Phase modification induced drying shrinkage reduction on Na$_2$CO$_3$ activated slag by incorporating Na$_2$SO$_4$
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Abstract This paper aims to study the phase modification, reaction kinetics, mechanical properties and drying shrinkage of sodium carbonate activated slag by incorporating sodium sulfate in the activator. The results show that the reaction process is firstly controlled by CO$_3^{2−}$ anions, and later runs similar to that of sodium sulfate activation. Besides, the relatively unstable phase gaylussite, commonly found in the sodium carbonate activation, is not observed in the reaction products upon hybrid activation, and monosulfoaluminate rather than ettringite is identified, probably caused by the reduced aluminate-to-sulfate ratio and increased pH value. The drying shrinkage is considerably reduced by up to 41% when replacing 50 wt% sodium carbonate by sodium sulfate, most possibly attributed to the induced phase modification. Furthermore, the relationships between the phase modification and drying shrinkage, and the potentially involved chemical reaction are discussed.
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1 Introduction

Environmental benefits and excellent performance of alkali activated slag cement (AAS) have promoted their fast development in recent years [1–3]. It is known that the reaction products, mechanical properties and durability of AAS significantly differ with different activators applied [4, 5]. The material properties of AAS together with the effect of additives [6–9] using various sole activators have been extensively reported. However, the performance of hybrid activators on activation has been rarely investigated [10–12], especially the durability related properties, e.g. drying shrinkage.

It is evident that both slag characteristics and activators applied essentially affect the reaction products of alkali activated slag [2, 13]. When a slag with a high MgO content is used, the secondary reaction products can be: hydrotalcite if activated by waterglass [14]; calcite, gaylussite and hydrotalcite when activated by sodium carbonate [15]; ettringite and monosulfoaluminate or no crystalline structures (depending on the Al$_2$O$_3$ content) if activated by sodium sulfate [16]. On the other hand, it is also known that the mechanical properties of AAS are highly depending...
on the applied activators when using the same solid precursors, exhibiting generally an order of Na$_2$SiO$_3$ > Na$_2$CO$_3$ > Na$_2$SO$_4$ > NaOH at the same curing regimes and ages [17]. Besides, the structure of the main reaction product, C–(A)–S–H gel, also alters when different activators are used. Jimenez et al. [18] reported that waterglass activation showed a high cross-linked structure with both $Q^2$ and $Q^3$ silicon sites, sodium hydroxide activation only showed $Q^2$ silicon sites and sodium carbonate activation showed $Q^3$ silicon but with the lowest $Q^2$ silicon values.

The application of a near-neutral salt, Na$_2$CO$_3$, as an activator in AAS has been attracting great interest, attributed to its advantage in terms of low alkalinity, low cost and good performance [19, 20]. Slow reaction of sodium carbonate activation has been often reported, which nevertheless can be compensated by controlling the slag characteristics [21]. However, the latest results show that the sodium carbonate activated slag suffers from high drying shrinkage [22, 23]. Jin et al. [22] found that the drying shrinkage of Na$_2$CO$_3$ activation (4 Na$_2$O wt%) is about 1.15% after 90 days of curing. But they observed that increasing the sodium carbonate content (6% Na$_2$O wt%) leads to a significant reduction on the shrinkage development, while the incorporation of MgO (≤ 5 wt%) only slightly declines the drying shrinkage. It should be noted that gaylussite, commonly found in sodium carbonate activation as a secondary reaction product, is not a stable phase and will gradually decompose and convert to other phases [15].

\[
\text{NaCa}(\text{CO}_3)_2 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{Na}^+ + \text{CO}_2^- + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The decomposition process may potentially not be positive for the stability of the host matrix [24].

