Global SUMOylation on active chromatin is an acute heat stress response restricting transcription
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Abstract

Background: Cells have developed many ways to cope with external stress. One distinctive feature in acute proteotoxic stresses, such as heat shock (HS), is rapid post-translational modification of proteins by SUMOs (small ubiquitin-like modifier proteins; SUMOylation). While many of the SUMO targets are chromatin proteins, there is scarce information on chromatin binding of SUMOylated proteins in HS and the role of chromatin SUMOylation in the regulation of transcription.

Results: We mapped HS-induced genome-wide changes in chromatin occupancy of SUMO-2/3-modified proteins in K562 and VCaP cells using ChIP-seq. Chromatin SUMOylation was further correlated with HS-induced global changes in transcription using GRO-seq and RNA polymerase II (Pol2) ChIP-seq along with ENCODE data for K562 cells. HS induced a rapid and massive rearrangement of chromatin SUMOylation pattern: SUMOylation was gained at active promoters and enhancers associated with multiple transcription factors, including heat shock factor 1. Concomitant loss of SUMOylation occurred at inactive intergenic chromatin regions that were associated with CTCF-cohesin complex and SETDB1 methyltransferase complex. In addition, HS triggered a dynamic chromatin binding of SUMO ligase PIAS1, especially onto promoters. The HS-induced SUMOylation on chromatin was most notable at promoters of transcribed genes where it positively correlated with active transcription and Pol2 promoter-proximal pausing. Furthermore, silencing of SUMOylation machinery either by depletion of UBC9 or PIAS1 enhanced expression of HS-induced genes.

Conclusions: HS-triggered SUMOylation targets promoters and enhancers of actively transcribed genes where it restricts the transcriptional activity of the HS-induced genes. PIAS1-mediated promoter SUMOylation is likely to regulate Pol2-associated factors in HS.

Background

Heat shock (HS) induces many changes in cellular functions. Despite a general inhibition of gene expression at various levels (transcription, mRNA splicing, and translation) in response to acute heat stress or HS [1], specific genes of many cellular pathways are activated [2]. Primary responses include nuclear translocation of heat shock factors 1 and 2 (HSF1 and HSF2) and rapid activation of their target genes [3, 4].

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) of proteins allow cells to react swiftly to changing environment, which is vital for survival in acute stress situations. One of the conserved PTM responses in various types of cell stresses is the modification by small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) proteins [5]. PTM of target proteins with one of three SUMO isoforms (SUMO-1/2/3 in mammals), SUMOylation, is a stepwise process in which E (enzyme) 1, the SAE1/2, activates SUMO and E2, the UBC9, conjugates the SUMO to the target protein with or without assistance from E3 ligases, such as PIAS (protein inhibitor of activated STAT) protein family members 1-4. Primary sequence of SUMO-1 is approximately 50 % identical with SUMO-2 and -3 (from now on collectively termed SUMO2/3) which are nearly identical (approximately 97 %) and indistinguishable with currently available antibodies. SUMO-2 is essential while SUMO-1 and SUMO-3 are dispensable for mouse embryonic development [6, 7]. SUMOylation has been...
implicated in many biological processes, especially in transcriptional regulation and DNA repair. Recent proteome-wide studies have revealed that HS induces massive SUMOylation of particularly nuclear proteins of several classes [8–10]. Many transcription factors (TFs), such as HSF1 and HSF2 [11], steroid receptors [12, 13], chromatin remodeling proteins, transcription coregulators, and sub-units of RNA polymerase complexes [8–10] are known targets of HS-induced SUMOylation.

Recently, genome-wide ChIP-seq approaches have revealed cell status- and signaling-dependent alterations in the locus-selective occupancy of SUMOylated proteins and components of SUMOylation machinery [13–15]. Considering the extensive SUMOylation of chromatin binding proteins and potentially protective role of SUMOylation in HS [8], it is an open question to what extent the HS-triggered SUMOylation actually targets proteins bound to the chromatin.

Fig. 1 Redistribution of chromatin-bound SUMO2/3 in heat stress. a SUMO2/3-modified cellular proteins accumulate in K562 and VCaP cells upon heat stress. Anti-SUMO2/3 antibody immunoblotting analysis of total cellular lysates from cells grown at control conditions (C; 37 °C) or exposed to heat shock (HS; 30 min at 43 °C), and from VCaP cells in recovery from HS (Re; HS followed by 1 h at 37 °C). Anti-lamin B1 antibody was used as a loading control. b Redistribution of chromatin SUMO2/3 in HS. SUMO2/3 (anti SUMO-2/3 antibody) ChIP-seq track examples of chromatin loci in K562 cells where SUMO2/3-binding decreases (ZNF gene cluster), is unaltered (tRNA gene cluster), or increases (HSP (heat shock protein) gene cluster) in HS. Numbers (on the left) depict maximal signal intensities of each track. c Distribution of SUMO2/3 peaks between annotated genomic loci in K562 and VCaP cells. SUMO2/3 peaks are from in control (blue), HS (red), and recovery (orange) conditions. d Chromatin environment of SUMO2/3 peaks categorized to SUMO2/3 C unique peaks (blue bar), SUMO2/3 C/HS-shared peaks (black bar), and SUMO2/3 HS unique peaks (red bar). Numbers on the left refer to the amount of peaks in each category. Heat map showing SUMO2/3-binding site, DNasel and different histone mark signals in ±2 kb window using false-color scale (intensity increases from darker to lighter colors). Line profile of average ChIP-seq signal intensities at ±2 kb area surrounding the peak centers of different SUMO2/3 categories. ChIP-seq signals were normalized to 10 million reads.
To address the role of chromatin SUMOylation in HS in a genome-wide manner, we studied chromatin binding dynamics of SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 by chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled to deep sequencing (ChIP-seq) using human K562 chronic myelogenous leukemia cells and VCaP prostate cancer cells. Chromatin binding of SUMO2/3 was further correlated with HS-induced changes in ongoing transcription using global run-on sequencing (GRO-seq) and chromatin occupancy of RNA polymerase II (Pol2). Our results show that HS induces rapid and massive changes in chromatin SUMOylation pattern. HS-induced SUMOylation of chromatin-bound proteins correlates positively with transcriptional activity at promoters and enhancers. Promoter SUMOylation is dependent on active transcription, as inhibition of transcription initiation or elongation reduces the amount of SUMO2/3 at promoters. Mechanistically, SUMOylation seems to prevent hyperactivation of HS-induced transcription, as silencing of the SUMOylation machinery leads to significantly increased gene expression of HSPs in cells exposed to acute heat stress.

