INTRODUCTION

Dutch tomato cultivars have been bred with an emphasis on yield (Higashide and Huevelink, 2009) on the premise of long-term and high-wire system (hereinafter referred to as Dutch cultivation system). Many studies have compared the characteristics of Japanese and Dutch cultivars in order to clarify the factors of high yield (Matsuda et al., 2011a; 2011b; 2013; Kakita et al., 2015). The main reasons for the high yield of Dutch cultivars are low light extinction coefficient and high photosynthesis rate, resulting in high light utilization efficiency (Higashide and Huevelink, 2009).

On the other hand, Japanese tomato cultivars have been bred with an emphasis on quality rather than yield (Higashide and Huevelink, 2009), and the selection environments for tomato cultivars differ greatly between Japan and the Netherlands. Japanese cultivars have been selected in open fields subjected to water stress, whereas Dutch cultivars have been selected in a hydroponic condition. In addition, the cultivation method mainly focuses on relatively short plant heights, such as picking meristem in 6 to 8 stages and performed twice a year, or continuous pinching cultivation. In recent years, low-node and high planting density system has attracted attention as a distinctive Japanese cultivation system for stable production of high-quality tomatoes (Johkan et al., 2013; 2014; Kinoshita et al., 2014; Tewolde et al., 2016). Therefore, in order to clarify the varietal characteristics of Japan and the Netherlands, it is necessary to compare those cultivars in the context of the cultivation methods in Japan and the Netherlands.

In this experiment, we focused on morphological characteristics of both Japanese and Dutch cultivars. The morphological difference between Japanese and Dutch cultivars in Dutch cultivation system has been already discussed in detail (Higashide, 2018). However, there is limited information on the morphological characteristics of both cultivars in low-node and high density planting system for high-quality fruit production. In low-node and high-density planting system, the light condition in the community tends to deteriorate due to a decrease in the amount of light transmitted to lower areas caused by the 4~5 times higher planting density compared with that in the Dutch cultivation system. As a solution to this problem, there are some reports of improvement in the training method and supplemental light within the community (Lu et al., 2012a; 2012b; Johkan et al., 2013), but these were performed using only Japanese tomato cultivars. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to clarify the differences of Japanese and Dutch cultivars by focusing on the morphological characteristics and search for suitable morphological features for the Japanese-style cultivation. In order to evaluate the difference in morphological characteristics, we use ‘CF Momotaro York’ as Japanese cultivar, and ‘Endeavour’ as Dutch cultivar. These cultivars are cultivated widely in their respective country.
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In this study, we investigated the differences between the Japanese cultivar ‘CF Momotaro York’ and the Dutch cultivar ‘Endeavour’ regarding their morphological characteristics and fruit yield under the low-node order pinching and high-density planting system. Fresh fruit yield was not significantly different between both cultivars. While the Japanese cultivar had lower total dry weight, had higher distribution rate to fruit. The Dutch cultivar had a lower extinction coefficient and higher integrated solar radiation at fruit truss. It also had a higher fruit temperature and respiration rate than the Japanese cultivar. These results indicated that the lack of difference in yield between both cultivars and lower distribution ratio to fruit in the Dutch cultivar might be due to higher consumption of photoassimilate, which led to higher respiration rate and fruit temperature caused by higher extinction coefficient.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two tomato cultivars (Japanese cultivar ‘CF Momotaro York’, Takii Seed Corporation, Kyoto, Japan, and Dutch cultivar ‘Endeavour’, Rijk Zwaan, De Lier, the Netherlands) were used. The experiment was conducted in a north-south oriented greenhouse (18 m×18 m×4.5 m) covered with an ethylene tetrafluoroethylene film (F-CLEAN; AGC Gumi-Tech, Tokyo, Japan) at Chubu University from August 18 to December 27, 2018.

The seeds were sown in a cell tray filled with commercial substrate (Na-Terra; Mitsubishi Chemical Agri Dream Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The substrate consisted of vermiculite, peat moss and red clay soil, and germinated in a growth chamber maintained at 30°C. Immediately after germination, the seedlings were moved into a chamber set to light intensity of 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and were raised for 3 weeks. The seedlings were then placed individually in 0.5 L pots filled with a mixture of coconut fiber and rice husks (v/v=7:3) and the pots were transplanted into 3 rows of a nutrient film technique hydroponic system with north-south oriented gutters. In each row, 30 plants per cultivar were planted and the plants in the end row were border plants. The border plants were also excluded from the measurement. The planting density was 8.3 plant m⁻², and the seedlings were fertilized using commercial nutrient solution (High-Tempo; Sumitomo Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan). The solution consisted of 7.1 mM NO₃⁻, 4.2 mM K⁺, 3.6 mM Ca²⁺, 1.3 mM Mg²⁺, 1.6 mM HPO₄²⁻, 2.5 mg L⁻¹ Fe, 0.25 mg L⁻¹ Mn, 0.17 mg L⁻¹ B, 0.10 mg L⁻¹ Zn, 0.03 mg L⁻¹ Cu, and 0.05 mg L⁻¹ Mo, adjusted to electrical conductivity of 1.2 dS m⁻¹. All lateral branches were removed, and the shoot tip was pinched to retain three leaves above the first inflorescence. In addition, flowers were sprayed with a commercial hormonal solution (Tomato Tone; ISK Biosciences K.K., Tokyo, Japan) when the fourth flower in the inflorescence opened to enhance the fruit set and no fruit picking. In the greenhouse, the daily mean temperature and humidity were indicated at the fourth flower in the inflorescence opened to enhance the fruit set and no fruit picking. In the greenhouse, the daily mean temperature and humidity were indicated at 15-minute intervals. The extinction coefficient was calculated from the following equation.

