Transcriptome Analysis Reveals the Potential Role of Long Non-coding RNAs in Mammary Gland of Yak During Lactation and Dry Period
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The mammary gland is a remarkably dynamic organ of milk synthesis and secretion, and it experiences drastic structural and metabolic changes during the transition from dry periods to lactation, which involves the expression and regulation of numerous genes and regulatory factors. Long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) has considered as a novel type of regulatory factors involved in a variety of biological processes. However, their role in the lactation cycle of yak is still poorly understood. To reveal the involved mechanism, Ribo-zero RNA sequencing was employed to profile the IncRNA transcriptome in mammary tissue samples from yak at two physiological stages, namely lactation (LP) and dry period (DP). Notably, 1,599 IncRNA transcripts were identified through four rigorous steps and filtered through protein-coding ability. A total of 59 IncRNAs showed significantly different expression between two stages. Accordingly, the results of qRT-PCR were consistent with that of the transcriptome data. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses indicated that target genes of differentially expressed IncRNAs (DELs) were involved in pathways related to lactation, such as ECM-receptor interaction, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, biosynthesis of amino acids and focal adhesion etc. Finally, we constructed a IncRNA-gene regulatory network containing some well known candidate genes for milk yield and quality traits. This is the first study to demonstrate a global profile of IncRNA expression in the mammary gland of yak. These results contribute to a valuable resource for future genetic and molecular studies on improving milk yield and quality, and help us to gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying lactogenesis and mammary gland development of yak.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, human whole-genome transcriptional study discovered that approximately two-thirds of genomic DNA is pervasively transcribed (Djebali et al., 2012). Still less than 2% of the mammalian genome translates into the proteins, indicating that a considerable portion of the mammalian genome is transcribed into non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) and do not function in
protein-related non-protein-coding genome is particularly evident for a kind of small non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) such as microRNAs (miRNAs), PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (Pauli et al., 2011). Aside from small RNA, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been widely concerned in recent years as potentially novel and pivotal regulators of biological process. LncRNAs are a heterogeneous group of non-protein-coding transcripts of greater than 200 nucleotides, which expression levels are usually lower than that of protein-coding genes (Hezroni et al., 2015; Ulitsky, 2016). Based on their position in the genome, IncRNAs can be categorized as long intergenic ncRNA (lincRNAs), enhancer RNAs (eRNAs), intronic lncRNAs, anti-sense lncRNAs and promoter-associated short RNAs (psRNAs) (Weikard et al., 2017). The regulatory roles of IncRNAs are gradually being revealed in a diverse spectrum of biological processes such as genomic imprinting (Sleutels et al., 2002), RNA splicing (Gonzalez et al., 2015), chromosome conformation (Rinn and Chang, 2012), epigenetic regulation (Vizoso and Esteller, 2012), transcriptional control (Salmena et al., 2011), and allosterically regulating enzymatic activity (Quinn and Chang, 2016).

With the increasing interest in lncRNA, a growing number of studies have discovered numerous lncRNAs in mammals, including Homo sapiens (Khalil et al., 2009), Mus musculus (Guttman et al., 2010), Bos taurus (Kern et al., 2018), Bubalus bubalis (Huang et al., 2019), and Sus scrofa (Gao et al., 2018). Recent studies have showed that several lncRNAs serve a role in the developing mammary gland and lactation. Pregnancy-induced non-coding RNA (PINC), a mammalian specific and alternatively spliced IncRNA earliest found in rat mammary gland, which has a function in regulating survival and cell cycle progression of mammary epithelial cells (Ginger et al., 2006). Increased expression of PINC in HC11 cells inhibits lactogenic differentiation, while suppressed expression of PINC could promote differentiation (Shore et al., 2012). LncRNA Zfas1 is localized in the epithelial cells of the mammary gland duct and alveoli. Loss of Zfas1 could promote the cell proliferation and differentiation in mammary epithelial cell line (Askarian-Amiri et al., 2011). Nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (Neat1) has been reported to play a critical role in corpus luteum (Nakagawa et al., 2014) and placenta (Gremlich et al., 2014) development process. It also involves in mouse mammary gland morphogenesis and lactation. Knockout Neat1 in mouse alveolar cells could decrease the rates of cell proliferation (Standaert et al., 2014). LncRNA X inactivate—specific transcript (XIST) plays a vital role in the X-inactivation process in female mammals (Chureau et al., 2011). Previous observation demonstrated that XIST inhibits cell viability and promotes apoptosis in bovine mammary alveolar cell—T under inflammatory conditions (Ma et al., 2019).

