Acetylcholinesterase Clustering at the Neuromuscular Junction Involves Perlecan and Dystroglycan
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Abstract. Formation of the synaptic basal lamina at vertebrate neuromuscular junction involves the accumulation of numerous specialized extracellular matrix molecules including a specific form of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), the collagenic-tailed form. The mechanisms responsible for its localization at sites of nerve–muscle contact are not well understood. To understand synaptic AChE localization, we synthesized a fluorescent conjugate of fasciculin 2, a snake α-neurotoxin that tightly binds to the catalytic subunit. Prelabeling AChE on the surface of Xenopus muscle cells revealed that preexisting AChE molecules could be recruited to form clusters that colocalize with acetylcholine receptors at sites of nerve–muscle contact. Likewise, purified avian AChE with collagen-like tail, when transplanted to Xenopus muscle cells before the addition of nerves, also accumulated at sites of nerve–muscle contact. Using exogenous avian AChE as a marker, we show that the collagenic-tailed form of the enzyme binds to the heparan-sulfate proteoglycan perlecan, which in turn binds to the dystroglycan complex through α-dystroglycan. Therefore, the dystroglycan-perlecan complex serves as a cell surface acceptor for AChE, enabling it to be clustered at the synapse by lateral migration within the plane of the membrane. A similar mechanism may underlie the initial formation of all specialized basal lamina interposed between other cell types.
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Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) is concentrated at the vertebrate neuromuscular junction (NMJ), tightly associated with the synaptic basal lamina, where it is responsible for terminating neurotransmission (43). This highly localized accumulation of AChE is a conspicuous marker for the specialization of the junctional extracellular matrix (ECM) that accompanies acetylcholine receptor (AChR) accumulation and synapse formation (44). Despite considerable progress in understanding the process of AChR clustering at this synapse, little is known about the mechanism(s) responsible for the accumulation of AChE and other components of the synaptic basal lamina. As an integral membrane protein, the AChR undergoes lateral movement within the plane of the cell membrane to become clustered at sites of nerve–muscle contact as a result of its association with the postsynaptic cytoskeleton (35). The synaptic AChE, on the other hand, is an ECM-bound protein thus can not on its own associate with the postsynaptic cytoskeleton for clustering. Except for the observations that several specific components of the synaptic basal lamina colcluster with AChR (reviewed in 25), little is known about how these molecules interact with each other as well as how they become associated with the neuromuscular synapse.

The predominant form of AChE at the NMJ is the asymmetric, or A12, form consisting of three tetramers of catalytic subunits covalently-linked to a collagen-like tail (43). This form is tightly attached to the synaptic basal lamina via its collagen-like tail and cannot be removed using chaotropic agents such as 8 M urea or 4 M guanidine HCl (52). Only proteolysis can effectively remove the AChE from the synaptic basal lamina (7, 24). The collagen-like tail can bind to heparin and this property appears to be essential for the localization of this enzyme on the muscle cell surface and its concentration at the NMJ (30, 53, 56). Because heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG)
is concentrated at the NMJ (3, 6, 57), it has been suggested that this property of A 12 AChE may underlie its synaptic clustering (53).

