Abstract

A SASE FEL facility was first proposed in Feasibility Report of the TAC (Turkish Accelerator Center) project in 2000. Conceptual Design Report (CDR) of the project was completed in 2005. Technical Design Report (TDR) studies of TAC were started in 2006 in frame of an inter universities project with support of State Planning Organization (SPO) of Turkey. Main goal of the SASE FEL proposal is to cover VUV and soft X-rays region of the spectrum besides IR-FEL, Bremsstrahlung and Synchrotron Radiation proposals of TAC. Up to now, optimization studies based on a special RF linac or an Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) for the SASE FEL facility, were completed. Today, ERLs provide a powerful broad range of applications like: electron cooling devices, high average brightness, high power FELs, short-pulse radiation sources and high luminosity colliders. In this study, main parameters for two linac options and SASE FEL are given.

OVERVIEW OF THE TAC SASE FEL FACILITY PROPOSAL

It was first planned that, TAC linac-ring type collider’s 1 GeV electron linac should asynchronously be used as a driver for SASE FEL facility [1], as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, SASE FEL optimization studies has been based on a 1 GeV superconducting RF linac (ERL) for the SASE FEL facility, were completed. Today, ERLs provide a powerful broad range of applications like: electron cooling devices, high average brightness, high power FELs, short-pulse radiation sources and high luminosity colliders. In this study, main parameters for two linac options and SASE FEL are given.

THE ELECTRON SOURCE & LINAC

TAC 1 GeV superconducting linac was planned to be based on a photo-cathode RF gun. Electrons released from the gun, are accelerated in superconducting RF cavities and compressed by magnetic chicanes between the modules. After several diagnostic units, accelerated electron bunches (up to 1 GeV) pass through the undulator to achieve FEL process, as shown in Fig. 3.

Proposed RF gun parameters and optimized tentative electron beam parameters [2] are given in Table 1. Definitely, the time structure of the electron beam will be in pulsed mode and in due course, L-Band (1.3 GHz) TESLA type superconducting RF cavities will probably be used.
Table 1: Proposed Electron Beam Parameters Based On A Photo-Cathode RF Gun

| Electron Beam Value                        | Value |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| Beam energy (GeV)                         | 1     |
| Number of electrons per bunch \(\times10^9\) | 5.5   |
| Beam current (mA)                         | 26.4  |
| Peak current (A)                          | 2106  |
| Energy spread (%)                         | 0.1   |
| Normalized emittance (\(\mu\)m.rad)       | 3.1   |
| Transverse beam sizes (\(\mu\)m)         | 75.2  |
| Longitudinal bunch length (mm)            | 0.05  |

THE UNDULATOR & TENTATIVE SASE FEL PARAMETERS

Fundamentally, it is planned to cover 1-100 nm range of the spectrum. This condition directly restricts the transverse emittance of the electron beam between \(0.08 < \varepsilon_t < 0.8 \) nm range. On the other hand, optimizations on FEL process has been based on a Samarium Cobalt planar undulator [2] as shown in Fig. 4.

![Figure 4: Schematic view of a planar undulator.](image)

The wavelength can easily be found by varying the undulator gap and period from, i.e. Fig. 5, certainly taking the technological limits into consideration. In Table 2, undulator gap is varied from 1 cm to 1.5 cm by 0.1 cm steps and undulator period is varied from 3 cm to 5 cm by 1 cm steps for each gap value. 1., 4., 5., 7., 8., 10., 11., 13., 14., 16. and 17. rows are convenient choices but the other excluding rows are inconvenient because of the K values, they are exceeding the K limit for undulators.

![Figure 5: \(\lambda_{FEL} \) vs g & \(\lambda_u\).](image)

In Table 2, the seventh row from above seems more feasible, thus undulator optimization is based on dedicated row and the optimized undulator parameters [2] are given in Table 3.

Table 2: Convenient Parameter Choices For A Typical Samarium Cobalt Planar Undulator

| g (cm) | \(\lambda_u\) (cm) | \(g/\lambda_u\) | \(\sim B\) (T) | \(\sim K\) | \(\sim \lambda_{FEL}\) (nm) |
|--------|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | 3                | 0.33          | 0.657         | 1.84       | 10.5                     |
| 1      | 4                | 0.25          | 0.949         | 3.55       | 38                       |
| 1      | 5                | 0.2           | 1.198         | 5.6        | 108                      |
| 1.1    | 3                | 0.37          | 0.571         | 1.6        | 9                        |
| 1.1    | 4                | 0.275         | 0.848         | 3          | 32                       |
| 1.1    | 5                | 0.22          | 1.1           | 5.14       | 90                       |
| 1.2    | 3                | 0.4           | 0.498         | 1.4        | 7.7                      |
| 1.2    | 4                | 0.3           | 0.759         | 2.84       | 26.2                     |
| 1.2    | 5                | 0.24          | 0.994         | 4.64       | 76                       |
| 1.3    | 3                | 0.43          | 0.436         | 1.22       | 6.8                      |
| 1.3    | 4                | 0.325         | 0.68          | 2.54       | 22.1                     |
| 1.3    | 5                | 0.26          | 0.91          | 4.25       | 66                       |
| 1.4    | 3                | 0.47          | 0.384         | 1.07       | 6.2                      |
| 1.4    | 4                | 0.35          | 0.612         | 2.28       | 18.8                     |
| 1.4    | 5                | 0.28          | 0.829         | 3.87       | 55                       |
| 1.5    | 3                | 0.5           | 0.339         | 0.95       | 5.7                      |
| 1.5    | 4                | 0.375         | 0.551         | 2.05       | 16                       |
| 1.5    | 5                | 0.3           | 0.758         | 3.54       | 47                       |

