INTRODUCTION

Premenstrual syndrome (PMS) is characterised by the recurrence of certain physical, psychological and behavioural symptoms, beginning the week before menstruation and disappearing within a few days after the onset of menses. The key diagnostic feature is that the symptoms must be absent in the time between the end of menstruation and ovulation. Premenstrual symptoms affect about 40% of women of reproductive age, with severe impairment occurring in approximately 5%, enough to impair their daily life and relationships. Most women of reproductive age have one or more emotional or physical symptoms at some time during their menstrual cycles, but only a small percentage (5%) report significant life disruption from PMS. Premenstrual symptoms are controlled by hormones, with changes in progesterone levels associated with the onset of symptoms. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence and severity of premenstrual symptoms experienced by women, the associated factors and the remedial approaches practiced by them.

Background: Premenstrual symptoms affect about 40% of women of reproductive age. In an effort to alleviate premenstrual symptoms, affected women practice various remedial approaches. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence and severity of premenstrual symptoms experienced by women, the associated factors and the remedial approaches practiced by them.

Method: This was a cross-sectional study conducted at a rural primary care clinic situated in Hulu Langat, Malaysia. All women of reproductive age (18 to 44 years old) attending the clinic during the study period and who fit the selection criteria were included. Premenstrual symptoms and severity were assessed using a self-report questionnaire, the Shortened Premenstrual Assessment Form (SPAF). It consists of 10 items that measure changes in mood, behaviour and physical symptoms. The respondents were also asked if they had used any remedy to relieve their symptoms.

Results: A total of 158 women were included in the study. The majority of the respondents were Malay (70.3%), followed by Indian (16.5%) and Chinese (10.8%) women. About 75% of the women experienced at least one of the premenstrual symptoms. Approximately 7% of them reported experiencing severe symptoms in all three subscales of the SPAF. The frequently reported symptoms were body ache (75.3%), abdominal pain (75.3%), irritable feeling (63.9%) and breast discomfort (61.4%). The symptom score was higher among Malay women (p = 0.034), and those with a higher household income (p = 0.03) and higher educational level (p = 0.01). There was no significant association between premenstrual symptoms and age, marital status, menstrual cycle and age of menarche. The common remedies used were vitamins (19%), a healthy diet (15.8%) and analgesics (13.3%). Approximately 60% of the women did not use any remedy to reduce their premenstrual symptoms.

Conclusion: Premenstrual symptoms were common among women attending the clinic. The symptoms affect them significantly both physically and emotionally. Thus, it is essential for primary care providers to take an active role in identifying, educating and managing premenstrual symptoms among women.
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Method

This was a cross-sectional study conducted at a rural primary care clinic situated in Hulu Langat district in Selangor, Malaysia. All women attending the clinic during the study period who fit the selection criteria were included in the study. The inclusion criteria included all women aged 18 to 44 years old who were registered as patients at the primary care clinic. Women who were pregnant, had early menopause or severe mental and physical disability were excluded from the study.

Premenstrual symptoms and severity were assessed using the Shortened Premenstrual Assessment Form (SPAF). SPAF is a self-report questionnaire developed by Halbreich et al. and its validity has been described. It consists of 10 items, which measure changes in mood, behaviour and physical symptoms during the premenstrual period. The symptoms are divided into three subscales, namely symptoms that describe affect, water retention and pain. A total score is calculated based on the summing of positive response to each symptom. The symptoms score was higher among Malay women (p = 0.034) and those with a higher household income (p = 0.037) and higher educational level (p = 0.01). No significant association was found between premenstrual symptoms and age, marital status, duration of menses and age of menarche.

The data was analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 14. T-test, mean and standard deviation were used to analyse the data. A p value of < 0.05 and a confidence interval of 95% were taken as indicative of significant difference. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ministry of Health of Malaysia and the Research Committee of the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

Results

A total of 158 women agreed to participate in the study. The majority of the respondents were from the Malay ethnic group (70.3%), followed by Indian (16.5%) and Chinese (10.8%) women. Their ages ranged from 18 to 44 years, with a mean age of 30.7 years. More than half (73.4%) were married. Most of the respondents had a secondary education (91.8%), while 8.2% had tertiary education. Most (79.1%) of the respondents had a household income of less than RM 2000 a month (Table 1). The majority of the respondents (> 75%) experienced at least one of the premenstrual symptoms. Approximately 7% of the respondents reported experiencing severe or extreme premenstrual symptoms in all three subscales of the SPAF, namely affect, water retention and pain. This study showed that the symptoms score was higher among Malay women (p = 0.034) and those with a higher household income (p = 0.037) and higher educational level (p = 0.01). No significant association was found between premenstrual symptoms and age, marital status, duration of menses and age of menarche (Table 1).

