Aberrantly Methylated-Differentially Expressed Genes Identify Novel Atherosclerosis Risk Subtypes
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Increasing evidence has indicated that modulation of epigenetic mechanisms, especially methylation and long-non-coding RNA (lncRNA) regulation, plays a pivotal role in the process of atherosclerosis; however, few studies focused on revealing the epigenetic-related subgroups during atherosclerotic progression using unsupervised clustering analysis. Hence, we aimed to identify the epigenetics-related differentially expressed genes associated with atherosclerosis subtypes and characterize their clinical utility in atherosclerosis. Eighty samples with expression data (GSE40231) and 49 samples with methylation data (GSE46394) from a large artery plaque were downloaded from the GEO database, and aberrantly methylated–differentially expressed (AMDE) genes were identified based on the relationship between methylation and expression. Furthermore, we conducted weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) and co-expression analysis to identify the core AMDE genes strongly involved in atherosclerosis. K-means clustering was used to characterize two subtypes of atherosclerosis in GSE40231, and then 29 samples were recognized as validation dataset (GSE28829). In a blood sample cohort (GSE90074), chi-square test and logistic analysis were performed to explore the clinical implication of the K-means clusters. Furthermore, significance analysis of microarrays and prediction analysis of microarrays (PAM) were applied to identify the signature AMDE genes. Moreover, the classification performance of signature AMDE gene-based classifier from PAM was validated in another blood sample cohort (GSE34822). A total of 1,569 AMDE mRNAs and eight AMDE long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were identified by differential analysis. Through the WGCNA and co-expression analysis, 32 AMDE mRNAs and seven AMDE lncRNAs were identified as the core genes involved in atherosclerosis development. Functional analysis revealed that AMDE genes were strongly related to inflammation and axon guidance. In the clinical analysis, the atherosclerotic subtypes were associated with the severity of coronary artery disease and risk of adverse events. Eight genes, including PARP15, SERGEF, PDGFD, MRPL45, UBR1, STAU1, WIZ, and LSM4, were selected as the signature AMDE genes that most significantly differentiated between atherosclerotic subtypes. Ultimately, the area under the curve of signature AMDE gene-based classifier for atherosclerotic subtypes was
INTRODUCTION

Even though a marked reduction in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality due to the application of new therapies has been observed, atherosclerosis and its consequent clinical manifestations are the leading causes of mortality worldwide (Nilsson, 2017). Recently, studies have revealed the underlying associations between epigenetics and atherosclerosis (Heo et al., 2016; Rizzacasa et al., 2019), suggesting a high molecular heterogeneity and pathogenic complexity in atherosclerosis, which still needs further subclassification of atherosclerosis to improve diagnostic and treatment strategies.

Epigenetics is defined as the study of any potentially stable and, ideally, heritable change in gene expression or cellular phenotype that occurs without changes in Watson–Crick base-pairing of the DNA sequence (Goldberg et al., 2007). Epigenetic mechanisms include DNA methylation or demethylation, histone acetylation or deacetylation, and non-coding RNA regulation (Khyzha et al., 2017). In the past few years, increasing evidence has indicated that modulation of epigenetic mechanisms, especially methylation and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) regulation, plays a pivotal role in the process of atherosclerosis (Tabaei and Tabaei, 2019).

Unsupervised clustering analysis is an agnostic multivariable method that is used to aggregate similar cases without the potentially confounding effects of pre-established diagnosis (Lancaster et al., 2019). This type of analysis has been widely applied to reveal epigenetic-related subgroups in cancer (Fiedler et al., 2019; Fukuoka et al., 2020). However, few studies revealed the different subgroups of atherosclerosis involved in the epigenetic process using machine learning approaches.

In the present study, we used integrative analysis to identify the aberrantly methylated–differentially expressed (AMDE) genes (mRNAs and lncRNAs) that could be used to define novel risk subgroups of atherosclerosis. Furthermore, using various statistical methods, we selected eight signature AMDE genes as the potential therapeutic targets for patients with high risk of atherosclerosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Datasets and Design

The four previously published gene expression profiles (GSE40231, GSE28829, GSE90074, and GSE34822) and one previously published gene methylation profile (GSE46394) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database.

Differential Analysis

After excluding non-artery samples (n = 198), 40 atherosclerotic artery wall (AAW) samples and 40 non-atherosclerotic artery wall (NAAW) samples with expression profile from GSE40231...
were used to identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs), including differentially expressed mRNAs (DEmRNAs) and lncRNAs (DEIncRNAs). The "limma" R package was used for DEG selection according to the following criteria: (a) adjusted P-value < 0.05 and (b) absolute log2 fold change > 1.

