The first *Stylogaster* Macquart, 1835 (Diptera: Conopidae) fossil, from Oligo-Miocene Dominican amber, and some phylogenetic and biogeographic considerations
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**Abstract.** *Stylogaster* Macquart, 1835 has been unknown in the fossil record until now, the only fossil conopid genus being *Palaeomyopa* Meunier, 1912. Two *Stylogaster* specimens in amber from the American Museum of Natural History collection were studied and are described here. Both specimens, male and female, belong to a new species, *S. grimaldii* sp. nov., that is probably basal to at least the New World species with a short ocellar triangle. Photos and drawings of the new species are provided. The relationship with other *Stylogaster* species and ancient distributional patterns are briefly discussed.

**1 Introduction**

Conopidae, or thick-headed flies, are an interesting and understudied group of flies with a nearly worldwide distribution. They are only absent from Antarctica and the islands of Pacific. The adults are nectarivorous and the larvae are obligate parasitoids of other insects. About 800 extant species of conopids in 58 genera are known, and one fossil genus with two species has been recorded from Baltic amber (Stuke, 2005; Gibson and Skevington, 2013).

*Stylogaster* Macquart, 1835 is a peculiar genus of Conopidae, with 118 valid species described so far, distributed amongst all biogeographic regions except for the Palaearctic (Papavero, 1971; Camras and Parrillo, 1985; Smith and Peterson, 1987; Yang, 1995; Camras and Parrillo, 1996; Stuke, 2006; Rocha and Mello-Patiu, 2009; Schneider, 2010; Rocha and Mello-Patiu, 2012; Stuke, 2012; Pape and Thompson, 2013, Burt et al., 2014). The genus (thus the subfamily) can be diagnosed by the following characters: a pronounced facial keel, elongated prementum and labella, medial ommatidia larger than all other conopid genera, females with an elongated tube-like terminalia, formed by the segments VI, VII and VIII and dart-like eggs with a hard and scaled chorion and barb-shaped spines (Kotrba, 1997). *Stylogaster* are parasitoids like all other Conopidae but unlike the others, which are mostly parasitoids of Hymenoptera, *Stylogaster*’s preferred hosts are cockroaches (Blattaria) and grasshoppers and their relatives (orthopteroids). Records of oviposition on calyptrate flies have been described from Africa, but no evidence of development in dipteran hosts has been found (Rettenmeyer, 1961; Couri and Pont, 2006; Couri and Barros, 2010, Couri et al., 2013). In the New World and Africa, many species are associated with army and driver ants, (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Ecitoninae), with *Stylogaster* attack-
ing in the insects that run from the swarms, but not the ants themselves (Lopes, 1937; Smith and Peterson, 1987; Skevington et al., 2010).

Recent phylogenetic analyses give many alternative phylogenetic hypotheses for *Stylogaster*: one as the sister group of all other conopids, or as sister of the Lauxaniidae, depending on the method of analysis (Gibson et al., 2012; Gibson and Skevington, 2013) or even a paraphyletic Conopidae, inside the Sciomyzoidea, with *Stylogaster* separated from the other conopids (Wiegmann et al., 2011). The age of *Stylogaster* has not been proposed before, as the only known fossil conopid genus, *Palaeomyopa* Meunier, 1912 is not closely related to *Stylogaster* (Hennig, 1966; Gibson and Skevington, 2013). The short mouthparts with palps and widely open cell $R_{4+5}$ are putative plesiomorphic characters of *Palaeomyopa*, while the presence of facial foveae and pad-like extensions of sternite 5 of the female are shared with the non-stylogastrine conopids. Evolutionary studies of Conopidae are important because this family is hypothesized to be the sister taxon to all other schizophoran Diptera (Gibson et al., 2010), thus providing insights into the evolution of diet and parasitoidism amongst the enormous radiation of higher flies. The morphology of the mouthparts and female terminalia suggests that ancestral conopids were nectarivorous and parasitoids (Hennig, 1966), and must have evolved after the appearance of the flowering plants. It remains unclear which hosts are plesiomorphic: orthopteroids or hymenopterans, or another group entirely, unknown to date. The estimated divergence from molecular data between schizophoran and non-schizophoran Diptera is Upper Cretaceous (Santonian age), ca. 84.5 Mya (Bertone and Wiegmann, 2009), although there were no conopids in their analysis. A posterior analysis (Wiegmann et al., 2011), including conopids, found that the Schizophora date from the K–T boundary, ca. 66 Mya. The oldest schizophoran fossil is a Cretaceous (ca. 70 Mya, Maastrichtian age) puparium from Alberta, Canada, *Cretophormia fowleri* McAlpine, 1970, and its family relationships are uncertain. Except for this, all other fossil Schizophora are Cenozoic (ca. 66 Mya) and very common in Baltic amber, although occurring in younger amber (Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999; Grimaldi and Engel, 2005).

