Effect of Nozzles Design on the Performance of Impact Sprinklers in Sprinkler Irrigation System
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Abstract

Water is limited resource. This is considered to be one of the main challenges for future water policy. Saving water and energy is a requirement to ensure the viability of pressurized irrigation systems. Designing and optimizing sprinkler irrigation systems are mainly based on achieving appropriate hydraulic performance. Spray nozzles being very often a critical component in determining the final quality of the product or the sprinkler irrigation efficiency process. The engineering design factors of sprinkler affect sprinkler system performance. So, this study focuses on some engineering design factors (three different nozzle characteristics (1, 2 and 3) and operating pressures from 150 to 250 kPa), comparing with designed nozzle (No 4) to improve brass impact sprinkler performance. This study sited on Giza region at National Laboratory for testing the components of irrigation networks and field drainage, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute AEnRI, Agricultural Research Center ARC. The result of Christiansen uniformity coefficient CU indicated that designed nozzle No 4 achieving good performance according to American society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers ASABE 2001 starting with operating pressure 150 kPa. Also the result indicated that nozzle No 4 saved operating time ratio by 28.87 % and decreased over minimum application targeted irrigation depth by 66.7 % at the same overlapping distances and at the same operating pressure 150 kPa.
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1 Introduction

Agriculture is a sector often criticized for its excessive water consumption. Indeed, agriculture is responsible for approximately 70% of total freshwater withdrawal in the world, mostly through irrigation (FAO 2015).

Robles et al (2017) said that water is limited and precious resource. this research was studying possibility of reducing operating pressure of impact sprinklers nozzle from 300 kPa to 200kPa (low pressure) without reducing the sprinkler layout by using three treatments resulting from sprinkler type, and working pressure were analyzed (impact sprinkler operating at 300 kPa; impact sprinkler at 200 kP; and Modified deflecting plate impact sprinkler at 200 kPa. An experimental design was applied to a maize crop during two seasons (2015 and 2016). The results of low pressure sprinkler did not reduce maize grain yield compared to the standard pressure sprinkler. The wind drift and evaporation losses WDEL for the 2016 season was higher for the
CIS300 (17%) than for DPIS200 (15%) and CIS200 (13%). As nozzle diameter decreases and pressure increases, the number of small diameter drops increases and the number of large diameter drops decreases. The small drops are more likely to be drifted by wind and evaporated.

Jiushen et al (1995) said that for the same pressure discharge characteristic pattern radius for noncircular nozzle is normally shorter than that for circular nozzle; however, a noncircular nozzle gives higher overlapped uniformity coefficient than a circular nozzle at low pressure because the former discharges more water near the sprinkler than the latter so the researcher studied the effect of the diffuse extent of jets formed by double rectangular nozzles on the sprinkler rotation speed was founded that the speed decreases as the jet diffuse extent increases. In the present work it was assumed that discharge exponent was equal to 0.5 and a single coefficient discharge was Cd determined for each sprinkler nozzle diameters at different operating pressures. A very slight increase of the Cd was found as the slot diameter of the sprinkler nozzle increased. (Stambouli et al 2014).

Hedia et al 2017 simulate sprinklers overlapping pattern using single sprinkler distribution pattern resulting uniformity coefficient to be useful for sprinkler irrigation design and analysis the designing of sprinklers overlapping pattern and performance parameters.

This study aims to improve sprinkler performance by developing nozzle characteristic to save operating time and energy. Endorse appropriate uniformity under different overlapping ratio using the prediction HEDIA program to establish it in actual experiment.

2 Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out in the National Laboratory for Testing the Components of Irrigation Networks and Field Drainage, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute AEnRI, ARC. An indoor experiment on characterizing water distribution of an individual sprinkler was carried out in accordance with ASABE 2001. Riser pipes were used to locate the sprinkler nozzle at an elevation of 1 m above the ground level. The individual sprinkler test is controlled by a hydraulic valve equipped with a pressure gauge. Two treatments were designed for this research; nozzle shape and operating pressure each of them for brass type of impact sprinklers was tested at operating pressure from 150 to 250 kPa.

