Navigating the Landscape of Molecular Testing and Targeted Treatment of Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer

Presented by Sukhmani K. Padda, MD, and R. Donald Harvey, PharmD, BCOP, FCCP, FHOPA

Molecular markers and the agents developed to target them have changed the landscape of non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treatment. First-generation targeted agents have been proven to be more effective than chemotherapy in patients with certain driver mutations (i.e., EGFR, ALK) and the newest targeted agents are being developed to address the issue of resistance.

Speakers at JADPRO Live at AP-SHO emphasized that the use of these targeted treatments begins with tumor tissue, which requires a multidisciplinary approach among oncologists, radiologists, surgeons, pulmonologists, and pathologists. “Sufficient tissue is needed for many reasons,” said Sukhmani K. Padda, MD, of Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California. “Many tests are performed on this tissue, including confirmation of histologic diagnosis, immunohistochemistry, and molecular markers.”

“Tissue is the issue, but it’s also at a premium,” said R. Donald Harvey, PharmD, BCOP, FCCP, FHOPA, of Emory University School of Medicine in Atlanta, Georgia, “meaning you may not be able to go back and get more in some cases.”

**GENOMIC DRIVER MUTATIONS**

After diagnosis, according to the NCCN Guidelines, management is next stratified by histologic subtype, squamous vs. nonsquamous NSCLC, as the two subtypes lead to different pathways of treatment. “If the patient has nonsquamous histology,” said Dr. Harvey, “you need a knee-jerk reaction to send tissue for molecular testing. This is critical, particularly testing for EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangements, as it can change 1st-line treatment.”

Squamous cell NSCLC has a more simplified approach due to lack of targetable driver mutations, Dr. Harvey noted, since first-line therapy uniformly starts with platinum-based therapy. “We know that you should not use bevacizumab (Avastin; for safety concerns), nor should you use pemetrexed (Alimta; for efficacy concerns).”

However, whether the diagnosis is nonsquamous or squamous cell NSCLC, every patient should receive a platinum-based regimen at some point in their treatment course, he added.
**EGFR-MUTATED NSCLC**

There are three EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) approved for the first-line treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC: afatinib (Gilotrif), erlotinib, and gefitinib (Iressa), which target EGFR activating mutations (i.e., exon 19 deletion and exon 21 L858R). Although erlotinib has approvals in other indications (i.e., maintenance and unselected NSCLC after failure of one chemotherapy), in reality, said Dr. Padda, its most important indication is for the treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC. Gefitinib and afatinib have narrower indications and are only approved for the treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC.

As Dr. Padda explained, one of the largest studies with gefitinib was the large Asian IPASS trial (Mok et al., 2009), which was enriched for patients who were never smoking women. At the time, researchers did not know that the EGFR mutation was predictive for clinical activity with gefitinib (and other EGFR TKIs), but subsequent analyses clarified that only patients with EGFR mutation should receive EGFR TKI therapy first line, and those lacking the EGFR mutation should receive platinum-based chemotherapy.

“In the EGFR-mutation–negative cohort, the PFS is worse if patients get gefitinib over chemotherapy,” she said. “Yet the converse is true: In the EGFR-mutation–positive cohort, gefitinib improved PFS over chemotherapy. This landmark study changed the way we began to think about lung cancer.”

Multiple studies of treatment-naïve EGFR-mutated lung cancer have since shown that EGFR TKIs outperform chemotherapy in terms of PFS and response rate. The median PFS for any of these TKIs is between 9 and 12 months. However, Dr. Padda noted, overall survival has not been improved in any of the trials, most likely due to the crossover effect, since the majority of patients with an EGFR mutation eventually received an EGFR TKI (Inoue et al., 2013; Mutsudomi et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2011; Rosell et al., 2012).

