Combining Sun-Photometer, PM Monitor and SMPS to Inverse the Missing Columnar AVSD and Analyze Its Characteristics in Central China
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Abstract: Columnar aerosol volume size distribution (AVSD) is an important atmospheric parameter that shows aerosol microphysical properties and can be used to analyze the impact of aerosols on the radiation budget balance, as well as regional climate effects. Usually, columnar AVSD can be obtained by using a sun photometer, but its observation conditions are relatively strict, and the columnar AVSD will be missing in cloudy or hazy weather due to cloud cover and other factors. This study introduces a novel algorithm for inversion of missing columnar AVSD under haze periods by using a machine learning approach and other ground-based observations. The principle is as follows. We are based on joint observational experiments. Since the scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and particulate matter (PM) monitor sample the surface data, they can be stitched together to obtain the surface AVSD according to their observation range. Additionally, the sun-photometer scans the whole sky, so it can obtain columnar AVSD and aerosol optical depth (AOD). Then we use the back propagation neural network (BPNN) model to establish the relationship between the surface AVSD and the columnar AVSD and add AOD as a constraint. Next, the model is trained with the observation data of the same period. After the model training is completed, the surface AVSD and AOD can be used to invert the missing columnar AVSD during the haze period. In experiments on the 2015 dataset, the results show that the correlation coefficient and root mean square error between our model inversion results and the original sun photometer observations were 0.967 and 0.008 in winter, 0.968 and 0.010 in spring, 0.969 and 0.013 in summer, 0.972 and 0.007 in autumn, respectively. It shows a generally good performance that can be applied to the four seasons. Furthermore, the method was applied to fill the missing columnar AVSD of Wuhan, a city in central China, under adverse weather conditions. The final results were shown to be consistent with the climatic characteristics of Wuhan. Therefore, it can indeed solve the problem that sun photometer observations are heavily dependent on weather conditions, contributing to a more comprehensive study of the effects of aerosols on climate and radiation balance.
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1. Introduction

Aerosol refers to a gaseous dispersion system consisting of solid or liquid particles suspended in a gaseous medium. Aerosol particles, ranging in diameter from 1 nm to 100 µm, can absorb and scatter solar radiation to affect regional and global climate [1,2]. In addition, they will make the atmosphere turbid, reduce visibility, be inhaled and deposited in the respiratory tract, alveoli, etc., to cause disease when the particle size is less than...
10 µm [3,4]. There are two ways in which atmospheric aerosols are produced, the first is natural phenomena, including sand and dust, ocean waves, and volcanic eruptions. For example, Tonga’s submarine volcano erupted on 15 January 2022. According to a report by the Fiji Environment Department on 17 January, satellite data showed that the sky of Tonga and surrounding countries was covered with a large amount of volcanic ash and gas, and the concentration of aerosols in the atmosphere increased dramatically [5]. The resulting aerosol particles, which are also ejected into the stratosphere and circle the Earth several times, have a long lifespan, and studies have shown that they have a surface cooling effect [6]. The second is anthropogenic activities, including combustion, industrial emissions, and gas-to-particle conversion [7,8]. The aerosol particles produced in this way have a great impact on human health and are also the main cause of air pollution [9]. Wuhan, a metropolis in central China, is facing many environmental pressures from population growth and industrial development, so man-made pollution raises the concentration of aerosols and contributes to the haze. Although the government has made great efforts to control smog, haze incidents have occurred from time to time. At the same time, apart from the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region, the Yangtze River Delta region, and the Pearl River Delta region, central China is also the main region for haze events [10–13]. When haze occurs, the concentration of fine aerosol particles in the atmosphere will increase, and these fine particles will affect the formation of clouds and thus affect precipitation, and indirectly affect the Earth’s radiation balance and regional climate [14]. During this period, we can understand the formation and diffusion mechanism of haze by studying multiple atmospheric parameters, which can provide an effective reference for haze management [15].

