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Abstract. Lattice timber structures, which are made of elements connected by punched steel plates, are widely used for residential and industrial buildings. The main types of the structures are trusses, frames, and arches, which enables covering spans up to 30 m and more. The behavior of structures on fire plays an important role in the design process of the structures. The cylindrical roof with a 30 m span and the main load-bearing structures of lattice arches with elements connected by punched metal plates was considered as an object of investigation. The rational geometrical parameters of a lattice timber arch with punched steel plated joints were evaluated. Fire resistance and a possibility to increase it for an arch-type timber roof was also considered. It was obtained that using a protective layer is a preferable method of a fire resistance increase for the lattice timber arch due to arch joints; the material consumption was also increased by 1.65 times. It was shown that the rational values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and distance between the nodes on the top chord are equal to 7.85, 1.10, and 0.95 m respectively.

Аннотация. Решетчатые деревянные конструкции с узлами, выполненными с применением зубчатых стальных пластин, широко используются в промышленном и гражданском строительстве. Фермы, рамы и арки являются основными типами данных конструкций позволяющих перекрывать пролеты до 30 м и более. Оценка огнестойкости конструкций имеет большое значение при проектировании. Цилиндрическое покрытие пролетом 30 м с главными несущими элементами в виде решетчатых деревянных арок с узлами, выполненными с применением зубчатых стальных пластин, рассмотрено в качестве объекта исследования. Рациональные с точки зрения расхода конструктивных материалов геометрические параметры цилиндрического покрытия определены при помощи численного эксперимента. При этом произведена оценка огнестойкости основных конструктивных элементов, а так же возможности ее повышения. Показано, что наиболее эффективным способом повышения огнестойкости решетчатых деревянных арок является использование защитных покрытий. Расход конструктивных материалов при этом возрастает в 1.65 раз. Показано, что рациональные с точки зрения расхода материалов величины высоты арки, высоты сечения арки и длинны панели верхнего пояса равны 7.85, 1.10 и 0.95 м, соответственно.

Introduction

Lattice timber structures can be divided into the groups depending on the types of fasteners which are used for joints. Nails, split rings, tooth steel plates, and punched steel plates are the main types of fasteners, which are used for joints of the lattice timber structures. The lattice timber structures, which are made of elements connected by punched steel plates (Fig. 1), are widely used for residential and
industrial buildings in Latvia and abroad. The main types of the structures are trusses, frames, and arches, which enables covering the spans up to 30 m and more [1, 2].

Figure 1. Lattice frame with elements connected by punched steel plates

The lattice timber arches, which are made of elements connected by punched steel plates (Fig. 2), cause an increased interest due to relatively low consumption of materials in comparison with similar lattice timber structures due to rational distribution of internal forces.

Figure 2. Joints of the lattice timber structures, which are made of elements connected by punched steel plates

Moreover, the decreased dead weight of one unit simplifies the assembling process. However, the lattice timber structures possess a number of disadvantages: increased number of assembling units, decreased fire resistance, and decreased span. All these disadvantages are derivative from the limited dimensions of the member’s cross-sections. Therefore, the width of the chords and elements of the lattice is limited to 60 mm. It can be increased up to 70 mm in outstanding cases. The choice of rational geometrical parameters enables decreasing consumption of structural materials and increasing effectiveness of the structure.

The behaviour of structures on fire plays an important role in the design process of structures [3]. Since the 1990s, many research projects have been focused on the fire behavior of timber structures worldwide, in particular, light timber frame constructions [4, 5].

The cylindrical roof with the main load-bearing structures of lattice arches with the elements connected by punched metal plates was considered as an object of investigation. The step of the lattice arches is equal to 1.5 m (Fig. 3).
The lattice arch has rational geometrical parameters in terms of materials consumption, which were evaluated in the course of the previous investigation [6]. The height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and distance between the nodes on the top chord were equal to 7.5, 1.0, and 1.5 m respectively (Fig. 4).

Methods and results

Choice of a design method

The object of investigation, considered in this study, is a timber framework of cylindrical roof. It means that mechanical resistance, or criteria R, will be considered as determinant parameters, which reflect fire resistance of object of investigation [8, 9]. Design procedures for mechanical resistance explained in EN 1995-1-2 include:

- reduced cross-section method;
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• reduced properties method;
• parametric design method.

