Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumor of the Cervix
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ABSTRACT

The occurrence of primary malignant peripheral neurilemmoma in the female cervix without neurofibromatosis type 1 is extremely rare. We, herein, report a case of a 46-year woman with malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) involving the cervix. The patient was admitted to the hospital because of irregular vaginal bleeding. Pelvic CT suggested the presence of cervical mass, and the patient accepted radical hysterectomy. Following the surgery, MPNST was diagnosed by a combination of histology and immunohistochemistry. One year later, the patient developed recurrence and pulmonary metastasis and received sequentially epirubicin/ifosfamide, dacarbazine, and etoposide/cisplatin multi-line chemotherapy. The prognosis of MPNST is poor, which is related to its invasiveness, high recurrence and metastasis rates. The patient was treated with surgery, multi-line chemotherapy and targeted therapy, but the overall survival (OS) was 44 months. It is the first reported case of cervical MPNST receiving combined treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) are rare high-grade sarcomas arising from peripheral nerves or peripheral nerve sheath cells. The prevalence of MPNSTs in the general population is approximately 0.001%, accounting for 5-10% of all soft tissue sarcomas. These tumors usually affect the proximal extremities and the trunk; and are very rare in the pelvic viscera. These usually arise in adulthood, with most occurring around 20-50 years of age, and the incidence is equal in males and females. The diagnosis of MPNSTs depends mostly on the combination of histology and immunohistochemistry. There is no specific immunohistochemical marker for MPNSTs, but the neurological markers, S-100, CD 56, and PGP 9.5 are considered to be sensitive markers of peripheral nerve sheath tumors. Although S-100 protein has been known to be the best marker, but only 50-90% of MPNSTs show positivity with this marker.

CASE REPORT

A 46-year woman presented to a local hospital because of irregular vaginal bleeding in January 2015. There was no evident abnormality in the examination. She denied a history of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF-1). She had two cesarean sections performed in 1999 and 2009, respectively.

The pelvic CT showed visible cervical lumps (Figure 1). The cervical biopsy showed a neurogenic malignant tumor, possibly MPNST. She underwent a radical hysterectomy, combined with bilateral adnexal resection and pelvic lymphadenectomy. The postoperative pathology showed malignant cervical spindle cell tumor (Figure 2A), consistent with MPNST. By immunohistochemistry, the cells were vimentin and S-100 positive (Figure 2B), and cytokeratin (CK), CD117, and CD34 were negative.

After surgery, five cycles of epirubicin combined with ifosfamide and cisplatin, were administered. No recurrence or metastasis was found on the last imaging examination in June 2015. No abnormality was found during periodic review (Figure 3A).

One year later, in January 2016, the patient was found to have a recto-vaginal fistula (Figure 3B), and multiple chest nodules were seen in the chest CT (Figure 4A), suggesting the possibility of lung metastasis. Following vaginal mass resection, the postoperative pathology showed recurrent MPNST. The patient was given gemcitabine, combined with docetaxel for four cycles of first-line chemotherapy. In June 2016, a review of pulmonary lesions’ progression was performed (Figure 4B). The second--
line treatment was switched to anthracycline (AI, epiubicin combined with ifosfamide) chemotherapy for eight cycles. During repeated reviews, the lung and pelvic lesions remained stable till February 2017 (Figure 4C). Since the anthracycline had reached the maximum cumulative dose (1000 mg/m²), it was changed to dacarbazine alone to maintain chemotherapy. In October 2017, after two cycles of treatment, the condition was still stable (Figure 4D). After three cycles, in January 2018, the lung lesions on chest CT were reviewed (Figure 4E), showing progression of lesions, but no related symptoms were reported. A third-line treatment with EP (etoposide combined with cisplatin) was initiated. Cough, expectoration, and chest tightness occurred after one cycle of chemotherapy with the EP regimen. Chest B-ultrasound showed a large amount of pleural effusion. Thoracentesis yielded hemorrhagic pleural effusion. Chemotherapy was switched to gemcitabine plus docetaxel for one course of treatment. In July 2018, the chest and abdomen CT showed that the lung metastases increased further (Figure 4F), and nodular lesion appeared in front of the rectum. The combination of imaging studies indicated a progression of the disease and local recurrence. The multi-line chemotherapy was not effective, and the patient was subjected to targeted treatment with anlotinib (12mg, p.o. qd, q3w). The patient died two months after taking anlotinib. Unfortunately, no imaging examinations were performed during that period.