By labeling cultured muscle cells with rhodamine-conjugated fasciculin 2 (R-fasciculin 2), a new fluorescent probe for AChE, before the addition of synaptogenic stimuli such as spinal cord neurons or growth factor-coated beads, we have found that preexisting cell surface AChE molecules become clustered at the site of postsynaptic development. To identify molecules responsible for synaptic AChE localization, we transplanted quail AChE to cultured Xenopus muscle cells and visualized it using a species-specific monoclonal antibody. The asymmetric form of AChE (A 12), but not the globular forms (G 2/G 4), bind to and colocalizes precisely with perlecan, a major modular HSPG in skeletal muscle (32, 50). Perlecan itself binds to dystroglycan (DG), a transmembrane protein complex that interacts with molecules in the extracellular matrix such as laminin and agrin as well as with the cytoskeleton (28, 50). Both perlecan and DG become clustered at the postsynaptic membrane during NMJ formation (50). These data are consistent with our in vivo observations that endogenous AChE, detected with R-fasciculin 2, colocalizes precisely with perlecan and DG and suggests that AChE, via a transmembrane protein complex consisting of HSPG and DG, can be clustered by lateral migration, followed by anchorage to the postsynaptic cytoskeletal scaffold.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Fasciculin 2 was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. and α-bungarotoxin (BTX) was purchased from Biotixins, Inc. Tetramethylrhodamine-conjugated fasciculin 2 and Oregon green 488-conjugated BTX were prepared using the corresponding FluoroReporter Protein Labeling Kit (Molecular Probes) following the manufacturer's recommended procedures and the unreacted dyes removed using Bio-gel P-2 spin columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Heparin (cat. no. H-2149) was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co.

Isolation and Purification of Quail AChE Forms

The globular and collagenic-tailed AChE forms were isolated from tissue-cultured quail myotubes by detergent/high salt extraction followed by preparative sucrose gradient sedimentation as previously described (55). The pooled fractions from several gradients containing the G4 tetramers and the G2 dimers (globular forms) or A12 collagenic-tailed AChE forms were purified on an immunopaffinity column containing mAb 1A2 anti-avian AChE antibody (54) covalently attached to Sepharose CL-4B at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. The bound AChE was eluted with 0.1 M triethylamine, pH 11, 1 M NaCl and 0.5% Triton X-100, and neutralized with Tris-HCl to pH 7. The AChE concentration was estimated using radiometric assay (33).

Cell Culture and Labeling

The myotomal region of Xenopus laevis embryos was excised and dissociated to make muscle cultures according to a previously published method (47). To induce the formation of clusters of AChR or AChE, muscle cells were cocultured with spinal cord neurons to establish the NMJ, or treated with 10-μm polystyrene latex beads coated with recombinant heparin-binding growth-associated molecule (HB-GAM) which also induces the formation of postsynaptic specializations (49). To visualize endogenous AChE, muscle cells were labeled with R-fasciculin 2 at a concentration of 150 nM for 0.5–1 h and then examined by fluorescence microscopy either in the living state or after fixation with 95% ethanol at –20°C. For most experiments, the cultures were double-labeled with OG-BTX (at 150 nM) to visualize AChRs. To study the binding of exogenous AChE to the surface of Xenopus muscle cells, cultures were incubated with either purified A12 collagenic-tailed AChE (at 0.1–0.2 ng/ml) or G2/G4 globular forms of AChE (at 0.5 ng/ml) for 1 h. The transplanted quail AChE was then examined by labeling Xenopus cultures with mAb 1A2 followed by fluorescently conjugated secondary antibody. HSPG at the cell surface was detected with mAb HEP5-1, an anti-heparan sulfate monoclonal antibody (Seikagaku Corp.). A polyclonal anti-perlecan antibody (27), a generous gift of Dr. J. Hassell (Shriners Hospitals for Children, Tampa, FL), was used to label this HSPG in Xenopus cells. The localization of DG was studied with a monoclonal anti–β-DG antibody (Novacasta Laboratories). The HSPG and perlecan labeling was done on live cultures, but the DG labeling was carried out after cell fixation and permeabilization since the antibody recognizes an intracellular epitope of the transmembrane protein. To label Xenopus myotomal muscle fibers in vivo, the tail of the larva was skinned, fixed, and incubated with the antibody. Alternatively, the fibers within the tail musculature were first dissociated with collagenase and then immunolabeled.

