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SENSE-SEAT: Challenging Disruptions in Shared Workspaces Through a Sensor-Based Seat

Abstract
Creative industries’ workers are becoming more prominent as countries move towards intellectual-based economies. Consequently, the workplace needs to be reconfigured so that creativity and productivity can be better promoted at shared workspaces. We report on a study based on diaries, interviews and probes, with 8 creative industries’ professionals at a co-working space, with the goal of understanding their advantages and disadvantages, and causes for cognitive disruptions. Findings indicate that temperature, noise and coworkers’ requests are the main causes for disruptions in the work processes. The insights are used to inform the design process of SENSE-SEAT, a seat with embedded sensors and tangible actuators, as a contribution to reimagining the role of tangible and embedded interaction in intelligent furniture. We are currently at a prototyping stage, with 3D prints and 3D renders and we explain the design process and outlining the early results.
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Introduction
This paper introduces the design and prototyping of a new seat for relaxation and productivity, which fuses the digital and the analog in a futuristic piece of furniture, targeted
at workspaces, in particular creative co-working spaces. This interactive seat, with embedded sensors and actuators, aims at reimagining the role of interactive technologies in the workspace of the future by challenging disruptions in shared workspaces through a multi-sensory design approach. SENSE-SEAT aims to explore the balance between social interruptions and social benefits of the creative workspace. Creative industries workers are becoming more prominent as countries move towards intellectual-based economies. Consequently, the nature and essence of the workplace needs to be reconfigured so that creativity and productivity can be better promoted at these spaces. We will introduce initial interview studies, a co-creative workshop - both of which informed the design - and early renders and 3D prints of our prototype. We also present the rational for how the design has been developed and our initial findings from the prototyping efforts.

Related Work
There has been great progress in terms of gaining a better understanding of the interplay between the work environment and the creativity, or productivity, of office workers. Creativity is the production of novel and potentially useful ideas for solving problems, developing new artifacts and accomplishing tasks. In organizations, employee’s creativity can be translated into innovative products, services, processes, systems, work methods, etc. [3]. Workplace creativity is usually seen as a result of a creative personality or individual skillsets [4], dependent on intrinsic motivations, such as personal interest, satisfaction, or the challenge of the work itself. However, there are also studies that suggest that other factors, such as socio-organizational (e.g., job design, team work, rewards, time pressure, and leadership) are also factors in motivating creative work [3][1][4], even in contexts such as kindergarten [2]. Typical physical environment improvements, that affect employee’s creativity in a positive way, as suggested by various researchers, are: a non-crowded workspace, presence of plants, the use of inspiring colors on the walls, a new carpet in the office, more pictures and posters on the walls, windows with outside view, privacy, dim lightning, etc. [1]. Aiello and his team [1] did research on the effects of workplace crowding over employee’s creativity, and they concluded that crowding could have negative effects, regardless of crowded subjects interpersonal distance preference, which showed a lower level of creativity than their non-crowded counterparts. Also, Stokols and his team [5] observed that high levels of environmental distraction, such as noise or prolonged exposure to crowded environments, were associated with less perceived support for creativity at work, and they furthermore suggested that private or non-overcrowded workspaces could have a counter effect, i.e. it could boost employee’s creativity. Zelinsky [7] underlines the importance of recognizing that workspaces need to be inspiring places. Vischer’s [6] research shows how people are affected by the environment on their workplace. Many factors contribute to these quite subjective productivity levels. Sometimes it is the room temperature, other the surrounding noise but even visuals play a role (e.g. if the work desk is messy and cluttered). Previous work has also explored how technological artifacts could be used to address work interruptions, e.g. Züger [8] who proposes FlowLight, a device that combines a physical traffic-light like LED with an automatic interruptibility measure based on computer interaction data, which exhibited very good results, having reduced the interruptions of participants by 46%. As well as industry work such as LiveOS1 which collect data to optimize the workspace, and the Kokon2 stress reduction pod. The approach of SENSE-SEAT is to provide a tool for reducing stress in
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1https://www.hermanmiller.com/products/smart-office/smart-furnishings/live-os/
2https://thekokon.com
tasks that require focus, or when the work environment is too chaotic.