Results
Heat shock induces changes in chromatin SUMOylation pattern
To investigate HS-induced changes in chromatin SUMOylation, we exposed K562 cells to 43 °C for 30 min. The exposure induced a prominent accumulation of high molecular mass anti-SUMO2/3 immunoreactive protein forms, as judged by immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates (Fig. 1a). The HS in VCaP cells (30 min at 43 °C) caused a similar increase in total cellular SUMOylation that was reversed after 60 min recovery at 37 °C (Fig. 1a). To monitor how HS-induced changes in SUMOylation are reflected on chromatin, we performed ChIP-seq analyses using the same anti-SUMO2/3 antibody in K562 and VCaP cells kept at control temperature (37 °C, SUMO2/3-C) or exposed to HS (SUMO2/3-HS). In addition, ChIP-seq was used to monitor chromatin SUMOylation changes in VCaP cells during recovery from HS (SUMO2/3-Re). Alignment of ChIP-seq signal against human hg19 genome revealed strong SUMO2/3 signals, representing SUMO2/3-enriched sites (binding sites or peaks), in both control and HS conditions (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, HS induced striking changes in the intensity of SUMO2/3 signal in many, but not all, chromatin loci: some sites lost (for example, zinc finger gene cluster), while others retained (for example, tRNA gene cluster) or accumulated (for example, HSP gene cluster) SUMO2/3 upon HS (Fig. 1b).

Analysis of SUMO2/3 peaks in K562 cells that were found in two independent biological replicates yielded in control conditions 30,605 SUMO2/3 peaks and in HS 19,869 SUMO2/3 peaks of which approximately 12,000 occurred in both conditions. In VCaP cells, the ChIP-seq analysis revealed 10,154 SUMO2/3 peaks in control cells, 25,382 in heat-shocked cells, and 19,132 in HS-recovered cells. In both cell lines, the majority of the SUMO2/3 peaks were found in intergenic, intron, and promoter regions in both conditions (Fig. 1c). The most notable change in HS was the increase in promoter-associated SUMO2/3 peaks: from 17.5 % to 33.2 % in K562 cells and 13.7 % to 20.3 % in VCaP cells. In VCaP cells, the proportion of promoter-associated SUMO2/3 peaks was further increased during the recovery from heat stress (24.2 %; Fig. 1c). Comparison of K562 and VCaP SUMO2/3 peaks showed a modest overlap in control condition (approximately 2,900 peaks) that increased in HS (approximately 4,700) (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Of note, >70 % of the HS SUMO2/3 peaks co-occurring in both cell lines were found at promoters.

We utilized the available ENCODE data of K562 cells [16] and analyzed markers of active and repressed chromatin in the vicinity of SUMO2/3 peaks. The K562 SUMO2/3 peaks were clustered to three categories (Fig. 1d): control unique peaks (blue bar), peaks shared with control and HS (black bar), and HS unique peaks (red bar). SUMO2/3 HS unique peaks showed signs of open chromatin (DNasel accessibility) and strong signals from histone marks H2A.Z, H3K4me1/2/3, H3K9Ac, and H3K27Ac that are associated with active chromatin [17]. The same chromatin signature was found in the vicinity of SUMO2/3 peaks shared with control and HS conditions, but to a much lesser extent in control unique SUMO2/3 peaks. All SUMO2/3 peaks were devoid of the histone marks H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 that are associated with repressed chromatin [17].

Taken together, the majority of the SUMO2/3 peaks is cell type-specific and located in introns and intergenic regions. Acute heat stress changed chromatin SUMOylation pattern rapidly: SUMO2/3 accumulated onto promoters and chromatin regions with active histone marks and it was lost from intergenic chromatin sites.

Characterization of SUMO2/3-binding chromatin sites
Based on heat map and line profile analyses at the peak vicinities (Fig. 1d), the SUMO2/3 signal was confined to small chromatin regions, suggesting that SUMO2/3 signal derives from occupancy of SUMOylated transcription factors on chromatin. To gain insight into potential SUMO2/3 acceptor proteins on the chromatin, we analyzed the DNA sequences at SUMO2/3 peaks from K562 cells for the enrichment of conserved binding motifs. CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) and GATA motifs were ubiquitous in control unique SUMO2/3 peaks and in peaks shared with control and HS (Fig. 2a; full list of associated motifs in Additional file 2: Table S1).
Fig. 2 Characterization of SUMO2/3 chromatin binding sites in K562 cells. a Enrichment of DNA-binding motifs within SUMO2/3 peaks. Percentage of SUMO2/3 peaks with the motif and P value of enrichment are indicated with color code (C unique, blue; C/HS-shared, black; SUMO2/3 HS, red). b Co-occurrence of K562 TFs and SUMO2/3 peaks. Scatter plot of TF co-occurrence with SUMO2/3 C (blue dots) and SUMO2/3 HS (red dots) peaks. Y-axis represents co-occurrence percent of analyzed TF and on X-axis all analyzed TFs are arranged according to their co-occurrence percent with SUMO2/3 C. Complete list of analyzed K562-TFs and co-occurrence percentages in Table S1. c SUMO2/3 occupancy at ZNF genes. SUMO2/3 ChIP-seq track in control (C) and HS conditions aligned with ChIP-seq tracks of ZNF274, SETDB1, CBX3, and TRIM28 at ZNF136 locus. Comparison of SUMO2/3 signal at TSSs and TTSs of all annotated ZNF genes in C and HS conditions. d Association of SUMO2/3 and Pol3. Heat map of ±2 kb window centered at Pol3 binding sites and showing ChIP-seq signals of Pol3 (POLR3G subunit), Pol3-associated TF BRF1, and SUMO2/3 in C and HS conditions using false-color scale. Line profile of average ChIP-seq signals in ±2 kb window from the centers of Pol3-binding sites.
ZNF136 ends of zinc finger (ZNF) genes at which gene, Fig. 2c). Interestingly, at the TTSs, ends close to the signal at ZNF genes was specific for the 3’ ends, as the phenomenon (Fig. 2c; histogram). The loss of SUMO2/3 signal disappeared in HS, and the analysis of all 538 annotated ZNF genes revealed that this was a general phenomenon (Fig. S2). Targets of the H3K9 methylation machinery in chromatin SUMOylation changes to several proteins that are thus likely to change their SUMOylation status or chromatin binding upon HS. SUMOylation was often lost from sites that bind the CTCF-cohesin-complex or H3K9 methylation machinery, including 3’-binding sites at ZNF genes. Heat stress increased the SUMO2/3 co-occurrence of the majority of analyzed transcription factors, suggesting that SUMO-mediated regulation at chromatin regulatory regions is a common HS response. The most prominent increase in SUMO2/3 co-occurrence in HS was detected for HSF1 and HSF2.

