Intranasal Premedication Combined with Erector Spinae Plane Block during Spinal Surgery for a Paediatric Patient: A Case Report
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Abstract

Posterior spinal fusion surgery for congenital scoliosis may cause substantial surgical trauma. Erector Spinae Plane Block (ESPB) can alleviate pain in adults who undergo spinal surgery; however, reports regarding its use in paediatric patients are limited. Here, we report the case of a 2-year-old girl who underwent posterior spinal fusion for congenital scoliosis. The patient initially experienced severe sadness upon separation from her parents; however, after performing various interactive activities, premedication using intranasal dexmedetomidine and midazolam was administered to sedate the patient. Ultrasound-guided bilateral ESPB was applied for perioperative analgesia. The anaesthesiologist identified mild-to-moderate pain during the first 6 days after surgery using the visual analogue scale for pain and Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, and Consolability scale. Therefore, ESPB may provide a satisfactory analgesic effect and help reduce postoperative opioid consumption in children who require spinal fusion surgery.
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Case Presentation

A 2-year-old girl (height, 0.84m; weight, 14kg) was diagnosed with CS. She began exhibiting signs of spinal deformity, including uneven shoulders and slight back pain (Figure 1); the deformity was at an early stage and was not affecting cardiac or pulmonary functions. Renal ultrasonography revealed congenital absence of the left kidney; however, electrocardiography, chest radiography, echocardiography, and laboratory test results were normal. Nevertheless, given the risks associated with CS, PSF was planned.

The patient initially experienced severe sadness upon separation from her parents. However, after performing various interactive activities, we were able to administer intranasal premedication using a 1-mL syringe (dexmedetomidine (3μg/kg) and midazolam (0.15mg/kg)) ~30min before anaesthesia induction on the day of surgery. The child was sedated and subsequently transferred to the operation room. After routine monitoring, general anaesthesia was induced intravenously using propofol (2mg/kg), sufentanil (0.35µg/kg), and cisatracurium (0.2mg/kg). Nasotracheal intubation was performed using an endotracheal tube (internal diameter, 5.0mm; insertion depth, 16cm). Ultrasound-guided punctures of the internal jugular and arteria radialis were performed, and the patient was supported with a fluid warming device and medical heating blanket.

The patient was then turned from the supine position to the lateral position, and ultrasound-guided (Mindray TE7) bilateral ESPB was applied at the T8 and L1 levels (Figure 2) by an experienced anaesthesiologist. Four injection points (total volume, 10mL of 0.25% ropivacaine) were selected based on the extent of the planned incision and specific location of the spinal deformity. The needle was inserted through the erector spinae muscle to the specified transverse process using an in-plane approach, which produces an analgesic effect.
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Postoperatively, the patient was transferred to the post-anaesthesia care unit, where we initiated patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (1mL bolus of tramadol (200mg) and ramosetron (0.6mg) with a 15min lock-out interval) and a 1mL bolus was administered immediately. The patient recovered spontaneous and regular respiratory movements ~40min post-surgery and was awake and extubated calmly ~30min later. The patient reported no pain at the back incision upon tube extraction, although she verbalised pain to her parents ~8h postoperatively. The same anaesthesiologists monitored the patient for the first 6 postoperative days using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for pain and Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, and Consolability (FLACC) scale, with 15 min of observation daily. During the first 4 postoperative days, the VAS revealed resting pain scores of 0-2/10 and dynamic pain scores of 3-6/10. The FLACC scale scores were 0-3/10 at rest and 3-5/10 during routine care. However, the VAS and FLACC scale dynamic pain scores decreased substantially when the stimulations were removed. Given that the VAS and FLACC scores were both maintained at 0-3/10, postoperative supervision was terminated after two additional days of monitoring on postoperative day 6. The patient was subsequently switched to oral acetaminophen (0.1g per 12h), although she required patient-controlled intravenous analgesia a second time to alleviate pain. The total perioperative doses were 8µg for sufentanil and 400mg for tramadol.

**Discussion**

CS, the most common spinal scoliotic deformity in newborns, is identified in ~1/1000 live births, with a higher prevalence among girls (sex ratio, 2.5:1) [4]. These patients usually have other anomalies, and up to 20% of cases involve genitourinary anomalies (e.g. congenital absence of the left kidney, as in the present case). Patients often experience rapid CS progression during the first 5 years of life, which can affect heart and lung development. Herein, our patient’s Cobb angle increased from 52° to 73° over a 3-month period. Given the severe consequences of thoracic dysplasia and spinal cord compression, including the effects on cardiorespiratory function and the risk of paraplegia, most orthopaedic surgeons suggest early surgical treatment [4].

Perioperative anaesthesia management during PSF for CS is different for paediatric patients and adolescent/adult patients because surgery is a painful and often horrible experience for children, which makes it difficult to alleviate their anxiety and develop a friendly relationship. In the present case, the patient experienced severe sadness regarding separation from her parents. However, after various interactive activities and rewards for good behaviour, the girl permitted us to administer intranasal premedication with midazolam and dexmedetomidine. This intranasal premedication strategy is safe and effective and induces early and deep sedation because of midazolam’s rapid effect and dexmedetomidine’s prolonged effect, potentially making it easier to separate children from their parents [5]. Moreover, it is important to consider perioperative analgesia, as the median VAS scores are reportedly 5-7 on postoperative day 1 in cases of posterior spinal surgery for CS [6], highlighting the need for adequate perioperative analgesia [7]. Unfortunately, traditional pain management strategies, including opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and epidural local anaesthesia, have adverse effects, which has directed increasing attention to peripheral nerve block.

Although evaluating pain experienced by paediatric patients is critical, this is a difficult process. Lempinen et al. [8] described the feasibility and utility of the FLACC scale in evaluating pain among paediatric patients. Additionally, the VAS and FLACC scale scores are well correlated among children [9], a finding that generally agrees with our observations.

