Aberrant cystatin-C expression in blood from patients with breast cancer is a suitable marker for monitoring tumor burden
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Abstract. The present study was performed to evaluate the efficacy of circulating cystatin-C as a tumor monitoring biomarker at different clinical time points in patients with breast cancer over a long-term follow-up period. In addition, the secretory rate of circulating cystatin-C from cancer tissue was investigated by comparing the blood and tissue expression levels of cystatin-C. Blood samples from healthy volunteers (40 males and 40 females) were obtained at yearly health examinations if laboratory and imaging abnormalities were not detected. Blood samples from 34 patients with breast cancer were obtained at 205 different time points of clinical progression. Blood levels of cystatin-C were measured using ELISA and the tissue levels were measured using immunohistochemistry. No age-associated effect was observed in male and female blood cystatin-C levels. The positivity rate was 46% in patients (38/83) and 40% in samples collected at different time points (82/205). Blood cystatin-C levels were lowest following surgery compared with patients with systemic metastasis (P<0.001). The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy rates of ELISA were 53.6, 63.6 and 53.9%, respectively. The concordance rate between blood and tissue expression was 38%. The main reason for discordance between tissue and serum expression of cytostatin-C came from low serum positivity in samples showing tissue cytostatin-C (3/11, 27%). The specificity between cytostatin-C and CA-125 was highest in tumor absence state. In conclusion, elevated blood levels of cystatin-C were observed in 40% of breast cancer cases and were tumor-volume dependent. However, the concordance rate between tissue and blood was quite low, suggesting tumor heterogeneity of cystatin-C expression or co-acting pathway activation, such as cathepsin D. As one-third of breast cancer tissues express cystatin-C without cancer antigen 15-3 elevation, cystatin-C may represent a good tumor-monitoring marker in breast cancer.

Introduction

Cystatin family proteins are a superfamily of cysteine proteinase inhibitors found in animals and plants. Cystatin-C, a secreted molecule of the cystatin family, is composed of 120 amino acids and has a molecular weight of 13,260 Da. Cystatin-C is increased in patients with cancer and is suggested to be a better renal functional marker than creatinine. In pediatric patients with cancer, cystatin-C is a suitable marker for monitoring renal function during chemotherapy (1). The importance of the accurate assessment of renal function in patients with cancer is increasing, and the prediction of the glomerular filtration rate with combined evaluation of cystatin-C and creatinine is being tested for anticancer agents, such as cisplatin (2). By contrast, a low level of cystatin-C is associated with atherosclerosis and aortic aneurysm. Additionally, it has been suggested that cystatin-C adversely affects metabolic factors, in particular abdominal obesity, and thus contributes to the development of metabolic syndrome (3).

Cathepsin B is a lysosomal cysteine protease in normal tissues, and an important matrix protease in cancers, including lung cancer, colon cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma (4). Activated cathepsin B is considered to be involved in cancer invasion and the destruction of the basement membrane, by degrading collagen, laminin and proteoglycans. Cathepsin B is regulated by cysteine protease inhibitors, such as stefin A, stefin B and cystatin-C. In colorectal cancer, cathepsin B expression may contribute to early stage invasion and systemic metastasis, without the effect of cystatin-C (5). It has been reported that non-malignant colorectal tissues do not express cystatin-C, whilst cancer tissues expressed high levels of both cystatin-C and cysteine proteinase inhibitors (6). In a previous study of esophageal cancer, the intensity of cystatin-C immunostaining in cancer tissue was increased compared with the adjacent normal esophageal tissues. In addition, the mRNA expression levels of cystatin-C were increased in cancerous tissue compared with normal tissue (7). In prostate cancer, a significant reduction in the immunohistochemical expression of cystatin-C in non-neuroendocrine prostate cancer has been reported (8). However, there was strong expression of cystatin-C in neuroendocrine-like cells compared with benign prostate tissues, suggesting a strong connection between cystatin-C expression and neuroendocrine differentiation (8). In breast cancer, an imbalance between cathepsin B and cystatin-C...
expression has been reported in the early stages of cancer progression. However, no correlation between cathepsin B and cystatin-C expression levels in histological classification, tumor size, lymph node metastasis and hormonal receptor status was observed (9). Generally, an increase in the ratio of cathepsins to cystatins contributes to tumor invasiveness and metastasis (10). However, data are conflicting regarding the role of cystatins in cancer invasion and metastasis. High levels of cystatin-B and -C predict poor survival of patients with colorectal cancer, and increased cystatin-F showed a strong correlation with liver metastasis in colorectal cancer (11). Additionally, high levels of cystatin-A exhibited a strong correlation with tumor size and increased mitotic activity in breast cancer (12).

