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SUMMARY

The objective of this study was to evaluate the utilization of Moringa oleifera leaves meal (MOLM) as a source of protein in feeding growing rabbits. Thirty six weaned New Zealand white rabbits of both sexes aged 6 wk and weighted 858 g were randomly divided into three groups (12 each). Rabbits were fed ad-libitum diets containing 0, 7.5 and 15% MOLM for groups T0, T7.5 and T15, respectively as a substitute for soybean meal. Fresh water was supplied ad-libitum during the experimental period (8 wk). At the end of experimental period, digestibility trials were carried out to determine the digestibility of feed nutrients and the feeding values of experimental diets. Three rabbits from each group were slaughtered to evaluate carcass traits and some blood parameters. Results revealed that substituting MOLM instead of soybean meal in rabbit’s diets did not significantly affect live body weight (LBW), daily weight gain (DWG) and total feed intake (TFI). Meanwhile, feed conversion ratio was significantly affected by inclusion of MOLM in the diet, however, control group (T0) recorded the best value (4.28) followed by 15% MOLM (4.43) while 7.5% MOLM reflected worst value (4.65). All nutrient digestibilities, except CF and nutrient values (TDN, DCP and DE) of experimental diets were significantly decreased with increasing MOLM level in the diet. All carcass traits were not affected by dietary treatments. There were no significant differences among dietary treatments in most blood constituents (total protein, bilirubin, cholesterol, urea-N, creatinine, ALT and AST). Glucose, albumin, globulin and Alb/Glo ratio were significantly affected by MOLM inclusion in the diet. Glucose was increased (p<0.05) in rabbits fed MOLM diets compared to the control. Albumen and Alb/ Glo ratio were decreased (p<0.05). However, globulin was increased in rabbits fed 7.5% MOLM compared to those fed MOLM-free diet or 15 % MOLM. All blood biochemical parameters were within the normal range for healthy rabbits. IgM and Anti-nuclear Antibody (ANA) were increased (p<0.05) in MOLM diets compared with the control. Economic efficiency (E. E) and relative E. E (%) were increased by increasing MOLM level in the diets. It could be concluded that Moringa oleifera leaves meal can be used as a substitute of soybean meal as a source of protein in rabbit’s diets without detrimental effects on productive performance, health status and economic efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, there is a large gab in animal protein between the demand and that available for human consumption. Moreover, the gab is increasing because the population is increasing more rapidly than the increase in production. However, gab could be decreased by rabbit farming (FAO, 1987). Rabbits are characterized by short generation interval, high reproductive rate and prolificacy, high feed efficiency and simple housing requirements (Daader et al., 1999). In addition, rabbits have a high ability to feed on diets containing forages and fibrous by-products (Cheeke, 1986, Aboul-Ela et al., 2011 and Bakr et al., 2019).

Soybean meal is considered the main protein source in rabbit’s diet. The price of soybean meal has been increasing continuously in recent years, especially after the discovery of mad cow disease in the last decade. Therefore, search for cheaper new plant protein source is urgent (Zeweil et al., 2008).

Moringa oleifera leaves meal can be used to replace soybean meal in rabbit’s diet up to 15% without any adverse effect on growth performance, hematology and blood biochemistry (Odetola et al., 2012). M.oleifera leaves meal has a high protein content ranging from 20 to 33% on dry matter basis. Moreover, the protein is of a high quality. It has significant quantities of all the essential and non-essential amino
acids. Therefore, MOLM contains considerable levels of lysine and methionine (Foidl and Paull, 2008 and Abdel-Azeem et al., 2017). M. Oleifera leaves meal also has considerable quantities of macro and microelements, especially iron and selenium and is considered to be an excellent source of many vitamins (A, B, C and E) and bio-active components (Abdel-Azeem et al. 2017). M. Oleifera leaves and fruits prevent morphological changes and oxidative damage in human and animals by enhancing the activities of antioxidant enzymes, reducing generation of free radicals (Sreelather and Padma, 2009 and Osman et al., 2012). The presence of antioxidants in moringa leaves promotes the immune system of animals against infection (Yang et al., 2006 and Jaiswall et al., 2009). Baouetine et al. (2011) reported that supplementation of M. Oleifera leaf meal at 3% improved survival rate in growing rabbits.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of varying levels of Moringa oleifera leaves meal as a substitute of soybean meal in rabbit's diets on growth performance and immunity of growing rabbits under North Sinai conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the rabbitry farm of the Department of Animal and Poultry Production, Faculty of Environmental Agriculture Sciences, Arish University, North Sinai, Egypt. The study lasted for 8 weeks starting January 1st till the end of February 2018.

