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Context: The advent of Web-based sports injury surveillance via programs such as the High School Reporting Information Online system and the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program has aided the acquisition of boys’ and men’s baseball injury data.

Objective: To describe the epidemiology of injuries sustained in high school boys’ baseball in the 2005–2006 through 2013–2014 academic years and collegiate men’s baseball in the 2004–2005 through 2013–2014 academic years using Web-based sports injury surveillance.

Design: Descriptive epidemiology study.

Setting: Online injury surveillance from baseball teams in high school boys (annual average = 100) and collegiate men (annual average = 34).

Patients or Other Participants: Boys’ or men’s baseball players who participated in practices and competitions during the 2005–2006 through 2013–2014 academic years in high school or the 2004–2005 through 2013–2014 academic years in college, respectively.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Athletic trainers collected time-loss injury and exposure data. Injury rates per 1000 athlete-exposures (AEs) were calculated. Injury rate ratios (IRRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) compared injury rates by school size or division, time in season, event type, and competition level.

Results: The High School Reporting Information Online system documented 1537 time-loss injuries during 1573257 AEs; the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program documented 2574 time-loss injuries during 804737 AEs. The injury rate was higher in college than in high school (3.20 versus 0.98/1000 AEs; IRR = 3.27; 95% CI = 3.07, 3.49). The competition injury rate was higher than the practice injury rate in high school (IRR = 2.27; 95% CI = 2.05, 2.51) and college (IRR = 2.32; 95% CI = 2.15, 2.51). Baseball players at the high school and collegiate levels sustained a variety of injuries across the body, with the most common injuries reported to the upper extremity. Many injuries also occurred while fielding or pitching.

Conclusions: Injury rates were greater in collegiate versus high school baseball and in competition versus practice. These findings highlight the need for injury-prevention interventions focused on reducing the incidence of upper extremity injuries and protecting batters from pitches and fielders from batted balls.
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Key Points
- The rate of injury in collegiate men’s baseball exceeded that of high school boys’ baseball.
- Competition injury rates were higher than practice injury rates.
- Most reported injuries affected the upper extremity.
Participation in boys’ and men’s baseball is popular at the high school and collegiate levels. In the 2013–2014 academic year, baseball was the fourth most common sport among high school males, played by 11% of male high school athletic participants. The sport is even more popular at the collegiate level. In the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), baseball had the second largest number of male student-athletes participating; baseball players made up 12% of all NCAA male student-athletes. The popularity and high levels of participation have remained consistent since 2004–2005, despite the emerging popularity of other sports, such as lacrosse.

Injuries are an inherent potential risk of sport participation. Baseball involves contact and requires repetitive, high-demand activities, which can lead to injury. In boys’ high school baseball, the overall time-loss injury rate has been reported as 1.26/1000 athlete-exposures (AEs), with most injuries resulting in time loss of less than 7 days. In men’s collegiate baseball, injury rates reported for practices (1.85/1000 AEs) and competitions (5.78/1000 AEs) suggested a relatively low injury risk. However, 25% of injuries resulted in time loss of at least 10 days.

As denoted in the van Mechelen et al framework, injury prevention benefits from ongoing monitoring of injury incidence, and so updated descriptive epidemiology is needed. Further, despite potentially significant differences in athlete maturity and intensity of play, previous authors have not compared the epidemiology of injuries between high school boys’ and collegiate men’s baseball players. The NCAA has used injury surveillance to acquire collegiate sports injury data since the 1980s. Although this NCAA-based surveillance system has had several names, we herein denote it as the NCAA Injury Surveillance Program (ISP). Since the 2004–2005 academic year, the NCAA has used a Web-based platform to collect collegiate sports injury and exposure data via athletic trainers (ATs). A year later, the High School Reporting Information Online system (HS RIO), a similar Web-based high school sports injury-surveillance system, was launched. The purpose of this article is to summarize the descriptive epidemiology of injuries sustained in high school boys’ and collegiate men’s baseball players during the first decade of Web-based sports injury surveillance (2004–2005 through 2013–2014 academic years).

METHODS

Data Sources and Study Period

This study used data collected by HS RIO and the NCAA-ISP, sports injury-surveillance programs for the high school and collegiate levels, respectively. Use of the HS RIO data was approved by the Nationwide Children’s Hospital Subjects Review Board (Columbus, OH). Use of the NCAA-ISP data was approved by the Research Review Board at the NCAA.

An average of 100 high schools sponsoring boys’ baseball provided data to the HS RIO random sample during the 2005–2006 through 2013–2014 academic years (2005–2006 was the first year HS RIO collected data). An average of 43 NCAA member institutions (Division I = 14, Division II = 7, Division III = 13) sponsoring men’s baseball participated in the NCAA-ISP during the 2004–2005 through 2013–2014 academic years. The methods of HS RIO and the NCAA-ISP are summarized in the following sections. In-depth information on the methods and analyses for this special series of articles on Web-based sports injury surveillance can be found in the previously published methodologic article. In addition, previous publications have described the sampling and data collection of HS RIO and the NCAA-ISP in depth.

High School RIO

High School RIO consists of a sample of high schools with 1 or more National Athletic Trainers’ Association-affiliated ATs who had valid e-mail addresses. The ATs from participating high schools reported injury incidence and AE information weekly throughout the academic year using a secure Web site. For each injury, the AT completed a detailed report on the injured athlete (age, height, weight, etc), the injury (site, diagnosis, severity, etc), and the injury event (activity, mechanism, etc). Throughout each academic year, participating ATs were able to view and update previously submitted reports as needed with new information (eg, time loss).

Data for HS RIO during the 2005–2006 through 2013–2014 academic years originated from a random sample of 100 schools that were recruited annually. Eligible schools were randomly selected from 8 strata (12 or 13 per stratum) based on school population (enrollment ≤1000 or >1000) and US Census geographic region. The ATs from these schools reported data for the 9 sports of interest (boys’ baseball, basketball, football, soccer, and wrestling and girls’ basketball, soccer, softball, and volleyball). If a school dropped out of the system, a replacement from the same stratum was selected.

In HS RIO, national injury estimates were calculated from injury counts obtained from the sample. A weighting algorithm based on the inverse probability of participant schools’ selection for the study (based on geographic location and high school size) was applied to individual case counts in order to calculate the national injury estimates.

