TMEM16A and TMEM16B channel proteins generate Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) current and regulate melatonin secretion in rat pineal glands
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Pinealocytes regulate circadian rhythm by synthesizing and secreting melatonin. These cells generate action potentials; however, the contribution of specific ion channels to melatonin secretion from pinealocytes remains unclear. In this study, the involvement and molecular identity of Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) (Cl\(_{Ca}\)) channels in the regulation of melatonin secretion were examined in rat pineal glands. Treatment with the Cl\(_{Ca}\) channel blockers, niflumic acid or T16Ainh-A01, significantly reduced melatonin secretion in pineal glands. After pineal K\(^{+}\) currents were totally blocked under whole-cell patch clamp conditions, depolarization and subsequent repolarization induced a slowly activating outward current and a substantial inward tail current, respectively. Both of these current changes were dependent on intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and inhibited by niflumic acid and T16Ainh-A01. Quantitative real-time PCR, Western blotting, and immunocytochemical analyses revealed that TMEM16A and TMEM16B were highly expressed in pineal glands. siRNA knockdown of TMEM16A and/or TMEM16B showed that both channels contribute to Cl\(_{Ca}\) currents in pinealocytes. Conversely, co-expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16B channels or the expression of this tandem channel in HEK293 cells mimicked the electrophysiological characteristics of Cl\(_{Ca}\) currents in pinealocytes. Moreover, bimolecular fluorescence complementation, FRET, and co-immunoprecipitation experiments suggested that TMEM16A and TMEM16B can form heteromeric channels, as well as homomeric channels. In conclusion, pineal Cl\(_{Ca}\) channels are composed of TMEM16A and TMEM16B subunits, and these fluxes regulate melatonin secretion in pineal glands.

Pineal glands regulate the circadian rhythm through the synthesis and secretion of melatonin. This melatonin production can be either positively or negatively regulated by sympathetic and parasympathetic systems, respectively. Norepinephrine (NE)\(^{2}\) stimulates adrenergic β\(_1\) receptor and promotes cAMP production. The cAMP activates a melatonin-synthesizing enzyme, arylalkylamine-N-acetyltransferase, thus promoting melatonin biosynthesis from tryptophan in pinealocytes. NE also stimulates adrenergic α\(_1\) receptor leading to inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release, which is thought to enhance the adrenergic β\(_1\) signal pathway (1). In addition to this adrenergic regulation, there is parasympathetic innervation in pineal glands (2). Acetylcholine (ACh) activates nicotinic ACh receptors. This elicits membrane depolarization, and then induces Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (VDCCs). The resulting increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\)) causes an exocytosis of glutamate (3). This glutamate stimulates metabotropic glutamate receptor type 3 and thus decreases cAMP production, resulting in the reduction of aryalkylamine-N-acetyltransferase activity and melatonin synthesis (4).

Mammalian pinealocytes can generate action potentials (5–9) and, pineal glands express several types of ion channels, including voltage-dependent K\(^{+}\) channels (7, 10), Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^{+}\) channels (11–13), non-selective cation channels (14), and store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (12), in addition to VDCCs (7, 9, 11). However, there has been no detailed analysis of the possibility that there is functional expression of anion channels. Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) (Cl\(_{Ca}\)) channels play important roles in many physiological processes, such as epithelial secretion, sensory transduction, neuronal signaling, cardiac excitability, and smooth muscle contraction. Two TMEM16 family proteins, TMEM16A and TMEM16B, have been identified as functional Cl\(_{Ca}\) channels (15–17). TMEM16A is widely expressed in a large variety of tissues, including secretory epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells, interstitial cells of Cajal, and nociceptive neurons. On the other hand, the findings of TMEM16B expression have been limited in sensory nervous systems, such as olfactory neurons and retinal photoreceptors (18–20).

The present study was undertaken to study the expression of the Cl\(_{Ca}\) channel, elucidate their molecular entity, and demonstrate their involvement in melatonin secretion in rat pineal...
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glands. To our knowledge, our results are the first to report that TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins are functionally expressed as ClCa channels, and that this Cl– current contributes to the regulation of melatonin secretion in mammalian pineal glands.

Results

Possible involvement of ClCa channel activity in melatonin secretion

At first, effects of ClCa channel blockers on melatonin secretion under the regulation by sympathetic and/or parasympathetic pathways were examined in rat pineal glands using a melatonin ELISA assay kit. Application of 1 μM NE caused a significant increase in melatonin secretion (22.5 ± 1.5 ng/ml, n = 4, by Tukey’s test) (Fig. 1A). Pretreatment with niflumic acid, a classical ClCa channel blocker, reduced the NE-induced melatonin secretion in a concentration-dependent manner (3 μM, 14.6 ± 1.0 ng/ml, n = 4, p = 0.041 versus NE; 30 μM, 11.8 ± 2.4 ng/ml, n = 8, p = 0.009). Application of T16Ainh-A01, a specific blocker of TMEM16A and TMEM16B ClCa channels, also dose-dependently reduced the NE-induced melatonin secretion (3 μM, 15.4 ± 1.2 ng/ml, n = 3, p = 0.016; 30 μM, 8.0 ± 1.9 ng/ml, n = 8, p = 0.0003). These ClCa channel blockers did not affect melatonin secretion in the absence of NE (data not shown). On the other hand, the NE-induced melatonin secretion was attenuated by addition of 100 μM ACh, which mimics parasympathetic stimulation (19.3 ± 1.5 ng/ml, n = 7) (Fig. 1B).

