Mucosal SIV vaccines comprising inactivated virus particles and bacterial adjuvants induce CD8\(^+\) T-regulatory cells that suppress SIV-positive CD4\(^+\) T-cell activation and prevent SIV infection in the macaque model
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A new paradigm of mucosal vaccination against human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection has been investigated in the macaque model. A vaccine consisting of inactivated simian immunodeficiency virus (SIVmac239) particles together with a living bacterial adjuvant (either the Calmette and Guerin bacillus, *Lactobacillus plantarum* or *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*) was administered to macaques via the vaginal or oral/intragastric route. In contrast to all established human and veterinary vaccines, these three vaccine regimens did not elicit SIV-specific antibodies nor cytotoxic T-lymphocytes but induced a previously unrecognized population of non-cytolytic MHCI\(b/E\)-restricted CD8\(^+\) T-regulatory cells that suppressed the activation of SIV-positive CD4\(^+\) T-lymphocytes. SIV reverse transcription was thereby blocked in inactivated CD4\(^+\) T-cells; the initial burst of virus replication was prevented and the vaccinated macaques were protected from a challenge infection. For 3–14 months after intragastric immunization, 24 macaques were challenged intrarectally with a high dose of SIVmac239 or with the heterologous strain SIV B670 (both strains grown on macaques PBMC). Twenty-three of these animals were found to be protected for up to 48 months while all 24 control macaques became infected. This protective effect against SIV challenge together with the concomitant identification of a robust *ex vivo* correlate of protection suggests a new approach for developing an HIV vaccine in humans. The induction of this new class of CD8\(^+\) T-regulatory cells could also possibly be used therapeutically for suppressing HIV replication in infected patients and this novel tolerogenic vaccine paradigm may have potential applications for treating a wide range of immune disorders and is likely to may have profound implications across immunology generally.
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**INTRODUCTION**
Since the first vaccination against the smallpox virus by Edward Jenner in 1796, all efficient vaccines against a viral infection have been shown to elicit virus-specific neutralizing antibodies and sometimes also cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTL) that prevent virus infection or eradicate the virus rapidly after it enters the body (1). So far, however, this process seems not to work for the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 infection since, despite the tremendous advances in immunology and molecular biology accomplished since HIV discovery in 1983 (2), results of vaccine trials against HIV have indeed remained extremely poor (3). Only one trial out of more than one hundred showed a modest and short-lasting protection (4) while all the others did not induce any protective immunity (antibodies or CTL) against the virus including sadly the latest three randomized vaccine trials where more volunteers were infected in the vaccine arm than in the placebo arm (5–7); combining data from the three studies, there was an overall hazard ratio of 1.33 (*P* < 0.01) associated with vaccination (8).

For the last 30 years, our group tried to suppress HIV replication and fight HIV infection by pursuing several alternative immunological approaches. As early as 1986, we speculated that T4-cell activation (whether triggered by the virus, viral proteins, or by any other stimulus) was an absolute requirement for significant viral replication (9). This suspicion, which is now commonly accepted by scientists who study the pathogenesis of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) in macaques and of HIV in humans (8, 10, 11) suggested to us that it might be possible to inhibit virus replication by suppressing CD4\(^+\) T-cell activation (9). We tested the possibility that viral replication might be controlled by administering the immunosuppressive drugs cyclosporine or prednisolone to infected patients. Both drugs were found to stabilize or increase CD4\(^+\) T-cell counts in HIV-infected patients (12–15), probably by preventing activation-induced apoptosis of non-infected CD4\(^+\) T-cells (16), but they did not control HIV-1 replication.

Since it was not possible to control viral replication by systemic non-specific immune suppression, we then explored the capacity...
of dendritic cells loaded with \textit{ex vivo}-inactivated virus to stimulate HIV-specific cellular immunity (17, 18). Using this approach, we were able to demonstrate that a therapeutic vaccine based on inactivated HIV-loaded dendritic cells had a favorable impact on HIV replication (19), a finding that was recently confirmed in HIV-infected patients who had interrupted their antiviral therapy (20). However, we did not pursue this project because the preparation of dendritic cell-based vaccine was cumbersome and expensive and was incompatible with a large scale use.

Instead of activating dendritic cells by loading them \textit{ex vivo} with inactivated HIV, we then investigated possibility of developing a prophylactic anti-SIV vaccine by directly stimulating mucosal dendritic cells \textit{in vivo}. We describe here the attempts we made during the last 10 years to develop prophylactic mucosal vaccines using inactivated SIVmac239 (iSIV) adjuvanted by non-pathogenic living bacteria potentially able to cooperate with mucosal dendritic cells. These experiments were performed in macaques of Chinese origin, which best mimics HIV infection in humans (21, 22).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMALS**

Colonies bred rhesus macaques (\textit{Macaca mulatta}) of Chinese origin were housed in accordance with the regulations of the National Institutes of Health "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals." The committee for animal studies of the Tropical Medicine Research Center, Bangkok, Thailand approved the study and confirmed that it has been done appropriately. All animals were in good health, 2–4 years old, weighed 4–6 kg, and were seronegative for SIV, simian retrovirus, simian T-cells lymphotropic virus 1, hepatitis B virus, and Herpes virus simiae.

