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ESSENTIAL MARKET SQUID (DORYTEUTHIS OPALESCENS) EMBRYO HABITAT: A BASELINE FOR ANTICIPATED OCEAN CLIMATE CHANGE

MICHAEL O. NAVARRO,1,2* P. ED PARNELL1 AND LISA A. LEVIN1
1Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Center for Marine Biodiversity and Conservation and Integrative Oceanography Division, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92039; 2University of Alaska Southeast, Department of Natural Sciences, 11275 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801

ABSTRACT The market squid Doryteuthis opalescens deposits embryo capsules onto the continental shelf from Baja California to southern Alaska, yet little is known about the environment of embryo habitat. This study provides a baseline of environmental data and insights on factors underlying site selection for embryo deposition off southern California, and defines current essential embryo habitat using (1) remotely operated vehicle–supported surveys of benthos and environmental variables, (2) SCUBA surveys, and (3) bottom measurements of T, S, pH, and O2. Here, embryo habitat is defined using embryo capsule density, capsule bed area, consistent bed footprint, and association with [O2] and pH (pCO2) on the shelf. Spatial variation in embryo capsule density and location appears dependent on environmental conditions, whereas the temporal pattern of year-round spawning is not. Embryos require [O2] greater than 160 μmol and pH1 greater than 7.8. Temperature does not appear to be limiting (range: 9.5°C–15.5°C). Dense embryo beds were observed infrequently, whereas low-density cryptic aggregations were common. Observations of dense embryo aggregation in response to shoaling of low [O2] and pH indicate habitat compression. Essential embryo habitat likely expands and contracts in space and time directly with regional occurrence of appropriate O2 and pH exposure. Embryo habitat will likely be at future risk of compression given secular trends of deoxygenation and acidification within the Southern California Bight. Increasingly localized and dense spawning may become more common, resulting in potentially important changes in market squid ecology and management.
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INTRODUCTION

Market squid Doryteuthis opalescens range in the North East Pacific from Baja California Sur, Mexico, to Southeast Alaska, United States (Okutani & McGowan 1969, Wing & Mercer 1990). Essential habitat is defined within the Magnuson–Stevens Act (United States) as “waters and substrate necessary to fish (including invertebrates) for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity.” Market squid deposit their embryo capsules predominantly onto sandy seafloor habitats on the continental shelf (<100 m depth; Bernard 1980, Street 1983, Zeidberg et al. 2011), which presently represents a coarse definition of essential habitat for this species. Utilization of soft bottom habitats may be partly due to the availability of extensive sandy plains on the shelf (Revelle & Sheppard 1939) and that adult squid may have no strong affinity toward a particular sandy habitat (Young et al. 2011).

Market squid are a forage species (Morejohn et al. 1978) within the California and Alaska current systems. This species currently supports an important commercial fishery in California (Porzio 2013) and was proposed as the target of a new commercial fishery in Southeast Alaska in 2018. Economic exploitation of market squid began in Monterey in the 1850s by Chinese immigrants, later expanding to include Italian immigrants, and developed into a major fishery in the 1970s (Vojkovich 1998, Brady 2008). Since the 1990s, the commercial fishery has thrived in the Southern California Bight (SCB) (Vojkovich 1998, Brady 2008, Porzio 2015). Market squid have been commercially harvested at or close to the present annual quota of 107,048 m tons from the 2010 to 2011 through the 2015 seasons (Porzio 2015) and, in 2014, constituted ~64% of the entire biomass of all the marine species captured and 30% of the ex-vessel value for all landings off California (Porzio 2015). The relative importance of catch among California fisheries switched from fish to invertebrates in the 1990s, and the importance of invertebrate fisheries has steadily increased (Rogers-Bennett & Juhasz 2014). Therefore, fishery trends in California reflect regional patterns of fishing down food webs (Pauly et al. 1998, Pauly & Palomares 2005). Overfishing has decreased vertebrate predators of squid, thus, releasing controls on nearshore squid populations (Caddy 1983). This has resulted in the expansion of squid fishing especially in response to shortages of finfish (Arkhipkin et al. 2015).

The greatest abundances of embryo capsules in the SCB have been reported at temperatures between 10°C and 14.4°C (Zeidberg et al. 2011). The majority of commercial fishing for market squid off California occurs in the SCB (Porzio 2015) where pH and [O2] decrease nonlinearly with depth and are predicted to decline with changing climate (Gruber et al. 2012, Takeda et al. 2015) in addition to periodic stress associated with El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Turi et al. 2018). The compression of rockfish habitat has already been attributed to decreased [O2] and pH (McClatchie et al. 2010). These declines have also been shown to slow the development of mussel larvae (Frieder et al. 2014) and squid embryos (Navarro et al. 2014, 2016, Pierce 2017).

Fishery-independent surveys of market squid embryo capsules in the SCB were last conducted in the 1950s (McGowan 1954, Okutani & McGowan 1969). Recent surveys of commercially fished areas suggest that areas used by squid embryos (Zeidberg et al. 2011) and paralarvae (Koslow & Allen 2011, Van Noord & Dorval 2017) are exposed to waters containing low [O2] and pH. If so, impacts on squid populations would...
likely also affect other species. As a forage species, market squid are an energetically integral part of the ecosystem both as predator and prey. Market squid predators include fish, seabirds, and marine mammals (Morejohn et al. 1978). Thus, the characterization of [O$_2$], pH, and temperature conditions preferred by squid for capsule deposition is necessary for defining essential habitat.

Market squid have high growth rates and a short generation time (usually 6–8 mo; Yang et al. 1986, Jackson & Domeier 2003). Spawning ages of squid are highly dependent on oceanographic conditions (e.g., ENSO), ranging from ~4.5 mo (129–137 days) to ~8 mo (225–257 days; Jackson & Domeier 2003). Market squid are semelparous (Fields 1965), death occurs within a week of spawning, and most viable embryos are deposited on the first day of spawning (Perretti et al. 2015). Fast growth rates and a short generation time likely enable market squid populations to respond rapidly to changes in oceanographic conditions (Jackson & Domeier 2003, Zeidberg et al. 2004, Pecl & Jackson 2008, Koslow & Allen 2011).

Observations of market squid spawning occur primarily from October to May in the SCB (Henry et al. 2005), and occur within the same areas and habitats each spawning season (Fields 1965, Vojkovich 1998, Zeidberg & Hammer 2002). Fields (1965) found that market squid consistently deposit embryo capsules at or immediately next to aerated seawater inflow in the laboratory, suggesting water motion and elevated levels of [O$_2$] and pH (low pCO$_2$) are important cues for site selection.

