Mesenchymal stromal-cell transplants induce oligodendrocyte progenitor migration and remyelination in a chronic demyelination model
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Demyelinating disorders such as leukodystrophies and multiple sclerosis are neurodegenerative diseases characterized by the progressive loss of myelin that may lead toward a chronic demyelination of the brain’s white matter, impairing normal axonal conduction velocity and ultimately causing neurodegeneration. Current treatments modifying the pathological mechanisms are capable of ameliorating the disease; however, frequently, these therapies are not sufficient to repress the progressive demyelination into a chronic condition and permanent loss of function. To this end, we analyzed the effect that bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell (BM-MSC) grafts exert in a chronically demyelinated mouse brain. As a result, oligodendrocyte progenitors were recruited surrounding the graft due to the expression of various trophic signals by the grafted MSCs. Although there was no significant reaction in the non-grafted side, in the grafted regions oligodendrocyte progenitors were detected. These progenitors were derived from the nearby tissue as well as from the neurogenic niches, including the subependymal zone and dentate gyrus. Once near the graft site, the cells matured to myelinating oligodendrocytes. Finally, electrophysiological studies demonstrated that axonal conduction velocity was significantly increased in the grafted side of the fimbria. In conclusion, we demonstrate here that in chronic demyelinated white matter, BM-MSC transplantation activates oligodendrocyte progenitors and induces remyelination in the tissue surrounding the stem cell graft.
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Here we describe the use of BM-MSC in a model of chronic demyelination. This approach will give us insight as to the mechanisms by which BM-MSCs may activate OPCs in vivo, and ultimately induce remyelination and axonal conduction improvement.

Results

MSCs activate oligodendrogenesis and remyelination.

After 12 weeks of cuprizone-embedded diet, the mice presented clear demyelinating lesions in the corpus callosum (CC) and fimbria (Figures 1b–d). However, although in the CC both myelinated and non-myelinated patches were detected (Figure 1c), the fimbria was rather homogeneously non-myelinated (Figure 1d). Thus, the surgical procedures were decided to be performed in this white matter structure.

As early as 2 weeks after stereotaxic-guided intra-fimbrial transplantation (Figure 2a), NG2-positive OPCs as well as mature oligodendrocytes (myelin basic protein (MBP +)) were detected surrounding the grafted cells (Figures 2b–d). The percentage of NG2, MBP and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) immunoreactivity was calculated and compared in the sham controls and stem cell-treated groups (Figures 2e–h).

Figure 1  Effect of chronic intake of cuprizone in the CNS. (a) Chronogram of the experimental protocol. (b) Schematic representation of a transversal section of the brain, indicating with red squares the CC (c) and fimbria (d). (c) Immunohistochemistry of a transversal section of the CC of a mouse treated for 3 months with cuprizone and stained for MBP (in green). Arrows indicate patches of demyelination (MBP-negative), similar to that seen in chronic lesions of MS. (d) Proteolipid protein (PLP) (red) and MBP (green) staining in the fimbria of a non-treated mouse and of a cuprizone-treated mouse of the same age. Note the lack of expression of the two myelin-forming proteins, indicating a homogenous demyelination process of the fimbria. Scale bar, 75 μm (c and d)
with its contralateral side in MBP staining, whereas for GFAP a slight increase was detected.

The results shown here indicate that there was a significant increase in OPCs and mature oligodendrocytes in the fimbria treated with MSCs, which in turn gave rise to newly formed myelin.

MSC transplantation increases the conduction velocity of demyelinated fibers. Axon conduction velocity was measured in order to confirm if the newly formed myelin around the MSC graft was functional (Figures 3a–d). In the recordings obtained with electrodes placed in the vicinity of the graft (see Materials and Methods), we detected two different populations of axons corresponding to fast- and slow-conducting axons (Figure 3e); in normal mice the average conduction velocity of these components was close to 1 and 0.4 m/s, respectively (measured at room temperature). The slow conduction fibers were absent when the region of the fimbria near the hippocampus was registered (Figure 3f).

The values of conduction velocity of fast-conduction fibers are given in Figure 3g. In normal (wild type), control demyelinated and sham-operated animals, the conduction velocity of the fast and slow (not shown) fibers was similar in all the regions tested (only rostral and caudal registered regions are shown). However, in stem cell-treated mice the conduction velocity of the fast fibers was almost twice as fast in the rostral region compared with the other groups ($t = 3.11; P_{value} = 0.032$), although the caudal region had increased values, though not statistically significant. The conduction velocity of the slow fibers did not change in any experimental condition (data not shown).

