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Abstract The present study seeks to evaluate the hydrogeochemistry of Tabriz plain in NW Iran, through major ion chemistry and their spatial variations. In order to accomplish these, groundwater sampling from 30 shallow and deep wells in the plain were carried out in July 2012. The water samples were analyzed for various physicochemical parameters such as pH, EC, Na+, Ca2+, K+, Mg2+, Cl−, CO32−, HCO3−, SO42− and NO3−. Chadha’s diagram demonstrates that most of the groundwaters belonged to the Na–Cl and mixed Ca–Mg–Cl hydrochemical facies. The concentrations of some major ions in groundwater are above the permissible limit for drinking and domestic purposes except for a few locations. The results of saturation index computation show that dissolution of gypsum, anhydrite, halite and silicate minerals occurs frequently across the study area, whereas the groundwater is supersaturated with regard to calcite and dolomite. Cross-plots show that weathering and dissolution of different rocks and minerals, ion exchange, reverse ion exchange and anthropogenic activities, especially agricultural activities, are effective in hydrogeochemistry of the study area.
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Introduction

Groundwater resources are important for the socio-economic development, especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Tlili-Zrelli et al. 2012). Water quality refers to the natural, physical and chemical state of the water as well as any alteration that might have been caused by anthropogenic activity (Venkateswaran et al. 2011; Jafar Ahamed et al. 2013). The groundwater quality, which is normally controlled by variable physicochemical characteristics, is the outcome of all those processes and reactions that act on water from the moment it condenses in the atmosphere till the time it is exploited by a well (Arumugam and Elango 2009).

Hydrogeochemical evaluation of groundwater systems is usually based on the availability of a large amount of information concerning groundwater chemistry. Many factors such as climate, soil type, mineralogy of the rock types forming catchments and aquifers, area topography, overlying land uses, source of recharge water, atmospheric inputs, etc., may affect groundwater chemistry (Reghunath et al. 2002; Singh et al. 2004; Tziritis et al., 2016). In addition to these factors, the interaction between surface and groundwaters as well as the groundwater mixing of different origins and characteristics may also have a significant impact on hydrogeochemistry (Reghunath et al. 2002). Groundwater contains many chemical constituents, both natural and man-made. Generally, major ion studies are used to determine hydrochemical facies of waters and the spatial variability of these ions can give insight into aquifer heterogeneity and connectivity as well as into the ongoing hydrogeochemical process of the groundwater system (Belkhiri et al. 2012). Major ion chemistry, in particular molar ion ratios, is useful in assessing the sources of solutes and characterizing hydrogeochemical origin.
and evolution in aquifers (e.g., Cartwright et al. 2004; Currell and Carthwright 2011; Voutsis et al. 2015). The geological history of the aquifers can be obtained from investigation of the groundwater chemistry (Aghazadeh and Asghari Moghaddam 2010; Das and Nag 2015).

Hydrogeochemical assessment of the water systems is of significant importance; especially, in populated regions which depend on groundwater. Tabriz district is one of the most densely populated, active intensively farming and industrial area in the northwest of Iran. Due to scarcity of suitable surface waters, groundwater is the only available water resource which supplies drinking, irrigation and industrial demands of the area. A few studies have been carried out on the hydrogeology and hydrogeochemistry of the area (CITRA-SOGREAH-CCG-HYDRA 1965; ELC-ELECTRO Consult 1969; Asghri Moghaddam 1991; Asghri Moghaddam and Allaf Najib 2006). Barzegar et al. (2015) reported the occurrence of heavy metals and metalloids such as Fe, Cr, Mn, Al and As in the Tabriz plain aquifer. They concluded that the arsenic concentrations in the unconfined aquifer and in the recharge areas of the plain boundary (with an average of 25.8 μg/L) were lower, than those in the confined aquifer and the deeper wells, which had an average value of 122.5 μg L⁻¹. The arsenic occurrence in groundwater resources of the area was attributed to the geological formations such as alluvial tuffs of Sahand Mountain; its concentration is highly dependent on the redox conditions within the aquifer systems and, subsequently on the hydrogeological regime, residence time of water and aquifer depth.

With the above background, the main objectives of this study are: (1) to assess the major ion chemistry of groundwater; (2) to identify the hydrogeochemical processes affecting the groundwater chemistry. The development of groundwater resources in the region is a sensitive issue in environmental and socio-economic terms. Therefore, sustainable management is required to avoid water quality degradation, which depends on detailed knowledge of the groundwater chemistry.