Over large scales, embryo habitat for market squid are estimated to likely encompass much of the continental shelf off California (Zeidberg et al. 2011), the central coast of Canada (Bernard 1980), and Southeast Alaska (Street 1983). In the SCB, which may regularly comprise the largest portion of the commercial fishery biomass for market squid (Dorval et al. 2013), environmental conditions vary among years, seasons (Hickey 1979, Checkley & Barth 2009, Send & Nam 2012), and days (Frieder et al. 2012) and can be strongly event-based (Nam et al. 2011). In situ physicochemical conditions that embryos are exposed to during their development are presently not known, including how squid embryo habitat is influenced by seasonal, event, and daily variation.

**OBJECTIVE**

The overall objective of this study was to develop methods to evaluate the essential embryo habitat of market squid *Doryteuthis opalescens*, with particular attention to physicochemical characteristics. This study defines essential embryo habitat using two main characteristics: (1) sites frequently selected by spawning squid for egg deposition and (2) the physicochemical climate of such realized embryo habitat. Site selection is a key determinant, as spawning squid presumably deposit embryos in areas that optimize the development of their progeny, and hence, provide a means to understand which habitats squid use. Thus, this study defines the realized squid embryo habitat on biotic and chemical environmental attributes in time and space, and characterizes benthic capsule environmental exposures in these locations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Spawning Site**

Market squid regularly use the same areas for spawning throughout their range (Shimek et al. 1984, Jefferds et al. 1987, Young et al. 2011). This study was conducted in northern La Jolla, San Diego, CA (hereafter referred to as NLJ; 32.86° N, 117.28° W), where squid embryos have been consistently observed, and spawning aggregations have been known to commercial squid fishers since the early 1900s. This site also has the longest history of in situ scientific observation of market squid embryos throughout their range (McGowan 1954, Okutani & McGowan 1969).

Temperature and salinity have been recorded at the nearby (~1 km) Scripps Institution of Oceanography pier since 1916 (McGowan et al. 2010). Environmental measurements, including pH, [O$_2$], salinity, temperature, and density from the surface to the lower continental shelf have also been monitored nearby (within ~6 km) since 2005 (Del Mar mooring, NNW, 32.93° N, 117.31° W; Nam et al. 2011, Send & Nam 2012). The carbonate chemistry of seawater near the seafloor has been characterized and the rates of ocean acidification have been predicted up to the year 2100 for the area (Takeshita et al. 2015). Geologically, the seafloor in La Jolla has been described (Le Dantec et al. 2010, Switzer et al. 2016) with particular consideration for the La Jolla submarine canyon complex (Pauil et al. 2013). Many known squid spawning habitats are found next to submarine canyons. Northern La Jolla is regularly used by squid for spawning, and NLJ is where the biological study of market squid embryo beds first began (McGowan 1954, Okutani & McGowan 1969). The largest squid embryo bed reported (1.76 × 10$^{12}$ embryos) was observed at this site, estimated to have covered an area spanning 1.6 × 10$^7$ m$^2$ (Okutani & McGowan 1969). Importantly, these records were completed before squid were targeted in the SCB by the commercial fishery (Vojkovich 1998).

**Environmental Data Acquisition within Embryo Habitat**

Comprehensive environmental data from within the squid embryo bed were documented from August 30, 2012 to October 21, 2013 at the NLJ site. A SeapHos instrument (Martz et al. 2010, Bresnahan et al. 2014) was stationed 0.5 m above the seafloor to measure seafloor water characteristics (see Fig. 1 for instrument location). The SeapHos recorded T, S, pressure, O$_2$, and pH$_T$ every 15 min between June 23, 2012 and July 4, 2013, spanning four deployments. A SeaBird MicroCAT CTD (SBE 37SMP-IDO) mounted on the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) used for surveying the benthos recorded T, S, P, and O$_2$ at 1-min intervals (Figs. 1 and 2). Temperature and [O$_2$] were used to estimate pH$_T$ based on Alin et al. (2012).

**Biological Data Acquisition**

Biological data were acquired on the inner shelf (10–100 m depth, Fig. 1) using a Seabotix LBV150 ROV equipped with two external light-emitting diode lights (1.080 lumen, 140 deg beam angle) and red scaling lasers separated by 5 cm (Switzer et al. 2016). Squid embryo capsules were collected using SCUBA on 19 days distributed throughout the study period (May 2012 to January 2014; Navarro 2014). Taxon-specific depth and spatial data were developed from ROV video surveys of seafloor megafauna. Surveys were temporally stratified to include upwelling
Areas of embryo beds were extrapolated from ROV tracks, and densities were estimated from counts of mops (capsule aggregations) and isolated embryo capsules at 5 sec intervals. Mop sizes were categorized by the number of capsules using a log₄ classification ("small" ≤ 4, 5 ≤ "medium" < 24, and 25 ≤ "large" < 125 capsules). Density was averaged for each survey (n = 18) and multiplied by
track survey area to estimate capsule totals. A regression model was used to estimate seasonal squid embryo counts from embryo collections (Navarro 2014) because the number of embryos per capsule has been shown to vary (McGowan 1954, Fields 1965, Zeidberg et al. 2011). Embryo abundance was calculated as the product of total capsule count and the number of embryos per capsule.

**RESULTS**

**Essential Squid Embryo Habitat**

Oxygen, pH, and S were continuously measured within embryo habitat for the first time, and temperature was measured in situ throughout embryogenesis across multiple cohorts. Previously, studies of embryo capsule habitat at any given site were limited to hours or days. This study mean observed values of oxygen, pH, S, and T were 187 μM (±52 μM), 7.88 (±0.12), 33.5 (±0.1), and 12.5°C (±1.7°C), respectively (±1 SE), when squid were present (n = 713; Table 1). Depth distributions of oxygen varied considerably among days that ROV surveys were conducted (Figs. 3 and 4).

