Some Similarities / Differences between Steel Static and Virtual Brass Static Casting
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Abstract

An innovative method for determining the structural zones in the large static steel ingots has been described. It is based on the mathematical interpretation of some functions obtained due to simulation of temperature field and thermal gradient field for solidifying massive ingot. The method is associated with the extrema of an analyzed function and with its points of inflection. Particularly, the CET transformation is predicted as a time-consuming transition from the columnar- into equiaxed structure. The equations dealing with heat transfer balance for the continuous casting are presented and used for the simulation of temperature field in the solidifying virtual static brass ingot. The developed method for the prediction of structural zones formation is applied to determine these zones in the solidifying brass static ingot. Some differences/similarities between structural transitions are applied to the virtual brass static ingot and virtual brass static ingot are studied. The developed method allows to predict the following structural zones: fine columnar grains zone, (FC), columnar grains zone, (C), equiaxed grains zone, (E). The FCCT-transformation and CET-transformation are forecast as sharp transitions of the analyzed structures. Similarities between steel static ingot morphology and that predicted for the virtual brass static ingot are described.
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1. Introduction

A new innovative method for the description of structure formation and especially for the prediction of some structural transformations and resultant situation of structural zones in the steel massive ingot, solidifying as static ingot, has appeared recently, [1]. It is based on the mathematical predictions for the structure appearance in a large steel ingot. It requires to analyze some particular points of the simulated functions which result from the temperature field calculation. In particular, some points of inflection (mathematically significant) are used to define the structural transformations which have been previously described by Prof. J.D. Hunt in his fundamental paper associated with the solid/liquid interface undercooling, [2]. The mentioned innovative mathematical method for the structural zones localization in a given ingot and especially mathematical mode for the predictions of the structural transitions are applied to the description of a virtual brass static casting developed in the current simulations.

The fundamental role of the so-called contact layer (air gap between brass ingot and graphite which covers the mold) is considered in the current heat transfer balance. Therefore, the boundary conditions connected with the air gap are formulated, analogously, as in the model for the mushy zone formation, [3].

The latent heat which plays essential role in the heat transfer balance is introduced into the boundary conditions. Finally, the application of the current method allows to study not only the temperature field but the thermal gradient field as well.
2. Forecast of the ingot’s structural zones

The recently developed method for the ingot structure forecast, [1], is connected with the interpretation of the points of inflection if they are presented by the calculated function. The very informative function in this area is the liquidus isotherm velocity plotted versus time of the static ingot solidification.

2.1. Mathematical forecasts for steel static ingot

The numerical treatment / simulation allowing for the temperature filed calculation was performed by means of the ABAQUS professional program. It shows the liquidus isotherm velocity plotted versus time of the static ingot solidification, Fig. 1, in a simplified manner that is in such a way that the ingot shape / geometry is replaced by the roll shape, [4]. As a result, the competition / interplay between the v- rate of the liquidus isotherm movement and the vS - rate of the s/l interface displacement is presented / interpreted mathematically.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 1. Correlation / interplay between the v - liquidus isotherm movement and vS - s/l interface displacement; vS = vC; vE; vAV for columnar, equiaxed, and “A“, “V” – segregates zone formation; tEC - time of the chilled equiaxed into columnar structure formation E→C, tE, - time of the equiaxed structure birth, tE, - time of the columnar structure death; tmax - time of the “A” segregates appearance; tAV - time of the so-called “switching point”, [1], tV - time of the beginning of the “V” segregates vanishing; C→E - the CE transitions (CET), [1]**

Not only points of inflection are useful in the mathematical interpretation of the appearance of some different structure types in the static steel ingot but the extreme points (minima / maxima) of the considered v - function as well, Fig. 1. The function describes:

a) formation of the chilled equiaxed structure in the period of time: 0 + tEC; the v - function presents a point of inflection (dot),

b) formation of the columnar structure (cells) in the period of time: tEC+tE, both liquidus isotherm velocity and s/l interface rate (green line extrapolated to zero at the tE, - time) are superposed over each other within the period of time: tEC+tE; next the liquidus isotherm velocity tears away to enable the appearance of the undercooled melt behind it and to promote formation of some nuclei for equiaxed grains,

c) formation of the equiaxed structure which begins at time: tE, this time is connected with the point of inflection of the v - function; the creation of the entirely equiaxed grains reaches its apex (culminating point) at the tmax - time,

d) formation of the equiaxed grains accompanied by the “A” segregates appearance begins at the tmax - time,

e) transition from the equiaxed grains formation accompanied by the “A” segregates into the equiaxed grains formation accompanied by the “V” segregates formation which occurs at the tAV - time (switching point) connected with the point of inflection; formation of the equiaxed grains accompanied by the “A” segregates appearance is completed at the tAV - time (at the mentioned switching point); formation of the equiaxed grains accompanied by the “V” segregates attains its apogee at the tV - time which is connected with the extreme point of the analyzed v - function.