(11.0 ± 2.2 ng/ml, n = 5, p = 0.008 (F = 8.56) versus NE + ACh by Tukey’s test) or T16Ainh-A01 (5.2 ± 1.2 ng/ml, n = 5, p = 0.00004) further reduced the NE-induced melatonin secretion. These results indicate that the activity of ClCa channels is involved in the regulation of melatonin secretion via sympathetic and parasympathetic pathways in rat pineal glands.

Cl– currents and their sensitivity to Ca2+ in pinealocytes

Cl– currents were measured in pinealocytes isolated from rat pineal glands, by use of K+-deficient and Cl–-rich solutions under whole-cell voltageclamp conditions (see “Experimental procedures”). Single pinealocytes were depolarized from the holding potential of −40 mV to selected test potentials (−80 to +100 mV) by +20 mV increment for 500 ms and then repolarized to −80 mV for 250 ms every 15 s. The cell capacitance was 20.6 ± 0.8 pF (n = 65). When Ca2+ concentration in the pipette solution ([Ca2+]pip) was fixed to pCa 6.0, time-dependent outward currents over ~400 pA in peak amplitude were detected at membrane potentials positive to +40 mV (Ipeak = 59.3 ± 9.3 pA/pF at +100 mV, n = 8) (Fig. 2, A and B). Upon repolarization, characteristic inward tail currents were recorded (Itail = 53.1 ± 7.4 pA/pF, n = 8). The current-voltage relationship shows that the reversal potential was −0 mV (Fig. 2B). The amplitude of outward and tail currents were substantially reduced by the decrease in [Ca2+]pip to pCa 6.5 or 7.0, in a [Ca2+]pip-dependent manner (n = 5–10) (Fig. 2, A–C). The time constant for current activation (τact) at +100 mV and that for tail current deactivation (τtail) at −80 mV after +100 mV stimulation were 80.5 ± 8.4 and 81.0 ± 8.9 ms, respectively (n = 8) (Fig. 2, D and E). The τact and τtail were also affected by the [Ca2+]pip change (n = 5–10) (Fig. 2, D and E). These data indicate that Cl– channel activity in pinealocytes strongly depends upon [Ca2+]pip.

Sensitivity to ClCa channel blockers on pineal Cl– currents

Effects of ClCa channel blockers, niflumic acid, and T16Ainh-A01, on both outward and tail currents were examined in rat pinealocytes. When [Ca2+]pip was pCa 6.0, 6.5, and 7.0, the application of 100 μM niflumic acid significantly reduced the outward peak currents (10.5 ± 3.2 pA/pF at +100 mV and pCa 6.0, n = 10, p = 0.00003 (F = 7.73) versus control of 53.4 ± 6.0 pA/pF by Student’s t test, paired) (Fig. 3, A and B). The tail currents were also significantly reduced by 100 μM niflumic acid (15.7 ± 3.5 pA/pF at −80 mV after +100 mV stimulation and pCa 6.0, n = 10, p = 0.00003 (F = 7.71) versus control of 50.2 ± 6.8 pA/pF), except when [Ca2+]pip was pCa 7.0. The inhibitory effect of niflumic acid on outward currents at [Ca2+]pip of pCa 6.0, was dose-dependent with an IC50 of 2.6 μM and the Hill coefficient of 0.81 (n = 7) (Fig. 3, C, D, and G). In addition, the outward and tail currents were also significantly inhibited by 10 μM T16Ainh-A01 (n = 3, p = 0.024 (F = 6.38) and p = 0.012 (F = 8.95), respectively, by Student’s t test, paired) and the inhibition was removed by washout (Fig. 3, E–G). These data indicate that ClCa currents sensitive to niflumic acid and T16Ainh-A01 are functionally expressed in rat pinealocytes.
Possible contribution of \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) channel activity to the resting membrane potential

Effect of \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) channel blocker on the resting membrane potential was examined in rat pinealocytes under whole-cell current-clamp mode. The mean resting membrane potential was \(-34.5 \pm 3.1 \text{ mV} \) (\( n = 8 \)) (Fig. 4). Application of 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) niflumic acid caused a significant hyperpolarization to \(-40.0 \pm 2.8 \text{ mV} \) (\( p = 0.007 \) (\( F = 3.77 \)), \( n = 8 \), by Student’s \( t \) test, paired) and it was recovered by removal of niflumic acid (to \(-30.5 \pm 4.6 \text{ mV} \), \( n = 8 \), \( p = 0.043 \) (\( F = 2.47 \)) \textit{versus} niflumic acid, \( p = 0.163 \) (\( F = 1.56 \)) \textit{versus} control). The experimental conditions of the pipette solution used here provide \textit{Cl}^{-} reversal potential of 0 mV and also the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}\), lower than 100 nm. These may result in somewhat over and under estimation of the contribution, respectively. Thus, niflumic acid-sensitive \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) channel activity may be involved in the regulation of resting membrane potential in rat pinealocytes, particularly when \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}\) is elevated.

Expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16B in pineal glands

To identify the molecular components of \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) channels in rat pinealocytes, expression analyses of the TMEM16 family were performed by quantitative real-time PCR, Western blotting, and immunocytochemical methods. Among the TMEM16 family, \textit{Tmem16B} mRNA was highly expressed (0.074 \pm 0.009 of \( \beta \)-actin, \( n = 10 \)), and \textit{Tmem16A} and \textit{Tmem16K} mRNAs were also identified in pineal glands (0.043 \pm 0.007 and 0.061 \pm 0.008, respectively, \( n = 10 \)) (Fig. 5A). Western blot analysis showed the expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16B in the plasma membrane fraction of pineal glands (\( n = 6 \)–8; Fig. 5B). In addition, immunocytochemical staining showed that TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins were abundantly expressed at the plasma membrane of pinealocytes (\( n = 10 \)) (Fig. 5C). Taken together, TMEM16A and TMEM16B are both expressed at the plasma membrane of rat pinealocytes.

siRNA knockdown of \textit{Tmem16A} and \textit{Tmem16B} in pinealocytes

We performed the siRNA knockdown of \textit{Tmem16A} and \textit{Tmem16B} in rat pinealocytes in an attempt to obtain direct evidence that the \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) currents were mediated by TMEM16A and/or TMEM16B channels. The selectivity of \textit{Tmem16A} and \textit{Tmem16B} siRNAs was confirmed by the quantitative real-time PCR method (Fig. 6A). In pinealocytes treated with control siRNA, the electrophysiological parameters of \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) currents (Fig. 6, B–F) were identical to those of native pinealocytes (Fig. 2). \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) currents in pinealocytes transfected with \textit{Tmem16B} siRNA (65.1 \pm 8.7% decrease at mRNA expression level, \( n = 4 \)) showed significantly smaller amplitude (\( \Delta_{\text{peak}} \) 49.5 \pm 2.5 pA/pF, \( n = 7 \), \( p = 0.042 \) (\( F = 16.29 \)) \textit{versus} control siRNA of 74.1 \pm 8.7 pA/pF, \( n = 10 \), by Tukey’s test; \( \tau_{\text{tail}} \) 34.4 \pm 2.6 pA/pF, \( p = 0.003 \) (\( F = 29.39 \)) \textit{versus} control siRNA of 50.3 \pm 3.5 pA/pF, by Tukey’s test) (Fig. 6, B–D), and also shorter \( \tau_{\text{act}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{tail}} \) values in comparison with those in control siRNA-treated pinealocytes (\( \tau_{\text{act}} \) 28.8 \pm 2.6 ms at +100 mV, \( n = 7 \), \( p = 0.034 \) (\( F = 23.20 \)) \textit{versus} control siRNA of 95.9 \pm 22.7 ms, \( n = 10 \), by Tukey’s test; \( \tau_{\text{tail}} \) 15.5 \pm 1.4 ms at -80 mV) after +100 mV stimulation, \( p = 0.046 \) (\( F = 11.72 \)) \textit{versus} control siRNA of 79.6 \pm 2.30 ms, by Tukey’s test) (Fig. 6, B, E, and F). \textit{Tmem16B} siRNA knockdown (40.9 \pm 10.3% decrease, \( n = 3 \)) also significantly reduced the \( \text{Cl}_{\text{Ca}} \) current amplitude (\( \Delta_{\text{peak}} \) 39.0 \pm 5.5 pA/pF, \( n = 5 \), \( p = 0.0066 \) \textit{versus} control siRNA; \( \Delta_{\text{peak}} \) 31.1 \pm 3.3 pA/pF, \( p = 0.0015 \)) and, in contrast to \textit{Tmem16A} siRNA, increased \( \tau_{\text{act}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{tail}} \) values (\( \tau_{\text{act}} \) 227.5 \pm 6.9 ms, \( n = 5 \), \( p = 0.0003 \) \textit{versus} control siRNA; \( \tau_{\text{tail}} \) 158.8 \pm 6.8 ms, \( p = 0.026 \)).
Furthermore, double siRNA knockdown (Tmem16A, 80.3 ± 17.8% decrease, n = 3; Tmem16B, 46.3 ± 13.3% decrease, n = 3) caused almost complete suppression of Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents (I<sub>peak</sub> = 14.0 ± 1.4 pA/pF, n = 7, p = 0.000003 versus Tmem16A siRNA alone, p = 0.0048 versus Tmem16B siRNA alone; I<sub>tail</sub> = 11.9 ± 1.7 pA/pF, p = 0.0002 versus Tmem16A siRNA alone, p = 0.0031 versus Tmem16B siRNA alone). These results strongly suggest that pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channels are composed of Tmem16A- and Tmem16B-coding proteins.

