The 3’ processing factor CstF functions in the DNA repair response
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ABSTRACT

Following DNA damage, mRNA levels decrease, reflecting a coordinated interaction of the DNA repair, transcription and RNA processing machineries. In this study, we provide evidence that transcription and polyadenylation of mRNA precursors are both affected in vivo by UV treatment. We next show that the polyadenylation factor CstF, plays a direct role in the DNA damage response. Cells with reduced levels of CstF display decreased viability following UV treatment, reduced ability to ubiquitinate RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), and defects in repair of DNA damage. Furthermore, we show that CstF, RNAP II and BARD1 are all found at sites of repaired DNA. Our results indicate that CstF plays an active role in the response to DNA damage, providing a link between transcription-coupled RNA processing and DNA repair.

INTRODUCTION

The cellular response to DNA damage involves changes in the properties of a number of nuclear proteins, resulting in coordinated control of gene expression and DNA repair. One example is provided by the transient decrease in mRNA levels following UV irradiation (1,2). Although the mechanism underlying this response is still unresolved, it has been suggested that the UV-induced inhibition of transcription, reflecting turnover of the RNAP II largest subunit (RNAP II LS), is responsible for the decrease (3). This indeed is likely a significant part of the mechanism. However, those studies have not considered the important effect of RNA processing on mRNA levels. Indeed, it has been shown that processing of mRNA precursors, and specifically 3’ end formation, is also affected by DNA damage. Our previous data indicated that mRNA polyadenylation in cell extracts is strongly but transiently inhibited following treatment of cells with DNA damage-inducing agents (4). These results suggested a functional interaction between RNA processing and DNA repair.

The poly(A) tail found on almost all eukaryotic mRNAs plays important roles in regulation of mRNA stability, translation and RNA transport from the nucleus (5–7). The polyadenylation reaction consists of an endonucleolytic cleavage followed by synthesis of the poly(A) tail (reviewed in 8–10). While a relatively simple signal sequence in the mRNA precursor is required for the reaction, a surprisingly large number of protein factors are necessary for 3’ processing. Cleavage stimulation factor (CstF) is one of the essential 3’ processing factors. Genetically modified chicken B cells deficient in CstF-64, a CstF subunit, undergo cell cycle arrest and apoptotic death (11). Another subunit, CstF-50, has been shown to interact with the C-terminal domain of the RNAP II LS (CTD), likely facilitating the RNAP II-mediated activation of 3’ processing (12,13). The stimulatory role of RNAP II in polyadenylation highlights the link between RNA processing and transcription. This link is supported by a variety of chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments documenting the association of polyadenylation factors with transcribed genes (e.g. 14–16).

As part of our efforts to characterize links between mRNA 3’ processing and other nuclear events, we uncovered and characterized an association between CstF and the BRCA1/BARD1 tumor suppressor complex. We showed that this association was mediated by a direct interaction between CstF-50 and BARD1, and inhibits 3’ processing in vitro (17). The complex is increased transiently in concentration following DNA damage-inducing treatments, and results in inhibition of 3’ processing in extracts from the treated cells (4). It has also been shown that DNA damage-induced BARD1 phosphorylation is critical for inhibition of polyadenylation and RNAP II LS degradation (18).
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After UV treatment, a fraction of RNAP II LS is phosphorylated, ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome (reviewed by 19,20). While UV-induced turnover of RNAP IIA, the form engaged at promoters, occurs by phosphorylation and conversion to RNAP IIO, which functions in elongation, occurs by ubiquitination and degradation (21–26). We have shown that degradation of RNAP IIO in fact contributes to inhibition of 3′ processing in response to DNA damage (27), suggesting the existence of another, possibly redundant, mechanism to explain the inhibitory effect of UV irradiation. Significantly, both BRCA1 and BARD1 are necessary for ubiquitination of RNAP II LS and its turnover in response to UV treatment (27,28).

