A study of particles penetration in sieving process on a linear vibration screen
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Abstract This paper presented an investigation of particle collision and penetration using the discrete element method to understand the motion of particles and improve theoretical treatment in the sieving process. The process progressively was divided into looseness, stratification, collision, and penetration. Particle penetration has a direct effect on the screening performance. The penetration probability was defined, and the mathematical relationships between particle penetration and vibration parameters were established using the least squares method. To obtain the ideal penetration probability for materials the amplitude and frequency should preferably be near 3.0 mm and 25 Hz, respectively. The vibration direction angle has only a slight effect on penetration. The stage of the screening process from 0.1 to 0.7 s is the primary region for collision and penetration. This paper focused on the sieving process to more fully understand how particle collision and penetration influence the screening efficiency.
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1 Introduction

The understanding of screening process is incomplete regarding the intricacies of particle-particle and particle-machine interactions. But the influence of these interactions on the screening process effects on both the efficiency and the value of the product (Soldinger 2000; Zhao et al. 2000; Jiao et al. 2006). We divide the screening process into four parts as follows: (1) looseness: the void space that occurs between particles which are rebounded by the sieve plate. A sufficient void space contributes to particle stratification (Zhang and Tong 2012). (2) Stratification: the ability of the fine particles to penetrate the coarse granules, when all particles are scattered. In other words, stratification relates to the ability of the fine particles to approach the screening surface (Xiao and Tong 2012). (3) Collision: once close to the screening surface, some particles impact the sieve wires and some pass through the sieve mesh. These particles that are near the mesh are referred to as the collision particles (Wei et al. 1999). (4) Penetration: the penetration rate is the fraction of the collision particles which penetrate the mesh. It has a direct effect on screening performance.

Some previous research has developed our current understanding and theory of single grain screen-penetrating probability. The probability model and equations for a spherical particle penetrating a hole were developed by Subasinghe et al. (1989). Wen and Liu (1982) put forward various characteristic motions that a single particle on the sieve surface may undertake: relative static, relative sliding and projectile motion. Applying chaotic motion theory to analyze the movement of the single particle, Liu and Lu (2009) concluded that the motion is nonlinear. Beyond the single particle, theory of particle cluster screen-penetrating probability has also been established. In 1972, the collision speed transfer formula of particle clusters in the sieving process was established by Bruder. Using the method of probability statistics, the mathematical model of the
penetration probability along the screen was put forth by Chen and Yan (1982). In recent years, discrete element method (DEM) allows for modeling at the particle level and accounts for interaction between particles and boundary objects. Cleary (2004) and Cleary and Sawley (2002) presented a three dimensional model of spherical particle separation on an inclined flat screen based on DEM. Tong explored the relationship between vibration parameters and screen efficiency, as well as performing simulations of stratification and penetration over various structural and screen efficiency, as well as performing simulations of stratification and penetration over various structural and vibration parameters based on the DEM (Chen and Tong 2009, 2010; Wang and Tong 2011). The implementation of DEM for screening process gives an insight to study the particulate systems. DEM simulations have been reported positive results in mineral screening and crop cleaning (Zhu et al. 2008; Weerasekara et al. 2013; Cleary and Dsinnott 2015; Cleary 2015; Xue et al. 2015).

Taking into account particle-particle and particle–machine interactions, we study herein a series of screening simulations which provides a theoretical foundation for apparatus design based on optimized screening parameters, and provides a reasonable explanation for the screening process.

### 2 Simulation

Successful application of the DEM, which is a numerical method suitable for tracking particle characteristics including speed and displacement, to mineral processing and material separation represents a good way to optimize design of vibration screens. However, few studies about DEM simulations of the screening process have been reported.

#### 2.1 The contact model used in the simulation

The model is based on the work of Mindlin (Mindlin and Deresiewicz 1953). The elastic contact occurs in two spherical granules whose radii are \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) respectively. \( R^* \) is the equivalent radius. The normal overlap, \( z \) is given by

\[
z = R_1 + R_2 - |r_1 - r_2|
\]

\[
\frac{1}{R^*} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}
\]

with the centers of the two particles position vectors are given by \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \). The normal force \( F_n \) is given by

\[
F_n = \frac{4}{3} E^* \sqrt{\pi R^*}
\]

\[
E^* = \frac{1 - \gamma_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1 - \gamma_2^2}{E_2}
\]

where \( E^* \) is the equivalent Young’s modulus. \( E_1, \gamma_1 \) and \( E_2, \gamma_2 \) are the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio for particles 1 and 2, respectively. Additionally there is a damping force, \( F^d_n \), given by

\[
F^d_n = -2\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}}\beta V^\text{rel}_n \sqrt{S_n m^*}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{\ln e}{\sqrt{\ln^2 e + \pi^2}}
\]

\[
S_n = 2E^* \sqrt{\pi R^*}
\]

\[
V^\text{rel}_n = (v_1 - v_2)n
\]

where \( m^* \) is the reduced mass, \( V^\text{rel}_n \) is the normal component of the relative velocity. The two particles’ speeds are \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). The tangential force, \( F_t \), depends on the tangential overlap \( \delta \), and the tangential stiffness, \( S_t \).

