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Radiologic and clinical evaluation of children with first febrile urinary tract infection
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Abstract  Background and objectives: Accurate diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis (APN) is clinically challenging in young children. The evaluation methods for APN and its major risk factor, vesicoureteral reflux (VUR), have been revised in recent years. The aim of this study was to evaluate the value of different radiologic investigations in children experiencing the first episode of APN.

Materials and methods: This study included 425 children of 2–12 months of age (17.5% male, 82.5% female) with APN. APN was defined as a positive urine culture associated with focal or diffuse decreased cortical uptake and preservation of renal contour indicated by DMSA renal scan.

Results: The mean age at diagnosis was 39.2 ± 1.56 months. The following signs were observed in the patients: fever ≥ 38.5 °C, 66.8%; positive CRP, 85.4%; ESR > 25, 78.6%; and leukocytosis > 13,000/mm³, 53.4%.

Pyelocalyceal fullness and increased parenchymal echogenicity were the most common renal ultrasonography findings, and ultrasonography had 34% sensitivity and 53% specificity for these two findings. DMSA scan and ultrasound findings of APN were not significantly correlated (P = .112).

VUR was found in 42.2% of patients. DMSA scanning was not reliable for the diagnosis of VUR (P = .956), with 42% sensitivity and specificity.

VUR was identified in 42.7% of patients by ultrasound (P = .001), and the diagnostic rate was related to the VUR severity. Ultrasound had 42.7% sensitivity and 27% specificity for the diagnosis of VUR.
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Conclusion: Determination of inflammatory markers is recommenced for the evaluation of children with APN. In addition, normal ultrasound is a valuable imaging tool for excluding high grade VUR.

1. Introduction

Acute pyelonephritis (APN) is one of the most common serious bacterial infections that occurs in febrile infants and young children. The most significant complication of acute pyelonephritis (APN) is permanent renal damage, characterized by proteinuria, hypertension and chronic renal failure [1–3].

The assessment and follow-up of children with UTI have been revised in recent years. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommended US for the initial evaluation of the first febrile UTI in children 2–24 months of age and recommends VCUG in children with evidence of obstructive hydronephrosis, renal cortical scarring, evidence of severe VUR, recurrent febrile UTI and atypical conditions [4,5].

The NICE guidelines suggest renal US for the first febrile UTI in children younger than 6 months of age and in those with atypical serious UTI, increased serum creatinine concentration and septicaemia. A DMSA scan has been suggested for follow up of atypical or recurrent UTI at 4–6 months after resolution [6,7].

According to the limitations of invasive procedures in the current guidelines, we performed this study to compare the accuracy of different radiologic imaging techniques for the diagnosis of APN and VUR in children experiencing the first episode of APN to determine the least invasive approaches that are accurate for the evaluation of APN in children.

2. Materials and methods

This is a retrospective study of children experiencing the first episode of APN admitted to two children’s medical centres between 2006 and 2013. The study was approved by the institutional review committee.

A total of 425 children (17.5% males, 82.5% females) were included in this study. APN was defined as a UTI associated with a positive DMSA scan. UTI was defined as growth of more than $10^5$ CFU/ml in a mid-stream urine sample, more than $10^4$ CFU/ml in urine obtained by bladder catheterization, or any growth observed in suprapubic aspirate.

Patients with congenital and acquired urologic abnormalities, voiding dysfunction, neurogenic bladder, nephrolithiasis, recurrent UTI, extra-renal infections, inflammatory conditions, hypertension, renal insufficiency, incomplete radiologic procedures, mixed bacterial growth, lower UTI, and incomplete follow-up were excluded from the study.

Inflammatory markers, such as positive CRP, ESR > 25, and WBC > 13,000/mmm³, were identified. A Tc 99 DMSA scan was performed during the first week of admission by injection of 2 MBq/kg Tc 99 DMSA. A positive DMSA scan was defined as focal or diffuse decreased cortical uptake with preservation of renal contour.

Renal US was performed within the first 72 h of admission by 2 expert paediatric radiologists. US findings of APN included altered parenchymal echogenicity, pelvicalycial dilatation or fullness, undifferentiated corticomedullary junction, and renal enlargement.

VUR was identified by conventional or radioisotope cystography and classified as mild (grades 1–2), moderate (grade 3) and severe (grades 4–5). US findings of VUR included a renal pelvic diameter greater than 5 mm or variable caliectasis during voiding.

The validity of the tests was determined by generating receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves using SPSS software version 19. A $P$ value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

The mean age at diagnosis was 39.2 ± 1.56 (range 2–120) months. The clinical manifestations and laboratory findings are summarized in Table 1. The most frequently isolated organisms were Ecoli, klebsiella, Pseudomonas, Proteus and Enterococcus species. More than 3/4 of patients were sensitive to nitrofurantoin, third generation cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, and ciprofloxacin and resistant to cotrimoxazole and ampicillin.