Materials and methods

Study area

The Tabriz plain, between the latitudes of 45°30’ and 46°15’ N and altitudes 37°56’ and 38°17’ E, with an area of more than 700 km² is extended from the eastern limit of Tabriz city to the salt flats of Urmia Lake in the northwest of Iran. The study area is surrounded by southern slopes of Mishow and Morow and west Garadug Mountains (north), northern slopes of Sahand Mountain (south), Tabriz city (east) and Urmia lake salt marsh lands (west) (Fig. 1). The elevation of the area ranges between 1247 and 3600 m above sea level. The land surface elevation of the area is highly variable, which represents a more or less uniform geomorphic feature in the plain. Regionally, the area is dipping towards southwest and as a result the movement of water follows a route from northeast to southwest direction. The average annual precipitation is about 230 mm. The highest (48.2 mm) and lowest (4 mm) precipitation occurs in the spring and summer seasons, respectively. Monthly averages for precipitation, temperature and humidity are shown in Fig. 2. The average annual temperature in the study area is about 12.8 °C; the temperature often reaches 2.1 °C in summer and drops below freezing (−0.5 °C) in winter. The maximum and minimum humidity are 69 and 32%, respectively. The plain lies within the cold and dry climate according to the Emberger (1930) classification (Barzegar 2014; Barzegar et al. 2015, 2016a).

Agriculture and industry are the main human activities in the study area. Industrial activities are relatively intensive in the west of the Tabriz city. Fertilizers that contain nutrients such as nitrogen are used excessively to increase production in the agricultural lands. Numerous factories, including petrochemical, leather tanning, metal smelting and food processing are located in the study area. A large volume of waste water is released from these factories which enter into the Aji-Chay River.

Geology and hydrogeology

The geology of the study area is relatively complex including in general formations from Devonian to Quaternary ages (Fig. 3). The North Tabriz fault, the most important fault in the area, separates Morow Mountains from the alluvial deposits (Asghri Moghaddam 1991). The Upper Red Formation (Miocene series) is outcropped in the northeast of the Tabriz area and made up of red marls with gypsum, conglomerate, salt and marly limestone’s with thickness of up to 1000 m. Pliocene beds are exposed in the east of Tabriz and overlie the Upper Red Formations with normal and undisturbed contact. They consist of lacustrine yellow marl, sandstone with sand and lignite, and grey, blue, greenish clay with so-called “Fish beds” (Barzegar et al. 2015, 2016c).

The Plio-Pleistocene volcanic tuffs have an extended exposure and overlie the Pliocene beds to the south of the Tabriz plain around the core of the Sahand volcano. The formation consists of red and green andesite tuff admixed with large quantities of blocks, boulders, gravel and sand of volcanic and alluvial origin with thickness of up to 500 meters and it has good quality groundwater resources (Barzegar et al. 2015, 2016b).
The Quaternary Alluvial deposits dominate in the west of the area. These deposits include alluvium, fans and recent Aji-Chay terraces, which consist of several beds of gravel, sand, silt and clay and become progressively finer and more clayey towards the west of the plain. A simplified stratigraphic sequence in the study area is shown in Fig. 4.

The Aji-Chay River, most important river in the area, enters to the plain from its northwest boundary, and flows along the central part of the plain towards the west, and at the end of the plain eventually discharges to the Urmia Lake (Barzegar et al. 2016d, 2017). The Mehran-Rood River passes inside the Tabriz city and then joins the Aji-Chay River at the western end of the city. The Gomanab-Chay and Sinekh-Chay Rivers join to the Aji-Chay River from right bank side and the Sard-Rood and Onsor-Rood Rivers from left bank side (Fig. 1). These rivers form alluvial fans at the entrance of the plain (Barzegar and Asghari Moghaddam 2016).

