An Integrated Approach towards Efficient Image Classification Using Deep CNN with Transfer Learning and PCA
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Abstract

In image processing, developing efficient, automated, and accurate techniques to classify images with varying intensity level, resolution, aspect ratio, orientation, contrast, sharpness, etc. is a challenging task. This study presents an integrated approach for image classification by employing transfer learning for feature selection and using principal component analysis (PCA) for feature reduction. The PCA algorithm is employed for reducing the dimensionality of the features extracted by the VGG16 model to obtain a handful of features for speeding up image reorganization. For multilayer perceptron classifiers, support vector machine (SVM) and random forest (RF) algorithms are used. The performance of the proposed approach is compared with other classifiers. The experimental results establish the supremacy of the VGG16-PCA-Multilayer perceptron model integrated approach and achieve a reorganization accuracy of 91.145%, 95.0%, 92.33%, and 98.59% on Fashion-MNIST dataset, ORL dataset of faces, corn leaf disease dataset, and rice leaf disease datasets, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Manual image recognition by experts suffers from different limitations, e.g., time-consuming, human error, biased opinion, non-availability of experts, etc. Nowadays, automated image recognition technology appears in many aspects of day-to-day tasks and plays a very important role in education, face recognition, medical disease diagnosis, agricultural activities, driverless cars, advertising, image restoration, etc. Automated solutions are very useful for amateurs as well as field experts. With the advances in technology, the widespread use of image capturing and processing devices enabled people to capture, share, search, and retrieve images. Image recognition helps identify and analyze the objects and use the learned knowledge for decision making.

The objective of image recognition is to label a given image into class among a set of pre-defined classes. To accurately label an image, the extraction of useful image features is very important. Features represent the patterns of the object in the image and are used for recognition. There are different methods for feature extraction from images such as grayscale feature extraction, the use of mean pixel values of channels, and edge feature extraction. The grayscale feature extraction uses a single channel as an input, and the number of features will be the same as the number of pixels. Mean pixel values of channels generate a matrix using the pixel values from three channels. Thus, the number of features remains the same and the pixel values of all three channels are considered. The edge features extraction method extracts edges as features and uses that as the input for the model. Edges can be extracted by using different algorithms, e.g., Prewitt edge detection, Sobel edge detection,
Laplacian edge detection, Canny edge detection, etc. Low-level features of an image can also be extracted by using different techniques, e.g., histogram of oriented gradients (HOG), generalized search tree (GIST), scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT), speeded up robust feature (SURF), etc [1]. Training image classification algorithms by using these hand-crafted features is time-consuming and requires technical expertise.

The pretrained convolution neural network (CNN) models are trained using large, distributed, and standard datasets. The weights learned by the pretrained model can be reused to solve a similar problem. Transfer learning employing the pretrained CNN enables filters to extract valuable characteristics from images [2]. The CNN model has different layers such as the convolutional layer, pooling layer, dropout layers, non-linear layers, and fully connected layers. The feature maps of these layers can be viewed and give a distinct representation of the input image. The VGG16 architecture is employed to extract important features of images [3]. To improve the space and time complexity, principle component analysis (PCA) is applied as feature reduction technique.

Deep learning techniques are successfully applied for solving the problems related to healthcare, agriculture, engineering, entertainment, music composition, advertising, signal processing, image recognition, robotics, image coloring, image captioning, etc. The application of machine learning techniques for solving real-time applications efficiently and accurately is gaining importance. Real-time applications often require handling huge data. Extracting significant features and reducing noise are required for improving computational speed and accuracy. Some of the current work in this field includes handling multi-sensor data for chatter detection using metaheuristic algorithms and recursive feature elimination [4], feature selection using fuzzy entropy measures with a similarity classifier [5], effective feature selection using enhanced PCA [6], artificial bee colony for feature selection of breast cancer data [7], etc.

