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Abstract. Deep learning approaches have been rapidly adopted across a wide range of fields because of their accuracy and flexibility, but require large labeled training datasets. This presents a fundamental problem for applications with limited, expensive, or private data (i.e. small data), such as human pose and behavior estimation/tracking which could be highly personalized. In this paper, we present a semi-supervised data augmentation approach that can synthesize large scale labeled training datasets using 3D graphical engines based on a physically-valid low dimensional pose descriptor. To evaluate the performance of our synthesized datasets in training deep learning-based models, we generated a large synthetic human pose dataset, called ScanAva using 3D scans of only 7 individuals based on our proposed augmentation approach. A state-of-the-art human pose estimation deep learning model then was trained from scratch using our ScanAva dataset and could achieve the pose estimation accuracy of 91.2% at PCK0.5 criteria after applying an efficient domain adaptation on the synthetic images, in which its pose estimation accuracy was comparable to the same model trained on large scale pose data from real humans such as MPII dataset and much higher than the model trained on other synthetic human dataset such as SUR-REAL.
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1 Introduction

With the remarkable success of deep neural networks (DNNs) in regression and classification tasks, a significant challenge comes out to be forming a large scale labeled datasets to support DNN training requirements. In the computer vision field, widely employed datasets mainly come from collection of real-world images captured from the contexts of interest and labeled through manual processes such as crowdsourcing. A direct benefit of using these datasets for the network training is to preserve authentic information from real world. However, each image in the training set needs to be labeled for the supervised learning process and it get quite expensive for large datasets [38]. On the other hand, for certain applications
where data is scarce such as personalized medicine, robot reinforcement learning, environmental/weather behavior prediction, and military applications, forming a large scale dataset itself could be infeasible [25]. The million dollar question here is if one can benefit from the flexibility and accuracy of DNNs in small data domains or domains with expensive labeling process by virtually synthesizing large scale labeled datasets. Hence, this paper presents a semi-supervised data augmentation approach that expands the size of a small dataset by synthesizing labeled samples in the physically-valid world contexts, while demonstrating that the trained DNNs using this synthetic dataset are capable of performing a high accuracy estimation task that they are trained for.

Classically, to address the data limitation issue, data augmentation techniques are extensively used especially when it comes to DNN training. Existing data augmentation methods can be seen as a mapping from one domain to itself by linear transformation with random variations, such as scale/orientation augmentation [32], color augmentation, and random crop per-pixel mean subtraction [22,23], among others. These hand crafted augmentation methods indeed improve the DNN performance in the designated tasks though not significantly [40]. They simply ignore the fact that image generation is actually a mapping from the 3D physical world into the 2D image domain, where the camera model is already well defined. The consequence is that classical augmentation methods can only capture superficial variations of the original dataset instead of capturing the semantic meaning of objects in the real world.

Alternatively, 3D computer-aided design (CAD) models can emulate such geometrical semantic variations in the real world. Majority of the works enabled by the CAD-based data augmentation employ publicly available CAD models [6,36]. Some of these models are also templates for specific categories [11,38]. The extent of data augmentation here is often limited by the existing CAD models, which only provide rough categories or limited by the already existing templates. Another practical issue is that publicly available CAD models are usually created by human artists and could be in a conceptual ideal condition and lack realistic variations. In contrast, generating (unlabeled) sample images from a large variety of objects, movements, and contexts is fairly achievable in our physical world, in which each sample manifests the physics laws behind our real world.

In this paper, we merged the benefits of two approaches, (i.e., 3D modeling and (semi)realistic data generation following the physical world laws) and present a data augmentation pipeline for large scale labeled dataset forming that uses the easily collected 3D scans of the target objects (e.g., humans) and move/articulate them in a physically-valid fashion using a 3D graphical engine (e.g., moving human avatars in a virtual environment). Although our cost-efficient 3D scans have lower resolution compared to the existing CAD templates and the movements and contexts are virtually synthesized, after a straightforward domain adaption, our approach allows the data augmentation for deep learning purposes in any emerging target objects and can efficiently expand and adjust the movements and contexts based on the application tasks.
2 Related Work