Extracellular levels of stefins and cystatin-C are also associated with cancer progression. In hepatocellular carcinoma, increased serum stefin A levels correlated with tumor size and number (13), and increased serum cystatin-C levels correlated with tumor stage in melanoma (14). In colorectal cancer, a correlation between high levels of extracellular cystatin-C and poor survival was observed (15). In elderly patients with lung cancer, serum cystatin-C levels increased in an age-dependent manner between 65 and ≥75 years (16). In serum samples from patients with breast cancer, high cystatin-C levels were found in larger sized tumors, in older patients and in post-menopausal women. Significantly lower levels of cathepsin X and H were found in patients with inflammatory breast cancer, and a trend was also observed for cathepsin B and cystatin-C (17).

The present study aimed to evaluate circulating cystatin-C as a biomarker at different clinical time points in patients with breast cancer over a long-term follow-up period. In addition, the excretory rate of circulating cystatin-C was investigated by comparing the blood and tissue expression levels of cystatin-C. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to simultaneously assess the expression levels of cystatin-C in tissue and serum samples from patients with breast cancer at different time points of clinical progress.

Materials and methods

Collection of blood and tissues. Blood samples from healthy volunteers (40 males and 40 females) were obtained at Inha University Hospital (Incheon, Korea), subsequent to obtaining approval if no laboratory and imaging abnormalities were observed following check-up in 2003. Blood samples from 34 female patients with breast cancer were obtained at 205 different time points of initial diagnosis state, during chemotherapy period and off-chemotherapy state between January 1992 and January 2003, and stored at -80°C. Heparinized vacuum tubes and needles (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) were used to avoid platelet damage and venous occlusion, as in clinical sample setting. Following surgical excision (29 specimens) or biopsy (23 specimens) between January 1992 and December 2002, specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Approval for the present study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Severance Hospital (Seoul, Korea) and Inha University Hospital (IRB 4-2009-0256 and 10-617, respectively) and samples were used subsequent to obtaining consent from volunteers and patients.

Determination of the normal range of serum cystatin-C. Using 40 healthy male and 40 healthy female volunteer blood samples, the normal cut-off point of cystatin-C was determined. The cut-off point was determined using the mean ± 2 standard deviations. The serum cystatin-C level was determined as positive when the blood value of the patient was higher than the cut-off value (18).

Immunohistochemistry. Sections (4-μm) were stained by the horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labeling method. The specimens were deparaffinized and immersed in a solution of 0.1% hydrogen peroxide in methanol to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity. The antibody used was rabbit anti-human cystatin-C polyclonal antibody (cat. no. ab68290; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) with a 1:2,000 dilution. In total, a maximum of 500 cancer cells were counted. Positive staining was defined as the presence of cytoplasmic immunoreactivity in a minimum of 10% of cancer cells.

ELISA assay. A sandwich ELISA was used to measure cystatin-C, cancer antigen (CA) 15-3 and CA125 levels, according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, murine polyclonal antibody specific for cystatin-C (RD 191009100; dilution, 1:400; BioVendor, Brno, Czech Republic), and monoclonal antibodies specific for CA15-3 (cat. no. IS-F3329; LSBio, Seattle, WA, USA) and CA125 (cat. no. CA239T; Calbiotech, Inc., El Cajon, CA, USA) were used to coat the 96-well microplates. Each standard and sample was added to the plate and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Following washing to remove unbound proteins, enzyme-linked antibodies specific for cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125 were added to the wells. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm and the detection limit of cystatin-C was 0.25 ng/ml. A standard curve was constructed by plotting absorbance values vs. the cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125 concentrations of the standards, and the concentrations of the test samples were determined using this standard curve. All samples were run in triplicate. The intra- and inter-assay variations of cystatin-C were 3.4 and 6.9%, respectively. Dilutional linearity was 98%. The upper normal range were 25 U/ml for CA15-3 and 35 IU/ml for CA125.

Statistical analysis. The statistical analysis and graphing were performed using SPSS software, version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The differences between the groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (Wilcoxon rank-sum test and paired t-test) and the post-hoc test was performed by Turkey test. Correlation between variables was estimated using the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Volunteers and patients with breast cancer. The median age of the healthy male and female volunteers was 41 (range, 25-66 years) and 35 years (range, 21-56 years), respectively. A total of 205 samples were obtained from 34 patients with breast cancer (median age, 45 years; range, 32-65 years) in 12 different disease states during long-term follow-up and
treatment (Fig. 1). Blood was also obtained prior to surgery in 7 patients with prostate cancer and 13 patients with colon cancer.

