Derivation of 12- and 14-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonians for dilute bismide and bismide-nitride semiconductors
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Abstract
Using an $sp^3s^*$ tight-binding (TB) model we demonstrate how the observed strong bowing of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting with increasing Bi composition in the dilute bismide alloy GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ can be described in terms of a band-anticrossing interaction between the extended states of the GaAs valence band edge (VBE) and highly localized Bi-related resonant states lying below the GaAs VBE. We derive a 12-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian to describe the band structure of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ and show that this model is in excellent agreement with full TB calculations of the band structure in the vicinity of the band edges, as well as with experimental measurements of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting across a large composition range. Based on a TB model of GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ we show that to a good approximation N and Bi act independently of one another in disordered GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ alloys, indicating that a simple description of the band structure is possible. We present a 14-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian for ordered GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ crystals which reproduces accurately the essential features of full TB calculations of the band structure in the vicinity of the band edges. The $k \cdot p$ models we present here are therefore ideally suited to the simulation of the optoelectronic properties of these novel III–V semiconductor alloys.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction
Highly mismatched semiconductor alloys such as GaN$_y$As$_{1-y}$ and GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ have attracted considerable interest in recent years, both from a fundamental perspective and also because of their potential device applications [1, 2]. When a small fraction of As is replaced by nitrogen (N) in GaAs, the band gap ($E_g$) initially decreases rapidly, by $\sim 150$ meV when 1% of As is replaced by N [3].

Similar behaviour has been experimentally observed in GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$, where $E_g$ decreases initially by $\sim 90$ meV per % of bismuth (Bi) replacing As [4, 5]. Additionally, recent experiments [6, 7] have also revealed the presence of a large bowing of the spin-orbit-splitting (SO) energy ($\Delta_{SO}$), which increases strongly with increasing Bi composition.

It was shown recently using photo-modulated reflectance (PR) spectroscopy that increasing the Bi composition in GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ leads to the onset of an $E_g < \Delta_{SO}$ regime in the alloy [6, 8]. This regime is of interest for the design of highly efficient and thermally stable optoelectronic devices since it opens up the possibility of suppression of the dominant non-radiative Auger recombination pathway, the presence of which dominates the threshold current and degrades temperature stability of III–V lasers operating at telecommunication wavelengths [9, 10]. Investigation of dilute bismide and bismide-nitride alloys of GaAs is therefore highly promising for potential device applications.

The extreme band gap bowing observed in (In)GaN$_y$As$_{1-y}$ has been well explained in terms of a band-anticrossing (BAC) interaction between two levels, one at energy $E_{CB}$ associated with the extended conduction band edge (CBE) states of the host (In)GaAs matrix, and the second at energy $E_N$ associated with the highly localized N-related resonant impurity states in the alloy. In this simple model, the CBE energy of the
N-containing alloy is given by the lower eigenvalue, $E^{CB}$, of the 2-band Hamiltonian [3]:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
E_N & V_{NC} \\
V_{NC} & E^{CB}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(1)

where $V_{NC}$ is the N composition dependent matrix element describing the interaction between $E^{CB}$ and $E_N$, usually taken to vary with N composition, $y$, as $V_{NC} = \beta_N \sqrt{y}$.

Bismuth, being the heaviest stable group V element, is significantly larger and more electropositive than As. It should therefore be expected that any Bi-related impurity levels should either lie below or close in energy to the valence band edge (VBE) and that, if an anticrossing interaction occurs, it will occur between the Bi-related impurity levels and the VBE of the host GaAs matrix.

Recently the presence of such an interaction has been proposed [4, 11, 12], but there has been controversy as to whether or not a BAC-like model can be applied in the case of the dilute bismides. This controversy is due in part to the absence in PR spectra of Bi-related features in the case of the dilute bismides. This controversy is due in part to the presence of a BAC interaction between the GaAs VBE and $E_g$, which is computationally expensive even in the continuum case. The validity of the continuum approach to calculating quantum well band structure has been thoroughly verified with $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$-based many-body calculations [16] having been employed to accurately describe the gain characteristics of, amongst others, GaInP [17], InGaAsP [18] and dilute nitride GaInNAs [19] quantum well lasers. These factors strongly motivate the development of simple and accurate models of the GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ band structure, which can then be applied to the study of the electronic and optical properties of dilute bismide materials and devices.

In this work, we apply the TB model to derive a $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Hamiltonian suitable to describe the band dispersion of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$. Based on ordered supercell calculations using the TB model, we derive a 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model for GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ which includes BAC interactions between the GaAs VBE and Bi impurity states which are resonant with the GaAs valence band. The model is shown to be in excellent agreement with full TB calculations on ordered and large, disordered supercells, as well as with experimental measurements of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting across the full composition range considered ($x = 0–12\%$) and, combined with a detailed TB-based analysis, demonstrates emphatically the applicability of the BAC model to GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$.

The dilute bismide-nitride alloy GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ has also been identified as being very promising for the design of highly efficient optoelectronic devices, since it opens up several avenues by which the band structure can be engineered [20, 21]. In particular, GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ is predicted to have a giant band gap bowing, allowing for emission at 1.3 and 1.55 μm on a GaAs substrate, as well as retaining the large spin-orbit-splitting bowing that is characteristic of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$, thereby offering the possibility of suppressing the non-radiative CHSH Auger recombination process at longer wavelengths [21]. Also, incorporation of Bi and N in GaAs introduces compressive and tensile strain respectively when grown on a GaAs substrate, so that a high degree of strain engineering should be possible in GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ when grown on GaAs, providing further opportunities for the manipulation of the electronic properties [22].

