ON THE GENERALIZED LEBEDEV INDEX TRANSFORM
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Abstract. An essential generalization of the Lebedev index transform with the square of the Macdonald function is investigated. Namely, we consider a family of integral operators with the positive kernel $|K_{(i\tau + a)/2}(x)|^2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $x > 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, where $K_\mu(z)$ is the Macdonald function and $i$ is the imaginary unit. Mapping properties such as the boundedness, compactness, invertibility are investigated for these operators and their adjoints in the Lebesgue weighted spaces. Inversion theorems are proved. Important particular cases are exhibited. As an interesting application, a solution of the initial value problem for the second order differential difference equation, involving the Laplacian, is obtained.

1. Introduction and preliminary results

Let $\alpha \geq 0$. The main goal of this paper is to investigate mapping properties of a family of index transforms $F_\alpha(\tau) = \int_0^\infty |K_{(i\tau + a)/2}(x)|^2 f(x)dx$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and their adjoints $G_\alpha(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |K_{(i\tau + a)/2}(x)|^2 g(\tau)d\tau$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, in the Lebesgue weighted spaces. Inversion theorems are proved. Important particular cases are exhibited. As an interesting application, a solution of the initial value problem for the second order differential difference equation, involving the Laplacian, is obtained.

1.1. Macdonald function

The Macdonald function $K_\mu(z)$ [3], Vol. II is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, which satisfies the differential equation

$$z^2 \frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + z \frac{du}{dz} - (z^2 + \mu^2)u = 0.$$ (1.3)

It has the asymptotic behaviour

$$K_\mu(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{1/2} e^{-z[1 + O(1/z)]}, \quad z \to \infty,$$ (1.4)

and near the origin

$$z^\mu K_\mu(z) = 2^{\mu-1} \Gamma(\mu) + o(1), \quad z \to 0,$$ (1.5)

$$K_0(z) = -\log z + O(1), \quad z \to 0.$$ (1.6)

The Macdonald function can be represented by the integral

$$K_\mu(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh u} \cosh(\mu u)du, \quad \text{Re } z > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$ (1.7)
Concerning the product of the Macdonald functions \( K_{\mu+\alpha/2}(z)K_{\mu-\alpha/2}(z) \) the key formula, which will be used in the sequel is relation (2.16.5.4) in [4], Vol. II

\[
K_{(\mu+\alpha)/2}(z)K_{(\mu-\alpha)/2}(z) = \int_0^\infty K_{\mu} \left( z + \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{\alpha-1} dx, \quad \text{Re} \ z > 0.
\]

(1.8)

Letting in (1.1), (1.2) \( \alpha = 0 \), we come up with the operator of the Lebedev index transform and its adjoint, which is associated with the square of the Macdonald function [5], [6]. We note that, indeed, an essential generalization of the Lebedev transform will be investigated, since it is impossible to reduce (1.1), (1.2) to the Lebedev operator via any substitution of parameters or functions. Other index transforms related to the generalization of the Lebedev transform will be investigated, since it is impossible to reduce (1.1), (1.2) to the boundedness and invertibility properties of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform [9] and general index transforms [10].

2. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS IN LEBESGUE’S SPACES

Let us introduce the following Lebesgue functional spaces

\[
L^p \equiv L_1 \left( \mathbb{R}^+; K_{\alpha/2}^2(x) dx \right) := \left\{ f : \int_0^\infty K_{\alpha/2}^2(x)|f(x)|dx < \infty \right\}.
\]

(2.1)

In particular, as we will show below, it contains spaces \( L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}^+) \) for some \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) with the norms

\[
||f||_{\nu,p} = \left( \int_0^\infty x^{\nu p-1}|f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty,
\]

(2.2)

\[
||f||_{\nu,\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{x \geq 0} |x^\nu f(x)| < \infty.
\]

When \( \nu = \frac{1}{p} \), we obtain the usual norm in \( L_p \), denoted by \( || \cdot ||_p \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \alpha \geq 0, \nu + \alpha < 1, 1 \leq p \leq \infty, q = \frac{p}{p-1} \). Then the embedding holds

\[
L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^\alpha
\]

(2.3)

and

\[
||f||_{L^\alpha} \leq \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{1/q}(q(1-\nu))}{4q^{1-\nu}} B \left( \frac{1-\nu}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}, \frac{1-\nu}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \right) \right]^{1/2} ||f||_{\nu,p}, \ 1 < p \leq \infty,
\]

(2.4)

\[
||f||_{L^\alpha} \leq \text{sup}_{x \geq 0} \left[ K_{\alpha/2}^2(x)x^{1-\nu} \right] ||f||_{\nu,1},
\]

(2.5)

where \( \Gamma(z), B(z,w) \) are Euler’s gamma and beta functions, respectively.

**Proof.** In fact, with the definition of the norm (2.1) and the Hönler inequality we obtain

\[
||f||_{L^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty K_{\alpha/2}^2(x)|f(x)|dx \leq \left( \int_0^\infty K_{\alpha/2}^{2q}(x)x^{(1-\nu)q-1} dx \right)^{1/q} ||f||_{\nu,p}, \ q = \frac{p}{p-1}
\]

(2.6)

and the latter integral via asymptotic behavior of the Macdonald function (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) converges for \( \nu + \alpha < 1 \). Hence integral (1.7) and the generalized Minkowski inequality yield

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty K_{\alpha/2}^{2q}(x)x^{(1-\nu)q-1} dx \right)^{1/q} = \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(1-\nu)q-1} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh u} \cosh(\alpha u/2) du \right)^{2q} dx \right)^{1/q}
\]
Hence, and uniform convergence of the integral and its derivatives, we obtain (2.4). For the case \( p = 1 \) we end up immediately with (2.5), using (2.6), where the supremum is finite via the condition \( \nu + \alpha < 1 \). Thus the embedding (2.3) is established and Lemma 1 is proved.

Letting in (1.8) \( z = x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( \mu = i\tau \) and making a simple substitution, equality (1.8) becomes

\[
|K_{(i\tau + \alpha)/2}(x)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\tau(2x\cosh t)e^{itx}dt, \quad x > 0. \tag{2.7}
\]

Moreover, appealing to the representation (1.7) of the Macdonald function, we substitute the corresponding integral into the right-hand side of (2.7). Changing the order of integration due to the absolute convergence of the iterated integrals, we find the formula

\[
\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) \equiv |K_{(i\tau + \alpha)/2}(x)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\alpha}(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}dt, \quad x > 0. \tag{2.8}
\]

The representation (2.8) is a key identity, which will be involved to establish a differential difference equation for the kernel \( \Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) \). Precisely, it has

**Lemma 2.** The kernel \( \Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) \) satisfies the following second order differential-difference equation

\[
\frac{d^2\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}}{dx} + \frac{\tau^2}{x^2}\Phi_{\alpha,\tau} = \Phi_{2+\alpha,\tau} + 2\Phi_{\alpha,\tau} + \Phi_{2-\alpha,\tau}, \quad x > 0. \tag{2.9}
\]

**Proof.** In fact, differentiating two times both sides of (2.8) with respect to \( x \), motivating it by the absolute and uniform convergence of the integral and its derivatives, we obtain (\( t \) means the derivative)

\[
\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}''(x) = 4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\cosh^2 tdt = 4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}dt
\]

\[+ 4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\sinh^2 tdt. \]