UV-induced turnover of RNAP II is part of the transcription-coupled repair (TCR) response (reviewed by 19,20). TCR is a pathway that operates on certain types of DNA damage found in the transcribed strand of expressed genes. Accumulated evidence suggests that the blockage of elongating RNAP II at sites of DNA damage is an early event that initiates TCR. Levels of mRNA are transiently decreased, and normal recovery depends on TCR (1,2,9,20). One of the earliest indications of the existence of TCR was the key observation that when mammalian cells are exposed to UV light, RNA synthesis resumes before any significant amount of UV-induced damage is removed from the bulk of the genome by global genome repair (31). One reason for this may be that TCR serves to repair transcription-blocking lesions and, therefore, to facilitate a rapid recovery of transcription. Transcription complexes can be extremely stable when they are stalled at endogenous pause sites or at sites of damage (32). It has been suggested that RNAP II stalled at sites of DNA damage could respond in either of two ways. If the lesion is repaired rapidly, RNAP II re-engages and continues transcription, but if the lesion persists, RNAP II is ubiquitinated and degraded (26,33,34). Stalling and/or degradation of RNAP II have another potential function: to prevent transcription across sites of DNA repair and thereby prevent formation of potentially deleterious proteins. However, this could result in release of prematurely terminated transcripts, and inhibition of the 3′ processing machinery would then function to prevent polyadenylation and stabilization of such RNAs.

In this article, we describe studies extending the links between 3′ processing and DNA repair. We first provide evidence that UV treatment in fact affects both transcription and polyadenylation of nascent mRNAs in vivo. We then show that depletion of CstF in DT40 cells enhances sensitivity to UV treatment, reduces UV-induced ubiquitination of RNAP II and, significantly, causes a delay in TCR or a related pathway. Extending these results, we provide evidence that following UV treatment BRCA1/BARD1, RNAP II and CstF associate at sites of repaired DNA. Taken together, our results indicate that CstF plays active roles not only in 3′ processing but also in DNA repair, providing a link between transcription-coupled RNA processing and DNA repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue culture methods and DNA damaging agents

HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles medium (DMEM)-10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mg/ml Penicillin–Streptomycin. Ninety percent confluent cultures of transiently transfected cells were exposed to UV and harvested after the times indicated. UV doses (20 J/m2) were delivered in two pulses using a Stratalinker (Stratagene). Prior to pulsing, medium was removed and replaced immediately after treatment. DT40-64 cells (11) were cultured in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% chicken serum and Hygromycin B 100 μg/ml. DT40 cells were grown in the presence or absence of 10 μg/ml of tet as indicated.

Plasmids expressing actin

The human β-actin cDNA was amplified from a cDNA library using a sense primer which contains the coding sequence of HA-flu epitope next to a BamH I site, while the antisense primer contains another BamH I site (sense primer: 5′-ATGGATCATGATCCCATTGATGTTCCAGATTAGCTCCTATGGATGATGATATCGCC-3′ and antisense primer: 5′-GAGGATCCCTAGAAACCGTTTGGGTTGAAGAGCCCATGCCGC-3′) and antisense primer: 5′-GAGGATCCCTAGAAACCGTTTGGGTTGAAGAGCCCATGCCGC-3′). The HA-actin was introduced into the BamH I site of either pcDNA 3.1(+) vector (Invitrogen) or the pAPSV-Zeo vector (35). pcDNA 3.1(+) vector has the CMV promoter and the BGH polyadenylation signal. pAPSV-Zeo contains the chicken β-actin promoter, the SV40 late poly(A) signal and the zeocin resistance gene. To synthesize the ssDNA probe used in the Southern blot analysis, the first 200 bp sequence from human actin gene was subcloned into the pBluescript KS(−) vector (Stratagene). This sequence was amplified from the actin cDNA using the forward primer (BF, 5′-ATGGATCATGATCCCATTGATGATGATATCGCC-3′), which contains a BamH I recognition sequence, and the reverse primer (BR, 5′-GCGCATGATGATGATGATGATGATGATGATGCC-3′), which contains an EcoRI site. The amplified sequence was introduced into the multiple cloning site of pBluescript generating the pBprobe vector. The plasmid constructions were cloned into Escherichia coli DH5α for amplification and purified using Qiagen kits.

HeLa cell transfection with pcDNA3.1 (+)/HA actin

HeLa cells were grown in a 10-cm plate in complete DMEM at 50% confluence. The cells were then transfected with pcDNA3.1 (+)/HA-actin DNA using 30 μl TransIT-HeLa and 20 μl of Monster reagents (Mirus) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After cultivating for 24h, the cells were exposed to UV (20 J/m2) and harvested 30 min, 2, 5 or 10h later. Alternatively, HeLa cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 (+)/HA-actin plasmid exposed to 900 J/m2 UV-C radiation to induce CPDs. Cells were harvested after 8 and 24h after transfection. Cells were used for either RNA purification or western blot analysis.
Analysis of RNA by RT–PCR and real-time quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR)

