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Abstract

The newly emerged 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has urged scientific and medical communities to focus on epidemiology, pathophysiology, and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, little is known about the virus causing this severe acute respiratory syndrome pandemic, coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). Data already collected on viruses belonging to the coronaviridae family are of interest to improve our knowledge rapidly on this pandemic. The current review aims at delivering insight into the fundamental advances in SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology, pathophysiology, life cycle, and treatment.

1. Introduction

On October 12, 2020, up to 37,423,660 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and 1,074,817 deaths were reported by the World Health Organization (WHO) (https://COVID19.who.int/). This new pandemic has urged the world, especially the scientific community, to understand epidemiology and pathogenesis and develop adequate treatments quickly. The first suspected human infection by a Coronaviridae family member dates back to the last century's third decade (Almeida and Tyrrell, 1967; Henry, 2020). The virus causing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus two (SARS-CoV-2) shares several common features with known coronaviruses (Cascella et al., 2020), especially its zoonotic origin, infection route, and genetic organization. Epidemiological, clinical, and pathophysiological findings reveal the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 that make it the family's most virulent and contagious coronavirus.

2. Historical aspects and common features

2.1. Historical insight into coronaviruses

In 1937, Fred Beaudette and Charles Hudson identified a coronavirus, avian bronchitis virus (IBV), isolated from birds (Henry, 2020). Thirteen years later, in 1967, June Almeida and David Tyrrell isolated two strains from human nasal epithelium and trachea, HCoV229E and B814, that share significant identities with the IBV (Almeida and Tyrrell, 1967). The term “coronavirus” was firstly introduced by Almeida because of the “solar corona” shape disposition due to the surface viral glycoprotein spikes, as revealed under electronic microscopic examination. HCoV229E and HCoV OC43, known as beta coronaviruses, are the first human coronaviruses to be identified: they cause mild respiratory infections (Bradburne et al., 1967; McIntosh et al., 1967; Medicine, 1962). Since the late sixties, the pace of research on coronaviruses has slowed down, settling only for some routine monitoring on humans. As a result, information on human coronavirus' (HCoVs) effect on the respiratory...
Coronaviruses (CoVs) are single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses that belong to Nidovirales, the Coronaviridae family, the Coronavirinae subfamily (De Groot et al., 2012). Data from molecular biology showed that the CoVs genome is the largest among the other RNA viruses with 26–32 kilobases (Woo et al., 2005, 2007, 2009). The coronavirus subfamily is split into three genera, namely alpha-CoVs, beta-CoVs, and Gamma-CoVs (Figure 1) according to the variation of the genetic makeup and antigenic reactivity (Cleri et al., 2010; Gorbalenya et al., 2004; Shereen et al., 2020; Woo et al., 2010). In addition, other new Coronavirus are documented in birds and pigs. While the human pathogenic strains are: alpha-CoVs (HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63), beta-CoVs (HCoV-HKU1, HCoV-OC43, SARS-CoV), and MERS-CoV (Cortellis, 2020). Other alpha and beta-CoVs such as Swine acute diarrhea syndrome (SADS-CoV), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus, and porcine enteric diarrhea virus (PEDV), may present a risk for livestock (Brian and Baric, 2005; Lin et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018).

The CoVs single-stranded RNA molecule’s consists of 5’-capped of 26–32 kb with at least six open reading frames (ORFs) for which the first one, ORF1a/b, contains approximately two-thirds of the genetic information and codes for replicase proteins (Figure 1). The other one encodes the structural proteins S, E, and M, along with nucleocapsid (N) proteins (Fung et al., 2020). Also, proofreading exoribonuclease (ExoN) ensures the fidelity of RNA synthesis by reducing replication errors (Ogando et al., 2019).

**Figure 1.** Genetic comparison of the various coronavirus (modified according to Kaur et al., 2020).
3. COVID-19 epidemiology, diagnosis, and clinical features

3.1. COVID-19: from silence to pandemic

At the end of December 2019, many people were hospitalized with unknown etiology pneumonia. Diagnosis and epidemiological analysis linked their infection to the seafood and wet animal market in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China (Bogoch et al., 2020). Subsequently, reports presumed a potential outbreak caused by a new coronavirus, called SARS-CoV-2, with a reproductive number ranging from 2.24 - 3.58 (Zhao et al., 2020). The initial timeline of the SARS-CoV-2 infection in China is described (Rothan and Byrareddy, 2020). Initially, five patients (one died) with acute respiratory distress syndrome were admitted to the hospital between December 18–29, 2019 (Ren et al., 2020). The WHO China country Office received the first report on the cluster on December 31, 2019. On January 2, 2020, 41 patients from the same hospital were diagnosed positive for COVID-19, among whom more than half had underlying diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and diabetes (Huang et al., 2020). Hence, a nosocomial infection of SARS-CoV-2 is presumed to have occurred in this hospital (Rothan and Byrareddy, 2020). By January 22, the total number of reported infections reached 571 positive cases and 17 deaths in 25 Chinese provinces (Lu, 2020). A few days later, on February 12, 2020, this number increased exponentially to reach 52, 526 cases, of which 1367 died, according to the reports from 31 Chinese provinces. By 23 March 2020, the number of newly confirmed cases decreased to 78 in the 31 mainland Chinese provinces (National Health Commission of People’s Republic of China, 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 infections have drastically spread worldwide to become a global pandemic (Zhang et al., 2020a). As of January 30, 2020, the WHO reported 82 new confirmed cases outside China, including Japan, the Republic of Korea, Vietnam, Singapore, Australia, Malaysia, Cambodia, the Philippines, Thailand, Nepal, Sri Lanka, India, the United States of America, Canada, France, Italy, Finland, Germany, and the United Arab Emirates. Since then, the occurrence of COVID-19 cases has grown exponentially, reaching on March 22, 2021, a total of 122,992,844 confirmed cases with 2,711,021 death worldwide, distributed as 54,127,466 in the Americas, 42,674,788 in Europe, 14,236,990 in South-East Asia, 3,006,474 in Africa and 1,786,689 in Western Pacific (https://www.who.int/).

3.2. Clinical features and diagnosis of COVID-19

Symptoms of COVID-19 are multiple and highly heterogeneous in their distribution. Hence, the large majority of patients experience fever (83–99%), cough (59–82%), fatigue (44–70%), anorexia (40–84%), shortness of breath (31–40%), sputum production (28–33%) and myalgia (11–35%) (Guan et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2020). Among minor symptoms expressed at the early stage of the infection, 2/3 of COVID-19 patients develop a sudden onset of many smells and taste impairment, a phenomenon stated to be reversible after recovery (Moratto et al., 2020). These characteristics of anosmia and ageusia appear to be specific to COVID-19, unlike the other symptoms indicative of a viral infection. Infrequently, these symptom manifestations are mild, with heart palpitations and thoracic tightness instead of respiratory distress. In many cases, symptoms of COVID-19 can be atypical or may show a delay in the presentation of fever and respiratory symptoms, especially in older people (Zhou et al., 2020a) or patients with medical comorbidities (Yang et al., 2020a, b). A study performed in China demonstrates that out of 1,099 patients, only 44% present fever at hospital admission, but it reaches 89% during hospitalization (Guan et al., 2020). Some studies point that less than 10% of patients presented headache, sore throat, rhinorhea, diarrhea, confusion, hemoptysis, and vomiting (Chen et al., 2020a, b, c; Guan et al., 2020). Additionally, gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea and diarrhea occur before lower respiratory tract symptoms and fever (Pan et al., 2020). A plethora of characteristics regarding the host genetic impacting the immunology may relate to such diversity in clinical signs. The incubation period varies among patients but reaches up to 14 days with a median time of 4–5 days (Guan et al., 2020; Lauer et al., 2020).

