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ABSTRACT

Introduction: To determine the foot self-care practices performed by Filipino American (FA) women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM).

Method: The Summary of Diabetes Self Care Activities - Revised and Expanded measure was administered to 118 FA adult female immigrants with type 2 DM.

Results: Younger FA women (<65 years), participants with higher education, those who immigrated to the United States (US) at younger ages, and participants diagnosed with type 2 DM at younger ages reported they washed their feet every day during the past week. Moreover, FA women who immigrated to the US at younger ages and participants who were diagnosed with the disease at younger ages reported that they dried their feet (in between toes) daily during the previous week. Further, FA women who were diagnosed with type 2 DM at younger ages were more likely to report that they checked their feet every day during the past week, when compared with participants who were diagnosed with the disease at older ages. Finally, most FA women did not inspect the inside of their shoes. Conclusion: Foot self-care practices were less frequently performed by older FA women with type 2 DM (≥65 years), making them more prone to the development of foot problems such as ulcers, infections, and disfigurations. Optimum foot self-care practices must be encouraged in older FA women to prevent such foot problems, and subsequent amputations.
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INTRODUCTION

One in six individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) will develop a foot ulcer in his/her lifetime; 7% have foot ulcers at any given time, and 15% of foot ulcers end in amputation.1-3 Foot ulcers are the most expensive complication of type 2 DM, accounting for about 20% of the costs and 30% of hospital admissions associated with treating persons with type 2 DM.1,4,5 Compliance with proper foot care reduces the incidence of
foot ulcers, particularly among persons with reduced severity of neuropathy. A study by Apelqvist and Agardh found that 25% of clients who had foot ulcers underwent amputation, and 13% died. Moreover, as many as 85% of lower extremity amputations can be avoided by prompt and appropriate treatment of diabetic foot wounds and ulcers by healthcare providers, as well as optimum foot self-care practices by individuals affected by the condition. Unfortunately, many persons with type 2 DM do not receive adequate foot care instruction from their healthcare providers and, as such, do not perform routine foot examinations.

Optimum foot self-care practices include daily inspection of feet and inside of shoes; daily washing of feet and careful drying afterwards (especially the areas in between the toes); not walking barefoot; wearing proper footwear (use of therapeutic shoes with pressure-relieving insoles and not sandals or poorly fitting shoes); cutting toenails straight across; not removing ingrown toenails, calluses, corns, or warts oneself; avoiding the use of antiseptic lotions and adhesive tape on the feet; obtaining prompt professional healthcare treatment if new lesions, cuts, bruises, and wounds are noted; and screening of feet regularly, or at least once annually, by a healthcare provider to identify feet at risk for diabetic foot complications.

One population greatly affected by diabetic foot care is the Filipino American (FA) community in the United States, which is a group at high risk for developing type 2 DM and its complications. However, in spite of the large number of Filipino immigrants in the United States, many of whom are older females, little scholarly research has been conducted on them and their health. Currently, no articles dealing with the foot self-care practices of FAs, specifically older FA women with type 2 DM, appear to exist, even though this ethnic group's mortality rate from DM is three times higher than that of the white population.

This research study was conducted to examine the foot self-care practices performed by FA women with type 2 DM in order to contribute knowledge that will help to optimize their health, and improve their overall quality of life.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This research study involved 118 FA women residing in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, California, USA. The sociodemographic data were gathered using the Baseline Instrument for Filipino Americans with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (BIFAD) instrument. The FA women included in the study were: (1) medically diagnosed with type 2 DM, (2) older than 30 years, (3) capable of reading and/or speaking in English, (4) southern California residents, (5) lacking disabilities (e.g., physical, mental) that would prevent them from participating and completing the study procedures, and (6) were first-generation immigrants to the United States from the Philippines.

All participation was voluntary and all responses (and participation) were confidential, as per the study approval by the University of California Los Angeles Institutional Review Board. If participants met the inclusion criteria, no additional selection criteria were used to determine either eligibility or inclusion of their
responses in the database. Recruitment and data collection proceeded over a continuous period of 3.5 months.

