Introduction

Organic dyes, which include azo dyes or complex aromatic structure [1], are widely used in the textile industry [2], pulp and paper manufacturing, printing and leather treatment [3]. However, these industrial wastes are hazardous for living organisms, especially in aquatic forms [4]. Many of them are classified as carcinogenic and toxic [5]. In general, they are biologically non-degradable, and stable to heat, light and oxidizing agents [1, 6]. Due to the inert properties of dyes, traditional treatment technologies of industrial wastewater have been improved. Coagulation/flocculation [7-9], membrane filtration [10, 11], electrochemical methods [12, 13], biodegradation [14], TiO₂ photo-catalysis [15, 16], ion exchange [17, 18] and adsorption [19, 20] processes are widely used to remove dyes from wastewater.

Because of the high removal efficiency of dye removal, adsorption is one of the most commonly used techniques to treat wastewater [21]. Adsorption gives some advantages to researchers and companies since the operation is cheap, simple and easy, with a wide field of suitable and available adsorbents allowing for adsorbent regeneration and reuse [22-25].

In this study, polymeric nanoparticles were used as an adsorbent to remove the textile dye Direct Blue 9 (DB9) from an aqueous solution. Adsorption capacity of the polymeric nanoparticles were determined in various conditions such as pH, temperature, and dye concentration. Kinetic parameters were also calculated. Optimum initial pH, temperature and equilibrium time were determined as 6.0, 318 K and 90 minutes, respectively. The maximum adsorption capacity of adsorbent and percentage removal of DB9 were detected as 15.49 mg/g and 98.15%, respectively. To clarify the nature of the adsorption process isotherm, thermodynamic and kinetic studies were also performed. The adsorption process obeys the Langmuir isotherm model and pseudo-second order model.
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or zeolites [32, 33], biosorbents, microbial biomass [34-36] and polymeric materials [20, 37] are widely used as adsorbents. Polymeric materials, especially nano-sized polymers, are widely used as adsorbents due to their size-dependent physical and chemical properties [38].

Textile dyes are classified due to their chromophore or chemical structures such as anthraquinone, ethane, azo, and phthalocyanine. The most common one is azo dye, which is used in the textile and paper industries. Azo dyes include azo bond (R-N = N-R') and one or more aromatic rings. In general, azo dyes have mutagenic, toxic, and carcinogenic structures, thus these dyes not only affect human as carcinogens and mutagens but also affect aquatic environments. These dyes are stable and non-degradable by biological or chemical processes. Direct Blue dyes are classified into three class as mono-, di-, and tri-azo dyes [38]. Direct Blue 9 (DB9) is mainly used as dyestuff in textile industries, and the removal of these dyes is important. Various methods such as coagulation/flocculation, ion exchange, electrochemical treatment, chemical oxidation, biological treatment, and adsorption are used to remove azo dyes from wastewater [39].

This study focused on removing the toxic textile dye Direct Blue 9 (DB9) from aqueous solutions and representing the usability of poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) [poly(HEMA)] nano-sized polymers as an adsorbent. Poly(HEMA) was produced by the emulsion polymerization method and then characterized by infrared spectroscopy (IR) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Batch adsorption experiments were performed under various operating conditions such as pH, contact time and temperature. Adsorption isotherms and kinetic values were determined. Also, reusability of the polymer was discussed.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Direct Blue 9 (DB9, synonym; Sirius Blue K-CFN, C.I. 24155), hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA;≥99%), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA; MW: 130 000) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA; 98%) were obtained from Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS;≥98.5%) was purchased from Sigma (Steinheim, Germany). All other chemicals were analytical grade. The chemical structure of DB9 is given in Fig. 1.

