Scalable detection of technically challenging variants through modified next-generation sequencing
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Abstract

Background: Some clinically important genetic variants are not easily evaluated with next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods due to technical challenges arising from high-similarity copies (e.g., PMS2, SMN1/SMN2, GBA1, HBA1/HBA2, CYP21A2), repetitive short sequences (e.g., ARX polyalanine repeats, FMR1 AGG interruptions in CGG repeats, CFTR poly-T/TG repeats), and other complexities (e.g., MSH2 Boland inversions).

Methods: We customized our NGS processes to detect the technically challenging variants mentioned above with adaptations including target enrichment and bioinformatic masking of similar sequences. Adaptations were validated with samples of known genotypes.

Results: Our adaptations provided high-sensitivity and high-specificity detection for most of the variants and provided a high-sensitivity primary assay to be followed with orthogonal disambiguation for the others. The sensitivity of the NGS adaptations was 100% for all of the technically challenging variants. Specificity was 100% for those in PMS2, GBA1, SMN1/SMN2, and HBA1/HBA2, and for the MSH2 Boland inversion; 97.8%–100% for CYP21A2 variants; and 85.7% for ARX polyalanine repeats.

Conclusions: NGS assays can detect technically challenging variants when chemistries and bioinformatics are jointly refined. The adaptations described support a scalable, cost-effective path to identifying all clinically relevant variants within a single sample.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) is routinely used by clinical laboratories to analyze hundreds of disease-related genes in a single test with high accuracy, affordability, and speed. Still, most standard gene-targeted NGS workflows based on short-read chemistry cannot reliably assess certain clinically important variants due to limitations in assay chemistry, sample-to-sample variability, or bioinformatic processes. Classes of such technically challenging variants include single-exon copy number variants (CNVs) (i.e., intragenic deletions and duplications) (Abou Tayoun et al., 2016; Mandelker et al., 2016; Truty et al., 2019) and variants within repetitive sequence tracts (Li & Freudenberg, 2014), regions of low-complexity, or genes that have highly similar copies of their sequences elsewhere (e.g., pseudogenes, paralogs) (Mandelker et al., 2016). These technically challenging variants need to be reliably assessed, as recent estimates suggest they represent at least 14% of clinically significant genetic test results (Lincoln et al., 2021).

Many labs use ancillary non-NGS assays to address these regions, but these methods are often laborious, costly, and thus not well suited for large-scale and cost-effective clinical testing. Further, because multiple assay types are needed to detect all categories of technically challenging variants, referrals to multiple testing labs are sometimes needed to ensure that all relevant variants can be detected in an individual. Although NGS has afforded patients and healthcare providers with significantly better genetic testing opportunities, the capabilities and limitations of short-read NGS technologies must be carefully considered. A primary concern is that most clinical NGS platforms use Illumina instruments and currently produce only contiguous raw sequence data in short segments typically 150bp–300bp long. This is problematic when tackling repetitive or duplicated sequences, as long stretches of sequence may have nearly 100% identity with other regions in the genome, hindering accurate short-read alignment and subsequent variant calling (Aziz et al., 2015; Li & Freudenberg, 2014; Mandelker et al., 2016; Rehm et al., 2013). Although alternative sequencing technologies are capable of producing much longer reads (e.g., ~10,000bp long), these methods are not currently in routine and high-throughput use (Mantere et al., 2019). Another consideration for NGS-based genetic testing is that even when labs use the same NGS technology, many variables can affect test performance, such as differences in personnel training and procedures (Lincoln et al., 2021) or in assay design (and thus assay capabilities). To optimize test performance, bioinformatic analyses must also be customized for each assay. For example, stringently controlled, highly automated sample processing enables reliable high-resolution NGS-based detection of single-exon CNVs by read-depth comparisons instead of requiring the separate use of exon-focused array comparative genomic hybridization (Chong et al., 2014; Johansson et al., 2016; Lincoln et al., 2015; Truty et al., 2019). Similar advances in laboratory setups and controls can unlock other useful NGS capabilities that may not be feasible with generic off-the-shelf workflows.

By refining our targeted NGS workflow from sample accessioning through bioinformatic analysis, we have incorporated testing for many disease genes and specific variants that are typically not interrogated with standard NGS assays. Here, we describe the design and validation of our adapted NGS-based approaches for assessing technically challenging variants in five genes or gene regions that have segmentally duplicated copies with very high sequence similarity (i.e., PMS2 [OMIM: *600259], SMN1 [OMIM: *600354], GBA1 [OMIM: *606463], HBA1/HBA2 [OMIM: *141800/OMIM: *141850], CYP21A2 [OMIM: *613815]), in three genes affected by repetitive or low-complexity sequence tracts (i.e., AGG interruptions within the CGG repeats of FMRI [OMIM: *309550], polyalanine repeats in exon 2 of ARX [OMIM: *300382], and poly-T/TG repeats in CFTR [OMIM: *602421]), and in one gene associated with a recurrent copy-number neutral structural change known as a Boland inversion (i.e., MSH2 [OMIM: *609309]).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Editorial compliance

