The genomic basis of parasitism in the *Strongyloides* clade of nematodes
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Soil-transmitted nematodes, including the *Strongyloides* genus, cause one of the most prevalent neglected tropical diseases. Here we compare the genomes of four *Strongyloides* species, including the human pathogen *Strongyloides stercoralis*, and their close relatives that are facultatively parasitic (*Parastrongyloides trichosuri*) and free-living (*Rhabditophanes* sp. KR3021). A significant paralogous expansion of key gene families—families encoding astacin-like and SCP/TAPS proteins—is associated with the evolution of parasitism in this clade. Exploiting the unique *Strongyloides* life cycle, we compare the transcriptomes of the parasitic and free-living stages and find that these same gene families are upregulated in the parasitic stages, underscoring their role in nematode parasitism.

More than 1 billion people are infected with intestinal nematodes1–2. The World Health Organization has classified infections with soil-transmitted nematodes as one of the 17 most neglected tropical diseases and estimates that worldwide these infections cause an annual disease burden of 5 million years lost due to disability (YLD), greater than the annual disease burdens of malaria (4 million YLD) and HIV/AIDS (4.5 million YLD). Parasitic nematode infections can impair physical and educational development1.

*Strongyloides* species are soil-transmitted gastrointestinal parasitic nematodes infecting a wide range of vertebrates3. Two species—*S. stercoralis* and *Strongyloides fuelleborni*—infect some 100–200 million people worldwide4,5. Other *Strongyloides* species infect livestock, such as *Strongyloides papillosus* that infects sheep.

*Strongyloides* species are from a clade of nematodes6–8 that includes taxa with diverse lifestyles, including a free-living lifestyle (*Rhabditophanes*), parasitism of invertebrates, facultative parasitism of vertebrates (*Parastrongyloides*) and obligate parasite of vertebrates (*Strongyloides*)6,7. Nematodes have independently evolved parasitism of animals several times9, and thus understanding the genomic adaptations to parasitism in one clade will help in understanding how parasitism has evolved across the phylum more widely.

The *Strongyloides* life cycle alternates between free-living and parasitic generations. The female-only, parthenogenetic10 parasitic stage lives in the small intestine of its host where it produces offspring that develop outside of the host, either directly into infective third-stage larvae (iL3s) or into a dioecious, sexually reproducing adult generation11 whose progeny are iL3s. iL3s penetrate the skin of a host and migrate to its gut12, where they develop into parasitic adults (Fig. 1). Therefore, this life cycle has two genetically identical adult female stages—one obligate and parasitic and one facultative and free-living; we have compared these stages at the transcriptome and proteome levels to identify the genes and gene products specifically present in the parasitic stage. The closely related genus *Parastrongyloides*3,13 is similar to *Strongyloides* species, except that its parasitic generation is dioecious and sexually reproducing and that it can have apparently unlimited cycles of its free-living adult generation3,14 (Fig. 1).
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Here we report the genome sequences for six nematodes from one clade: four species of Strongyloides, *S. stercoralis* (a parasite of humans and dogs), *Strongyloides ratti* and *Strongyloides venezuelensis* (both parasites of rats and important laboratory models of nematode infection), and *S. papillosus* (a parasite of sheep); *P. trichosuri* (which infects the brushtail possum *Trichosurus vulpecula*); and the free-living nematode *Rhabditophanes*.

To investigate the genomic and molecular basis of parasitism in these nematodes, we compared (i) the genomes and gene families of the parasitic (*Strongyloides* and *Parasstrongyloides*, the *Strongyloidae*) and free-living (*Rhabditophanes*) taxa (Fig. 1); (ii) the transcriptomes of parasitic adult females, free-living adult females and iL3s from *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*; and (iii) the proteomes of parasitic and free-living females of *S. ratti*. We have identified the genes present in the parasitic species and the genes and gene products uniquely upregulated in the parasitic stages of *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti*; together, these are the major genomic and molecular adaptations to the parasitic lifestyle of these nematodes.

**RESULTS**

**Chromosome biology**

We have produced a high-quality 43-Mb reference genome assembly for *S. ratti* (Supplementary Note), with its two autosomes*15* assembled into single scaffolds and the X chromosome*15* assembled into ten scaffolds (Fig. 2 and Table 1). This assembly is the second most contiguous assembled nematode genome after the *Caenorhabditis elegans* reference genome*16*. We also produced high-quality draft assemblies of the 42- to 60-Mb genomes of *S. stercoralis*, *S. venezuelensis*, *S. papillosus*, *P. trichosuri* and *Rhabditophanes* sp. KR3021, which were 95.6–99.6% complete (Supplementary Table 1). With GC contents of 21% and 22%, respectively, the *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis* genomes are the most AT rich reported thus far for nematodes (Supplementary Table 1). The ~43-Mb *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis* genomes are small compared with the genomes of other nematodes. However, the total protein-coding content of each nematode genome is similar (18–22 Mb versus 14–30 Mb in eight outgroup species; Supplementary Table 1). Significant loss of introns, as well as shorter intergenic regions, accounts for the smaller genomes in the present study (Spearman’s correlation between genome size and intron number \( \rho = 0.91, P < 0.001 \) and size of intergenic regions \( \rho = 0.63, P = 0.02 \); Supplementary Table 2). However, parsimony analysis of intronic positions conserved in two or more species showed that substantial intron losses occurred before the evolution of the *Rhabditophanes*-Parasstrongyloides-Strongyloides clade (Supplementary Fig. 1) and are therefore not an adaptation associated with parasitism.

