Diagnostic Criteria for Depression in Type 2 Diabetes: A Data-Driven Approach
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Abstract

Background: While depression is a frequent psychiatric comorbid condition in diabetes and has significant clinical impact, the syndromal profile of depression and anxiety symptoms has not been examined in detail.

Aims: To determine the syndromal pattern of the depression and anxiety spectrum in a large series of patients with type 2 diabetes, as determined using a data-driven approach based on latent class analysis (LCA).

Method: Type 2 diabetes participants from the observational community-based Fremantle Diabetes Study Phase II underwent assessment of lifetime depression using the Brief Lifetime Depression Scale, the Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item version (PHQ-9) for current depression symptoms, and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale that was specifically developed and validated for this study. The main outcome measure was classes of patients with a specific syndromal profile of depression and anxiety symptoms based on LCA.

Results: LCA identified four classes that were interpreted as “major anxious depression”, “minor anxious depression”, “subclinical anxiety”, and “no anxious depression”. All nine DSM-IV/5 diagnostic criteria for major depression identified a class with a high frequency of major depression. All symptoms of anxiety had similar high probabilities as symptoms of depression for the “major depression-anxiety” class. There were significant differences between classes in terms of history of depression and anxiety, use of psychoactive medication, and diabetes-related variables.

Conclusions: Patients with type 2 diabetes show specific profiles of depression and anxiety. Anxiety symptoms are an integral part of major depression in type 2 diabetes. The different classes identified here provide empirically validated phenotypes for future research.

Introduction

Depression is one of the most frequent psychiatric disorders in patients with type 2 diabetes, with a lifetime prevalence of 24%–29% and a point prevalence of 10%–15% [1]. Depression in type 2 diabetes is significantly associated with poor glycaemic control [2], chronic complications [2], increased mortality [3], reduced physical and mental functioning [4], higher health costs and decreased adherence to diet and hypoglycaemic medications [5]. A recent epidemiological study demonstrated that individuals with diabetes and comorbid depression have a worse health-related quality of life and higher health service usage [6]. Collaborative care models for depression in diabetes demonstrated to significantly improve outcomes for depression and glycaemic control [7].

Depression may be underdiagnosed in diabetes. The limitations in diagnosing depression in diabetes include the lack of specific diagnostic criteria and the overlap of symptoms. Egede and Ellis [8] noted that poor management of diabetes is a barrier for early recognition of depressive symptoms, such as fatigue, changes in weight and appetite, sleep disturbances, and motor retardation. Furthermore, increased appetite is a cardinal diagnostic criterion for both major depression and diabetes, anhedonia may result from changes in lifestyle due to diabetes, loss of interest may be secondary to limitations to pursue usual free-time activities due to diabetes complications (e.g., visual problems, neuropathy), and loss of libido and reduced self-esteem may result from sexual dysfunction. Campayo and co-workers [9] suggested that depression in diabetic patients may be qualitatively different from depression observed among individuals without diabetes who have ‘primary’ (i.e. no organic cause) depression, but no supporting data were provided. A recent large prospective study showed that individuals with current depressive and/or anxiety disorders had a 10-fold increased odds of diabetes incidence at two years, and the...
incidence was highest for those with comorbid depression and anxiety [10]. A valid and timely diagnosis of depression is of clinical relevance especially given that detection and treatment of depression improves glycaemic control [9]. Another potential confounder for a valid diagnosis of depression in diabetes is the potential comorbidity with anxiety. This is substantial in the general population, with 57% of individuals with major depression also meeting diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder [11]. Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) is more frequent in diabetes than in age-comparable healthy controls [12], and is associated with poor health behaviours [13]. In the general population, comorbid depression and anxiety is highly prevalent [14]. Several studies examined the comorbidity between depression and anxiety in diabetes, but they were limited by important methodological shortcomings, such as a diagnosis of diabetes based only on self-report [15].

