Garcinol inhibits the proliferation of endometrial cancer cells by inducing cell cycle arrest
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Abstract. Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecological cancer, and one of the most important causes of cancer-related deaths in women worldwide. The long-term survival rate is lower in advanced-stage and recurrent EC, therefore it is important to identify new anticancer drugs. Garcinol, a polyisoprenylated benzophenone, is a promising anticancer drug for various cancer types but its effects on EC remain unclear. To investigate the anticancer effects of garcinol on EC, cell proliferation and cell cycle were assessed by real-time cell proliferation, cell counting, and colony formation assays, flow cytometric analysis, and 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation assay, in EC Ishikawa (ISH) and HEC-1B cell lines. Western blotting was used to evaluate the expression of cell cycle-related protein cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinase and tumor suppression proteins. Garcinol inhibited ISH and HEC-1B cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, and induced ISH and HEC-1B cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase and G2/M phase, respectively, and decreased the S phase and DNA synthesis in these two cell lines. Following garcinol treatment the expression levels of p53 and p21 were increased, while the expression levels of CDK2, CDK4, cyclin D1 and cyclin B1 were gradually decreased in a dose-dependent manner in both ISH and HEC-1B cells. In addition, the expression levels of phosphorylated c-JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p-c-JUN were significantly increased in both types of cells. Collectively, garcinol can induce EC cell cycle arrest and may be a promising candidate for EC chemotherapy.

Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecological cancer in developed countries and one of the most important causes of cancer-related deaths in women worldwide. Approximately 3% of women develop EC in their lifetime, and the incidence is expected to increase further over the next 10 years (1). EC is generally classified into two types. Type I EC is the most common and is estrogen-related, and in general this tumor is low grade and can be diagnosed according to early bleed symptoms. Type II EC is much more likely to be high grade (2). The survival rate of patients with early-stage EC is relatively high, but there is a poor prognosis for patients with advanced or recurrent EC due to limited treatment options (3). In addition, for patients with metastatic EC, the median survival time is only 7-12 months (4). The standard treatment for EC is surgery, platinum-based chemotherapy and radiotherapy where recommended as adjuvant therapy for patients with high histologic grade or metastasis (3). Estrogen and progesterone receptors are expressed in Type 1 EC, which is often responsive to endocrine treatment (5). However, endocrine therapy for advanced stage and recurrent EC remains controversial as it has not been revealed to widely improve long-term survival rates (6). For unresectable recurrent/metastatic EC, chemotherapy is vital for EC patients, but currently the options for therapeutic agents are limited, this may be in part due to variations in the molecular and genetic characteristics of the tumor. In addition, EC patients are presenting at a younger age than in previous decades (7-9) therefore more chemotherapeutic agents or individual targeting drugs with lower toxicity need to be urgently investigated. One option is to investigate potential therapeutic drugs from natural compounds to improve EC treatment.

Garcinol, a polyisoprenylated benzophenone, is a natural compound isolated from the fruit rinds of *Garcinia indica* (10). In recent years, garcinol has been reported to have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anticancer effects (11-14). The anticancer function of garcinol has been reported in several types of cancer cells, such as colon, prostate, liver, lung, breast, esophageal, pancreatic and oral cancer cells (12,15,18). Zhao *et al* demonstrated that garcinol suppressed cervical cancer cell proliferation but did not change the cell viability of primary normal cervical cells (19). Studies have also
revealed that garcinol has an antitumor function in vivo and in vitro (12,13). Therefore, garcinol may be a promising agent for some types of cancers. However, it has not been investigated whether garcinol has anticancer effects in EC.

