Body measurements from selective hunting: biometric features of red deer (Cervus elaphus) from Northern Apennine, Italy
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ABSTRACT

Morphometric studies on European red deer (Cervus elaphus L.) living in sub-Mediterranean areas are rare. In this paper, we provide the first morphometric description of red deer from Apennine living in Prato Province, as well as a description of its skeletal growth pattern. We analysed 18 body, cranial and antler measurements from 905 deer carcasses, collected during 12 hunting seasons (2000–2012). The body size of red deer from Prato appeared comparable to that of other populations from Northern Apennine and Central Alps. A significant variation in weight during the hunting season was detected only in adult stags: they were estimated to lose 23% of their eviscerated body weight from the beginning of the rutting season until the end of winter. The relationship between eviscerated body weight (EW) and whole body weight (WW) was highly significant in both sexes within every age class (R² always higher than 0.75), thus linear regressions were assessed in order to estimate EW from WW, allowing to complete datasets when such information is missing. Growth equations were utilised to describe the development of a subset of skeletal measures (height at shoulder, hind foot length, mandible length, head–trunk length) commonly collected on hunted cervids. Hind foot length was the measure which first ceased to grow and with the highest growth constant; although the relationship between cohort hind foot length and environmental, climatic and demographic variables has to be tested for red deer from Apennine, these bones appeared a suitable biological indicator for long-term monitoring of the species.
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Introduction

Skeletal measurements and body weight are commonly employed to characterize morphology and growth of cervids populations. Skeletal growth and ultimate size are affected both by genetic and environmental factors (Klein 1964), therefore differences in adult body size within the same species may reflect the effects of diverse habitat quality or animal density (Klein 1964; Hewison et al. 1996; Pettorelli et al. 2002). At a certain stage of growth, nutritional or energetic restrictions may affect more incisively organs or part of tissues with high growth priority than those which have passed or not reached yet the moment of maximum growth (Langvatn et al. 2004). High availability and quality of food resources determine rapid growth in early life stages, and reduced growth in juvenile stages is not likely to be compensated in adulthood (Post et al. 1997). Accordingly, high body mass, high skeletal development or rapid growth can be considered indices of health and favourable environmental conditions (Bertouille & De Crombrugghe 1995; Gaillard et al. 1996), as for instance low population density or the absence of limiting weather conditions (e.g. deep and persistent snow cover), which thus favour the access to food resources. Moreover, body size influences population dynamics and fitness: in ungulates, it is a determinant of overwinter survival (Mysterud et al. 2001), and it affects competitive ability in males (Mysterud et al. 2001; Toigo et al. 2006) by conditioning fighting skills, dominance and consequently reproductive success (Clutton-Brock et al. 1982). Body size has also a fundamental effect on reproduction in females (Langvatn et al. 1996); in hinds, fecundity strongly depends on body weight and condition, growth rate and constitution (Albon et al. 1983; Albon et al. 1986; Bertouille & De Crombrugghe 2002).

The long-term monitoring of morphometric measurements and the analysis of their growth patterns could therefore represent a useful means to complement the study of ungulates populations as well as to...
give a broader insight on their environmental and interspecific interactions. However, for large free-living wild mammals is difficult to obtain an adequate number of age-related measurements, as well as precise age estimation, in order to construct individual growth curves. A possible solution is the analysis of ‘cross-sectional’ data, in which each individual is measured only once (Brisbin et al. 1987; Leberg et al. 1989), as for measurements obtained from biometric monitoring of selectively hunted ungulates.

Morphometric studies and descriptions of European red deer (Cervus elaphus Linnaeus, 1758) are infrequent and, to our knowledge, a long term morphometric description and growth patterns analysis of red deer from Apennine has not been carried out yet. Only few studies have described some morphological traits of red deer populations living in sub-Mediterranean areas. In Italy, the few investigations about this topic concerned areas with peculiar habitat features, as the Alps (Brugnoli 1993; Mattiello et al. 2003), otherwise they referred to spatially or genetically isolated populations, as C. e. corsicanus (Beccu 1989) and Mesola red deer C. e. italicus (Mattioli & Ferretti 2014).

