not changed for many years. Neonatology has advanced and the survival of babies has improved, but handicap continues to occur in babies born at earlier gestation. However, the management of preterm labour has changed very little during the last 30 years. Despite their side effects, beta mimetic agents are still used to arrest preterm labour along with corticosteroids. The reason for our inability to manage or prevent preterm labour adequately is simply the lack of knowledge of its cause. There is a strong association between preterm delivery and low socioeconomic status, maternal weight prior to pregnancy, history of previous preterm births and multifetal gestation. A fairly large number of spontaneous preterm births are associated with genital tract infection and those occurring before 30 weeks gestation are even more likely to be infection related. Therefore, infection associated preterm birth represents an attractive area for intervention. Infections at multiple sites are associated with spontaneous preterm birth.
Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is associated with a two fold increased risk of preterm birth with the greatest risk when bacterial vaginosis is present before 20 weeks of gestation. This may indicate a critical period during early gestation when BV related organisms can gain access to the upper genital tract and set the stage for preterm labour later in gestation. BV is a syndrome in which the vaginal flora is no longer dominated by lactobacilli and there is an over growth of Gardnerella vaginalis (Gram variable coccobacillus), Mobiluncus species, Mycoplasma hominis, Peptostreptococcus species and certain Anaerobic bacteria [1, 2]. Bacterial Vaginosis is one of the common causes of vaginitis in women who are sexually active during child bearing age [3]. The manifestation vary from asymptomatic state (>50%) to increased thin, homogenous, grayish white, foul smelling, vaginal discharge, pruritus. Among symptomatic patients, vaginal discharge is being the most common clinical complaint of women of reproductive age in many parts of the world [4]. PH changes and change in normal vaginal flora allow anaerobic microbes to flourish and cause infection [5]. In pregnant women BV is associated with the presence of fibronectin which directly correlates with 16 fold increase in clinical chorio-amnionitis, 6 fold increases in neonatal sepsis and is also associated with intra-amniotic infection. BV in women at 23 to 26 weeks of gestation is associated with intra-amniotic fluid infection at term. [6]. BV also has very wide medical, psychological and social repercussions on patient. Additional possible adverse outcomes of bacterial vaginosis include an increased frequency of abnormal Papanicolaou (Pap) smears, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and endometritis [7,8,9]. Vaginal cuff cellulites, pelvic inflammatory disease and endometritis can occur if invasive gynaecological procedures or surgeries are performed when a patient has bacterial vaginosis [10,11,12]. Bacterial vaginosis has been consistently associated with preterm delivery, but its role in upper genital tract infection (pelvic inflammatory disease), tubal damage and infertility is less certain [13]. This study dealt with the detection of changes in normal vaginal microbial flora as the cause for preterm labour. Our study aimed at detecting the incidence of Bacterial Vaginosis in pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility and preterm labour.

Material and Methods

Study protocol was reviewed and approved by institutional review board. The present observational and prospective study was conducted in the Microbiology department at our Tertiary care Hospital. After getting informed verbal consent subjects age, pregnancy status, parity, ethnicity, mode of contraception, number of sexual partners, presence or absence of symptoms, and a sexually transmitted diseases history were recorded. Subjects on systemic antibiotic therapy or local vaginal antimicrobial therapy within the preceding 2 weeks, menstruating at the time of the examination, cervical cerclage, vaginal bleeding, placenta previa, spermicide use, recent douching, or sexual intercourse within 24 hours were excluded from our study. Study comprised of 50 preterm labor women subjects, 12 infertile women subjects and 12 women subjects with pelvic inflammatory disease. Gram staining, Giemsa staining, KOH mount, pH determination of Vaginal Swabs from Posterior fornix of women subjects of reproductive age (15 – 45 years) group who attended Gynaecology and Obstetrics OPD/IPD our tertiary care hospitals were carried out. Amsel’s Clinical criteria and Nugent’s microbiological criteria for diagnosis of BV were elicited [14].

Statistical Analysis:- Data in our study were statistically evaluated, compared and probability values (P) were calculated by using Z test. Probability values of <0.05 were considered significant with 95% level of significance (i.e. confidence interval of 95. Also the data was compared by using Ms Excel software.

