Additive manufacturing of CuCr1Zr by development of a gas atomization and laser powder bed fusion routine
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Abstract
The research focuses on alloy design, powder production, and laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) of copper alloys. Copper and its alloys play a fundamental role for modern industrial applications due to their excellent thermal and electric conductivity in conjunction with considerable mechanical strength, for example, as welding electrodes and nozzles. By precipitation hardening, the hardness of low-alloyed copper, like CuCr1Zr, can be increased significantly. A combination of the geometry freedom of additive manufacturing with a tailor-made alloy design during powder production offers the opportunity to develop new alloy systems with a focus on the respective application. Experimental results regarding gas atomization, LPBF, property investigations, and property optimization of CuCr1Zr are presented. Powder particles and LPBF parts were analyzed with respect to phase and precipitate formation and compared to benchmark experiments of conventionally cast copper alloys. The microstructure differs significantly. Furthermore, the relative density of the LPBF parts reaches a value of 99.8%.
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1 Introduction
Due to their outstanding thermal and electric conductivity [1] in combination with wide-range alloying abilities, copper and its alloys are highly significant in the development of new electronic and heat-exchanging units, e.g., in electro mobility and novel power generation and distribution units. The originally poor strength of Cu alloys can be increased by precipitation hardening, without losing the outstanding electric and thermal conductivity properties [2, 3]. Examples are the systems CuCrZr and CuNiSiCr, where Cr, Ni2Si, Cu4Zr, and Cr2Zr strengthening phases are formed [2–5]. Within these alloys, Cr precipitates are formed during casting due to the poor solubility of Cr in the Cu matrix (see Fig. 1).

An alternative to restrictive conventional production methods is given by additive manufacturing (AM). Generation of individually tailored 3D metallic parts has been established by laser beam or electron beam based powder bed processes. Furthermore, direct energy deposition (DED) as laser metal deposition (LMD) with metal powders or wires may be used for the generation of 3D structures (see [6–8]), repair of degraded components [9, 10] and application of functional graded alloy systems [11, 12]. For manufacturing of highly individual 3D components, the laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) may be applied where a laser beam is used to selectively melt metal powders. During LPBF, multilayer micro-welding processes are activated for generation of macroscopic structures, i.e., metal powder is transformed into bulk material of a grain size between 10 and 60 µm by remelting 20 to 100 µm layers on a substrate plate. Furthermore, a large temperature gradient is occurring, originating from the repetitive rapid heat and solidification during the distinct micro-welding processes of each layer [13, 14]. This gradient influences significantly the microstructure of the LPBF-built parts and may result in defects like cracking [15] or part distortion [16].
Furthermore, an understanding of the melt pool induced by the micro-welding processes and the melt pool dynamics (see [17, 18]) allows the adjustment of the LPBF-built microstructures [19]. For the consideration of the melt pool and temperature fields during the LPBF process, analytical and numerical approaches are available (see for example [14, 20, 21]).

Besides the LPBF process itself, metallurgical processing of high-quality powders plays a crucial role within the additive manufacturing process chain in order to build dense and defect-free parts. Taking the recent literature state into account, it can be concluded that the quality of the applied powders in combination with the process parameters determine the success of additive manufacturing [22]. Impurities and gas inclusions being already present in the powder are incorporated during the melting process and lead to pores and imperfections. One possibility to produce LPBF powders with suitable particle size distributions in the range between 10 \( \mu \)m and 100 \( \mu \)m is gas atomization by application of the close-coupled method [23, 24].

When considering LPBF of Cu alloys with Cu concentrations exceeding 95\%, the complete and defect-free melting of the powder is associated with considerable difficulties [2]: (i) the laser beam reflection is very high (approximately 98\%) for wave lengths of about 1050 nm (infra-red), as it is commonly used for the LPBF process, and (ii) the high thermal conductivity of Cu. A large beam reflection results in a low process efficiency, since the major amount of the laser power is reflected and not available for powder melting. The high thermal conductivity causes a rapid loss of the spot energy required for heating and melting of the surrounding material. Therefore, LPBF of Cu is associated with the risk of unstable process conditions with inadequate local energy supply, preventing the manufacturing of dense and defect-free components [3].