Red deer in Northern Apennine is presently distributed in three main areas: the further north-west includes four Italian provinces (Lucca, Modena, Reggio Emilia and Parma) and its population is estimated to be nearly 3100 individuals, with a density of 1.6 deer/100 ha (Fontana et al. 2014); the most south-eastern area encompasses three provinces (Arezzo, Forli-Cesena and the eastern part of Firenze), with an estimated population of 2500–3000 deer and a density of 2.5–3 deer/100ha (Mattioli L. et al. 2014); the middle distribution comprises the provinces of Prato, Pistoia, Bologna and the western part of Firenze. The latter is the largest in term of population size (3000–3500 individuals) with a density of 2.1–2.5 deer/100 ha (Mattioli S. et al. 2014) and was originated by seven red deer reintroduced in 1958 and 1965 from the State Forest of Tarvisio (Mazzarone & Mattioli 1996) in the Acquerino-Cantagallo Natural Reserve (Prato and Pistoia Provinces). The whole population is monitored since 1994 and managed by the inter-regional technical commission of Central ACATER (Areale Cervo Appennino Tosco-Emiliano-Romagnolo, i.e. central management unit of Northern Apennine red deer) since 2000.

In this paper, we analysed morphometric data collected on red deer from Apennine living in Prato Province, culled during 12 hunting seasons (from 2000/2001 to 2011/2012) in order to (I) provide the first description of some of their morphological traits, placing a milestone for future assessment of the effects of selective hunting management; (II) assess linear regressions of whole body weight on eviscerated body weight, in order to estimate this measurement in incomplete datasets; (III) provide information on their skeletal development and (IV) identify body measures suitable to be used as ecological indicator for environmental and population changes.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study site is Prato Province (Central Italy), where red deer distribution extends for approximately 170 km² (Nicoloso et al. 2007) including the Acquerino-Cantagallo Natural Reserve, the historical reproductive area of the Northern Apennine red deer population. The size of Central ACATER population increased by 35% from 2000 to 2010 (Nicoloso et al. 2010), nonetheless density declined from 2.60 to 2.05 deer/100 ha due to an expansion of deer range. At present, the part of red deer population living in Prato accounts for nearly a quarter of the population managed by Central ACATER (Mattioli S. et al. 2014). In the area of red deer management, altitude ranges between 100 and 1100 m a.s.l. The climate is temperate in areas at higher elevations and continental in plains. Average monthly temperatures rarely are below 0°C, ranging from 1 to 6°C in the coldest month and from 17 to 24°C in the warmest month. Annual precipitations generally exceed 1000 mm, with seasonal maximum in autumn and spring, and the minimum in summer.

Forests are prevalent (84%) and represent the main habitat of the northern part of the territory: the forest types are broad-leaved (73%), broad-leaved and conifer (7%) and conifer (4%) woodlands. In woodlands dominated by deciduous broad-leaved species the prevailing stands are chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.) for fruit production or coppice, beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) woodlands at highest altitudes, and Turkey oak (Quercus cerris L.) mixed woodlands mainly associated with pubescent oak (Quercus pubescens Willd.), hornbeam (Ostrya carpinifolia Scop.) and chestnut. The main conifer species are silver fir (Abies alba Mill.) and Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii Mirb. Franco). Bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum L.), heather (Calluna vulgaris L.) and broom (Saroathamnus scoparius L.) can be frequently found in the peripheral portions of woodlands, as well as in clearings. Open and arable areas and olive groves represent 3% and 2% of the territory, respectively.
Data collection

In Central ACATER, body weight and somatic measures from selectively hunted red deer are collected during every hunting season since 2000. Data analyzed in the present study refer to body measurements from 905 deer hunted between 2000 and 2012. The distribution of hunted animals among the age classes follows the sampling schedule intended by the annual operative program of ACATER; the number of hunted animals per year in each age class may then vary, according to the management purposes. In the ACATER district, hunting season for adult stags extends from August to February, for yearling and sub adult stags from October to March, while hinds are hunted from December to March. Morphometric data of hunted animals are collected according the standardized procedure recommended by ISPRA (Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale) (Mattioli & De Marinis 2009). Age estimation to yearly age classes was performed basing on tooth eruption and wear characters in mandibles with permanent dentition. Stags and hinds were subsequently grouped into four and three age classes, respectively: calves (age <12 months), yearlings (12–23 months), subadults (2–4 yrs), and adults (≥ 5 yrs) for males; calves, yearlings, and adults (≥ 2 yrs) for females. These groupings follow biological and ethological steps of red deer growth, and represent the age classes in which animals are divided for operations related to the management of the species. Sample composition by age and sex is reported in Table 1. The sample includes hinds and stags aged up to 15 and 12 years, respectively. Sample sizes, however, vary among the different analyses of body measurements, because a complete set of measures was not always available for each individual.