Meanwhile, the second derivative of the Macdonald function can be expressed as (cf. [3], Vol. II)

\[
K_\alpha''(z) = \frac{1}{4} [K_{2+\alpha}(z) + K_{2-\alpha}(z)] + \frac{1}{2} K_\alpha(z).
\]

Hence,

\[
\frac{d^2\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}}{dx^2} - \Phi_{2+\alpha,\tau}(x) - \Phi_{2-\alpha,\tau}(x) - 2\Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) = 4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\sinh^2 tdt. \tag{2.10}
\]

On the other hand, integrating twice by parts in the latter integral and eliminating the integrated terms via asymptotic formula (1.4), we find (\( \tau \neq 0 \))

\[
4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\sinh^2 tdt = -\frac{2i\tau}{x}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha'(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\sinh tdt
\]

\[-\frac{2}{x}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\cosh tdt = -\left(\frac{\tau}{x}\right)^2 \Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) + \frac{2}{i\tau x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha'(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\sinh tdt
\]

\[+ \frac{4}{i\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\alpha''(2x\cosh t)e^{it\tau}\cosh t\sinh tdt = -\left(\frac{\tau}{x}\right)^2 \Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) - \frac{1}{x^2} \Phi_{\alpha,\tau}(x) \]
representation holds for Fourier transform (2.12) (cf. [74]) where 

\[ \text{is the operator of the Meijer K- transform (cf. [2]).} \]

**Proof.** In fact, since (see (1.7)) \( |K_{(\tau a/2)}(x)| \leq K_{\alpha/2}(x) \) we have

\[ |F_{\alpha}(\tau)| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{\alpha/2}(x)|f(x)|dx = ||f||_{L^p} < \infty, \]

which means that the operator (1.1) is well-defined and the integral converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus \( F_{\alpha}(\tau) \) is continuous. On the other hand, recalling (2.8), we derive

\[ |F_{\alpha}(\tau)| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\alpha}(2\cos \tau t)|f(x)|dxdt = ||f||_{L^p} < \infty. \]

Hence in view of Fubini’s theorem one can invert the order of integration in the corresponding iterated integral and arrive at the composition (2.11). Moreover, the previous estimate says that \((\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}f)(2\cos \tau t) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})\). Consequently, \( F_{\alpha}(\tau) \) vanishes at infinity owing to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** The operator \( F_{\alpha} : L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}+) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}), \ p \geq 2, \ \alpha + \nu < 1 \) is bounded and

\[ ||F_{\alpha}||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{q-\nu-1} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}(1-\nu)\right)\right]^{2/q} \]

\[ \times \beta\left(\frac{1-\nu+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\nu-\alpha}{2}\right)||f||_{L^p}, \ q = \frac{p}{p-1}. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

**Proof.** Indeed, taking the composition (2.11) via Lemma 1 and appealing to the Hausdorff-Young inequality for Fourier transform (2.12) (cf. [11], Theorem 74)

\[ ||\mathcal{F}f||_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} \leq (2\pi)^{1/q} ||f||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}, \ 1 < p \leq 2, \ q = \frac{p}{p-1}. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

we find

\[ ||F_{\alpha}||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\pi^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}f)(2\cos \tau t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.16)
Hence by the generalized Minkowski and Hölder inequalities with relation (2.16.2.2) from [4], Vol. II we obtain similar to the proof of Lemma 1

$$2\pi^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\infty (|\mathcal{K}_a f| (2 \cosh t)^q)^{1/q} dt \right) \leq 2\pi^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\infty |f(x)| \left( \int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}_a^q (2 \cosh t) dt \right)^{1/q} dx \right)$$

$$\leq 2\pi^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |f(x)| \cosh(\alpha u) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2q \cosh x \cosh u} dt \right)^{1/q} du$$

$$= 2\pi^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |f(x)| \cosh(\alpha u) K_0^{1/q} (2q \cosh u) du dx$$

$$\leq 2\pi^{1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{v,p} \int_0^\infty \cosh(\alpha u) \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(1-v)q-1} K_0 (2q \cosh u) dx \right)^{1/q} du$$

$$= \pi^{1/2} 2^{1-2/q} v^{-1} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{q}{2} (1-v) \right) \right]^{2/q} \left\| f \right\|_{v,p} \int_0^\infty \cosh(\alpha u) \cosh^{1-v} u du$$

$$= \pi^{1/2} 2^{2-q/v} v^{-1} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{q}{2} (1-v) \right) \right]^{2/q} B \left( \frac{1-v+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-v-\alpha}{2} \right) \left\| f \right\|_{v,p}. $$

Consequently, combining with (2.16), we get (2.14).

The next result tells when operator (1.1) is compact.

**Theorem 2.** The operator $F_\alpha : L_{v,p} (\mathbb{R}^+ \to L_q (\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha + v < 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$ is compact.

**Proof.** The proof is based on approximation of the operator (1.1) by a sequence of compact operators of a finite rank with continuous kernels of compact support. But to achieve this goal, it is sufficient to verify the following Hilbert-Schmidt-type condition

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |K_{(\tau+\alpha)/2}(x)|^{2q} x^{(1-v)q-1} dx \tau < \infty. \quad (2.17)$$

Indeed, recalling again integral representation (1.7), (2.8), the Hausdorff-Young inequality (2.14) and the generalized Minkowski inequality, we deduce

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| K_{(\tau+\alpha)/2}(x) \right|^{2q} x^{(1-v)q-1} d\tau dx \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq 2\pi^{1/q} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(1-v)q-1} \left( \int_0^\infty K_0^p (2 \cosh t) dt \right)^{1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq 2\pi^{1/q} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(1-v)q-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \cosh(\alpha u) K_0^{1/p} (2xp \cosh u) du \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq 2\pi^{1/q} \int_0^\infty \cosh(\alpha u) \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(1-v)q-1} K_0^{1/(p-1)} (2xp \cosh u) dx \right)^{1/q} du$$

$$= 2\pi^{1/q} 2^{2-q/v} v^{-1} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{q}{2} (1-v) \right) \right]^{2/q} B \left( \frac{1-v+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-v-\alpha}{2} \right) \left\| f \right\|_{v,p}. $$
\begin{align*}
&\leq \frac{\pi^{1/q}p^{\nu-1}}{2}B\left(\frac{1-\nu+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\nu-\alpha}{2}\right)
&\times \left(\int_0^\infty du \left(\int_0^\infty x^{(1-v)q-1}e^{-x\cosh u/(p-1)}dx\right)^{p-1}\right)^{1/p}
&= \frac{\pi^{1/q}p^{\nu-1}1/q(1-\nu)/2}{2 \Gamma(1-\nu)}
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty du \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{du}{\cosh^{p(1-\nu)}u}\right)^{1/p}\right)
&= \frac{\pi^{1/q}(q/2)^{\nu-1-1/2}2^{1/2}}{\Gamma^{1/p}(p(1-\nu))}
&\quad \times B\left(\frac{1-\nu+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\nu-\alpha}{2}\right) < \infty.
\end{align*}

Letting \( p = q = 2, \alpha = 0, \nu = 1/2 \) we get

\textbf{Corollary 2.} The Lebedev operator \((1.1) F_0 : L_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator with the square of the Macdonald function \( K_{\nu/2}^2(x) \) as the Hilbert-Schmidt kernel. Moreover, its norm is equal to \( \pi^2/2 \).

