Metabolomics analysis reveals different mechanisms of cadmium response and functions of reduced glutathione in cadmium detoxification in the Chinese cabbage
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Abstract
Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa) is one of the vegetable crops with the largest acreage and yield in East Asia. With the aggravation of heavy metal pollution in soil, the excessive accumulation of heavy metal has become increasingly severe in Chinese cabbage, among which, cadmium is one of the most representative elements. It is critical to understand the regulatory mechanism of Cadmium (Cd) response and tolerance in Chinese cabbage at the metabolism level. Comparative of functional metabolomics and transcript analyses were conducted in Chinese cabbage grown on half-strength MS solid medium with different Cd concentrations (5, 25, 100 µM Cd). The results revealed that the transcript responses were not consistent with the metabolic responses, and Cd treatment at different concentrations could induce more specific differentially expressed metabolites. Moreover, the reduced glutathione (GSH) metabolism pathway was induced under the higher Cd stresses (25, 100 µM Cd). The following result indicated that the GSH played a role in the reduction of Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage under the higher Cd stresses but led to Cd accumulation under the low Cd stress. This study offers critical information on handling Cd contamination in Chinese cabbages at the different Cd contamination conditions. Different concentration of Cd stresses resulted different responses in Chinese cabbage, and GSH accumulate Cd in the low Cd stress, and exclude Cd in the higher Cd stresses in Chinese cabbage.
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Introduction
Due to the improper application of pesticides and chemical fertilizers in recent years, heavy metal pollutants have been increasing in the soil of farm fields and facility agriculture fields, which greatly threatens the safety of agriculture production (Li et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2018; Singh and Prasad 2017). Cadmium (Cd), categorized as one of the class I carcinogens by the World Health Organization (WHO), is a primary element of heavy metal pollutants in soil. Cd mostly exists in the ionic state; most cadmium compounds are easily dissolved in water and absorbed by vegetables and crops, severely increasing the risk of human health through the food chain (Yang et al. 2016).

In plants, some materials and ion transporters that can transport Cd²⁺ into the vacuole and out of the cell membrane also function as key Cd detoxification pathways, such as ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters, heavy metal ATPase (HMA). For example, (heavy metal transporter) HMT1 and HMA3 can isolate Cd into the vacuole in yeast and plants (Huang et al. 2012; Miyadate et al. 2011), and AtPDR8 excludes Cd²⁺ outside of the root cell membrane in Arabidopsis (Kim et al. 2007). In Arabidopsis, Nramp1 (natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 1) was identified to mediate the absorbance of Cd and Mn (Cailliatte et al. 2010), and AtHMA2 and AtHMA4 are involved in transporting Cd into xylem (Mills et al. 2005; Wong and Cobbett 2009). In rice, OsNramp1 localizes in plasma membrane...
and participates in cellular Cd$^{2+}$ transport (Takahashi et al. 2011). OsNramp5 plays an imperative role in Cd and Mn transport in the root of rice, and knockout of OsNramp5 led to the decrease of Cd and Mn accumulation in rice (Sasaki et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2014). OsHMA2 were referred to the loading of Cd into the xylem (Yamaji et al. 2013).

In addition to Cd transporters, plants respond to Cd stress by chelating Cd$^{2+}$ with Cd chelating metabolites, thereby reducing the concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ and relieving the toxicity of Cd. Reduced glutathione (GSH) is an imperative active material to chelate free radical, which widely exists in plants and animals (Griffith et al. 1978). When plants were under Cd stress, the content of GSH increased rapidly and chelated Cd$^{2+}$, thus relieving the damage of Cd stress to the metabolic activities in the cytosol (Marrs, 1996). Under Cd stress, phytochelatin synthase (PCs) can transfer c-Glu-Cys to GSH, and then generate phytochelatin (PC) (Thangavel et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2010). PC can not only combine Cd$^{2+}$ directly, but also reduce the damage of oxidative stress caused by heavy metals (Schutzendubel et al. 2001; Schutzendubel and Polle 2002). Moreover, GSH and PC facilitate most of ABC transporters in the transport of Cd (Bovet et al. 2005; Rauser 1995; Yamaguchi et al. 2020).

In addition, in plants, metallothionein and organic acid can also bind with Cd$^{2+}$, thereby alleviating the toxicity of Cd to plants (Liu et al. 2020; Choppala et al. 2014; Gallego et al. 2012). In recent years, studies have shown that, under Cd stress, plants generate low molecular weight organic acids, such as oxalic acid and malic acid. These organic acids can be exported from the root, and combine with Cd$^{2+}$, thereby blocking Cd$^{2+}$ from the plants, and reducing Cd$^{2+}$ absorbance in the root (Montiel-Rozas et al. 2016). Under Cd stress, the root of tomato can secret oxalic acid, thereby keeping Cd$^{2+}$ outside, and enhance the Cd tolerance of the tomato (Zhu et al. 2011). Application of citric acid and malic acid to rice roots is able to alleviate the Cd content of the leaves significantly (Sebastian and Prasad 2018). Besides chelating Cd$^{2+}$ directly, the metabolites produced by the plants are used to deal with other stresses caused by the heavy metal stresses, such as osmotic and oxidative stress (Arbona et al. 2013; Hernández et al. 2015).

Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa) is the representative and most popular leafy vegetable in East Asia. Leafy vegetables in the Brassicaceae family have a high ability of Cd accumulation in the leaf parts (Kuboi et al. 1986). With the aggravation of heavy metal pollution in vegetable fields, Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage is increasingly severe. However, the mechanism associated with Cd accumulation and tolerance is still poorly understood.

Here, we carried out the metabolic and transcript profiling of Chinese cabbage under Cd stresses with different levels of Cd (low (5 μM), medium (25 μM) and high (100 μM)). The analyses of metabolome and transcriptome of Chinese cabbage under different levels of Cd stresses have important theoretical value for us to reveal the mechanism of Cd response and Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage, which is helpful to cultivate new varieties of low-Cd-accumulation Chinese cabbage.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant treatments

Guangdongzaoo, a cultivar of Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa), was used in this research, which was widely used in the former traditional Chinese cabbage breeding work, and saved in the Vegetable Research Institute, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

The seeds were germinated and grown in half-strength MS solid media with 0, 5, 25 and 100 μM CdCl$_2$, respectively, in a controlled environment at 22/20 °C in a 14-h-light/10-h-dark photoperiod for 16 days.

