1. Introduction

There exist four fiber bundles, called the Hopf fibrations, whose fibers, total spaces, and bases are spheres:

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{cccc}
S^0 & \rightarrow & S^1 & \\
& & \\
S^1 & \rightarrow & S^2 & \rightarrow & S^3 & \rightarrow & S^4 & \rightarrow & S^7 & \rightarrow & S^8 & \rightarrow & S^{15}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

The vertical arrows denote projections whose fibers, i.e., inverse images of points, are represented by the horizontal arrows. The term “fibration” means that, locally, the total space is the product of the base and the fiber, hence the bigger spheres are filed with smaller ones. For instance, through every point of $S^3$ there passes one circle, $S^1$, and different circles do not intersect.

The construction of Hopf fibrations is very simple (we recall it below) and is based on the algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$; according to a famous theorem of Adams [1], that there are no such fibrations in other dimensions. Note that the “magic” numbers 1, 2, 4, 8 appear twice: as the dimensions of the bases, and also, this time shifted by 1, as the dimensions of the fibers.

In a sense, contemporary algebraic topology has grown up with the Hopf fibrations: the development of the theory of characteristic classes, homotopy theory, and $K$-theory was much influenced by the study of Hopf fibrations; see [4, 5, 8, 13, 15].

This note, based on a recent work [18], concerns a similar problem: for which pairs $(p, n)$, is $\mathbb{R}^n$ foliated by pairwise skew affine subspaces $\mathbb{R}^p$? Two disjoint affine subspaces are pairwise skew if they do not contain parallel lines.¹ In other words, we consider fiber bundles

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbb{R}^p & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \\
& & \\
& & \mathbb{R}^{n-p}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

with pairwise skew affine fibers. We call them affine Hopf fibrations. We shall give an answer in terms of the Hurwitz-Radon function that appeared in topology in the theorem of Adams [2] about vector fields on spheres.

The reason to consider such “skew” foliations is two-fold. On the one hand, the central projection of a Hopf bundle from a sphere to Euclidean space yields such a foliation. And, on the other hand, without the skew restriction, the problem is trivial: for any $p < n$, one can foliate $\mathbb{R}^n$ by parallel copies of $\mathbb{R}^p$.

¹Note that if $n$-dimensional space admits pairwise skew $p$-dimensional subspaces then $n \geq 2p + 1$. 
2. The Hurwitz-Radon function

The sequence \(1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, 20, 24, 25, 26, 28, 32, \ldots\)
is known (A003485, in the Sloane encyclopedia of integral sequences [22]) as the Hurwitz-Radon function evaluated at powers of 2. Namely, the Hurwitz-Radon function \(\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) is defined as follows. Every natural number \(N\) can be written as \(N = 2^n(2m + 1)\), and the function \(\rho\) depends only on the dyadic part of \(N\), that is,

\[
\rho(N) = \rho(2^n),
\]
and

\[
\rho(N) = \begin{cases} 
2n + 1, & n \equiv 0 \mod 4 \\
2n, & n \equiv 1,2 \mod 4 \\
2n + 2, & n \equiv 3 \mod 4.
\end{cases}
\]

The numbers \(N = 1, 2, 4, 8\) are the only numbers for which \(\rho(N) = N\). The above formula can be equivalently written as

\[
\rho(2^{n+4}) = \rho(2^n) + 8,
\]
which is easier to remember.

2.1. The history of the Hurwitz-Radon function. The Hurwitz-Radon function was discovered around 1920, independently, by Adolf Hurwitz and Jean Radon [12, 19]. Both were working on the problem of “square identities”, or composition of quadratic forms, that is, formulas of the type

\[
(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_r^2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2) = c_1^2 + \cdots + c_N^2,
\]
where \(c_1, \ldots, c_N\) are bilinear forms in \(a_1, \ldots, a_r\) and \(b_1, \ldots, b_s\) with real coefficients. The above identity is said to be of size \([r, s, N]\).