VUR was found in 42.2% of patients, and it was bilateral in 44.1% of those patients. Of the patients with VUR, 44.8%

| Variables | Frequency % |
|-----------|-------------|
| **Clinical manifestations** | |
| Fever ≥ 38.5 | 66.8 |
| Flank pain | 42.6 |
| Urinary tract complaints (dysuria, frequency, urinary incontinence) | 32.7 |
| Systemic manifestations | 24.8 |
| **Laboratory findings** | |
| Positive CRP | 85.4 |
| Increased ESR | 78.6 |
| Leukocytosis > 13,000 | 53.4 |
had severe reflux, followed by 30.8% with moderate reflux and 24.4% with mild reflux.

The most common sonographic findings of APN were pyelocaliceal fullness (76%) and increased parenchymal echogenicity (21%). US and DMSA scan findings of APN were not significantly correlated \((P = .112)\). US had 34% sensitivity and 53% specificity for diagnosis of APN (Table 2). US was not accurate for the diagnosis of APN \((P = .188)\) (Figure 1).

VUR was detected in 35.7% of patients with a positive DMSA scan \((P = .948)\), with 42% sensitivity and specificity (Table 2). DMSA scan was not accurate for VUR diagnosis \((P = .956)\) (Figure 2).

US findings of VUR were detected in 42.7% of patients, with a significant correlation to cystography \((P = .001)\), and the rate of VUR detection by US was related to VUR severity (30% diagnosed with mild, 40% diagnosed with moderate and 51% diagnosed with severe VUR).

| Variables          | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | PLR | NLR |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| USG in APN         | 34          | 53          | 87  | 8   | 0.72| 0.8 |
| USG in VUR         | 42.7        | 27          | 53.5| 63.5| 0.58| 0.47|
| DMSA in VUR        | 42          | 42          | 91.5| 8   | 0.72| 0.72|

US had low sensitivity (42.7%) and specificity (27%) for VUR diagnosis (Table 2); however, according to the ROC analysis, US was accurate for VUR diagnosis \((P = .006)\) (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

US, Tc 99 DMSA scan and cytography were introduced as the standard screening procedures for APN in previous reports [8,9]. However, the evaluation and management procedures for children with APN have been revised in recent guidelines. We performed this study to identify the reliability of these radiologic approaches for the diagnosis and evaluation of children experiencing the first episode of APN.

In 30–50% of patients with an abnormal DMSA scan, APN has been detected by US [10,11], and US is not considered accurate as a single test for APN diagnosis [12]. However, B mode high resolution ultrasound was shown to be as sensitive as DMSA scan for the diagnosis of non-obstructive APN in a study by Morin et al. [13]. The accuracy of US depends to the age of the children with APN and is lower in children younger than 1 year old [11]. DMSA scan has not been recommended in children older than 5 years at the presentation of the first UTI in patients with a normal ultrasound [14]. Accordingly, US findings of APN was detected in 1/3 of our patients, with low sensitivity, specificity and accuracy. Normal US did not exclude APN, but the results of
our study suggest that it can be used for the screening of urinary tract abnormalities.

VUR has been reported in 27–70% of patients with an abnormal DMSA scan [12,14]. DMSA scan has low sensitivity and limited VUR diagnosis ability [15], and it is not considered an absolute substitution for cystography, missed 30% of patients [3,9,16]. However, the possibility of VUR (especially high grade VUR) has been low in both normal DMSA scan and US in children older than 1 year, excluding VCUG in some studies [17,18]. In our study, VUR was detected in 35.7% of patients with a positive DMSA scan, with low sensitivity, specificity and accuracy. The accuracy of DMSA scan was related to age and severity of VUR, with 9% of mild and 33% of high grade VUR cases being identified by DMSA scan.

US findings of VUR have been reported in 10–45% of patients with VUR [19]. With US, 74% of VUR cases were not identified, and 25% of the cases were severe VUR. US is not considered an accurate substitution for VCUG in VUR diagnosis due to its low sensitivity and specificity, especially in children younger than 2 years. The accuracy of US depends on VUR grade [20-22], and a study by Massanyi showed a 0.16 sensitivity for grade 1-V VUR and a 0.5 sensitivity in grade V VUR [22]. However, US has been suggested for initial VUR investigation, especially for high grade cases [8,21]. US was abnormal in 42.7% of our patients with VUR, with low sensitivity and specificity but acceptable accuracy for predicting high grade VUR. In addition, VGUG was abnormal in all patients with sonographic evidence of VUR.

In conclusion, the determination of inflammatory markers, such as fever, CRP and ESR are recommended for the evaluation of children with APN. US is not a valuable imaging technique for the diagnosis of APN, but is suggested for the exclusion urinary tract abnormalities. In addition, normal US is valuable for excluding high grade VUR and administration of prophylactic antibiotics. Furthermore, cystography cannot be excluded as a valuable diagnostic tool for VUR.

There are some limitations to our study. First, the study was retrospective, which generates possible selection bias. However, we attempted to enrol all patients with APN during the study period. Second, the accuracies of US and DMSA scanning may have been influenced by the two different operators. Third, some of the patients may have had previous unrecognized UTIs, and VUR may have been missed due to the inaccuracy of VCUG in 35% of patients.
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