Non-acidic bifunctional benzothiazole-based thiazolidinones with antimicrobial and aldose reductase inhibitory activity as a promising therapeutic strategy for sepsis
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Abstract
Sepsis is a life-threatening disease that affects millions of people worldwide. Microbial infections that lead to sepsis syndrome are associated with an increased production of inflammatory molecules. Aldose reductase has recently emerged as a molecular target that is involved in various inflammatory diseases, including sepsis. Herein, a series of previously synthesized benzothiazole-based thiazolidinones that exhibited strong antibacterial and antifungal activities has been evaluated for inhibition efficacy against aldose reductase and selectivity toward aldehyde reductase under in vitro conditions. The most promising inhibitor 5 was characterized with IC50 value of 3.99 μM and a moderate selectivity. Molecular docking simulations revealed the binding mode of compounds at the active site of human aldose reductase. Moreover, owning to the absence of an acidic pharmacophore, good membrane permeation of the novel aldose reductase inhibitors was predicted. Excellent “drug-likeness” was assessed for most of the compounds by applying the criteria of Lipinski’s “rule of five”.
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Introduction
Sepsis is an important global health problem that causes life-threatening organ failure [1]. It may result from bacterial, viral, or fungal infections acquired in medical facilities. Microbial infections are one of the most common adverse consequences during the delivery of healthcare [2]. In 2017, an estimated number of 48.9 million incident cases of sepsis were recorded worldwide and 11.0 million sepsis-related deaths were reported, representing about 19.7% of all global deaths [1]. The morbidity and mortality in patients with sepsis remain high despite improvements in resuscitation antibiotics and other therapies [3].
Sepsis is an inflammatory disease mediated by a dysregulated host response to infection [4]. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a highly pro-inflammatory endotoxin, is released from the surface of replicating Gram-negative bacteria into the circulation. LPS is recognized by a variety of cell types and induces the activation of a series of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1, prostaglandins, and nitric oxide. These molecules depend on the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) [5]. Once LPS is bound to toll-like receptor 4, it evokes the activation of IkappaB kinase complexes (IKKs) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) through myeloid differentiation primary response 88 protein. These protein kinase cascades end up with the activation of the redox-sensitive transcription factors such as NF-κB and activator protein-1, via nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase (Fig. 1) [6]. Even if the bacterial infection has been treated, the circulating endotoxins can cause a systemic inflammatory response. Therefore, controlling bacterial infection with a broad range of antibiotics is considered not adequate to medicate sepsis. It is rather essential to deactivate the immunogenic signals that produce inflammatory markers related to this disease [3, 7].

Aldose reductase (ALR2) belongs to the aldo-keto reductase (AKR) superfamily. This enzyme was first involved in the development of chronic diabetic complications. “ALR2 is a rate-limiting enzyme of the polyol pathway that converts glucose into sorbitol in the presence of reduced NADPH” [8]. NADPH is also required for glutathione (GS) reductase activity, which is a major antioxidant enzyme in many cells. Therefore, the competition between ALR2 and GS reductase for NADPH results in a redox imbalance, including reactive oxygen species production and oxidative stress [9]. In the past few years, ALR2 has emerged as the molecular target that mediates various inflammatory diseases, including sepsis [10]. ALR2 reduces one of the most abundant and toxic lipid aldehydes, 4-hydroxy-trans-2-nonenal (HNE), to 1,4-dihydroxynonene (DHN) and its GS conjugate, GS-HNE, to GS-DHN (Fig. 1) [8]. GS-DHN activates PKC and acts as the mediator of signaling pathways (Fig. 1) that cause cellular damage and inflammation [10].

