Identification of microRNAs Involved in Regeneration of the Secondary Vascular System in \textit{Populus tomentosa} Carr
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Wood formation is a complex developmental process primarily controlled by a regulatory transcription network. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) can modulate the expression of target genes involved in plant growth and development by inducing mRNA degradation and translational repression. In this study, we used a model of secondary vascular system regeneration established in \textit{Populus tomentosa} to harvest differentiating xylem tissues over time for high-throughput sequencing of small RNAs. Analysis of the sequencing data identified 209 known and 187 novel miRNAs during this regeneration process. Degradome sequencing analysis was then performed, revealing 157 and 75 genes targeted by 21 known and 30 novel miRNA families, respectively. Gene ontology enrichment of these target genes revealed that the targets of 15 miRNAs were enriched in the auxin signaling pathway, cell differentiation, meristem development, and pattern specification process. The major biological events during regeneration of the secondary vascular system included the sequential stages of vascular cambium initiation, formation, and differentiation stages in sequence. This study provides the basis for further analysis of these miRNAs to gain greater insight into their regulatory roles in wood development in trees.
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INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous ~22 nt non-coding small RNAs generated by the RNaseIII-type enzyme Dicer from hairpin structures that are formed from primary miRNAs (Ambros et al., 2003). After cleavage, miRNAs incorporate into an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), where they guide the cleavage or repress the translation of target miRNAs according to approximate
base-pairing rules (Jones-Rhoades et al., 2006; Voinnet, 2009) or mediate mRNA degradation by directing rapid deadenylation of mRNAs (Wu et al., 2006; Djuranovic et al., 2012). In plants, miRNAs are master regulators of growth and development, including leaf polarity (Palatnik et al., 2003; Ori et al., 2007), floral identity and flowering time (Aukerman and Sakai, 2003; Zhu and Hellwell, 2011), organ boundaries and polarity (Laufs et al., 2004; Mallory et al., 2004), stress responses (Lu et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011), and wood formation (Demura and Fukuda, 2007). In poplar, miRNAs have been identified in differentiating xylem tissue, and the target genes of these miRNAs include key transcription factors (TFs) and enzymes that play indispensable roles in xylem differentiation and lignocellulosic biosynthesis (Puzey et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2013). For instance, overexpression of ptr-miR397a in Populus trichocarpa reduced the expression of its target laccase genes and resulted in a 40% decrease in total laccase activity. This decrease in laccase activity in turn led to a reduction in lignin content in transgenic poplars. However, the levels of monolignol biosynthetic gene transcripts remained unchanged, indicating the specificity of mRNA regulation by this miRNA (Lu et al., 2013). Because plant miRNAs have perfect or near-perfect complementarities with their target genes (Rhoades et al., 2002), identification of miRNAs and their targets could be a cost-effective strategy for elucidating the molecular mechanisms that govern dynamic biological processes such as wood formation in woody plants.

Secondary growth in trees or forest trees, including the radial expansion of stems, occurs as a result of division and differentiation of vascular cambium (VC) cells, which can grow both outward and inward to produce tree phloem and xylem; the latter then develops into mature wood (Du and Groover, 2010). Secondary vascular system (SVS) development results from this event. Although this process is known to be regulated by hormones (Aloni et al., 2006) and certain regulatory TFs (Carbon et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2013), SVS development is currently poorly understood. Therefore, further studies are needed to shed light on the molecular mechanisms underlying cambial activity. A model of regeneration of the SVS from debarked trunk has been developed, and anatomical studies showed that new cambium and phloem are regenerated from differentiating xylem cells that remained on the tree trunk surface after girdling. Consequently, the SVS fully regenerates within 3 weeks. This model was used to identify many differentially expressed genes during the stages of cambium formation and xylem differentiation in Populus tomentosa (Du et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). This experimental system provides an excellent opportunity to identify miRNAs and to explore their regulatory roles in wood formation.

In this study, we combined small RNA and degradome high-throughput sequencing techniques to examine regenerated tissues harvested from debarked P. tomentosa trunks across six time points after girdling to detect known and novel miRNAs involved in SVS regeneration. Both these miRNAs and their targets were identified using global transcriptome and degradome data, and their roles in the initiation, formation and differentiation of the SVS were explored in the context of their biological processes involved in plant development.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Materials and Total RNA Extraction**

Four-year-old P. tomentosa trees growing in a clonal plantation located in Tangshan, Hebei Province, P.R. China, were chosen for debarking experiments. Sixty-five healthy and vigorous trees were initially selected and debarked in the same manner as described previously (Du et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009). Samples were subsequently collected by scraping regenerating tissues from the entire trunk surface in the morning (between 10:00 and 11:00 a.m.) on the 7, 10, 12, 16, 18, and 21 days after girdling (DAG). These samples were immediately frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen. The samples were simultaneously harvested from 4 different clonal trees. We reviewed the anatomy of at least 3 small pieces (2 mm$^3$) of regenerating tissues from different parts on each girdled trunk of these 4 clonal trees to assess their regeneration status. Among these trees, 3 clonal trees at the same developmental stage were chosen, and equal amounts of their regenerated tissues were pooled for extraction of total RNA for sequencing and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNAs were isolated from the pooled samples using a Total RNA Purification Kit (#TRK-1001, LC Sciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and purity of the total RNA samples was analyzed using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer.

