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ABSTRACT

The burden of liver-related morbidity remains high among HIV-infected patients, despite advances in the treatment of HIV and viral hepatitis. Especially, the impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is significant with a prevalence of up to 50%. The pathogenesis of NAFLD and the reasons for progression to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are still not fully elucidated, but insulin resistance, mitochondrial dysfunction and dyslipidemia seem to be the main drivers. Both HIV-infection itself and combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) can contribute to the development of NAFLD/NASH in various ways. As ongoing HIV-related immune activation is associated with insulin resistance, early initiation of cART is needed to limit its duration. In addition, the use of early-generation nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors is also associated with the development of NAFLD/NASH. Patients at risk should therefore receive antiretroviral drugs with a more favorable metabolic profile. Only weight reduction is considered to be an effective therapy for all patients with NAFLD/NASH, although certain drugs are available for specific subgroups. Since patients with NASH are at risk of developing liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, several non-antifibrotic and antifibrotic drugs are under investigation in clinical trials to broaden the therapeutic options. The epidemiology and etiology of NAFLD/NASH in HIV-positive patients is likely to change in the near future. Current guidelines recommend early initiation of cART that is less likely to induce insulin resistance, mitochondrial dysfunction and dyslipidemia. In contrast, as a result of increasing life expectancy in good health, this population will adopt the more traditional risk factors for NAFLD/NASH. HIV-treating physicians should be aware of the etiology, pathogenesis and treatment of NAFLD/NASH in order to identify and treat the patients at risk.
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INTRODUCTION

In the current era of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), the all-cause mortality in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected
patients is low [1]. However, liver-related complications remain one of the major causes of mortality in this population [2]. Although viral hepatitis and excessive alcohol consumption are traditionally considered the most important causes of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in HIV-infected patients, metabolic liver disease—mostly non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)—is increasingly recognized as an aetiological factor in the development of liver disease [2–5]. In fact, due to the introduction of effective therapies against viral hepatitis, it is likely that fatty liver disease will become the leading cause of liver cirrhosis in HIV-infected patients, as is already happening in the general population [6]. It should not be forgotten that NAFLD can coexist with other liver diseases, which will lead to faster progression of fibrosis towards end-stage liver disease.

The term NAFLD encompasses a wide spectrum of entities ranging from ‘simple’ steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) [7]. Since the major risk factors for NAFLD—insulin resistance [8, 9], mitochondrial dysfunction [10] and concurrent viral infections [11]—are highly prevalent in HIV-infected patients, this population is at risk for liver-related morbidity.

In this review, we describe the epidemiology and pathogenesis of NAFLD in HIV-infected patients. We also discuss the future with respect to novel (antiretroviral) medication and anti-NAFLD interventions. This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The worldwide prevalence of NAFLD varies greatly among different geographical areas, with the highest numbers in northern America and the lowest in Africa; overall prevalence is estimated to be around 25% [12]. In addition to many epidemiological studies in the general population, several studies describe the prevalence in a general HIV-infected population, with a prevalence varying from 28 to 48% [13–18]. In the meta-analysis by Maurice et al., the prevalence of imaging-based diagnosis of NAFLD was 35%, which is higher than the general population [19]. In patient populations with persistent liver enzyme elevations, the prevalence is even higher with approximately three-quarters of the patients having NAFLD [20–24] (Table 1). However, Price et al. performed a matched-control study which surprisingly showed a higher prevalence of NAFLD in the HIV-negative control group compared to their HIV-positive counterparts (19% vs. 13%, \( P = 0.02 \)) [25]. This prevalence will be an underestimation of the ‘real-life’ prevalence due to exclusion of patients with a history of cardiovascular surgery and those with severe excess body weight. In another report, the imaging-based prevalence of NAFLD in a small cohort of HIV-positive patients was compared with HIV-negative controls [26]. The prevalence of steatosis tended to be higher among HIV-infected men compared to HIV-negative men (41% vs. 33%; not statistically significant), but was lower in HIV-positive versus HIV-negative women (17% vs. 33%). Both studies showed that classic risk factors—such as obesity-related insulin resistance—were the most important determinants for the development of NAFLD; HIV-related factors certainly contributed to this risk but its impact appeared to be limited. Therefore, it remains difficult to draw conclusions from these small studies because of methodological issues and small sample sizes; larger cross-sectional studies are thus needed.