The canonical view of a nematode chromosome, defined nearly 20 years ago using *C. elegans* autosomes (and later confirmed in *Caenorhabditis briggsae*)*17* is of a gene-dense, repeat-poor ‘center’ of conserved genes (defined by homology with yeast genes18), flanked by two gene-poor, repeat-rich ‘arms’ in which most genes are less strongly conserved. *S. ratti* is the first non-*Caenorhabditis* nematode whose whole chromosomes have been assembled, and it presents a strikingly different organization, with relatively little variability in gene density, repeat density or gene conservation to yeast genes along its autosomes (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3).

Synteny is highly conserved within the parasitic Strongyloidae but is much less conserved between this family and *Rhabditophanes* (Fig. 2). Scaffolds of the parasitic species largely correspond to blocks from a particular *S. ratti* chromosome but in a scrambled order. This suggests that intrachromosomal rearrangement is frequent but interchromosomal rearrangement is rare, a common phenomenon in nematode chromosome evolution*17–19*. The notable exceptions are the *S. papillosus* and *S. venezuelensis* scaffolds that have many blocks that are syntenic to both *S. ratti* chromosomes I and X (Supplementary Table 3). This pattern of synteny likely reflects the fusion event between chromosomes I and X in these species*20–22*. Associated with this fusion is a change in the chromosome biology of sex determination in these species. *S. papillosus* undergoes chromatin diminution (where a chromosome fragments, after which part of the chromosome is eliminated during mitosis) to mimic the XX/XY sex-determining system of *S. ratti*23 and *S. stercoralis*20.

By analyzing the differential coverage of mapped sequence data from iL3s (which are all female) and adult males, we were able to identify regions of the *S. papillosus* X-I fusion chromosome that are eliminated from males during diminution (Supplementary Table 4). Six scaffolds were identified from the diminished region using existing genetic markers (Supplementary Table 5), but our read depth approach extended this map to 153 scaffolds (18% of the assembly; 10.9 Mb). Interestingly, some genes with orthologs on the X chromosome of *S. ratti* are not diminished in *S. papillosus*, so the dosage of these genes in males has changed since the species diverged, including three genes on *S. papillosus* chromosome II (confirming earlier work*20*) and 33 genes that lie in non-diminished regions of the X-I fusion chromosome (Supplementary Table 6).

**Extensive rearrangement of the mitochondrial gene order**

The *S. stercoralis* mitochondrial genome is highly rearranged compared with the genomes of nematodes from clades I, III and V (ref. 24). Manual finishing of the mitochondrial genomes of the six species showed that the *Rhabditophanes* mitochondrial genome consists of two circular chromosomes, a feature of some other nematode species25. Compared with eight outgroup species, *Rhabditophanes* sp. KR3021 has a conventional gene order but *Strongyloides* species and *P. trichosuri* have highly rearranged mitochondrial genomes (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 7). Similar observations have been reported in other clade IV parasitic nematodes*25–28*, and there is evidence of mitochondrial recombination*27,29*, which is rarely observed in animals30. Consistent with published nematode mitochondrial genomes, the gene-based phylogeny of the mitochondrial genome (Fig. 2) conflicts with phylogenies based on nuclear gene*27,31,32* and the rearranged gene order of the mitochondrial genomes of *Strongyloides* species is accompanied by nucleotide divergence (Fig. 2).

**Gene families associated with the evolution of parasitism**

We predicted 12,451–18,457 genes across the six genomes, numbers comparable to those in other nematode species (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 4). We then used Ensembl Compara (Supplementary Note) to identify orthologs and gene families (Supplementary Table 8) in these and eight outgroup species, encompassing four further nematode clades (Supplementary Fig. 4). By pinpointing when a new gene family arose and where a family has expanded or contracted, we could determine which gene families are associated with the evolution of parasitism. The largest acquisition of gene families (1,075 families) was found on the branch leading to the parasitic nematodes, including the *Strongyloides* species and *P. trichosuri* (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 4). Despite this highly dynamic pattern of gene gain and loss within each species’ genome, the proportion of genes specific to *Strongyloides* (and *Strongyloidae*) is consistent across the phylogeny (Fig. 1). The branches leading to the five parasitic species also showed greater expansion of genes and families of genes as compared to that in the free-living *Rhabditophanes* sp.
KR3021. Gain and expansion of gene families in these parasitic species likely reflects the necessary adaptations required by these species to be able to parasitize vertebrate hosts while maintaining a free-living life cycle phase.

