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Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Stabilizers in End Stage Kidney Disease: “Can the Promise Be Kept?”
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Abstract: Anemia is a common complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD). The prevalence of anemia in CKD strongly increases as the estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) decreases. The pathophysiology of anemia in CKD is complex. The main causes are erythropoietin (EPO) deficiency and functional iron deficiency (FID). The administration of injectable preparations of recombinant erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs), especially epoetin and darbepoeitin, coupled with oral or intravenous (iv) iron supplementation, is the current treatment for anemia in CKD for both dialysis and non-dialysis patients. This approach reduces patients’ dependence on transfusion, ensuring the achievement of optimal hemoglobin target levels. However, there is still no evidence that treating anemia with ESAs can significantly reduce the risk of cardiovascular events. Meanwhile, iv iron supplementation causes an increased risk of allergic reactions, gastrointestinal side effects, infection, and cardiovascular events. Currently, there are no studies defining the best strategy for using ESAs to minimize possible risks. One class of agents under evaluation, known as prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (PHIs), acts to stabilize hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) by inhibiting prolyl hydroxylase (PH) enzymes. Several randomized controlled trials showed that HIF-PHIs are almost comparable to ESAs. In the era of personalized medicine, it is possible to envisage and investigate specific contexts of the application of HIF stabilizers based on the individual risk profile and mechanism of action.
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1. Introduction

Anemia is a common complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD). The presence of anemia in patients with CKD is associated with increased risk for hospitalization, cognitive impairment, reduced quality of life, and major cardiovascular events.
Furthermore, the severity of anemia is an independent predictor of mortality [1]. As recently shown, the prevalence of anemia as well as that of each metabolic complication in CKD strongly increases as the estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) decreases. Moranne et al. [2] observed that as mGFR decreased from 60 to 90 to <20 mL/min per 1.73 m², the prevalence of anemia increased from 8–41% (the analysis using eGFR also produced similar results). Although anemia and hyperparathyroidism were observed early in stage 3 CKD, other disorders (acidosis, hyperkalemia, and hyperphosphatemia) appeared later in the course of the disease [2]. Many other studies found that diabetic nephropathy is related to a higher risk of anemia, independently of eGFR levels [2–4]. Moreover, obesity has been associated with a lower risk for anemia onset. Mechanisms such as better nutrition and less iron deficiency in obese patients, compared with normal-weight patients may partially explain this pattern. Based on this evidence, it has been postulated that testing for anemia should be started early, during stage 3 of CKD, or even earlier in patients with diabetes [2]. The early onset of anemia in CKD patients has a pathophysiologic explanation. At the initial stage, several conditions directly associated with CKD, such as chronic inflammation and iron deficiency, cause EPO resistance, whereas in the more advanced stage of CKD, both EPO resistance and EPO deficiency develop [5]. For these reasons, a huge number of CKD patients do not respond to treatment with erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) alone. The prevalence of ESA hypo-responsiveness was quantified in previous studies and ranges between 12% and 30%, depending on the definition criteria [6]. Moreover, ESA hypo-responsiveness is associated with increased risk for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular events, and CKD progression.

Hence, the appropriate treatment of anemia in CKD patients is critical, since it may help to reduce risk for the onset of all these events. To this end, a novel drug class that has been shown to be effective at treating anemia in CKD patients and with different mechanisms of action has been developed and may offer wide applications in the future. The objective of the present review is to describe the evidence derived from some randomized trials that tested both the efficacy and safety of HIF stabilizers, particularly Roxadustat and Vadadustat, in end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) compared to the standard of care. The mechanism of function and regulation of the HIF system is also briefly analyzed, as well as the current management of anemia in CKD/ESKD. The review concludes with a hypothesis as to the possible applications of HIF stabilizers in the context of personalized medicine.

2. Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease

The pathophysiology of anemia in CKD is complex. Its main causes are EPO deficiency and functional iron deficiency (FID) [7]. Other causes include uremia, a condition where hemolysis is common due to increased red blood cell deformity and, in hemodialysis patients, due to small but frequent losses of blood.

2.1. EPO Deficiency

The main cause of renal anemia is renal damage, which affects the secretion of EPO [8]. Under normal circumstances, the main stimulus for transcription of the EPO gene is tissue hypoxia, which can cause a rapid increase in EPO blood levels. Hypoxia is generally associated with anemia and induces the transcription of HIF-1α (hypoxia inducible factor-1α) in renal peritubular interstitial cells, where it binds to the subunit HIF-1β, which is a constitutively expressed subunit. This assemblage promotes the transcription of target genes, including the EPO gene. After binding with EPO, the erythropoietin receptor (EPOR) forms a homodimer that promotes cell proliferation and prevents cell apoptosis. In the bone marrow, EPO stimulates the survival and proliferation of erythroid precursors and induces their differentiation into mature erythrocytes [8]. The consequent gain in hematocrit increases the blood’s ability to transport oxygen [9]. Finally, it is interesting to remember that EPO exerts several effects, besides those on bone marrow cells, in different
tissues and organs, since its receptor has been found in several cell types; in fact, EPO, in its different forms (i.e., alpha, darbepoetin), can exert opposite (protective or detrimental) effects in several injury models, including those studied in renal cells [10–17].

2.2. Functional Iron Deficiency

Several elements are responsible for maintaining iron homeostasis. The main source of iron for erythropoiesis comes from the iron released by macrophages. These reticuloendothelial cells swallow red blood cells to reabsorb iron in hemoglobin and transport it to serum with ferroportin (FPN) [8]. At the same time, divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1) and duodenal cytochrome b (DcytB) are both important components of the intestinal absorption of iron, as they both contribute to the absorption of iron in food and to then transport it into duodenal cells [8,9,18]. Even in this mechanism, iron is carried out of intestinal cells and into the blood through FPN [9]. This implies that iron absorption is closely related to the transmembrane expression of FPN in enterocytes. Another important regulator of iron metabolism is hepcidin, a peptide hormone produced by the liver, which, by binding FPN, promotes its internalization and degradation, thus preventing the absorption of iron from the small intestine and macrophages.