**High-Throughput Sequencing and miRNA Identification**

Six independent cDNA libraries of small RNAs were generated from the total RNA samples prepared at each of the 6 regeneration stages and were sequenced using an Illumina Solexa sequencing platform. Among the 35 nt tags from Solexa sequencing, adapters were trimmed and contaminated and low-quality reads were removed to obtain clean reads. Then, clean small RNAs between 18 and 30 nt were counted and aligned with the Populus trichocarpa genome (http://www.phytozome.net/poplar.php, V3.0) using SOAP software (Li et al., 2008); the number of mismatched nucleotides allowed was set to "0." The sequences with perfect matches were subjected to further classification: (I) the small RNA reads were classified into ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), small cytoplasmic RNAs (scRNAs), small nucleolar RNAs ( snoRNAs), small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), and transfer RNAs (tRNAs); (II) the small RNAs were aligned with the precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) and mature miRNAs in miRBase (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2011; http://www.mirbase.org/, V18.0) to identify known miRNAs; (III) the small RNA reads were aligned with the repeated associated RNAs; (IV) the small RNA reads were aligned with genomic exons and introns in the P. trichocarpa genome in the sense and antisense directions to identify mRNA degradation fragments; and (V) unknown or non-annotated small RNAs. Candidate miRNAs were predicted by examining the hairpin structure, the Dicer cleavage site and the minimum free energy of the non-annotated small RNAs using Mireap software (http://sourceforge.net/projects/mireap/), and the parameters were adjusted to meet the criteria of plant miRNAs as follows: (I) the miRNA reference sequence length range was 20–23
nt; (II) the maximal free energy allowed for a given pre-
microRNA was −18 kcal/mol; (III) the maximal space between 
an miRNA and a corresponding miRNA* was 300 nt; (IV) the 
minimum number of base pairs between an miRNA and a 
corresponding miRNA* was 16, with no more than 4 bulges; 
and (V) the maximal asymmetry of miRNA/miRNA* duplex 
was 4 bases. In addition to the primary criteria in Mireap, two 
additional requirements were incorporated into high-throughput 
sequencing data analysis for miRNA identification (Meyers 
et al., 2008): (I) the sequences should be represent in both 
miRNA and miRNA*; (II) the candidate miRNA sequences 
should be unique and be present in more than one of the 
six independent libraries if the miRNA* was absent. The 
secondary structures of the pre-miRNAs were predicted using 
the mfold web server (http://mfold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold) 
with the default parameters. The raw data of small RNA 
sequencing had been submitted to Sequence Read Archive (SRA, 
SRP072959).

Normalization and Cluster Analysis of 
miRNAs and Target Genes

The miRNA reads were normalized to total reads per million 
(RPM), and the members from a given microRNA family with 
identical sequences were classified into a unique miRNA. After 
log2 transformation and normalization, the miRNAs with greater 
than 10 RPM were clustered according to centered correlations 
via the hierarchical centroid linkage clustering method using 
Cluster3.0 (de Hoon et al., 2004). We also produced microarray 
expression data from the above samples using a GeneChip® 
3′ IVT Express Kit and a GeneChip® Hybridization, Wash, 
and Stain Kit (Affymetrix, USA) on Affymetrix GeneChip 
Instrument System (Chinese Academy of Forestry, China) 
according to the manufacturer's instructions. The microarray 
data have been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus 
(GEO, GSE71094). The normalized data were also clustered in 
the same manner as described for the miRNA data. Heatmaps 
were constructed and visualized using JavaTreeView (Saldanha, 
2004).

Validation and Quantification of miRNAs

Identified miRNAs were validated and quantified via a modified 
universal reverse transcription PCR protocol designed to 
specifically amplify mRNAs and miRNAs (Hurteau et al., 2008). 
The reactions included the enzymatic addition of poly(A) 
tails using the Poly(A) Tailing Kit (#AM1350, Ambion), and 
reverse transcription (RT) using an universal poly(T) RT 
primer using SuperScriptIII reverse transcriptase (#18080-051, 
Invitrogen). The RT products were diluted 1:20, and 2 µl of 
each product was used in the amplification of miRNAs 
for cloning or quantification using a miRNA-specific forward 
primer and the reverse primer complementary to the above RT 
primer. The PCR products were cloned into pCR2.1 vectors and 
sequenced using a BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing 
Kit (#4337455, Invitrogen) to confirm the sequences of novel 
microRNAs. qRT-PCR was performed using a SYBR® Premix 
ExTaq™ Kit (#RR820A, TaKaRa) on LightCycler® 480 Real-
Time PCR System (Roche, USA) according to the manufacturer's 
instructions. As an endogenous control, 5.8S rRNA (AJ006440) 
was used. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using 
the −2ΔΔCt method. The relative expression levels based 
on qRT-PCR were analyzed via one-way analysis of variance 
(ANOVA) (SPSS 17.0) for comparisons of multiple means. 
A P-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant for 
ANOVA. The primers used for cloning and qRT-PCR are listed 
in Table S5.

Target Genes Obtained Via Degradome 
Sequencing

The targets of both known and novel miRNAs were 
experimentally verified via degradome sequencing of P. 
tomentosa miRNA according to a previously published protocol 
for parallel analysis of RNA ends (PARE; German et al., 2009). 
Three degradome libraries, labeled as VC initiation stage, VC 
formation stage and VC differentiation stage, were constructed 
from the poly(A) tail-containing fraction of total RNA samples 
pooled at two adjacent time points, i.e., 7 and 10 DAG, 12 
and 16 DAG, and 18 and 21 DAG, to identify target genes of 
miRNAs. The data were then analyzed using the CleaveLand 
pipeline (Addo-Quaye et al., 2009) to identify cleaved miRNA 
targets considering the P. trichocarpa genome transcripts (V 
3.0), pre-miRNA sequences of Populus from miRBase (V18.0) 
and all novel pre-miRNAs found in this study. Based on the 
signature abundance at each occupied transcript position, the 
cleaved target transcripts were categorized into five credibility 
classes (categories 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4) according to the instructions 
of CleaveLand. The raw data of degradome sequencing had 
been submitted to Sequence Read Archive (SRA, SRP072959). 
psRNATarget (http://pstranscriptome.org/psRNATarget/) was 
employed to predict the targets of known and novel miRNAs 
against the transcript sequences of poplar (V3.0). The threshold 
expectation value was 2.5 (range 0 ~ 5) and the allowed 
maximum energy to unpair the target site (UPE) was set to 25 
(range 0 ~ 100).

Pearson Correlation Coefficient Analysis 
between miRNAs and Target Genes

The pearson correlation coefficients (PCCs) between miRNAs 
and their target genes were calculated using SPSS software (V 
17.0). The normalized expression levels of miRNAs based on 
the RNA sequencing data and of target genes based on the 
miRNA expression data at the six time points during SVS 
regeneration were directly input into the software. A p-value 
below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment Analysis

All target genes with homologous counterparts in Arabidopsis 
were used for GO enrichment analysis using the AgriGO Term 
Enrichment tool (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/). This tool 
uses the Perl module GO::Term-Finder to identify the enriched 
GO terms among a list of genes considering all genomic genes 
as the background. GO terms with a corrected p < 0.05 and 
including a minimum of three genes were considered to be 
significantly enriched.
RESULTS

Regeneration of the SVS in *P. tomentosa*

The plant materials harvested during the regeneration of SVS were examined by microscopy, and the results (Figure S1) revealed similar anatomical features during SVS regeneration processes to those described in previous studies (Du et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009). Briefly, phloem, the cambium zone and a considerable proportion of immature xylem were peeled off with the bark, and only a few layers of immature xylem cells remained on the surface of the girdled trunk. The formation of calli, accompanied by discontinuous meristem cells, was first observed on the entire surface of the girdled trunk on the 7 DAG. On the 10 DAG, continuous, flat meristem cells were arranged irregularly between the calli and immature xylem. On the 12 DAG, the flat meristem cell layers had increased in number and subsequently formed continuous and regular cell layers similar to a VC on the 16 DAG. The new cambium began to differentiate on the 18 DAG, and numerous xylem cells and phloem cells adaxial and abaxial to the cambium, respectively, were appeared on the 21 DAG. The presence of these cells implied the formation of a normal SVS structure capable of wood formation had been completed within 21 days.

