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Abstract

Let \( A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\} \) be a system of free factors of \( F_n \). The group of relative automorphisms \( \text{Aut}(F_n; A) \) is the group given by the automorphisms of \( F_n \) that restricted to each \( A_i \) are conjugations by elements in \( F_n \). The group of relative outer automorphisms is defined as \( \text{Out}(F_n; A) = \text{Aut}(F_n; A)/\text{Inn}(F_n) \), where \( \text{Inn}(F_n) \) is the normal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(F_n) \) given by all the inner automorphisms. This group acts on the relative outer space \( CV_n(A) \). We prove that the dimension of the boundary of the relative outer space is \( \dim(CV_n(A)) - 1 \).

1 Introduction

Let \( F_n \) denote the free group of rank \( n \). We consider the group of automorphisms of \( F_n \), denoted by \( \text{Aut}(F_n) \), and the group of outer automorphisms

\[
\text{Out}(F_n) = \text{Aut}(F_n)/\text{Inn}(F_n),
\]

where \( \text{Inn}(F_n) \) is the normal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(F_n) \) given by all the inner automorphisms. In 1986 Culler and Vogtmann introduced a space \( CV_n \) on which the group \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) acts with finite stabilizers and proved that \( CV_n \) is contractible. That space \( CV_n \) is called outer space. In [5], Gaboriau and Levitt computed the dimension of the boundary of outer space. We are interested in studying particular subgroups of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \).

Let \( A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\} \) be a system of free factors of \( F_n \), that is, there exists \( B < F_n \) such that \( F_n = A_1 * \cdots * A_k * B \). We define the group of relative (to \( A \)) automorphisms \( \text{Aut}(F_n; A) \) given by the elements \( f \in \text{Aut}(F_n) \) such that \( f \) restricted to each \( A_i \) is a conjugation by an element in \( F_n \). Note that \( \text{Aut}(F_n) > \text{Aut}(F_n; A) \triangleright \text{Inn}(F_n) \). We define also the group of relative outer automorphisms:

\[
\text{Out}(F_n; A) = \text{Aut}(F_n; A)/\text{Inn}(F_n) < \text{Out}(F_n).
\]

In [10], it was introduced a contractible space, that we will denote by \( CV_n(A) \), on which \( \text{Out}(F_n; A) \) acts. This space is called relative outer space and it can be thought as a subset of the compactification of \( CV_n \). In [10], we proved that an irreducible relative outer automorphism with irreducible powers acts on the compactification of the modified relative outer space with north-south dynamics. A natural question arose: what is the dimension of the boundary? Our goal is to give an answer to that question.

Main Theorem. The dimension of \( \partial CV_n(A) \) is equal to \( \dim(CV_n(A)) - 1 \).
The dual graph for each intersection between two or more wedge cycles and edges between with embeddings $l_i$.

Definition 2.2. An $(A, n)$-graph $(\Gamma, l)$ is a finite graph $\Gamma$ of rank $n$ with vertices of valence at least 3, with possible separating edges, equipped with embeddings $l_j : \bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, such that any two $\mathbb{B}_j$ intersect in at most a point and the dual graph of the $\mathbb{B}_j$’s is a forest.

Notation 2.3. We denote by $A$ the set of free factors $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ and we will denote $A_1 \ast \cdots \ast A_k \ast B$ by $A \ast B$. Sometimes we will also write $A$ for the subgroup $A_1 \ast \cdots \ast A_k$. The meaning of $A$ should be clear from the context.

Definition 2.4. A marked metric $(A, n)$-graph $(\Gamma, \phi, l)$ is a marked graph (with possible separating edges) $(\Gamma, \phi)$ such that
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2 Relative Outer Space

In this section we define the relative outer space and its boundary. Moreover, we review some of the properties of the relative outer space studied in [10].

Let $A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ be a system of free factors of $F_n$, that is, there exists $B < F_n$ such that $F_n = A_1 \ast \cdots \ast A_k \ast B$.

Consider $A_i = < y_1^i, \ldots, y_{s(i)}^i >$ and $F_n = < y_1^1, \ldots, y_{s(k)}^1, x_1, \ldots, x_n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) >$. By a graph we mean a connected 1-dimensional CW complex. Let the relative rose $R_n(A)$ be a graph obtained by a wedge of $n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i)$ circles $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-\sum_{i=1}^k s(i)}$ attaching $\sum_{i=1}^k s(i)$ circles $C^i_1, \ldots, C^i_{s(k)}$ on $k$ stems, where the $i$th stem has $C^i_1, \ldots, C^i_{s(i)}$ attached to it. Moreover,

$$\pi_1(R_n(A), v) \cong F_n = < y_1^1, \ldots, y_{s(k)}^1, x_1, \ldots, x_n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) >,$$

where $v$ is the vertex in $R_n(A)$ intersection of the circles $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-\sum_{i=1}^k s(i)}$, by declaring $y_i^j$ to be the homotopy class of $C^i_j$ and $z_i$ to be the homotopy class of the loop $e_i$. Let $(R_n(A), k)$ be the graph $R_n(A)$ equipped with inclusions $k_j : \bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1 \rightarrow R_n(A)$ that identifies $\bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1$ with $\bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} C^i_j$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph of rank $n$ with vertices of valence at least 3, equipped with embeddings $l_j : \bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. We call $\mathbb{B}_j = l_j(\bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1)$ wedge cycle. The dual graph of the $\mathbb{B}_j$’s is the graph with one vertex for each wedge cycle, one vertex $w$ for each intersection between two or more wedge cycles and edges between $w$ and vertices corresponding to the wedge cycles meeting in $w$.

