Skin Color in Apples—Influence of Copigmentation and Plastid Pigments on Shade and Darkness of Red Color in Five Genotypes
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Abstract. The biochemical and cytological mechanisms responsible for the differences in red color quality of apples (Malus domestics Borkh.) were investigated. Copigmentation, the increase in absorbance maxima (λ max) from anthocyanin and flavonoid interactions, is known to be a mechanism for producing variation in shade of red in flowers. In intact apple skin cells, the mean λ max was 550 nm, with no significant difference between genotypes. Furthermore, the ratio of flavonols and proanthocyanidins to anthocyanins was similar for all genotypes. Therefore, copigmentation is not a mechanism producing different shades of red in apples. Darkness of red skin was positively related to the proportion of red cells in the skin and the size of the vacuoles containing anthocyanins. Measurements of plastid pigments, chlorophyll, and carotenoids, compared with L*, a*, b* measurements, indicated that the visual blending of plastid pigments and anthocyanins has an important influence on red coloration of apple skin.

Apple skin color is caused by the pigments chlorophyll and carotenoids located in plastids and the phenolic pigments (anthocyanin, flavonols, and proanthocyanidins) located in the vacuole. The flavonols and proanthocyanidins do not contribute significantly to overall coloration but may be important in enhancing anthocyanin coloration by copigmentation.

Color is traditionally measured by destructive techniques, such as isolation and quantitation of pigments, or by nondestructive measurements based on determination of the characteristics of light, transmitted or reflected, by the fruit. The relationships between pigment composition, color measurement, and perception of color by the eye are complex, and evidence is lacking on the extent to which differences in pigment composition are apparent to the eye as color differences (Knee, 1980). In apples, red coloration is commercially desirable. Variation exists in the shade of red and the pattern of reddening (e.g., solid block or striping). This variation depends on the genotype and on developmental and environmental factors (Lancaster, 1992; Saure, 1990).

In flowers, there has been extensive work on the relationship between petal color and the types of anthocyanin and copigmentation (Osawa, 1982). The anthocyanins pelargonidin, cyanidin, and delphinidin produce scarlet, crimson, and blue-mauve shades, respectively [absorbance maxima (λ max) of 520,535, and 546 nm in 0.01% HCl in methanol]. At the pH of cell vacuoles, anthocyanins form chemical bonds either between themselves (intramolecular copigmentation and self association) or with other phenolic molecules (intermolecular copigmentation). The bonds stabilize the pigment and result in an increase in absorbance and a shift in λ max to longer wavelengths (bathochromic shift). Thus, copigmentation results in the “bluing” of red shades. The mechanism of copigmentation is detailed by Brouillard (1983), Brouillard et al. (1989), and Mazza and Brouillard (1990).

The contribution of copigmentation phenomena to fruit color is not well researched, although fruit have an abundance of flavonols and proanthocyanidins suitable for copigmentation (Mac heix et al., 1990). In grapes (Vitis vinifera L.), flavonol levels are too low to act as copigments, although self-association may occur at very high anthocyanin levels (Moskowitz and Hrazdina, 1981). A case for copigmentation contributing to a difference in skin color has been postulated for Ilex crenata Thunb. and I. rotunda C.P. Thunb. ex A. Murray in Linnaeus berries, which contain flavonols, and those of I. sinensis (Lees.) S.Y. Hu, which do not. Z. sinensis berry cells have a λ max 12 nm lower than those of I. crenata and Z. rotunda (Ishikura, 1975).

Apple skin contains mainly cyanidin-3-galactoside (Sun and Francis, 1967) and high concentrations of flavonols (quercetin glycosides) and proanthocyanidins, such as catechin (Mac Rae et al., 1990; Oleszek et al., 1989; Prabha and Patwardhan, 1985). Skin colors ranging from pink-red to deep purple-red are also found in diverse genotypes. It seemed feasible that copigmentation was a factor in producing the different shades of red in apple skin. In the work reported herein, we determined the λ max of cells from the skin of different colored apple genotypes and the molar ratio of flavonols and proanthocyanidins to anthocyanins. We also determined the proportion of red cells in the skin of each genotype. Our results suggest that copigmentation is not a factor in producing differing shades of red. The anthocyanin concentration in the skin and the blending of color from chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments are shown to be important in determining the final red color.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Five apple genotypes were used, representing a wide color variation: ‘Granny Smith’ (green background with bronze-red in
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areas exposed to high light intensities), ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ (deep purple-red), ‘Regal Gala’ (orange-red), and the breeding lines 4926 and 3827 (both crimson red). The color in each of the genotypes was uniform, not striped. Apples were picked at maturity from research orchards at Hastings, Hawkes Bay, New Zealand, and stored at 4°C. Five apples from each genotype were used and analyzed individually.

