Laparoscopic Enucleation of a Nonfunctioning Neuroendocrine Tumor of the Pancreas
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Laparoscopic approaches are increasingly used in pancreatic surgery. In the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) of the pancreas, enucleation is one of the recommended surgery. Although many clinical experiences have reported the safety and efficacy of laparoscopic enucleation of functioning NETs, such as insulinomas, few reports have explored such treatment for non-functioning NETs. Here, we present a case of 70-year old female patient who underwent successful laparoscopic enucleation of a nonfunctioning NET located in the body of the pancreas.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic approaches are increasingly used in pancreatic surgery, and have been extended to use in the staging of malignant pancreatic neoplasms, the management of benign inflammatory pancreatic disease, and the resection of benign or borderline malignant cysts and neoplasms of the pancreas.1-5 In the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) of the pancreas, enucleation is the recommended surgery, since the tumors are usually benign and pancreatic function must be preserved. Although many researches have described the safety and efficacy of laparoscopic enucleation of functioning NETs, such as insulinomas,5-10 few reports on such treatment for non-functioning NETs of the pancreas have been introduced. Here, we present a case of successful laparoscopic enucleation of a nonfunctioning NET located in the body of the pancreas.

CASE REPORT

Patient

A 70-year-old female patient was admitted to the Department of Surgery at Yonsei Medical Center in Seoul, Korea after a pancreatic mass was incidentally discovered during the evaluation of abdominal discomfort and nausea. She had previously been in good health without any prior medical history. An abdominal CT scan revealed a 1 cm-sized hypervascular mass in the body of the pancreas without any evidence of regional lymph node enlargement or distant metastasis (Fig. 1). An endoscopic ultrasound of the entire pancreas revealed a single 1 cm-sized homogenous, hypoechoic mass in the pancreatic body (Fig. 2) Routine blood chemistry and tumor marker levels (CA 19-9, CEA, and CA 125) were all within normal limits. Because it appeared that the patient had a neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas, she underwent laparoscopic enucleation.

Surgery

The patient was placed in the supine position with her legs separated. The surgeon and scrub nurse stood on the right side of the patient, the assistant surgeon navigated the camera and stood between the patient’s legs, while another assistant
surgeon stood on the left side of the patient. A total of four trocars (one 12-mm trocar, three 5-mm trocars) were used in this surgical procedure (Fig. 3). Two trocars (5-mm) were placed on the right side to pass the instruments, and another trocar (5-mm) was inserted on the left side to retract the lateral segment of the liver. Two monitors, a 30 degree laparoscope, a harmonic scalpel and routine laparoscopic instruments were used, and CO\textsubscript{2} pneumoperitoneum (12 mmHg) was maintained throughout the procedure. Initially, the hepatogastric ligament was opened and the pancreatic mass was easily identified. It was superficial and well-demarcated pancreatic mass (Fig. 4A). The entire pancreas was explored with a flexible laparoscopic ultrasound and the lesion was detected at the site previously indicated by CT and EUS. One prolene 5-0 holding suture was placed through the pancreatic mass for appropriate traction. Enucleation of the pancreatic mass was achieved by blunt and sharp dissection of the peripancreatic tissue (Figs. 4B and C). After completion of the surgical procedure, the pancreatic mass was withdrawn from the abdominal cavity with a plastic bag. The surgical procedure was completed without requiring any abdominal drain.

**Postoperative course**

The patient recovered without complication. She returned to an oral diet immediately and was discharged on the third postoperative day. Patho

| Author                | Age (yrs) | Sex | Size | Location | Op. time (min) | Blood loss (mL) | Complication | LOS |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----|------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----|
| Pierce RA, et al.\textsuperscript{14} | 66        | M   | 0.8  | Tail     | 259            | 20             | None         | 3   |
| Singh N, et al.\textsuperscript{13}   | 26        | F   | 5    | Head     | 165            | 50             | None         | 3   |
| Current case          | 70        | F   | 1    | Body     | 60             | 10             | None         | 3   |

M, male; F, female.
logic evaluation revealed the resected specimen was found to be a $10 \times 12 \times 10$ mm mass (Fig. 4D), with the histological features of a pancreatic endocrine tumor. The cells stained immunohistochemically with chromogranin A (Figs. 5 and 6). It had no evidence of local invasiveness (no neural invasion, no lymphovascular invasion, no capsular /peripancreatic invasion). Especially, the expression of Ki-67 was less than 5%.