The following body and cranial measurements were analysed: height at shoulders (HS), head–trunk length (HTL), chest circumference (CC), hind foot length (HL), whole weight (WW), eviscerated body weight with head (EW), total length of the cranium (TL), condilo–basal length (CBL), mandible length (ML), zygomatic width (ZW), mastoid width (MW). The analysis of antler characteristics concerned: antler beam length (ABL), lower (LBC) and upper (UBC) beam circumference, length of brow tine (Brl), bez tine (Bel) and trez tine (TrL), number of tines per antler pair as well as the occurrence of palmation, a peculiar trait in stags from Acquerino (Mazzarone & Mattioli 1996), also present in Sardinian red deer C.e. corsicanus (Caboni et al. 2006).

Mandible length measurements collected during hunting season 2010/2011 were not included in the analysis due to a probable systematic measure error.

Body and antler measurements, assessed with a precision of 1 mm, are reported in cm; cranial measurements, taken using a calibre with 0.1 mm precision, are reported in mm. All measures were taken by wildlife technicians and hunters with specific training.

Statistical analysis

Morphological description

Normality of data was checked with Shapiro–Wilk test. Differences between sexes within each age class in body and cranial measurements were preliminary investigated by means of a t-test (data not shown). The analysis revealed significant differences (p < 0.004) between sexes within every age class for all measures, with few exceptions: no differences were detected between sexes within every age class for all measures, with few exceptions: no differences were detected between HS (p = 0.262), HTL (p = 0.143), CC (p = 0.169), TL (p = 0.644), CBL (p = 0.894), ML (p = 0.941), ECW (p = 0.116), and ZW (p = 0.643) of male and female calves. All the analysis presented in this work was therefore carried out separately for the sexes.

A mixed model (GLM) including the effects of δ i = age class (fixed effect) and ρ j = year of culling (random effect) was fitted (SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0, Chicago, IL) for each body and cranial measurement, as well as for antler characters, according to the following equation:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \delta_i + \rho_j + \epsilon_{ij} \]  

For each age class, estimated means were calculated for all measurement, and pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment were performed.

The trend in antler quality during the first 12 years of management, indexed by antler beam length in yearlings (Schmidt et al. 2001), was evaluated with Spearman’s correlation index (r s).

In the ACATER district, hunting season spans several months throughout reproductive period and winter, therefore we aimed to test whether the sample of

| Sex   | Age class | Sample size |
|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Males | Calf      | 148         |
|       | Yearling  | 77          |
|       | Subadult  | 107         |
|       | Adult     | 76          |
| Females | Calf  | 134         |
|        | Yearling | 73          |
|        | Adult    | 290         |
deer showed a significant trend in eviscerated body weight during the hunting season; thus, the relationship between EW and culling date was tested with linear and quadratic regression, separately for all age classes of hinds and stags. Culling date was calculated within each year as the number of days between the date of shooting and the 1st of September (Post et al. 1997; Mysterud et al. 2001), since no adult stags had been hunted during the month of August. The effect of year of culling, preliminarily tested by GLM, resulted not significant. In case of significant quadratic regression, the following negative exponential equation was fitted, in order to describe weight variation:

\[ EW(t) = a \times \exp(\beta \times t) + \gamma \]  

(2)

where \( a \) represents the variance between the intercept and the horizontal asymptote (\( \gamma \)), while \( \beta \) represents the relative growth rate. This negative exponential form (2) was chosen as the one with the highest \( R^2 \) among the other previously tested equations (i.e., polynomial, logarithmic).

**Whole weight–Eviscerated weight relationship**

Both weight measurements (WW, EW) are often difficult to collect on selectively hunted deer, therefore linear relationships between eviscerated body weight and whole body weight were assessed separately in males and females for each age class, in order to provide a tool to complete lacking data. Differences in coefficients of regressions between the sexes, and between age classes within sex, were tested with ANOVA.

**Skeletal development**

The development of red deer skeletal measures (HS, HL, ML, HTL) was illustrated separately for stags and hinds by using growth equations; we employed age in months, calculated using June 1st as the average date of calving (Rieck 1955; Bertouille & De Crombrugghe 1995). As preliminary investigation, we fitted growth models to animals of all age classes (data not shown); however, age estimation to yearly age classes by examination of tooth wear characters may induce to remarkable bias, up to 4–5 years (Pérez-Barbería et al. 2014). Therefore, we built the final growth models using exclusively measures from deer assigned to age classes <4 years: indeed, within this period, animals can be classified in yearly age groups with greater accuracy by the observation of tooth eruption patterns (De Marinis 2015). Preliminary models (all age classes) were used to assess the accuracy of estimate of the final growth curves (age <4 years). We intentionally avoided to build curves for weight and chest circumference; indeed, in most mammals skeletal growth ends more rapidly than muscular growth or formation of fat tissue (Bertouille & de Crombrugghe 1995): accordingly, the growth of these body measures continues after the third year of age, therefore the estimation of the curves might be biased by the use of a restricted sample.