Definition 2.2. An $(A, n)$-graph $(\Gamma, l)$ is a finite graph $\Gamma$ of rank $n$ with vertices of valence at least 3, with possible separating edges, equipped with embeddings $l_j : \bigvee_{i=1}^{s(j)} S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, such that any two $\mathbb{B}_j$ intersect in at most a point and the dual graph of the $\mathbb{B}_j$’s is a forest.

Notation 2.3. We denote by $A$ the set of free factors $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ and we will denote $A_1 \ast \cdots \ast A_k \ast B$ by $A \ast B$. Sometimes we will also write $A$ for the subgroup $A_1 \ast \cdots \ast A_k$. The meaning of $A$ should be clear from the context.

Definition 2.4. A marked metric $(A, n)$-graph $(\Gamma, \phi, l)$ is a marked graph (with possible separating edges) $(\Gamma, \phi)$ such that
• each edge \( e \) in \( \hat{\Gamma} \) (the graph obtained from \( \Gamma \) by collapsing the wedge cycles to special points) has length \( \hat{l}(e) = l_{\hat{\Gamma}}(e) \in (0,1] \) and each edge in a wedge cycle has length 0;

• the wedge cycles are disjoint.

**Definition 2.5.** The relative outer space \( CV_n(A) \) is the space of equivalence classes of marked metric \((A, n)\)-graphs where

1. the sum of all lengths of the edges in \( \hat{\Gamma} \) is 1 (relative volume 1);

2. \((\Gamma_1, \phi_1, l_1) \sim (\Gamma_2, \phi_2, l_2)\) if there is an isometry \( h : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \) such that \( h \circ \phi_1(C_i^j) = \phi_2(C_i^j), \ \forall i, j \) and \( h \circ \phi_1 \) is homotopic to \( \phi_2 \) rel. \( C_i^j, \ \forall i, j \).

**Remark 2.6.** This space (modulo possible edges of length 0 not in the wedge cycles) was introduced in the third chapter of [10], and it was called modified relative outer space to distinguish this space from another space, called relative outer space, introduced in the second chapter.

Let \( R_n(A) \) be the relative rose with each edge in the wedge cycles of length 0. There is a natural right action of \( \text{Out}(F_n; A) \) on \( CV_n(A) \) given by changing the marking: let \( X = (\Gamma, \phi, l) \in CV_n(A) \) and \( \Psi \in \text{Out}(F_n; A) \), consider \( \psi : R_n(A) \rightarrow R_n(A) \) such that it fixes the wedge cycles and \([\psi_*] = \Psi\). The right action is given by

\[
X \cdot \Psi = (\Gamma, \phi, l) \cdot \Psi = (\Gamma, \phi \circ \psi, l).
\]

Notice that the stabilizer of a point may be infinite. We define a topology on \( CV_n(A) \) by varying the length of the edges that are not in any wedge cycle. Since the sum of the lengths of these edges is 1, \( CV_n(A) \) is a simplicial complex with missing faces. We define the relative spine \( S_n(A) \) of the relative outer space as the geometric realization of the partially ordered set of open simplices. Notice that \( S_n(A) \) is a simplicial complex.

In [10], we proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.7.** The relative outer space \( CV_n(A) \) is contractible.

In [10], we computed the dimension of \( CV_n(A) \) and the dimension of \( S_n(A) \). We briefly sketch the proof of such computation. Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is a maximal graph in \( S_n(A) \), i.e., it has the maximum number of vertices, and consider \( \hat{\Gamma} \). Denote by \( V \) and \( E \) the number of vertices and edges of \( \hat{\Gamma} \) respectively. The vertices corresponding to the special points have valence 1 and the remaining vertices have valence 3. Hence,

\[
E = \frac{3(V - k)}{2} + \frac{k}{2}.
\]

Because \( V - E = 1 - (n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i)) \), we have

\[
V = 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i)
\]

and hence, because we can collapse \( V \) vertices to \( s = \max\{k, 1\} \) vertices and the wedge cycles are disjoint,

\[
\dim(S_n(A)) = 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i) - s.
\]
Moreover,

\[ E = 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i) \]

and because the relative volume of each graph is 1,

\[ \dim(CV_n(A)) = 3n + 2k - 4 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i). \]

Notice that if \( k = 0 \), \( \dim(CV_n(1)) = 3n - 4 = \dim(CV_n) \). Indeed, if \( k = 0 \) the relative outer space is the standard outer space.

