T-Lyr1-17236: A LONG-PERIOD LOW-MASS ECLIPSING BINARY
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ABSTRACT

We describe the discovery of a 0.68±0.52 M_☉ eclipsing binary (EB) with an 8.4 day orbital period, found through a systematic search of 10 fields of the Trans-atlantic Exoplanet Survey (TrES). Such long-period low-mass EBs constitute critical test cases for resolving the long-standing discrepancy between the theoretical and observational mass-radius relations at the bottom of the main sequence. It has been suggested that this discrepancy may be related to strong stellar magnetic fields, which are not properly accounted for in current theoretical models. All previously well-characterized low-mass main-sequence EBs have periods of a few days or less, and their components are therefore expected to be rotating rapidly as a result of tidal synchronization, thus generating strong magnetic fields. In contrast, the binary system described here has a period that is more than 3 times longer than previously characterized low-mass main-sequence EBs, and its components rotate relatively slowly. It is therefore expected to have a weaker magnetic field and to better match the assumptions of theoretical stellar models. Our follow-up observations of this EB yield preliminary stellar properties that suggest it is indeed consistent with current models. If further observations confirm a low level of activity in this system, these determinations would provide support for the hypothesis that the mass-radius discrepancy is at least partly due to magnetic activity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Despite a great deal of work that has been done to understand the structure of low-mass (<0.8 M_☉) main-sequence stars (e.g., Chabrier & Baraffe 2000), models continue to underestimate their radii by as much as 15% (Lacy 1977a; Torres & Ribas 2002; Ribas 2006). This is a significant discrepancy, considering that for solar-type stars the agreement with the observed radii is typically within 1%–2% (Andersen 1991, 1998). In recent years an intriguing hypothesis has been put forward, suggesting that strong magnetic fields may have bloated these stars, either through chromospheric activity (e.g., Ribas 2006; Torres et al. 2006; López-Morales 2007; Chabrier et al. 2007) or through magnetically induced convective disruption (Torres et al. 2006). Such strong magnetic fields are expected to be formed by the dynamo mechanism of rapidly rotating stars. To test this hypothesis, one needs to measure both the masses and radii of low-mass stars, which thus far can be done most accurately with eclipsing binary (EB) systems. However, all well-characterized low-mass main-sequence EBs have orbital periods shorter than 3 days (see Table 1) and are therefore expected to have synchronization timescales shorter than ~100 Myr (Zahn 1977, 1994; see Fig. 1 and further description in § 6). As a result of these short periods and synchronization timescales, the rotations of these binary components are expected to have accelerated to the point that they now match the rapid angular velocity of their orbits. With such rapid rotations, these binary components could have a wide range of dynamo-induced magnetic field strengths. To better constrain current stellar models, we set out to find systems with slowly rotating components. Such systems would presumably have comparably weak magnetic fields, thus being more consistent with the model assumptions. Furthermore, by comparing the mass-radius relations of binary components with well-determined levels of magnetic activity, one could test various magnetic disruption models.

We note here that in addition to EB analysis, long-baseline optical interferometry has also been used recently to measure the radii of nearby low-mass stars (Lane et al. 2001; Ségransan et al. 2003; Berger et al. 2006). While these stars are single and therefore expected to rotate slowly, their masses can only be estimated through empirical mass-luminosity relations or other indirect methods. Those determinations are thus less fundamental, in a sense, and arguably of lesser value for accurately constraining stellar models and testing the magnetic disruption hypothesis.

2. INITIAL PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

T-Lyr1-17236 was first identified as a likely low-mass EB candidate in the Devor et al. (2008) catalog, following a systematic analysis of the light curves (LCs) within 10 fields of the Trans-atlantic Exoplanet Survey (TrES; Alonso et al. 2004). TrES employs a network of three automated telescopes to survey 6° × 6° fields of view. To avoid potential systematic noise, we performed our initial search using data from only one telescope, Sleuth, located at the Palomar Observatory in Southern California (O’Donovan et al. 2004), and we combined additional
data at subsequent follow-up stages. Sleuth has a 10 cm physical aperture and a photometric aperture radius of 30°. The number of LCs in each field ranges from 10,405 to 26,495, for a total of 185,445 LCs. The LCs consist of \(~\sim\)2000 Sloan r-band photometric measurements binned to a 9 minute cadence. The calibration of the TrES images, the identification of stars therein, and the extraction and decorrelation of the LCs are described elsewhere (Dunham et al. 2004; Mandushev et al. 2005; O’Donovan et al. 2006, 2007).