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = e^{-kF} \quad \text{equation 1}
\]

where \(I \) is light intensity at each layer, \(I_0 \) is light intensity at the top of the community, \(k \) is extinction coefficient, \(F \) is integrated leaf area index from the top of the community to each layer.

In randomized replicated block design, ten plants were planted in each block, and three replicated blocks were used.

The temperature inside the fruit core at red ripe stage was measured by inserting a thermistor (TR-5220, T&D Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) from November 20 to December 5 and 3 fruits in each cultivar. The cumulative solar radiation at the fruit surface was measured from November 22 to 29 using an integrated solarimeter film (Optleaf R-2D; Taisei Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The film was cut into 5 cm² and affixed to the fruits that were perpendicular to a growing bed. The fading rate was measured using a photometer (THS-470, Taisei Chemical Co., Ltd.) at a wavelength of 470 nm, and the integrated solar radiation from the calibration curve was calculated by the film manufacturer (Taisei Chemical Co., Ltd.).

Four tomato fruits were collected at the mature green stage from each cultivar to evaluate the respiration rate. The fruit was weighed and sealed in 1 L container separately. After 2 hours, 1 mL of headspace gas was collected from the container by using micro-syringe (MS-GAN100, Ito Corporation, Shizuoka, Japan) and carbon dioxide concentration was analyzed using a gas chromatograph (GC-8APT, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The respiration rate was determined based on carbon dioxide levels using a TCD equipped with parallel shunt column (ZY-1, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Helium (53.5 mL min⁻¹) was used as the carrier gas for the detection.

After harvesting the red ripe fruits, the sugar content and acidity were measured using a non-destructive sugar acidity meter (K-BA100R-1; Kubota Corporation, Osaka, Japan) and then placed in a dryer set at 90°C for 10 days, after which the fruit dry weight was measured. After the observation, the growth parameters and the dry weight of other plant parts were measured.

The stem length, stem diameter, and SPAD value were measured after harvesting. Then, 10 plants from each treatment were randomly selected for destructive harvesting. Each plant shoot was divided into leaves and stem parts and the materials were oven-dried at 80°C for 10 days to measure dry weight. The roots include pots and substrate were oven-dried at 80°C for 10 days and then measured total weight include the pot and substrate and finally subtracting the pot and substrate weight.

The individual-leaf area of each cultivar was obtained at the end of cultivation, the extinction coefficient was measured based on the canopy photosynthesis model (1953) of Monsi and Saeki (1953). The leaf area and PFPFD were measured at 5 different height by using light sensor (LI-190R, LI-COR Lincoln, NE, USA) attached to light meter (LI-250A; LI-COR Lincoln, NE, USA) recorded the average value at 15-minute intervals. The extinction coefficient was calculated from the following equation.

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = e^{-kF} \quad \text{equation 1}
\]

where \(I \) is light intensity at each layer, \(L_c \) is light intensity at the top of the community, \(k \) is extinction coefficient, \(F \) is integrated leaf area index from the top of the community to each layer.

In randomized replicated block design, ten plants were planted in each block, and three replicated blocks were used.
were analyzed and finally randomly selected 10 plants were used for growth and fruit yield measurements. Mean values were separated by t-test at the 0.05 significance level.

RESULTS

The stem length of the Dutch cultivar was 1.8 times longer than that of the Japanese cultivar (Table 1). However, there was no difference in the stem diameter of the cultivars. The fruit fresh weight and acidity did not differ between the cultivars, but the sugar content (Brix) was higher in the Japanese cultivar.

The total dry weight of the Dutch cultivar was 24% higher than the Japanese cultivar (Table 2). Regarding the dry matter distribution ratio, the Japanese cultivar had lower ratio to vegetative organs and higher to fruits.

The light extinction coefficient of the Japanese cultivar was significantly higher than that of the Dutch cultivar (P<0.01), (Fig. 2). In other words, the Japanese cultivar had lower light transmissivity inside or below the community. The cumulative amount of light on the fruits’ surface was higher in the Dutch cultivar than in the Japanese one (Fig. 3). Moreover, the fruit temperature of the Dutch cultivar was also higher. The daily average temperature was 17.8°C or the Japanese cultivar and 19.2°C or the Dutch cultivar. The biggest difference in fruit temperature between the cultivars was 5.4°C (Fig. 4).

