ADDENDUM

The LT1 and LT2 variants of the enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) heat-labile toxin (LT) are associated with major ETEC lineages
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ABSTRACT

The heat-labile toxin (LT) is one of the major virulence factors of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC). We recently described that 20 polymorphic LT variants are present in ETEC strains isolated globally. Two of the variants, LT1 and LT2, are particularly common and we found that they were associated with clonal ETEC lineages that express the colonization factors (CFs), CFA/I, CS1+CS3, CS2+CS3, and CS5+CS6. ETEC expressing these CFs are frequently found among ETEC strains isolated from cases with diarrhea. ETEC expressing the colonization factors CS1+CS3, and CS2+CS3 are found in 2 discrete clonal lineages and express the LT1 variant and heat stable toxin (STh). Although they clearly are virulent they neither produce nor secrete high amounts of LT toxin. On the other hand ETEC strains expressing LT, STh, CFA/I and LT, STh, CS5+CS6, carry the LT2 variant and produce and secrete significantly more LT toxin. Despite differences in toxin production, LT1 and LT2 are found in ETEC lineages that have managed to spread globally confirming that these variants are important for ETEC virulence.
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Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli heat labile toxin, and its natural variants

Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli ETEC continues to be a major cause of diarrheal disease in children and adults and may also cause food-borne outbreaks in developed countries. ETEC cause watery diarrhea through the actions of 2 toxins, the heat-stable toxin (ST), and the heat-labile toxin (LT). ETEC strains either express ST, LT, or a combination of ST/LT. ETEC strains also show a large heterogeneity in expression of colonization factors (CFs) that mediate adherence to the human epithelium. The ST toxin is divided into 2 subgroups, the STh and STp toxins. STh and STp are small non-immunogenic peptides containing 19 and 18 amino acids, respectively. The heat labile toxin, LT, encoded by the eltAB operon, is composed of an A subunit (LTA) and 5 B subunits (LTB) and forms a typical AB5 toxin. The pentameric B subunit binds to host receptors i.e. GM1 and blood groups in the human intestine causing the toxic A subunit to be internalized. This causes an increase in intracellular cyclic AMP (cAMP), which leads to deregulation of the cystic fibrosis conductance regulator (CFTR) and subsequent secretion of water and ions from the epithelium.

The LT toxin is polymorphic and different ETEC strains express various allele variants (in the present article, we discuss only the toxin that are neutralizable by anti-cholera toxin sera, i.e., LT-I toxins, and not the more distantly related LT-II toxins rarely found in human ETEC that are not neutralizable by anti-cholera toxin sera). Lasaro et al. first demonstrated LT polymorphisms in a set of 51 human-derived ETEC strains from Brazil. Such a high level of polymorphism found in strains isolated in a restricted geographical area, indicated that the LT toxin was more variable...
than previously anticipated. The finding prompted us to question whether the other variants existed globally, and also if LT toxin could evolve into more virulent variants over time. To address this, we characterized the eltAB sequence in 192 LT-expressing ETEC strains, collected 1980 to 2011, from different parts of the world. We could confirm that the eltAB operon is polymorphic in ETEC strains isolated globally. We found in total 20 different amino acid variants of LT in our strain collection, 8 were identical to variants described by Lasaro et al. and we also found 12 novel variants. A substantial genetic heterogeneity was mainly found in the A subunit with 22 amino acid changes, specifically in the A2 domain, while the B subunit was largely conserved with only 2 amino acid changes. The B subunit pentamers are responsible for the recognition and binding to the host’s epithelial cells. The binding sites to GM1, blood sugar and lipopolysaccharides located in the sequences of the B subunit, were conserved. In addition, the ADP-ribosylation active site at amino acid residues 47-56 in LTA was conserved in all variants. This might indicate that all natural variants had intact binding, and virulence capacity.

Clonally related lineages of ETEC are persistent over time and have global distribution

ETEC strains that have the same serotype, toxin, and CF profile are often closely related and clonal ETEC lineages have been proposed by studies using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD), and multi locus sequence typing (MLST). Using whole genome sequencing, we recently described that certain clonal lineages of ETEC with conserved toxin and CF profiles, have emerged in modern time and spread globally. The sequences of eltAB described in Joffre et al. were extracted from the same set of whole genome sequenced strains, and allowed us to superimpose the LT variants onto the genetic background of the corresponding ETEC strain. As previously described, we found associations between LT variants and CF profiles, but we also found that the LT toxin variants were usually stable over time in the same clonal lineage. Hence, there was no evidence that the LT toxin changed into more virulent variants over time in the same lineage. Our results rather seem to suggest that conserved expression of certain LT variants provided certain ETEC lineages with an advantage. We found that some ETEC clonal lineages, that were frequently derived from diarrheal cases globally, expressed the same LT variants over time. This was particularly evident for the 2 most prevalent LT variants, previously described as LT1 and LT2.

