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Abstract
Electrodynamics of the one-electron currents due to the circular orbital motion of the electron particle in the hydrogen atom has been examined. The motion is assumed to be induced by the time change of the magnetic field in the atom. A characteristic point is that the electric resistance calculated for the motion is independent of the orbit index and its size is similar to that obtained earlier experimentally for the planar free-electron-like structures considered in the integer quantum Hall effect. Other current parameters like conductivity and the relaxation time behave in a way similar to that being typical for metals. A special attention was attached to the relations between the current intensity and magnetic field. A correct reproduction of this field with the aid of the Biot-Savart law became possible when the geometrical microstructure of the electron particle has been explicitly taken into account. But the same microstructure properties do influence also the current velocity. In fact the current suitable for the Biot-Savart law should have a speed characteristic for a spinning electron particle and not that of a spinless electron circulating along the orbit of the original Bohr model.
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1. Introduction
The electrodynamics of the electron motion in atoms is rather seldom discussed. The Bohr model of the hydro-
gen atom concerns mainly the mechanical effects due to the presence of the electron motion in the atom. In particular these are the velocity, angular momentum and energy of the electron particle. The electrostatic force between nucleus and electron applied in calculations defines the geometrical distance which separates both elementary particles composing the atom, but the electric field as such does not enter the formalism. The energy differences define the spectroscopic properties of the atom; however—at the same time—the magnetic effects connected with the electron motion seem to be fully neglected. The aim of the present paper is—in the first step—to bridge this magnetic gap. Next the electron motion on an orbit is considered as a current and parameters of that current (potential, intensity and resistance) are examined. In a further step the electron is considered as a particle moving in a conductor having a definite conductivity constant. This constant, as well as the length of the free path and relaxation time connected with it, are all applied in a study of the Ohm’s law for the one-electron orbital current in the atom.

A separate problem concerns a reference of the geometrical microstructure of the electron particle to its electrodynamical properties. In fact an examination of the electron current along the orbits leads to two concepts of the current intensity: one of them neglects totally the electron spin, but in another one the spin effect is directly involved. Our aim is to discuss a connection of the electron microstructure and spin with the current intensity in some detail.

2. Magnetic Field Due to the Electron Motion Present in the Atom and Its Consequences

A circular motion of the electron particle along the orbits provides us necessarily with the magnetic field directed normally to the orbit planes. The strength of the field

\[ B = \frac{eB}{me} \]  

of the circular orbital motion. In effect the strength \( B_n \) obtained for any orbit \( n \) should satisfy the relation

\[ \Omega_n = \frac{2\pi}{T_n} \]  

where

\[ T_n = \frac{2\pi r_n}{v_n} = \frac{2\pi n^2 h^2}{me^2} \frac{nh}{e^2} = \frac{2\pi n^3 h^3}{me^4} \]  

is the time period of the electron circulation along the orbit \( n \). The orbit radius \( [1] [2] \)

\[ r_n = \frac{n^2 h^2}{me^2} \]  

and the electron velocity

\[ v_n = \frac{e^2}{nh} \]  

on the orbit are taken into account in (3).

A substitution of \( T_n \) into (2) gives the relation

\[ \frac{eB_n}{mc} = \frac{me^4}{n^3 h^3} \]

from which

\[ B_n = \frac{m^2 e^2 c}{n^3 h^3} \]  

indicating the change (decrease) of \( B_n \) with an increase of \( n \).

Physically the effect of the change of \( B_n \) with \( n \) is especially characteristic when the magnetic flux \( \Phi \)
across the orbit area is considered. Assuming a constant $B_s$ on the orbit area $S_s$ we obtain

$$\Phi_s = \int B_s dS = B_s S_s = \frac{m^2 e^2 c}{n^2 \hbar^2} \pi n^2 \hbar^4 = \frac{n \hbar c}{e} = \frac{n \hbar c}{2e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

since

$$S_s = \pi r_s^2 = \frac{n^2 \hbar^4}{m^2 e^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Equation (7) implies that the change of the magnetic flux due to the electron transition between the orbit $n + 1$ and $n$ becomes

$$\Delta \Phi = \Phi_{n+1} - \Phi_n = \frac{n \hbar c}{2e}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The $\Delta \Phi$ obtained in (9) is identical with the magnetic flux quanta observed experimentally in superconductors [3] [4]. In the present paper this $\Delta \Phi$ will be applied in a study of the electrodynamical properties of the electron motion in the hydrogen atom; see [5] [6].

