Effect of Modal Parameters on Both Delay-Independent and Global Stability of Turning Process
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Abstract The model for regenerative vibration of linear orthogonal turning process is a second order time-invariant delay differential equation. Stability analysis resulted in lobes that combine to give transition curve that separates the parameter space of spindle speed and depth of cut into stable and unstable subspaces. It is found that there is a subspace of the stable subspace in which the turning process is delay-independent stable. The size of this subspace is found to be a function of modal parameters and increases with damping ratio of the tool. Non-linear analysis of turning by some investigators suggests that subcritical bifurcations always occur thus the need to design a portion of the subspace of delay-independent stability for global stability. The subspace of global stability is also theoretically and quantitatively demonstrated to increase faster than the driving increase in damping ratio.
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1. Introduction

The general state space time-delay system with constant real matrix coefficients is

\[ \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + By(t - \tau) + C \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y(t + s) ds \] (1)

where \( t > 0, A, B, C \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and the history \( g(t) \) is on \([-\tau, 0]\). The coefficient matrices \( B \) and \( C \) respectively captures the effects of discrete delay and distributed delay. Stability analysis has been carried out for the scalar version of this equation on the parameter space of coefficients of the current and distributed delay terms [1]. The interest in this work is on systems without distributed delay such that equation (1) becomes

\[ \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + By(t - \tau). \] (2)

Equation (2) is said to be delay-independent stable if stability persists for all delay values belonging to \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) while it is said to be delay-dependent stable if stability is only retained for all delay values belonging to a subspace of \( \mathbb{R}_+ [2] \). Regenerative vibration of linear turning will be demonstrated in the next subsection to be governed by equation (2). It is known that one of the parameters affecting stability of turning operation is the spindle speed which is inversely proportional to discrete delay. This means that turning operation is delay-dependent stable.

Trigonometric ideas are employed in a way unique to this work in detailed stability analysis of linear turning space regenerative vibration leading to demarcation of parameter of spindle speed and dept of cut into stable and unstable domains. It results that a portion of the stable domain of damped turning that lies below certain dept of cut is delay-independent stable being that asymptotic stability is retained within it at all spindle speeds; a notion first seen in this work.

Subcritical nature of turning bifurcation has been experimentally and analytically established. This means that in practical setting, the space of delay-independent stability is not globally stable thus the need to establish a design procedure that enables the delineation of a portion of global stability in which regenerative chatter is deemed eliminated. The method of estimation of size and delineation of boundary of domain of global stability as outlined in this work is another major contribution of this paper.

Among the contributions of this paper is the discovery that sizes of sub-space of delay-independent and global stability increase considerably with increase in damping ratio since it is theoretically established that fractional increase in these sizes are always greater than fractional increase in damping ratio. In other words, the pain involved in achieving greater damping will be more than compensated in gains in global stability.

2. Equation of Regenerative Vibration of Turning Tool

Figure 1 represents the turning of an external cylindrical surface. The workpiece at the rotational speed \( \Omega \) in revolutions per minute of the spindle is clamped in a chuck.
while the tool is made to transverse it. The mechanical model in figure 1 represents an orthogonal turning process. In orthogonal cutting process, the cutting edge of the tool is perpendicular to the feed motion [3] as can be observed from figure 1. The modal parameters of the turning process are: $m$ mass of tool, $c$ is the equivalent viscous damping coefficient of the tool system and $k$ the stiffness of the tool system. Chatter is an unstable vibration in machining due to regenerative effects that are originally triggered by internal and external perturbations. Regenerative effect as seen enlarged in figure 1 is the effect of waviness created on a machined surface due to perturbed dynamic interaction between the tool and the workpiece. The present tool pass that is indicated as full curve has waviness that is not in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve. A variation in chip thickness causes cutting force variation that is in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve. A that is indicated as full curve has waviness that is not in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve. A variation in chip thickness causes cutting force variation that is in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve. A that is indicated as full curve has waviness that is not in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve. A variation in chip thickness causes cutting force variation that is in phase with the last tool profile indicated as dashed curve.