The NCAA-ISP

The NCAA-ISP depends on a convenience sample of teams with ATs voluntarily reporting injury and exposure data. Participation in the NCAA-ISP, although voluntary, is available to all NCAA institutions. For each injury event, the AT completes a detailed report on the injury or condition (eg, site, diagnosis) and the circumstances (eg, activity, mechanism, event type [ie, competition or practice]). The ATs are able to view and update previously submitted information as needed during the course of a season. In addition, ATs also provide the number of student-athletes participating in each practice and competition. Data collection for the 2004–2005 through 2013–2014 academic years is described in the following paragraph.

During the 2004–2005 through 2008–2009 academic years, ATs used a Web-based platform launched by the NCAA to track injury and exposure data. This platform integrated some of the functional components of an electronic medical record, such as athlete demographic information and preseason injury information. During the 2009–2010 through 2013–2014 academic years, the Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention, Inc (Datalys Center, Indianapolis, IN) introduced a common data element (CDE)...
data to be gathered from different electronic medical record or injury-documentation applications, including the Athletic Trainer System (Keffer Development, Grove City, PA), the Injury Surveillance Tool (Datalys Center), and the Sports Injury Monitoring System (FlanTech, Iowa City, IA). The CDE export standard allowed ATs to document injuries as they normally would during their daily clinical practice, as opposed to asking them to report injuries solely for the purpose of participation in an injury-surveillance program. Data were deidentified and sent to the Datalys Center, where they were examined by data quality-control staff and a verification engine.

To calculate national estimates of the number of injuries and AEs, poststratification sample weights, based on sport, division, and academic year, were applied to each reported injury and AE. Poststratification sample weights were calculated using the formula

\[
\text{Weight}_{ijk} = \left( \frac{\text{Number of teams participating in ISP}_{ijk}}{\text{Number of teams in NCAA}_{ijk}} \right)^{-1}
\]

where \(\text{weight}_{ijk}\) is the weight for the \(i\)th sport of the \(j\)th division in the \(k\)th year. Weights for all data were further adjusted to correct for underreporting, according to the findings of Kucera et al,\(^{11}\) who estimated that the ISP captured 88.3% of all time-loss medical-care injury events. Weighted counts were scaled up by a factor of (0.883\(^{-1}\)).

**Definitions**

**Injury.** A reportable injury in both HS RIO and the NCAA-ISP was defined as an injury that (1) occurred as a result of participation in an organized practice or competition, (2) required medical attention by a certified AT or physician, and (3) resulted in restriction of the student-athlete’s participation for 1 or more days beyond the day of injury. Since the 2007–2008 academic year, HS RIO has also captured all concussions, fractures, and dental injuries, regardless of time loss. In the NCAA-ISP, multiple injuries occurring from 1 injury event could be included, whereas in HS RIO, only the principal injury was captured. Beginning in the 2009–2010 academic year, the NCAA-ISP also began to monitor all non–time-loss injuries. A non–time-loss injury was defined as any injury that was evaluated or treated (or both) by an AT or physician but did not result in restriction from participation beyond the day of injury. However, because HS RIO captured only time-loss injuries (to reduce the burden on high school ATs), for this series of publications, only time-loss injuries (with the exception of concussions, fractures, and dental injuries as noted earlier) were included.

**Athlete-Exposure.** For both surveillance systems, a reportable AE was defined as 1 student-athlete participating in 1 school-sanctioned practice or competition in which he or she was exposed to the possibility of athletic injury, regardless of the time associated with that participation. Preseason scrimmages were considered practice exposures, not competition exposures.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SAS-Enterprise Guide software (version 5.4; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).
In both high school and college, the majority of injuries occurred during competitions (both 55.3%; Table 1). The competition injury rate was higher than the practice injury rate in both high school (IRR = 2.27; 95% CI = 2.05, 2.51) and college (IRR = 2.32; 95% CI = 2.15, 2.51). No linear trends were found for the annual injury rates for high school practices (annual average change of -0.02/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.05, 0.01) or competitions (annual average change of -0.04/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.09, 0.02; Figure). Decreases were present in the 2004–2005 through 2008–2009 academic years for practices (annual average change of -0.24/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.47, -0.01) and competitions (annual average change of -0.34/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.57, -0.10). A linear trend was noted in the 2009–2010 through 2013–2014 academic years for competitions (annual average change of -0.32/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.47, -0.17) but not for practices (annual average change of 0.04/1000 AEs; 95% CI = -0.12, 0.20).

### Time in Season
Among both high school and collegiate athletes, most injuries occurred during the regular season (high school = 74.6%, college = 65.9%; Table 2). In college, injury rates in the preseason and regular season did not differ (IRR = 0.96; 95% CI = 0.89, 1.05). However, when restricting analyses to practices, injury rates in the preseason were higher than those in the regular season (IRR = 2.24; 95% CI = 1.97, 2.54). Compared with the postseason, injury rates were higher in the preseason (IRR = 1.69; 95% CI = 1.30, 2.18) and the regular season (IRR = 1.75; 95% CI = 1.36, 2.26). Injury rates by time in season could not be calculated for high school as AEs were not stratified by time in season.

### Time Loss From Participation
For both high school and collegiate athletes, more than half of reported injuries resulted in time loss of at least 1 week (Table 3). In both high school and collegiate competitions, approximately one-quarter of all reported injuries resulted in time loss of more than 3 weeks. In practices, 19.6% of reported high school injuries and 30.9% of collegiate injuries resulted in time loss of more than 3 weeks.

### Body Parts Injured and Diagnoses
**High School.** The most commonly injured body parts were the shoulder/clavicle (18.5%) and head/face (17.6%) in practices and the head/face (18.7%) and hand/wrist (15.4%) in competitions (Table 4). The most frequent injury

