Production of Salt Tolerant *Thevetia peruviana* Schum. Plants by Tissue Culture
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**ABSTRACT**

Salt stress is a serious problem plant production and one of the main causes of damage on growth crops in the world. The plants are different in their ability to grow under salt stress. There are many studies to determine the plants salt tolerance. This study was carried out in the tissue culture laboratory, Faculty of Agric., Saba Basha, Alex. Univ. to find a reliable protocol for in vitro propagation of *Thevetia peruviana* Schum. during the period from 2019 to 2020 was developed. Moreover, nodal explants were used during in vitro culture study for indication of multiple shoots and were inoculated on various media with different combinations of NAA and KIN to study the effect on proliferation and development of multiple shoots, and the elongation of the newly formed on medium. The best medium for multiplication was a medium supplemented with 3.00 and 4.00mg/l KIN and 0.50 mg/l NAA. Furthermore, the in vitro shoots showed healthy root development when the tested medium was supplemented with combination of 1.00 or 2.00mg/l IBA and0.50mg/l NAA. The newly formed shoot plantlets are selected for resistance to salt, and laboratory in vitro techniques proved very useful for this propose. The plants showed good tolerance under low salt concentration at 2and 4gL⁻¹ NaCl on multiplication of explants shootlets of thevetia plants with good survival. At the the salt concentration of 6gL⁻¹, showed inhibitory effect on growth of plants with reduced the shootlets length, number of shoots, number of leaflets and number of roots/shootlets. Moreover, the proportion of carbohydrate content increased with the increasing salt concentration.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Thevetia peruviana* (pers.) Schum. (family- Apocynaceae), commonly known as yellow Oleander and Luky nut, is one of the most important medicinal shrubs. It is an evergreen small ornamental tree, which grows up to a height of about 10-15 feet. *Thevetia peruviana* is a plant probably native to Central and South America but now frequently grown throughout the tropical and sub- tropical regions of the world, and they are conventionally propagated by seeds. This plant species produces several compounds with industrial application as pharmaceutical compounds, such as cardiac glucosides neriifolin, thevetoxin, peruvoside, and thevetin A and B (Omino and Kokwaro, 1993). It contains a milky sap containing a compound-thevein that is used as a heart stimulant but in its natural form it is extremely poisonous, as all parts of the plants, especially the seeds. In Thevetia, the milky juice that exudes when cutting any part of the plant yields the toxic principles. Toxicity may occur from consuming teas brewed from plant parts.

Unfortunately, cuttings of this plant do not root easily or even do not root at all, however, many approaches have been adopted to overcome this obstacle. Hence, tissue culture technique represents a promising means to solve this problem (Abdul-Qader,1999).

Tissue culture is a propagation technique widely used in modern agriculture because it allows production of many clonal of plants from relatively little starting material. Micropropagation is a relatively new technology and innovative method that has served to overcome barriers to progress in the multiplication of elite species and further improvements are anticipated (Nasib *et al.*,2008; Ashish and Sharma, 2011). Few reports are available regarding the tissue culture of this medicinally plant species (Kumar,1992).

Salt stress is an important factor causing plant damage in horticulture. It has a major effect on agriculture productivity. Salinity impact almost on all aspects of plant growth and development. The responses to salinity vary not only among the different ornamental crops but also among the different organs of plant (Kucukahmetler, 2002).

In vitro techniques can be used as a tool for including salt stress. The advantages of tissue culture include quick regeneration of plants and easiness of control of ambient conditions (Pawlowska and Bach, 2010). This study aims to at producing the plants under effect of salinity by supplementing the medium culture with NaCl and determining the effect of salinity effect on growth and development of *Thevetia peruviana* under in vitro culture.

DOI: 10.21608/asejaiqsae.2021.155566

¹Agriculture research center, Horticulture Institute, Ministry of Agric., Depr. of Ornamental & Landscape Gardening, Antoniadis, Alex.

Received February 10, 2021, Accepted March 10, 2021
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and explant sterilization

The plant material was collected from shrubs grown in Antoniads garden of Ornamental and Landscape, Research Department, Alexandria, Egypt. Plants were sprayed with the fungicide and insecticide 2-3 weeks prior to start initiation and overhead watering was strictly avoided. Freshly grown shoot tips, with two to three nodes, were selected as explants source in August. The collected material was brought to the plant tissue culture laboratory of the Plant Production Department of the Faculty of Agriculture, Saba Basha, Alexandria University during 2019-2020 seasons and washed, thoroughly, with running tap water for 30 minutes to remove the dust or sand particles. The shoot tips were cut to nodal segments (single node) as an explants source (Bhattacharya et al., 1990). The excised explants were dipped in 70% ethanol for 1 min. After treatment with ethanol the explants were then rinsed with double distilled water twice, so as to lower the toxic effect of ethanol. The nodal segment’s surfaces were sterilized using 30% of sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) solution (commercial bleach as ‘clorox’) for 15 minutes and 1.00 mg/l mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) for 5 min. Few drops of Tween-20, were also, added as a surfactant to sterilized water with sterile gentle shaking under sterile conditions, the plant material was washed five times with sterilized water and became ready for culture.