Role of HSF1 in HS-induced changes in chromatin SUMO2/3 pattern

To study the role of HSF1 in the HS-triggered SUMO2/3 chromatin redistribution, we silenced HSF1 in K562 cells and analyzed the effect of silencing on chromatin SUMOylation. Transfection of a short-hairpin RNA against HSF1 (shHSF1) markedly reduced HSF1 protein level (Fig. 3a) and inhibited the activation of HSP genes upon HS (Fig. 3b), when compared to non-silenced (shSCR-transfected) and heat-shocked cells. SUMO2/3 ChIP-seq showed that the overall HS-induced changes in chromatin SUMO2/3 signal were largely unchanged in HSF1-silenced cells, indicating that HSF1-regulated processes are not needed for the general HS-induced chromatin SUMO2/3 response (Fig. 3c). However, HSF1 binding is rapidly induced in HS [19], and >60 % of the HSF1 peaks co-occurred with SUMO2/3 in HS (Fig. 2d), indicating that these chromatin SUMO2/3 signals could derive from SUMOylated HSF1. Indeed, in HS, the intensity of SUMO2/3 signal at the SUMO2/3 peaks that co-occurred with HSF1 was reduced in HSF1-silenced cells (Fig. 3d; SUMO2/3 with HSF1), whereas no reduction was seen at SUMO2/3 peaks that did not co-occur with HSF1 (Fig. 3d; SUMO2/3 without HSF1). These data support the notion that the majority (approximately 95 %) of the changes in chromatin SUMOylation represent direct signaling responses to heat stress and that...
they are not dependent on the activation of HSF1 or its target genes.

Chromatin SUMOylation in HS is associated with Pol2 pausing and global reprogramming of transcription

SUMO2/3 peaks were prominently associated with active chromatin marks (Fig. 1d), and hence, we analyzed the correlation between chromatin SUMO2/3 and transcription in a genome-wide fashion. We mapped the ongoing transcription from control and HS-exposed K562 cells using global run-on sequencing (GRO-seq) [25]. The HS-induced activation of HSP genes was accompanied by an increase in the promoter SUMO2/3 signal, initially suggesting that promoter SUMO2/3 signal stimulates gene activation (for example, HSPA1A and UBB in Fig. 4a). Analysis of all TSSs, however, showed that promoter SUMO2/3 signal was broadly present and substantially increased in HS at the promoters of transcribed genes, implying that enhanced promoter SUMOylation is a global response to acute heat stress and not restricted to HS-activated genes (Fig. 4b). Indeed, SUMOylation was markedly increased in HS at promoters of transcribed genes (gene body RPKM >0.5 in control or HS GRO-seq), whereas SUMOylation remained at the background level at the promoters of non-transcribed genes (Fig. 4c). When we divided the actively transcribed genes into four groups of increasing transcription, a positive correlation between promoter SUMOylation and transcription was apparent in control ($r_s$ (spearman correlation coefficient) = 0.21, $P$ value <0.0001) and HS ($r_s$ = 0.27, $P$ value <0.0001; Fig. 4c). Furthermore, the SUMO2/3 signal overlapped with Pol2 occupancy on the promoters, albeit the SUMO2/3 peaked somewhat upstream from the major Pol2-binding site (Additional file 1: Fig. S3).

Active enhancers have been shown to produce short bidirectional RNAs, eRNAs, and the amount of these RNAs is known to correlate with the enhancer activity [26, 27]. The intergenic SUMO2/3 peaks were frequently associated with HS-induced short bidirectional transcription (Fig. 4d). Analysis of all intergenic SUMO2/3 peaks showed that bidirectional transcription was often centered at the HS SUMO2/3 peaks, whereas the SUMO2/3 peaks unique to control conditions did not show such transcription (Fig. 4e). In addition, intergenic SUMO2/3 peaks in HS, but not those unique to control condition, were enriched with histone marks of active chromatin (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). To further analyze the connection between enhancer activity and SUMO2/3, we defined intergenic chromatin loci that produce
Fig. 4 (See legend on next page.)
bidirectional transcripts as eRNA enhancers. These 539 loci were further divided into four groups according to increasing transcription level and SUMO2/3 signal within each group was analyzed (±500 bp region from the center of eRNA enhancer; Fig. 4f). The analysis showed that the amount of eRNA transcription is paralleled by enhancer SUMOylation in HS (r = 0.36, P < 0.0001), but not in control conditions (Fig. 4f). These data suggest that upon exposure to acute heat stress, the intergenic SUMO2/3 peaks correspond to active or activated enhancers.

