Long-term effects on bone mineral density after four years of treatment with two intensive combination strategies, including initially high-dose prednisolone, in early rheumatoid arthritis patients: the COBRA-light trial
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Abstract
Summary In this study, no difference in bone loss was observed between patients with early RA initially treated with COBRA-light (including initially 30 mg/day prednisolone) and patients treated with COBRA (including initially 60 mg/day prednisolone) during 4-year observation.

Purpose To assess changes in bone mineral density (BMD) after 4 years in early rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients initially treated with COBRA-light or COBRA therapy.

Methods In a 1 year, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority trial, patients were assigned to COBRA-light (methotrexate 25 mg/week plus initially prednisolone 30 mg/day) or COBRA (methotrexate 7.5 mg/week, sulfasalazine 2 g/day plus initially prednisolone 60 mg/day) therapy. After 1 year, antirheumatic treatment was at the discretion of treating rheumatologists. BMD was measured at baseline and after 1, 2 and 4 years at hips and lumbar spine with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. BMD changes between treatment strategies on average over time were compared with GEE analysis.

Results Data from 155 out of 162 patients could be analysed: 68% were female with a mean age of 52 (SD 13) years. Both COBRA-light and COBRA therapy showed declines in BMD at the total hip of $-3.3\%$ and $-1.7\%$, respectively ($p = 0.12$), and the femoral neck, $-3.7\%$ and $-3.0\%$, respectively ($p = 0.95$). At the lumbar spine, both treatment groups showed minor decline in BMD over 4 years: $-0.5\%$ and $-1.0\%$, respectively ($p = 0.10$).

Conclusion In a treat-to-target design in early RA, over 4 years, no differences between groups were found in change in BMD at total hip, femoral neck and the lumbar spine. At the hip, bone loss was around 3% in both groups, while mild bone loss was observed at lumbar spine, both in patients starting prednisolone 60 and 30 mg/day. These data suggest that the well-known negative effects of prednisolone can be modulated by modern treatment of RA.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, potentially disabling, autoimmune disorder, which not only affects the synovial tissue but also the bone structure itself. The inflammatory cascade, starting in the synovial tissue, results in a shift in osteoclast and osteoblast balance in favour of the osteoclasts. As a result, patients may develop both local bone loss, the so-called juxta-articular osteoporosis, erosions and generalised bone loss, which is associated with an increased fracture risk [1–5]. Osteoporosis is a well-known side effect of glucocorticoid (GC) treatment, especially at high doses, caused by a direct inhibition of bone formation and an early increase in bone resorption [6–10].

In the COmbinatietherapie Bij Reumatoide Artritis (COBRA)-light trial, patients were treated with either COBRA-light (initially prednisolone 30 mg/day and methotrexate (MTX) up to 25 mg/week) or COBRA therapy (initially prednisolone 60 mg/day, MTX 7.5 mg/week and sulfasalazine 2 g/day (SSZ)). In this trial, COBRA-light proved to be as effective as COBRA in decreasing disease activity, after 1 and 4 years [5, 11]. Since the negative effects of GCs on bone and fractures are dose related, some worries remained about the possible negative effects of the daily use of initially 30- or 60-mg prednisolone on bone [8, 12].

The aim of this sub-study was to investigate the difference in BMD changes over 4 years in early RA patients treated, with initially high-dose prednisolone during the first year, as part of either COBRA-light or COBRA therapy.

Materials and methods

Study population

All patients participated in the multicentre, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority COBRA-light trial. In- and exclusion criteria, randomisation process and study design of the COBRA-light trial (ISRCTN Clinical Trial Registration Number: 55552928) have been described earlier [7]. In short, patients with recent-onset RA, who were disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) and prednisolone naive, were included from March 2008 to April 2011, in Amsterdam UMC, location VU University Medical Center, Reade Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Center, and Westfriesgasthuis Alkmaar, the Netherlands. Last patient visit was in April 2015. In total, 164 patients were randomised into two treatment strategies: COBRA-light or COBRA. Treatment goal was reaching minimal disease activity, defined as a disease activity score in 44 joints (DAS44) below 1.6 at every three-monthly visit. If the threshold of DAS44 < 1.6 was not met, therapy was intensified, either by increasing MTX in the COBRA group or starting MTX subcutaneous. If that was not sufficient to reach a DAS44 < 1.6, etanercept was added at week 26 or 39. Treatment steps in the first year were according to protocol. After 1 year, the treatment was at the discretion of the treating rheumatologist, usually aimed at clinical remission or minimal disease activity. Throughout the entire study, use of calcium/vitamin D supplements was at the discretion of the treating rheumatologist, whereas bisphosphonates were prescribed according to the guidelines for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis [7]. COBRA-light therapy proved to be non-inferior to COBRA therapy with respect to disease activity, functional outcome and radiographic progression in early RA patients, after 6 and 12 months of treatment [5, 7]. The clinical records were examined from baseline until the 4-year visit to register duration, mean and cumulative dosage of prednisolone use.

