Integrative Analysis of Hippocampus Gene Expression Profiles Identifies Network Alterations in Aging and Alzheimer’s Disease
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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder contributing to rapid decline in cognitive function and ultimately dementia. Most cases of AD occur in elderly and later years. There is a growing need for understanding the relationship between aging and AD to identify shared and unique hallmarks associated with the disease in a region and cell-type specific manner. Although genomic studies on AD have been performed extensively, the molecular mechanism of disease progression is still not clear. The major objective of our study is to obtain a higher-order network-level understanding of aging and AD, and their relationship using the hippocampal gene expression profiles of young (20–50 years), aging (70–99 years), and AD (70–99 years). The hippocampus is vulnerable to damage at early stages of AD and altered neurogenesis in the hippocampus is linked to the onset of AD. We combined the weighted gene co-expression network and weighted protein–protein interaction network-level approaches to study the transition from young to aging to AD. The network analysis revealed the organization of co-expression network into functional modules that are cell-type specific in aging and AD. We found that modules associated with astrocytes, endothelial cells and microglial cells are upregulated and significantly correlate with both aging and AD. The modules associated with neurons, mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum are downregulated and significantly correlate with AD than aging. The oligodendrocytes module does not show significant correlation with neither aging nor disease. Further, we identified aging- and AD-specific interactions/subnetworks by integrating the gene expression with a human protein–protein interaction network. We found dysregulation of genes encoding protein kinases (FYN, SYK, SRC, PKC, MAPK1, ephrin receptors) and transcription factors (FOS, STAT3, CEBPB, MYC, NFKB, and EGR1) in AD. Further, we found genes that encode proteins with neuroprotective function (14-3-3 proteins, PIN1, ATXN1, BDNF, VEGFA) to be part of the downregulated AD subnetwork. Our study highlights that simultaneously analyzing aging and AD will help to understand the pre-clinical and clinical phase of AD and aid in developing the treatment strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Aging is associated with decline in cognitive abilities, including memory and executive function supported by prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Yankner et al., 2008). The age-related cognitive decline is characterized by synaptic changes/loss of synapses in the absence of significant neuron loss and microglial dysfunction (Morrison and Hof, 1997; Von Bernhardi et al., 2015b). AD is a neurodegenerative disorder that in most cases occur in elderly and later years (Herrup, 2010). AD is characterized by the progressive loss of neurons contributing to the rapid decline in cognitive function and ultimately dementia. AD is linked to the accumulation of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), which are aggregates of amyloid β (Ab) and hyperphosphorylated Tau protein, respectively (Ballatore et al., 2007; Selkoe and Hardy, 2016). Both aging and AD affect different regions of the brain and specific regions are more vulnerable than others (Braak and Braak, 1995; Morrison and Hof, 1997; Wang et al., 2016). Hippocampus is especially vulnerable to damage at early stages of AD (Mu and Gage, 2011). Further, emerging evidence suggests that altered neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus might play a role in the onset of AD (Ertaylan et al., 2014; Hollands et al., 2016). There is a growing need for understanding the relationship between aging and neurodegenerative disease to identify shared and unique hallmarks associated with the disease progression in a region and cell-type specific manner.

Genome-wide expression profiling of hippocampus have been widely used to investigate the aging and pathogenesis of AD in human post-mortem brain tissues (Colangelo et al., 2002; Blalock et al., 2004, 2011; Liang et al., 2007, 2008; Berchtold et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2013; Hokama et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). Berchtold et al. (2008) showed that gene expression changes in aging are sexually dimorphic with hippocampus showing minimal changes compared to superior-frontal gyrus, entorhinal cortex, and postcentral gyrus. Transcriptional studies on AD show dysfunction of synaptic signaling, energy metabolism, inflammation, protein misfolding, glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, dysregulation of intracellular calcium, cell proliferation, myelin–axon interactions, cytoskeletal dynamics and lipid metabolism (Colangelo et al., 2002; Blalock et al., 2004; Lin and Beal, 2006; Miller et al., 2008; Bamburg and Bloom, 2009; Supnet and Bezprozvanny, 2010; Talantova et al., 2013). Further, network-level analysis have been used to identify local and global alterations from high-throughput gene expression datasets (Miller et al., 2008, 2013; Liang et al., 2012; Kikuchi et al., 2013; Talwar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). Miller et al. (2008) identified two functional modules related to energy metabolism and synaptic plasticity that are conserved between aging and AD by comparing the samples obtained from frontal cortex and hippocampus. However, these studies focused on analyzing aging (young vs. aging) and AD (aging vs. AD) datasets individually.

