Iron oxide nanocatalyst with titanium and silver nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity on the degradation of Rhodamine B dye
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Nowadays, there is a growing concern about the environmental impacts of colored wastewater. Thus, the present work aims the synthesis, characterization and determination of photocatalytic activity of iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) nanocatalyst, evaluating the effect of hybridization with titanium (TiNPs-Fe₂O₃) and silver (AgNPs-Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles, on the degradation of Rhodamine B dye (RhB). Nanocatalysts were characterized by XRD, SEM, TEM, FTIR, N₂ porosimetry (BET/BJH method), zeta potential and DRS. Photocatalytic tests were performed in a slurry reactor, with the nanocatalyst in suspension, using RhB as a target molecule, under ultraviolet (UV) and visible radiation. Therefore, the photocatalytic activity of the nanocatalysts (non-doped and hybridized) was evaluated in these ideal conditions, where the AgNPs-Fe₂O₃ sample showed the best photocatalytic activity with a degradation of 94.1% (k = 0.0222 min⁻¹, under UV) and 58.36% (k = 0.007 min⁻¹, under visible), while under the same conditions, the TiO₂-P25 commercial catalyst showed a degradation of 61.5% (k = 0.0078 min⁻¹) and 44.5% (k = 0.0044 min⁻¹), respectively. According with the ideal conditions determined, reusability of the AgNPs-Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst was measured, showing a short reduction (about 8%) of its photocatalytic activity after 5 cycles. Thus, the Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst can be considered a promising catalyst in the heterogeneous photocatalysis for application in the degradation of organic dyes in aqueous solution.

Dyes are substances with high application potential in the most diverse areas, mainly to color the final products of textile, precious stones, leather, paper, plastics and food. For example, it is estimated that there are more than 100,000 synthetic dyes, with an annual production of more than 700,000 tons worldwide, generating a significant amount of wastewater¹. In addition, these colored waters are characterized by complex aromatic compounds, making their biodegradation difficult, becoming an environmental liability. Thus, advanced processes are needed to promote their correct treatment in order to meet environmental norms and legislation².

In this context, the Advanced Oxidative Processes (AOPs), highlighting the heterogeneous photocatalysis, becomes an attractive alternative, since they are technologies with potential to oxidize a great variety of complex organic compounds³, using a highly oxidant and less selective species (the hydroxyl radical, *OH), capable of mineralize many organic compounds⁴. Thus, the heterogeneous photocatalysis involves the photoactivation of a semiconductor (catalyst), under visible or ultraviolet radiation, with energy equal to or greater than band gap energy⁵, promoting oxy-reduction reactions on the catalytic surface and thus the degradation of organic pollutants.

Among the most used catalysts are titanium dioxide (TiO₂), cadmium sulfide (CdS), zinc oxide (ZnO), zinc sulphide (ZnS), tungsten trioxide (WO₃), tin dioxide (SnO₂) and iron oxide III (Fe₂O₃)⁶. However, these catalysts
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Nanocatalyst has emerged as an alternative to increase catalytic efficiency, since it has advantages over commercial catalysts, such as higher specific surface area and porosity, making them with great potential application in heterogeneous photocatalysis. One of the strategies used to increase the photocatalytic activity of nanocatalyst is the usage of hybridization with noble metal and metals, in order to reduce the recombination between photoelectrons/holes pairs and reducing the energy required to its photoactivation, allowing its application to visible radiation. In addition, Rhodamine B dye (RhB) (C28H31N2O3Cl), a highly water soluble organic cation dye, belongings to the class of xanthenes, whose contact with humans can cause irritation to the skin, airways and eyes. Moreover, it presents the chromophoric groups (–C=C –/– C=N–), as well as a characteristic carcinogenicity and neurotoxicity activity.

In this context, the present work aims the synthesis, characterization and determination of photocatalytic activity of Fe2O3 nanocatalyst, hybridized with titanium nanoparticles (TiNPs) and silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on the degradation of Rhodamine B (RhB) dye, under UV and visible radiation.

### Materials and Methods

#### Synthesis of the Fe2O3 nanocatalyst.

The synthesis of iron oxide nanocatalyst followed the chemical precipitation by sodium borohydride method, according to the literature. Sodium borohydride (NaBH4, 0.2 mol L–1, Neon, PA) and ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl3·H2O, 0.05 mol L–1, Synth, PA) were mixed for 30 minutes, under magnetic stirring (250 rpm). After, the synthesized nanoparticles were vacuum filtered and washed with deionized water and diluted ethanol (~5%). Parameters such as pH (~7), reagents concentrations, stirring speed, reaction time and temperature (23 ± 0.5°C) were kept constant in order to avoid influence on the composition and properties of nanocatalyst.

