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**Abstract**

The methanol pulp extract of *Ziziphus mauritiana* was investigated for the presence of bioactive substances and antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Bacillus subtilis* using the agar diffusion method. The result of phytochemical test indicated that tannins, flavonoids, saponin, cyanogenic glycosides, and terpenoids were present in pulp. The result of antibacterial studies indicates that the crude extract as well as its fractions possesses significant activity against the tested organisms. The extract and its fraction also possess good inhibitory activity; hence can be used as antibacterial agent.
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**Materials and Method**

**Test organisms**

The test microorganisms which include *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Salmonella typhi* were obtained from the department of Microbiology, Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital Shika Zaria, Kaduna State and were maintained in nutrient agar slant at 40°C before used as described earlier [12].

**Collection of plant material**

Pulp of *Ziziphus mauritiana* were collected from Hyera village of Hawul local Government Borno State, Nigeria, identified and authenticated at the herbarium of department of biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University with the voucher number 295.

**Preparation of plant extracts**

The dry pulp of *Z. mauritiana* was pulverized using pestle and mortar and sieved. About 200 g of the coarse powdered pulp was extracted with
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Preliminary phytochemical screening and quantitative test

Preliminary phytochemical screening and quantitative test for the presence of phenols, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, anthraquinones, steroid and saponins was carried out using standard test protocols [10]. These phytochemicals were identified by characteristics colour change using standard procedures [14].

**Test for alkaloids:** To the 0.2 g of the extract, 2 ml of picric acid was added. Orange colouration indicates the presence of alkaloids.

**Test for saponins:** The plant extract (0.5 g) was shaken with water in a test tube and was heated to boil. Frothing was observed which was taken as a preliminary evidence for the presence of saponin.

**Test for tannins:** Extract (0.5 g) was added to 10 ml of water in a test tube and filtered. A few drops of 0.1% ferric chloride were added and observed for brownish green or blue-black coloration.

**Test for steroids:** Acetic anhydride (2 ml) was added to 0.5 g of methanol extract of each sample with 2 ml sulphuric acid. A colour change from violet to blue or green in some samples was an indication of the presence of steroids.

**Test for flavonoids:** Ethylacetate (10 ml) was added to small portion of the powdered plant material and it was held over steam bath for 3 minutes. The mixture was filtered and 1 ml of dilute ammonia solution was added to 4 ml of the filtrate and then shaken. A yellow colouration indicates the presence of flavonoids [15].

**Test for anthraquinones:** Extract (0.5 g) was taken in a dry test tube and 5 ml of chloroform was added and shaken for 5 min. The extract was filtered and the filtrate shaken with equal volume of 10% of ammonia solution. A pink violet or red colour in the ammonical layer indicates the presence of anthraquinones.

**Test for Phenols:** The powdered (0.5 g) plant material was boiled with 10 ml of sulphuric acid and filtered while hot, 5 ml of chloroform was added and shaken. The chloroform layer was then pipetted into another test tube and 1 ml of dilute ammonia was added to it. The resulting solution was observed for colour changes to green-blue or violent.

**Test for cyanogenic glycosides:** To 0.5 g of the extract 10 ml of 50% H$_2$SO$_4$ was added and the mixture heated in boiling water for about 15 min. Fehling's solution (10 ml) was then added and the mixture boiled. A brick-red precipitate was confirmatory for the presence of glycosides.

**Test for terpenoids:** A little portion of the sample was dissolve. To it 1 ml of acetic acid anhydride was added followed by addition of conc. H$_2$SO$_4$.A change in colour from pink to violent showed the presence of terpenoids.

**Flavonoids:** Ten (10) gram of powdered sample was extracted repeatedly with 100 ml of 80% aqueous methanol at room temperature. The whole solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper. The filtrate was transferred into a crucible and evaporated to dryness over a water bath and weighed.

**Tannins:** The powdered sample (0.1 g) was placed into 100 ml conical flask and 50 ml of distilled water was added. The flask was gently heated to boiling for 1 hour, filtered hot and filtrate collected in 50 cm$^3$ volumetric flask. The residue was washed several times and the combined solution made to the volume with distilled water. To 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 ml of the standard tannic acid and 10 cm$^3$ of the sample solution in a 50 cm$^3$ volumetric flask, 2.5 cm$^3$ Folin-Denis reagent and 10 cm$^3$ of Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution was added and made up to volume with distilled water. The flasks were allowed to stand for 20 minutes after which optical density was measured at 750 nm. The calibration curve was plotted from which the concentration of tannic acid (13X) in the sample was extrapolated and tannins content in the sample was calculated [16].