**VACCINE PREPARATION**

The vaccines used throughout this study were a mixture of two components: the iSIV and a bacterial adjuvant, which was either the Bacillus of Calmette & Guerin (BCG), the \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} (LP), or the \textit{Lactobacillus rhamnosus} (LR).

**Bacterial preparations**

Three bacterial preparations were used: the BCG, the LP, and the LR. The BCG (strain SSI 1331, Sanofi-Pasteur) was used at a final dose of 5 × 10^6 or 7.5 × 10^7 cfu for each (intravaginal or intragastrical) vaccination or boost. Each dose of vaccine was freshly prepared in 1 mL of RPMI. The LP (ATCC8014) was cultivated at 37°C in MRS medium with a rotation rate of 200 rpm until reaching a final LP concentration of around 10^{10} cfu/ml (i.e., an optical density of 1.0 at 600 nm). The LR (Lcr35, Probinov, 15000 Aurillac, France) was received in lyophilized form at a concentration of around 1 × 10^{11} cfu/g.

**Inactivated virus preparation**

The virus production was performed in CEM174 cells inoculated with SIVmac239 (see Lu et al. (23)). Culture supernatants were collected at peak viral production. In order to check what could be the simplest and safest inactivation system, we tested three different modalities: when associated with the BCG, the virus was inactivated with 250 µM aldrithiol-2 (AT-2) in the same manner as we did previously (18); when associated with LP, the virus was inactivated with AT-2 and then by heat (56°C for 30 min) [see Lu et al. (23)]; finally, when associated with LR, the virus was inactivated in the simplest as possible manner, by heat (56°C for 30 min) twice at 30 min-interval. The iSIV was inoculated to CEM174 cells to verify the 100% inhibition of viral infectivity.

**DELIVERY OF THE VACCINES**

Monkeys were anesthetized with tiletamine hydrochloride and intramuscular zolazepam (0.7 mg/kg) (after having fasted overnight for those which were immunized via the intragastric route).

**Intravaginal immunization with iSIV + BCG**

Monkeys were administered intravaginally 5 ml of a vaccine comprising 10^6 particles of iSIV and 5 × 10^7 cfu of BCG (strain SSI 1331). A booster intravaginal immunization with the same dose was applied 2 months later. Control animals remained naïve until challenge.

**Intragastric immunization with iSIV + BCG**

Monkeys after having fasted overnight were anesthetized. They were administrated intragastrically with 25 ml of PBS buffer and half an hour later with 5 ml of a viral–bacterial preparation containing 10^6 copies/ml of iSIV and 1.5 × 10^7 cfu/ml of BCG in maltodextrin (20%) solution. A booster intragastric immunization with the same dose was applied 2 months later. Control animals received the same doses of BCG alone according to the same protocol.

**Intragastric immunization with iSIV + LP or LR**

The macaques were administrated intragastrically 30 ml of a first preparation containing 4 × 10^7 copies/ml of iSIV and 3 × 10^9 cfu/ml of LP or LR in maltodextrin (20%) solution. Monkeys then received intragastrically 25 ml of the same preparation each 30 min for 3 h; the same protocol was performed over five consecutive days. Overall, each macaque received a total of 3.5 × 10^{10} copies of iSIV and 2.6 × 10^{12} cfu of LP or LR. Control animals received the same doses of LP alone, LR alone, or iSIV according to the same protocol.

Overall, 37 macaques were vaccinated by one of the above-mentioned protocols and 45 animals served as control.

**SIV Suppression Assay: \textit{Ex Vivo} Antiviral Activity of Vaccine-Induced CD8+ T-Cells**

Autologous CD4^+ T-cells from each animal purified by magnetic positive-labeling (MicroBeads, Miltenyi Biotech) were acutely infected with SIVmac239 (10^{-3} multiplicity of infection) in the presence or the absence of magnetically purified CD8^+ T-cells at a CD4/CD8 ratio of 1:3 and then stimulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) and anti-CD3/anti-CD28 antibodies for 16 h. After washing, the cells were cultivated in quadruplicates in 96-well plates. Cultures were maintained in a final volume of 200 µl per well of RPMI 1640 medium containing 100 IU of human rIL2 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) for 5 days. Viral loads were measured by a real-time RT-PCR in culture supernatants collected at day 5 [see Lu et al. (23)].\textit{Ex vivo
antiviral activity was the ratio of geometric means of viral concentration in the culture supernatants from the infected CD4<sup>+</sup> target cells only over the geometric means of viral concentration in the supernatants from the mixed CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells.