Thirty-four AAW and 15 NAAW samples with methylation profiles from GSE46394 were used to screen the aberrantly methylated genes (AMGs). Student t-test was used to check the difference in the beta values of the AAW and the NAAW samples according to the following criteria: (a) P-value < 0.05 and (b) | mean (AAW) – mean (NAAW)| > 0.1. Lastly, 11,995 hypermethylated CpG sites and 4,904 hypomethylated CpG sites were identified. Then, the aberrantly methylated CpG sites were mapped into corresponding genes for further accessing of AMDE genes.

According to the principle of the relationship between methylation and expression, hypomethylated–highly expressed genes were detected by overlapping the hypomethylated and upregulated genes, and hypermethylated–negligibly expressed genes were detected by overlapping the hypermethylated and downregulated genes (Wang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). Hypergeometric tests were used to calculate the P value of the overlapping results of AMGs with DEIncRNAs and DEmRNAs.

**WGCNA**

Different modules were constructed using the “WGCNA” package in R (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). The modules significant for atherosclerosis development were identified by correlation analysis. The threshold for the determination of weighted adjacency matrix was fixed at a soft power of 12 and a scale-free R² > 0.85, respectively. Then, the hubs were identified as the highest-degree nodes, and the adjacency matrix was converted as the topological overlap matrix (TOM). In TOM, the network connectivity of each gene was calculated and divided into modules with similar expression patterns through average linkage hierarchical clustering. Next, module–trait (NAAW and AAW) co-expression similarity and adjacency analyses were performed in six identified gene modules.

**Functional and Co-expression Analyses**

Co-expression was predicted on the basis of expression correlation coefficient (Pearson's correlation > 0.9 or < -0.9) and statistical significance (P < 0.05), and then we extracted the protein-coding genes co-expressed with target lncRNAs for further functional annotation. We performed Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses of the lncRNAs and mRNAs in the most correlative modules using the Enrichr database (Kuleshov et al., 2016).

Furthermore, co-expression analysis of the AMDE lncRNAs and mRNAs was performed. Spearman’s analysis was performed to define significant gene pairs according to the following criteria: (a) | r | > 0.3 and (b) P value < 0.05. We then used the Cytoscape software to select the core genes (AMDE mRNAs and lncRNAs) in the co-expression network.
K-Means Clustering of the Training (GSE40231) and Validation (GSE28829) Datasets

K-means clustering of unions of the core ADME genes was performed using the "ConsensusClusterPlus" package in R based on the AAW samples for risk stratification (Wilkerson and Hayes, 2010). In total, two to 10 K-means clusters were obtained based on the gene expression values. Consensus matrix heat maps and consensus cumulative distribution functions (CDF) were applied in ascertaining of the K optimizing clustering stability. Furthermore, to identify the relationship of K-means clusters from training (GSE40231) and validation datasets (GSE28829), “ModulePreservation” function from WGCNA package was used to validate the preservation of discovery clusters. Ultimately, K-means = 2 was selected as the optimal value for the training (GSE40231) and validation (GSE28829) datasets.

Clinical Analysis

To clarify the clinical implication of the core AMDE genes in atherosclerotic progression, K-means clustering analysis based on the core AMDE genes was also performed with data from blood samples. Dataset GSE90074, including blood samples of atherosclerosis patients with complete clinical information, was chosen for further procedure, and data from GSE34822, also with blood samples, were selected as the validation cohort.

After excluding the patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) class equal to 0 (n = 18), 125 patients from phase 2 of the Supporting a Multi-disciplinary Approach to Researching Atherosclerosis study were included (GSE90074). The standards used to quantify CAD severity were consistent with corresponding publications. The clinical characteristics of each atherosclerosis subtype were identified and compared after K-means clustering. The logistic regression analysis of obstructive CAD with subtypes was also performed in both unadjusted and adjusted models.

Significance Analysis of Microarrays

To identify the differential clusters of the core AMDE genes, we use SAM, which specifically compares high-throughput data such as microarray findings. SAM was used to determine whether the expression of each gene in the two given groups was significantly different. Hence, we used the sam function in "siggenes" package in R software to measure the expression of significant AMDE genes in the blood sample dataset (GSE90074) after K-means clustering (Tusher et al., 2001).