Choice of the method for fire resistance analyse was carried out on the base of results comparison, which were obtained by the reduced cross-section method, reduced properties method and by the published experimental results [10, 11]. The two mentioned methods were chosen due to its simplicity, which is significant advantage in the case of big volume of calculations. The experimental results were obtained for the glued laminated timber beams with dimensions of cross-section 190X266 mm [8]. Value of the mean timber density changes within the limits from 300 to 464 kg/m³. The beams were exposed to fire action from three sides. The charring rates and depths were fixed after 30, 45 and 60 minutes.

The experimental results indicate that charring rates and depths differed for the sides and bottom surfaces. So, for the side surfaces charring depth changes from 19.70 to 22.50 mm, from 26.40 to 28.90 mm and from 42.10 to 45.90 mm for the times of fire exposure equal to 30, 45 and 60 min, correspondingly. Charring depth changes from 20.00 to 26.90 mm, from 27.70 to 31.90 mm and from 46.00 to 49.00 mm for the times of fire exposure equal to 30, 45 and 60 min, correspondingly, for the bottom surfaces. The charring rates changes within the limits from 0.587 to 0.757 mm/min and from 0.614 to 0.897 mm/min for the side and bottom surfaces of the beams, correspondingly. The mean value of design notional charring rate that was obtained by the experiment was equal to 0.71 mm/min, what is much closed by the value 0.7 mm/min, which is given in EN 1995-1-2. Notional charring depth was determined by the following equation [10]:

\[ d_{\text{char,n}} = \beta_n \cdot t, \]  

where \( \beta_n \) – design notional charring rate; \( t \) – time of fire exposure.

Effective charring depth is determined by the equation:

\[ d_{\text{ef}} = d_{\text{char,n}} + k_0 \cdot d_0, \]  

where \( d_{\text{char,n}} \) – notional charring depth; \( k_0 \) – coefficient; \( d_0 \) – depth of layer with assumed zero strength and stiffness.

The value of coefficient \( k_0 \) was taken equal to 1.0 for non-protected surfaces for the time of fire exposure bigger than 20 minutes. Depth of the layer with assumed zero strength and stiffness \( d_0 \) was taken equal to 7 mm [10].

Area of residual cross-section of the element, which is subjected to fire from three sides, in accordance with the reduced cross-section method, must be determined by the formula:

\[ A_{\text{ef}} = \left( h - d_{\text{ef}} \right) \cdot \left( b - 2 \cdot d_{\text{ef}} \right), \]  

where \( d_{\text{ef}} \) – effective charring depth; \( h \) and \( b \) are depth and width of cross-section before fire exposure, correspondingly.

Area of residual cross-section of the element in accordance with the reduced stress and stiffness method must be determined by the formula:

\[ A_{\text{ef}} = \left( h - d_{\text{char,n}} \right) \cdot \left( b - 2 \cdot d_{\text{char,n}} \right) \]  

Area of residual cross-section of the element, which was obtained by the experimental results, must be determined by the equation:

\[ A_{\text{ef,exp}} = \left( h - d_{\text{char,exp,bot}} \right) \cdot \left( b - 2 \cdot d_{\text{char,exp,side}} \right), \]  

where \( d_{\text{char,exp}} \) is the charring depth, which was determined by the experiment.

The values of effective charring depths and areas of the residual cross-sections, which were determined by the experiment and with the reduced cross-section and reduced strength and stiffness methods, are given in Table 1.
Table 1. The values of effective charring depths and areas of residual cross-sections [8].

| Fire exposure time, \( t \) (min) | Timber density, \( \rho \) (Mg/m³) | Calculated charring depth, \( d_{\text{char}} \) (mm) | Experimentally obtained charring depth, \( d_{\text{char,exp}} \) (mm) | Remaining cross-section area calculated with the reduced cross-section method, \( A_{\text{ef,1}} \) (mm²) | Remaining cross-section area calculated with the reduced properties method, \( A_{\text{ef,2}} \) (mm²) | Experimentally obtained remaining cross-section area, \( A_{\text{ef,exp}} \) (mm²) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 30                            | 399                           | 21.00             | 22.50                   | 26.90                         | 31892.00                       | 36260.00                       | 34669.50                       |
| 445                           | 21.30                         | 22.60             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 35877.16                       |
| 420                           | 19.70                         | 20.00             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 37047.60                       |
| 45                             | 411                           | 31.50             | 26.40                   | 31.90                         | 25707.50                       | 29781.50                       | 32218.52                       |
| 444                           | 28.90                         | 29.00             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 31331.40                       |
| 437                           | 27.40                         | 27.70             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 32218.16                       |
| 45                             | 412                           | 42.00             | 43.40                   | 46.00                         | 19964.00                       | 23744.00                       | 22704.00                       |
| 464                           | 42.10                         | 46.80             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 23191.36                       |
| 408                           | 45.40                         | 49.00             |                         |                                |                                |                                | 21526.40                       |