Micropropagation stages

Initiation stage:

The explants were cultured on WPM medium (woody plant medium) (Lloyd and McCown, 1980) supplemented by five different concentrations of kinetin (KIN) 0.0 (nil), 0.50, 1.00, 1.50, and 2.00mg/l in combinations with the auxin naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) at five concentrations: 0.0(nil), 0.50, 1.00, and 2.00 mg/l.

The explants were cultured in jars containing 30ml of medium and were placed vertically. Each treatment was replicated three times and it had five explants (i.e.15 explants/treatment). The jars were capped with aluminum foil closures and incubated in growth chamber at 25±1°C temperature and 80% for humidity under 16hr daily light and 8hr darkness illumination by a florescent light intensity of 2880 lux (40υ mol m⁻²S⁻¹ PPF). The explants in the initiation stage were cultured for 35 days on solidified woody plant medium was adjusted to 5.7±0.1 pH value.

Multiplication stage:

The neoformed propagules of the initiation stage were sectioned into single leaflet nodes. The excised nodal cutting explants of the different positions were cultured randomly onto the multiplication medium (WPM) supplemented with KIN at five concentrations: 0.0 (nil), 1.00, 2.00, 3.00 and 4.00 mg/l, in combinations with NAA at five concentrations: 0.00(nil), 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, and 2.00 mg/l.

Rooting (rhizogenesis) stage:

Thevetia shoots of from the multiplication stages were separated and cultured on a rooting medium for rhizogenesis. This medium was supplemented by two types of auxins which were used as indole butyric acid (IBA) at five concentrations: 0.0(nil), 0.50, 1.00, 2.00 and 3.00mg/l in combinations with NAA at five concentrations: 0.0(nil),0.25,0.50,0.75 and 1.00mg/l

Generally, the data were measured after 35 days from culture. The data was recorded per propagule at initiation, multiplication and rooting stages after 35 days from culture. The tested characters were as follows:

- Average shoots length(cm)/propagule.
- Average number of shoots formed/propagule.
- Average number of leaflets formed/propagule.
- Average number of roots formed/propagule.

WPM- Salt exprment:

Micro shoots (5mm, with 2-3 leaves) of newlyformed shoots from multiplication stage of thevetia were cultured in medium supplemented with 4 mg/l KIN and 0.50 mg/l NAA. The tested medium was supplemented with NaCl at four concentrations: 0.00, 2, 4 and 6g/L⁻¹. Three replications for each treatment with 5 explants of each jar was containing 30 ml of medium were tested. The jar with cultures were mainted in a growth room for 6 weeks. The following data was recorded after 6 weeks: number of shoots, shoot length (cm), number of leaflets, number of roots, fresh weight, and dry weight (after drying at 60°C to constant mass), survival rate of shootlets (%).

Acclimatization stage:

The newformed plantlets were then transferred to the greenhouse for gardening. The potting mix used in this study was comprised of sand and soil (1:3). The transferred plants were monitored weekly for at least 6 weeks. Successful adaption percentage data was transformed using angular transformation before analysis.
Statistical analysis:

A completely randomized design was used for all the experiments (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Recorded data was analyzed statistically using analysis of variance technique (SAS), averages were compared by the least significant difference (L.S.D.) (Steel et al., 1997) and significance was determined at p ≤ 0.05.

Chemical analysis:

Chlorophyll a and b content (mg/100g fresh weight) was determined in leaves according to Moran (1982), carotenoids (mg/100g fresh weight) according to Wellburn (1994). Total carbohydrate content (%) according to (Hedge and Hofrei 1962). Proline content (µg/g dry weight) was determined according to Bates et al. (1973). The all chemical analysis were done on the shoots produced in vitro. (Sawsan et al 2005; Abou Dahab et al.2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results in Table (1) indicated, generally, that applying both growth regulators, NAA and KIN levels and their interactions exerted highly significant effects on the initiation stage tatties of single node explants of *Thevetia peruviana* grown in vitro for 35 days as shown in photo (1). Concerning the main effect of KIN on the studied characters, i.e. shoot length, number of shoots, leaflets, formed per propagule, there was a proportional relationship between KIN levels and the given trait. Using KIN in culture medium resulted the number of roots was in an adverse relationship where as KIN level increase the given trait decrease.

