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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Pancreatic paragangliomas (PPGL) are rare benign neuroendocrine neoplasms but malignancy can occur. PPGL are often misdiagnosed as pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor or pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

CASE SUMMARY
We reviewed 47 case reports of PPGL published in PubMed to date. Fifteen patients (15/47) with PPGL underwent endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA). Only six (6/15) were correctly diagnosed as PPGL. All patients with PPGL underwent surgical resection except three (one patient surgery was aborted because of hypertensive crisis, two patients had metastasis or involvement of major vessels). Our patient remained on close surveillance as she was asymptomatic.

CONCLUSION
Accurate preoperative diagnosis of PPGL can be safely achieved by EUS-FNA with immunohistochemistry. Multidisciplinary team approach should be considered to bring the optimal results in the management of PPGL.
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Core Tip: The morphologic overlap between pancreatic paraganglioma and neuroendocrine tumor is significant. An accurate diagnosis by endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration requires firstly that the possibility of paraganglioma is considered and secondly that a cell block is available for immunohistochemical stains. A patient-centered approach supported by a multidisciplinary team of radiologists, advanced endoscopists, endocrinologists, pathologists, oncologists, and surgeons is paramount in the management of pancreatic paraganglioma.

INTRODUCTION
Paragangliomas are rare neuroendocrine neoplasms arising from the sympathetic and parasympathetic paraganglia. This tumor is called pheochromocytoma in the adrenal medulla and elsewhere is known as extra-adrenal paraganglioma or simply as paraganglioma. The malignant potential of these tumors is difficult to predict. Most behave in a benign manner, but metastasis, which best defines malignant paraganglioma, may occur in 15%-20%.[1] When found in or around the pancreas this tumor is often misdiagnosed as pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (PNET) or even pancreatic adenocarcinoma. In this study, we report a case of pancreatic paraganglioma diagnosed by endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) and review of the literature on pancreatic paraganglioma.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 73-year-old female presented with a chief complaint for evaluation of an incidental finding of peripancreatic lymph node.

History of present illness
She underwent computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and pelvis as part of her routine surveillance for extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT-lymphoma) of the lung and was found to have peripancreatic lymph node. She denied any abdominal pain, change in bowel habit, weight loss, nausea, or vomiting.

History of past illness
Her medical history was significant for MALT-lymphoma, invasive lobular breast carcinoma, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, mitral valve prolapse, mitral valve stenosis, and actinic keratosis. Her surgical history included a mastectomy with sentinel lymph node dissection, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, tonsillectomy, left knee replacement, and bilateral carpal tunnel repair.

Personal and family history
Her family history was significant for colon cancer in maternal grandmother at the age of 65 years, prostate cancer in brother at the age of 63 years, and melanoma in mother. She had no history of alcohol or tobacco abuse. She has 2 children and attained menopause at the age of 52 years. Her medications included aspirin, furosemide, carvedilol, rosuvastatin, amiodarone, digoxin, anastrozole, and Eliquis.

Physical examination
Her physical examination was unremarkable, and her abdomen was soft nontender, nondistended with no palpable mass.
**Laboratory examinations**
Laboratory exam including fractionated metanephrines, chromogranin, and gastrin were negative.

**Imaging examinations**
CT of the abdomen and pelvis showed 2 cm × 1.1 cm lymph node adjacent to the pancreatic head (Figure 1A).

**Endoscopy**
EUS showed a 19 mm × 11.5 mm hypoechoic lesion near the pancreatic head (Figure 1B). Two FNA passes using a 25-gauge needle were performed via transduodenal approach (Figure 1C).

**Pathology**
Direct FNA smears showed tumor with neuroendocrine features. Initial immunoperoxidase stains performed on cell block sections were positive for synaptophysin and chromogranin, which seemed to confirm the morphologic impression of PNET. The pathologist was subsequently informed about the peripancreatic location and lack of a definite pancreatic lesion.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**
After additional testing showed the tumor to be positive for GATA-3 and negative for keratin with low expression of Ki-67 (less than 1%), the FNA diagnosis was revised to paraganglioma.