Therefore, equation (13) becomes

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + c[x(t) - v_t] + k[x(t) - v_t] + F_r(t) = 0$$

(3)

where $F_r(t)$ is the $x$-component of cutting force. $F_r$ could have the empirical form found in the works of Chigbogu C. Ozoegwu et al. [4]:

$$F_r(t) = Cw_f \ddot{r}$$

(4)

where $C$ is the cutting coefficient (a property of the workpiece material), $w$ is the depth of cut, $f$ is the actual feed rate and $y$ is an exponent that has typical values 0.8 and 3/4. The latter exponent spells the three-quarter rule [4, 5]. Uniform feed rate $f$ is the prescribed movement of the tool’s cutting edge in meters per revolution of the workpiece thus the actual feed rate $f_a$ could be defined as difference of present and one period delayed position of tool if discrete delay equal to period of revolution is adopted. Thus from figure 1 it could be seen that

$$f_a = x(t) - x(t - \tau).$$

(5)

Putting equations (3), (4) and (5) together gives

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + c[x(t) - v_t] + k[x(t) - v_t] + Cw_f \ddot{r} = 0.$$  

(6)

To make the derivation more compact the following notations are used: $x(t) = x$ and $x(t - \tau) = x_r$. The notation also applies to any subsequent variable that involves delay. Applying the notation and re-arranging, equation (6) becomes

$$m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = cv + kv_t - Cw(x - x_r)^y.$$  

(7)

The motion of the tool is a linear superposition of prescribed feed motion $v_t$, static transverse deflection of the tool system $x(t)$ and perturbation $z(t)$ [4] then

$$x(t) = v_t + x(t) + z(t).$$  

(8)

Straight-forwardly it goes that, $\dot{x} = v + \dot{z}, \ddot{x} = \ddot{z}$ and $x_r(t) = -Cw_f \ddot{r}$. For unperturbed motion of the tool $v = f/\tau$ giving rise to

$$x_t(t) = -Cw_f \ddot{r} = \frac{-Cw_f \ddot{r}}{k}.$$  

(9)

Equations (8) and (9) put in (7) gives

$$m\ddot{z} + c\ddot{z} + k\dot{z} = Cw(v_t)^y - Cw[(v_t + (z - z_r))^y].$$  

(10)

Taylor’s series expansion of $(v_t + (z - z_r))^y$ about $v_t$ results in equation (10) becoming

$$m\ddot{z} + c\ddot{z} + k\dot{z} = Cw(v_t)^y - Cw (v_t)^y + \frac{y(y-1)}{2} (v_t)^{y-2} (z - z_r)^2 + \cdots.$$  

(11)

linearization of which yields

$$m\ddot{z} + c\ddot{z} + k\dot{z} = -h v_{t} (z - z_r).$$  

(12)

The motion of the tool as described by equation (11) is seen to be a delay differential equation. The absolute value of the coefficient of $w(z - z_r)$ in equation (11) is called the specific cutting force variation and is given as $h = C\gamma(v_t)^y$. Equation (11) thus becomes

$$m\ddot{z} + c\ddot{z} + k\dot{z} = -hw_{t} (z - z_r).$$  

(13)

Equation (12) could be put in modal form

$$\ddot{z} + 2\xi\omega_n \dot{z} + \omega_n^2 z = -\frac{h_{t}}{m} (z - z_r)$$  

where the natural frequency and damping ratio of the tool system are given in terms of modal parameters $k, m$ and $c$ respectively as $\omega_n = \sqrt{k/m}$ and $\xi = c/2\sqrt{mk}$. Equation (13) is seen to represent a delayed oscillator when
re-written thus
\[ z + 2\xi_0 z \theta + \left(\omega_n^2 + \frac{h_w}{m}\right)z = \frac{h_w}{m}z_z. \quad (14) \]

Equation (14) is the general equation governing linear regenerative vibration of the tool in turning process. The nature of the solution of equation (14) is a reflection of stability condition of an operation. If the perturbation motion or its derivatives rises with time, there is chatter (unstable operation) while bounded perturbation response with time implies non-chatter operation. With the substitutions \( y_1 = z \) and \( y_2 = \dot{z} \) made, equation (14) could be put in state differential equation form
\[ \begin{bmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (\omega_n^2 + \frac{h_w}{m}) & -2\xi_0 \omega_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{h_w}{m} \end{bmatrix} y_{1,\tau}, \quad (15) \]

where \( y_{1,\tau} = y_1(t - \tau) \) for \( i = 1 \) and \( 2 \). The time domain equation (15) is the substance of stability characterization of turning process.

