Immunoengineered Magnetic-quantum Dot Nanobead System for the Isolation and Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells
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Abstract

Background:
Highly efficient capture and detection of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) remain elusive mainly because of their extremely low concentration in patients' peripheral blood.

Methods:
We present an approach for the simultaneous capturing, isolation, and detection of CTCs using an immuno-fluorescent magnetic nanobead system (iFMNS) coated with a monoclonal anti-EpCAM antibody.

Results:
The developed antibody nanobead system allows magnetic isolation and fluorescent-based quantification of CTCs. The expression of EpCAM on the surface of captured CTCs could be directly visualized without additional immune-fluorescent labeling. Our approach is shown to result in a 70 – 95% capture efficiency of CTCs, and 95% of the captured cells remain viable. Using our approach, the isolated cells could be directly used for culture, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and immunocytochemistry (ICC) identification. We applied iFMNS for testing CTCs in peripheral blood samples from a lung cancer patient.

Conclusions:
It is suggested that our iFMNS approach would be a promising tool for CTCs enrichment and detection in one step.

Introduction
Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are free tumor cells shed from original or metastatic tumors into the peripheral blood. CTCs play critical roles in cancer metastasis, resulting in 90% of cancer-related deaths[1-3]. Capturing, characterization, and enumeration of CTCs were considered prognostic biomarkers in tumor metastasis and cancer diagnostics [4, 5]. However, the limited sensitivity of commercially available approaches combined with the disease's complexity and heterogeneity had restricted the broad acceptance and dissemination of CTC-based diagnostics. Thus, highly efficient isolation and analysis of CTCs from the whole blood is an urgent clinical need.

Immunomagnetic separation represents a promising approach for cell isolation because of its capability to rapidly process a large volume of samples and easy operation and facile cell recovery by the end users[6, 7]. The Cell Search System[8, 9], a U. S. Food and Drug Administration approved platform for clinical CTC enrichment, provides low capture purities (<1%) with high background of white blood cells. Recently, some analytical methods with different signaling modes have been explored to detect CTCs,
including electrochemistry[10-12], inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry[13], Raman imaging[14, 15], colorimetry[16, 17], and fluorescence[18]. Among these detection techniques, fluorescence-based assays have attracted much interest due to the quick response, high sensitivity, non-destructivity, and real-time monitoring. Many organic fluorescent dyes and fluorescent nanoprobes, such as upconversion nanoparticles[19, 20], quantum dots [21, 22] and gold nanoclusters with visible light emission[23, 24], have been applied for the detection of biological targets circulating in blood including CTCs[25-27].

An ideal probe for CTCs measurement would allow magnetic isolation and fluorescent-based detection of the cells. Such probes require a compact structure with a rapid magnetic response, high specificity and minimal nonspecific binding, and strong fluorescent signals for CTCs detection and quantification. Quantum dots (QDs) with unique optical properties, including tunable wavelength, high quantum yields, and photobleaching resistance, are ideal for the preparation of fluorescent magnetic nanoprobes. QDs based fluorescent magnetic nanobeads (FMN) prepared by swelling with polymer nanospheres[29, 30], layer-by-layer self-assembly[31-33], DNA templated hybridization[21, 34, 35], silica shell coating, or polymer assembly[36], have been reported for CTCs isolation and identification. However, these probes still suffer from complicated preparation steps, low fluorescent signals, and weak magnetic response.

Here, a fluorescent magnetic nanobeads system (iFMNS) with a highly bright fluorescent intensity that allows immunomagnetic separation of CTCs was prepared via a simple emulsion/evaporation method. The prepared FMN has a diameter of 114 nm with considerable colloidal stability (i.e., did not aggregate or precipitate in the buffer during incubation or centrifugation,) and ultrabright fluorescence (encapsulated with many QDs) can improve the fluorescent immunoassay sensitivity compared with single QDs immunoprobe[37]. Together with these unique properties, the anti-EpCAM antibody was conjugated with FMN via streptavidin-biotin bridges. The obtained anti-EpCAM antibody-modified FMN nanoprobes were successfully used for cancer cell isolation with efficiency in a range of 70-95% of the tested cells within 10 min using an external magnetic field. The cells isolated by this method are directly identified by the fluorescent signals generated from iFMNS that exist on the surface of isolated CTCs without any additional immunofluorescent labeling procedures. These small biocompatible nanobeads have nearly no influence on the viability and propagation of CTCs, which is of significant importance for testing the isolated cells using cellular and molecular analysis techniques. Furthermore, this method was successfully validated on a lung cancer patient peripheral blood sample and showed potential for clinical application.

