Recruitment of low-income pregnant women into a dietary and dental care intervention: lessons from a feasibility trial
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Abstract

Background

There are difficulties in carrying out research in low-income urban communities, but the methodological challenges and suggestions on how to deal with them are often undocumented. The aim of this study is to describe the challenges of recruiting and enrolling low-income pregnant women with periodontitis to a clinical trial on vitamin D/calcium milk fortification and periodontal therapy (PT) and describe the patient-, study protocol- and setting-related factors related to women’s ineligibility and refusal to participate in the study.

Methods

Qualitative and quantitative data on recruitment to a 2x2 factorial feasibility clinical trial were used. 18 women attending the health centre in a low-income area in Duque de Caxias (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) took part in focal group discussions and data were thematically analysed. Quantitative data were analysed using appropriate descriptive statistics, including absolute and relative frequencies.

Results

Of all referrals (767), 548 (78.5%) did not meet the initial eligibility criteria. The main reason for exclusion (58%) was advanced gestational age (>20 weeks) at first prenatal appointment. The study recruited 70 women in 56 weeks and did not reach the benchmark of 120 women in 36 weeks (58.3% of the original target). Recruitment was severely hindered by health centre closures due to general strikes. Non-participation of those eligible after periodontal examination was approximately 24% (22 out 92) and predominantly associated with patient-related barriers (e.g. transportation barriers, family obligations, unresponsive to phone calls, and disconnected telephones). The recruitment yields were 9.1% (70/767) of all women contacted at first prenatal visit and 76.1% (70/92) of those screened eligible and enrolled in the trial. Women did not report concerns regarding random allocation and considered fortified milk as a healthy and safe food for pregnant women. Some women reported that financial constraints (e.g. transportation cost) could hinder participation in the study.

Conclusion

Engagement between the research team and health centre staff (e.g. nurses) facilitated referral and
recruitment, yet some pregnant women failed to participate in the study largely due to significant patient-related socio-demographic barriers and setting-related factors. Our data illustrates the complexity of overcoming recruitment and enrolment challenges for clinical trials in resource-limited settings.

Introduction

Difficulties in carrying out research in low-income and vulnerable urban communities are increasing due to issues of safety and setting-related factors (i.e. political instability) (1). However, the challenges incurred with and suggestions for solution of these difficulties are not often reported in scientific papers.

There is a wide recognition that recruitment of participants in research is a vital element for study success, particularly in clinical trials. However, an overwhelming number of clinical trials do not meet recruitment and enrolment targets (2). Recruitment shortfalls leads to delays and increased cost with fieldwork, early trial termination and potentially failure to draw conclusions (2). Inconclusive clinical trials have economic, scientific and political implications as clinical and public health decisions will not be based on the best evidence possible (3-5). There are also ethical implications when patients are exposed to potential risks and the research does not provide advance in scientific knowledge and hence related recommendations due to lack of statistical power (6). Additionally, recruitment failure can negatively affect motivation and engagement of stakeholders who are closely involved with the study, participants and investigators (7).

Regardless of scientific efforts made to identify and tackle barriers and challenges with recruitment, yet the problem persists. A systematic review published in 2015 investigating causes of unsuccessful trial accrual found that 19% of trials had either an early termination due to recruitment failure or completion reaching only 85% of the expected enrolment target (8). It has been estimated that approximately 86% of clinical trials fail to meet recruitment goals within their specified timeframe (8). The literature suggests that trials timelines can potentially be doubled beyond initially planned recruitment periods due to low participants’ enrolment rates (4).

Methodological papers describe a wide range of factors which can contribute to recruitment success
including trial design and protocol development, selection of sites, staff engagement, realistic estimation of recruitment targets and study timeframe, enhanced participant contact and communication, financial incentive or compensation and convenience and reduced participation burden (9,10). Despite this vast literature, researchers can benefit from real world lessons learned from implementation of feasibility trials. These experiences can be further shared with peers working in similar settings or scenario. Therefore, feasibility trials are paramount to test recruitment strategies, acceptability of study design and viability of eligibility criteria and consequently informing the progression of large-scale definitive clinical trials (11).

