Sex disparity in acute ischemic stroke outcomes in Korea
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke is one of the leading causes of death and accounts for a significant burden not only in Western countries [1,2] but also in Asian countries including Korea [3]. Several studies have shown that women have a worse prognosis than men after acute ischemic stroke (AIS) [4-7]. The worse outcome in women might be related to their advanced age [6,8,9], significant prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) [4,8], significantly severe initial neurologic deficit [4,5], and limited medical attention [4,5,10-12] including thrombolysis. Inconsistent results [8,13-15] were observed regarding the role of sex disparity in the prognosis after AIS. The results may vary among different ethnicities. In Japan, women initially showed a severe neurologic deficit and poor long-term outcomes compared to men despite similar treatment modalities [8,15]. In contrast, in Europe and the United States, women were less likely to receive thrombolysis and hemorrhagic transformation [16]. The role of sex disparity in AIS outcomes in Asian countries, including Korea, is still unclear and merits further investigation.

Background: It is unclear whether women diagnosed with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) have worse outcome after adjusting for several confounding factors such as age, initial stroke severity, and risk factors. In this study, we investigated sex disparities in long-term functional outcome after AIS.

Methods: We recruited patients with AIS prospectively registered in the Clinical Research Collaboration for Stroke in Korea database of Dong-A University Stroke Center between 2015 and 2018. We reviewed the patients’ clinical demographics, living type (alone or cohabitating), laboratory and radiological findings, stroke severity, stroke subtype, and cardiovascular risk profile. We compared the long-term functional outcomes between men and women using the modified Rankin Scale score at 90 days and 1 year after AIS.

Results: A total of 2,711 patients with AIS were enrolled in this study. Women comprised 38.9% (1,055) of all participants. Compared with men, women were significantly older (72.7±11.6 vs. 65.6±12.2, P<0.01), showed more severe neurologic deficits (median National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, 5 vs. 4, P<0.01), and had a higher rate of living alone (57.1% vs. 42.9%, P<0.01) and a higher prevalence of poor functional outcome at 90 days and 1 year after AIS. However, differences in history of statin use, hospital arrival time, and thrombolysis between the two sexes were not observed. After adjusting for several confounding factors, differences in initial neurologic deficits or long-term functional outcomes between sexes were not observed.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated the absence of sex disparities in the status of medical attention for thrombolysis.
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come after AIS [2]. However, more significant differences in social structure and health care for stroke patients are observed in Korea compared with other countries. Therefore, a comprehensive study is required to determine the presence of sexual disparities in initial neurological severity and long-term functional outcome in Korea.

This investigation primarily aimed to evaluate whether sex disparity influenced the outcomes of AIS in Korea after adjusting for patients’ clinical demographics, socioeconomic status, vascular risk factors, and the status of medical attention for thrombolysis based on the Clinical Research Collaboration for Stroke in Korea (CRCS-K) prospective registry [16] data involving a single stroke center.

METHODS

We investigated patients with AIS prospectively enrolled in the CRCS-K Registry of Dong-A University Stroke Center from 2015 to 2018. We reviewed the patients’ clinical demographics, living types (living alone or cohabitating), vascular risk factors, and laboratory and radiological findings. All participants’ baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores were evaluated by stroke neurologists. Stroke subtypes were determined based on the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment classification [17]. To determine the differences in the status of medical attention for thrombolysis between men and women, we investigated the patients’ hospital arrival time and performance of intervention (intravenous tissue plasminogen activator [IV t-PA] and/or mechanical thrombectomy). We compared the above various parameters between sexes.

The functional outcomes of survivors determined using the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score were followed up 90 days later and 1 year after stroke onset. The mRS scores were classified as follows: ≤ 2 (good functional outcome) and > 2 (poor functional outcome). These assessments were performed via telephone or face-to-face interview at the outpatient clinic. This study was approved by the local ethics committee (DAUHIRB-17-149). Written informed consent by the patients was waived due to a retrospective nature of our study.

