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Abstract
This work proposes an information retrieval evaluation set for the Slovak language. A set of 80 queries written in the natural language is given together with the set of relevant documents. The document set contains 3980 newspaper articles sorted into 6 categories. Each document in the result set is manually annotated for relevancy with its corresponding query. The evaluation set is mostly compatible with the Cranfield test collection using the same methodology for queries and annotation of relevancy. In addition to that it provides annotation for document title, author, publication date and category that can be used for evaluation of automatic document clustering and categorization.
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1. Introduction
In order to accelerate research of information retrieval (IR) techniques for less resourced languages we present an evaluation benchmark consisting of a document set, queries and relevant documents. This paper proposes a method to evaluate information retrieval system for a morphologically rich language - Slovak. Usually it is hard to evaluate a design of an IR system for a language different from English because of the lack of properly annotated databases, especially if number of speakers of the language is lower. Importance of IR for non-English languages rise together with number and size of national Internets and amount of human-entered textual data in business and government databases (Korra et al., 2011; Lazarinis et al., 2009). Most problems in IR are language independent, such as document representations, clustering or classification. On the other hand, there are several issues that are bound to a specific language and have to be solved in order to build a successful IR system. This contribution aims to fill this gap and helps to evaluate techniques that are adapted to a non-English language. Slovak language has lesser number of language-specific resources available that are necessary to build an IR system, such as WordNet, morphological analysis tools or vocabularies. Languages with a rich morphology and free word order usually require specialized methods for stem identification, word and sentence boundary detection or different features for chunking or named entity recognition.

2. Previous Works
Most of the research in the field of information retrieval is focused on the English language. There is a large amount of evaluation benchmarks for IR in English. The most basic is the Cranfield collection based on work (Cleverdon, 1967). It contains a set of information needs from a database of abstracts. TREC (Simpson et al., 2014) and CLEF (Suominen et al., 2014) are the biggest series of evaluation campaigns focused on various tasks of IR. Multi-lingual (Peters et al., 2012) and cross-lingual IR are gaining a lot of attention, but most of the current evaluation databases contain just couple of the most commonly used languages such as Chinese or French. There is a proposal for Czech (Straková and Pecina, 2010) which has similar properties than Slovak and is evaluated using CLEF 2007 Ad-Hoc Track (Nunzio et al., 2007).

3. Linguistic Issues of IR in Slovak
Before implementation of IR system for the Slovak language, or other similar Slavic language with rich morphology and arbitrary order of words in sentence, the following specific issues have to be taken into account:
- stemming or lemmatization,
- multi-word expressions and named entities,
- synonyms and homonyms.

The main problem specific to the Slovak language is identification of indexing terms in a text document. In the first step it is necessary to perform morphological analysis to identify the original basic morphological form. Morphological analysis of the Slovak language, dealing with unsupervised identification of word suffix and identification of morphological form using hidden Markov model was proposed in paper (Hládek et al., 2015). Similar approach can be taken to identify stem of a word or word lemma according to context. Lemma identification was used in IR system for Czech (Straková and Pecina, 2010). The other approach to identify stem of a word is to use rule-based system (e.g. Hunspell\(^1\)), as it was presented in (Wilhelm-Stein et al., 2013).

Our previous research in the field of multi-word expressions in Slovak is presented in (Staš et al., 2013).

4. The Document Set
The previously submitted work - Slovak Categorized News Corpus (Hládek et al., 2014) has been selected as the document set. Only minor adjustments have been made. Some duplicate documents have been removed. Automatic morphological and named entity annotations from the previous

\(^1\)https://hunspell.github.io/
Jeden z najlepších rozohrávačov v súťaži dosiahol za 24 bodov, 12 asistencií a 11 doskokov piaty triple-double v sezóne (55. v kariére) a navýše v záverečných 73 sekundách premenil šesť trestných hodov za sebou.

Obhajcovia titulu zo San Antonia sú nezastavitelní, keď ďalšou obeťou Spurs bolo tentoraz Orlando.

k 12. víťazstvu v sérii doviedol domácnich tradične Tim Duncan, ktorý k 27 bodom pridal aj 16 doskokov a päť blokov.