**Materials And Methods**

**Materials**

Oil-soluble CdSe/ZnS QDs were obtained from Suzhou Xingshuo Nanotech. Oleic acid capped magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) with a diameter of 10 ± 5 nm were purchased from Nanjing Nanoeast Biotech. Poly(styrene-co-maleic anhydride) (PSMA) copolymer, streptavidin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), N-(3-
(dimethylamino)propyl)-N’-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The biotinylated anti-EpCAM antibody was obtained from R&D Systems. Red blood cell (RBC) lysis buffer was bought from Sangon Biotech. FITC anti-mouse/human CD45 antibody (FITC-CD45) was purchased from BioLegend. Blood samples were obtained from Shanghai Changzheng Hospital.

Human cell lines of gastric cancer cells (SGC-7901), pancreas cancer cells (PANC1), lymphoma cells (Raji), EGFR-mutated (HCC827), and non-EGFR-mutated (A549) lung cancer cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. All the cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) medium except Raji cells in RPMI-1640 medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

**Fabrication of FMN**

Fluorescent magnetic nanobeads (FMN) were prepared according to our previously reported method with a slight modification[37]. Typically, one milliliter of a chloroform solution containing PSMA (10 mg), QDs (10 mg), and MNPs (10 mg) was added into a four mL aqueous solution containing sodium dodecyl sulfate (11.5 mg). After magnetic stirring for 3 min at 300 rpm, the miniemulsion was prepared through ultrasonication for 100 s (10 s pulse, 10 s pause) at 50% amplitude with a sonicator (Fisher Sonic Dismembrator Model 500, USA) under ice-bath cooling to prevent the evaporation of chloroform. Afterward, the prepared miniemulsion was magnetically stirred at 300 rpm overnight in an open container to evaporate chloroform. The resulting nanobeads with PSMA as matrix polymer were pelleted at 12,000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet of nanobeads was washed with deionized water three times. After that, the FMN was purified by magnetic separation and stored in deionized water at 4 °C in the dark for further use.

**Conjugation of the anti-EpCAM antibody with FMN**

Firstly, the covalent conjugation of streptavidin (SA) onto FMN was achieved by the classic EDC coupling reaction of carboxyl groups on the surface of FMN and amino groups streptavidin. Typically, FMN (1 mg) in 400 μL of phosphate buffer (25mM, pH 6.0), and EDC (500 nmol) were added into a tube, and incubated for 30 min to activate carboxyl groups. Excess crosslinkers were removed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min and decantation of supernatant. Then, SA (60 μg) was added into activated FMN, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 h. To block unreacted carboxyl groups on the surface of FMN, BSA (0.5 mg) was added into the tube and incubated for another 30 min. The mixture was purified by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 0.5 h and washed with PBST buffer (PBS with 0.05% Tween-20) twice to remove free SA molecules. The purified FMN-SA conjugates were dispersed in PBS buffer with 1% BSA and stored at 4 °C for further use.

Anti-EpCAM antibody conjugated iFMNS were freshly prepared just before the cell separation. Typically, 0.1 mg FMN-SA and 10 μg biotinylated anti-EpCAM incubated in PBS buffer for 0.5 h at room temperature. Then anti-EpCAM conjugated iFMNS were obtained by magnetic separation and used for cell separation.
Capturing of tumor cells

A defined number of cancer cells were suspended in PBS buffer or whole human blood. To capture the cancer cells, iFMNS were first incubated with a cell suspension for 10 min, followed by removing unbound iFMNS through centrifugation at 800 rpm for 3 min. The resulting cell suspension was collected by applying magnetic columns separator (Miltenyi, Germany) and washed twice with PBS buffer. The numbers of captured cells were counted using a hemocytometer through direct counting with bright light microscopy. The tumor cells were pre-labeled using green cell tracker dye (CellTrace CFSE, Thermo Fisher, USA), which could be distinguished from the red FMN, before the capturing testing experiment. The captured cells were observed using a fluorescent microscope (BX-51 Olympus, Japan). Capture efficiency was calculated using the following Equation.