With the overarching aim of adding to this body of evidence, we used recruitment data from the IMPROVE feasibility trial to 1) describe the main challenges of recruiting women to the study; 2) describe most commonly observed factors related to ineligibility and non-participation of women and 3) discuss potential successful strategies for promoting recruitment and enrolment for the future full-scale clinical trial.

Methods

The IMPROVE study was a feasibility trial on calcium and vitamin D milk fortification and periodontal therapy for improving maternal periodontal health and metabolic and inflammatory profiles. The trial has been registered in the clinicaltrials.gov database (NCT03148483) and approved by the Ethics Committee of Maternity School of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro- Brazil (certificate number 1.516.656).

The study protocol has been fully described elsewhere (12). Briefly, a 2x2 factorial feasibility randomised controlled trial employed four intervention groups (without cross-over). Concealed randomisation, using a mixture of permuted block sizes, stratified by smoking status was performed remotely via an online system developed by Sealed Envelope Ltdtm. Adult pregnant women with periodontitis were randomly allocated into the following groups: 1) fortified sachet with vitamin D and calcium and powdered milk plus periodontal therapy during pregnancy; 2) placebo sachet and
powdered milk plus periodontal therapy during pregnancy; 3) fortified sachet with vitamin D and calcium and powdered milk plus periodontal therapy after delivery; 4) placebo sachet and powdered milk plus periodontal therapy after delivery. Given the nature of the intervention (periodontal treatment), full blinding was not applied.

Baseline data was collected up to the second gestational trimester after checking for participant eligibility to the trial, which included a dental screening for periodontitis (T0); with follow up at third trimester (T1; during the course of the intervention) and 6-8 weeks postpartum (T2).

**Eligibility criteria**
The trial target population included low-risk adult pregnant women, with periodontitis, attending a public prenatal care service in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Low risk pregnancy was defined as not requiring management by a specialist to help ensure the best outcome for the mother and baby. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are fully described in Table 1.

**Table 1. Eligibility criteria for the feasibility trial**

**Setting**
This feasibility trial was conducted in the Municipal Health Centre of Duque de Caxias in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (12). The centre provides prenatal care for low-risk pregnant women, child health programmes, as well as clinical laboratory results. The population assisted by the centre is of low income and the majority live in the surrounding slums.

Brazil is the largest country in Latin America and the fifth largest country in terms of population and size, and the population is clustered around the cities or along the coast. Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo are the most populated cities, both located in the South East region of the country (13).

In the state of Rio de Janeiro, most clinical centres and hospitals are located in urban areas. Rio de Janeiro has the country’s largest contrasts in wealth and many populations live in poverty (13,14). Approximately 22% of its population of six million live in slums (favelas) or in substandard housing conditions (15). Duque de Caxias is a metropolitan city located in the Rio de Janeiro State. The total population is of 900,000 inhabitants (16). The local neonatal mortality rate is 8.9 per 1,000 live births compared to the 8.7 in Rio de Janeiro and 8.8 nationally (17). The prevalence of low birth weight in
Duque de Caxias is 9.2% compared to 9.2% in Rio de Janeiro and 8.5% nationally (18). Maternal death rate in Duque de Caxias is 95.7 per 100,000 live births compared to 71.6 in Rio de Janeiro and 58.4 nationally (19). Approximately, 20% of families are covered by the family health strategy, which is a federal programme to provide integrated primary health care (20) and also targets food insecure households (21).

**Recruitment**

Staff at the prenatal clinic provided the research team a weekly list of all pregnant women visiting the centre for the first time. In the 1st prenatal visit, a member of the research team approached the pregnant women, briefed them on the study objectives and procedures and invited them to participate in the study. Those initially interested in taking part in the study were asked to provide answers to a preliminary checklist for eligibility. After this, women were screened for syphilis and HIV, as part of the routine prenatal care in Brazil. Those who were preliminarily eligible and tested negative for syphilis and HIV were subsequently invited to book a dental examination for periodontitis diagnosis. Women who screened positive for periodontitis and accepted to participate were provided with an informed consent form and included in the study (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. Study eligibility flow diagram**

Source: Modified from Cocate et al, 2019 (12)

The research team heavily counted on the referral by the nurses from those booking the 1st prenatal visit at the health centre. However, the research team also distributed flyers and hanged up posters about the study to promote recruitment.

