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Relation of grades of obesity with left ventricular functions
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ABSTRACT

Background: Obesity is a state of excess adipose tissue mass. It is a complex multifactorial condition which poses major risk for serious diet related chronic diseases including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, hypertension and stroke. Obesity is associated with atrial and ventricular re-modelling; obese individuals have both eccentric and concentric patterns of left ventricular hypertrophy. Obesity adversely impacts cardiac diastolic function. In a large community based investigation, BMI emerged as a key correlate of impaired diastolic filling indexes. The objective of this study was to evaluate left ventricular dimensions and functions in patients with obesity and to find correlation between left ventricular dimensions and functions with grades of obesity.

Methods: The present study was a hospital based study conducted in the Postgraduate Department of Internal Medicine, SMHS Hospital of Government Medical College Srinagar and SKIMS Kashmir. A total of 100 subjects of either gender across a spectrum of body mass were taken up for this study.

Results: The mean age was 44.7±11 years. Females (56%) were more than males (44%). The subjects were categorized into four groups which include normal BMI (18%) overweight (32%), obese I (31%) and obese II (19%). The mean BMI of our subjects was 26.8±0.3kg/m². There was no significant change in left ventricular systolic functions. Diastolic abnormality was found in 24% of the subjects. Diastolic abnormalities were more prevalent in subjects with higher grades of obesity 47.4% in obese II and 12.5% in overweight.

Conclusions: With increasing grades of obesity, both LV dimensions and volumes were increased and subclinical LV diastolic dysfunction was present in all grades of obesity. Diastolic abnormalities were more prevalent in subjects with higher grades of obesity. Our study provides justification for the idea of obesity as a therapeutic target in prevention of increased prevalence of cardiovascular diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a state of excess adipose tissue mass. It is a complex multifactorial condition which pose a major risk for serious diet related chronic diseases including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, hypertension and stroke and certain forms of cancer.¹ The most widely used method to guage obesity is body mass index (BMI) which is equal to weight/(height) in kg/m².² Generally speaking BMI>30kg/m² defines state of obesity. A proposal has been made to redefine the classification of obesity using BMI for Asian population as there are now evidence that increased risks of co-morbidities with obesity.² Obesity occurs at lower BMI in Asian. This
recommendation is however based on two studies in Hong-Kong and in Singapore.\textsuperscript{3,4} It is also known that relationship between BMI and body fat percent and fat distribution are less favourable in many Asian population compared with Caucasians/European population.\textsuperscript{5}

Table 1: Classification of weight status according to BMI in Asian adults.

| Classification | BMI (Kg/m2) | Risk of co-morbidities |
|----------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Underweight    | <18.5       | Low (but risk of other clinical problems) |
| Normal range   | 18.5-22.9   | Average                |
| Overweight     | >23.0       | -                      |
| At risk        | 23.0-24.9   | Increased              |
| Obese class I  | 25.9-29.9   | Moderate               |
| Obese class II | >30         | Severe                 |

Source: WHO/IASO/IOTF (2000)

Other approaches to stratify obesity includes waist circumference which is <40 inches (102cm in men and 88 cm in women), anthropometry (skin-fold thickness), densitometry under water weighing, computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); and bioelectrical impedance.\textsuperscript{6} Globally there are more than one billion overweight adults and at least 300 million of them are obese. Currently obese level ranges from below 5% in China, Japan and Certain African nations to 75% in urban Samoa.\textsuperscript{7} There is a paucity of nation wise data on the prevalence of obesity in India. Studies from different states provide some clues regarding the health threat due to its problem. Available data from different published studies suggest prevalence from 10 to 50%.\textsuperscript{8,9} In Kashmir valley overall prevalence of obesity is 15.01% with 7.01% in males and 23.69% in females.\textsuperscript{10}

