Pulmonary hypertension in the elderly: a different disease?

Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a haemodynamic syndrome defined by a mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) >25 mmHg determined by right heart catheterisation (RHC) [1, 2]. A lot of diseases fulfil this definition, but they differ widely between each other in respect to their pathophysiology, their haemodynamic characteristics, their clinical presentation, their natural prognosis and their potential therapeutic strategies. The current guidelines on PH diagnosis and management make the distinction between five groups of more than 200 causes of PH [2], but these diseases are very different from one another. In the current classification, the first group (group 1) represents a progressive vasculopathy leading to pre-capillary PH called pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), characterised by a characteristic small-calibre pulmonary artery remodelling, as a result of proliferation of endothelial and smooth muscle cells as well as medial hypertrophy. So, if we compare PAH (for example idiopathic PAH) from PH due to valvular disease like mitral insufficiency (group 2.3) it is easy to understand that apart from the fact that mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) >25 mmHg; Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) ≤15 mmHg) with an absolute increase in PVR (>3 WU). PAH can be either idiopathic or associated to other affections. The most common forms of associated-PAH are those related to drugs (anorexigens), connective tissue disease (scleroderma, lupus), infections (HIV, schistosomia), portal hypertension, congenital heart disease or chronic haemolytic anaemia. Pathologically, this group of affections is characterised by specific vascular changes (such as medial hypertrophy, endothelial proliferation and adventitial fibrosis) and by the alteration of specific functional molecular pathways. Among them, nitric oxide (NO), endothelin and prostacyclin...
are the currently recognised pathophysiological pathways, targeted by the currently available PAH-specific treatments.

Unlike PH, which is more frequent and mostly associated with heart or pulmonary diseases [3], PAH is a rare clinical condition whose incidence does not exceed three–five cases per 100000 inhabitants with an estimated prevalence of 15–50 per 100000 inhabitants [4]. As heart and pulmonary disease are rising in prevalence with ageing, prevalence of PH is also increasing. In a study by Hoeper et al. [5], risks factors associated with a diastolic dysfunction and then with an increased risk of PH are older age, obesity, atrial fibrillation, hypertension, coronary artery disease and diabetes (those pathologies increase in number with ageing) [5]. On the other hand, PAH is much more common among specific risk groups, such as patients with HIV infection (0.5%), with portal hypertension (2%) or with connective tissue diseases like scleroderma (8–16%). Hence, the rarity of this condition contributes to the difficulty in establishing the diagnosis. Identification of these risk factors and associated conditions is therefore very important for screening. Recent data have shown that, while PAH was once thought of as affecting young adults, the mean age of patients with PAH now represents a growing proportion of older patients [6–11].

**PAH epidemiology: a changing picture**

The first published PAH registry described an affection that affects predominantly young women [12], with diagnosis occurring at a mean age of <40 years. According to the study, <10% of patients were aged >60 years. Over the previous two decades, the frequency of diagnosis of any kind of PH among hospitalised patients aged 65 and over has been increasing. Since 1995, this increase has been marked amongst patients aged >85 years. Between 1990 and 2002, the frequency in patients aged >65 year has multiplied by 3.4. The modern picture of PAH is changing with patient registries showing an increase of the mean age at PAH diagnosis (table 1) and an increase in the proportion of male patients. Data from both US and European registries confirm this increasing proportion of elderly patients at the time of diagnosis with 9–13.5% of patients aged >70 years; with the mean age at diagnosis at ~50 years. In one single-centre registry, the mean age of idiopathic PAH diagnosis was 55±16 years and, in the recently published data from the Swiss registry, the mean age at PAH diagnosis >60 years and 56% were female [13]. Rather strikingly, another European registry [8] reported a median age of 71 years at diagnosis with 63% being aged >65 years. An ageing population in Western countries, an increase in life expectancy, together with the growing awareness of PAH and availability of potential treatments means that it is now not rare to see geriatric PAH patients.