**VIRAL CHALLENGES**

For 3–14 months after the administration of the vaccine or the controls, vaccinated and control animals were inoculated intravenously with 50 MID<sub>100</sub> (200 TCID<sub>50</sub>) or intrarectally with 2,500 MID<sub>100</sub> (100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>) of pathogenic SIVmac239 (cultivated on macaques PBMC). Monkeys were rechallenged by intravenous or intrarectal route with the same high doses of infectious SIVmac239. Eight macaques were intrarectally rechallenged with 100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of pathogenic SIVB670 (a distinct strain of SIV provided by F. Villinger, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia). SIVB670 was propagated in vitro using macaque PBMC and the first passages of the original viral stocks were used for challenge.

**OTHER METHODS**

Details on other methods used in this study (MHC class I typing, assay for antibody responses to SIV, flow cytometry, SIV-specific cell proliferation assay, SIV-specific ELISPOT assay, T-cell suppression assay, T-cell cytotoxicity assay, viral loads measurements, depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells in vivo, and statistical analysis), see Section "Experimental Procedures" in Lu et al. (23).

**RESULTS**

**MUCOSAL VACCINATION WITH INACTIVATED SIV AND BCG**

We first tested a vaccine including iSIV as immunogen and the BCG as a bacterial adjuvant (24). We chose the BCG because it had been shown to interact with dendritic cells (25, 26) as well as to stimulate cellular immunity in some types of cancers (27, 28). Moreover, BCG had been given orally to several millions of people in Brazil until 1974 without serious side effects (29).

**Intravaginal immunization followed by intrarectal challenge**

Seven new macaques were then administered intravaginally the same vaccine with the same boosts while five controls animals remained vaccine-free. Four months later, the 12 animals were challenged via the intrarectal route with 100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of SIVmac239. The five control animals showed the same typical primary infection as described above. Moreover, three out of the seven vaccinated animals showed also a primary infection resembling the usual one but with viral RNA and DNA load set-points significantly lower than those observed in control animals. The new information was that four out of the seven vaccinated macaques remained with undetectable levels of plasma SIV RNA (<10 SIV RNA copies/ml) and PBMC proviral DNA (<1 SIV DNA copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC) over the 60 days post-challenge (Figures 1C,D).

**Protection against repeated intravenous or intrarectal challenges after intravaginal immunization**

Among the four animals with undetectable viral loads following intravenous challenge, three were tested for their long-term capacity to fight new SIV challenges. For 2 and 8 months after their initial intravenous challenge (i.e., 6 and 12 months after their immunization), these three monkeys received a second and a third intravenous challenge with the same dose of SIV239 (200 TCID<sub>50</sub>). After each of these viral challenges, similar low plasma RNA SIV peaks were observed at day 10 but by day 30, viral loads of all three macaques had dropped down to undetectable levels. For 21 and 27 months after initial vaccination, the three macaques were further challenged with SIVmac239, this time via the intrarectal route (100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>) and the three animals showed again no detectable plasma virus (<10 SIV RNA copies/ml) while their PBMC SIV DNA levels remained at the limit of positivity. Overall, viral replication of these three vaccinated macaques remained stably suppressed as long as we tested it (28 months) in spite of five SIV239 challenges (Figure 1E).

**Oral (intragastric) immunization followed by intrarectal challenges**

Four new macaques were then administered through a gastric tube 5 ml of a vaccine comprising iSIV and BCG. Vaccine administration was preceded and followed by the injection of 15 ml of 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate in the macaques gastric tube. Booster vaccinations with the same preparations were repeated at days 30 and 60. Four control animals received BCG alone according to the same protocol. An intrarectal viral challenge (100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of SIVmac239) was then given to the eight animals at day 90. The four control animals showed a typical primary infection with a plasma viral peak between days 10 and 14 post-challenge. In strong contrast, the four animals that received the vaccine were sterilely protected as shown by their plasma SIV RNA and PBMC SIV DNA loads, which remained undetectable from day 1 to 60 (Figures 1F,G).

