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Abstract: The strategy for the development of international education 20.30 stimulates the study of the issues in the implementation of digital education around the world. The article discusses current applied and practical issues of digital education that educational management specialists came across.

Materials and Methods. The authors research management of digital education through mentoring, mentoring pedagogy and mentoring innovations in traditional learning environments and during the time of crisis. The study presents an applied comparative analysis of the questions of targeted intensities, digital footprint, the SELFIE portal usage features, objectives and technologies for training digital mentors.

Results. The research findings show an underutilisation of informal education ideas and technologies in the in the process of training digital mentors. The predominance and stable efficiency in the use of informal education takes place at leading European universities. From the standpoint of a humanistic view, exactly informal education is able to balance and regulate the need for knowledge of technologies of an innovative digital economy and its own individual resource for preserving itself, basic life values, and form digital education informal mentors.

Discussion and Conclusion. Why does the mentor of a targeted digital educational intensive have a psychological advantage? Can everyone become a mentor in network communication for the rest, and what are the digital education mentor’s competencies? What contribution can everyone make to the training of the others? The discussion these issues in the context of management strategies for the development of international education, and the implementation of the European plan for the quality of digital education will be useful to for heads of educational organizations, departments of continuing professional education and academic mobility of educators.
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Резюме: Стратегия развития международного образования 20.30 стимулирует процесс изучения вопросов внедрения цифрового образования во всем мире. В статье рассматриваются актуальные прикладные и практические вопросы цифрового образования, с которыми столкнулись специалисты управления образования.

Материалы и методы. Авторы исследуют управление цифровым образованием через наставничество, педагогическое наставничество и инновации в наставничестве в традиционной учебной среде и в период кризиса. В исследовании представлен прикладной сравнительный анализ вопросов целевых ориентиров, цифрового отпечатка, особенностей использования портала SELFIE, целей и технологий обучения наставников в области цифрового образования.

Результаты исследования свидетельствуют о недостаточном использовании идей и технологий неформального образования в процессе подготовки наставников цифрового обучения. В ведущих европейских университетах наблюдается преимущество и стабильная эффективность использования неформального образования. С точки зрения гуманистического взгляда, именно неформальное образование способно сбалансировать и урегулировать потребность в знаниях о технологиях в сфере инновационной цифровой экономики и ее собственном индивидуальным ресурсе для самосохранения, базовых жизненных ценностях, а также сформировать наставников в области неформального цифрового образования.

Обсуждение и заключение. Почему наставник целевого цифрового образовательного ориентира имеет психологическое преимущество? Может ли каждый стать наставником в сетевом общении для остальных, и каковые комп-
The idea of intensives is associated with the university teaching tasks and the situation in which training technical capabilities and equipment for the special format of such targeted intensive programmes is the uniqueness of informal network of their interaction. The necessary condition to monitor the development of mentor competencies and the World technology parks today offer targeted mentor intensives, which can be used in everyday practice and during crisis events [3].

The authors of the article are disturbed by the fact that today there is a shortage of highly qualified mentors around the world. That is, mentors who have a perfect command of some kind of mentor digital technology and at the same time possess strategic systemic critical thinking. Mentors who understand how all these technological projects can be interconnected in the future or, for example, operate in crisis events, such as those faced by European school and university teachers in the context of the necessary self-isolation in the world. That is, mentors who have a perfect command of some kind of mentor digital technology and at the same time possess strategic systemic critical thinking. Mentors who understand how all these technological projects can be interconnected in the future or, for example, operate in crisis events, such as those faced by European school and university teachers in the context of the necessary self-isolation in the world. That is, mentors who have a perfect command of some kind of mentor digital technology and at the same time possess strategic systemic critical thinking. Mentors who understand how all these technological projects can be interconnected in the future or, for example, operate in crisis events, such as those faced by European school and university teachers in the context of the necessary self-isolation in the world. That is, mentors who have a perfect command of some kind of mentor digital technology and at the same time possess strategic systemic critical thinking. Mentors who understand how all these technological projects can be interconnected in the future or, for example, operate in crisis events, such as those faced by European school and university teachers in the context of the necessary self-isolation in the world. That is, mentors who have a perfect command of some kind of mentor digital technology and at the same time possess strategic systemic critical thinking. Mentors who understand how all these technological projects can be interconnected in the future or, for example, operate in crisis events, such as those faced by European school and university teachers in the context of the necessary self-isolation in the world.
March 2020. It is important that even the past intensives could not predict the full completeness of the fact that everyone can become a mentor for the others; everyone can contribute to the teaching of others. This complex formula is like an equation with several unknowns, at least two. One unknown quantity is the reliability of the mentor’s qualifications for current digital learning tasks; the other is the type of conditions and events in which this qualification can be fully manifested. The intensive, which took place in the Russian Federation on Island Russky in July 2018, was the first experiment in this field that was at the same time a training session. The intensive programme included the creation of a «digital footprint». Every participant received a biofeedback bracelet. The participants’ heart rate was measured for 11 days, and on the basis of these observations it was determined how the participants’ concentration and perception changed, to what extent the participant was involved in the process and was able to, or could no longer perceive, new information. Eye movement and cognitive load were «monitored». As a result, the collected data allowed developing the primary technology to quantify mentors’ resource state at the time of training [11].