We next asked if genes that have promoter or adjacent intergenic SUMO2/3 peaks respond to HS differently than genes without SUMO2/3 peaks. In addition to analyzing HS-induced changes in transcription, we measured Pol2 promoter-proximal pausing, which is one of the key mechanisms regulating transcription in HS [28, 29]. Pol2 pausing is measured by using promoter-proximal pausing index (PPI), which is the ratio of promoter-proximal transcription and gene-body transcription. We classified transcription to promoter proximal (from TSS to +250 bp) and gene body regions to measure the PPI and transcription (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). As an early response to HS, 1,730 genes were found to be differentially transcribed (795 induced and 935 repressed; false discovery rate, FDR <0.01; fold change >2). Thus, HS typically resulted in repression of transcription (Fig. 4g, i) and an increase in PPI (Fig. 4h) at transcribed genes. Nearly half of the transcribed genes had a SUMO2/3 peak at their promoters (44.0 % in control, 51.1 % in HS) and approximately one-fifth were associated (TSS within 100 kb) with an intergenic SUMO2/3 peak (21.0 % in control, 14.3 % in HS; Additional file 1: Fig. S6). In control conditions, promoter SUMO2/3-associated genes were more actively transcribed (promoter SUMO2/3-C [+] in Fig. 4g) and displayed higher PPIs (promoter SUMO2/3-C [-]). Promoter SUMO2/3 peak-associated genes had significantly higher PPIs compared to genes without a promoter SUMO2/3 peak, especially in HS (Fig. 4h). In addition, transcription of genes with promoter-associated SUMO2/3 in either control or HS was more severely repressed in HS than genes without the promoter SUMO2/3 (Fig. 4i). Contrary to promoter SUMO2/3 association, intergenic SUMO2/3-associated genes showed lower PPIs both in control and HS conditions when compared to unassociated genes (Fig. 4h). In HS, intergenic SUMO2/3-associated genes were also more actively transcribed (Fig. 4g) and less repressed (Fig. 4i).

We also identified the biological processes affected in HS and those associated with HS-induced changes in chromatin SUMOylation. The HS-induced genes were enriched with Gene Ontology (GO) biological process terms related to protein folding, apoptosis, and heat response, while the HS-repressed genes were enriched in GO terms of gene expression, transcription, translation, and metabolic processes (Table 1 for representative GO terms and full list in Additional file 4: Table S3). HS-enriched SUMO2/3 peaks (2,739 peaks with >4-fold signal in HS than in control) were also associated with GO terms of translation and RNA processes (Table 1).

Taken together, HS triggered transcription-tied-up SUMOylation of promoters and enhancers. Promoter SUMOylation correlated positively with Pol2 promoter-proximal pausing and predicted transcriptional repression upon acute heat stress. Moreover, genes associated with the HS-enriched SUMO2/3 peaks shared several of the biological process categories of the HS-repressed genes.
HS-induced dynamic binding of SUMO ligase PIAS1 onto Pol2-bound promoters
PIAS1 is the major PIAS family member in VCaP cells and known to bind chromatin [15]. To get insight into the chromatin binding dynamics of the SUMOylation machinery in HS, we mapped genome-wide chromatin binding of PIAS1 in control, HS (30 min at 43 °C) and recovery (HS followed by 60 min at 37 °C) in VCaP cells using ChIP-seq. Overall PIAS1 protein levels did not change upon HS or recovery (Fig. 5a). The number of PIAS1 peaks, however, increased over three-fold in HS (from 3,756 to 11,706 peaks) and returned to the control level after recovery period (3,390 peaks). Although PIAS1 peaks were predominantly found at intergenic regions or introns (Fig. 5b), the most dramatic HS-induced change was detected at the promoter regions where the number of PIAS1 peaks increased by 15-fold (Fig. 5b; 98 peaks vs. 1,470 peaks). The number of PIAS1 peaks that co-occurred with SUMO2/3 increased almost five-fold from control (1,898 peaks) to HS (9,088 peaks) (Fig. 5c). Moreover, overall intensity of PIAS1 signal in HS was stronger than that in recovery (or control) at the binding sites shared between HS, control and recovery conditions, underlining the dynamic nature of HS-induced chromatin binding of PIAS1 (Fig. 5d). SUMO2/3 signal at the HS-induced PIAS1-binding sites increased in HS and remained high after dissociation of PIAS1 in recovery.

The above data suggest that HS induces activation and chromatin binding of PIAS1 where it functions as a SUMO ligase. To test this notion, we silenced PIAS1 using siRNA (siPIAS1) (Fig. 5e) and analyzed how this affects occupancy of SUMO2/3 on chromatin. The silencing resulted in a reduction of SUMO2/3 at many PIAS1 co-occurring loci in HS (Fig. 5f, g; SUMO2/3 siNON vs. siPIAS1). On average, SUMO2/3 signal at PIAS1 co-occurring sites (Fig. 5f; SUMO2/3 with PIAS1) was reduced by approximately 33 %, while only <15 % reduction was seen at SUMO2/3 sites that did not co-occur with PIAS1 (SUMO2/3 without PIAS1).

Correlation of transcription with promoter SUMOylation and PIAS1 binding
To analyze both SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 chromatin binding in the context of transcription, we mapped chromatin