**Example 2.8.** Consider \( \text{Out}(F_2; A) \), where \( F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle \), \( A = \langle a \rangle \). In that case, \( \text{Out}(F_2; A) \) is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group \( D_\infty \) (see [10]). The relative outer space \( CV_2(A) \) is a point \( X \) with an infinite countable number of half-open edges attached to it. The action of the group on \( CV_2(A) \) is given by rotating the edges. Hence, the stabilizer of \( X \) is \( \text{Out}(F_2; A) \). Moreover, \( CV_2(A)/\text{Out}(F_2; A) \) is a 1-simplex with a missing vertex. The relative spine \( S_2(A) \) is a point with an infinite number of closed 1-simplices coming out from that point. The relative outer space \( CV_2(A) \) is not locally compact and this is true in general: \( CV_n(A) \) is not locally compact if \( A \neq 1 \).

We can think of the relative outer space as a deformation space of trees. We recall the main definitions and some results for actions on \( \mathbb{R} \)-trees.

**Definition 2.9.** Let \((X,d)\) be a metric space. We say that \((X,d)\) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-tree if for any \( x,y \in X \) there is a unique arc from \( x \) to \( y \) and this arc is a geodesic segment.

Let \( \phi : T \to T \) be an isometry of an \( \mathbb{R} \)-tree \( T \). The **translation length** of \( \phi \) is

\[ l(\phi) = \inf \{ d(x,\phi(x)) \mid x \in T \}. \]

The infimum is always attained and there are two possible cases. If \( l(\phi) > 0 \), there is a unique \( \phi \)-invariant line called the axis of \( \phi \), and \( \phi|_{\text{axis}} \) is a translation by \( l(\phi) \). In this case, we say that \( \phi \) is **hyperbolic**. If \( l(\phi) = 0 \), then \( \phi \) fixes a non-empty subtree of \( T \) and is said to be **elliptic**.

Let \( G \) be a group acting by isometries on an \( \mathbb{R} \)-tree \( T \). A tree equipped with an isometric action is called \( G \)-tree. The action is **nontrivial** if no point of \( T \) are fixed by the whole group. It is **minimal** if there is no proper \( G \)-invariant subtree. The action is **free** if any nonidentity group element does not leave an element of \( T \) fixed. Let \( Gx = \{gx \mid g \in G \} \) be the orbit of \( x \in T \). An action of \( G \) on \( T \) has **dense orbits** if the closure of \( Gx \) is the whole tree \( T \). A map \( f : T \to T' \) between \( \mathbb{R} \)-trees is a **morphism** if every segment in \( T \) can be written as a finite union of substrings, each of which is mapped isometrically into \( T' \). Note that an equivariant morphism does not increase distances.

The notion of a deformation space was introduced by Forester in [4]. By definition, two \( G \)-trees are in the same deformation space if they have the same elliptic subgroups, i.e., if a subgroup of \( G \) fixes one point in a tree, it also fixes the image of that point in any other tree. Identifying two trees if they differ only by rescaling the metric leads to the projectivized deformation space. Guirardel and Levitt [5] and Clay [2] proved the contractibility of this space. The relative outer space \( CV_n(A) \) is a projectivized deformation space.
Let the deformation space $D$ be the space of simplicial $F_n$-trees with elliptic subgroups $A_1, \ldots, A_k$. Let $X = (\Gamma, \phi, l) \in CV_n(A)$. The tree $T_1$ associated to $X$ is constructed in the following way. Let $\Gamma_0$ be the graph obtained by $\Gamma$ changing the length of the wedge cycles from 0 to a constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the universal cover $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ of $\Gamma_0$ and collapse all the rays that correspond to words $a_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3} \cdots$, $a_{i_j} \in A$ and its translates. We will call $T_1$ an $(A \ast B)$-tree with special vertices or just $(A \ast B)$-tree.

**Example 2.10.** Consider $\text{Out}(F_2; A)$, where $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$, $A = \langle a \rangle$, and consider the point $(\Gamma, \phi = \text{id}, l) \in CV_2(A)$ consisting of a loop corresponding to $b$ and a vertex corresponding to $a$. The graph $\Gamma_0$ is a rose with two petals. One petal corresponds to $a$ and its length is $\varepsilon$ and the other petal correspond to $b$ and its length is 1. In order to construct the tree $T_1$ associated to this graph, first we consider the universal covering of $\Gamma_0$, and then we collapse the $a$-axis and its translated axes. Notice that there are infinitely many edges coming out from each vertex (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1: The tree $T_1$ associated to $(\Gamma, \phi, l)$ in Example 2.10.](image)

In [10], we defined the boundary of the relative outer space. We recall the following definition due to Cohen and Lustig.

**Definition 2.11.** An action of $F_n$ on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree is very small if

1. all edge stabilizers are cyclic (small action),
2. Fix($g$) is isometric to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ for $1 \neq g \in F_n$ (no fixed tripods), and
3. Fix($g$) = Fix($g^i$) for all $i \geq 2$ (no obtrusive powers).

