Original article
Scand J Work Environ Health 2003;29(5):378-387
doi:10.5271/sjweh.744

Cytogenetic and morphologic subgroups of myelodysplastic syndromes in relation to occupational and hobby exposures
by Albin M, Björk J, Welinder H, Tinnerberg H, Mauritzson N, Billström R, Strömberg U, Mikoczy Z, Johansson B, Ahlgren T, Nilsson P-G, Mitelman F, Hagmar L

Affiliation: Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University Hospital, SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden. maria.albin@ymed.lu.se

Key terms: benzene; chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; cytogenetic subgroup; epidemiology; extremely low-frequency magnetic fields; farming; hobby exposure; karyotype; morphologic subgroup; myelodysplasia; myelodysplastic syndrome; occupational exposure; organic solvent; risk factor

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14584518
Cytogenetic and morphologic subgroups of myelodysplastic syndromes in relation to occupational and hobby exposures

by Maria Albin, MD, Jonas Björk, PhD, Hans Welinder, PhD, Håkan Tinnerberg, PhD, Nils Mauritzson, MD, Rolf Billström, MD, Ulf Strömberg, PhD, Zoli Mikoczy, MSc, Bertil Johansson, MD, Tomas Ahlgren, MD, Per-Gunnar Nilsson, MD, Felix Mitelman, MD, Lars Hagmar, MD

Objectives This study investigated the association between occupational and hobby exposure and the risk of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) while focusing on differential patterns of clonal chromosome aberrations and morphologic subgroups.

Methods A case-referent study was conducted with 330 MDS patients investigated cytogenetically in 1976–1993 (cases) and matched referents. Telephone interviews with either the person or a next-of-kin were used. The participation rate of the cases and referents was 85% and 60%, respectively. Information was obtained from the next-of-kin more often for the cases (88%) than for the referents (26%). Occupational hygienists assessed the exposure using interview data on worktasks and hobbies. Associations with disease risk were evaluated for 10 exposures with a logistic regression analysis.

Results The investigated exposures were generally not associated with cytogenetically abnormal MDS. Effect estimates for specific cytogenetic or morphologic subgroups were generally imprecise. Occupational exposure to extremely low-frequency magnetic fields (EMF) was associated with MDS with a normal karyotype [odds ratio (OR) 2.0, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.0–4.0]. The exposure–response association was consistent for intensity but inconclusive for duration. A decreased risk was observed for MDS, irrespective of karyotypic pattern, among farmers and farmhands (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.35–0.81).

Conclusions Cytogenetically abnormal MDS was generally not associated with occupational or hobby exposure to known or suspected genotoxic agents. However, exposure prevalences and intensities were low for several agents. An association was suggested between occupational exposure to EMF and MDS with a normal karyotype. Biases due to differential information quality and selective participation cannot be ruled out.
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and agricultural exposures like grain dust, fertilizers, pesticides, and livestock or poultry (11, 13, 15, 21–23).

MDS after radiotherapy or chemotherapy including alkylating agents are accompanied by complex karyotypes and partial or complete losses of chromosomes 5 or 7 more often than de novo MDS (7, 8). In addition, the morphological subgroup refractory anemia with excess blasts is overrepresented among therapy-related MDS (1). One can hypothesize that genotoxic agents, present in, for example, occupational or hobby activities, may primarily induce MDS with characteristic karyotypic abnormalities or characteristic morphological features, but few MDS studies have addressed this issue. An association has been suggested between exposure to pesticides and organic solvents and morphological or cytogenetic subgroups of MDS with a poor prognosis (21). Furthermore, a recent report indicated stronger effects of several combined occupational and environmental exposures on cytogenetically abnormal MDS than on normal MDS; however, no associations were established with specific exposures (24).

The aim of our study was to investigate associations between a broad range of occupational and hobby exposures and cytogenetic and morphological subgroups of MDS.

