Effect of organic and conventional farming system and sowing date on yield, seed oil and protein content in rapeseed cultivars
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Summary: Rapeseed is one of the major oil crops, grown in various agroecological conditions. Interest in organic rapeseed is rising, with increasing importance to breeders to determine the need for specific organic breeding programs. The objective of this study was to determine the adaptive value of rapeseed cultivars in organic farming environments. Five winter rapeseed cultivars were grown in conventional and organic plots, each with three sowing dates in four replications. The trials were organized using a randomized block design. The effect of cultivar and farming on emergence, percentage of harvested plants, yield, oil and protein content were investigated. Locally recommended agricultural practices were used to keep the fields free from weeds, insects and diseases. In organic field, weeds were removed mechanically while insects were treated using organic insecticide. The seed samples for analysis of oil and protein content were taken during harvest. Considering agricultural practices, it was found that rapeseed can be successfully grown in organic agriculture, but further improvements are needed to increase stability of production. The cultivars had higher oil content in the conventional farming, while there was no significant effect of farming system on protein content. For cultivar Slavica, higher yield was recorded in organic system, while cultivars Banačanka and Nena had high yield in both
farming systems. The results suggest that the existing conventional breeding material can be used as a good starting point for further trait improvements in organic farming.
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**Introduction**

Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) is an important source of oil for various purposes, being the third-leading source of vegetable oil in the world. It is also regarded as excellent rotation crop, for improving soil quality (Bernard et al. 2012).

In organic agriculture, rapeseed is used for oil production and protein supplements for organic milk production (Khalili et al. 1999; Johansson and Nadeau, 2006), but also found useful as a cover crop providing greater than 80% soil coverage (Haramoto and Gallandt, 2004). Most *Brassica* species release chemicals that can be toxic to nematodes, fungi and some weeds, though the efficiency of their pest management application is variable and relatively low (Smith et al., 2005). The use of green manure is recommended in organic farming, so that various species are used, while *Brassica* plants decompose quickly and improve the seedbed for planting (Clark, 2007). The ecological impacts of different farming systems should not be neglected. It was found that pollination deficit (as the difference between actual and potential pollination) is getting higher as the farming intensity is increasing from organic through conventional to GM rapeseed fields (Morandin and Winston, 2005). Transition from conventional to organic agriculture, provides not only healthier food but also a reduction in product environmental footprint. In a study of four major field crops in Canada, canola (*Brassica rapa*), corn (*Zea mays*), soybean (*Glycine max*), and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) it was found that organic crop production would consume, on average, more energy for 39% (Pelletier et al. 2008). That reduction was almost completely due to difference in fertilizers used in conventional and organic farming. Knowledge of rapeseed varieties performance in organic production conditions can be useful to both breeders and farmers to determine the need for specific organic breeding programs. The production area and demand for organic rapeseed is steadily growing, while there is relatively little published research results on the subject. As far as we know, this is the first report of comparing organic and conventional rapeseed farming systems in South-East Europe.

The objectives of this study were to: (1) compare rapeseed cultivars performance in conventional and organic farming systems (2) investigate the influence of farming systems and sowing dates on percentage of emergence, percentage of harvested plants, seed yield and seed oil and protein content.

**Material and methods**

**Plant material and field experiment**

In order to investigate the effect of farming system on selected parameters, five winter rapeseed cultivars were used: Banačanka, Slavica, Nevena, Kata and Jasna in conventional and organic farming systems. All cultivars were 00 type, bred at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad, Serbia. They were grown during 2013/2014 season in organic and conventional farming system, each in three sowing dates using block design in four replications.

An organic agricultural practice for rapeseed was developed based on the literature data, organic farmers experience and adaptation of the locally recommended conventional agricultural practice. In organic field, weeds were removed mechanically and manually, while insects were treated using an organic insecticide Roball (plant oil mixture).

