Quantification of cell-free DNA for the analysis of CD19-CAR-T cells during lymphoma treatment
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Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells are increasingly used for the treatment of hematologic malignancies. Treatment success relies highly upon sufficient expansion of CAR-T effector cells. Accordingly, longitudinal quantification of CAR-T cells during therapy is clinically important. Techniques to quantify CAR-T cells in patient blood samples are based on flow cytometry and PCR. However, cellular kinetics of CAR-T cells are very complex and under current investigation. In this study, feasibility of CAR-T cell quantification by cell-free DNA (cfDNA) was analyzed. cfDNA isolated from 74 blood samples of 12 patients during lymphoma treatment with the anti-CD19 CAR-T cell product axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) were analyzed. Concentrations of cfDNA specific for the CAR-T gene construct (cfCAR-DNA) and a reference gene were quantified by a newly designed digital-droplet PCR (ddPCR) assay. Detection and quantification of cfCAR-DNA was feasible and reliable for all patients included. Relative quantification of cfCAR-DNA compared to a reference gene, suitable for genomic DNA analysis, was heterogeneous in treatment responders and non-responders. In contrast, parallel analyses of cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA in a patient-specific approach gave insight into active lymphoma killing and treatment responses. In summary, plasma cfDNA determination in lymphoma patients is a promising tool for future clinical decision making.

INTRODUCTION

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells are increasingly used for the treatment of hematologic malignancies. Currently, CAR-T cells are approved in B cell neoplasia including diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL), mantle-cell lymphoma, B-lineage acute lymphatic leukemia (B-ALL), and multiple myeloma.1–4 In most studies, sufficient expansion of the CAR-T cells is associated with overall response rates and side effects, such as cytokine-release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS).5–9 Thus, quantification of CAR-T cells in patients during treatment is important in research and routine clinical settings.

Techniques including flow cytometry and qPCR are commonly used to detect CAR-T cells in patients’ blood samples.10,11,13 In these studies, CAR-T cell expansion followed a distinct pattern, reaching a maximum peak within the first 7 to 14 days, followed by subsequent reduction and loss of CAR-T cell signal in a proportion of patients.1,6 Recently, our group and others have developed digital-droplet PCR (ddPCR) assays to detect CAR-T cells in peripheral blood.10,11 The advantages of ddPCR compared to quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) are high interlaboratory reproducibility, omission of calibration curves, and also feasibility of the assay.12,13 Moreover, ddPCR assays have low limits of detection and are already applied in multiple settings, including analyses of chimerism and minimal residual disease.14,15 The handling of qPCR and ddPCR data is currently discussed, because multiple factors influence the amount and composition of cellular (genomic) DNA in patients’ blood samples during CAR-T therapy.15,16

Following re-infusion, CAR-T cells distribute into various body areas and actively migrate into both tumor stroma and lymph nodes.16 It is unknown whether loss of detectable CAR-T cells in blood samples corresponds to a loss of CAR-T cells due to apoptosis by cellular exhaustion or if it reflects cell sequestration in targeted tissues. Currently, studies investigating the biology of CAR-T cells following therapeutic administration are mainly focused on cellular samples. However, better understanding of CAR-T cell proliferation and distribution in patients could help to optimize long-term success of CAR-T treatments.
Quantification of cell-free DNA (cfDNA) may provide further insight into CAR-T cell kinetics and distribution. Specifically, cfDNA analysis in liquid biopsies represents a modern tool to routinely examine genetic features and treatment responses in various solid tumors.\textsuperscript{17,18} cfDNA comprises DNA derived from several areas within the body, including tumor sites and the central nervous system.\textsuperscript{19} Accordingly, we hypothesized that CAR-T cells inducing tumor cell death would contribute to the pool of cfDNA in peripheral blood.

Here, we report the detection of CAR-T cell-derived cfDNA \textit{in vitro} and in peripheral blood samples. This is the first study using liquid biopsies to analyze \textit{in vivo} effector cell kinetics in lymphoma patients during CAR-T therapy.

**RESULTS**

**Detection of cell-free CD19-CAR-DNA derived from CAR-T cells**

We adjusted our recently published ddPCR assay in order to detect cfDNA. Since cfDNA fragments in liquid biopsies are shorter,\textsuperscript{20} primers were chosen to cover smaller amplicons (Figure S1). The modified ddPCR assay was evaluated by analyzing cfDNA obtained from patients treated with axi-cel and also by analyzing conditioned medium (CM) in cell culture experiments. cfDNA was reliably detected with the primer-probe pairs used, which distinctly discriminated between FAM-labeled (CD19-CAR) and Hex-labeled (\textsc{tert} as reference gene) events in patients’ cfDNA samples (Figure 1A). The amount of TERT correlated well with the absolute amount of analyzed cfDNA in patients’ samples ($r^2 = 0.93$, Figure S2). Moreover, detection of CD19-CAR fragmented DNA was demonstrated in spike-in experiments without interference by CM collected from Karpas422 and Jurkat cultures (Figure 1B). As expected, higher cell numbers led to higher amounts of reference cfDNA, as it reflected the amount of total cfDNA. Additional experiments including titration, reproducibility, and precision analyses proved the analytical performance of the modified ddPCR assay (Figures S3, S4, and S5). The assay had a limit of detection of at least 3 CAR-T cells in a background of 10,000 cells when used for cellular DNA analysis (0.03%; Figure S5A). Patient-derived cfCAR-DNA from a patient treated with axi-cel was reliably detectable in a genomic DNA (gDNA) background, with a limit...
of detection between 0.1% and 0.03% (Figure S5B). We calculated that at least 77 copies of the CAR transgene per mL plasma were reliably detectable with our assay.

