Novel viruses in salivary glands of mosquitoes from sylvatic Cerrado, Midwestern Brazil
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Abstract

Viruses may represent the most diverse microorganisms on Earth. Novel viruses and variants continue to emerge. Mosquitoes are the most dangerous animals to humankind. This study aimed at identifying viral RNA diversity in salivary glands of mosquitoes captured in a sylvatic area of Cerrado at the Chapada dos Guimarães National Park, Mato Grosso, Brazil. In total, 66 Culicinae mosquitoes belonging to 16 species comprised 9 pools, subjected to viral RNA extraction, double-strand cDNA synthesis, random amplification and high-throughput sequencing, revealing the presence of seven insect-specific viruses, six of which represent new species of Rhabdoviridae (Lobeira virus), Chuviridae (Cumbaru and Croada viruses), Titiviridae (Murici virus) and Partitiviridae (Araticum and Angico viruses). In addition, two mosquito pools presented Kaiowa virus sequences that had already been reported in South Pantanal, Brazil. These findings amplify the understanding of viral diversity in wild-type Culicinae. Insect-specific viruses may present a broader diversity than previously imagined and future studies may address their possible role in mosquito vector competence.

Introduction

Viruses may represent the most abundant and diverse microbes on Earth [1–3]. Previously unrecognized virus species and variants continually emerge, favored by globalization, climate changes, viral RNA plasticity with adaptation to vectors and hosts, ecotourism, uncontrolled urbanization and proximity among urban centers and sylvatic areas, posing a significant global health concern, especially in developing tropical regions [4–6]. The research of new species is challenging for traditional and current detection methods due to viral profusion [7]. High-throughput sequencing (HTS) lead to the identification of previous uncharacterized viruses, virulence factors and more accurate and complete viral genomic data. Thus, enlightening viral ecology, diversity and evolution [3,8].

The interest on new human, animal and plant viruses naturally drew research efforts to metagenomic studies involving invertebrates. At least 220 viruses are recognized human
pathogens [9], 150 of which are transmitted by arthropods [10], classified as arthropod-borne viruses or arboviruses [11]. Mosquitoes are the most important vectors of arboviral diseases to humans [12], and are considered one of the deadliest animals by the World Health Organization [13]. Arboviruses are originally maintained in nature by enzootic cycles of transmission [5]. A high density of competent vectors and susceptible amplifier hosts, mainly birds, primates and small mammals is a fundamental condition for maintenance of arboviruses [5,14].

For a mosquito to become competent for arbovirus transmission, a complex of multifactorial physical barriers and evolutive selections must be overcome by the virus, until a persistent infection is established in their salivary glands, secreting large amounts of viral particles in their saliva [15].

Metagenomic studies involving insects surprisingly revealed a higher genetic biodiversity than observed in viruses affecting vertebrates [8,16,17], suggesting that most viral infections in arthropods are asymptomatic or latent [7].

Insect-specific viruses (ISV) only replicate in invertebrate cell lines and can interfere with the replication of some arboviruses in mosquito cells, probably altering vector competence [18–20]. Most ISV are classified in the same taxons and genera of arboviruses, such as the Flaviviridae, Rhabdoviridae, Togaviridae, Bunyaviridae and Reoviridae families, as well as the Mesoniviridae, Tymoviridae, Birnaviridae, Totiviridae, Partitiviridae, Chuviridae families and in the negevirus taxon [21].

This study aimed to investigate the diversity of viral RNA genomes in salivary glands of mosquitoes captured in a protected Cerrado area comprising the Chapada dos Guimarães National Park (CGNP), State of Mato Grosso (MT). Cerrado, a tropical savannah that originally covered 22% of the Brazilian territory, is considered the second greatest phytogeographic domain in South America and one of the 34 hotspots of global biodiversity [22–24].

Materials and methods

Study area

CGNP is a protected sylvatic area of Cerrado with 326,30 km² and intense eco-touristic activity, located in the South-Central region of MT, Midwestern Brazil, in close proximity to urban centers (35 km from Cuiabá, capital of the State) (Fig 1A). This region presents altitudes ranging between 200 and 900 m and tropical climate with a mean temperature of 25˚C, 1,900 mm annual rainfall and two well-defined seasons: a rainy summer (October-March) and a dry winter (April-September) [25].

