Superintegrability of generalized Calogero models with oscillator or Coulomb potential
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We deform $N$-dimensional (Euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic) oscillator and Coulomb systems, replacing their angular degrees of freedom by those of a generalized rational Calogero model. Using the action-angle description, it is established that maximal superintegrability is retained. For the rational Calogero model with Coulomb potential, we present all constants of motion via matrix model reduction. In particular, we construct the analog of the Runge–Lenz vector.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The rational Calogero model [1] and its various generalizations, based on arbitrary Coxeter root systems [2] or with trigonometric and elliptic potentials [3]), continue to attract interest. The reason is their rich integrability structure and their widespread applications (for a review, see Ref. [4]). One of the striking features of rational systems, and it admits the addition of an external oscillator potential [6], which we call the Calogero-oscillator system. Superintegrability has been established also for the hyperbolic Calogero model [7] and for the relativistic version [8,9] known as the rational Ruijsenaars–Schneider model [10].

One may ask whether other potentials (different from the oscillator one) may be added to the rational Calogero model without destroying its integrability. To answer this question, it is fruitful to reinterpret the model as describing a single nonrelativistic particle moving in $\mathbb{R}^N$ in the presence of a particular potential given by the root system (e.g. $A_{N-1}$). The conformal invariance of this system then suggests passing to spherical coordinates $(r, \theta_i)$ with $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$ and trying to add a “radial” potential $V(r)$. As an important example, Khare [11] (see also Ref. [12]) proposed an exactly solvable rational Calogero model with a Coulomb-like radial potential, to which we will refer as the Calogero–Coulomb model. This was followed by a remark of Calogero [13] that any radial deformation of the Calogero model by a rotationally invariant exactly solvable potential remains exactly solvable.

In this paper we establish maximal superintegrability for the Calogero–Coulomb and Calogero-oscillator models associated to any Coxeter root system, as well as for their generalizations from $\mathbb{R}^N$ to the $N$-sphere $S^N$ and the (two-sheeted) hyperboloid $H^N$. Furthermore, we suggest that these Calogero-type deformations of the $N$-dimensional oscillator and Coulomb systems are their only modifications preserving maximal superintegrability.

The construction of these models proceeds in two steps. First, we rewrite the $N$-dimensional oscillator or Coulomb system in spherical coordinates, and second we replace the angular part of either system [given by the quadratic $SO(N)$ Casimir] with the angular part of a rational Calogero model [given by its $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Casimir], which has recently been considered in a series of papers [14–18]. A proof of maximal superintegrability directly follows from the formulation of these systems in terms of action-angle variables [16]. The latter requires the knowledge of an action for the angular part of the rational Calogero model, which we get by taking the classical limit of its energy spectrum, as constructed in Ref. [18].

As an example, we consider the rational $A_{N-1}$ Calogero model with a Coulomb potential, for which we present all classical constants of motion via the well known matrix-model reduction procedure [2,19]. In particular, we determine the analog of the Runge–Lenz vector. The quantum integrals are briefly discussed using the Dunkl operator approach [20].
II. ACTION-ANGLE VARIABLES AND SUPERINTEGRABILITY

The description of an integrable system in terms of its action-angle variables provides a convenient way to determine the hidden symmetries. Concretely, consider an \( N \)-dimensional system with action-angle variables \( (I_j, \Phi_j) \) for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \) and a Hamiltonian of the form

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(nI_1 + ml_2, I_3, \ldots, I_N) \text{ with } \{I_i, \Phi_j\} = \delta_{ij},
\]

where \( n \) and \( m \) are integers. This system features a hidden symmetry, given by the additional constant of motion

\[
I_{\text{hidden}} = A \cos(m\Phi_1 - n\Phi_2 + \alpha),
\]

with \( A(I) \) and \( \alpha(I) \) being arbitrary functions of the action variables. By iteration, the Hamiltonian of a maximally superintegrable system should depend only on one integer linear combination of the action variables,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(n_1I_1 + m_2I_2 + \ldots n_NI_N),
\]

where \( n_1, \ldots, n_N \) are integers. In that case the \( \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \) functions

\[
I_{ij} = A_{ij}(I) \cos(n_j\Phi_i - n_i\Phi_j + \alpha_{ij}(I))
\]

all are constants of motion functionally independent from the Liouville integrals \( I_1, \ldots, I_N \). From this set we can choose \( N-1 \) functionally independent ones, say \( I_{i,i+1} \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, N-1 \). Hence, such a system possesses \( 2N-1 \) functionally independent constants of motion; i.e. it is maximally superintegrable.