In light of these unexpected mucosal vaccination successes, we tested the SIV-specific antibody and cellular responses of vaccinated macaques. Surprisingly again, SIV-specific antibodies responses in intravaginally or intragastrically vaccinated macaques were undetectable or extremely weak while all control monkeys raised anti-SIV antibodies and became seropositive. SIV
FIGURE 1 | Plasma viral loads and PBMC and lymph nodes proviral loads of rhesus macaques immunized with inactivated SIV and BCG. (A,B) Plasma viral loads (A) and PBMC proviral loads (B) following a challenge performed intravenously with SIVmac239 (200 TCID50) in six macaques intravaginally immunized with SIV and BCG and five control monkeys; (C,D) plasma viral loads (C) and PBMC proviral loads (D) following a challenge performed intrarectally with SIVmac239 (100,000 TCID50) in seven macaques intravaginally immunized with inactivated SIV and BCG; (E) Plasma viral loads of three macaques intravaginally immunized with inactivated SIV and BCG following three intravenous and two intrarectal SIVmac239 challenges; (FG) Plasma viral loads (F) and PBMC and lymph nodes proviral loads (G) following a challenge performed intrarectally with SIVmac239 (100,000 TCID50) in four macaques intragastrically immunized with inactivated SIV and BCG and four control monkeys immunized with inactivated BCG alone (cts: control, vacs: vaccinated, LN: lymph nodes).
Gag p27-specific interferon γ-releasing T-cell responses were also undetectable by ELISPOT in vaccinated macaques (data not shown).

**Ex vivo antiviral activity of CD8+ T-lymphocytes in vaccinated macaques**

This surprising immunovirological picture, characterized by a sterile immunity after intrarectal challenge, or complete virus replication control after intravenous challenge in the majority of mucosally vaccinated animals, in the absence of SIV-specific humoral and cellular immune responses, prompted us to examine whether SIV-specific non-conventional cellular responses could exist. For that purpose, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were purified from fresh PBMC of vaccinated or control animals. CD4+ T-cells were then acutely infected with SIVmac239 and CD4+ T-cells and CD4+ plus CD8+ T-cells were stimulated overnight with SEB plus anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies and cultivated for 5 days. The antiviral activity of the CD8+ T-cells was expressed as the ratio of SIV RNA concentration in the supernatants of SIV-infected CD4+ T-cell cultures in the absence of CD8+ T-cells over the SIV RNA concentration in corresponding cultures in the presence of CD8+ T-cells [for details, see "Method" in Ref. (23)]. Results of these assays showed that CD8+ T-cells from the four out of seven intravaginally vaccinated animals that were shown later to be protected against intrarectal challenge, showed a strong antiviral activity (ratio over 100), whereas CD8+ T-cells from the three animals, which were later shown not to be protected against SIV infection as well as CD8+ T-cells from those which were not vaccinated had baseline levels of antiviral activity (ratio <50) (**Figure 2A**). Similarly, in the four orally vaccinated macaques, which were all found to be protected from an intrarectal challenge some weeks later, the antiviral activity was over 100 (**Figure 2B**). Altogether, in this group of vaccinated macaques, we observed a complete correlation between the pre-challenge *ex vivo* antiviral activity of CD8+ T-cells and the protection observed after SIV challenge suggesting that the CD8+ T-lymphocytes antiviral activity of vaccinated macaques is an excellent predictive marker of protection against SIV challenge.

**Suppression of activation of SIV-specific CD4+ T-cells by vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells in vaccinated macaques**

Since, the initiation of replication of SIV in macaques (or HIV in humans) *in vivo*, as well as SIV (or HIV)-specific antibody and CTL induction needs SIV (or HIV)-specific CD4+ T-cell activation (11), we suspected that the suppression of both SIV replication and SIV-specific immune responses observed after mucosal vaccination with inactivated SIV plus BCG could be the consequence of the suppression of SIV-specific CD4+ T-cell activation [for details on the method of CD4+ T-cell activation suppression, see "Method" in Ref. (23)]. It was therefore reassuring to observe that SIV-positive CD4+ T-cell activation was suppressed in the PBMC of vaccinated macaques while remaining at the high level observed in control samples when CD8+ T-cells were omitted from the test sample (**Figure 2C**).

Altogether, the intravaginal co-administration of BCG and inactivated SIV protected four out of six monkeys from an intravenous SIVmac239 challenge and four out of seven monkeys from

---

**FIGURE 2 | Ex vivo antiviral activity and suppression of SIV positive CD4+ T-cell activation generated by vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells of rhesus macaques immunized with inactivated SIV and BCG (A,B)**

**Ex vivo antiviral activity generated by vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells in seven (Continued)**
an intrarectal challenge while the intragastric administration of the same vaccine preparation protected four out of four macaques from an intrarectal challenge. Long-term protection (28 months) associated with this new type of vaccine was maintained after repeated intravenous and intrarectal challenges in the three animals where it was tested. In contrast, infection was observed in all control animals. In the four out of six macaques protected from an intravenous challenge, viral replication was completely controlled but PBMC chronically harbored the provirus; in contrast, in the 8 out 11 macaques protected from an intrarectal challenge, plasma SIV RNA and PBMC and lymph node cellular SIV DNA were both undetectable suggesting that SIV infection was blocked at entry by mucosal immunity or eradicated post-entry by systemic immunity. Surprisingly, we did not observe any SIV-specific antibodies or interferon-γ-producing T-cells in the macaques that were shown to be protected after intrarectal challenge. Moreover, CD8+ T-cells isolated from PBMCs of the same macaques strongly suppressed the replication of virus in acutely infected autologous CD4+ T-cells ex vivo.