RNA was purified from cells transfected with the pcDNA3.1(+)/HA-β-actin vector using the RNaseasy (Qiagen). The purified RNA was used as a template to synthesize cDNA using oligo d(T) primers and MMLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR was performed in a 50 μl volume using the RT product and primers against GAPDH and HA-actin according to the standard protocol provided by the manufacturer. PCR fragments were amplified from RT products using the forward primer HA (5′-CTACGATGTTCCAGATTCAGC-3′) and the following reverse primers: A (5′-GCCTATGGTGCTCCAGTTGGA-3′), B (5′-GGGAA GCTGTAGCCCGCTCGG-3′), C (5′-CCGAGGATCCCTAAAGCATT-3′) and a modified oligo(dT) primer/adapter (36). Equal volumes of the PCR products were run on a 1% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Real-time qPCR was performed for endogenous transcripts using the RT product described before and commercially available primers. Reactions were performed with the Applied Biosystems TaqMan Gene Expression Assays on 7500 RT-PCR detection system. The reaction was performed in triplicate in 25 μl volume using the RT product [2–20 ng of poly(A)+ RNA purified as described before, 300 nM primers, 12.5 μl Taqman MGB Gene expression system (Applied Biosystems) and water to complete. The RT products obtained from UV-untreated cells were used as endogenous control.

NE preparation and immunoblotting

NEs were prepared from harvested cells essentially as described (4). Cells were lysed by dounceing in 4 ml of 10 mM Tris pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 6000g, and pellets were resuspended in 20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 25% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF and 0.3 M NaCl. Preparations were rocked for 30 min at 4°C and centrifuged for 15 min at 10 000g. Supernatants were quick frozen and stored at −80°C. Sixty microgram of each NE was analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against RAP1 II (H5, Covance), CSA (Santa Cruz H-266), CSB (Santa Cruz H-300), actin (Sigma A2066) and BARD1 (Santa Cruz, H-300).

ssDNA probe synthesis

32P-labeled single-strand DNA probes were synthesized according to the procedure described by Ruven et al. (37). Pure pProbe plasmid was used as template in an asymmetric polymerase chain reaction (30 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 54°C for 2 min, 72°C for 3 min and a last cycle at 72°C for 7 min). A total 48 ng of pProbe vector digested with either BamH I or EcoR I was used in the reaction as templates with 20 pmol of either BR or BF primer, respectively.

Host cell recovery assays

HeLa cells were transfected with UV-damaged or non-damaged pcDNA3.1 (+)/HA-actin. To induce photolyses, plasmid DNA was treated with 900 J/m2 UV-C radiation with a Stratagene (Stratagene) at room temperature. HeLa cells were grown in a 10-cm plate in complete DMEM at 50% confluence. Transfections were done with TransIT-HeLa Monster reagent (Mirus) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After transfection, cells were incubated at 37°C for the times specified. Cells were collected; RNA was purified and analyzed by RT-PCR as described before. Alternatively, DT40–64 cells grown in the presence or absence of tet were transfected with 10 μg of UV-damaged or non-damaged pAP-actin plasmids. Plasmids were damaged as described earlier. Twenty-four hours before the transfection, 2.4 107–3.4 107 DT40–64 cells were transferred to 10 ml fresh media with or without 10 μg/ml tet. Transfections were done with TransIT-LT1 reagent (Mirus) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After transfection, cells were incubated in fresh media with or without 10 μg/ml tet at 37°C for the times indicated. Cells were collected, lysed and plasmid DNA was purified using a Qiaprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen). The presence of plasmid in those preparations was confirmed by PCR with the primers used to construct the pAP-actin plasmid. The rest of the plasmid preparation was subjected to Kpn I linearization. Half of the linearized plasmid preparation was treated with T4 endo V (Epitentre) and the other half was mock treated for 1:30 h. The material was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel at 92 V. Southern blot transfer to a charged nylon membrane and hybridization with 32P ssDNA probe were done as described (38). The membranes were exposed to a Phosphor Imager Screen (Kodak) and scanned in a Molecular Dynamics Typhoon 9410 (General Electrics). Images were analyzed with the software ImageJ 1.37a (Wayne Rasband, NIH, USA).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation-type assays

