Physiological and Genetic Characterization of End-of-Day Far-Red Light Response in Maize Seedlings
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Developmental responses associated with end-of-day far-red light (EOD-FR) signaling were investigated in maize (Zea mays subspecies mays) seedlings. A survey of genetically diverse inbreds of temperate and tropical/semitropical origins, together with teosinte (Zea mays subspecies parviglumis) and a modern hybrid, revealed distinct elongation responses. A mesocotyl elongation response to the EOD-FR treatment was largely absent in the tropical/semitropical lines, but both hybrid and temperate inbred responses were of the same magnitude as in teosinte, suggesting that EOD-FR-mediated mesocotyl responses were not lost during the domestication or breeding process. The genetic architecture underlying seedling responses to EOD-FR was investigated using the intermated B73 × Mo17 mapping population. Among the different quantitative trait loci identified, two were consistently detected for elongation and responsiveness under EOD-FR, but none were associated with known light signaling loci. The central role of phytochromes in mediating EOD-FR responses was shown using a phytochromeB1 phytochromeB2 mutant series. Unlike the coleoptile and first leaf sheath, EOD-FR-mediated elongation of the mesocotyl appears predominantly controlled by gibberellin. EOD-FR also reduced abscisic acid (ABA) levels in the mesocotyl for both the wild type and phyB1 phyB2 double mutants, suggesting a FR-mediated but PHYB-independent control of ABA accumulation. EOD-FR elongation responses were attenuated in both the wild type and phyB1 phyB2 double mutants when a chilling stress was applied during the dark period, concomitant with an increase in ABA levels. We present a model for the EOD-FR response that integrates light and hormonal control of seedling elongation.

Plants utilize a complex network of photoreceptors to monitor the spectral quality, fluence, direction, and duration of light (Smith, 1995). These photosensory pigments include phytochromes that sense red light (R; 580–690 nm) and far-red light (FR; 690–800 nm) and the cryptochromes, phototropins, and zeitlupes for active radiation (400–700 nm). This light environment creates a canopy characterized by reductions in both the R-to-FR ratio (R:FR) and the photosynthetically active radiation (400–700 nm). This light environment induces adaptive biochemical and morphological responses known as the shade avoidance syndrome (Smith and Whitelam, 1997). These responses can be induced early in development, before canopy closure, through FR reflected from adjacent neighbor plants (Ballare et al., 1990) or from low-lying weeds (Rajcan and Swanton, 2001), which can negatively impact yields in maize (Zea mays subspecies mays; Rajcan et al., 2004), even if only present early in the growing season (Liu et al., 2009).

R:FR signals are transduced by the phytochrome family of photoreceptors (Franklin and Whitelam, 2007b). In rice (Oryza sativa) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), three genes constitute the phytochrome family: PhytochromeA (PhyA), PhytochromeB (PhyB), and PhytochromeC (PhyC). In maize, an ancient allopolyploidization has doubled the family size to six: PhyA1, PhyA2, PhyB1, PhyB2, PhyC1, and PhyC2 (Sheetan et al., 2004). Although many similarities are apparent between maize and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) light response, there are significant differences between members of the phytochrome gene family in copy number and selection pressures that have resulted in the divergence of phytochrome signaling networks (Sawers et al., 2005; Sheetan et al., 2007). Thus far, only three phytochrome mutants have been characterized in maize: elongated mesocotyl1 (elm1), phyB1, and phyB2. The elm1 mutant carries a mutation in phytochromobilin synthase, necessary for the biolog-
synthesis of the chromophore common to all phytochromes (Sawers et al., 2004). The mutation severely reduces the total phytochrome pool, but the weak nature of the allele enables a partial responsiveness to R and FR (Markelz et al., 2003). At maturity, elm1 mutants have elongated internodes, pale green leaves, and flower early (Sawers et al., 2002). Mutations at phyB1 and phyB2 also impair light signal transduction. At maturity, both PHYB1 and PHYB2 contribute to plant height, stem diameter, and sheath- internode length, but PHYB2 predominates in the control of flowering (Sheehan et al., 2007). Like the sorghum and rice phyB mutants (Childs et al., 1997; Takano et al., 2005; Kebrom et al., 2010), both elm1 and phyB1 phyB2 double mutants constitutively display several traits associated with low R:FR response (Sawers et al., 2002; Markelz et al., 2003; Sheehan et al., 2007).

In Arabidopsis, R/FR-mediated responses are developmentally complex and involve the PIF proteins (Duck and Fankhauser, 2005) and many hormones including auxins (Tao et al., 2008), ethylene (Khanna et al., 2007), jasmonate (Moreno et al., 2009), and GA (Djakovic-Petrovic et al., 2007). In particular, there is a direct interaction between PIF and DELLA proteins that govern phytochrome-mediated elongation (de Lucas et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2008; Lorrain et al., 2008). DELLA proteins also regulate FR inhibition of germination by abscisic acid (ABA; Piskurewicz et al., 2009), suggesting an interaction between the PIFs and ABA signaling. Complex cross talk between light and temperature has also been reported (Franklin, 2009). In Arabidopsis, colder temperatures can repress the early-flowering phenotype of the phyB mutant (Halliday et al., 2003). These studies suggest a complex interplay between light, hormone, and temperature to fine-tune the elongation response.

The end-of-day far-red light (EOD-FR) treatment consists of a pulse of FR given at subjective dusk (Kasperbauer, 1971) and triggers a circadian clock-gated response (Salter et al., 2003). EOD-FR treatments result in a minimal pool of active Pfr during the dark period (Fankhauser and Casal, 2004), and plants submitted to daily treatments display similar developmental responses to those elicited by a continuous photoperiod with low R:FR (Smith, 1994). One of the key features that contributed to the discovery of the phytochromes is the photoreversibility of the response (Borthwick et al., 1952). These low-fluence responses (LFRs) are induced or repressed by alternating R and FR treatments (Mancinelli, 1994). The LFR nature of EOD-FR in maize was previously demonstrated in 5-d-old mesocotyl and coleoptile tissues (Gorton and Briggs, 1980). The EOD-FR treatment offers several advantages over growing plants in continuous low R:FR, including exposing plants to relatively brief treatment periods, thus potentially reducing genotype × environment effects. It also facilitates kinetic assays of phytochrome response, as treatments are limited to a single point in the diurnal cycle and can be delivered at any stage in plant development. Finally, as relatively low fluences of light are needed to saturate EOD-FR responses, large populations of seedlings can be screened without the need for large numbers of FR light-emitting diodes (LEDs) or sophisticated light chambers.

