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Abstract: In recent years, it has become clear that RNA molecules are involved in almost all vital cellular processes and pathogenesis of human disorders. The functional diversity of RNA comes from its structural richness. Although composed of only four nucleotides, RNA molecules present a plethora of secondary and tertiary structures critical for intra and intermolecular contacts with other RNAs and ligands (proteins, small metabolites, etc.). In order to fully understand RNA function it is necessary to define its spatial structure. Crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance and cryogenic electron microscopy have demonstrated considerable success in determining the structures of biologically important RNA molecules. However, these powerful methods require large amounts of sample. Despite their limitations, chemical synthesis and in vitro transcription are usually employed to obtain milligram quantities of RNA for structural studies, delivering simple and effective methods for large-scale production of homogenous samples. The aim of this paper is to provide an overview of methods for large-scale RNA synthesis with emphasis on chemical synthesis and in vitro transcription. We also present our own results of testing the efficiency of these approaches in order to adapt the material acquisition strategy depending on the desired RNA construct.
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1. Introduction

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) is one of the major players involved in cell homeostasis [1]. Specific chemical features make RNA an important biological molecule, an interesting research object, and a tool adapted for human use [2,3]. In early nucleic acid research, there was no clear distinction between RNA and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA); they were seen as “nuclein”, a phosphor-rich substance different from proteins and present in large quantities in every living organism [4]. The pioneering work of many researchers, including Phoebus Levene, Albrech Kossel, and their co-workers, allowed to distinguish DNA and RNA molecules and to identify their basic components, such as ribose rings, nitrogen-containing bases, and phosphate groups [5–7]. Since then, RNA has been revealed as a multifaceted molecule that can form complex three-dimensional structures, presents catalytic properties, and serves as a regulatory element for governing gene expression [8–16]. On the other hand, RNA has also been identified as a toxic factor involved in the pathogenesis of numerous human disorders [17–19]. Finally, RNA became a tool applied in biotechnology and medicine [20–25]. The best-known examples are the mRNA-based vaccines used to fight the COVID-19 pandemic [26].

Knowledge of the three-dimensional structure of RNA is crucial for explaining its biological functions and conformational dynamics and for providing model platforms for drug development [15,27,28]. The pioneering studies on nucleic acid structure originated from research by Watson, Crick, and Franklin, who proposed the double-DNA-helix model [29,30]. The first atomic transfer RNA (tRNA) structure was determined by Robert Holley’s group using single-crystal x-ray crystallography, opening up the field of
structural studies of RNA molecules [31–33]. Subsequent discoveries of RNA properties (e.g., catalytic activity) and the development of methods for chemical and enzymatic synthesis increased interest in RNA and RNA–protein research, resulting in the three-dimensional structures of ribozymes, riboswitches, ribosomes, small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), and other types of RNA being discovered [34–56]. These fundamental findings helped to explain the principles of RNA functions, folding pathways, the roles of water and ion molecules, and to reveal ligand-binding sites [57].

Structural studies of RNA molecules start with the design, synthesis, and purification of the macromolecule. In this step, the purity and homogeneity of the sample are critical for successful crystallization and diffraction experiments [58,59]. Another obstacle is the amount of material required for crystallization; milligrams of homogeneous RNA are usually needed [60–63]. Although a number of well-established protocols exist, obtaining a sufficient amount of pure RNA is challenging [60–63]. While chemical synthesis allows the production of large quantities of RNA, it is suitable only for relatively short RNA oligomers and requires a laboratory equipped with specific infrastructure (synthesizer, fume hood, vacuum evaporator, etc.). Moreover, modified phosphoramidites can be expensive and often non-commercially available. Longer RNAs can be effectively synthesized using in vitro transcription but this method suffers from considerable 3′-end heterogeneity and requires specific sequence requirements at the 5′-end. This paper provides an overview of large-scale RNA-synthesis methods used in structural studies, supplemented by our own results with the practical implementation of available protocols. In the first part, we describe methods for RNA synthesis and discuss their advantages and limitations. Next, we present the implementation of these methods and guidelines for adapting RNA-synthesis strategies.

