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ABSTRACT

When an image of a strongly lensed quasar is microlensed, the different components of its spectrum are expected to be differentially magnified owing to the different sizes of the corresponding emitting region. Chromatic changes are expected to be observed in the continuum while the emission lines should be deformed as a function of the size, geometry and kinematics of the regions from which they originate. Microlensing of the emission lines has been reported only in a handful of systems so far. In this paper we search for microlensing deformations of the optical spectra of pairs of images in 17 lensed quasars with bolometric luminosities between \(10^{45} \text{erg/s}\) and black hole masses \(10^6-93 M_\odot\). This sample is composed of 13 pairs of previously unpublished spectra and four pairs of spectra from literature. Our analysis is based on a simple spectral decomposition technique which allows us to isolate the microlensed fraction of the flux independently of a detailed modeling of the quasar emission lines. Using this technique, we detect microlensing of the continuum in 85% of the systems. Among them, 80% show microlensing of the broad emission lines. Focusing on the most common emission lines in our spectra (C III) and Mg II) we detect microlensing of either the blue or the red wing, or of both wings with the same amplitude. This observation implies that the broad line region is not in general spherically symmetric. In addition, the frequent detection of microlensing of the blue and red wings independently but not simultaneously with a different amplitude, does not support existing microlensing simulations of a biconical outflow. Our analysis also provides the intrinsic flux ratios between the lensed images and the magnitude of the microlensing affecting the continuum. These two quantities are particularly relevant for the determination of the fraction of matter in clumpy form in galaxies and for the detection of dark matter substructures via the identification of flux ratio anomalies.
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1. Introduction

Soon after the discovery of the first gravitationally lensed quasar, it has been realised that microlensing (ML) produced by compact objects in the lensing galaxy towards a multiply imaged quasar could be used as an astrophysical tool to probe the impact objects in the lensing galaxy towards a multiply imaged quasar. Microlensing of the continuum in 85% of the systems. Among them, 80% show microlensing of the broad emission lines. Focusing on the most common emission lines in our spectra (C III) and Mg II) we detect microlensing of either the blue or the red wing, or of both wings with the same amplitude. This observation implies that the broad line region is not in general spherically symmetric. In addition, the frequent detection of microlensing of the blue and red wings independently but not simultaneously with a different amplitude, does not support existing microlensing simulations of a biconical outflow. Our analysis also provides the intrinsic flux ratio between the lensed images and the magnitude of the microlensing affecting the continuum. These two quantities are particularly relevant for the determination of the fraction of matter in clumpy form in galaxies and for the detection of dark matter substructures via the identification of flux ratio anomalies.

* Based on observations made with the ESO-VLT Unit Telescope #2 Kueyen (Cerro Paranal, Chile; Proposals 074.A-0563, 075.A-0377, 077.A-0355, PS. G. Meylan).
** The new spectra presented in this paper are available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/ and via the German virtual observatory http://dc.g-vo.org/mlqsog/q/web/form
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From our spectra, we also derive flux ratios corrected for microlensing which are closer to the intrinsic flux ratios between pairs of images. This is important for the study of doubly imaged quasars for which the flux ratios are mandatory to constrain the lens models, because of the few observational constraints in these systems (e.g. Chantry et al. 2010; Sluse et al. 2012). Intrinsic flux ratios are also particularly relevant for the identification of flux ratio anomalies possibly produced by dark matter substructures or ML. A popular technique to study the amount of massive dark matter substructures in galaxies relies on the identification of flux ratios between lensed image pairs which deviate from lens model prediction, i.e. the so-called flux ratio anomaly (Mao & Schneider 1998; Metcalf & Madau 2001; Dalal & Kochanek 2002; Keeton et al. 2003; Fadely & Keeton 2011; Zachrisson & Riess 2010, and references therein). One of the current limitation of this technique is the small number of reliable flux ratios which may be used to identify an anomaly. Indeed, most of the lensed quasars are observed at visible and near-infrared wavelengths where microlensing and differential extinction significantly contaminate the flux ratios, while only a handful of systems are detected in the mid-infrared or at radio wavelengths where these two effects are negligible. In this paper we discuss how flux ratios derived using spectra may provide a good proxy to intrinsic flux ratios, allowing one to significantly extend the sample of objects where flux ratio anomalies can be studied.

The structure of our paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the extraction and flux calibration of the archive spectra, and the spectra from literature. In Sect. 3 we derive the physical properties (bolometric luminosity, black hole mass, Eddington ratio) of the lensed quasars. We also discuss there the published redshifts of the quasar and provide an alternative value based on the Mg II emission line. Sect. 4 is devoted to the analysis of the microlensing in the spectra. It includes a presentation of the technique used to isolate the microlensed fraction of the flux, a description of the microlensing signal observed in each object and a discussion on the accuracy of our microlensing-corrected flux ratios. In Sect. 5 we discuss the microlensing signal observed in the continuum, the occurrence and variety of microlensing of the broad lines and the consequences for the structure of the BLR. Finally, we summarize our main results in Sect. 6.

2. Observations and data processing

2.1. Observations

We have gathered spectroscopic observations of 13 gravitationally lensed quasars previously presented in Eigenbrod et al. (2006b, 2007). The spectra were obtained in multi-object mode with the FORS1 instrument mounted on the ESO-VLT Unit Telescope 2 Kueyen (Cerro Paranal, Chile). The object names and main observational characteristics of the data are presented in Table 1. The following information is provided: name of the object, observing date, total exposure time, average seeing, average airmass, and reference where more details regarding the observations and data reduction can be found. All the spectra were obtained through 1′′ slit width with the G300V grism and the GG435 order sorting filter. This setup provides us with spectra from 4400 to 8650 Å. All the objects, except SDSS J1226-0006, were observed with the High Resolution collimator of FORS, leading to a spectral resolution \( R = \lambda/\Delta \lambda \sim 210 \) at 5900 Å, and to a pixel scale of 2.9 Å in the spectral direction and of 0.1′′ in the spatial direction. For SDSS J1226-006, the Standard Resolution...
Table 1. Data summary for our sample of 13 lenses. We give the object name, the redshift of the QSO lensed images \((z_s)\), of the lensing galaxy \((z_l)\), the names of the lensed images in the slit, the date of observation and exposure time, average seeing, airmass and reference to the paper where the data where first presented.

| Object       | \(z_s\) | \(z_l\) | Images | Date     | Date (MJD) | Exp.(s) | Seeing ["] | Airmass | Ref. |
|--------------|---------|---------|--------|----------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|------|
| HE 0047-1756 | 1.678   | 0.407   | B-A    | 18-07-2005 | 53569      | 2x1400  | 0.51        | 1.24    | 1    |
| Q0142-100    | 2.719   | 0.491   | B-A    | 11-08-2006 | 53958      | 2x1400  | 0.80        | 1.10    | 2    |
| SDSS J0246-0825 | 1.6891 | 0.723   | B-A    | 22-08-2006 | 53969      | 6x1400  | 0.64        | 1.23    | 2    |
| HE 0435-1223 | 1.6931  | 0.454   | B-D    | 10-11-2004 | 53289      | 4x1400  | 0.48        | 1.03    | 1    |
| SDSS J0806+2006 | 1.540  | 0.573   | B-A    | 22-04-2006 | 53847      | 2x1400  | 0.91        | 1.56    | 2    |
| FBQ 0951+2635 | 1.2471  | 0.260   | B-A    | 31-03-2006 | 53825      | 4x1400  | 0.65        | 1.60    | 2    |
| BRI 0952-0118 | 4.426   | 0.632   | B-A    | 23-04-2006 | 53848      | 6x1400  | 0.53        | 1.13    | 2    |
| SDSS J1138+0314 | 2.438   | 0.445   | C-B    | 10-05-2005 | 53500      | 5x1400  | 0.70        | 1.15    | 1    |
| J1226-0006   | 1.1231  | 0.517   | B-A    | 16-05-2005 | 53506      | 8x1400  | 0.88        | 1.25    | 1    |
| SDSS J1335+0118 | 1.570   | 0.440   | B-A    | 03-02-2005 | 53404      | 2x1400  | 0.72        | 1.15    | 1    |
| Q1355-2257   | 1.3701  | 0.701   | B-A    | 05-03-2005 | 53434      | 2x1400  | 0.71        | 1.03    | 1    |
| WFI 2033-4723 | 1.6621  | 0.661   | C-B    | 13-05-2005 | 53503      | 5x1400  | 0.54        | 1.16    | 1    |
| HE 2149-2745 | 2.033   | 0.603   | B-A    | 04-08-2006 | 53951      | 6x1400  | 0.62        | 1.48    | 2    |

Notes: † New measurements, see Sect. [3].

References. (1) Eigenbrod et al. (2006b); (2) Eigenbrod et al. (2007).
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2.2. Reduction and deconvolution

We used the combined 2D spectra of each object as obtained after the standard reduction procedure presented in Eigenbrod et al. (2006a, 2007). An example of such a 2-D spectrum is displayed in Fig. [1]. The extraction of the spectra and the flux calibration, as described hereafter, have been updated compared to the original publication. Note that the differences arise because of the different PSFs and the calibration problems. For objects where the deconvolution was not systematically inspected (Fig. 1d) to identify possible deconvolution artifacts, we also tested alternative PSFs and chose the one that gives the best results. Like Eigenbrod et al. (2006a, 2007), we use MCS deconvolution algorithm adapted to spectra (Courbin et al. 2000) in order to deblend the components of the lens system. This algorithm deconvolves the observed frame in a frame of finite resolution with a Gaussian PSF chosen to have 2 pix- per pixel resolution with a Gaussian PSF chosen to have 2 pix-

The response curve based on standard stars, and from differential extinction using the updated Paranal extinction published by Patat et al. (2011). An example of 1-D flux calibrated spectra for SDSS J1335+0118 is displayed in Fig. [1].

