Effect of endoscopy screening on stage at gastric cancer diagnosis: results of the National Cancer Screening Programme in Korea
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Background: Although gastric cancer screening is common among countries with a high prevalence of gastric cancer, there is little data to support the effectiveness of this screening. This study was designed to determine the differences in stage at diagnosis of gastric cancer according to the screening history and screening method (upper gastrointestinal series (UGIS) vs endoscopy).

Methods: The study population was derived from the National Cancer Screening Programme (NCSP), a nationwide organised screening programme in Korea. The study cohort consisted of 19 168 gastric cancer patients who had been diagnosed in 2007 and who were invited to undergo gastric cancer screening via the NCSP between 2002 and 2007.

Results: Compared with never-screened patients, the odds ratios for being diagnosed with localised gastric cancer in endoscopy-screened patients and UGIS-screened patients were 2.10 (95% CI = 1.90–2.33) and 1.24 (95% CI = 1.13–1.36), respectively.

Conclusions: Screening by endoscopy was more strongly associated with a diagnosis of localised stage gastric cancer compared with screening by UGIS.

Although, the incidence of gastric cancer is declining worldwide, gastric cancer remains the third most common cause of cancer death (Ferlay et al, 2013). Survival from gastric cancer remains low in the Western world, with reported 5-year survival rates of 10–30% (Karim-Kos et al, 2008), in contrast to survival rates in Asia of 69% (Nashimoto et al, 2013; Jung et al, 2014). The higher survival rate from gastric cancer is partly attributed to the availability of screening programmes. In countries with a high prevalence of gastric cancer, specifically in Japan and Korea, gastric cancer screening is commonly conducted.

Japan has been conducting mass gastric cancer screening using photofluorography (via indirect upper gastrointestinal series (UGIS)) since 1960 and has achieved a remarkable reduction in mortality rates and consequently higher cure rates (Fukao et al, 1995; Mizoue et al, 2003; Miyamoto et al, 2007). In recent years, the main method used for opportunistic screening in Asia has been
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endoscopy (Leung et al., 2008). In Korea, a nationwide gastric cancer screening programme was started in 1999 as part of the National Cancer Screening Programme (NCSP). The NCSP provides biennial gastric cancer screening for men and women aged 40 or over, by either UGIS or endoscopy (Kim et al., 2011).

Recently, a case-control study and a cohort study in Japan reported a 30–65% reduction in gastric cancer mortality when screening was undertaken via endoscopy (Hosokawa et al., 2008; Hamashima et al., 2013). However, there is a lack of agreement about which method is most effective in the general population.

Although randomised controlled trials represent the most reliable method for evaluating the impact of screening on cancer mortality reduction, such intervention studies are not feasible in most countries because gastric screening is already being widely conducted. Thus, no randomised control trial addressing the efficacy of gastric cancer screening on mortality reduction has been published. Furthermore, obtaining accurate estimates of reductions in mortality requires long-term follow-up of large populations. Therefore, stage at diagnosis was used as an intermediate outcome measure, as it is the most powerful predictor of patient survival. To date, no study has reported differences in stage at diagnosis of gastric cancer among gastric cancer patients who have undergone endoscopy screening and those who have undergone UGIS screening. Thus, the primary aim of this study was to determine whether differences in stage at diagnosis of gastric cancer exist among gastric cancer patients who participated in the NCSP (ever screened) and those who have no screening history (never screened). Furthermore, this study aimed to evaluate whether there is an association between stage at diagnosis of gastric cancer and screening method (UGIS vs endoscopy).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study cohort consisted of patients aged 40 years or over with a diagnosis of gastric cancer reported in the Korean Central Cancer Registry (KCCR) in 2007. Among the 25,915 gastric cancer patients identified, 19,168 had been invited to gastric cancer screening through the NCSP in 2002–2007 and were included in the final analysis. In the NCSP, all men and women aged 40 or over (no upper age limit) receive an invitation letter from the National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) at the beginning of the year. Individuals invited to participate in the NCSP for gastric cancer can choose to undergo either UGIS or endoscopy screening at a clinic or hospital designated as a gastric cancer screening unit by the NHIS. Participants with a positive screening result on UGIS are offered a follow-up endoscopy test within the framework of the NCSP. The screening units report all screening results to the NHIS through a web-based database maintained by the NHIS (Kim et al., 2011).