2.1 Materials

Fig 1 Illustrates schematic diagram of sprinkler layout.

Fig 1. Schematic diagram of individual sprinkler test

2.2 The studied sprinkler

2.3 The tested nozzle

Fig 2 shows Tested brass impact sprinkler

Fig 2. Tested brass impact sprinkler
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2.4 Simulation Model (HEDIA Program)

HEDIA computer model 2017 Version 1.0.0.0 size 175 MB used Visual Basic 2012 and Excel 2010 software. This model can quickly compute most types of uniformity coefficients draw sprinkler water distribution pattern and determine the best sprinklers combination shape space depending up on one sprinkler water distribution pattern data, sprinkler effective radius and precipitation at catch cans. Done by calculating of overlapping performance parameters allow selecting the best sprinklers overlapping pattern design. The data can be determined from one radial or quarter part sector of square grid area in lab test. HEDIA model output results involve sprinklers overlapping pattern data, uniformity coefficients of Christiansen, Wilcox, Hart and Karmeli, statistics analysis such as mean, stander deviation, and variance, overlapping linear regression constants or normal fit curve characteristics, water application efficiency, water storage efficiency, deep percolation and others.

2.5 Methods

- As the flow rate was increased by increasing the pressure, the precipitation rate was measured. So the sprinklers have been chosen by the Resident based on experience and defining the distance between cans (1m). Sprinklers were adapted to spray out of the testing area until the adaptation the testing pressure, then let the sprinklers spray was left all over the served area for at least ½ hour.

Fig. 4. The array precipitation rate cans pattern
After resetting of the desired pressure, the nozzle flow rate was measured for each nozzle using hose and tank to collect water from the nozzle. The time of collecting water was recorded for each nozzle. Pre-experiments were determining the orifice discharge coefficient \( C_d \).

\[
C_d = \frac{Q}{A \sqrt{2gh}} \quad \cdots \; (1)
\]

E. Torricelli 1644 Cited by Abdel-Mageed et al 2009

Where: \( C_d \) is discharge coefficient dimensionless, \( Q \) flow rate in m\(^3\)/hr, \( A \) is orifice cross section area in m\(^2\), \( G \) is gravity acceleration, 9.81 m/s\(^2\), \( h \) is water head in m, and \( x \) is constant.

Maximum droplet diameter measured by three methods (filter paper, oil and volumetric method)

\[
d = 1.24 \sqrt{\frac{m}{\rho}} \quad \cdots \; (2)
\]

Abdel-Mageed et al 2009

Where: \( d \) is droplet diameter in mm; \( m \) is droplet mass in g; \( \rho \) is water density in g/mm\(^3\).

2.6 Over irrigation percentage calculation

To achieve the target for irrigation, the minimum application should equal the target application depth. Some areas were received over irrigation. The over irrigation was calculated by assuming that the minimum application is the targeted irrigation depth. The over irrigation is the difference between the overlapping water application depth in a point and the targeted irrigation depth. Reducing over irrigation realizes two advantages, saving water and energy necessary to pumping this water. (Abdel-Mageed et al 2009).

3 Result and Discussion

3.1 Result of individual sprinkler

Velocity and coefficient of discharge for different nozzles were calculated and recorded in Table 1.

Table 1. Velocity and coefficient of discharge for nozzle

| Nozzle Number | Orifice diameter (m) | Jet velocity (m/s) | Coefficient of discharge \( C_d \) |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1             | 0.0015               | 20.3               | 0.75                          |
| 2             | 0.0015               | 21.2               | 0.32                          |
| 3             | 0.0024               | 12.8               | 0.31                          |
| 4             | .00185               | 15                 | 0.26                          |
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Table 2. Pressure vs. discharge Exponent at various nozzle types

| Nozzle Number | Discharge Exponent | Reference range | performance |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1             | 0.56               | X=1 Laminar Flow | Turbulent Flow |
| 2             | 0.7                | X=0.75 Partially Turbulent Flow | Partially Turbulent Flow |
| 3             | 0.64               | X=0.5 Turbulent Flow | Partially Pressure Compensating |
| 4             | 0.72               | X=0.25 Partially Pressure Compensating | Pressure Compensating |
|               |                    | X=0 Pressure Compensating | Turbulent Flow |