Mosquito sampling

Collections were carried out in five plots of the Rio Claro RAPELD (Rapid Assessment surveys for Long-Term Ecological Research) module [26] present in the CGNP. The module covers an area of 5 km² subdivided into 12 equidistant plots, each with 250 m of topographical isocline that works as a sampling trail. The choice was based on proximity to water collections, riparian vegetation, bird landing spots and easier access to vehicle (Fig 1B).

Adult Culicinae mosquitoes were captured for two consecutive days with Nasci aspirators (1 pm to 8 pm) and CDC light traps (6 pm to 6 am) in December 2014 and April and September 2015, characterizing rainy, transition and dry periods, respectively. Nasci aspirator catches were carried out for 30 min in each plot sampling trail, and CDC light traps were installed every 50 m a height of 1.5 m above ground level. Collections were performed in accordance with Brazilian laws, approved by SISBIO/Ministry of the Environment, license number 43909–1.
Fig 1. Mosquito collection points location in different climatic periods between 2014–2015 at Chapada dos Guimarães National Park (CGNP). (a) CGNP location in State of Mato Grosso, Central-Western Brazil, containing three Rapid Assessment Surveys for Long-Term Ecological Research modules (RAPELD) (green and brown rectangles). (b) Rio Claro RAPELD module schematic representation, indicating the sampled plots and their trails in red (A3, A4, B0, B2 and B4 with their respective geographical coordinates). Blue dots represent the collection points within each trail in the enlarged view.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.g001

Table 1. Pools of Culicinae specimens captured in the Rio Claro RAPELD module at Chapada dos Guimarães National Park, Mato Grosso, Brazil.

| Pool | Species [n specimens] | Period* | Plots | RNA [nt] | DNA product | Total reads (nt) |
|------|------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| M01  | *Psorophora albigena* [2] | Rainy | A3 | 10 | 7.649 | 20,091,498 |
|      | *Psorophora ciliata* [1]  |        | A3 |       |          |                 |
|      | *Psorophora cingulata* [3] |        | A3 |       |          |                 |
|      | *Psorophora ferox* [5]  |        | A3 |       |          |                 |
|      | *Psorophora lanei* [1]  |        | B2 |       |          |                 |
|      | *Psorophora lineata* [1] |        | B2 |       |          |                 |
|      | *Psorophora longipalpus/albipes* [1] |        | B2 |       |          |                 |
| M02  | *Haemagogus janthinomys* [4] | Rainy | A3, B2 | 6.3 | 36.217 | 3,978,638 |
| M03  | *Stegomyia albopicta* [1] | Rainy | A3 | 6.2 | 8.800 | 11,717,278 |
|      | *Ochlerotatus* sp. [7] |        | A3, B2, B3 |       |          |                 |
| M04  | *Ochlerotatus serratus* [1] | Transitional | A3 | 4.8 | 4.356 | 12,032,638 |
|      | *Ochlerotatus crinifer* [1] |        | A3 |       |          |                 |
| M05  | *Mansonia wilsoni* [3] | Transitional | A3, B3 | 5.6 | 25.607 | 16,471,976 |
| M06  | *Culex* sp. [12] | Transitional | A3 | 9.2 | 37.209 | 5,683,104 |
| M07  | *Psorophora dimidiata* [2] | Transitional | A4, | 9 | 38.244 | 7,839,992 |
|      | *Psorophora pseudomelanota* [1] |        | B0, A3 |       |          |                 |
| M08  | *Stegomyia albopicta* [3] | Transitional | B0, B3 | 11.5 | 46.529 | 8,932,600 |
| M09  | *Wyeomyia* sp. [17] | Dry | A3, B0 | 8.3 | 127.090 | 11,941,868 |

*Climatic period. Rainy: December, 2014; Transitional: April, 2015; Dry: September, 2015. n: number RNA and DNA concentration is presented in ng/μL.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.t001
Specimens were maintained with artificial feeding (sugar solution 20%) under controlled temperature and humidity for 3–4 days until the identification with taxonomic keys in a dormant state [27]. Females were pooled into 1–20 individuals by genus and collection season, followed by salivary glands dissection [28] in phosphate buffer and stored at -80˚C (Table 1).