The two most expanded *Strongyloides* gene families encode astacin-like and SCP/TAPS proteins, present in multiple subfamilies (according to Ensembl Compara analysis (Supplementary Table 8) and protein domain combinations (Supplementary Table 9)). The astacin family of metallopeptidases was the most expanded, with 184–387 copies in the *Strongyloides*-Parastrongyloides species as compared with *Rhabditophanes*.

**Figure 1** Evolution and comparative genomics of *Strongyloides* species and their relatives. The life cycles of six clade IV nematodes, showing the transition from a free-living lifestyle (in *Rhabditophanes* sp. KR3021) through facultative parasitism (*P. trichosuri*) to obligate parasitism (*Strongyloides* species) and the phylogeny of these species (maximum-likelihood phylogeny based on a concatenated alignment of 841,529 amino acid sites from 4,437 conserved single-copy orthologous genes). Values on nodes (all 100) are the number of bootstrap replicate trees, out of 100 bootstrap replicates, showing the split induced by the node. The phylogeny is annotated with the number of gene families appearing along each branch of the phylogeny (“+” values on each branch), and the histograms show the number of duplications (blue) and losses (red) for individual genes (dark color) and gene families (light color); the numbers of gene origins and gene losses in 18 astacin families (upper numbers in boxes) and ten SCP/TAPS families (lower numbers in boxes) as estimated by the Ensembl Compara pipeline are also shown. The pie charts summarize the evolutionary history of the genome of each species, defining genes shared by all six species, the five parasitic species (*Strongyloidae*, which includes all species except *Rhabditophanes*) and the four *Strongyloides* species or species-specific genes. The host species of the parasites are shown: *P. trichosuri*, brushtail possum; *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis*, rat; *S. stercoralis*, humans; *S. papillosus*, sheep.
and with eight outgroup species, showing that this expansion accompanies the evolution of parasitism (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 10). Among the outgroup species, the hookworm Necator americanus has 82 astacin-encoding genes and the free-living Caenorhabditis elegans has 40 (ref. 34).

SCP/TAPS proteins are often immunomodulatory molecules in parasitic nematodes and have been investigated as potential vaccine candidates against N. americanus. We found 89–205 SCP/TAPS-encoding genes in the Strongyloides genomes, including nine subfamilies not present in P. trichosuri, Rhabditophanes sp. KR3021 or the eight outgroup species (Supplementary Tables 8 and 10). In N. americanus, there are 137 SCP/TAPS-encoding genes, suggesting that this gene family has independently expanded twice, in nematode clades IV and V.

Additional gene expansions included receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatases, which have a putative role in signaling and are expanded in Strongyloides and Parasstrongyloides (52–75 genes) compared with Rhabditophanes (13 genes) and the eight outgroup species (up to 39 genes). Acetylcholinesterase-encoding genes were expanded in Strongyloides and Parasstrongyloides (30–126 genes) compared with Rhabditophanes (1 gene) and our outgroup species (1–5 genes). Many parasitic nematodes secrete acetylcholinesterases, which are thought to facilitate their maintenance in hosts, and the expansion of this gene family in these parasitic species is consistent with this

### Table 1 Properties of the genome assemblies

| Clade          | S. ratti | S. stercoralis | S. papillosus | S. venezuelensis | P. trichosuri | Rhabditophanes sp. KR3021 | C. elegans |
|----------------|---------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Number of chromosomes | IV (ref. 72) | IV (ref. 73) | IV (ref. 74) | IV (ref. 21) | IV (ref. 22) | IV (ref. 22) | IV (ref. 22) |
| Assembly version | V5.0.4 | V2.0.4 | V2.1.4 | V2.0.4 | V2.0.4 | V2.0.4 | V2.0.4 |
| Assembly size (Mb) | 43.1 | 42.6 | 60.2 | 52.1 | 42.2 | 42.2 | 42.2 |
| Number of scaffolds | 115 | 675 | 4,353 | 520 | 1,391 | 380 | 380 |
| N50 of scaffolds (kb) | 11,700 | 431 | 86 | 715 | 837 | 537 | 537 |
| N50 (number) | 2 | 16 | 129 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Maximum scaffold length (Mb) | 16.8 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| GC content (%) | 21 | 22 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 32 | 32 |
| Number of genes | 12,451 | 13,098 | 18,457 | 16,904 | 15,010 | 13,496 | 23,629 |
| Number of exons | 33,796 | 34,366 | 40,821 | 40,619 | 35,049 | 37,987 | 145,275 |
| Exons, combined length (Mb) | 17.5 | 17.9 | 22.4 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 17.8 | 30.1 |
| Median exon length (bp) | 263 | 265 | 304 | 261 | 348 | 276 | 276 |
| Number of introns | 21,345 | 21,268 | 22,364 | 23,715 | 20,039 | 24,491 | 169,506 |