This study presents the methodology and validation of adaptations to an NGS-based genetic testing workflow and presents concordance of testing outcomes using a combination of commercial and non-commercial reference materials. All assays and validations complied with Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) regulatory guidance as well as professional guidelines and best practice standards for validations (Aziz et al., 2015; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

2.2 | NGS chemistry and bioinformatics

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood or saliva by magnetic bead extraction on a Hamilton Microlab STAR liquid handling system (Norcross, Georgia, United States). DNA libraries were prepared by shearing the isolated genomic DNA to an average fragment length of 350bp, followed by end repair and addition of adapters for paired-end sequencing. Hybridization capture of disease genes
was performed using an iteratively optimized pool of oligonucleotide baits (Roche, Pleasanton, CA; Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA; Twist Bioscience, South San Francisco, CA) targeted to exons, +/− 10−20 bases of flanking intronic sequences, and certain non-coding regions of clinical interest (Lincoln et al., 2015). Samples were sequenced to an average of 350X depth of sequence read coverage (and a minimum of >50X for 99% of genes) using HiSeq, NovaSeq, or NextSeq instruments (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

In the bioinformatics pipeline, both community standard and custom algorithms were used to identify single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), small and large insertions and deletions (indels), structural variants with breakpoints in target sequences, and certain non-coding CNVs (Kurian et al., 2014; Lincoln et al., 2015). High-quality sequence reads were selected according to Picard metrics and aligned with NovoAlign (Novocraft Technologies, Selangor, Malaysia) to a customized version of the GRCh37 reference genome (Church et al., 2011). The GenBank reference sequence for the genes described in this report are as follows: NG_008466.1 (PMS2); NC_000007.14 (PMS2CL); NG_008691.1 (SMN1), NG_008728.1 (SMN2), NG_009783.1 (GBA1); NG_000006.1 and NG_059186.1 (HBA1), NG_000006.1 and NG_059271.1 (HBA2); NG_007941.3 (CYP21A2); NG_008281.1 (ARX); NG_016465.4 (CFTR); NG_007529.2 (FMR1); and NG_007110.2 (MSH2). For genes of clinical interest with nearly identical extra sequence copies (e.g., PMS2, SMN1, HBA1/HBA2), the paralogous regions were “masked” in the reference genome (converted into Ns or otherwise obscured) to force NGS reads to map to a single location (Figure 1). Sequence variants (i.e., SNVs and indels) were first identified by the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) HaplotypeCaller (Poplin et al., 2017). In PMS2 and SMN1, each of which have highly similar gene copies, SNVs were called by Freebayes to account for copy number >2 caused by reads from multiple loci aligning to a single locus in the reference genome as a result of masking (Garrison & Marth, 2012). For CYP21A2, we adjusted the allele balance threshold in our variant caller.

Four custom algorithms were developed and used to support the detection of specific targeted variants or classes of variants. First was a custom-developed CNV caller (CNVitae) that identified single-exon and larger CNVs with a read count-based method. A baseline of read counts associated with normal copy number was set within each sequencing run. Using a statistical mixture model of read counts within target regions (typically exons), the most likely copy number of each segment was estimated. Each called segment was then assigned a quality score indicating the degree of confidence in the copy number determination. CNVitae was initially validated against similar algorithms (publicly available tools) and has been prospectively validated with improvements over time. Second was a custom split-read detection algorithm developed to detect the precise breakpoints of inversions, copy-number changes, large indels, and genomic rearrangements. It was used to identify and score loci with an accumulation of split-read signals above the baseline level. (A split read, also known as a soft-clipped read, partially maps to two cis locations: one partially downstream and the other partially upstream of a breakpoint.) Third was a custom variant caller (Coalign) that identified variants embedded within simple repeat sequences (e.g., homopolymers). Reads aligned to a locus known to harbor simple repeats were computationally extracted and then separately aligned to known haplotypes. The haplotype combination with the maximum likelihood was identified as the genotype. A fourth custom algorithm was used to infer the number and position of AGG interruptions within CGG-repeat alleles in the gene FMR1.

2.3 | Disambiguation and orthogonal confirmation

Due to reference genome masking, some variants were called within the primary NGS sequencing data in an ambiguous state, meaning there was uncertainty as to whether they were located within the disease gene or its paralogous copy. These ambiguous variants were then disambiguated (i.e., assigned to their true location) with orthogonal methods including successive long-range PCR (LR-PCR) and nested PCR reactions coupled with long-read sequencing (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA), Sanger sequencing, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands), and MLPA-seq (i.e., an internally developed adaptation of conventional MLPA in which MLPA ligation products are combined and analyzed by NGS instead of capillary electrophoresis).

2.4 | Validation methods

Reference samples with known variant status as determined by conventional orthogonal methods were sourced from the Coriell Institute (Camden, New Jersey), the Associated Regional and University Pathologists (Salt Lake City, Utah), and internally. Features of individual validation samples, including source and known genotype, are provided in the Supporting Information (Tables S1–S11). Validations were guided by recommendations by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the College of American Pathologists (Aziz...
All validations were also performed to meet CLIA program requirements and New York state standards for clinical genetic testing (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; New York State Department of Health, 2014).

