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ABSTRACT: BET bromodomain inhibition has contributed new insights into gene regulation and emerged as a promising therapeutic strategy in cancer. Structural analogy of early methyl-triazolo BET inhibitors has prompted a need for structurally dissimilar ligands as probes of bromodomain function. Using fluororous-tagged multicomponent reactions, we developed a focused chemical library of bromodomain inhibitors around a 3,5-dimethylisoxazole biasing element with micromolar biochemical IC50. Iterative synthesis and biochemical assessment allowed optimization of novel BET bromodomain inhibitors based on an imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine scaffold. Lead compound 32 (UMB-32) binds BRD4 with a Kd of 550 nM and 724 nM cellular potency in BRD4-dependent lines. Additionally, compound 32 shows potency against TAF1, a bromodomain-containing transcription factor previously unapproached by discovery chemistry. Compound 32 was cocrystallized with BRD4, yielding a 1.56 Å resolution crystal structure. This research showcases new applications of fluorous and multicomponent chemical synthesis for the development of novel epigenetic inhibitors.

INTRODUCTION

Cellular identity is imprinted on the genome and epigenome via covalent chemical modification. Post-translational modification of the DNA and histone proteins around which the genome is structured is dynamically maintained by chromatin-cation where they nucleate higher-order assemblies that influence gene expression and chromatin condensation.2

As end-effectors of signaling pathways, regulators of transcription, and emerging unrecognized cancer dependencies, epigenetic reader proteins are attractive therapeutic targets in cancer. However, the function of these agents via protein−protein interaction poses a challenge to the discipline of ligand discovery. Indeed, few high-quality chemical probes exist for transcriptional proteins, perhaps owing to perceptions regarding the difficulty of disrupting protein−protein interactions.7 Recent work from our laboratory established the feasibility of targeting the acetyl-lysine (Kac) recognition module, or bromodomain.8 A bromodomain is a conserved structural module comprised of four antiparallel α-helices which creates a Kac-recognition pocket between two interhelical loops.9−13 Molecular recognition of Kac is often facilitated by a conserved asparagine residue and a network of structured water molecules.

In 2010, we reported a first, methyl-triazolo bromodomain inhibitor, compound 1 (JQ1), which selectively displaces the BET (bromodomain and extra-terminal domain) subfamily of human bromodomains from chromatin.8 The basic research guiding our understanding of BET bromodomains has been empowered by the availability of this chemical tool. We now appreciate that the BET family (BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, and BRDT) functions as transcriptional coactivator proteins, which relay signals from master regulatory transcription factors, such as MYC in cancer and NFκB in inflammation, to RNA
polymerase II (RNA Pol II).\textsuperscript{14–16} BET proteins function to promote release of RNA Pol II from promoters, leading to effective transcriptional elongation.\textsuperscript{17–19} Beyond the central role in chromatin-dependent transcriptional signaling, BET bromodomains are also important mediators of cell cycle progression\textsuperscript{13} and facilitate developmental transitions such as spermiogenesis.\textsuperscript{20} Deregulation of BET bromodomain function is observed in numerous malignancies, and two BET bromodomains (BRD3 and BRD4) are proto-oncogenes in aggressive epithelial cancers.\textsuperscript{13,21} BET-rearranged lung and head and neck cancer demonstrates in an in-frame fusion to the NUT gene, resulting in aberrant expression of a chimeric oncoprotein that retains the tandem N-terminal bromodomains.\textsuperscript{21} Exposure of patient-derived cell lines from BET-rearranged lung cancers to compound 1 results in immediate squamous differentiation and subsequent cell death, establishing a compelling rationale for the development of BET-targeted therapy in this disease.\textsuperscript{8} Beyond these solid tumors, compound 1 has demonstrated potent antiproliferative efficacy in models of multiple myeloma, acute lymphoid leukemia, and acute myeloid leukemia.\textsuperscript{8,14,22,23} Informed by this research, first-generation methyl-triazolo BET inhibitors analogous to compound 1 have already been translated to human clinical investigation by at least four pharmaceutical companies.\textsuperscript{24}

Beyond BETs, there are 38 additional bromodomain-containing proteins for which high-quality small-molecule probes are urgently needed. Transcription initiation factor TFIIID subunits 1 (TAF1) and 1L (TAF1L) are two such proteins. As components of the STAGA complex, which