Based on our TB model for GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ we show that the effects of Bi and N on the electronic structure of this highly mismatched material system. From a theoretical standpoint, this milestone mandates the development of models suited to the description of the optoelectronic characteristics of dilute bismide-based quantum well lasers. While our atomistic TB model has revealed in detail the effects of Bi on the electronic structure of GaAs, this comes at significant computational cost. Atomistic theoretical models have been applied with success to analyse quantum dot heterostructures, but due to the additional degrees of freedom present in a quantum well (where the Brillouin zone is two-dimensional, as opposed to the zero-dimensional quantum dot case) continuum models of the band structure are favoured for the description of the optoelectronic properties. The reason for this is that the additional degrees of freedom, specifically, the carrier wave vectors, must be integrated out in order to calculate key physical quantities such as the material gain, which is computationally expensive even in the continuum case. The validity of the continuum approach to calculating quantum well band structure has been thoroughly verified with $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$-based many-body calculations [16] having been employed to accurately describe the gain characteristics of, amongst others, GaInP [17], InGaAsP [18] and dilute nitride GaInNAs [19] quantum well lasers. These factors strongly motivate the development of simple and accurate models of the GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ band structure, which can then be applied to the study of the electronic and optical properties of dilute bismide materials and devices.

In this work, we apply the TB model to derive a $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Hamiltonian suitable to describe the band dispersion of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$. Based on ordered supercell calculations using the TB model, we derive a 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model for GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ which includes BAC interactions between the GaAs VBE and Bi impurity states which are resonant with the GaAs valence band. The model is shown to be in excellent agreement with full TB calculations on ordered and large, disordered supercells, as well as with experimental measurements of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting across the full composition range considered ($x = 0–12\%$) and, combined with a detailed TB-based analysis, demonstrates emphatically the applicability of the BAC model to GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$.

The dilute bismide-nitride alloy GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ has also been identified as being very promising for the design of highly efficient optoelectronic devices, since it opens up several avenues by which the band structure can be engineered [20, 21]. In particular, GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ is predicted to have a giant band gap bowing, allowing for emission at 1.3 and 1.55 μm on a GaAs substrate, as well as retaining the large spin-orbit-splitting bowing that is characteristic of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$, thereby offering the possibility of suppressing the non-radiative CHSH Auger recombination process at longer wavelengths [21]. Also, incorporation of Bi and N in GaAs introduces compressive and tensile strain respectively when grown on a GaAs substrate, so that a high degree of strain engineering should be possible in GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ when grown on GaAs, providing further opportunities for the manipulation of the electronic properties [22].

Based on our TB model for GaBi$_x$N$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ we show that the effects of Bi and N on the electronic structure...
of GaAs are largely independent of one another, both in ordered and disordered crystals [23, 24]. We can therefore derive a 14-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model for GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-x}\), which accurately describes the near zone centre band dispersion of ordered GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) crystals. Finally we conclude our discussion of the GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) band structure by presenting calculations of \( E_k \) and \( \Delta \) as a function of Bi (0 \( \leq \) \( x \leq 12\% \)) and N (0 \( \leq \) \( y \leq 7\% \)) composition. Our calculations show that a large wavelength range is accessible under low strain on a GaAs substrate and highlight the wide parameter space where the CHSH Auger-suppressing band structure condition \( E_k < \Delta \) is fulfilled.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we demonstrate the presence of a BAC interaction in the valence band of GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) by using the TB model to construct and explore the character of the resonant state associated with an isolated Bi impurity in a series of ordered GaM\(_x\)Bi\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) supercells. In section 3 we derive a 12-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) Hamiltonian for GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) from the TB calculations and compare the resulting model to the results of full TB calculations for ordered and disordered GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) supercells. We present in section 4 a 14-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model for GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-x}\). We first compare the results of the model to full TB supercell calculations, and then discuss some of the possibilities for band structure engineering offered by this novel quaternary alloy. Finally, in section 5 we summarize and conclude.

2. Tight-binding analysis of band-anticrossing in GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\)

We showed in [12] that the spectrum of fractional \( \Gamma \) character, \( f_\Gamma \), for the states in a supercell is a useful tool for analysing the evolution of the electronic structure of dilute bismide alloys, where \( f_\Gamma \) for a given state refers to the fraction of the state which can be projected onto the host matrix material band edge (\( \Gamma \)-point) states. By calculating this spectrum, \( G_\Gamma (E) \), for a range of ordered GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) supercells, we showed that an isolated, substitutional Bi atom acts as an impurity in GaP, giving rise to a highly localized four-fold degenerate impurity level lying approximately 0.1 eV above the GaP VBE, in good agreement with experiment [25].

\[
G_\Gamma (E) = \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^{g(E_k)} |\langle \psi_{E_i} | \psi_{E_k} \rangle|^2 T(E - E_k) \tag{2}
\]

where \( g(E_k) \) is the degeneracy of the host band having energy \( E_k \) at \( \Gamma \) (so that \( g(E_k) = 2, 4 \) and 2 for the conduction, highest valence and SO bands, respectively) and we choose \( T(E - E_k) \) so that \( G_\Gamma (E_k) \) has a value of unity for a doubly degenerate host matrix \( \Gamma \) state at energy \( E_k \).

By considering the distribution of \( G_\Gamma (E) \) for the GaP VBE (i.e. light-hole (LH) + heavy-hole (HH) band edges), in a series of ordered, cubic GaM\(_x\)Bi\(_{1-x}\)P\(_{M-1}\) supercells we showed that this impurity level interacts with the GaP VBE via an anticrossing interaction which we found to vary with Bi composition as \( V_{Bi} = \beta_{Bi} \sqrt{\xi} \).

A similar BAC interaction can be demonstrated in GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\), as we describe here by considering the \( G_\Gamma (E) \) spectra of the GaAs VBE and the impurity state associated with a substitutional Bi atom in a series of ordered, cubic GaM\(_x\)Bi\(_{1-x}\)A\(_{8-M-1}\) supercells containing \( 2M = 8N^3 \) atoms, for \( 2 \leq N \leq 8 \). We proceed by inserting a single substitutional Bi impurity in a series of cubic 2M-atom GaM\(_x\)As\(_{8-M}\) supercells and calculate the \( G_\Gamma (E) \) spectrum in each case by projecting the wave functions of the four-fold degenerate GaM\(_x\)As\(_{8-M}\) VBE onto the full spectrum of the Bi-containing alloy [12].