---

**Table 1. Injury Rates by School Size or Division and Type of Athlete-Exposure in High School Boys’ and Collegiate Men’s Baseball**

| Surveillance System and School Size or Division | Exposure Type | Injuries in Sample, No. (%) | National Estimates, No. (%) | Athlete-Exposures | Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| HS RIO (2005–2006 through 2013–2014)          | Practice      | 358 (44.0)                  | 147 952 (44.2)              | 403 008           | 0.79 (0.70, 0.88)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 404 (56.0)                  | 186 778 (55.8)              | 212 246           | 1.90 (1.72, 2.09)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 762 (100.0)                 | 334 731 (100.0)             | 615 254           | 1.17 (1.09, 1.26)                                           |
| >1000 students                                | Practice      | 369 (45.3)                  | 74 019 (46.2)               | 615 555           | 0.60 (0.54, 0.66)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 446 (54.7)                  | 86 043 (53.8)               | 343 448           | 1.30 (1.18, 1.42)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 815 (100.0)                 | 160 062 (100.0)             | 958 003           | 0.85 (0.79, 0.91)                                           |
| Total                                         | Practice      | 687 (44.7)                  | 221 971 (44.9)              | 1 017 563         | 0.68 (0.62, 0.73)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 850 (55.3)                  | 272 822 (55.1)              | 555 694           | 1.53 (1.43, 1.63)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 1 537 (100.0)               | 494 793 (100.0)             | 1 573 257         | 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)                                           |
| NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 through 2013–2014)       | Division I    | Practice                    | 536 (42.3)                  | 10 970 (42.8)     | 210 928                                                    |
|                                               | Competition   | 730 (57.7)                  | 14 680 (57.2)               | 145 218           | 5.03 (4.66, 5.39)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 1 266 (100.0)               | 25 650 (100.0)              | 356 147           | 3.55 (3.36, 3.75)                                           |
| Division II                                   | Practice      | 192 (38.8)                  | 6654 (39.1)                 | 121 590           | 1.58 (1.36, 1.80)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 303 (61.2)                  | 10 370 (60.9)               | 56 171            | 5.39 (4.79, 6.00)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 495 (100.0)                 | 17 024 (100.0)              | 177 761           | 2.78 (2.54, 3.03)                                           |
| Division III                                  | Practice      | 422 (51.9)                  | 13 467 (51.2)               | 192 185           | 2.20 (1.99, 2.41)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 391 (48.1)                  | 12 857 (48.8)               | 78 643            | 4.97 (4.48, 5.46)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 813 (100.0)                 | 26 323 (100.0)              | 270 828           | 3.00 (2.80, 3.21)                                           |
| Total                                         | Practice      | 1 150 (44.7)                | 31 091 (45.1)               | 524 704           | 2.19 (2.07, 2.32)                                           |
|                                               | Competition   | 1 424 (55.3)                | 37 906 (54.9)               | 280 033           | 5.09 (4.82, 5.35)                                           |
|                                               | Total         | 2 574 (100.0)               | 68 997 (100.0)              | 804 737           | 3.20 (3.07, 3.32)                                           |

**Abbreviations:** HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

*a High school data originated from the HS RIO surveillance data, 2005–2006 through 2013–2014; collegiate data originated from the NCAA-ISP surveillance data, 2004–2005 through 2013–2014. Injuries included in the analysis were those that (1) occurred during a sanctioned practice or competition; (2) were evaluated or treated (or both) by an athletic trainer, physician, or other health care professional; and (3) restricted the student-athlete from participation for at least 24 hours past the day of injury. All concussions, fractures, and dental injuries were included in the analysis regardless of time loss. The data may include multiple injuries that occurred at 1 injury event. National estimates and athlete-exposures may not sum to totals due to rounding error.

95% CI = 1.08, 1.29). However, total injury rates in Divisions II and III did not differ (IRR = 0.93; 95% CI = 0.83, 1.04).
diagnoses from practices and competitions were muscle/tendon strains (practices = 25.0%, competitions = 19.9%) and ligament sprains (practices = 18.8%, competitions = 19.4%; Table 5). Other common injury diagnoses were fractures/avulsions (12.9%) in practices and contusions (17.5%) and fractures/avulsions (16.1%) in competitions. College. The most commonly injured body parts in practices and competitions were the shoulder/clavicle fractures/avulsions (12.9%) in practices and contusions (17.5%) and fractures/avulsions (16.1%) in competitions.

Table 2. Injury Rates by Time in Season and Type of Athlete-Exposure in High School Boys’ and Collegiate Men’s Baseball

| Time in Season | Exposure Type | HS RIO (2005–2006 Through 2013–2014) | NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 Through 2013–2014) |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                | Injuries in Sample, No. (%) | National Estimates, No. (%) | Injuries in Sample, No. (%) | National Estimates, No. (%) | Athlete-Exposures | Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval) |
| Preseason      | Practice       | 288 (86.8) | 99145 (87.3) | 793 (97.2) | 21703 (97) | 254577 | 3.11 (2.90, 3.33) |
|                | Competition    | 44 (13.2) | 14469 (12.7) | 23 (2.8) | 683 (3.1) | 2698 | 8.52 (5.04, 12.01) |
|                | Total          | 332 (100.0) | 113614 (100.0) | 816 (100.0) | 22385 (100.0) | 257274 | 3.17 (2.95, 3.39) |
| Regular season | Practice       | 388 (34.0) | 118013 (32.7) | 349 (20.6) | 9074 (20.3) | 250579 | 1.39 (1.25, 1.54) |
|                | Competition    | 754 (66.0) | 242584 (67.3) | 1347 (79.4) | 35652 (79.7) | 264008 | 5.10 (4.83, 5.37) |
|                | Total          | 1142 (100.0) | 360597 (100.0) | 1696 (100.0) | 44726 (100.0) | 514587 | 3.30 (3.14, 3.45) |
| Postseason     | Practice       | 8 (14.0) | 2993 (18.1) | 8 (12.9) | 315 (16.7) | 19648 | 0.41 (0.13, 0.69) |
|                | Competition    | 49 (86.0) | 13537 (81.9) | 54 (87.1) | 1571 (83.3) | 13327 | 4.05 (2.97, 5.13) |
|                | Total          | 57 (100.0) | 16530 (100.0) | 62 (100.0) | 1866 (100.0) | 32975 | 1.88 (1.41, 2.35) |

Abbreviations: HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

---

Figure. Injury rates by year and type of athlete-exposure (AE) in high school boys’ and collegiate men’s baseball. Annual average changes for linear trend test for injury rates are as follows: High School Reporting Information Online (RIO; practices = –0.02/1000 AEs, 95% confidence interval (CI) = –0.05, 0.01; competitions = –0.04/1000 AEs, 95% CI = –0.09, 0.02); National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA-ISP) 2004–2005 through 2008–2009 (practices = –0.24/1000 AEs, 95% CI = –0.47, –0.01; competitions = –0.34/1000 AEs, 95% CI = –0.57, –0.10); NCAA-ISP 2009–2010 through 2013–2014 academic years (practices = 0.04/1000 AEs, 95% CI = –0.12, 0.20; competitions = –0.32/1000 AEs, 95% CI = –0.47, –0.17). A negative rate indicates a decrease in the annual average change between years, and a positive rate indicates an increase in the annual average change. Any 95% CIs that include 0.00 are not significant.
The most frequent injury diagnoses in practices and competitions occurred while running bases. The most common mechanism of injury during practices at both high school and collegiate baseball was muscle/tendon strains (practices = 21.1%, competitions = 16.2%), arm/elbow sprain (practices = 15.5%, competitions = 14.7%), and hip/thigh/upper leg sprain (practices = 13.2%, competitions = 15.3%).

