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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare personal oral hygiene practices between first and final year dental students of Foundation University College of Dentistry (FUCD).

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Foundation University College of Dentistry, Islamabad, from Mar to May 2019.

Methodology: A pre-structured questionnaire was distributed to students of first and final year BDS classes with questions related to seven basic oral hygiene practices. These included frequency of teeth cleaning, time spent in cleaning teeth, tools used for cleaning teeth, frequency of changing toothbrushes, use of secondary plaque control methods, whether or not students cleaned their tongue, and how often they visited a dentist.

Results: Only 2 out of 7 practices, (i.e. more frequency of brushing, 45/52 (86.5%) in final year vs 25/47 (53.1%) in first year and usage of floss 22/52 (42.3%) in final year vs 9/47 (19.1%) in first year) were found to be significantly better in final year students when compared to first year BDS students with a p-value of 0.012 and 0.04 respectively. The remaining differences did not reach statistical significance.

Conclusion: When compared with first year BDS students, only 2 out of 7 recommended oral hygiene practices were found to be better in final year students. Therefore, there is a need for greater emphasis on oral hygiene practices at undergraduate educational years.
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INTRODUCTION

The American Dental Association (ADA) defines oral health as “a functional, structural, aesthetic, physiologic, and psychosocial state of well-being and is essential to an individual’s general health and quality of life”1. According to the WHO, despite the global improvements, oral diseases still present a major problem for developing countries2. To this, Pakistan is no exception. Along with oral health issues, Pakistan also faces multiple socioeconomic challenges. Hence, to preserve resources, it is vital to lessen the burden posed by oral diseases3. This starts with oral hygiene.

Oral hygiene is the state of keeping one’s mouth, teeth, and gums clean and free of disease. Various methods and practices exist to achieve good oral hygiene. Key practices include brushing teeth at least twice a day, cleaning between teeth, visiting a dentist regularly, using mouth wash, flossing, etc.

Dental students play a vital role in promoting and creating awareness about correct oral hygiene practices, it should therefore be logical that dental students have exceptional oral hygiene practices. However, studies show that this is not always the case; a study done in Yemen4 showed the markedly poor oral hygiene practices of dental students. Another study done in Sudan also showed similar results5. Regardless of the subpar oral hygiene practices of dental students, it may not be fair to assume that these practices do not differ amongst senior and junior undergraduate classes of dentistry. The few studies that compare preclinical and clinical dental students’ self-perceived oral hygiene practices have inconsistent results, for example, a study in India showed that there were limited statistically significant differences in oral hygiene practices of students in pre-clinical and clinical years6, while a study done in Istanbul proved that students in
clinical years indeed had better practices and knowledge.

With this background, this study was planned to record and compare the oral hygiene practices of first and final year classes of dentistry at Foundation University College of Dentistry. This study hypothesized that final year students have better oral hygiene practices than first year students as they have greater knowledge of dental practices, oral hygiene, and more practical experience as they interact with patients.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was carried out at Foundation University College of Dentistry (FUCD) from March to May 2019. Approval from the ethics review committee of the University (ERC approval letter No. FF/FUMC/215-Phy/19) was obtained prior to conducting the study. The inclusion criteria were students from first and final year Bachelor of Dental Sciences (BDS) students while those who refused to informed consent were excluded.

The total strength of each class at FUCD is maximum 50-52 students. Since it was a pilot study being done at FUCD only, therefore all students from first year BDS (total 50) and final year BDS (total 52) were included in the study. The sampling method used was non-probability convenience sampling. After literature review, a modified and self-administered questionnaire was adapted from Philipp et al and Inaam et al. It contained seven items carrying questions related to the main practices of oral hygiene. These were frequency of teeth cleaning, time spent cleaning teeth, tools used for cleaning teeth, frequency of changing toothbrushes, use of secondary plaque control methods, whether or not students cleaned their tongue, and how often they visited a dentist. The participants were kept anonymous. They were asked to honestly select one answer out of a range of options given.

The data from all distributed questionnaires was entered and analyzed through SPSS-24. The frequencies and percentages of responses for each question for all participants were calculated. Chi square test was used to determine significant difference at a \( p \)-value of <0.05.