The primary outcome of this sub-study was the difference in mean change in BMD at the lumbar spine between COBRA-light and COBRA therapy groups after 4 years. The secondary outcome measures were the mean differences in BMD change at the femoral neck and at the total hip between both treatment groups.

The medical ethics committee of the VU University Medical Center approved this study. All participating patients gave written informed consent, and the study was conducted in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki/Good Clinical Practice.

Bone mineral density

BMD was measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) at the lumbar spine (L1-L4), total hip and femoral neck, according to protocol of the manufacturer. In total, 64 patients received a DXA scan on a Hologic Delphi (Hologic, Inc.: Bedford, MA, USA) equipment, used at location VU University Medical Center [13]. One hundred patients were scanned on a GE Lunar idDXA (GE Corporate, Madison, WI, USA) in Reade [13]. All patients had their baseline and subsequent scans on the same device, and each device was calibrated on a daily basis using a phantom. Results were expressed as absolute BMD in g/cm² and T-scores. A T-score below −2.5 SD, based on the lowest T-score at lumbar spine or total hip, was defined as having osteoporosis [14]. BMD was measured at baseline, and after 1-year (T1), 2-year (T2) and 4-year follow-up (T4), the latter having a range of 34 to 74 months, and was not blinded to patients and imaging personnel, and collected as secondary outcome.

Fractures

Data on incident clinical fractures were prospectively collected during each visit, as secondary outcome. At the 4-year visit, X-rays of the thoracic and lumbar spine were analysed according to Genant’s method to identify morphometric vertebral fractures [15]. A reduction of at least 20% in vertebral height
was considered a morphometric vertebral fracture. A vertebral fracture at baseline with a decrease of more than 10% in vertebral height after 4 years was considered a clinically relevant ‘new morphometric vertebral fracture’ during follow-up [11, 15]. Two assessors (IB, WFL) scored the X-rays, unaware of the treatment group. When no spine X-ray or an incomplete X-ray was available for assessment, chest X-rays were used [11, 15].

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean (SD), percentage or median (interquartile range (IQR)) if the variable had a skewed distribution. To calculate the mean BMD values as well as the T-scores at each yearly visit, missing data was imputed according to the linear regression technique: the BMD measurement before and after the missing data was used to calculate the mean change over time between those two time periods. In case of a missing BMD value at baseline or week 208, the mean of the two BMD measurements at week 52 and 104 was used to calculate the missing value of week 208 by extracting the mean change from the week 104 BMD value and for week 0 by adding the mean change to the week 52 value. Patients were excluded from the analyses if more than two DXA scans were missing.

Generalised estimating equation (GEE) analysis with exchangeable correlation matrix was used to compare the differences in BMD changes between treatment strategies on average over time, allowing analyses of repeated measurements (three time moments). These analyses did not include the imputed data, as GEE analyses are developed to take into account missing data and adjust for these missing data accordingly. Analyses were corrected for bisphosphonate use over 4 years (yes versus no), cumulative GC use, age, gender and minimal disease activity defined as DAS44 < 1.6.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS statistics V24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A two-sided \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

From the initial 164 patients in the COBRA-light trial, two patients did not initiate treatment because of withdrawal of informed consent directly after randomisation. These patients were not included in the intention-to-treat analyses. In total, 155 (95%) patients had a 4-year follow-up with at least 2 DEXA scans, of which 76 received COBRA-light therapy and 79 COBRA therapy (Figs. 1). In the COBRA group, there were 62 patients with 4 scans, 13 patients with 3 scans, 4 patients with 2 scans, 2 patients with only 1 scan and 0 patients without any scan. In the COBRA-light group, these numbers were 64, 12, 1 and 4, respectively. In total, 7 patients had none or only one BMD measurement and were therefore excluded from the analyses of this sub-study, and data of the patients with 1 or 2 missing DEXA scans were imputed. Of 13 patients (equally distributed between both strategies), some scans were technically inadequate, and data of these scans were also imputed. The mean (SD) age was 52 (13) years; 68% were female. Baseline characteristics and demographic variables of both groups were similar, as shown in Table 1. After 4 years, the percentage of patients reaching minimal disease activity (DAS44 < 1.6) was 49% (\( n = 34 \)) in the COBRA-light and 50% (\( n = 38 \)) in the COBRA group.

