Abstract: The energy from fossil fuels has been recognized as a main factor of global warming and environmental pollution. Therefore, there is an urgent need to replace fossil fuels with clean, cost-effective, long-lasting, and environmentally friendly fuel to solve the future energy crisis of the world. Therefore, the development of clean, sustainable, and renewable energy sources is a prime concern. In this regard, solar energy-driven hydrogen production is considered as an overriding opening for renewable and green energy by virtue of its high energy efficiency, high energy density, and non-toxicity along with zero emissions. Water splitting is a promising technology for producing hydrogen, which represents a potentially and environmentally clean fuel. Water splitting is a widely known process for hydrogen production using different techniques and materials. Among different techniques of water splitting, electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting using semiconductor materials have been considered as the most scalable and cost-effective approaches for the commercial production of sustainable hydrogen. In order to achieve a high yield of hydrogen from these processes, obtaining a suitable, efficient, and stable catalyst is a significant factor. Among the different types of semiconductor catalysts, tungsten disulfide (WS$_2$) has been widely utilized as a catalytic active material for the water-splitting process, owing to its layered 2D structure and its interesting chemical, physical, and structural properties. However, WS$_2$ suffers from some disadvantages that limit its performance in catalytic water splitting. Among the various techniques and strategies that have been constructed to overcome the limitations of WS$_2$ is heterostructure construction. In this process, WS$_2$ is coupled with another semiconducting material in order to facilitate the charge transfer and prevent the charge recombination, which will enhance the catalytic performance. This review aims to summarize the recent studies and findings on WS$_2$ and its heterostructures as a catalyst in the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water-splitting processes.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, energy crises and environmental pollution have become serious issues, and thus, seeking for renewable and clean energy is an urgent task. According to the International Energy Agency report [1], the energy demand of the world is expected to increase by 30% by 2040. Therefore, finding a sustainable and clean energy system on a large scale is a challenging global target. Countries around the world are working on developing renewable energy applications such as wind, solar, hydropower, etc. However, these sources are suffering from some limitations such as temporal and spatial discontinuities, which result in low delivery efficiency [2,3]. One of the promising solutions to this dilemma is converting these renewable energy sources into other forms that can be easily transported and stored, such as chemical fuels. Among all the chemical fuels, hydrogen has the highest gravimetric energy density (142 MJ kg$^{-1}$), which makes it a promising ultimate clean energy carrier [4]. Therefore, using hydrogen fuel is expected to mitigate the environmental consequences due to the clean source and zero emission of pollutant species.
One of the well-known and promising processes of hydrogen production is water splitting, where water molecules will decompose into hydrogen and oxygen using several techniques and materials. Recently, electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting using semiconductor-based catalysts have gained significant interest, as these are clean methods of water splitting with high purity and good efficiency [5,6]. However, numerous catalytic systems have been reported to be active for water splitting. Electrocatalysis and photocatalysis contribute only 4% to the global water-splitting processes to produce hydrogen [7,8]. This limited contribution on the large scale is mainly due to the lack of stable, sufficient, and cost-effective materials for catalysis. The discovery of 2D materials was a significant stage in the era of nanoscience and specially in energy production as they possess exceptional chemical, mechanical, electrical, and optoelectronic properties [9,10]. Among the 2D materials, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have been widely studied as a promising candidate to act as a catalyst in the catalytic water-splitting process [11,12]. These 2D-TMDs have a quasi 2D structure, where each transition metal atom is sandwiched between two chalcogenide atoms covalently at the hexagonal site, and the adjacent layers are held physically by van der Waals forces. A notable member in this family is tungsten disulfide (WS$_2$), which has electrical and optoelectronic properties that can be tuned by manipulating the number of layers and the crystal structure. As a 2D material, WS$_2$ exhibits high specific area, which leads to the abundance of active sites [13–16]. This will significantly nominate it to have a good performance in catalytic activity. A recent study revealed that WS$_2$ possesses a superior electrical conductivity and large number of catalytic active sites for hydrogen evolution [17]. Moreover, WS$_2$ is nontoxic, inexpensive, and resistant to corrosion. However, the water-splitting system using WS$_2$ as a single catalyst has faced several obstacles including the fast recombination of the generated electrons and holes and low charge transfer efficiency [17–19].

Various strategies have been used to enhance the catalytic activity of the WS$_2$ semiconductor for water-splitting application. These strategies include controlling the size and morphology of the materials, defect and phase engineering, elemental doping, and the construction of heterostructure. Developing a heterostructure can be defined as the formation of interfaces between two different solid-state materials [20]. This strategy has been used widely in the catalytic water-splitting processes in order to enhance the performance by increasing the photon absorption, decreasing the recombination rate, and improving the charge separation [21,22].

Although there are some reviews on the catalytic water splitting activity of WS$_2$, WS$_2$-based heterostructures for water splitting applications are not widely discussed. Therefore, this review will give a comprehensive discussion about WS$_2$ and its based heterostructures in the field of water splitting, especially electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting.

2. Fundamental Features of WS$_2$ Nanostructures

Basic and structural traits of the material have a significant role in determining its functionality in different applications. Major properties and features such as morphology/crystallinity of the structure as well as the electronic and optical properties influence the catalytic activity significantly. This section discusses some of the main features of WS$_2$, which reveal its potential for applications in electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting.

2.1. WS$_2$ Crystallographic Structures

As a member of TMDs, WS$_2$ possesses a sandwich-like structure, where each sandwich is composed of three atomic layers: the tungsten atom layer mediates two sulfur atom layers (S–W–S), as shown in Figure 1a. The thickness of each sandwich layer is about 0.6–0.7 nm. Atoms within each sheet or slab of the structure are connected through an ionic bond with a contribution of covalent interaction [23]. The sandwich layers are held by Van der Waals forces with a separation distance of around 0.65 nm. This distance is quite high in the 2D materials, which provides higher space for the extraction and insertion [24].
Depending on its crystal structure, WS$_2$ belongs to either the space group of P6$_3$/mmc with $a = 0.31532$ nm and $c = 1.2323$ nm lattice parameters, or the R3m space group with lattice parameters $a = 0.3158$ nm and $c = 1.849$ nm. WS$_2$ may exhibit three crystal structures according to its stacking form: 3R WS$_2$ with three layers stacked in a rhombohedral lattice, 2H WS$_2$ with two layers stacked in a hexagonal lattice, and 1T WS$_2$ with one layer stacked in a trigonal lattice [25–27]. 2H WS$_2$ belongs to the P6$_3$/mmc group space, whereas 3R WS$_2$ belongs to R3m group space. Figure 1b shows the three different crystal structures that WS$_2$ may exhibit. In the 1T-WS$_2$ crystal structure, one tungsten atom is centering the unit cell with six sulfur atoms occupying the edges. This crystal structure represents the metallic phase of WS$_2$ with a conductivity about $10^5$ times higher than the 2H phase conductivity. This makes it better candidate for the electrochemical applications. The 2H-WS$_2$ crystal structure represents the semiconductor phase of WS$_2$ with a hexagonal polytype structure, where the tungsten atom is located at the center, and sulfur atoms are sharing a trigonal prism. In this phase, the second layer is rotated around the $c$ axis by $60^\circ$ with respect to the first layer. This crystal structure exhibits natural stability with semiconductor characteristics. In the third crystal structure, which is 3R WS$_2$, the trigonal prism in the adjacent layers are in parallel arrangement to each other, where every fourth layer conforms to the first layer. Therefore, all the layers in this phase have the same orientation; however, they are shifted with respect to one another. This phase has semiconducting activity with a lack of inversion symmetry, which makes it suitable for the application of spin valley physics and optoelectronic devices [28,29].
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**Figure 1.** (a) General structure of WS$_2$. Reproduced with permission [30]. Copyright 2011, Springer Nature. (b) The three identified polytypes structures of WS$_2$: trigonal (1T), hexagonal polymorph (2H) and rhombohedral (3R). Reproduced with permission [31] Copyright 2012, Springer Nature.

### 2.2. WS$_2$ Electrical Properties

As WS$_2$ has a wide range of applications in the energy conversion field, many efforts have been dedicated to understand and enhance its electrical characteristics and properties such as conductivity, mobility, and charge carrier concentration. According to a theoretical study [32], WS$_2$ has the highest mobility ($\mu$) among the TMDs family, due to its reduced effective mass. An early report revealed that bulk WS$_2$ exhibits the following electrical parameters: $\rho = 3.37 \ \Omega\cdot cm; \ p = 7 \times 10^{16} \ cm^{-3}; \ \mu = 30 \ cm^2/V\cdot s^{-1}$ [33]. Recently, it has been shown that reducing the number of WS$_2$ layers into a single layer or bilayer would lead to improving the electronic mobility [34]. Experimental work on single- and double-layer WS$_2$-based field-effect transistors reported room temperature electrical mobility up to 50 cm$^2$/V$\cdot$s$^{-1}$ [32]. The reported carrier concentration of WS$_2$ nanotubes showed higher value compared to the bulk and thin film with about $3.0 \times 10^{17}$ to $1.6 \times 10^{18} \ cm^{-3}$ [35].