We can see from figure 1(a) that the GaAs VBE character in each supercell resides predominantly (\( \geq 90\% \)) on the alloy VB maximum, with the remainder distributed over a series of lower-lying valence levels. This is in agreement with the pseudopotential calculations of Zhang et al [14], namely that the GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) VBE is primarily derived from that of GaAs, with Bi forming a resonant impurity state lying below the GaAs VBE in energy.

In the BAC model, the GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) VBE is an admixture of the GaAs VBE and the impurity state associated with a Bi impurity. Writing the alloy VBE as a linear combination in this manner we obtain an expression for the four Bi-related states which mix with the GaAs VBE:

\[
|\psi_{Bi,i}⟩ = \frac{|\psi_{Bi,i}⟩ − |\psi_{Bi,0,0}⟩ − \sum_{n=1}^{4} |\psi_{Bi,n}⟩|\psi_{Bi,n}⟩}{\sqrt{1 − \sum_{n=1}^{4} |⟨\psi_{Bi,n}⟩|\psi_{Bi,n}⟩|^2}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4 \tag{3}
\]

where \( |\psi_{Bi,0,0}⟩ \) and \( |\psi_{Bi,n}⟩ \) denote the VBE wave functions of the host and Bi-containing supercells, respectively, and the sums run over the four-fold degenerate states of the host matrix VBE. Using the full TB Hamiltonian for the Bi-containing supercell \( \vec{H} \) we can calculate the energy of the Bi-related impurity states and the strength of their interaction with the host matrix VBE as

\[
E_{Bi,i} = ⟨\psi_{Bi,i} | \vec{H} | ψ_{Bi,i}⟩ \tag{4}
\]

\[
V_{Bi,i} = ⟨\psi_{Bi,i} | \vec{H} | ψ_{Bi,0,0}⟩ \tag{5}
\]

where

\[
|\psi_{Bi,0,0}⟩ = \sum_{n=1}^{4} |\psi_{Bi,n}⟩|\psi_{Bi,n}⟩|\psi_{Bi,n}⟩ \tag{6}
\]

is the host matrix VBE state with which \( |\psi_{Bi,i}⟩ \) interacts.

By constructing the Bi-related impurity states in GaM\(_x\)Bi\(_{1-x}\) using equation (3) we have previously shown in [12] that (i) they interact with the GaM\(_x\)As\(_{8-M-1}\) VBE via an anticrossing interaction \( V_{Bi} = \beta_{Bi} \sqrt{\xi} \), and (ii) that this interaction explains the strong bowing of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting present in GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\). Using equation (3) we determine that the state which mixes with each of the
GaAs VBE states is a localized, four-fold degenerate Bi resonant impurity state similar in character to that found in GaBi$_x$P$_{1-x}$ [12]. We also find that with decreasing supercell size (increasing Bi composition) the strength of the interaction between the resonant state and the GaAs VBE increases, pushing the alloy VBE, $E_{\mathrm{VBE}}$, upwards in energy and mixing more of the GaAs VBE states with the Bi-related impurity states.

This can clearly be seen in figure 1(a), which plots the calculated $G_{\Gamma}(E)$ spectra obtained by projecting the GaAs$_M$As$_M$ VBE wave functions onto the spectrum of a series of ordered Ga$_x$Bi$_{1-x}$As$_M$ supercells. In each supercell we see that the $\Gamma$ character associated with the GaAs VBE is distributed over several supercell valence levels, with the broadening of the calculated $G_{\Gamma}(E)$ increasing with supercell size. Note that we use a log scale on the y-axis in figures 1(a) and (b) in order to make clear the small $\Gamma$ (or Bi) character associated with some of the lower energy valence states.

Figure 1(b) shows the calculated $G_B(E)$ spectra for the same set of Ga$_x$Bi$_{1-x}$As$_M$ supercells as in figure 1(a), calculated by projecting the Bi impurity states, $|\psi_{\text{Bi},i}\rangle$, of equation (3) onto the full spectrum of the Bi-containing supercell. We see that the Bi-related states are also spread over several supercell valence levels, reflecting that these localized states are resonant with the GaAs valence states [12, 14]. We attribute this broadening of the Bi impurity states to the large density of supercell zone centre host valence states with which the $|\psi_{\text{Bi}}\rangle$ states are resonant, with the number of such states increasing with supercell size as more bands fold back to $\Gamma$.

Comparing figures 1(a) and (b) we see that the GaAs VBE states and the Bi-related impurity states intermix in the Bi-containing supercells, so that the alloy VBE is comprised of an admixture of the host VBE and the Bi resonant states, consistent with a BAC interaction between them.

In GaN$_y$As$_{1-y}$, the presence of an upper N-related BAC feature has been observed for $y \lesssim 3\%$, beyond which composition it broadens and weakens [26] as the resonance becomes degenerate with the large density of conduction band states in the L-valley [27]. The observed distribution of $G_{\Gamma}(E)$ across several valence states in GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ is then analogous to the GaN$_y$As$_{1-y}$ case for $y \gtrsim 3\%$. We therefore attribute the failure to observe a feature associated with the lower energy Bi-related BAC levels, $E_v$, in PR spectra of GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ at any composition to the broadening and delocalization of the Bi impurity states by the large density of host valence states with which they are resonant.

By examining the character of the impurity state of equation (3) associated with an isolated Bi impurity in increasingly large supercells, we approach the dilute doping limit [12, 28]. Table 1 shows the calculated values of the energy of the four-fold degenerate Bi-related resonant states $E_{\text{Bi}}$ and the BAC coupling parameter $\rho_{\text{Bi}}$ in 4096-atom GaAs and GaP supercells containing a single substitutional Bi atom. Based on the trends observed in the TB calculations, we conclude that Bi forms a resonant impurity level approximately 180 meV below the GaAs VBE in the dilute doping limit.