The Aji-Chay, Gomanab-Chay and Sinekh-Chay Rivers cross the Upper Red formations, therefore these rivers transport fine suspended solid materials during the higher
Fig. 3 Geological map of the study area
discharges and saline water during the lower discharge periods (Barzegar et al. 2016a). The Aji-Chay River deposits are composed by alluvial terraces in the central parts of the plain and divide the plain in hydrogeological terms, into two southern and northern parts (Barzegar et al. 2015). There is an unconfined aquifer, which is extended over all the plain whereas the Aji-Chay River course terraces are formed multilayered sediments that the deeper layers contained confined aquifers. Therefore, the central part of the Tabriz plain contains unconfined and confined aquifers while, close to highlands there are only unconfined aquifers (Fig. 5). Maximum thickness of the unconfined aquifer is 100 m and it decreases to 50 m toward the central part of the plain (Barzegar et al. 2015). The Aji-Chay River terraces form multilayer aquifers, but separation of unconfined aquifer from confined aquifers with respect to water quality occurs at 50–60 m below the ground surface. Groundwater quality above 60 m depth is saline, whereas from 60 to 120 m it is fresh water (Asghari Moghaddam 1991; Barzegar et al. 2016b, c). The geological cross sections of the plain are shown in Fig. 6. A detailed description of the hydrogeology of the Tabriz plain is presented in works by Asghari Asghri Moghaddam (1991), Barzegar (2014), Barzegar et al. (2015, 2016a, b, c), Barzegar and Asghari Moghaddam (2016). The general direction of groundwater flow follows the topography of the area, mainly from northeast to southwest and east to west (Fig. 5).

The hydraulic parameters of the aquifers are widely variable due to the lithological and geometric variability of the deposits. Pumping test analyses showed that the values of transmissivity for the confined aquifer ranged from 820 to 2174 m/d, whilst an average transmissivity of the order of 3500 m/d was obtained from the pumping test analyses of the unconfined aquifer (Barzegar et al. 2016c).

### Groundwater sampling and chemical analysis

Totally 30 groundwater samples were collected from shallow and deep wells in July 2012. Location of the sampling points is shown in Fig. 5. The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of the groundwater samples were measured in the field. The collected water samples were analyzed in the laboratory for cations (Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$ and K$^+$) and anions (HCO$_3^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, Cl$^-$ and NO$_3^-$) using the standard methods as suggested by the American Public Health Association (APHA 2005). Sodium (Na$^+$) and potassium (K$^+$) were determined by flame photometer. Calcium (Ca$^{2+}$), carbonate (CO$_3^{2-}$), bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) and chloride (Cl$^-$) were analyzed by volumetric methods and sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) and nitrate (NO$_3^-$) by spectrophotometry at “Hydrogeology Laboratory of University of Tabriz”. Statistical parameters of analyzed samples are shown in Table 1. EC values were used to approximate total dissolved solids (TDS) by the following equation (Lloyd and Heathcote 1985):

$$\text{TDS} = K_e \cdot \text{EC},$$

where TDS is expressed in mg L$^{-1}$ and electrical conductivity (EC) in $\mu$S cm$^{-1}$. The correlation factor varies
between 0.55 and 0.8. In this study, we assumed the average value 0.65 to approximate TDS.

The accuracy of the analysis was calculated from the ion balance equation (Eq. 2).

\[ B = \frac{(C - A)}{(C + A)} \times 100, \]  

where \( C \) and \( A \) are the concentrations of total cations and total anions, respectively, in meq L\(^{-1}\), and \( B \) is the percentage of ionic balance error (Domenico and Schwartz 1990). The ion balance error did not exceed 5% in any of the sample analyses.

The saturation indices of the minerals in the water were calculated by the saturation index (SI) using PHREEQC version 3.0. The SI is defined as (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999):

\[ SI = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{IAP}}{K_{sp}} \right), \]  

where IAP is the ion activity product of the dissociated chemical species in solution, \( K_{sp} \) is the equilibrium solubility product of the chemical involved at the sample temperature. The SI < 0 indicates that the groundwater is undersaturated (dissolution) with respect to that particular mineral, while the SI > 0 specifies that the groundwater being supersaturated (precipitation) with respect to the particular mineral phase and therefore incapable of dissolving more mineral phase (Barzegar et al. 2015).
Descriptive statistics of computed SIs of some minerals, including calcite, dolomite, gypsum, anhydrite and halite are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1** Descriptive statistics of EC, pH, analyzed ions and some computed minerals saturation indices for the groundwater samples