For example, a formula of size \([4,4,4]\) is Euler’s four-square identity

\[
(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2)(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) = (a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 + a_4b_4)^2 + (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 + a_3b_4 - a_4b_3)^2 + (a_1b_3 - a_2b_4 + a_3b_1 - a_4b_2)^2 + (a_1b_4 + a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 - a_4b_1)^2.
\]

that corresponds to multiplication of quaternions. Euler’s identity is nothing other than the property \(|a||b| = |ab|\) in \(\mathbb{H}\). It was discovered by Leonhard Euler in 1748, almost a hundred years before the discovery of quaternions. Note also that a similar formula of size \([2,2,2]\), that corresponds to multiplication of complex numbers, was known to Diophantus, and also appeared in the early VII century in a book of an Indian mathematician Brahmagupta; it was also used by Fibonacci in his “Book of squares”. There exists also a square identity of size \([8,8,8]\), found by a Dutch mathematician Ferdinand Degen in 1818, that corresponds to multiplication of octonions.

In 1898, Hurwitz proved his famous 1, 2, 4, 8 theorem, stating that a square identity of size \([N, N, N]\) can exist only for \(n \in \{1,2,4,8\}\). This is equivalent to the statement that \(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\) are the only real normed division algebras with unit. He also formulated a problem to characterize triplets \(r, s, N \in \mathbb{N}\) for which there exists a square identity of size \([r, s, N]\). This problem remains

\[2\text{The paper of Hurwitz was published posthumously.}\]
widely open. Traditionally considered as a problem of number theory, it plays important role in
many other areas of mathematics, for more details see [21].

Hurwitz and Radon proved that a formula of size \([r, N, N]\) exists if and only if \(r \leq \rho(N)\), and
this is still the only case where the Hurwitz problem is solved.

The Hurwitz-Radon function later appeared, sometimes unexpectedly, in many different areas,
such as algebra, representation theory, geometry, topology, and combinatorics. The function
gives the dimension of irreducible representations of Clifford algebras that are important in
mathematics and physics. Recently the Hurwitz-Radon function appeared in the context of
multi-antennas wireless communication.

The “exceptional” numbers 1, 2, 4, 8 (or 0, 1, 3, 7) often appear in mathematics and physics.
The best known subjects are: the celebrated results of topology about parallelizable spheres and
Hopf fibrations, and the famous theorem of algebra about classification of real normed division
algebras. In these subjects, the above numbers appear as the critical dimensions.

2.2. The Adams theorem about vector fields on spheres. The theorem of Adams [2]
is perhaps the best known, and one of the most beautiful applications of the Hurwitz-Radon
function.

**Theorem 1.** The maximal number of vector fields on the sphere \(S^{N-1}\), linearly independent at
each point, is \(\rho(N) - 1\).

The existence part of this theorem follows from the Hurwitz-Radon construction of square
identities. Suppose that we have a square identity of size \([r, N, N]\). Consider the \(N\)-vector
\(\bar{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_N)^T\). Since every \(c_i\) is a bilinear form in \(a\)’s and \(b\)’s, we have:

\[
\bar{c} = (a_1A_1 + \cdots + a_rA_r) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_N \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(A_1, \ldots, A_r\) are \(N \times N\)-matrices with real coefficients. The existence of a square identity
implies that, for every non-zero \(\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N\), the vectors \(A_1\bar{b}, \ldots, A_r\bar{b}\) are linearly independent, i.e.,
that \(\bar{c} \neq 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) whenever \(\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^r\) is non-zero. Indeed, the right-hand side of the square identity
is the square of the norm of \(\bar{c}\), while the left-hand side is the product of norms of \(\bar{a}\) and \(\bar{b}\).

Therefore, the existence of a square identity of size \([r, N, N]\) guarantees the existence of \(r\)
(linear) vector fields in \(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}\), linearly independent at each point. To obtain (at least) \(r - 1\)
independent vector fields on \(S^{N-1}\), one now restricts the constructed \(r\) fields to the round sphere
and projects to its tangent plane.

Let us mention that the existence part of the Adams theorem was known since 1920’s; the
converse statement is one of the most difficult results of algebraic topology.

Adams’ theorem generalizes the theorem that the spheres \(S^0, S^1, S^3\) and \(S^7\) are the only
parallelizable\(^3\) spheres. This was proved independently in 1958 by Kervaire [16] and by Bott and
Milnor [6].

---

\(^3\) Parallelizability of a manifold means that it admits as many vector fields linearly independent at each point
as its dimension. Examples of parallelizable manifolds are Lie groups.
3. The classical Hopf fibrations

3.1. The Hopf fibration of $S^3$. The fibration

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^3 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^2 & & 
\end{array}
\]

was defined and studied by Heintz Hopf in 1931, see [17].