\textbf{Proof.} Employing the Parseval equality for the Fourier transform [11], representation (2.8) and relation (2.16.32.2) in [4], Vol. II, we derive (see (2.17))

\[ ||F_0|| = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_{\nu/2}^4(x)dx\right)^{1/2} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_0^2(2x\cosh t)dt\right)^{1/2} \]

\[ = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\cosh t} \int_0^\infty K_0^2(x)dx\right)^{1/2} = \frac{\pi^2}{2}. \]

\[ \square \]

Another representation of the transform (1.1) can be given via the Parseval equality for the Mellin transform [11]

\[ \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} f^*(s)g^*(1-s)ds, \] (2.18)

where \( f \in L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}_+), \ 1 < p \leq 2 \) and

\[ f^*(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{-1}dx \] (2.19)

is its Mellin transform and integral (2.19) converges in mean with respect to the norm in \( L_q(\nu-i\infty, \nu+i\infty), q = p/(p-1) \). The inverse Mellin transform is given accordingly

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} f^*(s)x^{-s}ds, \] (2.20)

where the integral converges in mean with respect to the norm (2.2) in \( L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \). An immediate consequence of Theorems 86, 87 in [11] is the following result.

\textbf{Theorem 3.} Let \( f \in L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}_+), \ 1 < p \leq 2, \alpha + \nu < 1 \). Then for all \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[ F_{\alpha}(\tau) = \frac{1}{8i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) \]
Proof. In fact, the proof is based on the equality (2.18) and relation (8.4.23.31) in [4], Vol. III, which drives us to the following representation of the kernel $|K_{(\tau+\alpha)/2}(x)|^2$

$$
|K_{(\tau+\alpha)/2}(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{8i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-i\tau}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma((s+\alpha/2)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha/2)/2)}{\Gamma((s+1)/2)\Gamma((s+1)/2)} x^{-s} ds, x > 0,
$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu > \alpha$.

Finally in this section we investigate the existence and boundedness of the adjoint operator (1.2). In fact, following the general operator theory it can be established from the boundedness of the operator (1.1). However, we will prove it directly, getting an explicit estimation of its norm. Assuming $g(\tau) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p \leq 2$ and recalling (2.8) with the asymptotic formula (1.4) for the Macdonald function, we find that for each $x > 0$ the function $K_{\alpha}(2x\cosh t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Hence via the Parseval theorem for the Fourier transform (cf. [11], Theorem 75), operator (1.2) can be written as

$$
G_{\alpha}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\alpha}(2x\cosh t) (\mathcal{F}g)(t) dt, x > 0,
$$

where $(\mathcal{F}g)(t) \in L_q(\mathbb{R})$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the Fourier transform (2.12) of $g$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{2p}$. Then operator (1.2) is well-defined and for all $x > 0$

$$
|G_{\alpha}(x)| \leq \pi^{(1+1/q)/2} 2^{-1-1/p} p^{-1/(2p)} B \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{4p} - \frac{1}{4p} \right) x^{-1-1/(2p)} ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.
$$

**Proof.** Taking (2.23), we recall the Hölder inequality, the Hausdorff-Young inequality (2.15) and the generalized Minkowski inequality to obtain

$$
|G_{\alpha}(x)| \leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^p_{\alpha}(2x\cosh t) dt \right)^{1/p} ||\mathcal{F}g||_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} \leq \pi^{1/q} ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha u) K^p_{\alpha}(2xp\cosh u) du
$$

$$
\leq \pi^{1/q} ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha u) e^{-2x\cosh u} \left( \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2xp\cosh u} t^2 dt \right)^{1/p} du
$$

$$
= \pi^{(1+1/q)/2} 2^{-3/(2p)} p^{-1/(2p)} ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha u) \cosh(t/(2p)) u \cosh(\alpha u) du
$$

$$
\leq \pi^{(1+1/q)/2} 2^{-1-3/(2p)} p^{-1/(2p)} x^{-1-1/(2p)} ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh(\alpha u)}{\cosh(t/(2p)) u} du
$$

$$
= \pi^{(1+1/q)/2} 2^{-2-1/p} p^{-1/(2p)} x^{-1-1/(2p)} B \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{4p} - \frac{1}{4p} \right) ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},
$$

which proves (2.24). □

**Theorem 5.** The operator $G_{\alpha} : L_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_{\nu,r}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $r \geq 1$, $\alpha < \nu$ is bounded and

$$
||G_{\alpha}||_{\nu,r} \leq \pi^{1-1/p} 2^{v-2-2/p} p\Gamma^{1/(2p)}(\nu r) r^{2/(4p)} (\nu p/2) B \left(\frac{v+\alpha}{2}, \frac{v-\alpha}{2} \right) ||g||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.
$$
Proof. Indeed, recalling (2.23), we apply again the generalized Minkowski, Hölder inequalities and the Hausdorff-Young inequality (2.15) to find

\[ \|G_\alpha\|_{L^r} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| (\mathcal{F}g)(t) \right| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} K^r_\alpha(2x\cosh t)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dt \]

\[ \leq \|\mathcal{F}g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} K^r_\alpha(2x\cosh t)dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]

\[ \leq (2\pi)^{1/q-2} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\cosh^{p/2} t} \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} K^r_\alpha(x)dx \right)^{1/r} \]

\[ = \pi^{1/q} \left[ \frac{\nu p}{2} \right] \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha t) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} e^{-\nu r \cosh u} dx \right)^{1/r} dt \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \]

\[ \leq \pi^{1/q-2} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right)^2 \right] \Gamma(1/r) \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha t) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} e^{-\nu r \cosh u} dx \right)^{1/r} dt \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \]

\[ = \pi^{1/q-2} \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right)^2 \Gamma(1/r) \left( \frac{\nu p}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \cosh(\alpha t) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu r-1} e^{-\nu r \cosh u} dx \right)^{1/r} dt \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \]

\[ \square \]

3. Inversion theorems

The composition representation (2.11) and the properties of the Fourier and Mellin transforms are key ingredients to prove the inversion theorem for the index transform (1.1). Namely, we have

Theorem 6. Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \nu < 1 - \alpha, 1 < p \leq 2, q = p/(p - 1). \) Let \( f^*(s) \in L_p(\nu - i\infty, \nu + i\infty), \) where \( f^*(s) \) is the Mellin transform (2.19) of \( f \in L_1((1, \infty); t^\alpha dt), \) i.e. \( f(t) \) is integrable over \((1, \infty)\) with respect to the measure \( t^\alpha dt. \) If, besides above assumptions, the generalized Lebedev transform (1.1) of \( f \) satisfies the condition \( \tau e^{\pi i/\alpha} F_\alpha(\tau) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \) and its Mellin transform vanishes at the point \( 1 - \alpha, \) i.e. \( f^*(1 - \alpha) = 0, \) then for all \( x > 0 \) the following inversion formula holds

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(x/2)^{\nu - 1}}{\Gamma((i\tau - \alpha)/2)\Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2)} \right] \frac{2\Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2)}{\Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2)} \right] F_\alpha(\tau) d\tau, \]

(3.1)

where \( 2\Gamma(\alpha/2) \) is the generalized hypergeometric function and the integral converges absolutely.