Obesity is associated with haemodynamic overload. The increased metabolic demand imposed by expanded adipose tissue and augmented fat-free mass in obesity results in a hyperdynamic circulation with increased blood volume. Cardiac output is often higher in obesity, due to an augmented stroke volume and increase in heart rate.\textsuperscript{11} Obesity is associated with atrial and ventricular remodeling, obese individuals have larger left atrial size related to both, an expanded intravascular volume and altered LV filling properties, both eccentric and concentric patterns of LV hypertrophy have been described in overweight people with former being more common.\textsuperscript{12} Ventricular systolic function is assessed by ejection fraction or with load independent measures such as mid-wall fractional shortening is usually normal in obesity.\textsuperscript{13} The increased resting LV end-diastolic volume in obesity has been interpreted as evidence of enhanced recruitment of preload reserve (Frank-Starling mechanism). Obese people, however, may fail to increase their ejection fraction with exercise. Obesity adversely impacts cardiac diastolic function. In a recent large community based investigation, BMI emerged as a key correlate of impaired diastolic filling indexes.\textsuperscript{14} The marked increase in prevalence of obesity has played an important role in 25% increase in diabetes that has occurred in the United States. The risk increases linearly with BMI; the prevalence of diabetes increases from 2% whose BMI ranges 25 to 29.9 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, to 8% in those with BMI 30 to 34.9 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and finally to 13% in those with BMI >35 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{15} Obesity is associated with serum lipid abnormalities, including hypertriglycerideremia, reduced HDL - cholesterol levels and increased proportion of small, dense LDL particles.\textsuperscript{16} There is a linear relationship between hypertension and BMI.\textsuperscript{17} In National health and nutritional examination survey (NHANES 3), the age adjusted prevalence of hypertension in obese men and women was 42% and 38% respectively. These prevalence rates are twice as high as prevalence rates in hypertension in lean men and women. The risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) is increased in obese persons, particularly in those with increased abdominal fat distribution and in those who gained weight during young adulthood. Moreover, CHD starts to increase at the normal BMI levels of 23 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} in men and 22 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} in women.\textsuperscript{18} The objective of study was to evaluate left ventricular dimensions and functions in patients with obesity and to find correlation between left Ventricular dimensions and functions with grades of obesity.

METHODS

The present study was a hospital based study conducted in the Postgraduate Department of Internal Medicine, SMHS Hospital of Government Medical College Srinagar and SKIMS Kashmir. A total of 100 subjects of either gender across a spectrum of body mass were taken up for this study. Among these 18 subjects were taken as Age matched normal weight controls. Patients were excluded if they had hypertension, diabetes mellitus, structural heart disease including heart failure, congenital heart diseases and/or had undergone any cardiovascular procedures or coronary artery diseases (CAD), Pregnancy, smoking / ex-smoker > 5 years, major systemic disease (e.g. cancer, lupus) and any vaso-active medication intake. This study is a cross-sectional study wherein all information was recorded in a single contact and there was no follow-up in the study. On inclusion in the study following details were obtained and recorded viz demographic details of name, age and sex, anthropometric measurements like weight (kg), height (m), BMI [weight (kg) / height (m\textsuperscript{2})], waist circumference (cm), hip circumference (cm) and waist hip ratio (WHR), Lipid profile (fasting), electrocardiogram (ECG), chest X-ray, blood chemistry and electrolytes. Fat mass percentage by Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) by body fat monitor model HBF -306, fasting plasma glucose and insulin levels and calculation of Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR.) Plasma insulin level was measured by AxsYM Insulin assay which is a Microparticle enzyme immunoassay (MEIA) for quantitatively measurement of human insulin in human serum and plasma.

Echocardiography
All selective subjects underwent a complete transthoracic two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic examination with echo-cardiographic equipment Power-Vision 8000 (Toshiba Japan). Subjects were examined in left lateral decubitus position and images were obtained in the standard tomographic views of left ventricle (parasternal long- and short-axis and apical 4-chamber, 2-chamber, and long axis view). Left ventricular (LV) diameter and wall thickness were measured from the two-dimensional targeted M-mode echocardiographic tracings in the parasternal long axis. Left ventricular end diastolic volume (LVEDV), left ventricular end systolic volume (LVESV) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) at rest were computed from 2- and 4-chamber views, using a modified Simpson’s biplane method. Standard two and four chamber apical views were used to interrogate the mitral inflow velocities, peak early diastolic velocity in m/s (E), peak late diastolic velocity m/s (A), E/A ratio, isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT) and deceleration time (DT).