RESULTS

Of 102 participants, with age range 18-25 years, 99 completed the questionnaires, 47 participants were from first year and 52 were from final year with total 9 males and 90 females. Of the 7 areas of oral hygiene practices highlighted in the questionnaires, i.e. teeth cleaning, time spent cleaning teeth, tools used for cleaning teeth, frequency of changing toothbrushes, use of secondary plaque control methods, whether or not students cleaned their tongue, and how often they visited a dentist, only 2 were found to significantly better in final year BDS students using Chi-square test (table). This included frequency of teeth cleaning twice a day and use of secondary plaque control methods, specifically flossing. For teeth cleaning it was found that 45/52 (86.5\%) of final year BDS students brushed twice a day, while in first year 25/47 (53\%) brushed twice a day. The difference was found to be significant reaching a \( p \)-value of 0.01. For flossing the difference in the two classes of students was found to have a \( p \)-value of 0.041, wherein final year it was found that 22/52 (42.3\%) of students floss regularly while in first year only 9/47 (19\%) of students used dental floss. The remaining five areas also apparently showed better results in final year BDS students but these did not reach statistical significance (figure).
DISCUSSION

Professional Dental colleges play a vital role in shaping a student’s perception on oral health and hygiene, and hence this effects how they influence their patients as oral health care providers. It has been shown that the behavior of dentists and their attitudes towards their own oral health shows their understanding of the importance of preventive dentistry and good oral health and hygiene. While there have been some studies done on the oral hygiene practices in Pakistan, there have not been many studies done evaluating the personal oral hygiene practices of dental students, specifically.

Present study showed no significant difference between first and final year dental students oral hygiene practices in 5 out of 7 parameters estimated in the questionnaire. Literature shows that with increasing levels of dental education, there is a significant difference in oral hygiene, with clinical students having better practices.

| Frequency of teeth cleaning | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Once a day                  | 5 (9.6%)           | 20 (42.5%)         | Approx. 0.012 |
| Twice a day                 | 45 (86.5%)         | 25 (53.1%)         |         |
| More than twice a day       | 2 (3.8%)           | 2 (4.2%)           |         |

| Time spent cleaning teeth  | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Less than 2 minutes         | 16 (30.8%)         | 20 (42.5%)         | Approx. 0.213 |
| 2 minutes                   | 25 (48.1%)         | 23 (48.9%)         |         |
| More than 2 minutes         | 11 (21.1%)         | 4 (8.5%)           |         |

| Tools for cleaning teeth    | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Tooth brush                 | 52 (100%)          | 46 (97.9%)         | Approx. 0.732 |
| Fingers                     | 1 (1.9%)           | 1 (2.1%)           |         |
| Miswak                      | 4 (7.7%)           | 1 (2.1%)           |         |

| How often do you change your tooth brush? | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Every 3 months                           | 40 (76.9%)         | 34 (72.3%)         | Approx. 0.304 |
| Every 6 months                           | 12 (23.1%)         | 13 (27.6%)         |         |

| Which secondary methods for plaque control do you use? | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Dental floss                                          | 22 (42.3%)         | 9 (19.1%)          | Approx. 0.041 |
| Interdental brushes                                   | -                  | 3 (6.4%)           |         |
| Mouth wash                                            | 37 (71.1%)         | 22 (46.8%)         |         |
| Tooth picks                                           | 5 (9.6%)           | 6 (12.8%)          |         |
| None                                                  | 1 (1.9%)           | 11 (23.4%)         |         |

| Do you clean your tongue?                            | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Yes                                                   | 33 (63.5%)         | 23 (48.9%)         | Approx. 0.634 |
| No                                                    | 5 (9.6%)           | 8 (17%)            |         |
| Sometimes                                             | 14 (26.9%)         | 16 (34%)           |         |

| How often do you visit a dentist?                     | Final Year (n = 52) | First Year (n = 47) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Only in problem                                       | 44 (84.6%)         | 34 (72.3%)         | Approx. 0.614 |
| Once in three months                                  | 1 (1.9%)           | 4 (8.5%)           |         |
| Once in six months                                    | 7 (13.5%)          | 9 (19.1%)          |         |

This could be due to the fact that students in clinical years not only have more knowledge about oral hygiene, but also have practical experience and hence are aware of the importance of oral hygiene. It may be interesting to note that similar studies done in western countries specifically showed significant differences in oral hygiene practices and also better oral hygiene overall. However, there have been studies done in India, Yemen, and Sudan which contradict this result. Indeed, a study done by Swathi et al in 2015.
showed that preclinical students had better oral hygiene practices.

