Exosomes promote pre-metastatic niche formation in ovarian cancer
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Abstract
Ovarian cancer is one of the most common gynecological malignancies. Upon initial diagnosis, the majority of patients present with widespread metastatic growth within the peritoneal cavity. This metastatic growth occurs in stages, with the formation of a pre-metastatic niche occurring prior to macroscopic tumor cell invasion. Exosomes released by the primary ovarian tumor are small extracellular vesicles which prepare the distant tumor microenvironment for accelerated metastatic invasion. They regulate intercellular communication between tumor cells and normal stroma, cancer-associated fibroblasts, and local immune cells within the tumor microenvironment. In this review, we highlight the emerging roles of ovarian cancer exosomes as coordinators of pre-metastatic niche formation, biomarkers amenable to liquid biopsy, and targets of chemotherapy.
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Highlights
- Ovarian cancer is the deadliest gynecological malignancy, largely stemming from peritoneal metastasis. Formation of the pre-metastatic niche supports subsequent metastatic lesions.
- Ovarian cancer derived exosomes induce pre-metastatic niche formation via immunosuppression, angiogenesis, stromal cell remodeling, and oncogenic reprogramming.
- Ovarian cancer derived exosomes are promising biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

Background
Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecological malignancy, accounting for 2.5% of all female cancers and 5% of female cancer-related deaths [1]. In the United States, there were approximately 22,240 new cases of ovarian cancer diagnosed and 14,070 deaths in 2018 [2]. Ovarian cancer patients experience high mortality rates due to commonly being diagnosed during the late stage (III or IV) with bowel obstruction and systemic involvement [3]. The 5-year survival rate of ovarian cancer among these late-stage III or IV cases is less than 29%, as compared to 70% in early stage I cases [4]. There is, therefore, an urgent need to characterize the mechanisms of ovarian cancer metastasis and associated biomarkers in order to earlier diagnose and treat ovarian cancer patients within the stage I period.

Recent works have shown the pre-metastatic niche in ovarian cancer to be a prevalent precondition of metastasis [5]. The pre-metastatic niche is a preformed microenvironment made possible by exosomes secreted by the primary tumor site prior to widespread metastasis [6–8]. These exosomes optimize the environment for ovarian cancer colonization, outgrowth, and metastasis [9, 10]. This environment is mediated through immune suppression and evasion, angiogenesis, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF), and tumor macrophages that remodel the local stroma. Various studies have shown exosomes to play critical roles in tumorigenesis, growth, apoptosis, immune response, and chemotherapeutic resistance in cancer [11–15] (Fig. 1a). However, it was not until very recently that their roles in pre-metastatic niche establishment have been appreciated [15, 16]. Despite their diameter of 30–100 nm, these disk-shaped membranous vesicles contain unique signatures of proteins, lipids, DNA, and RNA making them powerful tumorigenic factors and diagnostic biomarkers [17]. In ovarian cancer, exosomes are detectable in both ascites and blood,
making them amenable to less invasive diagnostics and a potential target of earlier targeted therapy [18–20]. In this review, we summarize the functions of exosomes in ovarian cancer metastasis with respect to pre-metastatic niche formation.

