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during their unstructured large gross motor time were examined. Specific research questions include (a) Are there any significant group (preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a developmental delay [DD]) and gender differences in physical activity levels during designated large gross motor time? and (b) Are there any significant group and gender differences in social interactions during designated large gross motor time?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A total of 60 preschoolers (30 male and 30 female), aged from 36 to 72 months, participated in this study after receiving the Institutional Review Board approval (#HS16-0093) from the Northern Illinois University in the United States. Among the participants, there were 20 preschoolers with a DD, 20 preschoolers at risk for a DD, and 20 preschoolers without a disability from two early childhood programs. The mean ages of preschoolers with a disability, those without disability, and those at risk for a DD were 48.7 months (standard deviation [SD], 7.2), 48.7 months (SD, 5.1), and 58.3 months (SD, 7.3), respectively.

Instruments

The physical activity level screening (PALS) (Park et al., 2017), a systematic observation tool, was used to measure physical activity level and social interactions of participants during designated large gross motor time. The PALS uses 15-sec momentary time sampling. When using the PALS, physical activity levels are measured in three categories including none, light, and moderate/vigorous physical activity (MVPA) while social interaction of preschoolers was measured in three categories including play alone, parallel play and interactional play. The validity evidence of the PALS was established for physical activity level of preschoolers with a DD/or a disability using actical accelerometers, PALS was established for physical activity level of preschoolers. A total of 60 preschoolers (30 male and 30 female), aged from 36 to 72 months, participated in this study after receiving the Institutional Review Board approval (#HS16-0093) from the Northern Illinois University in the United States. Among the participants, there were 20 preschoolers with a DD, 20 preschoolers at risk for a DD, and 20 preschoolers without a disability from two early childhood programs. The mean ages of preschoolers with a disability, those without disability, and those at risk for a DD were 48.7 months (standard deviation [SD], 7.2), 48.7 months (SD, 5.1), and 58.3 months (SD, 7.3), respectively.

Procedures

Participants were filmed during their 25-min designated/unstructured large gross motor time on playgrounds. When the data collection was done, two graduate students received trainings on use of the PALS from two faculty members. When the training was completed, two raters coded three videos independently to examine intrarater reliability of physical activity levels and social interactions of PALS. One week later, raters coded each video again to examine intrarater reliability. The scores of intra- and intrarater reliability for both raters from three subjects met the acceptable proportion of agreement (≥90%).

Actual coding

Two raters watched video clips independently and assessed each preschooler’s physical activity levels and social interactions using the PALS; Rater 1 coded all participants whereas rater 2 coded randomly selected 30 participants in order to check intrarater reliability of rater 1. If the participant was not seen on the film in that 15-sec recording time, the raters described it as “N/A” for that section. If the percentage of “N/A” was more than 10%, these data were not used in this study.

The average proportions of agreement for intrarater reliability by rater1 for physical activity levels, physical activity choices, and social interactions were 0.92 (SD, 4.2), 0.94 (SD, 3.9), and 0.95 (SD, 3.2), respectively. The means of modified Cohen kappa coefficient for intrarater reliability from rater1 were 0.88 (SD, 6.2), 0.91 (SD, 5.8), and 0.92 (SD, 5.3). The average proportions of agreement for intrarater reliability by rater 2 for physical activity levels and social interactions were 0.93 (SD, 4.9) and 0.92 (SD, 7.8), respectively. The means of modified Cohen kappa coefficient for intrarater reliability from rater 2 were 0.89 (SD, 7.3) and 0.88 (SD, 11.2). The average proportions of agreement for intrarater reliability between rater1 and rater 2 for physical activity levels and social interactions were 0.83 (SD, 5.0) and 0.84 (SD, 7.4), respectively. The means of modified Cohen kappa coefficient for intrarater reliability were 0.76 (SD, 7.4) and 0.76 (SD, 11.0), respectively.

Statistical analyses

The data analysis was conducted for the data of 60 participants from the first coding by rater 1. The means and standard deviations of physical activity levels and social interactions were calculated for preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a DD, and calculated for gender across the three groups of preschoolers. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine differences between means of physical activity levels and social interactions for group by gender. The significance level was set at 0.05. A Tukey honestly significant difference test was conducted after a significant group effect. Each individual’s data point
used in the analysis was his/her average score over the 25-min observation time for physical activity levels and social interactions separately.

RESULTS

The average percentage of N/A for 60 participants was 4.14. Among 60 participants, a total of 54 participants had at least one N/A (range, 1–10). The means of physical activity levels for female preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a DD were 0.97 (SD, 0.41), 0.74 (SD, 0.16), and 1.06 (SD, 0.18), respectively. The means of physical activity level for male preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a DD were 0.83 (SD, 0.15), 0.84 (SD, 0.13), and 0.92 (SD, 0.18), respectively. The means of social interactions for female preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a DD were 0.79 (SD, 0.19), 0.69 (SD, 0.32), and 1.05 (SD, 0.22), respectively. The means of social interactions for male preschoolers with/without a disability and those at risk for a DD were 0.62 (SD, 0.21), and 0.73 (SD, 0.30), and 0.95 (SD, 0.24), respectively. Table 1 shows the average percentage of each category in physical activity levels and social interactions among participants based on the group and gender.

The result of a two-way ANOVA indicated that there were significant main effects for groups, $F(2, 54) = 4.192, P = 0.02$. There was no significant difference between preschoolers with a disability and those at risk for a DD ($P = 0.40$), but preschoolers at risk for a DD were significantly more active than preschoolers without a disability ($P = 0.02$). No significant difference was found between preschoolers with and without a disability ($P = 0.26$). There was no significant main effect for gender, $F(1, 54) = 0.959, P = 0.33$, and no significant interaction, $F(2, 54) = 1.812, P = 0.17$.