The early–middle Miocene amber-bearing sediments in the Dominican Republic are associated with lignitic material and were formed in a great variety of depositional environments, from coastal to deep marine. The age of the amber-bearing sediments is estimated to be from Oligo-Miocene boundary (23 Mya) to middle Miocene (14 Mya) (Iturralde-Vincent, 2001). The exact formation of origin for the fossil is not provided on the specimen label, but its age corresponds to the amber-bearing sediments cited by Iturralde-Vincent (2001). This author suggests that those sediments were likely formed from debris produced from active uplift of a highland terrestrial or near-shore area.

This paper aims to describe the first known *Stylogaster* fossils, compare them with the other conopid fossils, and discuss the systematic and biogeographic relevance of this new species.

## 2 Material and methods

The examined specimens are from the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) collection.

They were observed with a Leica M80 stereomicroscope and then described. Photographs were taken using a Canon EOS 50D camera with a Canon MP-E 65 mm f/2.8 1–5x Macro Lens and a Canon Speedlite 430EX II flash. The camera was attached to a StackShot (Cognisys Inc.) computerized rail, which was used to take a series of images of the specimen. These images were then montaged with Zerene Stacker version 1.04 (Zerene Systems LLC) to produce one image. The montaged images were edited with Adobe Photoshop. The dorsum was illustrated by tilting the specimen under various lighting conditions to assess, capture and clearly display all the characters necessary for diagnosis. The terminology used here follows McAlpine (1981) and Cumming and Wood (2009), except when contradicted by Gibson et al. (2012), who are then followed.

## 3 Results

Stylogaster grimaldii sp. nov.

(Figures 1–6)

**Holotype:** Dominican Republic amber, Oligo-Miocene, AMNH specimen no. DR-15-411, 1 male; **Paratype:** Dominican Republic amber, Oligo-Miocene, AMNH specimen (No DR no. given), 1.5 females.

**Type locality:** Dominican Republic.

**Type stratum:** Unknown.

**Etymology:** Named after Dr. David Grimaldi, who has built an impressive collection of amber for study at the American Museum of Natural History. He obtained the specimens and brought them to our attention.

## 4 Male

**Diagnosis:** Pedicel and postpedicel subequal in size; ocellar triangle short and pointed; mid basitarsus more than twice as long as the remaining tarsomeres; hind trochanter and femur with long black setae ventrally; tergite 1 with white setae laterally; tergite 5 longer than the others; sternite 5 sclerotized.

**Description:** *Head:* Frons yellow, fronto-orbital plate as wide as the scape (Figs. 1a, 2a); gena and facial ca-
rina yellow, seeming to be covered by microtomentum; prementum black at the middle and yellow at tips, basal sheath of prementum clearly visible; ocellar triangle brown and rounded, not reaching middle of the frons, ocellar tubercle prominent (Figs. 1a, 2a); antennae entirely yellow, some setulae dorsally on anterior margin of scape; pedicel and postpedicel subequal in size; arista brown, its third segment enlarged at the base; head setae, per side, are 2 frontal, 6 orbital, 1 ocellar, 1 vertical and 2 occipital, all black (Fig. 2b); occiput and gena covered by white setulae; ommatidia on medial side of the compound eyes slightly enlarged (Fig. 1b).