However, defects in LPBF-built parts lead to a deterioration of the mechanical properties. In [27], parameter studies on oxygen-free, high-conductivity (OFHC) copper with small amount of phosphor (0.03-0.6 wt.\%) were performed and samples reached relative densities of only 92\% for laser sources of 300 W (1060 nm). For Cu-Cr-Zr-Ti alloys, a density of 97.9\% was obtained [28], when using a laser source of 400 W. However, the porosity led to a reduction of the ultimate tensile strength of 20 to 25\% compared to hot-rolled samples, which might be caused by residual porosity in the LPBF material [28]. When increasing the laser source to a value of 2000 W, relative densities of 99.98\% could be reached for CuCr0.5 [29]. Furthermore, the application of post-LPBF heating procedure led to a higher ultimate tensile strength and electrical conductivity compared with heat-treated samples after rolling [29]. Similar results were found in Cu-Cr alloys with Cr contents by 20 wt.\% and 25 wt.\% [30]. For a Cu7.2Ni1.8Si1Cr provided by Sandvik Osprey Ltd., a relative density of 99.9\% without any cracks was achieved [31].

In the present study, an additive manufacturing processing routine for CuCr1Zr has been developed by combination of gas atomization and LPBF. The powders as well as the LPBF-built specimen were analyzed metallographically and the functional properties (density, electrical conductivity) and hardness were investigated. The aim of the study is to satisfy the demand from industry to qualify the alloy CuCr1Zr for additive manufacturing with reproducible material properties by development of a very specialized gas atomization–LPBF–post-treatment routine. CuCr1Zr is of high technological relevance as it is a hardenable Cu alloys with a high electric conductivity that may be used, e.g., for electrodes, welding nozzles, or as current-carrying plugs. For these application, it is inevitable to have a well-defined material whose properties are reproducible. As LPBF of copper alloys is challenging, the main tasks of this study are (i) the derivation of a manufacturing routine, (ii) the identification and definition of process parameters for gas atomization and LPBF, and (iii) the characterization of material properties of the additively manufactured part compared to a cast benchmark sample.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Gas atomization

In order to generate powders suitable for LPBF, a gas atomizer Indutherm AU3000 was used. Figure 2a shows schematically the gas atomization process. The Cu alloy was pre-alloyed in a graphite crucible and inductively melted at 1450\(^\circ\)C. Afterwards, the melt was released via a nozzle into the inert-atmosphere atomization vessel. Here, the melt was
atomized by pressurized Ar (12 bar) at an Ar gas flow of 360 m³/h. During the process, the close-coupled mode was used where the gas flow was regulated and fed in a way that a low-pressure was established underneath the nozzle (see blue arrows in Fig. 2a) resulting in the formation of particles sizes below 100 μm [23, 24].

Figure 2b shows the melt flow and the visible formation of the low-pressure area underneath the nozzle. Here, the melt solidifies with very high cooling rates up to 10⁶ K/s, leading to the formation of powder particles. After atomization and sieving using a 60 μm sieve, the fine particle fractions (d < 10 μm) were removed by air separation. The powders were either used directly within the LPBF machine or stored in closed vessels.

The powders were analyzed metallographically regarding particle size, microstructure, precipitate formation, and the occurrence of porosity by application of high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM Zeiss Auriga) in combination with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and focused ion beam milling (FIB). Furthermore, the particle size distribution was obtained by (i) SEM and (ii) laser diffraction using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 at RWTH Aachen University. The flowability was characterized by a Hall Flow Meter according to DIN EN ISO 4490 and the bulk density according to DIN EN ISO 3923-1.

As material, the alloy CuCr1Zr was used which is applied in a wide range of application cases: as molds and cooling inserts for metal casting, electrodes, welding technology, current-carrying parts in electro-technology, or as parts for temperature control of plastic molds. CuCr was pre-alloyed in a graphite crucible of a separate casting furnace and further alloyed within the crucible of the atomizer. The chemical composition of the powders at every step of the process chain (before/after air separation and sieving, respectively) was analyzed and controlled by wet chemical analysis.

2.2 Laser powder bed fusion

Suitable powders were used for LPBF by using an EOS M290 machine which is equipped with a 400 W red laser system and powder bed monitoring. In order to define process parameters for LPBF, a parameter study was used where the laser power and the laser scanning velocity were systematically varied, using a linear hatching strategy with a hatching distance of 0.1 mm. For every powder application, the direction of hatching was rotated by 67°. A layer thickness of 20 μm was applied. As geometry, density cubes with 0.8 × 0.8 × 0.8 cm were used that were built on a support structure to allow an easy separation from the building plate. For each applied building strategy, three cubes were build under the same process conditions in order to account for possible uncertainties and variations within the building process. A round building plate made of the stainless steel 316L with a diameter of 10 cm was used.