The application of hybrid activators has also been reported to modify the reaction products. Bernal et al. [12] evaluated the slag activated by a blended sodium carbonate and sodium silicate activator, and found pirssoinone (Na$_2$Ca(CO$_3$)$_2$·2H$_2$O) and hydroxysodalite (Na$_8$Al$_6$Si$_6$O$_{24}$(OH)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$) instead of gaylussite as the main secondary products. The present authors also found the absence of gaylussite when the activator of sodium carbonate was mixed with a certain amount of waterglass [11]. As the shrinkage is mainly controlled by the amorphous and unstable phases, the effect of crystalline structures is not prominent. In this case, it is reasonable to assume that by modifying the reaction products of the sodium carbonate activation, the shrinkage problem can be, to some extent, tackled.

A preferable option would be introducing expanding sources into the matrix, which will benefit the shrinkage [25]. Ettringite is known as an expanding source in ordinary Portland cement systems, and it has also been reported in the alkali sulfate activated slag [26, 27]. Mobasher et al. [27] evaluated the structure of an alkali sulfate activated slag cement, and found that ettringite, C–A–S–H, and a hydrotalcite-like Mg–Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) are the main reaction products. However, Bernal [16] also mentioned that the secondary reaction products are highly depending on the content of MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$. A high content of MgO and/or low content of Al$_2$O$_3$ favors the formation of poorly crystalline LDHs and AFm type phases, while a reversed condition leads to the generation of monosulfoaluminate and ettringite. It should be noted that both hydrotalcite and ettringite basically belong to the group of layered double hydroxides, while their synthesis conditions are different [16, 28]. In this case, by combining the alkaline solutions of sodium carbonate and sodium sulfate as the activator, it is possible to enhance the crystallinity by forming new LDHs and avoiding the formation of gaylussite, which consequently will reduce the shrinkage.

The present research aims to study the effect of sodium sulfate incorporation in the sodium carbonate activated slag system on the reaction kinetics, mechanical properties and drying shrinkage. The microstructure modification caused by the incorporated sodium sulfate is analyzed applying X-ray diffraction (XRD), Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Furthermore, the relationships between phase modification and drying shrinkage, and the potentially involved chemical reaction are discussed.

2 Materials and experiments

2.1 Materials

Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) (supplied by ENCI, the Netherlands) is applied in this study. The chemical composition of the GGBS determined by X-ray Fluorescence is shown in Table 1.
and the particle size distribution measured by a laser granulometer (Mastersizer 2000) is depicted in Fig. 1. Sodium carbonate and sodium sulfate, both in powder form with analytical grade, are used as alkali sources (Table 2). Sodium carbonate activation is designated as “SC”, sodium sulfate activation “SS”, hybrid activators activation without limestone powder (“SCS”) and with limestone powder (“SCSLP”) in the following sections for clear interpretations of the results. The activators were first prepared and cooled down to room temperature (20 ± 1 °C) prior to further application.

2.2 Experiments

Paste samples were prepared according to EN 196-1. Prisms (40 × 40 × 160 mm³) were cast for strength measurement and a plastic foil was used to cover the surface of the cast specimens to prevent the moisture loss. The samples were stored in a climate chamber at room temperature (20 ± 2 °C) with the relative humidity of >99% till the ages of testing. The following experiments were performed:

- The compressive strength of the samples were tested at the curing ages of 3, 7 and 28 days, respectively, according to EN 196-1. The presented results were the average of 6 samples.
- An isothermal conduction calorimeter (TAM AIR Calorimeter, set at 20 °C) was used to measure the heat evolution of the samples during the activation. It should be noted that the recorded data during the initial ~45 min might not be reliable because of the possible unstable environment caused by the sample preparation process.
- The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted using a Siemens/Bruker D5000 X-ray Powder Diffraction system with a Cu tube (20 kV, 10 mA) and the setting of: scanning range 5°–55°, step of 0.02° and measuring time 30 s/step.
- A PerkinElmer Frontier™ IR/FIR Spectrometer using the attenuated total reflection (ATR) method (GladiATR) at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ was used for the FTIR measurement.
- The microscopic analysis was performed using a JSM-IT100 InTouchScope™ Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