Zaujímavý záver mal zápas v Utahu.

---endtext

---endtext

Figure 1: Example document in the document set

Documents are sorted into 6 categories:

1. Economy and Business;
2. Culture;
3. Sport;
4. Domestic News;
5. World News;
6. Health Care.

6. The Query and Result Set

After the document was prepared it is possible to write a set of queries to the database. One query correspond to one information need (Cole, 2011) and is written in natural language, as it would be produced by a person doing search in the database of newspaper articles. Information need is seen as request for information written in natural language, describing the needed information in detail.

Typical issues in the Slovak natural language processing tasks are free word order, rich morphology and insufficient language resources (Hládek et al., 2014). The proposed information needs are written in a way that improvement in language specific preprocessing tasks will improve overall precision-recall values (Korra et al., 2011).

It is necessary to ensure that at least one document relevant to information need exists in the document set. The first step of the query set construction is selection of a set of keywords. Each keyword has been reformulated as an information need. For each information need a keyword stem and alternative forms of a keywords were searched in the document set and each matching document was evaluated for relevancy with the corresponding information need. Result of this process of manual search is the set of information needs and ranked relevant documents.
The approach of the Cranfield test collection (Cleverdon, 1967) is strictly followed for relevancy annotation as it is written in the database documentation:

*The qrels are in three columns: the first is the query number, the second is the relevant document number, and the third is the relevancy code.*

The codes are defined by Cleverdon as follows (Cleverdon, 1967):

1. References which are a complete answer to the question.
2. References of a high degree of relevance, the lack of which either would have made the research impracticable or would have resulted in a considerable amount of extra work.
3. References which were useful, either as general background to the work or as suggesting methods of tackling certain aspects of the work.
4. References of minimum interest, for example, those that have been included from an historical viewpoint.
5. References of no interest.

The evaluation set consists of information need (a query on the database in natural language), set of relevant documents and document relevancy for each relevant document. Example information needs in the evaluation set is summarized in Tab. 5.

Each information need has a set of relevant documents. Document relevancy is expressed by integer number 1-4, where 1 is the most relevant and 4 is of minimum interest. Example of result set is displayed in Tab. 2. Rest of the documents in the document set is considered equally irrelevant. As it is described in (Manning et al., 2008), the minimal number of information needs that can be considered sufficient is 80. Answers present in the result set and their relevancy are summarized in Table 1.

### 7. Conclusion

In the future work more manual annotations will be added to the document set such as named entities, document keywords and word chunk annotation making it even more useful for evaluating various natural language processing techniques. The proposed set is useful as Slovak language information retrieval evaluation task with or without result ranking, document clustering and categorization evaluation. Slovak language information retrieval research will support development of existing speech and language technologies. The proposed evaluation corpus adds another language resource for Slovak that can be used for research in IR and can be interesting challenge for non-Slovak researchers. It supports development of multi-lingual systems by creation of another language evaluation set that can be used for IR evaluation.
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| Relevancy                      | Id | Number of documents |
|-------------------------------|----|--------------------|
| Complete answer               | 1  | 54                 |
| Highly relevant answer        | 2  | 764                |
| Useful answer                 | 3  | 163                |
| Minimal or historic answer    | 4  | 116                |

Table 1: Answers Summary

| Query | Document | Relevancy |
|-------|----------|-----------|
| 79    | 27510    | 3         |
| 79    | 28825    | 3         |
| 79    | 30466    | 2         |
| 80    | 26932    | 2         |
| 80    | 30511    | 2         |
| 80    | 28522    | 3         |

Table 2: Result set example

| Number of information needs | 80  |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Number of relevant documents| 1097|
| Size of the document set    | 3980|
| Average result set size     | 13.71|

Table 3: Query and result set characteristics
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Table 4: Information need types in the database

| Information Need | Translation |
|------------------|-------------|
| Kto je Robert Fico | Who is Robert Fico |
| Kto hral na Australian Open | Who played at Australian Open |
| Aké je HDP Slovenskej republiky | How big is GDP (Gross Domestic Product) of the Slovak Republic |
| Kde sa nachádza slovenský závod Volkswagen | Where is the Slovak Volkswagen factory |

Table 5: Information need examples
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