Participants and methods

Cases

The study, approved by the Ethics Committee of Lund University, was based on a series of 389 consecutively diagnosed cases of adult MDS from southern Sweden, cytogenetically analyzed between 1976 and 1993 at the Department of Clinical Genetics, Lund. The Department performs cytogenetic investigations for persons with suspect MDS from the catchment area of the Lund University Hospital, as well as from other parts of southern Sweden. All referrals for cytogenetic investigations are made within the public health care system. In the catchment area of the Lund University Hospital, referrals for cytogenetic investigations were routinely used in the diagnostic evaluation of hematologic malignancies throughout the study period; this use implies a high coverage of incident cases. The coverage for other parts of southern Sweden is less complete. All the cytogenetic investigations were performed before treatment on bone marrow or peripheral blood samples in a standardized fashion. In short, the cells were adjusted to a concentration of 10^6/ml and cultured for 24 and 48 hours in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine, and antibiotics, and the chromosome preparations were banded by trypsin-Giemsa. The definition and description of clonal abnormalities, followed the recommendations of the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (25) throughout the study period. In general, 25 metaphases were analyzed. The cytogenetic investigation was considered a failure if less than 10 metaphases could be studied, unless a cytogenetically abnormal clone was identified.

Three hematologists reviewed the medical records to verify the morphological grouping according to the French-American-British classification (2). This review, as well as the cytogenetic investigation, was blind with regard to exposure. A detailed description of the present MDS series and a thorough investigation of the association with tobacco smoking have been presented elsewhere (10, 26).

The participants were retrospectively enrolled in the study in 1994. Out of the 389 eligible persons, interview information was obtained for 330 (85% participation rate) (table 1), including 104 with refractory anemia, 34 with refractory anemia with ringed sideroblasts, 115 with refractory anemia with excess blasts or refractory anemia with excess blasts in transformation, 58 with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, and 19 with unclassifiable MDS. Those for whom interview information could not be obtained (N=59) were slightly older at the time of the diagnosis (median age 75 years) and less often male (52%) than the participants. The most common reasons for nonparticipation were “no relatives” (55%) and “refusal by the respondent” (36%). In the data analyses, cases were classified with respect to karyotype (normal, abnormal) (table 1). Abnormal karyotypes were further grouped according to the number of abnormalities (sole, two, or complex) and with respect to prognostic category as bad [(complex, der(1;7), monosomy 7, or 7q-)], good (-Y, 5q-, or 20q- as sole anomaly), or intermediate (those not specified as good or bad). Specific clonal chromosome aberrations with sufficient numbers (minimum 5 cases) to permit analysis were -3/3p-, -5/5q- (not sole), -7/7q-/-der(1;7)(q10;p10), +8, and -12/12p-.

Referents

Three referents were randomly selected by the Swedish National Bureau of Statistics (Statistics Sweden) from the study population of southern Sweden for each cytogenetically analyzed case, matched with respect to gender, age, and county of residence. Each referent was sampled from the population in the calendar year during which the corresponding case was diagnosed. We aimed at obtaining interview information for one randomly selected referent in each matched set. When a referent could not be interviewed, another referent from the matched set was selected. The participation rate of the referents was 60%; 565 participants had to be selected to achieve satisfactory 1:1 matched sets.
Information on exposure

The eligible persons were contacted in 1995–1997 with a letter describing the purpose of the study and giving the main questions to be asked during the structured telephone interview. If the subject was deceased or too ill to participate, a next-of-kin was selected in the following order: spouse, parent, sibling, child. Spouses and children were the most frequently interviewed (>85% of next-of-kin). Information had to be obtained from a next-of-kin much more often for the cases (88% of the interviews) than for the referents (26% of the interviews). The interviews were conducted by three occupational health nurses, who, for both ethical and practical reasons, had to be aware of the disease status. A lifelong occupational history was obtained, including all jobs held for at least 1 year (worktask, department, and name of company). No specific exposures were asked, but follow-up questions were triggered for certain jobs. [See Tinnerberg et al (27) for the details.] Information on extra jobs held for at least 1 year was collected in the same way as for the regular job. A broad range of hobby activities were explicitly asked for, together with questions about duration and average hours spent weekly in each activity. Furthermore, questions were asked about regular use of hair dye, smoking habits (smoking type, duration, and average consumption), and medical treatments preceding the time of the case diagnosis, focusing on chemo- or radiotherapy (including treatment with isotopes).
directly based on the specific questions of the interview. The number of hairdressers was too few to permit further investigation. Hobby exposure was assessed for all occupational agents, except EMF, ionizing radiation, and cytostatics.