Preparation of Moringa oleifera leaves meal (MOLM):

Moringa oleifera leaves (MOLM) were harvested at the age of 2 months in a private farm at Kafr Saqr District, Sharqia governorate, Egypt. The leaves were air dried under shade until their moisture content reached almost 10%. They were turned several times in order to retain their greenish coloration (about 72 hour). The dry leaves were then milled, sieved (1 mm mesh) and stored in a well tight polyethylene bags at room temperature until they were used. Samples of dry Moringa oleifera leaves meal (MOLM) were taken for chemical analysis. MOLM was analyzed for crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE), ash, calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) according to A. O. A. C (2012).

Animals and the experimental design:

Thirty six newly weaned New Zealand White (NZW) unsexed (6 week’s old and 858 g average body weight) were randomly allocated into three dietary treatment groups, each of 12 animals. Each group was sub-divided into four replicates with three animals each. The 1st treatment group (control, T0) was fed a pelleted control diet, the 2nd treatment group (T 7.5) and the 3rd treatment group (T 15) were fed diets containing 7.5 and 15% MOLM substituting 50 and 100% soybean meal, respectively (Table 1). MOLM was handily mixed with feed ingredients and the experimental diets were pelleted under a temperature of 70oC and 0.3 cm diameter and 2cm length. The experimental diets were formulated to meet the nutrients requirements of growing rabbits according to NRC (1994). The composition of the experimental diets is showed in Table 1. Rabbits were housed as three in galvanized wire cage (3/cage) measured (40*40*30 cm) in a well-ventilated building (natural air and light throw the window). Cages were provided with feeders and automatic nipple drinkers. The experimental diets and fresh water were supplied ad-libitum twice daily at 8.00 AM and 6.00 PM. All rabbits were kept under the same managerial, hygienic and environmental conditions. Individual body weight and feed intake were recorded weekly. Body weight gain and feed conversion ratio (g feed/ g gain) were estimated.

Digestibility trials:

At the end of the experimental period (14 wk of age), digestibility trials were carried out to estimate the nutrient’s digestibility and feeding values of the experimental diets. Three male rabbits were chosen randomly from each group and housed individually in metabolism cages that allow for collection of feces. The same feeding regime used during the feeding trial was also used during the digestibility trial. A preliminary period of 10 days was followed by 7 days as a collection period for feces. Feed intake was recorded daily and the feces of each rabbit was collected daily in the morning. Any shaded hair or foreign materials were discarded. The feces were sprayed with 2% boric acid for trapping any ammonia released, then was dried at 60 oC for 36 hours. At the end of the collection period, all dried feces for each rabbit was mixed, grounded and stored until chemical analysis. Diets and feces were analyzed according to A. O. A. C (2012). The nutritive values of the experimental diets were estimated as digestible crude protein (DCP %), total digestible nutrients (TDN) and digestible energy (DE, Kcal/kg diet). Values of total digestible nutrients (TDN) were calculated according to the equation described by Cheeke et al. (1982) as follows:

\[
\text{TDN} = \frac{\text{EE} + 3.2 \times \text{CP} + 0.3 \times \text{CF}}{0.7}
\]
TDN% = %DCP + %DCF + %DNFE + 2.25 (%DEE).

Digestible energy (DE, Kcal/kg diet) was calculated according to the formula described by Schiemann et al. (1972), cited by El- Kerdawy (1997) as follows:

DE (Kcal/kg diet) = 5.28(%DCP, g/kg) + 9.51 (% DEE, g/kg) +4.2(% DCF +% DNFE g/kg) ± 0.3

Table (1): Formulation (%) of the experimental diets.

| Item                                      | The experimental diet       |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                            | T0            | T7.5     | T15     |
| Alfalfa hay (15%)                        | 29            | 29       | 29      |
| Soybean meal (44%)                       | 15            | 7.5      | -       |
| *Moringa oleifera* leaves meal (MOLM)    | -             | 7.5      | 15      |
| Barley grains                             | 15            | 15       | 15      |
| Yellow corn                               | 10            | 10       | 10      |
| Wheat bran                                | 26            | 26       | 26      |
| Molasses                                  | 3             | 3        | 3       |
| Salt                                      | 0.3           | 0.3      | 0.3     |
| Limestone                                 | 0.9           | 0.9      | 0.9     |
| Vitamins and mineral mixture2             | 0.3           | 0.3      | 0.3     |
| DL-Methionine                             | 0.1           | 0.1      | 0.1     |
| Di-Calcium phosphate                      | 0.4           | 0.4      | 0.4     |
| Total                                     | 100           | 100      | 100     |

1Experimental diets; T0 = control diet, containing no MOLM; T 7.5 = diet containing 7.5 % MOLM; T15 = diet containing 15% MOLM.

