Carbon nanotube-wrapped Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} anode with improved performance for lithium-ion batteries
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Abstract
Metall oxides have been proven to be potential candidates for the anode material of lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) because they offer high theoretical capacities, and are environmentally friendly and widely available. However, the low electronic conductivity and severe irreversible lithium storage have hindered a practical application. Herein, we employed ethanolamine as precursor to prepare Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/COOH-MWCNT composites through a simple hydrothermal synthesis. When these composites were used as electrode material in lithium-ion batteries, a reversible capacity of 711.2 mAh\cdot g\textsuperscript{−1} after 400 cycles was obtained. The result indicated that Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/COOH-MWCNT composite is a potential anode material for lithium-ion batteries.

Introduction
The depletion of non-renewable energy resources such as coal, petrol and natural gas has led to the urgent need to use sustainable and renewable energies. In comparison to dry cells, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have the unique advantage of low working voltage, long cycle life and high energy density. LIBs have found wide application as power storage solution for portable electronic devices, hybrid electric vehicles and battery electric vehicles [1-8]. Graphite, is the most commonly used anode material for LIBs, has a theoretical specific capacity of 372 mAh\cdot g\textsuperscript{−1} [9], which does not meet the requirements of hybrid electric vehicles. Thus, the development of next-generation batteries with low production cost, high energy density, high safety standards and good performance is of great interest. Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is one of the most promising materials for the use as anode materials in LIBs, because it offers a high theoretical
capacity (1005 mAh·g\(^{-1}\)) [10], is widely available inexpensive and environmentally friendly, and exhibits an excellent redox activity [11-18]. The redox reaction of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) with lithium is as follows:

\[
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{Li}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 3\text{Li}_2\text{O}.
\]

Various methods have been reported for the synthesis of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). Cho et al. [19] reported the synthesis of α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) materials by a simple high-temperature process, of composite materials. In this method, an Fe-based metal organic framework [MIL-88B (Fe)] was used as a precursor for the synthesis of spindle-like α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles with a mesoporous structure of less than 20 nm. When used as anode material for LIBs, these nanoparticles demonstrated a capacity of 911 mAh·g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 200 mA·g\(^{-1}\) even after 50 cycles. As the current density was increased to 10 A·g\(^{-1}\), a discharge capacity of 424 mAh·g\(^{-1}\) was obtained. However, the capacity of this material declined gradually with increased number of cycles due to the low conductivity of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). Liang et al. [20] employed a simple and easy hydrothermal method for the synthesis of α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) microspheres by using sodium citrate as surfactant. A reversible discharge capacity of 489.5 mAh·g\(^{-1}\) was obtained at a current density of 100 mA·g\(^{-1}\) of up to 50 cycles. The specific capacity of the synthesized α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) microspheres was much better than that of commercial graphite (372 mAh·g\(^{-1}\)) although further improvement in cyclic stability was needed [20].

In this paper, we have used ethanolamine as precursor to prepare Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/COOH-MWCNT composites through a simple hydrothermal synthesis. Hydrothermal syntheses are frequently used to obtain composite oxides with uniform particle size distribution. The synthesized material can effectively buffer volume change caused by charge and discharge; and improve the electrical conductivity of the electrode [26-29,34].

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of metal hydroxide composite**

The composite was synthesized via a simple hydrothermal method. Firstly, iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O, 2.1624 g, ≥99.0%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) and ethanolamine were each dissolved separately 100 mL distilled water and stirred for 30 min to obtain uniform solutions. Following that, the iron(III) chloride solution was added dropwise into the ethanolamine solution. The solution was then kept at room temperature for three days to obtain orange metal hydroxide solution.

**Synthesis of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)-CMWCNT composites**

Approximately 50 mL of the aforementioned solution was added to 16.67 mL aqueous solution of 2 mg/mL short carboxyl of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (COOH-MWCNT Aladdin Corp). The mixture was magnetically stirred for 12 h. Finally, the mixture was transferred into teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, and kept at 150 °C for 24 h. The autoclave was then cooled to room temperature. The obtained precipitates were filtered, washed with distilled water for several times and kept in 30 mL of DMF solution to remove ethanolamine. Finally, the obtained black solid powder was washed with ethanol solution for several times and fully dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 10 h. Details of the synthesis process of the Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)-CMWCNT are presented in Scheme 1.

**Morphological and structural characterization**

The as-prepared Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/COOH-MWCNT were characterized using X-ray diffractionometry (XRD, D8 Discover, Broker AXS, Cu radiation, λ = 1.54056 Å), scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S-4800, Hitachi), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai F20) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Perkin Elmer TGA 7).

