Spatio-Temporal Patterns in kdr Frequency in Permethrin and DDT Resistant Anopheles gambiae s.s. from Uganda
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Abstract. The planned upscaling of vector control strategies requires insight into the epidemiological consequences of vector resistance. Therefore, the pyrethroid and DDT resistance status of Anopheles gambiae s.l. was assessed in Uganda from 2004 to 2006, and spatial and seasonal variations in knockdown resistance (kdr) frequencies were analyzed in terms of epidemiological significance. Anopheles gambiae s.l. was DDT and pyrethroid resistant in central and eastern Uganda. The L1014S kdr allele frequencies varied from 3% to 48% in An. gambiae s.s. Although the homozygous resistant genotype was the most prevalent genotype among survivors, the genotypes could not entirely explain the bioassay results. In the dry season, the kdr frequency was significantly higher in Plasmodium falciparum-infected mosquitoes, indicating that mosquitoes bearing a kdr mutation have a better adult survival, hence a higher likelihood of becoming infectious. This study showed that kdr might have an epidemiological impact that could jeopardize the vector control strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Uganda is one of the target countries benefiting from the United States President’s Malaria Initiative (PMI), a 5-year initiative led by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The long-term goal of this PMI initiative is to cut malaria mortality by 50% in 15 African countries by 1) expanding access to long-lasting insecticide-treated bed nets (LNs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS), 2) promoting and supporting effective malaria treatment through the use of proven combination therapies, and 3) increasing prevention efforts targeted to pregnant women. The intensive use of insecticide in the malaria control activities means that widespread mosquito insecticide resistance could have a devastating effect on the planned upscaling of the vector control activities. In the African mosquitoes, insecticide resistance was first reported in Anopheles gambiae from the Ivory Coast and was soon found to be widespread in West, Central, and Eastern Africa.

In Uganda, the malaria vector control is based on the use of bed nets impregnated with pyrethroid insecticides and the use of DDT for indoor residual spraying. Both insecticides target the voltage-gated sodium channel in the insect nervous system. In several insects, mutations in the para-type sodium channel gene have been linked to pyrethroid and DDT resistance, known as knockdown resistance (kdr). In An. gambiae s.s., knockdown resistance is caused by a single mutation resulting in a leucine-to-phenylalanine (L1014F, West African mutation) or leucine-to-serine (L1014S, East African mutation) change. Although both mutations are assumed to provide DDT and pyrethroid resistance, the L1014F kdr mutation might give a higher level of pyrethroid resistance than the L1014S kdr mutation. The impact of knockdown resistance on the efficacy of the vector control programs remains uncertain.

Previous studies showed that both kdr mutations are present in An. gambiae s.s. of Uganda. The L1014S kdr mutation is present at a relatively high frequency, whereas the L1014F kdr mutation is found at a low frequency in mosquitoes possessing the L1014S kdr mutation. The aim of this study is to assess the pyrethroid and DDT resistance status of the major malaria vectors and the role of the L1014S kdr mutation in providing pyrethroid and DDT resistance. Therefore, spatio-temporal patterns in kdr frequency were studied, the presence of the kdr mutation was assessed in sporozoite-infected and non-infected mosquitoes, and the presence of the L1014S kdr mutation was linked to the insecticide resistance status against pyrethroid and DDT as measured in the World Health Organization (WHO) standard susceptibility bioassay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mosquito collections. In the framework of a study on the dynamics of malaria transmission (2001–2002), mosquitoes were collected indoors (from 8:00 PM to 6:00 AM) and outdoors (from 8:00 PM to 11:00 PM) by human landing in seven villages, each located in a different district (Apea, Arua, Jinja, Kanungu, Kyenjojo, Mubende, and Tororo). The study locations were also sentinel sites for the monitoring of anti-malaria drug efficacy. For each village, 11 entomological surveys were conducted from June 2001 until May 2002 as described in Okello and others. From 2004 to 2006, mosquitoes were collected for the WHO bioassays using indoor human landing (8:00 PM to 6:00 AM). Additional samples were trapped during the entire night by using a double net system: an outside untreated net with holes and an inside intact net with a sleeping person. At least two villages per sentinel district, including the villages surveyed during the longitudinal study, were prospected (Figure 1). All collected Anopheles mosquitoes were identified morphologically in the field using a simplified illustrated key adapted from Gillies and Coetzee.

DNA extraction. One to six legs of individual mosquitoes were used for genomic DNA extraction, applying the procedure described in Collins and others. The DNA was resuspended in 25 μL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8; 1 mM EDTA). A negative control was included with every set of extractions.