**Saponins:** Five (5) gram of the powdered sample was placed in a 100 cm$^3$ flask. 60 cm$^3$ of 50% aqueous methanol was added to the content and boiled under reflux for 30 minutes. The resulting solution was filtered while hot using filter paper into a 100 cm$^3$ flask. Two (2 g) gram charcoal were subsequently added to the filtrate, further boiled and filtered while hot. The extract was allowed to cool and equal volume of acetone was added (to complete precipitation of saponins). The precipitate was separated by decantation and then dissolved in 95% ethanol by boiling, filtered while hot and allowed to cool to room temperature. The filter paper containing the residue was dried in a dessicator and weighed.

\[
\% \text{ of Saponins} = \frac{\text{Weight of saponin residue}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100
\]

or

\[
\% \text{ Saponin} = \frac{W_2}{W_1} = \frac{W_2}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Where \( W_1 \) = Weight of filter paper

\( W_2 = \text{Weight of filter paper + Extract} \)

\( W_3 = \text{Initial weight of sample} \)

**Glycosides:** One (1) gram of the extract was extracted with 10 ml of 70% alcohol and the mixture was filtered. 8 ml of the filtrate was transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask and the volume was completed to the mark with distilled water. 8 ml of the mixture was added to 8 ml of 12.5% lead acetate (to precipitate resins, tannin and pigments). The mixture was shaken very well and was completed to the volume with distilled water and filtered. 50 ml of the filtrate was pipette into another 100 ml volumetric flask and 8 ml of 4.7% disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na$_2$HPO$_4$) solution (to precipitate excess lead) was added, the mixture was made up to the volume with distilled water and mixed. The mixture was filtered twice through a filter paper. Baljel's reagent (10 ml) was added to 10 ml of the purified filtrate. A blank sample of 10 ml of distilled water was added to Baljel's reagent. The two solutions were allowed to stand for one hour (time necessary for colour development). A blank of 20 ml of distilled water was used. The intensity of colour was read at 495 nm with a spectrophotometer. The percentage of total glycosides was calculated by referring to the given standard curve.

\[
\text{The percentage of glycosides} = A \times 40 \times 100/170 \times 100 \times 0.04
\]

\[= A \times 100/17\]

Where \( A \) = Absorbance of the sample at 495 nm.

**Fractionation**

Solvent-solvent fractionation was done by suspending the crude extract in warm water at 40°C to dissolve. The solution was then partitioned successively using the following solvent n-hexane,
ethy lacetate, and n-butanol. Shaking the separating funnel mixture separate into two layers and it was collected separately the fractions collected were evaporated to dryness at 40°C and kept in a tight container for further analysis.

Preparation of nutrient agar

Nutrient agar was prepared for this study by weighing 28 g of the powdered agar and dissolved in 1000 ml of distilled water in a conical flask. It was then autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes. After sterilization, it was allowed to cool at room temperature and the content was poured aseptically into a sterile petri dish and allowed to solidify.

Antimicrobial activity study of the extract.

Agar well diffusion and broth dilution technique was used to test for antimicrobial activity in accordance with the method described by Irobj et al. [17]. The antimicrobial activity of the plant was tested on E.coli, S. aureus, Salmonella typhi and Bacillus subtilis. Isolates were first grown in a nutrient broth for 18 hour before standardized to 0.5 McFarland standards (106 cfu/ml). Two hundred micro liters of the standardized cell suspensions was spread on a Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid). Wells were then bored into the agar using a sterile 6 mm diameter cork borer. Approximately 100 μl of the crude extract at 10 mg/ml was then introduced into the wells, and was allowed to stand at room temperature for about 2 h and then incubated at 37°C. Negative Controls was set up in parallel using the solvents that was used to reconstitute the extract and the solvent used for extraction, ciprofloxacin was used as the positive control. The plates were observed for zones of inhibition after 24 h [18].

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the experiment were statistically analyzed and the results of the experiment expressed as means ± SD.

Result and Discussion

Result of phytochemical screening (Table 1) revealed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, and cyanogenic glycosides in the pulp. Alkaloids, steroids and anthraquinones were not detected. The anti-bacterial studies results (Tables 2-11) suggested that methanol fractionated extracts may be attributed to the presence of secondary metabolites like terpenoids, glycosides as proposed by previous reports [23,24].

