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Abstract

Brand fairness is one of the center elements of marketing, all marketing strategies are basically the goal of building a solid brand. Brands have solid equity to succeed in gaining unswerving consumer advertising and economic benefits. When earlier than finding out to turn out to be a purchaser or client, of course, consumers are inflated through many stuff that inspire them. Therefore it is very meaningful to analyze the key factors that motivate the use of brand equity and customer service at that time to look for correlations and obtain suitable conclusions. The object that is being studied is the customer of Islamic banking.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify the impact of brand value, Brand Awareness, Brand Loyalty on Brand Equity at Bank Muamalat in Semarang. The studies technique used on this studies is thru a survey. Information is analyzed quantitatively and uses a causative studies design. The tactic of taking illustrations used in this research is to use Non-Probability Sampling with purposive sampling method. All of the illustrative facts received via way of means of dispensing questionnaires is 100 respondents and might be processed the use of the SPSS 24 software feature.
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INTRODUCTION

Brands getting considerable attention from businesses and management, both from practitioners and theoreticalists, remember that the important the brand for success product or service that will launched and already in the market. Various concepts about brands developed by experts / brand experts in western countries have not adequately described it explicitly for businesses in Indonesia, in other words their description is still general. Based on the research of experts on the important of brands for the success of a product and service, it becomes very important to elaborate the brand for business development in the country, especially for the business sector that is fundamental to economic development both macro and micro, including the banking sector. In the economic order, there is no denying the existence of the banking sector which has a very vital and strategic role. In this context the main role of the banking sector acts as a
financial intermediary, namely financial institutions whose main task is to raise funds from the oversupplied and channel them to those who are underfunded and need funds.\textsuperscript{1} It is thus clear that the banking sector plays a major role in spurring the wheels of economic activity both in relation to the services of trusts provided to various parties who need them.

Sharia finance in Indonesia has grown over a long time because the operation of the primary sharia bank in Indonesia. The development of Sharia finance led to loads of achievements, from the growing variety of merchandise and services, to the development of infrastructure that helps Sharia finance. Even within the international market, Indonesia is protected within the pinnacle ten nations which have the biggest Islamic economic index within the world.

The development of Sharia Bank in Indonesia has now become a benchmark for the success of sharia economic existence. The financial disaster that passed off in 1998 has sunk traditional banks and plenty of are in liquidation because of the failure of the hobby system, whilst banks that put into effect sharia structures can nevertheless exist and be capable of survive.

Not most effective that, within the midst of the worldwide economic disaster that hit the sector on the stop of 2008, Islamic economic establishments once more proved their resilience from the disaster. Sharia financial institutions remain stable and provide benefits, comfort and security for their shareholders, securities holders, borrowers and depositors in Sharia banks.

According to the Financial Services Authority (OJK), so far, sharia banking performance is still monitored positively. Sharia banking until March 2020 continues to show positive developments with assets, disbursed financing (PYD), and third party funds (DPK) that continue to grow, where asset growth of 12.04 percent, Disbursed Financing (PYD) at 14.15 percent, and Third Party Funds at 10.28 percent. Based on the data shows that Sharia banking is really resistant and immune to crisis and able to grow significantly. With the growth of assets, financing and third-party funds from sharia banking, this leads to good acceptance from the people of Indonesia which is The usa with the biggest Muslim populace within the world. This phenomenon of course will spur all Sharia Banks in Indonesia to compete for such a large market share. Based on
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information from the monetary Services Authority there are 14 Sharia Commercial Banks in Indonesia to date, from the number of such tests when viewed from the Top Brand Index (TBI) data, there are several sharia commercial banks competing in the top five based on the Top Brand Award of the last 5 years, namely the period of 2016 as well as 2020, which is detailed in the following table:

| Tabel 1 Top Brand Index Award 2015-2019 |
|----------------------------------------|
| Bank                         | 2016  | 2017  | 2018  | 2019  | 2020  |
| Bank Sharia Mandiri         | 32,90%| 27,40%| 31,50%| 26,10%| 27,50%|
| BRI Sharia                  | 21,60%| 28,50%| 26,40%| 22,90%| 27,50%|
| BNI Sharia                  | 13,90%| 13,50%| 15,90%| 15,20%| 27,00%|
| BCA Sharia                  | 3,20% | 9,50% | 3,60% | 3,80% | 6,50% |
| Bank Muamalat               | 15,80%| 10,80%| 10,10%| 13,30%| 4,20% |