In summary, our TB calculations have shown that the VBE in ordered crystals of GaBi$_x$P$_{1-x}$ and GaBi$_x$As$_{1-x}$ is...
Table 1. Calculated values of the energy of the Bi impurity state $E_{\text{Bi}}$ and BAC coupling parameter $\beta_{\text{Bi}}$ for Bi incorporation in GaAs and GaP in the dilute doping limit. $E_{\text{Bi}}$ is given relative to the VBE of the host binary in each case.

|            | GaAs | GaP |
|------------|------|-----|
| $E_{\text{Bi}}$ (eV) | -0.183 | 0.122 |
| $\beta_{\text{Bi}}$ (eV) | 1.13 | 1.41 |

Table 2. Calculated virtual crystal contributions to the variation of the band edge energies with Bi and N composition in ordered Ga$_{M}$Bi$_{1}$As$_{M-1}$ and Ga$_{M}$N$_{1}$As$_{M-1}$ supercells.

|            | GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$ | GaN$_{1}$As$_{1-y}$ |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| $\alpha$ (eV) | 2.82             | -1.51 |
| $\kappa$ (eV) | 1.01             | 1.36 |
| $\gamma$ (eV) | 0.55             | -1.53 |

well described in terms of a BAC interaction between the host matrix VBE and resonant impurity states associated with substitutional Bi atoms. Additionally, our calculations indicate that the conduction and spin-split-off band edges vary linearly in energy with increasing Bi composition and hence can be understood in terms of conventional alloying effects, without the need to include the SO-related BAC interaction that was assumed by Alberi et al [4] in the first BAC model for GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$.

Having established the general form of the Bi-related interactions, we turn next to show that a 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model, including the four Bi-related impurity levels, can be used to obtain an accurate description of the dispersion of the lowest conduction and highest valence bands in GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$, in excellent agreement with the results of TB supercell calculations.

3. 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model for dilute bismide alloys

3.1. Derivation of the 12-band model for ordered supercells

We start in this section by considering the GaAs host matrix TB band structure, which we use to parametrize the corresponding 8-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Hamiltonian to give an accurate description of the host matrix band dispersion close to the energy gap [29]. Then, guided by TB calculations on ordered Ga$_{M}$Bi$_{1}$As$_{M-1}$ supercells we extend to a 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model by including BAC interactions, $\nu_{\text{Bi}}$, between the GaAs VBE and the four-fold degenerate Bi-related resonant impurity states at energy $E_{\text{Bi}}$.

We begin by diagonalizing the GaAs Hamiltonian at $\Gamma$, from which we obtain the 8-band basis states $|u_{1}\rangle, \ldots, |u_{8}\rangle$. We then use these states to construct an 8-band parameter set for GaAs directly from the full TB calculations. This gives an 8-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model that can reproduce the full TB band structure of a Ga$_{M}$As$_{M}$ supercell close to the energy gap at the zone centre.

The derived set of GaAs parameters represents a typical TB fit, with the calculated value of the Kane interband momentum matrix element $P = 11.1$ eV Å being close to 75% of its experimentally determined value [29, 30]. This value of $P$ leads to a value of 16.08 eV for the Kane parameter $E_{\text{p}}$, compared to a typical value of 28.8 eV [30]. The band gap $E_{\text{g}}$ ($=1.519$ eV) and spin-orbit-splitting energy $\Delta_{\text{SO}}$ ($= 0.352$ eV) are taken directly from the calculated eigenvalues of the TB Hamiltonian at $\Gamma$. The Luttinger parameters can be obtained by fitting directly to the TB LH/HH band dispersion along the $\Delta$-direction in the Brillouin zone ($\gamma_{1} = 5.22$, $\gamma_{2} = 1.42$), and the HH band dispersion along the $\Delta$-direction in the Brillouin zone ($\gamma_{3} = 2.01$). Finally, the conduction band effective mass $m_{c}^{*} (= 0.129)$ is obtained by fitting to the TB-calculated dispersion of the lowest conduction band.

We note that this parametrization of the 8-band model for GaAs, while non-standard, does not affect the validity of the conclusions to be drawn below. The model is used only to compare directly the TB- and $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$-calculated band dispersions, and enables us to demonstrate that, by beginning with an appropriately parametrized $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ model for GaAs, we can account for the effects of Bi incorporation not only on $E_{\beta}$ and $\Delta_{\text{SO}}$ but also on the band dispersion of GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$.

In particular, the parametrization we have obtained for the 8-band model of GaAs has no bearing on the results presented below for the variation of $E_{\beta}$ and $\Delta_{\text{SO}}$ with Bi and N composition in GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$ and GaBi$_{1}$N$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$, since all terms in the corresponding $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Hamiltonians containing the parameters $E_{\beta}$, $m_{c}^{*}$, $\gamma_{1}$, $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ vanish at the $\Gamma$-point in the Brillouin zone. In general, we recommend that the Bi-related parameters presented in tables 1 and 2 be combined with a standard set of GaAs $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ parameters, from e.g. [30], when using the 12-band Hamiltonian to model GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$-based heterostructures.

Using the basis states obtained from the TB calculations we evaluate the dependence of the band edge energies on Bi composition $x$ as $\langle u_{i}|\hat{H}(x)|u_{i}\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, 8$. We calculate that these virtual crystal contributions to the variation of the GaAs band edge energies with Bi composition $x$ are given by

$$E_{\text{CB}}(x) = E_{\text{CB}}(\text{GaAs}) - \alpha x$$

$$E_{\text{HH}}(x) = E_{\text{LH}}(x) = E_{\text{VB}}(\text{GaAs}) + \kappa x$$

$$E_{\text{SO}}(x) = E_{\text{SO}}(\text{GaAs}) - \gamma x$$

where the conduction and spin-split-off band edges vary linearly with Bi composition and are well described by equations (7)–(9). The calculated variations of the band edge energies in GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$ and GaBi$_{1}$N$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$ (obtained in the latter case by replacing $x$ with $y$ in equations (7)–(9)) are listed in table 2.

