Evaluation of Drought Characteristics Using the Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) over Parambikulam Aliyar Basin of Tamil Nadu
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ABSTRACT

Drought tends to be a creeping phenomenon occurs gradually with the deficiency in rainfall further extending its impact on sectors which are dependent on water. The drought characteristics were analysed in Parambikulam Aliyar Project (PAP) basin based on the European Centre for Medium range Weather Forecasts Interim Reanalysis (ERA-Interim) gridded data with resolution of 0.125° ×0.125° during 1981-2017. Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) was applied for monitoring the drought. The variables used in RDI are rainfall and potential evapotranspiration (ETo), the required meteorological data were taken from the ERA Interim dataset and ETo was calculated using
Penman-Monteith method. RDI indicated that 41% of the time had drought condition over 37 years. Two years (1982 and 2012) faced severe drought across all the parts of the PAP basin and the highest number of mild drought events were observed than the other drought conditions in PAP basin. Results showed that Plain areas in PAP basin experienced maximum number of drought events compared to the other areas in PAP basin during the investigation period.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Concerns on water resources have become widespread in a global context due to the growing interconnection with other development-related issues and also with social, economic, environmental, legal, and political factors at every scale [1,2,3]. In this scenario, the knowledge of drought phenomena plays an important role for an appropriate planning and management of water resources, such that characterisation of drought has attracted the interest of many researchers in recent years [4]. Drought originates due to deficiency of precipitation over a period of time from long period average [5]. In the study of the drought phenomenon, drought indices that are able to objectively quantify climate conditions are usually required [6]. Several drought indices have been proposed to monitor the various kinds of drought in different areas. Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) works based on the ratio between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (ET), and can be computed for different time scales [7,8]. Parambikulam-Aliyar basin is located in the south western part of the Peninsular India, covering areas in Kerala and Tamil Nadu States and it is considered as one the important basin in Tamil Nad [9]. Hence drought analysis of PAP basin is gaining more importance for agricultural planning.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

Parambikulam-Aliyar basin (PAP) is an inter-state water distribution project collaborating two states namely Kerala and Tamil Nadu. This project is mainly framed to divert the water from the eight west flowing rivers. The PAP basin area situated in coordinates of 10°10′00″ N to 10°57′20″ N latitude, 76°43′00″ E to 77°12′30″ E longitudes and distributed over 2388.72 sq.km area (Fig. 1).

2.2 Data

In this study meteorological data for the period of 37 years (1981-2017) was obtained from ERA Interim 0.125° ×0.125° gridded dataset. Rainfall and the required weather parameters such as temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation and wind speed for computing the ET₀ were extracted from ERA Interim dataset. The rainfall and ET₀ were used as inputs for RDI computation.

2.3 Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI)

The Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) is calculated based on the ratios of precipitation over potential evapotranspiration for different time scales. Drought index calculator Version 1.7 (DrinC) was employed for computing RDI [10]. The potential evapotranspiration is computed through the Penman-Monteith formula. RDI classification is given in the Table 1. Initially, αᵢ is presented as the coefficient of the iᵗʰ year in an aggregated form using a monthly time step and can be calculated on a monthly, seasonal or annual basis as following.

\[ aᵢ = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K} pᵢj}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} ETᵢj} \]

Where,

\( pᵢj \) is precipitation, \( ETᵢj \) is potential evapotranspiration in \( j^{th} \) month of \( i^{th} \) year.

| RDI values | Classification |
|------------|----------------|
| >0         | No drought     |
| 0 to -0.99 | Mild drought   |
| -1 to -1.49| Moderately drought |
| -1.5 to -1.99| Severe drought |
| -2<        | Extremely drought |
2.4 Calculation of Potential Evapotranspiration

The Penman-Monteith equation is used most commonly for computing the $ET_0$, recommended by the FAO in 1998 as reference ET. Many studies found that the Penman-Monteith is more appropriate for arid and semi-arid regions. In PAP basin, total potential evapotranspiration ($ET_0$) has been estimated through built in FAO Penman-Monteith equation as shown below using $ET_0$ calculator version 3.2 developed by the FAO [11].