Patients were categorized into 4 groups as per WHO criteria of Asian Indians.

Table 2: Categorization was also done as per fat mass percentage.  

|                  | Males   | Females  |
|------------------|---------|----------|
| Under Fat        | < 10%   | < 20%    |
| Normal fat       | 10-20%  | 20-30%   |
| Slight high fat  | 20-25%  | 30-35%   |
| High fat         | > 25%   | > 35%    |

RESULTS

This study was conducted in the Postgraduate Department of Internal Medicine, SMHS Hospital Srinagar Kashmir. A total of 100 subjects were included in our study and among these 18 subjects were taken as age matched normal weight controls. The age of our study population ranged from 21 years to 67 years with the mean age being 44.7±11 years. The maximum number of subjects were seen in the age group of 40-59 years (57%). Females (56%) were more than males (44%). The subjects were categorized into four groups which include normal BMI (18%) overweight (32%), obese I (31%) and obese II (19%). The mean BMI of our subjects was 26.8±0.3kg/m² (Table 3).

Table 3: Demographic and anthropometric details of study population.

|                      | Normal (BMI=18-22.9) (n=18) | Overweight (BMI = 23-24.9) (n = 32) | Obese I (BMI=25-29.9) (n = 31) | Obese II (BMI = > 30) (n = 19) | P value and statistical significance |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age (Years)          | 46±8                         | 44±10                             | 49±11                         | 41±10                         | P > 0.05                          |
| Gender (M/F)         | 8 / 10                       | 12/20                             | 15/16                         | 9/10                          | P > 0.05                          |
| Weight (kg)          | 52 ± 10                      | 60±10                             | 67±12                         | 76±9                          | P < 0.05                          |
| Height (m)           | 1.57 ± 0.08                  | 1.56±0.08                        | 1.54±0.09                     | 1.56±0.07                     | P > 0.05                          |
| BMI                  | 21 ± 1.8                     | 24±0.8                            | 27±2                          | 32±1.9                        | P < 0.05                          |
| WHR (Waist-Hip Ratio)| 0.79±0.07                    | 0.91±0.04                         | 0.93±0.06                     | 0.95±0.10                     | P<0.05                           |
| WHR Females          | 0.78±0.06                    | 0.90±0.06                         | 0.94±0.08                     | 0.96±0.14                     | p < 0.05                          |

Weight and waist-hip ratio (WHR) shows an increasing trend with increase in grades of obesity (Table 3) and it was statistically significant (p < 0.05). There was increasing trend in triglycerides levels with increase in BMI, which is statistically significant (p < 0.05). While as HDL-cholesterol had a decreasing trend with increasing grades of obesity. Fasting plasma insulin and HOMA-IR show a significant (p < 0.05) differences in values between overweight and obese II group. Insulin level not available in normal subjects. As BMI increased fasting plasma insulin and HOMA-IR also increased.

Table 4: Left ventricular (LV) morphological parameters.

HDL-cholesterol had a decreasing trend with increasing grades of obesity. Fasting plasma insulin and HOMA-IR show a significant (p < 0.05) differences in values between overweight and obese II group. Insulin level not available in normal subjects. As BMI increased fasting plasma insulin and HOMA-IR also increased.
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While evaluating left ventricular morphological indices vis-à-vis grades of obesity (Table 4), all the parameters like left ventricular end systolic volume (LVESV), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVESD), left ventricular end diastolic posterior wall thickness [LVPw(d)], intraventricular end-diastolic septal wall thickness (IVS) show an upward trend with grades of obesity (positive correlation) which was statistically significant (p < 0.05) except LVEDD (Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter) and LVESD (Left ventricular end systolic diameter).

There was no significant change in left ventricular systolic functions (Table 5). Ejection fraction is slightly increased in initial grades of obesity which is statistically insignificant (p > 0.05) while Fractional shortening remains almost same.

A significant prolongation of IVRT has been observed in our study subjects (Table 6), with a pronounced difference between obese II and overweight subjects (98±17 versus 84±15 respectively). Changes have also been seen in E and A, but the values did not differ across spectrum of obesity(p>0.05). E/A showed a downward trend but not statistically significant. DTE (deceleration time) was found to be more with increased grades of obesity (256±30 in obese II versus 190±14 in overweight (p<0.05).