### 2.3. WS$_2$ Electronic Band Structure

The electronic band structure is one of the most fundamental properties of a material and is the foundation for understanding its various properties and finding possible applications. This section will review the recent works on electronic properties of WS$_2$. 

2.3.1. Brillouin Zone

The repetition of the lattice structure is described by the Brillouin zone, which allows using the band structure of a single lattice to describe or represent the band structure of the entire solid. The Brillouin zone is usually being utilized to represent the primitive cell of the lattice in the reciprocal space. Thus, as the Bravais lattice is divided into cells, the reciprocal lattice is divided into Brillouin zones. Figure 2 shows the hexagonal lattice of the WS$_2$ with the representative Brillouin zone [36].
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**Figure 2.** The Brillouin zone and special point of the hexagonal lattice system of tungsten disulfide. Reproduced with permission [36]. Copyright 2015, MDPI.

2.3.2. Band Structure

The electronic band structure can be investigated experimentally by using photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) or theoretically by using theoretical calculations and atomic simulation based on the density functional theory method (DFT). Several theoretical studies have been conducted to study the electronic band structure of WS$_2$. Peter reports a study on the electronic properties of monolayer, bilayer and bulk WS$_2$ using DFT calculations, where the band structure and its corresponding band gap, the absorption coefficient, density of state, and other parameters were obtained [37]. The DFT calculations of bulk WS$_2$ show a band structure with 1.29 eV indirect band gap energy, with possible direct transition starting around 2.2 eV, as shown in Figure 3a. This band structure shows some interesting features, such as that both direct and indirect optical transitions can take place in this material, depending on the energy of the incident photon. The electronic dispersion graph shows the relative locations of the conduction band minima and valence band maxima, which correspond directly to the strength of these transitions. The bilayer WS$_2$ exhibits an indirect band gap with energy of 1.64 eV with a possible direct transition of 2.13 eV, as represented in Figure 3b. For the single or monolayer WS$_2$, the calculations revealed that a single-layer WS$_2$ exhibits a direct band gap with energy of 2.15 eV, as shown in Figure 3c. Other researchers have also conducted studies on WS$_2$ band structures using other simulation methods such as Hedin quasiparticle (GW), where they obtained similar results [38]. Experimentally, PES is used, where the surface of the material is bombarded with high-energy radiation, which causes electrons to be ejected from the material and then detected. By measuring the electronic energy distribution of the detected electrons, the information of the occupied states can be found. The experimental reports also support the significant effect of the number of WS$_2$ layers on the electronic band structure. The results show that the band gap increases as the material goes from the bulk and is exfoliated into a monolayer [39,40]. It also shows that the bulk form can exhibit both direct and indirect band gap. Moreover, the experimental studies showed that the fabrication of WS$_2$ nanostructures with different morphologies could change and expand the band gap due to the quantum confinement effect [41,42].
3. Basic Principles of Water Splitting

Recently, much effort has been dedicated to developing several methods for hydrogen fuel production, which is considered the most suitable green energy source. Among all the techniques of hydrogen production, water splitting is highly nominated by the researchers because of water being the most plentiful, unlimited, and environment-friendly material. Water splitting is a process based on a chemical reaction in which water will be decomposed or broken down into hydrogen and oxygen. Thermodynamically, water has a stable molecule, where 237 KJ of energy is needed to decompose 1 mole of water into hydrogen and oxygen. Thus, several methods have been developed to overcome this thermodynamic barrier. This section will provide a review on two types of water-splitting techniques: electrocatalytic and photocatalytic.

3.1. Electrocatalytic Water Splitting

The electrocatalytic water-splitting process using renewable sources has been widely investigated and considered as a promising green energy production technology. In order to lower the electricity consumption required to drive the essential reactions for water splitting, which are the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), a highly stable and sufficient electrocatalyst should be used.

3.1.1. Electrocatalytic Mechanism of HER

Acid Electrolyte Mechanism

The catalytic electrochemical process significantly depends on the concentration of the reactants and the number of active sites according to the mass action law. Acidic electrolyte can provide the system with a large amount of protons, which will facilitate the HER. Firstly, the surface of the catalyst will absorb H⁺, which will form an adsorbed hydrogen atom at the active site (H*), as represented in Equation (1). This step of the process is called discharge or the Volmer step. Then, the adsorbed atom (H*) will react with an H⁺ and an electron to form a hydrogen molecule (H₂). The formation of a hydrogen molecule, as shown in Equation (2), is known as the electrochemical desorption step. The combination of two adsorbed atoms (H*) at the active site could also lead to the formation of a hydrogen molecule, which is called chemical desorption step or Tafel step (Equation (3)). Equation (4) shows the overall electrocatalytic HER in acidic media [43–45].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- & \rightarrow \text{H}^* \quad \text{(1)} \\
\text{H}^* + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad \text{(2)}
\end{align*}
\]
Alkaline Electrolyte Mechanism

In order to meet some specific applications’ requirements, HER under the alkaline media condition has been conducted widely. In alkaline HER, the protons are supplied by the dissociation of water molecules due to the lack of $\text{H}^+$ in the basic media (Equations (5) and (6)). Equation (6) represents the Tafel step, which is similar to that in the acidic mechanism. Equation (7) represents the overall process reaction. The formation of $\text{OH}^-$ by-product species in the solution will lead to an increase in the rate of the reverse reaction of hydrogen formation, which will result in large overpotential. Thus, additional energy is needed to be provided for the generation of protons, which makes the kinetic of HER on most catalytic surfaces in alkaline solution sluggish [46].

$$2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad (3)$$

$$2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad (4)$$

3.1.2. Electrocatalytic Mechanism of OER

The oxygen evolution reaction takes place at the anode, where several proton and electron coupling reactions take place. When applying a sufficient external voltage, water molecules are going to be oxidized into oxygen molecules. As a result of the corrosive properties of acidic solutions, most of the metal-based catalysts undergo OER in basic electrolytes. One of the most studied OER mechanisms under alkaline condition is as follows:

$$\text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (5)$$

$$\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{OH}^- \quad (6)$$

$$2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2 \quad (7)$$

3.1.3. Parameters to Evaluate the Electrocatalytic Activity

Overpotential

Overpotential is the difference between the potential measured experimentally and the one determined by the thermodynamic electrochemical reaction. This difference is actually due to the formation of intermediates within the process, which requires higher activation energy, especially in the catalytic process. This will result in increasing the kinetic energy barrier. Thus, to achieve electrocatalytic water splitting, extra voltage, which is greater than the equilibrium potential, needs to be supplied [48–50]. This parameter is one of the most significant values for the evaluation of the electrocatalytic water decomposition performance. A large overpotential value will result in a low-efficiency electrolysis system and energy conversion. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) test is commonly performed for obtaining the value of overpotential. At 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ of current density, this overpotential is conventionally introduced or selected as standard benchmark for evaluating the catalytic activity [51,52].

Tafel Slope and Exchange Current Density

The slope of the linear part of the Tafel plot, which is a relation between the overpotential vs. the log of current density, is called the Tafel slope. This value is a very significant
kinetic parameter that can reflect the behavior of the relationship between the current density of the steady state and the overpotential. The equation of the linear region of the Tafel curve can be written as:

\[ n = a + b \log j \]  

(11)

where \( n \) represents the value of the overpotential, \( b \) stands for the Tafel slope, and \( j \) is the current density. The Tafel slope has an inverse proportional relationship with the charge transfer coefficient, where a larger Tafel slope means lower charge transfer capability [53].

The parameter exchange current density represents the value of the current density when there is no overpotential or the overpotential in the Tafel equation equals zero. In other words, it is the value of the current density at the equilibrium potential, where the cathodic and anodic currents are equal. This value can be obtained from the X-axis intersection of the extrapolated linear region of the Tafel plot. This parameter reflects the charge transfer intrinsic properties between the electrodes and the electrolytes [53,54].

