Effects of Donepezil on Cortical Activation in Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Pilot Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial Using Functional MR Imaging

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cholinesterase-inhibitor therapy is approved for treatment of Alzheimer disease; however, application in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is still under active investigation. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of such therapy on the neural substrates underlying memory processing in subjects with MCI by using functional MR imaging (fMRI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Thirteen subjects with MCI (mean age, 68 ± 6.9 years) enrolled in a multicenter double-blind placebo-controlled trial testing the clinical efficacy of the cholinesterase-inhibitor, donepezil, were studied with fMRI at baseline and following 12 or 24 weeks of therapy (single-site pilot study). The cognitive paradigm was delayed-response visual memory for novel faces. Within-group 1-sample t tests were performed on the donepezil and placebo groups at baseline and at follow-up. A repeated-measures analysis of variance design was used to look for a Treatment Group × Time interaction showing a significant donepezil- but not placebo-related change in blood oxygen level–dependent response during the course of the study.

RESULTS: At baseline, both groups showed multiple areas of activation, including the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, fusiform gyrus, and anterior cingulate cortex. On follow-up, the placebo group demonstrated a decreased extent of dorsolateral prefrontal activation, whereas the donepezil group demonstrated an increased extent of activation in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex. Interaction demonstrated significant donepezil- but not placebo-related change in the left inferior frontal gyrus.

CONCLUSIONS: Despite the limitations inherent to a pilot study of a small sample, our results point to specific cortical substrates underlying the actions of donepezil, which can be tested in future studies.

In addition, such markers may serve as a predictor of beneficial clinical response in individual patients, not all of whom benefit from such agents.

Donepezil is the most widely used symptomatic therapy for Alzheimer disease (AD) and is approved for treating mild, moderate, and severe stages of AD. It is a selective acetylcholinesterase inhibitor that enhances the availability of acetylcholine in brain synapses. This mechanism is thought to underlie its cognitive effects because both AD and normal aging have been associated with loss of cortical cholinergic input from the nucleus basalis of Meynert. Donepezil is an investigational agent in MCI, and prior trials of this condition have been mixed. For example, in a 3-year trial testing whether donepezil could delay diagnosis of AD in patients with MCI, its effects were positive during the entire trial only in a subgroup who carried the ApoE4 allele.

Prior trials of other cholinergic agents in MCI, such as rivastigmine and galantamine, have shown negative results on their primary cognitive outcome measures. Despite donepezil not being an approved therapy for MCI, it is used in clinical practice as an off-label therapy, emphasizing the need for further research into both mechanisms and risk-benefits.

In this pilot study, we used a double-blind placebo-controlled design and a previously validated fMRI paradigm to study the patterns of cortical activation in subjects with MCI at baseline and following 12 and 24 weeks of therapy with donepezil. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of cholinesterase-inhibitor therapy on the neural substrates underlying memory processing in subjects with MCI.
fixate on a crosshair for 15 seconds, followed by a retrieval phase in which a single face (probe) was presented for 1.5 seconds. The probe either matched or did not match a face shown during the immediately preceding encoding phase. In half of the trials, the probe stimulus matched 1 of the items in the memory array, whereas in the remaining half, the probe was a new stimulus. The subjects were instructed to respond as to whether the face matched or did not match. Six sets of the encoding/retrieval phases were shown in each run, and a total of 6 runs was performed, which resulted in a total of 36 trials with an overall imaging time of approximately 30 minutes for the functional acquisition. For the follow-up studies, different face stimuli were used to avoid practice effects; however, the stimuli were matched in difficulty with the first set.

All stimuli were presented by using a liquid crystal display projector (XGA Resolution, 900 lumens) equipped with a specially designed lens (Buhl Industries, Hamden, Ct). Stimuli were projected upon a 10-inch-wide screen located within the magnet bore directly behind the subject’s head, and subjects viewed the stimuli through mirrored glasses. Behavioral responses were monitored by using a button box incorporating a fiber-optic loop connected to a transistor–transistor logic driver circuit located outside the magnet room.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

The Duke University institutional review board approved the study. All subjects gave written informed consent, and the study was Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act–compliant. Fifteen subjects with MCI (mean age, 68 ± 6.9 years) were enrolled in a multicenter double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial testing the clinical efficacy of donepezil. MCI selection criteria and the overall results from the clinical trial have been reported. Final determination of cognitive status was made by a board-certified psychiatrist (P.M.D.) with expertise in geriatric psychiatry.

