NS Segment of a 1918 Influenza A Virus-Descendent Enhances Replication of H1N1pdm09 and Virus-Induced Cellular Immune Response in Mammalian and Avian Systems
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The 2009 pandemic influenza A virus (IAV) H1N1 strain (H1N1pdm09) has widely spread and is circulating in humans and swine together with other human and avian IAVs. This fact raises the concern that reassortment between H1N1pdm09 and co-circulating viruses might lead to an increase of H1N1pdm09 pathogenicity in different susceptible host species. Herein, we explored the potential of different NS segments to enhance the replication dynamics, pathogenicity and host range of H1N1pdm09 strain A/Giessen/06/09 (Gi-wt). The NS segments were derived from (i) human H1N1- and H3N2 IAVs, (ii) highly pathogenic- (H5- or H7-subtypes) or (iii) low pathogenic avian influenza viruses (H7- or H9-subtypes). A significant increase of growth kinetics in A549 (human lung epithelia) and NPTr (porcine tracheal epithelia) cells was only noticed in vitro for the reassortant Gi-NS-PR8 carrying the NS segment of the 1918-descendent A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8-wt, H1N1), whereas all other reassortants showed either reduced or comparable replication efficiencies. Analysis using ex vivo tracheal organ cultures of turkeys (TOC-Tu), a species susceptible to IAV H1N1 infection, demonstrated increased replication of Gi-NS-PR8 compared to Gi-wt. Also, Gi-NS-PR8 induced a markedly higher expression of immunoregulatory and pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and interferon-stimulated genes in A549 cells, THP-1-derived macrophages (dHTP) and TOC-Tu. In vivo, Gi-NS-PR8 induced an earlier onset of mortality than Gi-wt in mice, whereas, 6-week-old chickens were found to be resistant to both viruses. These data suggest that the specific characteristics of the PR8 NS segments can impact on replication, virus induced cellular immune responses and pathogenicity of the H1N1pdm09 in different avian and mammalian host species.
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INTRODUCTION

Throughout the last century and in the recent past, influenza A viruses (IAVs) have led to drastic outbreaks and pandemics in poultry and humans, respectively (Lai et al., 2016). The most devastating documented outbreak was the pandemic of 1918, which is assumed to have caused 20–50 million casualties worldwide (Morens and Fauci, 2007). IAVs, which crossed the animal/human interface, were likely to have spread silently in birds or other animal reservoirs before (Short et al., 2015). Some IAVs acquired adaptive amino acid (aa) mutations and/or genetically exchanged segments (reassortment) with co-infecting IAV strains, occasionally gaining extended virus receptor binding specificity toward humans and became more virulent causing fatalities and/or pandemics (Morens and Fauci, 2007; Neumann et al., 2009; Pappas et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2013). In 2009, the world was confronted with the first pandemic of this century caused by the swine-originated H1N1-type IAV (H1N1pdm09) (Lessler et al., 2009). This pandemic IAV strain evolved following multiple reassortment events including genomic segments from two swine IAV strains [North American classical swine viruses (HA, NP, and NS) and Eurasian avian-like swine viruses (NA, M)], one human strain [North American human H3N2 viruses (PB1)], and one avian strain [North American avian viruses (PB2, PA)] (Neumann et al., 2009). Surveillance studies revealed multiple zoonotic and anthropoionic transmissions of H1N1pdm09 with an increasing number of circulating lineages and reassortant H1N1pdm09-derived genotypes (Watson et al., 2015). Evidence of H1N1pdm09 in turkey flocks complicates the situation even more, since interspecies transmission of H1N1 IAV between turkeys and swineherds was documented (Wright et al., 1992; Mathieu et al., 2010; Reid et al., 2012). As H1N1pdm09 is circulating in mammals and birds together with seasonal IAVs (H1N1- and H3N2-type), and occasionally with 2013/H7N9- and H5N1-type highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV) (Reid et al., 2012; Abdelwhab et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016), H1N1pdm09 genome reassortment and evolution, resulting in variants of unknown virulence for mammalian and avian species, is a possible event. Furthermore, gene segments highly related to those of the 1918 pandemic virus can be found in circulating avian IAVs, suggesting that 1918-like variants may emerge in the future (Watanabe et al., 2014).

Segment 8 is the smallest viral genome segment (860–890 nucleotides) that encodes NS1 protein (approximately 230 aa). The spliced mRNA codes for the nuclear export protein NEP/NS2 protein (121 aa) (Lamb and Lai, 1980). Generally, NS1 proteins are grouped into two alleles (A and B) according to their structural homology. Allele A is more common and represents viruses of avian and mammalian origin, while allele B is found exclusively in avian influenza viruses (AIV) (Ludwig et al., 1991). The length of NS1 is variable (202–237 aa) due to occasional internal deletions and/or truncations in the tail region (Hale et al., 2008). In the virion, NS1 is found at very low levels, but it is abundant in the nucleus of IAV-infected cells at an early stage and is later exported into the cytoplasm, where it has diverse functions (Sato et al., 2003; Garaigorta et al., 2005; Melen et al., 2007; Hutchinson et al., 2014). Structurally, NS1 protein comprises two domains, the RNA binding domain (RBD, 1–73 aa) and the effector domain (ED, 88–202 aa), which are connected with the linker region (LR, 74–87 aa) and terminate with the C-terminal tail (CT, 203–237) (Hale, 2014). The RBD contains one or two nuclear localization signals (NLS), allowing active nuclear import and early translocation of NS1 into the nucleus through binding to cellular importin-α (Melen et al., 2007). Moreover, the RBD includes a poly(A) protein (PABP1) binding site that enables binding of NS1 to different RNA species such as viral genomic RNAs, viral mRNAs, poly-adenylated mRNAs, small nuclear RNAs and double stranded RNAs (Marc, 2014). While the ED has specific regions to interact with several host factors including the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor 30 (CPSF-30), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4GI (eIF4GI), polyadenylene binding protein II (PABP2), p85β-subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), and RNA-activated protein kinase R (PKR) (Hale et al., 2008). The NS1 interferes with several signaling pathways and antagonizes antiviral defenses (such as interferon expression) through interactions with RIG-1, TRIM25, PKR, PACT, PI3K, and PDZ-domain containing proteins (Fan et al., 2013; Marc, 2014). In addition, NS1 of IAV contains two NLS to mediate its active nuclear import via binding to host importin-α (Melen et al., 2007; Hale et al., 2008). The NLS1 resides in the N-terminal RBD, whereas the NLS2 is located at the C-terminus disordered tail (Melen et al., 2007). In addition, NS1 protein contains, at its C-terminus, a nucleolar-localization signal (NoLS) (aa 219K, 220R, 224R, and 229K) (Melen et al., 2007). The C-terminal 11-aa truncation (219–230) in NS1 of H1N1pdm09 results in the loss of the NoLS and partially of the NLS2, leading probably to an altered intracellular NS1 localization (Tu et al., 2011).

