**Antidiabetic activity of stem bark of *Bauhinia variegata* in alloxan-induced hyperglycemic rats**

Sir,

Diabetes mellitus, a chronic metabolic disorder of insulin deficiency or ineffectiveness, constitutes a global public health burden and predictions estimate that India, China, and United States will have the largest number of diabetic people by the year 2030. The search for plant-based products for control of diabetes mellitus continues, and the World Health Organization (WHO) has also long back recommended herbal treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Bauhinia variegata* Linn (Family: Fabaceae), vernacularly called Kachnara, is an herbaceous medicinal plant, found throughout India. The leaves of the many *Bauhinia* species are used in antidiabetic treatments by many populations of the world. In India, stem bark is used as an antidiabetic in the Ayurvedic system of medicine. In a recent *in-vitro* study, the ethanolic extract of *B. variegata* and its major constituent, roseoside, have demonstrated enhanced insulin release from the beta-cell lines INS-1. In view of these facts, this work studied the influence of the stem bark of *B. variegata* on alloxan-induced hyperglycemia in rats.

The stem barks of *B. variegata* were collected from the campus of Jiwaji University, Gwalior, in Apr. 2010 and authenticated by a Taxonomist of the Institute. The dried stem barks were powdered and defatted with petroleum ether (60–80°C) in a Soxhlet apparatus. The marc was then subjected to cold extraction with ethanol and water (50:50) thrice. The resultant mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was then concentrated to dryness in a Buchi type rotary evaporator to get the hydro-alcoholic extract (BVBE). BVBE was subjected to preliminary phytochemical screening by standard conventional methods which revealed the presence of carbohydrates, volatile oils, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and alkaloids.

Healthy adult Wistar albino rats (200–250 g) of either sex between 2 and 3 months of age, raised at Central Animal Facility of the Institute under standard housing conditions, were used. Animals were provided standard pellet diet (Ashirwad Brand, Chandigarh) and water *ad libitum*. The studies were approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee.

Rats were divided into different groups (*n = 5*) in each study. All normoglycemic and hyperglycemic rats orally received vehicle of the extract (distilled water) or BVBE (200 and 400 mg/kg) or metformin (500 mg/kg) as a reference standard for 7 days. In all group of animals, the blood collection was done from retro-orbital plexus under light ether anesthesia and fasting serum glucose levels were estimated by a semi-auto analyzer using a Glucose Oxidase-Peroxidase glucose estimation kit (Span Diagnostic Ltd., Surat, India).

In a normoglycemic study, the blood glucose was estimated as above on 0, 1, 2, 3, and 24 h, third day and seventh day after drugs administration. In the oral glucose tolerance test in normal rats, glucose (4 g/kg) was fed orally, 3 h after the last dose of extract/vehicle on day 7 and blood glucose was estimated at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min of glucose administration. The hyperglycemia was induced in fasted rats by single intravenous dose of alloxan monohydrate (CDH Chemicals, Mumbai, India) 65 mg/kg and diabetes was confirmed 48 h after alloxan administration. In alloxan hyperglycemic rats, blood glucose was estimated at 0, 1, 2, 3, and 24 h, third day and seventh day after administration of drugs. All the data were analyzed with two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni multiple comparison test. A value of *P < 0.05* was considered significant in all cases.
In an acute toxicity study, BVBE was found to be safe up to the level of 2000 mg/kg. Data analysis revealed that BVBE (200 and 400 mg/kg) and metformin did not influence blood glucose in normal rats (P > 0.05) [data not shown], suggesting that BVBE, per se, has no hypoglycemic effect. Further, BVBE (200 and 400 mg/kg) and metformin have normalized the impaired glucose tolerance (P < 0.01–0.001) with observable reduction in glucose levels from 60 to 120 min after glucose load [Table 1]. This indicates the efficacy of the BVBE to suppress the elevated blood glucose levels. Alloxan injection induced significant hyperglycemia (P < 0.001) (glucose > 250 mg/dl) in 48 h of administration. BVBE (P < 0.001) and metformin (P < 0.01 to P < 0.001) treatment significantly reduced the blood glucose levels in hyperglycemic animals [Table 2]. The results revealed the maintenance of blood sugar levels in diabetic rats during the 7 days administration of BVBE throughout the study period. The glucose levels reduced with single dose on day 1 and decreased further after subsequent doses. The antihyperglycemic effect of BVBE was comparable to metformin. Metformin reduces both fasting and postprandial hyperglycemia by promoting insulin-mediated peripheral glucose utilization and metabolism in adipose tissues and skeletal muscles through up-regulation of glucose transporters, provided that some endogenous insulin is present. Although the precise mechanism of the hypoglycemic action of BVBE remains speculative, the extract may be acting like metformin, and/or improving insulin action at the cellular level. In previous studies, antidiabetic plants exhibited antihyperglycemic effects by enhancing the action of insulin or by increasing the glucose metabolism or glucose homeostasis in diabetic animals.[10] A similar mechanism may be accounted for the antihyperglycemic effect of BVBE. Previously, leaves’ extract of *B. variegata* exhibited hypoglycemic effects due to the presence of insulin-like proteins.[11] However, no such hypoglycemic effect was observed with the bark extract, rather exhibited antihyperglycemic effect indicating the absence of insulin-like proteins in the barks. It is possible that one or more observed constituents may be mediating the antihyperglycemic effect of BVBE. In conclusion, BVBE exhibited significant antihyperglycemic effects which may be attributed to increased glucose metabolism.
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**Table 1: Effect of BVBE on the oral glucose tolerance test**

| Groups          | 0 min     | 30 min    | 60 min    | 120 min   |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Vehicle         | 117.60 ± 5.50 | 197.20 ± 6.19 | 175.20 ± 4.85 | 143.20 ± 4.93 |
| BVBE 200        | 104.20 ± 3.53 | 168.00 ± 7.09* | 145.40 ± 6.30* | 113.80 ± 7.91* |
| BVBE 400        | 102.00 ± 4.02 | 155.00 ± 6.80° | 124.60 ± 12.56@ | 110.00 ± 11.73* |
| Metformin       | 122.40 ± 5.68 | 198.00 ± 7.18° | 122.00 ± 2.49@ | 95.06 ± 8.56° |