When possible, we checked that the flux ratios derived from our spectra are compatible with nearby simultaneous R-band ratios from literature or from the COSMOCRAIL monitoring project. We could not obtain simultaneous R-band flux ratios for the following systems: SDSS J0246-0825, SDSS J0806+2006, FBQ 0951+2635, BRI 0952-0115, SDSS J1138+0314 and Q1355-2257. Photometric and spectro-photometric data always agree within the error bars except for WFI 2033-4723 for which we find C/B = 0.85 while Vuissiez et al. (2008), retrieved C/B = 0.7 in the r-band on about the same date (MJD=53500). Because of that disagreement, we have multiplied the spectrum of image B in WFI 2033-4723 by 1.2 in order to match the photometric flux ratios. Our comparison with photometric flux ratios implies that the systematic error on the spectral ratio introduced by slit losses is < 5 %.

2.3. The extended sample

In order to provide a more global overview of the variety of systems which may be observed, we completed our main sample with five systems we studied elsewhere: SDSS J0924+0219 (Eigenbrod et al. 2006a), H1413+118 (Hutsemekers et al. (2010), J1331-1231 (Sluse et al. 2007), HE 2149-2745 (Burud et al. 2002), and Q2237+0305 (Eigenbrod et al. 2008, Sluse et al. 2011). This is not a complete list of known microlensed quasars (see e.g. Richards et al. 2004, Anguita et al. 2008a), but these systems were among the first quasars lensed by a single lensing galaxy where significant microlensing of the BLR has been unveiled and discussed, with the advantage that the fully processed spectra are at our disposal. In the following, we refer to http://dc.g-vo.org/mlqso/q/web/form
3. Physical properties of the sample

In this section, we calculate the black hole mass, the absolute luminosity, and the BLR size of each of the lensed quasars. We explain in Sect. 3.1, 3.2, & 3.3 how we derive these quantities. In Sect. 3.4 we present updated redshifts of the quasars based on a thorough analysis of a sample of about 500 SDSS spectra of intermediate redshift quasars. We derive $L_{3000}$ and FWHM(MgII) by simultaneously fitting two Gaussians to the MgII line on top of a pseudo-continuum component. The latter is the sum of a power-law continuum and of a broadened FeII template obtained by convolving (in the velocity space) the FeII template derived from I Zw 1 by Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001), with a Gaussian

3.1. Black Hole mass measurement

We estimate the virial black-hole mass using the width of the MgII emission line, following the original prescription of McLure & Dunlop (2004). In order to convert line width and continuum luminosity into black hole masses, we use a relation of the form

$$\log\left(\frac{M_{BH}}{10^6 M_\odot}\right) = a + \beta \log\left(\frac{L_{3000}}{10^{41} \text{erg/s}}\right) + \gamma \log\left(\frac{\text{FWHM}}{1000 \text{km/s}}\right),$$

(1)

where $M_{BH}$ is the black hole mass, $L_{3000} = AL_\lambda(3000\AA)$ in erg/s and FWHM is the Full Width at Half Maximum of the MgII emission line. We use $a = 1.13 \pm 0.27$, $\beta = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 1.51 \pm 0.49$ as derived by Wang et al. (2009, Eq. 10) from an analysis of a sample of about 500 SDSS spectra of intermediate redshift quasars. We derive $L_{3000}$ and FWHM(MgII) by simultaneously fitting two Gaussians to the MgII line on top of a pseudo-continuum component. The latter is the sum of a power-law continuum and of a broadened FeII template obtained by convolving (in the velocity space) the FeII template derived from I Zw 1 by Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001), with a Gaussian.
of adequate width to reproduce the observed Fe II emission. The fit is always performed in the range [2200, 2675]Å , excluding regions affected by the sky or intervening absorption. In order to use a methodology similar to the one commonly used, and despite the fact that the evidence for a narrow Mg II component in AGNs remains debated (e.g. McLure & Dunlop 2004; Sluse et al. 2007; Fine et al. 2008; Shen et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009), we model the Mg II emission with a narrow (FWHM < 1200 km/s) and a broad component (FWHM > 1200 km/s). Such a fitting procedure is similar to those used by McLure & Dunlop (2004) and Shen et al. (2008) but differs slightly from the one used by Wang et al. (2009) who included a Balmer continuum component in their fit and explicitly model Mg II as a doublet. Because of the low resolution of our spectra, it is not meaningful to split the Mg II Å line into its individual components. Å(2796), Å(2803) which are spectroscopically unresolved. On the other hand, we have not fitted a Balmer continuum because of the degeneracy between this component and the power law index. Not accounting for the Balmer continuum will not affect the measurement of the FWHM but could lead to an overestimate of L3000. The Balmer continuum is commonly assumed to contribute to ≲10% of L3000, but recent results suggest a contribution of ≳25% in average, with a large scatter (Wang, private communication, Jin et al. 2011). This remains in general small enough to weakly affect M BH since M BH ∝ L3000 0.5. During the fit, we test for three different Fe II templates: (a) a "low level" template which assumes that there is no Fe II emission under Mg II, (b) a "fiducial" template which assumes that the intensity of Fe II in the range ~ [2757, 2825]Å equals the average intensity of Fe II measured in the range [2930, 2970]Å (Fine et al. 2008), (c) a "high level" template which assumes that the intensity of Fe II in the range ~ [2757, 2825]Å is 40% larger than in case (b), such that the intensity of Fe II under Mg II equals the amount of Fe II on each side of the line. We use the fiducial template to estimate FWHM(Mg II). Because the fraction of Fe II under Mg II is the main source of error in the measurement of the FWHM, we use the spread between (a), (b) and (c) to set σ FWHM. The luminosity L3000 is estimated from the power law continuum and corrected from the macro-magnification associated to lensing (see Sect. 3.2).

In four objects, we were unable to measure the black hole mass from Mg II, because this line falls outside of the wavelength range covered by our spectra or is located less than 300 Å from the edge of the spectra (HE 2149-2745). For these systems we use black hole mass estimates from literature obtained using a relation similar to Eq. 1 but for the C IV line. Black-hole mass estimates derived from that line are more controversial because of uncertainties on the geometry of the C IV emitting region, because of the contamination from a putative narrow C IV emission and because virial equilibrium is not expected to hold perfectly for such high ionization lines (Richards et al. 2002; Bachev et al. 2004; Marziani et al. 2006; Fine et al. 2011; Assef et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Richards et al. 2011; Marziani & Sulentic 2012). For two systems (H1413+117 and J1131-1231), we also used H β to calculate M BH. For this purpose, we used the relation (A7) of McLure & Dunlop (2004).

\footnote{Our Fe II template from Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001) includes a small fraction of the Balmer continuum from I Zw 1. This is not the case of the template constructed by Tsuzuki et al. (2006) used by Wang et al. (2009) to derive Eq. 1}

3.2. Lens modeling and intrinsic luminosities

In order to derive the macro-magnification of the lensed quasar images of our main sample, we have modeled the lensing galaxy with an isothermal mass distribution using 1lenstronomy v1.996 (Keeton 2001). The doubly-imaged systems have been modeled with a Singular Isothermal Sphere (SIS) and the quads with a Singular Isothermal Ellipsoid (SIE). For both doubles and quads, we accounted for the lens environment with an external shear term γ. We used the same modeling technique as in Chantry et al. (2010) with slightly different observational constraints for the quads. In particular, we constrained the model with the relative astrometry of the lensed images and lensing galaxy, and with the flux ratio of the lensed images. We used the flux ratios M derived from our spectra (see Sect. 4 and Table 5), accounting for 10% error bars on the flux ratio. Contrary to what we did in Chantry et al. (2010), the flux ratios from our spectra have also been used to model the quads. We provide in Table 2 the values of the convergence κ, shear γ, shear position angle θ, and macro-magnification M (with the sign reflecting the image parity) predicted by the models at the location of the lensed images. Note that for two objects, published HST-based astrometry was lacking and we used instead CASTLES measurements. We should mention that the flux ratios derived for the doubly imaged quasar SDSS 0246-0825 cannot be reproduced with a SIS+γ model. This result was also found in the discovery paper (Inada et al. 2005) and suggests that a companion object may strongly modify the lens potential. The visible companion galaxy G1 located ~ 1.8″ from the lens cannot produce the anomaly and another invisible companion has to be included in the model. For this system, we searched for models with a second SIS located ±1″ from the position of the putative companion derived by Inada et al. (2005).

\footnote{http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/castles/}
D. Sluse et al.: Microlensing of the broad line region in 17 lensed quasars

We calculate the size $R_{BLR}$ of the BLR using the virial relation:

$$R_{BLR} = \frac{G M_{BH}}{f \times \text{FWHM}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $G$ is the universal constant of gravitation and $f$ is a factor of order of one which encodes assumptions regarding the BLR geometry (Vestergaard & Peterson 2006, Collin et al. 2006, Decarli et al. 2008, La Mura et al. 2009, Graham et al. 2011). As we perform only relative comparison between objects, the exact value of $f$ does not matter. We therefore assume the same value $f = 1$ for the whole sample. Because occurrence of ML does not only depend on the source size but also on the Einstein radius of the lens, we calculate $R_{BLR}/R_E$, where $R_E$ is the angular Einstein radius of a microlensed projected onto the source plane as

$$R_E = \frac{\sqrt{4G (M) D_ol D_{ls}}}{c^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the $D$’s are angular diameter distances, and the indices $o$, $l$, $s$ refer to observer, lens, and source. $(M)$ is the average mass of microlenses that we assume $(M) = 1 M_\odot$.

3.4. Redshifts

For nine out of thirteen objects re-analyzed here, we were able to measure the redshift of the source based on the MgII emission line, which is thought to give a good proxy towards the systemic redshift of the object. We found measurement compatible with literature data (i.e. within $\delta z = 0.003$) for six objects (SDSS J0806+2006 and SDSS J1335+0118, SDSS J0246-0825, SDSS J1126-006, Q1355-2257, WFI2033-4723). The differences for the other objects probably arise from the use of different emission lines used for the redshift calibration. This is clearly the case of HE 0435-1223 whose redshift was measured based on the C IV line which is known to be prone to systemic blueshifts in many quasars. The redshifts we measured based on MgII are reported in Table 1.