There is accumulating evidence regarding the role of ALR2 in bacterial endotoxin-mediated inflammatory diseases. It has been established that ALR2 inhibition prevents endotoxin-induced lung injury [9], acute renal injury [11] as well as cardiomyopathy [5] in mice. Furthermore, ALR2 inhibition prevents the expression of NF-κB–dependent inflammatory cytokines by regulating the signals mediated by MAPKs, IKKs, and PKC [12]. Other studies [7, 9] show that ALR2 inhibitors can prevent the inflammation in the cecum ligation puncture model of polymicrobial sepsis in mice. Recently, ALR2 inhibition is believed to attenuate inflammation and ameliorate outcomes in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [13, 14]. Therefore, ALR2 inhibitors could provide a novel strategy for the prevention and/or treatment of sepsis complications, especially for COVID-19 patients who suffer from severe sepsis [15, 16] and patients who may be poor responders to conventional anti-inflammatory therapy.

In our previous papers, we mentioned the synthesis [17–19] and the biological evaluation [18] of 5-arylidene-2-(6-methoxybenzothiazol-2-ylimino)-4-thiazolidinones. It has been established that these benzothiazole-based thiazolidinones significantly inhibited the growth of a broad spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria as well as fungi. Specifically, all compounds exhibited better antibacterial as well as antifungal activity than the reference
drugs ampicillin and ketoconazole, respectively. Recently, much attention has been focused on thiazolidinone scaffold that demonstrates activity against ALR2 [20–34]. This led us to further screen a series of previously reported 5-arylidene-2-(6-methoxybenzothiazol-2-ylimino)-4-thiazolidinones [18] (Fig. 2) for their inhibitory activity toward ALR2 and selectivity against the homologous enzyme aldehyde reductase (ALR1). It is expected that bifunctional compounds possessing anti-inflammatory activity through ALR2 inhibition and antimicrobial activity could be a promising therapeutic approach for sepsis.

**Results and discussion**

**Drug design**

In our previous paper [17], we reported the design of a series of benzothiazole thiazolidinones based on our experience, literature survey and prediction of biologically activity spectra by computer program PASS [35, 36] as antimicrobial agents.

It was found that besides antimicrobial activity [17], compounds 1–7 may also possess antiadipic activity through aldose reductase inhibition. According to PASS prediction, our compounds exhibited P

\( P_a \) (probability to be active) values in the range of 0.171–0.226 for antiadipic activity. The fact that the \( P_a \) values of compounds were less than 0.5 is an indication that their structures are different from that of PASS training set [35, 36]. Thus, it can be established that they are new chemical entities (NCE).

On the other hand, generally, there is a great demand for multitarget directed drugs in treating diseases of multi-component etiology, e.g., sepsis. Recent findings point to a key role of AR in the LPS-induced inflammation (Fig. 1). Several groups of thiazolidinone/thiazolidinedione as well as rhodamine-based inhibitors of ALR2 were designed recently [20–34]. The common features (Fig. 3) of all these inhibitors are a polar moiety capable of anchoring the anion-binding site of ALR2 and at least one aromatic ring.

Probed from these results, we decided to explore the probability of compounds 1–7 to inhibit aldose reductase under in vitro conditions.

**ALR2/ALR1 inhibitory activity**

ALR2 inhibitory activity was evaluated in partially purified rat lens ALR2. “It has been shown that human ALR2 exhibits 85% sequence homology to rat lens ALR2, while the catalytic active sites of both enzymes are considered identical” [37]. ALR2 inhibitory activity of compounds is expressed as \( IC_{50} \) values. Epalrestat was used as the reference compound. The results are shown in Table 1.

All compounds were also tested for their selectivity against ALR1. “ALR2 shares the highest degree of similarity with ALR1. The two enzymes exhibit 65% sequence homology, as well as structural homology. Since these closely related members of the AKR family are responsible for the reduction of toxic aldehydes, the simultaneous inhibition of ALR1/ALR2 could cause harmful side effects” [8, 38]. ALR1 inhibitory activity is expressed as \( IC_{50} \) values presented together with the calculated selectivity index (Table 1), along with the reference compound epalrestat [39, 40].

According to obtained results the order of activity against ALR2 can be presented as follows: \( 4>2>3>5>6>1=7 \), while against ALR1 as \( 4>2>5>3>6>1=7 \). It seems that the only difference between the activities of compounds against both enzymes is that compound 3 occupied the third place in order of activity against ALR1, while against ALR2 this position is occupied by compound 5. Thus, in both cases the most active compound was compound 4. Nevertheless, it was four-fold less active than reference drug epalrestat. The least active compounds appeared to be 1 and 7.

