In-the-bag scleral suturing of intraocular lens in eyes with severe zonular dehiscence
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Abstract

Purpose To compare the degree of tilt and decentration of an intraocular lens (IOL), refractive status, and prediction error between eyes that underwent trans-scleral suturing of the IOL within the capsular bag (in-the-bag scleral suturing) and eyes that underwent scleral suturing outside of the bag (out-of-the-bag scleral suturing) because of severe zonular dehiscence.

Patients and methods Thirty eyes that underwent in-the-bag scleral suturing of an IOL and 38 eyes that underwent out-of-the-bag scleral suturing were recruited sequentially. The tilt and decentration of the IOL, anterior chamber depth, manifest refractive spherical equivalent (MRSE), prediction error, and incidence of complications were examined.

Results The mean tilt angle and the decentration length of the IOL of the in-the-bag suturing group were significantly less than those of the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0003 in tilt and P = 0.0391 in decentration), although the anterior chamber depth was similar. The mean MRSE and prediction error of the in-the-bag suturing group were less than those of the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0006 in MRSE and P = 0.0034 in error). The incidence of vitreous loss was less in the in-the-bag suturing group (20% vs 63.2%, P = 0.0009).

Conclusions The tilt and decentration of the IOL after in-the-bag scleral suturing are significantly less than those after out-of-the-bag scleral suturing, which may lead to less MRSE and less prediction error. As the incidence of vitreous loss is less after in-the-bag scleral suturing, in-the-bag suturing is advantageous for eyes of younger patients and of less complicated cases.
zonal dehiscence or lens subluxation. However, advances in surgical devices have increased our ability to preserve the capsular bag after cataract extraction—even in eyes with zonal dehiscence or lens subluxation. By using capsule stabilization devices, such as a flexible iris retractor,24,25 capsule expander,26 or capsular tension ring,27,28 phacoemulsification can be accomplished without worsening of zonal dehiscence. When the capsular bag is preserved, a PC-IOL can be sutured to the sclera or the iris within the bag,28 which we have called ‘in-the-bag suturing of the IOL.’ The surgical technique of in-the-bag scleral suturing is more difficult than that of scleral suturing outside of the lens capsule, termed ‘out-of-the-bag suturing’, and in-the-bag suturing is not applicable for more serious cases. However, in-the-bag scleral suturing is assumed to be advantageous in terms of less IOL displacement, less prediction error, and less vitreous loss.

The objective of this study was to examine the degree of IOL tilt and decentration, anterior chamber depth, refractive states, prediction error, and the incidence of operative complications in eyes that underwent in-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL. In this study, eyes that underwent out-of-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL served as controls.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients**

The medical records of all eyes that underwent scleral suturing of a posterior chamber IOL within the capsular bag (in-the-bag scleral suturing) and all eyes that underwent scleral suturing of an IOL outside of the capsular bag (out-of-the-bag scleral suturing) at the Hayashi Eye Hospital between July 2004 and November 2009 were sequentially screened for inclusion in this study. The inclusion criteria for the in-the-bag scleral suturing group were (1) eyes that underwent scleral suturing of the IOL within the capsular bag after phacoemulsification using capsule-supporting devices; (2) eyes with a minimal follow-up of 3 months; (3) first-operated eye if both eyes had undergone surgery; (4) eyes that had uneventful surgery; (5) eyes with no history of ocular inflammation; and (6) eyes in which a clear image was obtained by a Scheimpflug videophotography. Based on these criteria, 38 eyes were identified. Of the 38 eyes, the surgeon failed to maintain the capsular bag when performing phacoemulsification in 7 eyes; 9 eyes underwent intracapsular cataract extraction; and 15 eyes underwent extraction of a dislocated IOL. In the remaining seven eyes, the IOL was sutured because these eyes had either zonal diaysis or posterior capsule rupture. The lenses sutured were a single-piece polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) IOL (CZ70BD; Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX, USA) or a hydrophobic acrylic IOL (VA65BB; HOYA, Tokyo, Japan). The CZ70BD had a 7.0 mm PMMA optic with modified C PMMA loops with eyelets. The VA65BB had a 6.5 mm acrylic optic with distended modified C PMMA loops.29 Of the 30 eyes that underwent in-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL, two received the CZ70BD and 28 received the VA65BB. Of the 38 eyes that underwent out-of-the-bag scleral suturing, 24 received the CZ70BD and 14 received the VA65BB. Informed consent was obtained from each patient included in this study. The Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol and all patients provided informed consent.

