Nuclear processes in Astrophysics: Recent progress
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Abstract. The origin of the elements has been a fascinating question that scientists have been trying to answer for the last seven decades. The formation of light elements in the primordial universe and heavier elements in the astrophysical sources occurs through nuclear reactions. We can say that nuclear processes are responsible for the production of energy and synthesis of elements in the various astrophysical sites. Thus, nuclear reactions have a determining role in the existence and evolution of several astrophysical environments, from the Sun to the spectacular explosions of supernovae. Nuclear astrophysics attempts to address the most basic and important questions of our existence and future. There are still many issues that are unresolved such as, how stars and our Galaxy have formed and how they evolve, how and where are the heaviest elements made, what is the abundance of nuclei in the universe and what is the nucleosynthesis output of the various production processes, why the amount of Lithium-7 observed is less than predicted.

In this paper, we review our current understanding of the different astrophysical nuclear processes leading to the formation of chemical elements and particular attention is paid to the formation of heavy elements occurring during high-energy astrophysical events. Thanks to the recent multi-messenger observation of a binary neutron star merger, which also confirmed production of heavy elements, explosive scenarios such as short Gamma-ray bursts and the following Kilonovae are now strongly supported as nucleosynthesis sites.

PACS. XX.XX.XX No PACS code given

1 Introduction

The understanding of the nature of the periodic table elements is one of the most studied topics of nuclear astrophysics. There are several nuclear reactions leading to the formation of the periodic table elements. The most common formation process by which different elements are produced in the universe is the fusion of two nuclei to form a heavier one. This process is able to release energy for elements before $^{56}$Fe that is the nucleus with the greatest binding energy per nucleon. Beyond this limit, fusion processes require energy from the system to occur. In order to explain the existence of nuclei with $A > 60$, we need to have a global view of the existence of the various nuclei known to us.

The process by which new atomic nuclei are created from preexisting nucleons is called nucleosynthesis. The initial composition of the universe was established by primordial nucleosynthesis occurred moments after the Big Bang [1]. It was then that H and He, which today are by far the most abundant species in the universe, formed to become the content of the first stars. The nuclear primordial gas was made for 76% of H and D in part much smaller, 24% of $^3$He and $^4$He with a prevalence of $^4$He and traces of 10 parts per million of $^7$Li and $^6$Li [2]. With the formation of stars, heavier nuclei (C, O, Na, Mg, Si) were synthesized through fusion reactions, a process that continues today. Some light elements, such as Li, Be and B are formed during the spallation process, which is the interaction between the cosmic rays and C, N, O atoms present in the Interstellar Medium (ISM).

It is currently accepted that stellar nucleosynthesis leads to the formation of heavier elements that astronomers call metals. Metals can be ejected into the ISM in the later stages of stellar evolution, through mass loss episodes. Star formation produces stars which, after a time delay, eject heavy elements into the ISM, including newly synthesised ones in the stellar interiors. Star formation from this enriched material, in turn, results in stars with enhanced abundances of metals. This process occurs repeatedly over time, with the continual recycling of gas, leading to a gradual increase in the metallicity of the ISM with time. Supernovae and compact object mergers are also important to chemical enrichment. They can eject large quantities
of enriched material into interstellar space and can themselves generate heavy elements in nucleosynthesis.

The present paper does not concern itself with a general discussion of nucleosynthesis, apart from a few introductory comments, but rather it attempts to survey the recent developments, both experimental and theoretical, which have been attained in nucleosynthesis and nuclear astrophysics. For a complementary analysis, the more interested reader is referred to some recent reviews on this subject [3,5]. This review is organized as follows: in section 2 Big Bang nucleosynthesis is briefly discussed, with focus on the problem of the primordial Li. This is followed by an overview of the physics of elements production in stars (section 3), paying particular attention to the solar neutrino problem. In the following section 4 we discuss the synthesis of neutron and proton-rich nuclei showing the state of art of the s-, r- and p-process models. The last studies and observations of different astrophysical scenarios (gamma-ray bursts, kilonova) which promise to clarify the origin of the heaviest elements are reviewed in section 4.3.3. Finally, we present the conclusion with some future prospects. A recent review of some of the material covered in this paper is in [6].

2 Big Bang nucleosynthesis and the cosmological lithium problem

The Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) is the phase of cosmic evolution during which it is thought that the primordial nuclei of light elements, in particular, D, 3He, 4He and 7Li, were formed. In 1957 Burbidge et al [7] hypothesized reactions that could have arisen within the stars in order to achieve results that well agree with the observations. Actually, the abundances calculated from the four scientists were close enough to those observed. In addition, the observation of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation [8], corresponding to a blackbody spectrum with a temperature of 2.73 K, was of paramount importance in this regard. Today we know that the lighter elements (H, D and He) were formed mainly in the moments immediately after the Big Bang, while the elements heavier than He, would actually synthesize in the center of stars. The first question that metals could form in the core of stars (section 3), paying particular attention to the solar neutrino problem. The last studies and observations of different astrophysical scenarios (gamma-ray bursts, kilonova) which promise to clarify the origin of the heaviest elements are reviewed in section 4.3.3. Finally, we present the conclusion with some future prospects. A recent review of some of the material covered in this paper is in [6].
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relation between Li abundance and the temperature \cite{15}. Figure [1] shows the abundance of Li and Fe in a sample of halo stars. The \([\text{Li/H}]\) ratio is practically independent of \([\text{Fe/H}]\). Heavy elements are produced by stellar nucleosynthesis and thus their abundance increases over time as the matter circulates in and out of the stars. The independence of Li abundance from that of Fe indicates that \(^7\text{Li}\) is not related to galactic nucleosynthesis and therefore is of primordial origin. This flat trend is known as the Spite plateau \cite{16}, and its value represents the primordial abundance of Li. Li abundance has been measured in several galactic halo poor-metal stars \cite{12,13}. According to the observations the currently accepted value is \([\text{Li/H}] = (1.23^{+0.68}_{-0.32}) \times 10^{-10}\), where 95% of the error is systematic \cite{17}. In addition, Li was observed in stars of a metal-poor dwarf Galaxy and \([\text{Li/H}]\) abundances were consistent with the Spite plateau, indicating its universality \cite{18}. Furthermore, a recent study \cite{19} has shown an agreement between the Li abundances of low-metallicity gas in the ISM of the Small Magellanic Cloud and the value of BBN + WMAP predictions. The measured \(^6\text{Li}\) Li abundances, which is independent of stars, provides an alternative constraint on the primordial abundance and cosmic evolution of Li that is not susceptible to the in situ modifications that may affect stellar atmospheres. However, the results show a disagreement between predictions and measurements if there is any post-BBN Li production, as it is expected.

\(^6\text{Li}\) production in primordial nucleosynthesis is much lower than that of its mass isotope \(^7\text{Li}\). The \(^6\text{Li}\) and \(^7\text{Li}\) are spectroscopically distinguishable by the shift in their atomic lines. This isotopic split is much smaller than the thermal doppler broadening of the Li lines occurring in the stars, nevertheless, the high spectral resolution measures reach the precision needed to determine the presence of \(^6\text{Li}\). The isotope was observed in several poor-metal halo stars and an isotopic ratio of \([\text{\(^6\text{Li}/\text{\(^7\text{Li}\})}]\approx 0.05\) was obtained \cite{13}. Figure [1] shows a metallicity-independence pattern also for the \([\text{Li/H}]\) abundance, suggesting a primordial origin. \(^6\text{Li}\) Li observations remain controversial: some studies argue that stellar convective motions may have altered the delicate shape of spectral lines thus mimicking the presence of \(^6\text{Li}\) \cite{20}. A prudent approach is to consider the observations of the abundance \(^6\text{Li}\) as an upper limit. In any case, the analysis of this isotope also confirms that most of the primordial lithium is in the form of \(^7\text{Li}\).

The precise mapping of the primordial anisotropies obtained by WMAP has been used to test the main cosmological models such as the BBN. The CMB radiation carries a record of the conditions in the early universe at a time of the last scattering when H and He nuclei recombined with electrons to form neutral atoms. As a result, photons decoupled from baryons and the universe became transparent to radiation. It is expected that there would be temperature anisotropies in different parts of the present microwave sky, reflecting the oscillations in the photon-baryon fluid around the time of the decoupling (the so-called baryonic acoustic oscillations). The measurement of the cosmic baryon-to-photon ratio, \(\eta_{\text{WMAP}} = (6.19 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-10}\) is one of the most accurate results obtained by WMAP \cite{21}. Before the WMAP measurements, \(\eta\) was the only free parameter in the BBN model, and the only way to obtain its value was from the observed abundance of D, \(^4\text{He}\), and \(^7\text{Li}\). The new WMAP baryon density is much more accurate and allows us to eliminate the last free parameter in the BBN theory, providing a new way for verifying the validity of this model. Using \(\eta_{\text{WMAP}}\) as input in the BBN model and propagating errors, it is possible to compare the expected and observed abundances for all light elements. The observations of D and \(^4\text{He}\) are in agreement with the theory (the abundance measured at \(z \sim 3\), the theory predictions at \(z \sim 10^{10}\) and the WMAP data at \(z \sim 1\) are all consistent). \(^{3}\text{He}/\text{H}\) measurements have not been reported since are still unreliable. As for Li, the BBN + WMAP expectation and the measurements are in complete disagreement: using \(\eta_{\text{WMAP}}\) the expected abundance of Li becomes \([\text{Li/H}]_{\text{BBN+WMAP}} \approx (5.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10}\), quite different from that given by the Spite plateau. In conclusion, the value of the theoretical model is above the observations of a factor \(\approx 2.4-4.3\), which represents a discrepancy of 4.2-5.3 \(\sigma\). A number of explanations were put forward to solve the cosmological problem of Li. The issues to consider for a possible solution are numerous and belong to different fields of physics. They included (i) astrophysical solutions: the problem may lie in the observations, leading to an incorrect estimation of the primordial Li abundance, (ii) nuclear solutions: reevaluate rate and cross-sections reactions that lead to the creation or depletion of \(A = 7\) nuclei, (iii) solutions beyond standard physics: by building new models that go beyond the standard models of cosmology and particle physics. Regarding the astrophysical field, the Li problem might be hidden behind an underestimation of the Spite plateau, caused by systematic errors or improper determination of the stellar temperatures. In fact, in the concerned stars, Li is mostly ionized, therefore the measured abundance (obtained from the neutral Li line at 670.8 nm) needs a correction based on the [\(\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}^0\)] ratio, which varies exponentially with temperature. Another point to consider is to see whether the current Li content in the stars is actually primordial or whether factors that caused its depletion have occurred over time such as convective motions, turbulence or gravitational effects. More radical solutions involve elements that go beyond the standard models of cosmology and/or particles and could point to new physics. Some observations might bring into question the cosmological principle, highlighting large-scale inhomogeneities. If the baryon-to-photon ratio varied depending on the inhomogeneity, the BBN could have been different \cite{12}.

According to the BBN nuclear network, about 90% of Li is produced by Be decay, while only 10% is produced directly in primordial nucleosynthesis. \(^7\text{Be}\) is radioactive and decays, with a half-life of about 53 days, into stable atoms of \(^7\text{Li}\) through the only energy-efficient decay channel: electronic capture. This process takes place at later stages in BBN, since the \(^7\text{Be}\) electronic capture probability is virtually zero in the primordial universe due to the
Lithium abundances in selected metal-poor galactic halo stars. The values obtained for both Li isotopes are shown as a function of metallicity. The metallicity-independence of $^7\text{Li}$ is known as Spite plateau. It indicates that the abundance of Li is unrelated to galactic nucleosynthesis and therefore represents primordial abundance. The horizontal line is the predicted $^7\text{Li}$ abundance from BBN and the baryon density as determined by WMAP. Data collected from [12].