The current fMRI study was designed as a single-site add-on to the clinical trial. fMRI scans were obtained at baseline and after 12 and 24 weeks of donepezil therapy, along with the cognitive assessments. All subjects were screened to rule out significant neuropsychiatric disorders, including dementia and depression, and subjects were randomly allocated to either the donepezil or placebo group. Selection and dosing criteria were as specified for the trial. Neuropsychological assessment consisted of the following tests: Clinical Dementia Rating, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Alzheimer Disease Assessment Scale–Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog), New York University Delayed Recall, Verbal Fluency, Boston Naming Test, Digit Symbol, Digit Span Backward, and Number Cancellation.

As such, this was a pilot study, and the sample size was determined by the logistics of recruitment as well as by prior positron-emission tomography and fMRI studies of drug effects. Although we originally proposed to determine activation in the prefrontal cortex and parietal and temporal lobes, we decided to examine the entire brain by using an exploratory approach, because the main goal of the study was to determine if donepezil effects could be detected by using fMRI in a controlled study and to provide hypotheses for future testing. Summary statistics for the sample are reported in the Table. Between-group unpaired Student t tests on the baseline data and repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) Treatment Group × Time interaction were assessed for all clinical variables, as well as for the fMRI cognitive task.

fMRI Cognitive Task

The cognitive paradigm consisted of an event-related delayed-response visual memory task for novel faces and has been previously described in detail. Briefly, during the encoding phase, subjects were shown either 1 or 3 faces for 3 seconds, then were instructed to fixate on a crosshair for 15 seconds, followed by a retrieval phase in which a single face (probe) was presented for 1.5 seconds. The probe either matched or did not match a face shown during the immediately preceding encoding phase. In half of the trials, the probe stimulus matched 1 of the items in the memory array, whereas in the remaining half, the probe was a new stimulus. The subjects were instructed to respond as to whether the face matched or did not match. Six sets of the encoding/retrieval phases were shown in each run, and a total of 6 runs was performed, which resulted in a total of 36 trials with an overall imaging time of approximately 30 minutes for the functional acquisition. For the follow-up studies, different face stimuli were used to avoid practice effects; however, the stimuli were matched in difficulty with the first set.

All stimuli were presented by using a liquid crystal display projector (XGA Resolution, 900 lumens) equipped with a specially designed lens (Buhl Industries, Hamden, Ct). Stimuli were projected upon a 10-inch-wide screen located within the magnet bore directly behind the subject’s head, and subjects viewed the stimuli through mirrored glasses. Behavioral responses were monitored by using a button box incorporating a fiber-optic loop connected to a transistor–transistor logic driver circuit located outside the magnet room.

Image Analysis

Only baseline and 24-week scans were included in the analysis, whenever possible. For those subjects unable to complete a 24-week scanning or having low-quality scans due to scan-acquisition errors or motion >5 mm in any of 3 orthogonal directions, the 12-week scan was carried forward in its place (last observation carried forward [LOCF]) for analysis purposes. Images were processed by using event-related analysis with Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM2, SPM5) software (Wellcome Centre for Neuroimaging, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). With SPM2, preprocessing of each subject’s data consisted of section timing and motion correction, normalization to the Montreal Neurologic Institute template, and spatial smoothing with an 8-mm Gaussian kernel. For the first-level analysis, the BOLD response, measured as a percentage of the signal-intensity-
change parameter estimate, was explicitly modeled on a voxel-wise basis for each encoding and retrieval event by using a general linear model; and a contrast map for encoding and retrieval was created for each subject. To maximize statistical power, we combined the encoding and retrieval contrast maps and performed the following second-level analyses by using SPM5:

1) Within-group 1-sample \( t \) test (\( P < .05 \); false discovery rate corrected; 10-voxel extent threshold) of the entire group (both donepezil and placebo) at baseline and of the donepezil and placebo groups separately at follow-up.

2) Two-sample \( t \) test (\( P < .05 \); false discovery rate corrected; 10-voxel extent threshold) of the donepezil and placebo groups at baseline.