Sowing dates (SD) were selected as ten day intervals 28.8., 10.9. and 18.9.2013. The trials were located in Bački Petrovac on chernozem soil type (45°20'31"N; 19°39'54"E; altitude 79 m). Rainfall sum for 2013/2014 growing season was 340mm, close to half of average of 643 mm for the period 2000-2012. To provide optimal growing conditions both fields were irrigated as needed to maintain optimum plant growth.
Fertilizer application was planned according to the soil analysis of sample taken at depth of 30 cm and incorporated residues of preceding crop (Tables 1 and 2). To avoid nitrogen depression, 8 kg of N was added per 1 t of preceding crop residues which was incorporated. Organic source of N (DIX 10N) was used in the organic field. Tillage was used for incorporating fertilizers in both farming systems. Commercially available organic fertilizers Italpollina (4:4:4) and DIX 10N (10:3:3) were used in organic plots, while in conventional plot, Dueto (3:3:7) and Urea N46 were used.

Table 1. Results of soil analysis

| Sample          | pH   | CaCO₃ (%) | Humus (%) | Total N (%) | AL-P₂O₅ (mg/100g) | AL-K₂O (mg/100g) |
|-----------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Organic field   | 7.39 | 8.25      | 6.65      | 3.07        | 0.210             | 52.5             |
| Conventional field | 7.52 | 8.23      | 10.39     | 2.58        | 0.192             | 43.0             |

Table 2. Amount of nutrients applied to organic and conventional field

| Sample          | Preceding crop | Autumn fertilization (kg/ha) | Spring fertilization (kg/ha) | Total (kg/ha) |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Organic field   | Spelt*         | N 40 P₂O₅ 40 K₂O             | N 100 P₂O₅ 30 K₂O           | 140 N 70 P₂O₅ K₂O |
| Conventional field | Wheat         | N 40 P₂O₅ 25 K₂O             | N 110 - 25                 | 150 N 25 P₂O₅ K₂O |

*After harvest crop residues incorporated

Weed management

Organic field was in general more occupied by weeds than conventional field. Voluntary plants of preceding crops were observed. The conventional field was treated with metazachlor (Butisan 0,5 l/ha), a herbicide for the suppression of grass and broadleaf weeds by spraying after sowing and pre emergence. Due to the presence of creeping thistle (Cirsium arvense L.) and voluntary plants of wheat the conventional field was treated with herbicides clopyralid Loret300 (Loret300 0,3 l/ha) and cycloxydim (Focus ultra 2 l/ha) on October 21st 2013. The empty space between plots was kept clean mechanically.

Insect management

Organic field was treated with plant oil mixture (Roball) insecticide used for organic agriculture. The need for treatment was based on known economic thresholds for rapeseed pests like pollen beetle (1,5-2 beetles/plant) (Štrbac, 2005). First visible leaf damage was observed on September 17th resulting from flea beetles which made holes on cotyledons and turnip sawfly larvae (Athalia rosae) which fed on leaves. The plants were treated successfully by applying Roball (2 l/ha) on September 21st. Treatment with Roball (2 l/ha) was made once again on March 31st due to observed presence of pollen beetle (Meligethes aeneus), above the threshold of economic importance (3,6 beetles/plant).

Conventional field received 0.05 l/ha bifenthrin (Fobos) insecticide after sowing on September 21st. In the spring, due to presence of pollen beetle (5,2) foliar treatment with bifenthrin was applied on March 31st.

Experimental design, laboratory analysis

The trials were organized using a randomized block design in four replications. The effect of cultivar, farming system and sowing date on emergence, percentage of harvested plants, yield, oil and protein content were investigated. Percentage of harvested plants was calculated by comparing number of plants at harvest with number of plants after emergence. Each basic plot
was 7 m² and consisted of seven rows 4 m in length, with 0.25 m spacing. Sowing was done manually with a seeding rate of 80 seeds/m². Harvest was performed manually when most of the plants reached second technical level of maturity (Harper and Berkenkamp, 1975). Seed oil content was determined using NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) while seed protein content was determined using Kjeldahl method based on the total N. The yield was calculated at 9% moisture and expressed as kg per plot (7m²).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Analysis System package (SAS Institute Inc., 2010). Due to variation in observed number of plants (n) that was in some cases significantly less than the optimal 560 plants/basic plot (N), their ratio was used to obtain a more reliable analysis of obtained data. The GENMOD procedure was used to fit generalized linear model (GLM), as defined by Nelder and Wedderburn (1972). Logit link function and binomial distribution was used for fitting n/N, while Log function and Poisson distribution were used for emergence and percentage of harvested plants. Seed yield, seed oil and protein content data were submitted to analysis using the GLM procedure to test the significance of applied factors of cultivar, farming system and sowing date. Tukey grouping was used to determine significantly different factor groups based on minimum significant difference (MSD).