cfDNA originates mainly from apoptotic cells. With every apoptotic cell, reference (TERT) DNA is released and measurable in the cfDNA pool, but only CAR-T cells release the axi-cel DNA. As high transduction efficiencies of T cells are achieved during the manufacturing process, some T cells harbor several CAR transgenes, as viral integration follows the Poisson distribution. Thus, the mean number of transgenes per T cell could be higher than the number of reference genes, causing a ratio of >1 when compared with these genes in PCR analysis.

To establish a correlation of CAR-T cell numbers and copies/µL of cfCAR-DNA, we analyzed CM collected from cultures with different cell numbers that had been incubated for 24h. We found that increased cell numbers resulted in increased copies/µL of cfCAR-DNA and of reference cfDNA (r² = 0.99). Accordingly, the ratio remained constant at ≈1.5 (Figure 1C). In summary, CAR-T cell numbers and thus CAR-T cell expansion, could be detected in parallel analysis of reference cfDNA and specific cfCAR-DNA.

**CD19-CAR cfDNA during treatment with axi-cel**

Next, cfDNA in peripheral blood samples from patients treated with axi-cel was analyzed over time. Overall, 74 blood samples were collected from 12 lymphoma patients after axi-cel infusion at various time points. Follow-up ranged from 3 to 12 months after infusion of axi-cel. Patients’ characteristics and clinical courses are shown in Table 1. We focused on relative quantification of the ratio of cfCAR-DNA to the reference gene first.

cfCAR-DNA was detectable shortly after CAR-T treatment in most patients (Figures 2A and 2B). We interpreted this finding as cfDNA infused with the CAR-T cell product. In patients with tumor responses after axi-cel infusion, it was found that the ratio of cfCAR-DNA increased during the first days after treatment (Figure 2A). Strikingly, all patients responding to axi-cel showed a peak of cfCAR-DNA ratio around day 10 post infusion.

In patients with disease progression within 6 months after axi-cel treatment, the ratio of cfCAR-DNA had a heterogeneous course (Figure 2B). In samples from patient 3, a very low ratio (<0.5%) was measured. In contrast, samples from patients 7 and 12 showed a higher maximum ratio (4% and 8%) compared with some treatment responders (Figure 2A, e.g., patient 5). Patients 9 and 12 were initially responding to the treatment, but eventually relapsed.

Taken together, the ratio of cfCAR-DNA in the analyzed samples was not significantly different between responders and non-responders (p = 0.561 and p = 0.109) (Figure S6). The variation within both groups was high. Also, neither peak of the ratio, nor the area under the curve correlated with the grade of CRS, ICANS, or clinical response (data not shown). Thus, analyzing the ratio in cfDNA samples was unsatisfying.

**Amount of CD19-CAR cfDNA in relation to reference cfDNA during therapy**

To better understand the dynamics of cfDNA, we further considered the individual courses of cfCAR-DNA and cfDNA of the reference gene (TERT) in all patients included in the study (Figure 3). As expected, high inter-individual variations for the amounts of cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA were noticed. In all samples of those patients responding to axi-cel treatment, increases of cfCAR-DNA concentrations were observed (Figure 3A). In patients 5, 8, and 11, the increments of cfCAR-DNA and the reference cfDNA were simultaneous. In patients 1, 2, 4, 6, and 10, the increase of the reference cfDNA was delayed.

On the contrary, absolute copies/µL of reference cfDNA were immediately declining after transfusion of axi-cel in patients with lymphoma progression during short-term follow-up (Figure 3B). In 2 of 4 non-responders, no increase of cfCAR-DNA was detected (Figure 3B, patients 3 and 9). In patients 7 and 12, cfCAR-DNA rose without robust increase of the reference cfDNA (Figure 3B). Along with disease progression, reference cfDNA rose in patient 3 without an increase of cfCAR-DNA (Figure 3B). This may be explained by a higher lymphoma burden, which can cause increasing amounts of reference cfDNA. The same phenomenon was observed in patient 12. Initially responding towards treatment, this patient suffered from disease progression shortly after the 3-month follow-up.

**Increase of reference cfDNA influences the ratio to cfCAR-DNA in patients responding to axi-cel treatment**

Subsequently, analyses of the ratio and the absolute amount cfDNA (copies/µL) for each individual patient were compared. In patients responding to treatment, the increase of absolute cfCAR-DNA was accompanied by a substantial increase of reference cfDNA (Figures 2A and 3A, e.g., patient 5). Although substantial increment of cfCAR-DNA (20–25 copies/µL) was observed in these patients, the ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference DNA was decreased by the increase of reference cfDNA. In patient 10, increase of reference DNA was accompanied by decrease of the relative quantity of cfCAR-DNA over time. In patients not responding to axi-cel, increase of the cfCAR-DNA ratio was related to a sole increase of the cfCAR-DNA without raised levels of the reference cfDNA (Figures 2B and 3B, e.g., patients 7 and 9).

