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This manuscript is related with the development of Alpha Power Generalized Inverse Rayleigh (APGIR) Distribution. The suggested model provides fit of life time data more efficiently. Some of the important characteristics of the suggested model are obtained including moments, moment generating function, quantile, mode, order statistics, stress-strength parameter, and entropies. Parameter estimates are obtained by MLE technique. The performance of the suggested model is evaluated using real-world data sets. The findings of the simulation and real data sets suggest that the newly proposed model is superior to other current competitor models.

1. Introduction

Rayleigh distribution (RD) is a special model and a modified form of Weibull distribution when shape parameter equals 2. The RD has many applications in various disciplines including engineering and medical sciences, astronomy, and Physics. The RD has been well investigated in the literature. Some researchers have examined its significant properties [1–3]. Hoffman and Karst [4] studied characteristics of the RD and demonstrated how it can be used to analyze the responses of marine vehicles to wave excitation. Dyer and Whisenand [5] also demonstrated the use of RD in communication engineering. Polovko [6] showed how it can be applied to electro vacuum devices. There are various variants of RD recently introduced by researchers that may be used for fitting of data more adequately. Voda [7] proposed generalized Rayleigh (GR) distribution. Voda [8, 9] obtained the ML estimates of the RD. Bhattacharya and Tyagi [10] used RD for the analysis of medical data. Gomes et al. [11] suggested Kumaraswamy generalized Rayleigh (KGR) distribution. Merovci [12] presented transmuted Rayleigh (TR) distribution for investigating lifetime data. Cordeiro et al. [13] developed beta generalized Rayleigh (BGR) distribution. They also studied its main mathematical features. Leao et al. [14] proposed beta inverse Rayleigh (BIR) distribution. Ahmad et al. [15] offered transmuted inverse Rayleigh (TIR) distribution. Iriarte et al. [16] proposed slashed generalized Rayleigh (SGR) distribution. Lalitha and Mishra [17], Ariyawansa and Templeton [18], Howlader and Hossain [19], Sinha and Howlader [20], and Abd Elfattah et al. [21] are just few among others who contributed to RD.

Let $X$ be a random variable having Rayleigh distribution. Symbolically, $X \sim R(\theta)$. Then, its CDF and PDF are

$$f(x) = 2\theta^2 x \exp\left(-\theta x^2\right), x \geq 0, \theta > 0,$$

$$F(x) = 1 - \exp\left(-\theta x^2\right), x \geq 0, \theta > 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\theta$ represents scale parameter.
One important variant of RD is the Inverse Rayleigh Distribution (IRD), an important lifetime distribution. If \( X \) follows RD, then \( 1/X \) has the IRD. The PDF and CDF of IRD are provided by

\[
g(y; \omega) = \frac{2\omega^2}{x^3} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\omega}{x}\right)^2\right)\, y, \omega > 0, \tag{2}
\]

\[
G(x; \omega) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\omega}{x}\right)^2\right)\, x, \omega > 0.
\]

It has several uses in different fields including reliability analysis, engineering, and medicine. Voda [22] used the IRD to estimate the lifetime distribution of many experimental units. Trayer [23] proposed the IRD to accommodate survival and reliability data. Voda [22] discussed several properties and derived expression of ML estimator for parameters of IRD. Mukarjee and Maitim [24] also studied some important statistical properties of IRD. Closed form expressions for some descriptive statistics of the IR distribution were developed by Gharraph [25]. Furthermore, Soliman et al. [26] and Gharraph [25] obtained parameter estimates of IRD using classical and Bayesian estimating approaches, respectively. Various extensions of the IRD are available in the literature. These generalized forms have been used in different disciplines comprising survival and reliability analysis and so on. Rehman and Dar [27], Ahmad et al. [15], and Leao et al. [14] developed EIR, TIR, and BIR distributions, respectively. Shuaib Khan [28] developed a modified form of IRD and discussed it in depth. Potdar and Shirke [29] added an additional shape parameter to scale family of distributions, resulting in generalized inverted scale family of distributions. These distributions fit the complex data better, and conclusions made from them appeared to be quite comprehensive. Mudholkar et al. [30], Gupta et al. [31], Nadarajah and Kotz [32], and Mudholkar and Srivastava [33] studied generalization of several distributions in various statistical publications, generally employed in reliability estimation.

Reshi et al. [34] analyzed scale parameter of Generalized Inverse Rayleigh (GIR) distribution. The GIR distribution is quite good at fitting lifetime data. Some of the applications of GIR distribution include reliability analysis, operations research, applied statistics, and communication engineering. Bakoban and Abu Baker [35] discussed many important characteristics of GIR distribution.