The differences in percentage between the values of the residual cross-section, determined by the experiment and with the reduced cross-section and reduced strength and stiffness methods, are given in Figure 4.

![Figure 5. The differences in percentage between the values of the residual cross-section, determined by the experiment and with the reduced cross-section and reduced strength and stiffness methods](image)

**Design of fire-resistant arch-type timber roof**

Let us start the design of the fire-resistant arch-type timber roof from the purlins. The purlins are placed in the planes of top and bottom chords of the considered lattice timber arch. The purlins are subject to dead weight, snow, and wind loads. Loading the purlins differed depending on their position in the arch-type timber roof. Therefore, for the fire resistance analysis of the arch-type timber roof, the reduced stress-stiffness method will be used.
the roof. Therefore, determination of the most heavy loaded purlins is the first stage of the analysis. Positions of the heaviest-loaded purlins are indicated in Figure 6 with red circles [6].

Figure 6. Nodes numbering of lattice timber arch and position of the heaviest loaded purlins

The heaviest loaded purlins are joined with the top chord of the lattice timber arch in nodes 16, 47, 39 and in node 46 with the bottom chord. Angles of the cross-sections inclinations are equal to 22 for the purlins joined with the lattice timber arch in nodes 16 and 39 and 5 for the purlins joined with the lattice timber arch in nodes 46 and 47, correspondingly. The total load acting at the purlin in case of fire was determined by the equation [12]:

\[ G_{d,\text{sum},fi} = \left( g_{k,1} + g_{k,2} \right) \cdot \gamma_{G,A} + q_{k,1} \cdot \psi_1, \] (6)

where \( g_{k,1} \) – characteristic value of purlins dead weight; \( g_{k,2} \) – characteristic value of dead weight of the roofing; \( q_{k,1} \) – characteristic value of snow load; \( \gamma_{G,A} \) – load safety factor; \( \psi_1 \) – combination factor for variable load.

The values of load safety factor and combination factor for variable load were taked equal to 1.0 and 0.2, correspondingly [12]. Geometrical parameters of purlins cross-sections in case of fire action were determined by the reduced strength and stiffness method (see Table 2). The purlins were considered as subjected to fire action from four sides.

Table 2. Geometrical parameters of purlins in case of fire action

| Top chord purlines | Bottom chord purlines |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| \( t \) (min) | \( h_0 \) (mm) | \( b_0 \) (mm) | \( h_0 \) (mm) | \( b_0 \) (mm) |
| 5 | 112.00 | 62.00 | 92.00 | 62.00 |
| 8 | 107.20 | 57.20 | 87.20 | 57.20 |
| 10 | 104.00 | 54.00 | 84.00 | 54.00 |
| 13 | 99.20 | 49.20 | 79.20 | 49.20 |
| 15 | 96.00 | 46.00 | 76.00 | 46.00 |

The values of geometrical parameters of the purlins cross-sections were determined for the time of fire exposure equal to 5, 8, 10, 13 and 15 minutes [8]. The purlins were analised at the fire action taking in to account strength and stability conditions. The strength of the purlins as the member subjected to compressing with the bending was checked by the equations was checked by the equations (7) and (8) [13].

\[ \left( \sigma_{c,0,d,fi} / f_{c,0,d,fi} \right)^2 + \sigma_{m,y,d,fi} / f_{m,y,d,fi} + k_m \cdot \sigma_{m,z,d,fi} / f_{m,z,d,fi} \leq 1, \] (7)

\[ \left( \sigma_{c,0,d,fi} / f_{c,0,d,fi} \right)^2 + k_m \cdot \sigma_{m,y,d,fi} / f_{m,y,d,fi} + \sigma_{m,z,d,fi} / f_{m,z,d,fi} \leq 1, \] (8)

where: \( \sigma_{c,0,d,fi} \) – design compressive stress along the grain in case of fire action, \( \sigma_{m,y,d,fi} \) – design bending stress about the principal y-axis in case of fire action, \( \sigma_{m,z,d,fi} \) – design bending stress about the principal z-axis in case of fire action, \( f_{m,y,d,fi} \) – design bending strength about the principal y-axis in case of fire action, \( f_{m,z,d,fi} \) – design bending strength about the principal z-axis in case of fire action, \( k_m \) – factor, considering redistribution of bending stress in cross-section.