The cubic samples were cut, ground, and polished before the microstructure was analyzed by application of high-resolution SEM in combination with EDX and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD). Selected samples were etched or electrolytically polished with D2 electrolyte (containing phosphoric acid) by Struers. Furthermore, transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Zeiss Libra) was applied in order to characterize precipitates on the nano-scale. Beside the microstructural investigations, the functional properties of the specimen were analyzed depending on the process parameters for LPBF. These are (i) the relative density by usage of a Keyence digital microscope, (ii) the electrical conductivity, (iii) the surface roughness by application of both the profile method according to DIN EN ISO 4288 and a confocal Nanofocus system, and (iv) the hardness by using a Fischerscope microhardness testing device. The relative density was
Table 1  Chemical composition (in wt.%) of CuCr1Zr samples at different states of production compared to the nominal chemical composition

| CuCr1Zr state                                        | Cu   | Cr   | Zr   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Standard (2.1293/CW106C)                            | bal. | 0.5-1.2 | 0.03-0.30 |
| Powder after gas atomization                         | bal. | 0.69 | 0.09 |
| Powder after gas atomization and air separation      | bal. | 0.67 | 0.13 |
| Specimen after LPBF                                  | bal. | 0.71 | 0.12 |

measured according to VDI 3405 2 for five images on three cross sections per cubic sample. The electric conductivity and hardness were measured three times for all sides of the cubes on three individual cubes with the same building strategy. All respective values are averaged. For the sake of legibility, the error bars are omitted in the presentation of the results but mentioned within the discussion. Finally, a precipitation hardening routine was applied (480°C for 4 h) in a tube furnace under vacuum in order to achieve an increase in hardness. The chemical compositions of the LPBF-built samples were analyzed by wet chemical analysis. As benchmark, reference samples of CuCr1Zr were analyzed that had been cast and formed.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructural investigation of gas-atomized powders

At every step of the process chain, the chemical composition of the gas-atomized powders was analyzed by wet chemical analysis and compared with the nominal chemical composition of CuCr1Zr. Table 1 summarized the measured compositions. It becomes obvious that the chemical compositions of all analyzed samples lie within the nominal range of CuCr1Zr according to the requirements for the alloy 2.1293/CW106C [3]. No considerable variations in the respective amount were detectable, which leads to the conclusion that the process was stable with respect to the alloy composition.

Figure 3 shows results of the investigations performed on the CuCr1Zr powders. The SEM image of Fig. 3a reveals the occurrence of spherical powder particles and only occasional satellite formation. Furthermore, the particle size distribution in Fig. 3c, measured and analyzed by laser diffraction, reveals a distribution suitable for LPBF and a median diameter $d(0.5)$ of 42.05 μm before and 42.12 μm after air separation.
Comparing the two curves for the gas-atomized powders before and after air separation, respectively, shows that the finest particles with diameters lower than 10 μm were successfully removed. The Hall Flow test on the air-separated powder according to DIN EN ISO 4490 in order to assess the flowability revealed a value of 14.4 s which is below the maximum value of 50 s, and the bulk density test according to DIN EN ISO 3923-1 exhibits a value of 55% which has to be between 50 and 60% in order to be suitable for LPBF. Both values are in the typical range for LPBF [25]. Altogether, the produced powder was assessed to be generally usable for LPBF.

Microstructural investigations were performed by FIB-SEM after fixation of powder particles on a sample holder with nickel cement. Figure 3b shows the resembling FIB cut through a powder particle. Within the particle, the grain structure is clearly visible with grain sizes between 6 and 10 μm. Compared to Fig. 1, no Cr precipitates are visible. Only at the grain boundaries, small occasional precipitates are visible which are identified by EDX as ZrO₂. Due to the high cooling rates during gas atomization, the formation of Cr precipitates is restrained and Cr remains dissolved within the Cu matrix. Thus, the hypothesis is emphasized that the formation of Cr precipitates might also be suppressed after LPBF and that the alloying elements are then in solid solution.