Increasing operating pressure, the flow rate increased. The flow rate of Nozzle No 4 was the highest flow rate and the lowest flow was obtained by nozzle No 1. Nozzle No.4 obtained less discharge coefficient than others nozzles. It indicate that not only orifice diameter also nozzle path affected resistance to the flow. The highest flow rate at operating pressure 150 kPa was 0.46 m$^3$/hr obtained to slot area profile and the discharge exponent was 0.72 partially turbulent flow. It may be indicator to nozzle characteristics (shape and outlet surface area) not the contraction angle of the nozzle. This is similar to Jiusheng and Kawano 1998, who studied the contraction angle and its effect on sprinkler performance. They found that relation between it and discharge exponent was insignificant so it was taken as 0.5.

It was found that the value of index of jet break up (4.43) obtained by nozzle No. 3 was found to be exceeding the value of 4 which clearly indicated that the pressure was being wasted and obtained unsuitable droplet size. Also It was found that the value of index of jet break up (3.4) at 150 kPa operating pressure which it was found to be the value between 2 and 4 which clearly indicated that the pressure was being suitable and obtained good droplet size.

Sprinkler rotation speed is one of the variables influencing application intensity and the water distribution pattern. The figure shows that the highest rpm was obtained by nozzle No. 4. It may be because of throw velocity which distracts the water path throughout the nozzle where the diffused jet does not operate the impact arm as efficiently as a smooth jet, resulting in possible rotation problems. The increase in rotation speed with contraction angle may be due to the sprinkler arm receiving less power for a nozzle having a larger contraction angle because the kinetic energy (related to the discharge and initial velocity of the spray stream) formed by a larger contraction angle nozzle is smaller and the spread of the jet exiting the nozzle orifice is increased by the larger contraction angle. The rotation speed (rpm) was affected by the nozzle diameter agree with Osman et al (2014).

Also the rotation time increases as the pressure increases. According to Jiusheng Li and Kawano 1998, one possible explanation for this could be that greater pressures resulted in more friction between the rotation and fixed parts of the sprinkler.

Fig 6. Flow rate at different operating pressure

Table 2. Pressure vs. discharge Exponent at various nozzle types
Table 3. Index of Jet breaks up at various nozzle types at operating pressures 150 kpa

| Nozzle Number | Index of jet break up (Pd) | Reference range | performance |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1             | 5.93                        | 2-4 excellent  | Unacceptable|
| 2             | 5.92                        | Unacceptable   | Unacceptable|
| 3             | 4.43                        | >4 unacceptable| Unacceptable|
| 4             | 3.4                         |                | Excellent   |

Fig 7. Rotation time for different nozzles at different operating pressure

Fig 8. Coverage radius for different nozzles vs. operating pressure
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The previous figures show that the coverage radius followed the normal pattern with increasing operating pressure also with increasing the nozzle diameter the coverage increase which may be because of throw velocity. The coverage radius figures also show that the highest radius was obtained by nozzle No. 3 with 9.75 m at operating pressure 250 kPa.

From Fig. 8 appears the maximum droplet diameter indicated from nozzle No. 3 because of nozzle characteristics which agree with Hashem et al (2009) Droplet sizes for noncircular nozzles were compared with the traditional circular nozzle were larger for noncircular nozzles at a given distance from the sprinkler, Where the droplet diameter on the outer perimeter of the pattern.

The uniformity of nozzle No 3 was the highest value at operating pressure 175 kPa but also nozzle No 4 achieve acceptable uniformity but at operating pressure 150 kPa. So we can deduce that, the irrigation uniformity of sprinkler irrigation system was more affected by the combination of operating pressure and nozzle characteristic, when the pressure and nozzle area increased the irrigation uniformity increased. The decrease of uniformity may be due to non-uniform water distribution where the jet broke up too much as it was proved from index break jet.

It was found for nozzle No. 4, achieving acceptable uniformity according to ASABE 2001, the suitable operating pressure was 150 kPa not less than this. So it will save operating time with reducing ratio between nozzle No. 4 to No. 3 26.87%.