**Informal consultation**

The research team conducted informal consultations with health care professionals, female health care users and pregnant women attending health centres in Duque de Caxias. The aim of these consultations was to know their views on the study design, assess whether the intervention would be considered relevant for the population and ask for suggestions on how the project could be implemented, and how researchers could invite pregnant women to participate and adhere to the proposed protocol. These consultations were part of the public and patient involvement stage of the
project. The views and suggestion of health care professionals and service users were taken into consideration when designing the study protocol.

Data collection
In addition to the informal consultations, qualitative data on issues regarding recruitment strategy, study design and data collection were obtained prior to trial recruitment. One pilot focus group was performed with five women of similar socioeconomic conditions to those attending the health centre where the present study took place. Then, a second semi-structured focus group including 13 pregnant women attending the health centre, but not yet taking part in the study was held. The second focus group included discussion on culinary knowledge, health care practices, network and social support at Duque de Caxias territory and barriers and enablers to participation in the study. Thus, in the present study only data on the barriers and enablers to participation and recruitment is presented.

Additional focus group discussions were held among participating women throughout the study (2nd and 3rd trimester of gestation) to assess potential barriers and facilitators to the intervention (adherence) and data collection. This data is out of the scope of this paper, as the focus was given to recruitment issues, and therefore data were not presented.

A study recruitment-tracking log was created to document the number of women visiting the centre for the 1st prenatal appointment, dates of referral to the study, orientation session, periodontal examination (screening) and blood test appointments. Reasons for ineligibility, non-participation and missed appointments were also recorded.

Data management and analysis
Quantitative data regarding recruitment were recorded in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. For the qualitative data, focus group discussions were audio recorded and verbatim transcribed (in Portuguese). The transcripts were analysed by three investigators (PC, CB and NHAS) to determine the themes. Each transcript was read several times and relevant topics referring to barriers and facilitators to participation were highlighted. Main themes were developed from the topic groups, and appropriate theme headings and sub-headings were generated to summarise the data being
Total number of participants recruited into the study, time for recruitment, number of invited women, and number of excluded participants before and after the periodontal screening and reasons for exclusion were presented in the study flow diagram. Quantitative data was analysed using appropriate descriptive statistics, including counts and percentages. Dispersion was measured using range.

Results

Recruitment timeline and challenges
The participant recruitment period started end of April 2017 and finished end May 2018. The original timeline for the recruitment was between May 2016 and January 2017. Delay in trial commencement was due to several unforeseen problems with the export of the fortified milk from Europe to Brazil, long process for research ethical clearance and the need to re-select the study site.

The research team developed a tailored fortified milk powder for non-commercial use. Acquisition of raw material, blending, packaging, physical and chemical analysis of the product (fortified milk and plain milk) were performed in Denmark. Export of dairy products to Brazil is tightly regulated, particularly and unanticipated for non-registered and non-commercial products. Given the major delays in the product export the research team decided to change the research protocol to offer women a local commercially available milk powder and individual sachets with vitamin D3 and CAPOLAC® (source of calcium) for domestic fortification. CAPOLAC® and vitamin D3 were blended and stored in single-dose sachets. All women were provided with two daily doses of semi-skimmed milk powder (20g) to be reconstituted in 200ml of potable water for each serving and two sachets.

Participants in the fortified intervention group received sachets with calcium and vitamin D and those in the plain milk group received placebo sachets.

The team also faced some challenges when selecting the study site. Several contacts with the local centres were made in 2015, while the research team was seeking funding and developing the study
application, to obtain information on the centre prenatal logistics, monthly uptake, demographic profile, facilities, and provision of dental care to pregnant women. For safety reasons (especially regarding the security of the field workers), the involvement of sites in areas at risk of conflict and violence was excluded. The safety of centres located in slums worsened during this selection process and became impossible to include them as recruitment sites. Therefore, two large health centres located in Duque de Caxias, with catchment area including deprived areas, were selected. Consent was given by the centres directors to conduct the studies within centres’ premises with minimal interference in their routine practice. However, the senior management of the centres changed after local elections in 2016 and consent from one of the centres was withdrawn.