Fig. 4. Intraoperative laparoscopic view. Superficial locating, well-demarcated pancreatic mass is noted in the body of pancreas (A). Enucleation of the pancreatic mass is achieved (B and C). Gross pathologic finding. It was about 1cm sized homogenous well demarcating mass (D).

Fig. 5. Hematoxylin-Eosin staining ($\times 200$).

Fig. 6. Immunohistochemistry with chromogranin A ($\times 200$).
DISCUSSION

Neuroendocrine (islet cell) tumor (NET) of the pancreas is a relatively rare pathologic condition. Most NETs of the pancreas are not associated with the clinical symptoms of hormonal over-secretion and are classified as nonfunctional. Laparoscopic pancreatic surgery is emerging as a treatment option for nonfunctioning NETs of the pancreas. Based on our surgical experience with nonfunctioning NETs,53% of the patients had tumors in distal part of the pancreas and the median tumor size was 3.5 cm in diameter. Theoretically, laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy can be recommended for those neoplasms. However, in our experience, tumors greater than 3 cm in diameter were preoperative risk factors for an increased rate of metastasis and macroinvasion to adjacent organs, and substantially impacted patient survival. Therefore, the laparoscopic approach should be carefully chosen in large nonfunctioning NETs because curative resection is so important for long-term survival.

Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy with or without splenectomy has been reported in the literature as a treatment for nonfunctioning NETs of the pancreas,1,5,12 but reports of laparoscopic enucleation of nonfunctioning NETs of the pancreas are rare. This relative paucity of data may be related to the asymptomatic nature of these neoplasms. In contrast, laparoscopic enucleation of functioning NETs, such as insulinomas, has been frequently described. The asymptomatic nature of small nonfunctioning NETs is an obstacle to the early discovery of such tumors. However, the frequency of early detection of small lesions in the pancreas is expected to increase due to easy accessibility of abdominal ultrasound and increased patient health awareness. With such early detection, laparoscopic enucleation of small, nonfunctioning NETs of the pancreas could offer a shorter hospital stay, good cosmetic effect, less pain, and an early return to normal social activity.

Only two cases of laparoscopic enucleation for nonfunctioning pancreatic NETs have been reported in the literature (Table 1).13,14 In general, nonfunctioning NETs in the pancreatic head are not recommended for such surgery because of the risk of open conversion due to technical difficulties or an inability to localize the tumor.15 However, successful laparoscopic enucleation of such tumors has been reported, and this procedure appears to be feasible and reproducible in well-selected benign nonfunctioning NENs of the pancreas.

Minimally invasive surgery is thought to both reduce surgical damage and minimize the frequency of postoperative complications. Enucleation procedures have the advantage of preserving pancreatic and spleen parenchyma, without the morbidity, mortality, or late sequelae of extensive resection.16 The most common complication is pancreatic fistula.17 Accordingly, patients may be considered candidates for enucleation if the pancreatic neoplasm is free of the pancreatic duct and has no vascular involvement on preoperative imaging studies. To this end, intraoperative ultrasound can aid in identifying the mass and determining its proximity to the pancreatic duct, which can decrease the incidence of the pancreatic fistula.18 In current case, we did not place any type of drain system because the tumor was very small (about 1 cm) and superficial location apart from main pancreatic duct, which may have low risk of postoperative pancreatic fistula. We don’t think any drainage symset is necessary.

In summary, we avoided a long abdominal incision and inflicted less stressful surgical damage by laparoscopically treating the small, nonfunctioning NET of this older patient. Laparoscopic enucleation of well-selected nonfunctioning NETs of the pancreas, such as a single, small, benign pancreatic mass superficially located in the pancreas, seems to be feasible. However, long-term follow up is mandatory given their likelihood of a more malignant course.
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