### 3.2 Investigation of LPBF-built samples

For LPBF, the gas-atomized, air-separated, and sieved powders were used within a parameter study in order to identify the process parameters for CuCr1Zr. Therefore, the laser power was varied between 50 and 370 W. Further, three different laser scanning velocities (400 mm/s, 600 mm/s, and 800 mm/s) were applied. In a first step, light microscopy investigations and the determination of the relative density as well as the electric conductivity were performed for all samples of the parameter study. Furthermore, the microstructure and surface roughness was investigated by means of SEM for selected samples.

#### Relative density

The relative density was obtained optically by measuring the relationship between the analysis and pore area for five areas on a sample and subsequent averaging of the respective values. Figure 4 shows light microscopy images for two different parameter sets and a clearly visible difference with respect to pore formation. In Fig. 4a, a laser power of 100 W and a laser scanning velocity of 800 mm/s were used. These parameters lead to a distinct pore formation within the LPBF-built sample with a relative density of 92.81%±0.34%. Increasing the laser power to a value of 370 W and concurrent decelerating the laser scanning velocity to 400 mm/s (see Fig. 4b) resulted in a relative density of 99.84%±0.09%, which becomes also obvious when regarding the lower pore formation as compared to Fig. 4a.

In Fig. 5, the relative density is shown for all parameter sets of the parameter study. The values presented in Fig. 5 were obtained according to VDI 3405 2 and averaged.
The best values with densities above 98% were achieved when either a laser scanning velocity of 400 mm/s or a laser power of 370 W was used. However, the samples with densities above 99.5% were only achieved with laser powers exceeding 300 W for a laser scanning velocity of 400 mm/s. With a density of 99.84%\(\pm\)0.09%, the best value was achieved for 370 W for all laser scanning velocities. Faster laser scanning velocities led to unstable micro-welding conditions and, therefore, pore formation. Only when applying a laser power of 370 W, a relative density of 99.75%\(\pm\)0.12% was achieved for a laser scanning velocities of 600 mm/s and 800 mm/s. When applying a laser power of 50 W, no metallic joint between the sample and the building plate was achieved and the jobs were aborted. Altogether, in order to further quantify the material properties of LPBF-built CuCr1Zr, only laser powers above 300 W and a laser scanning velocity of 400 mm/s are recommendable and will be used for ongoing studies.

**Microstructural investigations** On samples with the parameter set at 370 W and 400 mm/s, as identified before to result in the most dense samples, microstructural investigations by application of high-resolution SEM were performed. Figure 6 shows SEM images of backscattered electrons (BSE) of microstructures perpendicular and parallel to the building direction, respectively. Regarding Fig. 6, it becomes obvious that neither Cr nor alternate precipitates formed were present in the cast state. EDS investigations confirm that the alloy elements Cu and Cr are in solid solution and equally distributed over the whole sample. Within the BSE micrographs, the grain structure perpendicular and parallel to the building direction is visible. Figure 6b reveals that elongated grains were formed in building direction with lengths exceeding 10 \(\mu\)m. A deviation of the elongated grains compared to the building direction of about 40° is visible within the BSE image of Fig. 6b. Perpendicular to the building direction, the grains are smaller and equiaxed (see Fig. 6a).

For further investigation of the microstructure, EBSD analyses were performed; the result of which is shown by the crystal-orientation mapping (IPF) in Fig. 6c, revealing the formation of elongated grains along the building direction (see Fig. 6c) in conjunction with Fig. 6b. The \(<100>\) pole figure of Fig. 6d, which is based on the orientation data achieved from the EBSD measurement, shows a deviation from the z0 building direction (accumulation within the polfigure, red area) at approx. 40° and a resulting tilt in x0 and y0 direction. This
behavior may be an effect of (i) the rotation by $67^\circ$ of the scanning direction with every new applied layer and (ii) due to heat transfer and dissipation processes within the thermally conductive CuCr$1Zr$ alloy.

In Fig. 7, SEM micrographs of the etched microstructure (either polished and etched or electrolytically polished) of the CuCr$1Zr$ sample is shown. In the cross section shown in Fig. 7a, the melted scan tracks are visible showing that the CuCr$1Zr$ powder particles are completely fused together within melted and solidified zones having curved edges. The cross section also indicates that during LPBF a melt pool with a depth higher than the layer thickness is formed (approx. 100 $\mu$m versus layer thickness of 20 $\mu$m). A fine cellular/dendritic structure is visible in Fig. 7b where a higher magnification of the area highlighted in Fig. 7a was chosen. The formation of a cellular solidification structure oriented parallel and perpendicular to the image plane becomes obvious. This microstructure is formed as a result of rapid solidification due to very high cooling rates encountered in LPBF like in casting (see for example [20, 26]) and typical for laser processing techniques. Figure 7c shows the marked area of Fig. 7b with higher magnification inside a cellular structure perpendicular to the building direction. Along borders of the cells, the formation of nano-sized precipitates is occurring which were identified by EDX to be rich in Zr and O and are thus probably ZrO$_2$.