**TREATMENT**
Our patient was referred to endocrine surgery team after the FNA diagnosis of paraganglioma. After a thorough discussion with the patient on the benefits and risks of surgical resection, the patient elected to remain on close surveillance since she was asymptomatic with a 2-cm, nonfunctioning paraganglioma.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**
After a 1-year follow up, patient was found to have stable asymptomatic peripancreatic paraganglioma with no increase in size.

**DISCUSSION**
Paragangliomas are non-epithelial neuroendocrine neoplasms arising in close association with components of the parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous systems [2]. Most parasympathetic paragangliomas are nonfunctional and located along the glossopharyngeal and vagal nerves in the neck and base of the skull [3]. Sympathetic paraganglia secrete catecholamines (functional) and they are commonly located in the paravertebral ganglia of thorax, abdomen, and pelvis [3]. The incidence of extra-adrenal paraganglioma is unclear as these are often described with pheochromocytoma. In the United States, approximately 500-1600 cases are diagnosed every year and the combined annual incidence of pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma is approximately 0.8 per 100000 person-years [4,5]. Pancreatic paragangliomas are more common in women than men (2:1) and the mean age of incidence is 52 years (19-85 years) [6].

Patients with functional paragangliomas can experience hypertension, headache, sweating, and palpitations due to the excessive secretion of catecholamines [7]. Nonsecretory paragangliomas may present with abdominal mass with or without abdominal pain, but most are found incidentally on imaging studies [8,9]. CT has a sensitivity of approximately 90% in the identification of extra-adrenal paragangliomas, which frequently appear as highly vascular structures with areas of intraluminal hemorrhage and necrosis [8,10]. The CT findings of pancreatic paragangliomas differ from those of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by their location at the pancreatic
head and absence of biliary dilation, although mild pancreatic duct dilatation is sometimes seen[11]. Paragangliomas are also differentiated from nonfunctioning islet cell tumor of the pancreas by observation of early contrast filling of the prominent draining veins of the tumor and the portal vein[12]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides tissue characterization superior to CT without radiation[13]. Working synergistically, meta-iodobenzylguanidine (MIBG, I\(^{123}\) or I\(^{131}\)) scan is useful in differentiating functional from nonfunctional paragangliomas as well as in the detection of tumors in unusual locations, multiple primary tumors, and metastasis[13]. MIBG scan has a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 95%-100% in the detection of extra-adrenal paragangliomas. Plasma or urinary metanephrines can be used to further establish the diagnosis of functional paragangliomas[13,14].

While most paragangliomas are solitary and sporadic, they can be multicentric and hereditary. Genetic testing should be considered in all patients diagnosed with paraganglioma as nearly 40% (pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma) carry germline mutations. Genetic testing allows for the identification of simultaneous cancers in hereditary syndromes and assists with screening family members at high risk[15]. The most common genetic mutations associated with paragangliomas are RET gene in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A and 2B, VHL in von Hippel-Lindau disease, NF1 in neurofibromatosis type 1, and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) B, D, C genes[15].

One of the most valuable tools that can assist in establishing the diagnosis of paraganglioma is EUS, which both enables localization of the mass and acquisition of tissue samples for cytology via FNA. When not considered in the differential diagnosis, pancreatic paragangliomas can be easily misdiagnosed on EUS-FNA cytology as pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (NET)[16,17]. Some authors suggest that EUS-FNA should not be done in functional paragangliomas as it can trigger the secretion of catecholamines[18]. In our case, the diagnosis was not established before EUS-FNA and there were no complications during and after the procedure.

On cytology, the cells of paragangliomas are relatively uniform in size, epithelioid in appearance with round to oval nuclei, and arranged in loosely cohesive clusters.