Superjob President Alexei Zakharov notes the fact that teams of mentors and world-class experts in the digital space will sooner or later be available for communication with anyone who can search the Internet. Undoubtedly, network communication on the Internet will never replace live communication with a mentor, but it will be able to «build» the paths of joining international teams to implement technological projects for different purposes and attract mentors from different parts of the world. The authors of the article tend to call such a strategy «Mentor +». Actually, to some extent, this is already happening on the network. However, we also note the fact that so far in international education, the «Mentor +» communication is unsystematic and even chaotic. Undoubtedly, search and work in such international teams will reduce the time for preparing digital educational projects, but it will create difficulties for the subjects of education in trusting each other and being responsible to each other. In our opinion, this is good. Though it is true, that such a psychological risk should be foreseen. It should also be noted that in the context of the digital environment development in the school – university dyad, it is necessary to be able not only to learn, but also to expand the mentor’s functionality. Such a trend has appeared in the world of digital education quite recently. Mentoring in the digital age is of a paramount importance, for practical skills are transferred here. It is worth while repeating that mentoring distinctive feature is that everyone can become a mentor for anyone; everyone can contribute to training other people depending on the situation and the project event.

At first glance, it is still difficult for educational managers to clearly define the hierarchy of mentor competencies in the digital uncertainty of the new world order, or rather, in the new classification of the future: predicted, projected, and desired [9]. Nevertheless, the authors venture to list what will change in this type of mentoring and what is necessary for it: a mentor is a source of competencies for those who master and develop these competencies; a mentor is the one who has designed educational ideas and plans for their implementation; recruiting a team under a mentor’s supervision and a «digital footprint» of the «Mentor +» format; the main condition is motivation to be a mentor; and certainly, availability of a suitable project and conditions for its implementation. We must note the mentor’s role in creating interfaces that allow determining what is most effective for every learner in different conditions. The enrichment of learning content is also the responsibility of mentors who are more flexible than anyone else in regulating the vector of orientation to the new subjects in digital learning and significant in personal growth.

The critical mass of psychological readjustment

It should be accepted that the digital learning mentor «here and now» is in the uncertain present. The transition from the present to the future, especially in its three vectors, which the authors wrote about above (predicted future, projected future and desired future), is all the more difficult, though inevitable for the living people. We must add here the uncertainty of crisis events that can occur from nowhere and disappear into nowhere. Evidently, this is a lengthy process. It can be gaining a critical mass for a long time, but when this mass has been gained, further changes occur very quickly. Moreover, the question is not only in the readiness of mentoring technologies in digital learning, but also in regulation and psychological «reconfiguration». Here we draw attention to the term «reconfiguration». Over-satisfied with the monotonous products of mass digital production, the world is looking for new sensations. People no longer want to be like everyone else; everyone seeks to stand out with their individuality, ceases to be a passive consumer of digital space and becomes an active participant in everything – seeking self-realization in work, in leisure, in study, and in creativity. Impressions and sensations at present (!) are the main commodity and the main capital today. This is true for those who teach and for those who study. This is how the economy of impressions develops in the digital world of robotics. Turning to the idea of informal education [5] that is considered in the context of a person’s life path and life events, where universities are not only university classrooms and libraries, but a multiplicity of digital and other sources directly related to self-knowledge, the search for significance and reflection, we will express a very controversial thought. From the standpoint of a humanistic view, informal education is able to balance and regulate the need for knowledge of technologies of an innovative digital economy and its own individual resource for preserving itself, basic life values, and form digital education informal mentors. By informal education, the authors understand education outside any form, directed deep within itself, its own life significance, professional training and personal development values, an embodiment of self-knowledge, devoid of strict regulation, spatial and temporal boundaries [10; 14].