ORAL (INTRAOSTRAGAL) VACCINATION WITH INACTIVATED SIV AND LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM

Confirmatory studies and new information

Results observed after mucosal vaccination with BCG and iSIV were very striking, particularly because BCG, an anti-tuberculosis vaccine given worldwide for more than 90 years to more than a billion infants and children has never been even suspected to induce anergy/unresponsiveness or oral tolerance, either to its own constituents or to those of an immunogen given together. In view of these unexpected results, we decided to test an oral vaccine including iSIV and a bacterial adjuvant such as a Lactobacillus strain, a non-pathogenic intestinal commensal bacterium that had previously been suggested to potentially favor immune tolerance (30–32). We started by exploring the activity of an oral vaccine made of iSIV and LP (33). A group of eight macaques was immunized via the intragastric route with iSIV formulated with LP while eight control animals received LP only (four macaques) or iSIV only (four macaques). Results of this study (23) confirmed and extended several immunological and virological features already observed in iSIV + BCG-vaccinated macaques, viz: (1) both SIV-specific antibodies and interferon-γ-secreting T-cells upon SIV Gag p27 stimulation were suppressed in iSIV + LP-vaccinated macaques; (2) SIV-positive CD4+ T-cell activation and SIV replication in infected CD4+ T-cells were also suppressed (through a non-lytic process) by the CD8+ T-cells of iSIV + LP-vaccinated macaques; moreover, ex vivo, suppression of CD4+ T-cell activation as well as virus replication suppression did not occur when CD8+ T-cells were omitted from the test samples; (3) extending our previous work, we also showed that CD8+ T-cells isolated from the PBMC of vaccinated macaques, when added to the test samples at a time where SIV-specific CD4+ T-cells activation was already established, no longer inhibited viral replication; this new information suggested a link of causality between the suppression of SIV-specific CD4+ T-cell activation and the suppression of viral replication by CD8+ T-cells. We further demonstrated that CD8+ T-cell-mediated antiviral activity required cell-to-cell contact and that vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells operated through a non-classical MHC-restriction mechanism that was dependent on MHC-I/BE. We also showed that classical Tregs (CD4+CD25+FoxP3+) had no role in this suppression process; (4) finally, we demonstrated that, similar to iSIV + BCG-vaccinated macaques, the eight iSIV + LP-vaccinated macaques orally were sterilely protected from high dose intrarectal challenge (100,000 TCID50) of SIVmac239 (without any detectable level of plasma SIV RNA or PBMC proviral DNA) while the eight control animals were infected. This sterile protection was fully confirmed by the results of a second intrarectal challenge performed in four out of eight vaccinated macaques. We also showed the same virological picture as that observed in iSIV + BCG-vaccinated macaques in the four out of eight macaques, which were rechallenged via the intravenous route; a slight peak of SIV replication (≤200 DNA copies/million PBMC and 200 SIV copies/ml of plasma) was observed at day 10 post-challenge, but by day 30, plasma SIV RNA loads had dropped back to undetectable level (≤10 copies/ml) while PBMC SIV DNA were ≤10 copies/million cells showing the latent presence of SIV proviral DNA but the absence of virus replication in vivo. Finally, we showed that the eight iSIVmac239 + LP-vaccinated macaques (the already four intrarectally rechallenged and the already four intravenously rechallenged ones) remained fully protected against an heterologous intrarectal challenge with 100,000 TCID50 of the antigenically distinct SIVB670, which suggested that the vaccine was cross-protective, presumably through preventing the activation of CD4+ T-cells infected by another SIV strain [see Figure 4 in Ref. (23)]. Results of oral vaccinations with iSIV + BCG and iSIV + LP are summarized in Table 1.