Here, we have examined EOD-FR-mediated responses in maize and its closest relative, teosinte (Zea mays subspecies parviglumis). A survey of genetically diverse maize and teosinte accessions revealed extensive tissue-specific variations in mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath elongation. EOD-FR responses were greatly attenuated in tropical/semitropical (TS) accessions but present in teosinte, temperate inbreds, and a modern commercial hybrid, suggesting that the EOD-FR response is plastic in Z. mays. To investigate the genetic regulation underlying seedling responses to EOD-FR, we performed a quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis using the intermated B73 × Mo17 (IBM) recombinant inbred population. We identified several QTLs that regulate mesocotyl and first leaf sheath response to EOD-FR and show that these QTLs mediate tissue-specific responses. The phyB1 phyB2 mutant series was also evaluated, indicating that the two PhyB paralogs are largely redundant in mediating the EOD-FR response. Pharmacological assays revealed a major role for GA in promoting mesocotyl, but not coleoptile or first leaf sheath, elongation in response to EOD-FR treatments. In contrast, EOD-FR reduced mesocotyl ABA levels. A chill treatment (10°C) applied during dark breaks attenuated EOD-FR elongation responses. Based on these observations, we discuss a model that integrates temperature, light, and hormonal inputs in the regulation of mesocotyl elongation.

RESULTS
An EOD-FR Treatment Induces a Phytochrome-Mediated Low-Fluence Response in Seedlings

We developed an EOD-FR assay to screen large numbers of maize seedlings in a conventional growth chamber (see “Materials and Methods”). One section was equipped with white (W) fluorescent lights, plus lateral R and FR LED modules, while a similar section was used for the W control treatments. Spectral irradiiances were measured for all light treatments (Supplemental Fig. S1). Seedlings grown under W only in both sections showed no significant differences in elongation responses between the two chamber sections, confirming equivalent growth conditions (data not shown). The robustness of a daily 15-min EOD-FR treatment was initially confirmed using two maize inbreds belonging to two heterotic groups: B73 (stiff stalk) and W22 (nonstiff stalk). After a 10-d growth period, mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath lengths were measured (Fig. 1A). For both inbred lines, the EOD-FR treatment caused a significant increase in the length of all three seedling tissues mea-
sured. Proportionally, the emerged mesocotyl was the most responsive tissue, followed by the coleoptile and the first leaf sheath (Fig. 1B). EOD-FR-treated plants were also significantly thinner and paler than controls, both features of \textit{elm1} and \textit{phyB1 phyB2} double mutant plants (Sawers et al., 2002; Sheehan et al., 2007) and reminiscent of seedling phenotypes observed at high planting densities (Dubois and Brutnell, 2009).

To examine the photoreversibility of the EOD-FR treatment on mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath elongation, a pulse of 15 min of R (47.4 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) was applied immediately after FR (51.1 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)). Seedlings of both inbreds were not significantly different from the W control for all three traits measured (Fig. 1B). In vitro measurements on Pr/Pr reversibility (Kelly and Lagarias, 1985) indicated that 15 min of FR is sufficient to photoconvert approximately 97% of Pfr to Pr. The subsequent R treatment converts approximately 85% the phytochrome pools to Pfr before developmental responses are irreversibly transduced. Thus, the EOD-FR response induced by this treatment regime is likely mediated by phytochromes acting in the LFR mode.

The impact of EOD-FR on aboveground biomass was examined using the B73 inbred and a commercial hybrid (34P88; Pioneer Hi-Bred). Dry weights were measured after 10 d of growth. As in all the experiments, identical planting densities were used for both light treatments to reduce the confounding effect of crowding on seedling development. For both inbred and hybrid seedlings, no significant differences in dry weights (B73, Student’s \( t = 0.58, P = 0.59; 34 \text{P88, Student’s} \ t = 1.4, P = 0.21 \)) were observed between the two light treatments. These results suggest that at this developmental stage, the EOD-FR treatment causes a repartitioning of photosynthate between tissues rather than a change in biomass.

**Responsiveness to EOD-FR Varies among a Genetically Diverse Germplasm Panel**

To examine the range of variation in the EOD-FR response in maize, a panel of genetically diverse maize lines was screened. The panel consisted of the 26 founders of the Nested Association Mapping (NAM) population (McMullen et al., 2009), Mo17, and W22. These 28 lines were screened for their response to EOD-FR (Table I) and include stiff stalk, nonstiff stalk, popcorn, sweet corn, TS, and mixed (likely TS admixtures) inbred accessions (Liu et al., 2003). Seedlings displayed significant variation for tissue elongation under control and EOD-FR treatments (Supplemental Fig. S2). As a group, TS accessions were generally significantly shorter than non-TS (mainly temperates) for all three seedling traits and under both light treatments (Student’s \( t \) test, all \( P \) values, 0.001 except for first leaf sheath under EOD-FR, where \( P = 0.03 \); Supplemental Fig. S2). When comparing the three most common inbred lines used in genetic research (B73, Mo17, and W22), B73 and W22 had the two longest mesocotyl lengths under both light treatments among the panel, while Mo17 was much shorter but displayed the strongest EOD-FR elongation response of the three. This developmental pattern was also observed for coleoptile and first leaf sheath. Thus, significant variation was observed both between TS and non-TS lines and also within non-TS lines.

To compare seedling responsiveness to EOD-FR, a response ratio was defined as the percentage increase in tissue length induced by the EOD-FR treatment.
Table 1. Seedling responses to EOD-FR treatments in a panel of genetically diverse maize inbreds

Maize inbreds are grouped based on population structure. Limited seed availability for Tzi8, combined with poor germination, contributed to reduced statistical power in analyzing this line. C, Control; INC, significant elongation increase over control treatment; NS, nonsignificant difference between the two treatments based on Student’s t test (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001); NSS, temperate nonstiff stalk; SS, temperate stiff stalk.