Molecular identification. The Anopheles funestus mosquitoes from the bioassays were identified following the protocol of Garros and others to assess the reliability of the morphological identification. Samples of the An. gambiae complex were identified using an adapted version of the polymerase chain
reaction (PCR) developed by Scott and others to distinguish the different member species. Determination of the M and S molecular form was done, according to Favia and others, on the An. gambiae samples collected between 2001 and 2002.

Bioassays. Bioassays were performed on the morphologically identified An. gambiae s.l. and An. funestus mosquitoes collected from 2004 to 2006 using the standard WHO susceptibility test kit with discriminating concentrations of permethrin 0.75%, deltamethrin 0.05%, and DDT 4%. The impregnated and control papers were supplied by the Universiti Sains Malaysia and were not used more than five times. The exposure time was 60 min with tubes maintained in vertical position. Each test comprises replicates of 20 mosquitoes per test tube. The mosquitoes were kept under observation for 24 hours, supplied with 10% sugar solution, and the mortality was read after this 24-hour period. The bioassay results were summarized in three resistance classes as defined by WHO. A 24 hours post exposure mortality less than 80% indicates that the tested population is resistant, whereas a mortality higher than 98% indicates that the population is susceptible. With intermediate mortality levels the population is classified as suspected for resistance, and further confirmation is needed.

Detection of kdr mutations and analysis of kdr frequency. The presence of the L1014S kdr mutation and the L1014F kdr mutation in An. gambiae s.s. was assessed on specimens collected during the longitudinal epidemiological study using adapted versions of the allele-specific PCRs (AS-PCR) developed by Martinez-Torres and others and described in Verhaeghen and others. A fluorescence resonance energy transfer/melt curve analysis (FRET/MCA) was used for quality control of the AS-PCR on a sample of the longitudinal survey specimens (N = 290). The FRET/MCA was used to detect the L1014S and L1014F kdr mutation in samples of the WHO bioassay (collected in 2004–2006). The kdr frequencies of the An. gambiae s.s. populations were analyzed using FSTAT. For one village (Tororo), seasonal variation in kdr frequency was analyzed on the samples of the longitudinal survey. The results obtained for survey 3, 7, and 8, representing the dry season, were pooled. The wet season grouping includes the pooled results of the remaining 8 surveys. Differences in kdr frequency between Plasmodium falciparum sporozoite-infected and non-infected mosquitoes were assessed. Previous results of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) tests to detect the circumsporozoite protein in head and thorax were used for that purpose. In addition, the entomological inoculation rate, as calculated by Okello and others, was reassessed for mosquitoes with (homozygous and heterozygous) and without (wild-type) the kdr mutation. The kdr genotype frequencies of mosquitoes exposed to the WHO bioassay were compared for dead and surviving mosquitoes using Genepop (version 3.4).

Detection of metabolic resistance. The surviving control mosquitoes of the WHO bioassay (district Tororo: village NAA, NAB, and district Kanungu: village KHA) were stored in liquid nitrogen. Biochemical assays were performed on the An. gambiae control mosquitoes of Tororo (NAA, NAB; collected in 2004) to measure the involvement of metabolic resistance mechanisms. The levels of non-specific esterases, monooxygenase, and glutathione S-transferases (GST) were measured according to Penilla and others. Because no fully susceptible reference An. gambiae colony strain was available, the field collected An. gambiae s.s. from Kanungu (KHA in 2005) were used as controls in the bioassays and kept in liquid nitrogen to be used as reference. This reference population
showed a 24 hour mortality of 100% against permethrin and 98% against DDT. The two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z test (SPSS version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used to compare the enzyme levels.

**RESULTS**

**Molecular identification.** All mosquitoes of the longitudinal surveys (2001–2002), which were further used for kdr determination, were molecularly confirmed as *An. gambiae* s.s. Molecular identifications of *An. gambiae* s.s. mosquitoes (N = 904) indicate that only the S-form of *An. gambiae* is present in the seven districts.

For the bioassays, the molecular identifications of the *An. gambiae* s.l. (N = 1,435) and *An. funestus* (N = 44) mosquitoes confirmed the morphological identification (*An. gambiae* s.l.: 90%; *An. funestus*: 100% correctly identified). Only in Arua, 43% of the morphologically identified *An. gambiae* s.l. (N = 51) appeared to be *An. funestus*. No pyrethroid and DDT resistance was detected in this district; hence, the misidentification had no effect. Differences in L1014S frequency were found among the sites of Mubende, Kanungu, Kyenjojo, Apac, and Tororo and fluctuated between 25% and 30%. The peri-urban village of Jinja had an intermediate kdr frequency of 13%, whereas the lowest value was found in Arua (3%). The L1014S kdr frequency of Jinja and Arua differed significantly from each other (P < 0.0005) and from

**WHO bioassays (2004–2006).** All bioassays were done on at least 75 mosquitoes. In the northwestern part of the country, no pyrethroid or DDT resistance has been detected so far. The DDT resistance is mainly found in the *An. gambiae* s.l. populations of the central and eastern parts of the country. Permethrin resistance was observed in the districts of Tororo and Apac. The permethrin resistant status of *An. gambiae* s.s. in Mubende needs some clarification, because bioassays done at different occasions showed conflicting results (Figure 1, Table 1). The resistance status of *An. gambiae* s.l. against deltamethrin was assessed in *An. gambiae* s.l. of the districts Jinja, Kanungu, and Tororo. Only in Tororo, the *An. gambiae* s.l. population was classified as suspected resistance against deltamethrin. In Apac, the *An. funestus* populations showed suspected resistance against DDT and permethrin (Table 1).