An automated pipeline was used to identify and characterize the EBs among the TrES LCs. This pipeline has been described in detail in a previous paper (Devor et al. 2008). At the heart of this analysis lie two computational tools: the Detached Eclipsing Binary Light curve fitter\(^8\) (DEBiL; Devor 2005) and the Method for Eclipsing Component Identification\(^9\) (MECI; Devor & Charbonneau 2006a, 2006b). DEBiL fit each LC to a geometric model of a detached EB that consists of two luminous, limb-darkened spheres that describe a Newtonian two-body orbit. MECI then incorporated some of the DEBiL results and, together with 2MASS color information (Skrutskie et al. 2006), refit each LC to a physical model that is constrained by the solar-metallicity Yonsei-Yale theoretical isochrones (Yi et al. 2001; Kim et al. 2002). Thus, using only photometric data, the DEBiL/MECI pipeline provided initial estimates of the absolute physical properties of each EB. These estimates were then used to locate promising candidates for follow-up.

Using this pipeline, a total of 773 EBs were identified within the TrES data set. Of these, 427 EBs were both detached and had small out-of-eclipse distortions, thereby enabling the DEBiL/MECI pipeline to estimate their component masses. These results, together with many other properties, are listed for each EB in an online catalog\(^10\) (Devor et al. 2008). Of these characterized EBs, we then identified a handful of promising long-period low-mass candidates and chose one, T-Lyr1-17236 (\(\alpha_{J2000}=19^h07^m16.621^s\), \(\delta_{J2000}=+46^\circ39'53.21''\), \(P=8.429444\pm0.000033\) days; see Table 2 for additional information), for further follow-up and analysis. As with all of our low-mass candidates, we repeated the MECI analysis using the Baraffe et al. (1998) solar-metallicity isochrones (with a mixing-length parameter of \(\alpha_M=1.0\), which are more accurate than the Yonsei-Yale isochrones in this regime. The resulting MECI mass-mass likelihood contour plot of T-Lyr1-17236 is shown in Figure 2. Since the MECI analysis incorporates data from theoretical stellar models, we cannot use it to constrain stellar models. Rather, once we identified the candidate, we followed it up photometrically and spectroscopically and used only these follow-up data to derive the binary’s absolute properties.

### 3. FOLLOW-UP PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

In order to characterize T-Lyr1-17236 we combined photometric data from four telescopes: (1) Sleuth and (2) the Planet

---

\(^8\) The DEBiL source code, utilities, and example files are available at http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~jdevor/DEBiL.html.

\(^9\) The MECI source code and running examples are available at http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~jdevor/MECI.html.

\(^10\) Available at http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~jdevor/Catalog.html.
In an effort to better constrain the eclipses of T-Lyr1-17236, we obtained data from the IAC80, an 82 cm aperture telescope operated similarly. However, PSST, which is located at the Lowell Observatory in Arizona, observes in the Johnson R band, whereas Sleuth observes in the Sloan r band (see Figs. 3 and 4). Furthermore, PSST has a 20" photometric aperture radius compared to Sleuth’s 30" radius, which provides PSST with a higher resolving power than Sleuth. However, the smaller aperture of PSST also causes it to have noisier photometry, with an rms of 0.031 mag for T-Lyr1-17236, compared to the Sleuth photometry, which has an rms of 0.028 mag. Although these differences are small, they would have affected our analysis. We therefore chose not to use the PSST data for fitting the photometric model, although we did use them to improve the determination of the orbital period and the epoch of eclipse (see §5).

In an effort to better constrain the eclipses of T-Lyr1-17236, we obtained data from the IAC80, an 82 cm aperture telescope with a 14′ × 14′ field of view, located at the Observatorio del Teide in the Canary Islands. We produced an I band LC at a 1.3 minute cadence using the 1024 × 1024 pixel Tromso CCD Photometer, resulting in 0.008 mag rms photometry for T-Lyr1-17236. Unfortunately, we were only able to observe a primary eclipse with the IAC80. We therefore incorporated archival HATNet observations so as to provide coverage of the secondary eclipse in a similar passband (see Figs. 3 and 5).

HATNet is a network of six 11 cm aperture, fully automated telescopes (HATs) located at the F. L. Whipple Observatory in Arizona and the Submillimeter Array site atop Mauna Kea, Hawaii. The HATs have an 8° × 8° field of view and a response that peaks in the I band, and they operate at a 5.5 minute cadence. To reduce the photometric noise, the HAT point-spread function (PSF) is broadened to an ~15′′ aperture radius through microstepping (Bakos et al. 2002). Even so, the HATNet photometric rms for T-Lyr1-17236 was comparably large at 0.084 mag.

Nevertheless, to provide more complete coverage of the primary and secondary eclipses in the I band, we combined the IAC80 observations with data from HAT-7 (Whipple Observatory) and HAT-8 (Mauna Kea). Due to the very different characteristics of these two systems, however, we chose not to adopt any of the model parameters derived from these data, and we only used these results as an independent confirmation of the Sleuth r-band LC analysis.

4. SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

T-Lyr1-17236 was observed spectroscopically with two instruments: the Near-Infrared Spectrometer ( NIRSPEC; McLean et al. 1998, 2000) at the W. M. Keck Observatory in Hawaii and the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Szentgyorgyi & Fűrész 2007), installed on the 1.5 m Tillinghast telescope at the F. L. Whipple Observatory in Arizona.