The study of structure–activity relationships revealed that the presence of the 4-hydroxyl and 3-methoxy -groups (4) in benzene ring is beneficial for both types of activity. Removal of 3-OMe group led to compound 2 with slightly decreased activity. Replacement of 4-OH with 4-OMe (3) and 4-Cl (5) substituents in benzene ring decreased more activity against ALR1 and ALR2, respectively. It is interesting to notice that introduction of the second methoxy group to compound 4 led to compound 6 that was the last among all active compounds, while replacement of 5-OMe group by iodine was detrimental resulting in totally inactive derivative 7. Despite the fact that compounds 2, 3, and 4 are among the most active compounds in this series, they are nonselective (\( SF<1 \)). On the contrary, compound 5 displayed a moderate inhibitory activity (\( IC_{50}=3.99 \mu M \)) and the highest selectivity (\( SF=4.58 \)) in this series. It is interesting to notice that compound 6 being twice less active than 5 also showed high selectivity (\( SF=4.00 \)).

**Physicochemical properties**

Owing to the absence of the acidic function in compounds 1, 3, and 5, or the presence of the phenolic moiety of much
higher pKa (~9.7) in compounds 2, 4, 6, and 7, the all non-carboxylate aldose reductase inhibitors (ARIs) in this study are in unionized neutral form at physiological pH. This ionization pattern is reflected by corresponding pH-dependent profile of predicted distribution ratio logD as shown in Fig. 4 for compounds 1 and 2, used as typical examples, in comparison with epalrestat. It is obvious that markedly higher logD values are predicted for the studied compounds in comparison with acidic epalrestat.

As far as the physicochemical properties of the studied compounds are concerned, it was estimated that the moiety of thiazolidinone exhibits a pKa value of 7.2, while almost a 56% percentage of each compound was found in neutral fraction at physiological blood pH (ADME Boxes 3.0). In addition, compounds 4 and 6 violate Veber’s rule (topological polar surface area (TPSA) > 140 Å), while compound 7 demonstrates three violations (molecular weight (MW) > 500, logP > 5, TPSA > 140 Å). The rest of compounds successfully pass all these criteria and are estimated to be orally active. Furthermore, another calculated index that can be used in order to represent membrane permeation is the ratio of logD7.4/logP [37]. As can be seen in Table 2, all thiazolidinone derivatives have optimal values, close to 1, for membrane permeation.

Docking simulations

We performed a docking simulation study based on the crystal structure of human ALR2 co-crystallized with epalrestat in order to investigate the ALR2-related binding.

Table 1 Inhibitory activities of thiazolidinone derivatives 1–7 against the rat lens ALR2, the rat kidney ALR1, and the calculated selectivity index (SI) in comparison with standard epalrestat

| Compound | IC50 (µM) | ALR2 | ALR1 | Selectivity index (ALR1/ALR2) |
|----------|-----------|------|------|-------------------------------|
| 1        | >50       | >50  | –    | –                             |
| 2        | 1.30 ± 0.20 | 1.24 ± 0.36 | 0.95 |
| 3        | 4.35      | 4.21 | 0.97 |
| 4        | 0.83      | 0.61 | 0.73 |
| 5        | 3.99 ± 0.14 | 18.28 ± 1.55 | 4.58 |
| 6        | 10.50     | 42.06 | 4.00 |
| 7        | >50       | >50  | –    | –                             |
| Epalrestat[39] | 0.23 | –    | –    |
| Epalrestat[40] | 1.4a | 12.5 | 8.9  |

Human recombinant AKR1B1. Results are mean from two or mean ± SD (standard deviation) from three independent measurements.

Theorem: The isomeric 1,3-thiazolidinone derivatives 1–7 exhibit inhibitory activity against human ALR2 (IC50 = 1.30 ± 0.20 µM) and ALR1 (IC50 = 4.35 µM) with a marked selectivity index (ALR1/ALR2) of 0.95.

