Highlights of HRCT imaging in IPF
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Abstract
High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) imaging has a central role in the diagnosis of interstitial lung diseases, particularly in the evaluation of patients with suspected idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). In approximately half of cases, HRCT scans are sufficient to allow a confident IPF diagnosis. Advances in HRCT scanning and interpretation have facilitated improved accuracy for use in diagnosing IPF, eliminating the need for a surgical biopsy in many patients. HRCT may also have a role to play in predicting the prognosis of the disease. The role of routine follow-up with HRCT to monitor patients with IPF remains unclear due to lack of sufficient evidence, although, sometimes follow-up HRCT might be necessary to rule out progressive disease in patients with undetermined diagnosis. Advances in the field of HRCT imaging are discussed, along with insights into the clinical utility of this procedure in the diagnosis and management of IPF.

Introduction
High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) may substantially narrow the differential diagnosis for most cases with clinically suspected interstitial lung disease (ILD). Sometimes, HRCT may also provide a confident diagnosis without the need of the surgical biopsy. Furthermore, HRCT can quantify the extent of lung abnormalities and be used to make up composite indexes that better estimate disease severity and prognosis [1-3].

Indeed, HRCT is an essential tool for the evaluation of patients with suspected idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and has been increasingly used in several drug trials as a surrogate index for assessing treatment response [4-7]. The following highlights summarize the key technical requirements for improving HRCT imaging of patients with IPF, the HRCT role in IPF diagnosis and follow-up.

Key technical requirements for best practice HRCT
The key technical requirements for ‘best practice’ HRCT are volumetric acquisition and thin-section reconstruction. Volumetric HRCT acquisition is now generally preferred to standard noncontiguous HRCT imaging (eg, 1mm scans with 10mm interval) because it improves both the identification of ancillary findings (e.g. lung nodules), and the characterization of patchy ILD [8]. Importantly, volumetric HRCT acquisition allows for better differentiation between honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis which may be proved crucial to diagnose or rule out IPF. Only volumetric imaging data provides multiplanar reformations (coronal and sagittal) of the entire lung improving the evaluation of abnormalities distribution and the extent of disease [9]. However, volumetric HRCT acquisition should only be performed if state-of-the-art multidetector-rows CT scanners (with at least 16 detector-rows) are available as the scanning time of early scanners (eg 4-6 detector-rows) is too long, with most patients unable to hold their breath long enough for a complete lung scan. The major drawback of the volumetric technique is the high radiation dose exposure. Such a concern should be taken into account particularly when examining young patients with suspected ILD for whom standard noncontiguous HRCT should be considered as they deliver about one-fourth of the dose of standard volumetric HRCT [10]. Nevertheless, it is important to realize that reducing the tube current up to 20-30 mAs for volumetric HRCT scanning does not significantly impair the visual assessment of the lung parenchyma [11-14]. The increasing development of tools for reducing radiation exposure (e.g. automatic exposure control, iterative reconstruction) is reasonable assuming that diagnostic performance is not compromised [10,15].
Expiratory CT scanning might refine the differential diagnosis of fibrotic lung diseases by disclosing coexisting air trapping [16]. However it has yet to be proven whether or not the regular use of expiratory CT scanning provides any additional benefit in terms of diagnostic accuracy at the cost of increased radiation exposure.

HRCT scans are usually obtained with the patient in supine position. However, when limited (not extensive) ILD is suspected (by the clinical auscultation and/or the evaluation of the chest radiograph) prone imaging could be of use. Thus, the frequent finding of an amorphous increase in attenuation of the dependent lung (in the supine position, the postero-basal segments of the lower lobes) may mimic subtle interstitial abnormalities. However, this can be generally readily recognized as a normal finding if there are CT sections obtained in the prone position that confirm its reversibility [17].

**Definitive pattern of usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern at HRCT**

Familiarity with the typical appearances of UIP on HRCT is important, as in the appropriate clinical setting, it is often sufficient for establishing a confident diagnosis of IPF without the need for surgical biopsy [6].

The characteristic HRCT features of UIP are a reticular pattern with honeycombing, often associated with traction bronchiectasis; ground glass may be present, but is less extensive than reticular abnormality. Such abnormalities are characteristically basal and peripheral, though often patchy (Figure 1) [4].

Subpleural, basal honeycombing is indeed the most important, specific feature of the UIP pattern. On HRCT, honeycombing consists of clustered cystic airspaces, typically of comparable diameters of the order of 3–10 mm, though these may occasionally be as large as 2.5 cm. Honeycombing is characterized by well-defined walls [18]. In some cases of UIP with concurrent emphysema, emphysematous areas surrounded by ground-glass and/or reticular opacity may mimic honeycombing. In a study by Akira et al., it was shown that emphysematous changes complicated the interpretation of the CT findings thus leading to incorrect differential diagnosis between UIP and nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) in more than half of the cases [19].

