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ABSTRACT
We present the design and laboratory evaluation of a cryogenic continuously rotating half-wave plate (CHWP) for the POLARBEAR-2b (PB-2b) cosmic microwave background receiver, the second installment of the Simons Array. PB-2b will observe at 5200 m elevation in the Atacama Desert of Chile in two frequency bands centered at 90 GHz and 150 GHz. In order to suppress atmospheric 1/f noise and mitigate systematic effects that arise when differencing orthogonal detectors, PB-2b modulates linear sky polarization using a CHWP rotating at 2 Hz. The CHWP has a 440 mm clear aperture diameter and is cooled to $\approx 50$ K in the PB-2b receiver cryostat. It consists of a low-friction superconducting magnetic bearing and a low-torque synchronous electromagnetic motor, which together dissipate $< 2$ W. During cooldown, a grip-and-release mechanism centers the rotor to $< 0.5$ mm, and during continuous rotation, an incremental optical encoder measures the rotor angle with a noise level of 0.1 $\mu$rad / $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. We discuss the experimental requirements for the PB-2b CHWP, the designs of its various subsystems, and the results of its evaluation in the laboratory. The presented CHWP has been deployed to Chile and is expected to see first light on PB-2b in 2020 or 2021.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization anisotropies have emerged as a powerful and versatile probe of cosmology. CMB polarization is decomposed into parity-even “E-modes,” which are sourced by primordial density fluctuations, and parity-odd “B-modes,” which are not. Primordial B-modes are, however, thought to be generated by inflation, a theorized epoch of rapid expansion $\sim 10^{-36}$ s after the Big Bang.2–4 A detection of primordial B-modes would therefore confirm inflation and illuminate physics on grand unified energy scales.

In principle, B-modes offer a clean probe of inflation, but in reality, gravitational lensing4–6 and polarized galactic emission7 produce B-mode foregrounds. Lensing B-mode power peaks on arcminute scales and is sensitive to cosmological constituents such as neutrinos.8,9 Galactic B-mode power is predominantly generated...
by synchrotron and thermal dust emission, each of which has a distinct frequency spectrum.\(^{10}\) Lensing and galactic foregrounds obscure the inflationary signal, and as degree-scale polarization measurements improve,\(^{11,12}\) B-mode foreground removal is becoming increasingly important.\(^{13}\) Delensing, or the process of removing lensing B-modes to search for primordial B-modes, requires exceptionally sensitive CMB maps with arcminute resolution and wide sky coverage.\(^{14,15}\) Additionally, subtracting galactic B-modes requires multiple observation frequencies to leverage the unique spectral signatures of synchrotron and thermal dust emission.\(^{16}\) As a result, modern CMB experiments strive to cover wider ranges of angular scales and microwave frequencies.

The Simons Array (SA) is a CMB observatory located on Cerro Toco in the Atacama Desert of Chile at an elevation of 5200 m. It aims to achieve state-of-the-art large-angular-scale sensitivity using multi-chroic, large-aperture telescopes, enabling both delensing and galactic foreground subtraction in the hunt for primordial B-modes. The SA consists of three telescopes in total, each housing a dichroic POLARBEAR-2 (PB-2) receiver cryostat: PB-2a and PB-2b observe at 90 GHz and 150 GHz, while PB-2c observes at 220 GHz and 270 GHz. Each telescope has a 2.5 m primary mirror in an off-axis Gregorian configuration\(^{17}\) and generates 5.2 arcm, 3.5 arcmin, 2.7 arcmin, and 2.2 arcmin beams at 90 GHz, 150 GHz, 220 GHz, and 270 GHz, respectively. Each receiver cryostat has a 0.5 m vacuum window aperture, three 4 K alumina reimaging lenses, a 4 K Lyot stop, and a 365 mm diameter, 0.3 K focal plane of 7588 transition-edge sensor (TES) bolometers. PB-2a achieved first light in January 2019,\(^{18}\) PB-2b\(^{20}\) has been deployed to Chile, and PB-2c is under development.

PB-2b is the first SA receiver to employ a cryogenic half-wave plate (CHWP) polarization modulator, which is the subject of this paper. The following sections present the requirements, design, and evaluation of the PB-2b CHWP rotation system, leaving a discussion of the optical performance for a future publication. Section II overviews HWP polarization modulation, Sec. III presents the CHWP requirements, Sec. IV presents the design of all CHWP subsystems, Sec. V presents the results of CHWP laboratory testing, and Sec. VI discusses the implications of the presented research.

II. HALF-WAVE PLATE MODULATION

Precise characterization of celestial microwave polarization requires careful control of systematic effects. One such systematic effect for ground-based telescopes is atmospheric noise. Even in the high and dry conditions of Cerro Toco, the atmosphere is much brighter than the CMB and fluctuates both spatially and temporally due to clouds, turbulence, and weather systems.\(^{21}\) These fluctuations manifest as 1/f noise in the detector data that degrades sensitivity on large angular scales. Another such systematic effect is intensity-to-polarization (I-to-P) leakage, which often arises from optical effects at non-normal incidence and from mismatched response between orthogonal polarimeters.\(^{22}\) This I-to-P signal fluctuates with unpolarized intensity and is difficult to null when differenting detectors to extract linear sky polarization.

Continuous polarization modulation is a common technique to mitigate 1/f noise and I-to-P leakage, and rotating half-wave plates (HWPs) are used widely in the millimeter and submillimeter community.\(^{23–33}\) An HWP is a birefringent medium geometrically tuned to generate a \(\pi\) phase delay between light polarized along its ordinary and extraordinary axes. As depicted in Fig. 1, a HWP rotates input linear polarization by

\[
\Delta \theta = -2[\theta_{in} - \phi(v)],
\]

where \(v\) is the microwave frequency, \(\theta_{in}\) is the input polarization angle with respect to the HWP’s extraordinary axis, and \(\phi(v)\) is a phase factor that, in general, depends on frequency. When rotated, the HWP modulates the input linear polarization and distinguishes it from both intensity and downstream I-to-P leakage. When rotated rapidly, the HWP up-converts the input signal above 1/f intensity fluctuations, in turn suppressing 1/f noise in the demodulated data. The modulated detector output is

\[
d_{in}(t) = I_{in}(t) + eRe\{[Q_{in}(t) + iU_{in}(t)]m(\chi(t))\},
\]

where \(t\) is time; \(I_{in}(t), Q_{in}(t), U_{in}(t)\) are the input Stokes vectors; \(e\) is the HWP’s linear polarization modulation efficiency; \(\chi\) is the HWP’s rotation angle; and

\[
m(\chi) = \exp[-i4\chi]
\]

is the modulation function. In this scheme, the modulation frequency is four times the HWP’s rotation frequency

\[
f_{in} = 4f_{HWP},
\]

where \(2\pi f_{HWP} = d\chi/dt\).

Naturally birefringent media, such as single-crystal sapphire, are inherently narrow-band: they preserve linear polarization for a
FIG. 2. An optical ray trace of the PB-2b telescope (left) and receiver cryostat (right), superposed onto computer-aided-design (CAD) cross-sectional views. Red boxes mark warm components, and blue boxes mark cold components. The primary and secondary mirrors form an off-axis Gregorian configuration and create a sky image at the skyward-most field lens through a 0.5 m vacuum window. Three K alumina lenses reimage onto a telecentric, 0.3 K focal plane, and a 4 K Lyot stop defines the primary mirror illumination. The CHWP is located between the secondary mirror and the field lens and has a 440 mm clear aperture diameter.

single frequency but increasingly convert to elliptical polarization with increasing detection bandwidth. While wide-band HWPs can be engineered using capacitive grids or metamaterial layers, a common solution is the Pancharatnam sapphire achromatic HWP (AHWP). In a sapphire AHWP, multiple α-cut sapphire disks with tuned thicknesses are stacked such that their relative crystal-axis orientation is optimized for broadband linear polarization modulation efficiency. Sapphire HWPs have been demonstrated on both small- and large-aperture CMB instruments, making them an appealing technology for SA.

A. The PB-2b cryogenic HWP

PB-2b adopts an achromatic sapphire continuously rotating cryogenic HWP (CHWP) and builds on the experience of its predecessor experiments POLARBEAR (PB-1) and PB-2a. PB-1 uses an ambient-temperature sapphire HWP at its telescope’s prime focus, while PB-2a uses an ambient-temperature sapphire AHWP at its vacuum window. While an ambient HWP is practical to implement, its thermal emission substantially increases detected photon noise. Therefore, PB-2b cools its HWP to cryogenic temperatures to minimize parasitic optical power and maximize detector sensitivity.

While stepped CHWPs have been deployed on a variety of mm and sub-mm experiments and are relatively mature, the continuously rotating CHWP is a developing technology, especially for ground-based observation. Operating a 500 mm-diameter, tens-of-kilogram, spinning instrument in a cryogenic vacuum space poses a menagerie of challenges, including differential thermal contraction, frictional dissipation, and cryo-mechanical durability. The PB-2b CHWP design addresses these challenges with several hardware advancements.