NIRSPEC was operated using a 3 pixel slit (0.432″) and an N7 blocking filter, thus producing a spectral resolving power of R = λ/Δλ ≈ 25,000. The duration of the exposures, which ranged from 420 to 900 s, was adjusted according to observing conditions. The spectra were gathered in two consecutive nods, producing a total of five NIRSPEC nods pairs. The nods of each pair were then subtracted one from the other, removing much of the sky emission. We extracted the spectra of both nods using the optimal extraction procedure outlined in Horne (1986) and then co-added the two resulting one-dimensional spectra. We calibrated the wavelengths of the resulting spectrum using its atmospheric telluric features and then corrected for both the telluric absorption and the blaze of the spectrograph by dividing this spectrum by the spectrum of an A0 V-type star (HR 5511). Finally, we cross-correlated each spectrum with the spectrum of an M0.5 V template star (GJ 182). To this end, we used a single NIRSPEC order (2290–2320 nm), which is within the K band and has a scale of 0.0336 nm pixel−1 at its center. This order covers the CO(2–0) band head, which includes a rich forest of R-branch transition lines, as well as many telluric absorption features due to methane in the Earth’s atmosphere. The advantages offered by this spectral region and the details of the instrument setup are described in Blake et al. (2008).
TRES is a high-resolution fiber-fed optical echelle spectrograph designed to cover a large range of wavelengths (390–934 nm) in 51 orders. We employed the medium-size fiber (2.3") so as to cover the full stellar PSF while providing a spectral resolving power of \( R \approx 47,000 \). Following each of our three 900–1000 s exposures, the TRES data were read from a 4638 \( \times \) 1090 pixel CCD, which we set to a 2 \( \times \) 2 binning mode for a more rapid readout. We then used a dedicated IRAF tool set to process and extract 51 spectral orders simultaneously, ultimately producing 2319 data points along each order. The IRAF processing of the TRES data involved merging the mosaic FITS files, removing cosmic-ray hits, flattening fringing effects, and then extracting the orders. We wavelength-calibrated the TRES spectra using thorium-argon (ThAr) exposures and then corrected the telluric absorption and spectroscopic blazings by dividing each spectrum by a TRES spectrum of a rapidly rotating B0 IV-type star (HR 264). Although TRES produces 51 spectral orders, we used only 4 of them, covering wavelengths of 665–720 nm (similar to the \( R \) band) and with a postbinning scale of \( \sim 0.0065 \) nm pixel\(^{-1} \). These orders contain a diverse array of absorption features, including those of TiO, Fe i, Ca i, Ni i, and Cr i. We limited ourselves to these orders because at shorter wavelengths there was insuffi- cient flux from our red target, while at longer wavelengths the spectra were dominated by telluric absorption features, produced largely by terrestrial \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). We cross-correlated these four orders with the corresponding orders of an M1.5 V template star (GJ 15A, also known as GX And A) and averaged their cross-correlation functions. We repeated this final calculation using the Zucker (2003) maximum likelihood method, which reproduced our results to within a fraction of their uncertainties, although with slightly larger errors.\(^{11} \)

In total, we produced five radial velocity (RV) measurements of each component with NIRSPEC and three with TRES. In all cases we were able to measure the RVs of both binary components by employing a cross-correlation method that transforms the spectra to Fourier space using the Lomb-Scargle algorithm (Press et al. 1992). This method allowed us to cross-correlate spectra with arbitrary sampling without having to interpolate or

\(^{11} \) The Zucker (2003) method is more accurate than simple cross-correlation averaging for large \( N \). However, because it takes the absolute value of the correlation, it loses some information and effectively increases the noise baseline. This increased noise will negate its advantage when combining a small number of correlations, as is the case in our TRES analysis (\( N = 4 \)).
resample them onto an equidistant grid. We then multiplied the Fourier-transformed target and template spectra, inverse-Fourier-transformed the product, and normalized it. Since the resulting two peaks in the cross-correlation functions were always well separated, we were able to fit each with a parabola and thus measure their offsets and widths. The uncertainties of these RVs are somewhat difficult to determine with our procedures, but tests indicate that they are approximately $1.0$ and $1.4$ km s$^{-1}$ for the primary and secondary in our NIRSPEC spectra and about $0.5$ and $1.2$ km s$^{-1}$ in our TRES spectra. These internal errors are adopted below in the spectroscopic analysis, but they have relatively little effect on the results. Finally, the RVs were transformed to the barycentric frame, and the TRES RV measurements were further offset by $-2.82$ km s$^{-1}$ in order to place them on the same reference frame as the NIRSPEC measurements, which were obtained with a different template (GJ 182). This offset was determined by including it as an additional free parameter in the Keplerian RV model (see § 5). Once the offset was determined, we held its value fixed in all subsequent analyses. The final velocities are listed in Table 3 and include this offset. Note that these listed RVs are all relative to GJ 182, for which Montes et al. (2001) have measured the value $+32.4 \pm 1.0$ km s$^{-1}$.