Diastolic abnormality was found in 24% of the subjects. Diastolic abnormalities were more prevalent in subjects with higher grades of obesity 47.4% in obese II and 12.5% in overweight whereas systolic abnormality was seen in none of the patients.

| LV Morphological parameters | Normal n =18 | Overweight n =32 | Obese I n =31 | Obese II n =19 | Statistical significance p value and pearson’s correlation coefficient |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LVESD (cm)                 | 2.8±0.41     | 2.88±0.44       | 3.02±0.53     | 3.31±0.62       | p >0.05, r =0.251                                                    |
| LVEDD (cm)                 | 4.70±0.49    | 4.76±0.45       | 4.83±0.53     | 4.92±0.44       | p > 0.05, r =0.264                                                  |
| LVESV (ml)                 | 32±7         | 39±9            | 41±8          | 58±10          | p < 0.05, r =0.603                                                  |
| LVEDV (ml)                 | 92±9         | 104±12          | 108±10        | 129±12         | p < 0.05, r =0.709                                                  |
| LV pw [d] (cm)             | 0.80±0.07    | 0.94±0.15       | 0.99±0.12     | 1.04±0.12      | p < 0.05, r =0.624                                                  |
| IVS [d] (cm)               | 0.82±0.10    | 0.97±0.11       | 0.98±0.14     | 1.09±0.12      | p < 0.05, r =0.623                                                  |

Table 5. Left ventricular (LV) systolic function parameters.

| LV Systolic function parameters | Normal n =18 | Overweight n =32 | Obese I n =31 | Obese II n =19 | Statistical significance p value and pearson’s correlation coefficient |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EF (%)                           | 65±9         | 67±8            | 68±7          | 67±6           | p > 0.05, r =0.143                                                  |
| FS (%)                           | 36±7.0       | 36.2±7.6        | 37.5±5.9      | 35.8±6.4       | p > 0.05, r =0.204                                                  |

Table 6: Left ventricular diastolic function parameters.

| LV Diastolic function parameters | Normal n =18 | Overweight n =32 | Obese I n =31 | Obese II n =19 | Statistical significance p value and pearson’s correlation coefficient |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| E (m/s)                          | 0.73±0.12    | 0.74±0.12       | 0.77±0.14     | 0.75±0.12      | p > 0.05, r =0.203                                                  |
| A (m/s)                          | 0.52±0.08    | 0.54±0.1        | 0.59±0.12     | 0.61±0.12      | p > 0.05, r =0.308                                                  |
| E/A ratio                        | 1.43±0.32    | 1.42±0.41       | 1.41±0.41     | 1.38±0.42      | p > 0.05, r = -0.681                                               |
| IVRT (sec)                       | 80±15        | 90±17           | 93±16         | 98±18          | p < 0.05, r =0.643                                                  |
| DTE (m sec)                      | 180±12       | 190±14          | 224±30        | 256±30         | p < 0.05, r=0.623                                                   |

Table 7: LV function vis-à-vis grades of obesity.

| Normal BMI                  | Overweight  | Obese I       | Obese II      | Total          |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Normal LV functions         | 18 (100)     | 28 (87.5)     | 20 (64.5)     | 10 (52.6)      | 76              |
| Systolic abnormality        | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)        | 0               |
| Diastolic abnormality       | 0 (0.0)      | 4 (12.5)      | 11 (35.5)     | 9 (47.4)       | 24              |
| Both                        | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)        | 0               |
| Total                       | 18 (100)     | 32 (100)      | 31 (100)      | 19 (100)       | 100             |
DISCUSSION