Signature Selection and Validation Using a Classifier

We then inputted the SAM-prioritized significant AMDE genes to perform PAM, which uses the nearest shrunk centroid methodology, for the classification of K-means clusters in the blood sample dataset (GSE90074) (Tibshirani et al., 2002), and all the signature AMDE genes that best characterized each cluster were identified using the pamr.cv function of "pamr" package in R software.

Next, to validate the classifier performance of signature AMDE genes from PAM, GSE34822 was identified as the validation cohort. We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, precision, and accuracy in GSE90074 and repeat the whole process for 10 times (Mallik and Zhao, 2018), and then the classifier of signature AMDE genes from GSE90074 was applied in the validation dataset (GSE34822). Furthermore, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for K-means clusters in GSE90074 and GSE34822 were also plotted based on the PAM-derived classifier from GSE90074 using "pROC" package in R. All statistical analyses were performed using R software.

RESULTS

Identifying the Aberrantly Methylated DEGs

The flow chart of the entire study is shown in Figure 1, and the detailed information on these datasets is summarized in Supplementary Table 1. By comparing the AAW and the NAAW specimens from the GSE40231 profile, 6,607 DEmRNAs (3,137 upregulated and 3,470 downregulated) were identified (Figure 2A), and the expression levels of DEmRNAs among each sample are shown in Figure 2B. Moreover, 317 DElncRNAs (166 upregulated and 151 downregulated) were identified (Figure 2C), and the heat map of the DElncRNAs are shown in Figure 2D. Differentially methylated CpG sites were analyzed (Figure 2E). After being mapped onto the respective genes, 8,824 AMGs (5,879 hypermethylated and 2,945 hypomethylated genes) from the AAW and the NAAW samples were identified.

Subsequently, a total of 1,569 mRNAs and eight lncRNAs with a negative correlation between methylation and expression were recognized as the AMDE genes (Figure 2F), and the P values of hypergeometric tests in the overlapping analysis of DElncRNAs and DEmRNAs with AMGs were significant (both P values were <0.0001).

WGCNA and Functional Analysis

WGCNA was performed next to select AMDE mRNAs or lncRNAs in the most correlative modules with atherosclerosis. However, AMDE lncRNAs could not be conducted through WGCNA due to the low abundance of AMDE lncRNAs. According to the scale-free topology criteria, soft power 12 was chosen as the soft thresholding to set up a weighted adjacency matrix (Figure 3A). After calculating the module eigengenes, six modules were generated (Figure 3B). The red and yellow module eigengenes with lowest P values and highest correlated index were most related to the clinical atherosclerosis traits and analyzed in the further procedure (AAW and NAAW, respectively) (Figure 3C).

To obtain insight into the molecular function of these AMDE genes in atherosclerosis development, we performed GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analyses of the red and yellow AMDE mRNA modules and AMDE lncRNAs. The AMDE mRNAs in red modules showed a biological
process of neutrophil degranulation, neutrophil activation involved in immune response, and neutrophil-mediated immunity (Figure 3D), and MAPK and neurotrophin signaling, respectively, were the most significant pathways for AMDE mRNAs in red modules (Figure 3E). Furthermore, the AMDE mRNAs in yellow modules were significantly involved in interleukin-18-mediated signaling pathway and cellular response to interleukin-18 process (Figure 3F), and Figure 3G also showed that MAPK signaling was the most significant pathway for AMDE mRNAs in yellow modules. The GO analysis also showed that the AMDE lncRNAs were involved in protein O-linked fucosylation, negative regulation of axon extension involved in axon guidance, and negative regulation of axon guidance (Figure 3H), whereas KEGG analysis revealed that their most significant molecular function was axon guidance (Figure 3I).

Co-expression Network and K-Means Clustering in AAW Samples

Hub AMDE gene pairs were calculated by Spearman’s analysis (Figure 4A). To identify the core AMDE mRNAs and lncRNAs, node degree >10 was defined as the threshold. Ultimately, 32 mRNAs and seven lncRNAs were recognized as the core AMDE genes involved in the atherosclerotic process (Figure 4B).

Forty AAW samples from the training dataset (GSE40231) were chosen to further explore the core AMDE gene-associated subtypes by K-means consensus clustering, with the consensus distribution for each K value displayed using an empirical CDF plot (Figure 4C). Accordingly, we chose k = 2 as the optimal value due to the stable horizontal mid-portion between 0 and 1 and plotted the heat map of the consensus matrix for k = 2 (Figure 4D). Furthermore, the expression of the core AMDE genes for the different subtypes of atherosclerosis was plotted (Figure 4E). Lastly, WIZ and UNC45A were found to be the two DEGs mostly associated with two atherosclerosis subtypes (Figures 4F,G).