Role of CD8+ T-cells in protecting macaques against intrarectal SIV challenge

To better identify the in vivo role of CD8+ T-cells in the macaques protection, the four macaques sterilely protected after three intrarectal challenge were given a fourth intrarectal challenge with 100,000 TCID50 of SIV239; these four macaques were at the same time depleted of their CD8+ T-cells from peripheral blood and lymphoid organs by a cytotoxic anti-CD8 antibody [see “Method” in Ref. (23)]. At the nadir of CD8+ T-cell count (day 15), plasma viral loads of the four animals peaked around 104–105 RNA copies/ml and 107–108 copies SIV DNA/106 PBMC, respectively, and all animals became infected and SIV-seropositive. However, by weeks 4–7, when the CD8+ T-cell levels of the four monkeys had recovered to almost normal, plasma SIV RNA and PBMC and lymph node SIV DNA dropped to baseline levels. This in vivo experiment demonstrated the central role of CD8+ T-cells in immunized animals to prevent initial infection at the mucosal barrier. When CD8+ T-cells were depleted at the moment of
Table 1 | Summary of immunovirological results observed in orally vaccinated monkeys.

| Intragastric immunization | iSIV | iSIV + BCG | iSIV + LP |
|---------------------------|------|-----------|----------|
| Production of anti-SIV antibodies | Yes | Suppressed | Suppressed |
| Induction of SIV-specific IFN-γ-releasing T-cells | Yes | Suppressed | Suppressed |
| Proliferation of CD4+ T-cells toward SIV antigens | NT* | NT | Suppressed |
| Activation of SIV+CD4+ T-cells | Yes | Suppressed | Suppressed |
| Proliferation of CD4+ T-cells toward SIV antigens | NT* | NT | Suppressed |
| Activation of SIV+CD4+ T-cells | Yes | Suppressed | Suppressed |
| Ex-vivo suppressive CD8+ Tregs | No | Yes | Yes |
| Sterile protection after intrarectal homologous SIV challenge | No | Yes | Yes |
| Sterile protection after intrarectal heterologous SIV challenge | No | NT | Yes |
| Absence of SIV replication after intrarectal SIV challenge | No | Yes | Yes |

*NT, not tested.

the intrarectal challenge, the virus replicated freely in lymphoid organs; but as soon as the vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cell population recovered, they again strongly controlled viral replication. Interestingly, recovered animals now contained SIV DNA in target cells, but without obvious viral replication (23).

**Longevity of the vaccine-induced protection**

To study the longevity of the vaccine protection, we immunized a new group of eight macaques with iSIV/LP in parallel with eight control macaques (four with iSIV and four with LP). We observed that seven of the eight animals that received the vaccine maintained a high ex vivo antiviral activity ratio (≥200) for up to 14 months while in one vaccinated macaque the antiviral activity decreased from month 12 to the baseline ratio (<100) seen in the eight control animals treated with iSIV or LP alone. By 14 months post-immunization, all 16 animals (vaccinated and controls) were challenged intrarectally with 100,000 TCID₅₀ of SIVmac239. Seven out of the eight iSIV/LP-immunized animals had a sterile immunity as indicated by the absence of any SIV RNA and DNA emergence in plasma, PBMC, rectal mucosa, or pelvic lymph nodes lymphocytes. Interestingly, the one vaccinated macaque, which lost its ex vivo antiviral activity became infected in the same manner as the eight control monkeys [see Figure 5 in Ref. (23); Figures 3A,B]. Thus, the evolution of the ex vivo antiviral activity of the eight vaccinated monkeys allowed us to predict, from day 360 post-immunization (i.e., 60 days before their challenge) the one out of eight monkeys that would not be protected. To control further the long-term efficacy of our vaccine, the seven protected macaques were intrarectally rechallenged by 36 months after vaccination (Figure 4A). Moreover, all vaccinated animals were steriley protected from an intrarectal challenge (100,000 TCID₅₀ of SIVmac239) performed 12 weeks post vaccination (Figures 4B,C).

**ORAL (INTRAGASTRIC) VACCINATION WITH INACTIVATED SIV AND LACTOBACILLUS RHAMNOSUS**

Because the Lactobacillus plantarum strain ATCC8014 used to vaccinate macaques along with iSIV had never been used in humans, we tested the common probiotic strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus (LR) as bacterial adjuvant (34). Four macaques were vaccinated orally with iSIV and LR; at the same time, four control macaques received LR only (two animals) and iSIV only (two animals). All macaques were vaccinated according to the protocol used for iSIV + LP vaccination. The ex vivo antiviral activity following vaccination increased progressively to reach a ratio >200 in all vaccinated macaques by 2 months post vaccination (Figure 4A).

In summary, in the macaque model, we have demonstrated the prevention of SIV infection by inducing immunological tolerance against the infectious agent. The administration of iSIV+mac239 with bacterial adjuvants such as BCG, LP, or LR, stimulated macaques to develop a thus far unrecognized type of SIV-specific
immune tolerance characterized by the activation of a previously unrecognized population of non-cytolytic MHC-Ib/E-restricted CD8\(^+\) T-regulatory cells that have the apparent ability to suppress the activation of SIV-positive CD4\(^+\) T-cells. This suppression resulted in the blocking of SIV reverse transcription in CD4\(^+\) T-cells thereby preventing the initial burst of virus replication and thus protecting macaques from infection. Out of 24 intragastrically vaccinated macaques challenged with a high dose of SIVmac239 or the heterologous strain SIVB670 3 to 14 months later via the intrarectal route, 23 were sterilely protected for up to 48 months while all control macaques became infected.

