Exact analysis and elastic interaction of multi-soliton for a two-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation in the Bose-Einstein condensation
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HIGHLIGHTS

• We investigated a two-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation with time-varying trapping potential in the Bose-Einstein condensation.
• The Hirota bilinear method is established to solve the two-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation and its parabolic soliton, line-soliton and dromion-like structure can be exhibited via some appropriate parameters chosen. Their interaction structures are discussed.
• The interaction of two-soliton solutions is investigated through asymptotic analysis.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Interaction of two solitons with different structures is exhibited. By adjusting the corresponding parameters, distinct solitons and their interaction can be achieved. It can also simulate a process of energy concentration of solitons at different times.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The Gross-Pitaevskii equation is a class of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, whose exact solution, especially soliton solution, is proposed for understanding and studying Bose-Einstein condensate and some nonlinear phenomena occurring in the intersection field of Bose-Einstein condensate with some other fields. It is an important subject to investigate their exact solutions.

Objectives: We give multi-soliton of a two-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii system which contains the time-varying trapping potential with a few interactions of multi-soliton. Through analytical and graphical analysis, we obtain one-, two- and three-soliton which are affected by the strength of atomic interaction. The asymptotic expression of two-soliton embodies the properties of solitons. We can give some
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interactions of solitons of different structures including parabolic soliton, line-soliton and dromion-like structure.  

**Methods:** By constructing an appropriate Hirota bilinear form, the multi-soliton solution of the system is obtained. The soliton elastic interaction is analyzed via asymptotic analysis.  

**Results:** The results in this paper theoretically provide the analytical bright soliton solution in the two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensation model and their interesting interaction. To our best knowledge, the discussion and results in this work are new and important in different fields.  

**Conclusions:** The study enriches the existing nonlinear phenomena of the Gross-Pitaevskii model in Bose-Einstein condensation, and prove that the Hirota bilinear method and asymptotic analysis method are powerful and effective techniques in physical sciences and engineering for analyzing nonlinear mathematical-physical equations and their solutions. These provide a valuable basis and reference for the controllability of bright soliton phenomenon in experiments for high-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensation.  

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
The study of GPE mainly lies in the difference of external potential fields. Guo et al. investigate ring dark solitons for the GPE with a harmonically trapped inhomogeneous system with time-dependent nonlinearity [41]. The soliton-like solutions in the nonlocal GPE with parity-time-symmetric external potentials are constructed by Yu [42]. Su et al. found nonautonomous solitons in the GPE with harmonic and linear external potential [43], after this, Xu and Chen studied coupled GPE with the same external potentials and their soliton solutions [44]. The couple GPE with a harmonic potential and its dark-bright soliton solutions are investigated by Alotaibi and Carr [45]. Stable light-bullet solutions and the localized spatial solitons are obtained in the harmonic and parity-time-symmetric potentials by Dai et al [46]. Dark solitons in three-component GPE by an optical dipole trap with the repulsive interactions are given by Yuan et al [47].

For a large number of nonlinear systems, including not only GPE but also Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation, ZK-BBM equation, Hirota-Maccari (HM) equation, etc., some interesting soliton structures are discovered via methods mentioned above, such as bell shape bright-dark soliton, rational soliton, periodic soliton, kink soliton, W-shaped soliton, V-shaped soliton, ring soliton, nonautonomous soliton and so on [18–21,39]. Moreover, in Refs. [13,14], the Hirota bilinear method is used to extract umps-periodic solitons, breather solitons in (2 + 1)-dimensional generalized fifth-order KdV equation and multi-waves solutions, exponential function solutions in (2 + 1)-dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, respectively. These solitons exhibit a bell-shaped soliton structures and it can understand some wave behavior in shallow water and fluid dynamics. And some approximate soliton solutions and methods for the KdV hierarchy equation [23], KdV and related problem [24] are studied by some approximate method. Some complex, hyperbolic and dark soliton solutions have been extracted for generalized Calogero-Bogoyavlenskii-Schiff equation in Ref. [22]. Refs. [25,26,48] use Abel-Riemann, Riesz-Feller, Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative operator to investigate soliton solutions for some different systems, respectively.