Squid embryo habitat was concentrated in the shallow margins of the shelf (<35 m), usually next to, but not within kelp forests [see Fig. 5 for ROV survey tracks, hill-shaded topography, and kelp forest distributions from Parnell (2015)]. The distribution of embryos observed during ROV surveys is shown in Figure 6. Embryo depths were highly variable and ranged from 10 to 92 m (Fig. 7, Tables 2 and 3). Essentially, embryo habitat was limited to zones of high O2 and pH ([O2] > 160 μmol kg−1, pH > 7.8). Temperature, O2, and pH were always the highest and salinity was the lowest at shallow depths (<35 m). The deepest limit of embryo distribution was dynamic, once extending to greater than 90 m. This extension co-occurred with the depth expansion of elevated O2 and pH. Embryo beds were observed during most surveys at NLJ (eight of nine surveys) at depths less than 50 m, whereas the embryo beds were observed on only five of nine surveys at depths greater than or equal to 50 m (Tables 2 and 3). The deeper limit of squid embryo habitat ranged from 33 to 91 m and the shallow boundary ranged from 10 to 33 m. Embryo habitat was highly structured and easily observed in the shallows when T, O2, and pH at depth were at annual lows. By contrast, embryos were more difficult to observe (i.e., pseudo-cryptic) and found at all depths of the shelf when T, O2, and pH were at annual highs. Environmental conditions within and outside of embryo beds were significantly different (P < 0.0001 for depth, temperature, salinity, oxygen, Wilcoxon test; Table 1).

Adequate densities of spawning aggregations are vital for marine predators and fishers to detect the spawning occurrence of squid. In this study, embryo habitat was dense (>2 embryo capsules/m²; Fig. 8, Table 3), primarily, when the shelf had relatively low [O2] (<135 μM; Fig. 6) and pH. During these periods, squid aggregated to those areas with the highest available [O2] and pH, and contracted to depths of less than or equal to 40 m. Embryo capsules were much less dense...
(<2 embryo capsules/m²) when O₂ and pH₁ were the greatest ([O₂]: 160.2–276.9 μM, pH₁: 7.81–8.11; Table 3). Capsules were observed broadly across the shelf at depths below 40 m during these conditions.

Continuous measurements of pH₂, T, S, and [O₂] within squid embryo habitat were highly variable both years (Fig. 9). This variability occurred primarily at semidiurnal (M2) and diurnal (K1) periods (Fig. 10). Power spectra of SeapHOx T, O₂, and pH were consistent with M2 and K1 internal wave propagation on the shelf.

Substrate Selection

Adults attached their embryos to various soft and hard substrates during the study. Most observations of embryos occurred over unconsolidated substrata, with most of these observations occurring on sand (–2 ≤ φ ≤ 3) as opposed to silts and muds (φ > 5). Embryo capsules were observed across sandy bedforms including flats, ripples, and waves. Although surveys over submarine canyon walls were only conducted when embryos were observed as deep as the canyon rim, observations indicate that squid embryo capsules were often present and only at slightly lower densities than on the shelf above the canyon (average density = 4.23 capsules/m²). Submarine canyon walls were the most used hard substrate for embryo deposition (five of five ROV surveys). On the submarine canyon walls, market squid attached capsules onto horizontal and vertical surfaces of rocks and within rock crevices. Market squid were observed attaching their capsules to lone kelp stipes outside of the kelp forest and to artificial substrates, including scientific instruments in the study area.

Biotic Associations

Biotic interactions between squid embryos and other benthic species were commonly observed. Embryo capsules were frequently observed with other shelf biota including Diodon hystrix (polychaete: 2.3% of observations), Astropygopsis spp. (bivalve: 11.4%), Synodus lucioceps (teleost: 5.8%), Neverita lewisi (egg cases (gastropod: 5.1%), Stylatula elongata (anthozoan: 5.1%), Infaunal Ophiuroidea (4.1%), Adelgorgia phyllocellettara (gorgonian: 3.6%), and Cancrid crabs (2.4%). Of note, the gastropods Kellettia kelletii and Neoburayna spadicea, as well as the teleosts Sebastes spp. were only observed in association with embryo capsules.

Adults did not attach capsules on kelps near the NLJ site (Fig. 5), although observations were made of embryo capsules attached to kelp fronds outside of the forest (Navarro 2014). Notably, there was a precipitous decline of capsules observed near the forest edge habitat (Fig. 6). A 50-m-wide zone over the sand next to the kelp forest mainly lacked embryo capsules (Fig. 6). Within this area, capsules were observed only twice and were at the lowest densities of any of the areas observed at NLJ (0.09 capsules/m²; Fig. 6B). The kelp edge habitat had similar chemical, physical, and geological properties as nearby embryo beds.

Other areas having similar physicochemical characteristics that were mainly unoccupied by squid embryos included areas where the sand dollar Dendraster excentricus was densely distributed (approximately thousands/m²) and co-occurrence was rare (only 0.5% of all market squid capsules were observed in association with sand dollars). Furthermore, market squid capsules were only observed as single-isolated capsules, where they co-occurred with sand dollars.

Essential Embryo Habitat and Footprint through Year-Round Spawning

Market squid embryos occurred throughout all seasons from the summer of 2012 through the fall of 2013 as observed in

\[ \text{TABLE 1.} \]

Environmental factors in and out of Dorteuthis opalescens embryo beds from ROV surveys (August 1, 2012 to July 30, 2013; depth range = 11.2–94.5 m).

| Stat  | T (°C) | T (°C) | [O₂] (μM) | [O₂] (μM) | pH₂ | pH₂ | D (m) | D (m) | S | S |
|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---|---|
| AVG   | 11.63 | 12.49 | 161.6     | 186.7     | 7.818 | 7.876 | 45.5  | 35.6  | 33.57 | 33.51 |
| SD    | 1.45  | 1.71  | 47.1      | 52.2      | 96.03 | 0.116 | 19.7  | 13.1  | 0.12  | 0.12  |
| MAX   | 16.45 | 15.46 | 276.9     | 266.3     | 8.110 | 8.060 | 94.4  | 91.5  | 33.93 | 33.90 |
| MIN   | 9.59  | 9.87  | 84.4      | 88.2      | 7.660 | 7.670 | 11.2  | 11.3  | 33.35 | 33.31 |
| N     | 1,101 | 1,101 | 713       | 713       | 1,101 | 713   | 1,101 | 713   | 1,101 | 713   |
| $\chi^2$ | 118.6 |       | 93.4      |          | 104.4 |      | 120.2 |      | 114.2 |      |
| P     | <0.0001|       | <0.0001   |          | <0.0001|      | <0.0001|      | <0.0001|      |