Identification and Characterization of Small RNAs during the Regeneration of SVS

To identify the known and novel miRNAs involved in SVS regeneration, we performed high-throughput sequencing of small RNA libraries generated from each of the six time points. Subsequently, the adapters, contaminants, and small reads greater than 18–30 nt in length were removed. Ultimately, 8,212,881, 9,049,855, 9,331,993, 7,832,654, 9,827,614, and 7,347,728 total clean reads were obtained from the samples on the 7, 10, 12, 16, 18, and 21 DAG, respectively (Table S1). Of these reads, 65.77, 62.74, 59.96, 60.67, 52.19, and 61.59% could be mapped to the genome of *P. trichocarpa* (V3.0). The length distributions of these clean reads before and after mapping to the genome of *P. tomentosa* are shown in Figure 1. The length distributions of these clean reads before and after mapping to the genome of *P. tomentosa* are shown in Figure 1. Small RNAs of 21 and 24 nt accounted for approximately half of all reads in each library, representing the plurality of small RNAs. The number and the percentage of clean reads were classified and filtered using various RNA databases (Figure 2, Table S1). Among these different RNA classifications, non-annotated small RNAs accounted for the greatest proportion of small RNAs. Specifically, non-annotated small RNAs varied from 35 to 50% of the total reads and from 80 to 85% of the unique reads at the 6 time points of SVS regeneration. This result indicated that many non-annotated small RNAs could be involved in the regeneration process. The percentage of non-annotated small RNAs was higher on the 18 DAG than at any other time point. This result suggested that more small RNAs regulate this important stage of the SVS development process. These non-annotated small RNAs were used for prediction and identification of candidate novel miRNAs.

**Known miRNAs and Their Expression Profiles during SVS Regeneration**

Among the *P. trichocarpa* sequences in miRBase 18.0, 237 identified miRNAs were detected, and 209 of these previously identified miRNAs were expressed in at least one of the six *P. tomentosa* small RNA libraries (corresponding to the 7, 10, 12, 16, 18, and 21 DAG), which contained 75, 78, 75, 77, 83, and 79 unique known miRNAs, respectively (Table 1, Table S2). In most situations, more than one *P. tomentosa* small RNAs could be completely mapped to a pre-miRNA sequence from miRBase 18.0, thus the sequence with the most reads was defined as the predominant sequence. In some cases, the predominant sequence was not the mature miRNA identified in miRBase 18.0; in particular, we detected 56 unique predominant sequences that were different from mature miRNA sequences (Table S2). The observed differences involved the addition and/or deletion of one or a few nucleotides at either the 5′ or the 3′ end of the miRNA compared with the mature miRNA sequence. This result implied that predominant sequences may arise from different cleavage sites of pre-miRNAs. Furthermore, miRNAs*
represent the opposite strand of mature miRNAs and more easily degrade in the nucleus. Therefore, miRNAs* are typically detected at a much lower frequency than miRNAs (Bartel, 2004). Among the 209 known miRNAs, 133 miRNAs* were identified, and 41 miRNAs* displayed more sequencing reads than their mature miRNAs in at least one library. This phenomenon also suggested that the strand of an miRNA:miRNA* complex that enters a RISC complex might vary between species (Li et al., 2013).

The expression profiles of the 42 and 18 unique known miRNAs from 20 conserved and 12 non-conserved miRNA families, respectively, notably differed during SVS regeneration (Table S4). The conserved miRNA families generally displayed higher expression than non-conserved miRNA families. For instance, miR156, miR164, miR166, and miR168 accounted for approximately 80% of all known miRNA reads. In contrast, the expression levels of 6 non-conserved miRNA families, i.e., miR1446, miR1448, miR473, miR475, miR477, and miR481, were very low, displaying RPM values below 10 at all 6 time points. The 40 unique known miRNAs displaying a high RPM (≥10) from 21 miRNA families were normalized and clustered, and the heatmap revealed that these miRNAs were highly expressed during one of the three regeneration stages: the VC initiation stage (between 7 and 10 DAG), the VC formation stage (between 12 and 16 DAG), and the VC differentiation stage (between 18 and 21 DAG; Figure 3). The expression levels of the miR164, miR167, miR168, and miR390 families were high during the VC initiation stage and were decreased thereafter. The expression levels of the miR159, miR162, miR171, miR472, and miR482 families were highest during the VC formation stage, whereas the expression level of miR160 was the lowest during this stage. Alternatively, miR166, miR169, miR396, and miR1450 were most highly expressed during the VC differentiation stage. In addition, different members of a given miRNA family displayed diverse expression patterns in different stages. For example, ptc-miR156a-f showed greatest expression during the VC initiation stage, but ptc-miR156g-j showed greatest expression during the VC differentiation stage. The expression levels of 15 unique known miRNAs were verified by qRT-PCR (Figure S2). Except for certain regeneration time points, the trends in the relative expression levels of most unique known miRNAs obtained via qRT-PCR were consistent with the trends from the sequencing data. These results indicated that the
miRNA expression data based on small RNA sequencing were reliable.

**Identification of Novel miRNAs and Their Expression Patterns during SVS Regeneration**

Candidate miRNAs were identified from non-annotated small RNA using Mireap software. Ultimately, a total of 373 individual miRNAs from different genomic locations were initially identified and divided into 247 candidate miRNA families based on sequence similarity (Table S3). Considering the additional criteria for the annotation of novel miRNAs from high-throughput sequencing data analysis (Meyers et al., 2008), from those 373 candidate miRNAs, 187 miRNAs classified into 127 miRNA families were considered with high reliability to be novel miRNAs in *P. tomentosa*. Of these 187 novel miRNAs, 57 miRNAs* were present in at least one of the six small RNA libraries, and 14 miRNAs* displayed more sequencing reads than their mature miRNAs (Table 2).