| Table 1 Chemical composition of the GGBS and limestone powder determined by XRF |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oxides (%) | GGBS | Limestone powder |
| SiO₂ | 30.48 | 0.84 |
| CaO | 40.05 | 53.96 |
| Al₂O₃ | 12.92 | 0.24 |
| MgO | 9.49 | 1.01 |
| Fe₂O₃ | 0.57 | 0.32 |
| SO₃ | 3.48 |  |
| K₂O | 0.39 | 0.34 |
| TiO₂ | 1.48 |  |
| Cl | 0.02 |  |
| L.O.I | 43.01 |  |
| Blain fineness m²/kg | 421 | |

![Fig. 1 Particle size distributions of the GGBS and limestone powder](image)

| Table 2 Deigned mixtures |
|--------------------------|
| Items | Na₂CO₃ dosage (Na₂O wt%) | Na₂SO₄ dosage (Na₂O wt%) | Limestone powder (vol%) | W/S |
| SC | 4 | | | 0.4 |
| SCS | 2 | 2 | | |
| SS | 4 | | | |
| SCSLP | 2 | 2 | 50 | |
The drying shrinkage was determined to samples stored in a climate chamber (20 ± 1 °C and RH 65%) based on DIN 52450: 1985. A digital length comparator (±0.001 mm) was adopted to measure the linear dimension variation of the samples along the longitudinal axis.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Mechanical properties

Figure 2 presents the strength development of the samples (see Table 2) at the curing ages of 3, 7 and 28 days, respectively. As can be seen, the compressive strength of all samples increase with the increase of curing age. Sodium carbonate activation is often reported to be slow. Nevertheless, slag characteristics [21] have been found to be an important factor in controlling the reaction kinetics, and a high early strength is achievable by adjusting the fineness of slag particles. In this study, a compressive strength of 28.89 MPa after 3 days of curing is observed for the sodium carbonate activated slag. The sodium sulfate activated slag shows a relatively lower strength at all ages, compared to that of sodium carbonate activation. The result is in line with previous findings that the activation effect of Na₂CO₃ is better than Na₂SO₄ with respect to mechanical properties [17]. However, the strength development of mixture SS is faster than most of the previously published results [17, 27]. Mobasher et al. [27] studied the activation effect of Na₂SO₄ and reported a compressive strength of 17 MPa after 28 days of curing. Wang et al. [17] found that the strength of sodium sulfate activation is highly depending on the slag type, and they obtained 3, 20 and 30 MPa with three slags, acid, neutral and basic slag, respectively, after 28 days of curing. Besides, Rashad et al. [26] reported that the slag fineness played a significant role on the strength development, while the dosage only influenced the early age reaction. In this case, the relatively high compressive strength of Na₂SO₄ activated slag can be attributed to the fine slag particles and its high basicity coefficient \( K_b = \frac{(CaO + MgO)/(SiO₂ + Al₂O₃)}{1.50} \), i.e. basic slag. It should be noted that the samples of the mixture SS broken into pieces after 28 days of curing (RH > 99%), while the samples cured at RH 65% only showed some slightly visible cracks. One possible reason could be that a high humidity curing condition modifies the formation of reaction products which increases the internal stress, i.e. a high humidity condition accelerates the formation of ettringite. The sample activated by the hybrid activator could potentially have the same issue after long-term curing, nevertheless it was not observed in the current study after 6 months of curing [29]. Nevertheless, this phenomenon requires further investigation.

