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Whispering-Gallery Sensors
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SUMMARY
Optical whispering-gallery mode (WGM) microresonators, confining resonant photons in a microscale volume for long periods of time, strongly enhance light-matter interactions, making them an ideal platform for photonic sensors. One of the features of WGM sensors is their capability to respond to environmental perturbations that influence the optical mode distribution. The exceptional sensitivity of WGM devices, coupled with the diversity in their structures and the ease of integration with existing infrastructures, such as conventional chip-based technologies, has catalyzed the development of WGM sensors for a broad range of analytes. WGM sensors have been developed for multiplexed detection of clinically relevant biomolecules while also being adapted for the analysis of single-protein interactions. They have been used for the detection of materials in different phases and forms, including gases, liquids, and chemicals. Furthermore, WGM sensors have been used for a wide variety of field-based sensing applications, including electric field, magnetic field, force, pressure, and temperature. WGM sensors hold great potential for applications in life and environmental sciences. They are expected to meet the ever-increasing demand in sensor networks, the Internet of Things, and real-time health monitoring. Here we review the mechanisms, structures, parameters, and recent advances of WGM microsensors and discuss the future of this exciting research field.

INTRODUCTION
Our ability to understand and decipher the natural world fundamentally rests on sensors. Initially, people used their sense of sight, hearing, touch, taste, and smell to probe the natural world. Over time, a plethora of scientific instruments, such as microscopes, and eventually more sophisticated techniques such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM), replaced our natural senses to characterize the world around us. A prominent example is optical sensors, which we rely on to facilitate our everyday lives by monitoring the intensity, phase, polarization, frequency, or the speed of light. One class of optical sensors that has attracted intense attention is whispering-gallery mode (WGM) devices,1–3 which are named after a phenomenon found in St. Paul’s Cathedral in London. Within the cathedral, whispers can be heard along the curved wall of the dome because sound waves experience consecutive reflections from the circular wall of the gallery. Analogous to the propagation of sound in St. Paul’s Cathedral, optical WGM resonators confine light within small structures through total internal reflection, with resonant light circulating in the structures millions of times.

Optical WGM microresonators offer inherent advantages as sensing devices. Compared with interferometric sensors, WGM sensors have the interferometric arm “folded” into its roundtrip pass, resulting in a much smaller device footprint. In addition, due to light making many round-trips in the same mode volume,
High-finesse microcavities allow light to interact with the analytes or targets of interests millions of times, dramatically improving the sensitivity of the sensors. The ultra-high quality factor ($Q$), the relatively small mode volume, and various morphologies and versatile materials available to form WGM resonators have led to rapid advances in the field of WGM resonator-based optical sensing.

Various WGM microresonator structures have been employed for sensing, including chip-based and free-standing WGM microresonators, as shown in Figure 1. Common structures for chip-based WGM microresonators are microdisks, microrings, and microtoroids. Free-standing WGM resonators include microspheres, microbottles, and microbubbles. Among them, microtoroids, microspheres, microbottles, and microbubbles possess the highest-quality factors, up to $10^{10}$, due to a special laser reflow process that results in a cavity structure with atom-scale surface roughness. It is worth noting that microbubble resonators are hollow and can be filled with either liquid or gas, which gives them a clear advantage in analyte delivery, especially when they are integrated with microfluidic systems.

WGM devices were initially used to study microlaser and nonlinear optics. Interest in sensing began in 2002 when the detection of a protein monolayer using a microsphere resonator was demonstrated. Since then, there have been many significant progresses in resonator designs and signal enhancement techniques, enabling the detection of single nanoparticles, viruses, proteins, nucleic acids, and even individual ions, as shown in Figure 2. WGM resonators can detect not
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**Figure 1. Images of Various Resonator Geometries**

(A) Microtoroid, (B) microdisk, (C) microring, (D) microsphere, (E) microbottle, and (F) microbubble.
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only physical matter but also invisible stimuli such as electrical and magnetic fields, pressure and force, and temperature changes by taking advantage of various morphologies and materials specifically designed to be responsive to each of these stimuli.

In this review, we provide an overview of the sensing mechanisms for WGM resonator sensors as well as the various enhancement techniques used for myriad applications. We also offer our critical evaluation of the challenges and prospects of this exciting research area.

SENSING MECHANISMS AND ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUES

Mode Shift

In mode shift sensing, changes in the resonant wavelength of WGMs are used to measure the signal of interest. These sensing tests are often implemented by monitoring changes of resonances in the resonator’s transmission, reflection, or emission spectra, but can also be measured by fixing the probe wavelength within a resonance and observing changes induced by resonance shifts in the transmission. Mode shift is the most commonly used sensing modality for WGM resonators, due to its applicability to a broad range of analytes. It can be used to measure both the adsorption of analytes and refractive index changes surrounding the microresonator. Mode shift sensing is also used to detect changes in physical parameters surrounding the WGM device, such as heat, pressure, force, and magnetic fields. For example, Figure 3G shows a thermal shift sensing experiment by a silk microtoroid. The mode shift observed in WGM resonators due to the adsorption of an analyte can be understood by the reactive sensing principle. Intuitively, when a particle with a refractive index greater than the medium around the resonator is adsorbed on the resonator, it pulls a part of the resonator’s optical field outward, increasing the optical path length and leading to a red shift in the resonance mode.