Chronic Kidney Disease is considered an inflammatory disease [19]. Inflammatory anemia is a common feature in patients with advanced CKD and an established risk factor for ESKD [20]. Inflammation has been shown to promote increased hepatic secretion of hepcidin through the interleukin 6 (IL-6)—STAT3 pathway [21,22] and the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)—SMAD pathway [23]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that hepcidin can also inhibit the secretion of DMT1 and DcytB [24,25]. In this way, inflammation interferes with hematopoiesis; thus, hepcidin is responsible for anemia and ESA resistance [26]. In addition, the oxidative stress related to inflammation leads to lipid peroxidation of erythrocyte membrane [27] and, consequently, to a shorter red blood cell lifespan [28].

2.3. HIF Regulation in CKD

It has been demonstrated that the cellular hypoxia response mediated by the HIF pathway is finely regulated [29]. The prolyl-4-hydroxylase domain enzymes (PHDs) hydroxylate HIF-α under normoxia, leading to an increased affinity with the von Hippel Lindau (VHL) protein. The VHL protein is part of the complex that targets HIF-α for proteasomal degradation [30]. Under the same conditions, another regulatory enzyme, asparagine hydroxylase factor inhibiting HIF (FIH), prevents the interaction between the acetyltransferase p300/CBP and the HIF heterodimer, thus reducing HIF activity. Conversely, following hypoxic stimuli, HIF-α is stabilized and activated by p300/CBP; it acts by enhancing the expression of target genes. However, in the specific context of CKD, these mechanisms are even more complex. In fact, PHDs, which can be considered sensors for hypoxia, also activate NF-kB via the IkB kinase-β (IKK) which in turn phosphorylates and degrades IkB. Even more importantly, hypoxia, other than activating NF-kB, elicits several pro-inflammatory patterns triggered by NF-kB, such as leucocyte activation and cytokine production. These mechanisms are even reinforced in the presence of CKD given that CKD is considered an inflammatory disease per se [31] and, at least in part, explain the multiple connections between hypoxia, inflammation, and CKD progression. In experimental and clinical studies in humans, it has been shown that hypoxia stimulates inflammation and that in turn inflammatory lesions become hypoxic, perpetuating a vicious circle over time [32].

Recent studies have shown that the HIF pathway is downregulated in CKD and this has been attributed to a reduced renal microvasculature, a lack of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor expression in the kidney, and increased tubular atrophy [33,34]. Moreover, the pharmacological stimulation on EPO itself by HIF stabilizers may indicate the suboptimal activation of the HIF pathway in CKD patients.
3. Management of Anemia in CKD

The administration of injectable preparations of recombinant ESAs, especially epoetin and darbepoetin, coupled with oral or iv iron supplementation, is the current mainstay of treatment for anemia in CKD for dialysis (DD-CKD) and non-dialysis (NDD-CKD) patients [1,35]. This approach reduces patients’ dependence on transfusions, ensuring the achievement of optimal hemoglobin target levels. However, there is still no evidence that treating anemia with ESAs can significantly reduce the risk of cardiovascular events [36]. Patients who require very high ESA doses to reach the hemoglobin target have an increased mortality and hospitalization rates [1]. Meanwhile, iv iron supplementation can expose a patient to an increased risk of allergic reactions, gastrointestinal side effects, infection and cardiovascular events [37,38]. The KDOQI guidelines suggest that iron supplementation should be performed in order to maintain ferritin levels > 200 ng/mL in hemodialysis patients and >100 ng/mL in peritoneal dialysis patients and non-dialysis patients with CKD; in all these categories, the transferrin saturation (TSAT) must be kept above 20%. Iron supplementation is not recommended when the TSAT is > 30% and ferritin >500 ng/mL. The CHOIR trial showed that patients with CKD had a higher risk of a composite end point that included death and cardiovascular events if they were treated to a hemoglobin target level of 13.5 g/dL rather than 11.3 g/dL [39], and the TREAT trial showed that patients with diabetes mellitus and CKD treated with darbepoetin alfa (to a target hemoglobin level of 13 g/dL) had a higher risk of stroke than those who received a placebo; in addition, they experienced only a minimal improvement in fatigue [40,41]. This evidence, confirmed in several studies, has led the authorities to recommend the prescription of the lowest ESA dose adequate for reducing the need for red blood cell transfusions [42]. Even more importantly, currently there are no studies defining the best strategy in using ESAs to minimize possible risks of cardiovascular events [43]. Another important point is that of hypo-responsiveness to erythropoietin. The National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF KDOQI) defined hypo-responsiveness to EPO as the presence of at least one of the following conditions: (1) a significant decrease in hemoglobin level at a constant EPO dose, (2) a significant increase in the EPO dose required to preserve a certain hemoglobin level, or (3) a failure to raise the hemoglobin level to >11 g/dL despite an EPO dose equivalent to EPO >500 IU/kg/week [44]. In this context, the molecular mechanism that regulates the hypoxic induction of erythropoiesis has been identified as a possible solution (Figure 1).
Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 12590 5 of 15

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Mechanism of action of HIF stabilizers. Under normal conditions, the activity of HIF-PH leads to the rapid degradation of HIF. During hypoxia, HIF-PH activity is instead suppressed, resulting in stimulation of endogenous EPO production, increased expression of the transferrin receptor, better use of iron with consequent maturation of erythrocytes, and an increase in hemoglobin levels. Similarly, the use of HIF stabilizers results in a steady increase in dose-dependent hemoglobin levels, including reducing hepcidin and ferritin levels and improving iron binding capacity.

4. Use of HIF Stabilizers in ESKD

One class of agents under evaluation acts to stabilize hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) by inhibiting prolyl hydroxylase (PH) enzymes [7]. Several randomized controlled trials showed that HIF-PHIs are almost comparable to ESAs [35].

4.1. Roxadustat

**Roxadustat** (also known as FG-4592) is a second-generation small-molecule HIF-PH inhibitor that stabilizes HIF-alfa subunits and prevents their degradation by mimicking a hypoxic state, which results in increased HIF transcriptional activity [45]. This leads to the functional activation of early response target genes encoding proteins such as EPO, EPOR, enzymes of heme biosynthesis, and proteins that promote iron absorption and transport (Figure 1) [7,45]. Such mechanisms allow for a physiological level of EPO to stimulate red blood cell production rather than the high intermittent blood level that results from the pharmacological administration of an exogenous ESA [46]. **Roxadustat** is an orally administered molecule which has a half-life of approximately 12 to 15 h and is primarily metabolized by phase I oxidation via cytochrome P450 (CYP)2C8, and phase II conjugation via UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1-9 (UGT1A9) [47–50].

Meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials suggest that **Roxadustat** can improve hemoglobin plasma levels and iron metabolism in both DD-CKD and NDD-CKD patients [51,52].

Many studies, including that of Besarab et al. [53], showed that oral **Roxadustat** administered BIW or TIW (respectively two or three times a week) in NDD-CKD patients increased hemoglobin levels in the absence of iv iron supplementation. Functional iron deficiency is a common cause of suboptimal hemoglobin response to EPO analogue
therapy [54]. For this reason, iv iron supplementation is required in ESA therapy. Numerous investigations demonstrate that HIF acts as an iron sensor and its stabilization is associated with hepcidin suppression, increased intestinal iron absorption, and increases in iron transport enzyme [55]. Zheng et al. [45], in their meta-analysis, provide evidence for the efficacy and safety of Roxadustat for anemia treatment in patients with CKD. The results showed that for NDD patients, both hemoglobin and transferrin blood levels were significantly increased in the Roxadustat group versus those in the placebo group, and hepcidin, ferritin and TSAT blood levels were significantly reduced in the Roxadustat group versus those found in the placebo group.

Among the studies conducted in hemodialysis patients, Provenzano et al. [42] and Besarab et al. [56] are among the most interesting. In particular, Besarab et al. [56] first reported hemoglobin correction with an oral HIF-PHI in erythropoietin analogue-naive anemic incident dialysis patients. The HIF-PHI Roxadustat increased the mean hemoglobin concentration by ≥2 g/dL within 7 weeks of treatment, independently of baseline hemoglobin levels, iron-repletion status, iron supplementation regimen, inflammatory status, and dialysis modality, while it reduced hepcidin blood levels, thus increasing iron availability for erythropoiesis. These points have been confirmed by Chen et al. [57]. This phase 3 trial showed a statistically significant non-inferiority increase in hemoglobin blood levels with Roxadustat relative to epoetin alfa. In contrast with ESAs, Roxadustat treatment promotes a physiological and modest endogenous erythropoietin level increase, while concomitantly addressing iron availability with oral iron alone. Roxadustat may obviate or reduce the requirement for iv iron, potentially reducing the exposure to the iv iron safety liabilities. Based on these results and those of historical ESA phase 3 studies, Roxadustat appears to be effective and comparable to epoetin alfa in maintaining hemoglobin blood levels in patients with ESKD receiving hemodialysis. Finally, many studies have demonstrated that the Roxadustat-induced hemoglobin response is independent of baseline C-reactive protein (CRP) blood levels. This suggests that Roxadustat may be effective in some patients, even when the inflammatory component of CKD is present [58,59]. Inflammation increases an ESKD patient’s dose requirements for epoetin alfa, whether during correction or maintenance. Resistance to ESAs appears to be mediated in part by elevated hepcidin blood levels [60,61], which are increased in inflammation, limiting iron availability.

Observations from these studies suggest the effect of Roxadustat on serum cholesterol levels. This effect was independent of statin use and could be mediated, in part, by the effects of HIF on degradation of 3-hydroxyl-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase. Reductions in cholesterol blood levels have been reported during high altitude exposure [62].

In conclusion, the HIF oxygen-sensing pathway has been confirmed as a crucial pathway in maintaining hemostasis [63]. The significant induction of TIBC and the reduction of TSAT and ferritin values after treatment with Roxadustat indicated the enhanced iron utilization of NDD-CKD patients. These changes in iron metabolism are not only regulated by the interaction between hepcidin and HIF, but also by other iron-related proteins, such as transferrin receptor, DMT1, and ferroportin 1, among others [64]. HIF could directly bind to the hypoxia responsive mRNA regions to regulate the expression of iron-related proteins [65]. When it comes to the results of DD-CKD patients, no significant changes in ferritin and hepcidin blood levels were found after treatment with Roxadustat. HIF stabilizer may be less effective for DD-CKD patients than for NDD-CKD patients. The underlying mechanism is unknown. Heterogeneities were evident for plasma levels of hepcidin and ferritin.

Finally, in June 2021 the results of the DOLOMITES study [66] were disclosed. This is a randomized, open-label, phase 3 study that compares the anemia control efficacy and safety of Roxadustat versus darbepoetin in 616 NDD-CKD patients. It was demonstrated that Roxadustat is a viable option to treat anemia in NDD-CKD patients, maintaining hemoglobin plasma levels for up to 104 weeks. Hemoglobin response to Roxadustat
administration was not lower than that of darbepoetin alfa administration. Roxadustat was not inferior to darbepoetin alfa for changes in mean arterial pressure and the time to occurrence of hypertension; it was superior for changes in LDL levels and for the time of the first intravenous iron use. The safety profiles were comparable between the two groups and there was no difference between the groups regarding the composite endpoints, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE).

The data from trials illustrate that Roxadustat corrects anemia in CKD patients with some distinct advantages [37]. One of the most important advantages is that Roxadustat could induce the transient activation of HIF and also increase the expression of HIF-regulated genes. Its half-life of approximately 12 h enables HIF transcriptional activity to return to the baseline between doses, which results in the induction of EPO expression in a titratable manner [37,67]. Furthermore, Roxadustat transiently increased endogenous EPO plasma levels within or near the physiological range in patients with anemia due to CKD. It appears that anemia correction with Roxadustat could avoid the potential adverse effects caused by high ESA doses [37]. Beyond elevating EPO plasma levels, Roxadustat could correct the degree of anemia by handling iron metabolism and particularly by decreasing hepcidin plasma levels, a response that is independent of the baseline CRP plasma levels and disease state of the CKD patient. This allows the improvement of functional iron deficiency, as well as the increased absorption of oral iron, thus avoiding the use of high doses of iv iron, which has been associated with an increased inflammatory state and increased mortality [68]. The increase in transferrin induced by Roxadustat is a direct effect of HIF activation, as there are two HIF binding sites in the gene encoding transferrin [69].

