Current extinction rate in European freshwater gastropods greatly exceeds that of the late Cretaceous mass extinction
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The Cretaceous–Paleogene mass extinction event 66 million years ago eradicated three quarters of marine and terrestrial species globally. However, previous studies based on vertebrates suggest that freshwater biota were much less affected. Here we assemble a time series of European freshwater gastropod species occurrences and inferred extinction rates covering the past 200 million years. We find that extinction rates increased by more than one order of magnitude during the Cretaceous–Paleogene mass extinction, which resulted in the extinction of 92.5% of all species. The extinction phase lasted 5.4 million years and was followed by a recovery period of 6.9 million years. However, present extinction rates in European freshwater gastropods are three orders of magnitude higher than even these revised estimates for the Cretaceous–Paleogene mass extinction. Our results indicate that, unless substantial conservation effort is directed to freshwater ecosystems, the present extinction crisis will have a severe impact to freshwater biota for millions of years to come.
Biodiversity in freshwater ecosystems is disproportionally high: while only covering 1% of the Earth’s surface, they account for about 10% of the global species richness. These environments and their biota provide invaluable ecosystem services sustaining human health, nutrition and fresh water supply.

Freshwater ecosystems and their biota worldwide are currently experiencing massive deterioration. In many cases, regional species richness and individual abundances are in decline and extinction rates are alarmingly high. Human impact is widely perceived as the main cause for this decline, either directly through habitat degradation or destruction or indirectly via global warming, eutrophication, pollution, groundwater extraction or invasive species.

The current biotic crisis is widely considered the onset of a major extinction event, the so-called 6th mass extinction. It resembles in several aspects the 5th mass extinction at the Cretaceous–Paleogene (K–Pg, formerly K–T) boundary 66 million years (Myr) ago. Both biotic crises are in geological timescales catastrophic events—an asteroid impact in the end-Cretaceous versus human impact during the Anthropocene, both paired with a steep rise in CO2 and global temperature.

While globally 76% of all species are estimated to have gone extinct during the K–Pg event, some estimates of diversity loss in freshwater species amount to only 10–22%. These comparatively low estimates are exclusively based on the vertebrate fossil record. Vertebrates make up around 15% of species diversity in today’s freshwater habitats, and this low proportion may not warrant general conclusions about the freshwater biota as a whole. The stark discrepancy between the suggested low impact of the 5th mass extinction event and the current, alarmingly high extinction rates and risks for species living in fresh water calls for a reassessment of the K–Pg event beyond freshwater vertebrates.

Freshwater gastropods provide a useful model taxon to assess extinction and diversity recovery. They are among the most diverse groups of animals in freshwater ecosystems today and have one of the best-preserved fossil records. They inhabit nearly all freshwater habitats worldwide and have evolved different lifestyles and reproductive strategies and occupy different trophic levels. Moreover, current threats and their response to climate change are well understood and representative of the general threats facing freshwater biodiversity as a whole.

To evaluate the effects of the 5th mass extinction event on European freshwater biota we compiled and analyzed the fossil record of freshwater gastropods comprising 3122 species to assess the magnitude, duration and dynamics of extinction and of the diversity rebound across the K–Pg boundary. Considering the similarity of that extinction event with the current biodiversity crisis in terms of its catastrophic nature combined with global warming, we use the results from our analyses to outline/predict the pathway of the current crisis for the European biota. For doing so, we use a recently developed approach based on species conservation status obtained from the IUCN Red List to infer the magnitude and rate of extinction in Europe’s extant freshwater gastropod fauna. This allows us to evaluate the predicted loss of freshwater gastropod biodiversity in the near future under a Business-as-Usual Scenario in comparison to historic extinction events. We use Europe as a model region for our analyses because of its exceptionally rich and well-studied fossil record and thorough conservation assessment of modern species, compared to other regions of the World.