Binding of AChE Forms to Perlecan: Sepharose Bead Assay

Purified anti-avian perlecan antibody mAb 33 (6) was prepared from ascites fluid obtained by using the original hybridoma cell line (a generous gift from Dr. Douglas M. Fambrough, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD). The purified antibody was absorbed onto protein A-Sepharose CL-4B beads (Sigma Chemical Co.) to saturation, and the beads washed extensively to remove unbound antibody. The beads were then used to capture perlecan secreted by quail myotube cultures. Yttrium-conditioned medium from 5-d-old cultures was centrifuged 30 min at 12,000 g and 500-μl aliquots of supernatant were incubated with 10 μl of the mAb 33 beads overnight. A fter extensive washing with PBS containing 5 mM EDTA and 0.5% BSA, the beads were treated with 1 μM disopropylfluorophosphate to irreversibly inhibit any trace amounts of endogenous AChE already bound to perlecan. A fter washing with PBS, EDTA, and BSA, aliquots of immobilized perlecan beads were diluted in microfuge tubes with 500 μl PBS, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% BSA, and 0.5% Triton X-100 containing either 0.1–0.2 ng purified A12- or G4/G2-AChE or buffer alone. A fter 1-h incubation at 5°C, the salt concentration was decreased to 0.3 M NaCl and incubation continued overnight. The next day the beads were washed three times with PBS, 5 mM EDTA, and 0.5% BSA and assayed for AChE activity using a radiometric assay as previously described (54).

Binding of AChE Forms to Perlecan: BIAcore assay

AChE-perlecan binding was also assayed by the surface plasmon resonance biosensor technology (42). A BIA core X instrument (BIA core, Inc.) was used in this study. Perlecan purified from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm tumor (37), kindly provided by Dr. J. H. assell (Shriners Hospitals for Children, Tampa, FL), was conjugated to BIA core sensor chip CM5 with carboxylated dextran surface. The chip surface was first treated with a mixture of N-hydroxysuccinimide (NiS, 50 μM) and 1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide (EDC, 200 μM) in Hepes-buffered saline (HBS, 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, and 0.005% surfactant P20, pH 7.4). A fter washing with HBS, the perlecan sample, diluted to a concentration of 30 ng/ml with 100 mM Na-acetate buffer at pH 5, was injected into the flow cell to effect coupling and this process was terminated with 1 M ethanolamine (pH 8.5). Samples were then injected into the flow cell to study their binding to perlecan. Globular G2/G4-AChE was diluted to a concentration of 10 ng/ml and asymmetric A12-AChE was diluted to 2.3 ng/ml with HBS immediately before injection and 20 μl of each sample was injected into the flow cell. The change in resonance units (RU), indicative of the binding and dissociation, was continuously recorded with a computer. The data were analyzed with BIA evaluation software supplied by the manufacturer and plotted with SigmaPlot software (SPSS, Inc.).

Results

Distribution of AChE on Xenopus Muscle Visualized with Fluorescent Fasciculin 2

Fasciculin 2, a 61-amino acid snake α-neurotoxin isolated from the venom of African mambas, binds specifically and
tightly to the catalytic subunit of AChE (9, 34). The crystal structure of the AChE-fasciculin 2 complex has been determined and the molecular interactions between the toxin and the enzyme are well characterized (9, 19, 26). To study the distribution and fate of AChE, we synthesized a tetramethylrhodamine conjugate of fasciculin 2 to label the enzyme on muscle cells. When the cutaneous pectoris muscle of the frog (Rana pipiens) was double-labeled with R-fasciculin 2 and OG-BTX, a precise colocalization pattern of AChE and AChR was observed. Fig. 1, A and B show a single muscle fiber imaged in whole mount. Like AChRs, AChE labeling also exhibits a banding pattern typical of the frog NMJ. Detailed analyses of the R-fasciculin 2 labeling pattern by conventional and confocal microscopy have shown a more precise registration of AChE and AChR at the NMJ than the pattern hitherto observed with histochemical or immunocytochemical methods (Rotundo, R. L., and H. B. Peng, manuscript in preparation).

