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ABSTRACT

The European *Gaia* astrometry mission is due for launch in 2011. *Gaia* will rely on the proven principles of ESA’s *Hipparcos* mission to create an all-sky survey of about one billion stars throughout our Galaxy and beyond, by observing all objects down to 20th magnitude. Through its massive measurement of stellar distances, motions and multi-colour photometry it will provide fundamental data necessary for unravelling the structure, formation and evolution of the Galaxy. This paper presents the design and performance of the broad- and medium-band set of photometric filters adopted as the baseline for *Gaia*. The nineteen selected passbands (extending from the ultraviolet to the far-red), the criteria, and the methodology on which this choice has been based are discussed in detail. We analyse the photometric capabilities for characterizing the luminosity, temperature, gravity and chemical composition of stars. We also discuss the automatic determination of these physical parameters for the large number of observations involved, for objects located throughout the entire Hertzsprung-Russell diagram. Finally, the capability of the photometric system to deal with the main *Gaia* science case is outlined.
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1 INTRODUCTION

*Gaia* has been approved as a cornerstone mission in the ESA scientific programme. The main goal is to provide data to study the formation and subsequent dynamical, chemical and star formation evolution of the Milky Way galaxy. *Gaia* will achieve this by providing an all-sky astrometric and photometric survey complete to 20 mag in unfiltered light. During the mission, on-board object detection will be employed and more than 1 billion stars will be observed (as well as non-stellar objects to similar completeness limits). The full mission (5-years) mean-sky parallax accuracies are expected to be around 7 microarcsec at $V = 10$, 12–25 microarcsec at $V = 15$ and 100–300 microarcsec at $V = 20$ (depending on spectral type). Multi-epoch, multi-colour photometry covering the optical wavelength range will reach the same completeness limit. Radial velocities will be obtained for 100–150 million stars brighter than $V \simeq 17$–18 mag with accuracies of around 1–15 km s$^{-1}$, depending on the apparent magnitude and spectral type of the stars and the sky density (for details see [Katz et al. 2004; Wilkinson et al. 2005]).

The photometric measurements provide the basic diagnostics for classifying all objects as stars, quasars, solar-system objects, or otherwise, and for parametrizing them according to their nature. Stellar classification and parametrization across the entire Hertzsprung-Russell diagram is required as well as the identification of peculiar objects. This demands observation in a wide wavelength range, extending from the ultraviolet to the far-red. The photometric data must determine: (i) effective temperatures and reddening at least for O-B-A stars (needed both as tracers of Galactic spiral arms and as reddening probes), (ii) at least effective temperatures and abundances for F-G-K-M giants and dwarfs, (iii) luminosities (gravities) for stars having large relative parallax errors, (iv) indications of unresolved multiplicity and peculiarity, and (v) a map of the interstellar extinction in the Galaxy. All of this has to be done with an accuracy sufficient for stellar age determination in order to allow for a quantitative description of the chemical and dynamical evolution of the Galaxy over all galactocentric distances. Separate determination of Fe- and $\alpha$-element abundances is essential for mapping Galactic chemical evolution and understanding the formation of the Galaxy.

Photometry is also crucial to identify and characterize the set of $\sim 500,000$ quasars that the mission will detect. Apart from being astrophysically interesting in their own right, quasars are key-objects for defining the fixed, non-rotating *Gaia* Celestial Reference Frame, the optical equivalent of the International Celestial Reference Frame (Mignard 2005). On the other hand, *Gaia* will identify about 900 quasars with multiple images produced by macrolensing. Since this number is sensitive to cosmological parameters, the *Gaia* observations will be able to constrain the latter.

Due to diffraction and the optical aberrations of the instrument, the position of the centre of the stellar images is wavelength dependent. To achieve the microarcsec accuracy level, astrometry has to be corrected for this chromatic aberration through the knowledge of the spectral energy distribution of the observed objects. Photometry is indispensable for this. If uncorrected, chromatic errors could reach several milliarcsec, cf. Section 5.1.2.

As explained in the following sections, the photometric systems proposed during the long development of *Gaia* have been improved along with the increasing collecting area of the telescopes, with better insight in the astrophysical requirements, and with the development of mathematical tools to compare the various proposed systems. The use of CCDs in a scanning astrometry satellite was first proposed in 1992 [Høg 1993] as the ROEMER project. The proposal included five broad passbands, UBVRI, which would obtain much better precision than the $B$, $V$ of *Hipparcos-Tycho* although with a similar collecting aperture. The *Gaia* collecting area has increased by up to 10 times with respect to ROEMER and consequently the initial photometric system has been upgraded several times. Eight medium-width passbands were proposed by [Straižys & Høg 1995], and spectrophotometry instead of filter photometry was also considered in [Høg 1998]. A system of four broad and eleven medium-width passbands was proposed by [Grenon et al. 1999] and adopted in the ‘*Gaia* Study Report’ (ESA 2000). The subsequent developments and updates have yielded the present baseline with five broad- and fourteen medium-width passbands.

This paper deals with the definition of the photometric system, the relationship of its passbands with the stellar astrophysical diagnostics and the evaluation of its performance in terms of the astrophysical parametrization of single stars. This is based on the specific design implementation of the payload commonly referred to as *Gaia*-2 (cf. Section 2) applicable at the end of the technology assessment phase as of mid-2005. The resulting astrometric and photometric requirements form the basis of the industrial specifications for the satellite implementation phase, with the consequence that the detailed design, due for finalisation early in 2007, may differ in detail from the present description. Nevertheless, the principles and objectives as well as the methods and assessment tools described in this paper will remain applicable.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the mission observation strategy, telescopes and focal planes. Section 3 deals with the measurement of the unfiltered light in the fields of view. The principles of designing the multi-colour photometric system are outlined in Section 4 and the purpose of the broad- and medium-passbands is discussed in detail in Section 5. Synthetic photometry and corresponding error estimates are given in Section 6. The performance of the photometric system with respect to astrophysical parameter determination is quantified in Section 7. In Section 8 the potential of the photometric system for Galactic structure and evolution studies as well as the performances for QSOs are outlined. Finally, Section 9 and Appendix A present the conclusions and describe the ‘Figure of Merit’ mathematical tool for the comparison of filter systems, respectively.

Throughout the rest of the paper we will use the following abbreviations: AP: astrophysical parameter; BBP: broad-band photometer; C1B: set of passbands implemented in the Astro instrument; C1M: set of passbands implemented in the Spectro instrument; CCD: charge coupled device; FoM: figure of merit; MBP: medium-band photometer; MDM: minimum distance method; NN: neural network; PS: photometric system; QE: CCD quantum efficiency; QSO: quasar; SED: spectral energy distribution; SNR: signal-to-noise ratio; ST: scientific target.

2 INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Observation strategy

*Gaia* is a survey mission. Operating from a Lissajous orbit around the second Lagrange point of the Sun-Earth/Moon system (L2), the satellite will continuously observe the sky. During its 5-year mission, *Gaia* will rotate, at a fixed speed of 60 arcsec s$^{-1}$, around a slowly precessing spin axis. As a result of this spin motion, objects traverse the focal planes, which have viewing directions oriented
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Table 1. Gaia-2 characteristics of the Astro and Spectro instruments.

| Instrument | Astro | Spectro |
|------------|-------|---------|
| Photometer | Broad-band | Medium-band (blue) | Medium-band (red) |
| F | 46.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| A | 1.4×0.5 = 0.7 | 0.5×0.5 = 0.25 | 0.5×0.5 = 0.25 |
| n_scp | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| \(T_0(\lambda)\) | \(T_{MBP}^A(\lambda)\) | 0.8 × \(T_{Al}^3(\lambda)\) | \(T_{Al}^3(\lambda)\) |
| \(\tau\) | 3.31 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| \(Q(\lambda)\) | CCD-green | CCD-blue | CCD-red |
| \(r\) | 6.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Available number of filter strips | 4 (10 rows) | 10 (2 rows) | 6 (2 rows) |
| Field of view across scan [deg] | 0.7236 | 1.4685 | 1.4685 |
| \(n_{obs}\) | 83 | 84 | 84 |
| Sample size on sky [arcsec × arcsec] | 0.0442×1.5912 | 0.897×1.346 | 0.897×1.346 |
| Double-star resolution [arcsec] | 0.05–0.1 | 0.5–1 | 0.5–1 |
| No-filter magnitude | \(G\) | – | \(GS\) |

(1) \(T_{X}^n(\lambda)\) denotes \(n\) reflections of material \(X\). The factor 0.8 in MBP-blue comes from the dichroic beam splitter.

(2) The number of observations with Astro ranges from \(\sim 40\) to 250 and in Spectro from \(\sim 50\) to 220.

(3) Sample size on the sky: A sample is a binning of CCD pixels in an area along-scan × across-scan.

Figure 1. Schematic layout for the Astro field (left) and the Spectro/MBP field (right) in Gaia-2. The designations BBP1–BBP4 and MBP01–MBP20 refer to the physical locations of the CCDs in the along-scan direction within the field, independent of their functionality (e.g., for detection or photometry) and the assignment of filters between them. The Spectro sky mapper, operating without filters, is physically located at MBP01, 02, 06, and 07. ASM and AF are the Astro sky mapper and the Astro field, respectively.

at right angles to the spin axis. The along-scan direction is defined to be the direction in which the images move in the focal plane, and the across-scan direction as the perpendicular direction. Thus, an object crosses the field of view in the along-scan direction at a roughly constant across-scan coordinate.

Gaia’s observations are made with high-quality, large-format charge coupled devices (CCDs). These detectors are operated in time delay and integration mode, with charge images being transported (clocked) in synchrony with optical images moving across the field due to the rotation of the satellite. Each CCD may, in principle, be equipped with a filter (an interference, standard coloured glass or multi-layer filter) defining, together with the telescope transmission and the CCD quantum efficiency (QE), a certain photometric passband. By design, the arrangement of CCDs and filters in the focal planes ensures redundancy in case of failure.

Stars brighter than \(\sim 12\) mag pose a special challenge. Pixel saturation may be avoided for such objects by the activation of gates on the CCD, effectively reducing the CCD integration time.

2.2 Gaia instruments

Gaia will perform measurements by means of two physically distinct instruments, with different viewing directions: the Astro instrument, designed for astrometric and broad-band photometric observations, and the Spectro instrument, used for medium-band photometry and radial-velocity measurements. Astro and Spectro differ in spatial resolution, available integration time, the number and type of passbands that can be used, and in telescope transmission and detector characteristics (Table I and Figure I).
to the astrophysical characterisation of objects, especially in dense stellar fields.

Through implementation of a dichroic beam splitter, the Spectro instrument serves two distinct focal planes: one for the radial-velocity spectrometer (RVS), and one for the medium-band photometer (MBP; Section 5.2). The Spectro/MBP focal plane incorporates two functions: (i) a sky mapper; and (ii) the MBP instrument. The main goal of MBP is to determine the astrophysical parameters of objects, which, in combination with the astrometric measurements, will enable astronomers to fulfil Gaia’s main science objective. RVS observes a spectral region around 860 nm at a nominal resolution of 11 500. Its main aim is to determine radial velocities for bright stars, down to $V \approx 17–18$ mag. For the brightest ones, astrophysical parametrization is also foreseen.

The angular resolution of the instruments allows photometry to be obtained at stellar densities up to 750 000 stars/sq deg in the BBP and up to 200 000–400 000 stars/sq deg in the MBP (cf. Section 6.3). The resolution limit for double stars is about 0.05–0.1 arcsec in the Astro field and 0.5–1 arcsec in the MBP.

2.3 Sky mappers

Both sky mappers work in unfiltered light and allow autonomous object detection and confirmation, including rejection of prompt particle events (cosmic rays, solar protons, etc.). Detection and confirmation probabilities are a function of magnitude and object density in the field of view. They are effectively unity up to the survey limit (20 mag) dropping quickly to zero for fainter objects (Arenou et al. 2005). On-board object detection has several advantages: (i) sampling of detected objects can be limited to ‘windows’, i.e., areas centred on the object (Hod 2005); this allows to flush useless pixels containing empty sky, which is of benefit to both CCD read-out noise and the data volume to be transmitted to ground; (ii) it allows the unbiased detection of all objects, assuring that the resulting catalogue will be complete to the survey limit; and (iii) unpredictable ‘peculiar objects’, such as supernovae or solar-system objects, will be observed, if brighter than the detection limit. Moreover, on-board detection is mandatory as no input catalogue exists which is complete to the survey limit at the Gaia spatial resolution.

2.4 Astro/BBP

Gaia’s astrometric observations are made without a filter in order to minimize photon noise. The mirror coatings and CCD QE effectively define a broad (white-light) passband, called $G$ (Section 5). The Astro focal plane receives the light from the superposition of two viewing directions on the sky, separated by the so-called ‘basic angle’, of order 100°. This superposition of the two fields of view will lead to a number of complications in the data processing, but throughout this paper we only consider the first order effect of the doubling of the diffuse sky-background.

The BBP consists of 40 CCDs, arranged in 4 across-scan strips and 10 along-scan rows (the Gaia naming convention for the along-scan direction is rows of CCDs and columns of CCD pixels, and across-scan we speak of strips of CCDs and lines of pixels). In principle, the filters can be distributed among the 40 CCDs in any combination that is desirable from a scientific point of view. A preferable situation, for example for variable-star science, would be to have identical filters on each of the 10 CCD rows within a single CCD strip: this would yield quasi-simultaneous measurements in the different passbands on each field-of-view crossing, independent of an object’s across-scan coordinate. Such a solution would, however, limit the number of BBP passbands to the number of CCD strips, i.e., 4.

