Comparative study between fast terminal and second order sliding mode controls applied to a wind energy conversion system
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ABSTRACT

The wind energy conversion system (WECS) consists of many subsystems, which present control difficulties due to the strong nonlinearities of the models and the effects of internal or external disturbances. In this work, the WECS is based on a doubly feed induction generator (DFIG) directly connected to the stator side network and interconnected via a power converter on the rotor side. The aim of the control strategy is to achieve regular regulation of the powers supplied by the generator and to produce energy of better quality. In order to improve the dynamic behavior of the doubly fed induction generator (DFIG); a comparative study is presented between two advanced control strategies; the second order sliding mode control and the FTSMC fast terminal sliding mode control. The proposed advanced tracking controller is synthesized based on the Lyapunov stability theory and guarantees the existence of the sliding mode around the sliding surface in a finite time. The analysis of the simulation results under the Matlab/Simulink environment confirms the effectiveness of the proposed methods through the performances obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Global renewable energy production as a share of global production continues to grow as renewable technologies become more profitable. Wind energy is one of the most profitable renewable sources. These decentralized sources can not only behave as complementary generators in distribution networks, but also offer system services (reactive power compensation) like conventional generators and participate in improving the quality of electrical energy [1].

Among the adjustable speed wind turbines, the most widely used are those equipped with doubly fed induction generators for its great advantages. Where the stator winding is directly connected to the grid; while the rotor winding is connected to the power grid via two power converters, a rotor side converter (RSC) and a grid side converter (GSC), between these two converters there is a DC bus [2].
The main objective of using the "RSC" power converter is to independently control the active and reactive powers provided through the stator, while the GSC is controlled to stabilize the DC link voltage and regulate the reactive power injected into the electrical grid [3]. To extract the optimum power point in the WECS, a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm has to be developed. The accuracy of peak power points tracked by MPPT algorithm is responsible for more power output produced by a WECS [4]. In previous work [5], we proposed a control algorithm which allowed the doubly fed induction generator DFIG to track the optimal operation points of the wind turbine system under fluctuating wind conditions, and the tracking process speeds up over time. Indeed, we had proposed an advanced maximum power point (MPPT) technique. The control strategy consisted in inserting a KALMAN observer to estimate the mechanical torque, then to synthesize an MPPT controller by back-stepping approach to generate the electromagnetic torque reference. So, a high order sliding mode controller was synthesized in order to regulate both the electromagnetic torque and the stator reactive power to achieve the control aims. The simulation results show high accuracy of the proposed MPPT algorithm for varying wind speeds. The adopted strategy presents better performance and robustness. Indeed, it improves energy efficiency and reduces mechanical stress on the transmission shaft.

In this work, we test a new robust terminal fast sliding mode control (FTSMC) to improve the global robustness of the system. Then, a comparison of its performance to that of the second order sliding mode adopted previously is presented. The dynamic terminal sliding mode controller is formulated based on Lyapunov theory such that the sliding phase of the closed-loop control system can be guaranteed, also chattering phenomenon caused by the classical sliding mode control can be eliminated, and high precision performance is achieved [6], [7]. Figure 1 summarizes the control structure of the system.

The document is organized as follows: in Section (2.1), the operational control objectives are presented. Then in paragraph (2.2) the mathematical model of the DFIG is detailed. In Section (2.3), an FTSM controller design and stability analysis are discussed. In (2.4), the control law using high-order sliding mode is synthesized. Simulations in Section (3) illustrate the controller’s performances. A conclusion, Appendix containing the notations used and a list of references complete the document.