#### Synthesis of nanoparticles (NPs).

For silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), 75 mL of sodium borohydride solution (0.002 mol L–1, Neon, PA) was added, in ice bath, under for magnetic stirring for 10–15 min. with 25 mL of a silver nitrate solution (0.001 mol L–1, Synth, PA) (rate of 1 drop s–1), forming silver nanoparticles. It is noteworthy that titanium nanoparticles were commercially purchased (TiO2, Evonik Aeroxide P25).

#### Synthesis of hybridized nanocatalyst.

For hybridization of Fe2O3 nanocatalyst, the impregnation methodology was used with TiNPs and AgNPs, according to the literature. Samples were magnetic stirring at room temperature for 90 min, after calcined at 450 °C (heating rate 10 °C min–1) for 4 hours. Finally, the granulometry was standardized with milling and sieving (# 12). Hybridized nanocatalysts with NPs were labeled as TiNPs-Fe2O3 and AgNPs-Fe2O3, respectively.

#### Characterization of nanocatalyst.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the crystallinity of the samples in a Bruker D2 Advance diffractometer with a copper tube (Kα = 1.5418 Å) in the range of 5° to 70°, with tension acceleration and applied current of 30 kV and 30 mA, respectively.

For zeta potential (PZ), Malvern-Zetasizer® model nanoZS (ZEN3600, UK) with closed capillary cells (DTS 1060) (Malvern, UK) was used to measure the zeta potential values of the samples.

N2 porosimetry was used to evaluate the textural properties of specific surface area (S BET) and porosity (pore diameter – Dp and pore volume – Vp) using in an equipment Gemini VII 2375 Surface Area Analyzer Micromeritics® and BET/BJH Methods (P Po–1 = 0.05–0.35).

Band gap energy was determined by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy at UV radiation (UV DRS) using a Varian Cary Scan Spectrophotometer with DRA-CA-301 accessory (Labsphere) coupled in the diffuse reflectance mode to determine the energy band gap by means of the Kubelka–Munk function with scans ranged from 200 to 600 nm.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) were used to morphologically characterize the nanocatalysts (Fe2O3, TiNPs-Fe2O3 and AgNPs-Fe2O3) using a thin layer of conductive gold by a sputtering technique.

#### Effect of the photolysis and adsorption on the RhB degradation.

To evaluate the influence of adsorption on the degradation of RhB dye, were carried out preliminary tests (time = 90 min, pH ≈ 4.03, [Fe2O3] = 0.7 g L–1 and [RhB] = 20 mg L–1) in dark (without irradiation) conditions to establish the time required for the equilibrium of RhB molecule on nanocatalyst surface. Moreover, the effect of photolysis on RhB degradation was carried out by tests without catalyst, only under radiation with RhB dye solution (20 mg L–1, 61.8 W m–2 for UV irradiation and 202 W m–2 for visible irradiation and time = 60 min).

#### Photocatalytic activity.

Photodegradation tests were carried out using a solution of RhB, as the target molecule, in nanocatalysts in suspension (slurry). Tests were performed in two stages: (a) dark stage (absence of radiation), where adsorption/desorption equilibrium of the target molecule occurred on the surface of the nanocatalyst, with a duration of 60 minutes, and (b) a photocatalytic reaction step (with visible or UV radiation) with duration of 120 minutes (collections at predetermined times of (0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 120 minutes). Then the samples were centrifuged (Cientec CT-5000R refrigerated centrifuge) for 20 minutes with a rotation of 5,000 rpm and finally diluted (1:10 v/v).
Moreover, the absorbance measurements of solutions collected during reactions were carried out in a double-beam spectrophotometer (Varian, Cary 100) with a halogen lamp at the wavelength characteristic of RhB (\(\lambda = 553\) nm).

**Kinetic study of RhB degradation.** In order to determine the specific reaction rate (k), a kinetic study of RhB dye degradation, under UV and visible radiation over time, was carried out according to the classic heterogeneous kinetic model (pseudo first-order model) (Eqs. 1 and 2)\(^{20,21}\):

\[
-r_t = -\frac{dC_t}{dt} = k_s \cdot K \cdot C_t \quad (1)
\]

Or:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{C_{\text{tot}}}{C_t}\right) = k_s \cdot K \cdot t = k \cdot t \quad \text{or} \quad C_t = C_{\text{tot}} \cdot e^{-k \cdot t} \quad (2)
\]

**Experimental designs.** Central Rotatable Composite Design (CRCD) (Statistic 8.0, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) symmetrical and of second order was used to determine the ideal reaction conditions (pH, \([\text{RhB}]\) and \([\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3]\)) for the degradation of RhB dye, constituted of a factorial 2\(^3\), with 8 tests, 3 central points, and 6 axial points, totaling 17 experiments, according to Table 1.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characterization of nanocatalysts.** Table 2 shows the results of N\(_2\) porosimetry, DRS and zeta potential characterization.