3. Maxwell Equations and the Electric Resistance Characteristic for the Orbital Motion

A constant current can exist only in the presence of the electric field of a non-electrostatic nature; see e.g. [7]. We assume that the electric field $E_s$ active along the orbit $n$ is due to the time change of $B$ from $B_{n+1}$ to $B_n$. The fields $E$ and $B$ are coupled by the Maxwell equation

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

[8]. When the both sides of (10) are integrated over the geometrical parameters characteristic, say, for some orbit $n$ they give

$$\frac{1}{2} \int E_s^2 dt = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int B_s dS = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \approx -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Delta t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Let the interval $\Delta \Phi$ be that given in (9), whereas $\Delta t$ is the time interval necessary for the electron transition between the orbits $n + 1$ and $n$. In a preceding paper [9] we have shown that $\Delta t$ is coupled with the transition energy $\Delta E$ from level $n + 1$ to $n$, viz

$$\Delta E = E_{n+1} - E_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

by the formula

$$\Delta E \Delta t = \hbar.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

It should be noted that $\Delta t$ entering (13) approaches the time period $T_n$ given in (3); see [5] [6] [9]. Because the field intensity $E_s$ can be a constant number along the orbit $n$, we obtain from (9), (11) and (13) the relation

$$\left|E_s\right| 2\pi r_s = \frac{1}{2e} \frac{\hbar c}{\Delta t} = \frac{h}{2e} \frac{\Delta E}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{e}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The left-hand side of the fomula (14) defines the electromotive force $E$ connected with the electron motion along the orbit $n$, so—when the absolute value of $E$ is considered—we have

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{e}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

4. Electric Resistance of the Orbital Motion

The electric resistance $R$ is the ratio between the electromotive force and the current intensity $i$ obtained in course of the transition time $\Delta t$:
Here the formula (13) is again taken into account. This gives together with (15):

\[ R = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{e} \frac{h}{e} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{e^2}. \]  

(17)

We find \( R \) independent of the size of parameters \( \Delta E \) and \( \Delta t \). In effect \( R \) is the same constant number for all orbits \( n \). Moreover, the value of \( R \) is equal to one-half of the electric resistance associated with the integer quantum Hall effect; see e.g. [10].

5. Electric Conductivity and the Length of a Free Path of the Electron

Let us define the electric conductivity \( \sigma \) by the relation

\[ i = i_e = \sigma |E_n| \]  

(18)

so

\[ \sigma = \frac{i_e}{|E_n|}. \]  

(19)

On condition the absolute value of (14) is taken into account, we obtain

\[ |E_n| = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{|e|} \frac{1}{2\pi r_n}. \]  

(20)

Therefore from (16) and (19)

\[ \sigma = \frac{e\Delta E}{h} \frac{4\pi r_n |e|}{\Delta E} = 2\pi r_n \frac{e^2}{h}. \]  

(21)

The formula for the contribution of a single electron to the metal conductivity is [11]

\[ \sigma_{\text{metal}} = \Lambda_n \frac{e^2}{h} \]  

(22)

where \( \Lambda \) is a free path of the electron being in the state \( n \). A comparison of (21) and (22) indicates that

\[ \Lambda = \Lambda_n = 2r_n \]  

(23)

is a free path in the case of the electron being in the orbital state \( n \) of the hydrogen atom.

The \( \Lambda \) in (23) divided by the electron velocity \( v_n \) gives the relaxation time \( \tau \) of the conduction process. In the present case this is

\[ \tau_n = \frac{\Lambda}{v_n} = \frac{2r_n}{v_n} = \frac{2n^2 \hbar^3 n \hbar}{m e^2 e^2} = \frac{2n^2 \hbar^3}{m e^2}. \]  

(24)

Evidently the \( \tau_n \) in (24) differs solely by the factor of \( \pi \) from the time period \( T_n \) in (3). The approximate value of \( \tau_n \) for \( n = 1 \) is \( 10^{-16} \) sec; it increases with an increase of \( n \).