![Figure 1. Principle of the control strategy](image-url)
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\[ \begin{align*}
\Phi_{Sd} & = L_S \cdot i_{Sd} + L_M \cdot i_{rd} \\
\Phi_{SQ} & = L_S \cdot i_{SQ} + L_M \cdot i_{rq} \\
\phi_{rd} & = L_r \cdot i_{rd} + L_M \cdot i_{Sd}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\Phi_{mq} & = L_r \cdot i_{rq} + L_M \cdot i_{SQ}
\end{align*} \]  

(1)

The relation (2) gives the stator and rotor voltages equations, [9]:

\[ \begin{align*}
v_{Sd} & = R_S \cdot i_{Sd} + \frac{d \Phi_{Sd}}{dt} - \omega_S \cdot \Phi_{SQ} \\
v_{SQ} & = R_S \cdot i_{SQ} + \frac{d \Phi_{SQ}}{dt} + \omega_S \cdot \Phi_{Sd} \\
v_{rd} & = R_r \cdot i_{rd} + \frac{d \phi_{rd}}{dt} - \omega_r \cdot \phi_{rq} \\
v_{rq} & = R_r \cdot i_{rq} + \frac{d \phi_{rq}}{dt} + \omega_r \cdot \phi_{rd}
\end{align*} \]  

(2)

The relation (3) define the stator active, reactive powers and the electromagnetic torque [10]:

\[ \begin{align*}
P_S & = v_{Sd} \cdot i_{Sd} + v_{SQ} \cdot i_{SQ} \\
Q_S & = v_{SQ} \cdot i_{Sd} - v_{Sd} \cdot i_{SQ} \\
T_{EM} & = p \cdot L_M \cdot (i_{rd}i_{SQ} - i_{rq}i_{Sd})
\end{align*} \]  

(3)

By setting the quadratic component of the stator’s flux to null value and neglecting the value of the stator winding resistor \( R_S \), the voltage and flux equations of the stator winding are simplified in steady state as:

\[ \begin{align*}
\Phi_{Sd} & = \Phi_S = \text{cte} \Rightarrow \frac{d \Phi_{Sd}}{dt} = 0 \\
R_S & \simeq 0 \Rightarrow v_{Sd} = 0
\end{align*} \]  

(4)

Therefore, combining as shown in (1) and (4) we get the following simplified expressions:

\[ \begin{align*}
i_{Sd} & = -\frac{L_M}{L_S} \cdot i_q \\
i_{SQ} & = \frac{\Phi_S}{L_S} - \frac{L_M}{L_S} \cdot i_{rd}
\end{align*} \]  

(5)

Using the Blondel dispersion coefficient \( \sigma \), as shown in (1) and (2) we obtain the simplified expressions:

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{di_{rd}}{dt} & = \frac{1}{L_{rd}} \left( v_{rd} - R_r i_{rd} + w_r L_i i_{rq} \right) \\
\frac{di_{rq}}{dt} & = \frac{1}{L_{rq}} \left( v_{rq} - R_r i_{rq} - w_r L_i i_{rd} - w_r \frac{L_M}{L_S} \phi_S \right)
\end{align*} \]  

(6)

Moreover, relation (3) can be written as:

\[ \begin{align*}
P_S & = -\sqrt{3} \cdot V_S \cdot \frac{L_M}{L_S} \cdot i_{rq} \\
Q_S & = \sqrt{3} \cdot V_S \cdot (\Phi_S - L_M \cdot i_{rd}) \cdot \frac{1}{L_S} \\
T_{EM} & = -p \cdot \frac{L_M}{L_S} \cdot \Phi_S \cdot i_{rq}
\end{align*} \]  

(7)

2.3. Dynamic fast terminal SMC controller synthesis

2.3.1. Principle of FTSMC controller

The classical commands by sliding mode ensure an asymptotic error convergence; while the fast terminal sliding mode control (FTSMC) techniques ensure finite time convergence of the error [11].
Let us consider the generalized following sliding function:

\[ s = \dot{x}(t) + ax(t) + \beta |x(t)|^{q/p} \cdot \text{sgn}(x(t)) \]  

(8)

Where \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \) & \( q, p \) \((q < p)\) are positive parameters. When \( s \) tends to 0, \( x(t) \) tends to 0 in finite time. The relation (8) become:

\[ \dot{x}(t) = -\alpha x(t) - \beta x(t)^{q/p} \]  

(9)

From relation (9), we write:

\[ x^{-q/p} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + \alpha \cdot x^{(1-q/p)} = -\beta \]  

(10)

We choose the variable \( y \) as:

\[ y = x^{(p-q)} \]  

(11)

By combining the relations (10) and (11), we get:

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{(p-q)}{p} \cdot ay = -\frac{(p-q)}{p} \beta \]  

(12)

Relation (12) can be written as:

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} + P(t) \cdot y = Q(t) \]  

(13)

The solution of this as shown in is [12]:

\[ y(t) = e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t} P(t) dt} \cdot \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} Q(t) \cdot e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t} P(t) dt} dt + C_0 \right) \]  

(14)

where: \( C_0 = y(0) \). From relations (12), (13) and (14). We get the expression of \( y(t) \) as:

\[ y(t) = -\frac{\beta}{a} + \frac{\beta}{a} \cdot e^{-\frac{(p-q)at}{p}} + y(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{(p-q)at}{p}} \]  

(15)

we assume that for \( t = t_s \): \( x(t_s) = 0 \) and \( y(t_s) = 0 \).

\[ e^{\frac{p-q}{p} at_s} = \frac{\beta + ay(0)}{\beta} \]  

(16)

From the initial state \( x(0) \neq 0 \) for \( t = 0 \), the convergence time \( t_s \) is then expressed by [13]:

\[ t_s = \frac{p}{a(p-q)} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{\beta + ax(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{p-q}{p} at_s}}{\beta} \right) \]  

(17)

2.3.2. Control synthesis

Initially, we define the following errors:

\[ \begin{align*}
  e_1 &= \alpha r_q - \alpha r_q^* \\
  e_2 &= \alpha r_d - \alpha r_d^*
\end{align*} \]  

(18)

Let us consider the following sliding functions:
\[
\begin{align*}
S_1 &= \dot{e}_1 + \alpha_1 e_1 + \beta_1 e_1^{q_1/p_1} \\
S_2 &= \dot{e}_2 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \beta_2 e_2^{q_2/p_2}
\end{align*}
\]
(19)

When \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) converge towards 0, the errors \(e_1\) and \(e_2\) also converge towards 0 in finite time.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{e}_1 &= -\alpha_1 e_1 - \beta_1 e_1^{q_1/p_1} \\
\dot{e}_2 &= -\alpha_2 e_2 - \beta_2 e_2^{q_2/p_2}
\end{align*}
\]
(20)

From the relations (18) and (20) we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\dot{r}_q}{dt} &= \frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt} - \alpha_1 e_1 - \beta_1 e_1^{q_1/p_1} \\
\frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt} &= \frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt} - \alpha_2 e_2 - \beta_2 e_2^{q_2/p_2}
\end{align*}
\]
(21)

Combining as shown in (6) and (21), we establish the following control laws:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_{r_q} &= L_r \sigma \frac{d\dot{r}_q}{dt} + R_r i_{rq} + L_r \omega_r i_{rd} + \frac{t_m\omega_r}{L_s} \Phi_S - L_r \sigma \alpha_1 e_1 - \beta_1 L_r \sigma e_1^{q_1/p_1} \\
v_{r_d} &= L_r \sigma \frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt} + R_r i_{rd} - L_r \sigma \omega_r i_{rq} + L_r \sigma \alpha_2 e_2 - \beta_2 L_r \sigma e_2^{q_2/p_2}
\end{align*}
\]
(22, 23)

### 2.4. Second order sliding mode controller synthesis

The second-order sliding-mode algorithm synthesizes a discontinuous control that makes the surface and its derivative null with continuous control, thus reducing background noise and avoiding significant mechanical stress, while preserving the advantages of the conventional sliding mode [14]. To ensure the controller’s objectives, first we define two sliding variables \(S_q\) and \(S_d\) [15, 16] by:

\[
S_q = (i_{rq} - i_{rq}^*) \text{ and } S_d = (i_{rd} - i_{rd}^*)
\]
(24)

Using relation (6), we get the dynamics of the sliding functions \(S_q\) and \(S_d\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{S}_q &= \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \left( v_{r_q} - R_r i_{rq} - L_r \sigma i_{rd} - \frac{t_m\omega_r}{L_s} \cdot \Phi_S \right) - \frac{d\dot{r}_q}{dt} \\
\dot{S}_d &= \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \left( v_{r_d} - R_r i_{rd} + L_r \sigma i_{rq} \right) - \frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt}
\end{align*}
\]
(25, 26)