Change in BMD over 4 years

The BMD at the total hip declined from 0.96 at baseline to 0.92 in COBRA-light after 4 years (see Fig. 2 and Supplementary file 1), which is equivalent to a 3.3% decrease in 4 years. Within the COBRA group, the decline was less: from 0.95 to 0.94 after 4 years, equivalent to a 1.7% decrease. The mean difference on BMD change over 4 years between the two groups at the total hip was \( -0.02 \) (95% CI [−0.04; 0.00], \( p = 0.12 \); see Table 2), corrected for bisphosphonate usage (yes versus no), cumulative prednisolone dosage, age, gender and disease activity based on DAS44 (DAS44 < 1.6 versus DAS44 ≥ 1.6). At the lumbar spine, the mean difference between the two groups was similar to that of the total hip, but with a proportion bone loss of 0.5% in the COBRA-light group and 1.0% in the COBRA group (Fig. 2). At the femoral neck, the amount of bone loss was larger: \( -3.7\% \) and \( -3.0\% \) for the COBRA-light and COBRA group, respectively, with an adjusted mean difference of \( -0.00 \) (95% CI [−0.02; 0.02], \( p = 0.95 \)).

The results of the crude analyses can be found in Supplementary file 2.

Bisphosphonate and prednisolone use over 4 years

The percentage of bisphosphonate use at any time during the trial period was 29% (\( n = 21 \)) for the COBRA-light group versus 23% (\( n = 17 \)) in the COBRA group (\( p = 0.45 \)). At the 4-year visit, bisphosphonate use was only 11% (\( n = 8 \)) versus 4% (\( n = 3 \)) for COBRA-light and COBRA therapy, respectively (\( p = 0.11 \)). Within the COBRA strategy, around 1/3 of patients used calcium/vitamin D supplements compared to minimal use in the COBRA-light group in the first year of treatment. In years 2 to 4, hardly any patients used calcium/vitamin D supplements (data not shown).

The results of prednisolone use during the 4-year follow-up have been published before in Konijn et al. [11]. In short, after 1 year, 41% (\( n = 29 \)) of the COBRA-light patients and 43% of the COBRA patients continued prednisolone (\( p = 0.83 \)). After 4 years, these numbers were 19 and 22%, respectively (\( p = 0.69 \)). The cumulative median prednisolone dosage over the
4-year follow-up period was 2.6 g (IQR 1.9–5.9) in the COBRA-light group and 3.2 g (IQR 2.5–6.2) in the COBRA group, with a median daily dose of 8.1 mg (IQR 7.4–8.1) and 9.6 mg (IQR 8.0–10.4), respectively (Table 3). The median duration of prednisolone use was 324 (IQR 239–899) and 348 (IQR 239–738) days, respectively [8]. These differences correspond to the difference in initial treatment strategy: after the first 9 weeks, both therapy groups had reduced the prednisolone dose according to protocol to 7.5 mg per day. The median daily dose as of 10 weeks to 4 years was 6.2 mg/day (IQR 6.2–6.2) in COBRA-light therapy versus 6.4 mg/day (IQR 6.1–7.0) in COBRA therapy, showing a nonsignificant minimal difference in prednisolone dosage during the follow-up period ($p = 0.64$).

