New Method for Measuring Statistical Distributions of Partial Discharge Pulses

1. Introduction

Partial discharge (PD) measurements are widely employed in industry for the evaluation of electrical insulation and HV apparatus [1–3]. In recent years, digital techniques for PD measurements have allowed researchers to gather a tremendous amount and variety of PD data and to envision new applications of PD measurements [4, 5]. This paper addresses a new digital method for PD detection and analysis. To better illustrate the features of the new system, let us consider dc-excited PDs that occur in a point-to-plane gap in air as an example, as shown schematically in Fig. 1.

When the voltage across the gap is sufficiently high, a pulsating PD current appears through the gap and can be detected by an external circuit. The intrinsic current pulses of the PD waveform are very narrow (=1 ns) compared to the time separation (usually > 1 μs) between two adjacent pulses and can be attributed to the motion of the electrons created in the discharges [6]. The PD pulses form because once a discharge is initiated, the electrons are quickly depleted in the gap, either by striking the point electrode in the case of a positive PD (the point is positive with respect to the plane), or by attachment to gas-phase molecules for a negative PD. The space charge so created reduces the electric field near the point, quenches the discharge, and inhibits any new discharge until the space charge dissipates. The discharge magnitude \( q \), as measured by the integrated charge of the pulse, varies from one PD pulse to another because the size of a discharge depends, in part, on the gas composition in the gap which is altered by the presence of ions, metastables, and radicals produced by the previous pulse. The size of this previous pulse, in turn, depends on the influence of its previous pulse, and so on, indefinitely. In general, the time separation from the previous pulse \( t \) also changes from one PD pulse to another in a non-deterministic fashion,
parameters and cannot acquire a complete record of the PD pulse train in a given time interval. Multichannel analyzers have been used often for PD analysis, mainly for the measurement of pulse-height distribution and corresponding pulse rates [10, 11]. However, the current trend in digital PD recording and analysis is clearly to adopt computer-based measurement systems. For example, Okamoto and Tanaka [12] described a custom data acquisition system which allows for the simultaneous measurement of height and phase angle of ac-excited PD pulses. Recognizing that PD is, in general, a non-Markovian, random point process in which memory effects play an important role, Van Brunt and coworkers [13, 14] developed and reported in this journal a real-time analog PD stochastic analyzer that allows direct measurement of various conditional distributions. The analog analyzer, however, “filters” the data as received with a preset circuit and thus does not provide a complete record of all PD events during the time of observation. To remedy these limitations, von Glahn and Van Brunt [15] later designed a custom PD digitizer interfaced to a personal computer. Their digitizing system generated a complete record of all PD pulses in an indefinitely long period and subsequently allowed a complete stochastic analysis of the recorded data. Their method has revealed quantitatively, for the first time, how PD can become non-stationary even under relatively simple, well defined discharge gap conditions and has brought attention to the inadequacy of conventional PD testing standards which rely only on the apparent charge. The potential of their approach for further contributing to the understanding of the partial discharge process has been well recognized [4]. However, their PD digitizer exhibits the shortcomings of typical custom-designed fast digital circuitry, such as high development and maintenance cost, sensitivity to noise, and difficulty in keeping pace with changing personal computers. These limitations of the digitizer hinder its application in the field as a diagnostic tool for the evaluation of HV apparatus and cables.

In this paper, a different, much simpler digitizing system is described for PD measurements and analysis. The present approach digitizes the entire PD current waveform (Fig. 1) and relies on software to sort out PD pulse information \( \{q_i, t_i\} \), while the instrument of von Glahn and Van Brunt detects each PD pulse using custom-designed hardware, with the computer serving chiefly as a storage device. The much faster personal computers and better-designed commercial data-acquisition boards now available make fast digitizing and concurrent sorting possible without any dead time. The design of the instrument is described in the next section and the capability of the new PD measurement system is illustrated with the results obtained for

![Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the partial discharge detection system. (b) A portion of a typical long trace acquired with the system.](image-url)
dc-excited partial discharges in a point-to-plane air gap in Sec. 3.