Sensitivity was determined as the ratio of all true positives over the sum of all true positives and all false negatives. Specificity was determined as the ratio of all true negatives over the sum of all true negatives and all false positives. Confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated for both sensitivity and specificity of variant detection.

3 RESULTS

The technically challenging variants described in this study required nine customized assays and bioinformatic approaches (one for each gene) to be incorporated into a high throughput, multi-gene sequencing workflow. The development and validation of these customizations are described separately by gene below. An overview of the adaptations is also provided in Table 1.

3.1 Genes with highly similar pseudogenes or paralogous copies

3.1.1 PMS2

The tumor suppressor gene PMS2 is associated with Lynch syndrome, which confers an increased risk for colorectal, stomach, and other types of cancer (Cerretelli et al., 2020). While the sequences of PMS2 exons 1–11 are sufficiently unique to allow accurate mapping of sequence reads to the reference genome, variants in the last four exons of this oncogene can be difficult to detect because they share high sequence similarity with a nearby truncated pseudogene, PMS2CL. Gene conversion can occur between the similar sequences of PMS2 and PMS2CL (Hayward et al., 2007). The final four exons of PMS2 (exons 12–15) and those of PMS2CL are nearly identical, precluding unambiguous alignment of short-read sequences originating from the two loci (Hayward et al., 2007). To circumvent these difficulties, we computationally modified the reference genome sequence to mask the final four exons of PMS2CL, forcing reads from the corresponding exons in PMS2CL.
| Disease gene                      | Type of method adapted | Adaptations                                      | Variants reported                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PMS2                             | Bioinformatic          | Pseudogene masked                                | SNVs, indels, and CNVs                                                            |
|                                  |                        | Variant calling at > copy number 2               |                                                                                   |
| SMN1/SMN2                        | Bioinformatic          | Pseudogene masked                                | SNVs and indels in SMN1 terminal exon (exon 8)                                    |
|                                  |                        | Variant calling at > copy number 2               | SMN1 and SMN2 copy number                                                          |
|                                  |                        |                                                  | Suspected pathogenic SNVs and indels in ambiguous SMN1/SMN2 exons 1–7             |
| GBA1                             | Bioinformatic          | Nanomasking of polymorphic paralogous sequence variants | Nineteen specific sequence variants: c.84dupG; c.115 + 1G > A; c.222_224delTAC; c.475C > T; c.595_596delCT; c.680A > G; c.721G > A; c.754T > A; c.1226A > G; c.1246G > A; c.1297G > T; c.1263_1317del; c.1342G > C; c.1343A > T; c.1448T > C; c.1504C > T; c.1505G > A; c.1603C > T; c.1604G > A |
| HBA1/HBA2                        | Assay and bioinformatic| More gene-targeting probes                       | CNVs in HBA1 and HBA2                                                             |
|                                  |                        | Paralog masked                                    | “Constant Spring” SNV (HBA2:C.427T > C)                                            |
|                                  |                        | Haplotype-based copy number calling in exons, introns, and intergenic regions |                                                                                   |
| CYP21A2                          | Bioinformatic          | Gene-specific variant calling (adjusted thresholds) | Select SNVs and indels                                                             |
|                                  |                        | Gene conversion/fusion events identified by copy number analysis | Gene fusions and large gene conversions                                             |
|                                  |                        |                                                  | Compensating full gene duplications in the presence of pathogenic variants         |
| Repetitive sequence variants      |                        |                                                  |                                                                                   |
| ARX (polyalanine)                | Assay                  | Increased DMSO                                    | SNVs, indels, and CNVs                                                            |
|                                  |                        | Increased probes for ARX exon 2                   |                                                                                   |
| CFTR (poly-T/TG)                 | Bioinformatic          | Gene-specific variant calling                     | Repeat alleles (5Ts with 11, 12, or 13 TG repeats)                                 |
|                                  |                        |                                                  | (SNVs, indels, and CNVs outside the poly-T/TG repeat region are also reported)     |
| FMR1 (AGG interruptions in CGG repeats) | Assay            | Screen samples for risk of expansion (based on CGG repeat number) | Number of AGG interruptions                                                        |
|                                  |                        | PacBio sequencing to identify CGG tract sizes and locations |                                                                                   |
| Structural variants              |                        |                                                  |                                                                                   |
| MSH2 (Boland inversion)          | Bioinformatic          | Targeted sequencing probes designed over expected breakpoints | Inversion variant                                                                 |
|                                  |                        | Split-read analysis                               | (SNVs, indels, and CNVs outside the inversion region are also reported)           |

Abbreviations: CNV, copy number variant; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; indels, insertions and deletions; NGS, next-generation sequencing; LR-PCR, long-range PCR; SNV, single nucleotide variant.
(in addition to PMS2 reads) to align to the PMS2 locus (Figure 1). Sequence variants were called by Freebayes using an expected copy number of 4. This method is agnostic to a sequence variant’s locus of origin (PMS2 or PMS2CL). In parallel, CNVs were called by CNVitae, which was adapted to accurately call CNVs at this locus relative to an expected copy number of 4. Subsequently, to disambiguate sequence variants, we performed locus-specific nested LR-PCR by adapting previously described methods (Vaughn et al., 2011) and sequenced the resulting amplicons with PacBio sequencing. CNVs that included any of PMS2 exons 12–15 were disambiguated using MLPA-seq, nested LR-PCR, and PacBio sequencing. CNVs in PMS2 exons 1–11 did not require disambiguation, as reads were reliably aligned to the correct locus.