**Surgical procedures**

A single surgeon (KH) performed all surgeries. For in-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL, the surgeon employed the modified ab interno method described by Mittelviehhaus and Wiek.7 First, a 3.0 mm scleral tunnel or a 2.5 mm clear corneal incision was made for phacoemulsification. After incision, a continuous capsulorrhexis measuring was accomplished by using a bent needle or an anterior capsule forceps under viscoelastic material (Viscoat, Alcon; or Healon 5, AMO, Santa Ana, CA, USA). After the capsulorrhexis, a flexible iris retractor or a capsule expander was hooked to the anterior capsule edge at four to five meridians (Figure 1). After hydrodissection, phacoemulsification of the nucleus and aspiration of the residual cortex were performed. Using a keratome, the wound was enlarged to 7.0 mm for implantation of the CZ70BD or to 4.1 mm for implantation of the VA65BB. The lens capsule was inflated with sodium hyaluronate 1% (Healon; AMO), after which a fornix-based conjunctival flap was made temporally and nasally. Then, 9-0 polypropylene looped-sutures, with a long curved needle (PC-9; Alcon), were hitched to the haptic eyelets of the CZ70BD or to the distended haptics of the VA65BB. The PC-9 needles were pierced through the capsule from the ciliary sulcus to the sclera at the 3 and 9 o’clock meridians, approximately 1 mm posterior to the limbus. Next, the IOL was inserted into the capsular bag in an attempt to introduce the haptics to the ciliary sulcus. After another superficial bite was taken in the sclera, one arm of the suture was cut...
and then tied with the other arm of the suture on the bilateral side. When vitreous loss occurred, an anterior vitrectomy was performed using a vitreous cutter.

For out-of-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL, the same modified *ab interno* method described above was employed. However, the procedures for extraction of cataract or dislocated IOL were different. For eyes with a luxated lens, the surgeon made a 10 to 11 mm superior limbal incision, and then extracted the lens with a looped cannula. After the wound was temporarily closed by 8-0 silk sutures, the prolapsed vitreous was removed by using a vitreous cutter.

For eyes that underwent an exchange of a dislocated IOL, the surgeon made superior 7.0 mm corneoscleral incisions for extraction of a PMMA IOL and 4.1 mm clear corneal incisions for extraction of a foldable IOL. The dislocated IOL was pulled up into the anterior chamber by means of a hook or IOL forceps. The PMMA IOL was then explanted through 7.0-mm corneoscleral wounds; the foldable IOL was cut using Vannas scissors; and the remaining part of the IOL was rotationally explanted through 4.1-mm corneal wounds. For eyes with a posterior capsule rupture or a zonular dialysis, the original incision was enlarged according to the size of the lens remnants. The lens remnants were removed with a looped cannula or a vitreous cutter. When the vitreous was prolapsed, an anterior vitrectomy was conducted to preclude the vitreous incarceration.

**Main outcome measures**

Decentration length and tilt angle of the scleral sutured IOL, and anterior chamber depth, were measured by Scheimpflug videophotography (EAS-1000; NIDEK,
Gamagori, Japan). The methods for calculating the decentration length and tilt angle with the EAS-1000 system have been described previously.22

Uncorrected and spectacle-corrected decimal visual acuity were recorded at each visit, and visual acuity was converted to a logarithm of minimal angle of resolution scale for statistical analysis. The objective refractive status and keratometric cylinder were measured by using an autorefracto-keratometer (KR-7100; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan). The refractive prediction error was determined as an absolute value of actual refraction from predicted (targeted) refraction. The pupillary diameter was examined by using the Colvard pupillometer (Oasis Medical, Glendora, CA, USA). The incidence of eyes that showed operative complications, including vitreous prolapse into the anterior chamber in which anterior vitrectomy was performed; temporary increase in intraocular pressure (IOP); glaucoma escalation; vitreous haemorrhage, cystoid macular edema; and retinal detachment, was examined. Transient IOP increase was defined as IOP higher than 21 mm Hg within 2 weeks after surgery. Glaucoma escalation was defined as the development of new-onset glaucoma or the need for more aggressive control of glaucoma using one or more additional medications, or with surgical intervention.