The fibers of the Hopf fibration are obtained by the action of $S^1$, the group of complex numbers with unit absolute values, in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}^2$. The action is given by the formula

\[e^{i\phi} (z_1, z_2) = \left( e^{i\phi} z_1, e^{i\phi} z_2 \right) .\]

Restricting this action to the unit sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by the equation

\[|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 ,\]

one obtains a fibration of $S^3$ by circles.

Equivalently, one may consider the projection $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{O\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ that sends a point of the complex plane to the line through this point and the origin. In coordinates,

\[(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1 : z_2) .\]

Restricting this projection to $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and noticing that $\mathbb{CP}^1 \simeq S^2$, the Riemann sphere, one obtains the Hopf fibration.

Let us mention three properties of the Hopf fibration:

1. every two fibers of the Hopf fibration are linked non-trivially, see Figure [1];
2. every fiber is a great circle in $S^3$;
3. these great circles are equidistant from each other.

\[\text{Figure 1. The Hopf link: two fibers of the Hopf fibration.}\]

For visualization of the Hopf fibration, we recommend the movies [3, 14].

3.2. Higher dimensional Hopf fibrations. The construction of the Hopf fibration of $S^3$ has two immediate generalizations.

Let us replace the algebra of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ by the other division algebras, $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{O}$. The projections from the quaternionic and octonionic planes to the respective projective lines,
\[ \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^1 \text{ and } \mathbb{O}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}P^1, \] are defined as in the complex case. Restricted to the spheres \( S^7 \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \) and \( S^{15} \subset \mathbb{O}^2 \), this projections give rise to the following fibrations

\[
\begin{align*}
S^7 & \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}P^1, \\
S^{15} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}P^1,
\end{align*}
\]

whose fibers are \( S^3 \) and \( S^7 \), respectively (the quaternions and octonions of absolute value 1).

Note that \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \simeq S^4 \) and \( \mathbb{O}P^1 \simeq S^8 \), since these are quaternionic and octonionic lines completed by one point at infinity. Finally, replacing \( \mathbb{C} \) by \( \mathbb{R} \), one obtains the fibration \( S^0 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^1 \), whose fibers consist of two points. This provides a complete list of Hopf fibrations (1).

Another straightforward generalization of the Hopf fibrations is obtained replacing the plane by \((m+1)\)-dimensional complex vector space. Consider the action of \( S^1 \) in \( \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \) given by

\[
e^{i\phi} (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{m+1}) = (e^{i\phi} z_1, e^{i\phi} z_2, \ldots, e^{i\phi} z_{m+1}).
\]

Restricting this action to the sphere \( S^{2m+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \), one obtains a fibration of \( S^{2m+1} \) by \( S^1 \). The base of this fibration is the complex projective space \( \mathbb{C}P^m \).

The same construction can be applied in the quaternionic cases, replacing \( S^1 \) by the unit sphere \( S^3 \subset \mathbb{H} \). One obtains three infinite series of fibrations:

\[
\begin{align*}
S^0 & \longrightarrow S^n \\
S^1 & \longrightarrow S^{2m+1} \\
S^3 & \longrightarrow S^{4m+3}
\end{align*}
\]

This construction does not work in the octonionic case, and the fibration with fibers \( S^7 \rightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^8 \) remains exceptional, and does not belong to any series.

It is still true that every fiber of a fibration (4) is a great sphere.

---

See [7] concerning octonions and \( \mathbb{O}P^1 \).

---

**Figure 2.** The stereographic projection and the central projection: conformal geometry vs projective geometry.
3.3. **Projection to Euclidean spaces.** The usual way to “visualize” the Hopf fibration is by way of projecting them to the Euclidean spaces.

The *stereographic projection* is ubiquitous. This map identifies the sphere with one point, the North Pole \( P \), deleted, with \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space (the tangent space at the South pole), see Figure 2. The main property of the stereographic projection is that this is a conformal map: it preserves the angles. It sends circles to circles, except for the circles that pass through \( P \): they are sent to straight lines.

An equally important projection from the \( n \)-dimensional sphere to the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space is the *central projection*. It projects the sphere \( S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with the removed equatorial sphere \( S^{n-1} \) from the center of the sphere \( C \) to an affine space \( \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) that does not pass through the origin. The central projection sends great circles to straight lines, and great spheres to affine planes.