The main issue of PAH diagnosis in the elderly is to discriminate potential pulmonary vascular disease from normal ageing and from the frequent causes of PH secondary to left heart failure or lung disease. Recently, a Dutch population-based study aimed to determine the prevalence of PH in the general population [14]; it found that prevalence of echocardiographic PH was 2.6%. Prevalence was higher in older participants (8.3% for >85 years

**Table 1 Baseline characteristics of PAH at the time of diagnosis from different registries**

| Registry   | NIH [12] | French [10] | US [9] | REVEAL [11] | UK/Ireland [7] | ASPIRE# [39] | COMPERA [8] | Swiss [13] |
|------------|----------|-------------|--------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Year       | 1987     | 2006        | 2007   | 2010        | 2012           | 2012         | 2013        | 2015       |
| Subjects n | 187      | 674         | 578    | 2525        | 482            | 175          | 587         | 171        |
| Age years  | 36±15    | 50±15       | 48±14  | 50.1±14.4   | 50.1±17.1      | 55±16        | 71±16       | 60±15      |
| Women %    | 63       | 65.3        | 77     | 55.6        | 69.9           | 67           | 60.3        | 66         |
| mPAP mmHg  | 60±18    | 55±15       | 52±14  | 50.7±13.6   | 54.1±13.9      | 53±13        | 44±12       | 46±12      |
| PAWP mmHg  | 8±3      | 10±4        | 9.1±3.5| 9.2±3.5     | 10±3           | 10±3         | 12±7        |            |
| RAP mmHg   | 9.7±6    | 8±5         | 11.7   | 9.3±5.6     | 10.1±6.0       | 11±6         | 8±5         | 9±8        |
| CI L min⁻¹ m⁻² | 2.27±0.9  | 2.50±0.8   | 2.3±0.9| 2.4±0.8     | 2.1±0.7        | 2.3±0.8      | 2.2±0.7     | 2.6±0.8    |
| PVRI WU·m² | 26±14    | 20.5±10.2   | 21.1±12.5| 23.1±10.3  |                |              |              |            |
| PVR WU     | 12.5±7.3 | 12.8±6.3    | 12.0±5.8| 9.6±5.5     | 8.5±5.2        |              |              |            |

Data are expressed as mean±sd or % unless otherwise stated. mPAP: mean pulmonary artery pressure; PAWP: pulmonary artery wedge pressure; RAP: right atrial pressure; CI: cardiac index; PVRI: pulmonary vascular resistance index; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance. #: Patients diagnosed with idiopathic PAH. ¶: PAWP was 8.69±3.9 in males and 7.94±3.7 in females. Data from ref [7–13, 39].
versus 0.8% in those aged 65–70 years), and in those with underlying disorders (5.9% for chronic obstruction pulmonary disease (COPD), 9.2% for left ventricular systolic dysfunction versus 2.3% without; and 23.1% in severe left ventricular diastolic dysfunction versus 1.9% in normal or light diastolic dysfunction). Factors independently associated with higher systolic PAP estimated with echocardiography were older age, higher body mass index, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, COPD and systemic hypertension. In another recent publication, the prevalence of subclinical mild diastolic dysfunction, detected using echocardiographic screening of the general population was one-third in 65–74-year-olds and more than half in those aged >75 years [15]. The same study showed that severe diastolic dysfunction occurs in 3% of this population.

**Age-related changes in heart and pulmonary circulation**

The effects of ageing on the respiratory system have been well studied [16]; in particular, a progressive decline in lung function seen with normal ageing [17], which is largely associated with an alteration of the system mechanics of the lung–thorax and shows a decrease in static elastic recoil of the lung, an increase of chest-wall stiffness and a decrease in the respiratory muscles strength; loss of the total capillary lung volume has also been demonstrated. All these factors may lead to or facilitate PVR increase and subsequent development of PH even in the absence of clear associated disease.

On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that age-related vascular stiffening contributes to isolated systemic systolic hypertension in the elderly [18–21]. The pulmonary vascular bed could also be affected by this leading to pulmonary artery stiffening [22–24]. A decrease in left heart compliance has also been observed [18], which leads to progressive left ventricular diastolic dysfunction.

It is not surprising that systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) has shown a significant age-related increase of about 1 mmHg per decade. Exercise measurements from healthy elderly subjects during exertion have also shown potentially significant increases of pulmonary artery pressure; sometimes with mPAP values frequently exceeding 30 mmHg. This has been an argument for abandoning the concept of exercise-induced PH, which had previously been defined as mPAP >30 mmHg during exercise. The interesting and important question of the behaviour of pulmonary vascular circulation at exercise was indeed recently reassessed by Hervé et al. [25], who proposed new criteria for defining exercise–PH. It was demonstrated that the combination of mPAP >30 mmHg and total pulmonary resistance (TPR = mPAP/cardiac output) >3 WU lead to an excellent sensitivity and specificity for defining a pathological haemodynamic response of the pulmonary circulation during exercise (figure 1). Age-related physiological changes of both the cardiovascular and respiratory system should be considered if PH is suspected in the elderly. Potentially, normal ageing could lead to an overdiagnosis of PH or an underestimation of PAH in this population. Particularly, PAH may be suspected if an elderly patient presents haemodynamic and clinical alterations which are said to be “out of proportion to age” with elevated PVR and mPAP.

