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Abstract

Purpose: To preserve the joint structure in order to maintain good limb function in patients with osteosarcoma, we have been performing epiphyseal or metaphyseal osteotomy and reconstruction using frozen autografts that contain a tumor treated with liquid nitrogen. There are two methods using liquid nitrogen-treated autografts: free-freezing method and pedicle-freezing method. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the results of intentional joint-preserving reconstruction using the free-freezing method and the pedicle-freezing method in patients with osteosarcoma.

Methods: Between 2006 and 2014, we performed joint-preserving surgery (12 free-freezing method and 6 pedicle freezing method) to treat 18 cases of osteosarcoma (12 distal femurs and six proximal tibias) in patients who had achieved a good response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Results: Among the 18 patients (nine boys and nine girls) who had a mean age of 11.6 years, 13 remained continuously disease-free, three showed no evidence of disease, one was alive with the disease, and one died from the disease. Functional outcomes were assessed as excellent in 15 patients and poor in three, with a mean follow-up period of 46.1 months. The mean Musculoskeletal Tumor Society (MSTS) score was 90.2%. Except for one patient who underwent amputation, all patients could bend their knee through >90° flexion, and nine achieved full ROM. All but two patients could walk without aid, and 11 were able to run normally throughout the follow-up period. No intraoperative complications were observed, such as surrounding soft-tissue damage, neurovascular injury, or recurrence from frozen bone.

Conclusions: Joint-preserving reconstruction using frozen autografts yielded excellent function in patients with osteosarcoma.
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Introduction

According to Manfrini et al., saving the knee joint is the main goal in this type of limb salvage, in the belief that no joint replacement, either prosthetic or allograft, functions better than an intact knee [1]. Wong et al. also reported that in the resection of primary bone sarcomas, sparing the articular end of the affected bone enables patients to retain their native joints and ligaments [2]. This approach may result in better proprioception and more normal joint function after reconstruction. The purpose of a wide surgical margin is to reduce the risk of local recurrence. However, additional resection of normal tissue can jeopardize vital structures, including ligaments, tendons, and physes, and can possibly lead to restricted limb function and limb length discrepancy [3]. The traditional 2–3-cm-wide margin has recently been questioned, and methods using a reduced margin and partial epiphysal preservation to improve host tissue preservation have been reported [3-6]. In these studies, patients have experienced better functional results and acceptable local disease control.

Previously, we reported performing transepiphyseal osteotomy and resecting a malignant bone tumor followed by reconstruction using distraction osteogenesis [7]. We subsequently developed a new biological reconstruction method with a massive frozen tumor-bearing bone treated with liquid nitrogen [8,9]. The development of liquid nitrogen method was based on in vitro and in vivo studies of the hypothermic effects of liquid nitrogen. On basic study, we confirmed that only one cycle of -196°C for 20 minutes is sufficient to kill all tumor cells by inducing ice crystal formation and cell dehydration. Since then, we have clinically applied liquid nitrogen method to musculoskeletal tumor surgeries [9].

In the present study, we combined these techniques: we performed transepiphyseal osteotomies and resected tumors followed by the reconstruction of massive frozen tumor-bearing bones treated with liquid nitrogen for joint preservation. We assessed clinical and functional outcomes, complications, and bone union time.

Patients and Methods

From 2006 to 2014, 18 patients with osteosarcoma (nine boys and nine girls; mean age, 11.6 years) underwent joint-preserving tumor resection (Table 1). Tumors were located in the distal femur in 12 patients and in the proximal tibia in six. The minimum follow-up period was 2 years (mean, 46.1 months; range, 24–79 months). The base protocol of chemotherapy was given as five preoperative courses of intra-arterial or intra-venous cisplatin (120 mg/m²) and doxorubicin (30 mg/m²/day × 2 days), according to our chemotherapy regimen [10]. Before surgery, chemotherapeutic effects were clinically evaluated after three to five courses of preoperative chemotherapy. Evidence of a good chemotherapeutic response included sclerotic changes or good delineation of the tumor (observed on plain radiographs), marked shrinkage of any extension of the tumor into soft tissue (demonstrated on MRI), or a decrease in the abnormal accumulation of 201Tl on scintigrams.