Table 4. The calculated and measured droplet size diameter for different droplet diameter measured methods

| Droplet weight, (g) | Droplet volume, (mm3) | Measured droplet diameter (mm) | Camera droplet diameter, (mm) | Paper droplet diameter, (mm) |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 0.046               | 46                   | 4.44                          | 4.45                          | 4.5                          |

Fig 9. Average Camera droplet diameter at operating pressure 175 kPa for different nozzles
Fig 10. Camera droplet diameter at operating pressure 175 kPa for nozzles 3 and 4 at different distance from sprinkler.

Fig 11. Christiansen coefficient for different nozzles vs. operating pressure for single impact sprinkler.

3.2 Comparing between Hedia Program and actual overlapping

The overlapping is one of the important engineering design factors that affects the sprinkler irrigation system performance.

It was found that nozzle No. 4 at 9×9 overlapping ratio had acceptable uniformity according to ASABE 2001 and achieved the highest precipitation rate 3.78 mm/hr at actual experiments.

Also it was found that the difference ratio between Program and actual experiment was increasing in Christiansen coefficient 14.57% and decreasing in precipitation rate 6.93%. It may be because of rotation speed and contraction angle is not taking in the program mind which influencing instantaneous application intensity and the water distribution pattern as mention by (Jiusheng and Kawano 1998).

12X12 overlapping at sprinkler base pressure 150 kPa (operating time (hour)).
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Fig 12. Christiansen coefficient for nozzles 3 and 4 at operating pressure 150 kPa vs. overlapping ratio comparing between HEDIA program and actual experiment

Fig 13. Christiansen coefficient for nozzles 3 and 4 at operating pressure 150 kPa vs. overlapping ratio comparing between HEDIA program and actual experiment

Fig 14. Water application profiles for circular nozzle No4 and square orifices nozzle No. 3 with 9X9 overlapping at sprinkler base pressure 150 kPa (operating time (hour))
The charts 14 and 15 indicated that the nearly horizontal pattern gradually to rectangular shape with pull out in the water throw from the sprinkler gave more water uniformity for nozzle No. 4 with circular orifice unlike nozzle No. 3 with square orifice show doughnut pattern. The water application profiles for nozzle No. 4 further increased gradually in the middle distance between sprinklers than near the sprinkler while nozzle No 3 was higher near the sprinklers than in the middle in between the sprinklers, corresponding to large mean droplet diameter.

The maximum percentage of over irrigation for nozzle No. 3 near the sprinkler reaches to 416.67 % more than the targeted irrigation depth near the sprinkler. For the time being with nozzle No. 4 these areas reduced received water by 66.7% of nozzle No. 3 at 9X9 overlapping distance.

The maximum percentage of over irrigation for nozzle No. 3 near the sprinkler reaches to 773.33 % more than the targeted irrigation depth. For the time being with nozzle No. 4 these areas were reducing received water by 132% of nozzle No. 3 at 12X12 overlapping distance.
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4 Conclusion

This study compared hydraulic performance for different nozzle characteristic. Generally the orifice diameter and nozzle path of nozzle No. 4 got more efficient water application profile with 9mX9m overlapping. At low pressure 150 kPa, Nozzle No 4 gave lower over irrigation percentage comparing with nozzle No. 3 by 66.7% at the same overlapping distances and at the same operating pressure 150 kPa. Nozzle No 4 had acceptable coefficient of uniformity at operating pressure 150 kPa instead of nozzle No. 3 at operating pressure 175 kPa. Meanwhile it was found that nozzle 1 and 2 had unacceptable uniformity coefficient so it should be increased operating pressure over 250 kPa or decreased the distance between sprinklers to achieve acceptable performance. Finally, at lower operating pressure using nozzle No. 4 achieved saving operating time ratio by 26.87%.