Since the methanol crude extract of the pulp exhibited pronounced antimicrobial activity against the organisms tested, it was subjected to partitioning using water, n-hexane, ethylacetate, and n-butanol. Ethyl acetate fraction of the pulp methanol extract possessed inhibitory activity against the entire tested organism at 25, 50 and 100 mg/ml, with a zone of inhibition ranging from 13.00-16.50 mm. Two possibilities that may account for the higher antibacterial activity of this solvent is the nature of biological active components (flavonoids, terpenoids, tannins, etc.), which may be enhanced in the presence of ethylacetate and the stronger extraction capacity of the solvent that may have yielded a greater number of active constituents responsible for antibacterial activity [21,22]. n-Hexane showed inhibitory activity at 25, 50 and 100 mg/ml against Bacillus subtilis only. n-Butanol inhibited the growth of Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus at doses of 25, 50 and 100 mg/ ml and at 50 and 100 mg/ml respectively. The aqueous fraction had no effect on the tested organisms. It was also observed that the antimicrobial activity of the pulp extract improved with increase in concentration of the extract which also suggest that apart from the presence of the aforementioned biological active components responsible for the said antimicrobial activities, increase in concentration of the extract is also a contributor. The zones of inhibition of the test organism and their susceptibility to the different solvents fractions of the extracts, varied from one organism to another. According to Prescott, et al. [23], effect of an agent varies with target species. The position of the zone edge (diameter of zone of inhibition) is determined by the initial population density of the organism, their growth rate and the rate of diffusion of the antimicrobial agent. These explain the differences in the zones of inhibition observed in the experiments. The inhibitory effects may as well be attributed to the presence of bioactive metabolites. Several reports have shown that bioactive compounds isolated from plant extract have growth inhibitory effect on pathogenic strains [23]. Plants are rich in wide variety of secondary metabolites such as tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids and flavonoids which have been found to have antimicrobial properties in vitro. In addition, combination of some of these chemical substances found in herbal preparations synergistically forms a natural mixture with antimicrobial spectrum. Tannins a polymeric phenolic substance has been associated with several physiological activities such as stimulation of phagocytic cells, host mediated tumor activity and a wide range of anti-infective actions. Their mode of antimicrobial action may be related to their ability to inactive microbial enzymes and transport proteins.

Some of these metabolites particularly some flavonoids that were detected were reported to be responsible for antimicrobial activity associated with some ethno-medicinal plants [24]. In addition, some alkaloids and tannins are well documented for antimicrobial activity [25,26]. The persistent increase in multidrug resistant strains compels the search for more potent new antibiotics. Thus, there is a need for

| Phytochemical   | Fruits |
|-----------------|--------|
| Phenolics       | -      |
| Tannins         | +      |
| Flavonoids      | +      |
| Alkaloids       | -      |
| Saponin         | +      |
| Steroids        | -      |
| Cyanogenic glycoside | +  |
| Anthraquinones  | -      |
| Terpenoids      | +      |

Table 2: Result of preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening
Table 3: Zone of inhibition (mm) of methanol crude extract of pulp.

| Organism               | Concentration of extract (mg/ml)/standard drug (μg) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                        | 100        | 50     | 25     | 12.5    | Cipro (10) |
| *Bacillus subtilis*    | 25.00 ± 4.24\(^{a}\) | 20.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | -       | 31.5 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | 17.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 11.50 ± 2.12\(^{a}\) | -       | -       | 41.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) |
| *Escherichia coli*     | 27.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 21.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | -       | -       | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |
| *Salmonella typhi*     | 19.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 14.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 12.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | 39.00 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of Inhibition.

Table 4: Zone of inhibition (mm) of aqueous fraction of the pulp.

| Organism               | Concentration of extract (mg/ml)/standard drug (μg) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                        | 100        | 50     | 25     | 12.5    | Cipro (10) |
| *Bacillus subtilis*    | 12.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | -       | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | 13.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | -       | 38.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\) |
| *Escherichia coli*     | -          | -      | -      | -       | 36.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\)  |
| *Salmonella typhi*     | -          | -      | -      | -       | 40.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\)  |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of Inhibition.

Table 5: Zone of inhibition (mm) of n-butanol Fraction of the pulp.

| Organism               | Concentration of extract (mg/ml)/standard drug (μg) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                        | 100        | 50     | 25     | 12.5    | Cipro (10) |
| *Bacillus subtilis*    | 14.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | 16.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 13.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | 38.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\) |
| *Escherichia coli*     | 13.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | -       | 36.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\) |
| *Salmonella typhi*     | 13.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 10.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 10.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -       | 40.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of Inhibition.

Table 6: Zone of inhibition (mm) of Ethylacetate Fraction of the pulp.

| Organism               | Concentration of extract (mg/ml)/standard drug (μg) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                        | 100        | 50     | 25     | 12.5    | Cipro (10) |
| *Bacillus subtilis*    | 14.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | 12.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | 11.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | -       | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | -          | -      | -      | -       | 38.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\) |
| *Escherichia coli*     | -          | -      | -      | -       | 36.50 ± 0.50\(^{a}\) |
| *Salmonella typhi*     | -          | -      | -      | -       | 40.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of Inhibition.

