Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with enhanced CLIP (eCLIP)
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As RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) play essential roles in cellular physiology by interacting with target RNA molecules, binding site identification by UV crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP) of ribonucleoprotein complexes is critical to understanding RBP function. However, current CLIP protocols are technically demanding and yield low-complexity libraries with high experimental failure rates. We have developed an enhanced CLIP (eCLIP) protocol that decreases requisite amplification by ~1,000-fold, decreasing discarded PCR duplicate reads by ~60% while maintaining single-nucleotide binding resolution. By simplifying the generation of paired IgG and size-matched input controls, eCLIP improves specificity in the discovery of authentic binding sites. We generated 102 eCLIP experiments for 73 diverse RBPs in HepG2 and K562 cells (available at https://www.encodeproject.org), demonstrating that eCLIP enables large-scale and robust profiling, with amplification and sample requirements similar to those of ChIP-seq. eCLIP enables integrative analysis of diverse RBPs to reveal factor-specific profiles, common artifacts for CLIP and RNA-centric perspectives on RBP activity.

RBPs have emerged as critical players in regulating gene expression, controlling when, where and at what rate RNAs are processed, trafficked and translated within the cell1. These regulatory roles are essential for normal human physiology, as defects in RBP function are associated with diverse genetic and somatic disorders, such as neurodegeneration, autoimmune defects and cancer2,3. To discover the mechanisms by which RBPs affect RNA processing, technologies such as RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) and CLIP that comprehensively identify the RNA substrates each RBP interacts with are widely used4. When coupled with high-throughput sequencing (-seq), RNA binding sites can be identified with single-nucleotide level resolution in vivo with improvements in CLIP such as photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced CLIP (PAR-CLIP)5 and individual-nucleotide-resolution CLIP (iCLIP)6. However, current CLIP methods are technically challenging, with high experimental failure rates for many users, and sequenced CLIP-seq libraries are often of extremely low complexity: across 279 published CLIP data sets, a median of 83.8% of CLIP-seq reads are discarded as PCR duplicates (Supplementary Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, when iCLIP was performed on a large scale by the ENCODE consortium, the success rate in generating libraries was low for many RBPs, particularly for those lacking canonical RNA binding domains (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Thus, improved library generation efficiency will save significant sequencing costs, greatly enhance technical and biological reproducibility, and enable RBP binding site identification in limiting samples for low-abundance RBPs and for those RBPs with few RNA targets.

RESULTS

Improved RBP target identification with eCLIP

Enhanced CLIP (eCLIP) incorporates modifications of the iCLIP method such as improvements in library preparation of RNA fragments7. In CLIP, RNA–RBP interactions are covalently linked by UV irradiation, and this linkage is followed by fragmentation of RNA (typically by RNase treatment), immunoprecipitation of a targeted protein along with crosslinked RNA, and conversion of that RNA to double-stranded DNA high-throughput sequencing libraries through adapter ligation and reverse transcription (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Protocol 1). We observed that circular ligation like that used in iCLIP is often inefficient, and so we modified this step to add adapters in two separate steps: an indexed 3′ RNA adapter is ligated to the crosslinked RNA fragment while on the immunoprecipitation beads, and a 3′ single-stranded (ss) DNA adapter is ligated after reverse transcription (see Online Methods). As reverse transcription often terminates at the RNA–RBP crosslink site, the ligation of the ssDNA adapter to the cDNA fragments at their 3′ ends maintains the single-nucleotide resolution of iCLIP. The ssDNA adapter (rand3Tr3) contains an in-line random-mer (either N5 or N10) to determine whether two identical sequenced reads indicate two unique
RNA fragments or PCR duplicates of the same RNA fragment. Increased T4 RNA ligase concentration and the addition of high concentrations of polyethylene glycol (PEG8000) and DMSO in these two ligation reactions enable ligation efficiencies of >90% and >70%, respectively, decreasing the loss of RNA fragments due to failed ligation. We also omitted RNA radiolabeling and autoradiographic visualization steps, although these visualizations can identify potential nonantigen contamination for detailed studies of specific factors. These modifications shorten the hands-on time to as few as 4 d (Fig. 1a), and the unique barcodes incorporated during the RNA ligation on immobilization beads allow for pooling of samples before SDS-PAGE gel and subsequent library steps (Supplementary Fig. 2a). After 50 nt paired-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 or 4000 platforms, reads are processed using a CLIP-seq pipeline modified to utilize eCLIP-specific adapters (Supplementary Fig. 2b and Supplementary Protocol 2).