The study recommends the use of the nozzle No 4 to reach an appropriate performance as well as an appropriate uniformity coefficient (not less than 70% as recommended by ASABE 2001) when operating at a low pressure to provide the energy needed for operation. Besides, research should be continued in developing the nozzle design to increase the coverage radius while facilitating the inclusion of the appropriate design in the system according to the irrigated ground conditions, available energy, and the conditions around the sprinkler. It is also recommended to adjust the simulation program to conform to the different nozzles specifications that affect the dissipation of the water outlet Kinetic energy which in turn affects the sprinkler performance.
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الموجز

تلعب العوامل التصميمية الهندسية للقوة دور هام لتحسين أنظمة الري بالرش وذلك بتحقيق أداء هيدروليك مناسب. لذلك تستهدف هذه الدراسة بعض العوامل الهندسية التصميمية مثل (شكل الفوهة وضغط التشغيل من 150 إلى 250 كيلو باسكال) وتباينها على آداء الرشاش التصادمي منفردا تحت ضغط تشغيل مختلف وتحت تأثير نماذج مختلفة من فوهات الرشاش وفقًا لـ ASABE 2001. تم استخدام حامل رشاش لتحديد موقع الفوهة على ارتفاع 1 متر فوق مستوى سطح الأرض. دراسة تأثير تبديد قوة الرشاش (شكل المخرج والمسار الداخلي) لتحسين آداء الرشاش مع توفير وقت التشغيل والطاقة. تحقيق الأنظمة المناسبة تحت نسب التداخل المختلفة باستخدام برنامج HEDIA تطلب العوامل التصميمية الهندسية للقوة دور هام لتحسين أنظمة الري بالرش وذلك بتحقيق أداء هيدروليك مناسب. لذلك تستهدف هذه الدراسة بعض العوامل الهندسية التصميمية مثل (شكل الفوهة وضغط التشغيل من 150 إلى 250 كيلو باسكال) وتباينها على آداء الرشاش التصادمي منفردا تحت ضغط تشغيل مختلف وتحت تأثير نماذج مختلفة من فوهات الرشاش وفقًا لـ ASABE 2001. تم استخدام حامل رشاش لتحديد موقع الفوهة على ارتفاع 1 متر فوق مستوى سطح الأرض. دراسة تأثير تبديد قوة الرشاش (شكل المخرج والمسار الداخلي) لتحسين آداء الرشاش مع توفير وقت التشغيل والطاقة. تحقيق الأنظمة المناسبة تحت نسب التداخل المختلفة باستخدام برنامج HEDIA تطلب العوامل التصميمية الهندسية للقوة دور هام لتحسين أنظمة الري بالرش وذلك بتحقيق أداء هيدروليك مناسب. لذلك تستهدف هذه الدراسة بعض العوامل الهندسية التصميمية مثل (شكل الفوهة وضغط التشغيل من 150 إلى 250 كيلو باسكال) وتباينها على آداء الرشاش التصادمي منفردا تحت ضغط تشغيل مختلف وتحت تأثير نماذج مختلفة من فوهات الرشاش وفقًا لـ ASABE 2001. تم استخدام حامل رشاش لتحديد موقع الفوهة على ارتفاع 1 متر فوق مستوى سطح الأرض. دراسة تأثير تبديد قوة الرشاش (شكل المخرج والمسار الداخلي) لتحسين آداء الرشاش مع توفير وقت التشغيل والطاقة. تحقيق الأنظمة المناسبة تحت نسب التداخل المختلفة باستخدام برنامج HEDIA تطلب العوامل التصميمية الهندسية للقوة دور هام لتحسين أنظمة الري بالرش وذلك بتحقيق أداء هيدروليك مناسب. لذلك تستهدف هذه الدراسة بعض العوامل الهندسية التصميمية مثل (شكل الفوهة وضغط التشغيل من 150 إلى 250 كيلو باسكال) وتباينها على آداء الرشاش التصادمي منفردا تحت ضغط تشغيل مختلف وتحت تأثير نماذج مختلفة من فوهات الرشاش وفقًا L ASABE 2001. تم استخدام حامل رشاش لتحديد موقع الفوهة على ارتفاع 1 متر فوق مستوى سطح الأرض. دراسة تأثير تبديد قوة الرشاش (شكل المخرج والمسار الداخلي) لتحسين آداء الرشاش مع توفير وقت التشغيل والطاقة. تحقيق الأنظمة المناسبة تحت نسب التداخل مختلف...