Fabrication of polyaniline–graphene/polystyrene nanocomposites for flexible gas sensors
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This research work presents the fabrication of polyaniline (PANI) and graphene–polyaniline (graphene–PANI) nanocomposite-coated polystyrene (PS) nanofibre mats, as well as their application in flexible and highly sensitive gas sensors. The surface morphology of the flexible films is investigated using a number of techniques. The profilometry studies confirmed that the electrospun fibres are evenly distributed over a large surface area and there was no visible difference between coated and uncoated fibres. The SEM morphology studies revealed that a nanocomposite consisting of 10 nm PANI nanofibres and graphene forms a uniform coating around 3 μm diameter PS fibre. AFM showed differences in the 3D surface topography between plain PS nanofibres and coated ones, which showed an increased roughness. Moreover, conductive AFM has indicated an increase in the electrical current distribution from picoamperes to nanoamperes of the PS samples coated with PANI and graphene–PANI because of the applied voltage to the AFM tip that contacted the sample surface. The chemical properties of all the samples are analysed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), which revealed the presence of chemical interactions between the nanocomposites and the polymeric backbones. The TGA study indicated that graphene–PANI coated fibres have the highest thermal stability compared to the pure fibres. The addition of the nanocomposite layer to the PS fibre significantly increased the electrical conductivity. Therefore, nanocomposite-coated flexible membranes are used to fabricate carbon dioxide gas sensors (sensing range: 20–100 ppm). Due to the higher surface area of the nanocomposite coated fibre the availability of adsorption area is also higher, which leads to an increase in sensitivity to carbon dioxide gas. The sensitivity increases with the increase in gas concentration. The average response time of the sensor is calculated to be 65 seconds, with good and uniform repeatability.

1. Introduction

Advancements in portable electronic devices have led to increased research in the field of flexible electronic devices. Research into wearable devices in particular is growing very rapidly, such as rollable or foldable display screens, flexible solar cells, and flexible gas sensors, which have applications in consumer electronics, healthcare, and environmental monitoring equipment.1 A number of flexible conductive-film-driven electronic devices have widely been used in touch screens, electronic skins, environmental sensors, and wearable perspiration analysers.2 Gas sensor applications have become one of the fastest growing research areas due to their importance in monitoring air pollution, which directly affects human health.3 Many polymeric materials,4,5 semiconductors,6,7 carbon materials,8,9 and organic/inorganic composites10,11 have been used as sensing materials, which have different sensing mechanisms and principles. However, polymer-based gas sensors have an advantage of inherent flexibility.

The performance of a chemical gas sensor is assessed based on parameters such as sensitivity, selectivity, time response, stability, durability, reproducibility, and reversibility, which depend on the properties of the sensing material.12–14 The sensitivity is directly affected by the specific surface area of the sensing materials, and a high specific surface area results in higher sensitivity.15,16 This motivates researchers to look for different techniques to increase the specific surface area of sensing materials. The most popular technique is modifying the materials to have nanoscale structure. The nanostructured form of any materials has an advantage of a very large specific surface area.17–19 In the last few decades, a number of groups have adopted different chemical and physical methods to obtain different types of nanoparticles.20,21 Electrospinning is one of the most robust and reliable methods to prepare polymer nanofibres.22
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The flexibility of polymers makes them very useful. However, one or more conducting material needs to be incorporated to use polymer-based materials in electronics as an electron-transporting material. Examples are conducting polymers, carbon-based materials, graphene, CNTs, and metal oxides. Polymer composite-based gas sensors are one of the most widely studied types of gas and vapour sensors because of their unique responses to each analyte gas. A composite-based gas sensor that consists of polymers and carbon particles shows a change in electrical resistivity when exposed to an analyte gas. Several research groups have observed highly responsive and sensitive polymer-based sensors for the detection of volatile organic compounds.

Polyaniline (PANI) is an intrinsically gas-sensitive conducting polymer. PANI is responsive to environmental pollutants such as NH₃, CO, SO₂, and other greenhouse gases, which is highly dependent on the processing techniques used. Many researchers have used various nano-manufacturing techniques to make PANI-based sensors and evaluated their quality and stability. Other additional advantages of PANI are environmentally friendliness and stability, a simple synthesis method, simple doping-dedoping chemistry, and low cost.