Fig. 4 Predicted pH-distribution profile of compounds 1 (○), 2 (Δ), 5 (♦), and epalrestat (●) in 1-octanol/buffer system (Pallas 3.1)
mode in this series of compounds. It was found that most of compounds’ binding modes (Fig. 5) overlapped with that of the co-crystallized ligand (epalrestat). The estimated binding energies of the complexes and the interactions with catalytic residues are displayed for each ligand including epalrestat in Table 3.

In particular, benzothiazole moiety of all derivatives except compound 5 (Fig. 6) fills up the hydrophobic pocket consisted mainly of Trp219, Ala299, Leu300, and Leu301 side chains in a similar way as styrene moiety of epalrestat (Figs. 6 and 7H). Hydrogen bonds are formed for all phenolic derivatives (Fig. 7B, D, F, G) between hydroxyl group and residues of Val47, Tyr48, and His110. Particularly, phenol moiety in compounds 2 and 4 effectively occupies the anion-binding pocket of ALR2 forming hydrogen bonds with His110 and/or Tyr48 residues. This fact contributes to the high stabilization of the ligand-enzyme complex and may explain the activity profile of compounds 2 and 4. The nitrogen atom of benzothiazole moiety (1 and 2) is involved in hydrogen bond interactions with the backbone of Leu301 residue. In the case of derivative 2, an additional hydrogen bond is formed between imine-group and Ala299 residue of selectivity pocket.

Furthermore, the phenyl rings of derivatives 1–4 are exposed in a highly hydrophobic pocket surrounded by side chains of Trp20, Val47, Trp79, Trp111, and Phe122 residues. In addition, the carbonyl-group in thiazolidinone moiety of examined compounds 4, 5, and 7 acts as hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) among the -NH- backbone of Leu300, Ala299, and Cys298 residues, respectively. Finally, the chloro-substituted derivative 5 adopts a different pose into the active site of human ALR2 (Figs. 6 and 7E). Specifically, the benzothiazole ring enters deep into the anion-binding site forming several hydrophobic interactions with the Trp20, Trp111, and Phe122 amino acids as well as a hydrogen bond at 3.32 Å between Trp111 residue and methoxy group of inhibitor. The 4-chlorophenyl moiety enters the selectivity pocket by interacting with side chain of Leu301. It was also found that the hydrogen bond between Ala299 and carbonyl-group is the least distant (2.88 Å) in comparison with the same hydrogen bonds of derivatives 4 and 7, while the thiazolidinone moiety forms π–π stacked interactions with the side chain of Trp219.

Moreover, docking simulation of compound 6 showed that the hindered phenol moiety cannot form any hydrophobic interaction with anion-binding pocket.

### Table 2 Physicochemical properties of studied compounds

| Compound | logD<sup>a</sup> | logP<sup>b</sup> | logD/logP<sup>c</sup> | MW<sup>d</sup> | HBD<sup>e</sup> | HBA<sup>f</sup> | TPSA<sup>g</sup> | NRB<sup>h</sup> |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1        | 4.68            | 4.92            | 0.951                  | 367.45      | 1              | 5              | 117.12         | 3           |
| 2        | 4.06            | 4.30            | 0.944                  | 383.45      | 2              | 6              | 137.35         | 3           |
| 3        | 4.64            | 4.88            | 0.951                  | 397.47      | 1              | 6              | 126.56         | 4           |
| 4        | 3.91            | 4.15            | 0.942                  | 413.47      | 2              | 7              | 146.58         | 4           |
| 5        | 5.25            | 5.49            | 0.956                  | 401.89      | 1              | 5              | 117.12         | 3           |
| 6        | 3.76            | 4.00            | 0.940                  | 443.50      | 2              | 8              | 155.81         | 5           |
| 7        | 4.78            | 5.09            | 0.939                  | 539.37      | 2              | 7              | 146.58         | 4           |