3.5. Physical properties of the sample: final remarks and uncertainties

Table 2. Lens models for each system of our main sample: convergence $\kappa$, shear $\gamma$, shear position angle $\theta$ and macro-magnification $M$ at the position of the lensed images. The references correspond to the lens astrometry used to perform the model.

Table 3. Physical properties of all the lensed sources discussed in this paper. Because we only measure differential ML between two macro-images, we report values of $L_{bol,1}$ and $L_{bol,2}$ derived for each of the lensed image. We use the average between $L_1$ and $L_2$ for the calculation of $M_{BLR}$ and $L_1/L_{Edd}$.

The errors on the quantities reported in Table 3 were calculated in the following way. The error on the luminosity $L$ is caused by a) microlensing, b) uncertainty on the absolute image magnification associated to the lens model, c) flux calibration and d) intrinsic variability. We assumed that the microlensing budget error can be estimated from the spread between $L_1$ and $L_2$ and that the other sources of errors (b+c+d) correspond to 20% of the flux of $L$. We added these errors quadratically. The calculation of the error on the FWHM is explained in Sect. 3.1. In case of measurements from literature, when no error bars on the FWHM were provided, we conservatively assumed $\sigma_{FWHM} = 0.25$ FWHM. The errors on the other quantities were derived using error propagation formulae. When we report $M_{BLR}$ from literature, we used a typical 0.4 dex error when no error bar was reported.

4. Microlensing analysis of the spectra

Several techniques can be used to unveil microlensing (ML) in lensed quasars. The most common method is the measurement of the emission lines equivalent width but this mostly allows one to assess microlensing of the continuum. Alternative methods exist such as “multi-variable” scaling of the spectra (e.g. Angonin et al. 1990, Burud et al. 2002, Wucknitz et al. 2005), the technique introduced by Popović & Chartas (2005) based on multi-epoch spectra, flux ratio measurements after a multi-component decomposition of the spectra (Wucknitz et al. 2003, Sluse et al.)
Table 3. Physical quantities associated to the source quasar. Values in parentheses are from Peng et al. (2006) and Assef et al. (2011). The last four systems under the horizontal line are part of the extended sample which gather data from literature (Sect. 2.3).

Notes: † Lower limit, see Appendix A of Assef et al. (2011); ‡ the redshift of this object is insecure (Kneib et al. 1998; Gnocchea & Shlayapin 2010) and we used $z_\ast = 1.0$

4.1. Macro-micro decomposition (MmD)

Following Sluse et al. (2007) and Hutsemékers et al. (2010), we assume that the spectrum of an observed lensed image $F_{\lambda}$ is the superposition of 2 components, one component $F_M$ which is only macro-lensed and another one, $F_{M\mu}$, both macro- and micro-lensed. According to this procedure, it is possible to extract $F_M$ and $F_{M\mu}$ by using pairs of observed spectra. Defining $M = M_1/M_2 (> 0)$ as the macro-magnification ratio between image 1 and image 2 and $\mu$ as the relative micro-lensing factor between image 1 and 2, we have:

$$F_1 = F_M \times M + \mu \times F_{M\mu}$$
$$F_2 = F_M + F_{M\mu}.$$  (4)

To extract $F_M$ and $F_{M\mu}$ when $M$ is not known a priori, these equations can be conveniently rewritten

$$F_M = \frac{A}{A-M} (F_1 - F_2)$$
$$F_{M\mu} = \frac{M}{A-M} (F_2 - F_1),$$  (5)

where $A = M \times \mu$. As explained in more detail in Hutsemékers et al. (2010, Sect. 4.1 and Appendix A), the factor $A$ can be accurately determined as the value for which $F_{M\mu}(A) = 0$ in the continuum adjacent to the emission line. The factor $M$ is chosen so that there is no visible emission above the continuum in $F_{M\mu}(M)$ at the wavelength of the narrow emission lines which originate from regions too large to be microlensed. Often, narrow emission lines are absent of the observed spectrum and then $M$ is chosen to minimise the emission above the continuum in $F_{M\mu}$ at the location of the broad line (see Sect. 4.2 for an illustration). If only a portion of the broad emission line is not microlensed, then $M$ can be determined using this portion of the line (see Hutsemékers et al. 2010). The micro-(de)magnification factor $\mu$ is simply derived using $\mu = F_M/A/M$.

The factor $M$ is generally wavelength dependent because differential extinction between the lensed images produced by the lensing galaxy may take place. In addition, chromatic ML sometimes occurs due to wavelength dependence of the source size, the blue continuum emitting region being smaller and more microlensed than the red one, we may also have a wavelength dependence of $\mu$. For this reason it is necessary to estimate $A$ and $M$ in the vicinity of each emission line. Because differential extinction affects both the emission line and the continuum, it does not modify the decomposition outlined in Eq. (5) as far as $A$ and $M$ are derived at the location of each line.

Our method should ideally be applied to pairs of spectra separated by the time delay. In Appendix A we show that intrinsic variability generally leads to small errors on $M$ and $\mu$ and could rarely mimic microlensing deformation of the emission lines, only when the time delay between the lensed images is large (typically $>50$ days). Consequently, we apply the MmD to spectra obtained at one epoch, like those described in Sect. 2 with the caveat that in rare occasions intrinsic variability could be an issue.

4.2. Example

We illustrate in this section how the MmD is used to derive $A$, $M$ and $\mu$ and to unveil microlensing of the emission lines. In Fig. 3 we show the MmD applied, at the location of the Mg II emission, to the spectra of images $B$ and $D$ of HE 0435-1223. The MmD is performed in the following way. Up to a scale factor, $F_{M\mu}$ only depends on $A$, and $F_{M\mu}$ on $M$. Therefore we first mea-
Fig. 3. Macro-micro decomposition (MmD) applied to the Mg II line in HE 0435-1223 using the spectra of images B ($F_1$) and D ($F_2$). The bottom panel shows $F_M$ for three different values of $A$ and an arbitrary value of $M$. The best value of $A$ is $A \sim 1.2$ because it leads to $F_M = 0$ in the continuum regions blueward and redward of the emission line. The upper panel shows the decomposition for 3 different values of $M$. The best value is $M = 1.47$ because it minimizes the emission in the line, i.e. keeping the flux at the location of the line just above the apparent local continuum depicted as a dotted black line.

Fig. 4. Spectra of the systems Q0142-100 and HE 2149-2745 from our main sample are not shown because of the absence of microlensing. A detailed description of the microlensing signal is provided in Appendix C (resp. Appendix D) for the main (resp. extended) sample. In Table 4, we summarize the results of the MmD. For each object we provide $A$, $M$, $\mu$, we list the possible sources of chromatic variations of $A$ and the part of the broad emission line affected by microlensing, i.e. the line core ($LC$) which corresponds to the velocity range [-500:+500] km/s, the blue wing ($BW$), or the red wing ($RW$). When the signal is uncertain (because it is weak or depends on the exact value of $M$), we put the value in parentheses. When we do not detect microlensing of the continuum, we do not fill these three columns. The definition of these three regions does not rely on a strict definition based on the line width and velocity ranges because of the variety of lines studied (sometimes blended with other lines) and of the uncertainty of the systemic redshift. We found, however, that this qualitative rating gives a fairly good synthetic view of the observed signal, with the drawback that it does not reflect the relative amplitude of each microlensed component. A more quantitative description, based on multi-component line fit and simulations, will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

4.4. Accuracy of the intrinsic flux ratios

We check that our measurement of the flux ratio $M$ is a good estimate of the macro-model flux ratio. For this purpose, we compare it with flux ratios collected from literature, measured in the near-infrared or at larger wavelengths. Near-infrared flux ratios are believed to be less affected by micro-lensing because of the larger size of the emitting region (Wambsganss & Paczyński 1991). They should therefore be a good proxy of the macro-model flux ratio. However, we may not exclude that in some cases, the size of the emission region is small enough to be affected by microlensing (Agol et al. 2009; Kishimoto et al. 2009, 2011). Table 5 summarizes measurements of the flux ratios from our spectra (col.#3) and at larger wavelengths (col.#4, from literature). In that table, the value of $M$ in col. #3 is an average between the values derived in the blue and the red part of the spectrum when we cannot disentangle between chromatic ML and differential extinction (the spread is then quoted in parentheses and becomes our formal uncertainty). Otherwise, the values are measured at our reddest wavelength. Figure 5 shows the pseudo-color $\Delta R_M = -2.5 \log (M_{\nu}/M)$, where $M$ is the flux ratio derived from the MmD and $M_n$ a broad band measurement in various spectral window (Table 3). Three spectral windows are considered. First the region around 7500 Å (which corresponds to the reddest part of our spectra). Second, the $H$-band (~1.6 $\mu$m). Third, the reddest available wavelength ($K$-band = 2.2 $\mu$m, $L$-band = 3.8 $\mu$m, 11.5 $\mu$m or radio 8.4 Ghz; see Table 3). There are five objects which are lacking flux measurements, at a wavelength redder than $H$-band. In that figure, we have quadratically added the expected variability over the time delay period (see Table C.1 in Appendix) to the error bar of the continuum flux ratio. This error in principle affects $M$, and should therefore be propagated to all the other measurements. However, to ease legibility and identify more clearly discrepancies, we have included the variability error budget only to the spectroscopic flux ratios.