2.5 Spectro/MBP

The MBP consists of 40 CCDs arranged in 20 strips and 2 rows. The CCDs in the first 10 strips are illuminated directly from the Spectro telescope. These CCDs are red-enhanced, which means they are thicker than ‘normal CCDs’ and have a red-optimized anti-reflection coating. The CCDs in the last 10 strips receive only the blue light from the Spectro telescope. These detectors are blue-enhanced, which means they have the same thickness as ‘normal CCDs’ but a blue-optimized anti-reflection coating. Four of the red-enhanced strips act as sky mappers and do not have filters; the associated broad passband is called $G S$. The remaining 6 strips with red- and the 10 strips with blue-enhanced CCDs may be equipped with filters, defining up to 16 different passbands, assuming the two CCD rows in each strip have identical filters (for the sake of redundancy). One of the red passbands will cover the same spectral region as the RVS, around 860 nm, leaving 15 free strips.

3 THE $G$ AND $GS$ PASSBANDS

The $G$ and $GS$ passbands corresponding to the white light observations in the Astro and Spectro instruments, respectively, are shown in Fig. 4. They cover the wavelength range from 400 to 1000 nm and 350 to 1025 nm, with the maximum energy transmission at $\sim 715$ and $\sim 765$ nm and the full width at half maximum of 408 and 456 nm, respectively for $G$ and $GS$. The relation between the associated magnitudes and the Johnson $V$ magnitude is shown in Fig. 3. Very red objects (either intrinsically red or highly reddened) are much brighter in $G$ and $GS$ than in $V$, and therefore, the Gaia limiting magnitude of $G_{\lim} \sim 20$ translates into $V_{\lim} \sim 20–25$, depending on the colour of the observed object.

The estimated precisions for the $G$-magnitudes per focal plane transit and at the end of the mission, computed as described in Section 6.2, are shown in Fig. 4. Taking into account the photon noise from the source, the background and the read-out noise, precisions
of $\sim 10$ and $\sim 1$ millimag are achievable at $V \sim 19$. This implies that the precision of the $G$ measurements is ultimately limited by the calibration errors (see Section 6.3).

Gaia will provide distances at the 10% accuracy level for some 100–200 million stars, which combined with estimates of the $G$ magnitude and the interstellar extinction, will yield unprecedented absolute magnitudes, in both accuracy and number.

The $G$ passband also yields the best signal to noise ratio for variability detection among all the Gaia passbands. Gaia will monitor millions of variable stars (eclipsing binaries, Cepheids, RR Lyrae, Mira-LPVs, etc.). Eyer (2005) and Eyer & Mignard (2005) provide comparisons with other variability surveys and a detailed discussion of the effects of the variable time sampling and number of observations due to the scanning law.

4 DESIGNING THE PHOTOMETRIC SYSTEM

Many ground-based photometric systems (PSs) exist but none satisfies all the requirements of a space-based mission such as Gaia: portions of the spectrum limited on-ground by telluric $O_3$ opacity in the blue and $O_2$ and $H_2O$ absorption bands in the red are accessible to Gaia; existing PSs have usually been designed for specific spectral type intervals or specific objects, while Gaia photometry must deal with the entire Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, the requirements for BBP and MBP are stabilized. As a consequence, the requirements for designing a new PS have to be established a priori. As it is widely known, narrow passbands are very efficient in measuring specific spectral features, but have low performance for faint objects; broad passbands yield low photon-noise for faint objects but cannot give one-to-one determination of astrophysical parameters. The ultraviolet contains important information on astrophysical parameters, but Gaia will not be able to measure faint red objects in this spectral range. Therefore, a compromise between the different options (number, location, and width of the passbands) must be achieved. In order to achieve this, a PS that is maximally capable of separating objects with different astrophysical parameters in filter flux space. Such a PS should allow both accurate discrete source classification (i.e., identification of stars, galaxies, QSOs, solar-system objects, etc.) and continuous parametrization (values of astrophysical parameters, e.g., for stars $T_{\text{eff}}$, $[M/H]$, etc.) for all Gaia targets across the astrophysical parameter space.

4.1 Principles for the design

Over the years of mission study and development, the instrumental concept of Gaia has evolved. As a consequence, the requirements and constraints for designing the PS have evolved in terms of the number of passbands, the exposure time available per passband, the wavelength coverage in BBP and MBP, the spatial resolution of Astro and Spectro, the goals of BBP and MBP, the complementarity of BBP, MBP and RVS measurements, etc.

With the consolidation of the study-phase of the Gaia instrument design, the requirements for BBP and MBP are stabilized. The PS accepted as the baseline for the mission and presented in this paper is based on the following constraints:

- The instrument design is as described in Section 2.
Photometry from Astro has to account for chromaticity in order to achieve microarcsec astrometry, which implies a measurement of the spectral energy distribution of each object with contiguous broad passbands covering the whole wavelength range of the $G$ passband (see Section 5.1.2).

The photometry from Spectro has to provide the astrophysical characterization of the observed objects.

The photometry from Astro has to provide the astrophysical characterization of the observed objects in dense fields (at stellar densities larger than 200 000–400 000 stars/sq deg; mainly in the bulge, some Galactic disk areas and globular clusters).

One of the medium passbands in Spectro has to measure the flux in the wavelength range covered by RVS (848–874 nm).

Jordi et al [M, k] given to those types crucial for achieving the core science case, namely the unrolling of the structure and the formation history of the Milky Way. These stars are named ‘scientific photometric targets’ or simply ‘scientific targets’ (ST; see Section 4.3) and they constitute our test population.

Every ST is characterized by its astrophysical parameters (APs), where we consider $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$, chemical composition and $A_V$. The chemical composition is described by the metallicity, $[M/H]$, and the $\alpha$-element enhancements, $[\alpha/Fe]$.

The error goals for the AP determination are established for every ST ($\sigma_{k,\text{goal}}$; see Section 4.3 and Appendix A).

The actual performance of a given PS with respect to the error goals is measured using an objective ‘Figure of Merit’ (see Section 4.2 and Appendix A).

The global degeneracies of the PS have to be evaluated (see Section 4.5).

Additional merits such as, for example, the performance with respect to discrete object classification, the performance for non-ST objects, etc. have to be considered.

The procedure to come to a baseline PS [Brown et al. 2004] is based on the maximization of this ‘Figure of Merit’, a minimization of the global degeneracies and an evaluation of additional merits.

### 4.2 Figure of Merit

For a given photometric system the ‘Figure of Merit’ (FoM) is constructed by calculating for each ST and each of its APs, $p_k$, the ratio $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}/\sigma_{k,\text{goal}}$. Here $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ is the estimate of the error that can be achieved with the given PS for $p_k$ and $\sigma_{k,\text{goal}}$ is the above mentioned error goal. The procedure for estimating $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ and the definition of the FoM were proposed by [Lindenberg 2004]. For a given AP, $p_k$, and a PS with measured fluxes $\phi_j$ (for $j = 1$, $n$ passbands), $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ is estimated using the sensitivity of the PS to that parameter ($\partial \phi_j/\partial p_k$) and the errors of the photometric observations ($\epsilon_j$). The latter are based on the noise model for the instrument-PS combination (see Section 6.2.4). Details are given in Appendix A.

The global FoM as given in equation A.1 in Appendix A is a weighted sum of the individual FoMs of every ST, which in turn are weighted sums of the ratios $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}/\sigma_{k,\text{goal}}$ for each of the APs $p_k$ (equation A.3). A higher value of this FoM indicates a better performance for the given PS. The global FoM thus describes the performance of a PS across the HR-diagram by taking into account the errors that can be achieved and the relative priorities of the different STs and their APs. The local degeneracies in the AP determinations (i.e., correlations between the errors for different APs for a given ST, see Section 4.5) are also taken into account in the FoM formalism. Each local degeneracy between APs leads to increased standard errors $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ and thus a lower FoM.

In our implementation the derivatives $\partial \phi_j/\partial p_k$ and the error estimates $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ are calculated numerically from simulated photometric data (Section 6.1). The calculation thereof requires (synthetic) spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the STs and a noise model for the photometric instruments. The matrix $B$ from equation A.3 includes the $a$ priori information for the AP vector $p$ and in our case corresponds simply to the range of possible values of the parameters $p_k$. The information from the parallax and its error is incorporated into $B$ following [Lindenberg 2004]. Other information could be added (known reddening in certain Galactic locations, ranges of abundances according to Galactic population, etc) but this would introduce our preconceptions of the structure and stellar populations of the Galaxy into the PS design and we therefore did not include such constraints.

The MBP data will have a lower spatial resolution than the BBP data. For non-crowded regions on the sky (with respect to MBP) we assume that the MBP and BBP photometry will always be combined for the estimation of APs. Hence the calculation of the achievable posterior errors is always done by combining BBP and MBP data. For dense stellar fields, only BBP will be available and in that case the achievable AP errors and the FoM have been computed using only broad-band photometry.

Spectral energy distributions of the STs in the test population were taken from the BaSel2.2 [Lejeune et al. 1998], NextGen [Hauschildt et al. 1999] and MARCS2 [Gustafsson et al. 2003] libraries. The BaSel2.2 library is a compilation of synthetic spectra from libraries published by [Kurucz 1979], [Bessell et al. 1989], [Fluks 1994] and [Hauschildt et al. 1999]. It covers the whole HR-diagram and $[M/H]$ abundances from $-5$ to $+1$ dex, but with solar $\alpha$-element abundances. A new version of the NextGen library (NextGen2) has been built taking into account Gaia mission needs [Hauschildt et al. 2003]. This library includes SEDs for stars cooler than $10 000$ K with $[M/H]$ ranging from $-2$ to $+0$ dex and $[\alpha/Fe]$ from $-0.2$ to $+0.8$ dex. A more extended grid is currently available [Brott & Hauschildt 2005]. Finally, a new version of the MARCS library taking into account non-solar $\alpha$-element abundances has been created specifically for Gaia studies. This library provides coverage between $3000$ K and $5000$ K, $[M/H]$ from $-4$ to $+0.5$ dex and $[\alpha/Fe]$ from $-0.2$ to $+0.4$ dex.

**Empirical libraries**, like those by [Pickles 1998] and [Gunn & Stryker 1983], do not provide full coverage of the HR-diagram and the corresponding chemical composition range, and therefore they are not appropriate for computing the FoM values.

Finally we would like to make a few remarks here about the interpretation of the calculated values of $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ and the corresponding FoM for a set of proposed PSs. The values of $\sigma_{k,\text{post}}$ calculated as described in Appendix A should not be taken as the actual errors that will be achieved by the Gaia photometric system. They repre-
sent the achievable precision if the synthetic spectra represent the true stars and if the noise model is correct. This issue is discussed more thoroughly in Section 4.3. What makes the FoM a powerful tool is that it enables an objective comparison of different PS proposals, based on a set of agreed error goals and scientific priorities. In addition for each PS a detailed study can be made of its strengths and weaknesses as compared to other PSs by examining the FoM for individual STs and for groups of STs (such as specific type of stars, populations in certain Galactic directions, bright versus faint stars, reddened versus unreddened, etc). Once the best PS proposal has been chosen it can be further tuned by using the FoM procedure to improve its sensitivity to certain APs or to improve the performance for certain groups of stars. This objective figure of merit approach has not been used before in the design of a photometric system.

### Table 2. The main science goals for Gaia concerning Galactic structure and evolution studies and the main corresponding tracers, from the ‘Gaia’ Concept and Technology Study Report’.

| Science goal | Main tracers |
|--------------|--------------|
| Chemical abundance galactocentric distribution | G and K giants |
| Galactocentric age gradients, star formation rate | HB stars, early-AGB, main sequence turn-off stars |
| Disentangling age-metallicity degeneracy | main sequence turn-off, sub-giants |
| Star formation history | main sequence stars earlier than G5 V, sub-giants |
| Detailed knowledge of luminosity function | low main sequence stars |
| Halo streams, age and chemical abundance determinations | G and K giants |
| Outer halo ($R > 20$ kpc), accretion and merging | G and K giants and HB stars |
| Earliest phases of evolution of the Galaxy | most metal-poor stars, C- sub-giants and dwarfs near the turn-off |
| Distance scale | RR-Lyrae, Cepheids |
| Thick disk formation mechanism (pre- vs. post-thin disk) | G and K giants, HB stars |
| Merging and/or diffusion | high velocity A-type stars |
| ‘In situ’ gravitational potential, $K_\alpha$, age-velocity relation | F-G-K dwarfs |
| Large scale structure (warp, asymmetry) | K-M giants |
| Interstellar medium distribution | O-F dwarfs |
| Large scale structure (spiral arms, star-formation regions) | OB stars, supergiants |
| Star formation rate in the bulge | red giant branch, asymptotic giant branch |
| Shape of the bulge, orientation, bar | red giant branch, asymptotic giant branch, Red clump stars |

### 4.3 Test population and error goals

According to the scientific goals of Gaia (see Table 2), for every Galactic stellar population several kinds of stars were selected as STs and a priority, expressed as a numerical weight, was assigned to them. Jordi et al. (2004c). These stars have been considered in different directions in the Milky Way (toward the centre, the anticentre and perpendicular to the Galactic plane) and at different distances ($0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10$ and $30$ kpc) in accordance with a Galaxy model. Torra et al. (1999). The STs have been reddened according to a 3D interstellar extinction model Drimmel et al. (2003), assuming a standard extinction law $(R_V = 3.1)$. Bulge stars in areas of high and low interstellar extinction were also included. This results in a set of about 6500 targets each with a parallax error estimated from its colour and apparent magnitude. ESA (2000). The targets in the halo are considered to have $[M/H]$ abundances from $-4$ to $-1$ dex and $[\alpha/Fe]$ abundances from $+0.2$ to $+0.4$ dex; for the thick disk targets $[M/H]$ ranges from $-2$ to $0$ dex and $[\alpha/Fe]$ from 0.0 to $+0.2$ dex; for the thin disk targets $[M/H]$ ranges from $-1$ to $+0.5$ dex and $[\alpha/Fe]$ from $-0.2$ to $0.0$ dex; and finally for the bulge targets we assume the same range for $[M/H]$ as for the thin disk and $[\alpha/Fe]$ ranges from 0 to 0.4 dex. The ranges of temperatures and absolute luminosities are assigned according to the specific range of ages of each stellar population. The values of $T_{\text{eff}}$ range from 3000 to 40 000 K and the values of $\log g$ are in the range 0.0−5.0 dex.