We concluded that active killing of tumor cells by CAR-T cells was reflected by the course of the reference cfDNA. Following apoptosis of lymphoma cells due to CAR-T cell attack, cfDNA was released, which was displayed in the concentration of the reference cfDNA.

**Combined analysis of CD19-CAR and total cfDNA during specific in vitro killing**

Next, in vitro experiments were performed to illustrate the potential of cfDNA quantification to monitor both effector and target kinetics
### Table 1. Patients’ characteristics, including therapies prior to axi-cel and clinical outcome

| Patient no. | Type of disease | Initial diagnosis | 1\textsuperscript{st}-line therapy | 2\textsuperscript{nd}-line therapy | 3\textsuperscript{rd}-line therapy | Apheresis and CAR-T cell transfusion | Follow-up |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| P 1         | DLBCL           | 06/18             | 6x R-CHOP (PR)                     | 1x R-DHAP                          | Allo-’Tx’ (PR)                     | Apheresis: 01/20                   | CRS: 1    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    | 1x R-ICE (PD)                      | (04/19)                            | Bridging: R-Pola                   | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 02/20                 | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 2         | Transformed FL  | 06/16 FL          | 6x R-CHOP (PR)                     | 1x R-ICE (PD)                      |                                    | Apheresis: 01/20                   | CRS: 0    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: R-Pola                   | 3 mo: PR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 02/20                 | 6 mo: PR  |
| P 3         | DLBCL           | 02/19             | 6x R-CHOP (PR)                     | 3x R-DHOx (PD)                     | 3x R-ICE (01/20)                   | Apheresis: 02/20                   | CRS: 1    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Transfusion: 03/20       | 3 mo: PD  |
| P 4         | DLBCL           | 04/19             | 6x R-CHOP                          | 4x MATRIX (PD)                     | +2x HD Mtx (PD)                    | Apheresis: 02/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Radiation                | 3 mo: PR  |
|             |                 | 03/19 FL          |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 04/20                 | ICANS: 0  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 5         | Transformed FL  | 06/18 DLBCL       | 2x R (PR)                         | 6x Pivaxtron (PR)                  | Radiatio (04/20)                   | Apheresis: 04/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Transfusion: 05/20       | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: na  |
| P 6         | DLBCL           | 11/11             | 6x R-CHOP                          | 3x R-GemOx (PD)                    | + Radiation (CR)                   | Apheresis: 05/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: R-Pola                   | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 06/20                 | ICANS: 3  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 7         | DLBCL           | 09/19             | 6x R-CHOP (PR)                     | 2x R-DHAP (PD)                     | 3x R-ICE 03/20 (SD)               | Apheresis: 05/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: R-Pola                   | 3 mo: PD  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 06/20                 | ICANS: 0  |
| P 8         | DCLBCL          | 11/19             | 6x R-CHOP (PR)                     | 2x R-DHOx (PD)                     |                                    | Apheresis: 06/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: R-Pola                   | 3 mo: PR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 07/20                 | ICANS: 1  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 9         | DLBCL           | 11/19             | 1x R-CHOP                          | 7x R-CHOEP                         | 2x R-DHAP 06/20 (PD)              | Apheresis: 07/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Radiation                | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 08/20                 | ICANS: 0  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: PD  |
| P 10        | DLBCL           | 01/17             | 6x R-CHOP (CR)                     | 2x R-DHAP                          | Allo-’Tx’                         | Apheresis: 08/20                   | CRS: 1    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Transfusion: 09/20       | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | ICANS: 2   |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 11        | DLBCL           | 01/19             | 6x R-CHOP (CR)                     | 3x R-ICE                           | Radiation (PD)                    | Apheresis: 08/20                   | CRS: 2    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: R-Benda                  | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Transfusion: 10/20                 | ICANS: 3  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: CR  |
| P 12        | DLBCL           | 02/19             | 6x R-CHOP (CR)                     | 3x R-DHAP                          | Allo-’Tx’                         | Apheresis: 10/20                   | CRS: 1    |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    | Bridging: Transfusion: 11/20       | 3 mo: CR  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | ICANS: 3  |
|             |                 |                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    | 6 mo: PD  |

Cytokine-release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxic syndrome (ICANS) were graded according to ASTCT guidelines.\(^2^4\) Allo-Tx = allogeneic stem cell transplantation; Auto-Tx = autologous stem cell transplantation; CR = complete response; DLBCL = diffuse large B cell lymphoma; na = not applicable/lost to follow-up; PD = progressive disease; PR = partial response; SD = stable disease; R-CHOP = rituximab, cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin hydrochloride (doxorubicin hydrochloride), vincristine (Oncovin) and prednisone; R-DHAP = rituximab, dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine (Ara C), cisplatin (platinum); R-ICE = rituximab + ifosfamide + carboplatin + etoposide. R-DHOx = rituximab, dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine (Ara C), oxaliplatin; FL = follicular lymphoma; DHMtx = high-dose methotrexate; R-Pola = rituximab, polatuzumab; R-GemOx = rituximab, gemcitabine, oxaliplatin; R-CHOEP = rituximab, cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin hydrochloride (doxorubicin hydrochloride), vincristine (Oncovin), etoposide, and prednisone. “Conditioning regimen: R-BEAM.” Conditioning regimen: Flu-Bu-Cy/ATG.
Figure 2. The ratio (%) of cfCAR-DNA to reference cfDNA over time