Cohen and Lustig [3] showed that a simplicial action is in $\overline{CV_n}$ if and only if it is very small. Bestvina and Feighn [1] proved that this is actually true for all actions concluding that the closure of outer space is the set of very small actions of $F_n$ on $\mathbb{R}$-trees. In the relative case, the main issue is understanding on which $\mathbb{R}$-trees the group $A \ast B$ is acting on and those trees are the $(A \ast B)$-trees with special points. Notice that $CV_n(A)$ embeds naturally in the space $\mathcal{T}$ of actions of $A \ast B$ on metric $\mathbb{R}$-trees with special points such that all edge stabilizers are cyclic. As in the case of the standard outer space $CV_n$, we can endow $CV_n(A)$ with three topologies: the simplicial (or weak) topology, the length function (or axes) topology, and the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. We already defined the simplicial topology. The length function topology is the coarsest topology making the translation length function $T \mapsto l_T(\phi)$ continuous. The Gromov-Hausdorff topology is defined in the
following way. Given $X \subset T$, $A \subset \text{Out}(F_n;\mathcal{A})$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, a fundamental system of neighborhoods for $T$, denoted by $U_T(X, A, \varepsilon)$ is the set of trees $T'$ such that there is a map $f : X \to T'$ satisfying

$$|d(x, \phi \cdot y) - d(f(x), \phi \cdot f(y))| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \forall \phi \in A.$$ 

In the standard $\text{CV}_n$ the three topologies are equivalent (see [11]). Because the trees corresponding to the points in $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ are irreducible (in the sense of [8]), $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the length function topology is homeomorphic to $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. However, the length function topology of the embedding in the space of actions is not the simplicial topology of $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$. For example, in the case $n = 2$, $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $A = \langle x \rangle$, the modified relative outer space $\text{CV}_2(\mathcal{A})$ is the union of half-open 1-simplices attached to a point $X$ (see Example 2.8). The relative outer space $\text{CV}_2(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the simplicial topology is not (locally) compact, while $\text{CV}_2(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the length function topology is a compact space: the two sequences of trees that are the middle-points of the 1-simplices corresponding to the graphs with marking induced by $a \mapsto a, b \mapsto a^N b$, as $N \to \pm \infty$, converge to $X$. We can consider the closure $\overline{\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})}$ of the image of the embedding $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{T}$. The closure consists of projective classes of actions on metric $\mathbb{R}$-trees with special points where

1. all edge stabilizers are cyclic,
2. Fix($g$) is isometric to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ for $1 \neq g \in F_n/\mathcal{A}$,
3. the $A_i$'s are elliptic elements, and
4. $\text{Fix}(g) = \text{Fix}(g^i)$ for all $i \geq 2$.

The boundary of the relative outer space is

$$\partial \text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})} \setminus \text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A}).$$

Our goal is to prove that the dimension of $\partial \text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is the dimension of $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A}) - 1$. From now on, when we talk about the topology of $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$, $\overline{\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})}$, or $\partial \text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ we mean the length function topology. In this topology, $\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is contractible and $\overline{\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})}$ is contractible (see [8]), and compact (because it is a closed subset of the compact set $\overline{\text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})}$). However, $\partial \text{CV}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is not compact (see Example 2.8 and the above discussion about the two sequences).

3 (Non-)Geometric Trees with Special Points

Let $T$ be a minimal $(\mathcal{A} \ast \mathcal{B})$-tree with special points and length function $l$. A subtree of $T$ is called finite if it is the convex hull of a finite subset. Let $K \subset T$ be a finite subtree such that $K \cap x_i K \neq \emptyset$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n - \sum s(i)\}$ and $K \cap A_j K \neq \emptyset$, for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let $K = (K, \varphi_i, \varphi^j)$ be the system with $\varphi_i$ the restriction of the action of $x_i$ to $x_i^{-1} K \cap K$ and $\varphi^j$ the restriction of the action of $A_j$ to $A_j^{-1} K \cap K$. By Theorem I.1 [5], there exists a unique $(\mathcal{A} \ast \mathcal{B})$-tree such that

1. $T_K$ contains $K$ as an isometrically embedded subtree;
2. If \( p \in P_i = x_i^{-1}K \cap K \), then \( x_i p = \varphi_i(p) \). If \( p \in Q_j = A_j^{-1}K \cap K \) and \( p \) is not a special point with elliptic subgroup \( A_j \), then there is \( a \in A_j \) such that \( p \in a^{-1}K \cap K \), and \( ap = \varphi_j(p) \). If \( p \) is a special point with elliptic subgroup \( A_j \), \( \varphi_j(p) = p \);

3. every orbit of the action meets \( K \);

4. if \( T' \) is another \((A \ast B)\)-tree satisfying the first two items, there exists a unique equivariant morphism \( f : T_K \to T' \) such that \( f(p) = p \), for \( p \in K \).

If the action on \( T' \) is (very) small, then the action on \( T_K \) is (very) small. Moreover, the tree \( T_K \) is not necessarily minimal, but there are arbitrarily large subtrees \( K \) such that \( T_K \) is minimal (see Section II in [5]).