2. Methods of RNA Synthesis

RNA can be obtained in three ways: purification from biological sources, chemical synthesis using a solid-phase method, or enzymatic synthesis by in vitro transcription. Isolation from cells is suitable for complex or large and highly abundant RNAs (ribosomes or tRNAs), resulting in native samples having all the important posttranscriptional modifications. Shorter or less-abundant RNAs can be synthesized chemically or enzymatically. These methods are more universal and preferred for structural studies of RNA molecules.

2.1. Chemical Synthesis

Solid-phase chemical synthesis using an automated synthesizer was established by Bruce Merrifield and was further applied for the synthesis of deoxyribonucleic and ribonucleic acids [64,65]. It is based on the cyclic elongation of the DNA/RNA chain on a solid support, e.g., controlled-pore glass or highly crosslinked polystyrene. It uses phosphoramidites decorated with different protection groups in order to block their reactivity during chemical synthesis [66]. There are several types of commercially available phosphoramidites. Most of them possess mild base-labile protection of exocyclic amino group of nucleobase and phosphate moiety blocked by 2-cyanoethyl N,N,N′,N′′-tetra-isopropyl [66]. In the case of 2′-hydroxyl, the most widely employed class of protection groups are TOM (triisopropylsilyl-oxy-methyl) and TBDMS (tert-butyldimethylsilyl). They are fluoride-labeled groups which are removed after synthesis using TBAF (tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride) or TEA·3HF (triethylamine trihydrofluoride). The 5′ OH group is usually blocked by DMT (dimethoxytrityl) which is compatible with 2′OH silyl blockage [67]. The selection of the 2′-protection group is the most critical step affecting the yield and time of RNA synthesis. Recently, more potent activators such as ETT (5-ethylthio-1H-tetrazole) and BTT (5-benzylthio-1H-tetrazole) helped to increase the rate of the coupling step [68]. Other strategies of phosphoramidites protection have also been developed, resulting in shorter and higher efficiency of RNA synthesis. However, they are expensive, non-commercially available or require different
protocols of solid-phase synthesis (for review see [66,69]). The standard solid-phase synthesis occurs from the 3’ to 5’-end, and one elongation cycle results in a chain extension by one nucleotide. Each cycle includes four steps: detritylation, coupling, capping, and oxidation (Figure 1) [66]. It starts with removing the dimethoxytrityl (DMT) protection group from the 5’ OH group of the nucleotide attached to the solid support. This is followed by a coupling reaction, resulting in the chain elongation of oligomers with a 5’ DMT group originating from added phosphoramidite. Since the yield of coupling is less than 100%, not all RNA chains are elongated. Thus, in the next step, the non-extended oligomers are 5’ capped, preventing their further extension in the next cycle. Finally, the phosphate group is oxidized and converted into a stable moiety [62,66,70].

![Figure 1. Overview of the solid-phase chemical RNA synthesis cycle.](image)

Chemical synthesis is suitable for relatively short oligomers (up to 40 nt-long). Although the yield of a single elongation cycle can reach 99%, the overall efficiency drops systematically with each cycle. For 25 nt-long oligomers, the overall yield of synthesis is about 79%, assuming an average single coupling efficiency of 99%. When the coupling efficiency drops to 97%, the overall yield is only approximately 48%. For longer oligomers (50 nt), the overall yield is only 37%, even if the average performance of a single coupling is 98% [71]. Therefore, chemical synthesis for longer RNAs is impractical, unless other reasons are considered, such as the introduction of modified nucleotides. In contrast to in vitro transcription, chemical modifications can be easily incorporated into RNA chains during automated synthesis. If the oligomer is too long to be efficiently synthesized, two shorter modified RNA chains can be obtained separately and ligated using split-join ligation or T4 RNA ligase [72–74]. The other advantage of chemical synthesis is fewer constraints on the sequence of RNA and lower heterogeneity in comparison to in vitro transcription.