However, the highest mean values were always recorded at KIN (2.00mg/l). Regarding the average using NAA all studied trait increased except the highest level which gave the lowest result were noticed at 3.00mg/l.

Concerning of the interaction between levels of both factors under the study, the presence of two hormones, KIN at levels of (1.50 or 2.00mg/l) and NAA at levels of1.00 or 2.00 mg/l, led to the highest mean values of the most studied characters particularly number of shoots and number of leaflets. But the absence of KIN levels of (0.00 or 0.50mg/l) and NAA at 2.00mg/l, led to the highest mean values of number of roots (2.63). In general, using KIN in culture medium resulted in an adverse relationship between the number of roots and the given trait these results could be about to the mod of action of cytokinins which stimulate cell division and promotes growth of axillary shoots in plant tissues culture. This is in concordance with the previous study of George et al. (2008). Lemos and Black (1996) who showed that the addition of NAA promoted bud elongation of *Annona muricata*.

Concerning the explants cultured on WPM medium, data representing of node explant in multiplication stage showed positive response with absense KIN(nil=0.00) and 2.00mg/l NAA which gave the highest shoot length (3.65 cm). While mean the best number of shoots (4.26&4.16) and number of leaflets (18.18&18.08) were recorded with NAA at 0.50 mg/l and KIN3.00 or 4.00mg/l, respectively in Table (2) photo (2).

In contrast, when shoots cultured on medium they showed good signs and best roots on the multiplication stage when the medium supplemented with the highest concentration of NAA at 2.00 mg/l.

In this respect, the used cytokinin (KIN) gave the highest results in the studied trait in culture media because it favours stimulation of cell division, morphogenesis (shoot intiation/bud formation) in tissue cultured, and break of apical dominance and release growth of lateral buds (Raven et al. 1992; Salispury and Ross,1992; Davies,1995) and exerted highly significant effects on the multiplication stages characters, where single nodal explants were grown in vitro for 35 days .

With regard to the number of leaflets, cytokinins together with auxin, take part in the regulation of the cell cycle in plant cells (i.e. stimulation of cell division, break apical dominance, enhancement axillary of shoot proliferation, and adventitious and inhibition root formation. Chitra and Madhusoodanan (2005) who studied the influence of auxins in direct in vitro and presented a scenario for future prospects of tissue culture.

This finding could be achieved due to the mode of action of auxin within cultured tissues which may enhance and control various distinctive processes such as cell growth and elongation (George and Sherrington, 1984 and Wilkins,1989).

Also, an explanation for this phenomenon, it is more likely that high levels of KIN utilized in this study (3.00or4.00 mg/l) and elsewhere, too, may have caused the removal of apical dominance thus enhancing lateral shoot proliferation (Klimazewska,1981). Sana et al. (2012) reported that the enhanced shoots and buds proliferation formation can be achieved by using the MS medium with 2 mg/l of both KIN and BA for *Codiaeum pictum* or 4mg/l of both cytokinin.
Table 1. Effect of different levels of KIN, NAA (mg/l) and their combinations on the initiation stage of *Thevetia peruviana* (Pres.) Schum. nodal cuttings cultured *in vitro* for 35 days