For the measurement of the Cd$^{2+}$ concentration in the seedlings, 16-d-old seedlings grown on half-strength MS solid media with different Cd treatments mentioned above were collected and washed as previously described (Gong et al. 2003) with minor modifications. Three biological replicates of each Cd-treated group were collected.

For the measurement of the Cd$^{2+}$ concentration in the shoot without or with GSH, the Chinese cabbage seeds were germinated on the soil for 6 days. The seedlings with the same grow condition were transferred to the pots, and then bottom flooded once with 0.4 L per pot (pot size 0.4 L) of 0, 5, 25, and 100 μM CdCl$_2$, respectively. After 6 days, 10 milliliter GSH (100 μM) were injected into the soil surrounding the roots, and the seedlings continued growing for 6 days before sampling. Three duplicate samples were taken for each treatment.

The Chinese cabbage cultivar named Beijingsixin3 was chose to carry out the measurement of Cd$^{2+}$ content in the mature shoots, which is one of the most popular Chinese cabbage cultivar in the market. In this part, two GSH application methods were selected to study the effect of GSH on Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage with three biological repeats of each experiment. Firstly, GSH was applied by root irrigation. The seeds were sowed at the selected vegetable field, which Cd accumulation was tested. The seedlings were grown in the field for 10 days, and then the soil surround the root was injected with 200 ml water with 0 or 100 μM GSH followed by normal watering until sampling (60 days). Second, for foliar GSH application, the seedlings were grown...
in the field for 30 days, and then 100 ml water with 0 or 100 μM GSH were sprayed in the leaves of the lines, and the Chinese cabbage continued growing for 35 days before three biological samples were collected.

The Cd²⁺ contents were measured with an ICP-MC (Thermo iCAP Q, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The Cd accumulation of the plant tissues and soil were calculated as the amount of Cd comparing with the fresh weight.

**Metabolome with LC–MS/MS and data analysis**

Tissues (0.5 g) were grounded with liquid nitrogen and the slurry was resuspended with prechilled 80% methanol and 0.1% formic acid completely. The samples were incubated on ice for 5 min and centrifuged at 15,000 g at 4 °C for 5 min. A part of the supernatant was diluted with LC–MS grade water so that the final concentration of methanol in the solution reached 60%. The samples were subsequently transferred to a fresh tube through a 0.22 μM filter and then centrifuged at 15,000 g at 4 °C for 10 min. Finally, the filtered solution (100 μl) was mixed with pre-cooled methanol (400 μl) and injected into the LC–MS/MS system.

LC–MS/MS analyses were performed using a Vanquish UHPLC system coupled with an Orbitrap Q Exactive series mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Samples were injected into a Hyperil Gold column (100×2.1 mm, 1.9 μM) using a 16-min linear gradient at a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min. The eluents for the positive polarity mode were solution A (0.1% FA in Water) and solution B (Methanol). The eluents for the negative polarity mode were solution C (5 mM ammonium acetate, pH 9.0) and solution B (Methanol). The solvent gradient was set as follows: 2% B, 1.5 min; 2–100% B, 12.0 min; 100% B, 14.0 min; 100–2% B, 14.1 min; 2% B, 16 min. Q Exactive mass spectrometer was processed in a positive/negative polarity mode with a spray voltage of 3.2 kV, a capillary temperature of 320 °C, a sheath gas flow rate of 35 arb, and an aux gas flow rate of 10 arb.

The raw data generated by UHPLC-MS/MS were processed using the compound discoverer 3.0 software (v. 3.0, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) to perform peak alignment, peak picking, and quantitation for each metabolite. The parameters were set as follows: retention time tolerance, 0.2 min; actual mass tolerance, 5 ppm; signal intensity tolerance, 30%; signal/noise ratio, 3; and minimum intensity, 100,000. After that, the peak intensities were normalized to the total spectral intensity. The normalized data predict the molecular formula based on additive ions, molecular ion peaks and fragment ions. The peaks were matched with the mzCloud (https://www.mzcloud.org/) and ChemSpider (http://www.chemspider.com/) database to obtain the accurate qualitative and relative quantitative results. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software R (R version R-3.4.3), Python (Python 2.7.6 version) and CentOS (CentOS release 6.6). When data were distributed and disordered, normal transformations were attempted using the area normalization method.

These metabolites were annotated using the KEGG database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/), HMDB database (http://www.hmdb.ca/) and Lipid map database (http://www.lipidmaps.org/). Principal components analysis (PCA) and Partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) were conducted using the metaX software (Wen et al. 2017). We applied univariate analysis (t-test) to calculate the statistical significance (P value). The metabolites with VIP > 1, P value < 0.05 and fold change (FC) ≥ 1.2 or FC ≤ 0.833 were considered to be differentially expressed metabolites. Volcano plots were used to filter metabolites of interest based on log₂ (FC) and − log₁₀ (P value) of the metabolites. For clustering analysis, the data were normalized using z-scores of the intensity areas of the differentially expressed metabolites, and plotted using the P heat map package. The correlation between the differentially expressed metabolites was analyzed by cor () in the R language (method = pearson). A statistically significant correlation between the differentially expressed metabolites was calculated by cor. m test () in the R language. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant, and correlation plots were plotted by the corrplot package in the R language. The functions of these metabolites and metabolic pathways were analyzed using the KEGG database. The significant metabolic enrichment pathways of differentially expressed metabolites were investigated, and the P value of the metabolic pathways < 0.05 was selected as the differentially regulated pathways.

**Transcriptome sequencing and data analysis**

Three biological replicates selected in half-strength MS solid media without or with Cd treatments were used to perform RNA-seq analysis. The total RNA was isolated by Trizol, and mRNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using a random hexamer primer and M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (RNase H-). Second strand cDNA synthesis was subsequently performed using DNA polymerase I and RNase H. The library fragments were purified with the AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). Then PCR was performed with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase, universal PCR primers and index (X) Primer. Finally, PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system), and the library
quality was evaluated on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).