**Definition 3.1.** If there exists \( K \) such that \( T_K = T \), then \( T \) is called geometric. Otherwise, \( T \) is called non-geometric.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( T \) be a geometric minimal \((A \ast B)\)-tree, i.e., \( T = T_K \) for some system \( K \). We have:

1. Two points \((p_1, \omega_1), (p_2, \omega_2) \in K \times (A \ast B)\) define the same point in \( T_K \) if and only if
   \[
   p_2 = \varphi_i^{\epsilon_i} \cdots \varphi_m^{\epsilon_m}(p_1) \text{ such that } \omega_2^{-1} \omega_1 = \alpha_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{\epsilon_m},
   \]
   where \( \varphi_i \in \{ \varphi_i, \varphi_j \} \), \( \epsilon_i \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), \( \alpha_i \in \{ y_1, \ldots, y_{s(k)}, x_1, \ldots, x_n - \Sigma_{i=1}^k s(i) \} \).

2. Let \( p_1, p_2 \in K \) and \( \omega \in A \ast B \). We have \( p_2 = \omega p_1 \) if and only if
   \[
   p_2 = \varphi_i^{\epsilon_i} \cdots \varphi_m^{\epsilon_m}(p_1) \text{ with } \omega = \alpha_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{\epsilon_m},
   \]
   where \( \varphi_i \), \( \epsilon_i \), and \( \alpha_i \) are as above.

Let \( S \) be the finite set of vertices of \( K \), \( x_i^{-1}K \cap K \), \( A_j^{-1}K \cap K \), \( \varphi_i(x_i^{-1}K \cap K) \), \( \varphi_j(A_j^{-1}K \cap K) \), for all values of \( i \) and \( j \). We can list some properties of \( T_K \). See [5] for a proof of these facts.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( T_K \) as above.

1. If \( p \in T_K \) is a branch point, its orbit contains a point of \( S \). The action of \( \text{Stab}(p)/A \)
   on the set of directions \( \pi_0(T_K \setminus \{ p \}) \) has only finitely many orbits. In particular, there
   are only finitely many orbits of branch points in \( T_K \).

2. If the \((A \ast B)\)-action on \( T_K \) is small with dense orbits, then every edge stabilizer is
   trivial.

4  The Index of a Tree with Special Points

We introduce the index of a tree with special points and we find an upper bound for such index. This upper bound will be essential in the computation of the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank of a tree with special points.

Let \( T \) be a minimal \((A \ast B)\)-tree with special points. Given \( x \in T \), a direction \( d \) from \( x \) is
a germ of isometric embeddings \( d : [0, \varepsilon] \to T \) with \( d(0) = x \). The subgroup \( \text{Stab}(x) < A \ast B \)
acts on the set
\[
D = \{ d \mid d \text{ is a direction from } x \}.
\]
Note that if \( d \in D \), then \( \text{Stab}(d) \) is either trivial or infinite cyclic.
**Notation 4.1.** Let $x \in T$. We denote 
\[
\text{rk}(ST(x)) = \begin{cases} 
\text{rk}(\text{Stab}(x)/A), & \text{if } x \text{ is not a special point}, \\
\text{rk}(\text{Stab}(x)/A) + 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a special point}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Definition 4.2.** The index of $x \in T$ is 
\[
i(x) = 2\text{rk}(ST(x)) + v_1(x) - 2,
\]
where $v_1(x)$ is the number of $\text{Stab}(x)$-orbits of directions from $x$ with trivial stabilizer.

Note that the definition coincides with the definition in [5] if there are no special points, i.e., if $A = 1$. We will prove that $i(x)$ is finite for any $x \in T$. Notice that if $\text{Stab}(x) = 1$, then $i(x) + 2$ is the cardinality of $D$. Moreover, we have the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** The index $i(x)$ is always non-negative. If $i(x) > 0$, then $x$ is a branch point. Conversely, if the action is very small, then every branch point that is not a special point has $i(x) \geq 1$.

The proof of Proposition 4.3 is similar to the proof of Proposition III.1 [5] considering the three cases: $\text{rk}(ST(x)) \geq 2$, $\text{rk}(ST(x)) = 0$, and $\text{rk}(ST(x)) = 1$.

**Remark 4.4.** If $A \neq 1$, for any special point $x \in T$, there is $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $A_i < \text{Stab}(x)$, and $\text{rk}(\text{Stab}(x)) \geq \text{rk}(A_i)$.

Let $x \in T$. We denote the $(A \ast B)$-orbit of $x$ by $[x]$. Because $i(x_1) = i(x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in T$ such that $[x_1] = [x_2]$, the index $i([x])$ is well defined.

**Definition 4.5.** The total index of $T$ is 
\[
i(T) = \sum_{[x] \in T/(A \ast B)} i([x]).
\]

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $T$ be a minimal small $(A \ast B)$-tree.