**TMEM16A and Tmem16B Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channels in HEK293 cells**

To obtain new information concerning the comparative contributions of TMEM16A and Tmem16B to Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents in pinealocytes, the electrophysiological parameters of cloned rat TMEM16A and Tmem16B channels were measured in HEK293 cells, in which heterologous expression was performed. In TMEM16A-transfected HEK293 cells, outward and tail currents were not detected, when [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>pip</sub> was pCa 7.0 (n = 3), but were consistently observed in a concentration-dependent manner at pCa 6.5 (I<sub>peak</sub> = 28.3 ± 7.2 pA/pF and I<sub>tail</sub> = 16.6 ± 5.3 pA/pF, n = 5) and 6.0 (81.5 ± 28.6 and 63.6 ± 18.9 pA/pF, respectively, n = 5) (Fig. 7, A, B, and G). In contrast, in Tmem16B-transfected cells, these currents were not detected at pCa 7.0 and 6.5 (n = 4), and observed at pCa 6.0 (I<sub>peak</sub> = 65.9 ± 4.6 pA/pF and I<sub>tail</sub> = 24.5 ± 3.8 pA/pF, n = 4) (Fig. 7, C, D, and G). When TMEM16A and Tmem16B cDNAs were co-

**Figure 3. Sensitivity to Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel blockers on Cl<sup>-</sup> currents in pinealocytes.** A, under whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration, single pinealocytes were depolarized from the holding potential of −40 mV to test potentials (−80 − +100 mV) by +20 mV increment for 500 ms and subsequently repolarized to −80 mV for 250 ms every 15 s. Representative current traces of pCa 7.0, 6.5, and 6.0 in the pipette solution in the absence of drug (Control). Application of 100 μM niflumic acid (NFA) inhibited outward and tail currents. The inhibitory effects of niflumic acid were removed by washout. B, effect of 100 μM niflumic acid on outward currents at +100 mV and tail currents at −80 mV following +100 mV depolarization. C, time course showing a dose-dependent inhibition of niflumic acid (0.01–1000 μM) on outward (at +100 mV; peak) and tail (at −80 mV following +100 mV depolarization) currents at pCa 6.0 in the pipette solution. D, representative current traces in the absence and presence of 1, 10, and 100 μM niflumic acid. E, time course showing an inhibitory effect of 10 μM T16Ainh-A01 (T16A) on outward and tail currents. F, representative current traces in the absence and presence of 10 μM T16Ainh-A01. The inhibitory effect of T16Ainh-A01 was removed by washout. G, dose–response curves for niflumic acid and T16Ainh-A01 on outward currents. The IC<sub>50</sub> value of niflumic acid was 2.6 μM and the Hill coefficient of 0.81. T16Ainh-A01 also blocked outward currents in a concentration-dependent manner. Experimental data were obtained from 3 to 10 pinealocytes. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01 by Student’s t test (paired).
transfected at a ratio of 1:2 into HEK293 cells, these currents were recorded at pCa 6.5 ($I_{\text{peak}} = 17.3 \pm 1.4 \text{ pA/pF}$ and $I_{\text{tail}} = 15.3 \pm 1.7 \text{ pA/pF}$, $n = 4$) and 6.0 (99.6 ± 15.8 and 70.5 ± 2.2 pA/pF, respectively, $n = 4$) (Fig. 7, E–G). Interestingly, in TMEM16A/B-cotransfected cells at ratios of 1:1 or 1:2, the $\tau_{\text{act}}$ and $\tau_{\text{tail}}$ values at a 1:2 ratio (108.9 ± 20.4 and 86.5 ± 5.2 ms, respectively, $n = 4$) were closer to those of pineal Cl$_{Ca}$ currents (80.5 ± 8.4 and 81.0 ± 8.9 ms, respectively, $n = 8$) than those at 1:1 (150.2 ± 18.7 and 106.1 ± 14.7 ms, respectively, $n = 4$) (Fig. 7, H and I). Furthermore, the TMEM16B–TMEM16A tandem form was also transfected into HEK293 cells. Cl$_{Ca}$-like currents recorded in tandem-transfected cells at pCa 6.0 ($I_{\text{peak}} = 66.8 \pm 19.4 \text{ pA/pF}$ and $I_{\text{tail}} = 39.3 \pm 13.5 \text{ pA/pF}$, $n = 5$) and the parameters ($\tau_{\text{act}} = 185.0 \pm 26.3 \text{ ms}$ and $\tau_{\text{tail}} = 89.6 \pm 6.3 \text{ ms}$) were close to co-transfection at a 1:1 ratio. The result indicates that both TMEM16A and TMEM16B are functionally expressed and responsible for pineal Cl$_{Ca}$ currents.

**Molecular interaction between TMEM16A and TMEM16B in living HEK293 cells**

Although it has been reported that the TMEM16 family can form heterodimers mainly based on co-immunoprecipitation assays using a heterologous expression system (21), little is known about this interaction in living cells. Bimolecular fluorescent complementation (BiFC) analysis can detect a direct interaction between two molecules fused, respectively, with the N or C terminus of Venus (VN173 or VC155) by the generation of reconstructed Venus fluorescence (22–24). As shown in Fig. 8, the strong fluorescent signals of Venus were observed at the plasma membrane in homomeric TMEM16A-VN/TMEM16A-VC and TMEM16B-VN/TMEM16B-VC co-expressing HEK293 cells ($n = 10$) (Fig. 8, A and B). Similarly, the consistent Venus signals in heteromeric TMEM16A-VN/TMEM16B-VC and TMEM16A-VC/TMEM16B-VN HEK293 cells were detected at the plasma membrane ($n = 10$) (Fig. 8C). In contrast, there were no fluorescent signals in HEK293 cells expressing TMEM16A-VN, TMEM16B-VN, TMEM16A-VC, or TMEM16B-VC alone ($n = 10$). In addition, fluorescent signals were not detected in HEK293 cells co-expressing TMEM16A-VC/TASK1-VN or TMEM16B-VC/TASK1-VN ($n = 10$) (Fig. 8D). These data support that TMEM16A directly interacts with TMEM16B to form a heteromeric in addition to homomeric channels in living cells.