Based on the analysis of section 2 we then account for the effect of Bi incorporation on the GaAs VBE via a BAC interaction with coupling strength $\beta_{\text{Bi}}\sqrt{\tau}$, between the GaAs VBE and the four-fold degenerate Bi-related resonant impurity levels at energy $E_{\text{Bi}}$. We choose these states to have HH and LH symmetry at the $\Gamma$-point, which then interact solely with the GaAs HH and LH states, respectively. The inclusion of these four degenerate impurity states into the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ basis gives a 12-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Hamiltonian for GaBi$_{1}$As$_{1-s}$.
where \( V_{\text{Bi}} = \beta_{\text{Bi}} \sqrt{\xi} \). We work here within the framework of the 8-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model of [31] in which the definitions of the host matrix basis states \( |u_1\rangle, \ldots, |u_8\rangle \) and of the \( \mathbf{k} \)-dependent matrix elements \( R, S, T_3 \) and \( U \) can be found. The matrix elements \( E_{\text{CB}}, E_{\text{HH}}, E_{\text{LH}}, E_{\text{SO}} \) are modified from those found in [31] by the inclusion of the \( x \)-dependent virtual crystal terms of equations (7)–(9). The Bi impurity states are taken to be dispersionless and the Bi-related basis states are listed in the appendix. Since the Hamiltonian is a Hermitian matrix we neglect to show the below diagonal entries; they can be obtained from the above diagonals by Hermitian conjugation.

Using equations (3)–(6), we calculate the values of \( E_{\text{Bi}} \) and \( \beta_{\text{Bi}} \sqrt{\xi} \) in a series of \( \text{Ga}_{1-x} \text{Bi}_{x} \text{As}_{M-1} \) supercells. This, combined with an appropriate 8-band parameter set for GaAs as well as the Bi compositional dependence of the band edges given by equations (7)–(9) and table 2, fully parametrizes the 12-band Hamiltonian.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_{\text{CB}}(x) & -\sqrt{3}T_+ & \sqrt{2}U & -U & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -T_- & -\sqrt{2}T_- & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{HH}}(x) & \sqrt{2}S & \sqrt{2}U & -U & V_{\text{Bi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -R & -\sqrt{2}R & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{LH}}(x) & Q & R & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{SO}}(x) & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}T_+ & \sqrt{2}R & -\sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{CB}}(x) & -\sqrt{3}T_- & \sqrt{2}U & -U & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{HH}}(x) & \sqrt{2}S & \sqrt{2}U & -U & V_{\text{Bi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -R & -\sqrt{2}R & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{LH}}(x) & Q & R & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{\text{SO}}(x) & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}T_+ & \sqrt{2}R & -\sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(10)

3.2. Comparison of tight-binding and \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) band structures in ordered supercells

Figures 2(a) and (b) compare the results of calculations of the \( \text{Ga}_{32} \text{Bi}_{1} \text{As}_{31} \) \((x = 3.125\%)\) and \( \text{Ga}_{256} \text{Bi}_{1} \text{As}_{255} \) \((x = 0.391\%)\) band dispersions along the \( \Lambda \)- and \( \Delta \)-directions in the vicinity of the zone centre using equation (10) (solid lines) with those of full TB calculations (open circles). We see excellent agreement between the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) and TB bands in both cases, and in particular we see that the presence of the BAC interaction is verified by the presence of TB bands that correspond to the lower energy Bi-related \((E_\text{BAC})\) BAC bands of the 12-band calculation.

The calculations therefore confirm that the GaBi\(_{1-x}\) energy gaps and band dispersion can be very well described through the inclusion of a BAC interaction between the GaAs VBE states and Bi-related impurity states below the band maximum.
We note however that the apparent direct correspondence between the Bi-impurity bands from the 12-band model and a specific set of TB bands in the 64-atom GaAs supercell occurs because the highest energy valence band folded back to the Γ-point in the 64-atom TB calculation lies approximately 1.4 eV below the VBE. There is a low density of supercell valence states with which the Bi impurity state is resonant. The Bi resonant states therefore remain unbroadened, contrary to the case in larger supercells containing a larger density of valence states (due to increased band folding as the size of the supercell Brillouin zone decreases). The BAC interaction is therefore clearly evident both for the upper and lower valence bands in figure 2(a).

In [12] and [28] we demonstrated that the density of host valence states plays a key role in determining \(|\psi_{\text{Bi}}\rangle\), resulting in a strong dependence of \(E_{\text{Bi}}\) on supercell size. Specifically, the calculated value of \(E_{\text{Bi}}\) shifts upwards in energy with increasing supercell size, as more GaAs valence bands fold back to Γ and become available to construct \(|\psi_{\text{Bi}}\rangle\). We demonstrated that this trend stabilizes only as we move to large (>2000-atom) supercells, meaning that in order to calculate bulk GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) properties large supercell calculations were required.

It is for this reason that the Bi impurity state lies below the GaAs SO band in a 64-atom calculation but moves upward in energy as the supercell size is increased [28], and it is also for this reason that the predicted values of \(E_{\text{Bi}}\) and \(\beta_{\text{Bi}}\) are derived from supercell calculations containing >2000 atoms, in which we approach the dilute doping limit. The demonstration in figure 2(a) that the BAC model can be used to describe the band dispersion of Ga\(_{a}\)Bi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) is contrary to the conclusion of a recent investigation of such supercells using DFT calculations [13]. From equation (3), one would expect any lower valence state involved in the BAC interaction to show evidence of localization about the Bi atom. The DFT calculations of [13] found that the second valence state in a 16-atom Ga\(_{a}\)Bi\(_{x}\)As\(_{7}\) supercell showed no evidence of localization about the Bi atom. We note however that this behaviour is entirely consistent with the results presented in figure 2(a), where the Bi-related states have been pushed below the spin-split-off band [12, 28], so that the second valence state in this case is then the delocalized spin-split-off band as opposed to a localized Bi-derived state. Further calculations that we have undertaken using our TB model show that the same situation also holds for a 16-atom supercell. We propose that the delocalized state observed in [13] is therefore most likely the spin-split-off band, and hence that the results presented there do not contradict the BAC model, as had previously been concluded.