Since Xenopus myotomal muscle cells were used to study AChE clustering in this study, we also examined AChE distribution in the tail musculature of the larva with R-fasciculin 2. As shown in Fig. 1 (C and D), R-fasciculin 2 labeling was colocalized with sites of AChR clustering at NMJs revealed by OG-BTX labeling. In addition to the NMJ, R-fasciculin 2 also labeled myotendinous junctions (MTJs) which are located adjacent to the NMJs at the intersomitic area (Fig. 1, E and F). The MTJ labeling showed up as a series of streaks oriented longitudinally at the ends of the myotome. These streaky structures correspond to membrane invaginations where myofibrils insert into the sarcolemma (13, 46). This MTJ localization of AChE revealed by R-fasciculin 2 is consistent with previous histochemical results (16, 41).

**Clustering of Endogenous AChE Studied with R-Fasciculin 2**

To study the clustering of AChE, cultured Xenopus myotomal muscle cells were labeled with R-fasciculin 2. As shown in Fig. 2 (A and B), R-fasciculin 2 labeling was observed at spontaneously formed AChR clusters on these muscle cells, and the pattern of AChE labeling closely resembled that of the AChR. Virtually all AChR hot spots observed were associated with AChE. Previous studies have shown that AChR clusters at the NMJ are derived, at least in part, from the preexisting pool of cell surface receptors by lateral migration (2). To determine whether preexisting AChE molecules could also contribute to synaptic clusters, muscle cells were prelabeled with R-fasciculin 2 and then cocultured with spinal cord neurons or treated with beads coated with HB-GAM, which mimic the nerve in inducing postsynaptic specializations (49). As shown in Fig. 2, preexisting AChE labeled with R-fasciculin 2 became concentrated at bead-induced AChR clusters (C and D) and at the developing NMJ (F–H) marked by OG-BTX. These results were based on observations made on a total of six separate nerve-muscle and bead-muscle cocultures encompassing >100 cell pairs each. Consistently, AChE clustering as evidenced by R-fasciculin 2 labeling was detected at a much smaller percentage of nerve- or bead-induced AChR clusters in 1-d cocultures.
The fluorescence intensity of R-fasciculin 2 labeling at the cluster was generally several-fold less than that of the corresponding OG-BTX labeling when each was normalized with respect to the background. This suggests that the site density of AChE at the cluster is significantly less than that of the receptor. Since AChE is a secreted molecule, it is possible that most of the molecules are secreted into the medium and not captured by the cell surface acceptors (to be described below) in tissue culture. Alternatively, it is possible that not all AChE molecules are available for fasciculin 2 labeling, and that the toxin's affinity for AChE decreases with time.

More importantly, however, these data show that, like AChR's, preexisting cell surface AChE molecules can be recruited to form clusters and suggest that they are capable of undergoing lateral migration at the cell surface to become aggregated at sites of synaptic stimulation.

Transplantation of Exogenous AChE onto Xenopus Muscle Cells: Colocalization with Perlecan

To identify the molecules on the cell surface that can serve as acceptors for AChE during the process of synaptic localization, we transplanted exogenous AChE to cultured Xenopus muscle cells by adapting a method previously used to study the localization of this enzyme at the NMJ in vivo (56). The collagenic-tailed A12 AChE form or the globular AChE forms consisting of dimers (G2) and tetramers (G4) of catalytic subunits were purified from cultured quail myotubes and applied to cultured Xenopus muscle cells at a concentration of 0.1–0.4 ng/ml. Their binding to the cell surface was then detected with mAb 1A2 which specifically labels the catalytic subunit of quail AChE but not the Xenopus enzyme (54, 56).