**Homo- and heteromeric TMEM16A and TMEM16B channels in living HEK293 cells**

To confirm the formation and examine its efficiency of homo- or heterodimer of TMEM16A and TMEM16B, FRET analyses with a total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope at the single molecule level were performed in HEK293 cells, as reported previously (22–28). These single molecule images revealed that a subset of YFP-TMEM16B and TMEM16B-CFP was 60.7 ± 5.8% ($n = 5$) (Fig. 9, A and B). On the other hand, the co-localization proportion of YFP-TMEM16B and TMEM16B-CFP was 60.7 ± 5.8% ($n = 5$). Thereafter, the YFP signal was photobleached to less than 30% of the control. In YFP-TMEM16A/TMEM16B-CFP co-expressing HEK293 cells, the fluorescent intensity of CFP was significantly enhanced after photobleaching (efficiency of FRET, $E_{\text{FRET}} = 10.0 \pm 1.0\%$, 26 particles from 5 cells, $p = 0.00008$ ($F = 25.49$) versus $-0.5 \pm 1.2\%$ of TMEM16B-CFP alone, 28 particles from 5 cells, by Tukey’s test) (Fig. 9C). The $E_{\text{FRET}}$ value in YFP-TMEM16A/TMEM16B-CFP HEK293 cells was 15.7 ± 2.3% (29 particles from 5 cells, $p = 0.000001$). This TIRF-FRET analysis suggests that TMEM16A and TMEM16B...
can form heteromeric channels with efficiency comparative to that of homomeric channels.

**Evidence for heteromeric TMEM16A/B channels in pineal glands**

To our knowledge, there is no report showing the heteromeric channel formation of TMEM16A and TMEM16B in native tissues. As shown in Fig. 10, our co-immunoprecipitation results demonstrate heteromeric interaction of TMEM16A and TMEM16B in rat pineal glands \((n = 4)\).

**Discussion**

The pineal gland is a melatonin-secreting organ in the brain. It regulates circadian rhythm through the synthesis and secretion of melatonin. This regulation of melatonin production depends upon a balance of activity, modulated by sympathetic...
and parasympathetic innervation (1, 2). Although meaningful electrophysiological studies have been done, no comprehensive analyses of the functional expressions of ion channels has been reported in mammalian pineal glands. Accordingly, the physiological roles of specific ion channels in the regulation of melatonin secretion are not known. Our new results show that TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins are the predominant ClCa channel subtype in pinealocytes, and demonstrate that this ClCa conductance is involved in melatonin secretion in pineal glands.

In rat pinealocytes, substantial voltage-dependent ClCa currents have been recorded by a whole-cell patch clamp technique, after K+ currents were totally blocked by Cs+ and tetraethylammonium. Depolarizing voltage steps to positive membrane potentials elicited a slow time-dependent outward current; and subsequent repolarization produced a characteristic inward tail current. The amplitude of these currents was highly dependent on [Ca2+]i in the range of pCa 7.0–6.0 in the pipette solution. This current reversed near 0 mV, which is a theoretical equilibrium potential of ClCa (ECl = −0.9 mV) under our experimental conditions. Furthermore, these currents were strongly inhibited by a specific blocker of TMEM16A and TMEM16B channels, T16Ainh-A01, as well as a classical ClCa channel blocker, niflumic acid. To our knowledge, this is first demonstration of ClCa current in pineal glands.

ClCa channels are ubiquitously expressed in epithelia, smooth muscles, interstitial cells of Cajal, and some neurons (18–20). In neurons and smooth muscles, an increase in ClCa conductance significantly shifts the resting membrane potential in the depolarizing direction. This facilitates Ca2+ influx.
through VDCCs, resulting in the enhancement of cell excitability in the form of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent action potentials. TMEM16A and TMEM16B are currently the preferred candidates for Cl\(_{Ca}\) channel conductances in native tissues. Specifically, among neurons, TMEM16A is expressed in small dorsal root ganglion neurons associated with nociception (29) and thermoreceptors (30). On the other hand, TMEM16B is expressed in presynaptic terminals of retinal photoreceptors (31) and the cilia of olfactory sensory neurons (32, 33), where it appears to play a special role in sensory transduction. In addition, TMEM16B can regulate action potential waveform, and synaptic responses in hippocampus neurons (34).