We adopted the following precision goals ($\sigma_{k,\text{goal}}$):

- $T_{\text{eff}}$ for A-M stars: $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}/T_{\text{eff}} = 1 - 2\%$
- $T_{\text{eff}}$ for O-B stars: $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}/T_{\text{eff}} = 2 - 5\%$
- $A_V$: $\sigma_{A_V} = 0.1$ mag at $A_V \leq 3.0$ mag, $\sigma_{A_V} = 0.5$ mag at $A_V > 3.0$ mag
- $M_V$ for stars with $\sigma_g / \pi \leq 10\%$: assumed known
- $\log g$ for stars with $\sigma_g / \pi > 10\%$: $\sigma_{\log g} = 0.2$ dex
- $[M/H]$ (not to be determined for OB stars and supergiants): $\sigma_{[M/H]} = 0.1$ dex
- $[\alpha/Fe]$; $\sigma_{[\alpha/Fe]} < 0.3$ dex
- AGB: Carbon/Oxygen classification

### 4.4 Photometric system proposals

In this paper we discuss in detail only the baseline PS for Gaia that results from the optimization process described above. However, many proposals for a PS for Gaia have been studied.

Different approaches have been used for the definition of the passbands. Munari (1999), Grenon et al. (1999), Straizys et al. (2000), Jordi et al. (2003, 2004a), Vansevičius & Bridžius (2002, 2003) and Lindegren (2003a) based their BBP and/or MBP proposals on their astrophysical expertise and/or the performance of the existing photometric systems. Tautvaišienė et al. (2003) and Tautvaišienė & Edvardsson (2005) provided guidelines for designing a PS sensitive to C,N,O and $\alpha$-process elements. We note that the sets of filters by Munari (1999), Grenon et al. (1999), and Straizys et al. (2000) were designed with substantially different constraints imposed in early phases of the Gaia instrument design.

Bailer-Jones (2004) developed a novel method for designing PSs via a direct numerical optimization. By considering a filter system as a set of free parameters (central wavelengths, FWHM etc.), it may be designed by optimizing a figure of merit with respect to these parameters. This figure of merit is a measure of how well the filter system separates the stars in the data space and of how much it avoids degeneracies in the AP determinations. The resulting fil-
ter systems tend to have rather broad and overlapping passbands and large gaps in between at the same time. Some commonalities with conventional PSs may be recognized. Although first analyses showed these systems to yield FoM values only slightly inferior to conventional PS proposals, the method was considered too novel and further studies were not pursued.

Jordi et al. (2004a,b) proposed several BBP systems, differing in the number of passbands and their profiles, and tested their performance with respect to chromatic effects estimation. He concluded that five passbands do not provide a clear advantage over four passbands, and that the overlapping of the passbands is more important.

Heiter et al. (2005) used the Principal Components Analysis technique to design a set of BBP passbands which is optimal for a subset of STs with effective temperatures between 3000 and 5000 K. Photometry was simulated for a grid of sets of four broad filters each. The FWHMs were varied in equidistant steps, while the central wavelengths were determined by requiring contiguous filters covering the whole wavelength range. The resulting set of passbands was found to perform better than all other proposals for bulge stars but worse than all others when evaluated for the complete set of STs (Jordi et al. 2004a).

All proposed photometric systems were evaluated using the FoM procedure and the results were returned to the proposers who were then given the opportunity to refine their respective PSs and submit improved versions as a new PS proposal. Updates of the PSs above or new ones came out of every evaluation cycle (Straitzys et al. 2004, Knude & Hug 2004, Hug & Knude 2004a,b and Jordi & Carrasco 2004a,b). Finally, after several trials for the fine tuning of a few individual passbands, the C1B and C1M sets (Jordi et al. 2004a,b) were adopted as the baseline PS for Gaia. Detailed results of the evaluation of all PS proposals can be found in Jordi et al. (2004a,b).

### 4.5 Local and global degeneracies

The goal of designing a photometric system that allows both accurate discrete classification and continuous parametrization of observed sources translates to demanding that the degeneracies in the PS are minimized.

The discrete classification problem concerns the way very different parts of AP space are mapped by the PS into the filter flux space. For example, due to extinction a highly reddened O-star may at first order look like a nearby unreddened cool dwarf. A good PS should as much as possible be free of such ‘global’ degeneracies. The continuous parametrization problem concerns the way a PS maps a small region around a certain object in AP space onto filter fluxes. Here it is the ‘local’ degeneracies that are important. Examples include the well know degeneracy between \( T_{eff} \) and \( A_V \) and the difficulty of disentangling the effects of \( T_{eff} \) and \( \log g \) for a PS without passbands shortward of the Balmer jump.

Our method of evaluating the proposed PSs as outlined in Section 4.2 focuses on the local degeneracies. Consider the gradient vectors which describe how the filter fluxes respond to changes in particular APs. For a good PS these gradients should be large with respect to the noise in the data and they should ideally be orthogonal to each other, where the orthogonality is also defined with respect to the noise (i.e., for the gradients with components \( 1/\epsilon_j \times \partial \phi_j / \partial \rho_k \), see Appendix A). Large gradients mean that the PS is sensitive to the corresponding APs while their orthogonality ensures that there are no local degeneracies. The FoM takes this into account by calculating the posterior errors on estimated APs using the sensitivity matrix which contains these gradient vectors (see Appendix A for more details). Small gradient vectors are reflected in larger errors on the estimated AP. Non-orthogonal gradient vectors will also lead to larger errors and to non-zero covariances (i.e., correlated errors) in the posterior variance-covariance matrix of the estimated APs. Both effects will lead to a lower FoM (this is explained in more detail in Appendix A).

The FoM calculations do not take global degeneracies into account. In fact it is assumed that one already has available a good classification of the object to be parametrized so that the linearized equations from which the FoM is derived apply. The global degeneracies reflect the highly non-linear mapping from AP space to filter flux space and are difficult to characterize in practice.

We attempted to compare the different PS proposals with respect to global degeneracies by employing self-organizing maps to explore how the different STs cluster in data space and how well they can be separated. The results were inconclusive as the different PS proposals showed rather similar behaviour. This is plausible because to first order all the proposed filter systems were similar. The sets of BBP passbands were very much alike and the sets of MBP passbands all had a set of blue and a set of red filters with a gap between these sets from \(~550\) to \(~700\) nm and they all had an H\(_\alpha\) filter in this gap. With a continuous sampling of stellar parameters a filter system will define a complex manifold in the space of filter flux vectors onto which each star will be mapped. It is the overall shape of this manifold that determines the presence or absence of global degeneracies in the PS. Hence it may be that the filter systems that had been considered all define roughly the same manifold, the differences between filter systems only being manifest at the local level (where the FoM calculations are more relevant).

Characterizing the global degeneracies will be an important task in the context of the automatic classification effort for the Gaia data processing. A full understanding of the behaviour of the Gaia PS is essential for setting up appropriate discrete classification and continuous parametrization algorithms.

### 5 THE Gaia PHOTOMETRIC SYSTEM

In this section we describe in detail the baseline photometric system for Gaia, called C1, which consists of the C1B and C1M broad and medium passbands. The role of each of the passbands is described in relation to spectral features and astrophysical diagnostics.

#### 5.1 The C1B broad passbands

The C1B component of the Gaia PS has five broad passbands covering the wavelength range of the unfiltered light from the blue to the far-red (i.e. \(400–1000\) nm). The basic response curve of the filters versus wavelength is a symmetric quasi-trapezoidal shape. The filters were chosen to satisfy both the astrophysical needs and the specific requirements for chromaticity calibration of the astrometric instrument (see Section 4). The C1B set of passbands evolved from the convergence of the Straitzys (2004), Lindegren (2003a,b) and Jordi & Carrasco (2004a) proposals. The specifications of the filters are given in Table 6. Figure 5 shows the spectral response of the passbands. The estimated end-of-mission precisions, computed as described in Section 4.2, are shown in Fig. 6.

The Balmer discontinuity and the H\(_\beta\) line limit the blue and red edges of the C1B431 filter, respectively. The redder filter C1B916 is designed to measure the light between the Paschen jump and the red limit of the sensitivity of CCDs in the Astro focal plane. The filter C1B655 is centred on the H\(_\alpha\) line and its
width has been optimized together with C1M656 in C1M (see Section 5.2). The blue and red limits of the two remaining filters (C1B556 and C1B768) are consequently set in order to provide full coverage of the wavelength range in G (i.e. avoiding gaps between passbands). Four passbands are enough for chromaticity calibration, but five passbands are preferred to four, when the classification and astrophysical parametrization of stars is considered. Since only four strips of CCDs are available in BBP, the two broadest passbands (C1B556 and C1B768) are implemented together in one strip. Hence, the number of observations with these two passbands will be half as much as with the other C1B passbands.

The current design of the payload foresees no ultraviolet sensitivity for the Astro/BBP instrument (see Section 4). The near ultraviolet is the most important for stellar classification. The Balmer jump is the feature in the spectra of B-A-F type stars most sensitive to the temperature and gravity. It also contains information on the metallicity of F-G-K type stars. The absence of an ultraviolet passband in BBP is compensated with the inclusion of a broad UV passband (C1M326) in MBP (see Section 5.2). The classification and parametrization of objects in Gaia is done using the BBP and MBP measurements together and therefore the lack of a UV passband in BBP should not be a drawback. However, since the Spectro instrument has a lower angular resolution than Astro, the combination of BBP and MBP data is not always possible. BBP will be the only tool for classification of stars in the crowded fields with stellar densities larger than 200 000–400 000 stars/sq deg (see Section 5.3). Such stellar densities are found in some areas of the bulge and of the disk (Robin et al. 2005; Drimmel et al. 2005) and most of these areas have low interstellar extinction (such as Baade’s window). In dense areas the trigonometric parallax and the Paschen jump will provide luminosity parametrization.

5.1.1 Astrophysical diagnostics

The response of the C1B431 filter at the shortest wavelength is asymmetrical, with a red edge that is less steep. This is done to compensate the shift of the maximum of the response function redward due to the slope of the quantum efficiency curve and the reflectance curve for six silver surfaces. As a result, the response function of the C1B431 passband becomes similar to that of the B passband of the UVB system. The mean wavelengths of both passbands are also similar: 445 nm for C1B431 and 442 nm for B.

The mean wavelength and half width of the C1B556 response function is very similar to that of the U passband (when compared with the Johnson’s function). The absence of an ultraviolet is the most important for stellar classification. The Balmer jump will provide luminosity parametrization.

The response of the C1B431 filter at the shortest wavelength is asymmetrical, with a red edge that is less steep. This is done to compensate the shift of the maximum of the response function redward due to the slope of the quantum efficiency curve and the reflectance curve for six silver surfaces. As a result, the response function of the C1B431 passband becomes similar to that of the B passband of the UVB system. The mean wavelengths of both passbands are also similar: 445 nm for C1B431 and 442 nm for B.

The mean wavelength and half width of the C1B556 response function is very similar to that of the U passband (when compared with the Johnson’s function). The absence of an ultraviolet is the most important for stellar classification. The Balmer jump will provide luminosity parametrization.

Table 3. Specifications of the filters of C1B implemented in Astro.

| Band      | C1B431 | C1B556 | C1B655 | C1B768 | C1B916 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( \lambda_{\text{blue}} \) (nm) | 380    | 492    | 620    | 690    | 866    |
| \( \lambda_{\text{red}} \) (nm) | 482    | 620    | 690    | 846    | 966    |
| \( \lambda_c \) (nm) | 431    | 556    | 655    | 768    | 916    |
| \( \Delta \lambda \) (nm) | 102    | 128    | 70     | 156    | 100    |
| \( \delta \lambda \) (nm) | 10,40  | 10,10  | 10,10  | 10,40  | 40,10  |
| \( T_{\text{max}} \) (% ) | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     |
| \( n_{\text{strips}} \) | 1      | 0.5    | 1      | 0.5    | 1      |

\( \lambda_{\text{blue}}, \lambda_{\text{red}} \): wavelengths at half-maximum transmission

\( \lambda_c \): central wavelength \( = 0.5(\lambda_{\text{blue}} + \lambda_{\text{red}}) \)

\( \Delta \lambda \): FWHM

\( \delta \lambda \): edge width (blue, red) between 10 and 90% of \( T_{\text{max}} \)

\( \varepsilon \): manufacturing tolerance intervals centred on \( \lambda_{\text{blue}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{red}} \)

\( T_{\text{max}} \): maximum transmission of filter

\( n_{\text{strips}} \): Number of CCD strips carrying the filter: C1B556 and C1B768 share one CCD strip
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5.1.2 Chromaticity evaluation

Although no refracting optics is used for the astrometric field, the
precise centre of a stellar image is still wavelength-dependent be-
Tukey’s biweight formula [Press et al. 1992], with properties similar to the maximum-likelihood estimator to be used with the real Gaia data.

Figure 7 shows the stellar image shifts for a range of synthetic stellar spectra plotted versus one of the BBP colour indices. For the particular WFE assumed in this example, there is a general shift of the image centroid by ∼ 4 milliarcsec caused by the coma. However, it is only the variation of this shift with the spectral composition that is of concern here. The r.m.s. variation of the shift versus colour index is 415 microarcsec. Using linear regression against Tukey’s biweight formula (Press et al. 1992), with properties similar to the maximum-likelihood estimator to be used with the real Gaia data.