Recent studies have integrated deep learning with the Internet of Things (IoT) for performing real-time complex sensing and recognition tasks. IoT applications generate a huge volume of data that requires preprocessing and dimensionality reduction. Some of the tasks involving IoT and deep learning include reducing the energy consumption of IoT enabled devices [8], online automated monitoring of cyber-attacks [9], automatic online detection of defects in gas-insulated switchgear [10], anomaly prediction in IoT networks [11], real-time monitoring of agriculture fields [12], etc.

The main objective of this study is to propose an efficient and accurate approach for image recognition based on the integrated approach. Some of the challenges faced by deep learning image classifiers are computationally expensive, energy-intensive, and time-consuming, and have high memory requirements. Thus, there is a need for scaling up the performance of image classifiers and overcoming the bottlenecks faced during model training and image classification. The proposed approach reduces the computational complexity and maintains high classification accuracy. The contribution of the work is summarized in the following points.

(1) An integrated approach is proposed employing deep learning for feature extraction, PCA for feature reduction, and image classification module with multilayer perceptron, support vector machine (SVM), and random forest (RF).

(2) The accuracy of multilayer perceptron, SVM, and RF classifiers is enhanced by tuning their hyperparameters using a grid search algorithm.

(3) With the PCA reduced features, efficient utilization of computation resources is conducted with the improved computational efficiency of model training and testing.

This study is organized as follow. Section 2 presents literature review. Section 3 introduces transfer learning, the PCA algorithm, and the proposed image classification approach. Section 4 presents the results and discussion. Finally, section 5 presents the conclusion.
2. Related Work

Several techniques were proposed for image classification. Kaur et al. [13] explored various pretrained CNN models for the classification of magnetic resonance brain images. Their proposed pretrained deep CNN models were demonstrated. The authors explored 8 different pretrained CNN models out of which the AlexNet model illustrated best classification accuracy. Pires De Lima et al. [14] presented remote-sensing image classification using transfer learning. Their CNN models trained on diverse natural image datasets gave better results for remote-sensing image classification tasks. The study demonstrates that the pretrained model trained on a generalized dataset can be accurately applied to remote-sensing image classification. The results show that the pretrained models can easily be used for feature extraction of the unseen images related to different domains.

Xue et al. [15] presented an ensemble learning strategy based on Inception-V3, Xception, VGG16, and ResNet-50 CNN models. The ensemble learning technique employed a weighted voting approach with an accuracy of 98.61%. The high computational requirements of the classifier which used four pretrained models as base learners are one of the limitations of their proposed approach. Garcia-Dominguez et al. [16] proposed an automatic optimum image feature selector and classification open-source tool “FrImCla” [16]. The tool based upon the statistical study automatically selects the feature extraction and classification techniques. For feature selection, the pretrained models as well as traditional methods are explored. Transfer learning techniques are popularly used for feature selection in computer vision. Sert and Boyacı [17] presented a feature fusion transfer learning-based approach for the recognition of sketch drawings. For improving the efficiency of feature reduction, PCA was proposed. The selected features were input to SVM for classification. The proposed approach was able to classify freehand sketches of the Sketchy dataset with an accuracy of 97.91%.

Chen et al. [18] proposed an automated robot arm control program enabling human-robot interaction using the YOLOv4 algorithm. Eight different hand gestures were recorded in a controlled laboratory environment and image features were classified by employing a deep CNN. The proposed approach classified the images accurately and the recognized hand gestures were used to control robot arm movement.

Khan et al. [19] presented an improved saliency-based segmentation technique for the extraction of the infected area of cucumber leaves. The deep neural networks VGG-VD-19 and VGG-S, M, F were employed for the extraction of useful features. Subsequently, the features obtained were fused and were used for image classification by SVM with an accuracy of 98.08%. Kaur et al. [20] employed the pretrained model AlexNet to identify the patients with Parkinson disease. The final layers of the model were modified and the transfer learning-based model was able to diagnose the disease with an accuracy of 89.23%. Li et al. [21] presented a shallow VGG16 neural network-based approach for plant disease detection. The block of the VGG16 pretrained model was used for the extraction of prominent image features. The PCA reduced features were input to SVM or RF algorithms. Experimental results illustrate that the simple shallow neural network and statistical machine learning algorithms are very useful for plant disease detection.