To validate the reliability of the identified novel miRNAs, we randomly cloned the mature sequences of 21 novel miRNAs and 2 known miRNAs as positive controls (Table S6). In total, we obtained 53 cloned sequences for all 21 novel miRNAs, with 2–5 sequences for each miRNA. The cloned sequences of 7 novel miRNAs were consistent with mature miRNA sequences, whereas the remaining 14 novel miRNAs had 1 or 2 cloned sequences that were longer or shorter than the mature miRNA sequence at the 3′ end but that aligned with the pre-miRNA sequence. This observation indicated that the miRNA::miRNA* pair was likely cleaved at several sites, of which one or two were dominant, although cleavage at other sites might occur and play a role in spatio-temporal transcriptional regulation. All pre-miRNA sequences of these cloned miRNAs could form stable stem-loop structures based on analysis using the mfold web server (Figure S3). In general, the expression levels of novel miRNAs were lower than those of known miRNAs during SVS regeneration in *P. tomentosa*. Among the 187 novel miRNAs, only 27 miRNAs from 20 novel miRNA families displayed an RPM above 10 in at least one library, and 40 miRNAs from 28 novel miRNA families were detected as sequencing reads at all six time points during SVS regeneration (Table S4). The expression of these novel miRNAs were generally low based on sequencing data (RPM < 100) and even absent in certain time points, thus it would be inaccurate to compare their expression at each time points during SVS regeneration. Therefore, we randomly selected 23 unique novel miRNAs and detected their expression in all six time points using qRT-PCR (Figure 4). In addition, we found that 4 unique novel miRNAs (pto-miR047, pto-miR071, pto-miR072, and pto-miR076) with RPM over 100 in six time points had the similar expression pattern with that obtained from qRT-PCR.

### Expression of Known and Novel miRNAs in Different Tissues of *P. tomentosa*

To verify that these miRNAs were specifically expressed during secondary growth, we performed qRT-PCR of 20 novel miRNAs and 22 known miRNAs on various tissues of 6-month-old *P. tomentosa* plants cultivated in a greenhouse (Figures S4, S5). These tissues included shoot apical meristem (SAM, little vascular tissue), leaf vein, mesophyll, root apical meristem (RAM, little vascular tissue), cambium zone (limited vascular tissue), phloem and xylem. Of the novel miRNAs analyzed, 12 novel miRNAs (60%) were specifically highly expressed in xylem, 4 (20%) were highly expressed in leaf vein, phloem and xylem, and the remaining 4 (20%) were predominantly expressed in RAM (Figure S4). In addition, 8 (36%) of the known miRNAs were highly expressed in xylem, 8 (36%) were especially expressed in leaf vein and mesophyll, and the remaining 6 (28%) were expressed in all tissues except RAM (Figure S5). This result suggested that most of the novel miRNAs detected during SVS regeneration were specific to the development of vascular tissues but that most known miRNAs were involved in diverse biological processes.

### Identification of Cleaved miRNA Targets by Degradome Sequencing

To further understand the roles of miRNAs during the SVS regeneration process, degradome sequencing was performed to identify miRNA targets using the same total RNA samples. We acquired 4,689,357, 2,469,256, and 3,454,664 unique sequences from three degradome libraries, and 68, 67, and 50% of these unique reads, respectively, could be mapped to the *P. trichocarpa* transcript (V3.0). The mapped ratio in the third degradome library was lower, suggesting this stage, during which the renewed vascular cambium began to differentiate xylem and phloem cells, might have certain amount of unique genes transcribed that could not be found in the sequenced *p. trichocarpa* wood-forming tissues. The mapped unique sequences were further analyzed using the CleaveLand pipeline to identify the cleaved targets of known and novel miRNAs (Addo-Quaye et al., 2008, 2009).

**Table 2 | Number of identified candidate and novel miRNAs in *P. tomentosa* based on high-throughput sequencing.**

| Sample | Candidate miRNA | Novel miRNA | Novel miRNA families | miRNA* | miRNA* > |
|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|----------|
| D7     | 128             | 106         | 75                   | 19     | 6        |
| D10    | 133             | 94          | 72                   | 23     | 3        |
| D12    | 137             | 110         | 76                   | 24     | 7        |
| D16    | 135             | 112         | 77                   | 21     | 2        |
| D18    | 163             | 118         | 87                   | 21     | 4        |
| D21    | 130             | 101         | 70                   | 21     | 3        |
| Total  | 373             | 187         | 127                  | 57     | 14       |

*Candidate miRNA,* candidate new miRNAs in *P. tomentosa* were identified from non-annotated small RNAs using Mireap software; *Novel miRNA,* novel miRNAs were identified among candidate miRNAs by applying two additional criteria that are required for miRNA identification via high-throughput sequencing data analysis; *Total,* number of reads identified in at least one library; *Consistent among six libraries,* number of reads identified during SVS regeneration in all six small RNA libraries. "miRNA* ≥ miRNA," the number of miRNAs with more sequences than or an equal number of sequences to the corresponding miRNA.
FIGURE 4 | Relative expression profiles of novel miRNAs during SVS regeneration based on universal qRT-PCR. The relative expression levels of novel miRNAs that had been cloned or that displayed an RPM above 10 were determined via universal qRT-PCR. (A) Ten novel miRNAs displayed higher expression values on the 7 DAG than at any other time point. (B) The trends in the expression of 5 novel miRNAs increased gradually during SVS regeneration and peaked on the 21 DAG. (C) Five novel miRNAs were most highly expressed on the 16 or 18 DAG. (D) Three novel miRNAs were predominantly expressed on the 12 or 16 DAG. D7, D10, D12, D16, D18, and D21 indicate 7, 10, 12, 16, 18, and 21 DAG, respectively. **P ≤ 0.01; *0.01 < P ≤ 0.05.