The foot self-care data were collected using the Summary of Diabetes Self Care Activities-Revised and Expanded (SDSCA-R&E) measure developed by Toobert et al. Diagnoses of type 2 DM and foot self-care practices were obtained from self-reports. The SDSCA-R&E measure has four questions addressing recommended foot self-care among persons with type 2 DM. They are: (1) On how many of the last 7 days did you wash your feet? (2) On how many of the last 7 days did you dry between your toes after washing? (3) On how many of the last 7 days did you check your feet? and (4) On how many of the last 7 days did you inspect the insides of your shoes? The data were collected by the principal investigator, who was able to answer any questions the participants had about the study.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, V.15 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation), using Student’s t tests, were calculated on the FA women’s age groupings, age, education, age at immigration to the United States, and age when diagnosed with type 2 DM (<65 years of age and ≥65 years of age). Likewise, descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage) using one-way analysis of variance were computed for performance of foot self-care practices. The days per week were clustered in duration as “0 days” (foot self-care was never performed during the past week), “1 to 3 days” (representing foot self-care performed on fewer than 50% of days in the past week), “4 to 6 days” (indicating foot self-care performed more than 50% of days in the past week), and “7 days” (foot self-care done every day during the past week). Further, the foot self-care data were then analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation to test the direction and strength of the relationships between the dependent variables of foot self-care practices and the independent variables of age, education, age at immigration to the United States, and age when diagnosed with type 2 DM.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics on younger (<65 years of age) and older (≥65 years of age) FA women with type 2 DM are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the participants was 68 years. As for education, their mean number of years of schooling was 12 years. All participants were immigrants from the Philippines. They immigrated to the United States at a mean age of 49 years and were diagnosed with type 2 DM at a mean age of 57 years. The frequencies and percentages that the women performed foot self-care practices are provided in Table 2.

Most FA women reported that they washed their feet, dried their feet (inbetween the toes), and checked their feet every day during the previous week. However, less than one-third of the women inspected the insides of their shoes every day during the past week; most of them had not inspected the insides of their shoes during the previous week. The foot self-care practices and predictors of age, education, age at immigration, and age when diagnosed with type 2 DM are presented in Table 3.

Washing of Feet

Younger FA women with type 2 DM were more likely to report that they washed their feet every day during the past week, while older participants reported that they washed their feet less often. Likewise, FA women with higher educational attainment reported that they washed their feet more frequently than those participants with lower educational attainment. Moreover, FA women who immigrated at a younger age were
more likely to report that they washed their feet 7 days during the past week, while those who immigrated when they were older did not perform the foot self-care practice regularly. Furthermore, FA women who were diagnosed with type 2 DM at a younger age were more likely to report that they washed their feet every day during the prior week and, therefore, did so more often than those participants who were diagnosed with type 2 DM at an older age (Table 3).

**Drying of Feet (Inbetween Toes) After Washing**

FA women who immigrated to the United States at a younger age were more likely to report that they dried their feet inbetween the toes every day after washing during the past week than participants who immigrated to the United States at an older age. Likewise, FA women who were diagnosed with type 2 DM at a younger age were more likely to report that they dried their feet 7 days during the last week, while those participants who were diagnosed with type 2 DM when they were older dried their feet less often (Table 3).

**Checking of Feet**

FA women who were younger when they were diagnosed with type 2 DM were more likely to report that they checked their feet every day during the previous week, while those participants who were older when diagnosed with the condition did not check their feet, or checked their feet less regularly (Table 3).

**Inspecting the Inside of Shoes**

In all, 32% of the participants reported that they did not inspect the insides of their shoes.

---

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of younger (<65 years of age) and older (≥65 years of age) Filipino American women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) (n=118); significance determined using Student’s *t* test.

| Variable                               | Younger participants (n=39) | Older participants (n=79) | Overall (n=118) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Age, years                             | 56±8.2                      | 74±4.7                    | 68.3±10.5       |
| Education,* years                      | 13±2.4                      | 11±3.3                    | 11.8±3.1        |
| Age at immigration to United States,† years | 35±11.3                    | 55±9.6                    | 48.7±13.9       |
| Age when diagnosed with type 2 DM,† years | 46±8.2                      | 62±11.0                   | 56.7±12.6       |

All data mean±standard deviation (SD).
*P<0.01.
†P<0.001.