Sujatha et al. [22] presented the analysis of different machine learning and pretrained deep learning algorithms for the classification of diagnosis of citrus plant diseases. The experimental work shows that deep learning algorithms performed better than machine learning algorithms for disease classification. The VGG16 model outperformed other classifiers (VGG19, Inception-V3, RF, stochastic gradient descent, and SVM). Behera et al. [23] presented papaya maturity stage prediction models using k-nearest neighbor (KNN), SVM, naïve Bayes, and deep learning neural networks (AlexNet, VGG16, VGG19, GoogleNet, ResNet50, etc). Both the VGG16 and VGG19 models were able to classify papaya images with an accuracy of 100%. The VGG19 model achieved 100% training accuracy in 10 epochs. Chiu et al. [24] proposed an efficient method for predicting breast cancer on the dataset obtained from University Hospital Care of Coimbra. For reducing the dimensionality of the dataset, the PCA algorithm was used. The features were extracted by using a transfer learning neural network and
classification by SVM. Loey et al. [25] presented a hybrid approach employing the pretrained CNN model ResNet50 for feature extraction of the images of real-world masked face dataset (RMFD), simulated masked face dataset (SMFD), and labelled faces in the wild (LFW) dataset. The extracted features were classified by SVM decision tree and ensemble learning techniques. Using the proposed approach, the SVM model classified RMFD with 99.64%, SMFD with 99.49%, and LFW with 100% accuracy.

Bhagwat et al. [26] presented a review of traditional machine learning and deep learning techniques for early and accurate detection of plant diseases. Early detection of plant diseases is a challenging task that needs to be addressed for precision agriculture. Deep learning architectures illustrate their significance for important feature extraction and classification. Deep neural networks require large datasets for training. Collecting a large dataset is very time-consuming and expensive. Transfer learning using pretrained models (e.g., single-shot multibox detector, VGGNet, ResNet50, InceptionV2, GoogLeNet, MobileNet, etc.) is very useful to overcome these limitations and recognize the plant diseases with high accuracy.

Training a deep learning model with large data of diverse examples is highly desirable to avoid over-fitting. Generally, the aim is to develop a model which generalizes well on the unseen test data by minimizing both the bias and variance. To solve a complex problem, the model needs to learn more parameters so that the data size for training increases. Transfer learning is commonly employed to solve computer vision problems with a small dataset, and the acquisition of more data is time-consuming or expensive. Ren et al. [27] demonstrated accurate image classification employing transfer learning algorithms on small or poor image datasets. The minimum data size requirements for the training transfer learning model were explored. The proposed model was able to be trained using small, poor-resolution, unfavorably cropped, or rotated images. Transfer learning algorithm was able to achieve above 75% accuracy with different data sizes. Weimann et al. [28] presented a transfer learning-based approach for the classification of atrial fibrillation. The CNN model was first trained on a large public dataset and then the weights learned were fine-tuned on a small dataset of atrial fibrillation. The proposed approach employing transfer learning improved the performance of the CNN model by 6.57%.

Liu et al. [29] presented an automatic manufacturing defect detection system with limited training samples employing transfer learning. The proposed model was able to extract the discriminative features and was able to detect defects with an accuracy of 99% improving the model accuracy by 11%. Li et al. [30] proposed an approach demonstrating the usefulness of transfer learning to train deep learning models on small training data for the diagnosis of COVID-19. The transfer learning using the CheXNet model already trained for the classification of chest X-ray images was employed. The already learned knowledge was fine-tuned on the limited COVID-19 dataset. The proposed approach outperformed several other methods.

The first few layers of a deep CNN model extract generic features, and the final layers extract the specific features of an image. Yosinski et al. [31] discussed the applicability of transferring features from the base task to the target task. The generalization performance of the model is enhanced by transferring features from the base layers. The performance is enhanced when the base task and target task are similar. Using the pre-learned weights for the neural network is better than initializing the weights randomly.

