We systematically study correlators of a generic conformal field theory with a global $O(2)$ symmetry in a sector of large global charge. We focus in particular on three- and four-point correlators with conserved current insertions sandwiched between spinful excited states corresponding to phonons over the large-charge vacuum. We also discuss loop corrections to the scaling dimensions and observe the presence of multiple logarithms in even dimensions.
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1. Introduction

Working in sectors of large charge results in important simplifications [1–6]. In the case of strongly coupled conformal field theories (cft), it allows in particular the calculation of the conformal data, i.e. scaling dimensions and three-point function coefficients, which encode all the relevant information for solving the theory.

In the existing literature, the main stress was put on the calculation of conformal dimensions of large-charge operators [7–12], which were independently verified via lattice calculations [13–16]. A number of (mostly three- and four-point) correlators have appeared scattered in the literature [17–22]. In this note, we aim to close a gap in the literature by systematically collecting three- and four-point correlators involving currents in the large charge sector of a generic cft in d dimensions with a global O(2) symmetry. We not only organize and express existing results in a self-contained, consistent way and unified language, but go beyond the state of the art by giving current correlators inserted not only between the scalar ground state at large charge but between states with phonons, i.e. spinning states, representing excitations of the large-charge ground state. While we do not directly use conformal symmetry to obtain our results, they are consistent with the expected form of conformal correlators.

Technically, we make use of the fact that the effective field theory (eft) at large charge is to leading order a free theory, which allows us to perform explicit computations also for a strongly-coupled theory. The leading term in the eft is fixed by scale invariance and receives corrections subleading in Q from curvature terms. The quantum fluctuations arising from the leading term in the eft are of order Q0. We write the correlators as a sum of semiclassical terms with non-negative Q scaling plus a quantum Q0 correction, neglecting terms suppressed by negative powers of Q. Since in general the ground state is homogeneous, any non-trivial position dependence in our correlators must be due to the quantum fluctuations. In odd dimensions, the tree-level expressions do not have any Q0 contribution, so all terms at this order are due to quantum fluctuations and are universal. In even dimensions, the universal Q0 term is replaced by a Q0 log Q term [20].

Studying the structure of the higher-order loop corrections we find in even D logarithmic t-loop contributions of the form

$$\Delta_t \supset \frac{1}{Q^{(1-1)(1/2)(1-1)}} \left( \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log Q + ... + \alpha_t (\log Q)^t \right). \quad (1.1)$$

This result is especially relevant for applications in the context of resurgent asymptotics as in odd dimensions, large-Q expansions are expected to be log-free transseries with non-perturbative corrections related to worldline instantons [23, 24].

In this note, we focus on the non-supersymmetric case with a single large-charge vacuum. Correlators of supersymmetric theories with a moduli space must be approached differently and have appeared in [25–34].
The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the basics of the O(2) sector at large charge, focusing on canonical quantization. In Section 3, we instead turn to path-integral methods, computing in Section 3.1 the basic two-point functions of the ground state $\langle Q | Q \rangle$ and the one-phonon state $\langle \ell_2 m_2 | \ell_1 m_1 \rangle$. In Section 3.2, we discuss loop corrections, in particular the one- and two-loop corrections to the scaling dimensions of the primary operator corresponding to the large-charge ground state. In Section 4, we present the main results of this paper, namely correlators of the conserved currents $J$ of the O(2) symmetry and $T$ inserted between one-phonon states. In Section 5 we give an overview of correlators in which a small-charge state is inserted between large-charge states. These results have however already appeared in the literature. We close in Section 6 with concluding remarks and an outlook. In Appendix A, we collect properties and identities of the hyperspherical harmonics used throughout this paper. In Appendix B, we give the form of conformal correlators due to the constraints of conformal invariance. The Casimir energy of the fluctuations is computed in various dimensions in Appendix C. In Appendix D, we give details of the loop calculations. Finally, in Appendix E, we give some calculational details for the correlators appearing in Section 4.

2. The O(2) sector at large charge

In this section we collect some review material about the large-charge expansion for a generic cft with a global O(2) symmetry.

2.1. Effective field theory

We consider a cft in D-dimensional flat space with an O(2) internal symmetry which can generically be a subgroup of a larger global symmetry. In particular, consider the state $|Q \rangle$ generated by the scalar primary $O^Q$ with O(2) charge $Q$. Since flat space is conformally equivalent to the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^{D-1}$ we will work for future convenience in the cylinder frame. We are interested in correlators of such primaries at long distances, which can be expressed on the cylinder as

$$\langle Q, \infty | Q, -\infty \rangle = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \langle Q | e^{-\beta H_{cyl}} | Q \rangle.$$  \hfill (2.1)

There is strong indication [1, 2, 17] that as $Q$ becomes very large, this correlator on the cylinder has a description in terms of a weakly coupled cft based on the coset model

$$\frac{SO(D + 1, 1) \times U(1)_Q}{SO(D) \times U(1)_D + \mu Q}, \quad \text{valid for energy scales} \quad \frac{1}{R} \ll E \ll \mu \sim \frac{Q^{1/(D-1)}}{R},$$  \hfill (2.2)
where \( R \) is the cylinder radius. The parameter \( \mu(Q) \) can be interpreted as the chemical potential dual to the quantum number \( Q \) which is the fixed control parameter. The symmetry-breaking pattern of this coset model is known as the conformal superfluid phase. The parameter \( \mu(Q) \) can be interpreted as the chemical potential dual to the quantum number \( Q \) which is the fixed control parameter. The corresponding \( \text{eft} \) in Euclidean spacetime has been computed in terms of a Goldstone field \( \chi = -i\mu \tau + \pi(\tau, n) \) [2, 17], where \( \pi(\tau, n) \) are the fluctuations over the fixed-charge ground state \( \chi^\text{\$} = -i\mu \tau \). The result is

\[
S = -c_1 \int_{R \times S^{D-1}} d\tau dS \left( -\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \right)^{D/2} + \text{curvature couplings}, \tag{2.3}
\]

where \( c_1 \) an unknown Wilsonian coefficient which depends on the ultraviolet (uv) theory (i.e. the starting \( \text{eft}_{\text{D}} \)) and \( dS = R^{D-1} d\Omega \). This is to be interpreted as an action for the fluctuation \( \pi(\tau, n) \) with cutoff \( \Lambda \sim \mu \), so that a hierarchy is generated, and it is controlled by the dimensionless ratio \( (R\mu) \gg 1 \). Every observable in the \( \text{eft} \) is expressed as an expansion in inverse powers of \( \mu \). In particular, the ground-state action takes the form

\[
S^\text{\$} = \left( \frac{T_2 - T_1}{R} \right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_r (R\mu)^{D-2r}, \tag{2.4}
\]

where the coefficients \( \alpha_r \) depend on \( c_1 \) and all other Wilsonian coefficients associated to curvature terms. Other than the scaling behavior in the quantum number \( Q \), there is a number of universal predictions that do not depend on the Wilsonian parameters of the \( \text{eft} \) [3, 20, 35].

We will now review the classical and quantum treatment of the action (2.3), from which we will be able to compute some important \( \text{eft} \) correlators and corrections to the scaling dimension of the primary \( \phi^Q \).

### 2.2. Classical treatment

Neglecting curvature couplings and expanding to quadratic order in \( \pi(\tau, n) \), the \( \text{eft} \) Lagrangian reads

\[
\mathcal{L} = -c_1 \mu^D - ic_1 \mu^{D-1} D\pi + c_1 \mu^{D-2} \frac{D(D-1)}{2} \left( \pi^2 + \frac{1}{D-1} (\partial_\mu \pi)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{D-3}). \tag{2.5}
\]

The conjugate momentum to \( \pi \) is defined in the usual manner from the quadratic Lagrangian

\[
\Pi = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \pi} \right|_{\text{lin}} = c_1 D\mu^{D-1} + ic_1 D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}\dot{\pi}. \tag{2.6}
\]

1 The state \( |Q\rangle \) is not a superfluid state, as it is an eigenstate of the charge operator. More precisely one assumes that the two-point function in Eq. (2.1) is dominated by a saddle corresponding to a superfluid state.
2 Our convention for Euclidean space is \( \tau = it \), so that \( i \partial_\tau = \partial_t \).
At leading order, this gives rise to the usual canonical Poisson brackets. We will for now neglect interactions and study the spectrum of the quadratic Lagrangian.

The fields $\pi$ and $\Pi$ can be decomposed into a complete set of solutions of the equations of motion (eom) [3]:

$$
\pi(\tau, n) = \pi_0 - \frac{i\Pi_0\tau}{c_1\Omega_\mathcal{D} R^{D-1}D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1 R^{D-1}D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} \left( \frac{a_{\ell m}}{2\omega_\ell} e^{-\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}(n) + \frac{a^*_{\ell m}}{\sqrt{2\omega_\ell}} e^{\omega_\ell \tau} Y^*_{\ell m}(n) \right),
$$

$$
\Pi(\tau, n) = c_1 D\mu^{D-1} + \frac{\Pi_0}{\Omega_\mathcal{D} R^{D-1}} + i \frac{c_1 D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}{R^{D-1}} \sum_{\ell, m} \left( -a_{\ell m} \sqrt{\omega_\ell} e^{-\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}(n) + a^*_{\ell m} \sqrt{\omega_\ell} e^{\omega_\ell \tau} Y^*_{\ell m}(n) \right),
$$

where $\pi_0$ and $\Pi_0$ are constant zero modes of the fields, $\Omega_\mathcal{D} = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)}$ is the volume of the $D - 1$-sphere and the $Y_{\ell m}$ are hyperspherical harmonics. The dispersion relation for the oscillator modes reads

$$
R\omega_\ell = \sqrt{\ell(\ell + D - 2)/(D - 1)}.
$$

Adding higher-curvature terms in the eft will add subleading corrections in $1/Q$ to this expression, which will depend on the Wilsonian coefficients and are not universal.

The complex Fourier coefficients $a_{\ell m}$ can be extracted as follows:

$$
a_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}{2\omega_\ell R^{D-1}}} \int dS \left[ \pi(\tau, n) \partial_\nu(Y^*_{\ell m}(n)e^{\omega_\ell \tau}) - \partial_\nu\pi(\tau, n)Y^*_{\ell m}(n)e^{\omega_\ell \tau} \right].
$$

The canonical Poisson bracket between $\pi$ and $\Pi$ corresponds to the Fourier mode brackets $\{a_{\ell m}, a^*_{\ell' m'}\} = \delta_{\ell \ell'}\delta_{m m'}$.

The classical O(2) current and conserved charge are

$$
J^\mu = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \partial_\nu X^\mu}, \quad Q = \int dS J^\tau = c_1 D\Omega_\mathcal{D}(R\mu)^{D-1} + \Pi_0.
$$

The leading contribution to the charge comes from the homogeneous term (zero mode on the sphere) corresponding to the ground state. This relates the eft scale $\mu$ to the ground state charge $Q_0$ as

$$
\mu = \left[ \frac{Q_0}{c_1 D R^{D-1} \Omega_\mathcal{D}} \right]^{1/(D-1)}.
$$

As mentioned in the previous section, the O(2) charge is our controlling parameter since

3 The index $m$ is a vector with $D - 2$ components. For conventions and properties see Appendix A.
\( \Lambda R \sim \mu R \sim Q_0^{1/(D-1)} \) and the validity of the eFT is controlled by \( 1/(\mu R) \). At leading order in the fluctuations, the charge \( Q \) of a generic solution of the eom depends only additively on the zero mode \( \Pi_0 \),

\[
Q = Q_0 + \Pi_0. 
\]  

(2.13)

Using the state-operator correspondence, we can compute the scaling dimension of the operator \( \partial^Q \) from the cylinder Hamiltonian. A generic solution of the eom corresponds to an operator with scaling dimension

\[
\Delta = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{E}_{cyl} = \Delta_0 + \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} \Pi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0 \partial Q_0} \Pi_0^2 + \mathcal{R} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} \omega_{\ell} a_{\ell m}^r a_{\ell m}, 
\]  

(2.14)

where we have defined

\[
\Delta_0 = c_1 (D - 1) \Omega_D (\mu R)^D + \mathcal{O} ((\mu R)^{D-2}), \quad \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} = \mathcal{R} \mu, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0 \partial Q_0} = \frac{1}{c_1 D (D - 1) \Omega_D (\mu R)^{D-2}}. 
\]  

(2.15)

The quantity \( \Delta_0 \) corresponds to the leading (classical) contribution to the action in Eq. (2.4). Note that the Hamiltonian \( H_\chi \) for the \( \chi \)-field is shifted w.r.t. the one for \( \pi \) as \( H_\chi = H_\pi + \mu Q \). Thus, for the fluctuation \( \pi \) the effective time evolution is generated by \( H_\pi + \mu Q \), as expected for a superfluid Goldstone fluctuation.

### 2.3. Canonical quantization

Canonical quantization in the cylinder frame is obtained by \( \tau \)-slicing, associating a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_Q \) to each fixed \( \tau \). This poses no conceptual problems since the cylinder is a direct product of the time direction and a curved manifold. The mode coefficients in the decompositions (2.7) are promoted to field operators with non-vanishing commutators,

\[
[\pi_0, \Pi_0] = i, \quad [a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell' m'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'}. 
\]  

(2.16)

These are equivalent to the canonical equal-\( \tau \) commutator \([\pi(\tau, n), \Pi(\tau, n')] = i \delta_{S^{D-1}}(n, n')\), where \( \delta_{S^{D-1}}(n, n') \) is the invariant delta function on \( S^{D-1} \). To build a representation of the Heisenberg algebra we start with a vacuum \( |Q \rangle \) which satisfies

\[
a_{\ell m} |Q \rangle = \Pi_0 |Q \rangle = 0. 
\]  

(2.17)

As we are in finite volume, the \( O(2) \) charge is a well-defined operator acting on \( \mathcal{H}_Q \) as

\[
\Omega = \int dS \Pi(\tau, n) = Q_0 \mathbb{1} + \Pi_0, \quad \Omega |Q \rangle = Q_0 |Q \rangle. 
\]  

(2.18)

The non-zero charge of the vacuum can be increased by acting with the mode \( \pi_0 \),
which is the only one carrying non-zero charge,

$$[\Omega, \pi_0] = -i, \quad [\Omega, a_{\ell m}] = [\Omega, a_{\ell m}^\dagger] = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

However, this does not lead to a degeneracy in the spectrum. Starting from the vacuum $|Q\rangle$ one can obtain a state annihilated by $a_{\ell m}$ with charge $Q_0 + q$ and scaling dimension $\Delta_0(Q_0 + q)$:

$$|Q + q\rangle = e^{i\pi_0 q} |Q\rangle = \exp\left\{ \frac{iq}{\Omega_{D^R} R D^{-1}} \int dS \pi(\tau, n) \right\} |Q\rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

While these states are all annihilated by the ladder operators, since $[a_{\ell m}, \pi_0] = 0$, they are not zero modes of $\Pi_0$. Thus, they do not represent degenerate vacua, but they have a gap

$$\Delta_0(Q_0 + q) - \Delta_0(Q_0) \sim q(R\mu). \quad (2.21)$$

Since $\pi_0$ is the only operator on which the $O(2)$-charge acts non-trivially, it has to be compact, $\pi_0 \sim \pi_0 + 2\pi I$, which implies that $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows that the states with charge $Q_0 + q$ live at the cutoff and will not be discussed any further.

The quantized quadratic Hamiltonian corresponding to the classical expression of the scaling dimension in (2.14) can be written as the sum of a normal-ordered\(^5\) operator $H^\downarrow$: and a vacuum contribution,

$$D = R :H^\downarrow: + \Delta_1 \mathbb{I}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} (R\omega_\ell). \quad (2.22)$$

The vacuum contribution needs regulation and has physical consequences. This is computed in various dimensions in Appendix C and first appeared in $D = 3$ in [17] and $D = 4, 5, 6$ in [20]. From the point of view of the large-charge expansion, the one-loop correction comes at order $O(Q^0)$. This means that we need to keep track in the tree-level computation also of all the curvature terms up to this order. For example in $D = 3$ we know that

$$\Delta_0 = d_{3/2} Q^{3/2} + d_{1/2} Q^{1/2} + O(Q^{-1/2}), \quad (2.23)$$

and, in general there will be $[(D + 1)/2]$ terms with positive Q-scaling, each controlled by a Wilsonian coefficient [1].