---

**Table 2.** Frequencies and percentages of performance of foot self-care practices among Filipino American women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) (n=118).

| Foot self-care practice                        | Frequency (days per week) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Washing of feet, n (%)                         | 0            1-3  4-6   7          |
| Drying inbetween toes, n (%)                  | 12 (10.2)     26 (22.0) | 23 (19.5) | 57 (48.3)  |
| Checking of feet, n (%)                       | 15 (12.7)     23 (19.5) | 16 (13.6) | 64 (54.2)  |
| Inspecting inside of shoes, n (%)             | 38 (32.2)     27 (22.9) | 19 (16.1) | 34 (28.8)  |

---
during the past week. About 23% reported that they performed the foot self-care practice approximately 1 to 3 days during the last week, while slightly over 16% reported that they performed the foot self-care practice on about 4 to 6 days during the past week. Almost 29% of the participants reported that they inspected the insides of their shoes every day during the previous week (Table 2). There was no relationship between the foot self-care practice of inspecting the inside of shoes and the predictors of age, education, age at immigration to United States, and age when diagnosed with type 2 DM (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This research study reports on four major findings. Firstly, younger FA women, participants with higher educational attainment, those who were younger when they immigrated to the United States, and participants who were diagnosed with type 2 DM at a younger age were more likely to report that they washed their feet every day during the past week. Secondly, FA women who immigrated to the United States at a younger age and those who were diagnosed with the disease at a younger age were more likely to report that they dried their feet (inbetween the toes) daily during the last week. Thirdly, participants who were younger when they were diagnosed with type 2 DM were more likely to report that they checked their feet 7 days during the past week. Finally, while almost one-third (29%) of the participants reported that they inspected the insides of their shoes every day during the previous week, there was no relationship between inspecting the inside of shoes and the predictors of age, education, age at immigration to United States, and age when diagnosed with type 2 DM.

Poor diabetes foot care knowledge and, consequently, lack of foot self-care (eg, infrequent washing of feet, drying of feet inbetween toes, checking of feet, and inspecting the inside of shoes) have been associated with an inability to sense minor injuries to the foot and a tendency toward ulceration. When individuals with type 2 DM fail to practice proper foot self-care, the likelihood of ulcers increases along with a higher risk for subsequent amputation and/or mortality. Since individuals with type 2 DM may not be able to sense foot injuries (eg, from foreign objects lodged within their shoes) during or after its occurrence, frequent checking of the feet becomes crucial. For those persons who are at higher risk for foot injury, such as the older FA female participants in this study,
education and treatment interventions by healthcare providers become even more critical; failure to do so may lead to situations where the foot problems are not only costly to treat, but may also be impossible to heal (leading to amputations).

Minor trauma can also develop from repetitive, moderate pressure, such as from wearing improperly fitting shoes or sandals. Because individuals with type 2 DM also suffer from peripheral neuropathy, frequent checking of the inside of shoes and use of diabetic footwear is highly recommended. Adequate, acceptable, and reasonably priced footwear should be combined with good foot self-care practices. This research study found that participants with lower educational attainment inspected the insides of their shoes more often (7 days during the past week) than participants with higher educational attainment. The reason(s) for this finding, however, is not known. Some explanations for nonperformance or infrequent performance of proper foot self-care practices are major depression and obesity; eye problems, decreased mobility, and loss of flexibility; lack of insurance and other financial barriers to healthcare services; and a lack of knowledge on foot care. However, this research study did not investigate the reasons for nonperformance or infrequent performance of proper foot self-care practices in FA women; hence, further inquiry is recommended.

Limitations of the Study and Directions for Future Research

Since this research study only dealt with foot self-care practices, information on access to healthcare services and personal experiences pertaining to healthcare were not obtained. It would be interesting to know whether older FA women had the same access to healthcare services as younger FA women. Chronic social stressors, such as discrimination, can lead to occurrence of chronic health problems. Treating chronic health problems, therefore, should entail not only treating the health condition, but also dealing with the perception of discrimination and/or stressors in the client's environment. These issues are very important, and will need to be investigated further.

CONCLUSION

Proper foot self-care practices were less likely to be performed by older FA women with type 2 DM, making them more prone to the development of foot problems, such as ulcers, infections, and disfigurations. It is not known why this phenomenon was observed in this segment of the population. Hence, more research is needed to determine the reasons for this observation and to develop the best ways to increase optimum foot self-care behaviors in this vulnerable population. Preventive practices must be emphasized in older FA women with type 2 DM to prevent foot ulcers, foot infections, and subsequent amputations.
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