Interconversion between electron spin and other forms of angular momentum is useful for spin-based information processing. Well-studied examples of this are the conversion of photon angular momentum and rotation into ferromagnetic moment. Recently, several theoretical studies have suggested that the circular vibration of atoms work as phonon angular momentum; however, conversion between phonon angular momentum and spin-moment has yet to be demonstrated. Here, we demonstrate that the phonon angular momentum of surface acoustic wave can control the magnetization of a ferromagnetic Ni film by means of the phononic-to-electronic conversion of angular momentum in a Ni/LiNbO₃ hybrid device. The result clearly shows that the phonon angular momentum is useful for increasing the functionality of spintronic devices.
Angular momentum is conserved when a system has rotational symmetry. While this law is, strictly speaking, broken in crystals, approximate conservation remains valid in the microscale range. For example, when a spin-polarized electrical current is injected into a microscale ferromagnet, the spin angular momentum of the conduction electrons is transferred to ferromagnetic localized moment (Fig. 1a). This mechanism is used to control magnetic storage in magnetoresistive random access memories. The angular momentum of a rigid body rotation and photon can be also used to control the magnetization. One might wonder whether it is possible to control the magnetization via the angular momentum transfer from phonons (Fig. 1b).

The phonon angular momentum is activated by the breaking of time-reversal or spatial-inversion symmetry. In time-reversal symmetry-broken ferromagnets, the polarization of a transverse acoustic wave (low-energy phonon mode) is observed to rotate while propagating along the magnetization direction, corresponding to the phonon angular momentum. Circularly polarized phonons are also observed in spatial inversion symmetry, which indicates an eigenstate with circular polarization. Similar symmetry-broken chiral materials, corresponding to the phonon angular momentum, are transferred to ferromagnetic localized moment (Fig. 1a). This mechanism is used to control magnetic storage in magnetoresistive random access memories. The angular momentum of a rigid body rotation and photon can be also used to control the magnetization. One might wonder whether it is possible to control the magnetization via the angular momentum transfer from phonons (Fig. 1b).

The phonon angular momentum is activated by the breaking of time-reversal or spatial-inversion symmetry. In time-reversal symmetry-broken ferromagnets, the polarization of a transverse acoustic wave (low-energy phonon mode) is observed to rotate while propagating along the magnetization direction, corresponding to the phonon angular momentum. Circularly polarized phonons are also observed in spatial inversion symmetry-broken chiral materials, corresponding to the phonon version of natural activity. The phonon angular momentum is also emergent on the surface of a substance. A Rayleigh-type surface acoustic wave (SAW) has elliptically polarized displacement, which indicates that the phonon angular momentum is finite (Fig. 1c). The angular momentum is parallel to the vector product of the SAW wavevector $k$ and surface normal vector, and it shows a sign change when $k$ is reversed. Here, we use the SAW current to demonstrate the conversion of phonon angular momentum to magnetization.

Results

Nonreciprocal propagation of surface acoustic wave. Figure 2a shows the SAW device used in this work. This device is composed of a piezoelectric LiNbO3 substrate, two interdigital transducers (IDTs) and a ferromagnetic Ni film. The $xyz$-coordinate system is defined as shown in the right panel. To understand the coupling between the SAW and ferromagnetism, we demonstrate how the magnetization direction of the Ni film affects the SAW propagation. Figure 2b, c, e, f shows the SAW transmission in magnetic fields nearly parallel to the $x$-axis. The magnetic field angle $\phi$ is slightly tilted ($\phi = 2^\circ$) to $z$ direction from the $x$-axis in Fig. 2b, c, whereas the tilted direction is reversed in Fig. 2e, f. The magnetic field increased from $-400$ to $400$ mT during the measurements shown in Fig. 2c, f (Fig. 2b, e). Before discussing the SAW transmission, let us explain the variation of magnetization in the magnetic fields. The insets illustrate the expected magnetization direction in the magnetic field sweep. Considering the shape anisotropy of the Ni film, the easy and hard axes are the $z$- and $y$-axis, respectively. In this case, the magnetization direction changes its sign, the magnetic field and the sign of the magnetic field variation. In decreasing the magnetic field at $\phi = 2^\circ$ (Fig. 2b), the tilt angle of the magnetization $\theta$ is positive, and the magnitude increases. At zero magnetic field, the magnetization points along the $+z$ direction. When the magnetic field changes its sign, the magnetic state with negative $\theta$ is more energetically stable. Therefore, the sign of $\theta$ is abruptly reversed at some negative field, which is denoted as $\theta$ flop. In an increasing field (Fig. 2c), the magnetization points to the $-z$ direction at zero magnetic field and $\theta$ flop shows up at a positive magnetic field. For the $\phi = -2^\circ$ measurements (Fig. 2e, f), the magnetization shows similar variation but the sign of $\theta$ and the magnetization direction at zero field are opposite.