**Synthesis of Poly(HEMA) Nanopolymers**

Poly(HEMA) nanopolymers were synthesized by the previously given emulsion polymerization procedure [38]. First of all, in the first aqueous phase PVA, SDS and NaHCO3 were dissolved in distilled water. In the second aqueous phase, PVA and SDS were dissolved in distilled water in other erlenmayer. In the oil phase, HEMA was mixed with EGDMA and added to the first aqueous phase. The mixture was homogenized at 30 000 rpm (ISOLAB Homogenizer, ‘Heavy Duty’) for 10 minutes. The mixture was added to the second aqueous phase, and NaHSO3 and (NH4)2S2O8 were added. The polymerization was carried out at 40°C for 6 h. At the end of the polymerization, to remove the unreacted monomers, the polymers were washed with ethanol and water several times. Additionally, polymers were washed with distilled water and stored in water.

**Characterization Studies**

FT-IR analysis was performed on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BX FTIR System. The morphology of the nanopolymers was determined by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (SEM2-Quantu 250FG) in “Izmir Institute of Technology, Center for Materials Research”.

**Batch Adsorption Experiments**

Initially, experiments to comment on the effect of pH on dye adsorption capacity and different pH values were carried out. The tested initial pH values (3.0 to 8.0) were prepared with acetate (0.1 M NaCH3COO/CH3COOH) or phosphate (0.1 M NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4) buffers. The dye concentration of these solutions was 30 mg/L. Suspensions were stirred at 25ºC for 3 h in a heated incubator (125 rpm).

To determine the optimum dye concentration, the suspensions were prepared by adding poly(HEMA) (1 mg) to 1 mL DB9 solutions (1.0 to 50.0 mg/L) at optimum pH. The suspensions were placed in a heated incubator at 25ºC under stirring at 125 rpm. The amount of dye adsorbed (q_e) and percentage removal (% R) of DB9 were calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\% \text{ Removal} = \left(\frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0}\right) \times 100 \tag{2}
\]

...where \( q_e \) is the amount of dye in mg per gram of adsorbent, and \( C_0 \) and \( C_e \) are initial and final
concentrations of dye (mg/L), respectively. \( V \) is the volume of the dye solution (mL) and \( m \) is the mass of the adsorbent (g).

### Adsorption Isotherms

The Langmuir sorption isotherm is applied to equilibrium sorption assuming monolayer sorption onto a surface with a limited number of identical binding sites. The Langmuir equation (Eq. 3) is written as [38]:

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_L K_L} + \frac{C_e}{Q_L}
\]  

(3)

...where \( K_L \) is Langmuir constant (L/mg), \( Q_L \) is the maximum adsorption at monolayer coverage (mg/g), \( q_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium onto adsorbent (mg/g) and \( C_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium in solution (mg/L).

The Freundlich sorption isotherm equation for heterogeneous surface energy systems is given by Eq. 4 [38]:

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e
\]  

(4)

...where \( q_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium onto adsorbent (mg/g) and \( C_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium in solution (mg/L). \( K_F \) and \( n \) are Freundlich constants, determined from the plot of \( \ln q_e \) versus \( \ln C_e \). The parameters \( K_F \) and \( 1/n \) correlate with sorption capacity and the sorption intensity of the system. The magnitude of the term \( (1/n) \) gives evidence to the availability of the sorbent/adsorbate systems [40].

The Sips sorption isotherm equation was given in Eq. 5. This equation was derived from the limiting behavior of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms [41].

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_{max} K_s} \left( \frac{1}{C_e} \right)^{1/n} + \frac{1}{Q_{max}}
\]  

(5)

...where \( q_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium onto adsorbent (mg/g) and \( C_e \) is dye concentration at equilibrium in solution (mg/L). \( K_s \) is Sips constant (L/mg) and \( Q_{max} \) is maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g).

### Recyclability of the Adsorbent

The recyclability of the adsorbent was performed by repeated adsorption/desorption cycles using the same adsorbent in the same dye solution at five times. As a desorption agent sodium acetate buffer was used. A constant dosage of polymer (1 mg) was placed in 5 mL dye solution (50 mg/L) and kept in contact for 3 h. For each cycle, the adsorption capacity was calculated.