Proof. In fact, since \( sf^*(s) \in L_p(\nu - i\infty, \nu + i\infty), \) \( 1 < p \leq 2, \) it means that \( f^*(s) \in L_p(\nu - i\infty, \nu + i\infty). \) Hence Theorem 86 in [11] says that \( f, \) which is given by formula (2.20), belongs to \( L_{\nu,p}(\mathbb{R}_+). \) Then by virtue of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 we observe that \( F_\alpha(\tau) \) is continuous. Therefore the condition \( \tau e^{\pi i/\alpha} F_\alpha(\tau) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \) implies \( F_\alpha \in L_1(\mathbb{R}). \) Hence (2.11) and the inverse Fourier transform yield the equality

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(\tau) e^{i\tau} d\tau = \int_{0}^{\infty} K_\alpha(2x\cosh t) f(x) dx, \]
Integrating by parts in the right-hand side of (3.3) and eliminating the integrated terms, we get

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_\alpha(\tau) e^{\imath \log(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})} d\tau = \int_{0}^{\infty} K_\alpha(2\pi \lambda) f(x) dx, \quad \lambda \geq 1. \tag{3.2}
\]

The integral in the left-hand side of (3.2) converges absolutely. Further, recalling the Hölder inequality, asymptotic formulas (1.4), (1.5) for the Macdonald function and the condition \( f \in L_{\nu,q}([R^+]) \), it is not difficult to verify the absolute convergence of the integral in the right-hand side of (3.2). Moreover, it permits a differentiation with respect to \( \lambda \) in (3.2). As a result we obtain

\[
\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau F_\alpha(\tau) e^{\imath \log(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})} d\tau = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} K_\alpha'(2\pi \lambda) x f(x) dx. \tag{3.3}
\]

Integrating by parts in the right-hand side of (3.3) and eliminating the integrated terms, we get

\[
\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau F_\alpha(\tau) e^{\imath \log(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})} d\tau = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_\alpha(2\pi \lambda) \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)] dx. \tag{3.4}
\]

But since \( f^*(s) \in L\nu([\nu-i\infty, \nu+i\infty]) \), one has that \( f(x) \) is equivalent to some absolutely continuous function, \( \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)] \in L_{\nu,q}([R^+]) \) and

\[
\frac{d}{dx} [f(x)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} (1-s) f^*(s) x^{-s} ds,
\]

where the integral converges in mean with respect to the norm in \( L_{\nu,q}([R^+]) \). Further, the Parseval equality (2.18) and relation (2.16.2.2) in [4], Vol. II allow us to write for all \( \lambda \geq 1 \)

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} K_\alpha(2\pi \lambda) \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)] dx = \frac{1}{8\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} (1-s) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\alpha}{2}\right) f^*(s) \lambda^{-1} ds.
\]

Therefore, combining with (3.4), we have

\[
\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau F_\alpha(\tau) e^{\imath \log(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})} d\tau = -\frac{1}{8\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} (1-s) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\alpha}{2}\right)
\]

\[
\times f^*(s) \lambda^{-2} ds.
\]\n
(3.5)

Meanwhile, \( F_\alpha(\tau) \) is even. Thus it implies from (3.5)

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin\left(\tau \log\left(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)\right) d\tau = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\nu-i\infty}^{\nu+i\infty} (1-s) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\alpha}{2}\right)
\]

\[
\times f^*(s) \lambda^{-2} ds.
\]\n
(3.6)

In the meantime, relations (2.16.2.2), (2.16.6.1) in [4], Vol. II and the Parseval equality (2.18) give the following representations of the kernel in the left-hand side of (3.6)

\[
\sin\left(\tau \log\left(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sinh(\pi \tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} K_{\tau}(x) dx
\]

\[
= \frac{\sinh(\pi \tau)}{\pi^2 i} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} 2^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) \lambda^{-s} ds, \quad 0 < \mu < 1. \tag{3.7}
\]
Hence, substituting the right-hand side of (3.7) into the left-hand side of (3.6) and changing the order of integration via Fubini’s theorem due to the estimate
\[
\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tau F_\alpha(\tau)| \sinh(\pi \tau) |\int_{\mu - i\infty}^{\mu + i\infty} 2^{-s-2} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) \lambda^{-s} \tau d\tau| d\tau \\
\leq \frac{\Gamma^2((1-\mu)/2)}{2^{2+\mu} \lambda^{\nu}} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tau F_\alpha(\tau)| e^{\pi |\tau|} d\tau \int_{\mu - i\infty}^{\mu + i\infty} |\Gamma(s)| ds < \infty,
\]
(3.8)
it becomes by virtue of simple changes of variables
\[
\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mu - i\infty}^{\mu + i\infty} 2^{-s-2} \Gamma(s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) F_\alpha(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) d\tau \lambda^{-s} d\tau \\
= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{2-\nu - i\infty}^{2-\nu + i\infty} (s-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1-\alpha}{2}\right) f^\alpha(2-s) \lambda^{-s} ds.
\]
(3.9)
In the meantime, we will show that under conditions of the theorem \( f^\alpha(s) \) is analytic in the strip \( \nu < \Re s < 1 + \alpha \). Indeed, it follows immediately from the absolute and uniform convergence of the integral (2.19). We have \( s = \mu + i\tau \),
\[
|f^\alpha(s)| \leq \int_{0}^{1} |f(t)| t^{\mu-1} dt + \int_{1}^{\infty} |f(t)| t^{\mu-1} dt \leq \left( \int_{0}^{1} |f(t)|^q t^{q-1} dt \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} |f(t)| t^{\mu-q-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \\
+ \int_{1}^{\infty} |f(t)| t^\alpha dt \leq |\rho(\mu - \nu)|^{-1/p} \|f\|_{V,q} + \int_{1}^{\infty} |f(t)| t^\alpha dt,
\]
(3.10)
and the result follows. Moreover, appealing to the Stirling asymptotic formula for gamma-functions [3], we observe that the integrand in the right-hand side of (3.9) belongs to \( L_1(\mu - i\infty, \mu + i\infty) \) for all \( \mu \in (1 - \alpha, 2 - \nu) \). Therefore, the Cauchy theorem and the condition \( f^\alpha(1 - \alpha) = 0 \) permits us to shift the contour \( (2 - \nu - i\infty, 2 - \nu + i\infty) \) to the left, making the integration over the line \( (\mu - i\infty, \mu + i\infty) \) with \( 1 - \alpha < \mu < 1 \). Hence we arrive at the equality
\[
\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mu - i\infty}^{\mu + i\infty} 2^{-s-2} \Gamma(s) \lambda^{-s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) F_\alpha(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) d\tau ds \\
= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\mu - i\infty}^{\mu + i\infty} (s-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1-\alpha}{2}\right) f^\alpha(2-s) \lambda^{-s} ds.
\]
(3.11)
Further, taking into account (3.10) and estimating the integrand in the left-hand side of (3.11) as a function of \( s \) similar to (3.8), we observe that both integrands in (3.11) are from the space \( L_1(\mu - i\infty, \mu + i\infty) \), \( \mu \in (1 - \alpha, 1) \). Consequently, the uniqueness theorem for the Mellin transform (see [11]) immediately drives us at the equality
\[
\frac{2^{-s} \Gamma(s)}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) F_\alpha(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) d\tau \\
= (s-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1-\alpha}{2}\right) f^\alpha(2-s), \quad s \in (\mu - i\infty, \mu + i\infty).
\]
Hence the simple change of variables and the reduction formula for the gamma-function yield
\[
f^\alpha(s) = \frac{2^{s-2} \Gamma((s-1)/2)}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) \frac{(s-1+\alpha)/2 \Gamma((s-1-\alpha)/2) \Gamma((s-1+\alpha)/2) \Gamma((s-1-\alpha)/2) F_\alpha(\tau) }{\Gamma((s-1+\alpha)/2) \Gamma((s-1-\alpha)/2) F_\alpha(\tau)} d\tau,
\]
(3.12)
where $s \in (2 - \mu - i\omega, 2 - \mu + i\omega)$, and it is not difficult to verify the condition $f^*(1 - \alpha) = 0$. But the right-hand side of (3.12) is integrable over the line $(2 - \mu - i\omega, 2 - \mu + i\omega)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\int_{2 - \mu - i\omega}^{2 - \mu + i\omega} \left| \frac{\Gamma(1 - s)}{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)} \right| F_\alpha(\tau) d\tau ds
= \int_{2 - \mu - i\omega}^{2 - \mu + i\omega} \left| \frac{\Gamma(1 - s)}{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)} \right| F_\alpha(\tau) d\tau ds
\leq B(1 - \mu, 1 - \mu) \int_{2 - \mu - i\omega}^{2 - \mu + i\omega} \frac{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)}{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau| F_\alpha(\tau) e^{\pi |\tau|^2} d\tau < \infty
$$