Between April 2017 and May 2018 (53 weeks), participant recruitment was halted several times due to strikes of health care professionals in different municipalities of Rio de Janeiro, an episode of armed robbery, local riots, and public holidays. All primary health care services in Duque de Caxias were closed for a total of 16 weeks between July and October 2017 because of general strikes. After the re-opening of the health centre in October 2017, the research team was victim of an armed robbery and had equipment and personal belongings robbed. Consequently, fieldwork, including recruitment of new participants, was interrupted for two weeks. The health centre did not book new prenatal appointments for the week between Christmas and new year (December 2017) and during the week of the carnival celebration (February 2018). Therefore, recruitment was interrupted for two additional weeks. Furthermore, primary care centres were closed during national and local holidays (non-overlapping with strikes, carnival and Christmas break), resulting in four extra days of recruitment interruption.

During the recruitment stage, Brazil was facing a period of political instability prior to and after the presidential impeachment in late 2016. The state of Rio de Janeiro also faced health care and security crises during this period. There were several riots and dragnets in Duque de Caxias, resulting in closure of local shops and some public places for safety reasons. Although the health centre was open on these occasions the overall number of service users attending the centre was greatly reduced. Overall, during the 53 weeks of enrolment period, recruitment was interrupted for approximately 21
weeks.

Recruitment target
The total study recruitment target was of 120 women from two sites over 36 weeks and the estimated recruitment rate was of 1.7 participants per week/centre (120/36/2). However, only one site was involved, and 70 women were recruited (58.3% of the original target). The actual crude and net recruitment rates were 1.3 women/week/centre (70/53 week) and 2 women/week/centre (70/32 weeks), respectively.

Exclusions and non-participations
In total, 767 pregnant women were approached by the research team, however 548 women (71.5%) did not meet the initial eligibility criteria. The largest exclusion category (58%) was advanced pregnancy beyond week 20 at first prenatal visit (318 out of 548). This was followed by declined invitation (9.1%) and lack of contact details (8.6%) to invite women to attend additional assessment visits (e.g. periodontal examination), presence of high blood pressure (4.2%), use of fixed braces (4.2%), non-appearance for the HIV/Syphilis test (3.1%) within the study timeframe (before 20 weeks gestation), presence of kidney stones (2.9%) or syphilis (2.9%). Only (1.8%) reported either milk allergy, lactose intolerance or daily consumption of vitamin D and supplement. Figure 2 provides more details on reasons for exclusion. Reasons for declined study invitation were not recorded for all 50 declining women. Some women were limited by childcare or employment obligations.

Of those invited for the periodontal examination (dental screen, n=219), 92 were eligible (42%).

Figure 2. Study enrolment and exclusion flow diagram
Non-participation of eligible women was identified after periodontal examination. Of the women meeting the eligibility criteria (n=92), 9 (9.8%) did not attend the baseline blood test, 2 (2.2%) had a miscarriage, 3 (3.2%) presented high blood pressure and 8 (8.7%) withdrew consent. The reasons for withdrawal and missed appointment varied. This included transportation barriers, family obligations, moved to another area, changed prenatal care site, unresponsive to phone calls and disconnected telephones.

In total, 70 women were randomised. One woman asked to leave the study right after randomisation.
The recruitment yields showed that 9.1% (70/767) of all women contacted at first prenatal visit and 76.1% (70/92) of those screened eligible were enrolled into the trial.

**Barriers and facilitators**

The qualitative data regarding factors which could hinder or facilitate recruitment and enrollment to the study was divided into five themes (Table 2): study design and intervention; food myths, social support, views on prenatal care services and finance.

Table 2. Factors influencing recruitment and participation in the study.

In general, women did not report concern about potential random allocation into different groups given that all groups would benefit from the intervention. They also reported interventions to be acceptable and relevant. However, women reported some resistance to drinking pure milk and suggested consuming the milk in smoothies and porridges instead. One woman stated that “*If it is mixed with chocolate powder, I can have it. But it is manageable if I add it in foods, canjica and porridges*”.