*Sumber: Tob Brand Index Award (Access In Desember 2020)*

Based on the data table 1, average in the last five years Bank Mandiri Syariah occupies the first position in the TBI, this illustrates that Bank Sharia Mandiri has the largest market share in the Islamic banking industry. Then followed by BRI Sharia, BNI Sharia and BCA Sharia. Furthermore, the data in table 1 indicates that by presentation the banks are competing quite tightly to be the first in the TBI and with index values that generally increase. This condition is inversely proportional to the index value of Bank Muamalat, where in the data it is seen that the TBI value index of Bank Muamalat from 2015 to 2020 continues to decline, whereas the overall value of TBI that can describe the position of market share of Bank Muamalat decreased from 15.8% in 2014 to 4.2% in 2018. This caused Bank Muamalat's position to drop from third position in 2104 to the bottom of the top five sharia banks that were included in the Top Brang Index Award in 2108. This condition should certainly be a concern for Bank Muamalat to be able to implement a way of or strategy in order to increase the TBI position or its market share position. This should be not impossible, because actually when viewed from the history of its establishment, Bank Muamalat itself is the first commercial bank in Indonesia to apply islamic sharia principles in carrying out its official operations in 1992 and making the mascot of sharia banks in Indonesia. With popularity because the first Sharia Commercial Bank in Indonesia, Bank Muamalat have become a pilot venture and trademark of the revival and large implementation of Islamic monetary regulation in...
Indonesia at that time and the moment of its establishment was eagerly awaited by the people of Indonesia.

One of the strategies that can be done by Sharia banking, especially Bank Muamalat which is the object in this study, so one can grow marketplace percentage within the Islamic banking industry, is to create Brand Equity to each customer.

BRAND EQUITY

Brand Equity is an intangible asset owned by a company because of the value it provides to both producers and customers. Brand is a value indicator where value is defined as total benefit (functional and emotional) divided by total cost (price and other expenses), then if the company has or can provide a total benefit higher than the total cost, then the brand has strong equity value. Brand Equity is a chain of logo belongings and liabilities related to a logo, its call and image that boost or lower the cost that a product/carrier offers to the enterprise or clients of that company. (David A. Aaker, 1999), Aaker's definition implies that Brand Equity can be valuable for companies (Company-Based Brand Equity) and for consumers (Customer-Based Brand Equity). With a different language Agus W. Soehadi (2005) mentioned that the brand will be valuable if consumers have a positive experience with it, the accumulation of positive experiences will make the bond or loyalty between consumers and brands stronger. The strength of a brand according to him depends on how far the brand can guarantee future cash flow earning, in other words a strong brand must also be financially healthy. Based on research conducted Altaf et al (2017) revealed that Brand Value, Brand Awareness, and Brand Loyalty have an affect and can create Brand Equity.

BRAND VALUE

Brand value is related to how far consumers understand and have a positive association with the brand. Brand value is formed through product/service performance approach (Brand Performance) and emotional or personification approach (Brand Imagery). Brand Performance itself is related to intrinsic attributes (attributes attached to products or services), while brand imagery is related to extrinsic attributes (attributes that are not directly related to the product/service).

H1: There is an affect of Brand Value on Brand Equity
BRAND AWARENESS

Brand Awareness leads to the ability of consumers to remember, recognize brands, and be able to connect them to logos, brand names, and other things about the brand (Altaf et al., 2017). It is important for companies to recognize and remember the company's brand easily. The Company must be able to communicate the company's product or brand well to the target market that best suits the product or brand to be sold.

The better consumers know or are aware of a product or brand either by logo, name or other things, of course consumers will be much more likely to choose or buy back the product than the product or brand that has not been or is not known konsumen. This is sangat understood because when the consignment will furniture back a product is very directly related to the information that is in the consumer. The more consumers recognize (aware) then the product or brand has a high chance to be repurchased by consumers or loyal (Moisescu, 2009). Based on the description and theory above, it can be compiled hipotesisi second as follows:

H2 There is an affect of Brand Awareness on Brand Equity

BRAND LOYALITY

Measure of how often consumers repeatedly buy products from a brand in the future (Schiffman and Wisenblit; 2015:168). Loyal consumers will be marked by making repeated purchases in the future and do not hesitate to share positive information about the brand with others and invite others to use or consume the brand used or consumed. With the condition of consumers who make buybacks, spread positive information (positive word of mouth /WOM) and invite others to consume the brand they use, it will certainly have an impact on increasing sales of the brand, and in the long run will have an effect on increasing the market share of the brand in question. This is in accordance with research conducted by (Gounaris & Stathakopoulos, 2004) which states that brand loyalty can increase the market share of the brand in question. Similarly, research conducted by (Jansen & Hansen, 2016) stated that brand loyalty increases market share exponentially.