**Color measurements**

Zones on each fruit (3 × 3 cm) representing a range of color shade and intensity were labeled with a felt pen so that color measurements were made on the same area of skin used for microscopy. Color measurements were made using the 8-mm-diameter head of a portable tristimulus color analyzer (Chromameter II; Minolta, Ramsey, N. J.) and expressed in Commission International d’Eclairage L*, a*, b* color-space coordinates. The meter was calibrated using the manufacturer’s standard white tile.

**Skin sections**

Skin sections from the labeled areas were cut by hand radially and tangentially using a single-edge blade, mounted in water, and viewed immediately. Only the part of a section that was one cell thick (of cuticle and epidermis) was used for the spectrophotometry of anthocyanin-containing cells.

**Microspectrophotometer**

Absorbance spectra of individual epidermal cells from the labeled areas were measured with a single-beamed microspectrophotometer (Nanospec 10S; Nanometrics, Sunnyvale, Calif.). The 20-µm-diameter measuring aperture was centered over the vacuole of the cell to be measured. Light intensity measurements were ratioed against those obtained from a colorless reference cell. Cells of each genotype were scanned from 450 to 650 nm to determine λ max. Using the same sections, 50 cells from each genotype were scanned at λ max 550 nm to determine the mean and range of absorbance. Clear vacuoles were used as a reference as above. Photomicrographs of sections of apple skin were made.

**Image analysis**

Image analysis of 35-mm transparencies at ×20 and ×40 magnification was carried out using version three of the Chromatic Color Image Analysis System (Leading Edge, Bedford Park, South Australia), a video camera (model TK870E RGB; JVC, Japan), and a 33 MHz 80486-based personal computer. The video camera had a 1/2” charge-coupled device, and a 25-mm lens and 5-mm extension tube were used to obtain a video image in which the transparency image just filled the video frame.

Images obtained were subjected to averaging filtration using a 3 x 3 kernel to remove signal noise, and pixel discrimination was carried out for color values that corresponded to the color of vacuoles containing pigment. In some genotypes (e.g., ‘Granny Smith’) it was necessary to carry out several discrimination procedures. In this case, the overlays produced by each discrimination were mixed until an overlay congruent with all pigmented vacuoles was produced. This overlay was used to calculate the proportion of the frame area pigmented. A similar procedure was used to determine the proportion of the frame occupied by all vacuoles, and the proportion of pigmented to total vacuoles was calculated.

**Analysis of pigment composition**

Apple skin of desired color was cut from the fruit and the underlying cortical cells were scraped off. Skin was weighed and extracted for analysis of anthocyanins, flavonols, procyanidins, chlorophylls, and carotenoids.

**Chlorophyll extraction and estimation.** The methods used for determining chlorophyll and total carotenoid content were essentially the same as those used by Kne (1972). Apple peel (0.5 to 1.0 g) was ground to a fine powder using liquid N and extracted with 15 ml of cold acetone. The residue was reextracted with 5-ml aliquots of 80% acetone until clear. The combined extracts were adjusted to 30 ml with 80% acetone and centrifuged at 5000x g for 10 min. Absorbance was measured at 645,652, and 663 nm and a reading was also taken at 700 nm to correct for any turbidity. Chlorophyll content was calculated from the data using the equations of Maclachlan and Zalik (Holden, 1965).

