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Abstract. The matter distribution around the Perseus-Pisces (PP) supercluster is studied by comparing peculiar velocities given by the Kinematics of the local universe (KLUN) galaxy sample to those predicted by Tolman-Bondi (TB) models. To restrict the TB solutions we first solve the mass of the densest part of PP. This part is identified as a sphere at (l, b) = (140°, -22°), d ≈ 50 h⁻¹ Mpc having a radius of 15 h⁻¹ Mpc. This sphere surrounds the main part of the PP ridge and four most prominent clusters of the region. Using virial-like mass estimators we calculate the cluster masses and obtain the upper and lower limits for the mass inside the PP ridge and four most prominent clusters of the region. Using virial-like mass estimators we calculate the cluster masses and obtain the upper and lower limits for the mass inside the PP sphere: M_{PP} = 4 - 7 h⁻¹ 10¹⁵ M⊙. This corresponds to a mass overdensity δ_{PP} ≈ 4, or ρ_{PP} = 1 - 2 ρ_{cr}. Mass to light ratios of the clusters are M/L ≈ (200 - 600) h M⊙/L⊙, giving mass density ratio Ω₀ = 0.1 - 0.3, if the value of M/L is assumed to be representative elsewhere in the universe.

We estimate a radial density distribution around the PP core using two toy models and a smoothed density distribution observed for IRAS galaxies. The cosmological density parameters (Ω₀, ΩΛ) and the PP mass are free parameters in the TB calculations. The KLUN velocities, obtained by Tully-Fisher relation and the normalized distance method, are adjusted by the Local Group (LG) infall velocity towards PP. Comparison of the TB velocities to KLUN data points indicates that the infall velocity v_{inf} < 100 km s⁻¹. Allowing M_{PP} to vary within the limits given above we get constraints for the value of Ω₀: Ω₀ = 0.2 - 0.4 are preferred to the more extreme values, Ω₀ = 0.1 or 1. A choice of either Ω₀ = 1 - Ω₀ or 0 do not cause any significant changes in the results.

The validity of the TB model in complex environments is studied with an N-body simulation. There we see that the radially averaged velocity fields around simulated clusters are compatible with the corresponding TB velocities. This confirms the applicability of the TB model around large galaxy concentrations, providing that smoothed density and radially averaged velocity fields are used.
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1. Introduction

Tolman-Bondi (TB) model is the analytical solution of a spherically symmetric mass distribution in an expanding universe (Tolman 1934, Bondi 1947). It has been successfully applied to the Virgo system by Teerikorpi et al. (1992) and, recently, by Ekholm et al. (1999) for describing the peculiar velocity field and estimating the total mass of the system, even though the galaxy distribution in Virgo deviates notably from spherical. Motivation for the use of the TB model is that it is simple and analytical. It is a first step towards approximating an inhomogeneous universe. Bondi (1947) himself wrote that “the assumption of spherical symmetry supplies us with a model which lies between the completely homogeneous models of cosmology and the actual universe with its irregularities”.

TB results are valid with appropriate smoothing; the observed velocity field must be averaged over concentric shells around a galaxy concentration, when compared to the TB results. Thus the TB model does not give the results in as much details as the various more commonly used gravitational instability – reconstruction methods. On the other hand, it does not require any assumptions for the breakdown of the theory in the non-linear region.

In order to test whether the TB model may be applied to an apparently still less favourable situation than Virgo, we study the Perseus-Pisces supercluster, where a TB-like deviation in the Hubble flow has already been observed (Theureau et al. 1998a). Our criterion for the success of the TB method is whether the mass inferred using TB is comparable to virial and luminosity × M/L estimate for the region, and whether the peculiar velocity field is adequately described by the model.

The local d < 700 h⁻¹ Mpc universe is dominated by two large mass concentrations, the Great Attractor and the Perseus-
Pisces (PP) complexes. According to Sigad et al. (1998) and Dekel et al. (1999), both regions have overdensities $\delta = 1 - 1.5$, where $\delta = |\rho(x) - \bar{\rho}| / \bar{\rho}$ is the local, and $\bar{\rho}$ the average density. Both studies used a Gaussian smoothing of 12 $h^{-1}$ Mpc and assumed $\Omega_0 = 1$. Thus the velocity field surrounding PP should reveal a strong infall towards the supercluster’s center of mass. For a galaxy at around half way from PP to us the infall velocity should be $\approx 500$ km s$^{-1}$. However, the infall signature is difficult to reveal because of large uncertainties in redshift independent distance measurements, e.g. those provided by Tully-Fisher (TF) or Fundamental Plane (FP) methods. Uncertainties are caused by statistical biases difficult to correct for in a heterogeneous environment. Additional inaccuracies are raised by the filamentary shape of the structure, consisting of an alignment of several subclusters, which complicates considerably any attempt to model the velocity field.

1.1. Previous studies of Perseus-Pisces

In the past ten years PP has been investigated by numerous authors using various distance criteria and statistical methods. The region is now well covered by great amount of data. From a large redshift sample of 3311 galaxies in the region bounded by $22^h < R.A. < 4^h$, $+20^\circ < \text{Dec.} < +50^\circ$, Wegner et al. (1993) described the Perseus-Pisces complex as follows: The supercluster consists of a continuous arrangement of high density clusters and groups, among which the Pisces, A262, and Perseus (A426) clusters are the most prominent features. Its main ridge extends at least 50 $h^{-1}$ Mpc from Pegasus eastward to the Perseus cluster, with a width in the plane of the sky of about 5–10 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, and a typical depth in redshift of 250–500 km s$^{-1}$. All the objects in the ridge are lying roughly at the same distance from us, at $\sim 5000$ km s$^{-1}$.

First kinematical studies (Willick 1990, 1991, Courteau et al. 1993) based on an r-band TF sample of 355 galaxies, suggested that the whole structure takes part on a large scale flow defined by the Great Attractor (see e.g. Lynden-Bell et al. 1988, Dekel 1994), with a mean velocity of $\sim 350$ km s$^{-1}$ towards the Local Group (LG). Han & Mould (1992) confirmed this result independently using a whole sky TF sample of 21 clusters. Hudson et al. (1997) combined their inverse FP relation measurements of seven clusters in the PP region with data of nine other clusters from the literature and concluded that the whole region has a mean CMB frame bulk motion of 420±280 km s$^{-1}$ towards galactic coordinates $(l, b) = (262^\circ, -25^\circ)$. POTENT reconstruction method of the density and velocity field for Mark III galaxies (Dekel et al. 1999) gave different results. There it was found that the center of PP is roughly at rest in the LG frame and the regions between the LG and PP are infalling towards PP.