**Electrochemical measurements**

Working electrodes were fabricated by a standard slurry casting procedure. The as-prepared anode material (70 wt %), conductive carbon black (Super P, 20 wt %) and polyvinylidene fluo-
ride (PVDF, 10 wt %) were mixed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone to form a uniform slurry that was and stirred for 6 h. The slurry was spread on the surface of a copper foil using a medical blade. The casted film was heated at 110 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven to evaporate the residual solvent. After cooling down to room temperature, the casted film was cut into small round shape (average diameter of 14 mm) and used as anode. Metallic lithium was used as counter electrode as well as reference electrode. The cells separator was Celgard 2400 polypropylene film. Electrolyte was prepared by dissolving 1 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF6) in a mixed solution of fluoroethylene carbonate/ethyl methyl carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (FEC/EMC/DMC, 1:1:1 by volume). Coin cells (2032 type) were assembled inside an argon filled glove box with a moisture and oxygen levels of less than 0.1 ppm. The coin cells were kept at room temperature for 12 h before electrochemical performance test.

Electrochemical performance of the assembled cells were then tested by galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements, cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Charge/discharge tests of the assembled cells were carried out using a commercial battery test system (LAND model, CT2001A) at a constant current in the potential range of 0.01–3.00 V (vs Li/Li+). The cyclic voltammetry measurements were conducted in the same potential window at a scanning rate of 0.05 mV·s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was carried out at a frequency range 10 mHz to 1 MHz with an AC amplitude of 10 mV. Both CV and EIS measurements were carried out on an electrochemical workstation (Ametek 1470E).

**Results and Discussion**

Figure 1a shows XRD spectra of Fe3O4/COOH-MWCNT composites and COOH-MWCNT. All peaks of Fe3O4 can be assigned to rhombohedral α-Fe2O3 (JCPDS No. 33-0664), indicating the well-crystalline structure of the as-prepared Fe2O3 nanoparticles. The black spectrum refers to carbon nanotubes, and the peak at 26° is the characteristic peak of carbon nanotubes. No obvious impurity peak was observed, indicating that high crystallinity and purity of the synthesized materials.

Figure 1b shows SEM images of the as-prepared Fe3O4 composites, which consist of Fe2O3 nanoparticles (ca. 100–200 nm) evenly distributed within the MWCNT networks. Such networks are advantageous for ionic migration. Figure 1c,1d shows the energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) of the Fe2O3/MWCNT composite. Three distinct elements (C, O and Fe) can be observed. All the elements were uniformly distributed. The aforementioned results demonstrated that Fe2O3 nanoparticles were evenly distributed over the COOH-MWCNT frameworks.

The thermal stability of the as-prepared Fe2O3/COOH-MWCNT composite material was further examined by TEM and HRTEM. The results are presented in Figure 2. It can be seen in Figure 2a that Fe2O3 particles with a size of around 200 nm are evenly distributed between COOH-MWCNTs, which is in good agreement with the SEM result. Figure 2b shows a HRTEM image of the composites. There is an obvious secondary structure in which smaller Fe2O3 nanoparticles are formed on larger Fe2O3 nanoparticles. Figure 2c shows a HRTEM image and a selected area electron diffraction pattern of the Fe2O3 nanoparticles. Smaller secondary particles are clearly observed. The measured (110) lattice spacing is 0.25 nm, which is in agreement with [35].
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COOH-MWCNT at 500 mA·g⁻¹. In the first discharge curve from open-circuit voltage to 0.01 V, Li⁺ ions were inserted into the electrode material. As the reaction proceeded, two weak discharge plateaus were observed at about 1.2 V and 1.6 V, which were attributed to the reactions between functional groups of carbon nanotubes and lithium metal. The initial discharge and charge capacities of COOH-MWCNT were 710 and 300 mAh·g⁻¹ with a coulombic efficiency of 42%. The large capacity fading and low coulombic efficiency observed for the electrode in the first cycle can be ascribed to irreversible processes such as formation of a solid–electrolyte interface (SEI) film and the decomposition of electrolyte [9,10]. The 10th and 50th discharge curves almost coincide with the 2nd discharge curve, which can be attributed to the high conductivity of carbon nanotubes.

Figure 4b shows charge and discharge profiles of the Fe₂O₃/COOH-MWCNT composites. The first discharge curve of cells exhibited an apparent plateau at around 0.8 V. During recharging, there was a reversible oxidation from Fe⁰ to Fe³⁺ with a plateau at 1.5–1.9 V. Both plateaus are typical features for Fe₂O₃ materials (Fe₂O₃ + 6Li⁺ + 6e⁻ ➝ 2Fe + 3Li₂O).
Figure 3: TG curve of Fe₂O₃/COOH-MWCNT composites.