(3.13)

via the condition $\tau e^{\pi |\tau|^2} F_\alpha(\tau) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and the estimate

$$
\frac{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)}{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)} = O\left( |u|^{1 - \mu} e^{-\pi |u|^2} \right), \ s = 2 - \mu + i\omega, |u| \to \infty.
$$

Consequently, applying to both sides of (3.12) the inverse Mellin transform (2.20), we come up with the equality for all $x > 0$

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) S_\alpha(x, \tau) F_\alpha(\tau) d\tau, \ x > 0,
$$

where under a simple substitution with the use of the reduction formula for the gamma function

$$
S_\alpha(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1 - \mu/2 - i\omega}^{1 - \mu/2 + i\omega} \frac{\Gamma((1 - s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 - s - \alpha)/2)}{\Gamma((1 + s + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((1 + s - \alpha)/2)} x^{-s} ds,
$$

(3.14)

and the change of the corresponding order of integration is allowed owing to the estimate (3.13) and Fubini’s theorem. Our goal now is to calculate the kernel $S_\alpha(x, \tau)$ in terms of the generalized hypergeometric functions $\,\,^2F_3$ via the Slater theorem (cf. in [4], Vol. III). In fact, the integral (3.14) is equal to

$$
S_\alpha(x, \tau) = x^{-(1+i\tau)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(i\tau - n) \Gamma(n + (1 - i\tau)/2) \Gamma(n + (1 + i\tau)/2)}{\Gamma(n + (\alpha + i\tau)/2) \Gamma(n - (\alpha - i\tau)/2)}
$$

$$
+ x^{i\tau - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(-i\tau - n) \Gamma(n + i\tau/2) \Gamma(n + (1 + i\tau)/2)}{\Gamma(n + (\alpha + i\tau)/2) \Gamma(n - (\alpha - i\tau)/2)}
$$

$$
- \frac{2}{x \sinh(\pi \tau/2) \Gamma((\alpha/2)^2)} = \frac{\pi \sqrt{\pi}}{\tau \sinh(\pi \tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma((i\tau - \alpha)/2) \Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2)}{\Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2) \Gamma((\alpha - i\tau)/2)} \right]^{(x/2)^{i\tau - 1}}
$$

$$
\times \,\,^2F_3 \left( \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1 + i\tau}{2}; \frac{1 + i\tau}{2}, \frac{\alpha + i\tau}{2}, \frac{i\tau - \alpha}{2}; x^2 \right) + \frac{(2/x)^{i\tau}}{\Gamma((\alpha + i\tau)/2) \Gamma((\alpha - i\tau)/2)}
$$

$$
\times \,\,^2F_3 \left( \frac{-i\tau}{2}, \frac{1 - i\tau}{2}; \frac{1 - i\tau}{2}, \frac{\alpha - i\tau}{2}, \frac{-i\tau + \alpha}{2}; x \right) - \frac{4 \cosh(\pi \tau/2)}{x \Gamma((\alpha/2)^2) \Gamma((\alpha/2)^2)}
$$

Finally, since $F_\alpha$ is even, we easily arrive at the inversion formula (3.1), completing the proof of the theorem.

□

In order to prove the corresponding inversion theorem for the index transform (1.2), we will need the value of the integral, involving squares of modules of the gamma functions. Precisely, we have

**Lemma 3.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon + i(x - t)/2)}{\Gamma(\varepsilon + i(x + t)/2)} \right|^2 dt = 4\pi |\varepsilon + ix|^2 B(\varepsilon, \varepsilon).
$$

(3.15)
Proof. The proof is based on the Parseval equality for the Fourier cosine transform [11] and relation (2.5.46.6) in [4], Vol. I. Thus we obtain
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Gamma \left( \frac{e+i(x-t)}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{e+i(x+t)}{2} \right) \right|^2 dt = 2^{4-2\epsilon} \pi |\Gamma(\epsilon + ix)|^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\cosh^2 \epsilon t} = 4\pi |\Gamma(\epsilon + ix)|^2 B(\epsilon, \epsilon).
\]
\[\Box\]

Definition 1. A function \( f \) satisfies the Dini condition at some point \( x \), if
\[
\varphi(t) = \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t}
\]
is integrable in some neighborhood of the origin.

Now the inversion formula for the index transform (1.2) is given by

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), \( g(\tau) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}) \), \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), satisfying the Dini condition at some point \( x \) in \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \). Let also the index transform \( G_\alpha \) be such that its Mellin transform \( G_\alpha^\ast(s) \in L_1((\mu - i\omega, \mu + i\omega); |s|^{1/2}ds) \), \( \mu > 0 \). Then
\[
g(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(2\epsilon)}{\Gamma(\epsilon)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(-ix)(t/2)^{\epsilon+ix-1}}{\Gamma(e-ix)\Gamma((e+\alpha+ix)/2)\Gamma((e+ix-\alpha)/2)}
\]
\[
\times_2 F_3 \left( \frac{e+ix}{2}, \frac{e+ix+1}{2}; 1+ix, \frac{e+\alpha+ix}{2}, \frac{e+ix-\alpha}{2}; t^2 \right)
\]
\[
\times_2 F_3 \left( \frac{e-ix}{2}, \frac{e-ix+1}{2}; 1-ix, \frac{e+\alpha-ix}{2}, \frac{e-ix-\alpha}{2}; t^2 \right) \right) G_\alpha(t) dt,
\]
where the limit is pointwise.