Thus, our electrophysiological analysis indicated that MSC transplants increased axon conduction speed of the area in the fimbria surrounding the graft. This effect is higher in the anterior region of the fimbria, which represents the axonal segments localized closer to the caudal subependymal zone (cSEZ) in relation to the graft.

MSCs activate oligodendrocyte progenitors by releasing trophic factors. As commented in the Introduction section, several authors have indicated that MSCs are capable of releasing a number of trophic factors that activate OPCs and induce their differentiation toward myelinating oligodendrocytes. Several factors that are known to induce this effect in OPCs were analyzed in MSCs both in vitro (before transplantation, Figure 4a) and in vivo (Figure 4b). As a result, neurotrophic factor-4/5 (NT4/5), neurotrophic factor-3 (NT3), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and nerve growth factor-β (NGF-β) were detected both in vitro and in vivo. The contralateral (control) fimbria did not show any significant immunoreactivity of any of the factors analyzed. There was no immunoreactivity detected for these trophic factors in the sham group (data not shown). The combinations of several of these growth factors have been previously shown to be capable of inducing remyelination in cuprizone-treated mice. As no transdifferentiation or cell fusion processes were observed (data not shown), we conclude that the activation of native OPCs and oligodendrocytes was due to the release of at least these trophic factors by the grafted stem cells.

MSCs stimulate oligodendrogial differentiation and migration of cells from the SEZ and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus. The results shown so far indicate an activation of OPCs in the fimbria due to the paracrine effect of several trophic factors from the grafted MSCs. However, this increase in OPCs derives not only of nearby areas surrounding the fimbria, but possibly from the neurogenic niches, the SEZ and the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus. This phenomenon is possible because of the fact that the ventricular surface of the CC, caudal septum and fimbria coincide in the dorso-caudal pole of the lateral ventricle (LV), which advances over the ventricular surface of the hippocampal formation.

In the case of the SEZ, a number of NG2-positive cells were detected migrating from this region in the side of the fimbria where the stem cells were injected (Figure 5a). These cells presented cytoplasmic prolongations with a rostro-medial to caudo-lateral orientation and followed the SEZ of the right LV, with practically no invasion of the alveus or outer corticocampal structures (Figures 5b–f).

To further confirm if the NG2-positive cells detected from the SEZ toward the fimbria originated in this area, Nilo1 expression was analyzed (Figure 5g). This marker is specific for neural precursors of the SEZ. As a result, the vast majority of the NG2-positive cells also expressed Nilo1, confirming that they derive from the SEZ (cells in purple). Migrating NG2$^+$ cells were not detected in the contralateral side of the fimbria, where no stem cells were injected (Figures 5h and i).

Also, the subgranular layer of the DG was analyzed in longitudinal sections, as this neurogenic area is relatively close to the grafted site (Figures 6a and b). Stronger MBP immunostaining was detected in the rostral fimbria near the DG with respect to more caudal areas of the fimbria (Figures 6c and d). Also, some cells seemed to detach from the distal pole of DG subgranular and migrated through the subepial region (Figures 6e–g), to finally invade the nearby fimbria parenchyma and even extend prolongations that surrounded the axons, similar to that observed in mature oligodendrocytes (Figures 6h–j). These NG2$^+$ cells from the subgranular zone of the DG were Nilo1-negative (data not shown).

Discussion

In this work, we analyzed the effect that MSC grafts have in a chronic demyelinated model. As a result, OPCs, identified as NG2$^+$ cells, increased in number and migrated from the nearby neurogenic niches toward the graft, which include cells from the subependymal (NG2$^+$/Nilo$^-$) and subgranular (NG2$^+$/Nilo$^-$) zones (Figure 7). Furthermore, these progenitor cells matured into oligodendrocytes and remyelinated the surrounding area. This seemed to be due to the effect of various trophic factors secreted by the grafted MSCs, inducing OPC migration toward demyelinated white matter and differentiation to ultimately promote remyelination.