[26,29,36]. The first charge and discharge capacities of the composite material were 850 mAh·g⁻¹ and 1250 mAh·g⁻¹, respectively, with a coulombic efficiency of 68%. A large irreversible capacity loss of 400 mAh·g⁻¹ might be related to formation of SEI and other side reactions. In the second cycle, the potential plateaus were detected at 1.5–1.7 and 0.9–1.1 V, which are consistent with previously reported results [29]. The coulombic efficiency increased to 95% in the second cycle, which continued to increase steadily in subsequent cycling indicating a good stability of the composites.

In order to measure electrochemical activity and oxidation/reduction potential, cyclic voltammograms of Fe₂O₃/COOH-MWCNT composites was measured and the results were displayed in Figure 4c,d. Figure 4c shows the cyclic voltammogram of COOH-MWCNT at a scanning rate of 0.05 mV·s⁻¹. In the first charge and discharge process, two anodic peaks at about 1.7 V and 1.2 V were observed, which is consistent with the charge and discharge curves observed in Figure 4a. In the first cycle CV of Fe₂O₃/COOH-MWCNT composites (Figure 4d), there is a sharp peak at about 0.8 V, which can be ascribed to the formation of a SEI as well as reduction of Fe³⁺ into Fe⁰ [15,17,18,26,32,37]. The peaks at around 0.9 V are lithium storage peaks. The high intensity reductive peak at about 1.7 V during the first cycle became very weak during subsequent cycles indicating that an irreversible reaction only happened during the first cycle. This might be due to the reaction between functional groups of carbon nanotubes and lithium metal. Moreover, the composite electrode shows anodic peaks at about 1.5–1.9 V, which can be attributed to the oxidation of Fe (Fe⁰ to Fe³⁺). The peak at about 0.8 V also shifted to
a more positive potential of 0.86 V due to polarization [19,29]. After the first CV, all the subsequent CV curves coincide with the second curve indicating good electrochemical stability.

Figure 5a shows comparisons of the cycling performance among COOH-MWCNT, Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite and commercial Fe$_2$O$_3$ at a current density of 500 mA·g$^{-1}$. A rising trend after an initial capacity drop can be observed for the Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite. This is a common phenomenon in transition metal oxide anode materials. It can be ascribed to the penetration of the electrolyte and gradual exposure of the active sites [38-40]. The discharge capacity of the Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite stabilized at 711.2 mAh·g$^{-1}$ at a current of 500 mA·g$^{-1}$ following 400 cycles, which is superior to that of COOH-MWCNT and commercial Fe$_2$O$_3$. The Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite showed excellent cycle stability due to the high surface area and flexibility of carbon nanotubes, which is capable of reducing the volume change of metal oxide during charging and discharging [41]. In comparison to work done by Chen et al. [41], our synthesis method does not include sintering and is therefore less energy consuming. Liu et al. [24] and Zhou et al. [27] reported the synthesis of Fe$_2$O$_3$ followed by addition into GO suspension, which resulted in uneven dispersion of Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the GO suspension and reduced cycling performance. Different from the previous works by Liu et al. [24] and Zhou et al. [27], the metal hydroxides in the present work were synthesized in aqueous solution followed by mixing with carbon nanotubes, which ensured an even distribution of Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles onto the carbon nanotubes.

Figure 5b shows the rate performance test of the as-prepared Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite. A highly symmetric pattern was obtained (except for initial several cycles due to the complicated side reactions and irreversible reactions). As the current density increased to 1000 mA·g$^{-1}$, the discharge capacity can reach 650 mAh·g$^{-1}$. When the current density dropped to 100 mA·g$^{-1}$, the capacity returned to 920 mAh·g$^{-1}$, with a coulombic efficiency as high as 99%, showing a good high-rate discharge ability and cycle stability. It can be inferred that COOH-MWCNTs play an important role to maintain structural integrity and to improve the electrical conductivity of the hybrid structure. In comparison to Yu et al. [23] and Yu et al. [26], the hydrothermal method used in our work is easy-to-handle and can be easily scaled up. Secondly, our capacity was also higher than previously reported values. The discharge capacity was stabilized at 711.2 mAh·g$^{-1}$ at a current of 500 mA·g$^{-1}$ after 400 cycles. Meanwhile, Yu et al. [26] reported a discharge capacity of less than 600 mAh·g$^{-1}$ at a current of 500 mA·g$^{-1}$. The good electrochemical cycle performance is probably due to the high capacity of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and good conductivity of COOH-MWCNTs.

