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1. Introduction

Traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) includes primary mechanical and secondary pathophysiological mechanisms of injury which ultimately cause motor, sensory and/or autonomic dysfunction. The initial insult primarily elicits tissue pathology at the injury epicenter. A number of secondary injury events follow which cause the damage to spread, including ischemia/reperfusion injury, inflammatory processes, edema, reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) generation, glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, intracellular calcium accumulation, activation of proteases and caspases, as well as cellular necrosis and apoptosis around the injury epicenter [1–6]. SCI triggers a rapid increase in extracellular glutamate concentrations which precipitates calcium influx into cells via voltage-gated ion channels [7]. Elevated intracellular calcium is consequently taken up into mitochondrial compartments, leading to a failure of aerobic energy metabolism, inhibition of ATP synthesis, decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential, increased generation of ROS/RNS, and onset of mitochondrial permeability transition; all of which constitute mitochondrial injury [8–10].

Previous studies have documented that by 24 h following contusion SCI, oxidative stress markers specific to lipid and protein oxidation, namely 4-hydroxyxynonenal (4-HNE), 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NT) and protein carbonyl (PC) formation, all increase in injured tissue homogenates [11–13] and in isolated mitochondria [9,14]. However, there has never been a comparative assessment of oxidative stress parameters in cellular versus subcellular fractions following contusion SCI, concurrently. Accordingly, the present study was designed to provide a comprehensive assessment of free radical production and free radical-mediated adduct formation (i.e., PC, 3-NT and 4-HNE) in tissue homogenate and mitochondria following acute severe contusion SCI in rats. In summary, compared to lipid oxidation, acute ROS-induced protein oxidation appears to be a key target to mitigate consequences of injury-induced oxidative stress.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Spinal cord injury

Spinal cord injury was carried out on adult female Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan Labs, IN) weighing 225–250 g. Animals were housed in a core facility at the University of Kentucky and allowed access to water and food ad libitum. All animal procedures were approved by the University of Kentucky Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and according to NIH guidelines. Prior to injury, rats were anesthetized with Ketamine (80 mg/kg i.p., Fort Dodge Animal Health, Fort Dodge, IA) and Xylazine (10 mg/kg i.p., Lloyd Laboratories, Shenandoah, IA). A dorsal laminectomy was performed at the 12th thoracic vertebra to expose the first and second lumbar (L1/L2) spinal cord levels using published methods [15,16]. Spinal cord contusions (n=6) were performed using the well-characterized Infinite Horizon impactor device (PSI, Lexington, KY) at 250 kDyn force [17]. Control sham rats (n=6) received laminectomy only at the 12th thoracic vertebra, but no injury was performed. After injury, the wound was irrigated with saline, the muscles sutured together in layers with 3–0 Vicryl (Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ), and the skin layers were closed with wound clips (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL). Hydrogen peroxide and betadine were used to clean the wound area and animals injected with 2 mL of ice-cold isolation buffer consisting of 1 mM EGTA (215 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 0.1% BSA, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA, and pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH) [14,16]. The spinal cord tissue homogenate was prepared using a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer containing isolation buffer containing 2 mL of ice-cold isolation buffer, and then 400 μL of tissue homogenate was frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen for biochemical analyses. To isolate the mitochondria, the remaining tissue homogenate was centrifuged twice at 1400 × g for 3 min at 4 °C to obtain a pellet containing the nuclear fraction (NU). The supernatant (cytosolic fraction: CY) was re-centrifuged at 13,000 × g at 4 °C for 10 min and the pellet was subsequently resuspended and placed into a nitrogen cell disruption chamber for oxidative stress profiles, O2− and H2O2 production assays were carried out in the mitochondrial fraction while other assays were carried out in both tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fraction. This is due to the fact that while ROS are generated in multiple compartments, the vast majority of cellular ROS (estimated at approximately 90%) can be traced back to the mitochondria in which ROS are generated as by-products of cellular metabolism. Further, the non-mitochondrial ROS released or formed in the cytosol are buffered generally under strong reducing conditions by intracellular thiols, particularly glutathione (GSH) and thioredoxin (TRXSH2) by the activities of their reductases. Hence, the mitochondrial electron transport chain contains several redox centers that leak electrons to oxygen, constituting the primary/major source of ROS in tissue [18–21].

