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VPS33B suppresses lung adenocarcinoma metastasis and chemoresistance to cisplatin
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Abstract The presence of VPS33B in tumors has rarely been reported. Downregulated VPS33B protein expression is an unfavorable factor that promotes the pathogenesis of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). Overexpressed VPS33B was shown to reduce the migration, invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance of LUAD cells to cisplatin (DDP) in vivo and in vitro. Mechanistic analyses have indicated that VPS33B first suppresses epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) Ras/ERK signaling, which further reduces the expression of the oncogenic factor c-Myc. Downregulated c-Myc expression reduces the rate at which it binds the p53 promoter and weakens its transcription inhibition; therefore, decreased c-Myc stimulates p53 expression, leading to decreased epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) signal. NESG1 has been shown to be an unfavorable indicator of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Here, NESG1 was identified as an interactive protein of VPS33B. In addition, NESG1 was found to exhibit mutual stimulation with VPS33B via reduced RAS/ERK/c-Jun-mediated transcription repression. Knockdown of NESG1 activated EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc signaling and further downregulated p53 expression,

* Corresponding author. Cancer Institute, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510515, PR China.
** Corresponding author. Respiratory Department, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, 518034, PR China.
E-mail addresses: fangweiyi1975@163.com (W. Fang), ping-xu@hotmail.com (P. Xu).
Peer review under responsibility of Chongqing Medical University.
1 These authors contribute to the equal work.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2019.12.009
Copyright © 2020, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
which thus activated EMT signaling and promoted LUAD migration and invasion. Finally, we observed that nicotine suppressed VPS33B expression by inducing PI3K/AKT/c-Jun-mediated transcription suppression. Our study demonstrates that VPS33B as a tumor suppressor is significantly involved in the pathogenesis of LUAD.

**Materials and methods**

**Immunohistochemistry**

Two paraffin-embedded tissue arrays with different lung adenocarcinoma samples were purchased from Shanghai Outdo Biotech. Co., Ltd. For the use of these clinical materials for research purposes, prior consent was obtained from the patients, along with approval from the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Outdo Biotech. Co., Ltd. The tissue arrays were deparaffinized, and antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer for 3 min at 100 °C. Endogenous peroxidase activity and nonspecific antigens were blocked with a peroxidase blocking reagent, followed by incubation with a biotin-labeled secondary antibody and were subsequently incubated with streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase. The peroxidase reaction was developed using a 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen solution in a DAB buffer substrate (Maixin, Fuzhou, China). The sections were visualized with DAB and counterstained with hematoxylin, mounted in a neutral gum, and analyzed by bright-field microscopy.

To evaluate the VPS33B staining, a semiquantitative scoring criterion was used in which both the staining intensity and the percentage of positive cells were recorded. A staining index (ranging from 0 to 7) was obtained from the intensity of VPS33B staining (0 = negative, 1 = weakly positive, 2 = moderately positive, 3 = strongly positive) multiplied by the proportion of immunopositive tumor cells (<10% = 1, 10% to <50% = 2, 50% to <75% = 3, ≥75% = 4). A score of 6 or greater was classified as indicating VPS33B overexpression. For scoring, two independent pathologists were blinded to the clinicopathological information.

**Cell culture**

The lung cancer cell lines A549 and H1975 were purchased from The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% newborn calf serum.
(ExCell, Shanghai, China). A549-DDP cell lines were constructed in-house via progressively increasing the concentration of DDP. The cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**Lentiviral production and infection**

Lentiviral particles carrying the VPS33B cDNA and GFP vector were constructed by GeneChem (Shanghai, China). A549 and H1975 cells were infected with lentiviral vectors, and the levels of VPS33B were measured using reverse transcriptase qPCR and Western blot analysis.

**RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and qRT-PCR**

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and qRT-PCR were performed in LUAD cell lines according to the instructions provided by TAKARA, Co., Ltd. The specific qPCR primers for VPS33B, c-Jun, p53, c-Myc and NESG1 are listed in Table S1. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

**In vitro cell migration and invasion assays**

For cell migration assays, 1 × 10⁵ cells in a 100 μL of serum-free medium seeded onto a fibronectin-coated polycarbonate membrane inserted in a Transwell apparatus (Corning, USA). On the lower surface, 500 μL of RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum was added as a chemoattractant. After incubating the cells for 10 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, Giemsa-stained cells adhering to the lower surface were counted under a microscope in five predetermined fields (100×). All assays were repeated independently at least three times. The procedure for the cell invasion assays was similar to that of the cell migration assay, except that the Transwell membranes were precoated with 24 μg/mL Matrigel (R&D Systems, USA).

**In vivo metastasis in nude mice**

For in vivo metastasis assays, 5 × 10⁵ cells were injected intraperitoneally into nude mice (n = 40) aged 4 weeks. Tumors were allowed to grow for 3 d, and animals were randomized into four groups, treated with either normal saline (NS) or DDP injected intraperitoneally every 3 d (NC + NS, NC + DDP, VPS33B + NS, and VPS33B + DDP, n = 10/group). The survival time of the nude mice was observed, and survival curves were analyzed by Kaplan–Meier analysis.

**Transfection with small-interfering RNAs and plasmids**

siRNAs for VPS33B and NESG1 were designed and synthesized at Ribobio Inc. (Guangzhou, China). The sequences of each siRNA, mimic and inhibitor are listed in Table S2. The VPS33B and NESG1 cDNA was constructed (in-house) in pCMV vectors and contained an HA or Myc tag, respectively. The c-Jun, c-Myc and p53 pcDNA3.1 plasmids were purchased from GenScript (Nanjing, China). A549 and H1975 cells were plated in 6-well and 96-well plates (Nest, Biotech, China) at 30%–50% confluence 24 h prior to transfection. Subsequently, siRNAs, miRNAs, or the plasmids with different genes were respectively transfected or co-transfected at a working concentration of 100 nM using the TurboFect™ siRNA Transfection Reagent (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) and Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturers. Cells were collected after 48–72 h for further experiments.

**Western blot analysis, reagents, and antibodies**

Western blot analyses were performed as described in a previous study, with primary antibodies against VPS33B, EGFR, NESG1, PI3K, p-PI3K, AKT, p-AKT, ERK, p-ERK, K-Ras, c-Myc, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Vimentin, Snail, and p53. β-actin and GAPDH were used as loading controls. Dilutions and the sources of antibodies are listed in Table S3. Images were captured using Minichemi Chemiluminescence Imaging System, Beijing Sage Creation Science Co., Ltd., China.

**Luciferase reporter assay**

To evaluate the effects of c-Jun on NESG1 and VPS33B promoters, as well as c-Myc on the p53 promoter activity, fragments containing the c-Jun binding sites in NESG1 and VPS33B promoters, as well as the c-Myc binding sites in the p53 promoter, were cloned into the pGL3-Basic luciferase reporter vector. In addition, the c-Jun binding site mutations
vectors and c-Myc binding site mutation vectors were constructed. These vectors, the c-Jun plasmid, and the c-Myc plasmid were co-transfected into A549 and H1975 cells. The luciferase activity of these promoters was examined 48 h after transfection.

Co-Immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

Co-IP was conducted using the Pierce Co-Immunoprecipitation Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Total proteins were extracted and quantified. A total of 3000 μg of protein in 200 μL of supernatant was incubated with 10 μg of anti-HA and anti-MYC for 12 h at 4°C. The resin was washed and the sample was eluted in sample buffer, followed by boiling for 10 min at 100°C. Immune complexes were subjected to Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining and Western blot analysis.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy

A549 cells were co-transfected with the VPS33B plasmid carrying an HA-tag and the NESG1 plasmid carrying a myc-tag in a six-well plate. The cells were cultured overnight and then fixed with 3.5% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 at room temperature. The cells were incubated with anti-HA and anti-myc antibodies for 30–45 min at 37°C. After incubation for 30–45 min 37°C with secondary antibody, the coverslips were mounted onto slides using a mounting solution containing 0.2 mg/mL DAPI. Images were captured by Zeiss LSM 800 laser confocal microscope.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

