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Abstract: In Côte d'Ivoire, the financial difficulties experienced by the population represent obstacles to the consumption of oral health care. Thus, insurance mechanisms based on the principle of cost sharing are recommended to improve financial accessibility to this care. The cross-sectional study was conducted with users of three public dental practices in the city of Abidjan. The data were collected using a questionnaire. The numbers and frequencies related to the modalities of the use of oral health care, as well as the users' perception of their costs were calculated. Also, the willingness of users to pay for oral care has been measured. 350 users participated in the study. More than one third of users (36%) felt that the cost of dental services was high. 80.1% and 57.1% of respondents reported spending less than 5 $ US to honor the cost of oral consultation and the purchase of medicines respectively. 74% of users were in favor of setting up an insurance system with a willingness to pay less than 10 $ US per month. The willingness of populations to contribute to the financing of oral health care has been proven. Achieving universal health coverage based on solidarity requires the establishment of prepayment mechanisms by adjusting the amounts of contributions to each person's ability to pay.
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1. Introduction

Oral health is an integral part of general health and well-being. It is fundamental to breathing, eating, swallowing, talking or even smiling [1]. In the African region, poor oral health affects millions of people. It is a public health problem because of the morbidity of oral diseases and their economic impact on society [2]. Following the "Bamako Initiative" which established the principles of cost recovery for acts in African countries in 1987 [3], the populations of African countries who must now contribute to the financing of their health are faced with certain financial difficulties in accessing care. Thus, in most countries, households see their direct health expenditure increase, while their quality of life and state of well-being deteriorate [1]. This is the case in Côte d'Ivoire where, with a rapidly growing population, a constant decline in people's incomes and insufficient social security coverage, the problem of access to oral health care is acute. These difficulties of access to healthcare are also observed in public health facilities, which are mainly frequented by the population and which are supposed to offer services at a lower cost [4]. To remove this financial barrier to access to oral health care, insurance mechanisms based on the principle of cost sharing are recommended. This raises the problem of the populations' ability to contribute to the financing of care, objectified by their willingness to pay for it. This is a popular approach to evaluating health care benefits [5]. It refers to the maximum amount in monetary terms that an individual would be willing to sacrifice to obtain the benefits of a program, a benefit [6]. The objective of this study, which is part of a process of proposing an appropriate financing mechanism, was to analyze the willingness to pay for oral health care.
care for users of public dental practices in Abidjan.

2. Methods

2.1. Type and Area of the Study

The cross-sectional analytical study focused on the city of Abidjan, the economic capital and main city of Côte d’Ivoire which is a country located in West Africa.

2.2. Study Population, Selection Criteria, Sample Size

The study concerned users who attended the dental offices of public institutions of Abobo (North Abidjan), Attecoube (Abidjan center) and Port-Bouët (South Abidjan). The sample of the study was constituted by reasoned choice by the systematic and simultaneous recruitment of the users satisfying the criteria of inclusion in the 3 dental offices during a month. Was included, any user came in consultation during the study period for himself or for a child and who agreed to participate in the study.

2.3. Data Collection and Variables Studied

The data were collected using a questionnaire by an interviewer for each dental office during face-to-face interviews with users. It included several variables related to the socio-demographic characteristics of users, their perception of the cost of care and their willingness to pay for it.

2.4. Data Analysis

Data analysis and processing were carried out by Excel 2007 and Epi Info version 6 software, and headcount and percentages were calculated. The chi-square test was used to assess the relationship between certain variables of interest with a significance threshold $\alpha = 5\%$.

3. Results

3.1. Socioeconomic Parameters

Table 1. Distribution of users according to the dental practice attended.

| Dental office | N  | %   |
|---------------|----|-----|
| Port-Bouët    | 151| 43.1|
| Attecoube     | 64 | 18.3|
| Abobo         | 135| 39.6|
| Total         | 350| 100.0|

The dentist’s dental practice at Attecoube in the center of Abidjan was the least used during the study period.

3.2. Perception on Care Rates

Table 3. Users’ perception of oral health care rates.

| Perception of users on care rates | Number | %  |
|----------------------------------|--------|----|
| Very high                        | 19     | 5.4|
| High                             | 110    | 31.4|
| Affordable                       | 205    | 58.6|
| No opinion                       | 16     | 4.6|
| Total                            | 350    | 100.0|

More than one-third (36.8%) of the respondents felt that the dental rates offered in the public services were high.