As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, the PB-2b CHWP is located within the receiver cryostat’s optics tube, which has a 0.8 m diameter vacuum shell and two cryogenic stages cooled with a pulse tube refrigerator (PTR) to ∼50 K and ∼4 K. The CHWP operates on the 50 K stage near the telescope’s Gregorian focus in front of three reimaging lenses and behind a vacuum window, radio-transmissive multi-layer insulation (RT-MLI), and an alumina infrared absorbing filter (IRF). Its drive system consists of a superconducting magnetic bearing and a synchronous electromagnetic motor, and its rotation angle is monitored with a slot-chopped optical encoder. In

FIG. 3. A zoomed-in view of the CAD cross section of the CHWP integrated into the PB-2b optics tube, highlighting CHWP-relevant optical and thermal components. Red boxes mark warm parts, and blue boxes mark cold parts. The CHWP’s clear aperture is defined by a stationary, HR-10-lined aperture tube (absorber not shown) that hides non-optical rotating components from the telescope’s beam.
III. CHWP REQUIREMENTS

The CHWP must meet several optical, thermal, noise, and operational requirements in order to effectively mitigate 1/f noise and I-to-P leakage while not introducing its own systematic effects. These requirements are central to the CHWP design and depend on the specifics of PB-2b’s telescope, cryogenic, and detector systems.

When it was conceived, PB-2b was virtually a copy of PB-2a,\textsuperscript{10} which does not use a CHWP. As a result, the CHWP is retrofitted to the original PB-2b design and centers around maintaining “heritage” system performance. Table I summarizes the PB-2b CHWP’s numerical requirements and achieved values. In this section, we detail the CHWP’s design drivers and how they flow into its hardware specifications.

A. Spatial and optical requirements

The initial set of requirements are on the CHWP’s physical dimensions. As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, the assembly must fit within the confines of the optics tube’s vacuum shell, limiting its cryogenic diameter to ≤700 mm. Additionally, its height, or dimension along the z-axis, must be ≤100 mm so that the window aperture does not extend too far toward the secondary mirror, where the telescope’s beam diverges more rapidly than within the receiver. These dimensional constraints influence much of how the CHWP subsystems are built and arranged, as well as their clearances and alignment tolerances.

While a presentation of sapphire-stack requirements is beyond the scope of this paper,\textsuperscript{20} we do discuss the clear aperture diameter, which is central to the cryo-mechanical design. The CHWP is located sky-side of the field lens (see Fig. 2), and its clear aperture must be large enough to admit the beam between the secondary mirror and the Gregorian focus. We use GRASP\textsuperscript{21} to simulate the polarized, 90 GHz response of detector pixels across the focal plane, and Fig. 4 shows the x-polarization illumination of the central and −y edge pixels at the CHWP aperture. To set a requirement, we vary the CHWP aperture diameter and compare the telescope’s far-field beam to that with the CHWP aperture removed. We evaluate a collection of merit figures, including angular resolution, spill over the primary mirror, side-lobe amplitude, and differential pointing and ellipticity.\textsuperscript{22} We find that a 430 mm aperture has no impact on the central pixel and has edge-pixel impacts that are subdominant to pre-existing systematic effects in the telescope optics. Therefore, we require that the CHWP aperture encapsulate a 430 mm diameter circle about the telescope’s chief ray, and the total spillover for the center (edge) pixel is 0.2% (0.1%).

B. Thermal requirements

The next set of requirements are on the CHWP’s thermal impact. Because the PB-2b detectors are expected to be photon-noise limited, CHWP-induced optical power can dramatically degrade experiment sensitivity. Figure 5 shows the simulated fractional impact of rotor temperature on PB-2b mapping speed, compared

| Parameter                              | Requirement | Achieved |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Assembly outer diameter                | ≤700 mm     | 690 mm   |
| Assembly height                        | ≤100 mm     | 95 mm    |
| Clear aperture diameter                | ≥430 mm     | 440 mm   |
| Rotor temperature $T_{rotor}$          | ≤55 K       | <53 K    |
| Thermal dissipation $P_{stator}$       | ≤2 W        | <1.3 K   |
| Rotor thermalization time              | ≤36 h       | 5 h      |
| Rotation frequency $f_{HWP}$           | ≥2 Hz       | ≤2.8 Hz  |
| Angle encoder noise $\sigma_\alpha$    | <3 μrad/√Hz | 0.1 μrad/√Hz |
| B-field interference $\sigma_B$ at 4$f_{HWP}$ | <20 μG/√Hz | <10 μG/√Hz |
| $T_{rotor}$ stability $\sigma_{Trot}$  | <1 mK/√Hz   | <0.3 mK/√Hz |
Cooling the rotor also suppresses rotation synchronous signals due to nonuniform emission from the sapphire and its AR coating. However, as we describe in Sec. III C, the dominant source of modulated instrumental polarization in PB-2b is the telescope’s primary mirror, and therefore, CHWP-induced rotation synchronous signals do not drive the rotor temperature requirement.

It is unavoidable that dissipation from the CHWP motor and bearing will warm the 50 K stage, raising IRF and rotor temperatures. The goal, however, is to keep this temperature rise small enough to not warm 4 K components. Utilizing load-curve measurements of the PB-2b optics tube,17 we mandate that the CHWP warm the 50 K stage <1 K with respect to the heritage configuration and therefore set the CHWP’s 50 K dissipation requirement to be

\[ P_{\text{stator}} \leq 2 \text{ W}. \]  

We next require that the CHWP not add to the PB-2b cooldown time. This mandate is important both for restarting observations following a disruption at the site, such as an observatory-wide power failure, and for rapid-turnaround receiver testing in the laboratory. The heritage PB-2b system takes about five days to cool, and that duration is limited by the cooldown of the focal plane, which reaches 4 K in about 36 h after the optics tube.24 The CHWP’s cooldown time is limited by that of the rotor, which has a large thermal mass and is heatsinked by unfastened interfaces (see Sec. IV D). Therefore, we require that the rotor reach its base temperature before the focal plane has thermalized or within 36 h of the 50 K stage.

Finally, we require that CHWP operation negligibly vibrate the focal plane structure. CHWP-induced vibrations can generate microphonic heating that may modulate the focal plane’s temperature, degrading detector gain stability and introducing 1/f noise.25 Setting a numerical vibration requirement is difficult as it necessitates quantitative knowledge about the cryostat-telescope coupling and the mechanical interfaces between the vacuum shell, 50 K stage, and 4 K stage. Therefore, for the purposes of laboratory evaluation, we require no measurable difference in focal-plane temperature between when the rotor is spinning and when it is not.

C. Noise requirements

In order for the CHWP to effectively suppress 1/f noise, \( f_m = 4f_{\text{HWP}} \) must be large enough such that all modulation sidebands, which are contained within \( 4f_{\text{HWP}} \pm \Delta f \), are above the Atacama’s 1 Hz–2 Hz knee frequency26–28 or the frequency at which 1/f noise power and white noise power are equal. Assuming 0.4 Hz/s telescope scan speed,29 the sky is fully resolved by \( \approx 4 \) Hz of temporal bandwidth.30 Therefore, we require

\[ f_{\text{HWP}} \geq 2 \text{ Hz}, \]  

which places the demodulation band \( 4f_{\text{HWP}} \pm \Delta f = 8 \pm 4 \text{ Hz} \) comfortably clear of atmospheric fluctuations. PB-1 and the Atacama B-mode Search (ABS) also rotate their HWPs at \( \approx 2 \text{ Hz} \) in Chile and achieve excellent 1/f suppression in their demodulated detector data.29

While rejecting optical 1/f noise, the CHWP introduces other noise sources that can degrade data quality. These CHWP-induced noise sources are largely common-mode across the focal plane and

FIG. 5. The fractional impact of rotor temperature on PB-2b mapping speed with respect to that of a 4 K HWP, compared to that of a PB-2a-style warm HWP (WHWP) at 273 K whose AR coating is optimized for ambient-temperature performance.30 Note that the mapping speed gain of a 4 K CHWP over a 50 K CHWP is \( \approx 1\% \).
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hence do not average down during detector coaddition. Therefore, we require the noise equivalent CMB temperature ($\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$) of each CHWP noise source to be much less than the forecasted PB-2b array-averaged $\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$.\(^{56}\)

$$\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}^\text{HWP} \ll \text{NET}_{\text{arr}}^\text{CMB} = 5.8 \mu K_{\text{CMB}}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}. \quad (8)$$

We use this bound to set requirements on three primary CHWP contaminants: encoder angle jitter, magnetic interference, and rotor temperature stability.

First, the encoder angle noise injects noise into the demodulated detector data. The CHWP is located behind the telescope’s off-axis mirrors, which induce I-to-P leakage along the y-direction (see Fig. 2). This I-to-P leakage is modulated at 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$, and an imperfect rotor angle measurement will imperfectly subtract the telescope signal during demodulation. PB-1 measures up to $\approx 180$ mK$_{\text{CMB}}$ of I-to-P leakage at the prime focus of an SA-style telescope, largely due to emission from the primary reflector.\(^{25}\) Because the PB-2b CHWP is located behind the primary and secondary mirrors, we conservatively\(^{\dagger}\) assert an I-to-P amplitude of

$$A^0_\chi \sim 400 \text{ mK}_{\text{RJ}}. \quad (9)$$

This mirror polarization is modulated at 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$, and a mismeasurement $\Delta \chi$ of the CHWP angle $\chi$ modifies the 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ amplitude $A_\chi(\chi)$ as

$$A_\chi(\chi) = A^0_\chi \cos(4(\chi + \Delta \chi))$$

$$\approx A^0_\chi \cos(4\chi) - 4A^0_\chi \sin(4\chi)\Delta \chi \quad (10)$$

such that

$$\Delta A_\chi = -4A^0_\chi \sin(4\chi)\Delta \chi \quad (11)$$

Assuming that the angle jitter is random, its average effect on the detected noise spectrum is

$$\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}^\text{HWP} = \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{dT_{\text{CMB}}}{dT_{\text{RJ}}} \right) A^0_\chi \sigma_{\chi}, \quad (12)$$

where $\sigma_{\chi}$ is the root mean square (rms) of $\Delta \chi$ and $dT_{\text{CMB}}/dT_{\text{RJ}} = 1.7$ is evaluated at 150 GHz. Mandating that $\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}^\text{HWP} \ll \text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$ [see Eq. (8)], the angle noise requirement is

$$\sigma_{\chi} \ll 3 \mu \text{rad}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}. \quad (13)$$

Second, CHWP-induced magnetic interference can also inject noise into the demodulated data. The PB-2b detector array consists of aluminum manganese (AlMn) transition-edge sensors (TESs) amplified by superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs). Both AlMn TESs and SQUIDs are sensitive to ambient magnetic fields, and because the PB-2b CHWP consists of a magnetic bearing and an electromagnetic motor (see Sec. IV), magnetic interference at the focal plane must be carefully controlled.