On the other hand, few studies have compared the gene expression profiles in young, aging, and AD (Cribbs et al., 2012; Berchtold et al., 2013). The gene expression profiling of immune/inflammation-specific genes has shown that major changes occur in aging compared to AD with majority of genes significantly upregulated in hippocampus, superior-frontal gyrus and postcentral gyrus. A subset of genes changes progressively across aging and AD in hippocampus and superior-frontal gyrus. The synaptic genes were downregulated in aging with most genes showing progressive downregulation across aging and AD. Further, genes associated with neuronal loss, glial activation, and lipid metabolism are shown to increase with chronological age (Podtelezhnikov et al., 2011). However, in AD, these genes are reported to be prematurely expressed along with genes related to the protein folding and cell adhesion. A comparison of expression profiles of genes encoding respiratory oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) complexes (I-V) in the hippocampus of young (20–59), aging (69–99), MCI and AD groups has shown that aging contributes to the decline of nuclear OXPHOS genes in AD (Mastroeni et al., 2017). These overlapping features between aging and AD suggest that a combined network analysis of both will help to understand the relationship between them and to generate insights on the mechanism(s) that promote disease progression.

The major objective of our study is to obtain a higher-order network-level understanding of aging and AD, and their relationship using the hippocampal gene expression profiles of young (20–50 years), aging (70–99 years), and AD (70–99 years). We combined the weighted gene co-expression network and weighted PPI network-level approaches to study the transition from young to aging to AD. The co-expression network analysis clusters genes into functional modules based on the gene expression profiles and helps to identify core biological processes and pathways associated with the sample group. The weighted PPI network uses the expression data to calculate edge weights in the network and helps to identify edges and subnetworks that are significantly affected between groups. We found modules associated with neuron, glial and endothelial cells in the co-expression network of young, aging, and AD. These modules significantly correlate with both aging and AD. We also show the preservation of these modules in five different hippocampus datasets of AD. Mapping the gene expression to PPI network helped to identify the upregulated and downregulated subnetworks of aging and AD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microarray Data Acquisition and Pre-processing

Gene expression data of different age groups and AD samples with accession no: GSE48350 was downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). This dataset is obtained using Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array and includes 62 samples obtained from the hippocampus. We processed the data using robust multichip average (RMA) algorithm, which performs background correction, quartile normalization and summarization of microarray dataset (Irizarry et al., 2003). The hippocampal data was divided into three groups depending on
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age and disease – Young (17 samples – 20–50 years), Aged (21 samples – 70–99 years), and AD (18 samples – 70–99 years). The probes were annotated using hgu133plus2.db package and probes with no annotation and multiple gene annotations were removed from the analysis. In case of multiple probes for the same gene, probe with high Interquartile Range (IQR) values was retained for further analysis. The workflow used in this study is shown in Figure 1.

**Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA)**

The WGCNA package in R was used to construct a signed co-expression network from the expression data (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). WGCNA was performed using 18,754 varying genes (IQR > 0.2) across conditions to simplify computation and to eliminate non-varying genes (Zhang and Horvath, 2005; Oldham et al., 2006). Pearson correlations between all gene pairs were calculated to form the correlation matrix. To retain the sign of correlation, a linear transformation of correlation was performed using the Eq. (1).

$$ S_{ij} = \frac{1 + \text{cor}|x_i, x_j|}{2} $$

The correlation matrix was converted to adjacency matrix using the function, $a_{ij} = \delta \times |S_{ij}$ (Zhang and Horvath, 2005). A scale free topology criterion was used to choose power $\beta$. The square of the correlation ($R^2$) between log(p(k)) and log(k) is used to measure how well a network satisfies a scale free topology (Horvath, 2011). $p(k)$ is the frequency distribution of the connectivity k. The relationship between $R^2$ and $\beta$ is characterized by a saturation curve. The lowest power $\beta = 18$ (where the saturation is reached) was considered for the analysis (Supplementary Figure S1).