The stronger the brand image in the mind or mind of the consumer, it will form and improve the Brand Loyalty of consumers or customers of the product or brand in question. This is similar to research conducted by (Altaf et. al, 2017)
H3: There is an effect of Brand Loyalty on Brand Equity.

Based on the theoretical framework description and hypothesis development above, it can be described the form of affect of Brand Value, Brand Awareness, Brand Ambassador to Brand Equity in a theoretical thought framework as follows:

**THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

![Diagram showing the relationships between Brand Value, Brand Awareness, Brand Loyalty, and Brand Equity]

**RESEARCH METHODS**

The research method used in this study is through a survey. Data analysis is conducted quantitatively and using research design is causality. This aims to determine the affect between Brand Value, Brand Awareness, Brand Loyalty on Brand Equity on Bank Muamalat customers in Semarang. The facts evaluation approach used on this look at is more than one linear regression. The sampling approach used on this look at is to apply Non-Probability Sampling with Purposive Sampling approach. Primary data retrieval is done by sharing questioners to Bank Muamalat customers in Semarang area. All sample data obtained through the spread of questioners amounted to 100 respondents and will be processed using SPSS 23 (Statistical Package for Social Science) software.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Validity check is employed to live the validity or validity of a form (Ghozali, 2018:51). The question item in the questionnaire is declared valid if the table r value of the entire question is greater when compared to the calculated r value. While reliability testing is used to determine whether the questions on the questionnaire can produce consistent or stable answers over time (Sekaran and Bougie, 2016:137). The question item in the questionnaire is declared reliable if the entire question item has a value of cronbach's...
alpha > 0.60 (Now and Bougie, 2016:290). Based on the table above, it can be seen that the entire question item in the questionnaire has a calculated r value > r table, so it can be concluded that all question items created by the questionnaire nature are declared valid. Similarly, for reliability testing, the table above indicates that the entire question item has a value of cronbach’s alpha > 0.60, so it can be concluded that all question items created by nature of the questionnaire are declared reliable.

| Variabel          | Item pertanyaan | r Count | r tabel (df= 111) | Information | Cronbach alpha | Information |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Brand Value (X1)  | PL 1            | 0,675   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | PL 2            | 0,768   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | PL 3            | 0,886   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | PL 4            | 0,786   | 0,1789            | Valid       | 0,876          | Reliable    |
| Brand Awareness (X2) | QA 1          | 0,865   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | QA 2            | 0,778   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | QA 3            | 0,854   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | QA 4            | 0,753   | 0,1789            | Valid       | 0,987          | Reliable    |
| Brand Loyalty (X3) | SI 1            | 0,796   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | SI 2            | 0,754   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | SI 3            | 0,732   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | SI 4            | 0,765   | 0,1789            | Valid       | 0,976          | Reliable    |
| Brand Equity (X4)  | KL 1            | 0,887   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | KL 2            | 0,893   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | KL 3            | 0,806   | 0,1789            | Valid       |                |             |
|                   | KL 4            | 0,841   | 0,1789            | Valid       | 0,876          | Reliable    |

*Source: The results of data processing using SPSS 24*

The normality test aims to check whether or not within the regression model, the ensuing error incorporates a distribution or not (Santoso; 2012:230). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test regression model is full of normality assumptions if the value of asymp.sig > Alpha (0.05) (Ghozali, 2018:161).

| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | Alpha | Result                             |
|------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.235                  | 0.04  | Residual Data Normally Distributed|

*Source: The results of data processing using SPSS 24*
Based on table 3 above, kolmogorov-smirnov test results, it appears that the value of Asymp.Sig (2-tailed) > α (0.235 > 0.04), which means that this result reinforces the general result that the regression model fulfills the assumption of normality. The Multicolearity Test targets to check whether or not a regression version is discovered to have a correlation or relationship between free variables (Anderson et al; 2014, 703).