2. Measurement System

A simplified block diagram of the measurement system is shown in Fig. 1(a). The system was tested with partial discharge pulses occurring in a point-to-plane air gap. For such a discharge configuration, PD pulse current can be sensed and conditioned with a simple $RC$ circuit in front of a high-impedance preamplifier. The values of $R$ and $C$ are selected so that the $RC$ circuit functions as an integrator during a PD pulse, i.e., the current leaked through $R$ during the PD pulse (typically < 10 ns) is negligible. For example, $C = 1 \text{nF}$ and $R = 40 \text{k}\Omega$ were chosen for gap separations ranging from 0.5 cm to 4 cm. A typical PD waveform which appears at test point A is also shown in Fig. 1(b). Each vertical step of the waveform corresponds to a PD pulse. The 40 $\mu$s decay time is short enough to prevent overranging during pulse pile-up and long enough, compared to the sampling period (2 $\mu$s) of the recording system, for the steps to be digitized with sufficient accuracy.

The preamplifier that follows the $RC$ circuit is BUF-03EJ, a high-speed monolithic voltage follower made by Analog Devices.$^1$ It has an input impedance of $5 \times 10^{11} \Omega$, an output impedance of 2 $\Omega$, and a slew rate of 220 mV/ns.

The main hardware component of the present PD digitizing system is AT-MIO-16E-2, a multifunction data acquisition board made by National Instruments. The board is interfaced to an IBM AT-compatible computer with a 166 MHz Pentium processor, 48 Mbyte memory, and a 1 Gbyte hard disk. The data acquisition board has a sample rate of $500 \times 10^3$/s and a resolution of 12 bits, with the input range programmable from ± 50 mV to ± 10 V for analog-to-digital conversion. The board is configured to continuously digitize the PD signal and cyclically fill a buffer with the acquired data. The circular buffer is configured to contain $10^6$ data points and is divided into two equal halves so that data acquired during one half can be transferred, analyzed, and saved while the other half is being filled. The system acquires 1 s long PD waveforms consecutively.

The crucial element that makes the current approach for PD measurements feasible lies in the software design. All $500 \times 10^3$ data points in a just-filled half buffer have to be processed, within the 1 s period during which the other half buffer is being filled, in order to extract the time and amplitude information of all PD pulses occurring in the period. At the same time, the extracted PD data must also be transferred to the hard drive for permanent storage and further analyzed to provide basic statistical information about the acquired PD pulses, such as the distribution of amplitude and/or time separation between two adjacent pulses for on-line monitoring.

The time and amplitude of PD pulses are extracted from each trace with the analysis algorithm illustrated by the flowchart for positive PDs in Fig. 2.

---

$^1$ Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this paper to foster understanding. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.
minimum, which occurs just before $V_1$ in time along the trace. The difference $V_1 - V_2$, if above the threshold $V_t$, is stored in the array $Y$, and the time at which $V_2$ occurs is assigned as the PD pulse time and is stored in the corresponding element of the array $X$. Although the rise time ($< 10 \text{ ns}$) of a voltage step corresponding to a PD pulse is much shorter than the sampling period ($2 \mu s$) of the data acquisition board, the PD step is random with respect to the sampling clock and a sample point may be taken just on the rising edge of the step. In this worst case, the PD step occupies two sampling points. Thus, the maximum time separation between $V_1$ and $V_2$ is $4 \mu s$ for all PD steps. This time separation is used in the analysis algorithm to reject any false steps resulting from slow-drifting noise. With this method, extraneous pulse count is essentially zero. The time resolution of the system is $6 \mu s$, which is comparable to what can be achieved with PD detection systems using custom-designed hardware [15].

The data stored in arrays $X$ and $Y$ are transferred, one entire array as a block each time, to the hard disk after completing analysis of the trace. The use of block file format considerably reduces the transfer time compared to the conventional pair-wise $\{X_i, Y_i\}$ file format. The stored data type is long integer (4 bytes) for $X$ and short integer for $Y$ (2 bytes). Because the number of PD pulses varies from one trace to another, the actual length of $X$ and $Y$ is saved as a 4 byte integer in the data file preceding $X$ and $Y$ for each trace. The data consecutively collected for many traces, together with other relevant parameters including the gain setting of the data acquisition board and the total input capacitance of the amplifier, can then be used off-line to reconstruct a finite set $\{q_i, t_i\}$ which completely describes the PD activity during the period and can be subject to any conceivable statistical analysis. In recent years, researchers in the PD field have begun to explore the possibility of establishing an international standardized computer file format for storage and off-line processing of PD data [16]. While the standardization, if realized, may indeed facilitate exchanging results of PD measurements and ideas of PD analysis among various laboratories, the present work shows that a local, specialized PD data format may still be required to optimize the efficiency of PD data collection.