The stability of the purlins as the member subjected to compressing with the bending, was checked by the equations (9) and (10) [13].
where $k_{y,fi}$ is an instability factor along the principal y-axis under fire impact, $k_{z,fi}$ is an instability factor along the principal z-axis under fire impact; other designations are the same as for equations (7) and (8).

The dependence of fire-resistance on the time of fire exposure for the four heaviest-loaded purlins obtained by the strength condition is shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7: The utilisation level of purlins by strength criteria depending on fire exposure time](image)

The dependence of fire-resistance on the time of fire exposure for the four heaviest-loaded purlins obtained by the stability condition is shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8: The utilisation level of purlins by strength criteria depending on fire exposure time](image)

It was shown that the strength and stability conditions were not satisfied in 9.8 and 9.4 minutes of fire exposure respectively. The area of the residual cross-section of the purlins placed in the plane of the top chord of the lattice timber arch was equal to 82.7 and 52.6 % of its initial value in 5 and 15 min of fire exposure respectively. It means that fire resistance of purlins is equal to R9.4, which is less than the minimum required value R15 [8, 9].

Design of fire-resistant lattice arch members

Analyzing fire-resistance of the lattice timber arch was carried out using the program AXIS VM 11 during the impact of the most unpleasant loads combination. The scheme of fire impact at the top chord of the lattice timber arch is shown in Figure 9.
Figure 9. Scheme of fire impact at the top chord of the lattice timber arch

The element is exposed to fire from all four sides, as the profiled steel sheets of the roofing were not taken into account as a protective layer. Geometrical parameters of chords cross-sections in the case of fire exposure were determined with the reduced strength and stiffness method (see Table 3) [10].

Table 3. Geometrical parameters of purlins in the case of fire exposure

| t (min) | $h_{fi}$ (mm) | $b_{fi}$ (mm) |
|---------|---------------|---------------|
| 5       | 172.00        | 62.00         |
| 8       | 167.20        | 57.20         |
| 10      | 164.00        | 54.00         |
| 13      | 159.20        | 49.20         |
| 15      | 156.00        | 46.00         |

The decrease in dimensions of the member cross-sections due to fire exposure has a significant influence on the load-bearing capacity of the timber lattice arch. The area of the residual cross-section of the chords element in 15 min of fire exposure is equal to 57 % of the initial area of the cross-section. The area of the residual cross-section for the elements of the lattice is equal to 49.9 % of its initial value in 15 minutes of fire exposure.

The values of geometrical parameters of the chords and cross-sections of lattice elements were determined for the time of fire exposure equal to 5, 8, 10, 13, and 15 minutes [8]. The elements were analysed under fire impact allowing for the strength and stability conditions [13]. The elements of the chords are subject to compression with bending. The elements of the lattice are loaded axially. The dependence of the sum of stress-to-strength relations on the time of fire exposure for the elements of the lattice timber arch obtained by the strength condition is shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10. The utilisation level of arch elements by strength criteria depending on the fire exposure time

The dependence of the sum of stress-to-strength relations from the time of fire exposure for the elements of the lattice timber arch obtained by the stability condition relative to y-axis is shown in Figure 11.
Figure 11. The utilisation level of arch elements by stability criteria relative to Y axis depending on fire exposure time

The dependence of the sum of stress-to-strength relations from the time of fire exposure for the elements of the lattice timber arch obtained by the stability condition relative to z-axis is shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12. The utilisation level of arch elements by stability criteria relative to Z axis depending on fire exposure time

The dependence indicates that the strength condition for the top chord of the timber lattice arch is not satisfied just in 11.1 minutes of fire exposure. The buckling of the top chord occurs in 10.5 minutes of fire exposure relative to y-axis. The buckling of the bottom chord occurs in 7.2 minutes of fire exposure relative to z-axis. It means that fire resistance of the lattice timber arch is equal to R7.2, which is more than twice less, than the minimum required value R15 [8].