Table 7: Zone of inhibition (mm) of n-hexane Fraction of the pulp.

Table 8: Zone of inhibition (mm) of partially purified ethylacetate fraction of methanol pulp extract against *E. coli*. 

| Conc/Std (μg) | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |
|--------------|-------------------------|
|              | A          | B        | C        | D        |
| 50           | -          | -        | 29.50 ± 0.70\(^{a}\) | -        |
| 25           | -          | -        | 26.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | -        |
| 12.5         | -          | -        | 25.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | -        |
| 6.5          | -          | -        | 24.00 ± 1.41\(^{a}\) | -        |
| Cipro 10     | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 38.00 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of Inhibition.
a continuous search for new effective and affordable antimicrobial drugs. Column chromatographic analysis of the ethyl-acetate fraction of the pulp using silica gel chromatography resulted in one hundred fractions which were later pooled together to four fractions (A-D) (as shown in Table 1) base on their TLC profile. Anti-microbial activities of the fractions showed that fraction A was not active against the entire organism tested. Fraction B showed potent activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis at 25 and 50 mg/ml. Fraction C at all the tested doses demonstrated maximum anti-bacterial activity ranging from 10.00-29.50 against the entire tested organism except Salmonella typhi. It is possible that component(s) essential for Salmonella typhi. It is possible that component(s) essential for Salmonella typhi antibacterial activity is/are lost in fraction C. Fraction D was active at concentration of 12.5-50 mg/ml against salmonella typhi only. The fractionation of the ethylacetate pulp extract improved the anti-bacterial activity in Fraction C against all the tested organisms. This result showed that the fractionation process concentrated the active principle in fraction C. Similar results were reported by Mansouri, et al. [27], when evaluating the antibacterial activity of the crude extracts and fractionated constituents of Myrtuscommunis. The results of this study do not only show the scientific basis for some of the therapeutic uses of the plant in traditional medicine, but also confirms the impact of ethnomedical approach when investigating plants for their antimicrobial properties [26].

Conclusion

Base on this study, it may be concluded that the pulp of Z. mauritiana possess a wide range of phytochemicals which demonstrated antimicrobial activities, in both crude and fractionated extracts, which could be attributed to the presence of secondary metabolites such as tannins, terpenoids, and flavonoids which are known in related literatures for their antimicrobial functions, [19,20,24,25] as well as increase in concentrations of the extracts. The results of this study show the scientific basis for some of the therapeutic uses of the plant in traditional medicine and also confirm the impact of ethnomedical approach when investigating plants for their antimicrobial properties [27].

Table 9: Zone of Inhibition (mm) of partially purified ethyl-acetate fraction of methanol pulp extract against Salmonella typhi

| Conc/Std (μg) | A       | B       | C       | D       |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 50           | -       | 12.00 ± 0.00a | 28.00 ± 1.41a | -       |
| 6.5          | -       | 10.00 ± 0.00a | 19.00 ± 1.41a | -       |
| Cipro 10     | 41.00 ± 1.41a | 41.00 ± 1.41a | 41.00 ± 1.41a | 41.00 ± 1.41a |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of inhibition.

Table 10: Zone of Inhibition (mm) of Partially Purified Ethyl-acetate Fraction of methanol pulp Extract against Staphylococcus aureus

| Conc/Std (μg) | A       | B       | C       | D       |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 50           | -       | 11.00 ± 1.00a | 29.0 ± 0.00a | -       |
| 25           | -       | 11.00 ± 1.00a | 19.00 ± 1.41a | -       |
| 12.5         | -       | 18.0 ± 0.00a | 13.00 ± 0.00a | -       |
| 6.5          | -       | 13.50 ± 0.70a | 31.50 ± 0.70a | 31.50 ± 0.70a |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of inhibition.

Table 11: Zone of Inhibition (mm) of partially purified ethyl-acetate fraction of methanol pulp extract against Bacillus subtilis

| Conc/Std (μg) | A       | B       | C       | D       |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 50           | -       | 11.00 ± 1.00a | 29.0 ± 0.00a | -       |
| 25           | -       | 11.00 ± 1.00a | 19.00 ± 1.41a | -       |
| 12.5         | -       | 18.0 ± 0.00a | 13.00 ± 0.00a | -       |
| 6.5          | -       | 13.50 ± 0.70a | 31.50 ± 0.70a | 31.50 ± 0.70a |

Values are in mean ± SD of double determinations. Values with different superscripts across the column are significantly different (p<0.05). Cipro: Ciprofloxacin, -: No zone of inhibition.
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