Genome statistics are based on scaffolds, excluding scaffolds less than 1,000 bp in length. N50 is the size above which 50% of the assembled bases are distributed; N50 (number) is the number of scaffolds in which 50% of assembled bases exist.

aSee Supplementary Figure 2. bTwelve scaffolds, covering 93% of the genome, are assigned to chromosomes; 103 scaffolds are not assigned to a chromosome.
role. Some families show subclade-specific expansion; for instance, *S. papillosus* and *S. venezuelensis* have a paralogous expansion of genes encoding Speckle-type POZ domains (92–130 genes) compared with *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*. Representative GO terms that were significantly enriched (left side of the box) and Ensembl Compara gene families significantly upregulated (right side of the box) for each of these three stages of the life cycle are summarized. The pie charts show the proportion of the GO terms common to *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis* or unique to each species. Numbers on the right in the boxes represent the number of genes upregulated in each gene family for *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*. MF, molecular function; BP, biological process; CC, cellular component.

**Expanded gene families are upregulated in parasitic stages**

We identified genes and gene families that are likely to have a key role in the parasitic lifestyle of *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis* by comparing the transcriptomes of parasitic and free-living female stages. We generated *S. ratti* transcriptome data and used previously published *S. stercoralis* data. A total of 909 *S. ratti* and 1,188 *S. stercoralis* genes were upregulated in parasitic females as compared with free-living females (edgeR, fold change > 2, false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01; Supplementary Tables 12 and 13), of which 423 *S. ratti* and 457 *S. stercoralis* orthologous genes were upregulated in the parasitic female stage of both species (Supplementary Table 14).

The two most expanded *Strongyloides* gene families—encoding SCP/TAPS (80–140) and astacin-domain (9 or 10) genes—dominated the list of genes differentially expressed by parasitic females. In *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*, respectively, 58 and 62% of putative astacin-like genes and 57 and 71% of SCP/TAPS genes were differentially expressed between parasitic and free-living females (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Tables 10 and 13). However, other paralogously expanded genes were not enriched among the upregulated genes, suggesting that they may not be important for parasitism. Both *Strongyloides* and *Parastrongyloides* infect their hosts by skin penetration; the larvae then migrate through the host, and adult females in the host live in the mucosa of the small intestine, where they feed on the host. Astacins are metallopeptidases that have previously been associated with a role in tissue migration by nematode infective larvae. Around half of the putative astacin-like proteins in *Strongyloides* species contain the canonical zinc-binding motif (HEXXHXXGXXH) of astacin active sites and likely have a role in penetrating the host mucosa in which parasitic females live. Teasing apart the role of different astacin gene family members in the migration and gut-dwelling phases of this life cycle could provide insights to allow new therapeutic interventions to be developed. For *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*, respectively, 63 and 53% of the SCP/TAPS genes upregulated in parasitic females encode a signal peptide, suggesting that the proteins may be secreted from the worm into the host. An immunomodulatory role for SCP/TAPS proteins has also been proposed on the basis of the inhibitory effect that these proteins have on neutrophil and platelet activity in hookworm infections.

Other gene families commonly upregulated in the parasitic females of both species, as compared with free-living females and iL3s, included ones encoding transthyretin-like proteins, prolyl endopeptidases, acetylcholinesterases, trypsin inhibitors and aspartic peptidases (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 15). The transthyretin-like genes had some of the highest fold changes in expression of genes upregulated in parasitic females (Supplementary Table 13). Transthyretin-like genes constitute a large, nematode-specific gene family, are expressed in adult parasitic stages and are distant relatives of the vertebrate transthyretins that are involved in transporting thyroxoid hormones. While some aspartic peptidases are essential for the digestion of host hemoglobin in blood-borne parasites, they may not be important for parasitism. These novel genes are likely to be important in these distinctive phases of development.
the life cycle, including in parasitism. Three small novel gene families (sgpf-7 to sgpf-9) were predominantly upregulated in *S. ratti* parasitic female, with two of the genes predicted to encode predominantly secretory or membrane-targeted proteins (Supplementary Table 11). In contrast, the largest hypothetical protein-coding gene families, sgpf-1 to sgpf-6, accounted for only a small proportion (1% in both *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*) of all differently expressed hypothetical protein-coding genes, suggesting that they do not have roles in parasitism.

Using gene ontology (GO) annotations to summarize the putative functions of the upregulated genes identified distinct differences between the life cycle stages of both species (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 16). The genes upregulated in iL3s appear to be associated with sensing the environment and with signal transduction and were the most consistent between *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*. The products of genes expressed in free-living females have core metabolic and growth-related roles. Furthermore, while several of the putative parasitism gene families were highly upregulated in the somatic proteome (aspartic peptidases, prolyl endopeptidases and acetylcholinesterases; Supplementary Table 17), we found only five astacin-like and no SCP/TAPS proteins (Supplementary Fig. 5). To address this, we extended the analysis to the excretory/secretory (ES) proteome data of Soblik et al.63.

