SP1-Mediated Upregulation of circFAM126A Promotes Proliferation and Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma via Regulation of RAB41
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Background: Accumulating evidence indicates that circular RNAs have major roles in the progression of human cancers. Nevertheless, the molecular mechanism and effects of circFAM126A in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) remain unclear.

Methods: Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to detect expression levels of circFAM126A in OSCC tumor tissues and cell lines; the effects of circFAM126A small hairpin RNA (shRNA) on the proliferation, migration, and invasion of OSCC cells were detected by MTT, colony formation, and transwell assays; xenograft mouse models were used to determine the effects of circFAM126A shRNA on the growth of OSCC tumors in vivo; the expression of miR-186 and RAB41 in OSCC tissues and cells was examined by qRT-PCR; the targeting relationship between circFAM126A and miR-186 was verified by dual-luciferase reporter and RNA pull-down assays; and the relationship between miR-186 and RAB41 was explored.

Results: The expression of circFAM126A was significantly upregulated in OSCC tissues and cells. The transcription factor SP1 transcriptionally activated circFAM126A. However, knockdown of circFAM126A markedly suppressed the proliferation, migration, and invasion of OSCC cells in vitro and inhibited tumor growth and distant metastasis in vivo. Moreover, circFAM126A increased the expression of RAB41 and promoted its mRNA stability via binding to miR-186 and RNA-binding protein FUS. Overexpression of RAB41 antagonized the effects of circFAM126A knockdown and induced an aggressive phenotype of OSCC cells.

Conclusion: SP1 transcriptionally activated circFAM126A modulated the growth, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of OSCC cells via targeting the miR-186/FUS/RAB41 axis, suggesting that circFAM126A is a potential biomarker for the treatment of OSCC.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common oral malignant tumor worldwide (1). Although strategies for the diagnosis and treatment of OSCC have improved significantly in recent years, the 5-year overall survival rate for patients with advanced OSCC remains poor (2, 3). Therefore, further exploration of the pathogenesis of OSCC and identification of novel therapeutic targets, as well as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, may provide new opportunities for early diagnosis and treatment of OSCC.

Accumulating evidence has unveiled the roles of circular RNAs (circRNAs; a type of non-coding RNA) in cancers including bladder cancer (4), colorectal cancer (5), and cervical cancer (6). Abnormally expressed circRNAs can be used as diagnostic markers and targets for therapeutic intervention for various malignant tumors (7, 8). In OSCC, circRNAs can function as tumor suppressors or oncogenes (9). For example, hsa-circ-0008035, hsa-circ-0000670, and hsa-circ-0003159 have been found to be associated with OSCC tumorigenesis (10–12). However, the roles of circ_0001682 (circFAM126A), which is located on chromosome 7 with a spliced length of 181 base pairs, have not been elucidated.

Functionally, circRNAs function as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) and sponge microRNAs (miRNAs) to regulate gene expression and numerous biological processes, including proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion of cancer cells (13–15). miRNAs pair with mRNA bases of target genes to induce silencing complex RISC, which further degrades the mRNA or inhibits its translation. The circRNA/miRNA/mRNA axis has been verified to be a regulator of multiple tumor-related pathways and to modulate tumorigenesis. For example, aberrant expressed circAKT1 induces malignant behaviors of cervical cancer cells via regulating the miR-942-5p/AKT1 axis (6); the circ-0067934/miR1324/FZD5 axis promotes the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (16); and has-circ-000670 promotes the proliferation, migration, and invasion of OSCC via regulating miR384/SIX4 axis (11).

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is considered as a classical theory for tumor metastasis (17). The processes of EMT is accompanied by the loss of epithelial function and acquisition of mesenchymal characteristics, which loses cell adhesion and enhances migration and invasion ability (18). Presently, increasing evidence has revealed the potentials of circRNAs in the EMT processes (19). For instance, circ_0008305 suppresses the EMT and metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer via miR-429/miR-200b-3p/TIF1γ axis (20). circPRRC2A-induced upregulation of TRPM3 promotes the EMT, angiogenesis and metastasis of renal cell carcinoma (21). circIGHG enhances the EMT of OSCC via regulating miR-186/RAB41 axis (22).