The most frequently reported premenstrual symptoms were physical symptoms of body ache (75.3%) and abdominal pain (31.4%). The symptoms score was higher among Malay women (p = 0.034) and those with a higher household income (p = 0.037) and higher educational level (p = 0.01). No significant association was found between premenstrual symptoms and age, marital status, duration of menses and age of menarche (Table 1).

Table 1

| VARIABLES (N = 158) | N   | %   | MEAN SYMPTOM SCORE ± SD | P VALUE |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|---------|
| ETHNIC GROUP        |     |     |                         |         |
| Malay               | 111 | 70.3| 28.21 ± 1.3             | 0.034   |
| Chinese             | 26  | 16.5| 23.62 ± 9.3             |         |
| Indian              | 17  | 10.8| 22.53 ± 8.2             |         |
| Other               | 4   | 2.5 | 19.50 ± 3.3             |         |
| AGE GROUP           |     |     |                         | 0.769   |
| 18 – 24             | 41  | 25.9| 26.68 ± 10.4            |         |
| 25 – 34             | 61  | 38.6| 27.34 ± 11.26           |         |
| 35 – 44             | 56  | 35.4| 25.66 ± 10.86           |         |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME    |     |     |                         | 0.037   |
| < RM 1000           | 54  | 34.2| 25.02 ± 9.9             |         |
| RM 1000 – RM 1999   | 71  | 44.9| 25.70 ± 10.7            |         |
| RM 2000 – RM 2999   | 17  | 10.8| 29.53 ± 10.2            |         |
| RM 3000 – RM 3999   | 16  | 10.1| 32.94 ± 12.6            |         |
| EDUCATIONAL LEVEL   |     |     |                         | 0.01    |
| Secondary           | 145 | 91.8| 25.76 ± 10.5            |         |
| Tertiary            | 13  | 8.2 | 38.31 ± 10.0            |         |
| MARRITAL STATUS     |     |     |                         | 0.908   |
| Single/divorced/widowed | 42 | 26.5| 26.91 ± 11.82           |         |
| Married             | 116 | 73.4| 26.56 ± 10.48           |         |
| AGE OF MENARCHE     |     |     |                         | 0.743   |
| < 12 years old      | 70  | 44.3| 26.00 ± 10.41           |         |
| > 13 years old      | 88  | 55.7| 27.11 ± 11.16           |         |
| DURATION OF MENSES   |     |     |                         | 0.408   |
| ≤ 6 days            | 76  | 48.1| 25.13 ± 10.57           |         |
| ≥ 7 days            | 82  | 51.9| 28.00 ± 10.92           |         |
| SYMPTOMS SCORE      |     |     |                         |         |
| Range               | 12–60| 26.0 ± 1.4|              |         |
Premenstrual symptoms and remedies

Research

Approximately 7% of the respondents reported experiencing severe premenstrual symptoms that impaired their daily life functions and relationships. This finding is similar to previous studies, which reported that 5 to 8% of women have moderate to severe symptoms that are associated with substantial distress or functional impairment.1,3,5,7 Those women who experience moderate to severe premenstrual symptoms require further assessment to determine if they have premenstrual dysphoric disorder. Their quality of life could be improved, since significant advances have been made in the pharmacological therapy for PMDD. SSRIs have been shown in studies to be effective in relieving distressing mood symptoms and improving social function in most patients.2,3,4,5,6,8,9,12

The commonly reported premenstrual symptoms were mainly physical symptoms, namely backache and joint pains, abdominal pain and breast pain. However, the emotions of the women were also significantly affected, with more than half of the respondents reported being irritable (64%) and feeling depressed (53%). The results of this study can be explained by the local cultural behaviour, whereby women from the lower socio-economic groups were more reserved about discussing PMS, and may regard it as a normal physiological event.