3. Chatter Stability Analysis of Turning

Equation (15) has the general form
\[ \dot{y} = Ay + By \tag{16} \]
where \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\omega_n^2 - \frac{h_w}{m} & -2\xi_0 \omega_n \end{bmatrix} \) and \( B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{h_w}{m} \end{bmatrix} \). This is an autonomous delay-differential equation with discrete delay. A trial solution of form \( y(t) = Ke^{\lambda t}[6, 7] \) put in equation (16) gives the equation
\[ \lambda I = \lambda A - Be^{-\lambda t}. \tag{17} \]

The characteristic equation of the tool system is seen from equation (16) to be
\[ |\lambda I - A - Be^{-\lambda t}| = 0. \tag{18} \]

Upon simplification equation (18) becomes
\[ \lambda^2 + 2\xi_0 \lambda + \omega_n^2 + \frac{h_w}{m}(1 - e^{-2\lambda t}) = 0. \tag{19} \]

Expansion of the exponential term of equation (19) in Maclaurin's series shows that the characteristic equation has infinitely many solutions or eigen-values also called characteristic roots, with each having the form \( \lambda = \sigma + i\omega \). Eigen-values of the system migrate on the complex plane as the cutting parameters are varied. All the roots must have negative real parts for the turning process to be stable thus operation is critical whenever there exist roots on the imaginary axis. Bifurcation in turning operation could occur when a pair of complex conjugate characteristic roots crosses from the left-half plane to right-half plane of the complex plane. This occurrence is called the Hopf bifurcation of a corresponding non-linear system. The trivial solution to equation (14) is \( z(t) = Ke^{\lambda t} \) where \( K, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) [7]. For any pair of complex conjugate roots \( \lambda_{1,2} \) there exists a solution \( z(t) = K_1e^{\lambda_1 t} + K_2e^{\lambda_2 t} \) being that equation (14) is linear. Since under bifurcation condition the critical characteristic roots are pure imaginary this solution becomes
\[ z(t) = K_1e^{i\omega t} + K_2e^{-i\omega t} = C\cos(\omega t - \varphi), \tag{20} \]
where \( C = \sqrt{(K_1^2 + K_2^2)} \) and \( \varphi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{K_2}{K_1}\right) \). Thus \( \omega \) is seen to be the frequency of the arising chatter vibrations. This bifurcation of Hopf type has been proven experimentally by Shi and Tobias[8] and analytically by Stepán and Kalmar-Nagy[9] to have subcritical nature. This subcritical nature of turning bifurcation has implication in design engineering of machine tools as will be seen later.

The stability boundary curves also called the D-curves or Stability lobes are drawn to separate the stable cutting domain (at which all \( \sigma < 0 \)) from the unstable one (at which some \( \sigma > 0 \)). On the D-curves exist parameter combinations that produce a pair of roots of characteristic equation that are pure imaginary without any root existing in the right-hand plane. The D-curves could be tracked based on equation (19) by making the substitution \( \lambda = \pm i\omega \). The two equations resulting are
\[ -\omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + \frac{h_w}{m}(1 - \cos \omega t) = 0 \tag{21} \]
\[ 2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega + \frac{h_w}{m} \sin \omega t = 0. \tag{22} \]

Equation (21) is violated when \( \omega = 0 \) suggesting that bifurcation of saddle node type is not expected in turning operation. Equations (21) and (22) could be solved to give expressions for critical cutting parameters \( w \) and \( \Omega \) as follows;

From equation (22) results
\[ \frac{h_w}{m} = \frac{-2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega}{\sin \omega t}, \omega \neq k\pi \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2. \tag{23} \]

For positive depth of cut, equation (23) gives the condition \( \sin \omega t < 0 \). Equation (23) put into (21) gives
\[ -\omega^2 + \omega_n^2 - 2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega \frac{(1 - \cos \omega t)}{\sin \omega t} = 0. \tag{24} \]