Yak (Bos grunniens) is a multipurpose livestock, providing milk, meat, wool and transportation for indigenous people on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Yak milk and milk products are the main part of the daily diets of Tibetan nomads and play a key role in the health maintenance of Tibetans in the hypoxic environment. Compared with milk of dairy cattle, yak milk contains a higher content of protein, antioxidant vitamins, specific enzymes, and biologically active fatty acids (Ding et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014). However, industrialized production of yak milk production is limited by the low milk yield of yak (150–500 kg of fresh milk per lactation) (Li et al., 2011). Therefore, improving milk yield is one of the significant breeding objectives in the yak industry. The mammary gland is a crucial secretory gland for milk synthesis and secretion, which provides necessary nutrients for human and neonatal offspring (McManaman and Neville, 2003; Tong et al., 2017). Post-pubertal mammary gland experiences a cycle of cell proliferation, differentiation, de-differentiation and apoptosis during its lactation process that is under the regulation of distinct hormones and regulating genes (Hennighausen and Robinson, 2005; Watson and Khaled, 2008). Detection of expression and function of coding genes and ncRNAs at different lactation stages of post-pubertal mammary gland development is not only essential for investigating the molecular mechanism of lactation, but also for improving milk yield and quality. A previous transcriptome study has identified numerous genes related to lactogenesis, milk secretion, and mammary gland development of yak during lactation and dry period (Fan et al., 2018). However, biological function of IncRNA have not been systematically identified in mammary glands of yak during the different lactation stages. Here, comparative analysis of lncRNAs in yak mammary gland was performed at two physiology stages (lactation period and dry period) by constructing five RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) libraries and sequencing. Our study will provide precious resources for yak IncRNA studies and contribute to a better understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of lactation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal and Sample Collection**

Five healthy and mastitis-free female Ashidan yaks in their second parity were selected for this study. All individuals were freely grazed on natural pasture with free drinking at Datong yak farm of Qinghai Province. Due to the limitation of sampling condition, we only selected two yaks at peak lactation (LP; 120 days postpartum; non-pregnant period), and three yaks at the dry period (DP; calved in the previous year, non-pregnant period). After slaughter, the rear mammary gland of each yak was cut immediately, and alveolar tissue from the middle of the upper one-third of the gland was harvested and stored in liquid nitrogen. All of the experimental protocols and procedures were approved by Animal Administration and Ethics Committee of Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences of CAAS (Permit No. SYXK-2014-0002).

**Total RNA Isolation**

Total RNA was isolated from mammary gland tissues with TRIzol reagent following the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, CA, United States). The quality and purity of total RNA were evaluated by a NanoPhotometer spectrophotometer.
The same amount of total RNA (3 µg) from each sample was used to construct RNA-sequencing library, and ribosomal RNA was depleted from total RNA by Epicentre Ribo-zero™ rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, United States). Subsequently, sequencing libraries were separately generated using the rRNA-depleted RNA by NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, United States) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of all libraries was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, United States). Sequencing libraries were then sequenced on an Illumina® Hiseq 2500 platform (Novogene Bioinformatics Institute, Beijing, China) to generate 125 bp paired-end reads. Quality control of RNA-seq reads was performed using FastQC (Andrews, 2012).

Library Preparation and Sequencing

The same amount of total RNA (3 µg) from each sample was used to construct RNA-sequencing library, and ribosomal RNA was depleted from total RNA by Epicentre Ribo-zero™ rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, United States). Subsequently, sequencing libraries were separately generated using the rRNA-depleted RNA by NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, United States) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of all libraries was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, United States). Sequencing libraries were then sequenced on an Illumina® Hiseq 2500 platform (Novogene Bioinformatics Institute, Beijing, China) to generate 125 bp paired-end reads. Quality control of RNA-seq reads was performed using FastQC (Andrews, 2012).