**Results and discussion**

Considering all agricultural practices that form a farming system, impact of individual ones, like improving soil fertility, can be different in organic and conventional agriculture. Plants develop in almost ideal conditions in conventional farming, usually having minimal competition for nutrients and space. On the other hand, in organic farming, nutrients and space can have more impact on yield variation due to persistence of weeds or prior crop voluntary plants. According to a wide survey of organic farmer’s fields made in France, high plant density tends to decrease the damage or the attack of major rapeseed damaging insects. The nitrogen availability in the soil has significant effect on plant vigor and the ability of plants to compensate pollen beetle damage (Valantin-Morison et al. 2007).

**The effect of cultivar on percentage of emerged and harvested plants, seed yield, oil and protein content**

For seed yield, it was found that the it highly significantly depended on farming type and sowing date, while oil content was significantly dependent on farming type, sowing date and genotype. Significant interaction was only found between sowing date and farming type for seed oil content (Tab. 3).

**Table 3. Significance of farming type, sowing date and genotype on seed yield and oil and protein seed content**

| Source of variation | df | Seed yield | **P** > **F** | Oil content | **P** > **F** | Protein content | **P** > **F** |
|---------------------|----|------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Farming (F)         | 1  | 27.53      | 0.0001**      | 6.72        | 0.011*        | 0.27           | 0.607         |
| Sowing date (S)     | 2  | 11.73      | 0.0001**      | 4.14        | 0.019*        | 1.99           | 0.143         |
| Genotype (G)        | 4  | 1.57       | 0.190         | 3.03        | 0.022*        | 0.52           | 0.721         |
| F × S               | 2  | 1.01       | 0.367         | 3.85        | 0.025*        | 2.45           | 0.093         |
| F × G               | 4  | 1.62       | 0.176         | 1.33        | 0.266         | 1.50           | 0.210         |
| S × G               | 8  | 0.89       | 0.528         | 0.86        | 0.555         | 0.67           | 0.713         |
| F × S × G           | 8  | 0.38       | 0.926         | 0.49        | 0.860         | 0.98           | 0.457         |

* Significant at the 0.05 probability level, ** significant at the 0.01 probability level.

Even though cultivar usually has significant effect on seed yield, it was not the case in this trial (Table 3). In conventional farming cultivars Banačanka, Nena and Kata had similar yields,
almost 2-fold higher than Slavica and Jasna (Tab. 4). Slavica is an older and highly adaptive cultivar which explains its good performance in organic conditions. Banačanka has similar genetic background, while Jasna has both Slavica and Banačanka in its pedigree (Marjanović-Jeromela et al. 2011). The necessity to use cultivars developed specially for organic production is already stressed by some authors, as it could lead to increased use of specific organic soil properties (Murphy et al. 2008).

Oil content was significantly different between cultivars. Lowest content was in Kata and highest in Nena. The rest of the cultivars were in the same group (Tab. 4). Oil content was lower in organic in comparison to conventional conditions, but only Kata had significantly lower oil content based on an MSD of 3.5% (Tab. 4). There was no significant difference between cultivars in protein content within farming systems, but Kata had significantly lower protein content in organic conditions, which together with low oil content and yield confirms that it is not suitable for organic farming. Such result of Kata may be due to selection pressure that was much more directed in maximizing high content of oleic acid than preserving adaptability.

Only significant difference between genotypes in emergence was found between Slavica and Jasna and Slavica was better in both farming systems (Tab. 4). Percentage of harvested plants was significantly different between Banačanka and Jasna in conventional field, while in organic conditions it was much more uniform (Tab. 4).