Most studies of the velocity field of galaxies suggest that the kinematics of the local universe can be described by a model in which galaxies are infalling to two mass concentrations, one in PP and one in Hydra-Centaurus (e.g. Han & Mould 1992, Dekel et al. 1994). However, Freudling et al. (1995) and da Costa et al. (1996) used a method of analysis, based on Monte-Carlo simulations, in order to control biases and gain a better sampling of the sky. Their studies revealed a clear infall motion towards PP, while the GA was found less dominant than originally believed.

The differences in the results stated above may be caused by uncertainties in the reconstruction method, in the corrections for the selection effects, and/or in the distance indicator calibration. For example, an error in the TF zero point would cause a systematic error that resembles a Hubble flow in the peculiar velocity field. Dekel et al. (1999) also note that the results in the PP region are more sensitive to noise than the other regions.

1.2. What is new in our approach to PP?

In a previous study using the KLUN galaxies, a full infall pattern, both in the front and the backside of the PP supercluster was shown, for the first time. A new Malmquist bias correction method for the TF distance data was used (Theureau et al. 1998a). In the present paper we investigate the dynamics of galaxies in the PP surroundings in more detail. We study the spatial distribution and peculiar velocities of the galaxies using the Malmquist corrected TF distances. The observed velocity field is averaged over spherical shells around the PP center and compared to the results given by TB calculations.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Sect. 2 we briefly present the data and summarize the normalized distance method (NDM) that has been used for deriving unbiased average distances and peculiar velocities. In Sect. 3 we calculate the virial masses of the most prominent clusters in the PP region and estimate the mass of the whole central region of PP from these masses. Section 4 includes a description of the Tolman-Bondi model and its application to PP. The model is also tested on an N-body simulation of galaxy clusters (Sect. 4.2). In Sect. 5 we compare the TB model to the infall pattern observed with the KLUN data. Finally, the main results are summarized in Sect. 6.

2. Towards unbiased peculiar velocities

The following notation is appointed for different distance and velocity variables:

- $d$ for distances from the LG
- $r$ for distances from the PP center
- capital $R$ for fixed distances: $R_{PP}(= 50h^{-1}$ Mpc) is the distance between the LG and the PP center, $R_s (= 15h^{-1}$ Mpc) is the radius of the main region of the PP concentration
- small $v$ for peculiar velocities, $v_r$ is the peculiar velocity with respect to the PP center, $v_d$ is the peculiar velocity with respect to the LG
- capital $V$ for observed (Hubble flow + peculiar) velocities, corrected for the infall towards Virgo as described in Sect. 2.1

Exceptions are
– quantities with subindex 25: $D_{25}$ is the standard notation for the isophotal $B = 25$ mag diameter of a galaxy and $R_{25}$ is the corresponding axis ratio
– $V_m$ is the maximum of the rotational velocity of a galaxy

For the Hubble constant we assume $H_0 = 60$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, or indicate it by the term $h \equiv H_0/(100$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$). The value is needed in calculating the radial part of the peculiar velocities,

$$v_{d,\text{obs}} = V - H_0 d,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the subscript “obs” emphasizes that only this component of the peculiar velocity can be directly observed.

The main difficulties in velocity field studies are caused by the large uncertainties in distance determination and by the resulting biases. Attempts to overcome these problems require a few things. Firstly, one must know thoroughly the sample, how it was collected, and to which extent it can be assumed complete. Secondly, systematic effects in the distance criterion have to be modeled, so that one can derive for a given application an unbiased set of distances. In particular, biases will be different if one estimates peculiar velocities at a given derived distance, at a given true distance, or at a given observed radial velocity. Thirdly, the sample has to be deep enough and should cover a sufficiently large solid angle in the sky for the average relations, needed for the bias correction, to be free from local perturbations in the density or the velocity field. This last requirement is similar as needed for a satisfactory determination of the Hubble constant.

2.1. The data

We use the KLUN (Kinematics of the local universe) sample consisting of 6600 spiral galaxies having measurements of isophotal diameter $D_{25}$, HI line width, radial velocity, and B-magnitude. This sample has been fully described in previous papers of this series, e.g. by Theureau et al. (1997), so we only summarize here the main characteristics.

KLUN is selected according to apparent diameter, and is complete down to $D_{25} = 1.6$ (Paturel et al. 1994), covering the type range Sa–Sdm ($T=1$–8). The data are extracted from LEDA (Lyon-Meudon Extragalactic Database, Paturel et al. 1997) and complemented with optical redshifts measured with ESO and OHP telescopes, and HI spectra obtained with Nançay and Parkes radio telescopes (Bottinelli et al. 1992, 1993, di Nella et al. 1996, Theureau et al. 1998b). According to LEDA precepts, all astrophysical parameters have been homogenized and reduced to a standard and common system (Paturel et al. 1997). Isophotal $D_{25}$ diameters and apparent B-magnitudes are corrected for galactic extinction according to Fouqué & Paturel (1985), and for inclination, i.e. the opacity effect, as explained in Bottinelli et al. (1995). HI line widths, reduced to the standard levels of 20% and 50%, are corrected for internal velocity dispersion according to Tully & Fouqué (1985). Heliocentric radial velocities are changed to the rest frame of the LG centroid following Yahil et al. (1977) and corrected for a Virgo-centric component, assuming an infall of the LG towards the Virgo cluster $v_0 = 200$ km s$^{-1}$ (Theureau et al. 1997, Jerjen & Tammann 1993) and a radial velocity of Virgo $V_{\text{Vir}} = 980$ km s$^{-1}$ (Mould et al. 1980), within a linear infall model (Peebles 1976).

In the TF relation studies we need to make some restrictions to the data. Galaxies close to the Galactic plane ($|b| \leq 15^\circ$) are excluded due to the large uncertainties in the Galactic extinction correction. Face-on galaxies, $\log R_{25} < 0.07$, are excluded to prevent large errors on calculation of the rotational velocity parameter $p \equiv \log V_m$, where $V_m$ is the maximal rotational velocity of a galaxy, measured from the HI line width.

Absolute TF distances are obtained using the calibration given by Theureau (1998). TF scatter was there reduced by 30% by taking into account the dependence of the TF zero point on mean surface brightnesses of the galaxies. The NDM first order Malmquist corrections are calculated on the basis of the whole sky sample, according to Theureau et al. (1998a). The sample with thus corrected TF distances, restricted to the strictly diameter complete part, contains finally 2800 spiral galaxies.

2.2. The normalized distance method (NDM)

The concept of normalized distances was introduced in a theoretical discussion by Teerikorpi (1984). The method was first applied by Bottinelli et al. (1986) and improved in a series of papers, most recently by Theureau et al. (1997). A theoretical description in terms of probability densities was given by Theureau et al. (1998a), where the authors showed that the method can be extended to make Malmquist bias corrections to galaxy distances even beyond the so-called unbiased plateau. The unbiased plateau has been profitably used for determination of the unbiased value of $H_0$ and has the advantage that one does not need model-dependent bias corrections.