Viral RNA extraction, reverse transcription and dscDNA synthesis
Viral RNA was extracted from 200 μL of minced salivary glands using High Pure Viral RNA Kit (Roche, USA), without carrier RNA. RNA was quantified (quantifluor RNA system, Promega) and reverse transcribed in random reactions with 20 μL final volume using 20–957 ng of RNA, 5 μM of K-random-S primer [29], 0.25 mM dNTP mix, buffer, 5 mM of MgCl₂, 16 U of RNase out (Invitrogen, USA) and 100 U of Go Script Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, USA) at 25˚C for 5 min and 42˚C for 60 min. The second strand of cDNA (dscDNA) was synthesized using 20 μL of cDNA, 2 μM of the same random primer, buffer, 0.2 mM of dNTP mix and 5 U of DNA Pol I Large Klenow Fragment (Promega, USA) in 25 μL final volume, incubated at 25˚C for 20 min and 75˚C for 20 min.

Viral random PCR
Samples were amplified in quintuplicate using 5 μL of dscDNA, 2 μM of K-S primer [29], 2.5 U of GoTaq Hot Start Polymerase (Promega, USA), Buffer, 2mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of dNTP mix and ultrapure water in 50 μL final volume and amplified as described by Kluge et al. [30]. Final product was purified with polyethylene glycol 8000 20%, eluted in 50 μL of ultrapure RNAse free water and quantified using the quantifluor one dsDNA system (Promega).

High-throughput sequencing and analysis
cDNA libraries were constructed using Illumina TruSeq RNA v2 Kit. Samples were sequenced using 2 x 100 paired-end reads in two lanes with 60 GB on a HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, USA) at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea).

Sequence read data were quality checked using FastQC (v0.11.5) and trimmed to remove terminal low-quality, Illumina adapters and random primer adaptor using Trimmomatic (v0.36), filtering out reads shorter than 60 bases (parameters: ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:20:10, LEADING: 3, TRAILING: 3, SLIDINGWINDOW: 4:30, MINLEN: 60). These reads were assembled using the CLC Genome Workbench (v6.5.2) and Velvet (v2.1.10) with various kmer size parameters (25, 40, 60 and 90). Resulting contiguous sequences (contigs) were used to search against the viral RefSeq database by BLASTx tool and those with viral hits were searched against the non-redundant sequence database (nr) using BLASTx to confirm the viral identity. Only those hits with e-values of less than 1e-3 were used.

To further extend the viral contigs, the reads were mapped back to the viral contig and the resulting contig was used as seeds for another attempted assembly until genome completion or no further extension. Contig mapping and genome annotation were performed using Genious (v9.1.7). The on-line open access software TMHMM (v2.0) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/) was used to predict the transmembrane domains. All the sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank (NCBI; Table 2).

Inoculation in cell culture and RT-PCR for a rhabdovirus
The salivary glands supernatant of the pool positive for Lobeira virus was inoculated into C6/36 cells (1:10 dilution) cultivated in L-15 medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and incubated at 28˚C with 5% CO₂, monitored for 7 days for cytopathic effect identification.
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The supernatant was stored at -80˚C and an aliquot subjected to RNA extraction, reverse transcription with primers designed using Geneious for a region between N and P genes (NPLOBF-AGTGGGAGTGGTTC AGACTG; NPLOBR-AAGTGTCTT CTAGATCCCGGT at 1 μM; Table 2. Viral sequence s obtained from the salivary glands of Culicinae mosquitoes captured in the Rio Claro module, Chapada dos Guimarães National Park, Mato Grosso, Brazil.