| Table 3: Undulator Parameters | Value |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| **Undulator**                 | Value |
| Period length (cm)            | 3     |
| Gap (cm)                      | 1.2   |
| Peak magnetic field, \(B_u\) (T) | 0.498 |
| K parameter                   | 1.395 |
| Saturation length (m)         | 36    |
| Number of periods             | 1200  |

Finally, laser parameters based on a superconducting RF linac driven Samarium Cobalt planar undulator [2], are given in Table 4.
Table 4: SASE FEL Parameters

| Parameter             | Value   |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Wavelength (nm)       | 7.7     |
| Photon energy (eV)    | 160.5   |
| $\rho$ parameter      | 0.0018  |
| Peak power (GW)       | 1.4     |
| Average power (kW)    | 21.8    |
| Gain length, $L_g$ (m)| 0.75    |
| Gain length, 3D $L_g$ (m)| 1.57   |
| Peak flux (photons/s) | $1.5 \times 10^{30}$ |
| Peak brightness (photons/s/mrad²/0.1%bg) | $1.7 \times 10^{29}$ |
| Peak brilliance (photons/s/mm²/mrad²/0.1%bg) | $2.9 \times 10^{30}$ |

**A MORE PROMISING OPTION: ENERGY RECOVERY LINAC**

A more promising option, namely ERL, is arising nowadays for both collider and SASE FEL proposals of TAC. Because of the high luminosity ($\sim 2.3 \times 10^{35}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹) requirement of the TAC collider and high peak power ($\sim 2$–3 GW) & high average brightness ($10^{25}$–$10^{30}$ photons/s/mrad²/mm²/0.1%BW) requirements of TAC SASE FEL, 1 GeV electron accelerator sector of the collider should be based on an Energy Recovery Linac [3], as shown in Fig. 6.

Figure 6: Schematic view of TAC ERL-Ring $e^-e^+$ collider & SASE FEL facility.

The modification on TAC collider from linac-ring type to ERL-ring type provides that, the total power consumption considerably decreases with a recovery about 95-98% for 1 GeV electron beam. This case directly lowers operating cost of the facility. Proposed TAC ERL & ring parameters [3] are given in Table 5. Asynchronous operation of the TAC collider & SASE FEL facility should be achieved by lowering the charge per bunch and arising the repetition rate in FEL operation, providing the same average current value for both collider and light source operations.

Table 5: TAC ERL-Ring $e^-e^+$ Parameters

| Parameter              | cw $e^{-}$ ERL | $e^+$ Ring |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Beam energy (GeV)      | 1              | 3.56      |
| Num. of part. per bunch (x 10^10) | 2          | 6         |
| Bunch charge (nC)      | 3.2            | 9.6       |
| Bunch repetition rate (ns) | 6.67    | 6.67     |
| Bunch length (ps)      | 20             | 20        |
| Number of bunches      | (cw)           | 125       |
| RF frequency, $f_{RF}$ (MHz) | $\sim f_{coll}$ = 150 | $\sim f_{coll}$ = 150 |
| Rev. frequency, $f_{rev}$ (MHz) | -          | 1.2       |
| Coll. frequency, $f_{coll}$ (MHz) | 150       | 150       |
| $\beta_x$ (mm)        | 20 @ IP       | 20 @ IP  |
| $\beta_y$ (mm)        | 0.5 @ IP      | 0.5 @ IP |
| Normalized emittance, $\epsilon_x$ ($\mu$m) | 3.92       | 14        |
| Normalized emittance, $\epsilon_y$ ($\mu$m) | 0.06       | 0.2       |
| Transverse emittance, $\epsilon_x$ (nm) | 6.32       | 6.32      |
| Transverse emittance, $\epsilon_y$ (nm) | 0.12       | 0.12      |
| $\sigma_x$ ($\mu$m)   | 6              | 6         |
| $\sigma_y$ (nm)       | 0.16           | 0.48      |
| Peak current (kA)      | 0.48           | 1.44      |

Also, the eRHIC proposal [4] is a promising reference for an asynchronously operating collider & light source from the World, which is based on a 5-10 GeV high current ERL.

**CONCLUSION**

The TAC SASE FEL will act as a complementary part to cover the electromagnetic spectrum besides IR-FEL, Bremsstrahlung and Synchrotron Radiation proposals of TAC. Thereby, Turkish scientists and researchers will become acquainted with accelerator based light sources and its range of applications.
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