THE EXTREMELY RED HOST GALAXY OF GRB 080207
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ABSTRACT

We present optical, near-infrared, and Spitzer IRAC and MIPS observations of the host galaxy of the dark Swift gamma-ray burst GRB 080207. The host is faint, with extremely red optical–infrared colors (R − K = 6.3, 24 μm/R-band flux ∼1000) making it an extremely red object (ERO) and a dust-obscured galaxy (DOG). The spectral energy distribution (SED) shows the clear signature of the 1.6 μm photometric “bump,” typical of evolved stellar populations. We use this bump to establish the photometric redshift \( z_{\text{phot}} \), as 2.2±0.3, using a vast library of SED templates, including M 82. The star formation rate (SFR) inferred from the SED fitting is \( \sim 119 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\), the stellar mass \( 3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \), and \( A_V \) extinction from 1 to 2 mag. The ERO and DOG nature of the host galaxy of the dark GRB 080207 may be emblematic of a distinct class of dark GRB hosts, with high SFRs, evolved and metal-rich stellar populations, and significant dust extinction within the host galaxy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) without an optical counterpart of their X-ray afterglow are usually called “dark bursts”; a more precise definition based on their X-ray-to-optical spectral energy distribution (SED) has been proposed (Jakobsson et al. 2004; Rol et al. 2005; van der Horst et al. 2009). It is clear now that in most cases extinction by dust in their host galaxies makes these afterglows optically dim (Greiner et al. 2011), while cosmological Lyman drop-out (high redshift) or intrinsic faintness is the exception rather than the rule (Cenko et al. 2009; Perley et al. 2009; Rossi et al. 2011).

The long-duration GRB 080207 is one of these truly dark GRBs. It was detected by Swift/BAT on 2008 February 7 at 21:30:21 UT. Swift/X-Ray Telescope (XRT) began observing the field 124 s after the BAT trigger and found a bright X-ray afterglow, with a positional uncertainty radius of 1.4″ (Racusin et al. 2008b). No optical/near-infrared afterglow was detected for GRB 080207, despite heroic efforts by several ground-based observatories. The deepest limit relative to the fading X-ray afterglow was achieved by the Zeiss-600 telescope at Mt. Terskol observatory which did not detect the afterglow down to \( R_{\text{AB}} > 20.5 \) at 1.69 hr after the burst (Andreev et al. 2008). Racusin (2008) and Racusin et al. (2008a) report that the XRT light curve between 1.30 hr and 4.72 hr after the GRB start time declines monotonically with a power-law index of \( \alpha_X = 1.85 \pm 0.10 \). Therefore, we have assumed that the optical light curve in the same time interval is also decreasing monotonically, so that its behavior is sufficiently regular that the criterion of Jakobsson et al. (2004) to define GRB “darkness”—based on the comparison of the X-ray and optical flux levels at 11 hr—can be applied to the optical upper limit \( R_{\text{AB}} > 20.5 \) measured at 1.69 hr after the trigger. The X-ray flux at 1.69 hr is \( \sim 0.35 \) counts s\(^{-1}\) (\( \sim 3.1 \times 10^{-11} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)), which, assuming the spectral index fitted by Racusin et al. to the average XRT spectrum in the above time interval, \( \beta_X = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \), corresponds to a 1 keV flux of \( 4.2 \) μJy. Together with the simultaneous optical upper limit, this yields an optical-to-X-ray index of \( \beta_{\text{OX}} < 0.27 \), which leads to a dark GRB classification, according to Jakobsson et al. (2004) and van der Horst et al. (2009).

In the vicinity of the XRT error circle, Rossi et al. (2011) found two very faint host candidates, one better visible in VIMOS/R band and the second brighter in K. However, the Chandra satellite observed the field of GRB 080207 nine days after the burst detection by Swift (Racusin et al. 2008b) and was able to localize the GRB X-ray afterglow with very high accuracy of 0′′67 (Evans et al. 2010); \( \alpha = 13:50:02.97 \), \( \delta = 07:30:07.8 \) (J2000). This position coincides with one of the candidates found by Rossi et al. (2011), source “B”): a very faint \( (R_{\text{AB}} \sim 26.5\text{ mag}) \), very red \( [R - K \gtrsim 6, (R - K)_{\text{AB}} \sim 4.7] \) galaxy. The other candidate is bluer (dubbed “A” by Rossi et al. 2011), with \( (R - K)_{\text{AB}} \sim 2.1 \), and located just outside the 90% XRT error circle, ∼2″3 northeast of the Chandra position. Hence we associate the first source (B) with GRB 080207.