The chromaticity correction based on an empirical calibration against stellar BBP fluxes will be less accurate for objects with strongly deviating SEDs. Prime examples of this are the quasars, which may exhibit strong emission lines at almost any wavelength depending on red-shift. Quasars are astrophotometrically important for establishing a non-rotating extragalactic reference system for proper motions; thus chromaticity correction must work also for these objects. This was investigated by applying the correction derived as described above from stellar spectra to synthetic quasar images, calculated from a mean quasar spectrum observed at redshifts in the range z = 0 to 6. Figure 8 shows the image shift alone (dash-dotted curve) together with the residual shift after correction (solid curve). The r.m.s. image shift decreases from 170 microarcsec before to 29 microarcsec after correction. The residual curve shows artifacts that are clearly attributable to the limited sampling of the spectral range. For example, the negative slopes for z = 2.3–2.8 and z = 3.1–3.9 correspond to the redshifted Lyman-α emission line moving through the C1B431 and C1B556 passbands, respectively.

With similar assumptions as for the stars concerning the statistical averaging of the effect when propagating to the astrometric parameters, the residual effect for the quasars will be a few milliarcsec. This is acceptable since these are mostly faint objects with much larger photon-statistical errors.

Note that the data in Figs. 7–8 only represent an example of the image shifts that may occur. The actual behaviour depends strongly on the shape and size of WFE, which vary considerably across the field of view, and on the detailed centroiding algorithm.

5.2 The C1M medium passbands

The C1M component of the Gaia PS consists of 14 passbands and evolved from the convergence of the proposals by Grenon et al. (1995), Knude & Høg (2004), Vansevičius & Bridžiūnas (2002), Straižys et al. (2004) and Jordi & Carrasco (2004b). The guidelines by Tautvaisiene & Edvardsson (2002) for α-elements abundance determination were taken into account. The basic response curve of the filters versus wavelength is a symmetric quasi-trapezoidal shape. Their parameters are listed in Table B and the response of the corresponding passbands is shown in Fig. 9. Six strips with red-enhanced CCDs are available for MBP and six red passbands have been designed, implemented as one filter for each strip, with the only constraint that MBP has to measure the flux entering the RVS instrument (see Section 4.1). For the blue passbands eight filters are implemented on the ten strips with blue-enhanced CCDs. Two strips have been allocated to each of the two UV passbands to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the measurements.

The primary purpose of the medium passbands is the classification and astrophysical parametrization of the observed objects (stars, QSOs, galaxies, solar-system bodies, etc.). In the case of the stars the goal is to determine effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, gravity $\log g$ or luminosity $M_V$, chemical composition [M/H], [$\alpha$/Fe] abundances, peculiarity type, the presence of emission, etc., in the presence of varying and unknown interstellar extinction. Taxonomy classification for solar-system objects and photometric red-shift determination for QSOs are also aimed for.
Table 4. Specifications of the filters in C1M implemented in Spectro.

| Band   | C1M326 | C1M379 | C1M395 | C1M410 | C1M467 | C1M506 | C1M515 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\lambda_{\text{blue}}$ (nm) | 285    | 367    | 390    | 400    | 458    | 488    | 506    |
| $\lambda_{\text{red}}$ (nm)  | 367    | 391    | 400    | 420    | 478    | 524    | 524    |
| $\lambda_c$ (nm)              | 326    | 379    | 395    | 410    | 468    | 506    | 515    |
| $\Delta \lambda$ (nm)        | 82     | 24     | 10     | 20     | 20     | 36     | 18     |
| $\delta \lambda$ (nm)        | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      |
| $\epsilon$ (nm)               | 2.2    | 2.2    | 2.1    | 1.2    | 2.2    | 2.2    | 2.2    |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (%)          | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     | 90     |
| Type of CCD                    | blue   | blue   | blue   | blue   | blue   | blue   | blue   |
| $n_{\text{strips}}$           | 2      | 2      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      |

$\lambda_{\text{blue}}, \lambda_{\text{red}}$: wavelengths at half-maximum transmission
$\lambda_c$: central wavelength $= 0.5(\lambda_{\text{blue}} + \lambda_{\text{red}})$
$\Delta \lambda$: FWHM
$\delta \lambda$: edge width (blue, red) between 10 and 90% of $T_{\text{max}}$
$\epsilon$: manufacturing tolerance intervals centred on $\lambda_{\text{blue}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{red}}$
$T_{\text{max}}$: maximum transmission of filter
$n_{\text{strips}}$: Number of CCD strips carrying the filter
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**Figure 10.** Estimation of the end-of-mission precisions as a function of $V$ for several photometric indices constructed from the passbands in C1M, and computed according to equation (2) with $\sigma_{\text{cal}} = 0$ mag. In this paper, the contribution of calibration error to the end-of-mission precision is estimated to be of 3 millimag in every passband. This is indicated by the horizontal line (which here includes a factor of $\sqrt{2}$).

### 5.2.1 Astrophysical diagnostics

The filter at the shortest wavelength is C1M326 with wavelengths at half-maximum transmission of 285 and 367 nm (thus it is of broad passband type although implemented in Spectro). Below 280 nm, strong absorption lines, metallicity dependent, are present already in A type stars. The interstellar extinction increases rapidly below 280 nm and reaches a maximum at 218 nm. In space the UV passband can be extended down to 280 nm which improves the determination of $[\text{M}/\text{H}]$ for F-G-K stars because of the presence of many atomic lines, ionized or of high excitation. For late G dwarfs, the line blocking in the extended UV passband is about 2.7 times larger than in the violet 376–430 nm domain. The red side of C1M326 is set at the Balmer jump. The colour indices C1M326–C1B431 or C1M326–C1M410 give the height of the Balmer jump, which is a function of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ in B-A-F stars. In F-G-K stars these colour indices measure metallic line-blocking in the ultraviolet which can be calibrated in terms of $[\text{M}/\text{H}]$.

The C1M379 passband is placed on the wavelength range where the lines corresponding to the higher energy levels of the Paschen series crowd together in early-type stars. The integrated absorption in these lines is very sensitive to $\log g$ (or $M_V$). For late-type stars the position of this passband coincides with the maximum blocking of the spectrum by metallic lines. Hence the colour index C1M379–C1M467 is a sensitive indicator of metallicity. Analogous in other photometric systems are the $P$ magnitude in the Vilnius system and $L$ in the Walraven system.

The C1M395 passband is introduced mainly to measure the Ca II H line. The index C1M395–C1M410 shows a strong correlation with W(CaT*), the equivalent width of the Calcium triplet measured by the RVS instrument, corrected for the influence of the Paschen lines. The C1M395–C1M410 vs. W(CaT*) may be used as a $\log g$ estimator (Kaltecheva et al. 2003; Knude & Carrasco, priv. comm.). The C1M395–C1M410 vs. W(CaT*) plane is particularly useful since the effect of reddening on the colour index is only mi-
nor due to the small separation of the two passbands. Additional uses of the C1M395 passband are in assisting the [$\alpha$/Fe] determination and in the identification of very metal-poor stars.

The violet C1M410 passband measures the spectrum intensity redward of the Balmer jump. In combination with C1M526, it gives the height of the jump. For K–M stars it is the shortest passband which when combined with longer passbands can provide temperatures and luminosities of solar metallicity stars in the presence of interstellar reddening (i.e. when the stars are too faint in the ultraviolet). Its analogs are: $v$ in the Strömgren system, $B_3$ in the Geneva system, and $X$ in the Vilnius system.

The blue C1M467 and green C1M549 passbands measure domains where the absorption by atomic and molecular lines is minimal. The flux in these domains correspond to a pseudo-continuum. The colour indices C1M467–C1M549, C1M467–C1M747 and C1M549–C1M747 may be used as indicators of the temperature for stars of all spectral types. The analogs of C1M467 are: $b$ in the Strömgren system, $B_2$ in the Geneva system, and $Y$ in the Vilnius system. The analogs of C1M549 are: $y$ in the Strömgren system and $V$ in the Vilnius system.

The green C1M515 passband is placed on a broad spectral depression seen in the spectra of G and K type stars and formed by crowding of numerous metallic lines. Among them, the strongest features are the Mg I triplet and the MgH band. The depth of this depression, the intensity of which reaches a maximum around K7 V, is very sensitive to gravity, being deeper in dwarfs than in giants. The same passband is also useful for the identification of Ap stars of the Sr-Cr-Eu type. The same passband ($Z$) is used in the Vilnius photometric system.

The C1M506 is much broader and includes the C1M515 passband region. The combination of both provides an index which is almost reddening free and its combination with the contiguous pseudo-continuum passbands (C1M467 and C1M549) provides an index sensitive to Mg abundances and gravity. If the luminosity is known from parallax, Mg abundances can be determined. The Ca II and Mg I spectral features show inverse behaviour when [M/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] change Tautvaiˇ sien˙ e & Edvardsson 2002, and hence, indices using C1M395 and C1M515 allow the disentangling of Fe and $\alpha$-process element abundances.

The narrow passband C1M656 is placed on the H$\alpha$ line. As mentioned in Section 5.1, the $H\alpha$=C1B655–C1M656 index is a measure of the intensity of the $H\alpha$ line, yielding luminosities for stars earlier than A0 and temperatures for stars later than A3. The index is most useful for identification of emission-line stars (Be, Oe, Of, T Tau, Herbig Ae/Be, etc.).

C1M716 coincides with one of the deepest TiO absorption bands with a head at 713 nm Wahlgren et al. 2008, while C1M747 measures a portion of the spectrum where the absorption by TiO bands is minimum. So, the index C1M716–C1M747 is a strong indicator of the presence and intensity of TiO, which depends on temperature and TiO abundance for late K and M type stars. For earlier type stars, both passbands provide measurements of the pseudo-continuum. Earlier PS proposals for MBP considered the inclusion of a filter centred on the TiO absorption band at 781 nm. FoM computations and analysis of the $\sigma_{\lambda, post}$ values showed that the AP determination improves by more than 10%, for [Ti/H] and $T_{eff}$, if the passband is centred on 716 nm instead of on 781 nm. A similar but narrower passband has been used in the Wing eight-colour far-red system.

The passband C1M825 is designed to measure either the continuum blueward of the Paschen jump (hence its limitation at 842 nm for the red side mid-transmission wavelength) or the strong CN band for R- and N-type stars. For M stars, C1M825 measures a spectral domain with weak absorption by TiO. The distinction between M and C stars is realized with all red passbands. At a given temperature, the fluxes are similar in the C1M747 and C1M861 for O-rich stars (the M sequence) and for C-rich stars (the C sequence), but very different in the C1M825 and C1M965 passbands, namely because of strong CN bands developing redward of 787 nm. The separation between M and C stars is possible even if they are heavily reddened.

Similarly, C1M965 measures the continuum redward of Paschen jump (and in combination with C1M825 yields the height of the jump) or strong absorption bands for R- and N-type stars (see Fig. 9 bottom). Having a passband at these very red wavelengths at the edge of the CQE curve improves the interstellar extinction determination, which was proven through the FoM computations.

The C1M861 passband, in between C1M825 and C1M965, is constrained by the wavelength range of the RVS instrument (i.e. 848–874 nm) and hence includes the Ca IR triplet. The measurement of the flux of the star in this passband will help the RVS data reduction. The index C1M861–C1M965 measures the gravity-sensitive absorption of the high member lines of the Paschen series.

Finally, the indices C1M825–C1M861 and C1M861-C1M965 are a sensitive criterion for the separation of M-, R- and N-type stars (see Fig. 9 bottom).

### 6 PHOTOMETRIC PRECISION

This section describes the simulation of photometric fluxes that will be measured by Gaia, the associated magnitude errors and the effect on these errors of crowded regions and the calibration of the photometric measurements.

#### 6.1 Simulated photometry

For the simulation of synthetic white light ($G, G_S$), C1B and C1M fluxes and their corresponding errors, a photometry simulator GaiaPhotSim3 was created. This tool predicts the number of photo-electrons per unit time (or per CCD crossing) for every given passband, observed target and Gaia instrument specifications. GaiaPhotSim also estimates the associated magnitude and the magnitude error per transit and at the end of the mission. The inputs are: the instrument parameters, the SED — from an empirical or synthetic spectral library — of the observed object, its apparent magnitude and radial velocity, the extinction law and the $A_V$ value, the brightness of the sky background, and optionally, the coordinates of the object on the sky. The coordinates allow the derivation of the actual number of observations according to the nominal scanning law. The adopted interstellar extinction law is that of Cardelli et al. 1989 and the ratio of total to selective absorption can be chosen. The latter has a default value of 3.1. Simulations can be done for single and multiple stars, emission-line stars, peculiar stars, solar-system objects, QSOs, etc, actually for any given SED.

To compute the object flux $s_j$, measured in a given photometric passband $j$ and collected after a single CCD crossing, the following ingredients are needed: the object SED, the assumed interstellar extinction and the extinction law all resulting in $N(\lambda)$ (in units of photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ nm$^{-1}$), the transmission profile of the

3 A web interface is available at [http://gaia.am.ub.es/PWG/](http://gaia.am.ub.es/PWG/)
passband $T_j(\lambda)$, the telescope transmittance $T(\lambda)$, the detector response $Q(\lambda)$ (the CCD quantum efficiency), the pupil area $A$ and the single-CCD integration time $\tau$. The object flux is then given by:

$$s_j[\text{e}^-] = A \cdot \tau \cdot \int_{\lambda_{\text{min},j}}^{\lambda_{\text{max},j}} \lambda N(\lambda) T(\lambda) T_j(\lambda) Q(\lambda) \, d\lambda$$

The flux $s_j$ is converted into standard magnitudes $m_j$ using the absolute flux calibration of Vega ($\text{Mégevand} 1995$), with all its colours set equal to zero. The SED of Vega has been modelled according to $\text{Bessell et al.} (1998)$ (a Kurucz SED of $T_{\text{eff}} = 9550$ K, $\log g = 3.95$, $[M/H] = -0.5$).