4. Host cell entry, infection routes, transmission, genetic variation, and risk factors

4.1. SARS-CoV-2 entry to the host cells

A body of evidence sustains the functional similarities between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 interaction with the host receptors. Both viruses can recognize the human angiotensin-converting enzyme ACE2 (hACE2) (Lekto et al., 2020; Li, 2013; Wan et al., 2020). However, X-ray crystallography of the SARS-CoV-2 binding receptor domain (RBD)-hACE2 complex revealed critical functional differences between the two SARS-CoV viruses regarding the receptor recognition affinities (Shang et al., 2020). Accordingly, SARS-CoV-2 RBD shows a higher binding affinity to hACE2 than SARS-CoV RBD (Shang et al., 2020).

Protease activators for SARS-CoV-2 entry were studied as well. Indeed, the TMPRSS2 and lysosomal proteases have been qualified as necessary for the virus entry (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2020). Moreover, studies reported that the SARS-CoV-2 spike contains a pro-protein convertase (PPC) motif at the S1/S2 boundary, associated with increased pathogenicity for influenza viruses (Tse et al., 2014). However, PPC cleavage does not appear to interfere with SARS-CoV-2 host cell entry (Walls et al., 2020).

4.2. Shedding and human-to-human routes of SARS-CoV-2 transmission

4.2.1. Shedding

Understanding the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding is essential for public health policy to design appropriate strategies to control the COVID-19 pandemic. However, only a few studies reported the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the respiratory tract up to 21–24 days post-infection, during hospitalization of mild or severe COVID-19 patients (Zhou et al., 2020a). During convalescence, in symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 patients, Li et al. (2020a, b, c) carried out in China on a total of 18 SARS-CoV-2 positive patients. The study showed a long-term intermittent SARS-CoV-2 shedding in the respiratory tract in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. The viral RNA and specific antibodies were detected during convalescence in 27.8% of the patients. The average length of virus shedding was 11.5 days in pre-symptomatic, 28 days in asymptomatic, and 31 days in mildly symptomatic COVID-19 patients. Besides, 38.9% of the patients maintained virus shedding after hospital discharge (Li et al., 2020b).

4.2.2. Transmission route

Previous studies reported the central role of respiratory and close contact in human-to-human SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Indeed, respiratory droplets and even in saliva generated from the human naso-oral route; coughing, sneezing, breathing, and even normal speaking (Anfinrud et al., 2020), may carry the viral particles leading to SARS-CoV-2 transmission by asymptomatic individuals (Wei et al., 2020). Also, the virus may be transmitted through contact of the facial T-zone with contaminated objects or fomites.

Airborne transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 is another route of infection. Despite being conflicted, previous studies showed the presence of SARS-CoV-2 particles in air sampling from closed environments, sustaining the airborne SARS-CoV-2 transmission (Zhang et al., 2020a).

Another viral transmission route may be nosocomial infections (Ong et al., 2020). For example, Luong-Nguyen et al. (2020) reported that in patients admitted to the digestive surgery department from March 1 to April 5, 2020, 4.9% developed evident nosocomial infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Luong-Nguyen et al., 2020).

Also, intra-family transmission is documented showing that 16.3% of household transmissions were reported by household contact tracing of index COVID-19 cases (Rickman et al., 2021).
Other studies sustain the possible fecal-oral transmission of the virus. Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA has been reported in infected patients' feces and toilet bowls in hospital environments (Chen et al., 2020b; Ong et al., 2020). Furthermore, support for such a hypothesis is provided by ACE2 in the human gut, facilitating the replication and survival of SARS-CoV-2 (Luo et al., 2020).

ACE2 in the placental villi and the uterus supports a possible vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from mother to fetus. A previous study had examined samples from the pharynx of a newborn infant on the day of birth. The authors reported the presence of IgM and IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, while PCR was negative for the newborn and the mother's vaginal discharge (Dong et al., 2020). Other reports pinpoint the presence of SARS-CoV-2 positive newborns at day two post-natal among the 33 newborns of COVID-19 positive pregnant women (Zeng et al., 2020).

4.3. Genetic variants of SARS-CoV-2

From its emergence, several mutations are described for the SARS-CoV-2 (Wang et al., 2020a), and hotspots of substitution/mutations are identified to be at positions 6750 and 28 112 (Zhang, Yang, Zhang and Lin, 2020c). The analysis of 95 SARS-CoV-2 genomes revealed the presence of 13 different mutations in the following regions: ORF1ab, S, 3a, M, ORF8, and N (Wang et al., 2020a). Studies on SARS-CoV-2 genomes from European countries reported the primary hotspots in positions 14 408, 23 403, 3036, whereas, in isolates from American and Canadian countries, only two positions appear to be hotspots for mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Pachetti et al., 2020).

4.3.1. The D614G variant

SARS-CoV-2 variant D614G have emerged in late January of 2020 (Korber et al., 2020). A glycine substitution characterizes this variant for the aspartic acid at position 614 of the spike glycoprotein (Korber et al., 2020; Morais et al., 2020). Patients infected with the D614G variant present higher viral loads in the upper respiratory tract (Korber et al., 2020). In addition, the D614G variant has increased infective capabilities (Korber et al., 2020). However, the D614G mutation does not impact SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis (Hou et al., 2020), and patients infected with this variant did not show changes in disease severity (Korber et al., 2020).

4.3.2. The VOC 202012/01 variant

The Variant Of Concern (VOC) 202012/01 derived from the 20I/GR SARS-CoV-2 clade (also known as B.1.1.7 or 20I/501Y.V1) in southeast England, September 2020, and became prevalent in November/December 2020. The VOC 202012/01 has an estimated 40% increase in transmissibility compared to other variants (J. W. Tang, Tambyah and Hui, 2021). This variant has multiple mutations in the spike protein (deletion 69–70, deletion 144, substitutions N501Y, A570D, D614G, P681H, T716I, S982A, and D1118H) as well as mutations in other genomic regions (Fiorentini et al., 2021). Three mutations, namely N501Y, ΔH69/ΔV70, and P681H, are located in the S protein. The N501Y residue of the S protein is one of the six critical amino acids interacting with the ACE2 receptor (Wan et al., 2020). The N501Y mutant demonstrated a significant increase in ACE2 binding affinity. The ΔH69/ΔV70 have been circulating for a long time (Kemp et al., 2021). Mutation ΔH69/ΔV70 is unlikely to increase the risk of viral escape from neutralizing antibodies, and the ΔH69/ΔV70 has either a similar sensitivity to neutralization by convalescent plasma as the wild type (Kemp et al., 2021) or increased sensitivity to neutralization by sera from mRNA-1273 (Moderna) vaccinated people (Shen et al., 2021). However, ΔH69/ΔV70 could lead to diagnostic failure by the Thermopath TaqPath test targeting the S gene (Wan et al., 2020). The P681H mutation locates near the furin cleavage site, which is essential for SARS-CoV-2 entry (Davies et al., 2021).

4.3.3. The 501Y.V2 variant

The 501Y.V2 (also called B.1.351 or 20H/501Y.V2) presents increase transmissibility. It emerged during the first outbreak in the Eastern Cape of South African in 2020 (Tegally et al., 2020). It became the predominant viral lineage in the Eastern and Western Cape by the end of November 2020 (Tegally et al., 2020). This variant was detected in 48 countries worldwide by March 2021. 501Y.V2 includes three substitutions in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) (K417T, E484K, and N501Y), five in the N-terminal domain (NTD) (L18F, D80A, D215G, R246I, and deletion at 242–244) and one in the S2 subunit (A701V). These confer partial or complete resistance to convalescent plasma and some monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), including class I/II mAbs directed against RBD and 4A8 that targets NTD (Wibmer et al., 2021).