1. If $T$ is geometric, then 
\[
i(T) = 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i).
\]

2. If $T$ is not geometric, then 
\[
i(T) < 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i).
\]

**Proof.** First, assume that $T$ is geometric. Hence, $T = T_K$, for some system $K$. Let $[x] \subset T_K$. We define the graph $[x]_K$ with
- vertices $p \in [x] \cap K$;
- an edge $x_i$ from $p$ to $\varphi_j(p)$ if $p \in P_i$ and a loop $\gamma_j$ if $p$ is elliptic and $A_j$ is the elliptic subgroup acting non-trivially on $D$. 
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By Proposition 3.2, $[x]_K$ is connected. We define the weight of an edge $e$ corresponding to $x_i$ and denoted by $w(e)$, to be the valence of its origin $p \in P_i$. The weight of $\gamma_j$ is 1. All but finitely many edges have weight 2. If $\Gamma$ is a finite tree and $p \in \Gamma$, we denote by $v_T(p)$ the valence of $p$ in $\Gamma$. We define the graph $[x]_K^d$ replacing each vertex $p$ of $[x]_K$ by $v_K(p)$ vertices representing directions from $p \in K$, and replacing each edge by $w(e)$ edges. Let $\pi : [x]_K^d \to [x]_K$ be the natural projection.

**Lemma 4.7.** If $p \in [x] \cap K$, then the following statements are true.

1. $\text{rk}(\pi_1([x]_K)) = \text{rk}(\text{ST}(p))$.

2. If $x$ is not a special point, $\pi_0([x]_K^d)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of orbits under $\text{Stab}(p)/A$ of directions $d$ from $p$ in $T_K$. If $x$ is a special point, $\pi_0([x]_K^d)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\gamma_j$ union the set of orbits under $\text{Stab}(p)/A$ of directions $d$ from $p$ in $T_K$.

3. If $x$ is not a special point and $C$ is a component of $[x]_K^d$, then $\pi_1(C)$ is isomorphic to the corresponding subgroup $\text{Stab}(d) \in \{1, \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $x$ is a special point and $C$ is a component of $[x]_K^d$ not containing $\gamma_j$, then $\pi_1(C)$ is isomorphic to the corresponding subgroup $\text{Stab}(d) \in \{1, \mathbb{Z}\}$.

**Lemma 4.7** follows from Lemma III.5 [5] and the definition of $[x]_K$. Let $G$ be a finite connected subgraph of $[x]_K$ containing each vertex in $S$ and every edge of weight not equal to 2. Let $G' = \pi^{-1}(G) \subseteq [x]_K$. By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.7, $[x]_K^d$ has a finite number of components. Moreover, we can assume that $\pi_1(G'_j)$ generates $\pi_1(C'_j)$ for any component $C'_j$ of $[x]_K^d$. As in the proof of Theorem III.2 [5], $\pi_1([x]_K)$ is finitely generated and we may assume that $\pi_1(G)$ generates $\pi_1([x]_K)$. We can consider $[x] \cap S \neq \emptyset$, otherwise $i([x]) = 0$.

**Remark 4.8.** If $T'$ is a finite tree and $v_{T'}(p)$ denotes the valence of $p \in T'$, then

$$\sum_{p \in T'}(v_{T'}(p) - 2) = -2.$$

By Lemma 4.7 the definition of $G$, and $2 - 2\text{rk}(\pi_1(G)) = 2V(G) - 2E(G)$, we have

\[
i([x]) = 2\text{rk}(\text{ST}(x)) - 2 + v_1(x) = 2\text{rk}(\pi_1([x]_K)) - 2 + \sum_j(1 - \text{rk}(\pi_1([x]_K^d)))
= 2\text{rk}(\pi_1(G)) - 2 + \sum_j(1 - \text{rk}(\pi_1(G'_j)))
= \sum_{p \in V(G)}(v_K(p) - 2) - \sum_{e \in E(G)}(w(e) - 2)
= \sum_{p \in [x]_K}(v_K(p) - 2) - \sum_{e \in E([x]_K)}(w(e) - 2).
\]

Hence,

$$i(T) = \sum_{[x] \in T/(A*B)} i([x]) = -2 + 2k + 2n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i).$$

The case when $T$ is non-geometric can be done, as in the proof of Theorem III.2 [5], approximating $T$ with a sequence of minimal small $(A*B)$-trees $T_{K_m}$ strongly converging to the tree $T$.

**Corollary 4.9.** If $T$ is a minimal very small $(A*B)$-tree, the number of orbits of branching points is at most $2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i)$. 
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Example 4.10. Consider \( \text{Out}(F_2; A) \), where \( F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle \), \( A = \langle a \rangle \), and the geometric tree \( T_1 \) in Figure 1. In this case we have only one orbit of branching points. Let \( x \in T_1 \) be a branch point. The stabilizer \( \text{Stab}(x) = A = \langle a \rangle \). Hence, \( \text{rk}(\text{Stab}(x)) = \text{rk}(A) = 1 \). Moreover, \( v_1(x) = |\{[b], [b^{-1}]\}| = 2 \), where \( [b^\varepsilon] \) is the \( A \)-orbit of the direction associated to \( b^\varepsilon \) (\( \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \)). Therefore,

\[
i(T_1) = i(x) = 2 \cdot 1 + 2 - 2 = 2 = 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i),
\]

because \( n = 2 \), \( k = 1 \), and \( s(1) = 1 \).