Some IAV proteins including NS1 contribute to IAV-induced cellular apoptosis (Gannage et al., 2009; Krumbholz et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Apoptosis is one of the host defense mechanisms minimizing replication of the invading virus during the early stage of infection (Herold et al., 2012). Nevertheless, IAVs also seem to employ apoptosis to facilitate their own replication and pathogenicity (Herold et al., 2012; Muhlbauer et al., 2015). These diverse functions make NS1 protein play a pivotal role in the host/pathogen interaction affecting viral replication efficiency, virus-induced cellular response, host range and pathogenicity of IAV for mammals and poultry, which can be extended further by acquiring certain mutations or by exchange with another NS segments (Ma et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Kanrai et al., 2016).

In order to investigate the in vitro and the in vivo impact of different NS segments on viral replication kinetics, virus-induced apoptotic effects, virus-induced cellular responses and pathogenicity in mammals and poultry, we used reverse genetics to place the NS segment derived from different IAV subtypes, isolated over 79 years and of alleles A and B (H1N1, 1934, A; H3N2, 1975, A; H5N1, 2004, A; H7N3, 2000, B; H7N7, 1980, A;
H7N9, 2013, A; and H9N2, 1998, A) in the genetic background of H1N1pdm09 [A/Giessen/06/2009 (H1N1, Gi-wt)].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Approval Statement**
All animal trials were conducted in accordance with the recommendations and guidelines of the German Animal Welfare Legislation. The animal trial in chickens was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Federal State of Mecklenburg- Western Pomerania, Germany (approval number LALLF MV7221.3-1-024/14). The animal trial in mice was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Federal State of Lower-Saxony, Germany (approval number AZ 33.9-42502-04-12/0939).

**Cells**
MDCK-II (Madin-Darby canine kidney cells type II), 293T (human embryonic kidney cells expressing the SV40 large T-antigen), NPTTr (newborn pig trachea cells) and A549 (human lung carcinoma cells) were maintained in DMEM (Gibco, Invitrogen) supplemented with 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin; Invitrogen) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; PAA Laboratories). Quail-origin (QT-6) fibroblast cells were maintained in Ham’s F-12 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen) containing 1% l-glutamine, supplemented with 8% heat-inactivated FCS, 2% chicken serum, 2% tryptose phosphate broth, and 100 IU penicillin/streptomycin ml⁻¹ (Pen/Strep, Gibco, Invitrogen). All cells were incubated at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂. The THP-1 human monocyteic leukemia cell line was maintained at 2 x 10⁵ cells/ml in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mmol/L l-glutamine (Gibco, Invitrogen). THP-1 cells (2 x 10⁵ cells/ml) were differentiated in 24 well plates using 200 nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 days. The differentiation of PMA treated cells was enhanced by removing the PMA enriched media, and subsequently incubating the cells in fresh RPMI 1640 (10% FCS, 1% l-glutamine) for another day before infection.

**Construction of Plasmids**
A complete set of pMPccdB plasmids encoding the eight viral proteins of A/Giessen/06/09 (Gi-wt) virus and the NS segments derived from Influenza A/Victoria/3/75 (H3N2), A/Thailand/KAN-1/2004 (H5N1), A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9), A/FPV/Bratislava/79 (H7N7) and A/chicken/Saudi Arabia/CP7/98 (H9N2) were constructed as previously described (Hoffmann et al., 2001; Mostafa et al., 2013). Briefly, the NS segments were amplified by RT-PCR, cloned into pMPccdB (Mostafa et al., 2013), and subsequently used to generate recombinant virus by reverse genetics as previously described (Hoffmann et al., 2000; Mostafa et al., 2013, 2015). The NS segments of A/Mallard/NL/12/2000 (H7N3) and A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (H1N1, PR8-wt) were subcloned from pH21 or pHW2000, respectively, into pMPccdB (Mostafa et al., 2013).

**Generation of Recombinant/Reassortant Viruses**
The Gi-wt and different Gi-NS-reassortants were generated from the eight plasmids reverse genetics system as previously described (Hoffmann et al., 2000; Mostafa et al., 2013) using "TransIT2020" for transfection of 293T/MDCK-II co-cultures. The generated viruses were further propagated in MDCK-II cells for 48 h; the supernatants were clarified from cell debris by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 5 min and then stored in aliquots at −70°C for further experiments. The eight viral segments of the rescued IAVs were verified by sequencing.

**In Vitro Replication Kinetics of Gi-NS-Reassortants vs. Gi-wt**
To investigate the multistep growth kinetics of recombinant Gi-wt and different Gi-NS-reassortants, A549 and NPTTr cells were inoculated with the predefined viruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001. After 1 h of virus inoculation at RT, cell monolayers were washed with 1x PBS and overlaid with 2 ml of infection medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco, Invitrogen) supplemented with 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, 0.3% BSA and 1 µg/ml of L-(tosylamido-2-phenyl) ethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin (PAA Laboratories)). Aliquots of 200 µl were collected at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post infection (p.i.). IAV titration of supernatants was performed by focus forming assay as previously described (Ma et al., 2010).