DIAGNOSIS

The gold standard in diagnosing NAFLD is liver biopsy by which discrimination between steatosis and NASH is possible [27]. Given its invasive nature with risks such as haemorrhagic complications and pain, liver biopsy is not useful as an epidemiological screening tool [28]. As a result, current cross-sectional epidemiological data are mainly based on surrogate markers such as imaging rather than on histopathological data. Considering the higher sensitivity, lower costs and wide availability, ultrasound is preferred over CT-scanning for diagnosing NAFLD [29]. However, its sensitivity is limited in the setting of mild NAFLD and in
Morbidly obese patients [30, 31]. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy proton density fat fraction (MRS-PDFF) is a very sensitive imaging modality, with a sensitivity up to 100% [31]. Due to its wider availability and low risk for sampling error, magnetic resonance imaging proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) is increasingly used in research. In a recent review by Caussy

### Table 1: Overview of epidemiological studies describing the prevalence of NAFLD in HIV-infected patients

| Author and country | Population characteristics | Diagnostic test | NAFLD prevalence |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| **Studies describing the prevalence of NAFLD in a general HIV-positive population** | | | |
| Guaraldi et al. [15], Italy | n = 225. Mean BMI: 23.8 | CT-scan | 36.9% |
| Crum-Cianflone et al. [14], USA | n = 216. Mean BMI: 26.0 | Ultrasound | 31.0% |
| Macias et al. [13], Spain | n = 505. Median BMI: 23.2 | CAP | 40.0% |
| Nishijima et al. [17], Japan | n = 435. Mean BMI: 22.8 | Ultrasound | 31.0% |
| Lui et al. [16], China | n = 80. Mean BMI: 23.6 | MRS | 28.8% |
| Vuille-Lessard et al. [18], Canada | n = 300. Mean BMI: 26.6 | CAP | 48.0% |
| **Studies describing the prevalence of NAFLD in HIV-patients with persistent liver enzyme elevations** | | | |
| Lemoine et al. [23], France | n = 14. Mean BMI: 23.0 | Liver biopsy | 57.1% |
| Ingliz et al. [20], France | n = 60. Mean BMI: 23.0 | Liver biopsy | 60.0% |
| Sterling et al. [22], USA | n = 14. Mean BMI: 29.9 | Liver biopsy | 64.3% |
| Morse et al. [21], USA | n = 62. Mean BMI: 28.0 | Liver biopsy | 72.6% |
| Lombardi et al. [24], UK | n = 66. Mean BMI: Not available | Ultrasound | 71.0% |

ALT alanine aminotransferase, AST aspartate aminotransferase, BMI body-mass index, CAP controlled attenuation parameter, ID infectious diseases, HBV hepatitis B virus, HCV hepatitis C virus, MRS magnetic resonance spectroscopy, NAFLD non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, UK United Kingdom, ULN upper limit of normal, USA United States of America
et al., the differences and impact of MRS-PDFF and MRI-PDFF were extensively discussed. Considering the high costs and limited availability, MRI-based imaging is currently still not a useful screening tool and its use remains limited to research [32]. In 2010, a new non-invasive tool for the detection of steatosis, called controlled attenuation parameter (CAP), was introduced [33]. It is an addition to the Fibroscan (Echosens, Paris, France) and measures steatosis simultaneously with fibrosis. CAP determines the total ultrasonic attenuation at a frequency of 3.5 MHz and is reported in decibel/meter (dB/m). In a meta-analysis by Wang et al., CAP provided good sensitivity and specificity [34]. For example, the sensitivity for the detection of ≥S1 steatosis (≥5% of the hepatocytes affected with lipid accumulation) was 0.78 with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.86 (95% CI 0.82–0.88). For stage S3 (>66% of the hepatocytes affected), the sensitivity was 0.86 with an AUC of 0.94 (95% CI 0.91–0.96). It should be emphasized that none of the above-mentioned imaging modalities can assess the degree of NAFLD/NASH as assessed by the histological Brunt classification [35]. Differentiating between NAFLD and NASH is important since 25–35% of patients with NASH eventually progress to liver fibrosis or even cirrhosis [36, 37]. Using serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels in addition to imaging to discriminate between steatosis and NASH turns out to be disappointing. Several studies showed that a significant proportion of the patients with biopsy-proven NASH had normal ALT values, although some studies suggested that the common laboratory cut-off value for ALT was too high [38–40]. There are several risk scores—such as the NAFLD Fibrosis score—available to evaluate which patients with NAFLD are at risk for (advanced) fibrosis, but its utility still needs to be evaluated in the HIV-positive population [41]. There are currently no guidelines that recommend universal screening for NAFLD in the general population or in specific subpopulations. In contrast, the European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) guideline recommends screening for NAFLD in HIV-infected patients with metabolic syndrome using ultrasound [42]. Since the prevalence of NAFLD among HIV-infected patients with persistent liver enzyme elevations is high, ultrasound screening should also be considered in this population.