Furthermore, to verify that the two atherosclerosis subtypes were the optimal choices for K-means clustering, GSE28829 with 29 AAW samples was chosen as the validation profile. Consensus matrix heat maps for k-2, 3, and 4 (Supplementary Figures 1A–C), CDF plot (Supplementary Figure 1D), and tracking plot (Supplementary Figure 1E) indicated that K-means = 2 was recognized as the optimal value. Moreover, the preservation of discovery clusters was tested in K-means clusters of the validation dataset. The scatter plots of module membership showed positive correlations of the same subtype among different datasets (GSE40231 and GSE28829) (Supplementary Figures 2A,B). Furthermore, the network plots...
of the core AMDE genes in different subtypes were plotted (Supplementary Figures 2C–F).

Clinical Implication of the Signature AMDE Genes in Blood Sample Datasets
To explore the clinical implication of K-means clustering based on 39 core AMDE genes, the profiles of 125 blood samples of atherosclerosis patients (93 with obstructive CAD and 33 with no obstructive CAD) from the GSE90074 profile were included in the validation dataset. The CDF plot and the heat map of the consensus matrix showed that the two clusters of atherosclerotic blood samples still had better stable consensus distribution (Figures 5A,B).

Table 1 illustrates the baseline characteristics of the two atherosclerosis subtypes, indicating a higher prevalence of obstructive CAD \( (P = 0.028) \) in subtype B patients. In addition, subtype B patients, compared to subtype A patients, showed significantly more severe coronary atherosclerotic burden \( (P = 0.005) \). They were also thinner than subtype A patients \( (P = 0.031) \), suggesting a higher risk of CVD events. Moreover, logistic regression analysis showed a strong correlation between obstructive CAD and atherosclerotic subtypes even after adjustment \( (P = 0.022) \) (Table 2). Additionally, we used the partitioning around medoids algorithm to re-cluster the same dataset (GSE90074) and observed that these clusters, compared to the K-means clusters, showed a relatively poor discriminatory ability compared with K-means clusters (Supplementary Table 2).

Furthermore, SAM was performed, revealing that 10 AMDE genes (out of the 39 core AMDE genes) were most significantly differentiated K-means-derived atherosclerotic subtypes (Figure 5C). Moreover, we performed PAM and determined the unique features (AMDE genes) of the different atherosclerosis subtypes (Figure 5D). \( \text{PARP15, SERGEF, PDGFD, MRPL45, UBR1, STAU1, WIZ, and LSM4} \) were ultimately selected as signature AMDE genes, and the raw expression levels of these eight unique resultant genes in the given specific threshold were plotted (Figure 5E).

To validate the classifier performance for the atherosclerosis subtypes based on eight signature AMDE genes from PAM analysis, GSE34822 was involved in the validation procedure. We plotted the shrunken subtype centroids for atherosclerosis subtype and the raw expression of eight signature AMDE genes of different atherosclerosis subtypes in GSE34822 (Supplementary Figure 3). Moreover, the sensitivity, specificity, precision, and accuracy for the K-means subtypes were calculated by 10-fold cross-validation of the signature AMDE gene-based classifier.
and repeated 10 times in GSE90074 (Table 3), and then this classifier was used in GSE34822 (Supplementary Table 3). Accordingly, the areas under the ROC curve (AUCs) of the classifier from GSE90074 for atherosclerosis subtypes were obtained in the same testing and testing process, suggesting the good distinguishable ability of the classifier (Figure 5F). Furthermore, the expression of these eight signature AMDE genes in the different atherosclerosis subtypes is shown in Figure 6.