In a recent paper [16] we have constructed integrable deformations of \( N \)-dimensional oscillator and Coulomb systems on Euclidean spaces, spheres and (two-sheeted) hyperboloids, by replacing their “angular part” (on \( S^{N-1} \)) by an arbitrary \( (N-1) \)-dimensional integrable system, formulated in terms of action-angle variables. On the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^N \), these deformations are defined by

\[
\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_r^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{I}(I_i)}{r^2} + V(r) \text{ with } \{p_r, r\} = 1,
\]

where the radial potential \( V(r) \) could be either

\[
V_{\text{osc}}(r) = \frac{\alpha r^2}{2} \text{ or } V_{\text{Coul}}(r) = -\frac{\gamma}{r},
\]

and \( \mathcal{I}(I_i) \) is the Hamiltonian of some compact \( (N-1) \)-dimensional integrable system formulated in action-angle variables \( (I_i, \Phi_i) \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, N-1 \).

The analogous systems on the \( N \)-sphere \( S^N \) and the (two-sheet) hyperboloid \( H^N \) of size \( r_0 \) are

\[
S^N: \mathcal{H} = \frac{p_r^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{I}(I_i)}{r_0^2 \sin^2 \chi} + V(\tan \chi), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{Higgs}} = \frac{r_0^2 \alpha^2}{2} \tan^2 \chi, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{Schr}} = -\frac{\gamma}{r_0} \cot \chi,
\]

\[
H^N: \mathcal{H} = \frac{p_r^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{I}(I_i)}{r_0^2 \sinh^2 \chi} + V(\tanh \chi), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{Higgs}} = \frac{r_0^2 \alpha^2}{2} \tanh^2 \chi, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{Schr}} = -\frac{\gamma}{r_0} \coth \chi,
\]

with \( \{p_r, \chi\} = 1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}(I_i) \) depending on the respective action variables. The oscillator and Coulomb potentials \( V_{\text{Higgs}} \) and \( V_{\text{Schr}} \) on spheres and hyperboloids have been proposed by Higgs [21] and by Schrödinger [22], respectively. In other words, we obtain integrable deformations of the \( N \)-dimensional oscillator and Coulomb systems by replacing the quadratic \( SO(N) \) Casimir invariant with the Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{I} \) of some \( (N-1) \)-dimensional compact integrable system.

It was shown [16] that the Hamiltonians of the oscillator-like systems are given by

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}}(2I_r + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\omega(2I_r + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})}{2} & \text{for } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\frac{1}{2}(2I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I} + \omega)^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{2} & \text{for } S^N, \\
\frac{1}{2}(2I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I} - \omega)^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2} & \text{for } H^N.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Similarly, the Hamiltonians of the Coulumb-like systems read

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Coul}}(I_r + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{1}{2}r^2(I_r + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})^2 & \text{for } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
-\frac{1}{2}r^2(I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})^2 & \text{for } S^N, \\
-\frac{1}{2}r^2(I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})^2 - \frac{1}{2}(I_\chi + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{I})^2 & \text{for } H^N.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Thus, if the angular Hamiltonian has the form

\[
\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_i k_i I_i + \text{const} \right)^2 \text{ with } k_i \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

then the respective deformations of the oscillator and Coulomb systems are maximally superintegrable. The deformed systems will have the same configuration space as initial one if \( \mathcal{I} \) is a system on the \( (N-1) \)-sphere.
The key observation in this paper is that any compact system with Hamiltonian (11) is precisely the angular part of a rational Calogero model, which has been suggested and studied in Refs. [14,15,18].

III. CALOGERO–COULOMB AND CALOGERO–OSCILLATOR MODELS

The quantum Hamiltonian of the generalized rational Calogero model associated with a Coxeter root system \( \{ \alpha \} = \{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_N) \} = R \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) reads [2]

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{Cal}} = \sum_{i} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha (g_\alpha - \hbar)(\alpha \cdot \alpha) \frac{1}{2(\alpha \cdot x)^2}
\]

where \( [\hat{p}_i, x_j] = -i\hbar \delta_{ij} \), (13)

\( R_+ \) is the subset of positive roots, and \( g_\alpha \geq 0 \) is a multiplicity function on \( R \) invariant under the Weyl reflection group \( W \) [23]. We indicate quantum objects by “hatting” them. The Hamiltonian \( \hat{I} \) of the angular part of \( \hat{H}_{\text{Cal}} \) is defined by rewriting the latter in spherical variables,