**Thorax:** Postpronotal lobe yellow, scutum light brown, supraalar and posterior regions lighter; katepimeron and katepisternum of the same colour as scutum, other pleural sclerites yellow; notopleuron with strongly demarked suture, scutellum pointing upwards; proepisternal setae white, all other black; setae on each side are 1 proepisternal, 1 postpronotal, 2 notopleural, 2 anepimeral, 2 supraalar, 2 dorsocentral, 2 postalar and 1 scutellar (Fig. 2a–b); fore and mid legs yellow; fore and mid coxae with long white setae apically, mid femur with a row of sparse black setae ventrally; fore and mid tibiae coated with white setulae, which are longer apically; fore basitarsus coated with white setulae; mid basitarsus more than 2-fold longer than the other tarsomeres (Fig. 5); hind coxae light brown, with long black setae apically, seeming to be covered with microtomentum; hind trochanters with black setulae ventrally; hind femur light brown in the tips and yellow in the middle, with moderately long black setae ventrally at the base (Fig. 5); hind tibiae brown with white preapical markings, and dense setulae at the apex; halter rounded, with the lateral half of the knob brown and the medial yellow; wing with one basal seta, costa setulae small; basal radial cell curved apically, \( M_{1+2} \) vein slightly curved, forming a petiole with \( R_{4+5} \) (Fig. 2b).

**Abdomen:** Abdominal tergites yellow with light brown posterodorsal markings (Fig. 2b); tergites 2, 3 and 4 subequal in size, tergite 5 slightly larger than the others; tergite 1 with only white setae on the sides, covering all the lateral, tergite 2 with a transversal series of 6 setae, 2 black and 4 white; white setulae present in the anterior ventral margin of tergites 3, 4 and 5; long black setae in the ventral margins of all tergites except tergite 1; sternites totally membranous,
but with visible limits.

**Terminalia:** Mainly yellow; sternite 5 sclerotized, with 2 transversal sets of black setulae; syntergosternite 7+8 nearly the same size of the epandrium; cerci smaller than posterior surstyli and apparently without hooked apex; cerci and posterior surstyli with black and white setae (Fig. 2b).

### 5 Female

**Description:** Same as male, except for modified abdomen and terminalia.

**Abdomen:** Abdomen twice as long as thorax and head combined. Abdominal tergites brownish-yellow, without clear maculation or markings (Figs. 3, 4); tergites 2, 3 and 4 about equal in size, while tergite 5 slightly larger and longer than others; tergite 6 somewhat constricted posteriorly and shorter than 5, and appearing fused with tergite 7 (Figs. 3, 4, 6a); tergite 1 with only white setae on the sides, tergite 2 with a transversal series of 4 long black setae; white setae absent from the anterior ventral margin of tergites 3, 4 and 6; moderately long (shorter than in male) black setae present in the ventral margins of all tergites except tergite 1; sternites 1–6 totally membranous, but with visible limits.

**Terminalia:** Mainly dark brown; tergite 7 greatly elongated, cylindrical, slender, tube-like; tergite 8 virtually indistinguishable, but appears fused to 7; tergite 9 indistinguishable but also appears fused; tergites 7–8 with short, regular black setae dorsally, ventrally and laterally; sternites appear fused; lateral lobes appear to protrude from tergite 8, are short with rounded tips, cercus short with long bushy black setae; hypoproct long (about 10 times as long as length of cercus), and slightly rounded at tip, but arrow-shaped overall, covered in long black setae, bushy (Fig. 6a–b).