| Inbreds | Classification | Individuals Coverage | EOD-FR Response Ratio | Mesocotyl | EOD-FR Response Ratio | Coleoptile | EOD-FR Response Ratio | First Leaf Sheath |
|---------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|         |                |                       |                       | Percentage|                       | Percentage|                       | Percentage       |
| B73     | SS             | 57/58                 | INC***                | 56.6      | INC***                | 13.3       | INC***                | 11.5             |
| B97     | NSS            | 29/30                 | INC***                | 55.9      | INC**                 | 14.5       | NS                    | –                |
| Ky21    | NSS            | 16/23                 | INC**                 | 63.9      | NS                    | –          | NS                    | –                |
| M162W   | NSS            | 20/19                 | NS                    | 19.0      | INC**                 | 22.2       | INC***                | 11.0             |
| Mo17    | NSS            | 29/25                 | INC***                | 134.2     | INC***                | 9.0        | INC***                | 11.0             |
| MS71    | NSS            | 31/31                 | INC*                  | 41.8      | INC***                | 16.4       | INC**                 | 5.6              |
| Oh43    | NSS            | 30/30                 | INC***                | 105.0     | INC***                | 18.5       | INC***                | 20.9             |
| W22     | NSS            | 32/31                 | INC***                | 77.3      | INC***                | 16.4       | INC**                 | 5.6              |
| HP301   | Popcorn        | 18/26                 | INC***                | 87.8      | NS                    | –          | INC*                  | 11.6             |
| II14H   | Sweet          | 28/28                 | INC***                | 43.2      | NS                    | –          | INC***                | 15.8             |
| P39     | Sweet          | 24/30                 | NS                    | –         | INC*                  | 17.4       | INC**                 | 17.0             |
| M37W    | Mixed          | 27/30                 | INC*                  | 47.7      | INC*                  | 5.6        | NS                    | –                |
| Mo18W   | Mixed          | 17/17                 | INC***                | 109.8     | NS                    | –          | NS                    | –                |
| Oh7B    | Mixed          | 31/31                 | INC***                | 117.8     | INC***                | 15.7       | INC***                | 25.7             |
| Tx303   | Mixed          | 29/31                 | INC**                 | 68.1      | NS                    | –          | INC**                 | 8.8              |
| CML103  | TS             | 24/28                 | INC*                  | 162.3     | INC**                 | 13.1       | NS                    | –                |
| CML228  | TS             | 30/32                 | INC*                  | 160.9     | INC***                | 14.1       | INC***                | 20.2             |
| CML247  | TS             | 31/28                 | NS                    | –         | INC***                | 21.7       | INC***                | 19.5             |
| CML277  | TS             | 30/26                 | NS                    | –         | INC***                | 14.8       | INC***                | 18.3             |
| CML322  | TS             | 26/19                 | NS                    | –         | INC*                  | 17.4       | INC***                | 20.2             |
| CML333  | TS             | 30/27                 | NS                    | –         | INC*                  | 18.1       | NS                    | –                |
| CML52   | TS             | 32/32                 | NS                    | –         | INC***                | 19.1       | INC***                | 18.3             |
| CML69   | TS             | 23/20                 | NS                    | –         | INC***                | 15.8       | INC***                | 15.6             |
| Ki11    | TS             | 31/32                 | NS                    | –         | NS                    | –          | NS                    | –                |
| Ki3     | TS             | 27/23                 | NS                    | –         | INC***                | 33.3       | INC***                | 21.7             |
| NC350   | TS             | 31/32                 | INC*                  | 87.1      | INC***                | 18.3       | INC***                | 22.4             |
| NC358   | TS             | 32/28                 | INC***                | 64.4      | INC***                | 18.3       | INC*                  | 7.2              |
| Tzi8    | TS             | 12/17                 | NS                    | –         | NS                    | –          | NS                    | –                |

Relative to the W control (EOD-FR response ratio; Table I). Most of the TS lines did not display a significant response for mesocotyl elongation, such that the TS lines as a group were significantly different from all others in mesocotyl behavior ($\chi^2 = 9.69, P = 0.002$). The non-TS lines have on average 29% and 30% Southern Dent and Northern Flint landrace backgrounds, respectively, versus 13% and 6% for TS lines (Liu et al., 2003). Contrary to the general tendency, mesocotyl tissues of only four TS lines (CML103, CML228, NC350, and NC358) responded significantly ($P < 0.05$) to EOD-FR. Interestingly, these four inbreds have a higher proportion of Southern Dent (averaging 23%) relative to the other TS lines of the panel (averaging 0.9%; Student’s t = 3.48, P = 0.02). No such distinction within the TS lines exists for the contribution of the three other historic landrace groupings considered: Tropical Lowland, Tropical Highland, and Northern Flint (Liu et al., 2003). It is worth noting that the photoperiodic regulation of flowering associated with several tropical accessions was attenuated by introgression with temperate germplasm to facilitate their study under long-day seasons (Holland and Goodman, 1995). Thus, selection for early flowering may have accompanied selection for mesocotyl sensitivity to low R:FR. However, no such distinction based on origin could be made for coleoptile or first leaf sheath EOD-FR response ratios, suggesting that only the mesocotyl tissues of TS accessions are less sensitive to low R:FR signals, while coleoptile and first leaf sheath tissues respond in TS as well as non-TS lines. Among the three seedling traits, only coleoptile and first leaf sheath EOD-FR response ratios were weakly correlated ($r = 0.53$), indicating that a tissue-specific control of EOD-FR-mediated responses occurs in the seedling.

To further assess the consequences of domestication and breeding selection on FR-mediated responses, the maize ancestor teosinte (Doebley et al., 2006) and a commercial hybrid (34P88) were also grown under EOD-FR. In both cases, the mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath were all significantly responsive to EOD-FR (Supplemental Fig. S3). Teosinte EOD-FR elongation ratios were 65%, 17%, and 14% for the mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath, respectively, while the hybrid ratios were 128%, 16%, and 16%, respectively. In both cases, these results are within the range observed in the inbred diversity.
The Genetic Control of EOD-FR-Mediated Elongation Responses

The survey of a diverse panel of inbred accessions identified a range of EOD-FR-mediated elongation responses. It also revealed significant variation between B73 and Mo17, the two parents of the IBM mapping population (Lee et al., 2002). In order to map the genetic components regulating EOD-FR responses, the IBM population was screened under W control and EOD-FR treatments. Seedling traits analyzed were mesocotyl and first leaf sheath length under W control and EOD-FR treatments as well as EOD-FR response ratios. The distribution of mesocotyl and first leaf sheath lengths and corresponding EOD-FR response ratios were all symmetrical and unimodal (Supplemental Fig. S4); thus, no transformation of the data was made prior to QTL analysis. Transgressive segregation in the IBM population was observed for all traits measured (Supplemental Fig. S4). Broad-sense heritability (H²) of the mesocotyl length was 0.80 for W control and 0.87 for EOD-FR, while first leaf sheath length heritability was 0.89 for W control and 0.90 for EOD-FR. EOD-FR response ratio H² was lower, 0.52 for the mesocotyl and 0.65 for the first leaf sheath.

A total of 16 QTLs were identified among all traits analyzed. Plots of the composite interval mapping are presented in Figure 2 and summarized in Table II. Only two independent QTLs were identified for mesocotyl and first leaf sheath EOD-FR response ratios. Interestingly, these two QTLs were also detected as EOD-FR elongation QTLs for the corresponding seedling trait (Fig. 2). The mesocotyl QTL specific to both EOD-FR elongation and EOD-FR response ratio is located on chromosome 9 (bin 9.03), while the first leaf sheath QTL is located on chromosome 4 (bin 4.09). For both QTLs, the Mo17 allele is responsible for the enhanced response to EOD-FR. These results are consistent with the diversity inbred panel survey (Table I), which also identified Mo17 as having a greater responsiveness to EOD-FR than B73. Furthermore, when all QTLs were considered together, a majority of the alleles conferring enhanced EOD-FR responsiveness were from Mo17 (11 out of 16; Table II).