Actual abundance of $^7\text{Li}$ is directly related to the quantity of $^7\text{Be}$ produced in the BBN, even though it is not possible to observe $^7\text{Be}$ in the stars at present, as it is now completely decayed. An investigation of the processes that created and destroyed $^7\text{Be}$ through the history of the universe could resolve the disagreement between predictions and observations of Li. The problem could, in fact, be caused by a poor estimate of the production and destruction rates of the Be, probably due to an incorrect calculation of cross-sections. The main Be production reaction, $^3\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^7\text{Be}$, has been well studied and the cross-section is known with a precision of approximately 3% [23, 24]. Destruction of Be occurs mainly through the reactions $^7\text{Be}(n,p)^7\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Be}(n,\alpha)^4\text{He}$. Data on these reactions are scarce or completely missing, thus affecting the abundance of $^7\text{Li}$ predicted by the BBN. Regarding the $^7\text{Be}(n,p)^7\text{Li}$ reaction no cross-section measurements have yet been made in the MeV region, i.e., in the energies of interest for the BBN. A measure of $(n,p)$ at higher energies is scheduled at the Time-of-Flight facility (n_TOF) at CERN [25]. A secondary contribution is given by the $^7\text{Be}(n,\alpha)^4\text{He}$ process which accounts only for 2.5% of the total Be destruction, with an associated uncertainty of 10%. It has never been tested in the range of BBN temperatures and only one measurement, at thermal neutron energy, has been performed so far by Bassi et al [26] at the ISPRA reactor. Several theoretical extrapolations have been carried out, yielding various estimated cross-section trends with discrepancies of up to two orders of magnitude [27]. A new measured S-factor for the $^7\text{Li}$ production during the Big Bang as an explanation to the high observed values in metal-poor first generation stars. The value is also inconsistent with values used in previous BBN calculations [28]. Nevertheless, energy-dependent cross-section of the $(n,\alpha)$ reaction, has been recently measured for the first time from 10 meV to 10 keV neutron energy [29]. Results are consistent, at thermal neutron energy, with the only previous measurement performed in the 60s at a nuclear reactor, but regarding the trend of the cross-section as a function of neutron energy, the experimental data are clearly incompatible with the theoretical estimate used in BBN calculations.

Furthermore, in a recent publication by Hou et al [30] a team of scientists has proposed an elegant solution to the problem. One assumption in BBN is that all the nuclei involved in the process are in thermodynamic equilibrium and their velocities follow the classical Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The authors claim that Li nuclei do not obey this classical distribution in the extremely complex, fast-expanding Big Bang hot plasma. By applying the what is known as non-extensive statistics [31] the problem can be solved instead. The modified velocity distributions described by these statistics violate the classical distribution in a very small deviation of about 6.3-8.2%, and can successfully and simultaneously predict the observed primordial abundances of D, He, and Li (Fig. 2).

Alternative proposed solutions to the problem are the modification of the expansion rate during BBN [32] or depletion of $^7\text{Li}$ in stellar interiors [33]. Clearly, the problem of $^7\text{Li}$ is still an open issue in astrophysics and it represents an exciting challenge for the future.

3 Stellar nucleosynthesis

The nucleosynthesis of elements heavier than those produced in the BBN requires the extreme temperatures and
pressures found within stars. Charged-particle reactions are the main source of energy generation in stars and are responsible for the creation of elements up to Fe \(^{56}\). It is beyond the scope of this review to describe the highly complex phenomena of nucleosynthesis. The interested reader is referred to \cite{35} for a review of stellar nucleosynthesis. In this section, we will focus mostly on massive stars (> 8 \(M_\odot\)) nucleosynthesis since this is the critical mass for a star needed to start the C fusion after central He has been exhausted. After three further fusion phases, an iron core is formed and no further energy can be gained. These processes begin as H and He from the Big Bang collapsed into the first protostars at 250 million years \cite{36}. Star formation has occurred continuously in the universe since that time. Once a critical ignition temperature of \(\sim 10^6\) K is achieved, protostars begin to fuse D. The start of central H-burning is the start of the Main Sequence (MS). Then the conversion of central H into He \((T \sim 10^7\) K) occurs with energy production and with the simultaneous destruction (due to the high temperatures) of the newly synthesized Li, Be and B nuclei. Nevertheless, these atoms would be reformed, although in smaller quantities, in the ISM by the action of cosmic rays \cite{37}. The release of nuclear energy by fusion prevents further contraction of the star. The needed energy is released by nuclear reactions of the carbon-nitrogen-oxygen cycles (CNO-cycles) and proton-proton chains (pp-chains). The pp-chain \cite{38} provides a He nucleus from the synthesis of four H nuclei, while in the CNO-cycles nuclei of C, N and O do not directly participate in the reaction but serve as catalysts \cite{39}. The central energy release establishes a temperature and pressure gradient, falling from center to surface, creating a force acting in the opposite direction to gravity and keeping the star stable. During the MS massive stars have an inner convective core and a radiative envelope, i.e., in the core the energy is mainly transported outwards by flows of matter while in the envelope by outward diffusion of photons. Stars, in general, stay about 90% of their lifetime on the MS with a rather constant surface temperature and luminosity.

The end of the MS is marked by the exhaustion of H in the stellar center. The missing energy production leads to a short contraction phase of the whole star, until the H-burning ignites in a shell around the core, leading to an expansion of the envelope, while the core still contracts until He-burning starts. The core reaches a temperature of \(\approx 1.5 \times 10^8\) K, and it is now that the creation of C nuclei begins. Low and intermediate mass stars \((0.8-8 \, M_\odot)\) develop, after H and He core burning, an electron-degenerate C-O core which is too cool to ignite C-burning. The convective envelope moves down and penetrates in the He layer until it reaches the boundary of the core. At this point in time, a double shell structure develops: the center of the star is formed by a contracting degenerate C-O core, which is surrounded by both a He and an H-burning shell. This structure is unstable and He shell flashes develop, resulting in thermal pulses that are characteristic of Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars \cite{40}. During this Thermally Pulsing (TP-AGB) phase, the star has a high mass loss rate, which removes the entire envelope in a short time, leaving a naked C-O core which evolves into a White Dwarf (WD) (see \cite{41} for a review). On the contrary, as suggested by Hoyle \cite{42}, in stars that have reached core temperatures of \(\sim 10^8\) K, a nucleus of \(^{12}\)C is formed through a reaction involving three \(^4\)He nuclei, the so-called 3-\(\alpha\) process \cite{43}. The process is favored by both the high temperature in the core of stars, which allows overcoming the electrostatic repulsion, and by the environment composed almost exclusively of \(^4\)He. The 3-\(\alpha\) process has been revisited in many theoretical studies in recent years, due to the impossibility to measure this reaction directly. However, there are discrepancies between some works regarding the 3-\(\alpha\) process rates (e.g., \cite{44–46}). Furthermore, using new theoretical approaches, a very large reaction rate was obtained by Ogata \textit{et al} \cite{47}. But subsequent works, with improved theory, did not find a large enhancement. More discussions on these deviations and more recent results on the 3-\(\alpha\) reaction rates are reported in \cite{48} and \cite{49}.

With the formation of \(^{12}\)C via the 3-\(\alpha\) process, following \(\alpha\)-capture reactions can occur to produce \(^{16}\)O. The further increase of temperature, due to the contraction and ignition of the C-O core, causes the fusion of \(^{12}\)C atoms through which the \(^{20}\)Ne, \(^{23}\)Na, and \(^{24}\)Mg are created. Massive stars will proceed to synthesize by \(\alpha\)-capture Ne to O and Mg during a Ne-burning phase; O and Mg to Si and S during an O-burning phase and finally in Si-burning, Si and S are built into iron-group elements like Ni, Fe, and Cr. These burning phases ignite in this order with increasing temperatures, from \(T \gtrsim 6 \times 10^8\) K for C-burning to \(T \gtrsim 3.5 \times 10^9\) K for Si-burning. With increasing ignition temperatures also the time scales of the burning phases decrease, so while C-burning is of the order of 1000 years, Si is exhausted in the center in a couple of days. The shorter time scales of the later burning phases are related to the increasing energy loss by neutrinos with increasing temperatures. Because the maximum of nuclear binding energy is around Fe, stars cannot gain energy by fusion after Si-burning. As a consequence, the iron core grows until it reaches the critical mass, the Chandrasekhar mass \((M_{\text{Ch}} \approx 1.38 \, M_\odot)\), and it collapses marking the death of the star. Prior to collapse, a massive star will eventually consist of concentric onion-like layers burning different elements. Lighter elements will be produced in the outer layers, moving progressively through the 3-\(\alpha\)-ladder towards the interior of the star, with Fe and Ni at the core. During the final moments, electron degeneracy pressure in the core will be unable to support its weight against the force of gravity. As a result, the collapse increases temperatures in the core, which release very high-energy gamma rays. These high-energy photons break the Fe nuclei up into He nuclei through photodisintegration process. At this stage, the core has already contracted beyond the point of electron degeneracy, and as it continues contracting, protons and electrons are forced to combine to form neutrons (Proto-Neutron Star (PNS)). This process releases vast quantities of neutrinos carrying substantial amounts of energy, again causing the core to cool and contract even further. The contraction is finally
halted by the repulsive component of the nuclear force once the density of the inner core is nearly twice that of the atomic nucleus. The abrupt halt of the collapse of the inner core and its rebond generates an outgoing shock wave which reverses the infalling motion of the material in the star and accelerates it outwards. Such explosions are called Core-Collapse Supernovae (ccSNe) (see Section 4.2). The result of this dramatic explosions is the birth of a Neutron Star (NS) or a Black Hole (BH) (see, for more details, [50, 51]). The products of stellar nucleosynthesis are dispersed into the ISM through mass loss episodes (planetary nebulae), stellar winds of low-mass stars and ccSNe. ccSNe not only serve as the mechanism for the creation of heavier elements, they also serve as the mechanism for their dispersal.

During the CNO-cycle the chemical abundances are not well-known since the rates by which the nuclei undergo α-capture in the stellar core are only based on uncertain theoretical extrapolations of experimental data taken at energies that are substantially higher than the ones in stellar interiors. The reaction rates define the timescale for the stellar evolution, dictate the energy production rate, and determine the abundance distribution of seed and fuel for the next burning stage. The extrapolations are based on theoretical assumptions such as the incompressibility of nuclei reducing the probability of fusion and the possible impact of molecular cluster formation during the fusion process that increases the fusion probability. This uncertainty range may have severe consequences for our understanding of stellar heavy ion burning associated with late stellar evolution and stellar explosions. New measurements of the \((^{12}\text{C} + ^{16}\text{O})\) fusion reaction need to be performed over a wide energy range to provide a more reliable extrapolation of the fusion cross-section and to reduce substantially the uncertainty for stellar model simulations. Some other poorly known nuclear reactions that are of particular importance for stellar evolution are capture and fusion reactions (e.g., \(^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma)^{7}\text{Be}, \ ^{11}\text{N}(p, \gamma)^{15}\text{O}, \ ^{17}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{18}\text{F})\) and heavy-ion reactions (e.g., \(^{12}\text{C} + ^{12}\text{C}, \ ^{16}\text{O} + ^{18}\text{O})\) which influence the subsequent evolutionary stages of massive stars. Recently, advances have been made in this context. More than a thousand stellar models aimed at exploring properties of pre-supernova massive stars and C-O WDs have been proposed by Fields et al. [56, 57]. The group found that experimental uncertainties in reaction rates (e.g., \(^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma)^{7}\text{Be}, \ ^{11}\text{N}(p, \gamma)^{15}\text{O}, \ ^{17}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{18}\text{F})\) and heavy-ion reactions (e.g., \(^{12}\text{C} + ^{12}\text{C}, \ ^{16}\text{O} + ^{18}\text{O})\) dominate the variations of the properties of both, the progenitor and the CO core, such as burning lifetime, composition, central density, the core mass and O-depletion. The study allowed identification of the reaction rates that have the largest impact on the variations of the properties investigated and suggests that the variation in properties of the stellar model grows with each passing phase of the evolution towards Fe core-collapse. More progress is required to significantly improve the accuracy of stellar models and to provide more reliable nucleosynthesis predictions for nuclear astrophysics. The current generation of stellar models is still affected by several, not negligible uncertainties related to our poor knowledge of some thermodynamic processes and nuclear reaction rates, as well as the efficiency of mixing processes. These drawbacks have to be properly taken into account when comparing theory with observations, to derive evolutionary properties of both resolved and unresolved stellar populations.