Proof. Recalling formula (2.23), which is valid under conditions of the theorem, we calculate the Mellin transform (2.19) from its both sides with \( s \) belongs to the vertical line with \( \text{Re} \ s > |\alpha| \). After the change of the order of integration via Fubini’s theorem by virtue of the absolute convergence and the use of relation (2.16.2.2) in [4], Vol. II, we find
\[
\frac{4}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} G_\alpha^\ast(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F} g)(t) \frac{dt}{\cosh^t t}.
\]

But the right-hand side of the equality (3.17) is analytic in the right half-plane \( \text{Re} \ s > 0 \). This fact follows from the absolute convergence of the integral for \( \text{Re} \ s > 0 \) and the uniform convergence with respect to \( s \), \( \text{Re} \ s \geq x_0 > 0 \). Indeed, since \( (\mathcal{F} g)(t) \in L_q(\mathbb{R}) \), \( q = p/(p-1) \) we just apply the Hölder inequality to achieve the goal. Hence, the Euler integral for the gamma function and the Fubini theorem drive us at the equalities
\[
\frac{4}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} G_\alpha^\ast(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F} g)(t) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y\cosh t} y^{s-1} dy \right) dt
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F} g)(t) e^{-y\cosh t} dt \right) dy.
\]

In the meantime, the Stirling asymptotic formula for the gamma function yields
\[
\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} = O \left( |s|^{1/2} \right), \ |s| \to \infty.
\]
Therefore under the condition $|s|^{1/2} G_\alpha(s) \in L_1(\mu-i\infty, \mu+i\infty)$, $\mu > 0$ the left-hand side of the first equality in (3.18) is integrable. Taking the inner Mellin transform (2.20), we find

$$\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)G_\alpha(s)}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} y^{-s} ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}g)(t) e^{-y\cosh t} dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)

Moreover, the right-hand side of (3.19) can be rewritten owing to the Parseval equality for the Fourier transform [11] (see (2.12)) and integral representation (1.7) of the Macdonald function. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)G_\alpha(s)}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} y^{-s} ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{it}(y)g(\tau) d\tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

The next step is to multiply both sides of (3.20) by

$$\frac{\Gamma(2\epsilon)2^{1-\epsilon}}{\pi \Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(\epsilon+i\eta)} y^{-\epsilon-1} K_{\alpha}(y), \quad \epsilon > 0, \ y > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and integrate with respect to $y$ over $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then changing the order of integration, recalling the Fubini theorem, and calculating the inner integrals, appealing to relations (2.16.2.2) and (2.16.33.2) in [4], Vol. II, we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(2\epsilon)}{\pi \Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(\epsilon+i\eta)} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)G_\alpha(s)}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} \left( \frac{\epsilon-s-ix}{2} \right)^{2s-1} ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi B(\epsilon, \eta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ B \left( \frac{\epsilon+i(x-\tau)}{2}, \frac{\epsilon+i(x+\tau)}{2} \right) \right]^2 g(\tau) d\tau, \ 0 < \mu < \epsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

The left-hand side of (3.21) can be expressed with the use of the Parseval equality (2.18). In fact, we derive

$$\frac{\Gamma(2\epsilon)}{\pi \Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(\epsilon+i\eta)} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)G_\alpha(s)}{\Gamma((s+\alpha)/2)\Gamma((s-\alpha)/2)} \left( \frac{\epsilon-s-ix}{2} \right)^{2s-1} ds$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(2\epsilon)}{\pi \Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(\epsilon+i\eta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{S}_{\alpha,\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{t}, x \right) G_\alpha(t) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where analogously to the calculation of the kernel $S_\alpha(x, \tau)$ (3.14)

$$\tilde{S}_{\alpha,\epsilon}(u, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\pi} i} \int_{\mu/2-i\infty}^{\mu/2+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1/2+s)}{\Gamma(s+\alpha/2)\Gamma(s-\alpha/2)} \left( \frac{\epsilon-ix}{2} - s \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon-ix}{2} - s \right) u^{-2s} ds$$

$$= \frac{2(2\mu)^{-\epsilon+i\eta} \Gamma(\epsilon+i\eta) \Gamma(-i\eta)}{\Gamma((\epsilon+\alpha+i\eta)/2)\Gamma((\epsilon+i\eta-\alpha)/2)} \left( \frac{\epsilon+ix}{2}, \frac{\epsilon+ix+1}{2}; 1+i, \frac{\epsilon-i\eta-\alpha}{2}; 1 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{2(2\mu)^{-\epsilon+i\eta} \Gamma(\epsilon-i\eta) \Gamma(i\eta)}{\Gamma((\epsilon+\alpha-i\eta)/2)\Gamma((\epsilon-i\eta-\alpha)/2)} \left( \frac{\epsilon-ix}{2}, \frac{\epsilon-ix+1}{2}; 1-i, \frac{\epsilon+i\eta-\alpha}{2}; 1 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

Further, returning to (3.21), we consider its right-hand side

$$I(\epsilon, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi i B(\epsilon, \eta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\epsilon+i(x-\tau)}{2}, \frac{\epsilon+i(x+\tau)}{2} \right]^2 g(\tau) d\tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

Since the square of the modulus of the beta function is even with respect to $\tau$ and $x$, one can assume without loss of generality that $g(\tau)$ is an even function and $x > 0$. Our goal is to prove the limit equality for some positive $x$, where $g(x)$ satisfies the Dini condition, namely,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} I(\epsilon, x) = g(x), \ x > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)
In fact, appealing to (3.15), we write for some small positive $\delta$

$$I(\varepsilon, x) - g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi B(\varepsilon, x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| B \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon + i(x - \tau)}{2} \right) \right) \frac{g(\tau) - g(x)}{i} \right|^2 d\tau$$

$$= \frac{4((1 + \varepsilon)^2 + x^2)(e^2 + x^2)}{\pi B(\varepsilon, x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| B \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon + i(x - \tau)}{2} \right) \right) \frac{g(\tau) - g(x)}{i} \right|^2 \frac{e^2 + (x + \tau)^2}{(e^2 + (x - \tau)^2)} d\tau$$

$$= \frac{4((1 + \varepsilon)^2 + x^2)(e^2 + x^2)}{\pi B(\varepsilon, x)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-x-\delta} + \int_{-x-\delta}^{-x+\delta} + \int_{-x+\delta}^{x-\delta} + \int_{x-\delta}^{\infty} \right) \left| B \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon + i(x - \tau)}{2} \right) \right) \frac{g(\tau) - g(x)}{i} \right|^2 \frac{e^2 + (x + \tau)^2}{(e^2 + (x - \tau)^2)} d\tau$$

starting from integral $I_5(\varepsilon, x)$, we find

$$|I_5(\varepsilon, x)| \leq \frac{4B^2(1 + \varepsilon/2, 1 + \varepsilon/2)((1 + \varepsilon)^2 + x^2)(e^2 + x^2)}{\pi B(\varepsilon, x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|g(\tau) - g(x)|}{(e^2 + (x + \tau)^2)(e^2 + (x - \tau)^2)} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_1(x) \varepsilon \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{|g(x+t)| + |g(x)|}{t^4} dt \leq C_2(x) \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^3} + C_1(x) \varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\delta^{1/4}} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

where $C_i(x), i = 1, 2, 3$ are constants. Clearly, one can make the latter expression arbitrary small, choosing first some $\delta$ and then $\varepsilon$. Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} I_5(\varepsilon, x) = 0.$$