2.2. Preparation of tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fractions

At 24 h post-injury, all sham and injured animals were deeply anaesthetized with CO2 decapitated, and a 1.5 cm segment of spinal cord at the L1/L2 spinal level centered on the injury site was rapidly dissected and placed in an ice cold dissecting plate containing isolation buffer consisting of 1 mM EGTA (215 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 0.1% BSA, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA, and pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH) [14,16]. The spinal cord tissue homogenate was generated by using a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer containing 2 mL of ice-cold isolation buffer, and then 400 μL of tissue homogenate was frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen for biochemical analyses. To isolate the mitochondria, the remaining tissue homogenate was centrifuged twice at 1400 × g for 3 min at 4 °C to obtain a pellet containing the nuclear fraction (NU). The supernatant (cytosolic fraction: CY) was re-centrifuged at 13,000 × g at 4 °C for 10 min and the pellet was subsequently resuspended and placed into a nitrogen cell disruption chamber (1200 psi, 10 min, 4 °C) to release synaptosomal mitochondria, producing the mitochondrial fraction. The mitochondrial fraction was then centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min and resultant mitochondrial pellet was washed in isolation buffer without EGTA and centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × g at 4 °C. The final purified mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in 50 μL isolation buffer without EGTA. The protein concentration of total homogenate and mitochondrial fraction was measured using the BCA protein assay kit.

For oxidative stress profiles, O2− and H2O2 production assays were carried out in the mitochondrial fraction while other assays were carried out in both tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fraction. This is due to the fact that while ROS are generated in multiple compartments, the vast majority of cellular ROS (estimated at approximately 90%) can be traced back to the mitochondria in which ROS are generated as by-products of cellular metabolism. Further, the non-mitochondrial ROS released or formed in the cytosol are buffered generally under strong reducing conditions by intracellular thiols, particularly glutathione (GSH) and thioredoxin (TRXSH2) by the activities of their reductases. Hence, the mitochondrial electron transport chain contains several redox centers that leak electrons to oxygen, constituting the primary/major source of ROS in tissue [18–21].

2.3. GSH measurements

Reduced form of glutathione (GSH) content was measured using the fluorescent probe monochlorobimane (MCB) as described [22]. Tissue homogenate or mitochondria (2 μg/μl) were incubated in 100 μM MCB and 1 U/ml GST reaction mixture for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. The reaction samples were then centrifuged at 8000 × g for 8 min. Finally, the fluorescence of tagged GSH in each supernatant was measured using a fluorescence reader (excitation=380 nm; emission=470 nm). Briefly, the MCB Glutathione Detection Kit utilizes MCB dye that has a high affinity for reduced GSH. The unreacted dye is virtually non-fluorescent. After glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-catalyzed reaction of the dye with GSH, the resulting blue fluorescence intensity reflects the amount of GSH present in the samples.

2.4. Lipid peroxidation measurements

Tissue homogenate or mitochondria (100 μg/100 μl assay system) were incubated in eppendorf tubes containing 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Following subsequent addition of 0.67% thiobarbituric acid (TBA), tubes were placed in a boiling water-bath for 20 min and then centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min. The amount of malondialdehyde (MDA), present as thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) formed in the supernatant, was measured at 532 nm using the molar extinction coefficient of 1.56 × 105 M−1 cm−1 [23].

2.5. Nitric oxide measurements

Tissue homogenate or mitochondria (100 μg/100 μl assay system) were incubated with a reaction mixture containing Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 2% HCl and 0.1% naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride) and vanadium (III) chloride−based reduction. Vanadium (III) in dilute acid solution causes reduction of nitrate to nitrite. The absorbance of the chromophore formed during diazotization of the nitrite with sulfanilamide, and subsequent coupling with naphthylethelene diamine, was read at 540 nm as described [24].

2.6. Immunoblots of tissue homogenates and mitochondria

Proteins (20 μg) from the various spinal cord fractions were suspended in Laemmli buffer under reducing conditions (100 mM DTT) and then separated by SDS–PAGE usingCriterion 4–20% Tris–HCl (10–250 kD) gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Proteins captured by western blot gels were trans-blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF), blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk for an hour at room temperature, and then incubated at 4 °C overnight in a primary antibody solution. Alternatively, detection of PC formation was performed according to published methods [25] using an OxyBlot kit (cat #S7150; Millipore Inc). The antibodies used for western blots were 3-nitrotyrosine rabbit polyclonal (3-NT; 1 μg/ml; cat #HNE11-S; alpha-diagnostic international), β-actin mouse monoclonal (25 ng/ml; cat #A2228; Sigma-Aldrich Inc.) and voltage-dependent anion channel rabbit polyclonal (VDAC; 150 ng/ml; cat
2.7. Superoxide (O$_2^-$) measurements in mitochondria

