Creation and destruction of a spin gap in weakly coupled quarter-filled ladders
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We investigate weakly coupled quarter-filled ladders with model parameters relevant for NaV2O5 using density-matrix renormalization group calculations on an extended Hubbard model coupled to the lattice. NaV2O5 exhibits super-antiferroelectric charge order with a zigzag pattern on each ladder. We show that this order causes a spin dimerization along the ladder and is accompanied by a spin gap of the same magnitude as that observed experimentally. The spin gap is destroyed again at large charge order due to a restructuring of the spins. An analysis of an effective spin model predicts a re-creation of the gap by inter-ladder singlets when the charge order increases further.
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The discovery of a phase transition at \( T_C \approx 34K \) in NaV2O5, below which charge order and a spin gap appear \( 2 \), has precipitated intensive theoretical investigation. NaV2O5 consists of well-separated planes which contain weakly coupled quarter-filled vanadium ladders \( 2 \) (Fig. 1). They can be described by an extended Hubbard model \( (EHM) 2 \). A zigzag charge order as observed in NaV2O5 \( 4 \) is already created in this model by the nearest-neighbor Coulomb repulsion \( V \) for an isolated ladder, but only at overly large values of \( V \). \( 3 \). In a recent DMRG study \( 8 \), we showed that the inclusion of a strong effective Holstein coupling to the lattice, which was found in LDA calculations \( 11 \), reduces the required Coulomb repulsion to a realistic value. The DMRG calculations then yielded good agreement between theoretical and experimental results for the amount of charge order, the extent of lattice distortion in the \( c \)-direction, the effective spin coupling \( J_{\text{eff}} \) in the \( b \)-direction, and the charge gap.

However, on an isolated ladder \( 1,2,8 \) the spin gap vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. The occurrence of the spin gap in NaV2O5 appears to be intimately connected to the coupling of ladders, as indicated, e.g., by the splitting of magnon branches \( 4,12,13 \). An intriguing scenario has been put forward by Mostovoy and Khomskii \( 14 \). It is based on the fact that the experimentally observed unit cell of NaV2O5 in the ordered phase is \( 2a \times 2b \times 4c \). \( 12 \). The charge order then has a periodicity of \( M \) parallel ladders along the \( a \)-direction, and is thus super-antiferroelectric \( (SAF) 10 \). The corresponding polarization of the electrons on the rungs is illustrated by wide-narrow ellipses in Fig. 1(b) (top). Each ladder exhibits antiferroelectric charge order along the ladder (generally called zigzag charge order) as well as an antiferroelectric order to the next nearest ladder. The ladders correspond to effective antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 chains in the \( b \)-direction. For each ladder, the two closest sites on the neighboring in-plane ladders alternate between low charge occupation [indicated by dashed loops in Fig. 1(b)] and large occupation. Large charge occupation should effectively weaken the electron hopping along the ladder, both through purely electronic interactions as well as by pushing away the neighboring oxygen atoms and thus reduce the spin coupling. With SAF charge order, the effective spin chains are therefore dimerized, which was proposed to lead to the formation of spin singlets [Fig. 1(b), bottom] and to the observed spin gap. This scenario is difficult to evaluate quantitatively. It has been investigated using exact diagonalization \( 3,6,17 \) on small clusters and by means of an approximate \( xy \)-model together with a mean-field approximation \( 10 \). It has also been argued that the mechanism proposed by Mostovoy and Khomskii would not work for NaV2O5 \( 18 \).

In the present paper, we use the Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) to study the extended Hubbard model with coupling to the lattice \( 5 \) for large sys-

FIG. 1: Quarter-filled coupled ladders in NaV2O5. The mapping of a rung (top) onto an effective spin site (bottom) is illustrated for (a) a charge-disordered and (b) a SAF-ordered regime. The shifted periodic boundary conditions in the \( a \)-direction are indicated by numbers which identify identical sites. The proposed singlet formation for NaV2O5 is depicted by dashed ellipses in the effective spin model (b, bottom).
tions of coupled ladders up to length 20, with periodicity of four ladders in the a-direction. We show that the scenario proposed by Mostovoy and Khomskii indeed works. In the thermodynamic limit, it produces a dimerization and a spin gap of similar size to that seen in the experiment. The spin gap is found only in the case of the four-ladder SAF order; it does not appear for charge or-

dersing with one- or two-ladder periodicity as studied in previous papers. Surprisingly, the spin gap closes again at large Coulomb repulsion $V$. We explain this behavior by considering an effective spin model for which DMRG calculations on larger systems are possible.