The resultant adjacency matrix was transformed into topological overlap matrix (TOM) and a dendrogram was constructed using 1-TOM as a distance measure (Zhang and Horvath, 2005). The genes were clustered into modules using a dynamic tree-cut algorithm with a minimum module size of 150 (Langfelder et al., 2008). Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) was used to obtain the ME, which represents the maximum amount of variation of module genes (Langfelder and Horvath, 2007). The ME expression value was correlated with age, group stages (Young – 0; Aged – 1; AD – 2), and AD (Young and Aging-0, AD-1) to identify modules associated with aging and disease. The hub genes were identified based on the intramodular connectivity (kIM) (Horvath, 2011). The GO terms and KEGG pathways associated with each module were obtained using DAVID version 6.8 (Dennis et al., 2003). Benjamini–Hochberg corrected p-value (adj p-value < 0.05) was used to find the significant GO terms and KEGG pathways.

In addition, cell-type specific gene lists obtained from Wang et al. (2016) was used to determine modules enriched for specific cell-type (astrocytes, endothelial, neurons, microglial, and oligodendrocytes). The overlap between module and cell-type gene lists was tested using Fisher’s exact test and a p-value cut off < 0.05 was used to identify cell-type specific modules. This was performed using the GeneOverlap package in R (Shen and Sinai, 2013). We also checked the overlap between modules and differential expressed genes (DEGs). We performed empirical Bayes statistical analysis using LIMMA R-package (Ritchie et al., 2015) to obtain DEGs between young vs. aging, aging vs. AD, and young vs. AD. The genes with fold change $\geq 1.5$ and Benjamini–Hochberg corrected p-value <0.05 were considered as DEGs.

The reliability of the identified modules was checked by performing module preservation analysis using hippocampal test datasets of whole tissue: GSE1297, GSE36980, GSE84422, GSE29378 (both CA1 and CA3) and neuron enriched samples: GSE28146, GSE5281. These datasets were independently processed depending on the platform (Supplementary Table S1) and module genes were used as an input to quantify the extent of preservation in each datasets. A $Z_{\text{summary}}$ statistics proposed by Langfelder et al. (2011) was used to find the extent of preservation. The following thresholds for $Z_{\text{summary}}$ were used: no preservation ($Z_{\text{summary}} < 2$), weak to moderate evidence of preservation (2 < $Z_{\text{summary}} < 10$), and strong evidence of module preservation ($Z_{\text{summary}} > 10$) (Langfelder et al., 2011).

**Weighted PPI Network Analysis**

A comprehensive human PPI network constructed by Sambarey et al. (2017) was used for the network analysis. This PPI network comprises of 17,062 proteins (nodes) and 168,237 directed interactions (edges) based on their functional annotations and 40,522 bidirectional interactions representing the formation of structural complexes (Sambarey et al., 2017). We overlapped our gene list with PPI network and removed the non-interacting edges. The resultant network consists of 13,273 nodes/genes and 175,886 edges/interactions. A weighted PPI network was constructed by mapping the gene expression to PPI network. The normalized signal intensity of a gene was used as condition-specific (young, aging, and disease) node weight ($N_i$). The edge weight ($W_{ij}$) between two nodes ($N_i$ and $N_j$) was calculated using the Eq. (2) (Sambarey et al., 2017).

$$ W_{ij} = \text{Inverse} \sqrt{N_i \times N_j} $$

**Graph Theory Approach**

The edge betweenness centrality measure was computed using igraph R package (Girvan and Newman, 2002). It is defined as total number of shortest paths that go through an edge in the given network and highlights the importance of certain edges in establishing connection between many pairs of nodes. Each edge of the network is associated with edge betweenness score and can be compared across different networks. The edge betweenness scores were used to identify the differential connected edges between young vs. aging, aging vs. AD, and young vs. AD by performing paired t-tests and multiple testing correction with Benjamini–Hochberg method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). An edge betweenness score difference of 2000 (adj p-value < 0.05) was considered as differentially connected.
RESULTS