A good regression model is that if there is no correlation between free variables, so the free variables are not Orthogonal. (An Orthogonal variable is an independent variable that has a correlation value between fellow independent variables equal to zero). The regression model is said not to occur multicolonrity is if the Tolerance value > 0.10 or when the VIF value < 10 (Now and Bougie; 2016, 316).

| Variabel            | Tolerance | VIF     | Result                     |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|
| Brand Value         | 0.883     | 2,215   | Multicolinearity Does Not Accour |
| Brand Awareness     | 0.456     | 1,180   | Multicolinearity Does Not Accour |
| Brand Loyality      | 0.374     | 2,190   | Multicolinearity Does Not Accour |

*Source: The results of data processing using SPSS 24*

Based on table 3 above the overall tolerance value > 0.10 and VIF value < 10, so it can be concluded that there is no multicolinearity in the regression model. Heteroskedastisity test aims to check whether or not within the regression model there's a variance difference from residual to different observations. then it is called Homodecastisity and if different is called Heteroskedastisitas (Ghozali 2018, 137). A good regression model is if not heteroskedastisity occurs, in other words a good regression model is one that has a fixed residual variance of one kepeng observation Another or homoskedastisity (Ghozali 2018, 137). To test heteroskedastisity using a non-graphical method (Glejser Test) a regression model is not occurring Heteroskedastisitas is when the sig value > α (0.05) (Ghozali2018,142).
Based on table 5 above, using a non-chart method (Glejser Test), it appears that the overall value of Sig > α (0.05), which means that this result amplifies the overall result that there is no heteroskedastisitas regression model.

The autocorrelation test aims to find out if there is a correlation between errors in the t period and disruptive errors in the previous period (t-1) in a regression model (Anderson et al; 2014, 788). A good regression model is a regression model without autocorrelation (Ghozali 2018, 110). In this study, to detect the presence or absence of autocorrelation is to use the Durbin–Watson Test (DW test). In the Durbin Watson test a regression model is said to have no autocorrelation when the Durbin Watson (DW) value is located between the du (upper bound) and 4-du values.

Based on durbin watson test results in table 5 above, it appears that durbin watson (DW) value is 2.187. This DW value will be compared to the du (upper bound) and 4-du values obtained from the durbin watson table. Based on the durbin watson table with a sample count of 113 and the number of independent variables as much as 3 and a signification value of 0.05, a du value of 1.736, resulting in a 4-du value of 2.264. From this result, it is seen that dw value is located between du and 4-du values (1.736 < 2.187 <2.264), so it can be concluded that there is no autocorrelation in the regression model.

Correlation coefficient tests were conducted to measure how strong the relationship between independent variables (X) and dependent variables (Y) (Hair et al; 2014:152). The result...
of the correlation coefficient lies between the correlation value 0 which indicates the absence of a relationship between variables up to 1 indicating the existence of a perfect relationship (Neolac; 2016,129). While the coefficient of determination test aims to find out the average magnitude of variation of dependent variables that can be explained by independent variables (Hair et al; 2014,152).

The following are the results of the correlation coefficient and co-determination coefficient test:

| Model | R     | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of Estimate |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | .827a | .684     | .675              | 1.286                  |

Source: The results of data processing using SPSS 24

The strong or weak correlation between independent variables and dependent variables is attented by looking at the R value. In table 7 above, it is seen that the magnitude of nilai R is 0.827 which means independent variable namely Brand Value (X1), Brand Awareness (X2), and Brand Loyalty (X3), has a very strong relationship with dependent variable namely Brand Value (Y) because it is located between 0.80-0.999 (Neolak; 2016.129). As for knowing the average magnitude of variations of dependent variables that can be described by independent variables can be seen by using the value Adjusted R Square. In table 7 above, adjusted R Square value of 0.675 which means 67.5% variation of Brand Equity (Y) variable can be explained by Variations of Brand Value (X1), Brand Awareness (X2), and Brand Loyalty (X3) while the remaining 33.0% is explained by other variable variations not found in this study.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Brand Value has a positive and significant effect on Brand Equity at Bank Muamalat in Semarang. So it becomes important for Sharia banking, especially Bank Muamalat to continue to be able to increase the Brand Value of its customers, because the better the Brand Value of the customers to the company or brand, it will create and improve the Brand Equity of the customers to the company or brand.