**Presence of kdr mutations.** For 2001–2002, substantial differences in L1014S kdr frequency were found among the seven sentinel sites (F_1 = 0.083, P = 0.001). The kdr frequencies did not differ (P > 0.05) among the sites of Mubende, Kanungu, Kyenjojo, Apac, and Tororo and fluctuated between 25% and 30%. The peri-urban village of Jinja had an intermediate kdr frequency of 13%, whereas the lowest value was found in Arua (3%). The L1014S kdr frequency of Jinja and Arua differed significantly from each other (P < 0.0005) and from

| Species          | District | Village | Month/Year | 4% DDT (n) | 0.75% Permethrin (n) | 0.05% Deltamethrin (n) |
|------------------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|
| *An. funestus*   | Apac     | ADA     | Jan 2005   | 99 (101)  | 99 (97)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          |         |            | 99 (101)  | 99 (97)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          | ADB     | Oct 2004   | 95 (177)  | 98 (175)             | Nd                     |
|                  |          |         | Jan 2005   | 97 (97)   | 98 (138)             | Nd                     |
|                  |          |         | Sept 2006  | 81 (80)   | 92 (75)‡              | Nd                     |
| *An. gambiae*    | Apac     | ADA     | Sept 2006  | 76 (80)   | 81 (80)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          | ADB     | Sept 2006  | 63 (80)   | 80 (80)              | Nd                     |
|                  | Arua     | AUA     | April 2005 | 99 (200)  | 100 (193)            | Nd                     |
|                  |          | AUB     | April 2005 | 100 (202) | 100 (199)            | Nd                     |
|                  | Jinja    | JIA     | Jan 2005   | 81 (80)   | 96 (79)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          |         | Nov 2006   | 67 (87)   | 74 (85)‡              | 98 (93)‡               |
|                  |          | JIB     | July 2004  | 87 (202)  | 99 (195)‡             | 100 (77)               |
|                  | Kanungu  | KHA     | Oct 2004   | 97 (180)‡ | Nd                    | 100 (98)               |
|                  |          | KHB     | May 2005   | 97 (197)  | 100 (198)            | Nd                     |
|                  |          | KHC     | Oct 2006   | 97 (91)   | 99 (83)‡              | 100 (97)               |
|                  | Kyenjojo | KYA     | May 2005   | 93 (101)  | 95 (80)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          | KYB     | May 2005   | 98 (80)   | 100 (75)             | Nd                     |
|                  | Mubende  | MUA     | April 2005 | 86 (88)   | 99 (81)              | Nd                     |
|                  |          | MUB     | April 2005 | 97 (79)   | 100 (99)             | Nd                     |
|                  | Tororo   | NAA     | June 2004  | 93 (204)  | 76 (200)‡             | 98 (97)                |
|                  |          |         | Feb 2006   | 86 (101)  | 91 (91)              | 100 (80)               |
|                  |          |         | Nov 2006   | 70 (200)  | 85 (199)             | 97 (97)                |
|                  |          | NAB     | June 2004  | 90 (214)§ | 84 (215)§            | 95 (81)                |
|                  |          | BWE     | Aug 2006   | 83 (90)   | 96 (92)              | 100 (100)              |
|                  |          | TRK     | March 2006 | 93 (151)  | 78 (166)            | 98 (178)               |
|                  |          | TWW     | March 2006 | 60 (161)  | 81 (151)             | 97 (178)               |

*Nd = not done.
Mortality in the controls was always < 5% except † = 5%; ‡ = 6%; § = 7%.

Table 1

Twenty-four hours mortality observed in the WHO bioassay with discriminating concentrations of DDT, permethrin, and deltamethrin (mortality was not corrected for mortality in the controls)*

---

**Anopheles gambiae** s.s. and *An. arabiensis* were sympatric in Tororo (5% *An. arabiensis*) and Jinja (1% *An. arabiensis*). *Anopheles arabiensis* was found among bioassay survivors and non-survivors.
the five other sites ($P < 0.002$; except Jinja versus Kenjojo $P = 0.019$). The L1014F kdr allele was only found in An. gambiae s.s. mosquitoes, which already possessed the L1014S kdr allele and occurred only in Tororo (0.3%) and Mubende (3%) at a low frequency (Table 2). The quality control done with the FRET/MCA, showed for the L1014S and L1014F kdr mutation discrepancy for 10 and 2 An. gambiae s.s. specimens, respectively ($N = 290$). The discrepancies between the AS-PCR and the FRET/MCA had no effect on the kdr frequencies measured in the different An. gambiae s.s. populations.