**Exosome biogenesis**

The nature and abundance of exosomes are dictated by their donor cell of origin — whether it be healthy tissue or tumor [21]. Exosome biogenesis involves a set sequence of cellular events which eventually render a carcinogenic or physiologic subtype. The donor cells first internalize extracellular ligands and products to form early endosomes. Nascent exosomes then arise as intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) within the lumen of these endosomes, eventually maturing into multivesicular endosomes (MVEs) via selective inclusion of proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids. Whereas some MVEs fuse and degrade within lysosomes to provide the necessary energy and materials for cell formation, others are released into the extracellular environment through Golgi recirculation or direct cell secretion [22]. The endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) is a primary driver of membrane shaping and scission, and the first accepted mechanism of MVE and ILV formation [23]. The final exosomal cargo drives its eventual function, and is achieved by a highly selective series of regulatory stages. It involves endoprotein sorting and precise ESCRT cleavage of ubiquitinated proteins into ILVs via ESCRT-0, -I, -II, and -III as well as VPS4, VTA1, ALIX/PDCD6IP, and TSG101. Lipids such as ceramide, cholesterol, and the four-transmembrane protein superfamily including CD9, CD63, and CD81 also mediate exosome protein sorting. At the nucleic acid level, exosomal mRNAs are sorted into MVEs according to the Z-zipper structure of their 3′-UTR as well as through RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs) [24, 25]. An ARF6–Exportin-5 axis also
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**Fig. 1 a.** The biogenesis of exosomes and the mechanisms involved in intercellular communication. The exosome is an intraluminal vesicle (ILV) formed by the inward budding of the endosomal membrane during the maturation of the multivesicular endosomes (MVEs) and then secreted after fusing with the cell surface. Uptake by recipient cells occurs via a three step processes: 1. membrane fusion with target cells; 2. endocytosis; 3. activation of surface receptors and signaling.

**b.** Schematic diagram of the exosome. The exosome is a disk-shaped membranous vesicle with a diameter 30–100 nm, and carries a parental cell cargo including lipids, metabolites, proteins, nucleic acids (DNA fragments, mRNA, miRNA, etc.)
delivers pre-miRNA cargo into tumor microvesicles [26]. These regulatory methods demonstrate the mechanism by which ovarian cancer exosomes obtain their distinct molecular signature from surrounding healthy tissue.

Ovarian cancer-exosomes

Ovarian cancer is distinguished from other human tumors by its preference for peritoneal cavity invasion through the ascites, making it especially adept at involving various viscera within the compartment. From the early stages on, the ascites contains detached tumor cells, various immune cells, mesothelial cells, and tumor associated exosomes. These exosomes can be isolated from the ascites [27, 28] and serum [29, 30] of patients with ovarian cancer. Importantly, these exosomes contain unique protein signatures specific to ovarian cancer, including membrane proteins (Alix, TSG 101), small GTPases (Rab proteins), annexin proteins, tetraspanins (CD9, CD82, CD63 and CD81), heat shock proteins (Hsp90, Hsc70), antigens (MHC I and II), Nanog and enzymes (phosphate isomerase, peroxiredoxin, aldehyde reductase, fatty acid synthase) (Fig. 1b). In addition to revealing an underlying malignancy, the exosomal protein cargo functions to enhance the progression of metastasis of ovarian tumors (Table 1). For example, Nanog is a transcription regulator involved in tumor cell proliferation and self-renewal of cancer stem cells [31]. Nanog expression is significantly greater in exosomes sampled from the ascites of high-grade serous ovarian cancer compared to benign peritoneal fluid [32]. Nanog knockout studies have shown decreased migration and invasion of ovarian cancer cells [33].

Tumorigenic microRNAs (miRNA) have also been identified within exosomes, where they are encapsulated and protected from nuclease degradation. Functionally, these intra-exosomal miRNAs regulate gene expression of target cells both locally and systemically. Once shed, the ovarian cancer derived exosomes horizontally transport their miRNAs to tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), mesothelial cells, and tumor cells themselves. They provide instructions for pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis in the nascent stages of malignancy (Table 2).

Exosomes cause immunosuppression within the pre-metastatic niche

The immune system is a significant barrier to metastasis. For ovarian cancer to thrive in its new environment, it is therefore principally important for the premetastatic niche to protect the metastatic cells from being apoptosed upon entering the metastatic site. Exosomes isolated from the ascites of ovarian cancer can induce a rapid and reversible T cell arrest [17]. One recent study found GD3, a ganglioside expressed on the surface of exosomes isolated from ascites, to arrest T cells via acting on their T-cell receptor (TCR) [46]. Ovarian cancer associated exosomes can also induce the production of IL-6 within monocytes through toll-like receptor (TLR) activation. IL-6 then activates the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathway in immune cells, stromal cells, and tumor cells, which supports overall immune escape of cancer cells [47] (Fig. 2a).