Using the NCSP database, gastric cancer patients were classified by screening history in 2002–2007 (9191 never screened and 9777 ever screened). Never-screened gastric cancer patients were matched with ever-screened gastric cancer patients according to age, gender, and socioeconomic status. If there was no age match for a given patient, we considered those within the range of 2 years above or below the target age. A total of 8044 never-screened gastric cancer patients were matched to the same number of ever-screened gastric cancer patients. We obtained written informed consent form participants who underwent screening for the collection of their screening results and health data recorded during the screening process. This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of the National Cancer Center, Korea.

Information on stage at gastric cancer diagnosis, anatomic site, and histological classification was obtained from the KCCR. Tumour stage was recorded as localised, regional, distant, or unknown, in accordance with the categories used in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Cancer Statistics Review of the National Cancer Institute (Young et al., 2001). Localised neoplasms are confined entirely to the stomach and lack serosal involvement; intramucosal tumours are also classified as localised cancer. Regional cancers comprise tumours limited to the gastric wall with regional lymph node involvement or tumours with extension to nearby viscera. Distant neoplasms exhibit distant extension or metastases in the viscera or distant lymph nodes. Unknown stage refers to neoplasms lacking sufficient or unavailable information with which to assign a category. Information on socioeconomic status and screening history was extracted from the NCSP database. Gastric cancer patients were categorised by screening method (UGIS, endoscopy, and never screened), screening frequency (number of screenings received between 2002 and 2007: once, twice, three times or more, or never screened), and interval between date of gastric cancer diagnosis and the preceding screening date. The demographic characteristics of case and controls were compared using χ²-tests.

Conditional logistic regression was performed either including or excluding ‘unknown stage’ to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for being diagnosed with localised gastric cancer. We conducted subgroup analyses stratified by age, sex, socioeconomic status, screening method, screening frequency, and time interval since screening. The SAS software package (ver. 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for all statistical calculations.

RESULTS

Baseline demographic and tumour characteristics of ever-screened and never-screened gastric cancer patients are shown in Table 1. Noncardia gastric cancer, intestinal histological subtypes, and localised gastric cancer were significantly more frequent in ever-screened patients compared with never-screened patients (P<0.001).

Figure 1 shows the distribution of stage at gastric cancer diagnosis. Localised gastric cancer was most frequently noted in both never-screened and ever-screened patients; however, between these two groups, localised cancer were more frequently recorded in ever-screened patients (Figure 1A). In addition, localised cancers were most frequent in patients who had undergone endoscopy, compared with patients who had undergone UGIS or never-screened patients (Figure 1B).

We evaluated the odds of being diagnosed with localised gastric cancer vs regional, distant, or unknown-stage gastric cancer in each subgroup according to screening history (Table 2). Overall, ever-screened patients were statistically significantly more likely to be diagnosed with localised gastric cancer (adjusted OR (aOR) = 1.71; 95% CI = 1.60–1.82). This odds increased when ‘unknown stage’ was removed from the analysis (aOR = 1.81; 95% CI = 1.68–1.96). Compared with the never-screened patients, patients who had undergone screening by endoscopy were also more likely to be diagnosed with localised gastric cancer (aOR = 2.10; 95% CI = 1.90–2.33). Although the risk of localised gastric cancer increased by 1.24 among patients screened by UGIS, compared with never-screened patients, the OR was much lower than that for patients screened by endoscopy. Further, patients who had undergone screening by endoscopy were 1.71 times (95% CI = 1.55–1.89) more likely to be diagnosed with localised gastric cancer compared with UGIS-screened patients (not shown in table). The OR for being diagnosed with localised gastric cancer was highest among patients who had a screening frequency of three times or more. Compared with never-screened patients, the OR for
being diagnosed with localised gastric cancer was the highest within 12 months of diagnosis (aOR = 1.82; 95% CI = 1.69–1.96), after which the ORs tended to decrease with an increasing time interval.