While continuous PD data acquisition and permanent storage are the basic components of the present PD detection system, some on-line analysis and display are also necessary for real-time utilization. The present system allows on-line calculation and display of either the amplitude distribution or the time separation distribution of PD pulses stored in $X$ and $Y$. The time required to complete all the steps from acquiring trace $V$ to finally displaying the calculated distribution depends on the number of PD pulses the trace carries. The maximum PD pulse rate the system can handle without missing any pulse is about 20 kHz. At this maximum pulse rate, the 1 s of computer time can be broken down as follows: (1) 0.09 s for data transfer from one half of the circular buffer to array $V$; (2) 0.36 s for sorting PD pulses from $V$ to create array $X$ and $Y$; (3) 0.30 s for data transfer from $X$ and $Y$ to the hard disk; (4) 0.23 s for on-line analysis and display of a chosen distribution; and (5) 0.02 s for waiting until the other half buffer becomes full.

Having a complete archival record of the PD data allows one to review the entire time development of PD and to make new types of analyses. The present PD measurement system has a number of off-line analysis capabilities including the calculation of first-order and second-order conditional amplitude and time-separation distributions as previously described by Van Brunt and Cernyar [13]. Some of these functions are illustrated in the next section.

3. Results of PD in a Point-to-Plane Air Gap

Examples of results obtained for positive partial discharges in a point-to-plane 5 mm air gap are presented here. The gap is formed by a 90° cone-shaped point (tip radius = 50 µm) and a polished disk plane (diameter 10 cm). Both are made of stainless steel. Figure 3(a) shows a typical small portion of a long PD pulse train recorded during a period of 300 s at an applied gap voltage of 4.68 kV. As can be seen in the figure, both the pulse amplitude and the time separation between two adjacent pulses vary. The variability results in part from where and when the seed electron that initiates an electron avalanche appears. If the seed electron appears too far from the stressed electrode in a region where attachment dominates, the electron avalanche cannot form. If the electron is too close to the electrode, on the other hand, the avalanche strikes the electrode before it can reach the critical size, which is about $10^4$ electrons, to form a detectable PD pulse [17]. The seed electrons are delivered by negative ions such as $O_2^-$ and some hydrated ions which result from the background radiation in the atmosphere. A negative ion can detach its extra electron through a collision near the point electrode where the local electric field is sufficiently high [18].

Figure 4(a) shows the PD pulse amplitude distribution corresponding to Fig. 3(a). The distribution has a Gaussian shape; a curve fitted to a Gaussian function overlaps completely with the solid line representing the data. This characteristic has been observed earlier by Malik and Al-rainy [11] using a multichannel analyzer under similar conditions. The present system, however,
as indicated by the least-squares fitted curve in the figure. A transformation gives a normal distribution
with fitting parameters \( \sigma \) and \( x_c = \ln t_c \). This type of distribution has also been observed in the time-lag experiments of Berger et al. [19], where the time lag of the first PD pulse is measured after applying a step voltage across the gap at time \( t = 0 \). There is no satisfactory explanation yet for the physical origin of the observed log-normal distribution. This is in contrast with the well understood normal distribution [20], such as the amplitude distribution [Fig. 4(a)] which results cumulatively from many independent factors including the distribution of the space charge, the location where the seed electron appears, and the structure of the electron avalanche created, etc.

When the gap voltage is raised, a previously unreported burst mode of partial discharge appears, as shown in Fig. 3(b) which was recorded at 4.92 kV for this experimental setup. As can be seen in the figure, the amplitudes of the PD pulses have almost doubled compared to those at 4.68 kV, and the pulse rate also increases. The rate increase is mainly due to the formation of bursts where one pulse tends to be followed closely by another. The pulses in a burst are almost evenly separated, which is different from the PD burst pulses observed previously [21] in SF\(_6\) that have irregular time separations. The burst mode observed here is strongly affected by the cathode surface condition: the bursts disappear when the polished stainless steel cathode is replaced by an unpolished stainless steel electrode, with all other conditions maintained the same. This is in contrast with the non-burst mode at lower voltages where replacing the electrode has no observable effect on PD. A possible explanation is that the positive ions from the cathode surface.