To examine in more detail the contribution of QTLs in bins 4.09 and 9.03, a series of teosinte-maize near-isogenic lines (NILs) was used to evaluate their EOD-FR responsiveness. These lines carry small introgressed regions of the teosinte genome in the B73 inbred background. A NIL containing a teosinte introgression corresponding to bin 4.09 did not show enhanced EOD-FR responsiveness relative to the B73 parent and was not characterized further (data not shown). Two additional NILs were also characterized. The NIL Z033E0026 carries a teosinte introgression of approximately 100 Mb of chromosome 9 (18.6–118.5 Mb, between markers PZA00860 to PZA01819), which spans the QTL interval within bin 9.03 (between 86 and 96 Mb). This NIL also carries two small introgressions at the end of chromosomes 1 (2 Mb, PHM9807 to PZA00243) and 4 (6 Mb, PHM5599 to PZA00282). The NIL Z31E0512 contains a single teosinte introgression on the short arm of chromosome 9 (12.2 Mb, PHM3925 to PZA00466), and this interval does not overlap with the bin 9.03 QTL interval. Thus, Z31E0512 was selected as a control for the evaluation of Z033E0026. Neither of the introgressions found in the NILs used contain the candidate gene PhyB2, which is found at 130.6 Mb on chromosome 9 (Fig. 2). These two lines and the B73 parent were grown under EOD-FR, and EOD-FR response ratios were measured (Supplemental Fig. S5). Line Z033E0026 displayed significantly higher EOD-FR response ratios than the control line for all three of the measured traits. This was surprising, as the maize QTL at 9.03 regulated mesocotyl and not first leaf sheath elongation. The mesocotyl and coleoptile lengths of Z033E0026 were also longer than B73 in both W control and EOD-FR treatments. These results suggest that the QTL that displays a tissue-specific response in the IBM population may be broader in its effect on seedling development. Line Z033E0026 has been crossed with B73 to dissociate the two nontarget introgressions on chromosomes 1 and 4 and to initiate fine-mapping of the 9.03 EOD-FR-responsive QTL.

**PhyB1 and PhyB2 Are Largely Redundant in Mediating EOD-FR Responses**

In maize, only three light-signaling mutants have been described: elm1 is a weak allele encoding phytochromobilin synthase (Sawers et al., 2004), phyB1 is a Mutator (Mu) insertion loss-of-function allele, and phyB2 is the naturally occurring deletion allele found in the Northern Flint inbred France 2 (Sheehan et al., 2007). A second loss-of-function allele of phyB2, carrying a Mu insertion (May et al., 2003), was identified during the course of these studies. The elm1, phyB1-Mu, and phyB2-Mu alleles were backcrossed multiple generations into the B73 and W22 inbreds to generate a single and double mutant series. Introgressions into an inbred line facilitate detailed phenotypic comparisons between NILs. The use of more than one background allows the evaluation of trans-acting genetic modifiers on a mutant phenotype (Neuffer et al., 1997). Seedling phenotypes of the phyB series along with its recurrent parent are presented for both B73 and W22 (Supplemental Fig. S6). Ten days after sowing, the third leaf blade had fully emerged in the B73 seedlings. However, in the W22 background, this leaf blade was only visible in the wild type under both light treatments and for the two single phyB mutants under W control treatments. The development of the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant in W22 also was delayed relative to B73.

EOD-FR response ratios for mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath are presented for B73 (Fig. 3A) and W22 (Fig. 3B) introgressions. These data show that the
responsiveness to EOD-FR is almost completely attenuated in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant. However, a small response can still be detected in the mesocotyl (Supplemental Fig. S7). Each of the single mutants and wild-type lines was responsive to EOD-FR. However, significant differences in EOD-FR response ratios exist between phyB1 and phyB2 single mutants, especially for the mesocotyl. These results suggest that PhyB1 and PhyB2 are redundant in mediating EOD-FR responses in the seedling, but with a predominant role for PhyB1 over PhyB2. This is consistent with previous studies of phyB1 and phyB2 mutants, which show that PhyB1 has a greater influence on mesocotyl elongation under W and R than PhyB2 (Sheehan et al., 2007). The mesocotyl response to EOD-FR of the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant demonstrates that other photoreceptors also control, to a lesser degree, FR-mediated elongation.

The response to EOD-FR was also examined in the phytochromobilin-deficient elm1 mutant (Supplemental Fig. S7). The elm1 mutant is homologous to the Arabidopsis hy2 mutant, and both condition a pale-green, elongated-seedling phenotype (Kohchi et al., 2001; Sawers et al., 2002). In the B73 introgressions, the mesocotyl of elm1 seedlings was longer than in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant but nonresponsive to EOD-FR. The length of the coleoptile was not significantly different from the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant under W but significantly longer under EOD-FR. The first leaf sheath of elm1 was longer than in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant under both light treatments and was also responsive to EOD-FR. In the W22 introgressions, the elm1 mutant mesocotyl was responsive to the EOD-FR, the coleoptile was nonresponsive, while the first leaf sheath was shorter following EOD-FR treatments than when grown under W only. The reduction in the apparent length of the first leaf sheath under EOD-FR may be a consequence of the slightly greater extension of the mesocotyl, resulting in fewer resources committed to sheath tissues. In both inbred backgrounds, reduced but detectable EOD-FR responses may be attributed to the presence of low levels of PHYB1 and PHYB2, as the elm1 allele is not a complete loss-of-function mutation (Sawers et al., 2004).
Table II. Significant QTLs identified by composite interval analysis for each trait

For each QTL detected, the following are listed: the logarithm of the odds (LOD), the parent donor allele, the IBM version 1 (centimorgan [cM]) interval distance at threshold α = 0.05, the location of the maximum LOD score (peak), the marker closest to the peak location, the chromosomal bin location, the r² value at the peak, and an ANOVA model summary including the adjusted r² for each trait, the F test score, and its associated P value. r² represents the proportion of phenotypic variation explained by a QTL, and adjusted r² represents the percentage of the phenotypic variation explained by all the significant QTLs detected for each trait.