In order to understand how the NDM works, let us define classes of galaxies as follows: objects belong to a given class if
they have identical values of log rotational velocity \( p \), Galactic extinction correction \( a_g \), inclination \( R_{25} \), morphological type \( T \) and mean surface brightness \( \Sigma \). The main idea of the NDM is that for a given magnitude or diameter limited sample, and for a given TF scatter the behaviour of the Malmquist bias \( f \) as a function of true distance is similar for all galaxies belonging to the same class. The class is assumed to have a Gaussian distribution of absolute magnitudes. Then, for each class, there is a unique curve of evolution of the bias, which is conveniently expressed as the curve of observed average value of the Hub-

\[
\log d_{\text{TF}} = a_{\text{TF}}p + b_{\text{TF}}(\Sigma) - \log D_{25} - \log \frac{\pi}{108};
\]  

(2)

\( a_{\text{TF}} \) is the TF slope, \( b_{\text{TF}}(\Sigma) \) the TF zero point, dependent on the surface brightness \( \Sigma \) of the galaxy, and \( \alpha_{\text{TF}} \) is a constant for converting the units (linear TF-diameter in kpc and \( D_{25} \) in 0/1 to \( d_{\text{TF}} \) in Mpc).

Essentially, the normalized distance technique means superimposing all the bias curves \( \langle \log H_0 \rangle(d) \) of the different classes of objects by using an appropriate scaling on the distance:

\[
d_n = d \cdot f(p, a_g, R_{25}, T, \Sigma)
\]  

(3)

As a result, the amplitude of the bias can be expressed merely as a function of the normalized distance \( d_n \), the apparent limit of the sample \( (m_{\text{lim}} \text{ or } D_{25, \text{lim}}) \), and the TF scatter (\( \sigma_{\text{TF}} \)). Figure 1 shows the \( \langle \log H_0 \rangle \) vs. \( d_n \) diagram of KLUN galaxies in the case of the B magnitude TF relation. The unbiased part of the sample is identified as a plateau of \( \langle \log H_0 \rangle = \) constant, at low values of \( d_n \). Note, however, that for calculation of \( d_n \), one needs the true distance \( d \), usually derived as the so-called kinematical distance, e.g. in the case of the Hubble law \( d = d_{\text{kin}} \equiv V/H_0 \).

The average bias correction is valid only if the following assumptions are fulfilled:

- \( H1 \) : the sample is complete and strictly diameter (magnitude) limited
- \( H2 \) : TF residuals follow a Gaussian distribution, and the TF scatter does not depend on the value of \( p \)
- \( H3 \) : the selection in apparent diameter (magnitude) is independent of the selections in redshift and \( p \)
- \( H4 \) : the radial velocity field integrated over all the directions vanishes, i.e. except the Hubble flow, there is no coherent flow at the scale of the whole sample

Assumption \( H1 \) is obtained by applying a strict cut-off at the completeness limit of the sample. \( H2 \) is in agreement with what is observed in the case of the B-band TF relation (Theureau et al. 1997). \( H3 \) might be difficult to prove, if observational errors on apparent magnitudes or diameters are correlated with the errors on \( p \) : both are linked to the inclination of the galaxy through the internal extinction correction and the de-projection of the observed HI line width. However, this effect is insignificant in the case of the diameter TF relation.

As for assumption \( H4 \), a list of recent measurements of large-scale bulk motions is given by Strauss (2000). The list is somewhat divergent; roughly half of the surveys find no bulk flow at scales \( V \geq 6000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), the rest claim bulk flows of 300–600 km s\(^{-1}\). We will return to the question of large-scale flow within the KLUN sample in the future, for now we assume that assumption \( H4 \) is true for our data.

Finally, the corrected distance is given by

\[
\log d_c = \log d_{\text{TF}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\text{TF}} \exp \left( - \frac{(\omega-\log D_{25,\text{lim}})^2}{2\sigma_{\text{TF}}^2} \right)}{1 - \text{erf} \left( \frac{\omega-\log D_{25,\text{lim}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\text{TF}}} \right)},
\]  

(4)

(Theureau et al. 1998a, where the (log) normalized distance is marked with \( \omega \),

\[\omega \equiv \log d_n = \log d + a_{\text{TF}}(2.7 - p) + 0.094a_g - C \log R_{25} - b_{\text{TF}}(\Sigma).\]

Here \( d = V/H_0 \) and parameter \( C \) defines the correction for inclination (Bottinelli et. al. 1995). For a single galaxy class and usual kinematical distance, an analogous formula was derived by Teerikorpi (1975). It has also appeared in the “triple-value correction method” of Sandage (1994).

We emphasize that this correction is statistical in nature. When applied to an individual galaxy, it gives an accurate average correction only if the velocity field model (providing \( d_{\text{kin}} \) and \( d \)) is correct. When the velocity field is distorted, say, in the direction of a supercluster, it still gives a first order correction, but a complete correction would require an iterative procedure (see Sect. 5.3). Even the first order correction essentially improves the derived velocity field, as was shown by Theureau et al. (1998a) for the PP supercluster, but it is notable that the peculiar velocities will be somewhat suppressed if only a first order correction is done.

3. The virial mass of Perseus-Pisces

Figure 2 shows a map of the PP region. Circles stand for galaxies from LEDA, having radial velocities between 3000 and 7000 km s\(^{-1}\). This limitation allows us to look at the main part of the PP concentration, lying at \( V \approx 5000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), practically free from any contamination from foreground objects. LEDA is regularly updated for all published data and thus contains all the recent PP-surveys.

Symbols other than circles are KLUN galaxies. +:s are objects within the velocity interval stated above, *:s have \( V > 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and x:*s \( V < 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Only the KLUN galaxies satisfying the completeness limit, \( D_{25} > 1/6 \), and other criteria demanded by our TF-method (see Sect. 2.2), are plotted. In total, Fig. 2 contains 2016 LEDA galaxies and 266 objects from KLUN.

In Fig. 2 the Hubble diagram of the KLUN PP region galaxies is shown. The line corresponds to the Hubble law with
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Fig. 2. LEDA (o) and KLUN galaxies (+, * and ×) in the PP region. Large circle is the $15 \, h^{-1}\, \text{Mpc}$ sphere at $(140.2^\circ, -22.0^\circ)$ containing the main concentration. Small circles are the four densest clusters, Perseus, A262, 0122+3305 and Pisces, from up left to down right.

Fig. 3. The Hubble diagram of KLUN galaxies in the PP region. $d_c$ is the NDM corrected TF distance.

$H_0 = 60 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. The infall towards PP is seen as the surplus of galaxies above the Hubble line at small distances and below the line at large distances.