By default, the magnitude of the sky background is assumed to be at the level of the zodiacal light measured in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations and is set to $V = 22.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. This assumption is very conservative for most of the sky. For example, HST measurements show that the sky background is $V = 23.3$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ at high ecliptic latitudes.

All simulations in this paper were run with the mean value for the total number of observations (see Table I) and with the default values for the extinction law and the sky background.

6.2 Aperture photometry and associated errors

As discussed in Section 2.3 during the observational process only the pixels in the area immediately surrounding the target source are sent to the ground in the form of a ‘window’. In most cases the pixels in the window are binned in the across-scan direction so that the resulting data consists of a one dimensional set of number counts per sample. Following an ‘aperture photometry’ approach, it is assumed that the object flux $s_j$ within a given passband $j$ is measured in a rectangular ‘aperture’ of $n_s$ samples within the window. Some light loss can be produced due to vignetting and/or the finite extent of the ‘aperture’. Hence the actual measured flux will be $f_{\text{aper}} \cdot s_j$, where $f_{\text{aper}}$ $\leq$ 1.

The end-of-mission magnitude error ($\sigma_{m,j}$) is computed taking into account: (1) the total detection noise per sample $\sigma$, which includes the detector read-out noise, (2) the sky background contribution $b_j$ assumed to be derived from $n_b$ background samples, (3) the contribution of the calibration error per elementary observation $\sigma_{\text{cal}}$, and (4) the mean total number of observations $n_{\text{eff}} = n_{\text{obs}} \times n_{\text{strips}}$. The resulting magnitude errors are increased by a 20% ($n = 1.2$) ‘safety margin’ to account for sources of error not considered here (such as a dependence of the calibration error on sky density and source brightness, see below):

$$\sigma_{m,j}[\text{mag}] = m \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \cdot \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{cal}}^2 + (2.5 \cdot \log_{10} c)}{f_{\text{aper}} \cdot s_j + (b_j + r^2) \cdot n_s \cdot \left(1 + n_s/n_{\text{obs}}\right)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2}$$

The attainable precisions are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 where the estimated $\sigma_{m,j}$ are plotted as a function of $V$ and spectral type for the $G$ and C1B passbands, respectively. The associated end-of-mission errors for several colour indices formed with the C1M passbands are shown in Fig. 10. A precision of 0.01 mag is obtained at $V \sim 18$ and 16 for the BBP and MBP passbands, respectively.

For high SNR, the end-of-mission magnitude error is limited by $\sigma_{\text{cal}}$. Following the approach in the $\text{Gaia}$ Study Report ($\text{ESA} 2000$), p. 264) and evaluating the number of involved calibration parameters and the number of available stars for calibration purposes, $\sigma_{\text{cal}}$ ranges from 0.3 to 2 millimag depending on the instrument and the passband. Thus potentially sub-millimagnitude photometric precisions can be achieved at the end of the mission. However, since there is no detailed calibration model for the photometric data processing at the moment, we prefer to be very conservative and we assume an ad hoc calibration error floor of 30 millimag per single observation, yielding a minimum calibration error of about 3 millimag at the end of the mission ($\sigma_{m,j} \geq 3$ millimag).

6.3 Crowded areas, image restoration

An important limiting factor for the photometric accuracy is the distribution of the background flux around each source. Part of the background consists of diffuse emission from the general sky background and zodiacal light. In addition there will be discrete background sources which may be fainter than the $\text{Gaia}$ survey limit ($G_{\lim}$) and PSF features of neighbouring bright stars may also contribute to the background. In Astro there is the added complication that the fields of view from two telescopes overlap on the focal plane and that the two overlapping fields will be different for different scan directions.

This background problem becomes particularly severe in the more crowded areas on the sky (above $\sim 10^5$ stars/sq deg) where the limited resolution of the MBP instrument will lead to blending of sources in addition to the effects mentioned above. In this case the data reduction will require a careful deconvolution and it was shown by $\text{Evans} 2004$ that this is possible for densities up to about $2-4 \times 10^5$ stars/sq deg to magnitude 20, by using the accurate positional information from the astrometric processing and the knowledge of the PSF in Spectro. Moreover, the study also showed that the precisions estimated from equation 4 are pessimistic (see Fig. 11). In non-crowded regions, PSF photometry yields lower errors than those estimated with aperture photometry.

The data processing for crowded regions can be improved further if we also have knowledge of disturbing sources around each object to a magnitude limit that goes fainter than $G_{\lim}$. Images providing this knowledge can in principle be reconstructed
from windows that were obtained for different scan directions. This reconstruction process has been studied by Nurmi (2005) and Dollet et al. (2005) who present two different image restoration methods. Both studies show that by combining the Astro sky mapper windows or a longer AF window one can obtain images at a resolution of $\sim 0.1$–0.2 arcsec that go 2–3 magnitudes deeper than $C_{lim}$ (Nurmi 2005). In particular shows that using a longer AF window one can map the disturbing sources in the immediate surroundings of objects (within a $\sim 2.5$ arcsec diameter) to $V \sim 24$ for brightness differences $\Delta V < 8$. The image reconstruction will obviously also be useful in identifying components of multiple stars.

### 6.4 Calibration

The photometric precision and accuracy will ultimately be limited by how accurately the data are calibrated. At an elementary level the goal of the photometric data reduction is to accurately extract from each CCD image the object number counts, and to transform these into calibrated fluxes on a standard scale.

The effects that influence the measured flux can be broadly divided into four categories: (1) the mirror and filter reflectivity and transmission profiles; (2) the details of the CCD response, including non-linearities and charge transfer inefficiency effects; (3) the point spread function and uneven image motion during the transit; (4) the sky background and disturbance from neighbouring sources. The calibration process concerns the careful control and monitoring of these effects, all of which are time dependent.

The *Gaia* observations are fully self-calibrated and need not rely on any extant photometric system. The variations in response across the focal plane, and the variations with time, will be monitored and corrected taking advantage of the scanning mode in which *Gaia* will be operated. For average stellar densities on the sky about 60 and 300 stars per CCD per second will cross the focal planes of Astro and Spectro, respectively. This translates to about 660 and 3400 stars per pixel column per 6 hours (which is the spin period of *Gaia*). The same stars are observed repeatedly in different parts of the focal plane on time scales varying from hours to weeks to months, up to the mission life time of 5 years. There will thus be plenty of measurements to perform detailed CCD calibrations on scales from pixel columns to CCDs.

The photometric system will be defined by the average response for all the CCDs over the duration of the mission. It is also important to establish a standard scale, where all non-linearities in the response are corrected. These effects will be seen as magnitude dependent PSFs, especially for the brighter sources. A more extensive discussion of the calibration issues for the photometric data processing can be found in Brown (2005).

### 7 PHOTOMETRY PERFORMANCES

The role of the photometric system is to astrophysically characterize the observed objects, and mainly to determine APs for single stars. Thus, prior to parametrization, a classification is needed. Classification allows the identification of several kinds of objects (stars, QSOs, etc.). This is done mostly using the photometry, although parallaxes and proper motions will help the identification of extragalactic objects. Stellar parametrization with *Gaia* is very challenging due to the large range of stellar types (across the whole HR-diagram) encountered, the complete absence of prior information and, for the vast majority of stars, the availability of only the 19 BBP and MBP fluxes. For some 100–200 million stars, parallaxes will be measured at the 10% accuracy level. For the brightest stars, the RVS spectra will also provide information on APs. Parallaxes and RVS will be used when available, although optimal exploitation of heterogeneous data requires sophisticated approaches. Other challenges are presented by: the presence of degeneracies (where, e.g., a set of fluxes may correspond to more than one type of star, see also Section 4.3.5), the very large cosmic variance compared to limited data; the inevitable presence of strange objects; the problem of accurately determining ‘weak’ APs ($[\text{M/H}], \log g$) in the presence of dominant variance from ‘strong’ APs ($T_{\text{eff}}, A_V$).

This section presents two different evaluations of the performance of the *Gaia* PS with respect to the determination of APs for single stars. One approach is through the analysis of the posterior errors introduced in Section 4.3 taking into account photometry and parallax information. The other approach is through the analysis of results obtained with parametrization algorithms specifically designed for the AP determination. In both cases we assume that the differences among the measured fluxes of the stars, and hence the changes in their spectra, are only due to differences of the APs $\theta_k$ and the errors. We also assume that the SEDs, and their change as a function of the parameters $\theta_k$, match the true stars. In other words, cosmic dispersion (the effects of rotational velocity, magnetic fields, element-to-element chemical composition differences, differences in the interstellar extinction law, unresolved binarity, and so on) and inaccuracies in the SEDs libraries (absence of chromospheres and some opacity sources, NLTE effects, simplified geometry, etc) are not taken into account. In addition, for both approaches we assume that the noise model described in Section 4.3 is correct. Therefore, both the posterior errors as well as the errors estimated from the parametrization algorithms are, in principle, optimistic. The posterior errors, which evaluate locally the sensitivity of the PS with respect to APs, are more optimistic than the results from the parametrization algorithms because global degeneracies are assumed not to exist (see Section 4.3.5). On the other hand, the errors on the APs estimated from the parametrization algorithms reflect a combination of the capabilities of the PS and of the algorithms themselves. Thus a large estimated error may mean a poor PS performance or a poor efficiency of the algorithm, or both. The parametrization algorithm discussed in Section 4.3 only considers the C1M passbands; information from BBP and parallax is not included. Hence, the estimated errors tend to be pessimistic.

By the end of the *Gaia* mission improved stellar atmosphere models, improved opacity sources, improved treatment of convective atmospheres, dusty atmospheres, geometry, NLTE effects, etc. will be available. This, supplemented with real data where appropriate, will allow us to minimize one source of inaccuracies.

#### 7.1 Posterior error, $\sigma_{k,post}$, estimations

The posterior errors on the APs, $\sigma_{k,post}$, for the baseline C1B and C1M PS have been computed as explained in Appendix A and are presented here. The targets from Section 4.3 have been grouped by spectral type, luminosity class and Galactic direction and their mean $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff},post},T_{\text{eff}},A_V,\log g,post}$ and $[\text{M/H},post]$ are shown in Fig. 19 as a function of distance from the Sun (i.e. as a function of apparent magnitude). The figure shows the error predictions based on the BaSeL2.2 SED library and the combination of the white light, BBP and MBP fluxes with parallax information. The fluxes measured in the different passbands are assumed to vary only due to changes in $T_{\text{eff}}, A_V, \log g$ and $[\text{M/H}]$. Using other SED libraries leads to slightly, but not significantly, different $\sigma_{k,post}$ values. The largest differences occur for cool stars.
(≤4000 K) for which the differences among synthetic spectral libraries are large. For these stars, discrepancies among theory and observations also exist. See Kucinskas et al. [2003] for a comparison of observed colour indices and predictions by the PHOENIX, MARCS and ATLAS model atmosphere codes for late-type giants.

For a given group of spectral types and luminosity classes, the posterior errors increase with the distance to the Sun, because the stars become fainter and the measurement errors of their fluxes increase due to interstellar extinction. For a given distance and direction to the Galactic centre direction due to the high extinction toward them. However, there are areas of low extinction, such as Baade’s window, but there the stellar density is very high and MBP measurements will not be available. In those cases the derived stellar parameters, based only on G, BBP photometry and parallaxes, are less precise. Nevertheless, the estimated $\sigma_{AV,post}$ ranges from 0.2 to 0.6 mag, $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}},post}/T_{\text{eff}}$ from 1 to 10% and $\sigma_{\log g,post}$ from 0.1 to 0.5 dex, depending on the spectral type. In the high extinction areas, the lower stellar densities allow for MBP measurements and even though the stars are very faint, the estimated precision of the APs is still acceptable: $\sigma_{AV,post}$ ranges from 0.4 to 1.0 mag, $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}},post}/T_{\text{eff}}$ from 2 to 15% and $\sigma_{\log g,post}$ from 0.3 to 0.7 dex.

Figure 12 shows the $\sigma_{k,post}$ values as a function of apparent G magnitude and $[\mathrm{M}/\mathrm{H}]$ for F-type stars representative of isochrone turn-offs for the old-disk, thick disk and halo stars. This example has been chosen because F-type stars at the turn-off are key targets for many Galactic topics (see Table II). The performance of the PS is excellent up to about $G = 18$, even for the most metal-poor stars, and decreases for faint magnitudes. The determination of $[\alpha/\mathrm{Fe}]$ is only possible up to $G \approx 16$, while at $G \approx 18$, the measurement errors are large enough to make the changes in SEDs indistinguishable which means that $\sigma_{[\alpha/\mathrm{Fe}],post} \sim \sigma_{[\alpha/\mathrm{Fe}],prior} = 0.3$ dex.

As discussed in the introduction of this Section, the $\sigma_{k,post}$ values are optimistic by construction and from the figures above they may seem too optimistic compared to the achievable precisions with the currently available PSs. However, they are not necessarily overly optimistic. Gaia photometry will provide measurements in 5 broad and 14 medium passbands and the G white light passband, to which the information contained in the parallaxes can be added. There is no precedent for deriving stellar astrophysical parameters in this way.

The $\sigma_{k,post}$ here represent the maximum precision that can be obtained. We estimate that the main uncertainty on the actual values comes from the imperfect knowledge of the SEDs of the true stars and not from the design of the photometric system itself.

### 7.2 Estimating the astrophysical parameters

The predicted errors discussed above are evaluated locally and thus they are based on the assumption that global degeneracies (e.g., the confusion between a reddened hot star and an unreddened cool dwarf) are already accounted for before the APs are estimated.