**Normal range of cystatin-C.** The mean levels of cystatin-C in healthy female and male volunteers were 0.61±0.09 and 0.73±0.09 mg/l, respectively. The levels were increased in men compared with women (P<0.001) and in patients with breast cancer compared with female volunteers (P=0.034) (Fig. 2). Using a cut-off point of the mean ± 2 standard deviations, the cut-off point was 0.91 mg/l in men and 0.79 mg/l in women. There was no evidence of an effect of age in men or women (Table I). The positivity rate was 46% in patients (38/83) and 40% in samples collected from the different time points (82/205; Table II). Blood cystatin-C levels were lowest following surgery compared with patients with systemic metastasis (P<0.001; Fig. 3). The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy rate of the ELISA was 53.6, 63.6 and 53.9%, respectively (Table III). Among the 7 patients with prostate cancer, only 1 patient (14.2%) showed cystatin-C positivity by ELISA. In the 13 patients with resectable colon cancer, no patients exhibited cystatin-C positivity in the blood.

**Detection of cystatin-C by immunohistochemistry in patients with breast cancer.** Immunohistochemical analysis was conducted at 3 different clinical time points (29 specimens at diagnosis, 21 specimens following pre-operative chemotherapy and 2 specimens at relapse), including 2 patients with bilateral breast cancer. The positivity rate was 41.4% (12/29) at diagnosis, 66.7% (14/21) following pre-operative chemotherapy, and 100% (2/2) following relapse. In 6 patients it was possible to compare cystatin-C expression between pre- and post-chemotherapy samples. The concordance rate was 66.7% (Table IV). In the 2 patients who relapsed, 1 patient showed cystatin-C expression in both the primary and relapsed tumors. The other patient showed no expression in the pre- or post-chemotherapy samples, while cystatin-C expression was detected in the relapsed tumor. In the 2 patients with bilateral breast cancer, 1 patient exhibited simultaneous cystatin-C expression from both breast tumors, while the second showed discordance in cystatin-C expression in both breast cancers.

**Comparison of paired-tissue immunohistochemistry and blood ELISA positivity.** In 16 patients, tissue immunohistochemistry and blood ELISA were compared, resulting in a concordance rate of 38%. The main reason of discordance between tissue and serum expression of cytostatin-C came from low serum positivity in samples showing tissue cystatin-C positivity (3/11, 27%). Additionally, the specificity rate was 60%. This indicates that in the early stages of breast cancer, the secretion...
Comparison of serum positivity based on the clinical status of cancer. The blood cystatin-C levels were then compared with the number of metastases from immediate post-operative samples (no residual tumor), up to 5 tumor metastases. The cystatin-C levels were lowest following surgery and increased as the number of metastases increased (Fig. 4A). In 6 patients, the blood cystatin-C levels from both pre- and post-operative samples were compared. Whilst no patients showed positivity for cystatin-C expression, the expression levels exhibited a reducing trend following surgery (P=0.13; Fig. 4B).

Comparison of serum positivity among cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125 in breast cancer. In 92 samples with different states of systemic metastasis, we simultaneously compared
the cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125 levels with the numbers of tumor metastases. As the number of metastasis increased, CA15-3 (P<0.001), CA125 (P=0.001) and cystatin-C (P=0.035) showed dynamic alterations compared to post-operative (no tumor) state (Fig. 5). The accuracy rates of cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125 were 50.0, 67.4 and 59.8%, respectively. With tumor presence, the concordance rate between cystatin-C and CA15-3, and cystatin-C and CA125 were 66.7 and 53.6%, respectively. Without tumor presence, the concordance rates between cystatin-C and CA15-3, and cystatin-C and CA125 were 87.0 and 69.6%, respectively (Table VI).