| Pool | GenBank | Virus | Best hit | Length (nt) | aa | Query cover | E-value | Classification | Hits Genome |
|------|---------|-------|----------|------------|----|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| M03  | MF344589| Kaiowa virus | putative glycoprotein | 705 | 100 | 68 | 3e-94 | Chuviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M05  | MF344587| Murici virus | RdRp | 903 | 41 | 99 | 2e-69 | Totiviridae | dsRNA |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M05  | MF344596| Cumbaru virus | putative glycoprotein | 472 | 69 | 93 | 1e-77 | Chuviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M05  | MF344586| Araticum virus | RdRp | 1348 | 56 | 56 | 3e-168 | Partitiviridae | dsRNA |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M06  | MF344585| Angico virus | RdRp | 1143 | 57 | 57 | 4e-155 | Partitiviridae | dsRNA |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M07  | MF344588| Croada virus | putative glycoprotein | 558 | 72 | 76 | 8e-72 | Chuviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344590| Kaiowa virus BR/MT-M08 | putative glycoprotein | 1353 | 99 | 67 | 0.0 | Chuviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344591| Lobeira virus (nucleoprotein) | Nucleoprotein | 1219 | 49 | 88 | 7e-116 | Rhabdoviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344592| Lobeira virus | Phosphoprotein | 515 | 42% | 40% | 3e-32 | Rhabdoviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344593| Lobeira virus | Matrix protein | 545 | 42% | 40% | 3e-32 | Rhabdoviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344594| Lobeira virus | Glycoprotein | 1620 | 29% | 46% | 2e-14 | Rhabdoviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |
| M08  | MF344595| Lobeira virus | Large protein | 8875 | 58% | 93% | 0.0 | Rhabdoviridae | ssRNA- |
|      |         |       |          |            |    |             |         |                |             |

* The presented results correspond to the concatenated genes (phosphoprotein plus matrix protein).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.t002
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500 bp), a region of G gene (GLOBF- GTGAACGTATAGTGAAATCCG; GLOBR- GCACCC CATCCCTCAAATGA at 1 μM; 250 bp) and a region of L gene (LLOBF- AGCAGGTGGATTA GAGGGGC; LLOBR- ATATCCGCTGCCTGA AGAGTC at 1 μM; 600 bp).

PCR reactions included cDNA (7 μL), buffer, MgCl₂ (2 μM), dNTP mix (0.2 μM), ultrapure water and 2.5 U of HotStart DNA polymerase (Promega, USA) and the same forward and reverse primers used in reverse transcription. These reactions were amplified at 94˚C for 2 min, 30 cycles of 94˚C for 1 min, 57˚C for 1 min and 72˚C for 1 min, and a final extension of 72˚C for 5 min. DNA products were identified in 1.5% agarose gels after electrophoresis.

**Phylogeny**

Potential viral proteins identified in this study were used to query NCBI nr protein database using the BLASTp tool to determine the closest relative sequences, its taxonomic classification and similarity. Then, these sequences were aligned with their corresponding homologs and related taxonomic reference sequences using MAFFT software (v7.221). The best evolutionary model was determined by the ProTest server (2.4) (http://darwin.uvigo.es/software/prottest2_server.html) [31] for each alignment. The evolutionary history was inferred by maximum likelihood method (ML) based on the Le_Gascuel_2008 model. A discrete gamma distribution was used to model the evolutionary rate differences among the sites (four categories). Evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGA7. Phylogenies were edited with FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) [32].

**Results**

**Sequencing analysis**

Illumina sequencing yielded 98,689,592 reads from nine pools comprising 66 adult mosquitoes, reduced to 32,926,122 reads with a median length of 101 nt after trimming. These data generated 129,321 contigs varying from 117 to 2628 nt. Viral RefSeq BLASTx revealed 1050 viral hits (0.81%). BLASTx nr selected 47 contigs (4.47%) as potentially belonging to viruses, classifying the remainder as probable sequences of insects (524, 49.90%), bacteria (242, 23.04%), fungi (111, 10.57%), vertebrates (31, 2.95%) and others taxaons (95, 9.04%).