---

**Figure 1.** Chemical strategies to inhibit BET bromodomain proteins. (A) Existing BET bromodomain inhibitors with biasing moiety in red. (B) Synthetic strategy for the creation of small fragments based on dimethyl isoxazole based BET bromodomain biasing moiety (red). X signifies varied heteroatoms and R signifies varied substituents. (C) Perfluoroalkyl synthetic strategy with reaction conditions for small fragments shown. (D) Small fragment dimethylisoxazole inhibitors with potency values indicated. (E) Representative inhibitory curves for small fragment inhibitors. Error is shown based on duplicate technical replicates. (F) Docking of compound 9 into BRD4 crystal structure (PDB: 3MXF). The conserved asparagine interaction is indicated. (G) Ligand interaction diagram of compound 9.
contains TRRAP, GCN5, TFIID, CBP/P300, mediator, TAF1 is susceptible to oncogenic activation by MYC. Moreover, TAF1 has been shown to block p53 activity, and inactivation of TAF1 triggers a DNA damage response. In addition, the TFIID complex, of which TAF1 is a significant member, is vital to stem cell reprogramming. Inhibitors of TAF1 may help further elucidate its biological role and potentially function to inhibit cancer cell growth and survival.

Toward the development of a next-generation of bromodomain inhibitors, we have endeavored to build focused libraries of novel small molecules possessing one of several biasing elements with structural or electronic analogy to the methyltriazolo warhead of compound 1. Iterative synthesis and biochemical testing is employed to efficiently compare chemical cores and to explore appending groups. Complex, nonscalable, and wasteful reactions can significantly impede iterative screening efforts. Techniques involving the use of fluorous reagents have shown great versatility, high-yield, rapid deployment, and are relatively eco-friendly. Complex molecules may be synthesized in multicomponent reactions (MCRs) with perfluoroalkyl "phase tags" which can be used to facilitate purification by fluorous solid-phase extraction (F-SPE). Subsequent Suzuki-type reactions may replace the fluorous tag to form a biaryl compound. Benefits of such reactions include high yielding reactivity with facile purification. Reactions have proven viable to create substituted proline analogues, imidazo[1,2-a]-pyridines, diazepines, and others. Such heterocyclic compounds form easily substitutable, drug-like scaffolds for the discovery of probe compounds.

Structurally dissimilar chemical tools are valuable reagents for biological research when utilized in parallel, allowing observed biological phenotypes to be unambiguously linked to common target function. Today, coordinated efforts in ligand discovery are working to develop additional BET bromodomain inhibitors.

| Compound | Ar | BRD4--IC_{50} (pM) | 797--EC_{50} (pM) |
|----------|----|-------------------|-------------------|
| 10       |     | 16.3              |                   |
| 11       |     | 11.0              | 14.1              |
| 12       |     | >100              | 9.42              |
| 13       |     | 13.0              | 9.91              |
| 14       |     | 2.05              | >100              |
| 15       |     | 69.9              | >100              |
inhibitors within our group, and beyond.38−40 Drawing upon robust fluororous chemical synthesis and previously developed screening capabilities, we have rapidly diversified and optimized a set of potent and highly specific inhibitors. Building from the dimethylisoxazole biasing element, we sought to determine preferred regiochemistry, optimal scaffold (accessible via MCR), and favorable appending groups. We report here a novel class of bromodomain inhibiting compounds using the recently identified 3,5-dimethylisoxazole biasing element.38,39,41,42 In addition to demonstrating a new approach to targeting BET bromodomains, these compounds and near derivatives could create opportunities to drug other bromodomain-containing proteins.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of existing small-molecule bromodomain inhibitors, shown in Figure 1A, has defined a structure−function model in which the competitive small molecule binds through two chemical features: an acetyl-lysine mimetic warhead (red) and a core region with appending surface-recognition features (black). Nonobvious Kac mimetics in existing BET inhibitors, such as the triazole ring in 18 and 2 (I-BET),40 the 3,5-dimethylisoxazole ring in 3 (iBET-151),43 and the quinazoline none in 4 (PFI-1),44 form a highly specific hydrogen bond to the conserved asparagine in bromodomains. The core of the molecule establishes shape complementarity with the contour of the binding pocket to increase binding affinity primarily through hydrophobic interactions. Appending groups provide an opportunity to enhance potency and selectivity via surface and loop region interactions. The 3,5-dimethylisoxazole headgroup of 3 was identified as a promising and chemically accessible biasing moiety for further development as a chemical probe. Our screening strategy created an extensive series of diverse chemical features attached to the 4-position of the 3,5-dimethylisoxazole (Figure 1B). As a starting point, we focused on small, ligand efficient compounds that could serve as leads for further derivatization.