From the trigonometric relationship \( \frac{(1 - \cos \omega t)}{\sin \omega t} = \tan \frac{\omega t}{2} \) equation (24) becomes
\[ -\omega^2 + \omega_n^2 - 2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega \tan \frac{\omega t}{2} = 0. \tag{25} \]

Since \( (1 - \cos \omega t) > 0 \) then
\[ \tan \frac{\omega t}{2} = \frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega} < 0. \tag{26} \]

It is implied from (23) and (26) that \( \frac{\omega t}{2} \) lies in any of the intervals
\[ \frac{\pi}{2}(2n + 1) < \frac{\omega t}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}(n + 1), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...... \]
From (26) sign inversion gives
\[ -\tan \frac{\omega t}{2} = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega} > 0. \tag{28} \]

It can be seen from equation (28) that it holds the constraint
\[ 0 < \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega}\right) < \pi \tag{29} \]
\[ \pi < \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega}\right) < 2\pi. \tag{30} \]

Such that from (28) results
\[ \frac{\omega t}{2} = j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega}\right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{31} \]

Therefore
\[ \tau = \frac{2}{\omega}\left(j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_n^2}{2\xi_0 \omega_n \omega}\right)\right). \tag{31} \]
Though positive depth of cut is assumed in arriving at equation (31), the same result is achieved for negative depth of cut following a similar argument. If \( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2}{2\xi \omega_n \omega} \) is designated as \( \beta \) in light of equation (31) then

\[
\sin \omega t = -\frac{2\beta}{\beta^2 + 1}.
\]

It then follows from result of (23) that

\[
\frac{hw}{m} = -2\xi \omega_n \omega \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + 1} \right) = \xi \omega_n \omega \left[ \frac{(\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)^2}{2\xi \omega_n \omega} + 1 \right].
\]

This becomes re-arranged to give the expression for critical depth of cut as

\[
w = \frac{m}{2h} \left\{ \frac{(\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)^2 + 4\xi \omega_n^2 \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2} \right\}.
\]

(32)

Stability charts are most often given in terms of cutting parameters like spindle speed \( \Omega \) and depth of cut \( w \) to give the range of technological parameters for non-chatter cutting. The pair of equations for stability analysis of turning thus becomes;

\[
\Omega = \frac{60}{70 \omega_n} = \frac{30\omega}{\pi \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2}{2\xi \omega_n \omega} \right)}
\]

(33)

\[
w = \frac{m}{2h} \left\{ \frac{(\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)^2 + 4\xi \omega_n^2 \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2} \right\}
\]

(34)

Spindle speed and depth of cut are non-dimensionalized to give

\[
\bar{\Omega} = \frac{\pi \Omega}{30 \omega_n} = \frac{\pi \omega}{\omega_n \left[ \pi \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2}{2\xi \omega_n \omega} \right) \right]}
\]

(35)

\[
\bar{w} = \frac{wh}{m \omega_n^2} = \frac{(\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)^2 + 4\xi \omega_n^2 \omega^2}{2a_0 \omega_n (\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)}
\]

(36)

Equations (35) and (36) are combined to generate a stability lobe for a particular \( j \). Stability lobes of various \( j \)'s on the same parameter space are combined to give the stability transition or boundary curve for the linear turning process. It is seen from equation (36) that negative depth of cut results only when \( \omega < \omega_n \) meaning that chatter frequencies are above the fundamental natural frequency of the tool since negative depth of cut has no practical meaning in turning. Also any value of \( \omega \) results in the same critical depth of cut since \( j \) does not appear in equation (36). For illustration, stability lobes for \( j = 1 \) to 10 are generated to form the stability transition curve for a system with the parameters \( \omega_n = 5700 \text{ rads}^{-1} \text{ and } \xi = 0.02 \). This is shown in figure 3. Any point on the region below a combination of all the lobes is stable while those above are for chatter as is illustrated by six trajectories of figure 4 generated at selected points of parameter space of figure 3. Trajectories of figure 4 are produced for a system with parameters; \( \omega_n = 5700 \text{ rads}^{-1} \text{ and } \xi = 0.02 \), \( m = 0.0431 \text{kg} \), \( C = 5 \times 10^7 \text{Nm}^{-7/4} \), \( \gamma = 0.75 \), feed speed \( v = 0.0025 \text{ms}^{-1} \). Assuming a history \( y_1(t) = 0.00001 \text{m} \text{ and } y_2(t) = 0.0001 \text{m/s} \text{ in the interval } -\tau \leq t \leq 0 \). Each trajectory is determined by the corresponding cutting parameters of caption of figure 4. It is obvious from figure 3 that there is a sub-region of the stable subspace in which the operation is delay-independent stable since stability is retained no matter how high spindle speed gets.