**PATHOGENESIS**

NAFLD is a clinical–histological diagnosis characterized by the presence of fat accumulation in hepatocytes resulting in necro-inflammation and hepatocyte ballooning in the absence of excessive alcohol use [43]. According to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the threshold of ‘significant alcohol consumption’ is >21 standard drinks per week on average for men and >14 standard drinks on average in woman when evaluating patients with suspected NAFLD [44]. In general, NAFLD is considered to be the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. The study of Mellinger et al. showed an association between presence of NAFLD and coronary artery calcium [OR 1.20 (1.10–1.30) \( P < 0.001 \)][45]. This finding was confirmed in the HIV-positive population in the study of Crum-Cianflone et al., showing that high coronary artery calcification values are associated with the presence of steatosis [46]. Although the natural history of steatosis is usually benign, approximately 10% of patients with ‘simple’ steatosis progress to NASH. Eventually, 25–35% of the patients with NASH progress to liver fibrosis or even cirrhosis. Eventually, 10% of patients with cirrhosis develop hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) over a period of several years [36, 37] (Fig. 1).

Insulin resistance (IR) is considered to be the key mechanism in the development of steatosis [47]. IR contributes to hepatic triglyceride accumulation in two ways. First, insulin normally suppresses the activity of hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL). HSL is present in all adipocytes and is able to hydrolyse stored triglycerides into free fatty acids (FFAs) [48]. In the case of IR, the suppression of HSL is diminished, resulting in an increased hydrolysis in peripheral adipose tissue and therefore an increase in delivery of FFAs to the liver [49]. In the liver, the FFAs undergo esterification into triglycerides contributing to the process of steatosis. Second, the synthesis of lipids in the
liver is increased in the setting of NAFLD. Donnelly et al. showed that hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL) accounts for 26.1% of the hepatic triglyceride formation in NAFLD patients [50]. In contrast, the contribution of DNL in healthy individuals is less than 5% [51] (Fig. 2). Several studies in animals and humans have shown that both hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycaemia—as markers of IR—stimulate DNL by various pathways, leading to the development of steatosis [52]. The reason why steatosis progresses to NASH is still not fully elucidated. Traditionally, the two-hit theory was widely adopted [53]. In this theory, the ‘first hit’ is the hepatic accumulation of triglycerides—as a result of an increase in circulating free fatty acids (FFAs). The accumulation increases the susceptibility of the liver for additional hepatotoxic hits. Such an additional factor—‘second hit’—eventually leads to local inflammation and NASH. Suggested contributing factors (second hit) include mitochondrial dysfunction, adipose tissue dysfunction and genetic factors. However, some authors state that the ‘two-hit’ hypothesis is obsolete, as it is inadequate to explain the several molecular and metabolic changes that take place in NAFLD. [47] Therefore, the current literature tends to speak of a ‘multiple hit theory’ that states that the pathogenesis of NASH is very complex and is the result of multiple hits and not limited to one additional hit in addition to the presence of steatosis. A third theory suggests that the accumulation of triglycerides itself provokes oxidative stress and eventually leads to inflammation and NASH [54].

The human microbiome is increasingly recognized as an important factor in the development of NAFLD/NASH. Suggested mechanisms, like changes in intestinal permeability and production of microbe-derived metabolites, are more extensively addressed in the excellent recent reviews of Leung et al. and Chu et al [55, 56]. There are no specific studies linking microbiome dysfunction to the development of NASH in HIV-infected patients. Furthermore, there is strong evidence on the impact of hereditary component with polymorphisms for hepatic lipid regulation and insulin signalling pathways, in both the HIV-infected and general populations [57, 58].