The PDF and CDF of GIR distribution are specified by

\[
g(x; \omega) = \frac{2\omega^2}{x^3} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\omega}{x}\right)^2\right)\, (1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\omega}{x}\right)^2\right))^{y-1}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
x, \gamma, \theta > 0,
\]

\[
G(x; \omega) = 1 - \left[1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\omega}{x}\right)^2\right)\right]^\gamma, \quad \theta > 0. \tag{4}
\]

Here, \( \gamma \) and \( \theta \) represent scale and shape parameter, respectively.

In statistical theory, new distributions have been developed in the last few decades by incorporating a spare parameter, employing generators, or mixing existing distributions [36]. The major goal of doing so is to improve the modelling flexibility of lifetime data when compared with existing distributions.

This article is about the development of new probability distribution, known as Alpha Power Generalized Inverse Rayleigh (APGIR) distribution. This new model is obtained using Alpha Power Transformation [37].

2. Alpha Power Transformation (APT)

The APT was proposed by Mahdavi and Kundu [37]. This technique can be used to develop new distributions by introducing a new parameter into available distributions. The following is CDF and PDF of APT:

\[
F_{\text{APT}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha F(x) - 1}{\alpha - 1}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1, \\ F(x), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
f_{\text{APT}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} f(x) \frac{F(x)}{x}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1, \\ f(x), & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \tag{6}
\]

Initially, the proposed method of Mahdavi and Kundu [37] was used for the inclusion of additional parameter in exponential distribution. Later on, some other researchers used APT to some other distributions. Hassan et al. [38] used APT and proposed alpha power transformed extended exponential distribution. Nassar et al. [39] proposed Alpha Power Weibull distribution. Dina and Magdy [40] and Itisham et al. [41] introduced alpha power inverse Weibull (APIW) and alpha power Pareto (APP) distribution, respectively.

2.1. The Proposed Model. The main goal of this article is to develop a novel probability distribution termed as Alpha Power Generalized Inverse Rayleigh (APGIR) Distribution and to evaluate its flexibility in modelling life time data. The proposed model is a result of using the PDF and CDF of GIR distribution given in (3) and (4).

A random variable \( X \) is said to have Alpha Power Generalized Inverse Rayleigh distributed with three-parameters \( \alpha, \lambda, \) and \( \beta \) if its PDF is given by
Lemma 1. If \( f(x) \) is a decreasing function for \( \alpha < 1 \), then \( f(x) \) is also decreasing function.

Proof. If \( f(x) \) is differentiable function and \((d/dx)\log f(x) < 0\), then \( f(x) \) is also decreasing function and vice versa.

Taking the first derivative of the following expression, i.e.,

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \log f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \log \left[ \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda^2 x^3} \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)\right] \beta^{-1} \alpha^{1-[1-\exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)]^\beta} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \log f_{\text{APPR}}(x) = \frac{3}{x} - \frac{2 \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)}{\lambda^2 x^2} \left[1 + (\beta - 1) + \beta \log \alpha \left(1 - \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)\right)^{\beta^{-1}}\right].
\]

For non-negative and less than 1 values of \( \alpha \) and for \( \lambda \) and \( \beta > 0 \), it is clear that

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \log f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) < 0.
\]

Hence, for \( \alpha < 1 \), \( f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) \) is decreasing function. \( \square \)

The following are APGIR Hazard Rate (HR) Function and Survival Function (SF):

\[
F_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha^{-1-[1-\exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)]^\beta}}{\alpha - 1} - 1, & \alpha > 1, \\
0, & \alpha = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
h_{\text{APGIR}} = \frac{\text{pdf}}{\text{survival function}},
\]

\[
h_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = \frac{2\beta \log \alpha \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)\right]^{\beta^{-1}} \alpha^{1-[1-\exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)]^\beta}}{\lambda^2 x^3 \left(\alpha - \alpha^{1-[1-\exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)]^\beta}\right)}, \quad \alpha > 1,
\]

\[
S_{\text{APGIR}} = 1 - \text{CDF},
\]

\[
S_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{1-[1-\exp\left(-\lambda x^{-2}\right)]^\beta}}{\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha > 1.
\]

The functions PDF, CDF, HF, and SF are plotted in Figures 1(a), 1(b), 2(a), and 2(b), respectively.
\[
\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = \frac{3}{x^2} - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{\exp\left(-\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right) \left(2 - 3\lambda^2 x^2\right)}{\lambda^2 x^6} \left\{ 1 + (\beta - 1) + \beta \log \alpha \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right)\right)^{\beta - 1} \right\} \right] - \frac{\exp\left(-2\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right)}{\lambda^2 x^6} \left\{ 2\beta \log \alpha (\beta - 1) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right)\right)^{\beta - 2} \right\}. \tag{13}
\]

When \( \alpha \) is non-negative and less than 1 and when \( \lambda \) and \( \beta > 0 \), then \( \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)\log f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) > 0 \).