The nature of the solution of (15) is a reflection of stability condition of an operation. If the perturbation motion or its derivatives rises with time, there is chatter (unstable operation) while bounded perturbation response with time implies non-chatter operation. It is seen from figure 4 a and b that a point (0.000001, 0.0001) on the phase plane at \( t=0 \) traces the trajectory towards the origin as indicated by arrow as time changes. This means that the points (0.045, 0.1) and (0.45, 0.1) are stable in conformity with their location as marked star on the stability chart. The same point spirals away from initial position as time progresses for the operating points (1.5, 0.06) and (0.7, 0.4) as seen in figure 4 c and d. This implies instability which is expected since they are placed above the transition curve as marked with circle. The trajectories seem reluctant to get to origin in figure 4e and d thus suggesting that the points almost lie on the stability transition curve as marked with diamond on the stability chart.

Figure 3. Stability chart of linear turning with \( \omega_n = 5700 \text{ rads}^{-1} \text{, } \xi = 0.02 \)
4. Effect of Choice of Modal Parameters on Delay-independent Stability

From the equation
\[ \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\tilde{\omega}} = \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\omega} \cdot \frac{d\omega}{d\tilde{\omega}} = 0 \] (37)
results the polynomial equation
\[ \omega(\omega^2 - 2\omega^2\omega^2 + \omega_n^4 - 4\xi^2\omega_n^4) \left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_n^2}{2\xi\omega_n} \right)^2 = 0 \] (38)
which has the roots \( \omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = \omega_n\sqrt{2\xi + 1}, \omega_3 = -\omega_n\sqrt{2\xi + 1}, \omega_4 = \omega_n\sqrt{2\xi - 1}, \omega_5 = -\omega_n\sqrt{2\xi - 1}, \omega_6 = \omega_n(\xi\tan j\pi + \sqrt{\xi^2\tan^2 j\pi + 1}) = \omega_n, \text{ and } \omega_7 = \omega_n(\xi\tan j\pi - \sqrt{\xi^2\tan^2 j\pi + 1}) = -\omega_n \). Being that \( \omega \) is positive and real and machine tools usually have low damping, generally, \( \xi \approx 0.005 - 0.02 \) [4], \( \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5 \) and \( \omega_7 \) are neglected. The turning point frequencies thus become \( \omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = \omega_n\sqrt{2\xi + 1} \) and \( \omega_6 = \omega_n \). These are respectively put into equations (35) and (36) to give the turning points of the transition curve on the \( \tilde{\Omega} - \tilde{\omega} \) parameter space as \( (0, -1/2) \).
\[
\left( \frac{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{1}{j}, \infty \right). \] 