According to Table 2, AgNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) showed the highest S\(_{\text{BET}}\) (505 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), while TiNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) (304 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), while \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) (158 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) and TiO\(_2\) (P25) (56 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) nanocatalysts. In relation to pore volume (Vp), AgNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) showed the highest volume (1.6 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)). In addition, according to pore diameter (Dp), all nanocatalysts (non-doped and doped) showed mesoporous characteristics\(^{22}\). For heterogeneous photocatalysis, surface area and porosity (pore volume and diameter) are factors that directly affect photocatalytic performance, since they affect the adsorption of the target molecule and oxy-reduction reactions for hydroxyl radical formation\(^{23,24}\).

The band gap of \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\), TiNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) and AgNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) were found between 2.2; 2.0 and 1.8 eV, respectively. Thus, nanoparticles (NPs) promoted a reduction in conduction and valence bands, compared to \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) nanocatalyst, generating a decrease in the energy required for photoactivation of the hybridized nanocatalysts and shifting the application of nanocatalysts to the visible region of radiation\(^{25}\).

Moreover, all samples showed a negative charge surface potential (\(-10.25\) to \(-13.30\) mV), according to zeta potential, indicating a charge compatibility, since RhB dye is characterized by its cationic nature\(^{26,27}\), increasing the RhB adsorption capacity on the catalytic surface and thus a possible better photocatalytic activity.

Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffractograms of the synthesized samples (without and with NPs hybridization). According to Fig. 1, it is possible to identify the characteristic peaks of the photo phase of TiO\(_2\) (anatase) at 25.2\(^\circ\), 37.8\(^\circ\), 48.2\(^\circ\), 53.8\(^\circ\) e 55.0\(^\circ\) corresponding respectively to the plans (101), (004), (200), (105), e (211); some rutile diffraction peaks are still observed, the main one being 2\(\theta = 27.4^\circ\) which corresponds to the plane (110), according to ICPDS (Code 21–1272)\(^{29}\). Moreover, the silver nitrate X-ray diffraction pattern (AgNO\(_3\)) showed the characteristic peaks, with their respective crystalline planes, at 30.2\(^\circ\) (001), 35.6\(^\circ\) (111), 43.6\(^\circ\) (200) and 62.3\(^\circ\) (220)\(^{29}\). In addition, it was possible to identify peaks of \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) oxide (33.2\(^\circ\), 35.6\(^\circ\), 40.9\(^\circ\), 54.1\(^\circ\), 62.5\(^\circ\) and 64.1\(^\circ\))

| Order | \([\text{RhB}]\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | \([\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3]\) (g L\(^{-1}\)) | pH |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| (+1.68) | 5 | 0.5 | 2 |
| (+1) | 24.25 | 1.41 | 4.03 |
| 0 | 52.5 | 2.75 | 7 |
| (+1) | 80.74 | 4.08 | 9.97 |
| (+1.68) | 100 | 5 | 12 |

**Table 1.** Factorial planning matrix 2\(^3\) for \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) nanocatalyst.

| Samples | S\(_{\text{BET}}\) (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) | Vp (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) | Dp (nm) | Eg (eV) | ZP (mV) |
|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| TiO\(_2\) (P25) | 56 | 0.07 | 4.8 | 3.2 | −24.0 |
| \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) | 158 | 0.93 | 22.6 | 2.2 | −13.3 |
| TiNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) | 304 | 0.02 | 2.9 | 2.0 | −11.5 |
| AgNPs- \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) | 505 | 1.60 | 3.5 | 1.8 | −10.2 |

**Table 2.** Surface area (S\(_{\text{BET}}\)), pore volume (Vp), pore diameter (Dp), band gap energy (Eg) and zeta potential (ZP) of the synthesized nanocatalysts.
Thus, the hybridization process with the NPs did not promote the formation of new peaks, as well as titanium and silver characteristic peaks were identified on Fe2O3 sample, indicating a successful hybridization.

FTIR analysis was used as a qualitative analysis technique to determine the functional groups present in the synthesized materials, according to Fig. 2.