**Results**

We estimated speciation and extinction times for the fossil record of European freshwater gastropods through a joint inference of speciation, extinction and preservation rates from 24,759 fossil occurrences covering approximately 200 Myr (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 1). The reconstructed species richness trajectory shows a slow evolution throughout the Mesozoic, with only two notable peaks at the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary and in the Late Cretaceous (Fig. 1a). Diversity drops at the end of the Cretaceous, with on average 92.5% (95% credible interval: 88.0–94.7%) of all species and 9.5% (3.5–15.8%) of all genera going extinct (Fig. 1b). Species richness recovered c. 7–8 Myr later to Late Cretaceous levels and reached a plateau in the Eocene (c. 45–30 Myr ago). Following a decline in the Oligocene, diversity peaked in the Neogene, paralleling major radiations in several long-lived lakes in the middle and late Miocene.

Both speciation and extinction rates are highest at the K–Pg boundary, exceeding the previous levels by more than one order of magnitude (Fig. 2). Earlier speciation rate peaks at the Early–Late Cretaceous transition (100.5 Myr ago) and in the Late Cretaceous (85 Myr ago) are lower and characterized by high uncertainty (wide 95% credible intervals). The extinction rate...
remains constant throughout most of the Late Cretaceous and Paleogene.

The extinction event at the K–Pg boundary occurs after a period of low extinction and speciation rates in the latest Cretaceous, with a net diversification close to zero (Fig. 2c). The extinction phase involves an interval of 5.4 Myr (66.9–61.4 Myr ago; Fig. 2b). The fact that the first shift in the extinction rate slightly predates the K–Pg boundary is likely a result of the stratigraphic binning of the fossil record being too coarse to precisely date the onset of the extinction event. The extinction event is accompanied by a peak in speciation of similar magnitude (Fig. 2b). While the extinction rate drops to a low level at 61.4 Myr ago (95% credible interval: 61.1–64.3 Myr ago), the speciation rate remains comparatively high for the next 6.9 Myr (3.6–8.7 Myr) until it drops to a similar level as the extinction rate. From 55.1 Myr ago (53.8–56.9 Myr ago) onwards—11.8 Myr after the onset of the event—the net diversification rate is back to Late Cretaceous background level.

Predictions for the current biodiversity crisis based on conservation statuses of 347 extant European freshwater gastropod species assessed by the IUCN Red List34 indicate much higher extinction rates than for the K–Pg event (Supplementary Data 2). Extinction rates range between 1378.9 (1316.7–1441.1), 1787.1 (1733.3–1840.8) and 1973.2 (1923.4–2023.0) E/MSY (events per million species years) over the next 50, 80 and 100 years, respectively (Fig. 3). This is approximately three orders of magnitude higher than the extinction rate during the K–Pg event (~1.45 E/MSY; Fig. 2b) and reflects the extremely fast pace of the current biodiversity crisis. Further, the predictions indicate that between 72 (20.8%) and 111 species (31.9%) might go extinct during the next 50 to 100 years (Fig. 3). If this trend is projected further into the future, the 75% extinct species threshold defining a mass extinction17 would be reached already by the year 2539 (95% credible interval: 2527–2551). Accordingly, the European freshwater gastropod fauna might suffer from a severe regional extinction event within the millennium.

We find a similar extinction rate when using genera instead of species, i.e., 1018.7 (852.0–1199.6) E/MSY over the next 100 years. This rate is unsurprisingly lower than that for the species-level analyses and likely relates to half of the genera in the IUCN dataset containing at least one non-threatened species. Nonetheless, the result still ranges in the same order of magnitude as the species-level analyses showing that our results are robust and do not depend on the systematic level.
The aftermath of the K–Pg event in Europe also implies an extended recovery period for the current crisis, which could last millions of years. Even after the immediate cause of extinction at the K–Pg boundary ceased (i.e., the asteroid impact and extreme weather conditions in the successive months/years), the extinction rate remained high for 5.4 Myr and the subsequent recovery period involved another 6.9 Myr. Acknowledging uncertainties in data and model, it took approximately 12 Myr until net diversification returned to the Late Cretaceous level (Fig. 2). Gastropod species richness took approximately 7–8 Myr to recover (Fig. 1). Translating this to the current biodiversity crisis suggests that even if anthropogenic impact on the world’s biota ceases immediately, the already triggered phase of extinction might still involve several million years and so will faunal recovery.