The t-plot lists of predicted miRNA targets compared with the degradome sequences are shown in Figure S6. In this study, we identified a total of 157 target genes that were regulated by 21 known miRNA families (Table 3) and 75 target genes that were regulated by 30 novel miRNA families (Table 4). Most of the identified conserved miRNAs primarily targeted TFs. Therefore, these conserved miRNAs perform specific or dedicated gene-regulating functions during SVS regeneration. The PARE-verified targets of non-conserved miRNAs and novel miRNAs were diverse and included TFs, signal transduction factors and other proteins involved in various biological processes (Table S7).

However, four highly expressed miRNAs, i.e., miR168, miR390, miR403, and miR479, did not have targets in any degradome library, and a subset of novel miRNAs did not have any PARE-verified targets. To determine whether these miRNAs regulate their target genes via translational repression...
| miRNA   | Target gene annotation                        | Target gene ID                                    |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| miR156  | SQUAMOSA promoter-binding proteins (SBPs)      | potri.011G055900(2), potri.012G100700(4), potri.001G0586000(9), potri.003G169400(0), potri.011G116800(1), potri.001G055900(4), potri.010G154300(4), potri.015G089900(4), potri.015G060400(4), potri.002G142400(4), potri.014G057800(4), potri.007G138800(0), potri.008G097900(4), potri.005G099600(4) |
|         | Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1                    | potri.005G099600(4)                                |
| miR159  | MYB                                           | potri.010G060000(3), potri.003G189700(0), potri.001G224500(2), potri.009G018700(3) |
| miR160  | Auxin response factor                         | potri.004G211700(3), potri.009G014800(0), potri.006G127500(3), potri.008G099000(3), potri.010G223200(0), potri.016G090300(0), potri.002G089900(3), potri.005G171300(3) |
| miR162  | Dicer-like 1                                   | potri.002G181400(4)                                |
| miR164  | NAC domain-containing protein                  | potri.005G099200(0), potri.007G065400(0), potri.012G001400(4), potri.015G020000(0), potri.017G086200(0), potri.010G207200(4) |
|         | UDP-XYL synthase 6                            | potri.005G099200(0), potri.007G065400(0), potri.012G001400(4), potri.015G020000(0), potri.017G086200(0), potri.010G207200(4) |
| miR166  | Homeobox-leucine zipper family protein         | potri.001G372300(0), potri.001G188800(2), potri.003G050100(2), potri.006G237500(2), potri.018G045100(0), potri.009G014500(2), potri.004G211300(4) |
| miR167  | Auxin response factor SBP-like protein         | potri.004G078200(0), potri.017G141000(0) |
| miR169  | NF-YA Family                                  | potri.006G145100(0), potri.001G257600(0), potri.009G052900(2), potri.009G060600(0) |
| miR171  | GRAS family transcription factor              | potri.002G144200(2), potri.002G144700(2), potri.014G060200(0), potri.014G060500(0), potri.014G062800(0) |
| miR172  | Related to AP2.7 AP2                         | potri.006G323400(2), potri.006G045300(0), potri.010G216200(0), potri.016G084500(0), potri.005G140700(0), potri.007G046200(0) |
| miR319  | TCP family transcription factor               | potri.004G065800(0), potri.011G096600(4), potri.013G119400(4) |
| miR393  | Auxin signaling F-box 2 TIR1 protein bHLH      | potri.001G323100(0), potri.017G061600(0), potri.002G207800(0), potri.014G134800(0), potri.002G235400(2), potri.014G149900(2) |
| miR394  | Galactose oxidase/kelch repeat protein         | potri.001G057100(0), potri.002G171300(0) |
| miR396  | Growth-regulating factor                      | potri.001G114000(0), potri.001G132600(2), potri.002G151000(0), potri.003G100800(0), potri.007G027500(0), potri.013G077500(0), potri.014G027000(3), potri.014G012800(1), potri.014G071800(0), potri.015G066200(4), potri.006G143200(4), potri.001G082700(4) |
| miR397  | Laccase                                       | potri.006G094100(4), potri.008G073700(3), potri.010G183600(2) |
| miR472  | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein      | potri.010G028700(0), potri.001G363400(0), potri.003G200800(0), potri.011G094000(0), potri.019G022800(2), potri.019G028800(2), potri.012G0900(4), potri.013200(0), potri.01024900(0), potri.01253000(2), potri.025800(0), potri.025900(0), potri.0262200(0), potri.026600(0), potri.026900(0), potri.027200(0), potri.027500(0), potri.028700(0), potri.029000(0), potri.039900(0), potri.04450(0), potri.052000(0) |
|         | ATP binding                                   | potri.011G008800(2), potri.011G060600(2), potri.037600(0), potri.037900(0), potri.038300(0), potri.053900(0), potri.052000(0), potri.0521200(0) |
| miR475  | Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) protein         | potri.006G242200(0), potri.006G257300(0), potri.006G271200(0), potri.011G057900(4), potri.006G271400(0), potri.013G034400(0), potri.019G021200(0) |
| miR482  | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein      | potri.011G420000(2), potri.001G435100(2), potri.001G426500(2), potri.001G428100(2), potri.001G43700(2), potri.001G444100(0), potri.001G445000(2), potri.001G459800(2), potri.001G445100(4), potri.087900(4), potri.162100(4), potri.176000(4) |
rather than mRNA degradation, we further predicted the targets of all miRNAs using the psRNATarget web server (Table S8). Among 232 degradome sequencing-validated miRNAs and their target pairs, 158 pairs were present in the psRNATarget results. The miRNAs that had no PARE-verified targets corresponded to predicted target genes in the psRNATarget results, and the inhibition mode was translational repression besides cleavage. However, the degradome analysis pipeline and psRNATarget use distinct algorithms to predict target genes. Degrade analysis focused on the enrichment of target cleavage sequences from high-throughput sequencing, whereas psRNATarget used a bioinformatics algorithm to predict miRNA targets similar to the calculation of sequence similarity and energy. Therefore, the target genes validated via degradome analysis are more reliable even though target genes regulated by translational repression could not be detected.