| Gene group | GO term                          | Genes (n) | P value | B-H FDR |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| HS-induced | Response to unfolded protein     | 21        | 1.7E-14 | 4.2E-11 |
|            | Protein folding                  | 23        | 2.3E-08 | 1.1E-05 |
|            | Programmed cell death            | 41        | 4.6E-06 | 1.2E-03 |
|            | Apoptosis                        | 39        | 1.8E-05 | 4.4E-03 |
|            | Response to heat                 | 10        | 4.5E-05 | 9.9E-03 |
| HS-repressed| Gene expression                  | 225       | 1.3E-17 | 3.2E-14 |
|            | Metabolic process                | 442       | 1.7E-16 | 2.8E-13 |
|            | Biosynthetic process             | 251       | 1.9E-16 | 1.4E-13 |
|            | Transcription                    | 155       | 8.7E-11 | 1.6E-08 |
|            | Translation                      | 35        | 5.4E-06 | 5.1E-04 |
|            | Translational elongation         | 16        | 4.0E-05 | 3.6E-03 |
|            | ncRNA metabolic process          | 24        | 2.6E-04 | 2.1E-02 |
| SUMO2/3 peak group | GO term                          | Peaks (n) | P value | Bonferroni P value |
| HS-enriched | Translation                      | 183       | 1.1E-38 | 1.0E-34 |
|            | mRNA metabolic process           | 278       | 6.7E-36 | 5.9E-32 |
|            | RNA processing                   | 273       | 3.5E-31 | 3.1E-27 |
|            | Viral reproduction               | 175       | 1.2E-29 | 1.1E-25 |
|            | Translational elongation         | 80        | 2.6E-29 | 2.3E-25 |
|            | Viral transcription              | 63        | 1.5E-27 | 1.3E-23 |
|            | Translational termination        | 64        | 8.7E-27 | 7.6E-23 |
|            | ncRNA metabolic process          | 132       | 1.3E-23 | 1.1E-19 |
|            | Protein complex disassembly      | 70        | 1.3E-22 | 1.1E-18 |
|            | RNA splicing                     | 136       | 3.3E-14 | 2.9E-10 |
| HS-depleted | Regulation of lipid kinase activity | 27       | 2.9E-06 | 2.1E-02 |

Table 1 Enrichment of gene ontology (GO) terms among HS-induced and HS-repressed genes and that of GO terms among HS-enriched and HS-depleted SUMO2/3 peaks in K562 cells
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occupancy of Pol2 in control, HS and recovery conditions in VCaP cells using ChIP-seq. HS markedly increased Pol2 signal at promoters and at 3′-untranslated regions of HS-induced genes, such as those in the HSP gene cluster (Fig. 6a), indicating a robust transcriptional activation of these genes. HS also resulted in accumulation of SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 onto the HSP gene promoters (Fig. 6a). After a recovery at normal growth temperature, the promoter PIAS1 disappeared, whereas the promoter SUMO2/3 and Pol2 signals persisted. Heat map analysis of the ChIP-seq signals at all TSSs revealed a positive correlation between SUMO2/3 and Pol2 in control, HS and recovery conditions, but for PIAS1 and Pol2 only in HS (Fig. 6b). Among Pol2-bound genes (promoter Pol2 RPKM >0.2), the
promoter SUMO2/3 signal increased from control to HS to recovery (Fig. 6c). In contrast, among genes without Pol2 (promoter Pol2 RPKM ≤ 0.2), SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 signals were below the background level and did not change during HS or recovery. We next ranked Pol2-bound genes to four groups of increasing promoter Pol2 signal and analyzed the promoter signals of SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 within each group. Promoter SUMO2/3 signal positively correlated with promoter Pol2 occupancy in control (rs = 0.49, P < 0.0001), HS (rs = 0.51, P < 0.0001), and recovery (rs = 0.55, P < 0.0001).
and recovery ($r_s = 0.52, P <0.0001$) conditions (Fig. 6c). A corresponding analysis for promoter PIAS1 signal revealed a robust positive correlation with Pol2 only in HS (Fig. 6d; $r_s = 52, P <0.0001$). These data show that promoter Pol2 and SUMO2/3 correlate in all assayed conditions, whereas the recruitment of PIAS1 onto Pol2-bound promoters is a transient response to acute heat stress.

**Promoter SUMOylation is dependent on active transcription**

Next, we asked if the promoter SUMO2/3 signal is dependent on active transcription and whether it is a common feature of Pol2-paused promoters. To this end, we analyzed chromatin SUMO2/3 using ChIP-seq in cells treated with either DRB (5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole 1-β-D-ribofuranoside) that induces Pol2 promoter-proximal pausing or triptolide (TRP) that targets Pol2 to degradation [30]. DRB treatment efficiently removed hyperphosphorylated Pol2 (Fig. 6e; anti-Pol2: Pol2 0) from VCaP cells, while TRP treatment led to degradation of both hyperphosphorylated and non-phosphorylated Pol2 (anti-Pol2: Pol2 0 and Pol2 A). Interestingly, both DRB and TRP also decreased the amount of high molecular mass SUMOylated proteins (Fig. 6e; anti-SUMO2/3), further emphasizing that active transcription is needed for accumulation of SUMOylated proteins. Notably, DRB or TRP diminished promoter SUMO2/3 signal (Fig. 6f). These results suggest that induction of Pol2 promoter-proximal pausing with DRB does not increase promoter SUMO2/3 signal. Furthermore, promoter SUMO2/3 signal is dependent on active transcription, as inhibition of transcription with TRP leads to a decrease in SUMO2/3 at promoters.

**SUMOylation constrains HS-induced gene expression**

To reveal the functional effect of SUMOylation on the regulation of HS-induced genes, we silenced $UBE2I$ (gene for UBC9) in VCaP cells with siRNA (siUBE2I) and used ChIP-seq to map the genome-wide binding of Pol2 in control (C) and HS conditions. A marked reduction of UBC9 protein level was seen in siUBE2I compared to siNON (Fig. 7a). HS-induced changes in transcription were analyzed from Pol2 ChIP-seq signal. Using stringent criteria (FDR <0.01, fold change >2), HS induced 92 genes (Fig. 7b UP; for example, $HSPB1$ in Fig. 7c) and repressed 16 genes (Fig. 7b DOWN; for example, $KLK2$ in Fig. 7c) in siNON- and siUBE2I-transfected cells. As expected, HS-induced genes were enriched in GO term categories of unfolded proteins and stress (Additional file 4: Table S3). In addition, 130 genes enriched in GO terms of protein folding and cellular metabolic processes were HS-induced exclusively in siUBE2I-transfected cells (Fig. 7b UP in siUBE2I; for example, $HNRNPA2B1$ Fig. 7c), and 76 genes enriched in GO terms of translation and metabolic processes were HS-repressed exclusively in siNON-transfected cells (Fig. 7b DOWN in siNON; for example, $EEF1A1$ Fig. 7c). These data suggest that impaired SUMOylation leads to a more pronounced transcription activation of HS-induced genes and attenuation of HS-repression.