Mahajan et al. [32] discussed an efficient plant species recognition model which used transfer learning for feature extraction and enhanced multiclass adaptive boosting for image classification. The open-source plant species dataset FLAVIA was used in the study. Using 10-fold cross-validation, an accuracy of 95.85% was achieved. Singh et al. [33] applied a novel nature-inspired multi-population parallel three-parent genetic algorithm (P3PGA) for the optimization of routing problems in wireless mesh networks. The P3PGA benchmarked tests on CEC-2014 tests and their application for finding minimum cost routes illustrate faster convergence time compared to other popular nature-inspired algorithms. The optimization techniques enhance the performance of image classification algorithms. Table 1 presents some of the recent studies on feature extraction, feature reduction, and classification.
Table 1 Description, technique, and limitation of recent studies

| Ref. | Description | Technique | Limitation |
|------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| [34] | Real-time accurate and efficient monitoring of water quality of water resources for decision making | Long short-term memory recurrent neural networks (LSTM RNNs), PCA, linear discriminate analysis (LDA), and independent component analysis (ICA) for feature reduction | Classification performance is not tested using deep learning classifiers. |
| [35] | Non-linear ensemble learning for improving feature extraction and forecasting the carbon price | Deep learning, LSTM, RF, RNN, and bagging algorithm | The proposed ensemble learning approach needs to select optimal models rather than random selection. |
| [36] | COVID-19 prediction by inspecting X-ray images | Transfer learning feature extraction ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, VGG16, VGG19, and SVM for classification with an accuracy of 94.7% | The severity of the disease is not detected. |
| [37] | Detection of Alzheimer disease by classification of functional MRI images | KNN, SVM, decision tree, LDA, RF, and CNN | Limited dataset is used. |
| [38] | Detection of external defects in the tomatoes | ResNet18, ResNet34, ResNet50, ResNet101, ResNet152, grid search with a high accuracy of 94.6% | Deep autoencoders and one-class classifiers used for improving accuracy need to be explored. The classifiers need to be generalized. |
| [39] | Selection of optimum features for medical image classification | Gray level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM), grey-level run length matrix (GLRLM), crow search optimization algorithm for feature selection, and deep learning | Further segmentation and feature reduction are needed. |
| [40] | Detection of pain intensity by analyzing facial expressions | VGG-Face for feature extraction, PCA for improving computational efficiency, and LSTM for classification | A limited number of images and non-availability of a standard dataset |
| [41] | Transfer learning for image classification of Caltech 101 dataset | VGG19, SIFT, SURF, oriented FAST and rotated BRIEF (ORB), Shi-Tomasi corner detector algorithm for feature extraction, Gaussian native Bayes, decision tree, RF, and XGBClассifier for classification | Multiple feature extraction techniques are required for improving accuracy and required more computational resources. |

The VGG16 architecture proposed by Simonyan et al. [42] secured the first place in the object localization track of the ImageNet challenge and was able to detect an object within an input image with high accuracy. In the image classification track of the ImageNet challenge, the VGG16 neural network achieved 92.7% top-5 test accuracy for classifying over 14 million images belonging to 1000 different classes. The VGG16 model was tested with VOC-2007, VOC-2012, Caltech-101, and Caltech-256 benchmarked datasets. The experimental results illustrate that the VGG16 network can generalize well to the unseen data.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Transfer learning using deep convolutional neural networks

The already trained models are very useful to overcome the limited training dataset challenge by reusing the knowledge already learned from large training samples. The transfer learning using CNN models reuses the knowledge learned by the neural network trained on a large dataset and applies the knowledge for solving another problem. VGG16, VGG19, ResNet50, ResNet101, InceptionV3, DenseNet121, Xception, etc are some of the popular neural network architectures which have demonstrated excellent image classification performance. In this study, the VGG16 model as shown in Fig. 1 is used for the extraction of useful image features.

![VGG16 network architecture as a feature extractor](image-url)
The VGG16 model architecture has 13 convolution layers of $3 \times 3$ filters stacked together along with 5 max-pooling layers of the size $2 \times 2$. After the last max-pooling layer, there are three dense layers. VGG16 uses the ReLU activation function and softmax layer in the final dense layer. The ImageNet pretrained model VGG16 has fewer tunable hyperparameters and achieves high classification accuracy. The low-level features already learned by a pretrained model are used for learning another problem. The extracted features are input to PCA to select the most relevant features, enhance the performance, and reduce the training time.