The age of our study population ranged from 21 years to 67 years with the mean age being 44.7±11 years. Morricone et al.20 reported a mean age of 38 years, 37 years and 43.2 years respectively in his study. The maximum number of subjects were seen in the age group of 40-59 years (57%). The average fat mass percentage of our study population was 28.5±4.8 and an increasing trend has been noticed with increasing grades of obesity. A similar trend was observed by Wong et al in his study.21 Elevate triglyceride levels with decreased HDL levels were found in obese II group subjects as compared to overweight and obese I group subjects. The increase in triglyceride levels and decrease in the HDL levels with increase in BMI was also found by other workers like Valverdie MA, Brain CD et al in their study.22,23 No significant change was found in total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, or VLDL-cholesterol with increase in BMI. An upward trend in fasting insulin levels was found with increase in BMI (19±10 in obese II versus 6±2.5 in overweight) in our subjects. Wong et al had also observed an increasing trend in insulin levels with increase in grades of obesity.21 Fasting plasma glucose levels were within normal range in all the study subjects. HOMA-IR shows positive relation with BMI, with increase in BMI, HOMA-IR also increases. The relation was statistically significant (p<0.05). Zhu L et al, showed almost matching results in his study.24

The echocardiographic evaluation of our subjects revealed a positive correlation between BMI and LV volumes, thickness. The relation was statistically significant (p<0.005). The LVEDD and LVESD showed an increased trend with grades of obesity. Early grades of obesity showed increased in the LV ejection fraction however this was not statistically significant(p>0.05) There was no significant change in fractional shortening with grades of obesity, Wong et al, and Pascual M et al, also showed similar results in their studies.21,25 A significant prolongation of IVRT has been observed in our study subjects, with a pronounced difference between obese II and overweight subjects (98±17 versus 84±15 respectively). Changes have also been seen in E and A, but the values did not differ across spectrum of obesity (p>0.05). E/A showed a downward trend but not statistically significant. DT (deceleration time) was found to be more with increased grades of obesity (256±30 in obese II versus 190±14 in overweight (p<0.05) These results are consistent with observations of other workers like Pascual M, and Brain d powell.25,26

Echocardiographically it was seen that, in our study population no one fulfilled the criteria of LV systolic function abnormality while as LV diastolic function abnormality has been noted in all grades of obesity and correlated with BMI. Diastolic abnormality was found in 24% of the subjects. Our observations are very much in confirmation with the results of other workers like Pascual MA et al, Cheiw Y, Wong, Powell BD.21,25,26 In the prevalence assessment subclinical diastolic dysfunction was significantly more prevalent in obese II than overweight (47% versus 12.5% p<0.05). Similar were the results obtained from the study of Pascual M, and Powell BD.21,26

Our study links obesity, insulin levels and fat mass with myocardial disturbances. A number of mechanisms have been postulated for their disturbances. First, Adipose tissue has the ability to act as an endocrine organ. The bioactivity of rennin - angiotensin system (RAS) both systemically and within adipose tissue is elevated in obesity, and reduced after weight loss.27,28 Angiotensin II is a potent growth factor for cardiac myocytes, is proinflammatory and is associated with cell proliferation, hypertrophy, apoptosis and fibrosis. It also plays an important role in LV remodeling and LV hypertrophy.29

This study demonstrates some correlation of LV systolic and diastolic measures to fasting insulin levels. It has been proposed that insulin may exercise its influence on cardiac geometry due to its growth stimulating, sodium retention and other neuroendocrine effects. It has also been suggested that insulin resistance can affect the biochemical mechanisms during diastolic relaxation by impairing the inactivation of myocardial actin - myosin cross links attributable to a lack of ca2+ re-uptake from sarcoplasmic- reticulum.30 In our study fasting insulin level is related to IVRT and weekly to E / A suggesting a greater action of insulin on LV relaxation than LV filling parameters.

Limitations of this study was a single center study with limited sample size, therefore these results require further validation from larger prospective studies. Furthermore, newer and more accurate echo parameters of left ventricular functions (systolic/diastolic) could have been applied.

CONCLUSION

With increasing grades of obesity and increase in fat mass percentage, both LV dimensions and volumes were increased and subclinical LV diastolic dysfunction was present in all grades of obesity. Diastolic abnormalities were more prevalent in subjects with higher grades of obesity. Our study provides justification for the idea of obesity as a therapeutic target in prevention of increased prevalence of cardiovascular diseases. Several studies have shown that LV structure and diastolic function are improved after weight loss in obese patients.
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