(A) Follow-up of patients with tumor response after treatment with axi-cel. In these patients, ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference cfDNA increases within the first days after infusion of CAR-T cells. (B) Follow-up of patients with disease progression after axi-cel treatment. Development of the cfCAR-DNA ratio is heterogeneous. It tends to be lower compared with patients with tumor response in some patients (<1%, patients 3 and 9), but not uniformly (patients 7 and 12).
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during lymphoma cell killing. CD19\(^+\) B cells (Karpas422; 10\(^4\) cells/well) and CD19\(^-\) T cells (Jurkat; 10\(^4\) cells/well) were co-cultured with CAR-T cells from patient 10 at effector to target ratios of 1:1 and 2:1, respectively. cfDNA was measured in supernatants following co-culture after 4 and 24h by ddPCR. As shown in Figure 4, the mean concentration of reference cfDNA was 5.5 copies/µL for Karpas and 8.0 copies/µL for Jurkat, respectively (p = 0.33) after 4 h of co-incubation (Figures 4A and 4B, right). Mean cfcAR-DNA concentration was similar (10.8 copies/µL in Karpas and 9.6 copies/µL in Jurkat co-cultures) since both cultures contained approximately 10,000 CAR-T cells. As observed in our previous experiments, absolute copies of cfCAR-DNA were higher when more CAR-T cells were seeded per well (Figures 4A and 4B; 1:1 and 2:1 ratio). In co-cultures of CD19\(^+\) Karpas422 cells and CAR-T cells, reference cfDNA increased. Simultaneously with reference cfDNA, Karpas-specific cell-free immunoglobulin (IgH-BCL2 (t11; 14) signal increased. The specific killing of Karpas cells resulted in release of reference cfDNA and consequently in a decreasing ratio of cfCAR-DNA over time, as described in patient samples (Figure 5, blue columns). However, without specific killing of CD19\(^+\) Jurkat cells by CD19-directed CAR-T cells, no additional reference cfDNA was released by Jurkat cells, and, by consequence the ratio of cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA remained constant (Figure 5; red columns).

In summary, active killing of lymphoma cells by CAR-T cells was represented by combined analysis of cfDNA derived from effector (CAR-T cells) and target cells (lymphoma cells).

Figure 3. Absolute copies/µL of cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA (TERT) over time
(A) Follow-up of patients with tumor response after treatment with axi-cel. In all patients, increases of cfCAR-DNA were associated with increasing amounts of reference DNA. (B) Follow-up of patients with disease progression within 6 months after axi-cel treatment. Absolute copies/µL of reference DNA showed an immediate decrease in 3 of 4 patients. An increase of cfCAR-DNA in patients 7 and 12 was not accompanied by a substantial increase of reference DNA. With disease progression, reference cfDNA increased in patients 3 and 12.
observed in our study. In vivo cfCAR-DNA could be used as a surrogate for absolute CAR-T cell counts measured in patients responding to axi-cel treatment. In summary, in this study. Concordantly, higher levels of cfCAR-DNA were supported by the correlation of absolute CAR-T cell numbers, e.g., due to proliferation, lead to increasing amounts of specific cfCAR-DNA. This assumption is supported by the correlation of absolute CAR-T cell counts and amount of cfCAR-DNA in the cell culture experiments performed in this study. Concordantly, higher levels of cfCAR-DNA were measured in patients responding to axi-cel treatment. In summary, cfCAR-DNA could be used as a surrogate for absolute CAR-T cell counts in vivo.

**Stability of cell-free CD19-CAR-DNA and relation to renal function**

In addition to reproducibility and reliability of cfDNA tests, the in vitro stability of the cfDNA analytes is an important assay aspect. Accordingly, we investigated whether cfCD19-CAR-DNA concentrations were stable in the collection tubes. Three separate blood samples were collected at the same time from the same patient. cfDNA was isolated after 2h, 48h, and 72h, respectively. The concentrations (copies/µL) of cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA did not differ significantly between samples, which indicated cfDNA stability over a minimum of 3 days (Figure S7).

Because cfDNA is eliminated by renal excretion, serum creatinine levels in 3 patients were analyzed in relation to the ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference cfDNA. The initial increases of cfCAR-DNA were not accompanied by a change in renal function (Figure S8). Also, the amount of cfCAR-DNA did not correlate with serum creatinine levels ($r^2 = 0.004$). In summary, no alteration of cfCAR-DNA levels, which may potentially influence quantitative results, was observed in our study.

**DISCUSSION**

For the first time, this study demonstrates the feasibility of CAR-T cell quantification in patients treated with axi-cel by analysis of cfDNA using ddPCR. We proved that CAR-T cells significantly contribute to the pool of circulating cfDNA in patients treated with axi-cel and that CAR-T cell expansion is displayed in the relative amount of cfCAR-DNA. The kinetics and origin of cfDNA are still under investigation, but it is generally accepted that apoptotic cells contribute to the release of cfDNA in a major fashion. Assuming that the proportion of apoptotic cells in the CAR-T cell population is constant, higher CAR-T cell numbers, e.g., due to proliferation, lead to increasing amounts of specific cfCAR-DNA. This assumption is supported by the correlation of absolute CAR-T cell counts and amount of cfCAR-DNA in the cell culture experiments performed in this study. Concordantly, higher levels of cfCAR-DNA were measured in patients responding to axi-cel treatment. In summary, cfCAR-DNA could be used as a surrogate for absolute CAR-T cell counts in vivo.