**Figure 1** Computed tomography and endoscopy examinations. A: Computed tomography of abdomen pelvis showing a peripancreatic lymph node adjacent to the pancreatic head; B: Endoscopic ultrasonography showing a hypoechoic lesion near the pancreatic head; C: Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration of the peripancreatic lesion.
# Table 1: Reported cases of pancreatic paraganglioma in the literature

| No. | Ref. | Age | Gender | Size | Location | EUS-FNA | Preop-diagnosis | Surgery | Postop diagnosis |
|-----|------|-----|--------|------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| 1   | Fujino et al [21] | 61 | Male | 2.5 cm | Uncinate process | No | PNET | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 2   | Ohkawara et al [33] | 72 | Female | 4 cm | Head | No | NET | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 3   | Perrot et al [34] | 41 | Female | 4.3 cm | Tail | No | PPGL | Tumor resection | PPGL |
| 4   | Tsukada et al [35] | 51 | Male | 2.5 cm | Uncinate | No | PNET | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 5   | Kim et al [12] | 57 | Female | 7 cm | Head | No | Non-functioning islet cell tumor | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 6   | Paik [36] | 70 | Female | 4.2 cm | Tail | No | None | Distal pancreatectomy | PPGL |
| 7   | He et al [37] | 40 | Female | 4.5 cm | Uncinate | No | None | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 8   | Higa and Kapur [38] | 65 | Female | 2.1 cm | Uncinate | No | None | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 9   | Al-jiffry et al [39] | 19 | Female | 9.5 cm | Head and neck | No | Sarcoma | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 10  | Zhang et al [27] | 50 | Female | 6 cm | Head | Yes | Functional PPGL | Chemotherapy | PPGL |
| 11  | Zhang et al [27] | 63 | Female | 4 cm | Head | No | Functional PPGL | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 12  | Borohain et al [40] | 55 | Female | 19 cm | Tail | No | Pancreatic cancer | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 13  | Straka et al [41] | 53 | Female | Not mentioned | Head | No | None | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 14  | Meng et al [11] | 54 | Female | 3 cm | Head | No | None | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 15  | Meng et al [11] | 41 | Female | 6.2 cm | Head | No | None | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 16  | Misumi et al [42] | 47 | Female | 1.5 cm | Head | EUS only | PNET | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 17  | Bartley et al [43] | 75 | Female | 15 cm | Tail | No | Pancreatic cyst | Not available | PPGL |
| 18  | Bartley et al [43] | 70 | Female | 3 cm | Head | No | Pancreatic cyst | Not available | PPGL |
| 19  | Cope et al [44] | 72 | Female | 14 cm | Head | No | Cystadenoma | Not available | PPGL |
| 20  | Zamir et al [45] | 47 | Male | 10 cm | Body | No | Pancreatic cyst | Not available | PPGL |
| 21  | Parithivel et al [46] | 85 | Male | 6 cm | Head | No | NET | Surgical resection | PPGL |
| 22  | Wang et al [32] | 30 | Female | 6.4 cm | Tail | No | None | No surgery | PPGL |
| 23  | Ganc et al [18] | 37 | Female | 4.8 cm | Head | Yes | NET | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 24  | Tumuluru et al [47] | 62 | Female | 2.9 cm | Body | Yes | NET | Distal pancreatectomy/splenectomy | PPGL |
| 25  | Ginesu et al [48] | 55 | Male | 2.5 cm | Uncinate | No | NET | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 26  | Liang and Xu [49] | 41 | Male | 6.4 cm | Uncinate | No | NET | Pancreaticoduodenectomy | PPGL |
| 27  | Lin et al [3] | 42 | Female | 6.3 cm | Body | No | NET | Middlesegment pancreatectomy | PPGL |
| Authors     | Year | Gender | Age  | Primary Location | Histological Type | Treatment       | Source |
|------------|------|--------|------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Nguyen et al [23] | 2021 | Female | 70   | Tail             | Yes               | PPGL            | Surgical resection |
| Zeng et al [19]    | 2021 | Female | 58   | Head             | Yes               | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Zeng et al [19]    | 2021 | Female | 53   | Head             | Yes               | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Female | 61   | Tail             | Yes               | Pseudocyst      | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Female | 52   | Body             | Yes               | PPGL            | Not performed     |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Female | 54   | Head             | Yes               | PPGL            | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Male   | 40   | Body             | Yes               | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Female | 78   | Body             | Yes               | Spindle cell neoplasm | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Male   | 44   | Head             | Yes               | PPGL            | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Male   | 38   | Body             | No                | None            | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Male   | 47   | Body             | No                | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Singhi et al [16]  | 2021 | Female | 37   | Tail             | No                | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Fite and Maleki [9] | 2021 | Male   | 40   | Peripancreatic   | No                | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Fite and Maleki [9] | 2021 | Female | 23   | Peripancreatic   | No                | NET             | Surgical resection |
| Malthouse et al [56] | 2021 | Male   | 58   | Head             | No                | NET             | Not available     |
| Malthouse et al [50] | 2021 | Female | 45   | Head             | No                | Retro peritoneal tumor | Not available |
| Sangster et al [10] | 2021 | Male   | 50   | Not available    | Head             | Yes             | Poorly differentiated carcinoma | Radiation treatment |
| Lightfoot et al [51] | 2021 | Male   | 66   | Head/uncinate    | No                | None            | Pancreaticoduodenectomy |
| Abbasi et al [52]  | 2021 | Female | 61   | Head/uncinate    | Yes               | NET             | Pancreaticoduodenectomy |
| Present case      | 2021 | Female | 73   | Head             | Yes               | PPGL            | No surgery        |