<sup>a</sup>logD represents the logarithm of the octanol-buffer distribution coefficient at pH 7.4
<sup>b</sup>logP represents the logarithm of octanol-water partition coefficient
<sup>c</sup>logD/logP value close to 1 represents high tissue penetration
<sup>d</sup>Molecular weight (MW < 500 Da)
<sup>e</sup>Hydrogen bond donors (HBD ≤ 5)
<sup>f</sup>Hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA ≤ 10)
<sup>g</sup>Topological polar surface area (TPSA ≤ 140 Å)
<sup>h</sup>Number of rotatable bonds (NRB ≤ 10)
whereas hydroxyl group participates only in one hydrogen bond with Tyr48 residue. This fact may explain the moderate activity of compound 6 in comparison with compounds 2 and 4. In addition, the benzothiazole ring occupies the selectivity pocket forming hydrophobic interactions with the side chains of Trp219, Ala299, and Leu301 residues. Although compounds 5 and 6 appeared to adopt different binding modes, the hydrophobic interactions with these residues may explain their selectivity profile.

### Conclusion

Sepsis is an inflammatory immune response triggered by infections. Although there are several antibiotics and anti-inflammatory drugs, it is still an urgent need to discover new therapeutic molecules acting upon different mechanisms to effectively fight both microbial infections and sepsis-induced inflammation. In the past few years, ALR2 has emerged as a novel target associated with systemic inflammation in the progression of a variety of diseases including sepsis. A series of novel 5-arylidene-2-(6-methoxybenzothiazol-2-ylimino)-4-thiazolidinones with potent antibacterial and antifungal effects have been evaluated for their ALR2 inhibitory activity and their selectivity against the homologous enzyme ALR1. The most promising derivative in this series was found to be compound 5 that exhibits satisfactory potency ($IC_{50} = 3.99 \mu M$) and more than four-fold selectivity for ALR2 toward ALR1. Furthermore, the docking simulation of this promising compound into the human ALR2 enzyme

| Compound | Est. binding energy (kcal/mol) | Residues |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| 1        | −7.60                         | NADP+ , Trp20, Tyr47, Val47, Ala299, Trp219, Leu301 |
| 2        | −7.36                         | NADP+ , Val47, His110, Tyr48, Trp20, Ala299, Trp219, Leu301 |
| 3        | −6.37                         | Phe122, Val47, Trp20, Trp79, Trp219, Leu301 |
| 4        | −6.37                         | NADP+ , Trp111, His110, Trp79, Trp20, Leu300, Trp219, Leu301 |
| 5        | −7.34                         | Trp111, Trp20, Phe122, Ala299, Trp219, Leu301 |
| 6        | −6.32                         | Tyr48, Leu301, Trp219, Ala299 |
| 7        | −6.10                         | Val47, Cys298, Leu301, Trp219, Ala299 |
| Epalrestat | −7.65                     | Tyr48, Trp111, Leu300, Trp219, Ala299, Phe122, Leu301 |

**Fig. 6** Pharmacophoric features of compound 5 (A) and epalrestat (B) after molecular docking in the human crystal structure of ALR2 (PDB ID: 4JIR). Hydrophobic interactions are illustrated as yellow spheres, $\pi-\pi$ interactions as blue rings, and hydrogen bonds as red (acceptor) and green (donor) arrows.
revealed that the benzothiazole moiety is exposed in the active site in which a hydrogen bond interaction is formed between methoxy group and Trp111. In addition, the thiazolidinone ring occupies the area around Trp219 residue, while the 4-chlorophenyl moiety is surrounded by a second hydrophobic pocket. According to the prediction of physicochemical properties, compound 5 demonstrated optimal oral bioavailability and safety profile, while it possesses antimicrobial activity against a wide range of bacterial and fungal infections. We hope that the results of this study could enhance the research interest related to multi-effective compounds for the treatment of sepsis.