We seek a rough estimate for the amount of matter in the PP region. First we determine the masses of the densest clusters in the region. We define the densest concentrations as the ones having the projected galaxy overdensity $\delta_{2D} > 10$. Here $\delta_{2D}(x) = (\rho_{2D}(x) - \bar{\rho}_{2D})/\bar{\rho}_{2D}$, the two dimensional sky densities $\rho_{2D}(x)$ are calculated in 1 degree$^2$ regions, and $\bar{\rho}_{2D}$ is the mean value of the whole region shown in Fig. 2. The limiting factor 10 has no special physical meaning. It was chosen to give a reasonable number of dense galaxy concentrations. There are four clusters satisfying this criterium. The characteristics of these clusters are listed in Table 1. Masses are estimated using the virial theorem and the alternative methods presented by Heisler et al. (1985), see Table 2 below. The effects of possible outsiders and interlopers are tested by using various radii and redshift intervals in defining cluster members. Table 2 shows some of the results. For the mass evaluations in Table 1 we adopt $V$-interval of 3000–7000 km s$^{-1}$, and use virial radii of 2$^\circ$ for Perseus and A262, and 1.5$^\circ$ for 0122+3305 and Pisces. These radii are drawn in Fig. 2.

For the $M/L$ ratio derivations in Tables 1 & 2 we calculate the total luminosities of the clusters from LEDA B-band brightnesses and estimate selection effects using the Schechter function

$$\phi(M) \propto 10^{0.4(M^* - M)}(\alpha + 1) \exp[-10^{0.4(M^* - M)}],$$

expressed here in terms of absolute magnitude $M$. The characteristic magnitude, $M^*$, and slope, $\alpha$, of the function are found by fitting Eq. 5 to the observed magnitude distribution. Since the Perseus cluster shows different features from the other three clusters, the fitting for it is done separately. Obtained parameters are $\alpha = -1.46^{+0.22}_{-0.26}$ and $M^* - 5 \log h = -19.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ for Perseus, and $\alpha = -1.51^{+0.37}_{-0.25}$.

Table 1. Galactic coordinates, numbers of galaxies, mean velocities, virial radii in degrees and in $h^{-1}\, \text{Mpc}$, upper and lower estimates of masses in $h^{-1}10^{14}M_\odot$, and mass to light ratios in $hM_\odot/L_\odot$, of the four largest PP clusters in radial velocity interval 3000–7000 km s$^{-1}$. Clusters are 1) Perseus, 2) A262, 3) 0122+3305, and 4) Pisces.

| $l$  | $b$  | $N$  | $V$  | $\theta_v$ | $r_v$ | $M$  | $M/L$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|------|------|-------|
| 1   | -13.5 | 152 | 5144 | 2.0       | 1.8  | 8–12 | 310–490 |
| 2   | -25.0 | 104 | 4878 | 2.0       | 1.7  | 4–6  | 210–370 |
| 3   | -29.0 | 67  | 5085 | 1.5       | 1.3  | 4–6  | 260–510 |
| 4   | -30.0 | 63  | 5096 | 1.5       | 1.3  | 3–5  | 270–550 |
The values adopted in Table 1 are the lowest and the highest values from the rows θ = 2.0° for Perseus and A262, and θ = 1.5° for 0122+3305 and Pisces. Units: \([M] = h^{-1}10^{14}M_\odot, [M/L] = hM_\odot/L_\odot\)
we can then estimate $M_{PP} \approx 4-7 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$, where $M_{PP}$ is the mass inside the 15$h^{-1}$ Mpc sphere. The selection effects are assumed to be the same for the galaxies in the four clusters and for the other galaxies in the 15$h^{-1}$ Mpc sphere.

However, there is some evidence that $M/L$ increases on scales larger than clusters. For example Small et al. (1998) found the mass to light ratio to be $564 h M_\odot/L_\odot$ for the Corona Borealis supercluster and $726 h M_\odot/L_\odot$ for a background supercluster. Small et al. used the virial and projected mass estimators for the whole bound supercluster. The Tolman-Bondi calculations (next Sect.) show that the PP is also bound, so we may apply the virial estimators on the PP also. We get $M_{PP} = 2.6, 4.2, 2.8, \text{ and } 3.3 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ for the 836 galaxies in the central 15$h^{-1}$ Mpc sphere in PP, using the virial, projected, medium, and average mass estimators, respectively.

The corresponding sum of the galaxy luminosities gives 4.4 $h^{-2}10^{12} L_\odot$. Taking from above the more conservative limits for the number of non-detected galaxies, this number is then increased by a factor 1.60–1.95, giving the total luminosity $L_{PP} = 7.0–8.5 h^{-2}10^{12} L_\odot$. Finally, the mass to light ratio, using these values, is 330–600 $h M_\odot/L_\odot$. However, since this mass for the whole PP core is about equal to the sum of the four clusters derived above, we doubt the accuracy of the virial and other estimators in this case, and adopt the values 4–7 $h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot$ for $M_{PP}$.

These upper and lower limits for $M_{PP}$ give a mean density $\rho_{PP} \approx 2–4 h^210^{-26}$ kg m$^{-3}$ $\approx 1–2 \rho_c$, where $\rho_c$ is the critical density of the universe. In terms of mass overdensity this is $\delta_{PP} \approx 4$, assuming $\Omega_0 \approx 0.2–0.4$. The lower value of $\Omega_0$ here corresponds naturally to the lower limit of $\rho_{PP}$, and high $\Omega_0$ to the higher $\rho_{PP}$. The result is in agreement with the value $\delta \approx 1$ ($\Omega_0 = 1$) by Sigad et al. (1998) and Dekel et al. (1999).

4. Tolman-Bondi method

4.1. Theoretical background

Tolman-Bondi (TB) equations determine the kinematics of a spherically symmetric mass concentration in an expanding universe (see e.g. Olson & Silk (1978) and Ekholm (1996) for main features of TB, and Teerikorpi et al. (1992) and Ekholm & Teerikorpi (1994) and Ekholm et al. (1999, 2000) for some recent applications). In practice we study the behaviour of a spherical layer of matter at distance $r$ from the center of symmetry. Each of these shells have two dynamical constants. In Newtonian terms we can think of these constants as the mass inside the radius of the shell,

$$M(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi \rho(r') r'^2 dr'$$

(6)

and the energy per mass of the shell,

$$E(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{GM(r)}{r} - \frac{\Lambda c^2 r^2}{6}.$$  

(7)

In view of the recent interest in Friedmann models with non-zero cosmological constant $\Lambda$, we have added its contribution. The energy term determines whether the mass shells will eventually escape ($E(r) > 0$) or collapse to the center ($E(r) < 0$). The usual assumption that the mass shells do not overtake each other, i.e. there are no shell crossings, is followed here.