![Figure 5. Ratio of cfCAR-DNA in co-culture experiments of CAR-T cells and target cells](image)

The ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference cfDNA decreased over time due to overshooting increase of reference cfDNA in co-cultures with CD19+ cells (blue columns). In co-cultures with CD19+ Jurkat cells, the ratio was constant (red columns).

Based on our previous observations analyzing cellular CAR-DNA, we first focused on the ratio of cfCAR-DNA in cfDNA of patients treated with axi-cel. Notably, increasing amounts of total cfDNA, as demonstrated by increasing reference cfDNA, significantly influenced the ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference DNA within the first days after axi-cel infusion. We postulated that this increase of reference DNA was likely due to death of lymphoma cells attacked by CAR-T cells. Recently, it has been demonstrated that the release of cfDNA from tumor cells, mainly due to necrosis and apoptosis, increases during cancer treatment. Adoptive cell therapy and immunotherapy were not analyzed in this study in detail; however, it seems likely that tumor cells killed by CAR-T cells release similar amounts of cfDNA. Our hypothesis is supported by the in vivo and in vitro findings in this study. Inter-individual courses of cfDNA were heterogeneous in responders and non-responders. We found increasing amounts of reference cfDNA (TERT) related to the increase of cfCAR-DNA in patients who responded to axi-cel treatment. The ratio of cfCAR-DNA was reduced by a significant increase of reference cfDNA in these samples. On the contrary, in patients with disease progression, this was not the case. In 2 patients (patients 9 and 12), no detectable tumor was obvious in the disease staging 3 months after treatment, but both had disease progression shortly thereafter. In patient 9, cfCAR-DNA was not increasing at all, whereas cfCAR-DNA increased in patient 12 without substantial increase of reference cfDNA. The reason for initial disease remission remains unclear in both patients. Lymphodepleting chemotherapy may be responsible for the initial remission. With ongoing disease progression, total amount of cfDNA increased without an increase of cfCAR-DNA in 2 patients (patients 7 and 12). Interestingly, absolute amounts of cfDNA are higher in patients with lymphoma or other tumors, compared with healthy individuals.

As shown in cell culture experiments, CAR-T cell-induced killing led to the expected increases of cfDNA. In co-cultures of CD19+ Karpas422 and CAR-T cells, we found a decreased ratio of cfCAR-DNA to reference cfDNA, mainly due to an increase of reference cfDNA (TERT) released by dying CD19+ target cells. We confirmed our assumption by the analysis of tumor-specific IgH-BCL2 cfDNA (t 14;18) in CM. If CD19+ Jurkat cells were co-incubated with CAR-T cells, cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA increased less and simultaneously. Accordingly, the ratio remained constant. Based on these in vitro and in vivo observations, we concluded that individual courses of the cfCAR-DNA, the reference
cfDNA, and the ratio indicated the treatment outcomes in patients treated with axi-cel.

In previous studies, in which specific cell-free tumor DNA (circular tumor DNA [ctDNA]) in response to conventional chemotherapy or radiotherapy was analyzed, decreases of ctDNA were associated with tumor response and indicative of favorable survival. 

A recent study on the origin of cfDNA emphasized the complex interplay among senescence, necrosis, and apoptosis during cancer treatment. As expected, anti-tumor treatments can result in caspase activation and apoptosis, both resulting in release of cfDNA. Cytotoxic T cells induce apoptosis rapidly via FAS-Ligand and the perforin/granzyme pathway. These pathways increase caspase activity in the target cells and promote cfDNA release. Thus, different treatment modalities obviously can influence the release of cfDNA. To the best of our knowledge, no prior study has investigated the short-term course of cfDNA in the setting of cellular immunotherapy such as CAR-T cell therapy.

In our study, a detailed follow-up within the first days after CAR-T cell infusion revealed significant changes in cfDNA during CAR-T cell treatment during the first days after infusion (Figure 3A, patient 10). This suggested that a substantial increase of reference cfDNA and specific cfCAR-DNA may display treatment success in CAR-T cell therapy. As the success of CAR-T cell treatment in aggressive lymphoma is determined early after infusion in most patients, understanding the course of cfDNA potentially could improve therapeutic strategies by timely intervention.

cfDNA is of increasing interest to predict responses toward lymphoma treatment. Liquid biopsy enables follow-up of specific mutations during cancer treatment. The genetic landscape of aggressive lymphoma subtypes is heterogeneous. Accordingly, follow-up of specific mutations is challenging and there is no established method in clinical practice to monitor ctDNA during lymphoma treatment, yet. PCR-based techniques, such as ddPCR or qPCR are under intensive investigation, but face major challenges. Next generation sequencing also facilitates analyses of cfDNA. However, these approaches thus far are not applicable in day-by-day monitoring. One limitation of our study is the missing follow-up of specific lymphoma mutations. Accordingly, the increase of reference cfDNA in the analyzed blood samples may be caused by infections or other pathologic conditions and not by apoptotic tumor cells. Because of the coincidence in time of CAR-T cell expansion and the increase of reference cfDNA, we consider this to be rather unlikely.

