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Abstract

Background: Ultrasound guided peripheral nerve blocks have become increasingly popular in the lower limb orthopaedic and gaining more acceptances in total hip replacement surgeries too. The main objective of this study was to compare peripheral nerve block and spinal anesthesia for total hip replacement surgeries.

Methods: In this retrospective study, total patients that underwent total hip replacement in our institution during specific time period were included for the study. They were divided into spinal and peripheral nerve block groups, and data were collected for the analysis. USG guided lumbar plexus, sacral plexus, superior gluteal nerve block was done and conventional landmark technique was done for spinal group. Mean arterial blood pressure, total fluid consumption, total fentanyl consumption, pain scores and incidence of nausea was compared.

Results: We included 43 patients for the study in which 23 patients were included in nerve block group, and 20 patients in the spinal group. In compared to spinal group, nerve block group had more stable mean arterial blood pressure (P <0.05), less total fluid consumption (P=0.000), lower pain scores and fentanyl consumption (P <0.05), and less incidence of nausea (4% to 20%).

Conclusions: Peripheral nerve block can be good alternative to spinal anesthesia for total hip replacement surgeries, with more hemodynamic stability and better pain management along with less opioid and fluid consumption.

Introduction

Continuous development of medical science has increased life expectancy thereby added challenge to medical science to deal with increasing geriatric population. Osteoarthritis of major joint resulting in pain, stiffness, restriction of mobility and atrophy of muscles, and instability and hence disability is common which is often under looked condition in our country. Various non-invasive managements like physiotherapy and oral analgesic are used for analgesia which usually confer short term benefit with significant side effect if used for polluting duration. Minimally invasive interventional techniques (intra-articular injections with steroids or viscosupplementation) often fail to provide long-term pain relief. Long term pain relief can be achieved either with Radiofrequency ablation of articular branch of supplying nerves with platelet rich plasma or Total hip replacement. Spinal and epidural anaesthesia are commonly employed for the joint replacement surgeries.1,2 However, in geriatric patients there is higher incidence of cardiopulmonary disease with limited cardiopulmonary reserve so spinal and epidural anaesthesia are not well tolerated in all patients leading to potential life threatening events.3 With limited mobility assessment of metabolic equivalents (METS) cannot be assessed and hence reserve. With the advent of ultrasound guided peripheral nerve minimizing systemic effect can lead to better outcome of the patients. One drawback of multiple peripheral nerve blocks is the need for the large volume of local anaesthetic therefore more chance of its toxicity but this can be easily minimized by using of ultrasound which will aid in the more precise localization of the nerve so less volume of drug can be used. Further continuous catheter can be added to provide postoperative analgesia which enables patients to recover and rehabilitate quickly, reduces medication consumption, and shortens hospital stays.4,5 Providing patients with adequate postoperative analgesia might also curtail opioid dependence and abuse, particularly in patients on opioid therapy preoperatively.6 The main aim of the surgery is to ambulate the patient as early as possible to regain the normal functional activities of the life thus with the use of peripheral nerve block catheter in the postoperative period will drastically reduce the pain for this purpose.

Methods

Retrospective analysis in patients who has undergone lower limb arthroplasty from 2073-3-20 to 2074-4-20 were included...
All patients were explained regarding procedure in PAC clinic and those who agreed were planned for Peripheral nerve block. In premedication Cap Gabapentin 150-300mg HS and coming morning (CM), tab perinorm 10 mg, Inj. Paracetamol 1 gm (IV) and glass of glucose water were given 2 hours prior to surgery.

Patients done in spinal group were designated as Group S and nerve block were as Group P. ASA standard monitoring was done in operating room. Patient was kept in lateral position with limb to be operated above. Inj midazolam 1 mg, fentanyl 50 -100 mcg were administered 3 minutes prior as pre procedure sedation. Propofol in dose of 10 mg was added and top up if sedation was not adequate.

Curvilinear 2-6 HZ probe (Mindray M7) was used to scan lumbar plexus. Scanning was started from midline in lower lumbar region and moved laterally to identify lumbar plexus. Once identified probe adjustment was done to clear transverse process in needle pathway. Stimulating needle (Stimuliplex, B braun) was used. Needle was then introduced in plane techniques and stimulated. Motor response of quadriceps muscle in 0.3 MA-0.5MA is considered as adequate response and 1% Xylocaine with adrenaline of 20 ml was injected. Drug spread was visualized around plexus. Catheterization was done in same setting for post-operative analgesia

Similar probe was used for Sacral plexus. Scanning started from ilium and probe moved downward and medially to identify sacral notch, sciatic nerve and Pudendal muscle. After obtaining motor response of hamstring muscle or dorsiflexion of foot at 0.3 -0.5 MA, 1.5% Xylocaine with Adrenaline 20 ml was administered.