Thus, when \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) \) is log-convex [42].

2.2. Quantile Function (QF). Let \( X \sim \text{APGIR} (\alpha, \lambda, \beta) \), then the QF is described by

\[
x = F^{-1}(u), \tag{14}
\]
where \( u \sim U[0, 1] \). The QF of APGIR distribution is
\[
\frac{\alpha^{-1} 
\left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta}}{\alpha - 1} - 1 = u. \tag{15}
\]
After simplification, we have
\[
X_p = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[ -\log \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{\log \alpha - \log(\alpha - 1)}{\log \alpha} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\} \right]^{-1/2}.
\tag{16}
\]

2.3. Median. To obtain median, we have
\[
\frac{d}{dx} f_{\text{APGIR}}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda x^3} \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta - 1} \right) = 0,
\tag{19}
\]
Equation (19) is satisfied by mode of APGIR distribution.

2.4. Mode. To obtain mode, we have
\[
\mu' = E(X^r) = \int_0^\infty x^r \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda^2 x^3} \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta - 1} \alpha^{1 - \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right)} dx.
\tag{20}
\]

Put in (20) \( 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) = y \Rightarrow 2/\lambda^2 x^3 \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right) \exp \left( -\left( \lambda x \right)^{-2} \right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad y \rightarrow 0, \quad y = z^{1/\beta}.
\tag{21}
\]

2.5. \( R^{th} \) Moment of APGIR Distribution. Let \( X \sim \text{APGIR} (\alpha, \lambda, \beta) \), then the following is the \( r^{th} \) moment:
\[
\mu'_r = \frac{\alpha \log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^r \int_0^1 \left( -\log \left( 1 - y \right) \right)^{r/2} y^{1/2 - 1} dy.
\tag{22}
\]
Let \( y^\beta = z \Rightarrow \beta z^{1/\beta} \) where \( y \rightarrow 0, \ z \rightarrow 0 \), and \( y = z^{1/\beta} \).

(21) \Rightarrow \mu'_r = \frac{\alpha \log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^r \int_0^1 \left( -\log \left( 1 - z^{1/\beta} \right) \right)^{r/2} z^{1/\beta} dz.
Using the following series representation in (22),

\[-\log(1 - z^{1/\beta}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m(z^{1/\beta})^m}{m}\]  
for \(|z^{1/\beta}| < 1,\
(23)

\[\alpha^{-z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha)^k}{k!} (z)^k,\]

\[\mu_r' = \frac{\alpha \log \alpha}{(\alpha - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha)^k}{\lambda^r r!} \int_0^{1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m(z^{1/\beta})^m}{m} \right)^{-r/2} (z)^k dz.\]  
(24)

The expression of \(\mu_r'\) is incomplete integral; therefore, it can be solved approximately using numerical integration techniques.

2.6. Moment Generating Function (MGF). Let \(X \sim \text{APGIR} (\alpha, \lambda, \beta)\), then MGF is defined as follows:

\[M_x(t) = E(e^{tx}) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{tx} \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2 x^\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right) \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta - 1} \alpha^{-1} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta} dx.\]  
(25)

Using series notation \(e^{tx} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^r}{r!}\) in (25), we get

\[M_x(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^r}{r!} \int_0^{\infty} x^r \frac{2\beta}{\lambda^2 x^3} \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right) \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta - 1} \alpha^{-1} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta} dx.\]  
(26)

Utilize (24) in (26), we get

\[M_x(t) = \frac{\alpha \log \alpha}{(\alpha - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha)^k}{\lambda^r r!} \int_0^{1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m(z^{1/\beta})^m}{m} \right)^{-r/2} (z)^k dz.\]  
(27)

The result in equation (27) is incomplete integral, and it may be solved on the basis of numerical integration methods.

2.7. Mean Residual Life Function (MRLF). The MRLF is the average remaining life of a component that has survived till time \(t\). Here, \(X\) is lifetime of an object with \(f(x)\) and \(S(x)\) provided in (7) and (10), respectively. The MRLF is given by

\[\mu(t) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( E(t) - \int_0^{t} x f(x) dx \right) - t, \quad t \geq 0,\]  
(28)

where

\[\int_0^{t} x f(x) dx = \int_0^{1} x \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda^2 x^3} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta - 1} \alpha^{-1} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda x)^2}{\lambda^2 x^3}\right)\right]^{\beta} dx.\]  
(29)
Let $1 - \exp\left(-\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right) = y \Rightarrow \left(2/\lambda^2 x^3\right)\exp\left(-\left(\lambda x\right)^{-2}\right)dx = -dy$, $x = (1/\lambda)(-\log(1-y))^{-1/2}$.