The commutators between $D$ and the various modes show which ones generate excited states when acting on the vacuum:

$$[D, a_{\ell m}] = -R\omega_\ell a_{\ell m}, \quad \quad [D, a_{\ell m}^\dagger] = R\omega_\ell a_{\ell m}^\dagger. \quad (2.24)$$

---

4 $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(Q)$ is defined via equations (2.12) and (2.15).

5 Normal order refers to the vacuum $|Q\rangle$ where $\langle Q | :H^\downarrow: | Q \rangle = \Delta_0/R$. 

\[ [D, \pi_0] = -i \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} - i \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0^2} \Pi_0, \quad [D, \Pi_0] = 0. \]  

(2.25)

The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_Q \) of the theory is described as the Fock space generated by states of the form

\[ a_{\ell_1 m_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{\ell_k m_k}^\dagger |Q\rangle \]

(2.26)

with charge \( Q_0 \) and scaling dimension

\[ \Delta = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k (R \omega_{\ell_i}). \]  

(2.27)

These states are also known as superfluid phonon states in the literature. Some comments are in order:

- From the cFT\(_D\) perspective, these states correspond to primary operators with different quantum numbers than \( O^Q \) (corresponding to the vacuum \( |Q\rangle \)) but same \( O(2) \) charge. The only exception are states including at least one \( a_{1 m}^\dagger \) which are descendants since their energy is \( R \omega_1 = 1 \).

- The \( SO(D) \) part of the isometry group of the cylinder is realized in terms of some unitary operator \( U \) on \( \mathcal{H}_Q \), under which the mode operators transform as

\[ U(R) a_{\ell m}^\dagger U^\dagger(R) = \sum_{m'} D^\ell_{m m'} (R^{-1}) a_{\ell m'}^\dagger, \quad R \in SO(D). \]  

(2.28)

This follows from the decomposition (2.7) and the properties of hyperspherical harmonics, where \( D^\ell_{m m'} \) is a finite-dimensional irrep of \( SO(D) \) (generalizing Wigner’s D-symbol in \( D > 3 \)). In the cFT\(_D\) this is the group of Euclidean rotations, so that states \( a_{\ell_1 m_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{\ell_k m_k}^\dagger |Q\rangle \) will generically correspond to spinning primaries in the appropriate reducible representation.

- Not all phonon states can be described within the eFT. When the \( \ell \)-quantum number becomes too large, their contribution \( R \omega_\ell \) can compete with the leading \( \Delta_0 \) term, breaking the large-\( Q \) expansion. We have seen that \( \Delta_0 \sim Q^{D/(D-1)} \), but higher-curvature terms in (2.3) will introduce lower order corrections up to \( Q^{1/(D-1)} \). Phonon states with comparable energy \( \omega_\ell \) should be excluded from the eFT. This sets a cutoff for the \( \ell \)-quantum number as

\[ \ell_{\text{cutoff}} \sim Q^{1/(D-1)}. \]  

(2.29)

Operators with such high spin should be described by new coset models with more complicated breaking pattern, resembling the ground states found in non-Abelian models of [14, 36, 37]. Work in this direction has been carried out in [38, 39].

It is worth stressing that the structure of the spectrum and the existence of the above-mentioned charged spinning primaries is a direct prediction of the superfluid hypothesis for generic a \( O(2) - \text{cFT}_D \). Canonical quantization is the appropriate framework for this
discussion, but one will expect corrections to scaling dimensions and the spectrum structure coming from interactions in Eq. (2.5), corresponding to subleading terms in large $Q$. These are best discussed within a path integral formulation, so that ordinary loop expansions techniques can be employed. This will be the subject of the next section.

3. Path integral methods

An equivalent basis of the fixed-$\tau$ Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_Q$ is given by the field/momentum eigenstates

$$\chi(n)\vert\chi\rangle = \chi(n)\vert\chi\rangle,$$

$$\Pi(n)\vert\Pi\rangle = \Pi(n)\vert\Pi\rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Their bracket is fixed by the canonical commutation relations,

$$\langle \chi \vert \Pi \rangle = e^{i\oint dS \chi \Pi}. \quad (3.2)$$

Generically, the vacuum $\vert Q\rangle$ is a superposition of momentum eigenstates without the $\Pi_0$-component:

$$\vert Q\rangle = N_Q \int D\Pi \delta(\Pi_0) \Psi_Q(\Pi)\vert\Pi\rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

where $N_Q$ is a normalization factor. In the limit of large separation, $\tau \to \infty$, correlators will not depend on the specifics of the vacuum wave function $\Psi_Q$, which will only affect the overall normalization. Without loss of generality, we can take $\Psi_Q = 1$. The overlap of $\vert Q\rangle$ with field eigenstates is then given by

$$\langle \chi \vert Q \rangle = \begin{cases} 
N_Q \exp\left\{ \frac{iQ}{\Omega_0} \int dS \chi \right\} & \text{if } \chi \text{ is constant}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Generically, on a $\tau$-slice, the zero-modes of any field configuration can be separated by integrating on the sphere:

$$\chi_0 = \int dS \chi. \quad (3.5)$$

This bracket sets the correct boundary conditions for any correlators in the path integral representation of the form $\langle Q \vert \ldots \vert Q \rangle$. These boundary conditions are a generalization of open boundary condition on a segment in the special case of $D = 1$. We are mostly interested in correlators in which the vacuum $\vert Q\rangle$ is inserted at large separation on the cylinder, namely at $\tau = \pm \infty$. In this case the details on the boundary conditions the vacuum imposes are irrelevant. We can now construct path integrals for the norm of the states (2.26) which correspond to two-points functions of the corresponding primaries in the $c\mathcal{FT}_D$ at large cylinder-time separation.
3.1. Two-point functions

\(< \ell_2 m_2 | J | \ell_1 m_1 > \) correlator

The vacuum correlator with cylinder times \( \tau_2 > \tau_1 \) can be written using (3.4) as follows:

\[
\langle Q | e^{-\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{R} \cdot \partial} | Q \rangle = |N_Q|^2 \int \mathcal{D}\chi \exp \left[ -S[\chi] - \frac{iQ}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int dS \dot{\chi} \right] = A(\tau_1, \tau_2), \tag{3.6}
\]

where we have introduced the notation \( A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \) for future convenience. This path integral can be taken as the working definition of the correlator, without referring any more to the canonically quantized picture and taking the action as a starting point.

The path integral can be computed as a saddle-point expansion around a field configuration \( \chi(\tau, n) \) which is a solution to the minimization problem

\[
\delta S[\chi] = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau dS \left( -\partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\mu \chi)} \right) \delta \chi + \int dS \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\tau \chi)} + \frac{iQ}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \right) \delta \chi \bigg|_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}. \tag{3.7}
\]

The bulk \( R \) requires the (Euclidean) \( O(2) \) conserved current

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial^\mu \chi)} = c_1 D(-\partial_\mu \partial_\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi = J_\mu \tag{3.8}
\]

to be divergence-free. The general solution compatible with the boundary conditions is the homogeneous configuration \( \chi^\infty(\tau, n) = -i\mu \tau + \pi_0 [2] \), with \( \pi_0 \) constant and the parameter \( \mu \) fixed by the boundary condition to

\[
c_1 D\mu^{D-1} = \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}}, \tag{3.9}
\]

which we had already seen in Eq. (2.12). The action expansion for this ground-state fluctuation \( \chi(\tau, n) = \chi^\infty(\tau, n) + \pi(\tau, n) \) is, to quadratic order,

\[
S = \Delta_0 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 + c_1 \mu^{D-2} D(D-1) \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau dS \left( \pi^2 + \frac{1}{(D-1)R^2} \pi_0^2 \right) + O(\mu^{D-3}). \tag{3.10}
\]

The boundary term eliminates the linear term in (2.5) and correspondingly the zero-mode terms of (2.14), as expected. As we will see later, this ground state is a good starting point for a loop expansion controlled by \( \mu R \). The normalization \( N_Q \) is chosen such that the correlator takes the form

\[
A(\tau_1, \tau_2) = R^{-2(D_0 + D_1 + \ldots)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{R} \left( \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \ldots \right) \right\}, \tag{3.11}
\]

\[
\approx R^{-2D_0} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{R} \left( \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \ldots \right) \right\}, \tag{3.12}
\]
which corresponds to the two-point function in \( \mathbb{R}^D \) normalized to unity. The correction \( \Delta_1 \) introduced in (2.22) is the Casimir energy of the fluctuation \( \pi \) around the homogeneous ground state \( \chi^\infty \).

In the state-operator correspondence, the reference states \( |Q\rangle \) and \( \langle Q| \) correspond to insertions of scalar primaries at \( \tau = \pm \infty \):

\[
|Q\rangle := \langle 0|Q(\infty)\rangle, \quad \langle Q| := \langle Q(0)\rangle^\dagger,
\]

(3.12)

recalling that conjugation on the cylinder is \( \langle 0^Q(\tau, n)\rangle = \langle -Q(-\tau, n)\rangle \). The Weyl map to \( \mathbb{R}^D \) can then be performed as

\[
\langle 0^Q(x_2)|O^Q(x_1)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^D} = \left(\frac{|x_1|}{\bar{R}}\right)^{-\Delta_Q} \left(\frac{|x_2|}{\bar{R}}\right)^{-\Delta_Q} \langle 0^Q(\tau_2, n_2)|O^Q(\tau_1, n_1)\rangle_{cyl}.
\]

(3.13)

\( \langle \xi_{\tau_m}^m, m \mid \xi_{\tau_n}^m, m \rangle \) correlators

The next class of two-point functions we study are correlators of one-phonon states obtained by acting with a single creation operator \( a^\dagger_{\tau_m} \) on the vacuum \( |Q\rangle \):

\[
|Q_{\tau_m}\rangle = a^\dagger_{\tau_m} |Q\rangle,
\]

(3.14)

where

\[
|Q_{00}\rangle = |Q\rangle.
\]

In canonical quantization, using the commutation relations of the \( a_{\tau_m} \) and \( a^\dagger_{\tau_m} \) the two-point function is found to be

\[
\langle \xi_{\tau_2}^m, m \mid \xi_{\tau_1}^m, m \rangle = \langle Q|a_{\xi_{\tau_2}^m, m}^\dagger a_{\xi_{\tau_1}^m, m}|Q\rangle = \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) e^{-(\tau_2-\tau_1)\Delta/\bar{R}} \delta_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \delta_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2}
\]

(3.15)

where \( \Delta \) is the conformal dimension that we have found in Eq. (2.27), consistently with the general structure of a conformal two-point function on the cylinder given in Eq. (B.5).

This is true to quadratic order in the Hamiltonian, however we expect loop corrections to shift the spectrum in a complicated way. It is convenient to formulate the correlator as a path integral. This can be done in a straightforward manner by expressing \( a_{\tau_m} \) in terms of the fields as in Eq. (2.10), so that one finds

\[
\langle \xi_{\tau_2}^m, m \mid \xi_{\tau_1}^m, m \rangle = \frac{c_D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}{2R^{D-1}\sqrt{\omega_{\tau_1}} \omega_{\tau_2}} \int dS(n_2) \int dS(n_1) Y_{\xi_{\tau_2}^m, m_2}(n_2) Y_{\xi_{\tau_1}^m, m_1}(n_1)
\]

\[
\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \lim_{\tau_1^\prime \to \tau_1, \tau_2^\prime \to \tau_2} (\omega_{\tau_1} - \delta_\tau) (\omega_{\tau_1} + \delta_\tau) \langle \pi(\tau, n_2) \pi(\tau, n_1) \rangle,
\]

(3.16)

where the two-point function of the Goldstone fluctuations is defined as

\[
\langle \pi(\tau_2, n_2) \pi(\tau_1, n_1) \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle Q_{\tau_2} \mid Q_{\tau_1} \rangle} \int d\pi \pi(\tau_2, n_2) \pi(\tau_1, n_1) e^{-S[\pi]},
\]

(3.17)
where $S[\pi]$ is the action (3.10). As expected, the information about the spectrum is contained in the full $n$-fluctuation two-point function. In this formalism, the result in Eq. (3.15) is found by using the tree-level propagator, which on the cylinder reads

$$
\langle \pi(\tau_2, n_2)|\pi(\tau_1, n_1)\rangle = \frac{1}{c_1 D(D - 1)(\mu R)^{D-2}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m} e^{-\omega_{l}|\tau_2-\tau_1|} \frac{Y_{l m}(n_2)Y_{l m}(n_1)}{2R\omega_l} - \frac{\omega_{l}(\tau_2-\tau_1)}{2R\Omega_{l}} \right).
$$

(3.18)

As discussed previously, the state $|{Q}_{\ell m}\rangle$ defines a spin-$\ell$ symmetric and traceless tensor operator inserted in the infinite past on the cylinder,

$$
|{Q}_{\ell m}\rangle := {0}_{\ell m}^{\langle 0} |0\rangle.
$$

(3.19)

The computation of $\langle {Q}_{\ell_2 m_2}|{Q}_{\ell_1 m_1}\rangle$ in canonical quantization is easily generalized to states with more phonon excitations. For example, for two phonon excitations we get

$$
\langle {Q}_{\ell_2 m_2}|{Q}_{\ell_1 m_1}\rangle = \langle Q|a_{\ell_2 m_2}a_{\ell_1 m_1}^\dagger e^{-(\omega_{\ell_2}-\omega_{\ell_1})}\rangle R\Omega_{\ell_2} \langle \omega_{\ell_2}+\omega_{\ell_1} |Q\rangle
$$

$$
= A(\tau_1, \tau_2) e^{-(\omega_{\ell_2}-\omega_{\ell_1})} \langle \omega_{\ell_2}+\omega_{\ell_1} |Q\rangle \left( \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} + \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \right).
$$

(3.20)

For states with higher numbers of phonon excitations the energy is just corrected accordingly and there is a sum over all possible permutations of Kronecker deltas. These states are primary (as long as none of the $\ell$s is equal to one) but they will not be in irreducible representations like the one-phonon states. For example in $D = 3$, by virtue of the Clebsch–Gordan decomposition, we have

$$
\ell \oplus \ell' = (\ell + \ell') \oplus (\ell + \ell' - 2) \oplus \cdots \oplus |\ell - \ell'|.
$$

(3.21)

### 3.2. Loop corrections

The perturbation theory for the $\text{CFT}$ action (2.3) can be conveniently set up on the thermal circle $S^1_\beta \times S^{D-1}$. One then recovers the original $\text{CFT}$ predictions in the zero-temperature limit $\beta \to \infty$. The fluctuations $\pi$ can be decomposed into modes as

$$
\pi(\tau, n) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} Y_{l m}(n)e^{i\nu_{\ell}^{-}\tau} \pi_{n \ell m}, \quad \pi_{n \ell m} = (-1)^{m_{\ell}} \pi_{m_{\ell}, n, \ell m},
$$

(3.22)

where the notation for the $m$-type quantum numbers follows from the standard tree convention for the hyperspherical harmonics, see Appendix A. We have also introduced the Matsubara frequencies $\nu_{n} = 2\pi n/\beta$. On $S^1_\beta \times S^{D-1}$ there is a unique zero mode, which can be excluded as it never appears in the derivative-only interactions.

---

6 Standard references for thermal field theory methods are [40, 41].
The propagator in mode space can be computed from the quadratic part of the action:

\[
\langle \pi_n \ell m | \pi_{n'} \ell' m' \rangle = \frac{1}{c_1 D(D-1)(\mu R)^{D-2}} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{1}{\nu_{\ell}^2 + \omega_{\ell}^2} \delta_{n,-n'} \delta_{\ell,-\ell'} (-1)^{|m|} \delta_{m,-m'}. \tag{3.23}
\]

The zero mode does not mix with the other modes and has \(\langle \pi_0 | \pi_0 \rangle = \text{constant}\), and cannot be corrected at any order in perturbation theory because all vertices contain derivatives of the fields.