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{Cal}} = \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2} + \frac{\hat{I}}{r^2} - \frac{\hbar^2 (N - 1)(N - 3)}{2r^2} \quad \text{with} \quad r^2 = \sum_i x_i^2
\]

and \( \hat{p}_r = -i\hbar \partial_r \). (14)

The basic classical properties of \( \hat{I} \) were considered in Refs. [14–16], and its quantum features were investigated in Ref. [18]. There, the energy spectrum was found to be

\[
E_{k_2, k_3, k_4, \ldots} = \frac{1}{2} \left( q + \hbar (N - 2) \right) \quad \text{with} \quad q = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha + \hbar \sum_{i=2}^N d_i k_i, \quad \text{and} \quad k_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(15)

Here, \( d_1 = 2, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_N \) are the degrees of the basic homogeneous \( W \)-invariant polynomials \( \sigma_1 = r^2, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N \). Note that the quantum number \( k_i \) from the contribution of \( \sigma_1 \) is absent in the energy formula.

Since in the classical limit \( \hbar \to 0 \) the quantum numbers \( \hbar k_i \) reduce to the action variables \( I_\alpha \), the above relation yields the classical Hamiltonian in terms of action variables:

\[
I = \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \frac{1}{2} q^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=2}^N d_i I_i + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha \right)^2.
\]

In particular, the angular part of rational \( A_{N-1} \) Calogero model is given by

\[
I = \frac{1}{2} \left( N(N - 1) g + I_1 + 3I_3 + \cdots + NI_N \right)^2.
\]

(17)

Note that, compared to (16), the index \( i \) has been shifted up by 1, and there is an additional contribution of \( I_1 \) from the center of mass which adds an extra \( A_1 \) to the \( A_{N-1} \) root system. We see that the angular part of the generalized rational Calogero model belongs to the class (11); i.e. it is suitable for the construction of superintegrable deformations of oscillator and Coulomb systems.

Substituting (17) into (5)–(8), we obtain classical maximally superintegrable deformations of (Euclidean, spherical and pseudospherical) oscillator and Coulomb systems. In Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^N \), these systems are then characterized by the Hamiltonians

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{osc}} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha^2 \frac{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)}{2(\alpha \cdot x)^2} + \frac{\gamma x^2}{2} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha^2 \frac{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)}{2(\alpha \cdot x)^2} - \frac{\gamma}{x},
\]

(18)

where \( p \equiv (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N) \) and \( x \equiv (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \). The maximal superintegrability is well known for the oscillator case, due to the knowledge of oscillating quantities with integer frequencies in the harmonic potential [2,6]. The Calogero–Coulomb system seems much less known [11,13], 1 and its superintegrability has not been discussed in the literature yet. Here we have established that the generalized rational Calogero–Coulomb model for any Coxeter root system is maximally superintegrable.

The story extends from Euclidean space to spaces of constant curvature, i.e. to the \( N \)-dimensional sphere and pseudosphere, which we present in terms of Euclidean coordinates on the ambient space \( \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \equiv (x_0, x) \),

\[
\mathcal{H}^{(p) s}_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{(x \cdot p)^2}{2r_0^2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha^2 \frac{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)}{2(\alpha \cdot x)^2} - \frac{\gamma x_0}{r_0 x} \quad \text{with} \quad x_0^2 \pm x^2 = r_0^2,
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}^{(p) s}_{\text{osc}} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{(x \cdot p)^2}{2r_0^2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} g_\alpha^2 \frac{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)}{2(\alpha \cdot x)^2} + \frac{\alpha_0^2 r_0^2 x^2}{2 x_0^2} \quad \text{with} \quad x_0^2 \pm x^2 = r_0^2.
\]

(19)

1 In these papers only the rational \( A_{N-1} \) Calogero model was considered. However, the generalization to arbitrary Coxeter root systems is straightforward.
In these expressions, the upper sign corresponds to the sphere and lower sign to the hyperboloid.