With the aim of revealing the direct effect of exosomes on immune cells, various works have cocultured exosomes from the ascites of ovarian cancer patients with dendritic cells, hematopoietic stem cells, and peripheral blood lymphocytes. One such study showed exosomes to cause immunosuppression by inducing apoptosis of hematopoietic stem cells, dendritic cells, and peripheral blood lymphocytes [27] (Fig. 2a). In another study, normal peripheral blood lymphocytes were cocultured with exosomes from ovarian cancer ascites or peritoneal washings of patients with benign ovarian cysts with follow up gene expression analysis of the lymphocytes. They found overexpression of 26 immunosuppressive genes in the malignant ascites cocultured group compared to the benign ovarian cyst group. These findings support the role of exosomes in damping peripheral immunity through direct interaction with leukocytes, allowing for unmitigated tumor invasion [48].

Both the adaptive immune response, including CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, as well as innate immune cells such

---

**Table 1** Summary of ovarian cancer exosomal proteins involved with metastasis

| Exosomal Proteins | Recipient cells | Role/Mechanism | Reference |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Proteomic analysis: 2230 proteins were identified | N/A | Tumorigenesis and metastasis | [34] |
| ATF2, MTA1, ROCK1/2 | HUVECs | Angiogenesis | [35] |
| GNA12, EPHA2 and COIA1 | MSCs and ECs | Promote MSC and EC migration for metastasis | [36] |
| CD44 | HPMCs | Tumor cell invasion. | [37] |
| RNA-binding protein LIN28 | HEK293 cells | Increase HEK293 cell invasion and migration | [38] |
| Nanog | N/A | Tumor cell proliferation and invasion | [32, 33] |

Abbreviations: ATF2 Activating transcription factor 2, MTA1 Metastasis-associated protein 1, ROCK Rho-Associated, Coiled-Coil Containing Protein Kinase, HUVECs Human umbilical vein endothelial cells, GNA12 Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit alpha-12, EPHA2 ephrin type-A receptor 2, COIA1 Collagen alpha-1 (XVIII) chain, MSCs Mesenchymal stem cells, ECs endothelial cells, HPMCs Human peritoneal mesothelial cells, LIN28 Lin-28 homolog A, HEK293 human embryonic kidney
as natural killer (NK) cells and macrophages, are strongly recruited to the metastatic site. These immune cells are potent physiologic defenses against tumor progression [49]. A growing body of evidence suggests exosomes derived from ovarian cancer cells can silence these immune cells in the tumor microenvironment and are critical in pre-metastatic niche formation [50–52]. In addition to reprogramming the immune cell gene profile, ovarian cancer cells release Fas ligand (FasL)-carying exosomes which downregulate the expression of the

**Table 2** Summary of ovarian cancer exosomal miRNAs involved with metastasis

| Exosomal miRNAs | Recipient cells | Role/Mechanism | Reference |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| miR-940, miR-222-3p, miR-21-3p, miR-125 b-5p, miR-181 d-5p | TAMs | M2 phenotype polarization, EOC proliferation and migration | [39–41] |
| miRNA 21 and 29a | ES2 OC cells LP9 mesothelial cells | Mesothelial cell clearance | [42] |
| miR-99a-5p | HPMC | Cell invasion through fibronectin and vitronectin upregulation | [43] |
| MMP1 miRNAs | MeT-SA and HPMC | Destruction of peritoneal mesothelium barrier | [44] |
| let-7a-f and miR-200a-c | N/A | Correlates with ovarian cancer invasiveness | [45] |

**Abbreviations:** TAMs Tumor-associated macrophages, HPMC Human peritoneal mesothelial cells, OC ovarian cancer, EOC Epithelial ovarian cancer, MMP Matrix metallopeptidase