Our results demonstrate that both TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins are abundantly expressed at the plasma membrane of rat pinealocytes. Although the Tmem16K transcript was also expressed in pinealocytes, it is rather unlikely that TMEM16K per se forms a functional Cl\(_{Ca}\) channel (20). Therefore, the present study focused on physiological functions of TMEM16A and TMEM16B in pinealocytes. The biophysical characteristics of TMEM16B channels show significant differences from those of TMEM16A channels. First, the single-channel conductance of the TMEM16B channel (0.8–1.2 pS) has been reported to be smaller than that of the TMEM16A channel (8.3 pS) (17, 32, 35). However, a recent report suggests that there are no significant differences between both channels (TMEM16A \(\approx 3.5\) pS versus TMEM16B \(\approx 3.9\) pS) (36). Second, the \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) level needed for activation of the TMEM16B channel (\(>1\) \(\mu\)M) is higher than that of the TMEM16A channel (\(\approx 0.3\) \(\mu\)M) (17, 32, 36–38). Third, the kinetics of activation and deactivation (\(\tau_{act}\) and \(\tau_{deact}\)) of the TMEM16B current are much faster than those of the TMEM16A channel. The \(\tau_{act}\) and \(\tau_{deact}\) of TMEM16B are 4–24 ms at +100 mV and 3–7 ms at −60~−100 mV, respectively (35, 36, 38). In contrast, those of TMEM16A are ranged between 120–400 and 55–150 ms, respectively (36, 38, 39). In the pres-
ent study, pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents were significantly activated by 0.3 μM [Ca<sup>2+</sup>], which suggests TMEM16A involvement. The kinetic parameters of pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents were intermediate with respect to those of TMEM16A and TMEM16B.

In addition, siRNA experiments revealed that treatment with either siTMEM16A or siTMEM16B resulted in the remaining much smaller Cl<sub>Ca</sub> current having characteristics of the remaining TMEM16A/B channels. As expected, therefore, pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents were completely abolished by double siRNA knockdown of Tmem16A and Tmem16B. In separate experiments, the most prominent biophysical properties of pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents were mimicked by HEK293 cells that co-expressed TMEM16A and TMEM16B, which were cloned from rat pineal glands. A proportion of 1:2 for TMEM16A and TMEM16B co-transfection to HEK293 cells was determined based on the mRNA expression level in rat pineal glands. This 1:2 expression ratio rather than 1:1 resulted in the functional expression of the more closely mimicked Cl<sub>Ca</sub> current with respect to kinetics parameters to those of native Cl<sub>Ca</sub> current in rat pinealocytes. In combination, these results strongly suggest that both of TMEM16A and TMEM16B functionally contribute to Cl<sub>Ca</sub> current in pinealocytes.

It is known that the expression pattern of TMEM16A proteins is distinct from that of TMEM16B proteins; therefore, each protein is presumed to form a homodimer as a functional Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel in native cells (27, 40, 41). In heterologous expression systems, TMEM16A protein can interact with TMEM16B protein, resulting in heteromeric channels (21). Therefore, TMEM16A and TMEM16B heterodimers may form in native cells co-expressing both proteins. The expression of both proteins has been reported in rodent tissues, but the expression patterns are different; TMEM16A is found mainly in secretory epithelia versus TMEM16B in chemosensory neurons (42). In murine olfactory epithelium, the TMEM16A channel regulates Cl<sup>−</sup> homeostasis in supporting cells, whereas the TMEM16B channel contributes to the olfactory signal transduction in sensory neurons (43). Although TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins are expressed in mammalian uterine smooth muscles (44) and dorsal root ganglion (45), heteromeric channel formation has not been demonstrated. Our results show that, in rat pinealocytes, TMEM16A and TMEM16B were co-expressed and that these proteins can form heteromeric assembly as well as homomeric channels. The heteromeric formation in living cells was clearly detected by BiFC analyses in HEK293 cells. The results of FRET analyses suggest that the efficiency of heterodimerization appears to be comparable to those of homodimerization. In addition, Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents were observed in HEK293 cells transfected with the TMEM16B–TMEM16A tandem form. Taken together, it appears that pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channels are composed of heteromeric TMEM16A and TMEM16B complexes, in addition to homomers.

Melatonin assays revealed that Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel blockers can reduce the NE-induced melatonin secretion. The intracellular Cl<sup>−</sup> concentration varies widely, 10–60 mM, depending on tissues (46). Although the intracellular Cl<sup>−</sup> concentration in pinealocytes is not known, Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel block caused a consistent hyperpolarization under our experimental conditions, where the equilibrium potential of Cl<sup>−</sup> was set at 0 mV. Under physiological conditions, the equilibrium potential of Cl<sup>−</sup> in pinealocytes may be between −20 and −70 mV. Taken together, when action potentials occur spontaneously (5–9) or in response to endogenous stimulation, Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel activation by Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx may increase the repolarization current and reduce the action potential duration. Further experiments are necessary for elucidating the molecular mechanism underlying the modulation of melatonin secretion by Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channel activity.

In conclusion, pineal Cl<sub>Ca</sub> currents flow through homomeric and heteromeric channels based on TMEM16A and TMEM16B subunits. The functional activity of Cl<sub>Ca</sub> channels significantly contributes to the regulation of melatonin secretion. Thus, this study provides novel information concerning the molecular mechanism that regulates circadian rhythm through melatonin secretion in pineal glands.

**Experimental procedures**

**Ethical approval**

All experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Nagoya City University and were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Japanese Pharmacological Society.

**Melatonin assay**

Pineal glands were removed from male Wistar/ST rats (6–9 weeks; Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan). The freshly dissected pineal glands were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then exposed to 1 μM NE or vehicle (control) for 2 h. Test compounds were added into PBS at the beginning of incubation prior to NE addition. The amount of melatonin secreted from the whole pineal gland was quantitatively determined using a melatonin ELISA kit (IBL International, Hamburg, Germany).