**Model.** We use the extended Hubbard model with coupling to the lattice as introduced in Ref. [10], $H = H_{EHM} + H_I + H_{obc}$, where $H_{EHM} = - \sum_{(ij),\sigma} t_{ij} \left( c_{i \sigma}^\dagger c_{j \sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U \sum_i n_{i \uparrow} n_{i \downarrow} + \sum_{(ij)} V_{ij} n_{i \uparrow} n_{j \downarrow}$, with hopping matrix elements from first-principles calculations [3, 11, 19], $t_a = 0.35 \ eV$, $t_b = 0.5 t_a$, $t_{ab} = 0.17 t_a$ and $0.33 t_a$, and a uniform on-site repulsion $U = 8.0 t_a$. The lattice and the corresponding model parameters are illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Since the nearest-neighbor Coulomb repulsion $V$ is difficult to estimate, we investigate the functional dependence on this parameter. Recent LDA calculations [11] have shown that there is a very large Holstein-like coupling to out-of-plane movements of oxygen atoms, which can be modeled by including the terms $H_I = \kappa \sum_{i,l} \hat{z}_i z_i l$, and $H_{obc} = -C \sum_{i} z_i n_{i \downarrow}$, with $\kappa = 0.125 t_a$ and $C = 0.35 t_a$, and $z_i$ in units of 0.05 Å, in the Hamiltonian. We treat these movements adiabatically like in Refs. [10] and [8]. The optimal configuration for the distortions $z_i$ is then a zigzag-pattern [8] with amplitude $z_{opt}$, for which we take the results from an isolated ladder [20]. Then, $H_I$ becomes an irrelevant constant, and $H_{obc}$ reduces to a zigzag alternation of the local chemical potentials.

In order to investigate the proposal by Mostovoy and Khomskii, a system with a periodicity of four ladders is necessary. Within the DMRG, calculations on an extended Hubbard model of four coupled ladders would be, however, too restricted in length. We therefore employ a system of only two coupled ladders of length up to 20, but with shifted periodic boundary conditions, as illustrated in Fig. 1. They ensure the proper periodicity and the same SAF structure of neighboring charges in the ordered phase as in a four-ladder system. We apply open boundary conditions (obc) in the b-direction.

**Results.** We calculate the spin gap using [21]

$$\Delta_S(L) = E_0(L, N, S_z = 1) - E_0(L, N, S_z = 0),$$

where $E_0$ is the ground state energy, $L$ is the length of each ladder, $N = L/2$ is the number of electrons, and $S_z$ is the total spin in $z$-direction. The results are extrapolated using linear and quadratic fits in $1/L$, including $L = (8), 12, 14, 16, 20$, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). Due to broken translational invariance in the $b$-direction (obc), we can define a spin dimerization $d$ as

$$d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i = 1,11} \sum_i (-1)^i \left( \hat{S}_{i,1}^z \hat{S}_{i+1,1}^z - \hat{S}_{i-1,1}^z \right),$$

where $i$ counts the rungs in the $b$-direction. We restrict $\sum_i$ to four rungs in the middle of each ladder ($l = 1, 2, 11$). This is the sum of the $S^z$-spin at the $i$-th rung. The dimerization $d$ is an indicator for bond alternation and spin singlets along the ladder, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b) (bottom). Translational invariance in the $b$-direction is additionally broken by the lattice distortions, and we then find the contributions to $d$ to be positive on both ladders. A state consisting of consecutive singlets in the $a$-direction [Fig. 1(b), bottom] would give the maximum value for $d$, i.e., $|d_{\text{max}}| = 1/4$. The charge order parameter $m_{\text{CO}}$ is given by

$$m_{\text{CO}}^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,l} e^{iQ(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_l)} \langle (n_{i \uparrow} n_{l \downarrow}) - (n_{i \downarrow} n_{l \uparrow}) \rangle,$$

where $Q = (\pi, \pi)$ and $N$ is the total number of sites on the ladder. We calculate $m_{\text{CO}}^2$ for each ladder separately and then average. In the thermodynamic limit, we obtain the results shown in Fig. 3 for the charge order parameter $m_{\text{CO}}$, the spin gap $\Delta_S$, and the dimerization $d$, as a function of $V$. For comparison, we also show the lattice distortion $z_{\text{opt}}$ and the effective spin coupling $J_{\text{eff}}$, which were determined for an isolated ladder in Ref. [8]. We can identify three different regimes:

(i) For small nearest-neighbor Coulomb repulsion $V \leq 0.95 t_a$, the lattice distortion and the charge order are both zero. This regime is therefore not relevant for NaV$_2$O$_5$. The extrapolated values of the spin gap $\Delta_S$ are mostly finite, but vary strongly between linear and quadratic extrapolations, reflecting the difficulty of a reliable estimate in this regime. However, in the 2d thermodynamic limit we can expect a vanishing gap as long as $m_{\text{CO}} = 0$, from calculations on a system with pure $pbc$ in the $a$-direction [8] and from considerations of an effective spin model (see below). The dimerization $d$, which

\[ \Delta_S(L) = E_0(L, N, S_z = 1) - E_0(L, N, S_z = 0), \]

where $E_0$ is the ground state energy, $L$ is the length of each ladder, $N = L/2$ is the number of electrons, and $S_z$ is the total spin in $z$-direction. The results are extrapolated using linear and quadratic fits in $1/L$, including $L = (8), 12, 14, 16, 20$, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). Due to broken translational invariance in the $b$-direction (obc), we can define a spin dimerization $d$ as $d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i = 1,11} \sum_i (-1)^i \left( \hat{S}_{i,1}^z \hat{S}_{i+1,1}^z - \hat{S}_{i-1,1}^z \right)$, where $i$ counts the rungs in the $b$-direction. We restrict $\sum_i$ to four rungs in the middle of each ladder ($l = 1, 2, 11$). This is the sum of the $S^z$-spin at the $i$-th rung. The dimerization $d$ is an indicator for bond alternation and spin singlets along the ladder, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b) (bottom). Translational invariance in the $b$-direction is additionally broken by the lattice distortions, and we then find the contributions to $d$ to be positive on both ladders. A state consisting of consecutive singlets in the $a$-direction [Fig. 1(b), bottom] would give the maximum value for $d$, i.e., $|d_{\text{max}}| = 1/4$. The charge order parameter $m_{\text{CO}}$ is given by

$$m_{\text{CO}}^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,l} e^{iQ(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_l)} \langle (n_{i \uparrow} n_{l \downarrow}) - (n_{i \downarrow} n_{l \uparrow}) \rangle,$$

where $Q = (\pi, \pi)$ and $N$ is the total number of sites on the ladder. We calculate $m_{\text{CO}}^2$ for each ladder separately and then average. In the thermodynamic limit, we obtain the results shown in Fig. 3 for the charge order parameter $m_{\text{CO}}$, the spin gap $\Delta_S$, and the dimerization $d$, as a function of $V$. For comparison, we also show the lattice distortion $z_{\text{opt}}$ and the effective spin coupling $J_{\text{eff}}$, which were determined for an isolated ladder in Ref. [8]. We can identify three different regimes:

(i) For small nearest-neighbor Coulomb repulsion $V \leq 0.95 t_a$, the lattice distortion and the charge order are both zero. This regime is therefore not relevant for NaV$_2$O$_5$. The extrapolated values of the spin gap $\Delta_S$ are mostly finite, but vary strongly between linear and quadratic extrapolations, reflecting the difficulty of a reliable estimate in this regime. However, in the 2d thermodynamic limit we can expect a vanishing gap as long as $m_{\text{CO}} = 0$, from calculations on a system with pure $pbc$ in the $a$-direction [8] and from considerations of an effective spin model (see below). The dimerization $d$, which
The effective magnetic exchange constant $J_{\text{eff}}$ for our choice of boundary conditions. Linear and becomes finite and cause charge ordering in a SAF pattern. Periodic boundary conditions studied in Ref. 8, which charge-ordered system of two coupled ladders with purely dimerization and a spin gap only when intra-ladder dimerization and a spin gap are consistent with the effective spin system. This behavior and the negative extrapolations for the spin gap are consistent with the effective spin system. Regime (ii) can be associated with NaV$_2$O$_5$ because it provides a description for the concurrent appearance of lattice distortions, zigzag charge order, and the opening of a spin gap in the low temperature phase. At the effective $V = 1.3t_a$ previously determined, lattice distortions, charge order, as well as the spin gap ($\Delta_S^{\text{exp}} \approx 10meV = 0.029t_a$) are of the same magnitude as in NaV$_2$O$_5$. Calculations for different inter-ladder hopping strengths $t_{ab}$ show that the maximal size of the gap increases with $t_{ab}$ ($t_{ab} = 0/0.17/0.33t_a \Rightarrow \Delta_S^{\text{max}} \approx 0/0.025/0.05t_a$), but that concurrently the transition from regime (ii) to (iii) appears at smaller $V$ (for $t_{ab} = 0.33t_a$ at $V \approx 1.4t_a$), corresponding to smaller $m_{\text{CO}}$.