Figure 5(a) shows the PD pulse amplitude distribution recorded in a 300 s period at 4.92 kV which exhibits "burst" behavior. This distribution is wider compared to the amplitude distribution recorded at 4.68 kV and appears to be a superposition of two or more Gaussian-like peaks. The distribution of time separation shown in Fig. 5(b) forms a two-peak pattern, with a narrow peak

\[
Pdt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma t}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\ln^2(t/t_c)}{2\sigma^2} \right] dt
\]  

(1)
Shown in Fig. 6 is the accumulated number, $P(n)$, of $n$th pulses with $n$ ranging from 1 to 14. The data of $P$ vs $n$ fall in a straight line in the semi-log plot, i.e., the probability does not depend on the pulse index number. A fit to $P(n) \sim n^p$ yields $p = 0.487$ for the gap voltage of 4.92 kV. The probability decreases when the gap voltage is lowered with $p = 0.3$ at 4.84 kV, $p = 0.1$ at 4.74 kV, and $p = 0$ at 4.68 kV.

The influence of the space charge produced in a PD pulse on the amplitude of its subsequent PD pulse can also be analyzed from the recorded data. It is generally accepted that partial discharge is pulsating because of the space charge created by the discharge. The space charge reduces the local electric field near the point electrode to a level that eventually quenches the discharge. The space charge also inhibits the next pulsating discharge until the space charge moves away [6]. However, how long the space charge can keep inhibiting the next PD cannot be clearly described by a single number. The space charge occupies a certain volume and contains a variety of ionic species which in general have different mobilities, and the next PD pulse may occur before the space charge completely dissipates. The influence of the residual space charge on the PD pulse amplitude is illustrated in Fig. (7), where the first-order conditional pulse amplitude distributions $p_i(q_i | t_i \pm \Delta t)$ are shown in the inset. Here $p_i$ is the probability that the $i$th pulse has an amplitude in the range of $q_i$ to $q_i + dq_i$ if its time separation from the previous pulse has a value in the range $t_i \pm \Delta t_i$, and

---

**Fig. 5.** (a) PD pulse amplitude distribution. (b) Distribution of time separations. The dashed lines indicate the overlap between the two peaks. Both (a) and (b) are normalized to unit area and are the results from the same data file acquired at a gap voltage of 4.92 kV.

**Fig. 6.** Total number $P(n)$ of $n$th pulses accumulated over a period of 300 s as a function of pulse index number $n$ ($n = 1$ for the first pulse in a burst, $n = 2$ for the second pulse, etc.). Solid circles are for a gap voltage of 4.92 kV, and solid squares are for a gap voltage of 4.84 kV. Solid triangles are for a gap voltage of 4.74 kV.
$\Delta t = 10 \, \mu s$ was chosen for all the conditional distributions analyzed. As can be seen in the inset of Fig. (7), the conditional amplitude distributions have a Gaussian shape. The center of the distribution shifts consistently towards higher amplitudes as $t_i$ increases from 90 $\mu s$ to 150 $\mu s$, 410 $\mu s$, and 650 $\mu s$. A plot of the distribution center, resulting from a least-squares fit vs $t_i$, is shown in Fig. (7). The curve rises rapidly with increasing $t_i$ for $t_i < 150 \, \mu s$ and then slowly levels off, which is consistent with the fact that the space charge continuously dissipates and moves away from the region near the point electrode where it has more influence on the size of the next PD pulse.

4. Conclusions

It is demonstrated that the new PD detection system, which relies on computer software to extract PD pulse and time information, provides the capability to record continuously all the information about PD pulse amplitude and time over extended periods of time. The detection system has a minimum inter-pulse time resolution of 6 $\mu s$ and a vertical amplitude resolution of 12 bits. Having a complete archival record of the PD data allows one to review the entire time development of PD and to make new types of analyses.

Analysis of the positive PD data acquired for a point-to-plane air gap reveals a new burst mode of PD in which each PD pulse has a fixed probability to induce another pulse, forming a burst of almost evenly-spaced pulses. This burst mode is probably mediated by the cathode surface acting as an extra source of negative ions. The negative ions can be produced from the surface either directly through negative-ion desorption induced by photons and/or positive ions that are created in a PD pulse, or indirectly through secondary electron emission and subsequent electron attachment near the surface. These surface-born negative ions drift towards the point electrode and may provide a seed electron to initiate another PD pulse via collisional detachment [18] in the region where the local electric field is sufficiently high.
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