To validate the methods for variant detection in PMS2, we used two reference sets: one containing sequence variants and the other containing CNVs (Table S1). The sequence variant reference set comprised 32 unique samples carrying 33 orthogonally identified sequence variants in PMS2 or PMS2CL. The CNV reference set consisted of 28 samples carrying 21 orthogonally identified single-exon CNVs (though 7 samples had no PMS2 or PMS2CL CNVs). In a validation experiment with replicates, our custom methods correctly identified all true positive sequence variants (205/205) and no false positives (0/34,876 true negatives). Thus, the NGS-based adaptations had 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 98.2%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 99.9%–100.0%) for sequence variant detection. For CNVs, the adaptations correctly identified all of the true positives (90/90) and no false positives (0/50), yielding 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 95.9%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 92.9%–100.0%) (Table 2).

| Gene | Validation sample type | No. of orthogonally known variants | Concordant validation results, No. (%) |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Variants in genes with highly similar copies | | | |
| PMS2 | Sequence variant positive | 205 | 205 (100) |
| | Sequence variant negative | 34,876 | 34,876 (100) |
| | CNV positive | 90 | 90 (100) |
| | CNV negative | 50 | 50 (100) |
| SMN1/SMN2 | SMN1 exon 8 CNV | 16 | 16 (100) |
| | SMN2 exon 8 CNV | 14 | 14 (100) |
| GBA1 | Sequence variant positive | 34 | 34 (100) |
| | Sequence variant negative | 12 | 12 (100) |
| HBA1/HBA2 | CNV positive | 44 | 44 (100) |
| | CNV negative | 25 | 25 (100) |
| CYP21A2 | Sequence variant positive | 68 | 68 (100)c |
| | Sequence variant negative | 1390 | 1359 (97.8)c |
| | CNV | 96 | 96 (100) |
| Repetitive sequence variants | | | |
| ARX (polyalanine) | Expansion positive | 5 | 5 (100) |
| | Expansion negative | 112 | 96 (85.7)d |
| CFTR (poly-T/TG) | Variant positive | 22 | 22 (100) |
| FMR1 (AGG interruptions in CGG repeats) | AGG interruptions length and position | 27 | 27 (100) |
| Structural variants | MSH2 (Boland inversion) | Inversion positive | 8 | 8 (100) |
| | | Inversion negative | 51,575 | 51,575 (100) |

aAdditional information regarding validation samples can be found in Tables S1–S12.
bMay include replicates.
cThese results were based on the primary assay. A separate validation of the confirmation method (PacBio sequencing) demonstrated a final sensitivity and specificity of 100% for 20 clinical samples of known variant status (Table S8).
dThese results were based on the primary assay. Samples identified as positive by the primary NGS assay undergo orthogonal confirmation.
3.1.2 | SMN1 and SMN2

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a degenerative neuromuscular disorder that can cause complete paralysis and death in infancy, if not treated. Almost all cases of SMA are caused by a recurrent pathogenic deletion in the SMN1 gene. Identifying the common deletion in SMN1 is challenging because of a nearly identical paralog, SMN2. The paralogous copy differs from SMN1 by a single nucleotide (the gene-determining nucleotide, c.840) in the terminal coding exon and encodes a partially functioning protein (Prior et al., 2000). Further complicating genetic testing for SMA is that SMN2 copy number varies among individuals. Because high SMN2 copy number can mitigate the most severe effects of SMN1 loss through some functional compensation by the SMN2 protein (Kariyawasam et al., 2019), accurate reporting of both SMN1 and SMN2 copy number is critical for determining a prognosis for a patient. In addition, SMN2 copy number can determine whether certain treatments are recommended for SMA-positive individuals (Glascock et al., 2018, 2020).

Our approach to SMN1 and SMN2 testing using short-read NGS required multiple adaptations to our bioinformatics methods. First, we masked SMN2 in the reference genome to force all SMN2-derived sequence reads to align to the SMN1 locus. Sequence variants were then called at a copy number of 4. We also adapted CNVitae to identify CNVs relative to an expected copy number of 4 to account for the co-alignment of the SMN1 and SMN2 reads. The independent copy numbers of SMN1 and SMN2 were determined based on the allele balance of the gene-determining nucleotide in the clinically relevant eighth exon (conventionally referred to as exon 7), which is the terminal coding exon. All sequence variants and any CNVs that did not involve the terminal coding exon were not disambiguated due to 100% sequence identity; however, deleterious variants in these regions were reported to clinicians and their patients to guide further testing, as necessary.