Electric conductivity For all samples of the parameter study, the electric conductivity was measured by eddy current testing. The results are shown in Fig. 8 for all values of the parameter study with an error of $\pm0.8$ MS/m. With increasing laser power, the electric conductivity increases accordingly which is in a reasonable agreement with the increasing relative density as shown in Fig. 4. For laser powers above 200 W, no pronounced dependency of the electric conductivity on the laser scanning velocity is detectable.

The reached maximum value of approx. 13.8 MS/m$\pm0.8$ MS/m is lower than the predetermined value of the German Copper Institute, where values of 20 MS/m are stated for solution-annealed conditions [3]. The reason for the lower value might be the still remaining porosity of the LPBF-built samples. Furthermore, the homogeneously dissolved alloy components might impede the electric transport within the LPBF-built samples which was also reported for Cu-Cr-Ni-Si alloys built by LPBF [2].

Surface roughness When evaluating the quality of an LPBF-built part, the surface roughness has to be considered besides the relative density and the microstructure. Due to the laser stop at the end of a hatching line and the adjacent powder particles within the powder bed that have not been included into the micro-welding process, unmelted powder particles might be attached to the part and lead to the formation of a rough surface. Furthermore, this effect is more pronounced with increasing mean diameter $d(0.5)$ because larger particles signify a higher topography variation than small particles. Furthermore, small particles might fill the gaps between the bigger ones, leading to a more stable micro-welding process.
Figure 9a shows an SEM image of an upper surface with unmelted powder particles. Obviously, powder particles with diameters higher than 50 μm were only partially melted within the part and remain in spherical shape on the surface of the sample. In Fig. 9b, a surface profile measurement with a confocal Nanofocus reveals that the unmelted powder particles result in significant topography variations on the surface of LPBF-built samples. The resembling $R_a$ has a value of 40 μm±5 μm which represents a high surface roughness. Furthermore, a laser power of 200 W and a laser scanning velocity of 800 mm/s were used. An optimization might be achieved by (i) the application of optimized powders and (ii) implementation of a surface optimization routine. Hereby, the last layer of the sample is exposed manifold by the laser in order to completely melt the powder particles on top of the surface. Figure 9c and d show an SEM image and the corresponding topography profile, respectively, for a laser power of 200 W and 800 mm/s laser scanning velocity, where four exposures were applied on the last layer. Compared to Fig. 9a and b, the topography variations are significantly reduced, resulting in a $R_a$ value of 9 μm±2 μm. Further, the hatching lines of the laser are noticeable on the surface.

Altogether, the surface roughness is distinctly improved when a manifold exposure strategy was applied.

Figure 10a and b show the respective roughness qualities for all parameter sets of the parameter study for the upper and side surface of the cubic LPBF-built samples, respectively. It can be concluded that the $R_a$ value increases with increasing laser power, which means that the surface becomes rougher. The highest roughness was achieved for a laser power of 370 W. In addition, the upper surfaces exhibit a lower roughness than the side surfaces which might be due to the multiple melting strategies that were performed on the top most powder layer as described earlier. It has to be mentioned that the error for the distinct measurements shown in Fig. 10 varies between ±2–4 μm. Especially for the investigated areas on the side surfaces, the influence of locally unmelted powder particles leads to a higher deviation and thus a lower accuracy of the experimental results.

Thus, the parameters that lead to samples with the best relative optical densities as discussed beforehand also result in the formation of the roughest surface. In this case, the effect of the roughness on material properties for applications, where the surface quality plays a significant role, like the high-temperature heat exchangers, have to be
The application of surface treatments like polishing or peening is possible.

### 3.3 Heat treatment on LPBF-built CuCr1Zr samples

By application of heat treatments, a precipitation hardening of Cu alloys may be achieved. In the case of CuCr1Zr, the formation of Cr, Cu4Zr, and Cr2Zr precipitates lead to an increase (i) in hardness and (ii) of the electric conductivity [2–5, 32]. Ageing was performed at 480°C for 4 h.