In our laboratory, chemical synthesis is routinely used for the preparation of RNA oligomers. As an example, we have obtained 11 nt-long RNA oligomers using two
approaches: DMT-OFF, in which the 5′ DMT group is removed from the last synthesized nucleotide, and DMT-ON, in which the 5′ DMT group is preserved and used for an additional purification step (Supplementary Material). Both oligomers were cleaved from a solid support, and protective groups were removed according to standard procedures [66,67]. The DMT-ON oligomer was further purified using cartridges with an affinity for dimethoxy groups, while the DMT-OFF oligomer was only desalted. A comparison of the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) chromatograms of samples showed high purity and homogeneity for DMT-ON RNA (compare Figure 2A,B). The DMT-OFF oligomer contained many short products, indicating the need for an additional purification step using thin-layer chromatography, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), or HPLC. We also synthesized a 45 nt-long RNA oligomer (Figure 2C). Even though it was purified using the DMT-ON method, the amount of abortive products generated during the synthesis of longer RNA was very large, resulting in lower efficiency for the purification method.

Figure 2. HPLC chromatograms of chemically synthesized RNAs: (A,B) 11 nt-long RNA oligomer synthesized in DMT-OFF and DMT-ON mode, respectively; (C) 45 nt-long RNA synthesized in DMT-ON mode.

In our hands, the average yield from 1 μmol-scale RNA synthesis resulted in at least 200 nmol of pure oligomer of 8 to 22 nt. Short oligomers purified by the DMT-ON method were crystallized, and many of them resulted in crystals exhibiting a high diffraction potential (Figure 3 and data not shown). Longer RNAs were subjected to an additional purification step before crystallization.

Figure 3. Examples of crystals that were grown from chemically synthesized RNAs.

2.2. In Vitro RNA Synthesis Using T7 RNA Polymerase

In vitro transcription is a common method used for synthesizing RNA molecules. It utilizes a DNA template with a promoter sequence for T7 RNA polymerase followed by a sequence encoding the target molecule [75]. The enzyme binds the template at the promoter region and starts the RNA synthesis. The elongation of the RNA chain terminates when the enzyme drops off the 3′-end of the DNA template [75]. In vitro synthesis can be efficient, resulting in milligrams of RNA sample, but a high yield depends on several factors. The most important is the type of nucleotides located immediately downstream of the T7 promoter. The transcript should start from at least one guanosine residue, but having two or three consecutive Gs is better [75–77]. Usually, the RNA sequence can be adjusted and mutations can be easily introduced into the DNA
template. If the RNA sequence cannot be changed, catalytic RNAs can be employed (see below).

In structural studies, a serious drawback of in vitro RNA synthesis is the presence of short, abortive transcripts due to polymerase slippage and transcripts with additional nucleotides added to the 3′-end that are not encoded by the DNA template [78]. Separating short products from full-length oligomers is usually easy, while it is more difficult and time-consuming with transcripts extended by an additional one or two nucleotides [58]. The amounts of N+1 and N+2 products range from 10 to 50% of the whole synthesis, reducing the homogeneity, yield, and crystallization potential of the RNA sample [59].

In vitro transcription is conducted with a mixture of nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs), resulting in RNA having tri-phosphate at the 5′-end. In some instances, it can negatively influence the crystallization potential of the RNA by preventing the packing of RNA molecules into a crystal lattice or introducing disorder [79]. Thus, the conversion of the 5′ triphosphate into a 5′ hydroxyl group may be required to improve the crystallization and quality of the crystals. This can be easily performed by in vitro transcription in the presence of guanosine residues or with the use of alkaline phosphatase [59]. If a 5′ monophosphate group is required for further RNA modification, the transcription reaction can be supplemented with guanosine monophosphate (GMP).

In vitro transcription can be employed for the production of capped RNAs. Cap is a modified nucleotide (7-methylguanosine, m7G) linked by the 5′,5′′-triphosphate bond to the first transcribed nucleotide [80,81]. Cap structure increases the stability of cellular and exogenous mRNAs (such as vaccine mRNA) [82,83]. It is also required for mRNA splicing, export and initiation of translation [82,84,85]. Therefore, capped RNAs are widely used in studies concerning vital cellular processes [86–88]. The increasing demand on simple and efficient methods for synthesis of capped mRNAs resulted in the development of several protocols [86,89–91]. For long RNAs, cap structure is commonly introduced during in vitro transcription in the presence of chemically synthesized cap analogs such as m7G, anti-reverse cap analog (ARCA) and its derivatives [86,90–94]. However, this method suffers from low efficiency which rarely exceeds 80% under optimized conditions. Moreover, it is limited to Cap 0 and the first transcribed nucleotide must be purine [95]. Recently, other capping reagents have been developed, extending the availability of different cap structures and increasing the yield of cap incorporation [95,96]. Short capped RNAs are synthesized using solid-phase synthesis but it requires non-standard phosphoramidites or modified solid supports [97–99].