In the same manner we establish the equality

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} I_1(\varepsilon, x) = 0.$$

Concerning integral $I_3(\varepsilon, x)$, we presume that $\delta$ is small and does not exceed $x$. Hence, analogously,

$$|I_3(\varepsilon, x)| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\delta}^{2\varepsilon - \delta} \frac{|g(x + t)| + |g(x)|}{t^2(2x - t)^2} dt \leq \varepsilon \left( \frac{C_3(x)}{\delta^3} + \frac{C_6(x)}{\delta^{1-1/4}} \right)$$

and again

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} I_3(\varepsilon, x) = 0.$$

Finally, we estimate integrals $I_2(\varepsilon, x), I_4(\varepsilon, x)$. We have

$$|I_4(\varepsilon, x)| \leq \varepsilon C_7(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{|g(\tau) - g(x)|}{(e^2 + (x + \tau)^2)(e^2 + (x - \tau)^2)} d\tau = \varepsilon C_7(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{|g(x + t) - g(x)|}{(e^2 + (2x + t)^2)(e^2 + t^2)} dt$$

$$\leq \varepsilon C_7(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{|g(x + t) - g(x)|}{(e^2 + t^2)} dt \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} C_7(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{|g(x + t) - g(x)|}{|t|} dt,$$

where the latter integral becomes small when $\delta$ goes to zero via the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral. Therefore $I_4(\varepsilon, x) \to 0, \varepsilon \to 0^+$ and in the same manner we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} I_2(\varepsilon, x) = 0.$$
Thus (3.24) holds true, and the inversion formula (3.16) follows immediately after the passage to the limit in (3.21) by $\varepsilon \to 0+$.

4. PARTICULAR CASES

4.1. The case $\alpha = 0$. Letting $\alpha = 0$, we come up with the Lebedev type index transform, involving a square of the Macdonald function

$$F_0(\tau) = \int_0^\infty K_{\tau/2}^2(x) f(x) dx, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

In this form the transform is mentioned by formula (8.59) in [12] as a particular case of the general Wimp-Yakubovich transform with respect an index of the Meijer $G$-function (cf. [2], Chapter 7). Despite Theorem 6 is proved for non-zero $\alpha$, one can adjust its proof for the zero-case too. In fact, the hypergeometric function in the inversion formula (3.1) is reduced to the $\,_{1}F_{2}\,$-function and can be expressed in terms of the modified Bessel functions. Precisely, appealing to relation (7.14.1.4) in [11], Vol. III, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\,_{1}F_{2}\left(\frac{1 + i\tau}{2}; \frac{1 + i\tau}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) = 2 \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-i\tau} \left[\frac{x}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{i\tau}{2}\right) I_{\tau/2-1}(x)
\right.

&\quad \left.- \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right) I_{\tau/2}(x)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(x/2)^{\tau-1}}{\Gamma^2 (i\tau/2)} \,_{1}F_{2}\left(\frac{1 + i\tau}{2}; \frac{1 + i\tau}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) = i\tau \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) \left[\,_{1}I_{\tau/2-1}(x) - \frac{i\tau}{2x} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

(4.2)

Meanwhile calling properties of the modified Bessel functions (see in [3], Vol. II), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{i\tau}{x} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) = \,_{1}I_{\tau/2-1}(x) - \,_{1}I_{\tau/2+1}(x),

&\,_{1}I_{\tau/2-1}(x) + \,_{1}I_{\tau/2+1}(x) = 2 \frac{d}{dx} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions in (4.2), after straightforward simplifications we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(x/2)^{\tau-1}}{\Gamma^2 (i\tau/2)} \,_{1}F_{2}\left(\frac{1 + i\tau}{2}; \frac{1 + i\tau}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) = i\tau \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) \frac{d}{dx} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) = \frac{i\tau}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and the inversion formula (3.1) for the index transform (4.1) takes the form

$$f(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} \,_{1}I_{\tau/2}(x) \, F_0(\tau) \, d\tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

However this is exactly the inversion formula (8.60) in [12] subject to elementary changes of variables and functions. An analog of Theorem 6 is

**Theorem 8.** Let $\nu < 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $q = p/(p - 1)$. Let $sf^*(s) \in L_p(\nu - i\infty, \nu + i\infty)$, where $f^*(s)$ is the Mellin transform (2.19) of $f \in L_1((1, \infty); \, dt)$. If, besides above assumptions, the index transform (4.1) satisfies the condition $\tau e^{\pm i\tau} F_0(\tau) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and the Mellin transform $f^*(s)$ vanishes at the point $s = 1$, then for all $x > 0$ inversion formula (4.3) holds, where the corresponding integral is absolutely convergent.

**Proof.** The scheme of the proof is the same as in Theorem 6. Nevertheless, to adjust the value $\alpha = 0$ and to have a strip, where one can choose a vertical line for the integration in the right-hand side of (3.11), it can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mu-i\infty}^{\mu+i\infty} 2^{-s-2} \Gamma(s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi \tau) \, F_0(\tau) \Gamma \left(\frac{1-s+i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1-s-i\tau}{2}\right) d\tau \lambda^{-s} ds
\end{aligned}$$
holds by parts we arrive at the pair of Lebedev transforms
\[ \Gamma \left( \frac{s+1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-1}{2} \right) f^*(2-s) \lambda^{-s} ds \]
with \( 0 < \mu < 1 \) owing to the analyticity, integrability conditions and the value \( f^*(1) = 0 \). Hence one can proceed all further steps of the proof of Theorem 6.

The corresponding adjoint operator to (4.1) has the form (see (1.2))
\[ G_0(x) = \int_0^\infty K_{i\tau/2}^2(x) g(\tau) d\tau. \]  

(4.4)

Hence Theorem 7 becomes

**Theorem 9.** Let \( g(\tau) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}), \ 1 < p \leq 2, \) satisfying the Dini condition at some point \( x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \). Let also the index transform (4.4) be such that its Mellin transform \( G_0^*(s) \in L_1((\mu - i\omega, \mu + i\omega); |s|^{1/2} ds), \ \mu > 0 \). Then

\[ g(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(2\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\Gamma(-ix)(t/2)^{\varepsilon+it-1}}{\Gamma(-ix)\Gamma((e+ix)/2)} \ _2F_1 \left( \frac{e+ix+1}{2}, 1+ix, \frac{e+ix}{2}; t^2 \right) + \frac{\Gamma(ix)(t/2)^{\varepsilon-it-1}}{\Gamma(e+ix)\Gamma((e-ix)/2)} \ _2F_1 \left( \frac{e-ix+1}{2}, 1-ix, \frac{e-ix}{2}; t^2 \right) \right] G_0(t) dt, \]

(4.5)

where the limit is pointwise.