There were no significant differences between both therapy groups in cumulative prednisolone dosage ($p = 0.39$) or the amount of days using prednisolone ($p = 0.85$), although the median daily prednisolone dose did significantly differ between both groups ($p < 0.005$), in favour of COBRA-light. Of the patients still on prednisolone at 4 years, the median daily dose after 4 years did not significantly differ: 7.6 Mg/day (IQR 6.7–7.9) in the COBRA-light group and 7.5 mg/day (IQR 6.9–8.4) in the COBRA group ($p = 0.65$). The median cumulative prednisolone dosage was 9.0 g (IQR 7.8–11.1) in

**Fig. 1** Flow chart of Combinatietherapie Bij Reumatoïde Artritis (COBRA)-light trial. Adaptation of Fig. 1 from ter Wee et al. (2014) (5) DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; T4, measurement after 4 years

**Fig. 2** Mean changes in BMD over 4 years at the total hip, femoral neck and lumbar spine. Data are expressed as mean percentage from baseline. Grey, COBRA-light therapy; black, COBRA therapy. $N = 68$ or greater at all time points. COBRA, Combinatietherapie Bij Reumatoïde Artritis; BMD, bone mineral density; T1, measurement after 1 year; T2, measurement after 2 years; T4, measurement after 4 years
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the COBRA-light group and 10.1 g (IQR 7.1–13.1) in the COBRA group \( (p = 0.83) \).

**Fractures and osteoporosis**

Of the 155 patients included in this sub-study, 21 patients had no recent X-ray available with a follow-up of at least 3 years after inclusion. Therefore, fracture data was only available in 134 patients (63 COBRA-light and 71 COBRA). In the COBRA-light therapy group, 11 patients (17%) with a nonvertebral fracture were documented compared to seven (11%) in the COBRA therapy group after 4 years \( (p = 0.21) \; \text{Table 3} \). In both groups, eight patients with one new morphometric vertebral fractures were found. In the COBRA-light therapy group, two (3%) patients had a clinical vertebral fracture, and one patient in the COBRA-light therapy group had both a vertebral and a nonvertebral fracture. Of 5 patients (8%) in the COBRA-light group, only lateral chest X-rays at baseline were present, compared to 10 (14%) in the COBRA group. Of these patients, 3 in the COBRA-light group and 6 in the COBRA group had one or two newly diagnosed thoracic or lumbar fractures during the follow-up period. The total number of patients with a nonvertebral and vertebral fracture was 19 in the COBRA-light group versus 14 in the COBRA group \( (p = 0.17) \).

There was no significant difference in bone loss at the lumbar spine \( (p = 0.99) \), total hip \( (p = 0.77) \) or femoral neck \( (p = 0.81) \) between patients having a nonvertebral fracture during follow-up or not, irrespectively of treatment group. There were also no differences between therapy groups in possible known risk factors for fractures from the literature [16–18]: age \( (p = 0.43) \), BMI \( (p = 0.40) \), previous fractures \( (p = 0.17) \), menopausal state \( (p = 0.32) \), currently smoking \( (p = 0.49) \), a history of smoking \( (p = 0.84) \) or having at least one fall during follow-up \( (p = 0.36) \).

At all follow-up measurements, there were no significant differences in the prevalence of osteoporosis, defined as a T-score below \(-2.5\) SD at the spine and/or the hip, between both treatment groups \( \text{Table 3} \). Over 4 years, clinically relevant bone loss (defined as a predefined arbitrary difference as more than 5% bone loss, based on literature [6]) was found at the total hip in 28% \( (n = 21) \) of the COBRA-light–treated patients versus 26% \( (n = 20) \) of the COBRA-treated patient \( (p = 0.78) \). At the lumbar spine, more than 5% bone loss was found in 31% \( (n = 23) \) versus 27% \( (n = 21) \), respectively \( (p = 0.58) \), and 41% \( (n = 31) \) versus 37% \( (n = 29) \), respectively at the femoral neck \( (p = 0.60) \). When pooling all patients, clinically relevant bone loss after 1 year was found in 1.3% of the 155 patients at the total, 8.9% at the lumbar spine, and 7.7% at the femoral neck.