The aim of the present study was to examine the syndromal pattern of depression and anxiety in type 2 diabetes using a data-driven approach, as well as to examine the overlap with anxiety. We used baseline data from community-based participants of the Fremantle Diabetes Study Phase II (FDS2) [15], and used latent class analysis (LCA) to examine the homogeneity of depressive symptoms and the comorbidity between depression and anxiety. LCA assesses the symptom profile of individual patients and produces classes of patients in terms of their pattern of symptoms. Given that the classes thus identified do not overlap, individual patients can belong to only one group. Based on our experience with LCA in medical conditions, we expected to find three classes characterized by high, moderate and low probabilities for symptoms of depression, as well as a high comorbidity between depression and anxiety.

Methods

Patients

The FDS2 is a longitudinal observational study of known diabetes conducted in a postcode-defined geographical area surrounding the city of Fremantle (Western Australia) [15]. Details of FDS2 recruitment procedures, sample characteristics including classification of diabetes type and non-recruited patients have been published elsewhere [15]. Briefly, between 2008 and 2011, 4,667 diabetic patients were identified in the local population of 153,000 (crude diabetes prevalence 3%), of whom 1,668 (36%) were recruited to FDS2, in addition to 64 surviving FDS phase I participants who had moved out of the study area (1,732 participants altogether).

Ethics Statement

The Human Research Ethics Committee of the Southern Metropolitan Area Health Service specifically approved the study, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

Clinical and psychiatric assessment

Each participant underwent a baseline assessment that included a comprehensive clinical questionnaire, physical examination and fasting biochemical tests [15]. Diabetes type was assessed from treatment history, BMI, age at diagnosis, and nature of first presentation and/or self-identification. Non-insulin treated patients and those ≥60 years of age at diagnosis were usually considered to have type 2 diabetes, as were patients <60 years of age at diagnosis and taking insulin at the time of the study entry but whose first treatment was not insulin. In these cases, case records were consulted for evidence of ketonemia, as well as islet cell antibody, GAD antibodies, serum insulin and C-peptide levels.
if available. Complications were ascertained using standard criteria [15,16].

All participants were assessed with the PHQ-9 [17], a brief and accurate assessment of depression that has been validated in patients with diabetes [16]. The PHQ-9 is a dual-purpose instrument that can establish depressive disorder diagnoses as well as ratings of depressive symptom severity [17]. Patients with type 2 diabetes have significant physical complaints that may artificially increase PHQ-9 scores on items that are not necessary symptoms of major depression. Using a PHQ-9 cut point rather than the diagnostic algorithm may increase the frequency of false-positive cases of depression [18]. Therefore, as suggested by the PHQ-9 authors [18], we used the instrument's diagnostic algorithm for making diagnoses of major and minor depression. Major depression was diagnosed when the first or second symptoms (i.e. depressed mood or loss of interest/anhedonia) were present more than half the days, and at least 5 of the 9 symptoms were present during the same period [19]. Minor depression was diagnosed whenever 2 to 4 symptoms (including depressed mood or loss of interest/anhedonia) were present for more than half the days. Lifetime history of depression was assessed with the Brief Lifetime Depression Scale (BLDS). This instrument was developed and validated by our group for use in diabetes [20]. The BLDS was modelled on the PHQ-9 and included similar items, general format and language, but the participants were asked whether they had ever had a period during their lives lasting for two weeks or more when they experienced any of the nine symptoms of depression listed in the DSM-IV-5.

For the study of anxiety in the FDS2, a specific questionnaire (the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GADS)) was devised by one of the authors (SES) with experience in developing psychiatric instruments to rate the severity of anxiety (Table 1). The GADS includes 9 items that correspond to all 9 DSM-IV criteria for GAD. Based on the PHQ-9, GADS items were rated as “not at all present”, “present several days”, “present more than half of the days”, and “present nearly every day”. As with the PHQ-9, a symptom was rated positive whenever the patient rated “more than half of the days” or “nearly every day”. To make the instrument comparable to the DSM-IV criteria for GAD, the time criterion was the past 6 months. The validity of the GADS was examined by having a psychiatrist (SES) assess a convenience sample of 23 patients with the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV-Research Version (SCID)-Anxiety Disorders Module, blind to the GADS results. Kappa statistics showed a high diagnostic concordance for DSM-IV GAD diagnosis (kappa = 0.90). Six of the 23 patients received a diagnosis of GAD based on the SCID, as compared to 4 based on the GADS (McNemar’s test, P = 0.50). Test-retest reliability was assessed in 25 patients, who completed the GADS twice, with an interval of (mean ± SD) 9±6 days. The intra-class correlation (ICC) was high (ICC = 0.90). The presence of cognitive deficits was based on the following algorithm (Bruce et al., 2008): patients scoring ≤26 on the MMSE were further assessed with the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [Hughes et al., 1982] to establish the presence of dementia. This instrument generates ratings ranging from normal cognition (CDR 0) to severity levels of dementia (CDR 1–3) and
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an intermediate category defines very mild cognitive impairment or questionable dementia (CDR 0.5).