Given the extremely fast pace at which global change happens today and the high rates of extinction predicted for the next decades, both of which outpace the rates for the 5th mass extinction. Europe’s freshwater biota may face an even more dramatic collapse in the near future than after the asteroid impact 65 Myr ago.

The ongoing extinction crisis has consequences on many levels. For individual ecosystems, the loss of species comes with an inevitable change in community composition. Species perform specific functions in ecosystems and—depending on their role and interactions with other species—their extinction or local extirpation may have immediate causes on the ecosystem stability or functioning. Radical changes in ecosystem functioning, in turn, may have severe implications on ecosystem services for humanity, such as food provision, disease resistance or economic benefits.

Thus, if we continue to lose species at the fast pace our analysis suggests, we will continue to impair ecosystem services to our own disadvantage.

In summary, our study clearly evidences the vulnerability of freshwater biota to past and current global change and implies a long aftermath in case we cannot mitigate the ongoing extinction crisis. We show that rates of extinction are by one (66 Myr ago) or four (today) orders of magnitudes higher than background level. 92.5% of all freshwater gastropod species went extinct during the K–Pg event in Europe, which entailed an extinction phase lasting 5.4 Myr and a subsequent recovery period lasting further 6.9 Myr. The current biodiversity crisis appears even more drastic, with species being lost at a much faster pace. Our analyses suggest that 75% of all European species may be lost within centuries. Our findings provide yet additional evidence that immediate and effective action is needed to protect biodiversity. While our study is restricted to the European continent, we see similar developments in freshwater biota world-wide, indicating that the regional extinction event we predict for European freshwater biota is not an isolated case. Future studies need to compare fossil and modern data from other continents—as far as the fossil record allows—to make general predictions for biota across the globe.

**Methods**

**Fossil occurrence data.** Given the in equity in species richness and distribution of the freshwater gastropod fossil record across the planet, we focus on Europe as target region. The European fossil record is well studied as evidenced by a rich and long research history and provides a high number of species and good geographic coverage (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 1).

Locality-based freshwater gastropod occurrence data for the Jurassic–Pleistocene (2013–0.017 Myr ago) of Europe were assembled from the literature and selected collection sources (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 1). Most data for the Neogene and Quaternary derive from a previous study incorporating recent taxonomic revisions and additions. The geographic delimitation of Europe follows that study and includes Anatolia and the Caucasus region; in the east, it is bounded by the Ural Mountains. Data from western Turkmenistan and Kazakhstan were included if associated with the biogeographic realms of the Caspian Sea and Paratethys Sea, respectively. Despite major

**Discussion**

Based on the rich European gastropod fossil record, we show that freshwater extinction at the K–Pg boundary was severe and has been underestimated so far. Previous studies based on the global vertebrate fossil record reported freshwater species extinction levels of 10–22%.

However, our new estimates based on freshwater gastropods show a species richness decline in Europe of 92.5% and an extinction rate being more than an order of magnitude higher than background level. This magnitude is even higher than the global average of the 5th mass extinction event, which wiped out approximately 76% of all species on Earth. As such, freshwater gastropods line up with major terrestrial and marine animal and plant groups that experienced strong decline in species richness or disappeared entirely. This concerns, for example, non-avian dinosaurs, ammonites, bivalves, ostracods, planktic foraminifera, calcareous nannofossils, bryozoans and land plants.

Whether the past extinction pattern we found based on the European fossil record represents a global phenomenon remains uncertain. We are currently lacking comprehensive records for most continents (with the exception of North America) that have sufficient detail to infer diversification rates. The growing availability of quality-controlled fossil data in unified and open repositories is an essential precondition to expand regional studies to a global scale in future research.