Mechanisms of Injury and Activities

High School. The most common mechanism of injury during practices and competitions was no contact (practices = 31.2%, competitions = 24.3%; Table 6). Other frequent mechanisms of injury were overuse/chronic (18.3%), contact with the playing surface (14.2%), and contact with batted ball (10.6%) in practices and contact with another person (16.3%), contact with the playing surface (13.7%), and contact with pitch (11.2%) in competitions. Common activities that resulted in injury in practices and competitions were fielding (practices = 24.6%, competitions = 20.9%) and pitching (practices = 17.5%, competitions = 14.3%; Table 7). Also, 19.3% and 16.6% of injuries during competitions occurred while running bases and batting, respectively.

College. The most frequent mechanisms of injury during practices and competitions were no contact (practices = 44.3%, competitions = 39.7%) and overuse/chronic (practices = 25.3%, competitions = 11.0%; Table 6). Common activities associated with injury in practices and competitions were pitching (practices = 24.3%, competitions = 21.4%), fielding (practices = 16.2%, competitions = 19.5%), and batting (practices = 11.1%, competitions = 17.4%; Table 7). Also, 20.3% of injuries sustained during competitions occurred while running bases.

Position-Specific Injuries in Competitions

During competitions, the most frequent injury depended on position (Table 8). For example, concussions were the most often reported injury for high school batters (11.4%) and catchers (14.8%). The most common injury for both high school and collegiate pitchers was arm/elbow sprain (high school = 13.5%, college = 17.3%). Lastly, hip/thigh/upper leg sprains were the most frequent injury for high school outfielders (12.0%) and collegiate base runners (34.4%), catchers (14.1%), infielders (13.3%), and outfielders (14.5%).

DISCUSSION

Given the popularity of baseball among male student-athletes, examination of the injury incidence among high school boys’ and collegiate men’s baseball is important. Although a multitude of researchers have examined baseball injuries, the majority focused on upper extremity injuries among pitchers. No investigators have described the findings can inform care providers about which injuries they can expect to treat, such as overuse injuries, which were prevalent at both levels but particularly at the collegiate level. However, our results also highlight the
need for further in-depth research into specific injuries and areas of the sport.

Comparison of Injury Rates With Previous Research

Table 4. Number of Injuries, National Estimates, and Injury Rates by Body Part Injured and Type of Athlete-Exposure in High School Boys' and Collegiate Men’s Baseball

| Surveillance System and Body Part Injured | Practices | | | Competitions |  |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Injuries in Sample, No. (%)** | **National Estimates, No. (%)** | **Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval)** | **Injuries in Sample, No. (%)** | **National Estimates, No. (%)** | **Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval)** |
| **HS RIO (2005–2006 through 2013–2014)** | | | | | |
| Head/face | 121 (17.6) | 35 484 (16.0) | 0.12 (0.10, 0.14) | 159 (18.7) | 51 966 (19.1) | 0.29 (0.24, 0.33) |
| Neck | 6 (0.9) | 2225 (1.0) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 8 (0.9) | 2322 (0.9) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) |
| Shoulder/clavicle | 127 (18.5) | 47 241 (21.3) | 0.12 (0.10, 0.15) | 100 (11.8) | 34 095 (12.5) | 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) |
| Arm/elbow | 84 (12.2) | 25 741 (11.6) | 0.08 (0.06, 0.10) | 101 (11.9) | 29 201 (10.7) | 0.18 (0.15, 0.22) |
| Hand/wrist | 85 (12.4) | 24 681 (11.1) | 0.08 (0.07, 0.10) | 131 (15.4) | 43 674 (16.0) | 0.24 (0.20, 0.28) |
| Trunk | 40 (5.8) | 12 053 (5.4) | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05) | 34 (4.0) | 11 179 (4.1) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) |
| Hip/thigh/upper leg | 60 (8.8) | 19 043 (8.6) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.07) | 101 (11.9) | 31 902 (11.7) | 0.18 (0.15, 0.22) |
| Knee | 50 (7.3) | 17 034 (7.7) | 0.05 (0.04, 0.06) | 74 (8.7) | 22 893 (8.4) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.16) |
| Lower leg | 29 (4.2) | 8747 (4.0) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.04) | 29 (3.4) | 9768 (3.6) | 0.05 (0.03, 0.07) |
| Ankle | 66 (9.6) | 22 670 (10.2) | 0.06 (0.05, 0.08) | 93 (11.0) | 30 724 (11.3) | 0.17 (0.13, 0.20) |
| Foot | 14 (2.0) | 5562 (2.5) | 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) | 11 (1.3) | 2893 (1.1) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) |
| Other | 4 (0.6) | 1156 (0.5) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 8 (0.9) | 2109 (0.8) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) |
| **NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 through 2013–2014)** | | | | | |
| Head/face | 70 (6.1) | 2518 (8.1) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.16) | 149 (10.5) | 3266 (8.6) | 0.53 (0.45, 0.62) |
| Neck | 8 (0.7) | 166 (0.5) | 0.02 (0.00, 0.03) | 12 (0.8) | 321 (0.9) | 0.04 (0.02, 0.07) |
| Shoulder/clavicle | 243 (21.1) | 6241 (20.1) | 0.46 (0.40, 0.52) | 231 (16.2) | 6077 (16.0) | 0.82 (0.72, 0.93) |
| Arm/elbow | 178 (15.5) | 5120 (16.5) | 0.34 (0.29, 0.39) | 209 (14.7) | 5828 (15.4) | 0.75 (0.65, 0.85) |
| Hand/wrist | 115 (10.0) | 3103 (10.0) | 0.22 (0.18, 0.26) | 205 (14.4) | 5467 (14.4) | 0.73 (0.63, 0.83) |
| Trunk | 111 (9.7) | 3232 (10.4) | 0.21 (0.17, 0.25) | 90 (6.3) | 1837 (4.9) | 0.32 (0.25, 0.39) |
| Hip/thigh/upper leg | 152 (13.2) | 3988 (12.8) | 0.29 (0.24, 0.34) | 218 (15.3) | 5813 (15.3) | 0.78 (0.68, 0.88) |
| Knee | 80 (7.0) | 1813 (5.8) | 0.15 (0.12, 0.19) | 92 (6.5) | 2457 (6.5) | 0.33 (0.26, 0.40) |
| Lower leg | 39 (3.4) | 961 (3.1) | 0.07 (0.05, 0.10) | 51 (3.6) | 1408 (3.7) | 0.18 (0.13, 0.23) |
| Ankle | 102 (8.9) | 2682 (8.6) | 0.16 (0.13, 0.20) | 107 (11.0) | 30 724 (11.3) | 0.17 (0.13, 0.20) |
| Foot | 31 (2.7) | 733 (2.4) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) | 48 (3.4) | 1239 (3.3) | 0.17 (0.12, 0.22) |
| Other | 21 (1.8) | 536 (1.7) | 0.04 (0.02, 0.06) | 12 (0.8) | 690 (1.8) | 0.04 (0.02, 0.07) |