![Figure 3. A key-ring model of Villarceau circles, Wikimedia Commons.](image)

The image of the Hopf fibration of \( S^3 \) under the stereographic projection is a beautiful geometric structure in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) called the Villarceau circles. These circles foliate \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with a straight line removed (this line is the stereographic image of the Hopf circle through point \( P \)). See Figures 3 and 4.

![Figure 4. Villarceau circles and skew lines at Musée de l’Œuvre Notre-Dame, Strasbourg, a photograph by Luba Shenderova-Fock.](image)
The image of the Hopf fibration of \( S^3 \) under the central projection is a fibration of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) by lines, see Figure 5. These lines are pairwise skew: two parallel lines ‘intersect’ at infinity, and their preimages in \( S^3 \) are great circles that intersect at a point on the equator.

**Example 3.1.** The central projections of the fibrations (1) and (4) give fibrations of the Euclidean space by pairwise skew affine subspaces:

\[
\mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \quad \text{where} \quad (p, n) = \begin{cases} 
(1, 2m + 1), \\
(3, 4m + 3), \\
(7, 15).
\end{cases}
\]

4. **Affine Hopf fibrations**

4.1. **Existence theorem and first examples.** The problem of describing all pairs \((p, n)\) for which such fibrations exist was solved in [18].

**Theorem 2.** \( \mathbb{R}^n \) admits a fibration by \( p \)-dimensional pairwise skew affine subspaces if and only if \( p \leq \rho(n-p) - 1 \).

The condition of this theorem is satisfied in Examples 3.1. Indeed, if \( p = 1 \) and \( n = 2m + 1 \), then \( n - p \) is even, so that \( \rho(n-p) \geq 2 \). If \( p = 3 \) and \( n = 4m + 3 \), then \( n - p \) is a multiple of 4, so that \( \rho(n-p) \geq 4 \). Finally, if \( p = 7 \) and \( n = 15 \), then \( \rho(n-p) = 8 \).

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 2. The existence part is, again, a consequence of the Hurwitz-Radon construction. Given a square identity of size \([r,N,N]\), rewrite the vector of bilinear forms \( \bar{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_N)^T \) of the right-hand-side in the following form

\[
\bar{c} = (b_1 B_1 + \cdots + b_N B_N) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_r \end{pmatrix},
\]

The next step would be a classification of affine Hopf fibrations. See [20] for partial results. A classification of fibrations of \( S^3 \) by great circles was obtained by H. Gluck and F. Warner [9]: they are in one-one correspondence with distance decreasing self-maps of a 2-sphere.
where $B_1,\ldots,B_N$ are $N \times r$-matrices with real coefficients. Using the notation

$$B(\overline{b}) := b_1B_1 + \cdots + b_NB_N,$$

the square identity implies that $B(\overline{b})$ is of maximal rank (i.e., of rank $r$) for all $\overline{b} \neq 0$. This matrix expression is of course equivalent (actually, dual) to (3).

Furthermore, using a linear change of coordinates $(b_1,\ldots,b_N)$, we can assume that the last column of each matrix $B_i$ is the vector $(0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0)^T$ with 1 at $i$th position. Let $B'_i$ denotes the $N \times (r-1)$-matrix $B_i$ with last column removed.

Our construction is as follows. Consider the direct product

$$\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{N+r-1},$$

with $\mathbb{R}^N$ being understood as the “vertical”, and $\mathbb{R}^{r-1}$ as the “horizontal” subspace; the coordinates on these spaces being denoted by $y = (y_1,\ldots,y_N)$ and $x = (x_1,\ldots,x_{r-1})$, respectively. At every point $\overline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, consider the affine $(r-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N+r-1}$ through $\overline{b}$ defined by

$$y = B(\overline{b})'x + \overline{b}.$$

It follows from the maximality of the rank that any two of these affine spaces are skew, and we obtain an affine Hopf fibration on $\mathbb{R}^{N+r-1}$.

Next we give geometric arguments for the nonexistence. Consider the first example after dimension three, that of $\mathbb{R}^4$.