3) Correlation (\( P < .01 \), uncorrected; 10-voxel extent threshold) of performance as measured by the ADAS-Cog score with the BOLD response in all subjects at baseline.

4) Two-sample repeated measures ANOVA design (\( P < .05 \); false discovery rate corrected; 10-voxel extent threshold), in which the independent variables were treatment group and time. Here, the contrast of interest was the Treatment Group \( \times \) Time interaction. This contrast was designed to identify clusters showing a significant donepezil- but not placebo-related change in the BOLD response during the course of the study. To restrict our search to brain regions of clinical significance for this paradigm in MCI, we applied the thresholded correlation map from the previous step as a mask to the ANOVA interaction contrast. The ADAS-Cog score was chosen because it is a common clinical outcome measure used in pharmaceutical trials of patients with dementia and MCI. Higher scores on this assessment signify greater levels of cognitive impairment.

Results

Of the 15 original subjects with MCI, 2 had incomplete imaging data from the baseline scan and were dropped from further analysis. Of the 13 subjects with MCI with a baseline and end point scan, 6 were in the donepezil group (mean age, 64.7 ± 6.0 years; 6 males) and 7 were in the placebo group (mean age, 70.9 ± 6.7 years; 5 males). Mean scores for the cognitive tests and fMRI task are listed in Table 1 for both groups at baseline and posttreatment. In these 13 subjects, the end point scan used for outcome analysis was either the 24-week scan (\( n = 6 \); 2 donepezil, 4 placebo) or 12-week scan (\( n = 7 \); 4 donepezil, 3 placebo). No significant differences in baseline cognitive tests were demonstrated for any of the demographic or cognitive test variables. The ANOVA failed to demonstrate any significant Treatment Group \( \times \) Time interaction for the cognitive test variables; however, there was a trend toward an interaction for improvement in MMSE in the donepezil compared with placebo group with time (denoted by a double dagger in the Table). There was a significant main effect in the ANOVA for Boston Naming, Digit Span Backward, and ADAS-Cog (denoted by a section mark in the Table), which showed improvement with repeat testing with time across both groups.

Within-group 1-sample \( t \) tests at baseline and on follow-up are shown in Fig 1. At baseline, the MCI group showed multiple areas of activation, including the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, fusiform gyrus, and anterior cingulate cortex (Fig 1A). There were no statistically significant differences in activation between the donepezil and placebo groups at baseline on the 2-sample \( t \) test. Correlation between fMRI sig-
nal-intensity change and the ADAS-Cog score demonstrated significant foci of activation bilaterally in the frontal, lateral temporal, parietal, and fusiform gyrus regions (Fig 2). At the trial end point, the placebo group demonstrated a decrease, compared with baseline, in the extent of dorsolateral prefrontal activation with relative preservation of anterior cingulate and fusiform activation (Fig 1C). On the contrary, the donepezil group demonstrated increased extent in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, compared with baseline, and in both the bilateral dorso- and ventrolateral prefrontal cortices (Fig 1B), compared with the placebo group posttreatment.

Between-groups repeated-measure ANOVA Treatment Group × Time interaction revealed a single statistically significant cluster in the left inferior frontal gyrus (Talairach coordinates: −34, 27, −1) (Fig 3A). Mean parameter estimates for fMRI BOLD response are depicted for the donepezil and placebo groups in the histogram (Fig 3B), as well as the correlation of BOLD response with baseline ADAS-Cog score (Fig 3C) within this cluster. Correlation analyses between cognitive improvement, measured by change in ADAS-Cog score, and either baseline or change in fMRI BOLD response within this cluster were not statistically significant.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first double-blind placebo-controlled evaluation using fMRI to study the effect of a cholinesterase inhibitor on brain function in subjects with MCI. Our results suggest that donepezil, when administered during a 3- to 6-month period to subjects with MCI, may potentially enhance brain activation in the left inferior frontal gyrus during memory processing.