Design of fire-resistant lattice arch joints

Punched steel plates KARTRO with zinc coating with thickness 1.2 mm and length of teeth in 12 mm were considered as a type of fasteners for the joints of the lattice timber arch. Steel of punched plates just after 7.5-8.5 minutes of standard fire exposure come in to a plastic stage. Fire resistance of unprotected joint must be determined by the equation [10]:

$$t_{d,fi} = - \frac{1}{k} \ln \left( \eta_{fi} / \gamma_{M,fi} / \gamma_{M,5} k_{fi} \right),$$

(11)

where $k$ – is a constant parameter, which depends on the type of joint, $\eta_{fi}$ is a reduction factor; $\gamma_{M,fi}$ is a partial factor for timber under fire impact, $\gamma_{M,5}$ is a partial factor for material properties.

Fire resistance of the lattice timber arch joints was evaluated as 8.61 minutes. So, it can be concluded that fire resistance of the whole roof can be evaluated as R7.2, which is more than twice less than the minimum required value R15 [8]. Fire resistance increase to the minimum required level R15 will be considered in the next chapter of the paper.
Discussion

Fire resistance increase of timber roof’s load-bearing members

Fire resistance of purlins can be increased by the increasing of depths of its cross-sections [14]. It was shown that the minimum required level of fire resistance R 15 can be obtained if the depth of purlins cross-sections will be increased to 180 mm. So, considered dimensions of the purlins cross-sections are 180 x 70 mm.

The width of member cross-sections must be increased to provide the necessary fire resistance R15 for the lattice timber arch. But this possibility is limited due to the small typical dimensions of members: 45, 60, and 70 mm. The greater width of cross-section can be provided if several arches will be placed together one next to the other (see Fig. 13).

![Figure 13. Increase of the width of lattice arch by placing one arch next to the other](image)

The cases when two and three lattice timber arches are placed together, were considered in this study. The clearances between the arches were equal to 2.4 mm because the nodes were created with the punched steel plates with the thickness equal to 1.2 mm. So, all the arches were considered under fire impact from the four sides. It was shown that the necessary fire resistance R15 can only be provided for three arches placed together. Fire resistance of two arches placed together is only limited by R14.5.

Protective covering is another way to provide the necessary level of fire resistance for the lattice timber arch (see Fig. 14). It was shown that the necessary fire resistance R15 can be provided if the arch is covered with plywood sheets with the thickness of 12 mm [10, 15]. The density of the considered plywood is equal to 715 kg/m³.

![Figure 14. Protective covering of lattice arch by the plywood sheets with thickness in 12 mm.](image)

Thickness of the plywood sheets in 12 mm was determined basing on the condition:

$$a_{fi} = \beta_n \cdot k_{flam} \cdot \left( t_{req} - t_{d,fi} \right),$$

(12)

where $a_{fi}$ is minimum required thickness of the protective layer, $\beta_n$ is a charring rate for plywood, $k_{flam}$ is a constant factor, $t_{req}$ is a required characteristic value of fire resistance, $t_{d,fi}$ is fire resistance of an unprotected joint.
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The values of a charring rate for plywood, the constant factor, the required characteristic value of fire resistance, and fire resistance of an unprotected joint were equal to 1.02 mm/min, 1.5, 15 min, and 7.5 min respectively. The minimum required thickness of the protective layer, which had been obtained by equation (12), was equal to 11.48 mm. So, the thickness of the protective layer was taken as 12 mm.

The comparison of the effectiveness of elements cross-sections increase and the protective layer usage for the fire resistance increase is given in Figure 15.

![Figure 15. Comparison of the effectiveness of element cross-section increase and the protective layer usage for the fire resistance increase](image)

The increase in element cross-sections cause the growth of the lattice timber arches materials consumption from 1.307 to 3.921 m$^3$ when three arches are placed together one next to the other. The protective layer usage causes the growth of the lattice timber arches materials consumption from 1.307 to 2.163 m$^3$. But the elements cross-section increase did not allow protecting the nodes of the edge arches, as it is shown in Figure 15. Therefore, based on the comparison of both methods, the usage of protective layer is considered as a preferable method of fire resistance increase for the lattice timber arch [16].