**Statistical Analysis**

To test for group differences, generalised linear modelling (GLM) was used. LCA (cluster procedure) was used to determine the latent structure of patients with diabetes and to categorize individuals based on their responses to the PHQ-9 and GADS. LCA was calculated using the software LatentGold version 4.0.4 [21]. LCA assumes that a ‘class’ explains the association among a set of symptoms. LCA computes latent class probabilities or prevalence, and conditional probabilities. The basic assumption of LCA is that a (presumably homogeneous) population of individuals is a mixture of distinct, but internally homogeneous subgroups [22]. LCA assesses the symptom profile of individual patients and produces classes of patients as suggested by their pattern of symptoms. Since the clusters do not overlap, individual patients can belong to only one group. For this analysis, we included the nine DSM-IV symptoms for major depression and the four DSM-IV symptoms for generalized anxiety disorder that do not overlap with major depression as latent class indicators. Models with one to five classes were estimated. Each patient was assigned to the latent class to which the largest posterior probability was calculated. The best-fitting model was chosen based on having the lowest values for the following goodness of fit indices: Bayes Information Criterion (BIC), Approximate Weight of Evidence (AWE) (which takes into account classification performance), and the Corrected Akaike Information Criterion (CAIC). The level of contribution of each indicator to the final latent class structure is assessed by the information content statistic ($R^2$), which can be interpreted similarly to the commonalities in traditional factor analysis. For the clinical interpretation, the mean level and frequency of responses for each psychiatric symptom within each class were examined. After determining the best class solution, we used latent class membership as a between-subject variable in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc comparisons using Tukey’s HSD, and X² analyses to examine demographic and clinical differences among the latent classes. The computer package IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA) was used to compare baseline characteristics across the four LCA classes. Data are presented as proportions, mean ± SD, geometric mean (SD range), or, in the case of variables which did not conform to a normal or log-normal distribution, median [inter-quartile range, IQR]. For independent samples, two-way comparisons for proportions were by Fisher’s exact test, for normally distributed variables by Student’s t-test, and for non-normally distributed variables by Mann-Whitney U-test. Multiple comparisons for proportions were by Fisher’s exact test or Chi-squared test, for normally distributed variables by one-way ANOVA, and for non-normally distributed variables by Kruskal-Wallis H-test, adjusted using the Bonferroni correction. A two-tailed significance level of $P<0.05$ was used throughout.

**Results**

**Demographic and clinical findings**

FDS2 recruited 1,551 participants (90%) with clinically defined type 2 diabetes. Complete data for our study was obtained from 1,337 patients (86%). When compared with the 214 patients with missing data, the latter were more likely to be female (46% vs. 57%, $P=0.005$), and had worse glycaemic control (HbA1c (median [IQR]) 6.8% median [IQR] [6.2%–7.6%] vs. 7.0% [6.4%–8.5%], respectively, $P=0.001$). On the other hand, there were no significant between-group differences in age (mean years
Latent class analysis