The transplanted collagenic-tailed A12 form of AChE bound to the surface of Xenopus muscle cells in a clustered manner (Figs. 3 A and 4 A), where they often colocalized with AChR hot spots (Fig. 4, A and B), or sometimes more diffusely (Figs. 3 C). Although the pattern of the AChE clusters bore similarity to that of AChR's, they were not congruent with each other. The AChE cluster generally occupied a larger area than the AChR cluster. As it is known that the A12 AChE binds to heparin via its collagen-like tail to be sequestered on the muscle cell surface and at the NMJ (30, 53, 56), we examined whether transplanted A12 AChE was associated with HSPG on the cell surface. Pretreatment of muscle cells with heparin at a concentration of 20 μg/ml abolished the binding of A12 AChE to the cell surface (data not shown). Perlecan is an abundant HSPG on the surface of skeletal muscle and appears to play an important role in muscle differentiation (31, 45, 50, 51). We thus examined its relationship to AChE. Although this molecule is generally considered as an ECM-bound HSPG, our recent study has shown that a pool of perlecan is actually associated with the cell membrane by interacting with α-DG in skeletal muscle cells (50). In fact, the bulk of perlecan on cultured Xenopus muscle cells is cell membrane-associated since these cells secrete relatively small amount of matrix molecules and do not form organized basal lamina under culture conditions.

Figure 2. AChE clustering in cultured Xenopus muscle cells. (A and B) A spontaneously formed hot spot of AChE and AChR visualized by R-fasciculin 2 and OG-BTX labeling. (C–E) An AChE cluster induced by a HB-GAM-coated bead. The culture was prelabeled with R-fasciculin 2 and OG-BTX before bead application. Thus, this cluster was formed from preexistent AChE and AChR. (F–H) Clustering of preexistent AChE at the NMJ. The muscle culture was innervated with spinal cord neurons after prelabeling with fluorescent toxins. Both AChE and AChR become clustered at sites of nerve-muscle contact (indicated by arrows in H) formed along the length of this neurite.
of this study. To determine whether AChE codistributed with perlecan, anti-avian AChE mAb 1A2 and a polyclonal anti-perlecan antibody (27) were used to double-label A12 AChE-treated muscle cells (Fig. 3, A–D). Both clustered and diffusely distributed quail A12 AChE molecules were precisely colocalized with perlecan. In the clustered state (Fig. 3 A, B), the patterns of AChE and perlecan labeling coincided nearly perfectly while even in the diffuse state (Fig. 3, C and D), the puncta of labeling by these two antibodies also showed precise registration. These data, based on six transplantation experiments, thus strongly suggest that A12 AChE binds to perlecan.

In contrast to A12 AChE, globular G2/G4 AChE forms, which do not have the collagen-like tail and do not interact with HSPGs, showed little binding to the cell surface when applied at similar concentrations (Fig. 5). Moreover, the binding of these globular AChE forms bore no relationship to the pattern of perlecan labeling on the cell surface (Fig. 5, A and B).

**Purified A12 AChE Binds to Perlecan via its Collagen-like Tail**

To determine whether AChE could bind directly to perlecan, purified A12 or globular G2/G4 AChE forms were incubated with perlecan immobilized on mA b 33-conjugated...
Sepharose beads. Beads incubated with bovine serum albumin, rather than perlecan-containing conditioned medium, were used as an additional control for nonspecific binding in these experiments. As shown in Fig. 6A, the purified A12 AChE was bound to isolated perlecan. That the binding occurred in 0.3 M NaCl, which was necessary to prevent aggregation of the A12 AChE, suggests that it is with high affinity. In contrast, the globular G2/G4 oligomeric forms showed binding levels similar to the albumin control.