**Cell culture**

Pineal glands were incubated in PBS containing 0.1% collagenase (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) and 0.02% trypsin (Type I; Sigma) for 25 min at 37 °C (4). After incubation, these tissues were dispersed mechanically in PBS. The pinealocytes were cultured on coverslips coated with 5 μg/ml of poly-L-lysine (Sigma) and 20 units/ml of penicillin, and 20 μg/ml of streptomycin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). Experiments were performed at 24–96 h after cell culture.

**Electrophysiological recording**

Electrophysiological studies were carried out using a whole-cell patch clamp technique with a CEZ-2400 (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan) amplifier, an analog digital converter (Digidata 1440A; Molecular Devices/Axon, Foster City, CA), and pCLAMP software (version 10; Molecular Devices/Axon) in single pinealocytes and HEK293 cells (25, 47). The pipette resistance ranged from 3 to 5 MΩ when filled with the pipette solution. The seal resistance was ~30 GΩ. Series resistance was between 5 and 8 MΩ and was partly compensated. Under whole-cell voltage-clamp mode, cells were step-clamped from
the holding potential of −40 mV to test potentials (−80 to +100 mV) by +20 mV increment for 500 ms and subsequently returned to −80 mV for 250 ms every 15 s. Electrophysiological data were acquired at 1 kHz. The HEPES-buffered solution was used as an extracellular solution: 137 mM NaCl, 5.9 mM KCl, 2.2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 14 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES. The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 10 N NaOH. The pipette solution for Cl Ça current measurement had the following ionic composition: 120 mM CsCl, 20 mM tetraethylammonium-Cl, 2.8 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATPNa₂, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM EGTA, and 1.79 (pCa 7.0), 3.19 (pCa 6.5), or 4.25 mM (pCa 6.0) CaCl₂. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with 1 N CsOH. For the recording of membrane potential under whole-cell current-clamp mode, the pipette solution had the following ionic composition: 140 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATPNa₂, 10 mM HEPES, and 0.05 mM EGTA. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with 1 N KOH. Electrophysiological recordings were performed at room temperature (23–25 °C).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

The total RNA extraction from homogenates of rat pineal glands, the reverse transcription method, and quantitative real-time PCR analysis using ABI PRISM 7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and LightCycler 96 real-time PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), were performed as reported previously (9). Specific primers for rat TMEM16 genes were designed as follows: *Tmem16a* (GenBank accession number, NM_001107564), (+) GGG AGA AGC AAC ACT TAT TCG A, (−) TCG ACG TTG TTC TCT TCA GGA TGA T; *Tmem16b* (XM_003753944), (+) GAG GAC CTA CGG GGA TAG AGG, (−) GCC ACT CTC CTT TAG CAG TTT C; *Tmem16c* (XM_230381), (+) TCC TAG CGG CTG TCT GAT AGA, (−) GAC CAC CAG TTT TGG ATT AAC G; *Tmem16d* (NM_001106778), (+) GTC GTT CCG AAT TTC TGA, (−) AGT CCC GAT ATC TGC GAT ACT TCA; *Tmem16e* (NM_003753253), (+) CAT CGC ATC CCC TGG TAC TTT, (−) ACT GAT AGG CGG TAC AGC ATA ATG; *Tmem16f* (NM_001108108), (+) GTG GAT GGC TGG AAG CCT ACA, (−) AGG AGT GCT ATT TTC TGC ATG A; *Tmem16g* (NM_0011004071), (+) TGG CTT GGG TTC TAC ACT GGT T, (−) TGC GTT GGT ACA TCT GAG AAC A; *Tmem16h* (XM_002728392), (+) AGA GCA CTT GGC TCT TCT AGT CA, (−) GTC GCT GGG GTC CCA ATT TGG; *Tmem16l* (XM_001062059), (+) CTC TCA GAG ATG TGC ACT TCT GC, (−) AGG AAG ACC GTA GCC CAC AGA; *Tmem16k* (XM_236774), (+) AGA AGG AAA TGG GCA CTT ACC T, (−) TGC TAA GGG GTA AAC GCA AGA; and β-actin (NM_031144), (+) AGG CCA ACC GTG AAA AGA TG, (−) ACC AGA GGA ATC CAG GGA CA.

**Western blotting**

Western blotting experiments were performed as described previously (48). In brief, the protein fraction of plasma membrane was extracted from rat pineal glands, and 10–40 µg/lane of protein was subjected to 7.5% SDS-PAGE. The resulting blots were incubated with TMEM16A (1:100 dilution; ab53212, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) or TMEM16B (1:200 dilution; ab91573, Abcam) antibody for 12 h at 4 °C, and then treated with anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG (1:2000 dilution; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA) for 1 h at 4 °C, and finally exposed to an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Biosciences). For quantitative analyses, β-actin antibody (1:5000 dilution; A1978, Sigma) and anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG (1:2000 dilution; Chemicon International) were used. The luminescence images were analyzed using a LAS-3000 system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Immunocytochemistry**