Items selected for the LCA included all 9 items from the PHQ-9, and the items of worrying/anxiety, feeling restless, feeling tense, and feeling irritable from the GADS (the remaining items were not included due to overlap with PHQ-9 items). The modelling started with probing the presence of conventional latent classes (i.e., cluster modelling), running cluster models of up to 5 latent clusters. Inspection of conditional probabilities showed a reduction in BIC and CAIC values from the 2- to the 3-cluster model, but at the cost of increasing number of parameters (NPar) (Table 2). From the 4-cluster model onwards, decreased accuracy was unacceptably high (i.e., >10%) and the AWE index has started to ascend. The 4-cluster model showed a pattern of probabilities extending from one extreme (low probabilities for all symptoms) to the other (high probabilities for all symptoms), with the exception of two intermediate classes showing a mixed pattern of probabilities. This finding suggests that a single discrete factor (anxious depression) with 4 ordered levels or classes (e.g., no anxious depression, subclinical anxiety, minor anxious depression and major anxious depression) provides the best explanatory model. Thus, an inter-factorial effect and orderliness of latent classes was found.

Table 3. Scores for anxiety and depression items for the four LCA classes.

| Symptom              | No anxious depression | Subsyndromal anxiety | Minor anxious depression | Major anxious depression | F    | P    |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
| Worrying             | 0.06 (0.23)           | 0.65 (0.63)          | 1.33 (0.87)              | 2.49 (0.73)              | 548.6| 0.0001|
| Restlessness         | 0.01 (0.09)           | 0.47 (0.53)          | 1.09 (0.70)              | 2.17 (0.83)              | 593.7| 0.0001|
| Tension              | 0.01 (0.10)           | 0.57 (0.55)          | 1.15 (0.72)              | 2.33 (0.74)              | 654.6| 0.0001|
| Irritability         | 0.06 (0.24)           | 0.52 (0.58)          | 1.04 (0.69)              | 2.06 (0.89)              | 430.5| 0.0001|
| Loss of interest     | 0.02 (0.17)           | 0.18 (0.45)          | 0.96 (0.84)              | 1.75 (0.91)              | 398.3| 0.0001|
| Sad mood             | 0.02 (0.13)           | 0.12 (0.33)          | 1.03 (0.74)              | 2.06 (0.81)              | 754.3| 0.0001|
| Sleep changes        | 0.28 (0.66)           | 0.90 (1.00)          | 1.35 (1.06)              | 2.47 (0.85)              | 162.7| 0.0001|
| Loss of energy       | 0.20 (0.43)           | 0.96 (0.83)          | 1.55 (0.97)              | 2.55 (0.68)              | 204.8| 0.0001|
| Appetite changes     | 0.09 (0.33)           | 0.44 (0.78)          | 0.94 (1.03)              | 1.88 (1.17)              | 179.0| 0.0001|
| Worthlessness/guilt  | 0.01 (0.48)           | 0.09 (0.30)          | 0.70 (0.77)              | 1.96 (1.08)              | 131.1| 0.0001|
| Poor concentration   | 0.01 (0.08)           | 0.19 (0.47)          | 0.72 (0.87)              | 1.76 (1.04)              | 104.0| 0.0001|
| Psychomotor changes  | 0.01 (0.04)           | 0.08 (0.34)          | 0.30 (0.59)              | 1.25 (1.17)              | 207.7| 0.0001|
| Suicide ideation     | 0.00 (0.00)           | 0.01 (0.04)          | 0.17 (0.50)              | 0.91 (1.09)              | 57.7 | 0.0001|
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± SD) (65.8±11.1 vs. 64.9±14.4 years, respectively, \( P = 0.41 \), diabetes duration (8.8 years [2.8–15.6] vs. 10.0 years [3.0–17.8], respectively, \( P = 0.12 \)), or cognition (8.0% vs. 7.1% cognitively impaired (\( P = 1.00 \)).
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Table 4. FDS2 baseline associates of four LCA groups in participants with type-2 diabetes.