In present study, it was found that there was a significant increase in frequency of teeth cleaning as 86.5% of final year students revealed that they brushed twice a day as compared to only 53.1% in first year students. This is in accordance with a study done in Jordan\textsuperscript{13}. This however contradicts a study done by Gupta \textit{et al\textsuperscript{6}} which showed no significant difference between brushing frequency of preclinical and clinical students. Likewise, it also contradicts a study done in India\textsuperscript{12}, which showed opposite results; with 45.1% and 39.7% of preclinical and clinical year students brushing twice a day respectively.

The second area which showed significantly better practices amongst final year students in comparison to first year was use of secondary plaque control methods, specifically, flossing. In first year, only 19.1% of the students used dental floss, which was similar to non-dental university students of Italy where authors found that only 15% of students used dental floss\textsuperscript{14}. The reason for this low percentage in current study could be the lack of sufficient knowledge which is comparable to that of university students of any other major. In the current study 42.3% of final year students used dental floss, which was similar to the results found in India (46.1%)\textsuperscript{15} and Ankara (37.3%)\textsuperscript{16}. This difference is in accordance to few other studies\textsuperscript{17,18}. However, Barrieshi \textit{et al\textsuperscript{19}} found no significant difference in flossing despite having an almost quadruple difference in brushing frequency between preclinical and clinical years of dental school. While it is a positive sign that the use of floss increased over the years of education in the current study, it was still less than the results found in dental students of other countries\textsuperscript{17}. The minimal utilization of flossing can be attributed to the general lack of knowledge and awareness about flossing.

The present study found no significant difference in time spent cleaning teeth for first and final year students; approximately 48% of both classes spent 2 minutes brushing. This is similar to the findings of a study done in Pakistan\textsuperscript{9}. There was also no significant difference found in frequency of dental visits. A majority in both classes stated that they only visited a dentist if needed (84% for final year and 72% for first year). Similar results were found in a study done in India\textsuperscript{6}, and the opposite findings from a study done in Jordan\textsuperscript{13}. Studies done on assessing the oral hygiene of the general population of Pakistan also showed that people generally did not feel the need to visit a dentist regularly\textsuperscript{20} reason could be that people, including dental students, do not understand the importance of frequent checkups. For “tools used for cleaning teeth” close to 100% of students from both classes used a toothbrush. 72% of first year students and 76% of final year students were found to replace their toothbrush every 3 months, similar to the findings of a study done in Jaipur\textsuperscript{6}. While 14.6% more students cleaned their tongues in final year compared to first year, the value though appreciable, was not found to be statistically significant. While this finding is similar to a study done by Gupta \textit{et al\textsuperscript{6}} and Inaam \textit{et al\textsuperscript{5}}, it is important to note that percentages of cleaning tongue were found in those studies much higher (close to 80%) as compared to present study (63.5% in final year).

Overall, present study found no significant improvement in personal oral hygiene practices between first and final year dental students. This could be attributed to the lack of importance given to oral hygiene nationwide. A study in Mianwali\textsuperscript{20} showed an “urgent need” for educational programs to promote correct oral hygiene. Furthermore, a study found that the Pakistani population-specifically those of lower socioeconomic background hold belief in various myths regarding oral hygiene practices and often rely on home remedies rather than visiting dentists\textsuperscript{21}. As mentioned earlier, similar studies done in western countries showed better results, and here the fault may lie in the dental curriculum. Oral hygiene and preventive dentistry are studied under the subject “Community and Preventive Dentistry” in second year of dental school, in accordance to PM & DC curriculum\textsuperscript{22}. However,
many western universities provide multiple courses focused on patient care, preventive dentistry, and oral hygiene. Often, these subjects are taught in preclinical (as early as first year) and clinical years. Hence, there may be a need to revise the curriculum, but further research by subject specialist is needed.

The small sample size is a limitation of this study; therefore, a multi-centered study would show more conclusive results. Also, a longitudinal study where the result of first year students was followed up in final year would have been the gold standard. However, as there is a uniform admission criteria and students belong to more or less similar socioeconomic backgrounds this may not be a serious confounding factor.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that there should be greater emphasis at PM&DC level on the importance of oral hygiene practices for undergraduates, so that students not only improve their own practices, but also promote correct oral hygiene practices to their patients early in their career.
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This study was presented at 3rd Research Gala, Riphah Islamic International Medical College 2019.

CONCLUSION

Final year dental students showed significant improvement in 2 out of 7 recommended oral hygiene practices. This shows that overall, there is no statistically significant improvement in the oral hygiene practices of dental students in FUCD as they progress through university despite gaining more knowledge and practical experience over the years.
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