4.3.4. The spike N453Y variant

It is also called cluster 5 variant or ΔFVI-spike and was first detected in North Jutland, Denmark, from August to September 2020. It is transmitted from mink to humans in mink farms. Five mutations in the S protein are characteristic of this mutant: Y453F, an H69/V70 deletion (ΔH69/ΔV70), I692V, S1147L, and M1229I. This variant may lead to decreased susceptibility to neutralizing antibodies which may cause a reduction in the duration of the immune protection conferred by vaccination or the natural course of infection (Focosi and Maggi, 2021).

4.3.5. The P.1 variant

The P.1 variant, derived from B.1.1.28 lineage, also shares similar close properties with N501Y.V2 (Moore and Ofit, 2021). The first case of P.1 lineage, found first in Aracaju (Sergipe), Brazil, on 17 January 2021, emerged from multiple S protein mutations of known biological importance (K417T, E484K, and N501Y). Significantly, this has been associated with increased transmissibility (dos Santos et al., 2021).

4.4. Risk factors of COVID-19

Children and young adults are likely to have lower susceptibility and increased resistance than the elderly, adults, and newborns. Children and adolescents with no underlying conditions, like lung function impairment, immunosuppression... seem to present a lower vulnerability to SARS-CoV-2 infection, and they often develop a mild disease (Brodin, 2020). However, an impaired immune system response favors SARS-CoV-2 propagation and massive destruction of tissues (see the section “pathogenesis of COVID-19”), which may explain the severity of cases among elderly and infected patients with comorbidities. Increased exposure to the virus and increased viral load may also enhance the disease’s severity. It is worth noting that Ellinghaus and colleagues (Ellinghaus et al., 2020) conducted a large genome-wide association study on more than 1900 patients with severe COVID-19 symptoms (with respiratory failure). They identified two genomic regions associated with severe COVID-19 disease. The first one is located on chromosome 3 (locus 3p21.31) and includes six genes. According to Zeberg et Pääbo, a genomic segment of about 50 kb, inherited from Neanderthals confers the risk (Zeberg and Pääbo, 2020). The second one is found on chromosome 9 (locus 9q34.2), corresponding to the ABO blood groups (higher risk in blood group A and protective effect in blood group O).

5. Life cycle and pathogenesis

5.1. SARS-CoV-2’s life cycle

The life cycle of SARS-CoV-2 starts with binding the RBD of the surface spike glycoprotein (S protein) to ACE2 (Zhou et al., 2020b) that is more expressed in the respiratory tract than in other tissues (Furin et al., 2019). This binding requires the transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) intervention, which triggers the S protein’s proteolytic cleavage into subunits S1 and S2 for its activation (Hoffmann et al., 2020). This cleavage is indispensable for the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2. The S1 segment allows the virus’s attachment to ACE2, while the
S2 segment permits fusion of the cellular and SARS-CoV-2 membranes (T. Tang, Bidon, Jaimes, Whittaker and Daniel, 2020) (Figure 2).

The entry into the host cell relies on the endocytic autophagic pathway, a mechanism that has been widely investigated in other SARS-CoVs members. This process is controlled by several proteins, operating mainly in three consecutive stages. First, theULK1/Atg1 complex is engaged and localized to the pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS), regulating the initiation stage. Second, during the nucleation-elongation-maturation stages, ATG proteins and lipids are recruited to form phagophores which undergo an elongation by wrapping and engulfing the cytoplasm organelles. Once completed and matured, the autophagosome is then transported. Third, autophagosomes and lysosomes underwent a fusion stage to form autophagolysosomes. Finally, degradation is the final stage wherein a breakdown of the cargos inside the autolysosome occurs (Figure 2). See (Li et al., 2020c) for review.

After encoding and releasing the (+) ssRNA, the replication complex’s expression within the virion genomic RNA leads to encoding two open reading frames (ORFs), rep1a and rep1b, by a replicase. Two co-terminal polyproteins are produced, pp1a, and pp1ab, which encode the S, M, E, and N proteins vital for viral protein integrity (Fehr and Perlman, 2015). The ORF pp1ab also encodes essential non-structural proteins involved in producing the replicase machinery, Nsp 1-16. Meanwhile, the synthesized replicase is then cleaved by 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) and papain-like proteinase (PLpro) to produce functional and effective Nsp1-16, that is a replicase–transcriptase complex (RTC) deployed in viral replication and transcription (Hilgenfeld, 2014). The RTCs involved replicating and transcribing subgenomic RNAs(Fehr and Perlman, 2015). Nsp5 have additional enzyme domains and functions, such as Nsp 12, which encodes the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) domain (Astuti and Ysralfil, 2020). Thus, the replication of SARS-CoV-2’s (+) ssRNA produces new viral proteins along a path that follows the general steps attached: the synthesis of RNA, proofreading of a template, and capping. In the host's endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus, the associated proteins and viral RNAs are assembled to form virions of SARS-CoV-2. Subsequently, the assembled virions travel in vesicles to the cell membrane, releasing them by exocytosis (Malik, 2020) (Figure 2).

5.2. Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis induced by SARS-CoV-2 relies on ACE2, which is a membrane protein that usually regulates the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), a mechanism in which the signaling of angiotensin II (Ang II) is central (Bahat, 2020). Indeed, the binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 and TMPRSS2 is followed by the fusion of the virus with the plasma membrane and endocytosis (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020b). The association between viral spikes and ACE2 decreases the activity and expression of ACE2, therefore reducing its physiological function, which is detailed in the following section. The respiratory tract’s epithelial cells express substantial levels of ACE2, especially type II pneumocytes, where alveoli infection is the leading cause of COVID-19 morbidity (Zhao et al., 2020a; Zhou et al., 2020b). Because several other organs express this membrane-integrated protein, the infection can spread from there to many organs. Also, in some patients, an exacerbated immune response, including severe inflammation and cytokine activation, can lead to respiratory and multiple organ failure, resulting in death in some cases. The decreased activity and expression of ACE2 by SARS-CoV-2 promote pathological alteration underlying the most frequently observed COVID-19 symptoms. Thus, the RAS plays a crucial role in the disease’s genesis following infection with SARS-CoV-2. Understanding the pathophysiology of COVID-19 provides a good understanding of the RAS implications and the physiological changes that follow the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 with ACE-2.

5.2.1. Overview of RAS activity and role of ACEs

The SARS-CoV-2 accesses host cells because of the ACE-2 proteins. High levels of angiotensin II in the plasma of COVID-19 patients have been correlated with the severity of lung injury and viral load (Liu et al., 2020a), highlighting RAS’s importance in pathogenesis. RAS plays an essential physiological role in systemic homeostasis. It is also involved in
endocrine and autocrine regulatory effects on the lung, heart, liver, adrenal glands, kidneys, vascular endothelium, skeletal muscle, gonads, and brain (Goossens, 2012). Lastly, it is also involved in injury/repair function, cell growth and division, cytokine production, and inflammation (Varagic et al., 2014) (Figure 3). Two pathways with opposite effects, the classical and non-classical pathways, are described. The first one, the renin pathway, in the juxtaglomerular cells of kidneys, acts on the angiotensinogen, a substrate produced primarily in the liver, to yield angiotensin I (Ang I). Ang I is transformed into Ang II in the classical pathway, while it is transformed into Ang (1–7) in the nonclassical ones. The two pathways have antagonistic effects (Powers et al., 2018). Angiotensin-converting enzyme 1 (ACE1) and chymase promote Ang II production (Li et al., 2004). However, ACE2 produces Ang (1–7) by converting Ang II (Powers et al., 2018). Additional mechanisms are involved in RAS regulation but are not relevant in this review on SARS-CoV-2. The two peptides Ang II and Ang (1–7) achieve the regulatory functions of RAS through their binding with their receptors, Ang II type 1 and type 2 receptors (AT1 and AT2), and Ang (1–7) Mas1 receptor (Sriram and Insel, 2020). A hypothesis proposed that imbalance in the action of the ACE1- and ACE2-derived peptides may initiate the pathophysiology of COVID-19 (Sriram and Insel, 2020) (Figure 3).