The acquisition time of mode shift sensing, which requires frequency scanning of the probe laser, is usually on the order of tens of milliseconds and is limited by the frequency modulation bandwidth of the laser. An alternative method to improve time resolution is the mode-locking technique. Specifically, the probe laser frequency
is locked to the resonant frequency of a WGM by the Pound-Drever-Hall technique.\textsuperscript{26,27} The amplitude of a feedback error signal proportional to the frequency difference of the probe laser and resonant mode is monitored to extract the resonant mode shift signal, allowing for a time resolution as short as 1.2 ms.\textsuperscript{26}

The mode shift sensing mechanism can be applied to not only the optical modes but also optomechanical modes, demonstrated in both microsphere\textsuperscript{28} and microcapillary\textsuperscript{29–31} resonators. Specifically, an optomechanical spring effect is used in the optomechanical mode shift, in which single-particle or single-molecule-induced optical resonance shift was converted to the mechanical resonant frequency shift. Optomechanical sensing can produce faster sensing via the ultrafast speed of the real-time electronic spectrum analyzers.

**Mode Splitting**

In a WGM resonator without scatterers, resonant modes exist in pairs of degenerate modes: the clockwise (CW) propagating modes and counterclockwise (CCW) propagating modes. When a nanoscatterer is introduced onto a resonator, part of the
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**Figure 3. Fundamental WGM Sensing Mechanisms**

Fundamental sensing mechanisms (A–F) and corresponding applications (G–I) of the WGM sensor, including mode shift (A and D), mode splitting (B and E), and mode broadening (C and F). (G) Thermal shift sensing using a silk microtoroid resonator.\textsuperscript{23} (H) Mode splitting induced by individual polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{24} (I) Mode broadening spectra induced by single nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{25}
light scattered from the CW mode can be scattered into the CCW mode, and vice versa, inducing coupling between the two modes. Due to this coupling the degeneracy between the two modes is lifted, leading to a split in the resonance mode, as shown in Figures 3E and 3H. By measuring the resonant mode splitting, the presence of the scatterer can be detected.24 The mode-splitting mechanism has been demonstrated to detect nanoparticles on the order of tens to hundreds of nanometers with a visible or near-infrared probe laser.24,32–34 One of the advantages of the mode-splitting mechanism is its ability to perform self-referenced detection, which is not influenced by thermal or pressure fluctuations. It is also possible to determine the size of particles adsorbed to the resonator surface derived from the mode-splitting spectra.35

Recently, a mode-splitting mechanism in an optomechanical mode has been used to retrieve the eigenfrequencies of bacteria’s low-frequency vibration modes.36 Low-frequency vibration modes of bacteria carry information on their structure and mechanical properties relevant to the biological states. In this work,36 Gil-Santos et al. deposit a bacterium on the surface of an ultrahigh frequency optomechanical microdisk resonator. When the frequencies of vibration modes of the disk and bacterium are similar, two mechanical modes couple and then split into two collective eigenmodes. By analyzing the mechanical mode splitting and the linewidth broadening, the eigenfrequencies and mechanical loss of the bacterium low-frequency vibration modes could be retrieved.

Mode Broadening

The mode linewidth broadening sensing mechanism is used to measure either scattering loss or absorption loss caused by analytes such as single nanoparticles and single biomolecules, and refractive index changes. Similar to mode splitting, this technique is a self-referenced detection mechanism, isolating the fluctuation of environment temperature or system instability. Furthermore, it removes the requirement of narrow linewidth (ultrahigh Q) needed to resolve the doublet in the splitting spectrum. Experimentally, Shao et al. reported the detection and counting of single 70-nm-radius polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles and lentiviruses by monitoring the linewidth broadening in a free-space coupled deformed microresonator,25 as shown in Figure 3I. Also, Armani and Vahala demonstrated the detection of heavy water with a volume concentration of 0.0001% D2O (v/v) in H2O by monitoring the absorption induced linewidth narrowing (i.e., Q factor increase).37 Shen et al. demonstrated the detection of single lossy nanoparticles using the dissipative interaction in a high-Q toroidal microcavity.38