The surgical margin was planned to make wide margin with the preservation of joint subchondral bone on
the basis of the MRI T1 sequence and gadolinium enhancement analyses. During the operation, transepiphyseal osteotomy was important for providing an adequate margin. A K-wire was inserted into the osteotomy line under fluoroscopy. The tumor was then excised en bloc using a microsurgical saw. The specimen’s soft tissue was removed, and the tumor was curetted before freezing. The excised portion was frozen in liquid nitrogen that was stored in sterilized flask right before freezing for 20 min, thawed at room temperature for 15 min, thawed in distilled water for 15 min, and then replaced with locking plates and screws. Cancellous allografts or artificial bone grafts were used for the defect if the defect became large. This technique is referred to as the “free-freezing method” (Fig. 1a). These methods were performed in 11 patients. To avoid non-union of the host-graft junction in the free-freezing method, a new surgical technique, called the “pedicle-freezing method,” was developed [11]. The tumors were exposed and separated from the surrounding soft tissue with adequate safe surgical margins. Then, after osteotomy at the proximal site of the tumor, more soft tissue was divided with a safe surgical margin to prevent damage to normal soft tissue during liquid nitrogen treatment. A tourniquet was applied to the affected limb to prevent bleeding during curettage and to prevent the distant dissemination of tumor cells. The base of the elevated bone was isolated using cotton for cast padding, an Esmarch bandage, and a few surgical sheets to prevent tumor contamination and damage to the normal tissue when freezing. The bony lesion was then cautiously rotated down into the liquid nitrogen. After freezing, reconstruction was performed using locking plates and screws (Fig. 1b). Preferred sites for the pedicle freezing method are proximal tibia, proximal femur, and proximal humerus. Shaft to distal femur is also indicated site for this method. However, in this case, the tumor location should be at least 5 cm distant to the structures to be preserved (e.g., articular cartilage or epiphyseal cartilage) for avoiding the risk of liquid nitrogen infiltration and for plate fixation of the junction between the frozen bone and the preserved structures. In the present study, the pedicle-freezing method was performed in five proximal femurs and one shaft to distal femur.

An additional six courses of postoperative chemotherapy were administered from 2–3 weeks after the operation [10]. All patients waited for weight-bearing (usually for 2–3 months) until initial bone union was detected by radiography.

Indications for the treatment of joint-preserving tumor resections using the free-freezing and pedicle-freezing methods included joints with an articular surface, subchondral bone with a collateral metaphyseal cortex preserved after adequate excision of the tumor, and joints in which internal fixation with plates and screws is possible.

Postoperative functional results were evaluated at the final follow-up using the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society (MSTS) score [12]. In addition, oncologic outcome, bone union time (when the continuity of the bone cortex at the host-graft junction was observed using plural directions radiography, tomosynthesis imaging, or CT scans.), active range of motion (ROM) of the knee, and walking activity were recorded.

This was a retrospective clinical review study and was approved by the local institutional review board.
All subjects were informed that data from this study would be submitted for publication and gave their consent for participation.

Results

At the latest follow-up, 13 patients were continuously disease-free. Three had local recurrences from the surrounding soft tissue and two had distant metastases. There was no recurrence from the frozen bone. The two patients with metastases underwent metastasectomy, and the three patients with local soft tissue recurrences underwent additional chemotherapy and surgery (one underwent wide excision alone, one underwent wide excision and osteoarticular pedicle freezing because the recurrent tumor was adjacent to the remaining joint ligament and preserved epiphyseal bone, and the third, with a coexisting deep infection, was amputated). Ultimately, three of these five patients showed no evidence of the disease, one was alive with the disease, and one died from the disease.