| Trait                  | LOD  | Donor Allele | Interval   | Peak Position | Marker at Peak | Bin   | r² at Peak | Model Summary |
|------------------------|------|--------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------|------------|---------------|
| Mesocotyl Control      | 4.29 | B73          | 244–253    | 250.8         | umc191         | 4.04  | 8.0        |               |
|                        | 4.12 | Mo17         | 582–589    | 584.3         | mmp175         | 5.08  | 7.8        |               |
| EOD-FR Control         | 4.78 | B73          | 12–34      | 28.0          | php20568b      | 2.01  | 7.2        |               |
|                        | 4.84 | Mo17         | 473–496    | 483.4         | umc1404        | 3.07  | 6.0        |               |
|                        | 4.39 | Mo17         | 0–5        | 0.0           | np220a         | 8.01  | 6.1        |               |
|                        | 5.52 | Mo17         | 226–236    | 233.5         | umc1921        | 9.03  | 6.8        |               |
| EOD-FR response ratio  | 8.87 | Mo17         | 222–236    | 233.1         | umc20          | 9.03  | 14.0       |               |
| First leaf sheath      | 5.74 | B73          | 0–23       | 6.0           | isu53a         | 2.01  | 15.9       | 23.9          |
|                        | 4.68 | Mo17         | 369–402    | 381.4         | umc60          | 3.06  | 7.5        |               |
|                        | 4.69 | Mo17         | 214–222    | 219.8         | mmp2           | 9.03  | 6.4        |               |
| EOD-FR response ratio  | 4.70 | B73          | 0–19       | 12.0          | isu144a        | 2.01  | 15.2       | 30.2          |
|                        | 7.47 | Mo17         | 367–402    | 379.4         | umc60          | 3.06  | 13.2       |               |
|                        | 5.92 | Mo17         | 161–185    | 179.4         | umc1902        | 4.03  | 8.7        |               |
|                        | 5.80 | Mo17         | 498–523    | 511.6         | umc2135        | 4.09  | 6.9        |               |
|                        | 5.55 | B73          | 0–7        | 2.7           | csu582         | 7.00  | 6.7        |               |
| EOD-FR response ratio  | 4.31 | Mo17         | 534–552    | 545.3         | umc1999        | 4.09  | 11.0       | 4.9           |

Tissue-Specific Regulation of EOD-FR Responses by GA

The role of GA in the downstream transduction of FR signaling was investigated by pharmacological treatments using synthetic GA₃ and the GA biosynthesis inhibitor paclobutrazol (PBZ). The effectiveness of a 50 μg mL⁻¹ PBZ seed imbibition treatment (Pinheiro et al., 1997) was confirmed in wild-type W22 and elm1 mutant seedlings and through comparisons with a mock-treated dwarf1 (d1) mutant (Emerson, 1912). The d1 mutation has not been defined molecularly, but the homoygous mutant is impaired in the conversion of GA₂₀ to GA₇, GA₂₀ to GA₁₉, and GA₃ to GA₃ (Spray et al., 1996). The d1 mutant can be restored to a stature similar to the wild type by exogenous application of GA₃. PBZ-treated seedlings had thicker and greener tissues, characteristic features of dwarf mutants (Neuffer et al., 1997). Both wild-type W22 and elm1 PBZ-treated seedlings were phenotypically similar to d1 (Supplemental Fig. S8). To establish whether exogenous GA₃ would enhance seedling EOD-FR responses, seedlings were treated with 50 μM GA₃ (Ogawa et al., 1999) by daily soil drench. Morphological responses to PBZ and GA₃ treatments are presented in Supplemental Figure S8. GA₃ caused exaggerated elongation of the mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath and a delay in leaf blade emergence. Leaf blades were paler, narrower, and hyponastic, responses similar to the ones caused by EOD-FR.

To further investigate the role of GA in EOD-FR responses, we examined the effects of EOD-FR when combined with GA₃ and PBZ treatments in the B73 wild type and the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant (Fig. 4A). Wild-type mesocotyl, coleoptile, and first leaf sheath tissues were all significantly longer in GA₃-treated seedlings relative to a mock treatment, and all responded to EOD-FR despite significant elongation responses induced by GA₃. Thus, at this concentration of GA₇, EOD-FR-mediated responses are not saturated by GA₃ treatments. In the wild type, PBZ treatment repressed mesocotyl elongation under both light treatments, indicating that GA is required for the elongation of mesocotyl tissues. The coleoptile and first leaf sheath responded to EOD-FR following PBZ treatment. As seedling tissues were capable of responding to EOD-FR following PBZ treatments, other growth-stimulating factors (such as auxins) likely contribute to EOD-FR responses. These results also suggest that GA plays a predominant role in mediating FR-induced mesocotyl elongation relative to coleoptile and sheath tissues.

The phyB1 phyB2 double mutant was also evaluated for its response to GA₃ and PBZ (Fig. 4A). While double mutants failed to respond to EOD-FR, they responded to EOD-FR following GA₃ applications. This response was observed for mesocotyl and coleoptile tissues but not first leaf sheath tissue. This suggests that in addition to PHYB-mediated EOD-FR...
responses, PHYA and/or PHYC may contribute to the EOD-FR response when GA₃ is not limiting. PBZ treatments inhibited responsiveness of the double mutants to EOD-FR, but all tissues were significantly taller than wild-type PBZ-treated seedlings. These results suggest that the double mutants are either more responsive to endogenous GA or produce more active GA than the wild type. Furthermore, GA regulation is tissue specific, with the greatest effect in mesocotyl tissues.

Chilling Temperatures Applied during Dark Breaks Modulate EOD-FR Responses

To investigate the effect of temperature on EOD-FR response, growth temperature was alternated between 28°C during the photoperiod and a chilling temperature of 10°C during dark breaks. This chill treatment was made in combination with EOD-FR, GA₃, and PBZ treatments. Growth chamber temperature was reduced only during dark breaks to simulate the broad daily fluctuations that can take place in early field season under temperate climates. To ensure robust germination, maize seeds were imbibed in soil at a constant temperature of 28°C for 2 d prior to the first chill treatment. Coleoptiles had not emerged from the soil at this time. The duration of the experiment under chill treatments was extended to 20 d to allow sufficient development of the seedlings prior to measurements.

The chill treatment attenuated the EOD-FR response in wild-type B73 for all three traits measured (mock treatment; Fig. 4B). Coleoptiles and first leaf sheaths in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant were nonresponsive to EOD-FR. However, mesocotyl tissues were significantly shorter following EOD-FR treatments in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutants. In addition, seedlings were more similar in appearance to the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S9) than when grown at constant 28°C. Surprisingly, both GA and PBZ treatments enhanced the effect of EOD-FR in phyB1 phyB2 seedlings.
resulting in less elongation following EOD-FR treatments. These results suggest a complex interplay between temperature and GA-mediated elongation. They also suggest a role for PhyA or PhyC in the regulation of EOD-FR response under low temperatures in the absence of PhyB.