When dealing with deep learning in small data domains, fine-tuning already trained DNNs proves to be effective [25,7,8,10,40]. Fine-tuning is a form of transfer learning, when fine-tuned DNNs applied on the new (but small in size) dataset hugely benefit from knowledge learned from large amount of real world image samples (even being from different domain). However, if the two datasets are very different in nature, fine-tuning would fail since the network is already very fitted to the first dataset and is unable to adopt to the new small dataset unless we substantially increase the size of the second dataset and pay the labeling cost associated with that. These issues inspired us to simulate lots of plausible samples in the context of interest (i.e. the context that only has small dataset available), which allows for training DNNs from scratch rather than just fine-tuning them.

2.1 Classical Dataset Forming

A common way to form datasets in computer vision field is collecting real images directly and manually label them. Most influential datasets are formed in this way including ImageNet [22] for object classification, Cityscapes [12] for scene segmentation, LSUN [41] for scene understanding, and MPII human pose [4] and LSP [20] datasets for human pose estimation. These datasets preserve the real world information authentically and are most effective to train DNN models for practical applications [42,16,42]. Data augmentation usually comes during training session, which usually include augmentation in scale, color, shift, or mirror, which is limited to superficial variations of the image [32].

2.2 Synthetic Dataset Forming

Synthetic data has already been employed to form large datasets and provides convenience to control the generation process with exact parameters [36]. In early works, synthetic data was mainly employed to provide additional information to facilitate the detection/estimation process. For example, in [24], the geometric information from 3D CAD models is combined with the real image appearance to improve object detection and pose estimation for bicycles and cars. Shape models and also the probabilistic models are also learned from CAD models [33,37]. Another benefit of synthesizing data is the possibility to automatically generate enough labeled data for supervised learning purposes [34]. Authors in [14] studied an optical flow estimation algorithm based on synthesized images of a 3D moving chair. Virtual KITTI dataset with synthesized car videos is also employed to train multi-object tracking algorithms [17].

More complicated articulated 3D models are also studied, among which the human body draws most attention due to the extensive applications associated with studying human pose, gestures, and activities. Synthesized human data has been employed for 2D/3D pose estimation [29,30,31,11,15,18,28] and pedestrian detection [26,29]. No matter the synthesized human data is collected from publicly available graphical 3D models or from generalized templates, they can
hardly represent every individual in various contexts. Even a morphable human template such as SCAPE method (Shape Completion and Animation for PEople) can hardly represent a person in different clothing conditions [5].

2.3 Our Contributions

With ever lowering price of portable 3D scanning devices, in this paper, we present an alternative way for synthetic dataset forming which combines the flexibility of classical data collection method and the automation of 3D engines as shown in Fig. 1. The main contributions of this work include: (1) providing a rapid and cost-efficient pipeline to form large scale labeled datasets from a target set of objects in various contexts; (2) exploring the way to minimize domain shift between source (virtual) and target (real) correspondence with limited data from the target domain; (3) demonstrating the proposed approach on human pose estimation problem by training a state-of-the-art DNN model with the limited 3D human scan samples from scratch and evaluating the trained DNN pose estimation performance on real world human images with comparison with the leading real and synthetic datasets; and (4) publicly releasing our synthetic human pose datasets called scanned avatars or “ScanAva” and our dataset generation tools in the Augmented Cognition Lab (ACLab) webpage. 

3 A Semi-Supervised Data Augmentation Approach

No matter if it is collected from objects, animals, humans, or scenes, considering the various appearances, pose states, and their combinations, a dataset even very large one can hardly cover the whole space of its universal attribute domain (i.e., feature space). When the categories covered by the dataset are too broad, its feature space can get easily sparse causing low performance learning due to the highly probable over-fitting problem. In contrast, within a specific and well-defined category, if data with enough granularity is available, it could form a low dimensional manifold within the feature space leading to a better

1 This paper has dataset available at ScanAva and the code at GitHub provided by the authors. Contact the corresponding author for further questions about this work.
(manifold) learning especially when DNNs are used. Due to the high cost of collecting and labeling highly granular dataset, we present a pipeline to form a large labeled dataset with controllable granularity. In particular, we synthesized large scale datasets from a highly articulated object, “human body” and validated the dataset quality by performing human pose estimation using DNN models trained from scratch purely on these synthesized datasets, called ScanAva.