Co-expression Network Analysis of Progression Network: Young to Aging to AD

We performed WGCNA using 18,754 genes to identify and characterize modules that are related to aging and AD. A co-expression network was constructed independent of clinical information, age and gender using all the samples. We found 15 modules of co-expressed genes (Supplementary Figure S2). The ME expression values of M2 (yellow), M3 (green yellow), M4 (magenta), and M5 (pink) show positive correlation with both aging and AD (Figure 2). M4 and M5 modules have a strong correlation with respect to aging while M2 and M3 modules have a strong correlation with respect to AD. Further, the ME expression values of M9 (brown), M10 (turquoise), and M12 (tan) show negative correlation with both aging and AD. However, M9 and M10 modules have a strong correlation with respect to AD compared to aging. Figure 3 shows the ME expression value for individual samples, which are grouped into young, aging, and AD. This grouping shows that there are inter-group differences in the ME expression value. The ME expression value indicates that genes of module M2, M3, M4, and M5 are upregulated while genes of modules M9, M10 and M12 are downregulated in the transition from young to aging to AD. We found DEGs and mapped it to the modules. There are 569, 687 and 1980 DEGs between young vs. aging, aging vs. AD, and young vs. AD, respectively. Figure 4 shows the number of overlapping and age/disease-specific upregulated and downregulated DEGs in these paired comparisons. These DEGs are distributed among the modules that significantly correlate with aging and AD (Supplementary Table S2).

We also grouped samples into male and female, and explored the correlation of modules to aging and AD in a gender-specific manner. The ME expression values of M4, M5, and M7 show difference between young and aging depending on the gender (Supplementary Figure S3). We observed that the extent of correlation differs slightly due to the gender effect for the modules M4 (female correlation = 0.77, p-value = 1E-04; male correlation = 0.63, p-value = 0.004) and M5 (female correlation = 0.72, p-value = 5E-04; male correlation = 0.5, p-value = 0.03) while the module M7 correlated with aging in the female group only (correlation = 0.46, p-value = 0.05). The module M8 also shows differences between female and male of younger group (Supplementary Figure S3). These differences in the modules M7 and M8 make them to significantly correlate with AD only (Figure 2).

We analyzed the overlap of cell-type specific genes with modules (Table 1). This analysis showed that modules M3 and M11 (black) are linked to astrocytes, modules M8, M9, and M12 are linked to neurons, the module M5 is linked mostly to endothelial cells, the module M4 is linked to microglia, and the module M1 (red) is related to oligodendrocytes. On the other hand, modules M10 and M2 show less significance with the cell-type. Further, the module-specific to oligodendrocytes does not show significant correlation with neither aging nor AD (Figure 2). We also performed module preservation analysis with independent datasets of hippocampus.
FIGURE 2 | Correlation between module eigengene (ME) expression value and age, stage (0-young, 1-aging, 2-AD), AD (young and aging-0, AD-1) for each module. Pearson correlation is reported with the $p$-value given inside the bracket.

...whole tissue: GSE1297, GSE36980, GSE84422, GSE29378 (both CA1 and CA3) and neuron enriched samples (GSE28146, GSE5281). We observed that most of the aging- and AD-related modules identified in our study show moderate to high preservation (Figure 5). The modules M1, M8, M9, M10 and M12 show a high preservation compared to other modules. The modules-specific to neurons (M8, M9 and M12), microglia (M4), endothelial cells (M5) and astrocytes (M3) are preserved in multiple datasets. Since both neuron and glial cells are affected together in AD, we suggest that neuron-glial interactions might be affected in AD. Further, the module M10, which shows less significance with the cell-type (Table 1), is also preserved in the neuron enriched datasets (Figure 5).