Abbreviations: HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

a High school data originated from the HS RIO surveillance data, 2005–2006 through 2013–2014; collegiate data originated from the NCAA-ISP surveillance data, 2004–2005 through 2013–2014. Injuries included in the analysis were those that (1) occurred during a sanctioned practice or competition; (2) were evaluated or treated (or both) by an athletic trainer, physician, or other health care professional; and (3) restricted the student-athlete from participation for at least 24 hours past the day of injury. All concussions, fractures, and dental injuries were included in the analysis regardless of time loss. The data may include multiple injuries that occurred at 1 injury event. Excluded were 2 injuries reported in HS RIO because of missing data for body part. Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding error.
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Injury Rates. The injury rates observed in collegiate men’s baseball were more than 3 times those seen in high school boys’ baseball (IRR = 3.27). This finding was consistent across practices and competitions. Many explanations are possible for the large difference in rates. Although intensity of play is likely higher at the collegiate level, rates may also vary because of the differential availability of athletic training resources at the high school and collegiate levels. Collegiate student-athletes often have more consistent and greater access to an AT, which may increase the reporting of injuries.17–19 In many colleges, an AT is dedicated primarily to baseball or to only a few sports. By contrast, the majority of high schools have 1 AT reporting data for all sports. These additional AT resources at the collegiate level could lead to higher levels of injury reporting because of these ATs’ greater familiarity with and access to the athletes. Fatigue and increased workload are also of greater concern in the collegiate setting. In college, practice and training are more likely to occur year round, though specialization is increasing in high school.20,21 Year-round practice and training may increase overuse injuries and injuries due to fatigue.22,23 In collegiate men’s baseball, 25% of injuries were due to an overuse/chronic mechanism and 44% were due to no contact, as compared with 18% due to overuse/chronic and 31% due to no contact in high school boys’ baseball. The intensity of activities may also be greater overall in college than in high school.

Event Type. In both high school and college, the competition injury rate was more than twice the practice injury rate (high school IRR = 2.27, college IRR = 2.32). This is consistent with previous results in baseball and data reported from other sports. Although we cannot determine the reason for a higher injury rate during games than practices from these data, we can speculate that this is in part due to a greater intensity of play in competitions. To win in a competition, athletes are less likely to refrain from an activity, such as running into a wall or running or throwing faster or harder. During practice, injury prevention may be of greater concern, and athletes may hold back on certain potentially injury-inducing activities.

Table 5. Number of Injuries, National Estimates, and Injury Rates by Diagnosis and Type of Athlete-Exposure in High School Boys’ and Collegiate Men’s Baseball

| Surveillance System and Diagnosis | Practices | Competitions |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
|                                  | Injuries in Sample, No. (%) | National Estimates, No. (%) | Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval) | Injuries in Sample, No. (%) | National Estimates, No. (%) | Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval) |
|                                  | HS RIO (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) | NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 through 2013–2014) |                                  | HS RIO (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) | NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 through 2013–2014) |
| Concussion                       | 35 (5.1) | 10 405 (4.7) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) | 74 (8.8) | 25 445 (9.4) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.16) |
| Contusion                        | 68 (9.9) | 21 145 (9.5) | 0.07 (0.05, 0.08) | 148 (17.5) | 45 694 (16.9) | 0.27 (0.22, 0.31) |
| Dislocationb                     | 14 (2.0) | 3785 (1.7) | 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) | 31 (3.7) | 10 146 (3.8) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) |
| Fracture/avulsion                | 88 (12.9) | 27 599 (12.5) | 0.09 (0.07, 0.10) | 136 (16.1) | 43 777 (16.2) | 0.24 (0.20, 0.29) |
| Laceration                       | 30 (4.4) | 7176 (3.2) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.04) | 35 (4.1) | 9708 (3.6) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) |
| Ligament sprain                  | 129 (18.8) | 42 466 (19.2) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.15) | 164 (19.4) | 53 904 (19.9) | 0.30 (0.25, 0.34) |
| Muscle/tendon strain             | 171 (25.0) | 56 909 (25.7) | 0.17 (0.14, 0.19) | 168 (19.9) | 52 491 (19.4) | 0.30 (0.26, 0.35) |
| Other                            | 150 (21.9) | 52 097 (23.5) | 0.15 (0.12, 0.17) | 89 (10.5) | 29 327 (10.8) | 0.16 (0.13, 0.19) |

Abbreviations: HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

a High school data originated from the HS RIO surveillance data, 2005–2006 through 2013–2014; collegiate data originated from the NCAA-ISP surveillance data, 2004–2005 through 2013–2014. Injuries included in the analysis were those that (1) occurred during a sanctioned practice or competition; (2) were evaluated or treated (or both) by an athletic trainer, physician, or other health care professional; and (3) restricted the student-athlete from participation for at least 24 hours past the day of injury. All concussions, fractures, and dental injuries were included in the analysis regardless of time loss. The data may include multiple injuries that occurred at 1 injury event. Excluded were 7 injuries reported in HS RIO and 5 injuries reported in the NCAA-ISP because of missing data for diagnosis. Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding error.