| Unit     | Minimum | Median | Mean   | Maximum | Variance | Std. deviation |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|
| EC       | μS cm⁻¹ | 693.00 | 3400.00| 4300.00 | 12,500.00| 8.6 × 10⁶       | 2940.00        |
| pH       | –       | 7.50   | 7.90   | 7.90    | 8.40     | 0.05           | 0.23           |
| Ca²⁺     | meq L⁻¹ | 1.95   | 10.04  | 10.26   | 23.00    | 36.78          | 6.06           |
| Mg²⁺     | meq L⁻¹ | 0.96   | 6.62   | 7.89    | 24.00    | 24.31          | 4.93           |
| Na⁺      | meq L⁻¹ | 1.60   | 16.62  | 27.8    | 93.91    | 615.66         | 24.81          |
| K⁺       | meq L⁻¹ | 0.35   | 0.95   | 1.30    | 3.80     | 0.72           | 0.84           |
| HCO₃⁻    | meq L⁻¹ | 3.83   | 5.75   | 6.15    | 10.87    | 3.36           | 1.83           |
| CO₃²⁻    | meq L⁻¹ | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.18    | 0.88     | 0.084          | 0.28           |
| Cl⁻      | meq L⁻¹ | 1.40   | 19.40  | 31.70   | 113.00   | 876.00         | 29.59          |
| SO₄²⁻    | meq L⁻¹ | 1.29   | 7.18   | 8.12    | 17.68    | 24.19          | 4.91           |
| NO₃⁻     | meq L⁻¹ | 0.01   | 0.68   | 0.89    | 3.93     | 95.00          | 0.97           |
| SI_{Calcite} | –     | 0.10   | 0.98   | 0.91    | 1.25     | 0.10           | 0.32           |
| SI_{Dolomite} | –     | 1.13   | 2.21   | 2.09    | 2.91     | 0.16           | 0.41           |
| SI_{Gypsum} | –     | –2.39  | –0.109 | –1.04   | 0.86     | 0.42           | 0.65           |
| SI_{Anhydrite} | –    | –2.61  | –1.30  | –1.35   | –0.70    | 0.17           | 0.42           |
| SI_{Halite}  | –     | –7.32  | –5.25  | –5.15   | –3.13    | 0.71           | 0.84           |

Results and discussion

Hydrochemical facies

Chadha’s classification (Chadha 1999) was proposed to identify the groundwater type. As shown in Fig. 7, the main hydrochemical facies in the study area are Na–Cl and mixed Ca–Mg–Cl. Only one of data points fall in field 5 (Ca–Mg–HCO₃ water type) which indicates alkaline earths and weak acidic anions exceed both alkali metals and strong acidic anions, respectively. Such water has temporary hardness. Thirteen data points fall in the field 6 (Ca–Mg–Cl water type) which represent alkaline earths that exceed alkali metals and strong acidic anions exceed weak acidic anions. Such water has permanent hardness and does not deposit residual sodium carbonate in irrigation use. Sixteen data points fall in field 7 (Na–Cl water type) which represent alkali metals exceed alkaline earths and strong acidic anions exceed weak acidic anions. Such water generally creates salinity problems both in irrigation and drinking uses (Sutharsiny et al. 2012).

Spatial variability of groundwater quality parameters

The EC values of the groundwater samples range from 693 μS cm⁻¹ in the close the northern slopes of the Sahand Mountains to 12,500 μS cm⁻¹ in the southwest region of the aquifer. Low EC (sample 1) is located in the recharge areas originating from coarse-grained alluvial fans, while individual elevated EC values (e.g., sample 7) should have probably variable origins, such as the low depth of water table and subsequent increased evaporation, the elevated salinity of Aji-Chay River (average EC = 9500 μS cm⁻¹) which is hydraulically connected to groundwater (Barzegar et al. 2016a) and, the excessive use of salts from industrial tanning activities. Spatial distribution of the EC is shown in Fig. 8a. Generally, EC values increase from recharge areas in eastern and southern edges towards discharge areas in west and southwest. However, anomalies can be observed...
in this trend due to geological formations, groundwater extraction amount, size and type of sediments, depth of groundwater and, interactions between aquifer systems in the area. It should be noted that the depth of sampling wells can affect the EC values, because the groundwater salinity of the confined and unconfined aquifers varies significantly. The critical threshold of 1500 $\mu$S cm$^{-1}$ (World Health Organization (WHO) 2011) for drinking water
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**Fig. 8** Spatial distribution of (a) EC, (b) pH, (c) Ca$^{2+}$, (d) Mg$^{2+}$, (e) Na$^+$(f) K$^+$, (g) HCO$_3^-$, (h) SO$_4^{2-}$, (i) Cl$^-$, (j) NO$_3^-$ concentration in the study area.
purposes is exceeded in all samples except three of them (1, 8 and 9); a fact which denotes a significant environmental pressures of salinity.