Ring-Up Spectroscopy

Although submillisecond time resolution can be achieved with mode-locking techniques, it can only be used to measure the resonant frequency shift of a WGM. Cavity ring-up spectroscopy, on the other hand, provides a solution to measure the mode shift and splitting/broadening signals simultaneously.39 This technique offers a time resolution as short as 16 ns per frame. Specifically, blue-detuned probe laser pulses are coupled with the modes, resulting in the build-up of a transient field in the cavity, which interferes with the transmitted field to create a ring-up signal, as shown in the center inset of Figure 4. In the ring-up signal, the detuning, \( \delta \), from the probe is exhibited by the fast oscillations; the resonance width, \( 2k \), is derived from the exponential decay envelope; the slow beat note indicates the splitting of the resonance, \( 2g \). This technique has been used to measure fast optomechanical oscillations in the range of megahertz at a time resolution of 16 ns. Cavity ring-up spectroscopy provides an opportunity for optical WGM sensing with nanosecond time resolution.
and holds great potential to detect single-nanoparticle or single-molecule movement by deriving the signals of the mode shift and splitting/broadening from the ring-up signal.

**Plasmonic Enhancement**

When light is incident on gold or silver nanoparticles, the free electrons in the metallic nanoparticles oscillate with the incident optical field, forming localized surface plasmon (LSP) resonance. LSP resonance is manifested as an intense electromagnetic field, i.e., plasmonic hotspots, around the nanoparticles. When a molecule of interest enters the plasmonic hotspots, it interacts strongly with the enhanced electromagnetic field, leading to a visible change in the plasmonic signals. By integrating the LSP resonance with a WGM resonance, a highly sensitive sensing platform has been demonstrated to detect single molecules (Figure 5A).

Early work on hybrid plasmonic WGM resonators for sensing demonstrated the detection of single PS nanoparticles, single virus particles (Figure 5B), and proteins in solution with enhanced sensitivity. The first single-protein detection using a hybrid plasmonic WGM resonator was demonstrated by Dantham et al. using gold nanoshells. These authors noted that the large enhancement in sensitivity was attributed to hotspots contributed by bumps on the nanoshell. Later, Baaske et al. demonstrated the measurement of single nucleic acids using a hybrid gold nanorod-WGM resonator, marking the highest sensitivity achieved by WGM resonators so far (Figures 5C and 5D). In these works, the detection of single molecules appeared as either spike or step changes, corresponding to transient or semi-permanent binding events. The statistics of this type of binding events provide information of the binding affinities of molecules and lay the foundation to study molecular interactions on surfaces.

**Gain and Lasing Enhancement**

The detection limit of a WGM sensor depends on the linewidth of the mode, as the linewidth is related to the resolvability of split modes or resonance shifts. This detection limit can be improved significantly by using optical gain to decrease the resonance linewidth by compensating the losses. For example, the laser linewidth is much narrower than the corresponding passive cavity linewidth. Experimentally,
the gain in the silica microcavity can be provided by either rare-earth ion doping, such as erbium, yttrium, or thulium, or via stimulated Raman scattering operating at any wavelength. The direct measurement of mode-splitting spectrum through the lasing spectra is typically not possible, since the laser splitting is smaller than the resolution of optical spectrometers. Instead, the mode splitting is measured through observing the beating in the laser output. When a nanoparticle or molecule moves into the mode volume of the WGM, the laser spectrum splits. The split laser modes lead to a self-heterodyned beat note with a frequency that is equal to the difference in frequency between the two laser modes. Furthermore, the lasing spectrum and the frequency of the beat note change again when a second nanoparticle binds. Accordingly, individual nanoparticles can be continuously detected in real time by monitoring the beat note signal, as shown in Figure 6A. Furthermore, self-heterodyned mode splitting in a WGM Raman microlaser for the detection of single nanoparticles down to 10 nm has also been realized experimentally by several groups.

**Exceptional Points Enhancement**

Optical cavities operating at non-Hermitian spectral degeneracies known as exceptional points (EPs) have demonstrated non-trivial physical features, such as chiral modes and anomalous behaviors in lasers. It has been recently demonstrated that at such EPs, the scatterer-induced mode splitting can be enhanced. The enhancement comes from the square-root dependence of mode splitting on particle-induced perturbation near second-order EPs as opposed to the linear dependence in conventional cavity sensors, as shown in Figure 6B. This enhancement in sensitivity is greater for smaller perturbations, which has been experimentally demonstrated by tuning WGM to an EP with two nanotips. Single PS nanoparticles with EP-enhanced sensitivity have also been detected in a microtoroid resonator (Figure 6B).
SENSING PARAMETERS

Sensitivity
The sensitivity of an optical sensor is usually defined as the ratio of signal changes from the sensor to the variation in the measured parameter. Taking a WGM thermal sensor for instance; if the resonant wavelength of a particular WGM shifts 1 nm when the temperature changes by 1 K, the sensitivity will be 1 nm/K. Note that the sensitivity is mainly determined by the properties of the sensor, while the detection limit depends on both sensitivity and noise levels. The sensitivity of a WGM thermal sensor relies on the material properties of the cavity, including both the thermo-optic coefficient and the thermal expansion coefficient. In principle, cavity material with a larger thermo-optic coefficient and thermal expansion coefficient will lead to a larger resonant wavelength shift and thus possesses a higher sensitivity.