PB-2b uses gradiometric Series SQUID Array Amplifiers (SSAAs)\(^{58}\) fed back using digital active nulling\(^{59}\) stabilized by a low-frequency flux-locked loop,\(^{60}\) and shielded via a combination of $\mu$-metal and superconducting niobium.\(^{61}\) This particular SQUID configuration is robust to time-varying magnetic fields, especially those that are uniform over each SQUID’s $\sim 10$ mm$^2$ area. Therefore, the more considerable CHWP-specific concern is magnetic interference in the detectors themselves.\(^{62}\)

Magnetic pickup at 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ mimics modulated sky polarization, especially if the magnetic signal drifts over the course of an observation. The superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ of AlMn varies slightly with ambient magnetic field $B$,\(^{63}\) and a change in $T_c$ changes the bolometer’s saturation power $dP_{\text{sat}}$, which is indistinguishable from a change in optical power $dP_{\text{opt}}$.\(^{64}\) We can convert the CHWP-induced magnetic jitter $\sigma_{\chi}$ to NET$_{\text{CMB}}$ as

$$\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{dT_{\text{CMB}}}{dT_c} \frac{dP_{\text{sat}}}{dB} \sigma_{\chi}. \quad (14)$$

Measurements of the PB-2b AlMn TESs give $dT_c/dB = 0.3$ mK/G\(^{55}\) and $dP_{\text{sat}}/dT_c = 0.1$ pW/mK,\(^{66}\) while simulations of the PB-2b optics\(^{57}\) give $dT_{\text{CMB}}/dP_{\text{opt}} = 12$ $\mu K_{\text{CMB}}/aW$. We again require that $\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}^\text{HWP} \ll \text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$ such that

$$\sigma_{\chi} @ 4f_{\text{HWP}} \ll 20 \mu G/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}. \quad (15)$$

The final noise requirement is on the CHWP rotor temperature stability. The thermal emission from the CHWP can be modulated at 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ due to non-uniformities in the sapphire stack.\(^{65}\) In turn, variations in CHWP temperature will modulate the amplitude of this thermal signal, mimicking polarized sky fluctuations in the demodulated detector data. At 50 K, the thermal 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ amplitude is expected to arise predominantly due to thickness and index variations in the AR coating as sapphire is very transparent at mm wavelengths and low temperatures.\(^{67}\) While a detailed study of AR coating uniformity is beyond the scope of this paper, we can set an empirical limit by once again invoking the PB-1 HWP’s 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ amplitude [see Eq. (9)], which is $\sim 10\%$ of its thermal emission.\(^{25}\) We assume that the PB-2b CHWP’s 4$f_{\text{HWP}}$ thermal signal will also be $10\%$ of its thermal emission to set a conservative\(^{\dagger}\) requirement on its temperature stability.

Rotor temperature fluctuations $\sigma_{T_{\text{rotor}}}$ can be converted to $\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$ as

$$\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{0.1\varepsilon}{\eta} \frac{dT_{\text{CMB}}}{dT_c} \sigma_{T_{\text{rotor}}}. \quad (16)$$

where $\varepsilon = 0.02$ is the assumed CHWP emissivity,\(^{51}\) $\eta = 0.9$ is the optical efficiency of the telescope + atmosphere between the CHWP and the CMB, and $dT_{\text{CMB}}/dT_c = 1.7$ is evaluated at 150 GHz. Noting that rotor temperature fluctuations follow a 1/f spectrum, we require $\text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}^\text{HWP} \ll \text{NET}_{\text{CMB}}$ on 1000 s timescales—long enough to encapsulate many long-baseline telescope traversals—which imposes a rotor temperature stability requirement of

$$\sigma_{T_{\text{rotor}}} @ 1 \text{ mHz} \ll 1 \text{ mK}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}. \quad (17)$$

D. Operational requirements

As the only moving component inside of the receiver cryostat, the CHWP poses unique risks to experiment operations. PB-2b intends to observe for at least three years in Chile, and the CHWP must operate robustly for as long as it is needed. This situational constraint gives rise to several operational requirements, which we highlight below.

First, the CHWP must be robust against component degradation. Because warming, opening, and cooling the receiver cryostat cost weeks of observation time, the rotor should be able to undergo
>100,000,000 revolutions without being serviced. This robustness requirement drives many aspects of the CHWP design, such as a zero-contact drive and multiple hardware redundancies. Second, standard CHWP operation must require no human intervention. Critical monitoring data, such as encoder data packet drops, rotational velocity, temperatures, and gripper status, are automatically logged. Additionally, the CHWP control software comprises a system of classes, configuration files, and command-line executables designed to interface with the observatory control program in order to fully automate routine operations. Rotor temperature monitoring is particularly important and is discussed in Sec. IV H.

Third, we mandate an automated shutdown procedure to keep the rotor centered if site power is lost. Weather events are not uncommon on Cerro Toco and can cause sudden generator failures. If PTR cooling terminates and site network access is unavailable, the CHWP must automatically stop and stow such that it can reliably restart with minimal performance degradation. Shutdown and recovery testing was central to system evaluation and is discussed in Sec. V A 5. Fourth, in the event of critical equipment failures, an issue with the sapphire stack, or an otherwise unforeseen problem, the CHWP must be simple to service on the telescope. This requirement motivates the CHWP’s location near the vacuum window where it can be accessed with minimal disassembly, as discussed in Sec. IV I.

IV. CHWP DESIGN

Given the requirements presented in Sec. III, we now discuss the design of the CHWP mechanism, shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The CHWP consists of a rotor, stator, motor, encoder, and gripper, all integrated into a compact assembly on the 50 K stage. This section details the design of each subsystem as well as their interfaces to the full assembly.

A. Location

The CHWP is located on the 50 K stage, which has several advantages over possible 4 K locations, such as at the Lyot stop. First, the CHWP is skyward of all reimaging optics, mitigating the impact of Fresnel I-to-P leakage generated at the lens surfaces. Second, at 50 K, the sapphire stack’s mm-wave emission is already deeply subdominant to that of other optical elements, limiting the sensitivity gain of cooling further (see Sec. III B). Third, the PTR’s first stage has substantially more cooling power (~10 W/K) than its second (~1 W/K), relaxing CHWP dissipation requirements. Fourth, the focal plane structure is anchored to the 4 K stage but not the 50 K stage, easing CHWP vibrational requirements. Fifth, the 50 K location necessitates minimal optics-tube assembly/disassembly to install/access the CHWP, making it easy to both integrate into the receiver and service on the telescope, if necessary. Finally, the 50 K CHWP is modular, with its addition to the PB-2b instrument requiring no adjustment to the heritage lens shapes or positions, thermal filtering, or optics tube assembly procedure. This final feature was particularly powerful for PB-2b integration and testing, allowing the CHWP and optics tube to be validated in parallel prior to full system integration, hence accelerating the receiver commissioning process.

B. Optical design

As shown in Fig. 3, the CHWP sapphire stack consists of three 505 mm diameter, 3.8 mm-thick sapphire windows with an 0.7 mm thick dual-layer AR coating on each of its outermost surfaces. The stack is held in an aluminum cradle, which uses tubular springs to absorb differential thermal contraction and keep the sapphire from shifting during cooldown and rotation. The cradle has a 490 mm clear aperture diameter, is 20 mm thick, and weighs ~10 kg including the sapphire stack.

The CHWP’s clear aperture is defined by a stationary 440 mm diameter tube lined with HR-10 absorbers. The aperture tube is 60 mm tall and forms a 5 mm gap with the back face of the sapphire stack, “hiding” non-optical components from the telescope’s beam and restricting the propagation of any CHWP-induced stray light. The CHWP’s aperture diameter is limited by bearing manufacturing constraints (see Sec. IV C) yet meets the 430 mm requirement while providing 5 mm of radial alignment tolerance.
C. Bearing

The CHWP bearing needs to be low-friction, have a large bore diameter, and be mechanically robust at low temperatures. Cryogenic ball bearings with an ~500 mm bore are not commercially available and are challenging to develop due to thermal contraction, vibration, and durability issues. Therefore, the PB-2b CHWP employs a superconducting magnetic bearing (SMB), as shown in Fig. 6. The SMB operates by flux pinning in an azimuthally symmetric geometry. When suspending a uniformly magnetized ring (the rotor) above a type-II superconducting ring (the stator) and subsequently cooling the superconductor below its transition temperature, the rotor’s permanent magnetic field becomes trapped in the stator’s superconducting bulk, constraining the rotor in the axial and radial directions while allowing it to rotate in azimuth. SMBs are implemented in other CHWP systems for CMB observation, and their cryogenic robustness is well demonstrated.

