ABSTRACT

Background: Happiness is a positive feeling that is vital and significant to maintain health. Nurses are working in difficult conditions which may heavily affect their level of happiness and ability to provide care. Job burnout is a mental reaction against some persistent source of workplace stress. The purpose of this study was to identify happiness and its relationship with job burnout in nurses working at Tabriz’s educational hospitals.

Methods: This descriptive-correlational study was conducted on 344 nurses working at Tabriz’s hospitals in 2018. The subjects were selected by means of proportionate stratified random sampling. Data were collected using three questionnaires (demographic information, job burnout with 22 items and three subscales and Oxford happiness with 29 items) and analyzed in SPSS version16 using descriptive statistics. Statistical tests such as Pearson correlation coefficient, independent t-test, one-way ANOVA, and multiple linear regression analysis were used to analyze the data.

Results: The age range of the participants was 23–57 years with a mean of 35.9±7.5. The mean score of happiness was 64.2±11.5, (score range 35 to 116), which suggests an average level of happiness among the nurses. There was a negative correlation between happiness and total job burnout (r=-0.29, P<0.001). This negative correlation remained significant (B=-0.15, P<0.001) even when nurses’ perception of own health status (B=-5.24, P=0.01), history of illness (B=-4.47, P=0.04), job position (B=-6.61, P=0.001), and type of employment (B=3.56, P=0.03) as potential confounding factors were adjusted.

Conclusion: Considering the reverse relationship between job burnout and happiness, it is suggested that managers try to improve the workplace by managing condition which could lead to job burnout, and therefore use the results to increase the happiness of nurses.
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**Introduction**

Happiness can be defined as a positive thinking, psychological comfort, and inner peace, which is vital and significant in maintaining health.\(^1\) It can significantly influence the behaviors and performance of employers. Happiness level is important in all professions, especially in nursing where the therapeutic use of self is a key component of the practice.\(^2\) Nurses have considerable direct contact with patients who need self-confident, creative, kind and energetic individuals; all of these characteristics are directly related to the feeling of wellbeing.\(^3\,\,3\) Globally, nurses are working in difficult conditions which may affect their emotional welfare and ability to provide care.\(^1\) As one aspect of the nurses’ emotional welfare, happiness has been defined as subjective wellbeing and comprises three major parts: a degree of positive feelings or joy, absence of negative feelings, and an average level of satisfaction at a particular time.\(^4\) It also has been described as a parts of a person’s health.\(^5,\,\,6\)

Recent studies refer to the importance of nurses’ happiness, arguing that its presence might positively affect their ability to help patients.\(^7\,\,8\) The consequences of happiness could be higher job performance and satisfaction, better inter-professional relationships, higher patient’s satisfaction and lower hospital costs.\(^1\) Recent studies showed that the level of happiness in nurses is low to moderate.\(^9,\,\,10\) Nursing is considered a stressful profession because of the significantly difficult work environment and the nature of the work which requires intricate technical skills, vigilance and judgment.\(^11\) The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health stated that it is anticipated that nurses’ work-related stress will increase in the coming years and this should be addressed because of the deep impact it has in the workplaces as well as later consequences such as job burnout.\(^11,\,\,12\) Job burnout is a mental reaction against some persistent source of workplace stress\(^11\) and is more common in healthcare professionals than in other job categories.\(^12\) It results from an interaction of multiple factors including personal, interpersonal, and occupational factors; it can have negative effects on nurses, patients and healthcare provider systems.\(^13\) For instance, it can affect the quality of nursing care and can lead to job abandonment or work absenteeism.\(^14\) A recent study showed that absenteeism results in significant issues such as decreased quality of care and direct costs.\(^12\) The cost of the sickness absence for nurses and nursing aides employed at a Portuguese hospital between 2009 and 2013 was 189,679.87 EUR, which was estimated at approximately 10% of total hospital costs.\(^15\) A recent UK-study reported that approximately 42% of nurse respondents suffered job burnout.\(^16\) Findings of a systematic review suggest that the prevalence of job burnout is around 36% in Iranian nurses.\(^14\) Prevention and reduction of job burnout could have positive impacts including the enhanced feeling of happiness.\(^11\)