The left inferior frontal gyrus has been implicated in an array of attention and memory processes, including encoding and retrieval and long- and short-term memory. Previous studies have shown this region to be implicated in subjects with MCI, compared with healthy elderly controls, during performance of memory tasks, including picture encoding. Moreover, initial studies of cholinergic-based drugs in AD or MCI have reported enhancement of functional activation levels in the frontal lobes, in general, as well as in the left inferior frontal gyrus. However, none of these studies were conducted in a double-blind fashion with a placebo control. Comparison with these and other fMRI studies of cholinergic-based drugs in MCI and AD is difficult because of differences in drug action (long-term-versus-short-term cholinesterase inhibition), trial design, cognitive task, and patient population.

The symptomatic efficacy of cholinergic drugs in AD is established, but their efficacy in MCI remains investigational. Lesion studies of cholinergic neurons in animals result in attention and, to a lesser extent, memory deficits, both of which are well described in early AD; and these deficits in animal studies are reversed with cholinesterase inhibition. Hence, increased frontal lobe activation is a plausible underlying mechanism of response to cholinesterase inhibition.

fMRI has potential benefit as an imaging marker of brain function in future trials of cognitive enhancing agents and may be of use in determining which patients with MCI best respond to therapy. Because fMRI requires active engagement in a cognitive task, it is uniquely suited for evaluation of cognitive-enhancing agents and may fit the requirements for a “mechanistic imaging” study in clinical trials of such agents.

A number of imaging studies, including the current study, have demonstrated effects of cholinesterase inhibition on brain activation in sample sizes that were likely too small to demonstrate improvement in clinical outcome measures. One hypothesis raised is that of the superior sensitivity of imaging biomarkers such as fMRI in the evaluation of cognitive-enhancing drugs. On the other hand, the issue of whether these drug-induced imaging changes are replicable and cognitively beneficial is still not clear and would require that changes in activation in the affected brain areas correlate with changes in cognition or outcome. To our knowledge, this has only been demonstrated in the fMRI study by Saykin et al. Because the sample size in most imaging studies, including this pilot study, is necessarily small and the trial duration is short, there is very likely insufficient statistical power to demonstrate a significant cognitive benefit to do such a correlation. The time course of this study of 3–6 months is similar to that required for clinical efficacy of donepezil and other cholinesterase inhibitors and, therefore, more likely reflects a clinically relevant mechanism of action. Not surprisingly however, given the sample size, the neuropsychological measures in this study failed to demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in the donepezil compared with the placebo group, though there was a trend for improvement in the MMSE. In fact, as stated previously, the overall cognitive benefit of donepezil in MCI trials has been mixed, with the largest trial to date demonstrating benefits primarily in the ApoE4-positive subgroup during a 3-year period.

Limitations of this study include large interindividual variability in fMRI signal-intensity response and a small sample size. Intrinsic to fMRI is considerable variability in signal-intensity response at the level of the individual subject due to variability in task performance, baseline perfusion, and hemo-
Such factors are influenced by age and baseline cognition and may interact with conditions such as brain pathology and pharmacologic challenge, serving as confounders in pharmacologic fMRI studies. Because the number of subjects was small, we attempted to maximize statistical power at the level of the individual subject. Unlike most fMRI studies of memory, the encoding and retrieval conditions in the current study were combined rather than isolated in the analysis to maximize the number of events and, therefore, the signal-intensity-to-noise ratio at the level of the individual subjects. This could lead to some difficulty in interpretation of the results, though recent studies reveal a common network of nodes activated by both encoding and retrieval. The encoding and retrieval conditions in this study have been seen to individually and collectively activate a previously described network involving the fusiform gyrus and medial frontal and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices, in both young and elderly controls.

In addition, heterogeneity of clinical response to a drug is a well-known phenomenon in pharmacologic trials, and future pharmacologic fMRI studies should incorporate this factor to increase power by separating analysis of patients who respond favorably from those who do not, because the underlying neural response may differ in both groups. Furthermore, the ability to characterize and predict such a differential clinical response may be of tremendous benefit in targeting individualized therapies. fMRI holds promise in this regard, particularly with respect to cognitive-enhancing therapies.

Conclusions
Despite the limitations inherent to a pilot study, our results identify enhancement of brain activation in the left inferior frontal gyrus as a possible neural response to donepezil therapy. Future studies to test whether such an imaging marker may serve as a surrogate for clinical response in trials of cognitive-enhancing pharmacologic agents as well as a predictor of clinical response in individual patients are needed.
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