Data Analysis

Raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were first filtered through in-house Perl scripts. In this step, clean reads were obtained by removal of the reads containing adapter molecules, reads containing unknown bases (>10%), and low quality reads (more than 50% of the bases had quality scores ≤ 10) from raw reads. Meanwhile, the Q30 and GC contents of the clean data were calculated. Genome sequence of yak (BosGru_v2.0) and mRNA transcripts through the following exclusion criterion (Figure 1A). (1) transcripts with a single exon were removed. (2) transcripts with a length of more than 200 nt were kept. (3) transcripts with FPKM ≥ 0.5 were kept. (4) transcripts that overlap with the exon region of the database annotation were removed. (5) CNCI (Coding-Non-Coding-Index, v2) (Sun et al., 2013), CPC (encoding potential calculator, 0.9-2) (Kong et al., 2007) and Pfam Scan (v1.3) (Punta et al., 2012) were used to predict the protein-coding ability of the transcripts. Transcripts without protein-coding ability were selected as a candidate set of lncRNAs. The expression levels of mRNA and lncRNA were calculated based on assembled transcript files. The edgeR (exact test for negative binomial distribution)

LncRNAs Identification

To identify the putative lncRNA, we filtered the assembled transcripts through the following exclusion criterion (Figure 1A). (1) transcripts with a single exon were removed. (2) transcripts with a length of more than 200 nt were kept. (3) transcripts with FPKM ≥ 0.5 were kept. (4) transcripts that overlap with the exon region of the database annotation were removed. (5) CNCI (Coding-Non-Coding-Index, v2) (Sun et al., 2013), CPC (encoding potential calculator, 0.9-2) (Kong et al., 2007) and Pfam Scan (v1.3) (Punta et al., 2012) were used to predict the protein-coding ability of the transcripts. Transcripts without protein-coding ability were selected as a candidate set of lncRNAs. The expression levels of mRNA and lncRNA were calculated based on assembled transcript files. The edgeR (exact test for negative binomial distribution)

Bioconductor package (Robinson et al., 2010) in R software was used to identify differentially expressed lncRNAs (DELs) and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between LP and DP groups. For biological replicates, genes or lncRNAs with | log2 (fold change)| ≥ 1 and P-value < 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed according to the previous study (Zheng et al., 2018).

Verification of Sequencing Data Using qRT-PCR

To verify the accuracy of sequencing results, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) method was used to detect the relative expression of ten randomly selected DELs. One microgram of total RNA from each sample was transcribed into cDNA using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocols. The lncRNAs expressions were then measured by qRT-PCR using the LightCycler 96 Real-Time PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The 20 µL PCR reactions included 1 µL of diluted cDNA, 1 µL of forward primer (10 µM), 1 µL of reverse primer (10 µM), 10 µL of SYBR TB Green mix (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), and 7 µL of ddH2O. The PCR program for amplification was as follows: an initial denaturation step of 30 s at 95°C, and 45 cycles of 5 s at 95°C, and 30 s at 60°C. A final melting program ranging from 65°C to 97°C with an increments of 0.5°C and acquiring fluorescence after each step. The relative expression of DELs was analyzed using the 2−ΔΔCt method (Silver et al., 2006) and normalized by hydroxymethylbilane
FIGURE 1 | Generation and characterization of lncRNAs in mammary gland of yak. (A) Pipeline for identification of lncRNAs. (B) Classification of lncRNAs. (C) The length distribution of lncRNAs. (D) ORF length distribution of lncRNAs. (E) Exon number distribution of lncRNAs. (F) Expression level of lncRNAs and mRNAs. Red and blue represent lncRNAs and mRNAs, respectively.
sythase (HMBS) and tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide (YWHAZ) (Wu et al., 2019).