Unlike the works mentioned above, GPE (4) is a two-dimensional nonlinear equation under the BEC system, and has different external potential from GPE in Refs. [41–47]. However, most of these solitons are found in one-dimensional GPE models. In BEC solitons in one-dimensional systems are generally considered to be stable. However, it is difficult to stabilize solitons in two-dimensional or above systems [41]. Hence, it is necessary to study the theory of high-dimensional GPE. The bell-shaped bright soliton of Eq. (4) can be stabilized via Feshbach resonance [49]. In Ref. [39], via the numerical simulation, the ring dark solitons of Eq. (4) have been studied. Ref. [40] investigates high-dimensional line rogue wave solutions for Eq. (4). However, the dynamics of detected soliton have been studied extensively, hence, discovering and investigating novel soliton structures has become one of the necessary conditions to stimulate the development of physics. Recently, new parabolic solitons and dronion-like structures solitons are discovered in the optical system [11]. To our best knowledge, soliton structures of such a kind have not been reported for two-dimensional GPE system (4). The aim and motivation of this work are to rich the exact soliton interaction structures and phenomena for high-dimensional GPE in BEC via using Hirota bilinear method. As a result, parabolic solitons, dronion-like structures, line-solitons with energy dissipation and their interaction phenomena are proposed and studied for two-dimensional GPE (4). The difference with Ref. [11] is the dynamics of interaction between two kinds soliton is investigated. These results are new in high-dimensional BEC system and may provide theoretical basis and reference for finding more stable and interesting high-dimensional soliton phenomena in BEC.

In this paper, we will construct multi-soliton solutions by the Hirota bilinear method which is introduced to deal with integrable nonlinear evolution equations in 1971 [50]. The idea was to make a transformation into new variables so that in these new variables multi-soliton solutions appear in a particularly simple form [51]. The method has undergone great development in recent years [8,9,11–14]. We’ll describe detailed this approach in the next section. Therefore, in the next section, the bilinear form is given which can be used to give multi-soliton solution of the Eq. (4). In Section 3, by the Hirota bilinear method, bright multi-soliton solutions of Eq. (4) are gained. Moreover, abundant soliton interaction phenomena and their energy and amplitude changes of ones at different times are analyzed. The soliton elastic interaction is investigated via asymptotic analysis. Conclusions and future works are addressed in Section 4.

**Bilinear forms and multi-soliton solutions for Eq. (4)**

We will provide the exact solutions of Eq. (4) here. To this, we need to give a transformation as following [40],

\[
y = u \left( e^{i p 1} x, e^{i p 2} y, t \right) e^{i \frac{2}{\sqrt{d}} \left( \sqrt{d} x^2 + \sqrt{d} y^2 \right) + r(t)}, \Omega(t)
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{d}{\sqrt{d}}} \left( \frac{d}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^2, \Omega(t) = -\Omega_0,
\]

then, a variable coefficient two-dimensional equation can be obtained [40], namely,

\[
i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i \frac{p 1}{2} x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + \Omega_0 e^{i \frac{p 1}{2} x} |u|^2 u = 0,
\]

where \( u \) is a continuous function that depends on time variables \( x, y \) and \( t \), \( r(t) \) is a real function that only depends on \( t \). Accordingly, solving the exact-solution of Eq. (6) via the Hirota bilinear method and inserting it into transformation (5) can obtain the exact-solution of Eq. (4).

**Bilinear forms for Eq. (6)**

Firstly, we need to choose an appropriate transformation for the Hirota bilinear method. In general, the nonlinear equation in the complex domain, such as Eq. (6), consider the following transformation [51],

\[
u(x, y, t) = G \frac{F}{F^2},
\]

\[
F = F(x, y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad G = G(x, y, t), \quad \text{are, respectively, real function and complex function. Introduce the bilinear derivative operator} \ D, \text{namely,}
\]

\[
D^m D^n D^p G(x, y, t) \cdot F(x, y, t) = \left( \frac{u_m - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}{m} \right)^n \left( \frac{u_n - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}}{n} \right)^p G(x, y, t) F(x', y', t') \bigg|_{x=x', y=y', t=t'}
\]

where the parameters \( m, n, \) and \( p \) are non-negative integers. Then, for the terms in Eq. (6), we have