Three different growth equations were fitted to each body measure: Gompertz equation (Equation 3), the reparameterized (Brisbin et al. 1986) Richards equation (Equation 4), and the original form (Bertalanffy 1938) of von Bertalanffy equation (Equation 5).

\[ M(t) = M_\infty * \exp\{ -\exp[-K(t - I)] \} \]  

(3)

\[ M(t) = \left\{ M_\infty^{(1-m)} - \left[ M_\infty^{(1-m)} - M_0^{(1-m)} \right] \right. \]  

\[ * \exp\left(-2(t/T)\times(1+m)\right)^{1/(1-m)} \]  

(4)

\[ M(t) = M_\infty * \left\{ 1 - \exp[-k(t - A_0)] \right\} \]  

(5)

\( M(t) \) is body measure at age \( t \), and \( M_\infty \) is the asymptotic measure. \( K \) is the growth rate constant, \( k \) is the growth constant and \( T \) is the growth period indicative of growth rate (Brisbin et al. 1986). \( I \) is the age at inflection point, \( M_0 \) is measure at age =0, \( A_0 \) is age when measure is supposed to be 0, and \( m \) is the Richards shape parameter.

The equations were fitted with a non-linear regression using the R programming environment (stats package; R 3.0.2, R Core Team). Richards reparameterized equation, having a shape parameter, should allow a better flexibility in data fitting, therefore we compared these growth models, in order to choose the one which best describes the age-measure relationship. A 5-folds cross-validation procedure with 1,000 bootstrap replications was used, and Mean Square Errors (MSE) on test dataset were calculated to evaluate the fitting of the curves; the lowest test MSE was chosen as index of accuracy of the fitting.

**Results**

**Morphological description**

The effect of year of culling was not significant for any of the body measurements, with the exception of hinds height at shoulders (\( p = 0.026 \)). Conversely, this effect resulted significant in some cranial measurements of stags (TL: \( p = 0.039 \); LCB: \( p = 0.017 \); ECW: \( p = 0.004 \)) and hinds (ECW: \( p = 0.03 \)), as well as in antler
beam length ($p = 0.008$), upper ($p = 0.002$) and lower ($p = 0.001$) beam circumference and trez tine ($p = 0.008$). Estimated marginal means from the GLM (Equation 1) fitted to body, cranial and antler measurements are reported in Tables 2–4, respectively. For each body and cranial trait, measurements differed markedly among age classes ($p < 0.001$). Adult and subadult stags displayed different ($p < 0.001$) antler characteristics (no. tines per antler pair) and measures (ABL, LBC, UBC, BrL, BeR, TrL). Bez tine occurred in 50% of antler beams; the tines per antler pair displayed different ($p < 0.001$) among age classes ($r_s = –0.187$, df = 57, $p = 0.157$). Linear relationship between eviscerated weight and date of shooting was not significant in adult hinds ($p = 0.266$), male yearlings ($p = 0.228$) and calves (males: $p = 0.634$; females: $p = 0.732$). EW showed a slight linear decline during the sampling period in subadults ($p = 0.057$) as well as in female yearlings ($p = 0.064$).

In adult stags the quadratic regression between date of shooting and EW resulted statistically significant ($F = 15.87$, df. = 2, $df_2 = 63$, $p < 0.001$), in accordance with the biological weight loss occurring both during rutting season (September - October) and during winter (December - February). Equation 2 was fitted to eviscerated weight data of adult stags in order to describe this trend for the sampled animals (Figure 1); the equation seems to comply with the pattern of weight data, whose trend appears evident despite the relevant variability of the dataset, as revealed by the coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.33$). Estimated parameters and confidence intervals are reported in Table 5. The estimated eviscerated weight at September 1st, before the beginning of the climax of rutting period, is 156.8 kg while the estimate at November 1st, after the complete end of mating period, is 131.2 kg. Thus, the eviscerated carcass of adult stags is evaluated to be ~16% lighter after the end of reproduction period. EW at March 1st, as calculated with Equation 2, is 121.2 kg: adult stags are estimated to lose as much as 23% of their body weight from the beginning of the rutting season to the end of winter.