**Fig. 2** Impact of ovarian cancer exosomes on target cells during pre-metastatic niche formation. a. Ovarian cancer exosomes induce apoptosis of dendritic cells, increase secretion of IL-6, and inhibit function of T cells and NK cells. b. Ovarian cancer exosomes with their cargo, such as ATF2, MTA1, sE-cad, and CD147, which acts on human vein endothelial cells, inducing angiogenesis and vascular permeability. c. Ovarian cancer exosomes convert fibroblasts to CAFs. d. Ovarian cancer exosomes deliver miRNAs to macrophages and elicit M2 macrophage polarization by regulating the suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS)/STAT3 pathway in macrophages. e. Phenotypic conversion and apoptosis of PMCs, induced by ovarian cancer exosomes, clears the mesothelial cell barrier of the peritoneum and omentum. OC ovarian cancer, NK natural killer, ATF2 Activating transcription factor 2, MTA1 Metastasis-associated protein 1, sE-cad soluble E-cadherin, SOCS Suppress cytokine signaling, STAT3 Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, PMC Peritoneal mesothelial cell, CAF cancer-associated fibroblast
surface T-cell receptor/CD3-zeta (ζ) and promote T-cell apoptosis [53, 54]. At the cellular level, lysophosphatidic acid elevates the expression of FasL on the surface of ovarian cancer cells, thus prompting the release of FasL-carrying exosomes [55]. In addition, ovarian cancer exosomes carry phosphatidylinerse, which inhibits T-cell activation by blocking intracellular signaling cascades [56]. NK cells are prominent innate immune effector cells that recognize and kill tumor cells directly. The NGK2D receptor-ligand is a crucial activating cytotoxic receptor of NK cells and a target of ovarian cancer exosome downregulation. Inhibition of NGK2D circumvents NK cell cytotoxicity, allowing for immune evasion of tumor cells and a robust pre-metastatic niche [57] (Fig. 2a).

**Exosomes promote angiogenesis in the pre-metastatic niche**

To ensure adequate blood supply, VEGFR1+ hematopoietic progenitor cells initiate angiogenesis within the pre-metastatic niche [9]. Additionally, local endothelial progenitor cells promote angiogenesis via VEGF signaling [52]. Aside from blood vessel growth, the proangiogenic microenvironment increases vascular permeability towards the pre-metastatic niche for multiple cell types, including VEGFR1+ hematopoietic progenitor cells, immune cells, stromal cells, and the homing of tumor cells [52]. This antecedent angiogenesis makes the pre-metastatic niche capable of meeting the nutrient requirements for subsequent rapid metastatic growth. Ovarian cancer exosomes have recently gained notoriety for promoting angiogenesis (Fig. 2b). One such study demonstrated their ability to enhance viability and migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells. At the molecular level, proteomics have revealed activating transcription factor 2 (ATF2) and metastasis-associated protein 1 (MTA1) housed within ovarian cancer exosomes to upregulate angiogenesis [58]. CD147 is a tumorigenic membrane-bound molecule expressed in cancer cells which regulates matrix metalloproteinase expression in peritumoral stromal cells. CD147-positive exosomes released by ovarian tumors promote angiogenesis in human umbilical vein endothelial cells as well [59]. In a uniquely VEGF-independent manner, soluble E-cadherin (sE-cad) harboring exosomes are present within the ascites of ovarian cancer patients and are robust activators of angiogenesis. Mechanistically, sE-cad-positive exosomes bind with VE-cadherin on endothelial cells, prompting a signaling cascade that ultimately activates β-catenin and NF-kB; this stimulates endothelial cell migration and overall vascular permeability [60]. Despite their small size, a growing body of research is supporting the role of ovarian cancer derived exosomes in cultivating an angiogenic tumor niche for widespread peritoneal metastasis.