Given the inherently stressful nature of nursing, the importance of nurses’ health and the key role of human relationships between nurses and patients,\(^15\) and the educational and caring responsibilities of nurses, it is important to pay attention to the nurses’ happiness. There are few studies on nurses’ happiness and its determinant factors, especially in Iran, and the available literature has examined the relationship of some demographic and occupational characteristics with happiness.\(^17,\,\,18\) Some studies have mentioned job burnout as a factor related to happiness,\(^11\) but there is little empirical evidence on this issue. The purpose of the current study was to identify happiness and associated factors and its relationship with job burnout in nurses of all educational hospitals in Tabriz.

**Materials and Methods**

The study used a cross-sectional and correlational survey design. Data were collected from July to December 2018. The study was conducted across all ten educational hospitals of Tabriz. The sampling frame consisted of the
nursing staff (N=2600) employed at any of the educational hospitals in 2018. Required sample size was calculated to be 312, (Confidence Interval (CI) of 95%, test power of 80%) based on Hosseini et al. study on the relationship between job burnout and performance of clinical nurses in Shiraz, but given the availability of subjects and the possible non-response rate of 15%, the required sample size was ultimately raised to 358.

\[ n = \left\lfloor \frac{(Z_{a/2} + Z_{\beta})^2}{0.5 \times 1n(1 + r)/(1 - r)} \right\rceil + 3 \]

\[ \alpha=0.05, \beta=0.20, r=0.16 \]

\[ n0 = \frac{(1.96 + 1.28)^2}{0.5 \times 1n(1.16)/(0.84)} + 3 = 304 \]

The final volume: \( R^2=(0.16)^2 \)

\[ N_i = \frac{n0}{1 - R^2} = \frac{304}{1 - (0.16)^2} = 312 \]

Sampling method was proportionate stratified random sampling, conducted randomly using a random number table and stratified by hospital and ward. Inclusion criteria were being nurse in direct patient care units and willingness to participate in the study. The nurses who had depression or other psychiatric problems according to self-declaration or responded the questions incompletely were excluded from the study and other individuals were replaced randomly.

Data were collected using three questionnaires:

**Demographic and occupational information.** This tool was purpose-designed for the study and collected information on age, sex, education, shift work (rotating shift), type of employment, work experience, hospital ward, height, weight, physical exercise, and sleeping state.

**Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI).** The MBI was developed by Christina Maslach (1981); it is a 22-item measure comprising three subscales: emotional exhaustion (9 items, score ranges 0-54, \( \geq 27 \) high, 17-26 average, \( \leq 16 \) low), depersonalization (5 items, score ranges 0-30, \( \geq 13 \) high, 7-12 average, \( \leq 6 \) low), and diminished sense of personal accomplishment (8 items, score ranges 0-48, \( \geq 39 \) high, 32-38 average, \( \leq 31 \) low).

Cronbach’s alpha value for the main scale was 0.93, and for the emotional exhaustion subscale, it was 0.91. For the depersonalization subscale it was 0.81, and for the diminished personal accomplishment subscale, it was 0.84. Construct validity of the three-dimensional structure of the MBI was convincingly demonstrated by confirmatory factor analysis. Results of a recent study showed that Persian version of MBI has sufficient validity and reliability; Cronbach’s alpha for three dimensions was more than 0.7. As to construct validity, the results of explanatory factor analysis showed that the correlation coefficient of each item with its own dimension was higher than 0.4 and above other dimensions. Because of the difference in study population, face and content validity of the questionnaire was determined before the study; 10 faculty members of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences evaluated the questionnaire and their comments were applied in the questionnaire. The whole instrument had an appropriate Cronbach alpha equal to 0.85.