In this study, premenstrual symptoms were reported more frequently by the Malay women than by women from the other ethnic groups. This could be due to the disproportionate study sample, where the majority of the respondents were Malay. Whether this reflects a specific biological, social or lifestyle characteristic remains to be determined. Women from the higher income group and those with higher educational levels reported a higher premenstrual symptom score. However, some other studies have described that better educated women and those from a higher income group were less likely to report PMS.14,20

The results of this study indicate that premenstrual symptoms (PMS) are common among women attending the primary care clinic, since the majority of the respondents (75%) experienced at least one of the premenstrual symptoms. The mean premenstrual symptom score was 26.0 ± 4 (range 12-60), which is similar to that in several previous studies in other countries.14,16,18,19 This implied that most of the women experienced mild premenstrual symptoms. Primary care providers should screen women attending the clinic for PMS and educate them regarding the symptoms. Although many women experienced mild symptoms, it is beneficial for the affected women to seek treatment and manage the symptoms to improve their quality of life.

Approximately 28.5% of the women had used a combination of remedies. The most popular options for remedial approaches were vitamins (19%), followed by a healthy diet (15.8%) and analgesics (13.3%) (Table 3).

The majority of the women did not seek any remedy for their premenstrual symptoms. About 40% of the respondents reported that they had tried at least one type of remedy, and about 28.5% had used a combination of remedies. The most popular options for remedial approaches were vitamins (19%), followed by a healthy diet (15.8%) and analgesics (13.3%) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study indicate that premenstrual symptoms (PMS) are common among women attending the primary care clinic, since the majority of the respondents (75%) experienced at least one of the premenstrual symptoms. The mean premenstrual symptom score was 26.0 ± 4 (range 12-60), which is similar to that in several previous studies in other countries.14,16,18,19 This implied that most of the women experienced mild premenstrual symptoms. Primary care providers should screen women attending the clinic for PMS and educate them regarding the symptoms. Although many women experienced mild symptoms, it is beneficial for the affected women to seek treatment and manage the symptoms to improve their quality of life.

**TABLE 2**

| SYMPTOMS                              | YES (N = 158) | MILD (N = 158) | MODERATE (N = 158) | SEVERE (N = 158) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Breast pain, enlargement or swelling  | 97 (61.4)     | 44 (27.8)      | 45 (28.5)         | 8 (5.1)          |
| Outburst of irritability or bad temper| 101 (63.9)    | 40 (25.3)      | 44 (27.8)         | 17 (10.8)        |
| Body ache, joint pain or backache     | 119 (75.3)    | 41 (25.9)      | 55 (34.8)         | 23 (14.6)        |
| Abdominal discomfort, heaviness or pain| 119 (75.3)   | 39 (24.7)      | 55 (34.8)         | 25 (15.8)        |
| Feeling bloated                       | 70 (44.3)     | 22 (13.9)      | 34 (21.5)         | 14 (8.9)         |
| Feeling stress                        | 94 (59.5)     | 49 (31.0)      | 32 (20.3)         | 13 (8.2)         |
| Feeling depressed                     | 84 (53.2)     | 35 (22.6)      | 36 (22.8)         | 13 (8.2)         |
| Weight changes (weight gain)          | 73 (46.2)     | 30 (19.0)      | 32 (20.3)         | 11 (7.0)         |
| Oedema, swelling, puffiness or water retention | 69 (43.7) | 38 (24.9) | 21 (13.3) | 10 (6.3) |
| Cannot cope or overwhelmed by ordinary demands | 69 (43.7) | 16 (10.1) | 39 (24.7) | 14 (8.9) |

**TABLE 3**

Remedies used to alleviate PMS

| REMEDIES                              | N (N = 158) | %     |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Did not seek treatment                | 94          | 59.5  |
| Vitamins                              | 30          | 19.0  |
| Healthy diet                          | 25          | 15.8  |
| Analgesics                            | 21          | 13.3  |
| Exercises                             | 13          | 8.2   |
| Herbal                                | 12          | 7.6   |
| Hormonal (OCP)                        | 9           | 5.7   |
| Combination of remedies               | 45          | 28.5  |

pain (75.3%), followed by irritable feeling (63.9%) and breast discomfort (61.4%). The symptom score based on the Shortened Premenstrual Assessment Form (SPAF) ranged from 12 to 60, with a mean score of 26.0 ± 4 (minimum score = 10, maximum score = 60) (Table 2).

The frequency and severity of premenstrual symptoms among respondents are shown in Table 2. The symptom score was calculated using the Shortened Premenstrual Assessment Form (SPAF) and ranged from 12 to 60, with a mean score of 26.0 ± 4.

