Abstract

Biomedical entity linking is the task of linking entity mentions in a biomedical document to referent entities in a knowledge base. Recently, many BERT-based models have been introduced for the task. While these models have achieved competitive results on many datasets, they are computationally expensive and contain about 110M parameters. Little is known about the factors contributing to their impressive performance and whether the over-parameterization is needed. In this work, we shed some light on the inner working mechanisms of these large BERT-based models. Through a set of probing experiments, we have found that the entity linking performance only changes slightly when the input word order is shuffled or when the attention scope is limited to a fixed window size. From these observations, we propose an efficient convolutional neural network with residual connections (ResCNN) for biomedical entity linking. Because of the sparse connectivity and weight sharing properties, our model has a small number of parameters and is highly efficient. Experiments on five datasets show that the performance of ResCNN is comparable to the state-of-the-art (SOTA) BERT-based models while having about 60 times fewer parameters. \(^1\)

1 Introduction

Biomedical entity linking (EL) (Zheng et al., 2014) is the task of linking biomedical mentions (e.g., diseases and drugs) to standard referent entities in a curated knowledge base (KB). For example, given the sentence “The average \textit{NH}_3\textit{ concentrations were low.}”, the mention \textit{NH}_3 should be linked to the entity KB: Ammonia. Biomedical EL is an important research problem, with applications in many downstream tasks, such as biomedical question answering (Lee et al., 2020), information retrieval, and information extraction (Wang et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2021b; Zhang et al., 2021). In general, two main challenges of the EL task are: (1) ambiguity - the same word or phrase can be used to refer to different entities; (2) variety - the same entity can be referred to by different words or phrases. Unlike in the general domain, mentions in biomedical documents are relatively unambiguous (D’Souza and Ng, 2015; Li et al., 2017). Building a system for biomedical EL involves primarily addressing the variety problem.

Recently, many BERT-based models have been introduced for biomedical EL (Ji et al., 2020; Sung et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020, 2021). While these models can achieve state-of-the-art results on many biomedical EL datasets, they are computationally expensive and contain about 110M parameters. Even though there are scientific labs that have a lot of computing resources, many researchers still have minimal access to large-scale computational power (Strubell et al., 2019). Therefore, it is of practical importance to provide a more scalable solution for biomedical entity linking. Furthermore, the factors contributing to the success of these large BERT-based models remain unclear. And thus, it is not known whether the over-parameterization is needed to achieve competitive performance.

In this work, through a set of probing experiments, we shed some light on the inner workings of existing BERT models for biomedical EL. Surprisingly, the performance only changes slightly when the input word order is shuffled or when the attention scope is restricted. Based on these observations, we propose an effective convolutional neural network with residual connections (ResCNN) for the task. Because of the sparse connectivity and weight sharing properties, ResCNN has a small number of parameters and is highly efficient. Experiments on five datasets show that the performance of ResCNN is comparable to the state-of-the-art (SOTA) BERT-based models while having about

\(^1\)The code is publicly available at https://github.com/laituan245/rescnn_bioel
Figure 2: Attention scope restriction. In this example, the window size of the limited attention head is 3.

2 Methods

In the following sections, we will first describe some preliminaries relating to the formulation of the EL problem and a general approach for the task (Sec. 2.1). We will then go into details about our probing experiments in Sec. 2.2. We will describe the design of our ResCNN model in Sec. 2.3.

2.1 Preliminaries

Problem Formulation   Given an entity mention \( m \) from a biomedical text and a knowledge base (KB) consisting of \( N \) entities \( \mathcal{E} = \{ e_1, e_2, ..., e_N \} \), the task is to find the entity \( e_i \in \mathcal{E} \) that \( m \) refers to. We assume that each entity is associated with a primary name and a list of alternative names. We denote the set of all names in the KB as \( \mathcal{N} = \{ n_1, n_2, ..., n_M \} \), where \( M \) is the number of names. We use \( T_m \) and \( T_{n_j} \) to denote the textual forms of \( m \) and \( n_j \) respectively. Except for a list of names for each entity, we do not assume the availability of any other information in the KB (e.g., entity types or description sentences). Our formulation is general and suitable for a wide range of real-world settings.

