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Abstract

Objective Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) has been found to be associated with the diastolic dysfunction in recent years, but this relationship has not been fully elucidated. Echocardiography is a non-invasive, simple, cost effective and accessible approach to assess EAT thickness, which can be performed easily. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of EAT on prediction of diastolic dysfunction.

Materials and Methods A total of 138 patients without any cardiovascular, inflammatory, autoimmune and cancer disease, were enrolled. Our study was performed in the Cardiology clinic of Sakarya University Training and Research Hospital between May 2019 and December 2019. Subjects were divided into two groups, those with and without diastolic dysfunctions. Conventional echocardiography parameters and tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) were performed to evaluate left ventricular functions. EAT thickness on the free wall of the right ventricle in parasternal long-axis view were measured using transthoracic echocardiography.

Results In comparison with the non-diastolic dysfunction group, patients with diastolic dysfunction had significantly higher epicardial fat thickness (5.98±1.52 mm vs 4.32±1.03 mm; p<0.001). The multivariate regression analysis indicated that EAT independently predicts diastolic dysfunction (OR, 0.278, 95% CI 0.396 to 1.400).

Conclusion According to the findings of this study, EAT thickness is an independent predictor for the development of diastolic dysfunction in patients without cardiovascular disease.
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Öz

Amaç Epicardiyal yağ dokusunun (EYD) son yıllarda diyastolik disfonksiyon ile ilişkili olduğu belirlenmiştir. Ekokardiyografi, EYD kalınlığını değerlendirmek için kolayca yapılabilen non-invaziv, basit, maliyetli ve erişilebilir bir yaklaşımdır. Bu çalışmanın amacı EYD’den diyastolik disfonksiyonun önlenmesinde etkinliği değerlendirmektir.

Gereç ve Yöntemler Herhangi bir kardiyovasküler, inflamatur, autoimmün ve kanser hastalığı olmayan toplam 138 hasta çalışmaya dahil edildi. Çalışma Sakarya Üniversitesi Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi Kardiyoloji kliniğinde Mayıs 2019 ve Aralık 2019 tarihleri arasında gerçekleştirildi. Çalışma amacına uygun kitleler diyastolik disfonksiyonu olan ve olmayanlar olmak üzere iki gruba ayrıldı. Sol ventrikül fonksiyonlarının değerlendirilmesi için konvansiyonel ekokardiyografi parametreleri ve doku Doppler görüntüleme (TDI) uygulandı. Ekoardiyoğrafı ve doku Doppler görüntüleme, EAT kalınlığı ve diastolik disfonksiyon arasındaki ilişkiye dair bilgilendirici olmayan ve diyastolik disfonksiyonu olan hastalarda aksiyal ve medial ventriküler dörtlü duvarlar için transthorasik ekokardiyografi kullanılarak ölçüldü.

Bulgular Diyastolik disfonksiyonu olan hastalarda diastolik disfonksiyonu oluşturan ve EYD kalınlığı arasında statistiksel bir ilişki tespit edildi (R=0.58, p<0.001). Bu ilişki regresyon analizi ile doğrulanarak, EYD’deki kalınlık artışının diastolik disfonksiyonu ile ilişkisini göstermesi koltuklaştırdı (OR, 2.78, 95% CI 1.40-5.00).

Sonuç Bu çalışmanın bulgularına göre, EYD kalınlığı kardiyovasküler hastalığa olan riskin arttığını göstermektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler Adipose tissue; echocardiography; epicardium; left ventricular function
INTRODUCTION

Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is one of the most important causes of heart failure though systolic functions are preserved.² The diagnosis of diastolic dysfunction remains challenging and practical recommendations are necessary for proper diagnosis in daily clinical practice. Although many echocardiographic parameters have been used to define diastolic dysfunction, there is no single marker to confirm the diagnosis sufficiently accurate. Epicardial fat depot is a part of the visceral adipose tissue and metabolically active with release of different cytokines and plays an important role in the development of cardiovascular diseases through paracrine and vasocrine mechanisms.¹ The Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) thickness is defined based on the measurement of the low-echoic area thickness on the free wall of the right ventricle in the parasternal long-axis views by echocardiography.¹⁰ EAT has been found to be associated with the diastolic dysfunction in recent years, but this relationship has not been fully elucidated. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of EAT thickness on prediction of diastolic dysfunction.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Study Design and Population

This was a cross sectional, single-center study conducted in department of Cardiology, Sakarya University Education and Research Hospital from the May 2019 to December 2019. In the present study, consecutive 138 patients admitted to cardiology outpatient clinic for echocardiographic evaluation were included. Study population was divided into two groups as those with and without diastolic dysfunction by echocardiography. Patients with coronary artery disease, systolic heart failure, arrhythmias, moderate-severe valvular heart disease, hematological disease, cancer, severe renal or liver disease, ongoing infection or systemic inflammatory conditions, autoimmune disease, transient ischemic attacks/stroke and whose transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) imaging was inadequate for the measurement of epicardial fat thickness were excluded from the study. Data on the patients' demographic characteristics including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus and laboratory findings were recorded.