It should be noted that the work on the development of the theory and practice of media education in the digital economy is among the priorities of the departments of
media education in many pedagogical universities and higher pedagogical schools of the world. However, if the mentor fails to find a balance between the «digit» and the «character of his I», it will be difficult for him to perceive digital reality as such and even more so to shape it in the class, not to mention the individual resource of the teacher for mentoring in the «everyone for everybody» format. The critical mass of «reconfiguration» of mentors is thus expressed in the simultaneous change and adoption of new professional actions and, what is not unimportant, personal significance [12; 13; 14].

The idea of the European portal SELFIE

The European Commission has introduced a new tool that will help all schools in the EU, as well as in Russia, Georgia and Serbia, to assess the degree to which they use digital technologies in teaching and learning. In the EU, 76.7 million learners and teachers from 250 thousand schools will be able to use the SELFIE portal on a voluntary basis [7]. Reference: the abbreviation SELFIE stands for «self-assessment of the effectiveness of education by expanding the use of innovative educational technologies».

At the time of launch, the portal was available in 24 EU languages, and later other languages were added. Today the portal is available in 30 languages. Any interested school (primary, secondary, and secondary vocational education institutions) can register on the SELFIE portal and conduct a self-assessment. At the SELFIE portal launch event in Warsaw, Tibor Navračić, a member of the European Commission for Education, Culture, Youth Policy and Sports, said that «SELFIE can help you to use technical tools in teaching and learning purposefully and comprehensively. Gathering feedback, it can play an important role in adapting the European education system to the digital age. I am sure that the SELFIE portal will help us to improve the digital skills of Europeans. This is fundamentally important if we want all of them to be able to use the opportunities of a globalized, knowledge-based economy. And it is necessary to build a society in which people are confident, demanding users of new technologies, not their passive consumers». The SELFIE portal is one of 11 initiatives of the Digital Education Action Plan launched by the European Commission in January 2019. The action plan aims to develop digital skills in Europe and to support the innovative use of digital technologies in teaching and learning [1].

How does the SELFIE portal work? After gaining access to the SELFIE portal, users fill out a questionnaire, noting the degree of their agreement with a number of brief questions in order to assess the scale of use of the portal data in the process of digital learning and, or digital teaching. The tool is modular, and its users can choose from a number of additional questions, as well as add up to eight individual questions depending on their own interests. Commenting on questions takes from 20 to 30 minutes. On the results of the survey, a report is generated, on the basis of which a team of mentors can discuss measures to improve the use of digital technologies in training. Such measures may include special intensive training or Internet security initiatives. All answers on the SELFIE portal are anonymous, the portal does not collect personal data, and the information received is not used to compile system ratings.

Currently, mentors have announced the development of the portal. The first SELFIE conference with the support of the Ministry of Education of Spain was held on April 4–5, 2019 in Madrid. The event brought together representatives of European project participants whose experience and feedback will be used for further improvement. To expand the use of digital technology by innovative mentors, the European Commission is developing methodological materials based on SELFIE self-assessment. The Commission is also exploring how the SELFIE portal can interact with existing communities, in particular with the eTwinning portal [2], which, with the support of the Erasmus + program 20.30, has become the world’s largest community of digital education mentors.

Reference Information

The European Commission works on the SELFIE portal in partnership with national ministries of education and a group of European experts in digital learning. Partner organizations include the European Foundation for Education, the European Center for the Development of Vocational Training, and the UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education. Last year, a pilot version of the portal was tested in 14 countries. According to the results of the project, 67 thousand proposals were received to simplify and improve this tool; they have been taken into account in the portal current version. Reference information on the SELFIE portal in English is available on the website of the European Commission [6]. There is also a SELFIE portal on Twitter: #SELFIE_EU [8].

Conclusion

Mentoring in the digital age is an important component of digital education. Discussions on the management of digital education through mentoring, mentoring pedagogy and mentoring innovations in traditional learning environments and during the time of crisis are not yet complete and need to be continued to find answers. Targeted intensives, the «digital footprint» and the SELFIE portal created in the EU reflect the reality of the constructive and deconstructive aspects of digital education development. The mentor of the targeted digital educational intensive has a psychological advantage, because he can become a mentor in network communication for other people by improving his own competencies through getting simultaneous training from others. Strategies for managing international education development, the implementation of the European plan for the quality of digital education set a breakthrough vector in building common value systems for educational choice in digital environment and introducing changes that will turn the fourth industrial revolution into new opportunities for everyone.
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