Although the 12-band \(\textbf{k} \cdot \textbf{p}\) model provides a good description of the band structure of GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) close to the energy gap, as demonstrated in figure 2(a), several points regarding the validity and interpretation of the 12-band model should be noted. In [32] it was demonstrated using a Green’s function approach that the N-related impurity band in the bulk BAC Hamiltonian for GaN\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) should not be considered to represent a specific impurity band in the actual material band dispersion. Rather, it represents a weighted average of all impurity-related states interacting with the band edge of the host matrix, reflecting the distribution of states, observed for instance in \(G_{\Gamma}(E)\) and \(G_{\text{SO}}(E)\) in figures 1(a) and (b). In the dilute nitride case the validity of the BAC model then followed from its ability to describe to a high degree of accuracy the modified dispersion of the lowest conduction band [32, 33].

Similar behaviour can be expected for GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\), as the host density of states increases (due to the folding of bands to Γ as the size of the supercell is increased) the state associated with an isolated Bi atom becomes delocalized, with its associated character spreading over several supercell levels, which can be seen in figures 1(a) and (b). This is evident in figure 2(b), which compares the band structure of an ordered, cubic 512-atom Ga\(_{256}\)Bi\(_{1}\)As\(_{255}\) supercell calculated using the \(sp^{3}s^{*}\) TB and 12-band \(\textbf{k} \cdot \textbf{p}\) Hamiltonians. We see that the 12-band model provides an accurate description of the band edge energies and dispersions of the lowest conduction, LH, HH and SO bands. However, in this calculation, the increased density of zone centre host matrix valence states compared to the 64-atom case results in a broadening of the Γ character associated with the lower BAC related bands, so that their associated Γ character is spread over several GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) valence levels, with lower valence states starting to accumulate more Bi character than in the smaller supercell calculations ([32] figure 1(b)). This accounts for the small difference between the \(\textbf{k} \cdot \textbf{p}\) and TB Γ energies near ~0.3 eV in the 512-atom calculation, where the \(\textbf{k} \cdot \textbf{p}\) state is a weighted average of several states, as shown in figure 1(a), and therefore lies just below the TB state energy.

In summary, the BAC model provides a valid and accurate description of the modified valence band structure of ordered GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) crystals, but with the caveat that the lower-lying Bi impurity bands are not necessarily associated with specific material bands—rather, they describe the net effect of the Bi-related impurity states that interact with the host matrix VBE.

In the next section it will be demonstrated that this interpretation remains valid in the case of a disordered GaBi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) alloy, where the quality of the agreement between the TB calculated band dispersion and that calculated using the 12-band model decreases somewhat due to a loss of both short and long-range order in the crystal. Nevertheless, the validity of the BAC model is further supported by showing that the variation of \(E_{\Gamma}\) and \(\Delta_{\text{SO}}\) with Bi composition obtained from the 12-band model is in excellent agreement with full TB calculations and experimental measurements across the investigated composition range.

3.3. Application of the 12-band model to disordered supercells

The inclusion of multiple Bi atoms to form a disordered Ga\(_{a}\)Bi\(_{x}\)As\(_{1-x}\) supercell (containing \(L\) substitutional Bi atoms) can introduce Bi pairs (where a single Ga atom has two Bi nearest-neighbours) and higher order clusters. Such disorder lowers the symmetry and lifts the degeneracy of the associated resonant states, \(|\psi_{\text{Bi}}\rangle\). As we move towards a disordered alloy the GaAs VBE interacts with a distribution of impurity states related to isolated Bi atoms and Bi pairs and
clusters, similar to those observed for N atoms in GaN,As_{1−y}[34] and GaN,P_{1−y}[35]. As predicted by the BAC model the alloy VBE shifts upward in energy and experiences an overall reduction in GaAs Γ character with increasing Bi composition. As the Bi composition increases in GaBi,As_{1−x}, the resulting disorder and formation of Bi pairs and clusters gives rise, in addition to the resonant states associated with isolated Bi atoms, to further impurity states which predominantly lie close in energy to the unperturbed GaAs VBE. These states then experience strong inhomogeneous broadening due to the large density of GaAs valence states with which they are resonant, the result of which is a distribution of the GaAs VBE Γ character related to Bi pair and cluster states over a large number of alloy valence states, due to the strong hybridization between these states and those of the GaAs VBE [12].

In order to demonstrate that the 12-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model presented in section 3.1 is applicable to the realistic case of a disordered GaBi,As_{1−x} alloy we use it here to investigate disordered supercells, for which one might expect the BAC model to break down. We firstly calculate \( E_g \) and \( \Delta_{SO} \) in a series of large (4096-atom) GaBi,As_{1−x} supercells in which Bi atoms are distributed in a statistically random way, leading to the formation of an increasing number of Bi pair and cluster states with increasing Bi composition \( x \).

Figure 3(a) compares the room temperature band gap (\( E_g \)) and spin-orbit-splitting (\( \Delta_{SO} \)) as a function of Bi composition \( x \) in free-standing GaBi,As_{1−x}, calculated using the 12-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model (blue and red solid lines) and full tight-binding (FTB) calculations [12] on large, disordered supercells (blue and red open circles). (b) Variation of \( E_g \) and \( \Delta_{SO} \) as a function of \( x \) in biaxially strained GaBi,As_{1−x}/GaAs, calculated using the 12-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model (blue and red solid lines) and FTB calculations [8] on large, disordered supercells (blue and red open circles) compared to photo-modulated reflectance measurements [6, 8] of \( E_g \) and \( \Delta_{SO} \) as a function of \( x \) on a series of GaBi,As_{1−x} epilayers grown pseudomorphically on GaAs (blue and red closed circles).