Toxicity-induced demyelinating models such as cuprizone are similar to the lesions that appear in MS, with massive apoptosis of oligodendrocytes; however, if the treatment is prematurely suspended, spontaneous remyelination occurs. This physiological remyelination occurs in two phases:
OPC proliferation and colonization of the demyelinated area and (B) differentiation toward immature oligodendrocytes that contact with demyelinated axons, followed by OPC maturation and remyelination. This process is regulated by a complex mechanism of coordinated expression of signaling factors (reviewed by Chari et al.\textsuperscript{8,9}).

OPCs are normally generated in the SEZ and DG of the hippocampus in adult animals. During development, OPCs...
originated and migrate from the embryonic subventricular zone into the forebrain parenchyma.7 Caudally, these precursors migrate into the fimbria and hippocampus. Therefore, the fimbria represents an ideal area of interest to explore remyelination because of its localized source of OPCs from the cSEZ.

OPCs are constitutively present in the adult CNS and can specifically differentiate in vivo toward remyelinating oligodendrocytes. However, in some myelin diseases, such as in the primary progressive and evolution of relapsing-remitting MS, there is a multiple dysfunction in the remyelinating mechanisms that characterize the appearance of chronic lesions,21 similar to that observed in chronic cuprizone-treated mice.22 This may be because of either an absence of nearby OPCs or that they are quiescent and do not react to the signals that normally appear during the early stages of demyelination. In both cases, they may be due to, at least partly, the lack of factors that activate the necessary mechanisms to induce regeneration. Thus, it is of great importance to stimulate the quiescent OPCs, as well as induce their migration to the affected area.

There are numerous growth factors known to induce remyelination, including PDGF,23 fibroblast growth factors (FGFs),24 NT325 and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1.26,27 Also, certain combinations of growth factors work synergistically to repair and remyelinate. For example, FGF2 along with IGF activate OPCs,28 whereas PDGF convert adult OPCs, which divide slowly, to ‘newborn’ progenitors with a higher proliferation rate.29

However, there are certainly disadvantages in using trophic factors alone as a possible treatment. One of the most important disadvantages is to find the proper dosage. An insufficient amount of the trophic factor would not have any effect on the remyelination process, but an excess may actually cause further damage. This was observed, for example, with brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) infusion after an axotomy in rats.30 In this work, high doses of the trophic factor inhibited motorneuron axonal regeneration. At the clinical level, ALS patients were administered intrathecally different doses of BDNF, causing an overall worsening compared with placebo.31 This cited article also shows another possible problem with growth factor treatment: the method of administration. Although oral intake may cause little to no effect on the tissue of interest, especially because many growth factors are not capable of surpassing the blood–brain barrier, direct injection or using micro-pumps has shown that the necessary concentration of the trophic factor to induce regeneration or neuroprotection may cause side effects that surpass the possible benefits. For example, NGF infusion in Alzheimer’s disease patients resulted in a very slight cognitive amelioration but accompanied by constant back pain that only disappeared when the treatment was halted.32 In addition, GDNF intraventricular delivery has been used in Parkinson’s disease, which resulted in no clinical benefits and unwanted side effects.33 The importance of adverse events demonstrate the biological activity of neurotrophic factors in human’s neurodegenerative conditions and reveal the importance of adequate delivery pattern (continuous instead of intermittent) close to neuronal targets in physiological concentrations.

MSCs, on the other hand, are known to secrete a large array of factors.34 These factors are released in an autocrine and paracrine way in response to the niche they are placed, in order to regenerate or protect nearby degenerating tissue. In MS, MSC transplantation presents an additional benefit, which resides in the modulation and suppression of the immunological response that characterizes this disease by inhibiting and regulating T-cell proliferation, as well as suppresses the inflammatory response.35

Some of the factors that MSCs release are known to promote the differentiation of neural progenitor cells toward oligodendrocytes,36 establishing an oligodendrocytic niche. We describe the expression of an array of growth factors. These trophic factors released by the MSC reach the neurogenic niches to promote OPC recruitment toward the source releasing them. These OPCs are active as they express NG2 and migrate from the caudal pole of the LV SEZ homolateral to the transplant. This stream of cells continues up to the graft, without abandoning the subependymal niche. Also, some OPCs from the DG of the hippocampus were observed.