![Figure 12](image-url) Predicted (posterior) errors for the main astrophysical parameters in three Galactic directions based on G, C1B, C1M and parallax data. The following assumption holds for $A_V$: in the Galactic pole direction it reaches 0.3 mag at 1 kpc and is constant from this distance on; toward the Galactic centre it ranges from 0.3 mag at 500 pc to 10 mag at 10 kpc; toward the anti-centre it varies from 0.3 mag at 500 pc to 3.5 mag at 5 kpc and is constant for large distances. The figure legends indicate the meaning of the lines, where ‘Class I’ means luminosity class I and ‘HB’ means horizontal branch stars.
We now discuss the performance of the *Gaia* PS in a more realistic setting where the APs of each source have to be derived from the measured photometry in the presence of global degeneracies.

### 7.2.1 Methods for astrophysical parametrization

Numerous statistical methods are available for estimating parameters from multidimensional data. The most appropriate are supervised pattern recognition methods, which involve a comparison of the observed ‘spectrum’ (the set of observed filter fluxes) with a set of template spectra with known APs (the ‘training grid’).

Minimum distance methods (MDMs) make an explicit comparison with every spectrum in the training grid to find the ‘closest’ match according to some distance metric (e.g. [Katz et al. 1998](#)). Because only discrete APs of templates in the training grid can be assigned to the observed spectrum, the precision is limited by the ‘AP resolution’ in the grid. This may be partially alleviated by averaging over the APs of the nearest neighbours or by interpolating between them (e.g. [Malyuto 2005](#); [Bridzius & Vansevičius 2002](#)).

Instead of making an explicit comparison with every template spectrum, we can model the mapping between the observed data space, $D$, and the AP space, $S$, with a regression function $p = f(\phi; w)$, i.e. the APs $p$ are a function of the fluxes $\phi$ and a set of free parameters $w$. We then solve for the free parameters $w$ via a numerical minimization of the errors in the predicted APs of the training data. As both $D$ and $S$ are multidimensional, and because $D \rightarrow S$ is an inverse mapping (and hence may show degeneracies), the problem is complex (e.g. [Bailer-Jones 2003](#); [2005](#)). Nonetheless, it offers advantages over the direct template matching, such as less dependence on a dense template grid, provides continuous AP estimates and much faster application times to new data (because the mapping function is learned in advance). There are many ways to implement a multidimensional regression, including neural networks (NNs) and adaptive splines (see e.g. [Hastie et al. 2001](#)).

Various methods have been tested by the *Gaia* classification and photometry working groups and each have their advantages and disadvantages. For more details see [Brown 2003](#). In the rest of this section we focus on one particular method. It must be stressed that this is just a preliminary investigation into AP estimation with *Gaia* and is restricted to just the C1M passbands.

### 7.2.2 A regression model

We applied a feed-forward NN to a set of simulated photometry in the medium-band C1M component of the *Gaia* PS. The training grid consists of 61,941 simulated ‘spectra’ showing variance in the four APs $T_{\text{eff}}$ (2000 K to 50,000 K), $[\text{M}/\text{H}]$ ($-5.0$ to $+1.0$), $\log g$ ($-1.0$ to $+5.5$), $A_V$, the line-of-sight interstellar extinction (0.0 to $+5.0$). The source spectra were taken from the BaSeL2.2 library. The distribution over the APs is discretized and non-uniform (Fig. 13 right panel). We used the *STATNET* NN code ([Bailer-Jones 1998](#); [2004](#)) with two hidden layers each comprising 25 hidden nodes. *STATNET* is trained with a conjugate gradient optimizer with weight decay regularization to avoid over-fitting. We assess the performance by applying the network to a separate set of data; the distribution of the APs in this ‘test set’ is shown in Fig. 14 (left panel). In total there are 9229 different stars (AP combinations) in the test set, each represented by ten different noisy passband fluxes.
for a given magnitude. Separate models were trained and tested at magnitudes \( G = 15, 18 \) and 20, i.e. with the appropriate amount of simulated noise, from which we can assess performance as a function of magnitude. All combinations of individual values of the four APs and the apparent \( G \) magnitude have been used without regard to the reality of such combinations. Moreover, we do not build in any prior information concerning the probability of occurrence of the various AP combinations.

### 7.2.3 Results

The results are summarized in terms of the average absolute errors

\[
E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} |\text{computed}(p) - \text{true}(p)|, \tag{3}
\]

where \( p \) denotes the \( p \)th spectrum (star) and \( \text{computed}(p) \) is the parametrization output provided by the network. The errors for \( T_{\text{eff}} \) are given as the fractional errors. It is well known that the error in any AP for a given star depends significantly not only on the value of that AP, but also on the value of the other APs. Therefore, reporting a single error for each AP by averaging over the full range in the training set).

Representative plots of the AP errors are shown in Fig. 14 for \( G = 15 \). This plot is for dwarf stars, i.e. \( \log g \in [3.5, 5.5] \) dex, although the results are not significantly different for giants. A complete overview of the results for all stellar types is given in Willemse et al. (2005).

For hotter stars (A type stars) the errors in \([M/H]\) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) are larger than those for cooler stars (G and K type) while the \( A_V \) and \( \log g \) errors are smaller. This behaviour is expected and reflects what we know of how the APs are expressed in the SED as a function of the APs (in particular \( T_{\text{eff}} \)). For stars of G type and earlier, the extinction is determined quite well. For example for A stars we find errors of 0.03 to 0.06 mag for \( G=15 \) and 0.07 to 0.15 mag for \( G=18 \). For cooler stars (K and M), the performance degrades slightly, especially for highly extinct cool stars.

Metallicity determinations are possible for cool and intermediate temperature objects (M to F type) with precisions ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 dex, even at low metallicities. For the same stars, \([M/H]\) can be determined to 0.1–0.2 dex down to ~2 dex metallicity. Here, only stars with \( T_{\text{eff}} > 3500 \) K have been included. For stars below \( \sim 4000 \) K, the estimated uncertainties may be unrealistic due to the inaccuracies of the current atmosphere models, which in this work are assumed to match the reality, as discussed previously.

The precision of the gravity determination depends on the temperature, but is basically independent of metallicity. We find errors of \( E(\log g) = 0.08 \) to 0.4 dex for these stars at \( G = 15 \) mag and \( 0.2 \) to \( 1.0 \) dex at \( G = 18 \) mag. For hot stars (A, B type), we find errors of \( \sim 0.1 \) dex or better, even for \( G = 18 \) mag. This will provide good discrimination between distant halo HB stars and nearer A dwarfs in those cases where the parallaxes are poor.

Temperatures can be determined to between 1% and 5% for M to A type stars (\( G = 15 \) mag) and to precisions of 2% to 11% at \( G = 18 \) mag. There is some dependence on the extinction. For lower values of the extinction \((A_V \in [0, 0.5] \) mag) we find temperature errors of \( E(T_{\text{eff}}) \leq 4\% \) for \((G = 15)\) and \( \leq 5\% \) for \((G = 18)\) for all types of stars.

We performed additional tests to determine the \([\alpha/Fe]\) abundance using the NextGen2 SED library. This increases the dimensionality of the AP space (from four to five) and thus its complexity. We used the same NN approach and the C1M passbands. We found errors of \( E(\alpha/Fe) \sim 0.1 \) dex for low to intermediate temperature stars at \( G = 15 \) mag. Our results also suggest that precisions of 0.2 dex should be possible for \( G \leq 16.5 \) mag. See Willemse & Builer-Jones (2005) for more details.

Comparative tests were also made with MDM and Radial Basis Function Neural Networks (RBFNNs) using the same data. In general, feed-forward NNs yielded the best results, followed by MDM and then RBFNN.

### 7.3 Discussion

These preliminary results, based on the C1M passbands, demonstrate that an automated ‘bulk’ determination of astrophysical parameters is possible.

The posterior errors predicted from the sensitivity of the SEDs to changes in APs are lower than the errors estimated from the NN results because the former are immune to global degeneracies (by design), whereas the NN performance is degraded by its failure to explicitly deal with degeneracies (which produce a non-uniqueness in the mapping it is trying to solve). The NN performance may be degraded by inefficiencies in the formulation of the problem via multidimensional regression. Yet, the posterior errors are based on C1B, C1M and parallax data, while the errors with the NN are estimated using only C1M passbands. Taking all into account, Figs. 13 and 15 show an overall agreement.
The actual set of training data we will use for the Gaia data processing will be based on improved stellar atmosphere models supplemented with real data. However, even then the training data are unlikely to represent the full range of cosmic variance in the APs which Gaia will encounter.

The performance predictions from the present implementation of the NN discussed above are pessimistic in several ways. First, only the C1M passbands were used. Including data from the five C1B passbands will improve the results (for instance, the index C1B655–C1M656 helps to break degeneracies among \( T_{\text{eff}} \), \( \log g \) and \( A_V \)). Second, the use of the parallax, when available, will also help as it provides information on the intrinsic luminosity (for known apparent magnitude and estimated extinction) which will also help as it provides information on the intrinsic luminosity (for known apparent magnitude and estimated extinction) which will help solving degeneracies between \( \log g \) and chemical composition. Initial tests of including the parallax as an additional network input confirm that this improves the estimation of the gravity, especially for those stellar types for which \( \log g \) is otherwise poorly constrained (i.e. for intermediate and low-temperature stars). It also improves the metallicity estimates [Willemsen et al. 2005b]. This decrease of global degeneracies makes the estimated errors from NNs more similar to the predicted posterior errors. Third, for the brightest stars, the RVS provides high SNR spectra for all types of stars. This should improve the AP estimates. Finally, and most importantly, we know that the method we have used is limited in a number of ways. For example, the data to AP mapping must be inferred based only on the training data. Explicitly providing information on the sensitivity of passbands or passband combinations to APs will help. Likewise, the NNs do not yet deal with AP degeneracy. This is a particular problem at low SNR. Overall, the predicted PS performances from Section 7.2 are rather conservative estimates of what will ultimately be possible with Gaia.

8 SCIENCE IMPLICATIONS

As emphasized a number of times, the main scientific goal of Gaia is the quantitative description of the chemical and dynamical evolution of the Galaxy over its entire volume. This is only possible if physical properties of stars, through chemical abundances and ages, are analyzed together with kinematics and distances. To determine ages and abundances with an accuracy sufficient for Galactic studies, temperatures (and hence extinction) and luminosities have to be accurately determined as well.

In the following subsections we outline the implications of the Gaia photometric system performance for addressing the science case. However, an in-depth discussion is not intended. In addition, we comment on the photometric performance for QSO classification. As mentioned in Sections 1 and 4.1, a proper identification of QSOs will allow the definition of a non-rotating extragalactic reference frame which is indispensable for astrometric purposes. For other objects, we refer to [Koester et al. 2005] and [Kaeppel et al. 2005], who deal with the automatic parametrization of unresolved binary stars, to [Kolka et al. 2005] for the study of performances for emission-line stars and to [Cellino et al. 2005] for a discussion about Gaia photometry and the parametrization of asteroids.

8.1 Interstellar extinction and effective temperature

The determination of the effective temperatures, absolute magnitudes and chemical abundances requires accurate estimates of interstellar extinction including the interstellar extinction law. Ideally the extinction estimates for individual stars are based solely on each star’s observables, as the extinction varies on small scales in any field except for the closest stars. Assuming a standard extinction law, Gaia’s photometry allows the determination of such individual extinction measures (see Figs. 12, 13 and 15), though with significantly larger error for the late-type stars due to degeneracy in the \( A_V \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) determinations. Meanwhile, the determination of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) for the late-type main-sequence stars remains reliable, even in the presence of significant extinction, to at least \( G = 18 \). Effective temperatures with similar uncertainties are achievable for red giants in the halo where the extinction is low.

We can identify the main contributors to the determination of reddening. For example, the C1M825–C1M965 vs. the C1B655–C1M656 (H\( \alpha \) index) diagram is useful for extinction measurements. The C1M825–C1M965 colour index is primarily meant as a measure of the strength of the Paschen jump, sensitive to stellar type, while the H\( \alpha \) index is nearly reddening free. For stars where the H\( \alpha \) index is a measure of the strength of the Balmer line, i.e. stars earlier than about G3–G5, the unreddened main sequence displays a sharp locus in this colour-colour diagram. The same H\( \alpha \) index value may, however, be measured for stars on either side of the Balmer maximum (A1–A3 stars), but this ambiguity is lifted by comparison to the C1M656–C1M965 vs. H\( \alpha \) index diagram. Thus the inclusion of the H\( \alpha \) index allows a classical approach to determining intrinsic colours from standard curves and simultaneously correcting the colours for the influence of metallicity and evolution across the main sequence. But again, intrinsic colours only result when the H\( \alpha \) index is measured precisely, while a potential drawback of the C1M825–C1M965 index is that these two C1M passbands are among those least affected by extinction.

If the reddening in only one colour index is determined, then the extinction in other passbands would have to rely on an assumed extinction law. Indeed, in our performance analysis (Section 7), we have assumed a standard extinction law. Deviations from this standard law (Fitzpatrick 1999), due to spatial variations in the chemical composition and size distributions of interstellar dust, will contribute to systematic errors in the individual extinction determinations. The breadth of Gaia’s photometric system justifies addressing the question of whether the extinction law itself (averaged over the line-of-sight to a star) can be determined from the photometry. Since Gaia is a comprehensive survey of all point sources, the number of sources is large enough that most stellar types are sufficiently represented even in small fields, close to the Galactic plane, where extinction correction is most essential. In this case, local extinction laws may possibly be deduced from colour difference vs. colour diagrams. For example, deviant extinction laws may be identified from Q-like indices vs. colour diagrams.