After we define two functions \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) by [17, 18]:

\[
G_1 = \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \left( -R_r i_{rq} - L_r \sigma i_{rd} - \frac{t_m\omega_r}{L_s} \cdot \Phi_S \right) - \frac{d\dot{r}_q}{dt}
\]
(27)

\[
G_2 = \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \left( -R_r i_{rd} + L_r \sigma i_{rq} \right) - \frac{d\dot{r}_d}{dt}
\]
(28)

Such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{S}_q &= \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \cdot \dot{v}_{r_q} + \dot{G}_1 & \dot{S}_d &= \frac{1}{L_r \sigma} \cdot \dot{v}_{r_d} + \dot{G}_2
\end{align*}
\]
(29)

The control algorithm based on the super twisting algorithm (ST) was introduced by Levant [19, 20], where each of the control laws contains two parts:

\[
v_{r_q} = u_1 + u_2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 
\dot{u}_1 = -\alpha_1 \cdot sign(S_q) \\
\dot{u}_2 = -\theta_1 \cdot \left| S_q \right|^{0.5} \cdot sign(S_q)
\end{cases}
\]
(30)
\[ v_{rd} = w_1 + w_2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{w}_1 = -\alpha_2 \cdot \text{sign}(S_d) \\ w_2 = -\theta_2 \cdot |S_d|^{0.5} \cdot \text{sign}(S_d) \end{cases} \] (31)

The parameters \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \theta_1 \) are determined to ensure fast convergence of the variables to be regulated [21, 22]. Therefore, the convergence condition is:

\[ \begin{cases} \alpha_i > \frac{\mu_i}{L_r \sigma} \\ \theta_i \geq \frac{4\mu_i(a_i + \mu_i)}{L_r^2 \sigma^2(a_i - \mu_i)} \\ |\hat{G}_i| < \mu_i \cdots i = 1,2 \end{cases} \] (32)

The equivalent terms of the control law given by relations (33) and (34) are determined by canceling, (25) and (26).

\[ v_{r_{eq}} = R_r \cdot i_{r} + L_r w_r \sigma \cdot i_{rd} + \frac{i_{MW}}{L_s} \phi_s + L_r \sigma \frac{di_{r}^{eq}}{dt} \] (33)

\[ v_{r_{deq}} = R_r \cdot i_{rd} - L_r w_r \sigma \cdot i_{r} + L_r \sigma \frac{di_{r}^{eq}}{dt} \] (34)

Finally, the control law is expressed by:

\[ \begin{cases} v_{r} = u_1 + u_2 + v_{r_{eq}} \\ v_{rd} = w_1 + w_2 + v_{r_{deq}} \end{cases} \] (35)

### 3. SIMULATION RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this section, we use the previous equations to simulate both the generator and the controllers. In [23], we had already dealt MPPT system. Thus, in this simulation, we focus on the comparison of the simulation results of the FTSMC technique and the second order sliding mode control. System performances have been validated by simulation in MATLAB/SIMULINK environment. The Tables 1 and 2 summarize the parameters of the turbine and DFIG [24]. While Tables 3 and 4 contain the controller’s parameters. The DC link voltage is initially set at 800 V.

| Table 1. The parameters of turbine | Table 2. The parameters of DFIG |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Parameters | Values | Parameters | Values |
| P_s | 1.5MW | L_s | 0.0136H |
| Number of Blades | 3 | L_m | 0.0135H |
| Blade length | 35.25 m | R_s | 0.02Ω |
| F | 0.0024 N/m/s |
| L_s | 0.0137H | J | 0.175 kg.m |

| Table 3. Controller parameters by second order sliding mode | Table 4. Controller parameters by FTSMC |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Parameters | Values | Parameters | Values |
| \( \alpha_1 \) | 350 | \( \psi_1 \) | 10 |
| \( \theta_1 \) | 0.75 | \( \psi_2 \) | 11 |
| \( \alpha_1 \) | 15 | \( \rho_1 \) | 5 |
| \( \beta_1 \) | 500 | \( \beta_2 \) | 100 |