What is also clear is that the subtype of EGFR mutation matters. A recent study with afatinib showed that patients with exon 19 deletion do better on EGFR TKI therapy than those with exon 21 L858R, although the mechanism of action still needs to be elucidated (Yang et al., 2015). This enhanced clinical activity in exon 19 deletion patients has also been shown with other EGFR TKIs, at least in relation to improved PFS.

From a pharmacology perspective, there are a few side effects that will be seen in many, if not all patients, Dr. Padda said. Diarrhea is a major side effect and can be self-limiting, but it can be managed with antidiarrheals. Another common side effect is rash, which some patients find “quite debilitating.”

**RESISTANCE AT 1 YEAR**

Despite existing therapies for EGFR-mutated cancer, resistance usually develops at an average of 9 to 12 months. In 60% of cases, the mechanism of resistance to EGFR TKIs is the T790M gatekeeper mutation, a second-site mutation that develops in EGFR and prevents the drug from binding as effectively. Although this is the major mechanism of resistance, Dr. Padda noted that other mechanisms are currently being explored.

Clinical trials with third-generation EGFR TKIs targeting T790M show significant promise, including osimertinib (Tagrisso) and rociletinib, with response rates up to 60% (Janne et al., 2015; Sequist et al., 2015). “These drugs target the original activating mutation and the T790M gatekeeper mutation,” said Dr. Padda, “and they’re also designed to spare EGFR wild-type, so patients experience fewer issues with diarrhea and rash.” Osimertinib, in fact, was FDA approved soon after JADPRO Live.

According to Dr. Padda, these drugs are now being tested in the 1st-line setting, with response rates over 70% (Ramalingam et al., 2015). “These compounds are now going head-to-head with current 1st-line EGFR TKIs like erlotinib and gefitinib, so we’ll see how they compare to current standard of care.”

**ALK-REARRANGED NSCLC**

For patients with ALK-rearranged NSCLC, crizotinib (Xalkori) is the category 1 recommendation in the NCCN guidelines. “This is easy,” said Dr. Harvey. “There’s only one drug for the ALK rearrangement in the first line: crizotinib. If the patient progresses, however, that’s where it gets more interesting.” If the patient progresses but is asymptomatic, providers can either continue with crizotinib (cautiously) or switch to ceritinib (Zykadia), the 2nd-generation ALK inhibitor, based on the large, phase 1 ASCEND-1 study (Shaw et al., 2014a; Kim et al., 2016).

“Ceritinib is a harder drug in many ways,” said Dr. Harvey. “It has a few more side effects (mostly gastrointestinal) than crizotinib and is a little tougher.
to handle for patients. However, it’s effective in patients who have failed crizotinib.”

Crizotinib also has activity in ROSI NSCLC, Dr. Harvey noted, so this is something that should be part of routine molecular panels. With the presence of ROSI mutation, crizotinib demonstrated a 72% response rate (Shaw et al., 2014b). Crizotinib received a new indication for this population last month.

Second-generation ALK inhibitors, like ceritinib, have improved CNS activity and many are in development, “Unlike EGFR, where there is a dominant mechanism of resistance, in ALK, the mechanisms of resistance are very heterogeneous,” Dr. Harvey explained. “So these second-generation ALK inhibitors are attempting to target a variety of those.”

Alectinib (Alecensa), another 2nd-generation ALK inhibitor, was also FDA approved after JADPRO Live. In crizotinib-resistant patients, the ORR to alectinib was 50%, but was higher at 70% among patients without prior chemotherapy (Ou et al., 2015). In ALK inhibitor-naive but not treatment-naive patients, there was very enticing initial preliminary activity with a response rate of 93% (Ohe et al., 2015).

“These drugs are exciting,” said Dr. Harvey. “Part of the question will be how to sequence these drugs. Is it best to use crizotinib first, or second? Where will other drugs with clear evidence of activity fit in?”

Disclosure
Dr. Padda has been a consultant for Clovis Oncology. Dr. Harvey has received research funding from BMS, Merck, AstraZeneca, and Novartis.
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