Graphene is a commonly used carbon-based single-layered 2D material that has high specific surface area and an extraordinary room-temperature electron mobility of 20 000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. It has a resistivity of 10⁻⁶ Ω cm, and its carrier density is 10¹² cm⁻². Its electrical, mechanical, and chemical properties are very useful for gas and vapour sensing.

Graphene–PANI composites have been successfully investigated by many researchers. However, in most of these cases, binding materials are used during the preparation process, which interfere with the electrical conductivity and complicate the system. In situ polymerization and solution mixing are two other methods commonly used to prepare PANI graphene nanocomposites. In situ polymerization, chemical polymerization is done to convert aniline to polyaniline in a medium containing graphene. Solution mixing is a simple method that is done under constant stirring or ultrasonication to make PANI–graphene composites.

We have used an in situ electro-polymerization method to coat PANI and graphene–PANI on an electrospun polystyrene (PS) nanofibre film functionalized by using plasma treatment. The flexible nanocomposite-coated PS membranes are characterized by different techniques for morphological, chemical, thermal, and electrical analyses. The performance of nanocomposite-based gas sensors are also analysed in detail.

2. Materials and experimental methods
2.1 Materials
Aniline (C₆H₅NH₂, MW = 93.13, 99.5%), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (linear formula HCON(CH₃)₂, >99.8%, molecular weight [MW]: 73.09 g mol⁻¹, d = 0.944 g ml⁻¹ [lit.]), polystyrene (linear formula [CH₂CH(C₆H₅)]ₙ, average MW: ~280.000 g mol⁻¹, T_g = 100 °C, d = 1.047 g ml⁻¹ at 25 °C), and graphite (empirical formula (Hill Notation) C, MW: 12.01 g mol⁻¹, powder, <20 μm, synthetic, mp = 3652–3697 °C [lit.]) are purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co., Ltd, USA. Hydrochloric acid (HCl, MW: 36.46, d = 1.18 g cm⁻³ [20 °C]), ammonium peroxodisulphate (APS) ((NH₄)₂S₂O₈, MW: 228.2 g mol⁻¹, d = 1.98 g cm⁻³ [20 °C]), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃, MW: 84.99 g mol⁻¹, d = 2.26 g cm⁻³ [20 °C]), and sulphuric acid 95% (H₂SO₄, MW: 98.08 g mol⁻¹, d = 1.84 g cm⁻³ [20 °C]) are purchased from VWR CHEMICALS Analwr Normapur. Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, >99.0%, d = 158.04 g mol⁻¹) and hydrogen peroxide 30% (H₂O₂, 29.0% specific gravity) are purchased from BDH Laboratory Supplies Pools, BH15 TD, England. All the chemicals are used without further treatment.

2.2 Experimental methods
2.2.1 Sample preparation
2.2.1.1 Electrospinning. PS nanofibre membrane is prepared by electrospinning at room temperature. PS solution is prepared by dissolving PS at 20% w/v in DMF, and then the solution is stirred continuously in an oil bath while maintaining 60 °C for 24 h prior to electrospinning. After that, 5 ml of the solution is loaded in a 10 ml syringe with a stainless-steel needle with an inner diameter of 0.23 mm. Electrospinning is performed using a setup with a horizontal configuration. The working conditions are as follows: a 15 cm distance from the needle to the collector, a flux of 1.5 ml h⁻¹ provided by a syringe pump, and a voltage of 15 KV applied to the needle to yield nanofibres. The fibres are collected on a rotated collector covered with aluminium foil and then dried at room temperature for 24 h. The PS mat is sandwiched between two pieces of aluminium foil and then pressed using a weight of 250 g for 24 h to make the PS membrane stable and easy to handle.

2.2.1.2 Plasma treatment. The surface of the PS membrane in aluminium foil is treated under dynamic conditions at atmospheric pressure using a radio frequency plasma force system (Venus 75-HF, PlasmaEtch, USA). Plasma treatment is performed for 30 s in air. Both sides of the membrane are treated with a high-frequency sinusoidal voltage (13.56 MHz). The equipment allows the generation of macroscopically homogenous low-temperature plasma for uniform surface treatment.