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{G}{F^2} \right) = D_1 G \cdot F \cdot \frac{F}{F^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{G}{F^2} \right) = \frac{D_1^2 G \cdot F \cdot F}{F^2} - \frac{G \cdot D_1^2 F \cdot F}{F^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left( \frac{G}{F^2} \right) = \frac{D_2^2 G \cdot F \cdot F}{F^2} - \frac{G \cdot D_2^2 F \cdot F}{F^2}.
\]
Substituting Eqs. (7) and (9a)–(9c) into Eq. (6) yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D_1G \cdot F}{F^2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\nu i} \left( \frac{\partial^2_1 G \cdot F}{F^2} - \frac{D_1^2 G \cdot F}{F^2} \right) + \gamma_0 \frac{e^{2\nu i}}{F} \frac{G}{F} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(10)

Then, splitting Eq. (10) into two parts, provides the following form
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left[ iD_1 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\nu i} \left( D_1 + D_1^2 \right) \right] G \cdot F = 0, \\
\left( D_1^2 + D_1^2 \right) F \cdot F = 2\gamma_0 GG',
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]

which is the so-called Hirota bilinear representation of Eq. (6). Expand G and F in Eq. (7) by the following formal parameter power series
\[
\begin{aligned}
G = \epsilon g_1(x, y, t) + \epsilon^2 g_2(x, y, t) + \epsilon^3 g_3(x, y, t) + \cdots, \\
F = 1 + \epsilon^2 f_2(x, y, t) + \epsilon^3 f_4(x, y, t) + \epsilon^4 f_6(x, y, t) + \cdots,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is an arbitrary constant. Plugging (12) into the bilinear Eq. (11) provides a polynomial for \( \epsilon \) and then setting whose coefficients to zero yields a recursive relation between G and F. The application for above bilinear form will be discussed in later parts.

**One-soliton solution for Eq. (4)**

To secure the one-soliton solution of Eq. (4), we set \( g_1(x, y, t) = C_1 e^{\nu_1 t}, \) where \( C_1 \) is any complex number. Then, consider the following expansion form to (7) given by
\[
G = \epsilon g_1(x, y, t),
\]

where \( \nu_1 = \mu_1 x + \nu_1 y + c_1(t) + \kappa_1, \nu_1, \nu_1, \kappa_1 \) are complex constants and \( c_1(t) \) is complex function. From the knowledge of Hirota bilinear method, let all coefficients of \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon^2 \) be equal to zero, then we get the following set of algebraic equations
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left[ iD_1 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\nu i} \left( D_1 + D_1^2 \right) \right] g_1(t) + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\nu i} \frac{\partial^2 g_1(t)}{\partial y^2} = 0, \\
\gamma_0 \frac{e^{2\nu i}}{g_1^2} \frac{\partial g_1(t)}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\nu i} \frac{\partial^2 g_1(t)}{\partial y^2} = 0.
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(14)

Solving Eq. (14) has
\[
\begin{aligned}
f_2(x, y, t) = n_1 e^{\nu_1 t} + n_1 = \frac{\nu_1^2 n_1}{(\mu_1 + \nu_1)(\nu_1 + \nu_1)^2}, c_1(t) = \frac{(\mu_1^2 + \nu_1^2)}{2} \int e^{2\nu i} dt.
\end{aligned}
\]

(15)

Setting \( \epsilon = 1 \), solution (7) of Eq. (6) may be expressed as
\[
\begin{aligned}
u(x, y, t) = \frac{C_1 e^{\nu_1 t}}{1 + n_1 e^{\nu_1 t}}.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(16)

Thus, from (5), the one-soliton solution of Eq. (4) may be written as
\[
\begin{aligned}
\psi = u(x, y, t) e^{i\nu_1 t} \left( e^{i\nu_1 t} \right)^{2} F_{x^2 + y^2}.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(17)

In the next section, we will give the explicit expression of one-soliton solution (17) and discuss their structures.

**Two-soliton solution for Eq. (4)**

To secure two-soliton solution of Eq. (4), we assume that \( g_1(x, y, t) = C_1 e^{\nu_1 t} + C_2 e^{\nu_2 t} \) and make the coefficient of \( \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3 \) and \( \epsilon^4 \) be equal to zero, where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are any complex numbers. Hence, we can get the following set of algebraic equations,
on both $x$ and $t$, $y$ and $t$, $x$ and $y$ according to variables $\mu_1, \nu_1, \kappa_1, C_1$ and $r(t)$, and it elucidates the soliton amplitude is $\frac{C_1 e^{\eta t}}{2 \pi}$ The one-soliton structure can be divided into the two categories:

1. When $r(t)$ does not depend on $t$, in other words, $r(t) = \chi$ is a constant function, one-soliton solution shows common bell-shaped soliton structures without amplitude variation;
2. When $r(t)$ depends on $t$, the one-soliton solution has abundant structures and its amplitude is variational, we will discuss it here.