We performed ChIP assays using a modification of previously published methods (39). Ninety percent confluent cultures of HeLa cells were exposed to UV (two pulses of 50 J/m2) using a Stratalinker (Stratagene), incubated with BrdU (10 μM) and FrdU (fluorodeoxyuridine, 1 μM) to label the repaired DNA, and then the cells were cross-linked with formaldehyde at the stated times. After formaldehyde treatment, NEs were prepared from HeLa cells as described (4) and samples were sonicated to produce soluble chromatin in the presence of proteinase inhibitors (Sigma, P2714). To obtain DNA fragmentation of average length of 2000 base pairs, sonications were done two times for 20 s each. Samples were then pre-cleared by treatment with protein-G Sepharose 4B beads (Sigma). DNA-protein complexes were immunoprecipitated by incubation with BrdU monoclonal antibody (Covance) coupled to blocked protein-G Sepharose 4B beads. Immunoprecipitations were carried out for 3 h at 4°C in 150 μl of sonication buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 mM
EGTA pH 8.0, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 X protease inhibitor cocktail). Washing was with sonication buffer. Crosslinks were reversed by boiling the samples for 30 min. The protein complex bound to repair DNA was analyzed by western blot.

RESULTS
UV treatment affects transcription/3' processing in vivo

The DNA damage response requires coordination between the gene expression and DNA repair machineries. We showed previously that following DNA damage, 3' end formation is strongly but transiently inhibited in extracts from the damaged cells as a result of BRCA1/BARD1/CstF complex formation (4) and of proteasome-mediated degradation of RNAP II (27). We provided evidence that this complex (which we dub ‘the CBB checkpoint’) was active between 2 and 8 h post-UV, and proposed that this reflects a mechanism to prevent polyadenylation of prematurely terminated RNAs that could arise at sites of DNA damage (4).

An important question is whether DNA damage in fact induces formation of such transcripts, and if so whether it inhibits polyadenylation to prevent their accumulation in vivo. As such RNAs might be heterogeneous, unstable and therefore difficult to observe directly, we developed an assay to determine the effect of UV-induced DNA lesions on transcription and 3' processing of RNAs produced from a reporter gene (Figure 1A). Briefly, the assay involves transient transfection of HeLa cells with a reporter plasmid, followed by analysis of expression and polyadenylation of transcripts by oligo(dT) selection and RT-PCR. Importantly, a collection of primers was designed with the potential to detect RNAs with 3' ends located throughout the length of the reporter gene.

Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were exposed to UV light and poly(A)+ RNA was purified at different times after UV treatment (0 min, 30 min, 2, 5 and 10 h). Expression of the HA-β-actin reporter gene was analyzed by RT-PCR of the oligo(dT)-selected RNA using an oligo(dT) primer for the RT reaction and PCR was done with a common forward primer that anneals to the HA region of the tagged gene and reverse primers derived from different parts of the β-actin gene (Figure 1A). As a common forward primer was used, we expected to obtain differently sized PCR products in each sample. Elevated intensities of the shorter species would indicate the presence of prematurely polyadenylated forms of HA-β-actin RNA. For comparison, we also analyzed by RT-PCR transcripts from an endogenous gene (GAPDH).

Our results suggest that UV treatment can indeed lead to transient formation of truncated, polyadenylated RNAs. A significant decrease in accumulation of full-length HA-β-actin mRNA (HA-C) was detected as early as 30 min after UV treatment (Figure 1B, top panel). Accumulation of this species reached its lowest levels at 2 h, and high levels were fully restored by 10 h. Similar changes in expression of the endogenous GAPDH gene were also observed by both RT-PCR (Figure 1C, top) and RT-qPCR assays (Figure 1C, bottom). A 2-fold decrease in GAPDH poly(A)+ mRNA was detected by RT-qPCR 30 min after UV treatment, reaching the lowest level (8-fold decrease) at 2 h. We also observed a transient decrease in the shorter RT-PCR products (HA-A and HA-B). Importantly however, the relative decrease at 30 min. was significantly less for these shorter products (~80% of the untreated control; quantitation at right in Figure 1B) than that of the full-length mRNA (~40% of the control), suggesting that some of these products arose from prematurely terminated, polyadenylated transcripts.

At 2 h the longer products were almost undetectable, consistent with the idea that their production was blocked by activation of the CBB checkpoint. At the 5 and 10 h-time points, accumulation of the shorter products paralleled that of the full-length mRNA, suggesting that these RT-PCR products were derived predominantly from full-length HA-β-actin mRNA.

