Overexpression of OsHMGB707, a High Mobility Group Protein, Enhances Rice Drought Tolerance by Promoting Stress-Related Gene Expression
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Drought stress adversely affects crop growth and productivity worldwide. In response, plants have evolved several strategies in which numerous genes are induced to counter stress. High mobility group (HMG) proteins are the second most abundant family of chromosomal proteins. They play a crucial role in gene transcriptional regulation by modulating the chromatin/DNA structure. In this study, we isolated a novel HMG gene, OsHMGB707, one of the candidate genes localized in the quantitative trait loci (QTL) interval of rice drought tolerance, and examined its function on rice stress tolerance. The expression of OsHMGB707 was up-regulated by dehydration and high salt treatment. Its overexpression significantly enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic rice plants, whereas its knockdown through RNA interference (RNAi) did not affect the drought tolerance of the transgenic rice plants. Notably, OsHMGB707-GFP is localized in the cell nucleus, and OsHMGB707 is protein-bound to the synthetic four-way junction DNA. Several genes were up-regulated in OsHMGB707-overexpression (OE) rice lines compared to the wild-type rice varieties. Some of the genes encode stress-related proteins (e.g., DREB transcription factors, heat shock protein 20, and heat shock protein DnaJ). In summary, OsHMGB707 encodes a stress-responsive high mobility group protein and regulates rice drought tolerance by promoting the expression of stress-related genes.
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INTRODUCTION

Drought and water defects are key abiotic stresses that adversely affect agricultural production (Torres and Henry, 2007). Rice is one of the most important crops globally in terms of production and consumption, alongside wheat and maize. However, rice production requires more water input than most major crops like wheat and maize (Luo, 2010). Elucidating the molecular mechanism underlying the drought tolerance in rice and deploying water-saving and drought-resistant rice
varieties are effective methods for reducing crop production loss brought by frequent water shortages and drought stress.

To enhance efficient water absorption and withstand drought stress, plants have adopted various strategies like osmotic adjustment, accumulating antioxidants, inducing stomatal closure (to reduce water loss), and developing a deep root system (Dorothea and Ramanjulu, 2005; Muhammad et al., 2009). Plants sense and respond to drought stress rapidly by transducing signals to cells, which activate the expression of several genes to resist stress (Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010). Transcription control is one of the most important steps during plant drought stress response.

Chromatin/DNA structure rearrangement and transcription initiation are vital steps of gene expression regulation (Agresti and Bianchi, 2003). High mobility group proteins (HMG) play a key role in regulating these steps. They are abundant proteins associated with chromatin (Grosschedl et al., 1994). Studies have shown that mammalian HMG proteins play an essential role in regulating gene transcription through bending, modifying, or changing the chromatin DNA structure. This benefits numerous protein components, which assemble the protein complex for transcription (Pil et al., 1993; Grosschedl et al., 1994; Lehming et al., 1994). In eukaryotes, there are three main HMG protein subfamilies, including HMGA, HMGB, and HMGN (Bianchi and Agresti, 2005). HMGB proteins were the first to be studied. They are highly mobile and abundant in the cell nucleus. Recent studies demonstrate that HMGB1 associates with various molecules, including DNA, RNA, proteins, and lipopolysaccharides, to mediate various processes in DNA metabolism and innate immunity (Mandke and Vasquez, 2019). Additionally, HMGB1 potentially contributes to all the stages of tumorigenesis (Rapport et al., 2020).

In higher plants, several HMG genes have been isolated (mainly from Arabidopsis and maize), and their protein functions were identified (Grasser et al., 2007). Studies have shown that HMG proteins play crucial roles in plant development and abiotic stress responses (Pedersen and Grasser, 2010). For example, the HMG proteins play a key role in regulating these steps. They are abundant proteins associated with chromatin (Grosschedl et al., 1994). Studies have shown that mammalian HMG proteins play an essential role in regulating gene transcription through bending, modifying, or changing the chromatin DNA structure. This benefits numerous protein components, which assemble the protein complex for transcription (Pil et al., 1993; Grosschedl et al., 1994; Lehming et al., 1994). In eukaryotes, there are three main HMG protein subfamilies, including HMGA, HMGB, and HMGN (Bianchi and Agresti, 2005). HMGB proteins were the first to be studied. They are highly mobile and abundant in the cell nucleus. Recent studies demonstrate that HMGB1 associates with various molecules, including DNA, RNA, proteins, and lipopolysaccharides, to mediate various processes in DNA metabolism and innate immunity (Mandke and Vasquez, 2019). Additionally, HMGB1 potentially contributes to all the stages of tumorigenesis (Rapport et al., 2020).