Next, we discuss the SAW transmission. We measured the transmission intensity from IDT1 to IDT2 $T_{+,k}(H)$ and that from IDT2 to IDT1 $T_{-,k}(H)$ at various magnetic fields $H$ (see Supplementary Information for precise definitions of $T_{+,k}(H)$ and $T_{-,k}(H)$). For all the measurements, $T_{+,k}(H)$ and $T_{-,k}(H)$ showed a broad dip around $\pm 90$ mT. This was ascribed to the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) excitation by the acoustic wave via magnetoelastic coupling. The discontinuous changes at $-70$ mT in Fig. 2b, e and those at $+70$ mT in Fig. 2c, f were caused by the $\theta$ flops mentioned above. Importantly, the intensity of acoustically excited FMR depends on the propagation direction of the SAW. We plot the difference of transmittance $T_{NR}(H) = T_{+,k}(H) - T_{-,k}(H)$ at $\phi = +2^\circ$ and $-2^\circ$ in Fig. 2d and g, respectively. $T_{NR}(H)$ was independent of the magnetic field sweep direction except for the region around the $\theta$ flop fields, but it showed the opposite sign when either the sign of the field or $\phi$ was reversed. This phenomenon is denoted as nonreciprocal SAW propagation induced by the simultaneous breaking of time-reversal and spatial inversion symmetries. In this case, the ferromagnetism and surface break the time-reversal and spatial inversion symmetries, respectively. The nonreciprocity originates microscopically from the different polarizations of the $+k$ and $-k$ modes. As mentioned above, the SAW has elliptical polarization, and the rotational direction is reversed by the reversal of $k$. On the other hand, FMR can be excited only by an effective magnetic field, but the sign of the magnetic field changes its sign, the magnetic state with negative $\theta$ is more energetically stable. Therefore, the sign of $\theta$ is abruptly reversed at some negative field, which is denoted as $\theta$ flop. In an increasing field (Fig. 2c), the magnetization points to the $-z$ direction at zero magnetic field and $\theta$ flop shows up at a positive magnetic field. For the $\phi = -2^\circ$ measurements (Fig. 2e, f), the magnetization shows similar variation but the sign of $\theta$ and the magnetization direction at zero field are opposite.

Numerical demonstration of magnetization control. Now we discuss the inverse effect of the nonreciprocal propagation.
Intuitively, the inverse effect would be control of the time reversal symmetry or magnetization by using the spatial inversion symmetry-broken surface state and the unidirectional SAW flux. To demonstrate this, we consider the magnetization variation in the field decreasing process with SAW flux after applying a strong magnetic field along the $x$-axis (Fig. 3a). In this case, the magnetization points along the $x$-axis at first, and then it is tilted in either the $+z$ or $-z$ direction due to the shape anisotropy. The SAW flux along the $+x$ or $-x$ direction is expected to control whether the magnetization is tilted to the $+z$ or $-z$ direction.

