RELATIONSHIP OF FORCE PLATFORM WITH THE CLINICAL BALANCE EVALUATION SYSTEMS TEST IN OLDER ADULTS

ABSTRACT
The aging process can alter the organization of postural control causing instability. Literature shows several equipment and clinical tests whose purpose is to measure postural balance, involving different protocols and methodologies. Objective: To evaluate postural balance during the task to walk over the force platform (turn and return) and its relationship with clinical balance test (BESTest) in older adults. Methods: 60 older people of both sexes, aged 60 to 79 years, were tested in the force platform (NeuroCom Balance) and BESTest to evaluate postural balance. Results: negative correlations were found when comparing domains of the clinical test with stabilometric parameters in time and velocity variables of the tests Step/Quick turn. The highest correlations were in the total score (time spent to perform the task − 0.41, and in the velocity left side − 0.31/right side − 0.43), as well as in the stability limit (time spent to perform the task left side − 0.34/right side − 0.37, and the equilibrium velocity left side − 0.31/right side − 0.43). Conclusion: There are thin correlations between the clinical test and force platform variables, showing that each test measures different parameters. Level of evidence II, Diagnostic study – investigating a diagnostic test.
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INTRODUCTION
Postural balance is the maintenance of the center of gravity within the base support of the body.1 The aging process leads to changes in the sensory systems involving postural balance and consequently an increase in number of falls.2 There are several equipment, clinical tests and scales, developed to evaluate postural balance. Health professionals are frequently looking for clinical tests that could have the same results as gold standard instruments that assess postural balance, such as the force platform, to become useful in clinical practice. However, the biomechanics industry creates more high cost sensitive equipments.3 The NeuroCom Balance Master® provides objective assessment of the sensory and voluntary motor control of balance with visual biofeedback, which enables objective assessment of performance in essential activities of daily living. Previous studies measuring the stabilometric parameters demonstrated that the equipment is reliable4 and provides accurate measurements of the postural balance in different groups.5,6 The Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) is
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used by clinicians to categorize balance into six underlying systems that may constrain balance, being the first test to include a clinical method for assessing postural responses to external disturbances, whose purpose is to evaluate the postural balance.\textsuperscript{7,4} Both systems have their advantages and disadvantages: the Balance System platform is a more accurate, but costly equipment that requires a trained professional to perform data acquisition; on the other hand, the BESTest is low cost and provides reliable measures related to postural balance, and it can be applied anywhere with a clinical or scientific purpose. These tests are indicated to guide clinical treatment strategies for fall prevention protocols. As such, an analysis of the relationship between the force platform and this clinical test is justified, in view of the prognosis of the postural balance responses resulting from this comparison, whether or not the professionals involved use them with more precision and specificity, and consequently to design more efficient rehabilitation programs.

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate postural balance during the task to walk over the force platform (turn and return) and its relationship with clinic balance test (BESTest) in older adults.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidade São Judas Tadeu (registration number: 60952116.4.0000.0089) and developed in partnership with the Laboratory of Movement Studies of the Universidade de São Paulo School of Medicine and the Universidade São Judas Tadeu. All participants provided a written informed consent.

Subjects

Sixty older adults of both sexes between 60 and 79 years old were evaluated. The subjects were recruited from the Integrated Health Center (CIS), endocrinology department of the Universidade Anhembi Morumbi. The inclusion criteria were absence of foot ulcers and/or partial and total lower limb amputations; disease or functional impairment of any system: auditory, vestibular, proprioceptive, neurological, musculoskeletal; no use of medications that could impair the postural balance; and present normative parameters in the cognitive test (MoCA). Exclusion criteria: if for any reason, subjects could not perform any of the proposed tests.

Measurements

The following data were initially collected during the interviews: age, MoCA, education (years of education), weight, height, and body mass index (BMI). Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) containing 27 items, the Biomechanical Restrictions domain showed negative correlation only in the time spent to perform the “Step/Quick Turn” task and the speed of the patient takes two steps forward, quickly turns 180°, and steps back to the starting point. The measured parameters are turn-time (Time spend for the task) expressed in sec; and turn-sway velocity (Balance velocity) expressed in °/s.

Statistical analysis

Data were stored in the SPSS 24.0 program and presented by means and standard deviation. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to verify if the variables adjusted to normality. The Spearman correlation test was used to assess the bivariate correlation between measures, and a 5% level of significance was adopted throughout the analysis.

RESULTS

Sample characterization regarding age and anthropometric data, education level and cognitive conditions are presented in Table 1.

| Mean (SD) | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|---------|---------|
| Age (years) | 7.03 (5.51) | 60 | 79 |
| MoCA | 23.35 (3.15) | 16 | 26 |
| Education (years) | 11.5 (4.73) | 1 | 15 |
| Anthropometry | | | |
| Body weight (kg) | 72.37 (13.16) | 46.80 | 66.30 |
| Height (m) | 1.62 (0.09) | 1.43 | 1.69 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 27.66 (3.78) | 18.70 | 34.70 |

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

When compared, the clinical test data (BESTest) and the stabilometric parameters, the total BESTest score (the sum of its six domains) and the stability limit, showed a negative correlation between the time spent to perform the “Step/Quick Turn” task and the speed on both sides (right and left) (Table 2). The Biomechanical Restrictions domain showed negative correlation with the time spent to perform the task on the left side, while “anticipatory transition” showed negative correlation with the time spent to perform the task on both sides. The reactive test showed a negative correlation only in the time spent to perform the task on the right side, presenting no significant differences in the other data. Sensory orientation showed negative correlation in balance velocity on the right side, while “gait stability” showed negative correlation in the time spent to perform the “Step/ Quick Turn” task on the right side (Table 2).