Figure 5 shows that there is a general trend towards $\Delta R_M = 0$ with increasing wavelength (black circle symbols), confirming that our estimate of $M$ is a good proxy to intrinsic flux ratios (see Appendix C & D for a discussion of each object individually). Small discrepancies of up to 0.1 mag are however observed because of intrinsic variability, and possibly of dif-

4.3. Results

The MmD at the location of the main emission lines is shown in Fig. 4. The spectra of the systems Q0142-100 and HE 2149-2745 from our main sample are not shown because of the absence of
Fig. 4. Macro-micro decomposition (MmD) around the main emission lines. In each panel, we show the part of the line profile which is only microlensed, $F_M$, and the part of the line profile which is both macro- and micro-lensed, $F_{M\mu}$. The spectrum of Image #2 ($F_2 = F_M + F_{M\mu}$) is superimposed (dotted line). The vertical dotted line denotes the rest wavelength of the emission line (using $z_s$ from Table 1). The values of $M$ and $\mu$ are given for each decomposition (Sect. 4.1). $F_{M\mu}$ contains the micro-magnified continuum and, quite often, a part of the emission line profiles, while $F_M$ contains the bulk of the emission lines unaffected by microlensing.
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(o3) J1131-1231, image pair A-B (Mg II)

(o4) J1131-1231, image pair A-B (Hβ+[O III])

(p1) H1413+117 (C IV)

(p2) H1413+117 (Hβ+[O III])

(q) HE 2149-2745 (C III)

(r1) Q2237+0305 (C IV)

(r2) Q2237+0305 (C III)

(r3) Q2237+0305 (Mg II+ atm)

Fig. 4. continued
Among the 12 systems for which $K$ general smaller than the prediction from the structure function (see Sect. 4.1). Column #8 lists the possible origins of the chromatic changes of $A$ and the image pair used for the decomposition, cols. #5, #6, and #7 give the continuum flux ratio $‡$. Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (f) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (g) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (h) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (i) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (j) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (k) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (l) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (m) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (n) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (o) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (p) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (q) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (r) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007).

Notes: (a) Uncertain due to the proximity to the edge. (b) Likely cross contamination between the lens and the QSO images. (c) The noisy decomposition around C III might hide a faint broad component similar to the one observed in Mg II. There is a narrow component in the red wing superimposed to the broad one. (d) Spectra already corrected for chromatic effects induced by the host galaxy (see Sluse et al. 2007). (e) Spectra already corrected for differential extinction between A & D (see Sluse et al. 2011). Objects from the extended sample.

Table 4. Results of the MmD technique (see Sect. 4.3 and Appendix C & D for details). Cols. #1 and #2 give the object name and the image pair used for the decomposition, cols. #5, #6, and #7 give the continuum flux ratio $A$, the macro-magnification ratio $M$, and the micro-magnification $\mu_{cont}$ of the continuum. These quantities are given at a maximum wavelength (observed frame) given in col.#3, which corresponds to the center of the emission line given in col. #4 (see Sect. 4.1). Column #8 lists the possible origins of the chromatic changes of $A$, namely differential extinction (DE), chromatic microlensing (CML), contamination by the lens (LC), or intrinsic variability (IV). The last 3 columns indicate if microlensing of the broad line is seen in the blue wing (BW), line core (LC; $\pm$500:+500) km/s, or red wing (RW). The value 0 is used when there is no microlensing and 1 when it is present. The value is in parentheses when the signal is weak or depends strongly on the exact value of $M$.

Table C.1. Among the 12 systems for which $K$, $L$, MIR or radio flux ratios were available, five objects (depicted with open symbols) have flux ratios in the red which disagree significantly with our estimate of $M$. In one case (J1311-1231), it is likely that the flux ratio in $K$–band is significantly affected by microlensing. Two other systems (HE 0435-1223 and SDSS J0806-2006) are already flagged as anomalous because of the discrepancy between their $K$– and $L$–band flux ratios $‡$. This anomaly might be produced by a massive substructure in the lens (Fadely & Keeton 2012), and deserves further investigation. The origin of the disagreement between our estimate of $M$ and the $K$–band flux ratios for the last two objects (SDSS J1138+0314, SDSS J1335+0118) is less clear. The most simple explanation is intrinsic variability larger than our prediction of $M$, and the micro-magnification $\mu_{cont}$ of the continuum. These quantities are given at a maximum wavelength (observed frame) given in col.#3, which corresponds to the center of the emission line given in col. #4 (see Sect. 4.1). Column #8 lists the possible origins of the chromatic changes of $A$, namely differential extinction (DE), chromatic microlensing (CML), contamination by the lens (LC), or intrinsic variability (IV). The last 3 columns indicate if microlensing of the broad line is seen in the blue wing (BW), line core (LC; $\pm$500:+500) km/s, or red wing (RW). The value 0 is used when there is no microlensing and 1 when it is present. The value is in parentheses when the signal is weak or depends strongly on the exact value of $M$.

‡ The case of HE 0435-1223 is a bit puzzling since two discrepant measurements of the $K$–band flux ratios have been published by Fadely & Keeton (2011) and Blackburne et al. (2011).
is systematically closer to 0 (no ML) than the value at 7500 Å. This trend supports the idea that intrinsic variability and differential extinction do not add much noise in the estimation of $M$ (otherwise the effect would not be systematic). In addition, $\Delta R_M$ is in general less close to 0 in $H$-band than at redder wavelength. This confirms that ML is often detectable in $H$-band. We would expect the source at larger redshift (i.e. for which $H$-band corresponds to the bluest and therefore smallest emitting regions) to be more prone to ML in the $H$-band than the low redshift ones, but $H$-band ML is also observed in the low redshift sources. There is neither a clear correlation with the black-hole mass. The absence of such correlations is likely related to ML variability, because the $H$-band data and optical spectra are not simultaneous, and to the variety of microlensing events which may not all lead to significant ML in the $H$-band.

The above discussion confirms the efficiency of the MmD to derive intrinsic flux ratios, with a typical inaccuracy of 0.1 mag associated to intrinsic variability and, in a few cases, systematic errors associated to differential extinction and microlensing.

5. Discussion

The objects of our main sample (Sect. 2.1) were targeted with the goal of measuring the redshift of the lensing galaxy and independently of any known detection of microlensing. Therefore, we can make some basic statistics regarding the chance of detection of microlensing in a lensed quasar based on these systems. Because observations were not performed exactly at the same time but over a two-years period, and because of possible secondary selection biases (e.g. selection of the brighter targets, large source redshift range, bright lensing galaxy, mix of doubles and quads), this estimate should not be very accurate.

On the other hand, we can use the complete sample to discuss the variety of microlensing deformations of the broad lines. We first address the occurrence of microlensing of the continuum and its chromatic variations in Sect. 5.2. Afterwards, we discuss the occurrence of microlensing of the broad emission lines and try to identify some trends as a function of the object physical and spectral properties (Sect. 5.3). We finally discuss the consequences of our observations for our understanding of the BLR in Sect. 5.3.

5.1. Microlensing of the continuum

Our observations confirm that microlensing of the continuum is observed at a level $> 0.05$ mag in 11 out of 13 systems, i.e. $\sim 85\%$ of the systems. Because Q0142-100 and HE2149-2745 are the 2 systems with the largest black hole mass, we may be tempted to associate the absence of microlensing in these systems to a large size of the continuum emitting region. However, simple estimates of the latter based on the accretion disc theory lead to continuum sizes at least 10 times smaller than the microlens Einstein radius (Mosquera & Kochanek 2001). On the other hand, the past detection of microlensing in HE2149-2745 (Burud et al. 2002) teaches us that microlensing of the continuum can be observed in these massive quasars.

Despite the large occurrence of microlensing of the continuum, significant chromatic variations over the optical wavelength range, and more specifically those possibly caused by microlensing, are less common. Only four out of twelve systems show changes possibly associated to microlensing (HE 0047-1756, SDSS J0246-0825, HE 0435-1223, Q1355-2257). This probably reflects that the source often lies far enough from a microlensing-caustic such that chromatic ML in the optical range is only weak. We should however notice that microlensing-induced chromatic changes are expected for all the microlensed sources if the wavelength coverage is large enough (i.e. extending up to 2.5 μm, cf. Bate et al. 2008, Yonehara et al. 2008, Floyd et al. 2009, Blackburne et al. 2011).

5.2. Microlensing of the BLR

The most common broad emission lines (BEL) detected in our sample are C III] and Mg II. Therefore we focus our discussion
Looking to the results of the MnD we found: 

- The C III] and Mg II emission lines are simultaneously observed in nine systems. For two of them, microlensing affects none of the lines and for six others, both lines are affected (but the signal in C III] is uncertain in two cases). Microlensing of C III] only, is seen in one system (WFI 2033-4723), but in this particular case the signal might also be due to microlensing of the [Al III] or [Si III] lines blended with the blue wing of C III].

- In most cases either the red wing or the blue wing is microlensed, with roughly equal occurrence. In addition, the microlensing signal always starts relatively close to the systemic redshift (typically within 1000 km/s).

- Two of the six systems where microlensing of Mg II and C III] is simultaneously observed display $F_{\text{Mg II}}$ with a different shape in Mg II and C III]. These systems (SDSS J1335+0118 and Q1355-2257) are also those ones where a significant blueshift ($\sim 1000$ km/s) of C III] is visible.

- For one system (SDSS J1335+0118), the microlensing signal suggests two velocity components in the BLR, one which gives rise to a broad symmetric profile and the other one to a narrow component centered at $\sim 1500$ km/s. These components are detected in both C III] and Mg II profiles despite their different shapes. Note also that the Mg II line in this object is more asymmetric than in any other system of our sample.

- The strongest microlensing effects in the BEL are in general associated to a symmetric signal affecting both positive (red) and negative (blue) velocities (Q2237+0305, J1131-1231, BRI0952-115 and SDSS J1138+0314). This effect is expected for most of the BLR geometries studied in the literature when the source lies in a large demagnification valley of the micro-caustic network or when a large fraction of the source crosses a micro-caustic (e.g., Abajas et al. 2007). In the first case the symmetry should last several crossing timescales, while in the second case, the effect should be more transient.

- Figure 6 shows the properties of the microlensing signal in Mg II or C III] as a function of the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}}$ and of $R_{\text{BLR}}/R_E$. Almost any kind of microlensing signal is observed at a given $L_{\text{bol}}$ or $R_{\text{BLR}}/R_E$. The objects with smaller $R_{\text{BLR}}/R_E$ seem more prone to stronger deformation of the lines, as expected. Possibly because of the large error bars, we did not detect any correlation of the ML signal with the other physical properties calculated in Table 5.

### 5.3. Consequences for the BLR

The current analysis has identified various signatures of microlensing of the BLR of quasars. A confrontation of the observed signal to different geometries of the BLR is beyond the scope of the present paper. However, the data presented here allow us to derive several qualitative results.