6. The Ohm’s Law Referred to the Size Properties of the Conductor

If the electric field has a potential, so \( E = -\nabla \varphi \), the integral of \( E \) performed along a closed current path becomes equal to zero [7]. This means that solely the field due to the time change of \( B \) can provide us with \( E_x \) associated with the current. We demonstrate here that a typical connection between the current conductivity and the field strength concerns not \( i \) alone but also a modified current

\[ j = \frac{i}{S_c} = \sigma^{\text{eff}} E_n. \]  

(25)

The term \( S_c \) is the cross-section area of the current.
A comparison of (25) with (19) gives the relation
\[ \sigma_{\text{eff}} S_c = \sigma. \] (26)

In fact we shall find that the resistance in (17) can be represented by
\[ R = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{eff}} S_c} \] (27)
which is a well-known formula; see e.g. [7]. Evidently with the aid of
\[ l_n = 2\pi r_n \] (28)
which is the length of the orbital conductor, we obtain
\[ R = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}} \frac{2\pi r_n}{S_c} = \frac{2\pi r_n}{\sigma} = \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \frac{1}{2\pi r_n} = \frac{\hbar}{2e^2}. \] (29)
This is a result equal to the resistance \( R \) calculated before; see (17).

7. Microstructure of the Electron Particle and the Current Intensity

The influence of the geometrical microstructure of the electron particle on the current intensity seemed to be a neglected problem. In the present Section we try to demonstrate that in fact such influence can be of importance. One of the typical relations of electrodynamics connecting the magnetic field intensity \( B \) and the current \( j \) is (see e.g. [12])
\[ \oint B \cdot dl = \frac{4\pi}{c} \int j \cdot dS. \] (30)

The integral on the left of (30) is extended along a closed current path which in case of an electron orbit \( n \) is
\[ \oint \cdot dl = L_n = 2\pi r_n. \] (31)

On the other side of (30) the current \( j \) is crossing a planar area \( S_c \) normal to \( dl \) which is equal to
\[ S_c = \int dS. \] (32)

In order to estimate \( S_c \) for the one-electron current we refer it to the microstructure properties of the electron particle represented by a small charged sphere [7] [8]. The particle has the radius
\[ r_e \approx \frac{e^2}{mc^2}. \] (33)
Therefore we assume that for a one-electron orbit \( S_e \) is equal to
\[ S_e = \pi r_e^2 = \pi \left( \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \right)^2. \] (34)

In principle two concepts concerning the current \( j_n \) along the orbit \( n \) can be applied. The first of them is that
\[ j_n = \frac{i_n}{S_n S_e}. \] (35)
In this case we obtain on the left of (30) the expression
\[ \oint B \cdot dl = B_n 2\pi r_n = \frac{m^2 c e^3}{n^2 \hbar^2} \frac{2\pi n^2 \hbar^2}{mc^2} = 2\pi \frac{mec}{nh}. \] (36)
But the right-hand side of (30) gives from (3) and (35)
\[ \frac{4\pi}{c} \int j_n \pi \left( \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \right)^2 = \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{e}{T_n S_e} S_e = \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{e}{T_n} \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{me^3}{2\pi \hbar}, \] (37)
which is a result being in a full disagreement with (36). The ratio of (37) to (36) gives
\[
\frac{(37)}{(36)} = \frac{4\pi mc^3}{\hbar^2 \pi^2} \frac{n\hbar}{2\pi mnc} = \frac{1}{\pi n^2} \frac{e^4}{\hbar^2 c^2} = \frac{1}{\pi n^2} \alpha^2.
\] (38)

where \( \alpha \) is the well-known fine-structure atomic constant:
\[
\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137}.
\] (39)

But another situation is attained when instead of \( n_j \) in (35) we assume the current density
\[
\vec{j}_n = ev_n \rho.
\] (40)

Here \( v_n \) is the electron velocity given in (5) and \( \rho \) is the density of the electron particle
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{V_e} \frac{4\pi e^3}{3}.\] (41)

This kind of current has been applied in calculating the Poynting vector associated with the energy emission in the hydrogen atom; see [9].

By applying the current intensity of (40) we obtain for the right-hand side of (30) the formula
\[
\frac{4\pi}{c} \int \vec{j}_n dS = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}_n S_e = \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{3e v_n}{4\pi^2} n r_e^3 = \frac{3}{ec} \frac{e^2 \pi}{\hbar n} = \frac{3}{n} \frac{e^3 mc^2}{\hbar} = \frac{3\pi emc}{n \hbar}.
\] (42)

The result of (42) differs from the left-hand side of (30) calculated in (36) solely by the factor of 3/2.