To confirm this conjecture we have performed a numerical simulation. The magnetization should vary following the Landau Lifshitz Gilbert (LLG) equation expressed as

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\gamma m \times H_{eff} + \alpha M_s m \times \frac{dm}{dr},$$

(Fig. 2 Nonreciprocal SAW propagation. a) An optical micrograph of the SAW device used in this work. Two interdigital transducers (IDT 1 and IDT 2) and a Ni film with six electrodes were formed on a LiNbO$_3$ substrate. The dotted rectangle emphasizes the Ni film. The right sketch illustrates the $xyz$-coordinate system, the angle $\phi$ between the $x$-axis and magnetic field vector $H$, and the angle $\theta$ between the $x$-axis and magnetization vector $m$, which are used for the explanation. b, e The magnetic field dependence of the SAW transmission along $+x$ and $-x$ directions ($T_{+x}(H), T_{-x}(H)$) in decreasing (b) and increasing (e) field at $\phi = 2^\circ$. The insets illustrate the inferred magnetization directions in the Ni film. Gray arrow and black dashed line represent the sweep direction of the magnetic field and the magnetization $\theta$ flip field, respectively. d Nonreciprocity of the SAW transmission $T_{NR}(H) = T_{+x}(H) - T_{-x}(H)$ in decreasing and increasing field at $\phi = 2^\circ$. e, f The magnetic field dependence of the SAW transmission in decreasing (e) and increasing (f) the field at $\phi = -2^\circ$. g $T_{NR}(H)$ in decreasing and increasing field at $\phi = -2^\circ$.}
where \( \mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y, m_z) \), \( \gamma \), \( \alpha \), and \( M_s \) are a uniform magnetization vector, the gyromagnetic ratio, Gilbert damping, and saturation magnetization, respectively. \( \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \left( -\frac{\partial F}{\partial m_x}, -\frac{\partial F}{\partial m_y}, -\frac{\partial F}{\partial m_z} \right) \) is the effective magnetic field, in which free energy \( F \) is composed of Zeeman energy \( F_Z = -\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{H} \) (\( \mathbf{H} \) is the external magnetic field vector), magnetic anisotropy \( F_a \), and a magnetoelastic field \( F_{me} \). For simplicity, we assume uniaxial magnetic anisotropy \( F_a = -Km_z^2 \) (K is constant) and the magnetoelastic coupling energy for a polycrystal given by \(^{20,23}\)

\[
F_{me} = b\sum_i n_i^2 e_{ii} + b\sum_{i,j} m_i m_j e_{ij},
\]

(2)
where $b$ is the magneto-elastic coupling constant, and $m_i$ and $e_{ij}$ are the $i$th component of the magnetization and the strain tensor, respectively. For a Rayleigh-type SAW propagating along the $x$ direction, non-vanishing components of the strain tensor are $e_{xx}$, $e_{xy}$, and $e_{yy}$. By introducing spin moment $\mathbf{S} = (S_x, S_y, S_z)$, we assumed $\mathbf{S} = -m_y \mu_B$. $S_x = S_z \pm i S_y$, and $e_{xx} = e_{xy} \pm 2 i e_{xy}$. $F_{\text{me}}$ can be reduced to

$$F_{\text{me}} = \frac{b (g_B \mu_B)^2}{2} \left[ S_x (S_y S_{ex} + S_z S_{ey}) + 2 S_y S_{ey} \right].$$