A ChiP assay was performed as previously described using the ChiP Assay Kit (Millipore, Catalog: 17–371) to determine whether c-Jun combined with the NESG1 and VPS33B promoters and whether c-Myc combined with the p53 promoter in A549 and H1975 cells. Crosslinked DNA was sheared to 200–1000 base pairs in length with sonication and then subjected to immunoselection with an anti-c-Jun antibody. Finally, qPCR was conducted to measure the enrichment of DNA fragments in the putative transcription binding sites in these gene promoters on the basis of the specific primers. The specific primers are listed in Table S1.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The binding activity on the promoter region of p53, NESG1, and VPS33B were detected using the EMSA Kit (Roche, Switzerland) according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The probes used are listed in Table S4. Preformed c-Jun-recognized probes (Biosense Bioscience Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China) were used as a positive control, and samples without nucleoprotein were used as negative controls. For competition experiments, a 100-fold specific oligonucleotide competitor (unlabeled wild-type or mutant gene probes) was added to the binding mixture 10 min before the labeled probe was added. Visualized bands were analyzed using a BioSens Gel Imaging System (BIOTOP, China). The EMSA analysis was performed at Biosense Bioscience Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China).

Yeast two-hybrid screening

NESG1 bait screening was conducted using the Mate&Plate™ Library-Universal Human and Screening Kit (Clontech) according to the guidelines provided by the manufacturer. The NESG1 bait constructed in the DNA-binding domain (DBD)-containing pGBK7 T vector was transformed into the yeast haploid strain Y2H. Y2H was mated with yeast Y187 cells (which contain a human cDNA library) and formed diploid yeast colonies. The DNA was isolated from individual colonies after selection on media lacking leucine, tryptophan, histidine, and adenine (SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade). The isolated yeast DNA was transformed into electrocompetent DH5α cells. NESG1 interacting partners were determined by sequence analysis using the pGADT7-Rec3 internal sequencing primer (upstream 5'-AGGCTGAGCTGCGAAAAAG-3') and BLAST searches against the human genome (NCBI: http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Nicotine-treated LUAD cells

A549 and H1975 cells were seeded (2 × 10^5) in RMPI 1640 medium. Nicotine (Sigma–Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to treat these cells at concentrations of 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μM at 37°C for 72 h. Additionally, cells were treated with 10 μM nicotine for different periods of time (48, 60, 72, 132, and 144 h).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 20.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were expressed as the mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05 by Student’s t-test for two groups, one-way ANOVA for multiple groups, and parametric generalized linear model with random effects. Chi-squared testing was used to examine the correlation of VPS33B expression with clinical features. A survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method. All statistical tests were two-sided, and asterisks indicate statistical significance.

Results

Reduced VPS33B protein expression acts as an unfavorable prognostic factor

Immunohistochemical staining was conducted to determine VPS33B protein expression in 155 LUAD cases with prognosis information (and in 42 lung tissues). The results showed the expression of cytoplasmic VPS33B in LUAD and their matched lung tissues (Fig. 1A). VPS33B protein expression in LUAD tissues was significantly downregulated relative to
the control lung tissues ($P = 0.001$) (Table 1). Reduced VPS33B protein expression also exhibited a significantly positive correlation with the overall survival time of the patient ($P = 0.016$). These data demonstrated that reduced VPS33B protein is an unfavorable factor promoting LUAD pathogenesis.

**VPS33B suppresses cell metastasis and chemoresistance to DDP**

To explore the biological role of VPS33B in LUAD, endogenous VPS33B expression was detected in H460 and H446 cells, whereas the other three lines (A549, SPCA1, and H1975) showed undetectable or very low levels of endogenous VPS33B; these cells were selected for subsequent experiments (Fig. S1A). Lentivirus-carrying VPS33B cDNA was injected into H1975 and SPCA1-1 cells with low VPS33B mRNA and protein expression. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction and Western blot analysis showed markedly higher VPS33B mRNA and protein expression levels in A549 and H1975 cells than in their respective empty vector control cells (Fig. S1B and C). Transwell (Fig. 2A) (Fig. S1D) and Boyden assays (Fig. 2B) (Fig. S1E) were conducted to evaluate the effects of VPS33B on the migration and invasion ability of LUAD cells in vitro. The data indicated that overexpressed VPS33B markedly reduced migration and invasion in A549 and H1975 cells relative to their respective controls. The in vivo metastasis assay indicated that intrahepatic dissemination and lung metastasis were significantly lower in nude mice that had been injected under the liver capsule with Lv-VPS33B-GFP A549 and H1975 cells compared to their respective control groups (Fig. 2C, Fig. S1F).