pH was estimated using temperature and oxygen data (Alin et al. 2012). Underlined = data acquired when D. opalescens embryo capsules were absent; Italicized = data acquired when D. opalescens embryo capsules were present. Bold and italicized = significant. AVG = average, MAX = maximum, MIN = minimum, N = number of samples.
the ROV surveys. Seasonal observations of capsules were fairly uniform (spring = 2, summer = 3, fall = 2, and winter = 3; see Tables 2 and 3). At NLJ, dying or dead spawning squid and empty capsules (indicating hatched embryos) were commonly observed. Embryo capsules were observed over large areas, where mass spawning occurred (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first direct evidence that market squid can spawn throughout the year in the SCB. Market squid were first observed to spawn year-round in the 1940s off Monterey, CA (Fields 1950). Previously in the SCB, year-round spawning had only been inferred from statolith-based age estimation (Jackson & Domeier 2003, Reiss et al. 2004). A recent genetic study provided molecular evidence supporting year-round spawning throughout regions of California and indicated that squid self-recruit to their natal regions; 66% of the time (Cheng 2015). Although self-recruitment was not tested, it is the most parsimonious explanation for the timing of squid spawning observed during the study. For many species, likely including market squid, embryo habitat site selection involves a mix of approaches from tradition-based to individual assessment (Warner 1990). Although this area of research is data poor for market squid, understanding how female squid select sites to deposit embryos, along the gradient from tradition to individual assessment, establishes a foundation for understanding the environment that embryos experience in nature.

The spatial pattern of embryo deposition was clearly affected by variation in T, O₂, pH, and S, whereas the timing of spawning appears independent of abiotic factors. When shelf sites with high [O₂] and pH_T ([O₂] > 160 μmol, pH_T > 7.8, respectively) are limited to less than 40 m depth, squid typically restricted capsule deposition to the shallows (<40 m depth) and in dense aggregations (>2 capsules/m²). Loliginid embryos are dependent on aerobic metabolism and can be negatively affected by low [O₂] (Roberts 2005, Zeidberg et al. 2011). By contrast, when the high [O₂] and pH zone expands to the 90-m contour, squid appear to respond by laying embryo capsules over a broader range of depths and at low densities effectively making them more cryptic. Crypsis is a primary defense strategy for cephalopods (Hanlon & Messenger 1996), therefore, embryos encompassing a larger area at lower density can potentially increase their survival. The observations of the prevalence of “cryptic” spawning events in this study are novel.

Squid embryo habitat is spatiotemporally dynamic because environmental conditions on the southern California shelf are highly variable. Internal waves are amplified near sharp topographic breaks, such as submarine canyons crests, where many persistent egg deposition sites are located immediately up-shelf, thus, enhancing physicochemical variability. All sessile organisms that inhabit the shelf, including market squid embryos, are exposed to oscillating physical conditions (frequency of 6–12 h)
due to internal tides and waves. Often, water masses with high \([O_2]\) and pH alternate with waters having low \([O_2]\) and pH driven by thermocline variation at daily to seasonal scales. Results of laboratory studies indicate that squid embryos can survive in low \([O_2]\) and pH environments (Navarro et al. 2016, Pierce 2017). Extended periods of low \([O_2]\) and pH are stressful to market squid embryos as evidenced by decreased hatch rates (Pierce 2017). A 2-wk period of upwelling in April 2013 when \([O_2]\) and pH were consistently low was observed (Fig. 9). Exposure to low pH and \([O_2]\) conditions will likely become increasingly frequent given predicted changes in the California Current System (Gruber et al. 2012). Chronic stressful exposures may occur most frequently during strong La Niñas [positive Southern Oscillation Index, negative Multivariate ENSO Index, and negative California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigation (CalCOFI) temperature anomaly] and during seasonal upwelling events (spring/summer), when the upwelling index is sustained >200 m\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)/100 m coastline (Jackson & Domeier 2003, Nam et al. 2011, Send & Nam 2012). Local physicochemical climates are hypothesized to control optimal spawning habitat, and biotic interactions interact with these climates to affect realized spawning habitat.

**Optimal Embryo Habitat and ENSO**

The relationship between market squid and ENSO appears to vary among life stages. The results of this study indicate that market squid have much more suitable habitat available for egg deposition during El Niños because T, \(O_2\), and pH through all depths down to \(\sim 100\) m are conducive for embryo development (Table 3). Conversely, shelf conditions are stressful for squid embryos during La Niñas, (Navarro et al. 2016, Pierce 2017) when \([O_2]\) and pH are depressed (Nam et al. 2011, Turi et al. 2018). Depressed pH and \([O_2]\) environments slow embryo

---

**Figure 5.** Remotely operated vehicle tracks indicating squid embryo presence (red) and absence (black) for all areas surveyed offshore of San Diego County, United States (A). Magnified view of the surveys conducted offshore of Solana Beach (B) and north La Jolla (C). Kelp is indicated in khaki.
growth rates, leading to a longer embryo period, increase the frequency that embryos remained underdeveloped (Navarro et al. 2016), decrease hatch rates, and significantly alter gene expression of stress response in oxygen-sensitive genes and transcriptome factors involved in developmental timing (Pierce 2017).

La Niña and other low [O2] conditions are clearly unfavorable for developing embryos. There is recent evidence indicating that...
La Niña conditions are also adverse for the paralarval life stage (Perretti & Sedarat 2016). Predicted environmental conditions at the NLJ spawning site include increasing acidification (Gruber et al. 2012, Takeshita et al. 2015) that will likely coincide with reduced oxygenation and warming (Turi et al. 2018). As periods of low oxygen and pH exposure increase in frequency and intensity, market squid embryo habitat will likely be compressed in time and space, and the occurrence of large and dense spawning aggregations may become increasingly frequent. It is presently impossible to gauge the relative importance of mass deposition and cryptic deposition on squid population dynamics.

**Biotic Control as a Driver of Realized-Embryo Habitat**

Biotic interactions appear to be an important factor for spawning site selection. Site selection affects the ability of embryo capsules to remain anchored to the substrate long enough for development. Such biological factors interact to define the realized habitat of market squid and are essential for an accurate understanding of embryo environmental exposure climates.

Adults may aggregate for defense, to overwhelm marine mammals and other predators when embryo habitat is compressed. Aggregated spawning has likely evolved to satiate predators, enabling an adequate number of spawning squid to mate and lay eggs. In this study, predation might influence spawning site selection for embryo deposition. Embryo capsules were rarely observed within the 50-m boundary adjacent to kelp forests, both off La Jolla and Solana Beach. Areas adjacent to kelp forests are hypothesized to represent a potential “zone of fear” or an area with enhanced predation of spawning squid, and represent a biotic control of squid embryo habitat. Predators of squid (e.g., fish) are abundant within and at the edges of kelp forests. During this study, squid embryo capsules were rarely observed within sand dollar beds. Avoidance of kelp forests and their edges, and the avoidance of sand dollar beds clearly limit embryo depositional habitat.