The columnar AVSD (aerosol volume size distribution) is one of the most important aerosol microphysical parameters. It is not only a key indicator of environmental quality but also of great significance for understanding the physical and chemical properties of aerosols [16,17]. Therefore, obtaining columnar AVSD during haze is very important for haze management. Typically, columnar AVSD can be obtained by retrieving data from remote sensors such as LiDAR, sun photometer, or sky radiometer. Nowadays, more and more universities and research institutes are using CIMEL sun photometers and joining AERONET (Aerosol Robotics Network) as the core detection network of radiometers and gaining wide impact. As a result, columnar AVSD inversions using sun photometers have been widely used and Dubovik’s method has become the standard algorithm [18]. However, inversion of the aerosol particle size spectrum distribution requires sky scattered light, so the observation conditions are highly influential, and it is difficult to perform an inversion on cloudy days when the sky scattered light is weak [19–21]. For these reasons, we cannot obtain columnar AVSD data from sun photometers during periods of high aerosol concentration such as haze. Although the country has paid attention to environmental management in recent years and achieved outstanding results, the aerosol concentration in China and Southeast Asia is still high [22,23], and we have to overcome this problem to obtain better results. In view of this, it is important to obtain the solution for inversion of columnar AVSD at high aerosol concentrations. What is more, many observation stations and observation networks have been built in China [24]. If the columnar AVSD of a single site is filled, it is believed that the practical application value of the stations and observation networks can be more effectively utilized.

In response to the above problems, this study proposes a novel algorithm for the inversion of columnar AVSD. First, based on the joint observation experiment, the columnar AVSD and AOD (aerosol optical depth) were obtained through the sun-photometer, and the surface AVSD was obtained from the joint data of SMPS (scanning mobility particle sizer) and PM (particulate matter) monitor. The second step was to obtain the surface and columnar AVSD distribution parameters through the least squares fitting. Then, the parameters are brought into the BPNN (Back Propagation Neural Network) model for training to obtain the best model. Finally, when we cannot obtain the columnar AVSD from the sun photometer in bad weather conditions, the AOD and surface AVSD can be used to inverse the missing columnar AVSD, which will fill in the gap. In this way, a more complete
set of atmospheric parameters can be obtained, which plays a very important role in the study of not only haze control but also climate effects in central China.

2. Observational Instruments and Methodology

The three instruments used in this study, including a sun-photometer from CIMEL (France), a PM monitor from GRIMM (Germany), and a SMPS from TSI (USA), are located at the State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing (LIESMARS; 30°32' N, 114°21' E) in Wuhan (Figure 1). Wuhan is the capital city of Hubei province and is located in central China at the confluence of the Yangtze and Han rivers [25]. We also use atmospheric monitoring data from the Wuhan Environmental Monitoring Center, through which we obtain reliable PM$_{2.5}$ (particles less than 2.5 µm in diameter) observation records. In this study, we propose a novel algorithm to invert the sky columnar AVSD during the haze period by combining three instruments for simultaneous observations.

![Figure 1. Location of instruments: (a) location of Hubei Province in China; (b) location of Wuhan in Hubei Province; and the three instruments used in this study, including CE-318 sun photometer, GRIMM 180 PM (particulate matter) monitor, and TSI SMPS (scanning mobility particle sizer).](image-url)

2.1. Observational Instruments

The CE-318 sun photometer is an automatic tracking and scanning solar radiometer. The instrument has nine spectral channels in the visible near-infrared band with central wavelengths of 340 nm, 380 nm, 440 nm, 500 nm, 670 nm, 870 nm, 936 nm, 1020 nm, and 1640 nm, respectively. It measures direct solar radiation by automatically tracking the sun's position and can characterize aerosol properties from the solar almucantar. Solar radiation data measured by the CE-318 sun photometer can also derive atmospheric transmittance, extinction optical thickness, AOD, precipitation column amounts, and ozone concentration [26,27]. The instrument is calibrated following the AERONET calibration protocol method once a year to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the observed data. A detailed description of the instrument calibration is presented by Che et al. [28–30].

The GRIMM 180 PM monitor was used to conduct online measurements of aerosol concentrations, which can collect real-time measurements of both aerosol concentrations
for 31 particle-size segments and mass concentrations of PM$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$. The requirements of the instrument for the sampling gas are: temperature between $-20$–$60$ °C, relative humidity below 95%, and no dew. After obtaining the sampling gas the particle size distribution of the particulate material is measured continuously by light scattering. Assuming that the particulate matter is spherical, it then calculates the mass from the volume distribution so obtained [31,32].