Immunocytochemical staining was performed as reported previously (9). In brief, freshly isolated rat pinealocytes were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. These pinealocytes were treated with TMEM16A (1:100 dilution) or TMEM16B (1:100 dilution) antibody for 12 h at 4 °C, and then covered with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled secondary antibody solution (1:1000 dilution; A11008, Invitrogen/Molecular Probes) for 1 h at room temperature. Confocal images were obtained using a laser scanning confocal fluorescent microscope (A1R; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**siRNA knockdown**

Knockdown of *Tmem16a* and/or *Tmem16b* was performed using the siRNA method. Control (Medium GC Duplex #3), *Tmem16a* (Stealth RNAi, Tmem16aRSS31780), and *Tmem16b* (LOC683001RSS369708) siRNAs were obtained from Invitrogen. At 4 h after enzymatic cell isolation, pinealocytes were transfected with 67 nm siRNA construct plus the BLOCK-iT Fluorescent Oligo (Invitrogen) as an expression marker using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent (Invitrogen). Experiments were performed 24–68 h after transfection.

**Molecular cloning**

The cDNAs encoding *Tmem16a* and *Tmem16b* were cloned from rat pineal glands using specific PCR primers: *Tmem16a* (GenBank accession number, XM_006230780), (+) cacc ggtgacc gcc acc atg cat gac gac acc gac gcc CTA GAC GAC ACA CAG GAC AGC GA, (−) cacc ctetag CTA CAG GGC CCC ATG GTA CTC GTA GCT; and *Tmem16b* (XM_003753944), (+) cacc ggtgacc gcc acc atg GGC GCC CCT GGG CTG CAA GAC ATC TGC ATG A; (−) TGG CTT GGG TTC TAC ACT GGT T, (−) TGC GTT GGT ACA TCT GAG AAC A; *Tmem16h* (XM_002728392), (+) AGA GCA CTT GGC TCT TCT AGT CA, (−) GTC GCT GGG GTC CCA ATT TGG; *Tmem16l* (XM_001062059), (+) CTC TCA GAG ATG TGC ACT TCT GC, (−) AGG AAG ACC GTA GCC CAC AGA; *Tmem16k* (XM_236774), (+) AGA AGG AAA TGG GCA CTT ACC T, (−) TGC TAA GGG GTA AAC GCA AGA; and β-actin (NM_031144), (+) AGG CCA ACC GTG AAA AGA TG, (−) ACC AGA GGA ATC CAG GGA CA.

**BiFC analysis**

The direct molecular interaction between TMEM16A and TMEM16B proteins in live cells was analyzed based on the BiFC method as reported previously (22). In brief, fragments of the N (1–172; VN173) or C (155–238; VC155) terminus of...
FRET analysis

Single-molecule imaging was performed with a TIRF imaging system, which consisted of a fluorescent microscope (ECLIPSE TE2000-U; Nikon), an objective lens (CFI Apo TIRF ×60/1.45, oil immersion; Nikon), an EM-CCD camera (C9100–12; Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan), and AQUACOSMOS software (version 2.6; Hamamatsu Photonics), as previously reported (25). In brief, seven pineal glands were lysed in immunoprecipitation lysis/wash buffer with a protease inhibitor cocktail, resulting in production of blight fluorescence from Venus. When VN173- and VC155-fused proteins exist within close proximity, i.e., within the same channel, VN173 and VC155 fragments are able to complement, resulting in production of blight fluorescence from Venus. HEK293 cells were transfected with plasmids of constructs labeled by VN173 and VC155 at 1 µg each using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent. As negative controls, in addition to HEK293 cells expressing TMEM16A-VN, TMEM16B-VN, and TMEM16B-VC alone, HEK293 cells co-expressing either TMEM16A-VC or TMEM16B-VC and TASK1 (KCNK3, a two-pore domain K⁺ channel) tagged with VN173 (TASK1-VN) (24) were used. BiFC images were obtained using a laser scanning confocal fluorescent microscope (A1R; Nikon).

Co-immunoprecipitation assay

Co-immunoprecipitation was performed using a co-immunoprecipitation kit (Pierce Biotechnology) as reported previously (23). In brief, seven pineal glands were lysed in immunoprecipitation lysis/wash buffer with a protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). Homogenates were centrifuged (15,000 × g, 25 min, 4 °C), and supernatant was precleared with control resin (1 h, 4 °C). Precleared lysates (~100 µg of protein) were incubated with AminoLink Plus Coupling Resin, with which TMEM16A antibody was immobilized for 12 h at 4 °C. As a negative control, Control Agarose Resin, which was composed of the same support material as the AminoLink Plus Coupling Resin but was not amine-reactive, was used. The incubated lysates were finally subjected to 7.5% SDS-PAGE. The blots were incubated with TMEM16B antibody (1:200 dilution) for 12 h at 4 °C, and then treated with anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG (1:2000 dilution) for 1 h at 4 °C, and finally exposed to an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system. The luminescence images were analyzed using a LAS-3000 system.

Drugs

Pharmacological reagents were obtained from Sigma, except for EGTA and HEPES (Dojin, Kumamoto, Japan). All hydrophobic compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at a concentration of 10–1000 mM as a stock solution.

Statistics

Pooled data are shown as the mean ± S.E. Statistical significance between two groups was determined by Student’s t test. Statistical significance among groups was determined by Tukey’s test after one-way analysis of variance.
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