**FR-Mediated Regulation of ABA Levels in the Mesocotyl**

Transient exposure to cold is associated with increased levels of ABA (Penfield, 2008), and in Arabidopsis, PhyB was identified as the primary light receptor for the activation of cold-dependent light signaling (Kim et al., 2002). To evaluate the role of ABA in modulating maize seedling development in response to transient chilling temperatures and EOD-FR, mesocotyl and leaf blade tissues of the B73 wild type and phyB1 phyB2 double mutants were harvested at subjective dawn and ABA content was measured. All tissues were harvested within 30 min from the beginning of the photoperiod. ABA content was assayed by indirect ELISA (see “Materials and Methods”). At constant 28°C, EOD-FR reduced ABA levels approximately 50% ($P = 0.09$) in wild-type B73 seedlings (Fig. 5A). When a chill treatment was applied during dark breaks, ABA levels in the wild type remained at similar levels to seedlings grown in W at 28°C (Fig. 5A). The EOD-FR treatment of phyB1 phyB2 double mutants caused a 50% reduction in mesocotyl levels of ABA ($P = 0.02$) at 28°C but had little effect with chill treatments, suggesting a role for PhyA or PhyC in regulating ABA levels in the mesocotyl at 28°C in addition to contributing to elongation under chill treatments, as discussed above. In contrast to mesocotyl tissues, ABA levels in the leaf blades (Fig. 5B) of both the wild type and phyB1 phyB2 double mutants appeared to increase in response to chilling but failed to respond to EOD-FR. In summary, these results reveal a temperature-dependent and PHYB-independent pathway that regulates ABA levels in response to EOD-FR treatments.

**DISCUSSION**

Early detection of FR reflected by surrounding vegetation is an important factor influencing plant development (Ballare et al., 1990; Rajcan et al., 2004). To examine this process in maize, a crop grown at high planting density, we developed an EOD-FR assay that mimics several developmental responses caused by low R:FR as described previously (Fankhauser and Casal, 2004). The light treatment offers the benefit of using a uniform controlled environment to simultaneously conduct screens on large numbers of seedlings and minimizes environmental differences between control and treated plants, as only a 15-min pulse of daily FR differentiates the treatments. Previous studies have shown that coleoptile and mesocotyl tissues are responsive to EOD-FR through a LFR mode of PHY action (Gorton and Briggs, 1980). Here, we show that elongation responses, triggered by the EOD-FR treatment, are completely reversible through a PHYB-mediated LFR and validated on two different inbred lines. The two maize paralogs PHYB1 and PHYB2 are largely redundant in mediating the elongation responses of all three seedling tissues measured, likely as a result of similar structural characteristics and expression profiles (Sheehan et al., 2004).

Natural variation has been used to define components of the light signal transduction pathway in Arabidopsis and demonstrated the role of variation at phytochromes and cryptochromes in mediating light response (Maloof et al., 2001; Wolyn et al., 2004). Surveys of Arabidopsis accessions exposed to low R:FR revealed wide variations in hypocotyl elongation and flowering time, but no correlations were observed between the two traits (Botto and Smith, 2002). To explore the variation in responses to EOD-FR in maize, we evaluated a genetically diverse panel of inbred lines largely composed of the founders of the NAM population (McMullen et al., 2009). A wide range of responses to EOD-FR was observed for all three tissues measured, suggesting that genetic variation is present in the panel. For mesocotyl, an associ-
ation between EOD-FR responsiveness and population structure was established, as TS lines were the least responsive to EOD-FR. A previous study demonstrated that TS seedlings were more photomorphogenic and displayed less mesocotyl elongation upon light exposure (Markelz et al., 2003). Thus, it appears that mesocotyl tissues are highly responsive to R and W in TS, but EOD-FR responses have been partially attenuated. Robust EOD-FR responses of mesocotyl tissues were observed for teosinte and a commercial hybrid, suggesting that EOD-FR responses may have fitness benefits under both natural and artificial selection.

To gain a better understanding of the genetic architecture of EOD-FR and the loci underlying its control, the IBM mapping population (Lee et al., 2002) was screened for responses to EOD-FR. This population has been used to map QTLs for cell wall composition, flowering time, and resistance to southern leaf blight (Hazen et al., 2003; Balint-Kurti et al., 2007). In our analysis, none of the 16 QTLs identified mapped to (Hazen et al., 2003; Balint-Kurti et al., 2007) and screened for responses to EOD-FR. This population the IBM mapping population (Lee et al., 2002) was investigated where the peaks coincided with two QTLs for EOD-FR elongation ratio were identified in the analysis, where the peaks coincided with two QTLs specific to EOD-FR but not to elongation in W. Unfortunately, the low resolution of the mapping population precludes speculation on candidate genes in these intervals. However, the heritability of the mesocotyl and first leaf sheath traits mapped for EOD-FR are both high (0.87 and 0.90, respectively), which should greatly facilitate the fine-mapping effort that is currently under way. The inability to capture the majority of the QTLs that were found for elongation in EOD-FR as response ratio QTLs suggests that many of these QTLs mediate elongation independent of FR signaling. Alternatively, the lower heritability inherent to response ratios between two light treatments results in less power in detection and precludes the mapping of small-effect QTLs.

A teosinte × maize NIL was used to further investigate the QTL located within bin 9.03. This QTL was first identified in the IBM population as specific to mesocotyl elongation, but the teosinte introgression also revealed that the same or linked region may also affect coleoptile and first leaf sheath. This result suggests that the tissue-specific nature of the QTL may also be influenced by genetic variation segregating in mapping populations. At present, it is unclear if QTLs that affect elongation responses to EOD-FR in seedlings also exert control of leaf growth and canopy morphology in later stages of plant growth. A study using a B73 × Mo17 recombinant inbred line (RIL) planted at two different densities identified several QTLs associated with traits affecting light penetration in the canopy (Mickelson et al., 2002). Two of these QTLs, one for tassel branch number and the other for leaf angle, are located in close proximity to the bin 4.09 QTL that controls first leaf sheath EOD-FR elongation and response ratio. A similar study, also using a B73 × Mo17 RIL population, identified QTLs associated with mature plant height in regions overlapping the 4.09 and 9.03 seedling QTLs. As in our study, it was the Mo17 parent that contributed the responsive allele (Gonzalo et al., 2010). In a separate study, the density response of several Tx303 × B73 segmental introgression lines was evaluated (Gonzalo et al., 2006) and several density-dependent QTLs were identified, one of which mapped to 4.03, a location where we identified a QTL for first leaf sheath elongation under EOD-FR. Further investigations should help reveal if EOD-FR responses in the seedling can be used as a proxy for high-density responses. If so, the colocation of QTLs across these studies suggests some pleiotropic regulation of the R/FR signaling throughout development.