| Characters | NAA levels (mg/l) | KIN levels (mg/l) | Mean NAA | Significance |
|------------|------------------|------------------|----------|-------------|
|            | 0.00  | 0.50  | 1.00  | 1.50  | 2.00  | KIN | NAA | KINXNAA |
| (a) Mean shoot length (cm) / propagule : |       |       |       |       |       |     |     |        |
| 0.00        | 0.62  | 1.85  | 0.86  | 0.74  | 0.74  | 0.98 |     | *      |
| 0.50        | 1.20  | 1.47  | 3.00  | 3.96  | 2.26  | 2.38 |     | **     |
| 1.00        | 2.43  | 2.63  | 3.38  | 3.36  | 3.46  | 3.05 |     | **     |
| 2.00        | 2.84  | 2.75  | 2.17  | 1.99  | 1.52  | 2.32 |     |         |
| 3.00        | 2.60  | 1.76  | 1.73  | 1.63  | 1.56  | 1.85 |     |         |
| Mean (KIN)  | 2.00  | 2.09  | 2.24  | 2.34  | 1.91  |     |     |         |
| L.S.D.(0.05)|     |       |       |       |       | 0.26| 0.26| 0.61   |
| (b) Mean number of shoots formed / propagule : |       |       |       |       |       |     |     |        |
| 0.50        | 1.00  | 1.33  | 1.80  | 2.33  | 2.00  | 1.69 |     |         |
| 1.00        | 1.66  | 2.26  | 2.67  | 2.20  | 1.86  | 2.13 |     |         |
| 2.00        | 2.00  | 2.13  | 2.55  | 2.60  | 2.66  | 2.40 |     |         |
| 3.00        | 1.00  | 1.66  | 1.66  | 2.00  | 2.33  | 1.66 |     |         |
| Mean (KIN)  | 1.13  | 1.48  | 2.0   | 2.16  | 2.17  |     |     |         |
| L.S.D.(0.05)|     |       |       |       |       | 0.35| 0.35| 0.78   |
| (c) Mean number of leaflets formed / propagule : |       |       |       |       |       |     |     |        |
| 0.00        | 2.71  | 2.79  | 2.16  | 4.75  | 3.86  | 3.65 |     | **     |
| 0.50        | 4.50  | 3.59  | 4.06  | 9.52  | 9.51  | 6.19 |     | **     |
| 1.00        | 5.75  | 7.30  | 9.60  | 9.00  | 9.00  | 8.13 |     | **     |
| 2.00        | 5.72  | 7.66  | 9.00  | 10.41 | 9.33  | 8.47 |     |         |
| 3.00        | 3.30  | 5.03  | 5.39  | 5.73  | 5.33  | 5.02 |     |         |
| Mean (KIN)  | 4.39  | 5.27  | 6.56  | 7.81  | 7.40  |     |     |         |
| L.S.D.(0.05)|     |       |       |       |       | 0.74| 0.74| 1.67   |
| (d) mean number of roots formed / propagule : |       |       |       |       |       |     |     |        |
| 0.00        | 0.00  | 1.23  | 1.06  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.46 |     | **     |
| 0.50        | 1.53  | 1.43  | 0.70  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.90 |     | **     |
| 1.00        | 2.00  | 2.40  | 0.93  | 1.00  | 0.33  | 1.33 |     | **     |
| 2.00        | 2.63  | 2.00  | 1.26  | 1.33  | 0.76  | 1.60 |     | **     |
| 3.00        | 2.00  | 1.43  | 1.00  | 0.33  | 0.33  | 1.02 |     |         |
| Mean (KIN)  | 1.63  | 1.63  | 0.99  | 0.61  | 0.61  | 0.44 |     |         |
| L.S.D.(0.05)|     |       |       |       |       | 0.26| 0.26| 0.60   |

L.S.D. (0.05) = Least significant difference test at 0.05 level of probability*, **: Significant or highly significant.

On the other hand, EL-Shamy *et al.* (2010) reported that the best medium for *Magnolia grandiflora* at the multiplication stage, was WP medium plus the growth regulators of KIN at 5.00 or 6.00 mg/l which increased plant height, number of leaves/shoot and number of shoots. Zibbu and Batra (2010) also found that the best medium for *Magnolia grandiflora* at the multiplication stage, was WP medium plus the growth regulators of KIN at 5.00 or 6.00 mg/l which increased plant height, number of leaves/shoot and number of shoots. Zibbu and Batra (2010) also found that *in vitro* leaves of *Thevetia peruviana* cultured on MS medium supplemented with a combination of 2,4-D (2.5 mg/l) and KIN (1or2 mg/l) produced stock callus after 20-28 days of incubation. Also, Priyanaka *et al.* (2011) reported that nodal segments of *Thevetia peruviana* responded with a maximum of 100% frequency of callus induction on a combination of 9.05 µM (2 ppm) 2,4-D and 0.93 µM (0.2 ppm) KIN, followed by frequency of 88.3% on 6.97 µM (1.5 ppm) KIN, supplemented alone. Taha *et al.* (2011) found that *Thevetia neriifolia* Jussieu. and *T. thevetioides* Kunth. which was established on MS medium supplemented with 1mg/l 2,4 D +3mg/l KIN showed the best results of mass calli production. And the explants of *T.neriifolia* exhibited high degree of multiple shootlets proliferation on MS+ 1 mg/l 2,4D +3mg/l bap from stem explants as compared with *T.thevetioides*. Nesy *et al.* (2015) recorded that the best organogenesis in grown internode explants of *Thevetia neriifolia* response was achieved with a combination of IBA+BA (0.5 +1.0 mg/l). However, better response for maximum shoot proliferation was achieved when BA (1.0 mg/l) was supplied individually.
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Photo 1. Initiation stage of *Thevetia* nodal explants cultured on WPM at 2mg/l NAA only