In the analytic one-soliton solution of Eq. (25), there are five parameters $\mu_1, \nu_1, \kappa_1, C_1, a_0$ and one variable coefficient function $e^{\eta t}$. Before analyzing soliton interactions, we assign $e^{\eta t} = \cos(\arctan t)$, the structures of the distinct wave functions $\psi$ when $y = 1$ with respect to radial coordinate $x$ and time $t$ are demonstrated in Fig. 1. The effects of other parameters on the structure of one-soliton is as follow:

- **Fig. 1a** exhibits one bright parabolic soliton structure which represents the dynamic process of matter waves condensing from a position in the field and then annihilating to the same position when $\mu_1 = -2$, $\nu_1 = -1$, $\kappa_1 = -1 + 5i$, $a_0 = 2$, $C_1 = 1$ and $y = 1$ are chosen. With the evolution of time, the energy of the matter wave function in the field will gradually concentrate at the vertex of the parabolic soliton and then disappear into the field. It shows distinct dynamical feature from the parabolic wave soliton in Refs. [18,21].
- **Fig. 1b** exhibits a line-soliton when we change $\mu_1$ from $-2$ to $-1 + 5i$ on the basis of Fig. 1a, while others are retained as before. The radian of the parabolic soliton in Fig. 1a will gradually decrease and become almost line-soliton. In comparison to the general bell-shapes line-solitons in Refs. [18,20,21], which can achieve the purpose of long-distance transmission in the physical environment according to their dynamics, the amplitude and energy of the line-solitons found here decay from the center to the sides. It shows that the energy of the matter wave soliton starts to gather from one side of the field to the origin, and then annihilates to the other side. It described vividly the energy accumulation of waves is from the field and then dissipated into infinity.
- **Fig. 1c** shows a dromion-like structure when we replace the $\kappa_1 = -1 + 5i$ with $\kappa_1 = 1 + 5i$ on the basis of Fig. 1a, whose structure describes the concentrated energy in the center of the field. This represents the dynamics of matter wave soliton with concentration of energy, which can simulate the condensed structure of $N$ atoms ($N = \int |\psi|^2 dx$). The energy of the
atoms at different time $t_0$ is $E = \int |\psi(x, t_0)|^2 dx$. Interestingly, this soliton structure is very similar to the structure of atomic condensed matter observed in experiments [31].

- Fig. 1d shows different amplitude one parabolic soliton from Fig. 1a when we adjust the parameter $\alpha_0 = 2$ to $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ on the basis of Fig. 1a. Due to the different parameter $\alpha_0$, the amplitude of matter wave soliton decreases. The shape of the soliton shows the same dynamic process as Fig. 1a. The structures of these solutions in Fig. 1 exhibit a smooth profile at any time, it has different dynamics with nonsmooth solitons at their peak [19,21]. In other words, the left and right derivatives of soliton in Fig. 1 are equal at its peak, whereas the nonsmooth soliton is not equal [52]. Smooth solitons are more easily excited and stable in experiments, and have good physical properties.

The energy of above one-soliton is $E = \int |\psi|^2 dx$ for $y = 1$, which is just the total number of atoms of GPE and their energy will concentrate at the peak gradually. Without loss of generality, the effects of parameters on the soliton structure are exhibited in Fig. 2. Fig. 2a, b and c exposes the direction of rotation or translation of the parabolic soliton with the parameters change. As we can see in Fig. 2a, b and c, the solid arrows point to the rotation direction of the two sides of the parabolic soliton, which have different linear velocities, and the dashed arrows point to its translation direction. Small arrows ‘↑’ and ‘↓’ after parameters indicate increasing and decreasing them, respectively. As an example, when we increase the imaginary part of $\mu_1$ (i.e. $\mu_1^{(1)}$) in Fig. 1a, parabolic soliton becomes line-soliton (see Fig. 1a and b). Meanwhile, the larger the value of the $\mu_1^{(R)}$ and $\mu_1^{(I)}$ the bigger the amplitude. On the contrary, the larger the value of $\alpha_0$, the smaller the amplitude. The corresponding cross sections in 1a and 1d using different $\alpha_0$ are shown in Fig. 2d. The imaginary part of $\kappa_1$ (i.e. $\kappa_1^{(I)}$) cannot affect any structure of the one-soliton. Different processes of energy concentration of one-soliton can be obtained by adjusting the relevant parameters.

