Summer roadside vegetation dominated by *Sorghum halepense* in peninsular Italy: survey and classification
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Abstract

*Sorghum halepense* is a synanthropic tall grass distributed worldwide from tropical to temperate zones, and it is often considered an invasive alien. It is a perennial, rhizomatous plant that tends to form dense stands derived from vegetative and sexual propagation. Despite roadside plant communities dominated by *Sorghum halepense* are very common in southern Europe, their phytosociological aspects are scarcely studied. In this work, we present the results of a vegetation survey in peninsular Italy, carried out by means of the phytosociological method. In total, we carried out 73 relevés in Liguria, Tuscany, Latium, Campania, Basilicata, and Apulia. We statistically compared our relevés to those from the Balkans classified in the Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis, an association of agricultural annual weed vegetation of the class Stellarietea mediae s.l. used in the past as a reference for Italian *S. halepense*-dominated communities. Our results show that the Italian communities are different from the Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis communities, since the latter are rich in annual species, while the former are rich in perennial species. From the syntaxonomic point of view, the Italian communities are better classified in the class Artemisietea vulgaris. We describe the new (sub-)ruderal association Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis, including a meso-hygrophilous variant with *Urtica dioica* and an agricultural variant with *Elymus repens*. We have evidence that the Potentillo–Sorghetum occurs in Italy, Kosovo and Slovenia, but its distribution is possibly wider due to conspicuous presence of cosmopolitan species characterizing the association. Our work provides a baseline for the knowledge of an alien-dominated plant community that can invade habitats with high conservation value.
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1 Introduction

The introduction of plant species outside their native range is one of the main ecological threats of modern times. This is especially true for invasive alien species that can establish and rapidly spread in new areas outcompeting native biodiversity, degrading natural ecosystems, and producing relevant economic losses (Seebens et al. 2017; Lazzaro et al. 2020).
In Europe, non-native plants are traditionally divided into archaeophytes, introduced before 1492, and neophytes, introduced after 1492. Generally, archaeophytes are not considered a major threat to biodiversity since they behave mostly as agricultural weeds (Celesti-Grapow et al. 2009). In this latter scenario, they are considered a valuable component of the Italian flora. They survive only in extensively managed winter arable crops and are often threatened by agricultural intensification (Fanfarillo et al. 2020; Orsenigo et al. 2021). There are a few exceptions of archaeophytes that produce high negative impacts on native biodiversity and natural habitats. One exception is *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers., which is considered an invasive archaeophyte in Italy (Galasso et al. 2018; Lazzaro et al. 2020).

*Sorghum halepense*, commonly known as Johnson grass, is a perennial, rhizomatous plant widely distributed in warm areas worldwide. It belongs to the Panicoideae, a subfamily of the Poaceae that includes very competitive, thermophilous grasses with a highly efficient C4 metabolism (Giussani et al. 2001). Within the Panicoideae, it is classified into the Andropogoneae, a tribe that has its main center of diversity in tropical and subtropical regions (Arthan et al. 2017). However, there is contrasting information about its native range. Different sources report the species to be native in different areas between the Mediterranean and eastern Asia (CABI 2021). In the last decades, the species has spread considerably in agricultural and ruderal habitats in Europe and other continents, favored by both man activities and an increasingly warmer climate (Follak and Essl 2013; Follak et al. 2017; Peerzada et al. 2017; Fanfarillo et al. 2019).

*Sorghum halepense* is considered among the worst agricultural weeds worldwide (Holm et al. 1977). Especially in arable land, its success is favored by herbicide resistance and the spread of rhizomes through tillage (Vila-Aiub et al. 2007; Heap 2014; Kashif et al. 2015). Glyphosate-resistant ruderal populations are also known in Spain (Vazquez-Garcia et al. 2020). In Italy, *Sorghum halepense* is among the few alien species that occur in all the administrative regions (Stincia et al. 2021). It is one of the few archaeophytes to be invasive and to have a relevant impact on Natura 2000 habitats (Galasso et al. 2018). The species is capable of invading and modifying different EU habitats as “rivers with muddy banks with *Chenopodium rubri* p.p. and *Bidention* p.p. vegetation” (habitat code: 3270), “Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the *Littorelleta uniflorae* and/or the *Isoëto-Nanojuncetea*” (habitat code: 3130), and “Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels” (habitat code: 6430). The detrimental effects of invasion include habitat degradation and loss, modification of successional patterns, and reduction of native biodiversity (Lazzaro et al. 2020).