3.2. The PCA algorithm

PCA was introduced by Karl Pearson [43]. PCA is also known as hotelling transform (HT) and is a very popular dimensionality reduction technique used effectively in the areas of image and signal processing. PCA is used for reducing the size of the feature vectors that are used for object recognition and object classification. PCA can be implemented using eigenvalues decomposition (EVD) or singular value decomposition (SVD). PCA transforms the feature vectors with a large number of correlated variables into a smaller number of uncorrelated variables also known as principal components (PCs). Consider a dataset having $K$ images with $N = n \times n$ pixels, the dataset is represented by $D = N \times K$ matrix where $D_i$ represents the $i$th row of the matrix or $i$th image of the dataset. Algorithm 1 gives the PCA algorithm.

\textbf{Algorithm 1: PCA}

\textbf{Step 1:} For $i = 1$ to $N$, calculate the mean of $D_i$ using Eq. (1):

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\textbf{Step 2:} Shift the origin to the mean of the data by subtracting the mean $\mu_i$ from each column vector $D_{ij}$ as shown in Eq. (2):

$$\Phi_{ij} = D_{ij} - \mu_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\textbf{Step 3:} Compute the covariance matrix of mean-centered data using Eq. (3):

$$C = \Phi \Phi^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $T$ represents the transposition matrix.

\textbf{Step 4:} Find eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_K$ and eigenvectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_K$ of $C$ where $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > \ldots > \lambda_K$.

\textbf{Step 5:} Arrange the eigenvectors in descending order and return top $k$ eigenvalues corresponding to $k$ number of the largest eigenvalues also known as PCs.

The PCA algorithm is a popular dimensionality reduction technique which transforms a large set of correlated variables into fewer uncorrelated variables. PCA computes uncorrelated variables by transforming the data to a new coordinate system maintaining as much variance as possible. PCA is employed for reducing a large number of image features into the reduced one-dimensional feature set representation (i.e., PCs) as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2 PCA feature reduction](image-url)
For a given set of data, PCA finds a new axis system defined by the principal directions of variance. Suppose the dataset has 400 images of the size 256 × 256, then the size of the data matrix will be 400 × (256 × 256) = 400 × 65536. Therefore, for each of the 400 images, the data matrix will have 65536 columns. The size of the covariant matrix and transformation matrix becomes 65536 × 65536. Now, using PCA, 50 columns of the transformation matrix corresponding to the 50 largest eigenvalues are selected. Then, the size of the reduced transformation matrix $P$ is computed as 65536 × 50. The next step is to obtain the transformed dataset $T$ using Eq. (4).

$$ T = \Phi_{N \times N} P_{N \times k} $$(4)

The size of the transformed dataset is 400 × 50. Thus, the initial data matrix of the size 400 × 65536 is reduced to the new representation, i.e., the transformed matrix $T$ of the size 400 × 50.

3.3. The proposed approach

In this section, the proposed approach for image classification is described in details. Deep neural networks extract useful feature maps for the recognition of images. Recent studies in computer vision have successfully used CNN for feature representation. Building and training an efficient CNN from scratch requires technical and domain expertise. Designing an optimized CNN architecture for a real-world computer vision task is a complicated and time-consuming task.

In this study, the VGG16 architecture is employed for feature extraction. The weights of the convolution base layers of the VGG16 model are reused and are not updated. The VGG16 neural network processes the input image to extract activation maps that describe features in an image [44]. The features are extracted from the block5_pool layer of the VGG16 architecture. The features of the images extracted from the VGG16 model are converted into a vector of 32768 numbers. The PCA feature reduction technique is employed to reduce the dimensionality of VGG16 features and at the same time maintain the distinctive properties of the features thereby improving the training/prediction time. The reduced features are fed to the multilayer perceptron model or SVM model. The hyperparameters of these image classifiers are optimized using a grid-search algorithm [45]. Fig. 3 shows the proposed image recognition approach.