2.2.1.3 Graphene preparation. Graphene oxide (GO) is prepared by the modified Hummers’ method. In this method, 3 g of graphite powder and 1.5 g of sodium nitrate are added to 69 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid and stirred vigorously at 0 °C for 3 h. Then 9 g of potassium permanganate is slowly added to the mixed solution while maintaining the temperature at 0 °C in an ice bath. The solution is then removed from the ice bath and stirred at 35 °C overnight. The solution turns pasty and dark green in colour with effervescence, and 150 ml of cold deionized water is added slowly, which produces a large exothermic reaction (98 °C).

The mixture is maintained at this temperature and stirred for 30 min until the solution colour becomes brown. Lastly, 10 ml of hydrogen peroxide is added to the mixture, the solution turns bright yellow, the whole suspension is filtered, and the
obtained GO cake filtrate is washed with an excess amount of warm distilled water. Further purification of GO is done to remove any large aggregates, and the GO is sonicated in deionized water for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 20 minutes. The supernatant is carefully collected in a Petri dish and allowed to dry at 40 °C overnight. The GO is reduced to graphene under a hydrogen environment.

2.2.1.4 Polymerization. PANI coating on PS membrane is performed by using chemical polymerization method using aniline in an aqueous acidic medium (PH-4). In this process, 1 M stock solution of HCL and deionized water is prepared. Plasma treated PS membranes are added to the beaker containing HCL stock solution. Then, aniline is added as a monomer into this solution with constant shaking. Ammonium persulphate with a constant molar ratio with HCl is added dropwise to the aniline over a period of 30 minutes. The solution turns green, indicating the formation of PANI. The reaction is left under constant shaking overnight in an ice bath while maintaining a temperature of 0–5 °C to obtain a polymerized dark-green precipitate. The PS membranes are removed from the polymerized solution and washed several times with distilled and dried in room temperature for 24 h before use.

In order to prepare graphene–PANI coated PS membrane we start with graphene in HCl stock solution and repeated above-mentioned steps shown in Fig. 1. Optical images of neat PS and coated membranes and demonstration of flexible membrane are shown in Fig. 2(a and b).

2.2.2 Characterization techniques. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is used to study the surface morphology of the electrospun PS nanofibres, as well as the grain size of the PANI, graphene, and graphene–PANI composite (NanoSEM Nova 450). A 3D optical surface metrology system (DCM8 profilometer, Leica, Germany) is used to analyse the surface morphology of the PS electrospun nanofibre membrane and graphene–PANI deposited on the membrane surface. The detector is able to obtain 3D images of relatively large surface areas. The images of these samples are captured using an EPI 50×-L objective (1360 × 1024 data points in an area of 350.62 × 263.93 μm²).

Information about the surface morphology structures is obtained from a small surface area (3 × 3 μm²) and the electrical characteristics are determined by conductive atomic force
microscopy (AFM). MFP-3D AFM equipment (Asylum research, USA) with an ORCA accessory is used in these analyses. Measurements are carried out under ambient conditions with the assistance of a silicon probe (Al reflex coated Veeco model – OLTESPA, Olympus). The conductive AFM is able to map the topography (height and sensor deflection) and the current distribution simultaneously during the measurement and gave images of the current distribution at a particular bias voltage. The ORCA accessory consists of a cantilever holder that includes a transimpedance amplifier. The amplifier gain is $5 \times 10^8$ volts per amp, which ensures that a current response in the range of 0.6 pA up to 20 nA can be measured. The current distribution is recorded in response to a voltage applied to the AFM tip while contacting the sample surface.

A Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) (8101 M, Shimadzu) is used to study the chemical interaction between the polymers. To study the thermal properties of the PANI, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) are performed using a PerkinElmer Pyris thermogravimetric analyser and PerkinElmer Precisely Jade differential scanning calorimeter, respectively. The electrical properties are studied by measuring the in-plane $I-V$ characteristics and four-probe conductivity. Fig. 3 shows the scheme used for measuring the electrical properties. A Keithley 2400 source meter is used for the $I-V$ and conductivity measurements of the blends.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Morphological analysis

3.1.1 Profilometry. The 3D surface topographies of the fibres are obtained using an optical metrology system. This technique captured images with high resolution in a relatively large surface area ($350.62 \times 263.93$ $\mu m^2$). The surface topography of the fibres is uniform and homogeneous (Fig. 4). The coatings of PANI and graphene–PANI on the PS has not lead to any visible changes, and the fibres are evenly distributed in the prepared layers.

