Unsupported MoS₂-Based Catalysts for Bio-Oil Hydrodeoxygenation: Recent Advances and Future Perspectives
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In recent years, unsupported MoS₂-based catalysts have been reported as promising candidates in the hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of bio-oil. However, preparing MoS₂-based catalysts with both high activity and good stability for HDO reaction is still challenging and of great importance. Hence, this mini-review is focused on the recent development of unsupported MoS₂-based HDO catalysts from the understanding of catalyst design. The three aspects including morphology and defect engineering, metal doping, and deactivation mechanism are highlighted in adjusting the HDO performance of MoS₂-based catalysts. Finally, the key challenges and future perspectives about how to design efficient catalysts are also summarized in the conclusions.
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INTRODUCTION

With the continuous consumption of fossil fuels, the energy problem has become more serious in modern society. Therefore, a lot of research studies in the energy field have focused on finding a new fuel that can replace traditional fossil fuels, which could be compatible with existing infrastructure, sustainable, and reduce CO₂ emissions (Jiang et al., 2021). In recent years, bio-oil obtained from flash pyrolysis of biomass is considered a potential fuel in the future because of its wide range of raw materials and renewable (Qu et al., 2021).

Compared with fossil fuels, the oxygen content in bio-oil is very high (up to 40 wt.%). This component characteristic results in the disadvantages of low heating value, high viscosity and acidity, and poor thermal stability. Therefore, bio-oil must be upgraded through hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) to reduce the oxygen content before being used as an excellent fuel (Figure 1A). Thus, developing catalysts with excellent catalytic performance is crucial for the bio-oil HDO process. As the most commonly used catalysts for hydrodesulfurization (HDS) in industry, supported transition metal sulfides (CoMoS/Al₂O₃ or NiMoS/Al₂O₃) also have been frequently investigated in the HDO reaction due to their low cost and mature technology. However, the HDO activity of supported catalysts is not very ideal, which usually requires harsh reaction conditions of 300°C or above. Under high reaction temperature, the sulfide catalysts will undergo a fast deactivation due to sulfur loss without sulfur compensation from external sources during the reaction process (Wandas et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2015a; Liu et al., 2017). Recently, unsupported MoS₂-based catalysts have been reported to show much better HDS and HDO activity than supported counterparts because of the high density of active sites, which is especially suitable for dealing with large molecules (Wang et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014b). More importantly, the unsupported catalysts provide an ideal platform for studying the structure-performance relationship due to the absence of support. Over the past decade, a lot of
studies have been carried out on how to improve the HDO activity, selectivity, and stability of unsupported MoS\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts.

Hence, in this mini-review, we firstly reviewed in detail how to study the structure-performance relationship through morphology and defect engineering of MoS\textsubscript{2} catalysts. Then, the effect of metal doping on the active phase structure and HDO performance of the MoS\textsubscript{2} catalyst are summarized. Moreover, the deactivation mechanism and how to inhibit the deactivation of MoS\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts in the HDO process is also introduced. Finally, we analyzed the challenges and future opportunities to design highly efficient unsupported MoS\textsubscript{2}-based HDO catalysts.

**MORPHOLOGY AND DEFECT ENGINEERING**

MoS\textsubscript{2} is a typical two-dimensional layered compound, which has two morphology parameters of slab length and layer number (Figure 1D). These two parameters could be visualized by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) observation from the length and number of black fringes in the HRTEM images, respectively (Lai et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2021b). For unsupported MoS\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts, it is important to boost the number of active sites by increasing the Mo dispersion. Hence, shortening the slab length or decreasing the layer number of MoS\textsubscript{2} can both improve its HDO activity. Due to the weak Van der Waals force between the adjacent layers, MoS\textsubscript{2} can be easily exfoliated into few-layer or even single-layer nanosheets by some physical or chemical methods (Zhu et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2021c).