3.1.4. WS\(_2\) as an Electrocatalyst

The performance or activity of the electrocatalyst is generally determined by several factors such as the crystal structure, the chemical composition, and the morphology. Overall, an ideal electrocatalyst should have high surface area with abundant exposed active sites. Moreover, the active sites of the electrocatalyst should exhibit suitable binding strength to the reactants and products [55]. This key consideration means that the adsorption and desorption ability of the intermediates during the reaction on the surface of the catalysts is desirable to facilitate the formation of the products. For hydrogen evolution reaction, the rate depends on the free energy adsorption of the hydrogen on the catalyst surface (\( \Delta G^*_H \)). If the adsorption on the surface is very strong, then the desorption process will be the rate-determining step of the overall reaction. According to this, a good electrocatalyst for HER should have a value of \( \Delta G^*_H \) close to 0 eV, which means that both reactants will be absorbed and the products will be easily desorbed [56–58]. Additionally, a good electrocatalyst should be conductive, earth abundant, and inexpensive. Due to their high activity, noble metals and platinum (Pt)-based catalysts are being used and serving as benchmark materials for the electrocatalytic water splitting. However, their limited sources and high cost restrict their widespread usage in electrocatalytic applications. Therefore, the development of an electrocatalyst with good performance, low cost, and earth-abundance is required to obtain a breakthrough in the electrocatalytic field. To address this, research attention has been paid recently to the abundant two-dimensional materials, especially the TMDs family. TMDs such as MoS\(_2\) and WS\(_2\) have been extensively studied as electrocatalysts, owing to their large surface area that can increase the active sites and their atomic thick structure that can facilitate charge transfer [59–61]. In addition, the Fermi level of TMDs lies within the d subshell, which fits one of the important criteria for an efficient electrocatalyst [45]. Although the basal planes of the semiconductor phase (2H-TMDs) are catalytically inert, their edge sites were experimentally verified and theoretically proposed to be active for HER. These edges are identified to exhibit similar values of (\( \Delta G^*_H \)) to the most catalytic active Pt group [62–64]. Theoretical study reveals that WS\(_2\) can have very good catalytic activity, where both tungsten and sulfur edge sites are the most thermoneutral (\( \Delta G^*_H \)) [65]. Therefore, many efforts have been devoted to maximize the exposure of these active edge sites by several techniques such as defect engineering, the construction of nanostructures, and morphology controlling. Wang et al. reported a notable enhancement for HER by MoS\(_2\)/WS\(_2\) vertically aligned nanosheets [66]. This improvement in the catalytic activity was ascribed to the vertically standing structure, where the exposure of the edge active sites was maximized. Defect engineering was also used as an effort to activate the inert basal planes. In 2H-TMDs, active sites can be created on either the (0001) basal plane or the edges of the inert S-dimer. Wu et al. reported an improvement in the electrocatalytic activity of 2H-WS\(_2\) by growing vertically aligned highly defective nanosheets [67]. Although many efforts have been made to improve the catalytic activity of
2H-WS₂, its performance still limited by the poor electrical conductivity, number of active sites, and the charge transfer.

Table 1 summarizes the electrocatalytic performance for HER of different prepared WS₂ materials using H2SO₄ as an electrolyte.

Table 1. The HER performance of WS₂ with different morphology.

| Catalyst Substrate | Synthesis Method                  | Current Density mA cm⁻² | Overpotential mV | Tafel Slope mV dec⁻¹ | Ref. |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------|
| Layered WS₂ CC     | Thermolysis                       | 10                      | 184              | 79                   | [68] |
| WS₂ nanosheets GCE | Polarization/decomposition        | 10                      | 157              | 60                   | [69] |
| WS₂ nanosheets W foil | Anodization/sulfurization       | 10                      | 136              | 61                   | [70] |
| WS₂ film Si/glassy carbon | Atomic layer deposition | 10                      | 137              | 54                   | [71] |
| WS₂ nanosheets GCE | Self-template                     | 10                      | 145              | 67                   | [72] |
| WS₂ nanoribbons Ti/Au | Chemical unzipping             | 10                      | 240              | 68                   | [73] |
| 1T-WS₂ nanobelts GC | Electrochemical activation       | 10                      | 170              | 40                   | [74] |
| WS₂ nanosheets CC  | Hydrothermal                      | 10                      | 116              | 37.5                 | [75] |
| WS₂ nanoflakes Si  | Hydrothermal                      | 10                      | 118              | 43                   | [76] |

3.2. Photocatalytic Water Splitting

Generally, water can be decomposed throughout a solar driven process, where the water-splitting reactions will be promoted by a semiconductor material. The semiconductor will absorb the sunlight and act as a photocatalyst. This process can be done through two main systems, a photocatalytic system (PC) and a photoelectrochemical system (PEC). In principle, both systems are using photoactive semiconductor materials as the main active component that will require the excitation by sunlight to generate electron–hole pairs. However, the two systems have different mechanisms and setup configuration. The PC system usually involves the construction of a semiconductor in a form of powder that is suspended homogenously in the reactant solution (water). When exposed to sunlight, this suspension should be able to absorb sufficient photons to facilitate the redox reactions on its surface. Therefore, in the PC system, sunlight is the only input source of energy. However, the PEC system involves the development of a photoactive thin film that will act as a cell electrode in the presence of electrolyte. Counter to the PC system, the redox reactions in the PEC system take place on separate surfaces. Oxidation and reduction will occur at the photoanode and photocathode, respectively, which means that the products are readily separable. In this system, external applied bias is provided to facilitate the redox reaction and charge separation. Regardless of the above-mentioned differences between the PC and PEC systems, there are major common strategies and properties that can be improved to enhance the photocatalytic activity in both systems [77,78]. For WS₂, photocatalytic activity is a very significant property, which is mainly dominated by the band structure. To study the use of WS₂ in the photocatalytic field in both systems, it is useful to discuss the basic theory and mechanism of the photocatalysis process.

3.2.1. Theory and Mechanism

In general, the electronic band structure of a semiconductor consists of a valence band (VB) filled with electrons, a vacant conduction band (CB), and a band gap between them. When a semiconductor is exposed to photons with energy equal to or greater than its band gap, the electrons in the VB can be excited to the CB, leaving behind holes. The mechanism
of this process can be summarized in three steps: (1) the absorption of the photons by the photocatalyst semiconductor, which will generate the charge carriers’ electrons and holes; (2) the separation of these charge carriers and their migration to the surface of the photocatalyst; (3) the participation of these charge carriers in the surface redox reactions to split water. The efficiency of the photocatalyst can be evaluated by the kinetics of the three main steps as follows:

\[ \eta = \eta_1 \times \eta_2 \times \eta_3 \]  

(12)

where \( \eta_1 \) represents the light absorption efficiency, \( \eta_2 \) represents the charge separation efficiency, and \( \eta_3 \) represents the surface catalytic redox reaction efficiency [1].

The photoinduced electrons in the CB will drive the reduction reaction to produce hydrogen, and the photoinduced holes in the VB will participate in the water oxidation. Therefore, to have a sufficient redox reaction, the minimum band edge of the photocatalyst CB should have a more negative value than the potential of hydrogen reduction reaction, and the maximum band edge of the VB should have a more positive value than the potential of water oxidation reaction. Moreover, with no available suitable reaction center or activity site, the photogenerated species will tend to recombine in the bulk, preventing them from participating in the redox reaction. Thus, specific criteria are needed to be met by the photocatalyst to achieve efficient water splitting [79].

3.2.2. Criteria for Efficient Photocatalyst

As mentioned earlier, to have a high-performance photocatalytic water-splitting process, a sufficient material for the photocatalyst is required with specific properties. In addition to good electrical conductivity, low resistivity, and stability, there are some critical conditions that should be met [80,81]. One of these conditions is the light absorption characteristics and the band gap. For a WS\(_2\) semiconductor, the absorption of photons can lead to transitions among the electronic bands, in the bands, and the defects, which forms an absorption spectrum. For the bulk WS\(_2\), a characteristic absorption peak at around 910 nm has been reported that is located in the near-infrared region [20]. However, tuning the material band gap by forming nanostructures was found to have a blue-shift effect with a wider absorption range in the visible region. Figure 4 represents the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of WS\(_2\) nanosheets where it showed a distinct peak in the range of 380 to 530 nm in the visible region and another distinct peak at the range from 600 to 700 nm [82]. Moreover, nanostructured WS\(_2\), especially nanosheets, showed an enhancement in the rate of the optical absorption as reported by Liu et al. [83]. This combination between the wide absorption ranges in the visible region with the improvement in the absorption range makes WS\(_2\) nanostructures a good candidate for the solar-driven catalytic water splitting, as the major composition of the solar spectrum is visible light.

Another feature that should be considered when choosing a photocatalyst for the water-splitting process is the suitability of the band-edge positions. As mentioned before, the CB edge should have energy lower than the potential for water oxidation (O\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O), while the VB edge should have energy higher than the potential needed for hydrogen reduction (H\(^+\)/H\(_2\)). Therefore, the redox potentials of water should be aligned within the band gap of the photocatalyst. Bulk WS\(_2\) is not a suitable material for photocatalytic water splitting, as it has a small band gap of 1.23 eV with unsuitable band position alignment with water redox potential [84]. Since the band gap is nothing but the energy between the CB minimum and VB maximum, increasing this band gap by the construction of nanostructures enables water redox potential to lie within the band gap. Piskunov et al. reported a simulation study on WS\(_2\) nanotubes with 12 nm diameter, and the calculated band edges were relatively aligned with water redox potentials [85]. In addition, Kuc et al. reported that WS\(_2\) single nanosheets exhibit a direct band gap with band-edge positions covering the water redox values [39]. Thus, WS\(_2\) semiconductor nanostructures have appropriate electronic band structure and band-edge positions for photocatalytic water splitting. However, as other layered 2D materials, they suffer from short minority diffusion length, which is the average distance that the carriers will move before recombination.
This means that the material will exhibit a high recombination rate, which will prevent the carriers from participating in the redox reaction. To overcome this limitation, WS₂ material has been coupled with other semiconductor materials to form a heterojunction with an enhancement in the charge separation and transfer.
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**Figure 4.** UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of nanosheets WS₂ and bulk WS₂. Reproduced with permission [82]. Copyright 2015, Wiley–VCH.