Here, $g$ and $\mu_B$ are the $g$-factor and Bohr magneton, respectively. This formula clearly shows the angular momentum transfer from the phononic to magnetic systems (see Supplementary Information for details). Figure 3b shows the calculated magnetic field variation of $m_x$ under a SAW current. We assumed the $x$ and $y$ components of SAW-induced dynamical displacement to be purely circular as $u_x(t) = u_0 \cos(\kappa x - \omega t)$ and $u_y(t) = -\sin(\kappa x_0) \sin(\kappa x - \omega t)$, and neglected decay along the $y$ direction for simplicity. The sign of the rotational motion depends on the sign of the wave vector $k$. Then the relevant strains are expressed as $e_{xx}(t) = \frac{u_y}{\omega x} = -k u_0 \sin(\kappa x - \omega t)$ and $e_{yy}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{u_x}{\omega y} + \frac{u_y}{\omega x} \right] = -\left( k^2 u_0^2 / 2 \right) \cos(\kappa x - \omega t)$. The other parameters used for the numerical calculation are shown in Methods. At $t = 0$, a strong magnetic field is applied along the $x$-axis, and we assumed $m = (M_0, 0, 0)$. Then the magnetic field is slowly decreased as $H_x = \exp(-t/\tau)$. $m_x$ evolves below the anisotropy field 2$K_\parallel$. Importantly, the $m_y$ direction depends on whether the SAW polarization is clockwise (CW) or counterclockwise (CCW), which correspond to SAWs propagating along the $+x$ and $-x$ directions, respectively. This demonstrates deterministic control of magnetization by selecting SAW direction. The mechanism involved seems to be the damping torque which is dependent on the direction of polarization rotation. Circular polarization induces rotational motion of magnetization in the $xy$ plane. The damping torque due to the rotational motion $\tau_{xy} = (m \times \dot{m})/d$, is parallel or antiparallel to the $x$-axis, and the sign depends on the direction of rotation. Note that these results are independent of the phase of the SAW (see Supplementary Information) and the effect is thus different from precessional switching.

**Experimental demonstration of magnetization control.** Next, we describe the corresponding experimental demonstration of magnetization control by using the phonon angular momentum. We first applied the magnetic field as large as 400 mT along the $x$-axis and set the SAW current along either the $+x$ or $-x$ direction (from IDT1 to IDT2 or IDT2 to IDT1), of which the excitation power and frequency were 25 dBm and 2.906 GHz, respectively. To be precise, the magnetic field seemed to be slightly tilted in the $+z$ direction but the angle between the magnetic field and the $x$-axis was less than 0.5° (see Supplementary Information). Then we decreased the magnetic field to zero at a rate of 0.01 T s$^{-1}$. Hereafter, we call the sequence of these operations “poling procedure”. To detect the magnetization direction after the poling procedure, we used the magnetoresistance. Figure 3c shows the magnetoresistance $R(H)$ measured in magnetic fields parallel to the electric current in the Ni film. It shows a butterfly-shaped hysteresis loop. Since the magnetoresistance is dependent on the magnitude of $m_z$, the magnetization curve with a finite coercive force can be inferred as shown in Fig. 3d. The magnetization should be saturated in the high field region where $R(H)$ is constant. The decreases of magnetoresistance before the discontinuous jump in Fig. 3c corresponds to the gradual decrease of $|m_z|$ before the flip. One can distinguish the magnetization state at zero magnetic field (m|| +z or m|| −z) by measuring the magnetoresistance along the $z$-axis. When the resistance decreases with increasing magnetic field from $H = 0$ and continuously increases at a certain magnetic field, the magnetization should have pointed in the $−z$ direction at zero field. On the other hand, when it increases continuously without any discontinuity, the magnetization direction was opposite. If the magnetic field is decreased from $H = 0$, the field dependences of resistance for the magnetic states of m|| +z and m|| −z should be reversed. To probe the magnetization in this way, we rotated the device by 90° around the $y$-axis after the poling procedure and measured the field dependence of the resistance along the $z$-axis while increasing or decreasing the field from 0 mT. Note that the positive magnetic field points the $+z$ direction after the rotation.