The PB-2b SMB is manufactured by Adelwitz Technologiezentrum GmbH. The magnet ring consists of 16 22.5°, 97 × 16 mm² annular segments of neodymium (NdFeB), glued contiguously into an encapsulating G10 fixture to form a highly uniform, ≈5000 G surface field. The superconducting ring consists of 46 7.8°, 35 × 13 mm² annular segments of yttrium barium copper oxide (YBCO), which has a $T_c \approx 90$ K, glued into an encapsulating aluminum fixture to form a contiguous type-II superconductor. Both the rotor and stator have 470 mm inner diameter, which is large enough to fit around the aperture tube with 5 mm radial clearance (see Fig. 3).

The SMB’s effectiveness relies on a small separation between the permanent magnet and YBCO. SMB stiffness is a steep function of rotor–stator separation, and therefore, controlling the rotor’s axial position near the YBCO’s transition temperature is critical to controlling the SMB’s spring constant. The nominal rotor–stator separation in the PB-2b CHWP system is 5 mm, for which we measure a bearing spring constant of $\approx 300$ N/mm. In addition, SMB friction must be small enough both to achieve the required rotation speed and to mitigate heat dissipation. Eddy losses are induced by eddy currents in nearby metal and scale as $\Delta B^2$, where $\Delta B$ is the rotor’s peak-to-peak magnetic field variation. Hysteresis losses arise due to paramagnetic hysteresis in the YBCO and scale as $\Delta B^3$. Therefore, the uniformity of the magnet ring is critical to minimizing dissipation during continuous rotation. A measurement of rotor friction is presented in Sec. V A 4.

D. Gripper

Magnetic levitation is a cryogenic phenomenon, and the SMB only engages below the YBCO’s $\approx 90$ K superconducting transition temperature. Above this temperature, the YBCO does not flux pin, and the rotor is effectively decoupled from the stator. Therefore, the CHWP employs a “gripper” to support the rotor during cooldown and keep it aligned until levitation initiates. The gripper consists of three subassemblies azimuthally distributed about the rotor (see Fig. 13): one along $-y$ and the others at $\pm 40°$ about $+y$. Each subassembly has a linearly actuating vacuum feedthrough at 300 K; a flexible, thermally isolating “arm” between 300 K and 50 K; and a 50 K “finger” that engages the rotor stage. When the gripper fingers are extended, the rotor is gripped, and when they are retracted, the rotor is released.
The CHWP motor needs to drive stable 2 Hz rotation while having low dissipation and low magnetic interference and being mechanically robust at cryogenic temperatures. While a belt drive with an external motor has been successfully operated during weeks of CMB observation, the PB-2b CHWP must run for years, motivating a drive system free of mechanical fatigue. Therefore, we utilize a brushless, three-phase, synchronous electromagnetic motor driven by custom electronics. We discuss the motor’s cryogenic assembly, driver, and efficiency in Subsections IV.E.1–IV.E.3.

1. Motor cryogenic assembly

The motor’s cryogenic assembly is shown in Fig. 8. Its active component consists of 114 solenoids with low-carbon-steel magnetic cores glued with equal spacing onto a 650 mm diameter low-carbon-steel ring on the stator. Each coil has 8.6 mH inductance and \( \approx 3 \) Ω resistance at 50 K, and the motor’s three phases are driven across three regularly interspersed groups of 38 coils. In order to reduce the motor’s equivalent resistance and hence reduce the voltage needed to operate it, the solenoid array is further divided into four sections—two with 30 total coils and two with 27 total coils—that are driven in parallel. The motor’s passive component consists of 76 1.5 mm diameter \( \times 1.5 \) mm tall NdFeB magnet sprockets, which have a 6700 G surface field and are glued with equal spacing and alternating polarity onto a 650 mm diameter low-carbon-steel sprocket ring on the rotor.

The solenoids are energized in three 120°-separated phases with an alternating drive voltage \( \pm V_{DC} \), creating an oscillating magnetic field that couples to the rotor’s magnet sprockets, driving rotation. The sprockets, solenoids, and cores are carefully chosen to avoid cogging while providing enough torque to attain the required rotational velocity. At 2 Hz rotation, the motor delivers only long, 0.8 mm-thick-walled hollow G10 tube. The hinges accommodate differential thermal contraction along the receiver cryostat’s axial direction and relax alignment tolerances between the 300 K and 50 K stages.

The bearing shaft enters the 50 K assembly through a gripper port, which includes a 10 mm diameter hole in the 50 K shield and a Teflon-lined linear bearing within which the bearing shaft slides. Because this port introduces a potential 300 K light leak, the outer surface of the linear bearing and the inner surface of the 50 K shield are blackened with carbon-loaded Stycast™ to limit the propagation of any stray light. The innermost end of the bearing shaft bolts to the gripper finger, which is a 6 mm deep, 90°-angled, oxygen-free high-thermal-conductivity (OFHC) copper wedge, attached to the CHWP stator via two flexible OFHC copper-braid heat straps. When the rotor is gripped, the finger fits into an identically shaped, azimuthally symmetric groove on the rotor stage, heat sinking the rotor while constraining its axial position.

The gripper finger also contains a spring-loaded probe that contacts an azimuthally symmetric flex circuit when the rotor is gripped, permitting four-point readout of a silicon diode thermometer on the sapphire stack’s cradle. The probe is a beryllium copper, four-point battery contact, and the Kapton-based flex circuit has four 2 mm-wide, 2.5 mm-pitch, gold-plated copper traces soldered to the thermometer’s leads.

The complete gripper assembly is composed of three subassemblies that actuate simultaneously to grip and release the rotor. The motors are driven by a parallel-output controller, which is commanded using a programmable logic controller (PLC). The typical cooldown configuration for the PB-2b receiver is approximately horizontal (see Fig. 13), with the bottom gripper finger supporting the rotor’s 17 kg mass during cooldown. Therefore, we employ a 450 N-max motor for the bottom subassembly and 200 N-max motors for the top two.

The gripper is designed for reliability. Each gripper finger is attached to its bearing shaft with two titanium bolts to avoid fastener fatigue and has two PTFE stabilizers that slide along the bottom face of the retaining ring (see Fig. 6) to constrain rotation about the gripper-port axis. The G10 tubes and hinges are joined with a step to avoid compression failures, and the hinges are screened to withstand more than four times the rotor’s weight. In addition, the rotor’s center of mass lies in the plane of its triangular groove, and the bottom finger’s copper wedge is slightly V-shaped, forming 176° angle about the radial direction. These two features allow the rotor to be constrained by the bottom subassembly alone when the receiver is horizontal, hence providing insurance against possible gripper failure modes such as motor control issues and imperfect subassembly synchronization.

E. Motor

The CHWP motor needs to drive stable 2 Hz rotation while having low dissipation and low magnetic interference and being mechanically robust at cryogenic temperatures. While a belt drive with an external motor has been successfully operated during weeks of CMB observation, the PB-2b CHWP must run for years, motivating a drive system free of mechanical fatigue. Therefore, we utilize a brushless, three-phase, synchronous electromagnetic motor driven...
The coils are driven by custom electronics, described in Sec. IV E 2, whose sensing component is an optical encoder on the 50 K stage shown in Fig. 8. The encoder consists of three 940 nm light-emitting diodes (LEDs) shining onto three reverse-biased photodiodes (PDs) through a slotted encoder plate on the rotor. The LEDs and PDs are soldered to printed wiring boards and are housed in aluminum read heads with 1 mm diameter, 3 mm deep collimation holes. As shown in Figs. 6 and 8, the LED read head is mounted to four precision-ground aluminum standoffs on the stator baseplate, while the PD read head is similarly mounted to the retaining ring on the opposite side of the slotted encoder plate. Each motor LED–PD pair is azimuthally aligned with the solenoid array, and the read heads are aligned to each other using dowel pins during assembly. The gallium aluminum arsenide LEDs and the silicon PDs are cryogenically screened via dunk tests in liquid nitrogen and have been robust throughout hundreds of hours of testing. Even so, the CHWP has two identical pairs of read heads, as shown on the right- and left-hand sides of Fig. 6, to provide insurance against LED or PD failure. The slotted encoder plate has thirty eight 5 mm wide motor slots whose edges are azimuthally aligned to the magnet sprockets. During rotation, the slot pattern chops the PD input, and the motor driver converts this photocurrent waveform into the solenoid bias voltage, as described in Subsection IV E 2. Both the slotted encoder plate and cap ring are made of G10 to minimize motor-induced eddy currents on both the stator and rotor.

2. Motor driver

The motor drive electronics must be robust, low-noise and simple to operate. While commercial drivers for synchronous motors are abundant, most involve auxiliary control software, pulse-width-modulated (PWM) waveforms (which can inject high-frequency noise into the receiver), and awkward interfacing to the PB-2b cryogenic assembly. Therefore, we employ a custom driver printed circuit board (PCB) that both meets our requirements and simplifies CHWP operation. The presented PCB is also used to read out the angle encoder signal, which is discussed in Sec. IV F.