The LT1 and LT2 variants are expressed by several globally distributed ETEC lineages

The LT1 and LT2 variants were initially described by Lasaro et al., who found that these variants were common in ETEC isolates from Brazil. In addition, they also noticed that ETEC isolates that were clonally distinct could express these LT variants. The LT1- and LT2-expressing ETEC isolates also harbor the most prevalent CFs according to epidemiological studies. By exploring the virulence diversity carried by the ETEC strains that expressed the LT1 and LT2 variants, and mapping the CF profiles onto the eltAB operon amino acid-based phylogenetic tree, we found a correlation between CF profile, toxin variants, and clonal lineages (Fig. 1). Of the identified ETEC lineages several of the largest lineages contained strains that expressed either LT1 or LT2. For instance, the LT1 variant was found in 2 major clonal lineages that co-expressed the heat stable toxin STh and the CFs CS1+CS3 and CS2+CS3, respectively. The two lineages, L1, (LT1, STh, CS1+CS3), and L2, (LT1, STh, CS2+CS3), are closely related and both emerged approximately 60 years ago. These 2 lineages have spread globally and are repeatedly isolated worldwide.

LT1 was also found in lineages harboring strains that expressed e.g CS7, CS17, and CS19. Although several strains that express LT1 were CF negative it seems to be a correlation between LT1 variant and the CFs CS1-CS3, CS7, CS17 and CS19. Strains with these CFs are frequently found in ETEC isolated from diarrheal cases. LT2 was expressed by strains from the major lineage 5 that contain ETEC expressing LT2, STh, and the CFs CS5+CS6. Lineage 5 also contains a subset of strains that express CS17, all the CS17 positive ETEC co-express the LT1 variant confirming the close relationship between LT variants and CFs (Fig. 1). In addition all CFA/I expressing ETEC (in lineage 3), that co-expressed LT, had the LT2 variant. Strains
expressing CS14 in lineage 17 also had the LT2 variant. Numerous studies have found that CFA/I, CS1-CS3, CS5 and CS6 are the most frequently detected CFs, followed by CS7, CS17 and CS14. Seven CF negative isolates that expressed LT2 clustered together in lineage 18 (Fig. 1). This lineage circulated in Guatemala and Mexico between 1998-2003, and its persistence might indicate that it had colonization advantages although no known CF could be identified. The presence of an important proportion of LT1 and LT2 expressing strains in separate and stable lineages of ETEC may indicate that acquisition of plasmid encoded LT1 or LT2 in combination with certain CFs and/or other virulence characteristics conferred traits that allowed successful dissemination through clonal expansion of these strains.

**Figure 1.** Distribution of LT1 and LT2 expressing strains throughout ETEC lineages. The ETEC phylogenetic tree is derived from von Menter et al. The E. coli pylogroups A, B1, B2 and D/E are indicated and the 5 major ETEC lineages are indicated in bold. LT1 expressing strains are found in lineages L1, L2, L3, L5, L10, L16 and L17 that express CFs CS1-CS3, CS7, CS17 and CS19. LT2 expressing strains are found in L3, L5, L11 and L17 that express CS5+CS6, CFA/I and CS14. The color of the bubbles represents the respective CF profile and the area is proportional to the number of ETEC isolates.

**Novel insights in the gene expression levels of the LT common LT variants, LT1 and LT2**

The dominance of the LT1 and LT2 variants in successful ETEC lineages may suggest that they are highly
virulent. To test whether these variants have higher expression levels than other toxin variants we analyzed toxin production of the different LT variants using GM1-ELISA. We found high (LT2 and LT21), medium (LT11 and LT13), and low (LT1 and LT18), LT producers. Hence, although LT2 was expressed at high levels, LT1 was not. Thus, increased levels of LT1 and LT2 compared to other toxin variants were not found.

When we quantified the production and secretion of LT in LT1 and LT2 strains by quantitative GM1-ELISA, the data showed that LT2 strains produced 5-fold more LT toxin than LT1. In the original study we did not analyze the gene transcription levels of the toxin variants. Hence, in order to address if the difference in production between LT1 and LT2 was on the transcriptional level, we performed additional studies and analyzed the eltAB1 (LT1) and eltAB2 (LT2) gene expression using qPCR and primers previously described.

We analyzed the transcription levels in LT1 and LT2 ETEC, in media with, and without glucose, since several studies have shown that expression of LT is increased in the presence of glucose due to repression of the eltAB promoter by the cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP). 

Surprisingly, in our hands, the expression of both eltAB1 and eltAB2 was reduced in the presence of glucose although expression of eltAB2 did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 2). The expression levels of eltAB2 were slightly, but not significantly, higher than the expression levels of eltAB1, both with, and without, presence of glucose in the medium.