Then,

$$
(30) \Rightarrow \int_0^t x f(x)dx = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)} \int_1^{1-\exp\left(-\left(\lambda t\right)^{-2}\right)} (-\log(1 - y))^{-1/2} \beta y^{-1} \alpha^{1-\gamma} dy. \quad (31)
$$

Put $1 - y^\theta = z \Rightarrow \beta y^{-1} dy = -dz$, $y = (1 - z)^{1/\beta}$ to have

$$
\int_0^1 x f(x)dx = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\log \alpha)^\gamma}{n!} (1-\exp\left(-\left(\lambda t\right)^{-2}\right))^\gamma \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k \left(-\left(1 - z\right)^{1/\beta}\right)^k}{k}\right)^{-1/2} (z)^\gamma dz. \quad (32)
$$

Using the following series representation in (32), we have

$$
-\log(1 - (1 - z)^{1/\beta}) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (-1)^k \left(-\left(1 - z\right)^{1/\beta}\right)^k / k,
$$

$$
\alpha^z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (\log \alpha)^\gamma / n! (z)^\gamma,
$$

$$
E(t) = \int_0^\infty t f(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t \log \alpha}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda^2 t^3} \exp\left(-\left(\lambda t\right)^{-2}\right)[1 - \exp\left(-\left(\lambda t\right)^{-2}\right)]^{\theta-1} \alpha^{1-\exp\left(-\left(\lambda t\right)^{-2}\right)} \right] dt. \quad (34)
$$

Put $1 - y^\theta = z \Rightarrow \beta y^{-1} dy = -dz$, $y = (1 - z)^{1/\beta}$ in (35) to have

$$
E(t) = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)} \int_0^1 (-\log(1 - (1 - z)^{1/\beta}))^{-1/2} \alpha^{z} dz. \quad (36)
$$

Using the following series representation in (36), we have

$$
E(t) = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (\log \alpha)^\gamma / n! (z)^\gamma \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k \left(-\left(1 - z\right)^{1/\beta}\right)^k}{k}\right)^{-1/2} z^\gamma dz.
$$
Putting (10), (31), and (35) in (28), we get

\[
\mu(t) = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda} \left( \alpha - \alpha^2 - \frac{\log \alpha}{n!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\log \alpha}{n!} \right)^n \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( -1 \right)^k \frac{\left( - (1 - z)^{1/\beta} \right)^k}{k} \right) z^m dz \right)^{-1/2}
\]

(38)

The result of \( \mu(t) \) is an incomplete integral. Numerically, it can be approximated utilizing numerical integration techniques.

2.8 Order Statistics. Suppose \( X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_n \) denote a sample of size \( n \). The corresponding order statistics are

\[
X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq ... \leq X_{(n)}.
\]

The PDF of \( i^{th} \) order statistic is specified by

\[
f_{i:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)! (n-i)!} \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda x^2} \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} - 1 \right] \right)^{i-1} \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta-1} \left( \alpha - \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} \right)^{n-i}.
\]

(40)

We get PDF of the smallest order statistic by inserting \( i = 1 \) in (40), that is,

\[
f_{1:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(\alpha - 1)^n} \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda x^2} \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta-1} \left( \alpha - \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} \right)^{n-1}. \]

(41)

Put \( i = n \) in (40), we acquire the PDF of the largest order statistic

\[
f_{n:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(\alpha - 1)^n} \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda x^2} \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} - 1 \right] \right)^{n-1} \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta-1} \left( \alpha - \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} \right)^{n-1}.
\]

(42)

To get distribution of the median, substitute \( i = n/2 \) in (40) as

\[
f_{n/2:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n/2 - 1)! (n - (n/2))!} \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda x^2} \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} - 1 \right] \right)^{(n/2)-1} \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta-1} \left( \alpha - \alpha^{1- \left[ 1 - \exp\left( - (\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]} \right)^{n-n/2}.
\]

(43)
2.9. Stress-Strength Parameter (SSP). Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be two independent and identically distributed random variables. Suppose \( X_1 \sim \text{APGIR}(\alpha, \lambda, \beta_1) \) and \( X_2 \sim \text{APGIR}(\alpha, \lambda, \beta_2) \). The SSP is defined as follows:

\[
R = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x)F_2(x) dx.
\] (44)

The SSP is calculated, by incorporating (7) and (8) in the above equation:

\[
R = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\log \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - 1} - \frac{2\beta_1}{\alpha_1 - 1} \right) \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1 - 1} \alpha_1^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}} \left( \frac{\alpha_2^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}}}{\alpha_2 - 1} \right) dx.
\] (45)