**Figure 4** *Strongyloides*-specific expansion and chromosomal clustering of gene families. (a,b) Astacin-like (a) and SCP/TAPS (b) genes are the two major *S. ratti* gene families upregulated in the transcriptome of the parasitic female. Left, the phylogeny of each of these gene families for *S. ratti*, our eight outgroup species and the crayfish *Astacus astacus*. *S. ratti* genes are in light blue. Right, the distribution of these genes in the genome, plotted as clusters of physically adjacent genes in the genome. Numbers above the peaks are the number of genes in a cluster of physically neighboring genes; ticks below the axis denote scaffold boundaries for chromosome X. The transcriptomic expression of these genes (in RPKM, reads per kilobase per million mapped reads) for parasitic female (P), free-living female (FL) and iL3 is shown in grayscale, and the results of pairwise edgeR analyses of gene expression for these life cycle stages are shown in color where a gene is upregulated. The color representing upregulation (red or blue) relates to the color of the name of the life cycle stage for each pairwise comparison (fold change > 2, FDR < 0.01); no differential expression is shown as a white block.
In the ES proteome, we detected an additional 882 proteins and found greater consistency with the parasitic female transcriptome: 13% of the parasitic female ES proteins overlapped with the genes upregulated in the transcriptome (Supplementary Table 18). We also found 25 astacin and 14 SCP/TAPS gene products in the ES proteome. Other gene families highly upregulated in the parasitic female transcriptome were also dominant in the parasitic ES proteome, including prolyl endopeptidases, acetylcholinesterases and transhyretin-like proteins (Supplementary Table 19). Protein products of the novel gene families sggf-1 and sggf-5 were also identified in the ES products of both parasitic and free-living females (Supplementary Table 11). Other parasitic nematodes have been noted to have many protease-encoding genes, and different species appear to have expanded different protease families. Together, these and our findings suggest that expansion of protease-encoding genes and secretion of extensive quantities of proteases is likely to be an essential feature of nematode parasitism. These proteases are, presumably, used to penetrate host tissue, acquire resources from the host and protect the parasite from host-induced harm.

Parasitism-associated genes are in coexpressed clusters
We observed that genes upregulated in the parasitic females and iL3s were often physically clustered in the genome, more so than for genes upregulated in the free-living females (Supplementary Table 20). To test whether this clustering was significant, we asked whether clusters of three or more adjacent genes, upregulated in the same life cycle stage, occurred more often than would be expected by chance. We found that 31%, 4% and 26% of upregulated genes were in such clusters in S. ratti parasitic female, free-living female and iL3, respectively, whereas in S. stercoralis this was 34%, 2% and 34% (Supplementary Table 20). This clustering is more than would be expected by chance (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 20). The clusters in parasitic females were larger (19 and 16 genes in the largest S. ratti and S. stercoralis clusters, respectively) than those of the iL3 (9 and 14 genes) and free-living females (3 genes) (Supplementary Table 20). Although nematodes, including S. ratti, have operons, these clusters are unlikely to be operons because (i) the average intergenic distance among clustered genes does not differ from the genome-wide average (Supplementary Fig. 6) and (ii) cluster members include genes on both strands.

Clusters of genes upregulated in the parasitic female were more likely to comprise genes from the same gene family. The majority (88 and 73% for S. ratti and S. stercoralis, respectively) of these parasitic female clusters were of genes belonging to the same Compara gene family; this is greater than that observed for iL3 (8–10%) (Supplementary Tables 20–22). Two gene families dominated parasitic female clusters: astacins (24 and 23% of parasitic female clusters for S. ratti and S. stercoralis, respectively) and SCP/TAPS (15 and 11%). Tandem expansions of astacin and SCP/TAPS genes could provide a plausible explanation for the preponderance of these gene families in the parasitic female expression clusters. However, even with exclusion of the astacin and SCP/TAPS families, most remaining parasitic female clusters still comprised genes from the same gene family (85 and 65% for S. ratti and S. stercoralis, respectively); fewer clusters from the same gene family occurred for iL3 (7 and 9%) compared to parasitic female (Supplementary Table 21).