Next, we used RT-qPCR to more specifically examine the effect of SUMOylation during a HS time course. As shown in Fig. 8a, HS-induced cellular SUMOylation was abolished in siUBE2I-transfected cells, and it was markedly diminished in siPIAS1-transfected cells (Fig. 8a; α-SUMO-2/3). Cellular RNA was isolated from siNON-, siUBE2I-, or siPIAS1-transfected cells after different periods of HS exposure (0, 0.5, 1, 3 h) and the expression of putative HS-inducible genes $HSPA1A$, $HSPA1B$, $HSPA6$, $JUN$, and $FOS$ was measured using RT-qPCR. All candidate HS-inducible genes showed a HS time-dependent increase in expression. Interestingly, silencing of either UBC9 or PIAS1 resulted in even more robust expression of $HSPA1A$, $HSPA1B$, and $HSPA6$, whereas only silencing of PIAS1 augmented the expression of $JUN$ and $FOS$ (Fig. 8b). Expression of genes that were HS-repressed $CTDSP1$ and $ATG2A$ did not change in siUBE2I- or siPIAS1-transfected cells (Fig. 8b). These data imply that HS-induced genes are repressed by a promoter SUMOylation-linked mechanism that involves activation of PIAS1.

Since attenuation of SUMOylation machinery resulted in augmented induction of HSP genes, we next analyzed whether this influences survival from HS. VCaP cells were first exposed to HS for 4 h and subsequently allowed to recover for 16 h at 37 °C before measuring the proportion of living cells [31]. Interestingly, the silencing of UBC9 or PIAS1 had a small, but statistically significant decreasing effect on the number of dead cells (Fig. 8c), indicating that silencing of the SUMOylation machinery provides a survival advantage for cells exposed to heat stress, probably due to enhanced HSP induction.

**Discussion**

According to recent proteome-wide studies, a large number of proteins that are involved in nuclear processes are SUMOylated as a swift response to proteotoxic cell stress [8–10]. However, the biological impact of this SUMO response has remained elusive, albeit it has been suggested to support cell viability [8]. Here, we demonstrate by using genome-wide approaches that HS induces a rapid reorganization of chromatin-bound SUMO2/3 in a fashion that positively correlates with transcriptional activity at promoters and enhancers. SUMO2/3 accumulated in HS-exposed K562 cells at genomic regions, especially promoters, that under normal cell growth conditions, represent active, open
SUMO2/3 signal was restricted to relatively narrow chromatin regions likely reflecting occupancy of SUMOylated TFs on the chromatin. Multiple TFs are known to co-bind at enhancers in a cooperative manner that is thought to integrate transcriptional signals [18, 32].

Active enhancers are transcribed [27], and although the importance of this eRNA transcription is still under debate, the amount of transcription is associated with enhancer activity [26]. The extensive overlap between TF binding (ENCODE data) and SUMO2/3 in non-stressed K562 cells may indicate the role of SUMOylation in the regulation of protein-protein interactions at enhancers.
TF complexes. HS induced an increase in SUMOylation and eRNA transcription at intergenic SUMO2/3 binding sites, suggesting that these sites are HS-induced enhancers. Furthermore, in HS, the genes associated with intergenic SUMO2/3 sites were also more actively transcribed than unassociated genes, further linking the intergenic chromatin SUMOylation to the regulation of enhancer activity in acute heat stress. The major HS-activated TF, HSF1, co-occurred with SUMO2/3 on chromatin in heat-shocked cells, suggesting that HSF1 is one of the SUMO2/3-regulated TFs on chromatin. In contrast, a loss of SUMO2/3 from chromatin in response to acute heat stress occurred at non-transcribed loci without active histone marks, but with co-occurrence of histone methyltransferase and CTCF-cohesin complexes. Since proteins in both complexes are differentially SUMOylated in cell stress [9, 24, 33], the SUMOylation status may regulate their complex formation and have an impact on chromatin methylation and architecture. These changes could be linked to HS-induced rearrangements in chromatin architecture that have been recently reported in D melanogaster [34].

Pol2 and Pol3 promoters have been shown to undergo SUMOylation in a gene activity-correlating manner in proliferating fibroblasts [14]. Our genome-wide analyses confirm that SUMO2/3 is widely present at promoters of actively transcribed genes under non-stress conditions and that Pol3-binding sites have a nearly complete overlap with SUMO2/3. Upon stress, the amount of promoter SUMO2/3 substantially increases at Pol2 promoters, while it is attenuated at Pol3 binding sites, suggesting that the Pol2 and Pol3 machineries are differentially regulated by stress-inducible SUMOylation. In line with previous results [1, 2], acute heat stress induced rapid activation of HSP genes, despite a general repression of transcription. A simultaneous increase in Pol2 pausing implies that it is a major mechanism for transcriptional repression in HS, as has been shown before [28, 29]. Both transcription repression and Pol2 pausing were stronger in promoter SUMO2/3-associated
genes than genes without promoter SUMO2/3. Because several proteins that regulate Pol2 pausing, for example, CCNT1 of positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) and NELF-A and NELF-E of negative elongation complex [9], have been identified as SUMO2/3 targets whose modification level increases in HS, it is an intriguing possibility that the global stress-caused Pol2 pausing is controlled by SUMOylation of these regulatory proteins. The difference between HS-induced Pol2- and Pol3-associated SUMOylation could also be explained by increased SUMOylation of Pol2-specific regulatory proteins, such as NELF or P-TEFb.