A summary of phase 2 and phase 3 trials with Roxadustat is reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

| First Author | Patients | Study | Randomization | Primary Endpoint | Follow-Up | Results |
|--------------|----------|-------|---------------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| Besarab, 2015 [53] | 117 NDD-CKD | Correction | 3:1 (placebo) | Change in Hb from baseline and proportion of Hb responders (Change in Hb ≥ 1.0g/dL) | 4 weeks | Roxadustat significantly increased endogenous erythropoietin and decreased hepcidin |
| Besarab, 2016 [56] | 60 ESA-naive incident to HD or PD | Correction | no iron oral iron iv iron | Mean ± SEM maximal change in Hb from baseline | 12 weeks | Roxadustat corrected Hb levels in patients undergoing dialysis and reduced hepcidin, regardless of baseline iron status |
| Provenzano, 2016 [42] | 90 HD (Epo alfa) | Maintenance | 3:1 (epoetin alfa) | Change in Hb of ≥ 0.5g/dL from baseline (part 1) and mean Hb level ≥ 11.0 g/dL during the last 4 treatment weeks (part 2) | 19 weeks | Response rate was higher in Roxadustat than in epoetin alfa arm. Roxadustat was well tolerated |
| Chen, 2017 [70] | 91 NDD-CKD | Correction | 2:1 (placebo) | The maximum Hb change from baseline | 8 weeks | Maintenance of Hb levels was reached more frequently with |
Roxadustat than epoetin alfa

Table 2. Phase 3 clinical trials of Roxadustat.

| Clinicaltrials.Gov Identifier | Start/End-Date       | Patients     | Study Design                     | Intervention          | Primary End-point                                          |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| NCT02174731                   | June 2014/December 2019 | 2133 DD-CKD | Multicenter, randomized, open-label, active controlled | Roxadustat Epoetin alfa | Mean change in Hb from baseline to week 52 MACE             |
| NCT02273726                   | December 2014/September 2018 | 741 DD-CKD | Multicenter, randomized, open-label, active controlled | Roxadustat Epoetin alfa | Hb change from baseline                                    |
| NTC02174627                   | June 2014/December 2019 | 2781 ND-CKD | Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled | Roxadustat Placebo    | MACE                                                     |
| NCT02021318 (DOLO-MITES)      | December 2013/May 2021 | 616 ND-CKD  | Randomized, open-label, active-controlled | Roxadustat Darbepoetin alfa | Hb response without the use of rescue therapy              |
| NCT01887600 (ALPS)            | June 2013/December 2020 | 594 ND-CKD  | Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled | Roxadustat Placebo    | Hb response without the use of rescue therapy              |
| NCT02052310 (HIMALAYAS)       | February 2014/September 2020 | 1043 incident dialysis patients | Multicenter, randomized, open-label, active-controlled | Roxadustat Epoetin alfa | Mean Hb change from baseline to week 52                    |
| NCT02278341 (PYRENEES)        | October 2014/February 2021 | 838 DD-CKD  | Randomized, open-label, active controlled | Roxadustat Epoetin alfa Darbepoetin alfa | Hb change from baseline to week 36 without rescue therapy |
| NCT01750190                   | December 2012/November 2019 | 922 ND-CKD  | Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled | Roxadustat Placebo    | Efficacy in anaemia correction and maintenance             |
| NCT02779764                   | May 2016/January 2020  | 164 DD-CKD  | Long-Term Study                  | Roxadustat            | Hb response rate from week 18 to week 24                   |

NDD, non-dialysis-dependent; DD, dialysis-dependent; CKD, chronic kidney disease; Hb, hemoglobin; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents; SEM, standard error of mean; HD, hemodialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis.
Encouraging findings are also available regarding the safety of this drug. Zheng et al. [35] showed that for non-dialysis patients, the proportion of all-cause mortality in different HIF-PHIs, EPO, and DFO patient groups was comparable to that of subjects treated with the placebo. Similarly, a previous meta-analysis [71] did not find any difference in adverse reactions when HIF-PHIs were compared with a placebo. Given the case of fatal hepatitis that occurred with FG-2216, liver function was closely monitored: there was no evidence of liver toxicity or sustained increases of liver enzymes or serum bilirubin [36]. Some clinical trials [70,72] have found that HIF-PHIs, such as Roxadustat, lower the total cholesterol plasma levels. Considering that HIF-PHIs are involved in different pathways, possibly with increased relevant side effects, their safety must be proven by large, long-term studies [35]. Accruing evidence has shown that HIF transcription factor directly regulates hundreds of genes, and consequently plays an important role in a broad spectrum of cellular functions and biological processes other than erythropoiesis, including energy metabolism, angiogenesis, mitochondrial metabolism, cellular growth and differentiation, inflammation, cell motility, matrix production, and epigenetics [37,73]. For example, HIF-PHIs may influence tumor growth, because HIF activation in hypoxic environments may help already existing tumors to survive and expand [7]. Hypertension is a possible side effect occurring with ESA therapy; only a minority of patients treated with Roxadustat reported a worsening in blood pressure control [22]. Phase 3 trials demonstrated a slightly higher risk of hyperkalemia with Roxadustat than with a control (epoietin alfa or placebo). In addition, the incidence of metabolic acidosis that was reported as an adverse event was higher in the Roxadustat group than the one in the control group (placebo) [37,74]. Furthermore, a potential proangiogenic effect related to the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and VEGF receptors, the possibility of development of pulmonary hypertension, and the potential progression of kidney disease related to HIF activation over long periods, are three interesting questions [37,73]. None of the ongoing phase 3 clinical trials have been interrupted, so far, for safety issues [36].

4.2. Vadadustat

| Trial ID          | Study Period   | Patient Group               | Comparator/Approach                                                                 | Outcome Measure                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NCT02780141       | May 2016/January 2020 | ESA-naives HD patient       | Multicenter, randomized, 2-arm, open-label, Roxadustat                             | Hb response rate                                                                 |
| NCT02780726       | May 2016/December 2019 | 56 PD patients             | Multicenter, open-label, parallel group, Roxadustat low dose, high dose and previously treated with ESA | Hb response rate from week 18 to week 24                                           |
| NCT02952092       | November 2016/January 2020 | 303 HD patients           | Multicenter, randomized, 2-arm parallel, double-blind, active comparator, Darbepoetin alfa | Hb change from baseline to 24 week                                               |
| NCT02964936       | November 2016/April 2021 | 100 ESA-naives ND-CKD     | Multicenter, randomized, 2-arm, open-label, Roxadustat                             | Hb change from baseline to week 24                                               |
| NCT02988973       | December 2016/March 2021 | 334 ND-CKD                 | Multicenter, randomized, open-label, active-comparator conversion study, Darbepoetin alfa | Hb change from baseline to week 24                                               |