### Remark 1.

The feature of these solutions is that the energy is gathered from the field and then dissipated into the field. Therefore, the structure and shape of these bright solitons can vividly describe the dynamic process of cold atom condensation in single BEC system. By analyzing the wave function expression and Fig. 2, different atomic condensation states can be obtained. It can be seen from expression (25) that the energy condensation time in the experiment is controllable theoretically by correction of relevant parameters.

### Two-soliton solution interaction analysis

Based on the above analysis, we can also give some interaction phenomena of two- and three-soliton. In order to find whether the elastic interaction between the two solitons is preserved in the presence of variable coefficient, we perform an asymptotic analysis of solution, which is a necessary step to investigate the dynamics of two-soliton. Modelled on the method in Refs. [53,54], computing four limit can lead to the following four types of asymptotic patterns:
Before the interactions

As $\eta_1 + \eta_1' \sim 0$, $\eta_2 + \eta_2' \rightarrow -\infty$, then

$$\psi^1 = \frac{C_1 e^{i\theta_1} e^{i\eta_1(t,x,y)}}{2 \sqrt{n_1}} \text{sech} \left[ \mu_1^{(1)} e^{it} x + \nu_1^{(1)} e^{ij} y + c_1^{(1)} (t) + k_1^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \ln n_1$$

As $\eta_1 + \eta_1' \sim +\infty$, $\eta_2 + \eta_2' \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\psi^2 = \frac{m_1 e^{i\theta_1} e^{i\eta_2(t,x,y)}}{2n_2 \sqrt{n_2}} \text{sech} \left[ \mu_2^{(2)} e^{it} x + \nu_2^{(2)} e^{ij} y + c_2^{(2)} (t) + k_2^{(2)} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \ln n_2$$

(ii) After the interactions

As $\eta_1 + \eta_1' \sim 0$, $\eta_2 + \eta_2' \rightarrow +\infty$, then

$$\psi^1 = \frac{m_2 e^{i\theta_1} e^{i\eta_2(t,x,y)}}{2n_2 \sqrt{n_2}} \text{sech} \left[ \mu_2^{(1)} e^{it} x + \nu_2^{(1)} e^{ij} y + c_2^{(1)} (t) + k_2^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \ln n_2$$

As $\eta_1 + \eta_1' \sim -\infty$, $\eta_2 + \eta_2' \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\psi^2 = \frac{C_2 e^{i\theta_1} e^{i\eta_1(t,x,y)}}{2 \sqrt{n_2}} \text{sech} \left[ \mu_2^{(2)} e^{it} x + \nu_2^{(2)} e^{ij} y + c_2^{(2)} (t) + k_2^{(2)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \ln n_2$$

where $h_i(x, y, t) = h_i^{(1)} e^{i\theta_1} x + h_i^{(2)} e^{i\theta_2} y + c_i^{(1)} (t) + k_i^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln (n_1^2 + n_2^2)$, here $i = 1, 2$. From their asymptotic forms, it is found that the amplitudes, velocities and structures of each soliton remain unchanged even upon mutual interaction, except for the initial phase. Here, we can hence see that the interactions of two-soliton solutions are elastic. In accordance to similarity between the asymptotic expressions and one-soliton (29), we may still choose the appropriate parameters to give different two-solitons structures according to the previous analysis.

Table 1 summarizes the amplitude and initial phase of four asymptotic patterns $\psi^1, 2$ of two-soliton (21) when the $y$ is fixed. Amplitude has a great influence on the energy spectrum of solitons. Thus, according to the results of Fig. 2 and Table 1, we can choose some appropriate parameters to make multiple solitons with different amplitude, energy, shape, and phase interact with others elastically. So as to provide theoretical results and references for the multi-soliton phenomenon in the experiment.