The commonly reported premenstrual symptoms were mainly physical symptoms, namely backache and joint pains, abdominal pain and breast pain. However, the emotions of the women were also significantly affected, with more than half of the respondents reported being irritable (64%) and feeling depressed (53%). Approximately 44% of the respondents reported that they could not cope or were overwhelmed by ordinary demands during the premenstrual period. This implies that the premenstrual symptoms significantly affected the women physically and emotionally. These results are similar to the findings of other studies in many countries.7,14,16,18

In this study, premenstrual symptoms were reported more frequently by the Malay women than by women from the other ethnic groups. This could be due to the disproportionate study sample, where the majority of the respondents were Malay. Whether this reflects a specific biological, social or lifestyle characteristic remains to be determined. Women from the higher income group and those with higher educational levels reported a higher premenstrual symptom score. However, some other studies have described that better educated women and those from a higher income group were less likely to report PMS.14,20

The results of this study can be explained by the local cultural behaviour, whereby women from the lower socio-economic community are more reserved about discussing PMS, and may regard it as a normal physiological event.

Approximately 40% of the respondents had tried at least one type of remedy for their premenstrual symptoms. The commonly reported remedies were vitamin pills (5.7%). Others took either supplementary medicine, non-prescribed treatment from health professionals, namely analgesics (13.3%) and hormonal pills (5.7%). Others took either supplementary medicine, non-
pharmacological treatment or did not seek treatment (60%). This could be contributed to the mild symptoms that they experienced, meaning that they felt it to be unnecessary to seek help from health professionals, or that it was due to the socio-cultural behaviour of the community. However, there are many ways to alleviate even mild symptoms of PMS, and thus improving their help-seeking behaviour would be beneficial. Lifestyle modifications and exercise are recommended for all women with PMS and may be all that is needed to treat mild to moderate symptoms.1,3,4,25

Approximately 16% of the women followed a healthy diet to relieve their premenstrual symptoms. Premenstrual dietary modification, such as the restriction of salt use, has been proposed to alleviate symptoms of fluid retention, weight gain and breast swelling.2,7,14 Caffeine restriction is also recommended for women with symptoms of premenstrual irritability and insomnia.1 Consuming frequent small meals and complex carbohydrates may be helpful to those women who typically engage in premenstrual food binges.2,13,14

Exercise was used by 8.2% of the women to relieve PMS. Several studies have suggested that PMS would be attenuated by engaging in exercises.1,7,13,14,25 The positive effects of moderate exercise on mood and general health are well documented.1,7,14,15,23 Women engaging in moderate aerobic exercise at least three times a week have significantly fewer premenstrual symptoms than sedentary women.2,25

Approximately 19% of the women took vitamins to reduce PMS. Vitamin B12 has been reported to have a significant effect in relieving PMS.2,14 Meta-analysis concludes that doses of 50 to 100 mg elemental vitamin B6 are also significantly better than a placebo in relieving PMS.2,14 Few trials had documented a significant decrease in depression, water retention, pain, fatigue and insomnia in women who received 1 200 mg elemental calcium per day.1,14 Evening primrose oil, a prostaglandin precursor, has been reported to relieve depression and mastalgia and to improve the global score of PMS.1,14 Analgesics were used by 13.3% of the women. Most NSAIDs are effective in alleviating a wide range of PMS symptoms, but they do not appear to improve mastalgia.7,14 About 5.7% of the women had taken hormonal treatment, mainly oral contraceptives, to treat their PMS. Oral contraceptives would improve physical symptoms such as bloating, headaches, abdominal pain and breast tenderness.3,26 However, the pills do not appear to have a positive effect on mood symptoms.1,2,9,20

Future research should look into the women’s understanding of PMS, their barriers to and reasons for not seeking help from healthcare providers, and the prevalence of premenstrual dysphoric disorder among those women who have extremely distressing emotional and behavioural symptoms. Advances have been made in the treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder, thus women with this disorder could be helped to improve their quality of life.7,6,12

There are some limitations to this study. The use of structured questionnaires and not open-ended ones may lead to bias in reporting, especially when exploring new areas of human behaviour. Self-reporting also records data with a retrospective focus and the information may be subject to memory and reporting bias.

Conclusion

The results of this study show that premenstrual symptoms are common among women attending the primary care clinic. Although many of the women had tried some remedies to alleviate premenstrual symptoms, the majority of them did not seek any treatment. Thus, it is essential for primary care health professionals to take an active role in identifying and managing premenstrual symptoms in these women and educating them.