5. ORBITAL ANALYSIS

We began our analysis by determining the orbital period ($P$) and the epoch of primary eclipse ($t_0$) and constraining the eccentricity ($e$) of T-Lyr1-17236 through eclipse timing. The times of eclipse determined from our photometric observations are listed in Table 4. Since our data span 3.5 yr, we were able to determine the period to an accuracy of 3 s (see Table 5). To estimate the binary’s eccentricity, we first measured the observed minus calculated ($O-C$) timing difference between the primary and secondary eclipses in all available LCs, which provided an upper bound of $|e \cos \omega| \leq 0.0008$, where $\omega$ is the argument of periastron (see Fig. 6). Although $\omega$ and $e$ cannot be determined separately in this way, this result indicates that the orbit of T-Lyr1-17236 is likely to be circular or very nearly so. This conclusion is further supported by a weaker upper limit of $|e \sin \omega| \leq 0.06$, obtained through preliminary LC model fitting (see below). Theoretical estimates (Zahn 1977, 1978, 1994) of this binary suggest a circularization timescale of $t_{\text{circ}} \approx 390$ Gyr (see also Devor et al. 2008). Being many times the age of the binary, this long timescale suggests that T-Lyr1-17236 formed in a circular orbit. However, this timescale value is an instantaneous estimate for the current epoch and is likely to have been significantly different in the past (see Zahn & Bouček 1989; Mazeh 2008 and references therein). Therefore, it is quite possible that the binary circularized while it was in the pre–main sequence; however, to the extent that this theory is correct, it is unlikely to have circularized once it settled on the main sequence.

A Keplerian model was fit to the RVs to determine the elements of the spectroscopic orbit of T-Lyr1-17236. We assumed

![Fig. 5.—Enlargement of the eclipse phases in the LC of T-Lyr1-17236, as recorded by the IAC80 (black symbols) and the HATNet (gray symbols) telescopes (I band). The solid line shows the best-fit JKTEBOP model, for which the residuals are displayed at the bottom.](image)

| Epoch (BJD) | Primary RV (km s$^{-1}$) | Secondary RV (km s$^{-1}$) | Exposure Time (s) | Template | Instrument |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| 2,453,927.9400 | -2.87 | -45.24 | 480 | GJ 182 | NIRSPEC |
| 2,453,930.9258 | -68.09 | 38.85 | 900 | GJ 182 | NIRSPEC |
| 2,453,946.8846 | -64.26 | 36.53 | 600 | GJ 182 | NIRSPEC |
| 2,453,948.9100 | -43.45 | 7.03 | 420 | GJ 182 | NIRSPEC |
| 2,454,312.7985 | 7.66 | -57.68 | 480 | GJ 182 | NIRSPEC |
| 2,454,372.6179 | 23.99 | -80.14 | 900 | GJ 15A | TRES |
| 2,454,377.6382 | -68.03 | 40.10 | 1000 | GJ 15A | TRES |
| 2,454,377.6624 | -67.97 | 39.73 | 1000 | GJ 15A | TRES |
the eccentricity to be zero based on the evidence above and the lack of any indications to the contrary from preliminary spectroscopic solutions. The period and \( t_0 \) were held fixed at the values determined above. We solved simultaneously for the velocity semiamplitudes of the components \((K_A, B)\) and the RV of their center of mass \((V_c)\). The results are shown graphically in Figure 7, and the elements are listed in Table 6. The minimum masses \( M_{A, B}\) are formally determined to better than 2%. However, because of the small number of observations \((N = 8)\), the possibility of systematic errors cannot be ruled out, and further observations are encouraged to confirm the accuracy of these results.

We then proceeded to find the remaining photometric parameters of T-Lyr1-17236. To this end, we analyzed the Sleuth \( r\)-band LC using JKTEBOP (Southworth et al. 2004a, 2004b), a LC modeling program based on the EBOP LC generator (Nelson & Davis 1972; Etzel 1981; Popper & Etzel 1981). We assumed a circular orbit, as before; a mass ratio of \( q = 0.7692 \) from the spectroscopic model; and the period determined above. We solved simultaneously for the orbital inclination \((i)\), the fractional radii \((r_{A, B})\), the central surface brightness ratio of the secondary in units of the primary \((J)\), the time of primary eclipse \((t_0)\), and the out-of-eclipse magnitude (zero point). We estimated the uncertainties of the fitted parameters by evaluating the distribution generated by 1000 Monte Carlo simulations (Southworth et al. 2005).