The synthesis of heavy elements in massive stars provides an important source for the chemical enrichment of their surrounding ISM and hence of the universe. The ejecta of stars, the stellar yield, have been calculated by various groups since the early stage of stellar evolution modelling. Each stellar mass can produce and eject different chemical elements and the yields are therefore a function of the stellar mass but also of the original stellar composition. Low and intermediate mass stars produce He, N, C and heavy s-process elements (Section 4.1). Stars with \(M < 0.8 \, M_{\odot}\) do not contribute to the galactic chemical enrichment and have lifetimes longer than the Hubble time. Massive stars \(M \geq 8 - 10 \, M_{\odot}\) produce mainly α-elements (O, Ne, Mg, S, Ca, Si), some Fe, light s-process elements and perhaps r-process elements and explode as ccSNe. Predictions from Romano et al. [59] concerning several chemical elements obtained by using different sets of stellar yields and compared to observation in stars are in agreement for some chemical species whereas for others the agreement is still very poor. The reason for that resides in the uncertainties still existing in the theoretical stellar yields. Côté et al. [60] have compiled several observational studies to constrain the value and uncertainty of fundamental input parameters, including the stellar initial mass function and the rate of supernova explosions. The resulting uncertainty, which is a lower limit, depends on the galactic age and on the targeted elemental ratio. Portinari et al. [61] and Marigo [62] have published the most recent compatible self-consistent yield set of AGB and massive stars. Drawbacks of this set are that AGB models are not based on full stellar evolution simulations (synthetic models), the explosion yields are not consistent (based on yields by Woosley and Weaver [63]) and they include only isotopes up to Fe. Because of the lack of alternatives, these yields are still in use (e.g., [64, 65]). Despite several considerable improvements in the field of stellar nucleosynthesis in recent years, no single combination of stellar yields is found which is able to reproduce at once all the available measurements of chemical pattern and abundance ratios in the Milky Way. Further efforts need to be performed to improve and expand the current existing grids of stellar yields and to better understand their dependence on the metallicity, mass, and rate of rotation. A further discussion on stellar nucleosynthesis is given in Section 4.1.

3.1 Solar neutrino physics: current status

The production of energy in the stars through nuclear reactions has been proved only in the 60s. Thanks to the predicted solar neutrino flux and to the following detection of these neutrinos emitted during the H-burning it was possible to confirm the presence of thermonuclear
reactions in the interior of the Sun. Neutrinos created by H-burning can escape almost without interaction straight from the heart of the star. In so doing, they carry information on what is actually happening at the center. H-burning necessarily involves the emission of neutrinos. They arise when the nuclear weak interaction changes a proton to a neutron: $p \rightarrow n + e^+ + \nu_e$. This must occur twice during the H-burning process: $4p \rightarrow 4\text{He} + 2e^+ + 2\nu_e$. The expected flux of neutrinos can be found by noting that the formation of each $^{4}\text{He}$ is accompanied by the release of two neutrinos and a thermal energy $Q_{\text{eff}}$ of about 26 MeV. Results obtained from the solar neutrinos detection have been explained with theoretical predictions that account for neutrino flavor oscillations. It is interesting to point out that this explanation was proposed only after more than thirty years, and the problem is discussed here from a historical perspective. The following sections outline what is left to do in solar neutrino research and solar abundances models. We also discuss the main results from recent researches.

The solar neutrino problem was definitely solved by combining the SNO and the Super-Kamiokande measurements in 2001 [73]. The SNO collaboration determined the total number of solar neutrinos of all types (electron, muon, and tau) as well as the number of just electron neutrinos. The total number of neutrinos of all types agrees with the number predicted by the solar model. Electron neutrinos constitute about a third of the total number of neutrinos. The missing neutrinos were actually present but in the form of the more difficult to detect muon and tau neutrinos. The agreement between theoretical predictions and observations was achieved thanks to a new understanding of neutrino physics, which required a modification of the Standard Model to make neutrinos oscillations permitted [74]. There are still open questions that remain to be addressed in the future including what is the total solar neutrino luminosity, what is the CNO neutrino flux of the Sun and what is the solar core metallicity.

The latest predictions of the Standard Solar Model (SSM) and the neutrino fluxes from various experiments taking place inside the Sun are given in Vinyoles et al [68] and Bergström et al [69]. As expected, the neutrinos originate from the primary reaction of the proton-proton chain, the so-called pp neutrinos, and constitute nearly the entirety of the solar neutrino flux, vastly outnumbering those emitted in the reactions that follow. These neutrinos have low energy, never exceeding 0.42 MeV. The neutrinos from electron capture by $^{7}\text{Be}$ (the reaction which initiates the ppI reaction branch) are the next most plentiful. The $^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ reaction is the starting point of the ppII and ppIII reaction branches in the solar H-burning, therefore its rate has a substantial impact on the solar $^{7}\text{Be}$ and $^{8}\text{B}$ neutrino production. Using the SSM, the flux of these neutrinos can be calculated [70]. The reaction $^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ is one of the most uncertain, even if many experiments have been done in the last decade clearing up some long-standing issues [71]. Most of these cross-section measurements concentrated on the low energy range and their precision mostly reached the limits. Furthermore, there is no experimental data above 3.1 MeV, and there are conflicting data sets for the $^{6}\text{Li}(p,\gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ reaction having an impact on the level scheme of $^{7}\text{Be}$ [72].

In the last years, many efforts have been conducted in the understanding of the solar neutrino physics, including nuclear physics experiments, improvements of the SSM model and extensive solar neutrino measurements at new facilities such as Super-Kamiokande [75,76] and Borexino [77]. Plans at Borexino [78] include improvements in purity to reduce backgrounds and enable the first detection of neutrinos from the CNO cycle [79]. SNO+ [80] is nearing completion and promises detection of proton-electron-proton (pep) as well as CNO neutrinos. The pep reaction produces strong-energy-line neutrinos of 1.44 MeV. Detection of solar neutrinos from this reaction was reported by Bellini et al [81] on behalf of the Borexino collaboration. The detection of CNO neutrinos, together with further constraints from precision measurements of the $^{8}\text{B}$ neutrino flux, offers the most promising pathway to determine the metal content of the Sun, which is still under debate (see [82–85] and references therein). Neutrinos produced by $^{8}\text{B} \rightarrow ^{7}\text{Be} + e^+ + \nu_e$ have very small flux, about $4.9 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, but because of their high-energy (up to $\sim 15$ MeV) the $^8\text{B}$ neutrinos dominate the fluxes of many chlorine and water detectors, such as the more recent SNO and Super-Kamiokande experiments. Despite the improvements obtained in the last measurements, the flux uncertainty associated with these neutrinos ($\pm 10\%$) is rather large [86]. The uncertainty for the $^7\text{Be}$ neutrinos is smaller than that for $^8\text{B}$ neutrinos, about $\pm 5\%$ [87] and the flux of pp-neutrinos are accurately determined to the level of 1%. Another factor to be taken into account is the different temperature-dependence of each reaction. The $^8\text{B}$ neutrinos depend on temperature as $T_{c}^{10}$, where $T_{c}$ is the characteristic one-zone central temperature [88]. The $^7\text{Be}$ neutrinos vary as $T_{c}^{11}$. The pp-neutrinos are relatively less dependent on the central temperature. It is, however, worth mentioning the efforts made to accurately determine the cross-section of the $^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\text{B}$ reaction. The $^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\text{B}$ cross-section directly affects the detected flux of $^8\text{B}$ decay neutrinos from the Sun and plays a crucial role in constraining the properties of neutrino oscillations [89]. The $^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\text{B}$ reaction also plays an important role in the evolution of the first stars which formed at the end of the cosmic dark ages [90]. The precision of the astrophysical S-factor at solar energies ($\sim 20$ keV) is limited by extrapolation from laboratory energies of typically 0.1-0.5 MeV [71]. The theoretical predictions have uncertainties of the order of 20% [91], whereas recent experiments were able to determine the neutrino flux emitted from $^8\text{B}$ decay with a precision of 9% [92]. Direct measurements were carried out with the radioactive $^7\text{Be}$ beam on the H2 target [93], or with the proton beam on the $^7\text{Be}$ target [94]. Furthermore, indirect measurements were performed through Coulomb dissociation method [95,97] and the transfer reaction method [98,99]. More recently the astrophysical S-factors and reaction rates of $^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\text{B}$ have been also investigated by Du et al [100].
In addition to advanced neutrino detection experiments, titanic efforts are being invested in studying the solar abundance problem. Recent more accurate analyses \cite{101} have indicated that the solar photospheric metallicity is significantly lower than older values \cite{102}. This abundance problem has been the subject of a large number of investigations and reviews \cite{82, 83, 85, 103}. Many models have been proposed to modify the solar model, including enhanced diffusion \cite{104}, the accretion model \cite{105, 106}, etc. However, none of these models has succeeded in solving the problem. At present, the main task of solar nuclear physics is to improve the precision of the underlying nuclear reaction rates that connect neutrino observations with solar and neutrino physics, and to produce more accurate and realistic stellar models.

4 Heavy elements nucleosynthesis

Elements much heavier than Fe, such as Pb, Au, U are not produced in ordinary stellar nucleosynthesis (fusion reactions). Their formation involves different processes occurring inside stars or during explosive and catastrophic events. During these events, the capture of neutrons or protons by atoms is the main process by which heavy elements are formed.

There are three main processes by which nucleosynthesis of heavier elements happens: the s-, the r- and the p-process \cite{107, 109}.

- The s-process (slow) occurs inside massive stars (weak s-process) and stars that from the point of view of evolution are on the AGB (main s-process) \cite{110, 112}. These stars are able to build heavy and stable nuclei from Iron up to \(^{209}\)Bi (Fig. 3). The process occurs when a nucleus is able to capture neutrons one at a time. The resulting nucleus can be stable, or if radioactive, decays (\(\beta\)) into a stable element (following the path that leads to stability valley) before the next neutron is captured. Therefore, most of the isotopes on the s-process path are stable and can well be studied in the laboratory. It is estimated that the flow of neutron density in the s-process is between \(10^6\) and \(10^{11}\) neutrons/cm\(^2\)/s. It is responsible for about half of the heavier isotopes of iron. The relevant properties necessary for describing the s-process chain are the neutron capture cross-sections and, in addition, the beta decay rates of those unstable isotopes, which are long-lived enough to allow neutron captures to compete. A well-known element of the s-process is \(^{43}\)Tc, an element that has no stable isotopes has a half-life of millions of years and was used by Merrill \cite{113} to prove evidence of nucleosynthesis in other stars such as S-Type.

- The second half of the solar abundances above Fe is contributed by the r-process (rapid) which on the contrary of the s-process, occurs with temperature and neutron density very high \((N_n \gtrsim 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-3}\)). With this physical conditions becomes possible that a nucleus absorbs a neutron before it can decay (\(\beta\)). Explosive scenarios such as ccSNe, NS mergers, and collapsar are potential r-process sites \cite{114, 116}. The total process is extremely fast and takes place in a time interval comprised between 0.01 and 10 seconds. This process produces neutron-rich nuclei which then decay gradually towards the valley of stability. This implies that the reaction path is shifted into the neutron-rich region of the nucleus chart until a waiting point is reached. At waiting points, the \((n,\gamma)\) sequence is halted by inverse \((\gamma,n)\) reactions by the hot photon bath of the explosion. Contrary to the s-process, where the abundances are dependent on the cross-section values, the r-abundances are determined by the \(\beta\)-decay half-lives of these waiting points close to the neutron drip line. More than 90% of elements such as Eu, Au, and Pt in the solar system are believed to be synthesized in the r-process \cite{117}. When subtracting s-process abundances, the r-process emerges as a process with a path far on the neutron-rich side of stability, requiring explosive environments with a large neutron to seed-nuclei ratios.

Due to the r-process, it is possible to overcome the barrier of the \(\alpha\) decay of \(^{210}\)Bi that stops the s-process. For nuclei heavier than \(^{210}\)Bi r-process neutron capture is interrupted when it meets the fission threshold induced by the absorption of the neutron. The r-process is the only responsible for the existence of nuclei heavier than Bi, especially long-lived nuclei \(^{232}\)Th, \(^{235}\)U, and \(^{238}\)U.

- Some proton-rich nuclides found in nature (mass numbers of \(A > 74\), between \(^{74}\)Se and \(^{196}\)Hg) are not reached in the previous processes and therefore at least one additional process is required to synthesize them. P-nuclei could well be synthesized by successively adding protons to a nuclide \((\text{p},\gamma)\) or from the destruction of pre-existing s- or r-nucleides by different combinations of \(\gamma\)-processes \((\gamma,p)\) or \((\gamma,n)\) reactions followed by \((\gamma,p)\) or \((\gamma,n)\) reactions. Some \(\beta\)-decays, electron captures \((\text{n},\gamma)\) reactions eventually complete the nuclear chain. These reactions may lead directly to the production of a p-nucleide. The resulting p-abundances are influenced by the proton and photon density and by the initial seed abundances. The possible astrophysical sites of origin of p-process nuclei in the universe are not well constrained. Neutrino winds from the forming NS \((\nu p\text{-process})\) , ccSNe and Type Ia supernovae \((\gamma\text{-process})\) have been considered as a possible site for the p-process. It is also conceivable that there is not just a single process responsible for all p-nuclei.