Only the turning points
\[
\left( \frac{2\xi + 1}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)} \right)
\]
\(2\xi (\xi + 1)\)
at \(\omega_2 = \omega_n \sqrt{2\xi + 1}\) are seen to make practical sense thus earn the emphasis here. The second derivative given by the equation
\[
d_{\frac{d^2 w}{d\Omega^2}} = \frac{d\hat{w}}{d\omega} \cdot \frac{d}{d\omega} \left(\frac{1}{d\Omega} \cdot \frac{d\hat{w}}{d\omega}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d\Omega} \cdot \frac{d\Omega}{d\omega}\right)
\]
results in a quantity
\(Q(\xi, \omega_n)\) that is a function of modal parameters at the turning point boundary frequency of interest \(\omega_2 = \omega_n \sqrt{2\xi + 1}\). \(Q(\xi, \omega_n)\) is a positive quantity for positive damping. The meaning is that the countable infinite number of turning points
\[
\left( \frac{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)} \right) \quad \text{are}
\]
minima on the \(\Omega - \hat{w}\) parameter plane. It is seen that the minimum critical depth of cut is the same for all the stability lobes. It is also seen that increase in damping ratio results in increase in the minimum critical depth of cut. If the designations
\[
\Omega_t = \frac{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)}
\]
and
\(\hat{w}_t = 2\xi (\xi + 1)\) are made, a plot of local minimum points as damping ratio varies from 0 to 0.1 is shown for \(j = 1\) in figure 5. This means that size of sub-region of delay-independent stability increases with damping ratio. Increase in damping ratio is exerted by favourable variation of the tool modal parameters. It can be read from figure 5 that increase in damping ratio will increase the sub-area of delay-independent stability by shifting the minimum critical points somewhat upwards and rightwards. If damping ratio is changed by the amount \(\Delta \xi\), the fractional increase in sub-area of delay-independent stability is
\[
I_f = \frac{(2\xi + 1)\Delta \xi + (\Delta \xi)^2}{\xi \Delta \xi}. 
\]

Since damping ratio of machine tools are small, it could be writing that \(\Delta \xi = \alpha \xi\) causing equation (40) to be
\[
I_f = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{\xi + 1}\right). 
\]

It is seen from equation (41) that fractional increase in the area of delay-independent stability is greater than that of damping ratio. In relative terms this means that there is considerable increase of delay-independent stable subspace of turning by increase of damping ratio.

5. Design Implications

It is already seen that linear turning is delay-independent stable in the sub-region below the line \(\hat{w} = 2\xi (\xi + 1)\). In practical situation highest spindle speed of a turning machine normally lies either within low or high spindle speed domains. High spindle speed domain encompasses spindle speeds that are comparable with tool fundamental natural frequency. It lies within the first three lobes. For this reason the area of delay-independent stability enclosed by the lines
\[
\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)}
\]
and
\(\hat{w} = 2\xi (\xi + 1)\) together with the \(\Omega\) and \(\hat{w}\) axes of size
\[
A_{\text{din}}(\xi) = \frac{2\pi (\xi + 1) \sqrt{2\xi + 1}}{j\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + 1}}\right)}
\]
where \(j\) takes value depending on attainable spindle speed, is a function of positive slope of \(\xi\) as is easily seen from figure 6. This means that this area of delay-independent stability of turning increases as damping ratio increases.

[Figure 5. Trajectory of minimum point of first lobe with \(\xi\)]
Closed form bifurcation analysis has been conducted at the minimum points [9] in which it is found that though linear stability analysis suggests global stability at non-dimensionless depth of cut below $2\xi(\xi + 1)$ that bifurcation could still occur at a subcritical point due to non-linearity. This means that if minimum unstable depth of cut is specified for a turning tool and two modal parameters are known, the third modal parameter can be designed using the equation

$$W_d = \frac{m\omega_n^2 F_n \bar{w}_t}{c_\gamma \left(\frac{v}{2\pi \omega_n} \right)^{1-\gamma}}. \quad (43)$$

This is re-written to give the design equation

$$W_d = \frac{2^{-\gamma} m\omega_n^2 F_n \xi(\xi + 1)^{1-\gamma}}{c_\gamma \left(\frac{v}{2\pi \omega_n} \right)^{1-\gamma}}. \quad (44)$$