\[
\text{Capture efficiency} = \left(\frac{\text{Number of captured positive cells}}{\text{Number of positive spiked cells}}\right) \times 100
\]

Identification of tumor cells

After magnetic separation, the captured cells were plated in a closed chamber drawing by a super pen on a clean glass slide, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X 100 for 10 min, blocked with 3% BSA for 30 min, and stained with FITC-CD45 antibody, and 20 μg/mL DAPI for 10min. Cells that were EpCAM+/DAPI+/CD45- were scored as CTCs.

Cell viability analysis

The cell dead/live of the isolated cells was analyzed by staining dead cells with trypan blue, while live cells exclude dying. Analysis of cell apoptosis was performed using Annexin V-FITC/PI staining assay, and the cells were subsequently counted by flow cytometry (CyAn ADP, Beckman Coulter, USA). Further, SGC-7901 cells captured with FMN were cultured at 37 ºC with DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 60 μg/mL penicillin G, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. Sterile conditions should be maintained during the whole procedure.

EGFR mutation analysis of captured cells

Spiked in HCC827 and A549 lung cancer cells in blood samples were magnetically enriched by the iFMNS. Total RNA was extracted from cells using the TRizol reagent (Thermo Fisher, USA). For mRNA studies, cDNA using PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (Takara) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to detect EGFR levels by using SYBR-Green dye (Takara). Further, the qPCR analysis was performed on a quantitative PCR machine (ABI-7900HT, USA) using an amplification process of initial denaturation at 95 ºC for 2 min, 40 cycles at 95 ºC for 30s, 58 ºC for 30s, and 72 ºC for 30s. Relative expressions were calculated using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method and GAPDH was served as a loading control. Primers for EGFR mutational analysis were designed from the EGFR 21 exon gene sequence, using Primer premier 5.0 software. And the primers of GAPDH were also created using the premier 5.0 software. Primers were
obtained from Shanghai Sangon Biotech. A pair of primer (Forward primer: 5’-TTCCCATGATGATCTGTCCCTC-3’; Reverse primer: 5’-CACCTCCTTACTTTGCCT-3’) could amplify a 214 bp product of EGFR exon 21 sequences. The primers for GAPDH were as follows: Forward primer: 5’-AATCCCCATCACCATCTCC-3’; Reverse primer: 5’-AGGCTGTTTGCATACTTC-3’. The qPCR products were separated in a 2% (wt/vol) agarose gel.

Detection of CTCs in Cancer Patient Peripheral Blood Samples

Blood samples from a lung cancer patient and healthy normal controls were collected and detected with iFMNS. In each typical assay, a certain amount of blood was incubated with iFMNS for 10 min. After enrichment, the isolated cells were incubated with the FITC-CD45 and DAPI and observed by a fluorescence microscope, as previously described in Section: Identification of Tumor Cells. The cells with phenotypes of EpCAM positive and DAPI positive but CD45 negative were enumerated as CTCs. The Ethics Committee approved study and data analyses of Shanghai Changzheng Hospital. Patient consent was not obtained as all personal identifiers, and patient information was delinked from the serum specimens.

Results And Discussion

Preparation and characterization of FMN

Fluorescent magnetic nanobeads were prepared by an oil-in-water emulsion-evaporation technique (Figure 1). In this approach, poly(styrene-co-maleic anhydride) (PSMA) was selected as the matrix material for nanobeads because PSMA is initially oil-soluble and then can be transferred into a hydrophilic surface by hydrolysis of anhydride groups into carboxyl groups after nanobeads formation. Oil-soluble QDs and MNPs dispersed in PSMA polymer chloroform solution used as the oil phase. Subsequently, an oil/water miniemulsion was formed through stirring and ultrasonication. The gradual removal of chloroform from the aqueous phase by evaporation was compensated by transferring chloroform from the mini droplets to the aqueous phase. Finally, the polymer precipitated, entrapped QDs and MNPs inside polymer nanobeads, and formed polymer/nanoparticle hybrid nanobeads.