Taking single-molecule or single-nanoparticle sensing as another example, the single-nanoparticle or single-molecule-induced mode shift, splitting, or broadening signals depend mainly on the relative electric field strength at the position of the molecule as well as the mode volume. Therefore, any techniques with the capability of increasing the relative electric field or decreasing the mode volume will significantly boost the sensor’s response to individual analytes. For example, metal nanostructures with surface plasmon resonances provide a huge enhancement of the electric field near the nanostructures and could significantly enhance the sensitivity of all three sensing mechanisms. In addition, for mode-splitting sensing mechanism, the complex square-root topology near EPs also has great potential for enhanced sensitivity. Numerical simulations have demonstrated a 7-fold enhancement of the sensitivity for mode splitting. It is worth noting that usually a higher Q factor or related gain and lasing enhancement will help lower the detection limit but will not lead to a higher sensitivity. However, in a mode shift sensing mechanism, if we define the sensing signal as the transmitted light intensity at a fixed frequency within the resonance instead of the resonant frequency, a higher Q factor mode or a Fano resonance will possess a higher sensitivity.

Figure 6. Mode-Splitting Sensing Enhancement Mechanisms
(A) Self-heterodyned microlaser detection of a single nanoparticle. (i) Before nanoparticles arrive, a single mode appears in the lasing spectrum and the laser intensity is constant. (ii) The lasing mode splits into two modes when the first nanoparticle binds, leading to a beat note at a frequency that is equal to the frequency difference between the two modes. (iii) The lasing spectrum and the frequency of the beat note change again when a second nanoparticle binds.
(B) Sensitivity enhancement at exceptional points.
Time Resolution
The time resolutions of most WGM sensing mechanisms are on the order of tens of milliseconds, which are limited by the frequency modulation bandwidth of the laser instead of the WGM sensor itself. To improve the time resolution, one can increase the laser sweep speed or utilize techniques that do not require laser scanning. The frequency modulation bandwidth of a tunable laser is typically on the order of kilohertz, corresponding to a time resolution of submillisecond. On the other hand, to remove the requirement of frequency sweeping, several techniques have been developed, such as mode locking, optomechanics sensing, self-heterodyned microlaser, and cavity ring-up spectrum. The time resolution of the mode-locking sensing technique is about 1 ms, limited by the low-pass filter in the locking system.\(^{26,27}\) For the optomechanical mode shift sensing, the time resolution is also around several milliseconds but limited by the electrical spectrum analyzer (ESA)\(^{26,29}\), which can be further improved by a real-time ESA. The self-heterodyned microlaser technique can also achieve near real-time sensing by locking the frequency of the probe laser to a resonant mode. The time resolution is then mainly limited by the data-acquisition system and can be on the order of microseconds.\(^{32}\) The current state-of-the-art time resolution is as short as 16 ns, which is achieved by the cavity ring-up spectroscopy system.\(^{39}\) Figure 7 briefly summarizes both the time resolution and the detection limit for single-nanoparticle sensing of several sensing mechanisms and enhanced techniques.

Stability and Detection Limit
One of the features of WGM sensors is their response to any environmental changes that influence the refractive index, which could be used for numerous sensors. On the other hand, this susceptibility to the environment becomes a source of noise in sensing experiments, which needs to be extracted from these background fluctuations. For example, the detection limits of WGM biosensors based on mode shift are typically limited by environmental noises, such as the temperature, mechanical perturbations, and probe laser instability. In contrast, mode splitting\(^{24}\) and...
broadening are immune to these environmental background noises due to their intrinsic self-reference properties.

To minimize the thermal noise in mode shift, including both laser-induced heating and environmental thermal drift, a variety of techniques have been developed. For example, Grudinin et al. compensated probe laser scanning induced heating by applying a second stabilization laser sweeping in the opposite direction. He et al. demonstrated a thermo-optic compensation technique by coating a thin layer of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), whose thermo-optic coefficient is contrary to silica, onto the surface of the silica microtoroid. In addition, compensation of thermal effect by surrounding medium has also been demonstrated in liquid core microring and microsphere resonators to reduce the sensitivity of WGMs to thermal fluctuations. Furthermore, self-referenced temperature-stabilization techniques down to nanokelvin precision have been developed by monitoring the TE and TM modes simultaneously. Multimode sensing for multiparameter measurement has also been demonstrated to improve the stability and detection limit, since the multimode sensing approach can provide abundant and multidimensional sensing information.