Because of the large photometric aperture of Sleuth, the presence of significant contamination from the light of additional stars is a distinct possibility. Unfortunately, due to its degeneracy with the orbital inclination and the fractional radii, we were not able to simultaneously determine the fractional third light of the system \((h)\). We therefore sequentially refit the LC model parameters with fixed fractional third-light values ranging from 0 to 0.2 (see Fig. 8). We repeated this routine with the \( I\)-band IAC80/ HATNet LC as well, although these results were not used because of their larger uncertainties. We obtained an external estimate of the third-light fraction affecting the Sleuth observations using the USNO-B catalog (Monet et al. 2003), which lists two dim objects within \( 30^\circ \) of T-Lyr1-17236 (USNO-B1.0 1366–0314297 and 1366–0314302). Assuming that these objects are completely blended into T-Lyr1-17236, we expected an \( R\)-band third-light fraction of \( h = 0.085 \pm 0.018 \), and we adopted this value for the \( r\)-band LC. Fortunately, the fitted parameters are quite insensitive to third light, so that the uncertainty in \( h \) only moderately increases their uncertainties. No objects were listed within the smaller photometric apertures of either IAC80 or HATNet, so we concluded that the \( I\)-band LC should have little or no third-light contamination. It is important to note that these third-light estimates assume that there are no further unresolved luminous objects that are blended with T-Lyr1-17236 (e.g., a hierarchical tertiary component). However, the divergence of the \( r\)-band and \( I\)-band solutions at higher third-light fractions (see Fig. 8), and the deep primary eclipse in both the \( r\) and \( I\) bands (0.649 and 0.604 mag, respectively), suggest that if such unresolved objects exist, they are unlikely to account for more than \( \sim 0.1 \) of the total flux, and therefore would not bias the fitted results beyond the current estimated uncertainties. The final results of our LC fits are given in Table 5.

### 6. PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

The fundamental parameters of T-Lyr1-17236, such as their absolute masses and radii, were derived by combining the results of the spectroscopic analysis (Table 6) with those from the photometric analysis (Table 5). These and other physical properties are listed in Table 7. Our estimates of the primary and secondary component masses, \( M_p = 0.6795 \pm 0.0107 \) and \( M_B = 0.5226 \pm 0.0061 M_\odot \), lead us to infer spectral types of K5 and M0 V, respectively, according to empirical tables (Cox 2000). We are not able to make independent estimates of the effective temperatures of the stars from the data in hand. This could be done if, for example, we had individual color indices based on combined light values and light ratios in two different bands, but we can only derive a reliable estimate of the light ratio in the \( r\) band. The comparison with stellar evolution models by Baraffe et al. (1998) in \$ 8 suggests primary and secondary component temperatures of approximately 4150 and 3700 K, respectively, although the accuracy of these values is difficult to assess.

No trigonometric parallax is available for T-Lyr1-17236. A rough distance estimate to the system may be made using \( JHK_s \) brightness measurements in the 2MASS catalog, collected in Table 2, along with estimates of the absolute magnitudes. For these we must rely once again on models. The Galactic latitude of +16.8° suggests the possibility of some interstellar extinction. From the reddening maps of Schlegel et al. (1998) we infer \( E(B-V) \approx 0.07 \) in the direction of the object (total reddening), which corresponds to extinctions of \( A(J) \approx 0.061, A(H) \approx 0.038, \) and \( A(K) \approx 0.011, \) assuming \( R_V = 3.1 \) (Cox 2000). Under the further assumption that this extinction applies to T-Lyr1-17236, we derive a mean distance of \( 230 \pm 20 \) pc, after conversion of
the near-infrared magnitudes in the CIT system from the Baraffe et al. (1998) models to the 2MASS system, following Carpenter (2001). With the proper-motion components from the USNO-B catalog listed in Table 2, the center-of-mass velocity \( V \), from the spectroscopic solution corrected for the velocity of GJ 182 (Montes et al. 2001), and the distance above, we infer space velocity components in the Galactic frame of \((U, V, W) \approx (+41, +21, +2) \text{ km s}^{-1}\), where \( U \) points in the direction of the Galactic center.

Because of the relevance of the rotational velocities of the stars for the interpretation of the chromospheric activity results of \S 7, we have made an effort here to measure the rotational broadening of both components from the widths of the cross-correlation functions derived from our TRES spectra. We rely on the fact that to first order, the width of a cross-correlation peak is approximately equal to the quadrature sum of the line broadening of the two spectra. We began our estimation procedure by finding the effective resolution of the instrument \( \langle \sigma_i \rangle \) in the four TRES orders we used. This was done by autocorrelating a TRES ThAr spectrum that was taken just before the second T-Lyr1-17236 observation. We found that the four orders produced peaks with an average FWHM of 8.90 \pm 0.17 \text{ km s}^{-1}. Thus, assuming that the intrinsic widths of the ThAr emission lines are negligible compared to the instrumental resolution, we found that \( \sigma_i = 6.29 \pm 0.12 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This value corresponds to a spectral resolving power of \( R = 47,630 \pm 930 \), which is consistent with the TRES specifications. Next, we determined the intrinsic spectral line broadening of the template star, GJ 15A \( \langle \sigma_i \rangle \). We autocorrelated the template spectrum and found that it produced peaks with an average FWHM of 9.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}. This value should be equal to \( \sqrt{2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}/2 \), from which we infer that \( \sigma_1 = 2.7 \pm 2.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Note that this result is well within the upper bound provided by Delfosse et al. (1998) following their nondetection of any rotational broadening in GJ 15A.