5.2.2. From RAS moderation to an altered immunity

The SARS-CoV-2 promotes tissue injury and apoptosis in type II pneumocytes that express a high level of ACE2 (Zou et al., 2020). Consequently, this triggers an overactivation of the immune response and leads to the appearance of some of the COVID-19 symptoms, such as dry cough, asthenia, and multiorgan damage in severe cases. An increased level of chemokines and cytokines reportedly damages the lungs (He et al., 2020). In addition, it recruits various immune cells, such as macrophages, monocytes, and lymphocytes, especially in the alveoli, restricting gas exchanges. These modifications might also explain the large variety of symptoms and their declination in mild or severe COVID-19 disease.

Coronaviruses, especially SARS-CoV (Channappanavar et al., 2014). The course of COVID-19, such as lung injury and mild or severe illness, is linked to an imbalance between ACE1 and ACE2. This occurs because of an altered RAS activation and immune response (Sriram and Insel, 2020). In particular, Ang II accumulation has a pivotal implication in triggering inflammation. As SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE2, Ang II binds to AT1R, it induces pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6-soluble (s)IL-6R by the disintegrin and metalloprotease 17 (ADAM17) pathway. Consequently, this activates the IL-6 amplifier (IL-6 AMP) and activates the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). This mechanism leads to multiple inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Murakami et al., 2019). On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 triggers NF-κB expression (Figure 3), which is pivotal in regulating the immune response to infection (Luft et al., 2012). However, in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells, the activation of NF-κB stimulates IL-6 Amp and enhances the release of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6. This activation loop increasingly recruits leucocytes to the lesion, especially activated T cells and macrophages, that reinforce IL-6 Amp (Hirano and Murakami, 2020). The inflammatory response implices changes in a large number of cytokines, with increased expression of leukocytic pyrogen IL-1α, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL1RA), IL7, IL8, IL9, IL10, fibroblast growth factor-β (FGF-β), colony-stimulating factor 3 of granulocytes, colony-stimulating factor 2, interferon-gamma, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10, platelet-derived growth factor, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, macrophage inflammatory protein A (MIP1A), MIP1B, and vascular permeability factor. Among COVID-19 cases, intensive care unit (ICU) patients revealed increased dosages of IL2, IL7, IL10, GCSF, IP10, MCP1, MIP1A, and TNF-α decreased concentrations of IL-6 compared with non-ICU patients (Huang et al., 2020). The overexpression of such a variety of chemokines and cytokines reportedly damages the lungs (He et al., 2020). In addition, it recruits various immune cells, such as macrophages, monocytes, and lymphocytes, especially in the alveoli, restricting gas exchanges. These modifications might also explain the large variety of symptoms and their declination in mild or severe COVID-19 disease.

Coronaviruses, especially SARS-CoV (Channappanavar et al., 2014). The course of COVID-19, such as lung injury and mild or severe illness, is linked to an imbalance between ACE1 and ACE2. This occurs because of an altered RAS activation and immune response (Sriram and Insel, 2020). In particular, Ang II accumulation has a pivotal implication in triggering inflammation. As SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE2, Ang II binds to AT1R, it induces pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6-soluble (s)IL-6R by the disintegrin and metalloprotease 17 (ADAM17) pathway. Consequently, this activates the IL-6 amplifier (IL-6 AMP) and activates the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). This mechanism leads to multiple inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Murakami et al., 2019). On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 triggers NF-κB expression (Figure 3), which is pivotal in regulating the immune response to infection (Luft et al., 2012). However, in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells, the activation of NF-κB stimulates IL-6 Amp and enhances the release of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6. This activation loop increasingly recruits leucocytes to the lesion, especially activated T cells and macrophages, that reinforce IL-6 Amp (Hirano and Murakami, 2020). The inflammatory response implices changes in a large number of cytokines, with increased expression of leukocytic pyrogen IL-1α, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL1RA), IL7, IL8, IL9, IL10, fibroblast growth factor-β (FGF-β), colony-stimulating factor 3 of granulocytes, colony-stimulating factor 2, interferon-gamma, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10, platelet-derived growth factor, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, macrophage inflammatory protein A (MIP1A), MIP1B, and vascular permeability factor. Among COVID-19 cases, intensive care unit (ICU) patients revealed increased dosages of IL2, IL7, IL10, GCSF, IP10, MCP1, MIP1A, and TNF-α decreased concentrations of IL-6 compared with non-ICU patients (Huang et al., 2020). The overexpression of such a variety of chemokines and cytokines reportedly damages the lungs (He et al., 2020). In addition, it recruits various immune cells, such as macrophages, monocytes, and lymphocytes, especially in the alveoli, restricting gas exchanges. These modifications might also explain the large variety of symptoms and their declination in mild or severe COVID-19 disease.
The blood count analysis of COVID-19 patients reported leucopenia in many cases, especially lymphopenia, a cardinal feature (He et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020a, b, c). Such a deficiency must represent a manifestation of the lymphocyte functional deficit, especially among CD4+ and CD8+ cells. This association between COVID-19 severity and lymphocyte count is a good and reliable parameter for diagnostic purposes. Patients with more than 20% of lymphocytes bear moderate illness, those with 5–20% of lymphocytes present severe symptoms, and the most critical cases have less than 5% lymphocytes (Tan et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the mechanism leading to this decrease in lymphocytes is not entirely understood and should be linked to the aforementioned mechanisms involved in inflammatory cytokine disorders. It probably leads to lymphocyte apoptosis and immunosuppression following the hyper-production of TNF-a and IL-6 (Liao et al., 2002). A recent study proposes that the onset of lymphopenia is related to an increase in soluble IL-2 receptor (sIL-2R) levels, which was suggested to act as a negative regulatory factor of CD8+ T cells but not of CD4+ T cells, NK cells, or B cells (Zhang et al., 2020c). Previous studies point that increased plasma sIL-2R is a predictive indicator of a decreased cellular response to IL-2 because it reduces its bioavailability. However, sIL-2R also has a regulatory effect on T lymphocytes in various immunological disorders (Gooding et al., 1995). On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 might cause lymphopenia through direct infection since lymphocytes express the ACE2 protein or via lymphatic organs attack by the virus (Liao et al., 2002).

5.2.3. From lung infection to multiple organ damage
The host lungs present a primary site of infection with SARS-CoV-2. Unsurprisingly, lung damage’s pathophysiology evokes the RAS balance outlined so far. As in hypoxemic lung diseases, increased AngII concentration is critical, leading to respiratory distress. SARS-CoV-2 binds to the ACE2 protein to enter the cell and downregulates its activity. Besides, the virus itself down-regulates ACE2 expression. A compensatory inhibition of ACE1 in the lung, recorded after infection, may play a role in coughing. Following infection, a decreased concentration of Ang (1–7) and an increased concentration of AngII initiate an exaggerated activation of the AngII pathway (Bahat, 2020). Hence, lungs are subjected to significant damages due to enhanced chemokine and cytokine production, oxidative stress, increased fibrogenesis, and apoptosis (Fakhri et al., 2020). In addition to lung infection, injury to other organs has additionally been reported in several mild and severe cases of COVID-19. These are associated with the broad expression of ACE2 (Hamming et al., 2004) and TMPRSS2 in tissues and cells (Vaarala et al., 2001). Accordingly, muscles, nervous system, liver, kidneys, intestine are potential access points for SARS-CoV-2. Increased expression of alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase and total bilirubin concentrations associated with abnormal liver function is documented. Most patients may have high levels of C-reactive protein that provide additional information on liver inflammation. In their stool and blood samples, approximately 2–10% of COVID-19 patients have diarrhearepresentSARS-CoV-2RNA.