- Each 3 kg of premix contains: Vit. A 6000000 iu, Vit. D3 900000 iu, Vit. E 40000 mg, Vit k3 2000 mg, Vit. B1 2000 mg, Vit. B2 4000 mg, Vit. B6 2000 mg, Vit. B12 10mg, Biotin 50 mg, Pantothenic acid 100000 mg, Nicotinic acid 50000 mg, Folic acid 3000 mg, Choline chloride 2500000 mg, Mg 8500 mg, Zinc 50000 mg, Iron 50000 mg, Copper 5000 mg, Iodine 200 mg, Selenium 100 mg and Cobalt 100 mg.

**Blood sampling:**

At slaughter, individual blood samples were collected in dry clean non-heparinized tubes (from the same slaughtered rabbits) and allowed to clot at room temperature and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes and the serum was separated and stored at -20°C until analysis. Serum total protein and glucose were calorimetrically determined using Kits supplied by Bio Merieux, France. Urea and creatinine calorimetrically determined using Kits supplied by Diamond, Egypt. Albumin, bilirubin, ALT and AST were calorimetrically determined using Kits supplied by Randox, England. Serum globulin was obtained by difference (Total protein minus albumin). Cholesterol was calorimetrically determined using Kits supplied by Spectrum, Egypt. Serum immunoglobulin profile (igG, igA and igM) and Anti-nuclear Antibody (ANA) were determined using ELISA technique.

**Economic efficiency:**

Economic efficiency was calculated as the ratio between incomes price of weight gain and the cost of feed consumed over 6-14 weeks of age.

**Statistical analyses:**

Data were subjected to statistical analysis by the SAS (2004) computer program using the general linear models (GLM). Significances among treatment means were tested using Duncan’s multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical Analysis of MOLM and Experimental diets:**

Table (2) showed that the proximate chemical composition of MOLM was within the range values reported by pervious researchers (Ghada, 2015; Ahmed, 2017 and Omara et al., 2017). The CF, NFE and ash values were nearly similar for the experimental diets. The CP content was decreased while EE content...
was increased with increasing MOLM inclusion in the experimental diets (Table 2). This is due to the fact that CP content of MOLM (29.49%) is lower than that of soybean meal (44%) which is used as a source of protein in rabbit’s diet. However, EE content of MOLM (7.24%) is higher than that of soybean meal (2.08), indicating that MOLM is a source of fats.

**Growth performance**

No significant differences were observed among the three dietary treatments in live body weight (LBW) and daily weight gain (DWG) of growing rabbits during the experimental period (Table 3). Mean values of live body weight and daily weight gain were decreased slightly with increasing MOLM level in rabbit’s diets. This may be due to low DCP% of MOLM diets (12.54 and 10.56%) for T 7.5 and T15 compared to 13.80% of the diet without MOLM (T 0). This result is in accordance with that of Odetola et al. (2012) who found that MOLM can be used to replace soybean meal up to 15 % substitute level in the rabbit's diet without adverse effect on the growth performance. These results can be explained as MOLM has better protein quality, possibly resulting from a higher methionine and lysine contents. Also, MOLM is rich in vitamin A which is important in rabbit growth. Pond et al., (1995) reported that deficiency of vitamin A in rabbit's diet led to poor growth.

Total feed intake (kg/head) and feed conversion ratio are presented in Table (4). Total feed intake wasn't significantly (p>0.05) influenced by replacing MOLM with soybean meal in the rabbit's diets. However, the feed conversion ratio was significantly affected by the dietary treatments. The diet without MOLM (T 0) had the best value (4.28) and the diet with 7.5% MOLM inclusion (T 7.5) had the lowest value (4.65).