In a subsequent study, we observed that VPS33B A549 and H1975 cells exhibiting stable overexpression had significantly increased sensitivity to DDP in vitro (Fig. S1G). The inhibition rates 48 h after treatment with DDP at different concentrations were evaluated for cells transfected with VPS33B and its empty control. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) of DDP decreased from 5.13 µM to 2.42 µM in A549 cells after VPS33B transfection. A similar IC50 reduction, from 20.25 µM to 8.83 µM, was observed in H1975 cells (Fig. S1L). These decreases were verified in vivo by applying VPS33B-overexpressing A549 xenografts in nude mice. The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis confirmed that DDP treatment (NC + DDP) or VPS33B overexpression (VPS33B + normal saline (NS)) alone extended the lifespan of the mice relative to that of the untreated normal controls (NC + NS). However, overexpressed VPS33B coupled with DDP treatment (VPS33B + DDP) markedly prolonged the survival time beyond those of the other three groups (Fig. 2D).

Knockdown of VPS33B protein expression via a specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) in VPS33B-overexpressed A549 and H1975 cells showed that silencing VPS33B reverses its suppressive effect on EGFR protein expression (Fig. S2A), cell migration and invasion, as determined by Transwell (Fig. S2B) and Boyden assays (Fig. S2C) in LUAD cells.

To clarify the molecular mechanism by which VPS33B functions as a tumor suppressor related to LUAD, the key

---

Table 1 The expression of VPS33B in lung adenocarcinoma compared to non-cancerous lung tissues.

| Group        | Cases (n) | VPS33B expression | P value |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|
|              |           | High expression    | Low expression |       |
| Cancer       | 155       | 77                 | 78       | $P = 0.001$ |
| Bronchial    | 42        | 33                 | 9        |         |
| Epithelium   |           |                    |          |         |

---

Figure 1 Reduced VPS33B protein expression as an unfavorable prognosis factor in LUAD. (A) IHC demonstrated VPS33B protein expression in LUAD cells and bronchial epithelial cells: 1: High VPS33B protein expression in bronchial epithelial cells; 2 and 3: Low VPS33B protein expression in LUAD cells; 4 and 5: High VPS33B protein expression in LUAD cells. (B) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed prolonged overall survival for LUAD patients with high VPS33B protein expression compared to patients with low VPS33B protein expression. The log-rank test was used to calculate the $P$ values.
regulators of the cell cycle and epithelial-to-mesenchymal (EMT) signals were analyzed by Western blot. VPS33B overexpression was found to downregulate c-Myc and c-Jun expression; in addition, it enhanced p53 expression in A549 and H1975 cells. In the EMT pathway, overexpressed VPS33B suppressed Snail, N-cadherin, and Vimentin and upregulated E-cadherin. We also observed that the EGFR, p-ERK, and K-Ras levels decreased in VPS33B-overexpressing A549 and H1975 cells, but total ERK remained unchanged in these cells (Fig. 2E). Taken together, these data demonstrated that VPS33B acts as a metastasis-suppressor by modulating EGFR/Ras/ERK, p53, c-Myc, c-Jun, and EMT pathways.

C-Myc directly suppresses p53

To explore whether c-Myc directly suppresses p53, c-Myc was first knocked down by siRNA (Fig. 3A). The data showed that the p53 mRNA expression level was markedly increased in A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 3B). Notably, c-Myc was a potential binding transcription factor to the p53 promoter, as predicted using the PROMO program and UCSC online database (Fig. 3C). The ChIP and EMSA assays indicated that c-Myc was bound to the p53 promoter (Fig. 3D–F). We then found that c-Myc transduction markedly decreased the luciferase activity of the p53 promoter relative to that of its control group (Fig. 3G). These results confirmed that c-Myc directly suppresses p53 expression by binding to its promoter.