The clustering of the samples was conducted on a cBot Cluster Generation System using TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumia, San Diego, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After cluster generation, the cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Novaseq platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads. For novel transcript prediction, each sample’s mapped reads were assembled by StringTie (v1.3.3b) (Pertea et al. 2015). StringTie used a novel network flow algorithm and an optional de novo assembly step to assemble and quantitate full-length transcripts, representing multiple splice variants for each gene locus. Novogene carried out total RNA isolation, library construction, sequencing, and raw data analysis.

Correlation analysis between DEMs and DEGs

Three independent biological replicates from the six were randomly selected in the DEMs and co-analyzed with DEGs from the independent biological replicates. Fifty DEMs and one hundred DEGs whose P value ranged from the lowest value among all the DEMs and DEGs were analyzed by the Pearson correlation coefficient (Adler and Parmryd 2010).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were conducted in triplicates. Data were analyzed using variance (ANOVA) analysis with Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT). Standard errors were calculated for all mean values, and differences were considered significant at the P ≤ 0.05 level.

Fig. 1  Cd tolerance test and Cd content measurement. A Chinese cabbage seedlings grown on half-strength MS plates vertically without or with 5, 25, 100 µM CdCl2 for 16 d. Representative result from three reproducible experiments shown. B Average root length of seedlings cultured as the same growth condition in (A). The root length of three seedlings of each class was measured as the mean value. Error bars indicates ± SD from three independent experiments. P value of Student’s t test: Chinese cabbage lines with Cd stresses compared with the control. bP < 0.01; cP < 0.001. C Cd content measured in Chinese cabbage seedlings cultured as the same growth condition in (A). P value of Student’s t test: Chinese cabbage lines with 100 µM Cd and 25 µM Cd compared with 25 µM Cd and 5 µM Cd. ***P < 0.001
Results

Phenotypic variation of Chinese cabbage under different levels of Cd stresses

As shown in Fig. 1A and B, the seedlings treated with the medium-Cd stress showed a significantly worse growth condition and a shorter root length compared with those of the seedlings treated with the low-Cd-stress, and the seedlings grown under high-Cd-stress were also significantly worse than the seedlings under the medium-Cd-stress. Under the high Cd concentration, the Cd accumulation in the Chinese cabbage was greatly elevated (Fig. 1C). These results suggested that stresses with different Cd concentrations could cause different damages for Chinese cabbage and induce different reactions in response to the stresses.

Differentially expressed metabolites (DEMs) identified from metabolomics analysis

To detect the difference in metabolites and pathways in response to different Cd stresses, the metabolic profiles in the 16-d-old seedlings without or with the Cd treatments were analyzed by LC–MS in the positive ion detection model (ESI+) and the negative ion detection model (ESI−). A total of 2275 and 903 metabolites were detected in all the samples in ESI+ and ESI−, respectively (Supplemental Table S1). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to confirm the quality of the data from the different samples. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, the control sample clustered together and all six independent biological repeats from each group surround the quality control samples. The partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was also used to detect the differences between the Cd treatment groups and the control group (Fig. 2C–I). The DEMs comparing Cd-treated plants against the control were selected according to variable importance in the projection (VIP) based on the selection criteria of OPLS-DA > 1, fold change (FC) > 1.2 (or FC < 0.833), and P value < 0.05 (t-test) (Supplemental Table S2–8).

Profiling of DEMs expressed under all three Cd stresses in Chinese cabbage

To better and concisely understand the difference, the metabolic profile of 5 μM Cd-treated plants compared to the control is hereafter referred to as comparison 1 or C1; likewise, 25 μM Cd-treated plants compared to the control is C2; and 100 μM Cd-treated plants compared to the control is C3. As shown in Fig. 3A, there were 157 DEMs (101 were up-regulated and 56 were down-regulated) in C1, 177 DEMs (108 were up-regulated and 69 were down-regulated) in C2, and 297 DEMs (141 were up-regulated and 156 were down-regulated) in C3. Seven were common to both C1 and C2, 29 were common to both C1 and C3, 19 were common to both C2 and C3, and 18 were common to all the comparison groups (Fig. 3C). The total number of the DEMs is 509, and the majority of these DEMs had different fold changes in expression (Fig. 3B). Detailed information regarding these metabolites was summarized in Supplemental Table S9. Among the 509 DEMs, only 3.5% (18) were found to be common in all three comparisons including methanediylidenebis, FzM1, viristatin, convicine, doramapimod, dicty-oquinazol A, regorafenib and etc. (Supplemental Table S8).

DEMs specifically regulated in three different Cd stresses in Chinese cabbage

In addition to the 18 common DEMs (3.5%) in all three comparisons, 7 DEMs (1.4%) were involved in both C1 and C2; 29 DEMs (5.7%) were involved in both C1 and C3; and 49 DEMs (9.6%) were involved in both C2 and C3. By the intersection analysis (Fig. 3C), a significant number of DEMs were found to be specifically regulated in C1, C2 and C3, respectively. In C1, 20.2% of the selected DEMs (103) were found to be specifically regulated. In C2, the same percentage of the DEMs (103) was found to be specifically regulated. In C3, 39.3% of the DEMs (200) were specifically involved. Compared to the DEMs in the cross sections, there were many more DEMs specifically induced under the low-Cd-stress, the medium-Cd-stress, and the high-Cd-stress treatments.