Let’s assume image $I = f(G, \theta, E_v)$ contains a human figure, where $G$ is the person’s geometry appearance, $\theta$ is the person’s pose information, and $E_v$ is the environment and background’s parameters. Our dataset ScanAva forming pipeline then includes (see Fig. 1): (1) collecting the appearance model $G$ by an affordable 3D scanning process; (2) rigging and one-time limb labeling of the 3D scans (i.e., avatars) based on their articulation for valid human reposing; (3) defining a low dimensional pose descriptor for physically-valid reposing; (4) 3D data augmentation by changing the pose information $\theta$ with controllable granularity based on a given application; and (5) rendering 2D images from the 3D data with different environment’s parameters $E_v$. These steps lead to generation of our ScanAva datasets, in which each image has a human figure with the person’s pose physically-valid and precisely labeled.

3.1 Geometry Appearance Acquisition via 3D Scanning

An object’s geometry appearance $G$ is a major component that affects its image. We employed the conventional 3D model formats such as 3DS models (*.3ds), Wavefront OBJ (*.obj), and PLY (*.ply) to represent $G$. In articulated cases, $G$ contains skeleton with multiple entries to represent each moving part $G(i)$, where $i$ stands for the part index. In a rigid body case, $G$ simply reduce to one component in our model. In an articulated human body, based on its biomechanics and skeleton, we predefined 14 moving parts (i.e., limbs) as head (H), torso (T), left upper arm (LUA), left lower arm (LLA), left palm (LP), right upper arm (RUA), right lower arm (RLA), right palm (RP), left upper leg (LUL), left lower leg (LLL), left foot (LF), right upper leg (RUL), right lower leg (RLL), and right foot (RF), as shown in Fig. 2a. The limbs are articulated together with joints. Each joint state is described by a rotation angle. We employed a state vector $\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_n\}$ to describe the pose information. Our model can be described by a graph where limb geometry acts as node and state vector describes the edges between limbs. This graph varies depending on the target configuration.

To get the 3D geometry model, we employ a Microsoft Kinect v1 to perform 3D scanning of the human body using off-the-shelf components and software. Subject stands on a automatic rotator in front of the Kinect sensor. We employed a commercially available software, Skanect to extract 3D information from the scanning frames [3]. The scanning process is shown on the monitor to give realtime feedback. Our 3D scanning setup is shown in Fig. 2b. When the space is limited, the camera’s field of view cannot cover the whole body. In this case, we will pitch the camera up and down to extend the sensing area. The whole body scan can be achieved by stitching them together.
3.2 Rigging for Reposing

Rig is essentially a digital skeleton bound to a 3D mesh that consists of joints and bones. Joints and bones in the rig can be moved by altering the pose state descriptor $\theta$, which leads to reposing and animation of the 3D models. To rig the 3D scanned model (avatars), we employed an existing animation toolkit "Blender" to manipulate the body parts and give them the pose we wish. An armature is assigned to the scanned model. The root bone is set at the center of the pelvis. Each arm has an upper arm, lower arm and palm bone. Each leg has an upper leg, a lower leg and a foot bone. The head bone is also assigned to it. A demo of rigged model is shown in Fig. 3a. From the rigged model, we can easily manipulate the avatar to generate the pose we need by manipulating the pose state vector, $\theta$. An example generated pose is shown in Fig. 3b. The corresponding 2D image is achieved by re-projection of the 3D model into the image domain. In cases where scanned raw models have defects such as holes, over complicated details, or non-manifold geometries, we can optionally employ Meshlab open-source software or equivalent toolkit for preprocessing including simplification, filling holes, and also non-manifold geometries removal [1].
3.3 Manifold Pose Generation via a Low Dimensional Pose Descriptor