For generic spacetime dimension \(D\) the \(\text{etr}\) action has all possible \(k\)-point vertices

\[
S_{\text{int}} = 0 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \mu^{D-k} S^{(k)}. \tag{3.24}
\]

The two-loop corrections to the \(O^Q\) primary scaling dimension, \(\Delta_2\), is computed via diagrams involving only three-point and four-point vertices:

\[
S^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} c_1 D(D-1)(D-2) \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \int_{S^{D-1}} dS \pi \left\{ \beta^2 + \frac{3}{D-1} \frac{1}{\beta^2} (\partial_i \pi)^2 \right\}, \tag{3.25}
\]

\[
S^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{24} c_1 D(D-1)(D-2) \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \int_{S^{D-1}} dS \left\{ \frac{3}{R^4(D-1)} (\partial_i \pi)^4 + \frac{6}{R^2} \left( \frac{D-3}{D-1} \right) \pi^2 (\partial_i \pi)^2 + (D-3) \pi^4 \right\}. \tag{3.26}
\]

The corrections to the partition function are then computed as

\[
\log Z = \log Z_0 - \mu^{D-4} \langle S^{(4)} \rangle_c + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{2D-6} \langle S^{(3)} S^{(3)} \rangle_c, \tag{3.27}
\]

where we indicated with \(\langle \ldots \rangle_c\) connected contractions only. Since propagators scale as \(\sim \mu^{2-D}\) it is clear that both contributions enter at order \(\mu^{2-D} \sim Q^{-\frac{D}{D-1}}\) modulo \(Q^0 \log Q\) powers. Following the same counting, an \(\ell\)-loop diagram produces the term

\[
\Delta \supset Q^{-\frac{(\ell-1)D}{D-1}} \tag{3.28}
\]

in the \(\Delta\) expansion. In what follows we will concentrate on the two-loop partition function (3.27).

**Tadpole (sub)diagrams are vanishing**

One can easily verify that in the two-loop contribution \(\Delta_2\) all tadpole-type diagrams vanish identically. More generally, no vertex appearing in (3.24) can generate non-
vanishing tadpole subdiagrams. This guarantees the ground state $\chi_{\tau, n}$ introduced in Section 3.1 to be stable under quantum corrections. This is a direct consequence of the $\text{SO}(D) \times \text{O}(2)$ shift symmetry.

A semi-diagrammatic proof of this statement goes as follows. Any tadpole sub-diagram is generated by contractions from a vertex with an odd number of legs, $\left< S^{(2k-1)} \right>_c$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\text{SO}(D)$ invariance, the quantum-corrected propagator is $\left< \pi_{\ell' m' \tau' \ell \tau m} \right> \propto \delta_{m' - m}$. Generically, each term will contain $k$ pairs $Y^* Y$ and one unpaired $Y$: $\left< S^{(2k-1)} \right> \supset \sum \int \mathcal{S} \delta_{\ell - 0} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} Y^*_{\ell m} \prod_{m} Y^*_{\ell m} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} \propto \sum \int \mathcal{S} \delta_{\ell - 0} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} = 0.$ (3.30)

The sum $\sum_{m} Y_{\ell m} Y^*_{\ell m}$ is a constant, see Appendix A. So

$$\sum_{m} \int \mathcal{S} \delta_{\ell - 0} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} Y^*_{\ell m} \prod_{m} Y^*_{\ell m} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} \propto \sum \int \mathcal{S} \delta_{\ell - 0} \prod_{m} Y_{\ell m} = 0.$$ (3.30)

This holds since the $\text{O}(2)$ shift symmetry guarantees that the zero mode $\ell = 0$ never appears in perturbation theory. When derivatives on the hyperspherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}$ are present, the same argument applies if one uses the identities in Eq. (A.7).

**One-loop scaling dimension $\Delta_1$ and regularization**

Let us briefly review the computation of the one-loop scaling dimension $\Delta_1$ for the primary $0^Q$. On $S^1_{\beta} \times S^{D-1}$, this is computed as

$$\Delta_1 = - \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \mathcal{Z}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} M_{\ell}(R\omega_{\ell}),$$ (3.31)

where the Matsubara sum has been performed as in Appendix D. It coincides with the expression found in Eq. (2.22). We indicated with $M_{\ell}$ the degeneracy of the $\ell$-th eigenvalue $\lambda_{\ell}$ of $\Delta_{S^{D-1}}$, see Eq. (A.4). The sum appearing above is obviously divergent and needs regularization. For future convenience we introduce the regularization

$$\Sigma(s) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} M_{\ell}(R\omega_{\ell})^s e^{-\omega_{\ell}^2/\Lambda^2}.$$ (3.32)

The one-loop scaling dimension reads $\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma(1)$ and is computed in detail in Appendix C for various spacetime dimensions. The use of a momentum-dependent regulator is natural. Our controlling parameter is the charge $Q$, which is used to define the effective UV scale, via $\Lambda R \sim \mu R \sim Q^{1/(D-1)}$, which cuts the phonon states running in internal lines as discussed in Eq. (2.29).
Two-loop scaling dimension $\Delta_2$

In this section we compute the two-loop correction to the scaling dimension $\Delta_2$. In higher-loop computations, the regularization procedure needs to take into account also the Matsubara modes. This is because the derivative couplings lead to sums of the form

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} \frac{\nu_n^2 \omega_{\ell}^2}{\nu_n^2 + \omega_{\ell}^2}$$

in which the Matsubara sum is divergent. A convenient choice is a regularization procedure which is linear,

$$\text{Reg} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} \left[ \alpha f(n, \ell) + \beta g(n, \ell) \right] \right] = \alpha \text{Reg} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} f(n, \ell) \right] + \beta \text{Reg} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} g(n, \ell) \right],$$

symmetric under $n \leftrightarrow -n$, and $m$-independent. We use a smooth cutoff regularization which now involves also the thermal circle:

$$\text{Reg} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} f(n, \ell) \right] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell > 0} f(n, \ell) e^{-\left(\nu_n^2 + \omega_{\ell}^2\right)/\Lambda^2}.$$  

The zero temperature limit for these regulated sums is then taken with $\beta \to \infty$ keeping $(R \Lambda^2)$ fixed, and will eventually produce regulated sums of the type (3.32). Usual Feynman-integral methods can be used on $S^{1}_\beta \times S^{D-1}$, and the contribution from the quartic action is found to be

$$\langle S^{(4)} \rangle = -\frac{c_1 D(D-2)}{24} \left( \frac{3}{R^4} + \frac{6(D-3)}{R^2} + (D-1)(D-3) \right).$$

We indicate with square dots spatial derivatives $\partial_i$ acting on the corresponding legs, while white dots stand for time derivatives $\partial_\tau$. In this pictorial notation we have suppressed the permutations of these derivatives on the legs, which need to be included as independent Wick contractions. In Appendix D we provide separate computations for each contribution and find

$$\mu^{D-4} \langle S^{(4)} \rangle_c = -\frac{(D-2)}{8c_1 D(D-1) \Omega_D (\mu R)^D} \left( \frac{R}{\beta} \right)^6 \left\{ (D-3) \left[ \sum_{\ell} M_{\ell} \right]^2 - 4 \left[ \sum_{\ell} D_{n\ell} \omega_{\ell}^2 M_{\ell} \right]^2 \right\},$$

(3.37)
where each sum is regulated by Eq. (3.35). Performing the Matsubara sum in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit we find the contribution to the scaling dimension coming from the quartic vertices,
\[
\Delta_2^{(4)} = -\frac{(D-2)}{8c_1D(D-1)\Omega_D(\mu R)^D} \left( (D-3)\frac{(\Lambda R)^2}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{4} \Xi(0)^2 - \Xi(1)^2 \right).
\] (3.38)

The contributions coming from the 4-point vertices are purely 2-loop and are
\[
\langle S^{(3)}S^{(3)} \rangle_c = -\frac{c_1^2}{36}D^2(D-1)^2(D-2)^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{4}{R^2(D-1)} + \frac{6}{R^4(D-1)^2} + \frac{9}{R^4(D-1)^2}
\end{array} \right\}, \quad (3.39)
\]
where again each graph stands for all permutations of different derivatives on the internal legs. As discussed in Section 3.2, there are no tadpole contractions.

Each graph is computed separately in Appendix D. We can express the final result in a completely symmetrized form as:
\[
\mu^{2D-6}\langle S^{(3)}S^{(3)} \rangle_c = -\frac{(D-2)}{12c_1D(D-1)\Omega_D(\mu R)^D} \left( \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{a+b+c=0} D_{\alpha\beta\ell_a} D_{\beta\alpha\ell_b} D_{\alpha\ell_c} S_{\ell_a\ell_b\ell_c} \right)
\times \left\{ 2\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^2 \gamma_{\gamma\varepsilon}^2 - \nu_{\alpha\beta} \nu_{\gamma\varepsilon} \left[ \nu_{\alpha\beta} (\omega_{\ell_a} + \omega_{\ell_b})^2 + \text{(cyclic perm.)} \right]
+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{\alpha\beta} (\omega_{\ell_b}^2 + \omega_{\ell_c}^2 - \omega_{\ell_a}^2)^2 + \text{(cyclic perm.)}
- \nu_{\alpha\beta} \nu_{\gamma\varepsilon} \left[ \omega_{\ell_a}^4 - (\omega_{\ell_b}^2 - \omega_{\ell_c}^2)^2 \right] + \text{(cyclic perm.)} \right\}. \quad (3.40)
\]
The symmetric structure $S_{\ell_a\ell_b\ell_c}$ is defined in Appendix D in Eq. (D.22). The symbol $\Delta_{\ell_a\ell_b\ell_c}$ enforces the $SO(D)$ quantum numbers $\ell_a$, $\ell_b$, $\ell_c$ to satisfy a triangle inequality, which corresponds to momentum conservation on $S^{D-1}$:
\[
\Delta_{\ell_a\ell_b\ell_c} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\ell_b - \ell_a| \leq \ell_c \leq \ell_b + \ell_a \text{ and } \ell_c - \ell_a - \ell_b \text{ even}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad (3.41)
\]

The corresponding contribution to the scaling dimension can be obtained in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit, computing the Matsubara sums along the same lines as before. The end result for the two-loop scaling dimension for the operator $\mathcal{O}$ reads
\[
\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{16c_1D(D-1)\Omega_D(\mu R)^D} \left( \frac{(\Lambda R)^2}{6\pi} (D-2) [2D - 4 + (D - 5)\Sigma(0)] \Sigma(0) - \frac{(\Lambda R)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (D-2) (1 - \Sigma(0)) \Sigma(1) \right).
\]
\[
- \frac{D-2}{3} \left[ (D-2)(\Sigma(2) + 6\Sigma(0)\Sigma(2) + 2\Sigma(-1)\Sigma(3)) - (5D - 16)\Sigma(1)^2 - 8\Sigma(2) \right].
\]

where \( \Sigma^{(2)} \) is a sum which cannot be readily reduced to a combination of \( \Sigma(s) \) sums:

\[
\Sigma^{(2)} = \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \frac{\omega_{\ell_a} \omega_{\ell_b} \omega_{\ell_c}}{\omega_{\ell_a} + \omega_{\ell_b} + \omega_{\ell_c}}.
\]

This new sum above can be regulated and computed, but for the present work we limit ourselves to noting that it has two divergent regimes: when \( \ell_a \sim \ell_b \gg 1 \) it grows as \( \Sigma(1) \), and when \( \ell_a \sim \ell_b \sim \ell_c \gg 1 \) it grows as \( \Sigma(2) \). The former corresponds to a degenerate triangle described by three integers \( \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c \), while the latter corresponds to an equilateral triangle. These are the discrete versions of different collinear divergences in ordinary loop integrals.

When \( D \) is even, the result (3.42) has the structure

\[
\Delta_2 \supset \frac{1}{QD/(D-1)} \left( \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log Q + \alpha_2 (\log Q)^2 \right),
\]

and a (non-universal) \( Q^0 \log Q^2 \) term appears. This result is expected to generalize to any loop \( l \), where a term \( \Sigma(1)^l \) is expected to appear. Thus, in general, we expect in even \( D \) to have an \( l \)-loop contribution of the form

\[
\Delta_l \supset \frac{1}{Q((l-1)D/(D-1))} \left( \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log Q + ... + \alpha_l (\log Q)^l \right).
\]

This result is especially relevant for applications in the context of resurgent asymptotics.

In odd dimensions, large-\( Q \) expansions are expected to be log-free transseries with non-perturbative corrections related to worldline instantons \([23, 24]\). This is an important simplification with respect to transseries which contain logarithm terms, which can be been found as consequence of quasi-zero mode integration in quantum mechanics problems \([45-47]\). It would be interesting to investigate whether a similar phenomenon is behind the appearance of \( Q^0 \log Q \) terms in even-\( D \) large-\( Q \) expansions.

### 4. Correlators with current insertions

The advantage of working with an \( \text{eFt} \) at large charge is that the physics at the fixed point is captured by a free theory. We are therefore able to explicitly compute three- and four-point functions for a strongly coupled system using only the operator algebra (2.16).

This section contains one of the main results of this paper, namely three- and four-point correlators with current insertions between spinful large-charge primaries \( \phi_{\ell m}^Q \). A handful of these correlators have already appeared in the literature in the scalar case.
\( \ell = 0 \) \cite{17,18,21,22}. Even though we do not use conformal invariance to compute the correlators, we find that our results respect as expected the structure of conformal correlators on the cylinder in the limit of large separation collected in Appendix B. Note that our results have to be understood as an expansion in Q and contain only the classical contribution plus the leading-order quantum correction.

### 4.1. Conserved currents and Ward identities in the EFT

The classical conserved currents in the model (2.3) are

\[
J_\mu = c_1 D(- \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi, \\
T_{\mu\nu} = c_1 \{ D(- \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi + g_{\mu\nu}(- \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2} \}.
\]

Their integrals are related to the conserved charges of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) as already discussed in Section 2.2.

On the cylinder, the currents are expanded in fluctuations around \( \chi^\infty(\tau, n) \) up to quadratic order as

\[
J_\tau = -i \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{\mu} (D - 1) \dot{\pi} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 1)}{2\mu^2} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(\partial_\tau)^2}{R^2(D - 1)} \right] + O(\mu^{-3}) \right), \\
J_i = \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu R} \partial_i \pi + \frac{i}{\mu} \frac{(D - 2)}{R^2(D - 1)} \partial_i \pi + O(\mu^{-3}) \right), \\
T_{\tau\tau} = -\frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \dot{\pi} - \frac{D(D - 1)}{2\mu^2} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(D - 3)(\partial_\tau)^2}{R^2(D - 1)^2} \right] + O(\mu^{-3}) \right), \\
T_{\tau i} = -i \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left( \frac{1}{\mu R} \frac{D}{D - 1} \partial_i \pi + \frac{i}{\mu} \frac{D}{R^2} \partial_i \pi + O(\mu^{-3}) \right), \\
T_{ij} = \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left( \frac{1}{(D - 1)} \left[ \frac{h_{ij}}{\mathfrak{h}} \right] + \frac{1}{\mu R^2} \frac{D}{(D - 1)} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(\partial_i)^2}{R^2(D - 1)} \right] + O(\mu^{-3}) \right) + \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left( \frac{1}{(D - 1)} \left[ \partial_i \dot{\pi} + O(\mu^{-3}) \right) \right),
\]

where \( h_{ij} \) is the metric on the \( D-1 \)-sphere. Homogeneity of \( \chi^\infty \) guarantees that \( T_{\tau i} = T_{ij} = 0 \) at leading order in the fluctuations.

The above expressions were calculated using only the leading term in the effective action (2.3). As observed before, this gives rise to contributions of \( O(Q^0) \), while the effect of the sub-leading curvature terms on the fluctuations is suppressed at large charge.

We will discuss correlators of these currents in the canonically quantized setting of Section 2, which is sufficient for leading-order results. Integrating \( J_\tau \) or \( T_{\tau\tau} \) over spatial slices gives rise to the charge operators \( D \) and \( \Omega \). When inserted at time \( \tau \) they measure the scaling dimension and the \( O(2) \)-charge of any operator insertion contained in the
half-cylinder $(\tau, -\infty) \times S^{D-1}$. This fact is expressed by the Ward identities

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\tau) \prod_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(\tau_{i}, n_{i}) \rangle = \sum_{\tau_{i}<\tau} Q_{i} \langle \prod_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(\tau_{i}, n_{i}) \rangle, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\langle D(\tau) \prod_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(\tau_{i}, n_{i}) \rangle = \sum_{\tau_{i}<\tau} \Delta \langle \prod_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(\tau_{i}, n_{i}) \rangle. \quad (4.5)$$

These identities hold order by order in a loop expansion and can be used to constrain correlators with insertions of the currents in Eq. (4.3).