During 2004–2006, the L1014S kdr frequency of Kanungu differed significantly from Mubende and Tororo ($P = 0.005$), whereas the L1014S kdr frequency of Kyenjojo differed from Tororo ($P = 0.005$) (Table 2). Between 2001 and 2002 and 2004 and 2006 there were significant increases in L1014S kdr frequency for the districts Jinja, Mubende, and Tororo ($P < 0.002$). Even during 2001–2002, temporal variations in L1014S kdr frequencies were found among the different surveys (monthly interval) for the districts Tororo ($F_{st} = 0.010$, $P < 0.002$, range 24–43%) and Jinja ($F_{st} = 0.057$, $P = 0.020$, range 2–27%). Seasonal variation in L1014S kdr frequency was further examined on the An. gambiae s.s. mosquitoes collected between 2001 and 2002 in Tororo.

During the period 2001–2002, no differences in L1014S kdr allelic frequency were found between the dry and wet season ($F_{st} = 0.003$; $P = 0.0858$). Comparison of positive and negative mosquitoes for circumsporozoite protein detection by ELISA showed that mosquitoes that were positive for $P$. falciparum sporozoites had a higher L1014S kdr allelic frequency ($F_{st} = 0.006$; $P = 0.033$). When both data on season and the sporozoite status were combined, the difference in kdr allelic frequency was even more pronounced. In the dry season, the L1014S kdr allelic frequency was significantly higher in mosquitoes that contained $P$. falciparum sporozoites compared with non-infected mosquitoes ($F_{st} = 0.055$; $P = 0.001$). In the wet season, the L1014S kdr frequency was found to be similar in sporozoite positive and negative mosquitoes ($F_{st} = −0.002$; $P = 1.000$) (Figure 2).

In Tororo, the entomological inoculation rate (EIR), which estimates the level of exposure to malaria parasite-infected mosquitoes was also measured for the different surveys. It showed that both, mosquitoes with and without the L1014S kdr mutation, contribute to the malaria transmission. Moreover, mosquitoes with and without kdr contributed equally to the annual entomological inoculation rate (AEIR): the AEIR for non kdr mosquitoes was 222 bites per man-year versus 234 bites per man-year for L1014S kdr positive mosquitoes.

| District | 2001–2002 | 2004–2006 | 2001–2002 | 2004–2006 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Apac 29% | (777) na   | 0% (175)  | Na        |
| Arua 3%  | (758) na   | 0% (55)   | Na        |
| Jinja 13%| (111) 34%  | (61) 20%  | (46) 0%   | (61) 0%   |
| Kanungu 27%| (495) 32% | (152) 0%  | (106) 3%  | (106) 0%  |
| Kyenjojo 31%| (62) 48% | (106) 0%  | (152) 3%  | (152) 0%  |
| Mubende 25%| (173) 47% | (399) 0%  | (399) 0%  |
| Tororo 29%| (1293) 47%| (399) 0%  | (399) 0%  |

*Period 2001–2002: refers to the longitudinal study. Period 2004–2006: bioassays. na = not available.

During 2001–2002, the L1014S and L1014F kdr frequencies observed in Anopheles gambiae s.s. populations of the several districts of Uganda (number between brackets)*

![Figure 2](image-url). Impact of the season and the *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite status on the L1014S kdr allelic frequency in Tororo detected during the 1-year entomological study (2001–2002).
However, in the dry season, mosquitoes bearing the kdr mutation contribute 70% to the EIR.

**Role of L1014S kdr mutation in providing resistance.** To assess the role of the L1014S kdr allele in conferring pyrethroid and DDT resistance in *An. gambiae* s.s., the kdr genotype was determined for dead and alive mosquitoes detected in the WHO bioassay (Figure 3). Among both bioassay survivors and non-survivors, all kdr genotypes (L1014/L1014, L1014S/L1014, and L1014S/L1014S) were found. Yet, the homozygous resistant genotype (L1014S/L1014S) was the most prevalent genotype among the bioassay survivors. This correlation was found for both DDT and permethrin, but was stronger for DDT ($F_{st} = 0.317$ for DDT; $F_{st} = 0.074$ for permethrin).