For carrier screening, samples with two copies of SMN1 were also examined for the presence of an intronic SNV in SMN1 (g.27134T>G) that is associated with silent carrier status (i.e., two copies of SMN1 on one chromosome and no copies on the other) in some ancestral backgrounds (Hendrickson et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2014). We validated our SMN1 and SMN2 copy number calling approach using samples with known SMN1 and SMN2 copy number genotypes provided by the biobank, including SMN1 heterozygous deletions, SMN2 duplications, and SMN2 with copy numbers of 3 or more (Table S2). In a validation experiment with replicates, we observed 100% concordance with both SMN1 (16/16) and SMN2 (14/14) genotypes (Table 2), yielding a specificity of 100% for copy number detection (95% CI, 79.4%–100.0% for SMN1 and 95% CI, 76.8%–100.0% for SMN2). Separately, we performed a validation experiment comparing NGS results to droplet digital PCR on 55 clinical samples and found 100% concordance for SMN1 copy numbers 0–2 and SMN2 copy numbers 0–4 (Table S3).

3.1.3 | GBA1

Gaucher disease, a potentially fatal lysosomal storage disorder with toxic accumulation of lipids in many tissues, is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by pathogenic variants in GBA1 (Hruska et al., 2008). Detection of pathogenic GBA1 variants is complicated by a pseudogene, GBAP1. Though highly similar, GBA1 and GBAP1 have different polymorphic sequence variants that are not fixed in the population. Gene conversions and gene-pseudogene fusions between the two loci can thus lead to inappropriate alignment of sequence reads during NGS (from GBAP1-derived variants aligning to GBA1 and vice versa) (Figure 2). These alignment artifacts then falsely appear as copy number gains or losses.

To accurately call variants in GBA1 using NGS, we first modified the reference genome sequence to mask polymorphic sequence variant positions. When no gene conversion events were present, this fine-scale masking of the reference genome enabled accurate alignment of NGS reads to GBA1 and GBAP1 and subsequent detection of the 19 most commonly observed pathogenic sequence variants in GBA1 (15 SNVs and 4 indels) (Table 1). To identify potential gene conversion and fusion events, deletion calls made by CNVitae in GBA1 were used as a primary assay. Putative rearrangement events were then analyzed by nested LR-PCR and PacBio sequencing to confirm the presence of pathogenic variants in the affected regions.

To validate this approach, we used nine samples known to harbor variants in GBA1 and two samples with normal GBA1 (Table S4). In a validation experiment with replicates, we observed 100% concordance for all variants (46/46), representing 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 89.7%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 73.5%–100.0%) (Table 2).

3.1.4 | HBA1 and HBA2

Alpha thalassemia is an inherited autosomal recessive blood disorder most often caused by deletions involving the adjacent, paralogous HBA1 and HBA2 genes, which have identical coding sequences but distinct noncoding regulatory regions on chromosome 16. Severity of alpha thalassemia ranges from mild anemia to neonatal death depending on the length, location, and combination
of pathogenic deletions. The deletions vary in size and can involve *HBA1*, *HBA2*, or both genes (Tamary & Dgany, 2005); specific deletions also arose in certain populations and have been propagated widely through those populations. In addition, duplications in the region can complicate CNV calling, especially when a duplication on one chromosome overlaps with a deletion on the other (Figure 3). Thus, these genes present multiple challenges to short-read NGS testing: alignment errors can occur due to the high sequence identity between the two genes, and copy number calls may be ambiguous because the same observed copy number can result from different actual copy number combinations of *HBA1* and *HBA2* (Figure 3).

To test individuals for carrier status for pathogenic CNVs related to alpha thalassemia in a high-throughput NGS workflow, we implemented three modifications. First, we increased the number of targeted capture baits for both *HBA1* and *HBA2* in our assay to obtain deeper sequencing coverage for both genes. Second, we masked the *HBA1* locus and some flanking intergenic sequence in the reference genome to force all reads to align to the *HBA2* locus. Third, to address the region’s propensity for overlapping deletion and duplication events, we modified CNVitae in two ways. First, instead of only calling copy numbers within exons, we expanded the analysis to also include introns and intergenic regions of the *HBA1* and *HBA2* loci. Second, instead of calling CNVs at a copy number of 4 (since *HBA1* and *HBA2* reads all align to the reference *HBA2*), CNVitae was adapted to generate copy-number likelihood scores for 325 potential combinations of 25 possible *HBA1* and *HBA2* configurations on each copy of chromosome 16. The resulting CNV likelihoods were compared to known combinations of *HBA1* and *HBA2* configurations associated with alpha thalassemia (including fusions and duplications of *HBA1* and *HBA2* as described in the literature or other external sources). The most concordant combination of *HBA1* and *HBA2* from each copy of chromosome 16 was selected. However, if no match was identified, sequencing data were manually reviewed and, if needed, followed with an orthogonal assay.