### 4.2. $\langle Q\ell_{m} \vert Q\ell_{n} \rangle$ correlators

We first compute the correlator of two spinning primaries $\mathcal{O}^{Q\ell_{m}}(0) = a_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}Q)$ inserted at times $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ with an insertion of $J_{n}(\tau, \chi)$ at time $\tau_{1} < \tau < \tau_{2}$. To leading order one finds

$$\langle Q_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}J_{n}(\tau, n)Q_{\ell_{n}} \rangle = -i Q_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}J_{n}(\tau, n)Q_{\ell_{n}} \bigg \{ A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) \delta_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}} \delta_{\ell_{m}\ell_{n}} + A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} | \tau) (D - 1)(D - 2) \Omega_{D} \frac{R \omega_{\ell_{2}}\omega_{\ell_{1}}}{2D\Delta_{0}} \left[ Y_{\ell_{m}}(n)Y_{\ell_{n}}(n) - \frac{\partial \delta Y_{\ell_{m}}(n) \partial \delta Y_{\ell_{n}}(n)}{R^{2}(D - 1)\omega_{\ell_{1}}\omega_{\ell_{2}}} \right] \bigg \}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\langle Q_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}J_{n}(\tau, n)Q_{\ell_{n}} \rangle = i Q(D - 2) 2D\Omega_{D}^{-1} A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} | \tau) \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_{1}}}{\omega_{\ell_{2}}}} Y_{\ell_{m}}(n) \partial \delta Y_{\ell_{n}}(n) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

For later convenience we have introduced

$$A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) := A(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) e^{-(\tau_{2} - \tau_{1})\omega_{\tau_{1}}}, \quad A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} | \tau) := e^{-\Delta_{0}(\tau_{2} - \tau)/(R - \Delta_{0}(\tau_{1} - \tau))}. \quad (4.8)$$

This generalizes $A(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) = A(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})$ defined by the two-point function $(Q \vert Q)$ in Eq. (3.6). The $\ell = 0$ case of the $J_{r}$ correlator appeared first in [17].

If we integrate $J_{r}$ over the sphere, we obtain the conserved charge, so the integral over the three-point function with a $J_{r}$ is fixed by the Ward identity (4.4), giving us a consistency check:

$$\int dS(n) \langle Q_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}J_{r}(\tau, n)Q_{\ell_{n}} \rangle = -i Q A^{A_{\Delta_{Q}+R\omega_{\tau_{1}}}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) \delta_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}} \delta_{\ell_{m}\ell_{n}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let us examine the structure of the $J_{r}$ correlator. The current is a sum of a classical piece and quantum corrections, see Eq. (4.3a). The classical part is homogeneous and, by charge conservation, time-independent. It follows that the classical contribution to the

7 Recall that in the special case $\ell = 1$ the operator $Q_{\ell_{m}}^{\dagger}$ is not a primary, but a descendant.
three-point function must be proportional to the two-point function

$$\left\langle \frac{Q}{\ell_1 m_1} \left| \frac{Q}{\ell_2 m_2} \right| \frac{Q}{\ell_3 m_3} \right\rangle = A_{\Delta Q + \mathbf{R} \omega_{12}} (\tau_1, \tau_2) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}. \quad (4.10)$$

The quantum piece will in general give a contribution that has the same tensor structure as the left-hand side (lhs) and since it is not homogeneous, can be decomposed into spherical harmonics. Moreover, as we have seen above, by charge conservation its integral must vanish.

In the same way, the classical piece of $J_i$ is zero (see Eq. (4.3b)), so we only have the inhomogeneous quantum contribution in the $J_i$ correlator. The separation into a homogeneous classical part and a space-dependent quantum contribution applies to any physical observable.

The special case of $\ell_i = 0$ corresponds to the (scalar) ground state $|Q\rangle$ and Ward identities guarantee that $\langle Q | J_i | Q \rangle = 0$ to all orders.

From the above relations one can extract the corresponding operator product expansion (ope) coefficient:

$$C_{O_{\ell m}}^{Q_{\ell m} Q_{\ell m}} = \frac{\langle Q_{\ell m}^Q (\tau, \mathbf{n}) O_{\ell m}^Q \rangle}{\langle O_{\ell m}^Q O_{\ell m}^Q \rangle} = -\frac{i}{\Omega\mathbf{R}^{D-1}}. \quad (4.11)$$

These correlators can also be computed for higher-phonon states. For example, for two-phonon states we have

$$\left\langle \frac{Q}{(\ell_2 m_2)_{\theta} (\ell_3 m_3)_{\theta}} \left| J_i (\tau, \mathbf{n}) \right| \frac{Q}{(\ell_1 m_1)_{\theta} (\ell_4 m_4)_{\theta}} \right\rangle = -\frac{Q}{\Omega\mathbf{R}^{D-1}} A_{\Delta Q + \mathbf{R} \omega_{12} + \mathbf{R} \omega_{13}} (\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

$$+ \frac{\Omega\mathbf{R} (D-2)(D-1)}{2D \Delta_0} \left[ \frac{R(\omega_{12} \omega_{13})}{e^{(2\pi) (\omega_{12} + \omega_{13})}} \left( Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{12} \omega_{13}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} 
+ \frac{R(\omega_{12} \omega_{13})}{e^{(2\pi) (\omega_{12} - \omega_{13})}} \left( Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{12} \omega_{13}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} 
+ \frac{R(\omega_{12} \omega_{13})}{e^{(2\pi) (\omega_{13} + \omega_{12})}} \left( Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{12} \omega_{13}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

In the computations above we have neglected the linear terms in the fluctuation $\pi$, which appears in the various conserved charges in Eq. (4.3). These terms cannot be neglected if we consider matrix elements between states with different number of phonons. For example, for correlators between the ground state and one-phonon states.
one finds the following leading-order expression:

\[
\langle 0^{-Q} | J_\tau(\tau, n) | Q \rangle_{\ell_1 m_1} = \frac{Q(D - 1)}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_D R \omega_\ell}{2D}} \Delta_0^A \Delta_0^{\Delta_0 + R \omega_\ell}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \tau Y_{\ell m}(n),
\]

(4.13)

\[
\langle 0^{-Q} | J_\ell(\tau, n) | \ell_1 m_1 \rangle = \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R} \sqrt{\frac{2 \Omega_D R \omega_\ell}{2D \Delta_0 R \omega_\ell}} A^{\Delta_0 + R \omega_\ell}_{\Delta_0}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \delta Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n).
\]

(4.14)

The same holds for all the correlators with insertions of T or J. We will omit this special case in what follows as it represents a straightforward modification to the formulas presented there.

4.3. \(\ell_2 m_2 | JJ | Q \ell_1 m_1 \) correlators

We next compute the correlators with two insertions of \(J_\mu\) at cylinder times \(\tau < \tau'\) between insertions of \(O_{\ell m}^Q\) at \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\) such that \(\tau_2 > \tau > \tau > \tau_1\). Two insertions of \(J_\tau\) result in

\[
\left\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q J_{\tau}(\tau, n) J_{\tau'}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \right\rangle = -A_{\Delta_0 + R \omega_\ell_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{Q^2}{\Omega_D R^{2D-2}} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{(D - 1)^2}{2D \Delta_1} \sum_\ell e^{-\tau - \tau' \omega_{\ell_1}} R \omega_\ell (D + 2\ell - 2) e^{D - 1}(n \cdot n') \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{A_{\Delta_0 + R \omega_\ell_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau') Y^2(\ell' - 1) R \omega_\ell R \omega_{\ell_1}}{2D R^{2D-2} \Delta_0} \left( Y Y_{\ell_1 m_2}(n) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n') - Y Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) Y_{\ell_1 m_2}(n') \right)
\]

(4.15)

\[
\int dS(n) \left\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q J_{\tau}(\tau, n) J_{\tau'}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \right\rangle = -iQ \left\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q J_{\tau}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \right\rangle.
\]

(4.16)

The remaining components of the JJ correlators read

\[
\left\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q J_{\tau}(\tau, n) J_{\ell}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \right\rangle = 0,
\]

(4.17)
\[
\left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} J_i(\tau, n) J_j(\tau', n') \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} \right\rangle = \frac{A_{\Delta Q+R\omega_\ell}}{\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \left( \frac{Q^2}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-2}} \right) \delta_\ell \delta_j \sum_{\ell'} e^{i(\tau-\tau')\omega_\ell} C_{\ell'}^{ \varphi - 1}(n \cdot n') \delta_{m_1 \ell} \delta_{m_2 \ell} \tag{4.18}
\]

For the last correlator the tree-level contribution vanishes, but it is not symmetry protected so that subleading corrections may appear.

In the special case of \( \ell_1 = 0 \) these correlators reduce to

\[
\left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} J_i(\tau, n) J_j(\tau', n') \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} \right\rangle = -A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{Q}{(\Omega_D R^{D-1})^2} \left[ Q + \frac{(D-1)}{2\mu} \sum_{\ell} \omega_\ell e^{-i(\tau-\tau')\omega_\ell} C_{\ell}^{ \varphi - 1}(n \cdot n') \right], \tag{4.19}
\]

\[
\left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} J_i(\tau, n) J_j(\tau', n') \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} \right\rangle = \frac{Q A(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2\mu \Omega_D (D-1) R^{D-1}} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} \delta_{\ell_2 \ell} \sum_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} \omega_\ell e^{-i(\tau-\tau')\omega_\ell}, \tag{4.20}
\]

\[
\left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} J_i(\tau, n) J_j(\tau', n') \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} \right\rangle = 0. \tag{4.21}
\]

The mixed correlator \( J_i J_j \) vanishes exactly on the homogeneous ground state associated to \( \mathcal{O}^Q \) by rotational invariance. It is straightforward to show that these correlators satisfy the Ward identity (4.4) by integrating over the sphere insertion of \( J_i \).

### 4.4. \( \left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} \mid T \mid \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \right\rangle \) correlators

Now we compute correlators with an insertion of the stress-energy tensor \( T \) at cylinder time \( \tau \) with spinning operators \( \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell m} \) at \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) such \( \tau_2 > \tau > \tau_1 \). The insertion of the \( T_{\tau\tau} \) component leads to

\[
\left\langle \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) \mathcal{O}^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \right\rangle = -A_{\Delta Q+R\omega_\ell}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{1}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left( \frac{(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \delta_\ell \delta_j \delta_{m_1 \ell} \delta_{m_2 \ell}}{(D-1)\Omega_D} \right) \left[ (D-1) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right] \left( \frac{1}{R^2 \omega_\ell \omega_{\ell_2}} \right). \tag{4.22}
\]

For insertions at large separation \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \to \pm \infty \) and \( \ell_2 = \ell_1 \), the three-point function does not depend on the \( \tau \)-slice of the \( T \) insertion, see also Appendix B. Moreover, integrating this result over the sphere insertion point \( n \) eliminates the \( \tau \)-dependence according to
the Ward identity (4.4):

$$\int dS(n) \left( \delta_{t,m_2} T_{\tau \tau}(\tau, n) \right) = -A\Delta_R + h_{\ell_1} \Delta_1 + (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta \omega_{\ell_2}) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Correlators involving the other components of the stress-energy tensor read

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\langle 0^{-Q} t_{m_2} \rangle = A\Delta_R \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 \omega_{\ell_2} (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \frac{1}{R^D} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(n) \partial_1 Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right),
\end{array} \right.$$  

$$\langle 0^{-Q} t_{m_2} \rangle = A\Delta_R \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 \omega_{\ell_2} \frac{1}{R^D} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \right). \quad (4.24)$$

Note that an insertion of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\tau \tau} + h_{ij} T_{ij}$ vanishes on any phonon state by conformal invariance. We find the expression

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\langle 0^{-Q} t_{m_2} h_{ij} \rangle = A\Delta_R \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 \omega_{\ell_2} \frac{1}{R^D} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(n) \partial_1 Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right),
\end{array} \right.$$  

$$\langle 0^{-Q} t_{m_2} \rangle = A\Delta_R \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 \omega_{\ell_2} \frac{1}{R^D} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \right). \quad (4.25)$$

which sums to zero with (4.22). For $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0$ one finds the expressions

$$\langle 0^{-Q} T_{\tau \tau}(\tau, n) \rangle = -A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0 + \Delta_1}{\Omega_R^D}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\langle 0^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, n) \rangle = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

$$\langle 0^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, n) \rangle = A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0 + \Delta_1}{\Omega_R^D} h_{ij}. \quad (4.29)$$

The three-point function with a single insertion of $T_{ij}$ vanishes by rotational symmetry. Moreover, since the ground state is homogeneous, the correlator with an insertion $T_{ij}$ can only be proportional to the sphere metric.
4.5. \( \langle \ell_{\ell 2m2} | \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} | \ell_{\ell 1m1} \rangle \) correlators.

We next compute the correlators with two insertions of the stress-energy tensor at \( \tau > \tau' \) between spinning operators \( \mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q \) at \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) such that \( \tau_2 > \tau > \tau' > \tau_1 \). There is a total of six correlators. These read

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 2m2} &= \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1}.
\end{align*}
\]

This correlator is symmetric under \( (\tau, n) \leftrightarrow (\tau', n') \). The \( \ell = 0 \) special case of this correlator has already appeared in [22].

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 2m2} &= \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1} = \mathcal{A}^Q_{\ell 1m1}.
\end{align*}
\]
This correlator is symmetric under \((\tau, n, i) \leftrightarrow (\tau', n', j)\).

\[
\langle 0^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau_1}(\tau, n) T_{\tau_2}(\tau', n') 0^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_0 + R\omega_t}^{Q_{\ell_2 m_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{2 \Omega D R^{2D} (D - 1)} \frac{1}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \Omega_t}} \\
\left\{ \delta_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_t} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\Delta_0 - 1} (n \cdot n') \delta_{\ell_j \ell_k} \delta_{m_{m_2 \ell_2}} + \frac{\omega_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)}{\omega_{\ell_1}} \delta_{\ell \ell_1} \delta_{m_{m_1 \ell_1}} + \frac{\omega_{\ell_2} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)}{\omega_{\ell_2}} e^{(\tau - \tau') \Omega_t} \right\}.
\]

(4.33)

Since \(T_{\tau_1}\) vanishes on the ground-state solution, the correlator solely receives a second-order contribution from the linear terms and the quadratic term of \(T_{\tau_1}\). Moving to the combination \(T_{\tau_{1j}} T_{\tau_{jk}}\) one finds

\[
\langle 0^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau_1}(\tau, n) T_{\tau_{1j}}(\tau', n') 0^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_0 + R\omega_t}^{Q_{\ell_2 m_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{2 \Omega D R^{2D} (D - 1)^2} h_{ij} \\
\left\{ \delta_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_t} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\Delta_0 - 1} (n \cdot n') \delta_{\ell_j \ell_k} \delta_{m_{m_2 \ell_2}} + \frac{\omega_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)}{\omega_{\ell_1}} \delta_{\ell \ell_1} \delta_{m_{m_1 \ell_1}} + \frac{\omega_{\ell_2} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)}{\omega_{\ell_2}} e^{(\tau - \tau') \Omega_t} \right\}.
\]

(4.34)

Again, besides the linear terms only the quadratic term of \(T_{\tau_1}\) contributes at second order. In addition, the correlator \(\langle 0^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau_1}(\tau, n) h^{jk}(n') T_{\tau_{1j}}(\tau', n') 0^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle\) differs by a minus sign from the previous correlator with an insertion of \(T_{\tau_{1j}}(\tau', n')\), as imposed by conformal invariance.

\[
\langle 0^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau_1}(\tau, n) T_{\tau_{1j}}(\tau', n') 0^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_0 + R\omega_t}^{Q_{\ell_2 m_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{\Omega_0^2 R^{2D} (D - 1)} h_{ij} \\
\left\{ \left( \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 + \frac{D \Omega D}{2} \sum_{\ell} R\omega_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_t} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\Delta_0 - 1} (n \cdot n') \right) \delta_{\ell_j \ell_k} \delta_{m_{m_2 \ell_2}} + \frac{D \Omega D}{2} R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n) Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n') e^{(\tau - \tau') \Omega_t} + Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') Y_{0_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)} e^{(\tau - \tau') \Omega_t} \right\} \\
- \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_0 + R\omega_t}^{Q_{\ell_2 m_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2 \Omega D R^{2D}} h_{ij} \left\{ Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n) Y_{0_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)} - \frac{(D - 3) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n)}{(D - 1)^2 R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\} \\
- \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_0 + R\omega_t}^{Q_{\ell_2 m_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau') \frac{\Delta_0 D \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2 \Omega D R^{2D}} h_{ij} \left\{ Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') Y_{0_{\ell_1 m_1} (n')} - \frac{\delta_{\ell_1 \ell} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n')}{(D - 1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\} + \frac{\delta_{\ell_1 \ell} Y_{\ell_2 m_2} (n') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1} (n')}{(D - 1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}. \]

(4.35)
This correlator is not symmetric in \((\tau, n) \leftrightarrow (\tau', n')\), however, by conformal invariance, the correlator \(\langle Q_{\ell,m_1}^{-} T_{\tau}(\tau, n) h^{ij}(n) T_{ij}(\tau', n') Q_{\ell,m_1}^{Q}\rangle\) is symmetric in \((\tau, n) \leftrightarrow (\tau', n')\).