#### 3.1. Pursuit: The simplified wind speed profile

In order to simulate a wind speed profile, it is assumed that it consists of a sum of an average component (slowly varying) and a variable component representing fluctuations. Then the expression of the wind speed is approximated by the relation (36) [25]:

\[ V(t) = 24 + 1.75 \cdot \sin \left(2\pi \cdot \frac{t}{24}\right) - 1.25 \cdot \sin \left(6\pi \cdot \frac{t}{24}\right) + 0.85 \cdot \sin \left(10\pi \cdot \frac{t}{24}\right) - 0.75 \cdot \sin(20\pi \cdot \frac{t}{24}) \] (36)

Figure 2 show the wind speed profile applied to the input of the turbine. Figures 3 and 4 represent the rapid convergence of the sliding functions \( S_q \) and \( S_d \) towards 0 for the two commands FTSMC and Second order SMC.
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Figure 2. Wind speed profile (m/s)

Figure 3. Sliding function $S_q$: (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

Figure 4. Sliding function $S_d$: (a) FTSM controller; (b) Second order sliding mode

Figures 5 (a) and (c) show the evolution of the current and the voltage at one phase of the stator. The power factor is -1, this justifies that the energy produced is supplied to the network. The FFT analysis of the stator current for each control law provides a better THD% by Figure 5 (b) and (d). In Figure 6, we can note that control laws are very fast in pursuit of references. The active stator power varies rapidly as the wind speed changes. It has a negative sign because it is supplied to the network.

Figures 7 (a) and (c) show the behavior of current and voltage in the rotor. While Figures 7 (b) and (d) give the FFT analysis of the rotor voltage for each of the control laws. Figures 8 (a) and 8 (b) show rapid convergence of the electromagnetic torque towards its reference. Figures 9 (a) and 9 (b) show the behavior of the rotor powers depending on the wind speed change.
Figure 5. Shape of the current and voltage of a stator phase and the currents FFT analysis; (a) FTSM controller; (b) FFT analysis of stator current; (c) second order sliding mode; (d) FFT analysis of stator current

Figure 6. Active and reactive powers produced at the stator; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

3.2. Pursuit: The step change in the wind speed profile

In Figure 10 as shown in step change of the wind speed (m/s). In Figure 11 and 13, we can note the increase of the stator and rotor currents when the wind speed increases. Figure 12 show the behavior of rotor powers depending on the wind speed change. Generally, we can conclude that two laws FTSMC and second order SMC ensure a perfect pursuit of the references. Despite the difficult setting of parameters in the case of Second order sliding mode control and it long implementation. To verify the fast convergence to the references with the proposed control strategy. We introduce a step change in the wind speed profile as Figure 10-13.
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Figure 7. Shape of the current and voltage of rotor phase

Figure 8. Shape of the electromagnetic torque with its reference; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

Figure 9. Active and reactive power absorbed at the rotor; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode
Figure 10. Step change of the wind speed (m/s)

Figure 11. Shape of the current and voltage of a stator phase

Figure 12. Active and reactive power absorbed at the rotor

Figure 13. Current and voltage at the rotor phase
3.3. Robustness of controllers

In order to test the robustness of the two control laws, a voltage dip is caused between the instants \( t_1 = 0.4 \) s and \( t_2 = 0.6 \) s as shown in Figure 14. In a previous work [23], we had synthesized a DVR system to compensate for voltage drops. The wind speed is kept constant at 24 m/s. In Figure 15, the simulation results for grid voltages after compensation of voltages dips, such constitute external disturbance for the system. In Figures 16 (a) and (b), we note a total independence of the sliding function \( S_q \) than the voltage dip on the network. In Figures 17 (a) and (b), we note an dynamic behavior of the sliding function \( S_d \).