**Total carotenoid estimation.** A 10-ml aliquot of the acetone extract from the above procedure was taken and 10 ml of petroleum ether (40 to 60°C) and 3 ml 50% saturated aqueous ammonium sulfate were added. The upper phase was washed twice with 3 ml of ammonium sulfate, 1 ml of 25% (w/v) potassium hydroxide in methanol was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The upper phase was washed with 5 ml water until clear. The petroleum ether solution was then dried over sodium sulfate for 1 h before the absorbance was read at 446 nm. A reading was also taken at 550 nm to correct for any turbidity (Goodwin, 1955). Total carotenoid content was calculated, assuming extinction of a 1% solution in a 1-cm light path optical cell (E2% cm) is 2500.

**Flavonol and anthocyanin extraction.** Apple peel (0.5 to 1.0 g) was ground to a fine powder using liquid N and extracted with 10 ml 15.0% (v/v) acetic acid in methanol. The residue was reextracted at least twice to remove all of the pigments. The combined extracts were centrifuged at 5000x g for 10 min. The extract was rotary-evaporated to almost dryness at 40°C and taken up in 0.5 to 1.0 ml of 15% (v/v) acetic acid in methanol. The extract was centrifuged at 10,000x g for 5 min before being injected directly into the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). A solvent delivery control system with an automatic sample injector and a variable-wavelength ultraviolet detector (models 600, WISP 712, and 490; Waters, Milford, Mass.) were used to identify and quantify the flavonoids. The column was 220x4.6 mm fitted with a 18x3.5 mm guard column (Aquaplore RP-18; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.). Chromatographic traces were recorded using the Waters–Dynamic Solutions Maxima program. Samples (1 to 5 µl) were injected onto a 25C column. A flow rate of 1.0 ml·min⁻¹ and a linear 15-min solvent gradient from 5% to 20% acetonitrile in 10% (by volume) acetic acid in water with a 15 min hold at the final concentration was used. Eluted components were monitored at 350 nm for flavonols and 530 nm for anthocyanins. The individual compounds were identified and quantified from weighed amounts of known standards.

**Proanthocyanin quantification.** The extraction method was the same as for the flavonols and anthocyanins but the solvent used was 100% methanol. The same HPLC system was used as for the flavonols and anthocyanins with the following differences. Solvents used were A) 10.0% (v/v) acetic acid in water and B) water. Samples of 10 µl were injected onto the column, which was maintained at 70°C. The flow rate was 1.0 ml·min⁻¹ and a linear 47-min solvent gradient of 10% to 82% A, followed by a linear 8-min solvent gradient of 82% to 100% A, and a final hold at 100% for 5 min was used. Eluted components were monitored at 280 nm for proanthocyanidins and 313 nm for phenolic acids.

**Results**

**In vivo light absorbance measurements.** Apple skin cells with absorbance of 0.09 to 1.07 (pale to dark red) were scanned, where
possible, for each genotype. For the blushed ‘Granny Smith’ fruit, only cells from pale to medium red were available (A = 0.22 to 0.45).

The mean λ max for 19 cells from five genotypes was 550±5.9 nm (Fig. 1). For each of the genotypes, there was a broad λ max, particularly for ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ and ‘Regal Gala’, which had the darkest skin color. In the blushed ‘Granny Smith’ fruit, the λ max range was 550 to 556 nm, with an average λ max of 553 ± 0.0 nm. There was no trend of increasing λ max with darker red skin. ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ had a 2-nm lower average λ max than ‘Granny Smith’. A correlation of absorbance to mean λ max was carried out for apple cells, and no relationship was found (r2 = 0.10).

Copigmentation. Quercetin glycosides and proanthocyanidins function strongly in copigmentation and, thus, bathochromic shifts in λ max (Osawa, 1982). High concentrations of both of these classes of compounds were present in each of the genotypes (Table 1). Flavonols were generally 1 × 10-2 M for each of the genotypes; proanthocyanidins ranged from 0.64×10-2 M for 3827 to 2.2×10-2 M for ‘Oregon Red Delicious’. Anthocyanins showed a wider range (7-fold), from 0.2×10-2 M for 4926 and 3827 to 1.5×10-2 M for ‘Oregon Red Delicious’. The blushed ‘Granny Smith’ fruit contained minimal amounts of anthocyanin. These values were used to calculate the ratio of flavonols and proanthocyanidins to anthocyanins: Higher ratios indicate a greater potential for copigmentation. The genotypes 4926 and 3827 had a ratio of 10, whereas the darker red ‘Regal Gala’ and ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ had ratios of ≈3 and 2, respectively. ‘Granny Smith’ by far had the highest ratio of 208.