Possible predatory controls on embryo bed distribution most commonly found in kelp beds include *Kelletia kelletii*, *Neobernaya spadicea* (Zeidberg et al. 2004), and *Sebastes* spp. (Zeidberg et al. 2011). In this study, observations of biota found with squid embryo capsules differ from a report from Monterey Bay, which includes *Patiria miniata*, *Mediaster aequalis*, *Pycnopodia helianthoides*, *Citharichthys stigmaeus*, and *Rhinogobiops nicholsii* (Zeidberg et al. 2004).

Observations of squid commonly using submarine canyon walls as embryo habitat have not been reported previously (Young et al. 2011). The lack of reporting may be an artifact that reflects difficulties encountered in complex geological areas, where ROV and AUV observations are problematic. The distributions of embryo capsules observed in this study support the premise that predation pressure on spawning squid dictates the realized embryo habitat pattern rather than predation on embryos themselves.

### Identifying the Population Bottleneck and Resolving the ENSO Paradox

For more than a decade, scientists have questioned why market squid spawning is depressed when environmental conditions (El Niños) are favorable for embryo development and growth. Jackson and Domeier (2003) found that squid grow faster at warmer temperatures, yet, in nature, adult squid are

| Survey number | Date       | Season | ROV coordinates | Location     | Survey depth range (m) | Doryteuthis opalescens embryo depth range (m) |
|---------------|------------|--------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1             | August 1, 2012 | Summer | 32.80° N, 117.31° W | Pacific Beach | 38.2–75.1              | Absent                                        |
| 2             | August 30, 2012 | Summer | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 33.4–91.5              | 33.4–91.5                                    |
| 3             | August 30, 2012 | Summer | 32.93° N, 117.30° W | Del Mar      | 40.6–94.4              | Absent                                        |
| 4             | September 30, 2012 | Fall  | 32.95° N, 117.28° W | Solana Beach | 22.0–40.0              | 22.0–40.0                                    |
| 5             | January 5, 2013 | Winter | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 28.5–71.0              | 28.5–33.4                                    |
| 6             | January 5, 2013 | Winter | 32.80° N, 117.31° W | Pacific Beach | 39.9–70.8              | Absent                                        |
| 7             | February 12, 2013 | Winter | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | 7 NLJ        | 11.2–65.1              | 11.3–55.3                                    |
| 8             | March 5, 2013   | Winter | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | 8 NLJ        | 21.0–77.4              | 24.7–64.1                                    |
| 9             | March 26, 2013  | Spring | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 19.0–78.9              | 21.2–68.4                                    |
| 10            | June 14, 2013   | Spring | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 16.1–75.9              | 21.6–40.6                                    |
| 11            | June 26, 2013   | Summer | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 13.2–74.8              | 24.9–48.3                                    |
| 12            | June 26, 2013   | Summer | 32.80° N, 117.31° W | Pacific Beach | 19.6–80.3              | Absent                                        |
| 13            | July 30, 2013   | Summer | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 11.2–82.8              | 10.3–65.5                                    |
| 14            | July 30, 2013   | Summer | 32.70° N, 117.27° W | Point Loma   | 31.2–50.5              | 31.2–50.5                                    |
| 15            | August 14, 2013 | Summer | 32.93° N, 117.30° W | Del Mar      | 23.9–93.8              | Absent                                        |
| 16            | October 21, 2013 | Fall  | 32.86° N, 117.28° W | NLJ          | 6.2–103.1              | Absent                                        |
| 17            | October 21, 2013 | Fall  | 32.93° N, 117.30° W | Del Mar      | 8.8–126.1              | 23.1–40.2                                    |
| 18            | January 25, 2014 | Winter | 32.93° N, 117.32° W | Del Mar      | 36.5–146.5             | Absent                                        |
TABLE 3.
Estimated total embryo count per ROV survey.

| Survey number | Max [O2] (µM) | Max pH | O2/pH max zone depth (m) | O2/pH max zone depth (m) | Squid max density (capsules/m²) | Squid max density (capsules/m²) | Estimated bed area (m²) | Estimated embryo/capsule | Estimated total embryo count |
|---------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2             | 250.3–266.3   | 8.00–8.06 | 33.4–43.1 | 42.0 | 1.5 | 0.063 | 569,720 | 129 | 4,630,114 |
| 4             | 250.6–276.9   | 8.03–8.11 | 12.5–32.0 | 28.1 | 350.1 | 7.919 | 516,852 | 128 | 523,897,726 |
| 5             | 190.3–194.2   | 7.88–7.89 | 28.5–38.2 | 29.2 | 5.2 | 1.548 | 15,494 | 141 | 3,381,844 |
| 6             | 254.8         | 8.01    | 11.3–29.7 | 28.7 | 3.7 | 0.158 | 294,949 | 153 | 7,130,097 |
| 7             | 185.3–199.1   | 7.86–7.89 | 21.0–34.8 | 29.2 | 9.7 | 0.267 | 371,430 | 162 | 16,065,833 |
| 8             | 160.2–184.0   | 7.81–7.86 | 26.6–31.2 | 27.9 | 71.4 | 3.714 | 731,642 | 171 | 464,661,444 |
| 9             | 202.6–212.5   | 7.89–7.90 | 19.1–26.1 | 28.0 | 7.9 | 0.183 | 78,494 | 219 | 3,145,210 |
| 10            | 169.7–182.4   | 7.83–7.88 | 13.3–19.4 | 27.8 | 21.2 | 1.549 | 193,653 | 227 | 81,722,645 |
| 11            | 204.6–239.6   | 7.90–7.98 | 10.3–22.5 | 24.9 | 146.7 | 4.365 | 317,686 | 254 | 352,221,645 |
| 13, 14        | 198.7–214.8   | 7.86–7.90 | 23.1–39.4 | 35.9 | 0.224 | 0.086 | 42,640 | 249 | 913,093 |

The depth range where squid-embryo densities were highest closely tracked the inner-shelf depth where O2 and pH levels were at their maximums, respectively.

