Carbon monolith from Victorian brown coal for hydrogen storage
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Abstract. The application of carbon monolith from VBC (Victorian Brown Coal) for H2 storage has been studied. The storage capacities of the monolith were measured using an isothermal adsorption process at different temperatures and pressures. In this study the adsorption capacities of monolithic carbon and those of activated carbon from other literature were compared. It was found that temperature and pressure affected the hydrogen adsorption capacity. It was also observed that density of the carbon monoliths can also have a significant effect on hydrogen storage capacity.

1. Introduction
Extensive research has been carried out on alternative energy sources such as sun, geothermal, tides, windmills, biomass, nuclear energy, hydrogen, etc [1]. Furthermore, there is increasing interest in developing gas storage systems with the aim of energy applications (e.g., storage of H2 gas for transportation purposes) or environmental protection goals to reduce the negative effects of the greenhouse effect [2].

The storage of gases is usually performed by compression in high pressure vessels. However, the storage capacities of such devices are restricted, because of the low density of gases and pressure limitations due to safety reasons [3]. An alternative technology for gas storage is adsorption on porous materials [4]. Gas storage on sorbents is based on the physical adsorption process where adsorbate gas molecules are bound by attractive forces (e.g., Van der Waals force) to the surface of the adsorbent [3, 4]. Thus, the gas accumulates into the pores because of the attraction created between the gas molecules and the adsorbent. Thereby, the density of the gas accumulated in the porous structure of these materials is increased. Although the interaction between gas molecules and adsorbent is weak, it is sufficient to increase the amount of gas stored [5].

Given the massive scale of Victoria's brown-coal resource and the chemical properties of Victorian Brown Coal (VBC), VBC has the potential to be used for power generation, liquefaction and other applications [6]. It is also recognized that with suitable up-grading, VBC can be developed to produce carbon materials.

Porous carbon materials are considered as one of the most promising adsorbents for gas storage media because they are easily obtained from natural raw materials [7-9]. In addition, most carbon materials are not sensitive to water vapor due to their surface hydrophobicity [10, 11]. Interest in using
carbon monolithic structures for various chemical conversion and adsorption processes is increasing. The combined favourable properties of carbon and monolithic structures create a support with great potential in catalytic and adsorption processes [12]. A carbon monolith is basically a uniform block, consisting of parallel channels. Sometimes this is prepared via extrusion to give various shapes such as cylindrical, square, triangular or hexagonal, etc. Carbons with monolithic structure are able to provide good mass transfer, thermal stability, high surface area, high amenability for pore structure modification and surface functionalization. The also have good mechanical strength and can be more readily regenerated than conventional granular or powder forms [7]. This study reports the application of carbon monolith from Victorian brown coal for $\text{H}_2$ storage. The $\text{H}_2$ storage capacity of the materials were compared with that of several other carbon materials from the literature.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials
The materials used in this study were carbon monoliths from Victorian Brown Coal prepared at Monash University, Australia [13]. The carbon monoliths were activated with CO$_2$ at 850 °C for 1 hour. For adsorption studies, H$_2$ gas (99.98% purity) obtained from Air Liquide was used.

2.2. Physico-Chemical Characterisation
The density, porosity, structure and surface morphology of the carbon monoliths were all evaluated in the present study. The true density measurement was determined using an AccuPyc II 1340, Micromeritics. The CO$_2$ surface area was determined using an accelerated surface area and porosimeter system, Tristar II 3020, Micromeritics. The C, H and N contents were determined with TruSpec Micro, LECO Corporation by Geoservices Coal Laboratory, Indonesia. The morphology of the carbon monolith was analysed with a SEM SU3500 in Bandung Institute of Technology.

2.3. Adsorption study
Prior to isothermal carbon adsorption testing, approximately 2 grams of carbon monolith was crushed and then degassed at a temperature of 105 °C for approximately 6 hours under vacuum (0.01 mTorr) using a Micromeritics VacPrep 062 degasser.

The adsorption study was conducted with an HPVA II-200 from Particulate Systems using the static volumetric method to obtain high-pressure adsorption and desorption isotherms (Figure 1). The temperature was controlled by Colora Messatechnik GMBH refrigerated/heating circulator and an Edwards RV3F vacuum pump provided by Edward Corporation (UK) was used.

The volumetric technique consists of introducing (dosing) a known amount of gas into the chamber containing the sample to be analyzed. When the sample reached equilibrium with the gas, the final equilibrium pressure was recorded. These data were then used to calculate the quantity of gas adsorbed by the sample. This process was repeated at given pressure intervals until the maximum preselected pressure was reached. Then the pressure was decreased to provide a desorption isotherm. Each of the resulting equilibrium points [volume adsorbed and equilibrium pressure] was plotted to provide an isotherm. The adsorption capacity of H$_2$ in carbon monolith samples was measured at 273, 293 and 313 K. This temperature range was chosen as the temperature which was most widely used in hydrogen storage applications using volumetric methods. In the isothermal adsorption process the temperature was kept constant by recirculated thermal bath / dewar for optimum adsorption process. Each isotherm experiment took approximately 24 hours to complete.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Material characterisation

The physical and chemical characteristics of carbon monolith from Victorian Brown Coal are presented in Table 1. The value of conductivity is influenced by the amount of carbon in the honeycomb structure, with a density of 1.82 g/cm³. The SEM picture of the carbon monolith (Figure 2) shows that the monolithic carbon possessed interconnected macropore channels. The porous adsorbents may have high adsorption activities towards various gases, including hydrogen. This may be due to the mechanism of volume filling of pores inherent in these materials. This structure looks like a sponge with cavities that may be beneficial for use as an adsorbent material.