A single PD amplification chain is shown in Fig. 9, and the complete driver PCB is shown in Fig. 10. The photocurrent from the PD read head travels through 50 K Manganin ribbon cables, a DB-25 vacuum feedthrough, and 300 K, double-shielded, twisted-pair, copper cables to the driver board where it is converted to an

![FIG. 9. A signal diagram for a single phase of the CHWP motor driver and for a single angle encoder output. On the cryogenic rotation stage, the slotted encoder plate, which has one lane of slots for motor encoding and another for angle encoding, chops the signals of the LED–PD pairs. The driver board converts these photocurrents into voltage signals using transimpedance amplifiers (AMPs) with carefully tuned low-pass feedback (Z) and then converts these analog waveforms into 5 V digital signals using comparators (CMPs) with potentiometer-tunable reference voltages (VREF). For motor control, both non-inverted and inverted (NOT) signals are passed to an H-bridge driver (H driver), which outputs synchronized, low-pass-filtered, alternating-polarity voltage waveforms ±V to the solenoids. For angle encoder readout, which has a signal 15× faster than that of the motor, the digital signals are opto-isolated (ISO) and sent to a microcontroller unit for processing. Additionally, the angle encoder digital signal is passed to a frequency-to-voltage converter (F2V) whose opto-isolated output is used by a PID controller to provide feedback to the H-driver voltage and stabilize CHWP rotation. To brake or reverse the direction, a switched inverter (INV) applies a global 180○ phase shift to the H-driver input. The microcontroller is a BeagleBone Black, which has two programmable real-time units (PRUs) that share a 200 MHz clock. PRU 1 timestamps and decodes a GPS-synchronized IRIG-B PWM waveform, while PRU 2 timestamps rising and falling edges of the digital angle encoder waveform. These data are written to a shared buffer, which is emptied by the central processing unit (CPU) before being sent to an external computer over the Ethernet (ETH).]
analog voltage by a transimpedance amplifier. The time constant of the amplifier feedback is chosen to suppress high-frequency noise while outputting a symmetrical waveform. This analog signal is then converted to a 5 V digital signal, and the TTL waveforms from each PD read head are inverted (“INV”) and input to the “H drivers,” which, in turn, power the solenoids via the “solenoid output” connector. The motor and encoder LEDs are current biased by potentiometer-adjustable “LED drivers” via the “LED output” connector. DC supply voltages come through the “PWR input” connector.

The CHWP’s rotational velocity is naturally steady due to the rotor’s large rotational inertia and the motor’s small torque. However, the positioning between the motor’s solenoids and rotor’s magnet sprockets changes slightly when the CHWP’s gravitational orientation changes, such as during telescope motion. This modulation in motor coupling slightly modulates the motor’s efficiency (see Sec. IV E 3) and causes small, slow drifts in rotational velocity. Therefore, we employ proportional-integral-derivative (PID) feedback to the H-driver voltage in order to stabilize the CHWP velocity on long timescales.

Finally, in order to both stop the rotor and spin it in the opposite direction, an inverter switch applies a 180° phase shift to both H-driver inputs when toggled by an external digital input. Braking is vital during power failure (see Sec. V A 5), and spinning the CHWP in both directions provides a useful data split during analysis.

**3. Motor efficiency**

The motor’s maximum torque is delivered at start-up when the rotor’s sprocket pattern and the solenoid array’s rotating magnetic field are in phase. However, at non-zero rotation frequencies, the solenoids’ inductance creates a phase shift between the H-driver voltage and the solenoid current, degrading motor efficiency. The impact of this inductive phase shift is shown in Fig. 11 and is ≈20% at 2 Hz rotation.

Additionally, motor efficiency relies on concentricity between the rotor and the stator. When radially misaligned, the coupling between the solenoids and the magnet sprockets weakens, reducing motor torque. Radial misalignment is most likely to occur along the gravitational axis when the cold assembly contracts during cooldown. The simulated impact of rotor radial misalignment on motor efficiency is shown in Fig. 11 and assumes a 5 mm rotor-stator axial separation. This simulation motivates a concentricity requirement of ΔR < 2 mm, for which the efficiency loss is <20%.

**F. Angle encoder**

The rotor angle is measured with an incremental encoder that uses much of the same infrastructure as the motor encoder (see Sec. IV E). The angle encoder’s signal schematic is shown as part of Fig. 9, and its cryogenic components are shown in Fig. 8. On each encoder read head, two LED–PD pairs peer through 570 slots on the slotted encoder plate with one missing slot to mark the rotor’s absolute position. During 2 Hz continuous rotation, the PD photocurrents are chopped at 1.14 kHz, are digitized on the driver PCB, the schematic for which a subset is shown in Fig. 3. The photocurrents from all ten encoder PDs enter the board through the “PD input” connector before being converted to analog signals by the transimpedance amplifiers (AMPs) and converted to 5 V digital signals by the comparators (CMPs). At this point, the angle encoder signals are opto-isolated (“ISOs”) and sent to the microcontroller via the “MCU output” connector. The motor signals from both PD read heads are “OR-ed” before being inverted (“INV”) and input to the “H drivers,” which, in turn, power the solenoids via the “solenoid output” connector. The motor and encoder LEDs are current biased by potentiometer-adjustable “LED drivers” via the “LED output” connector. DC supply voltages come through the “PWR input” connector.

**FIG. 10.** A photograph of the driver PCB, the schematic for which a subset is shown in Fig. 3. The photocurrents from all ten encoder PDs enter the board through the “PD input” connector before being converted to analog signals by the transimpedance amplifiers (AMPs) and converted to 5 V digital signals by the comparators (CMPs). At this point, the angle encoder signals are opto-isolated (“ISOs”) and sent to the microcontroller via the “MCU output” connector. The motor signals from both PD read heads are “OR-ed” before being inverted (“INV”) and input to the “H drivers,” which, in turn, power the solenoids via the “solenoid output” connector. The motor and encoder LEDs are current biased by potentiometer-adjustable “LED drivers” via the “LED output” connector. DC supply voltages come through the “PWR input” connector.

**FIG. 11.** CHWP motor efficiency vs the rotor’s radial misalignment to the motor solenoids and the rotor’s rotation frequency. The PB-2b rotor alignment tolerance is <2 mm, limiting positional efficiency loss to <20%. At 2 Hz rotation, the phase-delay efficiency loss is ≈20%.
board, are opto-isolated to 3.3 V, and are processed with a microcontroller unit (MCU). The two angle encoder LED–PD pairs are offset by half a slot width, enabling quadrature readout to monitor the rotation direction.

The MCU is a BeagleBone Black (BBB), which houses two programmable real-time units (PRUs) and an on-board CPU running Linux. The PRU is a lightweight, low-latency processing unit specifically designed to handle single-threaded inputs, making it ideal for angle encoding. One PRU polls the angle encoder signal and uses a 200 MHz clock to timestamp each rising and falling edge. Simultaneously, a second PRU polls and decodes a GPS-synchronous inter-range instrumentation group B code (IRIG-B) PWM waveform using the same 200 MHz clock. The encoder and IRIG clock values are written to a shared memory buffer that is periodically emptied by the CPU, which, in turn, sends IPv4 data packets to an external computer. During post-processing, the rotor angle is reconstructed using the missing reference slot and is interpolated to IRIG time using the MCU clock values. This time-ordered CHWP angle data can then be used to demodulate the detectors, which are also synchronized to IRIG.

While the CHWP angle jitter requirement is $<3 \mu$rad/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, the encoder only has a resolution of 5 mrad, necessitating precise interpolation between ticks on the slotted encoder plate. Such an encoding scheme is feasible because the CHWP rotation is very smooth and the encoder has a high-signal-to-noise ratio, enabling clean angle reconstruction (see Secs. V A 3 and V B 1).

### G. Thermal design

The effectiveness of the CHWP system depends centrally on its thermal performance. As shown in Fig. 3, the CHWP is located on the 50 K stage, which is cooled by the first stage of the optics tube’s PTR. The rotor is shielded from sky-side radiation by using the vacuum window, RT-MLI, and IRF, and it floats between the IRF and the field lens. As discussed in Sec. III, the primary objective of the CHWP thermal design is to minimally impact both the 4 K and 50 K stage temperatures with respect to those of the heritage PB-2b configuration.

When the rotor is stationary, loading on the 4 K stage is lower than in the heritage system. The IRF transmits non-negligibly $<2 \text{THz}$, and when present, the CHWP’s sapphire stack absorbs $>90\%$ of this leaked sky-side power, keeping it from reaching the field lens. Additionally, because the rotor is floating, it acts as multi-layer insulation (MLI), reducing the 4 K load further. When the rotor is spinning, however, the solenoids, LEDs, and rotor generate heat, and if these loads warm the 50 K stage or rotor too much, 4 K improvements could be negated. Therefore, the CHWP design focuses on limiting 50 K dissipation and on keeping the rotor cool.

We use an analytic simulation to predict the rotor temperature and CHWP-induced power on the 50 K and 4 K stages during continuous operation in the field. The details of the model’s assumptions, including measurements and calculations of conductivities, radiative couplings, and power transfers, are presented in the Appendix. Figure 12 shows the rotor temperature and the CHWP-induced load on the field lens as a function of excess power on the rotor, where “excess” is defined as that which is beyond the model’s prediction. The expected rotor temperature is 52 K–54 K and the expected CHWP-induced field lens load is $\sim 70$ to $\sim 10$ mW with respect to the heritage configuration. The CHWP-induced power on the field lens is $<0$ mW at 85% confidence when the rotor temperature is $<55$ K, which motivates the rotor temperature requirement presented in Sec. III B. The CHWP-induced power on the 50 K stage is $<1.3$ W at 85% confidence and is nearly independent of the rotor temperature.

### H. Rotor thermometry

Two modes are used to monitor the rotor’s temperature. First, when the rotor is gripped, four spring-loaded contacts on each
gripper finger touch four flex-circuit traces on the rotor stage (see Sec. IV D). These traces are soldered to a four-wire silicon diode thermometer, which is varnished to the sapphire stack’s cradle. While only one contact current biases the diode, all three probe the diode’s voltage; therefore, this flex-circuit thermometry is also a touch-sensing system used to verify the fit between the gripper’s copper wedges and the rotor stage’s triangular groove.