Formation of O$_2^-$ was measured using 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH$_2$-DA) fluorescent probe, as described previously [25]. Mitochondria were incubated in KCl-based respiration buffer (125 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 20 mM HEPES and 0.1% bovine serum albumin, pH 7.2) containing oxidative substrates pyruvate (5 mM) and malate (2.5 mM) (P/M) with 10 μM DCFH$_2$-DA and 5 U/ml horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Thereafter, the changes in DCF fluorescence were monitored after 10 min at 37°C at 485-nm excitation and 520-nm emission filters using a Biotek Synergy HT plate reader (Winooski, VT).

2.8. Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) measurements in mitochondria

Production of H$_2$O$_2$ in mitochondria was measured using the Amplex Red fluorescent probe, as described previously [18,26]. Isolated mitochondria were incubated in KCl-based standard respiration buffer containing 5 mM pyruvate and 2.5 mM malate (P/M) as oxidative substrates with subsequent addition of 1 μM Amplex red and 0.25 U/ml HRP at 30°C. Fluorescence of the oxidized probe was measured in a spectrofluorometer (excitation=530 nm; emission=590). Standard curves were obtained by adding known amounts of H$_2$O$_2$ to standard assay medium in the presence of the reactants (Amplex red/HRP), which were linear up to 4 μM.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Data from biochemical and western blot assessments were analyzed by unpaired Student’s t-tests using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc). Differences were considered significant if p ≤ 0.05.

3. Results

Consistent with our previous studies [15,16], injury parameters did not vary among animals [Force (kDYN)=260.33 ± 1.99; displacement (μM)=1510.50 ± 64.89; velocity (mm/sec)=122.00 ± 1.89]. At 24 h following SCI or sham operation, antioxidative parameters were assessed with respect to GSH content and oxidative stress parameters in terms of production of free radicals (O$_2^•$, NO$^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$), as well as markers of oxidative damage (PC, 3-NT,4-HNE and LPO).

3.1. SCI alters anti-oxidant and oxidative stress parameters at cellular and subcellular levels

3.1.1. Effects of SCI on GSH content

At 24 h after SCI there was a significant depletion (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01) of GSH content in tissue homogenate (~19%) and mitochondrial (~24%) fractions, respectively (Fig. 1). It should be noted that the GSH content in sham mitochondria was ~40% lower than in sham tissue homogenate, indicating relatively weaker reducing conditions in mitochondria that neutralize free radicals compared to that in tissue homogenates.

3.1.2. Effects of SCI on NO$^*$ production

Total NO$^*$ levels in spinal cord fractions were measured as an indicator of oxidative stress and/or inflammatory responses. Mitochondrial fraction from sham groups showed higher (~60%) NO$^*$ levels compared to tissue homogenate indicating potentially increased RNS-induced oxidative stress in mitochondria even in normal conditions. Notably, at 24 h post-SCI, total NO$^*$ levels in both tissue homogenate (~66%) and mitochondrial (~58%) fractions were significantly (p < 0.01) higher compared to shams (Fig. 2).

3.1.3. Effects of SCI on oxidative stress markers

Quantification of oxidative stress markers in tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fractions were assessed by western blot analyses. Qualitative differences in levels of 3-NT are shown in Fig. 3A and B; importantly, 3-NT formation was observed prominently in the SCI group as a single band at ~95 kDa protein level in both the fractions (indicated by arrows). Quantification of 3-NT levels using β-actin as a loading control showed significantly increased 3-NT levels after SCI in both the fractions compared shams (Fig. 3C and D). Interestingly, however, the increase in 3-NT levels following SCI was more pronounced in the mitochondrial fraction (~73%) than tissue homogenate (~23%), indicating relatively higher protein nitrosylation in mitochondria following SCI, possibly due to higher NO$^*$ levels in mitochondria in shams as well as SCI groups. On the
and H2O2 production: mitochondrial (mouse monoclonal and rabbit polyclonal).

There were no significant differences noted in either of the fractions in LPO levels compared to sham (Fig. 6).

3.1.4. Effects of SCI on LPO levels

Consistent with results from 4-HNE assessments following SCI, there were no significant differences noted in either of the fractions in LPO levels compared to sham (Fig. 6).