Despite being a perennial species, *Sorghum halepense* is usually considered characteristic of annual synanthropic vegetation types (Biondi et al. 2014; Mucina et al. 2016). Given its relevance as an agricultural weed, most of the phytosociological studies on Eurasian communities with *Sorghum halepense* were carried out on annual vegetation of arable land, neglecting ruderal vegetation (Baldoni 1995; Poldini et al. 1998; Mitić et al. 2009; Nowak and Nowak 2013; Fanfarillo et al. 2019). In Central Italy, Baldoni (1995) described the sub-association *Panico–Polygontum persicariae sorgetosum halepensis* for the weed vegetation of summer–annual crops of Marche. This syntaxon has been recently detected also elsewhere in Central Italy, i.e., in Latini et al. (2020). In eastern Europe and Middle Asia, summer arable weed communities were identified as the *Setario ambiguae–Sorghetum halepensis* (Nowak and Nowak 2013), while the association *Hibisco trioni–Sorghetum halepensis* was described for the same ecological contexts in Dalmatia (Croatia) (Mitić et al. 2009). In Romania, Ștefan and Oprea (1997) described two associations of arable land, namely *Setario lutescentis–Sorghetum halepensis* and *Cynancho acutii–Sorghetum halepensis*, which colonize different crops.

A few studies investigated perennial *Sorghum halepense*-dominated plant communities. In the perennial ruderal grasslands of Styria (Austria), *Sorghum halepense* characterizes a thermophilous subtype of the association *Convulvulo arvensis–Agropyretum repentis*, in the class *Artemisieta vulgaris* (Denk and Berg 2014). A perennial ruderal community dominated by *Sorghum halepense*, rich in tropical species, was also described in Cuba as *Sorghetum halepensis* (Ricardo Nápoles et al. 2005). In a fluvial ecosystem of Marche (central Italy), Biondi et al. (2009) classified perennial *Sorghum halepense*-dominated vegetation in the association *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*. According to Viciani et al. (2020), this association was also reported by Brullo et al. (2001) for Calabria (southern Italy). This is a mistake, since no reference to the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* is present in Brullo et al. (2001). Some communities dominated by *Sorghum halepense* were also reported by De Marchi et al. (1979) growing on the gravel banks of the Parma River, Emilia-Romagna (northern Italy). In the metropolitan area of Rome (Italy), Fanelli (2002) identified a ruderal vegetation type dominated by *Sorghum halepense* and classified it in the alliance *Convulvulo arvensis–Agropyrotrum repentis* of the class *Artemisieta vulgaris*. The author recognized the strong identity of such community, suggesting the possibility of describing an association in future.

Based on the above-mentioned studies, two syntaxa dominated by *Sorghum halepense* are reported for Italy: *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* and *Panico singuinalis–Polygontum persicariae sorgetosum halepensis*, both included in the class *Stellarietia mediae*, plus a *Sorghum halepense* community included in the class *Artemisieta vulgaris* (Viciani et al. 2020). The scarce investigations carried out on perennial *Sorghum halepense*-dominated
vegetation in Italy imply that most of the impact assessments recognizing this species as a high-impact invader are expert-based (Lazzaro et al. 2020). To improve the knowledge of this vegetation type, we carried out a phytosociological survey on *Sorghum halepense*-dominated communities of peninsular Italy to study the floristic, ecological, chorological, and syntaxonomic aspects, comparing them with similar plant communities in the Balkans.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The area of the field survey extends along peninsular Italy, from the southeastern-most part of Liguria to Salento area, in Apulia (approximately 44° N–10° E; 40° N–18.5° E; Fig. 1).

There are two main bioclimatic types: Mediterranean, including most of the Tyrrenian coast, the southern half of the Adriatic coast, and southern regions excluding high mountains, and Temperate, including inner areas of the Peninsula and the northern half of the Adriatic coast. These two climatic zones are distinguished by the presence and absence of summer drought, respectively (Pesaresi et al. 2017).

The Italian Peninsula is mostly hilly and mountainous. Geological substrates are mainly sedimentary rocks (limestone, flysch, dolomite), but several volcanoes are present along the Tyrrenian side between southern Tuscany and northern Campania, as well as some metamorphic lithotypes. Recent alluvial deposits occur in plains and valleys (ISPRA 2021).

2.2 Field and literature survey

During botanical field surveys in summer 2020, we recorded plant communities dominated by *Sorghum halepense* along

Fig. 1. Distribution of the phytosociological relevés (red dots) carried out in summer 2020 in Italy. BAS Basilicata, CAM Campania, LAZ Latium, LIG Liguria, PUG Apulia, TOS Tuscany. Region codes follow Bartolucci et al. (2018)
roadsides and adjacent sites, like ditches and fallows, in several regions of peninsular Italy. The relevés were carried out using the classic phytosociological method of the Zürich-Montpellier school (Braun-Blanquet 1964). We carried out 73 relevés in 6 Italian regions (Liguria, Tuscany, Latium, Campania, Basilicata, and Apulia) (Fig. 1). Additionally, we searched for published relevés with dominant Sorghum halepense in Italian literature and vegetation plot repositories, excluding data from arable vegetation. Seven further Italian relevés were retrieved from literature and from the Archive database (specifically for Latium and Umbria regions; Fanelli 2002; Lucarini et al. 2015).