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5 rats), *P < 0.05, °P < 0.01, @P < 0.001 compared to vehicle, BVBE - Hydro-alcoholic extract of Bauhinia variegata

**Table 2: Effect of BVBE on alloxan-induced hyperglycemia**

| Groups         | 0 h      | 1 h      | 2 h      | 3 h      | 24 h | Day 3 | Day 7 |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|-------|-------|
| Saline         | 101.20 ± 7.35 | 103.80 ± 1.56 | 98.42 ± 4.98 | 96.80 ± 4.89 | 107.34 ± 5.68 | 115.00 ± 2.28 | 107.74 ± 3.21 |
| Alloxan + Vehicle | 302.60 ± 9.29* | 303.60 ± 6.95* | 299.80 ± 9.18° | 285.40 ± 6.31* | 294.00 ± 9.54* | 296.00 ± 8.23* | 293.40 ± 4.44* |
| Alloxan + BVBE 200 | 290.00 ± 9.30 | 194.00 ± 3.03* | 140.60 ± 11.19* | 130.20 ± 8.66° | 124.20 ± 6.06° | 116.00 ± 4.46° | 103.00 ± 2.37* |
| Alloxan + BVBE 400 | 287.80 ± 10.01 | 216.00 ± 8.42° | 192.00 ± 7.75° | 122.20 ± 5.52* | 119.00 ± 5.55° | 108.20 ± 3.73° | 102.20 ± 3.81° |
| Alloxan + Metformin | 308.80 ± 9.57 | 280.20 ± 5.70 | 261.80 ± 6.19° | 252.20 ± 5.64° | 236.80 ± 5.36° | 226.60 ± 10.66° | 132.20 ± 9.19° |

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5 rats), *P < 0.05, °P < 0.01, @P < 0.001 compared to vehicle, BVBE - Hydro-alcoholic extract of Bauhinia variegata
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Wound healing activity of Hemidesmus indicus formulation

Sir,

The discovery of drugs from medicinal plants provides new and important leads against various pharmacological targets including chronic diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, malaria, and pain.[1] Hemidesmus indicus (Tamil: nannari) is very commonly used for the treatment of gastrointestinal and cardiovascular disorders.[2] An extract from this plant’s root inhibits the growth of E. coli, Streptococcus, Corynebacterium, and Pneumonia.[3] As the extract is known to have antimicrobial activity, our present study was designed to determine the wound healing activity of a herbal formulation made from H. indicus.

Hemidesmus indicus R. Br. (Apocynaceae) plant parts were collected in Tiruvannamalai district of Tamil Nadu. They were taxonomically identified and certified by the Botanist, Periyar Arts College, Cuddalore. Roots of H. indicus were collected during October–December. A voucher specimen of the plant is maintained in the Department of Pharmacology, KPCP, Tiruvannamalai, for further reference. The root of H. indicus was dried under the shadow for 2 weeks, and it was made as coarsely powdered for extraction.

Healthy adult Wistar rats (180–200 g) of either sex were used for the experiment. The animals were obtained from the Animal House, KPCP, Tiruvannamalai, and allowed to adapt to the laboratory conditions. All the experimental animals were housed at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C and at a humidity of 40–50% in a 12:12 ± 1 h light–dark cycle. The rats were fed with standard rat pellets (Hindustan Limited, Bangalore, India) and water ad libitum. The study was approved by the Institute Animal Ethics Committee and all the animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), India.

Coarsely powdered shade dried roots of H. indicus was compactly packed in a Soxhlet extractor with methanol as a solvent and it was heated (60–80 °C) for 24 h and the final product obtained was dried. Subsequently the marc was extracted with distilled water and dried at 45–50 °C. The yields of the methanolic and aqueous extracts were 2.1% and 18.9% (w/v), respectively. A 5% (w/w) H. indicus ointment was formulated using the methanolic and aqueous extracts. The ointment was prepared using a simple ointment base formulated using wool fat, hard paraffin, and yellow soft paraffin.[4] Wistar rats of either sex were divided into four groups with four animals each for the treatment as follows.

| Group       | Description                                      |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| I           | Control group                                    |
| II          | Standard drug treatment (soframycin ointment)    |
| III         | Treatment with 5% (w/w) H. indicus (methanolic extract) ointment |
| IV          | Treatment with 5% w/w H. indicus (aqueous extract) ointment |

The rats were anesthetized with anesthetic ether using the open mask method, after which their backs were depilated.

Table 1: Wound healing activity of H. indicus herbal formulation

| Days after infliction of wound | Wound area (mm²) (percentage of wound contraction) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                               | Control | Soframycin ointment | H. indicus ointment (methanolic extract) | H. indicus ointment (aqueous extract) |
| 1                             | 512 ± 5.18 (0) | 507 ± 15.77 (0) | 509 ± 10.71 (0) | 507 ± 17.26 (0) |
| 6                             | 473 ± 16.14 (7.61) | 301 ± 63.25** (40.58) | 302 ± 30.02** (40.69) | 467 ± 52.18 (7.79) |
| 14                            | 107 ± 8.04 (79.10) | 57.75 ± 13.82* (88.60) | 68 ± 7.30* (86.64) | 94 ± 19.75 (81.45) |

All the values are mean ± SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, compared with control, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test.