**Potential risk of misclassifying PH and its consequences**

The diagnosis of PAH is always difficult and even more in the elderly population. This is due to several reasons. Elderly patients are often affected by several pathologies at the same time, which makes more difficult to establish the accountability of a true involvement of pulmonary vasculature to PH. For example, it is not uncommon to have a diabetic and hypertensive patient with some diastolic dysfunction and/or a respiratory disease. All these affections can lead to PH. In the same patient, the presence of a true increase in pulmonary vascular resistance is still possible whatever its cause. The two are not mutually exclusive. On the other hand, PH is frequent in the elderly because of the high frequency of comorbidities leading to PH, but the frequency of patients with a true increase in

**Figure 1** Relationship between exercise mPAP and cardiac output. Individual data points represent mPAP and cardiac output reached at maximal exercise stratified by subjects with pulmonary vascular disease (PVD), left heart disease (LHD), control subjects and historical healthy volunteers. It can be seen that the total pulmonary resistance (TPR) line with a slope of 3 Wood units (WU) differentiated the diseased (PVD and LHD) and non-diseased groups (controls and historical volunteers). Reproduced from [36] with permission from the publisher.
pulmonary vasculature at the same time is much lower. Thus finding PAH among all PH is like looking for a needle in a haystack. Unfortunately, there are few clinical and simple predictors of PAH. Except the presence of a connective tissue disease that is frequently associated with PAH in this group of age, other noninvasive parameters (left atrium size, signs of diastolic dysfunction) do not permit to definitively differentiate PH from PAH or a mixed disease [26]. Even haemodynamic evaluation by RHC may be equivocal and a full comprehensive haemodynamic workup is mandatory to increases its accuracy. In fact, group 1, 2 and 3 PH may look alike (table 2). Most of the patients included in the different national and international PAH registries benefit from the expertise of renowned centres that have most likely ruled out group 2 and group 3 PH before confirming PAH diagnosis. The expertise involved constitutes the most robust argument in favour of the existence of PAH in the elderly. The converging conclusions of almost all international registries and expert centres reports are indeed convincing and PAH in the elderly must be considered as an emerging aspect of PAH.

In the elderly population, the risk of PH misclassification is nevertheless particularly high and a consequence of it is the overestimation of true pulmonary vascular disease. Considering the fact that PAH has a specific pathophysiology and specific treatment, PH expert centres and PH specialists are facing new challenges and issues for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary vascular diseases in a growing elderly population. If diagnosis procedure is not precise and complete enough, mixing of the population of true PAH with other PH groups may be possible. This could have major consequences on therapeutic choices and armful consequences on both the patient and the overall PAH population. In fact, the evaluation of efficacy and safety of PAH specific treatment could be altered by inclusion of misdiagnosed patient with the potential risk of inappropriate conclusions on PAH-specific treatment efficacy or safety. As an example, it is well documented that PAH specific treatments should not be prescribed in group 2 PH. Indeed, PAH-specific treatments have not been studied in patients of this age category, as it was the case for the paediatric population [27]. So they may be an increased risk of adverse effects of PAH-specific treatment. The most recently published phase III randomised controlled trials dedicated to evaluation of new PAH-specific treatment included patients with a mean age far below 50 years and <20% of patients were aged >65 years [28]. So even if current international guidelines for the treatment of PAH do not makea distinction between the elderly and another age