In this research, we investigated the roles of circFAM126A in OSCC tissues and cell lines using circRNA microarrays, bioinformatics, and functional studies. We found that circFAM126A could function as an oncogene in OSCC, and that its knockdown suppressed the proliferation and EMT of OSCC cells via regulation of the miR-186/RAB41 axis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue Samples and Cell Lines

A total of 30 OSCC patients who underwent surgeries at the Affiliated Stomatological Hospital of Nanchang University were involved in this research. Adjacent normal tissues were taken 5 cm away from the edge of the tumor. The diagnosis of OSCC was confirmed by histological examination. Patients with OSCC who had received prior treatment for their tumor or had a history of other solid tumors were excluded. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Stomatological Hospital of Nanchang University. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

OSCC cell lines CAL27, SCC25, SCC15, TSCCA, UM1 and UM2, normal human oral epithelial cells (NHOK), and HEK-293 T cells were obtained from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All cell lines were cultured in 90% RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Invitrogen) at 37°C in a moist atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Microarray Analysis

The microarray data set GSE131182 analysis was performed with Limma R Human CBC circRNA under the following the standard: |logFC|> 2 and P<0.05. The number of differentially expressed circRNAs was 417, among which 383 circRNAs were upregulated and 34 downregulated.

RNase R Treatment

To prove that circFAM126A is a circRNA, total RNA from CAL27 and UM1 was treated with RNase R (Sigma) at 37°C for 15 min and then purified with phenol-chloroform (Sigma). The expression of circular or linear FAM126A was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

Actinomycin D

The circFAM126A plasmids were transiently transfected into OSCC cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). After 24 h, actinomycin D (5µg/mL) was added to the culture medium, followed by incubation for 0 h, 4 h, or 8 h, 16 h, and 24 h. mRNA stability was analyzed by PCR.

qRT-PCR

TRIzol™ reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) was used to extract total RNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To ensure the purity of circRNAs, RNase R (Geneseeed, Guangzhou, China) was used to digest the RNAs for 20 min. The RNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo, USA), and qRT-PCR was performed on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a SYBR Premix Ex Taq II kit (Takara Bio, Beijing, China) to examine...
relative expression of circRNAs. GAPDH was used to normalize circRNA expression levels.

First, total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNAs by TaqMan Reverse-transcription. The cDNAs were synthesized using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara, Tokyo, Japan) transcriptase, random 6mers, RNase inhibitor, Oligo dT primer, dNTP mixture, and reaction buffer. The cycle conditions were 95°C for 30 s (initial denaturation), followed by 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 34 s, for 40 cycles. We used the 2^ΔΔCT method to analyze the data (23).

Cell Transfection
The circRNA small hairpin RNA (shRNA), miR-186 mimics and inhibitor, and specific negative control were synthesized and purchased from GenePharm (Shanghai, China). The circFAM126A vectors were constructed with amplified DNA fragments, including the sequence of exons 15 and 16 of the PTK2a gene with flanking introns containing complementary Alu elements (GeneChem, Shanghai, China). Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, CA, USA) for 48 h.

Cell Viability (MTT) Assay
Logarithmic-phase cells were digested with trypsin, collected, and used to prepare a cell suspension after centrifugation. A mixture of the cell suspension containing 100 µl cells was added to each well of a 96-well-plate. The cells were cultured in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. Cells were supplemented with 10 µl MTT solution (5 mg/ml, 0.5% MTT) and further cultured for 4 h. Then, 150 µl dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well, followed by shaking at low speed for 10 min to fully dissolve the crystals. The absorbance values of each well were measured using a microplate reader at an optical density of 490 nm.

Colony Formation Assay
After 48 h transfection, cells were digested with trypsin. A cell suspension was prepared in complete medium. Then cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline. Trypsin was added and the cells were centrifuged. The cells were seeded into six-well plates (500–1000 cells/well), shaken gently, and cultured for 14 days. Then, 1000 µl impurity-free crystal violet dye was added to the cells. Cells were visualized under a microscope.