In same scan 0.3% Xylocaine with Adrenaline 4 ml was used administered in plane between Gluteus Maximus and pudendal muscle to block Superior Gluteal nerve. Dexmedetomidine infusion at rate of 10 mcg per hour was started and titrated as a part of our standard protocol for all regional anesthesia patient.

Motor and sensory responses were checked after 15 minutes. Pain in dermatome of blocked nerve was considered as failed block. Pain in dermatome cranial to trochanter was considered as missed cutaneous branch of lower thoracic or upper lumbar nerve and local was further added in same area.

Mean arterial blood pressure and all other vitals were regularly measured at interval of 5 minutes throughout the procedure. Intraoperative hypotension and bradycardia were treated with mephentermine(6mg) and atropine (0.5mg) respectively. At time of the closure of muscle, the dexmedetomidine infusion was stopped. The patient were transferred to surgical ICU after gaining full consciousness as standard protocol of our institution. For pain management, inj.paracetamol 1gm BD and inj. Ketroloac 30mg BD were given regularly. For rescue analgesics, inj. Fentanyl 25 mcg were given if Numerical rating scale was above 4. Inj. Granisetron 1mg was used for treating intraoperative nausea and vomiting. During the postoperative period, total fentanyl and incidence of nausea were noted. Intraoperative MAP, fluid consumption, fentanyl consumption, pain scores and incidence of nausea were noted according to protocol. Data were entered in Microsoft excel and analyzed using SPSS software package version 16. Categorical variables were analyzed by using chi square test. Numerical variables were compared by independent t test and p values were calculated.

RESULTS

During the study period of the study, 46 patients were selected in which two were excluded from the study as they chose general anesthesia and 1 patient was excluded due to block failure. All operations were successfully completed without any complications. There were no differences in demographics in two groups as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic Data

| Variables | Group S (N=20) | Group N (N=23) | p-value |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age       | 51.90 (6.164)  | 51.13 (6.040)* | 0.682   |
| Sex (M/F) | 12/8           | 15/8           | 0.761   |

*Data are expressed in mean +/- SD

As shown in Table 2 there was significant differences in total fentanyl consumption between two study groups as p-value .000.

Table 2: Total Fentanyl Consumption(mcg) between two groups

| Group S    | Group N       | p-value |
|------------|---------------|---------|
| 95.00mcg(27.625) | 21.739mcg(21.721)* | .000    |

*Data are expressed in mean +/- SD

There were also significant differences in total fluid consumption in two groups (p value .000) as shown in Table 3.
Table 3: Total Fluid Consumption between two groups

| Group S (194.411) | Group N (129.265) | p-value |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2060.20ml        | 836.30ml         | .000    |

Data are expressed in mean +/- SD

Table 4: Incidence of Nausea between two groups

| Group S (20%) | Group N (4%) |
|---------------|--------------|
| 5/20          | 1/23         |

DISCUSSION

Many methods of anesthesia have been employed to perform surgeries ranging from general anesthesia, spinal, epidural anesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks. With the advent of technology, peripheral nerve block has gained popularity substantially. This outcome can be attributed to the fact that these are elderly patients with other coexisting medical conditions leading to limited cardiac reserve and also their reserve status cannot be properly assessed due to their immobility. Spinal anesthesia can have detrimental effect in this selected group with the increase in morbidity and possibility of unwanted mortality. It is of utmost importance to deliver safe anesthesia. The use of ultrasonography during peripheral blocks increases their effectiveness and decreases the amount of anesthetic required. Several studies have evaluated peripheral nerve blocks for lower extremity surgeries and compared them with spinal anesthesia.

The hip joint is innervated by branches of both the LP and the sacral plexus, including the femoral (L2–L4) and obturator nerves (L2–L4), which contain afferents from the anteromedial aspects of the joint, and the sciatic nerve (L4–S3), the nerve to the quadratus femoris (L4–S1) and the superior gluteal nerve (L4–S1), which cover the posterior aspect of the joint. Complexity of the different variations in innervations of the hip joint has been a great obstacle in providing adequate analgesia and anesthesia for hip arthroplasty by nerve block alone. Few studies have addressed the clinical interest of peripheral nerve or plexus blocks for surgery of the hip. Using a posterior LP technique, Chayen et al. reported successful analgesia in 52 of 57 hip procedures, but recommended combining lumbar and sciatic blocks in this indication and as well for anesthesia. Although LPB is applied as anesthetic method in a few studies in combination with sciatic block, its usage is commonly limited to perioperative pain management in hip and knee surgeries. Although, difficulties have been documented in terms of using nerve blocks for providing adequate analgesia and analgesia in the past literatures but in our settings, peripheral nerve blocks have become the primary mode of anesthesia for the total hip replacement surgeries.