CAR-T cell expansion and persistence are major factors in CAR-T cell treatment, but treatment response is dependent on multiple factors, explaining incongruent results between CAR-T cell kinetics and treatment response. To further understand the kinetics of cfDNA in cellular immunotherapy, simultaneous investigation of CAR-T and lymphoma-derived cfDNA during treatment will be of interest in future studies. Particularly, cfDNA analysis is attractive to investigate both lymphoma-derived DNA and cfCAR-DNA, as it contains DNA fragments from all areas of the body.

Currently, clonal kinetics as well as in vivo distribution of CAR-T cells after re-infusion are topics of research. Analyzing blood cells by flow cytometry and quantification of genomic DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells are the current standards to quantify CAR-T cells during treatment. Assay-specific limitations in qPCR analysis are adapted and under investigation. Certain limitations, such as calculation of obligatory calibration curves, can be resolved by ddPCR. Infiltration of the tumor potentially influences CAR-T cell amount detectable in peripheral blood, especially in lymphoma and in the situation of CNS involvement. Thus, quantification of CAR-Ts in blood samples display CAR-T cell biology and distribution only in part. In contrast, analysis of cfDNA may reflect CAR-T cells in all areas of the body overcoming the limitations of cellular kinetics in blood samples. Moreover, lymphodepleting chemotherapy heavily reduces the cell counts in patients receiving CAR-T cells. Thus, the amount of extracted cellular DNA from blood samples leads to intra- and inter-individual differences. The impact of lymphodepleting chemotherapy on cfDNA kinetics remains unclear, yet. Further studies are needed to evaluate short-term kinetics of cfDNA in the context of immunotherapy. Other methods to improve CAR-T cell follow-up, such as immuno-positron emission tomography (iPET) have recently been reported. However, this approach has several limitations, e.g., heterogeneous sensitivity and limited tracking of CAR-T cells in organs with high blood volume, including heart, liver, and spleen.

In summary, detection of CAR-T cell-derived cfDNA by ddPCR in patients treated with axi-cel was reliable, and cell-free CAR-DNA was stable for a minimum of 3 days in blood collection tubes. CAR-T cells collected in the blood samples were not releasing further cfDNA after collection, thereby causing a false increase of cfCAR-DNA. Since cfDNA is eliminated via the kidneys, hemodialysis or severe acute kidney failure may influence cfDNA concentrations; neither was observed in our study cohort.

Monitoring of specific cfCAR-DNA in combination with the amount of reference cfDNA is a novel technique to predict responses during CAR-T cell therapy in lymphoma patients. Of note, our study proves that the relative quantification of CAR-T cells compared with a reference gene, as it is suitable for gDNA analysis, can be misleading. However, a patient-specific approach analyzing cfCAR-DNA and reference cfDNA may provide a promising tool in future clinical decision making. We believe these results to encourage further studies investigating the role of cfDNA in CAR-T cell therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient information

Blood samples were obtained from patients who were treated with axi-cel at two German treatment centers (Knappschaftskrankenhaus Bochum, Department of Hematology and Oncology and Universitätmedizin Göttingen, Clinic for Hematology and Medical
Oncology). All patients had measurable disease at the time of axi-cel treatment. Treatment was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions with lymphodepleting chemotherapy comprising fludarabine (30 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (500 mg/m²). Patients had given informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee (#19–6750). Patient information is summarized in Table 1.

**Cell culture experiments**

For cell culture experiments, all CAR-T cells in the infusion bag that remained after axi-cel administration were collected, cultured, and expanded in 96-well round-bottom plates. T cells were washed twice with PBS and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI1640) + 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) + 1% penicillin/streptomycin +200 IU/ml interleukin-2. To obtain conditioned media, supernatants were carefully collected without disturbing the cell pellet and subsequently centrifuged twice for 5 min at 800 × g and then once for 10 min at 2,000 × g. Killing assays were performed using the B cell line Karpas422 as target cells. Target cells were co-cultured with CAR-T cells in 2 different target:effector cell ratios (1:1; 2:1) in 96-well plates. T-lineage Jurkat cells served as the negative control targets. Experiments were performed in RPMI1640 + 10% FCS + 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

**Sample preparation**

Blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes and special cfDNA collection tubes (Cell-Free DNA BCT; Streck). Samples were collected from all patients at days 1, 3, 10, and 30 after axi-cel infusion, and where possible at days 90, 180, and 365. Samples were further processed as previously described.15 Briefly, blood cells were removed by centrifugation at 1,600 × g for 10 min. Plasma was carefully collected and stored at −80°C until further use. cfDNA was extracted using a QiaAmp circulating nucleic acid kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The plasma volume was 3 ml and the elution volume was 70 μL. Cellular DNA of the cell pellet was extracted using the QiaAmp blood Mini Kit (Qiagen), used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

PBMCs were isolated from whole blood samples by density gradient centrifugation, as previously described.10 Cellular DNA extracted from residual cells from an axi-cel infusion bag using the QiaAmp Mini Kit (Qiagen) advanced by RNAse digestion (Qiagen) was used as a positive control. Cells were stored at −80°C until use. DNA isolated from untransduced PBMCs was used as a negative control.