NDD, non-dialysis dependent; DD, dialysis-dependent; CKD, chronic kidney disease; Hb, hemoglobin; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents; MACE, major cardiovascular events.
Vadadustat (also known as AKB-6548) is an orally administered HIF-PHI that inhibits all three PHDs (with a preference for PHD3) and stabilizes both HIF-1α and HIF-2α [75, 76]. Vadadustat was approved in Japan on June 2020 for the treatment of adult patients with anemia secondary to CKD. Regular submissions are planned in the USA and the EU [77]. To briefly examine Vadadustat in terms of its efficacy and clinical benefits, we discuss two important trials: INNO2VATE and PROTECT. The INNO2VATE trials comprise two phase 3, open-label, sponsor-blind, active-controlled trials designed to evaluate the safety and the efficacy of Vadadustat versus the ESA darbepoetin alfa in ameliorating anemia in patients with DD-CKD. The study periods include correction/conversion (weeks 0–23), maintenance (weeks 24–52), and safety follow-up. The primary safety endpoint was the time of the first major adverse cardiovascular event, whilst the primary efficacy endpoint was the change in hemoglobin (baseline to weeks 24–36) [78]. Vadadustat was not inferior to darbepoetin alfa in terms of its effects on hemoglobin plasma levels and cardiovascular safety outcomes. INNO2VATE correction/conversion enrolled incident dialysis patients, who had initiated peritoneal dialysis or hemodialysis ≤16 weeks prior to screening and had limited exposure to recombinant ESAs. The mean hemoglobin plasma level between weeks 24 to 36 was 10.36 g/dL in the Vadadustat-treated patients, compared with 10.61 g/dL in the darbepoetin alfa group. The mean hemoglobin plasma level between weeks 40 to 52 was 10.51 g/dL in the Vadadustat group versus 10.55 g/dL in the darbepoetin alfa recipients [78]. INNO2VATE conversion enrolled dialysis patients currently receiving recombinant ESAs, who were then converted to either Vadadustat or darbepoetin alfa. The mean hemoglobin plasma levels between weeks 24 to 36 were 10.36 and 10.53 g/dL in the Vadadustat and the darbepoetin alfa recipients, respectively. The mean hemoglobin plasma levels during weeks 40–52 were 10.40 g/dL and 10.58 g/dL in the Vadadustat and darbepoetin alfa groups, respectively [69]. In conclusion, in a combined analysis of data from both INNO2VATE trials Vadadustat was not inferior to darbepoetin alfa in the time to the first MACE event and in correction and maintenance of hemoglobin concentration in patients with CKD who were undergoing dialysis [79]. In both trials, the mean serum concentration of hepcidin and ferritin and the main transferrin saturation were similar in the Vadadustat and darbepoetin alfa groups during weeks 24 to 36 and weeks 40 to 52.

The phase 3 PROTECT trial [80] in NDD-CKD comprises two studies: PROTECT correction in patients not currently being treated with ESAs and PROTECT conversion in patients currently receiving recombinant ESAs who were switched to either Vadadustat or an active control. It was shown that there was no difference in the hemoglobin levels achieved, which substantially overlapped in the two groups (darbepoetin vs. Vadadustat). Surprisingly, a higher incidence of MACE was found in patients treated with Vadadustat compared with those treated with darbepoetin. In conclusion, in these two trials it was found that, among patients with NDD-CKD, Vadadustat was not inferior to darbepoetin alfa with regard to hematologic efficacy but did not meet the pre-specified non-inferiority criteria for cardiovascular safety, which were: a composite of death from any cause, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke.

4.3. Other Drug Agents

Other molecules currently undergoing clinical trials are Daprodustat, Molibustat, Emarodustat. These drugs are being demonstrated in phase 2 and 3 studies to improve hemoglobin plasma levels and iron metabolism in CKD.

5. HIF Stabilizers in Personalized Medicine Era

The issue of whether ESA can be fully or partially replaced by HIF stabilizer in future treatment is worth debating, but at this point, there are no appropriate guidelines, except for the recommendation released by the Japanese Society of Nephrology (JSN) on 29 September 2020 [81]. In an era of personalized medicine, it is possible to consider specific contexts for the clinical application of HIF stabilizers based on the individual risk profile.
and mechanism of action. In recent years, research has moved away from traditional protocols and towards so-called “Precision Medicine”. According to the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Precision Medicine is an emerging approach to disease treatment and prevention that evaluates the variability of each person’s genes, environment, and lifestyle. It is a different concept to that of “one size fits all”. This approach allows researchers and doctors to predict which treatment, in terms of cure and prevention, will work in a specific group of patients [82–84]. The application of Precision Medicine, initially focused on oncology, is now quite broad. The hope is that the use of genetic and molecular information will become an integral part of medical practice. Therefore, research is changing from classic clinical trials towards study designs that can significantly reduce the variability of the individual response to treatment and optimize the individual prognosis [85,86]. In this context, Umbrella, Basket, and Platform trials are emerging. In Basket trials, the effectiveness of a target treatment is assessed in multiple pathologies that have a similar etiology and similar pathophysiologic mechanisms. Umbrella trials test different drugs for a single disease by stratifying the study population into subgroups on the basis of molecular alterations (biomarkers). Platform trials aim to identify, by evaluating multiple treatments at the same time, the therapy for a subgroup of patients selected from a heterogeneous population based on histological data, a biomarker, a risk factor, and a clinical variable (such as age, sex, etc.). It is possible to consider an HIF stabilizer in clinical situations in which there is an obvious presence of an ESA-resistant anemia or of ESA-unresponsiveness or an MIA syndrome and of an iron-dysregulated state, especially at the dialysis stage. The determination of the patient’s iron status and the evaluation of the possible causes of ESA resistance are crucial for the choice of HIF stabilizer. In addition to these indications, consideration should be to the prevention of adverse events due to the use of HIF stabilizers. Most clinical adverse events do not have completely clear causes. These adverse events include thrombosis/embolism, relapse of latent cancer, and worsening of pulmonary hypertension [81]. It is not currently possible to draw any scientific conclusions on this point, because the length of the observation period in most studies is too short.