General Approach   A general approach to EL is to train an encoder \( \phi \) that encodes mentions and entity names into the same vector space (Gillick et al., 2019) (Figure 1). Before inference, we use \( \phi \) to pre-compute embeddings for all the entity names in the KB. During inference, mentions are also encoded by \( \phi \) and entities are retrieved using a simple distance function such as cosine similarity. In this work, we adopt this general approach, because it is more efficient and simpler than the two-stage retrieval and re-ranking systems (Wu et al., 2020). Several recent SOTA methods for biomedical EL also follow this approach. For example, Liu et al. (2020) models \( \phi \) using SAPBERT, a BERT model pretrained on UMLS synonyms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi(m) &= \text{SAPBERT}_{\text{CLS}}(T_m) \\
\phi(n_j) &= \text{SAPBERT}_{\text{CLS}}(T_{n_j}) \quad \forall n_j \in \mathcal{N}
\end{align*}
\]

where SAPBERT_{CLS} returns the final hidden state corresponding to the [CLS] token. Since SAPBERT was pre-trained on almost 12M pairs of synonyms, it can be directly used without further fine-tuning on the target task’s training data. However, for several datasets, the performance can still be improved by training with task-specific supervision.

2.2 Probing Experiments

Previous studies have shown that BERT can encode a wide range of syntactic and semantic features (Tenney et al., 2019; Jawahar et al., 2019). However, it is unknown to what extent existing BERT models for biomedical EL utilize such rich linguistic signals. We take the first step towards answering this question by investigating the most basic aspects.

Word Order Permutation   We analyze whether BERT models fine-tuned for biomedical EL even consider one of the most fundamental properties of a sequence - the word order. In this probing experiment, we first train an EL model on the original (unshuffled) training set of a dataset. We then evaluate the model on the development set under the condition that the tokens of each mention/entity-name are shuffled.

Attention Scope Restriction   The self-attention mechanism of BERT makes each token in the input directly interact with every other token (Vaswani et al., 2017). However, recent studies have shown that BERT models do not fully consider the word order, and some tokens are not adequately interacted with their context. We investigate this question by generating a limited attention head that captures only a limited scope around each token. We evaluate the models on the development set using this limited attention head.
As a result, the attention operation is quadratic to the input length. To analyze whether direct connections between distant tokens are crucial for biomedical EL, we conduct experiments where we restrict the attention scope to a local window (Figure 2). We first train a BERT-based EL model on the provided training set of a dataset. We use the original attention mechanism during training. During evaluation, we limit the attention scope to a fixed window size by applying a masking operation:

\[ M[i, j] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |i - j| \leq \lfloor w/2 \rfloor \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

Attention\((Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left( \frac{M \odot QK^T}{\sqrt{p}} \right) V \]

(2)

where \(Q, K, \text{ and } V\) are the matrices of the queries, keys, and values (respectively) of an attention head (Vaswani et al., 2017). \(w\) denotes the window size \((w\text{ is odd}), \odot\) denotes element-wise multiplication, and \(p\) is a scaling factor. We restrict the attention scope of every token at every layer except for the [CLS] token at the last layer. We let the token attend to every other token at the last layer.

2.3 ResCNN for Biomedical Entity Linking

As to be discussed in Section 3, the performance of existing BERT models only changes slightly when the input word order is shuffled or when the attention scope is limited. These observations suggest that a simpler model that mainly focuses on capturing local interactions may perform as well as SOTA BERT-based models. A natural candidate that exhibits the desired properties is the convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture. CNNs have been empirically shown to be quite effective in capturing local features (Kim, 2014). Furthermore, CNNs typically use fewer parameters than Transformer-based models because of their sparse connectivity and weight sharing properties. To this end, we introduce a simple but effective CNN with residual connections (ResCNN) for biomedical EL. Given an input text (e.g., a query mention or an entity name), ResCNN computes a vector representation for the input through several layers.

Token Embedding Layer  We first use the BERT WordPiece tokenizer (Wu et al., 2016) to split the original input text into a sequence of tokens. We then transform each token into an initial vector representation by re-using the first embedding layer of PubMedBERT (Gu et al., 2020). This operation is very similar to using traditional word embeddings such as GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014), and so it can be carried out efficiently. We keep the embedding layer fixed and do not tune its parameters during training. An advantage of WordPiece tokenization is that a relatively small vocabulary (e.g., 30,000 wordpieces) is sufficient to model large, naturally-occurring corpora. In contrast, the vocabulary size of traditional word embeddings is typically much larger.

Encoding Layer  Our encoding layer consists of several encoding blocks (Figure 3). Each block has multiple convolutional filters of varying window sizes (Kim, 2014). Each filter is followed by an ReLU activation. We also employ a position-wise fully connected feed-forward network after applying the convolutional filters. In addition, there is a residual connection between the input and output of each encoding block. Residual connections alleviate the vanishing gradient problem (He et al., 2016). Overall, our encoding blocks are quite similar to the Transformer encoder layers (Vaswani et al., 2017). However, we use local convolutional filters for feature extraction instead of the global attention mechanism.