**Oxford Happiness Inventory (OHI).** It was developed by Hills and Argyle (2002). It is a 29 item tool. The items were scored from 0-3, constructed to reflect incremental steps defined as unhappy or mildly depressed, a low level of happiness, a high level of happiness, and mania, and the minimum and maximum scores were 0-87 respectively, with the score of 0 indicating the lowest level of happiness and 87 representing the highest level of happiness. There is no cut off point for this tool. Validity and reliability of the main scale was determined in a recent study. Using explanatory and confirmatory factor analysis the construct validity of OHI was confirmed. Cronbach’s alpha value for the main scale was 0.90, and for the Persian version of the tool it was 0.93. Findings of a recent study confirmed the internal reliability, construct, and concurrent validity of the
Oxford Happiness Inventory in Iran. In order to determine the face and content validity of the questionnaire in the present study, 10 faculty members of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences evaluated the questionnaire and their comments were applied in the questionnaire. To determine the reliability of the questionnaire, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the instrument was calculated. The whole instrument had an appropriate Cronbach alpha equal to 0.89.

Normal distribution of the quantitative data was verified by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The data were analyzed in SPSS version 16 using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation and relative frequency) and statistical tests such as Pearson correlation coefficient, independent sample t-test, one-way ANOVA, and multiple linear regression analysis.

The research proposal was approved by the Ethics Committee of IRB Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.TBZMED.REC.1397.330). The research goals, anonymity of the information provided and voluntary participation were first explained and the participants then read and signed the written informed consent form; then, they completed and returned the questionnaires.

**RESULTS**

In total, 344 nurses participated in the research (Response rate=96%). Participants were aged 23-57 years (mean age: 35.9±7.5). 13.4% of

Table 1: Frequency distribution of the demographic and organizational information (total=344)

| Personal information | Number (%) | Personal information | Number (%) | Personal information | Number (%) |
|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| Sex                  |            |                      |            |                      |            |
| Male                 | 46 (13.4)  | Having second job    | 25 (7.3)   | History of illness   | 172 (50.0) |
| Female               | 298 (86.6) | No                   | 319 (92.7) | No                   | 172 (50.0) |
| Marital status       |            |                      |            |                      |            |
| Single               | 90 (26.2)  | Job Position         | 309 (89.8) | Work shift           | 75 (21.8)  |
| Married              | 252 (73.2) | Nurse                | 21 (6.1)   | Morning-fixed        | 14 (4.1)   |
| Divorced and widowed | 2 (0.6)    | Head nurse           |            | Afternoon/night-fixed|            |
|                      |            | Rotating             |            |                      |            |
| Education            |            |                      |            | Body Mass Index (BMI)|            |
| Associate degree     | 4 (1.1)    | Type of employment   | 213 (61.8) | Underweight (<18.5)| 5 (1.5)    |
| BSc                  | 331 (96.2) | Formal               | 69 (20.1)  | Normal (18.5-24.9)   | 178 (51.7) |
| MSc                  | 9 (2.7)    | Temporary            | 62 (18.1)  | Overweight (25.9-29) | 125 (36.3) |
|                      |            | Contractual          |            | Obese (30 and above) | 36 (10.5)  |
| Income               |            | Hospital ward        | 112 (32.6) | Sleeping rate        |            |
| Insufficient         | 122 (35.5) | Intensive care       |            | Sufficient           | 88 (25.6)  |
| Rather sufficient    | 176 (51.1) | ER or clinic         | 32 (9.3)   | Insufficient         | 229 (66.6) |
| Sufficient or high   | 46 (13.4)  | Psychiatry           | 16 (4.7)   | No children          |            |
|                      |            | Pediatrics           | 13 (3.8)   | One                  | 100 (29.1) |
|                      |            | Internal             | 60 (17.4)  | Two                  | 89 (25.9)  |
|                      |            | Surgery              | 67 (19.5)  | Three or more        | 11 (3.2)   |
|                      |            | Operating room       | 27 (7.8)   | Age (mean±SD)        | 35.9±7.1   |
|                      |            | Hematology           | 17 (4.9)   | Years of employment  | 11.8±7.1   |
|                      |            | One’s perception of own health status (compared to peers) |    | (Mean±SD)            |            |
|                      |            | Better               | 41 (9.11%) |                       |            |
|                      |            | Similar              | 170 (49.4) |                       |            |
|                      |            | worse                | 132 (38.7) |                       |            |

Table 1: Frequency distribution of the demographic and organizational information (total=344)
them were male and 86.6% were female. Demographic and organizational information of the participants is summarized in Table 1. Mean scores from the MBI and OHI are shown in Table 2.