**Statistical analysis**

The Mann–Whitney U-test was used for comparison of the degree of decentration and tilt of the IOL, anterior chamber depth, spherical equivalent, prediction error, and other continuous variables between the in-the-bag and the out-of-the-bag scleral suturing groups. Discrete variables were compared by using χ²-test or Fisher’s exact probability test. Any differences showing a P-value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The patient demographics of the two groups are shown in Table 1. No statistically significant differences were found in gender, ratio of the left to the right eyes, keratometric cylinder, pupillary diameter, predicted refraction, or intervals between surgery and examination between the two groups. However, the mean age of the in-the-bag scleral suturing group was significantly less than that of the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0019).

The mean tilt angle and decentration length of the IOL of the in-the-bag scleral suturing group was significantly less than those of the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0003 in tilt and P = 0.0391 in decentration) (Figure 2). However, no significant difference was found in the mean anterior chamber depth between the two groups (3.60 ± 0.35 mm for the in-the-bag suturing group and 3.57 ± 0.41 mm for the out-of-the-bag suturing group; P = 0.7858). Furthermore, the number of eyes that developed a marked tilt of more than 10 degree was significantly less in the in-the-bag scleral suturing group than in the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0074), although the number of eyes that showed a decentration of greater than 1.0 mm was not significantly different.

The mean diopter (D) of spherical power of the in-the-bag scleral suturing group was significantly less myopic than that of the out-of-the-bag scleral suturing group (−0.52 ± 1.38 D for the in-the-bag suturing group and −1.50 ± 1.72 D for the out-of-the-bag suturing group; P = 0.0008), although cylindrical power was not different (1.98 ± 1.05 D for the in-the-bag suturing group and 2.33 ± 1.44 D for the out-of-the-bag suturing group; P = 0.5699). Subsequently, the manifest spherical equivalent of the in-the-bag suturing group was significantly less myopic than that of the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0006) (Figure 3). Furthermore, as the predicted refraction of the two groups was similar, the mean absolute value of prediction error was less for the in-the-bag suturing group than that for the out-of-the-bag suturing group (P = 0.0034).

Mean uncorrected decimal visual acuity was 0.37 in the in-the-bag group and 0.29 in the out-of-the-bag group, whereas mean spectacle-corrected visual acuity was 0.71 in the in-the-bag suturing group and 0.78 in the out-of-the-bag group; neither difference was statistically significant. The reasons for worse corrected visual acuity (worse than 0.5) in the in-the-bag scleral suturing group were advanced glaucoma in 5 eyes, macular degeneration in 2 eyes, and retinopathy of prematurity in 1 eye, whereas those in the out-of-the-bag group were

**Table 1** Patient characteristics of the in-the-bag scleral suturing and out-of-the-bag scleral suturing groups

| Characteristic | In-the-bag scleral suturing group | Out-of-the-bag scleral suturing group | P-value |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)    | 59.1 ± 13.6                       | 69.2 ± 13.4                           | 0.0019* |
| Gender (M/F)   | 10/20                             | 15/23                                 | 0.6021  |
| Left/right     | 12/18                             | 16/22                                 | 0.8609  |
| Keratometric cylinder (D) | 1.50 ± 0.98                  | 1.94 ± 1.23                           | 0.1628  |
| Pupillary diameter (mm) |                        |                                       |         |
| Photopic       | 3.70 ± 1.11                       | 3.49 ± 0.68                           | 0.6803  |
| Mesopic        | 4.29 ± 1.03                       | 4.21 ± 0.78                           | 0.9031  |
| Predicted refraction (D) | −0.43 ± 0.52               | −0.52 ± 0.41                          | 0.1083  |
| Interval (days) | 389 ± 464                        | 442 ± 422                             | 0.1555  |

Abbreviations: D, diopter; F, female; M, male.
*Statistically significant difference.
†Elapsed time between surgery and examination.
advanced glaucoma in 3 eyes, retinitis pigmentosa in 2 eyes, and closed macular hole after viterectomy in 1 eye.

In the in-the-bag or the out-of-the-bag group, there was no significant difference between eyes that received the single-piece PMMA IOL (CZ70BD) and

Figure 2 Comparison of mean (±SD) tilt angle and decentration length of IOLs between the in-the-bag scleral suturing and out-of-the-bag scleral suturing groups. The mean tilt angle and decentration length of the IOL for the in-the-bag scleral suturing group are significantly less than those for the out-of-the-bag suturing group.