When the slag was activated by the hybrid activators of Na₂CO₃ (2 Na₂O wt%) and Na₂SO₄ (2 Na₂O wt%) (Mixture SCS), the compressive strength of the specimens are more close to the strength development of Na₂SO₄ activation. The low early strength (3 days) could be attributed to the unfinished heat release, as shown in the calorimetric results. As the saturation limitation of CaCO₃ is lower than that of C–A–S–H gel and CaSO₄ [30], the reaction will be firstly controlled by CO₃²⁻ anions and then the pore solution condition is similar to that sodium sulfate activation. In this case, the precipitation environment of calcium silicate hydrates in the hybrid activator’s activation system is more close to that of sodium sulfate activation. The C–A–S–H gel is the main reaction product of alkali activated slag, which could possibly be one of the reasons to explain the strength development of hybrid activator’s activation. Furthermore, the influence of additive limestone powder (LP) on the strength was also studied and the results show that the incorporation of LP slightly weakens the mechanical properties, i.e. with 50 vol% of slag replaced by LP the compressive strength at 28 days only declines 16.0%. The similar results were found in previous findings in
sodium carbonate activated slag system [7, 24]. Rakhimova et al. [7] reported that the strength development of samples were not weakened up to 50% replacement of slag by LP. It should be noted that, due to the dilution effect, the delayed reaction of samples containing LP is also contributing to the slow strength development.

3.2 Reaction kinetics analysis

Figure 3 depicts the heat release of all mixtures with different activators and the process generally can be classified into five stages which is in accordance with previous researches [14, 31–33]. The time to reach reaction peak (TTRP) of sodium carbonate activation is shortened to around 50 h compared to previous reports [15, 34], which is in line with our previous study that the reaction can be significantly accelerated by controlling the slag characteristics [21]. As for the activation of sodium sulfate, the reaction is slightly different from previous studies [26, 27] by giving an intensive reaction peak after 8 h of casting. Mobasher et al. [27] reported very long induction periods (between 100 and 125 h, measured at 25 °C) with the dosages of 0.8, 1.7 and 5.1 (Na2O equivalent). While Rashad et al. [26] found that the reaction is highly depending on the slag fineness (2500 and 5000 cm²/g) and Na2SO4 dosage (1 and 3% (Na2O equivalent)) and in general a dormant period of 25–70 h (tested at 40 °C) is shown. In this case, the accelerated reaction of sodium sulfate activation in the present study can be explained by the different slag characteristics and relatively high dosage. It is noticed that the solubility of CaCO3 (0.0013 g/100 ml at 25 °C) is much lower than CaSO4 (0.21 g/100 ml at 25 °C) in water, while the solubility of CaSO4 is also higher than C–(A)–S–H gel at the pH of 12–13 [26]. In this case, the reaction of sodium sulfate activated slag should be faster than sodium carbonate activation when the same amount of activators is used, which is in line with the current result (Fig. 3) and previous reports when similar slags were applied [15, 27].

On the other hand, the reaction of slag activated by hybrid activators, Na2CO3 and Na2SO4 (2 + 2 Na2O wt%), is similar to that of sodium carbonate activation but shows a slightly slower reaction rate and lower intensity. As discussed by Bernal et al. [15], the sodium carbonate activation is a solubility controlled reaction, i.e. CO3^2− concentration in the pore solution. Because the saturation limit of CaCO3 is lower than C–(A)–S–H gel, the precipitation of CaCO3 will happen first. In this case, the early reaction is primarily controlled by CO3^2− and then similar to that of SO4^2− activation. This explains that the reaction process of slag activated by the hybrid activators is similar to that of sodium carbonate, while the strength development is similar to sodium sulfate activation because the formation of C–(A)–S–H gel is similar to the condition of sodium sulfate activation. Moreover, the reaction of samples activated by the hybrid activator is ~8 h later than sodium carbonate activation, which indicates the potential inhibiting effect when both CO3^2− and SO4^2− anions are present. However, the hybrid activator’s activation system is so complex that further investigation is still required to obtain deeper insight. Furthermore, similar to a previous research [23], the reaction is significantly delayed after replacing 50 vol% of GGBS by limestone powder. Due to the dilution effect, sufficient
Ca\(^{2+}\) requires more dissolution time to be precipitated with CO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) and SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), which, in turn, leads to a slower and less intensive reaction.