This approach to library development was empowered by recent advances in use of perfluoroalkyl substituents to enable efficient Suzuki-type coupling reactions, shown in Figure 1C. Purification of reaction intermediates is greatly facilitated by F-SPE. In this case, aryl perfluoroxytosulfonates (ArOSO2(CF3)2) were reacted with 3,5-dimethylisoxazole-4-boronic acid pinacol esters to produce a low-molecular-weight chemical series. The resulting compounds were purified using F-SPE. Initial compounds included positional isomers of carbonyl functionalities around the phenyl ring system (Figure 1D). Compound potency was initially assessed by relative average IC50 determined by an AlphaScreen competition assay (representative assay shown in Figure 1E). IC50 values were found to be highly dependent on the steric arrangement of functional groups around the phenyl ring. *ortho-* and *meta-*Aldehyde substitutions were poorly tolerated, while a *para-*aldehyde (compound 8) resulted in potency of 1.70 μM with a ligand efficiency (as defined by Hopkins et al.)45 of 0.54. Substitution with the more chemically stable *para-*acyethyl group (compound 9) did not affect potency. Previous study of similar compounds had only explored variation at meta ring positions.38

We performed computational modeling to establish hypotheses regarding the mode of molecular recognition and to guide further medicinal chemistry (Figure 1F). Using previously published crystal structures of both compounds 1 and 3, we were able to dock fragments from our emerging chemical library into the Kac binding site of BRD4 domain 1 (BRD4(1)).8,43 As is the case with 3, compound 9 is thought to bind through a conserved hydrogen bond with asparagine-140 through the ring oxygen, while the ring nitrogen coordinates through a structured water that interacts with the hydroxyl group of tyrosine-97 (Figure 1G). On the basis of this interaction model, we proceeded to build off the 4-position of the phenyl ring to improve potency by establishing protein surface interactions near the BC loop region.

We sought to explore a variety of chemical scaffolds while maintaining the dimethyl isoxazole biasing moiety. A diverse set of molecules was synthesized using reactions compatible with fluororous-tagged multicomponent synthesis (Table 1). These reactions create structural diversity by changing the substituent groups on each fractional component, allowing generation of diverse small-molecule libraries around a biasing element. The perfluoroalkyl tags can substituted with a binding motif of choice via Suzuki coupling (see Figure 1C).42 Synthesized compounds included tertiary amines, pyrimidines, and fused heterocyclic ring systems in order to explore the optimal shape for exploiting protein−inhibitor contour interactions. In addition to biochemical IC50, these compounds were selectively evaluated in a BRD4-dependent cell viability study. Effects on cell proliferation (EC50) were assessed using a surrogate measure of cellular viability (ATP content; PerkinElmer ATPlite) in the BET-rearranged 797 cell line and reported as an average value of multiple experiments. The use of a fluorous-tagged Groebke−Blackburn−Bienaymé44,46 multicomponent reaction was used to develop the *para-*imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine scaffold (compound 11), which was found to be a promising lead with biochemical and cellular inhibitory values of 11.0 and 14.1 μM, respectively. In addition, the compound 11 scaffold is accessible at a variety of positions for diversification to drive potency and develop a further understanding of structure−activity relationships (SAR).

Having selected a core scaffold in compound 11, we assembled a focused library of compounds to optimize placement of heteroatoms and appending groups. Synthesis
of the eventual lead compound 32 (UMB-32) is shown in Scheme 1 as an exemplar of the route used to assemble the focused SAR series. Bromobenzaldehyde reagents were substituted for fluorosulfonylbenzaldehydes in the subsequent MCRs. The compound was synthesized in a two-step reaction. The first step included combination of t-butylisocyanide, 2-aminopyrazine, and 4-bromobenzaldehyde. The reaction is catalyzed by scandium trflate and microwaved at 150 °C for 30 min in 3:1 CH2Cl2/MeOH. Flash chromatography yielded the aminopyrazine, and 4-bromobenzaldehyde. The reaction is

nM (compound 11) and 32 upon substitution of a 7-N. For this reason, compound 32 emerged as a lead compound.