**Experimental**

**Chemistry**

The syntheses as well as the elemental and spectroscopic analyses of the titled compounds were performed according to previously published processes [17–19].
Synthesis of (Z)-5-benzylidene-2-((6-methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)imino)thiazolidin-4-one (1)

Yield: 89.4%; mp 241–243 °C (Dioxane); IR (Nujol) νmax 1605, 1718 (C=O), 2342 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 4.00 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 7.00–7.04 (m, 3H, benz), 7.48–7.51 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.52–7.68 (m, 4H, Ph-H benz), 7.83 (s, 1H, ArCH=), 12.17 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 55.54 (O-CH3), 104.92, 114.76, 119.51, 127.03, 128.12, 128.14, 128.21, 131.14, 132.22, 135.84, 145.20, 152.03, 156.72, 158.86, (C=O), 174.44; Anal. Calcd. for C15H13N3O3S2 (%): C, 58.84; H, 3.57; N, 11.44. Found (%): C, 58.81; H, 3.59; N, 11.42.

Synthesis of (Z)-5-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-((6-methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)imino)thiazolidin-4-one (2)

Yield: 90.8%; mp 293–294 °C (Dioxane); IR (Nujol) νmax 1602, 1718 (C=O), 2342 (C=C), 3390 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 3.81 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 7.07–7.10 (m, 3H, benz), 7.54–7.67 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.85 (d, J=8.7, 1H), 10.37 (s, 1H, -OH), 12.72 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 55.52 (O-CH3), 104.91, 114.72, 115.08, 115.10, 119.71, 127.64, 130.81, 130.82, 131.12, 132.07, 135.84, 145.19, 152.04, 156.71, 157.72 (C-OH), 167.84 (C=O), 174.43; Anal. Calcd. for C15H13N3O3S2 (%): C, 56.38; H, 3.42; N, 10.96. Found (%): C, 56.42; H, 3.39; N, 10.94.

Synthesis of (Z)-2-((6-methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)imino)-5-(4-methoxybenzylidene)thiazolidin-4-one (3)

Yield: 51.6%; mp 238–240 °C (Dioxane); IR (Nujol) νmax 1602, 1718 (C=O), 2342 (C=C), 3390 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 3.88 (s, 6H, -OCH3), 6.98 (s, 2H, benz), 7.69 (s, 1H, benz), 7.84–7.91 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 8.25 (d, J=7.5, 2H, Ph-H), 8.98 (s, 1H, ArCH=), 9.44 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 55.88 (2 C, O-CH3), 104.87, 114.03, 114.05, 114.97, 127.54, 130.11, 131.91, 132.12, 145.20, 152.07, 156.84 (C-OCH3), 159.03 (C=OCH3), 167.21 (C=O), 174.41; Anal. Calcd. for C15H13N3O3S2 (%): C, 57.41; H, 3.80; N, 10.57. Found (%): C, 57.40; H, 3.82; N, 10.55.

Synthesis of (Z)-5-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-2-((6-methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)imino)thiazolidin-4-one (6)

Yield: 61.3%; mp 269–271 °C (Dioxane); IR (Nujol) νmax 1602, 1730 (C=O), 2384 (C=C), 3390 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 3.79 (s, 3H, -OCH3 benz), 3.88 (s, 6H, 3-OCH3 Ph), 7.00–7.04 (s, 2H, benz), 7.16 (s, 1H, Ph-H), 7.16 (s, 1H, Benz) 7.66–7.79 (m, 2H, Ph-H, ArCH=), 9.39 (s, 1H, -OH), 12.71 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 55.86 (O-CH3), 56.14 (O-CH3), 103.93, 103.94, 104.01, 114.83, 118.25, 129.65, 131.91, 133.42, 136.65 (C=O), 145.20, 148.72, 148.78, 152.01, 156.84 (C-OCH3), 158.81, 167.53 (C=O), 174.47; Anal. Calcd. for C20H17N3O5S2 (%): C, 54.16; H, 3.86; N, 9.47. Found (%): C, 54.18; H, 3.88; N, 9.43.