Transwell Cell Migration and Invasion Assays
Transwell assays were used to determine the invasion and migration ability of the OSCC cells. After transfection for 48 h, cell culture transwell inserts (8-mm pore size; Falcon; BD Biosciences) were placed in 48-well plates in the upper chamber with or without precoated Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The membrane was hydrated with FBS 2 h prior. Cells in the lower chamber were cultured with RPMI-1640 (600 µl) containing 10% FBS. After 24 h, the migrated or invaded cells were fixed with 100% methanol and cultured with crystal violet. The numbers of migrated and invaded cells were counted under a microscope.

RNA Probe Pull-Down Assay
Biotinylated probes binding to the junction region of circFAM126A or miR-186 were designed by GenePharm. The oligonucleotide probe was used as a negative control. Approximately 1×10^7 cells were lysed in lysis buffer and incubated with 3 µg biotinylated probe for 2 h. Cell lysates were incubated with streptavidin magnetic beads (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) for 4 h to pull down the biotin-conjugated RNA complex. Cells were washed with lysis buffer five times. Subsequently, the bound miRNA in the pull-down complex was extracted using TRizol reagent and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

Wound Healing Assay
Cells were seeded into 6-well plates. Then cells, cells at 80% confluence, were scratched using 20 µl pipette tip. Afterwards, cells were cultured with DMEM medium for 0 h and 24 h and captured using an inverted microscope.

Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay
Target analyses of circFAM126A and miR-186 (https://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/index.php), and of miR-186 and RAB41 (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/), were performed on the biological prediction, respectively. The sequences of the circFAM126A and RAB13 untranslated regions (UTRs) containing the miR-186 binding sites were cloned into luciferase reporter vectors (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to form the wild-type vectors wt-circFAM126A and wt-RAB41. The mutant luciferase reporter vector constructs mutant-circFAM126A 3’UTR and mut-RAB41 were created by mutating the binding sites of miR-186. For the dual-luciferase reporter assay, HEK-293 T cells were co-transfected with these vectors and an miR-186 mimic or negative control. After 48 h, luciferase activity was measured by using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay
ChIP assay was performed using a ChIP Kit (Millipore, USA). Briefly, cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde. Afterwards, crosslinked chromatin was ultra-sonicated, and immunoprecipitated with anti-SP1 or control anti-IgG bound-protein G beads. The enrichments of DNA fragments were determined using qRT-PCR.

Mouse Xenograft Tumor Models
Mouse xenograft tumor models were established for in vivo assays. OSCC cells transfected with circFAM126A shRNA were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. The volumes of xenograft tumors were measured every week for 6 weeks. At the end of week 6, mice were sacrificed, and weights of tumors were measured. Immunohistochemical staining was performed to determine the expression of Ki67. This animal study was approved by the Animal Care Board of the Affiliated Stomatological Hospital of Nanchang University.
Statistical Analysis
Each experiment was conducted three times. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v.22.0 (IBM, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons between two groups were performed using student’s t-test. Differences among multiple groups were evaluated with one-way analysis of variance. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS
circFAM126A Is Overexpressed in OSCC Tissues and Cells
Figures 1A, B showed the differentially expressed circRNAs in OSCC patients (S) and healthy control (N), among which 383 circRNAs were upregulated and 34 downregulated. The expression of circFAM126A was more remarkable. We further determined the expression of circFAM126A in OSCC tissues and cells using qRT-PCR. As shown in Figure 1C, the expression of circFAM126A was significantly higher in OSCC tissues compared with healthy control (P<0.01). Moreover, high expression of circFAM126A was significantly associated with gender, tumor stage, and lymph node metastasis, but not with age (Table 1); additionally, high level of circFAM126A was associated with poor overall survival (Figure 1D, P<0.05). The expression of circFAM126A was significantly increased in OSCC cells, such as CAL27, SCC15, SCC25, TSCCA, UM1 and UM2 (Figure 1E, P<0.01, P<0.001), which was more remarkable in CAL27 and UM1 cells. Therefore, CAL27 and UM1 cells were used in the following experiments. To further verify the circRNA characteristics of circFAM126A, RNAse and PCR assays were performed. As shown in Figures 1F, G, circFAM126A was stable and could resist RNase R digestion in CAL27 and UM1 cells (P<0.05, P<0.01). Moreover, circFAM126A could be amplified in cDNA, but not gDNA (Figure 1H). Furthermore, fluorescence imaging showed that circFAM126A was located in the nucleus as well as in the cytoplasm (Figure 1I).