NAFLD IN HIV-INFECTED PATIENTS

HIV as Risk Factor for NAFLD

Although NAFLD is common in HIV-infected patients as a result of traditional risk factors, it is suggested that its exact aetiology may differ from the general population [59]. Interestingly, histopathological studies from the pre-cART era report high numbers of steatosis in treatment-naïve HIV-infected patients—suggestive of a direct steatotic effect of HIV itself [60, 61]. Furthermore, HIV-infected patients with NAFLD tend to have a lower BMI than HIV-negative controls with NAFLD [62]. These data suggest that HIV-related factors are associated with NAFLD, even in the absence of traditional risk factors such as obesity-related IR. Insulin resistance is highly prevalent—up to 35%—in HIV-infected patients, as a result of ongoing immune activation [63, 64]. This phenomena has also been described in the setting of rheumatoid arthritis in which high levels of pro-inflammatory markers—e.g. TNF alpha and IL-6—were associated with IR [65]. These markers have also been shown to be elevated in chronic HIV-
infection, but it is unclear to what extent other immune activation markers like sCD14 and the expansion of CD8+/HLA-DR+ subtype T cells contribute to IR in HIV [66]. Most studies on IR in this population describe CART-treated patients, but only one study evaluated the occurrence of IR in treatment-naïve HIV-positive patients [67]. In this heterogeneous prospective cohort, there was a clear association between advanced HIV-infection—defined as low CD4 counts and detectable viral load—and IR, measured by fasting lipids, glucose and insulin levels. This and other observations strongly suggest a link between chronic HIV-induced immune activation and IR with subsequent steatosis development [68–70].

**Fig. 2** Schematic representation of the pathogenesis of NAFLD. As shown, there are four major hallmarks in the pathogenesis of NAFLD—insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, hepatic accumulation and the microbiome—with a certain overlap between these factors. As mentioned, genetics play an important role in the overall pathogenesis influencing most of these factors. The arrows represent a direct impact of a certain hallmark on the development of NAFLD. The contributing factors are mentioned below the hallmarks. Risk factors more common in HIV-infected population are marked with an asterisk.
In contrast to the limited number of studies on glucose haemostasis in HIV-positive patients, several studies have been performed on the occurrence of dyslipidemia—also associated with NAFLD [50]. A study in the early 1990s established that untreated HIV-infected patients with advanced immunodeficiency have higher triglycerides and FFA levels compared to healthy controls [71]. In addition to hypertriglyceridemia, untreated HIV-infected patients have decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and total cholesterol levels. These observations were confirmed in several other studies, although the mechanism of HIV-related dyslipidemia is poorly understood. [72, 73] The study by El-Sadr et al. even established an association between high HIV RNA levels and hypertriglyceridemia [67]. Based on these observations, it seems reasonable to assume that HIV-infection itself plays a role in the development of NAFLD.

Antiretroviral Therapy as a Risk Factor for NAFLD

As the vast majority of HIV-infected patients treated with cART will reach virological suppression and (near) inhibition of immune activation, the impact of hypertriglyceridemia and IR caused by the virus itself will diminish. In contrast, certain antiretroviral therapy can contribute to the development of NAFLD [74]. cART seems to influence the development of NAFLD in two different ways. First, several antiretroviral drugs cause unfavorable metabolic changes such as dyslipidemia and insulin resistance [75]. Second, the use of certain antiretroviral drugs is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction.

Nucleoside/Nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs)

Nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) are still an essential part of current cART regimens. Especially, early-generation NRTIs are associated with insulin resistance and dyslipidemia [76–78]. The main driver for both metabolic disturbances is mitochondrial toxicity. The first reports of mitochondrial dysfunction in NRTIs were published in the early 1990s, but this adverse event gained increasing attention after the introduction of combination therapy [79–81]. NRTI-related metabolic side effects are caused by the inhibition of the replication of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) by binding to intra-mitochondrial polymerase gamma. This leads to impairment of the oxidative phosphorylation and promotes the formation of reactive oxygen species which eventually damage the mtDNA even further, resulting in mitochondrial dysfunction [82].