The TEM image of the prepared FMN, as shown in Figure 2A, demonstrates that the FMN has a diameter in the range of about 40-95 nm with a broad size distribution. However, using the dynamic light scattering technique, the average hydrodynamic diameter of FMN was 114 nm and a polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.13 (Figure 2B), indicating the relatively monodisperse and stability of the prepared system. Due to the mild reaction process and the polymer matrix isolation between QDs and MNPs, QDs encapsulated in the polymer nanospheres still possess high fluorescent intensity. The digital image of FMN suspension (Figure 2C) was highly fluorescent under UV light illumination. It can be rapidly isolated (in 10 min) from the solution to the tube wall using an external magnet.

From the magnetic hysteresis loop characterization (Figure 2D), the FMN had an excellent superparamagnetic property at room temperature with a tunable magnetic saturation value (41.6 emu/g
and 6.04 emu/g) by adjusting the concentration of magnetic nanoparticles added in the oil phase. The high magnetic saturation FMN was used for the construction of iFMNS. To apply the FMN for CTCs isolation and detection, as shown in Figure 1, streptavidin was covalently coated on the surface of FMN by a classic carboxyl-amino reaction under the activation of carbodiimide. Then biotinylated anti-EpCAM antibody was attached to the surface by the streptavidin-biotin interaction just before cell isolation.

**Tumor cell capturing using iFMNS**

The iFMNS (FMN + anti-EpCAM antibody) concentration applied for cell capture was preoptimized using a suspension of SGC-7901 cells. The results are shown in Figure 3A. With the increase of iFMNS concentration, the capture efficiency increased until the concentration reached 0.2 mg/mL, and 96% of SGC-7901 cells were captured. Then, the magnetic attraction time was investigated, as shown in Figure 3B, nearly 100% of tumor cells were captured in approximately 8 min. Finally, we used 0.3 mg/mL iFMNS under 10 min of magnetic isolation for further experiments. The magnetic isolation of cells was respectively performed using FMN-SA and iFMNS as capturing probes. As shown in Figure 3C, nearly no cancer cells (3%) were captured by FMN-SA indicated that our iFMNS (with anti-EpCAM antibody) was specifically binding to cancer cells. Moreover, the iFMNS were also applied for several other cancer cell line isolation, as shown in Figure 3D. The EpCAM-negative lymphoblast Raji cells were not isolated by magnetic separation, which also indicated the specificity of the prepared iFMNS. The cell capture efficiencies were more than 70% in various kinds of cancer cells, showing that iFMNS had general applicability for EpCAM-positive cancer cells.

Then, the capability of iFMNS to capture tumor cells to mimic CTC blood samples was investigated. The samples were prepared by spiking green cell trace dye pre-stained SGC-7901 cells into whole blood. The captured cells were enumerated under a fluorescence microscope. The tumor cells and red blood cells were effectively separated from each other using magnet separation in a centrifuge tube, as shown in Figure 4A. Although there were still some red blood cells in the isolated tumor cell suspension mainly due to the gravitational sedimentation, the isolation impurity can be improved primarily by using a magnetic column.

For comparison of the matrix effect, CTC capture efficiencies were also examined in PBS, whole blood, and lysed blood spiked with CTC at concentrations of 5-1000 cells/mL. The results are shown in Figure 4B. The capture efficiencies in the three types of samples were comparable and did not have significant differences. These results suggested that complex conditions had negligible effects on the binding between iFMNS and the target cells, and iFMNS could be directly used in whole blood.

**Identification of the captured cells**

The isolated CTCs were identified based on fluorescent labeling and morphology. With the ultra-bright fluorescent intensity of iFMNS and quick response magnetic separation performance, we investigate the specific recognition and labeling of iFMNS towards tumor cells. As shown in Figure 4C, the green cell trace pre-stained SGC-7901 cells were specifically isolated. The EpCAM motif on the surface of SGC-7901
cells can be directly visualized by the red fluorescent signals from the as-prepared iFMNS. While the different expression amount of EpCAM can also be semi-quantified through fluorescent intensity from iFMNS on the cell surface.