Figure 8. Probability density distribution comparisons of depth (A) and oxygen (B) with two distinct squid capsule aggregation types, “few” (>5 capsules/m², black) and “abundant” (>25 m², blue). The arrow highlights high density of observations of abundant, compared with the “few” classification, when dissolved oxygen was low.

larger during cold years such as La Niña (Lowry & Carretta 1999, Brady 2008). Not only are squid larger, but also many report a higher abundance of squid during La Niña (Vojkovich 1998, Reiss et al. 2004, Koslow & Allen 2011, Dorval et al. 2013, Van Noord & Dorval 2017). Jackson and Domeier (2003) explained this paradox suggesting that the market squid population must go through a population bottleneck. They inferred that a lack of food was responsible and that the juvenile stage was the most likely stage for bottlenecks to occur. Jackson and Domeier (2003) made this inference based on evidence that large squid were associated with upwelling environments during their early life stages. They posited that upwelling increased food supplies for growing juveniles. By contrast, adults inhabiting areas with little upwelling grew to smaller sizes (Jackson & Domeier 2003). Jackson and Domeier (2003) did not test their bottleneck hypothesis for any squid life stages. Perretti and Sedarat (2016) investigated the paralarval bottleneck hypothesis using field samples collected by the CalCOFI. They found that paralarvae, standardized by age, were larger during El Niño than they were during La Niña, providing no evidence of population bottlenecks at the paralarval stage (Perretti & Sedarat 2016). Instead, Perretti and Sedarat (2016) proposed that juvenile squid experience a bottleneck due to food limitation associated with El Niño. Van Noord and Dorval (2017) proposed that market squid recruit to the fishery in the highest numbers when the timing of juveniles matches periods with the highest concentrations of euphausiids, typically in spring. Sampling both juvenile squid and their prey may shed light on the interacting effects of oceanographic factors and trophic pathways (Ralston et al. 2018). A recent increase in commercial squid catch in California (CDFG preliminary report) coincides with increased upwelling in the SCB (Wells et al. 2017), supporting these hypotheses.

Another factor that is problematic for determining the occurrence of population bottlenecks is that field sampling methods likely introduce significant temporal bias. As such, interpreting data is often difficult. For example, in this study, squid capsules varied greatly in density and areal coverage making most spawning events cryptic to the fishery, and potentially to classical sampling techniques. Data from aging studies and this study indicate that squid spawn and hatch in the SCB every month (Jackson & Domeier 2003, Reiss et al. 2004). Yet, studies of spawning squid and paralarvae focus on periods when squid form dense aggregations (and lay dense embryo capsules which lead to dense paralarvae), potentially, introducing an aggregation bias in sampling methods that is difficult to eliminate. Previously, researchers attempting to understand sampling error by relating paralarval and embryo abundances strongly cautioned that paralarval sampling should not be analyzed quantitatively (Okutani & McGowan 1969). Researchers also have found that areas with high squid paralarval densities did not coincide with areas having abundant zooplankton (Okutani & McGowan 1969, Van Noord & Dorval 2017). Okutani and McGowan (1969) also suggested that squid abundance is not adequately sampled by CalCOFI because nets are designed to catch fish, not squid (Okutani & McGowan 1969). Other authors have gone further and suggested that CalCOFI sampling of paralarvae offshore may not.
provide an accurate proxy for assessing squid interannual abundance (Zeidberg & Hamner 2002).

This study demonstrates how market squid can change their behavior within a spawning site. Also, market squid can also migrate among spawning sites, likely following prey, when El Niño affects coastal water mass distributions (Bernard 1980, Street 1983, Wing & Mercer 1990). During these time periods, market squid more heavily use spawning sites in the northern parts of their range. These include sandy subtidal regions of Northern California, Oregon (Jefferts et al. 1987), Washington, Vancouver Island (Shimek et al. 1984), the central coast of Canada (Bernard 1980), and Southeast Alaska (Wing & Mercer 1990). Egg capsules were reported in the Gulf of Alaska, near Kodiak Island in 2016 (Foy, personal communication). The spatial scale of study should encompass the geographic range of their embryo sites to understand the among-site dynamics along with those within a site.

Sampling has been improved with more recent assessments that focus on nearshore CalCOFI stations and manta tows (unbriddled net; Koslow & Allen 2011). A recent development uses increased spatiotemporal paralarval surveys designed a priori to target and catch squid (Van Noord & Dorval 2017) and juvenile data sets are beginning to emerge (Ralston et al. 2018). Yet, future research may still benefit by comparing squid sampling methods to improve the scientific understanding of their precision, accuracy and associated error. For any given life stage, methods are needed that account for (1) spatiotemporal patchiness (especially within and among embryo habitat sites), (2) highly variable densities and abundances, and (3) behavioral plasticity within pelagic and benthic habitats. Of the mobile stages, the juvenile stage is the most data poor but may also be the most important to understand market squid population bottlenecks.

**Improving Abundance Estimation**

The “illusion of plenty” represents an error that occurs when assessing aggregated spawning populations (Erisman et al. 2011). Large aggregations of spawning market squid are often cited as an indicator of a large population size when, in reality, it may be more reflective of a population that is displaced in response to habitat compression. Without reliable biomass estimates and knowledge of nonspawning adult distributions (Cheng 2015), it is difficult to know the magnitude at which population abundances are changing relative to the magnitude that population distributions are changing. Of note, high O_2_ and pH zones may have been limiting in the early 1950s (CalCOFI Archives, McClatchie et al. 2010) when the total abundance estimate for squid embryos was 1.76 \times 10^{12} (Okutani & McGowan 1969). This estimate is three orders of magnitude larger than the largest measured abundance of 5.23 \times 10^9 in this study (Table 3). Furthermore, densities reported before the development of the mature fishery were as high as 10,400 embryo capsule/m^2 (Okutani & McGowan 1969). Density counts in SCB in 2001 to 2002 were reported as high as 1,338 capsules/m^2 (Zeidberg et al. 2011). This study reports densities as high as 350 embryo capsules/m^2. Heavy fishing pressure...
can lead to less egg deposition despite high spawning biomass (Moltschaniwskyj & Pecl 2007). Potential ecological effects of overfishing this keystone species are great because squid bring nutrients and energy from the slope to the shelf. Reductions in their numbers could alter food webs and energy budgets for many species (Morejohn et al. 1978, Lowry & Carretta 1999).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was funded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Grant No. NA10OAR4170060, California Sea Grant College Program Project No. R/CC-04, the Scripps Mia Tegner Scholarship, the WWW Foundation in collaboration with Bryce Rhodes, and the Fenmore Scholarship. We thank Tony Koslow, Martin Tresguerres, and Uwe Send for their advice in support of our study. We thank Todd Martz for the development of the SeapHOx and thank Yui Takeshita for his help with the SeapHOx data. We thank the California Department of Fish and Wildlife for their assistance, especially Dianna Porzio. We thank the California Wetfish Producers, Inc., especially Diane Plesner-Steele, for their cooperation and willingness work with scientists. We are grateful to Phil Zerofo and Rich Walsh for small boat operation and dive support, SIO Divers Charles Perretti, Chris Sullivan, and Javier Naretto for their help with collections. Ryan Switzer, Carli Kierstead, and Christina Bonsen kindly assisted with ROV operations. Ryan Switzer, Carli Kierstead, and Christina Bonsen kindly assisted with ROV operations. The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of California Sea Grant, state agencies, NOAA, NSF, or the U.S. Department of Commerce.