Figure 3e and f shows the magnetic field dependence of $\Delta R(H) = R(H) - R(0)$ after the field poling with SAW currents along the $+x$ and $−x$ directions, respectively. In the case of the SAW current along the $+x$ direction, the resistance decreased at first with increasing the field from 0 mT and showed a discontinuous increase around 10 mT whereas it increased with decreasing magnetic field from 0 mT almost continuously. Conversely, after the poling with the SAW current along the $−x$ direction, the resistance increased (decreased) with increasing (decreasing) magnetic field from 0 mT. A discontinuous increase of resistance was observed only when the magnetic field was decreased. These results demonstrate that the SAW currents along the $+x$ and $−x$ directions aligned the magnetization along the $−z$ and $+z$ directions, respectively. Thus, control of the magnetization by means of the SAW current was realized. The SAW direction dependence of the controlled magnetization cannot be explained by the effects of some static strains or other trivial effects, but it is naturally explained by the effects of phonon angular momentum transfer. The magnetization direction was opposite to the phonon angular momentum direction, which is consistent with the numerical simulation shown in Fig. 3b. While the slight tilting magnetic field induces the energy imbalance between m|| +z and m|| −z states, the angular momentum transfer from SAW could reversely control the magnetization overcoming the energy imbalance. For more details about the input power and angle $\phi$ dependence, see the Supplementary Information.

To confirm the experimental demonstration of magnetization control, we also probed the magnetization after the poling procedure by using nonreciprocal SAW transmission $T^{NR}(H)$. Figure 3g and h shows $T^{NR}(H)$ in increasing and decreasing the magnetic field almost parallel to the $x$-axis, respectively. Precisely speaking, the angle of the magnetic field $\phi$ seems to have slightly deviated from zero to the positive side ($\phi < 0.5^\circ$) because the magnetic field dependence of $T^{NR}(H)$ was similar to the case of $\phi = 2^\circ$. The magnetic hysteresis became larger than that at $\phi = 2^\circ$, and the discontinuous sign change overlapped with the dip or peak. One can probe the magnetization at zero magnetic field by using the magnetic field dependence of $T^{NR}(H)$. If $T^{NR}(H)$ shows a simple dip as the magnetic field is increased from zero, the magnetization direction at 0 mT was parallel to $+z$. On the other hand, if $T^{NR}(H)$ shows a peak followed by a discontinuous sign change, the magnetization pointed in the $−z$ direction at zero field. Figures 3i and j show $T^{NR}(H)$ after the poling with SAW currents along the $+x$ and $−x$ directions, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3i, $T^{NR}(H)$ showed a peak and a sign change around 80 mT after poling with the SAW current along the $+x$ direction. Therefore, the magnetization pointed in the $−z$ direction at $H = 0$. On the other hand, $T^{NR}(H)$ after poling with a SAW current along the $−x$ direction showed a simple dip, indicating that the magnetization pointed along the $+x$ direction at $H = 0$. These results are consistent with the magnetoresistance measurements. The same measurement was also performed at a small negative
angle, and the same result was found (see Supplementary Information).

Discussion

We have demonstrated magnetization control by the angular momentum transfer from a SAW to ferromagnetic spin moments. However, it should be noted that the volume fraction of the controlled magnetization seems to be less than 100% in the experiments. The magnitude of the resistance discontinuities in Fig. 3e and f is nearly 40% of that in Fig. 3c. The magnetic field variations shown in Fig. 3i and j is weaker than those in Fig. 3g and h. The volume fraction of the controlled ferromagnetic domain seems to be several tens of percent. A number of related experimental and theoretical studies have already been reported. While the interconversion between the mechanical rotation and spin moment is known as the Einstein-de Haas effect and the Barnett effect, the present result demonstrates the conversion from the angular momentum of a microscopic phonon excitation. More recently, Kobayashi et al. reported the generation of alternating spin current from a SAW. This phenomenon is qualitatively different from the present result, which originates from the time-independent angular momentum in a SAW. The concept of phonon angular momentum has recently been theoretically developed in the spintronics field. The present results experimentally demonstrate an important functionality of angular momentum, the conversion to ferromagnetic spin moments, which shows the validity of phonon angular momentum. If the effective magnetic field produced by SAW becomes large enough, even SAW application alone without the help of magnetic field can control the magnetization. This might be achieved by optimization of the device structure and material. Recent literature reported that similar optimization makes the closely related phenomenon of nonreciprocal SAW propagation gigantic. The magnetization manipulation by SAW seems useful to transfer the information carried by the SAW or microwave signal to the magnetic storage. In this sense, it is expected to provide a bridge between telecommunication technology and spintronics because SAW devices are indispensable in contemporary telecommunication technology.