Characterization of phyB single and double mutants revealed the primary role of PhyB in regulating responses to EOD-FR. However, as was observed for seedling responses to R and W (Sheehan et al., 2007), PhyB1 plays a more prominent role in regulating the response. Furthermore, introgressions into two different inbreds revealed distinct genetic modifiers in B73 and W22. The elm1 mutant, which is a weak allele (Sawers et al., 2004), is still responsive to EOD-FR,
likely because of the presence of low levels of PhyB1 and PhyB2. It is also interesting that roles for PhyA or PhyC in EOD-FR response were revealed only in the double mutants. These included an EOD-FR response to chill treatments and the suppression of ABA accumulation following EOD-FR treatments. It is likely that in wild-type plants, these roles for PHYA and PHYC are largely masked by PhyB. However, under conditions where PHYB is inactivated (e.g. FR light treatments) or in accesions with nonfunctional alleles of PhyB (e.g. France 2), PhyA or PhyC may play a more important role in regulating responses to FR signals.

The role of GA in mediating the elongation response to EOD-FR was investigated using GA3 and PBZ. Previous studies demonstrated that GA3 combined with EOD-FR was investigated using GA3 and PBZ. Important role in regulating responses to FR signals. PhyB2

It is also interesting that roles for PhyA and PhyC in EOD-FR response were revealed only in the double mutants. These included an EOD-FR response to chill treatments and the suppression of ABA accumulation following EOD-FR treatments. It is likely that in wild-type plants, these roles for PHYA and PHYC are largely masked by PhyB. However, under conditions where PHYB is inactivated (e.g. FR light treatments) or in accesions with nonfunctional alleles of PhyB (e.g. France 2), PhyA or PhyC may play a more important role in regulating responses to FR signals.

The role of GA in mediating the elongation response to EOD-FR was investigated using GA3 and PBZ. Previous studies demonstrated that GA3 combined with EOD-FR had a synergistic effect on elongation in Phaseolus vulgaris (Downs et al., 1957) and on the induction of flowering in sorghum (Williams and Morgan, 1979). The accumulation profile of bioactive GAs is disrupted in the sorghum phyB mutant, and biosynthesis inhibitors can reduce shoot elongation in both the wild type and the phyB mutant (Lee et al., 1998). The responses observed in this report were consistent with the possibility that there are additive signaling pathways for EOD-FR response, one with GA involvement and the other independent of GA. Additional hormones such as ABA, auxin, and ethylene are also likely to be involved in these responses (Vandenbussche et al., 2005). GA-stimulated elongation was greater in the mesocotyl than in either the coleoptile or first leaf sheath, as elongation responses were completely suppressed in PBZ-treated wild type mesocotyl. It is possible that the endogenous GA profiles are also altered in the phyB1 phyB2 double mutant. The tissue-specific control of EOD-FR response by different hormones is likely to complicate the analysis of mutant phenotypes, particularly when whole seedlings are used for molecular studies. Our results suggest that the responses in mesocotyl may be distinct from leaf blade or sheath tissues and warrant a fine-grain (e.g. tissue-specific) analysis of the molecular signaling networks.

The effect of a chilling temperature during dark breaks on EOD-FR-induced responses was also investigated. A previous study identified European Flint and Highland Tropical lines as having a greater chilling tolerance than Corn Belt Dent material (Leipner and Stamp, 2009), and chilling-tolerant maize genotypes accumulate higher amounts of ABA during cold weather periods (Janowiak et al., 2003). Chilling temperatures are also associated with prolonged cell cycle progression and reduced cell production in maize leaves (Rymen et al., 2007). Thus, there is evidence for both genetic variation and a molecular mechanism for cold tolerance in maize. In Arabidopsis, there is also good evidence supporting a role for phytochromes in regulating responses to cold stress that involve ABA signaling (Franklin and Whitelam, 2007a). Previous studies in maize and Arabidopsis have shown an antagonism of ABA and GA signaling in the regulation of seed germination (White and Rivin, 2000; Seo et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2007; Sawada et al., 2008). In Arabidopsis, phytochromes can modulate endogenous levels of both GA and ABA (Seo et al., 2009), and we have shown here that ABA levels in mesocotyl tissues are negatively regulated by EOD-FR. R promotes Arabidopsis germination through the activation of genes that encode GA biosynthetic enzymes and the repression of genes involved in GA catabolism. R also induces the expression of genes required for the repression of ABA biosynthesis, whereas FR promotes ABA accumulation (Seo et al., 2006). Here, our data suggest a similar antagonism between ABA and GA signaling, but the control of ABA and GA levels is likely mediated through an alternative mode of phytochrome control. That is, R is likely to repress GA accumulation in the mesocotyl and result in increased levels of ABA. In the absence of PHYB, seedlings displayed an increased sensitivity to chilling, resulting in less elongation following FR treatments. This response is likely mediated by PHYA acting to increase ABA levels. Further studies, however, will be necessary to characterize these interactions in detail that are likely to be mediated in part through transcriptional changes (Seo et al., 2009).

A model integrating these data is presented in Figure 6. In this model, both ABA and GA contribute to mesocotyl elongation, but the contribution of each is modulated by temperature. Under low temperatures, ABA signaling predominates, and under constant temperatures, GA signaling through PHYB predominates. This fine-tuning of mesocotyl elongation by temperature through hormone regulation is likely an important component of seedling emergence in temperate environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

Inbred maize (Zea mays subspecies mays) lines used in these experiments include B73, B97, CML52, CML69, CML103, CML288, CML247, CML277, CML322, CML333, HP301, 114H, K3, Ki11, Ky21, M162W, M37W, Mo17, Mo18W, M571, NC350, NC358, Oh43, Oh7B, P99, Tx303, Tz28, W22, and the hybrid 34P88 (Pioneer Hi-Bred). The phyB1 (phyB1-563::Mu) allele carries a Mu insertion and was identified from the Pioneer Trait Utility System for Corn collection (Bensen et al., 1995; Sheehan et al., 2007). The phyB2 (phyB2-12058::Mu) allele also carries a Mu insertion and was identified from the Cold Spring Harbor Maize Targeted Mutagenesis (MTM) collection (May et al., 2003). The phyB1 and phyB2 loss-of-function alleles were introgressed into B73 (backcrossed four times for the EOD-FR phyB mutant series analysis and three times for the remaining experiments, except for the GA3 and PBZ experiment at constant temperature, where, due to limited availability, the seeds used for the analysis were backcrossed only two times) and into W22 (backcrossed four times) inbred backgrounds. The elm1 mutant was initially identified in the W22 background (Sawers et al., 2002) and was also introgressed into the B73 background by backcrossing five times. The elm2 mutant was introgressed into the W22 background by backcrossing five times. A subset of 272 of the 302 IBM lines (Lee et al., 2002) was used in this analysis and corresponds to the lines capable of flowering in upstate New York. Teosinte (Zea mays subspecies parviglumis)-maize NILs were derived from crosses between teosinte and B73, followed by backcrossing to B73 four times. The BC4 plants were self-pollinated twice (BC4S2) and genotyped using 768 single-nucleotide polymorphism markers (www.panzea.org).
PCRs-Based Genotyping