Pearson correlation test was used for identifying the relationship between happiness and the dimensions of job burnout (Table 3) and the results showed significant relationships between happiness and all of the dimensions (P<0.001).

Pearson correlation coefficient, independent t-test, and one-way ANOVA were used to determine significant differences or relationships between OHI scores and the measured demographic and organizational characteristics and burnout scores (Tables 4 and 5). All the variables with P<0.2 in univariate analysis were entered into the multiple linear regression model (income, number of children, job position, type of employment, having second job, nurses’ perception of own health status, history of illness, physical exercise, age, years of employment) and their relationship with happiness, while controlling for the effect of other variables, was determined.

The results of multiple linear regression analysis showed that, considering the confounding variables (job position, one’s perception of own health status, type of employment, history of illness), the relationship between job burnout score and happiness score was significant (β=-0.15, P<0.001), (Table 5). Based on this result, with one-point increase in the nurses’ job burnout score, their happiness score decreased by 0.15 on average. Nurses with temporary employment or contracted situation (vs formal situation) had a higher average happiness score (β=3.56; P=0.03). About job position, nurses had lower average happiness score than supervisors or head nurses (β=-6.61; P=0.001). Those who reported having a history of distress or having more than one disease (vs. those who reported no history of illness) had a lower average happiness score (β=-4.47; P=0.04, and β=-5.68; P=0.001), respectively. Also, nurses who reported perception of their own health status worse than their peers had lower happiness score of 5.24 points (β=-5.24, P=0.01). These variables were determinants of happiness score and explained 18.87% (Adjusted R-squared=18.87%) of the variance (variability) of happiness.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to explore happiness and identify its relationship with

---

**Table 2: Mean scores and standard deviations of the happiness and dimensions of job burnout**

| Job burnout and its dimensions | Observed range | Mean±SD     |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Burnout total score           | 3-108          | 66.0±14.5   |
| Emotional exhaustion          | 0-45           | 24.4±10.1   |
| Depersonalization             | 0-25           | 8.7±4.1     |
| Personal accomplishment       | 1-42           | 27.8±5.8    |
| Happiness score               | 35-116         | 64.2±11.5   |

**Table 3: The relationship between happiness and job burnout and its dimensions**

| Score of job burnout                  | Total score of happiness in Nurses |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Emotional exhaustion                 | r=-0.48                           |
|                                      | P<0.001                           |
| Depersonalization                    | r=-0.13                           |
|                                      | P=0.01                            |
| Low personal accomplishment           | r=0.2                             |
|                                      | P<0.001                           |
| Total score of job burnout           | r=-0.29                           |
|                                      | P<0.001                           |
Table 4: The relationship between happiness and demographic and organizational characteristics