**ddPCR for detection of axi-cel and IgH-BCL2 translocation**

Axi-cel-specific DNA was amplified from DNA by conventional PCR with primers binding to the long terminal repeats of the retrovirus, as previously described.19 Primer-probe assays for analysis of cfDNA were designed with Primer 3 software and ordered from BioRad. The resulting amplicon of the primer-probe pair was 77 base pairs in length, which was suitable for assessment in cfDNA assays. Primer-probe sequences were as follows:

- **F:** TGGAAATAACAGGCTCCACC
- **R:** CAGTTTCACCTGCCCCTT
- **P:** CCGGCAAGGCCGGATCTGGCG

Both primers bind to the FMC63-derived sequence within the original construct. Analysis of cellular DNA was carried out as previously described.10

Digital-PCR was performed as previously described by our group.10,12 The reactions were set up in 20-μL sample volumes containing 10 μL of 2 × ddPCR Supermix (no dUTP; BioRad), 1 μL FAM-labeled primer-probe assay, 1 μL Hex-labeled reference primer-probe assay, 5 μL cfDNA from the 70 μL eluate, and nuclease-free water to adjust the sample to the final volume. For cellular DNA analysis, gDNA was diluted to 10 ng/μL if possible, or used purely if the concentration was below 10 ng/μL. Subsequently 5 μL genomic DNA was used for the PCR reaction and samples were digested with 8U EcoRI restriction enzyme (Fast digest, NEB). HEX-labeled assay (BioRad) detecting reference gene TERT was used as a control housekeeping gene for both assays (cfDNA and cellular DNA).10 The final reaction mixture was incubated for 10 min at 36°C for restriction digestion. Next, droplets were generated in a QX200 droplet generator (BioRad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, giving a final sample volume of 40 μL. For detection of Karpas422-specific IgH-BCL2 translocation (t14; 18), we used an assay previously published,19 with PPID as reference gene. Sensitivity to detect IgH-BCL2 translocation was proved by low levels of detection (10⁻³) (Figure S9).

DNA amplification was carried out using the following PCR program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 30 s followed by 60°C for 1 min, and a final denaturation step at 98°C for 10 min (C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler; BioRad). The ramp rate was set to 2°C/s. Finally, droplets were analyzed in a Q200 droplet reader (BioRad), and data were processed with QuantaSoft software (BioRad), including Poisson distribution analysis.

Absolute copies/μL and the ratio were calculated by QuantaSoft software.

**Handling of ddPCR data**

Results of the performed ddPCR assays were expressed as copies/μL. This referred to the copies per μL eluate, by which calculation of copies/μL plasma can be performed. This required a standardized protocol for every sample analyzed, as described above. Copies per milliliter plasma could thus be calculated by the following formula: copies/μL × 20 × 20 = copies/ml plasma.17,18 We used TERT as reference for the total amount of cfDNA in the sample, as every cell (including CAR-T cells) contributing to the pool of cfDNA, in general, releases 2 alleles of TERT. The amount of CAR-DNA compared
with the amount of reference DNA in the sample was expressed as the % ratio = \( \frac{\text{copies/\mu l CAR}}{\text{copies/\mu l reference}} \times 100 \).

**Assay characteristics and statistics**

Reproducibility and precision were assessed by replicate tests of cellular DNA obtained from the washout of an axi-cel infusion bag (mean viral copies per genome = 1, assessed by ddPCR) and untransduced T cells (negative control). Limit of blank was calculated based on dilution experiments of either CAR-gDNA and patient-derived cDNA, spiked into reference gDNA.

Regression analysis and Pearson correlation were used for correlation analysis \( (r^2) \). All statistical analyses and data plots were carried out with GraphPad Prism software (version 6).

**PATIENT INFORMED CONSENT**

All patients agreed to participate in this study and gave their written informed consent for blood sample collection, analysis of clinical data, and publication of potentially identifiable information.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2021.10.009.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Andrea Reinert and Christina Eilert-Micus for excellent technical support. The study was supported by a FoRUM grant (Ruhr-University Bochum, no. P978-2020).

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

T.M., S.K.S., A.B., D.V., V.N., and J.T. collected patient samples and performed the experiments. T.M., G.W., S.K.S., and R.S. designed the study and analyzed the data. T.M., S.K.S., and R.S. wrote the manuscript.

**DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**REFERENCES**

1. Neelapu, S.S., Locke, F.L., Bartlett, N.L., Lekakis, I.J., Miklos, D.B., Jacobson, C.A., Braunischweig, I., Oluwolé, O.O., Siddiqi, T., Lin, Y., et al. (2017). Axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T-cell therapy in refractory large B-cell lymphoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 2531–2544.

2. Maude, S.L., Frey, N., Shaw, P.A., Aplenc, R., Barrett, D.M., Bunin, N.J., Chew, A., Gonzalez, V.E., Zheng, Z., Lacey, S.F., et al. (2014). Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 371, 1507–1517.

3. Cohen, A.D., Garfall, A.A., Stadtmann, E.A., Melenhorst, J.J., Lacey, S.F., Lancaster, E., Vogl, D.T., Weiss, B.M., Dengel, K., Nelson, A., et al. (2019). B cell maturation antigen-specific CAR T cells are clinically active in multiple myeloma. J. Clin. Invest. 129, 2210–2221.

4. Raje, N., Berdeja, J., Lin, Y., Siegel, D., Jagannath, S., Madduri, D., Liedtké, M., Rosenblatt, J., Maus, M.V., Turk, A., et al. (2019). Anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy bb2121 in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. N. Engl. J. Med. 380, 1726–1737.