We evaluated eCLIP improvements using the well-characterized RBP RBFOX2. We performed iCLIP and eCLIP in whole-cell extracts from 20 million HEK293T cells using 10 µg of a validated RBFOX2-specific antibody (A300-864A, Bethyl) and size-selected the 50–125 kDa region on the membrane (Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). The iCLIP library required 28 cycles of PCR to obtain 206 fmol of library, whereas two biological replicates of eCLIP required only 16 PCR cycles to obtain 66 and 78 fmol. To simplify comparisons of library yield across experiments, we defined an extrapolated CT (eCT) value as the number of PCR cycles (assuming 100% PCR efficiency) needed to obtain 100 fmol (10 nM in 10 µL) of library. This yielded eCT values of 23.6 for iCLIP and 13.0, and 13.3 for eCLIP.
High-throughput sequencing reads were processed to obtain ‘usable’ reads, defined as reads that map uniquely to the genome and remain after discarding PCR duplicates. We observed that, despite similar fractions of uniquely mapped reads, saturation of unique fragments (other than random-mer sequencing errors, as previously observed\(^\text{[10]}\)) was observed for iCLIP values below 10 million uniquely mapped reads, whereas no saturation was seen with eCLIP at 20 million reads (Fig. 1b,c and Supplementary Fig. 3c). At 20 million uniquely mapped reads, this translates to a 54.4% increase in usable read fraction (from 30.8% usable read fraction in iCLIP to 85.2% in eCLIP). We observed a similar decrease in the required amplification and enrichment for unique reads in eCLIP even for the abundantly expressed RBPs IGF2BP1 and IGF2BP2 in K562 cells (Supplementary Fig. 4a–d), which confirmed that enhanced adapter ligation efficiency significantly improves library complexity for eCLIP experiments.

Examination of individual binding sites revealed comparable read density between iCLIP and eCLIP for RBFOX2 binding sites (Fig. 1d). Using the CLIPper peak-calling algorithm\(^{11}\), we observed that peaks from both iCLIP and eCLIP showed enrichment in proximal and distal introns and were significantly enriched for the RBFOX2 motif (Supplementary Fig. 3f), in agreement with previous RBFOX2 CLIP experiments\(^{9,11}\). We also observed the same stereotypical patterns of read termination (due to reverse transcription termination at the RBP–RNA crosslink site) at two G bases in the canonical UGAUUG as previously described for RBFOX2 (Supplementary Fig. 5a), confirming that the dual adapter ligation strategy maintains the single-nucleotide resolution of iCLIP. We confirmed crosslink dependence of canonical motifs for other factors including TARDBP and PUM2 (Supplementary Fig. 5b–c), and observed enrichment proximal to crosslink sites for other factors such as TRA2A (Supplementary Fig. 5d).

To validate that eCLIP identifies functional sites, we designed antisense oligonucleotides with uniform 2′-O-methoxymethyl-modified nucleotides and a phosphorothioate backbone against the RBFOX2 motif at three RBFOX2 binding sites flanking RBFOX2-dependent alternatively spliced exons. We observed significantly decreased exon inclusion for at least one oligonucleotide for each region (Supplementary Fig. 6a–f), indicating that RBP-blocking oligonucleotides can validate the functional relevance of eCLIP binding sites.