Although it’s still not clear if IPF with coexisting emphysema represents a distinct clinical phenotype (combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema) or whether emphysema in these cases is simply a comorbidity, identifying coexisting emphysema may be important to better interpret pulmonary function test results [20-22]. Furthermore, it has been suggested that patients with IPF combined with emphysema are more likely develop pulmonary

![Figure 1 Definite UIP pattern](http://respiratory-research.com/content/14/S1/S3)
hypertension and then show a poorer outcome than those without emphysema [22,23].

HRCT features consistent with a definite UIP pattern may be asymmetrical in up to 25% of UIP cases. The right lung is most frequently involved. Such a pattern distribution of fibrosis is more common in UIP as compared with other fibrotic ILD [24].

However, a definite UIP-like pattern may be also seen in other disorders, such as fibrotic NSIP (especially when associated with emphysema), connective tissue diseases, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, asbestosis, familial ILD, sarcoidosis, vasculitis and Hermansky Pudlak syndrome [16,25-28]. In such cases, the recognition of additional ancillary findings (e.g. pleural plaques, centrilobular nodules etc.) may help refine the differential diagnosis between an idiopathic and a known etiology-associated form (Table 1) [29].

“Possible” and “inconsistent” HRCT patterns

It is very important to realize that approximately half of cases with biopsy-proven UIP does not show a definite HRCT pattern owing to either the absence of typical findings (i.e. honeycombing) (Fig. 2), or the presence of findings (e.g. predominant ground-glass opacity, consolidation, nodules etc.) suggesting alternative diagnoses (Fig. 3) [3,30,31]. Such atypical HRCT patterns of UIP are very similar or identical to those of NSIP, although in clinical practice, they are likely to be misdiagnosed as a wider range of diseases (e.g. chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis and sarcoidosis) [31].

In these patients, the absence of a typical HRCT pattern calls for surgical biopsy that reveals a diagnosis of UIP. The reason these patients fail to show the classic HRCT appearances of UIP is still unclear, although it thought that these are forms of initial disease or the expression of the coexistence of UIP and NSIP in different parts of the lung [10].

Thereby, the latest ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT guidelines (2011) outlined the HRCT features that meet the criteria for “definite UIP”, “possible UIP” and “inconsistent with UIP” patterns (Fig. 1, 2, 3). Such classification system should be used and eventually combined with the histologic data to diagnose IPF [6].

Nevertheless, it has been shown that some HRCT indexes may predict both IPF diagnosis and prognosis. It seems that patients with IPF who have possible or inconsistent UIP by HRCT criteria have a shorter survival than those who show definite HRCT findings [30]. It has also been shown that amongst patients with a non definite UIP pattern, a higher HRCT score of the reticular abnormality and older age are predictive of a diagnosis of IPF [32].

Role of follow-up HRCT

Serial HRCTs show increases in extent and fibrosis over months or years [25,33,34]. In drug trial setting HRCT is the main tool to assess in vivo the morphologic response to treatment [5,7]. However, the regular use of HRCT follow-up is still controversial in routine practice and not yet not recommended in clinically stable patients. Follow-up HRCT might be indicated in those patients presenting with an unexpected clinical-functional decline (i.e. to rule out acute exacerbations) or when there is a non definite UIP pattern on HRCT that cannot be characterized by lung biopsy. Acute exacerbation should be suspected in patients with extensive or rapidly progressive new ground-glass opacity (but sometimes consolidation) occurring on a background of pre-existing fibrotic change typical of UIP/IPF HRCT [35,36]. In such cases, however, HRCT interpretation should be carefully corroborated by clinical findings as acute exacerbations’ HRCT features may overlap with those of other acute complications such as pulmonary infection and oedema.

Summary

The role of HRCT imaging in the evaluation and diagnosis of patients with suspected IPF is central. When assessed by expert clinicians and radiologists, the presence of typical clinical features and definitive UIP findings on HRCT images are sufficient to allow a confident diagnosis of IPF. However, the spectrum of HRCT manifestations of UIP seems to be wider than commonly appreciated. HRCT has also been shown to have some prognostic value in predicting mortality although further evidence is required to prove such a value in clinical practice. Routine HRCT

| Table 1 Ancillary CT findings suggesting other diagnoses in patients with a predominant UIP-like pattern. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Probable diagnosis** |
| Centrilobular nodules | Hypermotisity |
| Air trapping | Pneumonitis |
| Relative sparing of bases | |
| Pleural effusion, pleural thickening, esophageal dilation | Collagen Vascular disease |
| Pleural plaques | Asbestosis |
| Multiple septal or bronchovascular nodules in addition to reticulation | Fibrosing Sarcoid |
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Figure 2 Biopsy-proved UIP with a possible UIP pattern at HRCT. The transverse HRCT image through the lower lobes shows patchy and peripheral reticular opacities without obvious honeycombing.

Figure 3 Biopsy-proved UIP with an HRCT pattern inconsistent with UIP. There are some patchy ground-glass and peripheral reticular opacities which are more suggestive of nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP).
follow-up is not currently recommended due to lack of sufficient evidence.
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