A direct application of \( \vec{j}_n \)—instead of \( n_j \)—can be done in a check of the Biot-Savart law for the electron current in the hydrogen atom. According to this law [12]
\[
B_n = \vec{j}_n S_e \frac{r_e}{cr_n^3}.\] (43)

Since
\[
\vec{j}_n S_e = ev_n \rho S_e \sim \frac{e^3}{\hbar n} \frac{1}{r_e} = \frac{e^3 mc^2}{nh} = \frac{emc^2}{nh}
\] (44)

and the integral on the right of (43) gives
\[
\int \frac{r_e}{cr_n^3} \sim \frac{r_e^3}{cr_n^3} = \frac{1}{cr_n} \frac{me^2}{cn^2 \hbar^2},
\] (45)

we obtain for \( B_n \) in (43)
\[
B_n \sim \frac{emc^2}{nh} \frac{mc^2}{cn^2 \hbar^2} = \frac{e^3 m c}{n^3 \hbar^3}
\] (46)

which remains in a perfect agreement with the formula (6).

**8. Time Interval Entering the Current Velocity and Its Reference to the Electron Spin**

The aim of this Section is to examine the physical background of the difference between \( n_j \) and \( \vec{j}_n \); we find that velocity entering \( \vec{j}_n \) should be associated with the electron spin. If \( \vec{j} \) in (40) is a correct expression for the current, which means that it satisfies the Biot-Savart law, a reference of \( \vec{j} \) to the intensity \( i \)—defined by a simple ratio of \( e \) and time \( T \)—is given by the formula
\[
i = \frac{e}{T} = \vec{j} S_e.
\] (47)

Here \( T \) is an unknown time interval which has to be calculated.
The current is due to the electron orbital motion in the hydrogen atom, but in a previous approach to \(i\)—where the formula for \(j\) has been neglected—we had \([9]\)

\[
i = i_e = \frac{e}{T}
\]

(48)

and \(T = T_n\) was the time interval of the spinless orbital motion about the atomic nucleus given in (3).

Let us transform (47) into the expression

\[
S_e = \frac{i}{j} = \frac{e}{Tj}
\]

(49)

and assume the motion along the orbit \(n = 1\) for the sake of simplicity. The next step is a transformation of (49) into

\[
S_e = \frac{T_1}{T} \frac{V_e}{T_v1} = \frac{T_1}{T} \frac{V_e}{2\pi r_i}
\]

(50)

because of

\[
j = j_1 = \frac{ev_1}{V_e}
\]

(51)

and

\[
T_v1 = 2\pi r_i.
\]

(51a)

This gives

\[
\frac{T}{T_1} = \frac{V_e}{S_e} = \frac{1}{2\pi r_i} \frac{4\pi r_i^3}{3\pi r_i^2} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \frac{me^2}{\hbar^2} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{e^4}{mc^2} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha^2
\]

(52)

where the last term refers to the formula (39) for \(\alpha\).

Therefore we found that \(T\) for the current \(i\) in (47) should be approximately

\[
\alpha^2 \approx (137)^2
\]

(53)

times smaller than \(T_1\). Because the time period \(T_s\) of the spin circulation satisfies the relation \([13][14]\)

\[
\frac{T_s}{T_1} = \alpha^2
\]

(54)

we find that \(T\) in (52) entering the current in (47) does approach the time period of the spin circulation \(T_s\) and not \(T_1\) characteristic for the spinless motion along the electron orbit having the index \(n = 1\).

A natural question is how the number given in (52) is changed with the change of \(n\). Since the velocity of a spinning electron does not change with \(n\) remaining close to \(c\) \([13][14]\), the number of spin loops along the electron trajectory increases proportionally to \(n^2\):

\[
l_n = 2\pi r_i \sim n^2;
\]

(55)

see (4). The driving velocity for the electron motion becomes equal to the orbit velocity \([7][13]\):

\[
v_d = c \frac{\left|E_n^{aux}\right|}{B_n} = \frac{ce}{r_n^2 B_n} = \frac{e^3 m^2}{n^3 \hbar^3} \frac{n^3 \hbar^2}{e^3 m^2 c} = \frac{e^2}{nh} = v_n.
\]

(56)

This holds for any \(n\); cf. (5) and (56). The symbol \(\left|E_n^{aux}\right|\) is the size of the static electric field acting on the electron. Because \(l_n = v_n T_n \sim n^3 h^3\) we obtain

\[
n^3 \alpha^2
\]

(57)

spin oscillations within the time period \(T_n\).