PPGL: Pancreatic paraganglioma; PNET: Pancreatic Neuroendocrine tumor; EUS-FNA: Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration.

Morphological patterns like acinar/glandular architecture and rosette-like arrangements can be observed in paragangliomas [20]. In histologic sections, the tumor is typically composed of nests of cells separated by a highly vascularized network [21]. Although the morphologic overlap between paraganglioma and NET is significant, the distinction can be confidently made with immunoperoxidase stains, which require a cell block preparation. Both pancreatic paragangliomas and NETs readily express neuroendocrine markers like synaptophysin and chromogranin [19]. While most NETs are immunoreactive to pancytokeratins (AE1/AE3 and CAM 5.2) but not vimentin, paragangliomas show the opposite profile [19]. GATA-3 and PAX-8 can also be used to distinguish paragangliomas from NETs. Paragangliomas from any anatomic site are immunoreactive to GATA-3 in approximately 55% of the cases, but NETs are always nonreactive [22]. Of note, GATA-3 can be positive in cells of breast, urothelial, and pancreatic origin [23]. PAX-8 has a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 74% for primary pancreatic NETs, but paragangliomas have weak or negative immunoreactivity to PAX-8 [24-26]. In our case, FNA smears with Papanicolaou stain showed abundant, tangled cellular processes and relatively uniform nuclei with finely granular chromatin and indistinct nucleoli (Figure 2). FNA cell block with hematoxylin and eosin stain (Figure 3A), diffuse cytoplasmic staining with chromogranin (Figure 3B),
Figure 2 Fine needle aspiration direct smear. Papanicolaou stain, × 400.

Figure 3 Fine needle aspiration cell block. A: Hematoxylin and eosin stain, × 200; B: Diffuse cytoplasmic staining, chromogranin (× 100); C: Diffuse nuclear staining, GATA-3, (× 100); D: No staining, keratin cocktail (× 100).

diffuse nuclear staining with GATA-3 (Figure 3C), and no staining with keratin cocktail (Figure 3D).

Since there are no definitive criteria for the diagnosis of malignancy in paraganglioma apart from metastasis, the treatment of choice for paraganglioma is surgical resection. For functional paragangliomas, preoperative administration of α-adrenergic receptor blocker can help prevent a hypertensive crisis during the surgery[27]. The most common sites of metastasis include the regional lymph nodes, bone, lung, and liver, and the dissemination usually occurs through blood or lymph nodes[28]. When surgery is not feasible, radiation therapy can be considered[29]. For malignant paragangliomas, treatment with I\textsuperscript{131} MIBG or combination chemotherapy (cyclophos-
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