Table 2. Effect of different levels of KIN, NAA (mg/l) and their combinations on the multiplication stage of *Thevetia peruviana* Schum. nodal cuttings cultured *in vitro* for 35 days

| Characters | NAA levels (mg/l) | KIN levels (mg/l) | Mean (KIN) | Significance |
|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|
|            | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 |                  |            |             |
| (a) Mean shoot length (cm) / propagule : |                  |                  |            |             |
| 0.00       | 1.27 1.71 1.55 1.27 1.13 1.38 |                  | 2.55       | 0.16        |
| 0.25       | 2.44 2.71 2.61 2.72 2.16 2.39 |                  | 2.78       | 0.16        |
| 0.50       | 2.83 3.63 3.12 2.27 2.23 2.74 |                  | 1.87       | 0.36        |
| 1.00       | 2.47 3.29 2.91 2.50 2.06 2.67 |                  | 1.87       |             |
| 2.00       | 3.65 3.26 2.89 2.30 1.80 2.78 |                  | 2.22       |             |
| Mean (KIN) |                  |                  | 1.86       |             |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                  |                  | 0.23       |             |
| (b) Mean number of shoots formed /propagule : |                  |                  | 1.86       |             |
| 0.00       | 1.00 1.22 1.59 1.55 1.39 1.35 |                  | 2.21       | 0.23        |
| 0.25       | 2.14 2.44 3.16 3.35 3.16 2.85 |                  | 3.02       | 0.23        |
| 0.50       | 1.92 2.79 3.80 4.26 4.16 3.40 |                  | 3.13       | 0.51        |
| 1.00       | 2.11 2.35 3.33 3.38 3.22 2.88 |                  | 2.98       |             |
| 2.00       | 2.16 2.25 3.10 3.10 3.00 2.73 |                  |            |             |
| Mean (KIN) |                  |                  | 1.86       |             |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                  |                  | 0.23       |             |
| (c) Mean number of leaflets formed /propagule: |                  |                  | 8.30       |             |
| 0.00       | 2.62 4.23 7.58 7.33 7.43 15.83 |                  | 10.47      | 0.82        |
| 0.25       | 7.49 8.15 9.82 14.08 16.17 11.20 |                  | 12.52      | 0.82        |
| 0.50       | 8.34 14.31 17.33 18.18 18.08 15.31 |                  | 12.99      | 1.84        |
| 1.00       | 11.21 13.23 15.75 12.86 15.27 13.73 |                  |            |             |
| 2.00       | 11.85 12.47 12.14 12.50 12.48 12.28 |                  |            |             |
| Mean(KIN)  |                  |                  | 8.30       |             |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                  |                  | 0.82       |             |
| (d) Mean number of roots formed /propagule: |                  |                  | 3.81       |             |
| 0.00       | 1.14 1.83 1.74 1.48 0.67 1.37 |                  | 3.52       | 0.42        |
| 0.25       | 3.0 3.10 3.23 2.10 1.70 2.42 |                  | 3.35       | 0.42        |
| 0.50       | 3.77 3.97 3.67 3.10 2.97 3.49 |                  | 3.05       | n.s.        |
| 1.00       | 5.03 4.17 4.44 4.19 4.07 4.38 |                  | 2.75       |             |
| 2.00       | 6.14 4.57 4.70 4.41 4.38 4.83 |                  |            |             |
| Mean (KIN) |                  |                  | 3.81       |             |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                  |                  | 0.42       |             |

L.S.D. (0.05) = Least significant difference test at 0.05 level of probability*, **: Significant or highly significant.
Table 3. Effect of different levels of NAA, IBA (mg/l) and their combinations on the rooting stage of *Thevetia peruviana* Schum. nodal cuttings cultured *in vitro* for 35 days