A simple and effective hydrazine-assisted liquid exfoliation method was developed to exfoliate the commercial MoS\textsubscript{2} to few-layer nanosheets (Liu et al., 2016). The HDO activity was remarkably enhanced for few-layer MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets due to the exposure of more active surface sites. In addition, the layer number of bulk MoS\textsubscript{2} was further decreased to monolayer by \textit{n}-butyllithium exfoliation, and the conversion was extraordinarily improved from 25.8\% of bulk MoS\textsubscript{2} to 98.7\% of single-layer MoS\textsubscript{2} in the HDO of 4-methylphenol (Liu et al., 2017). This result demonstrates that preparing few-layer, especially monolayer MoS\textsubscript{2} is an effective way to design highly efficient HDO catalysts. Various methods have been developed to synthesize unsupported MoS\textsubscript{2} catalysts such as hydrothermal (Cao et al., 2021a; Zhang C. et al., 2021), ball milling (Wang C. et al., 2014), thermal decomposition (Yi et al., 2011), and solution method (Genuit et al., 2005). Among the abovementioned methods, the hydrothermal method is most widely used due to the advantages of simple operation and controllable morphology of the product. In the hydrothermal procedure, temperature, pH value, and surfactants showed a great effect on the morphology of the MoS\textsubscript{2} product. For example, an acidic environment in the hydrothermal process was helpful to facilitate the nucleation and prepare smaller MoS\textsubscript{2} particles, thus resulting in enhanced activity in the HDO of \textit{p}-cresol (Wang et al., 2015b; Zhang C. et al., 2018). A higher initial synthesis temperature could promote fast nucleation and shorten the MoS\textsubscript{2} slab length (Zhang et al., 2015). The layer number of MoS\textsubscript{2} was adjusted successfully by adding different types of surfactants in the hydrothermal procedure and then the morphology-performance relation in the HDO of 4-methylphenol was studied (Wang et al., 2014d). There are two parallel reaction
routes for the HDO of phenols over MoS2-based catalysts, which are defined as direct deoxygenation (DDO) and hydrogenation-dehydration (HYD). It was found that MoS2 catalyst with lower stacking showed a higher HYD selectivity, and the DDO route was favored by using MoS2 with higher stacking degree (Figure 1B). This phenomenon was well explained by the Rim-Edge model proposed in the HDS field (Daage and Chianelli, 1994). Therefore, the HDO selectivity could be precisely regulated by adjusting the layer number of MoS2 particles.

Generally, the coordinatively unsaturated sites (CUS) on the edge planes are considered active centers of MoS2 catalysts while the atoms on the basal planes are catalytically inert (Figure 1C) (Lauritsen et al., 2004). Therefore, creating defects on the basal planes is another important approach to increase the number of active sites (Figure 1D). Some strategies such as etching (Zhang W. et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020), gas treatment (Li et al., 2019), or plasma bombardment (Tao et al., 2015) have been utilized to create defect sites on the basal planes. The location and type of defects can be directly observed by HRTEM, and the quantity information can be obtained by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) characterization (Wu et al., 2020). Recently, we have synthesized MoS2 nanosheets with rich defects through in situ etching by adding excess sulfur sources via a simple one-pot hydrothermal method (Zhang C. et al., 2021). The HDO activity was improved 1.7 folds through defect engineering within the basal planes. Zhang et al. reported a facile H2O2 etching strategy to tailor the concentration of sulfur vacancies by altering the H2O2/MoS2 molar ratio (Zhang Y. et al., 2021). The catalytic performance evaluation results revealed a linear relationship between the HDO activity and the degree of sulfur vacancies, further suggesting that sulfur vacancies acted as catalytic centers for the HDO reaction. The synthesis of amorphous MoS2 with low crystallinity is also one of the means to increase the number of defects. It was found that incorporating organic solvents or hydrazine in the hydrothermal method was conducive to obtaining amorphous MoS2 with a defect-rich structure. A bent and multilayered amorphous MoS2 was synthesized by utilizing (NH4)2MoS4 as a raw material and decalin as an organic solvent, and the HDO activity was enhanced by 31% compared with the crystalline MoS2 (Yoosuk et al., 2012). Co-doped MoS2 nanoflowers with abundant defects by adding hydrazine to the hydrothermal system was prepared, which displayed an excellent p-cresol HDO performance (Song et al., 2018a). More importantly, the defects on the basal planes could provide additional anchor sites to accommodate promoter atoms. Recently, we have found that the content of the Co-Mo-S active phase was improved significantly by creating numerous defects on the basal planes of MoS2 support even impregnated with the same amount of Co promoters (Zhang C. et al., 2021). As a result, the Co-doped few-layer and defect-rich MoS2 achieved a 100% HDO conversion of p-cresol and 99.7% selectivity of toluene at 230°C. The increased surface sulfur defects produced by H2O2 etching were ideal platforms for stabilizing Co atoms to form the Co-Mo-S phase. The HDO activity of Co-MoS2 catalysts was increased 3.4 times by optimizing the etching conditions (Zhang Y. et al., 2021).