In the following sections, we will address the main aspects associated with the neutron and proton capture nucleosynthesis. This is supplemented with an overview of observations from explosive processes that characterize ccSNe.
4.1 Massive and AGB stars

4.1.1 s-, and i-processes

The weak s-process takes place at the end of the convective He-burning core and in the subsequent convective C-burning shell \[120\] in massive stars (e.g., 25 $M_{\odot}$), whereas the main s-process occurs in low-mass AGB stars. It is characterized by comparably low neutron densities, so that neutron capture times are much slower than most $\beta$-decay times. This implies that the reaction path of the s-process follows the stability valley. Although the available cross-sections under stellar conditions were very scarce and rather uncertain, was already inferred \[7\] that the product of cross-section times the resulting s-abundance represents a function of mass number $A$ with jumps at shell closures and gaps at branchings.

The weak s-process nucleosynthesis is responsible for the production of the low-mass range of the s-process elements from iron group seed nuclei to \(^{58}\text{Fe}\) on up to Sr and Y (see \[124\] and references therein). The neutron source is provided by the reaction \(^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}\). First attempts to investigate the possible role of rotational mixing on the s-process production in massive stars have shown that this classic picture could be significantly revised. The impact of rotation on the nucleosynthesis in low-Z massive rotating stars has been explored by different groups \[122,124\]. At solar metallicity, rotation-induced mixing has a moderate effect on s-process production. At very low metallicities, however, rotation-induced mixing has a much stronger effect, and therefore a heavy impact on the evolution and nucleosynthesis of the first stellar generations in the universe. Indeed, rotation leads to mixing between the He-burning core and the H-burning shell. Eventually, the He-burning products \(^{12}\text{C}\) and \(^{16}\text{O}\) are mixed into the H-burning shell, which produces \(^{14}\text{N}\) via the CNO cycle. Later on, the \(^{14}\text{N}\) is mixed back into the He-burning core, at which point it immediately converts into \(^{22}\text{Ne}\) via \(^{14}\text{N}(\alpha,n)^{18}\text{F}(e^+,\nu)^{18}\text{O}(\gamma)^{22}\text{Ne}\). At the end of He-burning core, \(^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}\) releases large amounts of neutrons and drastically changes the s-process production \[125\]. Due to a high neutron number density, the weak s-process possibly produces heavy nuclei up to $A \sim 200$. Recent observations \[126,127\] have confirmed that s-abundance in globular clusters in the bulge of our Galaxy is compatible with the s-process production in fast-rotating massive stars at low metallicity, supporting the view that massive stars could indeed be also important sources for these elements. However, there are studies about the uncertainty of nuclear physics on the s-process \[128,130\], and production of heavy s-process nuclei in massive low-Z stars strongly depends on rotation. The abundance is also influenced by the uncertainty of neutron source or neutron poison reactions (see \[131\] and references therein). The production of Sr and Ba in metal-poor stars has been investigated because of the observational importance \[132\]. In their work, Cescutti et al \[133\] presented galactic chemical evolution models using the larger grid of models and showed that rotation-induced mixing is able to explain the large scatter for [Sr/Ba] observed in extremely metal-poor stars. Since there still remain a number of stars at the lowest metallicities with only upper limits on Sr and/or Ba, increasing the sample sizes and the quality of the available high-resolution spectroscopy for stars at these metallicities is an essential step toward understanding nucleosynthesis at the earliest epochs and ultimately to characterize environmental influence of the astrophysical sites of heavy elements production \[134\].

As already mentioned before, the AGB phase represents the last stage of nuclear burning of small and intermediate mass stars. The AGB phase is short if compared to the MS stage, but is very important because it is a rich site of nucleosynthesis. These stars, once the supply of He for fusion in their core is exhausted, draw energy from fusion of the H and He shells around the degenerate C-O core. In this phase, the stars increase their brightness and their size, losing material from the outer layers due to strong stellar winds. One of the characteristics of the AGB phase is the intermittent thermal instability of the He-burning shells. These energy bursts manifest themselves as thermal pulses and hence this phase is known as the TP-AGB phase. These pulses typically happen every $10^4$-$10^5$ years (see, for more details, \[40\]). The s-process nucleosynthesis in AGB stars occurs in relatively low neutron density conditions ($\sim 10^7$ neutrons/cm$^3$) during the late stages of the stellar evolution when the star has a thin radiative layer (intershell region) and an expanded convective envelope (Fig. 1). The main neutron enrichment sources are the \(^{13}\text{C}(\alpha,n)^{16}\text{O}\) reaction, which releases neutrons radiatively during interpulse periods, and the \(^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}\) reaction, partially activated during the convective thermal pulses. The production of neutrons through the channel \(^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}\) is really efficient only in high-mass AGB stars ($M \geq 4 M_{\odot}$), due to the high temperature required for this reaction to occur. Such-
Fig. 4. Schematic structure of a TP-AGB star. The degenerate C-O core is surrounded by the H and He-burning shells. The C-O core is very compact and it is surrounded by a first shell in which the He combustion takes place. Over this layer, there is a region, called the *intershell*, rich in He produced from the second shell, the one where the H fusion takes place. Other elements present in non-negligible quantities are C, Ne, and O. As can be seen in the figure, the two shells are very close each other and this is precisely one of the characteristics that induce the thermal pulse and the consequent instability.

Temperatures can also be reached during the TP-AGB phase of less massive stars, but in this case, the produced neutrons only marginally affect the final distribution of the s-process abundances. This reaction takes place in a convection environment. The $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha,\text{n})^{16}\text{O}$ reaction requires at the same time proton and α-capture reactions to occur in the He shell. One of the problems related to the modelling of this channel of neutrons production is due to the low abundance of $^{13}\text{C}$. This element is produced during the phases following the development of the intermediate convective layer where some penetration of protons creates a reservoir of H in the He-rich layers. When the outer parts of the star re-contract and heat, H-burning is ignited again and the trapped protons are captured by the abundant $^{12}\text{C}$, inducing the chain $^{12}\text{C}(\text{p,}\gamma)^{13}\text{N}(\beta^+\nu)^{13}\text{C}$. However, the $^{13}\text{C}$ produced is not sufficient to explain the neutron production needed for the s-process nucleosynthesis. The reaction occurs in a radiative environment and leads to the formation of the so-called $^{13}\text{C}$ *pocket* (see [135] and references therein). The mass occupied by the $^{13}\text{C}$ *pocket* is $\Delta M \approx 7 \times 10^{-4} \, M_\odot$ [110], and the temperature required for this reaction is of the order of $T \approx 9 \times 10^7 \, \text{K}$. Recently, new calculations aiming at clarifying the $^{13}\text{C}$ issue have been carried out [136–138]. The models are based on the development of toroidal magnetic fields, induced by stellar dynamos, in the radiative He-rich layers below the convective envelope, and help to constrain the nucleosynthesis results obtained with the $^{13}\text{C}$ *pocket* extension with observations from the solar composition.

Recent advances in s-process nucleosynthesis are related to the determination of the neutron density in massive AGB stars [139,140]. In particular, compared to solar abundances, the spectra of massive AGB stars of our Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds, reveal a strong over-abundance of Rubidium [141,142] and high [Rb/Zr] ratios [143]. Rb is an example of element produced not only by the s-process but also by the r-process. The exact contribution of the two processes depends on the s-process model used to estimate the abundance, which is directly linked to the neutron enrichment process and, consequently, to the local neutron density. AGB stars nucleosynthesis models [110] are far from matching the extremely Rb and [Rb/Zr] values, and the explanation of Rb overabundance would eventually lead to a better understanding of the $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,\text{n})^{25}\text{Mg}$ reaction. Within the framework of the s-process, it is difficult to explain the lack of co-production of Zr, which is part of the same production peak of Rb and should be produced in similar quantities. Some solutions have been discussed in the literature to account for the Rb overabundance. Karakas et al [112] demonstrated that for solar-metallicity stars, [Rb/Fe] $\sim$ 1.4 could be reached if the final stage of mass loss was delayed, resulting in a larger number of thermal pulses and increased Rb production. However, the observed [Zr/Fe] ratios are roughly solar (within 0.5 dex [144]), suggesting no production of this element in intermediate mass AGB stars. A different explanation has been proposed, such as the possibility that the gaseous Zr, having a condensation temperature (1741 K) [145] greater than that of Rb (800 K), condenses in dust grains, producing an apparent lack of Zr, when measured from the molecular bands of ZrO [143,146]. Others possible solutions to the problem include the fact that the Magellanic Cloud observations are very uncertain, an incomplete understanding of the atmospheres of luminous AGB stars [142] and a different AGB mass-loss rate [147,148]. Clearly, the future resolution of the Rubidium problem promises to be an exciting challenge.

The nucleosynthetic model for the lighter s-process elements between Sr and Ba is not well understood yet.
Travaglio \textit{et al.} [153] studied these elements and by summing up all contributions from their model the authors found that 8%, 18% and 18% of Sr, Y, and Zr were missing. This missing fraction is assumed to come from primary origin from massive stars at low [Fe/H]. Because the process mainly affects the lighter peak elements this additional (unknown) nucleosynthetic contribution is called Lighter Element Primary Process (LEPP), or weak r-process [154], and could explain some differences between these elements. Recently, the LEPP abundances have been further investigated by many authors [155–157] confirming the need for an additional process to account for the missing component of the light s-process isotopes. Most of the elements are produced through a mixture of s- and r-process [158]. This makes it harder to determine which of the processes are involved when creating the elements. The main component of the s-process is produced at metallicities starting at [Fe/H] $\sim -0.66$ [159] which corresponds to the time interval $t \approx 2.6$ Gyr. Going to even lower metallicities or further back in time, gives insight into the undiluted view on other processes. At lower metallicities, from [Fe/H] $\sim -1.16$ to [Fe/H] $\sim -0.66$ [159], the site for the strong component of the s-process was identified. At even lower metallicities, before the s-process sets in, the LEPP is believed to occur somewhere in stars. According to Cristallo \textit{et al.} [160] a variation of the standard paradigm of AGB nucleosynthesis would make it possible to reconcile models predictions with solar system s-only abundances. However, the LEPP cannot be definitely ruled out, because of the uncertainties still affecting stellar and galactic chemical evolution models. Several scenarios have been recently explored, both involving the primary r-process during the advanced phases of explosive nucleosynthesis (see [161] for a review) or secondary s-process in massive stars (e.g., cs-component [162]). Therefore, even if promising theoretical improvements related to the explosive phases of massive stars and ccSNe, as well as recent spectroscopic investigations [163, 164] have been made, a full understanding about the origin of the neutron capture elements from Sr up to Ba is still lacking.

New models and observations have suggested that in addition to the well-known slow- and rapid neutron capture processes, there may be an intermediate mode of neutron capture nucleosynthesis, the so-called i-process. This process is defined by a neutron flux larger than those found in the well-established s-process, yet smaller than the extreme conditions of the r-process. A possible signature of the i-process could be the simultaneous enhancement of Eu, usually considered an r-process element, and La, usually considered an s-process element, in some carbon enhanced metal-poor stars that have been classified as CEMP-r/s stars [150]. Post-AGB stars have been discussed earlier as possible nucleosynthesis sites for the i-process, yet there are still discrepancies and open questions to be addressed. In a recent study by Jones \textit{et al.} [151], super-AGB stars are identified as another possible astrophysical site for the i-process. In their new computational models of these very heavy AGB stars, mixing at convective boundaries are taken into account according to a parameterized model. These new stellar evolution models suggest that proton-rich material could be convectively mixed into He-burning shell, leading to conditions suitable for the i-process. Interestingly, it could be shown that i-process conditions are more prominently found in models with lower metal content, indicating that the i-process could have been more important in the early universe. 1-D stellar evolution models can only identify possible sites for i-process nucleosynthesis [151] but the H-ingestion ashes are likely associated with substantial nuclear energy release, reaching maybe the level of the local binding energy of the He-burning shell. Such enormous energy input is coupled with a multi-scale turbulent mixing which cannot be realistically described with 1-D simulations [152]. 3D stellar hydrodynamics simulations are mandatory to understand these nuclear astrophysics events fully and provide the appropriate context for further nuclear astrophysics investigations.