| FDS2 baseline variable | LCA group                                      | N (%)                  | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                        | No anxious depression (Cluster 1)              |                        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|                        | Subsyndromal anxiety (Cluster 2)               |                        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|                        | Minor anxious depression (Cluster 3)           |                        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|                        | Major anxious depression (Cluster 4)           |                        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| N (%)                  | 439 (32.8)                                     | 501 (37.5)             | 293 (21.9) | 104 (7.8) |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Age (years)            | 67.4±10.8                                      | 66.1±10.8              | 64.7±11.1†† | 60.6±12.3***‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Any depressive syndrome (%) | 0 1.0                                           |                        | 26.3***‡‡‡ | 77.9***‡‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Major depressive syndrome (%) | 0 0                                             |                        | 4.1***‡†† | 67.3***‡‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Taking antidepressant medication (%) | 6.6 13.6**                                       |                        | 23.5***‡‡† | 35.6***‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| No depression/depression before diabetes/concomitant diagnoses/depression after diabetes (%) | 88.2/7.7/12.3/0.0 |                        | 29.8/32.4/10.2/27.6***‖‖‖ | 2.1/43.3/16.5/38.1***‖‖‖ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Current GAD (%)        | 0 0                                             |                        | 3.8***‡‡† | 51.0***‡‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Lifetime GAD (%)       | 5.1 14.7***                                    |                        | 35.6***‡†† | 70.3***‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Any depressive syndrome and/or current GAD (DSMIV) (%) | 0 1.6                                           |                        | 29.4***‡†† | 89.4***‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Male (%)               | 58.5 52.3                                      |                        | 50.2 45.2 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Educated beyond primary level (%) | 88.2 88.1                                      |                        | 88.5 80.4 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Currently married      | 65.1 65.9                                      |                        | 63.1 63.5 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Alcohol consumption (standard drinks/day) | 0.1 [0–1.5] |                        | 0.1 [0–1.5] | 0 [0–1.3]***‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Age at diagnosis of diabetes (years) | 57.4±17.1                                      |                        | 54.7±11.5† | 49.9±11.6***‡‡ ‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 8.5 [3.0–15.8]                                |                        | 9.0 [2.0–15.5] | 10.0 [4.0–15.5] |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Fasting serum glucose (mmol/L) | 7.1 [6.2–8.7]                                 |                        | 7.1 [6.1–8.9] | 8.1 [6.2–10.3]†† |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| HbA1c (%)              | 6.8 [6.3–7.5]                                  |                        | 6.8 [6.2–7.6] | 7.5 [6.3–8.9]***‡‡‡ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Diabetes treatment (% diet/OGLMs/insulin/insulin + OGLMs) | 23.9/57.2/3.2/15.7 |                        | 24.9/51.2/6.8/17.1 | 18.3/43.3/9.6/28.8***‖‖‖ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| BMI (kg/m2)            | 30.9±5.9                                       |                        | 32.0±6.6 | 33.5±7.0***‖‖‖ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Central adiposity (% obese by waist circumference) | 68.6 70.9                                     |                        | 74.4 83.5*** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Total serum cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.3±1.0                                        |                        | 4.4±1.0 | 4.4±1.2 | 4.5±1.3 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.26±0.35                                      |                        | 1.24±0.34 | 1.24±0.34 | 1.15±0.27 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Serum triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.4 (0.9–2.4)                                  |                        | 1.5 (0.9–2.5) | 1.5 (0.9–2.5) | 2.0 (1.0–3.5)***‖‖‖ |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m2 (%) | 16.5 18.8                                      |                        | 18.2 22.3 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy (%) | 57.6 59.7                                      |                        | 61.6 56.3 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Any retinopathy (%)     | 20.6 21.9                                      |                        | 22.9 24.5 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Self-reported MI/angina (%) | 15.5 14.8                                      |                        | 22.5† | 25.0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Self-reported stroke (%) | 2.5 4.0                                        |                        | 6.5 7.7 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
variables (Table 5).

When the class with major anxious-depression was compared with patients meeting DSM-IV criteria for major depression, there were no differences on demographic, diabetes-related or psychiatric symptom measures. However, when the sample was divided into four diagnostic categories, differences were found on a number of variables. First, the group with major depression had higher levels of anxiety symptoms compared to the group without depression. Second, patients with major depression had significantly higher levels of depressive symptoms compared to patients with subclinical anxiety. Third, patients with major depression had a significantly higher frequency of major depression, and further changes to these criteria are not necessary. Third, all symptoms of anxiety had similar high probabilities as symptoms of depression for the major depression class, suggesting that anxiety symptoms should be added to the DSM-IV criteria for major depression to identify a class with more severe psychopathology. Therefore, our findings do not support separating anxiety from depressive symptoms for patients with type 2 diabetes. Finally, we identified a class that included anxiety and depression symptoms of a lesser severity, which we termed minor depression-anxiety. About one third of this group had clinically relevant anxiety and/or depression, but there were no demographic or clinical differences when compared to the class without anxiety or depression.