Our results indicated that levels of the endogenous GAPDH mRNA decrease somewhat after UV treatment. It is noteworthy that several studies have addressed the accuracy of traditional reference or housekeeping genes, such as GAPDH, as control for error between samples (40,41). Those studies have shown that GAPDH can show significant variation in RNA expression in different biological systems and in different conditions. Indeed, Kartasova et al. (42) described a change in the expression of GAPDH mRNA after UV irradiation.

If indeed the shorter RNAs described before resulted from DNA damage-induced premature termination and erroneous polyadenylation that occurs prior to activation of the CBB checkpoint, then accumulation of these RNAs would be expected to be greater if activation of the checkpoint could be avoided. To test this, we utilized a variation of the host cell reactivation (HCR) assay, which is a transfection-based approach in which cells repair damage localized to exogenous DNA (43,44). In our experimental approach, the HCR assay allowed us to study the effect of UV-induced DNA lesions on transcription and 3' processing of RNAs produced from a reporter gene. Briefly, the assay involves transfection of HeLa cells with a UV-treated reporter plasmid followed by analysis of expression and polyadenylation of transcripts by oligo(dT) selection and RT-PCR exactly as before.

Photoproducots were first introduced into the reporter plasmid expressing HA-β-actin (Figure 1D) by exposing the plasmid to UV light (254 nm) for various doses. We determined the number of lesions per molecule by treating the plasmids with a damage-specific endonuclease, T4 endonuclease V (T4 endo V), that specifically cleaves DNA at sites of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs, 45). The extent of damage was determined by comparison of the intensity of bands corresponding to relaxed, linear and supercoiled forms of the plasmid (45). As T4 endo V introduces nicks where CPDs remain, we used the appearance of full-length linear forms as indicative of treatments inducing ~1 lesion per plasmid. Based on the results shown in Figure 1B, we used UV doses of 900 Jm⁻² per microgram of DNA to induce ≤3 lesions per plasmid.
HeLa cells were transiently transfected with the UV-treated or untreated plasmids. Preliminary results (data not shown) suggested that expression of HA-actin from either damaged or non-damaged plasmids was readily detectable and similar 24 h after transfection. Therefore, poly(A)+ RNA was purified at a very early time (8 h) and at 24 h after transfection and expression of the HA-β-actin gene analyzed by oligo(dT) selection and RT-PCR as before. For comparison, we also analyzed by RT-PCR transcripts from the endogenous GAPDH gene for each sample. As the cells were not exposed to UV, no changes in expression of GAPDH mRNA were observed (Figure 1E). The results of our RT-PCR analysis support the idea that DNA damage can indeed lead to aberrant 3' processing. Expression of full-length mRNA (HA-C) was readily detected 8 h after transfection with the control
plasmid (Figure 1E). Significantly, however, cells transfected with the damaged plasmid did not produce full-length HA-β-actin mRNA after 8 h, but shorter polyadenylated forms (HA-A and HA-B) were readily detected. These products likely reflect prematurely terminated and incorrectly polyadenylated transcripts arising specifically from the damaged plasmid. At 24 h, the ratios of full-length (HA-C) to shorter products (HA-A and HA-B) were similar in both cases, suggesting that most if not all of the shorter products at this time were amplified from the full-length cDNA, consistent with the idea that the damaged plasmid had been fully repaired and essentially all transcripts were now full length. It is important to point out that as the cells were not exposed to UV light, UV-induced inhibition of 3′ processing would not be expected to occur.

Although our results suggest that UV treatment leads to transient formation of truncated, polyadenylated RNAs, we could not rule out the possibility that the results could have been generated by blocking of the RT reaction by UV-induced lesions in the mRNA, having a stronger effect on longer mRNAs that are more likely to acquire those lesions. It is also possible that UV treatment induced destabilization and decay of cellular mRNAs, and that the TCR complexes stabilize the intermediates. This could generate the RT-PCR patterns observed for both the exogenous (HA-A>HA-B>HA-C) and the endogenous genes (GAPDH).