Figure 3 Comparison of mean (±SD) manifest spherical equivalent value and the absolute value of prediction error between the in-the-bag scleral suturing and out-of-the-bag scleral suturing groups. The mean manifest spherical equivalent value and the absolute value of prediction error for the in-the-bag scleral suturing group are significantly less than are those for the out-of-the-bag scleral suturing group.
the eyes that underwent in-the-bag suturing showed a tilt of more than 10 degrees or a decentration of more than 1.0 mm. These results suggest that IOL displacement may be prevented by scleral suture fixation of the IOL within the capsular bag—even in eyes with dehiscent zonules.

The manifest spherical equivalent value, specifically spherical power, with in-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL was significantly less myopic than that with out-of-the-bag scleral suturing, and as targeted refraction was similar, the absolute value of prediction error was also less. It has been shown that tilt and decentration of the IOL, as well as axial shift, cause the refractive error, particularly myopic shift. As anterior chamber depth was similar between the two groups in this study, less IOL tilt and decentration with in-the-bag scleral suturing might decrease the refractive error as well as the error in prediction.

As for operative complications, the incidence of vitreous loss was significantly less with in-the-bag scleral suturing than with out-of-the-bag scleral suturing. In addition, the amount of prolapsed vitreous was less with in-the-bag scleral suturing than with out-of-the-bag suturing, and, thus, the surgical procedure to remove the vitreous was easier. Furthermore, cystoid macular edema and retinal detachment, presumably related to vitreous loss, did not occur after in-the-bag scleral suturing, whereas these complications occurred in two eyes after out-of-the-bag scleral suturing. These results suggest that vitreous prolapse is prevented by the presence of the lens capsule with in-the-bag suturing, and retinal complications may decrease.

Furthermore, the incidence of a transient increase in IOP and glaucoma escalation tended to be higher with out-of-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL than with in-the-bag suturing; indeed, transient elevation of the IOP and glaucoma escalation did not occur in any eye after in-the-bag suturing. In addition, pupil capture did not occur in any eye after in-the-bag scleral suturing, whereas repeated pupil capture did occur in two eyes after out-of-the-bag suturing. These results suggest that the lens capsule that encapsulates the IOL may reduce damage to the iris and ciliary body, which subsequently leads to less increase in IOP caused by chronic inflammation and iris pigment dispersion.

Conventionally, intracapsular cataract extraction had been performed for eyes with severe zonular dehiscence or lens subluxation. Capsule stabilization devices have facilitated the use of phacoemulsification for such eyes, while preserving integrity of the capsular bag. Phacoemulsification and scleral suturing of foldable IOLs decreases wound size to approximately 4 mm in length. Furthermore, a PC-IOL can be sutured to the sclera or iris within the preserved capsular bag, which

### Table 2

| Complications | In-the-bag scleral suture group | Out-of-the-bag scleral suture group | P-value |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Vitreous loss | 6 (20.0%) | 24 (63.2%) | 0.0004* |
| Temporary increase in IOP | 0 | 4 (10.5%) | 0.1243 |
| Glaucoma escalation | 0 | 3 (7.9%) | 0.2493 |
| Pupil capture | 0 | 2 (5.3%) | 0.4996 |
| Vitreous haemorrhage | 1 (3.3%) | 0 | 0.4412 |
| PCO | 2 (6.7%) | 0 | 0.1910 |
| Cystoid macular edema | 0 | 1 (2.6%) | > 0.9999 |
| Retinal detachment | 0 | 1 (2.6%) | > 0.9999 |
| Endophthalmitis | 0 | 0 | — |

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; PCO, posterior capsule opacification.
*Statistically significant difference.

The incidence of operative complications in the two groups is shown in Table 2. The number of eyes that showed vitreous prolapse was significantly lower for the in-the-bag suturing group than for the out-of-the-bag suturing group ($P = 0.0005$). The number of eyes that showed a transient increase in IOP and glaucoma escalation tended to be lower in the in-the-bag suturing group than in the out-of-the-bag suturing group, but this difference was not significant. In addition, pupil capture, cystoid macular edema, and retinal detachment did not occur at all in the in-the-bag suturing group, whereas these did occur in four eyes in the out-of-the-bag suturing group. However, a severe posterior capsule opacification that required an Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy occurred in two eyes (6.7%) in the in-the-bag suturing group; the incidence between the groups was not significantly different ($P = 0.1910$).