Synthesis of (Z)-5-(4-hydroxy-3-iodo-5-methoxybenzylidene)-2-((6-methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)imino)thiazolidin-4-one (7)

Yield: 72.9%; mp 288–289 °C (Dioxane); IR (Nujol) νmax 1602, 1730 (C=O), 2384 (C=C), 3390 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 3.82 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.90 (s, 3H, 3-OCH3 Ar), 7.08 (s, 1H, Ph-H), 7.28–7.38 (m, 2H, Ph-H benz), 7.58–7.76 (m, 3H, benz, ArCH=), 10.47 (s, 1H, -OH), 12.77 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6; 125 MHz) δ 55.88 (O-CH3), 56.07 (O-CH3), 88.42 (C-I), 104.97, 110.02, 114.76, 118.22, 127.32, 130.24, 130.25, 133.40, 145.21, 149.90 (C=O), 151.98, 152.82, 156.83 (C-OCH3), 158.95, 167.51 (C=O), 174.73; Anal. Calcd. for C20H17N3O5S2 (%): C, 56.19; H, 4.01; N, 9.83. Found (%): C, 56.12; H, 4.04; N, 9.82.
40%, 50%, and then at 75% salt saturation and these precipitated repeatedly with saturated ammonium sulfate at 10,000 g at 0 °C of cold distilled water. The homogenate was centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min. The supernatant was subjected to ammonium sulfate fractional precipitation at 40%, 50%, and 75% salt saturation. The pellet from the last step, possessing ALR2 activity, was either used directly or was dispersed in 75% ammonium sulfate and stored in smaller aliquots at −80 °C.

**Biological evaluation**

**Antimicrobial assays**

The antibacterial activity of thiazolidinone derivatives was tested against human pathogenic bacteria, including the Gram-negative bacteria: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 35210), *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Salmonella typhimurium* (ATCC 13311), and the Gram-positive bacteria: *Listeria monocytogenes* (NCTC 7973), *Bacillus cereus* (clinical isolate), *Micrococcus flauus* (ATCC 10240), and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538). In addition, eight fungi species were used for the evaluation of compounds’ antifungal activity, including *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC 6275), *Aspergillus ochraceus* (ATCC 12066), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (ATCC 1022), *Aspergillus versicolor* (ATCC 11730), *Penicillium funiculosum* (ATCC 36839), *Penicillium ochrochloron* (ATCC 9112), *Penicillium verrucosum* var. cyclopium (food isolate), *Trichoderma viride* (IAM 5061), and *Candida albicans* (human isolate). The aforementioned bioassays as well as the resulted minimum inhibitory, minimum bactericidal, and minimum fungicidal concentrations have been reported in our previous paper [18].

**ALR1 preparation**

Kidneys were quickly removed from male Wistar rats following euthanasia. The animals came from the Breeding Facility of the Institute of Experimental Pharmacology and Toxicology, Dobra Voda (Slovak Republic). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute and performed in accordance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care (NIH publication 83–25, revised 1985) and the Slovak law regulating animal experiments (Decree 289, Part 139, July 9, 2003). ALR1 from rat kidney was partially purified according to the reported procedure as follows [41]: kidneys were homogenized in a knife homogenizer followed by processing in a glass homogenizer with a teflon pestle in 3 vol of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, containing 0.25 M sucrose, 2.0 mM EDTA dipotassium salt, and 2.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g at 0–4 °C for 30 min and the supernatant was subjected to ammonium sulfate fractional precipitation at 40%, 50%, and 75% salt saturation. The pellet obtained from the last step, possessing ALR1 activity, was redissolved in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, containing 2.0 mM EDTA dipotassium salt and 2.0 mM 2-mercaptoethanol to achieve total protein concentration of approx. 20 mg/mL. DEAE DE 52 resin was added to the solution (33 mg/mL) and after gentle mixing for 15 min removed by centrifugation. The supernatant containing ALR1 was then stored in smaller aliquots at −80 °C. No appreciable contamination by ALR2 in ALR1 preparations was detected since no activity, in terms of NADPH consumption, was observed in the presence of glucose substrate up to 150 mM.