**Discussion**

Cystatin-C is a non-glycosylated low molecular weight basic protein and is the product of a housekeeping gene, CST-3 (19). Cystatin-C is constitutively produced by all human nucleated cells. Blood cystatin-C levels are independent of age, body mass index (20) and sex (15) in healthy individuals (20); however, the levels show a weak association with age due to

Table IV. Comparison of cystatin-C expression by immuno-histochemistry at diagnosis (pre-chemotherapy) and following surgery (post-chemotherapy).

| Cystatin-C expression | Pre-chemotherapy (at diagnosis) | Post-chemotherapy (following surgery) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Patient number        | Positive                        | Positive                              |
| 1                     | Positive                        | Positive                              |
| 2                     | Negative                        | Negative                              |
| 3                     | Negative                        | Positive                              |
| 4                     | Negative                        | Negative                              |
| 5                     | Negative                        | Negative                              |
| 6                     | Negative                        | Positive                              |

Table V. Comparison of cystatin-C expression between paired tissue (immunohistochemistry) and blood (ELISA).

| Cystatin-C expression on immunohistochemistry/ELISA | Patients, n |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Positive/positive                                   | 3           |
| Positive/negative                                   | 8           |
| Negative/positive                                   | 2           |
| Negative/negative                                   | 3           |

Table VI. Comparison of serum positivity among cystatin-C, CA15-3 and CA125.

A, Tumor presence

| Expression                        | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Total                             | 69    |
| Cystatin-C (+)                     | 33    |
| Cystatin-C (-)                     | 59    |
| CA15-3 (+)                         | 41    |
| CA15-3 (-)                         | 28    |
| CA125 (+)                          | 36    |
| CA125 (-)                          | 33    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA15-3 (+)          | 23    |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA15-3 (+)          | 18    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA15-3 (-)          | 5     |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA15-3 (-)          | 23    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA125 (+)           | 16    |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA125 (+)           | 20    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA125 (-)           | 12    |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA125 (-)           | 21    |

B, Tumor absence

| Expression                        | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Total                             | 23    |
| Cystatin-C (+)                     | 5     |
| Cystatin-C (-)                     | 18    |
| CA15-3 (+)                         | 2     |
| CA15-3 (-)                         | 21    |
| CA125 (+)                          | 4     |
| CA125 (-)                          | 19    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA15-3 (+)          | 2     |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA15-3 (+)          | 0     |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA15-3 (-)          | 3     |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA15-3 (-)          | 18    |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA125 (+)           | 1     |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA125 (+)           | 3     |
| Cystatin-C (+)/CA125 (-)           | 4     |
| Cystatin-C (-)/CA125 (-)           | 15    |

CA, cancer antigen.
Finney et al (20) reported no difference in cystatin-C levels by age, but the median age of the control group in their study was 40 years (range, 19-59 years), while Norlund et al (21) reported an age dependency in older individuals. The present study tested blood cystatin-C levels in healthy Korean volunteers (age range, 21-56 years), which indicated that there was no age-dependence in males or females. However, the levels were increased in males compared with in females, potentially due to a difference in age. The median age of the men was 41 years (range, 25-66 years) and in the women was 35 years (range, 21-56 years). Ohara et al (16) reported age dependence in cystatin-C levels in elderly patients with lung cancer aged >75 years old compared with those aged <65 years old (16). In patients with colon cancer, the cystatin-C levels were 1.4-fold increased in all stages of cancer compared with healthy individuals (15). In breast cancer, inflammatory cancer type and increased tumor size exhibited high expression levels of cystatin-C (17). Although the control group was not age-matched with the patient group in the present study, patients with breast cancer exhibited increased levels of cystatin-C compared with healthy volunteers. Due to the availability of 205 blood samples from 34 patients with breast cancer at 12 different clinical time points, the cystatin-C positive rate was evaluated at the different time points. This demonstrated the tumor mass dependence of cystatin-C level, by the observation of the lowest serum positivity following surgery, where
there is no tumor. However, despite the increased cystatin-C levels in patients with cancer, the sensitivity of the blood test was only 53.6% and the accuracy was 53.9%, suggesting a low secretion capacity from the tumor mass.

Due to the low blood positivity rate, immunohistochemical analysis of cystatin-C was conducted to investigate the expression levels of cystatin-C in primary breast cancer. The expression levels were evaluated at 3 different time points (at diagnosis, following pre-operative chemotherapy and at relapse), resulting in a positivity rate of 54.0%, which was similar to the blood positivity. The positivity rate increased from diagnosis to relapse, suggesting an association between tumor progression and cystatin-C expression. In addition, synchronicity was investigated in 6 paired samples by comparing samples taken at diagnosis, before chemotherapy, with samples taken following chemotherapy and surgery, which resulted in a concordance rate of 66.7%. Two initially negative patients exhibited cystatin-C expression following chemotherapy. This may be due to tumor heterogeneity from the small biopsy specimen or cystatin-C induction subsequent to chemotherapy. In previous studies, no differences in cystatin-C levels were observed in samples from prior to and subsequent to chemotherapy (median age, 57 years) (22), while transient increments (20-40%) were detected following chemotherapy (within 10 days of chemotherapy) in esophageal cancer (median age, 60 years) (23). This requires further confirmation through the investigation of an increased number of cases.