### Discussion

Our study has shown that the degree of tilt and decentration of the IOL after in-the-bag scleral suturing is significantly less than that after out-of-the-bag suturing, whereas anterior chamber depth is similar. In fact, none
may lead to less IOL displacement. Despite these possible advantages, there has been no study focused on the suture fixation of a PC-IOL within the capsular bag.

There are four options for IOL implantation in aphakic eyes with inadequate capsular support:1 (1) An open-loop AC-IOL,2–4 (2) a scleral-sutured PC-IOL,5–7 (3) an iris-sutured PC-IOL,8–10 and (4) a scleral-sutured modified capsular tension ring.11–14 AC-IOLs have been improved from a closed-loop design to an open-loop design, and the open-loop AC-IOLs have been shown to be less traumatic to corneal endothelial cells than are closed-loop AC-IOLs.3,4 However, studies have shown that corneal edema with open-loop AC-IOLs occurs more frequently than with scleral-sutured or iris-sutured PC-IOLs, and that glaucoma escalation occurs at an incidence of between 1.3 and 15%.1–3 Thus, such complications to the angle may be unavoidable with the AC-IOL. On the other hand, iris suture fixation of the posterior chamber IOLs has similar advantages to scleral suture fixation, although iris suture fixation is technically more difficult to perform than scleral suturing. In addition, iris suture fixation has potential risks related to iris chafing, which may lead to iris pigment dispersion, inflammation, and cystoid macular edema.1,8–10

Furthermore, the standard modified capsular tension ring is sutured to the sclera at one site. However, there is only one case report that showed decentration and tilt of the IOL.23 Accordingly, there is no conclusive evidence as to whether or not iris suture fixation of the IOL or the scleral-sutured capsular tension ring is comparable to scleral fixation of the IOL.

Scleral suture fixation of PC-IOLs has potential risks that are characteristic of this technique. Tilt and decentration of the IOL, as well as axial shift of the IOL owing to inadequate haptic position, are considered to be major risks of scleral suture fixation.18–22 However, the tilt and decentration of the IOL after in-the-bag scleral suturing noted in this study was slight, and the degree was similar to that after ordinary in-the-bag implantation of the IOL.22,34 In addition, although vitreous haemorrhage, retinal detachment, and endophthalmitis are potential risks that may occur during or after scleral suturing,18,20–22,35 these complications were rare in this series.

A limitation exists in this study. The techniques of cataract extraction were different between the in-the-bag suturing and out-of-the-bag suturing groups. The out-of-the-bag suturing group included eyes that underwent large incision surgery, such as intracapsular cataract extraction. However, there were no significant differences in outcomes between eyes that underwent intracapsular cataract extraction and those that underwent the other techniques. Furthermore, the gist of this study was to verify the possible advantages of in-the-bag scleral fixation, when this technique can be safely employed.

In conclusion, the tilt and decentration of the IOL with in-the-bag scleral suturing was significantly less than that with out-of-the-bag scleral suturing, which may lead to less myopic shift of the spherical equivalent value as well as less error in prediction.31–33 Furthermore, vitreous prolapse was also less with in-the-bag scleral suturing than with out-of-the-bag suturing. Accordingly, although the technique of in-the-bag scleral suturing is difficult, we believe that surgeons should try to maintain the lens capsule and suture the IOL within the capsular bag in eyes with severe zonular dehiscence specifically for young patients. However, it is not yet clear which is the best option, scleral suture fixation of the IOL, iris suture fixation, or scleral fixation of the capsular tension ring, and further study is called for to compare the outcomes between the three options.

Summary

What was known before

- The degree of decentration or tilt of the IOL after scleral suture fixation outside the lens capsule (out-of-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL) is significantly greater than that after either ordinary in-the-bag or out-of-the-bag implantation of the IOL, and this change in the positioning of the IOL can lead to myopic shift of the spherical equivalent value and error in prediction.

What this study add

- The decentration and tilt of the IOL after scleral suture fixation within the capsular bag (in-the-bag scleral suturing of the IOL) were significantly less than that after out-of-the-bag scleral suturing, which may lead to less myopic shift of the spherical equivalent value as well as less error in prediction.
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