NRTI-related mitochondrial dysfunction contributes to the development of NAFLD in several ways. First, both IR and dyslipidemia can be the result of mitochondrial dysfunction in the peripheral fat tissue [83]. Even though the mechanism is not exactly clear, mitochondrial dysfunction seems to induce adipocyte apoptosis, leading to peripheral lipodystrophy. The clinical syndrome is characterized by the degeneration of peripheral fat tissue combined with metabolic changes such as IR and dyslipidemia [81, 84]. In addition to peripheral effects, mitochondrial dysfunction also occurs in the liver. Hepatic mitochondria play an essential role in the oxidation of FFAs. In the setting of mitochondrial dysfunction, mitochondria are unable to process this oxidation, leading to a local accumulation of triglycerides which is the hallmark of NAFLD [85]. Early-generation NRTIs are most commonly associated with mitochondrial dysfunction; especially stavudine, didanosine, zalcitabine and—to a lesser extent—zidovudine [86]. Modern NRTIs—e.g. tenofovir, abacavir, lamivudine and emtricitabine—are rarely implicated in clinically significant mitochondrial dysfunction and are less likely to contribute to NAFLD development in this way [87].

Protease Inhibitors (PIs)

Introduction of protease inhibitors (PIs) in 1995 broadened the possibilities in the treatment of HIV infection. However, PIs display an
unfavorable metabolic profile with an increased risk for insulin resistance and dyslipidemia.

Especially, the early-generation PIs—e.g. indinavir and therapeutic-dosed ritonavir—were known for their ability to induce IR [88]. Two animal studies showed that these PIs act as potent isoform-specific inhibitors of the transport function of the GLUT4-receptor, resulting in hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia [89, 90]. Additional data suggested the ability to directly inhibit insulin secretion from the beta cells [91, 92]. In contrast to early-generation PIs, current PIs like atazanavir and darunavir display a far more favorable profile with regard to IR [93]. Although the newer generation PIs seem to have little impact on the lipid levels in monotherapy, when combined with ritonavir or cobicistat as a pharmacological booster, these drugs still have an unfavorable lipid profile compared to most other classes of antiretroviral drugs [94–97]. The exact mechanism of PI-induced dyslipidaemia remains the subject of debate. Data suggest that PIs attribute to an increase of ApolipoproteinB which transports LDL- and VLDL-cholesterol and triglycerides in the circulation [98]. Secondly, experimental research in mice showed that ritonavir inhibits the clearance of triglycerides from the circulation [99].

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs)

The amount of data describing the metabolic profile of the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) is limited compared to the number of studies for PI-based treatment. Rilpivirine and nevirapine have a more favorable profile compared to efavirenz [100, 101]. Etravirine does not seem to influence lipid levels when compared with placebo [102]. Recently, the new NNRTI Doravirine was approved by the FDA; in the DRIVE-AHEAD and DRIVE-FORWARD trials, this novel drug showed lower triglyceride and LDL levels when compared to Efavirenz and Darunavir [103, 104].

Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors (INSTI)

In 2008, the first integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI), raltegravir, was introduced. This new drug class is generally considered advantageous in respect with the metabolic profile. [105, 106] The lipid profile of the recently approved bictegravir is comparable to dolutegravir [107, 108].

Other Antiretroviral Classes

Data on entry-inhibitors (e.g. maraviroc and enfuviritide) are limited, but no negative effects have so far been reported [109, 110]. None of these agents are implicated in the development of NAFLD.

Non-HIV or -cART-Related Risk Factors for NAFLD

In addition to the risk factors that are directly linked to HIV-infection and cART, HIV-infected patients are exposed to other risk factors for the development of NAFLD. First of all, a significant proportion of the population is suffering from a hepatitis C virus (HCV)/HIV co-infection [111]. HCV genotype 3 has been identified as an independent risk factor for the development of NAFLD [112]. The current literature suggests that these specific genotype 3 antigens induce upregulation of hepatic fatty acid synthesis [113, 114]. When HCV genotype 3 infection, the current literature suggests that hepatitis B virus is associated with a lower risk for NAFLD [115]. However, the most important non-HIV/non-cART risk factors are probably the previously mentioned ‘traditional’ risk factors such as obesity-related IR and diabetes mellitus. Nowadays, HIV-patients grow old enough to be exposed to these traditional risk factors. Recent publications describe increases in median body mass index (BMI) and the incidence of diabetes mellitus in the HIV-infected population, adding more risks for this population [14, 117, 118].
The impact of traditional risk factors was emphasized by the results of the meta-analysis of Maurice et al., identifying high BMI, waist circumference, type 2 diabetes, hypertension and high triglycerides as the significant risk factors for NAFLD [19].