**Western Blotting Analysis**
A549 cells were infected with Gi-wt, Gi-NS-PR8, and Gi-NS-Vict at MOI = 1. At 24 h p.i., the cells were harvested, pelleted and subjected to protein extraction as previously described (Pleschka et al., 2001). A sample (10 µl) of each heated protein extract was then separated on precast gradient NuPAGENovex® 4–12% Bis-Tris protein gels (Invitrogen) and subsequently transferred onto immobilon-FL polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore). Following protein transfer, the PVDF membrane was blocked using blocking buffer [1x TBS (20 mM Tris-HCL, pH 7.6, 140 mM NaCl) containing 5% non-fat dry milk] for 1 h at room temperature (RT). The membrane was washed once for 5 min using washing buffer [1x TBS-Tween (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 140 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween20)]. Afterward, detection of the viral NS proteins was achieved using mouse monoclonal antibodies recognizing influenza A virus NS1 (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) diluted 1:2000 in blocking buffer. 1 h later, the membrane was washed three times for 5 min with washing buffer. β-actin was included for normalization and detected using rabbit polyclonal antibody (Abcam) against β-actin diluted 1:10000 in blocking buffer. Next, the membranes were incubated with the corresponding goat anti-mouse IRDye (LI-COR) or goat anti-rabbit IRDye (LI-COR) were diluted 1:10000 in blocking buffer containing a 1:1000 dilution of 10% SDS, in the dark for 1 h. After three washing steps (5 min each), twice with washing buffer and once with
293T cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Greiner; 2×10^5 cells/well) and co-transfected with 1 µg of either pMP-NS-Gi, pMP-NS-PR8, pMP-NS-Vict or empty pHW2000 plasmids mixed with 40 ng pRL-SV40 (Renilla luciferase expression plasmid), and 200 ng p125-Luc (Firefly luciferase plasmid) (Yoneyama et al., 1998), which contains the luciferase reporter gene under the control of the IFN-β promoter. Transfection was performed using Trans-IT2020 as previously described (Mostafa et al., 2013) for 8 h. Except for the mock, transfected cells were stimulated either by infection with virulent Newcastle disease virus (NDV, strain Herts 33/56) at MOI = 3 or treated with DMEM containing 50 ng/ml of recombinant human TNF-α (Invitrogen). After 24 h of stimulation, the cells were harvested, washed one time with 1x PBS, and lysed with 200 µl of “1x passive lysis buffer” (Promega). The amount of Firefly/Renilla luciferase was quantified using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) and measured using a Spark 10M multimode microplate reader (TECAN). Relative luminometer units (RLU), normalized to Renilla luciferase, refer to fold induction of IFN-β promoter activity.

**Luciferase Reporter Assay**

The Luciferase reporter gene assay was performed as previously described (Hale et al., 2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, 293T cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Greiner; 2×10^5 cells/well) and co-transfected with 1 µg of either pMP-NS-Gi, pMP-NS-PR8, pMP-NS-Vict or empty pHW2000 plasmids mixed with 40 ng pRL-SV40 (Renilla luciferase expression plasmid), and 200 ng p125-Luc (Firefly luciferase plasmid) (Yoneyama et al., 1998), which contains the luciferase reporter gene under the control of the IFN-β promoter. Transfection was performed using Trans-IT2020 as previously described (Mostafa et al., 2013) for 8 h. Except for the mock, transfected cells were stimulated either by infection with virulent Newcastle disease virus (NDV, strain Herts 33/56) at MOI = 3 or treated with DMEM containing 50 ng/ml of recombinant human TNF-α (Invitrogen). After 24 h of stimulation, the cells were harvested, washed one time with 1x PBS, and lysed with 200 µl of “1x passive lysis buffer” (Promega). The amount of Firefly/Renilla luciferase was quantified using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) and measured using a Spark 10M multimode microplate reader (TECAN). Relative luminometer units (RLU), normalized to Renilla luciferase, refer to fold induction of IFN-β promoter activity.

**TUNEL Assay (In Situ Cell Death Detection)**

The TUNEL assay was performed using the “In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit” (Roche, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, A549 cells were seeded in 6-well plates (2×10^5/well) overnight. Afterward, cells were infected with reassortant viruses at MOI = 3 for 1 h, incubated with fresh infection media for 10 h at 37°C, washed 1× PBS, dissociated using Accutase cell dissociation reagent (Invitrogen) and transferred into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich) in 1x PBS (pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C, washed twice with 1x PBS and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 5 min.

The cell pellets were permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 in 0.1% sodium citrate on ice for 20 min. Cells were washed with 1x PBS and incubated with 50 µl reaction mixture (provided in “In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit”, Invitrogen) or the “no enzyme” control in 50 µl label solution (provided in “In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit”, Invitrogen) for 1 h in the dark. Finally, cells were washed twice with 1x PBS and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in 1x PBS. Fluorescence was quantified by flow cytometry (BD LSIFortessa Cell Analyzer, BD Biosciences).

**Confocal Microscopy**

Confluent A549 cells were trypsinized with 1x trypsin-EDTA, reseeded in a 3.5 cm dish (Nunc) containing sterile glass coverslips (12 mm) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. When cells were confluent on the next day, they were infected with reassortant viruses at MOI = 1. Growth medium was removed from the culture dish at the indicated time points, the cells were washed once with 1x PBS and incubated with 100 µg/ml CaCl₂ and 100 µg/ml MgCl₂ and subsequently fixed overnight with 1 ml 4% PFA in 1x PBS at 4°C. After fixation, cells were washed twice with 1x PBS and incubated with 1 ml 1% Triton X-100 (in 1x PBS) for 45 min. Subsequently, cells were washed three times with 1x PBS and incubated with 20 µl of the primary mouse anti-Flu A NP mouse antibody (clone 1331, Bio-Rad) [1:200 dilution in PBS++/3% bovine serum albumin (BSA)] for 1 h at RT. Afterward, cells were washed three times and incubated with 20 µl DAPI (10 mg/ml in PBS++/3% BSA) for 5 min. The cells were subjected to three washes with 1x PBS and an additional wash with ddH₂O. Finally, cells were washed in PBS and water, embedded in 0.13 M Tris-HCl (Roth) containing 9.1% Mowiol (Sigma-Aldrich), 22.7% glycerol, and 2.5% DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2] octane; Merck), and visualized using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP5, Leica).

**RT-qPCR Analysis of A549 and dTHP-1 Cells**

Relative expression of selected mRNAs was quantified following infection of A549 cells and differentiated THP-1 (dTHP-1) with Gi-wt or Gi-NS-PR8. A549 cells were grown in DMEM medium (GIBCO® Life Technologies™) and plated in 24-well plates at a density of 4×10⁵ cells per well for the infection experiments. The dTHP-1 cells were grown in RPMI medium (GIBCO® Life Technologies™) and were plated into 24-well plates at a density of 4×10⁵ cells per well, where they were differentiated as described above. Infections of A549 and dTHP-1 cells were carried out at MOI = 1, and the cells were collected in RA1+β-mercaptoethanol lysis buffer (Macherey Nagel) at the indicated time points p.i. RNA was purified using the Nucleospin RNA purification kit (Macherey Nagel) including on-column removal of DNA by digestion with rDNase (Macherey Nagel) for 15 min. at RT. cDNA was synthesized with the PrimeScript™ kit (TaKaRa) using 400 ng RNA in a 10 µl reaction. RT-qPCR reactions were set up in a final volume of 20 µl using the SensiFast™ SYBR® No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Taunton, MA, United States) and the primers listed in Table 1. RT-qPCR was performed in a LightCycler® 2.0 instrument (Roche), using 45 cycles of the following program: 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 15 s. To exclude artifacts resulting from primer dimer formation, melting curve analysis was performed using the sequence 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s, 95°C for 1 min and 37°C for 30 s The results correspond to two independent experiments, each containing three biological replicates per condition, amounting to a total of six replicates per condition. Relative expression of the mRNA targets was calculated using the 2⁻ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Statistical significance was determined with two-way ANOVA and T-test.
**TABLE 1 | Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of A549 and dTHP-1 cell infections.**