The TSI SMPS is often used to measure size distribution of nanoparticles in the airborne particles, and it requires an operating environment of 10–40 °C and 10–90% humidity. The main component of the SMPS is an electrostatic classifier (ESC) which includes a differential mobility analyzer (DMA), and a condensation particle counter (CPC). In the ESC polydisperse particles are separated according to their electrical mobility. At each specific voltage, only particles with a specific electrical mobility are capable of passing through the DMA into a butanol-based CPC where the particles are counted. An exponential sweep of the voltage applied to the inner cylinder of the DMA was then performed to obtain the size distribution of the aerosol [33].

In summary, we can obtain columnar AVSD and AOD by using a sun photometer. The TSI SMPS measures fine aerosol particles, its measurement range is 0.01–0.66 µm, while the GRIMM 180 PM monitor can measure aerosol coarse particles, its measurement range is 0.25–32 µm, so the two instruments can be combined to obtain a wider range surface AVSD. The units for the surface AVSD and columnar AVSD are µm$^3$/µm$^3$ and µm$^3$/µm$^2$, respectively.

2.2. Retrieval Principle

We usually use the conventional AVSD inversion method proposed by King et al. in 1978 to obtain the aerosol size distribution. The AOD of multiple wavelengths is determined by light extinction of direct sunlight measured by the sun photometer [34], assuming that the aerosol particles are homogeneously distributed spherical particles, so the AOD can therefore be expressed using Mie theory as

$$\tau(\lambda) = \frac{3}{4} \int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} \pi \cdot r^2 \cdot Q_e(r, \lambda, m) \cdot N(r) \cdot dr \quad (1)$$

where $r$ is the radius of the aerosol particles; $r_{\text{max}}$ and $r_{\text{min}}$ denote the maximum radius and the minimum radius of the radius range, respectively; $Q_e(r, \lambda, m)$ is the extinction efficiency factor in accordance with Mie theory; $\lambda$ corresponds to the wavelength of the incident illumination; $m$ represents the complex refractive index, and $N(r)$ is the unknown columnar aerosol number size distribution (ANSD).

$N(r)$ is related to $dV(r)/d\ln(r)$ in the following way:

$$N(r) \frac{4}{3} \cdot \pi \cdot r^3 = \frac{dV(r)}{d\ln(r)} \quad (2)$$

where $V(r)$ is the columnar AVSD density in the radius range $r$ to $r + dr$ with $V(r) = (4\pi/3) r^3 N(r)$; $dV(r)/d\ln(r)$ is the aerosol volume size distribution. Thus, Function (1) can be also written as

$$\tau(\lambda) = \frac{3}{4} \int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} \frac{Q_e(r, \lambda, m)}{r^2} \cdot \frac{dV(r)}{d\ln(r)} \cdot dr \quad (3)$$

According to Function (3), the AVSD can be inverted when the AOD is known, but the traditional inversion method of King et al. (1978) makes a lot of assumptions and performs a lot of manual intervention, which makes the results highly uncertain.

3. Novel Algorithm Combined with Machine Learning

In order to fill in the observation data of monitoring instruments during the haze period in Wuhan and provide an effective reference for the management of haze, this
section introduces a novel algorithm to invert the columnar AVSD of the sun-photometer missing during haze.

The particle size distribution refers to the number of particles contained within different particle radii. Many studies have shown that the aerosol distribution can usually be better represented by a bimodal normal distribution function [18,19,35], the bimodal lognormal normal distribution function can be described by Function (4):

\[
\frac{dV(r)}{d\ln(r)} = \frac{C_f}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_f} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln r - \ln R_f)^2}{2\sigma_f^2}\right) + \frac{C_c}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_c} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln r - \ln R_c)^2}{2\sigma_c^2}\right)
\]

In Function (4) \(dV(r)/d\ln(r)\) indicates the volume concentration of particles in a certain particle size range, \(C\) is the particle volume concentration, \(R\) is the median radius, \(\sigma\) is the variance, and the subscripts \(f\) and \(c\) represent the fine and coarse particle, respectively. In other words, we just need to know \(C\), \(R\), and \(\sigma\), and we can obtain the model constants of columnar AVSD.