3.1.5. Effects of SCI on mitochondrial O2·− and H2O2 production:

Measurement of superoxide anion was carried out using DCF fluorescence probe in freshly isolated mitochondria to show that contusion SCI significantly (p < 0.01) increased production of superoxide anion compared to sham (Fig. 7A). Production of H2O2 was measured using highly-sensitive Amplex Red reagent, which reacts with H2O2 in the presence of peroxidase at a 1:1 ratio to produce the red-fluorescent oxidation product, resorufin. Compared to sham, significantly (p < 0.001) higher H2O2 generation was observed in mitochondria after SCI (Fig. 7B).

Overall, our results show that in normal conditions, levels of antioxidant GSH and various oxidative stress parameters differ between rat spinal cord tissue homogenate and isolated mitochondrial fractions and that contusion spinal cord injury significantly and somewhat differentially decreased levels of GSH and increased all oxidative parameters except 4-HNE and LPO in both tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fractions at 24 h post-injury.

4. Discussion

This study undertook a comprehensive evaluation of ROS/RNS-mediated protein and lipid oxidation at cellular and subcellular levels following severe contusion SCI utilizing mitochondrial isolation procedures and quantifiable biochemical and molecular biological outcome measures of oxidative imbalance that can be widely used to evaluate potential antioxidant properties of experimental pharmacotherapeutics in cellular and subcellular fractions of spinal cord tissue following acute injury.

We first measured the levels of the most abundant endogenous antioxidant GSH in tissue homogenates and mitochondrial fractions since the role of GSH as a first line of defense against oxidative stress is well established [27]. GSH is synthesized primarily in the cytoplasm and then transported into the mitochondria through porin channels in the mitochondrial outer membrane [28]. Despite the quantitative differences in the cytosolic and mitochondrial GSH (mtGSH 10–15% of total GSH) pools, the mitochondrial pool is thought to play an important role in preserving cell viability following toxic insults compared with the cytosolic pool; probably due to high source of mitochondrial ROS generation which may interact with the redox-active thiol group of GSH [27,28]. A major function of GSH is to act as a scavenger of ROS/RNS either by the thiol or thiolate donating electrons or hydrogen atoms to free radicals to constitute oxidative repair processes, or by the thiolate reacting with electrophiles and recycling other antioxidants [27–29]. In the present study, depletion/oxidation of GSH in tissue homogenate and mitochondria indicates secondary pathophysiological events on cellular and subcellular GSH pools mediated by various ROS/RNS that oxidize GSH via trapping on thiol residues of GSH.

The pathophysiological secondary injury cascades following traumatic SCI are mediated by a series of cellular and biochemical events, such as glutamate excitotoxicity, Ca2+ influx, oxidative stress, inflammation, vascular events, and neuronal death [3,30]. Moreover, neurons are highly rich in mitochondria and are the highest energy consuming cell type; hence higher demand for mitochondrial ATP production. Mitochondria are a major source of ROS/RNS generation and are, therefore, a potential therapeutic target [31,32]. Under high oxidative stress conditions observed after SCI, the endogenous antioxidant enzymes (e.g., SOD, catalase and peroxidase) and low molecular-weight antioxidants (e.g., glutathione, ascorbic acid, uric acid, lipoic acid and bilirubin) systems may become overwhelmed, consequently leading to redox imbalances. A number of studies have indicated free radical-mediated mitochondrial dysfunction as a significant contributor to secondary injury following SCI [9]. The mitochondrion is highly susceptible to oxidative damage following SCI, which can thereby lead to dysfunction of aerobic energy metabolism. Furthermore,
oxidative damage and mitochondrial dysfunction are interrelated processes which also catalyze other secondary injury events [1,3,30,31,33,34].

The majority of ROS and RNS, such as superoxide (\(\cdot O_2^-\)), nitric oxide (NO\(^\cdot\)), hydroxyl (OH\(^\cdot\)), peroxynitrite (ONOO\(^-\)), hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)), peroxyl (ROO\(^\cdot\)), and alkoxyl radicals (RO\(^\cdot\)) develop following pathological insults. Under normal physiological conditions, ROS/RNS have a dynamic equilibrium regulated by various enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant systems. Under elevated levels of ROS and RNS production, however, these molecules may react with essential structural and functional components of cells such as fatty acids, proteins and DNA [19,35]. In this context, we documented high levels of \(\cdot O_2^-\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) generation in mitochondria following SCI. It is well documented that under normal physiological conditions, about 2–3% of electrons leak out from the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC). Other significant extramatrix and nonmitochondrial sources of ROS include cytochrome b\(_5\) reductase, peroxisomes, catecholamines, hydroquinones, plasma membrane oxidases such as NADPH oxidase, lipoygenases, monoamine oxidases, xanthine/xanthine oxidase, coupled or uncoupled nitric oxide synthase, and eicosanoids pathways, among others. Most of these compounds can initially lose an electron to form the superoxide anion radical (\(\cdot O_2^-\)) by autoxidation that can possibly contribute to cellular oxidative stress.