According to Fig. 2, iron oxide showed at 3394 cm⁻¹ strip, is attributed to stretch vibrations (ν), while the 1620 cm⁻¹ strip is attributed to flexural vibrations (δ) due to water adsorbed on the surface of the iron oxide nanoparticles. The band observed at 611 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the stretching vibrations of M₁₄O₆-MOH, where M₁₄ and MOH correspond to iron occupying tetrahedral and octahedral positions, respectively. TiNPs showed at 590 cm⁻¹ due to the vibration of the Ti-O bond.

Figure 3 shows SEM micrographs of the nanocatalysts (a) Fe₂O₃, (b) TiNPs-Fe₂O₃ and (c) AgNPs-Fe₂O₃, while Fig. 3(d,e) show the TEM micrographs of the TiNPSs-Fe₂O₃ and AgNPs-Fe₂O₃ samples, respectively. Then, it was possible to identify a heterogeneous surface with a random distribution of the TiNPs and AgNPs, with the formation of small clusters of NPs. Then, it can be explained through of the zeta potential (surface charge), since using NPs, the ZP showed a smaller between the nanoparticles, causing a lower dispersion, in relation to Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst (with higher value of ZP). Thus, this greater dispersion of NPs tends to promote changes in the textural properties of nanocatalysts, such as an improve of the specific area and a greater number of active sites to conductive the RhB adsorption, directly affecting photocatalytic activity. Therefore, TEM micrographs showed the presence of NPs (TiNPs and AgNPs) over Fe₂O₃ in spherical shape with a diameter around 3 nm.
Adsorption and photolysis. Preliminary tests of the adsorption showed that the minimum time necessary for the adsorption equilibrium of RhB was 60 minutes, with an adsorption percentage of 4.71, 8.70 and 10.32% to Fe$_2$O$_3$, TiNPs-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and AgNPs-Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. The preliminary tests of photolysis indicated that only 14% (UV radiation) and 4% (visible radiation) of RhB were degraded after 60 minutes.

Central rotatable composite design. Figure 4 shows the Pareto chart used to evaluate the main effects of the central rotatable composite design (pH, [RhB] and [Fe$_2$O$_3$]). The reduced quadratic model (Eq. (3)) represents the Fe$_2$O$_3$ CRCD experiments, in which “y” represents the dependent variable (percentage of photocatalytic degradation after 120 minutes).

\[
y = 59.84 + 10.26 \times pH - 11.82 \times [\text{RhB}]
\]  

(3)

According to Fig. 4, the RhB concentration showed a negative effect, thus with increasing RhB concentration occurs a reduction of the photocatalytic activity on RhB degradation occurs due to the reduction in the number of active sites available for adsorption on surface of Fe$_2$O$_3$ the nanocatalyst. About the effect of pH, it has a positive effect on RhB degradation, since with the increase of the pH, the surface of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocatalyst is more deprotonated, increasing the compatibility of charges between RhB and Fe$_2$O$_3$ and thus increasing adsorption and photocatalytic activity.
Therefore, after all the photocatalytic tests, the ideal conditions determined were 1.41 g L\(^{-1}\) to Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nano-catalyst, 24.25 mg L\(^{-1}\) RhB concentration and pH = 9.97, which showed the greatest degradation of the 77.38%, after 120 minutes under UV radiation.

**Photocatalytic performance.** The photocatalytic performance of nanocatalysts (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) was evaluated by the photodegradation of RhB, under UV and visible radiation, using the ideal conditions.

After 120 min of UV radiation, 77.38% (\(k = 0.0124 \text{ min}^{-1}\)), 88.03% (\(k = 0.0173 \text{ min}^{-1}\)) and 94.10% (\(k = 0.022 \text{ min}^{-1}\)) of RhB were degraded using Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), while 38.03% (\(k = 0.0039 \text{ min}^{-1}\)), 48.36% (\(k = 0.0055 \text{ min}^{-1}\)) and 58.36% (\(k = 0.0070 \text{ min}^{-1}\)) of RhB were degraded using Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) under visible radiation, respectively, according to Fig. 5.

According to Fig. 5, the hybridization of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocatalyst with NPs (TiNPs and AgNPs) promoted an increase in the photocatalytic activity on the RhB degradation under UV radiation (13.8% using TiNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and 21.6% with AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and visible radiation (27.2% - TiNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and 55.4% - AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)). This can be explained, due the fact that the nanoparticles (TiNPs and AgNPs) can act as electron traps facilitating the electron hole separation and subsequent transference of trapped electrons to the absorbed O\(_2\) acting as an electron acceptor on the surface of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocatalyst\(^{35,36}\). Thus, more molecules are adsorbed on the surface of hybridized nanocatalysts, enhancing the photo excited electron to the conduction band and simultaneously increasing the electron transfer to the adsorbed O\(_2\).