As the positivity rate was similar in blood and tissue levels, tissue expression and blood levels were then compared in 16 patients. The concordance rate was only 38%, which mostly resulted from the low blood positivity (27%) in the tissue positive cases. This suggests that the blood cystatin-C levels are associated with tumor volume; however, secreted cystatin-C levels in the blood are quite low in early breast cancer. Laurent-Matha et al (24) reported the amount of cystatin-C in the extracellular environment is reduced when the cell is transfected with cathepsin D. In addition, cathepsin D secreted by breast cancer cells extensively cleaved cystatin-C, which may reduce the cystatin-C levels in the blood (24).

To investigate the association between tumor volume and blood cystatin-C levels, the cystatin-C levels were compared with the number of metastases. Cystatin-C was observed to be at the lowest levels subsequent to surgery, when the tumor had been removed, with the levels increasing as the number of metastases increases. As in the immunohistochemical analysis, paired comparisons were performed between cystatin-C levels prior and subsequent to surgery in 6 patients. Whilst these patients did not show serum positivity, the absolute blood levels of cystatin-C decreased subsequent to surgery compared with the time of diagnosis, suggesting levels are dependent upon the tumor volume. Similarly in ovarian cancer, cystatin-C has been reported to increase only in patients with cancer, not in healthy individuals and patients with benign ovarian disease (25). By contrast, cystatin-C levels were low in prostate cancer specimens compared with benign tissues. Wegiel et al (26) observed an inverse correlation between the expression of cystatin-C and matrix metalloproteinase 2. Similarly, the present study did not find any patients with prostate cancer to be cystatin-C positive, suggesting a functional specificity of cystatin-C in different types of cancer, due to the presence of different co-functioning molecules. Cystatin-C is a TGF-β receptor antagonist in addition to a cystatin-C-mediated feedback loop that inhibits TGF-β signaling (27). By inhibiting oncogenic TGF-β signaling, cystatin-C is effective in preventing breast cancer angiogenesis and progression (28).

As a cysteine protease inhibitor, it was reported that cystatin-C reduces cancer invasion and metastasis (25). Cystatin-C was increased in cancer tissues from ovarian cancer, non-small cell lung cancer and in blood samples from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, melanoma, breast cancer and colon cancer. By contrast, cystatin-C mRNA levels did not change in pancreatic, head and neck cancer. Using laser-capture microdissection technology, breast cancer cells were reported to show increased expression levels of cystatin-C, with this correlating with increased tumor size (29). However, the increased cystatin-C expression relative to cathepsin B (9) and cathepsin D (24) expression was reduced. Cathepsin X and H were significantly lower in inflammatory breast cancer compared with cystatin-C levels (17). This imbalance between cysteine protease and protease inhibitors may be associated with the ability of cancer interstitial tissues to detach, which is important in cancer invasion and metastasis (9). High levels of stefin B and cystatin-C were associated with poor prognosis, whether as a result of primary activation or secondary feedback loop activation (15). To investigate whether the elevated levels of cystatin-C were associated with tumor mass, we then compared dynamic alterations in cystatin-C levels based on tumor volume with known tumor markers in breast cancer, such as CA15-3 and CA125. Cystatin-C showed a plateau in the blood levels as the tumor mass increased, while CA15-3 showed continuous increases in the blood level with tumor mass increases. The concordance rates of cystatin-C and CA15-3, cystatin-C and CA125 were low (66.7 and 53.6%) when tumors were present compared with when tumors were absent (87.0 and 69.6%). This indicates that a minimum of one-third of breast cancer showed molecular tumor heterogeneity in the expression of cystatin-C and CA15-3.

In conclusion, elevated blood cystatin-C levels were detected in 40% of breast cancer cases, with this being tumor volume-dependent. However, the concordance rate between levels in tissue and blood samples was low, suggesting tumor heterogeneity in cystatin-C expression or co-acting pathway activation, such as cathepsin D. As one-third of breast cancer cases expressed cystatin-C without CA15-3 elevation, cystatin-C may represent a good tumor-monitoring marker in breast cancer.
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