These findings should be considered in the light of some limitations of our study. First, given the large sample of individuals to be assessed and the comprehensive quantity of clinical assessments, we were unable to interview patients with more appropriate structured psychiatric interviews. Nevertheless, we assessed depression using the PHQ-9, a valid and reliable instrument to diagnose depression based on DSM-IV criteria and to measure the severity of depression. Second, anxiety symptoms were assessed with the GADS, a new instrument specifically developed and validated for the present study. As with the PHQ-9, the GADS allows a diagnosis of GAD based on DSM-IV criteria and measures the severity of anxiety. We did not use the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7) given that this instrument was not available when our study was designed. However, we believe that the GADS is an improvement over the GAD-7 given that the GADS assesses all the DSM-IV criteria for GAD over the past 6 months, whilst the GAD-7 assesses a partial list of symptoms during the past 2 weeks. This was a cross-sectional study, and the clinical progression of the classes identified in this study will need to be examined in our ongoing biennial follow-up study. Finally, we did not assess for the category of ‘diabetes distress’ in our study. The syndrome of major depression was criticized for not differentiating an expected emotional reaction to a significant stressor from a pathological reaction, whereas ‘diabetes distress’ may better capture the worries and fears of a chronic illness [23]. However, the construct of ‘diabetes distress’ is in need of further validation, and it is not included into the major psychiatric nomenclatures. Our study provides empirical support for a class that includes the symptoms of major depression in diabetes, and demonstrates this class to be significantly related to worse diabetes outcomes.

While depression is recognized as one of the most frequent psychiatric disorders in type 2 diabetes, questions remain regarding the validity of using DSM-IV/5 diagnostic criteria when the symptoms of depression and diabetes can overlap. Given the impact of depression on the management and prognosis of diabetes, it is critical to validate diagnostic criteria for depression for use in clinical practice. Using LCA, we found for the first time that all nine-DSM-IV criteria for major depression identify a class of patients with a high chance of having major depression. Moreover, this group also showed significantly worse clinical parameters, such as higher fasting plasma glucose, higher HbA1c, greater use of insulin, higher BMI, increased central adiposity and increased serum triglycerides than the class without anxious depression, which provides partial validation of the classes obtained.

The classes with high probability of depressive symptoms also included a high probability of anxiety symptoms (worrying, restlessness, tension and irritability) and had a high frequency of GAD. This finding demonstrates the high comorbidity of depression and anxiety in diabetes, and suggests that a new diagnostic category of “major anxious depression” should be used in type 2 diabetes. In the general population, this comorbidity is

Table 4. Cont.

| LCA group               | FDS2 baseline variable | No anxious depression (Cluster 1) | Subsyndromal anxiety (Cluster 2) | Minor anxious depression (Cluster 3) | Major anxious depression (Cluster 4) | P-value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Peripheral arterial disease (%) |                       | 23.5                             | 20.2                             | 21.8                                | 24.3                                | 0.59    |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs no depression-anxiety; 
1p < 0.05, 11p < 0.01, 111p < 0.001 vs minor depression-anxiety; 
2p < 0.05, 21p < 0.01, 211p < 0.001 vs subsyndromal anxiety after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112049.t004
associated with a poor prognosis, recurrent depression, worse treatment outcomes of depression, more frequent suicide attempts, greater symptom severity, diminished social supports, and more past-year distressing life events [24]. Starr and Davila [25] proposed a model of depression-anxiety comorbidity in which anxiety symptoms lead to depressive symptoms via maladaptive anxiety response styles.