TTE was performed using Vivid S710 ultrason with a 5 MHz transducer in left lateral decubitus position in all patients to measure EAT, to evaluate systolic and diastolic left ventricular function. Measurements of the interventricular septum (IVS) thickness, left ventricular posterior wall (LVPW) thickness, left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVEDD) and left ventricular mass (LVM) were performed according to the American Society of Echocardiography criteria.¹¹,¹² The early (E) and late(A) transmirtal inflow velocities, their ratio (E/A) were obtained from the mitral inflow Doppler signals.¹³,¹⁴ EAT thickness was measured on the free wall of the right ventricle in the parasternal long-axis taking the aortic root as the reference and we prefer measuring EFT during end-diastole.¹⁰ Epicardial fat was defined as the echo-free space between the outer wall of the myocardium and the visceral layer of the pericardium. Left ventricular systolic function was determined using ejection fraction measured by Simpson's method.¹⁵ Additionally, tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) was performed to evaluate diastolic functions in each patient. Mitral annulus velocities were achieved from the septal annulus of the LV by TDI, ratio of E/e' were calculated. The measurement of EAT thickness was performed by two different cardiologists, and was performed at least twice to ensure high reliability. This study complies with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by Sakarya University Education and Research Hospital independent medical ethics committee on 20.06.2019 with the number 16214662 / 050.01.04 / 103.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 18 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical data were expressed as percentages and continuous data were
expressed as mean±standard deviation. Comparisons between groups were performed using a chi-square or Fisher's exact tests for qualitative variables. An independent t-test was used for normally distributed continuous variables, and the Mann–Whitney U test was conducted for non-normally distributed continuous variables, as appropriate. The cutoff values, and corresponding sensitivity and specificity values, for the prediction of diastolic dysfunction by echocardiographic EAT thickness was estimated by receiving operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the independent predictors of diastolic dysfunction.

The variables showing significant relationship in the univariate analysis were selected for the multivariate logistic regression analysis for the prediction of diastolic dysfunction. Results are reported as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all tests.

**RESULTS**

A total of 138 patients were enrolled and subjects were divided into two groups, those with diastolic dysfunction (n=64) and without diastolic dysfunction (n=58) on echocardiography. The patient characteristics of both groups are shown in Table 1. The patients with diastolic dysfunction were older (57.7±9.8 vs 44.1±8.5, p<0.001), more likely female (53.1% vs 44.8%), and had more comorbidities including diabetes mellitus, hypertension and had increased BMI. There was no significant difference between the groups with respect to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels. There was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of C-reactive protein (CRP) level. The diastolic dysfunction group had thicker EAT thickness than the non-diastolic dysfunction group (5.98±1.52 mm vs 4.32±1.03 mm, p<0.001).

| Variable          | Diastolic dysfunction group, (n=64) | Non-Diastolic dysfunction group, (n=58) | P     |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Age, mean±SD (years) | 57.7±9.8                            | 44.1±8.5                               | <0.001|
| Female, n (%)     | 34(53)                              | 26(44.8)                               | 0.364 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n(%) | 10(15.6)                          | 0                                      | 0.001 |
| Hypertension,n(%) | 34(53.1)                            | 4(6.9)                                 | <0.001|
| Smoking,n(%)      | 17(26.6)                            | 23(39.7)                               | 0.126 |
| EAT (mm), mean±SD | 5.98±1.52                           | 4.32±1.03                              | <0.001|
| BMI (kg/m2), mean±SD | 30.1±4.7                           | 25.6±3.5                               | <0.001|
| CRP mean±SD       | 3.2±3.8                             | 1.5±3.3                                | 0.019 |
| LDL (mg/dl), mean±SD | 148±42                             | 147±36                                 | 0.856 |
| HDL (mg/dl), mean±SD | 48±12                              | 49±13                                  | 0.829 |
| TG (mg/dl), mean±SD | 154±77                             | 161±127                                | 0.740 |
| EF, mean±SD       | 61±4                                | 63±6                                   | 0.006 |
| E, mean±SD        | 59.6±12                             | 74.8±12                                | <0.001|
| A, mean±SD        | 77.7±15                             | 65±10                                  | <0.001|
| e'(cm/s), mean±SD | 7.06±1.2                            | 9.8±1.7                                | <0.001|
| a'(cm/s), Mean±SD | 9.86±2                              | 9.9±1.9                                | 0.959 |
| E/e', mean±SD     | 9.1±3                               | 7.8±1.5                                | 0.009 |
| LVEDD (mm), mean±SD | 42.4±4.3                           | 40.4±6.1                               | 0.044 |
| IVST (mm), mean±SD | 11.1±1.7                            | 9.8±1.7                                | <0.000|
| PWT (mm), mean±SD | 10.8±1.1                            | 9.8±1.1                                | <0.000|
| LVM (g), mean±SD  | 182.5±40.6                          | 152.8±37.7                             | <0.000|