### METAL DOPING AND SYNERGISM STUDY

In general, the activity of unpromoted MoS2 is far from sufficient for catalyzing the HDO reaction effectively. Hence, it is essential to weaken the Mo-S bond through metal doping to reduce the formation temperature of sulfur vacancy. Co is the most commonly used metal to promote MoS2 because the DDO route is more favored for Co-doped catalysts which could minimize the H2 consumption. Based on the reported literature, there are mainly four different structures of catalytic centers for Co-promoted MoS2 catalysts (Figure 1D): (I) Co-Mo-S, (II) CoS2-MoS2, (III) Co-S-Mo (or called Co atom-MoS2), and (IV) Co NPs-MoS2 (NPs: nanoparticles). The four different catalytic active phases were obtained by tailored preparation methods, which resulted in a variety of synergistic mechanisms.

The Co-Mo-S model is the most accepted active phase structure in the field of HDS, in which Co atoms are preferentially located on the edge planes of MoS2. In our recent work, Co-doped nano-MoS2 catalysts with Co-Mo-S as an active phase were obtained by impregnating the as-prepared MoS2 with Co(CH3COO)2 followed by sulfidation in H2S/H2 atmosphere (Cao et al., 2021a). The temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) results displayed that the reduction peak was shifted from 285°C for MoS2 to 224°C for Co-doped nano-MoS2, which suggests a greatly decreased temperature for producing coordinative unsaturated sites (CUS). Also, it was found the Co content had a huge effect on the structure of the active phase and catalytic performance. If a small amount of Co are introduced, all Co atoms are preferentially located at the edge planes to form the Co-Mo-S phase. When the content of Co is further increased, the Co9S8 phase is gradually formed after the edge sites are fully occupied. However, too much Co content is not suggested because the larger Co9S8 would block some of the active sites. Also, the optimized catalyst with a Co/(Co + Mo) molar ratio of 0.3 had both Co-Mo-S and small Co9S8 particles, which embodies a synergistic effect between Co-Mo-S and Co9S8 phases. The HDO activity and toluene selectivity were improved dramatically from 16.9 to 65.0% of MoS2 to 98.7 and 98.9% of Co/MoS2-0.3 at 220°C. Another well-known model in the field of hydroreforming is the Remote-Control model, in which the independent Co-Sx phase acts the role to provide spillover hydrogen. Wang et al. adopted a two-step hydrothermal method which obtained separated CoS2 and MoS2 phases in the resulted catalysts rather than the Co-Mo-S phase prepared by one-step hydrothermal method (Wang et al., 2016b). The CoS2/MoS2 showed an excellent HDO activity of 97.8% and a high toluene selectivity of 99.2% at 250°C. The unprecedented HDO performance was attributed to the large surface area and the synergism between CoS2 and MoS2. More interestingly, the CoS2 and MoS2 interface was in situ reconstructed into Co-Mo-S by H2 pre-reduction treatment, resulting in a large amount of surface Co-Mo-S active phase toward efficient HDO of p-cresol (Liu et al., 2020). In early electrochemical research, researchers found that sulfur vacancy has a strong adsorption capacity for Co complexes. On the basis of this discovery, Liu et al. prepared a single-layer MoS2 catalyst doped with isolated Co atoms (Co5MoS2) by hydrothermal treatment of monolayer MoS2 with
Co(thiourea)₂⁺. The EXAFS and HAADF-STEM characterization results confirmed that single Co atoms were covalently bonded to the sulfur vacancies on the basal planes to form a new Co-S-Mo active phase (Liu et al., 2017). The theoretical calculation results showed that the proximal sites around Co-S-Mo were energetically favorable for the formation of sulfur vacancies, thus making Co₅MoS₂ an extremely active and selective catalyst in the HDO reactions. Meanwhile, superior stability was also observed due to the low operating temperature at 180°C. An in situ interfacial reactions was adopted to prepare MoS₂ₓ catalyst supported by Co nanoparticles (Co NPs-MoS₂) based on the reducibility of defect-rich MoS₂ₓ (Wu et al., 2020). The HRTEM and DFT results showed that Co NPs were energetically favored to adsorb on the defects to form a metal-vacancy interface. Also, the Co NPs promoted the formation of new sulfur vacancies at the proximal sites as evidenced by TPR results. More importantly, the DFT results showed that the adsorption energy of 4-methylphenol and the reaction energy barrier were significantly decreased on Co NPs-MoS₂, which endowed the catalyst exhibit the lowest reaction temperature (120°C) up to now.