We attempt to solve the present day velocities of the mass shells, $v_r(r)$, for the spherically symmetric mass distribution, with the initial condition that at some point in the past these mass shells have all been very close together. That is, we assume the big bang to have happened at $t = 0$. This moment is acquired from the underlying Friedmann model, by calculating the age of the Universe $T_0 = T_0(H_0, \Omega_0, \Omega_\Lambda)$ for the set of cosmological parameters $H_0$, $\Omega_0 = \rho_0/\rho_c$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = \rho_\Lambda/\rho_c$, where $\rho_c = \frac{3 H_0^2}{8 \pi G}$, $\rho_0$ is the mass density, and $\rho_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda c^2}{8 \pi G}$. Then we find the value of the energy term $E(r)$ for each present day radius $r_0$, by demanding $r(T_0, E) = r_0$ and $r(0, E) = 0$, and integrating backwards in time. The integration is performed numerically for both $r$ and $v_r$ with

$$\dot{r} = \sqrt{2 \left( \frac{GM(r)}{r} + \frac{\Lambda c^2 r^2}{6} + E \right)}$$

(8)

ensuring the conservation of energy, and

$$\dot{v}_r = -\frac{GM(r)}{r^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2 r}{3}.$$  

(9)

This numerical approach is used because for $\Lambda \neq 0$ model a simple parameterized solution given in e.g. Olson & Silk (1978) does not apply. For $\Lambda = 0$, we checked the numerical calculations by the parameterized formulæ.

For $t \to 0$, where the velocities grow considerably, the numerical methods confront some difficulties. Even with adapted stepsize algorithms we can not avoid all the problems. Using a fourth order Runge-Kutta method, we obtain inconsistent results, $\dot{r} \neq v_r$, when $t < \frac{T_0}{100}$. Fortunately our main concern is to calculate the dynamics at larger $t$, where the method proved to be robust. A comparison to the parameterized TB solution showed that the problems at small $t$ did not have any effect on our resulting values of $E(r)$. With the $E(r)$ thus obtained, the present day velocities $v_r(r_0)|_{E=E(r_0)}$ can be calculated.

4.2. Testing the method on an N-body simulation

The TB model is a rough approximation of the dynamics of galaxy clustering. The reader may suspect that the assumption of spherical matter distribution around a galaxy cluster is perilous. In reality galaxies seem to accumulate into filamentary and wall-like structures. However, an averaged galaxy density field may be well described with a spherical model; the IRAS map, using a $12 h^{-1}$ Mpc smoothing, shows a roughly spherical density field around PP (Sect. 4.3). And the distribution of dark matter may be even more spherical.

How about the peculiar velocities? The many-body gravitational interplay determining the dynamics of galaxy clustering is a complicated issue, can it really be described by smooth radial TB velocities? That may seem doubtful, but, again, we rely on the power of averaging. The TB results are compared only to the average radial velocities around the center of mass.
Hanski, M.O. et al.: Perseus-Pisces and Tolman-Bondi

Fig. 5. The (141 $h^{-1}$ Mpc)$^3$ cube of GIF N-body simulation galaxies. The simulation started with 256$^3$ dark halos, producing the 15000 galaxies seen in this figure. The four circles indicate the clusters for which we studied the applicability of the TB calculations.

The correspondence between the TB solutions and the averaged observational quantities is here studied with a cosmological N-body simulation. In a simulated galaxy cluster we have the advantage of knowing the true distribution of matter and the true velocities, not distorted by selection effects or observational uncertainties. And even better, we can study the evolution of the cluster and compare the matter and velocity distribution of the galaxies to those predicted by the TB model at different epochs.

In what follows we use the N-body simulation data provided by the GIF group, a German-Israeli collaboration working with the Virgo consortium project (http://star-www.dur.ac.uk/~frazierp/virgo/).

The GIF team investigates the clustering of galaxies in a hierarchical universe (Kauffmann et al. [1999a, 1999b], Diaferio et al. [1999]). They simulate structure formation in a periodical (141 $h^{-1}$ Mpc)$^3$ cube using a cold dark matter, flat curvature, non-zero cosmological constant model with $\Omega_0 = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $h = 0.7$. The simulation starts with 256$^3$ dark matter halos with masses $1.4 h^{-1}10^{10} M_\odot$. The galaxies are formed at concentrations of halos, and the effects of gas cooling, star formation, and supernova feedback are taken into account. The data files at http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/Virgo/data have the positions, velocities, and luminosities of galaxies and distribution and masses of halos at six redshifts, $z = 0.00, 0.20, 0.42, 0.62, 0.82,$ and $1.05$, corresponding to epochs $t/T_0 = 1.00, 0.97, 0.79, 0.67, 0.57,$ and $0.49$. There are two sets of files, one for the galaxies (luminous matter) and one for the halos (luminous + dark matter).

Figure [5] shows the galaxies in the whole (141 $h^{-1}$ Mpc)$^3$ cube at $z = 0$. We study the evolution of four large clusters in the simulation. These clusters are circled in Fig. 5 and shown individually in Fig. 6. For each of these clusters we approximate the central radius $R_s$ by eye, and calculate the masses and the radially averaged $\delta(r)$, see Table 3. These values are similar to what we observe in the PP supercluster.

The overdensities are calculated from the halo number distribution, with a $3 h^{-1}$ Mpc smoothing. At this smoothing length the matter distribution in the simulation is unbiased according to Kauffmann et al. (1999a). Then we determine the center of mass and calculate the radially averaged $\delta(r)$ around each of the four clusters. For the TB calculations we use either this observed $\delta(r)$ (at $z = 0$) or a “step function” toy model where $\delta(r < R_s) = \langle \delta \rangle_{R_s}$, and $\delta(r \geq R_s) = 0$. Figure [6] shows the evolution of $\delta(r)$ in the simulation and by means of the TB model. The toy model is very instructive in showing how the density enhancements grow in amplitude and converge in comoving radius with time.

Table 3. Radii $R_s$, and masses and average overdensities inside $R_s$ of the four simulated clusters in Fig. 5. The units are $[R_s] = h^{-1}$ Mpc, $[M] = h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot$.

| $R_s$ | M  | $\langle \delta \rangle_{R_s}$ |
|------|----|-------------------------------|
| 1    | 10 | 4.5                           |
| 2    | 14 | 7.2                           |
| 3    | 8  | 3.0                           |
| 4    | 10 | 4.4                           |

Fig. 6. The four GIF galaxy clusters extracted for further study. Figures show XY-planes from the previous figure, centered to the cluster centers. The width in Z direction of each plot is 30 Mpc. Circles with radii $R_s$ are drawn. It is notable that these complexes are not spherically symmetric, resembling the situation with PP.
As expected, in the simulation the structures form at \( z > 1 \) and there is not much evolution in \( \delta(r) \) from \( z = 1.05 \) to \( z = 0 \). Contrarily, in the TB evolved clusters the overdensities are low at \( z = 1.05 \) but grow quite steadily to the present day values. At larger \( r \) the \( \delta(r) \) given by TB model follow the corresponding values of the simulation quite well at each epoch.