Effective spin model. In order to better understand the behavior of the spin gap in the EHM, we consider an effective spin model for NaV$_2$O$_5$, which was introduced in Ref. 13. This model allows us to investigate much larger systems – up to length $L = 120$ – and thus to extrapolate results to the thermodynamic limit more accurately. By replacing the quarter-filled rungs (one electron on each rung) by a single effective spin site, we obtain the Heisenberg spin model whose lattice structure is depicted in Fig. 1(a) (bottom) with two different magnetic exchange constants, $J^0_a$ along the effective chains, and $J^0_{ab}$, inter-chain. The chains in this effective spin model correspond to the ladders of the original system. In the SAF charge-ordered state with weakly coupled effective chains [Fig. 1(b)], the magnetic exchange $J^0_{ab}$ differentiates to three different values depending on the positions of the electrons on the interacting rungs: large $J' = J^0_{ab}(1 + m_{\text{CO}})^2$, medium $J'' = J^0_{ab}(1 - m_{\text{CO}}^2)$, and small $J''' = J_{ab}^0(1 - m_{\text{CO}})^2$, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The bond alternation along the b-direction is taken to be $J_{1/2} = J_0(1 + \delta)$, where $J_0 = J^0_{ab}(1 - m_{\text{CO}}^2)$, and we set $\delta(m_{\text{CO}} = 0) = 0$ and $\delta(m_{\text{CO}} = 0.44) = 0.034$ [13]. Assuming a linear dependence yields $\delta(m_{\text{CO}}) \approx 0.076m_{\text{CO}}$. Together with $J^0_{ab}/J_0^0 = 1/45$ [13] (or $J^0_{ab}/J_0^0 = 1/25$), where $J^0_{ab}$ and $J_0^0$ are the exchange constants at $m_{\text{CO}} = 0$, the parameters of the effective spin model are completely determined and the behavior can be investigated as a function of the charge order parameter, $m_{\text{CO}}$.

Like in the Hubbard system, we apply the DMRG to two coupled spin chains (corresponding to two Hubbard ladders) with shifted pbc in the a-direction, and obc as well as pbc in the b-direction. Due to the small inter-chain couplings, the finite-size effects in the a-direction are small [24], justifying calculations on only two coupled effective chains. A quadratic extrapolation [Fig. 2(b),(d)] of the finite system sizes ($L = 20–120$ for obc, $L = 20–60$ for pbc) to the thermodynamic limit provides the $m_{\text{CO}}$-dependence of dimerization $d$ and spin gap $\Delta_S$. The dimerization and the spin gap increase with $m_{\text{CO}}$ up to a maximum (Fig. 3); then, both decrease even though the bond alternation still increases. This behavior matches well with the results from the EHM. In contrast, uncoupled chains (1D spin-1/2-Heisenberg chains) show a continuing increase of dimerization and spin gap.

The destruction of the spin gap is likely due to the opening of a restructing of the singlets, which now occur with a larger probability on the J'-bonds. For small charge ordering, all inter-chain exchanges are of comparable size and are small in units of $J_0$. The singlet formation along the $b$-direction is then only marginally influenced. On
FIG. 4: Effective spin model. Quadratically extrapolated values \( L = \infty \) for (a) the spin gap \( \Delta_S \) and (b) the dimerization \( d \) as a function of the charge order parameter \( m_{CO} \). Calculations with \( obc \) (black dots) and \( pbc \) (open circles) in the \( b \)-direction are shown. For comparison the spin gap \( \Delta_S \) for the EHM is shown, in units of \( J_{eff} \) [Fig. 4(a)].

The other hand, a large value of \( m_{CO} \) drastically changes the inter-chain exchanges, e.g., for \( m_{CO} = 0.9 \) and \( J_{pl}/J_0 = 1/45 \), they become \( J'/J_{58} \approx 0.4 \), \( J''/J_{58} \approx 0.02 \), and \( J'''/J_{58} \approx 0.001 \). The large inter-chain exchange \( J' \) destroys the spin gap and causes a restructuring of the singlets. For \( pbc \) along the ladder [open circles in Fig. 4(a)] a re-creation of the spin gap is observed after passing a critical charge ordering \( m_{CO}^{*} \). In contrast, \( obc \)-calculations (black dots) show a vanishing gap for all \( m_{CO} \geq m_{CO}^{*} \), although both calculations match excellently for \( m_{CO} < m_{CO}^{*} \) [Fig. 4(a)]. This strongly suggests a singlet formation on the \( J' \)-bonds \( \text{Fig. 4(a)} \) with increasing \( J' \). In the case of \( obc \), unpaired spins are then present at the ends of the ladders [Fig. 4(b)], preventing a spin gap. This explanation is supported by a considerable increase of inter-chain spin correlations on the \( J' \)-bonds for \( m_{CO} > m_{CO}^{*} \).

