FAST TRACK COMMUNICATION

Placing direct limits on the mass of earth-bound dark matter

Stephen L. Adler

Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

E-mail: adler@ias.edu

Received 15 August 2008, in final form 3 September 2008
Published 19 September 2008
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/412002

Abstract

We point out that by comparing the total mass (in gravitational units) of the earth–moon system, as determined by lunar laser ranging, with the sum of the lunar mass as independently determined by its gravitational action on satellites or asteroids, and the earth mass, as determined by the LAGEOS geodetic survey satellite, one can get a direct measure of the mass of earth-bound dark matter lying between the radius of the moon’s orbit and the geodetic satellite orbit. Current data show that the mass of such earth-bound dark matter must be less than $4 \times 10^{-9}$ of the earth’s mass.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 91.10.-v

Current interest in dark matter has been heightened by the recent report by the DAMA/LIBRA collaboration [1] of evidence for galactic halo dark matter, based on their observation of an annual modulation signal. Astrophysical arguments suggest that the galactic halo dark matter mass density is around $0.3 \text{(GeV}/c^2) \text{cm}^{-3}$, but it is still an open question whether in addition to dark matter bound to the galaxy, there may be larger dark matter concentrations bound to the sun, and bound to the earth. The possibility of sun-bound dark matter was discussed in an article of Frèere, Ling and Vertongen [2], who pointed out that local dark matter concentrations in the galaxy may have played a role in the formation of the solar system. Their paper, and the papers of Sereno and Jetzer [3], of Iorio [4], and of Khriplovich and Pitjeva [5], use arguments based on planetary orbits to place a limit on a local excess of sun-bound dark matter of order $3 \times 10^5$ times the galactic halo dark matter mass density. It is also possible that there may be further local concentrations of earth-bound dark matter, which if large enough could be relevant [6] for understanding the recently reported [7] spacecraft flyby anomaly. Thus, it would be useful to have a direct method for measuring, or at least placing limits on, the mass of earth-bound dark matter.

The aim of this communication is to show that it is possible to set a direct limit on the total earth-bound dark matter mass lying between the radius of the moon’s orbit and the radius of low lying satellite orbits, such as that of the accurately monitored [8] LAGEOS satellite. For a satellite of negligible mass in a circular orbit around an astronomical body of mass $M$,
measurement of the orbit radius $R$ and the orbital period $T$ gives the product $GM$ (with $G$ the Newton gravitation constant) by the use of the formula

$$GM = \frac{4\pi^2 R^3}{T^2}. \quad (1)$$

Thus, from a measurement of the radius and period of the LAGEOS orbit, one gets $GM_{\odot}$, where we have defined the earth mass $M_{\odot}$ here to include the mass of all earth-bound dark matter lying within the radius of the LAGEOS orbit. Similarly, by a measurement of the orbit and period of lunar orbiters close to the moon [9], one gets $GM_m$, where we have defined the lunar mass $M_m$ to include the mass of all moon-bound dark matter lying within the lunar orbiter radius; we shall assume this moon-bound dark matter mass to be negligible for purposes of this analysis. An alternative way of independently determining the moon’s mass is to study the orbit of a near-passing asteroid, such as Eros [10], which is influenced by the gravitational field of the moon as well as the earth. From such an analysis one can extract an accurate figure for the ratio $R_{\odot}/m = (GM_{\odot} + G\Delta M_{\odot})/(GM_m + G\Delta M_m)$, with $\Delta M_{\odot}$ and $\Delta M_m$ denoting respectively possible small contributions from earth-bound and moon-bound dark matter. Again assuming that moon-bound dark matter can be neglected, and expanding in the small quantity $G\Delta M_{\odot}$, this ratio becomes

$$R_{\odot}/m = \frac{GM_{\odot}}{GM_m}(1 + \delta), \quad (2)$$

with the small positive correction $\delta$ given by

$$\delta = \frac{\Delta M_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, let us consider the orbital system comprising the earth and the moon, for which the combined dynamics of the earth–moon system has to be taken into account\(^1\). This shows [12] that measuring the relative earth–moon distance by lunar laser ranging, together with the moon’s orbital period, gives a determination of the combined mass in gravitational units of the earth–moon system, which is $GM_{\text{combined}} = GM_{\odot} + GM_m + GM_{\text{dm}}$, with $M_{\text{dm}}$ now the mass of earth-bound dark matter\(^2\) lying between the radius of the moon’s orbit and the radius of the LAGEOS satellite orbit. Thus, by subtracting from $GM_{\text{combined}}$, as determined by the multi-parameter fit to the lunar laser ranging experiment, the values of $GM_{\odot}$ determined by LAGEOS and $GM_m$ determined by the lunar orbiters, one can get a direct determination of $GM_{\text{dm}}$.

$$GM_{\text{dm}} = GM_{\text{combined}} - GM_{\odot} - GM_m. \quad (4)$$

subject to our assumption that the moon-bound dark matter lying within the lunar orbiter radius can be neglected. Alternatively, if one uses an asteroid determination of $GM_m$, the subtraction to be performed is

$$GM_{\text{combined}} - GM_{\odot} - \frac{GM_m}{R_{\odot}/m} \simeq GM_{\text{dm}} + GM_m \delta \simeq GM_{\text{dm}} + \frac{M_m}{M_{\odot}} G\Delta M_{\odot}. \quad (5)$$

Since $M_m/M_{\odot} \simeq 0.0123$, (5) gives

$$GM_{\text{combined}} - GM_{\odot} \simeq GM_{\text{dm}} + \frac{GM_m}{R_{\odot}/m} \simeq GM_{\text{dm}} + 0.0123 G\Delta M_{\odot} > GM_{\text{dm}}. \quad (6)$$

\(^1\) The influence of dark matter on the earth–moon system has been investigated earlier with the aim of determining whether the gravitational interaction of galactic dark matter with the ordinary matter in the earth and moon obeys the equivalence principle, and the equivalence principle in this context has been verified to high accuracy; see [11] for details. The assumption that dark matter has normal gravitational interactions with ordinary matter is implicit in our analysis.