One can check directly that the above correlators satisfy the Ward identity for \(T_{\tau}\) insertions in Eq. (4.4). For example, for two insertions of \(T_{\tau}\) one finds

\[
\int dS(n) \langle Q_{\ell,m_2}^{-} T_{\tau}(\tau, n) T_{\tau}(\tau', n') Q_{\ell,m_1}^{Q}\rangle = -\frac{1}{R} (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + R \omega_c) \langle Q_{\ell,m_2}^{-} T_{\tau}(\tau', n') Q_{\ell,m_1}^{Q}\rangle. 
\]

(4.36)

In the special case \(\ell = 0\), the above correlators simplify as follows:

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{\tau}(\tau, n) T_{\tau}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} \left[ \frac{\Delta_0}{D - 2} \right] \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_c}}{\omega_c} R \omega_c (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n'), \]

(4.37)

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{ij}(\tau, n) T_{kn}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} h_{ij} h_{kn} \left[ \frac{\Delta_0}{D - 1} \right] \sum_{\ell} \frac{R \omega_c}{\omega_c} (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n'), \]

(4.38)

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{\tau i}(\tau, n) T_{\tau j}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = -\frac{A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D - 1)^2 \Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_c}}{\omega_c} R \omega_c (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n'), \]

(4.39)

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{\tau i}(\tau, n) T_{\tau j}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = -\frac{A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D - 1)^2 \Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_c}}{\omega_c} R \omega_c (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n'), \]

(4.40)

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{\tau i}(\tau, n) T_{ij}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = -\frac{A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D - 1)^2 \Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_c}}{\omega_c} R \omega_c (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n'), \]

(4.41)

\[
\langle Q^{-} T_{\tau i}(\tau, n) T_{ij}(\tau', n') Q^{0}\rangle = -\frac{A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D - 1)^2 \Omega_D^{2} R^{2D}} \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_c}}{\omega_c} R \omega_c (D + 2|\ell| - 2) C_{\ell}^{-1}(n \cdot n').
\]

(4.42)

The \(\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0\) correlator with insertions of \(T_{\tau i} T_{\tau}\) was computed in the macroscopic limit \(R \rightarrow \infty\) in [22].

### 4.6. \(\langle Q_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-} T_{\tau} | T_{\tau} | Q_{\ell_1 m_1}^{-}\rangle\) correlators.

We now consider correlators with one insertion of the stress-energy tensor and one insertion of the \(O(2)\)-current respectively at times \(\tau > \tau'\) between spinning operators

27
\[0^Q_{\ell m} \text{ at } \tau_1, \tau_2 \text{ such that we have the ordering } \tau_2 > \tau > \tau' > \tau_1. \text{ There are six correlators involving the various components which can be computed as follows:}\]

\[
 \left\{ O^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau_1}(\tau, n) J(\tau', n') O^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \right\} = -i A_{Q+R} (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \]

\[
 \left\{ \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_1 \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'|w_{\ell}} \left( \frac{D + 2 - 2}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{-1} (n \cdot n') \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} + \right.
\]

\[
\left. \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right\} e^{(\tau-\tau')w_{\ell_2}} \]  

(4.43)

\[T_{\tau_1} \text{ vanishes on the ground state and hence the quadratic contributions only come from the linear term of } T_{\tau_1}. \text{ The combination } J_1 T_{\tau\tau} \text{ instead leads to}\]

\[
 \left\{ O^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} J_1(\tau, n) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', n') O^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \right\} = -i A_{Q+R} (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \]

\[
 \left\{ \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_1 \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'|w_{\ell}} \left( \frac{D + 2 - 2}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{-1} (n \cdot n') \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} + \right.\]

\[
\left. \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right\} e^{(\tau-\tau')w_{\ell_2}} + \frac{(D - 2)}{D} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2') \right) \]  

(4.44)

This correlator is related to the previous one. From the expansions in Eq. (4.3) it is clear that this has to be the case.

\[
 \left\{ O^Q_{\ell_2 m_2} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) J(\tau', n') O^Q_{\ell_1 m_1} \right\} = i A_{Q+R} (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q(D - 1)}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{R\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} \]

\[
 \left\{ \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n)}{e^{(\tau-\tau')w_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n')}{e^{(\tau-\tau')w_{\ell_2}}} \right\} + \sum_{\ell} \frac{R\omega_{\ell}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} \left( \frac{D + 2 - 2}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{-1} (n \cdot n') \right) \]

\[
\left. + \frac{(D - 2)}{D} \left( Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) - \frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(n') \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(n) \right) \right\} + \frac{2}{(D - 1)} \left( \frac{1}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \]

\[
\left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{R\omega_{\ell}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} \left( \frac{D - 3}{D - 1} \frac{1}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \right\} \]  

(4.45)

Here, the quadratic term from \( J_1 \) vanishes after integration over \( n' \), whereas the quadratic term from \( T_{\tau\tau} \) remains finite after integration over \( n \). This is so because it has to correct the energy by \( R\omega_{\ell_i} \), in accordance with the Ward identities (4.4).
\[ \left\{ O_{\ell,m}^Q T_{\ell_1}(\tau, n) J_{\ell_2}(\tau', n') O_{\ell,m}^Q \right\} = -i A_{\Delta Q + R \omega_1}^{\ell, \ell_1, \ell_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{1}{(D-1)} \]

\[ \delta_{\ell_1} \delta_{\ell_2} \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell}}}{R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2 \ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} \frac{c_{\ell}^{\nu - 1}(n \cdot n') \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} + \frac{\delta_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n)}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} e^{i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} + \frac{\delta_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n')} {R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} e^{i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} } \right\}. \]

Both \( T_{\ell_1} \) and \( J_\ell \) vanish on the ground state and hence the only quadratic contribution comes from the two linear terms.

\[ \left\{ O_{\ell_1, m_1}^Q T_{\ell_2}(\tau, n) J_{\ell_3}(\tau') O_{\ell_2, m_2}^Q \right\} = -i A_{\Delta Q + R \omega_1}^{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{1}{(D-1)} \]

\[ h_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \left[ (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell}} R \omega_{\ell} \frac{(D + 2 \ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\nu - 1}(n \cdot n') \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} \right.

\[ + \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2} \left( \frac{Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n)}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{Y_{\ell_2, m_2}(n) Y_{\ell_2, m_2}(n')}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right) \frac{1 + \frac{(D - 2)}{D} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n)} {\left( \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{\omega_{\ell_1}} \delta_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{\omega_{\ell_2}} \delta_{\ell_2} Y_{\ell_2, m_2}(n) \right) + \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{D} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} e^{i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}} + \left( \frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \delta_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \right) \]

This correlator is related to the TJ correlator in Eq. (4.45) due to the fact that \( h_{\ell_1 \ell_2} = -T_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \), which holds by virtue of conformal invariance.

\[ \left\{ O_{\ell_1, m_1}^Q J_{\ell_2}(\tau, n) T_{\ell_3}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_2, m_2}^Q \right\} = i A_{\Delta Q + R \omega_1}^{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{1}{(D-1)} \]

\[ \delta_{\ell_1} \sum_{\ell} e^{-i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2 \ell - 2)}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\nu - 1}(n \cdot n') \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \delta_{m_1, m_2} - \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n)} {e^{i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} + \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n) \delta_{\ell_1, \ell_2} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(n)} {e^{i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \]

This correlator is proportional to \( h_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \) since the quadratic term in the expansion of \( T_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \) only appears at cubic order in the correlator. This is no longer the case once one includes higher-order corrections.

In the special case \( \ell = 0 \) the TJ correlators simplify significantly:

\[ \left\{ O_{\ell_1, m_1}^Q T_{\ell_1}(\tau, n) J_{\ell_2}(\tau', n') O_{\ell_1, m_1}^Q \right\} = -i A_{\Delta Q + R \omega_1}^{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \delta_{\ell_1} \sum_{\ell} e^{-i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2 \ell - 2)}{(D - 2)} C_{\ell}^{\nu - 1}(n \cdot n'), \]

\[ \left\{ O_{\ell, m_1}^Q J_{\ell}(\tau, n) T_{\ell}(\tau', n') O_{\ell, m_1}^Q \right\} = -i A_{\Delta Q + R \omega_1}^{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2 \Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \delta_{\ell_1} \sum_{\ell} e^{-i(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2 \ell - 2)}{(D - 2)} C_{\ell}^{\nu - 1}(n \cdot n'), \]
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The next term in the large-charge expansion must take the form
\[ k \] where \( \frac{\sum \ell}{\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \) which have appeared in \( [one\taboldstyle/seven\taboldstyle, one\taboldstyle/eight\taboldstyle, two\taboldstyle/one\taboldstyle] \). with insertion of small charge (spinning) primaries \( [e.sc/f.sc/t.sc] \). The correlators \( J \) neglected higher-order terms necessary to obtain a Weyl-invariant quantity. in the \( \Delta \) the Goldstone field. Matching the quantum numbers, an operator of charge \( q \) for the sake of completeness, we review the computation for some of these correlators small-charge operators act as probes around the same large-charge saddle studied before. and for simplicity
\[ O^{q, \Delta}_{\ell_m} = k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} U^{\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_\ell_m} \partial_{\gamma_1} X \ldots \partial_{\gamma_\ell} X (\partial \chi)^{A-\ell} e^{i q X} + \ldots, \] and for simplicity
\[ O^{q, \Delta} = O^{q, \Delta}_{00}, \quad k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} = k^{(1)}_{\Delta, 0, q}, \] where \( k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} \) is a charge-independent Wilsonian coefficient which cannot be determined in the \( \text{eft} \). \( U^{\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_\ell_m} \) is the change of basis to spherical tensors given in Eq. (B.1). 

The correlators \( J_i T_{\tau \tau} \) and \( T_{\tau_1} J_{\tau} \) in the special case \( \ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0 \) has appeared in the macroscopic limit \( R \to \infty \) in [22].

**5. Heavy–light–heavy correlators**

The \( \text{eft} \) for the large-\( Q \) sector of the \( O(2) \) \( \text{eft} \) can also be used to compute correlators with insertion of small charge (spinning) primaries \( 0^q \) with \( q \ll Q \). In this case, the small-charge operators act as probes around the same large-charge saddle studied before. For the sake of completeness, we review the computation for some of these correlators which have appeared in [17, 18, 21].

The starting observation is that in the \( \text{eft} \) every operator must be written in terms of the Goldstone field. Matching the quantum numbers, an operator of charge \( q \), dimension \( \Delta \) that transforms in a representation of spin \( \ell \) which at leading order in the charge \( Q \) must take the form
\[ O^{q, \Delta}_{\ell_m} = k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} U^{\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_\ell_m} \partial_{\gamma_1} X \ldots \partial_{\gamma_\ell} X (\partial \chi)^{A-\ell} e^{i q X} + \ldots, \] and for simplicity
\[ O^{q, \Delta} = O^{q, \Delta}_{00}, \quad k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} = k^{(1)}_{\Delta, 0, q}, \] where \( k^{(1)}_{\Delta, q} \) is a charge-independent Wilsonian coefficient which cannot be determined in the \( \text{eft} \). \( U^{\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_\ell_m} \) is the change of basis to spherical tensors given in Eq. (B.1).

---

8 The next term in the large-charge expansion must take the form \( k^{(2)}_{\Delta, q} (\partial \chi)^{A-\ell} (R + \ldots) e^{i q X} \) where we have neglected higher-order terms necessary to obtain a Weyl-invariant quantity.
5.1. The \( \langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^{Q - q} | q; \Delta | O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle \) correlator

We first want to compute the correlator

\[
\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^{Q - q} (\tau_2) O^q (\tau_c, n_c) O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q (\tau_1) \rangle,
\]

where

\[
[O^{-q; \Delta} (\tau, n)]^* = O^{q; \Delta} (-\tau, n).
\]

From general symmetry considerations the classical (homogeneous) contribution to the three-point function must have the form

\[
\langle O_{\ell_2 m_2}^{Q - q} (\tau_2) O^q (\tau_c, n_c) O_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q (\tau_1) \rangle = C^\Delta_{Q^+, q, q, Q} e^{-\Delta_{Q^+, q} (\tau_2 - \tau_c)} e^{-\Delta_{Q^+} (\tau_1 - \tau_c)},
\]

which is consistent with the general formula for three-point functions given in Eq. (B.8).

By dimensional analysis we can extract a factor of \( R^\Delta \) from the three-point coefficient, which is coming from the insertion of \( O^{q; \Delta} \):

\[
R^{-\Delta} \tilde{C}^\Delta_{Q^+, q, q, Q} = C^\Delta_{Q^+, q, q, Q}.
\]

We want to reproduce this result from a semiclassical large-charge expansion of the path integral.

The difference with respect to the saddle that we have studied so far is that the insertion of an operator of charge \( q \) introduces a source term in the action and changes the eom that now read

\[
\nabla_\mu J^\mu = \nabla_\mu \frac{\delta S}{\delta (\partial_\mu \chi)} = \frac{iq(\tau - \tau_c)\delta(n - n_c)}{\sqrt{g}}, \quad \begin{cases}
J^\mu (\tau_1 = -\infty, n_1) = \frac{\delta^\mu Q}{R^{D-1} \Omega} \\
J^\mu (\tau_2 = +\infty, n_2) = \frac{\delta^\mu (Q + q)}{R^{D-1} \Omega}.
\end{cases}
\]

On general grounds the solution of this partial differential equation can be written as a sum of the homogeneous solution \( \pi \) (in the sense of pde, i.e. for \( q = 0 \)) and a particular solution \( q \pi (\tau, n) \). Based on the form of the equation the particular solution is basically identical to the propagator, except that the boundary conditions will alter the form of the \( l = 0 \) term:

\[
\chi(\tau, n) = -i \mu \tau + \pi^+(\tau, n) + q \pi(\tau, n),
\]

where

\[
\mu \propto Q \frac{m}{\pi}.
\]

At \( \tau_1 \to -\infty \) and \( \tau_2 \to \infty \), the effect of the source appears only in the normalization of the fields, which take the same form as in the unperturbed case of Eq. (2.7). So we can
We can now compute the correlator (in the limit of large separations), at least to leading order:

\[
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{Q-q}(\infty) | Q + q \rangle_{\ell_2 m_2} = \langle Q + q | a_{\ell_2 m_2} \rangle = \delta_{\ell_2 m_2} Q
\]

\[
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^{Q}(0) | 0 \rangle = \langle Q | a_{\ell_1 m_1}^{\dagger} \rangle = \delta_{\ell_1 m_1} Q.
\]

We can now compute the correlator (in the limit of large separations), at least to leading order:

\[
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{Q-q}(\tau_2) | \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^{Q}(\tau_1) \rangle = \langle Q + q | a_{\ell_2 m_2}(\tau_2) | a_{\ell_1 m_1}^{\dagger}(\tau_1) | Q \rangle \equiv C_{\Delta, q}^{(1)} e^{i\mu Q \tau_c} \langle Q + q | a_{\ell_2 m_2}(\tau_2) e^{i\mu Q (\tau_c, n_c)} | a_{\ell_1 m_1}^{\dagger}(\tau_1) | Q \rangle + \ldots
\]

\[
= (\bar{R} \mu)^{\Delta A_{\ell, q}} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} A_{\Delta, q + \omega}^\Delta (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau_c) + \ldots
\]

More in general if we insert an operator in a representation of spin \( \ell \) we find

\[
\langle Q + q | a_{\ell_2 m_2} \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^{Q}(\tau_c, n_c) a_{\ell_1 m_1}^{\dagger} | Q \rangle = \frac{(R\mu)^{\Delta A_{\ell, q}}}{\bar{R}^\Delta} \langle \ell_2 m_2 ; \ell, m | \ell_1 m_1 \rangle \times A_{\Delta, q + \omega}^\Delta (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau_c) + \ldots
\]

where \( \langle \ell_2 m_2 ; \ell, m | \ell_1 m_1 \rangle \) is the appropriate Clebsch–Gordan coefficient. The quantum corrections to the above expressions are given in [18].

