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ABSTRACT: Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are the most widely used energy storage system because of their high energy density and power, robustness, and reversibility, but they typically include an electrolyte solution composed of flammable organic solvents, leading to safety risks and reliability concerns for high-energy-density batteries. A step forward in Li-ion technology is the development of solid-state batteries suitable in terms of energy density and safety for the next generation of smart, safe, and high-performance batteries. Solid-state batteries can be developed on the basis of a solid polymer electrolyte (SPE) that may rely on natural polymers in order to replace synthetic ones, thereby taking into account environmental concerns. This work provides a perspective on current state-of-the-art sustainable SPEs for lithium-ion batteries. The recent developments are presented with a focus on natural polymers and their relevant properties in the context of battery applications. In addition, the ionic conductivity values and battery performance of natural polymer-based SPEs are reported, and it is shown that sustainable SPEs can become essential components of a next generation of high-performance solid-state batteries synergistically focused on performance, sustainability, and circular economy considerations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The current spreading of the implementation of the concepts of digitalization of the society and the Internet of Things requires the development of small portable electronic devices powered by efficient electrical energy storage systems. One of the most widely used energy storage systems that have been integrated into these devices is lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) because of their high specific capacity and long life cycles when compared with other battery systems. Other properties of LIBs are their low cost, size, and self-discharge and their prolonged service life when compared with other battery types. Further, besides being used for portable electronic devices, they are also being used to power electric vehicles (EV) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs).

The basic constituents of LIBs are the anode (negative electrode), cathode (positive electrode), and a separator/electrolyte. The separator is composed of a porous membrane soaked in electrolyte solution (lithium salts dispersed in organic solvent), and its function is to allow the flow of Li ions between the electrodes and consequently to avoid short circuits. The relevance of the electrolyte solution is to confer the necessary high ionic conductivity between the electrodes, but it is highly reactive, flammable, toxic, and can leak out of the battery. Another disadvantage of the electrolyte solution is the formation of a passivating layer, denominated as solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) during the first charge, resulting from the reactions with the anode electrode. Thus, in order to solve these issues, challenging efforts are being carried out to replace conventional separator/electrolytes with solid electrolytes, the main types being organic (polymer) and inorganic...
electrolytes, in order to obtain solid-state batteries, as represented in Figure 1a.

The main characteristics of solid electrolytes for battery devices (Figure 1b) are a wide electrochemical stability window, high ionic conductivity and lithium-ion transference number, excellent electrode compatibility, and high cyclability. The two main types of solid electrolytes are organic and inorganic. Organic solid electrolytes are those based on polymer composites, whereas inorganic ones are those based on different ceramic materials such as lithium superionic conductors (LISICON), sodium superionic conductors (NASICON), perovskite-type, and garnet. Table 1 shows the many properties of both solid electrolyte types, together with the conventional ones based on liquid electrolyte.

Table 1. Many Characteristics of the Different Electrolyte Types

| electrolyte solution | solid organic electrolyte | solid inorganic electrolyte |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| high ionic conductivity | low ionic conductivity | high stability in contact with lithium metal |
| wide electrochemical stability window | excellent mechanical properties | wide electrochemical window |
| formation of SEI layer in contact with electrodes | high interface resistance | high ionic conductivity at >100 °C |
| highly reactive | nonvolatility | poor contact with electrodes |
| flammable | low toxicity | low mechanical properties |

The polymer (organic) electrolytes are still characterized by low ionic conductivity, whereas inorganic ones show poor mechanical stability and difficult integration into large-scale battery production.

Solid polymer electrolytes (SPE) are thus among the most studied ones on the basis of their large potential and versatility, being mainly composed of a polymeric matrix with one or more fillers that can be ceramic, lithium salts, or ionic liquids. Fillers are typically added to improve specific SPE properties, the main issue being to improve the ionic conductivity. Further, thermal and mechanical properties are being improved by the inclusion of fillers within the polymer matrix to maintain the necessary flexibility and thermal stability during battery operation. It should be noted that the inclusion of these fillers typically also reduces the degree of crystallinity of the polymer, allowing further improvement of the ion transport and ionic conductivity. The overall goal is to replace the currently used electrolyte solution in most battery types because of its drawbacks.

The most commonly used polymer matrixes for SPEs are poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO); poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF); and its copolymers, poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), poly(acrylonitrile) (PAN), and poly(ethylene carbonate) (PEC).