Pooling Layer   To obtain the final vector representation for the input, we apply a pooling operation. In this work, we experiment with two different pooling strategies: (1) Max Pooling (Kim, 2014) (2) Self-Attention Pooling (Zhu et al., 2018).
We acknowledge that most of the components of our model are not novel as CNNs with residual links have been used in other tasks (Conneau et al., 2017; Huang and Wang, 2017). Nevertheless, our work provides evidence for the importance of carefully justifying the complexity of existing or newly proposed models. Depending on the specific task, a lightweight model may perform as well as the large BERT-based models. Also, our proposed ResCNN achieves SOTA performance on several datasets while being even more efficient than previous CNN-based or RNN-based methods (Sec. 3).

3 Experiments

Data and Experimental Setup  We experiment across five different datasets: NCBI (Dogan et al., 2014), BC5CDR-c and BC5CDR-d (Li et al., 2016), MedMentions (Mohan and Li, 2019), and COMETA (Basaldella et al., 2020). For each dataset, we follow the data split by Liu et al. (2020). It is worth highlighting that even though the five datasets can all be categorized as “biomedical datasets”, they have very different characteristics. For example, while MedMentions was constructed by annotating scientific papers, COMETA was built by crawling Reddit (a social media forum). We report results in terms of top-1 accuracy. Details about the hyperparameters are in the appendix.

Probing Results (SAPBERT) Table 1 shows the results of our probing experiments with SAPBERT (Liu et al., 2020). When the inputs’ unigrams are randomly re-ordered, the performance of SAPBERT only drops by about 4.58% on average. The difference is even less noticeable when we shuffle trigrams instead of unigrams. Therefore, SAPBERT is highly insensitive to word-order randomization. These results agree with recent studies on general-domain BERT models (Pham et al., 2020; Sinha et al., 2021). Table 1 also shows that the performance of SAPBERT only changes slightly when the attention scope is limited.

Probing Results (BIOSYN) We have also experimented with BERT models trained on the BIOSYN framework (Sung et al., 2020). We directly use the trained BERT models downloaded from https://github.com/dmis-lab/BioSyn. Table 2 shows the results of our conducted probing experiments with BIOSYN. Note that the authors of BIOSYN only provided the trained checkpoints for NCBI-d, BC5CDR-d, and BC5CDR-c. Overall, the changes are more prominent for models trained on BIOSYN than for SAPBERT. Nevertheless, the performance only drops by about 5.0% on average.

| Models | Top-1 Accuracy (on development sets) | Avg. % change |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
|        | NCBI-d | BC5CDR-d | BC5CDR-c | MedMentions | COMETA |
| SAPBERT (Fine-Tuned) (2020) | 91.1  | 90.9  | 98.2  | 54.4  | 74.9  |
| Word Order Permutation | | | | | |
| ◆ Shuffle unigrams | 88.2  | 90.2  | 94.0  | 53.2  | 65.6  | -4.58% |
| ◆ Shuffle bigrams | 89.1  | 90.8  | 96.4  | 53.8  | 71.9  | -1.87% |
| ◆ Shuffle trigrams | 90.5  | 91.0  | 97.7  | 54.0  | 73.1  | -0.87% |
| Attention Scope Restriction | | | | | |
| ■ Context size = 3 | 91.1  | 90.3  | 97.9  | 53.2  | 71.9  | -1.44% |
| ■ Context size = 5 | 91.2  | 90.9  | 97.6  | 53.8  | 73.4  | -0.74% |

Table 1: Results of our conducted probing experiments with SAPBERT (Liu et al., 2020).

| Models | Top-1 Accuracy (on test sets) | Avg. % change |
|--------|------------------------------|---------------|
|        | NCBI-d | BC5CDR-d | BC5CDR-c |
| BIOSYN (Dense) (Sung et al., 2020) | 90.7  | 92.9  | 96.6  |
| Word Order Permutation | | | | |
| ◆ Shuffle unigrams | 67.0  | 77.0  | 74.8  | -21.94% |
| ◆ Shuffle bigrams | 77.7  | 87.2  | 85.6  | -10.62% |
| ◆ Shuffle trigrams | 82.7  | 91.4  | 92.2  | -5.0% |
| Attention Scope Restriction | | | | |
| ■ Context size = 3 | 81.0  | 84.5  | 96.5  | -6.61% |
| ■ Context size = 5 | 78.8  | 87.5  | 96.5  | -6.35% |

Table 2: Results of our conducted probing experiments with BIOSYN (Sung et al., 2020).
Table 3: Overall test results on the five biomedical EL datasets. “-“ denotes results not reported in the cited paper. The symbol * denotes BERT-based models. OOM stands for out-of-memory.