Methods

Device fabrication. The SAW device in this work was fabricated by electron beam lithography. The device substrate was Y-cut LiNbO3 and the SAW propagation direction was along the Z-axis of the substrate. Both the IDTs and electrodes were made of Ti (5 nm) and Au (20 nm). One IDT had 200 pairs of 100 μm fingers, and the distance between two IDTs was 500 μm. The width finger and spacing of the IDTs were both 300 nm. The corresponding frequency and wavelength were 1.2 μm and 2.9 GHz, respectively. A Ni film was sputtered between two IDTs on the LiNbO3 substrate and was connected to six electrodes for resistance measurement. The thickness, width, and length of the Ni film were 30 nm, 10 μm, and 175 μm, respectively. After the Ni film was sputtered, the device was kept at 200 °C for 30 min to eliminate strain in the Ni film arising from the sputtering process.

Measurements of SAW transmission and resistance. All of the measurements in this work were done at 100 K. The SAW transmission was measured with a vector network analyzer. The microwave power was 10 dBm in Fig. 2h-g and 3g and h, and was -10 dBm in Fig. 3i and j. The magnetoresistance was measured using a lock-in amplifier with a frequency of 11.15 Hz.

Numerical simulations. The LLG equation was numerically solved by using Mathematica. We set realistic values for the coefficients used in the calculation. The saturation magnetization and Gilbert damping coefficient were $M_s = 370 \text{ kA m}^{-1}$ and $\alpha = 0.0642$, respectively. The magnetic anisotropy constant was assumed to be $K_{un} = 1.63 \times 10^9 \text{ N m}^{-2}$ for reproducing a flipping magnetic field of $2K_{un} \cong 0.088 T$. The strain amplitude and frequency of the SAW were $E_S = \kappa_{0}E_{0} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ and $f = \omega/2\pi = 2.9 \text{ GHz}$, respectively. The magnetoelectric coupling constant $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ were given by the average of $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$, where $b_1M_2^2 = 6.20 \times 10^{-10} \text{ N m}^{-2}$ and $\beta_2M_2^2 = 4.30 \times 10^{-10} \text{ N m}^{-2}$. The time constant for the decrease of magnetic field was $t_0 = 1 \mu s$.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The code that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 27 July 2020; Accepted: 15 March 2021;
Published online: 10 May 2021