In higher plants, several HMG genes have been isolated (mainly from Arabidopsis and maize), and their protein functions were identified (Grasser et al., 2007). Studies have shown that HMG proteins play crucial roles in plant development and abiotic stress responses (Pedersen and Grasser, 2010). For example, the overexpression of the HMGB gene ZmHMGB1 in maize inhibited root growth (Lichota et al., 2004). AtHMGB15 promoted pollen tube development in Arabidopsis (Xia et al., 2014) and regulated the expression of stress response genes under cold stress (Mallik et al., 2020). HMGB3 also participates in the activation of plant innate immunity (Choi et al., 2016). Besides, other HMGB genes (i.e., HMGB1, HMGB2, and HMGB5) play distinctive roles in cellular salt or dehydration tolerance (Kwak et al., 2007; Lilldballe et al., 2008). In rice, there are 11 HMGB genes, including six HMGB genes. Among them, only two genes (HMGB1 and HMGB2) have been cloned and characterized (Grasser et al., 2007). However, the function of rice HMGB genes in stress tolerance remains unclear.

Many drought-tolerant quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been mapped (Zeng et al., 2006), but only a few candidate genes associated with drought QTLs have been characterized. In our lab, rice drought tolerance QTLs intervals were mapped, and through bioinformatics analysis, some candidate genes were discovered (Zou et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2007). In this study, we isolated a novel HMGB gene (OsHMGB707) localized in the rice drought QTL interval region and investigated its function in drought response. Its overexpression promoted the expression of numerous stress-related genes like OsDREB1G and significantly improved drought tolerance in rice. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the role of rice HMG protein in drought tolerance. This study provides novel insights into the molecular mechanism of drought stress responses in rice.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Gene Isolation**

In our previous study, bioinformatics and expression pattern analysis identified several candidate genes in the QTL interval of rice drought tolerance of chromosome 4 (Zou et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2007). One of these candidate genes, OsHMGB707, was amplified from the cDNA of the IRAT109 rice cultivar, and its PCR product cloned into the pMD18T vector and then sequenced. The resulting DNA sequence was translated into the amino acids sequence, and a multiple sequence alignment of HMG proteins from Arabidopsis and rice was performed using Clustal X. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor method (MEGA5.0 software) (Tamura et al., 2011).

**Gene Expression Pattern Analysis**

To analyze the expression pattern of OsHMGB707, seedlings of the rice cultivar Nipponbare (Oryza sativa L. ssp japonica) at the four-leaf stage were subjected to various treatments, including dehydration (water withholding), salt (150 mM NaCl), oxidative stress (1% H2O2), cold (4°C), and heat (42°C). Also, plant hormones like 0.1 mM abscisic acid (ABA), and jasmonic acid (JA) were separately sprayed on the seedlings. The roots were also submerged into the solution and then sampled at designated times.

Total RNA was extracted using TRNzol reagent (TIANGEN, DP424, China), and cDNA synthesized using PrimerScript reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, RR036A, Japan). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in a 96-well plate with a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, United States) using the SYBR premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, RR820A, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The reaction conditions were as follows: 95°C for 60 s, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s. The rice actin gene OsACT2 (Os11g0163100) was used as the reference gene to normalize the target gene expression, calculated using the relative quantification method (2−ΔΔCT).

**Vector Construction, Rice Transformation, and Molecular Characterization of Transgenic Rice Plants**

Full-length cDNA of OsHMGB707 was digested with XhoI and BstEI enzymes and then ligated into the plant expression vector pCAMBIA1323 digested using the same enzymes. Notably, OsHMGB707 was driven by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 3SS promoter. For the RNAi vector construction, two copies
of the 300 bp segment of *OsHMGB707* were inserted into the pTCK303 vector. Both the above recombinant constructs were introduced into the japonica rice Zhonghua11 (ZH11) via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation as described previously (Lin and Zhang, 2005). The transformed rice plants were selected on the Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 50 mg/L hygromycin (Murashige and Skoog, 1962).