| Gene       | Name            | Sequence (5′−3′)                              | Reference       |
|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| HA         | Pan-H1-F        | CTCGTGCCTATGGGGCATTCA                        | Mostafa et al., 2016 |
|            | Pan-H1-R        | TTGCAATCCTGQAGCTGQGTT                         |                 |
| β-Actin    | β-Actin-F       | CTAAGAGTGCGAQTGGAGACATCC                     | Mukherjee et al., 2011 |
|            | β-Actin-R       | GCTGATCCACATCTGCTGQGAAGG                     |                 |
| IFN-α      | IFN-α-F         | CTGTGCTGQGGAGGTGTTG                         | *               |
|            | IFN-α-R         | TACAGGQGQAGAQGTCAGTTC                       |                 |
| IFN-β      | IFN-β-F         | CAQAGAATTTCCAQTGGTCAAGAAGQ                  | Jin et al., 2010  |
|            | IFN-β-R         | TCACTGCTGQQCTGGQQAGQGQQGT                   |                 |
| IL-6       | IL-6-F          | ACGTAAACCTQQCAAAGATG                         |                 |
|            | IL-6-R          | GCTTTGCTQGACTACCTC                          |                 |
| Mx1        | MX1_fwd2        | ACAQGQACACQGCAGAAAACAC                     | Kapadia et al., 2003 |
|            | MX1_rev2        | CQCTQGQGACQGCAGAAAACAC                     |                 |
| IFIT1      | IFIT1_rev       | GCAGAAACQGTCGCTAAATT                        | Li et al., 2015  |
|            | IFIT1_fwd2      | TCAGGQATCQGCTGCTAC                          |                 |
| OAS1       | OAS1_F          | TQAGTGGQGGCCQTAQAAAAC                       | *               |
|            | OAS1_R          | TGQGCTGQTTGQGQAATGTG                       |                 |
| CXCL5      | CCL5-F          | TACCATQAAGQGTCQCCGC                         | Chakrabarti et al., 2010 |
|            | CCL5-R          | GCQAAAGQAGQGAGCQGC                         |                 |
| CXCL-10    | CxCL-10_F       | CTQGTTGQGQGGTTTACAGA                       | Bibert et al., 2013 |
|            | CxCL-10_R       | CACTQAGAAQQGQATGQG                         |                 |
| TNF-α      | TNFhu-fw        | ACCCTCTQCTCQCTGQAGGQGAC                     |                 |
|            | TNFhu-re        | TGAQGQAAAQGQGACQGQGQGQGQ                 | *               |

*Not previously published.

**Infection of Mice**
Female C57BL/6j mice between 10 and 11 weeks of age were anesthetized by intra-peritoneal injection of Ketamine-Rompun (10 µl/g body weight). IAV Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 were administered intranasally in a total volume of 25 µl sterile PBS at an infectious dose of 5 \( \times \) 10^5 FFU/animal (Lv et al., 2014). Mice were kept under specific pathogen free (SPF) conditions. Body weight was monitored daily. Mice showing a weight loss of more than 20% of the starting body weight were euthanized for animal welfare reasons and recorded as dead.

**Infection of QT6 Cells and Avian Tracheal Organ Cultures (TOC)**
Multistep replication kinetics was done by inoculating QT6 cells with Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 viruses at a MOI equals 0.001, and incubating for 1 h at RT. Then, cell monolayers were washed with 1x PBS and overlaid with 2 ml of infection Hams-F12 medium, supplemented with 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, 0.3% BSA and 1 µg/ml of L-(tosylamido-2-phenyl) ethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin (PAA Laboratories). Aliquots of 200 µl were collected at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post infection (p.i.). Supernatants were further titrated for progeny virions by focus forming assay as previously described (Ma et al., 2010). For IAV infection, TOCs were washed with PBS and subsequently inoculated with 10^3 FFU of wt or different recombinant reassortant IAV in 100 µl PBS/BSA [PBS++ containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA, PAA)], or with PBS/BSA alone as control, for 1 h at RT. After removal of the inoculum, TOCs were washed with PBS++ and subsequently cultured in 800 µl challenge medium (Medium 199 containing 0.2% BSA) in the overhead shaker at 37.5°C. TOCs were analyzed for cilia activity at 8, 16, 24, 32, and 48 h; supernatants were collected at the same time points and the IAV titer was determined by focus forming assay as previously described (n = 6/time point) (Ma et al., 2010). Differences in means between virus titers were tested for significance by ANOVA (Randomized Complete Block Design; Statistix 10, Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL, United States).

Tracheal organ cultures were individually harvested and homogenized in 500 µl “peqGOLD TriFast” (Precellys 24 homogenizer with CK14 ceramic beads, Peqlab). RNA was extracted and purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Peqlab). TaqMan real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was used to quantify cytokine and ISG mRNA expression in TOCs (n = 6/time point/group). Gene specific primers and probes (Table 2) were used with the “AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR Kit” (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Individual samples were normalized to 28S rRNA expression. Five µl of diluted total penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin; Biochrom]) in an overhead shaker at 37.5°C. Percentage of cilia activity of TOCs was analyzed semi-quantitatively by inverted microscopy. Only TOCs with 100% cilia activity were used for the experiments.
**RESULTS**