**ALR2/ALR1 enzyme assays**

ALR2 and ALR1 activities were assayed spectrophotometrically by determining NADPH consumption at 340 nm with a UV/Vis Perkin-Elmer Spectrometer Lamda 20 [41]. In order to determine ALR2 inhibitory activity D, L-glyceraldehyde was used as substrate and the measurements took place in 0.067 M phosphate buffer pH 6.2 at 30 °C, whereas ALR1 inhibitory activity was determined with D-glucuronate as a substrate and the measurements took place in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2 at 37 °C. All compounds were dissolved in 0.2 M NaHCO3 or DMSO (1% final concentration). The reference blank contained all solutions were centrifuged at 10,000 g at 0–4 °C for 15 min. The supernatants after the first two precipitations were retained. The pellet from the last step, possessing ALR2 activity, was either used directly or was dispersed in 75% ammonium sulfate and stored in smaller aliquots at −80 °C.
the above reagents except the substrate to make correction for oxidation of NADPH not associated with reduction of the substrate. The enzyme reaction was initiated by addition of a substrate. Then, the log(concentration)–response curves were constructed from the inhibitory data, and the IC50 values were calculated by the least square analysis of the linear portion of the semi-logarithmic inhibition curves. Minimum two independent experiments were performed for inhibitor concentrations causing inhibition in the range at least 25–75%.

**In silico studies**

**Pan-assay interference compounds screening**

It is known that 5-ene-thiazolidinones are assigned as frequent hitters or pan-assay interference compounds (PAINS) within high-throughput screening campaigns [42]. Therefore, all thiazolidinone derivatives in this study were screened through in silico filter (http://advisor.docking.org) to exclude potentially false positive compounds with non-specific interactions (PAINS) and compounds with ability to form aggregates [43]. All the compounds passed this filter and no structural alerts were announced.

**PASS prediction**

PASS (Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances) [35, 36] estimates that potential biological activities of compounds form a list of about 4000 reported biological activities with an average accuracy of 95%. The program uses as input data the compounds’ structures as MOL or SDF files. The results for all predictions are given as a list of possible activities with two statistic values that reveal the probability of compounds belonging to active (P_a) and inactive (P_i) classes. In case of high P_a values, it could be assumed that there are closely related analogs in the PASS training set. On the other hand, compounds with P_a values lower than 0.5 will have not close analogs in training set and could be NCE.

**Physicochemical properties**

It has been established that an acidic carboxymethyl group is the key pharmacophoric feature of the most efficient ARIs [8]. However, carboxylic acid derivatives exhibit low pKa values resulting in low ionization at physiological blood pH. Moreover, they show poor tissue penetration and as a result, low in vivo activity [44]. Owing to low pKa value of the acidic function, acidic ARIs are ionized at the physiological pH that hampers their crossing through biomembranes, eventually resulting in low bioavailability. The pKa of the carboxymethyl group, e.g., in epalrestat is around 4.7. Thus, most of the compound is ionized at pH 7.4. Therefore, ARIs with higher pKa values are searched for. Since it is significant for ARIs to be highly permeable through biological barriers and, in general, orally active, we performed a screening of our compounds through Lipinski’s ‘rule of five’ and Veber’s criteria. The values of MW, hydrogen bond donors (HBD), HBA, TPSA, and the number of rotatable bonds (NRB) [41] were calculated by ADME Boxes 3.0 and are shown in Table 2.

**Docking analysis**

All 3D structures of compounds were sketched in Discovery Studio 2020 (BIOVIA) software and then saved as Sybyl2 (mol2) files. Lowest energy conformations of all compounds were generated using the MMFF94 energy minimization protocol in the LigandScout program [45]. Molecular docking simulations were performed on LigandScout software by using Autodock 4.2. Default docking settings were applied and the binding affinity was calculated in kcal/mol. The lowest docking energy complex in terms of root means square deviation value was then selected as the most favorable pose for each compound.

The crystal structure of human ALR2 in complex with epalrestat (Protein Data Bank, PDB: 4JIR) was used in the docking analysis. Although the in vitro inhibition assays of our compounds were conducted on rat ALR2, the use of the human ALR2 structure for docking is justified by the facts that the crystal structure of rat ALR2 co-crystallized with inhibitors is not yet available and human and rat ALR2 sequences share 85% identity [37].
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