Statistical analysis

The clinical characteristics of the patients were summarized, and the specific subgroups were described using descriptive statistics. The categorical variables of the groups were compared using Fisher’s exact test or Pearson’s chi-square test, while Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare the continuous variables of the groups. The odds ratios (ORs) for the comparison of two groups were summarized with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and P values using logistic regression analysis. To evaluate the association between the independent factors and the long-term functional outcomes after AIS, a multivariate model was created using a backward elimination method, and the probability threshold for removal was set at 0.10. The ORs were also adjusted for the factors that affected the response variable. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS ver. 21 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

During the observation periods, 2,702 patients with AIS were enrolled in this study, with women comprising 38.9% (1,055 patients) of all participants. The mean age was 68.4 ± 12.4 years, and the median NIHSS score was 4. The baseline variables stratified according to sex are summarized in Table 1. Women were significantly older (72.7 ± 11.6 vs. 65.6 ± 12.2, P < 0.01) and more often lived alone (57.1% vs. 42.9%, P < 0.01) than men with AIS. Women showed a significantly higher prevalence of AF and hypertension and a lower prevalence of smoking and previous history of coronary artery diseases than men. Women showed significantly higher NIHSS score (5.9 ± 5.9 vs. 7.1 ± 6.7, P < 0.01) than men with AIS. However, after adjusting for several confounding factors (living type, age, acute management, risk factors, and stroke subtype), women (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.97 to 1.62; P = 0.09) initially showed no significantly severe neurologic deficit after AIS (Supplementary Table 1). A significant difference between sexes in onset-to-door time, based on the use of IV t-PA and mechanical thrombectomy data, was not observed.

Functional outcomes of men and women are shown in Table 2. Women showed a higher prevalence of poor functional outcome at 90 days and 1 year than men after AIS. Age (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.05 to 1.06; P < 0.01), living alone (OR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.75; P = 0.01), previous history of AF (OR, 1.68; 95% CI, 1.10 to 2.56; P = 0.02), diabetes mellitus (OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.42; P = 0.07), previous history of cerebrovascular accident (OR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.17 to 1.77; P < 0.01), and no previous history of statin use (OR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.20 to 1.90; P < 0.01) were the independent prognostic factors for AIS. However, female sex (OR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.36; P = 0.37) showed no independent significance for the poor functional outcomes after AIS. Similar results were reported 1 year after AIS (Table 3). The distribution of baseline characteristics and risk factors differed according to sex, but when comparing the functional outcomes between sexes in the same group, significant differences in variables except living alone.
Poor functional outcome was observed 90 days after AIS according to age and sex, with a similar prevalence across men and women of all ages except women aged greater than 80 years, who showed a significantly higher prevalence (Supplementary Table 3). However, after adjusting for several confounding factors, women aged greater than 80 years showed no significant incidence of poor functional outcomes after AIS (OR, 1.39; 95% CI, 0.84 to 2.29; P = 0.20) (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that women had a substantially more severe neurologic deficit and a worse outcome at 90 days and 1 year after AIS than men. However, after adjusting for several confounding factors, female sex was not a significant contributing factor to severe initial neurologic deficit and worse long-term outcome after AIS in Korea.

Generally, female sex is an independent factor associated with worse outcome after AIS [4-7]. However, according to other studies, the worse outcome in women was associated with their age, with a similar prevalence across men and women of all ages. Supplementary Table 2) were not observed. As shown in Fig. 1, poor functional outcome was observed 90 days after AIS according to age and sex, with a similar prevalence across men and women of all ages except women aged greater than 80 years, who showed a significantly higher prevalence (Supplementary Table 3). However,
Table 3. Multiple logistic regression analysis regarding the predictors of poor functional outcome at 90 days and 1 year after stroke onset

| Variable                                      | 90 days mRS score | 1 year mRS score |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                                               | OR                | 95% CI | P value | OR                | 95% CI | P value |
|                                               | 95% CI            |        |         | 95% CI            |        |         |
| Women                                         | 1.10              | 0.89–1.36 | 0.37    | 1.08              | 0.85–1.38 | 0.54    |
| Living alone                                   | 1.50              | 1.21–1.85 | <0.01   | 1.36              | 1.06–1.75 | 0.01    |
| Age (yr)                                       | 1.06              | 1.05–1.06 | <0.01   | 1.07              | 1.06–1.08 | <0.01   |
| Risk factors                                   |                   |        |         |                   |        |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                              | 1.18              | 0.99–1.42 | 0.07    | 1.26              | 1.02–1.56 | 0.04    |
| Smoking (ref=no smoking)                       | 1.12              | 0.89–1.42 | 0.57    | 1.33              | 1.01–1.75 | 0.04    |
| Current smoking status                         |                   |        |         |                   |        |         |
| Previous history of smoking status            | 1.11              | 0.86–1.44 | 0.43    | 1.24              | 0.92–1.68 | 0.16    |
| Previous history of coronary artery disease   | 1.18              | 0.92–1.50 | 0.20    | 1.39              | 1.05–1.85 | 0.02    |
| Previous history of cerebrovascular disease   | 1.44              | 1.17–1.77 | <0.01   | 1.19              | 0.93–1.52 | 0.17    |
| Previous history of atrial fibrillation       | 1.55              | 1.06–2.25 | 0.02    | 1.68              | 1.10–2.56 | 0.02    |
| Previous history of statin use (ref=yes)      | 1.51              | 1.20–1.90 | <0.01   | 1.61              | 1.22–2.12 | <0.01   |
| Stroke subtype (ref=small-vessel occlusion)   |                   |        |         |                   |        |         |
| Large artery atherosclerosis                   | 1.99              | 1.55–2.56 | <0.01   | 2.15              | 1.58–2.92 | <0.01   |
| Cardioembolism                                 | 1.80              | 1.17–2.77 | <0.01   | 2.12              | 1.30–3.47 | <0.01   |
| Stroke of other determined etiologies         | 1.60              | 0.79–3.24 | 0.20    | 3.07              | 1.35–6.94 | <0.01   |
| Stroke of undetermined etiology               | 1.45              | 1.09–1.93 | 0.01    | 1.76              | 1.25–2.48 | <0.01   |