### 4. WS₂ Synthesis Techniques

The unique properties of 2D TMDs are significantly dependent on their method of synthesis. Therefore, the synthesis techniques are considered as a key challenge in preparing high-quality 2D materials with outstanding properties. For WS₂, the successful synthesis of layered 2D structure was back in 1980; however, the efficiency and yield were quite poor, with no significant enhancement for a long time [86]. After the great interest and attention that 2D WS₂ has gained in the last few years, a lot of effort has been put into the development of new methods and the enhancement of existing routes for higher yield and better quality. In most cases, selecting the proper method will depend on the field of application or the end use. Several synthesis routes of 2D WS₂ are briefly discussed in this section.

#### 4.1. Mechanical Exfoliation

Mechanical exfoliation is one of the most important techniques to achieve a crystalline, clean, and ultrathin monolayer of 2D materials, with suitable use for fundamental analysis and non-industrial device production. In general, the mechanical exfoliation process involves peeling off the weakly held layers of WS₂ from a bulk sample using scotch or adhesive tape. By repeating this step on a freshly peeled area, few layers or even a monolayer of WS₂ can be obtained and then transferred into the desired substrate for the specific application by gentle press [87,88]. Several reports have been done on the mechanical exfoliation of WS₂; however, the numerous drawbacks of this route limit its utilization. Some of these limitations are the lack of control over the number of layers, contamination by the scotch glue, and the minor scale production.

#### 4.2. Chemical/Solvent Exfoliation

In this route of synthesis, proper chemical solvents are used to obtain 2D layers of WS₂ from the bulk material with the aid of sonication. Chemical or liquid/solvent exfoliation has mainly two types: direct exfoliation and lithium intercalation. For the direct exfoliation, powder of bulk WS₂ is dissolved in suitable solvent, usually N-vinyl-pyrrolidone (NVP), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and then placed in the
ultra-sonication. During the sonication process, the generated vibration will disintegrate the WS$_2$ bulk crystal structure into individual layers. Further centrifugation will help in separating these exfoliated layers. To achieve successful dispersion of the WS$_2$ structure, certain solvent with specific criteria should be chosen. Some of the limitations that this technique suffers from is the long sonication time and the waste of chemical solvents used [86,89].

The other type of solvent or liquid exfoliation is the Li-intercalation exfoliation method. In this technique, the powder of WS$_2$ will be intercalated with lithium ions by placing it in a solution of hexane and n-butyl lithium under inert and dry conditions for a few days. During these conditions, Li ions migrate into the spaces between the layers and react with the material to form Li$_x$WS$_2$. Then, this compound is sonicated in a water bath where Li will react with water, leading to the evolution of hydrogen gas. These reactions will lead to the separation of WS$_2$ into individual layers. One major advantage of this method is the production of 1-T metallic phase of WS$_2$. However, poor control on the ions’ intercalation with the material crystal and the long period of soaking are significant drawbacks of this technique. To overcome these disadvantages, an electrochemical exfoliation method (ECEX) has been developed. Compared to the conventional Li-intercalation, ECEX is faster and provides more control to form single-layer WS$_2$. In this method, Li-foil is used as the anode and WS$_2$ bulk is used as the cathode in an electrochemical cell with LiPF$_6$ electrolyte. By applying a direct current, the lithiation process is controlled. Recently, Subramanian et al. reported the synthesis of porous WS$_2$ nanosheets by electrochemical exfoliation for sensor application [90–92]. Table 2 provides a summary of different exfoliation techniques which were used to synthesize several morphologies of WS$_2$.

| Synthesis Method                  | Technique/Solvent/Electrolyte                                      | Morphology          | Ref.   |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Mechanical exfoliation           | Scotch tape using Si/SiO$_2$ wafer                                 | Monolayers/flakes   | [93]   |
|                                  | Scotch tape using quartz wafer                                     | Mono/few monolayer  | [94]   |
|                                  | NaNO$_3$/HCl solution                                              | Nanosheets          | [95]   |
| Chemical exfoliation             | Cyclohexanone/Ethyl cellulose by magnetic stirring (MS), shear mixing (SM), and horn-tip (HT) | Single/few nanosheets | [97]   |
|                                  | Aqueous ammonia                                                    | 2H nanosheets        | [98]   |
| Li-intercalation                 | DI (deionized) water and IPA (isopropyl alcohol/vacuum filtration) | Nano flakes (3.9)   |        |
|                                  | Methyllithium(Me-Li), n-butyllithium (n-Bu-Li) and tert-butyllithium (t-Bu-Li) | Nano flakes         | [99]   |
|                                  | n-Butyllithium                                                    | 2H and 1T nanosheets | [100]  |
|                                  | Ethylene glycol/Li hydroxide                                      | Few layers nanosheets | [101]  |
| Electrochemical Li-intercalation | Propylene carbonate with 0.1 wt% LiClO$_4$                        | Quantum dots QD     | [102]  |
|                                  | A WS$_2$ pellet, platinum mesh, and platinum wire are used as working, counter, and quasi-reference electrodes g 0.1 wt% of lithium perchlorate in deoxygenated propylene carbonate as electrolyte | Porous WS$_2$ nanosheets | [103]  |
|                                  | Bipolar platinum electrodes, 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$                   | 1T and 2H nanosheets | [104]  |

4.3. Chemical Vapor Deposition

Large-scale synthesis of 2D thin layers is a significant requirement to satisfy the practical application of electronic and optoelectronic devices. The production of uniform 2D materials in wafer scale is an obstacle in the industrial field. The development of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique is considered as one of the efficient routes for the production of high-quality, low-cost, and large-scale 2D-TMDs semiconductor
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materials. CVD is classified as a bottom-up approach at equilibrium state, which helps in the fabrication of layered 2D-TMDs with good crystallinity, controlled morphology, and minimal structural defects [105–107]. The control over this process is achieved by optimizing the deposition parameters such as pressure, temperature, carrier gas type, gas flow rate, substrate type, and precursor amount. The synthesis mechanism of 2D materials using the CVD method has been studied in detail in past reports. In general, the growth process of 2D-TMDs materials involves the nucleation and crystal growth steps. In contrast, 2D-WS₂ films follow the same principle—however, with some differences. Generally, there are two models proposed for the growth mechanism of 2D-WS₂. The first one is represented by a direct nucleation at the substrate surface in an incomplete way, which is followed by lateral growth subsequently. The second mechanism proposed the formation of WS₂ nanoparticles, which was followed by the growth of a layered material shell and then the lateral formation of complete 2D film [108–110].

The CVD technique for synthesizing 2D-WS₂ can be categorized into a vapor source method and solid source method. For the vapor source route, it does not imply that all the used precursors are in the vapor phase. Actually, this route uses liquid and solid precursors; however, they are converted first into vapor state before being introduced to the chamber. Depending on the type of materials being used, vapor source CVD can be further classified into conventional CVD and metal–organic CVD (MOCVD). MOCVD uses metal organic precursors, which generally help lower the growth temperature, as they usually have low evaporating temperature. Kang et al. reported the first MOCVD synthesis of WS₂ thin film on a SiO₂ insulating substrate [111]. W(CO)₆ and (C₂H₅)₂S were used as precursors and diluted in argon carrier gas. Moreover, hydrogen gas was employed during the growth time. By regulation of the partial pressure of each reactant, the concentration of the precursor can be precisely controlled. It was found that lowering the partial pressure of the tungsten source results in growing the film in a layer-by-layer mode. When utilizing the high partial pressure of a tungsten precursor, this layer-by-layer mode tends to be destroyed, where a mixture of multilayer, monolayer, and empty region is observed. It was noticed also that the grain structure of WS₂ thin film is highly dependent on the concentration of sulfur precursor and the amount of H₂ being introduced. Thus, optimizing the amount of the precursor is necessary for achieving a high-quality continuous monolayer.