Specificity

The specificity of WGM microresonators largely depends on the chemical composition and functionalization of the device. A myriad of materials have been utilized to make WGM microresonators to increase the potential chemical functionalizations and capture agents possible. There have been WGM microresonators composed of silica, silicon, CaF2, PDMS, lithium niobate, and even silk. The native surface of these devices also influences the amount of nonspecific adsorption and fouling that can occur at the surface.

Specificity for WGM microresonators is generally imbued via chemoresponsive elements attached to the surface of the device. For chemical species these include polymer films, brushes, and aptamers. In contrast, for biological molecules, the capture agent of interest is determined by the target molecule. For proteins, antibodies or aptamers are the typical capture agent of interest, while for nucleic acids, complementary strands of nucleic acids (cDNAs or locked nucleic acids) are typically employed, as shown in Figure 8. These capture agents not only affect the specificity of measurements but result in inherent limitations to the binding of target molecules at equilibrium.

Another factor that influences the specificity of WGM microresonators is the medium in which detection is occurring. For sensing in gases or buffered solutions, the risk of “fouling,” that is, nonspecific adsorption of molecules to the sensor surface, is minimal. In contrast, measurements in complex medium, such as whole blood, run the risk of significant “fouling” and nonspecific adsorption.

SENSING APPLICATIONS

In this section, we divide the WGM sensing applications into two categories, sensing of physical matters or physical parameters surrounding the resonator that influence the modes. The physical matter can be nanoparticles, small bio/chemical molecules, gas, or any other matter adsorption. On the other hand, the WGM device is also used to detect changes in physical parameters surrounding the WGM device, such as temperature, pressure, force, electric field, magnetic field, or other physical field perturbation. The two WGM sensing categories are summarized in Figures 9 and 10.
Nanoparticle Detection Down to Single Molecules

The sensing of nanoparticles in the size range of tens to hundreds of nanometers represents a model system to study the use of WGM resonators for sensing applications. It is important for environmental monitoring and disease control to study atmospheric aerosol particles and virion particles. The detection of single influenza A virion particles (approximately 50 nm in radius) using the mode shift technique was first reported by Vollmer et al. in 2008. Since then, virus particle sensing has been demonstrated with mode-splitting, mode-broadening, and hybrid WGM-plasmonic sensing mechanisms. The mode-splitting technique is particularly well suited for the detection of nanoparticles because the particle-scattering-based mode-splitting signal is unaffected by environmental perturbations (Figure 9C). In addition, real-time sizing of the particle size is possible from the measurement of mode splitting.

The sensitivity of WGM sensors has improved greatly in recent years, reaching single-molecule levels. In WGM sensing, single molecules, such as proteins and oligonucleotides, can be thought of as nanoparticles, and their detection is based on the polarizability of each molecule, just like nanoparticles. To enhance the sensitivity of WGM sensors to single-molecule level, an effective strategy is to attach gold nanoparticles to the WGM resonator, using the hybrid resonance between plasmonic resonance in gold nanoparticles and WGM resonance to enhance the wavelength shift. Dantham et al. first reported this strategy for single-molecule detection using gold nanoshells in 2013, demonstrating the detection of single BSA proteins (66 kDa). Baaske et al. further lowered the limit of detection using gold nanorods, demonstrating the observation of individual DNA hybridization events with 8-mer oligonucleotides (2,350 Da). Later, Baaske et al. further showed that, remarkably,
even the movement of single atomic ions (Zn and Hg) can be seen as spike and step transitions in the resonance wavelength. Single-molecule detection without using plasmonic nanoparticles has also been reported by Su et al. In this work, the authors used laser-frequency locking to increase the signal-to-noise ratio, demonstrating the detection of human interleukin-2 protein (15.5 kDa) using bare microtoroids. The detection limits of single nanoparticles or molecules for different sensing mechanisms and enhanced techniques are summarized as in Figure 7.

In addition to the direct optical measurement based on particle polarizability, it is also worth noting two indirect particle-detection techniques. One is WGM resonator-based absorption spectrometry, whereby a pump laser is used to heat the nanoparticles and the dissipated heat is sensed by monitoring the WGM resonance shift using a probe laser. The signal is based on optical absorption, making this technique especially suited for studying nanoparticles made of absorbing materials such as gold and conjugated polymers. Another indirect technique is based on the optomechanical coupling between optical WGM resonances and long-range phonons, enabling the measurement of particle mass density, mechanical compressibility, and viscoelasticity. Both of these techniques measure physical quantities other than particle polarizability and have the potential to expand the versatility of WGM sensors further.
In terms of resonator geometry, most of the work on single-particle and single-molecule detection has been based on either microspheres or microtoroids. Recently, detection using hollow microbubble resonators has gained much interest.\textsuperscript{81,84} Nanoparticle dispersed in aqueous medium can be conveniently introduced into these hollow resonators, allowing for greater overlap between nanoparticles and WGM evanescent fields, as well as facile handling of fluid samples.