| Characters | IBA levels (mg/l) | NAA levels (mg/l) | Mean | Significance |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|--------------|
|            | 0.00              | 0.25              | 0.50 | 0.75         | 1.00         | NAA | IBA | NAA x IBA |
| (a) Mean shoot length (cm) / propagule: | 2.84             | 3.97             | 4.40 | 4.30         | 4.17         | 3.93 | **        | **        | *          |
| 0.50       | 3.83             | 6.72             | 6.89 | 6.31         | 6.27         | 6.00 |            |            |            |
| 1.00       | 4.45             | 7.21             | 8.22 | 7.41         | 7.02         | 6.86 |            |            |            |
| 2.00       | 4.68             | 5.42             | 5.43 | 5.76         | 4.36         | 5.13 |            |            |            |
| 3.00       | 4.50             | 4.70             | 4.77 | 5.13         | 3.50         | 4.52 |            |            |            |
| Mean (NAA) |                  | 4.02             | 5.60 | 5.94         | 5.91         | 5.06 |            |            |            |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                |                  |      |              |              | 0.62 | 0.62 | 1.41      |            |
| (b) Mean number of shoots formed /propagule: | 1.10             | 2.24             | 1.66 | 1.51         | 1.52         | 1.60 | **        | **        | **         |
| 0.50       | 1.52             | 1.42             | 1.65 | 1.22         | 1.11         | 1.33 |            |            |            |
| 1.00       | 2.13             | 1.78             | 2.50 | 1.44         | 1.40         | 1.85 |            |            |            |
| 2.00       | 2.23             | 2.13             | 1.89 | 1.60         | 1.14         | 1.76 |            |            |            |
| 3.00       | 1.93             | 1.22             | 1.73 | 1.34         | 1.24         | 1.44 |            |            |            |
| Mean (NAA) |                  | 1.78             | 1.69 | 1.86         | 1.42         | 1.28 |            |            |            |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                |                  |      |              |              | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.51      |            |
| (c) Mean number of leaflets formed /propagule: | 4.24             | 6.51             | 6.50 | 6.48         | 4.67         | 5.68 | **        | **        | **         |
| 0.50       | 4.67             | 8.22             | 8.73 | 7.66         | 6.98         | 7.81 |            |            |            |
| 1.00       | 9.31             | 10.77            | 10.80| 8.30         | 7.02         | 9.23 |            |            |            |
| 2.00       | 7.44             | 10.57            | 10.50| 8.67         | 7.76         | 9.71 |            |            |            |
| 3.00       | 9.13             | 6.83             | 6.20 | 5.53         | 5.43         | 6.65 |            |            |            |
| Mean (NAA) |                  | 8.39             | 8.46 | 8.54         | 7.32         | 6.37 |            |            |            |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                |                  |      |              |              | 0.58 | 0.58 | 1.30      |            |
| (d) mean number of roots formed /propagule: | 0.34             | 1.70             | 1.84 | 2.99         | 3.37         | 2.07 | **        | **        | **         |
| 0.50       | 2.88             | 5.30             | 6.57 | 6.00         | 4.60         | 5.07 |            |            |            |
| 1.00       | 3.52             | 5.64             | 7.42 | 7.02         | 6.10         | 5.93 |            |            |            |
| 2.00       | 3.10             | 6.95             | 7.42 | 6.00         | 5.93         | 5.88 |            |            |            |
| 3.00       | 2.97             | 6.43             | 6.81 | 6.54         | 5.15         | 5.36 |            |            |            |
| Mean (NAA) |                  | 2.58             | 5.21 | 5.95         | 5.53         | 5.03 |            |            |            |
| L.S.D.(0.05) |                |                  |      |              |              | 0.46 | 0.46 | 1.03      |            |

L.S.D. (0.05) = Least significant difference test at 0.05 level of probability*, **: Significant or highly significant.

For shoot length and roots formation, data presented in Table (3) and photo (3) shows that combination of IBA and NAA concentrations induced the increase in elongation of shoot length. Here, it was found that the shoots were tallest (6.86cm) at 1.00mg/l of IBA. Also, there were significant differences in shoot length between the different concentrations of IBA.

For the interaction between NAA and IBA, results showed that the tallest shoots were achieved when IBA was at 1.00mg/l and NAA at 0.50mg/l (8.22cm). There was a steady increase in number of roots in the rooting stage, the greatest number of roots (7.42) at 1.00 or 2.00mg/l IBA + 0.50mg/l NAA were obtained when compared with other treatments. This result could be explained by the fact that auxin induced a number of responses which involved cell division, cell enlargement, protein and nucleic acids synthesis which are concomitants of auxin-induced growth and changes in wall plasticity of plant cell and increased apical dominance as there are essential and rapid processes involved in growth and elongation (Wilkins,1989). Our results were further confirmed by the previous findings of Komalacalli and Rao (2000); Awal et al. (2005); and Waseem et al. (2011) who suggested auxin for root induction and development.
The *in vitro* roots were successfully induced by using 5.0 mg/l of 2,4-D, (Sana et al. 2012). Taha et al. (2011) reported that the best result of *in vitro* rootlet/shoot formation of Thevetia species were recorded with MS+ 1mg/l NAA. *In vitro* elongated shoots rooted on MS medium supplemented with IBA (0.5mg/l) (Zibbu and Batra, 2010.).