Another relevant finding from our study was a class with intermediate symptoms of anxiety and depression, which we labelled minor depression-anxiety. This class included a relatively large proportion of our sample (22%), and future studies may determine whether this class may evolve into a more severe depression with significant impact upon diabetes variables. Cyranowsky et al [24] recently demonstrated that anxiety commonly emerges alongside subthreshold depressive symptoms. The finding that 29% of this class had depression and/or anxiety suggests that this class is clinically relevant. It has been demonstrated that subclinical depression has a significant negative impact on diabetes control [26] and the relationship between depressive symptoms and poor self-care appears to be linear [1]. A third class, which included 38% of the sample, had minor symptoms of anxiety but no depression. Only 2% of this group had clinical depression and/or anxiety, but had a significantly higher lifetime prevalence of GAD and depression as compared to the class with no anxiety or depression. The clinical relevance of this class should be further examined in longitudinal studies.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the DSM-IV criteria for major depression may be used unmodified to diagnose depression in diabetes. We also found a high comorbidity between depression and anxiety, suggesting that symptoms of anxiety may be included into a new set of diagnostic criteria for major depression or mixed anxiety-depression in type 2 diabetes. Future longitudinal studies will validate this construct and examine the clinical relevance of minor anxious depression and subclinical anxiety in type 2 diabetes.
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Table 5. FDS2 baseline associates of major anxious depression class vs major depression (DSM-IV criteria) in participants with type-2 diabetes.

| FDS2 baseline variable                                                                 | Major depression (DSM-IV) | Major anxious-depression (Cluster 4) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| N (%)                                                                                 | 82 (6.1)                  | 104 (7.8)                            |
| Age (years)                                                                           | 60.6 ± 12.5               | 60.6 ± 12.3                          |
| Any depressive syndrome (%)                                                           | 100.0                     | 77.9                                 |
| Major depressive syndrome (%)                                                         | 100.0                     | 67.3                                 |
| Taking antidepressant medication (%)                                                  | 42.7                      | 35.6                                 |
| No depression/depression before diabetes/concomitant diagnoses/depression after diabetes (%) | 0/38.2/19.7/42.1           | 2.1/43.3/16.5/38.1                   |
| Current GAD (%)                                                                       | 43.9                      | 51.0                                 |
| Lifetime GAD (%)                                                                     | 67.1                      | 70.3                                 |
| Any depressive syndrome and/or current GAD (DSMIV) (%)                                 | 100.0                     | 89.4                                 |
| Male (%)                                                                              | 46.3                      | 45.2                                 |
| Educated beyond primary level (%)                                                     | 79.0                      | 80.4                                 |
| Currently married                                                                     | 59.8                      | 63.5                                 |
| Alcohol consumption (standard drinks/day)                                             | 0 [0–0.4]                 | 0 [0–0.3]                            |
| Age at diagnosis of diabetes (years)                                                  | 49.3 ± 11.3               | 49.9 ± 11.6                          |
| Diabetes duration (years)                                                             | 11.0 [4.0–16.1]           | 10.0 [4.0–15.5]                      |
| HbA1c (%)                                                                             | 7.5 [6.2–9.0]             | 7.5 [6.3–8.9]                        |
| Diabetes treatment (% diet/OGLMs/insulin/insulin + OGLMs)                             | 22.0/41.5/9.8/26.8       | 18.3/43.3/9.6/28.8                  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                                                           | 33.5 ± 6.1                | 33.5 ± 7.0                           |
| Central adiposity (% obese by waist circumference)                                    | 85.4                      | 83.5                                 |
| Total serum cholesterol (mmol/L)                                                      | 4.5 ± 1.4                 | 4.5 ± 1.3                            |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)                                                              | 1.14 ± 0.29               | 1.15 ± 0.27                          |
| Serum triglycerides (mmol/L)                                                          | 2.0 [1.0–3.8]             | 2.0 [1.0–3.8]                        |
| eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m² (%)                                                          | 18.5                      | 22.3                                 |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy (%)                                                     | 53.7                      | 56.3                                 |
| Any retinopathy (%)                                                                   | 21.8                      | 24.5                                 |
| History of ischaemic heart disease (%)                                                | 36.6                      | 25.0                                 |
| History of cerebrovascular disease (%)                                                | 12.2                      | 7.7                                  |
| Peripheral arterial disease (%)                                                       | 23.2                      | 24.3                                 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112049.t005
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