Women were asked whether they would share the milk with the rest of the family. Most women reported that they would share the milk with their children (“*Yes, because they would want it and I would give the milk to my children*”), but some reported that they would try to consume the milk when the children were not around (“*but when it is time to drink the milk, it is not necessary to drink in front of my son. It can be consumed in the morning when they are sleeping*”). One woman reported that she would “*drink when the children are at school*”.

No major concern regarding safety of milk consumption was observed apart from cases when the participant had food intolerance. One of the participants commented “*if there are recommendations in relation to gestation, I would take it only during pregnancy and that’s it. I would report if I have any side effect*”. Positive attitudes toward consumption of fortified food was observed. One woman mentioned “*the more vitamins the better*”.

Regarding food myths and cultural beliefs, they reported that some foods must be avoided during pregnancy, but milk was not one of them. Women talked positively about milk consumption during pregnancy. Some believed that consumption of milk and canjica would increase breast milk
production. One of the participants said “My grandma who is old fashion used to say that you should always eat canjica, oranges with beetroot, and beans. You must have canjica”.

Lack or limited social support was observed among women. Most women counted on emotional and informational support (e.g. advice, suggestion and information) from their mothers (“my husband works, I count on my mum”). Women who had other children often reported childcare difficulties (“I am alone, my mum only takes care of my son when I go to work. That’s all. She is my family. It is only me and God”).

Regarding their views on prenatal care, women had a positive view on the health care centre and trusted the doctors (“.. I liked the treatment I received here when I had my daughter 10 years ago... I trust it”). They reported they chose the centre due to the quality of service and indication from friends or family (“My friend recommended, she said it is very good”).

Most women were unemployed, and some did not have permanent accommodation and reported living with extended family or in-laws. Most women had mobile phones, which could facilitate contact with the health centre, but limited credit to make phone calls. Cost of transport was cited as one barrier to attend the prenatal care. One woman said: “Remember to talk about the cost of transport”.

Another woman complemented the comment by mentioning. “There is no money for the ticket. (...) sometimes there is no money in the house to go to the health centre.”

**Discussion**

The absolute recruitment yields were lower than expected (n =70 women vs n = 120 women) but recruitment rate per centre was above expected (actual recruitment of 2 women/ week vs predicted of 1.7 women/ week). A wide range of factors influencing recruitment into a dietary and dental care trial for low-income pregnant women were examined. The major reason for ineligibility was gestational age above 20 weeks at the time of first prenatal care visit, which was a patient-related factor beyond our control. The initial plan was to recruit women during the 1st trimester to allow for early periodontal treatment initiation. The literature suggested that starting periodontal therapy after
21 weeks of gestation might be too late to reduce the inflammation that is related to the adverse pregnancy outcomes (22). After audition of primary care data, the study inclusion criteria were amended to accept women up to 20 weeks of gestation. Even though health services were free, late onset of prenatal care is common among deprived populations (23). However, there were some external factors that might have exacerbated the delay in prenatal booking.

Rio de Janeiro faced Zika, dengue, and chikungunya epidemic during the trial recruitment and conduction. Zika was declared as national public health emergency in 2015 (24). In February 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared Zika a public health emergency of international concern (25). Although the epidemic was considered controlled in 2017, the potential causal relationship between Zika infection during pregnancy and foetal microcephaly, which was highly debated in the media, might have interfered with the early attendance of prenatal care, as some pregnant women may have restrained themselves at home. The long strikes and local instability and violence might have also contributed to the late onset of first prenatal visit in this population.

The demographic statistics of the population of pregnant women assisted by the health centre showed that women were relatively young. Therefore, it was anticipated that applying a too rigid definition of chronic periodontitis (which tends to develop with age) would result in low recruitment rate. Therefore, periodontitis was defined as the presence of one or more tooth with at least one of periodontal site with ≥ 4 mm of clinical attachment loss with presence of bleeding on probing. The presence of bleeding on probing ensured existence of local inflammation.

The recruitment estimation was based on a prevalence of periodontitis of 47% in low-risk pregnant women in Brazil (26). In our study, we found 42% of eligible women with periodontitis. However, the prevalence of periodontitis in our population might have been higher, as 64 women were excluded due to extensive dental decay and were not further examined. These women might have also presented periodontitis, which was not accounted for.