Substituting \( 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) = y \Rightarrow (2/\lambda^2 x^3) \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) dx = -dy \) in (45), we have

\[
R = \frac{\beta_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \log \alpha_1}{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)} \int_{0}^{1} y^{\beta_1 - 1} \alpha_1^{-\beta_1} \alpha_2^{-\beta_2} dy - \frac{1}{(\alpha_2 - 1)}.
\] (46)

Using series representation \( \alpha_1^{-\beta_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\log \alpha_1)^k /k! (\gamma^k)^k \) and \( \alpha_2^{-\beta_2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-\log \alpha_2)^m /m! (\gamma^m)^m \) in (46) and simplifying, we get the following final result for stress-strength parameter:

\[
R = \frac{\beta_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \log \alpha_1}{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha_1)^k (-\log \alpha_2)^m}{k! m!} - \frac{1}{(\alpha_2 - 1)}
\] (47)

**Lemma 3.** Let \( X \sim \text{APGIR}(\alpha, \lambda, \beta) \), then final expression for Renyi entropy is given as follows:

\[
RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1-v} \left\{ v \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right) - \log \left( \frac{\alpha^v \lambda^{2v-1}}{\lambda^{1-3v}} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log \alpha)^k (-1)^{kr}}{r! k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{kr} (1-e^{-t})^m dt \right\}.
\] (48)

**Proof.** Renyi entropy is defined as

\[
RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1-v} \log \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^v dx \right\},
\]

\[
RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1-v} \log \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} - \frac{2\beta}{\alpha^2 x^3} \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1 - 1} \alpha_1^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}} \left( \frac{\alpha_2^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}}}{\alpha_2 - 1} \right) dx \right\},
\]

\[
RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1-v} \left\{ \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right) + \log \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-3v} \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1 - 1} \alpha_1^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}} \left( \frac{\alpha_2^{1 - \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) \right]^{\beta_1}}}{\alpha_2 - 1} \right) dx \right) \right\}.
\] (49)

Substitute \( 1 - \exp\left( -(\lambda x)^{-2} \right) = y \) to have

\[
RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1-v} \left\{ v \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right) + \log \left( \frac{\alpha^v \lambda^{2v-1}}{\lambda^{1-3v}} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log \alpha)^k (-1)^{kr}}{r! k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{kr} (1-e^{-t})^m dt \right\}.
\] (50)
Using series representation $a^{-y^\beta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\log a)^k \frac{(-\log a)^k}{k!}$ in the above equation, we get

$$RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1 - v} \left\{ v \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right) + \log \left( \frac{\alpha \gamma^k}{\lambda^{1-\beta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha)^k}{k!} \int_0^1 [-\log (1 - y)] \left( 1 - y \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} dy \right) \right\}. \quad (51)$$

Using $\log (1 - y) = t \Rightarrow dy = (y - 1)dt \Rightarrow dy = -e^t dt$ in (51) and simplifying, we get

$$RE_X(v) = \frac{1}{1 - v} \left\{ v \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right) - \log \left( \frac{\alpha \gamma^k 2^{r-1}}{\lambda^{1-\beta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log \alpha)^k (-1)^k}{r! k!} \int_0^1 (1 - e^t)^r dt \right) \right\}. \quad (52)$$

The expression of Renyi entropy is an incomplete integral. The solution of (52) is obtained on the basis of numerical integration techniques.

**Lemma 4.** The Mean Waiting Time (MWT) say $\overline{\tau}(t)$ is given by

$$\overline{\tau}(t) = t - \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \right)^{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \right)^{\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \left( 1 - z \right)^{\beta} k}{k!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^n dz. \quad (53)$$

**Proof.** The MWT of APGIR distribution is described as

$$\overline{\tau}(t) = t - \frac{1}{F(t)} \int_0^t x f(x) dx. \quad (54)$$

$$\int_0^t x f(x) dx = \frac{\log \alpha}{\lambda (\alpha - 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \right)^{\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \left( 1 - z \right)^{\beta} k}{k!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^n dz, \quad (55)$$

and

$$F(t) = \frac{\alpha^{1-\exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \beta}}{\alpha - 1} - 1, \quad (56)$$

we obtain the required final expression as

$$\overline{\tau}(t) = t - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\left( \alpha^{1-\exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \beta} - 1 \right)} \left[ \log \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha - 1} \right) \right)^{\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \left( 1 - z \right)^{\beta} k}{k!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^n dz \right]. \quad (57)$$
The expression for \( \pi(t) \) is an integral that is incomplete. The solution of (57) may be obtained by numerical integration techniques.