For deltamethrin, there were no differences in genotypic distribution, although this can be caused by the limited number of specimens surviving the deltamethrin exposure (Figure 3). Although there was a significant kdr genotype differentiation between bioassay survivors and non-survivors, homozygous susceptible mosquitoes were found among bioassay survivors, which can suggest that other resistance mechanisms are present. To assess the involvement of metabolic resistance mechanisms, biochemical assays were performed on the resistant *An. gambiae* s.s. population of the Tororo district (NAA and NAB). A significant increase in esterase activity was measured with the substrate para-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) in the resistant *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of Tororo, compared with activity measured for the KHA population. The levels of monoxygenases and GST activities measured in the *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of NAA and NAB did not differ from the levels measured in KHA (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In the Roll Back Malaria Initiative, malaria vector control programs have a prominent place. Information on the resistance status of the vector can be used to guide the insecticide use for vector control programs. Therefore, WHO bioassays were used in seven sentinel sites throughout Uganda to assess the insecticide resistance status of the main malaria vectors *An. gambiae* s.l. and *An. funestus* against permethrin, deltamethrin, and DDT.

The DDT resistance in *An. gambiae* s.l. was found to be widespread in Uganda and mainly observed in the central and eastern parts of the country. Permethrin resistance was mainly observed in the densely populated eastern part of Uganda (Tororo and Apac) where cotton was grown in the past. In Tororo, the *An. gambiae* s.l. population was classified as suspected resistance against deltamethrin. The permethrin
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**Figure 3.** Pooled kdr genotypes frequencies found in live (A) and dead (D) Anopheles gambiae s.s individuals (collected from 2004 to 2006). The P values found between surviving and dead Anopheles species are shown at the bottom.

| Site | WHO bioassay† | Biochemical assays |
|------|---------------|-------------------|
|      | DDT4% Perm 0.75% | Esterase (PNPA) (mmol/min/mg protein) | Monooxygenase (nmol equivalent unit cyt P450/ mg protein) | GST (CDNB) (mmol/min/mg protein) |
|      | Deltamethrin 0.05% | n Mean SE | P value‡ | Mean SE | P value‡ | Mean SE | P value‡ |
| KHA  | S | S | S | 30 | 0.0403 | 0.0030 | 0.2161 | 0.0280 | 0.0645 | 0.0183 |
| NAA  | SR | R | S | 59 | 0.0905 | 0.0079 | 0.1565 | 0.0194 | 0.2049 | 0.0560 | 0.366 |
| NAB  | SR | SR | SR | 28 | 0.0846 | 0.0141 | 0.1898 | 0.0531 | 0.0613 | 0.0151 | 0.791 |

*The esterase activity was measured with para-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA), whereas the GST activity was measured with 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) as substrate.
†Mortality categories: S = susceptible (mortality > 98%); SR = suspected resistance (mortality between 80% and 98%); R = resistant (mortality < 80%).
‡Mean rank of population is increased compared with the mean rank of the KHA *An. gambiae* s.s. population.
resistance status of *An. gambiae* s.l. in Mubende still needs clarification. In Apac, *An. funestus* express some evidence of DDT and permethrin resistance.

Clear spatial differences in *kdr* allele frequencies were observed in Uganda. In many sites, the *kdr* allele frequency rose above 30%, which is much higher than the 8% observed in nearby Kenya. In addition to the spatial patterns in *kdr* frequency; temporal variations were observed in Tororo and Jinja between the different study periods 2001–2002 and 2004–2006. These spatio-temporal patterns in *kdr* allelic frequencies were generated by a complex interplay between the population biology and genetics of the vector, the insecticide pressure presence in the ecosystem, the role the mutation plays in conferring resistance and its associated fitness cost.

In general, the lowest L1014S *kdr* frequencies were detected in the permethrin and DDT susceptible *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of western Uganda, areas where coffee, tea, and tobacco plantations are present. The highest L1014S *kdr* frequencies occurred in cotton-growing areas of Uganda. Furthermore, in *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of Burkina Faso, the L1014F *kdr* frequency was high in cotton-growing and urban areas and low in areas with limited insecticide selection pressure from agriculture (e.g., rice fields). The monthly variation in *kdr* allelic frequencies observed during the longitudinal study (2001–2002) ranged from 24% to 43% in Tororo and from 2% to 27% in Jinja, which raised the question of whether this variation can be caused by seasonal variation in insecticide pressure. However, when data were grouped by season, no variation in *kdr* allelic frequency was observed. This contrasts with the situation in southwestern Nigeria where the L1014F *kdr* frequency in *An. gambiae* s.s. in the dry season was significantly lower than in the wet season. Yet, when the *P. falciparum* sporozoite status is taken into account, the *kdr* frequency was significantly higher in *P. falciparum*-infected mosquitoes during the dry season. This might indicate that mosquitoes bearing a *kdr* have a fitness advantage in the dry season, e.g., by seasonal changes in insecticide pressure, advantage resulting in better adult survival, hence in a higher likelihood of becoming infectious. This study shows that mosquitoes bearing *kdr* mutations contributed 70% to the malaria transmission in the dry season. Because *kdr* frequencies can increase rapidly, this longevity advantage might have enormous implications for the malaria transmission and might jeopardize the current resistance management strategies. Previous studies on the fitness cost of resistance mechanism concluded that metabolic resistance mechanisms often lead to serious disadvantages, whereas *kdr*-type mechanisms of pyrethroid resistance may confer little or no effect on overall fitness. If fitness cost of *kdr* is low, the *kdr* frequency can remain high, even in the absence of insecticide pressure. In addition, the incomplete recessive nature of the *kdr* mutation will keep it protected from selection in the heterozygous state.