**Exosomes in stromal remodeling**

The survival of cancer cells that metastasize from primary tumors to secondary sites depends upon the stroma microenvironment. Tumor derived exosomes assist in this process by educating and remodeling stromal cells in the metastatic site to support tumor cell viability and metastatic dissemination. Functionally, they reprogram stromal cells in the pre-metastatic niche including cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and pericytes. Exosomes also interact with tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) in the metastatic microenvironment, which are

| Table 3 Roles of ovarian cancer exosomes in pre-metastatic niche formation |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Exosome Function                  | Origin of exosomes | Recipient cells | Effect           | Potential targets | Ref.       |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Immunosuppression                 | Ascites of OC patients | T cells         | Arrest T cells  | GD3, TCR        | [46]      |
|                                   | Ascites of OC patients | Monocytes      | Cytokine production | TLR signaling pathways | [47]    |
|                                   | Ascites of OC patients | PBMCs and DCs  | Apoptosis       | FasL            | [27]      |
|                                   | Ascites of OC patients | T cells         | Apoptosis       | FasL            | [54]      |
|                                   | OC cells         | T cells         | Counterattack against activated T cells | LPA and FasL | [55]      |
|                                   | Ovarian tumor tissues | T cells         | T cell inhibition | Depletion or blockade of PS | [56]      |
|                                   | EOC cells and ascites | NK cells       | Cytotoxicity inhibition | NKG2D | [57]      |
| Angiogenesis                      | OC cells         | HUVECs          | Affect VEGF or HIF-1α | ATF2 and MTA1 | [58]      |
|                                   | OC cells         | HUVECs          | CD147 stimulates VEGF expression | CD147 | [59]      |
|                                   | OC cells         | HUVECs          | Activate β-catenin and NF-κB signaling | sE-cad | [60]      |
| Stroma remodeling                 | OC cells         | ADSCs           | Transition ADSCs to CAFs | α-SMA, SDF-1, TGF-β SMAD2 and PI3K/AKT pathway | [67]      |
|                                   | OC cells         | Macrophages     | Macrophage M2 polarization | miR-21–3p, miR-125b-5p, and miR-181d-5p | [41]      |

**Abbreviations**: OC ovarian cancer, GD 3 ganglioside 3, TCR T-cell receptor, TLR Toll-like receptor, PBMCs peripheral blood lymphocytes, DCs dendritic cells, FasL, Fas ligand, LPA Lysophosphatidic acid, PS phosphatidylinerse, EOC Epithelial ovarian cancer, NK natural killer, HIF Hypoxia-inducible factor, HUVECs Human umbilical vein endothelial cells, sE-cad soluble E-cadherin, ADSCs adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells, α-SMA alpha-smooth muscle actin, SDF-1 stromal cell-derived factor 1, PI3K Phosphoinositide 3-kinases, AKT Protein kinase B, SOCS Suppress cytokine signaling, STAT3 Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
Exosomes convert fibroblasts to CAFs
CAFs are unique, reprogrammed stromal cells with roles in cancer initiation, extracellular matrix remodeling, progression, pre-metastatic niche formation, and metastasis [61]. They secrete a tumorigenic cytokine milieu of TGF-β, stromal cell-derived factor-1α (SDF-1α), S100A4, fibronectin, and matrix metalloproteinases in the local stromal cell microenvironment [62, 63]. While these signaling molecules have unique and specific processes in forming the tumor microenvironment, they all contribute to stromal remodeling within the pre-metastatic niche [62, 64]. In a study where the miRNA profile between normal fibroblasts and ovarian tumor-adjacent fibroblasts were compared, ovarian tumor-adjacent fibroblasts consistently showed miR-31 and miR-214 downregulation with miR-155 upregulation. These researchers demonstrated the significance of this miRNA signature by transfecting miR-31 and miR-214 mimics or miR-155 inhibitors into normal fibroblasts, which caused them to convert to CAFs [65]. This showed ovarian cancer derived exosomes alone are sufficient to induce the phenotypic and functional changes in normal stromal fibroblasts to pathogenic CAFs [66] (Fig. 2c). Similar work has supported the role of exosomes in transitioning normal stroma to CAFs. Ovarian cancer is able to transition adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells to CAFs by overexpressing alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), SDF-1 and TGF-β [67]. Reprogramming of normal stroma to cancerous stroma can therefore be mediated by cytokine signaling from exosomes prior to secondary metastatic growth.