Results

Table 1: Coexistence observed between bacterial vaginosis and preterm labor

| Total cases of Preterm-50 | Intermediate Bacterial Vaginosis | Definite Bacterial Vaginosis |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                           | No%                             | No%                           |
| Asymptomatic Bacterial Vaginosis | 3(6)                         | 2(4)                          |
| Symptomatic Bacterial Vaginosis   | 12(24)                        | 11(22)                        |

Total cases of Bacterial vaginosis in Preterm⇒28
No Bacterial vaginosis in Preterm⇒22.
Vaginal Candidiasis in Preterm⇒12
Trichomonas Vaginitis in Preterm → 0

Figure 1: Coexistence observed between bacterial vaginosis and preterm labor

Statistically on applying Z test for comparing the diagnostic inference by Nugent criteria on Gram staining of vaginal smear of preterm labor women cases diagnosed to have symptomatic and asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis, it was found that Z value = 3.786 and P value = 0.00. Thus Nugent diagnostic criteria were highly statistically significant in diagnosing both symptomatic and asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis in preterm labor cases.

Table 2: Coexistence observed between bacterial vaginosis and infertility

| Total cases of Infertility-12 | Intermediate Bacterial vaginosis No% | Definite Bacterial vaginosis No% | No Bacterial Vaginosis No% |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Asymptomatic                 | 2 (16.6)                           | 1 (8.3)                         | 0 (0)                     |
| Symptomatic                  | 3 (25)                             | 0 (0)                           | 2 (50)                    |

Table 3: Coexistence observed between bacterial vaginosis and pelvic inflammatory disease (PID):

| Total cases of Pelvic Inflammatory Disease | Intermediate Bacterial vaginosis No% | Definite Bacterial vaginosis No% | No Bacterial Vaginosis No% |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 12                                        | 8 (66)                              | 2 (17)                          | 2 (17)                    |
Figure 2: Coexistence observed between bacterial vaginosis and infertility