A limited structural study around the linking phenyl ring is shown in Table 3. Substitution of the phenyl ring for pyridine

Table 2. Elaboration of Imidazopyridine Scaffold

| compd | R1 | R2 | X   | BRD4 IC50 (μM) | 797 EC50 (μM) |
|-------|----|----|-----|---------------|---------------|
| 16    | H  | H  | CH  | 3.89          |               |
| 17    | Bu | 6-Me | CH | >100          |               |
| 18    | Bu | 6-Cl | CH | 11.8          | 18.4          |
| 19    | Bu | H  | 7-N | 18.8          | 49.1          |
| 20    | cyclohexyl | H | CH | 0.904        | 5.07          |
| 21    | 4-(OMe)Ph | H | CH | 2.76        | 7.36          |
| 22    | 4-(OMe)Ph | 6-Cl | CH | 31.5          | 20.7          |
| 23    | 4-(OMe)Ph | 7-OMe | 6-N | 2.89          | 11.8          |
| 24    | 4-(OMe)Ph | H  | 7-N | 7.86          | 32.8          |
| 25    | (S)-1-PhEt | H | 7-N | 2.86          |               |
| 26    | −CH2CO2H | H | 7-N | >100          |               |
| 27    | −CH2CO2Et | H | 7-N | 4.53          |               |
| 28    | −CH2CO2Bu | H | 7-N | 4.96          |               |
| 29    | t-Bu | H  | CH | 0.479        | 2.04          |
| 30    | t-Bu | H  | 6-N | 20.7         | 2.06          |
| 31    | t-Bu | H  | 8-N | 0.860        |               |
| 32    | t-Bu | H  | 7-N | 0.637        | 0.724         |
| 33    | t-Bu | 6-Cl | CH | 1.17        | 2.19          |
| 34    | t-Bu | 6-Me | CH | 3.17          |               |
| 35    | t-Bu | 8-CF3 | CH | 11.9          |               |
| 36    | t-Bu | 6-CO2CH3 | CH | 1.62          |               |
| 37    | t-Bu | 6-COOH | CH | 0.968        |               |
| 38    | i-Pr | H  | 7-N | 0.807        | 0.494         |
| 39    | n-Bu | H  | 7-N | 1.66          |               |

resulted in decreased potency (compound 40 and 41), and the addition of electron-withdrawing fluorine (compound 42) was detrimental as well. Electron-donating methoxy substituents appear to be mildly beneficial in biochemical assays. Additional work regarding this linking region of the molecule may be a focus of ongoing medicinal chemistry.

The potency of 32 was accomplished by two key changes from compound 11 (Figure 2A). Compound 32 has substituted t-Bu for benzyl and inserted a 7-N. Comparison of compound 11, 19, and 32 show the critical substitutions in the development of 32, which led to an improved biochemical IC50 (Figure 2B, top). Biochemical potency was supported by cellular screening of compounds again in the BET-rearranged 797 cell line (Figure 2B, bottom). The addition of 7-N and the benzyl to t-butyl substitution clearly establish 32 as a frontline runner with dramatically improved biological activity. While there is often a drop in potency when compounds shift from biochemical to cellular assays, we observed similar potency for compound 32 in both experiments. This likely reflects high target availability of free drug, intrinsic cell permeability of this chemical series, and high sensitivity of the genetically leveraged BRD4-NUT cells (797). Notably, we previously observed parity between biochemical and cellular potency during the initial assessment of compound 1,8 which were confirmed in the present study (IC50 of 81.5 nM and EC50 of 71.7 nM, data not shown). Characterization of compound 32 binding kinetics to BRD4(1) by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) further supported the improved biochemical affinity (Supporting Information, Figure S1). The resultant Kd was shown to be 550 nM, in good agreement with the biochemical measurements. The binding process is shown to be exothermic and positively entropic with a 1:1 binding ratio.

Lead compounds 11 (Supporting Information, Table S1) and 32 (Figure 2C) were analyzed for bromodomain selectivity using a commercially available, phase-based, multiplexed bromodomain displacement assay (BromoScan; DiscovRx). We have noted somewhat increased sensitivity of the BromoScan assay compared to AlphaScreen. Compound 11 demonstrates broad activity against the tested bromodomains with some selectivity for the BET family. In contrast, 32 showed markedly increased potency for the BET proteins associated with a dramatic improvement in selectivity. Interestingly, 32 revealed potent binding to the TAF1 (560 nM) and TAFIL (1.3 μM) bromodomains. Albeit a
significantly weaker interaction, this observation suggests a starting point for development of inhibitors of the previously undrugged TAF1 bromodomain.