| Demographic and organizational variables | Happiness mean±SD | P value | Demographic and organizational variables | Happiness mean±SD | P value |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| **Type of employment**                   |                  |         | **Sex**                                  |                  |         |
| Formal                                   | 62.9±11.3        | 0.004*  | Male                                     | 64.4±13.6        | 0.87**  |
| Temporary                                | 64.4±11.8        |         | Female                                   | 64.1±11.2        |         |
| Contractual                              | 69.0±9.8         |         |                                          |                  |         |
| Second job                               |                  |         | **Marital status**                       |                  |         |
| Yes                                      | 63.9±11.2        | 0.12**  | Married                                  | 64.0±11.5        | 0.67**  |
| No                                       | 67.6±14.4        |         | Single/divorced/widowed                  | 64.6±11.4        |         |
| **Hospital ward**                        |                  |         | **Education**                            |                  |         |
| Intensive care                           | 63.3±10.9        | 0.71**  | Associate degree                         | 73.0±15.6        | 0.55**  |
| Clinic                                   | 62.7±11.2        |         | BSc                                      | 64.1±11.5        |         |
| Psychiatry or hematology                 | 64.9±14.7        |         | MSc                                      | 64.4±11.7        |         |
| Pediatrics/surgery/internal              | 65.0±10.7        |         |                                          |                  |         |
| Operating room                           | 64.3±14.3        |         |                                          |                  |         |
| **Nurses’ perception of own health status (compared to peers)** |                  |         | **Income**                               |                  |         |
| Better                                   | 69.9±14.9        | <0.001* | Insufficient                             | 62.8±12.1        | 0.17**  |
| Similar                                  | 65.5±10.7        |         | Rather insufficient                      | 65.4±10.5        |         |
| Worse                                     | 60.7±10.3        |         | Sufficient or high                       | 66.4±13.2        |         |
| **History of illness**                   |                  |         | **Whom do you live with?**               |                  |         |
| No                                       | 67.3±11.5        | <0.001* | Spouse                                  | 63.9±11.2        | 0.73**  |
| Joint pain                               | 65.7±10.2        |         | Parents                                 | 64.7±11.3        |         |
| One chronic diseases                     | 64.2±8.5         |         | Alone or with relatives                  | 65.3±13.6        |         |
| More than one disease                    | 57.8±10.7        |         | No children                              | 65.4±11.3        |         |
| **Physical exercise**                    |                  |         | **Number of children**                   |                  |         |
| Less than 30 minutes every other day     | 63.3±11.7        | 0.21*   | One                                     | 63.0±11.4        | 0.2**   |
| 30 minutes every other day               | 65.3±10.0        |         | Two or more                              | 63.5±11.7        |         |
| Daily exercise                           | 66.2±14.9        |         |                                          |                  |         |
| **Mass body Index (BMI)**                |                  |         | **Work shift**                           |                  |         |
| Underweight (<18.5) or normal            | 63.8±11.8        | 0.65**  | Morning-fixed                            | 64.8±11.9        | 0.59**  |
| (18.25-24.9)                             | 64.3±10.4        |         |                                          | 64.0±11.4        |         |
| 25-29.9                                  |                  |         | Afternoon/nigh-fixed or rotating Nurse   | 64.0±11.4        |         |
| Obese (30 or above)                      | 65.7±13.6        |         | Head nurse or supervisor                 | 63.9±11.1        | 0.005** |
|                                          |                  |         |                                          | 69.4±13.8        |         |
| **Sleeping state**                       |                  |         | **Job**                                  |                  |         |
| Sufficient                               | 65.1±11.3        | 0.33**  | Age                                     | 35.9±7.5         | 0.07    |
| Insufficient                             | 63.7±11.5        |         | Years of employment                      | 11.8±7.1         | 0.06    |

*One way ANOVA, **t-test
job burnout among the nurses of Tabriz’s educational hospitals. Results showed that most of the subjects had a moderate and low happiness score (less than 65). Findings of a similar study showed a moderate level of happiness among hospital nurses.17 In another study in South Korea, happiness level of nursing students was reported moderate (on a 1-6 scale).10 Most studies have shown that nurses working at hospitals enjoy a low to average level of happiness which could possibly be associated with the negative feelings the nurses experience while taking care of the disabled and patients or with difficult working condition and heavy work load.10, 17

Regarding job burnout variable, it was indicated that there was a rather high level of job burnout among the nurses under the study, and dimension of low personal accomplishment had the higher score among all dimensions, which is in the same line with recent studies in Iran.25, 26

A significant relationship was found between happiness and the mean score of total job burnout and all of its dimensions. Consistent with the current study, a negative significant relationship was found between total job burnout and positive feeling and subjective wellbeing.12, 27

No significant relationship was found in this study between happiness and sex or marital status which is consistent with some previous studies.18 One recent study reported that happiness score was significantly higher in married students.17 The difference could be attributed to different research subjects as nurses have less opportunity than students to be with and enjoy their families because of difficult working condition. No significant relationship was found either between the type of the ward and the mean score of happiness, which is also in line with the studies carried out in Iran and Korea.28, 29