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, HIF stabilizers have been shown to correct anemia and to help to maintain hemoglobin concentration levels over time in a wide range of levels of CKD severity, including ESKD. Moreover, some studies have also provided evidence of the non-inferiority of the effect of HIF stabilizers compared with the that of injectable EPO therapies with respect to the development of major cardiovascular events. Future studies are needed to understand which patients are likely to respond better to these drugs and whether these novel treatments can replace the standard treatment with injectable ESAs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.C. (Giuseppina Crugliano), R.S., M.P., M.A.; methodology, G.C. (Giuseppina Crugliano), R.S., M.P., M.A. N.I., A.M.; writing—original draft preparation G.C. (Giuseppina Crugliano), R.S., M.P., M.A. A.P., U.M.B. N.I., Y.B., G.C. (Giuseppe Coppolino), D.B., T.F., A.M.; writing—review and editing G.C. (Giuseppina Crugliano), R.S., M.P., M.A., A.P., U.M.B. N.I., Y.B. (Giuseppe Coppolino), D.B., T.F., A.M.; visualization, G.C. (Giuseppe Crugliano), R.S., M.P., M.A. A.P., U.M.B. N.I., Y.B., G.C. (Giuseppe Coppolino), D.B., T.F., A.M.; supervision, R.S., M.P., M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
13. Wrighting, Chatterjee, based
207–214. Weiss, Stenvinkel, P.C.W.; Smith, R.M. Impact of diabetes on haemoglobin levels in renal disease. Diabetologia 2007, 50, 26–31.
16. McFarlane, S.L.; Chen, S.C.; Whaley-Connell, A.T.; Sowers, J.R.; Vassalotti, J.A.; Salifu, M.O.; Li, S.; Wang, C.; Bakris, G.; McCullough, P.A.; et al. Kidney Early Evaluation Program Investigators. Prevalence and associations of anemia of CKD: Kidney Early Evaluation Program (KEEP) and National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2004. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 2008, 51 (Suppl. S2), S46–55. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2007.12.019.
19. Weir, M.R. Managing Anemia across the Stages of Kidney Disease in Those Hyporesponsive to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents. Am. J. Nephrol. 2021, 52, 450–466. doi:10.1159/000516901.
20. Ingrasciotta, Y.; Lacava, V.; Marciánó, I.; Giorgianni, F.; Tripepi, G.; D’Arrigo, G.; Chinellato, A.; Ugo Tari, D.; Santoro, D.; Trifirò, G. In search of potential predictors of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) hyporesponsiveness: A population-based study. BMC Nephrol. 2019, 20, 359; Erratum in 2020, 21, 262. doi:10.1186/s12882-019-1554-0.
21. Gupta, N.; Wish, J.B. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitors: A Potential New Treatment for Anemia in Patients With CKD. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 2017, 69, 815–826; Erratum in 2017, 69, 869. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.12.011.
22. Yan, Z.; Xu, G. A Novel Choice to Correct Inflammation-induced Anemia in CKD: Oral Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor Roxadustat. Front. Med. 2020, 7, 393. doi:10.3389/fmed.2020.00393.
23. Nangaku, M.; Eckardt, K.U. Pathogenesis of renal anemia. Semin Nephrol. 2006, 26, 261–268. doi:10.1016/j.semento.2006.06.001.
24. Chatterjee, P.K. Pleiotropic renal actions of erythropoietin. Lancet 2005, 365, 1890–1892. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66622-6.
25. Nekoui, A.; Blaise, G. Erythropoietin and Nonhematopoietic Effects. Am. J. Med. Sci. 2017, 353, 76–81. doi:10.1016/j.jiems.2016.10.009.
26. Peng, B.; Kong, G.; Yang, C.; Ming, Y. Erythropoietin and its derivatives: From tissue protection to immune regulation. Cell Death Dis. 2020, 11, 79. doi:10.1038/s41430-020-02276-y.
27. Broxmeyer, H.E. Erythropoietin: Multiple targets, actions, and modifying influences for biological and clinical consideration. J. Exp. Med. 2013, 210, 205–208. doi:10.1084/jem.20122760.
28. Andreucci, M.; Fuiano, G.; Presta, P.; Luciano, G.; Leone, F.; Fuiano, L.; Bisesti, V.; Esposito, P.; Russo, D.; Memoli, B.; et al. Downregulation of cell survival signalling pathways and increased cell damage in hydrogen peroxide-treated human renal proximal tubular cells by alpha-erythropoietin. Cell Prolif. 2009, 42, 554–561. doi:1111/j.1365-2184.2009.00617.x.
29. Sharpeles, E.J.; Patel, N.; Brown, P.; Stewart, K.; Mota-Philippe, H.; Sheaff, M.; Kieswich, J.; Allen, D.; Harwood, S.; Raftery, M.; et al. Erythropoietin protects the kidney against the injury and dysfunction caused by ischemia-reperfusion. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2004, 15, 2115–2124. doi:10.1097/01.ASN.0000135059.67385.5D.
30. Andreucci, M. L’eritropoietina e’ sempre citoprotettiva? [Is erythropoietin always cytoprotective?]. G. Ital. Nefrol. 2009, 6, 26.
31. Andreucci, M.; Provenzano, M.; Faga, T.; Gagliardi, I.; Pisani, A.; Perticone, M.; Coppolino, G.; De Sarro, G.; Serra, R.; Michael, A. Darbepoetin alfa reduces cell death due to radiocontrast media in human renal proximal tubular cells. Toxicol. Rep. 2021, 8, 816–821. doi:10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.03.028.
32. Bergamaschi, G.; Di Sabatino, A.; Pasini, A.; Ubezio, C.; Costanzo, F.; Grataroli, D.; Masotti, M.; Alvisi, C.; Corazza, G.R. Intestinal expression of genes implicated in iron absorption and their regulation by hepcidin. Clin. Nutr. 2017, 36, 1427–1433 doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2016.09.021.
33. Weiss, G.; Goodnough, L.T. Anemia of chronic disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2005, 352, 1011–1023. doi:10.1056/NEJMra041809.
34. Stenvinkel, P. The role of inflammation in the anaemia of end-stage renal disease. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2001, 16 (Suppl. S7), 36–40. doi:10.1093/ndt/16.suppl.7_36.
35. Gordeuk, V.R.; Miasnikova, G.Y.; Sergueeva, A.I.; Niu, X.; Nouraie, M.; Okhotin, D.J.; Poljakova, L.A.; Ammosova, T.; Nekhai, S.; Ganz, T.; et al. Chualus polychemysma VHLR200W mutation is associated with down-regulation of hepcidin expression. Blood 2011, 118, 527882. doi:10.1182/blood-2011-03-345512.
36. Wrighting, D.M.; Andrews, N.C. Interleukin-6 induces hepcidin expression through STAT3. Blood 2006, 108, 3204–3209 doi:10.1182/blood-2006-06-027631.
37. Steinbicker, A.U.; Sachidanandan, C.; Vonner, A.J.; Yusuf, R.Z.; Deng, D.Y.; Lai, C.S.; Rauwerdink, K.M.; Winn, J.C.; Saez, B.; Cook, C.M.; et al. Inhibition of bone morphogenetic protein signaling attenuates anemia associated with inflammation. Blood 2011, 117, 4915–4923. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-10-313064.
38. Fraenkel, P.G. Anemia of Inflammation: A Review. Med. Clin. N. Am. 2017, 101, 285–296. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2016.09.005.
39. Sheikh, N.; Dudas, J.; Ramadori, G. Changes of gene expression of iron regulatory proteins during turpentine oil-induced acute-phase response in the rat. Lab. Invest. 2007, 87, 713–725. doi:10.1038/labinvest.370053.
40. Zhang, A.S.; Enns, C.A. Molecular mechanisms of normal iron homeostasis. Hematol. Am. Soc. Hematol. Educ. Program 2009, 2009, 207–214. doi:10.1182/asheducation-2009.1.207.
27. Bamgbola, O.F. Pattern of resistance to erythropoietin-stimulating agents in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.** 2011, 80, 464–474. Epub 22 June 2011. doi:10.1038/ki.2011.179.