**Lemma 5.** The Shannon entropy \((SE)\) expression is given as follows:

\[
S.E_\alpha = \log \left[ \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \right] \int_0^1 \left( (1 - z)(1 - z)^{-1/\beta} - 1 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{1/\beta}}{k} \right)^{3/2} \, dz. \tag{58}
\]

**Proof.** The Shannon entropy is described by

\[
S.E_\alpha = E[-\log f(x)] = E\left[ -\log \left\{ \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2} \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2}) \left[ 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2}) \right]^{-1/\beta} \alpha^{1 - [1 - \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2})]} \right\} \right]. \tag{59}
\]

\[
S.E_\alpha = \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2}) \left[ 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2}) \right]^{1/\beta} \alpha^{1 - [1 - \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2})]} \, dx. \tag{60}
\]

Putting \( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x)^{-2}) = y \) in (60), we get

\[
S.E_\alpha = \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2} \right) \int_0^1 \left( 1 - y \right) \beta y^{1/\beta} \alpha^{1 - y^{1/\beta}} \left( \frac{1}{(1/\beta) \left[ -\log(1 - y) \right]^{1/\beta}} \right)^{3/2} \alpha^{1 - y^{1/\beta}} \, dx. \tag{61}
\]

Insert in (61), \( 1 - y^{1/\beta} = z \Rightarrow \beta y^{1/\beta} \, dy = -dz \), and \( y = (1 - z)^{1/\beta} \) to have

\[
S.E_\alpha = \log \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2} \right) \int_0^1 (1 - z)(1 - z)^{-1/\beta} \alpha^{1 - z^{1/\beta}} \left[ -\log(1 - (1 - z)^{1/\beta}) \right]^{3/2} \, dz. \tag{62}
\]

Using the following series in (62), \( a^{2z} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log \alpha)^m}{m!} (2z)^m/(1 - z)^{1/\beta} \) and \( -\log(1 - (1 - z)^{1/\beta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k (1 - z)^{1/\beta}^k / k \), for \( (1 - z)^{1/\beta} < 1 \).

We get the Shannon entropy as

\[
S.E_\alpha = \log \left( \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \right) \int_0^1 (1 - z)(1 - z)^{-1/\beta} \alpha^{1 - z^{1/\beta}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{1/\beta}^k}{k} \right)^{3/2} \, dz. \tag{63}
\]
The integral in (63) may be solved approximately with the help of numerical integration techniques.

\[ l(\alpha, \lambda, \beta) = \left( \frac{2\beta \log \alpha}{\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-\lambda} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2})) \right]^{\beta-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda x_i)^{-2}}. \]  

(64)

Taking logarithm, (64) becomes

\[ \log l(\alpha, \lambda, \beta) = n \log(2\beta \log \alpha) - n \log(\lambda^2 (\alpha - 1)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i^3 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda x_i)^{-2} + (\beta - 1) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2})) \right] + \log \left[ n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}))^\beta \right]. \]  

(65)

By differentiating (65) w.r.t $\alpha$, $\lambda$, and $\beta$ and equating to 0, we get the following equations:

\[ \frac{\partial \log l(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha \log \alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}))^\beta \right] = 0, \]  

(66)

\[ \frac{\partial \log l(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{2n}{\lambda} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (\lambda x_i)^{-3} - 2 (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ x_i (\lambda x_i)^{-3} \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}) \right] \]  

\[ + 2\beta \ln \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (\lambda x_i)^{-3} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}))^{\beta-1} \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}) = 0, \]  

(67)

\[ \frac{\partial \log l(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2})) \right] - \log \alpha \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2}))^\beta \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^{-2})) \right] = 0. \]  

(68)

We can get estimates of $\alpha$, $\lambda$, and $\beta$ by solving (64), (65), and (66) together. The Newton–Raphson technique was adopted for the solution of aforementioned equations. The ML estimators are asymptotically normally distributed, that is, $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\lambda} - \lambda, \hat{\beta} - \beta) \sim N_3 (0, \Sigma)$. The matrix $\Sigma$ is achieved by inverting the observed Fisher information matrix $F$ as follows:

\[ F = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \\
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \lambda^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} \\
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} & \frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta^2}
\end{bmatrix}. \]  

(69)
When we differentiate (64)–(66) w.r.t \( \alpha, \lambda, \) and \( \beta \), we get