SP1 Transcriptionally Activates circFAM126A in OSCC
SP1 is a crucial transcription factor and functions as an oncogene in OSCC. As shown in Figure 2A, the expression of SP1 was significantly higher in patients OSCC tissues compared with healthy control (P<0.01). The expression of SP1 in OSCC samples was positively correlated with circFAM126A (Figure 2B, P<0.01). Moreover, knockdown of SP1 significantly decreased expression levels of circFAM126A (Figure 2C, P<0.01). To further verify the interaction between SP1 and circFAM126A, serial truncations of the circFAM126A promoter were inserted into the pGL3 vector in HEK-293 T cells. The luciferase activity was significantly increased when the 1419–1477 and 1778–2000 truncations were used (Figures 2D, E, P<0.01). Furthermore, knockdown of SP1 significantly suppressed luciferase activity in the 1419–1477 group (Figure 2F, P<0.01). The ChIP further verified that SP1 bound to the promoter of circFAM126A (Figure 2G, P<0.01).

Knockdown of circFAM126A Inhibits the Proliferation and EMT of OSCC Cells In Vitro
Next, to further explore the roles of circFAM126A in OSCC, we treated CAL27 and UM1 cells with circFAM126A shRNA. This knockdown of circFAM126A effectively reduced the expression levels of circFAM126A, with a particularly marked effect in the sh-circFAM126A 2# group (Figure 3A, P<0.01). Therefore, sh-circFAM126A 2# was used in the following experiment. Knockdown of circFAM126A also significantly inhibited the viability and proliferation of OSCC cells (Figures 3B, C, P<0.01), and markedly inhibited the migration and invasion of CAL27 and UM1 cells in vitro (Figures 3D–G, P<0.01). Moreover,
circFAM126A knockdown significantly suppressed the protein expression of Snail, Vimentin, and N-cadherin, but increased E-cadherin (Figures 3H, I, P<0.01).

Knockdown of circFAM126A Inhibits Growth of OSCC Tumor *In Vivo*

Xenograft mouse models were established to determine the effects of circFAM126A shRNA on the growth of OSCC tumors *in vivo*. As shown in Figures 4A–D, knockdown of circFAM126A significantly decreased tumor size, weight, and volume, and liver metastasis; circFAM126A knockdown markedly decreased expression levels of circFAM126A and Ki67 (Figures 4E–G).

**miR-186 Is a Direct Target of circFAM126A**

miR-186 was predicted to have binding sites for circFAM126A (Figure 5A). To confirm the targeting relationship between circFAM126A and miR-186, we performed luciferase activity reporter and RNA pull-down assays. As shown in Figure 5B (P<0.01), miR-186 mimics markedly decreased luciferase activity in wt-circFAM126A-transfected cells but had no significant effects on mut-circFAM126A-transfected cells. Furthermore, a circFAM126A probe and biotin miR-186-probe were used to perform the RNA pull-down assay. The results showed that the miR-186 probe could enrich circFAM126A (Figure 5C, \(P<0.01\)). Moreover, the expression of miR-186 in OSCC cells was significantly increased by knockdown of circFAM126A but significantly decreased by overexpression of circFAM126A (Figure 5D). As shown in Figure 5E, the expression of miR-186 was significantly decreased in OSCC tissues in comparison with adjacent normal tissues (\(P<0.01\)).