Moreover, the role of L1014S *kdr* mutation in conferring insecticide resistance was assessed. Previous studies on the role of the L1014S *kdr* mutation assumed that this *kdr* mutation in *Culex pipiens* and *An. gambiae* s.s. confers high levels of DDT resistance and low levels of permethrin resistance. The WHO bioassays performed on *An. gambiae* s.s. from Uganda showed that the homozygous resistant genotype (L1014S/L1014S) is the most prevalent genotype among the bioassay survivors. This correlation was found for both DDT and permethrin, but was stronger for DDT. However, the *kdr* genotype types do not explain entirely the bioassay results. The L1014S *kdr* frequency has already reached 20% in the DDT susceptible *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of Kanungu, showing that the mutation is not absolute in conferring phenotypic insecticide resistance. The high proportion of *kdr* homozygous susceptible specimens, which survived the WHO bioassays, may suggest the implication of other insecticide resistance mechanisms. The biochemical assays showed a significant increased esterase activity in pyrethroid and DDT resistant *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of Tororo (NAA, NAB) compared with pyrethroid and DDT susceptible population of Kanungu (KHA). Synergist studies should be performed on *An. gambiae* s.s. of Tororo to identify the detoxifying role of the esterases, but it is likely that these esterases confer pyrethroid resistance as in the neighboring country, Kenya, both esterases and monoxygenases are involved in pyrethroid resistance in *An. gambiae* s.s. As different pyrethroid and DDT resistance levels and resistance mechanisms were observed in the main vectors of Uganda, the impact of the detected insecticide resistance on the current vector control programs should be further determined by performing experimental hut studies. Previous studies have shown that a high L1014F *kdr* frequency in *An. gambiae* s.s. populations of the Ivory Coast had no effect on the effectiveness of pyrethroid-treated nets. However, in neighboring countries (Benin and Equatorial Guinea) insecticide-treated nets (ITN) or IRS failed to control *kdr* resistant *An. gambiae*. Metabolic pyrethroid resistance in *An. funestus* of South Africa required a switch back from pyrethroid insecticides to DDT for house spraying to restore the malaria control, whereas metabolic resistance in *An. gambiae* s.s. of Cameroon did not influence the personal protection afforded by ITNs by sustained irritancy, but did reduce the vector mortality, which could in turn limit the mass effect. However, the presence of multiple resistance mechanisms in the malaria vectors of Uganda might influence the planned upsampling of IRS and LNs. Resistance management strategies could be implemented to delay the emergence of insecticide resistance. Pyrethroids could be preserved for ITNs, whereas non-pyrethroid insecticides such as carbamates or organophosphates could be used for IRS. In addition, WHO bioassays should be performed regularly to monitor trends in insecticide resistance as net bioassays performed in western Uganda have showed the dynamic properties of pyrethroid resistance by observing a 1.5-fold decrease in pyrethroid mortality in *An. gambiae* s.l. over a period of only 10 years. The presence, though at small frequency, of the West African *kdr* mutation needs to be carefully followed, as this *kdr* mutation was previously related with high levels of both pyrethroid and DDT resistance.

Received December 22, 2008. Accepted for publication November 27, 2009.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the School of Medical Entomology in Kampala, Uganda, for the excellent entomological work and the Ministry of Health of Uganda for facilitating this research. We thank Valérie Obsomer for providing maps of Uganda and are grateful to Olivier Rombauts for data analysis. We are grateful to Thierry Backeljau for reading and providing useful comments for this manuscript.

Financial support: This research was financed by the Belgian Directorate-General for Development Co-operation and was funded by a Ph.D. grant of the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-Vlaanderen).
Authors’ addresses: Katrijn Verhaeghen, Wim Van Bortel, and Patricia Roelants, Department of Parasitology, Prince Leopold Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, E-mails: kverhaeghen@itg.be, wvbortel@itg.be, and proelants@itg.be. Paul Edward Okello, Kampala, Uganda, E-mail: okellopal2000@yahoo.co.uk. Ambrose Talisuna, Health Services, Epidemiology and Surveillance, Ministry of Health, Kampala, Uganda, E-mail: atalisuna@yahoo.com. Marc Coosemans, Department of Parasitology, Prince Leopold Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium and Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmaceutical, Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium, E-mail: mcoosemans@itg.be.