b Included separations.
**Table 6. Number of Injuries, National Estimates, and Injury Rates by Mechanism of Injury and Type of Athlete-Exposure in High School Boys' and Collegiate Men's Baseball**

| Surveillance System and Mechanism of Injury | Practices | | | | | Competitions | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| **Injuries in Sample, No. (%)** | **National Estimates, No. (%)** | **Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval)** | **Injuries in Sample, No. (%)** | **National Estimates, No. (%)** | **Injury Rate/1000 Athlete-Exposures (95% Confidence Interval)** |
| **HS RIO (2005–2006 through 2013–2014)** | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact with another person | 41 (6.1) | 12 752 (5.9) | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05) | 135 (16.3) | 47 479 (17.9) | 0.24 (0.20, 0.28) |
| Contact with playing surface | 95 (14.2) | 31 346 (14.5) | 0.09 (0.07, 0.11) | 114 (13.7) | 37 428 (14.1) | 0.21 (0.17, 0.24) |
| Contact with bases | 21 (3.1) | 6818 (3.2) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) | 69 (8.3) | 21 870 (8.2) | 0.12 (0.08, 0.15) |
| Contact with bat | 9 (1.3) | 2798 (1.3) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 5 (0.6) | 1155 (0.4) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) |
| Contact with outfield wall/dugout/foul fence | 5 (0.8) | 3200 (1.5) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 4 (0.5) | 1078 (0.4) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.01) |
| Contact with batted ball | 71 (10.6) | 22 232 (10.3) | 0.07 (0.05, 0.09) | 81 (9.8) | 26 458 (10.0) | 0.15 (0.11, 0.18) |
| Contact with pitch | 17 (2.5) | 4270 (2.0) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.02) | 93 (11.2) | 28 892 (10.9) | 0.17 (0.13, 0.20) |
| Contact with thrown ball (not pitch) | 44 (6.6) | 10 665 (4.9) | 0.04 (0.03, 0.06) | 33 (4.0) | 10 661 (4.0) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.08) |
| Contact with other playing equipment | 21 (3.1) | 5571 (2.6) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) | 30 (3.6) | 8626 (3.2) | 0.05 (0.03, 0.07) |
| Contact with out of bounds object | 5 (0.8) | 1171 (0.5) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 2 (0.2) | 413 (0.2) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) |
| No contact | 209 (31.2) | 71 535 (33.1) | 0.21 (0.18, 0.23) | 202 (24.3) | 61 815 (23.2) | 0.36 (0.31, 0.41) |
| Overuse/chronic | 123 (18.3) | 41 919 (19.4) | 0.12 (0.10, 0.14) | 60 (7.2) | 19 449 (7.3) | 0.11 (0.08, 0.14) |
| Illness/infection | 10 (1.5) | 2117 (1.0) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) | 3 (0.4) | 667 (0.3) | 0.01 (0.00, 0.01) |
| **NCAA-ISP (2004–2005 through 2013–2014)** | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact with another person | 42 (3.7) | 889 (3.0) | 0.08 (0.06, 0.10) | 127 (9.0) | 3621 (9.8) | 0.45 (0.37, 0.53) |
| Contact with playing surface | 75 (6.7) | 2502 (8.5) | 0.14 (0.11, 0.18) | 118 (8.3) | 3682 (10.0) | 0.42 (0.35, 0.50) |
| Contact with bases | 17 (1.5) | 438 (1.5) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) | 74 (5.2) | 2923 (7.9) | 0.26 (0.20, 0.32) |
| Contact with bat | 10 (0.9) | 259 (0.9) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) | 18 (1.3) | 352 (1.0) | 0.06 (0.03, 0.09) |
| Contact with outfield wall/dugout/foul fence | 16 (1.4) | 383 (1.3) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) | 37 (2.6) | 871 (2.4) | 0.13 (0.08, 0.17) |
| Contact with batted ball | 68 (6.0) | 2048 (6.9) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.16) | 139 (9.8) | 3173 (8.6) | 0.50 (0.41, 0.58) |
| Contact with pitch | 47 (4.2) | 1318 (4.5) | 0.09 (0.06, 0.12) | 121 (8.6) | 2882 (7.8) | 0.43 (0.36, 0.51) |
| Contact with thrown ball (not pitch) | 34 (3.0) | 837 (2.8) | 0.06 (0.04, 0.09) | 38 (3.7) | 791 (2.1) | 0.14 (0.09, 0.18) |
| Contact with other playing equipment | 13 (1.2) | 368 (1.3) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.04) | 12 (0.9) | 328 (0.9) | 0.04 (0.02, 0.07) |
| Contact with out of bounds object | 1 (0.1) | 25 (0.1) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) | 1 (0.1) | 25 (0.1) | <0.01 (0.00, 0.01) |
| No contact | 499 (44.3) | 12 905 (43.7) | 0.95 (0.87, 1.03) | 561 (39.7) | 13 352 (36.2) | 2.00 (1.84, 2.17) |
| Overuse/chronic | 285 (25.3) | 7121 (24.1) | 0.54 (0.48, 0.61) | 156 (11.0) | 4286 (11.6) | 0.56 (0.47, 0.64) |
| Illness/infection | 19 (1.7) | 449 (1.5) | 0.04 (0.02, 0.05) | 13 (0.9) | 587 (1.6) | 0.05 (0.02, 0.07) |

**Abbreviations:** HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

---

**Time in Season.** We could not evaluate high school injury rates by time in season, but overall injury rates were lowest in the collegiate postseason. Additionally, the collegiate practice injury rates were highest in the preseason compared with the regular season and postseason. Preseason injury rates may be highest because of many factors. For example, in the preseason, student-athletes may play at higher intensity to gain a roster spot and subsequent scholarship or a starting position on the team. It could also be that, in the preseason, the players are less conditioned and are unready for the demands of preseason practices, though this is less likely in a collegiate setting where year-round training and practices occur. Future researchers should examine whether drills and other practice activities differ across seasons.

**Time Loss From Participation.** For competitions, the proportion of severe injuries (>3 weeks time loss) was similar between high school (24.0%) and college (27.2%; Table 3). However, only 20% of high school practice injuries were severe, compared with nearly a third of collegiate practice injuries (31%). For NCAA baseball from 1988–1989 through 2003–2004, the proportion of severe injuries (defined as 10+ days of time loss) was 25% for both practices and games. The proportion of severe injuries was also larger than previously reported by McFarland and Wasik. Although the overall injury rate in baseball was low, this large proportion of severe injuries, along with the fact that more than half of injuries resulted in at least 1 week of time loss, is notable and important to consider for clinical and resource purposes. Future investigators should examine the differences in practice injuries between the 2 levels of play and determine why a larger proportion of severe practice injuries occurred at the collegiate level.