Interestingly, in our sham controls we observed a slight increase in OPCs around the injection site. A previous study described that OPCs are activated when there is an ischemia, demyelination or other lesion in the CNS.37 However, these OPCs were not capable of differentiating into mature oligodendrocytes nor initiate any reactive myelin formation, demonstrated by the absence of MBP immunoreaction.

Even though we observe the activation and maturation of OPCs in a chronic demyelinated tract, it is necessary to confirm that functional remyelination occurs. In chronic lesions of MS, the oligodendrocytes that survive in a demyelinating lesion and have lost their myelinating expansions are not capable of contributing to the remyelination, despite being capable of forming new myelin layers, because they cannot place these layers in the demyelinated axons (reviewed by Franklin38). Thus, it is necessary to perform electrophysiological studies in order to confirm that the remyelination process observed here results in a functional recovery. In this work, we measured the conduction velocity of several areas in the periphery of the transplant. Under normal conditions, the conduction velocity of the remyelinated track was significantly increased compared to the control side, demonstrating the functional recovery observed in the electrophysiological studies.

Figure 2 OPCs around the graft site. (a) Diagram depicting the location of the injection site. The fimbria (Fi) is adjacent to the CC and the hippocampus, subdivided into CA1, CA2 and CA3. (b) MSC-grafted fimbria immunostained for H2A (green) and NG2 (red) in the injection site. In all cases, the graft stimulated OPC clustering. (c) Stem cell-treated fimbria immunostained for GFP (green) and MBP (red) in the injection site. (d) Close-up image of a region of the periphery of the graft (inset in e) staining for MBP (red) and GFP (green) where the stem cells can be seen intertwined with myelinated fibers. (e) NG2, MBP and GFAP expression (in red) in the fimbria of mesenchymal stem cell-grafted mice, sham-operated and the control demyelinated fimbria of the grafted mice. Note that the NG2 in MSC was taken 1 mm rostrally from the graft where no MSC were present. (f–h) Quantification of the expression of NG2 (f), MBP (g) and GFAP (h) in the fimbria of MSC-grafted mice (green bars), sham-operated (yellow bars) and the control fimbria (blue bars) of both groups. A significant increase of the NG2 expression (t = 3.19; P-value = 0.013), MBP expression (t = 4.34; P-value = 0.004) and GFAP expression (t = 2.60; P-value = 0.032) was detected in the MSC-grafted mice compared with the sham-operated mice, whereas no significant differences were detected in both control demyelinated fimbria (corresponding to the contralateral side of the fimbria) in sham-operated and control demyelinated fimbria in MSC-grafted.
Figure 3: Axon conduction velocity in various regions of the fimbria. (a and b) Images of the extracted fimbria, depicting the location of the graft. (c) The stimulus electrode located in the caudal region (c) of the fimbria and a registry electrode in the rostral area (caudal to the graft) (r). (d) Details of the position of the two registry electrodes to obtain the recordings of the caudal fibers around the graft (white line). (e and f) Two fibers were detected: fast (thicker myelin sheaths) and slow (thin and/or non-myelin sheaths) fibers. (g) Histogram depicting the axon potential velocities in the fast fibers measured in the MSC (green), normal non-demyelinated (black), sham-operated (yellow) and control demyelinated fimbria (blue) in the rostral (R) or caudal (C) regions. Red line denotes wild-type values. Scale bar, 1 mm (a and b); 500 μm (c); and 100 μm (d).
circumstances, two fiber types can be detected: fast and slow fibers, corresponding to axons with thick and thin myelin sheaths, respectively. Interestingly, in our model after demyelination the conduction velocities of both fast and slow fibers were not severely affected compared with normal values. This was possibly due to the spontaneous compensatory mechanisms in the axon, such as axonal dilatation that increases axonal diameter. In the MSC-treated group, the conduction velocity of the fast fibers doubled with respect to the other groups, including wild-type controls. Also, when the rostro-medial area of the fimbria was registered, close to the fornix, we observed a very significant increase in the conduction velocity, possibly due to the proximity of OPC migratory routes deriving from the neurogenic niches. In this area a large concentration of OPCs was observed. This strong increase of impulse transmission could be due to the remyelination of dilated axons, which could restore saltatory conduction in already dilated axons.