**DISCUSSION**

We have identified three areas of research that will be required to establish the mechanisms involved in the observed protection.

**CHARACTERISTICS OF VACCINE-INDUCED CD8\(^+\) T-REGULATORY CELLS**

The suppressive non-cytolytic MHC-Ib/E-restricted CD8\(^+\) T-cells identified in the present study represent a new class of CD8\(^+\) T-regulatory cells (CD8\(^+\) Tregs) that has not been described previously in the context of any antiviral vaccination or in SIV or HIV infection. Thus far, the only T-regulatory cells described in HIV infection were classical Tregs (CD25\(^+\) FoxP3\(^+\) CD4\(^+\) T-cells) known to be involved in some models of immune tolerance (35). Their role in HIV infection is not clearly understood; on the one hand, the expansion of Tregs has been shown to be associated with the suppression of HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) T-cell responses and disease progression (36, 37) while on the other hand, Tregs have been associated with protection from productive infection, CD4\(^+\) T-cell activation and disease progressions in both humans (38, 39) and non-human primates (40). In the present study, the ex vivo removal of CD25\(^+\)FoxP3\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T-cells by an anti-CD25 antibody did not modify either the suppression of CD4\(^+\) T-cell activation or that of viral replication. Except for the fact that they are non-cytolytic, the CD8\(^+\) Tregs observed here resemble those which targeted and eliminated abnormally activated antigen-specific CD4\(^+\) T-helper cells in the mouse model (41, 42) where the inhibitory interaction depends on recognition of surface Qa-1, corresponding to MHC-Ib/E in macaques and to HLA-E in humans (43), expressed by aberrantly activated target cells (44, 45). Similar CD8\(^+\) Tregs have also been implicated in the control of autoimmune type 1 diabetes in humans (46). Interestingly, we also found CD8\(^+\) Tregs with the same characteristics in human elite controllers, a small percentage of HIV-infected patients (<1%) who have naturally long-term undetectable viral loads but harbor the virus in their target cells (Wei Lu and Jean-Marie Andrieu, manuscript in preparation). So far, we have not been able to identify the CD antigens specifically associated with these CD8\(^+\) Tregs and their phenotypic and molecular characteristics require further studies.

**ROLE OF CD8\(^+\) T-REGULATORY CELLS**

Because vaccinated macaques challenged via the intrarectal route were negative for both SIV RNA in plasma and proviral SIV DNA in PBMC, our results suggest that virus infection was arrested before nuclear integration. In quiescent CD4\(^+\) T-cells,
virus penetration is followed within 2 h by the presentation at the plasma membrane of Gag/Pol and other protein epitopes derived from incoming virions (47) while Env and Nef protein presentation requires de novo synthesis (48). The subsequent phases of the infectious process including reverse transcription and proviral DNA integration, develop very inefficiently in quiescent CD4+ T-cells but very efficiently in activated CD4+ T-cells (49–51). This is in keeping with the notion that the early activation of a small founder population of infected CD4+ T-cells at the portal of entry is required for the local expansion and establishment of systemic infection (52, 53). This early event potentially gives our vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells the opportunity to arrest the infectious process before proviral DNA integration. We observed that the withdrawal of our vaccine-induced CD8+ T-cells from PBMC cultures before CD4+ T-cell activation, allowed CD4+ T-cells to become activated and thereby viral replication to proceed. Similarly, in vivo depletion of the CD8+ T-cells performed at the time of intrarectal challenge allowed CD4+ T-cells to be activated and the virus to replicate. Such replication, however, was brought under control as the novel CD8+ T-cells recovered in lymphoid organs and the blood stream. We showed in ex vivo cultures that the inhibition of viral replication was directly attributable to this new class of CD8+ Tregs. This is also highly likely to be the case in vivo although direct evidence for this is lacking since we depleted the whole CD8+ T-cell population rather than specifically the Treg subset. In monkeys intravenously challenged, the transient peak of viral replication followed by the residual presence of cellular SIV DNA suggested that infectious SIV particles that entered the body by this route encountered activated CD4+ T-cells, probably in secondary lymphoid organs, which allowed the virus to complete the first cycles of replication. However, it cannot be excluded that non-activated (quiescent) CD4+ T-cells, which were penetrated by newly released virions, were prevented from becoming activated by the vaccine-generated CD8+ Treg. An in-depth understanding of the results presented here will require extensive exploratory studies of cellular and molecular immunovirology.