After de novo assembly, 11 virus-like sequences were obtained from five mosquito salivary gland pools, indicating the presence of seven different viruses between each other and previously known viruses. Of these contigs, nine translated sequences showed ≤ 75% amino acid (aa) identity to unclassified viruses related to Rhabdoviridae, Totiviridae, Partitiviridae and the recently classified Chuviridae family. These sequences represent six new viruses different between each other, which were named using popular names of typical trees found in Cerrado biome. In addition, two sequences yielded 99.5% similarity among themselves and ≥ 99% identity with sequences of the putative glycoprotein of Kaiowa virus (KAIV), originally described in Culex spp. [33], indicating the detection of a strain of this virus in the salivary glands of a different species, Stegomiya albopicta (Table 2).

**Rhabdoviridae**

In one pool containing three specimens of Stegomyia albopicta (M08) four viral sequences were identified during the BLASTp search. These belong to the same virus and are most closely related to North Creek rhabdovirus (NOCRV) and Riverside virus 1 (RISV), which were discovered infecting Culex sitiens [34] and Ochlerotatus mosquitoes [35]. The closest match for these contigs were the genes of the nucleocapsid protein (N) of NOCRV, the matrix protein (M)t and two different regions of the large protein (L) gene of RISV. The two regions of L
protein were concatenated based on alignment with the L protein sequences of RISV, NOCRV and Tongilchon virus 1. These partial genomic sequences belong to the same novel virus, which we named Lobeira virus (LOBV) (Table 2). According to our phylogenetic tree based on L protein, this virus clustered with high node values with RISV, NOCRV and Tongilchon virus 1 forming clade I of the recently proposed Dielmovirus genus, dimarhabdovirus supergroup (dipteran-mammal-associated rhabdovirus) [36]. Together with clade II, clade I behaves as a basally rooted lineage of dimarhabdovirus supergroup (Fig 2). Lobeira virus was isolated in c6/36 cells, revealing rounded and dead cells in the supernatant. Isolation was confirmed by three RT-PCR protocols for different genomic regions of Lobeira virus.

Chuviridae

Four chuvirus partial glycoprotein sequences were detected in different pools (Table 2). Two of these, found in a St. albopicta-only and St. albopicta and Ochlerotatus pools, correspond to the putative glycoprotein of KAIV, presenting 99 and 100% similarity with the original KAIV sequence [33]. The KAIV sequence found in the M08 pool (BR/MT-M08 KAIV) codes for a 399-aa polypeptide, representing an increase of 129 aas in the original KAIV glycoprotein ends, which is differentiated by only one base pair (bp), culminating in the exchange of a leucine for a proline. According to the BLASTp matches, the BR/MT-M08 KAIV is mostly related to Guato virus (GUTV) and to Chuviridae viruses, such as Chuvirus Mos8Chu, Imjin River virus 1 and Wuhan mosquito virus 8, but with reduced aa identity (≥30%). Both KAIV sequences encode the end of a putative glycoprotein ORF, with a poly A tail at the 3’UTR and the beginning of a second ORF (Fig 3A).

Two other chuvirus sequences were found in the salivary glands of Mansonia wilsoni (M05) and Psorophora (M07) mosquitoes, coding for 157 and 186 aas. These contigs showed the highest aa identity (69 and 72%, respectively) with the KAIV glycoprotein sequence by BLASTp search (Table 2). Therefore, owing to the relatively low aa identity found, these sequences belong to two new viruses different from each other, named Cumbaru virus (CUMV) and Croada virus (CROV). CUMV sequence presents a transmembrane domain between 92 and 114 aa position, indicating that this is probably a viral envelope glycoprotein.

The ML phylogenetic tree for KAIVs, CUMV, and CROV included the representative Chuviridae viruses and the most closely related chuvirus species. CUMV, CROV, KAIV and GUTV clustered into a distinct lineage to Chuvirus Mos8Chu0, inserted in a major group with other viruses originally described in insects, dismembered from tick viruses (Fig 3).

Totiviridae

A sequence with 903 nt encoding part of the putative RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene was found in the Ma. wilsoni pool (M05). This sequence showed the highest aa identity (≤41%) with the Anopheles totivirus (AToV), identified in Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes in Liberia (Table 2) [37]. This low identity suggests that this is also a novel virus species, designated as Murici virus (MURV).