Anthocyanin distribution in skin. Thin tangential sections of apple skin showed a nonuniform distribution of anthocyanin among epidermal cells for all five genotypes when viewed at magnification ×400 (Fig. 2). Very dark, red cells were adjacent to naturally pale cells. However, within a cell, the vacuole(s) appeared uniform in color.

Transmission at 550 nm was measured for 50 cells for each genotype and absorbance was calculated. A colorless cell in each section was used as a reference. A histogram of vacuole absorbances was plotted for each genotype (Fig. 3). All genotypes, in the region of apple skin examined, showed some cells without anthocyanins in their vacuoles. This pattern was greatest for ‘Granny Smith’ (25% without anthocyanins). In the blushed area of ‘Granny Smith’ skin, the λ max of cells was 0.45 (2% of cells) and, in 84% of the cells, it was <0.20. ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ and ‘Regal Gala’ showed a wide range of absorbance, from 0.0 to 0.85 and 1.20 respectively. For ‘Regal Gala’, 78% of the cells was >0.65 absorbance and 44% for ‘Oregon Red Delicious’. The genotypes 3827 and 4926 had an absorbance distribution between those of ‘Granny Smith’ and ‘Regal Gala’ and ‘Oregon Red Delicious’, with 20% and 4% of the cells, respectively, with absorbance >0.65. Correspondingly, skin color of genotypes 3827 and 4926 was lighter red than that of ‘Regal Gala’ and ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ but darker than that of ‘Granny Smith’. Thus, increasing redness of skin could be accounted for by a higher proportion of dark red cells.

‘Oregon Red Delicious’ is much darker than ‘Regal Gala’, although ‘Regal Gala’ has the higher proportion of higher absorbance cells. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ has its anthocyanin in up to three layers of cells in the epidermis (Fig. 2), whereas, in ‘Regal Gala’, anthocyanin is limited to one layer, and occasionally two. Alternatively, the darker color could be accounted for by the higher absorbance at shorter wavelengths (Fig. 1) of pigments, either in the vacuole or in the cytoplasm behind the vacuole.

A striking feature of the epidermal sections is the differences in vacuolar size within the cells. Cell size is similar for all genotypes,

Fig. 1. Reflectance spectra (using a microspectrophotometer) of anthocyanin-containing vacuoles for five apple genotypes of specified skin areas.
Table 1. Concentrations of anthocyanins, flavonols, and proanthocyanidins and the ratio of flavonols and proanthocyanidins and anthocyanins in relation to copigmentation potential of five apple genotypes.

| Genotype         | Anthocyanin (M) | Flavonol (M) | Proanthocyanidin (M) | Ratio (F+P)/A |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Granny Smith     | 0.09 × 10^{-2}  | 1.1 × 10^{-2}| 0.78 × 10^{-2}       | 208           |
| 4926             | 0.27 × 10^{-2}  | 1.7 × 10^{-2}| 0.86 × 10^{-2}       | 9.5           |
| 3827             | 0.18 × 10^{-1}  | 1.3 × 10^{-1}| 0.64 × 10^{-2}       | 10.7          |
| Regal Gala       | 0.66 × 10^{-2}  | 1.2 × 10^{-2}| 1.1 × 10^{-2}        | 3.5           |
| Oregon Red Delicious | 1.50 × 10^{-2} | 1.7 × 10^{-2}| 2.2 × 10^{-2}        | 2.6           |

Fig. 2. Anthocyanin distribution in tangential (a-e) and transverse (f) sections of apple skin of (a) ‘Granny Smith’, (b) 3827, (c) 4926, (d) ‘Regal Gala’, and (e and f) ‘Oregon Red Delicious’. Magnification ×236.
but there are up to 4-fold differences in the area covered by vacuoles (Table 2). Vacuoles account for \( \approx 50\% \) of the surface area in ‘Regal Gala’, ‘Oregon Red Delicious’, and ‘Granny Smith’; 3827 and 4926 have \( \approx 20\% \) and \( 30\% \), respectively, of the cell area as vacuoles. Thus, 3827 and 4926 do not have the same potential for reddening as do the other three genotypes.