Brand Awareness has a positive and significant effect on Brand Equity at Bank Muamalat in Semarang, so that the need for Sharia banking, especially Bank Muamalat, to continue to be able to increase customer Brand Awareness of the company's products or brands, because the better consumers know or are aware of the company's products or brands, will further remind Brand Equity of its customers.
Brand Loyalty has a positive and significant effect on Brand Equity at Bank Muamalat in Semarang, meaning brand equity is an important thing that must be sharia banking, especially Bank Muamalat instilled in the mind or mind of its customers, because the stronger the brand image in the mind or mind of consumers will form and improve the Brand Equity of consumers or customers of the product or brand concerned.

1Email: 1. kamalinadj@gmail.com, 2. Diantriyanianata@gmail.com, 3. lusprapti@gmail.com
2*Corresponden Author, Email: kamalinadj@gmail.com
P-ISSN: 2580-6084, E-ISSN: 2580-8079
REFERENCES

Altaf et al. 2017. “Managing the consumer-based brand equity through brand experience in Islamic Banking”, Journal of Islamic Marketing, vol. 8 Issue: 2, pp.218-242

Anderson, David R., Dennis J. Sweeney., & Thomas A. Williams. 2014. Statistics for Business and Economics. 12e. USA: Cengage learning.

Ghozali, Imam. 2018. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 25 Edisi 9. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponogoro.

Gounaris, S. dan Stathakopoulos, V. 2004. Antecedents and Consequences of Brand Loyalty: An Empirical Study. Journal of Brand Management, 11 (April), 283-306

Hair, Joseph F., et al. 2014. Multivariate Data Analysis. England: Pearson Education Limited.

Keegan, Warren J. and Mark c. Green. 2015. Global Marketing. Global Education Limited.

Keller, K.L. (1993), “Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity”, The Journal of Marketing, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 1-22.

Keller, K.L. and Lehmann, D.R. (2006), “Brands and branding: research findings and future priorities”, Marketing Science, Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 740-759.

Moisescu, O.I. (2009), “The importance of brand awareness in consumers’buying decision and perceived risk assessment”, Management & Marketing-Craiova, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 103-110.

Neolaka, Amos. 2016. Metode Penelitian dan Statistik. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya. Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, “Perbankan Syariah and Kelembagaannya”. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/syariah/tentang-syariah/Pages/Perbankan-Syariah.aspx (Diakses September 2018)

Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. “Statistik Perbankan Syariah”. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/syariah/data-danstatistik/statistik-perbankan-syariah/Default.aspx. (Diakses pada September 2018).

Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. “Roadmap Perbankan Syariah”. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/kanal/syariah/berita-dankegiatan/publikasi/Pages/Roadmap-Perbankan-Syariah-Indonesia-2015-2019.aspx. (Diakses pada September 2018)

Santoso, S. 2012. Aplikasi SPSS pada Statistik Parametrik. PT Elex Media Komputindo, Jakarta.

1Email: 1 kamalinadj@gmail.com, 2 Diantriyanianata@gmail.com, 3 lusprapti@gmail.com
2*Corresponden Author, Email: kamalinadj@gmail.com
P-ISSN: 2580-6084, E-ISSN: 2580-8079
Schiffman, Leon G dan Joseph L. Wisenblit. 2015. Consumer Behavior 11th. America States: Pearson education.

Sekaran, Uma dan Roger Bougie. 2016. Research Methods for Business. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Soehadi, Agus, W. Ph. D, Prof., (2005). Effective Branding. Penerbit Quantum Bisnis & Manajemen.

Top Brand Award, “Top Brand Index 2018 Fase 1 Kategori: Banking and Finance”. https://www.topbrandaward.com/en/top-brand-index-int/ (Diakses September 2018)

Zeithaml, V.A. (1988), “Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: a means-end model and synthesis of evidence”, The Journal of Marketing, Vol. 52, pp. 2-22.

---

1Email: 1 kamalinadj@gmail.com, 2 Diantriyananata@gmail.com, 3 lusprapti@gmail.com
2*Corresponden Author, Email: kamalinadj@gmail.com
P-ISSN: 2580-6084, E-ISSN: 2580-8079