Despite arable vegetation was excluded from our study, the arable association Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis was the only low-rank syntaxon used as a reference for Italian non-agricultural Sorghum halepense-dominated vegetation. Thus, to compare the Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis with our communities and verify possible differences, we digitized 50 relevés used for its original description in Kosovo (Laban 1975). For the same purpose, we digitized and stored 14 Slovenian relevés attributed to the same association (Seljak 1989; Kaligarič 1992). The final database included 143 relevés.

Species nomenclature follows the Portal to the Flora of Italy version 2021.1 (2021). Syntaxonomic nomenclature follows Mucina et al. (2016) for alliances, orders, and classes, and the original authors for lower-rank syntaxa. To establish the names of the new syntaxa, we followed Theurillat et al. (2021). For the abbreviation codes of Italian administrative regions, we referred to Bartolucci et al. (2018).

### 2.3 Statistical analyses

We used a divisive cluster analysis, such as the modified TWINSPAN (Roleček et al. 2009) in the program JUICE, version 7.1.27 (Tichý 2002), using 5 pseudo-species cut levels (0%, 2%, 5%, 10%, 20%; minimum group size = 5) and total inertia as a dissimilarity measure. Diagnostic species for each resulting cluster were determined through the phi coefficient (Chytrý et al. 2002) (zero fidelity given to species with no statistical significance at \( p > 0.05 \)), and the size of all the groups was standardized to equal size (Tichý and Chytrý 2006). Furthermore, we carried out a Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling ordination analysis (NMDS; dissimilarity index: Bray–Curtis) using the R package “mass” (Venables and Ripley 2002; Oksanen et al. 2020).

To highlight differences in life forms and chorology, we calculated frequency-weighted life form and chorological spectra for the main vegetation types detected. Life forms and chorotypes are according to Pignatti et al. (2017–2019). To highlight ecological differences between the vegetation types, we calculated the mean Ellenberg indicator values adapted to the flora of Italy (Pignatti et al. 2005), which were then passively projected on the NMDS plot.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Detected vegetation types

The modified TWINSPAN classification produced four ecologically and floristically interpretable clusters. The first division separated the Balkan (cluster 1) from the Italian (clusters 2, 3, and 4) relevés. While no Italian relevés were classified in the first cluster, eight Balkan relevés were included in the second: seven from Slovenia in cluster 2 and one from Kosovo in cluster 4 (Fig. 2).

Table 1 shows the percentage frequencies and fidelity values of the four clusters. Arable and ruderal species like Amaranthus retroflexus, Cirsium arvense, and Chenopodium album show high frequency and fidelity in the Balkan communities, while they are almost absent in Italian ones. In the latter, perennial species, such as Equisetum ramosissimum, Potentilla reptans, and Silene latifolia, frequently accompany Sorghum halepense. The full analytic tables of the relevés are available in Online Resource 1.

The distribution of the relevés on the NMDS ordination graph is consistent with the results of the modified TWINSPAN classification. Most of the variability in species composition is explained by the first axis. Along this axis, the highlighted Ellenberg indicator values for temperature and soil reaction explain this gradient. A second gradient explained by increasing moisture and decreasing continentality was also detected (Fig. 3).

The comparison of the frequency-weighted life form spectra highlights remarkable differences between the Balkan (cluster 1) and the Italian (clusters 2, 3, and 4) plant communities. The former are annual, while the latter are perennial, being featured by a high number of hemicryptophytes.

---

**Fig. 2** Dendrogram showing the results of the modified TWINSPAN cluster analysis of the 143 relevés. 1 = Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis (56 relevés); 2 = Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis var. with Elymus repens (8 relevés); 3 = Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis var. with Urtica dioica (23 relevés); 4 = Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis (56 relevés)
and geophytes and by a low number of therophytes. As regards to chorotypes, both groups are featured by the dominance of widely distributed species. The Italian communities show a higher presence of Mediterranean, palaeotemperate, and archaeophyte taxa (Fig. 4).