**DISCUSSION**

The role of methylation in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis has drawn increasing attention, and it is currently believed that investigating the improved insight on the methylation landscape on atherosclerosis development is pivotal for understanding the disease (Grimaldi et al., 2015). Recently, studies in patients with atherosclerosis have revealed a unique methylation profile (Zaina and Lund, 2014) and suggested that many factors, including shear
stress, inflammation, oxidative stress, hyperhomocysteinemia, aging, and low-density lipoprotein oxidation, can initiate atherosclerosis-related methylation (Chan et al., 2004; Yideng et al., 2007; Steucke et al., 2015; Lee and Chiu, 2019). In particular, it was demonstrated that the protein-coding genes KLF-2, KLF-4, HoxA5, ABCA1, and DDAH2 are hypermethylated and down-regulated, whereas PDGF, MCP-1, LDLR, LOX-1, and BAX are hypomethylated and up-regulated in a DNA methyltransferase (DNMT)-dependent manner during atherosclerosis progression (Dunn et al., 2014, 2015; Rizzacasa et al., 2019). The IncRNA-coding gene, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B antisense RNA 1 (CDKN2B-AS1), can bind to DNMT1, thereby enhancing the methylation of the A disintegrin and metalloprotease 10 (ADAM10) promoter, leading to the suppression of the atherosclerotic inflammatory response and the

### TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of validation data by subtype of atherosclerosis.

| Characteristics      | Subtype A (n = 57) | Subtype B (n = 68) | P value |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Male sex             | 33 (58%)           | 37 (54%)           | 0.72    |
| White race           | 40 (70%)           | 57 (84%)           | 0.08    |
| Diabetes             | 27 (47%)           | 22 (32%)           | 0.10    |
| Hyperlipidemia       | 43 (75%)           | 53 (78%)           | 0.83    |
| Hypertension         | 48 (84%)           | 62 (91%)           | 0.28    |
| Body mass index, kg/m²| 29.5 (25.8–33.7)   | 27.0 (24.6–30.5)   | 0.031   |
| Obstructive CAD      | 37 (65%)           | 56 (82%)           | 0.028   |
| CAD class            |                    |                    |         |
| 1                    | 20 (35%)           | 12 (18%)           | 0.005   |
| 2                    | 15 (26%)           | 16 (24%)           |         |
| 3                    | 14 (25%)           | 12 (18%)           |         |
| 4                    | 8 (14%)            | 28 (41%)           |         |

### TABLE 2 | Binary logistic regression analysis of subtype and obstructive coronary artery diseases.

| Variable                  | Odd ratio | 95% CI      | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Univariate analysis       |           |             |         |
| Subtype B/A               | 2.52      | 1.10–5.77   | 0.028   |
| Gender (male)             | 2.88      | 1.12–7.42   | 0.028   |

Model 1<sup>a</sup>

| Variable                  | Odd ratio | 95% CI      | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Subtype B/A               | 2.86      | 1.16–7.03   | 0.022   |

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for gender, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, race, diabetes, and body mass index.

CDK, coronary artery disease.
promotion of cholesterol efflux in THP-1 macrophages (Li et al., 2019). In addition, the knockdown of IncRNA growth arrest-specific 5 (GAS5) promoted ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) expression by inhibiting the methylation of the 
ABCA1 promoter region, hence enhancing cholesterol reverse-transportation and reducing intracellular lipid accumulation (Meng et al., 2020). Despite these evidences, only few studies have illustrated the regulatory relationship between methylation and IncRNA-coding genes in atherosclerosis. Therefore, we conducted an integrative analysis of methylation and genes (including IncRNA and protein-coding genes) to explore the genome methylation conditions.

Most differential CpG sites were found to be hypermethylated in atherosclerosis samples (11,995 hypermethylated vs. 4,904 hypomethylated), which is in agreement with the findings of previous studies (Rangel-Salazar et al., 2011; Zaina et al., 2014). A subsequent functional analysis further revealed that AMDE genes in the red and yellow modules played pivotal roles in the inflammatory processes, including neutrophil activation, neutrophil-mediated immunity, and cellular response.

**FIGURE 6** The expressions of eight signature aberrantly methylated-differentially expressed genes in different atherosclerosis subtype (GSE90074). The expression levels of (A) LSM4, (B) MRPL45, (C) PARP15, (D) PDGFD, (E) SERGEF, (F) STAU1, (G) UBR1, (H) WIZ in different subtypes of atherosclerosis.