We characterized the biological processes and KEGG pathways associated with modules using DAVID for the functional enrichment analysis. We found that microglia module M4 is associated with the biological process inflammatory response and KEGG pathways phagosome, Toll-like receptor signaling and cytokine-cytokine receptor interactions. Further, it is associated with the cellular component MHC class II protein complex (Table 2). The hub genes of the module M4 include: TYROBP, TREM2, ITGB2, MYO1F, C1QA, C1QB, C1QC, and TGFB1. The endothelial cell module M5 is also associated with the inflammatory response and KEGG pathways TNF signaling, complement and coagulation cascades, and HIF-1 signaling pathway. It is associated with the cellular component MHC class I protein complex and extracellular matrix (ECM) protein complex. The hub genes of the module M5 include: TNFRSF1A, MSN, CLIC1, and IFITM2.

The astrocyte module M3 is associated with the biological process cell adhesion and KEGG pathways fatty acid degradation and HIPPO signaling pathway (Table 2). The genes related to actin cytoskeleton (EZR, CDC42EP4, ARHGGEF26, ARHGGEF6) are the hub genes. Further, we found two transcriptional factors TCF7L1 and SOX9 as hubs genes. SOX9 is highly expressed in astrocytes and plays a role in glial fate specification (Sun et al., 2017). The upregulated module M2 is associated with the biological process RNA splicing and KEGG pathways ribosome,
spliceosome, and RNA transport. A key hub gene of the module M2 is TFEB, PAN2, and ARHGAP17.

The neuron module M9 is associated with biological processes chemical synaptic transmission, neurotransmitter secretion and nervous system development, and KEGG pathways synaptic vesicle, chemical synapses (glutamatergic, cholinergic, GABAergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic) and long-term potentiation (Table 2). The key cellular components include neuron projection, dendrite (dendrite morphogenesis), axon (axogenesis/axon guidance) and post synaptic density. The hub genes of the neuron module M9 include SYN1, STMN2, SYT5, SNAP91, PAK3, UCHL1, and UBE2K. Interestingly, these hub genes are significantly downregulated in AD compared to aging. The downregulation of glutamatergic synapse together with inhibitory GABAergic synapse suggests that there is an alteration in the excitation and inhibition (E/I) balance in the
progression of AD. The neuron module M12 is also related to chemical synapses and post synaptic density. The genes within this module show gradual downregulation with aging and AD. We found that the neuron module M12 hub genes GADP1, YWHAZ, SYNJ1, and MAPK9, decrease significantly with aging while the hub genes G3BP2 and ATP6AP2 decrease significantly with AD. The neuron module M8 also includes more genes involved in the axon guidance and post synaptic density. The overlapping biological processes between modules M8, M9, and M12 suggests that different patterns of downregulation of genes within same biological processes.

Further, the module M10 is another downregulated module related to AD and it is associated with mitochondria, ribosome, and protein folding (Table 2). The KEGG pathways include oxidative phosphorylation, proteasome, spliceosome, aminoacyl tRNA biosynthesis and protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) involving protein targeting, ER-associated degradation and ubiquitin ligase complex. This suggests that mitochondria and ER functions are affected in AD. The hub genes include NDUFAB1, VDAC3, ATP5G3, COPS4, RTCA, and POP4. This downregulated module is also associated with the RNA transport, translation, and splicing. Similarly, the module M2 is also associated with ribosome and RNA splicing, but it shows positive correlation with aging and AD (Figure 2 and Table 2) suggesting a complex pattern of gene expression related to these cellular processes.

In the oligodendrocyte module M1, we note that most genes are downregulated with aging but in AD the extent of downregulation decrease and in some patients this module is upregulated (Supplementary Figure S4). In this module, the myelin associated proteins (MBP, MOB, and MOG) are downregulated in aging together with negative regulators (LINGO1) of myelination and oligodendrocytes precursor differentiation. This suggests a dynamic homeostasis of myelin damage and repair, which can mask its consequences in aging and pathogenesis of AD.
TABLE 2 | Enrichment of Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathways associated with aging and AD-specific modules.