### Pre-miRNAs Could be Cleaved by Their Own miRNAs or Other miRNAs

Previous studies have found that several pre-miRNAs can be targeted by their own mature miRNAs based on high-throughput degradome sequencing (German et al., 2008; Meng et al., 2010). In this study, we considered all precursors of known and novel miRNAs as target sequences to detect possible cleavage sites. Three pre-miRNAs were found to be targeted by their own mature miRNAs, and five pre-miRNAs appeared to be cleaved by other mature miRNAs (Table S9). First, the precursors of ptc-miR396a, ptc-miR396b and ptc-miR1450 were self-regulated by their own mature miRNAs; the cleavage sites were located at the 5′ end of mature miRNAs or in the loop-forming region of pre-miRNAs (Figure 5A). These results provided the first evidence that some pre-miRNAs in poplar can be regulated by their own or other mature miRNAs via self-cleavage or destruction of the miRNA::miRNA* structure, although these regulatory cleavage events may not be detectable in all degradome sequencing libraries (Table S9). These finding indicated that miRNA- or miRNA*-mediated cleavage of certain pre-miRNAs could be spatio-temporally regulated.

### Transcriptome Profiles of miRNAs and Their Target Genes during SVS Regeneration

To study the correlation between miRNAs and their target genes, we obtained the expression profiles of 223 miRNA::target pairs at six time points during SVS regeneration (Table S10). Among these pairs, the expression levels of 33 miRNA::target pairs were significantly negatively correlated (Figure 6), and those of 9 pairs significantly positively correlated (Table S10). Eight significantly negatively correlated miRNA::target pairs were validated via qRT-PCR (Figure S7), and their expression levels generally displayed an opposing trend. In this study, few miRNAs and target pairs displayed negatively correlated expression profiles. Thus, other miRNA::target pairs may exhibit diverse expression patterns, and their mutual regulation may extend beyond opposing changes in expression, as indicated by previous research (Lopez-Gomollon et al., 2012). Using the expression levels of samples collected on the 7 DAG as the baseline, the expression levels of miRNAs and their targets at the other five regeneration stages were compared with those on the 7 DAG. We measured the changes in the expression of 223 miRNAs and their target genes and calculated PCCs for their gene expression levels.
| miRNA      | Target gene annotation                                | Target gene ID |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| pto-miR001 | XTH9                                                  | potri.019G12500(2) |
|           | Cycloartenol synthase 1 (CAS1)                       | potri.006G07900(2) |
| pto-miR005 | Endo-beta-mannanase 7 (MAN7)                         | potri.005G12050(4), potri.007G02200(4) |
|           | Unknown protein                                       | potri.008G20380(4)   |
| pto-miR009 | Unknown protein                                       | potri.008G07630(4), potri.013G14400(4) |
| pto-miR011 | Unknown protein                                       | potri.001G07720(4), potri.1T54400(4) |
| pto-miR016 | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein             | potri.014G00790(4), potri.004G16980(4), potri.004G17020(4), potri.004G17070(4), potri.014G00220(4), potri.014G00230(4), potri.014G00980(4), potri.014G00960(4), potri.014G01090(4), potri.014G01200(4) |
| pto-miR017 | Protein phosphatase 2A-3                              | potri.003G21790(4)  |
| pto-miR030 | TCTP                                                  | potri.008G22120(2), potri.008G22650(2) |
| pto-miR037 | MAPR3                                                 | potri.015G12510(2)  |
|           | Expansin A4                                            | potri.001G24090(2)  |
| pto-miR040 | Galactose oxidase/kelch repeat protein                | potri.001G33150(4)  |
| pto-miR042 | Succinate dehydrogenase 1-1                          | potri.007G02640(2)  |
| pto-miR043 | NBR4                                                  | potri.003G01330(4), potri.003G02910(4) |
| pto-miR047 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme 2                        | potri.015G06400(4)  |
| pto-miR056 | NAC transcription factor                              | potri.002G18190(4), potri.002G18240(4), potri.013G07970(4), potri.014G07100(4), potri.014G10810(4) |
| pto-miR070 | BON3                                                  | potri.013G02910(1)  |
| pto-miR073 | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein              | potri.005G23790(4), potri.017G14350(4), potri.017G14360(4), potri.017G14440(4), potri.017G14460(4), potri.020G0300(4), potri.020G0600(4), potri.020G0400(4) |
|           | SNF7 family protein                                   | potri.018G06990(4)  |
| pto-miR080 | Chaperone DnaJ-domain superfamily protein             | potri.005G18170(2)  |
| pto-miR081 | Auxin response factor 2                               | potri.012G10610(4)  |
| pto-miR084 | Lysophosphatidyl acyltransferase 2                    | potri.006G05500(4)  |
| pto-miR087 | Squamosa promoter-binding protein                      | potri.002G18870(4)  |
| pto-miR091 | Extensin 3                                             | potri.002G07010(3)  |
|           | Tubby like protein 3                                   | potri.008G19520(2)  |
| pto-miR092 | UDP-glycosyltransferase superfamily protein           | potri.001G17900(4)  |
| pto-miR095 | Exocyst complex component SEC5                       | potri.005G19150(4)  |
| pto-miR105 | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein              | potri.019G02280(2), potri.013G0600(4), potri.019G02700(4), potri.020G0400(2), potri.025G500(2), potri.025G500(4), potri.025G500(4), potri.026B800(2), potri.028100(4), potri.029000(4), potri.053000(2), potri.053000(2) |
| pto-miR107 | Ubiquitin-specific protease 7                         | potri.001G19740(4)  |
| pto-miR143 | NB-ARC domain disease resistance protein              | potri.014G00190(4)  |
| pto-miR154 | Squamosa promoter binding protein                      | potri.001G05860(3), potri.003G16940(2), potri.010G15430(3), potri.011G05590(1), potri.018G14990(2) |
|           | Casein kinase I-like 6                                 | potri.005G22690(2)  |
| pto-miR163 | Cellulose synthase 3 (CESA3)                          | potri.016G05400(4)  |
| pto-miR168 | Copper/zinc superoxide dismutase 1                    | potri.005G04440(4)  |
| pto-miR177 | Auxin influx transporter                               | potri.016G11380(2)  |
| pto-miR183 | Kinase interacting (KIP1-like) family protein          | potri.002G04960(4)  |
|           | ARM repeat superfamily protein                        | potri.008G22550(2), potri.008G22580(2) |

*The same as Table 2.

The PCCs of these five groups of comparative data ranged between −0.313 and −0.196, which corresponded to weak negative correlations (Figure S8). In addition, some data showed positive correlations or no correlation. Taken together, these results indicated that miRNAs and their target genes are regulated by complex networks during SVS regeneration.