The AOD \(\tau(\lambda)\) simulated according to the Mie theory can be calculated by the above-mentioned Function (3). At this point, \(Q\) is the extinction efficiency of dust particles calculated by the Mie program, and \(m\) is the complex refractive index of aerosol particles, which usually ranges from 1.33 to 1.6.

For a single band, we can calculate the simulated AOD based on Function (3) as a constraint to obtain \(C\), \(R\), and \(\sigma\) in Function (4). When there are multiple bands, each band can obtain a simulated AOD, we can calculate the sum of squared errors (SSE) between the true AOD and modeled AOD, and continuously adjust \(C\), \(R\), and \(\sigma\) in Function (4) to minimize the SSE. The calculation equation is as follows:

\[
Q_{\text{SSE}} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\tau_{\text{modi}} - \tau_{\text{obsi}})^2
\]

when the SSE reaches the minimum value, the corresponding \(C_f, C_c, R_f, R_c, \sigma_f\), and \(\sigma_c\) is the optimal solution, i.e., the most reasonable columnar AVSD.

In order to find the six distribution parameters with the smallest SSE in the above method and obtain the optimal columnar AVSD, we propose a novel algorithm that combines least squares fitting with BPNN prediction, the process of which is shown in Figure 2.

At first, we selected some AVSD training samples from both columnar and surface AVSD, which should basically satisfy the bimodal lognormal normal distribution. The six distribution parameters \(C_f, C_c, R_f, R_c, \sigma_f, \sigma_c\) in AVSD are then obtained by fitting with the least squares method in machine learning. The least squares method is the most classical curve fitting technique in machine learning, which finds the curve that best fits the data by minimizing the square of the error and is based on the following principle.

Suppose there is a series of data values, \(D = (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots, x_n, y_n)\), it is necessary to find a function \(f(x) = ax + b\), so that the output of \(f(x)\) is as close as possible to \(y\). Then, the key to least squares is to obtain this function based on the principle of minimum variance between the predicted value and the true value. The variance is calculated by the following formula:

\[
Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (ax_i + b - y_i)^2
\]

The principle of least squares is to let \(Q\) be the smallest to find \(a, b\). For our training sample, there are six parameters \(C_f, C_c, R_f, R_c, \sigma_f, \sigma_c\) according to Function (4), which means finding the values of these 6 parameters when \(Q\) is the smallest.
Figure 2. The flowchart of the novel algorithms combined with machine learning.

Secondly, after obtaining the AVSD parameters of the training samples, they are brought into the BPNN model for training. The BPNN has a strong nonlinear mapping ability and flexible network structure, which has been relatively mature in both network theory and performance [36]. Its structure is shown in Figure 3. BPNN has an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer; in essence, the BP algorithm is to calculate the minimum value of the objective function by using the gradient descent method with the network error squared as the objective function.

The principle of the BP algorithm is as follows. The BPNN model does not need to determine the mathematical equations of the mapping relationship between input and output in advance, but only through its own training, learning some rules to obtain the closest result to the desired output value at a given input value [37]. The basic idea of the algorithm is the gradient descent method, which uses the gradient search technique to minimize the mean squared error between the actual and desired output values of the network. The basic BP algorithm consists of two processes: forward propagation of the signal and backward propagation of the error. In forward propagation, the input signal acts on the output node through the implied layer, and after nonlinear transformation, the output signal is generated, and if the actual output does not match the desired output, it
is transferred to the back propagation process of the error. The error back propagation is to backpropagate the output error through the implied layer to the input layer one by one, apportion the error to all units in each layer and use the error signal obtained from each layer as the basis for adjusting the weight of each unit [38]. The network parameters (weights and thresholds) corresponding to the minimum error are determined by adjusting the connection strength of the input nodes to the hidden layer nodes and the connection strength of the hidden layer nodes to the output nodes, as well as the thresholds, so that the error decreases along the gradient direction, after repeated learning training.