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha^2} = -\frac{n(1 + \log \alpha)}{\alpha \log \alpha} + \frac{n}{(\alpha - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left[ n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2))^{\beta} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \lambda^2} = \frac{2n}{\lambda^2} - \frac{6}{\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i^2 \lambda^4} - 2(\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2 \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{x_i^2 \lambda^4 (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2))} \right] \left[ \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right] - \frac{3}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right) - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right) \left[ 3 \lambda (1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)) - 2 x_i^{-2} \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right] \lambda^2 \left( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right) \left[ -3 + 2 (\lambda x_i)^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{(\beta - 1)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right] \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta^2} = -\frac{n}{\beta^2} - \log \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right) \left[ \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)) \right]^2,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda} = \frac{2}{\alpha \lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right) \left[ \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right] \left( \frac{\exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{x_i^2} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} = -\frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right) \left[ \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)) \right] \left[ \frac{\exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{x_i^2} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta \partial \lambda} = \frac{2}{\alpha \lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2) \right) \left[ \log(1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)) \right] \left[ \frac{\exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)}{1 - \exp(-(\lambda x_i)^2)} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{x_i^2} \right],
\]

The asymptotic (1 - \( \zeta \))100% confidence intervals for the parameters of suggested model are as follows:

\[
\hat{\alpha} \pm Z_{\zeta/2} \sqrt{\Sigma_{11}},
\]

\[
\hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{\zeta/2} \sqrt{\Sigma_{22}},
\]

\[
\hat{\beta} \pm Z_{\zeta/2} \sqrt{\Sigma_{33}}.
\]

Here, \( Z_{\zeta} \) represents the upper \( \zeta \)th percentile of standard normal distribution.

3.2. Simulation Study. Simulation is used to obtain estimates, Mean Square Error (MSE), and Bias of parameters. The following expression of QF was used to develop \( w = 100 \) samples of size \( n = 50, 90, \) and \( 200, \) respectively:

\[
X_p = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ -\log \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\log \alpha - \log[u(\alpha - 1) + 1]}{\log \alpha} \right)^{1/\beta} \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( u \sim U[0,1] \). The following expression is used to calculate bias and MSE:

\[
\text{Bias} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=1}^{W} (\hat{\beta}_i - b),
\]

\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=1}^{W} (\hat{\beta}_i - b)^2,
\]

where \( b = (\alpha, \lambda, \beta) \). For various choices of \( \alpha, \lambda, \) and \( \beta \), simulation results were obtained. Table 1 shows the simulated expected values of MSEs and bias. In Table 1, with increase in sample size, the consistency behavior may be easily observed as estimates approach their parametric values. Furthermore, as the sample size grows, the MSEs and bias of the estimates drop for all parameter combinations. As a result, we can infer that the MLE approach performs well when it comes to estimating the parameters of the APGIR distribution.

3.3. Applications. To see the performance and goodness of fit of the proposed mode, the suggested distribution has been fitted to two data sets. We found that the suggested model performed better than other Rayleigh distribution variants such as the Two-Parameter Rayleigh (TPR) distribution.
proposed by Dey et al. [43], the MIR distribution suggested by Khan [28], the EIR distribution developed by Rehman and Dar [27], the GR distribution offered by Raqab and Madi [29], the TIR distribution by Ahmad et al. [15], and the GIR distribution by Potdar and Shirke [29].

Data set 1: the first set of data includes the survival times (in years) of 46 individuals who received just chemotherapy. These data are a subset of the data taken from the study by Bekker et al. [45]. The data points are 0.047, 0.115, 0.121, 1.553, 1.581, 1.589, 2.178, 2.343, 2.416, 2.444, 2.825, 2.830, 3.578, 3.658, 3.743, 3.978, 4.003, 4.033.

Data set 2: the failure times of 84 aircraft windshields are included in the second real data set. El-Bassiouny et al. [46] provided this information. The following are the data points: 3.70, 2.74, 2.73, 2.50, 3.60, 3.11, 3.27, 2.87, 1.47, 3.11, 4.42, 2.41, 3.19, 3.22, 1.69, 3.28, 3.09, 1.87, 3.15, 4.90, 3.75, 2.43, 2.95, 2.97, 3.39, 2.96, 2.53, 2.67, 2.93, 3.22, 3.39, 2.81, 4.20, 3.33, 2.55, 3.31, 2.85, 2.56, 3.56, 3.15, 2.35, 2.59, 2.38, 2.81, 2.77, 2.07, 2.83, 1.92, 1.41, 3.68, 2.97, 1.36, 0.98, 2.76, 4.91, 3.68, 1.84, 1.59, 3.19, 1.57, 0.81, 5.56, 1.73, 1.59, 2.00, 1.22, 1.12, 1.71, 2.17, 1.17, 5.08, 2.48, 1.18, 3.51, 2.17, 1.69, 1.25, 4.38, 1.84, 0.39, 3.68, 2.48, 0.85, 1.61, 2.79, 4.70, 2.03, 1.80, 1.57, 1.08, 2.03, 1.61, 2.12, 1.89, 2.88, 2.82, 2.05, 3.65.