### DISCUSSION

In the current study, we noted a large and highly significant increase in the diagnosis of localised gastric cancer, and a corresponding decrease in the diagnosis of regional and distal gastric cancer, among ever-screened patients relative to never-screened patients. As well, endoscopy was more strongly associated with an earlier stage of gastric cancer at diagnosis than UGIS.

As stage at diagnosis of gastric cancer is well correlated with survival rate, (Jung et al, 2013) in the current study, we assumed that early detection of gastric cancer by screening may increase patient survival. A previous study conducted on patients with cardia adenocarcinoma found that 49% of gastric cancer patients who underwent previous endoscopy presented with in situ or localized gastric cancer, compared with 27% of those who did not have a previous endoscopy (Cooper et al, 2002). These findings are compatible with our study and are consistent with the notion that screening by endoscopy leads to earlier diagnosis of gastric cancer.

In the current study, patients screened by endoscopy were 2.1 times more likely to be diagnosed with localised gastric cancer. Although the odds of having localised gastric cancer also increased by 1.24 among patients screened by UGIS, the odds were much lower than that for patients screened by endoscopy. Thus, we could suggest that endoscopy is more likely to detect localised gastric cancer than UGIS.

We also evaluated who might benefit from gastric cancer screening according to time interval since last screening. Compared with never-screened patients, the ORs were highest for those screened within 12 months of diagnosis and tended to decrease with an increasing time interval. A few Asian studies have reported that screening by endoscopy every 2 years increases the detection rate of early-stage gastric cancer (Mori et al, 2001; Nam et al, 2009). A cohort study conducted in Korea also reported that the risk of higher cancer stage at diagnosis increased by 23% per increase in 1 year interval length (OR = 1.23; 95% CI = 1.19–1.28), and endoscopy intervals of 3 years or less showed similar benefits (Nam et al, 2012).

This study had several limitations. First, in order to evaluate the effects of cancer screening, study subjects should be asymptomatic; however, it was not possible to exclude symptomatic individuals in the NCSP database. As symptomatic individuals are more likely to screen according to time interval since last screening. Second, screening history outside of the NCSP was unclear. Screening history was identified based on the participant lists for gastric cancer screening from 2002 to 2007 through the NCSP database. However, opportunistic screening for gastric cancer using endoscopy is often performed in Korea. Thus, among the never-screened group, there may have been some individuals who had undergone opportunistic gastric cancer screening.

![Figure 1. (A) Distribution of stage at gastric cancer diagnosis in patients according to history of gastric cancer screening via the National Cancer Screening Programme. (B) Distribution of gastric cancer stages in patients by screening method. *The following stage definitions were applied (adapted from the SEER Cancer Statistics Review): localised, a neoplasm confined entirely to the stomach without serosal involvement; regional, a neoplasm that extends beyond the limits of the stomach and invades the surrounding tissue; distant, a neoplasm that spreads to parts of the body remote from the primary tumour; and unknown, a neoplasm with insufficient or unavailable information to assign a stage.](image-url)
Nevertheless, this factor might have led to the underestimation of the magnitude of the observed screening effect. Finally, gastric cancer screening efficacy cannot be directly estimated by cancer stage, as there might be a gap related to lead-time bias and length of survival. However, lead-time bias occurs in such a way that the bias between cancer stage and mortality. In this study, stage at diagnosis, extending the period between diagnosis and death, might have led to the underestimation of gastric cancer screening efficacy. Supplementary material available at http://globocan.iarc.fr accessed on 14 April 2013. 
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