![BPNN Model Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** The BPNN (Back Propagation Neural Network) model. The red dots represent the input layer, whose input parameters are the six distribution parameters \((C_f, C_c, R_f, R_c, \sigma_f, \sigma_c)\) of the surface AVSD (aerosol volume size distribution) obtained by least squares fitting; the blue dots represent the hidden layer, where the lines between different points represent their relationships and network weights; the green dots represent the output layer, whose output parameters are the six distribution parameters \((C_f', C_c', R_f', R_c', \sigma_f', \sigma_c')\) of the columnar AVSD obtained by least squares fitting.

In this study, we take the six parameters of the observed surface AVSD as input values and the six distribution parameters of the columnar AVSD as output values and add the observed AOD as a constraint. We select several days of data as samples to train the model, and once the model is trained, we can use the surface AVSD parameters to predict the columnar AVSD parameters. Finally, we can calculate the modeled AOD by Function (3) and then calculate the SSE between the observed AOD and the modeled AOD. According to the magnitude of the SSE, we can continue to adjust our model and finally obtain the optimal predicted columnar AVSD.

### 4. Results

Haze events in Wuhan usually occur in autumn and winter, so observations from three instruments were used in the winter of 2014–2015 in our experiments. During 2014–2015, the three instruments had been used for a short period of time and maintained regularly, and the data observed were very accurate. Combining the observations from the three instruments, we removed the periods for which no data were recorded by the CE-318 sun photometer and the corresponding periods for which the GRIMM 180 PM monitor and TSI SMPS data and their data records were incomplete, resulting in 35 days of available data (as shown in Table 1).

**Table 1.** Experimental data of the ground observation equipment.

| Instruments                        | December 2014                                      | January 2015                                       | February 2015                                      |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Combining sun photometer, PM monitor and SMPS | 12.6–8; 12.12–13; 12.16–17; 12.19–24; 12.28–31; 17 days | 1.1; 1.3–4; 1.11; 1.14–18; 1.21–22; 11 days        | 2.4–6; 2.8–9; 2.11–12; 7 days                     |
As shown in Figure 4: GRIMM 180 PM monitor and TSI SMPS acquire the surface data, and we can stitch them together based on the particle size range characteristics they observe. The two instruments obtain the aerosol particle number concentration, and according to the above, Function (2), we can convert them to volume concentration and finally obtain the surface AVSD, meanwhile, they have been shown to conform to the bimodal lognormal normal distribution, so the six distribution parameters of the surface AVSD can be obtained by least squares method fitting. As shown in Figure 5, the data obtained by the CE-318 sun photometer is the columnar AVSD, and in the same way, we can also obtain the six distribution parameters of the columnar AVSD.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** The aerosol size distribution for the surface expressed by (a) the particle number concentration on 24 December 2014; and (b) the corresponding volume concentration. The data were obtained by splicing the synchronous observations of the TSI SMPS and GRIMM 180 PM monitor.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Columnar AVSD. The data were obtained by CE-318 sun photometer on 24 December 2014.

According to the above method, we first obtain data for a period of time and then bring them into the BPNN model for training to establish the relationship between the surface AVSD and the columnar AVSD. Once the model is trained, we can input the surface AVSD into the model and obtain the columnar AVSD. Then, we can compare the results obtained by our novel algorithm with the original inversion result of the sun photometer, and as shown in Figure 6 we show the inversion results of our model for 30 days of winter.

As shown in Table 2, we calculated the correlation coefficient (r) between the AVSD into the model and obtain the columnar AVSD. Then, we can compare the results obtained by our novel algorithm with the original inversion result of the sun photometer, and finally, we consider Wuhan has polluted weather when its daily average PM2.5 concentration exceeds 75 µg/m³.
resorts, and other areas needing special protection, and 75 µg/m³ for all other places (Category II places) [39]. At the same time, this study also refers to the environmental air quality index (AQI) technical requirements (HJ 633–2012), and finally, we consider Wuhan has polluted weather when its daily average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeds 75 µg/m³.

Figure 6. The six figures on the left in (a) show the comparison of the inversion results for the first six days of the 30-day period, where the blue lines with squares are the original inversion result of the
sun photometer, and the other colors and shapes are the inversion results of our new model algorithm. The corresponding right figure shows the difference between the two inversions for these 6 days, with the different colors and shapes of the lines corresponding to the dates in the left figure (same below); (b), the corresponding comparison of the inversion results of the other 6 days; (c), the corresponding comparison of the inversion results of the other 6 days; (d), the corresponding comparison of the inversion results of the other 6 days; (e), the corresponding comparison of the inversion results of the last 6 days.