The 5th mass extinction event shares several features with the current crisis, making it a potential model system to investigate and predict biotic response to the catastrophic environmental turnover we observe today. During both the late Cretaceous and the late Cenozoic, a global cooling trend is followed by a steep and rapid temperature increase driven primarily by rising CO2 levels. Both intervals witnessed concerted biodiversity decline in many taxonomic groups (see also Fig. 1), but peaks in extinction rates coincide with catastrophic events. The current biodiversity crisis is largely the result of human impact and its tempo (decades to millennia) is that of an instantaneous event in terms of the geological time scale. Thus, one may consider the catastrophic event at the K–Pg boundary a suitable parallel for the current, anthropogenically driven crisis.

Comparing our reconstructions of diversification dynamics and extinction magnitude for the 5th mass extinction event with the predicted future trends of the current biodiversity gives deeply worrying prospects. Projected extinction rates of European freshwater gastropods are estimated to be approximately three orders of magnitudes higher than for the 5th mass extinction event (1378.9 to 1973.2 versus 1.45 E/MSY), indicating a much faster pace of extinction than at the K–Pg boundary. These values predicted for the near future are in a similar range as previous estimates for the current biodiversity crisis. Also, they greatly exceed other regional extinction events in the European fossil record. For example, the extinction rate of freshwater gastropods has risen already over the last few million years and reached an intermediate plateau during the Quaternary (~0.9 E/MSY; Supplementary Figure 2). While this rate is clearly above the natural background rate of extinction, probably reflecting the massive impact of intensifying glacial cycles on freshwater biota during the Quaternary, the present peak is three to four orders of magnitude higher. According to our model, 75% of all European freshwater gastropod species might be lost before the end of the millennium, if the current trends are not reversed. If human impact—either directly via habitat degradation or destruction, eutrophication or pollution or indirectly through climate change—continues to increase as predicted, we will steer into a regional extinction crisis of unprecedented magnitude even earlier.
paleogeographic changes affecting the connectivity of Europe and adjacent continents over the past 200 Myr, faunas from coeval strata on other continents show very different diversity compositions. These differences suggest the presence of clear biogeographic boundaries allowing a delimitation of the European freshwater gastropod fauna through geological time.

We focused on species as the basic entity for estimating diversification rates. Diversity reconstructions in deep time have been typically performed on genus- or family-level data, but especially for stratigraphically old faunas, such as the early relatives of modern clades back in the Jurassic and Cretaceous, genus or even family classifications are often doubtful. Species are typically binned into common genera, which may greatly inflate actual genus ranges. Similarly, many Mesozoic species lack sufficient diagnostic features that allow clear assignment to a specific family. It has also been shown that the use of genus-level data can severely bias the outcomes of evolutionary studies, many of which currently focus on the species-level data.

We applied a careful taxonomic screening process to ascertain a sound data basis for the analyses. Uncertain records (‘cf.’), nomina nuda, nomina dubia and taxa inquirenda were excluded. Taxa with temporary names were included; although their names might not be accepted at present (e.g., being junior homonyms pending revision), they still represent valid species. We applied the latest taxonomic revisions to account for changes to species lists, synonymizations, taxon rank and systematic classification. To assure a sound age classification of the fossil occurrences, we used most up-to-date stratigraphic information for the bearing strata and accounted for revisions in regional stratigraphic schemes and lithostratigraphic and biostratigraphic correlations. Ecologically, we included obligate freshwater as well as oligohaline taxa; mesohaline (e.g., mangrove or estuary) species were excluded.

In the end, the dataset was critically reviewed by the authors, some of which are experts in non-marine gastropods taxonomy and systematics as well as stratigraphy. Selected records or faunas that appeared highly doubtful due to severe taxonomic or stratigraphic discrepancies were excluded to avoid bias from misidentifications. The final dataset includes 24,759 occurrences of 3,122 species in 5,954 genera (Figure 1, Supplementary Data 1). Despite the huge amount of data available, extant European faunas were not considered in the present study for a number of reasons: (1) Preliminary analyses have shown that the discrepancy in the number of records available for fossil and recent faunas and their stratigraphic ranges complicates the inference of the diversification rate; analyses regularly fail to converge even when using a high number of generations. (2) Taxonomic and species concepts tend to vary between neontologists and palaeontologists, owed to the different preservation and species traits of the material available to them. Including both sources of data will inevitably result in taxonomic biases. (3) The selective preservation towards lacustrine or lowland faunas in the fossil record complicates comparison with the recent fauna characterized by numerous spring and subterranean species.