**Growth Kinetics of NS-Reassortants of Gi-wt in Mammalian Cells**

In order to investigate whether the NS segment of other IAV strains would improve propagation of H1N1pdm09 and/or expand the host range we analyzed the impact of NS reassortment between the pandemic H1N1pdm09 (A/Giessen/06/09, Gi-wt) and other IAVs (HPAIV, LPAIV) on its replication efficiency in human and porcine cells. These recombinant NS reassortants were compared to recombinant Gi-wt in A549 and NPTr cells, respectively. Among the assayed viruses, the Gi-reassortant bearing the NS segment of PR8 (Gi-NS-PR8) showed significant higher replication efficiency compared to Gi-wt at 24 and 36 h post infection (p.i.) in A549 (Figure 1A) and NPTr (Figure 1B) cells, respectively ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, the Gi-reassortant with the NS-segment from Victoria/H3N2 (Gi-NS-Vict) showed a significant lower replication efficiency compared to recombinant Gi-wt at 24, 36, and 48 h p.i. in both cell lines (Figures 1A,B) ($P < 0.05$). The other Gi-reassortants carrying the NS segment of other IAV strains would improve propagation of H1N1pdm09 and/or expand the host range we analyzed the impact of NS reassortment between the pandemic H1N1pdm09 (A/Giessen/06/09, Gi-wt) and other IAVs (HPAIV, LPAIV) on its replication efficiency in human and porcine cells. These recombinant NS reassortants were compared to recombinant Gi-wt in A549 and NPTr cells, respectively. Among the assayed viruses, the Gi-reassortant bearing the NS segment of PR8 (Gi-NS-PR8) showed significant higher replication efficiency compared to Gi-wt at 24 and 36 h post infection (p.i.) in A549 (Figure 1A) and NPTr (Figure 1B) cells, respectively ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, the Gi-reassortant with the NS-segment from Victoria/H3N2 (Gi-NS-Vict) showed a significant lower replication efficiency compared to recombinant Gi-wt at 24, 36, and 48 h p.i. in both cell lines (Figures 1A,B) ($P < 0.05$). The other Gi-reassortants carrying the NS segment of Kan/H5N1 (Gi-NS-Kan), Ma/H7N3 (Gi-NS-Ma), Brat/H7N7 (Gi-NS-Brat), Anhui/H7N9 (Gi-NS-Anhui), and SA/H9N2 (Gi-NS-SA) showed no significant difference in viral replication efficiencies in A549 cells (Supplementary Figure S1), when compared to the Gi-wt (12–48 h p.i.). In NPTr cells, the replication efficiency of these other Gi-reassortants was not significantly different from that of the Gi-wt.

**Infection of Chickens**

The chicken in vivo challenge experiment was conducted in biosafety level 3 containment facilities at the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI), Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany. Ten 6-week-old chickens (VALO SPF; Lohmann Tierzucht GmbH, Cuxhaven) were inoculated oculo-oronasally with $10^6$ TCID$_{50}$ of either Gi-wt or Gi-NS-PR8 IAV in 100 µl PBS. Three control birds were inoculated with PBS only. All three chicken groups were housed in different cages in the same room with unlimited access to feed and water. At 24 h post inoculation, three sentinel chickens were housed with each virus-inoculated group to detect possible transmission of viruses. Chickens were controlled daily for clinical signs such as apathy and dyspnea. For the detection of virus shedding, oropharyngeal, and cloacal swabs were collected at 1–7 days post inoculation. RNA was isolated and IAV load of individual samples (single technical replicate) were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) with the “AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR Kit” (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) specifically detecting the hemagglutinin gene of H1N1pdm09 combined with an internal control system in a duplex assay as described previously (Hoffmann et al., 2010). Blood sampling for the analysis of IAV NP specific antibodies was performed at days 7, 14, and 21 post inoculation. Serum samples from chickens were heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min and analyzed by means of a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the presence or absence of antibodies against IAV nucleoprotein (NP) (ID Screen® Influenza A Antibody Competition ELISA kit, ID-vet, Montpellier, France) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

**Biosafety**

All experiments with infectious virus were performed according to German regulations for the propagation of influenza viruses. All experiments involving low pathogenic and highly pathogenic avian influenza A viruses were performed in biosafety levels 2 and 3 (BSL3) containment laboratories, respectively, approved for such use by the local authorities.

**RNA were used per 25 µl reactions. RT-qPCR was performed using the Stratagene MX 3005P RT-qPCR detection system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, United States) with the following cycle profile: one cycle at 45°C for 10 min and 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 57°C for 45 s. For quantification, cycle threshold ($C_t$) values of expressed mRNA were normalized against the $C_t$ values of 28S rRNA of the same sample ($ΔC_t$) as described by Powell et al. (2009). The data are presented as mRNA fold change in relation to $ΔC_t$ values from non-infected groups. Significant differences between groups were determined by Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test.**

---

**TABLE 2 | Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of infection of turkey tissue.**

| Gene | Name    | Sequence (5′−3′)                           | Reference         |
|------|---------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 28S  | 28S F   | GCCGAAAGCCAGAAGGAAAAGCT                  | Kaiser et al., 2000 |
|      | 28S R   | GACGACCGATTGGCAAAGTC                     |                   |
|      | 28S P   | (HEX)-AGGACCGCTAGCCACCCGCAAAGATT         |                   |
| Turkey IFN-α | TuIFN-α-F | GACAGCCAAAGGCGAAAAGG               | Petersen et al., 2013 |
|      | TuIFN-α-R | GTGCGCTGTCGCAAAGGATT                  |                   |
|      | TuIFN-α-P | (FAM)-CTCAAACAGATCCAGACGGTGAG (TAMRA)    |                   |
| Turkey IFN-β | TuIFN-β-F | CCTTCACACACCTCTTCAAGATC                | Sid et al., 2016   |
|      | TuIFN-β-R | TGGTGGTGGTGGTCAATT                     |                   |
|      | TuIFN-β-P | (FAM)-TTAGCGAGCCCGAACAACACTGACGCAACTG (TAMRA) |                   |
| Turkey MX | TuMX-F | CTCAGAGGTTGAAAGAAAGCAATA              | *                 |
|      | TuMX-R | GGGACCAAGATTCCAAGGAAA                   |                   |
|      | TuMX-P | (FAM)-AAGCCCAAGATATGCTGCTGCA (TAMRA)    |                   |

*Not previously published.*
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FIGURE 1 | Replication kinetics of Gi-NS-PR8 and Gi-NS-Vict in comparison to recombinant Gi-wt. Human A549 (A) and swine NPr (B) cells were inoculated with different Gi-reassortants and recombinant Gi-wt at an MOI of 0.001. Supernatants were collected at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h p.i.. Viral titers were then determined in harvested samples by focus assay (FFU/mL) in MDCK-II cells. The titers were calculated for three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using "repeated measures ANOVA," followed by "Bonferroni post hoc" test. ∗p < 0.05, ∗∗p < 0.001, ∗∗∗p < 0.0001; ns, non-significant. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD).

comparable to Gi-wt at early time points (12–24 h p.i.). At later time points (36 and 48 h p.i.), Gi-wt always showed a significantly higher replication efficiency compared to these Gi-reassortants (Supplementary Figure S1). Thus, only the NS segment of PR8 enhanced the replication efficiency of the reassortant Gi-virus, while the NS segment of Victoria/H3N2 interfered with the replication efficiency of the reassortant Gi-virus and the other NS segments either did not affect or even reduced replication efficiencies of H1N1pdm09.