Figure 3(b) shows the variation of \( E_g \) and \( \Delta_{SO} \) in GaBi,As_{1−x} biaxially strained onto a GaAs substrate, calculated using both the 12-band and TB models, and compared to PR measurements [6, 8] on a series of MBE-grown GaBi,As_{1−x}/GaAs epilayers [36, 37]. The effects of biaxial strain were included in the 12-band calculations by using Végar’s law to interpolate between the GaAs and GaBi lattice and elastic constants in order to evaluate the components of the strain tensor and associated energy shifts to the band edges as a function of the Bi composition \( x \). Biaxial strain effects were included in the TB calculations as outlined in [8]. We see from figure 3(b) that the 12-band model is in good agreement with both the TB calculations and experiment across the investigated composition range, and that the 12-band model reproduces the important crossover to \( E_g < \Delta_{SO} \) in the correct Bi composition range. We therefore conclude that the 12-band model presented here provides a realistic and accurate description of the main features of the GaBi,As_{1−x} band structure.

Some limitations of the model as applied to disordered alloys should however be noted. In a disordered GaBi,As_{1−x} alloy, the loss of long and short range order associated with the formation of Bi pairs and higher order clusters introduces localized distortions of the crystal lattice as well as giving rise to localized states lying close in energy to the GaAs VBE. Both of these effects perturb the band structure significantly, the first by reduction of symmetry, and the second by strong perturbation of the host valence band structure due to hybridization with localized states.

While we have shown that the 12-band model including valence BAC provides an accurate description of the band edge
which are the regions of interest for the calculation of the GaBi bismide-nitride alloys. We focus on the quaternary alloy large composition range, we now turn our attention to dilute bismide alloys close to the band edges and over a large disordered supercells. This again emphasizes that Bi and N largely act independently of each other in GaBi alloys and therefore their interactions with the host GaAs matrix can be well described by independent BAC interactions in the valence and conduction bands.

Having shown that the 12-band model introduced in section 3.1 is well-suited to describe the band structure of dilute bismide alloys close to the band edges and over a large composition range, we now turn our attention to dilute bismide-nitride alloys. We focus on the quaternary alloy GaBiN\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} and outline the extension of the 12-band model to a 14-band model which accounts explicitly for the effects of bismuth and nitrogen on the GaAs band structure.

4. Derivation of a 14-band \textit{k} · \textit{p} Hamiltonian for GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y}

In order to derive a 14-band Hamiltonian for GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} from the TB model we first examine the effects of Bi and N when both are incorporated into GaAs in dilute quantities. We examine a series of ordered, cubic Ga\textsubscript{M}Bi\textsubscript{1-x}N\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{M-2} supercells (in which it is ensured that the N-Bi separation is as large as possible) using an \textit{sp}^3\textit{s}^* TB model for GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} [24]. To determine whether or not the effects of Bi and N on the GaAs electronic structure are independent of one another we construct the impurity wave functions associated with substitutional Bi and N atoms in these supercells and calculate their interaction by evaluating the matrix element of the full TB Hamiltonian for the Bi and N containing supercell between them: 

\[ V_{\text{Bl,N}} = \langle \psi_{\text{Bi}} | \hat{H}_{\text{GaBi,N,As-M}} | \psi_{\text{Bi}} \rangle. \]

This gives \[ V_{\text{Bl,N}} \lesssim 1 \mu eV \] for all supercells considered, showing that the effects of isolated N and Bi atoms on the electronic structure are decoupled in the ordered case and hence that the electronic structure of ordered GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} crystals admits a simple interpretation in terms of separate N- and Bi-related BAC interactions in the conduction and valence bands, respectively. Furthermore, in [24], we show that the variations in the band edge energies of GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} alloys can be described by combining the variations of the band edge energies in GaBi\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} and GaN\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-y}, even for large disordered supercells. This again emphasizes that Bi and N largely act independently of each other in GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} and therefore their interactions with the host GaAs matrix can be well described by independent BAC interactions in the valence and conduction bands.

Guided by this insight, we modify the 12-band Hamiltonian of equation (10) to account for the presence of N, by including two N-related states in the Hamiltonian, which have an anticrossing interaction with the conduction band [38]. When parametrizing the resulting 14-band Hamiltonian for a given GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} supercell we treat the effects of Bi and N on the GaAs electronic structure as independent of one another. We begin with a GaM\textsubscript{1-x}Bi\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{M-2} supercell and calculate the Bi (N) related BAC parameters in the equivalent N (Bi) free supercell. In this case the virtual crystal contributions to the variations of the band edge energies at the \textit{Γ}-point given by equations (7)–(9) are modified as

\[ E_{\text{CB}}(x, y) = E_{\text{CB}}(\text{GaAs}) - \alpha_{\text{Bix}} - \alpha_{\text{Nxy}} \]
\[ E_{\text{HH}}(x, y) = E_{\text{HH}}(\text{GaAs}) + \kappa_{\text{Bix}} + \kappa_{\text{Nxy}} \]
\[ E_{\text{SO}}(x, y) = E_{\text{SO}}(\text{GaAs}) - \gamma_{\text{Bix}} - \gamma_{\text{Nxy}} \]

where the Bi- and N-related parameters \( \alpha, \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) are given in table 2.

Figures 5(a) and (b) show the calculated band dispersion close to the zone centre of 64- and 512-atom GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{M-2} supercells using the \textit{sp}^3\textit{s}^* and 14-band \textit{k} · \textit{p} Hamiltonians, from which we see that the extension to a 14-band model accounts accurately for N and Bi co-alloying, reproducing accurately the band edge energies and modified band dispersion of the TB calculations.