RESULTS

Overview of RNA-seq Data
In order to identify novel IncRNAs in mammary gland of yak, five cDNA libraries were sequenced using Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform, and 503.07 and 299.23 million raw paired-end reads were obtained in lactation and dry stages, respectively. After removing the adaptor sequence and low-quality raw reads, we obtained 784.05 million clean reads with an average of 94.35 million reads (ranging from 135.20 to 181.06) for each sample. The GC contents were 50.51–53.23% while the Q30 ranged between 96.35 and 96.53%. Of the clean reads, 83.79–86.48% could be perfectly mapped to the yak reference genome by the Tophat2 software (Kim et al., 2013) (Table 1). Pearson’s correlation analysis showed that the correlation between the groups was strong and providing reliable data for the next steps (Supplementary Figure 1).

Characterization and Expression Profiling of LncRNAs in the Yak Mammary Gland
We identified 1,599 novel IncRNAs from the yak mammary gland tissues by using CNCI, CPC and Pfam software (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2). According to the genome alignment, these IncRNA transcripts were classified into 1,374 (85.9%) lncRNA and 225 (14.1%) anti-sense lncRNA (Figure 1B). The size of IncRNAs length in the mammary gland ranged from 219 to 26,695 nt, with 63.7% of the total number of IncRNAs were approximately 200 to 2,000 nt in length (Figure 1C). The IncRNAs whose ORF length ranged from 101 to 150 bp occupied the largest proportion of the total IncRNAs (Figure 1D). Most of the IncRNAs contained three or fewer exons (84.6%) and the average exon number of the lncRNA was 2.65 (Figure 1E). Expression levels of the lncRNAs were also lower than those of the genes (Figure 1F).

Expression levels of the lncRNA transcripts were determined through FPKM method by using Cufflinks (v2.1.1) software. A total of 59 DELs were identified transcripts between LP and DP groups (| log2 (fold change)| ≥ 1 and P-value < 0.05). Of these DELs, 25 lncRNAs were significantly upregulated and 34 lncRNAs were downregulated in the mammary gland at lactation stage (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 2). We also found 833 DEG between LP and DP groups [log2 (fold change)] ≥ 1 and P-value < 0.05 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3).

LncRNAs Target Genes of Cis and Trans Regulated
To further explore the function of lncRNAs in yak mammary gland, we identified potential cis- and trans-target genes of DELs, and predicted the function of target genes through GO and KEGG pathways enrichment analysis. The cis-targets of lncRNAs were predicted by screening genes around 100 kb upstream and downstream of lncRNAs. The results showed that there were 42 IncRNAs correspond to 130 cis-target genes (Supplementary Table 4). GO enrichment analysis revealed cis-target genes of DELs were significantly enriched in 182 terms (131 under biological processes, 15 under cellular compartments, and 36 under molecular functions) (adjusted P value <0.05). Detailed information is listed in Supplementary Table 5. The most significantly enriched GO terms were cellular anatomical entity, cellular anatomical entity, binding, biological regulation and intracellular. However, there was no significant enrichment of pathway for cis-target genes of DELs.

We also predicted potential target genes of lncRNAs in a trans manner. A total of 3,228 interactions (including 2,549 positive and 679 negative correlations) were identified between 59 DELs and 758 DEGs (Supplementary Table 6). In our results, 23 cis-genes overlapped with trans-target genes. GO analysis showed that the trans-target genes were significantly enriched in 836 terms (654 biological processes, 88 cellular compartments, and 94 molecular functions), which involves a variety of biological processes (Supplementary Table 7). The top enriched terms were cellular process, cellular anatomical entity and binding (Figure 3A). It is important that some of the terms were related to mammary gland development, such as cell surface receptor signaling pathway, cell differentiation, cell population proliferation, epithelial cell proliferation, and mammary gland development. KEGG analysis revealed the trans-target genes were enriched in 35 pathways (Supplementary Table 8). The top 30 KEGG pathways are showed in Figure 3B. Importantly, a few pathways were associated with lactation, such as ECM-receptor interaction, P13K-Akt signaling pathway, PPAR signaling pathway, biosynthesis of amino acids, suggesting that IncRNAs act in the trans-regulation of genes related to lactation. Moreover, multiple DELs had a common target gene.
Integrated Analysis

To better understand the relationship between DELs and lactation process, we chose 42 target genes related to lactation and mammary gland development to construct lncRNA-gene regulatory network. The regulatory network showed that 54 DELs interacted with at least one target gene associated with lactation and mammary gland development. Notably, multiple lncRNAs (LNC_001595, LNC_000894 and LNC_001600 and others) appear to regulate insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), indicating that they might regulate lactation process through the PI3K-Akt pathway.