Phylogenetic analysis of astacins, including those from the eight outgroup species, showed that 139 S. ratti genes form one distinct clade (Fig. 4), presumably derived from a single ancestral astacin gene. Similarly, the S. ratti SCP/TAPS gene family has almost exclusively expanded from one ancestral gene (Fig. 4). These gene clusters likely arose by tandem duplication of genes, as has occurred for other large gene families, for example in C. elegans. However, in contrast to C. elegans, physical adjacency of the duplicated genes has been maintained in Strongyloides, perhaps as a result of the expansions being recent and therefore not yet broken up by recombination. Alternatively, the adjacency may be functional, for example, if there is pressure to maintain a common regulatory environment. Clustering of gene families was relatively rare among Rhabditophanes sp. KR3102 and the eight outgroup species (Supplementary Table 21), meaning that this clustering is specific to the Strongyloides-Parastrostrongylidae lineage and thus to the parasitic lifestyle in this clade.

The clusters of genes upregulated in the parasitic females were themselves chromosomally clustered, forming ‘parasitism regions’ (Fig. 4). In S. ratti, one-third of genes upregulated in the parasitic female are concentrated in three regions of chromosome II, most notably in a 3.6-Mb region at one end of the chromosome, comprising 171 genes that were upregulated in the parasitic female transcriptome (Supplementary Fig. 2). A similar pattern is evident in S. stercoralis, where seven scaffolds and contigs with a high density of genes upregulated in the parasitic female also belong to chromosome II; 46% of the 171 genes upregulated in S. ratti belong to just eight different gene families, including those encoding aspartic peptidases, astacin-like proteins, SCP/TAPS proteins, transhyretin-like proteins and trypsin inhibitor–like proteins. This is the first report, to our knowledge, of chromosomal clustering of genes likely to be important in nematode parasitism, and this clustering hints at possible regulatory mechanisms for parasite development.

DISCUSSION
Understanding the molecular and genetic differences between parasitic and free-living organisms is of fundamental biological interest and is essential to identifying novel drug targets and other methods to control parasitic nematodes and the diseases that they cause. We have undertaken a comparative genomics study of six taxa from an evolutionary clade that transitions from a free-living to a parasitic lifestyle, which we combined with transcriptomic and proteomic analyses of parasitic and free-living female stages of Strongyloides species. Together, this is a powerful way to discover the molecular adaptations to parasitism among these nematodes. We find that a preponderance of the genes that are expanded in parasitic species are specifically used in the parasitic stages and are within genomic clusters, concentrated in regions of chromosome II. This is consistent with the idea that the within-host stages of parasitic nematodes deploy a specific biology that enables them to be successful parasites. The Strongyloides proteome and transcriptome have limited overlap, as has been observed in other systems. For the Strongyloides clade, we find that astacin- and SCP/TAPS-encoding genes are prominent among parasitism-associated genes. Other parasitic nematodes appear to have expanded the number of protease-encoding genes in their genome, which also appear to be used predominantly during the within-host stages. In Strongyloides, we have also found genomic clustering of these and other likely parasitism-associated genes, which is likely to have been initiated during the adaptation to parasitism, followed by subsequent repeated gene duplication, associated with adaptation to different hosts. This genomic arrangement may facilitate the expression of a parasitic transcriptional program by these parasites. Operons have been demonstrated in Strongyloides, and it will be important to determine whether these parasitism-associated genes are under operonic control.

Strongyloides is a particularly amenable laboratory system—both S. ratti and S. venezuelensis can be maintained in the laboratory in...
their natural rat host, as well as in other rodents, and the parasite of humans *S. stercoralis* can also be maintained in the laboratory. In addition to providing a compelling model of the evolution of parasitism, transgenesis of *Strongyloides* and *Parastrongyloides* is possible68–71 uniquely among parasitic nematodes, which will allow functional genomic studies, directed by our findings, to further explore the genetic basis of nematode parasitism.

METHODS
Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. The *S. ratti*, *S. stercoralis*, *S. papilllosus*, *S. venezuelensis*, *P. trichosuri* and *Rhabditophanes* genome assemblies, predicted transcripts, protein and annotation (GFF) files are available from WormBase-ParaSite and are registered with the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under BioProject accessions PRJEB125 (S_ratti_ED321_v3_0_4), PRJEB528 (S_stercoralis_PV0001_v2_0_4), PRJEB525 (S_papilllosus_lin_v2_1_4), PRJEB530 (S_venezuelensis_IH1_v2_0_4), PRJEB515 (P_trichosuri_KNP_v2_0_4) and PRJEB1297 (*Rhabditophanes_sp_KR3021_v2_0_4*). The raw genomic data are available from the ENA via the accessions detailed in Supplementary Table 23. The transcriptomic data for *S. ratti* are available from ArrayExpress under accessions E-ERAD-151 and E-ERAD-92. For *S. venezuelensis*, transcriptomic data are available from the DNA Databank of Japan (DDBJ) under BioProject accession PRJDB3457 (*S. venezuelensis*) (Supplementary Table 24).