5. Park, J.H., Rivière, I., Gonen, M., Wang, X., Sénechal, B., Curran, K.I., Sauter, C., Wang, Y., Santomasso, B., Mead, E., et al. (2018). Long-term follow-up of CD19 CAR therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 449–459.

6. Schuster, S.J., Bishop, M.R., Tam, C.S., Waller, E.K., Borchmann, P., McGuire, J.P., Jager, U., Jaglowski, S., Andreadis, C., Westin, I.R., et al. (2019). Tisagenlecleucel in adult relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 380, 45–56.

7. Hirayama, A.V., Gauthier, J., Hay, K.A., Voutsinas, J.M., Wu, Q., Gooley, T., Li, D., Cherian, S., Chen, X., Pender, B.S., et al. (2019). The response to lymphodepletion impacts PFS in patients with aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma treated with CD19 CAR T cells. Blood 133, 1876–1887.

8. Faria, J.A., Lacey, S.F., Orlando, E.J., Proteau-Malini, I., Gohil, M., Lundh, S., Boekejum, A.C., Wang, Y., O’Connor, R.S., Hwang, W.T., et al. (2018). Determinants of response and resistance to CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat. Med. 24, 563–571.

9. Kochenderfer, J.N., Dudley, M.E., Feldman, S.A., Wilson, W.H., Spaner, D.E., Maris, I., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Phan, G.Q., Hughes, M.S., Sherry, R.R., et al. (2012). B-cell depletion and remissions of malignancy along with cytokine-associated toxicity in a clinical trial of anti-CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells. Blood 119, 2709–2720.

10. Mika, T., Maghnouj, A., Klein-Scory, S., Ladigan-Badura, S., Baraniskin, A., Thomson, J., Hasenkamp, J., Hahn, S.A., Wulf, G., and Schroers, R. (2020). Digital-droplet PCR for quantification of CD19-directed CAR T-cells. Front. Mol. Biosci. 7, 84.

11. Badbaran, A., Berger, C., Riecken, K., Kruken, A., Geffken, M., Müller, I., Krüger, N., Auyk, F.A., and Falaye, B. (2020). Accurate in-vivo quantification of CD19 CAR-T cells after treatment with axicabtagene ciloleucel (Axi-Cell) and tisagenlecleucel (Tisa-Cell) using digital PCR. Cancers 12, 1970.

12. Mika, T., Baraniskin, A., Ladigan, S., Wulf, G., Dierks, S., Haase, D., Schork, K., Turewicz, M., Eisenacher, M., Schmiegel, W., et al. (2019). Digital droplet PCR-based chimerism analysis for monitoring of hematopoietic engraftment after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Int. J. Lab. Hematol. 41, 615–621.

13. Franke, G.-N., Maier, I., Wildenberger, K., Cross, M., Giles, F.J., Müller, M.C., Hochhaus, A., Wuerdinger, D., and Lange, T. (2020). Comparison of real-time quantitative PCR and digital droplet PCR for BCR-ABL1 monitoring in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Mol. Diag. 22, 81–89.

14. Link-Lenczowska, D., Balgaski, N., Kordina, S., Daskal, J., Krug, K., Kort, A., and Sacha, T. (2018). A comparison of qPCR and ddPCR used for quantification of the JAK2 V617F allele burden in Ph negative MPNs. Ann. Hematol. 97, 2299–2308.

15. Yamamoto, M., Satomoto, S., Goto, A., Ugaian, M., Nakayama, M., Moriya, Y., and Hirabayashi, H. (2020). Quantitative PCR methodology with a volume-based unit for the sophisticated cellular kinetic evaluation of chimeric antigen receptor T cells. Sci. Rep. 10, 17884.

16. Sugimoto, H., Chen, S., Minemine, J.-P., Choujut, J., He, X., Wang, F., Xang, F., and Qian, M.G. (2021). Insights on droplet digital PCR-based cellular kinetics and biodistribution assay support for CAR-T cell therapy. AAPS J. 23, 36.

17. Klein-Scory, S., Wahner, I., Maslova, M., Al-Sewaidi, Y., Pohl, M., Mika, T., Ladigan, S., Schroers, R., and Baraniskin, A. (2020). Evolution of RAS mutational status in liquid biopsies during first-line chemotheraphy for metastatic colorectal cancer. Front. Oncol. 10, 1115.

18. Klein-Scory, S., Maslova, M., Pohl, M., Eilert-Micus, C., Schroers, R., Schmiegel, W., and Baraniskin, A. (2018). Significance of liquid biopsy for monitoring and therapy decision of colorectal cancer. Transl. Oncol. 11, 213–220.

19. Hattori, K., Nakata, Y., Hayashi, Y., Kato, T., Kurita, N., Nishikui, H., Obara, N., Takano, S., Ishikawa, E., et al. (2018). Clinical significance of disease-specific MYD88 mutations in circulating DNA in primary central nervous system lymphoma. Cancer Sci. 109, 225–230.

20. Mouliere, F., Chandrananda, D., Piskorz, A.M., Moore, E.K., Morris, J., Alhborn, L.B., Mair, R., Goranova, T., Marass, F., Heider, K., et al. (2018). Enhanced detection of circulating tumor DNA by fragment size analysis. Sci. Transl. Med. 10, eaat4921.

21. Rossi, D., Spina, V., Bruscagin, A., and Gaidano, G. (2019). Liquid biopsy in lymphoma. Haematologica 104, 648–652.