Smoking and treatment with chemo- or radiotherapy
For the purpose of evaluating potential confounding and effect modification, smoking habits and previous medical treatments were classified. According to the questions regarding smoking, the participants were classified as smokers if they had smoked at least one cigarette a day [or an equivalent amount for other smoking types (29)] for at least 1 year during the 20 years before the diagnosis of the case. In addition, cumulative smoking dose (pack-years) was used for confounder adjustment in some of the analyses. MDS patients who, according to the interview or the medical record, had undergone chemotherapy or radiotherapy (including treatment with isotopes) at some time during the 20 years preceding the year of diagnosis or at an unknown time were classified as secondary cases (N=56). For the referents, the history of chemo- or radiotherapy was based on interview information only.

Statistics
Effect estimates were obtained by conditional logistic regression (30) using EGRET (epidemiologic graphics, estimation and testing) software (Cytel Software Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA). Matched sets with identical values for all matching factors were combined for efficiency reasons. So that all the data could be used, sets with only one case or one referent (8% of all sets) were added to already complete sets, fulfilling the matching criteria as much as possible. Using unconditional rather than conditional logistic regression, stratified for the two matching factors (gender and age) that proved to be of importance, only altered the effect estimates marginally, and the results are therefore not presented. When testing trends, we employed Wald’s test of \( \beta = 0 \), for which \( \beta \) denotes the logistic regression coefficient for the exposure intensity as an untransformed continuous variable [provided that data were fairly consistent with such a model (31)]. Biological interaction is the most appropriately evaluated as a departure from the additivity of effects (32). Thus synergistic interaction, defined as a positive departure from additivity, was evaluated by calculating relative excess risk due to interaction (33). We have presented results from detailed analyses with regard to exposure intensity, karyotype, and morphology only for agents with a tendency towards an increased overall relative risk.

In order to reduce exposure misclassification in the individual-level analysis of occupational exposures, we used only exposure assessments with high confidence. The participants were classified as unexposed for a particular occupational agent only if they were unexposed with high confidence during the entire assessment period. The participants were classified as exposed if they were exposed with high confidence for at least 1 year

| Exposure                                      | Cases (N) | Referents (N) | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                               | Exposed   | Unexposed     | Uncertain | Exposed   | Unexposed | Uncertain |
| Organic solvents                              | 65        | 218           | 47        | 56        | 218       | 63        | 1.4       | 0.86–2.2  |
| Hobby or low                                  | 57        | -             | -         | 40        | -         | -         | 1.6       | 0.96–2.7  |
| Moderate or high                              | 8         | -             | -         | 16        | -         | -         | 0.76      | 0.31–1.9  |
| Benzene                                       | 29        | 284           | 17        | 30        | 280       | 27        | 0.95      | 0.54–1.7  |
| Chlorinated organic solvents                  | 10        | 296           | 24        | 12        | 292       | 33        | 0.80      | 0.33–1.9  |
| Gasoline and diesel fuel                      | 61        | 261           | 8         | 56        | 263       | 18        | 1.0       | 0.63–1.6  |
| Gasoline or diesel exhaust                    | 64        | 256           | 10        | 56        | 248       | 33        | 1.1       | 0.68–1.7  |
| Pesticides                                    | 41        | 271           | 18        | 42        | 260       | 35        | 0.82      | 0.50–1.4  |
| Fresh meat or cattle or poultry               | 54        | 271           | 5         | 53        | 274       | 10        | 1.0       | 0.64–1.5  |
| Fresh wood                                    | 32        | 294           | 4         | 38        | 287       | 12        | 0.80      | 0.46–1.4  |
| EMF b                                         | 76        | 221           | 33        | 61        | 241       | 35        | 1.4       | 0.87–2.2  |
| Low                                           | 33        | -             | -         | 35        | -         | -         | 1.0       | 0.59–1.8  |
| Moderate                                      | 25        | -             | -         | 18        | -         | -         | 1.6       | 0.79–3.3  |
| High                                          | 18        | -             | -         | 8         | -         | -         | 2.6c      | 1.0–6.2   |
| Regular personal use of hair dye              | 47        | 273           | 10        | 40        | 290       | 7         | 1.5       | 0.87–2.5  |
| Fair or henna                                 | 10        | -             | -         | 11        | -         | -         | 0.98      | 0.35–2.8  |
| Dark                                          | 31        | -             | -         | 25        | -         | -         | 1.5       | 0.87–2.8  |
| Unknown                                       | 6         | -             | -         | 4         | -         | -         | 1.8       | 0.45–7.5  |

* OR and 95% CI obtained from conditional logistic regression (case-referent sets matched for gender, age and county of residence).
* Only occupational exposure was assessed.
* Test for trend: P=0.03.
during the assessment period. Those who could not be classified as exposed or unexposed were excluded from the analyses (table 2). Less restrictive approaches did not substantially change the results and have not been presented. Hobby exposures were included in the "low" occupational exposure categories in the analyses combining occupational and hobby exposure.