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

| Step/Quick turn | BESTest total | Biomechanical Restrictions | Stability Limits | Anticipatory Transition | Reactive | Sensory Orientation | Gait Stability |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|
| Time spend for the task LS (sec) | −0.36(0.00)** | −0.24(0.05)* | −0.34(0.00)** | −0.25(0.05)* | −0.17(0.19) | −0.11(0.37) | −0.23(0.07) |
| Time spend for the task RS (sec) | −0.41(0.00)** | −0.21(0.10) | −0.37(0.00)** | −0.24(0.06) | −0.26(0.4)* | −0.21(0.10) | −0.30(0.01)* |
| Balance velocity – LS (°/s) | −0.33(0.10) | −0.16(0.22) | −0.37(0.00)** | −0.15(0.24) | −0.15(0.25) | −0.19(0.13) | −0.17(0.18) |
| Balance velocity – RS (°/s) | −0.43(0.00)** | −0.15(0.22) | −0.43(0.00)** | −0.22(0.08) | 0.24(0.06) | −0.30(0.01)* | −0.31(0.01)* |

LS: left side; RS: right side; sec: seconds; BESTest: Balance Evaluation Systems test; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01
DISCUSSION

This study found that the tests results (clinical and force platform) had low to moderate association among them, possibly because the stabilometric parameters captured differences in functional performance abilities, whereas the BESTest (clinical method) evaluated postural responses to external disturbances. Both are multifaceted, and the nature of each task demonstrates the results of this study. The BESTest focuses on the variety of disability dimensions9 and guides treatment decision; while the force platform (Balance Master) provides quantification of the postural balance index10 with a better precision to demonstrate small disturbances and postural adjustments based on the total oscillation of the platform.11,14

One of the test tasks of the activities of daily living (ADL) and used throughout all the domains of BESTest. “Step/Quick Turn” is a challenging test because stepping must be tightly coordinated, and head rotation changes visual and vestibular inputs. To turn around, the patient must anticipate the action, decelerate the forward progression of the COG, alter the stepping pattern, then re-initiate gait in the opposite direction. The change in direction must be anticipated so that forward COG progression can be sufficiently decelerated to allow the change in direction, but not stopped, or else momentum that can assist with the turn will be lost. A change in step pattern is also required: the most efficient one is pivot on the toes of the lead foot, while the trailing foot does not advance, as it would in taking a step forward, but pivots in place and is immediately ready to accept the body weight as it begins to travel in the opposite direction.10

We found several studies comparing functional and physical performance measures with ones based on stabilometric parameters, as well as between clinical tests, scales with force platform parameters, and kinetic and posturographic measurements,11,14,16 but these results must be carefully analyzed, considering methodological differences and application in different groups. The time (s) and oscillation velocity (°s) variables obtained by the “Step/Quick Turn” test on the force platform, used to measure stability in a 180° turn, are negatively correlated (low to moderate) to almost all domains of BESTest. Thus, clinical balance tests can discriminate subjects with large differences in posture maintenance,7,17 but not identify small postural adjustments, which can be done with equipment such as the force platform.14 If we are able to identify typical movements that demonstrate a poor postural balance (risk of fall) involving walking and a 180° turn, the maneuver becomes challenging to older people compared to walking straight, because the body remains outside the base of support in most of the support phase of the gait.18,19

During the test, the patients were instructed to complete it as quickly as possible, where low scores (faster turns) are good, while higher scores (slower turns) are worse. Patients may not be able to safely turn quickly if they cannot control the moving COG over the small base of support (pivot foot) and must instead resort to the slower strategy of taking multiple steps to turn around. This compensatory strategy allows for double support time, sacrificing speed for stability. Patients may not be able to pivot due to ankle weakness, non-coordination, or sensory abnormalities (visual/vestibular). Thus, the negative correlations found between the test and the clinical evaluations of postural balance demonstrate that the higher the score (higher score = better balance) the shorter were the time and speed (shorter time and lower velocity = better balance) spent on the task. Although our findings are limited and need further studies that include methodological investigations on postural balance measures within the parameters analyzed, this study indicates that, while the clinical test and the force platform provided different data about balance, they complement each other and should be used together to provide more relevant information to the understanding of postural balance. Thus, this study contributed to helping health professionals detect mechanisms essential for the field of gerontology.

CONCLUSION

Clinical tests (BESTest) are poorly to moderate correlated with the Step Quick/Turn test on the Balance Master force platform.
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