EGFR antagonizes VPS33B to modulate Ras/ERK/c-Myc/p53

To investigate the role of EGFR in VPS33B-mediated suppression, EGFR was transfected into VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells. Increased EGFR levels restored the expression of the Ras/ERK/c-Myc signal and reduced p53 protein expression (Fig. S3A). Elevated EGFR increased the combination of c-Myc with the p53 promoter (Fig. S3B) and reduced p53 mRNA expression (Fig. S3C). Finally, overexpressed EGFR antagonized the VPS33B-mediated repression of migration and invasion in LUAD cells (Fig. S3D and E). These data indicated that EGFR antagonizes VPS33B to modulate Ras/ERK/c-Myc/p53 in LUAD.

VPS33B interacts with NESG1 to suppress EGFR/RAS/ERK/c-Jun

To explore whether NESG1 interacts with VPS33B, the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system was used to identify the interactive protein of NESG1. The coding sequence (CDS) of the NESG1 gene (Fig. S4A) was cloned into a pG8KT7 Y2H bait
expression vector (Fig. S4B). PCR was used to identify the successful recombinants of pGBKT7-NESG1 (Fig. S4C). The recombinant bait plasmid exerted no self-activating effect and showed no toxicity to the Y2H cells (Fig. S4D). The human cDNA library in yeast Y187 cells was mated with pGBKT7-NESG1 Y2H cells (Fig. S4E) and further screened in the SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp(QDO)/X-a-Gal/AbA plate (Fig. S4F and G). Finally, 30 positive clones were obtained (Fig. S3H) and were analyzed by sequencing. Four potential NESG1-interacting proteins, including RNF2, VPS33B, ENKUR, and CCDC65, were identified. In subsequent co-immunoprecipitation experiments, we confirmed the interactive combination of NESG1 with VPS33B (Fig. 4A and B) but not with RNF2, ENKUR, or CCDC65 (data not shown). Confocal laser scanning microscopy verified the cytoplasm and vesicles colocalization between NESG1 and VPS33B in LUAD cells (Fig. 4C). Overexpressed VPS33B upregulated the expression of NESG1 mRNA (Fig. S4I). Similarly, overexpressed NESG1 upregulated the expression of VPS33B mRNA (Fig. S4J). Bioinformatic assays indicated the presence of binding sites for c-Jun in the promoter regions of NESG1 and VPS33B (Fig. S4K). Increased c-Jun expression significantly reduced VPS33B and NESG1 mRNA expression (Fig. S4L). Moreover, ChIP, EMSA, and luciferase activity assays revealed that c-Jun combined with the NESG1 (Fig. 4D–G) and VPS33B (Fig. 4H–K) promoters and reduced the activity of these promoters. Transfecting EGFR cDNA into VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells markedly restored K-Ras/ERK/c-Jun signaling and thus downregulated NESG1 protein expression (Fig. S4M). These results demonstrated that VPS33B interacts with NESG1 to reduce EGFR/RAS/ERK/c-Jun signal.

NESG1 knockdown reverses VPS33B-mediated migration and invasion inhibition of LUAD cells

To investigate whether NESG1 mediates VPS33B-induced suppression, siRNAs were used to reduce NESG1 expression (Fig. 5A) in VPS33B-overexpressed LUAD cells. NESG1...
knockdown markedly restored the migration and invasion ability of VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells, as shown by Transwell (Fig. 5B) and Boyden (Fig. 5C) assays. We further observed that the VPS33B-modulated signals were reversed after silencing NESG1 in VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells. These reversed signals included the upregulation of EGFR-induced Ras/ERK signal, c-Myc and EMT-related protein factors (such as N-cadherin, vimentin, Snail, and c-Jun), and the downregulation of p53 and E-cadherin (Fig. 5D). In addition, reduced p53 mRNA levels were also observed (Fig. 5E). Finally, the ChIP assays indicated that the ability of c-Myc to bind the p53 promoter was enhanced in VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells upon NESG1 knockdown (Fig. 5F). There data showed that knocking down NESG1 reversed VPS33B-induced migration and invasion inhibition for LUAD cells.