3.2 Description, syntaxonomy, and synecology of the detected communities

The floristic, chorological, and ecological distinctiveness of the surveyed communities from those classified in the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* allows us to describe a new association that we name *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*. It includes an agricultural variant with *Elymus repens* and a meso-igrophilous variant with *Urtica dioica*. Due to the frequency of species like *Cichorium intybus*, *Daucus carota*, and *Picris hieracioides*, we classified the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* in the class *Artemisietea vulgaris*, which includes the perennial ruderal vegetation of temperate and sub-Mediterranean Europe (Mucina et al. 2016). The presence of diagnostic elements like *Elymus repens*, *Dittrichia viscosa*, *Jacobaea erucifolia*, *Pulicaria dysenterica*, *Reichardia picroides*, *Rumex crispus*, *Sixalix atropurpurea*, and *Verbena officinalis* allows us classifying the new association in the alliance *Inulo viscosae–Agropyron repentis*, which is a sub-Mediterranean vicariant of the

### Table 1

Synoptic table with decreasing percentage frequency (left value) and fidelity (phi coefficient – right apex value) of diagnostic species (highlighted in the boxes) for the four clusters resulted from the modified TWINSPAN classification; --- = 0; 1 = *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*; 2 = *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* var. with *Elymus repens*; 3 = *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* var. with *Urtica dioica*; 4 = *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*

| Cluster | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| Number of relevés | 56 | 8 | 23 | 56 |
| *Amaranthus retroflexus* | 36 | 52.2 | --- | --- |
| *Senecio vulgaris* | 32 | 51.2 | --- | --- |
| *Chenopodium album* | 46 | 50.3 | 12 | --- |
| *Cirsium arvense* | 80 | 50.1 | 38 | --- |
| *Echinochloa crus-galli* | 27 | 46.4 | 26 | --- |
| *Bromus arvensis* | 27 | 46.4 | --- | --- |
| *Anthemis arvensis* | 20 | 44.7 | --- | --- |
| *Sonchus arvensis* | 36 | 42 | 12 | --- |
| *Torilis arvensis* | 20 | 36.6 | --- | --- |
| *Anisantha sterilis* | 20 | 36.6 | --- | --- |
| *Aristolochia clematitis* | 16 | 35.4 | --- | --- |
| *Calepina irregularis* | 16 | 35.4 | --- | --- |
| *Poa trivialis* | 14 | 33.3 | --- | --- |
| *Capsella bursa-pastoris* | 14 | 33.3 | --- | --- |
| *Persicaria lapathifolia* | 14 | 33.3 | --- | --- |
| *Linaria vulgaris* | 27 | 33.3 | 9 | --- |
| *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 12 | 33.1 | --- | --- |
| *Xanthium strumarium* | 12 | 33.1 | --- | --- |
| *Alopecurus myosuroides* | 14 | 30.2 | --- | --- |
| *Veronica persica* | 14 | 30.2 | --- | --- |
| *Convolvulus sepium* | 4 | --- | 88 | --- |
| *Erigeron annuus* | 2 | --- | 38 | --- |
| *Lactuca serriola* | 30 | 3.6 | 62 | --- |
| *Elymus repens* | 39 | 2.1 | 75 | --- |
| *Tussilago farfara* | 2 | --- | 25 | --- |
| *Setaria pumila* | 32 | 16.6 | 50 | --- |
| *Artemisia vulgaris* | 7 | --- | 38 | --- |
| *Vicia cracca* | 7 | --- | 25 | --- |
| *Trifolium repens* | 2 | --- | 25 | --- |
| *Galium album* | --- | --- | 48 | --- |
| *Equisetum ramosissimum* | --- | --- | 57 | --- |
| *Urtica dioica* | 5 | --- | 39 | --- |
| *Silene latifolia* | --- | 12 | 52 | --- |
| *Rubus ulmifolius* | --- | --- | 43 | --- |
| *Mentha spicata* | --- | --- | 22 | --- |
| *Mentha suaveolens* | --- | --- | 22 | --- |
| *Foeniculum vulgare* | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Medicago sativa* | --- | --- | 4 | --- |
| *Sorghum halepense* | 45 | --- | 62 | --- |
| *Potentilla reptans* | 5 | --- | 50 | --- |
| *Avena sterilis* | --- | --- | --- | 11 |
| *Avena barbata* | --- | 12 | 13 | --- |
temperate *Convolvulo arvensis–Agropyron repens*, in the order *Elytrigio repens–Dittrichietalia viscosae*. These syn-taxa describe the anthropogenic (sub-)ruderal grasslands and herblands of sub-Mediterranean and Mediterranean Italian and Balkan peninsulas (alliance) and of Southern Europe (order) (Biondi et al. 2014; Mucina et al. 2016).

*Potentillo reptantis-Sorghetum halepensis* ass. nova Fanfarillo et Angiolini.

Type relevé: rel. 7 in Table 2 (Online Resource 1). Siena, Tuscany (WGS84: 43.314990° N, 11.316769° E), 2020 July 18th, roadside flowerbed, 254 m a.s.l., aspect = 135°, slope = 10°, relevé area = 3 m², vegetation height = 150 cm, total cover = 100%. Species (cover): *Sorghum halepense* (5), *Cynodon dactylon* (4), *Potentilla reptans* (2), *Equisetum ramosissimum* (+), *Polygonum aviculare* (+), *Convolvulus arvensis* (+), *Cichorium intybus* (+), *Avena barbata* (r), *Verbena officinalis* (r).