| Models | NCBI-d | BC5CDR-d | BC5CDR-c | MedMentions | COMETA | Nb. Parameters |
|--------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| BNE (2019) | 87.7 | 90.6 | 95.8 | - | - | 4.1M |
| CNN-based Ranking (2020) | 89.6 | - | - | - | - | 4.6M |
| SAPBERT (Fine-Tuned) * (2020) | 92.3 | 93.2 | 96.5 | 50.4 | 75.1 | 110M |
| BIOSYN * (2020) | 91.1 | 93.2 | 96.6 | OOM | 71.3 | 110M |
| BIOSYN (init. w/ SAPBERT) * | 92.5 | 93.6 | 96.8 | OOM | 77.0 | 110M |
| ResCNN (Self-Attention Pooling) | 92.2 | 93.2 | 96.9 | 55.0 | 79.4 | 1.8M |
| ResCNN (Max Pooling) | 92.4 | 93.1 | 96.8 | 53.5 | 80.1 | 1.7M |

Table 4: Inference time of different models on CPU and GPU. OOM stands for out-of-memory.

| Models | NCBI-d | BC5CDR-d | BC5CDR-c | MedMentions | COMETA |
|--------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| CPU | GPU | CPU | GPU | CPU | GPU | CPU | GPU |
| SAPBERT (2020) | 534s | 58s | 551s | 66s | 3478s | 276s | OOM | 6269s | 6156s | 470s |
| ResCNN + Max Pooling | 33s | 18s | 35s | 21s | 169s | 69s | 3274s | 1565s | 289s | 109s |
| Speedup (compared to SAPBERT) | 16.2x | 3.2x | 15.7x | 3.1x | 20.6x | 4.0x | - | 4.0x | 21.3x | 4.3x |

4 Conclusions and Future Work

Our work has shown that while BERT has been widely used for many NLP tasks, it is sometimes an overkill for some tasks, in which case, a simpler model can be as effective as BERT and is often much more efficient. An interesting future direction is to study further how to systematically simplify/compress BERT based on the insights obtained using probing experiments to increase efficiency while maintaining effectiveness. We plan to extend our work to other domains as well as other information extraction tasks (Lai et al., 2020; Wen et al., 2021; Lai et al., 2021a; Li et al., 2020).
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**Implementation Dependencies Libraries** Pytorch 1.6.0 (Paszke et al., 2019), Transformers 4.4.2 (Wolf et al., 2020), Numpy 1.19.5 (Harris et al., 2020), CUDA 11.0.

**Computing Infrastructure** The experiments were conducted on a server with Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5120 CPU @ 2.20GHz and NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs. The allocated RAM is 191.9G. GPU memory is 16G.

**Datasets** NCBI-d, BC5CDR-c, and BC5CDR-d can be downloaded from [https://github.com/dmis-lab/BioSyn](https://github.com/dmis-lab/BioSyn). MedMentions can be downloaded from [https://github.com/chanzuckerberg/MedMentions](https://github.com/chanzuckerberg/MedMentions). COMETA can be downloaded from [https://github.com/cambridgeltl/cometa](https://github.com/cambridgeltl/cometa).

**Average Runtime** We have presented the information of the inference time of our models in the main paper.

**Number of Model Parameters** We have discussed about the models’ sizes in the main paper.

**Hyperparameters of Best-Performing Models** Each of our best ResCNN models consists of 4 encoding blocks. Each encoding block has 100 filters of kernel size 1, 100 filters of kernel size 3, and 100 filters of kernel size 5 (300 filters in total). The learning rate used for training our models is set to be 0.001. We use the Adam optimizer to train the ResCNN models. We use Huggingface’s Transformer library to experiment with different BERT models (Wolf et al., 2020).

**Expected Validation Performance** For each of the MedMentions and COMETA datasets, we report the test performance of the checkpoint with the best validation score in the main paper. For each of the remaining three datasets, we use the corresponding development (dev) set to search for the hyperparameters and then train on the train-dev (train+dev) set to report the final performance (Sung et al., 2020). The final validation scores of our ResCNN models are shown in the Table 5.
| Models                      | Top-1 Accuracy (on test sets) | Nb. Parameters |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
|                            | NCBI-d | BC5CDR-d | BC5CDR-c | MedMentions | COMETA |              |
| ResCNN (Self-Attention Pooling) | 92.9   | 97.0     | 99.5     | 55.0       | 79.3   | 1.8M         |
| ResCNN (Max Pooling)        | 95.0   | 91.8     | 99.3     | 53.8       | 79.9   | 1.7M         |

Table 5: Final validation scores of our ResCNN models on the five biomedical EL datasets.