Fig. 3 illustrates that two-soliton interaction phenomena among parabolical solitons, line-solitons and dromion-like structures. Set $e^{i\theta_1} = \cos (\arctan k_1)$ and $C_1 = C_2 = 1$, $x_0 = 2$, $y = 1$, and discuss the effect of other parameters on the structure as follow:

- Fig. 3a depicts the interactional shape of two parabolical solitons with the same opening for the free parameters $\mu_1 = -1, \nu_1 = 1, \kappa_1 = -1, \eta_2 = -2, \kappa_2 = -1, x_0 = 2$. It represents the collision dynamics of two bright solitons with parabolic shapes. Now, as a function of the initial phase $\psi^1, 2$, they start to condense energy from the same spaces and different times.

- Fig. 3b depicts the interactional shape of two parabolical solitons with the different opening when $\mu_2$ is changed from $-2$ to $2$ on the basis of parameters of Fig. 3a, while others remain unchanged. The opening of one soliton turns to the opposite. It represents the collision dynamics of two bright solitons with parabolic shapes. They start to condense energy from the same times and different spaces.

- Fig. 3c exhibits the two-soliton interactional shape between one parabolical soliton and one dromion-like structure when we adjust $\kappa_1$ from $-1$ to $1$ on the basis of Fig. 3b. This structure can simulate the energy accumulation process of a parabolical soliton into another condensed matter wave. When the parabolical soliton energy is dissipated, the structure of the original matter wave at the center of the field is not affected.

- Fig. 3d exhibits the interaction of one line-soliton and one parabolical soliton when we change the $\mu_2$ from $2$ to $-\frac{1}{2} + 6 i, \kappa_2$ from $-1$ to $-2$ on the basis of Fig. 3b. The amplitude of near the vertex of parabolical soliton is periodic oscillation when the line-soliton passes through it near the center of the field. This can simulate the process in which parabolical soliton and linear soliton gather energy at the same field.

- Fig. 3e shows the interactional shape of one line-soliton and one dromion-like structure for the parameters $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = 1 + 2 i, \kappa_1 = 0.56, \mu_2 = -1.3, \kappa_2 = 0.56$. Interacting between them at the center of field makes the energy of the dromion-like structure transfer to the line-soliton, and present a new peak and two depressions. After the interaction, the line-soliton returns to original structure (see Fig. 3e). This can simulate the process of an energy beam (one line-solitons) passing through the condensate and allow the condensate amplification and compression.

- Fig. 3f shows interaction of two line-solitons when we change the $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.56$ to $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0$, $\mu_1 = -1.3$ to $\mu_2 = -1.9 + i$ on the basis of parameters of Fig. 3e. Interacting between them makes the energy and amplitude reach a new height. After their interaction, they return to their original structure and continue to propagate.

According to the results of asymptotic analysis and graph analysis, the two solitons here are all shown to be the most classical soliton characteristic—elastic interaction. Although the amplitude and energy of the soliton is affected by the random function $\tau(t)$, the interaction does not affect the original physical characteristics of each soliton. As shown in the above two soliton interactions, different soliton interactions show more abundant and interesting dynamics and structures. The elastic soliton interactions play an important role in practical applications and experiments. The solitons show same waveforms and propagation directions after their interaction. To better understand the dynamics of their propagation and elastic interaction, we plot energy evolution of soliton and interaction process in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively.

Fig. 4 shows the energy change of one- and two-soliton $|\psi|^2$. Here, $E = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} |\psi(x, \tau_0)|^2 dx$ denotes the energy of $|\psi|^2$. Apparently, from Fig. 4, the soliton reach its maximum value of the energy is at $t = 0$, which is in good agreement with the maximum amplitude of the soliton at $t = 0$ in the three-dimensional structures of solitons (see Figs. 1 and 3). After they gather ($t > 0$), their energy diminishes and spreads out into the field. Therefore, in Fig. 5, we plot the evolution process of soliton interaction at $t = 0$ and $t > 0$. As can be seen from Fig. 5, the blue solid-lines represent the shape of the two-solitons when the energy concentration is completed. The two solitons interaction can reach a new amplitudes that are not directly related to $\psi^1, 2$. This is a specific moment which the two-soliton reaches its maximum amplitude.
and energy, and the wave function at this time can simulate the condensed state of cold atoms. The red dotted-lines represent the states of the two-solitons after their interaction. We can also plot the motion of solitons at other times, and observe the process of their energy annihilation in this regime. This makes it possible to control the energy and accumulation rate of matter waves in experiments.