In conclusion, MSC transplantation resulted in the activation of nearby oligodendrocyte progenitors, which because of the cuprizone treatment maintained an unactivated state. In turn, these OPCs matured into myelinating oligodendrocytes. This was due to the secretion of several trophic factors, which also activated the neurogenic niches, provoking the migration of OPCs from the subventricular zone and DG of the hippocampus toward the graft. These results indicate a possible stem cell-based therapy where mesenchymal stem cells may be delivered into the CNS of MS in the chronic phase of the lesions.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experiments have been performed in compliance with the Spanish and European Union laws on animal care in experimentation (Council Directive 86/609/EEC) and have been analyzed and approved by the Animal Experimentation Committee of our University. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) (C57Bl/6-TgACTB-EGFP1Osb/J) transgenic mice were used as donors, whereas C3H/He (H2Kk) mice were used as hosts. Both animal strains were bred and maintained in our animal facilities.

Demyelinating treatment. Four-week old C3H/He mice were given a diet with 0.2% cuprizone (w/w) for 12 weeks ad libitum in order to obtain a chronic version of toxicity-induced demyelination, as previously indicated, but with the following changes. Finely powdered cuprizone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in 60% tap water (w/v) with 0.5% (w/v) commercialized cane sugar. The cane sugar was added to avoid the weight loss observed in other studies because of the cuprizone treatment. After 12 weeks, the mice were grafted with MSCs (or culture medium in the case of sham-operated group) and returned to normal diet until their death, which was depending on the test at 15 or 90 days (see timeline in Figure 1a).

Isolation and culture of MSCs. The isolation and culture of MSCs was performed as in our previous studies. Briefly, the bone marrows of transgenic GFP mice were extracted, disaggregated and cultured in plastic flasks. The following culture medium was used: high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), 15% fetal bovine serum (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). The stem cells were maintained for 3–4 weeks (passages 2–3) before proceeding to the surgical interventions.

Cell transplantation and experimental groups. A total of 24 mice were injected with MSCs (n = 10 for immunohistochemistry, n = 8 for electrophysiology and n = 6 for electron microscopy). Also, for the sham-operated group, another 10 animals were used (n = 4 for immunohistochemistry, n = 3 for electrophysiology and n = 3 for electron microscopy). In both groups, before performing the surgical procedure, 0.1 mg/kg of buprenorphine (Buprex, Schering-Plough, Madrid, Spain) was injected into the mice. Isoflurane (Esteve Veterinary, Milan, Italy), an inhalational anesthesia, was used, and the mice was placed on a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting, Wheat Lane Wood Dale, IN, USA). The animal was monitored, and anesthesia concentration was controlled. The injections were performed in the right fimbria at the following stereotaxic coordinates (Kopf, model 900 small animal stereotaxic instrument; anteroposterior relative to bregma, mediolateral and dorsoventral from the surface of the brain): –0.11, –0.16 and –0.26 mm, respectively. The MSCs were injected using a 5 μl Hamilton syringe (Reno, NV, USA) coupled with a DMEM-rinsed siliconed-capillary glass. In the MSC-operated group, 300 000 cells were transplanted in 2 μl of DMEM. The left fimbria was considered as the internal control of demyelination for all experimental groups and in all studies except in electron microscopy, where the side of the graft was randomized. After the injection, the incision was sutured and the mice were monitored throughout the whole process of experimentation. For the immunohistochemical analysis, the mice were killed at 15 days after the surgical intervention. As for the remaining tests (quantitative PCR, electrophysiology and electron microscopy), the mice were killed 3 months after the intervention.
immunofluorescence technique. To fixate the brain tissue, the mice were anesthetized using isoflurane and perfused intracardially 4% filtered paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4). The brain was carefully excised and kept in 4% PFA overnight. After fixation, the samples were cryoprotected for 12 h at 4°C in 15% sucrose, followed by incubation overnight in 30% sucrose. Finally, samples were embedded in Neg-50 Frozen Section Medium (Richard-Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). Twelve micrometer transverse or longitudinal serial sections were obtained using a Microm HM525 cryostat and mounted on seven parallel series and processed for immunohistochemistry (Microm, Walldorf, Germany). Double-labeled immunohistochemistry was performed using the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-NG2 (1:150; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), mouse anti-MBP (1:100; Oncogene Research Products, La Jolla, CA, USA), rat anti-proteolipid protein (AA3-PLP) (1:50; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), sheep anti-NT3 (1:200; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), sheep anti-NT4/5 (1:200; Chemicon, Millipore, Oxford, UK), sheep anti-NGF-β (1:500; Chemicon), goat anti-platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), hamster anti-Nilo1 (1,200; mouse anti-H2Dε haplotype (1:100; BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA), mouse monoclonal anti-GFP (1:200, Molecular Probes, Grand Island, NY, USA) and rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:500, Molecular Probes). Even though the grafted stem cells express GFP, immunostaining was performed on this protein in order to amplify the signal, facilitating its observation. As secondary antibodies, for GFP we used anti-mouse or anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; Molecular Probes). In the rest of cases, either Alexa Fluor 594 (1:500; Molecular Probes) or biotinylated secondary antibodies were used (1:200; Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK). In control and internal controls. The animals were killed by cervical dislocation at 3 months after grafting or the equivalent age for sham-treated and controls, and the fimbria–hippocampus complex was dissected and placed in a submersion type recording chamber and superfused with extracellular solution (composition in mM: NaCl, 124; KCl, 2.5; NaH2PO4, 1.25;
NaHCO3, 26; CaCl2, 2; MgCl2, 1; glucose, 10; and osmolarity 300 mOsm/kg (pH 7.4), when saturated with 5% CO2 + 95% O2). The recordings were obtained at room temperature (24.3–26.3°C). The fimbria was stimulated at the caudo-lateral end with a concentric bipolar electrode (Frederick Haer & Co, Bowdoin, ME, USA) using pulses of 0.1 ms of duration. Two simultaneous extracellular recordings were obtained with glass pipettes (0.2–5 MΩ) filled with extracellular solution; the two electrodes were placed in parallel with the fimbria axons in different places with respect to the graft. In the grafted fimbria, the graft was identified because of its