Chromatin SUMOylation has been reported to govern coordinated repression of histone and growth regulatory genes [14]. In senescent cells, chromatin SUMOylation is widely attenuated, with the SUMOylation machinery being largely lost from chromatin except for histone and tRNA clusters [14]. Of note, HS did not markedly influence SUMOylation of the regulatory regions in the latter two housekeeping gene clusters. These results suggest that, in contrast to a short term HS that exerts a transient and reversible repression of transcription, a permanent repression of gene programs, such as cell senescence, leads to a loss of promoter SUMOylation. In comparison to HS-induced alterations in gene activity, the gene re-programming in senescence occurs in a slower pace and is likely to involve more dramatic alterations in TF activity, histone marks, and chromatin state.

Insightful studies in yeast have also associated recruitment of SUMO to promoters of activated genes [35] and suggested that the role of promoter SUMOylation is to inhibit transcription re-initiation of inducible genes [36]. Our finding that a partial inactivation of SUMOylation machinery by depleting UBC9 or PIAS1 resulted in a more pronounced activation of HSP genes in HS supports this model. However, since increased promoter SUMOylation occurs also at promoters of the repressed genes, the increased promoter SUMOylation is not simply a result of augmented gene transcription. Nevertheless, a common feature of both up- and downregulated genes in HS, is the increased promoter-proximal transcription. We suggest that active transcription initiation or elongation licensing [37], not necessarily continued re-initiation, as likely candidates for SUMOylation-regulated processes. These notions are also supported by the loss of promoter SUMOylation when transcription was inhibited using Pol2 inhibitors (DRB or TRP).

HS-triggered SUMOylation has been suggested to arise from activation of SUMO ligases and deactivation of SUMO proteases [38]. HS induces marked chromatin binding of the SUMO ligase PIAS1. This is intriguing, as PIAS1 has not been previously connected to cellular stress responses. Our data suggest that PIAS1 functions as one of the HS-activated SUMO ligases and that active SUMOylation occurs on chromatin in HS. However, as not all HS-acquired SUMO2/3 sites co-occurred with PIAS1, proteins are also likely to be SUMOylated in a PIAS1-independent manner or recruited onto chromatin in a pre-SUMOylated state. Since the occupancy of PIAS1 on chromatin after HS was more transient than that of SUMO2/3, activation and recruitment of SUMO proteases onto chromatin [39], is perhaps needed for reversal of chromatin SUMOylation when cell stress is alleviated.

Conclusions

We show that acute stress-induced SUMOylation of chromatin-bound proteins occurs at actively transcribed promoters and enhancers. SUMOylation in heat shock correlates with Pol2 pausing and represses transcriptional activity of HSP genes. Our genome-wide data also reveal that the global promoter SUMOylation is not only restricted to the genes that are either stimulated or repressed by acute heat stress, but is a more general phenomenon linked to transcription regulation at promoters and enhancers. Upon stress, the amount of promoter Pol2 and SUMO2/3 increases if the gene is activated or if the Pol2 is paused at the promoter. Stress-induced chromatin SUMOylation is initiated by HSF1-independent activation and chromatin binding of SUMOylation machinery, which targets transcription regulatory proteins. At promoters, SUMO2/3 recruitment is dependent on transcriptional activity, but its function is to restrict transcription. Promoter SUMOylation is likely to regulate transcription by targeting Pol2 regulatory proteins in HS.

Methods

Cells culture and treatments
K562 cells (European Collection of Cell Cultures) were grown in RPMI1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum, 1 % penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM l-glutamine. VCaP cells (American Type Culture Collection) were grown as previously described [15]. For ChIP-seq cells were heat-shocked in 43 °C incubator and for GRO-seq in 43 °C water bath for indicated times. In recovery experiments, VCaP cells were first heat shocked for 30 min at 43 °C and then returned to 37 °C for 60 min. Pol2 inhibitors DRB (5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole 1-β-D-ribofuranoside; Sigma-Aldrich; 100 μM) and triptolide (Sigma-Aldrich; 1 μM) were applied to cells 3 h prior to continuing with ChIP-seq protocol. In viability assay, siRNA treated cells were exposed to 4 h HS at 43 °C. After 16 h recovery at 37 °C, cells were collected, stained with propidium iodide (Biotool, USA), and analyzed using flow cytometer (FACSCalibur, BD biosciences). Proportion of live cells was further normalized to non HS control in each siRNA, and differences between
groups of three biological replicates were analyzed in GraphPad Prism (one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s multiple testing correction).

Antibodies
Antibodies used for immunoblotting were anti-SUMO2/3 (M114-3, MBL), anti-UBC9 (sc10759, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-PIAS1 (ab77231, Abcam), anti-tubulin (sc5286, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-laminB1 (sc6216, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-Pol2 (sc-899, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-histone H3 (ab1791, Abcam), and anti-HSF1 (ADI-SPA-901; ENZO Life Sciences). Antibodies used for ChIP-seq were anti-SUMO2/3 (M114-3, MBL), anti-PIAS1 (ab77231, Abcam), and anti-Pol2 (MMS-126R, Covance).

ChIP-seq libraries
ChIP-seq was done with minor modifications from a published protocol [13]. Briefly, the cells grown on 10 cm dishes were fixed with formaldehyde at room temperature (final concentration 1 % v/v; 6 min for K562 cells and 10 min for VCaP cells), and cross-linking was stopped with glycine (final concentration 125 mM). Chromatin was fragmented to approximately 200 to 500 bp using sonication (Bioruptor UCD-300, Diagenode). Target antibodies (Ab) were coupled to protein-A or -G beads (Millipore), fragmented chromatin was incubated with Ab-coupled beads overnight, washed, eluted, and de-cross-linked in the presence of proteinase K (Fermentas). Chromatin fragments were purified using MinElute columns (Qiagen). ChIP-seq libraries were prepared using NEBNext kit (New England Biolabs) and sequenced at BGI (Hong Kong, China; K562 SUMO2/3 control and HS; VCaP Pol2 control) or at EMBL genomics core facility (Heidelberg, Germany; all other samples). Two biological replicates were made for each sample. Fragmented de-cross-linked chromatin was used as a control for K562 samples and previously published IgG ChIP-seq chromatin for VCaP samples [15].