Radiolabeled detection of RBP-associated RNA is used to validate and optimize fragmentation conditions in traditional CLIP methodology for individual RBPs\(^5\). To interrogate the degree to which fragmentation affects eCLIP, we performed eCLIP with various RNase concentrations ranging from 0 U to 2,000 U (per milliliter of lysate) for two RBPs with binding patterns that span the wide spectrum of RNA sizes: RBFOX2, which largely binds to intronic regions within pre-mRNAs that are tens to thousands of kilobases in length, and SLBP, which binds to a canonical hairpin structure in the 3′ end of histone mRNAs that are ~150 nt in length\(^{13}\) (Supplementary Fig. 3c). RBFOX2 binding and motif enrichment were identifiable across a wide range of RNase amounts. At the 40 U concentration, which was near-optimal for RBFOX2 (and other intronic binding RBPs), binding of SLBP to short histone RNAs was still significantly enriched (Supplementary Fig. 7a–f). These results, along with our experiences with the dozens of RBPs described later in this manuscript, indicate that this concentration is appropriate for nearly all RBPs as a robust first pass that identifies region-, peak-, and motif-level signal for both short RNA binding SLBP and intronic pre-mRNA binding RBFOX2. We do note, however, that fragmentation conditions should be initially validated for different cell lines, tissues, or other sample types, as high endogenous RNase activity (such as occurs with pancreatic and liver samples) can lead to overshearing.

**Input normalization improves CLIP signal-to-noise**

The enhanced ligation efficiency allowed the generation of two paired controls that improved specificity to CLIP-seq analysis by removing artifacts, which are often observed in CLIP experiments\(^{14,15}\). First, we produced an eCLIP library from an IgG isotype-only control which required 16.3 eCT, indicating ~9.5-fold less material than the RBFOX2 eCLIP. Second, we sampled 2% of the pre-immunoprecipitation (post-lysis and fragmentation) sample and prepared libraries identically to that of the RBFOX2 eCLIP (including the membrane size-selection step). This ‘size-matched input control’ (SMInput) serves as a crucial control for nonspecific background signal in the identical size range on the membrane as well as any inherent biases in ligation, RT–PCR, gel migration and transfer steps. The incorporation of an input background has long been an essential part of RIP–ChIP and RIP-seq protocols\(^6\), and it has provided significant improvements to ChIP-seq analysis\(^7\), suggesting that incorporating SMInput normalization as a standard could improve our signal-to-noise in identifying authentic RBP binding sites specific to the factor under study. We found that the IgG control was poorly suited for normalization, as it yielded highly PCR-duplicated libraries, and thus focused on normalization against SMInput.

To directly assay the effect of SMInput normalization, we first profiled SLBP, as its exclusive binding to histone RNAs\(^{12}\) distinguishes true from false positive signals. We observed that the RPM (reads per million usable) of most genes was relatively unchanged, with abundant genes such as translation elongation factor eEF2 showing similar read density profiles between SLBP eCLIP and SMInput (Supplementary Fig. 8a,b). However, we observed that histone transcripts comprised 43 of 47 significantly enriched 3′ UTR and 50 of 54 CDS regions, a ~260-fold increase above their transcriptome frequency (Supplementary Fig. 8b). Thus, eCLIP signal at true binding sites is significantly enriched despite the presence of whole transcriptome background.

To quantify the effect of SMInput normalization at the peak level, we first performed traditional CLIP-seq peak calling with the CLIPper algorithm\(^{11}\). Next, the numbers of reads overlapping each CLIPper-identified binding site in eCLIP and SMInput were used to calculate SMInput-normalized significance and fold enrichment (Supplementary Fig. 8c,d). Of the 23,034 SLBP clusters identified as significant (\(P < 0.05\)) by CLIPper, only 284 (1.2%) were enriched above SMInput (defined as at least eightfold, \(P \leq 10^{-5}\) enriched) (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 8e). 251 of these 284 clusters (88.4%) were located within histone RNA molecules, demonstrating the specificity of eCLIP. We further observed that, compared with ranking peaks by CLIPper pre-normalized \(P\)-values, ranking peaks by...
SMInput-normalized P-values significantly enriched for peaks overlapping histone RNAs, indicating that SMInput normalization accentuates true positive peaks compared to standard CLIP analysis (Fig. 2b).