Photo 2. Multiplication stage of newlyformed *Thevetia* shoots of initiation stage cultured on WPM + 3.0&4.0 mg/l KIN+0.50mg/l NAA

Photo 3. Rhizogenesis of *Thevetia* microshoots in the multiplication stage, upon culture and after for 35 days on WP medium fortified with 2.00mg/l IBA and 0.50mg/l NAA
The Data in Table (4) and photo(4) clearly show that different WPM salt concentrations (0.00, 0.00, 4.00 and 6g/L) had highly significant effects on growth and development of Thevetia peruviana culture in vitro. It is quite clear that the shoot length, number of shootlets, number of leaves, number of roots, fresh and dry weights of shootlets produced per explant were the highest in the case of control and with 2.00g/L NaCl as compared to 4.00 and 6.00g/L NaCl where the best results were (6.10 cm, 13.39, 18.25 and 4.20), respectively.

The various treatments of the salt caused a significant effect on endogenous pigments such as chlorophyll a and b in the shootlets tissues after 45 days at the end of the experiment.

Using WPM medium amended with KIN 3mg/l + NAA at 0.50mg/l with 0.00 & 2g/L NaCl produced shootlets tissues having the highest amounts of chlorophyll -a and -b , while the lowest determined in shootlets tissues growing on WPM medium of 6.00g/L NaCl. Concerning the carotenoids in the shootlets tissues at the four concentrations of salt, the result was not significant of all treatments in formed plants (Table 4) and the explants showed good tolerance to salt in vitro, and all the explants formed shootlets (photo4). However, the negative consequences some explants died that death was least salt-tolerant with the additions of 6gL of NaCl. Similar results indicated for explants of grapevine rootstocks (Troncoso et al. 1999a) or olive (Troncoso et al. 1999b). Also, Sawsan et al. (2005) reported that the highest amounts of chlorophyll -a and -b and caroteniodes were dectected in shootlet tissues grown on MS-medium provide with ether NAA at 0.1mg/l plus at 3, 5mg/l or NAA at 0.2mg/l plus BAP at 3mg/l concentration.

Salinity also affected the development of the in vitro plant organs. While low concentrations of NaCl had no negative affect on the in vitro production of all plant organs, at high concentrations of NaCl the production decreased, especially at the 6gL NaCl mainly in the number of leaflets and number of shoots. This result had been supported by Greenway and Munns,(1980); Ashraf et al.(1986); Pasternak, (1987); Grattan and Grieve (1992.) and Carretero et al.(2007) reported that salinity negatively affected on the survival rate, development, leaf water content, and mineral composition(mainly by accumulation of Cl- and Na+) of both in vitro and ex vitro Manihot plants, also, Joao et al.(2015)said that the in vitro multiplication of B. Zebrina shoots was enhanced by using 200% of MS-salts concentration and liquid medium.

At 4gL-1 the fresh and dry weights were significantly higher in explants, which was the high survival. The salt treatments affected the fresh weight that decreased with application of 6gL-1 of NaCl in explants The salt treatments provoked accumulation of Na+ and Cl- in the explants (Table4). These accumulations were proportional to added NaCl concentrations in the medium, similar Na+ and Cl- accumulations were indicated by Troncoso et al.(1999a) for grapevine rootstocks grown in vitro and by Troncos et al.(1999b) for olive plants grown in vitro. Thus, salt treatments affected survival and growth of Thevetia plants in vitro. However, NaCl applications up to 2gL-1 or 4gL-1 increased production of plant in the indicating that thevetia showed relatively high salt tolerance in vitro. Dry weight was also affected by salinity, In table (4) are showed variations effects dry weight caused by the salt treatments expressed as compered of the control. In general, 6gL-1 NaCl presented significant lowest dry weight. Also, salt addition clearly decreased fresh weight in high levels. As in the in vitro test, NaCl additions caused Na+ accumulations, but without significant in carotenoids.

Thus, as indicated for the in vitro experiment, the greater salt tolerance of explants was not related to a lower uptake and accumulation of toxic saline ions, but probably a greater capacity to resist the stress situation of other nutrients were not apparently affected by salt (Teser and Devenport2003; Karimia et al.,2009). Khorami et al. (2011) noted that increasing accumulation of proline with enhancement NaCl, the strategies plants adapted to cope up with stress conditions. Effect of salinity stress on proline accumulation plant tissues, coule be expland by the fact that salt stress sometimes has variations in the arrangement of N containing mixtures, particulary of proteines and free amino acids. Most recognized is the accretion of praline, that is usually functionse as a pointer of salt stress (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007). By enhancing the free amino acids this may has an indicator of tolerance to salinity. Proline helps stabilizing sub-cellulr structures (e. g. proteins and membranes), scavenging free radicals (Apel and Hirt, 2004), and defending cellular redox potential below stress conditions (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007).
Table 4. Effect of salt (NaCl) concentrations in the medium of in vitro shoot culture of *Thevetia peruviana* plants after 45 days