The power of the semiconductor material to act as a sensitizer and to enhance the photodegradation of the RhB is based on their electronic structure with filled valence bond and empty conduction bond\(^{37}\). The semiconductor photooxidation instigated the photocatalysis of RhB in solution, leaving the catalyst surface with a strong oxidative potential of an electron–hole pair (h\(^{+}\)VB) (Eq. (4)), when photocatalyst was irradiated with higher energy than that of band gap energy (E\(_g\)), which allows the oxidation of the RhB molecule in a direct manner to the reactive intermediates (Eq. (5)).The hydroxyl radical (OH\(^*\)), the exceptionally strong and non-selective oxidant which is formed either by decomposition of water (Eq. (6)) or by reaction of hole along with hydroxyl ion (OH\(^-\)) (Eq. (7)) is also responsible for degradation of phenol molecule. Leading to incomplete or complete mineralization of many organic molecules (Eq. (8))\(^{38}\).

\[
NPs - Fe_2O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow NPs - Fe_2O_3(e^-_{cb} + h^+_{vb}) \quad (4)
\]

\[
(h^+_{vb}) + RhB \rightarrow RhB^{*+} \rightarrow \text{oxidation of RhB molecule} \quad (5)
\]

\[
(h^+_{vb}) + H_2O \rightarrow H^+ + HO^* \quad (6)
\]

\[
(h^+_{vb}) + HO^- \rightarrow HO^* \quad (7)
\]

\[
HO^* + RhB \rightarrow \text{degradation of RhB molecules} \quad (8)
\]

**Effect of AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) recycling.** The effect of reuse of the AgNPs-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocatalyst under visible radiation (202 W m\(^{-2}\)) was evaluated in 5 times, according to Table 3.

According to Table 3, the AgNPS-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocatalyst showed a photostability after five recycling processes, with a small decrease (about 8%) in the photocatalytic activity (58.36% to 53.69%), under visible radiation.
According to the characterization and photocatalytic activity results, the hybridization process with NPs (TiNPs and AgNPs) caused positive changes in the properties of Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst for heterogeneous photocatalysis, such as: reduction of band gap energy (2.2 eV to 2.0 eV - TiNPs-Fe₂O₃ and 1.8 eV - AgNPs-Fe₂O₃); increase of surface area (158 m² g⁻¹ to 304 m² g⁻¹ - TiNPs-Fe₂O₃ and 505 m² g⁻¹ - AgNPs-Fe₂O₃) and increase in photocatalytic activity under UV and visible radiation. Therefore, nanoparticle hybridization on nanocatalysts is a great option to improve the photocatalytic performance for degradation of organic pollutants (such as dyes) by heterogeneous photocatalysis.

Figure 5. Photocatalytic activity of nanocatalysts (Fe₂O₃, TiNPs-Fe₂O₃, and AgNPs-Fe₂O₃) on RhB degradation under UV (a) and visible (b) radiation after 120 minutes ([catalyst] = 1.41 g L⁻¹, [RhB] = 24.25 mg L⁻¹, T = 30 °C, pH = 9.97, UV radiation of 61.8 W m⁻², visible radiation of 202 W m⁻² and error 5%).

| Cycle number | Degradation (%) |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Cycle I (fresh nanocatalyst) | 58.36 |
| Cycle II | 57.40 |
| Cycle III | 56.68 |
| Cycle IV | 55.73 |
| Cycle V | 54.39 |
| Cycle VI | 53.69 |

Table 3. Effect of AgNPs-Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst reuse on degradation of the RhB.

Conclusion
According to the characterization and photocatalytic activity results, the hybridization process with NPs (TiNPs and AgNPs) caused positive changes in the properties of Fe₂O₃ nanocatalyst for heterogeneous photocatalysis, such as: reduction of band gap energy (2.2 eV to 2.0 eV - TiNPs-Fe₂O₃ and 1.8 eV - AgNPs-Fe₂O₃); increase of surface area (158 m² g⁻¹ to 304 m² g⁻¹ - TiNPs-Fe₂O₃ and 505 m² g⁻¹ - AgNPs-Fe₂O₃) and increase in photocatalytic activity under UV and visible radiation. Therefore, nanoparticle hybridization on nanocatalysts is a great option to improve the photocatalytic performance for degradation of organic pollutants (such as dyes) by heterogeneous photocatalysis.
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