The cell cycle is an important physiological process regulating cell growth and proliferation. Cell cycle progression is tightly controlled by cell cycle-related proteins, including cell cycle promoters cyclin D/E/A/B and cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 1/2/4/6, and cell cycle inhibitors p15, p16, p21, p27 and p53 (20). These proteins exert their functions at specific different phases of the cell cycle, although the cell cycle has a circular control system. Cyclins are synthesized and destroyed at particular times during the cell cycle (21). Different members of the CDK family are associated with different cyclins, which play an important role in switching from one phase to the next throughout the cell cycle (22). Numerous anticancer drugs induce cell cycle arrest to inhibit tumor cell proliferation (23-26). Studies have revealed that garcinol can also induce cell cycle arrest to inhibit cell growth in lung cancer (26), cervical (19), oral (24), and breast cancer (17). In lung cancer cells, garcinol altered relative cell-cycle protein expression levels, upregulating p53 and p21, and down-regulating cyclin D, CDK2 and CDK4, to induce cell cycle arrest (26). Garcinol inhibited cervical cancer cells by delaying cell cycle progression at the G0/G1 phase and downregulating cyclin D1 and CDK4, while upregulating p21 and p53 (19). However, whether garcinol can induce cell cycle arrest and inhibit cell proliferation in EC is unknown. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect(s) of garcinol on EC cell proliferation and the cell cycle.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human EC cell lines, Ishikawa (ISH) and HEC-1-B, were purchased from FuHeng Cell Bank (FuHeng Biology; https://www.fudancell.com/). Both of these cell lines were authenticated with DNA fingerprinting using short tandem repeat (STR) methodology by the provider on 13 June 2017 and 24 May 2017, respectively. ISH and HEC-1B cells were authenticated with DNA fingerprinting using short tandem repeat (STR) methodology by the provider on 13 June 2017 and 24 May 2017, respectively. ISH and HEC-1B cells were purchased from FuHeng Cell Bank (FuHeng Materials and methods).

Cell counting assay. The cells (1x10⁵) were seeded in 6-well plates. After incubation in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere at 37°C for 24 h, various concentrations of garcinol (0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 µM) were added to each well and the cells were cultured in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere at 37°C. After 48 h, the cells were digested at 37°C for 3 min by 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution (cat. no. 25200056; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and resuspended to a single cell suspension, and then the number of cells were counted by an automated cell counter machine (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The assay was performed three times in triplicate.

Colony formation assay. A total of 500 cells/well were plated in 6-well plates, after 24 h, and then 0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 µM garcinol was added and the media changed every 48 h. After 14 days, the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 100% methanol at room temperature for 30 min, and then washed with PBS. The plates were stained with 1% crystal violet for 1 h at room temperature and washed with tap water. Plates were air-dried, photographed and all colonies were counted. The assay was performed three times in triplicate.

Western blot analysis. Control and garcinol-treated cells were washed twice with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then RIPA buffer was added (cat. no. P0013B; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) with PMSF (cat. no. ST506; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) and protease inhibitor cocktail (cat. no. CW2383S; CWBIO) on ice. Cells were detached with a scraper, and cell suspensions were transferred to EP tubes and lysed on ice for 30 min. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 x g at 4°C for 30 min and the supernatant was collected and stored at -80°C until required for analysis. Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay kit (cat. no. P0009; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Total protein (20 µg) was mixed with 5X loading buffer and denatured at 95°C for 8 min before being separated on 10% SDS-PAGE (cat. no. 1610183; Bio-Rad Laboratories) and electro-transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (cat. no. IPVH00010; EMD Millipore). The membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 2 h at room temperature and incubated with target antibodies on a shaking bed overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used in the present study were: Anti-p53 (cat. no. MA5-12557; mouse; 1:1,000) and anti-cyclin D1 (cat. no. MA5-14512; rabbit; 1:800; both from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.); anti-CDK2 (cat. no. sc-6248; mouse; 1:2,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); anti-β-actin (cat. no. A531; mouse; 1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA); anti-SAPK/JNK (product no. 9252S; rabbit; 1:2,000); and anti-c-Jun (product no. 9165S; rabbit; 1:1,000); phospho-SAPK/JNK (Thr183/Tyr185) (product no. 4668S; rabbit; 1:1,000); and phospho-c-Jun (Ser73) (product no. 3270S; rabbit; 1:1,000; all from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). After removing the primary antibodies, membranes were washed with TBST 3x10 min. The membranes were then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (cat. no. SA00001-2; 1:5,000) and goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (cat. no. SA00001-1; 1:5,000; both from Dako).
ProteinTech Group, Inc.) on a shaking bed for 2 h at room temperature. After washing 3 times with TBST, chemiluminescence substrate was used for development and signals were captured by ChemiDoc XRS+ imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Data were analyzed by ImageJ 1.45 software (NIH) and β-actin was used as the internal control. Each assay was repeated 3 times.