Remark 2. These nonlinear interactions show the different energy concentration processes of multiple solitons. Two solitons $\psi_1^+$ and $\psi_2^+$ condense their energy from the initial phases in space to the center of field, and then they annihilate from their interaction points to the initial phases of two solitons $\psi_1^{++}$ and $\psi_2^{++}$. The energy of two solitons at time $t_0$ are $E_{1,1} = \int |\psi_1^+(x, t_0)|^2 dx$ and $E_{2,1} = \int |\psi_2^+(x, t_0)|^2 dx$ before their interaction, and $E_{1,1} = \int |\psi_1^{++}(x, t_0)|^2 dx$ and $E_{2,1} = \int |\psi_2^{++}(x, t_0)|^2 dx$ after their interaction. The elastic interaction phenomenon of solitons with distinct shapes in Fig. 3 may simulate the complex nonlinear phenomenon of condensed matter waves in some real experiments. This makes it theoretically possible to control the position of the multiple matter waves, the condensate and annihilation process in the experiment.
Three-soliton solution interaction analysis

Fig. 6 shows distinct three-soliton structures. By choosing appropriate parameters, we can also obtain the interaction of multi-soliton, including the following cases:

- Fig. 6a displays the collision dynamics of three parabolic solitons with same openings. It describes the condensation of three different groups of cold atoms in the field from the same position towards the center of the field at different times.
Fig. 6b displays the collision dynamics of three parabolic solitons with different openings. Structurally, they may achieve a process of energy concentration that does not affect each other.

Fig. 6c displays the dynamics of the interaction of two parabolic solitons with same openings and one line-soliton.

Fig. 6d displays the dynamics of the interaction of two parabolic solitons with opposite openings and one line-soliton. Fig. 6c and 6d describes the process of an energy beam (one line-solitons) passing through the center when condensate occurs.

Fig. 6e shows the dynamics of the interaction of two uncrossed line-solitons and one parabolic soliton when we choose the \( \mu_1 = -3, \; \nu_1 = 2, \; \kappa_1 = 0, \; \mu_2 = -\frac{n_1}{n_2} + 5i, \; \kappa_2 = 0, \; \mu_3 = -2 + 4i, \; \kappa_3 = 0. \)

Fig. 6f shows the dynamics of the interaction of two crossed line-solitons and one parabolic soliton when we choose the \( \mu_1 = 1.8 - 1.1v_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \; \kappa_1 = 0, \; \mu_2 = -1.5 + 1.8i, \; \kappa_2 = 0, \; \mu_3 = 2 - \frac{1}{2}, \; \kappa_3 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_0 = 1. \) This shows that the linear-soliton and the parabolic soliton can also achieve independent condensation.

Fig. 6g shows interaction of three line-solitons. The interaction of three line-solitons occurs at the center of field. After their interaction, they remain in their original state and continue to propagate until they disappear.

**Remark 3.** The dynamics of the interaction of three-soliton is similar to that of two-soliton, and new energy and amplitude can be obtained when they condense in the center of field. From results, we can obtain the condensate and annihilation process of the infinite soliton energy beam, theoretically.

In fact, we can also give the asymptotic forms of three-soliton, whose asymptotic expression is similar to that of two-solitons, which is omitted here. Thus, we can give the abundant interaction phenomena of the three-soliton structure as a function of the analysis of one- and two-soliton. At this time, we can choose appropriate parameters to present rich soliton interaction phenomena. The multi-soliton represents the process of energy concentration from the edge into the center of the field. It also provides a reliable theoretical basis for soliton transmission, generation and interaction in experiments.

**Conclusions**

We have studied a two-dimensional GPE (4) in BEC in this paper. The Hirota bilinear Eq. (11) of Eq. (6) has been constructed, and by the transformation (5), the exact bright-multi-soliton solutions of Eq. (4) can be gained. Some one-, two- and three-soliton structures including parabolic soliton, dromion-like structure, and line-soliton have been exhibited in Figs. 1, 3 and 6. Fig. 2 has displayed the phase diagram for the direction of rotation or translation of parabolic-soliton with relevant parameters change. Elastic interactions of two-soliton have been analyzed through asymptotic analysis method. Figs. 4 and 5 have investigated energy changes and interaction processes of soliton. This vividly has described the process of energy gathering and annihilating in a field.

