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INTRODUCTION

Overweight and obesity are an increasing public health problem in both developed and developing countries. The prevalence of overweight and obesity varies from country to country. The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that 39% of adults aged 18 and over are overweight or obese. In developing countries the prevalence of obesity is more visible in urban areas with up to 20 to 50% of the urban population of African countries are estimated to be overweight or obese. The association between accumulated risk of non-communicable diseases and overweight and obesity has been well described. Cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and some type's cancers have grown rapidly and will cause more than three-quarters of all deaths in 2030. The risk factors for these non-communicable diseases include high blood pressure, concentrations of cholesterol in the blood, insufficient intake of fruits and vegetables, but also, sedentary lifestyle, overweight, and obesity. Several well-known risk factors for obesity, including poor diet and low levels of physical activity, have been reported. The level of physical activity has an impact on energy balance, and is, therefore, one of the environmental factors that contribute to overweight and obesity. Some studies have found a positive relationship between parity and obesity in all women in developed countries. Research suggests that excessive gestational weight price increases postpartum retention for up to 3 years after childbirth. Therefore, it is important to understand the trends in the prevalence of overweight and obesity in the population and in particular among women in order to plan appropriate interventions. To our knowledge, this has not been described before in Algerian women. Thus, the objectives of this study were to determine the trend of overweight and obesity in Algerian women sample (115 participants) using the self-questionnaire to examine the socio-demographic determinants of overweight and obesity in this sample.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The data of this study were obtained using a sample survey, carried out using a self-questionnaire sent by social networks to a random sample of Algerian women (115 participants), focusing on anthropometric measurements.
(Age, height, weight and body mass index (BMI)), and socio-demographic characteristic (as marital, parity and professional status). According to WHO, BMI is calculated as weight/height squared (kg/m²). Commonly BMI ranges are underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5≤BMI<25 kg/m²), moderate overweight (25≤BMI<30 kg/m²), severe overweight or true obesity (BMI≥30 kg/m²), and excessive overweight or severe obesity (BMI≥35 kg/m²).

Table 2 shows that among the sample studied, 40% (N=46) are married, and have the most significantly higher body weight and BMI, which puts them in the overweight category (27.44±9.62 kg/m²) compared to the 60% (N=69) of unmarried participants which belongs to the normal BMI category (24.31±7.14 kg/m²). Comparison of BMI according to parity status (table 3) indicates that among the 46 married women, 26.09% (N = 12) are nulliparous, 10.87% (N = 5) are primiparous, and 63.04% (N = 29) are multiparous, and belong all of them to overweight category, which indicate that there are no direct relationship between increasing BMI and parity status. Whereas, the comparison of BMI according to the participants’ professional status (table 4) indicate that housewives have the highest BMI (27.75±11.83 kg/m²), belonging to overweight category, compared to working women or students (25.51±6.09 and 22.54±2.75 respectively) which belongs to the normal BMI category.

### Table 1: Participants’ characteristics according to age categories

| Characteristics | Total (N=115) | ≤ 25 (N=26) | 25-34 (N=63) | ≥ 35 (N=26) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age (years)     | 30.89±8.93  | 22.08±2.71  | 29.60±2.93  | 42.81±7.33  |
| Height (m)      | 1.62±0.11   | 1.62±0.08   | 1.63±0.10   | 1.57±0.10   |
| Weight (kg)     | 65.33±12.31 | 61.86±10.49 | 64.76±11.62 | 70.17±12.03 |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 25.56±8.33  | 23.52±3.71  | 24.79±8.31  | 29.48±6.84  |

Data are expressed as means ± SD. A comparison between groups was made using the t-test. Column not sharing a common letter (a–b) differ significantly at p < 0.05.

### Table 2: Participants’ characteristics according to marital status

| Characteristics | Married (N=46) | Unmarried (N=69) |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Height (m)      | 1.61±0.12     | 1.61±0.10      |
| Weight (kg)     | 68.98±13.58   | 68.03±10.81    |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 27.44±9.62    | 24.31±7.14     |

Data are expressed as means ± SD. A comparison between groups was made using the t-test. Column not sharing a common letter (a–b) differ significantly at p < 0.05.