To determine whether UV treatment indeed generates truncated polyadenylated forms, we mapped the poly(A) sites in HA-actin transcripts present in HeLa cells transiently transfected with reporter plasmids under different conditions (samples from Figure 1B and E). For this analysis, we used an oligo(dT) primer for the synthesis of cDNA followed by PCR amplification with the common forward/HA primer together with the oligo(dT) primer adapter. The results with the samples from HeLa cells transiently transfected with the UV-treated or untreated plasmids are shown in Figure 1F. Similar results were obtained with samples from HeLa cells transiently transfected with the reporter plasmid and then exposed to UV treatment (samples from Figure 1B). The electrophoretic analysis of the undigested RT-PCR products showed broad bands larger than the HA-C full-length product for both the damaged and non-damaged samples (over 1163 nt, lanes 3–5). These bands, which encompassed fragments from 1163 nt to approximately 1263 nt, could have resulted from the different size of fully extended polyadenylated forms. The electrophoretic analysis also showed bands larger than the HA-B product only for the UV-damaged samples (over 628 nt, lanes 2–3). The appearance of this novel band only in the UV-damaged samples indicates that truncated polyadenylated forms could arise in vivo. The identity of the RT-PCR products shown in Figure 1F was confirmed by mapping these fragments using restriction digestion (data not shown). The pattern of bands in the UV-damaged samples provide evidence that prematurely terminated, aberrantly polyadenylated RNAs can arise from transcription of damaged DNA templates in the absence of the CBB checkpoint.

CstF functions in recovery from UV-treatment, in UV-induced ubiquitination of RNAP II and in TCR

The data presented above and previously has provided evidence that DNA damage can influence 3′ end formation, via interactions involving CstF. We next wished to investigate whether the converse might be true; i.e. whether CstF might function in DNA repair. To this end, we used genetically modified chicken DT40 cells in which the only source of CstF-64 is from a tet-repressible transgene (DT40–64, 11). These cells allow tet-dependent depletion of CstF-64, which destabilizes the entire CstF complex. CstF-64 became undetectable in DT40–64 cells treated with 10 μg/ml of tet for 48 h as measured by western blot (Figure 2B–C). While the cells were still viable at this time, they stopped growing after 3–4 days in tet-containing medium and started to die shortly thereafter (data not shown; 11). We used these cells first to determine whether the presence or absence of CstF affects the ability of the cells to recover following UV treatment. DT40–64 cells were treated with 10 μg/ml of tet for 48 h, exposed to UV light (20 Jm⁻²), and cell viability determined after 5 h, first measured simply by the appearance of cell death, which appeared significantly enhanced in the tet-treated cells compared to untreated controls (Figure 2A). Cell viability was quantitated by trypan blue staining, which showed that the cells with reduced levels of CstF indeed displayed enhanced sensitivity to UV treatment.

We next wished to ask whether the heightened UV sensitivity was specific to CstF depletion, or might be a characteristic of DT40 cells poised to undergo cell death. To address this, DT40-ASF cells, which express the essential splicing factor ASF/SF2 under tet control (46), were analyzed as before (Figure 2B). Significantly, DT40-ASF cells did not show enhanced sensitivity to UV, supporting the idea that CstF has a specific role in recovery from exposure to UV. As CstF is a general polyadenylation factor that functions in the 3′ processing of many if not most mRNA precursors, it is conceivable in principle that the effect of CstF on DNA repair might be indirect. However, Takagaki and Manley (11) showed that depletion of CstF in DT40–64 cells did not detectably affect the steady-state levels of actin mRNA and several other less abundant transcripts, at least over time courses such as employed in our experiments. To determine whether this might also apply to mRNAs encoding proteins involved in DNA repair, we examined levels of CSA and CSB (Figure 2B), support-
UV-induced degradation of RNAP IIO LS, initiated by BRCA1/BARD1 ubiquitination, contributes to inhibition of 3’ processing (27). We next wished to determine whether CstF functions in DNA damage-induced ubiquitination of RNAP II, and again used DT40–64 cells. Ubiquitination and degradation of RNAP IIO LS was examined by western blot using antibodies directed against the Ser 2-phosphorylated CTD epitope of RNAP II (H5), which reflects elongating RNAP IIO. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added to the cells immediately after UV exposure to prevent degradation of RNAP II, and cell extracts were prepared at different times after UV/MG132 treatment. With degradation blocked, we were able to observe apparent ubiquitinated forms of RNAP IIO in cells expressing normal levels of CstF (Figure 2C, lanes 2 and 3). Importantly, cells with reduced expression levels of CstF showed lower accumulation of ubiquitinated RNAP IIO. This was apparent after 24 h tet treatment and essentially complete after 48 h. In the absence of MG132, UV-induced degradation of RNAP IIO was observed in the presence of CstF, but strikingly, turnover was reduced (24 h) or completely blocked (48 h) when CstF was depleted (Figure 2D). Taken together these results indicate that CstF is required for UV-induced proteasomal degradation of RNAP II.