Table 2. Winter 30-day inversion results with PM$_{2.5}$ records.

| Date       | Inversion Result and PM$_{2.5}$ Records |
|------------|----------------------------------------|
|            | Date 6 December 2014 r 0.9881 PM$_{2.5}$ 66 | 7 December 2014 r 0.9697 PM$_{2.5}$ 62 | 8 December 2014 r 0.9776 PM$_{2.5}$ 74 | 12 December 2014 r 0.9732 PM$_{2.5}$ 77 | 13 December 2014 r 0.9792 PM$_{2.5}$ 72 | 16 December 2014 r 0.9896 PM$_{2.5}$ 72 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ | 17 December 2014 r 0.9309 PM$_{2.5}$ 47 | 20 December 2014 r 0.9979 PM$_{2.5}$ 92 | 21 December 2014 r 0.9896 PM$_{2.5}$ 71 | 22 December 2014 r 0.9954 PM$_{2.5}$ 85 | 24 December 2014 r 0.9914 PM$_{2.5}$ 127 | 28 December 2014 r 0.9849 PM$_{2.5}$ 112 |
|            | Date 28 December 2014 r 0.9813 PM$_{2.5}$ 97 | 31 December 2014 r 0.9926 PM$_{2.5}$ 113 | 1 January 2015 r 0.9745 PM$_{2.5}$ 51 | 3 January 2015 r 0.9791 PM$_{2.5}$ 112 | 4 January 2015 r 0.9938 PM$_{2.5}$ 128 | 11 January 2015 r 0.9878 PM$_{2.5}$ 169 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ | 16 January 2015 r 0.9714 PM$_{2.5}$ 124 | 17 January 2015 r 0.9898 PM$_{2.5}$ 135 | 18 January 2015 r 0.9931 PM$_{2.5}$ 113 | 21 January 2015 r 0.9774 PM$_{2.5}$ 106 | 22 January 2015 r 0.9943 PM$_{2.5}$ 108 | 4 February 2015 r 0.9946 PM$_{2.5}$ 180 |
|            | Date 5 February 2015 r 0.9767 PM$_{2.5}$ 182 | 6 February 2015 r 0.9571 PM$_{2.5}$ 118 | 8 February 2015 r 0.9626 PM$_{2.5}$ 173 | 9 February 2015 r 0.9660 PM$_{2.5}$ 57 | 11 February 2015 r 0.9753 PM$_{2.5}$ 137 | 12 February 2015 r 0.9745 PM$_{2.5}$ 159 |

As shown in Table 2, our novel algorithm forecasts over the 30 days of winter are very close to the original inversion result of the sun photometer. Their correlation coefficients ranged from a low of 0.93 to a high of 0.99, while they were essentially greater than 0.97. We also recorded the PM$_{2.5}$ for these periods and from the results, it is clear that PM$_{2.5}$ does not have a significant impact on our inversion results. On 4 January 2015, even though the PM$_{2.5}$ for this day was 128, which is considered a severe haze event, the correlation coefficient of the inversion results reached 0.9938. It can also be seen from Figure 6 that the difference between the inversion results of our novel algorithm and the sun photometer is basically in the range of $\pm 0.025$, with only a very few cases exceeding this range. The inversion results show that our novel algorithm is able to overcome the influence of PM$_{2.5}$ and give good results in most weather conditions. The reason for the discrepancy in the above prediction results is that the model may have been over-fitted when the samples were selected for training, making the model fit one weather condition more closely than another, or be too extreme on the day of the prediction. In these cases, we first controlled the training of the model to prevent over-fitting and added AOD constraints to allow the model to automatically adjust to conditions in different periods.

