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1 Introduction
Phonological typology is an important branch of linguistic typology concerned with the study of the distribution and behavior of sounds in world’s languages (Gordon, 2016). The cross-linguistic typological databases, such as PHOIBLE by Moran and McCloy (2019b), provide the crucial tools for drawing typological generalizations within the field. In addition to facilitating development of probabilistic models of phonological typology (Cotterell and Eisner, 2017; Ahn and Mortensen, 2019), such resources were also shown to positively influence the downstream multilingual NLP (Littell et al., 2017), speech (Li et al., 2020) and language documentation tasks (Anastasopoulos, 2019).

This short paper provides an overview of our completed and ongoing experiments with phonological representations utilizing phonological typology databases in speech processing tasks. Despite the increasing popularity of end-to-end approaches to automatic speech recognition (Moritz et al., 2019), text-to-speech (Chen et al., 2019) and speech-to-speech translation (Tjandra et al., 2019), there are still plenty of scenarios where integration of accurate phonological knowledge is crucial, or at least beneficial, including the end-to-end approaches themselves as recently demonstrated by Salesky and Black (2020).

In particular, we describe two strains of research motivated by the need to scale the development of speech technologies that still require phonological representations to low-resource languages and dialects. We first overview the framework for analysis of cross-lingual consistency of phonological features in multilingual phoneme inventories derived from cross-lingual typological databases. We then offer a sketch of a method that may serve as a potential building block in the future phoneme inventory induction system and the central role the phonological typology plays in this approach.

2 Multilingual Phoneme Inventories
The phoneme was originally defined as a theoretical abstraction that applies language-internally. Using phonemes and their succinct distinctive feature (DF) encodings in cross-linguistic settings, the practice going back to Dalsgaard and Andersen (1992), raises an important question: given a multilingual phoneme inventory derived from a typological database, such as PHOIBLE or PANPHON (Mortensen et al., 2016), it is not clear a priori whether all the DFs will be useful or even valid. If DF representations were phonetic rather than phonemic, and acoustic rather than articulatory, one would expect a close correspondence between DFs and the acoustic signal. In practical multilingual applications, however, the representations are often guided by purely phonemic considerations because of the availability of phonemic inventories and transcriptions. Such approaches are often outperformed by the models striving for more phonetic realism, such as the allophonic models of Mortensen et al. (2020).

We followed a simple method: to consider a phonemic contrast to be consistent or robust across languages, it needs to be easily predictable on held-out languages in a binary classification task (Johny et al., 2019). An instance of this problem consists of a span of a speech signal (e.g., a vowel in surrounding context) and a positive or negative label (e.g., front vowel vs. back vowel). A classifier is trained on a multi-speaker, multi-language dataset withholding one or more languages, which are later used for evaluation. For cases where cross-linguistic consistency did not hold, we extended this method by additionally grounding the representation on the contextual phonological knowl-
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edge given as DFs, excluding the contrast itself (Skidmore and Gutkin, 2020). For our experiments we used a set of languages from Dravidian, Indo-European and Malayo-Polynesian families with the phoneme inventories derived from PHOIBLE.

Overall, our findings are mixed. A specially designed experiment for predicting contrasts in unvoiced labial consonants between Bengali and Spanish produced consistent and cross-lingually robust predictions (Johny et al., 2019), also for a variety of auditory representations (Gutkin, 2020), despite the conflicting status of some of the allophones of the phonemes in the experiment. Similarly robust were contrasts between front and back vowels, as well as the vowel height and continuant manner of articulation distinctions (Skidmore and Gutkin, 2020). The negative results include the cross-lingual prediction of retroflex consonants between the language families: retroflex predictor trained on Dravidian languages fails to reliably predict retroflex consonants in Bengali, conversely the predictor trained on Indo-Aryan languages is not reliable for Malayalam. Similarly inconsistent, but less disappointing, was the detection of aspiration. Furthermore, inclusion of other contrasts as contextual input features did not lead to significant improvements in predicting these hard cases.

One of the motivations behind our investigations describe above is a research question that still remains open: Can the above methodology be used for analyzing the cross-linguistic quality of the existing phoneme inventories given the data? For example, among the Malayo-Polynesian languages we considered, only Javanese has retroflex consonants, which it acquired through loanwords from Indo-Aryan or Dravidian languages (Ogloblin, 2006). PHOIBLE contains three different phoneme inventories for Javanese, the largest of which GM 1675 represents retroflex plosives, while the smallest UPSID 380 (Moran and McCloy, 2019a) omits them. Which of the two representations is more likely to be a better fit in a multilingual pronunciation model?

3 Towards Phonology Induction

Our ongoing research focuses on induction of phoneme inventories for languages for which the conventional resources required for speech model training are often missing, placing this task among other related work in zero-resource subword modeling problems (Baljekar et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017). The current state-of-the-art unsupervised acoustic unit discovery approaches derive acoustic-phonetic (Hu et al., 2020; Morita and Koda, 2020; van Niekerk et al., 2020) and latent auditory-like (Ondel et al., 2019) representations, yet it is unclear how accurate these representations are from a typological standpoint.

Our initial investigations utilized “universal” multilingual phoneme recognizers. The results were disappointing primarily because the training data sparsity and the absence of language models to constrain the search often resulted even in unreliable recovery of the phoneme inventories for unseen dialects of the same language, e.g., determining the inventory of Argentinian Spanish having seen Castilian and Mexican Spanish. A more viable approach to this task is integrating language identification and phonological typology into the phoneme recognizer. Given an accurate language identification model, the PHOIBLE phoneme inventories belonging to the “closest” languages may be used to constrain the phonemic hypothesis space for the unseen language or dialect. We developed efficient search techniques for the lattices of this type (Jansche and Gutkin, 2019).

Currently we are revisiting the phonological contrast predictor methods described in the previous section to adapt them to phonology induction tasks. Several known approaches to phonemic feature detection in continuous speech are known, some are purely reliant on signal processing, while others are model-based (Frankel et al., 2007; Müller et al., 2017). At the simplest level, the output of such predictors represents speech as the parallel asynchronous streams of articulatory features. More sophisticated models that exploit the structure of articulation, feature geometry and other types of correlations between various features are also possible. In these approaches, the phonological cross-linguistic databases, such as PHOIBLE or PanPHON, provide the important source of truth not only for combining various features into phonemes, but also for determining which combinations of hypothesized phonemes are admissible given the existing phoneme inventories. Furthermore, additional phonological evidence provided by other typological resources, such as World Atlas of Language Structures (WALS) (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013), can be integrated as well if it can be reliably extracted from the speech signal (Gutkin et al., 2018).
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