REFERENCES

1. President’s Malaria Initiative Uganda, 2009. Malaria Operational Plan. Available at: http://www.fightingmalaria.gov/gho/countries/profiles/uganda_profile.pdf. Accessed April 2009.
2. Elissa N, Mouchet J, Riviere F, Meunier JY, Yao K, 1993. Resistance of Anopheles gambiae s.s. to pyrethroids in Côte d’Ivoire. Ann Soc Belg Med Trop 73: 291–294.
3. WHO, 2005. Atlas of Insecticide Resistance in Malaria Vectors of the WHO African Region. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available at: http://www.afro.who.int/dphe/publications/atlas_final_version.pdf. Accessed October 2005.
4. Soderlund DM, Knipple DC, 2003. The molecular biology of knockdown resistance to pyrethroid insecticides. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 33: 563–577.
5. Martinez-Torres D, Chandra F, Williamson MS, Darriet F, Bergé JB, Devonshire AL, Guilpil P, Pasteur N, Pauron D, 1998. Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria vector Anopheles gambiae s.s. Insect Mol Biol 7: 179–184.
6. Ramson H, Jensen B, Vulume JM, Wang X, Hemingway J, Collins FH, 2000. Identification of a point mutation in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of Kenyan Anopheles gambiae associated with resistance to DDT and pyrethroids. Insect Mol Biol 9: 491–497.
7. Darriet F, N’Guessan R, Koffi AA, Konan L, Doannio JM, Chamb T, Berto F, Chaud F, Carnevale P. 2000. Impact de la résistance aux pyréthroids sur efficacité des moustiquaires imprégnales dans prévention du paludisme: résultats des essais en cas expérimentaux avec la deltaméthrine SC. Bull Soc Pathol Exot 93: 131–134.
8. Mahama T, Desire EJ, Pierre C, Fabrice C, 2007. Effectiveness of permanent in Côte d’Ivoire rural areas and residual activity on a knockdown-resistant strain of Anopheles gambiae s.s. J Med Entomol 44: 498–502.
9. Sharp BL, Ridl FC, Govender D, Kuklinsky J, Kleinschmit I, 2002. Malaria vector control by indoor residual insecticide spraying in the tropical island of Bioko, Equatorial Guinea. Malar J 6: 52.
10. Verhaeghen K, Van Bortel W, Roelants P, Backeljau T, Coosemans M, 2006. Detection of the East and West African kdr mutation in Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis from Uganda using a new assay based on FRET/Melt Curve Analysis. Malar J 5: 16.
11. Okello PE, Van Bortel W, Byaruahama AM, Correwyn A, Roelants P, Talisuna A, D’Alessandro U, Coosemans M, 2006. Variation in malaria transmission intensity in seven sites throughout Uganda. Am J Trop Med Hyg 75: 219–225.
12. Gillies MT, Coetzee M, 1987. Supplement to the Anophelines of Southern Africa South of the Sahara. Publication no. 55. Johannesburg, South Africa: South African Institute for Medical Research.
13. Collins FH, Mendez MA, Rasmussen MO, McCarthy PC, Besansky NJ, Finnerty V, 1987. A ribosomal RNA gene probe differentiates member species of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Am J Trop Med Hyg 37: 37–41.
14. Garros C, Koeckemoer LL, Kamau L, Awolola TS, Van Bortel W, Coetzee M, Coosemans M, Mangui S, 2004. Restriction fragment length polymorphism method for the identification of major African and Asian malaria vectors within the Anopheles funestus and An. minimus groups. Am J Trop Med Hyg 70: 260–265.
15. Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH, 1993. Identification of single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 49: 520–529.
16. Favia G, della Torre A, Bagayoko M, Lanfancott A, Sagnon N, Touré TT, Coluzzi M, 1997. Molecular identification of sympatric chromosomal forms of Anopheles gambiae and further evidence of their reproductive isolation. Insect Mol Biol 6: 377–383.
17. WHO, 1998. Report of the WHO Informal Consultation. Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors, bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticides on treated surfaces. Geneva: WHO.
18. Goudet J, 1995. FSTAT (version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F statistics. J Hered 86: 485–486.
19. Raymond M, Rousset F, 1995. Genepop (version 1.2): a population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Hered 86: 248–249.