**Table 1** Demographic variables at baseline

|                          | COBRA-light \( n=76 \) | COBRA \( n=79 \) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Female, \( n \) (%)      | 52 (68)                | 53 (67)          |
| Age, years               | 51 (13)                | 53 (13)          |
| Disease duration, weeks, median (IQR) | 16 (8–35) | 16 (9–28) |
| RF positive, \( n \) (%) | 43 (57)                | 45 (57)          |
| Anti-CCP positive, \( n \) (%) | 50 (66) | 50 (63) |
| Erosions, \( n \) (%)    | 2 (3)                  | 5 (6)            |
| DAS44-score              | 4.0 (0.93)             | 4.1 (0.75)       |
| DAS28-score              | 5.3 (1.2)              | 5.6 (1.1)        |
| HAQ-score                | 1.3 (0.73)             | 1.4 (0.64)       |
| BMI, median (IQR)        | 24.6 (21.2–28.5)       | 24.7 (21.9–26.9) |
| Familiar history of osteoporosis, \( n \) (%) | 15 (20) | 18 (23) |
| Smoking, \( n \) (%)     | 20 (26)                | 24 (30)          |
| Previous fractures, \( n \) (%) | 21 (28) | 30 (38) |
| Alcohol use, \( n \) (%) | 59 (78)                | 57 (72)          |
| Calcium intake, mg/day, median (IQR) | 765 (585–1050) | 765 (585–920) |
| Postmenopausal status, \( n \) (%) of women | 24 (59) | 31 (69) |
| T-score lumbar spine     | \(-0.61 (1.2)\)        | \(-0.44 (1.4)\) |
| T-score total hip        | \(-0.51 (0.99)\)       | \(-0.53 (1.1)\)  |
| T-score femoral neck     | \(-0.81 (0.95)\)       | \(-0.72 (1.1)\)  |

Data are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise stated
RF, rheumatoid factor; anti-CCP, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide; DAS44, 44-joint count disease activity score; DAS28, 28-joint count disease activity score; HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range
Discussion

This 4-year trial follow-up study showed that in early RA patients initially treated with intermediate (30 mg/day) or high-dose (60 mg/day) prednisolone, no significant difference was found in change in BMD at the hips and spine: 3% bone loss at the hips and mild bone loss (0.5–1.0%) at the lumbar spine. This finding is consistent with results of other studies reporting more pronounced bone loss in the hip as compared to lumbar spine in RA patients treated with GC [6, 19–21].

The overall limited bone loss over 4 years in early RA patients treated with GCs, demonstrated in this study, is remarkable. It has been suggested that the negative effects of prednisolone on the bone mass in RA patients are counteracted by their favourable suppressive effects on the activity of the underlying disease, which might explain the limited bone loss occurring during both treat-to-target designs with initially high-dose prednisolone [21–24]. Another factor that also might have contributed to the limited bone loss is the on average short disease duration of only 16 weeks (IQR 9.0–30.0) and a relatively young patient population with a mean age of 52 (SD 13) years. The limited bone loss found in this study was similar with the average bone loss of postmenopausal women, around 1–2.5% per year at the femoral neck and 1–2% per year at the lumbar spine, as 64% of women in this patient population were postmenopausal at baseline [25, 26]. Before starting the study, bone loss of 5% or more was arbitrary defined as clinically relevant in this study. We observed more than 5% bone loss at the total hips in 28 and 26% of the patients and at the lumbar spine in 31 and 27% of the patients, in the COBRA-light and COBRA group, respectively. Although this seems to be substantial, it is important to realize that two-thirds of our patients were women, with a mean age of 52 years, thus early postmenopausal women with active RA at baseline, and that we observed these patients over 4 years.

Comparison with other studies concerning BMD changes during COBRA therapy is speculative because of differences in follow-up duration, study design and study population between studies. However, the results of the present COBRA-light study seem to be in line with the results of the original COBRA study [6, 27], reporting a slightly higher decline in BMD at the femoral neck (−1.9%) after 56 weeks of COBRA therapy [6] and a mean reduction in BMD at the lumbar spine of −1.3% (95% CI [−2.3; 0.4]) [27]. In the present study, the mean decline in BMD over 4 years at the lumbar spine seemed to be smaller, probably due to the more aggressive treat-to-target strategy and attention to bone saving therapies such as bisphosphonates. Although the difference in the percentage of calcium/vitamin D users in the first year was substantial, we do not think that this difference had a large effect on the changes in BMD, as the effects of prednisolone, disease activity and bisphosphonates on BMD are much stronger.

Table 2

| Bone density | COBRA-light | COBRA | Difference between COBRA-light and COBRA | Mean difference | 95% CI | p |
|--------------|-------------|-------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Total hip    | Baseline    | T4    | % Bone loss after 4 years                 | −3.3           | −0.5  | 0.5 |
|              | Mean (SD)   | Mean (SD) | Mean difference** | −0.012          | −0.02; 0.02 | 0.12 |
| Lumbar spine | Baseline    | T4    | % Bone loss after 4 years                 | −3.0           | −1.0  | 1.0 |
|              | Mean (SD)   | Mean (SD) | Mean difference** | −0.012          | −0.02; 0.02 | 0.12 |
| Femoral neck | Baseline    | T4    | % Bone loss after 4 years                 | −3.7           | −1.7  | 1.7 |
|              | Mean (SD)   | Mean (SD) | Mean difference** | −0.012          | −0.02; 0.02 | 0.12 |

*Significant decrease in absolute BMD between baseline and T4 (p < 0.05)**. Adjusted for bisphosphonate usage (yes versus no), cumulative prednisolone dosage, age, gender and disease activity based on DAS44 (DAS44 < 1.6 versus DAS44 ≥ 1.6).