To validate this approach, we tested genomic DNA samples with known *HBA1* and *HBA2* genotypes (Table S5). The *HBA1/HBA2* composition was correctly identified in 44/44 positive and 25/25 negative samples, for 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 92.0%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 86.3%–100.0%) (Table 2).

### 3.1.5 CYP21A2

Congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) is an autosomal recessive disorder that affects hormone synthesis and can lead to a range of outcomes including genital dysmorphology, salt wasting, and neonatal death. More than 90% of cases are caused by pathogenic variants in *CYP21A2* (Krone & Arlt, 2009). Identification of *CYP21A2* variants is complicated by the presence of a neighboring pseudogene, *CYP21A1P*, which has 99% sequence similarity.
to CYP21A2. Frequent gene fusion and gene conversion events between the two loci further complicate detection of disease-associated variants in CYP21A2.

We developed an NGS assay, optimized for sensitivity, that can detect 60 CAH-associated variants (including the 12 most commonly observed pathogenic variants), potentially compensating CYP21A2 duplications, and various 30-kilobase deletions in the region. We anticipated ambiguous read alignment and therefore adjusted our caller to consider allele balances below the expectation for standard germline variants, which is typically 50% for a heterozygous variant and 100% for a homozygous variant. Copy number states, as determined by CNVitae, were used to infer the presence of fusions or conversions.

The adapted NGS method for CYP21A2 variant detection was validated on 24 internal samples known through orthogonal methods to contain sequence variants in clinically relevant CYP21A2 positions (Table S6). In replicated validation runs for sequence variants, our short-read NGS-based primary assay correctly identified 68/68 positive variants and 1359/1390 negative positions (Table S7), for a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI, 94.7%–100.0%), a specificity of 97.8% (95% CI, 96.9%–98.5%), and an overall concordance of 97.9% (Table 2). All suspected pathogenic variants at reportable loci by primary assay were confirmed with PacBio sequencing, which was separately validated on 20 samples and demonstrated 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 93.0%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 98.1%–100.0%) (Table S8).

The adapted NGS method was also validated on 46 samples confirmed by MLPA to harbor CYP21A2 duplications and 51 samples with normal copy number (Table S6). The NGS adaptation identified the correct copy number in all validation samples, demonstrating 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 94.7%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 96.9%–98.5%) (Table S8).

**FIGURE 3** Detecting copy number variants involving HBA1 and HBA2 is challenging. Different combinations of deletions and duplications in the HBA1 and HBA2 locus can produce the same copy number calls in generic next-generation sequencing workflows. For example, in a normal genomic arrangement (a), both genes have 1 copy of each gene on each copy of chromosome 16 (Chr A and Chr B), corresponding to a copy number of 2. In one potential disrupted arrangement (b), a deletion of HBA1 would result in 0 copies of HBA1 on Chr A and 1 copy on Chr B, for a combined copy number of 1. In another potential disrupted arrangement (c), a deletion of both HBA1 and HBA2 on Chr A and a duplication of HBA2 on Chr B would result in a combined copy number of 1 for HBA1 and 2 for HBA2. Genetics-informed bioinformatics processes are needed to differentiate between the overlapping copy numbers.
CI, 92.1%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 93.0%–100.0%) for CNVs.

3.2 | Genes affected by low-complexity repeat tracts

3.2.1 | ARX (polyalanine repeats)

Pathogenic variants in the X-linked ARX gene cause clinically heterogeneous conditions, including a form of early infantile epileptic encephalopathy (EIEE). Because ARX-related EIEE arises as a result of polyalanine repeat expansions in exon 2 of this gene (Marques et al., 2015), accurately determining the size of the repeat tract is essential during diagnostic genetic testing in children with epilepsy. Triplet repeats or other low-complexity repeat sequences can be difficult to interrogate by NGS for several reasons, including suboptimal polymerase processivity and fidelity at repetitive sequences and challenges aligning sequence reads to a reference genome.

We adapted our Illumina NGS assay to increase the density of ARX exon 2 baits to 5X density, compared to the average 1–2X density for most assayed regions. To increase the efficiency of pre-capture and post-capture amplification steps in the highly GC-rich region, we altered the chemistry by increasing the amount of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Following NGS and bioinformatic processing, samples with low sequencing depth and/or samples with a split-read signal above background levels at ARX exon 2 were identified and further evaluated with an orthogonal method.

We validated this NGS-based modification using five samples in which previous Sanger sequencing had confirmed hemizygous or heterozygous ARX-polyalanine expansion genotypes, and 112 normal samples (Table S9). The adapted short-read NGS detected the ARX-polyalanine variants in 5/5 positive samples and detected false positives in 16/112 negative samples for a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI, 47.8%–100.0%), a specificity of 85.7% (95% CI, 80.5%–92.7%), and an overall concordance of 86.3% (Table 2). All true positives were confirmed and all false positives were identified using an orthogonal long-read NGS method (PacBio sequencing), ensuring high accuracy.