In this Letter, we present proprietary and archival optical and near-infrared (NIR) observations, combined with archival Spitzer IRAC and MIPS data of the host of GRB 080207. We estimate the photometric redshift \( z_{\text{phot}} \) of the host by fitting the observed SED with a vast library of GRASIL models (Silva et al. 1998; Iglesias-Páramo et al. 2007; Michalowski et al. 2008, 2010). Despite its optical faintness, because of the clear photospheric NIR bump at rest-frame \( \lambda = 1.6 \) μm, we are able to estimate the redshift of the host of GRB 080207 with a precision of ±0.3. Section 2 describes the data reduction and the methods used to derive the photometry. The SED models...
are presented in Section 3, and we discuss the results and their implications in Section 4. Throughout the Letter, we assume a $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ cosmology, with Hubble constant $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. DATA AND PHOTOMETRY

In the course of an analysis of GRB host galaxy SEDs (L. Hunt et al. 2011, in preparation), we called the Spitzer archive for observations of GRB 080207. It was observed by A. Levan (PID 50562) more than one year after the burst in all four IRAC channels (Fazio et al. 2004) and about five months after the burst at MIPS 24 $\mu$m (Rieke et al. 2004). R- and K-band data were taken from Rossi et al. (2011). B- and R-band images were acquired at the Large Binocular Telescope (LBT) in the course of our approved observing program; $i'$ and $z'$ images were taken from the Gemini archive, and an $H$-band image from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/NICMOS archive. Finally, we retrieved the ESO/SINFONI NIR integral field spectral data from the ESO archive, which provided a broadband $J$ continuum point. Altogether we analyzed 12 photometric data points, from 0.4 $\mu$m to 24 $\mu$m, in order to establish the photometric redshift and the general properties of the host of GRB 080207. The magnitudes given below are corrected for foreground Galactic extinction assuming $E(B-V) = 0.023$ mag (Schlegel et al. 1998) and a ratio of total-to-selective extinction of $R_V = 3.1$.

2.1. Optical and Near-infrared Observations

We observed the field 2 years after the burst with LBT/Large Binocular Camera (LBC) in the $B$ and $R$ bands, and with the Very Large Telescope (VLT)/VIMOS in $R$ and VLT/ISAAC in $K_s$ bands. Optical and NIR data were reduced using standard IRAF tasks and analyzed through aperture photometry. We used an aperture size twice the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the stellar point-spread function (PSF). Optical VLT and LBT images were calibrated using Landolt stars in the field PG1047 + 3. ISAAC fields were calibrated using 2MASS field stars.

Within the Swift/XRT error circle of 1.4, and coincident with afterglow localized by the Chandra satellite, an extended object (1.6' x 0.9') is visible in our LBC, VIMOS $R$-band, and ISAAC $K_s$-band images; it is thus identified as the optical counterpart of the GRB host galaxy (Rossi et al. 2011). The galaxy is marginally detected in both LBC and VIMOS $R$-band with $R_{AB} = 26.43 \pm 0.37$, but is clearly visible in our ISAAC images (see Figure 1: $K_{r,AB} = 21.77 \pm 0.14$). The object is absent in our $B$-band LBT image, which is however compromised by worse seeing.

The host galaxy is also clearly visible in an archival Gemini/GMOS $z'$ image obtained 6 months after the burst during very good sky conditions, but not in the $i'$ image taken immediately after the GRB. We obtain $i'_{AB} > 24.6$ and $z'_{AB} = 25.02 \pm 0.02$.

We reduced archival $J$ (30 minute exposure) and $H + K$ (45 minute) band SINFONI integral field spectra around the host galaxy, following all the typical reduction steps applied to near-IR spectra. After background subtraction, the data were flat-fielded, corrected for dead/hot pixels, wavelength and flux calibrated using telluric stars. The resulting datacubes were collapsed to obtain broadband continuum images; although the final signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in the $H + K$ band was too low, the derived $J$-band image was analyzed using standard aperture photometry to extract the flux. The spectra themselves were of insufficient sensitivity for reliable detection of [O II], [O III], or H$\alpha$ emission lines (see Section 4).