3.4. Datasets

The proposed image classification approach is validated on four different image datasets, namely, ORL dataset of faces, Fashion-MNIST dataset, corn leaf disease dataset, and rice leaf disease dataset. The training and testing datasets are randomly divided in the ratio of 80:20. Some of the sample images of the dataset are shown in Fig. 4.
Table 2 Dataset images used for the evaluation of the proposed approach

| Image dataset          | Training images | Testing images | Total images |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| ORL                    | 160             | 40             | 200          |
| Corn leaf disease      | 3350            | 838            | 4188         |
| Rice leaf disease      | 4105            | 1027           | 5132         |
| Fashion-MNIST          | 60000           | 10000          | 70000        |

Table 2 shows the number of training and testing images of different datasets used for the evaluation of the proposed approach. In this study, 10 different images of each of 20 distinct subjects from the ORL dataset are used. The ORL database includes grayscale face images of 92 × 112 pixels collected in varying lighting conditions with similar backgrounds. The dataset captures different facial expressions of persons organized in a directory for each subject with names in the format S1, S2, S3, etc. Fashion-MNIST is a standard dataset having 60000 grayscale images of 28 × 28 pixels corresponding to 10 different types of clothing. Fashion-MNIST dataset is popularly used for benchmarking computer vision and deep learning algorithms.

4. Results and Discussion

As discussed in section 4, the images are fed to the VGG16 models for feature extraction. The extracted features represent useful information required for image classification. The features are classified using multilayer perceptron, SVM, and RF image classifiers. The hyperparameters of these classifiers are optimized by using a grid search algorithm. The multilayer perceptron model is trained for 50 epochs. The SVM algorithm used in the study is evaluated by fitting 5 folds for each of the 50 candidates totaling 250 fits. The hyperparameters optimized by the grid search algorithm for SVM are c = 1, gamma = 0.01 and kernel = ‘rbf’ when the ORL images are classified using VGG16 features. The accuracy and time of image classification are recorded and are shown in Table 3.
Dimensionality reduction is required for improving the performance of the model. Linear discriminate analysis (LDA), as well as PCA, can be used for feature reduction. LDA uses class information to obtain new features whereas PCA evaluates PCs by making use of variance matrix, covariance matrix, eigenvector, and eigenvalues of each feature. PCA is used to speed up the computation and improve the performance of the image classifier.

Figs. 5-6 give the PCA reduced features representation of corn leaf disease dataset and Fashion-MNIST dataset respectively. The evaluated PCs are input to multilayer perceptron, SVM, and RF classifiers. Tables 4-6 show the classification time and the accuracy of the multilayer perceptron, SVM, and RF classifiers respectively.

With 100 PCs, the multilayer perceptron gives the best accuracy and outperforms the SVM and RF classifiers. The image classification performance recorded in Table 3 and Table 4 demonstrate that the proposed approach reduces the computational complexity of the image classifiers. For example, for the classification of rice leaf disease test images, VGG16 and multilayer perceptron give an accuracy of 97.27% whereas using the proposed approach with 100 PCs the classification accuracy of 98.93% is achieved. In addition, the improvement in classification time by 107.17 seconds is also observed.

### Table 3 Image classification without PCA

| Dataset            | Artificial neural network (ANN) | Support vector machine (SVM) | Random forest (RF) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
|                    | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) |
| ORL                | 95.0         | 6.45       | 92.5         | 0.82       | 92.5         | 120.45     |
| Corn leaf disease  | 89.14        | 142.8      | 88.78        | 55         | 86.51        | 123.56     |
| Rice leaf disease  | 93.81        | 140.4      | 98.54        | 61.2       | 97.27        | 121.4      |
| Fashion-MNIST      | 91.56        | 174.26     | 90.1         | 110.3      | 87.78        | 153.41     |