### Whole weight–eviscerated weight relationship

Least-square linear fit of regressions of whole body weight on eviscerated body weight are illustrated in Table 6; all the regressions resulted highly significant, with an $R^2$ always greater than 0.75. Regression coefficients differed significantly between males and females ($F = 9.963$, df. = 1, $p = 0.002$); moreover, slopes appear slightly different in various age classes of males ($F = 4.697$, df. = 3, $p = 0.003$), but not in females ($F = 2.291$, df. = 2, $p = 0.102$).

In females, eviscerated weight represents from 70% (min.) to 72% (max.) of the WW; in males EW amounts from 73% (min.) to 78% (max.) of the whole weight, and yields increase with age.

### Table 2. Body measures of Prato Province red deer (data collected during 12 hunting seasons from 2000 to 2012); reported means are estimated from the mixed effect linear models (Equation 1) ± root mean square error.

|                  | Adult          | Subadult        | Yearling       | Calf           |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Males**        |                |                 |                |                |
| EW, kg           | 130.4 ± 15.6   | 102.2 ± 18.7    | 69.7 ± 10.3    | 40.0 ± 8.0     |
| WW, kg           | 168.1 ± 15.9   | 134.4 ± 20.8    | 94.1 ± 12.1    | 54.8 ± 9.3     |
| HS, cm           | 124.9 ± 6.0    | 118.4 ± 7.0     | 112.5 ± 6.2    | 96.2 ± 6.3     |
| CC, cm           | 141.2 ± 7.8    | 130.2 ± 11.0    | 120.8 ± 8.3    | 101.4 ± 9.7    |
| HTL, cm          | 193.1 ± 9.3    | 180.8 ± 10.8    | 165.2 ± 9.8    | 139.3 ± 9.4    |
| HL, cm           | 55.6 ± 1.9     | 54.3 ± 2.0      | 53.5 ± 2.2     | 47.2 ± 2.3     |
| **Females**      |                |                 |                |                |
| EW, kg           | 72.6 ± 10.0    | 56.7 ± 8.6      | 37.6 ± 5.6     |
| WW, kg           | 100.2 ± 11.7   | 81.0 ± 10.3     | 52.8 ± 7.1     |
| HS, cm           | 110.6 ± 6.3    | 105.1 ± 5.5     | 95.9 ± 5.2     |
| CC, cm           | 123.1 ± 9.4    | 113.4 ± 7.5     | 101.1 ± 8.1    |
| HTL, cm          | 170.9 ± 8.1    | 157.2 ± 6.8     | 138.0 ± 8.3    |
| HL, cm           | 51.7 ± 1.7     | 50.1 ± 1.8      | 46.8 ± 2.1     |

a,b,c,d: Within each row and sex, means with different letters are statistically different ($p < 0.001$).

EW: eviscerated weight; WW: whole weight; HS: height at shoulders; CC: chest circumference; HTL: head-trunk length; HL: hind foot length.

### Table 3. Cranial measures of Prato Province red deer (data collected during 12 hunting seasons from 2000 to 2012); means are estimated from the mixed effect linear models (Equation 1) ± root mean square error.

|                  | Adult          | Subadult        | Yearling       | Calf           |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Males**        |                |                 |                |                |
| ML, mm           | 314.5 ± 9.01   | 297.1 ± 11.09   | 267.4 ± 12.04  | 214.9 ± 10.08  |
| TL, mm           | 419.0 ± 16.04  | 395.5 ± 20.32   | 366.7 ± 15.04  | 274.9 ± 15.02  |
| CBL, mm          | 400.1 ± 13.01  | 373.0 ± 18.02   | 335.1 ± 14.04  | 265.5 ± 14.08  |
| ZW, mm           | 120.7 ± 5.05   | 112.6 ± 5.05    | 101.5 ± 3.06   | 86.3 ± 4.01    |
| ECW, mm          | 174.0 ± 7.05   | 161.1 ± 7.01    | 146.5 ± 4.03   | 120.1 ± 5.03   |
|MW, mm            | 129.2 ± 5.02   | 115.1 ± 7.02    | 98.5 ± 4.07    | 81.7 ± 4.04    |
| **Females**      |                |                 |                |                |
| ML, mm           | 282.7 ± 12.00  | 253.3 ± 8.08    | 215.5 ± 9.06   |
| TL, mm           | 360.6 ± 15.05  | 325.7 ± 12.02   | 276.9 ± 12.00  |
| CBL, mm          | 348.6 ± 15.03  | 314.0 ± 11.07   | 267.8 ± 11.09  |
| ZW, mm           | 106.9 ± 4.09   | 97.2 ± 3.02     | 86.1 ± 3.08    |
| ECW, mm          | 142.4 ± 6.00   | 133.2 ± 3.06    | 120.4 ± 4.00   |
|MW, mm            | 99.5 ± 4.07    | 91.1 ± 4.02     | 80.1 ± 3.09    |

a,b,c,d: Within each row and sex, means with different letters are statistically different ($p < 0.001$).