3.3 Reaction products characterization

Figure 4 presents the characterized reaction products of the samples at the curing age of 28 days. As can be seen, the main reaction products are highly depending on the activators applied. When the slag is activated solely by sodium carbonate, the main secondary reaction products are calcite, gaylussite and hydrotalcite (when the MgO content in the slag is high [14]), which is in line with previous findings [15, 35]. Besides, hemicarboaluminate (PDF #00-036-0129), also named hemicarbonate, is also observed [35]. The existence of this phase indicates that the system is abundant with aluminum because the Mg content is not sufficient to consume all Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), forming hydrotalcite [35–37]. Similar phenomenon was also reported by Ke et al. [35], which is due to the preference of forming layered double hydroxide structures. When switching the activator to sodium sulfate, the secondary reaction products change to ettringite. However, it should be noted that monosulfoaluminate could also be possibly existing as identified by Mobasher et al. [27] applying XRD together with solid-state \(^{27}\)Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. They found the possible existence of hydrotalcite-like phase in the reaction products, which were not observed in the XRD pattern. Bernal [16] reported that the reaction products of sodium sulfate activation are considerably depending on the MgO and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content in the raw slags. A high MgO and low Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content lead to the formation of poorly crystalline hydrotalcite-like phase and/or AFm type phases rather than ettringite and/or monosulfoaluminate.

On the other hand, when the slag is activated by the hybrid activators of Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), hydrotalcite and monosulfoaluminate are identified as the main secondary reaction products, while ettringite is not presented. Besides, gaylussite, commonly found as a secondary reaction product in sodium carbonate activation, is not observed either. In this case, it is reasonable to conclude that the hybrid activators change the chemical reaction process, leading to the formation of different phases. The potentially involved chemical reactions will be discussed in Sect. 3.5. Furthermore, 50 vol\% of slag is replaced by limestone powder and the presence of limestone powder leads to the formation of a new phase, natron (Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)·10H\(_2\)O). It is worth to note that natron is chemically unlikely to be the initial reaction product or the residual activators. As discussed above (Fig. 3), the early age reaction process is mainly controlled by the CO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) anions, while the later stage reaction is similar to SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) activation. Sufficient CO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) anions should be consumed prior to further reaction, e.g. precipitation of C–(A)–S–H. In this case, natron should be converted from the decomposition of gaylussite, as discussed in the Introduction. The same phenomenon was also found in our previous study [24] that a high dosage of limestone powder in the sodium carbonate activated slag system leads to the formation of natron.

Figure 5 shows the SEM pictures of all samples at the curing age of 28 days. As can be seen, the morphology of mixture SC (Table 2) shows a layered crystalline structure embedded in the matrix, which is likely to be a LDH [38] as revealed by the XRD result (Fig. 4), i.e. hydrotalcite and/or hemicarboaluminate. While in the case of sodium sulfate activation (mixture SS), the morphology shows a crystalline structure of needle shape, i.e. ettringite. It should be noted that gypsum also shows a needle shape that could be coexisting in the system. Mobasher et al. [39] identified the traces of unreacted Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) after 6 months of curing via \(^{23}\)Na MAS NMR spectroscopy. In the case of the hybrid activator activation (mixture SCS), hydrotalcite was also observed, which is in line with the analysis that the ion exchanged ability of CO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) is higher than SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), so preferred to be incorporated in
the layered double hydroxide structures (LDHs). Layered double hydroxide structure was also found in the mixture SCSLP after incorporating 50 vol% limestone powder.