The variables that had a significant relationship in the final model with happiness included job position, type of employment, nurses’ perception of own health status, history of illness and job burnout. In the present study, those who had no history of illness and considered themselves healthier

### Table 5: Results of the final multiple linear regression analysis model for the factors associated with nurses’ happiness

| Potential predictor variables | β Coefficient | Standardized β Coefficient | Standard Error(SE) | T | P value |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---|---------|
| Constant                     | 83.1         | -                          | 3.22               | 25.74 | P<0.001 |
| Job Position                 |              |                            |                    |    |         |
| Head-nurses/Supervisors      | Ref          | -                          | -                  |    |         |
| Nurses                        | -6.61        | -0.17                      | 1.92               | -3.44 | P=0.001 |
| Type of employment           |              |                            |                    |    |         |
| Formal                       | Ref          | -                          | -                  |    |         |
| Temporary                    | 0.76         | 0.03                       | 1.49               | 0.51 | P=0.61  |
| Contractual                  | 3.56         | 0.12                       | 1.61               | 2.21 | P=0.03  |
| Illness history              |              |                            |                    |    |         |
| No                           | Ref          | -                          | -                  |    |         |
| Joint pain                   | 0.77         | 0.02                       | 1.97               | 0.39 | P=0.70  |
| Distress                     | -4.47        | -0.11                      | 2.17               | -2.06 | P=0.04 |
| Other chronic disease        | -1.33        | -0.03                      | 2.11               | -0.63 | P=0.53 |
| Disease>1                    | -5.68        | -3.40                      | 1.67               | -3.40 | P=0.001 |
| Nurses’ perception of own health status (compared to peers) | | | | |
| Better than them             | Ref          | -                          | -                  |    |         |
| Like them                    | -2.60        | -0.11                      | 1.84               | -1.41 | P=0.16 |
| Worse than them              | -5.24        | -0.22                      | 2.02               | -2.60 | P=0.01 |
| Job burnout                  | -0.15        | -0.18                      | 0.04               | -3.57 | P<0.001 |
had higher happiness scores. Nurses’ perception of own health status and history of illness have also been reported as significant relevant factors of happiness in a recent study in South Korea, which confirms the fact that health related variables affect nurses’ happiness significantly.

Job position was another factor that had a significant relationship with the nurses’ happiness. Head nurse or supervisors obtained higher happiness score; this finding is consistent with some recent studies. Nurses working at higher levels are considered the pillars of their organization and have more self-control and show more social adaptability. Leadership and integrated management at workplace also play a pivotal role in creating a supportive environment and promoting the feeling of wellbeing and happiness.

A similar result was found as to the type of employment and its significant relationship with job happiness, but no non-Iranian study was found on the issue. The reason might be that the type of employment is usually not varied in other countries.

Although sufficient for the analytical purposes of this study, obtaining the sample from one city was one of the limitations, but using proportional stratified random sampling in all educational hospitals can be the strengths of the study which can increase the generalizability of the results. Exclusion of other factors including job satisfaction, organizational commitment and personal characteristics which are said to be influential on happiness was another limitation; these variables along with job burnout can predict happiness well. It is, therefore, suggested that future research should take other influential factors into account. A third limitation was the application of self-report instruments that may not be responded correctly by the participants because of inadequate introspection or irresponsible answers to the items.

**Conclusion**

The results show that the nurses working at Tabriz’s educational hospitals are somewhat unhappy, under the influence of various factors including job position, type of employment, one’s perception of own health status, history of illness, and job burnout. Health managers and policymakers can benefit from these findings to promote the strategies for enhancing the nurses’ happiness and ultimately improving the quality of nursing care. Considering the reverse relationship between job burnout and happiness, we also suggest that managers try to improve the workplace by managing conditions which could lead to job burnout, and, therefore, use the results to increase the happiness of nurses.
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