**Nutrients digestibility and nutritive values of experimental diets**

Table (5) showed that all nutrients digestibility coefficients (except CF digestibility of 15% MOLM substitution) were significantly lower (p<0.05) than those of MOLM-free diet (control, T0). Meanwhile, no significant differences were found in all nutrients digestibility coefficients, except EE digestibility between the diet without MOLM (T 0) and 7.5% MOLM substitution. These results are in line with those obtained by Sun et al. (2018) who found that DM, CP and energy digestibility decreased when MOLM substitute levels were increased. This may be due to the presence of tannins in MOLM which have the ability to form complexes with macromolecules such as proteins and polysaccharides (De-Bruyne et al., 1999 and Dei et al., 2007). However, Ogbe and Affiku (2011) found that MOLM contains 21.19 % tannins.

As shown in Table (5), there are significant differences (p<0.01) in DCP% among the experimental diets. However, the control diet (T 0) had the highest value (13.80%) versus 12.54 and 10.56% for MOLM diets (T 7.5 and T 15), respectively. Similarly, Vidjannagni et al. (2018) found that DCP % of MOLM diets significantly decreased when MOLM substitute levels were increased in rabbit’s diets. TDN % and DE (kcal / kg) of MOLM diet (T 15) were significantly (p<0.05) lower than those of the control diet (T 0), while no significant differences in TDN and DE (kcal/kg) were found between the control diet and MOLM diet (T 7.5). In the same trend, Sun et al. (2018) reported that the apparent digestible energy of the diet without MOLM was significantly higher (p<0.05) than those of 30% MOLM substitution.

| Table (2): Proximate composition of MOLM and experimental diets. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Item | MOLM1 | Experimental diets2 |
|     | | T 0 | T 7.5 | T 15 |
| DM% | 89 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 90.7 |
| Composition of DM% | | | | |
| OM | 90.34 | 91.39 | 91.15 | 90.90 |
| CP | 29.49 | 17.77 | 16.41 | 15.21 |
| CF | 10.01 | 13.60 | 13.73 | 13.86 |
| EE | 7.24 | 3.32 | 3.69 | 4.02 |
| NFE | 43.60 | 56.7 | 57.32 | 57.81 |
| Ash | 9.66 | 8.61 | 8.85 | 9.10 |

1MOLM, Moringa oleifera leaves meal 2Experimental diets, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15= Containing 15% MOLM
### Table (3): Live body weight and daily gain of growing rabbits as influenced by dietary treatment.

| Item                        | Treatment 1,2 | S.E. 3 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
|                             | T0 | T7.5 | T15 |
| Live body weight (g)        |    |      |     |
| 6 wk.                      | 859 | 859  | 814 |
| 10 wk.                     | 1606 | 1627 | 1517 |
| 14 wk.                     | 2269 | 2162 | 2149 |
| Daily weight gain (g)       |    |      |     |
| 6-10 wk.                   | 26.6 | 27.4 | 25.1 |
| 10-14 wk.                  | 23.7<sup>a</sup> | 19.1<sup>b</sup> | 22.6<sup>a</sup> |
| 14-16 wk.                  | 25.2 | 23.3 | 23.8 |

<sup>1</sup>Values are least-squares means.  2 Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15 = Containing 15% MOLM.  
<sup>2</sup>S.E. = Largest standard error of the means.  
<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup> Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P<0.05).

### Table (4): Feed intake and feed conversion ratio as influenced by dietary treatments

| Item                        | Treatment 1,2 | S.E. 3 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
|                             | T0 | T7.5 | T15 |
| Total feed intake (kg/head) |    |      |     |
| 6-10 wk.                   | 2.55<sup>a</sup> | 2.70<sup>b</sup> | 2.54<sup>a</sup> |
| 10-14 wk.                  | 3.49<sup>a</sup> | 3.35<sup>b</sup> | 3.55<sup>a</sup> |
| 14-16 wk.                  | 6.05         | 6.05  | 6.10 |
| Feed conversion ratio       |    |      |     |
| 6-10 wk.                   | 3.41         | 3.52  | 3.53 |
| 10-14 wk.                  | 5.28<sup>a</sup> | 6.26<sup>b</sup> | 5.43<sup>a</sup> |
| 14-16 wk.                  | 4.28<sup>a</sup> | 4.65<sup>b</sup> | 4.43<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values are least-squares means.  2 Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15 = Containing 15% MOLM.  
<sup>2</sup>S.E. = Largest standard error of the means.  
<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup> Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P<0.05).