The phyB1 and phyB2 alleles refer to phyB1-563::Mu and phyB2-12058::Mu loss-of-function alleles, respectively. All introgressions of the phyB1-563::Mu and phyB2-12058::Mu alleles and their corresponding wild-type alleles were confirmed using PCRs-based genotyping. A 950-bp fragment specific to the phyB1-563::Mu allele was amplified using the Mu-specific primer MuMTM (5'-GCCCTTACCTTGCAACTC-3') located in the transposon inverted terminal repeat and the phyB1-specific primer 563Mu-R1 (5'-CAATTCTGACTCCGAAGCTGAAC-3'). A 979-bp phyB1 wild-type allele fragment was amplified using the phyB1-specific primer 563Mu-NeR1 (5'-GAACCTGCGGTGAGATTCGGGTG3') and 563Mu-R1. Both phyB1-563::Mu and phyB2-12058::Mu alleles were amplified using these PCR conditions: 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 3 min. The presence of the phyB1-563::Mu allele was assayed using MuMTM and the phyB2-specific primer 12058-R3 (5'-AGTAGCTTTTCCGACTATATCATCAC-3'). Amplification conditions for the 1,450-bp fragment were 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 3 min. The presence of the phyB2 wild-type allele was assayed using the phyB2-specific primer 12058-Mu-Fi (5'-CGGCCTCCCTCCTCTGACTGCTG-3') and the phyB2 (non-homolog-specific) primer 12058-Mu-NeR2 (5'-CATGCTCCACAGCTGTTGCC-3'). Amplification conditions for the 357-bp fragment were 95°C for 3 min, followed by seven cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 67°C for 30 s (decreasing by 1°C at each subsequent cycle), and 72°C for 1.5 min, followed by 28 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 61°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1.5 min. Introgenesis of the elm1 allele were confirmed as described previously (Sawers et al., 2004). Genomic DNA was isolated from leaf tissue (Ahern et al., 2009), and DNA fragments were amplified using GoTag DNA polymerase according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Promega).

Growth Conditions and Light Treatments

All seeds were uniformly sown at a depth of 2 cm in germination trays with internal plastic cell dividers (6 cm × 6 cm) filled to the top with a soil mixture composed of 35% peat moss, 10% vermiculite, 35% baked clay, 10% sand, and 10% topsoil. The same planting density of four kernels per cell divider was used for all experiments. All kernel pedicles were oriented toward the bottom of the tray to improve emergence uniformity. All experiments were conducted using a complete random block design in a Conviron TC30 growth chamber. For each experiment, seeds were planted at the same time, and the randomization pattern was identical between the upper (treatment) and lower (control) sections of the growth chamber to minimize possible positional effects inside the chamber when comparing light treatments. Phenotypic data were collected using an electronic caliper (Fowler) with direct data entry to a computer. Seedlings trays awaiting measurements were kept at 4°C to halt growth. Four replicates were used for density experiments composed of 488 seedlings for W and 481 seedlings for EOD-FR (B73) or six replicates and 324 seedlings for the first leaf sheath were 18.0% (B73 control)/control and expressed as a percent- age. EOD-FR response ratios for the mesocotyl were 39.8% (B73 inbred) and 41.8% (Mo17 inbred), and those for the first leaf sheath were 18.0% (B73 inbred) and 25.9% (Mo17 inbred). H2 was estimated using a one-way ANOVA for each trait measured or derived. For mesocotyl and first leaf sheath elongation, all data were used for estimates of heritability. Heritability of the EOD-FR response ratios was estimated using the median values of each repetition. For estimates of primary trait heritability, effects included in the model were lines, repetitions, and the line × repetition interaction (the interaction was excluded for the EOD-FR response ratio estimates, as a median value was used for each repetition). The mean square value of the model (between-line variability) over the mean squares of both model and error (between-line and within-line variability) was used to estimate the heritability. QTL analysis was conducted using the composite interval-mapping module in the QTL Cartographer software version 2.5. The genotypic data used for the analysis consisted of 1,339 publicly available markers (www.maizegdb.org). Marker distances were based on the IBM version 1 map. Logarithm of the odds threshold significance level was set at α = 0.05 and calculated from 1,000 permutations.

Pharmacological Treatments and ABA Assay

PBBZ (Phytotechnology Laboratories) was dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide to a concentration of 50 mg mL−1 (170 ms), and seeds were imbibed for 18 h in a solution of 50 µg mL−1. PBBZ (Pinheiro et al., 1997) prior to planting. Seeds not treated with PBBZ were imbibed with a mock solution of 0.1% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide. GA3 (Phytotechnology Laboratories) was dissolved in 100% ethanol, and a dilution of 50 µl (0.1% [v/v]) ethanol. ABA content was assayed by indirect ELISA. Mesocotyl and leaf blade tissue samples were harvested within 0.5 h from the beginning of the photoperiod (daylight) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and then ground with a mortar and pestle. Three biological replicates were harvested, each consisting of pooled seedling tissues from eight seedlings. A powder aliquot was weighed and extracted three times with 80% (v/v) methanol; the extracts were pooled. Chromatographic separation and ABA determination purification were performed according to the procedure of Setter and Parra (2010). Briefly, compounds were separated with reverse-phase fast chromatography on columns packed with C18-silica material, and ABA was quantified by indirect ELISA with monoclonal antibody specific to (+)ABA (Agdia, Inc.).

Statistical Analysis

The EOD-FR screen of the IBM population was repeated four times, each time using four kernels per cell divider. For each light treatment, rate and seed quality were highly variable, a single median value was derived for each RIL from all four replicates to attenuate the effect of outliers. The traits analyzed included the four primary measurements: total mesocotyl length and first leaf sheath length for both control and EOD-FR treatments. Median values of mesocotyl of B73 in W was 17.14 mm and that in EOD-FR was 23.97 mm. Median length of mesocotyl of Mo17 in W was 12.94 mm and that in EOD-FR was 18.35 mm. Median values for first leaf sheath lengths for W control and EOD-FR were 49.76 and 58.72 mm (B73 inbred) and 49.31 and 62.09 mm (Mo17 inbred), respectively. Two additional traits were derived from these initial measurements, representing the elongation ratios of mesocotyl and first leaf sheath caused by the EOD-FR treatment relative to the W control. Defined as the EOD-FR response ratio, it was calculated for each line using the equation (EOD-FR/control) × 100. With the exception of the IBM screen, analyses were based on averages and their corresponding SE values. EOD-FR response ratios were also calculated using the median value of each light treatment. The se for each ratio was estimated using a bootstrap permutation analysis, where each of the two data sets used to calculate a EOD-FR response ratio were resampled 10,000
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