Anesthetic Effect of a Combination of Medetomidine-Midazolam-Butorphanol in Cynomolgus Monkeys (Macaca fascicularis)
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ABSTRACT. The anesthetic effect of a combination of medetomidine, midazolam and butorphanol (Me-Mi-Bu) was evaluated in healthy cynomolgus monkeys. The Me-Mi-Bu combination was intramuscularly administered as follows: Dose 1, Me 0.015 mg/kg-Mi 0.1 mg/kg-Bu 0.15 mg/kg; Dose 2, Me 0.02 mg/kg-Mi 0.15 mg/kg-Bu 0.2 mg/kg; and Dose 3, Me 0.04 mg/kg-Mi 0.3 mg/kg-Bu 0.4 mg/kg. The combination rapidly induced immobilization, and lateral recumbency was reached within 15 min. The duration of anesthesia for each dose administered was as follows: Dose 1, 47 ± 27 min; Dose 2, 113 ± 31 min; and Dose 3, 190 ± 24 min. The anesthetic effect of the combination was abolished by the α2-adrenoceptor antagonist atipamezole. No marked changes in the levels of hematologic or serum biochemical parameters were noted in cynomolgus monkeys administered the combination plus atipamezole. Taken together, these results suggest that the Me-Mi-Bu combination exhibits reversible anesthetic effect and may be useful for studies involving cynomolgus monkeys.
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Male cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) are used in research involving transplant surgery and pharmacokinetic studies during the development of new drugs [11]. However, monkeys present special hazards to handlers, such as biting and zoonotic infection, and often must be anesthetized for use in a study. Balanced anesthesia consisting of a combination of medetomidine, midazolam and butorphanol (Me-Mi-Bu) has been successfully used in mice (ICR, BALB/c and C57BL/6J) [10, 12], beagle dogs [8, 18], other species of monkeys (Erythrocebus patas and Lemur catta) [9, 20] and African lions (Panthera leo) [19]. Here, we investigated the use of the Me-Mi-Bu combination in cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) and evaluated the antagonistic effect of atipamezole on anesthesia induced by the Me-Mi-Bu combination. To prepare background data for safe use, we also evaluated the changes in blood parameters of monkeys following drug administration.

All animal experimental procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Astellas Pharma Inc., which has been awarded Accreditation Status by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) International. Every effort was made to minimize the number of animals used and their degree of suffering.

Male cynomolgus monkeys (4.9–6.0 kg, Japan Laboratory Animals, Tokyo, Japan) at the age of 5–6 years were used in this study. The monkeys were housed in stainless steel primate cages (650 × 860 × 1253 mm) with a 12:12 hr light-dark cycle (lights on from 07:00 to 19:00) in a controlled temperature (25 ± 1°C) and humidity (55 ± 5%) environment in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [2]. HEPA filtered 100% fresh air was used with 15 to 20 changes per hr. Monkeys were fed standard laboratory food (approximately 100 g/animal/day, PS-A; Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan), and tap water was available ad libitum before the experiment. An environmental enrichment program ensured that the monkeys were provided with toys, fresh fruit and other treats on a daily basis. Before the experiment, the monkeys were determined to be healthy on the basis of general appearance, activity and tuberculosis skin test. All animals were serologically negative for B virus.

Eight monkeys received seven different treatments at the rate of one treatment per week in a randomized order (Table 1). Medetomidine, midazolam and butorphanol were mixed in the same syringe just before use, and an intramuscular (i.m.) administration was made in the hind limb (the quadriceps muscle) of each animal. Dose 3 of the Me-Mi-Bu combination was determined by references to Kalema-Zikusoka et al. [9] and Williams et al. [20]. The i.m. administration of the Me-Mi-Bu combination was followed by atipamezole into the quadriceps muscles of monkeys after 30 min. The anesthetic effect of the drugs was evaluated based on their posture, which was evaluated according to the following criteria: Score 0, normal; Score 1, sedated but able to stand; Score 2, sternal recumbency; Score 3, lateral recumbency with apparent spontaneous movement (head and/or limb);
Score 4, lateral recumbency with subtle spontaneous movement (twitching and/or blink); Score 5, lateral recumbency without spontaneous movement and unable to get up [7]. Monkeys with Score 5 were regarded as showing a positive anesthetic effect.

The following drugs were used in this study: medetomidine hydrochloride and atipamezole hydrochloride (Nippon Zenyaku Kogyo, Fukushima, Japan), midazolam (Astellas Pharma, Tokyo, Japan) and butorphanol tartrate (Meiji Seika Pharma, Tokyo, Japan).

Blood was collected from the saphenous vein of the hind limb via a disposable syringe before dosing and 2 hr after the i.m. administration of the Me-Mi-Bu combination. Whole blood collected into K$_2$EDTA-coated tubes was analyzed using the ADVIA 120 (Siemens Japan K.K., Tokyo, Japan) for hematologic parameters. For blood chemistry measurements, whole blood was collected in plastic tubes without anticoagulant, allowed to clot and then centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to separate serum. The resulting supernatant (e.g. the serum sample) was assayed using the Automatic Analyzer 7170S (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

The results are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was analyzed using the paired $t$-test for two groups. The difference between groups was considered statistically significant when $P<0.05$.