### Table (5): Effect of dietary inclusion of varying levels of MOLM on apparent nutrients digestion coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental diets

| Parameter          | Treatment 1 | S. E 2 | Sig 3 |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|-------|
|                    | T 0 | T 7.5 | T 15 |
| Digestibility coefficient (%) |    |      |      |
| DM                 | 69.48<sup>a</sup> | 69.10<sup>a</sup> | 63.70<sup>b</sup> |
| OM                 | 71.51<sup>a</sup> | 70.81<sup>b</sup> | 66.17<sup>b</sup> |
| CP                 | 77.69<sup>a</sup> | 76.41<sup>a</sup> | 69.44<sup>b</sup> |
| EE                 | 87.87<sup>a</sup> | 81.77<sup>b</sup> | 74.90<sup>c</sup> |
| CF                 | 27.23        | 32.18  | 26.50 |
| NFE                | 79.22<sup>a</sup> | 77.76<sup>b</sup> | 74.23<sup>b</sup> |
| Nutritive values (%) |    |      |      |
| TDN                | 68.99<sup>a</sup> | 68.30<sup>b</sup> | 63.91<sup>b</sup> |
| DCP                | 13.80<sup>a</sup> | 12.54<sup>b</sup> | 10.56<sup>c</sup> |
| DE (kcal/kg)       | 3048.47<sup>a</sup> | 3006.56<sup>a</sup> | 2800.73<sup>b</sup> |

<sup>Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15 = Containing 15% MOLM. S. E 2 = Largest standard error of the means. Sig 3 = significance level.</sup>  
<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup> and <sup>c</sup> Means in the same row with different superscripts differ.  
<sup>*Significance at p< 0.05</sup>  
<sup>** Significance at p< 0.01</sup>
Carcass traits:

Data in Table (6) showed no significant differences among dietary treatments in all carcass traits and internal organs. Liver, kidneys and heart appeared normal in size and did not show any signs of toxicity. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Doğnun et al. (2012) and Ahmed (2017).

Table (6). Effect of dietary treatments on carcass characteristics of rabbits.

| Item                          | Treatment1,2 | S.E.3 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Pre-slaughter wt. (g)         | 2143         | 2193  |
| Empty carcass wt. (g)         | 1273         | 1337  |
| Total edible parts4 (g)       | 1350         | 1419  |
| Goblets wt.5 (g)              | 77.8         | 82.2  |
| Head (g)                      | 116.0        | 127.2 |
| Liver (g)                     | 59.2         | 62.4  |
| Kidneys (g)                   | 12.4         | 13.4  |
| Heart (g)                     | 6.2          | 6.4   |
| Dressing %                    | 63.07        | 64.76 |

1Values are least-squares means. Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15= Containing 15% MOLM.
S. E3 = Largest standard error of the means.
4Total edible parts weight = Empty carcass wt. (with head) + Goblets wt.
5Goblets wt. = Liver wt. + Kidneys wt. + Heart wt.

Blood constituents:

As shown in Table (7), glucose was increased significantly (p<0.05) in MOLM diets (T 7.5 and T15) compared with that of MOLM-free diet (T 0). This may be due to MOLM having various phytochemical and bioactive components such as the trace metal ions, vitamins, alkaloids, carotenoids and polyphenols which enhance rabbit’s health in the long term (Sravanthi and Rao, 2014). Albumin, globulin and Alb/Glo were significantly (p<0.05) influenced by MOLM inclusion in the rabbit’s diets. Albumin and Alb/Glo levels were lower. Meanwhile globulin was increased (p<0.05) with rabbits fed 7.5% MOLM diet compared with those fed the control or 15% MOLM diet. However, total protein, bilirubin, cholesterol, urea-N, creatinine, ALT and AST weren’t significantly influenced by the dietary treatments. These results are in line with those reported by Ahemen et al. (2013). Cholesterol level decreased (p>0.05) with increasing MOLM inclusion in the diets. This may be due to the hypolipidemic effect of bioactive phyto-constituents such as alkaloids and saponins (Dong et al., 2007). Ghiasi et al. (2000) showed that the
mechanism of cholesterol reduction is due to lowering plasma concentrations of LDL by β-sitosterol, the bioactive phytoconstituent isolated from MOLM.

Serum biochemical parameters, except urea-N, in this study were within the normal range of healthy rabbits (Manning et al., 1994). This explained that nutrients and dietary protein of MOLM were adequate and well utilized by rabbits. So, MOLM can be used up to 15 % as replacement for soybean meal in rabbit's diets without any adverse effect on growth performance of growing rabbits.