20. Penilla PR, Rodriguez AD, Hemingway J, Torres JL, Arredonde-Jimenez JI, Rodriguez MH, 1998. Resistance management strategies in malaria vector mosquito control. Baseline data for a large-scale field trial against Anopheles albimanus in Mexico. Med Vet Entomol 12: 217–233.
21. Stump AD, Atieli FK, Vulume JM, Besansky NJ, 2004. Dynamics of the pyrethroid knockdown resistance allele in Western Kenyan populations of Anopheles gambiae in response to insecticide-treated bed nets trials. Am J Trop Med Hyg 70: 591–596.
22. Diabaté A, Balde T, Chande F, Akogbeto M, Guiguemde TR, Darriet F, Brengues C, Guilpil P, Hemingway J, Small GJ, Hougard JM, 2002. The role of agricultural use of insecticides in resistance to pyrethroids in Anopheles gambiae s.l. in Burkina Faso. Am J Trop Med Hyg 67: 617–622.
23. Awolola TS, Oduola AO, Oyenwole IO, Obansa JA, Amajoh CN, Koekemoe RL, Coetzee M, 2007. Dynamics of knockdown pyrethroid insecticide resistance alleles in a field population of Anopheles gambiae s.s. in southwestern Nigeria. J Vector Borne Dis 44: 181–188.
24. Protopopoff N, Verhaeghen K, Van Bortel W, Roelants P, Marcotty T, Baza D, D’Alessandro U, Coosemans M, 2008. A significant increase in kdr in Anopheles gambiae is associated with an intensive vector control intervention in Burundi highlands. Trop Med Int Health 13: 1479–1487.
25. Roush RT, Mckenzie JA, 1987. Ecological genetics of insecticide resistance and acaricide resistance. Annu Rev Entomol 32: 361–380.
26. Martinez-Torres D, Chevillon C, Brun-Barale A, Bergé JB, Pasteur N, Pauron D, 1999. Voltage-dependent Na+ channels in pyrethroid-resistant Culex pipiens L. mosquitoes. Pestic Sci 55: 1012–1020.
27. Vulume JM, Beach RF, Atieli FK, McAllister JC, Brogdon WG, Roberts JM, Mwangi RW, Hawley WA, 1999. Elevated oxidase and esterase levels associated with permethrin tolerance in Anopheles gambiae from Kenyan villages using permethrin-impregnated nets. Med Vet Entomol 13: 239–244.
28. Hougard JM, Corbel V, N’Guessan R, Darriet F, Chander F, Akogbeto M, Baldet T, Guilpil P, Carnevalle P, Traore-Lamizana M, 2003. Efficacy of mosquito nets treated with insecticide mixtures or mosaics against insecticide resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Côte d’Ivoire. Bull Entomol Res 93: 491–498.
29. Asidi AN, N’Guessan R, Hutchinson RA, Traore-Lamizana M, Carnevalle P, Curtis CF, 2004. Experimental hut comparisons of nets treated with carbamate or pyrethroid insecticides, washed or unwashed, against pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes. Med Vet Entomol 18: 134–140.
30. Henry MC, Assi SB, Rogier C, Sou-Yoyo J, Chander F, Guilpil P, Carnevalle P, 2005. Protective efficacy of lambda-cyhalothrin treated nets in Anopheles gambiae pyrethroid resistance areas in Western Côte d’Ivoire. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73: 859–864.
31. N’Guessan R, Corbel V, Akogbeto M, Rowland M, 2007. Reduced efficacy of insecticide-treated nets and indoor residual spraying for malaria control in pyrethroid resistance area, Benin. Emerg Infect Dis 13: 199–206.
32. Hargreaves K, Koeckemoer LL, Brooke B, Hunt RH, Mthembu J, Coetzee M, 2000. Anopheles funestus resistant to pyrethroid insecticides in South Africa. Med Vet Entomol 14: 181–189.
33. Etang J, Chande F, Guilpil P, Manga L, 2004. Reduced bio-efficacy of permethrin EC impregnated bednets against an
Anopheles gambiae strain with oxidase-based pyrethroid tolerance. Malar J 3: 46.

34. John R, Ephraim T, Andrew A, 2008. Reduced susceptibility to pyrethroid insecticide treated nets by the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae s.l. in western Uganda. Malar J 7: 92.

35. Vais H, Williamson MS, Goodson SJ, Devonshire AL, Warmke JW, Usherwood PNR, Cohen CJ, 2000. Activation of Drosophila sodium channels promotes modification by deltamethrin: reductions in affinity caused by knock-down resistance mutations. J Gen Physiol 115: 305–318.

36. Vais H, Atkinson S, Pluteanu F, Goodson SJ, Devonshire AL, Williamson MS, Usherwood PNR, 2003. Mutations of the para sodium channel of Drosophila melanogaster identify putative binding sites for pyrethroids. Mol Pharmacol 64: 914–922.