Similarly, we observed that, relative to all 74,902 CLIPper-identified clusters for RBFOX2, the 5,954 significantly enriched peaks were 5.4-fold increased for binding proximal to splicing-array identified alternative splicing events altered upon RBFOX2 depletion (P = 1.6 × 10⁻⁶⁹ by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; Fig. 2c and Supplementary Figs. 9a and 10a–f), and ranking peaks by SMInput-enrichment improved the ranking of peaks flanking RBFOX2-regulated exons relative to ranking by standard peak significance (Supplementary Fig. 9b). For RBFOX2 and for three additional RBPs with known binding specificities (PUM2, TARDBP, and TRA2A), we observed enrichment of the known motif in eCLIP-enriched peaks but not in those ‘depleted’ in eCLIP versus SMInput, providing evidence that these depleted clusters were likely false positive clusters (Supplementary Figs. 9c,d and 11a–c). Thus, we concluded that the incorporation of SMInput normalization significantly improves signal-to-noise in identifying authentic binding sites.

Reproducibility of eCLIP across replicate experiments

Robust identification of RBP binding sites requires that binding signal be reproducibly detectable above both technical and biological variation across independent samples. We observed high correlation for histone RNA enrichment across independent biological replicates for SLBP ($R^2 = 0.50$ for CDS and 0.73 for 3’ UTR, both $P < 10^{-300}$ by standard conversion of $r$ values to $t$ statistics), indicating reproducibility at the level of the entire length of gene regions (Supplementary Fig. 12a). Both SLBP and RBFOX2 binding sites identified in one biological replicate show significant correlation in an independent biological replicate ($R^2 = 0.69$ and 0.33, respectively, both $P < 10^{-70}$) (Supplementary Fig. 12b–d). 79% and 93% of significantly enriched peaks for RBFOX2 and SLBP, respectively, were enriched by at least eightfold above SMInput in an independent biological replicate, indicating high reproducibility at the level of peaks.

Irreproducible discovery rate (IDR) analysis has become routine to assess reproducibility by comparing ranks of ChIP-seq peaks identified across biological samples. To determine the reproducibility of eCLIP, IDR was performed on peaks ranked by eCLIP fold enrichment over SMInput. For RBFOX2, we observed that 6,751 replicating peaks were identified at an IDR threshold of 0.01, a dramatic increase over the 114 observed when comparing RBFOX2 and IgG eCLIP (Fig. 2d). In contrast to the large number of binding sites observed for RBFOX2, IDR analysis on SLBP replicates identified only 160 reproducible peaks (Fig. 2d), validating the specificity for SLBP in binding only to histone RNAs. These results indicate that eCLIP data is highly reproducible.

eCLIP enables large-scale in vivo RBP target profiling

To demonstrate the reliability and simplicity of the eCLIP protocol, we performed 209 eCLIP experiments (each of which included two biological replicates and one paired SMInput control) to profile the targets of 132 RBPs in K562 chronic myelogenous leukemia and 75 RBPs in HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines using antibodies characterized in a large-scale antibody validation effort, the largest effort to date on profiling RBPs under the same conditions with a standard methodology. Out of these 209 experiments, 199 (95.2%) yielded libraries (Fig. 3a). To obtain a baseline reference point for RBPs with different molecular weights, we performed eCLIP with control IgG for 75-kDa-sized regions tiled in 25-kDa increments from 25–100 kDa to 175–250 kDa. We obtained libraries with eCT of 19.3–21.0 for the 25–100 kDa to 150–225 kDa regions, while the largest region