**TREATMENT**

**Lifestyle Modification**

Despite extensive research over recent years, treatment of NAFLD remains challenging. Weight loss is still the most important intervention in all patients [119, 120]. A sustained weight loss of approximately 10% is needed to improve the majority of the histopathological features of NASH [121]. Furthermore, excessive use of alcohol is discommended as alcohol itself can cause significant liver disease. Although the AASLD guideline recommends that patients with NAFLD should not consume heavy amounts of alcohol—4 standard drinks a day or > 14 per week for men and 3 standard drinks per day or 7 per week for women—it states that there are insufficient data to make recommendations with regard to non-heavy alcohol consumption [44]. In the setting of NASH, alcohol consumption is the most significant factor associated with the risk of HCC [122]. For this population, total abstinence is mandatory according to the European Association for Study of the Liver (EASL) [123].

**Medical Treatment**

Next to behavioural interventions, several medical treatments have been described to be effective [44]. The most recent AASLD guideline supports the use of pioglitazone and vitamin E in biopsy-proven NASH. These recommendations are mainly based on the study of Sanyal et al. describing a trial comparing three groups—pioglitazone (30 mg daily) or vitamin E (800 IE daily) or placebo—in patients with biopsy-proven NASH [124]. Patients were randomized and treated for 96 weeks; all patients underwent follow-up liver biopsy. The primary outcome was an improvement in histological features of NASH as a composite endpoint of standardized scores of steatosis, lobular inflammation, hepatocellular ballooning, and fibrosis. Vitamin E therapy versus placebo showed a significantly higher rate of improvement in biopsy-proven NASH (43% vs. 19% \( P = 0.001 \)). However, the use of vitamin E is not strongly advocated since the SELECT trial from 2011 suggested an increased risk for prostate cancer in patients receiving 400 mg vitamin E daily with a hazard ratio of 1.16 (99% CI 1.004—1.36, \( P = 0.008 \)) compared to placebo. In the trial of Sanyal et al., the use of pioglitazone also seems to improve the histological features of NASH, although statistical significance was not reached—34% of the pioglitazone group versus 19% of placebo showed improvement (\( P = 0.04 \)). A major side effect of pioglitazone was weight gain [125]. Furthermore, its use seems to be associated with the development of bladder cancer, although data are conflicting [126, 127]. The EASL guideline recommends that an insulin sensitizer can be used, in particular in patients with type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [123]. The AASLD suggests its use in biopsy-proven NASH regardless of T2DM status [44]. Both the EASL and AASLD suggest considering vitamin E only in non-diabetic non-cirrhotic adults with biopsy-proven NASH. Other medical interventions, such as metformin, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) agonists and ursodeoxycholic acid are suggested as alternatives, but have not been proven effective and are therefore not recommended in current guidelines.

**Bariatric Surgery**

Bariatric surgery is another treatment option that has increasingly been recognized as a potential intervention for NAFLD [128]. Several studies established high rates of histological improvement in NASH in patients with significant weight loss after bariatric surgery [120, 129]. The AASLD guideline recommends that bariatric surgery can be considered in otherwise eligible obese individuals with NAFLD, but it is premature to consider surgery...
to specifically treat NAFLD [44]. With respect to HIV-infected patients, interventions should be guided by the specific pathophysiological aspects regarding HIV- and cART-related NAFLD in addition to standard care. First of all, these interventions should focus on reducing the degree of immune activation in HIV infection by early introduction of effective antiretroviral therapy, aiming to reach full virological suppression and optimal immunological recovery. After publication of the START Study, current HIV treatment guidelines recommend the start of antiretroviral therapy regardless of the CD4 cell count [130, 131]. As a result of this early initiation of cART, the time of immune activation is limited and the degree of IR will decrease—as one of the most important factors of HIV-related NAFLD. Several studies showed that, even in the setting of virological suppression, there is some residual immune activation [132–134]. However, the impact of the low-grade immune activation on the development of insulin resistance and NAFLD is currently unclear. Second, if patients are using a cART regimen with an unfavourable metabolic profile—like protease inhibitors or early-generation NRTIs—changes in cART should be considered. Although current guidelines prefer the use of INSTI-based over PI-based regimens, and modern PIs display a more favourable metabolic profile, some patients are still at risk for NAFLD as a result of cART-related dyslipidaemia.