(a) The typical amount of microlensing we observe suggests that the BLR cannot be much more extended than the microlensing Einstein radius $R_E$. Assuming that 10% of the emission line flux is microlensed (see Fig. 4), the volume of the region magnified by a microlens of $R_E$ should roughly constitute 10% of the total emitting volume. For a spherical lens.

---

**Table 5. Comparison of $M$ from this paper (col. #3) with values derived in the literature (col. #4).** The object name (col. #1) has been shortened to the first 4 digits of the RA. The last column indicates the filter/line for which the literature measurement has been performed. See Sect. 4.4 for discussion.

| Object | $F_{\text{1}}/F_{\text{2}}$ | $(M_{\text{this work}})$ | $M_{\text{literature}}$ | Filter/line |
|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| (a) 0047 | A/B | 0.220±0.035 | 0.240±0.020 | C III] |
| (b) 0142 | A/B | 0.131±0.003 | 0.121±0.004 | H–band |
| (c) 0246 | A/B | 0.340±0.010 | 0.302±0.062 | H–band |
| (d) 0435 | B/D | 1.470±0.050 | 1.270±0.016 | H–band |
| (e) 0806 | A/B | 0.402±0.020 | 0.084±0.035 | H–band |
| (f) 0951 | A/B | 0.230±0.020 | 0.285±0.005 | H–band |
| (g) 0952 | A/B | 0.440±0.000 | 0.283±0.03 | H–band |
| (h) 1138 | C/B | 1.100±0.050 | 1.191±0.015 | H–band |
| (i) 1226 | A/B | 0.850±0.050 | 0.499±0.006 | H–band |
| (j) 1335 | A/B | 0.230±0.020 | 0.299±0.008 | H–band |
| (k) 1355 | A/B | 0.340±0.030 | 0.257±0.030 | H–band |
| (l) 2033 | B/C | 0.900±0.020 | 0.91±0.025 | Ks–band |
| (m) 2149 | A/B | 0.245±0.002 | 0.245±0.005 | C III] |
| (n) 0924 | A/B | 0.380±0.001 | 0.44±0.04 | H–band |
| (o) 1131 | B/A | 2.150±0.05 | 1.294±0.156 | H–band |
| (p) 1413 | AB/D | 0.425±0.015 | 0.31±0.006 | K–band |
| (r) 2237 | A/D | 1.0±0.200 | 0.410±0.100 | H–band |

Notes: †: We assumed 0.05 mag on the photometry of the individual images. ‡: We arbitrarily assumed 20% uncertainty on the flux ratio.

**References.** (1) Wisotzki et al. (2004); (2) Fadely & Keeton (2011); (3) Courbin et al. (2011); (4) Schechter et al. (2008); (5) Oguri et al. (2004); (6) Morgan et al. (2004); (7) Varegas et al. (2000); (8) CASTLES; (9) Chantery et al. (2010); (10) Sluse et al. (2012); (11) Lehár et al. (2000); (12) Inada et al. (2005); (13) Sluse et al. (2008); (14) Falco et al. (1999); (15) Burud et al. (2002); (16) Blackburne et al. (2011); (17) Eigenbrod et al. (2006a); (18) Sluse et al. (2006); (19) Falco et al. (1996); (20) Chantry & Magain (2007); (21) MacLeod et al. (2009).
BLR, this means $\pi R_{BLR}^2 \times 2R_{BLR} \sim 0.1 \times 4/3 \pi R_{BLR}^3$, i.e., $R_{BLR} \sim 4R_E$. A similar value is obtained for a face-on disk. Although this is a very simplified treatment of the problem, this is in agreement with the independent estimates of $R_{BLR}$ given in Table 3.

(b) The frequent observation of asymmetric blue/red deformations of the emission lines demonstrates that the BLR does not have, in general, a spherically symmetric geometry and velocity field. As shown by the simulation of Schneider & Wambsganss [1990], Abajas et al. [2002], Lewis & Ibata [2004], asymmetric deformation of the broad lines can only be produced when the BLR has an anisotropic geometry or velocity field (i.e. axially symmetric, biconic, ...). Note that the symmetric microlensing of the broad line observed in some systems (e.g. Q2237+0305, J1131-1231, SDSS 1138+0314) may still occur with an anisotropic BLR. In this case the signal could become asymmetric and revealed by a dedicated monitoring campaign.

(c) The comparison of the microlensing signal in Mg II and C III] suggests that differences observed in emission lines of different ionisation degrees are more pronounced when one of the two lines is not centered at the systemic redshift. This may be of particular importance to disentangle blueshift caused by obscuration of the redward part of the line and blueshift associated to the dynamics of the BLR (e.g. Richards et al. 2002, 2011).

(d) For one system (SDSS J0246-0825), a symmetric microlensing of the emission line is observed with a dip in the line core. This is strikingly similar to the signal expected for a biconical disk (Abajas et al. 2002, Lewis & Ibata 2004, Abajas et al. 2007). However, the solution may not be unique. Microlensing of keplerian disks (modified disks like those introduced in Abajas et al. 2002) or relativistic discs as discussed in Popović et al. 2001, sometimes lead to a dip in the microlensed signal at zero velocity. Only one other system (HE 0047-1756) shows ML similar to the one observed in SDSS J0246-0825 but with a larger signal in one wing than in the other, a case which should in principle be more likely (Abajas et al. 2002, 2007). This might be an observational bias (microlensing of the other wing is too small to be detected), or not. In this case, it seems, according to published simulations, that microlensing of a keplerian disc qualitatively better reproduces the observed signal.

Fig. 6. Amplitude of microlensing in the continuum as a function of $\log(L_{bol})$ (left) and of $\log(R_{BLR}/R_E)$ (right). Different symbols are used to indicate the characteristics of the ML observed in the Mg II or C III] emission (Table 4). A green triangle corresponds to ML of the blue wing (BW), a red circle to ML of the red wing (RW), a magenta hexagon to a more complex signal (other), and a black cross is used for object where no ML of the line is detected.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have searched for the presence of microlensing, in the continuum and in the broad emission lines, among strongly lensed quasars based on optical spectroscopy of a sample of 13 systems (3 quadruply and 10 doubly-imaged systems). The spectra of these systems, originally targeted for detecting and measuring the redshift of their lensing galaxy (Eisenbud et al. 2006b, 2007), have been re-reduced and deconvolved in order to accurately deblend the flux of the lensed images and of the lensing galaxy. For the three systems BRI 0952-0115, SDSS J1138+0314, SDSS J1226-0006, these are the first published spectra of the individual lensed images. In order to get a more complete overview of the variety of microlensing signals which can be detected, we have complemented our main sample with previously analysed objects, i.e. SDSS J0924+0219, HE 2149-2745, J1131-1231, Q2237+0305 and H1413+117, extending our sample to 17 objects.

We derive robust estimates of the intrinsic flux ratios $M$ between the lensed images and of the amplitude $\mu$ of microlensing of the continuum. Based on optical spectra only, we have shown that we can retrieve $M$ to typically 0.1 mag accuracy. Higher accuracy may be expected by using spectra separated by the time delay, over a wavelength range extending from UV to NIR. Our ability to derive accurate $M$ and $\mu$ is particularly relevant for the study of the so called “flux ratio anomalies” produced by dark matter substructures or microlensing (Mao & Schneider 1998, Dalal & Kochanek 2002). Indeed, current studies of this effect are challenged by the limited number of reliable flux ratios (Keeton et al. 2005, Metcalf & Amara 2012, Xu et al. 2012). Therefore, the use of our technique should help in increasing the number of interesting systems. Our results may also be used to derive the fraction of smooth matter in lensing galaxies. A study of the probability of deriving the observed values of $\mu$ in sample
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lensed quasars, varying the fraction of compact/smooth dark matter along the line-of-sight of the lensed images, makes possible to constrain the fraction of matter in compact form in these galaxies (Schechter & Wambsganss 2004; Pooley et al. 2009; Mediavilla et al. 2009; Bate et al. 2011; Pooley et al. 2012).

We find in our sample, which contains sources with bolometric luminosities in the range $10^{44.7}$–$10^{47.1}$ erg/s and black hole masses in the range $10^7$–$10^8 M_\odot$, that 85% of the sources show microlensing of the continuum. The microlensing of the continuum is not systematically associated to significant chromatic changes in the optical range. These chromatic changes are not necessarily absent but relatively weak. Because we observe microlensing of the continuum in our sample in the range 0.2-0.8 mag in R-band (observed frame), microlensing may in general not be neglected in the H-band (1.6 μm). This implies that studies of the accretion disc based on microlensing will strongly benefit of a large wavelength coverage, extending at least up to the K-band. Another consequence is that microlensing may often exceed differential extinction in the NIR, leading to possible biases in studies of extragalactic extinction curves in lensed quasars.

Using our MmD decomposition technique, we have been able to unveil microlensing-induced deformation of the emission lines independently of a detailed modeling of the quasar spectrum. Among the systems with a microlensed continuum, 80% show deformations of at least one broad emission line. The two major characteristics of the signal are its relatively low amplitude (typically 10% of the line is affected) and its variety. We searched for correlation between the observed microlensing signal and the luminosity, black hole mass and Eddington ratio of the microlensed quasars, but we did not find any clear correlation. Contrary to most previous observations, we frequently detect microlensing of either the blue or the red wing of the broad emission lines instead of a signal affecting roughly symmetrically both components. This simple observation implies that the BLR does not have a spherically symmetric geometry and velocity field, at least in those objects. Microlensing of only one wing of the line has been observed recurrently in the past for SDSS J1004+4112 (Richards et al. 2004; Lamer et al. 2006).