| characters                        | Treatments (gL⁻¹ NaCl) | sign | LSD |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------|-----|
|                                   | 0                      | 2    | 4   | 6   |      |
| Mean shoot length (cm)/propagule  | 3.32                   | 2.84 | 3.10| 2.07| **   |
| Mean number of shoots formed/propagule | 3.82                  | 4.20 | 3.15| 2.65| **   |
| Mean number of leaflets formed/propagule | 17.86                 | 18.25| 16.13| 12.53| **   |
| Number of roots formed/propagule  | 6.10                   | 5.32 | 4.22| 3.28| **   |
| survival rate of shootlets%       | 80.00                  | 66.67| 53.33| 40.00| **   |
| Fresh weight (mg)                 | 6.27                   | 5.57 | 2.07| 2.52| **   |
| Dry weight (mg)                   | 2.16                   | 2.70 | 0.87| 0.90| **   |
| Chlorophyll (A) (mg/100g)         | 28.27                  | 25.56| 19.16| 16.13| **   |
| Chlorophyll (B) (mg/100g)         | 25.50                  | 21.38| 19.45| 14.86| **   |
| Carotenoids conc. (mg/100g)       | 21.57                  | 20.86| 19.79| 20.08| **   |
| Proline conc. (µg/g)              | 2.58                   | 4.54 | 10.21| 13.39| **   |
| Carbohydrate content%             | 4.91                   | 4.58 | 4.85 | 6.58 | **   |
| Acclimatization%                  | 73.00                  | 70.00| 60.00| 40.00| **   |

Photo 4. Direct in vitro organogenesis in the *Thevetia* explants A, B, C and D in WP medium with NaCl concentrations at 0.00, 2.00, 4.00 and 6.00 gL⁻¹ from left to right
Acclimatization stage

According to the results, there was a correlation between the in vitro and ex vitro responses of the explants to salt treatments.

Acclimatization of in vitro grown plants is an important step in micropropagation (Smart, 2008; Rout et al., 2006). The in vitro grown plantlets were transferred to the green house for the acclimatization ex vitro. The potting mix (sand and soil) (1:3), routinely used in the nurseries of our institute, was found suitable for the hardening of the plants. The survival rate of the ex vitro grown plants was 73%, 70%, 60% and 40% with using 0.00, 2.00, 4.00 and 6.00 g NaCl, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

It could be concluded that there is a possibility to propagate Thevetia peruviana by micropropagation. The study showed the efficiently of the in vitro initiation, multiplication, shoot proliferation and rooting of nodal segments of thevetia plants. Notably, the present experiments demonstrated that an in vitro technique in the multiplication stage can be efficiently used for studies of the reaction of thevetia plants to sodium salt, it showed good tolerance to salinity at low concentrations (2 & 4 g/L), but with increasing concentrations of sodium chlorided (0–6 g/L) in WP medium inhibited multiplication of thevetia and shoots, reduced the mean length of newly developed shoots. Generally, the growth was enhanced with increasing salt concentrations in the medium.
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الملخص العربي

نتاج نباتات تيفتيا متحملة للملوحه بطريقة زراعه الأنسجة

في اسمايل محمد الجداوى

لتر نتر 0.50 ملليجرام /لتر. وفرعت الاملاح في بيئه NAA، حيث اظهرت النباتات المزوده بالإنسجه NAA عند 0.50 ملليجرام/لتر تطور إيجاد بروتكلاك فعال للأكثار المعملي الديقع في نباتات التيفتيا وتقيم تأثير منظمات النمو والاملام (كلورد الصوديوم) ومدى تحمل النباتات المعملي لدرجة الملوحه في بيئات النمو معمليا ومدى تأثير ذلك على النمو ومرحلة الإلفاح. وقد تم استخدام عقل ساقية من نباتات التيفتيا النامية بدقيق قسم بحث الزينة بانطونيادس خلال دراسة محلمية لأستحثاث اكثار تضاعف المجاميع الخضريه. تم زراعه المجاميع الخضريه المتكونه خلال مرحلة البدء أو التشين على بيات مختلفه للنضج وقطرات كبريه تضاعفه من تلك المجاميع الخضريه وذلك لتقييم وتقييم ومقارنة تأثير منظمات النمو والاملام على نمو هذه النباتات معمليا، هذا بالإضافة إلى أقلمة تلك النباتات خارج المعمل، بنجاح. وكانت نباتات النمو البهتاج KIN بالإضافة إلى تركيزات مختلفة من NAA، وكانت افاذه بيا الاعضاء في المجاميع الخضريه تحت ظروف المعملية هي بيا KIN المزوده بالسيتوكتين (WPM)