We tried to evaluate the impact of selective participation on the risk estimates associated with EMF exposure by using the procedure described by Björk et al (34). In short, data on occupational titles were obtained for both the participants and nonparticipants from the national Swedish censuses for 1960 and for every 5th year during the period 1970–1990. With these registry data, we used a Finnish job-exposure matrix (35) to assess the probability of occupational exposure to EMF above 0.30 µT (moderate or high intensity) for each study subject. The association between the odds ratio and the exposure probabilities was estimated using conditional binary regression in a linear risk model (36, 37).

Results

All myelodysplastic syndromes

An association with intensity of exposure to EMF was observed (test for trend P=0.03) (table 2). Effects for different strata of duration of exposure to EMF were similar [1–7 years: odds ratio (OR) 1.7, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.78–3.5; 8–14 years: OR 1.5, 95% CI 0.68–3.1; 15–20 years: OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.66–2.0; not in tables]. Regular personal use of hair dye was associated with a moderately, but not significantly, increased risk. Most of the regular users were women (95%), and the main use was dark colors. We had no data on the frequency of hair dye use, and the data on duration were incomplete (43% missing). This lack of information hampered further investigation. The odds ratios for the other investigated occupational and hobby exposures were close to unity and without any indication of an association with intensity of exposure, a finding not altered when potential confounding from smoking and previous medical treatment was adjusted for. In particular, no association with potential exposures to animal-born viruses (fresh meat, cattle, or poultry) was discerned. Furthermore, the participants active as farmers or farmhands during the 20 years before the MDS diagnosis had an odds ratio for MDS that was below unity (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.35–0.81; 55 exposed cases; 85 exposed referents; not in tables). Adjustment for smoking and previous medical treatment did not change this odds ratio noticeably. The participants occupationally exposed to cytostatics and ionizing radiation were too few to permit analysis. Further analyses of risk for MDS stratified by clonal chromosome aberrations or morphological subgroup are not presented only for the exposures for which the overall analyses indicated an association with MDS.

Normal versus abnormal karyotype

The association between organic solvents and MDS with or without karyotypic abnormalities was not more consistent than with MDS overall (table 3). The suggested

Table 3. Effects of exposure to organic (aromatic) solvents, extremely low-frequency magnetic fields (EMF), and personal hair dye use of at least 1-year duration ≤20 years before the case diagnosis on the risk of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) with and without clonal chromosome aberrations. (Exp = exposed, Unex = unexposed, Uncer = uncertain, OR = odds ratio, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval)

| Exposure | MDS with clonal chromosome aberrations | MDS with a normal karyotype |
|----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|          | Cases (N) | Referents (N) | OR 95%CIA | Cases (N) | Referents (N) | OR 95%CIB |
| Organic solvents | Exp | Unex | Uncer | Exp | Unex | Uncer | Exp | Unex | Uncer | Exp | Unex | Uncer |
| Leisure-time or low | 30 | 114 | 24 | 23 | 115 | 32 | 1.4 | 0.75–2.7 | 35 | 104 | 23 | 33 | 103 | 31 | 1.2 | 0.63–2.5 |
| Moderate or high | 4 | 5 | | | | | 0.97 | 0.25–3.8 | 4 | 11 | | | 0.61 | 0.18–2.1 |
| EMFb | 30 | 124 | 14 | 30 | 124 | 16 | 1.0 | 0.53–1.9 | 46 | 97 | 19 | 31 | 117 | 19 | 2.0 | 1.0–4.0 |
| Low | 12 | 16 | | | | | 0.68 | 0.28–1.7 | 21 | | | | 1.6 | 0.75–3.5 |
| Moderate | 12 | 10 | | | | | 1.3 | 0.50–3.5 | 13 | | | | 2.4 | 0.81–7.1 |
| High | 6 | 4 | | | | | 1.5 | 0.40–5.9 | 12 | | | | 4 | 4.3<sup>c</sup> | 1.3–15 |
| Hair dye use | 27 | 134 | 7 | 29 | 138 | 3 | 1.2 | 0.58–2.5 | 20 | 139 | 3 | 11 | 152 | 4 | 1.8 | 0.85–3.8 |

A OR and 95% CI obtained from conditional logistic regression (case-referent sets matched for gender, age and county of residence).<sup>a</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Only occupational exposure was assessed.