| Module (genes) | KEGG pathway | Biological process | Cellular components | Hub genes |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| M2 (2072) | Ribosome (1.7E-10), Spliceosome (1.2E-2), RNA transport (2.4E-4*) | rRNA processing (2.4E-13), mRNA splicing (3.6E-5), mRNA processing (1.1E-4) | Nucleolus (1.6E-10), Ribosome (4.1E-6) | TFEB, PAN2, ARHGAP17 |
| M3 (675) | Fatty acid degradation (8.2E-3), Hippo signaling (2.7E-2), PRAR signaling (9.7E-4*) | Cell adhesion (4.1E-2), Oxidation-reduction process (3.0E-4*), Fatty acid beta-oxidation (8.5E-4*) | Extracellular exosome (1.5E-2), Focal adhesion (3.9E-4*), Extracellular space (8.9E-4*) | CDC42EP4, EZR, ARHGEF26, TCF7L1, SOX9, ARHGEF6 |
| M4 (701) | Phagosome (4.3E-8), Toll-like receptor signaling (3.1E-8), Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction (2.7E-4) | Inflammatory response (1.4E-18), Signal transduction (4.0E-12), Toll-like receptor signaling (7.6E-8) | MHC class II protein complex (1.8E-6), Integral component of membrane (2.6E-3), Phagocytic vesicle membrane (4.3E-3) | TYROBP, TREM2, ITGB2, MYO1F, C1Qs, TGFβ1 |
| M5 (798) | TNF signaling (1.9E-6), Complement and coagulation cascades (5.6E-5), HIF-1 signaling (4.1E-4) | Inflammatory response (4.8E-16), Response to LPS (1.5E-8), Cellular response to TNF (2.2E-8) | Extracellular matrix (3.5E-7), Extracellular exosome (5.8E-7), MHC class 1 complex (1.4E-4) | TNFRSF1A, MSN, CLIC1, IFITM2 |
| M6 (333) | ECM-receptor interaction (6.4E-3*), Focal adhesion (3.6E-2*) | Outer dynae arm assembly (6.4E-12), Inner dynae arm assembly (3.8E-9), Cilium morphogenesis (9.0E-9) | Axoneme (1.3E-18), Motile cilium (5.5E-17) | ZMYND10, APICMC, CFAP43 |
| M8 (895) | Axon guidance (5.9E-3), Oxytocin signaling (1.6E-3*), Rap1 signaling (4.8E-8*) | Calcium ion transport (6.1E-3*), Potassium ion transport (9.9E-3*), Dendritic spine morphogenesis (1.1E-2*) | Cell junction (9.7E-9), Postsynaptic density (6.4E-8), Dendritic spine (9.1E-5) | ICAM5, PRRKCG, JPH3, SPTBN2 |
| M9 (2377) | Synaptic vesicle (8.3E-10), Glutamatergic synapse (3.8E-5), Long term potentiation (4.2E-3) | Chemical synaptic transmission (2.3E-16), Neuror transmitter secretion (1.5E-6), Nervous system development (5.8E-5) | Neuron projection (2.5E-10), Dendrite (1.9E-10), Axon (4.9E-9) | UCHL1, STMN2, SYN1, SYT5, SNAP91, PAK3 |
| M10 (2660) | Oxidative phosphorylation (1.8E-19), Proteasome (6.6E-11), Spliceosome (7.5E-7), Protein processing in ER (3.8E-5) | Mitochondria electron transport (1.4E-12), Protein folding (9.3E-9) | Mitochondrion (2.4E-62), Mitochondrial matrix (2.4E-19), Proteasome complex (3.4E-9), Ribosome (2.5E-8) | NDUFA1, VDAC3, ATP9G3, COP14A, RTCA, POP4 |
| M12 (684) | Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling (4.8E-4), Circadian entrainment (7.1E-3), Glutamatergic synapse (7.2E-3), GABAergic synapse (2.9E-2) | Peptidyl-serine phosphorylation (2.9E-4), Neuron cell–cell adhesion (2.1E-3*) | Postsynaptic density (3.5E-2), Postsynaptic membrane (2.9E-2) | YWHAZ, GDP1, SYN1, MAPK9, G3BP2, ATP6AP2 |

Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-values are given inside the bracket. *Represents uncorrected p-value.