To give a specific example, we model the human pose as follows. Following humanoid robot convention [21], we define shoulder, neck, and hip as spherical joints, elbow and knee as revolute joints and wrist and ankle as universal joints. Higher degree joints can be decomposed into multiple one-degree joints. Each arm/leg has 6 degree of freedom (DOF) and with a 3 DOF neck, giving $\theta$ a dimensionality of $n = 27$. Pose space is actually a constrained manifold: not all 27-dimensional vectors represent valid poses. We assume two ways to generate valid descriptor. One way is following kinematic constrains to make generated descriptor physically valid which is helpful when motion data is limited. The other is direct sampling from data lies on such manifold such as motion capture data [2]. In first method, the two constraints considered during the generation phase are joint angle constraints and global orientation constraints. We will use a constraint matrix to indicate the range of each state variable as $[\theta_{is}, \theta_{ie}]$ for $i \in [1:n]$, where $\theta_{is}$ and $\theta_{ie}$ stand for the low and high acceptable ranges of the state $\theta_i$. For example, for the human elbow joint, the possible rotation range is around from $0^\circ$ to $145^\circ$. In addition, depending on the application, there might be global orientation constraints. For example, for in-bed poses, the torso will lie approximately parallel to the bed. The Euler description for the body orientation as $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ will show the relative orientation of the body with respect to the world frame [13]. In the context of walking, we can simply limit the Euler angles to a range to mimic the up straight poses, for example $\alpha, \gamma \in [-30^\circ, 30^\circ]$. Therefore, both joint angle and global orientation constraint types can be modeled using range bounds. Within these bounds, poses can be generated from a uniform random distribution, or they can be generated procedurally using a grid-based approach.

Since this is used for training a DNN, we use the random approach to take advantage of a common training optimization, Stochastic Gradient Decent (SGD) [9]. In SGD, a fixed-size batch is randomly selected from fixed size dataset with random variation such as crop and scaling. In our work, random generation is equivalent to random selection from an infinite training set as we sample from a virtually continuous pose manifold.

3.4 Rendering 2D Images with Different Environment’s Parameters

Besides the subject state, we also introduce environment’s parameters $E_v$ to render realistic images. The environment includes all items in the scene and also the lighting and camera’s parameters which can be simply described. Since in the human pose estimation problem, we mainly care about the person in the scene, we have fixed the camera parameters to 35mm focal length and simplified this description by camera view point under spherical coordinate. For the background, instead of parameterized description, we directly sample from a context image dataset such as LSUN [41] to generate images with different backgrounds.
One direct benefit of our approach is that we can describe the synthesized dataset in a more compact way. In our running example of human body, we only need a rigged model (with 300,000 face mesh with the size around 35MB) and a low dimension descriptors including $\theta$ and $E_v$, in which for 2000 pose information is under 1MB compared to a standard dataset which with this many $512 \times 512$ pose images can get to up to 2GB in size. Another benefit is that classical augmentation methods like shift and crop operations can be simply simulated by changing the relative position of the human with respect to the camera. Therefore, our approach can also accommodate these augmentation methods besides the physically-valid state variable augmentations.

4 Synthetic Dataset Quality Evaluation

We evaluated the quality of our synthesized datasets by testing the human pose estimation network’s performance when trained on ScanAva datasets from scratch. To generate different versions of the ScanAva datasets, we collected 3D scans from 7 participants, in which 4 of them repeated the scanning procedure with various clothes. We generated 2000 images for each 3D scan with random pose selected from CMU MoCap dataset [2] and random background from indoor environment of LSUN[41]. We formed totally 15 ScanAva datasets to evaluate their quality for pose estimation DNN training when tested on: (1) a small group of individuals and (2) one specific person in different clothes. From each participant, 10 to 15 corresponding 2D images are also captured using an iPhone 7 camera to be used as the real world test dataset, in which individuals were asked to give random poses as they wish. Several demo images are shown in Fig. 4.

4.1 Synthetic vs. Real Domain Adaptation

Even when data is collected from the exact same person, domain shift is a common issue between synthetic and real world/human images. It is also known even real world images collected with different devices are affected by this issue [19]. To minimize domain shift effects in learning and estimation, people try to make both domains as similar as possible, for example aligning the 2nd order statistics of the training and test datasets [35]. Visually perceiving the synthetic and real images of a given person revealed that although the profiles are quite similar in both domains, the details are different. Therefore, since we aim at a quick and efficient large scale dataset forming, we applied two direct modifications to weaken such differences in details by applying (1) Gaussian filtering (ScanAva-gauss) and (2) direct white noise (ScanAva-wn) on images in both domains to make their appearances as similar as possible.