Indicators of tissue damage are detected in the blood of COVID-19 patients, like creatinine kinase and lactate dehydrogenase, both associated with an abnormal myocardial zymogram and indicative of muscle damage. In addition, in patients with severe cases and immunosuppression, about two-thirds present a high D-dimer level, indicative of potential nervous system injury (Hamming et al., 2004).

6. Management and therapeutic aspects of COVID-19
No vaccine is currently available for COVID-19, and no specific treatment is yet approved for COVID-19. The current therapy treats symptoms, especially respiratory symptoms, rather than the disease. The first step to treat COVID-19 is oxygen therapy (Chen et al., 2020a, b, c; Jin et al., 2020a, b) and appropriate isolation of patients to prevent transmission to other people and health care workers. Mild illness should be treated at home, with advice on danger signs. The usual principles are maintaining nutrition and hydration and controlling cough and fever. Also, patients with severe pneumonia require hospitalization and access to intensive care units, where mechanical ventilation is available (Chen et al., 2020a, b, c; Jin et al., 2020a, b). The Table 1 summarizes the therapeutic option to treat COVID-19.

6.1. Convalescent plasma (CP) therapy
Convalescent plasma from the serum of cured patients has been used for more than a century to treat and prevent various infectious diseases. In convalescent plasma, antibodies mediate curative effects by binding to a given contagious agent, countering its infective capacity, involving phagocytosis, activating complement, and inducing cellular cytotoxicity (Van Erp et al., 2019). Convalescent plasma therapy has been successfully used over the past two decades to manage MERS, SARS, and the H1N1 pandemic with proficient power and safety (Hung et al., 2011; Ko et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2007). Clinical studies report good outcomes after convalescent plasma therapy. However, these studies presented some bias, such as a combination of non-randomized evaluations, confounding, predictor description, poor methodological conduct for participant selection, the dosage of convalescent plasma therapy, and treatment duration. Therefore, large multicentre clinical trials are necessary to establish a therapeutic protocol (Sarkar et al., 2020).

6.2. Anti-inflammatory agents and immunomodulators
SARS-CoV-2 infection is associated with an overactivation of inflammatory processes and the subsequent development of a “cytokine storm” in its severe form. Therefore, drugs with immunomodulatory properties, including natural and synthetic molecules able to modulate specific inflammatory pathways, are under evaluation (Figure 2).

6.2.1. IL-6
Among key cytokines, IL-6 has attracted significant attention, and monoclonal antibodies that interfere with the IL-6 receptor are now in Phase 2/3 clinical trials for possible therapy for COVID-19 (Zhang et al., 2020a). As tocilizumab (Figure 2) (IL-6 antagonist) can prevent hyperactivation of the inflammatory pathways, it can be used at an early stage of COVID-19 (Zhang et al., 2020b). This drug is one of the first used in China to treat pulmonary complications in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection (Xu et al., 2020a). Trials with siltuximab and sarilumab (Figure 2), other IL-6 receptor antagonists similar to tocilizumab, are underway combined with conventional care or alone in patients with severe COVID-19 (Mihai et al., 2020).

6.2.2. IFN-γ
Another promising strategy is to target interferon-gamma (IFN-γ). Baricitinib, an approved drug for rheumatoid arthritis management, has been tested to manage COVID-19 severity (Stebbing et al., 2020). The drug is a reversible and selective inhibitor of Janus kinases 1 and 2 (JAK1 and JAK2) (Richardson et al., 2020). They are cytoplasmic enzymes with tyrosine kinase activity that facilitate the transmission of signals from the cell surface (IFN-γ, IL-6), activating transcription factors (STAT). Subsequently, STATs are translocated to the nucleus and regulate gene coding expression for growth factors and cytokines involved in inflammation and immune function. This pathway, called the JAK-STAT signaling pathway, promotes the “cytokine storm”. Besides, inhibition of Janus kinases 1 and 2 perturbs the function of AAK1 and blocks virus entry and the intracellular viral assembly (Lu et al., 2020a, b). Thus, Baricitinib can be used to inhibit both viral access and the inflammatory response associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection (Richardson et al., 2020). This may represent an additional therapeutic alternative for the treatment of COVID-19 (Richardson et al., 2020). A nonrandomized Phase II clinical trial has begun to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Baricitinib, Hydroxychloroquine, Lopinavir/Ritonavir, and Sarilumab in the treatment of 1,000 patients with COVID-19. In addition, Ruxolitinib, Sunitinib, and Fedratinib, selective inhibitors of JAK, could be effective against
SARS-CoV-2 by reducing cytokine levels and inflammation, including IL-6, IFN-γ, and viral endocytosis (Stebbing et al., 2020).

6.2.3. TNFα

It is another crucial cytokine involved in inflammatory diseases. In COVID-19 patients, an elevated level of TNFα is recorded in tissues and blood. The role of anti-TNF treatment was recently considered for patients with COVID-19 because of their essential role in the symptoms recorded during the cytokine storm syndrome, including edema, fever, organ dysfunction. A high IL-1 level is observed in patients with COVID-19 (Huang et al., 2020). Anakinra (recombinant interleukin-1 receptor antagonist) (Figure 2) is a therapeutic option with anti-TNF antibodies, such as infliximab or adalimumab (Monteil et al., 2020). A group of U.S. researchers proposed that intravenous infusion of anakinra's could have survival benefits, as it may reverse patients' "cytokine storm" (Monteagudo et al., 2020).

6.2.4. IL-1

The family of interleukin-1, which has pro-inflammatory roles, has been considered in patients with COVID-19 because of their essential role in the symptoms recorded during the "cytokine storm" syndrome, including edema, fever, organ dysfunction. A high IL-1 level is observed in patients with COVID-19 (Huang et al., 2020). Anakinra (recombinant interleukin-1 receptor antagonist) (Figure 2) is a therapeutic option with IL-1-blocking properties (Monteaudo et al., 2020). A group of U.S. researchers proposed that intravenous infusion of anakinra's could have survival benefits, as it may reverse patients' "cytokine storm" (Monteagudo et al., 2020).
6.3. Corticosteroids

It is alleged that corticosteroid treatment is not supported for viral pneumonia. Studies have revealed that the use of corticosteroids for patients with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV correlates with a significantly higher mortality rate than conventional therapy. A similar observation is reported in influenza-associated pneumonia (Ni et al., 2019). There are currently only few clinical trials on methylprednisolone’s therapeutic with better results and reduced mortality (Wu et al., 2020). A recent case study in Japan demonstrated that inhaled ciclesonide, which possesses anti-inflammatory and antiviral activity in vitro, relieves local inflammation in the lungs of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and suppresses viral spread (Iwabuchi et al., 2020).

6.4. Antiviral agents

The life cycle stages of viruses constitute potential targets for pharmacotherapy. Promising drug targets include non-structural proteins (3-chymotrypsin-like protease, papain-like protease, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase) and immune regulatory pathways (Figure 2).

6.4.1. Soluble ACE2 as a virus scavenger and neutralizer

An alternative therapeutic approach is soluble ACE2 as a neutralizer and a virus trap. The soluble ACE2 produced by proteolytic cleavage of the membrane anchor is generally recovered in plasma; however, the concentration of soluble ACE2 is low. Increasing the availability of soluble ACE2 at the tissue level would compete with the membrane-bound ACE2, resulting in the suppression of virus entry into cells (Monteil et al., 2020). Strikingly, a recombinant form of ACE2 reduces viral growth and infection in cell culture, acting as a lure for SARS-CoV-2 (Monteil et al., 2020).