### 2.3. Ribozymes for RNA Production with Homogeneous Ends

Ribozymes are self-cleaving RNAs found mainly in small-RNA pathogens of plants [100–102]. They are involved in the replication process, with a rolling-circle mechanism. As a result, long, linear concatamers of replicated genome of the pathogen are produced, which are cleaved by the catalytic domain of the ribozyme into unit-length progeny [15,101,103]. There are four types of small ribozyme motifs: hammerhead, hairpin, Varkud satellite (VS), and hepatitis delta virus (HDV) [104]. The glucosamine-6-phosphate riboswitch (GlmS) ribozyme is also classified as a small ribozyme, but in contrast to other catalytically active RNAs, it is activated by the binding of the ligand molecule [105]. All ribozymes catalyze the RNA-cleavage reaction, producing a 5′ hydroxyl group and 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate (Figure 4) [102]. For optimal activity, they require divalent metal ions such as Mg2+. Ribozymes usually act in cis mode when a substrate and ribozyme are present in single RNA chains, and cleavage separates RNA into two species (the catalytic domain of the ribozyme and target RNA). Ribozymes can also act in trans, recognizing a substrate by hybridizing to the complementary sequence and cleaving the RNA. Although ribozymes are commonly applied in different research areas, each type has its own specific requirements, mostly concerning the sequence of the RNA substrate (see below).
Figure 4. Ribozyme-mediated cleavage of RNA.

Their relatively small size makes ribozymes attractive tools for use in structural studies for the production of RNA molecules with homogeneous ends or for enhancing the efficiency of in vitro transcription [61,63]. In the first case, they are incorporated into a DNA template upstream, downstream, or at both positions of the target sequence. During in vitro transcription, the RNA chain is cleaved, resulting in a mixture of target RNA and the catalytic domain of the ribozyme [61]. They need to be separated and purified using PAGE, gel filtration chromatography, or affinity tags [106,107].

When the ribozyme is located downstream of the target sequence, the 3′-end of the transcript RNA is homogeneous, but it possesses a 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate group (Figure 5A). The phosphate group can be removed with T4 polynucleotide kinase if the 3′ OH group is required for downstream experiments [108]. The ribozyme is placed at the 5′-end of the target RNA to increase the yield of in vitro transcription or to produce RNA with homogeneous 5′-ends (Figure 5B). Higher efficiency in RNA synthesis is achieved by introducing the GGGAGA sequence at the beginning of the catalytic domain of the ribozyme, which ensures high processivity for the RNA polymerase [109]. This approach is also used when the sequence of the target RNA is critical and cannot be adjusted according to the T7 RNA polymerase’s requirements. Since homogeneity and high yield in RNA synthesis are key factors for successful crystallization, one should consider RNA constructs with ribozymes at both ends (Figure 5C) [61]. Ribozymes can also be used in trans. This requires the co-transcription of the catalytic domain of the ribozyme and target RNA [110,111].

Figure 5. Production of homogeneous RNAs using ribozymes located at (A) 5′-end, (B) 3′-end, and (C) both ends of the target RNA. Cleavage sites are indicated with red arrows.
2.3.1. Hammerhead Ribozyme

The hammerhead ribozyme is one of the most studied catalytic motifs found in viroids and satellite RNAs [112]. It is relatively small (40–50 nucleotides), with a secondary structure consisting of three helices branching from the conserved junction region (Figure 6A). The length of the helical regions can be significantly altered without influencing ribozyme activity (one helix can be reduced to only two base pairs). The overall fold of the ribozyme resembles a Y-shape, and it is stabilized by loop–loop interactions [113,114]. A cleavage site is located at the 3′-end of the target RNA (next to helix I). It occurs after a NUX triplet (N stands for any nucleotide, and X is any nucleotide except guanosine), and the optimal cleavage sequence is AUC, GUC, UUC [110,115]. Another important factor influencing the cleavage efficiency is the presence of divalent cations such as Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺ at millimolar concentrations. They are involved in the proper folding of the ribozyme and serve as co-factors during the cleavage reaction [116].

