Case Report

A rare incidence of averting ‘maternal near-miss’ in a case of spontaneous uterine rupture in shock
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INTRODUCTION

Uterine rupture in pregnancy is a rare event but can frequently result in life threatening maternal and fetal compromise; even maternal and perinatal mortality ranges between 1-13% and 74-92% respectively.¹

It can happen either in unscarred or previously scarred uterus. Previous uterine scar is a well-known risk factor for uterine rupture, but the normal unscarred uterus is least susceptible to rupture with overall incidence being 0.0033%.² However, its incidence is still higher in developing countries.³

Major risk factors for rupture of unscarred uterus are multiparity, congenitally anomalous uterus, obstructed labour, morbidly adhered placenta, injudicious use of uterotonics and rarely intrauterine manipulations.¹ Clinical presentation of spontaneous uterine rupture is highly variable with nonspecific maternal complaints and fetal status, requiring high index of suspicion.¹ Maternal tachycardia, fetal distress and bleeding per vaginum are most common presentation.³

Perinatal and maternal outcomes are depending on risk factor assessment, early recognition of clinical signs and symptoms and also prompt surgical intervention.⁶ The case reported was a promptly managed spontaneous uterine rupture in latent labour with favourable maternal and perinatal outcome.

CASE REPORT

A 27 years old booked antenatal case with no living issue and history of single spontaneous abortion at early first trimester without any medical or surgical intervention in previous pregnancy; with no co-morbidity admitted in latent labour at 39 weeks gestation.

Her blood group was ‘A- positive’ and her all relevant antenatal investigations were essentially normal. On admission, she was in latent labour with all admission tests...
within normal limits and she was kept on feto-maternal monitoring as per departmental policy.

Suddenly she had developed tachycardia, marginal hypotension associated with continuous fetal variable deceleration. She also had abdominal pain without any signs of abruptio placentae or any abdominal swelling. Immediate decision for performing Lower segment cesarean section delivery (LSCS) taken with due counselling and written consent in view of fetal distress and ensuing shock- possibly with spontaneous uterine rupture. LSCS revealed massive hemoperitoneum; patient was in class-II hypovolemic shock. Urgent delivery was done through Kerr’s incision on lower uterine segment (LUS), delivering an alive healthy female child with normal APGAR score. Source of haemorrhage was found to be from fundal uterine rupture site of 8 cm in length (Figure 1).

Closure of LUS scar along with repair of fundal uterine rupture site was performed satisfactorily (Figure 2). Simultaneously, patient was resuscitated with intravenous fluids and noradrenaline infusion to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) > 65 mm of Hg as there was sudden severe hypotension with tachycardia during intra-operative period. 2 units of whole blood was transfused during intra-operative and post-operative periods. Post-operative recovery was uneventful.

DISCUSSION

Uterine rupture in pregnancy is rare but can lead to catastrophic obstetric event with life-threatening maternal and fetal complications. Collective data from meta-analysis of published literature indicated overall incidence of 0.07% and that of spontaneous uterine rupture in unscarred uterus in developed countries was 0.012%; whereas there was increased incidence in developing countries (0.11%) mainly attributable to higher incidence of neglected obstructed labour.

The anatomically normal unscarred uterus is least susceptible for rupture, though some predisposing factors are found like- advanced maternal age, multiparity, neglected labour with or without injudicious use of uterotonics, fetal malpresentation, fetal macrosomia, breech extraction and uterine instrumentation. In the case reported no such predisposing factor was present.

Clinical presentations are varied and mainly depends on the timing of its presentation and the site and extent of rupture. Typically rupture in unscarred uterus is more dangerous than in scarred rupture due to high vascularity. Classical signs and symptoms are loss of uterine contractility, abdominal pain, fetal distress, haemorrhage and shock; prolonged fetal heart rate decelerations are often first and only signs as per Leung et al. The case presented also had similar presentations.

Early definitive intervention can avoid major fetal and maternal morbidity and mortality; studies also have indicated delivery within 10-37 min of rupture is necessary to prevent serious fetal complications like fetal hypoxia, acidosis, neonatal intensive care admissions and even death. Maternal complications includes excessive blood loss leading to hypovolemic shock, maternal bladder injury, needs for cesarean hysterectomies and even maternal death.

After delivery of fetus, definitive surgical treatment for mother is done depending on type and extent of rupture, general condition and degree of haemorrhage and also mother’s desire for future child-bearing. Hysterectomy should be considered treatment of choice when repair of rupture site cannot be contemplated. Uterine rupture site was successfully repaired in the case reported.

CONCLUSION

Spontaneous unscarred uterine rupture in pregnancy is rare event but often life-threatening to both fetus and mother even with varied clinical presentations. Perinatal outcomes can be optimized by awareness, early recognition and prompt intervention to avoid deadly feto-maternal morbidity and mortality.
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