**Example 4.1.** The space $\mathbb{R}^4$ has no affine Hopf fibrations. Indeed, the only affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^4$ that can be pairwise skew are one-dimensional. However, if $p = 1$ then $n-p = 3$ is odd, and so $\rho(n-p) = 1$. The condition of Theorem 2 is not satisfied. The same holds true for all spaces of dimension $2^k$, that is, the space $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ admits no affine Hopf fibrations.

For the proof, consider first $\mathbb{R}^3$ equipped with the fibration depicted in Figure 5. Choose any fiber, say vertical, and a two-dimensional plane orthogonal to it. Draw a circle around the point of intersection of the plane and the fiber. Pick any point $x$ of the circle and consider the unit vector that belongs to the fiber through $x$. Project this vector to the tangent line of the circle (the projection goes in two steps: first project to the horizontal plane, then to the tangent), see Figure 6. Since the fiber through $x$ is skew with the vertical line, the projection is non-zero.
$S^2$ does not admit a non-vanishing continuous tangent vector field. It follows that $\mathbb{R}^4$ admits no affine Hopf fibrations.

A similar argument is used to deduce the “necessary” part of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1.

In fact, Theorem 2 extends to a semi-local result: its assertion holds for fibrations by pairwise skew affine subspaces of a neighborhood of a single fiber.

**Example 4.2.** Let us now consider $p = 8$ and $n = 24$. Since $\rho(16) = 9$, the condition of Theorem 2 is satisfied. We therefore have an affine Hopf fibration of $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ with 8-dimensional fibers. This is the first example of affine Hopf fibration which does not come from a projection of a classical Hopf fibration.

More generally, a remarkable series of affine Hopf fibrations corresponds to the following dimensions:

$$(p, n - p) = (\rho(2^n) - 1, 2^n).$$

None of them is a projection of a classical Hopf fibration.

### 4.2. A table.

The condition of Theorem 2 is implicit (since $p$ appears in both parts of the inequality). Therefore, for a given $n$, the situation has to be analyzed.

Consider the cases of $n \leq 80$. The dimensions $(p, n)$ for which affine Hopf fibrations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $p$-dimensional fibers exist are presented in the following tables.

Recall the semi-local extension of Theorem 2. It implies that, given a (local) $(p, n)$ affine Hopf fibration, the intersection with a hyperplane transverse to the fibers yields a (local) $(p - 1, n - 1)$ affine Hopf fibration. This leads to the notion of a dominant $(p, n)$ affine Hopf fibration: it is the case when a $(p + 1, n + 1)$ affine Hopf fibration does not exist. In the table below, the dominant pairs $(p, n)$ are shown in boldface.

| $n$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $p$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |    |    |

The fibrations with $n = 3, 7, 15$ are the central projections of the Hopf fibrations. The next values are as follows.

| $n$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $p$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |    |

including two interesting cases: $(p, n) = (8, 24)$ and $(7, 31)$. The next values are:

| $n$ | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $p$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |    |

including two interesting cases: $(p, n) = (8, 24)$ and $(7, 31)$. The next values are:
We see another example of an interesting “non-Hopf” fibration: $\mathbb{R}^9 \to \mathbb{R}^{41} \to \mathbb{R}^{32}$. The next values are as follows.

| $n$  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $p$  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 3  |

and

| $n$  | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $p$  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 3  |

We observe several more non-Hopf fibrations with relatively large fibers.

Another observation is that some of the spaces admit no fibrations with skew fibers at all. In addition to the cases when the dimension is a power of 2, this happens for $\mathbb{R}^{80}$.

4.3. The complex case. The definition of affine Hopf fibrations in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is exactly the same as in the real case. Surprisingly, the problem is much harder in this case, and seems not to be reducible to linear algebra.

Only necessary conditions are known for the existence of complex affine Hopf fibrations. It was proved in [10] that an affine Hopf fibration of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with fibers of dimension $p$ may exist only if, for each integer $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq p$, the coefficients of $t^r$ in the power series expansion of

$$\left(\frac{-t}{\ln(1+t)}\right)^{n-p}$$

is an integer.

The minimal dimension $n$, considered a function of $p$, grows very fast. For example, for a complex skew fibration with 1-dimensional fibers, the ambient space is at least 3-dimensional (like in the real case), but for 2-dimensional fibers, the ambient dimension is not less than 26, and for 4-dimensional fibers, the ambient dimension is not less than 2884.
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