For \( obc \), after passing the critical charge order \( m_{CO}^{*} \), the spin gap extrapolates to values that are slightly negative [Fig. 4(a)]. If the extrapolation is restricted to smaller system sizes [dotted line in Fig. 4(b)], the values become more negative. This is in agreement with the negative extrapolations for \( \Delta_S \) in the Hubbard model in the large \( m_{CO} \)-regime [Fig. 4(b)]. The magnitude of these negative values gives a minimal estimate of the error in the extrapolation.

**Conclusions.** We have studied coupled quarter-filled ladders in an extended Hubbard model with lattice coupling and with model parameters appropriate for \( NaV_2O_5 \). Our calculations match the effective spin model proposed by Gros and Valenti \[13\], and show two ways to realize a spin gap for coupled quarter-filled ladder compounds. At lower charge order we find a superantiferroelectric regime with spin dimerization along the ladder and a spin gap, in agreement with the scenario of Mostovoy and Khomskii \[14\]. This regime provides the possibility to understand the concurrent appearance of charge order, lattice distortions, and spin gap in \( NaV_2O_5 \) and is in good agreement with experimental observations for \( NaV_2O_5 \).

Higher charge order, unrealistically large for \( NaV_2O_5 \), results in an increased inter-ladder magnetic exchange and a restructuring of the spins. The corresponding destruction and re-creation of the spin gap illustrates an interesting transition between different spin gap regimes. While regime \( (ii) \) is well described by weakly coupled rectangular ladders with dimerization inducing SAF charge order, regime \( (iii) \) displays weakly coupled zigzag ladders with a charge bond density wave \[22\].

This work was supported by the Austrian science fund FWF, project P15520. We thank M. Aichhorn, C. Gros, T.C. Lang, and F. Michel for useful conversations.

---

[1] M. Isobe and Y. Ueda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1178 (1996).
[2] For a review, see P. Lemmens et al., Phys. Rep. 375, 1 (2003).
[3] H. Smolinski, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5164 (1998).
[4] B. Grenier et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5066 (2001).
[5] H. Seo and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 2602 (1998); J. Phys. Chem. Sol. 60, 1095 (1999).
[6] D. Sa and C. Gros, Eur. Phys. J. B 18, 421 (2000).
[7] M. Vojta et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 045105 (2001); Phys. Rev. B 60, R8417 (1999).
[8] B. Edegger et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 085131 (2005).
[9] C. Gros and G.Y. Chitov, Europhys. Lett. 69, 447 (2005).
[10] M. Aichhorn et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 245108 (2004).
[11] J. Spitaler et al., Physica Scripta T109, 159 (2004); Phys. Rev. B 70, 125107 (2004).
[12] T. Yoshihama et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 744 (1998).
[13] C. Gros and R. Valenti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 976 (1999).
[14] M.V. Mostovoy and D. I. Khomskii, Solid State Commun. 113, 159 (2000); M. V. Mostovoy et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 064412 (2002).
[15] H. Nakao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4939 (2001); S. Grenier et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 180101(R) (2002); S. van Smaalen et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 060101(R) (2002); H. Sawa et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 385 (2002); K. Ohwada et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 106401 (2005).
[16] G. Y. Chitov and C. Gros, Phys. Rev. B 69, 104423 (2004); C. Gros and G. Y. Chitov, Europhys. Lett. 69, 447 (2005).
[17] J. Riera and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B 59, 2667 (1999).
[18] S. van Smaalen et al., cond-mat/0305479 (2003).
[19] P. Horsch and F. Mack, Eur. Phys. J. B 5, 367 (1998).
[20] We checked this simplification for system sizes, min. 100.
[21] Up to 800 states were kept in the DMRG calculations. We estimate the errors (except for extrapolated values) to be smaller than the symbol sizes in all figures.
[22] The vanishing of the spin gap is also indicated by a sign change in the logarithmic corrections to \( 1/L \) scaling, both in the Hubbard model and in the effective spin model.
[23] It can be further enhanced by in-plane atomic movements. See e.g. Ref. [14] P. Thalmeier and P. Fulde, Europhys. Lett. 44, 242 (1998); A. Bernert et al., Eur. Phys. J. B 21, 535 (2001); Phys. Rev. B 66, 165108 (2002).

[24] We have checked this on effective spin systems with 4 coupled chains and pbc in the $a$-direction for smaller systems ($L \leq 32$) at $m_{CO} = 0.0/0.5/0.8$ using the DMRG. The results for the dimerization and the spin gap match well with our calculations on two coupled ladders.

[25] R.T. Clay and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 207206 (2005).