In this retrospective study, we compared the spinal anesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks technique for THR. As compared to spinal anesthesia, in peripheral nerve block group, there is considerably less amount of fluid administered to the patients prior to the surgical incision (p = .000). This is added benefit of peripheral nerve block as these are geriatric patients who might not tolerate the excess fluid administration. In comparison of hemodynamic parameters (MAP) in both groups, nerve block showed significant hemodynamic stability during the entire procedure as compared to the spinal group which were statistically significant. In a trial that compared general anesthesia alone or combined, either with subarachnoid block or with posterior LP block for femoral neck fracture surgery, White and Chappell noted greater cardiovascular stability in the group receiving the block which was similar to our study. In spinal anaesthesia group, 10 patients were treated for the hypotension in which majority were managed with the fluid challenge and by giving injection of 6mg mephenetermine. However, in one of those patient’s vasopressor in the form of dobutamine was started and carried out postoperatively for one day to maintain the mean arterial pressure. This can be attributed to spinal induced high block leading to blockage of the cardioaccelerating sympathetic fiber resulting in profound hypotension.

Adequate pain control in the postoperative period correlates to the patient comfort and satisfaction. Lumbar plexus and sciatic nerve catheterization for continuous infusion of local anesthetic for postoperative pain control. NRS score was significantly in nerve block than in spinal group in first operative day. This was similar to the study done by Stevens et al. described significant lower pain scores at T = 6 hrs after total hip arthroplasty in patients receiving single shot injection posterior lumbar plexus combined with general anesthesia, compared with the patients who did not receive the block (VAS 1.4 versus 2.4, P=.007). They also confirmed that cumulative postoperative morphine consumption at T = 6 hours remained significantly lower as well (5.6 ± 4.7 mg versus 12.6 ± 7.5 mg, P < .0001) just like fentanyl consumption in our study. A reduction of rescue opioids by the use of a continuous lumbar plexus block also has been described by Chelly et al. and Siddiqui et al. Lower pain scores in the post-operative period in nerve block group can be due to inserting catheter for pain management. We did not select to use epidural catheter in spinal group. Use of anticoagulant in arthroplasty surgery from 1st postoperative day add risk of hemodynamic instability.
to devastating complication like epidural hematoma therefore catheterization was avoided. Opioids was used in spinal group; some patients can be very sensitive to the potential danger side effects of opioids but fortunately no respiratory depression or other side effects were noted in the both groups. Another great advantage of good postoperative pain management is that the patients are prepared for early ambulation leading to good surgical outcome.

Incidence of nausea was also higher in spinal group (20%) than in nerve block (4%). One patient in spinal group had complained of vomiting which was managed with inj. Metoclopropamide 10 mg. Early feeding constitutes towards patient’s early recovery. In nerve block group, they were started with feeding within one hour of completion of surgery while in Spinal group, it was much later. As a consequence of early feeding, patients can resume their prescribed medicine within their due schedule so the treatment for their associated illness is not hampered. In five of the patients in the spinal group, patients had complained of acute urinary retention which was treated by one-time urinary cauterization and no such complaints were found in the nerve group. Urinary retention has been well documented complication of spinal anaesthesia and it is further compounded by requiring large amount of fluid in spinal anaesthesia. One patient in nerve block group had to be converted to general anaesthesia with LMA insertion in the intraoperative period due to complaint of pain which may be due to patchy or inadequate block.

Limitations of this study are small size, fixed timed interval for fentanyl consumption and nausea incidence were not applied. Similar post-operative pain management was not applied to both groups as well. Future studies should be a randomized control trial focusing on having large sample size, specified time interval for variable should be used and with same technique should be applied in both groups.

CONCLUSION

We found that it is possible to conduct hip surgeries under peripheral nerve block to provide adequate analgesia and anesthesia but sound knowledge of ultrasound is pivotal as it gained by experience and expertise to achieve this success. Peripheral nerve blocks can be suitable alternative to spinal, epidural and general anesthesia for hip replacement surgery.
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