Besides, FITC labeled anti-CD45 (CD45-FITC, green) stained with WBCs and DAPI (blue) stained nuclear. The three-color was used to discriminate tumor cells from WBCs. CTCs are defined as a positive signal for EpCAM (iFMNS, red), DAPI stained nuclear and negative signal for CD45-FITC, while WBCs are defined as a negative signal for iFMNS, positive signal for DAPI, and CD45-FITC. Figure 5 shows that the intense red and blue fluorescence were observed within DAPI and iFMNS stained SGC-7901 cells. Meanwhile, no fluorescence signal was observed for the channel of CD45-FITC. As a control, WBC cells, which are CD45 positive and EpCAM negative, are easily stained with green fluorescence but without red fluorescence. These observations describe that CTCs are specifically recognized in the blood samples from WBCs. The results confirm that the as-constructed system could efficiently isolate and identify CTCs to mimic clinical samples.

**Cell viability and function of the captured cells**

The viability of isolated tumor cells was investigated to evaluate this isolation method's influence on the cell for further culture and analysis. The viability of cancer cells was measured by trypan blue dyeing. As shown in Figure 6A, the dead cells would be stained by trypan blue dyes, while the live cells would not be stained. The viability rate of isolated tumor cells was calculated to be about 95%, indicating that most of the tumor cells are live after magnetic isolation. Apoptosis analysis of tumor cells before and after magnetic isolation was performed by FITC-annexin V/PI staining and flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 6B, the proportion of apoptotic cells, including early and late, for the control group was 9.75%, while for captured tumor cells was 5.97%. These results indicated that magnetic separation using iFMNS would not induce the tumor cells apoptosis. The slightly lower proportion of apoptosis in captured tumor cells may attribute to the low expression of EpCAM in apoptosis tumor cells, which would not be captured by iFMNS. Then, the captured SGC-7901 tumor cells were re-cultured and propagated *in vitro*. As shown in Figure 6C, the iFMNS captured tumor cells proliferated without a significant change in behavior and morphology compared with the control samples of SGC-7901 cell lines. Interestingly, cadmium-containing quantum dots are limited in biological labeling mainly due to toxicity for cell growth, but as-prepared iFMNS had nearly no influence on the cell growth and apoptosis be attributed to the thick polymer shell encapsulation around QDs to prevent cadmium ions leakage.

Moreover, we also investigated the possibility of captured tumor cells for nucleic acid molecular analysis. Reverse transcriptase-PCR, which is widely used for precision tumor patient identification and target therapy, was performed to amplify epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mRNA (Figure 6D) with Glycerinaldehyde 3-phosphate Dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as a housekeeping gene (Figure 6E). Figure 6D shows that the 214-bp DNA band of the EGFR coding region was found with the HCC827 cells captured by iFMNS (lane 3 in Figure 6D), while no band was found when iFMNS were used to treat tumor cells (lane 2 in Figure 6D). These suggested iFMNS immunological binding had a negligible influence on RNA
extraction and PCR reaction, and RT-PCR can analyze the isolated cells without disassociating iFMNS. Overall, the tumor cells captured with iFMNS had nearly no influence on tumor cells' viability and were suitable for subsequent molecular biological analysis, which was crucial for further clinical diagnosis and research.

**CTC capture and testing in patient blood samples**

To verify our method can be used for clinical samples, the peripheral blood from a lung cancer patient was collected, and the detection of CTC was performed in 2 days. As shown in Figure 7, intense red and blue fluorescence was observed from iFMNS and DAPI with a tumor cell. Meanwhile, no green fluorescence signal was observed in channel CD45-FITC. For captured cells that are CD45 positive and EpCAM negative with DAPI stained nucleus were identified as white blood cells. The results demonstrated that the as-prepared iFMNS could be used to simultaneously isolate and identify CTCs in real clinical samples with high efficiency.

**Conclusions**

In summary, an ultra-bright fluorescent magnetic nanobeads system was successfully constructed for simultaneously efficient capture and sensitive detection of CTCs in whole blood by combining QDs, magnetic nanoparticles, and anti-EpCAM antibody. The developed FMN has several advantages that include simple and cost-effective preparation using emulsion/evaporation technique, ultra-bright fluorescence for sensitive target detection, easily separated by magnetic field for rapid target isolation, and biocompatible for antibody conjugation. With these exciting properties, iFMNS allows convenient and simultaneous tumor cell fluorescent immuno-labeling and magnetic isolation via the specific targeting to EpCAM. Compared with conventional immuno-magnetic isolation approaches, the fluorescent magnetic probes provide an additional signal for cell identification. The developed ultra-bright iFMNS are potential for rapid and simple CTCs isolation and can be adopted for multiplexed labeling to improve CTCs testing and analysis using QDs with different colors and fluorescence signals.