**miRNAs Involved in the Regulation of the Biological Processes Leading to SVS Regeneration**

To further understand the roles of miRNA targets in SVS regeneration in *P. tomentosa*, we implemented GO enrichment analysis of the 232 target genes of both known and novel miRNAs. Among these, 221 targets could be annotated according...
to homologous sequences in Arabidopsis. These genes were used for GO enrichment analysis using the AgriGO Term Enrichment tool (Du et al., 2010), and the significantly enriched biological processes included cellular process (80.70%), metabolic process (71.93%), biological regulation (61.40%), developmental process (45.61%), response to stimulus (54.39%), and reproductive process (25.44%; Table S12). The primary molecular function of these genes was binding; in particular, 39 genes (34.21%) showed DNA binding activity, and 36 genes (31.58%) had transcription regulator activity.

We identified 9 known miRNAs and 6 novel miRNAs whose target genes were involved in the formation of secondary vascular tissue. These target genes were primarily enriched in the GO categories of auxin signaling pathway, cell differentiation, meristem development and pattern specification processes (Figure 7A). The expression levels of these target genes were also clustered (Figures 7B–E) based on the microarray data from the 6 time points of SVS regeneration (Table S10).

The target genes of miR160, miR167, miR393, miR482.1, and pto-miR177, which play important roles in auxin stimulus (GO:0009733) and auxin-mediated signaling pathway (GO:0009734), displayed different expression patterns during SVS regeneration (Figure 7A). Three auxin influx carrier genes, pt-AUX2, pt-AUX3, and pt-AUX4, displayed high expression levels during the VC initiation stage. In addition, pt-FBL2, the homolog of Arabidopsis TIR1, was dynamically expressed from the VC initiation stage to the VC formation stage. Additionally, pt-ARF10.1, pt-ARF16.2, and pt-ARF17.2, which were targeted by miR160, were highly expressed during the VC initiation stage. The remaining 5 ARF genes were primarily expressed during the VC formation or differentiation stage (Figure 7B).
involved in cell differentiation (GO:0030154) and cell maturation (GO:0048469; Figure 7A). Among these target genes, pt-EXT3 and pt-CTA4.2, which were targeted by pto-miR091 and pto-miR163, respectively, were highly expressed during the VC initiation stage. In addition, five GRAS TF genes, homologous to the Arabidopsis SCL6 gene, were targeted by miR171. Specifically, pt-SCL6.1, pt-SCL6.4, and pt-SCL6.5 displayed high expression on the 7 DAG, whereas pt-SCL6.2 and pt-SCL6.3 were highly expressed from 16 to 21 DAG (Figure 7C). Furthermore, the target genes of miR156 and pto-miR154 belonging to the SPL gene family were involved in regulation of the transition from the vegetative phase to the reproductive phase in Arabidopsis. These target genes were highly and specifically expressed on the 7, 10, and 18 DAG (Figure 7D); this observation indicated that these genes might be involved in the transitions of SVS developmental stages.

The target genes of miR164, miR166, miR482.1 (SERK1), pto-miR001 and pto-miR005 were enriched in several biological processes of meristem development and pattern specification (Figure 7A). Specifically, pt-HB4-pt-HB7 displayed high expression during the VC differentiation stage, whereas pt-HB1 was highly expressed on the 7 DAG. Moreover, pt-XTH9.1, the target gene of the newly identified pto-miR001, was highly expressed during the VC initiation and differentiation stages. In addition, pt-MAN2 and pt-MAN5, homologs of Arabidopsis MAN7, showed high expression levels from 16 to 21 DAG, when cell expansion and differentiation occur (Figure 7E).

**DISCUSSION**

**Additional Novel miRNAs were Identified but were Weekly Expressed during SVS Regeneration**

The SVS regeneration model can mimic the entire process of wood formation in 1 month. Therefore, it has been used to
investigate the genes involved in the processes of cambium initiation, formation and differentiation into xylem and phloem using proteomic and microarray approaches (Du et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). Most identified genes were downstream genes that were directly involved in metabolism and structural development, and only a few master regulators were identified. Therefore, the SVS regeneration model allowed us to investigate the properties of miRNAs during dynamic and complex developmental processes. By monitoring multiple time points of this dynamic developmental process, we obtained more novel miRNAs than other studies of different tissues and organs at a specific developmental stage or under a certain treatment condition (Li et al., 2010, 2013; Pantaleo et al., 2010; Puzey et al., 2012). As expected, we have identified 209 known and 187 novel miRNAs displaying variable expression patterns during SVS regeneration. Parts of miRNAs had been verified via clone and degradome sequencing. These miRNAs could potentially regulate their target genes in a spatio-temporal manner to modulate gene expression profiles to meet the needs of the plant at each stage of development. From this perspective, the detection of novel and non-conserved known miRNAs displaying lower expression levels might not necessarily indicate that they performed a limited regulatory function during SVS regeneration. Instead, these miRNAs could be inducible or be expressed in a tissue- or even cell-specific manner. Under such circumstances, they would not easily be captured at the time points that we examined. Overall, this study has opened the door to the investigation of the regulatory network governing SVS regeneration.
miRNAs Can Be Regulated by Themselves and Other miRNAs

Although in some cases the cleavage sites of self-regulated miRNAs did not precisely correspond to position 10 or 11, cleavage signals surrounding the middle of the miRNA or miRNA* region were detected on several pre-miRNAs of both *Arabidopsis* and rice, such as ath-MIR172, ath-MIR161 and osa-MIR455d (German et al., 2008; Meng et al., 2010). Similarly, the precursors of ptc-miR396a and ptc-miR396b possessed several cleavage sites in the miRNA* region, and the most abundant degradome signatures were detected in the middle of their miRNAs* in this study. These results indicated that their miRNAs* are susceptible to cleavage and that they may be primarily cleaved by their own mature miRNAs. In addition, 3 novel pre-miRNAs were targeted by other miRNAs, and their cleavage sites were located at the 5′ end of their mature miRNAs or in the loop-forming region, which could influence the formation of miRNA:miRNA* structures. There could exist a negative regulation circuit that excess pre-miRNAs are degraded upon self- or other miRNA (miRNA*) mediated cleavage because more pre-miRNAs lead to the accumulation of miRNA or miRNA* (Meng et al., 2010). The findings of this study confirmed that the regulation of miRNAs by themselves or other miRNAs is a common phenomenon that may serve as negative feedback to balance the abundances of miRNAs and their targets.