The filler incorporated into the polymer matrix can have either active or passive roles with respect to battery electrochemical performance. The most used passive fillers are ceramic [barium titanate (BaTiO₃), aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃), silicon dioxide (SiO₂), and titanium dioxide (TiO₂) or carbonaceous (graphite)] with the function of improving properties such as mechanical or thermal stability. The most common active fillers are ionic liquids [1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, (EMIM)(TFSI), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride, (BMIM)(Cl)] and various lithium salts [lithium tetrafluoroborate (LiBF₄), lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆), lithium hexafluoroarsenenate (LiAsF₆), lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄), and lithium bis(trifluoromethane sulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI)] among others, with the function of increasing SPE ionic conductivity, both in terms of intrinsic value and thermal characteristics. With respect to the materials selection for SPE development, it is also important to note that the ionic conductivity of SPEs is strongly affected by ion–dipole interactions between ion and polymer matrix, as they support lithium salt dissociation, increasing lithium ion transfer number and mobility. The main requirements and characteristics of SPEs are indicated in Figure 1b.

In order to address circular economy considerations and the growing concern on environmental issues, there is an increasing focus on the replacement of the most used materials by environmentally friendlier ones, even when in some cases they are not so functionally effective. In the following, the recent advances in SPEs based on sustainable materials (natural and biopolymers; degradable and chemically recyclable polymers with sustainable fillers) are presented, representing one of the most interesting and necessary challenges in the battery field.

2. LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES: PERFORMANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY

As stated before, a transition to more sustainable materials in LIBs is required in order to reduce the environmental impact
caused by a digital society. Despite several advances in this field, the use of these SPE materials leads to lower performing and less efficient devices, with limited battery capacity and less durability than conventional ones. Thus, at the present technological stage it is important to find a suitable balance between performance and sustainability, as some applications do not need high performance to work properly. Such is the case for implantable biomedical devices, smart cards, radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags, disposable devices, or small sensors in remote locations, where batteries do not require high capacity to power devices but they may need other characteristics such as, for example, being easily degraded or recycled after their end of life. So, by applying LIBs with just the needed performance for specific applications opens the field for a new generation of more sustainable batteries (Figure 2a).

This means that research is headed toward properly addressing both targets of performance and sustainability. In particular, SPEs are one of the most studied battery components with this purpose, and the improvement in sustainability can be achieved both with respect to materials selection and processing methods (Figure 2b). At the materials
processes also decrease the toxicity of battery production.\textsuperscript{34,35} Environmental footprint. Water-based, or even solvent-free overall energetic cost of battery production, reducing their interesting options. Low-temperature processes reduce the materials, which eliminates the need for synthetic processing (Figure 2b). Regarding processes, there are also some interesting options. Low-temperature processes reduce the overall energetic cost of battery production, reducing their environmental footprint. Water-based, or even solvent-free processes also decrease the toxicity of battery production.\textsuperscript{34,35} These topics are strongly related with the use of natural polymers, because frequently these polymers can be processed with water and at low temperatures. Finally, an approach that has been gaining interest in recent years is additive manufacturing, which allows the production of custom and complex structures with defined shapes, in a layer-by-layer approach, reducing the amount of wasted materials.\textsuperscript{36}

2.1. Recent Advances and Current Needs in Solid Polymer Electrolytes. The tendency in SPE development is to increase the structure’s complexity. The first SPEs consisted of just one polymer and one filler; however, nowadays SPEs are developed with complex blends of several polymers and multiple fillers, each with distinct functions in the SPE. As an example, one filler can be selected to improve the ionic conductivity value, whereas another may create ion pathways, improve contact with the electrodes, and stabilize thermal and mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{37} The most successful approach seems to rely on the use of two complementary fillers: one active filler, with the function of increasing the overall ionic conductivity, and a passive filler, which improves other properties of the SPE such as mechanical or thermal stability.\textsuperscript{10} The most used active fillers in recent literature are different kinds of lithium salts, such as LiTFSI\textsuperscript{38–41} and LiClO$_4$.\textsuperscript{42} These salts are responsible for a direct increase in the number of charge carriers and, consequently, of the ionic conductivity. Ionic liquids have also been intensively and increasingly studied because of their capacity to reduce the crystallinity of the polymer matrix, which indirectly increases the conduction.\textsuperscript{43}