ML: mandible length; TL: total length of the cranium; CBL: condilo-basal length; ZW: zygomatic width; ECW: ectorbital width; MW: mastoid width.
Skeletal development

Gompertz, Richards and von Bertalanffy equations fitted similarly to morphometric data of sampled deer: the estimated growth curves calculated with the three equations overlapped for each measure, except for hind foot length of stags where Richards equation provided a different shape and a lower value of asymptotic length. In this growth equation the standard errors of the parameters were always higher than the ones of the other equations. In all cases, average test MSE calculated after cross-validation showed little differences between curves. The von Bertalanffy curve has been frequently used in literature to model deer growth (Bertouille & De Crombrugghe 1995; Azorit et al. 2003), therefore we report the results obtained with this equation (5). Parameter estimates of the preliminary curves (deer of all age classes) did not differ \( k \): \( F = 0.487 \ p = 0.623; \ M_\infty: F = 0.06 \ p = 0.942 \) from the ones calculated for the curves fitted on animals <4 years old; accordingly, graphical representation of these curves were nearly identical and mostly overlapping.

Curves of the selected measures fitted on deer <4 years old are shown in Figures 2–5. Early growth stages of male and female calves are similar until approaching the first year of age, when stags increase their size more rapidly than hinds. This growth gap

| Table 4. Antler measures and characters of Prato Province red deer stags; reported means are estimated from the mixed effect linear models (Equation 1) ± root mean square error. |
| Measures: estimated mean ± RMSE |
| ABL, cm | LBC, cm | UBC, cm | BrL, cm | Bel, cm | TrL, cm | No. Tines per antler pair |
| 88.03 \( ^a\) ± 8.64 | 13.81 \( ^a\) ± 1.62 | 12.33 \( ^a\) ± 1.33 | 27.09 \( ^a\) ± 5.52 | 16.40 \( ^a\) ± 8.11 | 26.37 \( ^a\) ± 5.39 | 11.58 \( ^a\) ± 2.11 |
| 62.21 \( ^b\) ± 9.02 | 10.06 \( ^b\) ± 1.51 | 8.83 \( ^b\) ± 1.43 | 18.43 \( ^b\) ± 5.01 | 12.71 \( ^b\) ± 7.19 | 19.36 \( ^b\) ± 5.50 | 8.79 \( ^b\) ± 1.96 |
| 21.66 \( ^c\) ± 8.46 |

\( a,b,c: \) Within each row, means with different letters are statistically different, \( p < 0.01 \). 

ABL: antler beam length; LBC: lower beam circumference; UBC: upper beam circumference; BrL: brow tine length; Bel: bez tine length; TrL: trez tine length.

| Figure 1. Negative exponential equation (2) fitted to the eviscerated weights of adult stags collected during the hunting seasons. |

| Table 5. Parameter estimates and confidence intervals (C.I.) of negative exponential equation (2) for eviscerated weight of adult stags. |
| Coefficients estimates | SE | t | \( p \) | Lower C.I. (95%) | Upper C.I. (95%) |
| \( \alpha \) | 37.00 | 7.12 | 5.20 | 0.000 | 23.55 | 52.78 |
| \( \beta \) | -0.02 | 0.01 | -2.03 | 0.047 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| \( \gamma \) | 120.52 | 4.44 | 27.17 | 0.000 | 54.17 | 127.02 |

\( \alpha \): variance between the intercept and the horizontal asymptote; \( \beta \): relative growth rate; \( \gamma \): horizontal asymptote.

| Table 6. Linear regressions of whole body weight (WW) on eviscerated body weight (EW) with \( R^2 \). |
| Sex | Age class | Linear regressions | \( R^2 \) |
| Stags | Adult WW \( = 49.32 + EW \times 0.91 \) | 0.75 |
| Subadults WW \( = 24.08 + EW \times 1.08 \) | 0.95 |
| Yearling WW \( = 17.51 + EW \times 1.10 \) | 0.90 |
| Calves WW \( = 10.44 + EW \times 1.12 \) | 0.89 |
| Hinds | Adult WW \( = 25.13 + EW \times 1.04 \) | 0.80 |
| Yearling WW \( = 12.98 + EW \times 1.16 \) | 0.88 |
| Calves WW \( = 9.50 + EW \times 1.15 \) | 0.85 |
widens until body measures tend to asymptotic values, which are in every case higher in stags.