**Nicotine downregulates VPS33B via PI3K/AKT/c-Jun signaling**

To determine whether nicotine modulates VPS33B and its mediated signaling, LUAD cells were incubated in nicotine-containing media. We observed that the levels of VPS33B mRNA were downregulated in LUAD cells treated with nicotine for 72 h at different concentrations (0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μmol/L) and over different lengths of time (48, 60, 72, 100, 132, and 144 h when treated with 10 μmol/L). The
results showed that VPS33B mRNA was markedly reduced in LUAD cells at nicotine concentrations up to 10 μmol/L and when the treatment time was increased to 72 h in LUAD cells with a nicotine treatment concentration of 10 μmol/L (Fig. 6A). Moreover, PI3K/AKT/c-Jun signaling was reduced, whereas VPS33B protein expression (Fig. 6B) and mRNA expression (Fig. 6C) were elevated in the nicotine-treated LUAD cells after transfection with NESG1 siRNA. Student’s t-test, Mean ± s.d., *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (D) Expression changes for NESG1, EGFR, Ras/ERK/p-ERK, c-Jun, c-Myc, p53, Snail, E-cadherin and Vimentin were detected by Western blot in VPS33B-overexpressing A549 and H1975 cells after transfection with NESG1 siRNA. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (E) qPCR found that knockdown of NESG1 by siNESG1 reduced p53 expression in VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells. Student’s t-test, Mean ± SD, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (F) NESG1 siRNA suppression increased the binding of c-Myc with the p53 promoter in VPS33B-overexpressing A549 and H1975 cells, as found by ChiP and qPCR. Student’s t-test, Mean ± s.d., *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Discussion

In a previous study, Wang et al used a VPS33B-knockout mouse model to report that VPS33B acted in a tumor suppressor role during the carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Subsequently, we found that VPS33B suppresses NPC growth, which further supports VPS33B as being a potential tumor suppressor. In the current study, we explored the role of VPS33B in LUAD. Immunohistochemical staining indicated that VPS33B protein in LUAD tissues was signifi-
cantly downregulated relative to that in lung bronchial epithelium tissues. Reduced VPS33B protein expression also indicated a poor prognosis for LUAD patients. These data suggest that VPS33B protein has a potential suppressive role in LUAD.

Previous studies have indicated VPS33B as a tumor suppressor involved with hepatocarcinogenesis and NPC growth. However, whether VPS33B suppresses the tumor metastases of LUAD cells is still undetermined. In the current study, we observed that VPS33B acts as an antitumor-metastasis factor to reduce cell migration, invasion and metastasis in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the overexpression of VPS33B also markedly reduced NPC cell resistance to DDP chemoresistance in vitro and in vivo. These findings suggest that VPS33B protein is a potential suppressor of tumor metastasis in LUAD.

EGFR influences the initiation and promotion of tumor pathogenesis.4,15–31 Most non-small-cell lung cancers express epidermal growth factor receptor and its natural ligand. The distribution and expression of EGFR in lung cancer has been well established. In 2002,38 Piyathilake et al detected the expression of EGFR in tumor tissues and normal lung tissues of 60 lung cancer patients by immunohistochemistry. The expression of EGFR in lung cancer tissues was significantly higher than that in normal lung tissues. The expression in pre-lesions and lung cancer tissues is progressively elevated. The activation of EGFR-induced Ras/ERK and its downstream EMT signals are key elements that promote metastasis and resistance to chemotherapy.39–41 In this study, we examined the changes in EGFR/Ras/ERK signaling and its downstream c-Myc, p53, c-Jun and EMT signaling in VPS33B-overexpressed LUAD cells. Notably, the overexpression of VPS33B reduced EGFR-induced Ras/ERK signaling, which further suppressed its downstream c-Myc and EMT pathways, including the upregulated expression of E-cadherin and the downregulated expression of Snail, N-cadherin, and Vimentin in LUAD cells. Furthermore, p53 was elevated in VPS33B-overexpressed LUAD cells. Finally, the expression of the oncogenic transcription factor c-Jun was also reduced in