(175–250 kDa) yielded an eCT of 17.7. For 170 RBP eCLIPs (81.3%), both replicates gave libraries with eCT < 19.3 (the minimal IgG eCT corresponding to the typical membrane cut size range for most RBPs), indicating that most libraries contain significant RBP-specific signal (Fig. 3b). HNRNPs and other highly abundant RBPs often had eCT < 13, whereas some RBPs with a smaller target repertoire (for example, SLBP) and many noncanonical RBPs (including those lacking predicted RNA recognition domains) required that eCT > 17. 150 replicates were within 2 eCT (71.8%), indicating a high degree of technical and biological reproducibility regarding the amount of bound RNA recovered and the efficiency of subsequent library preparation (Fig. 3b). We typically observed an ~32-fold decrease in library amount when eCLIP was performed on non-UV-crosslinked samples (Supplementary Fig. 13a), indicating that little RNA is recovered if it is not crosslinked to protein. We observed specific eCLIP profiles for RBPs with known functions throughout RNA transcripts (Supplementary Fig. 13b), confirming that the application of a standardized eCLIP protocol successfully reveals RBP-specific binding profiles.

We observed a high correspondence between eCT and PCR duplicate reads: whereas libraries with eCT < 14 had a median of 91.0% usable (9.0% PCR duplicates), libraries with eCT > 17 had a median of 21.2% usable reads (Supplementary Fig. 13c). Our results with SLBP indicate that RBPs that bind few targets can be profiled accurately from libraries with higher PCR duplication rates, as fewer usable reads are needed to saturate the discovery of true binding events. However, RBPs with more widespread binding may require higher-complexity libraries to robustly identify true binding sites. At this time, 102 of these experiments pass additional quality control criteria (requiring both samples to meet a minimum usable read depth and show reproducible binding signal) and have been deposited for public release at the ENCODE web portal (https://www.encodeproject.org; Supplementary Table 2). As a comparison of the eCLIP results against other published CLIP-seq experiments, we collated 127 public iCLIP data sets (including 12 generated in-house as part of the ENCODE efforts) and 152 other public CLIP-seq data sets (including PAR-CLIP and HITS-CLIP; Supplementary Table 1). We observed dramatic improvement both in the fraction of usable reads and in absolute usable read numbers, with the median usable read percent increasing from 13.9% (iCLIP) and 19.7% (other CLIP) to 84.1% for eCLIP (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 13d), confirming that eCLIP improves efficiency compared with previously published CLIP data.

**CLIP experiments share common artifacts across RBPs**

This large set of distinct RBPs offered a unique opportunity to characterize common artifacts in CLIP experiments. We observed that 24,444 of 74,902 (32.6%) RBFOX2 clusters and 1,616 of 21,418 (7%) SLBP clusters identified as significant (P ≤ 0.05) by CLIPper were in fact depleted when compared to SMInput (Fig. 3c), indicating that they are likely to be false positives in standard CLIP analysis. While 84% of intronic clusters for RBFOX2 were enriched above input, only 36% of clusters within coding exons were similarly enriched. Other regions showed even higher rates of these likely false positive CLIP signals: 86% of clusters mapping to transcripts encoded on the mitochondrial chromosome and 90% of those overlapping snoRNAs were in fact depleted in RBFOX2 eCLIP relative to SMInput (Fig. 4a). Performing similar SMInput-normalization for all 102 experiments, we observed that the identification of CLIP-depleted clusters within mitochondria-encoded RNA molecules and many classes of ncRNA (including snoRNA, snRNA, and rRNA) was consistent across many data
sets (Fig. 4b). These regions often had stereotypical peak shapes and significant read numbers, and may represent either IgG (or similar) artifacts or simply low-level nonspecific signal remaining after IP (Supplementary Fig. 14a,b). Our findings emphasize that CLIP analysis (particularly without proper input or other controls) that focuses on these classes of binding events should be carefully validated because of the high rate of false positives. However, we observed that BMP-enriched signal could be observed for a small number of RBPs at these loci (including mitochondrial factor AUH and known snRNA-binding factors LARP7, SMNDC1, and PRF8 on snRNAs\(^ {21,22}\), Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 14a–c). Thus, SMInput normalization can identify true RNA binding events even at common false positive regions and can help characterize the wide array of RBPs known to directly regulate mitochondrial and small RNA processes and function\(^ {23,24}\).