**Comments:** The other two known fossils of Conopidae have been discussed by Hennig (1966), Camras (1994), Stuke (2005) and Gibson and Skevington (2013); both are from Baltic amber. They are not associated directly with extant taxa, but resemble the non-stylogastrine conopids in having mobile female terminalia, pad-like appendices on the sternites and no facial carina protruding. *Stylogaster grimaldii* is clearly a *Stylogaster*, having all the characters of the group.

**Discussion:** The *Stylogaster* fauna was known only from extant species until now. The discovery of a fossil species from Oligo-Miocene sheds light regarding some questions about the evolutionary history and biogeography of this group.

Recent works on the phylogeny of Conopidae (Gibson et al., 2012; Gibson and Skevington, 2013) place *Stylogaster* as the sister taxon of the remaining Conopidae. The other fossil conopid group, *Palaeomyopa*, seems to be more related to the non-stylogastrine conopids than to *Stylogaster*, although it has not been included in any phylogenetic analysis. *Palaeomyopa* is known from Baltic amber dated from the early Eocene, 44.1 to 47 Mya (Wolfe et al., 2009), suggest-
ing that the separation between Stylogaster and the Conopidae sensu Hennig (1966) has this minimum age. Stylogaster grimaldii probably dates from the Oligo-Miocene boundary, ca. 23.03 Mya (International Commission on Stratigraphy, 2013; Iturralde-Vinent, 2001).

Stylogaster grimaldii was included in an all-species phylogeny of Stylogaster, which divides the genus into two monophyletic groups, one New World clade and one Old World clade (Rocha et al., unpublished data). The New World group has a short ocellar triangle clade and a long ocellar triangle clade. S. grimaldii morphologically resembles the species with a short ocellar triangle, sharing with them many other characters, such as the subequal-sized pedicel and post-pedicel and the absence of thick bristles on the epandrium. The hypothesized position of other Stylogaster in this unpublished work is congruent with the molecular and morphological cladogram of Gibson et al. (2012). The hypothesized position of the fossil species, as the sister of all other species with a short ocellar triangle, indicates that the separation between Old/New World and long/short ocellar triangle New World clades is probably older than the Neogene (23.03 Mya). The position of S. grimaldii adds little to resolve the conflict concerning the placement of Conopidae within Schizophora (Gibson et al., 2010). Different analytical methods used by Gibson et al. (2010) produced different hypotheses, with Parsimony suggesting that conopids are sister to Schizophora and Bayesian suggesting that Conopidae are sister to Lauxaniidae Macquart. Fossil Lauxaniidae are rare (Evenhuis, 1994; Poinar Jr., 1992) and in the Fushun amber of China (Szwedo et al., 2013; Hong, 1981). The Fushun amber deposit has been dated to the Paleogene, specifically the Paleocene and early Eocene epochs (50–53 Mya) (Wang et al., 2014). Finding an older conopid fossil (in the 70 Mya range) would add considerable support to the conopid–Schizophora sister hypothesis. Given that the minimum age of conopids (47.9 Mya based on Palaeomyopa) is similar to that of the oldest lauxanid, both hypotheses remain viable.

Another fossil Stylogaster in Dominican amber, a female, is owned by a private collector in Italy (D. Grimaldi, personal communication, 2014) but could not be obtained at this time. A photo of the specimen can be seen at http://www.terratreasures.com/amber/flagship/dr5705stylogaster/DR5705stylogaster.htm, but its relationship to S. grimaldii is not entirely clear, because the ocellar triangle is not visible. However, the short postpedicel and long female terminalia suggest it is related to S. grimaldii. If the identity of this Dominican fossil is confirmed as another new species, then this suggests that there was greater diversity in the Antilles because the only extant species recorded from these islands is S. iviei Camras, 2003. The fact that at
least two lineages are represented by these taxa suggests that the West Indies was an important corridor and has lost much of its fauna through extinction events (Rocha et al., unpublished data). The insights coming from this fossil species illustrate the contribution that fossil taxa can make with respect to conopid phylogenetics.
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