There is considerable interest in ESPB as an interfascial plane block, given its effective analgesic properties and widespread use. However, since the first report in 2016, there has been controversy related to its unclear mechanism of action. Studies suggest that the main underlying mechanisms for ESPB involve the local anaesthetic physically spreading over 3-6 vertebral levels in the ESP [10] and acting on the spinal nerves [11]. Furthermore, previous reports...
described ESPB as an effective and safe regional anaesthetic technique during abdominal, cardiothoracic, and hip surgeries; prostatectomies; and cervical spine fusions. A few cases involving ESPB during PSF surgery have been reported [12], although they involved adult not paediatric patients. A recent study of 70 children (2-18 years old) indicated that ESPB was safe, effective, and versatile in children [13], although that study did not specifically consider PSF surgery. To our knowledge, ours is the first report of ESPB during PSF surgery for CS in a paediatric patient. In the present case, after the ESPB, the patient experienced a good analgesic effect and required only small opioid doses with no clearly related complications. Given the postoperative infection risk in this patient population, we performed a single-injection block that provides effective analgesia for at least 8-12 h [14]. Currently, a few experts have advised using dexmedetomidine plus ropivacaine to prolong the analgesia duration [15]; however, we recommended using ropivacaine alone because of concerns regarding neurotoxicity associated with the use of combined ropivacaine and dexmedetomidine [16].

The volume and concentration of the local anaesthetic play crucial roles in ESPB’s clinical effects [11]. In adult patients, a single 20mL injection of the local anaesthetic physically spreads over 3-6 vertebral levels in the ESP. We selected four injection points based on the extent of the planned incision and the expected physical spreading of the local anaesthetic, although there was limited information regarding the optimal volume and local anaesthetic concentration for ESPB in children. A small volume (0.3-0.45 mL/kg) and low concentration (0.2-0.25% ropivacaine) have been recommended for young children [11].

Conclusion

This case suggests that ESPB during PSF for CS may provide a satisfactory analgesic effect and help reduce postoperative opioid use in paediatric patients. Furthermore, intranasal premedication was an effective strategy for preparing the patient to be separated from her parents. The rational use of multiple methods, including different nerve block techniques and drug combinations, can help reduce related complications and accelerate the healing process in this setting.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff at the Department of Anaesthesiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), for their cooperation.

References

1. Karaarslan UC, Gurdel IE, Yucekul A, Demirkiran HG, Samdani A, Yilgor C, et al. Team approach: Contemporary treatment of congenital scoliosis. JBJS Rev. 2019; 7: e5.

2. Forero M, Adhikary SD, Lopez H, Tsui C, Chin KJ. The erector spinae plane block: A novel analgesic technique in thoracic neuropathic pain. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2016; 41: 621-627.

3. Melvin JP, Schrot RJ, Chu GM, Chin KJ. Low thoracic erector spinae plane block for perioperative analgesia in lumbosacral spine surgery: A case series. Can J Anaesth. 2018; 65: 1057-1065.

4. Hedequist D, Emans J. Congenital scoliosis: A review and update. J Pediatr Orthop. 2007; 27: 106-116.

5. Chatrath V, Kumar R, Sachdeva U, Thakur M. Intranasal fentanyl, midazolam and dexmedetomidine as premedication in pediatric patients. Anesth Essays Res. 2018; 12: 748-753.

6. Gerbershagen HJ, Adubkatl H, van Wijck AJ, Peelen LM, Kalkman CJ, Meisner W. Pain intensity on the first day after surgery: A prospective cohort study comparing 179 surgical procedures. Anesthesiology. 2013; 118: 934-944.

7. Kwan MK, Chiu CK, Chan TS, Chong KI, Mohamad SM, Hasan MS, et al. Trajectory of postoperative wound pain within the first 2 weeks following posterior spinal fusion surgery in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017; 42: 838-843.

8. Lempinen H, Polikki T, Kyngäs H, Kaakinen P. Feasibility and clinical utility of the Finnish version of the FLACC scale pain rating in PICU. J Pediatr Nurs. 2020; 55: 211-216.

9. Crellin DJ, Harrison D, Santamaria N, Huque H, Babi FE. The psychometric properties of the FLACC scale used to assess procedural pain. J Pain. 2018; 19: 862-872.

10. Schoenfeldt J, Guffey R, Fingerman M. Cadaveric study investigating the mechanism of action of erector spinae blockade. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2018; 43: 567-571.

11. Chin KJ, El-Boghdady K. Mechanisms of action of the Erector Spinae Plane (ESP) block: A narrative review. Can J Anaesth. 2021; 68: 387-408.

12. Chin KJ, Lewis S. Opioid-free analgesia for posterior spinal fusion surgery using erector spinae plane (ESP) blocks in a multimodal anesthetic regimen. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2019; 44: E379-E383.

13. Holland EL, Rosenberg AT. Early experience with erector spinae plane blocks in children. Paediatri Anaesth. 2020; 30: 96-107.

14. Krishna SN, Chauhan S, Bhoi D, Kaushal B, Hasija S, Sardujup T, et al. Bilateral erector spinae plane block for acute post-surgical pain in adult cardiac surgical patients: A randomized controlled trial. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2019; 33: 368-375.

15. Yao F, Xu S, Zhang W, Xiong H, Han J, Zhu A. Impacts of different administration modes of dexmedetomidine with 0.5% ropivacaine on intercostal nerve block. Ann Palliat Med. 2020; 9: 447-450.

16. Desai N, Kirkham KR, Albrecht E. Local anaesthetic adjuncts for peripheral regional anaesthesia: A narrative review. Anaesthesia. 2021; 76: 100-109.