Table 4. Percentage of emerged and harvested plants, seed yield, seed oil and protein content of five winter rapeseed cultivars in two farming systems averaged over sowing dates.

|                      | Conventional | Organic |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|
|                      | Banačanka | Slavica | Nena | Kata | Jasna | Average | Banačanka | Slavica | Nena | Kata | Jasna | Average |
| Emergence (%)        | 27         | 29      | 29   | 29   | 27    | 28      | 41         | 44      | 45   | 45   | 36    | 42      |
| Harvested plants (%) | 12         | 9       | 11   | 13   | 7     | 10      | 16         | 18      | 14   | 14   | 15    | 15      |
| Seed yield (kg/7m²)  | 0.48       | 0.24    | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.24  | 0.38    | 0.76       | 0.82    | 0.71 | 0.60 | 0.56  | 0.69    |
| Oil (%)              | 43.1       | 41.5    | 43.4 | 41.7 | 42.1  | 42.4    | 40.6       | 39.4    | 42.7 | 36.7 | 42.3  | 40      |
| Protein (%)          | 20.2       | 20.9    | 20.2 | 21.5 | 21.1  | 20.8    | 20.4       | 20.8    | 21.2 | 19.4 | 21.1  | 21      |

The effect of farming system on percentage of emerged and harvested plants, seed yield, seed oil and protein content

Ratio between the number of emerged and harvested plants was similar between two farming systems and close to 37%. With a minimum significant difference (MSD) of 0.26 kg for the whole trial, only the farming system significantly affected yield (Tab. 5). Significant difference between the two farming systems was found for seed yield and seed oil content (Tab. 6). There was no significant effect on protein content. Trials dealing with organic vs conventional farming systems have also been done for other species, with various results showing yields 50% lower in organic wheat but with higher seed quality (Mazzoncini et al. 2007). To improve organic rapeseed yields it is recommended to use fertilizers with faster mineralization and to split the application during the crop cycle period (Alaru et al. 2014). However, spring N fertilization is not always recommended, as it does not improve yields when the preceding crop is pasture or clover (Engstrom et al. 2014).

Table 5. Percentage of emerged and harvested plants, seed yield, oil and protein content at three sowing dates and two rapeseed farming systems averaged over cultivars.
The effect of sowing date on percentage of emerged and harvested plants, seed yield, seed oil and protein content

Depending on the region, ideal winter rapeseed SD is generally in late August or early September, so the plant parts are well developed before the winter (Marjanović Jeromela et al. 2019). In this trial, lower seedbed quality with coarser soil structure in the conventional field strongly decreased percentage of emerged seedlings in SD1, while percentage of harvested plants was the lowest in SD3 in both farming systems (Tab. 5). In addition, the lack of rain (data not shown) strongly decreased emergence of SD1 in both farming systems.

Tukey grouping showed that seed yield and oil content were significantly higher in SD1 and SD2 (group a) than in SD3 (group b), while there was no significant difference between SD treatments in protein content (Table 6). Seems that plants of SD3 were not enough developed for overwintering. Also, rapeseed from late sowing date is less competitive to weeds in early spring, therefore early sowing dates are recommended for rapeseed organic production (Valantin-Morison and Meynard, 2008).

Table 6. Tukey grouping of significantly different factor groups for seed yield and seed oil and protein content

| Factor          | Seed yield (kg/7m²) | Oil content (%) | Protein content (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| **Farming (F)** |                     |                 |                     |
| Conventional    | 0.38 b              | 42.4 a          | 20.8 a              |
| Organic         | 0.69 a              | 40.3 b          | 20.6 a              |
| **Sowing date (S)** |                   |                 |                     |
| SD1             | 0.68 a              | 42.2 a          | 20.3 a              |
| SD2             | 0.58 a              | 42.1 a          | 21.3 a              |
| SD3             | 0.34 b              | 39.8 b          | 20.5 a              |
| **Genotype (G)** |                     |                 |                     |
| Banačanka       | 0.62 a              | 41.9 a b        | 20.4 a              |
| Slavica         | 0.58 a              | 40.5 a b        | 20.9 a              |
| Nena            | 0.54 a              | 43.1 a          | 20.7 a              |
| Kata            | 0.53 a              | 39.2 b          | 20.4 a              |
| Jasna           | 0.40 a              | 42.2 a b        | 21.2 a              |

a, b different at the 0.05 probability level

Conclusions

Based on the obtained results, early sowing date provides enough time for rapeseed growth before the winter and good overwintering. We found that tested rapeseed cultivars had similar yield, seed oil and protein content in organic farming system and concluded that existing conventional breeding material can be a good starting point for further trait improvements in organic farming.
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