### Results and Discussion

#### Characterization of the Poly(HEMA)

The FTIR spectra of before and after adsorption from a range of 600-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) is given in Fig. 2. The bands observed at about 3320 cm\(^{-1}\) could be assigned O-H stretching vibration. The bands at 2949 cm\(^{-1}\) shifts to 2955 cm\(^{-1}\) representing C-H stretching of CH\(_3\). The strong band of C=O and C-O stretching vibration peaks are observed at 1716 and 1240 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

To determine the surface texture and morphology of the adsorbent, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken before and after adsorption of DB9. The results obviously show that the polymers are spherical and in nano-size with smooth surface. As seen in Fig. 3, the adsorbent has homogeneity, which is supposed to be the active site for DB9 binding. SEM images also revealed that the surface of polymeric adsorbent is flattened after DB9 adsorption.

#### Effect of pH on Dye Adsorption

The textile dyes have different aromatic rings and functional groups (such as nitro, azo or metal) [1, 42]. The pH of a dye solution is an important effecting factor for adsorption [36, 43]. The ionization of the adsorptive molecule and adsorbent can effectively
change by the variation of the pH solution, hence the dye adsorption is dependent on the solution pH \([44, 45]\). Fig. 4 shows the effect of pH on adsorption of dye onto the polymer. The maximum adsorption and removal of dye were observed at pH 6.0. The adsorption capacities of poly(HEMA) increased with pH until the pH reached 6.0, and then the adsorption capacities decreased with increasing pH. The maximum adsorption capacity was determined at pH 6.0. However, the ionizable groups of dye and poly(HEMA) (hydroxyl groups) are responsible from the electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds between dye and polymer.

Effect of Initial Dye concentration and Contact Time on Dye Adsorption

To determine the optimum dye concentration, the DB9 solutions ranging from 1.0 to 50.0 mg/L were studied with an amount of 1 mg poly(HEMA) and 1 mL dye solution at optimum pH at 298 K. With an increase in the initial DB9 concentration from 1.0 to 50.0 mg/L, the adsorption capacity \((q_e)\) and percentage removal \((\% R)\) at equilibrium increased from 4.07 mg/g to 22.54 mg/g, and 37.37\% to 98.86\% at 298 K, respectively. The results were given in Fig. 5.

The effect of contact time on the adsorption capacities of dye onto poly(HEMA) and removal percentage at 277, 298 and 318 K are shown in Fig. 6a) and Fig. 6b), respectively. The adsorbed amounts of dyes increased with an increase in both contact time and temperature. Adsorption process was completed within 90 min and no remarkable changes were observed until 180 min. Lots of free adsorbent sites are available for adsorption and thus dye molecules can affectively adsorb onto these sites, rapidly increasing the temperature. 82.30\%, 94.07\% and 98.15\% DB9 removal takes place at 277, 298 and 318 K in 180 min, respectively. The adsorption capacities of the poly(HEMA) are 12.98, 14.84 and 15.49 mg/g at 277, 298 and 318 K, respectively.

Effect of Adsorbent Dosage and Agitation Rate

To determine the effect of adsorbent dosage for adsorption capacity and percentage removal of DB9 from an aqueous solution, the different adsorbent values ranging from 0.5 mg to 8 mg were used in the adsorption process. The initial concentration of DB9 was 25 mg/L and the contact time was 180 minutes at
with adsorbent dosage can be based on increased adsorbent surface area and adsorption sites.

To determine the effect of agitation speed for adsorption of DB9 in the range of 75-150 rpm, agitation rates were investigated during the adsorption process. The adsorbent dosage was 1 mg, the total initial DB9 concentration 25 mg/L, and the contact time was 180 minutes at 298 K. The results are given in Fig. 8. The maximum removal of DB9 occurred at 125 rpm. Agitation of solution helps for better interaction between binding sites of both adsorbent and dye molecules, so these molecules penetrate to deeper layers of the adsorbent. When the agitation rate exceeded the optimum speed, the interaction between adsorbent and dye molecules decreased [46].