The hammerhead ribozyme has been widely used to generate RNA molecules with homogeneous ends. The efficient cleavage of RNAs ranging from 36 to 140 nt has been observed for ribozymes located at either the 5′ or 3′-end, with optimum activity at a 24 mM concentration of Mg²⁺ ions [61]. The hammerhead ribozyme has been successfully applied in crystallographic studies to synthesize part of the human 7SL RNA (Protein Data Bank—PDB: 1L9A), 4.5S rRNA domain IV (PDB: 1DUH), and tRNAIle (PDB: 6UFH) [117–119].

2.3.2. Hairpin Ribozyme

The hairpin ribozyme was identified in the minus strand of the tobacco ringspot virus satellite RNA (TRSV). It possesses four helical regions and two internal bulges (Figure 6B) [120]. The secondary structure of the hairpin ribozyme resembles the shape of a paperclip, but in three-dimensional space, the helices are coaxially arranged, representing two hairpin structures linked by a four-way junction [121]. Similar to the hammerhead ribozyme, helical regions of hairpin ribozyme can be engineered with different lengths and sequences without influencing the cleavage efficiency, as long as the integrity of the helices is maintained. The cleavage site is located in one of the conserved bulge regions. The optimal cleavage sequence is RYN↓GUC (R is a purine, Y is a pyrimidine, and N is any nucleotide) and occurs between N and G residues [100].

Due to different sequence constraints, the hairpin ribozyme has been proposed as an alternative to the hammerhead ribozyme. Although the cleavage efficiency has been
observed to be high, the presence of the hairpin ribozyme leads to the generation of nonspecific products. This activity was shown to be reduced by a higher concentration (30 mM) of Mg$^{2+}$ ions [61].

2.3.3. HDV-like Ribozymes

An HDV ribozyme (approximately 85 nt) is found in the genomic and antigenomic strands of the hepatitis delta virus [122,123]. Its secondary structure consists of four helical regions forming two coaxial stacks, which are linked by two single-stranded junctions (Figure 6C). The tertiary structure of the HDV ribozyme folds into a nested double pseudoknot, constraining the overall shape and forming the active site of the ribozyme [123,124]. The cleavage site is located in helix P1 and typically occurs at a guanosine residue. The HDV ribozyme is active in the presence of divalent ions such as Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, or Ca$^{2+}$ [125]. The sequence upstream of the cleavage site is unrestricted. Therefore, the HDV ribozyme can be applied to generate 3′ homogeneous ends for any RNA. On the other hand, highly structured target RNAs can negatively influence the proper folding of the ribozyme domain, resulting in lower cleavage efficiency. In this case, incubating it at 65–70 °C, adding urea, or performing cycles of denaturation–renaturation can enhance the cleavage efficiency [126–128].

The lack of a sequence requirement has resulted in the HDV ribozyme being widely used by structural researchers in the preparation of RNAs for crystallization. Examples include domain IV of the ribosomal 4.5S RNA from E. coli (PDB: 1DUH), the nadA riboswitch (PDB: 7D82), and the constitutive decay element (CDE1) from human 3′ untranslated region (PDB: 6XWW) [118,129,130].

2.3.4. VS RNA Ribozyme

The Varkud satellite RNA ribozyme was found in mitochondrial plasmids of certain strains of Neuropsora [104,131]. It is the longest known small ribozyme (150 nucleotides), but its sequence can be reduced to approximately 120 nt without influencing its cleavage activity. The tertiary fold of the VS ribozyme is highly structured. It is composed of six double-stranded regions forming multihelix stacks, connected by three-way junctions and involving “kissing-loop” interactions [132]. Cleavage sites occur between A and G/A/U residues [133]. The VS ribozyme can act in cis and in trans, but only the trans system is suitable for the large-scale preparation of RNAs with homogeneous 3′-ends [110]. One of the examples is the preparation of the isotope-labelled RNA for NMR measurements [134].

