RAPID COMMUNICATION

A novel \textit{STK11} gene mutation (c.388dupG, p.Glu130Glyfs*33) in a Peutz-Jeghers family and evidence of higher gastric cancer susceptibility associated with alterations in \textit{STK11} region aa 107-170

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is a rare autosomal dominant disorder characterized by mucocutaneous pigmentation and gastrointestinal (GI) hamartomatous polyposis and is associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal, breast, gynecologic and other extra-GI malignancies. The serine/threonine kinase 11 (\textit{STK11}) gene has been identified as a pathogenic factor in PJS. \textit{STK11} is a tumor suppressor gene located on chromosome 19p13.3 and includes 9 coding exons.\(^1\) The \textit{STK11} protein is composed of 433 amino acids (aa) and comprises a kinase catalytic region (aa 49–309) as well as N- and C-terminal regulatory domains. The catalytic region is further divided into 3 functional domains: an ATP-binding and orientation domain (aa 49–106), the catalytic site (aa 123–148), and a substrate-binding domain (aa 171–225).\(^2\) \textit{STK11} plays an important role in tumorigenesis since it is implicated in numerous key biological processes involving cell metabolism, cell cycle regulation, cell polarity and motility, and angiogenesis. \textit{STK11} germline mutations have a wide genetic heterogeneity, and genotype–phenotype associations are not yet clearly established.\(^2\) Here we report a novel frameshift mutation involving the \textit{STK11} gene (c.388dupG, p.Glu130Glyfs*33) in an Italian family, in which this mutation is associated with gastric lesions. In light of this finding, we performed a meta-analysis to ascertain whether \textit{STK11} nonsense and frameshift germline mutations may affect gastric cancer (GC) susceptibility in PJS patients based on their location. These data revealed a trend toward a higher risk of developing GC in PJS patients with \textit{STK11} truncating mutations in region aa 107–170.

In the current study, we examined an Italian family with a clinical diagnosis of PJS. The index case (Fig. 1A II:28) is a 42-year-old woman with several GI hamartomatous polyps, which was referred to our institute for genetic counseling. The patient underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) due to persistent vomiting events at 42 years of age, which revealed erythematous gastritis in the stomach. Histological examination of gastric mucosa biopsies showed moderate chronic atrophic gastritis in the antrum and body of the stomach caused by \textit{Helicobacter pylori}. Moreover, a pedunculated polyp (25 mm) and many sessile polyps (4–5 mm) were detected by EGD in the second portion of the duodenum. A follow-up colonoscopy performed one month later identified numerous pedunculated and sessile polyps in the colon (3–40 mm), seven of which were surgically removed. Histological examination of a polyp surgically excised from the left colic flexure revealed a sessile tubular adenoma with low-grade dysplasia. Moreover, the other polyps were histologically classified as likely hamartomatous lesions. Subsequently, a computed tomography (CT) scan showed many pedunculated polyps in the gastric antrum, duodenal bulb, jejunal loops, ileum, and proximal ascending colon. The patient had a positive family history of cancer and gastrointestinal polyposis. Her father died at 64 years of age from gastric cancer (Fig. 1A I:12). A paternal uncle developed colorectal cancer at 65 years of age (Fig. 1A I:4). Moreover, a paternal aunt and two other paternal uncles (Fig. 1A I:3; I:5; I:6) developed intestinal polyps between 52 and 58 years of age. The index patient underwent a molecular study of the \textit{STK11} gene (Supplementary Methods). This analysis identified a novel heterozygous frameshift variant, c.388dupG, in exon 3 (Fig. 1B). This variant was not detected in the healthy first-
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degree relatives tested (Fig. 1A II:27; II:29). As indicated above, the father (Fig. 1A I:12) and four paternal relatives (one aunt and three uncles) (Fig. 1A I:3, I:4, I:5, I:6) of the index patient presented with the classic phenotypic spectrum of PJS, but they were not willing to undergo \textit{STK11} molecular analysis. \textit{STK11} \textit{c.388dupG} was found to be rare since it was not listed in global population databases. Moreover, this variant has never been reported in major disease-associated databases (HGMD Professional and ClinVar). More than 400 \textit{STK11} germline mutations are reported in the literature, most of which are frameshift or nonsense alterations resulting in an abnormal truncated protein and the loss of kinase activity. Missense mutations, small insertions or deletions, and whole gene deletions have also been reported.\textsuperscript{1,2} The \textit{STK11} \textit{c.388dupG} mutation is located in the core of the catalytic site (aa 123–148) and causes a frameshift in the coding sequence at codon 130, leading to premature termination of translation at codon 163 (p.Glu130Glyfs*33), with loss of the \textit{STK11} catalytic domain and C-terminal non-catalytic regulatory region. The loss of \textit{STK11} kinase activity has been reported as a major cause of cancer susceptibility in PJS.\textsuperscript{3} Indeed, one of the main roles of \textit{STK11} is to activate AMPK via phosphorylation of Thr172. Under metabolic stress, active AMPK causes p53-dependent G1 cell cycle arrest and reduces protein synthesis and cell growth through the mTOR pathway.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, a possible explanation for the pathogenic effect of the \textit{c.388dupG} mutation is that the loss of \textit{STK11} catalytic domain and the consequent impairment of its kinase activity may affect AMPK activation, thereby contributing to polyp formation and tumorigenesis.