**RAB41 Is a Target of miR-186**

miRNAs regulate biological progresses via binding to their targets. Online database TargetScan 7.2 was used to predict the

---

**TABLE 1 | Clinical features of OSCC patients.**

| Parameters               | Total | circFAM126A Expression | \(P\) value |
|--------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------------|
|                          |       | High       | Low     |
| **Tissues**              |       |            |         |
| OSCC                     | 30    | 21         | 9       |
| Health                   | 30    | 7          | 23      |
| **Age**                  |       |            |         |
| ≥60                      | 31    | 19         | 12      |
| <60                      | 29    | 15         | 14      |
| **Gender**               |       |            |         |
| Male                     | 33    | 23         | 10      |
| Female                   | 27    | 11         | 16      |
| **Stage**                |       |            |         |
| I-II                     | 6     | 2          | 4       |
| III-IV                   | 24    | 21         | 3       |
| **Lymph node metastasis**| 30    | 22         | 8       |

---

**FIGURE 2 | SP1 transcriptionally activates circFAM126A in OSCC.**

(A) mRNA expression of SP1 in OSCC patients measured using qRT-PCR. (B) Correlation analysis of expression levels of SP1 and circFAM126A in OSCC patients. (C) Expression of circFAM126A detected by qRT-PCR. (D) Serial truncations of circFAM126A promoter inserted into pGL3 vector. (E, F) Interaction between SP1 and circFAM126A verified by luciferase assay. (G) Interaction between SP1 and circFAM126A verified by ChIP assay. **\(P<0.01\).**
targets of miR-186. Figure 6A showed the binding sites between miR-186 and RAB41 (Figure 6A). The luciferase assay showed that miR-186 mimics markedly decreased luciferase activity in wt-RAB41-transfected cells, whereas they had no significant effects on mut-RAB41-transfected cells (Figure 6B, P<0.01). The RNA pull-down assay further verified the interaction between miR-186 and RAB41 (Figure 6C, P<0.01). Overexpression of miR-186 significantly decreased the expression of RAB41 at both the mRNA and protein levels, whereas RAB41 expression was significantly upregulated by miR-186 inhibitors (Figures 6D, E, P<0.01). As shown in Figure 6F, the expression of RAB41 was significantly increased in OSCC tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues (P<0.01). The expression of RAB41 was positively correlated with circFAM126A expression and negatively correlated with miR-186 expression (Figures 6G, H, P<0.01).

circFAM126A Interacts With FUS to Promote mRNA Stability of RAB41
circRNAs regulate gene expression via binding to miRNAs or RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). RNA pull-down and mass spectrometry analyses showed that circFAM126A could bind to FUS (Figure 7A, P<0.01). The RIP assay further verified the interaction between FUS and circFAM126A and RAB41 (Figure 7B, P<0.001). The expression of FUS was significantly increased after transfection with FUS, indicating that cells had been successfully transfected (Figure 7C, P<0.01, P<0.001). Moreover, overexpression of FUS significantly increased its mRNA stability (Figure 7D, P<0.05, P<0.01), and circFAM126A
modulated the interaction between FUS and RAB41 (Figure 7E, P<0.01). The decrease in mRNA stability induced by circFAM126A knockdown was reversed by overexpression of FUS (Figure 7F, P<0.01).

**circFAM126A Regulates the Proliferation and EMT of OSCC Cells via Targeting the miR-186/RAB41 Axis**

As shown in Figure 8A, RAB41 overexpression plasmids significantly increased the expression of RAB41 compared with sh-circFAM126A (P<0.01). Moreover, compared with circFAM126A knockdown, overexpression of RAB41 significantly promoted the proliferation (Figures 8B, C, P<0.01), migration (Figures 8D, E, P<0.01), and invasion (Figure 8F, P<0.01) of OSCC cells in vitro. Additionally, upregulated RAB41 antagonized the effects of circFAM126A knockdown on the protein expression of Snail, Vimentin, E-cadherin, and N-cadherin (Figures 8G, H, P<0.01).