2.3.5. GlmS Ribozyme

The GlmS ribozyme is a widespread, 145 nt-long, conserved element in Gram-positive bacteria located upstream of the glmS gene (encoding glutamine/fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase) [105,135]. It was discovered as a riboswitch, but further characterization revealed that, instead of RNA protection, it induces RNA decay in the presence of metabolites. Thus, GlmS has been classified as a ribozyme activated by the binding of the ligand (glucosamine-6-phosphate, GlcN6P). The secondary structure of the GlmS ribozyme is composed of four double-stranded regions connected by four junctions, while its tertiary fold forms a compact structure with three near-parallel stacks comprising a total of three pseudoknots [105,136]. The cleavage site is located between conserved guanosine and adenosine residues, which are also involved in co-factor binding and the positioning of a scissile phosphate group [105]. GlmS has been successfully used for crystallographic studies of Xrn1-resistant RNAs (xrRNAs) from Flaviviridae (PDB: 4PQV) [137].

In order to test the efficiency of in vitro transcription using ribozymes, we designed and synthesized several constructs differing by location, type of ribozyme, and length and sequence of the target RNA (Table 1 and Supplementary Material).
Table 1. RNA constructs used in this study.

| Construct     | Ribozyme                  | Length of Target RNA |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| RNA-HH 1      | 3’ hammerhead             | 47 nt                |
| RNA-HH 2      | 3’ hammerhead             | 30 nt                |
| RNA-HH 3      | 3’ hammerhead             | 83 nt                |
| RNA-HH 4      | 3’ hammerhead             | 86 nt                |
| RNA-HH 5      | 3’ hammerhead             | 113 nt               |
| RNA-HH 6      | 3’ hammerhead             | 43 nt                |
| HH-RNA-HH     | 5’ hammerhead/3’ hammerhead | 43 nt          |
| HH-RNA-HP     | 5’ hammerhead/3’ hairpin  | 43 nt                |
| RNA-HDV 1     | 3’ HDV                    | 47 nt                |
| RNA-HDV 2     | 3’ HDV                    | 51 nt                |

The RNA-HH 1 to 6 constructs possessed the hammerhead (HH) ribozyme at the 3’-end and HH-RNA-HH hammerhead ribozyme at both ends. The HH-RNA-HP construct had the hammerhead ribozyme at the 5’ and hairpin (HP) ribozyme at the 3’-end, and RNA-HDV was equipped with the HDV-like ribozyme at the 3’-end. The constructs were prepared as chemically synthesized DNA or obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). They were cloned into plasmid DNA, which was linearized prior to in vitro transcription. All the RNA-HH constructs showed a high cleavage efficiency independent of the sequence of the target RNA (Figure 7A–C). We estimated that more than 95% of the transcripts were cleaved. We added a 5’-end hammerhead ribozyme to the RNA-HH6 (a new construct, named HH-RNA-HH) to increase the yield from in vitro transcription. Surprisingly, the efficiency of the cleavage was decreased to about 50% (Figure 7C, line 2). We observed a band corresponding to an uncleaved intermediate RNA product attached to the 3’ hammerhead ribozyme. This suggests that the presence of the 5’ ribozyme affects the proper folding of 3’ hammerhead, reducing its cleavage activity.

We replaced the 3’ hammerhead with the hairpin ribozyme in order to test whether other types of ribozymes at the 3’-end could increase the yield of the RNA product. However, this modification resulted in no cleavage of the HH-RNA-HP construct by either 5’- or 3’-end-located ribozymes (Figure 7D, lane 1). Optimizing the reaction conditions by increasing the concentration of Mg²⁺ ions or performing cycles of denaturation–renaturation did not improve the cleavage efficiency (Figure 7D, lanes 2–6).