\[
ET_0 = \frac{0.408 \Delta (R_n - G) + \frac{900}{T + 273} U_2(\text{es} - \text{ea})}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34 U_2)}
\]

Where,

- $ET_0$ is the potential evapotranspiration rate (mm.d$^{-1}$),
- $G$ is the soil heat flux density (MJ.m$^{-2}$),
- $\Delta$ is the saturation vapor pressure deficit (kPa),
- $T$ is the air temperature at 2 m height (°C),
- $U_2$ is the wind speed at 2 m height (m.s$^{-1}$),
- $\text{es}$ is the saturation vapor pressure (kPa),
- $\text{ea}$ is the actual vapor pressure (kPa),
- $\gamma$ is the psychrometric constant (kPa.°C$^{-1}$)

Fig. 1. Study area map of Parambikulam Aliyar basin
2. it can be observed that six years exposed to mild drought (1987, 1994, 1995, 1998, 1999 and 2006) condition in hilly areas of PAP basin. The mild drought events in two consecutive years happened two times (1994, 1995, 1998 and 1999) over 37 years. Moderate drought phenomenon was also noticed in six years (1986, 1988, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2016) and consecutive three years had moderate drought (2001, 2002 and 2003). Severe drought occurred in two years 1982 and 2012 (Fig. 2).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drought characteristics determined by the RDI from 1981 to 2017 period are presented in Table 2. It can be observed that six years exposed to mild drought (1987, 1994, 1995, 1998, 1999 and 2006) condition in hilly areas of PAP basin. The mild drought events in two consecutive years happened two times (1994, 1995, 1998 and 1999) over 37 years. Moderate drought phenomenon was also noticed in six years (1986, 1988, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2016) and consecutive three years had moderate drought (2001, 2002 and 2003). Severe drought occurred in two years 1982 and 2012 (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. 12-month time scale basis drought results using RDI method in PAP hilly area

Fig. 3. 12-month time scale basis drought results using RDI method in PAP Plain area
Table 2. Drought characteristics for the RDI from 1981 to 2017 of PAP hilly area, PAP Plain area and Total PAP basin