Postoperative complications such as fracture (three) or non-union (two) were managed by revision osteosynthesis. Infection was seen in two patients (one had a deep infection and the other had a superficial infection). The both cases with infection were treated by the free-freezing method, and no infection was detected in the patients treated by the pedicle-freezing method. No other complications were observed, including intraoperative fracture or neurovascular injury. Amputation was performed in one patient because of deep infection and local recurrence from the surrounding soft tissue.

The mean time to complete bone union was 6.9 months (range, 3-11 months). There was a tendency to shorter bone union time in the patients with pedicle-freezing (5.8 months vs. 7.4 months). Postoperative function was excellent in 15 patients and poor in three. The mean MSTS score was 90.2% (range, 23–100%). Except for the patient who underwent amputation, all patients could bend their knee through >90° flexion and 50% (five patients with proximal tibial lesions and four with distal femur lesions) achieved full ROM during the follow-up period. All but two patients could walk without aid, and 11 were able to run normally at the latest follow-up (Table 1).

Case presentations

Case 14 (pedicle-freezing method): A 12-year-old girl presented with osteosarcoma of the proximal tibia. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was administered, and marked shrinkage and ossification of the tumor were observed. MRI showed a metaphyseal tumor localized in the proximal tibia. We planned the pedicle-freezing method. After transepiphyseal osteotomy, the soft tissue was divided along the extremity. Bony lesions connected to the limb were then rotated and frozen in liquid nitrogen (Fig. 2). Reconstructions were performed using a plate and cannulated screws. The resection margins were tumor-free, which was pathologically confirmed by the small sample collected from preserved host tissue. Pathological evaluation from the curettage cancellous bone indicated total necrosis of the tumor, classified as grade IV/IV in the Rosen and Huvos evaluation system [13]. After the completion of postoperative chemotherapy, the patient was disease-free and could run normally with full knee ROM at
her 75-month follow-up (Fig. 3).

Case 4 (free-freezing method): An 11-year-old boy presented with osteosarcoma of the distal femur. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was administered, and the clinical effect was a complete response with marked shrinkage and ossification of the tumor. We performed transepiphyseal and intercalary resection and reconstruction using the free-freezing method (Fig. 4). Satisfactory bone union was found 7 months after surgery; however, at 3.5 years after the surgery, limb length discrepancy became a problem, and limb lengthening was performed with external fixation (Fig. 5). At his 43-month follow-up, the patient was disease-free and was able to play football.

Discussion
Recent advances in multimodality therapy have resulted in limb-sparing surgery being standard for high-grade osteosarcomas. Furthermore, for selected patients, joint-sparing surgery is possible, allowing preservation of the joint structure in an effort to maintain normal limb function and avoid complications associated with endoprostheses.

Recently, many reports have confirmed that a custom diaphyseal implant (CDI) allows filling of the gap after joint-sparing diaphyseal resection and enables immediate weight-bearing and good knee function preservation [14]. Furthermore, when the growth plate is sacrificed, the option of expansion in some CDIs will prevent limb discrepancy. However, the durability of artificial materials could be a problem in the long term, requiring revision surgery due to loosening or damaged prostheses. The reconstruction of soft tissue attachments is also a major problem because artificial materials do not biointegrate into soft tissues, such as muscles and ligaments, which play a major role in the function of the knee joint.

Biological reconstruction has been sought to overcome the problems of artificial materials and to enable tendons and ligaments to be attached to bone fragments. Such biological reconstruction uses materials such as allogeneic bone [6,15], distracted autologous bone [7,16], fibular bone [2,17,18], and treated autologous bone [19-21].