**Biological Sensing**

One area in which WGM sensors have attracted significant attention is within biological sensing applications. The ability to integrate these devices onto chips, with high sensitivity and low analyte volume requirements, makes them especially appealing for biological applications, in which samples are often limited.

Proteins are the most frequent biomolecule detected with WGM devices. Initial studies demonstrated exquisite sensitivity of WGM devices toward proteins, although these were typically performed in neat buffered solutions, and in many cases without specific capture agents.\textsuperscript{85} A number of groups have demonstrated the direct detection of protein in both neat buffered solutions and complex media.\textsuperscript{86,87} In these experiments, a capture agent, typically an antibody or aptamer, is attached to the device surface, which provides specificity, as shown in Figure 9B. To further enhance sensitivity as well as specificity in complex media, researchers have also employed a number of secondary enhancement steps, including a combination of sandwich assays, enzymatic reactions,\textsuperscript{88} and beads.\textsuperscript{87,89}

Another class of biomolecules that has been extensively studied with WGM devices is nucleic acids. Several groups have demonstrated the label-free detection of DNA and RNA with WGM devices.\textsuperscript{90-92} Techniques have also been employed to further increase the analytical sensitivity for nucleic acids, either through the additional secondary labels and/or enzymatic reactions.\textsuperscript{93,94}
WGM devices have also been used as a method for detecting entire cells and virions. Anderson and colleagues demonstrated the nonspecific binding of *Helicobacter hepaticus*.95 Ghali et al. used a phage-specific protein to capture *Staphylococcus aureus* onto a microdisk.96,97 Gohring and Fan were able to detect and subtype human T cells.98 WGM devices have been applied toward the detection of influenza A, M13, and the Bean pod mottle virus.18,25,32,35,99,100

The versatility of WGM devices in biosensing applications is evident not only in that researchers have pushed toward the development of clinically relevant, multiplexed assays, but also in that the devices have also been used to study fundamental mechanisms of biological molecules. For example, Kim and colleagues demonstrated the ability to study the interactions between DNA and a polymerase molecule, utilizing a gold nanorod attached to a microsphere for plasmonic enhancement,67 as shown in Figure 9D.

**Medical Applications**

One of the most promising areas for the application of WGM devices lies within medicine. The remarkable sensitivity of the devices, coupled with their relatively low fabrication costs and small volume, makes them an ideal platform for incorporation into clinically relevant technologies and settings.

WGM sensors have demonstrated the ability to detect numerous analytes and signals that would be of significant interest to the medical community. As mentioned previously, researchers have demonstrated the ability of WGM sensors to detect biomarkers, including proteins, nucleic acids, and entire cells or virions. In the context of all biomarkers, it is important to compare the performance of WGM sensors relative to field standards. In the context of protein detection, ELISAs are typically employed in clinical settings, often utilizing an amplification step. Where WGM sensors excel, in general, is the ability to offer improved-sensitivity (both label-free and with amplification techniques) multiplexed measurements toward different targets simultaneously, as well as faster time to results.88,101 For both nucleic acids and viruses, the gold standard within many medical applications is PCR-based techniques. WGM devices have difficulties in competing with the ultimate sensitivity of PCR techniques (a single copy). However, in the direct, unamplified detection of nucleic acids or virion particles, WGM devices have demonstrated superior performance. In particular, the ability to directly detect virions for influenza A, M13, and the Bean pod mottle virus highlights a potential niche in which WGM sensors might be employed in point-of-care applications for the rapid detection of viruses.18,25,32,35,78,99

While not quite yet fully realized, another area in which WGM sensors are entering the medical domain is through the uses of protein nanodisks, scaffolds of proteins supporting cell membranes.102 The ability to couple nanodisks to WGM sensors enables the study of cell membrane proteins, critical in a variety of therapeutics. Muehl et. al. demonstrated an application of this technique to assess the binding between prothrombin, factor X, activated factor VII, and activated protein C to varying lipid concentrations in nanodisks.103 The ability to create protein nanodisks may also enable researchers to study a wide range of transmembrane proteins and ion channels. As many significant drug targets rely on transmembrane proteins or ion channels for their action, this enables the study of drug interactions at a level previously unavailable.

Outside of biomarkers, WGM sensors have also been applied toward the detection of signals used with medical devices. For example, Basiri-Esfahani and colleagues
demonstrated the ability to leverage microdisk devices for the detection of ultrasound. Their sensors reported a force sensitivity of 370 fN/Hz, an improvement of more than three orders of magnitude over high-sensitivity piezoelectric sensors, which are currently used in ultrasound devices. The ability to detect magnetic fields also has enormous potential in the context of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques. While WGM sensors are still naive in the context of these technologies, their enormous improvements in sensitivity and performance metrics have the potential to transform the use of these techniques. Of particular interest is the small size of WGM sensors coupled with the potential to be multiplexed. Together, these qualities of WGM sensors enable the technology to be integrated into new medical applications. For example, the ability to build highly multiplexed arrays of magnetometers could enable higher-resolution MRI instruments, in addition to new imaging modalities that are not currently possible with conventional techniques.