In the conventional gas source CVD, inorganic precursor materials are fed to the system in the gas phase. Park et al. reported the growth of WS₂ film using WCl₆ and H₂S as tungsten and sulfur sources [112]. With utilizing argon as a carrier gas, the reaction was performed at a relatively high temperature of 700 °C with no need for a reducing agent. It was observed that the growth of the WS₂ film is also obeying the layer-to-layer mode. The mechanism starts by the nucleation step, which was followed by the formation of the first monolayer. After achieving the complete growth of the first layer, the second layer begins to grow subsequently. In this regard, adjusting the number of grown layers can be achieved by optimizing the growth time. This method shows a large area synthesis; however, it has a relatively small grain size.

In the solid-state source CVD, the precursor materials are used in the form of powders, pallets, or a combination of them. As a result of the diversity of solid-state sources, there are several materials and parameters to control, such as the type of precursor, the configuration of the setup, and the used substrate. In addition to these parameters, the function of introducing hydrogen gas and the role of adding salts compounds have been studied recently. In addition to the etching effect of hydrogen gas on the grown WS₂ flakes, the shape of these flakes can also be manipulated by adjusting the flow of hydrogen gas during the deposition, as reported by Zhang et al. [113]. Without subjecting the system to hydrogen flow, WS₂ flakes exhibit an asymmetrical triangular shape with toothed edges under the flow of pure Ar gas. When introducing the flow of hydrogen gas, the flakes grew in an equilateral triangular with straight edges. Chemically, H₂ works on reducing WO₃, the precursor of W, into the form of volatile WO₃₋ₓ, which is a rich environment
for WS$_2$ growth in the presence of sulfur source. Moreover, the presence of H$_2$ flow can help in etching some defects formed during the synthesis, which will result in pure phase WS$_2$ deposition. Li et al. initially reported studying the addition of halide salts for the synthesis of TMDs [114]. It was found that the addition of salts would help in lowering the melting temperature of the metal source or precursor. During the synthesis of WS$_2$, the formation of tungsten oxy-chloride species, WO$_2$Cl$_2$ and WOCl$_4$, is proposed to be a way of reducing the synthesis temperature. In addition, the crystal size of the material was found to be enlarged when adding salts compounds. A recent study shows that the kinetic of the formation of MoS$_2$ can be enhanced by adding sodium atoms, where it helps reduce the energy barrier [115]. Taking into account the similarities between MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$, incorporating Na atoms may also reduce the energy barrier of the reaction. Following the same concept, it was observed that incorporating a fluorine element in the synthesis of WS$_2$ leads to lowering the energy barrier with the formation of large single crystal WS$_2$ [116]. Table 3 shows some examples of recently obtained results on CVD-grown WS$_2$ nanostructures along with their growth parameters and achieved morphologies.

**Table 3.** Examples of CVD-grown WS$_2$ nanostructures.

| Precursors                  | Substrate        | Growth Conditions                     | Morphology          | Ref.   |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| WO$_3$ and elemental S powders | Si/SiO$_2$ wafer | WO$_3$ at 700 °C, S at 150 °C for 3 min, 380 SCCM N$_2$, 20 sccm H$_2$ | Nano flakes         | [117]  |
| WS$_2$ and Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ powders | Si/SiO$_2$ wafer | 700 °C, atmospheric P, for 1 h with 30 sccm Ar/H$_2$ (5% H$_2$) | Leaf-like film      | [118]  |
| H$_2$S and WF$_6$ gases      | Si/SiO$_2$ wafer | 650 °C, 1 kPa with 100 sccm Ar, 15–60 min | Atomic monolayers   | [119]  |
| WO$_3$ and elemental S powders | FTO/ITO         | 500 °C, atmospheric gas of Ar/H$_2$ at a pressure of about 10$^{-2}$ Torr, for 3 min with 40 sccm gas flow | Nanasheets          | [120]  |
| WO$_3$, elemental S and NaCl powders | Si/SiO$_2$ wafer | 700 °C, atmospheric P for 5 min with 200 sccm Ar | Large-area monolayers | [121]  |
| Tungstic acid and elemental sulfur | Si/SiO$_2$ wafer | 900 °C, for 30 min with 50 sccm Ar flow | Nano flakes         | [122]  |
| WO$_3$ and elemental S powders | c-plane sapphire | 970–1080 °C for 30 min with 500 sccm Ar flow | Bilayer nanosheets  | [123]  |

4.4. **Magnetron Sputtering**

The sputtering technique has been widely used for the synthesis of different 2D films such as metal oxides. Generally, this method involves the introduction of chemically inert gas into a vacuum chamber, where an electrically energized cathode will establish a self-plasma. The charged ions of the plasma will be accelerated into the target surface and dislodge atoms and molecules; then, they will be deposited on the substrate [124–126]. Hussain et al. reported a successful synthesis of WS$_2$ 2D film by sulfurizing a frequency magnetron sputtered WO$_3$ target [127]. The thickness of the film can be precisely controlled by adjusting the sputtering time. The grown WS$_2$ film exhibits a monocrystalline structure with several layers. Orofeo et al. reported the preparation of WS$_2$ thin film using a W metal magnetron sputtered target [126]. The obtained film has a polycrystalline structure where its domain size ranges from 20 to 200 nm. Another report by Jung et al. shows that the orientation of the deposited WS$_2$ film depends significantly on the thickness of the used W metal seed [125]. When the thickness of the W metal seed was about 15 nm, WS$_2$ layers show a vertical orientation to the substrate. When decreasing the thickness of W seed into 2 nm, the WS$_2$ layers shifted their orientation to be parallel to the substrate. This may be explained via understanding the competition between the strain energy and the surface
energy. Recently, radio frequency magnetron sputtering deposition of WS\textsubscript{2} thin film using a WS\textsubscript{2} target and soda lime glass substrate was achieved for solar cell application [128].

4.5. Hydrothermal Method

The hydrothermal/solvothermal technique can be defined as a wet-chemical synthesis of materials at high pressure and temperature in a closed system using water (hydro) or non-aqueous solutions (solv). This method is commonly used in the synthesis of several nanostructures, especially for the TMDs-based 2D materials [129,130]. Performing this approach for TMDs material dates back to 1998, when Zhan et al. reported the development of a solvothermal method for the synthesis of a thin platelets MoS\textsubscript{2} nanostructure using MoO\textsubscript{3} and elemental sulfur as precursors and pyridine as a solvent [131]. In the following years, hydrothermal became a common synthesis route of different morphologies for different TMDs, including WS\textsubscript{2}. Ratha et al. conducted one of the earliest reports where they successfully synthesized high-quality WS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets by a one-step hydrothermal method, using tungsten chloride and thioacetamide as precursors [132]. Coupling this nanostructure with reduced graphene oxide showed an enhancement in the capacity performance for super capacitor application. As other synthesis techniques, several parameters should be optimized to control the formation mechanism of WS\textsubscript{2}, such as the reaction time and reaction temperature as well as the amount and type of precursors and additives. Cao et al. reported the influence of surfactants concentration on the construction of WS\textsubscript{2} [133]. It has been found that upon the addition of different concentrations of CTAB surfactant, WS\textsubscript{2} tends to grow in different nanostructures including nanoparticles, nanorods, nanofibers, and nanosheets. Given a proposed mechanism, surfactant molecules play a significant role in the nucleation and growth steps of WS\textsubscript{2}. Table 4 shows some examples of recently obtained results on hydrothermal synthesis of WS\textsubscript{2} nanostructures along with their processing parameters, achieved morphologies, and application.

Table 4. Examples of hydrothermal synthesis of WS\textsubscript{2} nanostructures.

| Precursors                        | Conditions                                 | Morphology                        | Application                        | Ref.   |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Hexaammonium heptatungstate, thiourea powders | Autoclave, titanium substrate, 200 for 7 h. | Vertical and flat 1-T nanosheets | Electrocatalytic hydrogen revolution | [76]   |
| WCl\textsubscript{6}, thioacetamide powders | Autoclave, 230 for 24 h. | 1-T nanosheets | Wastewater treatment | [134]  |
| WCl\textsubscript{6}, thioacetamide powders | Autoclave, 240 for 24 h under different magnetic field | 1-T nanosheets | Anode for sodium ion batteries | [135]  |
| WS\textsubscript{2} powder, NaOH solution | Autoclave, 220 for 24 h | Quantum dots | Fluorescence sensor | [136]  |
| WCl\textsubscript{6}, thiourea powders | Autoclave, 180 for 48 h | Nanoplate like structure | Lithium ion battery | [137]  |
| Sodium tungstate, thioacetamide | Autoclave, 200 for 24 h | Mixed phase nanosheets | Ammonia gas sensing | [138]  |

5. WS\textsubscript{2}-Based Heterostructures for Electrocatalytic Water Splitting

As mentioned earlier, a heterostructure catalyst is achieved by combining two or more materials using different chemical and physical approaches. The idea of a heterojunction catalyst has been proven to be an effective route to enhance the catalytic activity. Although WS\textsubscript{2} has shown electrocatalytic activity toward water splitting, its heterostructure-based materials provide a notable improvement in the electrocatalytic water decomposition. This section will discuss some of the recent progresses in different heterojunction systems based on WS\textsubscript{2} for electrocatalytic water splitting.