Mapping Gene Expression to Human Protein–Protein Interaction Network: Graph Theoretical Study

We integrated the gene expression of young, aging, and AD with the PPI network to obtain weighted PPI networks. The integrated PPI networks were used to identify active/inactive interactions between young vs. aging and young vs. AD using the edge betweenness network measure. Only those interactions with an edge betweenness value difference of 2000 and adj p-value < 0.05 were considered to be altered interactions. These interactions formed the upregulated and downregulated subnetworks of aging and AD (Supplementary Figures S5, S6). In AD, the number of nodes and interactions increased (Supplementary Figure S7 and Data Sheet S1). However, we observed that most of these alterations in AD increase the degree of existing nodes of aging subnetwork, which lead to an increase in the number of hubs. This suggests that common process/nodes are dysregulated to different extent in aging and AD. Based on the node degree, we identified hub genes and their interactions in aging and AD PPI subnetworks.

We found nodes CD44, VEGFA, HIF1A, VIM, FOS, CEBPB, CDKN1A, SHC1, TGFβ1, and SYK as hub genes of the upregulated aging subnetwork. CD44 is expressed in both glial and neuronal cells and it is associated with astrocytes migration and differentiation, astrogliosis, oligodendrocytes differentiation, inflammatory response, dendritic arborization, actin polymerization, and synaptic transmission (Dzwonek and Wilczynski, 2015). Similarly, another identified gene Vimentin (VIM) is also highly expressed in astrocytes (Ferrer, 2017). Consistently, we also observe an increase in the expression of astrocyte markers, GFAP, S100A8, ALDH1L1, and CHI3L1.
with aging (Figure 6A). Specifically, CHI3L is identified as a biomarker for reactive astrocytes linked to the inflammation.

VEGFA interaction with HIF1A is upregulated in aging. We also found key interactions between HIF1A, PFKFB3, and LDHA, which regulate the metabolic switch toward aerobic glycolysis. This is also further supported by the upregulation of hexokinase 2 (HK2) and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1) genes with aging compared to the young. DNA damage responsive gene CDKN1A is upregulated in aging along with its interacting partner GADD45B. Further, the upregulated aging subnetwork also includes hub genes related to immune and inflammatory response (CEBPB, FOS, STAT3, TGFβ1, and SYK). In young vs. AD, we found that the upregulated subnetwork expands with newer interactions and hub genes also include RXRA, ACTB, RELA, NFKBIA, FYN, MYC, and YBX1. NFKβ subunit, RELA interactions increase compared to the immune response. We found interactions involving YBX1, MYC, MAX, MXI1, SGK1, and FOXO3 in AD that are linked to cell proliferation and cell death decision-making. The repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor (REST) interactions are also part of the upregulated subnetwork. REST is linked to the stress resistance in aging and AD (Lu et al., 2014). Further, in the AD subnetwork, VEGFA is not a hub gene since the number of interactions decrease significantly compared to the aging subnetwork. We observed that the expression of VEGFA increase in aging but decrease in AD (Figure 6B), which suggests the possibility of vascular dysfunction in the hippocampus of AD.

The hub genes of downregulated aging subnetwork include MAPK1, RAD21, YWHAZ, ATXN1, SRC, CTCF, CALM1, and PRKCCZ. In AD, the node degree of MAPK1, CALM1, YWHAZ, PRKCCZ, SRC, and CTCF increases while that of RAD21 decreases. Further, we also found hub genes PIN1, YWHAH, YWHAG, YWHAQ, EGR1, CDC42, DNM1, SST, and SNAP25 that are specific for AD. YWHAZ, YWHAH, YWHAG, and YWHAQ encode proteins of the 14-3-3 family that are highly expressed in the brain tissue and are involved in the brain development, memory and learning (Qiao et al., 2014). We observed that genes of 14-3-3 family are downregulated in AD compared to aging (Figure 6C). Similarly, PIN1 is downregulated in AD, which is required for healthy aging and its deficiency leads to an early aging phenotype (Liou et al., 2003). In AD, we also observed that members of PKC family of serine/threonine kinase are downregulated together with genes encoding kinases, sarcoma tyrosine kinase (SRC) and ephrin receptors (Figures 6D,E). Recent evidence shows that these protein kinase activities decrease with the stages of AD (Rosenberger et al., 2016).