We reduced the HST/NICMOS F160W (NIC3) image starting with the standard flat-fielded calibrated frames after correcting for the pedestal effect. These frames were then “drizzled” onto the final mosaic (Fruchter & Hook 2002), using a pixel filling factor of 0.65, and maintaining the original pixel size of 0.2'. The host galaxy of GRB 080207 is clearly visible in this image also, and using the same methods as described above, we obtain a flux of $2.9 \pm 0.7$ $\mu$Jy (see Table 1).

2.2. Spitzer IRAC and MIPS Observations

For both IRAC and MIPS observations, we started the data reduction with the Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) and the corresponding masks (DCE masks), furnished by the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) pipeline. The image mosaicing and source extraction package (MOPEX; Makovoz & Marleau 2005) was used to co-add BCD frames for each source, and mask out bad pixels. For MIPS-24, we discarded the first two frames of each observation sequence, as advocated by the MIPS Instrument Handbook. Also for MIPS, we incorporated the sigma-weighting algorithm since it gave less noisy MIPS mosaics than without. The frames were corrected for geometrical distortion and projected onto a fiducial coordinate system with pixel sizes of 1.20, roughly equivalent to the original IRAC pixels. The quality of our IRAC...
and MIPS maps is substantially better than that provided by the Spitzer archive.

Figure 1 shows the ISAAC K-band image taken from Rossi et al. (2011), together with the IRAC 3.6 μm and MIPS 24 μm images presented here. The Chandra afterglow position is marked with an “x”. The brightest IRAC pixels correspond to the Chandra position, and to source “B” found by Rossi et al. (2011).

In all IRAC and MIPS Spitzer images we detected only one object within the Chandra error box which we identify as the GRB 080207 host galaxy. The object is not spatially resolved in the Spitzer images. We estimated the flux density in all filters using a small circular aperture with a radius of 2 pixels in IRAC and 3 pixels in MIPS; these measurements were performed using the phot task in IRAF. Aperture corrections have been applied to account for the extended size of the PSF relative to the small apertures.

Due to the possible contamination from nearby objects (see Figure 1), we checked the resulting fluxes by using PSF fitting with the DAOPHOT software (Stetson 1987) to perform the source extraction and photometry. The results from the two methods are perfectly consistent. Table 1 reports the fluxes we have derived and used in the SED fitting described below.

### Table 1

| Filter | (λ) (μm) | Flux (μJy) | Uncertainty (μJy) | Telescope/Instrument |
|--------|----------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| B      | 0.44     | <0.15      |                   | LBT/LBC              |
| R      | 0.66     | 0.093      | 0.026             | VLT/VIMOS            |
| i′     | 0.87     | <0.32      |                   | Gemini/GMOS          |
| z′     | 0.925    | 0.35       | 0.06              | Gemini/GMOS          |
| J      | 1.2      | 1.6        | 0.3               | VLT/SINFONI          |
| H      | 1.60     | 2.9        | 0.7               | HST/NICMOS           |
| Ks     | 2.2      | 7.3        | 1.0               | VLT/ISAAC            |
|       | 3.550    | 14.40      | 0.31              | Spitzer/IRAC         |
|       | 4.493    | 15.51      | 0.44              | Spitzer/IRAC         |
|       | 5.731    | 18.53      | 1.58              | Spitzer/IRAC         |
|       | 7.872    | 12.52      | 1.76              | Spitzer/IRAC         |
|       | 23.680   | 92.43      | 6.50              | Spitzer/MIPS         |

Notes.

a Blueward of 3.5 μm, these values have been corrected for Galactic extinction as described in the text.

b 3σ upper limits.

### 3. Modeling the SED

With (R − K)_{AB} > 4.7 [(R − K)_{Vega} > 6.3], the host galaxy is very red, among the reddest host galaxies of a long-duration GRB (LGRB) ever observed. Such red R − K colors classify the host galaxy of GRB 080207 as an extremely red object (ERO; Elston et al. 1988). The R 24 μm color is also sufficiently red (24 μm/R flux ratio ~994) to classify it as a dust-obscured galaxy (DOG; 24 μm/R flux ratio >1000; Dey et al. 2008).