5.1. WS\textsubscript{2}-Metal Oxide Heterostructure

Oxide compounds can promote the hydrolysis step of the water molecule due to the strong interaction between the oxide surface and the adsorbed water molecule. Thus,
the fabrication of heterostructure between WS$_2$ and metal oxides is believed to promote the electrocatalytic water splitting effectively. A recent work by Wang et al. shows the construction of a NiO@Ni/WS$_2$ bifunctional heterostructure for electrocatalytic water decomposition [139]. The fabrication of this material was achieved by hydrothermal and electrodeposition techniques, as shown in Figure 5a, where WS$_2$ nanosheets provide a 3D supportive structure to the Ni and NiO catalysts. Moreover, WS$_2$ nanosheets provide active site exposure with a large active area. The mechanism shows that the presence of Ni was beneficial for the hydrogen evolution reaction due to the good electrical conductivity and active site for hydrogen absorption. For the oxygen evolution reaction, the presence of NiO$_2$ was beneficial due to the attraction of OH$^-$ to the unfilled d orbital of Ni$_2$$^{2+}$. The results revealed that the material provides a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ with an overpotential of 40 mV. For the oxygen evolution reaction, the material required 347 mV overpotential to provide 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ with good stability, as shown in Figure 5.
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**Figure 5.** (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of NiO@Ni/WS$_2$/CC (b) The LSV curves for NiO@Ni/WS$_2$/CC, WS$_2$/CC, Pt/C/CC, and NiO@Ni/CC with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ for HER. (c) LSV curves for NiO@Ni/WS$_2$/CC, RuO$_2$/CC, and NiO@Ni/CC with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ for OER. (d) OER corresponding Tafel plots of NiO@Ni/WS$_2$/CC, RuO$_2$/CC, and NiO@Ni/CC. (e) Chronopotentiometric curve of NiO@Ni/WS$_2$/CC with constant current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$. Reproduced with permission [139]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

Liu et al. reported the synthesis of a novel TiO$_2$@WS$_2$ heterostructure by a facial two-step hydrothermal method followed by selective etching as a high-efficient HER electrocatalyst [140]. The morphology of the structure contains an etched TiO$_2$ nanobelt as a substrate, with ultrathin WS$_2$ nanosheets grown vertically. Figure 6a shows the SEM image of the synthesized TiO$_2$ with ribbon-like morphology and rough surface. This rough surface facilitates the nucleation and growth of WS$_2$ nanosheets, as shown in Figure 6b. The ultrathin nanosheets grew uniformly and cross-linked to each other, forming a 3D
network on the TiO\textsubscript{2} framework. This configuration ensures more exposure of the edge active sites of the WS\textsubscript{2} and provides an enhancement in the charge transfer. In addition, the presence of W–O bonds remaining from the precursor provides an enhancement in the electrical conductivity of the material. Thus, this heterojunction system was proven to be a durable and efficient catalyst for HER in alkaline media. At 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} current density, this heterostructure requires a low overpotential of 142 mV with a small onset of 95 mV, which is superior to the WS\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2} in pristine form, as presented in Figure 6c–e. Figure 6f shows the EIS curve of the heterostructure compared with the pristine materials, which reveals that the heterostructure has significant enhancement in the conductivity with a significant drop in the charge transfer resistance.
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**Figure 6.** SEM images of acid-etched TiO\textsubscript{2} nanobel (a) and TiO\textsubscript{2}@WS\textsubscript{2} hybrid (b). HER LSV (c), Tafel slopes (d), double-layer capacitance (e), and EIS (f) of different samples. Reproduced with permission [140]. Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

Another report by Yang et al. shows the preparation of a WO\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O/WS\textsubscript{2} heterostructure by the in situ anodic oxidation of WS\textsubscript{2} film on carbon fiber substrate [141]. Figure 7a,b shows the TEM image and XRD patterns of the prepared heterostructures where they confirm the formation of the WS\textsubscript{2}-WO\textsubscript{3} heterojunction. This hybrid structure shows a good synergistic behavior, which helps in facilitating the kinetics of HER. The in situ synthesis of WO\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O provides an intimate contact with WS\textsubscript{2}, which results in an enhancement in the charge transfer and hydrogen migration. Moreover, the catalytic activity of this heterojunction can be enhanced by optimizing the synthesis temperature and the degree of oxidation. Figure 7c,d represents the LSV curves and Tafel plots of the different prepared heterostructure. The WS\textsubscript{2}·ox\textsubscript{6} heterojunction exhibits an overpotential of 152 mV at 100 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} current density, with a lower Tafel slope of 48 mV dec\textsuperscript{-1}, making it one of the most active HER catalysts.
5.2. WS$_2$–TMDs Heterostructures

The construction of a WS$_2$ heterostructure with 2D materials, especially the transition metal dichalcogenides, can provide an increment in the number of active sites as well as promote the transfer of charges. This will result in enhancing the electrocatalytic performance for water splitting. Several reports have studied the performance of the junction between WS$_2$ and other TMDs such as MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, and CoSe$_2$. Vikraman et al. successfully reported the deposition of a MoS$_2$/WS$_2$ heterostructure on FTO glass substrate using chemical bath deposition and the sputtering method [142]. Figure 8a shows an FESEM image of the heterostructure film where dense grains with no cracks and pinholes are grown. It also shows the cauliflower structure of these grains in a spherical shape. The TEM image also confirms the formation of these spherical grains, as shown in Figure 8b. This heterojunction shows an enhanced electrocatalytic activity toward HER over the pristine MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$. It requires an overpotential as low as 129 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ current density with a 72 mV/decade Tafel slope, as represented in Figure 8c,d. This improvement in the electrocatalytic activity is believed to be a result of the enhanced electron–hole separation at the layers’ interfaces, as well as the sharing of active sites at the edge of the grains.
Another work reported by Hussain et al. provides the fabrication of a CoSe₂/WS₂ heterostructure catalyst by the electrodeposition of cobalt, which is followed by the selenization step [143]. Then, the construction of the junction with WS₂ film was done via the sputtering technique, as shown in the schematic illustration in Figure 9a. Figure 9b shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of the individual films and the heterostructure. All the peaks in the heterostructure fit well with the pattern of hexagonal WS₂ and orthorhombic CoSe₂, which confirms the formation of a heterojunction between them. Figure 9c,d shows the LSV test and Tafel curve of the heterostructures and the pristine materials performed in acidic media. The CoSe₂/WS₂ electrocatalyst exhibits fast kinetics for hydrogen evolution with a Tafel slope and onset potential of 44 mV and 95 mV, respectively. It also demonstrates an excellent long-term stability owing to the strong electrical and chemical coupling, as well as the abundance of active sites.

A recent report by Vikraman et al. shows the engineering of the MoSe₂/WS₂ heterostructure to act as an active electrode for hydrogen evolution reaction [144]. Chemical bath and chemical vapor deposition techniques were used to fabricate the electrode material on a conducting glass electrode, as shown in Figure 10a. The constructed heterostructure electrode displayed a good electrocatalytic performance for HER, with an overpotential of 75 mV for 10 mA·cm⁻², 60 mV/decay Tafel slope, and stability over 20 h performance in acidic media, as shown in Figure 10b–d. This outstanding electrocatalytic behavior compared to the pristine materials is caused by the highly interconnected interface at the heterojunction, which results in abundant active edges and enhances charge transport behavior.
Figure 9. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of the WS$_2$/CoSe$_2$/Au/Si heterostructure. (b) XRD pattern of CoSe$_2$, WS$_2$, and CoSe$_2$/WS$_2$ heterostructures. (c,d) LSV and Tafel curves of the WS$_2$/CoSe$_2$ heterostructure in comparison with the pristine materials, respectively. Reproduced with permission [143]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier.

Figure 10. (a) Illustration diagram of the synthesis process of the heterostructure. (b,c) LSV and Tafel plots of WS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, and different hybrid junctions of them, respectively. (d) Cyclic voltammetry profiles of MoSe$_2$/WS$_2$ heterostructure at different sweep rates. Reproduced with permission [144]. Copyright 2021, American Chemical Society.
5.3. WS$_2$-Carbon-Based Material Heterostructures

There are several outstanding properties of carbon materials to make them a good candidate for building up a heterostructure catalyst for water splitting. Carbon materials can provide a large number of exposed active sites, which can assist with forming a well-dispersed nanostructure. Carbon materials can also improve the charge transport for the electrocatalytic activity as they have high electrical conductivity [46,145]. Therefore, utilizing the junction between WS$_2$ and carbon-based material has been proven to be an efficient way for enhancing the catalytic activity for water splitting.