28. Khalil, S.K.; Amer, H.A.; El Behairy, A.M.; Warda, M. Oxidative stress during erythropoietin hyporesponsiveness anemia at end stage renal disease: Molecular and biochemical studies. *J. Adv. Res.** 2016, 7, 348–358. doi:10.1016/j.jare.2016.02.004.

29. Faivre, A.; Scholz, C.C.; de Seigneur, S. Hypoxia in chronic kidney disease: Towards a paradigm shift? *Nephrol. Dial Transplant.** 2021, 36, 1782–1790. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa091.

30. Günter, J.; Ruiz-Serrano, A.; Picell, C.; Wenger, R.H.; Scholz, C.C. The functional interplay between the HIF pathway and the ubiquitin system—More than a one-way road. *Exp. Cell Res.** 2017, 356, 152–159.

31. Provenzano, M.; Andreucci, M.; Garofalo, C.; Faga, T.; Michael, A.; Ielapi, N.; Grande, R.; Sapienza, P.; Francisic, S.; Mastroroberto, P.; et al. The Association of Matrix Metalloproteinases with Chronic Kidney Disease and Peripheral Vascular Disease: A Light at the End of the Tunnel? *Biomolecules** 2020, 10, 154. doi:10.3390/biom1010154.

32. Eltzschig, H.K.; Carmeliet, P. Hypoxia and inflammation. *N. Engl. J. Med.** 2011, 364, 656–665. doi:10.1056/NEJMra0910283.

33. Rosenberger, C.; Khamaisi, M.; Abassi, Z.; Shilo, V.; Weksler-Zang, S.; Goldfarb, M.; Shina, A.; Zibertrest, F.; Eckardt, K.U.; Rosen, S.; et al. Adaptation to hypoxia in the diabetic rat kidney. *Kidney Int.** 2008, 73, 34–42. doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5002567.

34. Lindenmeyer, M.T.; Kretzler, M.; Boucherot, A.; Berra, S.; Yasuda, Y.; Henger, A.; Eichinger, F.; Gaiser, S.; Schmid, H.; Rastaldi, M.P.; et al. Intersitial vittorial rarefaction and reduced VEGF-A expression in human diabetic nephropathy. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.** 2007, 18, 1765–1776. doi:10.1681/ASN.2006121304.

35. Zheng, Q.; Yang, H.; Sun, L.; Wei, R.; Fu, X.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Liu, Y.N.; Liu, W.J. Efficacy and safety of HIF prolyl-hydroxylase inhibitor vs. epoetin and darbepoetin alpha in chronic kidney disease patients non undergoing dialysis: A network meta-analysis. *Pharmacol. Res.** 2020, 159, 105020. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105020.

36. Del Vecchio, L.; Locatelli, F. Roxadustat in the treatment of anaemia in chronic kidney disease. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs** 2018, 27, 125–133. doi:10.1080/13543784.2018.1417386.

37. Li, Z.L.; Tu, Y.; Liu, B.C. Treatment of Renal Anemia with Roxadustat: Advantages and Achievement. *Kidney Dis.** 2020, 6, 65–73. doi:10.1159/000504850.

38. Hung, S.C.; Tarng, D.C. ESA and iron therapy in chronic kidney disease: A balance between patient safety and hemoglobin target. *Kidney Int.** 2014, 86, 676–678. doi:10.1038/ki.2014.179.

39. Singh, A.K.; Szczec, L.; Tang, K.L.; Barnhart, H.; Sapp, S.; Wolfson, M.; Reddan, D.; CHOIR Investigators. Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.** 2006, 355, 2085–2098. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa065485.

40. Kaplan, J. Roxadustat and Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.** 2019, 381, 1070–1072. doi:10.1056/NEJM1908978.

41. Pfeffer, M.A.; Burdmann, E.A.; Chen, C.Y.; Cooper, M.E.; de Zeeuw, D.; Eckardt, K.U.; Feyzi, J.M.; Ivanovich, P.; Kewalramani, R.; Levey, A.S.; et al. TREAT Investigators. A trial of darbeopoeitin alfa in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.** 2009, 361, 2019–2032. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0907845.

42. Provenzano, R.; Besarab, A.; Wright, S.; Dua, S.; Zeig, S.; Nguyen, P.; Poole, L.; Saikali, K.G.; Saha, G.; Hemmerich, S.; et al. Roxadustat (FG-4592) Versus Epoetin Alfa for Anemia in Patients Receiving Maintenance Hemodialysis: A Phase 2, Randomized, 6- to 19-Week, Open-Label, Active-Comparator, Dose-Ranging, Safety and Exploratory Efficacy Study. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.** 2016, 67, 912–924. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.12.020.

43. Epopen Package Insert. 2009. Available online: http://pt.amgen.com/unitedstates/epopen/epopen_pi_hep_english.pdf (accessed on 15 May 2015).

44. KDOQI. National Kidney Foundation KDOQI clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.** 2016, Suppl. 3, S11–S145.