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS
Parasite material, sequencing and assembly. *S. ratti*, *S. stercoralis*, *S. venezuelensis* and *S. papillosus* larvae were obtained from fecal cultures of infected laboratory animals; for *P. trichosuri* and *Rhabditophanes sp.* KR3021, material was obtained from stages grown on agar plates (Supplementary Fig. 7) (full details on ethical approval are in the Supplementary Note). To produce the *S. ratti* reference genome, a combination of Sanger capillary, 454 and Illumina-derived sequence data was used, whereas data for the other species were generated using Illumina technology. The *S. ratti* genome was initially assembled using Newbler v2.2 (ref. 75) (for the capillary and 454 sequence data) and Abyss v1.3.1 (ref. 76) (for the Illumina-derived data); Illumina paired-end reads were mapped to this assembly with SMALT (H. Ponting, personal communication). The genomes of the other species, except *S. venezuelensis*, were assembled using a combination of the SGA assembler77 and Velvet78 from 100-bp paired-end Illumina reads, produced from short-fragment (~500-bp)79 and 3-kb mate-pair libraries80. Illumina reads were used in IMAGE81 and Gapfiller82 software to fill gaps and in iCORN83 to correct base errors. Gap5 (ref. 84) was used to manually extend and link scaffolds using Illumina read pairs. Genetic markers29 were mapped to the *S. ratti* assembly to order and orient scaffolds and to the *S. papillosus* assembly to assign scaffolds to chromosomes and regions of putative chromosomal diminution. The *S. venezuelensis* genome was assembled using the Platanus assembler84 and improved as described above for the other species. The resulting v2 *S. venezuelensis* assembly was further scaffolded using an optical map produced by an Argus optical mapping platform (Opgen). CEGMA v2 (ref. 86) was used to assess the completeness of each assembly.

Assembled sequences were scanned for contamination from other species using a series of BLASTX and BLASTP87 searches against vertebrate and invertebrate sequence databases. Repeat sequences in the assemblies were characterized using RepeatModeler and TransposonPSI.

Mitochondrial genomes were assembled using the MITObim assembler88 with the *C. elegans* mitochondrial genes as seeds. The gene order of each assembly was confirmed by PCR. A mitochondrial protein-coding gene sequence phylogeny was constructed using RAXML v7.2.8 (ref. 89).

Identifying regions that undergo chromatin diminution or belong to the X chromosome. To identify chromosomal regions that undergo chromatin diminution in *S. papillosus* and scaffolds that belong to the X chromosome in *S. ratti*, *S. stercoralis* and *P. trichosuri*, DNA of males and females from each species was sequenced and mapped to the appropriate reference genome using SMALT v0.7.4 (H. Ponting, personal communication). The read depth was calculated for each scaffold using the BedTools function genomecov90 and all scaffolds were classified as diminished/X-chromosome or non-diminished/autosomal on the basis of differences in read coverage. Because males are hemizygous for the diminished region in *S. papillosus*90 and for the X chromosome in the other species, a male/female read depth ratio of 0.5:1 was expected in diminished or X-chromosome scaffolds relative to autosomes, whereas in non-diminished/autosomal regions the ratio would be expected to be close to 1:1.

Gene prediction and functional annotation. Genes were predicted using Augustus91—with a training set of approximately 200–400 manually curated genes per species, aligned transcript data and *S. ratti* protein sequences as hints—supplemented with non-overlapping predictions from MAKER92. If there was more than one alternative splice pattern for a gene prediction in the combined Augustus and MAKER gene set, we only kept the transcript corresponding to the longest predicted protein. Astacin gene models and a subset of SCP/TAPS gene models from *S. ratti*, *S. venezuelensis* and *S. stercoralis* were manually curated before phylogenetic analyses.

A protein name was assigned to each predicted protein on the basis of manually curated orthologs in UniProt93 from selected species (human, zebrafish, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *C. elegans* and *Schistosoma mansoni* orthologs), where possible. If a predicted protein was not assigned a protein name on the basis of its orthologs, then a protein name was assigned using InterPro94 domains in the protein.

GO terms were assigned by transferring GO terms from human, zebrafish, *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster* orthologs using an approach based on the Ensembl Compara approach for transferring GO terms to orthologs in vertebrate species95 but modified for improved accuracy in transferring GO terms across phyla. Manually curated GO annotations were downloaded from the GO Consortium website95 and, for a particular predicted protein in the present study, the manually curated GO terms were obtained for all its human, zebrafish, *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster* orthologs. From this set, the last common ancestor term (in the GO hierarchy) was found for each pair of GO terms from orthologs of two different species (for example, a *C. elegans* ortholog and a zebrafish ortholog) and then transferred to our predicted protein. GO terms of the three possible types (molecular function, cellular component and biological process) were assigned to predicted proteins in this way. Additional GO terms were identified using InterProScan96.

Gene orthology and species tree reconstruction. Eight outgroup species were used, encompassing four previously defined nematode clades9 (clade I, *Trichinella spiralis* and *Trichuris muris*; clade III, *Ascaris suum* and *Brugia malayi*; clade IV, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* and *Meloidogyne hapla*; clade V, *Necator americanus* and *C. elegans*), together with the six species from the present study to construct a Compara database using the Ensembi Compara pipeline93. The database was used to identify orthologs and paralogs, gene duplications and gene losses, as well as gene families shared among the species or subsets of the species or specific to one species.