Figure 8 shows the comparison of the observed averaged peculiar velocities in the simulated clusters to the TB results. A similar time dependent factor as in the evolution of the density field is seen here. At earlier epochs the peculiar velocities given by the TB model are somewhat smaller than the \( v_p(r) \) in the simulation. At \( z = 0 \) the TB values are higher, although the differences are not at a significant level.

Our conclusion is that concerning the evolution of density and velocity fields around clusters there is a marginal difference between the simulations and the TB calculations. The overdensities \( \delta(r) \) are quite well approximated at large \( r \) at each epoch, and the present day peculiar velocities \( v_p(r) \) are sufficiently well derived, that is, with \( < 1 \sigma \) deviation, using the observed present day \( \delta(r) \).

4.3. TB method applied to Perseus-Pisces

Now, how to use TB method for Perseus-Pisces where the distribution of luminous matter apparently is not spherically symmetric? Certain restrictions and assumptions must be applied. Firstly, we isolate a sphere containing the main part of the mass, as was done in Sect. 2. Then we assume that any substructures or dynamical features inside the sphere do not affect a galaxy outside it; the sphere can effectively be replaced by a point mass located at the center. Outside the sphere, we assume the density to decrease to the average density of the universe following spherical symmetry:

\[
\delta(r, \theta, \phi) = \delta(r) \text{ if } r > R_s
\]

\[
\delta(r) \to 0 \text{ for } r \gg R_s
\]

(\( R_s \) = radius of the sphere). After these assumptions we can apply TB equations for galaxies close to, but not inside the sphere.

The assumptions may seem doubtful. Is it not oversimplified to approximate the filamentary structure seen in the PP region with a spherical model? The filaments must produce observable non-radial motions. The main justification for our method lies in the power of averaging. Small scale non-radial peculiar velocities are smoothed away in a similar manner as
Fig. 8. The evolution of the velocities $v_r(r)$ around the four clusters. Crosses and error bars are the averaged $v_r(r)$ and their standard deviations observed in the simulation. Gray lines correspond to the observed density distribution and black lines to the toy model density distributions, evolved within the TB models.

a smoothed density map blurs away small scale substructure. Compare the smoothed IRAS map by Sigad et al. (1998) to the galaxy map in Fig. 2. The IRAS map shows a spherical density distribution centered in the PP, quite unlike the first glance on the individual galaxy distribution suggests. N-body simulations indicate (Sect. 4.2) that the same thing happens for the velocity fields, justifying the usage of the TB models. In any case, over 90 percent of the matter is dark and may be more symmetrically distributed. The final criterion is how well the model describes the infall pattern and predicts the total mass.

For the density distribution outside the $R_s$-sphere we consider three models: two toy models, a step function

$$\delta_1(r) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_{PP} & \text{if } r < R_s, \\
0 & \text{if } r \geq R_s,
\end{cases}$$

(10)
a declining profile

$$\delta_2(r) = Ar^{-2},$$

(11)

where $A$ is chosen so that $M(R_s) = M_{PP}$, and a rough approximation of the smoothed density field of IRAS galaxies around PP, taken from Sigad et al. (1998), where the middle panel of their Fig. 6 shows the supergalactic plane with LG in the center and PP at $(X,Y) \approx (50,-10) \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. It is notable that this is a very rough approximation of the true matter distribution – the IRAS map in Sigad et al. is heavily smoothed ($12h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ Gaussian filter), and the density profile $\delta_{IRAS}(r)$ that we use is measured by eye from their figure. However, it serves well for the accuracy that we aim for with the Tolman-Bondi method. The density models and corresponding cumulative masses are shown in Fig. 9.

Figure 10 shows schematically the system under study. A galaxy is located at distance $d$ from us. The lines of sight towards PP and the galaxy form an angle $\theta$. The distance from PP to the galaxy is then

$$r = \sqrt{R_{PP}^2 + d^2 - 2R_{PP}d \cos \theta}.$$  

(12)

The observable (radial) component of the peculiar velocity, $v_{d,\text{obs}}$ (Eq. 1), of the galaxy is connected to the PP velocity field $v_r(r)$ by

$$v_{d,\text{obs}} = -v_r(R_{PP}) \cos \theta \pm v_r(r) \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_{PP}^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2}},$$

(13)

where $+$ is used for $d < R_{PP} \cos \theta$ and $-$ for $d > R_{PP} \cos \theta$. If we have a priori knowledge of the LG infall towards PP, $v_{\text{inf}} \equiv v_r(R_{PP})$ we can solve PP peculiar velocities from observable quantities:

$$v_r(r) = \pm \frac{v_{d,\text{obs}} + v_{\text{inf}} \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{R_{PP}^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2}}}.$$ 

(14)
5. Comparison of Tolman-Bondi and KLUN sample peculiar velocities

This is the equation used for a comparison of the TB models, giving the left hand side, and KLUN data, providing the right hand side of the equation.
procedure provides us with an unbiased average distance at a given $d_n$ or, as it can be shown, at a fixed kinematical distance $d_{\text{kin}}$ or corrected radial velocity. Thus we bin the galaxies into velocity intervals of $\Delta V = 500$ km s$^{-1}$, and assign each galaxy the distance of its bin.

To avoid any difficulties in combining possibly incompatible individual distance measurements we do not use ordinary weighted averages in the bin distance determinations. The distances are deduced with more sophisticated Bayesian methods (Press 1997). Probability distributions of “good” and “bad” measurements are calculated by

$$P_{g,i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{\log d,i}} \exp \left( -\frac{(\log d_i - \log d'_{\text{B}})^2}{2\sigma_{\log d,i}^2} \right) }$$

and

$$P_{b,i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi S} \exp \left( -\frac{(\log d_i - \log d'_{\text{B}})^2}{2S^2} \right) }$$

where $d'_{\text{B}}$ is the (trial) bin distance, and $d_i$ are the individual galaxy TF distances with errors $\sigma_{\log d,i}$. These individual errors are calculated by

$$\sigma_{\log d,i} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{TF}}^2 + \sigma_{D25,i}^2}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{TF}} = 0.125$ (Theureau 1998) is the TF relation scatter, and $\sigma_{D25,i}$ are the individual (log) apparent diameter measurement errors. In Eq. (16) $S$ characterizes the standard deviation of “wrong” measurements. In practice $S$ is assigned a large enough value, exceeding the range where the measurement error would go unnoticed. We use here $S = 0.2$ (in units of log $d$).

The probability that the binned set of data ($D$) have a distance $d'_{\text{B}}$ is given by

$$P(\log d'_{\text{B}}|D) \propto \int \prod_{i} [pP_{g,i} + (1-p)P_{b,i}] dp$$

following Eq. (16) in Press (1997). Here $p$ is the probability that individual distance is calculated correctly (and thus $1-p$ is the probability for a wrong distance determination). We sum over all combinations of individual distance determinations being carried out correctly/incorrectly and over all values of $p$. Assuming uniform prior probability distributions for $p$ and $\log d'_{\text{B}}$, the sum reduces to Eq. (18). The proportionality in Eq. (18) hides the constant needed for $\sum_{\log d'_{\text{B}}} P(\log d'_{\text{B}}|D) = 1$.