The HGMD Professional database only reports 23 mutations in \textit{STK11} catalytic site (aa 123–148), 19 of which result in a truncated protein. Among these 19 mutations, 11 were associated with clinical information. Only one of these mutations has been characterized at the functional level in a paper by Chen et al.\textsuperscript{3} Specifically, the authors analyzed an \textit{STK11} frameshift mutation that leads to premature protein truncation at codon 162 (p.Arg147Leufs*15), showing that it causes decreased wild-type \textit{STK11} protein levels in circulating leucocytes compared to unaffected relatives, which suggests \textit{STK11} haploinsufficiency. This reduction in \textit{STK11} protein levels was found to be higher in PJS polyps when compared with normal tissue, indicating that epigenetic regulation of \textit{STK11} expression may contribute to polyp formation. Moreover, the authors showed that reduced \textit{STK11} protein expression was correlated with decreased AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation levels.\textsuperscript{3} Since the \textit{STK11} \textit{c.388dupG} mutation identified in our study leads to premature protein truncation at codon 163 (p.Glu130Glyfs*33), i.e., one amino acid downstream compared to the mutation reported by Chen and colleagues, it is tempting to speculate that, besides decreasing AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation levels, it may also cause \textit{STK11} haploinsufficiency. Furthermore, \textit{STK11}...
subcellular localization is essential for its tumor suppressor activity through the regulation of growth suppression. STK11 shuttles from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by interacting with STRADα/β-MO25α/β complexes. The whole STK11 kinase catalytic domain, as well as aa 319–343, are essential for STRADα/β binding, while MO25α/β is a scaffold that stabilizes this interaction. Of note, eleven STRADα isoforms are expressed in the GI tract, where PJS clinical manifestations primarily occur. Of these, only STRADα-iso3 can bind to and activate STK11, inducing its cytoplasmic retention, and is thus a key regulator of STK11-mediated intestinal epithelial cell polarization. In addition, several mutant forms of STK11 identified in PJS patients localize only in the nucleus and are not detectable in the cytoplasm, emphasizing the importance of STK11 cytoplasmic localization. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the p.Glu130Glyfs*33 STK11 truncated protein, which lacks the C-terminal region, is unable to bind to STRADα/β-MO25α/β complexes and to be anchored into the cytoplasm. Altogether, this evidence suggests that STK11 c.388dupG may be involved in the impaired regulation of key cellular processes, ultimately leading to the formation of PJS polyps.

Based on the family history of gastric lesions reported in the examined Italian family, we sought to correlate the location of STK11 nonsense and frameshift mutations with gastric cancer susceptibility by performing a meta-analysis of published studies comprising relevant clinical data. This analysis showed that 83 unique STK11 truncating germline mutations located in the kinase catalytic region (aa 49–309), including the one characterized in this study, were identified in 137 PJS patients (Fig. S1A). Within this subset of 83 truncating mutations, 14 were located in the ATP-binding and orientation domain (aa 49–106), 21 were located in the catalytic site and its flanking regions (aa 107–170), and 48 were located in the C-terminal portion of the catalytic domain (aa 171–309) (Fig. S1A). Among these 83 truncating mutations, 27 have been associated with gastric lesions in a total of 30/137 patients (Table S1). This analysis did not reveal a statistically significant association between the gastric polyp phenotype and the location of STK11 mutations. Indeed, we found that gastric polyps were detected in 3 out of 26 patients (11.5%) harboring a truncating mutation in STK11 region aa 171–309. Impor-

PJS. Furthermore, we found that PJS patients carrying STK11 nonsense and frameshift germline mutations in region aa 107–170 seem to have increased susceptibility to develop GC. Further studies characterizing the mutational status and clinical manifestations of a larger number of PJS patients are necessary to define the exact correlation between GC and the location of STK11 mutations. This finding may have a significant impact on the surveillance, tailored management, and overall quality of life of PJS patients.
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