Recently, an interesting SPE was developed on the basis of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) as the polymer matrix and LiTFSI and 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis-(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Pyr14TFSI) as the fillers. It was reported that controlling the surface characteristics of the SPE allows improvement of the interface with lithium metal and consequently the Li electrodeposition/electrodissolution.\textsuperscript{44} In addition, LiTFSI salt was used for the production of different SPEs composed of poly(ethylene glycol)diacrylate (PEGDA) and a succinonitrile plasticizer, reaching a high ionic conductivity of $\sim$0.43 mS/cm at room temperature,\textsuperscript{45} and UV photocurable polyurethane acrylate (PUA) with 30 wt % LiTFSI content reached a maximum ionic conductivity of 0.0032 mS/cm at room temperature.\textsuperscript{46} Further, ether-based electrolytes in situ polymerized by a ring-opening reaction in the presence of aluminum fluoride (AlF$_3$) show promising characteristics to overcome the limited oxidative stability and poor interfacial charge transport of current SPEs.\textsuperscript{47}

There are many options when it comes to passive fillers, including ceramics,\textsuperscript{45} carbon-based materials,\textsuperscript{46} or metal–organic frameworks,\textsuperscript{47} each one with distinct effects in the SPE properties. Zeolites are appearing as a promising option because of their ability to stabilize the SPE structure, improving the cyclability of the battery.\textsuperscript{10} The improvement of the SPE ionic conductivity is not the only concern in current research. Significant work is devoted to the improvement of the interfacial compatibility with the electrodes to enhance the SPE functional performance.\textsuperscript{48} Other important features such as flame retardance ability,\textsuperscript{49} lithium dendrite inhibition,\textsuperscript{50} shutdown function,\textsuperscript{51} and self-healing\textsuperscript{12} are being tested and implemented for the next generation of advanced SPEs, as represented in Figure 3. Flame retardance increases the safety of the battery by reducing the risk of fires, usually by limiting the amount of oxygen available for combustion.\textsuperscript{49} Lithium dendrites are a common problem in conventional batteries, in which lithium structures grow at the anode interface with the separator, puncturing it and causing short circuits. Some components can be added to the SPE to
preventing their occurrence by improving the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) formation.\textsuperscript{52} Shutdown function is a feature that creates an insulator layer in the SPE when a given temperature is achieved, preventing battery operation when overheating.\textsuperscript{53} Self-healing batteries allow the repairing of small damages in the battery structure after a stimulus is applied (temperature, pressure, or pH variation) without the need for external intervention.\textsuperscript{54} Thus, these features are critical to improve battery safety and durability.

### 2.2. Sustainable Materials for Solid Polymer Electrolytes

As stated before, polymers have critical importance on the architecture and functional response of SPEs. Many efforts are being carried out on the development of SPEs based on natural, chemically recyclable, and biodegradable polymers, as well as more sustainable fillers, which have the potential to reduce the environmental impacts associated with the widespread use of LiBs. As polymers are the main materials used in SPEs, most research is focused on this specific issue. Table 2 presents some representative sustainable SPEs produced in recent years.

The cross-linking of sodium (Na) alginate in a PEO matrix filled with LiTFSI allowed the production of a SPE with significant flame retardant capacity and improved mechanical stability, showing also a stable electrochemical window up to 4.6 V.\textsuperscript{55} Similar results were obtained for a more complex composite of poly(ethylene oxide) monomethacrylate, PEGMA, and cellulose filled with LiTFSI and 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (PYR14TFSI) ionic liquid that achieved good cycling stability at room temperature with an average transference number (\(t^+\)) of \(\sim0.43\) and being electrochemically stable up to 5 V.\textsuperscript{56} Combining different lithium salts in a PMMA matrix grafted with natural rubber makes it possible to reduce the crystallinity of the SPE, thereby increasing the lithium transference number and consequently the ionic conductivity.\textsuperscript{57} The use of cellulose has been a target of several studies over the years.\textsuperscript{57} More recently, bacterial cellulose has been used, combined with conventional LiPF\(_6\) electrolyte and freeze-dried, to obtain SPEs with high ionic conductivity, leading to low specific capacity.\textsuperscript{57} Tamarind seeds show a good potential for application in batteries because of their high conductivity at room temperature.\textsuperscript{58} Pectin has also been studied, allowing suitable ionic conductivity values.\textsuperscript{59} The use of gum tragacanth allows the fabrication of water-based SPEs with low environmental impact. When filled with lithium nitrate, a solid electrolyte with high ionic conductivity, thermal stability, and stable electrochemical window up to 3.4 V is obtained.\textsuperscript{60}