**Remark 1.** When it is possible to pass to the limit under the integral sign in (4.5), this formula takes the form

\[ g(x) = \frac{ix}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left[ I_{i\tau/2}(t) - I_{-i\tau/2}(t) \right] G_0(t) dt. \]

Moreover, with elementary changes of the variables, functions as in the previous example and the integration by parts we arrive at the pair of Lebedev transforms [5] (see formulas (8.57), (8.58) in [12]).

**4.2. The case \( \alpha = 1 \).** In this case we will consider the following index transforms

\[ F_1(\tau) = \int_0^\infty |K_{i(\tau+1)/2}(x)|^2 f(x) dx, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \]

(4.6)

\[ G_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |K_{i(\tau+1)/2}(x)|^2 g(\tau) d\tau, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \]

(4.7)

Employing relation (7.14.1.5) in [4], Vol. III, the corresponding kernel in the inversion formula (3.1) can be reduced to the product of the modified Bessel functions. Precisely, we find

\[ \frac{(x/2)^{i\tau-1}}{\Gamma((i\tau-1)/2)\Gamma((1+i\tau)/2)} \ _2F_1 \left( \frac{i\tau}{2}, 1+i\tau; \frac{i\tau-1}{2}; x^2 \right) = I_{(i\tau-1)/2}(x) \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{i\tau-1}{2} I_{(i\tau-1)/2}(x) + \frac{x}{2} I_{(i\tau-1)/2}(x) \right] = \frac{x}{2} I_{(i\tau-1)/2}(x) I_{(i\tau-3)/2}(x). \]

Thus the inversion theorems for operators (4.6), (4.7) are given accordingly.

**Theorem 10.** Let \( \nu < 0, \ 1 < p \leq 2, \ q = p/(p-1) \). Let \( s f^*(s) \in L_p(\mathbb{R} \setminus (0, \infty)), \) where \( f^*(s) \) is the Mellin transform (2.19) of \( f \in L_1((1, \infty); dt) \). If, besides above assumptions, the index transform (4.6) of \( f \) satisfies the condition \( \nu \pi^{\nu+1} F_1(\tau) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( f^*(0) = 0 \), then for all \( x > 0 \) the following inversion formula holds

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left[ \frac{x}{2} I_{(i\tau-1)/2}(x) I_{(i\tau-3)/2}(x) + \frac{\cosh(\pi\tau/2)}{\pi x} \right] F_1(\tau) d\tau, \]

and the integral converges absolutely.
Theorem 11. Let \( g(\tau) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), satisfying the Dini condition at some point \( x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \). Let also the index transform \( G_1 \) be such that its Mellin transform \( G_1^*(s) \in L_1(\mu - i\infty, \mu + i\infty); |s|^{1/2} ds \), \( \mu > 0 \). Then

\[
g(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(2\epsilon) \int_0^\infty \frac{\Gamma(-ix)(t/2)^{\epsilon + ix - 1}}{\Gamma(-i\epsilon) \Gamma((\epsilon + 1 + ix)/2) \Gamma((\epsilon + ix - 1)/2)} \times_1 {}_F_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon + ix}{2}, 1 + ix, \frac{\epsilon + ix - 1}{2}; t^2 \right) + \frac{\Gamma(it/2)^{\epsilon - ix - 1}}{\Gamma(it) \Gamma((\epsilon + 1 - ix)/2) \Gamma((\epsilon - ix - 1)/2)} \times_1 {}_F_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon - ix}{2}, 1 - ix, \frac{\epsilon - ix - 1}{2}; t^2 \right) \right] G_1(t) dt,
\]

where the limit is pointwise. When the passage to the limit under the integral sign is possible, the inversion formula (4.8) takes the form

\[
g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[ I_{\tau - 1/2}(t) I_{\tau - 3/2}(t) + I_{-\tau - 1/2}(t) I_{-\tau + 3/2}(t) \right] G_1(t) dt.
\]

5. INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM

The index transform (1.2) can be successfully applied to solve a boundary problem for the following partial differential difference equation, involving the Laplacian

\[
\Delta u_n = u_{n+2} + 2u_n + u_{n-2},
\]

where \( \Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \) is the Laplacian in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( u_n = u_{-n} \). Concerning properties and solutions of the ordinary differential difference equations see the survey [13]. Writing equation (5.1) in polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\), precisely

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial \theta^2} = u_{n+2} + 2u_n + u_{n-2},
\]

we establish the following

**Lemma 4.** Let \( g \in L_2 \left( \mathbb{R}; e^{(2\pi - \beta)|\tau|} d\tau \right) \), \( \beta \in [0, \pi/2] \). Then functions

\[
u_n(r, \theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta \tau} \left| K_{\tau+n}(r) \right|^2 g(\tau) d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \( r > 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \) satisfy the partial differential difference equation (5.2), vanishing at infinity.

**Proof.** In fact, this follows from the direct substitution (5.3) into (5.2) and the use of Lemma 2. The necessary differentiation with respect to \( r \) under integral sign is allowed via the absolute and uniform convergence, which can be justified employing the inequality [1] \( |z| = \mu + i\tau \)

\[
|K_{\tau}(x)| \leq e^{-\beta|\tau|} K_{\mu} (x \cos \beta), \quad x > 0, \beta \in [0, \pi/2]
\]

and the asymptotic behavior (1.4) at infinity of the Macdonald function.

Finally, as a direct consequence of Theorem 7, we will formulate the initial value problem for equation (5.2) and give its solutions.

**Theorem 12.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( G_n(r) \) and

\[
g(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(2\epsilon) \int_0^\infty \frac{\Gamma(-ix)(t/2)^{\epsilon + ix - 1}}{\Gamma(-i\epsilon) \Gamma((\epsilon + n + ix)/2) \Gamma((\epsilon + ix - n)/2)}
\]

\[
\times_1 {}_F_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon + ix}{2}, 1 + ix, \frac{\epsilon + ix - 1}{2}; t^2 \right) + \frac{\Gamma(it/2)^{\epsilon - ix - 1}}{\Gamma(it) \Gamma((\epsilon + 1 - ix)/2) \Gamma((\epsilon - ix - 1)/2)} \times_1 {}_F_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon - ix}{2}, 1 - ix, \frac{\epsilon - ix - 1}{2}; t^2 \right) \right] G_1(t) dt,
\]
\[ \times_2 F_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon + ix}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon + ix + 1}{2}; \frac{1 + ix, \varepsilon + n + ix, \varepsilon + ix - n}{2} ; t^2 \right) + \frac{\Gamma(ix) (t/2)^{e-ix-1}}{\Gamma((\varepsilon + n - ix)/2) \Gamma((\varepsilon - ix - n)/2)} \times_2 F_3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon - ix}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon - ix + 1}{2}; \frac{1 - ix, \varepsilon + n - ix, \varepsilon - ix - n}{2} ; t^2 \right) G_n(t) dt \]

satisfy conditions of Theorem 7. Then functions \( u_n(r, \theta) \), \( r > 0 \), \( 0 \leq \theta < \pi/2 \) by formula (5.3) will be solutions of the initial value problem for the partial differential difference equation (5.2) subject to the initial condition

\[ u_n(r, 0) = G_n(r). \]
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