Exosomes induce macrophage polarization
Macrophages are multifunctional antigen presenting cells classically categorized into two polarized phenotypes: pro-inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory (M2) [68]. Tumor associate-macrophages (TAMs) are of types: pro-inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory cells classically categorized into two polarized phenotypes: pro-inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory (M2). TAMs secrete a tumorigenic cytokine milieu of TGF-β, stromal cell-derived factor-1α (SDF-1α), S100A4, fibronectin, and matrix metalloproteinases in the local stromal cell microenvironment [62, 63]. While these signaling molecules have unique and specific processes in forming the tumor microenvironment, they all contribute to stromal remodeling within the pre-metastatic niche [62, 64]. In a study where the miRNA profile between normal fibroblasts and ovarian tumor-adjacent fibroblasts were compared, ovarian tumor-adjacent fibroblasts consistently showed miR-31 and miR-214 downregulation with miR-155 upregulation. These researchers demonstrated the significance of this miRNA signature by transfecting miR-31 and miR-214 mimics or miR-155 inhibitors into normal fibroblasts, which caused them to convert to CAFs [65]. This showed ovarian cancer derived exosomes alone are sufficient to induce the phenotypic and functional changes in normal stromal fibroblasts to pathogenic CAFs [66] (Fig. 2c). Similar work has supported the role of exosomes in transitioning normal stroma to CAFs. Ovarian cancer is able to transition adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells to CAFs by overexpressing alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), SDF-1 and TGF-β [67]. Reprogramming of normal stroma to cancerous stroma can therefore be mediated by cytokine signaling from exosomes prior to secondary metastatic growth.

Exosome-educated cells in the pre-metastatic niche promote metastasis
Ovarian cancer exosomes directly promote circulating tumor cell homing, colonization, and outgrowth within the premetastatic niche while suppressing the host anti-tumor immune response. They also enable tumor cell proliferation and invasion by encouraging host cell transformation to TAM and CAF phenotypes (Table 3). Experimental evidence has shown exosome-educated TAMs to enhance ovarian cancer proliferation and migration in vitro and with in vivo mouse models [41]. This occurs as a result of the cytokine profile of TAMs, whereby they secrete large amounts of EGF which activate EGFR in peripheral ovarian cancer cells. This EGFR/EGFR signaling cascade upregulates vascular endothelial growth factor-C (VEGF-C), which in turn upregulates integrin and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM-1). This induces proliferation, migration, adhesion, spheroid formation, and peritoneal implantation of ovarian cancer cells [73] (Fig. 3b). In the pre-metastatic niche, the tumor derived exosomes convert local fibroblasts into CAFs, which support tumorigenesis through their own exosome secretion. When ovarian cancer cells ingest TGFβ1-enriched CAF-exosomes, they upregulate TGFβ1 expression and become more adept at migration and invasion via a SMAD signaling cascade [74]. Aside from this function, CAFs also enhance basement membrane permeability allowing tumor cells to better invade the local uninvolved stroma [75].

There is a unique interplay between chemotherapeutic and exosome function. Intriguingly, it was recently shown that breast cancer exosomes have enhanced pre-metastatic niche forming ability in the lung after a chemotherapy application [76]. In another study, researchers showed the first-line chemotherapeutic cisplatin to increase IL-6-producing myofibroblastic CAFs in ovarian cancer patients by activating the NFκB signaling pathway [77]. Furthermore, they demonstrated pretreatment with the well-known diabetes drug metformin arrested stromal NFκB/IL6 activation and lessened the chemoresistance response in ovarian cancer cells [77]. Metastatic and drug-resistant, recurrent ovarian cancer produces significantly higher IL-6 compared to matched primary tumors. When elevated, IL-6 within the ascites of ovarian cancer patients correlates with poor outcomes [78–80].
Overall, current works are investigating IL-6 receptor antagonists, classically used to treat rheumatoid arthritis, for their potential anti-cancer effects [82].