Metabolic pathways corresponding to different Cd stresses

To better understand the responses of Chinese cabbage to low-Cd-stress, medium-Cd-stress, and high-Cd-stress, the DEMs were mapped to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. As shown in Table 1, with low-Cd-stress, phosphonate and phosphinate metabolism and indole alkaloid biosynthesis pathways were differentially induced. When treated with medium-Cd-stress and the high-Cd-stress, only glutathione metabolism pathway was differentially regulated. However, more DEMs were involved in glutathione metabolism pathway in high-Cd-stress (homotrypanothione, glutathionylspermine, glutathione disulfide, glutathionylaminopropylcadaverine) than in medium-Cd-stress (glutathionylspermine, glutathione disulfide), and the P value of the pathway was much smaller under the
Fig. 2 Score scatter plot of PCA model A, B and PLS-DA model C–H for all detected metabolites of the Chinese cabbages without or with different Cd stress conditions (5, 25, 100 μM Cd). Different experimental groups are indicated by the different scatter colors, and the numbers indicate the independent biological repeats. Data are presented for both positive (ESI +, A and C–E) and negative (ESI −, B and G–H) ion detection mode.
high-Cd-stress than the medium-Cd-stress (Table 1), indicating that glutathione metabolism pathway was more significantly regulated in response to the high-Cd-stress compared with the medium-Cd-stress.
Transcriptome analysis in the Chinese cabbage under the three different Cd stresses

The different growth situation and Cd accumulation of the Chinese cabbage under the treatment of the three Cd concentration could also be associated with gene expression. RNA-seq analysis was conducted on the three comparisons (C1, C2 and C3) to verify the DEGs' expression pattern. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with statistically significant change (a fold change > or < 1, DESeq adjusted P value < 0.05) (Love et al. 2014) in C1, C2, and C3 were selected. In the three different treatments, the largest number of DEGs (2288 up-regulated and 1575 down-regulated) was identified in C3 (100 μM Cd vs control), and the least number of DEGs (350 up-regulated and 539 down-regulated) was identified in C1 (5 μM Cd vs control) (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4B, 150 DEGs are common to the three comparisons. However, more DEGs specifically exist in different comparisons, and the number of DEGs enhance as the increase of the Cd concentration treatment.

To validate the RNA-seq data, 7 DEGs in the intersection part of the three comparison groups, which shows a log₂ (Fold Change) ≥ 2, were selected for real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) identification. As shown in Fig. 4C, most of these DEGs share similar expression patterns by the two analyses, indicating that RNA-seq could be used for gene expression profiling of Chinese cabbage in response to the different levels of Cd stress. Among these DEGs, BraA05g004360.3C and BraA04g002030.3C are members of glycoside hydrolase family encoding proteins similar to beta-glucosidase, which had a peak value at a certain concentration of Cd stress. Another two DEGs, BraA08g023600.3C and BraA03g002980.3C encoding a gibberellin 2-oxidase and a methionine sulfoxide reductase 3, respectively, were enriched to the same GO term (GO: 0,055,114, oxidation–reduction process). BraA08g015710.3C is a member of SAUR family protein, relating to plant hormone signal transduction, while BraA04g026280.3C and BraA01g019180.3C are unknown genes.

Co-analysis of metabolomics and transcriptome

Correlation analysis was performed between transcriptomics and metabolomics to reveal the consistency between DEGs and DEMs. One hundred DEGs and fifty DEMs were randomly selected according to the P value (from lowest to highest scores) and compared. As shown in Supplementary Fig.S1, under lower Cd stresses (C1 and C2), the correlations between DEGs and DEMs are over eighty percentage; under higher Cd stress (C3), the correlations is just about fifty percentage. It is suggested that the response of Chinese cabbage to Cd stress was deeply interrupted and more complicated at the transcriptional and metabolic level, and the DEGs did not directly associate with the DEMs under severe Cd stress. According to the KEGG database, the DEMs and DEGs were compared and assigned to explore the fundamental functional cadmium-response pathways further. As shown in Table 1 and 2, three KEGG pathways were identified in the four comparison groups at the metabolic level, and sixteen KEGG pathways were identified at the transcriptional level. We also performed a correlation analysis of KEGG pathways at both metabolic and transcriptional levels (Supplementary Fig.S2). The results showed that only two pathways were same enriched in the metabolic and transcriptional levels.
GSH accumulated Cd in the Chinese cabbage with the low-Cd-stress and reduced Cd content with the medium- and high-Cd-stress

As the metabolites are the ultimate products of the biological process, and the direct substrates of stress responses, and some of the metabolites could be used for improving the growth state on the adversity stress, we focused on the result of the metabolic analysis. As shown in Fig. 5A, under the medium-Cd stress, two metabolites, glutathione disulfide (GSSG) and glutathionylspermine, were significantly reduced compared to the control. Under the high-Cd-stress, more two metabolites: glutathionylaminopropylcadaverine and homotrypanothione were also significantly declined. Considering all of these four metabolites were mainly generated by GSH in the GSH metabolism pathway, GSH might play a critical role in Cd responses in Chinese cabbage under Cd stresses at higher concentration.

To further identify the role of GSH in Cd responses in Chinese cabbage, we germinate the “Guangdongzao” seeds for 6 days, and then transfered the seedlings into the pot with soils from the vegetable farmland (36º71’43.83” N, 117º09’08.62” E) (Table 3), which bottom flooded with 5, 25 and 100 μM Cd solution. The exogenous GSH (100 μM, 10 ml) were added in the soil surrounding the roots of Chinese cabbage seedlings after 6 days. The shoot was collected for the Cd content measurement after another 6 days. As shown in Fig. 5B, under the low-Cd-stress, GSH facilitated the Cd accumulation in the shoot of Chinese cabbage. However, under the medium-Cd and high-Cd-stress, GSH reduced the Cd accumulation.

GSH elevated the Cd accumulation in the shoot of the Chinese cabbage in the vegetable lands

To test whether GSH can be utilized for alleviating the Cd contamination in the production of Chinese cabbages, we sowed the seeds of the representative Chinese cabbage cultivar (Beijingxin3) in China in the vegetable fields, which the Cd content of the soil was below the Chinese national standard (36º71’43.83” N, 117º09’08.62” E) (Table 3) or over the standard (36º78’51.33” N, 118º84’24.81” E) (Table 4). As shown in Fig. 5C and D, when treated with a certain concentration (100 μM) of GSH, the Chinese cabbages grown on the soils with different Cd contents accumulated more Cd than the control. For foliar GSH application, the GSH also can accumulate Cd in the leaves of Chinese cabbage, and reduce the Cd contamination in the soil (Fig. 5E). This indicated that, in the tested vegetable field, GSH enhanced the Cd accumulation in the Chinese cabbages.