Second, during continuous rotation, we use the ring magnet as a thermometer. Neodymium has a temperature-dependent magnetic field \( \sim 1 \text{ G/K} \) that generates \( \sim 5 \text{ mm from the ring magnet’s face} \). We varnish a 1 mm-thick cryogenic Hall sensor to the surface of the YBCO and monitor long-timescale changes in the ring magnet’s average field. Limited by degeneracy with the rotor position, the sensitivity of this scheme is a few K, and therefore, Hall-sensor monitoring is intended to flag thermal events rather than subtracting rotor temperature drifts from detector data. If the need to re-calibrate the Hall-sensor output arises, the CHWP can be stopped and gripped to cross-check the diode thermometer via the touch probes.

I. Installation

The CHWP assembly is designed to be modular, allowing for seamless integration into a nearly fully assembled PB-2b receiver. As shown in Fig. 6, the CHWP assembles mostly on the benchtop, and when integrated, it is bolted to the optics tube’s 50 K stage. At this point, the gripper subassemblies are installed, the retaining ring is added, and the IRF, RT-MLI, and vacuum window close the cryostat. Because CHWP integration requires minimal receiver disassembly, the CHWP and receiver were evaluated in parallel prior to full system validation, accelerating the PB-2b commissioning process. The sapphire stack is also modular, enabling the AR coatings to be easily replaced in the field, if necessary.

V. LABORATORY EVALUATION

The CHWP is evaluated in two laboratory testing phases. The first phase is conducted in a non-optical setup at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The LBNL cryostat uses a 20 K Gifford–McMahon (GM) refrigerator, and the CHWP’s sapphire stack is replaced by an IR-blackened aluminum disk. The second phase integrates the CHWP into a test configuration of the PB-2b receiver at the University of California, San Diego (UCSD), as shown in Fig. 13. The UCSD setup employs the full detector array, but the lenses, CHWP, IRF, and vacuum window are not AR coated, and the sapphire stack only includes one 3.8 mm thick sapphire plate. Though optically incomplete, the UCSD configuration allows us to evaluate the cryo-mechanical, thermal, and magnetic interaction between the CHWP and the rest of the experiment.

We divide a discussion of the CHWP evaluation into two subsections. First, we discuss operational testing, including spin-up, continuous rotation, thermal performance, and shutdown. Second, we present the CHWP’s data quality and noise impact, including encoder jitter, magnetic interference, and rotor temperature stability.

A. Operational performance

In this section, we review CHWP operation, focusing on the gripper, motor, bearing, and thermal performance. We evaluate the interfacing between various subsystems and show that the CHWP executes its essential functions while meeting the requirements shown in Table I.

1. Cooldown

When the YBCO is above its \( >90 \text{ K transition temperature}, \) the gripper must keep the rotor centered, and between 300 K and 50 K, the rotor contracts \( >2 \text{ mm radially with respect to the vacuum shell. Therefore, during cooldown, the gripper periodically “re-grips” the rotor, with each gripper finger inching inward until the grip force is 50% of the rotor’s weight. This routine both keeps the rotor centered until the YBCO goes superconducting and maintains a conductive cooling path from the rotor to the stator baseplate. Figure 14 shows gripper-finger position vs both rotor and stator temperature during an LBNL cooldown. In this particular test, the fingers are moved manually at convenient intervals, and their relative positions are maintained at \(<0.2 \text{ mm}, \) resulting in a rotor–stator coupling efficiency of \( >99\% \) (see Fig. 11).

In addition, during cooldown, the rotor’s only cooling mechanisms are conduction through the gripper fingers and radiative coupling to the surrounding environment. Because the rotor’s heat capacity is \( \sim 10 \text{ kJ/K} \) at 300 K, good rotor-to-gripper contact conductance is needed for the CHWP to thermalize within 36 h of the 50 K stage. The rotor stage’s triangular groove and gripper fingers’ triangular wedges are precision-cut to maximize thermal contact, and the measured rotor-to-gripper conductance is 0.7 W/K at 100 K. Figure 15 shows rotor and stator temperatures during a cooldown in the LBNL cryostat. The rotor lags behind the stator by only 5 h, which is well within the 36-hour requirement.
2. Start-up

After the YBCO becomes superconducting and the CHWP assembly thermalizes, the rotor is held in place for a few hours to let the bearing “relax.” Flux pinning needs time to find its lowest-energy configuration, and if the rotor moves during this relaxation, pinning sites are more likely to escape the superconducting bulk, hence reducing the bearing’s spring constant. This flux migration is logarithmic in time, with the vast majority of equilibration occurring within hours of the YBCO transition. After the bearing has relaxed, the gripper fingers retract and the stator supports the rotor’s weight. When the receiver is horizontal, as in Fig. 13, the rotor is observed to “sag” away from its gripped position by 0.5 mm, and because the bearing’s spring force is elastic, this displacement decreases with increasing receiver inclination.

When floating and stationary, forces between the magnet sprockets and the solenoids’ ferromagnetic cores keep the rotor azimuthally constrained. In order to overcome this stiction during start-up, the motor energizes with ≈0.4 A (or ~5× its current draw during continuous operation). Once rotation commences, cogging quickly diminishes, the rotor transitions into smooth rotation, and the solenoid bias is reduced. Rotation frequency vs time for various H-driver voltages during spin-up is shown in Fig. 16. The achievable rotation frequencies are 1.8 Hz–2.8 Hz, and the equilibration time is tens of minutes. While PID control will reduce the start-up time somewhat, the CHWP is intended to run without interruption throughout each day’s telescope observations, and therefore, this level of latency meets PB-2b’s needs.

3. Continuous rotation

Once spun up, the CHWP enters constant velocity mode. To measure the CHWP’s open-loop stability, we collect one hour of continuous rotation data in the LBNL test cryostat without PID control. Such a test helps identify any pathologies in the drive system that may manifest as modulations in rotational velocity. While the PB-2b CHWP does not have a velocity stability requirement,
steady rotation helps assess encoding accuracy, which is discussed in Sec. V B 1. Figure 17 shows velocity drift vs time at \( f_{\text{HWP}} = 2.15 \) Hz. The total \( \Delta f_{\text{HWP}} = 0.8 \) mHz corresponds to a rotational stability of 0.04%/h, which is much better than that of ABS and PB-1. While changes in telescope inclination will slightly modulate rotor–stator concentricity, which, in turn, modulates motor efficiency (see Sec. IV E 3), we anticipate even better stability when using PID control in the field (see Sec. IV E).

4. Thermal impact

The CHWP’s thermal impact is evaluated in three stages. First, we measure rotor friction and motor dissipation in the LBNL cryostat. Rotor friction is determined by measuring deceleration vs velocity with the motor powered off, and motor dissipation is determined by measuring the current through and resistance of the solenoids. Dissipation as a function of rotation frequency is shown in Fig. 18, and at 2 Hz, rotor and motor heating are 80 mW and <200 mW, respectively. A polynomial fit to rotor dissipation vs \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) shows that at 2 Hz, most friction is due to eddy currents (\( P_{\text{eddy}} \propto f^2_{\text{HWP}} \)) as opposed to hysteresis loss (\( P_{\text{hyst}} \propto f_{\text{HWP}} \)). The motor dissipation data points represent the measured values with excellent alignment at \( T_{\text{rotor}} = 60 \) K, and the bands quantify possible variations in motor torque due to variations in rotor–stator concentricity and solenoid temperature. The motor dissipation’s steep slope is due to both decreasing motor efficiency and increasing rotor friction with increasing \( f_{\text{HWP}} \).

Second, we evaluate cryo-optical performance in the UCSD test setup shown in Fig. 13. Even though this configuration has no AR coatings on the IRF, sapphire stack, or lenses, bare alumina and sapphire are largely IR absorptive, allowing us to evaluate the thermal model presented in Sec. IV G and the Appendix. During UCSD testing, the CHWP’s rotor thermometer disconnected, leaving us to infer the rotor’s temperature rather than monitor it directly. Using a load curve of the field lens and comparing field lens and 50 K temperatures to a dark run without the CHWP, we measure a rotor temperature of \( \approx 50 \) K, which is larger than the 2 W requirement. This test was performed before adopting the solenoid heat sinking scheme described in the Appendix, which has been shown to reduce solenoid heating by \( \approx 10 \)× in auxiliary tests, hence reducing the motor’s temperature-dependent resistance. Therefore, we anticipate a stator load consistent with the thermal model’s <1.3 W expectation when the CHWP operates in Chile.

Third, we search for any CHWP-induced heating of the focal plane. We spin the rotor up and down at various drive voltages over several hours in the UCSD setup, and we see no changes in mK temperatures to within an \( \approx 0.3 \) mK/h background drift, implying negligible CHWP-induced focal-plane vibrations. This null result is expected as the CHWP’s resonant frequency \( \sqrt{k/m} \approx 130 \) Hz is much higher than its \( \approx 2 \) Hz rotation frequency.

5. Shutdown and recovery

A critical capability for long-term field operation is the clean recovery of the rotor following a power disruption. When the optics tube’s PTR shuts off, the CHWP warms with the rest of the experiment, and in the absence of a robust recovery procedure, the
rotor may become difficult to recenter without opening the cryostat. Therefore, we employ two redundant procedures to recapture the CHWP in the event of a cryostat warm-up.

The primary procedure is to stop and grip the rotor before the 50 K stage warms appreciably. The CHWP electronics are powered via an uninterrupted power supply (UPS) that provides an ~30 min window after site-wide power loss during which the CHWP must be stilled, re-gripped, and stowed. Necessitated by its low friction, the rotor is actively braked by globally inverting the motor’s H-driver outputs (see Sec. IV E 2). Figure 19 shows rotation frequency vs time for various braking voltages, and the measured spin-down time is ≈5 min, which is sufficiently shorter than the UPS duration. After rotation stops, the rotor is gripped loosely to provide a margin for thermal expansion, the gripper motors’ brakes are applied, and the CHWP electronics are shut down. When site power is later restored, the cooldown procedure in Sec. V A 2 commences, and nominal CHWP operation resumes. We have tested this emergency stop and re-grip procedure multiple times in the LBNL cryostat and have shown that it keeps the rotor centered to within 0.5 mm.