Figure 6 presents the FTIR spectra of samples activated by different activators at the curing age of 28 days. As can be seen, the vibration of free water in the reaction products was observed in all samples, indicated by the broad peaks at approximate 3315 and 1644 cm\(^{-1}\). Depending on the activators applied, \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) and \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) anions are also identified in the samples as shown in the spectra. The peaks centred at about 1410 and 1493 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to be the vibrations of \(\nu_3[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\), which can be calcium carbonate or hydrotalcite [40–42]. A small peak at this position was also observed in the mixture activated by pure sodium sulfate, possibly due to a slight carbonation or originated from slags. Besides, all spectra give intensive peaks at around 950 cm\(^{-1}\), indicating the formation of C–(A)–S–H gel. However, a slightly lower position was observed for the mixture SC (944 cm\(^{-1}\)). Puertas et al. [43], Palacios and Puertas [44] and Puertas and Carrasco [40] found that the position in the range between 900 and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) is highly corresponding to the chemical composition of calcium silicate hydrate, i.e. Ca/Si ratio. A different wavenumber indicates a different chemical proportion (Si/Ca ratio) [40, 43, 44]. In this case, the low position indicates that the formation of C–(A)–S–H gel is mainly controlled by \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) anions as the gel structure is more similar to that of samples activated by sodium sulfate. This phenomenon is in consistence with the
analysis above. Nevertheless, the utilization of using FTIR to check the microstructure, i.e. chemical composition of calcium silicate hydrate still requires deeper investigation and is open for discussion.

3.4 Drying shrinkage analysis

Figure 7 presents the drying shrinkage of the mixtures activated with different activators. Similar to previous finding [22], sodium carbonate activation presents a drying shrinkage of 0.75% after about 43 days of curing. However, the shrinkage of sodium sulfate activated slag is much lower, giving 0.37%, which is around 50% lower than that of sodium carbonate activation. Up till now, only a few available investigations [16, 26, 27, 45] reported the sodium sulfate activation, and the shrinkage was rarely investigated. However, the main secondary reaction products are ettringite and monosulfoaluminate that are stable phases, also known as expanding sources in ordinary cement systems. While in the case of sodium carbonate activation, gaylussite is not stable and will gradually decompose, releasing free water. As a result, the drying shrinkage of the sodium sulfate activation is lower compared to that of sodium carbonate activated slag.

When the slag is activated by the hybrid activators, the drying shrinkage (0.44%) is slightly higher than that of sodium sulfate activation probably attributed to the absence of the expanding source ettringite, but much lower than that of sodium carbonate activation. As can be seen in Fig. 4, the main secondary reaction products are calcite, monosulfoaluminate and hydrotalcite, which are all relatively stable phases under ambient conditions, while the unstable phase gaylussite (Eq. 1), commonly found in only sodium carbonate activation and will completely convert to other phases at the ambient condition [15], is not presented. In this case, the improved drying shrinkage could be attributed to the phase modification. Furthermore, after replacing 50 vol% slag by limestone powder in the hybrid activation system, the drying shrinkage is considerably increased to 1.21%, which is about 2.8 times higher than that of samples without limestone powder. In our previous study [23], the present authors found that the amount of mesopores and macropores increases with the increase of limestone powder, while that of gel pores decreases due to the reduced amount of C–(A)–S–H gel (LP > 30 vol%). Due to the dilution effect, more free water is available in the pores and will be dried out (> 5 nm) according to the Kelvin–Laplace equation [46], which consequently enlarges the drying shrinkage. It should be noted that in waterglass activated slag-fly ash blenders system, Gao et al. [47] found that the drying shrinkage of samples decreased with the decrease of slag content. In this case, the decomposition process of gaylussite and natron releasing free water to pores can also contribute to the enlarged drying shrinkage. Nevertheless, further investigation is still required in order to acquire more quantitative information.