6.4.2. Fusion inhibitors

Fusion inhibitors are antivirals that block the fusion process during viral penetration into the host cell. Several drugs are available, such as camostat mesylate and umifenovir, demonstrating antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 (Gasmì et al., 2020; Richardson et al., 2020) (Figure 2).

✓ Camostat mesylate, E64, and nafamostat mesylate. The attachment of the virus to the cell receptor requires the S protein’s priming with cellular proteases. The virus engages the cellular protease TMPRSS2 to prime the S protein to enter and spread within the infected host (Shulla et al., 2011). Blocking this pathway could reduce the viral titer of SARS-CoV-2. The serine protease inhibitor (camostat mesylate) that acts on TMPRSS2 activity significantly reduces the entry of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 and two into lung cells (Hoffmann et al., 2020).

Additionally, camostat mesylate and E-64d (a cysteine protease inhibitor) could successfully inhibit the attachment of SARS-CoV-2 to TMPRSS2 (Shang et al., 2020). A second serine protease inhibitor, called nafamostat mesylate, is fifteen times more effective in inhibiting the entry of the SARS-CoV-2 virus than the inhibitors mentioned above. Due to its favorable safety profile and potent antiviral activity, nafamostat mesylate is an alternative to camostat mesylate (Balakumar et al., 2017). Nafamostat mesylate is also used to treat disseminated intravascular coagulation and be of interest for COVID-19 management (Yang et al., 2020a, b).

✓ Umifenovir, also known as Arbidol, is a nucleoside antiviral drug targeting the haemagglutinin glycoprotein. Its mechanism of action includes targeting the S/ACE2 protein interaction and blocking the fusion between the viral envelope and the host cell membrane (Kadam and Wilson, 2017). Monotherapy with umifenovir resulted in a negative viral conversion, as the virus was not detected within 14 days (Zhu et al., 2020b). In addition, Arbidol and Arbidol mesylate compounds demonstrate in vitro inhibitory effects on SARS virus replication (Khamitov et al., 2008). Randomized clinical trials in China are underway to evaluate the efficacy and therapeutic potential of umifenovir in treating SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia (Zhu et al., 2020b).

✓ Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and chloroquine (CQ) are approved molecules for treating rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, erythematous, and malaria. Both have antiviral activity against HIV, hepatitis B, Zika, and H1N1 virus (D’Alessandro et al., 2020). CQ and HCQ prevent virus entry by interfering with the glycosylation and proteolytic maturation of proteins and the terminal glycosylation of ACE2. They also inhibit endocytosis by increasing the pH of endosomes, Golgi vesicles, and lysosomes. Also, they inhibit cytokine production by altering membrane stability, transcriptional activity, and signaling pathways (Schrezenmeier and Dörner, 2020). The FDA issued an emergency use authorization for HCQ and CQ on March 28 to treat patients with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. In addition, a combination of HCQ and azithromycin is proposed as an effective treatment for reducing viral load in patients with COVID-19 (Gautret et al., 2020).

6.4.3. Protease inhibitors

When entering host cells, the viral genome is released in single-stranded RNA (positive). The genome is then translated by a ribosomal framework shift mechanism that creates two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab. Two proteases, papain-like protease and 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (MPro), are involved in the cleavage of the polyproteins translated from the viral RNA into functional proteins for virus packaging and replication. Therefore, blocking the activity of these enzymes would inhibit viral replication (Hilgenfeld, 2014) (Figure 2).

✓ Lopinavir/Ritonavir. Lopinavir is used in combination with ritonavir to treat and prevent HIV infection. This combination inhibits the protease of the virus. A specific combination of lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra®) demonstrates antiviral effects against SARS-CoV (Chu, 2004). The ritonavir-lopinavir combination could reduce viral load and improve the clinical symptoms of COVID-19 (Zhu et al., 2020b). The combination of ritonavir/lopinavir and umifenovir also significantly inhibits the lung damage progression during SARS-CoV-2 infection (Deng et al., 2020). Additional clinical trials are underway to evaluate the efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir for COVID-19 in Spain, China, France, Thailand, Canada, Hong Kong, and the United States (Khalili et al., 2020).

✓ Darunavir, an anti-HIV drug, is approved for COVID-19 treatment in Italy (Nicastri et al., 2020). The drug is used in a combination regimen with coibcitast or ritonavir, and in vitro studies demonstrate a replicating blocking effect against SARS-CoV-2 (Harrison, 2020). Clinical trials of a combination of darunavir and cobicistat, known as PRE-ZCOBIX®, are ongoing in China (Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020).

✓ Atazanavir, in silico study, highlights a higher binding efficiency of atazanavir to the active site of the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro, than lopinavir and an efficient in vitro inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 virus replication (Fintelman-Rodrigues et al., 2020). Furthermore, in HIV-patients, a combination of atazanavir and ritonavir improved lipid parameters and glucose absorption, decreasing glucose fasting more effectively than the lopinavir-ritonavir combination (Noor et al., 2006).

✓ Saquinavir and other protease inhibitors, such as nelfinavir, amprenavir, and indinavir, also show effects against COVID-19 because of their high structural similarity level. In silico study demonstrates that indinavir and saquinavir are candidates to inhibit 3CLPro activity (Hall and Ji, 2020). They also potently inhibit SARS-CoV-2 virus replication in vitro, nelfinavir being the best candidate (Norio et al., 2020). Saquinavir is used for the treatment of patients with COVID-19 in Singapore. Two other candidates
identified in silico, paritaprevir, and raltegravir, demonstrate potent inhibition of 3CLPro activity (Khan et al., 2020).

6.4.4. Reverse transcription inhibitors

Another strategy to combat SARS-CoV-2 infection involves targeting the reverse transcription step by blocking RdRp and preventing viral replication. It is the primary target of many existing nucleotide drugs, such as remdesivir, favipiravir, EIDD-2801, and galidesivir (Figure 2).

✓ Remdesivir is a nucleotide analog with antiviral properties against most single-stranded RNA viruses in cultured cells and non-human animal models. It targets the RdRpessential for viral replication and demonstrates antiviral activity against single-stranded RNA viruses (MERS and SARS) (Agostini et al., 2019). Currently, remdesivir's safety and efficacy have been evaluated in a Phase 3 trial involving 1, 600 patients (Scavone et al., 2020). In addition, analysis of Italian clinical practice data revealed that this drug was first used in the Spallanzani Hospital in Rome in COVID-19 patients and administered in 12 Italian clinical centers (Scavone et al., 2020).

✓ Favipiravir, a purine (guanine) analog, is a derivative of the pyrazine carboxamides, a RoRp inhibitor (Frediansyah et al., 2020). Initially developed for the treatment of influenza, the drug attracted attention for the treatment of COVID-19 because of its broad antiviral spectrum. A recent clinical trial highlighted its efficacy in patients with COVID-19 (Cai et al., 2020). Patients receiving favipiravir present better chest recovery, faster viral load reduction, and fewer adverse events than the control group. Today, favipiravir is used to treat COVID-19 in Indonesia and Japan, following several randomized clinical trials (Frediansyah et al., 2020). Favipiravir is a potential drug candidate for COVID-19, but drug interaction may alter its plasma concentration and pharmacokinetics (Agostini et al., 2019).