The Correlations in Expression between miRNAs and Their Targets Are Not Always Negative

We compared the expression profiles of 223 miRNAs and their target genes and found that only 33 miRNA:target pairs displayed significantly negative correlations; in contrast, 9 pairs displayed significantly positive correlations. Furthermore, certain miRNAs, such as miR160, miR393, and miR482, targeted TF families that contain multiple members that exhibit different expression patterns during SVS regeneration. Alternatively, other miRNA families, such as miR156, miR169, and miR171, contained multiple members that exhibited distinct expression patterns but that targeted the same gene families, whose expression levels often appeared to be irrelevant to the changes in the expression levels of their corresponding miRNAs. Although our present knowledge cannot explicitly explain this observation, several factors could be responsible for this result. First, miRNAs regulate target genes at the RNA level, but the miRNA abundance of targets is determined by multiple factors that act at many steps during transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation (Li et al., 2007; Blencowe et al., 2009). Second, miRNAs do not exclusively regulate their targets via degradation; they can also induce translational repression of their target miRNAs by base-pairing with their targets (Gu and Kay, 2010). In this study, in addition to degradome sequencing, we predicted the targets of all miRNAs using psRNATarget, which employs a bioinformatics algorithm to predict miRNA targets. Based on these results, certain miRNAs can regulate a subset of their predicted target genes via mRNA degradation while also inhibiting other target genes via translational repression. Third, pre-miRNAs can be cleaved by their own or other mature miRNAs, and such cleavage can reduce the abundance of the miRNA. Thus, the cleavage of pre-miRNAs may not occur at the same rate as the degradation of their target genes. In brief, miRNAs may regulate the expression levels of target genes in a more complex manner and may be constrained by the entire gene regulatory network. Considering such a circumstance, we cannot always anticipate the detection of an inverse correlation between a miRNA and its target gene(s) by analyzing their correlation within the network in which they are embedded. This situation may be pronounced in transitional phases of biological processes such as regeneration of the SVS, during which gene expression dynamically changes.

miRNAs Regulate SVS Regeneration Partially via Auxin Transport and Signaling

Auxin is involved in the establishment and maintenance of the VC and the formation and organization of phloem and xylem (Sundberg et al., 2000). The finding that pt-AUX2, pt-AUX3, and pt-AUX4 exhibited high expression levels during the VC initiation stage indicating that they play a role in the initiation of cambium formation. TIR1 is known to promote the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of AUX/IAAs, which release ARF proteins to trigger the expression of downstream auxin signaling genes (Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2007; Villalobos et al., 2012). Furthermore, pt-FBL2 was expressed from the VC initiation stage to the VC formation stage, and the 10 ARF TFs displayed high expression levels at different stages of SVS regeneration process. These findings indicated that these genes could coordinate in the auxin-mediated signaling pathway during SVS regeneration.

In *Arabidopsis*, NAC1 is induced by auxin and acts downstream of TIR1 to mediate auxin signaling, thereby promoting lateral root development (Xie et al., 2000). Moreover, auxin-induced increase of miR164 could direct the cleavage of NAC1 transcripts to down-regulate auxin signals (Guo et al., 2005). The transcripts of NAC1 homologs were enriched in the biological processes of meristem initiation, specification and arrangement and were highly expressed during the VC initiation stage. Therefore, NAC1 might regulate the initiation and formation of meristematic cells together with hormone signaling pathways.

miRNAs Mediated Cell and Tissue Differentiation during SVS Regeneration

SVS regeneration is accomplished via the emergence of meristem cells from the immature xylem and the further division and expansion of these cells, as well as primary and secondary cell wall formation. In this study, *pt-EXT3* displayed high expression levels during the VC initiation stage; this result suggested that *pt-EXT3* plays a role in regulating the transition from immature xylem cells into meristem cells during the initial stage of SVS regeneration. Additionally, *pt-CESA4.2*, the homolog of *Arabidopsis* *CesA3*, which is required to form the primary cell wall (Desprez et al., 2007), was highly expressed during the VC initiation stage; this observation implied that *pt-CESA4.2* functions only in the accumulation of cellulose in cell walls.
of newly formed meristem cells. SCL6 has been shown to be expressed in the peripheral zone and in vascular tissues of the SAM and has been found to promote the renewal of meristematic cells and the differentiation of the axillary meristem (Schulze et al., 2010; Curaba et al., 2013). The finding of high expression of poplar SCL genes during different developmental stages of SVS regeneration suggests that these genes are involved in the initiation and maintenance of nascent VC, which ultimately differentiates into xylem and phloem.

XTHs and MANs are able to hydrolyze xyloglucan- and mannan-type polysaccharides in cell wall remodeling (Hyodo et al., 2003; Schröder et al., 2009; Eklöf and Brumer, 2010). In particular, pt-XTH9.1 was highly expressed during the VC initiation and differentiation stages; this result suggested that pt-XTH9.1 is likely to be involved in the change in cell type during the initiation of cambial cell formation and the differentiation of cambial cells into xylem and phloem cells. In contrast, pt-MAN2 and pt-MAN5, which displayed high expression levels during the VC differentiation stage, might primarily contribute to the differentiation of newly formed cambium. HD-Zip III TFs have been shown to regulate primary and secondary vascular tissue pattern formation (Ko et al., 2006) and to play key roles in SVS regeneration. Specifically, ptrHB1, the homolog of Arabidopsis REV, which is highly expressed in the SAM, cambial zone, and secondary vascular tissue of poplar (Robischon et al., 2011), was highly expressed on the 7 DAG. This observation suggested that ptrHB1 performs an important function in cambium regeneration. The remaining 4 HD-ZIP III TFs, ptrHB4.ptrHB7, were specifically expressed during the VC differentiation stage, as evidenced by a sharp increase in their expression on the xylem side of the cambial zone in P. trichocarpa (Schrader et al., 2004). This finding indicates that these TFs play important roles in the differentiation of newly formed cambium into xylem and phloem at the late stage of SVS regeneration.

In summary, this study provided a holistic view of how miRNAs control different facets of SVS regeneration in poplar. A total of 157 and 75 target genes regulated by 21 known and 30 novel miRNA families, respectively, were dynamically expressed during the regeneration of SVS. Based on their corresponding GO terms, the targets of 15 miRNAs were enriched in auxin signaling pathway, cell differentiation, meristem development and pattern specification processes, and their roles in the VC initiation, formation, and differentiation stages were further explored. Therefore, the pathways through which these miRNA families regulate wood formation warrant further investigation.
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