Figure 1 shows the anesthetic effect of i.m. administered the Me-Mi-Bu combination (Dose 1–3) in cynomolgus monkeys, as assessed by scoring posture. Drug administration was conducted at the following doses: Dose 1, Me 0.015 mg/kg-Mi 0.1 mg/kg-Bu 0.15 mg/kg; Dose 2, Me 0.02 mg/kg-Mi 0.15 mg/kg-Bu 0.2 mg/kg; and Dose 3, Me 0.04 mg/kg-Mi 0.3 mg/kg-Bu 0.4 mg/kg. The Me-Mi-Bu combination rapidly induced immobilization, and lateral recumbency appeared within 15 min. Duration of anesthesia for the Me-Mi-Bu combination for each dose was as follows: Dose 1 (47 ± 27 min), Dose 2 (113 ± 31 min) and Dose 3 (190 ± 24 min). However, 1 of the 3 monkeys that received the Me-Mi-Bu combination (Dose 1) did not exhibit lateral recumbency (posture score:>3) during the test. One of the reasons why a monkey showed insufficient effect using Dose 1 is that level of medetomidine, midazolam and butorphanol in the blood and the central nervous systems (CNS) including cerebrospinal fluid after administration of Dose 1 is lower than minimum effective blood concentration of these drugs.

The anesthetic effect caused by the i.m. administration of the Me-Mi-Bu combination (Dose 2) was reversed by that of atipamezole at a dose of 0.1 or 0.2 mg/kg (Fig. 2). The duration of anesthesia for the Me-Mi-Bu combination (Dose 2-control) was 112 ± 16 min.

No marked changes were noted in the levels of hematologic and serum biochemical parameters in cynomolgus monkeys given the Me-Mi-Bu combination (Dose 2) plus...
atipamezole compared to those before dosing (Tables 2 and 3). These data were within normal ranges.

Here, we examined the anesthetic effect of the Me-Mi-Bu combination in cynomolgus monkeys and observed that it was rapidly induced with lateral recumbency without spontaneous movement. After i.m. administration, the Me-Mi-Bu combination exerted a dose-dependent anesthetic effect that was completely reversed by \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptor antagonist atipamezole.

Medetomidine is highly selective and specific as well as the most potent \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptor agonist, producing deep sedation associated with muscle relaxation and analgesia via stimulation of the \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptor in the CNS [16]. Medetomidine is known to produce cardiovascular changes and decreases in respiratory rate [1]. The action of medetomidine is reversed by atipamezole, a specific antagonist.
of \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors [17]. Midazolam, a benzodiazepine derivative, produces anxiolytic, sedative-hypnotic, muscle relaxant and anticonvulsant effects through the activation of \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric (GABA\(\text{A}\)) receptors when administered orally, intramuscularly or intravenously [15]. Midazolam has been widely used as a sedative and anticonvulsant in patients [21]. In healthy humans, midazolam produces significant reduction in blood pressure [15]. In addition, midazolam produces some respiratory depression [5]. Butorphanol, a synthetic agonist-antagonist of opioid receptors, is provided a longer anesthetic effect and was fully reversible, is considered acceptable for safety use in cynomolgus monkeys.

The Me-Mi-Bu combination induces cardiorespiratory depressant effects, such as bradycardia, hypotension and respiratory depression, in two strains of monkeys [9, 20]. In addition, the authors noted that cynomolgus monkeys developed bradycardia, hypotension and respiratory depression (as determined by auscultation) and loss of thermoregulatory ability by injection of the Me-Mi-Bu combination (data not shown).

Ketamine (Ke) is the most widely used drug for the induction of anesthesia in monkeys. In the clinically effective dose at 10 mg/kg, ketamine has a rapid onset and relatively short duration of anesthetic effect after intramuscular injection [22]. If monkeys are treated with long-term surgery, it is, therefore, difficult to administer ketamine alone at a dose of 10 mg/kg as anesthetic. The combination of medetomidine with ketamine for anesthetic induction has been used in several primate models [13]. However, Young et al. reported that a combination of i.m. Ke 2 mg/kg-Me 0.05 mg/kg has a relatively short duration of anesthetic action in cynomolgus monkeys and should only be used for procedures lasting less than 30 min [22]. The present study found that the Me-Mi-Bu combination had a longer duration of action than Ke alone or the Ke-Me combination, exerting its action via the synergy of three different receptor agonists working together to provide reversible CNS depression.

Anesthetics, such as pentobarbital or halothane, have been reported to cause abnormal changes in blood parameters [3, 4]. In contrast, treatment with the Me-Mi-Bu combination plus atipamezole in cynomolgus monkeys did not significantly affect the hematologic or serum biochemical parameters, suggesting that medication with the Me-Mi-Bu combination had no adverse effects on hematologic and serum biochemical parameters.

In conclusion, the Me-Mi-Bu combination, which provided a longer anesthetic effect and was fully reversible, is acceptable for safety use in cynomolgus monkeys.
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