✓ EIDD-2801, the β-D-N4-hydroxycytidine or Eidd-2801 is a ribonucleoside analog, orally bioavailable, with a broad antiviral spectrum against RNA viruses. The mechanism of action is similar to that of remdesivir (Toots et al., 2019). Recent reports indicated that EIDD-2801 inhibits viral replication in mouse and human cells infected with SARS-COV (Toots et al., 2019). This drug mimics ribonucleoside's function by stopping the spread of the virus through the replication process. EIDD-2801 can reduce severe lung damage and viral load within 48 h of mice's infection (Toots et al., 2019).

✓ Ribavirin is a guanine analog prescribed to treat coronavirus infection, specifically MERS and SARS (Wang et al., 2020a, b, c). Ribavirin interacts with polymerase function, preventing viral RNA capping and stopping replication. Simultaneously, it also inhibits the inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, promoting the degradation of viral RNA by inhibiting guanosine production. Besides, ribavirin's presence increases the RNA mutation rate, resulting in viral progeny loss of virulence (Khalili et al., 2020).

6.4.5. Artificial liver blood purification system (ALPS)

Clinical trials revealed the ALPS' efficacy in reducing the serum levels of cytokines known as the leading cause of inflammation in COVID-19 patients. Indeed, the ALPS showed beneficial and promising results in patients with severe H7N9 influenza and cytokine storm (X. Liu et al., 2015). While in COVID-19, the technique was beneficial for reducing the cytokine storm in Chinese patients (Xu et al., 2020a, b).

6.4.6. Vaccination

In the absence of a practical therapeutic approach for curing Covid-19 patients, vaccination remains the path to global immunization. The race for Covid-19 vaccine development had started around the world (Figure 4)(Callaway, 2020). At the same time, the pressure created by the pandemic spread elicited the development of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine that had been carried at financial risks by avoiding the traditional vaccine development procedure (Lurie et al., 2020).

More than 270 COVID-19 vaccine candidates were developed, with more than 90 clinical trials (Le et al., 2020). These comprise nucleic acid (RNA and DNA) (Mulligan et al., 2020) based vaccines, replication-deficient and replication-competent human and simian adenoviral vector vaccines (Zhu et al., 2020a), inactivated whole-cell viruses subunit protein vaccines, 16 and virus-like particles (Gao et al., 2020).

![Figure 4. Graphical representation of the different vaccines developed against SARS-Cov-2 based on the technology adopted (classical and non-classical approaches).](image)
Table 2. Human COVID-19 vaccines.

| Vaccine (developer)               | Efficacy against symptomatic infection (phase III trials) | Effectiveness (post-implementation) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| **Whole-cell inactivated virus** |                                                        |                                     |
| CoronaVac (Sinovac Biotech)      | 50-84% after 2 doses                                   |                                      |
| BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm)           | 86% after 2 doses                                      |                                      |
| WIBP-CorV (Sinopharm)            | 73% after 2 doses                                      |                                      |
| mRNA                             |                                                        |                                     |
| BNT162b2 mRNA (BioNTech/Pfizer)  | 95% after 2 doses; 52% after 1 dose                     | Symptomatic infection: 94-96% (2 doses) and 46-80% (1 dose) |
|                                 |                                                        | Any infection: 86-92% (2 doses) and 46-72% (1 dose)         |
|                                 |                                                        | Hospitalization: 87% (2 doses) and 71-85% (1 dose)         |
|                                 |                                                        | Asymptomatic infection: 79% (1 dose) and 90% (2 doses)      |
| mRNA-1273 (Moderna)              | 95% after 2 doses; 92% after 1 dose                     | Symptomatic infection: 90% (2 doses) and 80% (1 dose)       |
| **Viral vector**                 |                                                        |                                     |
| ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Oxford/AstraZeneca) | 62-67% after 2 doses, 76% after 1 dose              | Hospitalization: 80-94% after 1 dose |
| Gam-COVID-Vac (Gamaleya Research Institute) | 91% after 2 doses; 74% after 1 dose |                                      |
| Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen)            | 67% after 1 dose                                       |                                      |
| Ad5-nCoV (CanSino Biologics)     | 66% after 1 dose                                       |                                      |
| **Protein subunit**              |                                                        |                                     |
| NVX-CoV2373 (Novavax)            | 90% by 7 days after second dose                        |                                      |

2020). As of April 2021, 28 of these vaccines have entered phase III clinical trials (Table 2). Five have reported efficacy in the literature and/or in publicly available detailed reports submitted to regulatory authorities, resulting in emergency approvals for use in a large number of countries. These include the BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech) and mRNA-1273 (Moderna) mRNA vaccines and the three adenoviral vector vaccines ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (University of Oxford/AstraZeneca), Gam-COVID-Vac (Gamaleya Research Institute), and Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen). A protein subunit vaccine (NVX-CoV2372; Novavax) and an inactivated whole-cell viral vaccine (BBV152; Bharat Biotech) showed positive effectiveness results in the companies’ official press releases (Table 2), and BBV152 has received emergency approval in several countries. Four other vaccines have suggested positive efficacy through media reports—the Ad5-nCoV adenoviral vector vaccine (CanSino Biologics), and the CoronaVac whole-cell inactivated vaccines (Sinovac Biotech), BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm), and WIBP-CorV (Sinopharm) (Table 2).

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, SARS-CoV-2 is an emerging highly transmissible Coronavirus that causes a high mortality rate in the aging population. A better understanding of the physiopathology of SARS-CoV-2 will open opportunities to develop (i) tools to identify people at risk and (ii) drugs to treat them.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

All authors listed have significantly contributed to the development and the writing of this article.

Funding statement

This work was supported by he CNRST project (Cov/2020/26, 2020).

Data availability statement

Data included in article/supplementary material/referenced in article.

Declaration of interests statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

References

Agostini, M.L., Fruijjers, A.J., Chappell, J.D., Gribble, J., Lu, X., Andres, E.L., Denison, M.R., 2019. Small-molecule antiviral -D- N 4 -hydroxycytidine inhibits a proofreading-intact coronavirus with a high genetic barrier to resistance. J. Virol. 93 (24).
Alexandre, J., Cracoviak, J.-L., Richard, V., Boubanick, B., 2020. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and COVID-19 infection. In: Dans Annales D’endocrinologie, 81. Elsevier, pp. 63-67.
Almeida, J.D., Tyrell, D.A., 1967. The morphology of three previously uncharacterized human respiratory viruses that grow in organ culture. J. Gen. Virol. 1 (2), 175–178.
Andersen, K.G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W.I., Holmes, E.C., Garry, R.F., 2020. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Med. 26 (4), 450–452.
Antinori, P., Vas, C.E., Stadnytskyi, V., Vas, A., 2020. Could SARS-CoV-2 be transmitted via speech droplets? medRxiv.
Astuti, A., Mcgee, A., Perl, T.M., Price, C.S., Al Rabeaah, A.A., Cummings, D.A.T., Memish, Z.A., 2013. Hospital outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. N. Engl. J. Med. 369 (5), 407–416.
Astuti, I., Ysrail, 2020. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): an overview of viral structure and host response. Diab. Metabol. Synd.: Clin. Res. Rev. 14 (4), 407-412.
Bahat, G., 2020. COVID-19 and the renin angiotensin system: implications for the older adults. J. Nutr. Health Aging 13.
Bakalakura, P., Anand-Srivastava, M.B., Jagadeesh, G., 2017. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone: an inclusive, an invigorative, an interactive and an interminable system. Pharmacol. Res. 125, 1–3.
Belhadi, D., Peiffer-Smadja, N., Lesure, F.X., Yazdanpanah, Y., Mentré, F., Lassaire, G., 2020. A Brief Review of Antiviral Drugs Evaluated in Registered Clinical Trials for COVID-19, medRxiv.
Bogoch, I.I., Watts, A., Thomas-Bachli, A., Huber, C., Kraemer, M.U.G., Khan, K., 2020. Pneumonia of unknown aetiology in Wuhan, China: potential for international spread via commercial air travel. J. Trav. Med. 27 (2).
Bradburne, A.F., Bynoe, M.L., Tyrell, D.A.J., 1967. Effects of a “new” human respiratory virus in volunteers. Br. Med. J. 3 (5568), 767–769.
Brian, D.A., Baric, R.S., 2005. Coronavirus Genome Structure and Replication, pp. 1-142.
Brodin, P., 2020. Why is COVID-19 so mild in children? Acta Paediatr. 109 (6), 769.
Brock, P., 2020. Why is COVID-19 so mild in children? Acta Paediatr. 109 (6), 769–776.
Cai, Q., Yang, M., Liu, D., Chen, J., Shu, D., Xia, J., Liu, L., 2020. Experimental Treatment with Favipiravir for COVID-19: an Open-Label Control Study. Engineering.
Callaway, E., 2020. The race for coronavirus vaccines: a graphical guide. Nature 580 (7805), 576.
Leuko, M., Marzi, A., Munster, V., 2020. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineages B betacoronaviruses. Nat. Microbiol. 5 (4), 356–362.