**Declarations**

**Authors’ contributions**

PFZ, AWX, and WNY conducted experiment. PFZ and MSD designed the experiment and wrote the manuscript. HXH and WSC revised the manuscript, and also provided funding support.

**Acknowledgements**

Not applicable

**Funding**
This work was supported by the International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China (2014DFA33010), the Project of Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (STCSM) (19441904200, 20S31902800) and Shanghai Strategic Emerging Industry Major Project (AI/RD2017-02).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The blood samples were collected under the approval of ethics committee of Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

References

1. van de Stolpe, A., et al., *Circulating Tumor Cell Isolation and Diagnostics: Toward Routine Clinical Use*. Cancer Research, 2011. 71(18): p. 5955-5960.

2. Au, S.H., et al., *Clusters of circulating tumor cells: A biophysical and technological perspective*. Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering, 2017. 3: p. 13-19.

3. Yu, M., *Metastasis Stemming from Circulating Tumor Cell Clusters*. Trends in Cell Biology, 2019. 29(4): p. 275-276.

4. Francart, M.-E., et al., *Epithelial–mesenchymal plasticity and circulating tumor cells: Travel companions to metastases*. Developmental Dynamics, 2018. 247(3): p. 432-450.

5. Cristofanilli, M., et al., *Circulating Tumor Cells, Disease Progression, and Survival in Metastatic Breast Cancer*. New England Journal of Medicine, 2004. 351(8): p. 781-791.

6. Talasaz, A.H., et al., *Isolating highly enriched populations of circulating epithelial cells and other rare cells from blood using a magnetic sweeper device*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2009. 106(10): p. 3970-3975.

7. Shen, Z., A. Wu, and X. Chen, *Current detection technologies for circulating tumor cells*. Chemical Society Reviews, 2017. 46(8): p. 2038-2056.

8. Riethdorf, S., et al., *Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells in Peripheral Blood of Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer: A Validation Study of the CellSearch System*. Clinical Cancer Research, 2007. 13(3): p. 920-928.
9. Hou, J.-M., et al., *Circulating Tumor Cells as a Window on Metastasis Biology in Lung Cancer.* The American Journal of Pathology, 2011. 178(3): p. 989-996.

10. Cao, J., et al., *Ultrasensitive Capture, Detection, and Release of Circulating Tumor Cells Using a Nanochannel–Ion Channel Hybrid Coupled with Electrochemical Detection Technique.* Analytical Chemistry, 2017. 89(20): p. 10957-10964.

11. Wan, Y., et al., *Highly Specific Electrochemical Analysis of Cancer Cells using Multi-Nanoparticle Labeling.* Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2014. 53(48): p. 13145-13149.

12. Wang, S.-S., et al., *Direct Plasmon-Enhanced Electrochemistry for Enabling Ultrasensitive and Label-Free Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells in Blood.* Analytical Chemistry, 2019. 91(7): p. 4413-4420.

13. Wu, J., et al., *Multifunctional Magnetic Particles for Combined Circulating Tumor Cells Isolation and Cellular Metabolism Detection.* Advanced Functional Materials, 2016. 26(22): p. 4016-4025.

14. Qian, X., et al., *In vivo tumor targeting and spectroscopic detection with surface-enhanced Raman nanoparticle tags.* Nature Biotechnology, 2007. 26: p. 83.

15. Wu, X., et al., *Improved SERS Nanoparticles for Direct Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells in the Blood.* ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2015. 7(18): p. 9965-9971.

16. Yu, M., et al., *Circulating Breast Tumor Cells Exhibit Dynamic Changes in Epithelial and Mesenchymal Composition.* Science, 2013. 339(6119): p. 580-584.

17. Borghei, Y.-S., et al., *Visual detection of cancer cells by colorimetric aptasensor based on aggregation of gold nanoparticles induced by DNA hybridization.* Analytica Chimica Acta, 2016. 904: p. 92-97.