3.3. Costs of Oral Health Care

Table 4. Estimate of the amount paid for dental care according to the care centre.

| Dental office | Amount paid during a consultation ($US) | Total |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------|
|               | ≤ 5 | 5 - 10 | > 10 | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| HG Port-Bouët | 150 (99.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.7) | 151 (43.1) |
| FS d’Attecoube | 6 (9.4) | 35 (54.7) | 23 (35.9) | 64 (18.3) |
| HG d’Abobo    | 125 (92.6) | 6 (4.4) | 4 (3.0) | 135 (38.6) |
| Total         | 281 (80.3) | 41 (11.7) | 28 (8.0) | 350 (100.0) |

Chi-square = 263.07 ddl = 4 p = 10^-3.
The difference observed between the amounts paid for a consultation in the different dental offices was statistically significant. The highest rates were charged in Attecoube. The majority of respondents (80.3%) reported that they paid an amount less than or equal to 5 $ US during the consultation with the dental surgeon. The amount disbursed was more than 10 $ US for only 8% of the respondents.

| Dental office       | Average cost ($ US) | Total |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
|                     | ≤ 5 (%)             |       |
| HG Port-Bouët       | 55 (48.7)           |       |
| F S d’Attecoube     | 40 (71.4)           |       |
| HG d’Abobo          | 61 (58.7)           |       |
| Total               | 156 (57.1)          |       |
|                     | ≥ 5 - 10 (%)        |       |
| HG Port-Bouët       | 37 (32.7)           |       |
| F S d’Attecoube     | 5 (8.9)             |       |
| HG d’Abobo          | 30 (28.8)           |       |
| Total               | 72 (26.4)           |       |
|                     | > 10 (%)            |       |
| HG Port-Bouët       | 21 (18.6)           |       |
| F S d’Attecoube     | 11 (19.7)           |       |
| HG d’Abobo          | 13 (12.5)           |       |
| Total               | 45 (16.5)           |       |
|                     | Total (%)           |       |
| HG Port-Bouët       | 113 (41.4)          |       |
| F S d’Attecoube     | 56 (20.5)           |       |
| HG d’Abobo          | 104 (38.1)          |       |
| Total               | 273 (100.0)         |       |

Chi-square = 13.54 ddl = 4 p = 0.0089.

The difference observed in the cost of prescriptions dispensed in the 3 dental offices was statistically significant. This cost was high in Attecoube and Port-Bouët. For more than half (57.1%) of the users of the 3 dental offices, the cost of the prescription was less than or equal to 5 $ US.

### 3.4. Financing of Oral Care by Users

| Dental office | Inability to pay for dental care | Total |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-------|
|               | Yes (%)                          | No (%)|
| HG Port-Bouët | 44 (27.5)                        | 107 (72.5) |
| F S d’Attecoube| 33 (51.6)                        | 31 (48.4) |
| HG d’Abobo    | 41 (30.4)                        | 94 (69.6) |
| Total         | 118 (33.7)                       | 232 (66.3) |

74% of dental office users were willing to pay money for oral health care.

### 4. Discussion

In the health sector, the function of demand is difficult to measure by direct observation of the behaviour of economic agents because of the financing method and the existence of externalities [5]. To overcome this difficulty, having a perfect knowledge of the health program, the contingent valuation method is used by having the individual declare the amount he is willing to sacrifice in order to benefit from this property. This value is called "willingness to pay". The assessment of willingness to pay while giving an indication to decision-makers presents biases in the sense that there is a difference between the actual consumption of a good and a hypothetical consumption. The question of the stability of individual preferences remains therefore posed [7] and leads one to take the value of willingness to pay with great caution. In spite of this limitation, the methodology used in our study with as a tool the questionnaire and method of collecting face-to-face interviews, are consistent with those used in most studies to assess willingness to pay [8].