| COPD–PH | HfP-EF–PH | PAH |
|---------|-----------|-----|
| **Without associated disease** | **With associated disease** | |
| **Subjects n** | 11 | 16 | 20 | 20 |
| **Age years** | 67 (63–68) | 61 (56–68) | 71.3±7.8 | 70.2±6.7 |
| **BMI kg m⁻²** | 26 (21–30) | 26 (22–33) | 31±6.8 | 28.2±3.2 |
| **Women %** | 80 | 70 | |
| **mPAP mmHg** | 48 (46–50) | 43 (42–49) | 50.2±7.1 | 50.2±9.5 |
| **PAWP mmHg** | 6.0 (4.0–7.0) | 9.0 (4.0–18.0) | 22.8±4.4 | 9.6±3.1 |
| **RAP mmHg** | 7.0 (5.0–9.0) | 5.0 (3.0–9.7) | 14.8±4.9 | 10.7±5.6 |
| **TPG mmHg** | 27.5±4.8 | 40.5±8.8 | |
| **DPG mmHg** | 6.3±6.2 | 22.0±7.8 | |
| **Cl L min⁻¹·m⁻²** | 2.3 (1.8–2.5) | 2.8 (1.9–3.8) | 2.5±0.5 | 2.3±0.3 |
| **TPR WU** | 21.3 (17.6–26.6) | 14.9 (11.0–28.4) | |
| **PVR WU** | 6.3±2.1 | 9.3±2.7 | |

Data are expressed as mean±sd or median (interquartile range). BMI: body mass index; mPAP: mean pulmonary artery pressure; PAWP: pulmonary artery wedge pressure; RAP: right atrial pressure; TPG: transpulmonary gradient; DPG: diastolic pulmonary gradient; CI: cardiac index; TPR: total pulmonary resistance; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance. Data from ref 40 and 41.
group, it is possible that PH expert centre may face unexpected adverse events in this more fragile population.

The situation may be even more complex as there is some evidence showing that group 3 PH may in some cases harbour intrinsic increase in pulmonary vascular resistance that mimic PAH. Indeed, Carlson et al. [29] have recently shown that a subset of patients suffering from COPD-related PH, without identified cause of coexistent PAH, presented similar vascular lesions to patients who died from PAH. Similarly, patients with group 2 PH and established left ventricular dysfunction or heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) could also present some degree of pre-capillary component of PH added to the post-capillary contribution of heart dysfunction. It is important to consider that the general classification in the five groups of PH could then sometimes be considered as a simplification of a complex and intricate reality. And this may be even more the case in the elderly population.

Of course, the key investigation to precisely characterise the specific contribution of post-capillary and pre-capillary mechanisms is the right heart catheterisation. It’s usually recommended that all patients suffering from a pulmonary disease or heart disease associated with what was previously called “out of proportion PH” should have further haemodynamic investigation to consider the potential diagnosis of mixed PH with an intrinsic increase of pulmonary vascular resistance. Moreover patients with an autoimmune context (connective tissue disease) or patients with anorexigen drug exposure could have the same screening, because the well-known association between these conditions and a significantly increased risk of PAH [30].

**RHC pitfalls in the elderly population**

Haemodynamic evaluation is the central procedure for diagnosis and characterisation of the PH group and this is also true in the elderly population. Here, the possible association of pulmonary disease, cardiac disease and pulmonary vascular disease in the same patient make the interpretation of haemodynamic profile more difficult and thus requires full attention. Despite being the gold standard diagnostic procedure, invasive haemodynamic evaluation presents potential pitfalls to be avoided. In evaluation of elderly patients and the mandatory elements for confirmation of PAH, haemodynamic parameters assessed by RHC must be carefully examined and sometimes challenged. This is the case for mPAP $\geq$ 25 mmHg, which could be expected in one in 250 healthy subjects aged $\geq$ 50 years, compared with only one in 5000 younger subjects [31]. Once PH is confirmed, PAWP should be determined. This is a parameter assumed to be a surrogate marker for left ventricle end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) and allows classification of PH as pre-capillary or post-capillary using a cut-off of 15 mmHg. In older patients, as prevalence of cardiac and pulmonary pathologies is particularly high, as previously discussed, PAWP might be less reliable. One study showed that more than half of the patients with PH and PAWP <15 mmHg had LVEDP $> 15$ mmHg [32]. This implies that reliance on PAWP rather than LVEDP in patients with suspected elevated left filling pressure, which is frequent in the elderly [33], may result in a misdiagnosis of PAH. It is therefore advisable to consider LVEDP measurement by left heart catheterisation in patients for whom HFpEF must be excluded or in patient with alteration of pulmonary function test and possible significant pressure changes during respiratory cycle that may overestimate PAWP. It should also be considered that mild diastolic dysfunction is common in older patients and this may result in ruling out PAH unduly. In these cases, pharmacological testing to assess the vascular reactivity may help to identify true PAH, but these procedures are not endorsed by current PH guidelines. In patients with an increased PAWP, the use of diastolic pulmonary vascular pressure gradient (DPG) is a classic way to distinguish patients with a true pre-capillary component in a post-capillary setting while Naeije et al. [34] have nicely shown that transpulmonary gradient (TPG) is not devoid of limitations. It is also particularly true in the older patients, in which TPG has to be interpreted with caution due to vascular stiffness that might induce an overestimation of this parameter. Differentiation between pre-capillary and post-capillary PH is then not straightforward even with RHC, particularly in the elderly population. Thus, elderly patients with suspicion of PAH should be addressed to an expert PH centre to benefit from a precise haemodynamic evaluation and an appropriate diagnosis. In these centres, after selection of patients, extensive haemodynamic profiles must be established with both right and left heart catheterisation.