GRO-seq libraries
Samples were produced as two biological replicates essentially as described before [40]. Briefly, nuclei from approximately 10 million cells were collected in swelling buffer, run-on reactions were done in the presence of Br-UTP, RNA was isolated using TRIZOL-LS reagent (Life Technologies), and labeled RNA molecules were affinity purified using agarose-conjugated anti-BrdU (sc32323AC, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Labeled RNAs were processed for next-generation sequencing with minor modifications from a published protocol [41]. Samples were sequenced with HiSeq 2000 at EMBL genomics core facility (Heidelberg, Germany).

RNA interference and RT-qPCR
HSF1 was silenced from K562 cells as described before [19]. Briefly, cells were transfected using electroporation with plasmids expressing short hairpin-RNA against HSF1 (shHSF1) or scrambled sequence (shSCR). VCaP cells were reverse-transfected with siRNAs against UBE2I (gene ID: 10050911; siUBE2I), PIAS1 (siPIAS1), or non-targeting control (siNON) siRNA (Dharmacon; On-TARGET plus pools and non-targeting pool) on 6-well plates using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Life Technologies). Four days after transfection, VCaP cells were heat shocked (0 min, 30 min, 1 h, 3 h), total cellular RNA was collected (TriPure reagent; Roche), and cDNA was synthesized with oligo dT primers (Transcriptor First Strand cDNA synthesis Kit; Roche). Three biological replicates were analyzed using LightCycler 460 SYBR GREEN I reagent and LightCycler 480 PCR apparatus (Roche). GAPDH was used to normalize amounts of mRNA between samples, and non-stressed siNON sample to get the relative mRNA levels in each sample. Primer sequences are available upon request. Statistical significance of the changes in RT-qPCR data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s multiple testing correction in GraphPad Prism.

Data analysis
ChIP-seq
Sequenced raw reads were quality controlled using FastQC [42] and quality filtered using FASTX-toolkit [43] before reads were mapped to human genome (hg19) using bowtie [44] keeping only uniquely mapping reads. Initial binding sites (peaks) were defined for both biological replicates against control sample using findPeaks program of Homer package [45] with default settings. In addition, in K562 cells, peaks with small number of reads in SUMO2/3 ChIP-seq samples were removed (control: <9 reads, HS: <10 reads). Only areas where peak was defined in both biological replicates were considered representative for the given condition and used in analysis. SUMO2/3 peaks were associated with nearest genes using HOMER. All ChIP-seq datasets were normalized to 10^5 reads for visualization and ChIP-seq signal comparison analysis. Signal matrices for heat maps and line profiles were done in HOMER and visualized using image] [46] and R [47]. Chromatin tracks were done in IGV [48] and assembled in Photoshop (Adobe). DNA motif discovery was done with findMotifsGenome program of the HOMER package. SUMO2/3 peaks with four-fold more tags in HS (HS-enriched) or in control (HS-depleted) were used in GO-term enrichment analysis. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was done in GREAT v. 2.0.2 [49] using default region settings. Venn diagrams were produced using Venn Diagram Plotter [50] and VENNY [51]. Analysis of transcription from siNON and siUBE2I
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SUMO2/3 enriched motifs in control and transcript detection). Chromatin loci where two signals in different transcription categories (gene body transcription and eRNA enhancer transcription).

GRO-seq
GRO-seq reads were quality controlled using FastQC and FASTX-toolkit (minimum 97 % of bps over quality score 10). Poly-A tails were trimmed, and smaller than 25 nt long reads and reads that mapped to rRNA were discarded. Remaining reads were mapped to reference human genome (hg19) using bowtie (v = 2, m = 3, k = 1). Promoter (TSS to +250 bp) and gene body (+250 bp to TTS) transcription in was analyzed using HOMER. Gene body RPKM cutoff >0.5 was used to differentiate between transcribed and non-transcribed genes. Promoter-proximal pausing index (PPI) was calculated as ratio of RPKMs at promoter and gene body GRO-seq transcription. Differential expression (FDR <0.01 and fold change >2) for transcribed genes was analyzed using edgeR [53]. Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment for HS-induced and -repressed genes was done using DAVID [52] and GOTERM_BP_FAT annotations. In order to determine transcribed enhancers, K562 GRO-seq libraries were combined and intergenic transcripts (over 10 kb from the TTS to avoid gene read through artifacts) were determined using HOMER (findPeaks with GRO-seq de novo transcript detection). Chromatin loci where two opposing strand transcripts with no more than two-fold difference in read count (±500 bp from the center) were identified no more than 1 kb apart from each other, were considered eRNA enhancers. Transcription and SUMO2/3 signal was measured from 1 kb window centered to eRNA enhancers. Kruskal-Wallis statistical test and Dunn’s post test were done in GraphPad Prism software to evaluate statistical significance of the changes in SUMO2/3 signals in different transcription categories (gene body transcription and eRNA enhancer transcription).

External datasets
K562 cell specific hg19 mapped ChIP-seq and DNasel data released in the ENCODE project were used in the analysis (ENCODE project consortium, 2011; full list of used datasets in Additional file 5: Table S4). In heat maps and line profiles, the signal from replicates was combined and normalized to 10^7 mapped reads. In SUMO2/3 co-occurrence analysis, K562 specific ChIP-seq datasets from transcription factor super-track (release 3 August 2013) were used [18]. VCaP SUMO2/3 and PIAS1 ChIP-seq data from control conditions (GEO accession: GSE56086) [15] were analyzed in the same way as other VCaP ChIP-seq data described above. Peak detection for HSF1 and HSF2 (GEO accession: GSE43579) [19] was done with HOMER using IgG ChIP-seq in HS as a control. Pol3-binding sites were determined with HOMER from hg19 mapped POLR3G ChIP-seq data (the ENCODE project, Snyder-lab) using appropriate control.

Data
ChIP-seq and GRO-seq datasets are available with accession code: GSE66448 in GEO database.
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