In the study sample, more than half of the respondents (52.2%) were women (table 2). This suggests that women are more concerned about their oral health than men. Also, the proportion of young people between 15 and 24 years of age was the highest (34%). This proportion corroborates the data of the National Institute of Statistics [9] of Côte d’Ivoire from the latest general census highlighting the youthfulness of the Ivorian population. Employees represented 13.7% of the sample. The majority of respondents stated that they do not have health coverage, which considerably limits their financial accessibility to oral health care. In many studies on willingness to pay, female gender, young age and high educational attainment are associated with high willingness to pay [8; 10]. However, in Finland in 2002, high income and male gender were the main factors associated with a good ability to pay for dental care [11].
With regard to users' perception of the cost of oral health care provided in public dental offices, more than half of the respondents (58.6%) considered them affordable. However, for 36.8% of these people, they were high (table 3). The direct costs incurred by oral health care are related to the cost of consultations, the dental procedure and/or the medical prescription accompanying the procedure. These average direct costs were less than 10 $ US (tables 4, 5) for the majority of respondents (service costs less than or equal to 5 $ US for 80% of users; prescription costs less than or equal to 5 $ US for 57.1% of users). For users residing outside the municipality of the health centre, the indirect costs related to transport are less than 5 $ US. Thus, the average cost of using the oral health service of a public health facility in Abidjan would be less than 15 $ US. The average cost of a medical consultation in a community-based health centre in Abidjan was estimated at 8.8 $ US in 2003 [12]. Under these conditions, the average cost of using oral health services would be higher than that estimated for general medical care. This observation is confirmed by the work of Escalon [13], which in 1999 observed a lower cost of care than Soumahoro in a study carried out in the community-based health facilities in Abidjan. This cost was 6.39 $ US. The high cost of oral health care is one of the main reasons why people in Africa often give up health care [14-16]. Samba et al. [17] through a study on the waiver of oral health care in Abidjan in 2003 involving 400 heads of household, showed that 20% of heads of household waived oral health care. For one-third of these people, the cost of dental procedures is high, which explains their financial inability to cope. These results confirm that the lack of financial resources hinders access to oral health care. The financial obstacle is all the more important because there is no social protection system in these countries. In Côte d'Ivoire, only about 6% of the population has health insurance [18] and dental care is not always well reimbursed. This result is confirmed by Soumahoro's study [12] which found a satisfaction rate of 66.22%.

In general, the acceptability of the cost of care can be influenced by several factors including the ability of users to pay for it and its perception of their quality. In our study, the evaluation of the ability to pay showed that more than a third of the respondents (33.7%) were often unable to pay for oral health care (table 6). Difficulties of affordability are observed among some patients in developing countries. Either they do not have the means (health coverage) to meet the cost of care, or they consider that consultations are too costly in terms of their ability to finance. In these countries, the direct payment of care by users comes to the rescue of failures of state funding. Indeed, states no longer manage to ensure the goal of free care posted in the aftermath of independence [19]. As social insurance was not yet fully effective in Côte d'Ivoire, 74% of respondents were willing to pay a certain amount to benefit from oral health care (table 7). Although this is an expressed desire, the value of the willingness to pay was very variable and related to the heterogeneity of the contributors' ability to pay. Two classes were distinguished: those who could pay between 0.2 $ US and 2 $ US with an average of 1.45 $ US and a standard deviation of 0.62 $ US and those who were willing to pay an amount between 3 $ US and 50 $ US with an average of 8.70 $ US and a standard deviation of 6.90 $ US (table 8). A monthly amount of 2 $ US was therefore retained as the amount to be paid to benefit from a health insurance taking into account oral health care. Indeed, according to Aballea, the ability to pay for care has two thresholds: below a low or very low level of price, the ability to pay is real for most of the population. Above a relatively high price level, a large part of the population is unable to pay for care [20]. The acceptable threshold in our study is therefore around 2 $ US per month, an amount equivalent to the contribution per person instituted by the Government to benefit from health coverage in Côte d'Ivoire. The willingness to pay also varies according to the type of care and can be used as a method of revealing preferences. While this avenue was not explored in our study, in Tanzania it was shown that people were more willing to pay for conservative care (3.5 $ US) than for dental extractions (2.5 $ US). For both types of care, the amounts that people were willing to pay were less than the amounts charged [10]. In Thailand, on the other hand, the willingness of parents to pay for curative or preventive care for their children was almost identical. A strong correlation was observed between willingness to pay and parents' high incomes [21].

Also in our study, the interest of dental office users in a social protection mechanism remains proven (74.0%). The usefulness of such a system, based on the principle of cost sharing, is to protect populations from financial risk so that they are not confronted with catastrophic health expenditures. Indeed, according to the World Health Organization, each year, about 44 million households worldwide, or more than 150 million people, face catastrophic expenditures and some 20 million households (more than 100 million people) fall into poverty because of the cost of health services [22]. For sufficient mobilization of financial resources for health, it is therefore necessary for the whole population to join the social protection system. In return, the health system must provide quality services so that everyone is satisfied with the services they receive for what they pay.

5. Conclusion

The determination of the willingness to pay contributes to the economic rationality in that it allows to determine the rest dependent on the State and thus better guide investments without the participation of the population in financing its health is a burden for her. This study showed that in the face of financial problems related to access to care, the population was willing to pay for the management of their oral health. This measure of willingness to pay could be used in developing countries to improve the conditions for people's participation in financing their health. Thus, achieving universal health coverage as advocated by WHO will become a reality.
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