As in the Euclidean case, these systems are superintegrable. Their potentials may be viewed as a higher-dimensional generalizations of superintegrable deformations of two-dimensional oscillator and Coulomb potentials which are known as Tremblay–Turbiner–Winternitz [24] and Post–Winternitz [25] systems, respectively. Generalizations of the latter to spheres and pseudospheres have been proposed in Ref. [16]. We note that the two-dimensional Post–Winternitz system possesses just three constants of motion, which are known as Tremblay–Levi-Civitá transformation, the same separability as given by (15). For the systems on spheres and hyperboloids, the role of the radius \( r \) is played by the angle \( \arccos(x_{N+1}/r_0) \). The expressions for these radial wave functions are well known [27]. Analogously, the energy spectra of these systems are found by replacing \( \ell \to q \) in the spectra of the oscillator and Coulomb systems (here we put \( \hbar = 1 \)),

\[
\Psi_{n,q}(x_i) = \psi_{n,q}(x_i/r) R_{n,q}(r),
\]

where \( R_{n,q}(r) \) are the radial wave functions of the corresponding oscillator and Coulomb problems with orbital quantum number \( \ell \) having been replaced with \( q \) as given by (15). For the systems on spheres and hyperboloids, the spectra of these systems are found by replacing \( \ell \to q \) in the spectra of the oscillator and Coulomb systems (here we put \( \hbar = 1 \)).

\[
E_{\text{osc}} = \left( \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4} r_0^{-4} - \frac{1}{2} r_0^{-2}} \right) \left( 2n_r + q + \frac{N}{2} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} r_0^{-2} \left( 2n_r + q + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 2n_r + q + N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

\[
E_{\text{Coul}} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 (n_r + q + \frac{N-1}{2})^{-2} \pm \frac{1}{2} r_0^{-2} (n_r + q)(n_r + q - n - 1),
\]

where, as before, the upper sign corresponds to the sphere and the lower one to the two-sheet hyperboloid. In the latter case, requiring positivity restricts the principal quantum numbers. In the situation where \( q \) is an integer multiple of \( \hbar \), the two spectra agree with the undeformed ones, i.e. with the \( SO(N) \) quadratic Casimir instead of the angular Calogero Hamiltonian, but the degeneracies are different. For the Calogero-oscillator system, the energy level is determined by the principal number \( n = 2n_r + q \), while for the Calogero–Coulomb system, it is given by \( n = n_r + q \), where \( q \) is defined in (15). Thus, the degeneracy of the \( n \)th level depends on the corresponding Coxeter root system.

**V. MATRIX MODEL REDUCTION**

The superintegrability of the Calogero–Coulomb model can easily be understood in terms of a matrix model. For simplicity, we consider here the root system of \( u(N) = u(1) \oplus su(N) \), which leads to the standard rational Calogero model [1], although the results can be extended to any root system.

\[
M = X \otimes P - P \otimes X = \sum_a M_{ab} T_a \otimes T_b \quad \text{with} \quad M_{ab} = X_a P_b - X_b P_a,
\]
The angular momentum matrix,\[ H = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}, \tag{25} \]
so that the components of the Runge–Lenz vector acquire the standard form
\[ A_{\alpha} = \sum_{b} M_{ab} P_{b} - \frac{\gamma}{r} X_{a} = \left( \sum_{b} P_{b}^2 - \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) X_{a} - \left( \sum_{b} X_{b} P_{b} \right) P_{a}. \tag{26} \]
The matrix Hamiltonian (22) is preserved under the adjoint $SU(N)$ action. For $\gamma = 0$, the corresponding reduction gives rise to the Calogero Hamiltonian\[2,4,19\]. The coordinate and momentum matrices are reduced, respectively, to
\[ X \rightarrow (X_{ij}) = (x_{i} \delta_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad P \rightarrow (P_{ij}) = (p_{i} \delta_{ij} + ig \frac{1 - \delta_{ij}}{x_{i} - x_{j}}). \tag{27} \]

For nonvanishing $\gamma$, this reduction procedure leads to the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{Coul}}$ of the Calogero model with a Coulomb potential (18), since the potential term in the matrix Hamiltonian (22) is also invariant with respect to the adjoint action.