\[
F_t = -\delta S_t
\]

\[
S_t = 8\left(\frac{2 - \gamma_1^2}{G_1} + \frac{2 - \gamma_2^2}{G_2}\right) \sqrt{\pi R^*}
\]

Additionally, tangential damping is given by:

\[
F_t = -2\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}}\beta V^\text{rel}_t \sqrt{m^* S_t}
\]

where \( V^\text{rel}_t \) is the relative tangential velocity. \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are the shear modulus of particles 1 and 2, respectively.

#### 2.2 Simulation model and conditions

The 3D vibration screening model was set up to simulate the linear vibration based on DEM. In simulations, the particles were produced by particle factory (Fig. 1). The mode of screen surface was set by software EDEM. The discharge port saved the particles which did not pass the aperture. This paper focused on particles collision and penetration over the screen surface in the process of screening (Fig. 2). The three-dimensional (3D) model was used to simulate the movement of all particles in the

Fig. 1 DEM-3D model
screening process (Fig. 3). Because interactions happen between the particle and the screen surface, the spring-dashpot-slider model was used to simulate particles collision (Solding 1999). The DEM model has been shown to provide good agreement between numerical and experimental results (Dong et al. 2009). The screen body is 162 mm long, 32 mm wide and 80 mm high. Meanwhile, considering the size distribution of sands used in the industry, this paper employed a bimodal normal distribution of particles which are provided by the particle supply (Fig. 1) with a standard deviation of 0.545. The particles are spherical sand with mean diameters of 0.5 and 1.0 mm. As shown in Fig. 2, $\beta$ is the vibration direction angle; $\alpha$ is the inclination of the screen surface; $A$ is the amplitude. In Fig. 1 the position of the particles are presented. In this model, the particles fall down to sieve plate constantly by gravity. The process of sieving simulation was presented on Fig. 3. The vibration parameters are mainly frequency, amplitude and vibration direction angle. Simulation conditions are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Three type experiments have been accomplished. The particle generate rate is 42,000 particle/s, the screen declination is 21°, screen vibration is sinusoidal translation, the total number is 30,000. Each groups of experiments only changed one parameter value and the conditions are listed in Table 3. Such as group one, only amplitude is varying.

3 Definition of penetration probability and discussion

During the screening process simulation, the particles took 0.3 s to move to the end of the screen surface and took over 1.3 s to complete screening. In this study, the penetration probability is defined as the ratio of the mass of those particles which penetrate the sieve plate to the total mass of all collision particles over the time period from 0.4 to 0.6 s. From the Fig. 2, the blue particles are called the penetration particles and the particles between the two dotted lines are the collision particles. The distance between the dotted line and the screening surface is the diameter of the largest particle. Because the penetration and collision particles have a direct effect on efficiency, the penetration and collision particles vary in different vibration parameters. The penetration probability is given by

$$T = \frac{M_p}{M_c} \times 100\%$$

where $M_p$ is the penetration particles’ mass, $M_c$ is the mass of the collision particles.

This study focuses on the effects of vibration parameters, including amplitude, frequency and vibration direction angle, on the penetration probability.

3.1 Frequency effects on penetration probability

Figure 4a shows the number of collision particles over time at different frequencies. At high frequency ($f > 40$ Hz) the changes in the particle number is not as obvious as at the lower frequencies and few particles have an opportunity to penetrate the apertures at high frequency (Fig. 4b). The time from the beginning of charging to 0.7 s is the main period of collision and penetration. The relationship between frequency and penetration probability is presented in Fig. 4c. The penetration probability decreases as the size of separated particles increases and the maximum probability occurs at a frequency between 20 and 25 Hz.