### Table 3: Participants’ characteristics according to parity status

| Characteristics | Nulliparous (N=12) | Primiparous (N=5) | Multiparous (N=29) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Height (m)      | 1.61±0.16           | 1.64±0.09         | 1.61±0.10          |
| Weight (kg)     | 68.71±14.41         | 75.10±17.24       | 68.03±12.84        |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 28.30±13.93         | 28.52±9.77        | 26.60±5.47         |

Data are expressed as means ± SD. A comparison between groups was made using the t-test. Column not sharing a common letter (a–b) differ significantly at p < 0.05.
Table 4: Participants’ characteristics according to professional status

| Characteristics | Working women (N=45) | Unemployed/housewives (N=41) | Students (N=29) |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Height (m)      | 1.03±0.08a           | 1.59±0.15a                  | 1.64±0.08a      |
| Weight (kg)     | 66.78±13.83a         | 67.02±11.99a                | 60.55±8.89a     |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 25.51±6.09a          | 27.75±11.83b                | 22.54±2.75a     |

Data are expressed as means ± SD. A comparison between groups was made using the t-test. Column not sharing a common letter (a–b) differ significantly at p < 0.05.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of overweight and obesity continues to increase around the world. The epidemic of obesity and overweight is one of the most important health problems worldwide and is estimated to be the second leading cause of preventable death in high-income countries. In this study, we found that the prevalence of overweight was significantly high in women over 35, which indicates that the BMI of the women studied increases with increasing age. Similar results were observed in a study conducted in Saudi Arabia on 1125 women, aged between 18 and 60, which concluded that obesity and overweight were more prevalent in women between 30–39 years. Song, et al. (2019) found that the prevalence of both overweight and obesity was high in middle age (45–64 years). Moreover, a Danish study indicates that the increase in obesity and severe overweight among the Danish population is in line with the general international increase. There is a considerable increase in the proportion of Danish adults with a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² corresponding to an additional 75,000 people aged 30 to 60 years. In addition, our finding indicated that the marital status analysis showed a significant increase in BMI of married women (27.44±9.62 kg/m²) compared to unmarried participants. These results are in agreement with the finding of a Polish study which examined the relationship between marital status and BMI, and the prevalence of overweight in a Polish sample population comprising 4122 women, aged from 25 to 60, and conclude that age, marital status, and education were significantly (P < 0.001) related to the BMI. A Bangladesh study conducted on BMI of married women and its relation to socio-demographic factors indicated that BMI increased with increasing age, education level of the woman and her husband, and on the contrary, lower BMI was especially pronounced among women who were living in rural areas, non-Muslims, employed women, and separated women. The study conducted by Lee et al. (2005) on the effects of marital transitions on changes in dietary in US women indicated that divorced women had a BMI decrease of 0.65 kg/m², and compared with women who remained unmarried, women who remarried had a significant increase in mean BMI of 0.41 kg/m². Kutub et al., (2017) indicated also that women’s transitions into marriage/marriage-like relationship were associated with a greater increase in BMI relative to remaining unmarried women. Additionally, marriage is associated with an increased conversion to becoming obese for African American women. Although our results indicate that the increase in BMI was associated with the marital status there is no direct relationship between overweight and parity status. While other studies indicate that there is a relationship between childbearing and increased BMI in women. Carlos et al., (2017) showed a positive association between parity and overweight among younger mothers. Al-Malki, et al., (2003) The prevalence of overweight and obesity was higher among married women (childbearing age) than among single women. Whereas, the comparison of BMI according to the participants’ professional status indicate that housewives have the highest BMI and belong to overweight category, compared to working women or students which belongs to the normal BMI category. This rise in BMI can be explained by the lack of physical activity of housewives compared to working women and students. These results are in agreement with those of Tan and Yim, (2010) who found in their study on weight Status, body image perception, and physical activity of Malay Housewives, that 50.4% of the housewives were categorized under low physical activity level. The study of Al-Baghli et al., (2010) on obesity and overweight in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia, confirms our results and indicates that obesity was higher among housewives than men. Another study conducted on the obesity risk and related factors in employed and unemployed women indicated that in addition to the lack of physical activities, housewives group had a higher measured glucose level. In summary, our study has shown that among the age categories studied, women over 35 have the highest BMIs and are likely to be severely overweight. Add to this the marital and professional status of women play also an important role in the prevalence of overweight.

CONCLUSION

We assessed the prevalence of overweight among Algerian sample women, and we conclude from the data collected in this study, that the prevalence of overweight is directly related to the age, marital and professional status of Algerian women.
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