Actual bin distance $d_{\text{B}}$ is adopted from the peak of the $P(\log d'_{\text{B}}|D)$ vs. $\log d'_{\text{B}}$ curve, and 95 % confidence levels are deduced from calculating the area below the curve (Fig. 12). Figure 13 shows the individual and binned values for all the data points in the KLUN-PP sample. For comparison the binned distances are calculated also using the simple weighted mean, see Fig. 12. There are no significant differences to the values obtained with the bayesian method, the two methods deviate $< 1 \sigma$ for each $d_{\text{B}}$.

The peculiar velocity field around PP is determined similarly. Firstly each galaxy is assigned its bin distance ($d_{\text{B}}$) and...
From these, 180 have from 354 galaxies in KLUN-PP before the restriction of Eq. (20). There are 220 galaxies with PP-distances and peculiar velocities giving again a safe 95 % confidence level estimate. In the end \( \sigma \) restricted by Eq. (20).

At this point the peculiar velocity towards PP is also hard to estimate (\( \sigma \) goes to infinity!). Thus we reject all galaxies restricted by Eq. (20).

Peculiar velocities are obtained with Eq. (14) and errors by

\[
\sigma_{v,i} = \max \{|v_i - v_r(x)|; x \in (d_B - \sigma_B, d_B + \sigma_B)\}
\]

(21)
giving again a safe 95 % confidence level estimate. In the end there are 220 galaxies with PP-distances and peculiar velocities from 354 galaxies in KLUN-PP before the restriction of Eq. (20). From these, 180 have \( r > R_s \). Thus roughly half of the original data are lost due to the constraints.

Galaxies are then binned into PP distance intervals of \( \Delta r = 0.05 R_{PP} \). For each bin we calculate PP distances and peculiar velocities with 95% confidence levels using the Bayesian methods stated above. Notice that for the peculiar velocity calculations we need to assume a value for our infall velocity towards PP. That is, we have \( v_{inf} \equiv v_r(R_{PP}) \) in Eq. (14), as a free parameter.

5.2. KLUN-PP vs. TB

We study how well our TB models produce the velocity field of the KLUN galaxies when the models are varied by

- \( \Omega_0 \), for which we consider values 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, or 1. Majority of recent studies agrees with this range of values (Primack 2000)
- a choice of either a flat \( \Lambda \neq 0 \) (i.e. \( \Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_0 \)) or an open \( \Lambda = 0 \) universe
- a choice of density distribution around PP, assumed to be one of the toy models, \( \delta_1 \) or \( \delta_2 \) (Eqs. [10]–[11]), or the spherically symmetric approximation of the IRAS density map, \( \delta_{IRAS} \)
- mass of the central part of PP, for which we assign values between 2–15 \( h^{-1}10^{15} M_{\odot} \). In the case of \( \delta_{IRAS} \) the mass is varied by a linear bias factor.

KLUN-PP data are adjusted by

- LG peculiar velocity towards PP, \( v_{inf} \) (Eq. (4), for which we give values between 0 and 600 km s\(^{-1}\). These limits were chosen because 0 km s\(^{-1}\) is a natural lower limit for a TB application, and after all we expect a large mass concentration such as the PP supercluster to have at least some influence on the LG. In the other end, velocities exceeding 600 km s\(^{-1}\) would have already been noticed in our motion with respect to the CMB, and are therefore not studied.

Figure 14 shows the comparison of the peculiar velocities observed for KLUN-PP data and those predicted by the TB models. Binned KLUN-PP data points with 95 % confidence level error bars are plotted in the case of \( v_{inf} = 0 \). The curves are best fit TB curves to KLUN points, obtained by varying \( M_{PP} \) in the TB calculations. Two things are immediately obvious from looking at Fig. 14. Firstly, the IRAS density model fits much better to the observations than the toy models. Secondly, different cosmological models give very similar best fit curves.

To put this into numbers, we describe the goodness of fit by

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{v_i - \hat{v}_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2
\]
Table 4. The best fit values and the formal 1σ confidence regions of \( M_{PP} \) and \( b_{IRAS} \). See text for details. The values of \( M_{PP} \) are given in units of \( h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot \).

| \( \Omega_0 \) | \( \Omega_A \) | \( M_{PP} \) | \( b_{IRAS} \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.7±0.6 | 0.47±0.14 |
| 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.1±1.0 | 0.41±0.14 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 3.9±1.4 | 0.49±0.24 |
| 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.9±1.4 | 0.49±0.24 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.5±2.2 | 0.53±0.36 |
| 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.5±1.4 | 0.53±0.36 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 9.4±2.9 | 0.55±0.20 |

where \( v_i \) is a KLUN data point, \( \sigma_i \) its 1σ deviation, approximated to be half of the 95 % error bar, and \( v_i \) is a point from a TB curve, at the same \( r \) as the KLUN point. We get \( \chi^2 = 8.67 \pm 0.20, 12.56 \pm 0.37, \) and \( 2.01 \pm 0.11, \) and significance levels \( P = 0.796 \pm 0.015, 0.482 \pm 0.029, \) and \( 0.99976\pm0.00007 \) for \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \) and \( \delta_{IRAS} \), respectively. The limits reflect the variance between different cosmologies.

Figure\textsuperscript{15} shows the formal \( 1\sigma \) confidence regions for the values of \( v_{inf} \) and \( M_{PP} \) obtained from fitting the \( \delta_{IRAS} \) TB curves to the KLUN data points. The confidence regions are defined in the following manner: The value of \( \chi^2 \) is calculated for each pair of parameters \((v_{inf}, M_{PP})\). Then a point in the \((v, M)\) space belongs to the confidence region if \( \chi^2(v, M) < \chi^2_{min} + \sigma_v \), where \( \chi^2_{min} \) is the minimum value of \( \chi^2 \) in the whole region of \( v = 0-600 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( M = 2-15h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot \), and \( \sigma_v \) is the average of the 1σ errors of the binned KLUN velocities. This definition thus gives all the points in \((v_{inf}, M_{PP})\) giving \( \chi^2 \approx \chi^2_{min} \) within observational errors.

The confidence regions in Fig.\textsuperscript{15} suggest that the LG infall towards PP is less than about 100 km s\(^{-1}\). In each case the best fit, plotted in the figure as a black triangle for \( \Omega_A = 1 - \Omega_0 \) and a white circle for \( \Omega_A = 0 \), is obtained with \( v_{inf} = 0 \). The best fit values of \( M_{PP} \) are coupled to the value of \( \Omega_0 \); the correspondence with the range \( M_{PP} = 4-7h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot \), which was obtained in Sect.\textsuperscript{3} is better for \( \Omega_0 = 0.2-0.4 \) than for \( \Omega_0 = 0.1 \) or 1.