Figure 6  Nicotine negatively modulated VPS33B in LUAD. (A) qPCR showed that mRNA levels of VPS33B were downregulated in A549 and H1975 cells treated with different concentrations of nicotine (0.1, 1, 10, or 100 μmol/L) for 72 h and for different times (24, 48, 72, 100, 132 and 144 h) at 10 μmol/L nicotine. (B) Changes in PI3K/AKT/p-PI3K/p-AKT, c-Jun and VPS33B expression were detected by Western blot in nicotine-treated A549 and H1975 cells after transfection with the PI3K inhibitor Ly294002. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (C) VPS33B was upregulated in nicotine-treated A549 and H1975 cells after transfection with the PI3K inhibitor Ly294002. Student’s t-test, mean ± s.d, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (D) c-Jun binding to the VPS33B promoter was examined by qPCR in nicotine-treated A549 and H1975 cells after transfection with Ly294002. Student’s t-test, mean ± s.d, **P < 0.01. (E) Gel electrophoresis confirmed that c-Jun-binding sites in VPS33B were enhanced after treatment with nicotine by using ChIP with an anti-c-Jun antibody. IgG antibody was used as the negative control.
VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells. Taken together, the aforementioned findings suggest that VPS33B is a suppressor of tumor metastasis and downregulates EGFR/Ras/ERK signaling and its downstream c-Myc, c-Jun, and EMT signaling and induces p53 expression.

C-Myc is a key oncogenic factor and had been reported to suppress p53 expression in tumors. However, its detailed molecular basis has not been reported. In this study, bioinformatics analysis predicted that c-Myc could bind to the p53 promoter. Further, we confirmed that c-Myc did indeed bind to the p53 promoter and suppressed its expression at the transcription level. Finally, EGFR transfection led to decreased p53 and upregulated the EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc pathway in VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells. These findings demonstrate that VPS33B suppresses EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc signaling and thus induces p53 expression. p53 is a classical tumor suppressor and has been reported to bind to Snail protein, thereby suppressing EMT signaling. Thus, VPS33B suppresses EMT signaling by reducing the EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc-induced transcriptional suppression of p53.

Protein–protein interactions are vital when exploring cellular signals. In prior studies, we cloned and revised the NESG1 coding sequence. Further, we identified this protein as a tumor suppressor in NPC and NSCLC. In the current study, we used the yeast two-hybrid approach to screen interaction proteins of NESG1. Interestingly, VPS33B was found to be a potential interacting protein of NESG1. We then validated the interaction of VPS33B and NESG1 and co-located the complex in the cytoplasm and vesicles using Co-IP and laser confocal fluorescence microscopy in LUAD cells. In addition, we found that VPS33B and NESG1 exhibit mutually stimulated expression by reducing Ras/ERK signaling to downregulate c-Jun expression. C-Jun, as an oncogenic transcription factor, was identified to bind directly to the VPS33B and NESG1 promoters and thus suppressed the expression of both genes. Knockdown of NESG1 in VPS33B-overexpressing LUAD cells markedly reversed the VPS33B-modulated signaling, including upregulating the expression levels of EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc, EMT signals and the oncogenic transcription factor c-Jun, and decreasing p53 expression. These findings demonstrated that NESG1 interacts with VPS33B and participates in VPS33B-mediated LUAD metastasis suppression.

Conclusion

Together, these findings indicate that downregulated VPS33B protein is an unfavorable factor in LUAD and that VPS33B is suppressed by nicotine. VPS33B interacts with NESG1 and modulates EGFR/Ras/ERK/c-Myc/p53 and its downstream EMT signals, which thus reduces cell migration, invasion, metastasis and chemoresistance to DDP in LUAD (Fig. 7). Our study is the first to provide insights into the significance of VPS33B as an antitumor-metastasis factor in LUAD.
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