18. Pons, T., et al., *In Vivo Imaging of Single Tumor Cells in Fast-Flowing Bloodstream Using Near-Infrared Quantum Dots and Time-Gated Imaging.* ACS Nano, 2019. 13(3): p. 3125-3131.

19. Wang, C., et al., *Simultaneous isolation and detection of circulating tumor cells with a microfluidic silicon-nanowire-array integrated with magnetic upconversion nanoprobes.* Biomaterials, 2015. 54: p. 55-62.

20. Wang, L., et al., *The quality of in vivo upconversion fluorescence signals inside different anatomic structures.* Journal of biomedical nanotechnology, 2015. 11(2): p. 325-333.

21. Ding, C., et al., *Near-Infrared Fluorescent Ag2S Nanodot-Based Signal Amplification for Efficient Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells.* Analytical Chemistry, 2018. 90(11): p. 6702-6709.

22. Zhang, P., et al., *Rapid and quantitative detection of C-reactive protein based on quantum dots and immunofiltration assay.* International journal of Nanomedicine, 2015. 10: p. 6161.

23. Wang, C. and J. Irudayaraj, *Multifunctional Magnetic–Optical Nanoparticle Probes for Simultaneous Detection, Separation, and Thermal Ablation of Multiple Pathogens.* Small, 2010. 6(2): p. 283-289.

24. Draz, M.S., et al., *Hybrid nanocluster plasmonic resonator for immunological detection of hepatitis B virus.* ACS nano, 2012. 6(9): p. 7634-7643.

25. Draz, M.S. and H. Shafiee, *Applications of gold nanoparticles in virus detection.* Theranostics, 2018. 8(7): p. 1985.
26. Zhang, P. and M.S. Draz, *Advanced Theranostic Application of Bio-Nanoparticle Systems*. Current topics in medicinal chemistry, 2019. 19(4): p. 243-243.
27. Zhang, P. and M.S. Draz, *Functional Nanomaterial for Theranostic Medicine*. Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, 2019. 19(27): p. 2447-2448.
28. Song, E.-Q., et al., *Visual Recognition and Efficient Isolation of Apoptotic Cells with Fluorescent-Magnetic-Biotargeting Multifunctional Nanospheres*. Clinical Chemistry, 2007. 53(12): p. 2177-2185.
29. Song, E.-Q., et al., *Fluorescent-Magnetic-Biotargeting Multifunctional Nanobioprobes for Detecting and Isolating Multiple Types of Tumor Cells*. ACS Nano, 2011. 5(2): p. 761-770.
30. Wen, C.-Y., et al., *Quick-Response Magnetic Nanospheres for Rapid, Efficient Capture and Sensitive Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells*. ACS Nano, 2014. 8(1): p. 941-949.
31. Zhou, X., et al., *Multifunctional luminescent immuno-magnetic nanoparticles: toward fast, efficient, cell-friendly capture and recovery of circulating tumor cells*. Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 2019. 7(3): p. 393-400.
32. Wu, L.-L., et al., *Nanosphere-based one-step strategy for efficient and nondestructive detection of circulating tumor cells*. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2017. 94: p. 219-226.
33. Li, Z., et al., *DNA-Templated Magnetic Nanoparticle-Quantum Dot Polymers for Ultrasensitive Capture and Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells*. Advanced Functional Materials, 2018. 28(14): p. 1707152.
34. Song, Y., et al., *Bioinspired Engineering of a Multivalent Aptamer-Functionalized Nanointerface to Enhance the Capture and Release of Circulating Tumor Cells*. Angewandte Chemie, 2019. 131(8): p. 2258-2262.
35. Pramanik, A., et al., *Development of Multifunctional Fluorescent–Magnetic Nanoprobes for Selective Capturing and Multicolor Imaging of Heterogeneous Circulating Tumor Cells*. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2016. 8(24): p. 15076-15085.
36. Pengfei Zhang, H.L., Jia Chen, Huanxing Han, Wei Ma, *Simple and Sensitive Detection of HBsAg by Using a Quantum Dots Nanobeads Based Dot-Blot Immunoassay*. Theranostics 2014. 4(3): p. 307-315.