Gaia RVS observations will provide other measures of extinction. First, there is the diffuse interstellar band at 862 nm, located within the wavelength range of the RVS instrument [Katz et al. 2004]. In addition, the equivalent width of the IR calcium triplet gives a rough indication of the \( T_{\text{eff}} \); in combination with the virtually reddening free C1M395–C1M410 index the calcium triplet can be used as a diagnostic of intrinsic colours and thus to estimate the extinction. Together with Gaia parallaxes, all of these measures of extinction (spectroscopic and photometric) will allow the construction of a 3D Galactic extinction map.

Gaia measurements will also allow a statistical approach to the construction of a 3D extinction map. Given the parallax, main sequence loci may be empirically constructed from nearby, unreddened samples provided by Gaia. Shifting these loci to a given distance with a given mean extinction identifies the main sequence in the observed colour-magnitude diagram (including the photometric
errors) for a sample of main sequence stars selected from a small volume element in the Galaxy. Thus, main sequence fitting to such stellar samples, selected by means of the Gaia parallaxes, could serve as the basis for a 3D extinction map (Knude 2002). The chemical composition of the stars may be used to refine the definition of an appropriate main sequence, and $\log g$ to refine the selection of an appropriate stellar sample. This approach has the further advantage that the C1B passbands may be used in addition to the C1M ones, and that stars along the entire main sequence will contribute to the estimation of extinction, including those located on the lower main sequence where extinction determination is otherwise difficult.

The combination of Gaia and external IR photometric data provides independent determinations of extinction. During the luminosity calibration of 2MASS photometry for A9–G5 main sequence stars, Knude & Fabricius (2003) noticed significant reddening vectors in the $M_\text{J}$ vs. $(J−H)_{\text{abs}}$ diagram where $M_\text{J}$ was estimated from Hipparcos parallaxes $\pi > 0.8$ milliarcsec and $\sigma_\pi/\pi < 0.11$, assuming that reddening was negligible. These vectors are most pronounced for A type stars but are also present for cooler ones. From these vectors the extinction law may be estimated even for various spectral classes if the stellar density is large enough. Similar diagrams may be constructed from Gaia astrometry and BBP and MBP for the optical region and for the near-infrared from the 2MASS, UKIDSS and VISTA surveys so that regions with abnormal extinction can be identified.

### 8.2 Absolute luminosity and gravity

Photometry will be crucial for absolute luminosity (or gravity) determination when relative parallax errors are larger than 10–20%. The distances at which the relative parallax error is $\sim 10\%$ are listed in Table 8.4 as a function of spectral type and luminosity class in the absence of interstellar extinction, i.e. similar to the Galactic pole direction, and in the case of an average Galactic plane interstellar extinction of 0.7 mag kpc$^{-1}$. The parallax accuracies are from Table 8.4 in the Gaia Study Report (ESA 2004). Based on the Besançon Galaxy model (Robin et al. 2003), some 100–200 million stars are predicted to have parallaxes measured at the 10% accuracy level.

Absolute magnitude calibrations can be established using the stars with accurate parallaxes and interstellar extinction, and applied to more distant stars in the classical way, assuming that these are intrinsically similar to the accurate-parallax stars, which may not always be the case. Thus luminosities with precision $\sigma_{M_\text{V}} \sim 0.2–0.4$ mag (or $\sigma_{\log g} \sim 0.1–0.2$ dex) from photometry are desirable to match the uncertainties of the well measured parallaxes.

The main contributors to the photometric luminosity determination are the measurements of Balmer and Paschen jumps, the Hα index, the C1M395–C1M410 vs. W(CaT$^+$) diagram and C1M515 combined with contiguous pseudo-continuum passbands, as already noted in Section 5.

Figures 12, 13 and 14 show that $\sigma_{\log g} \sim 0.1–0.2$ dex is achievable for giants and early type stars at large distances and therefore that the study of Galactic structure on large scales (warp, spiral arms, outer halo, etc) is feasible. For nearby stars the photometric determination of $\log g$ is also possible but of less interest because of the good parallax precision. For distant late type dwarf stars, i.e. with parallax errors larger than 10%, the precision of the photometrically determined $\log g$ will be not as good as for giants and early type stars, as expected.

### 8.3 Chemical abundances

High resolution, high SNR spectroscopic observations allow the most reliable chemical abundances determinations (e.g., Cayrel de Strobel et al. [2002]). RVS spectra will be used to determine the atmospheric parameters, when possible. Katz et al. (2004) estimate $\sigma_{\text{[M/H]}}$ to be smaller than 0.2–0.3 dex for stars with $V \sim 14$ and to improve by combining the spectra with photometric and astrometric data. Thus, atmospheric parameters for 10–25 million stars to $V \sim 14–15$ will be determined including individual abundances for 2–5 million stars to $V \sim 12–13$ (mainly Fe, Ca, Mg and Si for F–G–K stars ; N in hotter stars, such A-type stars; and information on C, N or TiO abundances for cool K and M-type stars).

Although 10–25 million stars with RVS chemical abundance determinations may seem little compared to the 1 billion objects observed by Gaia, the spectroscopic chemical compositions are crucial as they will serve to calibrate the photometric data. This is important because for the vast majority of stars, chemical abundances will be derived from photometric data exclusively.

The chemical abundances, and especially the relation between [M/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe], are indicative of the initial star formation rate (SFR) and provide the rate of chemical enrichment of the Galaxy. Calculations by Maeder (1999) show that the $\alpha$-element abundance ratio starts to decrease at high [M/H] as the initial SFR increases. In agreement with this, Nissen (1995) argues that the thick disk stars underwent chemical evolution with a high initial SFR such as...
that a relatively high metallicity ([M/H] \sim -0.4) was reached before the contribution by iron due to the SNe Ia decreased the [\alpha/Fe] value. He also argues that the initial SFR in the thin disk has been slower and that the relative \alpha-element to iron abundances started to decrease at lower metallicity ([M/H] \sim -0.6). Most of the halo stars were formed at an even lower initial SFR, so the decrease of [\alpha/Fe] occurs at low metallicity ([M/H] \sim -1.2).

Some of the halo stars have [\alpha/Fe] abundances typical of the thick disk which points to a dual model for the halo formation: an inner part which had a high SFR and an outer part which experienced a slower evolution or was accreted from dwarf galaxies.

Figures 12, 13, and 15 show Gaia photometry is able to match the expected spectroscopic precision up to about 1–2 kpc from the Sun depending on the Galactic direction (i.e. the reddening) for F–M dwarfs and subdwarfs. For giants in the red clump and the red giant branch, both being brighter, the same precision is attainable up to about 4, 7 and 12 kpc in the centre, anti-centre and orthogonal Galactic directions, respectively. This implies that the following issues (and other goals) can be addressed: the determination of the Galactic chemical abundance gradient, the classification of the stars into different stellar populations, the characterization of halo streams, and the determination of the distance scale through the metallicity determination for RR-Lyrae.

As expected, low metallicities are determined with less precision than solar or higher metallicities. Extensive spectroscopic follow-up efforts from the ground will be necessary to determine the metallicities of stars with [M/H] \sim -3.0 with a sufficient accuracy to select the most interesting targets for high-resolution spectroscopy (Christlieb, private communication).

Photometric chemical composition determinations are not free of difficulties. For instance, cool unresolved binaries tend to mimic a single star with a lower metal content. As an example, for G and K dwarfs with companions for which \Delta m is 1.5–2 mag the systematic metallicity error is $\Delta [M/H] \sim -0.4$. This bias decreases to $\Delta [M/H] \sim -0.2$ when \Delta m = 3.

As discussed in Sec. 7, the first trials to determine [\alpha/Fe] using the NextGen2 library ([M/H] ∈ [-2, +0]) and [\alpha/Fe] ∈ [-0.2, +0.8]) show that precision of 0.1–0.2 dex for $G \sim 15–16.5$ can be achieved. The determination may be improved with the inclusion of parallax information, because it constrains the value of log g, which may break the degeneracy in the variation of the Mg Ibb triplet due to luminosity and Mg abundance changes. For stars fainter than $G \sim 16–17$, deriving \alpha-elements abundances from photometry is unlikely.

8.4 Age

The location of a star in the HR-diagram does not allow for a unique age determination as several combinations of chemical composition ([M/H], [\alpha/Fe], Y, etc) and age are possible (see e.g., Binney & Merrifield1998). Individually accurate ages require extremely accurate determinations of luminosity, temperature, reddening and chemical composition, which Gaia will provide.

For ages around 10–14 Gyr, and assuming a given chemical composition, a change of log $T_{\text{eff}}$ by \sim 0.01 dex for the stars in the turn-off translates to an age variation of 2 Gyr. Thus, temperatures must be known with precisions better than a few hundredths in log $T_{\text{eff}}$, i.e. 1.5–2\% in $T_{\text{eff}}$, for the turn-off stars to determine individually accurate ages. A variation of 0.3 dex in [M/H] is equivalent to a change of log $T_{\text{eff}}$ by \sim 0.01 dex for a given age. The variation of the \alpha-elements abundance has a smaller impact on the age determination than the variation of [M/H]. A variation of +0.3 dex in [\alpha/Fe] leads to a variation of \sim -0.006 dex in the temperature turn-off, yielding an uncertainty of about 1 Gyr in the age.

In summary, an uncertainty of about 4–5 Gyr is estimated for the individual ages of the stars at the turn-off for the halo, thick and old-thin disk stars up to about 2, 3 and 5 kpc in the Galactic centre, anti-centre and orthogonal directions, respectively, as deduced from the PS performances in Section 4.

The age determination for F–G sub-giant stars is quite insensitive to uncertainties in $T_{\text{eff}}$ because the isochrones are almost horizontal. An uncertainty in $M_V$ of about 0.15 translates to an uncertainty in the age of about 2 Gyr. Assuming additional uncertainties in [M/H] and [\alpha/Fe] determinations of about 0.3 dex, the final precision of the individual ages is about 3 – 4 Gyr.

Kučiūnas et al.2003 showed that early-AGB stars can provide ages as accurate as the turn-off stars for [M/H] \sim -1.5 when $\sigma_{\log T_{\text{eff}}} \sim 0.01$, $\sigma_{\log g} \sim 0.2$, $\sigma_{[M/H]} \sim 0.2$ and $\sigma_{E_{B-V}} \sim 0.03$, thus allowing the age determination to larger distances than for turn-off and sub-giant stars. The Gaia capabilities in the case of metal-poor stars have not yet been investigated.

Subsets of each Galactic population (such as globular clusters, open clusters, OB associations, a given halo stream, an identified merger, a moving group, etc.) can be treated statistically and mean ages and chemical abundances of the group can be obtained with much better precisions than those of the individual members.

The prospects for the determination of the age-metallicity relation and the star formation history with Gaia are discussed by Haywood2005. Assuming the errors on the astrophysical parameters from Section 7 the author recovers the simulated age-metallicity relation in the case of moderate extinction and for a slowly varying star formation rate. The details of the star formation history, such as a series of prominent short bursts, are not recovered.

8.5 QSOs

QSOs play an important role in the Gaia mission as they will be used to construct the astrometric reference frame and they are of course interesting in their own right. However, since the QSO population only represents 0.05% of the stellar population, building a secure QSO sample with no stellar contamination requires a very efficient rejection algorithm. Although proper motion, parallax and variability information will help in rejecting stars, these will be available with the required precision only at the end of the mission. Therefore it is important to check the capability to classify QSOs using only photometric data.

The QSO classification efficiencies of Supervised NNs and of MDMs (see Sec. 7) have been compared using synthetic data generated from the BaSeL 2.2 library for stars (Lejeune et al.1998), from pure-hydrogen atmosphere models for white dwarfs (Koester, private communication) and from a library of QSO synthetic spectra (Claeskens et al.2005 4). Properly trained NNs are found to be capable of rejecting virtually all stars, including white dwarfs. This is at the expense of the completeness level of the QSO sample, being only \sim 20% at $G = 20$. However, this provides a sufficient number of objects in the context of the non-rotating extragalactic reference system determination. MDMs provide a higher completeness level (\sim 60% at $G = 20$), but with a correspondingly higher stellar contamination rate of the QSO sample. MDMs may be preferred at high Galactic latitudes. Not surprisingly, reddened QSOs and weak emission-line objects are preferentially lost while high red-shift objects are most easily recognized.

Assuming an object is a QSO, it is also possible to infer its red-shift from its photometric signature in the C1B+C1M pass-
bands. Unfortunately, there is a colour degeneracy in the QSO spectra which limits the expected precision of the technique to about $|\Delta z|_{\text{median}} \simeq 0.2$ in the range $0.5 < z_{\text{spec}} < 2$ (computations were done with a previous version of the Gaia PS but are not strongly sensitive to the adopted filter sets).

More details on the identification and characterization of QSOs with Gaia can be found in Claessens et al. (2005a).

9 CONCLUSIONS

To fully achieve the scientific goals of the Gaia mission it is essential to complement the astrometric and radial velocity measurements with highly accurate multi-colour photometry. This is necessary both for obtaining accurate astrometry and for a proper scientific interpretation of the stereoscopic census of the Galaxy Gaia will provide. The latter goal requires that the photometric system for Gaia is capable of astrophysically parametrizing 1 billion objects across the entire Hertzsprung-Russell diagram in the presence of varying degrees of reddening and for the full range of chemical compositions and ages of stars populating the Galaxy, and, of identifying peculiar objects and QSOs.

There are no existing photometric systems capable of handling this very demanding task. We therefore set out to design a new system for the Gaia mission. The broad passbands implemented in the Astro instrument should fulfill the chromaticity requirements from the astrometric data processing while at the same time allowing for the astrophysical characterization of stars in very crowded regions. The main classification and astrophysical parametrization task is carried out with the medium passbands implemented in the Spectro instrument.