Adsorption Isotherms

The adsorption capacity and other parameters were evaluated using Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips isotherm models. The adsorption capacity \( (q_e) \) was determined as 16.24 mg/g (Table 1). The high value of correlation coefficient (0.987) point at the practicality of Langmuir isotherm which supposes uniform activity distribution and a monolayer coverage on the sorbent surface. \( R_L \) values also support the adsorption of dye onto poly(HEMA) (Table 1).

The equilibrium data were also fitted to the Freundlich isotherm model. The parameters \( K_F \) and \( n \) are 0.226 and 1.392, respectively. \( 1/n \) gives an indication of the suitability of the sorbent/adsorbate systems [47].

Adsorption Thermodynamics

Thermodynamic parameters such as free energy change \( (\Delta G^\circ) \), enthalpy changes \( (\Delta H^\circ) \) and entropy changes \( (\Delta S^\circ) \) were calculated using the Van’t Hoff equation at various temperatures [48] (Eq. 6):

\[
\ln K_L = \frac{-\Delta H^\circ}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R}
\]

(6)

…where \( K_L \) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant, \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K) and \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/mol K). \( \Delta H^\circ \) and \( \Delta S^\circ \) can be determined from the slope and intercept of the plot of \( \ln K_L \) versus \( 1/T \). The \( \Delta G^\circ \) of the adsorption was calculated using Eq. 7:

\[
\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ
\]

(7)
The data are listed in Table 2. The positive $\Delta H^0$ depicted that the dye adsorption on polymeric adsorbent was endothermic. The negative $\Delta G^0$ indicates that the adsorption process occurs spontaneously. Besides the positive value of entropy change, $\Delta S^0$ defines the affinity of adsorbent for the dye.

### Adsorption Kinetics

Adsorption kinetics is one of the important parameters for investigating the mechanism of adsorption [49]. In this study, pseudo first-order, pseudo second-order, and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models were used to clarify the adsorption kinetics.

The pseudo first- and second-order kinetic models are expressed at Eq. 8 and Eq. 9, respectively:

$$\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

...where $q_e$ is the amount of dye adsorbed by polymer at equilibrium condition (mg/g), $q_t$ is the amount of dye adsorbed by polymer at time $t$ (min), and $k_1$ (1/min) and $k_2$ (g/mg min) are the equilibrium rate constants for pseudo first- and second-order kinetic models, respectively. For the pseudo first-order kinetic model, $k_1$ and $q_e$ are obtained from the slope and intercept of the plot of $ln(q_e - q_t)$ versus $t$, respectively [50]. For the pseudo second-order kinetic model, $k_2$ and $q_e$ are determined from the slope and intercept of the plot of $t/q_t$ versus $t$, respectively [51, 52].

The intraparticle diffusion model explains that the adsorption process occurs in several steps involving the transport of solute molecules from bulk aqueous phase to the surface of the adsorbent particles, which is followed by diffusion of the molecules into the interior of the solid pores [43, 51]. For most adsorption processes, the amount of adsorption is commensurate to $t^{1/2}$ rather than with the contact time. This model can be expressed at Eq. 10:

$$q_t = k_{id} t^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

...where $q_t$ is the adsorption capacity at time $t$ (min), $t^{1/2}$ is the half-life time in second and $k_{id}$ is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg/g min$^{1/2}$) at different initial dye concentrations. $k_{id}$ can be calculated from the slope of the plot. The adsorption kinetic models and parameters are given in Table 3.

According to the results, the best-fit kinetic model can be chosen dependent upon the linear regression correlation coefficient ($R^2$) values. Furthermore, $q_e$ values are closed to experimental $q_e$ values in the pseudo second-order kinetic model. Usually for most adsorption systems, the pseudo second-order kinetic model is better and well represented [51]. For removing textile dyes from aqueous solutions a great number of adsorbents such as ash, polymeric particles or microbial biomass can be used effectively. Adsorption capacities of various adsorbents for different kinds of dyes are given in Table 4.