**RNA-centric views of RBP-interactions**

Leveraging the scale of eCLIP data generated, we asked whether we could identify RBPs with high specificity and affinity to specific RNAs in an RNA-centric manner for four abundant RNAs: the 7SK snRNA, the histone RNA family, and lncRNAs XIST and MALAT1, both of which have previously been described as common false positives in CLIP data\(^ {15}\). Despite 7SK having a median RPM greater than 1,000 across all data sets, we observed a >21-fold enrichment for LARP7 (a 7SK complex component\(^ {25}\)) on the 7SK snRNA with no others above threefold enriched (Supplementary Figs. 14b and 15a,b). Similarly, SLBP was >71-fold enriched at histone RNA molecules, with no other RBP greater than sevenfold enriched (Supplementary Fig. 15c). Considering a longer lncRNA, we observed that four RBPs (HNRNPK, PTBP1, HNRNPM, and SRSF1) exhibited a greater than two-fold enrichment on the XIST RNA, with each binding distinct loci along the transcript (Supplementary Fig. 15d,e). These results corroborate recently published RNA affinity purification and mass spectrometry profiling as well as other work on XIST that have identified these four factors\(^ {26–28}\), in particular the localized enrichment for SRSF1 at the 5′ A-repeat on XIST\(^ {28}\).

Finally, we considered binding to lncRNA MALAT1, which has presented a consistent challenge for analysis in many CLIP experiments because of its high abundance (>600 RPM across all 204 experiments; Supplementary Fig. 15f). By ranking eCLIP data sets according to the peak with the greatest fold enrichment above SMInput, we observed multiple RBPs with strikingly specific binding to regions of MALAT1 (Fig. 4c,d, Supplementary Fig. 15g). Our results validate previously described binding of TARDBP\(^ {29}\), and localized binding of splicing machinery factors...
U2AF1 and U2AF2 suggests that MALAT1 regulation of splicing may extend beyond described roles in modulating serine–arginine-rich splicing regulatory proteins. These results confirm that properly normalized eCLIP data can robustly distinguish false positive signals from true binding events even on abundant noncoding RNA molecules, and show that large-scale eCLIP data permits RNA-centric views of RBP association.

**DISCUSSION**

eCLIP provides a robust, standardized framework for large-scale generation of transcriptome-wide binding maps for RBPs. eCLIP maintains the single-nucleotide resolution identification of RBP binding sites from previous methods, dramatically decreases required amplification and greatly enhances the rate of success at generating libraries with high usable read percentages across diverse RBPs. Additionally, the paired size-matched input controls improve the signal-to-noise ratio for discovery of authentic sites. As such, eCLIP empowers large-scale RBPome-wide profiling efforts, simultaneously allowing binding site identification with decreased sample requirements and high reproducibility for individual studies.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

**Accession codes.** All 293T data sets (including SLBP and RBFOX2 eCLIP, RBFOX2 ICLIP, and microarrays profiling RBFOX2 knockdown) have been deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE77634). K562 and HepG2 eCLIP data sets have been deposited for public release at the ENCODE Data Coordination Center (https://www.encodeproject.org), with accession identifiers listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

eCLIP-seq library preparation. (See Supplementary Protocol 1 for detailed Standard Operating Procedures for ENCODE-style eCLIP experiments, including oligonucleotide sequences, catalog numbers for all reagents, and specific details for eCLIP experiments.) RNA binding protein (RBP)–RNA interactions were stabilized with UV crosslinking (254 nm, 400 mJ/cm²), followed by lysis in 1 mL of iCLIP lysis buffer, limited digestion with RNase I (Ambion), immunoprecipitation of RBP–RNA complexes with a specific primary antibody of interest (at 10 µg per mL of lysis) using magnetic beads with precoupled secondary antibody (typically M-280 Sheep Anti-Rabbit IgG Dynabeads, ThermoFisher Scientific 11204D), and stringent washes. After dephosphorylation with FastAP (ThermoFisher) and T4 PNK (NEB), a barcoded RNA adapter was ligated to the 3’ end (T4 RNA Ligase, NEB). (At this step, multiple replicates of the same RBP, or potentially RBPs of similar size and bound RNA amount, can be uniquely barcoded and pooled after ligation to simplify downstream steps. See Supplementary Fig. 2a.) Ligations were performed on-bead (to allow washing away unincorporated adapter) in high concentration of PEG8000, which improves ligation efficiency to >90%. Samples were then run on standard protein gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and a region 75 kDa (~220 nt of RNA) was cropped to isolate RNA. RNA was reverse transcribed with AffinityScript (Agilent), and treated with ExoSAP-IT (Affymetrix) to remove excess oligonucleotides. A second DNA adapter (containing a motif finding for RBFOX2 superlocal–threshold-method binomial–save-pickle, considering random 2 only (the read that is enriched for termination at the crosslink site). For visualization on the UCSC Genome Browser, all tracks were RPM (reads per million) normalized against the total number of usable reads in that data set.