EAT thickness showed a significant positive correlation with age (r=0.479, p<0.001), BMI (r=0.538, p<0.001), diabetes mellitus (r=0.353, p<0.001) and hypertension (r=0.380, p<0.001). There was no correlation between ep-
Epicardial fat thickness and HDL-C, LDL-C and TG level. Also, EAT was associated with increased left ventricular mass \((r=0.399, p<0.001)\) and reduced diastolic function by lower early diastolic myocardial velocity \((e^-)\) \((r=-0.595, p<0.001)\), early transmirtal inflow velocity \((E)\) \((r=-0.399, p<0.001)\), \(E/A\) \((r=-0.505, p<0.001)\) and higher \(E/e^-\) ratio \((r=0.316, p<0.001)\) (Table 2).

Table 2: Correlation of Epicardial adipose tissue thickness with clinical variables

|                | \(p\)   | \(r\)  |
|----------------|---------|--------|
| Age            | \(<0.001\) | 0.479  |
| BMI            | \(<0.001\) | 0.538  |
| Hypertension   | \(<0.001\) | 0.380  |
| Diabetes mellitus | \(<0.001\) | 0.353  |
| Smoking        | 0.485   | -0.043 |
| CRP            | \(<0.001\) | 0.387  |
| HDL            | 0.040   | -0.116 |
| LDL            | 0.412   | 0.042  |
| TG             | 0.390   | 0.046  |
| EF             | 0.000   | -0.467 |
| \(E\)          | \(<0.001\) | -0.399 |
| \(E/A\)        | \(<0.001\) | -0.505 |
| \(e^-\)        | \(<0.001\) | -0.595 |
| IVST           | \(<0.001\) | 0.316  |
| PWT            | \(<0.001\) | 0.385  |
| LVEDD          | 0.033   | 0.198  |
| LVM            | \(<0.001\) | 0.399  |

A late transmitral inflow velocities, BMI-Body Mass Index, CRP-C-reactive protein, DD-diastolic dysfunction, EAT-adipose tissue, E-early transmitral inflow velocities, e-early diastolic myocardial velocity, EF-ejection fraction, HDL-high density lipoprotein, LDL-low density lipoprotein, LVM-left ventricular mass, LVEDD-left ventricular end diastole diameter, IVST-interventricular septum thickness, PWT-posterior wall thickness, TG-triglycerides

Multivariate analysis showed that age (OR, 0.376, 95%CI 0.009 to 0.024), hypertension (OR, 0.194; 95%CI, 0.043 to 0.375), diabetes (OR, 0.284; 95%CI,0.011 to 0.420), BMI (OR, 0.201; 95%CI, 0.000 to 0.036) and LVM (OR, 0.181; 95%CI,0.000 to 0.004) were independent factors affecting diastolic dysfunction. Also multivariate analysis revealed that thick EAT was a predictor of diastolic dysfunction (OR, 0.225, 95%CI 0.191 to 1.270) after adjustment for covariates, including diabetes, hypertension, age, BMI (Table 3).

Table 3. Correlation of clinical quantitative variables with diastolic dysfunction and predictors of diastolic dysfunction determined by multi-linear regression analysis.

|                | \(p\)   | \(R\)  | OR | CI          |
|----------------|---------|--------|----|------------|
| EAT            | \(<0.001\) | 0.534  | 0.225 | 0.191-1.270 |
| Age            | \(<0.001\) | 0.594  | 0.376 | 0.009-0.024 |
| Hypertension   | \(<0.001\) | 0.499  | 0.194 | 0.043-0.375 |
| BMI            | \(<0.001\) | 0.477  | 0.201 | 0.000-0.036 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.001 | 0.284  | 0.118 | 0.011-0.422 |
| \(E/A\)        | \(<0.001\) | -0.760 | -0.573 | -1.372-(-0.769) |
| \(E/e^-\)      | 0.009   | 0.239  | 0.278 | 0.031-0.083 |
| LVM            | 0.002   | 0.290  | 0.181 | 0.000-0.004 |

The area under the curve on receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of EAT thickness for predicting diastolic dysfunction was 4.9 with a sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 73% (ROC area 0.820, \(P<0.001\), 95%CI, 0.746 to 0.893) (figure 1).