From the integrals of motion (24) and (25) of the matrix model, only the $SU(N)$-invariant ones survive the reduction procedure. Such invariants can be constructed using potential (18), since the potential term in the matrix correspond to the invariants of the angular Hamiltonian defined in (5). Among them are the traces of even powers of the angular momentum matrix,\[ \mathcal{M}_{n} = (\text{tr} \otimes \text{tr}) \mathbf{M}^{2n}. \tag{28} \]
The aforementioned graphical picture may be extended by including the Runge–Lenz vector (25). In particular, the quantities
\[ A_{n} = \text{tr} A^{n} \tag{29} \]
are $SU(N)$ invariants and, hence, yield integrals of motion in the reduced model. The matrix (25) reduces to the explicit form
\[ A \rightarrow \left( 2 \hat{H}_{\text{Coul}} + \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) X - rp, P, \tag{30} \]
where $X$ and $P$ are now defined by (27), and
\[ \hat{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^2}{2} + \sum_{i<j} \frac{g}{(x_{i} - x_{j})^{2}} - \frac{\gamma}{r}. \tag{31} \]
The first integral from the series (29) is
\[ A_{1} = \left( 2 \hat{H}_{\text{Coul}} + \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) \sum_{i<j} x_{i} - rp, \sum_{i} p_{i}. \tag{32} \]
The quantum system can be treated using the Dunkl operators\[28\]. We employ their gauged $SU(N)$ version,
\[ \nabla_{i} = \partial_{i} - \sum_{k(\neq i)} \frac{g}{x_{i} - x_{k}} s_{ik}, \tag{33} \]
where $s_{ik}$ permutes the $i$th and $k$th particle labels. Like ordinary derivatives, the operators $\nabla_{i}$ mutually commute and obey nontrivial commutation relations with the coordinates $x_{i}$,
\[ [\nabla_{i}, x_{j}] = \begin{cases} -gs_{ij} & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ 1 + g \sum_{k(\neq i)} s_{ik} & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases} \tag{34} \]

The Dunkl operators serve as a tool for the construction and study of the Calogero model and its various extensions\[4,20\]. In particular, is is easy to see that the restriction of the Hamiltonian
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} |_{\text{sym}} = \hat{H}_{\text{Cal}} \tag{35} \]
on totally symmetric wave functions produces the Calogero model. To construct the integrals of motion for
\[ \hat{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \hat{H}_{\text{Cal}} - \frac{\gamma}{r}, \tag{36} \]
we consider the Dunkl angular momentum operators investigated in detail in Ref.\[29\]:
\[ \hat{M}_{ij} = x_{i} \nabla_{j} - x_{j} \nabla_{i}, \tag{37} \]
Such operators commute with $\hat{H}_{\text{Coul}}$ and its angular part $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$\[29\]. Therefore, they commute with $\hat{H}_{\text{Coul}}$ (36), too, since they depend on the angular coordinates only. Because we restrict to totally symmetric wave functions, we have to symmetrize (37) in order to get the true integrals:
\[ \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n} = \sum_{i<j} (\hat{M}_{ij})^{2n} |_{\text{sym}} \tag{38} \]
are the quantum analogs of the integrals (28). Note that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{2}$ is proportional to $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ up to a constant.

In complete analogy with (37), one can define the quantum Runge–Lenz operator expressed via Dunkl ones as
\[ \hat{A}_i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \{ \dot{M}_{ik}, \nabla_k \} - \frac{\gamma x_i}{r}. \]  

(39)

The anticommutator in this expression guarantees its Hermiticity. However, such operators do not commute with the Hamiltonian (36). Nevertheless, their sum

\[ \hat{A}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \{ \dot{M}_{ik}, \nabla_k \} - \frac{\gamma}{r} \sum_i x_i \]

\[ = \left( \sum_i x_i \right) \left( 2\hat{H}_{\text{Coul}} + \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) + \left( r\partial_r + \frac{N-1}{2} \right) \sum_i \partial_i \]

(40)

is a constant of motion of the system, as can be verified by direct calculation.\(^2\) The final expression in (40) is a quantum version of the classical expression (32). The quantities \(\hat{H}_{\text{Coul}}\) and \(\hat{A}_1\) extend the \(2N-3\) conserved quantities of the angular subsystem \(\hat{I}\) to the full number \(2N-1\) of conserved charges required for superintegrability.

\(^2\)For \(\gamma = 0\) it is nothing but the first Wojciechowski integral named \(F_1\) in Ref. [26].

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we announce the maximal superintegrability of the generalized rational Calogero model (based on any Coxeter root system) perturbed by a Coulomb potential, on Euclidean \(\mathbb{R}^N\) as well as on a sphere \(S^N\) or pseudosphere \(H^N\). The same feature holds true for a harmonic oscillator potential in place of the Coulomb one. In fact, we demonstrated that the Calogero–Coulomb and Calogero-oscillator systems at integer values of the coupling are the only isospectral deformations of the standard oscillator and Coulomb systems. It should be noted that, except for the Euclidean \(A_{N-1}\) Calogero-oscillator system, these models cannot be naturally interpreted as \(N\)-particle systems because of nonstandard multiparticle interactions.
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