The diagnosis of MPNST depends on a combination of histology and immunohistochemistry. When a sarcoma meets one of the following criteria, it can be diagnosed as MPNST: (1) Origin from peripheral nerve; (2) malignant transformation of pre-existing benign neurofibroma; and (3) histology showing Schwann cell differentiation on immunohistochemistry or electron microscopy. The most common sites of MPNSTs are extremities (47%), trunk (35%), and head and neck (17%). This tumor is rarely found in the cervix. MPNSTs without NF-1 are very rare in the cervix.

DISCUSSION

This case is reported because of its special location and treatment. This is a case of recurrent and metastatic cervical MPNST, in which, after recurrence and metastasis, epiubicin combined with ifosfamide chemotherapy has provided good results. Since the anthracycline drugs accumulated to the maximum dose, the patient was switched to dacarbazine to maintain chemotherapy. After the progression of the disease, the third and fourth line treatments were changed to etoposide combined with cisplatin, gemcitabine and docetaxel; however, the effect of chemotherapy was not satisfactory. The patient’s physical condition was poor. She could not tolerate continued chemotherapy, and therefore, she received targeted treatment with anlotinib till her death.
metastases is the lung, accounting for 70% to 100% of all sites. Our patient developed recurrence and lung metastasis one year after the first radical resection, with a high degree of malignancy.

At present, the treatment of MPNSTs is similar to that of soft tissue sarcomas, and the prognosis mainly depends on the local tumor control. So far, the only effective treatment for MPNSTs is complete surgical resection. High doses of radiation have been recommended for tumors ≥5 cm and in the presence of microscopic positive margins. The use of adjuvant or neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of soft tissue sarcomas, including MPNSTs, remains controversial. Studies have shown that chemotherapy is beneficial for survival and prevention of metastatic spread. Chemotherapy is part of comprehensive therapy; it can reduce the rate of distant metastases and appears to be more effective in controlling distant metastases. The combination of ifosfamide and doxorubicin is more effective than single-agent doxorubicin in the treatment of advanced or metastatic sarcoma, and is currently the preferred combination chemotherapy for soft tissue sarcomas. In retrospective analysis of EORTC trials, Kroep et al. found that doxorubicin-ifosfamide regimens are superior to other regimens for MPNSTs. In addition, Schuetze and Patel suggested that patients with large (>5 cm) and high-grade MPNSTs could be considered for chemotherapy, regardless of their location; the preferred chemotherapy regimen is a dose-intensive anthracycline and ifosfamide. Moretti et al. reported that the regimen of doxorubicin and ifosfamide, combined with surgery and radiation therapy, resulted in 57% disease-free survival and 80% overall survival (OS) at two years. The other phenomenon observed in the study by Moretti et al. was that no patient using doxorubicin and ifosfamide developed new metastatic disease throughout 25.4 months of the follow-up. The same phenomenon was observed in the study by Sordillo et al., Some researchers have pointed out that chemotherapy can reduce the recurrence rate and distant metastasis and improve the quality of life, but does not prolong the OS of the patients. For the reported patient, the first choice after the diagnosis of cervical MPNST was a radical hysterectomy. After the operation, the patient was treated with epirubicin combined with isocyclophosphamide and cisplatin chemotherapy. One year later, local recurrence and lung metastasis occurred, and gemcitabine combined with docetaxel chemotherapy was administered. However, the disease was not well-controlled and continued to progress. Subsequently, eight cycles of AI chemotherapy were given, during which multiple imaging examinations were performed to evaluate the lung lesions. The results were consistent with the sensitivity of distant metastatic MPNSTs to the AI regimen. One of the dose-limiting toxicities of anthracyclines is cardiac toxicity. Since the accumulation of anthracyclines was maximal, in order to avoid cardiotoxicity, we considered dacarbazine as a second-line treatment. Dacarbazine was used to maintain chemotherapy for two cycles, but the disease was found to progress again.

In her case, the combination of surgery and multi-line chemotherapy allowed her to achieve the OS of 44 months. MPNSTs are not sensitive to chemoradiotherapy, but for advanced unresectable, metastatic, or recurrent tumors, comprehensive palliative care is recommended. Based on current relevant data, most researchers agree that chemotherapy plays an important role in patients with high-risk primary MPNSTs, who cannot undergo surgical resection, whose margins are positive, or who have metastases. However, this role still needs to be better defined through prospective, multi-institutional studies.
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