With a broad range of analytes WGM sensors can detect, coupled with the ability to miniaturize entire WGM systems into portable devices, there is an enormous potential for these sensors to effect clinically actionable changes within medicine, and this will be an exciting frontier to monitor.

Gas Sensing
Another area of sensing in which WGM devices have been applied is for the detection of gases. The most common experimental set-up is to coat a WGM device with a chemoresponsive layer specific for the gas of interest. Interactions of the target gas with the polymer layer leads to a change in the refractive index of the layer, which is subsequently detected by the WGM device, as shown in Figure 9A. The added benefit is that these polymer layers can provide a level of specificity toward target gases. This has been used by many groups for the detection of a wide variety of analytes, including ammonia, water, organic compounds, alcohols, and helium/argon. Gas chromatography has also been coupled with WGM devices, whereby a capillary is used as both the medium for the WGM device and separation process. Another approach for the detection of gases involves the use of graphene to generate Brillouin optomechanical modes, which provides even higher analytical sensitivity.

Temperature Sensing
While a significant limitation of many WGM devices is thermal drift, many groups have been able to utilize WGM devices as highly sensitive temperature sensors. Temperature sensing has been demonstrated using silica-based and silicon-based devices. However, the thermo-optic coefficient and thermal expansion coefficient of these materials are positive, making it incredibly difficult to separate the two effects of heating with bare silica or silicon alone. Many WGM devices utilized for temperature sensing are composed of materials that offer a lower negative thermo-optic coefficient, including PDMS, UV-curable adhesives, lithium niobate, and dye-doped photoresists, which give rise to much higher sensitivity. In addition, materials with a large thermal expansion coefficient, such as silk, have also been used to fabricate a WGM microresonator thermal sensor.

Magnetic Field Sensing
Due to the $1/r^3$ decay of dipolar magnetic fields, magnetometer size is one of the critical parameters for improving the sensitivity of sub-femto-tesla magnetometers. Thus, a number of technologies have been developed to achieve higher sensitivity together with smaller sensor sizes. Among them, the WGM microcavity-based optomechanical magnetometer is an encouraging candidate. Currently, several
types of hybrid magnetometers based on WGM have been developed, such as magnetostrictive material (Terfenol-D) embedded\textsuperscript{22} or sputter coated\textsuperscript{69} onto the pillar of toroidal microresonators and micromagnets integrated into soft polymer material surrounding a microtoroid.\textsuperscript{120} For the Terfenol-D-microtoroid hybrid magnetometer, as shown in Figure 10B, a 585-nT/Hz$^{1/2}$ peak sensitivity has been achieved by Li et al.\textsuperscript{69} On the other hand, the micro-magnets-polymer-microtoroid hybrid magnetometer possessing a sensitivity of 880 pT/Hz$^{1/2}$ at a frequency of 200 Hz has also been demonstrated by Zhu et al.\textsuperscript{120}

**Pressure and Force Sensing**

For the detection of pressure and force, there are several configurations utilized by researchers. One configuration involves the use of WGM structures to serve as transducers. Force on the WGM structure itself leads to a change in the device’s shape, and mechanical stresses are realized as changes in the refractive index of the device. This technique has been demonstrated with both solid\textsuperscript{21,121} and hollow\textsuperscript{71,122} resonators, the advantage of the latter being that the hollow structure assists in transducing the pressure for measurement, as shown in Figure 10D. Another technique is to immerse the WGM device into a transduction medium (such as an elastic polymer) or attach the device directly to a polymer transducer.\textsuperscript{21} Furthermore, the evanescent field of a WGM resonator comes with a steep gradient, which can be used to detect weak incoherent forces,\textsuperscript{68} as shown in Figure 10A. A direct application of pressure-based sensing with WGM devices is acoustic imaging modalities, such as ultrasound,\textsuperscript{72} as shown in Figure 10E. Unlike traditional piezoelectric-based sensors currently used, WGM sensors offer the advantage of improved sensitivity as well as operating bandwidth.