The pH of samples varies between 7.5 and 8.4. Generally, samples which are in the range of pH between 6 and 9 are in their natural state (Stumm and Morgan 1996). This is due to the natural waters generally containing dissolved carbon dioxide and hydrogen ions and carbonates (Mokhtar et al. 2009) which forms a buffer system (Eq. 4 and 5).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \\
\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 & \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-}.
\end{align*}
\]

(4) (5)

The distribution map of pH values (Fig. 8b) shows that pH is high in the eastern part of the study area due to high bicarbonate levels in groundwater, while pH levels are decreased towards the central parts due to low levels of bicarbonate and high salinity. As well as, groundwater pH increases with water residual time because the interaction of water–rock will consume H\(^+\) (Hinkle and Polette 1999). Therefore, pH is increased in the central parts of plain due to low hydraulic gradient and high water residual time.

The calcium content of the samples varies between 39 and 460 mg L\(^{-1}\). According to World Health Organization (WHO) (2011) standards, its permissible range in drinking water is 75 mg L\(^{-1}\). Calcium in the groundwater can originate from Miocene and Pliocene formations, e.g. limestone, sandstone, conglomerate, gypsum in the study area. Concentration of calcium against sodium is low, revealing a lack of soluble calcium minerals, as calcium of groundwater is replaced by sodium through ion exchange reaction (Sharma and Rao 1997).

Sodium ion appears an elevated concentrations; the amount of Na\(^+\) ion increases from recharge to discharge areas, likewise Cl\(^-\) denoting their relationship. The origin of Na\(^+\) in groundwater of the study area may be attributed to the dissolution of sodium-bearing minerals (especially halite), plagioclase weathering (Eq. 6), ion exchange reactions (replacing the calcium and magnesium with sodium in clays), irrigation water return flow and municipal and/or industrial wastewater.

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 + 2\text{CO}_2 + 11\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 2\text{Na}^+ + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + 4\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4(aq) + \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4. 
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

The potassium concentration is variable ranging between 13.8 and 148.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 8i), while the optimal level of potassium in drinking water is 12 mg L\(^{-1}\) which indicates potassium is excessive in groundwater (World Health Organization (WHO) 2011). Potassium in the groundwater of the study area can originate from weathering K-bearing minerals such as feldspars (Eq. 7), industrial activities and agricultural fertilizers potash which is used by farmers. Additionally, irrigation water return flow may increase overall K concentrations,

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{KAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 + 2\text{CO}_2 + 11\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 2\text{K}^+ + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + 4\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4(aq) + \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4. 
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

Spatial distribution of bicarbonate ion is shown in Fig. 8g. Bicarbonate concentrations range between 234.1 and 663.1 mg L\(^{-1}\). Elevated Ca concentrations may be attributed to dissolution of limestones, Ca-rich feldspars, and potentially due to biodegradation or organic matter in upper soil horizons.

Sulfate concentrations vary between 62.2 and 847.6 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 8h). Sulfate concentration greater than 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) causes a bitter taste in water and in higher concentration of 400 mg L\(^{-1}\) with calcium and magnesium can cause frailty in the body (Shankar et al. 2008). Anomalies of sulfate spatial shows compliance with calcium and magnesium distribution maps which indicates these ions originate from dissolution of Miocene and Pliocene formations which contain gypsum and anhydrite. The concentration of sulfate shows a high anomaly in Tabriz city which is affected by contamination from municipal and industrial wastewater. Also, irrigation water return flow can be another source of the SO\(_4^{2-}\) in the groundwater of the study area.

Chloride concentrations range between 49.7 and 2041.2 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the groundwater of the aquifers (Fig. 8i). According to World Health Organization (WHO) (2011), concentration of chloride should not exceed 250 mg L\(^{-1}\). The origin of chloride in groundwater of the study area can originate from evaporative deposits of the Miocene formations, contamination from municipal waste and urban waste and irrigation water return flow. This statement can be confirmed with moderate-to-high correlations between Cl\(^-\) and Na\(^+\) (r = 0.965), Cl\(^-\) and K\(^+\) (r = 0.843), Cl\(^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) (r = 0.617). The chloride increase in the plain groundwater is justified by evaporative conditions due to shallow water level, fine-grained sediments and low hydraulic gradient and thus more groundwater residual time and more dissolution.