If we specialize the above general result to \( \ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0 \), we have the correlator of \( \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^{Q} \) inserted between two large-charge scalars. By charge conservation one of the scalars must have charge \( -Q - q \), and by rotational invariance only insertions of \( \ell = 0 \) operators can lead to non-vanishing results:

\[
\langle Q + q | \mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^{Q}(\tau_c, n_c) | Q \rangle \propto \delta_{\ell, 0} \mu^\Delta e^{-\Delta Q (\tau_c, n_c)} e^{i\mu Q (\tau_c, n_c)} = \mu^\Delta A_{\Delta, Q}^{\Delta, 0} (\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau_c) \delta_{\ell, 0}.
\]

This correlator has been presented originally in [17, 20]. The final result is that the three-point coefficient is given by

\[
\hat{C}_{\Delta, q}^{\Delta, 0, q} = C_{\Delta, q}^{(1)} (R\mu)^{\Delta} \left[ 1 + \frac{q^2}{2} \sum_{\ell m} \frac{Y_{\ell m}(n_c) Y_{\ell m}(n_c)}{\omega_{\ell}} \frac{1}{c_1 D(D - 1)(R\mu)^{D - 2}} + \ldots \right] + \ldots
\]

For \( D = 3 \) the first correction is only suppressed by a factor of \( \mu = \sqrt{Q} \) and is dominant. It is computable for example via dimensional regularization, or in zeta function regularization using the fact that

\[
\sum_{\ell, m} \frac{Y_{\ell m}(n_c) Y_{\ell m}(n_c)}{\omega_{\ell}} = \frac{1}{\Omega_D} \sum_{\ell} \omega_{\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{D - 1} \Gamma_{5/2}}{\Omega_D}.
\]
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In $D = 3$, the $\alpha_{\epsilon\gamma}$ coefficient reads [20]

$$
\tilde{C}_{Q+q,\Omega}^\Delta \propto (Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 + 0.0164523 \times \frac{q^2 \sqrt{12\pi}}{\sqrt{c_1Q}} + \ldots \right] + \ldots.
$$

(5.17)

5.2. The $\langle O_{\ell_2m_2}^- O^- \Delta q \cdot A q \cdot A O_{\ell_1m_1}^Q \rangle$ correlator

As a slight generalization we compute the four-point correlator

$$
\langle O_{\ell_2m_2}^- O^- \Delta q \cdot A (\tau_2) \cdot O_{\ell_1m_1}^Q (\tau_1) \rangle,
$$

(5.18)

with $q_d \sim q_c \ll Q$. Computations of four-point functions of this form have first appeared in [18]. The $\epsilon\gamma\omega\Omega$ are closely related to the ones of the three-point function with a single scalar insertion:

$$
\nabla \mu J^\mu = \frac{i q_d \delta(\tau - \tau_d) \delta(n - n_d)}{\sqrt{g}} + \frac{i q_c \delta(\tau - \tau_c) \delta(n - n_c)}{\sqrt{g}}, \quad \begin{cases} J^\mu(\infty, n) = \frac{\delta_{\mu}^0 (Q + q_d + q_c)}{R^{D-1} \Omega}, \\ J^\mu(-\infty, n) = \frac{\delta_{\mu}^0 Q}{R^{D-1} \Omega}. \end{cases}
$$

(5.19)

To leading order, the correlator is

$$
\langle O_{\ell_2m_2}^- O^- \Delta q \cdot A O_{\ell_1m_1}^Q \rangle = (R^\mu)^{\Delta_d + \Delta_c} \frac{C_{\Delta_d,q_d}^{(1)} C_{\Delta_c,q_c}^{(1)}}{R^{\Delta_d + \Delta_c}} \delta_{\ell_2\ell_1} \delta_{m_2m_1} e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1) \omega_{\ell_2}}
$$

\[ \times e^{-\Delta_Q + q_d + q_c} \frac{\tau - \tau_d}{k} - \Delta_Q + q_c \frac{\tau - \tau_c}{k} - \Delta_Q \frac{\tau - \tau_1}{k} + \ldots \]

(5.20)

Setting $q = q_c = -q_d$ the correlator becomes

$$
\langle O_{\ell_2m_2}^- O^- \Delta q \cdot A O_{\ell_1m_1}^Q \rangle = (R^\mu)^{2\Delta} \frac{|C_{\Delta_d}^{(1)}|^2}{R^{2\Delta}} e^{-\Delta_Q \frac{\tau - \tau_1}{k} - q \frac{\Delta_Q (\tau - \tau_1)}{k} - (\tau_2 - \tau_1) \omega_{\ell_2}} \delta_{\ell_2\ell_1} \delta_{m_2m_1} + \ldots
$$

(5.21)

The next-to-leading order of this correlator has appeared in [18].
6. Conclusions

In this note, we have systematically collected three- and four-point correlators of a \(\mathcal{CFT}\) with a global \(O(2)\) symmetry using the large-charge expansion. We have studied in particular correlators with current insertions of \(J\) and \(T\) sandwiched between either the scalar large-charge ground state or higher phonon states with spin. The correlators involving spinning states constitute the main result of this article as in the literature, chiefly correlators involving the scalar ground state have appeared to date. We mostly focus on one-phonon excitations but also give selected correlators involving two phonons. The form of the correlators generalizes readily to higher-phonon excitations.

The general structure of our correlators contains contributions with positive \(Q\)-scaling coming from the tree-level \(\mathcal{CFT}\) Lagrangian plus quantum corrections starting at order \(Q^0\) which are independent of the Wilsonian coefficients in odd dimensions. A non-trivial position dependence in our correlators must always be due to the quantum corrections since the ground state is homogeneous. While we have used the large-charge \(\mathcal{CFT}\) description in our computations and have not made use directly of conformal invariance, the correlators have the form of conformal correlators on the cylinder at large separation, see Appendix B.

We have also studied higher-order loop corrections to the scaling dimension of \(O_Q\) and found that in even dimensions, the \(l\)-loop corrections contain terms of the form \(Q^{-\frac{l(l+3)}{2}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log Q + ... + \alpha_l (\log Q)^l)\). The appearance of the log-terms distinguishes the loop corrections from the tree-level contributions.

We have furthermore collected H–L–...–H correlators in which operators with a parametrically small charge \(q\) are inserted between large-charge operators. While in the cylinder picture it is easily argued that H–L–...–H correlators lie within the validity of the \(\mathcal{CFT}\), the same is not \textit{a priori} clear for correlators involving more than two heavy operators. In the former case, the \(\mathcal{CFT}\) correlators in the cylinder picture are constructed by having two heavy states at the in- and out spatial slices, with the possible addition of conserved currents or small charge operators with much smaller scaling dimensions, whose insertions can be thought of as small perturbations of the heavy states. The \(\mathcal{CFT}\) might in principle break down very close to the insertions of the small charge operators, but actually accurately captures the behavior. As discussed in [48], if one instead includes another heavy operator in between the in- and out states, this state can then no longer be considered as small perturbations of the heavy states. At first sight, the \(\mathcal{CFT}\) description seems no longer justified, at least in the cylinder frame. Each heavy operator with \(Q \gg 1\) creates a superfluid state around its insertion point. By charge conservation, the three-point function describes the transition between three different superfluids and is now associated to a new classical trajectory in the path integral. However, the key observation is that also in this case the radial field is locally gapped around all the superfluid states and hence its corresponding modes are not excited by the new classical...
profile. It is thus possible to compute $n$-point functions of large charge operators with $H-H-H$ insertions within the eFT. The result for the three-point function was obtained using a numerical solution in [48]. In this note, we have not dealt with this type of correlator, but it might be of interest to study such correlators involving phonon states.

The results we have presented here hold for the $O(2)$ model in $D$ dimensions at large charge or the homogeneous $O(2)$ sector of a eFT with a larger global symmetry group. Once we want to discuss the full non-Abelian structure, things become more complicated. The first observation is that the correct quantity to fix is not a set of charges, but a representation. The immediate generalization of the $O(2)$ case, i.e. studying the homogeneous sector [3] corresponds to fixing the completely symmetric representation. Other representations can be obtained in two ways: by exciting type-II Goldstones [49–51] that are charged under the global symmetry or by starting from an inhomogeneous ground state corresponding to a different saddle point. The two approaches must give the same result in the appropriate limit, but have their own technical complications. Type-II Goldstones contribute at order $1/\mu$ [3]. For this reason they do not play a role in the computations in the present work, but in order to be studied consistently they require the addition of new subleading terms to the eFT [1]. The inhomogeneous saddle is to date only known for the simple case of the $O(4)$ model and an analytic expression is available only in a special limit [14]. Moreover, since it breaks the $SO(D)$ rotational invariance that we have used intensively in our present computations, one expects that computing correlation functions using both the tree-level and the quantum corrections will be more technically challenging. We leave this class of problems for future investigation.
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A. Hyperspherical harmonics and their properties

In this appendix we collect some useful formulas related to spherical harmonics in $D$ dimensions, see also [52].

The hyperspherical harmonic $Y_{\ell m}(n)$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian on $S^{D-1}$

$$- \Delta_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell m}(n) = \ell(\ell + D - 2)Y_{\ell m}(n), \quad (A.1)$$

where $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $m$ is a vector of $D - 2$ components satisfying

$$l \geq m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_{D-3} \geq |m_{D-2}|. \quad (A.2)$$

The lowest component $m_{D-2}$ is associated to the standard $SO(3)$ quantum number. This is the only component that can have a negative sign. We denote with $m'$ the vector with the sign of $m_{D-2}$ flipped. This appears in the conjugation property

$$Y_{\ell m} = (-1)^{m_{D-2}}Y_{\ell m'}. \quad (A.3)$$

The eigenvalue does not depend on $m$, and it appears with multiplicity

$$M_{\ell} = \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)\Gamma(D + \ell - 2)}{\ell!\Gamma(D - 1)}. \quad (A.4)$$

Since the Laplacian is self-adjoint, the $Y_{\ell m}$ form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(S^{D-1})$

$$(Y_{\ell m}, Y_{\ell' m'}) = \int_{S^{D-1}} d\Omega Y_{\ell m}(n)Y_{\ell' m'}(n) = \delta_{\ell \ell'}\delta_{m m'}. \quad (A.5)$$

We defined the rescaled versions of the volume element on the sphere and of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian

$$d\Omega = R^{D-1}d\Omega, \quad \omega_{\ell}^2 = \frac{\ell(\ell + D - 2)}{(D - 1)R^2}. \quad (A.6)$$

Some useful identities obtained by summing over the indices $m$ are

$$\sum_{m} Y_{\ell m}(n)Y_{\ell m}(n) = M_{\ell} \Omega_D, \quad (A.7)$$

$$\sum_{m} Y_{\ell m}(n) \partial_{i} Y_{\ell m}(n) = 0, \quad (A.8)$$

$$\sum_{m} \partial_{i} Y_{\ell m}(n) \partial_{j} Y_{\ell m}(n) = \frac{M_{\ell}}{\Omega_D} (R\omega_{\ell})^2 h_{ij}(n), \quad (A.9)$$

where $\Omega_D = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)}$ is the volume of the $D - 1$ sphere. Sums involving the eigenvalues $\omega_{\ell}$
can be written in term of the sum (3.32)

$$\sum_{\ell,m} \omega_l^s Y_{\ell m}(n) Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = \frac{\Sigma(s)}{\Omega_D R^s} = \frac{\zeta(s/2 | S^{D-1})}{(D-1)^{s/2} R^s \Omega_D}, \quad \text{(A.10)}$$

where the $\Lambda$-independent part of $\Sigma(s)$ is related to the sphere zeta-function [44].

$$\zeta(s | S^{D-1}) = \text{Tr}[(-\Delta_{S^{D-1}})^s]. \quad \text{(A.11)}$$

In the special case of $s = 1$ we recover the Casimir energy of a free scalar computed in Appendix C

$$\sum_{\ell,m} \omega_l^s Y_{\ell m}(n) Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = \frac{\Sigma(1)}{\Omega_D R^s} = \frac{2\Lambda_1}{\Omega_D R^s}. \quad \text{(A.12)}$$

Similarly, sums featuring open derivative indexes can be computed

$$\sum_{\ell,m} \omega_l^s \partial_i Y_{\ell m}(n) \partial_i Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = \frac{\Sigma(s + 2)}{\Omega_D R^s} h_{ij} = \frac{\zeta(s/2 + 1 | S^{D-1})}{(D-1)^{s/2+1} R^s \Omega_D} h_{ij}, \quad \text{(A.13)}$$

and in this case, for $s = -1$

$$\sum_{\ell,m} \frac{1}{\omega_l^s} \partial_i Y_{\ell m}(n) \partial_i Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = \frac{R \Sigma(1)}{\Omega_D} h_{ij} = \frac{2R \Lambda_1}{\Omega_D} h_{ij}. \quad \text{(A.14)}$$

In loop computations we will make use of properties of the Gegenbauer polynomials, defined from the hyperspherical harmonics as follows

$$C^{D/2-1}_{\ell}(n \cdot n') = \frac{(D-2)\Omega_D}{D + 2\ell - 2} \sum_m Y_{\ell m}(n) Y_{\ell m}(n'). \quad \text{(A.15)}$$

Monomials can be decomposed in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials

$$(n \cdot n')^l = \frac{l l!}{2^l} \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(D - 1 + \ell - 2s)}{s! \Gamma \left\{ \frac{l}{2} + \ell - s \right\}} C^{D/2-1}_{\ell-2s}(n \cdot n'). \quad \text{(A.16)}$$

In addition, the Gegenbauer polynomials satisfy an addition property of the form

$$C^{D/2-1}_{\ell_a}(n \cdot n') C^{D/2-1}_{\ell_b}(n \cdot n') = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(\ell_a, \ell_b)} \langle k | \ell_a \ell_b \rangle C^{D/2-1}_{\ell_a+\ell_b-2k}(n \cdot n'), \quad \text{(A.17)}$$

where the coefficients $\langle k | \ell_a \ell_b \rangle$ are given by the expression

$$\langle k | \ell_a \ell_b \rangle = \frac{\left( \frac{D}{2} - 1 - 2k + \ell_a + \ell_b \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{D}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \Gamma(\ell_a + \ell_b - 2k + D - 2)\} \frac{\Gamma(\ell_a + \ell_b + 1 - 2k)}{\Gamma(\ell_a + \ell_b - 2k + D - 2)}$$
\begin{align}
\frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{D}{2} + k - 1 \right) \Gamma (\ell_a + \ell_b - k + D - 2) \Gamma (\ell_a - k + \frac{D}{2} - 1) \Gamma (\ell_b - k + \frac{D}{2} - 1)}{\Gamma (k + 1) \Gamma (\ell_a + \ell_b - k + \frac{D}{2}) \Gamma (\ell_a - k + 1) \Gamma (\ell_b - k + 1)}.
\end{align}

This is an \textit{SO}(D) generalization of angular momentum addition in \(D = 3\).

\section*{B. Constraints from conformal symmetry}

Conformal invariance strongly constrains the form of the correlators. In order to use the state-operator correspondence we have been working in the cylinder frame in the limit of large separation, where two of the insertions are taken to be at \(\tau = \pm \infty\). For spinful operators, it is moreover most convenient to work in the spherical tensor basis.

An object transforming in an irreducible representation of \textit{SO}(D) is in the standard basis in Cartesian coordinates represented by a completely symmetric traceless tensor \(T_{\nu \ell_1 \ldots \nu \ell_\ell}\). In the spherical basis, the same object is labeled by a pair \(\ell, m\). To pass from one basis to the other we use the operator \(U_{\nu \ell_1 \ldots \nu \ell_\ell}^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell}\), written as the integral on the unit sphere

\begin{align}
U_{\nu \ell_1 \ldots \nu \ell_\ell}^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell} = k_{D,\ell} \int \Omega \, n^\nu_1 \ldots n^\nu_\ell Y_{\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell}(n),
\end{align}

where \(k_{D,\ell}\) is a normalization obtained by requiring that \(U\) squares to one,

\begin{align}
|U_{\ell m}|^2 = \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \ldots \delta_{\mu_\ell \nu_\ell} (U_{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell}^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell})^* U_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell}^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_\ell \ell_1 \ldots \ell_\ell} = 1,
\end{align}

which reads

\begin{align}
k_{D,\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{2^\ell \Gamma \left( \frac{D}{2} + \ell \right)}{\Omega_D \ell! \Gamma \left( \frac{D}{2} \right)}}.
\end{align}

The simplest non-trivial example is a vector \(V_\mu\) in \(D = 3\), which is mapped to \(V_{1 m}\) with components

\begin{align}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{1,-1} \\
V_{1,0} \\
V_{1,1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(V_1 + iV_2) \\
V_3 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(V_1 - iV_2)
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align}

The two-point function of two primary operators is non-vanishing only if they have the same dimension \(\Delta\), and they transform in conjugate representations. On the cylinder, in the limit \(\tau_2 - \tau_1 \gg 1\) we have, up to an arbitrary normalization

\begin{align}
\langle O_{\ell m}^{q1}(\tau_2, n_2) O_{\ell m}^{q1}(\tau_1, n_1) \rangle = e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\Delta/R} \int_{m m}^\ell (n_2) \approx A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \int_{m m}^\ell (n_2),
\end{align}

where we have used that in the large-separation limit the unit vector in the direction of
the separation between the two insertions is
\[ n = \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} = \frac{e^{\tau_1/R} n_2 - e^{\tau_1/R} n_1}{|e^{\tau_2/R} n_2 - e^{\tau_2/R} n_1|} \quad \text{as } \tau_1 \to \pm \infty. \] (B.6)

Here \( l_{m,n}^r \) is the intertwiner between the two representations.