Verification of Gene Expression Profiles Using qRT-PCR

To validate the reproducibility of the DELs obtained from RNA-seq, ten DELs were randomly selected for confirmation by qRT-PCR. The primer details are presented in Supplementary Table 10. As shown in Figure 5, the expressions of LNC_001445, LNC_001581, LNC_000118 and LNC_000472 were upregulated, whereas LNC_001571, LNC_000408, LNC_001114, LNC_001248, LNC_001049 and LNC_001556 were downregulated in LP compared to DP. All ten DELs had similar expression patterns in comparison to the RNA-seq data, indicating the reliability of our RNA-seq data.

DISCUSSION

The mammary gland is a complex organ for synthesis and secretion of milk, which undergoes functional differentiation during the female reproductive cycle (Hurley, 1989). During pregnancy and lactation, epithelial cells of the mammary gland start to proliferate and differentiate into functional alveolar cells, which produce and secrete milk after parturition (Macias and Hinck, 2012). In the dry period, apoptosis of the majority of differentiated alveolar cells was induced, and gland restores to a pre-pregnancy state (Watson, 2006). Deciphering these physiological changes is essential to explore the complex molecular mechanisms underlying the transition from the dry period to lactation. One of the important aspects was the transcript originated from the non-coding regions of the genome. However, systematic prediction and regulatory function of lncRNAs in yak mammary gland have rarely been reported. In this study, we comprehensively investigated lncRNAs in the mammary gland of yak at lactation and dry period.

In the present study, more than 83.79% of the clean reads were mapped to the yak genome, which was similar to those of other RNA-seq studies (Zi et al., 2018). Similar to lncRNA features of previous studies, the average spanning exon number and expression levels of lncRNA was much less than that of the genes, indicating the reliability of lncRNA identification (Zheng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020). Furthermore, we identified a total of 59 DELs from 5 samples, comprising 25 upregulated and 34 downregulated DELs in LP compared to DP.
**FIGURE 4** | Network plot of candidate lncRNAs and mRNAs. The orange triangles, blue triangles, orange round and blue round represent upregulated lncRNAs, downregulated lncRNAs, upregulated mRNAs and downregulated mRNAs, respectively.

**FIGURE 5** | Validation and comparison of log2(fold change) in ten DELs between qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq.
with the DP (\(|\text{fold change}| > 2, P\text{-value} < 0.05\)). Remarkably, in our study design, only two biological replicates in LP were used due to the limited sample availability. Rapaport et al. (2013) demonstrated that over 90% of differently expressed genes at the top expression levels could be detected with using two replicates and 5% of the reads. However, higher biological replicate numbers are still recommended to improve the detection power.

Recent studies have demonstrated that lncRNAs control gene expression through both cis- and trans-acting mechanism, which play an important role in a wide range of biological processes (Bhat et al., 2016). From this view, the function of lncRNA can be inferred on the basis of knowledge of their target genes. cis-regulatory lncRNAs have enhancer-like activity and promote expression of neighboring genes. Accordingly, the cis prediction analysis showed that there were 42 DELs near to 130 genes with less than 100 kb distance. It is worth noting that some of the cis-target genes were involved in lactation and mammary gland development. For example, AKT Serine/Threonine Kinase 1 (AKT1) was predicted to be a target gene of LNC_000763, which regulates of milk synthesis and metabolism in the lactating mammary gland (Boxer et al., 2006). Constitutively active Akt1 in mice delayed mammary gland involution and epithelial cell apoptosis (Ackler et al., 2002). In contrast, depletion of Akt1 in mice resulted in a few mammary gland developmental defects, such as ductal outgrowth and deficiency in terminal end bud growth and alveolar bud development (LaRocca et al., 2011). LIM homeobox 3 (LHX3) is a predicted cis-target of LNC_000108, which regulates the release of hormones related to lactation and metabolism (Pfaffle and Klammt, 2011). Liu et al. (2011) found that variations of LHX3 gene were significantly associated with milk performance in goat. Secreted frizzled-related protein-2 (SFRP2) was predicted to be a target gene of LNC_001049. A previous study indicated that the interaction of SFRP2 with extracellular matrix (ECM) could prevent apoptosis of mammary epithelial cells (Lee et al., 2004). LNC_000118 was predicted to act on the target gene activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3). It is reported that the expression of ATF3 was significantly different in the mammary glands of Holstein cows with extremely high and low content of milk protein and fat (Cui et al., 2014). During the lactation cycle, the expression of ATF3 increased to a peak at day 15, followed by a decrease through day 240 relative to parturition in bovine mammary tissue (Invernizzi et al., 2012). These findings suggest that lncRNA might regulate lactation, milk synthesis and mammary gland development through their actions on neighboring genes.