Nitrate concentrations vary between 4 and 243.7 mg L\(^{-1}\) with an average concentration of 55.6 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 8j). Many parameters such as the amount of fertilizer used, surface water quality, land use type, depth of groundwater level, land drainage by the river and sediment type have resulted in variations of nitrate concentrations in different parts of the plain (Barzegar 2014). The lowest nitrate concentration is obtained from the northwest of the plain (sample 16) because of low agricultural activity, confined aquifers in these areas and fine-grained sediments in comparison with other parts of
concentrations. Figure 10 shows that Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) are strongly correlated with TDS with an \(R^2\) of 0.9374 and 0.9739, respectively, which represent that these ions are the most effective in the mineralization and salinization of the groundwater of the study area. As well as, cross-plot of K\(^+\) with TDS (Fig. 10d) shows a good correlation with an \(R^2\) of 0.7254, indicating that potassium contributes, with both Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\), in groundwater mineralization.

As mentioned previously, dissolution of minerals such as gypsum (CaSO\(_4\)\(\cdot\)2H\(_2\)O), anhydrite (CaSO\(_4\)) and halite (NaCl) occurs frequently across the study area. The results of the computed SI values for these mineral phases show that groundwater is significantly undersaturated with respect to halite (\(-7.32 \leq SI \leq -3.13\)) and, to a lesser extent, with respect to anhydrite (\(-2.61 \leq SI \leq -0.7\)) and gypsum (\(-2.39 \leq SI \leq 0.86\)), indicating that these minerals can dissolve into the groundwater of the plain. Some groundwater samples have elevated Ca\(^2+\) and Mg\(^2+\) concentrations relative to HCO\(_3^-\) which are balanced in charge by SO\(_4^{2-}\). These sulfate-rich waters probably derive from dissolution of gypsum and/or anhydrite. The well-defined relationship in the plot of Ca\(^2+\) versus SO\(_4^{2-}\) (Fig. 12a) confirms this deduction (Barth 2000). Also, it can be understood by the parabolic trend observed in the correlation of the negative saturation indices, with regard to the gypsum and anhydrite minerals, versus the sum of calcium and sulfate ions (Fig. 11a, b) resulting from the CaSO\(_4\) dissolution (Hamed et al. 2011). The plot of Ca\(^2+\) versus SO\(_4^{2-}\) can be evidence of the predominance of the cation exchange (Tarki et al. 2011). As shown in Fig. 11a, the excess of Ca\(^2+\) in groundwater samples indicating that Ca\(^2+\) releases into water to compensate the adsorption of Na\(^+\) while samples exhibiting an excess of SO\(_4^{2-}\) reflects a probably oxidizing environment of the Tabriz plain. Barzegar et al. (2015) indicated the oxidizing environment of the unconfined aquifer of the Tabriz plain by measuring of Eh between 234 and 284 mv. The computed SI values show that groundwater is supersaturated with respect to calcite (0.1 \(\leq SI \leq 1.25\)) and dolomite (1.13 \(\leq SI \leq 2.91\)), indicating that these minerals precipitate in groundwater. This condition brings solution to equilibrium with respect to these carbonates and subsequently transported into a different environment where a higher pH or an apparent condition caused by the failure of the measured pH to accurately represent the actual equilibrium pH of the water in the aquifer (Kortatsi 2007; Christian et al. 2014).

The plot of Ca\(^2+\) + Mg\(^2+\) versus Na\(^+\) (Fig. 12b) was used to identify the ion exchange process. This plot shows that data points fall in the both sides of the 1:1 line indicating that ion exchange as well as some reverse ion exchange is taking place in the study area. Reverse ion exchange normally occurs in the presence of clays, As previously mentioned aquifer materials contain clays,
where the Na\(^+\) is removed from the system with the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) + Mg\(^{2+}\).