Similarly, the three-point function of scalar primaries is fixed up to a constant. From the flat space form
\[ \langle 0^2(x_2)0^c(x)0^1(x_1) \rangle = \frac{C_{1c2}}{|x_2 - x|^2 \Delta_{\tau_1 c}^x |x_2 - x_1|^2 \Delta_{\tau_1 c}^x}, \] (B.7)
where \( \Delta_{ijj} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_k \), we find that in the limit of large separation \( \tau_{1,2} \to \pm \infty \) on the cylinder the result does not depend on the scaling dimension of the middle operator \( \Delta_c \)
\[ \langle 0^2:0^c:0^1 \rangle \to C_{1c2} e^{-\Delta_{1} |\tau_1 - \tau|} e^{-\Delta_c |\tau_2 - \tau|} = A_{\Delta_1}^{\tau_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) C_{1c2}. \] (B.8)

The correlator becomes independent of \( \tau \) in the special case where \( \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta \)
\[ \langle 0^2:0^c:0^1 \rangle \to C_{1c2} e^{-\Delta_{1} |\tau_2 - \tau_1|/R} = A_{\Delta}^{\tau_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) C_{1c2}. \] (B.9)

The result is similar for the four-point function of scalar operators, which depends on the cross ratios
\[ \langle 0^2(x_2)0^c(x)0^c(x')0^1(x_1) \rangle = f \left( \frac{x_{2c} x_{c1}}{x_{2c} x_{c1}}, \frac{x_{2c} x_{c1}}{x_{2c} x_{c1}} \right) \prod_{i<j} \Delta_{x_{ij}/3-\Delta_1-\Delta_i}. \] (B.10)
In the large-separation limit on the cylinder it can be rewritten in terms of the function \( A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \)
\[ \langle 0^2(x_2)0^c(x)0^c(x')0^1(x_1) \rangle = e^{-\Delta_{1} |\tau_2 - \tau|} e^{-\Delta_{1} |\tau_1 - \tau|} f_c(\tau' - \tau, n \cdot n') = A_{\Delta_1}^{\tau_1}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) f_c(\tau' - \tau, n \cdot n'). \] (B.11)

For correlators involving spinful operators, the scalar part remains the same as above and is supplemented by an appropriate tensor structure \([53, 54]\). For example, in the case of a scalar–scalar–spin-\( t \) correlator one needs to multiply by \( V^{(ij)k} \cdot t \), where
\[ V^{(ij)k} = \frac{|x_{ki}||x_{kj}|}{|x_{ij}|} \left( \frac{x_{ki}}{|x_{ki}|^2} - \frac{x_{kj}}{|x_{kj}|^2} \right), \] (B.12)
and \( t \) is an auxiliary vector which squares to zero, \( t^2 = 0 \), to ensure the tracelessness of \( V^{(ij)k} \). This object has a particularly simple expression as a spherical tensor. First we observe that \( U^{V_{1 \cdots l} t} \) is antisymmetric and traceless by construction, so we do not need
to subtract any traces and just need to compute one integral

\[
V_{\ell m}^{(ijk)} = U^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}_{\ell m} \cdots V^{(ijk)}_{\mu_r} = k_{\ell, D} \int d\Omega \ Y_{\ell m}^* (n) \left( n \cdot V^{(ijk)}_{\ell m} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k_{\ell, D}} \frac{||x_{kj}||^2 x_{ki} - ||x_{ki}||^2 x_{kj}|}{||x_{ij}||^2 ||x_{kj}||^{D-2}} Y_{\ell m} \left( \frac{||x_{kj}||^2 x_{ki} - ||x_{ki}||^2 x_{kj}|}{||x_{ij}||^2 ||x_{kj}||^{D-2}} \right).
\]  

(B.13)

In the limit of large separation, if we write \( x_i = Re^{\tau_2/R} n_2, x_j = Re^{\tau_1/R} n_1, x_k = Re^{\tau/R} n \), we find that

\[
V_{\ell m}^{(ijk)} = \frac{1}{k_{\ell, D}} Y_{\ell m}^* (n) \left( 1 + O(e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau)/R}) \right),
\]  

(B.14)
as expected from representation theory.

C. Casimir energy in various dimensions

The Casimir energy for the \( \text{eff} \) on \( \mathbb{R} \times S^{D-1} \) gives the first correction to the scaling dimension of the primary \( 0^Q \) as follows

\[
\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{D}-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} M_{\ell} \sqrt{\ell (\ell + D - 2)}.
\]  

(C.1)

The \( \text{eff} \) can describe only phonon states \( \alpha_{\ell}^Q |Q \rangle \) with \( \ell \ll \Lambda \), where \( \Lambda \sim R \mu \sim Q^{\frac{1}{D-1}} \) which provides a natural regularization procedure for Eq. (C.1). We can regulate it employing a smooth cutoff \([44]\)

\[
\Sigma(1/2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \text{deg}_{D}(\ell) \sqrt{\ell (\ell + D - 2)} e^{-\ell (\ell + D - 2)/\Lambda^2}.
\]  

(C.2)

This regulated sum can be computed as an asymptotic series for \( \Lambda \rightarrow \infty \) as follows. First, note that for large \( \ell \) the summand of the original series can be expanded in powers of \( 1/\ell \) starting at \( \ell^{D-1} \)

\[
\text{deg}(\ell) \sqrt{\ell (\ell + D - 2)} \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(D) \ell^{D-k}.
\]  

(C.3)
The regulated sum can then be split as follows

\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \text{deg}(\ell) \sqrt{\ell (\ell + D - 2)} e^{-\ell (\ell + D - 2)/\Lambda^2} = \Sigma_{\text{div.}} + \Sigma_{\text{log}} + \Sigma_{\text{conv.}}.
\]  

(C.4)
where we defined
\[ \Sigma_{\text{conv.}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \deg(\ell) \sqrt{\ell(\ell + D - 2)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{D+1}{2}} a_k(D) \ell^{D-k} \right) e^{-\ell(D-2)/\Lambda^2}, \]
\[ \Sigma_{\log} = a_{D+1}(D) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell} e^{-\ell(D-2)/\Lambda^2}, \]
\[ \Sigma_{\text{div.}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{D} a_k(D) \ell^{D-k} \right) e^{-\ell(D-2)/\Lambda^2}. \]

Let us discuss these three sums separately. By construction, the \( \Sigma_{\text{conv.}} \) term contains a convergent series
\[ \deg(\ell) \sqrt{\ell(\ell + D - 2)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{D+1}{2}} a_k(D) \ell^{D-k} \sim O\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad \ell \to \infty. \] (C.5)

This means that no further regulation is needed and \( \Sigma_{\text{conv.}} = \text{const.} + O(1/\Lambda) \). The \( \Sigma_{\log} \) term is only present when \( D \) is even. This is due to the fact that the coefficients \( a_k(D) \) satisfy
\[ a_{2k} \propto (D - 2k + 1), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \] (C.6)
while \( a_{2k+1} \) does not have zeroes for any integer dimension \( D > 2 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). This term leads to a \( \log \Lambda \) first found in this context in \([20]\). The asymptotic expansion of this term for \( \Lambda \to \infty \) can be found by a straightforward application of the Euler–Maclaurin formula
\[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell} e^{-\ell(D-2)/\Lambda^2} \sim \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x} e^{-x(D+2)/\Lambda^2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-(D-1)/\Lambda^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2k-1} \frac{1}{x} e^{-x(D-2)/\Lambda^2} \]
\[ \sim \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma + \log \Lambda^2 \right) + O(1/\Lambda). \] (C.7)

This term produces the following term in the 1-loop scaling dimension in even dimension
\[ \Delta_1 |_{D = \text{even}} \geq \frac{a_{D+1}}{2(D-1)^{\sqrt{D-1}}} \log Q. \] (C.8)

As this cannot be corrected by any other classical or loop correction, it is a universal prediction that is independent of the details of the underlying strongly-coupled \( \text{CFT} \), depending only on its global symmetry group.

In odd dimensions the universal term is instead proportional to \( Q^0 \) and is computed from the terms \( (\Sigma_{\text{div.}} + \Sigma_{\text{conv.}})|_{\text{const.}} \). The term \( \Sigma_{\text{div.}} \) contains also positive powers of the cutoff \( \Lambda \) which can be absorbed into the Wilsonian coefficients \( c_i \). Using a symmetry-preserving
The regulator will always guarantee that to be the case. In the present case, to estimate which powers are going to appear one can use

\[
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(D-2)/\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \right) \Lambda^{\alpha+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\alpha) \Lambda^{\alpha-k},
\]

valid for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \), with coefficients \( a_k(\alpha) \) computable order by order. For example, one finds

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{\text{div}} & \big|_{D=3} = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Lambda^3}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + O(\Lambda^{-1}), \\
\Sigma_{\text{div}} & \big|_{D=4} = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^4 + \frac{1}{4} \Lambda^2 - \frac{9}{20} + O(\Lambda^{-1}), \\
\Sigma_{\text{div}} & \big|_{D=5} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \Lambda^5 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{6} \Lambda^3 - \frac{21}{64} + O(\Lambda^{-1}), \\
\Sigma_{\text{div}} & \big|_{D=6} = \frac{1}{12} \Lambda^6 + \frac{5}{24} \Lambda^4 + \frac{1}{6} \Lambda^2 - \frac{18553}{30240} + O(\Lambda^{-1}).
\end{align*}
\]

The relevant contributions to the conformal dimensions \( \Delta_1 \) are summarized in Table 1 for different spacetime dimensions \( D \).

| \( D \) | \( \Sigma_{\text{div}} \) | \( \Sigma_{\text{log}} \) | \( \Sigma_{\text{conv.}} \) | \( \Delta_{1, \text{univ.}} \) |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3 | \( -\frac{1}{4} \) | 0 | \(-0.01509 \) | \(-0.09372 \times Q^0 \) |
| 4 | \( -\frac{9}{20} \) | \(-\frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} + \log \Lambda \right) \) | 0.1106 | \(-\frac{1}{48\sqrt{3}} \log Q \) |
| 5 | \( -\frac{21}{84} \) | 0 | \(-0.1035 \) | \(-0.1079 \times Q^0 \) |
| 6 | \(-\frac{18553}{30240} \) | \(-\frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} + \log \Lambda \right) \) | 0.1990 | \(-\frac{1}{60\sqrt{5}} \log Q \) |
| 7 | \(-\frac{4735}{2528} \) | 0 | \(-0.1684 \) | \(-0.1130 \times Q^0 \) |
| 8 | \(-\frac{534983}{725760} \) | \(-\frac{481}{5120} \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} + \log \Lambda \right) \) | 0.2655 | \(-\frac{9}{71680\sqrt{7}} \log Q \) |
| 9 | \(-\frac{127341}{2949120} \) | 0 | \(-0.2203 \) | \(-0.1153 \times Q^0 \) |
| 10 | \(-\frac{1020807}{12418560} \) | \(-\frac{25}{115} \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} + \log \Lambda \right) \) | 0.3192 | \(-\frac{11}{335} \log Q \) |
| 11 | \(-\frac{27716003}{58720560} \) | 0 | \(-0.2641 \) | \(-0.1163 \times Q^0 \) |

Table 1: Relevant values for the sums in (C.5) in different spacetime dimension \( D \). We indicate with \( \Delta_{1, \text{univ.}} \) the universal contribution to the scaling dimension.
D. Details of the loop computations

The subleading terms in the large-$Q$ expansion involve contribution from loop corrections arising from the $\text{eff}$. The perturbation theory is set up on $S^D_1 \times S^{D-1}$, and in this Appendix we summarize the relevant technology for 2-loop computations.

D.1. Matsubara sums

Summations over Matsubara frequencies can be performed using the formula

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f \left( \frac{2\pi in}{\beta} \right) = \beta \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left( \frac{f(ik) + f(-ik)}{2} \right) + O(e^{-\beta}), \quad (D.1)$$

where corrections are neglected in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit.

There are three relevant Matsubara sums appearing in our loop computations. These are readily computed using the formula above and result in

$$\sum_{n_a} D_{n_a}^{\ell_a} = \frac{\beta}{2\omega_{\ell_a}}, \quad (D.2)$$

$$\sum_{n_a = n_b} D_{n_a}^{\ell_a} D_{n_b}^{\ell_b} = \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_a}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_b}} \right] \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 + (\omega_{\ell_a} + \omega_{\ell_b})^2}, \quad (D.3)$$

$$\sum_{n} D_{n}^{\ell} \sum_{n_a = n_b} D_{n_a}^{\ell_a} D_{n_b}^{\ell_b} = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_a} \omega_{\ell_b} \omega_{\ell}} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_a} + \omega_{\ell_b} + \omega_{\ell}}, \quad (D.4)$$

where the propagator is $D_{n_a}^{\ell_a} = (\nu_{n_a}^2 + \omega_{\ell_a}^2)^{-1}$. Related sums with powers of $\omega_{n_a}, \omega_{n_b}$ at the numerator can be expressed in terms of the sums above using the linearity property of the smooth regularization (3.34). This is analog to the reduction to scalar master integrals in multi-loop computations [55, 56]. Due to the derivative interactions, this reduction procedure may produce a divergent Matsubara sum. In our smooth cutoff regularization these are computed as follows in the limit $\beta \to \infty$

$$\sum_{n_a} 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \sum_{n_a} e^{-\frac{\beta n_a^2}{\Lambda^2}} = \frac{\beta \Lambda}{2\sqrt{\pi}} + O(\beta^{-1}) + \ldots \quad (D.5)$$

D.2. Kinematic vertex factors

In perturbation theory on $S^{D-1}$ one needs to compute vertex factors proportional to multiple integrals of hyperspherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}$. In the diagram arising from the
four-point vertex \((3.36)\) one finds

\[ T^{0\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4}, \]  

\[ T^{2\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4}, \]  

\[ T^{4\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \int_{S^{D-1}} \partial_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} \partial_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4}. \]  

These integrals are rather non-trivial in general, but in loop diagrams it is sufficient to compute their contraction in the \(m\)-type indices using \((A.7)\):

\[ \sum_{m_a, m_b} T^{0\bar{\alpha}}(a, -a, b, -b) = \frac{R^{D-1}}{\Omega_D} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b}, \]  

\[ \sum_{m_a, m_b} T^{2\bar{\alpha}}(a, -a, b, -b) = \frac{R^{D-1}}{\Omega_D} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} \frac{\lambda_{\ell_a}}{R^2}, \]  

\[ \sum_{m_a, m_b} T^{2\bar{\alpha}}(a, b, -a, -b) = 0, \]  

\[ \sum_{m_a, m_b} T^{4\bar{\alpha}}(a, -a, b, -b) = \frac{R^{D-1}}{\Omega_D} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} \frac{\lambda_{\ell_a}}{R^2}, \]  

\[ \sum_{m_a, m_b} T^{4\bar{\alpha}}(a, b, -a, -b) = \frac{R^{D-1}}{\Omega_D} \frac{1}{D-1} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} \frac{\lambda_{\ell_a}}{R^2}. \]