We have reported that compromised mitochondrial bioenergetics following contusion SCI is correlated with increased oxidative stress potentially due to increased ROS production [9,14]. Such production occurs within the mitochondrial matrix, intermembrane space, and outer membrane leading to the formation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) from reactions catalyzed by superoxide dismutase. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is membrane-permeable and a main precursor of hydroxyl radicals. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) can react with transition metals through the Fenton reaction and the outcome is extremely reactive hydroxyl radical formation. Further, hydroxyl radicals along with peroxynitrite (also, intermediate radicals CO\(_2\) and \(\cdot NO_2\)) cause oxidative damage to downstream mitochondrial and cellular nucleic acids, protein, and lipid components [18,19,21,36,37].

We also found significant increases in NO\(^\cdot\) production in tissue homogenate and mitochondrial fractions at 24 h following SCI.
NO\(^*\) is a highly diffusible and gaseous messenger molecule that participates in various physiological functions such as modulation of nociception, immune function and neurotransmission when at normal levels [38]. However, excessive NO\(^*\) production has cytotoxic effects and is implicated in neuronal injury after ischemia, trauma, and numerous neurodegenerative disorders [38]. Furthermore, there is evidence that high levels of intra-mitochondrial NO\(^*\) production can cause irreversible inhibition of oxygen consumption and ATP synthesis by competitive inhibition of cytochrome c oxidase, in addition to uncoupling, permeability transition, and/or cell death [39].

It is postulated that peroxynitrite derivative \(^{\bullet}\)NO\(_2\) radical can nitrate the 3’ position of tyrosine residues in proteins forming 3-NT which is a specific footprint of peroxynitrite-induced cellular damage [40]. Attention has also been focused on the oxidation of proteins thought to play a considerable role in neuropathology. The protein backbone and side-chains of most amino acids are susceptible to oxidation in the form of carbonyl formation associated with aging and neurodegenerative disorders, as well as in chronic inflammatory diseases, brain and spinal cord injury [36].

Although 3-NT and PC formation have been considered as biological markers for ROS/RNS, previous reports also suggest that unusual/modified amino acids are also potentially neurotoxic. For example, striatal injection of free 3-NT at concentrations similar to 6-hydroxydopamine, which causes a well described degenerative pathology and a Parkinsonian syndrome in rats, also induces selective loss of dopaminergic neurons [41].

Disruption of mitochondrial ETC function leads to excessive production of \(\text{O}_2^-\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), which can produce the highly toxic \(\text{OH}^*\) radicals via the metal-catalyzed Fenton/ Haber–Weiss reaction. Elevated \(\text{O}_2^-\) also rapidly reacts with \(\text{NO}^*\) to form ONOO\(^-\). The protonated form of peroxynitrite (ONOOH) is a powerful oxidizing agent which causes damage to many biological molecules. Furthermore, \(\text{CO}_2\) is readily available in mitochondria due to the decarboxylation reactions catalyzed by pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and in the Krebs cycle, and can react with peroxynitrite to produce carbonate (\(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\)) and nitrogen dioxide (\(^{\bullet}\)NO\(_2\)) intermediates, less potent radicals. A minor amount of peroxynitrite can also undergo the proton-catalyzed homolysis to yield \(\text{OH}^*\) and \(^{\bullet}\)NO\(_2\). All of these processes occurring in intra-mitochondrial...
compartments result in oxidation, nitration, and nitrosylation of critical components of the matrix, inner and outer membranes, and inter-membrane space; subsequently this damage is attributed to various toxic formations of lipids, proteins and nucleic acids [3,42–44].