5 The \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank of a Tree with Special Points

Let \( T \neq \mathbb{R} \) be a minimal \((A*B)\)-tree with non-Abelian length function \( l \). Let \( L = L(T) < \mathbb{R} \) be generated by the values of \( l \). The \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank of \( T \) is denoted by \( r_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) \) and is the dimension of the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \) generated by \( L \). If \( r_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) \) is finite, \( r_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) \) is the rank \( r \) of the Abelian group \( L \) and \( L/2L \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \). Let \( \Lambda = \Lambda(T) \) be the subgroup generated by distances between the branch points.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( T \neq \mathbb{R} \) be a minimal \((A*B)\)-tree with non-Abelian length function and no inversion.

1. Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i)} \) be a basis for \( B \). The numbers

\[
l(x_1), \ldots, l(x_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i)})
\]

generate \( L \) mod \( 2\mathbb{A} \).

2. Let \( \{p_j\}_{j \in J} \) be representatives of \((A*B)\)-orbits of branch points. For \( j_0 \in J \), the numbers of \( d(p_{j_0}, p_j) \) generate \( \Lambda \) mod \( L \).

**Proposition 5.1** is the relative version of Proposition IV.1 \([5]\). Indeed, in our case \( l(y) = 0 \), for \( y \in A_j \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, k \).

**Corollary 5.2.** The group \( \Lambda/2\Lambda \) is generated by \( n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i) + b - 1 \) elements, where \( b \) is the number of orbits of branch points.

**Proposition 5.3.**

1. Geometric \((A*B)\)-actions have finite rank.

2. Consider a non-geometric \((A*B)\)-tree with special points \( T \) as the strong limit of a sequence \( T_{K_m} \). If \( \liminf_{m \to +\infty} r(T_{K_m}) < \infty \), then

\[
r_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) \leq \liminf_{m \to +\infty} r(T_{K_m})
\]

and

\[
r_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) < \liminf_{m \to +\infty} r(T_{K_m}).
\]

**Proposition 5.3** is the relative version of Proposition IV.2 \([5]\).

**Corollary 5.4.** Let \( T \) be a geometric minimal \((A*B)\)-tree without inversions. Let \( b \) the number of orbits of branch points. Then \( r(T) \leq n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} s(i) + b - 1 \).
Proof. By Proposition 5.3, the action has finite rank \( r \). By Corollary 5.2, \( \Lambda/2\Lambda \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \) is generated by \( n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) + b - 1 \) elements. Therefore, \( r(T) \leq n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) + b - 1 \). □

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( T \) be a minimal, very small \((A \ast B)\)-tree with special points. Then \( r_Q(T) \leq 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). Equality holds only if the action is simplicial and the only elliptic elements are the \( A_i \)'s.

Proof. By Corollary 4.9 and Corollary 5.4, if \( T \) is geometric, then \( r(T) \leq 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). If \( T \) is not geometric, there is a sequence of geometric very small trees \( T_{k_m} \) strongly converging to \( T \). By Proposition 5.3, \( r_Q(T) < 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). We conclude the proof of the theorem proving that if the action is geometric, but there are other elliptic elements beside the \( A_i \)'s, then \( \Lambda/2\Lambda \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \) with \( r < 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). There are three different cases.

1. If the action is simplicial, then it is obtained from a graph of groups \( \Gamma \). Consider the natural (topological) epimorphism \( \rho : A \ast B \to \pi_1(\Gamma) \). Since there are other elliptic elements beside the \( A_i \)'s, some vertex group is nontrivial and \( \rho \) is not injective. Because the free groups are Hopfian, \( \text{rk}(\Gamma) < n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). On the other hand, since there are not inversions, every vertex of \( \Gamma \) is the projection of a branch point of \( T \). By Corollary 4.9, \( \Gamma \) has at most \( 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) vertices. Therefore, \( \Gamma \) has strictly less than \( 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) edges. Because \( \Lambda \) is generated by lengths of edges, \( r < 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \).

2. Suppose that every \((A \ast B)\)-orbit is dense in \( T \). In the first case, we had \( r < 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) because \( L/2L \) had 2-rank \( < n - \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). In this case, we prove that \( \Lambda/L \) has 2-rank \( < 2n + 2k - 3 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \), so that \( \Lambda/2\Lambda \) has 2-rank \( < 3n + 2k - 3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \). Since \( T \) is geometric, \( T = T_K \), and we can suppose that every terminal vertex of \( K \) is a branch point in \( T \). By Corollary 5.2, if the number of orbits of branch points is \( < 2n + 2k - 2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \), then we are done. Otherwise, let

\[
p_1, \ldots, p_{2n+2k-2-2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i)}
\]

be representatives of these orbits belonging to \( K \). Each \( p_j \) has index 1. By Proposition 5.3, every edge stabilizer is trivial. Therefore, the generators \( \{\varphi_i, \varphi^j\} \) are independent in the sense of [3]: a reduced word cannot be equal to the identity on a non-degenerate subinterval of \( K \). Denoting by \(| \cdot |\) the arclength in \( K \), we have

\[
|K| = \sum_{i=1}^n s(i) + \sum_{j=1}^k |P_i| + \sum_{j=1}^k |Q_j|
\]