INDUCTION OF SIV-SPECIFIC CD8+ T-REGULATORY CELLS

This remains the most mysterious unanswered question. When iSIV, a particulate immunogen was administered alone via the intragastric route, it stimulated the intestinal immune system to induce the activation and proliferation of SIV-CD4+ T-cell as well as the generation of SIV-specific antibodies and interferon γ-producing cells according to the classical vaccine paradigm. However, this iSIV-only vaccination did not induce any in vitro or in vivo viral suppression nor any protection in challenged macaques. On the other hand, a soluble protein such as SIV P55, when intragastrically administered in its own, did not induce any immunological response nor any challenge protection (data not shown). In surprising contrast, when iSIV was administered along with BCG, or LP or LR, the reaction of the macaque intestinal immune was totally different. SIV-CD4+ T-cells proliferation and activation were abolished (through the contact between the TCRαβ of the vaccine-induced CD8+ T-regulatory cells and the MHCII/B of SIV positive CD4+ T-cells). A first consequence of this vaccine-induced SIV-positive CD4+ T-cell unresponsiveness/anergy is most likely the suppression of any help to the induction of SIV-specific antibody- and interferon γ-producing cells; the observation of such an antigen-specific immune tolerance opens a vast array of research on the suppressive arm of the immune system and its potential manipulation in human and veterinary medicine. The other consequence of this vaccine-induced SIV-positive CD4+ T-cell unresponsiveness/anergy is of unique importance for vaccinologists since it is responsible for the suppression of in vitro and in vivo SIV reverse transcription and for the sterile protection of macaques against SIV challenge, bringing the experimental confirmation of our initial suspicion that suppression of CD4+ T-cell activation is able to suppress viral replication (9).

The mechanism of this extraordinary immunological switch is so far unknown. BCG itself has never been suspected to possess tolerogenic properties. Only in Brazil, have infants been given BCG via the oral route (over a period of 50 years from the 20s to the 70s) and Brazilian scientists found that oral BCG protected against childhood tuberculosis, meningitis, and miliary disease with the same efficacy as intradermic BCG (54). However, orally vaccinated infants remained generally unresponsive/anergic to the post vaccination tuberculin test, which became generally positive after classical intradermic vaccination (55). In the same line, oral BCG vaccination inhibited delayed-type hypersensitivity to purified protein derivative (while at the same time it induced interferon γ-secreting T-cells upon Mycobacterium antigens stimulation) (56). Moreover, it has also been observed that when the central nervous system of mice was infected with BCG, the mice were able to overcome experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, a classical mice model of autoimmunity disease (57). The possibility that BCG may possess certain unexplored tolerogenic properties seems worthy of further research inasmuch as CD8+ Tregs have been very recently shown to dominate suppressive phenotype and function after BCG activation of human cells (58).

On the other hand, there is some experimental evidence suggesting that LP and LR may have some tolerogenic properties (30–32), which may be involved in their probiotic ability to fight human inflammatory bowel disease (59). However, the mechanism of induction of such a tolerance seems to be via the generation of classical CD25+FoxP3+ CD4+ Tregs (60, 61) and not through the intervention of CD8+ Tregs.

It must be mentioned that recombinant BCG expressing viral antigens including HIV and SIV proteins has been tested via the mucosal route in non-human primates. These recombinant BCGs induced IgA against BCG-expressed viral proteins but were never found to induce any humoral or cellular sign of virus-induced immune tolerance (62). Similarly, lactobacilli and lactococci engineered to express antigenic proteins also including SIV antigens have been mucosally administered to different animal models including non-human primates; whatever the antigen expressed, the immunological result of the mucosal administration was always the production of specific of antigenic protein-directed antibodies and particularly of IgA by the intestinal immune system (63).

Our results demonstrate that an oral vaccine comprising iSIV, a particulate immunogen adjuvanted by BCG, LP, or LR administered intragastrically to a large number of macaques stimulated their intestinal immune system and generated a new class of CD8+ Tregs that suppressed the activation of mucosal and systemic SIV-positive CD4+ T-cells. This suppression of CD4+
T-cell activation inhibited viral replication and thereby prevented SIV infection in the macaque model. The protective effect of this innovative immunization regimen against SIV challenge, together with the identification of a correlate of protection *ex vivo*, is quite striking. Given that SIV and HIV require activated CD8+ T-cells in which to replicate, this tolerogenic vaccine approach may offer an exciting new avenue in preventive HIV vaccine research. We are preparing a randomized phase 1 trial where a small population of non-at-risk volunteers will receive the vaccine or a placebo; the vaccination success will be defined by the increase of the *ex vivo* antiviral activity of CD8+ T-cells (ratio >200) in the vaccinated group while it will remain low and stable (ratio <100) in the placebo group. On the other hand, the *de novo* antiviral activity of CD8+ T-cells is quite varied between rhesus macaques of Chinese and Indian origins.
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