Li, F., 2013. Receptor recognition and cross-species infections of SARS coronavirus. Antivir. Res. 100 (1), 246–254.

Li, M., Liu, K., Michalke, J., Angus, J.A., Hunt, J.E., Dell’Italia, L.J., Husain, A., 2004. Improvement of cholesterol-associated angiitis II generation in blood pressure regulation. J. Clin. Investig. 114 (11), 120–128.

Li, Q., Guan, X., Wu, P., Wang, X., Zhou, L., Tong, Y., Peng, Z., 2020a. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (13), 1199–1202.

Li, W., Su, Y.-Y., Zhi, S.-S., Huang, J., Zhang, C.-L., Bai, W.-Z., Zhou, Y.-B., 2020b. Virus shedding dynamics in asymptomatic and mildly symptomatic patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Clin. Infect. Dis. 62 (11), 1556 e1.

Li, X., He, S., Ma, B., 2020a. Autoagphy and autoagplay related proteins in cancer. Mol. Cancer 19 (1), 12.

Liao, Y.-C., Liang, W.-G., Chen, F.-W., Hsu, J.-H., Yang, J.-J., Chang, M.-S., 2002. IL-19 is a chemokine and differentiation factor for human B cells. J. Immunol. 169 (8), 4288–4297.

Lin, C.-M., Saif, L.J., Marthaler, D., Wang, Q., 2016. Evolution, antigenicity and pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 226, 20–39.

Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Du, W., Su, K., Zhu, C., Jiang, J., 2015. Evaluation of plasma exchange and continuous veno-venous hemofiltration for the treatment of severe avian influenza (H7N9): a cohort study. Ther. Apher. Dial. 19 (2), 178–184.

Liu, Yang, Yan, L., Wang, L., Xiang, T.X., Le, A. L., Liu, J.M., Zhang, W., 2020a. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Lancet Infect. Dis. 20 (9), 2019–2020.

Liu, Yingxian, Yang, Y., Zhang, C., Huang, F., Wang, F., Yuan, J., Liu, L., 2020b. Clinical characteristics and biochemical indexes from 2019-nCoV infected patients linked to viral loads and dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 26 (11), 1556 e1.

Liu, X., He, S., Ma, B., 2020b. Autoagphy and autoagplay related proteins in cancer. Mol. Cancer 19 (1), 12.

Liu, X., Deng, R., 2013. The S to N conversion of SARS-CoV nsp15 endopeptidase increases viral infectivity. Nature 501 (7467), 64–67.

Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Tan, W., 2020b. Genomic characterisation and evolution of 2019-nCoV from 2019 clusters of pneumonia in Wuhan, China. Nature 579 (7793), 265–269.

Luft, F.C., Dechend, R., Ller, D.N.M. Ü., 2012. Immune Mechanisms in Angiotensin II-mediated Angiitis. Front. Immunol. 3, 371–379.

Lurie, N., Saville, M., Hatchett, R., Halton, J., 2020. Developing Covid-19 vaccines at scale. Clin. Transl. Sci. 13 (5), 481–486.

Mack, L.M., 2020. Understanding the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 with human respiratory epithelial cells in vitro. Viruses 12 (9), 940.

Malik, Y.A., 2020. Properties of coronavirus and SARS-CoV-2. Malays. J. Pathol. 42 (1), 1–9.

Monia, B.P., Kastelein, S.A., van der Meer, J.W., 2020. The renin-angiotensin system in infection. Trends Endocrinol. Metabol. 31 (12), 822–832.

Monteagudo, L.A., Boothby, A., Gertner, E., 2020. Continuous intravenous anakinra. Medicine 100 (6), e20402.

Moratto, D., Chiarini, M., Giustini, V., Serana, F., Magro, P., Roccaro, A.M., Quiros-Roldan, E., 2020. Flow cytometry identificaion of the potential receptor for coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. J. Immunol. 169 (8), 4288–4297.

Norio, Y., Shuitoku, M., Tsuyji, H., Nakao, Y., 2020. Nelfinavir Inhibits Replication of SARS-CoV-2 and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Human Airway Epithelial Cells. Front. Microbiol. 11, 1–7.

Ong, S.W.X., Tan, Y.K., Chia, P.Y., Lee, T.H., Ng, O.T., Wong, M.S.Y., Marinutto, K., 2020. Air, surface environmental, and personal protective equipment contamination by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from a symptomatic patient. JAMA Netw. Open 3 (12), e2028357.

Pan, L., Mu, M., Yang, P., Sun, Y., Wang, R., Yan, J., Lu, T., 2020c. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) patients in Wuhan, China. J. Clin. Virol. 123, 104662.

Pachetti, M., Marini, B., Benedetti, F., Giudici, F., Mauro, E., Storici, P., Gallo, R.C., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.

Pan, L., Mu, M., Yang, P., Sun, Y., Wang, R., Yan, J., Lu, T., 2020c. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus patients with digestive symptoms in Jilin, China: a descriptive, cross-sectional study. J. Infect. 80 (5), 713–719.

Powers, S.K., Morton, A.B., Hyatt, H., Hinkley, M.J., 2018. The renin-angiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 46 (4), 205–214.

Penninger, J.M., 2020. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infections in engineered human airway epithelial cells. JCI Insight 5 (8), e138442.

Peyvandi, F., Blasi, F., Tuddenham, T.D.G., 2020. Mechanisms of action of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine: implications for rheumatology. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 16 (3), 155–166.

Powers, S.K., Morton, A.B., Hyatt, H., Hinkley, M.J., 2018. The renin-angiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 46 (4), 205–214.

Powers, S.K., Morton, A.B., Hyatt, H., Hinkley, M.J., 2018. The renin-angiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 46 (4), 205–214.

Powers, S.K., Morton, A.B., Hyatt, H., Hinkley, M.J., 2018. The renin-angiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 46 (4), 205–214.

Proctor, C.J., Oxtoby, S., Johnson, K., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.

Powers, S.K., Morton, A.B., Hyatt, H., Hinkley, M.J., 2018. The renin-angiotensin system and skeletal muscle. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 46 (4), 205–214.

Proctor, C.J., Oxtoby, S., Johnson, K., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.

Proctor, C.J., Oxtoby, S., Johnson, K., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.

Proctor, C.J., Oxtoby, S., Johnson, K., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.

Proctor, C.J., Oxtoby, S., Johnson, K., 2020. Pathogenicity of global porcine epidemic diarrhea virus strains. Virus Res. 266, 104349.