Using this information, we can now find the intrinsic spectral line broadening of the T-Lyr1-17236 components \( \langle \sigma_{i,B} \rangle \). The average FWHMs of the primary and secondary peaks, resulting from the cross-correlation of each observed spectrum of T-Lyr1-17236 against the template, were measured to be 12.6 \pm 2.0 and 12.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}, respectively. These widths are expected to be equal to \( \sqrt{\left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2\right) + \left(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_2^2\right)}/2 \), from which we calculate that \( \sigma_B = 8.4 \pm 3.0 \text{ and } \sigma_B = 7.6 \pm 3.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

![Graph of Timing O-C](image)

**Fig. 6.**—Eclipse timing \((O-C)\) measurements of T-Lyr1-17236. The triangles indicate primary eclipses, and the four-pointed stars indicate secondary eclipses. The large error bars are generally due to eclipses that are constrained by only a few observations or for which only the ingress or egress was observed. The cluster of points at the very left (HJD < 2,453,300) are measurements from HATNet, the single data point at HJD 2,454,417 is from the IAC80, and the remaining data are from Sleuth and PSST. The two parallel dashed lines indicate the expected \((O-C)\) location of the primary (bottom) and secondary (top) eclipses in the best-fit eccentric model \((p \simeq 0.0005)\). This eccentric model provides only a very small improvement in the fit compared to the circular model \( (P = \text{test}; \sin \omega_2 \simeq 1.29\text{, indicating a } p \simeq 0.33\text{, significance})\).

![Graph of RV measurement](image)

**Fig. 7.**—RV measurements of T-Lyr1-17236 relative to GJ 182, shown as a function of orbital phase. The velocities of the primary component are represented with squares, and those of the secondary are represented with circles. The filled symbols correspond to data taken with NIRSPEC, and the open symbols represent TRES measurements. Residuals from the model fit are shown in the bottom panels for the primary and secondary components.

---

**TABLE 6
Spectroscopic Parameters of T-Lyr1-17236**

| Parameter | Symbol | Value |
|-----------|--------|-------|
| Primary RV semiamplitude (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( K_p \) | 48.36 \pm 0.23 |
| Secondary RV semiamplitude (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( K_2 \) | 62.86 \pm 0.46 |
| Barycentric RV relative to GJ 182\(^a\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( V_{\gamma} \) | \(-21.01 \pm 0.18\) |
| Binary separation with projection factor (R\(_C\)) | \( a \sin i \) | 18.526 \pm 0.083 |
| Primary mass with projection factor (M\(_P\)) | \( M_{1} \sin i \) | 0.6792 \pm 0.0017 |
| Secondary mass with projection factor (M\(_S\)) | \( M_{2} \sin i \) | 0.5224 \pm 0.0061 |
| Mass ratio \((M_p/M_s)\) | \( q \) | 0.7692 \pm 0.0069 |

---

\(^a\) Montes et al. (2001) list the RV of GJ 182 as \( +32.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).
The rotational profile FWHM expected for a homogeneous stellar disk is $\sqrt{3} \pi \sin i$, where $\pi$ is the star’s equatorial rotational velocity and $i$ is the inclination of its rotational axis. Stellar limb darkening, however, will narrow the rotational profile, thus decreasing the observed FWHM (Gray 1992, p. 368). Adopting the $R$-band PHOENIX linear limb-darkening coefficients from Claret (1998), we find that the expected FWHM values for the primary and secondary components of T-Lyr1-17236 are, respectively, $1.495 \pi \sin i_p$ and $1.499 \pi \sin i_s$. Using these results, we can set upper bounds to the components’ $\pi \sin i$. These upper bounds represent the limiting case whereby the spectral line broadening is due entirely to stellar rotation, and we neglect all other line-broadening mechanisms, such as microturbulence and the Zeeman effect. We thus determine the maximum rotational velocities of the T-Lyr1-17236 primary and secondary components to be $\pi \sin i_p = 5.6 \pm 2.0$ and $5.1 \pm 2.3$ km s$^{-1}$, respectively.