<sup>c</sup> Test for trend, P=0.01.
risk from regular personal usage of hair dye was higher for cytogenetically normal MDS than for cytogenetically abnormal MDS, but both confidence intervals included unity. The estimate for normal karyotype did not change noticeably after further adjustment for smoking, exposure to benzene, and previous medical treatment. The corresponding adjusted estimate for abnormal MDS was below unity. Exposure to EMF was only significantly associated with an increased risk for MDS with normal karyotype. An exposure–response association was indicated with intensity of exposure (test for trend: P=0.01). This trend did not change when exposure to benzene and previous radio- or chemotherapy was adjusted for. For MDS with a normal karyotype, the odds ratio associated with exposure to EMF was elevated in the broad group of participants unexposed to organic solvents (OR 4.3, 95% CI 0.92–20; not in tables), whereas the corresponding effect estimate in the smaller group of participants exposed to organic solvents was imprecise and showed no effect (OR 0.67, 95% CI 0.11–4.0; not in tables). A stratified analysis suggested a synergistic interaction between intensity of exposure to EMF and smoking with regard to risk for MDS with normal karyotype, although an additivity of effects could not be ruled out (P=0.30) (table 4). Adjusting for differences in cumulative smoking dose between the participants unexposed and exposed to EMF did not alter the suggested synergism (not in tables). The pattern for MDS with a normal karyotype was inconclusive with regard to duration of exposure to EMF, with a significantly increased risk for the long durations (15–20 years: OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.1–6.1) and similar estimated effects but with wider confidence intervals for shorter durations (1–7 years: OR 2.3, 95% CI 0.80–6.7; 8–14 years: OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.66–4.5; not in tables).

### Karyotypic subgroups

No distinct pattern was found between exposure to the different agents of interest and the karyotypic prognostic subgroup or number of abnormalities. In particular, the estimated odds ratios for MDS with a complex karyotype (49 cases), associated with a poor prognosis, were generally close to unity. The effect estimates for MDS with specific clonal chromosome aberrations were imprecise. No significantly increased risks for MDS with specific abnormalities of chromosomes 3, 5, 7, 8, or 12 (for definitions, see the Methods section) were observed for any exposure. Among these nonsignificant findings, a possibly stronger effect from exposure to EMF that was indicated for losses involving chromosome 3 is worth noting. Five of the 10 cases with losses involving chromosome 3 were exposed to EMF compared with one of the 10 corresponding referents (OR 16, 95% CI 0.9–270, unconditional logistic regression, stratified for gender and age in three broad categories). For organic solvents, the highest odds ratio was observed for trisomy 8 as the sole abnormality (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.40–7.0).

### Morphologic subgroups

The effect estimates for all the investigated agents were essentially nondifferential with respect to their morphologic subgroup. However, a possibly stronger effect of EMF was suggested for chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (OR 2.5, 95% CI 0.78–8.0, 58 cases),

### Table 4. Effects of occupational exposure to extremely low-frequency magnetic fields (EMF) of at least 1-year duration ≤20 years before the case diagnosis on the risk for myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) with and without clonal chromosome aberrations, as modified by smoking.

| Smoking status  | MDS with clonal chromosome aberrations | MDS with a normal karyotype |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | Cases (N) | Referents (N) | OR | 95% CI | Cases (N) | Referents (N) | OR | 95% CI |
| Nonsmokers      |           |               |    |        |           |               |    |        |
| No EMF exposure | 64        | 77            | 1.0|       | 54        | 74            | 1.0|       |
| Any EMF exposure| 9         | 14            | 1.1| 0.37–3.0| 18        | 18            | 1.8| 0.69–4.7|
| Low             | 5         | 7             |    |        | 10        | 10            |    |        |
| Moderate        | 3         | 5             |    |        | 3         | 4             |    |        |
| High            | 1         | 2             |    |        | 5         | 4             |    |        |
| Uncertain EMF exposure | 9 | 9 |    |        | 8 | 10 |    |        |
| Smokers         |           |               |    |        |           |               |    |        |
| No EMF exposure | 56        | 45            | 2.1| 1.0–4.1| 42        | 42            | 2.1| 1.1–4.1|
| Any EMF exposure| 21        | 15            | 1.7| 0.70–4.3| 28        | 13            | 5.2| 1.9–14 |
| Low             | 7         | 8             |    |        | 11        | 9             |    |        |
| Moderate        | 9         | 5             |    |        | 10        | 4             |    |        |
| High            | 5         | 2             |    |        | 7         | 0             |    |        |
| Uncertain EMF exposure | 5 | 6 |    |        | 10 | 6 |    |        |