Finally, we tested the RNA-HDV 1 and 2 constructs with the HDV-like ribozyme at the 3’-end. Although we detected RNA products, the cleavage efficiency was very low (Figure 7E, lane 1, and Figure 7F). Incubating the sample at 65 °C for 1 h after in vitro transcription (Figure 7E, lane 2), increasing the Mg²⁺ concentration (Figure 7E, lane 3), or incubating it in 4 M urea (Figure 7E, lane 4) did not improve the cleavage efficiency, suggesting potential misfolding of the catalytic domain of the HDV ribozyme.
2.4. Preparation of RNA with Homogeneous 3′-End Using Modified DNA Template

The alternative method used for reducing RNA 3′-end heterogeneity is the modification of the DNA template for the in vitro transcription reaction. It requires incorporating one or two C2′-methoxy groups into the 5′-end of the antisense strand of the DNA template (Figure 8A) [78]. It was shown that C2′-methoxy modified DNA significantly reduced the N+1 activity of RNA polymerase and increased the amount of proper RNA product compared to transcription carried out with an unmodified template [78,138]. Since the modifications are located on the sugar moiety, there is a low risk of incorporating nucleotides other than those encoded in the sequence. Such 2′-methoxy-modified phosphoramidites are commercially available. The DNA oligomer can be synthesized using an in-house synthesizer or purchased from external companies. For longer DNA templates, 2′-methoxy modifications can be incorporated during the PCR by using a 2′-methoxy-modified reverse primer [78,138]. Recent reports suggest that the reduction of the side products can be further improved by the addition of DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) [139,140]. This modification has been used for synthesis of 5′ leader of the HIV-1 genome for NMR studies [141].

We designed and synthesized a DNA template with two 2′-methoxy-modified nucleotides at the 5′-end of the antisense strand. Next, we performed in vitro transcription of modified and unmodified DNA templates in order to compare the homogeneity of the RNA products. HPLC analysis showed a significant reduction in RNA heterogeneity. With the modified DNA template, only two peaks were observed, and with the unmodified template, RNA products of different lengths were detected (Figure 8B,C).
Figure 8. In vitro transcription of RNA using C2′-methoxy-modified DNA. (A) Schematic representation of DNA template. Modified nucleotides are marked with red Xs. Chromatograms showing RNA synthesis with (B) unmodified template, (C) C2′-methoxy-modified template, (D) C2′-methoxy-modified template in the presence of 10 mM guanosine, and (E) C2′-methoxy-modified template in the presence of 15 mM GTP.

Using the same method, we obtained RNAs with either a 5′ OH or monophosphate group at the 5′-end by conducting in vitro transcription in the presence of guanosine or GMP, respectively. The HPLC analysis showed no difference in chromatograms compared to the control transcription reactions (Figure 8D,E). The presence of a monophosphate at the 5′-end was further confirmed by the ligation reaction (data not shown).

3. Discussion

This paper provides an overview of methods for large-scale RNA synthesis. We also describe our experience during the preparation of homogeneous samples for crystallization experiments (summarized in Table 2). Many factors should be taken into account when selecting methods for the production of milligram quantities of RNA suitable for structural studies. They include the length of the oligomer, the presence of specific chemical modifications, the oligomer manufacturing time, cost, and equipment availability.

From our experience, chemical synthesis allows the preparation of sufficient quantities of RNA up to 20 nucleotides. Longer (>40 nt) oligomers can be synthesized but with much lower efficiency. Chemically synthesized RNA can also be purchased from external companies. However, since crystallization experiments often require testing large numbers of different constructs, using in-house synthesizers significantly reduces the cost of RNA production. We observed that in order to obtain a high yield of chemically synthesized RNA, it is crucial to dissolve reagents in acetonitrile with the lowest possible water content (up to 20 ppm). We recommend TOM rather than TBDMS protected phosphoramidites. They are characterized by higher coupling efficiency due to lower steric hindrance and prevented relocation from 2′ to 3′ moiety of ribose ring. The 2′ to 3′ migration can take place when using the TBDMS group, leading to the formation of non-biologically active 2′-5′ linkages. The costs of TOM and TBDMS phosphoramidites are...
comparable. When modified phosphoramidites are produced in-house they should have the highest possible purity, because some H-phosphonate or other impurities can still be present, reducing the coupling efficiency. RNA oligomers should be synthesized with the DMT-ON method, which significantly increases the homogeneity of the sample. DMT-ON purification on dedicated columns can remove abortive products, and the resulting RNA is suitable for crystallization or nuclear magnetic resonance measurements (Figure 2). With longer oligomers, additional purification steps are usually required to obtain homogeneous RNA.