Massive bone allografts are still the mainstream of biological reconstruction [6,15]. Aponte-Tinao reported long-term results of allograft reconstruction in 35 osteosarcomas of the knee [15]. In this series, 18 patients achieved normal knee function; however, complications treated with additional surgical procedures were recorded for 19 patients, including fractures and three non-unions. These are also seen, although less frequently, with frozen autografts; we experienced only three fractures and two non-unions in the present series. This is because autogenous proteins, growth factors, and cytokines are preserved in the frozen bone [22], which could induce good osteoinduction and osteoconduction to achieve satisfactory bone union and revitalization without eliciting an immune reaction. In addition, there is a perfect fit enabling easy and rigid fixation using simple osteosynthesis techniques and avoiding mal- or non-union. For these reasons, early ROM training is possible, which is very favorable for postoperative
knee function. In our series, apart from the one patient who underwent amputation, all patients could bend their knee through >90° of flexion and almost half achieved full ROM during the follow-up period. To achieve lower rates of infection, fracture, and non-union of allografts, the use of the Capanna technique (which consists of combined massive allograft and intramedullary vascularized fibula transfer) has been proposed [17]. Houdek et al. reported 18 pediatric patients who underwent lower extremity limb salvage with the use of the Capanna technique, with 17 achieving good or excellent function [18]. However, six patients underwent additional procedures to treat asymptomatic non-union, and this technique seems to be more invasive than the freezing method, considering the fibular resection of the unaffected limb. In addition, we confirmed that bone strength was maintained after treatment with the freezing technique [9], and we consider the frozen autograft itself to be tolerable for normal activity.

A distracted autologous bone and vascularized fibular autograft are useful alternatives to allografts. Physeal distraction followed by bone grafting has been described as a useful tool in the armamentarium to treat children with malignant tumors in close proximity to an open physis, and good postoperative knee function has been reported [16]. However, the limitations of this method are that it is only indicated for young patients and requires several surgical procedures. We have reported intraepiphyseal excision and biological reconstruction with distraction osteogenesis for osteosarcoma around the knee joint [4,7]. However, distraction osteogenesis requires a long time to treat with an external fixator and sometimes affects joint function; therefore, we only used it when the tumor-bearing bone was too fragile for freezing or re-implantation. A vascularized fibular autograft is a useful alternative for intercalary defects [2], but it cannot be used alone to provide secure reconstruction of the femur or tibia, and most reports refer to the need for combination with other grafts [17,18]. In addition, this procedure is technically demanding, and there is a possibility of non-union or bone necrosis, which could prolong the treatment and delay postoperative rehabilitation, affecting postoperative knee function.

After some form of treatment to kill tumor cells, such as autoclaving [19], pasteurization [20], or irradiation [21], recycled bone is useful because it is perfectly matched to the defect, enabling multiple choices for reconstruction and bone excision to maintain knee functions. Several reports have shown good union rates and satisfactory postoperative knee function using biological reconstruction [19-21], although complications such as non-union, fracture, and infection have also been noted. We histologically examined a specimen of autoclaved bone retrieved 24 months postoperatively, in which most of the graft had not incorporated [23]. Conversely, histological examination of a frozen bone showed a small area with active osteocytes and osteoblasts 5 months after reconstruction [24]. In bone retrieved more than a year after implantation, osteocytes and osteoblasts were observed in broad portions of the frozen autograft, and the cortical host-graft junction showed incorporation along with continuity of bone trabeculae, indicating the onset of osteogenesis in the frozen autograft at an early stage. It may be the case that, as mentioned above, frozen bone preserves bone morphogenetic protein and its osteoinductive ability better than hyperthermic treatments such as autoclaving and pasteurization [22]. Furthermore, if the
pedicle-freezing procedure method, which is specific procedure of frozen autografts and distinguish from other recycled bone, is possible, the number of osteotomy sites can be decreased, allowing for a faster bone union [25]. In addition, these biological reconstruction techniques have issues, such as requiring extracorporeal devices, which make it more likely that the resected bone will be contaminated, whereas the frozen autograft method uses simple surgical techniques without complicated temperature control and special devices. As a result, only two cases of infection (one superficial) were seen in the current study, an incidence low than that reported in other series on recycled bones [19-21]. Moreover, there was no case of infection in the patients treated by pedicle-freezing method. Vascularity and stability are critical factors in avoiding postoperative infection [26]. The pedicle-freezing method is expected to facilitate early vascularity because of the continuity of one side of the host-graft junction, and this might have helped prevent postoperative infection. However, it is not easy to conquer the infection in recycled bone surgery as well as the other biological methods and endoprosthetic surgery. We consider that rigid fixation, less surgical time, or use of recent antibacterial agents coated implants [27, 28] are desirable in musculoskeletal tumor surgery.