Discussion

Previously considered a benign condition, BV has been related to many gynaecological conditions and complications of pregnancy including pelvic inflammatory disease, post hysterectomy vaginal cuff cellulitis, endometritis, amniotic fluid infection, preterm delivery, preterm labor, premature rupture of the membranes and possibly, spontaneous abortion [15]. However, BV if suspected at an early stage can be easily diagnosed and treated. In our study as shown in Table 1, out of the total 50 preterm labor cases studied, 6% (3) case were of asymptomatic intermediate bacterial vaginosis, 4% (2) case where of asymptomatic definite bacterial vaginosis, 24% (12) case where of symptomatic intermediate bacterial vaginosis, 22% (11) case where of symptomatic definite bacterial vaginosis. Bacterial vaginosis is associated with reproductive health morbidity, including pelvic inflammatory disease [16], preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes [17] chorioamnionitis [18], spontaneous abortion [19], and post–gynecologic surgery infection [20]. From the available studies that focus largely on sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinic patients and pregnant women, according to Sonnex C et al bacterial vaginosis prevalence is estimated to range from 7% among asymptomatic women attending general practice clinics [21] to 46% among women attending STD clinics [4] according to Eschenbach DA et al. Deborah B et al [22] reported that bacterial vaginosis (BV) during pregnancy increases a woman’s risk of delivering before the 37th week of pregnancy (preterm, or premature, labor). Bacterial vaginosis is a common vaginal infection that affects 12–22% of pregnant women. The vast majority of epidemiologic research designed to examine the role of BV and adverse pregnancy outcomes has focused on the risk of preterm delivery, although many of these studies incorrectly combined preterm labor and preterm, premature rupture of the membranes. In any case, the studies of Kurki T et al, Hillier SL at al and Gratocos E et al, they have consistently shown a twofold increased risk of preterm delivery among women diagnosed with BV, particularly BV diagnosed in the early second trimester [23, 24]. A recent meta-analysis reviewing studies examining the role of BV and the risk of preterm delivery reported a summary odds ratio of 1.6, indicating a 60 percent increased risk of preterm delivery among pregnant women with BV. A smaller number of studies have assessed the relation between BV and the outcomes of premature labor, low birth weight, and premature rupture of the membranes. Kurki T et al’s study examining several pregnancy outcomes related to BV diagnosed during the first trimester of pregnancy reported a 2.6-fold increased risk of preterm labor a 6.9-fold increased risk of preterm delivery, and a 7.3-fold increased risk of preterm, premature rupture of the membranes [23]. Another study of Purwar M et al, found that BV diagnosed in the second trimester was associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery and premature rupture of the membranes and that BV accounted for 83 percent of the attributable risk for preterm birth [25]. Joan M et al [26] in their study reported preterm birth as a major cause of perinatal
In our study as shown in Table 3, out of the 12 women with PID, 66%(8) cases were diagnosed to have intermediate bacterial vaginosis and 17%(2)cases with Definite bacterial vaginosis. While 17% (2) cases with PID showed no bacterial vaginosis. PID is believed to result from direct ascending canalicular spread of microorganisms from the vagina and endocervix to the endometrial, fallopian tube mucosa and upper genital sites [39]. Women with PID are at increased risk of chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy, and tubal infertility [40,41]. Multiple organisms have been implicated as etiologic agents in PID, and most cases of PID are associated with more than one organism. In addition to C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae, a wide variety of anaerobic and aerobic (facultative) bacteria have been isolated from the upper-genital tracts of 25%-50% of women with acute PID. The most common anaerobic bacteria found are Bacteroides, Peptostreptococcus, and Peptococcus species, whereas the most common facultative bacteria are Gardnerella vaginalis, Streptococcus species, Escherichia coil and Haemophilus influenzae [42].Westrom L et al reported that approximately 12% of women are infertile after a single episode of PID, almost 25% after two episodes, and over 50% after three or more episodes [40]. According to Eschenbach DA et al , the syndrome bacterial vaginosis (BV) has also been suggested as an antecedent to lower-genital-tract infection that leads to polymicrobial acute PID[42] ; the organisms involved in BV are similar to the nongonococcal, nonchlamydial bacteria frequently isolated from the upper-genital-tract of women with acute PID. At least four factors could contribute to the ascent of these bacteria and/or be associated with the pathogenesis of upper-genital-tract infection. First, uterine instrumentation (e.g., the insertion of an intrauterine device) facilitates upward spread of vaginal and cervical bacteria. Second, the hormonal changes during menses, as well as menstruation itself, leads to cervical alterations that may result in loss of a mechanical barrier preventing ascent. Also, the bacteriostatic effect of cervical mucus is lowest at the onset of menses. Third, retrograde menstruation may favor ascent to the tubes and peritoneum. Finally, individual organisms may have potential virulence factors associated with the pathogenesis of acute chlamydial and gonococcal PID [39]. Many women with PID have minimal symptoms, and some are believed to experience no symptoms ("silent PID").

Summary and Conclusion
Evidence suggests that bacterial vaginosis is a risk factor for premature rupture of membranes (PROM) and preterm labor. Early diagnosis and treatment of bacterial vaginosis (BV) infection in pregnancy decreases the risk of preterm labor and its sequel. Hence for preterm labor, ‘Prevention Is Better Than Cure’. Most of the complications due to BV like Pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility can be prevented if diagnosed early. A constant surveillance in preterm women for BV, especially in the asymptomatic cases, may prevent peri-natal morbidity due to this infection. As a routine screening method at antenatal work up and also in women admitted in antenatal ward as threatened or established preterm labour , tests detecting changes in normal vaginal microbial flora during pregnancy are must . In view of the potentially serious complications associated with BV, a routine screening of these patients is essential and simple tests like Gram Stain, Giemsa Stain , monitoring of pH should be recommended to be included as a routine screening test as part of Ante-Natal Care. Gram Stain is a very reliable method for the detection of BV. It is a rapid technique which is simple and Cost- effective to detect the change in the normal vaginal bacterial flora.
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