**Exosomes breach the barriers to tumor invasion within the pre-metastatic niche**

Approximately 70% of ovarian cancer patients have peritoneal metastasis at the time of surgery [83]. Typically, nests of tumor cells involve the peritoneum, omentum, mesentery, diaphragm, and surface of abdominal pelvic viscera (Fig. 3a). These niduses of metastasis result from transport within the ascites; however, cases of hematogenous metastasis to the omentum also occur [84] (Fig. 3a). Histologically, both the omentum and peritoneum are loose collagen networks with vascularized connective tissue, scattered fibroblasts, adipocytes, and macrophages. They are covered by a single layer of microvilli-rich mesothelial cells and a thin basement membrane [85][Fig. 3b], which the circulating ovarian cancer cells must breach. The method of metastatic transport determines the barriers to invasion. In the hematogenous pathway, tumor cells penetrate surface endothelium, and, in the ascites pathway, they infiltrate the mesothelium (Fig. 3c).

Ovarian cancer exosomes advance angiogenesis by inducing vascular endothelial cell migration, which facilitates tumor cell homing to the pre-metastatic niche [58–60]. The notable absence of mesothelium in peritoneal viscera with metastatic growth suggests these cells are cleared during tumorigenesis, and possibly prior to large-scale metastatic invasion [86–88]. Mechanistically, ovarian cancer cells release exosomes with specific miRNAs (e.g miR-21 and miR-29a) into the ascites that remodel the mesothelial cell layer for enhanced peritoneal penetration [42] (Fig. 2e). Serum miR-99a-5p is significantly elevated in ovarian cancer patients and promotes cell invasion by affecting human peritoneal
mesothelial cells (HPCMs) via fibronectin and vitronectin upregulation [43]. CD44 is overexpressed in the peritoneal mesothelial cells of ovarian cancer patients with omental metastasis. This occurs via an exosome conditioned pathway, whereby ovarian cancer exosomes transfer CD44 to peritoneal mesothelium causing its physical barrier to be cleared [37]. MMP1 expression is a negative factor of ovarian cancer prognosis. The mRNA of these proteases are horizontally transferred from ovarian cancer exosomes to peritoneal mesothelium, causing apoptosis of the peritoneal mesothelium through self-destruction [44].

Exosomes as pre-metastatic niche biomarkers and therapeutic applications

Early detection of the pre-metastatic niche prior to metastasis remains an important goal within the field of gynecologic oncology, especially given the substantially worse outcomes associated with late stage diagnosis. Therefore, new techniques have sought to detect hyper-permeable, hypoxic, and inflammatory areas in addition to areas with altered extracellular matrix profiles characteristic of the metastatic niche. However, many of these techniques have low accuracy and are not yet suitable for clinical application [89–91]. Exosomes possess several unique advantages as biomarkers of pre-metastatic niche formation, as they are extremely stable, abundant, and tumor-specific. They are, therefore, promising biomarkers within the blood or ascites that warrant serious investigation in this deadly cancer, especially given their successes in other cancers [92]. For example, exosomes derived from pancreatic tumors bearing migration inhibitory factor (MIF) are selectively ingested by Kupffer cells within the liver, and therefore serve as important initiating factors in hepatic pre-metastatic niche formation [93]. Similarly, exosomal levels of the melanoma-specific protein tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TYRP2) has gained interest as a predictor of metastasis in melanoma [94].