**Challenging Disruptions in Shared Workspaces**

The original idea for building SENSE-SEAT was to provide a place for relaxation, focus and creativity, something extremely difficult in today’s fast-paced offices. To gain an initial understanding of these factors, we conducted a pilot study with eight participants (six men and two women) from a local co-working space, who we invited to take part in an interview, four (two men and two women) of which agreed to also submit a diary over disruptions in their work over two weeks’ time. We distinguish between interruptions and disruptions because of the negative connotation which is typically inherent to interruptions - a disruption can actually be positive for the user, whereas it is never a positive thing to be interrupted. Each of the interviews took approximately 30 minutes and were conducted with two interviewers, one leading the interview and one recording, taking notes, speaking the local language and translating as needed. The study aimed to explore what they perceived as both positive and negative factors with working in a co-working space. As all participants were currently working in a co-working space, it should be expected that they feel that the positive aspects outweigh the negative. In the interview, they were asked about what they perceived as interrupting their work, but also how they interact with others in the space. In the diary that followed the interview, we compared how their perception of interruptions match up with how their documented interruptions. The comparison was done by looking at what kind of disruptions they mentioned in the different inquiries, as well as how severe they were by the description.

The participants focused on two areas, the physical and the social space. The majority of the frustrations expressed was in regards to the physical space, too warm, noisy, uncomfortable, or otherwise not suited for certain tasks, such as calls or meetings, while the spaces dedicated for meetings were not deemed sufficient due to both lack of availability and the air-quality. In the discussion of the social space, where the majority of participants expressed that the coworkers were a major positive factor, they also expressed that it was the largest distraction, though not always a negative one. Joining others for a coffee when struggling with a difficult or tedious task helped maintain focus, although some would have offices that allowed them to close the door when needed. The pilot study suggests that there is a conflict between the public and private in interactions, where the social aspects is at the same time a source of inspiration and motivation, but also interruptions.

**Prototyping and Co-creative Workshop**

In a second phase, we started our prototyping, working together with a team of interior designers, architects, HCI researchers, software and hardware engineers (See Figure 1). We held a 1-day co-creative workshop which employed brainstorming and product ideation techniques with 16 participants, six of them part of the SENSE-SEAT project team, the remaining were creative industries’ professionals who had no previous contact or any information about the project. Figure 1 shows the overall aspect of this co-creative workshop, as well as some of the low-tech prototyping tools and materials which were used.

In this workshop, we brought together design professionals, researchers, and developers with the explicit goal of creating concepts together. We asked them to explore their view on smart furniture through a multi-sensory approach, using sketching, and low fidelity prototyping. While the type of furniture was left open-ended, a seat or bed was the general topic of discussion, rather than furniture that was acted
on, such as desks. The participants each set out to individually create multiple concepts that were then explored in groups where they combined their ideas, and prototyped them using low-fidelity materials such as paper, foam-core and pencils. The final concepts which were produced by the different groups of participants involved:

- a Work-life chair, a semi-open pod which can be adjusted for relaxation, lighting, as well as placed into groups for collaboration;
- an all-in-one chair that focuses on the physical comfort, such as sitting still for too long, or on resting when needed;
- a ‘sleeper bed’ that senses both the environment and the position of the sleeper, but also communicates with its surrounding environment.

The common theme expressed by the participants is relaxation or stress, this suggests that a future design could reflect the need to be able to relax, rather than stressing productivity or focus. Both the work-life chair and the sleeper bed are intended as parts of a larger environment, rather than as a stand-alone piece.

**A multi-sensorial approach**

SENSE-SEAT has the overall goal of promoting user focusing and the ability for temporary separation through the use of a pod-like chair (see Figure 2 for 3D renders). The aim is a design that allows the user to remain in the office, and approachable if necessary while also communicating that they are working on something that requires focus. Sensors and smart technology can detect and respond to the person seated, what they are working on (which software they are using, posture, or focus).