Discussion

Stresses with different Cd concentrations could cause different damages for Chinese cabbage and induce different reactions in response to the stresses. To clarify the differences in metabolite and transcript pathways in response to different Cd stresses, comparative of functional metabolite and transcript analyses were carried out in Chinese cabbage treated with different Cd concentrations.

Among the 509 DEMs detected in the metabolite analysis, only 3.5% were found to be common in all three comparisons, which indicated that these common DEMs were likely induced directly by the Cd toxicity, and might have little relation with the concentration of Cd. And the results also suggested that notable metabolic differences exist in Chinese cabbage under different Cd stress levels.

Similarly, as the concentration of the cadmium stress increased, the expression of the genes in Chinese cabbage was affected severely, and the different Cd stresses of Chinese cabbage could share specific cadmium response mechanisms at the transcriptional level. Correlation analysis of KEGG pathways was carried out at both metabolic and transcriptional levels, we found that only two pathways were same enriched, suggesting DEMs and DEGs’ enrichments from the KEGG database were not consistent in the Chinese cabbage with cadmium stress. Considering metabolites, as the ultimate products, play important roles in plant growth and development, we focused on the metabolite analysis.

KEGG analysis on metabolomics showed that only glutathione metabolism pathway was differentially regulated when treated with medium- and high-Cd-stress, which suggested that the Cd stresses at different concentrations caused different responses in Chinese cabbage, and glutathione metabolism pathway was critical in response to the higher Cd stresses.

In the following test, we found that GSH reduced Cd accumulation in the Chinese cabbage under the medium and high Cd stresses, however, GSH elevated the Cd accumulation under the low Cd stress. It suggested that GSH could play different roles in Cd accumulation of Chinese cabbage under the different Cd concentration. GSH is a well-known Cd chelation compound, which can bind with Cd²⁺ and other heavy metal ions (Jożefczak et al. 2014; Rizwan et al. 2018). In plants, GSH facilitates Cd transporters in transporting Cd²⁺, which can be used to isolate or remove Cd²⁺ to prevent the metabolism in the cell (Brunetti et al., 2015; Rauser, 1995).

However, in our field test, the Cd accumulation in the Chinese cabbages was enhanced after GSH treatment. This is different from reports about the function of GSH in the
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prevention of Cd contamination in rice and oilseed rape (Cai et al. 2010; Cao et al. 2015; Huang et al. 2019). Considering the mild Cd contamination could be the popular Cd contamination occurred in the vegetable field (Kumar et al. 2007; Ma et al. 2018), our results indicated that GSH could not be used as Cd scavenger in the most vegetable field for the production of Chinese cabbages.

The proposed model

Considering GSH facilitates Cd transporters in Cd transporting, the difference of Cd accumulation could be due to the different kinds of Cd transporters. As shown in Fig. 5, we speculate that, under the low-Cd-stress, Cd$^{2+}$ was isolated by the Cd transporters in the vacuole, which might be the main Cd tolerance pathway. With the GSH, more Cd$^{2+}$ were transported to the vacuole from cytosol, and more Cd$^{2+}$ will enter into the cytosol from the outside of the root by the ion gradient, which is consistent with the observation in rice (Miyadate et al. 2011). This tolerance mechanism will relieve the damage of Cd in the cytosol. However, more Cd will be accumulated in the whole plant. When Chinese cabbage was treated under medium- and high-Cd-stress, Cd exclusion played the main role in the resistance of Cd toxicity; with GSH, more Cd$^{2+}$ were transported outside of the cell by the Cd transporters in the root cell membrane, which has been observed in Arabidopsis (Kim et al. 2007). Moreover, GSH significantly affect iron nutrition, and the real function of most “Cd transporters” is the transporters of some iron ions. Thus, in fact, Cd$^{2+}$ is the competitor of the iron ions. According to our result, GSH facilitate

| Comparison | Pathway                                    | P value      | DEGs | Down-regulated DEGs | Up-regulated DEGs |
|------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------|------|---------------------|-------------------|
| C1         | Glucosinolate biosynthesis                 | 0.000175001  | 5    | 0                   | 5                 |
|            | Homologous recombination                    | 0.013272429  | 7    | 3                   | 4                 |
|            | 2-oxocarboxylic acid metabolism             | 0.036534946  | 6    | 0                   | 6                 |
|            | Glutathione metabolism                      | 0.041304977  | 7    | 2                   | 5                 |
|            | Phosphonate and phosphinate metabolism       | 0.048769441  | 2    | 0                   | 2                 |
| C2         | Starch and sucrose metabolism               | 0.000484048  | 20   | 8                   | 12                |
|            | Alpha-linolenic acid metabolism             | 0.002340248  | 8    | 6                   | 2                 |
|            | Ribosome                                   | 0.003137719  | 31   | 30                  | 1                 |
|            | Cyanomino acid metabolism                   | 0.003677173  | 9    | 5                   | 4                 |
|            | Plant hormone signal transduction           | 0.007415701  | 32   | 22                  | 10                |
|            | Fatty acid degradation                      | 0.019371553  | 7    | 4                   | 3                 |
| C3         | Ribosome                                   | 3.35E-66     | 181  | 177                 | 4                 |
|            | Glucosinolate biosynthesis                  | 0.00025609   | 10   | 0                   | 10                |
|            | C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism         | 0.001503401  | 6    | 3                   | 3                 |
|            | Fructose and mannose metabolism             | 0.001727526  | 20   | 15                  | 5                 |
|            | Starch and sucrose metabolism               | 0.007601079  | 36   | 24                  | 12                |
|            | Fatty acid elongation                       | 0.014511463  | 14   | 10                  | 4                 |
|            | Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis | 0.033269636  | 8    | 4                   | 4                 |
|            | Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism | 0.044548909  | 30   | 24                  | 6                 |
|            | DNA replication                             | 0.045340258  | 15   | 13                  | 2                 |
the absorbance of the Cd$^{2+}$, and more iron ions should be excluded outside the Chinese cabbage, and more nutritional iron ions should be remaining in the soil. Our results suggest that GSH plays different roles in Cd detoxification of Chinese cabbage Fig. 6.
Conclusion