Subsequently, the transgenic rice plants were characterized using PCR to confirm whether *OsHMGB707* was successfully integrated into their genome. To evaluate the *OsHMGB707* expression in *OsHMGB707*-overexpressing rice plants and RNAi plants, Real-Time-qPCR was performed, and its expression in transgenic rice lines calculated as described in section “Gene expression pattern analysis.”

**Stress Treatment and Physiological Index Determination**

The seeds of T3 positive overexpression (OE) and RNAi lines were germinated on MS medium supplemented with 50 mg/L hygromycin for different stress treatments. Similarly, the wild-type (WT) seeds were also cultured in the MS medium without hygromycin. The most evenly germinating seeds were sown in a 96-well plate from which the bottom had been removed for osmotic stress treatment. The seedlings were grown in liquid culture solution in a growth chamber with a 16 h light (28°C)/8 h dark (24°C) photoperiod/temperature rotation. Consequently, 21 days old seedlings were transferred into liquid culture solution supplemented with 18 or 20% (m/V) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 to prompt osmotic stress for 2–3 days. After the WT plants were wilted, they were transferred into normal culture solution for 14 days, and the number of surviving plants was recorded to calculate the survival rate.

Drought tolerance testing was performed for 30 days in a greenhouse. The experiment was initiated at the panicle development stage after the water supply was stopped. After severe wilting of WT plants, all the plants were re-watered until harvest, and their agronomic traits and yield traits were measured.

Subsequently, the leaves from the plants treated with PEG were sampled for physiological analysis. The total malondialdehyde (MDA) and soluble sugar contents were measured using a commercial kit from the Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, A003-3-1 and A145-1-1, China). For water loss rate measurements, leaves were detached from rice plants at the vegetable stage and weighed every hour.

**Sub-Cellular Localization**

To examine the subcellular localization of the *OsHMGB707* protein, the full-length of *OsHMGB707* was cloned into the plant expression vector pCAMBIA1300EGFP after being digested with XbaI and BamHI, fusing *OsHMGB707* to GFP. The GFP fusion vector was transformed into A. *tumefaciens* strain EHA105. The transformed *Agrobacterium* was infiltrated into the leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants as previously described (Liu et al., 2010). These agroinfiltrated plants were left to grow for 48 h. Propidium iodide (PI) was infiltrated into these leaves 3 h before observation, and the GFP and PI fluorescence was examined under a laser confocal microscope (Olympus, FV3000, Japan).

**Protein Expression and Purification**

For *OsHMGB707* protein expression, *OsHMGB707* was cloned into the GST expression vector pGEX-6P-1, and the GST fusion expression constructs were introduced into *E. coli* BL21. The expression of the fusion protein was induced by adding 1 mM IPTG and incubated at 18°C overnight. The solubility of the expressed protein was checked using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). We induced GST-*OsHMGB707* in 100 mL LB medium to purify the fusion protein, and then bacteria were broken up using a supersonic broker (Scientz, JY92-2D, China). Lastly, the supernatant was added into Profinity GST chromatograph column (Bio-Rad, 7324624, United States) in the Profinia protein purification system (Bio-Rad, United States), and the purified protein was checked through SDS-PAGE.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)**

The four-way junction DNA was formed through annealing the four poly nucleic acids and then purified using PAGE as described before (Wu et al., 2003). The promoter segments (~200 bp) were amplified using biotin-labeled primers. To analyze the *OsHMGB707* DNA binding ability, EMSA assay (Hellman and Fried, 2007) was performed using a LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20148, United States) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The *OsHMGB707* protein and biotin-labeled DNA were added to the reaction buffer at room temperature then incubated for 20 min to assess the DNA binding reaction. After this reaction, all samples were loaded into the PAGE gel system and transferred to a Hybond-N nylon membrane (Amersham, United States). The membrane was then washed and the biotin-labeled DNA was detected by Chemiluminescence. The membrane was exposed to an X-ray film or a ChemiDoc XRS + imaging system (Bio-Rad, United States).