The plot of Na\(^+\)/Cl\(^-\) versus EC was used to characterize the impact of the evaporation on the groundwater chemistry. This plot would give a horizontal line, which would then be an effective indicator of the concentration by evaporation, evapotranspiration and halite dissolution. Theoretically, the Na\(^+\)/Cl\(^-\) ratio approximately equal to one is attributed to halite dissolution, whereas a ratio greater than one is typically indicative for Na\(^+\) release due to silicate weathering (Meybeck 1987). Generally the molar ratio of Na\(^+\)/Cl\(^-\) for groundwater samples ranges from 0.38 to 2.1 (Fig. 12b). Most of the samples have Na\(^+\)/Cl\(^-\) molar ratio below one, indicating that halite dissolution was the major process. Scatter plot of EC versus Na\(^+\)/Cl\(^-\) shows an inclined trend line, which indicates that evaporation may not be the major geochemical process controlling the chemistry of the groundwater. As well as, halite dissolution indicates by the well-defined relationship in the correlation of the negative saturation indices versus the sum of ions resulting from the NaCl dissolution (Fig. 11c).

The impact of silicate weathering on groundwater system can be found by plot of Na\(^+\) + K\(^+\) versus total cations (TC). As shown in Fig. 11d, most of the data points are plotted above the Na\(^+\) + K\(^+\) = 0.5 TC. This indicates the
involvement of silicate weathering in the groundwater system, which contributes Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) to the groundwater (Stallard and Edmond 1983; Rajmohan and Elango 2004; Senthilkumar and Elango 2013).

As previously mentioned, agriculture and industry are the main human activities in the study area. Therefore, the chemistry of the groundwater can be influenced by anthropogenic activities. Gillardet et al. (1999) suggested
that variation in TDS of groundwater may be attributed to land use and as a result of contamination. It is well known that in rural areas NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions are mostly derived from agricultural fertilizers, animal waste, and municipal and industrial sewage (Jalali 2009; Nagaraju et al. 2014). Han and Liu (2004) and Jalali (2009) suggested that the high correlation between TDS and (NO$_3^- + Cl^-)/HCO_3^-$ molar ratios reveals the influence of anthropogenic activities on water chemistry. As shown in Fig. 13, there is a positive correlation with an $R^2$ of 0.8603 between TDS values and (NO$_3^- + Cl^-)/HCO_3^-$ molar ratios which indicates that human activities affect the chemistry of the groundwater.

Gibbs (1970) plots of log TDS against Na$^+$/($Na^+ + Ca^{2+}$) and Cl$^-$/($Cl^- + HCO_3^-$) were used to identify the groundwater interaction, with precipitation (rainfall), rock and evaporation, as the mechanisms controlling the groundwater chemistry. The ratios Na$^+$/($Na^+ + Ca^{2+}$) of as well as anions Cl$^-$/($Cl^- + HCO_3^-$) are plotted from the rock domain towards the evaporation domain (Fig. 14a, b), which reflect that rock–water interaction, as a major source of dissolved ions, and evaporation occur in the groundwater system. Some of the plotted data points in the evaporation domain show a dense clustering. As suggested by Li et al. (2013), the dense clustering of points in the evaporation domain can be an indication of anthropogenic activities.

**Conclusions**

Electrical conductivity (EC) values of the groundwater are highly variable. The elevated EC values should be chiefly attributed to irrigation water return flow. However, additional causes such as the induced evaporation due to low depth of water table, the hydraulic connection with the Aji-Chay River and, the dissolution of evaporitic formations act as supplementary sources of salinization and contribute the overall outcome. Mixed Ca–Mg–Cl and Na–Cl type of groundwater is predominant in the study area. The concentrations of some major ions in groundwater are above the permissible limit for drinking and domestic purpose except for a few locations. Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ are strongly correlated with TDS with an $R^2$ of 0.9374 and 0.9739, respectively, which indicates that these ions are the most effective in the mineralization and salinization of the groundwater of the study area. Scatter plots show that rock–water interaction, evaporation, cation exchange and anthropogenic activities are predominant processes that take place in the aquifer. A strong correlation with $R^2 = 0.8603$ between TDS values and ($NO_3^- + Cl^-)/HCO_3^-$ molar ratios indicates the impact of human activities on groundwater chemistry. The results of saturation index (SI) computation and different scatter plots show that dissolution of gypsum,
anhydrite, halite and silicate minerals occurred frequently across the study area, while groundwater is supersaturated with respect to calcite and dolomite; therefore, these minerals precipitate in the groundwater.
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