Downsampling analysis was performed by 100 iterations of randomly permuting the uniquely mapped reads, selecting the top N reads, and performing PCR duplicate removal to identify usable reads. For iCLIP experiments with multiple libraries generated from different cDNA sizes (low, medium, and high) per sample, only the library with the highest percent usable was used for downsampling analysis. De novo motif finding for RBFOX2

eCLIP and eCLIP were performed with HOMER's findMotifs program (–p 4 –rna –S 10 –len 5,6,7,8,9), with cluster sequences compared against a set of background 'clusters' where three random same-sized regions were selected for each real CLIP cluster corresponding to the same type of genic region (for example, selected from introns, 3’ UTRs, etc.). Cluster location pie charts were determined by counting the total number of bases covered by peaks for each given region type.

For RBFOX2 RNase I shearing analysis, each cluster was annotated according to which genic region it overlapped (using the same priority as above for region-level analysis), and the number of peaks annotated as overlapping each genic region type were identified. For analysis of RBFOX2, PUM2, TARDBP, and TRA2A motif enrichment before and after SMInput normalization, clusters were extended to a minimum of 100 nt centered around the midpoint of the CLIPper-identified clusters, and the sequences in each cluster were randomly shuffled 10 times to generate the sequence background.

Normalization of eCLIP signal against SMInput. To perform peak-level input normalization, SMInput samples were processed identically to eCLIP samples through the usable read stage. The number of eCLIP reads overlapping CLIPper-identified peaks and the number overlapping the identical genomic region in the paired SMInput sample were counted and used to calculate fold enrichment (normalized by total usable read counts in each data set), with enrichment P-value calculated by Yates' Chi-Square test
(Perl) (or Fisher Exact Test (calculated in the R statistical computing software) where the observed or expected read number was below 5), which have minimal reportable P-values of $10^{-88}$ (for Chi-Square) and $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ (for Fisher Exact). Region-level analysis was performed by counting mapped reads along all transcripts in Gencode v19 (‘comprehensive’). Reads were then associated with regions with the following priority: coding transcripts (CDS, then 5’ and/or 3’ UTR, then intron), followed by non-coding transcripts (exon, then intron), requiring the majority of the read to overlap that region. A minimum of 10 observed or expected (extrapolated by taking eCLIP RPM and normalizing to SMInput total read depth) reads were required for a gene to be considered in region-based fold-enrichment analyses.

To identify motifs with single-nucleotide resolution, the 5’ end of each usable Read2 was identified, and each k-mer ranging 100 nt on each side of this position was counted for each eCLIP data set (discarding k-mers with unknown sequence (Ns)), and normalized against the count observed in the paired SMInput data set (Figures typically show smaller flanking regions to focus on enrichment proximal to crosslink sites). As iCLIP has no paired input, the SMInput from eCLIP (Rep1) was used for normalization in Supplementary Figure 5a.

**IDR analysis.** IDR was performed on both the RBFOX2 and SLBP SMInput normalized peaks by ranking peaks by enrichment P-value and performing the 2012 ENCODE IDR Pipeline as documented at https://sites.google.com/site/anshulkundaje/projects/idr.