**Flow cytometric analysis.** ISH and HEC-1B cells were seeded at 5x10^5 cells in 60 mm dishes. After 24 h, media with various concentrations of garcinol (0, 10 and 20 µM) were added to cells to treat for 48 h at 37°C. Cells were washed with PBS, digested to a single cell suspension with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution for 3 min at 37°C, and then centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at room temperature to collect cells. After resuspension, cells were fixed with chilled 75% alcohol at -20°C for 2 days. Cells were washed 3x with ice cold PBS, stained with propidium iodide (PI) (50 µg/ml) (cat. no. P4170) and RNase A (100 µg/ml) (cat. no. V900498; both from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. A FACS Aria II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) was used to obtain cell cycle data and data were analyzed by FLOWJO 7.6 (BD Biosciences). Each experiment was repeated 3 separate times.

**5-Ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation assay.** Cells (1.5x10^5) were seeded in 8-well Nunc Lab-Tek II chamber slides (cat. no. 154534; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and treated with various concentrations of garcinol (0, 10 and...
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20 µM) for 48 h at 37°C when 10 µM EdU (BeyoClick™ EdU Cell Proliferation Kit with Alexa Fluor 488; cat. no. C0071L, Beyotime, Shanghai, China) used according to the manufacturer’s instructions was added to the cell culture and the cells were incubated for an additional 2 h at 37°C. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 30 min, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 15 min, and then click additive solution contained in the aforementioned kit was added and the cells were incubated on a shaking bed for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. The cell nucleus was stained with Hoechst 33342 (included in the aforementioned kit) for 15 min at room temperature. Images were captured by Leica DM4B Fluorescence Microsystems using a 20X objective. Data are presented as the ratio of the fluorescent-positive cells to total cells. Each experiment was repeated 3 separate times.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviations (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 16.0 (IBM Corp.). One-way analysis of variation (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test was used to compare individual data with the control values. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Results

Garcinol inhibits EC cell proliferation. To investigate the effect of garcinol on EC proliferation, RTCA and a cell counting assay were performed with ISH and HEC-1B cells. In the RTCA assay, garcinol inhibited cell proliferation of both ISH and HEC-1B cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 1A-D). This result was confirmed in both cell lines by traditional cell counting methodology (Fig. 1E and F). Data revealed that ISH cells were more sensitive than HEC-1B cells to garcinol treatment, since 5 µM garcinol could significantly inhibit cell proliferation in ISH cells from 48 to 72 h, but that concentration of garcinol could only inhibit the proliferation of HEC-1B cells at 60 h (Fig. 1B and D).

Garcinol inhibits the colony formation ability of EC cells. After 14 days of continuous culture in various concentrations of garcinol, colony formation of both ISH and HEC-1B cells was significantly inhibited (Fig. 2). Treatment with 5 µM garcinol resulted in fewer, smaller colonies in both cell lines compared with the control. Treatment with 10 and 20 µM garcinol completely inhibited colony formation. However, even after a long culture time, 1 µM garcinol could not significantly decrease the ability of colony formation in both types of cells.