The qualitative data showed that although women were positive to the study intervention, they faced finance and social support challenges which might have interfered with study participation. Informed by the public and patient consultations the study protocol included a delayed periodontal treatment
(after childbirth) instead of non-treatment to ensure that all participants would benefit from the study. This was sought to improve interest in taking part in the study. All women received milk and sachets (with vitamin D/calcium for the fortified group and placebo for the non-fortified group) during routine prenatal visits. In addition, all participants received milk surplus according to the number of children living the household. We also offered finance compensation for the participants to cover transportation and meal costs.

According to the study recruitment flow diagram there were few protocol-related factors for non-participation. Offering childcare at the health centre (e.g. nursery) while pregnant women were attending the study visit might have prevented some withdraw of consent during the initial study phase. However, this would have required additional staffing and venue, which were not feasible in the present study.

There was a number of setting-related factors which hindered recruitment. The initial plan was to include at least two study sites. To be eligible health centres must assist a deprived population, be located in a relatively safe area, have available consultation rooms for the study team, offer dental care and being willing to subsidise part of the cost of the delayed periodontal treatment. Only two sites were deemed eligible and only one agreed to participate. The health centre operates on a catchment area basis and therefore we were not allowed to recruit women served by other health care providers to the study site.

The strikes also halted recruitment. In Brazil, it is mandatory to screen for HIV and syphilis upon prenatal care initiation and report statistics and notify cases to health authorities. For this reason, recruitment of new patients during the strike period was not possible and community initiatives for recruitment were not implemented. Had two sites been included, the recruitment target (n =120) for the feasibility trial might have been met despite the unusual circumstances regarding political crises and strikes. However, this would have had implications for fieldwork logistics and costs. Given the additional costs incurred by the initial problems with milk import and delay of study commencement, inclusion of an additional site located in a different municipality was not realistic.

Facing the high levels of crime and violence in Rio de Janeiro, the safety of fieldworkers was a key
concern. Fieldworkers were victims of an armed robbery and experienced near-miss events of theft. For the definitive trial, provision has to be made in the research budget for fieldworker training on how to respond to tense situations, insurance and a contingency fund to cover medical expenses and replacement of stolen equipment, if needed.

The present findings from this feasibility study should be interpreted in face of the following limitations. The findings were based on experiences from one single site located in a metropolitan region and conclusions might not be generalisable to the larger national context. The priority of this study was to evaluate feasibility of recruitment, acceptability of study design and intervention and identify barriers to enrolment to inform the large-scale trial. Contrary to most clinical trials we wanted to design a recruitment protocol which does not maximize internal validity in detriment of external validity. Although the aim was to balance both internal and external validity, there were recruitment factors beyond the control and scope of the study. For example, we lacked resources to provide transportation to the study site, instead we offered reimbursement for travel. Women could bring their children to the visits, but the research team could not offer support for childcare. Women reported competing priorities (e.g. care for other children), family issues (e.g. partners in prison) and problems with accommodation which resulted in missed appointment. However, the study lacked resources to assist women dealing with these issues.

Finally, our qualitative data was based on a hypothetical invitation to a trial. What women reported they would do or intended to do could have been different to how they would react when faced with a real decision. In depth face-to-face interviews with individuals who declined study invitation or withdrew after intervention commencement would have provided useful information for the large-scale trial. However, budget and capacity limitations prevented a detailed assessment of the characteristics of those who declined study participation.

Inequalities in metropolitan areas are growing worldwide, posing a challenge to national and local policy makers. This situation calls for actions and more research. However, the difficulties in carrying out research in vulnerable urban communities are increasing. Although there are many studies being undertaken in low-income urban areas, the methodological challenges faced by researchers and
potential suggestion on how to deal with such problems are not often documented.

Our data illustrates the complexity of overcoming recruitment and enrolment challenges for clinical trials in resource-limited settings. The lessons learned in this study (Table 3) on factors influencing recruitment and enrolment of low-income pregnant women will inform the delivery of a large-scale definitive trial and may benefit other researchers designing interventions on similar settings.