In joint-sparing surgery, it is particularly important to avoid complications of local recurrence and necrosis from the remaining epiphyseal bone. Recent advances in diagnostic imaging techniques, particularly in MRI, have allowed an accurate definition of the metaphyseal spread of a tumor, and there was no recurrence either from the treated bone or from the remaining epiphyseal bone in our series. In the salvage and reconstruction of the epiphysis, no osteonecrosis of the remaining epiphyseal bone was detected in our series, as with other epiphysis-sparing series [15-17,20,21]. However, the minimum follow-up period was 2 years; patients should be followed up over a longer period to check for late recurrence or future osteoarthritic changes.

This study had some limitations. Pathological evaluations of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in case presentations were based on only a part of the tumor; curettage cancellous bone and/or extraskeletal mass, however we have reported that the histological evaluations of small sample was correlated with the response to chemotherapy and the prognosis [29]. Secondly, comparison between two methods; free-freezing method and pedicle-freezing method, was not enough to clarify the usefulness of pedicle-freezing method in terms of bone union or complication of fractures because the patient numbers of each methods was small. Last, this study was a single-arm retrospective study conducted with a small number of patients from a single institute and further study with more patients should be performed.

Conclusion
Postoperative function after joint-preservation reconstruction using tumor-bearing massive autografts frozen in liquid nitrogen was excellent in 15 of our 18 patients. This procedure provided excellent function for patients with osteosarcoma around the knee, and it may be considered as one of the most useful biological reconstruction methodologies.
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Figure captions

Table 1: Details of the patients who had transepiphyseal tumor resection and reconstruction using frozen autografts treated with liquid nitrogen. * M, male; F, female † p, proximal; d, distal; s-d, shaft to distal; ‡ M0, no metastasis; M1, with metastasis; § aROM, active range of motion; # LLD, Limb length discrepancy; ¶ CDF, continuous disease free; AWD, alive with disease; DOD, dead of disease.

Figure 1: a) Intercalary osteotomy and free-freezing method. Tumor of the distal femur is excised by transepiphysseal and intercalary osteotomy. After freezing in liquid nitrogen, osteosynthesis using plates, screws, and bone graft at the host–graft junction is performed. b) One-site osteotomy and pedicle-freezing method. Tumor of the proximal tibia is excised by one-site osteotomy and curettage of tumor. After pedicle-freezing in liquid nitrogen, osteosynthesis using plate, screws, and bone graft at the host–graft junction is performed.

Figure 2: Case 14, 12-year-old girl with an osteosarcoma of the proximal tibia. a) Preoperative MRI scan. b) Osteotomy along a K-wire was performed under fluoroscopy. c) After transepiphysseal osteotomy and exposed tumor-bearing bone. d) The bony lesion connecting with the limb was rotated and frozen in liquid nitrogen. e) After freezing.

Figure 3: Case 14 a) Osteosynthesis with plate, screws, and patella tendon fixed with spike washer. b) Radiograph after reconstruction. c) Seventy-eight months after reconstruction, no extension lag and full range of motion.

Figure 4: Case 4, 11-year-old boy with an osteosarcoma of the distal femur. a) Preoperative MRI scan. Osteotomy line (dotted line). b) A K-wire was inserted into the osteotomy line under fluoroscopy. c) The femur after transepiphysseal and intercalary osteotomy. d) Tumor bearing bone. e) Freezing in the liquid
nitrogen. f) After freezing. After transepiphyseal osteotomy and exposed tumor-bearing bone. g) Osteosynthesis with plates, screws, and wire.

Figure 5: Case 4. a) After 3.5 years. Limb length discrepancy. b) Limb lengthening with external fixation. c) After lengthening. Limb length discrepancy has been improved. d) At his 43-month follow-up, the patient was disease-free and was able to play football with no extension lag and full range of motion of the knee.