Diagnostic species (characteristic and differential): *Avena barbata*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Equisetum ramosissimum*, *Potentilla reptans*, *Sorghum halepense*.

Constant species: *Cynodon dactylon*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Sorghum halepense*.

Dominant species: *Cynodon dactylon*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Sorghum halepense*.

This vegetation type is represented by tall, species-poor tufts of *Sorghum halepense*. The mean number of species is 7.5 (SD = 2.7). A middle layer characterized by *Equisetum ramosissimum* is sometimes present. The lower layer is characterized by a high frequency of the rhizomatous grass *Cynodon dactylon*, usually with very high cover values. The rhizomatous climbing herb *Convolvulus arvensis* is constant and often abundantly present in the lower layer, accompanied by the creeping herb *Potentilla reptans*. There is also an occurrence of the winter annual *Avena barbata*, which is usually present with low covers and in its late phenological states (Fig. 5).

The community develops especially along roadsides, but it can be found in any ruderal and sub-ruderal open habitat with an average water availability and quickly desiccating soils. It has its phenological optimum between mid-summer and early autumn.

Agricultural variant with *Elymus repens*. 

![Fig. 4](image-url) Frequency-weighted life form (A) and chorological (B) spectra of the Balkan (cluster 1) and Italian (clusters 2, 3, and 4) communities.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Vegetation classified as the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*. Altopascio (Tuscany, Italy), 2020 July 24th.
This variant develops in vineyards managed with low intensities of agricultural practices, or occasionally in abandoned fields, field margins, and highly disturbed ruderal sites (e.g., frequently mown sites). In general, it results from conditions of higher disturbance caused by agricultural management, though disturbance levels are not comparable to those endured by arable vegetation due to reduced tillage intensities and frequencies. Sometimes, *Elymus repens* and *Cynodon dactylon* become dominant at the expense of *Sorghum halepense*. The constant presence of *Convolvulus sepium* suggests good levels of soil moisture availability. The presence of annual plants increases the mean species richness, which is 13.4 (SD = 3.2).

Meso-hygrophilous variant with *Urtica dioica* (Fig. 6).

This community develops in sites with higher water availability at least during rainy periods, especially near and along roadside ditches, or where intermittent waterflows occur, e.g., in unpaved street gutters. It is enriched in mesohygrophilous elements like *Galium album*, *Mentha spicata*, *M. suaveolens*, *Rubus ulmifolius*, and *Urtica dioica*, whose presence increases the mean number of species per relevé to 10.5 (SD = 2.9).

### 3.3 Distribution of the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*

According to our results, the communities classified in the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* occur in peninsular Italy, Slovenia, and Kosovo (Fig. 7). Literature suggests its possible presence also in the Po Plain (De Marchi et al. 1979). We detected the association in its typical form all over the Italian Peninsula and, with only one relevé, in Kosovo. Conversely, the occurrence of the variant with *Urtica dioica* is currently known only from central Italy. We highlighted the presence of the variant with *Elymus repens* only for Slovenia and Tuscany, in Italy.

### 3.4 Syntaxonomic scheme

*Artemisietea vulgaris* Lohmeyer et al. in Tx. ex von Rochow 1951.

*Elytrigio repentis-Dittrichietalia viscosae* Mucina 2016.

*Inulo viscosae-Agropyrion repentis* Biondi et Allegrezza 1996.

*Potentillo reptantis-Sorghetum halepensis* Fanfarillo et Angiolini ass. nov.

var. with *Urtica dioica*.

var. with *Elymus repens*.

### 4 Discussion

The analysis of our dataset revealed the existence of a new perennial vegetation type dominated by *Sorghum halepense* being widespread in peninsular Italy. The distinctiveness of the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* communities from those previously described for the Balkans was highlighted in terms of floristic, ecological, and chorological features.

In Italy, the order *Elytrigio repentis–Dittrichietalia viscosae* includes other (sub-)ruderal communities dominated by rhizomatous plants. Despite the structural affinities, such vegetation types are floristically different from the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*, at least with respect to the dominant and characteristic species. The alliance *Inulo viscosae–Agropyrion repentis* includes some associations rich in or dominated by rhizomatous species, e.g., the *Agrostio stoloniferae–Agropyretum repentis* or the *Dauco caro tae–Tussilaginetum farfarae* from the badlands of central Italy (Biondi and Pesaresi 2004). Such plant communities are characterized by a higher floristic richness than *Potentillo reptantis-Sorghetum halepensis*, since the dominant species are less competitive than *S. halepense*. Conversely, the association *Arundinetum pliniani* (classified in the *Inulo–Agropyrion* as well) represents species-poor stands dominated by the rhizomatous grass *Arundo plinii* and it is very common.