**Evaluation of rational parameters of the arch-type timber roof**

The response surface method was used to evaluate rational values of the main geometrical parameters of the arch-type timber roof [17, 18]. The height of the arch ($f$), depth of the arch cross-section ($h$), and distance between the nodes on the top chord ($a$) are considered as the main geometrical parameters (Fig. 16.).

![Figure 16. The main geometrical parameters of arch-type timber roof: (f) height of the arch; (h) depth of the arch cross-section; (a) distance between the nodes on the top chord.](image)

Materials consumption of purlins and lattice timber arch so as maximum axial force acting in the top chord of the arch were considered as the parameters of optimization [19]. The dependences of the main geometrical parameters of the arch-type timber roof on the material consumption were determined as the second order polynomial equations in case of fire action and without taking in consideration the fire action.

\[
Y' = b_0 + b_1 f + b_2 h + b_3 a + b_4 f h + b_5 f a + b_6 h a + b_7 f X_2 a + b_8 f^2 + b_9 h^2 + b_{10} a^2,
\]  

where $Y'$ is materials consumption (m$^3$); $f$ is height of the arch (m); $h$ is depth of the arch cross-section (m); $a$ is a distance between the nodes on the top chord (m).

The values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and the distance between the nodes on the top chord change within the limits from 5.5 to 7.5 m, from 0.5 to 1 m, and from 1 to 1.5 m respectively. Coefficients of the second order polynomial equations are given in the table.
Values of coefficients of the second order polynomial equations were determined with the EdaOpt computational program [20]. The obtained polynomial equations enable describing the obtained results with the precision up to 13.18 and 14.62 % under fire impact and without taking the fire impact into consideration.

The dependence of the height of the arch (f) and depth of the arch cross-section (h) on the materials consumption under fire impact and without taking the fire impact into consideration is given in Figure 17.

The rational from the point of view of materials consumption values of height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section and distance between the nodes on the top chord were determined by the system of equations [16]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial f} &= b_1 + b_{12}h + b_{13}a + b_{123}ha + 2b_{11}f = 0 \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial h} &= b_2 + b_{12}f + b_{23}a + b_{123}fa + 2b_{22}h = 0 \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial a} &= b_3 + b_{13}f + b_{23}h + b_{123}fh + 2b_{33}a = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(14)
The rational values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and the distance between the nodes on the top chord were given in Table 5.

**Table 5. Rational values of geometrical parameters of the arch-type timber roof**

| Variable factors | In the context of material consumption | In the context of axial forces in the arch top chord |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                  | Fire is not taken into account          | Fire is taken into account                     |
| $X_1 - f$        | Arch axe camber (m)                     | 6.70                                           | 11.30*                                         |
|                  |                                        | 0.80                                           | 1.10*                                          |
| $X_2 - h$        | Arch cross-section height (m)           | 1.15                                           | 1.2                                            |
| $X_3 - a$        | Arch top chord segment length (m)       | 1.15                                           | 1.2                                            |

It can be concluded that the finally adopted rational values of the height of the arch ($f$), depth of the arch cross-section ($h$), and distance between the nodes on the top chord ($a$) are equal to 7.85, 1.10 and 0.95 m respectively.

**Conclusions**

The paper considers the possibility of a fire resistance increase to R15 for the arch-type timber roof by using the protective layer and increase in the elements cross-sections dimensions. Using the protective layer is considered as a preferable method of the fire resistance increase for the lattice timber arch because it is joined with the growth of materials consumption by 1.65 times. The increase in the elements cross-sections dimensions causes the growth of materials consumption by 3 times.

Rational values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and distance between the nodes on the top chord were evaluated for the arch-type timber roof with the response surface method. Fire resistance of the roof was taken into account. The span of the considered arch-type timber roof was equal to 30 m. The values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and distance between the nodes on the top chord change within the limits from 5.5 to 7.5 m, from 0.5 to 1 m, and from 1 to 1.5 m respectively. It was shown that the rational values of the height of the arch, depth of the arch cross-section, and distance between the nodes on the top chord are equal to 7.85, 1.10, and 0.95 m respectively. The corresponding minimum material consumptions were equal to 1.856 and 1.273 m³ in case of fire impact and without taking it into consideration.
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