Ni is also a commonly used promoter to improve the catalytic performance of MoS₂. However, Ni-promoted MoS₂ catalysts usually have high hydrogenation activity because Ni is more capable of adsorbing and activating H₂. Ni-Mo-S and NiS₂/MoS₂ catalysts with the same Ni/Mo molar ratio by one-step hydrothermal method and two-step hydrothermal method were prepared, respectively (Wang et al., 2015a). It was found that NiS₂/ MoS₂ showed a much higher p-cresol conversion than Ni-Mo-S, which indicated that the synergistic effect between Ni promoters and MoS₂ came from the spillover hydrogen rather than the Ni-Mo-S phase (Wang et al., 2016a). The optimized NiS₂/ MoS₂ showed a p-cresol conversion of 95.8% and a methylcyclohexane selectivity of 55.6% at 275°C for 4 h. Although Fe is much cheaper than Co and Ni, Fe has attracted little research interest because of its poor performance in promoting MoS₂. Recently, Fe-promoted MoS₂ catalysts by one-step hydrothermal method, which exhibited separated FeS₂ and MoS₂ phases were prepared (Guo et al., 2019). However, the FeS₂ was transformed into FeS after a pretreatment in H₂ and the HDO activity was significantly enhanced. The authors concluded that FeS was a better promoter than FeS₂ for MoS₂, and acts as a donor of activated hydrogen in the HDO reaction.

According to a recent report, precious metals such as Pt can also play a synergistic role in promoting the HDO activity of unsupported MoS₂ catalysts. A metal insertion-deinsertion strategy was adopted to prepare Pt-MoS₂ₓ catalysts in which Pt₄⁺ initially substituted the Mo⁴⁺ on the basal planes and then Pt species was deinserted to be anchored on the adjacent sites (Wu et al., 2022). As a result, a large number of edge sites were created at the proximal sites of the Pt-edge interface within the basal planes which are originally inert. Thus, the optimized Pt-MoS₂ₓ catalyst displayed extraordinary catalytic activity in the HDO of p-cresol, which achieved a conversion of 100% and a methylcyclohexane selectivity of 96.3% at 120°C. The DFT calculation results showed that the metal-edge interface was beneficial for lowering both the adsorption energy of reactants and the energy barrier of the HDO reaction. We have summarized the HDO performance of some unsupported MoS₂-based catalysts in the past decade utilizing p-cresol as a probe molecule (Table 1).

### Table 1 | Comparison of the catalytic performance in the HDO of p-cresol for MoS₂-based catalysts from the literatures.