We found that electrodynamics of the current in which the size of the electron particle is taken into account is much different than electrodynamics where this size is neglected. Equation (46) shows that for the one-electron
current the Biot-Savart law is satisfied in the first case, but does not hold in the second current case; see (36) and (37). In fact the momentary (local) velocity of the current becomes much different in each of the two examined cases. For the electron particle having a definite size this velocity approaches the speed characteristic for a spinning electron; see (52) and (54). On the other side, the speed of electrons with a neglected size [see (5) and (48)] is equal to the average speed of the electron along its orbit; this is a much lower speed than of a spinning particle. In the Bohr theory the average speed on the orbit is also a local speed of a spinless electron particle.

9. Summary

The electron orbital motion in the hydrogen atom is considered as a one-electron current, and parameters of that current, like intensity and electric resistance, are examined in some detail. The calculations are done on the basis of the formula for the time change of the magnetic field induced by the electron motion in the atom; see (10) and (11). The result obtained for resistance is independent of the orbit index \( n \) and equal to one-half of the quantum of resistance observed in the integer quantum Hall effect examined for the planar crystalline structures. On the other hand, a calculation of the conductivity constant for the orbits depends on \( n \) and gives results formally similar to those calculated for metals.

The relaxation time, being the ratio of the free-electron path and electron velocity on the orbit, attains for the index \( n = 1 \) the value of \( \tau \approx 10^{-16} \) sec. This \( \tau \) increases gradually with \( n \) attaining already for small \( n \) the value similar to that characteristic for the \( \tau \) in metals.

In principle the current intensity does not depend on the electron velocity but is solely a function of the electric charge and the time connected with the charge flow [9]. However, an attempt to obtain a correct size of the magnetic field from the basic laws of electrodynamics, for example the Biot-Savart law, requires an insight into the microscopic (geometrical) properties of the electron particle combined with the use of the notion of the electron velocity.

A characteristic result is obtained when a spinless current (48) having \( T = T_n \) is applied to calculate \( B_s \) in accordance with the Biot-Savart law. In this case the size of \( r_e \) should be reduced to that of \( r_e \). We have instead of (43):

\[
B_n = \frac{i_n \int r_e \times dr}{cr_e} = \frac{2\pi r_e^2}{cr_e} = \frac{2\pi}{cr_e}.
\]

This equality holds if we note that

\[
\frac{2\pi i_n}{cr_e} = \frac{2\pi e}{c} \frac{me^2}{2\pi n^2 \hbar^3} = \frac{mc^2 e^2}{\hbar^3}
\]

which is identical with \( B_n \) in (6) and (46).

But the same property concerning the Biot-Savart law can be obtained for \( B_s \) which is the magnetic field intensity for the electron spin [14]. In this case we have

\[
B_s = \frac{m^2 c^3}{he}
\]

and the spin circulation frequency is

\[
\omega_s = \frac{2\pi}{Ts} = \frac{eB_s}{mc} = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar};
\]

\( T_s \) is the time period of the spin circulation and

\[
i_s = \frac{e}{T_s} = \frac{\omega_s e}{2\pi}
\]

is the current intensity on the loop travelled by a spinning electron.

A substitution of the above spin parameters in place of \( i_n \) and \( T_n \), together with the radius \( r_e \) of the electron microparticle being unchanged, into the formula representing the Biot-Savart law in (59) gives

\[
\frac{2\pi i_n}{cr_e} = \frac{\omega_s e}{cr_e} = \frac{mc^2 e}{\hbar} = \frac{m^2 c^3}{he}.
\]
The result (63) remains in a perfect agreement with $B_j$ presented in (60).

We find in general that the velocity connected with the orbital motion, but coupled with a negligence of the microstructure properties of the electron particle, does not give a correct result for $B$. For example the size of $B$ characteristic for the Bohr orbital motion in the hydrogen atom can be reproduced with the aid of the Biot-Savart law when a much higher local speed—namely that associated with a travelling of the electron along the spin loops and not that along the Bohr orbit—is taken into account.
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