Apoptotic Activity and Nuclear RNP Export of Gi-NS-PR8 vs. Gi-wt

Since different studies have reported that H1N1pdm09 can induce apoptosis in late, but not in early stage of viral replication (Yang et al., 2011, 2012), we assessed the ability of the NS reassortants Gi-NS-PR8 and Gi-NS-Vict to induce late stage apoptosis (10 h p.i.) in A549 cells compared to Gi-wt. To this end, cells were infected with recombinant and reassortant viruses at an MOI of 3 and incubated for 10 h. The amount of apoptotic cells was then quantified by FACS analysis. Staurosporine, an inducer of apoptosis, was used as positive control (Figure 2A). Similar to Gi-wt (Figure 2B), both Gi-NS-PR8 (Figure 2C) and Gi-NS-Vict (Figure 2D) reassortants showed limited apoptotic activities, at 10 h p.i.. These results revealed that the NS segments of Gi-NS-PR8 and Gi-NS-Vict did not alter the apoptotic potential of the pandemic 2009 Gi-strain. Furthermore, it suggests that the change in replication efficiencies of Gi-NS-PR8 (increased) and Gi-NS-Vict (decreased) was not related to a change of the apoptotic potential of these two Gi-reassortants compared to the recombinant Gi-wt.

We then analyzed the possible effect of the PR8 NEP/NS2 of the Gi-NS-PR8 reassortant virus on nuclear export of viral RNPs as a measure of efficient progeny virion production. Overall, we found an increased expression signal for NP representing the viral RNP complexes in Gi-NS-PR8-infected cells compared to Gi-wt-infected cells. Nevertheless, a similar RNP export kinetic was observed (Supplementary Figure S2).

Potential of Different NS1 Proteins to Regulate the IFN-β Promoter

The ability of NS1 protein to antagonize the virus-induced antiviral cell response supports replication of IAV (Hale et al.,

FIGURE 2 | Impact on virus-induced apoptosis by different NS reassortants. A549 cells were infected with recombinant Gi-wt and NS-reassortants of Gi-wt at an MOI of 3. After 10 h, the cells were fixed and permeabilized. The degraded DNA, a marker of apoptosis, was then labeled and quantified using the TUNEL assay. Staurosporine was used as a positive control and "mock" represents the untreated cells (gray histogram). (A) Staurosporin-treated A549 cells. (B) Gi-wt-infected A549 cells. (C) Gi-NS-PR8-infected A549 cells. (D) Gi-NS-Vict-infected A549 cells.
We therefore measured the relative amount of NS1 protein expressed by the recombinant Gi-wt, Gi-NS-PR8, and Gi-NS-Vict in A549 cells. Western blotting analysis showed that NS1 proteins were all expressed and displayed as a single band of the expected molecular weight (25–26 kDa). Yet, we observed a significantly stronger accumulation of NS1 of Gi-NS-Vict than of NS1 protein from Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 (Figure 3A). Nevertheless, it should be noted that the signal strength for the different NS1 proteins expressed could also originate from differential recognition by the monoclonal antibody that was used.

Next, the ability of different NS1 proteins to control the induction of the IFN-β promoter was tested. To this point, plasmids encoding the NS segments of Gi-wt (NS-Gi), PR8 (NS-PR8), and Victoria (NS-Vict) were each co-transfected into human 293T cells together with a plasmid expressing luciferase under control of the IFN-β promoter. Cells transfected with empty vector (no NS1 gene) were either used as a mock (non-stimulated) or as a control (stimulated). All transfected cells except “mock” were followed by induction of the IFN-β promoter, either with Newcastle disease virus-infection (MOI = 3) (Hayman et al., 2006; Shelton et al., 2012) or treatment with recombinant human TNF-α (50 ng/ml) (Osterlund et al., 2005). Unlike NS1-Gi, NS1-PR8 or NS1-Vict expressing cells, NDV infection or TNF-α treatment of the control induced robust IFN-β promoter-driven Firefly luciferase activity. This is consistent with the commonly accepted IFN-antagonistic activity of NS1. Nevertheless, compared to the non-stimulated mock-transfected cells, a two or fivefold IFN-β promoter induction was still observed in stimulated NS1-Gi or NS1-PR8-expressing cells, respectively, while NS1-Vict expression prevented IFN-β induction (Figure 3B). These data indicate that in this setting NS1-Gi has a better capability to antagonize IFN-β induction than NS1-PR8, whereas NS1-Vict seems to suppress IFN-β induction completely, when compared to the mock. Therefore, the increased replication of Gi-NS-PR8 is not connected to a fully reduced IFN induction.

Cytokine, Chemokine, and IFN-Related mRNA Expression Profiles in A549 and dTHP-1 Cells

It was previously shown that cytokine-, chemokine-, and IFN-related gene products induced by IAV infection control viral propagation (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Ishikawa, 2012) and that specific IAVs (pandemic H1N11918 and H5N1-type highly pathogenic avian influenza virus) induce a strong over expression of such factors, causing a so called cytokine storm (CS). CS can lead to increased pathogenicity and severe disease in infected humans (Ishikawa, 2012; Tisoncik et al., 2012; Ranaware et al., 2016). This was observed for infected airway epithelial cells supporting productive viral replication, as well as alveolar macrophages and dendritic cells, which do not support productive IAV infections (Perrone et al., 2008; Gill et al., 2010). Similar to airway epithelial cells, human macrophages express type I and type III IFNs, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, CXCL8, CCL2 (MCP-1), CCL3 (MIP-1α), CCL4 (MIP1-β), CXCL9, and CXCL10 following infection with seasonal H1N1 or with H5N1, 2009 H1N1 strains demonstrating increased pathogenicity (Geiler et al., 2011).
As NS1 is the main viral factor controlling cellular innate immune response in order to promote viral replication (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Ishikawa, 2012) we next examined whether the growth advantage in A549 (human lung epithelia) cells conferred by the NS segment of PR8 might be associated with altered mRNA expression levels of antiviral acting cytokines/chemokines and interferon-related cellular genes, and whether NS segment-specific effects would also be evident in dTHP-1 cells. These cells are human monocytic THP-1 cells, which were morphologically and functionally differentiated to non-productive macrophages (Short et al., 2012). Figure 4A shows results obtained from infected A549 cells. Consistent with the increased viral titres observed for Gi-NS-PR8 in this cell line (Figure 1) viral transcription, as measured by IAV hemagglutinin (HA) mRNA expression level, was also markedly higher in Gi-NS-PR8-infected cells as early as 12 h p.i.. Interestingly, Gi-NS-PR8 infection also resulted in significantly higher induction of all cytokines (IL-6 and TNF-β), chemokines (CXCL10 and CXCL5), and IFN-related genes (IFN-β, OAS, MX1, and IFIT1) assayed.