As mentioned above, the mass \( M_{PP} \) is varied by adjusting a bias parameter for the \( \delta \)-values given by Sigad et al.\textsuperscript{[1998]}.

Let \( \delta_S \) be the overdensity at different distances around the PP center, obtained using the contour plot in Fig. 6 of Sigad et al.\textsuperscript{[1998]}. Since Sigad et al. use \( \Omega_0 = 1 \) in their figure, \( \delta_S(r) \) must be multiplied by \( \Omega_0^{-0.6} \) for a general case of \( \Omega_0 \neq 1 \). Then we assume a bias of the form \( \Omega_0^{-0.6} \delta_S = b_{IRAS} \delta_{IRAS} \), where \( \delta_{IRAS} \) gives the density which we use in the TB calculations. Increasing \( b_{IRAS} \) would mean decreasing the value of \( M_{PP} \), for example when \( \Omega_0 = 0.4, M_{PP} = 4h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot \) requires \( b_{IRAS} = 0.83 \) and \( M_{PP} = 7h^{-1}10^{15} M_\odot \) means \( b_{IRAS} = 0.42 \). The best fit values for \( M_{PP} \) and \( b_{IRAS} \), and their formal 1σ confidence regions, derived from the regions in Fig.\textsuperscript{15} are listed in Table \textsuperscript{4}.

Our conclusion from comparing the KLUN-PP peculiar velocity data to the TB-modeled velocity field is that we get a good correspondence between the two when

- the density field around PP is approximated from the IRAS galaxies, as presented by Sigad et al.\textsuperscript{[1998]}, with addition of a linear bias \( b_{IRAS} \approx 0.5 \)
- the infall velocity of the LG towards the center of PP, \( v_{inf} \), is less than 100 km s\(^{-1}\).

We also note that
- varying the value of \( \Omega_0 \) between 0.1 and 1 and fixing \( \Omega_A = 1 - \Omega_0 \) or 0 have no significant effect on the results, except that
- the mass of the central part of the PP agrees with the limits obtained with the virial theorem if \( \Omega_0 = 0.2-0.4 \).

5.3. Iterative corrections, p-class analysis

The first results can be used to correct the observed velocities in our NDM distance determination. With the best fit \( \delta_{IRAS} \) TB model shown in Fig.\textsuperscript{14} we calculate peculiar velocities at different distances \( r \), which are used to correct the input kinematical distance scale. The whole process of binning and solving for PP distances and peculiar velocities is then repeated with these new kinematical distances as a reference scale.

A big disadvantage in this iterative procedure is that at each iteration we lose about half of our data points due to the constraints of Eq.\textsuperscript{[24]} and \( r > R_s = 0.3 R_{PP} \). Figure \textsuperscript{16} shows
how we have only 6 binned data points containing 90 individual galaxies after the first iteration. Our conclusion is that the iterative process does not in this case improve the results.

Ekholm & Teerikorpi [1993] used in their study of the peculiar velocities in the Great Attractor region a so-called p-class analysis. There the possibility of the $\log d_{FP}$ not being normally distributed is taken into account by taking only the galaxies lying in the unbiased plateau of the $\langle \log H_0(d) \rangle$ diagram. Applying the same procedure here did not give disagreeing results with the velocity patterns shown e.g. in Fig. [4], but, again, the number of points given by the p-class analysis was small.

6. Summary

We have studied the velocity field around the Perseus-Pisces supercluster using the KLUN galaxy sample and Tolman-Bondi modeling. In the TB calculations we used a smoothed density field of IRAS galaxies, as given by Sigad et al. [1998]. There is a good correspondence with the peculiar velocities given by the KLUN data and the TB models, if the infall velocity of the LG towards the PP center is assumed to be less than 100 km s$^{-1}$ and if we add a bias in the Sigad et al. density field, $\delta_{\text{IRAS}} \approx 0.5$. The resulting small infall velocity of the LG towards the PP region, $v_{\text{inf}} < 100$ km s$^{-1}$ disagrees with the $v_{\text{inf}} \approx 350$ km s$^{-1}$ of the earlier kinematical studies (see Sect. [6]), but is consistent with the recent POTENT analysis (Dekel [1999]).

The goodness of fit between the KLUN and TB velocities is not affected by varying the cosmological parameters $\Omega_0$ and $\Omega_\Lambda$ within reasonable limits. However, requiring the mass of the central region of PP to be in the limits obtained with virial calculations, $M_{PP} = 4-7 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$, we get constraints for the value of $\Omega_0$. Then the intermediate values $0.2 \leq \Omega_0 \leq 0.4$ are preferred to the more extreme $\Omega_0 = 0.1$ or $\Omega_0 = 1$. The value of $\Omega_\Lambda$, assumed to be either $1 - \Omega_0$ or 0, does not have a significant effect on the results. In fact, the infall velocities around growing structures being little influenced by the presence of $\Lambda$ is not so unexpected (Peebles [1984], Lahav et al. [1991]).

Calculation of the virial masses of the most prominent clusters in the PP region resulted in cluster mass to luminosity ratios $M/L = 200 - 600 h M_\odot/L_\odot$. Combining this with a luminosity density of the universe $\mathcal{L} = 10^{9.9 \pm 0.1} W \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (Lilly et al. [1993]), and assuming that the $M/L$ of the PP region is valid in the rest of the universe, we obtain $\Omega_0 = 0.1 - 0.3$. Allowing $M/L$ to grow at larger scales would mean that this is a lower limit. The result agrees with recent estimates of $\Omega_0$. In comparison, in the study of the Hubble flow around the Virgo cluster, Teerikorpi et al. [1992] found that the constraint $M/L = \text{constant}$ is inconsistent with $0.2 < \Omega_0 < 1.4$. A smaller $\Omega_0$ was possible if $M/L$ in Virgo is larger than in the field, contrary to the usual bias scenario. The same is valid here.

One of our aims was to study the applicability of the TB method in a complex environment like the PP supercluster. TB solutions are for spherically symmetric mass distributions only, and looking at the galaxy distribution in Fig. 5 PP hardly can be taken as such. However, a smoothed density map, like the IRAS map by Sigad et al. [1998], has a spherical structure. Similarly, the small scale dynamics are smoothed away, when we use an averaged velocity map, where the radial parts of the peculiar velocities are averaged over a shell around the main galaxy concentration. This is shown not only in the compatibility of the TB results and the KLUN data, but also in a study of an N-body simulation. There it was confirmed that the radially averaged velocity field can be calculated by the TB method, using the observed smoothed density field. There were marginal differences between the TB solutions and the simulation when we looked at the peculiar velocities around large clusters at different epochs. However, at the present epoch these deviations are negligible. We argue that the Tolman-Bondi method can be used for a reliable deduction of a radially averaged velocity field around large galaxy concentrations.
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