References

1. Otani, Y., Shiraiishi, M., Oiwa, A., Saitoh, E. & Murakami, S. Spin conversion on the nanoscale. Nat. Phys. 13, 829–832 (2017).
2. Brataas, A., Kent, A. D. & Ohno, H. Current-induced torques in magnetic materials. Nat. Mater. 11, 372–381 (2012).
3. Barnett, S. J. Magnetization by rotation. Phys. Rev. 6, 239–270 (1915).
4. Imel, A. V. et al. Ultrafast non-thermal control of magnetization by instantaneous photomagnetic pulses. Nature 435, 655–657 (2005).
5. Stanciu, C. D. et al. All-optical magnetic recording with circularly polarized light. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 047607 (2007).
6. Zhang, L. & Niu, Q. Angular momentum of phonons and the Einstein-de Haas effect. Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 085503 (2014).
7. García, D. A. & Chudnovsky, E. M. Angular momentum in spin-photon processes. Phys. Rev. B 92, 024421 (2015).
8. Rückriegel, A., Streib, S., Bauer, G. E. W. & Duine, R. A. Angular momentum conservation and phonon spin in magnetic insulators. Phys. Rev. B 101, 104402 (2020).
9. Hamada, M. & Murakami, S. Conversion between electron spin and microscopic atomic rotation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 032375 (2020).
10. Zhang, L. & Niu, Q. Chiral phonons at high-symmetry points in monolayer hexagonal lattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 115502 (2015).
11. Hamada, M., Minamitani, E., Hirayama, M. & Murakami, S. Phonon angular momentum induced by the temperature gradient. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 175301 (2018).
12. Holanda, J., Maior, D. S., Azevedo, A. & Rezende, S. M. Detecting the phonon spin in magnon-phonon conversion experiments. Nat. Phys. 14, 500–506 (2018).
13. Zhu, H. et al. Observation of chiral phonons. Science 359, 579–582 (2018).
14. Chen, X. et al. Entanglement of single-phonons and chiral phonons in atomically thin WSe2. Nat. Phys. 15, 221–227 (2019).
15. Matthews, H. & LeCraw, R. C. Acoustic Wave Rotation by Magnon-Phonon Interaction. Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 397–399 (1962).
16. Pine, A. S. Direct observation of acoustical activity in a quartz. Phys. Rev. B 2, 2049–2054 (1970).
17. Landau, L. et al. Theory of Elasticity: Volume 7. Course of theoretical physics (Elsevier Science, 1986).
18. Long, Y., Ren, J. & Chen, H. Intrinsic spin of elastic waves. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 115, 9951–9955 (2018).
19. Weiler, M. et al. Elastically driven ferromagnetic resonance in nickel thin films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 117601 (2011).
20. Dreher, L. et al. Surface acoustic wave driven ferromagnetic resonance in nickel thin films: Theory and experiment. Phys. Rev. B 86, 134415 (2012).
21. Sasaki, R., Niiy, Y., Iiguchi, Y. & Onose, Y. Nonreciprocal propagation of surface acoustic wave in Ni/LiNbO3. Phys. Rev. B 95, 020407 (2017).
22. Tateno, S. & Nozaki, Y. Highly nonreciprocal spin waves excited by magnetoelectric coupling in a Ni/Si bilayer. Phys. Rev. Appl. 13, 034074 (2020).
23. Chikazumi, S. & Graham, C. D. *Physics of Ferromagnetism* 2e. 94 (Oxford University Press on Demand, 2009).
24. Thavenard, L. et al. Precessional magnetization switching by a surface acoustic wave. Phys. Rev. B 93, 134430 (2016).
25. Einstein, A. & de Haas, W. J. Experimenteller nachweis der ampereschen molekularwarme. Verh. d. Deutsch. Phys. Ges. 152–170 (1915).
26. Kobayashi, D. et al. Spin current generation using a surface acoustic wave generated via spin-rotation coupling. Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 077202 (2017).
27. Xu, M. et al. Nonreciprocal surface acoustic wave propagation via magnetoelectric coupling. Science Advances 6 (2020).
28. Wiegers, R. & Levy, M. Temperature dependence and annealing effects on magnetoelastic SAW attenuation and magnetoreisistance in Ni films. IEEE Trans. Magn. 37, 2708–2711 (2001).
29. Platow, W., Anisimov, A. N., Dunifer, G. L., Farle, M. & Baberschke, K. Correlations between ferromagnetic-resonance linewidths and sample quality in the study of metallic ultrathin films. Phys. Rev. B 58, 5611–5621 (1998).

ARTICLE NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22728-6
www.nature.com/naturecommunications

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22728-6
**Acknowledgements**

The electron beam lithography and sample preparation were carried out by the collaborative research in the Cooperative Research and Development Center for Advanced Materials, Institute of Materials Research, Tohoku University with the help of K. Takanashi, T. Seki and T. Sasaki. This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI grant numbers JP16H04008, JP17H05176, JP18K13494, 20K03828, PRESTO grant number JPMJPR19L6, the Mitsubishi foundation, and the Noguchi institute. R.S. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow (No. 18J12130).

**Author contributions**

R.S. and Y.O. conceived and designed the project and wrote the paper with the help of Y. N. R.S. fabricated the device and performed all of the measurements. Y.N. performed the theoretical calculations. Y.O. supervised this project.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Additional information**

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22728-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22728-6).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to R.S.

---

**Peer review information** Nature Communications thanks Lifa Zhang and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at [http://www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

---

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

© The Author(s) 2021