### Recyclability of the Adsorbent

One of the important parameters in adsorption-based processes is desorption and reusability of the adsorbent.

---

### Tables

**Table 1. Adsorption isotherm models for DB9 adsorption onto poly(HEMA).**

| Temperature (K) | Langmuir Isotherm Model $K_L \times 10^2$ (L/mg) | Freundlich Isotherm Model $Q_L$ (mg/g) | Sips Isotherm Model $K_S \times 10^2$ (L/mg) | $R^2$ |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| 277             | 4.68                              | 16.24                           | 0.987                           | 0.226 |
| 298             | 2.62                              | 2.188                           | 1.392                           | 0.928 |
| 318             | 3.0436                            | 3.0243                         | 0.9547                           | 0.9981 |

**Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters for DB9 adsorption onto poly(HEMA).**

| Temperature (K) | $\Delta G^0$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta H^0$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta S^0$ (J/mol K) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 277             | -1.716               | 2.276                | 14.412               |
| 298             | -2.018               | 2.276                | 14.412               |
| 318             | -2.307               | 2.276                | 14.412               |

**Table 3. Adsorption kinetic models and parameters for removal of DB9 onto poly(HEMA).**

| Parameters | Experimental $q_e$ (mg/g) | Pseudo-first order kinetic model $k_1 \times 10^2$ (1/min) | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $R^2$ |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Temperature (K) | 277                      | 12.983                                                    | 2.62         | 2.188 | 0.9865 |
|              | 298                      | 14.841                                                    | 4.67         | 3.0436 | 0.9671 |
|              | 318                      | 15.486                                                    | 3.65         | 3.0243 | 0.9547 |

| Parameters | Pseudo-second order kinetic model $k_2$ (g/mg/min) | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $R^2$ |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Temperature (K) | 277                      | 2.62         | 2.188 | 0.9865 |
|              | 298                      | 4.67         | 3.0436 | 0.9671 |
|              | 318                      | 3.65         | 3.0243 | 0.9547 |

| Parameters | Intraparticle diffusion model $k_{id}$ (mg/g min$^{1/2}$) | $R^2$ |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Temperature (K) | 277                      | 0.9981 | 0.68  | 0.8908 |
|              | 298                      | 1.09   | 0.8522 |
|              | 318                      | 1.02   | 0.8315 |
It is required that the desorption agent does not damage the adsorbent or affect adsorption capacity. In this study, the recyclability experiment of DB9 was carried out. Sodium acetate buffer was used as a desorption agent in the recyclability studies. The DB9 adsorbed polymers were shaken at room temperature for 3 h. It was found that during a 5 adsorption-desorption cycle the adsorption capacity of the nanopolymer decreased only 5.5%.

### Conclusions

In this study, poly(HEMA) nanoparticles were produced, characterized and investigated regarding their abilities to remove textile dye Direct Blue 9 (DB9) in aqueous solution for the first time. The optimum pH and temperature for adsorption of DB9 from aqueous solution were determined as 6.0 and 318 K, respectively. All experiments were achieved at pH 6.0. Increasing the temperature from 277 K to 318 K, the maximum adsorption capacity was also increased from 12.98 mg/g to 15.49 mg/g and percentage removal of DB9 from 82.30% to 98.15%, respectively. Results obtained indicate that the adsorption process is fast and spontaneous within the first 90 min. Isotherm, thermodynamic and kinetic studies were performed to clarify the nature of the adsorption process. The experimental data supports the pseudo second-order model. The adsorption process is fitted to the Langmuir isotherm model. In addition, the mean values of thermodynamic parameters of standard free energy, standard enthalpy ($ΔH^° = 2.276$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) and standard entropy ($ΔS^° = 14.412$ J mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$) of the adsorption mechanism were determined. In conclusion, poly(HEMA) was examined as an adsorbent for the adsorption of a textile dye, Direct Blue 9, in aqueous solutions at the first time and reported the suitability of the poly(HEMA) as an adsorbent.
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