Figure 14. Network voltages dips

Figure 15. Grid voltages after compensation by DVR system

Figure 16. Sliding function \( S_q \) with external disturbance; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

Figure 17. Sliding function \( S_d \) with external disturbance; (a) FTSM controller; (b) Second order sliding mode

As shown in Figures 18 (a) and (b), the stator currents remain insensitive to the disturbance, which makes the two control laws more robust. In Figures 19 (a) and (b), we denote that the active power supplied to the network increases after compensation for the voltages of the network.
Figure 18. Shape of the current and voltage of a stator phase with external disturbances; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

Figure 19. Active and reactive powers produced at the stator with external disturbance; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode

Figures 20 (a) and (b) show that after compensation of voltage dips, the current and the voltage at the rotor phase are not influenced. The active power of the rotor is insensitive to small variations in network voltages. On the other hand, the reactive power increases a bit as shown in Figures 21 (a) and (b). In general, we can conclude that the two control laws, FTSMC and second order SMC, have better robustness to external disturbances.

Figure 20. Shape of the current and voltage of rotor phase with external disturbance; (a) FTSM controller; (b) second order sliding mode
4. **CONCLUSION**

In this work, we are interested in the study of the control of Wind energy conversion system WECS equipped by doubly fed induction generator. The studied system is composed of Turbine 1.5 MW three-blades, a DFIG 1.5 MW with the stator directly connected to the grid, and the rotor connected to the grid through two converters “RSC” and “GSC”. Our objective was twofold: (1) to design, using two different approaches, a control law that stabilizes the system, ensure good tracking by the generator electromagnetic torque and the reactive stator power of their references and ensure adequate regulation in the presence of network voltages dips; (2) establish a comparative analysis of the performances of two controllers: second-order SMC and FTSMC. Simulation results show that the two controllers designed provide for the generator, good tracking for references and present a less sensitivity in the presence for external disturbance, where the WECS continuous to provide energy to the network. It should be noted that if second order sliding mode controller behaves with good performance, its synthesis is much more complicated than the FTSMC controller. The choice of the constants $\theta_i$ and $\alpha_i$ is done with an approximate manner after many tests.
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### APPENDIX

| Notation | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| DFIG     | Doubly Fed Induction generator |
| RSC      | Rotor side converter |
| GSC      | Grid side converter |
| WECS     | Wind Energy Conversion Sys |
| MPPT     | Maximum Power Point Track |
| $i_{rd}, i_{rq}$ | The d–q rotor currents reference |
| $\Phi_{sd}, \Phi_{sq}$ | Direct and quadratic stator flux |
| $\Phi_{rd}, \Phi_{rq}$ | Direct and quadratic rotor flux |
| $i_{sd}, i_{sq}$ | Direct and quadratic stator currents |
| $i_{rd}, i_{rq}$ | Direct and quadratic rotor currents |
| $L_s, L_r$ | Stator and rotor inductances |
| $R_s, R_r$ | Stator and rotor resistances |
| Vdc, Vdc^* | DC link voltage and it reference |
| $w_s$ | Pulsation of synchronism rd/s |
| $w_r$ | Pulsation of rotor currents |
| $\sigma$ | Blondel dispersion coefficient |
| $\theta_i, \alpha_i$ | Parameters of super-twisting |
| $V_{dc}$, $V_{dc^*}$ | DC link voltage and it reference |
| $T$ | Period of the wind speed |
| $\nu$ | The RMS value of the network |
| $\Omega_r$ | Mechanical angular speed |
| $P_s, Q_s$ | Active and reactive stator |
| $T_{Em}$ | The electromagnetic torque |
| $v_{3d}, v_{sq}$ | Direct and quadratic stator |
| $v_{rd}, v_{rq}$ | Direct and quadratic rotor |
| $v_{51}, v_{52}, v_{53}$ | Three phase voltages. |
| $S_{d}, S_{q}$ | Direct and quadratic |
| $P_r, Q_r$ | Active and reactive rotor |
| $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \omega_1, \omega_2$ | Control laws from the Super |
| $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ | Convergence time by FTSMC |
| $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \omega_1, \omega_2$ | Control laws from the Super |
| $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ | Convergence time by FTSMC |
| $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \omega_1, \omega_2$ | Control laws from the Super |
| $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ | Convergence time by FTSMC |
| $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \omega_1, \omega_2$ | Control laws from the Super |
| $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ | Convergence time by FTSMC |