In the event of an issue when stopping and re-gripping, we employ a backup procedure to recover the rotor after it has “fallen.” As shown in Fig. 6, the retaining ring includes six PTFE crash pads designed to gently “catch” the rotor and limit its misalignment after the bearing disengages. Once site power is restored, we orient the receiver horizontally, as shown in Fig. 13; lift the rotor along the +y direction using the bottom gripper finger (see Sec. IV D); and then grab it using the top two fingers. This after-the-fact technique is less than ideal as it requires the rotor to be secured and realigned from an indeterminate position. Nonetheless, it provides necessary insurance against opening the cryostat, which is an expensive operation. We have tested this backup procedure in the LBNL and UCSD cryostats and have shown it to recenter the rotor to within 1.5 mm of its original position, which is good enough to reattain 2 Hz rotation.

B. Data quality

In this section, we review the impact of the CHWP on experiment data quality within the context of the noise requirements presented in Sec. III C. Specifically, we discuss encoder jitter, magnetic interference, and rotor temperature stability, highlighting the achieved values in Table I.

1. Angle encoder performance

We use the same hour-long dataset presented in Sec. V A 3 to evaluate the angle encoder described in Sec. IV F. For purely historical reasons, this test is performed using an Arduino MCU, which employs two separate 16 MHz clocks, instead of the BBB, which employs one shared 200 MHz clock. Additionally, this test did not utilize PID control and therefore relies on open-loop motor stability to maintain steady rotation. Our primary goal in this section is to measure the angle encoder’s white noise level and compare it to the ≪3 μrad/√Hz requirement in Table I.

As described in Sec. IV F, the rotor angle $\chi(t)$ is reconstructed by linearly interpolating angle encoder ticks to IRIG time $t$ as

$$\chi(t_{\text{Enc}}) \leftarrow t(t_{\text{IRIG}}), \quad (18)$$

where $t_{\text{Enc}}$ and $t_{\text{IRIG}}$ are the MCU clocks for the encoder and IRIG signals, respectively. Deviations between $t_{\text{Enc}}$ and $t_{\text{IRIG}}$ on the Arduino lead to additional noise that will not exist when using the BBB in the field. Therefore, we analyze both $\chi(t)$ and $t_{\text{IRIG}}(t)$ to distinguish MCU drifts from those of the rotation mechanism and encoder system.

To facilitate the following discussion, we consider two illustrative angle jitter definitions: the residual after subtracting a quadratic fit,

$$\delta_{\chi_{\text{HWP}}}^\text{poly}(t) = \chi(t) - P_2^\chi(t), \quad (19)$$

and the residual after subtracting a quadratic fit plus an angle-dependent function $A(\chi)$,

$$\delta_{\chi_{\text{HWP}}}^\text{temp}(t) = \chi(t) - [P_2^\chi(t) + A(\chi)]. \quad (20)$$

For these test data, we select a simple $2\pi$-repeating template

$$A(\chi) = A(\chi - 2\pi n) ; n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N_{\text{rev}}\}, \quad (21)$$

where $N_{\text{rev}}$ is the number of completed revolutions in the dataset and where $A(\chi - 2\pi n)$ is constructed by taking a window-weighted average of the encoder pattern over all $N_{\text{rev}}$. In practice, a more complex function can be used to remove HWP-synchronous signals in the field, but a uniform template works well for laboratory characterization. Additionally, we define IRIG clock jitter as

![Fig. 19. Spin-down tests from various rotation frequencies using various braking voltages. These tests did not employ the PID controller, which will shorten the stopping time for continuous rotation frequencies <2.8 Hz.](image-url)
\[ \delta t_{\text{IRIG}}^{\text{poly}}(t) = t_{\text{IRIG}}(t) - P_2(t), \]  

which we use to evaluate MCU clock drifts.

A 3-s segment of angle jitters \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{poly}} \) and \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{temp}} \) is shown in the top panel of Fig. 20. The polynomial-subtracted spectrum \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{poly}} \) shows a distinct peak-to-peak variation of \( \approx 1 \text{ mrad} \) at \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) in addition to higher-order structures, and the template-subtracted spectrum \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{temp}} \) shows that most of this jitter is removed by \( A(\chi) \). A unique property of the SMB is that it does not wobble, even if it is gravitationally imbalanced. Therefore, the observed \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}} \) signal is caused by a slight misalignment between the slotted encoder plate and the magnet ring, while higher-frequency structures are caused by non-uniform patterning of the encoder slots. 3 s of IRIG clock jitter \( \delta \tau_{\text{IRIG}} \) is also shown in Fig. 20 and is effectively a measurement of Arduino clock noise. Because the IRIG signal is GPS synchronized to \( \leq 40 \text{ ns} \), the observed drift is due to the instability of the Arduino’s crystal oscillators, which we discuss further below.

Power spectral densities (PSDs) of the angle and clock jitters are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 20. The sharpness of the peaks in the \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{poly}} \) spectrum demonstrates excellent rotational stability, and the suppression of those peaks in the \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{temp}} \) spectrum confirms that \( A(\chi) \) measures all but a few \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}} \) features with a high signal-to-noise ratio. The \( A(\chi) \) subtraction also suppresses sideband power in the \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) harmonics, better revealing a white-noise level of \( \approx 0.1 \mu\text{rad}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \).

Both \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}} \) spectra have a 1/f knee of \( \approx 1 \text{ Hz} \), which is larger than expected from \( \delta \tau_{\text{IRIG}} \) measurement in Fig. 17. Therefore, we compare the angle and IRIG spectra to analyze the contribution of MCU clock drifts to the observed 1/f noise. The two MCU clocks, \( t_{\text{IRIG}} \) and \( t_{\text{Enc}} \) [see Eq. (18)], fluctuate with \( \approx 90\% \) coherence, and because common-mode clock drifts are subtracted during angle-time interpolation, \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{poly}}/f^2 \) power dips beneath that of \( 2\pi f_{\text{HWP}}^{\text{poly}}/f_{\text{IRIG}} \) between \( \approx 0.05 \text{ Hz} \) and the IRIG’s 0.5 Hz Nyquist frequency. Below \( \approx 0.05 \text{ Hz} \), drifts in rotational velocity begin to contribute and the \( \delta \chi_{\text{HWP}} \) spectra steepen, but even so, MCU-induced 1/f noise remains dominant down to \( \approx 0.01 \text{ Hz} \). This finding motivated us to replace the Arduino with the BBB, which uses a single shared clock \( (t_{\text{Enc}} = t_{\text{IRIG}}) \) and has a measured 1/f knee of \( < 0.1 \text{ Hz} \). This configuration allows the 1 Hz IRIG signal to fully subtract MCU clock drifts during angle-time interpolation, and therefore, we expect significantly improved low-frequency noise when measuring \( \chi(t) \) in the field.

2. Magnetic interference

The CHWP’s motor and rotor both introduce magnetic interference that can affect the detectors and their SQUID amplifiers. In particular, interference at \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) mimics a sky signal and must be especially well controlled. The motor energizes three phases across 111 solenoids at 38 G field to decay quickly with distance. The magnet ring, on the other hand, has only 16 segments—resulting in a lower multipole number—and a surface magnetization of \( \approx 500 \text{ G} \), posing a greater \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) interference concern.

Magnetic field testing is performed in LBNL’s aluminum cryostat using a room-temperature magnetometer placed 1.5 m behind the CHWP assembly at the approximate location of a detector near the edge of the focal plane (see Fig. 2). The CHWP rotates steadily at \( \approx 2.15 \text{ Hz} \), and the ambient magnetic field is measured at 150 samples/s for 100 s. The results are presented in Fig. 21. The time-ordered data show a DC field of 926 mG and a \( \approx 5 \text{ mG} \) oscillation at \( f_{\text{HWP}} \), while the spectrum shows peaks at \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) and \( 2f_{\text{HWP}} \) and at harmonics of the GM cooler’s cycle frequency. However, the \( f_{\text{HWP}} \) component lies beneath the noise floor, setting a bound on its amplitude of \( < 10 \mu\text{G}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \).

Full-system interference testing is performed at UCSD by monitoring detector outputs when the CHWP is spinning. During 2 min of rotation at 2 Hz, data are collected from both optical...
A measurement of the magnetic field 1.5 m behind the CHWP assembly during 100 s of continuous rotation at 2.15 Hz in the LBNL cryostat. Top panel: 3 s of time-ordered magnetometer data, showing a clear \( \approx 5 \) mG peak-to-peak variation at \( 1f_{\text{HWP}} \). Bottom panel: the B-field’s power spectral density. Red arrows mark rotation-synchronous peaks, while the magenta arrow marks that of the GM cooler. The unmarked peaks are environmental and are predominantly GM harmonics.

Because it is floating, the rotor’s temperature variations are dominated by those of the 50 K stage to which it is radiatively tied. These CHWP fluctuations are expected to be very slow, as the rotor has \( \approx 900 \) J/K heat capacity at base temperature and \( \approx 10 \) mW/K coupling to its 50 K surroundings (see the Appendix). Due to diurnal variations in ambient temperature and changes in telescope elevation—which impact PTR performance—50 K temperature drifts in Chile are substantially larger than those in the laboratory. Therefore, we use 24 h of 50 K-stage temperature data from PB-2a, which is operating in the field, to simulate the expected rotor stability. The selected PB-2a data include telescope slew testing, and therefore, the presented 50 K-stage variations represent an upper bound on those expected during science observations.