Chinese cabbage has been severely contaminated by Cd in recent years. Previous studies on the responses of plants to heavy metal stresses usually focus on the natural properties of heavy metal elements. Nevertheless, in different vegetable fields, the concentrations of heavy metals are usually different, which could cause different damages to plants, and might have different properties of the stress. Here, we carried out the Metabolome and transcript sequencing analysis of Chinese cabbage under different levels of Cd. We found that different Cd levels induced different DEMs and DEGs, and the responses of Chinese cabbage to different Cd stresses were not consistent in the transcript and metabolic levels. From the metabolic analysis, we found that GSH played a different role in Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage with different Cd stresses. After the farmland test of GSH application in Chinese cabbage, we believed that GSH are helpful for the Cd accumulation in Chinese cabbage in the Cd mild contaminated soil. Considering the large production of Chinese cabbage, our result, which different from before, provide a novel strategy for solving the Cd accumulation in soil.

Fig. 5 Schematic diagram of GSH metabolism and the affection of GSH in Cd accumulation of Chinese cabbage under different levels of Cd stresses. A Metabolic flux revealing the variations in metabolites of glutathione metabolism pathway in the Chinese cabbage seedlings in C2 (25 μM Cd vs control) and C3 (100 μM Cd vs control). Metabolic pathway was established based on the figure generated from the Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database with some modifications. Green boxes indicate metabolites with decreased abundance, while blank boxes imply no significant changes, and the number of the inside boxes represent the fold change of the metabolite in C2 or C3. In each box, the left compartment represents metabolite alteration in C2 and the right compartment indicates the corresponding alteration in C3. B Cd content in shoot in 18-day-old Chinese cabbage (Guangdongzao) seedlings. GSH (100 μM, 10 ml) was applied until they were 12 days old. C, D Cd content of the 65-day-old mature tissues of the Chinese cabbage (Beijingxin3) in the soil which contain 0.385 ± 0.020 mg/kg Cd²⁺ (C) and 0.089 ± 0.010 mg/kg (D). The seedlings were grown in the field for 10 days, and then the soil around the root was injected with 200 ml water without or with 100 μM GSH followed by normal watering until sampling. Dotted and segment lines indicate Chinese national standard for cadmium content in agricultural land and vegetables respectively. P values from Student’s t test were determined for plants treated with Cd and GSH compared with plants treated with GSH: *P < 0.05; **P 0.01. E Cd content of the Chinese cabbage planted in the Cd contaminated soil (0.385 ± 0.020 mg/kg Cd²⁺) and the soil around the Chinese cabbage after the treatment of water and GSH. The seedlings were grown in the field for 30 days, and then Cd content of soil was measured. *P values from Student’s t test were determined for plants treated with GSH compared with plants treated with water: *P < 0.05; **P 0.01. FW means Fresh weight. Error bars indicates ± SD from three or five (Cd content of soil) independent experiments.

Table 3 Soil indexes of the vegetable farmland soil with excessive Cd

|            | PH     | Organic material g/kg | Total nitrogen (%) | Total phosphorus (%) | Total potassium (%) | Cd mg/kg |
|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Value      | 7.467  | 82.133                | 0.389              | 0.242                | 1.390              | 0.089    |
| ± SD       | 0.135  | 13.276                | 0.037              | 0.011                | 0.050              | 0.010    |

Table 4 Soil indexes of the normal vegetable farmland soil

|            | PH     | Organic material g/kg | Total nitrogen (%) | Total phosphorus (%) | Total potassium (%) | Cd mg/kg |
|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Value      | 7.433  | 80.667                | 0.374              | 0.225                | 1.297              | 0.385    |
| ± SD       | 0.153  | 10.248                | 0.027              | 0.013                | 0.122              | 0.020    |
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Acknowledgements  This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020MC145) to Lilong He; Postdoctoral innovation project of Shandong Province (202103079) to Han Zheng; Modern Agricultural Industrial Technology System Funding of Shandong Province, China (SDAIT-02-022-04) to Jianwei Gao; Shandong Upgraded Project of “Bohai Granary” Science and Technology Demonstration Engineering (2019BHLCO05) to Jianwei Gao; China Agriculture Research System (CARS-23-G14) to Jianwei Gao; Cooperation project on nutritional value and health-care function of special vegetable for pregnant women and infants,61200012001902, to Wei Zhang.

Author contribution  LH, HZ and CY performed the experiments with assistance from XL, QZ; LH and HZ carried out most of the analyses with assistance from DC, YL; LH and HZ designed the project and experiments; HZ and LH wrote the manuscript, and JG and WZ reviewed the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding  Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, ZR2020MC145, Lilong He, Modern Agricultural Industrial Technology System Funding of Shandong Province, SDAIT-02-022-04, Jianwei Gao, Shenyang Science and Technology Bureau, 2019BHLCO05, Jianwei Gao, China Agriculture Research System, CARS-23-G14, Jianwei Gao, Postdoctoral innovation project of Shandong Province, 202103079, Han Zheng, Cooperation project on nutritional value and health-care function of special vegetable for pregnant women and infants, 61200012001902, Wei Zhang.

Data availability  The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. RNA-Seq sequence data were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra) under accession number SAMN22567713, SAMN22567714, SAMN22567715, SAMN22567716, SAMN22567717, SAMN22567718, SAMN22567719, SAMN22567720, SAMN22567721, SAMN22567722, SAMN22567723, SAMN22567724 for C_1, C_2, C_3, VP25_1, VP25_2, VP25_3, VP50_1, VP50_2, VP50_3, VP100_1, VP100_2, and VP100_3, respectively.