Thus, the switching point of the static ingot is a virtual place / time at which the thermophoresis is the winner in the competition between thermophoresis itself and viscosity gradient. The viscosity gradient is responsible for the formation of the sedimentary cones in the static ingot, whereas the thermophoresis is connected with formation of the solid which evinces the shell / crust shape.

The mentioned analysis shows that the equiaxed structure is dominant in the massive steel ingot subjected to the static solidification. It results from the fact that the static solidification is unsteady process and solidification rate decreases in time due to the slow cooling.

However, some observations of the structure revealed in the continuously cast ingots confirms that the columnar structure is dominant in these castings, Fig. 2. It results from the fact that the continuous casting is the stationary process (apart from the initial transient period of this process) and the cooling is very intensive.

It should be emphasized that the initial transient period of the brass ingots continuous casting follows the steel static ingot solidification to a certain extent, [4].
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**Fig. 2. Transversal section of the continuously cast brass ingot; columnar structure, (C), as a dominant morphology of the continuously solidifying ingot; fine columnar structure, (FC), is situated at the ingot’s periphery; equiaxed grains, (E), are hardly visible in the ingot center in the neighborhood of a single crystal which is localized axially**
The static ingot solidification was the subject of some simulations in order to predict the "A" – segregates formation, [5], and the CET appearance, [6]–[22]. Generally, the different numerical method of the temperature field simulation were applied in these models, [6], [12], [14], [17], [19], [21]. Particularly some attempts were made to describe the difference between columnar and equiaxed grains creation, [7]–[11], [13], [15]–[16], [18], [20], [22]. In the current model the temperature field is calculated and transformed into thermal gradient field. It allows to show the CET situation for different thicknesses of the mold, Fig. 3. It is confirmed that the CET is time consuming transition, Fig. 3. It means that the columnar- and equiaxed structure must co-exist within the period of time when the CET occurs. Therefore, the experimental observations of the steel morphology were made due to vertical cut at the mid-depth of the 15-tons forging steel ingot serially cast by the CELSA-Huta Ostrowiec plant in Ostrowiec Świętokrzyski, Fig. 4.

Fig. 3. Thermal gradient behavior during steel static solidification for different mold thicknesses; thermal gradient seems to be temporarily constant when the CET occurs; the C(0.3)=E(0.3) time interval corresponds with the \( t_1^{c-e}t_2^{g} \) period, Fig. 1; ABAQUS – simulation

Fig. 4. Columnar- and equiaxed structure co-existence as revealed within the 15-tons steel forging ingot at its vertical cut at the mid-depth

The performed simulations of the thermal gradient behavior in time, Fig. 3, confirmed by the steel structure observations prove that the equiaxed structure is dominant in the steel static ingot. On the other hand, the columnar structure is usually privileged in the continuously cast brass ingot, Fig. 2. Thus, it would be interesting to predict the structural zones in a virtually cast brass static ingot.

2.2. Mathematical forecast of structural zones’ formation in a virtual brass static ingot

A recently developed numerical model for the continuous casting [23], [24], is modified / applied to the current method for the structural zones forecast. This model, to certain extent, develops the simulation manner applied previously in similar methods of temperature field calculation, [25]–[30]. Thus, the heat balance is introduced, Eq. (1).

\[
c_{d}(T) \cdot \rho(T) \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \lambda(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) + \lambda(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \frac{T}{r} \quad (1)
\]

\[
T = T(t,r), \quad r \in [0,R_m], \quad \text{Fig. 6,} \\
(1a)
\]

\[
c_d(T) = \begin{cases} 
  c_d(T), & T < T_s \\
  c_d(T) + \frac{L}{T_s}, & T_s \leq T \leq T_L \\
  c_d(T), & T > T_L 
\end{cases} \quad (1b)
\]

Fig. 5. Model of the virtual system for the static casting of the brass ingots as shown in the \( x, r \) - coordinates; \( T_{mg} \) - temperature of the solid shell surface; \( T_m \) - temperature of the crystallizer / mold surface; expanding air gap due to shrinkage phenomenon and mushy zone are applied to the outline.