**DISCUSSION**

Dysregulated circRNAs play a crucial role in the development of OSCC. circRNAs may function as anti-tumor genes or oncogenes in OSCC. In this study, we found that circFAM126A was upregulated in OSCC. Moreover, SP1-induced upregulation
of circFAM126A promoted the proliferation and EMT of OSCC cells by regulating the miR-186/FUS/RAB41 axis in vitro. However, knockdown of circFAM126A suppressed the aggressiveness and metastasis of OSCC in vitro and in vivo. This is the first study to investigate the mechanism, expression, regulation, and clinical implications of circFAM126A in OSCC.

circRNAs are a type of non-coding RNA. Owing to their stability, diversity, high expression, and high sequence conservation, and other biological characteristics, circRNAs have potential as diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets in tumorigenesis and progression. Many studies have reported abnormal expression of circRNAs in different types of tumors. For example, Zhang et al. (24) found evidence that circ-100876 is downregulated in colorectal cancer tissues, and that low expression of circ-100876 predicts poor prognosis and increases the risk of relapse of colorectal cancer patients. Yu et al. (25) found that the expression of circRNA SMARCA5 is significantly downregulated in liver cancer tissues and is associated with early tumor stage and poor prognosis of liver cancer patients. Moreover, circRNAs play vital roles in many physiological and pathological processes, including cell cycle progression, autophagy, proliferation, invasion, metastasis, and carcinogenesis (26, 27). In the present study, circFAM126A was found to be upregulated in OSCC. Moreover, knockdown of circFAM126A markedly inhibited OSCC cell proliferation and EMT of OSCC cells, manifested by the upregulation of epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and downregulation of mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin and Vimentin) (17–21). Additionally, circFAM126A knockdown inhibited tumor growth and metastasis of OSCC in vivo. Therefore, the above data suggest that circFAM126A may have an oncogenic role in the progression of OSCC.

Previous reports demonstrate that transcription factors participate in the progression of cancer (28–30). Dysregulated transcription factors promote tumorigenesis via transcriptionally
regulating non-coding RNAs, such as long coding RNAs and circRNAs (29). We further investigate the upstream of circFAM126A. SP1 functions as an oncogene in various cancer, including OSCC (31–33). In this study, SP1 was overexpressed in OSCC tissues and cells. Moreover, SP1 transcriptionally upregulated circFAM126A, which further contributed the tumor growth and metastasis of OSCC.

Accumulating evidence demonstrates that miRNAs have essential roles in the regulation of tumor progression (34–36), and circRNAs might exert their functions through targeting miRNAs. miR-186 has been suggested to function as a tumor suppressor in the progression of OSCC (37). In this study, miR-186 was predicted and proved to be a target of circFAM126A. In addition, circFAM126A interacted with miR-186 to regulate the proliferation and EMT of OSCC cells. Therefore, circFAM126A may exert its carcinogenic function via targeting miR-186.

circRNAs, which lack the ability to encode proteins, function as ceRNAs to regulate gene expression via binding to miRNAs or RBPs (38). The circRNA/(miRNA/RBP)/mRNA axis may intensively participate in the progression of cancers including OSCC (39, 40). In this study, circFAM126A modulated the expression of RAB41 via sponging miR-186 and promoted its mRNA stability via interacting with RNA binding protein FUS. RNA pull-down assay and mass spectrometry analysis showed that circFAM126A could bind to FUS to increase the mRNA stability of RAB41. circFAM126A increased the expression of RAB41 via sponging miR-186. These results further elucidated the underlying mechanism, in which circFAM126A increased the mRNA expression of RAB41 via sponging miR-186. These results further elucidated the underlying mechanism, in which circFAM126A increased the mRNA expression of RAB41. RAB41 is a member of RAB family, which frequently acts as oncogenes in various cancer (41). RAB41 plays an essential role in membrane trafficking, high expression of which is associated with poor clinical results of lung adenocarcinoma (42). However, the roles of RAB41 in OSCC have not been fully elucidated. In this study, RAB41 was found to be overexpressed in OSCC. The expression of circFAM126A was positively correlated with RAB41. Overexpression of RAB41

![FIGURE 7](image-url)
alleviated the effects of circFAM126A knockdown and promoted an aggressive phenotype of OSCC cells. Taken together, these results suggest that circFAM126A regulates the growth and metastasis of OSCC cells via modulation of the miR-186/FUS/RAB41 axis.

**CONCLUSION**

Taken together, circFAM126A played vital roles in the progression of OSCC. SP1-mediated upregulation of circFAM126A promoted the growth and metastasis of OSCC cells via the miR-186/FUS/RAB41 axis. These results could indicate a new target for the treatment of OSCC.
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