The novel development we introduce in this work is the use of an objective ‘figure of merit’ (FoM) to compare different proposals for the Gaia photometric system. This FoM is based on the predicted errors that can be obtained for the parametrization of stars in terms of $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$, $A_V$, $[\text{M/H}]$, and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$. These errors are calculated by using synthetic spectra to evaluate the sensitivity of each photometric passband to the astrophysical parameters. At the same time the degree of local degeneracy in the astrophysical parameters is taken into account in the FoM. The overall FoM for a photometric system is calculated taking into account the priorities of the different scientific targets that were deemed to be most important for addressing the core science case for Gaia. Using the FoM allows us to choose objectively which of the many proposed photometric systems is best in terms of reaching the astrophysical parameters error goals while at the same time having the least number of local degeneracies.

The calculation of the predicted posterior errors and the FoM as outlined in Appendix A can be applied to any photometric system and can also be used to predict parametrization errors that can be achieved with spectra of stars. In addition our method can be extended to the optimization of systems that can be used for the study of high red-shift galaxies or quasars (for example to derive photometric red-shifts), as long as the dependence of the relevant SEDs on parameters such as red-shift or star formation rate are known.

The main contribution of this work is the photometric system itself. This system has been designed based on our astrophysical knowledge and experience with ground-based systems and resulted in five (C1B) and fourteen (C1M) passbands implemented in the Astro and Spectro instruments, respectively. The number of passbands reflects the variety of targets (all types of stars, QSOs, galaxies, solar-system objects), the required stellar astrophysical parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$, $A_V$, $[\text{M/H}]$, $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$) and the need of breaking degeneracies (changes in two or more parameters may translate to the same changes in some spectral features but different changes in other ones). In summary, three of the broad C1B passbands are located to the left of H/β line, on the Hα line and to the right of Paschen jump. The other two passbands fill the gaps so that full coverage of the whole spectral range of Gaia observations is provided. A broad passband in C1M, implemented in the Spectro instrument, provides the measurement of the UV flux at wavelengths blueward of the Balmer jump. Seven medium passbands are placed on the crowding of Balmer series in early-type stars, on the CaII H line, on Mg I triplet and MgH band, on the Hα line, on one of TiO absorption bands in cool stars, and on the strong CN band in R- and N-type stars. An additional passband serves to measure the flux in the wavelength range covered by RVS. Finally, five passbands are devoted to the measurement of the pseudo-continuum.

The unfiltered light measured in the Astro and Spectro instruments provides photometric data in two very broad passbands (from 400 to 1000 nm and from 350 to 1025 nm). The $G$ magnitude from Astro yields the highest SNR among all Gaia magnitudes, and hence is the most suitable for variability analysis.

End-of-mission magnitude precisions have been estimated following an ‘aperture photometry’ approach. A precision of 0.01 mag is obtained at $V \sim 18$ and 16 for the C1B and C1M passbands, respectively. In the case of the $G$ passband and for stars brighter than $V \sim 16$ and $\sim 14$ measured with C1B and C1M, the photometric precision is limited by how accurately the data are calibrated. Although there is no detailed calibration model, a rough estimation of the number of involved calibration parameters and the number of available stars for calibration purposes shows that sub-millimagnitude photometric precisions are potentially achievable. A PSF-fitting approach shows that it is possible to deal with stellar densities up to about $2-4 \times 10^5$ stars/sq deg to magnitude 20 with Spectro, if accurate positional information from astrometry is used.

The performance of the Gaia photometric system with respect to the determination of astrophysical parameters for single stars has been evaluated using the ‘posterior’ errors from the FoM formalism and using algorithms designed for the astrophysical parametrization of stars (based on MDM and NN). The differences and limitations have been discussed. Both approaches demonstrate that precision goals in Section 4.3 are generally achieved to $G \sim 17$–18 mag and that the precision for each parameter depends on temperature, luminosity, chemical composition and interstellar extinction, and apparent magnitude, as expected. Reasonable uncertainties of 0.5–1 dex are obtained even for the chemical composition of metal-poor stars ($[\text{M/H}] = -4.0$). The determination of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ to a precision of about 0.1–0.2 dex is possible down to $G \sim 16–17$ for low to intermediate temperature stars. Assuming a standard extinction law, the C1B and C1M passbands allow the determination of individual extinctions and hence the determination of $T_{\text{eff}}$ is reliable, even in the presence of significant extinction, down to at least $G = 18$. By combining photometry and astrometry, a statistical approach to the construction of a 3D Galactic extinction map is also possible. Gaia will yield parallaxes with relative uncertainties lower than 10% for some 100–200 million stars allowing absolute magnitude determinations across the entire HR-diagram if the extinction is known. The C1B+C1M system is designed to provide the same luminosity errors (0.1–0.2 dex in $\log g$) for giants and early type stars at large distances ensuring the study of the Galactic structure on large scales. Individual ages of stars at the turn-off of the halo and old-thin and thick disk at distances up to about 2, 3 and 5 kpc in the
Galactic centre, anti-centre and orthogonal directions, respectively, can be determined with a precision of about 4–5 Gyr. The same precisions can be obtained for early-AGB stars, thus allowing the probing of larger distances than with turn-off and subgiant stars. For subgiants, our estimations yield slightly lower uncertainties of 3–4 Gyr. Note that we quote uncertainties for individual ages. For a group of stars the mean age will be determined to much better precision.

An additional merit of the Gaia photometric system is its ability to discriminate QSOs from stars and white dwarfs. This will result in a sufficient number of objects for the definition of the non-rotating extragalactic reference system for proper motions.

Finally, the performance of the C1B passbands when dealing with the chromaticity residuals has been evaluated. Our estimates show that the chromatic contribution to the parallax errors is 0.14–1.4 microarcsec. As these numbers are based on a worst-case assumption for the WFE and a somewhat simplistic calibration model, a residual contribution of 1 microarcsec for stars and of few microarcsec for the QSOs is likely achievable.

In summary, the photometric system C1B+C1M developed by the Photometry Working Group satisfies the mission requirements and was therefore adopted as the baseline by the Gaia Science Team. It has since been adopted by the ESA Project Team as the basis for the formal mission requirements to the industrial teams participating in ESA’s Invitation to Tender for Gaia.

The experience from the development of the photometric system, including selecting the scientific targets and the ‘figure of merit’ approach, puts us in a good position to rapidly optimize new payload proposals that will come from the selected industrial contractor in the course of 2006.
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A photometric system for Gaia

APPENDIX A: FIGURE OF MERIT

The definition and the procedure for calculating a figure of merit for a photometric system was proposed by Lindegren (2003b) and we provide the details here.

The achievable errors \( \sigma_{ik,\text{post}} \) for a particular ST \( i \) can be calculated using the so-called sensitivity matrix \( S \). The elements of this matrix are the partial derivatives \( \partial \phi_{ij}/\partial p_k \), where \( \phi_{ij} \) is the (noise-free) normalized flux in filter \( j \) (in photon counts) for ST \( i \), and \( p_k \) stands for the astrophysical parameter \( k \). These derivatives describe how the flux in each filter changes in response to a change in astrophysical parameter (AP) \( k \). Consider the AP determination as a linearized least squares estimation of \( \Delta p \), the improvement of the AP vector. The observation equation for ST \( i \) resulting from the flux measured in filter \( j \) reads:

\[
\frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}{\partial p_1} \Delta p_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}{\partial p_k} \Delta p_k = \Delta \phi_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}, \tag{A1}
\]

where \( \Delta \phi_{ij} = \phi_{ij,\text{obs}} - \phi_{ij}(p) \) is the difference between the observed and predicted flux and \( \epsilon_{ij} \) indicates the flux uncertainty. Observation equations of unit weight are formed through division by \( \epsilon_{ij} \), whereupon normal equations are formed in the usual manner.

The linearization is assumed to be made around the true parameter vector \( p \), so that the resulting update \( \Delta p \) has zero expectation. Then, given the variance-covariance matrix \( C_p = \text{diag}(\epsilon_{ij}) \) of the observed fluxes, the variance-covariance matrix of the estimated AP-vector \( p_{\text{post}} \) is given by the inverse of the normal equations matrix:

\[
C_{p,\text{post}} = (S^T C_\phi^{-1} S)^{-1}, \tag{A2}
\]

where the matrices \( C_{p,\text{post}}, C_\phi \), and \( S \) are defined for each ST \( i \) separately. The diagonal elements \((C_{p,\text{post}})_{kk}\) of this matrix are the sought after achievable errors for a PS. In reality degeneracy among the APs will often make the matrix \((S^T C_\phi^{-1} S)^{-1}\) singular or near-singular, resulting in infinite or very large \( \sigma_{ik,\text{post}} \) as computed from equation \( \text{A2} \). This can be avoided by adding a suitable positive definite matrix \( B \) which makes the whole right-hand side positive definite; thus:

\[
C_{p,\text{post}} = (B + S^T C_\phi^{-1} S)^{-1}. \tag{A3}
\]

\( B \) is the \textit{a priori} information matrix of the APs. In the absence of any other information on the APs we have \( B = \text{diag}(\sigma_{ik,\text{prior}}^{-2}) \). This matrix plays an important role and can be used to incorporate prior information on the astrophysical parameters. This matrix can also be used to incorporate constraining information from the parallax measurements. When the photometric data does not provide any relevant information on a given AP \( p_k \) (either because the flux variances in \( C_\phi \) are too large or because the elements of the sensitivity matrix \( S \) are too small), then \( \sigma_{ik,\text{post}} \simeq \sigma_{ik,\text{prior}} \).

In practice the derivatives \( \partial \phi_{ij}/\partial p_k \) are calculated numerically from simulated photometric data. The calculation thereof requires (synthetic) spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the STs and a noise model for the photometric instruments.

The figure of merit is now calculated as follows. For each ST \( i \) and astrophysical parameter \( k \) (\( A_V, [M/H], \log g, T_{\text{eff}}, [\alpha/Fe], \ldots \)) the performance of the photometric system is measured by the ratio \( \sigma_{ik,\text{post}}/\sigma_{ik,\text{goal}} \). The figure of merit \( Q_i \) for each ST \( i \) is then defined as:

\[
Q_i = \sum_k w_k f(\sigma_{ik,\text{post}}/\sigma_{ik,\text{goal}}), \tag{A4}
\]

where \( w_k \) indicates the relative weight of each astrophysical parameter (with \( \sum_k w_k = 1 \)) and \( f(x) \) is a non-linear function of \( x = \sigma_{ik,\text{post}}/\sigma_{ik,\text{goal}} \) with a break around 1. The function used is:

\[
f(x) = (1 + x^{2n})^{-1/n}. \tag{A5}
\]

The global figure of merit (summed over all STs) is the weighted and normalized sum:

\[
\hat{Q} = \frac{\sum_i w_i Q_i}{\sum_i w_i}, \tag{A6}
\]

where the weights \( w_i \) indicate the priority of each ST \( i \). The value of \( \hat{Q} \) indicates how close the performance of a photometric system is to being ‘ideal’ (when \( \hat{Q} = 1 \)). This global figure of merit is then calculated for each photometric system using the error goals, weights and priorities defined in Jordi et al. (2003a).

The value of \( n \) in the function \( f(x) \) from equation \( \text{A3} \) determines how much weight good performance (\( x \lesssim 1 \)) gets in the FoM as opposed to bad performance (\( x > 1 \)). We are looking for a PS that achieves the error goals over all of AP space and we want to avoid giving a high rank to a system that is extremely good in only one corner of AP space but very bad everywhere else. The latter will be highly ranked for \( n = 1 \) but not for large \( n \). However, for very large \( n \) for which \( f(x) \) approaches a step function with \( f(x) = 0 \) for \( x > 1 \) we will not be able to tell the difference between two PSs that are equally bad wherever \( x > 1 \) but with one PS being better than the other when \( x < 1 \). We chose the value of \( n = 3 \) as a good compromise between these two extremes.
We end with a few remarks about the sensitivity matrix. The columns of $S_i$ are the gradient vectors that describe the changes of the fluxes with respect to a change in an AP. Thus $S_i$ contains all the information needed to characterize the behaviour of the photometric system in the data space (or filter flux space) near $ST_i$. In the ideal case the gradient vectors would be aligned with the coordinate axes of the data space. That is each flux measurement $\phi_{ij}$ is sensitive to one (and only one) AP. In practice the gradient vectors are of course not aligned with the coordinate axes which means that each AP influences some linear combination of fluxes. This also means that even if all the gradient vectors are orthogonal the errors in the APs will still be correlated because any error on a measured flux $\phi_{ij}$ will influence multiple AP determinations. These correlations are correctly taken into account in the calculation of the variance-covariance matrix of the estimated AP-vector and are reflected in non-zero off-diagonal elements in this matrix.

A further complication that will occur in practice is that the gradient vectors will not be orthogonal to each other. This means that there will be degeneracies between the APs when we try to estimate them. A well-know example is the degeneracy between $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $A_V$ if only the continuum of the spectrum is measured. The behaviour of the PS depends on the noise and we should in fact consider the noise-weighted gradient vectors which have components $1/\epsilon_{ij} \times \partial \phi_{ij} / \partial p_k$. When the orthogonality is defined with respect to the noise-weighted gradient vectors, non-orthogonal gradient vectors will lead to larger correlations between the errors in the estimated APs and they will also cause the standard errors on each AP to increase. Without going into the mathematical details this can be appreciated if one considers that any degeneracy between two APs will make it more difficult to attribute flux changes to either of them thus increasing the uncertainty in both parameters.

This means that a PS that contains larger degeneracies will get a lower figure of merit because of the increased $\sigma_{ik, \text{post}}$ for degenerate APs. Note, however, that the FoM used in this sense is a measure of how good a particular PS is at locally separating stars with different APs along orthogonal directions. The FoM does not take global degeneracies into account, where very different parts of AP space are mapped onto each other in filter flux space.