The above results show that the inversion accuracy of our model is high, and PM$_{2.5}$ has no significant effect on the inversion results. However, it was only analyzed for each day’s results, so to evaluate the model more fully, we calculated the correlation coefficient (r) and root mean square error (RMSE) for a whole winter combination. Additionally, inversion only for the winter period is not sufficient to prove the feasibility of our model; therefore, we extended the period of the experiment from December 2014 (winter) to November 2015 (autumn), during which we chose four seasons for the inversion. During this time of year, January 2014 to February 2015 falls in the winter, March 2015 to May 2015 in the spring, June 2015 to August 2015 in the summer, and September 2015 to November 2015 in the autumn. We have conducted experiments on all four seasons of the year, so the experimental data is wider, can represent the characteristics of most periods, and the results are more convincing. In the inversion experiments for the four seasons, we select 2-3 days in each month of all available data in a year as training samples and the rest as test samples for prediction. Similarly, we calculated the correlation coefficients and root mean square errors for the combined inversion results for each season and recorded the total number
of days of inversion experiments performed in each season. The final results are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Evaluation of inversion results for 4 seasons in 2015.

|             | Winter | Spring | Summer | Autumn |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| date        | 30 days| 35 days| 11 days| 28 days|
| r           | 0.967  | 0.968  | 0.969  | 0.972  |
| RMSE        | 0.008  | 0.010  | 0.013  | 0.007  |

From the inversion results of the above four seasons, we can see that our novel algorithm not only has good inversion results in a short time but also applies to long time series. However, the selection of samples has a great influence on the inversion results. If the prediction is made only for a short period, then we only need to train a small portion of the data in this period as training samples to obtain good results, but at the cost that the model we obtain may only be applicable for this small period of time. If we are making predictions for a year or several years, then we need to choose a very large training sample, such as choosing a few days in each month of the year to put together as a sample to train the model. Then, the model we obtain from the training will satisfy most of the cases, and with the AOD constraint, the model’s prediction results will be very accurate.

As mentioned above, the CE-318 sun photometer will have missing observations during the haze period and cannot obtain the columnar AVSD, so our new algorithm can be used to invert the missing columnar AVSD by using the surface AVSD obtained from the joint TSI SMPS and GRIMM 180 PM monitor observations and adding the constraint of AOD. Following on from the previous inversion experiments for the four seasons of 2015, we continued with the inversion for dates between December 2014 and November 2015. There are dates in this time period where columnar AVSD data are missing, but joint observations data from the TSI SMPS and GRIMM 180 PM monitors and AOD data are available. Therefore, we can use these known data to invert the columnar AVSD using our new algorithmic model. After inverting to obtain the missing columnar of AVSD, we inserted them into our original observation data. The final interpolation results are shown in Figure 7, where the temporal division of the seasons is the same as in the above experiment.

Although we obtained the missing columnar AVSD through model inversion and interpolated them to enrich all columnar AVSD data for each season, the data observed under clean atmosphere conditions were more consistent with the columnar AVSD characteristics of the day. Therefore, we excluded data obtained when the daily mean of PM$_{2.5}$ was greater than 75. After that, we averaged and fitted all the columnar AVSD of each season, and the final result was considered to be representative of the characteristics of each season in Wuhan, as shown in Figure 8.
Figure 7. The interpolation results of the final inversion results. (a) All columnar AVSD obtained in winter include columnar AVSD obtained from original observations and columnar AVSD filled by model inversion, with different colored dots representing columnar AVSD of different dates; (b) all columnar AVSD obtained in winter are divided into two categories, with blue squares representing columnar AVSD obtained from original observations and red pentagrams representing columnar AVSD obtained from model inversion (same below); (c,d) all columnar AVSD obtained in spring; (e,f) all columnar AVSD obtained in summer; (g,h) all columnar AVSD obtained in autumn.
under clean atmosphere conditions were more consistent with the columnar AVSD characteristics of the day. Therefore, we excluded data obtained when the daily mean of PM2.5 was greater than 75. After that, we averaged and fitted all the columnar AVSD of each season, and the final result was considered to be representative of the characteristics of each season in Wuhan, as shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8. All columnar AVSD for each season under clean air conditions. (a) All columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in winter, with different colored dots representing columnar AVSD on different dates (same below); (b) blue triangles represent the mean values of all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in winter, and the blue line represents the fitted curve of the mean columnar AVSD (same below); (c) all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in spring; (d) mean values of all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in spring; (e) all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in summer; (f) mean values of all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in summer; (g) all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in autumn; (h) mean values of all columnar AVSD under clean air conditions in autumn.
From the final results, it can be seen that the coarse particles are higher in winter and spring, and the fine particles are dominant in summer and autumn, which is related to the climatic characteristics of Wuhan. In winter, the accumulation of moist and cold air leads to higher water vapor content in the air, and the moisture absorption growth of aerosol particles increases the concentration of coarse particles. In spring, which is the peak of factory resumption and population movement after the Chinese New Year, man-made pollutant emissions can raise the concentration of fine particles in the atmosphere [40]. At the same time, medium-sized particles of about 1 µm in size will increase due to the long-distance transmission of sand and dust during this period [41]. In summer, with high temperatures and high air humidity, the concentration of coarse particles in the atmosphere decreases, and the concentration of fine particles increases due to the condensation effect [42,43]. In autumn, the air is relatively dry, which is conducive to the diffusion and deposition of pollutants, and the concentration of coarse particles will still decrease, while the source of the increase in fine particles is likely to be naturally generated, and the emission of pollutants. In general, the results we obtained are in line with the characteristics of Wuhan, so the above experiments are correct and feasible.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The rapid development of the economy has led to large emissions of man-made pollutants, which not only deteriorate air quality and increase regional aerosol concentrations but also have an impact on climate change. Although the government has increased its efforts to control the problem and has achieved good results, we still need to use multiple atmospheric parameters to more deeply study and analyze aerosol characteristics and physicochemical properties. The number of sun photometers installed in China has been increasing in recent years, and many observation stations and observation networks have been established. However, due to the limitation of instrument observation conditions, there will be missing observation data under bad weather conditions. If we can fill in the missing sky columnar AVSD data using the method of this study, it is believed that this can play a greater role in the application of observation sites and observation networks.