The ML phylogeny based on the RdRp with representative members of the Totiviridae family related to MURV grouped this virus with AToV in a separated clade with high node value, clustered within a major group that include unclassified arthropod viruses. The five Totiviridae genera are originally arranged in three initial groups, where the unclassified virus set is closer to the Giardia lamblia virus isolate Wang, the only member of Giardiavirus genus (Fig 4).
Partitiviridae

Two putative RdRp partiti-like sequences encoding 456 and 381 aas were detected in the pools of salivary glands of *Ma. wilsoni* (M05) and *Culex* sp. (M06), related to the Hubei partiti-like virus 42 and the Hubei partit-like virus 48 with 56 and 57% identity, respectively. These divergent sequences of a highly conserved genomic region indicate the presence of two new virus species different between each other, named as Araticum virus (ARAV) and Angico virus (AGIV) (Table 2).

The AGIV and ARAV ML tree was based on all approved members of the *Partitiviridae* family RdRp sequences. A large group of recently discovered viruses includes AGIV and ARAV and stands distinctly although with a common ancestor to four other *Partitiviridae* genera. This entire group behaves as a distinct lineage of *Cryptosporidium parvum* virus 1, the unique member of the *Cryptoivirus* genus, comprised by several arthropod viruses described in a study carried out in China (Fig 5) [8].

Discussion

Metagenomic studies contribute to the discovery of a great number of new viral species worldwide [3,8,38]. In this study, the sequencing of viral RNA obtained from the salivary glands of 66 Culicinae females collected in Chapada dos Guimarães National Park demonstrated the presence of previously undescribed ISV. These viruses belong to the *Chuviridae, Rhabdoviridae, Partitiviridae*...
Totiviridae families, all comprising RNA viruses, clustered with other arthropod viruses recently described within these families.

Rhabdoviruses are ssRNA- viruses pathogenic to humans, animals and plants, including also a large number of unassigned viruses associated with a wide array of insects and other arthropod species with global distribution [16,39,40].

The LOBV genome detected in this work contains the general layout found in rhabdoviruses, flanked by five structural protein genes in the order 3’-N-P-M-G-L-5’, clustered together in a monophyletic group with three rhabdoviruses, RISV, Tongilchon virus 1 and NOCRV. This group composes clade I of the recently proposed Dielmovirus [41], a new genus from

![Diagram](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.g003)

**Fig 3. Croada, Cumbaru and Kaiowa viruses partial genomic maps and phylogeny. (a) Schematic representation of structure-based alignment of Kaiowa virus BR/MT-M03 and BR/MT-M08, Croada virus, Cumbaru virus and Chuvirus Mos8Chu0. (b) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree for the glycoprotein of Kaiowa, Croada and Cumbaru viruses (marked in blue) with members of Chuviridae family. Viruses originally found in mosquitoes and ticks are marked in red and green, respectively. Bar indicates amino acid substitutions per site.**

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.g003

and Totiviridae families, all comprising RNA viruses, clustered with other arthropod viruses recently described within these families.

Rhabdoviruses are ssRNA- viruses pathogenic to humans, animals and plants, including also a large number of unassigned viruses associated with a wide array of insects and other arthropod species with global distribution [16,39,40].

The LOBV genome detected in this work contains the general layout found in rhabdoviruses, flanked by five structural protein genes in the order 3’-N-P-M-G-L-5’, clustered together in a monophyletic group with three rhabdoviruses, RISV, Tongilchon virus 1 and NOCRV. This group composes clade I of the recently proposed Dielmovirus [41], a new genus from
**Rhabdoviridae**, which was also formed for another set of viruses, clade II, and behave as a basally rooted lineage for the dimarhabdovirus supergroup (Fig 2). At the present, the dielmodviruses described were identified in mosquitoes from Australia (NOCRV and Beaumont virus) [34], Hungary (RISV) [35], Japan (Culex tritaeniorhynchus rhabdovirus) [42], Mexico (Merida virus) [43] and South Korea (Tongilchon virus 1) [44].