**TABLE 3** Classification performance of the resultant gene signature having all the features and samples for GSE90074.

| Evaluation criteria     | Average (SD) |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Sensitivity             | 0.868 (0.27%)|
| Specificity             | 0.826 (0.22%)|
| Precision               | 0.855 (0.20%)|
| Accuracy                | 0.848 (0.30%)|
to IL-18. Additionally, the KEGG pathway analysis indicated that MAPK signaling could be the key pathway underlying methylation abnormalities in atherosclerosis. Furthermore, AMDE IncRNAs were strongly associated with axon guidance and the semaphorin–plexin signaling pathway. Interestingly, semaphorins were originally identified for their role in the axon guidance pathway during the embryonic development of the nervous system (Van Agtmael et al., 2010). Interestingly, emerging evidence has also suggested that the genes of the axon guidance pathway are related to atherosclerosis. For instance, Sema3A, one of the members of the semaphorin family, has been shown to induce the apoptosis of endothelial cells and monocyte-derived macrophages (Guttmann-Raviv et al., 2007; Moretti et al., 2008; Vadasz et al., 2013).

To validate the K-means clusters from the training dataset, we conducted K-means clustering analysis in the validation dataset (GSE28829). Both GSE40231 and GSE28829 included tissue samples from a large (aortic and carotid) artery plaque and measured the global gene expression based on the same platform (GPL570). The “ModulePreservation” function of WGCNA package revealed that the K-means clusters from different datasets had similar core AMDE genes expressions. To also investigate the clinical implication of K-means clustering, we also conducted K-means clustering in the blood sample dataset (GSE90074), which was a more easily obtained tissue in atherosclerosis patients. CAD is a severe form of atherosclerosis; however, current techniques for directly identifying the high risk of CAD patients are restricted to coronary computer tomography, angiography, and intravascular ultrasound. Our results showed that the K-means clusters were associated with coronary atherosclerotic burden in GSE90074, including the data of atherosclerosis patients with CAD class > 0 (n = 125). To further construct a signature AMDE gene-based classifier for atherosclerotic subtypes, SAM and PAM were implemented to select the signature AMDE genes. Eight signature AMDE gene-derived classifiers showed an outstanding discriminative ability for atherosclerosis subtypes in both GSE90074 and GSE34822. Hence, detection the eight signature AMDE genes expression in a clinical situation could contribute to risk stratification of atherosclerosis patients.

Obesity has been considered a risk factor for CVD for several decades (Van Gaal et al., 2006). However, subtype B patients, who showed much worse coronary atherosclerotic severity, were found to be less likely to develop obesity. Hence, we assumed that subtype B patients were more vulnerable to atherosclerosis-related CVD events, such as obstructive CAD. Therefore, these high-risk patients could benefit from early appropriate medication, including statins and anti-platelet drugs. The variation in the different atherosclerosis subtypes could be explained by the signature AMDE genes significantly involved in atherosclerosis progression. Among these genes, only STAU1, SERGEF, and PDGFD are known to be associated with atherosclerosis. Microvesicles containing STAU1-microRNA were found to significantly delay atherosclerosis development by mitigating dyslipidemia, hypertension, and heart wall remodeling (Alexandru et al., 2020). In addition, large cohort genome-wide admixture and association studies demonstrated that SNPs in SERGEF gene region were strongly associated with common carotid artery intima-media thickness (Shendre et al., 2017). Several studies have demonstrated that PDGFD plays a vital role in atherosclerosis development (Karvinen et al., 2009; Lee and Li, 2018). Moreover, PDGFD mRNA and protein expression were induced after the differentiation of THP-1 monocytes into macrophages, while PDGFD enhanced matrix metalloproteinase-2 mRNA expression in a concentration-dependent manner (Wagster et al., 2009). Furthermore, the secretion of PDGFD by macrophages could attenuate the expression of smooth muscle (SM) α-actin, SM-myosin heavy chains in smooth muscle cells, and phenotypic conversion (Thomas et al., 2009). Additionally, a genome-wide association study revealed that SNPs in the PDGFD locus are related to CAD (Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Genetics Consortium, 2011).

Although many interesting points have been demonstrated in our study, there are still some limitations. Firstly, more homogenous samples for methylation and transcription datasets should be obtained in a further study to more precisely identify the genes involved in the atherosclerotic development. Secondly, the atherosclerosis development-related CpG sites, especially in the promoter and the enhancer regions of the genes, should be further demonstrated.

In conclusion, the expression and the methylation microarray analyses of mRNAs and IncRNAs were performed, and then K-means clustering analysis revealed high-risk atherosclerosis subtypes, which are characterized by AMDE genes. Eight signature AMDE genes were recognized as potential key molecules in atherogenesis progression and could be used as the classifier for risk stratification for atherosclerosis patients. Nevertheless, further in vitro and in vivo studies are required to provide additional details on the molecular mechanisms of the underlying role of the eight signature AMDE genes in atherosclerosis development and progression.
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