Table 3. Lessons learned from the feasibility trial regarding recruitment
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Tables

Table 1. Eligibility criteria for the feasibility trial

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| To be included women had to:                                                       | Women were excluded if they had:                                                                                                                                 |
| be aged ≥ 18 years at the time of recruitment;                                      | positive diagnosis of HIV/AIDS, syphilis, psychosis, diabetes before or during pregnancy, thyroid disease, or any disease causing vitamin D hypersensitivity (e.g. sarcoidosis and other lymphomatous disorders); |
| be up to 20 weeks' gestation at 1 prenatal visit;                                   | lactose intolerance, milk allergy, history of kidney stones and hyperparathyroidism being prescribed or using of antibiotics or any immune-suppressants or medication affecting vitamin D/calcium metabolism and drug and alcohol abuse; |
| have a positive diagnosis of periodontitis (≥ 1 tooth with at least one site with equal or more than 4 mm of clinical attachment loss (CAL) and presence of bleeding on probing); and | reported consumption of equal or more than 4 servings day of dairy products or taking vitamin D supplements more than 400 IU/day. |
| be cognitively and physically able to complete an interview and oral examination and willing to participate, including provision of blood samples. | extensive dental decay (crowns of several teeth destroyed by caries) and use of fixed dental braces; |

Table 2. Factors influencing recruitment and participation in the study.
| Themes and sub-themes | Barriers and Facilitators |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| **Theme 1: Study design and intervention** | |
| Group allocation | · Being placed in a placebo group may decrease willingness to participate, but not all women.  
· Offering a delayed periodontal therapy may increase willingness to participate. |
| Daily consumption of milk | · Mandatory consumption of pure milk may decrease willingness to participate.  
· Provision of food recipes using milk may increase willingness to participate. |
| Milk provision | · Additional milk provision to family including young children may increase willingness to participate. |
| Safety | · Having milk allergy or lactose intolerance hinder participation.  
· Milk fortification does not hinder willingness to participate. |
| **Theme 2: Food myths** | |
| Cultural beliefs | · Cultural beliefs regarding dairy intake during pregnancy generally do not hinder participation. |
| Perception of healthy foods | · Consumption of milk-based foods is perceived as positive during pregnancy and lactation. |
| **Theme 3: Social support** | |
| Emotional and informational support | · Lack of emotional and informational support may decrease willingness to participate. |
| Instrumental and practical support | · Lack of childcare provision decrease willingness to participate. |
| **Theme 4: Views on prenatal care** | |
| Health care centre | · Positive attitude towards the care provided by the centre may increase willingness to participate. |
| Health care professionals | · Good personal qualities and trust may increase willingness to participate. |
| **Theme 5: Finance** | |
| Transportation | · Lack of money for transportation may decrease willingness to participate. |
| Financial compensation | · Provision of financial incentives or compensation may increase willingness to participate. |

Table 3. Lessons learned from the feasibility trial regarding recruitment
- Promote more awareness of micro-nutrient deficiency (Calcium and Vitamin D) and oral health problems during pregnancy centres prior to recruitment.
- Maintain the good relationship with nurses. However more efforts should be made to engage with doctors.
- Avoid partnership with individuals and seek institutionalised collaboration in order to prevent problem of discontinu individuals leave their posts.
- Prepare interdisciplinary tools and build educational support to assist the target population dealing with barriers.
- Keep track of why people drop out allowing timely improvements in recruitment and retention.
- Make provision in the research budget for fieldworker training on how to respond to tense situations and insurance for expenses and acquisition of any stolen equipment.
- Build team resilience and motivation.

### Footnotes

[1] 20 g of powdered semi-skimmed milk of a commercial brand available in Brazil to be mixed with a 2 g sachet, containing calcium (CAPOLAC 500 mg) and vitamin D3 (500 IU).

[2] Brazilian traditional sweet dish made with white corn, milk, nuts, coconut and cinnamon. It is a dairy-based dish.

### Figures
Study eligibility flow diagram Source: Modified from Cocate et al, 2019 (12)
Figure 2

Study enrolment and exclusion flow diagram
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