CDC42 interaction with GRB2 is downregulated, which has a preventive role in the cytoskeleton disassembly. Further, SNAP25 encoding a SNARE complex protein and DNM1 encoding a dynamin subfamily of GTP-binding protein, are downregulated affecting the synaptic vesicle exocytosis and endocytosis, respectively. The neuropeptide somatostatin (SST) gene is also downregulated, which suggest that the SST group of GABAergic interneurons are affected (Figure 6F). Furthermore, an interaction involving BDNF and a GABA related gene GAD2 is downregulated in the AD subnetwork.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we extend the work of Cribbs et al. (2012) and Berchtold et al. (2013) by performing large-scale network analyses of aging and AD using the gene expression profiles obtained from the post-mortem hippocampus samples. Earlier
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ATP6AP2 downregulation induces YWHAZ. Identified as AD biomarker using NDUFAB1. Role in energy metabolism; downregulated.

PAK3 reduced activity in AD patients and AD mice models.

SNAP91 role in vesicle-mediated transport; downregulated in AD patients and AD mice models.

UCHL1 regulates the production of Aβ by interacting with APP.

IFITM2 identified as part of microglial sensome in AD mice models.

TGFβ1 major role in the activation of microglia.
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involved in long-term potentiation and memory consolidation in the hippocampus. Increased levels of Aβ suppress the expression of MAPK1 (Liu et al., 2013). We also found the transcriptional dysregulation in both aging and AD. FOS (a subunit of transcriptional factor AP-1), STAT3 and CEBPB, which are involved in the immune response, are hub genes of the upregulated aging subnetwork. In the AD subnetwork, the degree of these nodes increases and more hub genes emerge, which include transcriptional activator MYC and regulators of NFKB (RELA, NFKBIA). An increase in the expression of MYC is associated with neuronal cell death (Lee et al., 2011). EGR1 is part of downregulated AD subnetwork and it encodes a member of the immediate early gene (IEG) family of transcription factors involved in the regulation of synaptic plasticity (Duclot and Kabbaj, 2017).

Further, we found more proteins (14-3-3 proteins, PIN1, ATXN1, and BDNF) with neuroprotective function in aging to be part of the downregulated AD subnetwork (Figure 6). PIN1-dependent protein isomerization protects against NFTs and Aβ accumulation (Liou et al., 2003; Balastik et al., 2007). BDNF levels decrease in the serum and brain of AD, and it has a protective role against Aβ- and Tau-related neurodegeneration (Jiao et al., 2016). The loss of ATXN1 potentiates β-secretase cleavage of APP, which leads to an increase in Aβ levels (Zhang et al., 2010). This subnetwork also includes hub genes (CDC42) that are involved in the regulation of actin cytoskeleton. The downregulation of Rho family GTPases (CDC42 and RAC1) genes leads to synaptic loss in AD (Konietzny et al., 2017). We found both axon guidance and dendrite morphogenesis, which are dependent on the dynamics of cytoskeleton, to be affected (the neuron module M9). These processes are implicated in AD and evidences suggest that Tau might disrupt the dynamics of cytoskeleton leading to the synaptic loss in AD (Bamburg and Bloom, 2009).

In summary, our study provides network-level insights into the complex relationship between aging and AD. The co-expression network of young, aging, and AD helped to identify modules, pathways and genes that are stage-specific, cell-type specific and continuum in the hippocampus, which were unclear in the previous studies that focused on either aging or AD. We identified the genes and their interactions that protect aging brain from AD and that make it susceptible to AD. We also demonstrated the validity of our study by identifying pathways and genes that are previously implicated in aging and AD. Our study highlights that simultaneously analyzing aging and AD will help to understand the pre-clinical and clinical phase of AD and aid in developing treatment strategies. This study can be further extended to characterize the global and local alterations in the other areas of the brain in young, aging, and AD.
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