Education can be given through various approaches such as pamphlets, posters, effective communication and facilitating supportive groups in the clinic, work place or community.

REFERENCES

1. Dickerson LM, Mazycz PF, Hunter MH. Premenstrual syndrome. Am Fam Physician. 2003;67:1743–1753.
2. Indusekhar R, Usman SB, O’Brien S. Psychological aspects of premenstrual syndrome. Best Practice & Research: Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2007;21(2):207–220.
3. Yonkers KA, O’Brien PM, Eriksson E. Premenstrual syndrome. Lancet. 2008;371(9619):1200–1210.
4. Steiner M, Pearlstein T, Cohen LS, et al. Expert guidelines for the treatment of severe PMS, PMDD and comorbidities: The role of SSRIs. J Womens Health. 2006;15(1):57–69.
5. Frackiewicz EJ, Shiovitz TM. Evaluation and management of premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2001;41(3):437–447.
6. Kessel B. Premenstrual syndrome. Advances in diagnosis and treatment. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2000;27(3):625–639.
7. Daugherty JE. Treatment strategies for premenstrual syndrome. Am Fam Physician. 1998;24:181–196.
8. Usman SB, Indusekhar R, O’Brien S. Hormonal management of premenstrual syndrome. Best Practice & Research: Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2008;22(2):251–260.
9. Steiner M. Premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder: Guidelines for management. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2000;25:459–464.
10. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders – DSM-IV. 4th ed. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
11. ACOG. ACOG practice bulletin: Premenstrual syndrome. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2001;73:183–191.
12. Jarvis CI, Lynch AM, Morin AK. Management strategies for premenstrual syndrome/premenstrual dysphoric disorder. Ann Pharmacother. 2008;42(7):967–978.
13. Barnhart KT, Freeman EW, Sondheimer SJ. A clinician’s guide to the premenstrual syndrome. Med Clin North Am. 1995;79(6):1457–1472.
14. Deuser PA, Adena T. Biological, social and behavioural factors associated with premenstrual syndrome. Arch Fam Med. 1999;8:122–128.
15. Halbreich U, Endicott J, Nee J. The diversity of premenstrual changes as reflected in the premenstrual assessment form. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1982;65:64–65.
16. Chau JPC, Chang AM, Chang AMZ. Relationship between premenstrual tension syndrome and anxiety in Chinese adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 1998;22:247–249.
17. Fryne GM, Silverman SD. Is it premenstrual syndrome? Postgrad Med. 2000;107(5):20–30.
18. Campbell EM, Peterkin D, O’Grady K, Sanson-Fisher R. Premenstrual symptoms in general practice patients. Prevalence and treatment. J Reprod Med. 1997;42(10):637–646.
19. Chaturvedi SK, Chandra PS, Issac MK, et al. Premenstrual experiences: The four profile and factorial patterns. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 1993;14:223–235.
20. Ramacharan S, Love EJ, Fick GH, Goldfien A. The epidemiology of premenstrual symptoms in a population-based sample of 2650 urban women: Attributable risk and risk factors. J Clin Epidemiol. 1992;45(4):377–392.
21. Young M. PMS and PMDD: Identification and treatment. Patient Care. 2001;35(9):29–35.
22. Abraham S, Luscombe G. Oral contraception and cyclic changes in premenstrual and menstrual experiences. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 2003;24(3):185–193.
23. Wurtman JJ, Brzezinski A, Wurtman RJ. Laferber B. Effect of nutrients on premenstrual depression. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1989;161:1228–1234.
24. Rånsom S, Mollenhauer J. Premenstrual syndrome. The Physician and Sport Medicine. 1998;26:35–42.
25. Chang AC, Holroyd E. Translation of questionnaires and issues of equivalence. J Adv Nurs. 1999;29(2):316–322.
26. Wyatt KM, Dimmok PW, Jones PW, O'Brien PMS. Efficacy of vitamin B-6 in the treatment of premenstrual syndrome: Systematic review. BMJ. 1999;318:1375–1381.
27. Lurie S, Borenstein R. The premenstrual syndrome. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 1990;45(4):220–227.
28. Tiemstra J. Hormonal therapy in the management of premenstrual syndrome. J Am Board Family Pract. 1998;11(5):378–381.
29. O'Brien PM. Helping women with premenstrual syndrome. BMJ. 1993;30:715–719.