When exploring solutions for the challenges of creative workspaces, we have taken a multi-sensorial approach, in order to explore how different senses, such as hearing or sight can affect productivity. Our design approach is ground in terms of function, technology and form. While the function concerns are relatively straightforward (connected workspace, relaxing, personal), the form concerns we are addressing include achieving a contemporary, versatile, modular piece of technological furniture that can also bring a timeless, elegant comfort to the workplace. In terms of technology, a reclining seat will provide body positions values that can provide ergonomic feedback to the user. Directional sound provides relaxing soundtracks according to the user’s preference, the same applies to the LED interior ‘mood’ lighting.

By sensing the position of the user, the SENSE-SEAT can improve the ergonomics of the chair using adjustable seating, it can also remind the user to take a break through gently adjusting the internal lighting. It helps the user manage interruptions by letting others know externally through outside LED-lighting that a person is working. The aim is to provide simple, effortless interactions.

For the final prototype, we are using pressure-sensitive conductive sheets (Velostat) sewed to woven conductive fabric with a thin conductive thread to build two squares (matrix) for the seat and its back. These allow to track the user’s posture and presence. Afterwards, we added an electret microphone amplifier and an air-quality sensor. Both will be used to check if external factors such as noise and oxygen levels polluted would interfere with the productivity of users. A temperature and humidity sensor was added so that the system can check the outside air temperature and trigger a ventilation to adjust the inside temperature. In terms of actuators, we added programmable RGB-LED strips to the
sides and back of the chair as well as a directional sound beacon just above. Overall, the final prototype will register when a user is sitting down, the ergonomic posture (if posture is correct, the pressure will be distributed along the pressure-sensitive pads), the noise, outside air temperature and air quality (this data would then be cross-checked by the team in terms of minutes against the times when a user would be writing or typing, to see if those had any influence). Finally, the ambient sound and the LED coloring will be adjusted to test what users would prefer for their two main activities (relax and work). Figure 3 exhibits a 3D print of the prototype, including a cross section that includes a 'cap'. This can be used to allow different configurations of the seat, e.g. relaxing configuration, 'Conference-call' configuration, etc.

Conclusions
SENSE-SEAT brings up several research questions which will be assessed once it becomes a fully usable product: firstly, it introduces tangible and embedded systems as an approach to resolve the challenge of the physical challenges found at creative workplaces. Secondly, how do we explore the balance between social interruptions and social benefits of the space? Interactive furniture could play a decisive role in the near future (e.g. a smart meeting table could invite other people to join an interesting discussion). Thirdly, it raises some privacy issues: what happens when the office place has a seat like this, where ergonomics data from users is being constantly gathered? In any workspace, there’s a dichotomy between the company and the private. How much time and effort does the employee owe the company, what loyalty? Personal calls during work hours are often frowned upon, but if the call is important the borders soften. If the employer supplies a phone, the employee may receive work email and calls even after hours. While there is no obligation to answer, it is common for employees to respond if they feel it’s urgent, or if not, the mere existence causes mental workload.

In a co-working space, there is an added dimension of what is public. There are many aspects that can be explored; for example, which spaces may be used by anyone? Where is it appropriate to chat and to have coffee? To what extent should one share advice or thoughts with someone from another company? When creating technology for Smart Workspaces, the intent of various stakeholders shape the needs and requirements for new technology. Small changes in existing designs, sometimes drastically change the nature of the product. Thus, within collaborative workspaces, there’s a need to find the right balance between the need for privacy and support for social interaction. As such, collaborative work environments require spaces, furnishings
and technologies that facilitate, not only for collaborative work, but also to focus work that fosters solo creativity and productivity.

**Future Work**
The next step of the SENSE-SEAT project is to establish the final technical requirements to build a full-scale prototype. This work will require taking the 3D-model from prints and building first a full-scale paper prototype, and after that adjust and build a seat in fiberglass. Aside from the physical prototype, we will also set the technical requirements for an initial set of sensors, and assemble the electronics. The physical seat and the initial sensors will be tested and calibrated to explore how the pod affects work in a creative workspace.
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