Pure two-loop topologies appear in diagrams with three-point vertices \((3.39)\). In that case the following structures appear:

\[ T^{0\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3|4, 5, 6) = \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4} Y_{\ell_{m_5} m_5} Y_{\ell_{m_6} m_6}, \]  

\[ T^{2\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3|4, 5, 6) = \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_5} m_5} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_6} m_6}, \]  

\[ T^{4\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3|4, 5, 6) = \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_1} m_1} \partial_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_{m_2} m_2} \partial_{\ell_1} Y_{\ell_{m_3} m_3} \int_{S^{D-1}} Y_{\ell_{m_4} m_4} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_5} m_5} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell_{m_6} m_6}, \]  

with \(\lambda_\ell\) eigenvalue of \(-\Delta_{S^{D-1}}\). The last two structures can be expressed in terms of the first one via integration-by-parts relations

\[ T^{4\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \lambda_{\ell_4} + \lambda_{\ell_5} - \lambda_{\ell_1} \right) \left( \lambda_{\ell_4} + \lambda_{\ell_5} - \lambda_{\ell_1} \right) T^{0\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), \]  

\[ T^{2\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda_{\ell_4} + \lambda_{\ell_5} - \lambda_{\ell_1} \right) T^{0\bar{\alpha}}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). \]
The expression for $T^{03}$ is not as simple as its flat space counterpart, due to the fact that momentum conservation on $S^{D-1}$ is replaced by $SO(D)$-angular momentum addition. Its $m$-index contraction can be computed using the properties of Gegenbauer polynomials summarized in Appendix A

$$\sum_{m_a, m_b, m_c} T^{03}(a, b, c | a, b, c) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{R^{D-2}}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} \frac{(D + 2\ell_a - 2)(D + 2\ell_b - 2)}{(D + 2\ell_c + 2)} \delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c}$$

where the permutations in $\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c$ are included to make the permutation symmetry manifest, and correspond to the different ways of applying the Gegenbauer addition formula (A.17). The summation appearing above can be computed as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min(\ell_a, \ell_b)} \langle k | \ell_a \ell_b \rangle \delta_{\ell_c - \ell_a - \ell_b, +2k} = \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \frac{(D + 2\ell_c - 2)\Gamma(\ell_c + 1)}{2\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - 1)^2 \Gamma(\ell_c + D - 2)}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(\ell_{abc} + D - 2)}{\Gamma(\ell_{abc} + 1)} \Gamma(\ell_{ab} + D - 2) \Gamma(\ell_{a} + \ell_{b} + \ell_{c} + 2D - 4),$$

where we introduced the notation $\ell_{abc} = \ell_a + \ell_b - \ell_c$ and the symbol $\Delta$ imposing a triangle inequality

$$\Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\ell_b - \ell_a| \leq \ell_c \leq \ell_b + \ell_a \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_c - \ell_a - \ell_b \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Putting these results together and using that $\Delta$ is a fully symmetric symbol, one finds

$$\sum_{m_a m_b m_c} T^{03}(a, b, c | a, b, c) = \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \frac{R^{D-2}}{(D - 2) \Omega_D} \frac{(D + 2\ell_a - 2)(D + 2\ell_b - 2)}{2\Gamma(D - 1)\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - 1)^2} \times$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(\ell_{abc} + D - 2)}{\Gamma(\ell_{abc} + 1)} \Gamma(\ell_{ab} + D - 2) \Gamma(\ell_{a} + \ell_{b} + \ell_{c} + 2D - 4),$$

where we defined the fully symmetric structure $S_{\ell_a \ell_b \ell_c}$ which appears in Eq. (3.40).

This is useful to get fully symmetric amplitudes in intermediate steps. Sums involving
the Δ symbol are computed as

\[
\sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} f(\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c) = \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(\ell_a, \ell_b)} f(\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_a + \ell_b - 2k) - \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b=1}^{\infty} \delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b} f(\ell_a, \ell_a, 0),
\]

where the second term comes from the exclusion of the \(\ell_c = 0\) term. In particular, some sums involving \(S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c}\) can be computed as follows

\[
\sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} = (D - 2) \left[ \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} - 2 \sum_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_a} \right],
\]

\[
\sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \omega_{\ell_c} = (D - 2) \left[ \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} \omega_{\ell_a} - \sum_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_a} \omega_{\ell_a} \right],
\]

\[
\sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} \omega^2_{\ell_c} = 2(D - 2) \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b} M_{\ell_a} M_{\ell_b} \omega^2_{\ell_a}.
\]

**D.3. Graphs for \(\Delta_2\)**

Using the notation of the previous section, the graphs appearing in the 4-point vertex contribution of \(\Delta_2\) evaluate to

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\draw[thick] (0,0) to (1,1);
\draw[thick] (1,0) to (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} & = \beta \left( \frac{\beta}{R} \right)^2 \sum_{\{n_i, l_i, m_i\}} T^4 3(1, 2, 3, 4) \times (3 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = \frac{D + 1}{D - 1} C_2 R^{D-3} \left[ \sum_{n\ell} D_{n\ell} \lambda_{\ell} M_{\ell} \right]^2 \left( \sum_{n\ell} D_{n\ell} \nu_{n}^2 \right), \quad (D.27) \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\draw[thick] (0,0) to (1,1);
\draw[thick] (1,0) to (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} & = -\beta \left( \frac{\beta}{R} \right)^2 \sum_{\{n_i, l_i, m_i\}} \omega_{n_1} \omega_{n_2} T^2 3(1, 2, 3, 4) \times (3 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = \frac{C_2 R^{D-3}}{\beta \Omega_D} \left[ \sum_{n\ell} D_{n\ell} \lambda_{\ell} M_{\ell} \right] \left[ \sum_{n\ell} D_{n\ell} \nu_{n}^2 \right], \quad (D.28) \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\draw[thick] (0,0) to (1,1);
\draw[thick] (1,0) to (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} & = \beta \left( \frac{\beta}{R} \right)^2 \sum_{\{n_i, l_i, m_i\}} \delta_{\Sigma, n_i} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \nu_{n_i} T^0 3(1, 2, 3, 4) \times (3 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = 3 \frac{C_2 R^{D-3}}{\beta \Omega_D} \left[ \sum_{n\ell} D_{n\ell} \nu_{n}^2 M_{\ell} \right]^2. \quad (D.29)
\end{align*}
\]
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Similarly, the graphs appearing using three-point vertices give

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{three_point_graph.png}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n_a + n_b + n_c = 0 \) and \( \ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c \) are three different values.

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) \left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) & \sum_{(n_i,\ell_i,m_i)} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{6} n_{n_i} \right] \mathcal{T}^0(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \times (6 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = 6 C^3 R^{2D-5} \beta \sum_{n_a + n_b + n_c = 0} n_a^2 n_b n_c n_b \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} D_{n_a} D_{n_b} D_{n_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) \left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) & \sum_{(n_i,\ell_i,m_i)} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{6} n_{n_i} \right] \mathcal{T}^0(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \times (6 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = -6 C^3 R^{2D-5} \beta \sum_{n_a + n_b + n_c = 0} n_a^2 n_b n_c n_b \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} D_{n_a} D_{n_b} D_{n_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) \left( \Sigma_{n_i=0} \right) & \sum_{(n_i,\ell_i,m_i)} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{6} n_{n_i} \right] \mathcal{T}^0(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \times (6 \text{ contractions}) \\
& = -6 C^3 R^{2D-5} \beta \sum_{n_a + n_b + n_c = 0} n_a^2 n_b n_c n_b \sum_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c} D_{n_a} D_{n_b} D_{n_c} S_{\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ 2 n_a^2 (\omega_{\ell_c}^2 + \omega_{\ell_b}^2 - \omega_{\ell_a}^2) - 4 n_a n_b n_c (\omega_{\ell_c}^2 + \omega_{\ell_b}^2 - \omega_{\ell_a}^2) \right] (\omega_{\ell_c}^2 + \omega_{\ell_b}^2 - \omega_{\ell_a}^2).
\end{align*}
\]

**E. Methods and details for the computations in Section four**

**E.1. Computing the \( \langle 0^- Q T_{\tau\tau} 0^Q \rangle \) correlator**

Using the property of \( |Q\rangle \) as a vacuum (2.17), the field decomposition in terms of creation and annihilation operators (2.7) and the expansions of \( T \) and \( Q \) in Eq. (4.3) one can compute the tree level results for the correlators in (4). We demonstrate the computation of \( \langle 0^- Q T_{\tau\tau} 0^Q \rangle \)

\[
\langle 0^- Q T_{\tau\tau} (\tau, n) 0^Q \rangle = - \frac{\Delta_0}{R^D \Omega_D} \left[ 1 + i \frac{D}{\mu} \tau - \frac{D}{2\mu^2} \left( (D-1)\pi^2 - \frac{(D-3)}{R^2(D-1)} \pi \Delta \pi + \frac{(D-3)}{R^2(D-1)} \partial^4 (\pi \partial_1 \pi) \right) \right] \langle Q \rangle.
\]

We ignore the total derivative term for now and show later that it vanishes. Setting \( A = c_1 \Omega_D R^{D-1} D(D-1) \mu^{D-2} \) we find up to quadratic order in the fields

\[
\langle 0^- Q T_{\tau\tau} (\tau, n) 0^Q \rangle = - \frac{\Delta_0}{R^D \Omega_D} \left[ 1 + i \frac{D}{\mu} \tau - \frac{D}{2\mu^2} \left( (D-1)\pi^2 - \frac{(D-3)}{R^2(D-1)} \pi \Delta \pi \right) \right] \langle Q \rangle_{(\tau, n)} \rangle.
\]
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The sum over the product of spherical harmonics is evaluated in Appendix D.

Putting everything together, the final result is

\[
\langle \sigma^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) O^Q \rangle = -A(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0 + \Delta_1}{R^D \Omega_D} + O(\mu^{D-3}).
\]  

(E.5)
E.2. Computing the \( \langle 0^Q_{\ell,m_2} T_{\tau\tau} T_{\tau\tau} 0^Q_{\ell,m_1} \rangle \) correlator

We compute the correlator \( \langle 0^Q_{\ell,m_2} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, x) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', x') 0^Q_{\ell,m_1} \rangle \). We use the expansion of \( T_{\tau\tau} \) in terms of the Goldstone field in (4.3) and the explicit form of the leading order energy density \( c_1(D - 1) \mu^D = \Delta_0/(R^D \Omega_D) \). Expanded to second order we have

\[
T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', n') = \frac{\Delta_0^2}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{\mu^2} \pi(\tau, n) \pi(\tau', n') \left[ \frac{\Delta_1^2(D - 1)}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{2 \mu^2} \left[ \pi^2 + \frac{(D - 3)(\delta_1 \pi)^2}{R^2(D - 1)^2} \right]_{(\tau, n)} \right. \\
- \left. \frac{\Delta_2^2(D - 1)}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{2 \mu^2} \frac{(D - 3)(\delta_1 \pi)^2}{R^2(D - 1)^2} \right]_{(\tau', n')} + O(\mu^{2D - 3}). \tag{E.6}
\]

The correlator becomes

\[
\langle Q | a_{\ell,m_2} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', n') a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle = \frac{\Delta_0^2}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{\mu^2} \pi(\tau, n) \pi(\tau', n') \left[ \frac{\Delta_1^2(D - 1)}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{2 \mu^2} \left[ \pi^2 + \frac{(D - 3)(\delta_1 \pi)^2}{R^2(D - 1)^2} \right]_{(\tau, n)} a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle \\
- \frac{\Delta_2^2(D - 1)}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{2 \mu^2} \left[ (D - 1) \pi^2(\tau, n) + \frac{(D - 3)}{R^2(D - 1)} (\delta_1 \pi(\tau, n))^2 \right] a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle \\
- \frac{\Delta_2^2(D - 1)}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \frac{D^2}{2 \mu^2} \left[ (D - 1) \pi^2(\tau', n') + \frac{(D - 3)}{R^2(D - 1)} (\delta_1 \pi(\tau', n'))^2 \right] a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle. \tag{E.7}
\]

The last two terms are equivalent, already appear in the \( \langle 0^Q_{\ell,m_2} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, n) 0^Q_{\ell,m_1} \rangle \) correlator and are computed as follows (where \( A = \Delta_0 D R^{-1} \mu^{-2} \))

\[
- \frac{\Delta_0(D - 1)}{R^D \Omega_D} \frac{D}{2 \mu^2} \left[ (D - 3) (\delta_1 \pi)^2 \right]_{(\tau, n)} a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle \\
= \frac{\Delta_0 D(D - 1)}{2 \mu^2 R^D \Omega_D} \sum_{m,l,m',l'} \frac{1}{\omega_l \omega_{l'}} \left[ (Q | a_{\ell,m} e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{l,m'}^\dagger e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle Y_{\ell,m}(n) Y_{l,m'}(n) \\
+ (Q | a_{\ell,m} e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{l,m'}^\dagger a_{l,m} e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle Y_{l,m'}(n) Y_{\ell,m}(n) \\
+ (Q | a_{\ell,m} e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{l,m'}^\dagger a_{l,m} e^{-i(\tau - \tau')H} a_{\ell,m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle Y_{\ell,m}(n) Y_{l,m'}(n) \\
- \frac{(D - 3)}{R^2(D - 1)^2} \sum_{m,l,m',l'} \frac{1}{\omega_l \omega_{l'}} \left[ \right] \right]
\]
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We are left with computing a single term,

\[
(\mathcal{Q}|a_{\ell m}, e^{-(\tau_2-\tau_1)H}|a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell m}^+|Q) \partial_i Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \partial_i Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = \frac{\Omega_D}{2R^D\Omega_D} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta \phi + R \omega_{\ell 2}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \left( \sum_{m, l} R \omega_{\ell 1} Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} \partial_{\ell_2} \delta_{m m_1} \right) Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} \partial_{\ell_2} \delta_{m m_1}}{(D - 1) R \sqrt{\Omega_D} \omega_{\ell 2}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} + \frac{1}{(D - 3) R \sqrt{\Omega_D} \omega_{\ell 2}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \left( \sum_{m, l} R \omega_{\ell 1} Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} \partial_{\ell_2} \delta_{m m_1} \right) Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell} \partial_{\ell_2} \delta_{m m_1}.
\]

In the above computation we have used that

\[
\sum_m \partial_i \left( Y_{\ell m}(n) \partial_i Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \right) = 0.
\]

The Laplacian acting on the hyperspherical harmonics is easily evaluated using (2.9):

\[
- \Delta Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = (l + D - 2) Y_{\ell m}^*(n) = R^2 (D - 1) \omega_{\ell 2}^2 Y_{\ell m}^*(n).
\]

We are left with computing a single term,

\[
\frac{\Delta_0^2}{R^{2D} \Omega_D^2} \sum_{m, l} R \omega_{\ell 1} \omega_{\ell 2} Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \left( \mathcal{Q}|a_{\ell m}, e^{-(\tau_2-\tau_1)H}|a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell m}^+|Q \right) = \frac{\Delta_0^2 \Omega_D}{2R^{2D} \Omega_D} \left( \sum_{m, l} R \omega_{\ell 1} \omega_{\ell 2} Y_{\ell m}^*(n) \left( \mathcal{Q}|a_{\ell m}, e^{-(\tau_2-\tau_1)H}|a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell m}^+|Q \right) + Y_{\ell m}^*(n) Y_{\ell m}^*(n') \left( \mathcal{Q}|a_{\ell m}, e^{-(\tau_2-\tau_1)H}|a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell m}^+|Q \right) \right)
\]

After appropriately evaluating the sums appearing in these expressions the total correlator becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\langle 0^Q_{\ell, \delta \ell} \left| T_{\tau, t}(\tau, n) T_{\tau, t}(\tau', \ell) 0^Q_{\ell', \delta \ell'} \right. \right\rangle &= \frac{\Delta_0}{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}} A_{\Delta_0 \mathcal{R} \omega_{\ell_{1}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})} + \frac{2\Delta_{1}}{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}} \delta_{\ell_{1}, \delta \ell_{1}} \delta_{t_{1}, t_{2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\Delta_{0} \mathcal{D} D}{2 \mathcal{R}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{2}} A_{\Delta_{0} \mathcal{R} \omega_{\ell_{2}}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})} \left[ \delta_{\ell_{2, \delta \ell_{2}}} \delta_{m_{2}, m_{2}} \left\langle n' \right| \Delta e^{-(\tau-\tau')} n \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\Delta_{0} \mathcal{D} D}{2 \mathcal{R}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{2}} \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_{1}} \omega_{\ell_{2}}}}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} \left[ Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{0}(n) Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n') e^{(\tau-\tau') \omega_{\ell_{1}}} + Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{*}(n) Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n) e^{-(\tau-\tau') \omega_{\ell_{1}}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\Delta_{0} \mathcal{D} D}{2 \mathcal{R}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{2}} \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_{1}} \omega_{\ell_{2}}}}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} \left[ \frac{Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{0}(n) Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n)}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} + \frac{Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{*}(n) Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n)}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\Delta_{0} \mathcal{D} D}{2 \mathcal{R}^{2} \mathcal{D}^{2}} \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_{1}} \omega_{\ell_{2}}}}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} \left[ \frac{Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{0}(n') Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n)}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} + \frac{Y_{\ell, \delta \ell_{1}}^{*}(n') Y_{\ell_{1}, m_{1}}(n)}{e^{(\tau-\tau') \left(\omega_{\ell_{1}} - \omega_{\ell_{2}}\right)}} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(E.12)
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