**Body Parts Injured and Diagnoses.** Approximately twice the proportion of high school boys’ baseball injuries was to the head/face and had a diagnosis of concussion compared with collegiate men’s baseball injuries. However, the concussion incidence rate was lower in high school than in college. This is consistent with previous literature indicating that concussion rates were higher in college than...
in high school. It may be that injuries to other body parts and other diagnoses are less common in high school than in college, making the relative proportion of injuries that are concussions larger in high school than in college.

The proportion of high school athletes’ injuries that were fractures/avulsions was larger than that for collegiate athletes. Across all sports in the HS RIO system over a 2-year period, fractures accounted for 9.9% of all injuries and occurred at an overall rate of 1.82 fractures per 10,000 AEs.25 A hand/wrist fracture/avulsion was one of the most common diagnoses for high school infielders, but this was not the case for collegiate infielders. It is possible that high school student-athletes were less skilled than collegiate student-athletes in avoiding contact with batted, thrown, or pitched balls, which are frequent mechanisms of fractures and avulsions. Some of these fractures may be due to worse field conditions in high school than in college or balls that take a bad bounce and induce a fracture when the fields are in poor condition. This finding is also consistent with previous research25 showing that the proportion of injuries that were fractures decreased with increasing age, though this work only addressed high school athletes. Future researchers should determine the mechanisms of injury and activities associated with fractures among high school boys’ baseball student-athletes to develop interventions to prevent fractures.

In high school, the body parts injured most commonly during practices were the shoulder/clavicle (18.5%) and the head/face (17.6%), whereas the body parts injured most commonly during competitions were the head/face (18.7%) and hand/wrist (15.4%). This result differs from earlier reported HS RIO baseball injury data,3 in which the shoulder and the ankle were the most frequently injured body parts. In collegiate athletes, for both practices and competitions, the most often injured body parts were the shoulder/clavicle (21.1% in practices, 16.2% in competitions) and the arm/ elbow (15.5% in practices, 24.7% in competitions). These findings are consistent with previous collegiate research, which indicated that 46.4% of practice and 44.6% of competition injuries were to the upper extremity.4

Mechanism of Injury and Activities. Compared with college, a larger proportion of high school injuries occurred because of contact with the playing surface. This again may be due to greater experience among collegiate student-athletes than high school student-athletes in navigating the field and base running but may also be due to greater upkeep and better conditions of fields and base paths in college than in high school.

Common Injuries and Injury Prevention

Shoulder/Clavicle and Pitching Injuries. The large proportion of shoulder/clavicle injuries, especially during...
Pitching multiple games on the same day was also associated with increased odds of arm pain when pitching. Further research is needed to address the effect of changing high school pitch-count restrictions on shoulder and other pitching injuries. Currently no pitch-count restrictions exist in NCAA baseball.

**Overuse and Noncontact Injuries.** Overuse and noncontact mechanisms resulted in a large proportion of injuries among both high school and collegiate players. During high school practices, the most common mechanisms of injury in practices were no contact and overuse; during competitions, the most frequent mechanism of injury was no contact, followed by contact with another person. In college, noncontact and overuse mechanisms were most often reported for both practices and competitions. In college, team-sanctioned year-round practicing and playing are more typical than in high school, and more games are usually played during the collegiate competitive season than during the high school competitive season. A study of 11 NCAA baseball pitchers showed that pitching kinematics during competitions worsened over the course of the season and with increasing fatigue during games. These worsened biomechanics may lead to increased injuries in pitchers. Authors of another study of adolescent baseball players observed that those who pitched competitively for more than 8 months of the year had 5 times the odds of sustaining an arm injury than players who pitched less than 8 months of the year. Furthermore, pitching-related arm tiredness and pain were associated with increased injury risk, and as pitching progressed.

### Table 8. Most Common Injuries Associated With Position in Competitions in High School Boys' and Collegiate Men's Baseball

| Position     | Most Common Injuries | % of Injuries Within Position | Most Frequent Mechanism of Injury for This Injury Within Position | Most Common Injuries | % of Injuries Within Position | Most Frequent Mechanism of Injury for This Injury Within Position |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Base runner  | Ankle sprain         | 24.4                          | Contact with base                                                | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 34.4                          | No contact                                                       |
|              | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 14.8                          | No contact                                                       | Ankle sprain          | 11.5                          | No contact                                                       |
| Batter       | Concussion           | 11.4                          | Hit by pitch                                                    | Arm/elbow contusion   | 10.9                          | Hit by pitch                                                    |
|              | Hand/wrist fracture/avulsion | 10.5                          | Hit by pitch                                                    | Hand/wrist fracture/avulsion | 10.4                          | Hit by pitch                                                    |
| Catcher      | Concussion           | 14.8                          | Hit by pitch                                                    | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 14.1                          | No contact                                                       |
|              | Hand/wrist sprain    | 9.9                           | Contact with another person                                      | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 11.3                          | Hit by batted ball                                               |
| Infielder    | Ankle sprain         | 10.4                          | Contact with base                                                | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 13.3                          | No contact                                                       |
|              | Hand/wrist fracture/avulsion | 10.4                          | Contact with another person                                      | Ankle sprain          | 9.0                           | Contact with base                                                |
| Outfielder   | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 12.0                          | No contact                                                       | Hip/thigh/upper leg strain | 14.5                          | No contact                                                       |
|              | Concussion           | 9.5                           | Contact with another person                                      | Ankle sprain          | 8.6                           | No contact                                                       |
| Pitcher      | Arm/elbow sprain     | 13.5                          | No contact                                                       | Arm/elbow sprain      | 17.3                          | No contact                                                       |
|              |                      |                               |                                                                 | Shoulder strain       | 7.5                           | No contact                                                       |

Abbreviations: HS RIO, High School Reporting Information Online; NCAA-ISP, National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program.

---

practices, is likely due to pitching overuse injuries. The larger proportion of injuries to the shoulder during practices than during competitions is also consistent with overuse, as repetitive-motion injuries are more often reported as occurring during practices than competitions and more repetition over time is performed during practices. In high school, 17.5% of practice injuries occurred while pitching, and in college, 24% of practice injuries occurred while pitching. In high school, this was the second most common activity associated with practice injuries, and in college, this was the most common activity associated with practice injuries. Of increasing concern are elbow injuries among baseball pitchers. For competition injuries, arm/elbow sprains were the most frequent injuries to pitchers in both high school and college, and the arm/elbow was the second most often injured body part in college.