mRS, modified Rankin Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Fig. 1. Distribution of poor functional outcome at 90 days after the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke by age and sex in the total population. Poor functional outcome (modified Rankin Scale [mRS] score >2). Any significant difference in poor functional outcome after acute ischemic stroke between both sexes in 80 years or older population (odds ratio, 1.39; 95% confidence interval, 0.84 to 2.29; P=0.20) (Supplementary Table 3) after adjusting for age, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, living type, hospital arrival time, mechanical thrombectomy, previous history of statin use, previous history of atrial fibrillation, previous history of coronary artery disease, and stroke subtypes.

https://doi.org/10.18700/jnc.190108
significant prevalence of AF, living alone, poor medical attention before admission, and higher initial NIHSS score [8,13-15].

In this study, women were approximately 7 years older with a higher prevalence of living alone than men, which is a common trend worldwide [4-7]. Thus, women had poor control of risk factors before being diagnosed with AIS [18,19] and received limited medical attention before arriving at the emergency room [4,5] for thrombolysis [10-12]. However, we demonstrated that statin use was not different between sexes. Moreover, disparity between sexes was not observed in hospital arrival time, the use of IV t-PA, and mechanical thrombectomy after AIS. Almost all previous studies reported in western countries and Japan demonstrated that poor medical attention in women before and after AIS contributed significantly to poor long-term outcome. In Korea, as reported previously, well-developed medical insurance and public transportation for emergent patients were associated with the prevention of poor functional outcome in women after AIS [7]. Notably, in this study, a significant difference in age-specific prognosis was not observed between sexes, different from a previous study that showed significantly worse outcomes after AIS in patients aged 85 years and above [8].

Living alone has been considered a critical factor for worse outcome [20,21]. In this study, although living alone was one of the key factors associated with worse outcome in the whole population after AIS, it was not restricted to women (Supplementary Table 4). In this study, the rate of living alone in women was twice that of men. Notably, the mean age of women living alone was significantly higher than that of men. We presumed that bereavement might have contributed to single living because of the substantially longer lifespan in women. In contrast, living alone in men might be related to other causes such as divorce, home loss, and domestic discord caused by financial crisis rather than natural death of their spouse. A previous study demonstrated that living alone by men aged less than 70 years had a significantly higher impact on the outcomes after AIS compared with women. The study presumed that men were more dependent on spousal encouragement in seeking medical attention for cardiovascular diseases compared with women, and men living alone have higher chances of consuming an unhealthy diet and exhibiting poor health behaviors such as smoking and heavy drinking than women living alone or cohabitating with others [21-23]. Therefore, single men may manifest a negative impact after AIS, similar to women. Hence, further studies are required to validate this hypothesis.

The previous studies showed worse outcomes in women after AIS after adjusting for age and confounding risk factors. They suggested that a rapid decrease of endogenous estrogen diminished its anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects [24] and increased the susceptibility of cells to programmed cell death [25]. Furthermore, in Korea, a unique lifestyle based on a patriarchal-feudalistic chauvinism and Confucianism might contribute to the occurrence of worse outcomes in women after AIS [7,26]. However, the social status of women in Korea has changed dramatically in several aspects due to increased employment rate, improvement of subjective personal health status, and the role of women in institutionalization [27]. Due to the changes mentioned above, it is likely that women have better improved their vulnerability to AIS in Korea compared with the previous reports.

Despite the several significant results, the study has some limitations. First, this was a single-center study, and the findings do not represent nationwide data. Second, we used mRS as a parameter of long-term functional outcome after AIS, which does not represent all the sequelae. Therefore, a variety of tools are required to measure mood, function, and quality of life among women diagnosed with AIS.

This study showed no significant differences between sexes in Korea in initial severity and long-term functional outcome after AIS, in contrast to a previous report.
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