To help distinguish between true increase in vascular resistance and post-capillary physiopathology, a multi-points analysis of cardiac output–mPAP relation seems to be effective [35]. This aspect again underlines the potential utility of exercise haemodynamics and fluid challenge in determining true pulmonary vascular resistance, and in unmasking an HFpEF respectively (figure 2). In contrast with a single measurement at rest of mPAP, PAWP, cardiac output and derived PVR, combination of rest and exercise measures permit to give an accurate insight of the real resistive properties of the pulmonary circulation (figure 3) [36]. In addition to exercise procedure, HFpEF might also be unmasked by fluid challenge in patients with normal PAWP and suspected
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To this purpose, we recently proposed an algorithm of interpretation of haemodynamic investigations to properly classify patients in the different groups of PH. In fact, it seems to be coherent that haemodynamic evaluation could also be performed during exercise, a condition prone to induce most of the symptoms developed in PH. It also noteworthy that the validation of a reliable non-invasive device that allow accurate determination of cardiac output changes during exercise is desirable in this context [37, 38].

Conclusion

PH in the elderly is not different than in other age group. However, the distinction between disease and normal ageing and between PH and PAH may be more difficult. In fact, the many comorbidities that could lead to PH make its diagnostic classification much more difficult and the identification of patients with a true vascular disease even more challenging. Elderly PAH patients suffer from severe pulmonary vascular disease with a poor survival rate and should be accurately diagnosed before introduction of PAH-specific drug therapy. In addition, age-related physiological changes should be considered if PH is suspected in the elderly because the normal process of ageing has the potential to lead to an overdiagnosis of PH or an underestimation of PAH. Every patient with suspected PAH should be referred to an expert centre to benefit from complete investigations and comprehensive haemodynamic evaluation. This is true for all patients but especially for the elderly. The modern available PAH-specific treatment may be differently tolerated in elderly patients. However, one should remember that PAH is a devastating disease that should be appropriately treated with ambitious goals.

Figure 2  Algorithm for haemodynamic evaluation in the elderly. Clinical groups refer to the current international classification of PH [1]. RHC: right heart catheterisation; PAWP: pulmonary artery wedge pressure; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance; DPG: diastolic pulmonary gradient; LHC: left heart catheterisation; HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. PAWP or left ventricle end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP). LVEDP measurement by left heart catheterisation (LHC) should be discussed prior to and performed along with RHC. It might be a reasonable option if the patient presents several risk factors for diastolic dysfunction in addition to age, including obesity, metabolic syndrome, diabetes, hypertension, CAD. In selected patients with normal PAWP and PVR (dotted box), exercise or fluid challenge might be discussed in order to unmasked left heart disease or early pulmonary vascular disease. Reproduced from [35] with permission from the publisher.

Figure 3  Example of resting haemodynamic characteristics of two different patients with PAH (black dots) and HFpEF–PH (open dots). PAH patient: mPAP 30 mmHg, PAWP 12 mmHg, cardiac output 4 L min⁻¹; HFpEF–PH patient: mPAP 29 mmHg, PAWP 14 mmHg, cardiac output 3.3 L min⁻¹. Note that both patients operating point of the pressure-flow relationship are placed on the same PVR regression line. Subsequent hemodynamic values are obtained during supine step increase exercise with leg ergometer placed on the RHC table. The regression lines obtained from experimental data are very different. For PAH, the regression line (black line) intercept is negative with a steeper slope (5.8) compared with the HFpEF–PH regression line (grey line) whose intercept is positive (slope 2.6), revealing completely different resistive properties of the pulmonary vasculature. Reproduced from [35] with permission from the publisher.
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