We next wished to determine the effect of reduced levels of CstF on DNA repair, and specifically on TCR. Although there is little direct information regarding the removal of lesions from reporter genes, and most of the published studies consider the relative level of expression of the reporter gene to be an indicator of the repair capacity of the host cell (43,44,47,48), we decided to use the HCR assay and DT40–64 cells to examine the possibility that CstF plays a direct role in the removal of such lesions. First, we prepared a plasmid expressing HA-β-actin using the chicken expression vector pAPSV-Zeo (pAP-actin). UV doses up to 900 Jm−2 were used to induce photoproducts. Damaged plasmids were linearized, treated or not treated with T4 endo V and analyzed by Southern blot with single strand-specific DNA probes produced by asymmetric PCR of a fragment of the β-actin gene. The autoradiogram (Figure 3A) shows that UV doses of 900 Jm−2 generated enough damage to be readily detected by this assay.
DT40–64 cells were next grown in the presence or absence of tet for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with damaged or untreated plasmid DNA and grown with tet for another 1, 2, 4 and 8 h to allow repair to take place before isolation of plasmid DNA (Materials and Methods). To measure strand-specific repair, we linearized the purified plasmids with a restriction enzyme, then treated half the sample with T4 endo V to digest fragments that contain unrepaired lesions. For loading/purification control purposes, equal amounts of untreated and T4 endo V-treated plasmid DNA purified at each time point were analyzed by Southern blot with single strand-specific DNA probes as before (Figure 3B). The proportion of lesions that were repaired at different time-points was calculated by comparing T4 endo V-treated DNA with untreated DNA of each time point and quantifying the signal of full-length fragment by densitometry (Figure 3C). Cells containing CstF repaired the transcribed strand significantly more efficiently than the non-transcribed strand at the 2 and 4 h-time points (Figure 3B, lanes 6–7 and 8–9), indicative of activation of a TCR pathway. This preference was considerably reduced by 8 h, when both strands were repaired completely. Strikingly, the preference for the transcribed strand was not detected in the cells lacking CstF (Figure 3B, lanes 17–18 and 19–20); the rate of repair of the transcribed and non-transcribed strands was essentially the same. The presence or absence of CstF

Figure 3. CstF plays a role in DNA repair. (A) Southern blot analysis of the pAP-actin plasmids. Autoradiogram illustrating CPD damage of the plasmid. The plasmids were treated or not treated with UV, digested with Kpn I, treated or mock-treated with T4 endo V, electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The transcribed and non transcribed strands of the cloned fragment of the HA-actin gene were detected by sequential hybridization with the indicated single strand-specific DNA probes. (B) Strand-specific DNA repair of CPDs from damaged pAP-actin plasmid purified 1, 2, 4 or 8 h after transfection from DT40-64 cells containing or lacking CstF. Purified plasmids from transfected cells were treated as in A. Plasmids not treated with UV were used as control. The frequency of induction of CPD and their rate of removal were determined by the appearance of the full-length restriction fragments in the T4 endo V-treated and mock-treated samples upon quantitation by Phosphorimager analysis. The images were analyzed by software Image J. (C) Graph of repair profile for removal of CPDs in cells containing or lacking CstF. The graph shows the mean values ± standard deviations for the percentage of repair of photolesions from the transcribed and non-transcribed strand. The values were obtained from Phosphorimager quantification of three independent experiments. The values of the autoradiogram shown in panel B were also included in the graph. (D) DT40–64 cells were treated with tetr and transfected with damaged or untreated plasmid DNA. One hundred microgram of each cell extract was analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against CstF-64, CSA, CSB and actin.
did not affect the rate of repair of the non-transcribed strand at any time point.

To determine whether the depletion of CstF followed by transfection with plasmid DNA affects the expression of proteins involved in DNA repair in DT40–64 cells, we examined levels of CSA and CSB proteins under these conditions (47,48). As shown in Figure 2B, neither tet-dependent depletion of CstF for 48 h nor transfection with damaged/untreated plasmid DNA affected the levels of CSA and CSB (Figure 3D), supporting the idea that any effect of CstF depletion on DNA repair in fact reflects a direct role of CstF in this response. As the cells were not exposed to UV treatment, our western blot analysis did not show the CSA-dependent degradation of CSB (48).