Table 1. The average percentages of physical activity levels and social interactions

| Variable              | Without a disability | At risk for a DD | With a disability |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                       | Boys     | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys     | Girls | Boys     | Girls |
| Physical activity levels |          |       |      |       |          |       |          |       |
| None                  | 25.5     | 27.0  | 18.2 | 12.6  | 21.0     | 17.0  |          |       |
| Light                 | 63.2     | 64.9  | 66.7 | 63.4  | 67.1     | 61.1  |          |       |
| MVPA                  | 8.6      | 6.1   | 9.9  | 18.0  | 6.7      | 18.2  |          |       |
| Social interactions   |          |       |      |       |          |       |          |       |
| Play alone            | 34.5     | 34.9  | 27.7 | 17.6  | 39.1     | 27.0  |          |       |
| Parallel play         | 54.0     | 57.2  | 52.6 | 57.3  | 51.4     | 61.5  |          |       |
| Interactional play    | 9.1      | 5.2   | 15.6 | 21.9  | 4.8      | 8.9   |          |       |

DD, developmental delay; MVPA, moderate/vigorous physical activity.

Regarding the effect of groups and gender for social interaction, results from the two-way ANOVA indicated a significant main effect for group, $F(2, 54) = 8.994, P < 0.001$. Preschoolers at risk for a DD were significantly more interactive than preschoolers with a disability ($P = 0.001$) and without a disability ($P = 0.002$). No significant difference was found between preschoolers with and without a disability ($P = 1.0$). There was no significant main effect for gender, $F(2, 54) = 1.358, P = 0.25$, and no significant interaction, $F(2, 54) = 0.955, P = 0.39$.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to examine preschoolers’ physical activity levels and types of social interactions during designated large gross motor time. The results of this study indicated that there were significant differences in the physical activity levels and social interactions between preschoolers at risk for a DD and with/without a disability. However, there were no significant differences in physical activity levels and social interactions between genders.

There was no significant difference in physical activity levels between preschoolers with and without a disability in this study. Similar results were found by Schenkelberg et al. (2017); No significant differences were found between preschoolers with and without autism spectrum disorder during free play and in a structured activity setting. Different ratios between teachers and preschoolers for each group might affect physical activity levels of preschoolers. Especially the class of preschoolers with a disability had a higher teacher-child ratio. For the given number of participants (16–20 preschoolers) on the playground, there were approximately eight teachers for preschoolers with a disability whereas the class of preschoolers without a disability and those at risk for a DD had only two to three teachers. Furthermore, the investigator found that teachers in the class of preschoolers with a disability were more likely to encourage their students to be physically active by holding hands with students to walk around the playground and suggesting riding on a see-saw or swing. Previous studies have announced that the encouragement from a staff/teacher was positively related to preschoolers’ physical activity level (Gubbels et al., 2011; Tonge et al., 2017).

In the current study, preschoolers at risk for a DD were significantly more active than those without a disability whereas no difference was found between preschoolers with a disability and those at risk for a DD. A possible explanation could be related to environmental factors. The playground environments (such as the
size of the playground and the accessibility to the equipment) were different between preschoolers without a disability and those at risk for a DD. The data from the previous studies concluded that the differences in facilities, seasons, gender, and location were highly associated with the physical activity levels of preschoolers (Baranowski et al., 1993; Gubbels, 2014).

In addition, preschoolers’ physical activity levels could be influenced by age. Bult et al. (2013) found that preschoolers ages 4 through 5 years were more actively engaged in activities with a higher intensity score than preschoolers ages 2 through 3 years (P < 0.001). Regarding gender differences in physical activity levels, there were no significant differences for all groups. The results of this study are similar with previous studies examining the differences in physical activity levels between male and female preschoolers. According to the study by Cardon and De Bourdeaudhuij (2008), there were no significant gender differences of daily physical activity levels in preschoolers.

Regardless of groups and gender, low physical activity levels have been found in preschoolers. Most of the preschoolers spent majority of their time in sedentary or light activities. The average percentage of MVPA for all groups and gender in this study was 11.3%. Previous studies reported that preschoolers engaged in MVPA for less than 5% of their playtime (Brown et al., 2006; Cardon and De Bourdeaudhuij, 2008; Pate et al., 2008). These studies support a need to increase the preschoolers’ physical activity levels through an appropriate intervention and engagement from teachers.

The results of this study showed that there was no significant difference in social interactions between preschoolers with and without a disability. However, preschoolers at risk for a DD were more interactive with peers than preschoolers with and without a disability. One of the explanations for these significant differences between groups may be the participant’s age. The mean ages of preschoolers with, without a disability and those at risk for a DD were 48.65, 48.65, and 58.3 months respectively. According to Barbu et al. (2011), younger preschoolers 2 to 4 years of age were less likely to play with peers and play more with adults rather than older preschoolers aged 4 to 6 years old.

In regards to gender differences, the differences in social interactions between male and female preschoolers for all groups were not significant. A similar result was also reported in the study by Woods et al. (2015), social interaction of younger children (6 years of age) was not significantly different between boys and girls during recess time.

In conclusion, the preschoolers across three groups engaged in light physical activity levels, and had limited social interactions with peers during designated large gross motor time regardless of gender although preschoolers at risk for DD were significantly more active and interactive with their peers compared to other groups. These results point to a need for more structured large gross motor time in preschool settings should be considered to promote physical activity and social interactions in preschoolers with all abilities.
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