| Entry | Catalyst | Conditions | Catalytic performance |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1     | Co/MoS₂-FL-DR | 230°C, 3.0 MPa, 0.5 h | 2.3 mmol, 100%, 99.7% |
| 2     | Co/Co-MoS₂      | 220°C, 3.0 MPa, 0.5 h | 2.3 mmol, 98.7%, 98.9% |
| 3     | Co-Co-MoS₂      | 275°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.3 h | 138.9 mmol, 100%, 92.2% |
| 4     | CoS₂/MoS₂       | 250°C, 4.0 MPa, 1.0 h | 44.4 mmol, 98%, 99%    |
| 5     | Flower-like Co-MoS₂ | 300°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.02 h | 5.2 mmol, 99.8%, 97.9% |
| 6     | CoMoS           | 300°C, 4.0 MPa, 3.0 MPa | 5.0 mmol, 100%, 100%   |
| 7     | Flower-like Co-MoS₂ | 275°C, 4.5 MPa, 0.1 h | 133.3 mmol, 85.6%, 97.5% |
| 8     | CoMo/Al₂O₃     | 360°C, 6.0 MPa, 1.0 h | 4.0 mmol, 95%, 18%     |
| 9     | CoMoS           | 300°C, 3.0 MPa, 6.0 MPa | 1.3 mmol, 99.1%, 94.4% |
| 10    | Co/MoS₂         | 210°C, 3.0 MPa, 2.0 MPa | 2.6 mmol, 97.6%, 98.4% |
| 11    | Co-MoS₂ₓ        | 120°C, 3.0 MPa, 0.64 h | 5.2 mmol, 97.4%, 99.5% |
| 12    | Pt-MoS₂ₓ        | 120°C, 5.0 MPa, 0.2 h | 2.4 mmol, 100%, 3.7%   |
| 13    | Ni-Mo-S         | 300°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.6 h | 125 mmol, 99.9%, 28.8% |
| 14    | NiS₂/MoS₂       | 275°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.3 h | 125 mmol, 95.8%, 35.6% |
| 15    | NiMoS           | 300°C, 3.0 MPa, 0.2 h | 25.0 mmol, 96.8%, 87.2% |
| 16    | Ni-Mo-W-S       | 300°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.2 h | 41.7 mmol, 97.9%, 30.1% |
| 17    | Fe/MoS₂         | 250°C, 4.0 MPa, 0.03 h | 29.6 mmol, 96.3%, 94.5% |
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DEACTIVATION MECHANISM AND MEASURES TO INHIBIT DEACTIVATION

Deactivation is a serious problem when MoS2-based catalysts are applied in the HDO reactions, which include two main causes such as sulfur loss and coking (Figure 1D). As the bio-oil does not contain sulfur, sulfur will be gradually lost due to S-O exchange, and the presence of H2O product will aggravate this process. Therefore, the following strategies have been carried out in order to inhibit catalyst deactivation due to sulfur loss. The first type of method is the co-feeding of sulfur-containing compounds such as H2S or benzothiophene. Adding an appropriate amount of H2S to the reaction system could well maintain the activity and stability of NiMo and CoMo catalysts due to the increased concentration of -SH groups (Dabros et al., 2018). A small amount of benzothiophene in the feed is helpful to inhibit catalyst deactivation because the H2S molecules produced by HDS of benzothiophene will adsorb on the active sites and weaken the negative effect of water (Wang et al., 2018). However, excessive benzothiophene introduction resulted in a decrease in HDO activity due to competitive adsorption between H2S and benzothiophene introduction resulted in a decrease in HDO activity without sulfur loss after using seven cycles, which is attributed to the greatly decreased reaction temperature from 300°C of traditional CoMo/Al2O3 catalysts to 180°C (Liu et al., 2017). The Co NPs-MoS2 and Pt-MoS2-x catalysts reported (Wu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2022) also showed superior stability in the HDO of p-cresol due to the further lowered operating temperature of 120°C.

Coking is a general problem for catalyst deactivation by coke formation of coking, some measures could be adopted such as reducing the acidity of catalysts or increasing the hydrogen pressure.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

In this mini-review, we summarized the recent progress of unsupported MoS2-based catalysts in the HDO of bio-oil. Reducing the layer number of MoS2, especially to a single-layer could significantly improve the HDO activity. Creating defects within the basal planes is also an effective way to enhance the HDO activity, especially for metal-promoted MoS2 catalysts because it provides additional anchoring sites. The regulation of HDO selectivity is easily realized by just adjusting the layer number of MoS2. Co and Pt doping can both significantly improve the HDO activity and stability of MoS2 catalysts, while Co-promoted catalysts are more potential due to the minimized H2 consumption. Four different active phases including Co-Mo-S, CoS2-MoS2, Co-S-Mo, and Co NPs-MoS2 have been proposed to construct highly efficient CoMo bimetallic catalysts. Sulfur loss and coking are the main factors to cause deactivation, which could be alleviated by co-feeding of sulfiding agents, surface hydrophobic treatment, and lowering the reaction temperature.

Although tremendous progress has been achieved in the construction of efficient MoS2-based HDO catalysts, there are still some challenges to be solved in future research. 1) Simple synthesis of monolayer MoS2 nanosheets with rich defects which are ideal supports to prepare CoMo bimetallic catalysts. 2) Experimental and theoretical comparison of the four different active phases of Co-promoted catalysts to figure out which model is best for designing highly efficient CoMo bimetallic catalysts. 3) Deactivation study by using real bio-oil as feedstock and determination of the sulfur loss and coke content.
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