Figure 1. HPVA II-200. HP, high-pressure transducer; LP, 1000 torr pressure transducer; T, temperature probe; Ts, Tube sample; (1) analysis port valve; (2) vent valve; (3) manifold valve; (4) full vent valve; (5) full vacuum valve; (6) 1000 torr isolation valve; (7) degas port valve; (8) Analysis station bath; (9) Degas station bath.

In the HPVA II-200 measurement procedure, the gas composition in the adsorption cell after achieving equilibrium was measured during each desorption step, which could be used to calculate the adsorbed amount of gas at each desorption step to improve the accuracy of calculations. The total adsorbed amount of gas at one pressure step ($\Delta n_{ads}$) can be calculated using Equation 1.

$$\Delta n_{ads} = \Delta n_{dosed} - \Delta n_{Nads}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta n_{dosed}$ is the amount gas dosed from the manifold at that pressure step and $\Delta n_{Nads}$ is the non-adsorbed amount of gas at that pressure step. Likewise, the total adsorbed amount of gas at the $n^{th}$ pressure step ($\Delta n_{Nads}$) can be calculated using Equation 2.

$$\Delta n_{Nads} = \Delta n_{dosedn} - \Delta n_{Nadsn} = n_{An} - n_{Bn} - n_{Aadsn} + n_{Nadsn-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $n_{An}$ is the number of moles of gas in the manifold before dosing at the $n^{th}$ pressure step, $n_{Bn}$ is the number of moles of gas in the manifold after dosing at the $n^{th}$ pressure step and $n_{Nadsn-1}$ is the number of moles of gas not adsorbed by the sample at the $n^{1st}$ pressure step.
Table 1. Characteristics of VBC Carbon Monolith

| Parameter                  | Value |
|----------------------------|-------|
| CO₂ Surface area (m²/g)    | 973   |
| True density (g/cm³)       | 1.82  |
| Conductivity (Ω⁻¹cm⁻¹)     | 160.5 |
| Carbon (%)                 | 82.5  |
| Hydrogen (%)               | 2.7   |
| Nitrogen (%)               | 0.5   |

Figure 2. The SEM image of VBC carbon monolith at x5000 magnification (Red line indicates a macropore channel).

3.2. Adsorption study

The adsorption capacity of hydrogen with carbon monolith from Victorian Brown Coal was measured at three different temperatures (Figure 3). The highest adsorption capacity was obtained at 273 K (1.646 mmol/g) because the experimental temperature was the closest to the critical temperature of hydrogen (33 K). In other words, the higher the temperature used, the lower amount of hydrogen gas that can be stored in the carbon monolith. The increase of adsorption temperature to 293 K decreased adsorption capacity to 1.282 mmol / g and this value continued to decline at higher temperature (313 K) with a storage capacity of only 0.922 mmol / g.

A comparison of the hydrogen gas storage capacity, at 293 - 298 K, of several carbon materials from the literature was carried out to characterise the capability of the monolithic carbon used in this study. For this purpose, the hydrogen storage capacities of some carbon materials made from various sources and by different activation methods. The carbons compared were in various forms (i.e., monolith, powder and granular) and are presented in Table 2.
Figure 3. H$_2$ adsorption isotherm with carbon monolith from Victorian brown coal (CO$_2$ surface area= 973 m$^2$/g) at different temperatures.

Table 2. Hydrogen storage capacity of carbon monolith materials [1, 4, 9] at 298 K and CM-VBC at 293 K, 60 bar pressure

| Sample     | CO$_2$ Surface area (m$^2$/g) | Density (g cm$^{-3}$) | H$_2$ adsorption (mmol/g) | Reference |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| M-A1       | 928                           | 1.00                   | 1.9344                    |           |
| M-A336     | 1367                          | 0.87                   | 2.0833                    |           |
| M3M        | 2610                          | 0.42                   | 1.1746                    |           |
| MOF-210    | 6240                          | 0.25                   | 0.4960                    |           |
| D10 PACK   | 2259                          | 0.62                   | 3.7301                    |           |
| D10 TAP    | 2259                          | 0.36                   | 2.9761                    |           |
| D7 PACK    | 2364                          | 0.63                   | 3.2241                    |           |
| D7 TAP     | 2364                          | 0.34                   | 2.8769                    |           |
| M-ACF15    | 1127                          | 0.69                   | 2.8521                    | [1, 4, 9] |
| ACF15 PACK | 1193                          | 0.94                   | 2.8422                    |           |
| ACF15 TAP  | 1193                          | 0.24                   | 2.3690                    |           |
| M-ACF20    | 2068                          | 0.54                   | 2.9265                    |           |
| M-ACF25    | 1838                          | 0.54                   | 2.5793                    |           |
| CM-VBC     | 973                           | 1.82                   | 1.2823                    | This study|

Table 2 and Figure 4 show that VBC carbon monolith material possessed higher hydrogen adsorption capacity than some carbon materials with higher surface area. However, compared to carbon materials with much higher surface area, the hydrogen adsorption capacity of the VBC carbon monolith is inferior. The result indicated that high surface area is not the only determining factor for materials to possess high adsorption capacity, but material density is also one of the factors that can increase adsorption capacity.
Figure 4. Comparison of H₂ adsorption isotherms of several carbon materials at 298 K [1,4,9] (for CM-VBC, the isotherm was obtained at 293 K)

4. Conclusion
Carbon monolith prepared from Victorian Brown Coal has potential as a material for hydrogen storage. The maximum adsorption capacity of hydrogen gas was 1.646 mmol/g which was obtained at 273 K with 60 bar pressure. It was found that material density was one key factor for hydrogen storage capacity.
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