Code availability  Not applicable.
References

Adler J, Parmryd I (2010) Quantifying colocalization by correlation: the pearson correlation coefficient is superior to the Mander’s overlap coefficient. Cytom Part A 77(8):733–742. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyt.a.20896

Arbona V, Manzi M, Ollas C, Gomez-Cadenas A (2013) Metabolomics as a tool to investigate abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Int J Mol Sci 14(3):4885–4911. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14034885

Bovet L, Feller U, Martinoia E (2005) Possible involvement of plant ABC transporters in cadmium detoxification: a cDNA sub-micro- array approach. Environ Int 31:263–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2004.10.011

Brunetti P, Brunetti P, Zanella L, De Paolis A, Di Litta D, Cecchetti Bovet L, Feller U, Martinoia E (2005) Possible involvement of plant ABC transporters in cadmium detoxification: a cDNA sub-micro- array approach. Environ Int 31:263–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2004.10.011

Brunetti P, Brunetti P, Zanella L, De Paolis A, Di Litta D, Cecchetti Bovet L, Feller U, Martinoia E (2005) Possible involvement of plant ABC transporters in cadmium detoxification: a cDNA sub-micro- array approach. Environ Int 31:263–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2004.10.011

Cailliatte R, Schikora A, Briat JF, Mari S, Curie C (2010) High affinity manganese uptake by the metal transporter Nramp1 is essential for Mn uptake of Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 66(13):3815–3829. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv185

Cai Y, Lin L, Cheng W, Zhang G, Wu F (2010) Genotypic dependent effect of exogenous glutathione on Cd-induced changes in cadmium and mineral uptake and accumulation in rice seedlings (Oryza sativa). Plant Soil Environ 56(11):516–525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsse.2011.06.011

Cailliatte R, Schikora A, Briat JF, Mari S, Curie C (2010) High affinity manganese uptake by the metal transporter Nramp1 is essential for Mn uptake of Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 66(13):3815–3829. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv185

Cao F, Cai Y, Liu L, Zhang M, He X, Zhang G, Wu F (2015) Differences in photosynthesis, yield and grain cadmium accumulation as affected by exogenous cadmium and glutathione in the two rice genotypes. Plant Growth Regul 75(3):715–723. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-9973-1

Choppala G, Saifullah BN, Bibi S, Iqbal M, Rengel Z, KunhiKrishnan A, Ashwath N, Ok YS (2014) Cellular mechanisms in higher plants governing tolerance to cadmium toxicity. Crit Rev Plant Sci 33(5):374–391. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.903747

Gallego SM, Pena LB, Barcia RA, Azpilicueta CE, Iannone MF, Rosales EP, Zawoznik MS, Groppa MD, Benavides MP (2012) Unravelling cadmium toxicity and tolerance in plants: insights into regulatory mechanisms. Environ Exp Bot 83:33–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexp.2011.07.004

Gong JM, Lee DA, Schroeder JJ (2003) Long-distance root-to-shoot transport of phytochelatins and cadmium in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100(17):10118–10123. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1734071100

Griffith OW, Bridges RJ, Meister A (1978) Evidence that the gamma-glutamyl cycle functions in vivo using intracellular glutathione: effects of amino acids and selective inhibition of enzymes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75(11):5405–5408. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.11.5405

Hernández LE, Sobrino-Plata J, Montero-Palmero MB, Carrasco-Gil S, Flores-Cáceres ML, Ortega-Villasante C, Escobar C (2015) Contribution of glutathione to the control of cellular redox homeostasis under toxic metal and metalloid stress. J Exp Bot 66(10):2901–2911. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv063

Huang J, Zhang Y, Peng JS, Zhong C, Yi HY, Ow DW, Gong JM (2012) Fission yeast HMT1 lowers seed cadmium through phytochelatin-dependent vacuolar sequestration in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 158(4):1779–1788. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.192872

Huang Y, Zhi Z, Wu X, Liu Z, Zou J, Chen Y, Su N, Cui J (2019) Lower cadmium accumulation and higher antioxidative capacity in edible parts of Brassica campestris L. seedlings applied with glutathione under cadmium toxicity. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(13):13235–13245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04745-7

Jozefczak M, Keunen E, Schat H, Bielek M, Hernández LE, Carleer R, Remans T, Bohler S, Vangronsveld J, Cuypers A (2014) Differential response of Arabidopsis leaves and roots to cadmium: glutathione-related chelating capacity vs antioxidant capacity. Plant Physiol Biochem 83:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.07.001

Kim DY, Bovet L, Maeshima M, Martinoia E, Lee Y (2007) The ABC transporter AtPDR5 is a cadmium extrusion pump conferring heavy metal resistance. Plant J 50(2):207–218. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2007.03044.x

Kuboi T, Naguchi A, Yazaki J (1986) Family dependent cadmium accumulation characteristics in higher plants. Plant Soil 92(3):405–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02372488

Kumar R, Agrawal M, Marshall F (2007) Heavy metal contamination of soil and vegetables in suburban areas of Varanasi, India. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 66(2):258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.11.007

Li H, Yang Z, Dai M, Diao X, Dai S, Fang T, Dong X (2020) Input of Cd from agriculture phosphate fertilizer application in China during 2006–2016. Sci Total Environ 698:134149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134149

Liu Y, Kang T, Cheng JS, Yi YJ, Han JJ, Cheng HL, Li Q, Tang N, Liang MX (2020) Heterologous expression of the metallothioine PpMt2 gene from Physcomitrella patens confers enhanced tolerance to heavy metal stress on transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Plant Growth Regul 90(1):63–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-019-00558-3

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15(12):1–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Ma Q, Wang Z, Yang F, Tie M, Peng W, Sun Y (2018) Present situation of cadmium pollution in vegetable fields and research progress of phytoremediation technology. IOP Conf Ser 186(3):012002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/186/3/012002

Marrs KA (1996) The functions and regulation of glutathione S-transferases in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 47:127–158. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.47.1.127

Mills RF, Francini A, Ferreira da Rocha PS, Baccarini PJ, Aylett M, Krigger GC, Williams LE (2005) The plant P1B-type ATPase AtHMA4 transports Zn and Cd and plays a role in detoxification of transition metals supplied at elevated levels. FEBS Lett 579(3):783–791. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.12.040