PDF of GIR distribution is as follows:
\[
f(x) = \frac{2θ}{λx^2} \exp\left(-\frac{θx^2}{2}\right), \theta, β, X > 0.
\]

PDF of EIR distribution is as follows:
\[
f(x) = \frac{2θ}{λx} \exp\left(-\frac{θx}{2}\right), β, X > 0.
\]

PDF of GR distribution is as follows:
\[
f(x) = 2αx^2 \exp\left(-\frac{αx^2}{2}\right) \cdot (1 - \exp\left(-\frac{αx^2}{2}\right))^{α-1}, α, γ, X > 0.
\]

PDF of TPR distribution is as follows:
\[
f(x) = 2α(x - μ)\exp\left(-α(x - μ)^2\right), x > μ, α > 0.
\]

PDF of WR distribution is as follows:
\[
f(x) = αβθx \exp\left(-\frac{θx^2}{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{θx^2}{2}\right) - 1)^{β-1} - α \exp\left(\frac{θx^2}{2}\right) - 1)^{β-1}, α, β, X > 0.
\]

The APGIR model’s results are compared with other Rayleigh distribution versions using well-known model selection criteria such as Akaike’s Information Criteria (AIC), Consistent Akaike’s Information Criteria (CAIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), Hannan–Quinn Information Criteria (HQIC), and Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K-S) and their P values via the R programming language’s Adequacy Model. The results are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

On the basis of several model selection criteria, Tables 2 and 3 show that our recommended distribution outperforms than other forms of Rayleigh distribution.
Table 2: Goodness of fit results for data set 1.

| Distribution | MLE   | AIC     | CAIC    | BIC     | HQIC    | K-S     | P value |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| APGIR        | 10.4662 | 0.4091  | 8.4132  | 137.2571| 137.8425| 142.6771| 139.2776| 0.14051 | 0.3073  |
| GIR          | 0.2720  | 7.4680  | 148.2952| 148.5809| 151.9086| 149.6422| 0.77484 | 5.551e−16|
| TIR          | 0.0414  | −0.8352 | 211.8820| 212.1677| 215.4953| 213.229 | 0.43156 | 3.923e−08|
| APEIR        | 10.8661 | 0.8934  | 0.0477  | 196.7339| 197.3192| 202.1538| 198.7544| 0.75677 | 5.551e−16|
| TPR          | 0.1898  | −0.5973 | 138.6129| 138.9896| 142.2262| 139.9599| 0.21686 | 0.02449 |
| EIR          | 0.1664  | 0.3224  | 234.1737| 234.4594| 237.787  | 235.5207| 0.50772 | 2.82e−11 |

Table 3: Goodness of fit results for data set 2.

| Distribution | MLE   | AIC     | CAIC    | BIC     | HQIC    | K-S     | P value |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| APGIR        | 17.4510 | 1.4510  | 0.6534  | 326.7218| 326.9718| 334.5373| 329.8849| 0.15139 | 0.02043 |
| GIR          | 1.1357 | −0.5285 | 353.6821| 353.8058| 358.8924| 355.7908| 0.17108 | 0.005739|
| TIR          | 1.9939 | −0.8843 | 339.1298| 339.2535| 344.3401| 341.2385| 0.15364 | 0.01781 |
| MIR          | −2.0768| 6.4548  | 338.6148| 338.7385| 343.8251| 340.7235| 0.40002 | 2.531e−14|
| EIR          | 7.9999 | 0.4095  | 354.4818| 354.6055| 359.6921| 356.5905| 0.18258 | 0.002544|
| APEIR        | 11.8642| 0.2827  | 339.3922| 339.6422| 347.2077| 342.5553| 0.78182 | 2.2e−16  |

Figure 3 represents QQ and PP plot for data set 1. Figure 4 shows theoretical densities and CDFs for data set 1. The graphs clearly show better fit for data set 1. Figure 5 represents QQ and PP plot for data set 2. Figure 6 shows theoretical densities and CDFs for data set 2. It is clear from the figures that the data set 2 is better fitted by the proposed distribution.
Figure 4: Graphs of theoretical densities and CDFs for data set 1.

Figure 5: Graphs of QQ and PP plot for data set 2.
4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new distribution referred to as Alpha Power Generalized Inverse Rayleigh (APGIR) distribution. This distribution has been developed using APT with the input as Generalized Inverse Rayleigh. Several important mathematical properties including the moment generating function, order statistics, mean residual life function, mean waiting time, stress-strength parameter, expression for entropies, quantile function, and rth moment have been derived. The parameter estimates were derived using the MLE technique. The consistency of MLE’s was assessed using simulation studies. The performance of the proposed model was evaluated using two real data sets using some goodness of fit criteria. The results clearly reveal that our proposed model performs well as compared with other types of Rayleigh distribution available in the literature.
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