LITERATURE CITED

Alin, S. R., R. A. Feely, A. G. Dickson, J. M. Hernandez-Ayon, L. W. Juranek, M. D. Ohman & R. Goericke. 2012. Robust empirical relationships for estimating the carbonate system in the southern California Current System and application to CalCOFI hydrographic cruise data (2005–2011). J. Geophys. Res. 117:C05033.

Arkhipkin, A. I., P. G. K. Rodhouse, G. J. Pierce, W. Sauer, M. Sakai, L. Alcock, J. Arguelles, J. R. Bower, G. Castillo, L. Ceriola, C.-S. Chen, X. Chen, M. Diaz-Santana, N. Downey, A. F. González, J. Granados Amores, C. F. Green, A. Guerra, L. C. Hendrickson, C. Ibáñez, K. Ito, P. Jereh, Y. Kato, O. N. Katugin, M. Kawano, H. Kidokoro, V. V. Kulik, V. V. Laptikhovsky, M. R. Lipinski, B. Liu, L. Mariátegui, W. Marin, A. Medina, K. Miki, K. Miyahara, N. Moltschaniwskyj, H. Moustahfid, J. Nabhitabhata, N. Nanjo, C. M. Nigmatullin, T. Ohtani, G. Pecl, J. A. A. Perez, U. Piatkowski, P. Saikliang, C. A. Salinas-Zavala, M. Steer, Y. Tian, Y. Ueta, D. Vijai, T. Wakabayashi, T. Yamaguchi, C. Yamashiro, N. Yamashita & L. D. Zeidberg. 2015. World squid fisheries. Fish. Sci. Aquacult. 23:92–252.

Bernard, F. R. 1980. Preliminary report on the potential commercial squid of British Columbia. Department of Fisheries and Oceans Resource Services Branch, Pacific Biological Station. Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 942. 51 pp.

Brady, B. C. 2008. Long-term changes in biological characteristics and fishery of Loligo opalescens. MS thesis, California State University, San Jose, CA. 93 pp.
Martz, T. R., J. G. Connery & K. S. Johnson. 2010. Testing the Honeywell Durafet® for seawater pH applications. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 8:172–184.

McClatchie, S., R. Goericke, G. Auaud, R. Cosgrove & R. Vetter. 2010. Oxygen in the Southern California Bight: multidecadal trends and implications for damsel fisheries. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37:L19602.

McGowan, J. A. 1954. Observations on the sexual behavior and spawning of the squid, Loligo opalescens, at La Jolla, California. California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin 40. pp. 87–94.

McGowan, J. A., M. Carter & M. Hilberrn. 2010. Pacific Coast, nearshore sea surface temperature, salinity and density 1916–2010. Abstract C-11 presented on 7 December 2010 at the CalCOFI Conference, CalCOFI, La Jolla, CA, 6–8 December.

Moltschanowski, N. A. & G. T. Pecl. 2007. Spawning aggregations of squid (Sepioteuthis australis) populations: a continuum of ‘microcohorts’. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 17:183–195.

Morejohn, G. V., J. T. Harvey & L. T. Krasnow. 1978. The importance of Loligo opalescens in the food web of marine vertebrates in Monterey Bay. California Department of Fish and Game Fishery Bulletin 169. pp. 67–97.

Nam, S. H., H. Kim & U. Send. 2011. Amplification of hypoxic and acidic events by La Niña conditions on the continental shelf off California. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38:L22602.

Navarro, M. O. 2014. Consequences of environmental variability for spawning and embryo development of inshore market squid Doryteuthis opalescens. PhD diss., UC San Diego: Oceanography. b8354584. Available at: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/1bj0t2js.

Navarro, M. O., E. E. Bockmon, C. A. Frieder, J. P. Gonzalez & L. A. Levin. 2014. Environmental pH, O2, and capsular effects on the geochemical composition of statoliths of embryonic squid Doryteuthis opalescens. Water 6:2233–2254.

Navarro, M. O., G. T. Kwan, O. Batalov, C. Y. Choi, N. T. Pierce & L. A. Levin. 2016. Development of embryonic market squid, Doryteuthis opalescens, under chronic exposure to low environmental pH and [O2]. PLoS One 11:e0167461.

Okutani, T. & J. A. McGowan. 1969. Systematics, distribution, and abundance of the epipelagic squid (Cephalopoda: Decapoda) larvae of the California Current 1934–1957. Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceanogr. Univ. Calif. 14:1–90.

Parnell, P. E. 2015. The effects of seaseacape pattern on algal patch structure, sea urchin barrens, and ecological processes. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 465:64–76.

Pauli, C. K., D. W. Caress, E. Lundsten, R. Gwiazda, K. Anderson, M. McGann, J. Conrad, B. Edwards & E. J. Sumner. 2013. Anatomy of the La Jolla Submarine Canyon system: offshore southern California. Mar. Geol. 353:16–34.

Pauly, D., V. Christensen, J. Dalsgaard, R. Froese & F. Torres, Jr. 1998. Fishing down marine food webs. Science 279:860–863.

Pauly, D. & M.-L. Palomares. 2005. Fishing down marine food web: it is far more pervasive than we thought. Bull. Mar. Sci. 76:197–211.

Peel, G. T. & G. D. Jackson. 2008. The potential impacts of climate change on inshore squid: biology, ecology and fisheries. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 18:373–385.

Perretti, C. T. & M. Sedarat. 2016. The influence of the El Niño Southern Oscillation on paralarval market squid (Doryteuthis opalescens). Fish. Oceanogr. 25:491–499.

Perretti, C. T., P. J. Zerofski & M. Sedarat. 2015. The spawning dynamics of California market squid (Doryteuthis opalescens) as revealed by laboratory observations. J. Molluscan Stud. 82:37–42.