3.2.2 | CFTR (poly-T/TG repeats)

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a life-threatening disease that affects multiple organs, including the lungs (Elborn, 2016). CF is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by pathogenic variants in the CFTR gene, which is also associated with CF-related conditions such as pancreatitis and male infertility. Low-complexity poly-T and TG repeats within intron 9 of the CFTR gene can alter splicing of CFTR transcripts, and specific combinations of these repeats with other variants affect clinical manifestation of CF-related disorders (Nykamp et al., 2021). Most individuals have poly-T genotypes consisting of 5, 7, or 9 Ts, and the presence of 5 Ts is known to disrupt the function of CFTR. Further, the length of the adjacent tract of TG repeats modulates the severity of the effects of the 5 T repeats.

Given the challenge of accurately detecting repeats with standard NGS approaches, we used the custom variant caller Coalgem to determine the composition of the poly-T and TG tracts in CFTR. Following alignment to the reference genome, all reads associated with CFTR intron 9 were compared against all known combinations of poly-T and TG repeats (specifically, all possible combinations of 2–9 T repeats and 8–13 TG repeats) to identify the best-fitting haplotypes and zygosity according to binomial likelihood. The performance of this method for detecting CFTR poly-T and TG repeats was successfully validated using 22 reference samples (Table S10), for which the adapted NGS-based method was 100% sensitive (95% CI, 84.6%–100.0%) with concordant variants called for all 22 samples (Table 2).

3.2.3 | FMR1 (AGG interruptions in CGG repeats)

Fragile X syndrome, the most common cause of inherited intellectual disability and autism, is primarily caused by expansion of a CGG trinucleotide repeat tract within the 5′ untranslated region of the FMR1 gene on the X chromosome (Hayward et al., 2017). Normal repeat tracts with less than 40 CGG units are stably transmitted from parent to offspring. Tracts with 55–200 CGG repeats are considered to be in the premutation range, and female individuals carrying these alleles are at significant risk of transmitting a highly expanded full mutation allele (>200 repeats) to offspring, as well as developing premature ovarian failure. Both female and male individuals with premutation repeat alleles are also at risk for Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). For tracts of 55–90 CGG repeats, the risk of expanding to a full mutation is reduced by the presence of interrupting AGGs that stabilize the CGG repeat tract (Nolin et al., 2015). To provide more accurate risk information from carrier screening during reproductive decision-making, correctly identifying the number of AGG interruptions within the FMR1 CGG-repeat tract can be useful (Nolin et al., 2015).
Since standard short-read NGS cannot reliably interrogate long triplet repeat tracts, and therefore cannot detect AGG interruptions, we developed an approach using orthogonal methods. First, samples from female individuals seeking carrier screening were screened for FMR1 premutation alleles using repeat-primed PCR and capillary electrophoresis. Samples with 55–90 CGG repeats were then subjected to long-read NGS (PacBio sequencing), and a custom algorithm inferred the number and position of AGG interruptions for each CGG-repeat allele from the resulting sequence data.

We validated this approach with six orthogonally confirmed samples with 55–90 FMR1 CGG repeats and known AGG profiles (Table S11). In a validation study with replicates, the PacBio-based method successfully detected 27/27 AGG genotypes for 100% concordance with an orthogonal method and 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 87.2%–100.0%) for AGG interruptions in CGG expansion.

3.3 | Genes associated with recurrent structural rearrangements

3.3.1 | MSH2

The tumor suppressor gene MSH2, like PMS2, is associated with Lynch syndrome (Cerretelli et al., 2020). A recurrent pathogenic variant in MSH2 involves a copy-neutral paracentric inversion that separates exons 1–7 from the rest of the gene; this is sometimes referred to as the “Boland inversion.” Events such as the Boland inversion are challenging to detect with generic short-read NGS because reads that span the rearrangement breakpoints are soft-clipped and most NGS bioinformatic pipelines are not optimized to harness information to detect this variant type.

To detect the clinically important Boland inversion, we designed oligonucleotide baits to target the known breakpoint regions to ensure that the breakpoints for the inversion could be captured. The custom split-read detection algorithm then identified above-baseline split-read signals resulting from reads partially aligned to intron 7 of MSH2 and partially aligned ~10 Mb upstream of exon 1 (5′ to the start of MSH2). The split-read signals were manually reviewed and confirmed by PacBio sequencing using primers specific to the Boland inversion.

Eight samples known to harbor the Boland inversion and 51,575 samples known to be negative for the inversion were used to validate our methods (Table S12). Our NGS-based approach identified the inversion in all positive samples and no negative samples, indicating 100% sensitivity (95% CI, 63.1%–100.0%) and 100% specificity (95% CI, 99.9–100%) (Table 2).