A recent discussion has proposed the possibility of neutrons catalyzing the formation of heavier nuclei, for example, the formation of the Rydberg nuclear molecule $^{19}$O $^{10}$Be $+ n + n$ $^{10}$Be, which might exist in rich neutron environments within AGB stars [165]. In this mechanism of formation, neutrons mediate the Efimov long-range interaction of the Be nuclei, and could eventually be used to form other nuclear molecules with heavier nuclei facilitating the nuclear reaction and eventually nucleosynthesis. Calculations show that one cannot confirm, but it is also difficult to rule out the existence of such molecules based on what is known about nuclear interactions.

### 4.2 Supernovae

Supernovae can be distinguished into two kinds: Type Ia (SNIa) which are thought to be the explosion of a WD in a binary system that accretes sufficient mass from its companion, and all the rest (Type II, Ib, Ic), which are generated within several possible scenarios (for a review of all scenarios see, [166, 168]). Observationally they can be classified according to the absence (Type I) or presence (Type II) of H lines in their spectra. Type II (SNIa), Ib and Ic, are produced from massive stars $\approx 10 M_\odot$ and are observed in the spiral and irregular galaxies. SNIa happens in all types of galaxies with no preference for star-forming regions, consistent with their origin from an old or intermediate age stellar population. Within the framework of SNIa the general scenario is that a C-O WD accretes mass from a companion star in a binary system until it ignites near to the Chandrasekhar mass [169]. The companion star of the C-O WD is usually a He-burning star or a He-rich WD [170, 174]. It has been proposed that the detonation of the He-rich shell is triggered via thermal instability if the companion of the C-O WD is a He star (e.g., [175]), whereas the detonation of the He-rich envelope is ignited dynamically if the companion is a He-rich WD (e.g., [171]). For more discussions on the progenitors of SNIa see, [167, 170]. WD instabilities are relevant for SNIa since are related not only to strong magnetic fields in the star interior [180] but also to neutronization.
due to electron capture reactions. Because of this reaction, atomic nuclei become more neutron-rich and the energy density of the matter is reduced, at a given pressure, leading to a softer Equation of State. Other nuclear reactions that turn very massive WDs unstable are the pnycnonuclear fusion reactions in the cores of these compact stars \cite{181, 182}. These reactions among heavy atomic nuclei, schematically expressed as $^2Y + ^4Y \rightarrow ^6Y$, are possible due to the high density matter of WDs; an important reaction is carbon on carbon, $^{12}C + ^{12}C$. Plynconuclear reactions have been found to occur over a significant range of stellar densities (see, for instance, \cite{183}), including the density range found in the interiors of WDs \cite{184, 185}. Recently, WD calculations in general relativity also showed that central energy densities are limited by nuclear fusion reactions and inverse $\beta$-decay \cite{181, 184}. The nuclear fusion rates at which very low energy plynconuclear reactions proceed, however, are highly uncertain because of some poorly constrained parameters \cite{186}. Finally, it should be mentioned that very recently it has been suggested that plynconuclear reactions could be able to drive powerful detonations in single C-O WDs \cite{187}.

4.2.1 Explosive nuclear burning: observations

The explosive nucleosynthesis is associated with the passage of the ccSN shock wave through the layers above the PNS (see \cite{188} for a review). The shock heats the matter it traverses, inducing an explosive nuclear burning characterized by short times which lead to large deviations from equilibrium and hydrostatic nuclear burning patterns. This explosive nucleosynthesis can alter the elemental abundance distributions in the inner (Si, O) shells. The properties of the process are tied to those of the explosion. The details of the nucleosynthesis, which produces radioactive nuclei such as $^{44}$Al, $^{56}$Si, $^{44}$Ti, $^{56}$Ni and $^{56}$Co during the explosions, are not yet fully understood. In order to shed light on the mechanism which drives the explosive nucleosynthesis, one can have some hints from the observations of the energy and material which are injected into the interstellar medium from ccSN explosions. Some of this material, which is the result of nucleosynthesis processes occurring during the explosion, is made of radioactive isotopes, and therefore allow us to infer the ccSN nucleosynthesis conditions which are needed in order to produce them. For instance, the observations of gamma-rays from $^{44}$Ti and $^{56}$Ni in ccSN events represents a valuable tool to penetrate deep into the interiors of these explosions, which are otherwise only accessible through neutrinos \cite{189}. In this section, we outline the results of the comparison of explosive nucleosynthesis models with observations from ccSN. Since the launch of the INTEGRAL observatory has been possible to accurately determine the gamma-ray flux associated to heavy elements produced by the astrophysical sources. The main site of production of the radioisotope $^{44}$Ti is thought to be the innermost ejected layers of ccSN explosions, and the study of its abundance has been the focus of several works \cite{190, 192}. The $^{44}$Ti yield of ccSN is notoriously difficult to calculate because it depends on the explosion energy and on the symmetry of the explosion \cite{193}. $^{44}$Ti is believed to be produced in the deepest layers of the exploding star from which it may be ejected, and theoretical calculations indicate that both increased explosion energy and increased asymmetry result in an increased $^{44}$Ti yield. Observationally, the presence of the radioisotope $^{44}$Ti is revealed to the gamma-ray astronomer through the emission of three gamma-ray lines. The decay $^{44}$Ti $\rightarrow ^{44}$Sc gives rise to gamma rays at 67.9 keV and 78.4 keV. The subsequent decay $^{44}$Sc $\rightarrow ^{44}$Ca gives rise to a line at 1157.0 keV. The amount and the velocity of $^{44}$Ti is a powerful probe of the explosion mechanism and dynamics of ccSNs, and in addition, the $^{44}$Ti gamma-ray line emission is an ideal indicator of young supernovae remnants. Up to now, $^{44}$Ti has not yet been directly detected in SN 1987A. From modelling of the Ultraviolet Optical Infrared (UVOIR) light curves, which are usually modeled by the radioactive decay, different values of the amount of produced $^{44}$Ti have been predicted, which do not always agree with each other, neither within the respective uncertainties. For example, from analysis of X-ray data taken from INTEGRAL, Grebenev et al \cite{194} suggested a value of $(3.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$, while UVOIR bolometric light curves analysis of Seitenzahl et al \cite{195} indicate a value $(0.55 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$. Observations of the supernova remnant Cas A by the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope ARray (NuSTAR) suggested for the produced amount of $^{44}$Ti a value of $(1.25 - 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$, by measuring the flux of decay lines of $^{44}$Ti at about 78 and 68 keV \cite{196}. Furthermore, there is a lack of consistency between the theoretical predictions and observations. Spherically symmetric (1D) models of SN 1987A produce, in general, a few $10^{-5}$ $M_\odot$ $^{44}$Ti \cite{195}. For instance, Perego et al \cite{197} using the method PUSH to produce a 1D supernova explosion, which better fits to the produced amounts of $^{56}$Ni in SN 1987A, predict an amount of $3.99 \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ for $^{44}$Ti. Magkotsios et al \cite{198} investigated the $^{44}$Ti abundance produced from ccSNe by studying the impact on the $^{44}$Ti abundance evolution of variation of the nuclear reactions, including $(\alpha, \gamma)$, $(\alpha, p)$, $(p, \alpha)$, and $(\alpha, n)$ in light and intermediate mass targets. It was found that the variation in the $^{17}$F($\alpha$,p)$^{20}$Ne reaction rate causes a primary impact on the $^{44}$Ti abundance. The $^{17}$F($\alpha$,p)$^{20}$Ne reaction rate, however, has never been measured. Because the reaction rate may be dominated by the properties of energy levels of $^{21}$Na above the $\alpha$-threshold at 6.561 MeV, searching for energy levels of $^{21}$Na and studying their properties may impact our understanding of the abundance evolution of $^{44}$Ti. In this context, the reaction $^{24}$Mg($p$,n)$^{21}$Na plays a central role and knowledge of its rate is of key importance. The $^{24}$Mg($p$,n)$^{21}$Na reaction was recently measured by Cha et al \cite{198} in order to make a spectroscopic study of the energy levels in the $^{21}$Na for the $^{17}$F($\alpha$,p)$^{20}$Ne reaction rate at stellar temperatures. Further comparisons between observations and models are clearly demanded in the future, and more precise nuclear physics inputs are required.
The short-lived radioisotope $^{56}\text{Ni}$ is also synthesized in the deep interiors of ccSN explosions. CCSN light is understood as being powered mainly by $^{56}\text{Ni}$ radioactive decay, as demonstrated by the characteristic light curve and spectral-evolution data \cite{199}. These radioactive isotopes carry the information about the environment of the explosion formation, unaffected by the violent expansion of the ccSN \cite{200}. One of the key issues from observations is the broad range of inferred amounts of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. The proximity of SN 1987A allowed the very first detection of gamma-ray lines from the radioactive process $^{56}\text{Ni} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Co} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Fe}$ \cite{201}. By estimates of the extinction towards Cas A and the Fe mass from X-ray observations, Eriksen et al \cite{202} predict the mass of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ to be in the range (0.58-0.16) $M_\odot$. The standard value is $^{56}\text{Ni} \sim 0.07 M_\odot$ \cite{195}.

Different theoretical predictions have been carried out for the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. Concerning Cas A, Magkotsios et al \cite{190} post-processed the trajectories of a 1D ccSN model from Young et al \cite{203}, whose progenitor was designed to match Cas A, and obtained a value of $2.46 \times 10^{-1} M_\odot$ for $^{56}\text{Ni}$. While using a two-dimensional rotating 15 $M_\odot$ model of Fryer and Heger \cite{204}, they obtain a higher value of $3.89 \times 10^{-1} M_\odot$ for $^{56}\text{Ni}$. However, it must be emphasized, that all the above models do not follow the ccSN shock wave long enough, and therefore hydrodynamic trajectories have to be extrapolated in order to be able to perform nucleosynthesis calculations.

Another important element which is synthesized during the final burning stage is the gamma-ray emitter $^{26}\text{Al}$, which has been detected in the interstellar medium of our Galaxy \cite{205,206}. $^{26}\text{Al}$ is produced mainly in massive star winds and during ccSN explosions. $^{26}\text{Al}$ production for different candidate sources has been estimated by various groups \cite{207,208}. Chieffi and Limongi \cite{210} include stellar winds and during ccSN explosions. The individual nearby star-forming regions Sco-Cen \cite{213}, Orion \cite{212}, and Cygnus \cite{214} have been studied in detail and good agreement has been found between theory and the observations. Theoretical ccSN models, however, suffer from considerable uncertainties in $^{26}\text{Al}$ production because of a lack of experimental knowledge of the reactions that create and destroy $^{26}\text{Al}$ under ccSN conditions \cite{63,208}. For instance, the uncertainties in the nuclear reaction rates responsible for the formation of $^{26}\text{Al}$ ejected in the supernova explosions lead to uncertainties of a factor $\sim 3$ \cite{215}. Classical novae \cite{216} are one potential source of $^{26}\text{Al}$ and it has been shown that up to 0.4 $M_\odot$ of the galactic abundance could have been produced in these sites \cite{217}. In particular, the $^{26}\text{Al}(p,\gamma)^{27}\text{Si}$ \cite{218} reaction strongly affects the abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in nova ejecta. The short-lived isomer, $^{26}\text{m}\text{Al}$ in the destruction of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in novae plays a special role since $^{26}\text{m}\text{Al}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ are in quasi-equilibrium in these conditions, and thus knowledge of both, the destruction of the ground state and iso-

4.2.2 r-process

Neutrinos play a crucial role in our understanding of SNII (see, for example, \cite{221}). According to the currently most widely accepted theory for the explosion of a massive star, the explosion energy is provided by the neutrinos that are abundantly emitted from the nascent PNS and interact with the material of the progenitor star (Fig. 5). This energy deposition is not only supposed to power the propagation of the supernova shock into the stellar mantle and envelope regions as well as to cause the violent disruption of the star but also drives a mass outflow from the surface of the PNS. This continues for more than 10 seconds and might be a suitable site for r-process nucleosynthesis. The baryonic outflow that expands with supersonic velocities is known as the neutrino-driven wind \cite{222}. The PNS cools by emitting neutrinos, i.e., $\nu_e$, $\bar{\nu}_e$. As these neutrinos pass through the hot material predominantly consisting of free nucleons immediately outside the PNS, a fraction of the $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ can be absorbed through $\nu_e + n \rightarrow p + e^-$ and $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$. On average, a nucleon obtains $\sim 20$ MeV from each interaction with $\nu_e$ or $\bar{\nu}_e$. In order to escape from the PNS gravitational potential of $G M_{\text{NS}} m_r / R_{\text{NS}} \approx 200$ MeV, a nucleon in the wind must interact with $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ for $\sim 10$ times. Eventually, the neutrino-driven wind collides with the slow, early ccSN ejecta resulting in a wind termination shock or reverse shock \cite{223}. The above reactions also interconvert neutrons and protons, thereby determining the electron fraction $Y_e$ in the wind \cite{224}. The neutrino-driven wind has attracted vast attention over the last 20 years as it was suggested to be a candidate for the astrophysics site where half of the heavy elements are produced via the r-process \cite{222}. The general conditions required for the r-process were investigated both via analytical \cite{225} and via steady-state \cite{226} models of neutrino-driven winds.