* Six cases and eight referents with unknown smoking habits in the 20-year time span before case diagnosis were excluded.

*OR and 95% CI obtained from a conditional logistic regression (case-referent sets matched for gender, age and county of residence).

*Relative excess risk due to interaction for MDS with a normal karyotype=5.2–1.8–(2.1–1.0)=2.3 (95% CI = –2.0–6.6, P=0.30).
especially for cases with a normal karyotype (OR 3.5, 95% CI 0.73–17).

**Impact of selective participation**

According to the interview data and the individual exposure assessments, the odds ratio for MDS with a normal karyotype in association with EMF exposure at a moderate or high intensity was 3.1 (95% CI 1.2–7.9; not in tables). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).

**Discussion**

Occupational and hobby exposures to known or suspected genotoxic agents in the general Swedish population from around 1970 onwards were, contrary to the hypothesis, not generally associated with cytogenetically abnormal MDS. High and moderate exposure intensities were, however, rare for many of these agents. Consequently, despite the relatively large study size, the statistical power to detect associations with moderate-to-high exposure levels of specific agents was low. Only 8 cases and 16 referents were exposed to >5% of the current occupational limits for organic solvents (corresponding to, for example, a white spirit concentration of >2.5 ppm). Thus our results do not exclude the possibility that moderate-to-high exposures to occupational agents are risk factors for MDS with abnormal karyotype, but they do imply that the population attributable risk in southern Sweden in association with such exposures is likely to be low. Contrary to the findings of an Italian study (21), our analyses by morphological subtype decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).

According to the interview data and the individual exposure assessments, the odds ratio for MDS with a normal karyotype in association with EMF exposure at a moderate or high intensity was 3.1 (95% CI 1.2–7.9; not in tables). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).
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According to the interview data and the individual exposure assessments, the odds ratio for MDS with a normal karyotype in association with EMF exposure at a moderate or high intensity was 3.1 (95% CI 1.2–7.9; not in tables). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).

**Discussion**

Occupational and hobby exposures to known or suspected genotoxic agents in the general Swedish population from around 1970 onwards were, contrary to the hypothesis, not generally associated with cytogenetically abnormal MDS. High and moderate exposure intensities were, however, rare for many of these agents. Consequently, despite the relatively large study size, the statistical power to detect associations with moderate-to-high exposure levels of specific agents was low. Only 8 cases and 16 referents were exposed to >5% of the current occupational limits for organic solvents (corresponding to, for example, a white spirit concentration of >2.5 ppm). Thus our results do not exclude the possibility that moderate-to-high exposures to occupational agents are risk factors for MDS with abnormal karyotype, but they do imply that the population attributable risk in southern Sweden in association with such exposures is likely to be low. Contrary to the findings of an Italian study (21), our analyses by morphological subtype decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).
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According to the interview data and the individual exposure assessments, the odds ratio for MDS with a normal karyotype in association with EMF exposure at a moderate or high intensity was 3.1 (95% CI 1.2–7.9; not in tables). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).

**Discussion**

Occupational and hobby exposures to known or suspected genotoxic agents in the general Swedish population from around 1970 onwards were, contrary to the hypothesis, not generally associated with cytogenetically abnormal MDS. High and moderate exposure intensities were, however, rare for many of these agents. Consequently, despite the relatively large study size, the statistical power to detect associations with moderate-to-high exposure levels of specific agents was low. Only 8 cases and 16 referents were exposed to >5% of the current occupational limits for organic solvents (corresponding to, for example, a white spirit concentration of >2.5 ppm). Thus our results do not exclude the possibility that moderate-to-high exposures to occupational agents are risk factors for MDS with abnormal karyotype, but they do imply that the population attributable risk in southern Sweden in association with such exposures is likely to be low. Contrary to the findings of an Italian study (21), our analyses by morphological subtype decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9). When calculated using the participants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix, the corresponding odds ratio was lower (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.55–5.2). When the nonparticipants’ data from the census and the job-exposure matrix were included, the odds ratio decreased further (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.52–2.9).
study showed no consistent association with organic solvents, it is noteworthy that the highest odds ratio was found for MDS with trisomy 8 as the sole abnormality, albeit with a wide confidence interval.