KAIV was recently discovered in *Culex* mosquitoes from the South-Pantanal region of Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil, closely related to Guato virus (GUTV) with 71% aa identity [33]. Our data suggest that these viruses, as well as CUMV and CROV, are Brazilian members of the Chuviridae family. This family was proposed for a large monophyletic group of newly discovered RNA viruses presenting distinct genome organization, including unsegmented, bi-segmented and a circular form of ssRNA-, that behaves phylogenetically as an older divergent group of rhabdoviruses [16].

GUTV and the original KAIV sequences only encode an incomplete putative glycoprotein, as well as all chuvirus-like sequences found in four different pools of this study. Finding the complementation of these genomes can be difficult, since glycoprotein may be so diverse that the available search tools are unable to map their contigs with known viral proteins, making the discovery of very distinct viruses a challenge. Additionally, KAIV was found in the salivary glands of *St. albopicta*, different from the original description in *Culex* spp., indicating that this virus infects different species of Culicinae.

Fig 4. Murici virus genomic map and phylogeny. (a) RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) protein of Murici virus and Anopheles tolovirus. (b) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree for RdRp sequence of Murici virus (in blue) with respective most related members of Totiviridae family. Viruses originally found in arthropods and other insects are marked in yellow and purple, respectively. Bar indicates amino acid substitutions per site.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.g004
Some ISV belonging to the Bunyaviridae, Flaviviridae and Rhabdoviridae families are ancient RNA viruses [21] with highly divergent lineages, indicating that their evolution accompanied the evolution of their respective hosts [45,46]. Integration of these viruses into mosquitoes genomes [47–49] and their adaptation to vertebrates and plants is widely proposed as the probable origin of pathogenic viruses for these hosts [16,50].

The totivirus Murci virus (MURV) detected in this study infecting Ma. wilsoni mosquitoes is closely related to Anopheles totivirus, found in Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes in Liberia [37], being tentatively classified within arthropods viruses of Artiviridae members. Viruses originally found in arthropods are marked in yellow. Bar indicates amino acid substitutions per site.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187429.g005
found lately and the *Artivirus* genus (arthropod totiviruses) was proposed to classify them within the family [37,52,53].

The *Partitiviridae* family was recently reorganized and beyond the *Cryspovirus* genus (protozoa viruses), four new genera were included: *Alphapartitivirus* and *Betapartitivirus* (fungi and plant viruses), *Gammapartitivirus* (fungi viruses) and *Deltapartitivirus* (plant viruses) [54]. The ML tree for ARAV and AGIV supports the need to create a new group for the current unclassified viruses of this family, more closely related to the genus *Cryspovirus*. *Partitiviridae* members present bi-segmented dsRNA genomes, typically associated with latent infections in a wide range of fungi, plants and protozoa [54]. Although unlikely, the totivirus (MURV) and partitiviruses (ARAV and AGIV) found in this study may represent new species of viruses from microorganisms and parasites, rather than ISV.

Some investigations with dual infection in mosquito cells or live mosquitoes demonstrated that ISV isolated from Culicinae mosquitoes such as Palm Creek virus, Nhumirim, Culex flavivirus and Bagaza can reduce the replication of certain arboviruses when previously inoculated, such as the West Nile, Murray Valley encephalitis, Japanese encephalitis and Saint Louis encephalitis viruses [55–60]. Despite the possibility of using this ability as a control of important public health arboviruses present in vector populations, little is known about the real influence of ISV on mosquito competence and, therefore, on the transmission of arboviruses to humans [15,21,58].

Finally, it is possible to verify that our findings correlate to newly described and very diverse viruses, reinforcing a stair climbing profile for viral diversity studies, where the current new viruses act as a necessary step in the discovery of future new viruses. Thus, our data contributes directly to better understanding viral salivary gland diversity in wild-type Culicinae mosquitoes, allowing the most precise and complete description of these viral families, as well as new alternatives for further studies on the viral symbiotic interference in mosquito vector competence for viruses with medical importance to humans, animals, or plants.
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