The measured 50 K-stage and simulated rotor PSDs are shown in Fig. 22. The rotor acts as a low-pass filter, and its simulated drift is \( \approx 0.3 \) mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) at 1 mHz, which is below the 1 mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) requirement given in Table I. We note that rotor temperature stability can be substantially improved by regulating the 50 K-stage temperature, which may become necessary for future experiments with tighter noise requirements.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the design and evaluation of a cryogenic half-wave plate (CHWP) for the POLARBEAR-2b (PB-2b) CMB receiver. This paper advances the research area of cryogenic polarization modulators for mm-wave and sub-mm astronomical observations, introducing a new motor, encoder, and grip-and-release mechanism while pushing the superconducting magnetic bearing and sapphire diameters to the largest ever deployed. The CHWP has been evaluated in both a non-optical standalone cryostat and an optical test configuration of the PB-2b receiver. Its performance non-optical bolometers across the full focal plane. While \( 2f_{\text{HWP}} \) and \( 4f_{\text{HWP}} \) signals are visible in the optical detectors, as expected, no CHWP-induced signals are detected in any of the dark detectors. In addition, because \( 4f_{\text{HWP}} \) magnetic interference is \( \leq 10^{-6} \) than at \( 1f_{\text{HWP}} \), as shown in Fig. 21, this test also suggests that no \( 4f_{\text{HWP}} \) magnetic features will arise even when \( \approx 10^3 \) detectors are coadded during data analysis.

3. Rotor temperature stability

To evaluate thermal stability, we simulate rotor temperature using the thermal model presented in Sec. IV G and the Appendix.
satisfies all PB-2b-specific requirements and includes a 430 mm clear aperture, a rotor temperature of <53 K, <1.3 W of dissipation during continuous operation, rotation frequencies up to 2.8 Hz, 0.1 μrad / √ Hz of encoder noise, and <10 μG / √ Hz of magnetic interference at 4f_{HWP}. Additionally, an emphasis has been placed on system robustness to ensure years of continuous operation in the field. The presented CHWP instrument has been deployed to the Chilean observation site and is expected to see first light in 2020 or 2021.

The PB-2b CHWP design is already making its way into other experiments. PB-2c is building an identical CHWP for observation at 220 GHz and 270 GHz, and the Simons Observatory has adopted the presented system, with some system-dependent modifications, for cryogenic polarization modulation on their small aperture, rotor temperature of <53 K, <1.3 W of dissipation during continuous operation, rotation frequencies up to 2.8 Hz, 0.1 μrad / √ Hz of encoder noise, and <10 μG / √ Hz of magnetic interference at 4f_{HWP}. Additionally, an emphasis has been placed on system robustness to ensure years of continuous operation in the field. The presented CHWP instrument has been deployed to the Chilean observation site and is expected to see first light in 2020 or 2021.
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APPENDIX: THERMAL MODEL

In this appendix, we review the details of the thermal model used in Secs. IV G and V B 3 to simulate the rotor’s equilibrium.
temperature, the load on the field lens, and the rotor’s temperature stability. Figure 23 shows a schematic for the model, and Table II shows the measured and calculated values for the schematic. The errors on the measured values contain both measurement and configurational uncertainties, while the errors on the calculated values are driven by uncertainties in the assumptions. We find that the most important contributions to the results shown in Figs. 12 and 22 are the IRF temperature, 50 K stage temperature, and IR emissivity of the IRF and CHWP sapphire stack.

The CHWP is an 11.4 mm thick stack of three sapphire windows. At 50 K, the sapphire stack has an emissivity of 0.95 at ~3 THz, and therefore, the CHWP rotor absorbs IR radiation efficiently despite its AR coating. The dominant sources of radiative transfer to and from the rotor are the IRF, stator, and field lens. The IRF is heat-strapped to its fixture using 24 1-mm thick, 50-mm wide, 20-mm long flexible ribbons of 99.9999% pure aluminum, which have superior conductivity between 100 K and 50 K, are malleable enough to engage the alumina surface without thermal grease, and are flexible enough to absorb differential thermal contraction between the IRF and its aluminum fixture. The IRF fixture is machined from aluminum 1100 and is bolted to six 90 mm tall, titanium-helicoiled OFHC copper towers (see Fig. 6), which provide a thermal path to the stator baseplate. The measured conductivity between the IRF and the stator baseplate is $k_2 = 2.5 \, \text{W/K}$. During laboratory testing with a thick window and no AR coatings (see Sec. V A 4), we see an IRF temperature of $\approx 5 \, \text{K}$ warmer than the 50 K stage, suggesting a $\approx 13 \, \text{W}$ sky-side load, which is slightly less than the model’s expectation but within its 1σ uncertainty.

The sky-side power leaked through the IRF depends on its AR coating. We assume, in this paper, that the IRF and CHWP sapphire stack are coated with an epoxy-based ARC, which has a non-negligible transmissivity of $\leq 2 \, \text{THz}$. Using the effective temperature of a six-layer RT-MLI stack and the transmission spectra for Stycast-coated alumina, we estimate that $\approx 60 \pm 10 \, \text{mW}$ of sky-side power leaks through the IRF onto the rotor (or, in the heritage PB-2b configuration without the CHWP, onto the field lens).

The stator baseplate is made from aluminum 1100, houses the motor and encoder, and includes a 3 mm-thick 50 K shield (see Fig. 7) that encloses the CHWP assembly. The inner wall of the 50 K shield is coated with carbon-loaded Stycast and is intended to absorb any 300 K photons that leak into the CHWP cavity through the gripper ports. The 50 K shield is attached to the stator baseplate by only sixteen bolts, and therefore, its temperature is typically $\approx 5 \, \text{K}$ higher than that of the baseplate. The radiative coupling between the stator and the rotor is similar to that between the IRF and the rotor.

The encoder consists of two read heads, each with a set of five LEDs shining through the slotted encoder plate onto five photodiodes. At 50 K, the LEDs are current biased with 30 mA–50 mA at 1.8 V, and therefore, the power dissipated by each read head is 300 mW–500 mW. We attach each read head to the stator baseplate using four 6 mm-diameter aluminum 6061 standoffs with polished ends and interfaced with thermal grease, and the estimated readhead warm-up is $< 1 \, \text{K}$. The LEDs also shine onto the rotor’s slotted encoder plate, whose slit patterns have 50% duty cycles. The LED emits between 900 nm and 960 nm, and its beam has a peak intensity of 35 mW/sr at 50 mA bias with a full-width-half-maximum of

| Symbol | Description                             | Value     |
|--------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| $p_1$  | Radiative load from 300 K onto the IRF, including that of the sky, window, and RT-MLI | $17 \pm 5 \, \text{W}$ |
| $p_2$  | Radiative load from the encoder LEDs onto the rotor | $10 \pm 3 \, \text{mW}$ |
| $p_3$  | Power dissipated by the encoder LEDs onto the stator | $0.8 \pm 0.2 \, \text{W}$ |
| $p_4$  | Eddy current and hysteresis dissipation onto the rotor due to the motor’s oscillating magnetic field | $<1 \, \text{mW}$ |
| $p_5$  | Rotor frictional dissipation during 2 Hz rotation | $80 \pm 10 \, \text{mW}$ |
| $k_1$  | Radiative coupling between the IRF and the rotor | $7 \pm 2 \, \text{mW/K}$ |
| $k_2$  | Conductivity between the IRF and the stator | $2.5 \pm 0.3 \, \text{W/K}$ |
| $k_3$  | Radiative coupling between the stator and the rotor | $5 \pm 2 \, \text{mW/K}$ |
| $k_4$  | Radiative coupling between the solenoid array and the rotor | $<1 \, \text{mW/K}$ |
| $k_5$  | Conductivity between the motor solenoids and the stator | $0.8 \pm 0.1 \, \text{W/K}$ |
| $k_6$  | Conductivity between the rotor and the stator via the gripper | $0.7 \pm 0.1 \, \text{W/K}$ |
| $k_7$  | Radiative coupling between rotor and the field lens | $5 \pm 1 \, \text{mW/K}$ |
During 2 Hz rotation, the motor solenoids carry an rms current of $\approx 20$ mA, generating a peak-to-peak magnetic field of $\approx 20$ G. The field is generated by a $38 \mu$H, $V_D = 12$ V square-wave (at $f_{\text{HWP}} \approx 2$ Hz), which is low-pass filtered above $\approx 300$ Hz. To keep the coils cool, we epoxy them to their cores, providing a $7 \pm 2$ mW/K conductive path to the stator. At 50 K, each coil’s resistance is $\approx 3 \Omega$, dissipating $\approx 1$ mW per coil during continuous rotation. This dissipation warms the coils $\approx 1$ K, and their radiative coupling to the rotor is $<1$ mW/K. In order to minimize eddy current dissipation on the rotor due to the motor’s oscillating magnetic field, the encoder plate is made of G10, limiting eddy losses on the rotor to the sprocket ring. Electromagnetic dissipation within low-carbon steel at $\approx 10$ G and $\approx 100$ Hz frequencies induces $<1$ mW of heating on the rotor.

The field lens is $\approx 50$ mm thick and is therefore assumed to have an IR emissivity of 1 for all calculations. Its coupling to the rotor is determined purely by the CHWP aperture diameter and the field-lens view factor and is calculated to be $5 \pm 1$ mW/K.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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