In order to account for the solar r-process abundances associated with the peaks at A $\sim 130$ and 195, each supernova must eject $\sim 10^{-6}$–$10^{-5}$ $M_\odot$ of r-process material. Although the current neutrino-driven wind models have difficulty in providing the heavy r-process conditions \cite{237}, the wind naturally ejects $\sim 10^{-6}$–$10^{-5}$ $M_\odot$ of material over a period of $\sim 1$ s \cite{228}. This is because the small heating rate due to the weakness of neutrino interaction permits material to escape from the deep gravitational potential
of the PNS star at a typical rate of $\sim 10^{-6}-10^{-5} M_\odot$ s$^{-1}$ [226]. Indeed, the ability to eject a tiny but interesting amount of material was recognized as an attractive feature of the neutrino-driven wind model of the r-process (e.g., [229]). However, current models fail to provide the conditions for an r-process to occur in the wind. For instance, the production of heavy r-process elements ($A > 130$), requires a high neutron-to-seed ratio. This can be achieved by the following conditions: high entropy, fast expansions or low electron fraction [226,230]. As Arcones et al. [231] remark, these conditions are not yet realized in hydrodynamical simulations that follow the outflow evolution during the first seconds of the wind phase after the explosion [232]. Contrarily, the weak r-process, which accounts for the lighter neutron-capture elements ($A \sim 80$ peak), is strongly believed to take place in neutrino-driven winds that could occur in ccSNe or collapsar accretion disks [233]. The astrophysical conditions required to produce the peak region through weak r-process can be found in the recent study by Surman et al. [234]. Once the wind has cooled down after a few seconds, charged particle reactions are key in the production of the heavy elements. For a typical wind evolution, the ($\alpha$,n) is faster than all other charged particle reactions, thus driving the nucleosynthesis evolution in neutron-rich winds. None of the most relevant ($\alpha$,n) reactions had been measured in the energy range relevant for weak r-process astrophysical conditions. So far modelers have to rely on theoretical predictions of these rates. Furthermore, the theoretical uncertainties of the calculated reaction rates can be as high as 2 orders of magnitude and abundance network calculations are highly sensitive within the expected theoretical uncertainties of these rates [235]. A recent systematic study searching for the critical reaction rates that influence the most of the final abundances in weak r-process scenarios has allowed identifying the most impactful reaction rates, which can then be pinned down experimentally by measurements in radioactive beam facilities [236]. Most of the reaction rates responsible for the production of elements ($A \sim 80$) in neutrino-driven winds are either viable with current beam intensities in existing nuclear physics facilities or will be in the near future. Nuclei that participate in the r-process typically have half-lives that are too short to allow them to be made into a target. As neutron targets are not available, neutron capture experiments performed on these nuclei represent a big challenge. Improvements in theoretical reaction rates are needed, along with the advances in experiments, to reduce these fundamental nuclear physics uncertainties. Another possible scenario could be the r-process nucleosynthesis in the neutrino-driven outflows from the thick accretion disk (or ”torus”) around a BH, as recently investigated by Wanajo et al. [238]. BHs accretion torus is expected as remnants of binary NS or NS-BH mergers. The computed mass-integrated nucleosynthetic abundances are in good agreement with the solar system r-process abundance distribution, suggesting that BH torus winds from compact binary mergers have the potential to be a major, and in some cases dominant, production site of r-process elements [239].

There is direct evidence that ccSNe also produce Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) jets with a power comparable to the explosion itself [240,242]. Expected speeds are $\sim 0.25-0.5c$ (the escape speed from the new PNS). While NSs are expected to be left after ccSN explosions, it has been suggested that a star of more than 25 $M_\odot$ may collapse to a BH [243]; an accretion disk is formed around the BH if the star has enough angular momentum before the collapse. This system could produce a relativistic jet of gamma-ray (GRBs, see Section 4.3.3) due to MHD effects, whose system is called a Collapsar model [244]. Magnetically driven jets of collapsar models have been extensively investigated as a site of the r-process of heavy elements [245,246]. Strong magnetostrophic jet of the collapsar model can produce heavy r-process nuclei with a very simple treatment of BH formation [247]. Estimations of the compositions of jets ejected by a collapsar have shown that the synthesis of heavy elements can occur also in the ejection phase during the core-collapse of the star [115]. It was found found that elements like U and Th are synthesized through the r-process when the source has a large magnetic field (10$^{12}$ G). In addition, many p-nuclei are produced in the jets. The material far from the axis does not fall straight in but forms an accretion disk first if the angular momentum of the star is high enough. For high accretion rates, the accretion disk is so dense and hot

Fig. 5. Schematic representation of the neutrino-driven wind from the surface of the recently born PNS. The horizontal axis gives mass information whereas the vertical axis shows corresponding radii, with $R_{\text{in}}$ and $R_e$ being the NS and neutrinoosphere, respectively. The supersonic neutrino-driven wind, which forms after the onset of the explosion, provides favorable conditions for the r-process – a high neutron abundance, short dynamical time scales, and high entropies. In this high-entropy environment, it is possible that most nucleons are in the form of free neutrons or bound into α particles. Thus, there can be many neutrons per seed nucleus even though the material is not particularly neutron-rich. The predicted amount of r-process material ejected per event from this environment agrees well with that required by simple galactic evolution arguments. For more details, the reader is referred to [227].
that nuclear burning is expected to proceed efficiently, and the innermost region of the disk becomes neutron-rich through electron captures on nuclei. This region is an efficient r-process site, and about 0.01 $M_\odot$ of massive neutron-rich nuclei can be ejected from the collapsar being U and Th the most abundantly synthesized elements. Recent nucleosynthesis calculations in a three-dimensional MHD supernova model have suggested that such supernova could be the sources of the r-process elements in the early Galaxy. However, in those calculations, the produced nuclei are limited to primary synthesized nuclei (up to $^{241}$Pu). This region is rich through electron captures on nuclei. This region is rich through electron captures on nuclei.

Recent calculations in a three-dimensional neutron-rich nuclei can be ejected from the collapsar being U and Th the most abundantly synthesized elements. Recent calculations in a three-dimensional model of 40 $M_\odot$ have shown that the synthesis of rare elements, such as $^{34}$P, $^{39}$K, $^{43}$Sc, and $^{35}$Cl and other uncommon isotopes is also possible. These elements, which are produced in the simulations at outer parts of low M accretion disks (i.e., 0.001-0.01 $M_\odot$ s$^{-1}$), have been discovered in the emission lines of some long GRBs afterglows. However, they are yet to be confirmed by future observations. More different models have been proposed. The list includes calculations based upon a model for a MHD+neutrino-heated collapsar jet, prompt-magnetic-jet and delayed-magnetic-jet explosion models, and rapidly rotating strongly magnetized core-collapse models. For additional information on topics related to the r-process, see.

4.2.3 p-process

In this section, we will outline the latest progress associated with the production of p-nuclei during supernovae explosions. The number of proton-rich isotopes cannot be synthesized through sequences of only neutron captures and $\beta$-decays, therefore the postulation of a third process is required (see, for example, references therein). There are several possibilities to get to the proton-rich side. As discussed above, p-nuclei are synthesized by successively adding protons to a nuclide or by removing neutrons from pre-existing s- or r-nucleides through sequences of photodisintegrations. Under conditions encountered in astrophysical environments, it is difficult to obtain p-nuclei through proton captures because the Coulomb barrier of a nucleus increases with increasing proton number. Furthermore, at high temperature ($\gamma,p$) reactions become faster than proton captures and prevent the build-up of proton-rich nuclides. Photodisintegrations are an alternative way to make up p-nuclei, either by destroying their neutron-rich neighbour isotopes through sequences of ($\gamma,n$) reactions or by flows from heavier and unstable nuclides via ($\gamma,p$) or ($\gamma,\alpha$) reactions and subsequent $\beta$-decays. It is clear that the term p-process is used for any process synthesizing p-nuclei, even when no proton captures are involved. Indeed, so far it seems to be impossible to reproduce the solar abundances of the p-isotopes by one single process. In our current understanding, there is evidence that more than one process in more than one astrophysical scenario is relevant for the production of p-nuclei. Arnould reported the p-process in presupernova phases, and Woosley and Howard proposed the $\gamma$-process in supernovae. This so-called $\gamma$-process requires high stellar plasma temperatures and occurs mainly in explosive O/Ne-burning during a ccSN (see, for example, Arnould, 2004). The $\gamma$-process during a ccSN explosion is the most well-established astrophysical scenario for the nucleosynthesis of the p-nuclei. Since earlier works showed that the O/Ne-rich layers of massive stars were considered to host the $\gamma$-process. The $\gamma$-process is activated with typical timescales of less than a second when the shock front passes through the O/Ne-burning zone. Historically there are 35 p-nucleides identified, with $^{74}$Se being the lightest and $^{196}$Hg the heaviest. The isotopic abundances of p-nuclei are 1-2 orders of magnitude lower than for the respective r- and s-nuclei in the same mass region. The nuclear reactions occurring in the $\gamma$-process are mainly induced by photons in the MeV-energy range, being the reaction rate determined by the Planck distribution. Temperatures of the order of several $10^8$ K are required to provide a sufficient energy. Such temperatures are realized within ccSN explosions. Explosive events also provide the correct timescale of several seconds – if the photon intensity would last for longer times the seed distribution would completely convert to light isotopes without leaving p-nuclei behind. In the early work of Woosley and Howard, it was discovered that different conditions are required to produce the complete range of p-nuclei from $^{74}$Se up to $^{196}$Hg. Therefore, different density and temperature profiles were dedicated to different layers of material of ccSN. A typical range of peak temperatures is 2 to $3 \times 10^8$ K while maximum densities vary between $2 \times 10^9$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $6 \times 10^9$ g cm$^{-3}$. A combination of a density profile and a temperature profile is often referred to as trajectory. These trajectories vary significantly for different astrophysical sites fulfilling the general conditions.

It has been shown that the $\gamma$-process scenario suffers from a strong underproduction of the most abundant p-isotopes, $^{92,94}$Mo (see, for example, references therein) and $^{96,98}$Ru. In contrast to the r- and s-process, the abundances produced in the $\gamma$-process vary significantly with the composition of the seed distribution. Detailed studies performed by Costa et al. showed that an enrichment of weak s-process material allows for a sufficient production of the Mo and Ru p-nuclei. At the same time, the overproduction factors of the lighter p-nuclei are further increased. There-
Fig. 6. Overproduction factors $< F >$ of p-nuclei in SNII of 25 $M_\odot$ stars. Top: The light p-nuclei $^{92,94}$Mo and $^{96}$Ru are most strongly underproduced. Data taken from [263]. Bottom: Displayed for each nuclide are the maximum and minimum abundances predicted from the p-process calculations. Nuclear uncertainties indicated by the vertical bars are not the only source of the observed trends. Adapted from [267]. For details, see text.