It could be argued that A12 AChE binds indirectly to the antibody-perlecan beads via other molecules in the conditioned medium. Thus, a second set of binding studies using surface-plasmon resonance (BIAcore) technology was conducted. In these experiments, purified perlecan was covalently linked to the sensor chip. Samples of G2/G4 and A12 AChE were then injected into the experimental chamber (flow cell) sequentially to study their interactions with the bound perlecan. In this assay, the binding is measured optically and expressed as the net increase in resonance units (RU) at the termination of sample injection. As shown in Fig. 6B, buffer injection did not result in any increase in RU. Using this as a baseline, G2/G4 (at 0.2 ng in 20-μl sample volume) did not show any significant binding to perlecan. When A12 was injected (at 0.05 ng in 20-μl sample volume), a 270-fold change in RU over the G2/G4 value was seen, indicative of its binding to perlecan. This binding was not reversed by the running buffer that had 150 mM NaCl. Significant dissociation of A12 from the perlecan surface was only observed after a buffer with 1–2 M NaCl was injected into the flow cell as shown in Fig. 6B.
chains on perlecan. This is mediated by the heparan-sulfate glycosaminoglycan condition is consistent with the notion that the binding these two molecules are only dissociated under high salt condition. The fact that ing studies described above (Figs. 3 and 5). The fact that these two molecules are only dissociated under high salt condition is consistent with the notion that the binding is mediated by the heparan-sulfate glycosaminoglycan chains on perlecan.

Neurons Induce Clustering of Transplanted Avian AChE to Sites of Nerve–Muscle Contact

To determine whether the transplanted AChE can be recruited to form clusters at the NMJ, we innervated muscle cells pretreated with quail A12 A ChE. A’s shown in Fig. 4 (C and D), this exogenous A ChE was also clustered at developing NMJ s revealed by R-BTX labeling. A gain, the organization of the A ChE cluster was not precisely aligned with that of the A ChR clusters with the A ChE cluster generally occupying a larger area than the A ChR cluster. In contrast to the endogenous A ChE, the NMJ localization of the transplanted A ChE was clearly detectable in 1-d nerve–muscle cocultures (see Discussion). Thus, similar to endogenous A ChE, the transplanted A ChE can also be clustered by lateral migration at the cell surface.

The Role of Perlecan and Dystroglycan in AChE Clustering

A accompanying the clustering of A ChE, HSPGs also became concentrated at the NMJ (3, 6, 50). To determine whether preexisting perlecan molecules can undergo lateral migration and become clustered, cultured muscle cells were labeled with anti-perlecan antibody and then treated with HB-GAM-coated beads followed by fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies. A’s shown in Fig. 7 (A and B), preexistent perlecan was indeed clustered in response to the bead stimulation. Together with A ChE binding to perlecan described above, these results suggest that the A ChE-perlecan complex at the cell surface can be recruited to form synaptic clusters. A’s neither A ChE nor perlecan is a transmembrane protein, an integral membrane linker for this complex would be necessary to effect its lateral migration at the cell surface.

The core protein of perlecan contains three globular domains at its COOH terminus that are also shared by laminin A-chain and agrin (32). Recently, we have shown that, like laminin and agrin, perlecan can bind directly to α-DG which is the extracellular component of the transmembrane DG glycoprotein complex and that these two proteins cocluster in response to synaptic stimuli such as spinal neurons and HB-GAM-coated beads (50). A ex ample of this coclustering induced by beads is shown in Fig. 7 (C and D). Here both perlecan and DG become clustered at the bead–muscle contact and there is a high degree of registration between clusters of these two molecules. To determine whether A ChE was also colocalized with DG, we double-labeled bead-treated muscle cells and nerve–muscle cocultures with R–fasciculin 2 and anti-β-DG antibody. A’s shown in Fig. 8, A ChE and DG also appeared to be precisely coclustered at sites of nerve–muscle contacts (A and B) and at bead-induced clusters (C and D).

