Use of Control Bar Matrix for Outbreak Detection in Syndromic Surveillance System
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Objective
To develop and test the method of incorporating different control bars for outbreak detection in syndromic surveillance system.

Introduction
Aberration detection methods are essential for analyzing and interpreting large quantities of nonspecific real-time data collected in syndromic surveillance systems. However, the challenge lies in distinguishing true outbreak signals from a large amount of false alarms (1). The joint use of surveillance algorithms might be helpful to guide the decision making towards uncertain warning signals.

Methods
A syndromic surveillance project (ISSC) has been implemented in rural Jiangxi Province of China since August 2011. Doctors in the healthcare surveillance units of ISSC used an internet-based electronic system to collect information of daily outpatients, which included 10 infectious related symptoms. From ISSC database, we extracted data of fever patients reported from one township hospital in GZ town between August 1st and December 31st, 2011 to conduct an exploratory study. Six different control bar algorithms, which included Shewart, Moving Average (MA), Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (EWMA) and EARS’ C1, C2, C3, were prospectively run among historical time series of daily fever count to simulate a real-time outbreak detection. Each control bar used 7 days’ moving baseline with a lag of 2 days [the baseline for predicting Day(t) starts from Day(t-9) to Day(t-3), C1 method used a lag of zero day]. We set the threshold of $\mu + 2\sigma$ for Shewart and MA, and 2.1 for EWMA C1, C2 and C3. An alarm was triggered when the observed data exceeded threshold, and the detailed information of each patient were checked for signal verification. Microsoft Excel 2007 was used to calculate the simulation results.

Results
During the 5 months, GZ township hospital reported 514 outpatients with fever symptom, with an average of 3.4 per day. All control bars were simultaneously operated among daily counts of fever cases. Of the 153 days on surveillance, 29 triggered alarms by at least one of the control bars. Nine days triggered alarms from $\geq 3$ control bars while on one day (12/30) all 6 algorithms raised alarms. Figure 1 shows the date, fever count, algorithm and warning level (color) of each alarm, which we called a control bar matrix. It can be seen that C3 and EWMA present a higher sensitivity towards tiny data change whereas C1, C2 and MA focus on large increase of data. C3 also had a memory effect on recent alarms. No infectious disease epidemic or outbreak event was confirmed within the signals. Most fever patients on the nine high-warning days (red and purple) were diagnosed as upper level respiratory infection. However, we discovered that the sharp increase of fever cases on 12/30 was attributed to 5 duplicate records mistakenly input by the staff in GZ hospital.

Conclusions
By combining control bars with different characteristics, the matrix has potential ability to improve the specificity of detection while maintaining a certain degree of sensitivity. With alarms categorized into hierarchical warning levels, public health staffs can decide which alarm to investigate according to the required sensitivity of surveillance system and their own capacity of signal verification. Though we did not find any outbreak event in the study, the possibility of localized influenza epidemic on high-warning days cannot be wiped out, and the matrix’s ability to detect abnormal data change was apparent. The proper combination, baseline and threshold of control bars will be further explored in the real-time surveillance situation of ISSC.
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