45. Zheng, Q.; Yang, H.; Fu, X.; Huang, Y.; Wei, R.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.N.; Liu, W.J. The efficacy and safety of roxadustat for anemia in patients with chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.** 2020, 36, 1603–1615. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa110.

46. Tanaka, T.; Nangaku, M. Recent advances and clinical application of erythropoietin and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents. *Exp. Cell Res.** 2012, 318, 1068–1073. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2012.02.035.

47. FibroGen. FibroGen Announces Approval of Roxadustat in China for Treatment of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients on Dialysis. [Media Release]. December 2018. Available online: http://investor.fibrogen.com/newsreleases/news-release-details/fibrogen-announces-approval-roxadustat-china-treatment-anemia (accessed on 8 November 2021).

48. Sanghani, N.S.; Haase, V.H. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Activators in Renal Anemia: Current Clinical Experience. *Adv. Chronic Kidney Dis.** 2019, 26, 253–266. doi:10.1053/j.ackd.2019.04.004.

49. Groenendaal-van de Meent, D.; den Adel, M.; van Dijk, J.; Barroso-Fernandez, B.; El Gaalta, R.; Golor, G.; Schaddelee, M. Effect of Multiple Doses of Omeprazole on the Pharmacokinetics, Safety, and Tolerability of Roxadustat in Healthy Subjects. *Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharm.** 2018, 43, 685–692. doi:10.1007/s13318-018-0480-z.

50. Groenendaal-van de Meent, D.; Adel, M.D.; Noukens, J.; Rijnders, S.; Krebs-Brown, A.; Mateva, L.; Alexiev, A.; Schaddelee, M. Effect of Moderate Hepatic Impairment on the Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Roxadustat, an Oral Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor. *Clin. Drug Invest.** 2016, 36, 743–751. doi:10.1007/s40261-016-0422-y.

51. Zhang, Y.; Ren, S.; Xue, H.; Wang, A.Y.; Zou, Y.; Cai, Y.; He, J.; Yuan, X.; Jiang, F.; Wei, J.; et al. Roxadustat in treating anemia in dialysis patients (ROAD): Protocol and rationale of a multicenter prospective observational cohort study. *BMC Nephrol.** 2021, 22, 28. doi:10.1186/s12882-021-02229-w.
75. Maxwell, P.H.; Eckardt, K.U. HIF prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors for the treatment of renal anaemia and beyond. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2016, 12, 157–168. doi:10.1038/nrneph.2015.193.
76. Yeh, T.L.; Leissing, T.M.; Abboud, M.I.; Thines, C.C.; Atasoylu, O.; Holt-Martyn, J.P.; Zhang, D.; Tumber, A.; Lippl, K.; Lohans, C.T.; et al. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of HIF prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors in clinical trials. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 7651–7668. doi:10.1039/c7sc02103h.
77. Markham, A. Vadadustat: First Approval. Drugs 2020, 80, 1365–1371. doi:10.1007/s40265-020-01383-z.
78. Eckardt, K.U.; Agarwal, R.; Farag, Y.M.; Jardine, A.G.; Khwaja, Z.; Koury, M.J.; Luo, W.; Matsushita, K.; McCullough, P.A.; Parfrey, P.; et al. Global Phase 3 programme of vadadustat for treatment of anaemia of chronic kidney disease: Rationale, study design and baseline characteristics of dialysis-dependent patients in the INNO2VATE trials. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 2020, gfaa204. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa204.
79. Mix, T.C.; Brenner, R.M.; Cooper, M.E.; de Zeeuw, D.; Ivanovich, P.; Levey, A.S.; McGill, J.B.; McMurray, J.J.; Parfrey, P.S.; Parving, H.H.; et al. Rationale—Trial to Reduce Cardiovascular Events with Aranesp Therapy (TREAT): Evolving the management of cardiovascular risk in patients with chronic kidney disease. Am. Heart J. 2005, 149, 408–413; Erratum in 2005, 150, 53. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2004.09.047.
80. Chertow, G.M.; Pergola, P.E.; Farag, Y.M.K.; Agarwal, R.; Arnold, S.; Bako, G.; Block, G.A.; Burke, S.; Castillo, F.P.; Jardine, A.G.; et al. PRO2TECT Study Group. Vadadustat in Patients with Anaemia and Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD. N. Engl. J. Med. 2021, 384, 1589–1600. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035938.
81. Kuriyama, S.; Maruyama, Y.; Honda, H. A new insight into the treatment of renal anemia with HIF stabilizer. Ren. Replace. Ther. 2020, 6, 63. doi:10.1186/s41100-020-00311-x.
82. Provenzano, M.; De Nicola, L.; Pena, M.J.; Capitoli, G.; Garofalo, C.; Borrelli, S.; Gagliardi, I.; Antolini, L.; Andreucci, M. Precision Nephrology Is a Non-Negligible State of Mind in Clinical Research: Remember the Past to Face the Future. Nephron 2020, 144, 463–478. doi:10.1159/000508893.
83. Cernaro, V.; Coppolino, G.; Visconti, L.; Rivoli, L.; Lacquaniti, A.; Santoro, D.; Buemi, A.; Loddo, S.; Buemi, M. Erythropoiesis and chronic kidney disease-related anemia: From physiology to new therapeutic advancements. Med. Res. Rev. 2019, 39, 427–460. doi:10.1002/med.21527.
84. Coppolino, G.; Leonardi, G.; Andreucci, M.; Bolognino, D. Oxidative Stress and Kidney Function: A Brief Update. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2018, 24, 4794–4799. doi:10.2174/1381612825666190112165206.
85. Provenzano, M.; Garofalo, C.; Chiodini, P.; Mancuso, C.; Barbato, E.; De Nicola, L.; Andreucci, M. Role of proteinuria in clinical research: For each old-answer, a new key-question. Recenti Prog. Med. 2020, 111, 74–81. doi:10.1701/3309.32797.
86. Provenzano, M.; Andreucci, M.; De Nicola, L.; Garofalo, C.; Battaglia, Y.; Borrelli, S.; Gagliardi, I.; Faga, T.; Michael, A.; Mastro Roberto, P.; et al. The Role of Prognostic and Predictive Biomarkers for Assessing Cardiovascular Risk in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients. Biomed. Res. Int. 2020, 2020, 2314128. doi:10.1155/2020/2314128.