As a result of measuring the fruit respiration rate in different temperature zones, the rate of the Dutch cultivar was significantly higher than that of the Japanese cultivar at 10°C and 20°C and also tended to be higher at 30°C (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we compared Japanese and Dutch tomato cultivars grown under low node-order pinching and high-density planting system. The results showed that the Japanese cultivar had lower plant height and higher extinc-
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Table 1 Stem length, stem diameter, SPAD values, fresh weight, total soluble solids and titrable acid of two cultivars.

| Cultivar          | Stem length (cm) | Stem diameter (mm) | Fruit fresh weight (g/plant) | Total soluble solids (Brix%) | Titrable acid (%) |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| CF Momotaro York | 72.4 ± 0.6 b 1      | 9.8 ± 0.1 a      | 701 ± 25.8 a                | 4.1 ± 0.2 a                | 5.9 ± 0.1 a      |
| Endeavour         | 130.1 ± 0.7 a    | 9.6 ± 0.2 a      | 685 ± 13.0 a                | 7.2 ± 0.1 a                | 5.5 ± 0.1 b      |

1 Mean ± SD (n = 10)

2 Different letters within a column indicate significant differences by Student’s t-test (P = 0.05) in each cultivar.

Table 2 Plant total dry weight and fraction of dry weight partitioned to leaves, stem, fruit and root of two cultivars.

| Cultivar          | Total dry weight (g/plant) | Dry mass partitioning (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                   | Leaf | Stem | Fruit | Root |
| CF Momotaro York  | 76.9 ± 1.9 b 1      | 19.9 ± 0.9 b | 10.2 ± 0.4 b | 62.5 ± 1.5 a | 7.4 ± 0.8 b |
| Endeavour         | 95.5 ± 1.0 a       | 26.3 ± 0.7 a | 16.7 ± 0.3 a | 48.3 ± 1.2 b | 8.7 ± 0.6 a |

1 Mean ± SD (n = 10)

2 Different letters within a column indicate significant differences by t-test (P = 0.05) in each cultivar.

**Fig. 2** Extinction coefficient (k) of two different cultivars truss under a high-density growing system with the main stem pinched above the first truss. The value was tested on 2 December.

**Fig. 3** Cumulative leaf area (**F**) and Relative PPFD (ln(I/I0) for two different cultivars truss under a high-density growing system with the main stem pinched above the first truss. The value was tested on 2 December.
Temperature. However, it is generally known that excessive sunlight, and this may be due to the higher fruit truss was lifted up to ensure that the fruit was exposed to without the decrease in yield when the leaf above the fruit node-order pinching and high-density planting system (2013) stated that AsA (ascorbic acid) increased in low influenced by the fruit size and the depth. Johkan et al. nese cultivar (Fig. 3). However, the temperatures might be and fruit temperature became higher compared to the Japa-

long internode and acute angle between the leaves and the fruit in the system. The Dutch cultivar, in particular, had a and leaf area index, solar radiation may directly reach the plant height of the community is low. Although it depends on the internode length, leaf angle, and leaf area index, solar radiation may directly reach the fruit in the system. The Dutch cultivar, in particular, had a long internode and acute angle between the leaves and the stem, so that the fruits were easily exposed to direct light and fruit temperature became higher compared to the Japane

s cultivar (Fig. 3). However, the temperatures might be influenced by the fruit size and the depth. Johkan et al. (2013) stated that AsA (ascorbic acid) increased in low node-order pinching and high-density planting system without the decrease in yield when the leaf above the fruit truss was lifted up to ensure that the fruit was exposed to sunlight, and this may be due to the higher fruit temperature. However, it is generally known that excessive fruit warming reduces fruit yield of tomato. Adams et al. (2001) stated that when the fruit temperature was 15, 20, and 25°C the fruit weight was 75.8, 73.8, and 62.2 g respectively. In fact, the daily average fruit temperature was 17.8°C or the Japanese cultivar and 19.2°C for the Dutch one. Moreover, as a result of measuring the respiration rate of green fruits at different temperatures, the Dutch cultivar showed significantly higher values at 10°C and 20°C. These results suggested that the lack of difference in yield between both cultivars and lower distribution rate to fruit dry weight in total dry weight in the Dutch cultivar might be due to higher consumption of photoassimilate, which led to higher respiration rate and fruit temperature caused by higher extinction coefficient.

In conclusion, the Japanese cultivar used in this experiment had a high dry matter distribution ratio to the fruits and the high extinction coefficient to prevent an excessive rise in the fruit temperature. Those characteristics seemed to ensure fruit enlargement with low consumption of assimilates by respiration, and the high extinction coefficient as morphological characteristics is important for low node-order pinching and high-density planting system.
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