Figure 2. Garcinol inhibits colony formation of EC cells. ISH and HEC-1B cells were treated with garcinol (0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 µM) for 14 days and stained with crystal violet. (A) Representative images of colony formation assay for ISH (upper panel) and HEC-1B (lower panel) cells. (B and C) The number of ISH (B) and HEC-1B (C) colonies in each of the treatment groups were counted and data is presented as the mean ± SD, n=3. *P<0.05 and ***P<0.001 compared with the control, indicate statistical significance by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. EC, endometrial cancer; ISH, Ishikawa; Gar, garcinol.
Garcinol attenuates S-phase DNA synthesis in EC cells. To further assess the anti-proliferation function of garcinol, an EdU incorporation assay was used to directly detect DNA replication. After garcinol treatment, the percentage of EdU-positive cells was significantly decreased in the 10 and 20 µM garcinol-treated ISH cells and 20 µM HEC-1B cells compared with the control of both types of EC cells (Fig. 3). The result demonstrated that garcinol can attenuate S-phase DNA synthesis in EC cells to exert its anticancer effect.

Garcinol induces cell cycle arrest in ISH and HEC-1B cells. The cell cycle is an important physiological process which controls proliferation, growth and survival of cells. Cell cycle arrest can inhibit cancer cell proliferation, thus numerous anticancer drugs use this mechanism for cancer therapy (20,27). After garcinol treatment for 48 h, ISH cells were arrested in the G1 phase, while HEC-1B cells were arrested in the G2 phase, and garcinol reduced the number of cells in the S phase in both cell types (Fig. 4). The cell cycle results indicated that garcinol...
can inhibit cell proliferation by inducing cell cycle arrest in EC, although the exact mechanism may differ for different EC cell types.

Garcinol regulates the expression of cell cycle-related genes in EC cells. Cell cycle progression is tightly controlled by cell cycle-related genes (22,28). Therefore, examining the expression of those genes/proteins may elucidate how garcinol regulates the cell cycle in EC cells. Western blotting demonstrated that the expression of p53 and p21 was significantly increased, while the expression of CDK2, CDK4, cyclin D1 and cyclin B1 (22) was gradually decreased in a dose-dependent manner in both ISH and HEC-1B cell lines (Fig. 5). Moreover, p53 expression was more sensitive than the other genes to garcinol stimulation in ISH cells, and even 1 µM garcinol could induce its expression. Therefore, garcinol could induce cell cycle arrest through regulating the expression of cell cycle-related proteins in EC cells.

Garcinol may activate the JNK/c-JUN signaling pathway in EC cells. The MAPK signaling pathway is one of the key pathways regulating cell proliferation, the cell cycle and apoptosis. In the present study, ISH and HEC-1B cells were treated with 20 µM garcinol for 30, 60, 90 and 120 min, and phosphorylation levels of JNK and c-JUN were detected by western blotting. Garcinol treatment increased phosphorylation levels of JNK and c-JUN, in both of ISH and HEC-1B cells (Fig. 6). p-JNK was significantly increased at 60 and 90 min in ISH cells, while it was significantly increased at 30, 90 and 120 min in HEC-1B cells. p-c-JUN was significantly increased at 90 and 120 min in ISH cells, and for HEC-1B cells, it was significantly increased from 30 to 120 min. Therefore,
Discussion

EC is the most common cancer of the female reproductive system and an important cause of cancer-related deaths in women worldwide (29). The incidence and mortality of EC are increasing rapidly worldwide (30). The 5-year survival rate is more than 90% in patients with early-stage disease, treated with surgery and then brachytherapy or external beam radiation therapy (29,31). However, the prognosis of patients with distant metastasis is poor, with the 5-year survival rate less than 20% (32). The standard treatment for EC is surgery, and platinum-based chemotherapy and radiotherapy are recommended as adjuvant therapy for patients with high histological grade or metastasis (3). However, it is becoming increasingly important to develop new anticancer drugs. Previous studies