![Fig. 3 Diagram of the electrical measurement setup.](image)

![Fig. 4 Optical surface morphology images of prepared layers: (A) PS fibres, (B) PANI coated PS fibres, (C) graphene–PANI coated PS fibres.](image)
3.1.2 Scanning electron microscopy. The performance of any gas sensor basically depends on the available specific surface for exposure to the analyte gas. In order to determine the available surface area, SEM analysis is done for all the electrospun mats, and the images are shown in Fig. 5. The morphology of the neat electrospun PS fibres is uniform, and the fibres have an average diameter of 3 μm (Fig. 5A). The magnified image of a single fibre in Fig. 5B shows a porous surface. SEM images of both the PANI (Fig. 5C, D and H) and graphene–PANI (Fig. 5E–G) coated on the PS fibre mats show a uniform coating on the surfaces. However, the graphene–PANI coating may influence the availability of specific area for gas sensor applications. The pure PANI image in Fig. 5H shows fibrous morphology with a diameter of about 10 nm and length of 100 nm.

3.1.3 Atomic force microscopy. Information about the surface topography of particular electrospun fibres is obtained by conductive AFM with an ORCA accessory in contact mode (Fig. 6). The surface of plain PS fibres showed a relatively smooth and uniform structure without any defects (Fig. 6A). The coating of PS fibres by PANI (Fig. 6B) or graphene–PANI (Fig. 6C) lead to rougher surface structures. AFM is also used to analyse the electrical current distribution in response to the applied voltage to the AFM tip. These images show an increase in the electrical current response from picoamperes to nanoamperes after coating with PS by PANI as a result of the enhanced electrical conductivity. Moreover, the addition of graphene to the PANI coating resulted in a more even distribution of the electrical current in the whole measured surface area due to improvement of the electrically conductive paths.

3.2 Chemical analysis

3.2.1 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Fig. 7A shows the FTIR spectra of the neat PS, PANI-coated PS mat, and graphene–PANI-coated PS mat. We also include the FTIR spectra for pure PANI and graphene in Fig. 7B for comparison. For pure PS, a band is observed at 3081.2 to 3001.11 cm⁻¹ due to C–H aromatic tension, at 2923.91 cm⁻¹ and 2850.40 cm⁻¹ because of CH₃ asymmetric and symmetric tension, and at 19430.19–1728.23 cm⁻¹ as a result of aromatic ring monosubstitution. The peak at 1452.28 cm⁻¹ is due to deformation of the aromatic ring, and the peak at 1069.65 cm⁻¹ is due to in plane C–H flexion.

All the signature peaks of PS are seen in the IR spectra of PANI-coated and graphene–PANI-coated PS mats. However, there are differences in the intensity. Pure PANI has a strong IR absorption peak at 3430 cm⁻¹. Both the PANI-coated FTIR spectra show peaks at approximately 2947–2926 cm⁻¹ and 2857–2861 cm⁻¹ because of NH₂, C–H, and C–H₂ stretching, respectively. Another absorption band at 1600–1560 cm⁻¹ is assigned to benzenoid-form C–C ring vibration. The vibrational stretching of N-benzenoid ring has been seen at 1496–1493 cm⁻¹. The band at 1450–1461 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the C–N stretching of the quinoid ring, which is caused by the protonation of the PANI matrix by the dopants.

The band at 1400–1406 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the out-of-plane CH bending of the CH₃ groups, and the band at 1299–1305 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the out-of-plane NH bending. The FTIR spectrum of pure graphene has no strong transmittance peak. The absence of graphite and graphene oxide peaks is a strong indication that graphene sheets were obtained. However, both graphene and graphene–PANI-coated PS have a peak at 1620 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to aromatic carbon double bonds.