Still, many lncRNAs act in trans mode to regulate the target genes, which are distant from the transcription sites of the lncRNAs. Co-expression analysis identified 59 DELs were interacted with 758 genes based on the expression correlation coefficient (r-value > 0.95 or < −0.95 and P-value < 0.05). The results of KEGG analysis of the trans-target genes were involved in several key signaling pathways, such as ECM-receptor interaction, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, biosynthesis of amino acids and focal adhesion. These above KEGG pathways have been suggested to participate in the lactation process and mammary gland development (Watson, 2006; Nagy et al., 2007; Kim and Wu, 2009; Macias and Hinck, 2012). PPARG Coactivator 1 Alpha (PPARGC1A) is predicted to be a target gene of LNC_000883, LNC_001601 and LNC_000771. PPARGC1A, also known as PGC-1a, is a transcriptional coactivator that regulates genes involved in glucose and lipid transportation and oxidation, which plays a crucial role in mammary gland development and lactation (Qiu et al., 2020). The polymorphism of the PPARGC1A gene has been proved to be significantly associated with milk fat yield, milk fat composition, milk protein percentage (Weikard et al., 2005; Khattib et al., 2007; Schennink et al., 2009). Ten DELs (such as LNC_001595, LNC_000894 and LNC_001600) were co-expressed with insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF1), a master regulator of mammary gland development, which considered as a candidate gene for milk protein and fat yields in Polish Holstein Friesian cows (Szewczuk et al., 2011). It is also reported that overexpression of IGF1 gene in mice during pregnancy and lactation caused a delay of the apoptotic loss of mammary epithelial cells during the declining phase of lactation (Hadsell et al., 2002). In addition, 6 DELs were predicted to act on the target gene betal integrin (ITGB1), which plays a crucial role in the maintenance of functional mammary stem cells and mammary morphogenesis (Taddei et al., 2008). In the mammary luminal cell population, conditional deletion of ITGB1 could inhibit the alveologenesis and lactation (Naylor et al., 2005). Furthermore, our results showed that a cluster of DELs regulates well-known genes affecting milk traits; e.g., lactotransferrin (LTF) (El-Domany et al., 2019) and lactalbumin alpha (LALBA) (García-Gámez et al., 2012). These observations suggested that these lncRNAs could be closely related to milk secretion due to their trans-targeted genes affecting lactation and mammary gland development. Although these lncRNAs need further experimental investigation, this information may help us to explore the potential regulatory mechanisms of lncRNA involved in lactation and mammary gland development in the yak.

CONCLUSION

In the current study, we analyzed lncRNA expression profiles in the mammary gland of yak at two different physiological stages (LP and DP) for the first time. A total of 59 DELs were identified between two stages. Furthermore, several DELs related to lactation and mammary gland were annotated based on GO and KEGG analysis of cis- and trans-target genes. The DELs in the regulatory network may be considered as promising targets for the exploration of functional lncRNAs in yak and thus could be used to improve the milk traits. Considering the fact that the lncRNAs annotated on yaks are not complete, this work provides a valuable resource for improving the lncRNA database of yak and ideal candidates for future studies to illustrate the molecular
mechanisms linking lncRNA to the regulation of lactation and mammary gland development.
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