An estimate of the timescale for tidal synchronization of the stars’ rotation with their orbital motion may be obtained from theory following Zahn (1977) and assuming simple power-law mass-radius-luminosity relations (Cox 2000). Thus, for stars less massive than $1.3 M_\odot$,

$$t_{\text{sync}} \approx 0.00672 \, \text{Myr} (k_2/0.005)^{-1} q^{-2}(1 + q)^2 \times (P/\text{day})^4 (M/M_\odot)^{-4.82},$$

where $k_2$ is determined by the structure and dynamics of the star and can be obtained by interpolating published theoretical tables.
(Zahn 1994). This calculation leads to timescales of $t_{\text{sync}} \approx 0.56$ and 1.02 Gyr for the primary and secondary components of T-Lyr1-17236, respectively, which are much shorter than the circularization timescale determined in § 5. We note that, similar to the circularization timescale, the synchronization timescales estimated above are the current instantaneous values and are likely to have changed over time. The age of the system is undetermined (see § 8), but assuming its age is at least a few Gyr, as is typical for field stars, it would not be surprising if tidal forces between the components had already synchronized their rotations. This is illustrated in Figure 1, where T-Lyr1-17236 is shown along with the other systems in Table 1 and with curves representing theoretical estimates of the synchronization timescale as a function of orbital period.

If we assume that the components are indeed rotationally synchronized, we can compute their rotational velocities more accurately using $v_{\sin i} = 2\pi R \sin i / P$. We thus derive synchronized rotational velocities of $(v \sin i)_{\text{sync}} = 3.81 \pm 0.26$ and $3.15 \pm 0.31$ km s$^{-1}$ for the primary and secondary components, respectively. These values are slightly below but still consistent with the maximum rotational velocities measured above. Thus, observational evidence suggests that the stars' rotations may well be synchronized with their orbital motion, although more precise measurements would be needed to confirm this. Our conclusion from this calculation is that regardless of whether we assume that the components of T-Lyr1-17236 are synchronized, their rotational velocities do not appear to be large.

7. CHROMOSPHERIC ACTIVITY

Our absolute mass and radius determinations for T-Lyr1-17236 offer the possibility of testing stellar evolution models in the lower main sequence, in particular, testing the idea that the discrepancies noted in § 1 are related to chromospheric activity and the associated magnetic fields in systems where the components are rotating relatively rapidly. Thus, establishing the level of activity in the system presented here is of considerable importance. We have shown in § 6 that the relatively long period of T-Lyr1-17236 ($P \approx 8.429441$ days) implies that even if the components are synchronized, their rotational velocities are slow, and therefore they are not expected to induce a great deal of chromospheric activity. However, demonstrating that the stars are indeed inactive requires more direct evidence, given that some stars of masses similar to these are still found to be quite active at rotation periods as long as 8 days (see, e.g., Pizzolato et al. 2003). We present here the constraints available on the surface activity of T-Lyr1-17236 from its X-ray emission, optical variations, and spectroscopic indicators.

The present system has no entry in the ROSAT Faint Source Catalog (Voges et al. 1999), suggesting that the X-ray luminosity, usually associated with activity, is not strong. Examination of the original ROSAT archive images leads to a conservative upper limit to the X-ray flux of $6.71 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the energy range 0.1–2.4 keV, and, together with information from Table 7, we infer an upper limit for the ratio of the X-ray to bolometric luminosity of $\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}} \leq -3.13$. Values for the four best-studied cases of CM Dra, YY Gem, CU Cnc, and GU Boo, which are all very active, are, respectively, $-3.15$, $-2.88$, $-3.02$, and $-2.90$ (see López-Morales 2007). These are at the level of our limit or higher, although we do not consider this evidence conclusive.

There are no detectable variations in the $r$-band LC out of eclipse, within the uncertainties. Such variations would be expected from activity-related surface features showing significant contrast with the photospheres. We estimate an upper limit of $\sim 0.01$ mag in $r$ for the night-to-night variations (see Fig. 3). Because the secondary component is significantly dimmer, it has a weaker variability upper limit of $\sim 0.09$ mag. We note, however, that this evidence for inactivity is not conclusive either, since the observed photometric variations can depend significantly on the distribution of spots on the surface.

A number of spectroscopic activity indicators (the Ca II H and K lines, H$\alpha$, etc.) should, in principle, allow a more direct assessment of the activity level in T-Lyr1-17236. Unfortunately, however, the quality of our spectroscopic material in the optical makes this difficult. The flux in the blue for this very red system is too low to distinguish the Ca $\alpha$ and K lines, and even at H$\alpha$ the noise is considerable (typical signal-to-noise ratios at this wavelength are $\sim 12$ pixel$^{-1}$). Two of the three TRES spectra show the H$\alpha$ line in absorption, and the other appears to show H$\alpha$ in emission. This suggests some degree of chromospheric activity, although perhaps not at such a high level as to sustain the emission at all times, as is seen in other stars. H$\beta$ appears to be in absorption in all three TRES spectra.