An analysis of the eltAB promoter sequence extracted from the LT1 and LT2 strains identified 3 CRP binding sites as reported by Bodero and Munson in all strains. No polymorphisms were found that could explain the differential gene expression in LT1 strains in presence of glucose, since the CRP binding sequences remain intact in all ETEC strains. The LT1 and LT2 promoters for a subset of ETEC strains are shown in Figure 3.

**Expression of LT is suggested to be indirectly repressed by CRP**

Recent data confirm our findings that the CRP/glucose regulation of LT is more complex than previously anticipated. Although we have shown CRP dependent repression of LT in Δcrp strains, recent studies have revealed more complexity. Importantly, although the promoter of the eltAB operon contains 3 CRP binding sites upstream of the operon, a search for CRP binding sites using Chip-seq failed to detect these sites as bona fide CRP binding sites. The same authors showed that deletion of the crp binding site did not affect expression of eltAB in either the wt or Δcrp, compared to when the crp binding site was intact. These results indicate that the CRP-mediated repression of LT expression is indirect. We analyzed the extracted eltAB1 and eltAB2 promoters for putative transcription factor binding sites but could not find evidence of other binding sites (Fig. 3).

**Different toxin secretion ability in major ETEC lineages expressing LT1 and LT2**

Several studies have shown that ETEC strains differ in their capacity to produce LT. In addition, the ability to secrete the mature LT toxin is very variable and range from zero secretion to up to 70% of produced toxin. The level of secretion of LT has been suggested to be linked to virulence. In a prior *in vitro* study a set of ETEC LT expressing strains where tested for production and secretion of the mature LT
Figure 3. Promoter region of LT1 and LT2 including the regulatory elements, production level and secretion of LT. Alignment of 270 bp nucleotide sequence upstream from the start codon of *eltA* gene using the program MEGA6.0. The nucleotides are numbered above the sequence. The 3 CRP binding sites (*eltA*o1-3) proposed by Bodero & Munson20 were identified (blue boxes) along the sequence of *eltAp*. CRP binding sites are indicated by rectangles. The -35 and -10 hexamers are also labeled above the nucleotide sequence. The blue bars illustrate the amount of LT produced while the yellow bars represent the secretion rate (LT1: 50.29% and LT2: 50.91%). The black dashed vertical lines indicate the average of the production of LT per LT variant (LT1: 6.53 ng/ml and LT2: 30.77 ng/ml). The statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney test using Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA).
toxin. The results showed a 50-fold variation in secretion between strains and a correlation of high secretion capacity with fluid accumulation in rabbit ileal loops. We also reported differences in production and secretion of LT in our collection of ETEC isolates collected worldwide. Strains expressing either the LT1 or LT2 variants had no significant statistical difference in ability to secrete the toxin, although the individual secretion ranged from 0-100% in both LT1 and LT2 isolates. This means that on average, LT1 and LT2 strains secrete 50% of the total amount of the mature toxin; however LT2 produce 5-fold more mature toxin and therefore a larger proportion of toxin is secreted into the external environment than in LT1 producers.

In the original study we did not look at the individual ETEC lineages in the context of LT secretion capacity. We therefore compared secretion capabilities between the most common LT1 and LT2 expressing lineages and we found that strains within lineages L1 and L2 not only produced low levels of LT toxin, but the toxin was also retained within the periplasm and not secreted during growth in LB medium (Fig. 3). These data are intriguing since LT1, STh CS1+CS3 and LT1, STh CS2+CS3 ETEC have been correlated to diarrhea in a number of studies globally.3,25-27 On the other hand, strains from lineage 5, expressing LT2, STh and CS5+CS6, and lineage 3 expressing LT1, STh and CFA/I, had medium to high levels of toxin secretion (Fig. 3).

Our preliminary data indicate that polymorphisms found in the operon encoding the type II secretion system (T2SS) might provide heterogeneous efficiency of the secretion machinery which might affect LT toxin secretion (data not shown).

Conclusions and future directions

The frequent isolations of ETEC expressing CFA/I, CS1+CS3, CS2+CS3, and CS5+CS6 as well as CFA/I, confirm that these ETEC are very virulent and indicate that expression of either LT1 or LT2 can be advantageous for this pathogen. Although there are differences in toxin amount between the 2 major toxin variants in lab cultures, there is no indication to our knowledge, of differences in duration of diarrhea, or severity of disease, between strains expressing either of the variants during infection. To fully understand LT-induced diarrhea we need to study several ETEC strains and determine regulation in situ in the small intestine to unravel environmental cues that induce and modify virulence in ETEC. In addition, it would be interesting to address severity of disease in relation to infection with major ETEC lineages.
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