Supporting our results, Link and colleagues (49) showed that at earlier times the frequencies for photoproducts removal from the DHFR gene in CHO hamster cells are similar on the transcribed and non-transcribed strand. They also found that 62% of the lesions were repaired in the transcribed strand by 8 h compared with 43% in the non-transcribed strand, a 1.44 ratio that favors the repair of the transcribed strand. Although our study in DT40 cells containing CstF showed a similar difference between both strands (68% repair for transcribed versus 43% repair for non-transcribed), this difference was reached at an earlier time (by 4 h after UV treatment) than in the previous study. This difference could reflect the biological systems used in the two studies and/or technical reasons. In fact, several studies have indicated that it is difficult to make unambiguous conclusions from HCR studies, because the results are dependent upon many factors (43,50), such as the vector, the reporter gene, the promoter, the host cell and transfection methods. For example, the differences between our study and that of Link and colleagues (49) could reflect different transcriptional levels between reporter plasmids and endogenous genes, and/or differences in the repair mechanism between different cell types and organisms. In spite of these complications, it is apparent from our results that CstF plays a role in the TCR pathway, or in a related pathway, in DT40 cells.

**DISCUSSION**

**Our previous work showed that polyadenylation is inhibited after DNA damage as a result of both BRCA1/BARD1/CstF complex formation (4) and proteasome-mediated degradation of RNAP II (27).** As CstF-50 can interact with BARD1 to inhibit polyadenylation (17) and with the CTD of RNAP II to activate polyadenylation (13), we proposed that CstF plays an important role in the response to DNA damage. In this study, we provided evidence that prematurely terminated polyadenylated transcripts can be detected in vivo following DNA damage, especially under conditions when the CBB checkpoint is not activated. We also determined that cells with reduced levels of CstF displayed enhanced sensitivity to UV treatment. The depletion of CstF was found to correlate with decreases in both ubiquitination and turnover of RNAP IIO and repair of the transcribed DNA strand, which are events in the DNA damage response (22,23,25,54,55). Consistent with our model for CstF function, we also found that RNAP IIO, BARD1 and CstF were all transiently associated with sites of DNA damage and play a direct role in the DNA repair response.
that it functions to help in the assembly or stabilization of transcription machineries. Specifically, CstF, plays an important role in the response to DNA damage.

Based on the results presented here, we can confirm and extend the model that has been proposed in previous work (Figure 5; 4,17,54). Our data has provided evidence that DNA damage can induce premature transcription termination and polyadenylation, likely at sites of DNA damage and that accumulation of such species is blocked by activation of the CBB checkpoint. How might the checkpoint prevent such RNAs from accumulating? Milligan et al. (56) observed not only reduction in the levels of different mRNA species but also of truncated RNAs in yeast strains with a defective poly(A) polymerase. Defective polyadenylation of prematurely terminated transcripts is known to activate a nuclear surveillance pathway, eliminating those mRNAs by deadenylation and exosome-mediated degradation (56–58).

Extending this idea, our ongoing work (our unpublished data) indicates that another CstF-50-interacting protein is the poly(A) specific ribonuclease (PARN; 59–61). PARN has been shown to co-purify with essential nonsense-mediated decay factors (62) and PARN down-regulates PCNA (17). It has been shown that PCNA co-localizes with nuclear polymerase. Defective polyadenylation of prematurely terminated transcripts is known to activate the CBB checkpoint. How might the checkpoint prevent such RNAs from accumulating? Milligan et al. (56) observed not only reduction in the levels of different mRNA species but also of truncated RNAs in yeast strains with a defective poly(A) polymerase. Defective polyadenylation of prematurely terminated transcripts is known to activate a nuclear surveillance pathway, eliminating those mRNAs by deadenylation and exosome-mediated degradation (56–58).

Extending this idea, our ongoing work (our unpublished data) indicates that another CstF-50-interacting protein is the poly(A) specific ribonuclease (PARN; 59–61). PARN has been shown to co-purify with essential nonsense-mediated decay factors (62) and PARN down-regulates PCNA (17). It has been shown that PCNA co-localizes with nuclear polymerase. Defective polyadenylation of prematurely terminated transcripts is known to activate the CBB checkpoint. How might the checkpoint prevent such RNAs from accumulating? Milligan et al. (56) observed not only reduction in the levels of different mRNA species but also of truncated RNAs in yeast strains with a defective poly(A) polymerase. Defective polyadenylation of prematurely terminated transcripts is known to activate a nuclear surveillance pathway, eliminating those mRNAs by deadenylation and exosome-mediated degradation (56–58).
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