Eccentric Macular Hole Formation Following Successful Macular Hole Surgery
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Abstract

Objective. Postoperative eccentric macular hole formation is a rare complication after vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane peeling for a macular hole. We report a rare case of late eccentric macular hole formation following successful macular hole surgery. 

Case Report. A 73-year old woman was referred with a long standing full thickness macular hole in both eyes. The patient underwent sequential cataract surgery, 23 gauge sutureless pars plana vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane peeling and inverted flap in both eyes. Control examination six months after the surgery revealed best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 in both eyes, complete closure of the macular hole, with retinal atrophy in both eyes. Control examination eighteen months after the surgery revealed a stable finding in the right eye and an eccentric temporal macular hole in the left eye. The patient was closely observed for one year with no signs of visual acuity reduction or macular hole changes. Conclusion. Postoperative eccentric macular holes may occur after a long follow up period. Most cases remain stable for a long period of time and do not require further surgical intervention. This case highlights the need for close monitoring of patients after macular hole surgery with internal limiting membrane peeling.
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Introduction

Macular holes (MH) are defined as a retinal break in the fovea involving the partial to complete dehiscence of the neural retinal layers. Surgical treatment options for MH include: pars plana vitrectomy and intravitreal ocriplasmin application (1). Vitrectomy, with internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling and gas tamponade has become a routine technique for MH surgery, with a postoperative closure rate of 90% (1, 2). However, macular ILM peeling has been associated with complications, including trauma to the retina, macular phototoxicity, focal retinal hemorrhages, macular edema, postoperative swelling of the arcuate retinal nerve fiber layer (SANFL) followed by dissociated optic nerve fiber layer (DONFL), foveal displacement and, in extremely rare cases, iatrogenic eccentric full-thickness retinal breaks (2).

We report a rare case of late eccentric macular hole formation following successful macular hole surgery.

Case Report

A 73-year old woman was referred with low vision in both eyes, noted 1 year previously. Clinical examination revealed a best corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) of 20/400 in the right eye and 20/200 in the left one. Anterior segment examination of both
eyes showed moderate cataracts. The intraocular pressure measured was 15 mmHg bilaterally. Fundus examination of both eyes revealed the presence of full thickness macular holes (FTMH). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) confirmed the presence of FTMH in both eyes, with a basal hole diameter of 278µm in the right eye and 334µm in the left (Panel A). OCT images were acquired with the Cirrus HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin CA) using the Smart HD Cross Scan Pattern, which captures and averages 100 B-scan images with automatic centering at the fovea or region of interest. The patient was scheduled for surgical treatment of both eyes.

Two months after the initial examination, the patient underwent sequential cataract surgery with 23 gauge sutureless pars plana vitrectomy of both eyes in general an-

Panel A. Pre-operative OCT showing bilateral FTMH, with a basal hole diameter of 278 µm in the right eye and 334 µm in the left eye.
esthesia. The ILM peeling was assisted with Brilliant Peel® (Fluoron, Geuder AG Heidelberg, Germany) and performed with ILM forceps (Grieshaber, Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX). The ILM was grasped with ILM forceps and peeled off in a circular fashion for approximately 2 disc diameters around the macular hole. The ILM was not removed completely from the retina but was left attached to the edges of the MH. Peripheral parts of the ILM were trimmed with the vitrector, and the central part was used as a multilayer ILM flap to cover the MH. Having in mind the duration and the size of the MH, we subsequently enlarged the ILM peeling area 360° around the macula up to the vascular arcades. We used a gas tamponade with 18% Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF6), and advised postoperative face-down positioning for a minimum of 3 days. Both sur-

Panel B. Postoperative OCT 6 months after the surgery showing the closure of the FTMH in both eyes, with retinal gliosis in the fovea, resulting in an almost completely absent IZ with discontinuous junction of the IS/OS line and inner retina nerve fiber layer dimpling, more pronounced in the left eye.
geries were performed by the same surgeon under the same operative conditions, with the same postoperative regimen.

Gradual postoperative visual improvement was noted, and a follow-up examination six months after the surgery revealed BCDVA of 20/40 in both eyes, and best-corrected near visual acuity of Jaeger 4 in both eyes. Fundus examination showed complete resolution of FTMH in both eyes, with associated macular atrophy. Control OCT examination showed complete closure of the FTMH in both eyes, with retinal gliosis in the fovea, resulting in an almost completely absent interdigitation zone (IZ) and a discontinuous junction between the photoreceptor inner and outer segment (IS/OS) line, more pronounced in the left eye. Furthermore, inner retina nerve fiber layer dimpling was present in both eyes, more pronounced

Panel C. Control OCT 18 months after the surgery showing a stable finding in the macula of the right eye, and the eccentric MH temporal to the fovea in the left eye.
in the left eye, indicating possible surgical trauma (Panel B). A follow-up examination 18 months after the surgery revealed the same BCDVA in both eyes, a stable finding in the macula of the right eye, while an eccentric temporal MH was found in the left eye (Panel C). No additional surgery was suggested at that time. Follow-up examinations, three, six and twelve months following the occurrence of the eccentric MH, showed preserved BCDVA and stable OCT findings.

Discussion

The presence of an eccentric MH is a rare finding in patients after ILM peeling, reported in less than 0.6% of cases (2, 3). Several theories have been proposed concerning the pathogenesis implicated, including induced surgical trauma, the use of intraocular dyes during the peeling, removal of the ILM layer itself inducing a weakening of retinal glial structures, and the contraction of the residual ILM (3, 4). Tangential ILM edge contracture may explain the delayed occurrence of the eccentric MH and its location at the edge of the ILM peeling area. However, we found no signs of any epimacular membrane proliferation, which could be the cause of eccentric MH formation (3, 4). Nevertheless, in our case OCT images presented significant retinal atrophy with inner retina nerve fiber layer dimpling, which suggest that mechanical surgical trauma probably played the most important role in the pathogenesis of this eccentric MH (4).

A postoperative MH may either be lamellar or full-thickness and located centrally, paracentrally, or eccentrically (4). Eccentric MHs close to the fovea are linked to poor visual prognosis as compared to more distant eccentric MHs (3, 4). Regarding further intervention, it is necessary to consider the BCDVA, the location of the MH, and its evolution in terms of size (3). In rare cases, an eccentric MH may enlarge and lead to central MH reopening (2, 4). In our case, no further intervention was recommended since the BCDVA and fundus findings remained stable.

Conclusion

It is important to make a detailed postoperative examination in all patients after vitrectomy with ILM peeling, regardless of the BCDVA and stable central macular finding.

What Is Already Known on this Topic:

Internal limiting membrane peeling is the standard of treatment for macular holes. Postoperative eccentric macular hole formation is a rare complication after vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane peeling for a macular hole.

What this Case Adds:

Eccentric macular holes may occur long after the internal limiting membrane peeling. Our case demonstrates how bilateral macular hole surgery in one patient can result in different postoperative findings in both eyes. Eccentric macular hole cases can be successfully managed conservatively by observation.
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