---

**Fig. 6** Vegetation classified as the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* var. with *Urtica dioica*. Sinalunga (Tuscany, Italy), 2020 July 31st.
on the clayey slopes of peninsular Italy. In this community, like in Sorghum halepense-dominated stands, few species accompany the dominant one, with the presence of some shrubs in the most evolved aspects (Biondi et al. 1992). An alien-dominated, geophytic plant community showing pheno-
logic and ecological affinities with the Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis is the Saponario–Artemisietum verlotiorum (Arction, Artemisietea vulgaris), which has its maximum development in summer–autumn and occurs on gravel bars, on quickly drying substrates (Baldoni and Biondi 1993). Another perennial ruderal community from the Inulo–Agropyron, with a sporadic presence of Sorghum halepense, was detected on gravel bars of Tuscany. Such vegetation type is species-rich and dominated by hemicryp-
tophytes like Dittrichia viscosa, thus being very different from the Potentillo–Sorghetum. Such species are almost absent in the association Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis, which is charac-
terized by a remarkable presence of hemicryptophytes of the class Artemisietea vulgaris (Medicago sativa, Picris hieracioides, Silene latifolia). The abundance of geophytes is also relevant (Cynodon dactylon, Equisetum ramosissimum).

In general, the high representation of widely distributed elements suggests that both associations Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis and Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis are azonal, potentially cosmopolitan and co-
occurring in the same areas. They could also be ecological vicariants in contexts of different types of anthropogenic disturbance. The association Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis shows a higher occurrence of Mediterranean taxa and a lower occurrence of cosmopolitan species. The dif-
ferent presence of Mediterranean taxa derives from the dif-
ferent climatic and biogeographic conditions between the
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On the clayey slopes of peninsular Italy. In this community, like in Sorghum halepense-dominated stands, few species accompany the dominant one, with the presence of some shrubs in the most evolved aspects (Biondi et al. 1992). An alien-dominated, geophytic plant community showing pheno-
logic and ecological affinities with the Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis is the Saponario–Artemisietum verlotiorum (Arction, Artemisietea vulgaris), which has its maximum development in summer–autumn and occurs on gravel bars, on quickly drying substrates (Baldoni and Biondi 1993). Another perennial ruderal community from the Inulo–Agropyron, with a sporadic presence of Sorghum halepense, was detected on gravel bars of Tuscany. Such vegetation type is species-rich and dominated by hemicryp-
tophytes like Dittrichia viscosa, thus being very different from the Potentillo–Sorghetum. Such species are almost absent in the association Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis, which is charac-
terized by a remarkable presence of hemicryptophytes of the class Artemisietea vulgaris (Medicago sativa, Picris hieracioides, Silene latifolia). The abundance of geophytes is also relevant (Cynodon dactylon, Equisetum ramosissimum).

In general, the high representation of widely distributed elements suggests that both associations Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis and Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis are azonal, potentially cosmopolitan and co-
occurring in the same areas. They could also be ecological vicariants in contexts of different types of anthropogenic disturbance. The association Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis shows a higher occurrence of Mediterranean taxa and a lower occurrence of cosmopolitan species. The dif-
ferent presence of Mediterranean taxa derives from the dif-
ferent climatic and biogeographic conditions between the
Italian Peninsula and the Balkans, while the higher occurrence of wide distribution species in Balkan communities might be linked to tillage (Laban 1975).

The dominant species *Sorghum halepense* reaches much higher cover values in the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*, with a consequent lower species richness compared to the association *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*. Except for *Cynodon dactylon* and *Convolvulus arvensis*, no other species are usually able to reach high cover values in the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*, due to the competition exerted by the dominant species. On the contrary, many other species may be dominant or co-dominant with *Sorghum halepense* in the association *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*, like *Calépina irregularis*, *Elymus repens*, and *Salvia verticillata*, some of which are diagnostic of the several sub-associations described by Laban (1975).

The inner variability detected in the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* led us to highlight the existence of two different variants, linked to different conditions of moisture availability and disturbance. Given the potential wide distribution of the described communities, other variants or even sub-associations could be identified in future. The detection of the variant with *Elymus repens* suggests a possible dynamic linkage between the associations *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* and *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*, since this variant can be interpreted as a dynamic stage linked to intermediate disturbance levels.