\(^2\) If the earth-bound dark matter distribution is not spherically symmetric, then there will be dark-matter contributions to higher multipoles of the earth’s gravitational potential, as well as to the monopole mass.
Moreover, if one assumes the earth-bound dark matter $\Delta M_\oplus$, relevant for the asteroid orbit to be similar in magnitude to the earth-bound dark $M_{\text{dm}}$ matter lying between the moon’s orbit and the LAGEOS orbit, then (6) becomes

$$GM_{\text{combined}} - \frac{GM_\oplus}{R_\oplus/m} \simeq GM_{\text{dm}}[1 + O(0.01)],$$

giving a determination of $M_{\text{dm}}$ with a potential one percent accuracy, if the quantities on the left-hand side of (7) were known to sufficient accuracy. In fact, with current data, the errors on the left-hand side are more significant than the error arising from the unknown term of order 0.01 on the right of (7).

Proceeding now to a numerical evaluation, the best evaluation of $GM_\oplus$ from LAGEOS data is [13] $GM_\oplus = 398,600.4415 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$, which when converted to a TDB compatible (barycentric dynamical time compatible) figure is [14] $GM_\oplus = 398,600.4356 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$. For $GM_{\text{combined}}$, the lunar ranging fit EP0 in table 1 of [15], in which the sun/(earth+moon) mass ratio was treated as a solution parameter, gives $M_\oplus/M_{\text{combined}} = 328,900.5596 \pm 0.0011$, which converts [14] (using $GM_\oplus = 1.327 \times 10^{11} \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$) to $GM_{\text{combined}} = 403,503.2357 \pm 0.0014 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$.

The lunar orbiter measurements reported in [9] give $GM_m = 4902.84 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$, with an uncertainty of around $\pm 0.05 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$ based on a comparison with alternative determinations, but a much more accurate value is obtained from the Eros ranging data of [10], which gives $R_\oplus/m = 81,300.570 \pm 0.00005$, which corresponds, using the LAGEOS value for $GM_\oplus$, to $GM_m = 4902.8000 \pm 0.0003 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$. Substituting these numbers into (4) or (7) gives

$$GM_{\text{dm}} \simeq (403,503.2357 \pm 0.0014 - 398,600.4356 \pm 0.0008$$

$$- 4902.8000 \pm 0.0003) \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$$

$$\simeq (0.0001 \pm 0.0016) \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2} \simeq (0.3 \pm 4) \times 10^{-9} G M_\oplus,$$

with the dominant contribution to the error coming from the error in $M_{\text{combined}}$ from the lunar laser ranging fit. Thus, current data show that the mass of the earth-bound dark matter lying between the moon’s orbit radius $\sim 384,000$ km and the LAGEOS orbit radius $\sim 12,300$ km must be less than $4 \times 10^{-9}$ of the earth’s mass, at a 1 $\sigma$ confidence level\(^5\). As the accuracy of lunar laser ranging improves, one can expect this limit on $M_{\text{dm}}$ to improve.
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**Note Added.** Gary Gibbons [16] has pointed out that if one assumes that there is no dark matter bound to the earth, then the comparison of $GM_\odot$ as determined by LAGEOS with that determined by lunar ranging gives a bound on possible non-Newtonian modifications to the gravitational force, and he has alerted me to several references [17–19] where the use of satellite orbits to restrict non-Newtonian force models has been discussed. To illustrate with the numbers employed above in the dark matter discussion, if one assumes $G = G_{\text{near}}$ for the $G$ value relevant both for lunar ranging and for the asteroid determination of the earth-to-moon mass ratio, and $G = G_{\text{far}}$ for the $G$ value relevant for the LAGEOS orbit, and takes $M_{\text{dm}} = 0$, then one has $G_{\text{near}}M_\odot = 398 600\,4356 \pm 0.0008 \,\text{km}^3\,\text{s}^{-2}$ and $G_{\text{far}}(M_\odot + M_m) = 403\,503.2357 \pm 0.0014 \,\text{km}^3\,\text{s}^{-2}$ and $R_{\odot/m} = M_\odot/M_m = 81.300\,570 \pm 0.000\,005$. When combined these give

$$
(G_{\text{near}} - G_{\text{far}})/G = (0.2 \pm 4) \times 10^{-9}.
$$

indicating that $G$ can change by at most $\sim 4 \times 10^{-9}$ (the same fractional error that appears in (8)) between the radius of the LAGEOS orbit and the radius of the moon’s orbit. This is a factor of five better than the result given some time ago by Rapp [18]. However, Turyshev [20], in reviewing fits to lunar ranging, which model the earth–moon distance to 4 mm accuracy, notes that ‘analysis of the LLR data tests the gravitational inverse-square law to $3 \times 10^{-11}$ of the gravitational field strength on scales of the earth–moon distance’.
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