EIS experiments were carried out to estimate the enhanced Li$^+$ storage performance of the composites in the frequency region from 100 MHz to 0.01 Hz at room temperature. As shown in Figure 6, the Nyquist plots show a depressed semicircle at high frequency and a straight line at low frequency. The diameter of the depressed semicircle represents the resistance of the charge-transfer process, while the straight line can be assigned to the diffusion of lithium ion in the electrode [29]. It can be found that the resistance of the charge transfer process after the 200th cycle was much smaller than that after the 100th cycle for Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composites, indicating a good charge transfer in the electrode. The resistance after the 400th cycle demonstrated a slight increase, indicating that the composites can keep a high capacity and a good cycle stability.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have successfully fabricated an Fe$_2$O$_3$/COOH-MWCNT composite material using ethanalamine and iron chloride hexahydrate as precursors. Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with secondary structures were evenly distributed in the
COOH-MWCNT network. A reversible capacity of around 711.2 mAh g⁻¹ was maintained at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹ after 400 cycles, which is much higher than that of COOH-MWCNT composite and commercial Fe₂O₃. The result indicated that Fe₂O₃/COOH-MWCNT composite can be a potential anode material for lithium-ion batteries.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Ningbo Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2016A610275) and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association (CAS) for financial support.

References

1. Park, C.-M.; Kim, J.-H.; Kim, H.; Sohn, H.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3115. doi:10.1039/b918977f
2. Li, H.; Wang, Z.; Chen, L.; Huang, X. Adv. Mater. 2009, 21, 4593–4607. doi:10.1002/adma.200901710
3. Palacin, M. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2565. doi:10.1039/b820555h
4. Goriparti, S.; Miele, E.; De Angelis, F.; Di Fabrizio, E.; Proietti Zaccaria, R.; Capiglia, C. J. Power Sources 2014, 257, 421–443. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.11.103
5. Patil, A.; Patil, V.; Wook Shin, D.; Choi, J.-W.; Paik, D.-S.; Yoon, S.-J. Mater. Res. Bull. 2008, 43, 1913–1942. doi:10.1016/j.materresbull.2007.08.031
6. Bruce, P. G.; Freunberger, S. A.; Hardwick, L. J.; Tarascon, J.-M. Nat. Mater. 2011, 11, 19–29. doi:10.1038/nmat3191
7. Zhao, J.; Lu, Z.; Wang, H.; Liu, W.; Lee, H.-W.; Yan, K.; Zhuo, D.; Lin, D.; Liu, N.; Cui, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8372–8375. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b04526
8. Wang, H.; Feng, H.; Li, J. Small 2014, 10, 2165–2181. doi:10.1002/smll.201303711
9. Wang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Madhavi, S.; Wen Lou, X. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 2526–2531. doi:10.1039/c1jm14888e
10. Penki, T. R.; Shivakumara, S.; Minakshi, M.; Munichandraiah, N. Electrochim. Acta 2015, 167, 330–339. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.03.146
11. Chang, Y.; Li, J.; Wang, B.; Luo, H.; He, H.; Song, Q.; Zhi, L. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 14658. doi:10.1039/c3ta13370b
12. Cherian, C. T.; Sundaramurthy, J.; Kalaiavani, M.; Ragupathy, P.; Kumar, P. S.; Thavasi, V.; Reddy, M. V.; Sow, C. H.; Mhaisalkar, S. G.; Ramakrishna, S.; Chowdari, B. V. R. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 12198. doi:10.1039/c2jm31053h
13. Cao, K.; Jiao, L.; Xu, H.; Liu, H.; Kang, H.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, H. Adv. Sci. 2016, 3, 1500185. doi:10.1002/advs.201500185
14. Bak, B. M.; Kim, S.-K.; Park, H. S. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2014, 144, 396–401. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.01.008
15. Banerjee, A.; Aravindan, V.; Bhatnagar, S.; Mhamane, D.; Madhavi, S.; Ogale, S. Nano Energy 2013, 2, 890–896. doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2013.03.006