30.
| Case | Sex | Age | Site† | Stage‡ | Method | Bony union (mos.) | Function | MSTS | a ROM (%)§ | Activity | Complication # | Follow-up (mos.) | Outcome¶ |
|------|-----|-----|-------|--------|--------|------------------|----------|------|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 1    | F   | 11  | Tibia (p) | M0     | Free   | 4                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | Infection Fracture | 85             | CDF     |
| 2    | F   | 8   | Femur (s-d) | M0     | Free   | 3                | Excellent | 97   | 0-90       | Walking  | Fracture, LLD | 78             | CDF     |
| 3    | M   | 6   | Femur (s-d) | M0     | Free   | -                | Poor      | 23   | -          | Walking with crutch | Infection Recurrence | 46         | NED     |
| 4    | M   | 11  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 7                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | LLD | 59             | CDF     |
| 5    | M   | 15  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | -                | Excellent | 100  | 0-100      | Walking  | Recurrence Non-union | 55         | NED     |
| 6    | M   | 10  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 11               | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 55             | CDF     |
| 7    | M   | 17  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 8                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 50             | CDF     |
| 8    | F   | 6   | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 10               | Excellent | 100  | (-10)-90 | Walking  | 43           | CDF     |
| 9    | F   | 13  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 11               | Excellent | 100  | 0-100     | Running  | 42           | CDF     |
| 10   | F   | 9   | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 3                | Excellent | 97   | (-5)-120   | Walking  | 34           | CDF     |
| 11   | M   | 13  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 10               | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 24           | CDF     |
| 12   | M   | 15  | Femur (d) | M0     | Free   | 7                | Excellent | 100  | 0-130     | Running  | 26           | CDF     |
| Mean |     |     |         |        |        | 7.4             |           | 93.1 |           |         | 49.8         |         |         |

| Case | Sex | Age | Site† | Stage‡ | Method | Bony union (mos.) | Function | MSTS | a ROM (%)§ | Activity | Complication # | Follow-up (mos.) | Outcome¶ |
|------|-----|-----|-------|--------|--------|------------------|----------|------|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 13   | M   | 15  | Tibia (p) | M0     | Pedicle | 7                | Poor      | 53   | 0-80       | Recurrence Metastasis | 27             | DOD     |
| 14   | F   | 12  | Tibia (p) | M0     | Pedicle | 7                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 75             | CDF     |
| 15   | F   | 13  | Femur (s-d) | M1     | Pedicle | 4                | Excellent | 100  | (-5)-90    | Running  | Fracture Metastasis | 66         | NED     |
| 16   | M   | 15  | Tibia (p) | M0     | Pedicle | -                | Poor      | 63   | Full       | Walking with crutch | Metastasis Non-union | 40       | AWD     |
| 17   | F   | 10  | Tibia (p) | M0     | Pedicle | 6                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 38           | CDF     |
| 18   | F   | 9   | Tibia (p) | M0     | Pedicle | 5                | Excellent | 100  | Full       | Running  | 28           | CDF     |
| Mean |     |     |         |        |        | 5.8             |           | 86   |           |         | 45.7         |         |         |

| Case | Sex  | Age | Site† | Stage‡ | Method | Bony union (mos.) | Function | MSTS | a ROM (%)§ | Activity | Complication # | Follow-up (mos.) | Outcome¶ |
|------|------|-----|-------|--------|--------|------------------|----------|------|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|      |      |     |       |        |        |                  |          |      |            |          |                |                |         |
|      |      |     |       |        |        |                  |          |      |            |          |                |                |         |
|      |      |     |       |        |        |                  |          |      |            |          |                |                |         |
|      |      |     |       |        |        |                  |          |      |            |          |                |                |         |

Table 1: Details of the patients who had transepiphyseal tumor resection and reconstruction using frozen autografts treated with liquid nitrogen. * M, male; F, female † p, proximal; d, distal; s-d, shaft to distal; ‡ M0, no metastasis; M1, with metastasis; § aROM, active range of motion; # LLD, Limb length discrepancy; ¶ CDF, continuous disease free; AWD, alive with disease; DOD, dead of disease.