Miyadate H, Adachi S, Hiraizumi A, Tezuka K, Nakazawa N, Kawamoto T, Katou K, Kodama I, Sakurai K, Takahashi H, Satoh-Nagasawa N, Watanabe A, Fujimura T, Akagi H (2011) OsHMA3, a P-1B-type of ATPase affects root-to-shoot cadmium translocation in rice by mediating efflux into vacuoles. New Phytol 189(1):190–199. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03459.x

Montiel-Rozas MM, Madejon E, Madejon P (2016) Effect of heavy metals and organic matter on root exudates (low molecular weight organic acids) of herbaceous species: an assessment in sand and...
soil conditions under different levels of contamination. Environ Pollut 216:273–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.080
Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL (2015) StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat Biotechnol 33(3):290–295. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3122
Rauser WE (1995) Phytochelatins and related peptides-structure, biosynthesis, and function. Plant Physiol 109(4):1141–1149. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.4.1141
Rizwan M, Ali S, Zia Ur Rehman M, Rinklebe J, Tsang DCW, Bashir A, Maqbool A, Tack FMG, Ok YS (2018) Cadmium phytoaccumulation mediated of Brassica crop species: a review. Sci Total Environ 631–632:1175–1191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.104
Sasaki A, Yamaji N, Yokosho K, Ma JF (2012) Nramp5 is a major transporter responsible for manganese and cadmium uptake in rice. Plant Cell 24(5):2155–2167. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.096925
Schützendübel A, Schwacz P, Teichmann T, Gross K, Langenfeld-Heyser R, Godbold DL, Polle A (2001) Cadmium-induced changes in antioxidative systems, hydrogen peroxide content, and differentiation in Scots pine roots. Plant Physiol 127(3):887–898. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2001.010318
Schützendübel A, Polle A (2002) Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. J Exp Bot 53(372):1351–1365
Sebastian A, Prasad MNV (2018) Exogenous citrate and malate alleviated cadmium stress in Oryza sativa L.: probing role of cadmium localization and iron nutrition. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 166:215–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.09.084
Singh S, Prasad SM (2017) Effects of 28-homobrassinoloid on key physiological attributes of Solanum lycopersicum seedlings under cadmium stress: photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism. Plant Growth Regul 82:161–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0248-5
Takahashi R, Ishimaru Y, Senoura T, Shimo H, Ishikawa S, Arao T, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK (2011) The OsNRAMP1 iron transporter is involved in Cd accumulation in rice. J Exp Bot 62(14):4843–4850. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err136
Thangavel P, Long S, Minocha R (2007) Changes in phytochelatins and their biosynthetic intermediates in red spruce (Picea rubens Sarg.) cell suspension cultures under cadmium and zinc stress. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 88(2):201–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-006-9192-1
Wen B, Mei Z, Zeng C, Liu S (2017) metaX: a flexible and comprehensive software for processing metabolomics data. BMC Bioinform 18(1):183. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1579-y
Wong CKE, Cribbott CS (2009) HMA P-type ATPases are the major mechanism for root-to-shoot Cd translocation in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol 181(1):71–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02638.x
Yamaguchi C, Khamsalath S, Takimoto Y, Suyama A, Mori Y, Okhama-Ohitsu N, Maruyama-Nakashita A (2020) SLIM1 Transcription factor promotes sulfate uptake and distribution to shoot, along with phytochelatin accumulation, under cadmium stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plants 9(2):163. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9020163
Yang M, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Hu J, Zhang X, Lu K, Dong H, Wang D, Zhao FJ, Huang CF, Lian X (2014) OsNRAMP5 contributes to manganese distribution and distribution in rice shoots. J Exp Bot 65(17):4849–4861. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru259
Yang DP, Guo ZQ, Green ID, Xie DT (2016) Effect of cadmium accumulation on mineral nutrient levels in vegetable crops: potential implications for human health. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23(19):19744–19753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7186-z
Yang S, He M, Zhi Y, Chang SX, Gu B, Liu X, Xu J (2019) An integrated analysis on source-exposure risk of heavy metals in agricultural soils near intense electronic waste recycling activities. Environ Int 133(PtB):105239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105239
Zhang X, Meng H, Shen YS, Li J, Song LS (2018) Survey on heavy metal concentrations and maturity indices of organic fertilizer in China. Int J Agric Biol Eng 11(6):172–179
Zhao C, Qiao M, Yu Y, Xia G, Xiang F (2010) The effect of the heterologous expression of Phragmites australis gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase on the Cd2+ accumulation of Agrostis palustris. Plant Cell Environ 33(6):877–887. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02113.x
Zhu XF, Zheng C, Hu YT, Jiang T, Liu Y, Dong NY, Yang JL, Zheng SJ (2011) Cadmium-induced oxalate secretion from root apex is associated with cadmium exclusion and resistance in Lycopersicon esculentum. Plant Cell Environ 34(7):1055–1064. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02304.x
Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Lilong He1,4 · Chao Yuan1,2 · Xin Li1 · Cheng Li1 · Yongqiang Li3 · Donghua Chen2 · Wei Zhang1,2,4 · Han Zheng1 · Jianwei Gao1,2

Lilong He lceye@126.com
Chao Yuan yc340434727@163.com
Xin Li 15621598391@163.com

Cheng Li lceye@126.com
Yongqiang Li liyongqiang@scbg.ac.cn
Donghua Chen donghua7103@sdu.edu.cn
Wei Zhang
weizhang@sdu.edu.cn

1 Shandong Key Laboratory of Greenhouse Vegetable Biology, Shandong Branch of National Vegetable Improvement Center, Huanghuai Region Vegetable Scientific Station of Ministry of Agriculture (Shandong), Vegetable Research Institute, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jinan 250100, China

2 Key Laboratory of Plant Development and Environment Adaptation Biology, Ministry of Education, School of Life Science, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China

3 Key Laboratory of South China Agricultural Plant Molecular Analysis and Genetic Improvement and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Botany, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China

4 Shandong Baoyingtang Maternal and Infant Education Technology Co., Ltd, Jining 272500, China