Gómez-Álvarez et al. (2006) and Abajas et al. (2007) has shown that the signal observed in that system is compatible with microlensing of a biconical wind but that in general the two wings should be affected by microlensing, with different amplitudes. Such a signal is detected in only one system of our sample. This suggests that the simple biconical model is not adequate for the BLR of the lines we studied (CIII and MgII). A modified keplerian disc model seems to be a promising alternative as a “generic” BLR model.
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Appendix A: Effect of intrinsic variability on the MmD

The MmD technique described in Sect. 4.1 should ideally be applied to pairs of spectra separated by the time-delay in order correct for any effect introduced by intrinsic variability. Hereafter, we investigate how intrinsic variability may affect the MmD technique applied to spectra obtained at a single epoch. For this purpose, we have decided to create mock lensed systems based on existing spectra of quasars observed at several epochs. The principle of our simulation is to pick pairs of spectra of an object at two different epochs $t_1, t_2$. A pair of spectra simulates the single-epoch spectra of two images of a macro-lensed quasar with a time delay $\Delta t = t_1 - t_2$ and $M = 1$. Then, we amplify the continuum of one image to simulate microlensing and apply the MmD. Since only the continuum is microlensed, we do not expect emission lines or part of them in $F_{\text{MmD}}$, except possible contamination due to intrinsic variability.

Specifically, we proceed as follows. First, we used publicly available reverberation mapping data of Palomar-Green quasars. In this database, we choose pairs of spectra of the same object separated by a delay $\Delta t$ in the ranges (a) 1–20 days, (2) 20–40 days, (3) 40–60 days, (4) 60–100 days. Second, we artificially microlensed the continuum of the first spectrum by a factor $\mu = 1.5$. Third, we applied the MmD, estimating automatically $A$ and choosing $M$ to minimize the flux in $F_{\text{MmD}}$ at the position of the H$\beta$ line. Note that we had to restrict ourselves to pairs of spectra obtained with the same instrumental setup to avoid spurious line deformation introduced by variable spectral resolution. We show in Fig. A.1 the result of this procedure at the position of the H$\beta$ line for PG0052 ($R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 134$ light days), PG0953 ($R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 151$ light days), PG1613 ($R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 39$ light days), PG0026 ($R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 113$ light days). We also report the measured fractional variation of the continuum ($\epsilon_c$) and of the line ($\epsilon_l$) during $\Delta t$. This figure illustrates that in general the deformations of the emission lines caused by intrinsic variability are too weak to mimic microlensing and introduce a significant signal above the continuum in $F_{\text{MmD}}$ at the location of H$\beta$. When the delay becomes large (typically $> 40$ days), it happens that a weak signal is detected in the emission lines (e.g. pannel (c) and (d) for PG0052, pannel (c) for PG0026). This happens when $\epsilon_l$ is large and when it differs significantly from $\epsilon_c$. From this figure, it seems that differences of $\epsilon_l$ and $\epsilon_c$ by more than 10% are needed to introduce noticeable line deformations in the decomposition. In order to derive how frequent this situation appears, we have calculated $\epsilon_l/\epsilon_c$ as a function of $\Delta t$ for the objects of the sample of Kaspi et al. (2000). We did not find a clear change of $\epsilon_l/\epsilon_c$ for the standard deviation $\sigma_{\epsilon_l}$ with the size of the BLR. Therefore, we report in Table A.1 the average value of $\epsilon_l/\epsilon_c$ together with the average value of $\sigma_{\epsilon_l}$. We see in Table A.1 that $\epsilon_l/\epsilon_c$ is in average equal to 1 with a scatter $< 10\%$ on periods corresponding to a time delay $< 50$ days. Since most of our targets have $\Delta t < 50$ days (13 out of 17 targets), we may safely conclude that statistically, intrinsic variability is unlikely to mimic microlensing of the broad lines for such time-delays. The situation might be less favourable for the objects with $\Delta t > 50$ days, but only two out of four of these systems (Q1355-2257 and WFT 2033-4723) show possible microlensing of the emission lines.

Similarly to the example of MmD applied to HE 0435-1223 in Sect. 4.2, we show in Fig. B.1 the MmD applied to mock spectra roughly mimicking our spectra of HE 0435-1223. The mock spectra of HE 0435-1223 are defined in the following way:

$$F^\text{mock}_B = M_B (\mu_B F_c + \mu'_B E_c + E_b)$$

$$F^\text{mock}_D = M_D (\mu_D F_c + \mu'_D E_c + E_b)$$

(B.1)

where $F_c$ is the continuum emission, $(E_b, E_c)$ are gaussian emission profiles centered on $(\lambda_{E_b}, \lambda_{E_c}) = (2798, 2803) \, \text{Å}$ and with $(FWHM_{E_b}, FWHM_{E_c}) = (5700, 2500) \, \text{km/s}$. In this equation, the macro model magnification $M$ and the micro-magnification $\mu$ (for the continuum) and $\mu'$ (for the line) of individual images have been written explicitly. These quantities have been chosen arbitrarily such that $M = M_B/M_D = 6.46/4.39 = 1.47$ (matching the macro-model), $\mu = \mu_B/\mu_D = 0.74/0.9 = 0.82$, and $\mu' = \mu'_B/\mu'_D = 0.85/1.0 = 0.85$. We used a different micro-magnification factor in the line and in the continuum to account for the fact that lines are emitted in a region larger than the continuum (i.e. $\mu_c$ closer to 1 than $\mu_c$). We have also added a fake atmospheric absorption to the spectra of B and D in order to increase the similarity with the observed spectrum of H 0435-1223. Despite the similarity with the data, the model of the emission line of Eq. B.1 should be considered only for illustration purpose. The MmD applied to these spectra is the same as the one discussed in Sect. 4.2. In absence of noise, the value $M = 1.47$ and $\mu = 0.82$ are retrieved. The component $F_{\text{MmD}}$ shows that a red fraction of the emission line (corresponding to our input component $E_c$) is retrieved as microlensed, in agreement with our input model.

Appendix C: Characteristics of the main sample

We provide hereafter detailed notes about the characteristics of the spectra of each object of the main sample. For each target we discuss i) the quality of the deconvolution, which might introduce spurious signal, ii) the chromatic changes observed in the spectra, iii) the microlensing-induced deformation of the emission lines, when a deformation is observed, and iv) important results from literature which shed light on the source of chromaticity and confirm/infirm our flux ratio measurements. We provide in Fig. C.1 the spectral flux ratios between the pairs of images and we discuss in the text four origins for the chromatic changes in the spectral ratios: differential extinction (DE), chromatic microlensing (CML), contamination by the lens (LC), or intrinsic variability (IV). In order to quantify the possible systematic error on our estimate of $M$ caused by intrinsic variability, we also

| $\Delta t$ | $\epsilon_l/\epsilon_c (H\beta)$ | $\sigma_{\epsilon_l}$ |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 5         | 1.00            | 0.02            |
| 10        | 1.00            | 0.03            |
| 20        | 1.00            | 0.05            |
| 30        | 1.00            | 0.06            |
| 40        | 1.00            | 0.07            |
| 50        | 1.01            | 0.08            |
| 100       | 1.01            | 0.10            |
| 150       | 1.01            | 0.11            |

Table A.1. Average value of fractional variation of the H$\beta$ line and of the continuum ($\epsilon_c/\epsilon_c$) on periods $\Delta t$ (col. 1), for the sample of reverberation mapped quasars of published in Kaspi et al. (2000). The average scatter $\sigma_{\epsilon_c}$ is given in col. 3.
Fig. A.1. Application of the MmD method for Hβ & [O III] for mock lensed quasars simulated based on spectro-photometric monitoring data of Palomar-Green quasars. For each pair of spectra separated by a delay \( \Delta t \), the continuum of the one of the spectra has been artificially microlensed by \( \mu = 1.5 \) and \( M = 1 \) has been assumed. For legibility, only the fraction \( F_M \) (red solid line) and the reference spectrum (blue dotted line) are shown. Each row corresponds to a different object. The time-delay between the pairs of spectra is increasing from panel (a) to (d). For each panel, we provide \( M \) retrieved with the decomposition, the variability in the continuum \( \epsilon_c \) and in the line \( \epsilon_l \).

Provide in Table C.1 the amplitude of variation \( \Delta m \) of an object over the time-spent of the time-delay. This quantity has been derived using the \( g \)-band structure functions (divided in 6 bins of \( M_{BH} \) and \( L \), cf. their Eq. 2 and Table 3) of Wilhite et al. (2008) and the black hole masses and luminosities of Table 3. In the following, the references to the NIR flux ratios from literature are not systematically given. They can be found in Table 5.

(a) HE0047-1756: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is slightly less good than for other systems. The total flux left in the residual under the QSO images never exceeds 0.1% of the
Fig. C.1. Flux ratio for all the image pairs of our main sample.
flux of the QSO image. Although this is a very small amount of flux, this appears as a systematic feature suggesting that the PSF is less representative of the QSO images than in other systems. 

**Chromaticity:** The ratio B/A shows a monotonic decrease with increasing wavelength. This chromatic change is not due to contamination by the flux of the lensing galaxy. Indeed, in order to reconcile the shape of the spectrum in A & B, one has to invoke a contamination of A (the brightest image) by ~ 4 times the measured flux of G and a contamination 10 times smaller for image B. Therefore, the two most likely explanations are DE with \( (M_{\text{blue}}, M_{\text{red}}, \mu) = (0.231, 0.220, 1.173) \) and CML with \( (M, \mu_{\text{blue}}, \mu_{\text{red}}) = (0.220, 1.260, 1.170) \).

**Broad Lines:** Whatever the origin of the chromatic changes, the blue wing of Mg II is microlensed. Microlensing of the blue wing of C III] is also tentatively observed but this is more uncertain owing to the proximity of this line from the red edge of the spectrum.

**Notes:** The observed monotonic decrease of B/A was also reported in the discovery spectra of Wisotzki et al. (2004). For these spectra, obtained in Dec. 2001 and Sept. 2002, we measure \( A = 0.347 \pm 0.002 \) and \( M = 0.24 \pm 0.02 \) at the wavelength of C III]. The different value of A found in our spectra is caused by time-variable microlensing. On the other hand, M is compatible with our measurement, confirming that intrinsic variability may not be too large. The \( H^- \) band flux ratio from Chantry et al. (2010), does not follow the chromatic variation of \( M \) observed.
in the spectra and is found 0.1 mag smaller than the value derived at the level of C III]. This may be explained by intrinsic variability ($\Delta m \sim 0.07$ mag) and/or microlensing of the H-band continuum.