Given the extremely dim optical fluxes, the strongest constraint on the SED we present here is the spectral "bump" at rest-frame 1.6 μm (see Figure 2). This bump is due to the minimum in the opacity in the H− ion found in the photospheres of cool stars, and found in virtually all stellar populations older than ~100 Myr; it provides a conspicuous signature with which to constrain photometric redshifts (Simpson & Eisenhardt 1999; Sawicki 2002). The host of GRB 080207 is one of the few GRB hosts to show this feature (another one is ESO 184−G82, the host of GRB 980425; Michałowski et al. 2009). Because of its extremely red colors, the host of GRB 080207 is either a passive early-type galaxy at redshift 1 < z < 2 or a dusty starburst at higher redshift. Because LGRBs occur in star-forming galaxies (e.g., Le Floc’h et al. 2003; Savaglio et al. 2009), we concentrated on the second alternative, and considered a library of ~35,000 GRASIL star-forming galaxy templates, including M 82 and Arp 220 and other GRB host templates (Silva et al. 1998; Iglesias-Páramo et al. 2007; Michałowski et al. 2008, 2010).

SED fitting in νLν space was performed by renormalizing the templates, to minimize χ² over the observed SED. This was done by redshifting the models over a range of redshifts from 0 to 6, in increments of 0.1. Lyman forest attenuation was treated according to Madau (1995). We used the fitting method described in Iglesias-Páramo et al. (2007), Michałowski et al. (2008, 2010), and one developed independently by our group; the two approaches give virtually identical results. The best fit was obtained for a starburst template, with roughly the same parameters as M 82, at a redshift z = 2.2 (mean residuals 0.1 μJy, reduced χ² = 0.3). The best-fitting SED is shown in Figure 2, and the trends with redshift of χ² for representative GRASIL templates are shown in Figure 3. After a statistical analysis of the χ² distributions, we conclude that the best estimate of the photometric redshift for the host of GRB 080207 is z = 2.2 ± 0.2 (errors are 1σ and were calculated including the choice of template as a free parameter).

The most salient feature of our SED fit is its ability to fit well the MIPS point, the B and i′ upper limits, and the R−, z′−, and J-band fluxes. Even though the 24 μm data point is not included in our fitting procedure, it is well predicted by the best-fit SED. At z = 2.2, MIPS-24 falls roughly on the redshifted polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) feature, ubiquitous in metal-rich starbursts. The faint optical fluxes are clearly subject to significant extinction; the GRASIL starburst models predict A_V ~ 1 mag. However, this could be a lower limit since these opacities are integrated over the entire galaxy in the models, and

---

9 In order to better weight the NIR bump in the fits, we excluded both the 8 μm IRAC point and the 24 μm MIPS point in the fits; the fits were more stable with this exclusion.
consider the opacity of the molecular clouds, together with their filling factor over the galaxy disk.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We can use the GRASIL templates to infer the total infrared (IR) luminosity \( L_{\text{IR}} \), the star formation rate (SFR), and the stellar mass \( M_* \). From the SED fitting procedure, we obtain a total \( L_{\text{IR}} \sim 6.8 \times 10^{11} L_\odot \pm 25\% \) and \( \text{SFR} \sim 119 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \pm 25\% \). This is roughly an order of magnitude lower than the SFRs implied by the few detections of GRB hosts in the submillimeter (Tanvir et al. 2004), but would definitely qualify the host of GRB 080207 as a dusty luminous star-forming galaxy.

In the absence of extinction, the inferred SFR is sufficiently high that both [O II] and H\( \alpha \) would have been detected at \( >5\sigma \) with the SINFONI spectra. The (conservative) 5\( \sigma \) upper limits we obtain (in \( J \) for [O II] and \( K \) for H\( \alpha \)) give an SFR \( \sim 30-40 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) (using the SFR calibrations of Savaglio et al. 2009), taking into account the uncertainty in redshift, and the impact of the sky lines on the noise in the observed spectrum. This is a factor of three to four times lower than the unattenuated SFR inferred from \( L_{\text{IR}} \) of the SED analysis; if the latter value is the true one, the extinction of the emission lines would be \( A_V \gtrsim 1-2 \text{mag} \), consistent with the SED fitting.

There is some indication that the extinction could be even higher around the GRB 080207 location. Assuming there is a spectral break between the optical and X-ray band due to electron cooling \( (\nu C) \), the optical spectral slope soon after the trigger will be \( \beta_{\text{opt}} = \beta_X - 0.5 \), and the R magnitude is fainter for higher values of the frequency break. If \( \nu C \) coincides with the lower boundary of the XRT spectral range \( (0.3 \text{ keV}) \), the extrapolated \( R \)-band magnitude is \( \sim 15.4, 5.1 \text{mag} \) brighter than the photometric upper limit \( (R_{\text{AB}} > 20.5) \). The optical flux deficit is thus significant and, if due to dust extinction, it supports independently the results we have obtained from the analysis of the galaxy SED.