In the past, syntaxa identifying communities featured by *Sorghum halepense* were mainly described for arable vegetation (Baldoni 1995; Ştefan and Oprea 1997; Mitić et al. 2009; Nowak and Nowak 2013). Such vegetation types include plant communities that are dominated by annual species, classified in the class *Stellarietea mediae* s.l. Like the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*, they are very different in floristic composition and ecology from the roadside vegetation here classified in the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*. Consistently with our results, also other authors classified perennial vegetation dominated or characterized by *Sorghum halepense* in the class *Artemisietea vulgaris* (Fanelli 2002; Biondi et al. 2009; Denk and Berg 2014). The Italian communities from Marche previously classified as *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* could be possibly classified in the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*. Recognizing the perennial character of the detected communities, Biondi et al. (2009) classified them in the alliance *Convolvulo arvensis–Agropyretum repentis* and in the class *Artemisietea vulgaris*, though the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* describes annual communities belonging to the class *Stellarietea mediae* s.l. Additionally, the gravel bank vegetation types detected by De Marchi et al. (1979) could be classified in the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*. However, we were not able to retrieve the relevés from these studies to include them in our analyses. On the contrary, the relevés from Fanelli (2002) were included in our analyses and classified in the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis*.

The low species richness observed in the surveyed communities highlights the relevant competitive ability of the dominant species, with a consequent decrease of the levels of native plant diversity once it is established. Therefore, besides expert-based assessments, field data will be necessary in future to quantify the impact of *Sorghum halepense*-dominated vegetation on native plant communities (Lazzaro et al. 2020).

### 5 Conclusion

In this work, we provided the first contribution to the phytosociological knowledge of roadside *Sorghum halepense*-dominated vegetation in areas of southern Europe where this vegetation type is very common. Our analyses highlighted the distinctiveness of such communities from those of the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis*, an association of annual vegetation erroneously used as a reference for perennial *Sorghum halepense*-dominated communities of peninsular Italy. This allowed the description of the new association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* in the alliance *Inulo viscosae–Agropyretum repentis* and in the class *Artemisietea vulgaris*. Moreover, the detection of an agricultural variant featured by *Convulvulus sepium* and *Elymus repens* suggested a dynamical linkage between the *Cynodonto–Sorghetum halepensis* and the *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* communities. Given the high representation of widely distributed species in the surveyed vegetation type, it is likely that the actual distribution of the association *Potentillo reptantis–Sorghetum halepensis* is much wider than that highlighted by our results, which supported its presence in Italy, Kosovo, and Slovenia.

Our work provides a first baseline for the knowledge of alien-dominated plant communities that are known to be negatively affecting some Natura 2000 habitats. Such vegetation is also a source of many noxious weeds for agriculture. Further research will allow a better understanding of roadside *Sorghum halepense*-dominated vegetation in terms of distribution, spread mechanisms, and its impacts on EU habitats and native plant communities.

### 6 Other syntaxa mentioned in the text (in alphabetic order)

*Agrostio stoloniferae–Agropyretum repentis* Biondi et Allegrezza 1996; *Arundinetum plinianae* Biondi, Brugia-paglia, Allegrezza et Ballelli 1992; *Bidention tripartitae*
Nordhagen ex Klika et Hadač 1944; Chenopodietea Br.-Bl. in Br.-Bl. et al. 1952; Chenopodion rubri (Tx. in Poli et J. Tx. 1960) Hilbig et Jage 1972; Convolvulo arvensis-Agropyretum repentis Felfoldy 1943; Convolvulo arvensis-Agropyron repentinis Görs 1967; Cynancho acuti-Sorghetum halepensis Ştefan et Oprea 1997; Cynodontoto-Sorghetum halepensis (Laban 1974) Köjic 1979; Daucus carotaep-Tussilaginetum farfarae Biondi, Brugiapaglia, Allegrezza et Ballelli 1989; Digitario sanguinalis-Eragrostietea minoris Mucina, Lososová et Šilc 2016; Hibisco trioni-Sorghetum halepensis Mitić et al. 2009; Isoëto-Nanojuncetae Br.-Bl. et Tx. in Br.-Bl. et al. 1952; Littorelletea uniflorae Br.-Bl. et Tx. ex Westhoff et al. 1946; Panico sanguinalis-Polygonetum persicariae Pignatti 1953 sorghetosum halepensis Baldoni 1995; Papaveretee rhoeadis Brullo et al. 2001; Sapinario-Artemisietum verlotiorum Baldoni et Biondi 1993; Setario ambiguue-Sorghetum halepensis Ştefan et Oprea 1997; Setario lutescentis-Sorghetum halepensis Ştefan et Oprea 1997; Sisymbrietea Gutte et Hilbig 1975; Sorghetum halepensis Ricardo et Vilamajó 2005; Stellarietae mediae Tx. et al. in Tx. ex von Rohchow 1951.

Supplementary Information: The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-022-01050-3.

Funding: F.K. was financed by the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0236).