Results of dTHP-1 cell infection are shown in Figure 4B. Compared to Gi-wt, a significant higher transcription of Gi-NS-PR8 HA mRNA was detected as early as 6 h p.i., which increased to ~2-fold higher expression levels by 24 h p.i.. HA transcription level of both strains subsided thereafter, which is consistent with a non-productive infection. Transcription of the host cell targets followed similar kinetics, as highest levels were detected at 12 or 24 h p.i.. Again, induction of all host mRNA targets assayed was significantly higher in Gi-NS-PR8 infected cells.

Thus, reassortment of the PR8 NS segment resulted in an increased transcription of viral and host cell mRNAs in both productive and non-productive infection, indicating a lower potential of the PR8 NS segment to control cellular innate immune responses compared to the Gi-wt NS segment. Nevertheless, the replication efficiency of Gi-NS-PR8 does not seem to be dampened by expression of antiviral acting cellular factors.

Virulence of Recombinant Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 in Mice

To investigate whether the PR8 NS would affect virulence in a mammalian host, C57BL/6J mice (n = 10 per group) were infected with either Gi-wt or Gi-NS-PR8 (5 × 10^5 FFU/animal) (Figure 5). At day 4 p.i., we observed a strong weight loss among the Gi-NS-PR8-infected mice (Figure 5A) necessitating euthanasia of four mice (Figure 5B). Three additional Gi-NS-PR8-infected mice had to be euthanized by day 7 due to excessive weight loss. The remaining three Gi-NS-PR8-infected mice recovered and regained their body weight between days 10 and 12. In contrast, all mice infected with Gi-wt continued to lose weight after day 4 and weight loss exceeded 20% of all 10 mice by day 7 (Figure 5A), necessitating euthanasia (Figure 5B). Therefore, Gi-NS-PR8 exhibited similar virulence as Gi-wt during the early stages of infection, but as 30% of the Gi-NS-PR8-infected mice recovered, the increased replication efficiency of Gi-NS-PR8 in vitro did not result in an increased mortality in vivo in this mouse model.

Replication of Recombinant Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 in Avian Cells

Poultry species, except quails and turkey, show limited ability to replicate and transmit the H1N1pdm09 (Ilyushina et al., 2010; Pantin-Jackwood et al., 2010). Quails are known to be more susceptible to H1N1pdm09 compared to chickens (Pantin-Jackwood et al., 2010). Successful replication of both Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 was observed in TOC-Tu derived from the upper respiratory tract airway epithelium.

Interestingly, replication efficiency was slightly higher in Gi-NS-PR8-infected TOC-Tu compared to Gi-wt infection at different time points analyzed (except for 24 h p.i.), which was significant at 16 h pi (P < 0.05) (Figure 6B). However, development of ciliostasis of the TOC epithelium as a result of necrosis and apoptosis did not differ significantly between the two groups (Figure 6C). Cilia activity started to decrease at 48 h p.i. in both Gi-wt- and Gi-NS-PR8-infected groups, and dropped further to less than 30% at 96 h p.i..

In accordance with data obtained from infected mammalian cells (A549 and dTHP-1), mRNA transcription levels of turkey IFN-α (TuIFN-α; Figure 6D) and turkey IFN-β (TuIFN-β; Figure 6E) were increased in Gi-NS-PR8-infected TOC-Tu compared to non-infected controls at 8, 16, and 24 h p.i.. Interestingly, mRNA amount of TuIFN-α was significantly decreased in Gi-wt-infected TOC-Tu at 8 and 24 h p.i., which was also observed for TuIFN-β at 16 h p.i. (P < 0.05). However, we observed no significant difference in the mRNA expression level of interferon-stimulated turkey MX gene (TuMX) between the two virus-infected TOC-Tu groups (Figure 6F). After initial suppression at 8 h p.i., both Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 showed similar increases in TuMX mRNA transcription rate at 16 and 24 h p.i., compared to virus-free TOC. These results demonstrate that the effect of the PR8 NS on the virus-induced expression of antiviral factors is not restricted to mammals and that similar to the enhanced propagation of Gi-NS-PR8 in human cell culture the replication efficiency was enhanced in susceptible turkey TOC primary cultures despite significantly up-regulated mRNA transcripts for interferons (α/β).

Virulence of Recombinant Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 in Chickens

It was previously demonstrated that chickens were refractory to infection with H1N1pdm09 (Kalthoff et al., 2010). To analyze whether the PR8 NS segment would increase the virulence of Gi-NS-PR8, 6-week-old SPF chickens were inoculated with both recombinant reassortant viruses, respectively. None of the chickens in either group showed any clinical signs within...
FIGURE 4 | Differential induction of host cell cyto-/chemokines and IFN-related genes during infection of human cell lines with Gi-wt or Gi-NS-PR8 viruses. (A) A549 cells. (B) dTHP-1 cells. mRNA expression was determined by RT-qPCR and normalized to β-actin mRNA. Data were pooled from two independent experiments with three replicates (final n = 6). HA mRNA levels are shown relative to expression by Gi-wt at 6 h p.i., which was arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. Expression of the cellular mRNAs is shown relative to expression in uninfected cells (t = 0 h), which was assigned a value of 1. Statistical analysis was performed using "two-way ANOVA," followed by "Bonferroni post hoc" test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001; ns, non-significant. Significance of differences at individual time points was assessed with student t-test: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001. Error bars represent standard error mean (±SEM).
21 days post inoculation with 10^6 FFU per bird via the oculo-oronasal route. All oropharyngeal and cloacal swab samples of inoculated as well as sentinel chickens were negative for virus RNA by H1-specific RT-qPCR at 1–7 days p.i.. None of the birds seroconverted by 21 days p.i., as tested with an IAV NP-specific ELISA (data not shown). These results indicate that the PR8 NS did not confer improved replication ability to Gi-NS-PR8 in chicken.