Figure 5. Garcinol regulates the expression of cell cycle-related proteins in EC cells. Effect of garcinol on the expression of cell cycle-regulated genes in EC cells were detected by western blotting. ISH and HEC-1B cells were cultured for 24 h and then treated with and without garcinol (0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 µM) for another 24 h. (A) Total protein was collected, and western blot analyses were performed for p53, p21, cyclin D1, cyclin B1, CDK2, CDK4, β-actin was used as α loading control. (B) Band density was analyzed by ImageJ, and the results are expressed as the mean ± SD, n=3. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 compared with the control, indicate statistical significance by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. EC, endometrial cancer; ISH, Ishikawa; Gar, garcinol.
indicated that garcinol could inhibit cancer cell proliferation and induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (12,24,26). In the present study, it was demonstrated that garcinol could inhibit the proliferation and cell cycle progression of ISH and HEC-1B cells.

The cell cycle has three important checkpoints G1-to-S, G2-to-M and M-to-G1. However, cell cycle arrest at any point will finally lead to diminished cell proliferation. In cancer cells, minimal blocks at the G1-S transition point drives cells into the S phase, resulting in uncontrolled growth, therefore...
Investigation of agents which target the cell cycle is a viable option for therapeutics (28). Several previous studies have also reported that garcinol treatment arrested the cell cycle at the G0/G1 phase in breast cancer MCF-7 cells, lung cancer cells, and cervical cancer cells (17,19,26,33). Garcinol has also been revealed to arrest oral cancer cells at the S phase (24), and 3T3-L1 cells at the G2/M phase (34). The present study also revealed that garcinol caused cell cycle arrest in EC, although its effect was different in the two different cell lines investigated. ISH cells were arrested at the G1 phase and HEC-1B cells were arrested at the G2 phase, although both cell types had a decrease in the number of cells in the S phase, which corresponded to a decrease in S-phase DNA synthesis. The differences in mechanism of cell cycle arrest between these two cell types may be determined by their variant genetic characteristics. The ISH cell line is derived from a well differentiated adenocarcinoma, with high expression levels of ER-α, ER-β and PR, but low expression levels of hMLH-1 and PTEN (35). The HEC-1B cell line is derived from a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, with low expression levels of ER-α, ER-β and PR, but high expression levels of hMLH-1 and PTEN (35). However, whether these genetic differences have effects, or how they are involved in the effects of garcinol in EC remain to be further investigated. These studies all support that garcinol decreases cancer cell proliferation by targeting the cell cycle, although the exact mode of action may differ depending on the cancer cell type.

The progression of the cell cycle is regulated by cyclins and CDK complexes. CDKs are activated by cyclins and suppressed by cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors at cell cycle checkpoints or specific phases (20,22). Garcinol has been revealed to inhibit cervical cancer cell cycle progression at the G0/G1 phase, via a mechanism associated with downregulation of cyclin D1 and CDK4, and upregulation of p21 and p53 (19). In lung cancer cells, garcinol treatment downregulated the expression of CDK2, CDK4 and cyclin D, leading to the H1299 lung cancer cells being arrested at the G1 phase (26). In the present study it was demonstrated that garcinol treatment upregulated the expression of the tumor suppressor proteins p53 and p21, and downregulated the expression of their target proteins CDK4, cyclin D1 and cyclin B1 in ISH and HEC-1B cells. However, garcinol arrested the cell cycle at different points in these two cell lines suggesting some other, as yet unidentified, pathways may also be involved in garcinol cell cycle arrest in different EC cell lines.