Fillers can also provide a significant contribution to improving SPE sustainability. In this context, chitosan–silica hybrid nanoparticles have been added to a PEO matrix to reduce the crystallinity and improve Li ion migration, showing a wide electrochemical window of 5.4 V.\textsuperscript{55}

One of the major drawbacks of the current studies in sustainable materials for SPEs is the lack of consistent battery results. Despite several suitable results regarding ionic conductivity, the natural polymer-based SPEs typically fail to deliver good performance when it comes to battery cycling stability and capacity. This issue must be addressed in order to make this technology effective for practical applications. Also, the operation of the assembled batteries must be adjusted to the utilization temperature of common devices, as most research shows results regarding high temperature batteries, namely above 60 °C, are not applicable in real life situations.

Additive manufacturing (AM) techniques, which can represent an important step in the scope of improving sustainability and performance of the batteries, the latter by enhancing three-dimensional ionic routes of the SPEs, are not yet thoroughly investigated.

Finally, sustainable SPEs are essential in the scope of the increasing demand of batteries related to the implementation of the electric vehicle in order to reduce their carbon footprints and to increase materials recycling.\textsuperscript{52}

### 3. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE TRENDS

Solid polymer electrolytes, SPEs, are among the most critical components for the development of functional solid-state batteries. These batteries are key for future applications in the scope of the expected growth in the implementation of electric vehicles and the massification of portable electronic devices. The increase in the demand of materials for these applications leads to significant environmental issues that must be addressed. In particular, an important step toward increasing safety and sustainability of next generation batteries is the elimination of liquid electrolytes from the battery structure. Another important step is the implementation of more sustainable materials and processes, allowing for more adequate recycling strategies and improving the battery life cycle in the context of a circular economy.

In the particular case of SPEs, significant efforts are being made on increasing sustainability through the replacement of synthetic polymers by natural polymers, which also allows for the use of less hazardous solvents and processing techniques. However, the literature in the field is not yet enough developed and lacks suitable results regarding battery performance at

---

**Table 2. SPEs for LIBs Developed in Recent Years On the Basis of Natural Polymers and Corresponding Fillers**

| polymer matrix | fillers | preparation method | ionic conductivity (S·cm\(^{-1}\)) | transference number | battery performance (mAh·g\(^{-1}\)) | ref |
|----------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Na alginate, PEO | LiTFSI | solution casting | \(\sim10^{-1}\) (40 °C) | \(\sim0.43\) | 152.5 (C/5, 60 °C) | 55 |
| cellulose triacetate, PEGMA | PYR14TFSI, LiTFSI | solution casting | 5.24 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) (25 °C) | \(\sim0.43\) | 125 (C/20, 25 °C) | 56 |
| gum tragacanth | LiN | solution casting | 8.28 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) (25 °C) | 0.989 | 30 |
| bacterial cellulose | LiPF\(_6\) | freeze-drying | 2.71 \(\times\) 10\(^{-2}\) (25 °C) | 0.48 | 18 (C/S) | 57 |
| tamarind seed | LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\) | solution casting | 8.37 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) (30 °C) | 0.94–0.97 | 58 |
| PMMA, natural rubber | LiBF\(_4\), LiI | solution casting | 1.89 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) (25 °C) | 0.65–0.96 | 59 |
| pectin | LiCl | solution casting | 1.96 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) (30 °C) | 60 |
| PEO | chitosan–silica nanoparticles | solution casting | 1.91 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) (30 °C) | 147 (C/10, 60 °C) | 61 |

\(^{\text{a}}\)PEO, poly(ethylene oxide); PEGMA, poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate.
room temperature, despite the good electrochemical results at the ionic conductivity level. A better understanding on the conduction and diffusion mechanisms, the development of functionalization strategies, and the compatibilization between both polymer and fillers is needed in order to overcome this issue and allow natural polymers to be widely applied in the SPE field. Other important approaches are the improvement of thermal and mechanical properties of natural polymers and the enhancement of the interfacial compatibility between the SPE and the electrodes, for example, through the application of additive manufacturing technologies.

The integration of these properties with advanced features that are being developed in the SPE field, such as shutdown function or flame retardancy, will allow natural polymers to become key materials for the next generation of sustainable/high performance solid-state batteries.
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