Zhou et al. reported the development of highly active and stable self-standing WS$_2$/graphene heterostructure catalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction [146]. WS$_2$ was grown into a 3D porous graphene sheet, which was deposited first on Ni foam, as shown in Figure 11a. Figure 11b–d show SEM images of pure Ni foam, graphene/Ni, and WS$_2$/graphene/Ni foam, respectively. It can be seen that WS$_2$ particles were grown uniformly on the surface of graphene/Ni foam. The heterostructure was tested as a catalyst for HER. The catalyst exhibits a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $-119$ mV or 77 mA cm$^{-2}$ at $-200$ mV. It also shows a small onset potential below 0.1 V and Tafel slope of 43 mV/decade, as represented in Figure 11e,f. Compared to pristine WS$_2$, the improvement of the catalytic performance for HER of the heterostructure is due to the electrical conductivity enhancement and the porous structure.

Figure 11. (a) Illustration of the synthesis process of the heterostructure. (b–d) SEM images of Ni foam, graphene/Ni, and WS$_2$/graphene/Ni foam, respectively. (e) LSV curves of WS$_2$/graphene catalysts prepared at different temperature. (f) Tafel plots recorded for the prepared WS$_2$/graphene catalysts at different temperature compared to pristine WS$_2$/FTO, pure graphene on Ni foam, and Pt wire. Reproduced with permission [146]. Copyright 2016, RSC.

Tiwari et al. reported the synthesis of a WS$_2$/CNT bifunctional heterostructure for electrocatalytic OER [147]. The heterojunction was prepared by sulfur coating applied on
CNTs, which was followed by a chemical treatment with a tungsten source. This results in the growth of WS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets on the surface of the CNTs via a tungsten carbide bond, as shown in Figure 12a. Figure 12b shows the HRTEM image of the heterostructure, which demonstrates that well-stacked sheets of WS\textsubscript{2} with a 0.61 nm interlayer distance were grown on the surface of CNTs. In addition, EDX spectroscopy was performed on the sample, and the atomic percentage was obtained: C, W, and S are 90.33%, 3.24%, and 6.43%, respectively. The electrocatalytic behavior of the heterostructure with 0.125 mmol of WS\textsubscript{2} (CSW2) shows the best activity with 0.7 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) onset potential requirement at 10 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}, Tafel slope of 62 mV per decade, and 15 h performance stability in alkaline media, as shown in Figure 12d,e. This result indicates that the interconnection between the heterostructure provides a lower resistance for the electron transfer. In addition, the growth of WS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets supplies the structure with more active sites, where the CNTs provide conducting channels with a large surface area.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 12.** (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of WS\textsubscript{2} sheets on the surface of CNT. Microstructure characterization of the heterostructure. (b) HRTEM image. (c) EDX map. Electrocatalytic activity for OER. (d) LSV of different WS\textsubscript{2}/CNT heterostructures with different WS\textsubscript{2} amounts, in comparison with the pristine materials. (e) Tafel curves of the different prepared catalysts [147]. Copyright 2017, Wiley–VCH.

Zhang et al. reported the preparation of layered heterostructure of (WS\textsubscript{2}-C-WS\textsubscript{2}) via the hydrothermal method [148]. The growth conditions result in WS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets embedded vertically in hollow mesoporous carbon spheres. The vertical deposition of WS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets
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help reduce the aggregation process, which leads to an increase in the surface area with efficient contact between the electrolyte and the prepared electrodes. This will facilitate the fast migration of the ions and charges. With increasing the electrical conductivity and the number of active sites, this heterostructure exhibits excellent electrocatalytic HER performance with an overpotential of 175 mV at 10 mA/cm² and 57 mV/decade Tafel slope, as shown in Figure 13a,b. The EIS test represented in Figure 13c reveals that the heterojunction exhibits a smaller semicircle diameter, indicating faster kinetics than the pure WS₂. Moreover, the prepared WS₂/C electrode displays good durability for 12 h, as shown in Figure 13d.

![Figure 13. HER performance: (a) LSV curves and (b) Tafel plots of pristine WS₂, WS₂/C heterostructure and Pt/C. (c) EIS measurements for pure WS₂ and WS₂/C heterostructure. (d) The chronoamperometry of WS₂/C at −0.2 V. Reproduced with permission [148]. Copyright 2018, RCS.](image)

6. WS₂-Based Heterostructures for Photocatalytic Water Splitting

The utilization of semiconductor catalysts to decompose water under light irradiation is considered as one of the most promising routes to resolve the environmental issues. As a member of the TMDs family, WS₂ has the potential to be utilized in the process of photocatalytic water splitting. However, WS₂ alone is not very suitable for overall photocatalytic water splitting. Therefore, the construction of WS₂-based heterostructures was studied to overcome the drawbacks and improve the photocatalytic performance. This section will discuss some recent progress reports on WS₂-based heterostructures for photocatalytic water splitting.

6.1. WS₂-Metal Oxide Heterostructures

To extend the photocurrent response and the photocatalytic activity, WS₂ has been coupled with several metal oxide semiconductors to facilitate the rapid charge transfer and inhibit their recombination. Ma et al. reported the fabrication of a WS₂/WO₃ heterojunction by partial oxidation laser drilling and magnetron sputtering methods [149]. A thin film of WS₂ was deposited on a silicon substrate by sputtering, which was followed by several laser treatments using a pulse laser drilling setup to promote the partial oxidation and the formation of a WS₂/WO₃ heterojunction, as shown in Figure 14a. UV-Vis spectroscopy was performed before and after the laser treatment. Using the transmittance spectra, the band-gap values were evaluated, where a slight increment was observed after the laser treatment. This indicates the occurrence of partial oxidation of the WS₂ film to form...
WO$_3$ layers. Figure 14d represents a schematic diagram of the band structures of the heterostructures under illumination. According to the obtained flat band positions and the band gaps, the approximate band structure of the heterojunction is obtained. It can be said that as WS$_2$ has a valence band edge lower than that of WO$_3$, the holes will tend to transfer and accumulate on the WS$_2$ valence band, which will reduce the recombination rate of the photogenerated carriers. Therefore, the construction of WS$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure contributes to the improvement of the photocatalytic activity for water splitting, as the results revealed. The photoelectrocatalytic measurements showed that the laser-treated samples exhibit an enhancement in the photocurrent density up to 31 times larger than the pristine sample. The heterojunction sample provides an optimal photocurrent density of $2 \times 10^{-5}$ A cm$^{-2}$ under visible light illumination, which is about 80 times larger than that of WS$_2$ film, as shown in Figure 14c.
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**Figure 14.** (a,b) Schematic illustration of the pulsed laser treatment of the WS$_2$ sample. (c) Chronoamperometry under solar simulator illumination without biasing of WS$_2$ before and after several laser treatments (LT). (d) Schematic diagram of WS$_2$/WO$_3$ heterostructure and their charge transfer under illumination. Reproduced with permission [149]. copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