**Other Sensing Applications**

There is an ever-expanding role for WGM microresonators as sensors. While our review has covered some of the more prevalent areas of sensor development, WGM devices have also been applied as sensors for other applications. WGM resonators can be used as a refractive index sensor, which is used to sense the changes in the concentration of analyte in a solution.\textsuperscript{123} They have also been used to characterize chemical reactions in situ, such as polymerization, gelation, and phase transition in hydrogels.\textsuperscript{124,125} By introducing materials that are responsive to physical stimuli such as different polymers, WGM resonators can be made further responsive to electric fields\textsuperscript{15,126,127} (Figure 10C) and humidity.\textsuperscript{128,129} The circular pass of light in WGM resonators make these resonators analogous to a Sagnac interferometer, and this principle has been used to develop WGM-resonator-based gyroscopes.\textsuperscript{130,131} In addition, capillary microring resonators have also been used for the detection of acoustic waves\textsuperscript{72} and gas flow rate,\textsuperscript{73} as shown in Figures 10E and 10F, respectively. There has been increasing recent interest in soliton frequency combs using high-Q microresonators, originating from cascaded four-wave mixing in a WGM microresonator, which has been implemented for ultrafast distance measurements with submicron resolution.\textsuperscript{132,133} Furthermore, cavity soliton microcombs have also been used in astronomy in the search for exoplanets.\textsuperscript{134} The thermal vibrations of a carbon nanotube have also been monitored in real time by measuring the transmission of a high-Q silicon nitride microcavity.\textsuperscript{135} We anticipate that the exquisite sensitivity of WGM microresonators will catalyze significant development in these areas moving forward.

**CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Here, we have briefly reviewed the mechanisms, methods, structures, techniques, parameters, and applications of sensors based on WGM microresonators over the last
two decades. Arising from the ultrahigh power build-up factors and enhanced light-matter interactions in WGM microresonators, an enormous number of sensing experiments have been demonstrated, including not only traditional physical matter sensing, such as particle, gas, and bio/chemical sensing, but also physical field sensing, for example, temperature, electric field, magnetic field, and pressure, force sensing (Figure 11). Three fundamental sensing mechanisms and several enhanced sensing techniques or mechanisms have been developed in the last two decades, which have been discussed and summarized. In addition, different kinds of WGM structures as platforms for sensing are presented. Some sensing parameters, such as sensitivity, time resolution, stability, and specificity, have been discussed for some sensing techniques or mechanisms.

Looking ahead, there are still many challenges and potential directions for WGM microresonator sensors. Here, we list some potential directions of WGM sensors based on material, structure, mechanism, technique, and integration/encapsulation.

The development of new materials for the targeted detection of specific analytes is always one of the core research fields. For example, materials with electro-optic effects can be used for a microresonator as a high-sensitivity electric field sensor; multilayers of polymers could be coated onto a high-Q microresonator to sense multi-component gas.

There is still significant progress to be made in the development of WGM microresonator structures, such as deformed microresonators, endoscopic sensing probes, and WGM sensors in chip-based microfluidics channels. Not only will these lead to further improvement in device sensitivity but they will also allow for the detection of analytes that are beyond the reach of current techniques.

From the sensing mechanism or technique point of view, we envision the development of techniques that allow for the undirected and de novo identification and detection of...
analytes. This would extend the application of WGM microresonators as a sensor into a new, highly sensitive discovery tool. On the other hand, the development of even more enhancement techniques for sensing purposes is highly desired. As our understanding of the fundamental physics and phenomena of these devices improves, new and innovative methods to improve their optical performance will follow. Furthermore, how to suppress all kinds of noises to achieve a specific sensor is always one of the research hotspots. For example, Hu’s group demonstrated a new sensing method by using the waveguide coupled with a cavity as a sensor device instead of the microcavity itself. The structure is a microring resonator that is coupled by a sensing arm waveguide two times. When the nanotarget is exposed to the sensing arm waveguide, a tiny variation of the sensing arm waveguide triggers a phase change; this in turn induces a significant change of external effective coupling strength between the waveguide and the microring and, thus, the resonant transmission depths vary, which serves as a sensing signal. The advantage of this dissipative sensing method is its immunity to frequency noises at a high signal-to-noise ratio.

The recent SARS-CoV-2 outbreak highlights a critical need for point-of-care diagnostic tests that can compete with PCR-based methods without the need for amplification or centralized laboratories. This highlights a potential opportunity for WGM sensors, which have demonstrated exceptional sensitivities as well as the ability to be portable.

There is an increased need for the integration and miniaturization of the entire WGM sensing systems, and construction of a sensor network consisting of all kinds of WGM sensors for both environmental and health monitoring. For example, real-time monitoring of the heartbeat and blood pressure by integrating WGM pressure sensors into a wristband or a watch will greatly improve our health status and impact healthcare systems.

The Internet of Things (IoT) monitoring of environmental signals, such as temperature, humidity, and pressure, has promising applications in modern society. Wireless photonic sensors used in the IoT provide a significant advantage in harsh environments due to their immunity to the electromagnetic interference. Among them, WGM photonic sensors, benefiting from their ability of enhancing light-matter interactions, as well as their small size, scalable production methods, and ability to be integrated with conventional electronics, may have particular potential for the next-generation IoT technology.
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