Oxidative stress in neuronal injury is a hallmark of pathology since the spinal cord contains a relatively large volume of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (e.g., linoleic acid and arachidonic acid) that are highly susceptible to lipid-derived oxidative stress, specifically lipid peroxidation processes [31]. Accordingly, a highly toxic aldehydic product of lipid peroxidation is 4-HNE, which has been well characterized in experimental brain and spinal cord injury models, and can covalently bind to basic amino acids (e.g., lysine, histidine, arginine, cysteine) to modify the protein structure and functional properties that can alter mitochondrial bioenergetics [1,40,45]. The present study investigated concomitant cellular and subcellular (mitochondrial) levels of oxidative markers following a more severe contusion SCI at L1/L2 spinal levels. Interestingly, our findings demonstrate that a specific ~95 kDa mitochondrial protein is susceptible to 3-NT formation. Furthermore, we observed that PC formation was significantly increased in the 70 to 250 kDa mitochondrial protein range. However, we are

Fig. 5. Representative western blots demonstrate 4-HNE adducts formation using mouse monoclonal (A) and rabbit polyclonal (B) antibodies for mitochondrial fractions, using VDAC as a loading control. The bar graphs show that there were no changes in 4-HNE levels 24 h after SCI compared to Sham using either antibody in tissue homogenate (C and E) or mitochondrial (D and H) fractions. It should be noted that the PVDF membrane for mitochondria was stripped prior to re-probe with VDAC and then again for rabbit polyclonal antibody; hence a common VDAC band was used for normalization of both 4-HNE antibodies. The boxes in A and B represent regions of interest used for density calculations. Bars represent group means ± SEM, n=6/group.
uncertain about the specific mitochondrial protein(s) susceptible to 3-NT and PC formation following SCI. Conversely, we could not find any remarkable changes in 4-HNE levels employing two different sources of antibodies or in LPO formation in injured cellular or subcellular fractions compared to shams.

Using Western blot analysis, previous studies have demonstrated that 4-HNE rapidly increases in spinal cord tissue homogenate fractions following T10 contusion SCI in female Long-Evans rats at early time points after injury (1, 4 and 24 h) [12]. Another time course study revealed that all three oxidative stress markers (i.e., 3-NT, PC and 4-HNE) were increased in spinal cord tissue homogenate [13] and in isolated mitochondria [9] following T10 contusion SCI in female Sprague-Dawley rats using slot blot immunolabeling. Compared to former studies documenting 4-HNE formation in thoracic SCI models [12,13], our differential results may stem from injury site of our model (L1/L2) that consists of relatively higher gray matter/white matter ratio compared to T10 spinal levels, their previous usage of different immuno-slot blot techniques, antibody sources, isolation buffers, as well as forces applied. Using western blot analysis we have previously shown significantly higher levels of 4-HNE in spinal cord mitochondria compared to cortex from naïve adult Sprague-Dawley rats [46]. Therefore, it is possible that higher lipid peroxidation under normal conditions led to a ceiling effect, which may explain why we are not observing increases 24 h after SCI.

Overall, the present study shows that after contusion SCI there is significantly increased GSH oxidation paralleled by production of $\mathrm{O}_2^-$, $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ and $\mathrm{NO}^*$, and likely its derivatives (i.e. peroxynitrite, nitrogen dioxide, hydroxyl radical or carbonate), which all play important roles in induction of oxidative stress at cellular and/or subcellular levels. In addition, we demonstrate increased nitration and oxidation of proteins (3-NT and PC, respectively) at 24 h after SCI in both the fractions. Hence, results from the current study support the critical role of free radical–mediated secondary injury cascades after SCI, including toxic oxidative damage at cellular and subcellular levels. From these insights, pharmacotherapeutic strategies that specifically target oxidants generated at sub-cellular (mitochondrial) and cellular levels are the next logical target to be explored for promoting functional neuroprotection after traumatic SCI.

**Fig. 6.** LPO levels that were measured by TBA–TCA reagent were not different in either tissue homogenate (A) or mitochondrial (B) fractions 24 h following contusion SCI compared to Shams. Bars represent group means ± SEM, $n=6/group$.

**Fig. 7.** Production of superoxide radical ($\mathrm{O}_2^-$) in mitochondria was measured using DCF/HRP fluorescence dye. $\mathrm{O}_2^-$ production was significantly increased in mitochondrial fractions (A) at 24 h after SCI compared with Shams. Production of hydrogen peroxide ($\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$) in mitochondria was measured using Amplex Red/HRP fluorescence reagent and was also found to be significantly increased 24 h after SCI (B) compared to Shams. Bars represent group means ± SEM, $n=6/group$. **$p<0.01$; ***$p<0.001$. 
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