From Fig. 4b, c, it can be seen that the mass of penetration particles increases, but the penetration probability decreases when the frequency is greater than about 25 Hz. This illustrates that the increment speed of the collision particles is greater than the penetration particles. Meanwhile, because the increment speed of the collision particles is less than that of the penetration particles when the frequency is less than 20 Hz, the penetration probability...
curves have an upward trend. When $f > 40$ Hz, the particles completely fill the screening box. At last, the data in Fig. 4c were fit, with low relative error, to the function:

$$y = (a + bx)e^{-cx}$$

(13)

where $y$ is penetration probability, $x$ is frequency, $a$, $b$, and $c$ are fitting parameters, depending on particle separation diameter (Fig. 4c).

### 3.2 Amplitude effects on penetration probability

Figure 5a shows the number of collision particles over time for different amplitudes. At low amplitudes, because most particles are close to the sieve plate, the collision numbers obviously increase. At high amplitudes, the particles can gain more energy to be rebounded. The contacts between the sieve plate and particles decrease. The number of penetration particles over time is shown in Fig. 5b. The time from the beginning of charging to 0.7 s is the main region of collision and penetration, after which the slope of the change in number of penetration particles decreases. As the amplitude increases, the number of penetration particles decreases. We chose the statistical window to determine the penetration probability to be from the time at which the most particles are scattered evenly to the end time of feeding, that is, from 0.4 to 0.6 s.

From Fig. 5c we see that the amplitude of 2.55 mm gives the highest value. Because of the speed of change about the numerator and denominator of Eq. (12), the curve presents that as shown in Fig. 5c. Data obtained with amplitudes less than 3.55 mm were fit to the following function, with low relative error:

$$y = e^{cx^2 + bx + a}$$

(14)

where $x$ is the amplitude, $y$ is the penetration probability, and $a$, $b$, and $c$ are fitting constants dependent on the particle diameter (Fig. 5c).

### 3.3 Vibration direction angle effects on penetration probability

Figure 6a demonstrates that the mass of the collision particles does not change much for different vibration direction angles. Unlike amplitude and frequency, the particles do not gain enough energy to jump and be thrown over the screen surface, and the vibration direction angle has only a slight effect on the mass of the collision. Figure 6a shows at the angle of 20 and 31, the particles’ velocity component, which is perpendicular to screen surface is small, so they return to the sieve plate quickly. The change of the penetration particles’ number over time is presented on Fig. 6b. In addition the difference is little among these different vibration angles. On the whole, the effect of vibration angle differs from that of the amplitude and frequency. The vibration angle has a more subtle effect than others (Fig. 6c).

| Table 1 Material properties setting in EDEM |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Material properties | Poisson’s ratio | Shear modulus | Density (kg/m³) |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Particles | 0.3 | 23 MPa | 2678 |
| Screen | 0.29 | 79.92 GPa | 7861 |

| Table 2 Collision properties setting in EDEM |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Collision properties | Coefficient of restitutions | Coefficient of static friction | Coefficient of rolling friction |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Particle-particle | 0.1 | 0.545 | 0.01 |
| Particle-screen | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.01 |

| Table 3 Summary of conditions |
|-------------------------------|
| Text group | Amplitude (mm) | Frequency (Hz) | Vibration angle |
|-------------------------------|
| Group one | 0.5, 1.5, 2, 2.55, 3.5 | 20 | 100 |
| Group two | 2.55 | 10, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50 | 100 |
| Group three | 2.55 | 20 | 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 |
The relationships between penetration probability and vibration parameters were presented. The main conclusions show that to obtain the ideal penetration probability for materials the amplitude and frequency should be chosen to be about 3.0 mm and 25 Hz, respectively. The vibration direction angle has a slight effect on the penetration probability. This paper focuses on the particles penetration under various single parameter experiments using the DEM and provides insight about screening process and a theoretical foundation for designing the screen equipment.

4 Conclusions

(1) Simulations were used to establish changes in the number of penetration and collision particles over time. Because of the complex sieving process which is hard to gain the information from the sieving experiments, we simulated these experiments using the method of DEM which has been shown to provide good results for similar problems. The effects of vibration conditions on the sieving processes have been studied.
The results show that the stage of the screening process from 0.1 to 0.7 s is the main part for collision and penetration. The number of penetration particles mounted and leveled off. In a representative continuous screening process, the relationships between the penetration probability and the vibration parameters were modeled. To obtain the ideal penetration probability for materials the amplitude and frequency should be chosen to be about 3.0 mm and 25 Hz, respectively. The vibration direction angle has a slight effect on the penetration probability.

The sieving process was divided into four stages as follows: looseness, stratification, collision and penetration. Each part is important to screen performance. Further research will focus on the sieving process to provided significant insight into design and manufacture vibration screen.
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