In vitro transcription is suitable for longer RNA, but its main drawback is the nonspecific activity of the RNA polymerase generating 3’-end heterogeneity. Homogeneous samples can be achieved by using ribozymes cleaving at the 3’ site of the RNA construct. In our hands, the hammerhead ribozyme was characterized by the highest (~90%) cleavage efficiency, while the HDV-like ribozyme cleaved RNA less efficiently (Figure 7). Despite the careful design of RNA constructs to fulfill all the requirements for the proper folding of the catalytic domains of ribozymes, the cleavage efficiency was low when ribozymes were present at both ends of the RNA. An extreme example is the HP-RNA-HH construct, where the replacement of the 5’ hammerhead with the hairpin ribozyme led to the complete inhibition of cleavage (Figure 7). The presence of the 5’ hairpin ribozyme could interfere with the folding of the 3’ hammerhead ribozyme. As a result, both ribozymes became inactive. The ribozyme approach requires the purification of the RNA product from uncleaved RNA and the catalytic domain of the ribozyme. Therefore, the lengths of the ribozyme and the RNA of interest should differ in such a way that they can be separated with gel electrophoresis or HPLC. An attractive alternative to the ribozyme approach is in vitro transcription of the C2’-methoxy-modified DNA. This does not have specific requirements for the proper folding of catalytic RNAs, and a DNA template can be obtained from external companies or synthesized in-house. Using this method, we observed significant improvement in terms of the RNA’s 3’-end homogeneity (Figure 8). Although N+1 activity of RNA polymerase was still present, RNAs were easily separated using HPLC or gel electrophoresis (data not shown).

Concerning factors influencing the yield of RNA transcription, we noted that the most important were DNA template concentration (50–100 μg/mL) and reaction incubation time (12–16 h). Although the adjusting concentration of magnesium ions and ribonucleotides often improves the yield of transcription, we did not observe significant differences in the amount of synthesized RNA (data not shown) [142].

**Table 2.** Factors that should be considered for the efficient chemical and enzymatic synthesis of RNA.

| Method                  | Important Factors                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chemical synthesis      | • Highly efficient for short oligomers (up to 20 nt)                                |
|                         | • High-grade phosphoramidites (preferably TOM protection)                           |
|                         | • Acetonitryl with lowest possible content of water (up to 20 ppm)                 |
|                         | • Preferable synthesis in DMT-ON mode                                             |
|                         | • Concentration of DNA template (50–100 μg/mL) and reaction time (12–16h)         |
|                         | • Hammerhead ribozyme showed highest cleavage efficiency                           |
|                         | • DNA template with C2’-methoxy modifications reduces 3’-end heterogeneity          |
| In vitro transcription  |                                                                                   |
4. Conclusions

RNA is involved in the regulation of almost all aspects of cell physiology. Structural richness of RNA molecules has been explored, not only by nature but also adapted by humans in the construction of tools for molecular biology, biomedicine and nanotechnology. Therefore, investigation of RNA three-dimensional structures is fundamentally important and is a key to understanding the RNA-function relationship.

Here, we reviewed well-established methods for large-scale production of homogenous RNA with emphasis on chemical synthesis and in vitro transcription. We also present the results of the incorporation of these methods to obtain RNA suitable for crystallographic experiments. Although preparation of such RNA is still a serious bottleneck, chemical synthesis and in vitro transcription provide simple and effective ways of sample production.

In recent years, a number of new methods for large-scale RNA synthesis have emerged, such as circular RNAs, and position-selective labeling of RNA and polymerase chain transcription (for review see [62,143]). Although still under development, they show new research avenues with great potential to open up the field of structural studies of RNA.

We anticipate that this paper will be useful for many researchers. It will help in choosing a method for large-scale RNA production depending on the chemical nature of the target molecule and avoiding difficulties during sample preparation.
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