16. Fu, Y.; Wei, Q.; Lu, B.; Wang, X.; Sun, S. J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 684, 419–427. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.05.185
17. Guo, J.; Chen, L.; Wang, G.; Zhang, X.; Li, F. J. Power Sources 2014, 246, 862–867. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.08.052
18. Huang, B.; Tai, K.; Dillon, S. J. J. Power Sources 2014, 245, 308–314. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.06.110
19. Xu, X.; Cao, R.; Jeong, S.; Cho, J. Nano Lett. 2012, 12, 4988–4991. doi:10.1021/nl302618s
20. Liang, H.; Chen, W.; Yao, Y.; Wang, Z.; Yang, Y. Ceram. Int. 2014, 40, 10283–10290. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2014.02.120
21. Zhou, G.; Wang, D.-W.; Hou, P.-X.; Li, W.; Li, N.; Liu, C.; Li, F.; Cheng, H.-M. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 17942. doi:10.1039/c2jm28393c
22. Yan, N.; Zhou, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, F.; Zhong, H.; Wang, H.; Chen, Q. Sci. Rep. 2013, 3, 3392. doi:10.1038/srep03392
23. Yu, W.-J.; Hou, P.-X.; Li, F.; Liu, C. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 13756. doi:10.1039/c2jm31442h
24. Liu, J.; Jiang, J.; Qian, D.; Tan, G.; Peng, S.; Yuan, H.; Luo, D.; Wang, Q.; Liu, Y. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 15457. doi:10.1039/c3ra24780c
25. Wang, Z.; Luan, D.; Madhavi, S.; Hu, Y.; Lou, X. W. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 5252–5256. doi:10.1039/C2EE02831F
26. Yu, W.-J.; Zhang, L.; Hou, P.-X.; Li, F.; Liu, C.; Cheng, H.-M. Adv. Energy Mater. 2016, 6, 1501755. doi:10.1002/aenm.201501755
27. Zhou, G.-W.; Wang, J.; Gao, P.; Yang, X.; He, Y.-S.; Liao, X.-Z.; Yang, J.; Ma, Z.-F. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2013, 52, 1197–1204. doi:10.1021/ie302469b
28. Ye, J.; An, Y.; Montalvo, E.; Campbell, P. G.; Worsley, M. A.; Tran, I. C.; Liu, Y.; Wood, B. C.; Biener, J.; Jiang, H.; Tang, M.; Wang, Y. M. J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 4032–4043. doi:10.1039/C5TA01730J
29. Wang, J.; Wang, G.; Wang, H. Electrochim. Acta 2015, 182, 192–201. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.09.080
30. Juarez-Mosqueda, R.; Ghorbani-Asl, M.; Kuc, A.; Heine, T. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 13306–13344. doi:10.1021/jp502267d
31. Paiva, M. C.; Xu, W.; Fernanda Proenca, M.; Novaes, R. M.; Lægsgaard, E.; Besenbacher, F. Nano Lett. 2010, 10, 1764–1768. doi:10.1021/nn100240n
32. Li, M.; Wang, W.; Yang, M.; Lv, F.; Cao, L.; Tang, Y.; Sun, R.; Lu, Z. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 7356–7362. doi:10.1039/C5RA0499B
33. Zhou, G.; Wang, D.-W.; Li, F.; Zhang, L.; Li, N.; Wu, Z.-S.; Wen, L.; Lu, G. Q.; Cheng, H.-M. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 5306–5313. doi:10.1021/cm101532x
34. Cao, X.; Zheng, B.; Rui, X.; Shi, W.; Yan, Q.; Zhang, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1404–1409. doi:10.1002/anie.201308013
35. Chen, M.; Liu, J.; Chao, D.; Wang, J.; Yin, J.; Lin, J.; Jin Fan, H.; Xiang Shen, Z. *Nano Energy* 2014, 9, 364–372. doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2014.08.011
36. Balogun, M.-S.; Wu, Z.; Luo, Y.; Qiu, W.; Fan, X.; Long, B.; Huang, M.; Liu, P.; Tong, Y. *J. Power Sources* 2016, 306, 7–17. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.01.043
37. Sun, Z.; Xin, F.; Cao, C.; Zhao, C.; Shen, C.; Han, W.-Q. *Nanoscale* 2015, 7, 20426–20434. doi:10.1039/C5NR04416B
38. Lian, P.; Zhu, X.; Liang, S.; Li, Z.; Yang, W.; Wang, H. *Electrochim. Acta* 2010, 55, 3909–3914. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2010.02.025
39. Li, X.; Zheng, X.; Shao, J.; Gao, T.; Shi, Q.; Qu, Q. *Chem. – Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 376–381. doi:10.1002/chem.201504035
40. Wang, L.; Liang, J.; Zhu, Y.; Mei, T.; Zhang, X.; Yang, Q.; Qian, Y. *Nanoscale* 2013, 5, 3627. doi:10.1039/c3nr00353a
41. Chen, S.; Bao, P.; Wang, G. *Nano Energy* 2013, 2, 425–434. doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2012.11.012

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology* terms and conditions: (http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjnano)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at:

doi:10.3762/bjnano.8.69