The implied luminosity and SFR places the host galaxy of GRB 080207 into a subgroup of GRB host galaxies characterized by violent star formation and clearly distinct from the majority of the GRB host galaxy population (cf., Svensson et al. 2010). A representative example is the host of GRB 010222, which is supposed to have \( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 4 \times 10^{12} L_\odot \) and an SFR of about \( 600 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) (Frail et al. 2002). Also the submillimeter-detected hosts of GRBs 980329, 980703, 000210, and 000418 belong to this category (Berger et al. 2003; Michałowski et al. 2008), while the host of the first dark burst, GRB 970828, only had a comparatively modest SFR of \( 24_{-14}^{+33} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) (Le Floc’h et al. 2006).

The stellar mass, \( M_* \), of the host of GRB 080207 inferred from the SED fitting is \( \sim 3.2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \pm 25\% \), one to two orders of magnitude higher than those found for GRB hosts at \( z < 1.5 \) (e.g., Savaglio et al. 2009). The SED fit gives an absolute rest-frame \( K \) magnitude of the GRB 080207 host: \( M_K(\text{AB}) \sim -25.2 \). From the correlation between \( M_* \) and \( M_K \) derived by Savaglio et al. (2009), we infer \( M_* \sim 4.0 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \), consistent within the uncertainties of the value derived from the SED analysis.

Figure 4 shows stellar masses plotted against redshift for GRB host galaxies and a set of GOODS galaxies (taken from Savaglio et al. 2009). As can be seen in the figure, the mass of the host of GRB 080207 is comparable to the most massive GRB host galaxies ever measured (GRBs 020127, 080325: Berger et al. 2007; Hashimoto et al. 2010). Besides being quite massive, the hosts of both GRB 020127 and 080325 are also EROSs (Berger et al. 2007; Hashimoto et al. 2010), similar to the host of GRB 080207. The SFR and stellar mass of the GRB 080207 host are consistent with the correlation found for star-forming \( B_z K \)-selected galaxies at \( z = 2 \) discussed by Daddi et al. (2007). The specific SFR, SSFR, of the GRB 080207 host is comparable to other galaxy populations at \( z = 2 \), with SSFR \( \sim 0.4 \text{Gyr}^{-1} \).

The metal abundance implied from the mass–metallicity relation at \( z = 2 \) (Erb et al. 2006; Hayashi et al. 2009) places the massive host of GRB 080207 at roughly solar metal abundance. This galaxy is more chemically evolved than typical GRB hosts which (at least at lower redshifts) tend to be metal-poor and much less massive (Savaglio et al. 2009). Moreover, the GRB 080207 galaxy seems to be older than many GRB hosts. The mass of the stars in the burst is \( \lesssim 3\% \) of the total stellar mass in the galaxy. This is consistent with the clear presence of the NIR bump in the SED and implies that the mean stellar age
is relatively old, \(\sim 1-2 \text{ Gyr}\), unlike many GRB hosts which tend to be young, \(\lesssim 10 \text{ Myr}\) (e.g., Michalowski et al. 2008; Levesque et al. 2010).

With its extremely red \(R-K\) color, \((R-K)_\text{Vega} \sim 6.3\), the host of GRB 080207 is the most extreme ERO found to date for a GRB host galaxy.\(^{10}\) Moreover, the unusually high ratio of 24 \(\mu\)m to \(R\)-band flux of the GRB 080207 host makes it also a dust-obscured galaxy or DOG (Dey et al. 2008). To our knowledge, this is the first GRB host galaxy to be so classified. Such red host colors at \(z \gtrsim 2\) stand out from the typical subluminous blue galaxies that tend to dominate lower-\(z\) GRB host populations (Savaglio et al. 2009). In fact the colors and other properties of the host of GRB 080207 are more typical of dusty \(z = 2\) galaxies detected in the IR and submillimeter (Pope et al. 2008), star-forming BC\(K\)-selected galaxies (e.g., Daddi et al. 2005; Grazian et al. 2007), or Distant Red Galaxies (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 2004). Indeed, there is more and more evidence (e.g., GRBs 020127, 080325, 080607; Berger et al. 2007; Hashimoto et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2010) that some dark bursts, those with extremely red optical–IR colors, may point to a distinct GRB host population: one that harbors more evolved, more metal-enriched stellar populations, but with high SFRs and significant dust extinction.
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