(b) Q0142-100: Deconvolution: Because of the inaccurate PSF, residual signal above the noise is visible in the deconvolved image. However, this flux amounts only to 0.02-0.2% of the total flux in the lensed images. The flux of the lens galaxy G, located only $\sim 0.4''$ from B, reaches 20% of the flux in image B in the red part of the spectrum. This could lead to significant contamination of image B by the lensing galaxy G.

Chromaticity: There is no differential ML between the continuum and the emission lines however the two spectra do not superimpose once scaled with the same magnification factor. This chromatic effect is probably caused by residual contamination of image B by flux from the lens since only $\sim 13\%$ of the observed flux of G is needed to explain the observed chromatic trend. Intrinsic variability could also play a role. We discard DE because it involves a larger reddening of image A which is further away from the galaxy than image B.

Notes: Our flux ratio is in good agreement with those obtained by [Fadely & Keeton (2011)] in the K- and L'-bands. [Kočinková et al. (2010)] measured flux changes by 0.1 mag over a period of 100 days, which probably explain the flux ratio differences with H-band ([Lehár et al. 2000]). Color differences associated to IV might also play a role and have been reported for this system by [Kočinková et al. (2010)]. The study of the extinction in the lensed images by [Ostman et al. (2008)] disfavours significant extinction in this system, in disagreement with [Falco et al. (1999), Elías-Díaz et al. (2006)].

(c) SDSS J0246-0825: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is very good. The small systematic residual detectable in the vicinity of the brightest lensed image A contributes to less than 0.05% of the flux of A.

D. Sluse et al.: Microlensing of the broad line region in 17 lensed quasars

Chromaticity: The observed chromatic trend in B/A is compatible with DE ($M$(blue) = 0.32, $M$(red) = 0.34, $\mu$ = 0.76) or with CML ($M$ = 0.34, $\mu$(blue) = 0.73, $\mu$(red) = 0.76). Contamination of image A by the host galaxy, unveiled as a ring feature close to A in [Inada et al. (2005)] is plausible but is probably very low due to the slit clipping and to the relative faintness of this feature.

Broad Lines: Whatever the origin of the chromatic changes, the broad component of C III] is microlensed but ML of Mg II is more tentative.

Notes: There is a good agreement between our spectral-based estimate of $M$ and the $H-\lambda$ and $L-\lambda$ band flux ratios ([Inada et al. (2005), Fadely & Keeton (2011)]. The agreement in the $K$-band is slightly less good but still marginally consistent with the other measurements ([Fadely & Keeton (2011]).

(d) HE 0435-1223: Deconvolution: Very good results are obtained with the deconvolution. The lensing galaxy is relatively bright compared to the lensed images (only 4 times fainter than the flux of the lensed images above 6500Å) and we may not exclude contamination of the latter by the lens. The symmetric location of the lensed images aside the lensing galaxy and their similar brightnesses however argue against large differential effects (i.e. if contamination takes place, the spectra of A & D should be corrupted the same way by the lens).

Chromaticity: We observe a chromatic increase of B/D with increasing wavelength, in agreement with the chromatic changes observed by [Fadely & Keeton (2011)] based on HST images. This trend may not be produced by contamination from the lensing galaxy because one needs a large contamination of image B (by at least 30% of the observed flux of G) and nearly no contamination of image D to mimic this effect. Because we find approximately the same microlensing factor in the blue and in the red, DE ($M$(blue) = 1.34, $M$(red) = 1.47, $\mu$ = 0.81) is the most natural explanation, B being more reddened than D by the dust in the lensing galaxy. Alternatively, CML may be at work ($M$ = 1.47, $\mu$(blue) = 0.74, $\mu$(red) = 0.82).

Broad Lines: Whatever the origin of the chromatic changes, ML of the red wing of the C III] and Mg II lines is observed.

Notes: Two fairly different flux ratios in K-band have been reported in literature. [Fadely & Keeton (2011)] reported B/D = 1.49 ± 0.12 while [Blackburne et al. (2011)] reported B/D = 1.27 ± 0.04. The latter estimate agrees well with the $H-\lambda$ and $L-\lambda$ band flux ratios while the former one agrees with our spectroscopic estimate $M$ = 1.47. [Fadely & Keeton (2011, 2012)] interpreted the $K$-band flux ratio as caused by microlensing. However, the simplest microlensing scenario is hard to reconcile with the non monotonic variations of B/D, if real. Additional data are needed to solve this puzzle.

(e) SDSS J0806-0006: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is good but the spectra have a significantly lower signal to noise than for the other systems. The residual flux under the point-like images reaches up to 0.2% of the flux of the QSO image. The lensing galaxy is well deblended from the QSO images.

Chromaticity: The estimate of $A$ at wavelengths shorter than C III] is uncertain due to the proximity of the noisy edge of the spectrum. Despite of this, the measurements are consistent with a flat ratio $B/A$ in the continuum from 4000 to 8000 Å and ML affecting only the continuum emission.

Notes: Our average macro-magnification ratio $M_{BR_A}$ $\sim$ 0.435 agrees with the $H-$ and $K-$band ratios ([Sluse et al. 2008b, Fadely & Keeton (2011)], although the latter two ratios differ by 0.16 mag, suggesting that the $H-$band flux is still slightly microlensed. At larger wavelengths, [Fadely & Keeton (2011)] find a $L-$band flux ratio $B/A$ $\sim$0.164, suggesting that the $H-$ and $K-$
band continua are microlensed as well, but probably by a massive substructure.

Broad Lines: They are apparently unaffected by microlensing unless $M$ is significantly underestimated as suggested by the literature data. If this scenario is correct, the broad Mg II and C III] emission lines should then be significantly microlensed.

(f) FBQ 0951+2635: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is good. The residual flux in the vicinity of the lensed images amounts less than 0.03% of the brightest lensed image A and less than 0.2% of the flux of B. The lens galaxy is only $\sim 0.15^\prime\prime \sim 4$ pixels away from image B and is only $\sim 5$ times fainter in the reddest part of the spectrum (i.e. $> 7000\AA$). This might lead to residual contamination of B by the lensing galaxy. The spectral regions 7200-7400 Å and 7700-7950 Å are unreliable due to an enhanced level of noise.

Chromaticity: Despite the flat continuum flux ratio, we may not exclude possible residual contamination of image B by the lens because of the small separation between G and B. We identify ML of the continuum based only on the Mg II emission, which is not microlensed. The decomposition of the spectra around that line is however not entirely satisfactory because $F_{\mu M}$ under Mg II does not show the monotonic variation expected if only the power law continuum was microlensed. This might be associated to microlensing of Fe II or to spurious effect of contamination by the lens.

Notes: Microlensing of the continuum in this system, at different epochs, is supported by several other studies (e.g. Schechter et al. 1998, Jakobsson et al. 2005, Munoz et al. 2011) and reference therein). A low amplitude chromatic change of $B/A$ from 4000 to 9000 Å has been detected based on HST images obtained 2.5 years before our data (Munoz et al. 2011). There is a good agreement between our spectral-based estimate of $M$ and the radio flux ratio (which unfortunately lacks error estimates). The $H$–band ratio (Falco et al. 1999) is larger by about 0.2 mag compared to our estimate. This offset is hardly explained by intrinsic variability (Tab. C1) and therefore suggests that the continuum is still microlensed in $H$–band.

(g) BRI 0952-0115: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is very good. Spatially resolved narrow Ly $\alpha$ is visible in the background image. This emission is not produced in the QSO but in the host galaxy of this remote quasar.

Chromaticity: Because of the Lyman break, we do not estimate $A$ in both sides of the emission but only in the continuum redward of Ly $\alpha$. The ratio $B/A$ seems however flat from the blue to the red with only an imprint of the broad emission lines. Therefore, the continuum emission is microlensed.

Broad Lines: Our decomposition unveils ML of a significant fraction of the Ly $\alpha$ line. Because the flux leading to the absorption is microlensed as the continuum, the latter does not appear in $F_M$, which unveils a (nearly) symmetric emission roughly centered on the narrow emission.

Notes: The $H$–band flux ratio is similar to our continuum flux ratio, and therefore supports a significant microlensing ($\sim 0.45$ mag) at that wavelength. This is not a surprise as $H$–band corresponds to rest-frame UV emission ($\lambda \sim 3300 \AA$) which is small enough to be significantly microlensed.

(h) SDSS J1138+0314: Deconvolution: The deconvolution is good with some residual flux and background excess in the vicinity of image B. Its origin is possibly associated to the QSO host galaxy.

Chromaticity: There is a small chromatic change of C/B from the blue to the red part of the spectrum which is hardly explained by contamination from the lensing galaxy. The amplitude of this effect is however very small and our measurement are compatible with no CML and no DE.

Notes: The ML of the BLR is large in this system, isolating the narrow component of the C IV flux in the non microlensed fraction of the spectrum. The signal is less pronounced in C III]. We do not detect ML of the [He II] and [O III] J 1663 emission. If we use the $K$-band flux ratio as the correct value of $M$, we find significant microlensing of the C III] line.

Notes: Our spectroscopic estimate of C/B differs by 0.25 mag from the $K$–band measurement (Blackburne et al. 2011). This is hardly explained by intrinsic variability and suggests significant reddening of image C. This also explains the $H$–band ratio. Alternatively, we might have underestimated the amount of microlensing in our spectra. This has to be confirmed with additional data.

(i) J1226-0006: Deconvolution: During the deconvolution process, we forced the separation between the 2 lensed images and the lensing galaxy to match the HST separation in order to reduce cross-contamination. Although a good deconvolution is obtained, we keep in mind that residual contamination should’t be excluded due to the small separation of the system ($\Delta AG=0.437^\prime\prime$, $\Delta AB=1.376^\prime\prime$) and of a pixel size twice larger than for the other lenses (i.e. $0.2^\prime\prime$/pix.).