Figure 6  Analysis of neurogenic niches: DG. (a and b) Horizontal sections of the contralateral (non-treated) and MSC-grafted side of the fimbria, respectively, showing the tight location of the DG and fimbria, delimited by yellow-dotted lines. Blue is 4’6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining. (c and d) MBP staining, in red, of the rostral (c) (see ‘Y’ yellow line in the localization scheme) and caudal (d) regions (see ‘C’ yellow line in the localization scheme) to the graft (see ‘G’ green line in the localization scheme), showing that the area closest to the DG expresses more MBP. (e) Reconstructed semi-thin ultramicrotome sagittal section showing cells migrating from the DG to the fimbria. (f–h) High magnifications of insets in e. Red arrows in f and g indicate cell polarity according to a possible cell migration from the DG. Red arrowheads in h indicates cytoplasmic prolongations from an oligodendrocyte ensheathing various axons. (i and j) Oligodendrocyte precursors migrating toward the graft, as observed by electron microscopy. Scale bar, 100 µm (a and b); 50 µm (c–e); 10 µm (f and g); and 5 µm (h)
expression of the trophic factors analyzed in the fimbria, these were quantified in a similar fashion, only that they were normalized to the contralateral (non-treated) side of the fimbria. In this manner, a value over 1 indicated that the treated side presented higher immunoreactivity compared with the non-treated side.

**PCR analysis.** Cultured MSCs were analyzed for gene expression of neurotrophic factors NT3, NT/4/5, PDGF and NGF/β. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) and DNase I treatment to avoid genomic contamination. Reverse Transcription was performed using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen) and processed using the iQ5 Multiplex PCR kit. The sequences of the primers used were: NT3 forward 5'-AGTTGGCGGAAGACTCTC-3', reverse 5'-GGTGTCGTGTTAACATT TGCTTA-3'; NT4/5 forward 5'-TGAGCTGGCATATGCAC, reverse 5'-CAGCGC CGTCTGAAGAAGT-3'; PDGF forward 5'-GCAAGACCGAGACGTGATT, reverse 5'-GGCACTTGAACGCTTG-3'; and NGF/β forward 5'-GC ACTACCCCATACAGTCA-3', reverse 5'-TCCGTAGTCTGCAACAGT-3'. The resulting PCR products were placed in a QIAxcel apparatus (Qiagen) and analyzed using the QIAxcel gel analysis software (QIAxcel Biocalculator).

**Statistical analysis.** Student’s t-test for independent samples was used to detect significant differences between sham and MSC-grafted or MSC-grafted and control fimbria for each case, using IBM SPSS Statistics v19.0.0 software (Armonk, NY, USA).
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