To correlate these data obtained from cultured muscle cells with A ChE clustering in vivo, we examined the relationship between A ChE, perlecan, and DG in whole mounts of myotomal muscle. As shown in Fig. 9 (A and B), A ChE and perlecan are colocalized at both the NMJ and the MTJ. Double-labeling myotomal muscle with anti-β-DG antibody and fluorescent BTX revealed that DG is also clustered at the MTJ in addition to its being present at the postsynaptic membrane (Fig. 9, C and D). Finally, double-labeling myotomal muscle with β-DG antibody and R-fasciculin 2 showed colocalization of DG and A ChE at intersomatic junctions (Fig. 9, E and F). At higher magnification, the colocalization of these two molecules at ends of the muscle fiber, where NMJs are located, and along MTJ invaginations became more evident (Fig. 9, G and H).

These data thus suggest that the perlecan-DG complex can serve as an acceptor for the collagenic-tailed form of A ChE and allow it to assume an association with the muscle membrane. This membrane association may provide the structural basis for the observed clustering of preexistent membrane-bound A ChE to the synaptic site.

Discussion

In this study, we used fluorescently conjugated fasciculin 2 to follow the clustering of A ChE during NMJ formation. This probe has many of the same advantages as fluorescent α-bungarotoxin which has offered an extremely pow-
A ChE is a powerful tool for visualizing AChRs (1). Its compact size allows it to penetrate more deeply into tissues than antibody reagents. Its specificity and 1:1 stoichiometry in binding to the catalytic site of AChE (9) enables high-resolution imaging of AChE distribution on muscle cells. Iodinated fasciculin 2 has recently been used to quantify AChE site density in mammalian muscle by EM-autoradiography (4). To our knowledge, the current work is the first to utilize fluorescent fasciculin for optical imaging of AChE.

We have shown that a preexistent, membrane-bound collagenic-tailed form of AChE, either endogenously deposited or experimentally transplanted can be recruited to form clusters at the postsynaptic membrane. This suggests that AChE is capable of lateral migration on the cell surface and becomes immobilized at sites of synaptic differentiation. Thus, AChE clustering seems to bear similarity to the much studied AChR clustering process which can be explained by a diffusion-mediated trapping mechanism of AChR's preexistent on the cell surface (20, 35). Since AChE in muscle is not a membrane-bound protein, this necessitates one or more acceptor molecules to link it to the cell surface. The immunocytochemical colocalization and binding studies presented here show that perlecan is one such acceptor for A12 AChE. Perlecan is one of at least two modular HSPGs on the surface of skeletal muscle cells, the other being muscle agrin (32). Although the bulk of perlecan is associated with ECM, our recent studies have shown that a pool of this molecule is associated with the cell surface in association with α-DG (50). This work suggests that this cell surface pool is, at least in part, also involved in AChE anchorage. As AChE is secreted, this membrane-bound perlecan could readily capture and sequester it on the cell surface. This scheme is consistent with previous findings that the heparin-binding property of A12 AChE is essential for its localization on the cell surface (53). The heparin-binding motifs within the collagen-like tail of this AChE form have recently been elucidated (18, 36). The interaction between this motif and the heparan-sulfate side chain on the perlecan molecule seems to be the basis for the localization of this enzyme to the cell surface (53, 56). Despite our focus on perlecan, muscle agrin, which also becomes concentrated at sites of synaptic differentiation (38), may also be an acceptor for A12...
AChE. Our preliminary studies based on immunological labeling have shown that agrin can coexist with perlecan at the same loci on the cell membrane, albeit at a lower concentration.

Previous studies have shown that α-DG can interact with ECM-bound molecules that have G-domain motifs, such as laminin, agrin, and perlecan (10–12, 22, 28, 50, 58). On the other hand, β-DG, the transmembrane component of the DG complex, interacts with dystrophin or utrophin via its cytoplasmic tail (28). Thus, the DG complex is capable of mediating the transmembrane linkage between ECM and the cytoskeleton. This study suggests a new role for DG as a component of the machinery for cell surface sequestration and clustering of AChE and other ECM components in skeletal muscle during synaptogenesis as depicted in a model in Fig. 10. The transmembrane nature of the DG complex may allow it to undergo lateral movement within the plane of the membrane in the nonclustered state and thus to move its bound HSPG-AChE complex in a manner similar to the AChRs (Fig. 10 A). The lateral mobility of DG is also supported by the recent demonstration that exogenously applied laminin induces clustering of DG (15).