Based on the obtained soliton solutions of system (4), this paper has explored the changes in the transmission direction and energy of solitons with different bright solitons. Bright solitons have richer interactions than dark solitons. In the experiments, bright solitons have been easier to implement and have more extensive applications, and it is important to investigate the properties of bright solitons. The GPE is a very important model in physics, hence, its exact soliton solutions have also more significance. Based on the important nonlinear model in BEC, results in this paper have theoretically predicted some new nonlinear phenomena in BEC, which are helpful for us to understand some physical phenomena and physical experiments in BEC or related fields. The acquired exact solutions in this paper are new structures in this model, and it is likely that these results will be useful for the study of novel nonlinear waves that will appear in related fields in the future. For example, the results are used for simulating or understanding some nonlinear phenomena that occur during atomic condensation in BEC. The Hirota bilinear method and the asymptotic analysis of soliton are also important methods for solving soliton and analyzing them. These methods used can be applied to other important nonlinear models. These soliton solutions are also important for the study of optical signals, plasma physics, oceanophysics and biophysics. In next work, we will try to consider more complex and interesting wave function solutions when the coefficient is not constant. Moreover, the dynamical behavior of these solutions will be discussed via numerical simulation in the future.
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**Appendix A. The expressions of \( g_3, f_2 \) and \( f_4 \) in two-soliton solution (15)**

The parameters in two-soliton solution (15) are \( g_3 = m_1e^{\mu_1 + \nu_1 + \omega_1} + m_2e^{\mu_2 + \nu_2 + \omega_2} + \), \( f_2 = n_1e^{\mu_1 + \nu_1 + \omega_1} + n_2e^{\mu_2 + \nu_2 + \omega_2} + \), and \( f_4 = n_3e^{\mu_1 + \nu_1 + \omega_1} + n_4e^{\mu_2 + \nu_2 + \omega_2} + \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_1 &= \mu_1x + v_1y + c_1(t) + \kappa_1, \\
\eta_2 &= \mu_2x + y + c_2(t) + \kappa_2, \\
c_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2}c_1(t)^2 + v_2(t) \\
c_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2}c_2(t)^2 + v_3(t) \\
\end{align*}
\]

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_1 &= \mu x + vy + C_j(t) + K_j, C_j = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + v^2) \int e^{2\xi(t)} \, dt, \\
m_1 &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
m_{j,1} &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
m_{j,6} &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
\eta_j &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
\eta_{j,1} &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
\eta_{j,6} &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
p_1 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=1,2,3} \\
p_2 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=1,3} \\
p_3 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=2,3} \\
v_3 &= \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_3 \mu_2 + \mu_1} + \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_2 \mu_3 + \mu_1} + \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_3}.
\end{align*}
\]

the parameters \(u_1, u_2, v_1, K_1, \) and \(K_2\) are any complex constants.

Appendix B. The expressions of \(g_3, \sigma, f_2, f_4\) and \(f_6\) in two-soliton solution (18)

The parameters in three-soliton solution (18) are

\[
\begin{align*}
g_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 m_j e^{i(\mu j + \nu j + \sigma j t)}, \\
g_5 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 m_j e^{i(\mu j + \nu j + \sigma j t)}, \\
f_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 n_j e^{i(\mu j + \nu j + \sigma j t)}, \\
f_4 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 n_j e^{i(\mu j + \nu j + \sigma j t)}, \\
f_6 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 n_j e^{i(\mu j + \nu j + \sigma j t)}, \\
\eta_1 &= \mu x + vy + C_j(t) + K_j, C_j = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + v^2) \int e^{2\xi(t)} \, dt, \\
m_1 &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
m_{j,1} &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
m_{j,6} &= \eta_1 \eta_3 \eta_6 (|\mu_2 - \mu_k|^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2), \\
\eta_j &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
\eta_{j,1} &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
\eta_{j,6} &= \frac{C_j \eta_3 \eta_6}{(\mu_2 + \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 + V_2)^2}, \\
p_1 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=1,2,3} \\
p_2 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=1,3} \\
p_3 &= \frac{[C_j \zeta_2^3 \zeta_9^2 \rho(\mu_2 - \mu_k)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2]}{\Pi_s} \bigg|_{s=2,3} \\
v_3 &= \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_3 \mu_2 + \mu_1} + \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_2 \mu_3 + \mu_1} + \frac{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1}{\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_3}.
\end{align*}
\]

the parameters \(u_1, u_2, v_1, K_1, \) and \(K_2\) are any complex constants.
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