In total, 4,437 gene families were identified that contained just one gene from each species that were present in at least ten species out of the six species and the eight outgroups. An alignment for the proteins in each family was built using MAFFT version v6.857 (ref. 97) poorly aligning regions were trimmed using GBLOCKS v0.91b and the remaining columns were concatenated. For each alignment, the best-fitting amino acid substitution model was identified as that minimizing the Akaike Information Criterion from the set of models available in RAXML v8.0.24 (ref. 89), testing models with both predefined amino acid frequencies and observed frequencies in the data, and all with the CAT model of rate variation across sites. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed on the basis of the concatenated alignment, with each protein alignment an independent partition of these data, applying the best-fitting substitution model identified above to each partition. This inference used RAXML v8.0.24 with ten random addition-sequence replicates and 100 bootstrap replicates and otherwise used default heuristic search settings.

Analysis of intron-exon structure and synteny analysis. Introns that were present in two or more species were identified from gene structures and full-gene nucleotide alignments of 208 single-copy orthologs using ScipIns98 and GenePainter99. The output from GenePainter was parsed into DOLLOP (PHYLIP package; see URLs) to infer intron gain and loss on every node of the species tree using maximum parsimony.

Whole-assemble nucleotide alignments were produced between *S. ratti* and the other five species using nuclmer100. Each scaffold from the other species was assigned a chromosome on the basis of its nuclmer alignment to an *S. ratti* chromosome. To identify syntenic regions, conserved blocks of three consecu- tive orthologous genes or more in the same order and orientation were defined by DAGchainer101, between the *S. ratti* reference and each of the other five species. To gain a high-level view of synteny, PROMer102 was used to identify very highly conserved sequence matches, on the basis of translated sequence, after which scaffolds from a particular species were ordered by matching to *S. ratti* chromosome and position in that chromosome and the matches were plotted using Circos103.

Transcriptome and proteome analyses. For *S. ratti* and *S. stercoralis*, the transcriptomes were compared from the parasitic female, free-living female and iL3s; we note that parasitic and free-living adult females will have eggs in utero. For *S. ratti*, free-living females were picked individually from cultures of *S. ratti*–infected rat feces, from which iL3s were also collected; parasitic females were collected by dissection of *S. ratti*–infected rats104. Two biological replicates were collected for parasitic and free-living females. These samples were divided approximately equally and used for both transcriptomic and proteomic analysis. A single biological sample was used for iL3 transcriptomic analysis. RNA was prepared from TRIZol and selected for poly(A) RNA with Dynabeads, acoustically sheared and reverse transcribed to construct Illumina libraries that were sequenced. For *S. stercoralis*, we used previously published
RNA-seq data were analyzed using R v.3.0.2 and the Bioconductor package edgeR\textsuperscript{88} to identify genes differentially expressed in all pairwise combinations of the three life cycle stages. For S. ratti, the proteome was also compared between the parasitic and free-living females. Equivalent samples of the material collected for the transcriptome analyses were used. Protein was extracted by freeze-thaw cycles, mechanical grinding and chemical extraction and digested with trypsin. The resulting peptide mixture was analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. Proteins were identified and quantified using Progenesis. For downstream analyses, at least two unique peptides were required to identify proteins. Protein abundance (iBAQ) was calculated from Progenesis. For both the transcriptome and proteome data, GO analysis was performed in R using TopGo v.2.16.0 and Fisher’s exact test. For the analysis of the ES proteome\textsuperscript{63}, converted raw spectral files were analyzed by the Mascot search engine, where an FDR <1% and a minimum of two significant peptides were required to identify proteins. Protein abundance was calculated from the Mascot algorithm emPAI.

**Astacins and SCP/TAPS.** Genes encoding astacins and SCP/TAPS were identified using InterProScan. For these gene families, we aligned amino acid sequences of the members from all S. ratti and eight outgroup species using MAFFT\textsuperscript{89}. The alignments were edited with TCS\textsuperscript{90} using the weighted option, and the distance matrix of the new alignment was calculated using ProtTest\textsuperscript{91}. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by maximum likelihood using RAxML\textsuperscript{89} with 100 bootstrap replicates.

**Gene clusters.** Clusters of genes were identified as three or more adjacent genes upregulated in the same stage of the life cycle. The members of a cluster were considered to share a common gene family where ≥50% of the genes belonged to the same Compara gene family. To investigate the number of clusters expected by chance for a particular life cycle stage, for \( n \) genes upregulated in a particular stage, we randomly selected \( n \) genes from the genome and calculated the number of clusters seen for the \( n \) random genes; this was repeated 1,000 times and the mean value was calculated.
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