| Years | PAP Hilly areas | PAP Plain areas | Total PAP basin |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|       | RDI values      | Classification  | RDI values      | Classification  | RDI values      | Classification  |
| 1981  | 1.1  | No drought      | 0.0  | No drought      | 0.7  | No drought      |
| 1982  | -1.6 | Severe drought  | -1.3 | Moderately drought | -1.6 | Severe drought  |
| 1983  | 0.5  | No drought      | 0.2  | No drought      | 0.4  | No drought      |
| 1984  | 0.6  | No drought      | 1.7  | No drought      | 1.0  | No drought      |
| 1985  | -0.8 | Mild drought    | -1.2 | Moderately drought | -1.0 | Moderately drought |
| 1986  | -1.4 | Moderately drought | -1.5 | Severe drought  | -1.5 | Severe drought  |
| 1987  | -0.4 | Mild drought    | 0.7  | No drought      | 0.0  | No drought      |
| 1988  | -1.4 | Moderately drought | -1.1 | Moderately drought | -1.4 | Moderately drought |
| 1989  | 0.2  | No drought      | -0.3 | Mild drought    | 0.0  | No drought      |
| 1990  | 0.2  | No drought      | -0.1 | Mild drought    | 0.0  | No drought      |
| 1991  | 0.8  | No drought      | 0.1  | No drought      | 0.6  | No drought      |
| 1992  | 2.5  | No drought      | 1.1  | No drought      | 2.1  | No drought      |
| 1993  | 0.0  | No drought      | 0.5  | No drought      | 0.2  | No drought      |
| 1994  | -0.5 | Mild drought    | 0.7  | No drought      | -0.1 | Mild drought    |
| 1995  | -0.9 | Mild drought    | 0.0  | No drought      | -0.6 | Mild drought    |
| 1996  | 1.1  | No drought      | 0.9  | No drought      | 1.0  | No drought      |
| 1997  | 0.4  | No drought      | 1.0  | No drought      | 0.6  | No drought      |
| 1998  | -0.4 | Mild drought    | -0.3 | Mild drought    | 0.4  | No drought      |
| 1999  | -0.6 | Mild drought    | -0.9 | Mild drought    | -0.6 | Mild drought    |
| 2000  | 0.7  | No drought      | -0.9 | Mild drought    | -0.5 | Mild drought    |
| 2001  | -1.1 | Moderately drought | -1.4 | Moderately drought | -1.3 | Moderately drought |
| 2002  | -1.0 | Moderately drought | -0.9 | Mild drought    | -1.0 | Moderately drought |
| 2003  | -1.4 | Moderately drought | -1.4 | Moderately drought | -1.5 | Severe drought  |
| 2004  | 0.3  | No drought      | 0.6  | No drought      | 0.4  | No drought      |
| 2005  | 1.5  | No drought      | 1.9  | No drought      | 1.7  | No drought      |
| 2006  | -0.6 | Mild drought    | -0.3 | Mild drought    | -0.5 | Mild drought    |
| 2007  | 0.7  | No drought      | -0.1 | Mild drought    | 0.4  | No drought      |
| 2008  | 0.4  | No drought      | 0.9  | No drought      | 0.6  | No drought      |
| 2009  | 0.0  | No drought      | 0.2  | No drought      | 0.1  | No drought      |
| 2010  | 1.5  | No drought      | 0.8  | No drought      | 1.3  | No drought      |
| 2011  | 0.3  | No drought      | 0.3  | No drought      | 0.3  | No drought      |
| Years | PAP Hilly areas | PAP Plain areas | Total PAP basin |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|       | RDI values    | Classification | RDI values    | Classification | RDI values | Classification |
| 2012  | -1.6          | Severe drought | -1.4          | Moderately drought | -1.6 | Severe drought |
| 2013  | 0.1           | No drought     | -0.7          | Mild drought     | -0.2 | Mild drought |
| 2014  | 1.2           | No drought     | 0.7           | No drought       | 1.0  | No drought |
| 2015  | 1.0           | No drought     | 2.4           | No drought       | 1.6  | No drought |
| 2016  | -1.3          | Moderately drought | -1.5          | Moderately drought | -1.4 | Moderately drought |
| 2017  | 0.9           | No drought     | 2.4           | No drought       | 1.5  | No drought |

*Fig. 4. 12-month time scale basis drought results using RDI method in Total PAP basin*
Plain areas of PAP basin found to have mild drought in eight years (1989, 1990, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2006, 2007 and 2013) and moderate drought in seven years (1982, 1985, 1988, 2001, 2003, 2012 and 2016) severe drought was observed in 1986 (Fig. 3).

The entire basin imperilled with the six mild drought years (1994, 1995, 1998, 1999, 2006 and 2013) and five moderately drought (1985, 1988, 2001, 2002 and 2016) years (Fig. 4). Four severe drought events (1982, 1986, 2003 and 2012) put the basin at the risk over the 37 years. In most parts of India also affected by below-average rainfall that caused the all-India drought during 1982-2002 and 2016. The monsoon season of 2002 was 19% drier compared to normal [12] and also the monsoon season of 2012 was above 50% drier compared to normal in Tamilnadu [13].

4. CONCLUSION

The Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) indicated that in hilly region, 16 per cent of the time experienced drought under mild as well as moderate category. In plain area, 21 per cent of time exposed to mild drought while 18 per cent of the time faced moderate drought. The whole basin was exposed to 16 per cent of the times with mild drought and 13 per cent of time under moderate drought. Four severe drought events jeopardised the agricultural activities in PAP basin.
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