To understand the mode of molecular recognition, cocrystallization of 32 and BRD4(1) was pursued, yielding a rather high-resolution structure of the cocomplex (PDB: 4WIV;
IC50 values are based on averages of multiple experiments, except for PerkinElmer, USA. The manufacturer AlphaScreen measurements were performed on an Envision 2104 reader (for temperature equilibration) for 1 h prior to reading the 1 min. Next, the plates were incubated in the room with the plate on 1 °C. Distribution of cells was media were distributed into 384-well white plates from Thermo. Immediately after plating, compound in DMSO was of cells are media were distributed into 384-well white plates from Thermo. Immediately after plating, compound in DMSO was distributed to plates. For large plate sets, cells were returned to 37 °C incubator while not in use. Plates were sealed with adherent aluminum seals prior to vortexing and spinning down at 1000g for 1 min. Plates were incubated for 15 min at room temperature before a signal was read on an Envision plate reader. Reported EC50 values are based on averages of multiple experiments, except where noted.

**CONCLUSION**

Bromodomain proteins have emerged as master regulators of development and disease. Numerous functional connections to cancer and inflammation pathophysiology has established a fervent interest in the development of direct-acting inhibitors. Here we report a new functional class of bromodomain inhibitors that potently inhibits BET bromodomains and TAF1/TAF11. Further optimization to develop a selective probe to the bromodomain of TAF1 is ongoing in our laboratories. In sum, we illustrate a facile synthetic strategy and biochemical platform capable of efficiently optimizing selective inhibitors from small organic biasing features. Compound 32 emerges from this research as a chemical probe available to the scientific community for mechanistic and translational research.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

AlphaScreen BRD Binding Assay. Assays were performed with minor modifications from the manufacturer’s protocol (PerkinElmer, USA). All reagents were diluted in 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% w/v BSA, 0.01% w/v Tween20, pH 7.5, and allowed to equilibrate to room temperature prior to addition to plates. After addition of Alpha beads to master solutions, all subsequent steps were performed in low light conditions. A 2x solution of components with final concentrations of BRD (see Supporting Information) at 40 nM, Ni-coated acceptor bead at 25 μg/mL, and 20 nM biotinylated-JQ1 (Supporting Information, Figure S2, synthesized as previously described) was added in 10 μL to 384-well plates (AlphaPlate-384, PerkinElmer, USA). After a 1 min 1000 rpm spin-down, 100 nL of compounds in DMSO from stock plates were arrayed in duplicate dose response by pin transfer using a Janus Workstation (PerkinElmer, USA). The streptavidin-coated donor beads (25 μg/mL final) were added as with previous solution in a 2x, 10 μL volume. Following this addition, the plates were sealed with foil to block light exposure and to prevent evaporation. The plates were spun down again at 1000 rpm for 1 min. Next, the plates were incubated in the room with the plate reader (for temperature equilibration) for 1 h prior to reading the assay. Signal is stable for up to 3 h after donor bead addition. AlphaScreen measurements were performed on an Envision 2104 (PerkinElmer, USA) utilizing the manufacturer’s protocol. Reported IC50 values are based on averages of multiple experiments, except where noted.

Cell Viability Assay. Assays were performed with endogenous BRD4-NUT-expressing midline carcinoma cell lines, 797. Cells were counted and adjusted to 60000 cells/mL. Using a Biotek EL406, 50 μL of cells were media were distributed into 384-well white plates from Thermo. Immediately after plating, compound in DMSO was distributed to plates. For large plate sets, cells were returned to 37 °C incubator while not in use. Compounds were added to plates using a 100 nL 384-well pin transfer manifold on a Janus workstation. Stocks were arrayed in 10 point quadruplicate dose response in DMSO stock in 384-well Greiner compound plates. After addition of compound, plates were incubated for 3 days in a 37 °C incubator. Cell viability was read out using ATPlite from PerkinElmer. Plates were removed from the incubator and brought to room temperature prior to use. Lyophilized powder was resuspended in lysis buffer and diluted 1:2 with DI water. Then 25 μL of this solution was added to each well using the Biotek liquid handler. Plates were sealed with adherent aluminum seals prior to vortexing and spinning down at 1000g for 1 min. Plates were incubated for 15 min at room temperature before a signal was read on an Envision plate reader. Reported EC50 values are based on averages of multiple experiments, except where noted.