**Influence of chlorophyll and carotenoids on redskin color.** The microspectrophotometric studies on absorbance of individual cells have shown no major differences in \( \lambda \) max; however, chromometer measurements on the skin of apple genotypes have shown large differences in \( L^* \), \( a^* \), \( b^* \) values (Table 3), and differences in shade of red are apparent to the eye. Surprisingly, the darkest skinned genotype, ‘Oregon Red Delicious’, has a lower \( a^* \) value than genotypes 3827 and 4926. The zones of apple skin used for microspectrophotometry were those typical of the mature fruit, and, in the case of ‘Granny Smith’, a blushed zone was used. There was no significant correlation between anthocyanin content and \( a^* \) values, \( (r^2 = 0.09) \), a result that is unexpected since \( a^* \) is a measure of redness. Neither \( b^* \) or \( \tan b^*/a^* \) correlated with anthocyanin content. Lightness values (\( L^* \)) ranged from 47.6 for ‘Granny Smith’ to 33.9 for ‘Oregon Red Delicious’. A coefficient of determination \( (r^2 = 0.68) \) was obtained for the regression of anthocyanin content on \( L^* \) values. The five genotypes contain widely different levels of chlorophyll, carotenoids, and anthocyanins (Table 3). ‘Granny Smith’ is high in chlorophyll, whereas ‘Regal Gala’ contained no chlorophyll. Genotypes 3827, 4926, and ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ have levels of chlorophyll \( \approx 25\% \) that of ‘Granny Smith’. Carotenoid levels were highest in ‘Granny Smith’, ‘Regal Gala’, and 4926 and lowest in ‘Oregon Red Delicious’.

**Discussion**

Microspectrophotometer studies, although few in number, have shown bathochromic shifts in \( \lambda \) max attributable to copigmentation. Petals of orange and red Azalea showed a 10-nm bathochromic shift attributable to the presence of flavonols in red Azalea (Asen et al., 197 lb). In Ilex berries, flavonols in I. crenata gave rise to putative copigmentation and an increased \( \lambda \) max of 13 nm compared with the flavonol-free I. chinensis (Ishikura, 1975).

For the apple skin cells measured in this work, mean \( \lambda \) max did not differ significantly among genotypes. There was no trend of increasing \( \lambda \) max with darker red skin. Although the molarity of flavonols and proanthocyanidins in apple is in the range that one would consider to be effective in copigmentation in floral tissue (Asen et al., 1972; Chen and Hrazadina, 1981) and in vitro studies, there was little difference in copigmentation ratios between genotypes, and copigmentation ratios in the more deeply colored genotypes were lower than in the lightly colored genotypes. These results, together with the similarity of \( \lambda \) max for the five genotypes, show that copigmentation is not an explanation for the different shades of red. Although a mean \( \lambda \) max of 550 nm was observed for the apple cells, this was considerably higher than the 523-nm \( \lambda \) max for cyanidin-3-galactoside in aqueous solution at pH 3.0. This result suggests that copigmentation is a factor accounting for the higher \( \lambda \) max in all of the genotypes, but it is not an explanation for genotype differences in shade of red.

The spectral absorbance of anthocyanins is also influenced by pH. Between pH 3.5 and 5.5, there is an equilibrium between the red flavylum cation and the blue-purple quinonoidal base. Increasing pH results in a shift to the quinonoidal base, a higher \( \lambda \) max, and loss in absorbance (Macheix et al., 1990). The pH of apple epidermal tissue was determined as 3.6 to 4.0 (unpublished

---

**Fig. 3.** Frequency (%) of cells of varying redness (550 nm) in tangential section of skin of specified areas of five apple genotypes. For ‘Granny Smith’, 50 cells were measured. For each of the other genotypes, 100 cells were measured.
data), but there are no published measurements on pH of skin of different genotypes. The relative uniformity of λ max for the different genotypes argues against pH as an explanation for the different colors of red in different genotypes.