The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway is an important pathway in cancer, and has been developed as a target for cancer treatment. It is known that JNK, ERK and p38 are all members of the MAPK pathway which are involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, cell cycle and apoptosis (25). Numerous anticancer drugs exert their effects through the p38 MAPK or ERK/MAPK signaling pathways to control cell proliferation (23,25,26,36). JNK is the major downstream target of JNK signaling, and transcriptional regulation of c-JUN and its target genes is one of the main functions of JNK (37). The MAPK signaling pathway has been reported to play a role in various types of cancer including prostate, colorectal and pancreatic cancers and has been developed as a therapeutic target (38). The present study revealed that after garcinol treatment the expression of p53, a downstream target of the JNK/c-JUN pathway, was increased in EC. Therefore, it was determined whether garcinol inhibited EC cell proliferation through the JNK/c-JUN signaling pathway. As a cell permeable drug, garcinol may affect signaling pathways in cells to regulate the proliferation and the cell cycle in EC cells. Garcinol increased phosphorylation of both JNK and c-JUN in both EC cell types investigated. The regulation of the JNK signaling pathway may be one of the signaling mechanisms by which garcinol inhibits proliferation of EC. The activation of p-JNK has also been found in response to other anticancer agents such as huaier, aplidin and adaphostin (25,37,39,40). JNK in turn can phosphorylate and activate the transcription factor activator protein-1 (AP-1), and activating transcription factor-2, c-Myc, p53, and Bcl-2 family proteins, with these proteins controlling various cellular responses, such as proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, the cell cycle and autophagy (25,37,39,40). Since the JNK/c-JUN pathway was activated and its downstream target gene p53 was significantly increased after garcinol treatment, the JNK/c-JUN pathway may play important roles in garcinol-induced EC cell cycle arrest. However, it is also possible that other pathways may also be involved.

As a tumor suppressor gene, p53 induces cell cycle arrest mainly through transcriptional activation of p21, which in turn binds to cyclin D/CDK4 complexes and may cause G1 phase arrest (41,42). Garcinol treatment induced H1299 lung cancer cell G1 arrest through p21\(^{WAF1/CIP1}\) and p27\(^{KIP1}\) activation, and subsequent inhibition of CDK activity (26). p53 acts mainly through the transcriptional activation of p21, and p21 binding to cyclin D/CDK4 complexes to cause G1 phase arrest (42). Therefore, it was demonstrated that garcinol may act by upregulating the expression of p21 and p53 and downregulating cyclin D1 and CDK4 to induce ISH cell arrest in the G1/S phase. However, p53 activation can not only arrest cells at the G0/G1 checkpoint, but also at the G2/M checkpoint, because p21 can also inhibit cyclin B/CDK1 to inhibit cell-cycle progression, and other p53 target genes such as 14-3-3σ GADD45, may interrupt the interaction of Cdc2/cyclin B1 and participate in the arrest of G2/M transition (43,44). In the present study it was demonstrated that the expression of cyclin B1 was reduced, fitting with cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase in HEC-1B cells induced by garcinol. Therefore, garcinol may act through activation of p53, upregulation of p21 leading to inhibition of cyclin B1 and finally causing HEC-1B cell arrest in the G2/M phase.

Previous studies have suggested that garcinol could inhibit cancer cell proliferation through inhibition of STAT-3, NF-κB and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways (16,19,24). However, in the present study, one of the limitations was that we only investigated the role of garcinol in the JNK/c-JUN pathway in EC. In addition, garcinol is an inhibitor of the histone acetyltransferases (HAT) p300 and Pcaf (45), with numerous roles in neurocytes (46-49), immunocytes (50,51) and cancer cells. Therefore, further \textit{in vitro} studies should also investigate other potential signaling pathways, as well as other potential targets of garcinol activity including apoptosis, autophagy and invasion. To further verify the potential use of garcinol as a therapeutic for EC its effects on primary EC cells should also be investigated \textit{in vitro}, as well as in \textit{in vivo} animal models, particularly focusing on metastatic disease.
In conclusion, in the present study evidence was provided that garcinol can inhibit cell growth and induce cell cycle arrest in EC, by regulating the expression of cell cycle-related genes and the JNK/c-JUN signaling pathway. Therefore, garcinol may be a promising candidate agent for EC chemotherapy.
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