BMD, bone mineral density; T4, measurement after 4 years; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval.
Compared to other studies on the influence of a treat-to-target design on bone mass in early RA patients, bone loss at the total hip and the lumbar spine found in this study were similar to the findings of the BeSt study [28]: an average bone loss of $-1.0\%$ at the lumbar spine and $-0.8\%$ at total hip after 1 year. Haugeberg et al. reported a bone loss of $-2.9$ to $-4.0\%$ at the hip after 5 years and only $-0.5\%$ at the lumbar spine [20], while the mean prednisolone dose used (5 mg/day) was lower than in our study. The discrepancy between the relatively small changes in BMD of the spine and the larger changes in BMD at the hip might be explained by the coexistence of other factors which increase BMD at the lumbar spine: spondylosis, aortic atherosclerosis and, although less frequent, lumbar vertebral fractures. Furthermore, in the present study, comparable BMD change was demonstrated in patients receiving initially a higher dose of prednisolone compared to a lower dose prednisolone, which finding highlights a minimal increase of the adverse effect on bone of initial high-dose prednisolone therapy.

Of our patients, 6 to 7% had osteoporosis at baseline. This frequency is well below the average prevalence of osteoporosis demonstrated in the elderly general Western population: approximately 21% in women aged 50–84 years [29, 30]. This might be due to the relatively young patient group with a short disease duration in this study, the initiation of treatment early in the disease course and use of combination drug therapy in a treat-to-target design. Bisphosphonate use at any moment over these 4 years was 29% of COBRA-light therapy patients and 23% of COBRA therapy patients, which was prescribed at the discretion of the treating rheumatologist.

In 4 years, no differences in fracture incidence were found between therapy groups, both in nonvertebral and vertebral fractures. In COBRA-light therapy group, 10 (13%) patients had a nonvertebral fracture, compared to 6 (8%) in the COBRA therapy group ($p = 0.21$). Since nonvertebral fractures are often related to trauma, this small difference between therapy groups might be due to chance.

The significant difference found between therapy groups in median daily prednisolone dose during 4 years of follow-up was most likely due to the difference in starting dose between COBRA and COBRA-light treatment schedules, as there was no difference between therapy groups in median daily prednisolone dose from 10 weeks to 4 years, which might explain the absence of differences in BMD changes between the two groups. Patients who still used prednisolone after 4 years generally used a lower daily dose of prednisolone than 7.5 mg/day.

The strength of this manuscript includes the length of follow-up which is unique in RA patients treated with GC and the very high retention of patients (155 out of 162 patients, 95%) in this long-term follow-up study. But some limitations need to be taken into consideration. First of all, two different DXA scan manufactures were used. It was shown that the data from these two machines were comparable at the hip level but significantly different at other places [13]. Patients in our trial were always measured on the same device.
making follow-up data comparable, so our conclusions remain the same as differences at the hip were minimal between both treatment groups. Secondly, bisphosphonates were not prescribed to all patients by protocol but only at the discretion of the treating rheumatologist. As a consequence, in this group of relatively young patients having a short disease duration, bisphosphonates were prescribed to a minority of the patients (29% in the COBRA-light group and 23% in the COBRA group). That bisphosphonate use did not influence the results of the GEE analysis significantly might thus be a power issue. Thirdly, baseline assessment of vertebral fractures was performed using lateral chest X-rays instead of lateral thoracolumbar spine X-rays in a few patients due to the fact that that lateral spine X-rays were missing or incomplete in these patients. It is possible that baseline fractures were missed, which did occur in the follow-up period.

Conclusion

In this study, no difference in bone loss was observed between patients with early RA initially treated with COBRA and patients treated with COBRA-light during 4-year observation. Bone loss was mild over 4 years, emphasising that modern treatment of RA in a treat-to-target design can limit the usually occurring elevated bone loss in early RA, even when patients are initially treated with prednisolone.
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