**Computational Methods.** All computational work was performed in Schrodinger Suite (Schrodinger, LLC). Conformational analysis of lead compounds was performed using Schrodinger’s Conformational Search function. Possible poses were prepared for docking by Ligprep. In both cases, default settings were used (OPLS2005 force field, water solvent). Docking was conducted using Glide. The cocrystal of BRD4 and compound 1 (PDB: 3MXF) was used to define the ligand receptor grid. Water molecules outside the binding pocket were excluded, and hydrogen bonding interactions were optimized prior to docking.

**General Synthetic Information.** All chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. All biologically evaluated compounds were found to be >95% pure as determined by NMR and LCMS. 1H NMR (300 or 400 MHz) and 13C NMR (75 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian NMR spectrometer. CDCl3 was used as the solvent unless otherwise specified. LC-MS were performed on an Agilent 2100 system with a C18 (5.0 μm, 60 mm × 50 mm) LC column. The mobile phase is MeOH and water both containing 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid. A linear gradient was started from 75:25 MeOH/H2O to 100% MeOH in 5.0 min at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min. The chromatograms were recorded at UV 210, 254, and 365 nm and subsequently used to determine compound purity. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded in APCl (atmospheric pressure chemical ionization). Flash chromatography separation was performed on YAMAZEN AI-580 system with Agela silica gel (12 or 20 g, 230-400 mesh) cartridges. The microwave reactions were performed on a Biotage Initiator 8 system.

**General Procedures for the Synthesis of Compounds 11, 16–25, and 28–39.** The synthesis of these compounds was accomplished by a reported two-step synthesis shown in Scheme 1. The three-component reaction (Groebke–Blackburn–Bienayme reaction) was followed by the Suzuki coupling.

**Representative Procedure for the Three-Component Reaction: Synthesis of 2-(4-Bromophenyl)-N-(t-butyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3-amine (X = N, R1 = t-Bu, R2 = H).** A 10 mL microwave tube charged with t-butylisocyanide (0.043 g, 0.52 mmol), 2-aminopyrazine (0.05 g, 0.52 mmol), 4-bromobenzaldehyde (0.074 g, 0.40 mmol), and Sc(OTf)3 (0.010 g, 0.02 mmol) in 2 mL of 3:1 CH3Cl2/MeOH was heated under microwave at 150 °C for 30 min. The mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc (4 mL). Concentration of the organic phase gave a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography eluted with 2:8 EtOAc/hexane to provide product as a yellow solid (110 mg, 80%).

**Representative Procedure for the Suzuki-Coupling Reaction: Synthesis of N-(tert-Butyl)-2-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3-amine (32).** A 10 mL microwave tube charged with 2-(4-bromophenyl)-N-(t-butyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3-amine (X = N, R1 = t-Bu, R2 = H, 0.110 g, 0.32 mmol), 3,5-dimethylisoxazole-4-boronic acid pinacol ester (0.093 g, 0.42 mmol), Pd(dppf)Cl2·CH2Cl2 (0.0211 g, 0.026 mmol, 8% mol), and K2CO3 (0.088 g, 0.64 mmol) in 2 mL of 4:1 acetonitrile/toluene/H2O was heated under microwave at 130 °C for 40 min. The mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc (4 mL). Concentration of the organic phase gave a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 3:7 EtOAc/hexane to give 32 as brownish solid (>95% purity, 66 mg, 57%).

**Representative Procedure for the Suzuki-Coupling Reaction: Synthesis of N-(t-Butyl)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-N-(2,4-dimethylthiazol-5-yl)-5-methyl-4-(pentan-3-en-2-yl)pyridine (2).** A 10 mL microwave tube was added with 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-N-(t-butyl)imidazo[1,2-a]-pyrazin-3-amine (X = N, R1 = t-Bu, R2 = H, 0.110 g, 0.32 mmol), and CuCl2·2H2O (0.088 g, 0.64 mmol) in 2 mL of 4:1 acetonitrile/toluene/H2O was heated under microwave at 130 °C for 40 min. The mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc (4 mL). Concentration of the organic phase gave a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 3:7 EtOAc/hexane to give 2 as brownish solid (>95% purity, 66 mg, 57%).
2H), 7.88 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (s, 1H, NH), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 9H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 165.0, 158.34, 143.0, 141.2, 137.1, 133.2, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 124.9, 116.1, 116.1, 56.7, 30.2, 11.4, 10.6. MS (APCI) m/z 362.2 (M+ + 1).
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