Eq. (1) requires to consider some boundary conditions, particularly, connected with the air gap as suggested in [31], [32], Fig. 5:

a) surface of solid shell being in contact with an air gap, Fig. 5,

\[
\lambda(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_m} = \frac{\lambda}{\delta_x} \left( T_{L,R_m} - T_{R_m} \right) + \sigma \left[ \left( T_{L,R_m} \right)^4 - \left( T_{R_m} \right)^4 \right]
\]
b) surface of graphite layer deposited on the crystallizer and being in contact with an air gap,

\[
\lambda_g(T) \frac{dT}{dr} \bigg|_{r=R+\delta_g} = \frac{\lambda}{\delta_g} \left( T_{l-R+\delta_g} - T_{l-R} \right) + \sigma \left[ (T_{l-R+\delta_g}^s - (T_{l-R}^s)^s \right]
\]

where, \( \rho \) is density; \( c_b \) - brass specific heat; \( \lambda_g \), \( \lambda_{gr} \) - thermal conductivity coefficient in gap air, and graphite, respectively; \( \delta_g \) - thickness of air gap; \( \sigma \) - Stefan - Boltzmann constant of radiation; \( T \) - temperature; \( T_l \) - liquidus isotherm temperature; \( T_s \) - solidus isotherm temperature; \( L \) - latent heat; \( t \) - time; \( r \) - radius of the system, Fig. 5.

It is postulated, in the current analysis, that the above method of calculation, Eq. (1), primarily predicted for continuous casting description can be applied to the simulation of thermal gradient field which is created during solidification of the virtual static brass ingot. It seems possible to determine the structural zones in the static ingot, analogously with the structure predictions made for the continuous casting, [33], [34].

The following structural transformations: FCCT (fine columnar into columnar structure) and CET (columnar into equiaxed structure transition) have been forecast. Both mentioned transitions are shown on the function presenting some changes of the thermal gradient at the s/l interface in function of time, Fig. 6. It is assumed that predicted two structural transitions correspond to the points of inflection which appear on this function. Moreover, the quasi-stationary period of an ingot solidification is revealed when the function has a linear course, Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6. Thermal gradient changes at the solid / liquid interface during a virtual brass static ingot solidification; FCCT and CET are forecast](image)

The mathematical treatment of the above function, allows to conclude that the FCCT and CET – structural transitions are rather the sharp transformations. Three types of structural zones are distinguished: FC, C, and E. An extrapolation of the function from the FC - zone to zero seems to be completed just at the CET - appearance, as expected intuitively, Fig. 6.

The analogous analysis dealing with the forecast of structural zones is done in the STSM (Space-Time-Structure Map), Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7. STSM as calculated for a virtual brass static ingot solidification](image)

Additionally, the same forecast is shown for the liquidus velocity behavior in function of solidification time, Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. Liquidus velocity versus solidification time for a virtual brass static ingot solidification; both transitions are at the local minima](image)

The above analysis of the structural zones formation in the virtual brass static ingot (as well as in the steel static ingot, Fig. 1) is performed to facilitate the analogous comparison / forecast for the structural zones’ formation during the continuous casting of the brass ingots with the similar zones in the steel static ingots.

### 3. Concluding remarks

The structural zones formation in the steel static massive ingot is investigated through the thermal gradient behavior in function of the varying mold thickness, Fig. 3. The CET appears when the thermal gradient is temporarily constant. The CET is time consuming transition as confirmed by the structural observations on the longitudinal section of the 15-tones steel forging ingot, Fig. 4. Additionally, this structural transformation (CET) is confirmed theoretically, as occurring within the \( t_{c}^{R} + t_{R}^{E} \) time period, Fig. 1.

The heat transfer model for the continuously cast brass ingot is also presented, Eq. (1). Sophistically, it is applied to the simulation of both temperature field and thermal gradient field in the virtually solidifying brass static ingot. It allows for mathematical forecast of the structural zones appearance in the studied brass static ingot. This forecast follows the recently
developed method for structural zones’ prediction in the solidifying steel static large ingot, Fig. 1.

This mathematical manner of the structural zones’ prediction leads to distinguish the following types of structures: a/ FC – fine columnar, b/ C – columnar, c/ E – equiaxed, Fig. 6.

The corresponding structural transformations like: FC→C, and C→E appear as sharp changes of the adequate structures, mathematically connected with the points of inflection, Fig. 6.

The above forecast of structural zones appearance are confirmed in the Space-Time-Structure Map, Fig. 7, (at some points of inflection) and at the extreme points (minima) in Fig. 8.
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