where $F_n$ is the design factor of safety that ensures global stability and $j$ is specified by the first minimum critical speed to be greater than the maximum spindle speed of the machine. Alternatively equation (44) could be used to establish the depth of cut below which global stability of a given turning is ensured. $F_n$ is chosen to preclude the possibility of non-linearities and perturbations triggering unstable vibrations at subcritical points. It has been measured experimentally that at the minimum points, chatter does not occur below 87% of critical depth of cut $w_t = \frac{m\omega_n^{1+\gamma} F_n \xi(\xi + 1)^{1-\gamma}}{c_\gamma \left(\frac{v}{2\pi \omega_n} \right)^{1-\gamma}}. \quad [8]$. Based on this result it will amount to reliable design to specify that $F_n \leq 0.87$. It is seen that as $j$ increases, the maximum depth of cut that ensures delay independent stability increases. This means that the depth of cut designed by equation (44) increases as maximum spindle speed specification of the machine decreases. This result is seen clearly from figure 7 in which $w_d$ is plotted against $\xi$ for different values of $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 10$ with $F_n = 0.7$. Figure 7 highlights the positive effect of damping ratio on global stability of turning. It also shows the importance of use of the biggest $j$ that specifies critical speed higher than the maximum spindle speed in equation (44) since slope rises with $j$. It should be noted from the way the two terms
“delay-independent stability” and “global stability” are used that the latter is a sub-domain of the former.

To simultaneously quantify the notion that global stability is a sub-domain of the delay-independent stability and the finding that variation of modal parameters in a way that reflect as increase in damping ratio increases delay-independent stability and its subset of global stability, stability charts are generated for systems with parameters; \( \omega_n = 5700 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \) and damping ratios \( \xi = 0, 0.01, 0.02 \) and 0.025 respectively as seen in figures 8a, b, c, and d. It is seen from figure 8a that there is no delay-independent stable subspace for a turning tool with zero damping ratio. Delay-independent stable subspace is seen to exist when the damping ratio is non-zero as seen in figures 8b, c and d. Every stability chart of nonzero damping ratio on the right hand side is magnified portion of the adjacent chart to further reveal the subspace of delay-independent stability that lies below the line \( \bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}_t = 2\xi (\xi + 1) \). The increase in this area with damping ratio is very noticeable as is expected from equation (41). The portion of this area for global stability depend on the maximum spindle speed and choice of the design factor \( F_n \leq 0.87 \). For example if \( F_n = 0.7 \), \( \omega_n = 5700 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \), \( m = 0.0431 \text{ kg} \), \( C = 5 \times 10^7 \text{ Nm}^{-7/4} \), \( \gamma = 0.75 \), \( v = 0.0025 \text{ ms}^{-1} \) and maximum non-dimensionless spindle speed of the machines \( \bar{Q}_m = 1/3 \), use made of equation (44) results in what is presented in the table 1 below. Column 4 of table 1 is for area of global stability \( A_m \). This area for each chart of figure 8 is enclosed within a black rectangle OABC and is seen to increase considerably with damping ratio according to equation (41). In conclusion, choice or variation of modal parameters of turning tool in a way that results in increase in damping ratio considerably improves both delay-independent stability and global stability. Through the method outlined in this work, the domain of global stability could be delineated for a real turning machine with known modal parameters.

| \( \xi \) | \( w_d [\text{mm}] \) | \( \bar{w}_d \) | \( A_m = \bar{w}_d \times \bar{Q}_m \) |
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| 0.01  | 0.04        | 0.014  | 0.0047          |
| 0.02  | 0.08        | 0.028  | 0.0093          |
| 0.025 | 0.1         | 0.035  | 0.0117          |
6. Conclusions

Modelling and stability analysis of linear turning is carried out. It is found that variation of modal parameters in a way that results in increase in damping ratio improves stability. Ideas borrowed from results of non-linear analysis of turning are utilized in design to determine the domain and size of global stability which is a portion of the subspace of delay-independent stability in which subcritical chatter is not expected. The size of sub-space of global stability at any value of damping ratio depends on how conservative the design factor of safety $F_n$ is where the basic condition satisfied is $F_n \leq 0.87$. It is established that sizes of sub-space of delay-independent and global stability increase considerably with increase in damping ratio since it is theoretically discovered in this work that their fractional increase is always greater than that of damping ratio causing it as equation (41) suggests. For example, row 2 and row 3 of table 1 give that a percentage increase of $25.81$ in area of global stability $A_{gs}$ results from a percentage increase in damping ratio of $25$ while equation (41) leads to computation of percentage increase in $A_{gs}$ as $I_1 \times 100 = 0.25 \times 100 \{1 + 0.02 (0.25 + 1)/(0.02 + 1)\} = 25.61$. Any discrepancy between results of table 1 which is developed from design equation (44) and those deriving from equation (41) stems from round off errors.
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