The darker colored ‘Oregon Red Delicious’ and ‘Regal Gala’ had a higher proportion of cells with higher absorbance at 550 nm, larger vacuoles, and, in the case of the former genotype, up to three layers of red cells compared to only one in genotypes 4926 and 3826.

The apparently random distribution of colored cells in apple skin is a striking feature of this work. A similar distribution of red color in apple skin had been previously reported but not quantified by Dayton (1959), Dickinson and White (1986), and Misić and Tesovic (1971). Variation in the color of individual adjacent cells has also been observed in rose petals (Asen et al., 1972a), where the color difference was attributable to different vacuolar pHs of adjacent cells. The lack of discernible pattern in anthocyanin distribution in apple skin cells makes it difficult to suggest a cytological or developmental mechanism. Furthermore, for apple cells, light is one of the main determinants of anthocyanin production, yet adjacent cells would have the same light environment. The mechanism for producing the observed differences in cellular anthocyanin concentration remains unknown.

Although there were higher proportions of red cells in the skin of more darkly colored genotypes, such as ‘Oregon Red Delicious’, no correlation was observed between anthocyanin content and a* values for the five genotypes. Singha et al. (1991) reported coefficients of determination for selected regression models relating chromaticity values to anthocyanin content. They found a poor correlation between a* and anthocyanin levels (r²=0.10). Correlations between anthocyanin levels and chromaticity values (a*/b* and L*(a*b*)) (r²=0.81) were achieved with a separate equation for each strain of ‘Delicious’. The relationships, however, are empirically derived and have no theoretical basis.

In some fruit (e.g., tomato [Lycopersicon esculentum var. cerasiforme (Duval) A. Gray] and cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon Ait.), there is good agreement among visual scores, red pigment content, and Hunter values (Francis and Clydesdale, 1970; Larrigaudiere et al., 1991). For tomato, the a* value is sufficient to characterize maturity stages. However in each of these fruit, the pigment composition and pigment changes are simpler, whereas in apple skin, there are three pigment classes (chlorophyll, carotenoids, and anthocyanins).

For ripening peaches [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch.], major changes in color were reflected by an increase in a* but no change in b* (Byrne et al., 1991; Delwiche and Baumgardner 1985). However, the increased a* value was brought about by two pigment changes—a decrease in chlorophyll and an increase in anthocyanin. Similarly, for the surface of watermelons [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb. Matsum. & Nakai)], an increase in a* values during ripening is brought about by a loss of chlorophyll. The carotenoid levels and, thus, the b* value is constant, but the fruit appear more orange because the chlorophyll loss unmask the carotenoids (Corey and Schlimme, 1988). In papaya (Carica papaya L.), in which ripening is characterized by the fruit turning yellow and an increase in b* values, small green flecks considerably reduced the a* values (Peleg and Gomez Brito, 1975).

Genotypes such as ‘Oregon Red Delicious’, which have high anthocyanin levels, and, therefore would be expected to have a higher a* value, also have high chlorophyll levels, which reduce the a* value.

The modifying effect of chlorophyll on anthocyanins has also been monitored for eggplant (Solanum melongena L.), in which the darkest purple fruit had high levels of chlorophyll and anthocyanins (Nothmann et al., 1976). Likewise, in petals from tulip (Tulipa gesneriana L.) and chrysanthemum [Dendranthema grandiflorum (Ramat.) Kitamura], carotenoids in the presence of anthocyanins modify the appearance to red-orange or bronze (Nieuwhof et al., 1989; Teynor et al., 1989). As Knee (1980) observed, evidence is lacking on the extent to which differences in pigment composition are apparent as color differences to the eye.

In conclusion, an increase in skin darkness in apples could be accounted for by increased anthocyanin concentration from a greater proportion of dark red vacuoles, larger vacuoles, and several layers of red cells. A change in the hue of the red coloration of apple skin from orange-red to bronze or purple-red is more likely to result from the visual blending of chlorophyll, carotenoids, and anthocyanins than from differences in copigmentation.
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