![Fig. 5 Corn leaf disease dataset](a) PC = 2 (b) PC = 3

![Fig. 6 Fashion-MNIST dataset](a) PC = 2 (b) PC = 3
Table 4 Accuracy/time of multilayer perceptron classifier (20 epochs) using PCA features

| Dataset            | PC = 10 |       | PC = 20 |       | PC = 50 |       | PC = 100 |       |
|--------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
|                    | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) |
| ORL                | 87.5    | 1.74  | 90.0    | 1.81  | 92.2    | 1.83  | 95.24    | 1.898 |
| Corn leaf disease  | 83.05   | 10.05 | 85.08   | 10.84 | 86.99   | 10.91 | 92.36    | 10.95 |
| Rice leaf disease  | 93.86   | 10    | 95.33   | 10.82 | 97.27   | 11.018| 98.93    | 14.23 |
| Fashion-MNIST      | 75.11   | 15.35 | 81.45   | 17.75 | 84.15   | 18.45 | 92.93    | 19.68 |

Table 5 Accuracy/time of SVM classifier using PCA algorithm

| Dataset            | PC = 10 |       | PC = 20 |       | PC = 50 |       | PC = 100 |       |
|--------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
|                    | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) |
| ORL                | 82.50   | 1.83  | 85.5    | 2.02  | 90.01   | 3.30  | 92.2     | 4.5   |
| Corn leaf disease  | 81.503  | 1.89  | 83.89   | 2.47  | 87.11   | 3.8   | 89.14    | 5.15  |
| Rice leaf disease  | 72.15   | 5.56  | 80.62   | 6.73  | 85.78   | 8.84  | 92.11    | 11.88 |
| Fashion-MNIST      | 71.54   | 11.56 | 76.15   | 12.67 | 83.57   | 15.86 | 89.15    | 18.65 |

Table 6 Accuracy/time of RF classifier using PCA algorithm

| Dataset            | PC = 10 |       | PC = 20 |       | PC = 50 |       | PC = 100 |       |
|--------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
|                    | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) | Accuracy (%) | Time (Sec) |
| ORL                | 77.50   | 1.14  | 92.5    | 1.9   | 87.5    | 2.7   | 90.05    | 3.45  |
| Corn leaf disease  | 78.64   | 1.47  | 85.91   | 1.93  | 86.04   | 2.41  | 88.07    | 4.52  |
| Rice leaf disease  | 75.17   | 3.25  | 78.57   | 4.84  | 83.74   | 5.42  | 88.12    | 9.19  |
| Fashion-MNIST      | 73.21   | 10.67 | 75.95   | 9.41  | 81.21   | 13.47 | 84.37    | 15.62 |

Fig. 7 Confusion matrix of different datasets
Fig. 7 shows the confusion matrix of the datasets which can be used for evaluating the performance of the proposed approach. A confusion matrix is very useful for evaluating performance measures like accuracy, precision, sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, etc.

Learning redundant and less relevant information does not give generalized results. Training models with higher dimension data can suffer from overfitting. The experimental result illustrates that the proposed approach improves the performance of classifiers.

5. Conclusions

Efficiently classifying images and avoiding overfitting is a challenging task. In this study, an efficient approach employing the VGG16 model for feature extraction and PCA for feature reduction was presented. The extracted features were classified without applying feature reduction techniques and the results were recorded. The performance of the model was improved by integrating the PCA feature reduction algorithm. The features extracted by the VGG16 model were fed to PCA, and the optimum number of PCs for achieving the best accuracy was evaluated. For the classification of images, the features extracted by the VGG16 model were classified using multilayer perceptron, SVM, and RF algorithms. The proposed approach employing transfer learning was validated using four benchmarked image datasets, considering performance metrics. The proposed approach accurately classified the images with improved computational efficiency compared to other methods with the same hardware resources. The models trained using PCA-reduced features demonstrated significant improvement in running speed. The scope of the proposed framework can further be expanded by optimizing the PCs by using nature-inspired search and optimization algorithms. Furthermore, the feature extracted by transfer learning-based neural networks can be fused or an ensemble model can be explored to enhance the accuracy of the classifier. Moreover, fuzzy rough sets, ranking-based models, and regularized regression models will be researched for feature selection enhancing the performance of image classifiers.
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