Declarations:

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest/competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Open Access: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References:

Arthan W, McKain MR, Traiperm P, Welker CAD, Teisher JK, Kellogg EA (2017) Phylogenomics of Andropogoneae (Panicoideae: Poaceae) of Mainland Southeast Asia. Syst Bot 42(3):418–431. https://doi.org/10.1600/036364417X696023

Baldoni M (1995) Vegetazione infestante le colture erbarie delle Marche e dei piani carsici dell’Appennino umbro-marchigiano (Italia centrale) e serie di vegetazione [Weed vegetation of herbaceous crops in Marche and in the karst plateaus of the Umbria-Marche Appennine (central Italy) and vegetation series]. Colloques Phytosociologiques 24:787–812

Baldoni M, Biondi E (1993) La vegetazione del medio e basso corso del Fiume Esino (Marche – Italia centrale) [The vegetation of the middle and lower stretch of the Esino River (Marche – central Italy)]. Studia Botanica 11:209–257

Bartolucci F, Peruzzi L, Galasso G, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Ardenghi NMG, Astuti G, Bacchetta G, Ballelli S, Bani E, Barberis G, Bernardo L, Bouvet D, Bovio M, Cecchi L, Di Pietro R, Domina G, Fascetti S, Fenu G, Fести F, Foggi B, Gallo L, Gottschlich G, Gubellini L, Iamicono D, Iberite M, Jiménez-Mejías P, Lattanzio E, Marchetti D, Martinetto E, Masin R, Medagli P, Passalacqua NG, Peccenini S, Pennesi R, Pierini B, Poldini L, Proser F, Raimondo FM, Roma-Marzio F, Rosati L, Santangelò A, Scoppola A, Scortegagna S, Selvaggi A, Selvi F, Soldano A, Stinca A, Wagensommer RP, Wilhelm T, Conti F (2018) An updated checklist of the vascular flora native to Italy. Plant Biosyst 152(2):179–303. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996

Biondi E, Pesaresi S (2004) The badland vegetation of the northern-coastal Apennines (Italy). Fitosociologia 41(1):155–170

Biondi E, Brugiapaglia E, Allegrezza M, Ballelli S (1992) La vegetazione del litorale marchigiano (Adriatico centro-settentrionale) [The vegetation of coastal Marche (central-northern Adriatic)]. Coll Phytosoc N.s. 19:429–460

Biondi E, Zivkovic L, Esposito L, Pesaresi S (2009) Vegetation, plant landscape and habitat analyses of a fluvial ecosystem in central Italy. Acta Bot Gal 156(4):571–587. https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2009.10516178

Biondi E, Blasi C, Allegrezza M, Anzellotti I, Azzella MM, Carli E, Casavecchia S, Copiz R, Del Vico F, Facioni L, Galdenzi D, Gasparri R, Lasen C, Pesaresi S, Poldini L, Sbrulino G, Taffetani F, Vagge I, Zitti S, Zivkovic L (2014) Plant communities of Italy: the vegetation Prodrome. Plant Biosyst 148(4):728–814. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2014.948527

Braun-Blanquet J (1964) Plant Sociology: the study of plant communities. Hafer Publishing Company, New York

Brullo S, Scelsi F, Spampinato G (2001) La vegetazione dell’Aspromonte. Studi Fitosociologici [The vegetation of the Aspromonte. Phytosociological study], Lannura, Reggio Calabria.

Celestì-Grapow L, Alessandrini A, Arrigoni PV, Assini S, Banfi E, Barni E, Bovio M, Brundu G, Cagiotti MR, Camarda I, Carli E, Conti F, Del Guacchio E, Domina G, Fascetti S, Galasso G, Gubellini L, Lucchese F, Medagli P, Passalacqua NG, Peccenini S, Poldini L, Pretto F, Proser F, Vidali M, Vegli M, Villani MC, Wilhelm T, Blasi C (2009) Inventory of the non-native flora of the middle and lower stretch of the Esino River (Marche – central Italy). Phytosociologiques 24:787–812

Chytrý M, Tichý L, Holt J, Botta-Dukát Z (2002) Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. J Veg Sci 13(1):79–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02025.x

De Marchi A, Zanotti Censoni AL, Corbetta E, Ghetti PE (1979) La vegetazione Prodrome. Plant Biosyst 148(4):728–814. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.15238078.2009.10516178

Denk V, Berg C (2014) Do short-lived ruderal and arable weed communities reflect regional climate differences? A case study from SE Slovakia. Tuexenia 34:305–328. https://doi.org/10.14471/2014.34.014

Fanelli G (2002) Analisi fitosociologica dell’area metropolitana di Roma [Phytosociological analysis of Rome metropolitan area]. Braun-Blanquetia 27:1–269