...fore, a variation of the seed distribution alone cannot solve the overabundance of the Mo-Ru isotopes. CcSN models cannot reproduce the relatively large abundances of $^{92,94}$Mo and $^{96,98}$Ru, even taking into account nuclear uncertainties [263, 270], except for a possible increase of the $^{12}$C+$^{12}$C fusion reaction rate [162]. Based on the observations from metal-poor stars of the galactic halo, these elements can be considered as highly mixed elements, where contributions from s-process of stellar nucleosynthesis and main and weak r-processes are all mixed with smaller contributions from the main p-process. Alternatively, other processes in massive stars different from the classical p-process have been proposed to contribute to the missing Mo-Ru p-abundances, e.g., the rp-process in proton-rich neutrino wind conditions [271]. Mo and Ru are promising elements for studying the extent of planetary scale nucleosynthetic isotopic heterogeneity in the inner solar system. Both the elements have seven isotopes of roughly equal abundance that were produced by distinct nucleosynthetic processes. Furthermore, they occur in measurable quantities in almost all meteorite groups, permitting a comprehensive assessment of the extent of any isotopic heterogeneity in the inner solar system. Identifying isotope anomalies at the bulk meteorite scale provides important information regarding the extent and efficiency of mixing processes since the isotope variations are most readily accounted for by variable abundances of p-, s- and r-process in these samples. Isotopic heterogeneity in iron meteorites and bulk chondrites has been observed for a number of elements, including Mo [272] and Ru [273]. These results contrast with evidence for isotopic homogeneity [274, 275]. Mo isotopic anomalies in the bulk meteorites correlate with those in Ru exactly as predicted from nucleosynthetic theory, providing strong evidence that the correlated Ru and Mo anomalies are caused by a heterogeneous distribution of one or more s-process carriers [273, 276, 277]. However, the extent of the isotope anomalies in meteorites is poorly constrained because previous studies obtained different results regarding the presence of Mo isotopic anomalies in meteorites [272, 274, 278]. The origin and extent of nucleosynthetic Mo-Ru isotope variations in meteorites and their components need to be further investigated and more detailed neutron capture process yields are required to determine their contribution to the abundance of the elements. Typical theoretical overproduction factors are shown in Figure 6 for all p-nuclei. If the lightest p-nuclei $^{76}$Se and $^{84}$Kr are ignored, on average, a monotonic increase is observed with increasing mass number. This trend cannot be corrected by nuclear physics uncertainties as shown in [267] but is based on the model, e.g., the heaviest p-nuclei only survive in the outermost layers with the lowest peak temperatures, an effect which might be overestimated in the current models. Usually, the seed composition is a mixture of r- and s-process nucleosynthesis as found in the solar abundance distribution. There are many excellent papers on the Mo-Ru problem, and the interested reader will find more information in [279, 281].

Another process in ccSNe that can produce the light p-process nuclei up to Pd-Ag, including $^{92}$Nb, is the combination of α, proton, neutron captures, and their reverse reactions in α-rich freezeout conditions [282]. Neutrino winds from the forming NS are also a possible site for the production of the light p-process nuclei [283, 284], although one of its possible components, the rp-process [118], cannot produce $^{92}$Nb because it is shielded by $^{92}$Mo [271]. The same occurs in the case of the rp-process in X-ray bursts [285] (see Section 4.3.2). Moreover, the total amount of p-nuclei produced in one event and the expected rate of SNII explosions do not match the absolute observed abundances. Therefore, SNIa were investigated as an additional site [286]. In total, the same trend was observed as shown in Figure 6 for SNII. The underproduction of the Mo-Ru p-nuclei was less pronounced maybe due to the slightly higher temperatures. Despite the total amount of p-nuclei produced in one event is higher than for SNII the less frequent occurrence of SNIa reduces their contribution to the observed abundances [287]. Two recent studies [261, 288] confirm these findings, although the estimated underproduction of $^{92,94}$Mo and $^{96,98}$Ru is further decreased by an additional contribution to their abundances stemming from...
from proton capture reactions. Thus, a combination of both SN Ia and SN II is mandatory to match the absolute observed abundances. There might be additional but small contributions from events occurring less frequently like, e.g., sub-Chandrasekhar mass supernovae\cite{289} or pair-creation supernovae\cite{290}. As for SN Ia, processes besides the $\gamma$-process also contribute at these more exotic sites.

It is also worth mentioning the nucleosynthesis of Ta, which has remained a puzzle over the years. An accurate determination of the isotopic composition of Ta would enable p-process nucleosynthetic calculations to be evaluated in terms of an accurate isotope abundance for $^{180}$Ta. This nuclide is produced by both the p- and s-process and has the remarkable property of being the rarest isotope in the solar system, which exist in a long-lived isomeric state at $E_x = 77$ keV ($t_{1/2,iso} > 10^{15}$ yr) with an isotopic abundance of about 0.012%, so that in reality one is measuring the isotope abundance of $^{180m}$Ta, which is a unique situation in nature. In its ground state, $^{180}$Ta decays to $^{180m}$Hf and $^{180}$W with a half-life of only 8 hours. $^{180m}$Ta is the rarest isotope in nature and is, therefore, an important isotope in deciphering the origin of the p-process. Over the years many processes, such as slow- and rapid neutron capture reactions in stars and ccSN explosions, photon- and neutrino-induced reactions in ccSNe, have been proposed to be the production mechanism of $^{180}$Ta. However, no consensus exists and it has been theoretically shown that $^{180}$Ta could be exclusively explained with the $\gamma$-process ($\gamma,n$)\cite{266}. The s-process alone can exclusively explain the production of $^{180}$Ta, as well, mostly via branching in $^{179}$Hf through the reactions $^{179}$Hf($\beta^- $)$^{179m}$Ta(n,$\gamma$)$^{180}$Ta and/or $^{179}$Hf(n,$\gamma$)$^{180m}$Hf($\beta^- $)$^{180}$Ta\cite{291}. Furthermore, more exotic reactions such as neutrino processes, which include $^{180}$Hf($\nu,e^-$)$^{180m}$Ta, have been proposed to partly explain its synthesis\cite{292,293}. Nevertheless, the significance of individual processes cannot be clearly determined as a result of the uncertainties on the reaction rates for $^{180}$Ta due to unavailability of experimental data, such as the $\gamma$-ray strength function\cite{294}. An accurate determination is required to provide a better basis for p-process production calculations\cite{295}. Recently, a high-precision method has been developed to measure the isotope ratios from extraterrestrial samples with low concentrations of Ta, but the extreme difference in isotope abundances of a factor of more than 8000 makes the precise and accurate determination of Ta isotope ratios by mass spectrometry very challenging (see, for more details,\cite{296}).

\subsection{4.3 Neutron star and neutron star-black hole mergers}

\subsubsection{4.3.1 r-process}

The original site for the production of r-process nuclei was proposed by Tsuruta et al.\cite{297} early in the development of the theory of nucleosynthesis. It relies on the fact that at high densities (typically $\rho > 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$) matter tends to be composed of nuclei lying on the neutron-rich-side of the valley of nuclear stability as a result of endothermic free-electron captures\cite{298}. Such conditions are found in the compression of the matter when the NS forms and in the merger of two NSs, making these systems promising sites of heavy r-process elements\cite{299,301}. It was estimated that 5% of the original NS mass may be ejected during tidal disruption of the NS in a NS-BH merger\cite{302,303}. Recent estimates for the amount of cold NS matter ejected during a NS merger range from $\sim 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ to $\sim 10^{-2}$ $M_\odot$\cite{304}, with velocities 0.1-0.3c. For NS-BH mergers, the ejecta can be up to $\sim 0.1$ $M_\odot$, with similar velocities\cite{305}. Most of the dynamical ejecta originate from the contact interface between the colliding binary components, which get deformed into drop-like shapes prior to the merging, as seen in Figure\ref{fig7}. Subsequently, the shock-heated matter is expelled by quasi-radial pulsations of the remnant in a broad range of angular directions. For the 1.35-1.35 $M_\odot$ binary the ejecta in the shear interface between the stars are separated into two components, each being fed (nearly) symmetrically by material from both colliding stars. The mass ratio also influences the ejected mass, with very asymmetric binaries generating up to about twice the material as a symmetric binary of the same total mass\cite{306}. Recent works have used detailed hydrodynamic simulations of mergers of two NSs to find a robust production of r-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ (e.g.,\cite{306,307}). Based on these studies, the extremely neutron-rich ejecta is heated by $\beta$-decay during its decompact and can also be shocked to high temperatures during its dynamic ejection. Due to the very high initial density of the dynamical ejecta, heavy nuclei are already present during the nuclear statistical equilibrium phase of the expansion. The subsequent hot r-process undergoes fission cycling, thereby producing a stable abundance pattern for $A \gtrsim 130$. Important results have been obtained from recent research (e.g.,\cite{238,308,312}) including simulations that consider both the composition of the dynamical ejecta and the neutrino wind (along the poles), where matter is ejected from the hot NS up to the point of BH formation, followed by the ejection of matter from (viscous) BH accretion disks. The main aspects of these studies can be summarized as follows: the dynamical ejecta mass depends weakly on the mass ratio and significantly on the binary asymmetric degree; outflows from BH accretion discs formed in NS mergers provide an important contribution to the r-process yields of compact binary mergers; the time and angle dependency of the composition in the neutrino wind nucleosynthesis; eccentric binaries can eject orders of magnitude more mass than binaries in quasi-circular orbits and only slightly less than NS-BH mergers. In NS-BH mergers\cite{305,313,316} the primary mechanism of mass ejection is the tidal force that disrupts the NS on the equatorial plane via angular momentum redistribution\cite{304}. The geometry of the ejecta is thus fundamentally different from that of NS mergers, as Figure\ref{fig8} illustrates. Also, the ejecta from NS-BH mergers only covers part of the azimuthal range\cite{305}.

One interesting aspect to be discussed relates to the ejected nucleosynthesis composition from compact object mergers. The nucleosynthesis is constrained by solar r-process abundances and by observations of low-metallicity
stars. NS and NS-BH mergers seem to significantly contribute to the galactic r-process abundance pattern. However, the results obtained by different studies are conflicting or inconclusive. For instance, the overall amount of heavy r-process material in the Milky Way is consistent with the expectations of mass ejection in numerical merger simulations [312] with their expected rates as estimated from galactic NS mergers (e.g., [317]). Furthermore, recent studies obtained by Matteucci et al [319] point out how r-process elements originating in NS binary mergers seem to represent the most promising channel for r-process element production these days. In contrast, estimates of the impact of such double NS mergers on the galactic nucleosynthesis was questioned by detailed homogeneous chemical evolution studies [318] which are not consistent with observations at very low metallicities. The reason for the reported differences is probably due to the fact that the model proposed by Argast et al [318] does not assume instantaneous mixing in the early galactic evolutionary phases. In the study reported by Vangioni et al [320], the r-process evolution using the NS scenario as the main astrophysical site is in good agreement with observations, assuming that the early evolution is dominated by mergers of binary systems with a coalescence timescale of the order of $\sim 100$ Myr. Such mergers represent a significant fraction of all mergers according to recent estimations obtained with detailed population synthesis codes. Furthermore, following the last developments, several recent works [321,322] have confirmed that the enrichment history and distribution of various r-process elements in galaxies can be accounted by NS mergers.

A new theoretical model has been proposed such that ccSNe first contribute to the enrichment of heavy elements in the early Galaxy, then the NS mergers follow gradually towards the solar system [323]. The model predicts several specific observational evidence for the time evolution of isotopic abundance pattern. It also satisfies the universality of the observed abundance pattern between the solar system and extremely metal-poor stars in the Milky Way halo or recently discovered ultra-faint dwarf galaxies [324]. Models based on particle hydrodynamics codes [325] and detailed abundance analysis of dwarf galaxies [326] strongly support the argument that NS mergers are the major astrophysical site of the r-process. However, recently Bramante et al [327] have claimed that NS mergers are unlikely to produce the r-process overabundance observed in the Reticulum II dwarf Galaxy, since the total production rate of NS mergers is low, and supernova natal kicks efficiently remove binary stellar systems from the shallow gravitational well of the Galaxy. A second problem that arises is that dwarf galaxies are composed of a very old stellar population [328], suggesting that the chemical abundances have been frozen since $\approx 13$ Gyr ago. This requires that the r-process formation should take place relatively soon after the formation of the first stars. This raises the question whether mergers could take place sufficiently rapidly so that their r-process material would be able to enrich the old stellar population. Despite this, the first direct detection of gravitational waves from a binary NS merger (GW170817) marked the true beginning of the joint gravitational wave-electromagnetic multi-messenger astronomy [330] and placed stronger constraints on r-process enrichment from NS mergers. The masses ejected are broadly consistent with the estimated r-process production rate required to explain the Milky Way r-process abundances, providing the first evidence that binary NS mergers are the dominant source of heavy r-process nuclei in the Galaxy [331,332]. Finally according to Foucart et al [314] also NS-BH mergers may contribute to the enrichment of r-process elements in galaxies. According to this study, a large amount of neutron-rich, low entropy material is ejected ($0.04 M_\odot$, $0.2 M_\odot$), which will undergo robust r-process nucleosynthesis although the ejecta is more proton-rich than the material ejected during NS binary mergers.