In late 2016, the National Federation of State High School Associations (NFHS) approved a rule requiring each NFHS member to create a pitch-count—restriction policy based on the number of pitches thrown in a game and a required rest period. As of 2017, only 2 states that sponsor baseball did not have pitch-count rules; one of these had day-based rest restrictions without pitch limits, but the rules varied. In a national survey of youth pitchers aged 9 through 18 years, self-reported pitching on back-to-back days was associated with 4 times the odds of arm tiredness while pitching and twice the odds of arm pain while pitching compared with not pitching on back-to-back days. Pitching multiple games on the same day was also associated with increased odds of arm pain when pitching. Further research is needed to address the effect of changing high school pitch-count restrictions on shoulder and other pitching injuries. Currently no pitch-count restrictions exist in NCAA baseball.
through a game, fatigue and pain were greater and velocity was lower.\textsuperscript{28,31,32} Pitching in warm-weather areas, where the activity can more easily be done year round, without sufficient breaks for recovery, has also been associated with undergoing ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction and a shorter time to reconstruction.\textsuperscript{35} Our findings in conjunction with the current body of literature suggest the need for closely monitoring pitching at all levels to reduce fatigue and ultimately reduce overuse injuries among baseball pitchers. Further work is needed on overuse injuries among players other than pitchers.

**Head/Face Injuries and Concussions.** As discussed previously, injuries to the head/face and injuries diagnosed as concussions were more common among high school than collegiate players. During competitions, concussions were the most common injury to high school batters, with the most frequent mechanism being hit by a pitch. The same was true for high school catchers and for outfielders, with the most often reported mechanism being contact with another player. All of this indicates a lower skill level at the high school than the collegiate level: pitchers may be less accurate in their throwing, making it more likely a batter will be hit in the head, or batters and catchers may be less aware of their surroundings or less able to move out of the way of a pitch to avoid injury than collegiate batters and catchers. Similarly, high school outfielders may have less positional awareness or ability to communicate with each other and be more likely to run into each other.

The large proportion of reported injuries diagnosed as concussions across both settings may be due to increased awareness and reporting. All 50 US states and the District of Columbia have passed laws to increase concussion education and improve management and reporting; in most cases, these are implemented at the high school athletic level.\textsuperscript{34} Additionally, many groups, including the NCAA, have established guidelines with best practices for identifying and managing concussions.\textsuperscript{35} Some literature\textsuperscript{16} indicates that these changes in legislation and specific concussion guidelines may be responsible, at least in part, for the increased number of identified concussions.

**Bat Standards.** Over the course of data collection for this study, the standards for bats have changed in both high school and college. In 2011, the NCAA instituted a new restriction on bats. Instead of using batted-ball exit speed to determine whether a bat could be used, it instituted restrictions based on the batted-ball coefficient of resolution, which determines how much energy is lost when the bat makes contact with the ball. The baseball bat must have a batted-ball coefficient of resolution $\leq 0.500$, which is similar to that of a wooden bat.\textsuperscript{37} The same standards were put in place by the NFHS for the 2010–2011 academic year.\textsuperscript{38} Although these changes were made primarily to limit the offense, they could also have an effect on batted-ball injuries, which made up approximately 10% of all injuries in both practices and competitions in high school and 6% and 10% of collegiate practice and competition injuries, respectively.

**Limitations**

Because these analyses were limited to time-loss injuries, we cannot draw conclusions about the differences between high school and collegiate baseball injuries for non–time-loss injuries. These analyses may also not be generalizable to all high school and collegiate programs. Only schools with ATs who chose to participate in the surveillance programs were included in the high school and collegiate samples, and high schools without ATs were unable to provide data to HS RIO. Furthermore, HS RIO only collects injuries and exposures for school-affiliated teams. Therefore, injuries from competitive club team play are not included in the high school data. Additionally, past researchers have shown that injury rates in NCAA-affiliated programs are different from those in collegiate athletics programs not affiliated with the NCAA. For example, in the 2000–2001 through 2001–2002 seasons, baseball injury rates in the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics and National Junior College Athletic Association were generally lower than those observed in the NCAA.\textsuperscript{15} Also, the NCAA data are from a small convenience sample and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

Although HS RIO and the NCAA-ISP are similar injury-surveillance systems, it is important to consider the differences between them; this is most evident in the fact that HS RIO relied on a random sample, whereas the NCAA-ISP relied on a convenience sample. In addition, differences may exist between high school and college in the length of the season, as well as the preseason, regular season, and postseason; the potentially longer collegiate season may increase the injury risk. Data regarding injuries for specific positions and activities should also be interpreted cautiously, as these were reported by the AT using his or her best judgment based on the athlete’s position and activity at the time of the injury. Therefore, some injuries may be difficult to classify, such as “running bases” versus “sliding” or “pitcher” versus “fielder” when a pitcher is fielding a batted ball. We were unable to calculate some rates, such as the rate per season in HS RIO and position-specific injury rates, because limited exposure information was collected.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The injury rate for collegiate men’s baseball was higher than that for high school boys’ baseball. Additionally, it appears that the competition injury rate in baseball is decreasing over time. Clinicians should be aware that a large proportion of injuries are due to contact and overuse mechanisms, and these mechanisms are more prevalent in collegiate players. Our findings suggest the need for closely monitoring pitching at all levels to reduce overuse injuries among baseball pitchers, and further work is needed on overuse injuries among players other than pitchers. Furthermore, despite the low injury rate in baseball compared with other sports, a large proportion of injuries at both levels resulted in time loss of $>3$ weeks, indicating that baseball players sustained severe injuries that may be resource intensive. Resources should also be allocated for preventing and treating upper extremity injuries, especially those to the shoulder, more often than lower extremity injuries. In particular, there is a need to reduce repetitions and improve biomechanics in baseball players, especially pitchers, in both high school and college. Athletic trainers should monitor or assist in monitoring pitchers’ pain and fatigue. Our results highlight
the need for injury-prevention interventions specific to the level of competition and position. For example, further examination regarding ways to protect pitchers from overuse and shoulder injuries is required. Also, further research into prevention measures for reducing injuries in high school players due to being hit by pitches and batted balls is necessary. Such interventions would ensure that baseball players can continue to participate in their sport while reducing their injury risk.
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