**Public CLIP Database and iCLIP data processing.** All data was downloaded directly from the SRA/ERA (listed in Supplementary Table 1), and processed similar to eCLIP-seq, with distinctions described below. Adapter trimming (cutadapt) was only performed once. Data sets with fewer than 100,000 uniquely mapped reads were discarded. PCR duplicate removal was performed according to library preparation: for iCLIP data sets, randommers (if present) were removed from the reads and used for PCR duplicate removal as described for eCLIP experiments above; for HITS-CLIP and PAR-CLIP data sets, more than one read mapping with the same start position was assumed to be a PCR duplicate and removed. As for eCLIP, only nonduplicate reads were used for peak calling. Usable read density plots and smoothed kernel density histograms were generated in Matlab with the distributionPlot package with default settings.

**Blocking RBP binding by antisense oligonucleotide.** Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) treatments were performed by transfecting 293T cells in 24 well format with 1.5 μL of RNAiMax (Thermo Fisher) and 100 μM of antisense oligonucleotide (Isis Pharmaceuticals). Complexes were incubated in 50 μL of OptiMEM (Thermo Fisher) for 30 min, added to cells, and incubated for 36 h, after which RNA was isolated using standard TRIzol extraction (Thermo Fisher). Splicing was assayed by RT-PCR using SuperScript III (Thermo Fisher) and Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher), with primers located in flanking constitutive exons. Exon inclusion percentage (PSI) was calculated by ImageJ quantification of agarose gel electrophoresis and imaging of exclusion and inclusion PCR products. Error bars indicate sample s.d., with P-value calculated by Student’s t-test. ASOs targeting different RBFOX2 binding sites were used as controls as follows: ECT2_ASO1/2 for NDEL1 experiments, MPZL1_ASO1/2, EPB41_ASO1/2, and LRRFIP2_ASO1/2 for ECT2 experiments, and ANKRD26_ASO1/2, FAM190Bx_ASO1, and DOCK7_ASO1/2 for EPB41 experiments (Supplementary Table 3).

**RBFOX2-knockdown transcriptome profiling by microarray.** To profile RBFOX2-responsive splicing events, 3 independent lentiviral transductions were performed in 293T cells for each of 3 RBFOX2-targeting shRNAs (TRCN0000074544, TRCN0000074546, and TRCN0000074543, Sigma) plus a nontargeting control (SHC016, Sigma). After selection with Puromycin for 10 days, cells were harvested for protein and RNA (isolated by Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) extraction). RBFOX2 protein knockdown was validated by standard western blotting using RBFOX2 (A300-864A, Bethyl) and GAPDH (ab8245, Abcam), imaged using the Odyssey fluorescent imager (LiCor), and quantitated using Image Studio Lite (LiCor) with median local background correction. RNA samples for microarray profiling were prepared using WT Expression Kit (Ambion), and hybridized to Affymetrix HTA2.0 microarrays. After scanning, all probes were RNA-normalized (Affymetrix Expression Console). All probes corresponding to cassette exons profiled on the microarray (comprising exclusion junction, upstream and downstream inclusion junction, and inclusion exonic probes) were identified and normalized against the average signal on a per-gene basis to remove gene expression changes (Supplementary Fig. 10d). Student’s t-test was performed on residuals for inclusion probes and exclusion probes separately to identify robust splicing changes; a set of 197 and 217 exons for TRCN0000074544 and TRCN0000074543, respectively, met criteria of $P \leq 0.001$ for either inclusion or exclusion probes and a combined $|\text{SepScore}| \geq 0.5$, where SepScore is defined as the normalized change in exclusion minus the normalized change in inclusion. For overlap with eCLIP data, eCLIP peaks were associated with a cassette exon if they were located at any position in the flanking upstream or downstream intron. As all three shRNAs gave similar results (Supplementary Fig. 10e), eCLIP analyses shown use the 197 events identified from TRCN0000074544.

**Code availability.** Custom code used is available at https://github.com/gpratt/gatk/releases/tag/2.3.2, and described in Supplementary Protocol 2.