LPBF specimens built with a laser power of 370 W and laser scanning velocity of 400, 600, and 800 mm/s were used. Furthermore, ageing, hardness, and electric conductivity measurements were also performed on a cast benchmark sample as described earlier. The results are shown in Fig. 11a. Before ageing, the LPBF-built sample exhibits a hardness of 92 HV0.1±2 HV0.1 and the cast sample of 65 HV0.1±4 HV0.1. The increased hardness of the LPBF sample might be explained by the small precipitates shown in Fig. 7 that are occurring at the grain boundaries and probably have a pinning effect on the subgrain structure. After ageing, the hardness was increased to values exceeding 200 HV0.1±1 HV0.1 for the LPBF-built and to 131 HV0.1±3 HV0.1 for the cast sample.

Investigations on the electric conductivity shown in Fig. 11b exhibit values that are comparable with conventionally built samples after ageing. Thus, although the electric conductivity was comparably low before heat treatment, the performance of a post-LPBF ageing process leads to an adjustment of the electric conductivity that equals or slightly exceeds the value of the benchmark sample.

Figure 12 shows the microstructure of a LPBF-built sample (370 W and 400 mm/s) obtained by SEM and TEM, respectively. Compared to the microstructure before ageing as shown in Fig. 7, the microdendritic subgrain structure seems to be dissolved with a concomitant precipitation of...
probable ZrO$_2$ either as nanometer-sized precipitates all over the sample or as micrometer-sized agglomerations of ZrO$_2$ at grain boundaries (see Fig. 12a). For non LPBF-built samples, the formation of ZrO$_2$ as a strengthening phase is neither reported for non-aged nor for aged samples. However, they tend to have a strengthening effect in LPBF-built CuCr1Zr by their fine dispersion, leading to a significantly higher hardness as compared to the benchmark sample and to literature values (for example in [2, 3, 32]). The TEM image of Fig. 12b reveals the occurrence of nano-sized precipitates, probably being rich in Cr, which were also reported in [32] that have a strengthening effect in CuCr1Zr. Thus, the formation of probably Cr rich precipitates on the nano-scale and ZrO$_2$ an the micro-scale after ageing lead to a significant increase in hardness, exceeding the values of the cast benchmark samples and values reported in, e.g., [2, 32].

4 Conclusion and summary

In this work, a process routine for additive manufacturing of CuCr1Zr was developed and the material properties of the additively manufactured samples regarding density, hardness, conductivity, and surface roughness were related to the applied process parameters. The focus was set on (i) the generation of metallic powders of CuCr1Zr by gas atomization for additive manufacturing processes, (ii) LPBF of the previously produced CuCr1Zr powders, and (iii) the investigation of functional properties and heat treatment on the LPBF-built CuCr1Zr compared to conventionally manufactured benchmark samples. Altogether, process parameters were identified by which the generation of dense samples with material properties comparable to those conventionally cast materials was successful.

Regarding the generation of CuCr1Zr powders by gas atomization, the close-coupled mode was used in order to produce powders with diameters below 100 μm that is generally suitable for LPBF. By air separation and sieving, the particles with diameters below 10 μm and greater than 70 μm were removed, respectively. The particles reveal to have a spherical shape and only modest satellite formation. At the grain boundaries, the occurrence of occasionally distributed ZrO$_2$ is visible.

By performance of a parameter study, process parameters for LPBF were identified that result in the additive manufacturing of dense samples. For industrial applications of CuCr1Zr, the density as well as the hardness and the electric conductivity is of importance. Thus, these values were investigated for all parameter sets of the parameter study. It can be concluded that a laser power higher than 300 W has to be used in order to manufacture samples with densities exceeding 99.7%. However, the surface becomes rougher with increasing laser power which has to be considered when considering industrial applications where the surface constitution is not neglectable. A post-LPBF heat treatment leads to a significant increase in hardness exceeding the values of conventionally manufactured benchmark samples which is due to the formation of Cr-precipitates on the nano-scale and ZrO$_2$ an the micro-scale.

Altogether, the application of self-produced CuCr1Zr powders for LPBF results in manufactured parts with reasonable functional properties for industrial applications with a comparably high hardness and electrical conductivity after a post-LPBF heat treatment.
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