**DISCUSSION**

The expanding geographic distribution and continuous evolution of H1N1pdm09 and its circulation in swine and human populations raises concerns about possible reassortment with other IAVs that might result in variants with increased pathogenicity and/or a change of host range (Ma et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2015). Although the descendants of the pandemic H1N1_1918_ virus (including A/PR/8/1934, H1N1) appear to be completely replaced by the pandemic 2009 H1N1 strain, phylogenetic and geographic analyses revealed the global prevalence of avian IAV genes whose proteins differ only by a few amino acids (aa) from the H1N1_1918_ strain, suggesting that 1918-like pandemic viruses may emerge in the future (Watanabe et al., 2014). This might be fostered by the reassortment between H1N1pdm09 and other (avian) IAVs carrying PR8-like NS segments. The PR8 NS1 and NEP/NS2 proteins differ from H1N1pdm09 and other (avian) IAVs carrying PR8-like NS et al., 2014). This might be fostered by the reassortment between Gi-wt and with Gi-NS-PR8 were significant (p < 0.025, repeated measures ANOVA) for days 1–4. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. (B) Percentages of mice surviving at the indicated time points (up to 14 days p.i.). Mice had to be euthanized when weight loss exceeded 20%. Asterisk (*) refers to significant difference of means.
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Although apoptosis is one of the host defense strategies to limit replication of invading IAVs, several studies have suggested that IAV might induce apoptotic signaling pathways for the benefit of viral replication, spread and pathogenicity (Herold et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014; Muhlbaier et al., 2015). Yet, the increased replication efficiency of Gi-NS-PR8 (Figure 1) was not found to be correlated with an altered apoptotic activity when compared to Gi-wt-infected cells at late stages of the viral replication cycle (10 h p.i., Figure 2). Also, variations between the NEP/NS2 of PR8 and Gi-wt did not seem to impact on the nuclear vRNP export kinetics (Supplementary Figure S2). As these results argue against a differential control of virus-induced apoptosis and nuclear RNP export by the PR8 NS segment, the observed differential replication efficiencies between Gi-wt and Gi-NS-PR8 have to be due to other reasons.
Suppression of IFN induction is one of the mechanisms that IAV employ to enhance their replication (Marcus et al., 2005), and our data demonstrate that both transiently expressed NS1 of Gi-wt and PR8-wt cannot efficiently control the induction of the IFN-β promoter by NDV infection or TNF-α treatment. Nevertheless, a statistically significant difference in the IFN-β promoter induction between NS1-Gi and NS1-PR8 protein expressing cells is observed (Figure 3B). Interestingly, the obtained data were comparable for IFN-β promoter activation with the NDV infection and for TNF-α treatment.

The observation that a specific IAV allows high IFN-β expression, but at the same time does not show impaired replication efficiency was previously reported in several other studies in vitro and in vivo. The authors referred this phenomenon mainly to unique residues in NS1, which affect its binding ability to important cellular interactors like CPSF-30 (Dankar et al., 2011, 2013; Meunier et al., 2012; Shelton et al., 2012). The CPSF-30 is involved in the maturation of host cell mRNAs. To hijack the CPSF-30 function the NS1 interacts with the cellular CPSF-30 mainly via the effector domain (ED; residues 88–202) of NS1 and two zinc fingers domains of CPSF-30 (the F2F3 region) (Twu et al., 2006; Das et al., 2008; Ai et al., 2014). NS1 and CPSF-30 form a complex with the viral polymerase subunits to allow 3′-end processing of viral mRNAs (Kuo and Krug, 2009). It was suggested that NS1/CPSF-30 interaction might affect polymerase activity limiting viral RNA accumulation. Therefore, viruses with reduced NS1-binding to CPSF-30 would gain an increased polymerase
activity, resulting in higher vRNA yields and allowing them to replicate despite a moderate cytokine response. (Shelton et al., 2012). It was previously reported that a mouse-adapted variant of the pandemic virus A/Hong Kong/68 had acquired two mutations, F103L and M106I, which led to impaired interaction of NS1 with CPSF-30 (Dankar et al., 2011). This mouse-adapted H3N2 virus showed an increased replication capacity despite the fact that it also induced high levels of IFN. Similarly, comparing the aa-sequences of NS1-Gi and -PR8, we found that NS1-PR8 possesses a serine at aa position 103 (phenylalanine in NS1-Gi) and isoleucine at position 106 (methionine at NS1-Gi) (data not shown), which might be a reason for the impaired binding capacity of NS1-PR8 to CPSF-30 that has been previously observed (Hale et al., 2008). Despite the fact that NS1-Gi possesses the important aa residues 103F and 106M to interact with CPSF-30, it also accommodates three aa residues (108R, 125E, and 189G), which were shown to impair the interaction of CPSF-30 with NS1 protein from H1N1pdm09.

In vivo, the Gi-NS-PR8 virus exhibited similar virulence in mice by the 4th day p.i. compared to the Gi-wt. The onset of mortality was earlier yet, in contrast to Gi-wt-infected mice, 30% of Gi-NS-PR8-infected mice survived. At the moment we can only speculate that an increased cytokine induction, as observed for highly pathogenic and pandemic IAV strains (Kobasa et al., 2004; Tumpey et al., 2005; de Jong et al., 2006; Loo and Gale, 2007; Szetetter et al., 2007), might have promoted pathogenesis in mammalian cells. The increased expression of chemokines, cytokines and ISGs may eventually also enhance virulence in mammalian hosts. The results indicate a strong potential of H1N1pdm09 to increase its replication ability in mammalian and in susceptible avian systems. Even though pathogenicity in chicken, mice and turkey TOC was not augmented, the improved replication ability in mammalian cell lines and turkey TOC could provide the possibility for faster adaptation, which might eventually lead to stronger pathogenicity. Therefore, close observation of the genetic development of H1N1pdm09 strains is warranted.

CONCLUSION

The introduction of the NS-segment from PR8 (H1N1) into the genetic backbone of a 2009 pandemic H1N1 IAV strain (A/Giessen/06/09) was shown to increase replication efficiency of the reassortant virus in human, porcine and avian respiratory cells. The increased expression of chemokines, cytokines and ISGs may eventually also enhance virulence in mammalian hosts. The results indicate a strong potential of H1N1pdm09 to increase its replication ability in mammalian and in susceptible avian systems. Even though pathogenicity in chicken, mice and turkey TOC was not augmented, the improved replication ability in mammalian cell lines and turkey TOC could provide the possibility for faster adaptation, which might eventually lead to stronger pathogenicity. Therefore, close observation of the genetic development of H1N1pdm09 strains is warranted.
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