Clearly, more spectra with higher signal-to-noise ratios are needed to better characterize the level of activity, but from the sum of the evidence above it would not appear that the activity in T-Lyr1-17236 is as high as in other low-mass EBs studied previously, thus more closely aligning it with the assumptions of current standard stellar models. The system may therefore constitute a useful test case for confirming or refuting the magnetic

| Table 7: System Parameters of T-Lyr1-17236 |
| Parameter | Symbol | Component A | Component B |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mass ($M_\odot$) | $M$ | 0.6795 ± 0.0017 | 0.5226 ± 0.0061 |
| Radius ($R_\odot$) | $R$ | 0.634 ± 0.043 | 0.525 ± 0.052 |
| Log surface gravity (cgs) | $\log g$ | 4.666 ± 0.059 | 4.718 ± 0.086 |
| Semimajor axis (10$^6$ km) | $a$ | 5.606 ± 0.027 | 7.288 ± 0.053 |
| Maximum rotational velocity ($v \sin i$) ($\text{km s}^{-1}$) | $(v \sin i)_{\text{sync}}$ | 5.6 ± 2.0 | 5.1 ± 2.3 |
| Synchronized rotational velocity ($v \sin i$) ($\text{km s}^{-1}$) | $(v \sin i)_{\text{sync}}$ | 3.81 ± 0.26 | 3.15 ± 0.31 |
| Absolute visual magnitude (mag) | $M_V$ | 8.03 | 9.67 |
| Bolometric luminosity (L$_\odot$) | $L$ | 0.110 | 0.039 |
| Effective temperature (K) | $T_{\text{eff}}$ | 4150 | 3700 |
| Distance (pc) | $D$ | 230 ± 20 | 230 ± 20 |

Notes:

- See description in § 6.
- Inferred using stellar evolution models by Baraffe et al. (1998) assuming solar metallicity and an age of 2.5 Gyr.

Footnote:

- Inferred using stellar evolution models by Baraffe et al. (1998) assuming solar metallicity and an age of 2.5 Gyr.
disruption hypothesis (see § 1), which predicts that the absolute properties of its slowly rotating components should match the theoretical models of convective stars.

8. COMPARISON WITH MODELS AND CONCLUSIONS

A comparison with solar-metallicity models by Baraffe et al. (1998) for a mixing-length parameter of $\alpha_{ML} = 1.0$ is presented in Figure 9. Our mass and radius determinations for T-Lyr1-17236 (see Table 7) are shown along with those of the low-mass systems listed in Table 1. The location of the models in this diagram depends only slightly on age because these stars evolve very slowly. The age of T-Lyr1-17236 is difficult to establish independently. The space motions derived in § 6 do not associate the system with any known moving group and are quite typical of the thin disk. Thus, all we can say is that it is not likely to be very old. We display in Figure 9 two models for ages of 1 and 10 Gyr, which likely bracket the true age of T-Lyr1-17236. Within the errors, our measurements for the two components are consistent with the models, which would in principle support the magnetic disruption hypothesis. Unfortunately, however, the uncertainties in the radius measurements ($\sim 7\%$ and $\sim 10\%$) are still large enough that our statement cannot be made more conclusive. Further follow-up observations, especially rapid-cadence and precise photometric measurements during multiple eclipses, should significantly reduce the uncertainties in the radii and thus provide far stronger constraints on the theoretical models of low-mass stars. In addition, higher quality spectroscopic observations than ours are needed to confirm that the level of chromospheric activity in the system is relatively low. If after such observations, the masses and radii of the T-Lyr1-17236 components remain consistent with the stellar models, then the magnetic disruption hypothesis will be strengthened. However, if further observations find that the components of T-Lyr1-17236 are larger than predicted by current stellar models, as is the case with most other similar systems investigated in sufficient detail, then this will provide evidence that additional mechanisms need to be included in the models of the structure of low-mass main-sequence stars (see, e.g., Chabrier et al. 2007).

It is important to note here that T-Lyr1-17236 falls within the field of view of the upcoming NASA Kepler mission (Borucki et al. 2003). The Kepler mission will not return data for all stars within its field of view; rather, the targets will be selected by the Kepler team. We see at least two reasons why such monitoring of T-Lyr1-17236 would be of significant value. First, the data would greatly refine the estimates of the physical parameters of the component stars and might permit a search for their astero-seismological modes. Second, the data would enable a search for transits of exoplanets, which are expected to orbit in the same plane as that defined by the stellar orbits.

Finally, we note that our findings in this paper confirm the accuracy of the MECI algorithm (see Fig. 2), which can be further used to find additional long-period low-mass EBs, and indeed a variety of other interesting targets. We have shown in a recent paper (Devor et al. 2008) how this can be done with comparable ease by systematically searching the ever-growing body of LC survey data sets. We hope that this new approach for locating rare EBs will motivate additional studies of these vast, largely untapped data sets, which likely harbor a wealth of information on the formation, structure, dynamics, and evolution of stars.
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