Several hair colorants are mutagenic in bacteria-based assays, and work as a hairdresser or barber has been classified as probably carcinogenic to humans, mainly based on a consistently increased risk for bladder cancer (45). However, the evidence for an association between personal usage of hair dye and MDS is weak (20). If anything, our data suggest an association with MDS with a normal karyotype. Unfortunately, the effects of duration or frequency of use could not be evaluated. A decreased risk for MDS among farmers and farmhands was observed. This finding was somewhat unexpected, but it is in agreement with findings reported in the United Kingdom (15) and the United States (46) while, in a French study, agricultural work was associated with an increased risk for MDS (13). It is possible that different factors, positively as well as negatively associated with risk for MDS, are present in farming life and that the distribution of these factors vary substantially across countries.

All referrals for cytogenetic investigations are made within the Swedish public health system, which essentially rules out selection bias among cases due to socioeconomic factors. However, cases diagnosed for a patient with a high age, when curative intended therapy is less frequent, are likely to be underrepresented. The average number of jobs reported was lower when the information was obtained from next-of-kin than when obtained from the person him- or herself, and, because of the high case mortality, this situation was reflected in fewer jobs being reported for the cases than for the referents (mean number of jobs reported 2.9 and 3.5, respectively). A similar, but less pronounced, pattern was observed for hobbies. Thus differential reporting of exposures among the cases and referents may have biased our results towards unity, for example, by fewer reported occupations or hobbies giving less probability of being classified as exposed to the investigated agents. Restricting the analyses to the cases and referents interviewed in person left few matched pairs and introduced selection with regard to year of diagnosis, age, and prognosis. Such an analysis of the effect of EMF on the risk for MDS with normal karyotype implied substantially reduced precision (OR 1.5, 95% CI 0.25–9.0). Including respondent status as a covariate in the conditional logistic regression model also implied considerable loss of precision. Moreover, the validity in adjusting for a factor that is mainly a consequence of disease can be questioned (47).

The participation rate of our study was high among the eligible cases (85%), but lower among the referents (60%). The odds ratio associated with EMF according to data from the census and job-exposure matrix decreased when the data on the nonparticipants were included, and this decrease may indicate that selective participation in the interviews resulted in bias away from the null for our risk estimates in association with EMF exposure. However, both the census data and the EMF exposure data from the job-exposure matrix are crude, and thus no firm conclusion about the presence of participation bias in our data can be drawn.

The classification of EMF intensity was largely based on the average intensities for various occupational groups as reported by Floderus et al (28). The number of personal measurements in each occupational group was limited and showed large variations in intensity. Furthermore, a reassessment of the exposure for a sample of subjects from our study, as well as from studies of other hematological malignancies in southern Sweden, showed only moderate interrater reliability for exposure to EMF (Cohen’s kappa 0.53). [For details, see Tinnerberg et al (27).] Thus some misclassification of the EMF intensity is likely to be present. When categories are formed from underlying continuous exposure levels, differential misclassification may arise even if the exposure assessment is blind, if both the disease risk and the probability of misclassification vary within an exposure category (48, 49). Differential misclassification may bias the expected odds ratio either toward or away from the null value. We had no information on alcohol consumption, for which an elevated risk has been reported (11, 50), although not confirmed in a recent study (18). However, a close association has been observed between smoking and alcohol consumption (51), and it is thus likely that we have indirectly accounted for such potential confounding by adjusting for smoking. Adjustments for previous medical treatment did not change the relative estimates noticeably, and therefore no confounding was indicated.

In conclusion, cytogenetically abnormal MDS were, in general, not associated with occupational or hobby exposure to known or suspected genotoxic agents. Our data suggest an association between occupational exposure to EMF and MDS with a normal karyotype. Biases due to differential information quality and selective participation cannot be ruled out.
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