Pierce, N. T. 2017. Developmental transcriptomics of the California market squid, Doryteuthis opalescens. PhD diss., UC San Diego: Marine Biology. Available at: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/3z71g769.

Porzio, D. 2013. Review of selected California fisheries for 2012: coastal pelagic finfish, market squid, Pacific herring, groundfish, highly-migratory...
species, white seabass, Pacific halibut, red sea urchin and sea cucumber. *CalCOFI Rep.* 54:12–36.

Porzio, D. 2015. Review of selected California fisheries for 2014: coastal pelagic finfish, market squid, groundfish, Pacific herring, Dungeness crab, ocean salmon, true smelts, hagfish, and deep water ROV surveys of MPAs and nearshore habitat. *CalCOFI Rep.* 56:1–30.

Ralston, S., E. Dorval, L. Ryley, S. M. Sakuma & J. C. Field. 2018. Predicting market squid (*Doryteuthis opalescens*) landings from prerecruit abundance. *Fish. Res.* 199:12–18.

Reiss, C. S., M. R. Maxwell, J. R. Hunter & A. Henry. 2004. Investigating environmental effects on population dynamics of *Loligo opalescens* in the Southern California Bight. *CalCOFI Rep.* 45:87–97.

Revell, R. & F. P. Sheppard. 1939. Sediments off the California coast. In: Trask, P. D., editor. Recent marine sediments, 1st edition. Tulsa, OK: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, pp. 245–282.

Roberts, M. J. 2005. Chokka squid (*Loligo vulgaris reynaudii*) abundance linked to changes in South Africa’s Agulhas Bank ecosystem during spawning and the early life cycle. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 62:33–55.

Rogers-Bennett, L. & C. I. Juhasz. 2014. The rise of invertebrate fisheries and the fishing down of marine food webs in California. *Calif. Fish Game* 100:218–233.

Send, U. & S. Nam. 2012. Relaxation from upwelling: the effect on dissolved oxygen on the continental shelf. *J. Geophys. Res.* 117: C04024.

Shimek, R. L., D. Fyfe, L. Ramsey, A. Bergey, J. Elliott & S. Guy. 1984. A note on spawning of the Pacific market squid, *Loligo opalescens* (Berry, 1911), in the Barkley sound region, Vancouver Island, Canada. *Fish Bull.* 2:445–446.

Street, D. 1983. Squid fishery development project of Southeast Alaska. Anchorage, AK: Alaska Fisheries Development Foundation, Inc. 60 pp.

Switzer, R. D., P. E. Parnell, J. L. Leichter & N. W. Driscoll. 2016. The effects of tectonic deformation and sediment allocation on shelf habitats and megabenthic distribution and diversity in southern California. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 169:25–37.

Takeshita, Y., C. A. Frieder, T. R. Martz, J. R. Ballard, R. A. Feely, S. Kram, S. Nam, M. O. Navarro, N. N. Price & J. E. Smith. 2015. Including high-frequency variability in coastal ocean acidification projections. *Biogeosciences* 12:5853–5870.

Turi, G., M. Alexander, N. S. Lovenduski, A. Capotondi, J. Scott, C. Stock, J. Dunne, J. John & M. Jacox. 2018. Response of *O*₂ and pH to ENSO in the California Current System in a high-resolution global climate model. *Ocean Sci.* 14:69–86.

Van Noord, J. & E. Dorval. 2017. Oceanographic influences on the distribution and relative abundance of market squid paralarvae (*Doryteuthis opalescens*) off the southern and central California coast. *Mar. Ecol. (Berl.)* 38:e12433.

Vojkovich, M. 1998. The California fishery for market squid (*Loligo opalescens*). *CalCOFI Rep.* 39:55–60.

Warner, R. R. 1990. Resource assessment versus tradition in mating-site determination. *Am. Nat.* 135:205–217.

Wells, B. K., I. D. Schroeder, S. J. Bograd, E. L. Hazen, M. G. Jacox, A. Leising, N. Mantua, J. A. Santora, J. Fisher, W. T. Peterson, E. Bjorkstedt, R. R. Robertson, F. P. Chavez, R. Goeringe, R. Kudela, C. Anderson, B. E. Lavanliegos, J. Gomez-Valdes, R. D. Brodeur, E. A. Duly, C. A. Morgan, T. D. Auth, J. C. Field, K. Sakuma, S. McClatchie, A. R. Thompson, E. D. Weber, W. Watson, R. M. Suryan, J. Parrish, J. Dooliver, S. Loredo, J. M. Porquez, J. E. Zamon, S. R. Schneider, R. T. Golightly, P. Warzybok, R. Bradley, J. Jahncke, W. Sydeman, S. R. Melin, J. Hildebrand, A. J. Deibich & B. Thayre. 2017. State of the California Current 2016–2017: still anything but “normal” in the north. *CalCOFI Rep.* 58:1–55.

Wing, B. L. & R. W. Mercer. 1990. Temporary northern range extension of the squid *Loligo opalescens* in Southeast Alaska. *Veliger* 33:238–240.

Yang, W. T., R. F. Hixon, P. E. Turk, M. E. Krejci, W. H. Hulet & R. T. Hanlon. 1986. Growth, behavior, and sexual maturation of the market squid, *Loligo opalescens*, cultured through the life cycle. *Fish Bull.* 84:771–798.

Young, M. Y., R. G. Kvitke, P. J. Iampietro, C. D. Garza, R. Maillet & R. T. Hanlon. 2011. Seafloor mapping and landscape ecology analyses used to monitor variation in spawning site preference and benthic egg mop abundance for the California market squid (*Doryteuthis opalescens*). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 407:226–233.

Zeidberg, L. D., J. L. Butler, D. Ramon, A. Cossio, K. Stierhoff & A. Henry. 2011. Estimation of spawning habitats of market squid (*Doryteuthis opalescens*) from field surveys of eggs off central and southern California. *Mar. Ecol. (Berl.)* 33:326–336.

Zeidberg, L. D. & W. M. Hammer. 2002. Distribution of squid paralarvae, *Loligo opalescens* (*Cephalopoda: Myopsida*), in the Southern California Bight in the three years following the 1997–1998 El Niño. *Mar. Biol.* 141:111–122.

Zeidberg, L. D., W. Hammer, K. Moorehead & E. Kristof. 2004. Egg masses of *Loligo opalescens* (*Cephalopoda: Myopsida*) in Monterey Bay, California following the El Niño event of 1997–1998. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 74:129–141.