Liquid biopsy is a technique where circulating tumor cells, cell-free nucleic acids, and tumor-derived exosomes can be analyzed from body fluids such as blood or ascites. The first exosome-based cancer diagnostic product was introduced to market within the United States on January 21, 2016 [95]. This technique is especially applicable to ovarian cancer diagnostics, as exosomes can be readily detected from ascites in a relatively non-invasive manner [96]. The most advanced biosensors in liquid biopsy detect cancer-derived exosomes via highly specific target selection, biologic antigen sensing, and signal transduction techniques [97]. As to target selection, levels of exosomal miR-200b and miR-200c are associated with poor outcomes in ovarian cancer and significantly correlate with the ovarian tumor marker CA-125 [98]. Cancer-related antigens are prominent on the surface of exosomes and amenable to highly sensitive cancer cell detection. Examples include exosomes enriched with VEGF-A, semaphorin-3A, and TGF-beta in glioma (GBMs), HIF1-α in nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and MT1 MMP in fibrosarcoma and melanoma [22]. These proteins associated with exosomes are unique to their cancer of origin, and therefore promising targets of liquid biopsy detection especially for patients with an unknown cancer subtype. To this effect, various malignancies have been studied including melanoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, and ovarian cancer [97]. Very recently, a microfluidic chip-based liquid biopsy was able to isolate exosomes with detailed protein and signaling pathway profiles in ovarian cancer [99].

Therapeutic exosome-based strategies are also emerging, and function by exploiting the homing effect of exosomes on primary cancer cells [100]. A pre-metastatic niche mimic was generated by embedding ovarian cancer-exosomes into engineered biomaterials and implanted within the abdominal cavity of a murine model. This artificial pre-metastatic niche effectively recruited and trapped free ovarian cancer cells from the ascites, thus preventing these cells from homing towards normal pelvic visceria. Survival was substantially increased [101]. Another emerging technology utilizes cell-targeting aptamer-modified extracellular vesicles with exosomes embedded in black phosphorus. While the aptamer directs the bioinspired extracellular vesicles towards targeted cells, the black phosphorous derived inorganic phosphate facilitates cell biomineralization [102]. This targeted technology has potential for elimination of the pre-metastatic niche and is a novel area of future chemotherapeutic research.

Tumor-derived exosomes undergo homing to preferred organ and cell-specific sites when preparing the pre-metastatic niche. Exosomal proteomics have revealed expression patterns of integrins to play a major role in this process. While the exosomal integrins αvβ4 and αvβ1 promote lung metastasis, αvβ5 is linked to liver metastasis. Therapeutically, targeting integrins αvβ4 and αvβ5 reduces exosome uptake and lung and liver metastasis, respectively [103]. These exosomal integrins are an additional predictor of pre-metastatic niche formation in liquid biopsy, and especially valuable for cancers with high rates of metastasis. Overall, because different cancers exhibit distinct mechanisms and exosome profiles in their respective pre-metastatic niches [93, 103, 104], it is likely that tumor specific biomarkers and therapeutic strategies will have acceptable specificity. In addition, given the pre-metastatic biomarker profile housed within ovarian cancer exosomes and their roles in pre-metastatic niche formation, recent advances in liquid biopsy diagnostics have made exosomes a promising new area of early screening and detection (Table 3).
Conclusions and perspectives
Ovarian cancer exosomes promote pre-metastatic niche formation via immunosuppression, angiogenesis, stromal cell remodeling, and oncogenic reprogramming (Fig. 2). Since the pre-metastatic niche gained critical attention in 2005 [9], significant progress has been made in understanding the contribution of exosomes in conditioning the pre-metastatic niche for subsequent rapid metastatic growth. However, the roles of cell-shed exosomes have only recently been appreciated for their substantial effects on shaping the tumor microenvironment. In the preclinical work to date, exosomes in animal studies have been isolated and purified in vitro. Emerging technologies such as liquid biopsy will likely further characterize their tumorigenic effects in vivo, and may help to fully reveal the clinical significance of these pro-metastatic factors in ovarian cancer.
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