FUTURE EXPECTATIONS

Epidemiology

In the upcoming years, the epidemiology and management of NAFLD is expected to change. As a result of an increased life expectancy and the number of years living in good health, risk factors for the general population are increasingly applicable for the HIV-infected population. In their 2010 paper, Crum-Cianflone et al. demonstrated significant increases in weight in HIV-infected patients over the years [135]. Among those diagnosed in the cART era, nearly two-thirds of the population were overweight or obese at last visit. This percentage is similar to the United States of America general population, confirming the observation that HIV-infected patients increasingly resemble the general population in respect of risk factors for NAFLD. Therefore, the upcoming years will be characterised by the transition from the HIV- and cART-related risk factors towards the ‘classical’ risk factors for the development of NAFLD. During this transitional period, HIV-treating physicians should be aware of the high prevalence of NAFLD in their patient population, especially for those who were diagnosed in the pre- and early-cART eras, those with low nadir CD4 counts and those who received early-generation antiretroviral therapy. Furthermore, it should not be forgotten that NAFLD can be the first utterance of underlying cardiovascular disease. Its finding may require other diagnostic or therapeutic interventions.

Treatment

In addition to changes in epidemiology and diagnostics, several drugs are currently under investigation which can be divided in non-antifibrotic and antifibrotic drugs [136]. Current phase 3 studies for the non-antifibrotic drugs focus on the regulation of triglycerides and diminishing IR. These include farnesoid X receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated alpha/delta (PPAR α/δ) receptor agonists. For example, PPAR α/δ receptors are present in adipose tissue, muscles and the liver among other tissues, and stimulation promotes mitochondrial beta oxidation and diminishes IR by various pathways [137]. Elafibranor is a PPAR α/δ agonist which is currently being tested in a phase 3 clinical trial. An earlier study using follow-up liver biopsies suggested significantly more resolution of NASH without fibrosis worsening in patients receiving elafibranor versus placebo [19% vs. 12%, OR = 2.31 (95% CI 0.25–5.24, P = 0.045)] [138]. With respect to the antifibrotic drugs, selonsertib is currently being investigated in a phase 3 clinical trial [139]. Selonsertib is an inhibitor of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1, a serine/threonine signalling kinase that induces hepatic inflammation, apoptosis and fibrosis in the setting of
oxidative stress [140]. In an animal model, selonsertib seemed effective in diminishing hepatic inflammation and fibrosis [141]. A phase 2 study evaluated the efficacy of selonsertib; patients were randomized into five groups: selonsertib monotherapy (18 mg or 6 mg) versus selonsertib (18 mg or 6 mg) plus simtuzumab (another antifibrotic drug) versus simtuzumab monotherapy in patients with biopsy-proven NASH [142]. As another study in the meantime established that simtuzumab was ineffective, the selonsertib groups with and without simtuzumab were pooled per dosage [143]. Reduction of one or more stages in biopsy-proven fibrosis was observed in 43% of patients treated with 18 mg selonsertib, 30% among those treated with 6 mg selonsertib and 20% in those treated with simtuzumab monotherapy—suggesting beneficial effects of selonsertib. Further studies will follow in the near future.

With respect to HIV-infected patients, few specific trials have been or are being performed. To the best of our knowledge, only two studies focusing on NAFLD in HIV-infected patients are currently active. Recently, the ARRIVE Trial was completed with the results pending. In this study, HIV-infected patients with documented NAFLD were randomized to aramachol versus placebo. The effect on steatosis will be evaluated using MRI-imaging [144]. Aramchol is a synthetic fatty-acid/bile-acid conjugate that inhibits the synthesis of fatty acids, which appeared to be safe and effective in an earlier trial [145]. A second trial is currently evaluating the effects of tesamorelin—a growth-hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH) analogue—on the degree of steatosis, with the endpoint measured by MRS-PDFF [146]. Two earlier studies in HIV-infected patients suggested beneficial effects when comparing tesamorelin versus placebo [147, 148]. One study determined that the amount of liver fat diminished—assessed by MRS—as result of GHRH analogue treatment, while the other report found that the use of tesamorelin significantly reduced liver enzyme values compared to placebo. It is not completely clear how GHRH augmentation alters the hepatic fat storage, but it is suggested that it inhibits de novo lipogenesis in the liver [149].

In conclusion, although the life expectancy of HIV-infected patients has increased dramatically after the introduction of cART, liver-related morbidity continues to be a great burden in this population. In some patients, residual inflammation persists, certain antiretroviral drugs continue to influence the metabolic profile and HIV-infected patients will grow old enough to face the traditional risk factors for NAFLD. These developments should make us aware of the high risk of NAFLD in this population and warrant further research on modification of both the traditional and HIV-related risk factors and therapeutic interventions.
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