4 | DISCUSSION

Variants that are technically challenging to detect by standard NGS workflows, and therefore require the use of other methods, account for a considerable proportion of clinically significant variant types (Lincoln et al., 2021). Through appropriate customization, NGS has the capability to capture even these technically challenging variants from a single genetic test with a single sample. Such customization can simplify test selection and test ordering for clinicians and enable laboratories to consolidate various methodologies and workflows into one platform. Here, we have described several NGS-based solutions for addressing technically challenging variants in a high-throughput, cost-effective NGS workflow for targeted gene panels; each of the nine individually developed adaptations included custom adjustments to NGS assay chemistry and/or bioinformatic processing. Five of the adaptations included solutions for assaying technically challenging variants in genes that have copies with high sequence similarity (PMS2, SMN1, GBA1, HBA1/HBA2, and CYP21A2). These variants are typically challenging to analyze in standard NGS workflows because short sequencing reads may align to incorrect locations (e.g., within a pseudogene) or be excluded due to quality control bioinformatic filters, leading to potential false positive or false negative calls. Three of the adaptations addressed variants in genes with repeat sequence tracts (ARX polyalanine, CFTR poly-T/TG, and FMR1 AGG interruptions in CGG repeats), which often preclude accurate short-read alignment to the reference genome. Another adaptation enabled accurate identification of the MSH2 Boland inversion, a copy-neutral sequence inversion that is typically missed by standard NGS due to inadequacies in standard variant callers.

All of these adaptations were validated with known reference samples and demonstrated the desired performance for clinical use across all genes evaluated. In some cases, an NGS adaptation led to better performance than traditional non-NGS methods. For example, in one control sample obtained from a biobank, our NGS-based method for SMN1/SMN2 testing was more precise than the genotype provided by the biobank. The sample was originally described as harboring three or more copies of SMN2, but our methods indicated the presence of five copies (confirmed by an external lab) (Stabley et al., 2015) instead of the 3 or more copies indicated by the biobank.
Although the solutions described here were customized for each gene or variant, some principles overlap among our lab-developed NGS assays for gene panels. First, the success of a customized NGS approach depends on the close coupling of consistent, highly automated wet laboratory processes with internally developed bioinformatic analyses. Automation by liquid-handling robots increases the uniformity of sample processing, which creates highly reproducible and stable read depths. This enables important capabilities such as high-resolution intragenic CNV detection with NGS alone (Truty et al., 2019). Second, some adaptations were applied to more than one locus, such as masking highly similar gene copies in the reference genome so that all reads from a disease gene and its segmentally duplicated copy map to a single location (Hogan et al., 2018) and using gene-specific modifications to variant calling that are informed by known distributions of genotypes in the population (Table 1). In addition, bioinformatics solutions such as the split-read caller can be used not only for the Boland inversion but for other technically challenging variants not described here (e.g., disruptive retrotransposon insertions). Third, for some variants, sensitivity was favored over specificity to ensure that a clinically important genotype was not missed, in which case high specificity was ensured through appropriate follow-up orthogonal confirmation via long-read sequencing or other methods. For example, while the validation studies of the NGS-based primary assay for sequence variants in CYP21A2 demonstrated that the primary assay conservatively overcalls clinically relevant variants, all primary assay-positive samples are subjected to confirmatory analysis by an orthogonal method (PacBio) before the final report is sent to an ordering clinician. The CYP21A2 confirmation assay was separately validated and demonstrated 100% sensitivity and specificity.

An overarching goal of this work was to develop a more clinically useful, efficient, and cost-effective standard for NGS-based clinical genetic testing with gene panels—one that improves diagnostic yield by capturing more clinically significant variant types using a single sample, thus eliminating the need for sequential testing and likely significantly reducing the time and costs to reach a diagnosis for many patients. These improvements build on the key advantage already conferred by NGS, namely the ability to test many genes and genomic regions in multiple individuals simultaneously. Other clinical labs have also reported NGS adaptations that can streamline clinical diagnostic testing, such as previously reported NGS-based primary assays for PMS2 variants that can be followed by confirmation of positive findings (Gould et al., 2018; Herman et al., 2018). Such adaptations stand to substantially benefit patients. In addition, novel bioinformatic solutions are expanding the capabilities of NGS analysis. (Hogan et al., 2018; Lincoln et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2019)

Future adaptations may enable additional complicated genes to be included in high-throughput NGS workflows based on common structural challenges such as the presence of pseudogenes, short tandem repeats, and others. To achieve this, gene-specific assay development and validation will be needed. While the methods and adaptations we have described here apply to targeted NGS gene panels and not to whole exome or whole genome sequencing, some of these adaptations can be used for multiple sequencing approaches. For example, it is possible to detect exonic copy number variants or other structural rearrangements through whole exome sequencing (Retterer et al., 2015, 2016) although the resolution and sensitivity may be reduced relative to that of a deep coverage gene panel. NGS capabilities are continually evolving, with emerging capabilities including detection of more complicated clinically relevant variant types such as large triplet repeat expansions, mosaic variant burden across the genome, and novel chromosomal rearrangements. Beyond these, more complicated sequence architectures demand the use of multiple next-generation methods such as PacBio sequencing, Bionano optical mapping, and Oxford nanopore sequencing to reliably sequence all types of genomic regions, as elegantly demonstrated in a report of the first “complete sequence” of a human genome (Nurk et al., 2022). All of these advances may eventually provide complete genomic information with every type of variant captured at a low cost and without impact on turnaround time for the majority of individuals tested, further improving the breadth with which genomic information is used in preventive and diagnostic health care.
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