(see [5] and [6]). This gives an equality between numbers of the form \( d(q,q') \), where \( qq' \) is an edge of \( K \) or \( P_i \) or \( Q_j \). Since every vertex of \( K \), and so of \( P_i \) and \( Q_j \), is a branch point of \( T \), this is an equation in \( \Lambda/L \). Now, because we have

\[
d(q,q') = d(gp_j, hp_m) = d(gp_j, gp_1) + d(gp_1, gp_m) + d(gp_m, hp_m) = d(p_j, p_1) + d(p_1, p_m) + l(g^{-1}h) = d(p_1, p_j) + d(p_1, p_m) \mod L,
\]
we can replace \( d(q,q') \) by \( d(p_1,p_j) + d(p_1,p_m) \). Hence, we have a relation between the elements of \( \{d(p_1,p_j) | j = 2, \ldots, 2n + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \} \), whose coefficients are integers mod 2. We need to show that this relation is not trivial. The coefficient of \( d(p_1,p_j) \) in the expansion of \( |K| \) has the same parity as \( \sum v_K(x) \) taken over vertices of \( K \) belonging to the orbit of \( p_j \), where \( v_K(x) \) is the valence of \( x \) in \( K \). The coefficient of \( d(p_1,p_j) \) in the expansion of \( |P_i| \) (respectively \( |Q_j| \)) has the same parity as \( \sum v_{P_i}(x) \) (respectively \( \sum v_{Q_j}(x) \)) taken over vertices of \( P_i \) (respectively \( Q_j \)) belonging to the orbit of \( p_j \), where \( v_{P_i}(x) \) is the valence of \( x \) in \( P_i \) (and \( v_{Q_j}(x) \) is the valence of \( x \) in \( Q_j \)). Since every \( p_j \) has index 1, as in the proof of Theorem 4.6 we have the nontrivial relation between the generators of \( \Lambda/L \):

\[
2n + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} d(p_1,p_j) = 0 \mod L.
\]

3. Assume that the action is not simplicial. Therefore, \( T \) may be obtained as a graph of transitive action (see [9]). In particular, there exists a subtree \( T_v \) in \( T \) such that

- \( T_v \) is closed and not equal to a point;
- there is \( \delta > 0 \) such that, for \( g \in A \ast B \), \( g \in \text{Stab}(T_v) \) or the distance between \( T_v \) and \( gT_v \) is greater than \( \delta \);
- \( \text{Stab}(T_v) \) acts on \( T_v \) with dense orbits.

Let \( T' \) be the \((A \ast B)\)-tree obtained by collapsing each \( gT_v \) to a point. The natural action of \( A \ast B \) on \( T' \) is very small. By Theorem 4.6, applied to both \( T \) and \( T' \), we have \( \text{rk}(\text{Stab}(T_v)) = m < \infty \) and if \( i(T_v) \) is the index of \( T_v \) with respect to a \( \text{Stab}(T_v) \)-tree,

\[
0 \leq i(T) - i(T') = i(T_v) - \left(2m + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i)\right) \leq 0,
\]

where the first inequality is coming from the fact that \( T \) is geometric. Hence, \( i(T_v) = 2m + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) and the action of \( \text{Stab}(T_v) \) on \( T_v \) is geometric. If there are less than \( 2m + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) distinct \( \text{Stab}(T_v) \)-orbits of branch points in \( T_v \), then there are less than \( 2n + 2k - 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \) distinct \((A \ast B)\)-orbits in \( T \), and we are done. Otherwise, as in the previous case, we have a nontrivial relation in \( \Lambda(T_v)/L(T_v) \), and hence in \( \Lambda(T)/L(T) \).

\( \square \)

Recall that our goal is to prove the following theorem.

**Main Theorem.** The dimension of \( \partial \text{CV}_n(A) \) is equal to \( \text{dim}(\text{CV}_n(A)) - 1 \).

**Proof.** We know that \( \partial \text{CV}_n(A) \) is the set of projective classes of lengths functions of very small actions of \( F_n \) such that the \( A_i \)'s are elliptic, but those are not the only elliptic elements. By Proposition V.1. [5], if \( G \) is a finitely generated group, the space of all projectivized length functions with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank \( \leq N \) has (topological) dimension \( \leq N - 1 \). By Theorem 5.5, the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank in the case \( G = \text{Out}(F_n) \) is \( \leq \text{dim}(\text{CV}_n(A)) \). Therefore, \( \text{dim}(\partial \text{CV}_n(A)) \leq 3n + 2k - 5 - 3\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) = \text{dim}(\text{CV}_n(A)) - 1 \). Because it is very easy to find a simplex in \( \partial \text{CV}_n(A) \) of dimension \( 3n + 2k - 5 - 3\sum_{i=1}^k s(i) \), we conclude that \( \text{dim}(\partial \text{CV}_n(A)) = \text{dim}(\text{CV}_n(A)) - 1 \).

\( \square \)
Example 5.6. In Example 2.8 we described \( CV_2(A) \), where \( F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle \), \( A = \langle a \rangle \). Obviously, \( \dim(\partial CV_2(A)) = 0 = \dim CV_2(A) - 1 \). Note that in this case the boundary is not connected.

In conclusion, we computed the dimension of \( \partial CV_n(A) \) using the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank of a tree with special points.
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