A reliable estimate of the NS merger rate in the Galaxy is crucial in order to predict their contribution to the r-process elements enrichment. Estimates of this rate are rather low because we only know few of such systems with merger times less than the age of the universe. Two of the observed binary NS systems in our Galaxy, the PSR J0737-3039 [333], and the PSR 2127+11C [334], will merge in less than a few hundred Myr due to orbital decay caused by gravitational radiation emission. The total time from birth to merger is $\approx 8 \times 10^7$ yr for PSR J0737-3039 and $\approx 3 \times 10^8$ yr for PSR 2127+11C. Estimates for the rate of NS mergers in the Galaxy range from $\sim 10^{-6}$ to $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$ with the best guess being $\sim 10^{-5}$ yr$^{-1}$ (e.g., [333,336]). The birth rates of NS-BH and NS binaries are comparable. Nevertheless, the fraction of NS-BH binaries having the appropriate orbital periods for merging within the age of the universe ($\sim 10^{10}$ yr) is uncer-
The rp-process consists of a series of rapid proton and \( \alpha \)-capture reactions, interspersed with \( \beta^+ \) decays, that drives the reaction path close to the proton drip-line. Nuclear properties such as masses, lifetimes, level densities and spin-parities of states for many nuclei close to the proton drip-line must be known to fully understand the rp-process. The rp-process is inhibited by \( \alpha \)-decay, which puts an upper limit on the endpoint at \( ^{108}\text{Tc} \). XRBs occur in binary stellar systems where a compact NS accretes H- or He-rich material from a companion star \[343\]. Type I XRBs occur when accretion rates are less than \( 10^{-9} \, M_\odot \) per year \[342\] and are characterized by extremely energetic (\( \sim 10^{39} \) ergs) bursts of X-ray radiation that appear in a very regular fashion on a timescale of hours-days. The bursts themselves last for tens to hundreds of seconds and are the result of the accumulation of material on the NS surface. After few hours a thermonuclear runaway under the extreme temperature (\( \geq 10^9 \) K) and density (\( \rho \sim 10^6 \) g cm\(^{-3}\)) conditions triggers an explosion that gives rise to a bright X-ray burst \[345\]. A great difficulty in the modelling of XRBs comes from the lack of clear observational nucleosynthesis constraints. A recent review of type I XRBs can be found in \[346\]. Although the large gravitational potential generated by NSs is thought to prevent the rp-process from contributing to the chemical composition of the universe, knowledge regarding the rp-process is, however, crucial in understanding energy generation in XRB scenarios. Additionally, the chemical composition of the ashes that remain on the surface of the NS as a consequence of the rp-process is critically affected by the precise path and rate of progression of the thermonuclear reactions that constitute the rp-process \[342\]. It is thought that proton-rich \( T_x = -1 \) nuclei (where \( T_x = \frac{1}{2}(N - Z) \)) in particular play a critical role in XRB scenarios \[347\]. For instance, a recent theoretical study by Parikh et al. \[348\] highlighted the radiative proton capture reactions \( ^{61}\text{Ga}(p,\gamma)^{62}\text{Ge} \) and \( ^{65}\text{As}(p,\gamma)^{66}\text{Se} \) as reactions that critically affect the chemical yields generated in XRBs \[345\]. As such, detailed structure information for states above the proton threshold in the \( T_x = -1 \) nuclei \( ^{66}\text{Ge} \) and \( ^{66}\text{Se} \) is required. Consideration of mirror nuclei indicates that level densities in the astrophysically relevant energy regions are very low, rendering statistical methods, such as Hauser-Feshbach calculations, inappropriate in these cases \[349\]. Indeed, the proton capture reaction rates may be dominated by a single resonance.

A major puzzle to be solved in rp-process studies comes from the reaction flow through the long-lived waiting points \( ^{64}\text{Ge} \), \( ^{68}\text{Se} \), and \( ^{72}\text{Kr} \) which are largely responsible for shaping the tail of XRBs \[350\]. Of critical importance are the proton capture Q-values of these waiting points which strongly determine to which degree proton captures can bypass the slow \( \beta^- \)-decays of these waiting points. Waiting point nuclides slow down the rp-process and strongly affect burst observables. They are characterized by long \( \beta^- \)-decay half-lives of the order of the burst duration, and low or negative proton capture Q-values may hinder further proton capture because of strong (\( \gamma,p \)) photodisintegration. Significant progress has been made recently about the proton capture Q-value of the \( ^{68}\text{Se} \) \[351\]. The slow \( \beta^- \)-decay of the \( ^{68}\text{Se} \) waiting point in the astrophysical rp-process can in principle be bypassed by a sequential two proton capture. The authors concluded that \( ^{68}\text{Se}(2p,\gamma) \) re-

![Density rendering from a NS-BH merger with mass ratio 1.2/7 M_\odot (from 314). The ejecta is confined to the equatorial plane because it is generated primarily by tidal forces.](image)
action has at best a very small effect and $^{68}\text{Se}$ is a strong waiting point in the rp-process in XRBs. This provides a robust explanation of occasionally observed long burst durations of the order of minutes. Important experimental results of rp-process reaction rates have also been made recently with the GRETINA array at NSCL [352]. The measurements essentially remove the uncertainty contribution of the $^{57}\text{Cu}(p,\gamma)\text{Zn}$ reaction in XRB models and also determine the effective lifetime of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, an important waiting point in the rp-process. When the NS accretes H and He from the outer layers of its companion star, thermonuclear burning processes enable the $\alpha p$-process (a sequence of $(\alpha p)$ and $(p\gamma)$ reactions) as a break out mechanism from the hot CNO-cycle. XRB models predict $(\alpha p)$ reaction rates to significantly affect light curves of XRBs and elemental abundances in the burst ashes [353]. Theoretical reaction rates used in the modelling of the $\alpha p$-process need to be verified experimentally. An important case in the $\alpha p$-process is the $^{34}\text{Ar}(\alpha p)^{35}\text{K}$ reaction which has been identified in sensitivity studies as an important nuclear uncertainty. Indeed, recent R-matrix calculations for several $(\alpha p)$ reactions, including $^{34}\text{Ar}(\alpha p)^{35}\text{K}$, indicate a lower than predicted cross-section. The Jet Experiments in Nuclear Structure and Astrophysics (JENSA) gas jet target [355] enables the direct measurement of previously inaccessible $(\alpha p)$ reactions with radioactive beams provided by the rare isotope re-accelerator ReA3. Preliminary results have been presented of the first direct cross-section measurement of the $^{34}\text{Ar}(\alpha p)^{35}\text{K}$ reaction [356].

Tremendous advancements have been obtained in mass measurements of nuclei involved in the rp-process [357], allowing more accurate calculations of XRBs light curves and burst ashes. Most recently, the mass of $^{31}\text{Cl}$ has been measured with the JYFLTRAP [358]. The precision of the mass-excess value of $^{31}\text{Cl}$ was improved from 50 keV to 3.4 keV. The mass of $^{31}\text{Cl}$ is relevant for estimating the waiting point conditions for $^{30}\text{S}$ as the $^{31}\text{Cl}(\gamma p)^{30}\text{S}$-$^{30}\text{S}(p\gamma)^{31}\text{Cl}$ equilibrium ratio which depends exponentially on the Q value i.e., on the masses of $^{31}\text{Cl}$ and $^{30}\text{S}$. It has been suggested that the $^{30}\text{S}$ waiting point could be a possible explanation for the double-peaked type I XRBs curves observed from several sources [359]. With the new Q value, photodisintegration takes over at lower temperatures than previously, and the uncertainties related to the reaction Q value have been significantly reduced.

4.3.3 Gamma-ray bursts: r-process

GRBs are flashes of gamma rays associated with extremely energetic explosions that are observed in distant galaxies (as their origin is extragalactic, they are isotropically distributed in the sky). They are the brightest electromagnetic events known to occur in the universe, and they last from milliseconds to several minutes. GRBs come in two varieties - long and short - depending on how long the flash of gamma rays lasts (from milliseconds to several minutes). The energy released in each explosion varies between $10^{50}$ and $10^{54}$ erg. In general, about one burst per day is detected. A characterizing feature of GRBs is the observation of an X-ray glow (afterglow) which is created when the high-speed jet of particles interact with the surrounding environment and persists for days at the GRB location. Short GRBs result from the collision of two NSs or a NS and a BH, whilst long GRBs are linked to cc-SNe. As discussed before, cataclysmic events like GRBs are strongly thought to be sites of heavy elements production. More details on GRBs can be found in [360-361].

In a recent work, Berger et al [362] have estimated that the amount of Au produced and ejected during an optical/near-infrared (NIR) transient known as a Kilonova (KN), may be as large as 10 moon masses. A KN is thought to be the NIR counterpart of the merging of two compact objects in a binary system and its emission is approximately isotropic. It is 1,000 times brighter than a nova, but it is only 1/10th to 1/100th the brightness of an average supernova. The basic properties of KNs can be found in [363]. The group studied the fading fireball from the first clear detection of a KN, which was in association with the short GRB 130603B. GRB 130603B, detected by the Swift satellite, lasted for less than two-tenths of a second. Although the gamma rays disappeared quickly, GRB 130603B also displayed an afterglow dominated by NIR light whose brightness and behavior did not match a typical afterglow. Instead, the glow behaved like it came from exotic radioactive elements. The neutron-rich material synthesized in dynamical and accretion-disk-wind ejection during the merger can generate such heavy elements, through r-process, which then undergo radioactive decay emitting a glow that is dominated by NIR light. Calculations say that $\sim 10^{-2}\ M_\odot$ of material was ejected by the GRB, some of which was Au and Pt. By combining the estimated Au produced by a single short GRB with the number of such explosions that have occurred over the age of the universe, all the Au in the universe might have come from GRBs. In figure [364], it is shown the interpolation of optical and NIR emissions of GRB 130603B to the F606W and F160W filters. The optical afterglow decays steeply after the first $\sim 0.3$ days and is modeled here as a smoothly broken power law (dashed blue line). The key conclusion from this plot is that the source seen in the NIR requires an additional component above the extrapolation of the afterglow (red dashed line) [364]. This excess NIR flux corresponds to a source with absolute magnitude of $\sim -15.35$ at $\sim 7$ days after the burst in the rest frame. The re-brightening in the NIR afterglow is the kind one would expect from a KN [365]. Further observational evidence of the GRB-KN scenario is given in [366-367].

Numerical simulations show that KN scenarios can eject a small part of the original system into the interstellar medium [298] and also form a centrifugally supported disk that is quickly dispersed in space with a neutron-rich wind [368]. These two different ejection mechanisms are characterized by a material of differing composition. The outflows from the disk are likely lanthanide-free since the synthesis of heavier elements is suppressed by the high temperature [365], while the surface material is the site of an intense r-process nucleosynthesis, producing heavy elements. According to Kasen et al [368], the intimate re-
The production of heavy elements in ccSNe is dominantly related to the neutrino-driven wind phase, in which matter is ejected from the proto-neutron star due to neutrino-driven wind, such as entropy and electron fraction, will determine which nucleosynthesis process occurs. The studies
for the $\nu$-nucleosynthesis in the outer shells of the star during ccSNe need better observational constraints in order to be confirmed. It would be interesting in the future to study the $\nu$-nucleosynthesis in more detail within a full detailed hydrodynamical simulation of the explosion of the star. When this star has an s-process contribution, it would be significant to study the influence of $\nu$-nucleosynthesis on the complete set of s-process elements, and not only for a small subset of all nuclei as it has been done in previous work. Regarding r-process, coalescence of NS binaries is one of the most plausible sites for nucleosynthesis and they have been extensively studied. In NS mergers, the r-process material originates in the NS crust, and the composition of the crust and how it responds to stress caused by the merger dictates the amount of r-process material which is ejected. In the near future, the target will be to build a consistent picture of NSs and the nuclear physics that governs them, informed by gravitational waves, X-ray observations and laboratory experiments.

Further research on GRBs may help scientists to understand the history of element production in the Milky Way by providing a record of events. Short GRBs are expected to create significant quantities of neutron-rich radioactive species - gold, uranium, plutonium - whose decay should result in a faint transient in the days following the burst, the so-called kilonova. The new generation of gravitational wave detectors (Advanced-LIGO and Advanced-VIRGO) has already reached sufficient sensitivity levels to detect NS and NS-BH mergers out to distances of a few hundred Mpc. The recent simultaneous detection of the electromagnetic counterpart with gravitational waves has officially begun the era of multi-messenger astronomy and has confirmed short GRBs as sites of heavy elements production. Studying the universe with these two fundamentally different types of information will offer the possibility of a richer understanding of the astrophysical scenarios as well as of nuclear processes and nucleosynthesis.
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