4.2 Pose Estimation Performance of Trained DNN Models

To evaluate the quality of the synthesized datasets, we employed a state-of-the-art DNN-based 2D human pose estimation algorithm, a stacked hourglass model...
and train it from scratch with our synthesized ScanAva datasets and compared their pose estimation performance with stacked hourglass models trained on real human pose image dataset, MPII [4] (HG-pretrained) and synthetic human pose dataset, SURREAL [38]. During the training procedure, we kept the hyper-parameters of the stacked hourglass model the same between experiments to have a fair comparison among different training datasets. The chosen hyper-parameters were learning rate 2.5e-4, 30 epochs, 8000 iterations, and 8 stacked networks. For the pose estimation performance evaluation, we employed the conventional pose estimation metric, the probability of corrected keypoints (PCK) standard [20,39] to test the estimated joint locations against the ground truth locations on real human pose images.

In the first experiment, we synthesized the ScanAva datasets using 7 participant 3D scan data without (ScanAva-no) and with domain adaption (ScanAva-gauss and ScanAva-wn). The pose estimation accuracy results comparing the performance of the stacked hourglass models trained on these ScanAva datasets as well as models trained on MPII (called HG-pretrained) and SURREAL datasets and tested on our real human test dataset is shown in Fig. 5a. From the figure, it is clear that pose estimation DNN model trained directly on raw synthetic dataset shows poor estimation performance and domain adaptation by applying Gaussian filtering or even adding white noise improves the model performance significantly. Surprisingly, in high standard criteria like PCK0.2, the model trained on ScanAva-gauss even surpasses the one trained on SURREAL dataset, which in fact includes thousands of appearance variations compared to our limited subject dataset. Nonetheless, there is an obvious gap between
Fig. 5. Accuracy comparison of a DNN-based pose estimation model trained on different datasets and tested on real human images from: (a) a small group of individuals, (b) a specific person.

the performance of the models trained on synthetic data vs. real data, as one expects.

In the second experiment, to test the capability of our dataset for individualized pose estimation training, we synthesized 11 datasets of one participant with varying clothes and also collected corresponding real images as a test dataset. To fairly evaluate the generalization ability of the pose estimation model based on these datasets, we trained the stacked hourglass DNN with scans from only 9 clothes and left the rest of scans out of model training. According to the results of the domain adaptation from Fig. 5a, we used Gaussian filter as optimal domain adapter in this experiment. The pose estimation results are shown in Fig. 5b, where although models trained on our datasets falls behind the HG-pretrained model, but when domain adapted surpass the model trained on SURREAL dataset with a big margin. We believe performance drop of the DNN trained on SURREAL mainly comes from the incompleteness of its templates, which only contains the bare human body shapes instead of clothed ones, while in the real world pose detection problems, people are rarely naked and come in the variety of clothes. These outcomes emphasize that for person-specific pose estimation/tracking in applications such as gaming, human-computer interaction, and daily activity monitoring our approach can quickly and efficiently build a large scale labeled dataset to be used for training of robust and accurate DNN models.

5 Discussion on Future Work

In this paper, we presented a fast and cost-efficient pipeline to form large scale labeled datasets from a small numbers of available samples via a semi-supervised synthetic data generation approach. In an exploration for a time-efficient domain adaptation method, without even having access to the target domain data, we achieved significant performance improvement using Gaussian filtering which made the synthetic and real data very similar in their appearance. Though our
dataset forming approach is only tested on pose data from a small group of individuals, it can be seen as an alternative way of data collection for any general purpose. For example, if we scale up the numbers of easily collected 3D scans, it can possibly show a reasonable performance for general human pose estimation. The proposed pipeline is not limited to the applications to forming large labeled dataset for DNN training, as it also provides the utility to generate personalized avatars. A demo of several 3D scanned model of our group members are shown in a virtual reality (VR) environment in Fig. 6.
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