Chromaticity: There is a strong change of the flux ratio from the blue to the red. We find evidence for improper deblending of the QSO and of the lens flux, especially below $\lambda \leq 6500\AA$—corresponding to the 4000Å break of the lens—where the continuum spectrum of the lens and of the QSO have similar shapes. The decomposition of the Mg II line also shows imprint of the $H$ and K absorption bands from the lens. This effect could modify the intrinsic shape of the microlensing signal. Based on the Mg II and [O II] $\lambda 3727\AA$, lines, we find that only DE$^\mu$ (M(blue)) = 0.850, M(red) = 0.765, $\mu$ = 0.536) may cause the observed chromatic change, with image B being more reddened by the lensing galaxy. This is unexpected as the lens is closer from image A than B. Another possibility would be a color change associated to the intrinsic variability, as the time delay in system should be of the order of 25 days.

Broad Lines: We observe ML of the blue component of Mg II but we are unsure of the role of the contamination by the lensing galaxy in our spectral decomposition.

Notes: The $H$–band flux ratio is similar to the optical flux ratio $A = 0.456$ but deviates significantly from our line-based estimates of $M = 0.80$. Although intrinsic variability and differential extinction may play a role in the explaining the discrepancy, it seems plausible that the $H$–band continuum is in fact microlensed nearly at the same level as the optical one.

(j) SDSS J1335+0118: Deconvolution: During the deconvolution process, we forced the separation between the bright lens image A and the lens galaxy component $G$ to be identical to the HST separation. Small residual flux left after deconvolution close to A and $B$ amounts less than 0.03% of the QSO flux.

Chromaticity: The change of $B/A$ from the blue to the red is incompatible with CML, as the latter has to be stronger at bluer wavelengths. Instead, we hypothesise DE (M(blue)) = 0.21, M(red) = 0.23, $\mu$ = 1.396), image A being more reddened than image B. We discard the possibility that the observed chromatic trend is caused by residual contamination from the lensing galaxy as we estimate that more than 70% of the observed flux of the galaxy should contaminate image B to flatten the spectral range.
therefore, we had to shift the original wavelength solution by 2 Å for that image.

**Notes:** The photometry published by Oguri et al. (2004), associated to data obtained about 2 years before our spectra, shows a contrast with our results, but they argue this is not conclusive due to their photometric error bars. On the other hand, they find a flat spectral ratio $B/A$ without clear imprint of the emission lines. This contrast with our higher signal to noise spectra were we observe differential microlensing between the continuum and the emission lines. Owing to the expected IV (Table C.1), our estimate of $M = 0.23$ is compatible with the $M = 0.29$ measured in $H$–band. It however deviates significantly from the $K$–band measurement $M = 0.41$ (Oguri et al. 2004). To explain these ratios we have to postulate significant differential extinction. Oguri et al. (2004) observed a chromatic decrease of $\Delta m_{\lambda}$ by 0.15 mag from $r$–band to $K$–band. This amount would lead to a value of $M$ corrected from reddening $M = 0.264$. This still disagree with the $K$–band value but the two values get marginally compatible provided the effect of intrinsic variability is 50% larger than predictions from the structure function.

(k) Q1355-225

**Deconvolution:** During the deconvolution process, we forced the separation between the bright lens image $A$ and the lens galaxy component $G$ to be identical to the HST separation. The deconvolution is good but low level residual flux, up to 0.2% of the faintest image, is visible aside the faintest lensed image and the lensing galaxy. A small excess of flux appears in the background and in the PSF component of the galaxy at the wavelength of the peak of the Mg II emission. This flux is likely associated to image B but amounts less than 0.5% of the Mg II flux in that image.

**Chromaticity:** Contrary to what is observed for the other systems, the spectral ratio $B/A$ in the continuum does not vary in a monotonic way (Fig. C.1). The factor $A$ is roughly the same in the continuum for $\lambda > 5450$ Å but decreases significantly for bluer wavelengths. This trend cannot be explained by contamination from the lensing galaxy. There is significant difference between the spectra of images $A$ & $B$ at basically every wavelength suggesting a complex ML of the continuum and of the broad line region, including the region emitting Fe II. Because we derive a similar value of $M$ around Mg II and C III], it seems plausible that the chromatic change of the flux ratio in the continuum is caused by ML rather than DE although we cannot rule out the influence of the latter. The measurement of $M$ from the [Ne V] narrow emission lines, although more uncertain, is compatible with the one obtained for Mg II.

**Broad Lines:** We observe ML of the red wing of Mg II. The C III] line being close to the red-edge of the spectrum, we estimate $A$ for this line in the range 4650–4680 Å. We do not find ML of that line.

**Notes:** Our estimate of $M$ is in rough agreement with the $H$–band and $K$–band measurements (Vuissiez et al. 2008; Blackburne et al. 2011).

(m) HE 2149-2745

**Deconvolution:** The deconvolution is good. Residual flux under the point-like images is < 0.1% of the QSO flux. The background flux retrieved by the deconvolution process is probably associated to unproperly subtracted sky. **Chromaticity:** The measurement of $A$ at the level of the C IV line is difficult because of its vicinity from the blue edge of the spectrum. Our estimate of $A$ for this line is performed in the range 5070-5120 Å where Fe II emission is minimal. There is no differential ML between the continuum and the emission lines. However the two spectra do not superimpose once scaled with the same magnification factor. First, there is a chromatic effect which may be caused by a small amount of DE, image B being more extinguished than image A, in agreement with its location closer to the lens galaxy. Intrinsic variability combined with the time delay of ~ 103 days (Burud et al. 2002) might also explain the observed color difference. Second, the absorbed fraction of the C IV emission do not superimpose once scaled by $B/A \sim 0.242$. This is likely caused by time-variable broad absorption which is seen in images A and B at two different epochs separated by the time delay.

**Notes:** Comparison of our spectra with those of Burud et al. (2002) confirm our estimate of $M = 0.245$ at the level of the C III] line. This value is also in agreement with the $H$–band flux ratio.

**Appendix D: Characteristics of the extended sample**

We summarize here the main characteristics of the extended sample of objects introduced in Sect. 2.3. We provide the spectral ratio between the image pairs in Fig. D.1 For each object, we also discuss our estimate of $M$ from the spectra at the light of literature data (except for HE 2149-2745 which was discussed in Appendix C).

(n) SDSS J0924+0219 ($z_2 = 0.394, z_s = 1.524$): The VLT–FORS spectra of images $A$ and $B$, obtained in Jan. and Feb. 2005 by Eisenbrod et al. (2006a), show only a weak differential ML between the continuum and the emission lines (C III] and Mg II) but no clear ML deformation of the lines (Fig. 3). The spectral ratio is flat over the spectral range of our spectra. On the other hand, Keeton et al. (2006) identified flux ratios in disagreement with macro model predictions in both the continuum and emission lines (Ly $\alpha$, C IV and C III]) based on low resolution spectra obtained with the Advanced Camera for Survey (ACS) on 29.05.2005. They demonstrated, based on microlensing simulations, that the observed anomalies in the image pair $A − D$ could easily be explained by ML. Unfortunately, their spectra have in-
Fig. D.1. Flux ratio for all the image pairs of our extended sample.
sufficient spectral resolution to allow a proper investigation of the line profile differences.

Notes: The flux ratio $A/B = 0.44 \pm 0.04$ in $H$-band (Eigenbrod et al. [2006a]) is in good agreement with our estimate of $M$. Although $X$-band observation of this system exist (Faure et al. [2011]), they were not able to derive accurate photometry of the lensed images due to the ring. No other NIR/MIR photometric data of this system are available in the literature.

(o) J1131-1231 ($z_l = 0.295, z_s = 0.657$): Sluse et al. [2007] presented single epoch spectra of this system obtained in April 2003. They identified ML of the broad emission lines for images A and C and presented MmD of the H$\beta$ and Mg II emission lines using the image pairs $A - B$ and $C - B$. They also identified contamination of the spectra by flux from the host galaxy and empirically corrected for this effect. In image C, only the core of the emission line is not microlensed while microlensing affects the broad component of the lines in image A. Because of the uncertainty on the host contamination, exact values of $M$ and $\mu$ may be more prone to systematic uncertainties than in other systems. Nevertheless, the microlensing of the emission lines is a robust result which qualitatively remains even if $M$ or $\mu$ are under/over-estimated by up to 40%.

Notes: The flux ratios in $K$-band disagree with those derived from the narrow [O III] emission lines (Sluse et al. [2007] and Sugai et al. [2007]). Differential extinction is not a plausible explanation because of the lack of monotonic change of the flux ratios with wavelength and because of the lack of strong hydrogen absorption in X-ray (Chartas et al. [2009]). Therefore, it is likely that the $K$-band flux ratio is significantly microlensed.

(p) H1413+117 ($z_l$ unknown, $z_s = 2.55$): This system is the first broad absorption line (BAL) quasars where ML has been unambiguously observed (Angomín et al. [1990], Hutsemékers [1993], Hutsemékers et al. [2010]) presented the MmD for this system at four different epochs spanning a 16-years time-range. They identified that ML was affecting mostly image D, roughly in the same way, along the time-span of the observations. The Ly$\alpha$, C IV, H$\beta$, and H$\alpha$ emission have been analysed. In addition to the ML in the broad absorption, they found evidence for ML of the central core of the C IV and of the Ly$\alpha$ (i.e. the wings are not microlensed), but no ML in the Balmer lines (see their figures 6, 7, 8). We show in Fig. 4 the decomposition for the C IV and for the H$\beta$ lines for the spectra obtained in 2005. Chromatic changes of the flux ratios are observed in this system (Hutsemékers et al. [2010], Muñoz et al. [2011]). On one hand, there is differential extinction between images A & B, and on the other hand, there is chromatic microlensing of image D consistent with microlensing of a standard accretion disk (Hutsemékers et al. [2010]). Note that microlensing also affects component C (Popović & Chartas [2005]), but the line profile differences are more subtle (Hutsemékers et al. [2010]).