A recent study done by Tayebi et al. investigated the influence of coupling WS$_2$ nanosheets with 2D-WO$_3$ on the PEC performance for water splitting [150]. Both WS$_2$ nanosheets and plate-like WO$_3$ were synthesized by the liquid exfoliation technique using the sonication method. Then, the heterojunction WS$_2$/WO$_3$ electrode was fabricated by drop casting the nanosheets of WS$_2$ on the surface of the WO$_3$ film. Figure 15a–c shows the low magnification and high-resolution TEM images of the heterostructure nanoplate, where thin WS$_2$ nanosheets were grown near the surface WO$_3$ plates. The PEC measurements showed that the growth of WS$_2$ nanosheets on the film of WO$_3$ has increased the photocurrent density substantially. At 1.75 V vs. Ag/AgCl, the heterojunction electrode displayed a photocurrent density of 6.6 mA/cm$^2$, which is higher than the pristine WS$_2$ and WO$_3$, as shown in Figure 15d,e. This improvement was caused by increasing the donor concentration, decreasing the flat band position, and reducing the space charge layer.
Vattikuti et al. reported a successful synthesis of a binder-free 2D/0D-WS2/ZrO2 heterostructure for photocatalytic hydrogen production [151]. The deposition of ZrO2 nanoparticles (NPs) on the planar surface of WS2 nanosheets was achieved by a two-step hydrothermal method. Figure 16a,b represents the SEM images of the bare WS2 and the as-prepared WS2/ZrO2 heterostructure. The images show clearly the random deposition of ZrO2 NPs over the planar surface of WS2 nanosheets, which indicates the heterojunction formation between them. To evaluate their photocatalytic activity toward H2 production, pure ZrO2, bare WS2, and several heterostructures of them were used as a catalyst under simulated solar light irradiation. Figure 16c shows the plots for H2 production rates with respect to time under a sunlight simulator. Among the tested catalysts, the WS2/ZrO2-2 heterostructure exhibited the best photocatalytic activity with a production rate of 1023.9 μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹, which is 1.49-fold higher than bare WS2. Notably, increasing the ZrO2 content (more than 5%) results in decreasing the photocatalytic production rate, as represented by the WS2/ZrO2-3 heterostructure. This might be due to the clogging effect of the agglomerated NPs. This enhancement in the photocatalytic activity of H2 production results from the band alignment of the two structures. Figure 16d shows a proposed mechanism for the photocatalytic process of hydrogen production using the heterostructure. ZrO2 has high hydrogen evolution potential; however, it has a wide band gap. On the other side, WS2 has a narrower band gap, where it will act as a sensitizer to enhance the visible light absorption. According to the VB and CB positions, the photoinduced electrons will accumulate in the CB of the ZrO2, where the photoinduced holes will move to the VB of WS2. This will facilitate the formation of a migration path for the photoinduced carriers while minimizing the recombination rate.
Among the several developed nanostructure families, transition metal chalcogenide (TMCs) and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have been suggested as promising materials for building up photocatalytic heterostructures, owing to their rich active sites and suitable electronic band structure. Reddy et al. reported the synthesis of a WS$_2$-MoS$_2$ heterostructure grown on CdS nanorods for enhanced solar-driven hydrogen evolution \cite{152}. The formation of this heterostructure was achieved by the hydrothermal synthesis of WS$_2$ nanosheets, which was followed by the hydrothermal deposition of MoS$_2$ on the WS$_2$ nanosheets and then the formation of ultrathin WS$_2$-MoS$_2$ via ultrasonication treatment, as shown in Figure 17a. The as-prepared ultrathin layered WS$_2$-MoS$_2$ was integrated on hydrothermally prepared CdS nanorods. This successfully developed heterostructure demonstrates the high photocatalytic activity of HER with the production of 209.79 mmol·g$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$ under simulated sunlight irradiation, which is higher than early reported CdS/MoS$_2$, CdS/WS$_2$, and CdS as shown in Figure 17b,c. The developed heterostructure also showed higher current density in the chopped values compared to the pure CdS and the other prepared heterojunctions, as represented in Figure 17d. This remarkable enhancement in the HER is believed to be due to the ultrafast separation of the photoinduced carriers and the facilitated transportation between WS$_2$-MoS$_2$ nanosheets and CdS nanorods. The suggested mechanism is that the nanostructure sheets served as an electron collector and transporter as well as an active site for reduction reactions to produce hydrogen.

**Figure 16.** SEM images of (a) bare WS$_2$ and (b) WS$_2$-ZrO$_2$ heterostructure. (c) Photocatalytic H$_2$ evolution under simulated solar light irradiation of the prepared catalysts. (d) Plausible photocatalytic mechanisms for WS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ hybrid catalyst. Reproduced with permission \cite{151}. Copyright 2019, Elsevier.
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Zhao et al. reported an enhancement in the photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution from water for the hydrothermally prepared heterostructure of Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S/WS₂ [153]. Figure 18a,b shows the TEM and HRTEM of the prepared heterostructure, where it can be seen that Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S nanoparticles have been deposited on the surface of the WS₂ sheets. This confirms the formation of the junction between the two materials, which may facilitate the charge separation. The photocatalytic activity of the samples was tested by measuring the photocurrent responses with several on-off/light illumination under zero bias. With light on, WS₂ had almost zero response, while Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S showed a very weak photocurrent. When introducing WS₂ into the structure of Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S, a remarkable enhancement in the photocurrent response was observed. The heterostructure with 41% Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S exhibited the largest photocurrent density, where more or less percent caused the photocurrent responses to decrease, as shown in Figure 18c. This enhancement in the photocurrent responses indicates increasing the charge concentration, which results from the separation of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs. This also was confirmed by the EIS plots, as shown in Figure 18d.
A recent report conducted by Lai et al. successfully prepared a few-layer WS\textsubscript{2}-MoS\textsubscript{2} in-plane heterostructure to act as an efficient photocatalyst for hydrogen evolution [154]. The heterostructure was prepared via a combined exfoliation synthesis strategy. Figure 19a–c shows the SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images of the heterostructure. It reveals the interface of the heterostructure where small flakes of MoS\textsubscript{2} are grown on WS\textsubscript{2} sheets. A pure WS\textsubscript{2} sample and several samples of the heterostructure with different amounts of epitaxially grown MoS\textsubscript{2} were tested for photocatalytic HER. Figure 19e,f represents the photocatalytic hydrogen productions of the different heterostructures with their rates. Among all the heterostructures, 5\% MW of MoS\textsubscript{2} deposited on WS\textsubscript{2} exhibits the highest hydrogen evolution rate of 9.83 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}, which is significantly greater than that of pure WS\textsubscript{2}. Figure 19d represents a proposed mechanism for the photocatalytic hydrogen production using a WS\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2} heterostructure. The two-band alignment type of this heterostructure as well as its ultrathin geometry were the key for the enhancement in the HER photo activity by acting as a sink for the electrons to retard the photogenerated carriers recombination and shortening their transport path.

6.3. WS\textsubscript{2} Carbon-Based Material Heterostructures

Due to their large surface area and optical properties, carbon-based materials such as graphite, graphene, and carbon nitride have been investigated for photocatalytic water splitting. Akple et al. reported the synthesis of a g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}/WS\textsubscript{2} composite heterostructure for enhanced visible light photocatalytic hydrogen production [155]. This composite was prepared using the gas–solid reaction method, where different amounts of WS\textsubscript{2} were deposited on g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}. Figure 20a shows a TEM image of the as-prepared heterostructure,
where it demonstrates a porous structure of g-C₃N₄ sheets with some WS₂ layered slabs grown on the surface. All heterostructures displayed an enhancement in the photocatalytic activity of hydrogen evolution. The WS₂ sample with 0.01 wt% displayed the maximum hydrogen evolution rate of 101 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, as shown in Figure 20bc. This improvement is due to the construction of a heterojunction, which allows efficient separation of the photoinduced carriers. Khan et al. reported recently a facial synthesis of a WS₂/graphite heterostructure for solar-driven OER [156]. The 2D nanodisc-like structure of 1T-WS₂ was deposited on graphite sheets via a two-step solvothermal method, as shown in Figure 20d. The fabricated WS₂ and WS₂/G were tested for PEC performance of water splitting. Under illumination, a linear sweep voltammetry test shows that the photocurrent density of the pure WS₂ and WS₂/graphite were 3 and 9 μA cm⁻², respectively, which means that the photocurrent density magnitude of the as-prepared heterostructure was about three-fold that of the pure WS₂, as shown in Figure 20c. To support this result, the current density was evaluated under chopped illumination of short periods (ON/OFF) with 1 V applied bias, as represented in Figure 20f. The plot confirms the significant enhancement in the photoactivity arising from the heterostructure. This could be attributed to the excellent charge transfer property of graphite. The formation of a conductive interconnected network by the graphite sheets may facilitate sufficient channels for the photogenerated carriers, thus expanding their lifetime against recombination.

**Figure 19.** (a–c) SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images of the WS₂/MoS₂ heterostructure, respectively. (d) Schematic diagram for the proposed photocatalytic mechanism of hydrogen evolution using the WS₂/MoS₂ heterostructure as a catalyst. (e) Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution and (f) hydrogen production rates of WS₂ nanosheets, WS₂/MoS₂ heterostructures with different MoS₂ wt% and P25 T. Reproduced with permission [154]. Copyright 2021, Elsevier.
Figure 20. (a) TEM image of the g-C₃N₄/WS₂ (0.01%) sample. (b) The rate of hydrogen production by WS₂, g-C₃N₄, and their heterostructures with different amount of WS₂. (c) Photocurrent response of a: pure WS₂ and b: WS₂ @ g-C₃N₄ (0.01%). Reproduced with permission [155]. Copyright 2015, Elsevier. (d) Schematic diagram for the synthesis route of WS₂/G heterostructure. (e) LSV and (f) chopped voltammetry at 1 V vs. SCE of pure WS₂ and WS₂/G heterostructure. Reproduced with permission [156]. Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

7. Conclusions

As a member of 2D-TMDs materials, WS₂ has been demonstrated as a promising catalyst for electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting. However, because of some limitations, WS₂ does not satisfy the criteria for an efficient water-splitting catalyst. In order to overcome these limitations, various strategies have been developed, including the construction of heterostructures. Constructing the semiconductor heterostructures by coupling WS₂ with suitable materials has been shown to be an effective approach to address the catalytic activity issues and to enhance the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting. WS₂ can be combined with different materials to form heterostructures such as metal oxides, carbon-based materials, TMCs, and TMDs through different synthesis techniques such as CVD, magnetron sputtering, hydrothermal/solvothermal, and chemical bath. In this review, we discussed mainly the catalytic fundamental features of WS₂ and the recent research developments in WS₂-based heterostructures for the enhancement of the electrocatalytic and photocatalytic decomposition of water.
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