3.5 Phase modification analysis

Up till now, attempts on the hybrid activators were rarely explored [10–12]. From the chemical point of view, as shown by the XRD results, the main reaction products of slag activated by hybrid activators are slightly different from the activation by a sole activator. The existence of hemicarboaluminate in the sodium carbonate confirms the excess of Al$^{3+}$ ions in the system. Besides, hydrotalcite instead of ettringite is observed in the hybrid activation system (Fig. 4). Hydrotalcite-like structure is a typical layered double hydroxides (LDHs) and widely synthesized in the laboratory using a wide variety of methods [28]. Considering the coexistence of SO$_4^{2-}$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ anions, the formation of LDHs at the current condition is very similar as the one prepared using the ion-exchange method. He et al. [28] reviewed the preparation of LDHs and reported that the exchange ability of anions increases with the increasing charge and decreasing ionic radius, i.e. CO$_3^{2-}$ > SO$_4^{2-}$. 

![Fig. 7 Drying shrinkage of the mixtures](image-url)
Besides, Bontchev et al. [48] also found that the order of ion exchange preference was not affected by the co-intercalation of a second anion. As a result, in the hybrid activators’ system, CO$_3^{2-}$ anions are preferred to be firstly incorporated in the structure LDHs generating hydrotalcite, while the remaining Al$^{3+}$ will react with SO$_4^{2-}$, forming monosulfosilicate instead of hemicarboaluminate.

It should be noted that monosulfosilicate (Ca$_4$Al$_2$(SO$_4$)(OH)$_{12}$·6H$_2$O) instead of ettringite (Ca$_6$Al$_2$(SO$_4$)(OH)$_{12}$·26H$_2$O) was observed in the samples activated by the hybrid activators. One possible reason could be related to the reduced amount SO$_4^{2-}$ anions provided by the activators. Clark and Brown [49] found that aluminate-to-sulfate ratio dominates the reaction and ettringite was the only crystalline phase ultimately formed at a molar ratio of 1:3, regardless of the pH and temperature. However, at a high aluminate-to-sulfate ratio (1:1), sodium-substituted monosulfosilicate, also named U-phase, became the dominant phase after increasing the NaOH concentration [50]. Gabrisovd et al. [51] also found that increasing the pH value from 11.6 to 12.5 changed the reaction products from ettringite to monosulfosilicate. Rashad et al. [26] monitored the pH value of the pastes made with slag activated by 1 and 3% Na$_2$O equivalent of Na$_2$SO$_4$ and found that the pH value is fluctuated at around 12.5, which is considerably lower than that of other activators. In our previous study, the pH of sodium carbonate activated slag was checked by immersing pH sensor into the fresh paste which quickly increased up to around 13.5 after 2 h of mixing. In this case, the preference of forming monosulfosilicate could also probably due to the increased pH value. Nevertheless, the hybrid activator’s activation is so complex that further investigation is still needed to reveal/optimize its benefits with respect to the durability related modifications.

4 Conclusions

The effect of sodium sulfate on the phase modification, mechanical properties and drying shrinkage of sodium carbonate activated slag is studied in the present paper. The microstructure changes and chemical reaction process are discussed. Based on the obtained results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The reaction of slag activated by hybrid activators is firstly controlled by CO$_3^{2-}$ anions, and then is similar to that of sodium sulfate activation.
2. The main secondary reaction products of hybrid activators activation are calcite, hydrotalcite and monosulfosilicate.
3. Hydrotalcite instead of hydrotalcite-SO$_4^{2-}$ is preferred to be formed in the hybrid activation system because of the higher ion exchange ability of CO$_3^{2-}$ compared to SO$_4^{2-}$.
4. Monosulfosilicate is preferred to be precipitated rather than ettringite in the hybrid activators activation system, attributed to the increased aluminate-to-sulfate ratio and pH value compared to pure sodium sulfate activation.
5. The drying shrinkage of slag activated by hybrid activator is about 41% lower than that of plain carbonate activation mostly attributed to the phase modification, i.e. the main secondary reaction products are changed from calcite, gaylussite and hydrotalcite to calcite, monosulfosilicate and hydrotalcite. However, further investigation is still needed to acquire more quantitative information.
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