Figure 6 shows the variation of the band gap (\( E_g \)) and of the difference between the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting energy (\( E_g - \Delta_{\text{SO}} \)) for GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} grown pseudomorphically on GaAs with \( 0 \leq x \leq 12\% \) and \( 0 \leq y \leq 7\% \), for which the layers can be under compressive strain (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} < 0 \)), strain-free (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} = 0 \)), or under tensile strain (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} > 0 \)) as the compositions are varied. In order to calculate the Bi- and N-related BAC parameters in the equivalent N (Bi) free supercell. In this case the virtual crystal contributions to the variations of the band edge energies at the \textit{Γ}-point given by equations (7)–(9) are modified as

\[ E_{\text{CB}}(x, y) = E_{\text{CB}}(\text{GaAs}) - \alpha_{\text{Bix}} - \alpha_{\text{Nxy}} \]
\[ E_{\text{HH}}(x, y) = E_{\text{HH}}(\text{GaAs}) + \kappa_{\text{Bix}} + \kappa_{\text{Nxy}} \]
\[ E_{\text{SO}}(x, y) = E_{\text{SO}}(\text{GaAs}) - \gamma_{\text{Bix}} - \gamma_{\text{Nxy}} \]

where the Bi- and N-related parameters \( \alpha, \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) are given in table 2.

Figures 5(a) and (b) show the calculated band dispersion close to the zone centre of 64- and 512-atom GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{M-2} supercells using the \textit{sp}^3\textit{s}^* and 14-band \textit{k} · \textit{p} Hamiltonians, from which we see that the extension to a 14-band model accounts accurately for N and Bi co-alloying, reproducing accurately the band edge energies and modified band dispersion of the TB calculations.

Figure 6 shows the variation of the band gap (\( E_g \)) and of the difference between the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting energy (\( E_g - \Delta_{\text{SO}} \)) for GaBi\textsubscript{x}N\textsubscript{y}As\textsubscript{1-x-y} grown pseudomorphically on GaAs with \( 0 \leq x \leq 12\% \) and \( 0 \leq y \leq 7\% \), for which the layers can be under compressive strain (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} < 0 \)), strain-free (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} = 0 \)), or under tensile strain (\( \epsilon_{\text{ patches}} > 0 \)) as the compositions are varied. In order to
perform these calculations we have parametrized the 14-band Hamiltonian using the values of the GaAs room temperature band gap, spin-orbit-splitting energy, CBE effective mass, Kane interband momentum matrix element, valence band Luttinger parameters, deformation potentials and lattice and elastic constants given in [30]. These 8-band \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) parameters for GaAs were then combined with the Bi and N related parameters listed in tables 1 and 2, where we took \( E_N = 1.706 \text{ eV} \) and \( \beta_N = 2.00 \text{ eV} \) [1]. The GaN and GaBi lattice and elastic constants were taken from [39] and [40], with Végard’s law applied to calculate the GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-y}\) lattice and elastic constants, and hence, the strain-induced shifts to the band edge energies.

Figure 6 shows that a very large wavelength range is accessible using GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-y}\) at low strain on a GaAs substrate. The accessible wavelengths range from \( \sim 1 \mu \text{m} \) to wavelengths deep into the mid-infrared. Furthermore, the \( E_g = \Delta_{SO} \) contour in figure 6 indicates the combined Bi and N compositions beyond which a band gap energy lower than \( \Delta_{SO} \) can be achieved, which is essential for suppression of the non-radiative CHSH Auger recombination pathway. We see that by co-alloying Bi and N this \( E_g < \Delta_{SO} \) band structure condition can be obtained on GaAs at 1.55 \( \mu \text{m} \) and longer wavelengths, clearly demonstrating the potential of GaBi\(_x\)N\(_{1-x}\)As\(_{1-y}\) alloys for the design of highly efficient GaAs-based optoelectronic devices with reduced Auger losses across a wide wavelength range.

5. Conclusions

Using a TB model which has previously been shown to be in good agreement with the main features of the GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) electronic structure deduced from experiment and from pseudopotential calculations, we have shown that the band structure of GaBi\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) can be well described by a band-anticrossing interaction between the extended GaAs valence band edge states and highly localized Bi-related resonant states, which lie below the GaAs valence band edge in energy.
Having shown in [12] that the observed strong bowing of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting energies in GaBi$_{1-x}$As can be well understood in terms of this simple BAC model we have extended our analysis here to derive a 12-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian for dilute bismide alloys, and demonstrated that it is capable of accurately reproducing key features of the band structure close to the band edges, for both ordered and disordered alloys as a function of Bi composition, $x$.

The 12-band model is in good agreement with full TB calculations of the variation of the (In)GaBi$_{1-x}$As$_x$ band gap and spin-orbit-splitting energies with Bi composition, both for free-standing GaBi$_{1-x}$As$_x$, and also with experimental measurements of the variation of the band gap and spin-orbit-splitting energies both of GaBi$_{1-x}$As$_x$ epilayers grown on GaAs substrates, and of (In)GaBi$_{1-x}$As$_x$ epilayers grown on InP substrates [28].

We have also shown that the effects of dilute co-alloying of N and Bi in GaAs are independent of one another in ordered supercells, a conclusion which has been confirmed by large supercell calculations on GaBi$_x$N$_{1-x}$As$_y$ [23, 24]. This allowed us to introduce a 14-band $k \cdot p$ model for GaBi$_x$N$_{1-x}$As$_y$, which we showed to be in good agreement with full TB supercell calculations of the band structure.

We conclude that the 12-band and 14-band $k \cdot p$ models presented here provide simple and accurate descriptions of the band structure of dilute bismide and bismide-nitride alloys of GaAs in the vicinity of the band edges and hence will be of importance for the modelling and design of future (In)GaBi$_{1-x}$As$_x$- and GaBi$_x$N$_{1-x}$As$_y$-based optoelectronic devices.
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Appendix

The Bi-related basis states of the 12-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian of equation (10) are

\[ |\mu_{1i,H}^{HI,1}⟩ = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ + \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ \]

\[ |\mu_{1i,H}^{HI,2}⟩ = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ - \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |y_B; \downarrow⟩ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |y_B; \downarrow⟩ \]

\[ |\mu_{1i,L}^{HI,1}⟩ = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \downarrow⟩ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \downarrow⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |z_B; \uparrow⟩ \]

\[ |\mu_{1i,L}^{HI,2}⟩ = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |z_B; \downarrow⟩ \]

\[ |\mu_{1i,L}^{HI,3}⟩ = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \right⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |y_B; \uparrow⟩ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |z_B; \uparrow⟩ \]
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