This study used not only a sun photometer, but also a PM monitor and SMPS, and based on their combined observation experiments, we could obtain columnar AVSD, surface AVSD, and AOD. Then, a machine learning method is used to build a model to realize the process of inverting the columnar AVSD from the surface AVSD. The final results (Tables 2 and 3) show that the correlation coefficients and root mean square errors of the inversion results of this method with the original inversion results were 0.967 and 0.008 in winter 2014, 0.968 and 0.010 in spring 2015, 0.969 and 0.013 in summer 2015, 0.972 and 0.007 in autumn 2015, respectively. This means that the algorithm has high inversion accuracy and robustness and can perform inversion under different weather conditions. What is more, the filling results of columnar AVSD interpolation for Wuhan in 2015 (Figure 8) are also in line with the climatic characteristics of Wuhan. Therefore, it is proved that our method is reliable and can be practically applied. It is worth mentioning that, based on the advantages of machine learning, the algorithm avoids the complex mathematical calculation process, can be applied to different regions and different time periods, and can use a small number of samples to invert to obtain accurate results.

Although the experimental results show that our method is very effective, it does not mean that our current work is sufficient. In the future, we consider the following ways to improve our research:

- When training the BPNN model, over-fitting may occur, and the training results of the model are also closely related to the selection of training samples, so the model needs to be adjusted according to the actual situation. Therefore, adding PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$ as a constraint to obtain an inversion model more suitable for weather conditions needs to be considered in the future.
- We plan to add tethered balloon or sounding balloon data in the future to further verify the accuracy of our columnar AVSD inversion. In addition, the CE-318 sun
photometer can only work during the daytime, unlike GRIMM 180 PM monitor and TSI SMPS, which also have nighttime monitoring data. But the surface AVSD is lower at night and higher during the day, so we cannot accurately predict the nighttime columnar AVSD.

- Adding more atmospheric parameters is necessary to analyze and study the principles of haze formation and dispersion more comprehensively, while the aerosol types in Wuhan are complex and require longer observation data to improve our understanding of the impact of aerosols on the atmosphere and climate.

- In bad weather conditions, we can obtain fewer data records which are not enough for long-time observation. In addition, the size range of columnar AVSD is fixed from 0.05 to 15 µm, but in fact, the size of surface AVSD obtained from our joint observations is highly variable and can range from 0.0151 to 32 µm. Therefore, exploiting a larger scale range to study the characteristics of columnar AVSD is an important topic for future research work.
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