3.2.2 X-ray diffractometry results. XRD spectroscopy is used to investigate the effect of the coatings on the structure of the PS nanofibres. The spectrum of pure PS has two

![Fig. 5 SEM images of (A) electrospun PS fibre mat, (B) magnified image of PS fibre, (C) PANI-coated PS fibres, (D) magnified image of PANI-coated PS fibre, (E and F) graphene–PANI-coated PS fibres at low magnification, (G) magnified image of graphene–PANI-coated PS fibre, and (H) fibrous PANI nanoparticles.](image-url)
characteristic amorphous peaks at $2\theta$ values of 10 and 20. The XRD images of composite systems in Fig. 8a have broad characteristic amorphous peaks of PS. There is also a number of sharp peaks of varying heights, which are due to the nano-crystalline form of the PANI and graphene–PANI composites during in situ polymerization and deposition on the surface of the PS nanofibres. However, the XRD peaks of pure PANI (Fig. 8b) have diffraction angles of 19.31° and 25.72°, which indicate low crystallinity because of the repeated benzenoid and quinoid rings in the PANI backbone. The XRD result of graphene shows a small amorphous hump, which is suppressed by the high-intensity composite peaks of the polymers.

3.3 Thermal analysis

3.3.1 Differential scanning calorimetry. DSC analysis is done to elucidate the interactions among the constituents in the systems, which are responsible for the structural changes, phase transitions, and electrical conductivity of the composites, as shown in Fig. 9(a and b). For precise thermal analysis, three-step scanning is performed with heating from 30 °C to 200 °C, cooling from 200 °C to 30 °C, and heating from 30 °C to 200 °C at a rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ under N$_2$ atmosphere. It is well known that the glass transition temperature is appears as a sudden change in slope in the DSC curve or with an endothermic dip at lower temperature.$^{34}$ Glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of PS observed in all the samples at around 104 °C. No any melting or crystallization peak is observed in the DSC thermogram of pure PS, however the coated samples have one small melting and one crystallization peaks. The first heating cycle leads to the evaporation of water and other solvents used during the preparation of the PS fibres, PANI, and graphene. Both the second cooling
cycle and the third heating cycle have a small crystallization peak at 134 °C and melting peak at 137 °C. The appearance of these peaks may be due to melting and crystallization of a compound that formed due to the interaction of aniline and PS during the polymerization process of aniline. Because similar peaks appear in both the PANI and graphene–PANI-
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**Fig. 7** (A) FTIR images of PS fibres, PANI-coated PS fibres, and graphene–PANI-coated PS fibres. (B) FTIR images of graphene and pure PANI.

---

**Fig. 8** (a) XRD images of PS fibres, PANI-coated PS fibres, and graphene–PANI-coated PS fibres. (b) XRD images of graphene and pure PANI.
coated samples, they can be due to the presence of PANI in the sample and addition of graphene has not brought any change in the thermal properties.

3.3.2 Thermal gravimetric analysis. TGA is done under a heating rate of 10 min$^{-1}$ from room temperature to 600 °C, as shown in Fig. 10. The results reveal that pure PS has 100% degradation in one step around 418 °C, whereas the coated samples have multiple degradation steps. The thermal degradation of PANI coated PS fibres starts soon with the rise of temperature above 30 °C. The 1st step of weight loss is seen within 100–120 °C, is due to evaporation of water molecules and solvents used during preparation. The 2nd weight loss step lies between 180–220 °C, is related with the sample deprotonation and loss of dopants from deeper sites in the material. In the third step, of weight loss profiles observed between 250–400 °C, is due to degradation of oligomers and unreacted polymers. Above 435 °C, the weight loss corresponds to the complete decomposition of the polymer. Based on the final degradation temperature, the PANI–graphene-coated PS fibre has the highest thermal stability. After decomposition and degradation of the materials, a total residue of 5% is left for only the graphene–PANI-coated PS fibre.

3.4 Electrical characterization

Fig. 11 shows the room-temperature AC electrical conductivity results of the two conducting samples. The whole conductance spectra can be divided into two regions. In case of the PANI-coated PS sample, the low-frequency region shows a very slow and gradual increase in conductivity with the increase in frequency until 10$^6$ Hz. Above this frequency, there is an abrupt increase in conductivity. The reasons behind this behaviour of the PANI-PS nanofibre membrane may be the motion of PANI and PS chain dipoles (relaxation region).
In the case of the graphene–PANI-PS, the low-frequency region corresponds to a frequency-independent plateau region until $10^6$ Hz. Extrapolation of the plateau to zero frequency gives the value of the DC ionic conductivity. The high-frequency region shows a gradual increase in conductivity. This occurs because the incorporation of graphene along with conducting polymers improves the charge storage capacity of the blends.