Figure 1. ROC curve (Receiver operating characteristic curve) illustrating the accuracy of epicardial adipose tissue thickness for diastolic dysfunction.
DISCUSSION
Although EAT thickness is still controversial in the evaluation of cardiovascular function, it seems to be a promising marker in the evaluation of cardiovascular and metabolic risks in daily clinical practice. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is the main underlying pathophysiology of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.1,2 Early detection of impaired LV diastolic function is clinically important because LV diastolic dysfunction is related to poor clinical outcomes.3 The measurement of EAT with other classical echocardiographic parameters may be useful in the detection of LV diastolic dysfunction.

Previous studies have shown; EAT is a part of visceral adipose tissue localized between the heart and pericardium and it is a metabolically active fat depot.3-10 Excessive epicardial adipose tissue accumulation has been suggested to play an important role in the development of cardiovascular disease through potential endocrine or paracrine mechanism by exerting inflammatory mediators.3-10 These factors may alter cardiac structure and result in impaired myocardial relaxation, reduced diastolic compliance, and elevated LV filling pressures.16,17 Consequently, these studies suggested that EAT may lead to LV hypertrophy, left atrium (LA) dilation, diastolic and systolic dysfunction, irrespective of the presence of coronary artery disease (CAD).16-21 Consistent with these findings, CRP, an inflammatory marker, was higher in the patient with diastolic dysfunction and associated with increased EAT thickness in our study.

Patients included in our study had low cardiovascular risk profile and had no systemic inflammatory disease. Patients with diastolic dysfunction had more comorbidity including diabetes, hypertension and they had higher BMI than patients without diastolic dysfunction. As known, all of these comorbidities and obesity can cause diastolic dysfunction and associated with increased EAT thickness.19,22,23 Like Tae and et al. study, EAT thickness has been found to be less influenced by cholesterol levels in the present study.23 Along with the previous evidence, the present study confirms that there is a significant correlation between the EAT and LV diastolic dysfunction independent of other traditional risk factors including diabetes, hypertension and obesity.22-26

In fact, obesity itself is a risk factor for the development of diastolic heart failure, independent of other co-morbidities.27,28 In our study, patients with diastolic dysfunction had higher BMI but consistent with other studies, EAT thickness was associated with the presence of diastolic dysfunction independent of BMI in our population.29-31 Hence, it is likely that even in nonobese subjects, EAT may influence myocardial remodeling adversely.

Like other studies, we observed effect of EAT on LV hypertrophy and LV diastolic dysfunction.32-34 EAT thickness are related to predictors of diastolic function such as ratio of E/A, E/e’, septal e’ velocity in the present study and can be easily measured by TTE as additive diagnostic marker for diastolic function.

Many studies on EAT have reported different cut-off values of EAT thickness in different diseases and different ethnic groups. In our study, the cut-off value of EAT thickness was determined to be approximately 4.9 mm. So EAT thickness (of 4.9 mm or more) may identify an individual with higher probability of having diastolic dysfunction in Turkish population. In addition, in larger patient series, EAT thickness can be evaluated separately for systolic and diastolic heart failure to investigate whether it has prognostic significance.

Study Limitations
The major limitation of this study was the small sample size. A prospective study is needed to determine the predictive value of EAT thickness with high patient population. Another major limitation of the study is that; EAT measurement by echocardiography is economical, easily available, and non-invasive method, but it is not an ide-
al method for assessment of adipose tissue due to a linear measurement at a single location. However the EAT volume measured by computed tomography (CT) has not previously demonstrated a superior predictive value over the EAT thickness as measured by echocardiography.35

CONCLUSION
The measurement of echocardiographic EAT thickness seems to be an acceptable method which can be used as an easily, cost affectively, and non-invasively. Increased EAT thickness is independently associated with diastolic dysfunction. Adding EAT measurement on top of classic echocardiographic diastolic dysfunction findings may provide further evidence in predicting diastolic dysfunction in daily clinical practice. But large, more definitive studies are needed to confirm these findings
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