Accordingly, we limited the dataset to pre-Holocene records.

**Infering the diversification rate.** In order to account for age uncertainties, we generated 200 randomized data sets by resampling the age of each occurrence uniformly within the respective temporal range, following the procedure described by Silvestro et al. Analyses were performed using the open-source program PyRate (https://github.com/dsilvestro/PyRate). Fossil occurrences are modeled as a time-variable Poisson process according to stratigraphic epochs, with the exception of constraining a time-invariable sampling rate for the sampling is modeled as a time-invariable Poisson process according to stratigraphic epochs, with the exception of constraining a time-invariable sampling rate for the

To test for the robustness of the approach with respect to the taxonomic level, we inferred extinction rates for extant genera for the 100-year scenario. Since genera do not have IUCN conservation statuses, we inferred the extinction rate on genus-level by obtaining an extinction date for each and every species and then calculating the inverse of the mean extinction date of the genera’s last survivors. For each species, we drew a random extinction date from an exponential distribution, with a shape defined as the inverse of the extinction rate of the respective species (which itself is a random draw from the uncertainty distribution provided by our original species-level iucn_sim analysis). We repeated the whole procedure of drawing extinction dates to calculate the genus-level extinction rate based on last survivors 1000 times to obtain an average and randomizable interval. This accounts for the inferred uncertainty of species-specific extinction rates from our species-level analysis and the randomness of sampled extinction dates.

**Data availability** The data underlying this study are available at https://doi.org/10.22029/jlupub-9 and in Supplementary Data 1–2.
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**Predicting future extinction magnitude and rates.** We used the recently developed program iucn_sim to simulate future extinctions based on conservation status information according to the IUCN Red List. We queried the IUCN Red List database for European freshwater mollusks occurring in European countries (including countries as well as Turkey, to cover the same geographic scope as the fossil dataset). Taxonomically, we included the families listed by the European Red List of non-marine mollusks, excluding the semiaquatic families Assimineidae and Ellobiidae. Only extant resident species were included, extinct, possibly extinct and introduced species were omitted. For the final dataset, we excluded species occurring exclusively in caves, springs and karstic or subterranean environments. Species living in these habitats have low fossilization potential and including them would impede a reliable comparison with the estimates from the fossil dataset. These filters yielded 347 species with valid conservation status. None of them are currently threatened with extinction. To assess the potential of the model, we calculated the mean duration until the extant fauna reaches the 75% extinction level, which marks a mass extinction. Additionally, we employed an MCMC algorithm as implemented in iucn_sim using 100,000 generations (discarding the first 5000 as burn-in) and 50,000 simulations to infer rates of extinction based on the three time intervals using the ‘EX mode 1’ algorithm. Predictions become more accurate when accounting for conservation status histories and information on species generation length, but especially for stratigraphically old faunas, such as those from the Jurassic and Cretaceous. As previously shown, these are often difficult to study due to the low abundance of faunas from these time intervals. To infer the extinction rate on genus-level by obtaining an extinction date for each and every species and then calculating the inverse of the mean extinction date of the genera’s last survivors. For each species, we drew a random extinction date from an exponential distribution, with a shape defined as the inverse of the extinction rate of the respective species (which itself is a random draw from the uncertainty distribution provided by our original species-level iucn_sim analysis). We repeated the whole procedure of drawing extinction dates to calculate the genus-level extinction rate based on last survivors 1000 times to obtain an average and randomizable interval. This accounts for the inferred uncertainty of species-specific extinction rates from our species-level analysis and the randomness of sampled extinction dates.

**Data availability** The data underlying this study are available at https://doi.org/10.22029/jlupub-9 and in Supplementary Data 1–2.
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