3.5 Sensor measurements

The gas-sensing ability of the nanocomposite-coated PS membranes is explored. The experimental setup is described in previous work. The parameters include the sensitivity, response time, selectivity, and repeatability. The summation of these parameters is used to determine the efficiency of the sensors. The sensitivity of a sensor is defined as:

$$S = \frac{R_g - R_o}{R_o}$$

where, $R_g$ and $R_o$ are the measured resistances in the presence of an analyte gas and $N_2$, respectively. The response time of a sensor is defined as the total time taken by the sensor to change resistance from $R_o$ to $R_g$ when exposed to an analyte gas and then back to $R_o$ upon exposure to nitrogen.

The ability of a particular sensing material to respond strongly to a specific gas is called the selectivity of the sensor. Another key factor that plays a key role in defining a sensor is its repeatability. The repeatability is defined as the sensor’s capability of repeating similar characteristic behaviours when the same environment is repeated a number of times. This study investigated the selectivity behaviour of both nanocomposite-coated PS fibre membranes using constant concentrations of CO$_2$, NH$_3$, methanol gas, and ethanol gas at room temperature, as shown in Fig. 12. The bar chart for selectivity shows that both materials show similar trends of behaviours towards all the gases. The best sensing response by both the membrane is observed for CO$_2$ gas. However, graphene–PANI-coated samples have stronger and more prominent sensitivity towards CO$_2$ gas then pure PANI coated membrane. The reason behind that is fact that graphene has a wide electrochemical potential window and has high electron transfer rate. Therefore, all of the following measurements and investigations are conducted for CO$_2$ gases.

Fig. 13 presents the response time and repeatability of the sensing materials. The response time is defined as the time taken by the sensor to show maximum sensation to any particular concentration of analyte gas. Both the samples show same response time with different sensitivity to same concentration of CO$_2$ gas (60 ppm). The response time for each cycle is almost constant, this indicate the uniform interaction of the sensing material with the gas molecule. The average response time of the sensor is calculated to be 65 seconds, and it is uniform in each cycle. The figure indicates that the sensors show good repeatability.

Fig. 14 reflects change in sensitivity of graphene–PANI-coated sensor to different CO$_2$ concentrations. The graphene–PANI-coated sensor showed increase in sensitivity with increase in CO$_2$ concentrations.

Fig. 12 Selectivity study of conducting membranes when exposed to different analyte gases.

Fig. 13 Repeatability of PANI-coated and graphene–PANI-coated fibre CO$_2$ gas sensors.

Fig. 14 Sensitivity of graphene–PANI-coated sensor to different CO$_2$ concentrations.
4. Conclusion

PANI-coated and graphene–PANI-coated flexible gas sensors are prepared using an in situ chemical polymerization casting method. The profilometry analysis indicates even distribution of the electrospun fibres in the whole surface layer without any significant differences. Morphological studies using SEM reveals that the diameter of the pure electrospin PS microfibres is 3 μm, and that of the PANI-nanofibres is 2–10 nm. In addition, PS fibres are well coated with graphene–PANI nanocomposites. The conductive AFM show an increase in the surface roughness and electrical current distribution of the fibres after coating with PANI and PANI–graphene. Moreover, the presence of graphene in the PANI coating resulted in a more even distribution of electrical current in the whole surface area. The presence of chemical interactions between the polymers and nanocomposites is observed in the FTIR results. Clues about the formation of semicrystalline nanocomposites are obtained from the XRD spectra. The thermal stability of the nanocomposite-coated PS fibres is enhanced significantly as observed from thermal studies. The electrical conductivity values are increased slightly by the addition of graphene to the PANI during the nanocomposite formation. Though both nanocomposite-coated gas sensors have the good sensitivity towards CO2 gas, however addition of graphene in nanocomposite shows significant increase in CO2 sensitivity. The response time and recovery time are 65 seconds. Good repeatability is observed when the sensor is exposed to the same concentration of CO2 gas, and the sensitivity increased with increases in the CO2 gas concentration.
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