Table 7 illustrates parameter estimates of von Bertalanffy equation for linear measures, which were obtained from the subsample of animals aged <4 years, in order to avoid bias due to inaccurate age estimation (Pérez-Barberia et al. 2014). M_\infty estimates for all selected measures are comparable to adult mean values predicted by GLM (Table 2). Height at shoulders attains 95% of asymptotic value at approximately 30 months (2.5 yrs) in males and at 26 months in females, indicating a faster growth of fore part in stags, as also displayed by the higher values of the growth constant (k). Linear development of mandible reaches its 95% at approximately 3 years of age both for stags (34 months) and for hinds (36 months); accordingly, difference between growth constants is negligible. In a similar manner, hind foot length achieves 95% of maximum length at 18 months both in males and in females, and k appears markedly higher in males (0.103) than in females (0.074); HL is thus the measure displaying the fastest growth. The longitudinal skeletal development, summarized by head-trunk length, cease far earlier in females (27 months) than in males (40 months); the value of the growth constant is identical in the two sexes.

Figure 2. Graphical representation of von Bertalanffy equations (5) fitted to height at shoulder data collected on red deer males and females during the hunting seasons.

Figure 3. Graphical representation of von Bertalanffy equations (5) fitted to hind foot length data collected on red deer males and females during the hunting seasons.
We do not discuss the estimated values of $A_0$, since birth and postnatal period lie outside the temporal range of our sampled data, therefore little reliability should be given to estimates of age when measure is supposed to be zero.

**Discussion**

As other ungulates with large distribution, red deer can display considerable morphological variability within its geographic range. Local environmental features may additionally play a marked role in shaping...
differences at intrapopulation level: animals inhabiting the same management unit (Central ACATER), although in opposite sides of the Apennine (Bologna Province), display marked differences in adult weight: red deer from Bologna are on average 30 kg (stags) and 12 kg (hinds) heavier than animals of our sample; similarly, all skeletal measures are greater (males: 1–8%; females: 1–7%) in red deer from Bologna (Gaggioli 2005). This variability could be attributed to environmental differences between the two sites, in particular the larger occurrence of grasslands and cultivated areas in Bologna territories. On the other hand, red deer from Prato displayed a greater size than some other C. elaphus populations from Italy, specifically from Northern Apennine (Foreste Casentinesi: data from Cicognani et al. in Mattiello & Mazzarone, 2010) and from Valtellina in the Retic Alps side (Mattiello et al. 2003). Conversely, their size resulted smaller than red deer from the Orobie Alps side in Valtellina (Mattiello et al. 2003) and Trento Province (Brugnoli 1993).

Craniometry revealed a greater head size than red deer from southern Spain (Andalucía: Soriguer et al. 1994; Sierra Morena: Azorit et al. 2003) and France (Teillaud et al. 1991). The differences in cranial size from Spanish deer are related to the fact that data collected in southern Spain refer to Iberian red deer (Cervus elaphus hispanicus), which belongs to the small-bodied red deer populations. The lower body mass and cranial measures found in red deer measured in France could be due to the elevated density of the studied population (6–9 red deer/100 ha).

The marked differences found in average body measures between all age groups of the same sex, indicate that biological classes commonly adopted for red deer management are also appropriate to classify different moments of its somatic growth. The preliminary t-test suggested that in calves of our sample (age >5 months), hind foot length, body weight (both eviscerated and whole weight) and mandible width were the only measures which differed significantly between the sexes, therefore they could be employed to determine sex in case of datasets with incomplete information; consistently, in white-tailed deer hind foot length alone has already been used to determine age and, in some cases, the sex of the animals (Fuller et al. 1989).