**Immune response:**

Results in Table (8) showed that IgG and IgA were not significantly affected by dietary treatments. Meanwhile, IgM was significantly affected by dietary treatments. However, IgM was significantly increased in rabbits fed MOLM diets compared with those fed the control diet. Anti-nuclear Antibody (ANA) was significantly increased by increasing MOLM inclusion in the diet. The ANA of rabbits fed 15% MOLM was higher (p<0.05) than those fed 7.5% MOLM or the control diet. These results are in agreement with those of Badawi et al. (2017) and El-Gindy et al. (2017). This is could be due to the presence of considerable levels of antioxidants (Vit E and C, carotenoids and selenium) in MOLM (Klasing and Leshchinsky, 2000 and Gallois et al., 2005).

**Economic efficiency:**

Total feed cost decreased with increasing MOLM substitute level in the rabbit's diets (Table 9). The low feeding cost of MOLM diets is due to the low price of MOLM which equals 4.2 LE/kg compared to 7.17 LE/kg soybean meals. In the time, total feed intake and total weight gain were nearly similar in the different dietary treatments. These results revealed that net revenue /rabbits (L.E), economic efficiency (E.E) and relative E.E (%) were higher in the 15% MOLM diet compared to control or 7.5% MOLM diets. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abd-Allah (2017).

**Table (8): Immune responses of rabbits as influenced by dietary treatments.**

| Constituent | Treatment | S. E3 |
|-------------|-----------|-------|
|             | T 0       | T 7.5 | T 15 |
| IgG (mg/dl) | 380       | 365.66| 302.33|
| IgA (mg/dl) | 0.10      | 0.067 | 0.100 |
| IgM (mg/dl) | 18.36a    | 24.70b| 23.90b|
| ANA         | 0.127a    | 0.190a| 0.676b|

1Values are least-squares means. 2Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15= Containing 15% MOLM. S. E3 = Largest standard error of the means.

a and b Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P<0.05).

**Table (9): Economic efficiency as affected by Moringa oleifera leaves meal (MOLM) in rabbits’ diet.**

| Item                          | Dietary Treatment* |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
|                               | T 0    | T 7.5  | T 15    |
| Price/kg diet. (L.E)          | 4.42   | 4.20   | 3.98    |
| Total feed intake/rabbit(g)   | 6005   | 6005   | 6010    |
| Total feed cost/rabbit (L.E)  | 26.54  | 25.22  | 23.92   |
| Total weight gain/rabbit(g)   | 1410   | 1303   | 1335    |
| Feed cost/kg gain (L.E)       | 18.82  | 19.35  | 17.92   |
| Price/kg weight gain (L.E)    | 32     | 32     | 32      |
| Total revenue/weight gain(L.E)| 45.12  | 41.70  | 42.72   |
| Net revenue/rabbit (L.E)      | 18.58  | 16.48  | 18.80   |
| Economic efficiency (E.E)     | 70.00  | 65.34  | 78.59   |
| Relative E. E (%)             | 100    | 93.34  | 112.27  |

*Treatments, T0 = Control, containing no MOLM; T7.5 = Containing 7.5% MOLM; T15= Containing 15% MOLM.*
CONCLUSION

_Moringa oleifera_ leaves meal can be used up to 15% in rabbit’s diets as a protein source without any detrimental effects on productive performances, carcass traits and physiological status.
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**Abstract**

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of replacing *Moringa oleifera* leaves meal with soybean meal in the diets of New Zealand white rabbits. Sixty rabbits of both sexes, weighing an average of 858 g, were divided into three groups of 12 rabbits each. The control group was fed a diet containing no *Moringa* leaves meal, while the other two groups were fed diets containing 7.5% and 15% *Moringa* leaves meal as a replacement for 50% and 100% soybean meal, respectively. The experimental diets were formulated to meet the nutritional requirements of growing rabbits. At the end of the 14-week trial, three rabbits from each group were killed to measure the digestibility of the experimental diets, and the rest of the rabbits were used to measure their productive traits and meat quality. The results showed that replacing *Moringa* leaves meal with soybean meal at levels of 7.5% and 15% did not significantly affect the final body weight, daily weight gain, and feed intake. However, the diet containing 7.5% *Moringa* leaves meal had a lower feed conversion ratio compared to the control group. All other nutrients and biochemical parameters were not significantly different between the treatments. The results indicate that *Moringa* leaves meal can be used as a partial replacement for soybean meal in rabbit diets without affecting production and physiological parameters.