The mechanism for DG clustering at the synaptic site is unknown, although it may also be a cytoskeleton-mediated process as is the case of AChR clustering (8). For AChR clustering, there is compelling evidence to suggest that synaptogenic stimuli induce the formation of a postsynaptic cytoskeletal scaffold which serves to immobilize freely diffusing receptors. Lateral diffusion of AChR, with a diffusion coefficient estimated to be on the order of $10^{-10} - 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, can account for the rate of AChR clustering induced by synaptogenic signal (35, 48). The cytoskeletal specialization underlying the postsynaptic membrane, including F-actin, utrophin/dystrophin, and the transmembrane sarcoglycan complex (25, 39) may be involved in the clustering and/or stabilization of the DG-HSPG-AChE complex (Fig. 10 B). The coclustering of AChE and AChR suggests that their clustering processes may share common determinants. Subtle differences, however, must also exist as shown by the lack of congruency between these two types of clusters with the AChE cluster being larger than the AChR cluster. In the same manner, it has been shown that clusters of dystroglycan and HB-GAM, which binds to HSPG, are also more extensive in area than AChR clusters despite their colocalization (14, 49).

Recent studies have shown that postsynaptic specializations, including both AChR and AChE clusters, still form in utrophin and dystrophin double-knockout mice despite their severe muscular dystrophy (17, 23). However, AChE appears to be “more scattered” according to one study (17). This could be due to two reasons. First, the postsynaptic folds are greatly reduced in these animals. Because
synaptic AChE is associated with the basal lamina both on the top of and along the folds in normal muscle (44), their reduction should result in a significant deficit of AChE. However, the level of DG at the NMJ also seems to be reduced, although the exact level seems to vary between the two studies (17, 23). This could also reduce the total amount of AChE at the NMJ according our model. Nevertheless, the DG remaining at the NMJ may offer the structural basis of AChE localization even in these animals.

Our results have shown that the clustering of endogenous AChE lags behind that of AChRs by about a day in tissue culture. However, transplanted AChE becomes detectable at newly established NMJs on the same day as the AChRs. This suggests that the machinery for AChE clustering is activated at the time of synaptic stimulation, but other factors may limit the rate of AChE accumulation in cultured muscle cells. A previous study has shown that DG clustering is detectable on cultured Xenopus muscle cells within the first 1–2 h after nerve contact (14). Thus, the delay in AChE accumulation seems to be quantitative in nature due to the site density of this molecule on the muscle surface. As described above, the fluorescence intensity of R-fasciculin 2 labeling of AChE at clusters is generally within the first 1–2 h after nerve contact (14). Thus, the density of AChE at frog NMJ is estimated to be ~600 sites/μm² as compared with 10,000 sites/μm² for AChRs (5, 21). A factor that could limit the amount of membrane-bound AChE is the number of sites available for its binding on the heparan-sulfate chains of HSPGs. In addition to AChE, these chains also offer a substrate for other heparin-binding molecules such as several ECM components (59) and growth factors (49) for their localization at the cell surface. The low site density may thus account for the length of time necessary for its accumulation to detectable level at synaptic sites. Transplantation of exogenous AChE greatly increases its site density on the cell surface as shown by the visualization of diffusely distributed molecules (Fig. 3 C).

The clustering of AChE is an example of the specialization of synaptic basement membrane during NMJ formation. A recent study using DG-null embryoid bodies has shown that this protein plays a central role in the assembly of the basement membrane (29). In addition to AChE, the scheme presented in this work based on molecular interaction with HSPG-DG complex may also find application in the formation of other specializations involving heparin-binding synaptic molecules such as neuregulin and peptide growth factors (40, 49).
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