Antler characters have been frequently used as quality index in many cervids and, in red deer yearlings, beam length is known to be related to population density and it represents a good measure of their condition (Schmidt et al. 2001). In yearlings of our sample, antler length was constant during the first decade of management. The data collected on red deer antlers as part of an investigation on the Acquerino population (Mazzarone & Mattioli 1996) prior the beginning of hunting management (i.e. in the mid-90s), highlighted for adult stags greater antler measurements than the ones from our sample. Also the occurrence of bez tine has decreased, passing from 82.5% to 50%, while the occurrence of palmate antlers increased from 10% to 48%. Antler beam length is greater than in adult deer from small-bodied red deer populations of Sardinia (Caboni et al. 2006), Mesola (Mattioli & Ferretti 2014), south-eastern Spain (Azorit et al. 2002).

A trend in body weight during the hunting period and winter was clear only in adult stags, which experienced a marked weight decline from September to November. Red deer stags deposit fat reserves during spring and summer as provision for the season of rut (Bobek et al. 1990) and the subsequent weight loss is the result of massive body fat and lean muscle tissue catabolism due both to high energy expenditure during rutting period and to reduced food consumption throughout autumn and winter. Weight loss did not affect noticeably yearlings and subadults, probably owing to an age-dependent cessation of feeding (Mysterud et al. 2004). In Alpine deer, Mattiello et al. (2003) highlighted a marked negative correlation between body weight and culling date. Clutton-Brock et al. (1982) found that rutting stags (5–10 yrs) of Rum Isle experienced a considerable weight decline in the same period, and that they could lose as much as 20% of their body weight during the rut; a even higher weight loss during rutting period was found in red deer stags from Poland (Bobek et al. 1990; Dzięciołowski et al. 1996; Janiszewski et al. 2011). The eviscerated weight in animals of other age classes and sex was rather constant during winter; Janiszewski and Szczepański (2004) found a similar stability of weight during winter in hinds and calves from Poland.

The close relationship between eviscerated body weight and whole body weight could allow to estimate the latter measure in incomplete datasets, especially for subadults and yearling stags whose R² is equal or above 0.9. Since slopes of the regression differed significantly between age classes in males, we discourage the adoption of a unique equation for this sex.

Growth curves fitted on the sample of deer proved to match their actual size at maturity and provide a general pattern of skeletal development for both sexes. In our sample, hind foot length grows extremely rapidly after birth evenly in both sexes, and completed the largest part of its growing process far earlier than all other measurements. The presence of desirable features like rapid growth, also detected in other cervids (Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis: Klein 1964; Odocoileus virginianus:...
Fuller et al. 1989; Cervus nippon yesoensis: Suzuki et al. 2001) and easy measurability, could suggest this bone to be considered as an indicator of variations in environment, climate or population density; in roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) hind foot length has been used as indicator (Zanne`se et al. 2006), being able to detect such trends over long time series. In red deer from Apennine the relationship between cohort hind foot length and environmental, climatic and demographic variables has to be tested: indeed, ecological indicators should have a growth rate that is sensitive to small differences and changes in nutrition (Challies 1978). In deer of our sample, mandible length completes most of its growth around the third year of age both in stags and in hinds, and is the skeletal measure which displays the longest period of growth. This skeletal measurement was found to be sensitive to fluctuation in nutrition of roe deer (Hewison et al. 1996) and in fallow deer (Nugent & Frampton 1994), making its average size in a particular cohort a potential suitable index for detecting variations of skeletal size in time (Hewison et al. 1996).

Conclusions

This study represents a first analysis of over a decade of measurements on part of the red deer population living in Northern Apennine. Despite the accuracy of collectable data could be perfectible, at present selective hunting represents the only means to obtain a great amount of biometric measures in wild ungulates: this large available information allows examining several aspects useful to advice management.

The analysis of morphometric data collected on red deer of Prato Province in the first twelve years of management revealed that body size attained by the sampled animals was consistent with the size reported for other deer in Northern Apennine, with the exception of deer from Bologna side. The comparison between antler features collected in 1990s and during the time span of this study, suggests a slight regression along time. The analysis of skeletal development have consolidated the suitability of mandible length and hind foot length as indicators for long-term monitoring of red deer, due to their easy measurability and high growth constants within a brief growing process. We propose the use of the estimated linear regressions, applicable to red deer living within this study area, to convert eviscerated weight in whole weight and vice versa.

This first result on the morphometry of red deer from Northern Apennine encourages further studies on a wider sample in time and space. Of course, the availability of precise age-related measures could enhance the quality of the obtained information: ISPRA has recently produced a method for age estimation based on identification keys on tooth (De Marinis 2015), that has to be applied in the forthcoming hunting seasons.

This method should provide a rapid and more accurate attribution to yearly age classes, and allow the permanent and long-term collection of biometric data exploitable to complement a more precise adaptive-management strategy.
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