**Micro-Array Analysis**

Twenty-one day-old seedlings of WT, *OsHMGB707*-OE, and *OsHMGB707*-RNAi rice plants were harvested for subsequent microarray analysis. Total RNA was extracted from three biological replicates (20 seedlings per replicate) using TRizol reagent. The experimental procedure followed the standard protocol of the Affymetrix GeneChip service (Gene biotech). The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the transgenic rice plants and WT plants samples were identified. The fold change was greater than 2 (up-regulated) or less than 0.5 (down-regulated) using the analysis software. The expression of selected DEGs was confirmed using quantitative PCR. DEGs in *OsHMGB707* transgenic plants whose expression was affected by drought stress were selected based on the gene expression profile of WT plants under drought stress detected previously (The data is available in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus repository under accession number GSE64576). A part of genes
whose expression changes are opposite in RNAi plants compared with OE plants and under drought stress were chosen and the heatmap of the selected DEGs was produced using Clustvis 2.01 (Metsalu and Vilo, 2015).

**Biochemical Assays in Yeast**

For *trans*-activation activity assay in yeast, the CDS of OsHMGB707 was cloned into the pGBKTK7 vector and were introduced into yeast strain Y2H Gold according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Clontech, 630489, United States). The *trans*-activation activity was tested through spotting the yeast on SD/Trp- His- plates containing 30 mM 3-AT and incubating at 30°C for 2 days.

For yeast one-hybrid assays, we used the Matchmaker one-hybrid system following the manual instruction (Clontech, PT1031-1, United States). We isolated four target gene promoter segments (~200 bp) of OsHMGB707, cloned them into the yeast vector pHis2.1 to be the reporter constructs. The CDS of OsHMGB707 was fused to the GAL4 activation domain in pGADT7-Rec2 and transformed them into the yeast Y187 strain with reporter constructs. Finally, the binding activities were tested through spotting the yeast on the SD/Leu-Trp-His- plates containing 30 mM 3-AT and incubating at 30°C for 2 days.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation and Sequence Analysis of OsHMGB707**

In our previous study, one QTL interval in chromosome 4 that harbors a cluster conferring drought tolerance and yield traits was mapped and selected for further evaluation in the present study. Among the genes located in this QTL interval include several drought-induced genes, which were selected as candidate genes. One of these candidate genes, *OsHMGB707*, encodes an HMG protein. Its expression in parent IRAT109 is higher than Zhenshan97B (Supplementary Figure 1). This gene was cloned from the upland rice IRAT109. Of note, the coding sequence of OsHMGB707 in IRAT109 is similar to that of Nipponbare rice. The amino acid alignment showed that OsHMGB707 has high homology to AtHMGB1-5. Similar to the Arabidopsis HMGB proteins, OsHMGB707 has one conserved HMG domain (Figure 1A) and a variable C-terminal. Phylogenetic analysis showed that OsHMGB707 belongs to the HMGB subfamily, near AtHMGB1-5 (Figure 1B).

**Expression Pattern of OsHMGB707**

Real-time qPCR was performed to determine the expression pattern of *OsHMGB707* under stresses and phytohormone treatments. Dehydration and salt stress treatments significantly upregulated the expression of *OsHMGB707* (Figure 2). In contrast, cold stress downregulated *OsHMGB707* expression. The expression of *OsHMGB707* reduced under ABA and JA treatments. These results suggest that *OsHMGB707* may participate in abiotic stress responses. The results of *OsHMGB707* expression in various rice tissues show that it is expressed in leaves, shoots, panicles, roots, and other tissues (Figure 2), indicating that *OsHMGB707* expression is not tissue-specific.

**Overexpression of OsHMGB707 Enhances Osmotic Tolerance in Transgenic Rice Plants**

For overexpression, *OsHMGB707* was driven by the CaMV35S promoter (Supplementary Figure 2A). Real-time qPCR analysis showed that several transgenic lines displayed higher expression of *OsHMGB707* than the WT plants (Supplementary Figure 2B).

To investigate whether *OsHMGB707* participates in rice drought tolerance, the *OsHMGB707* OE lines were treated with PEG to simulate osmotic stress. Before treatment, there was no obvious phenotypic difference between the transgenic seedlings and the WT (Figure 3A). However, the leaves of WT plants wilted rapidly 1 day post-treatment with 20%PEG (Figure 3B) and rolled adversely 2 days post-treatment with 20% PEG. After recovery treatment for 14 days, most WT plants did not survive. In contrast, *OsHMGB707* overexpression lines wilted slightly under PEG treatment and soon recovered after they were transferred to normal culture solution (Figure 3A). The survival rates of *OsHMGB707* OE rice plants (80–86%) were significantly higher than WT plants (23%) (Figure 3C).

Several drought-related physiological traits of the transgenic plants were examined under drought stress. Although the soluble sugar contents of the transgenic rice leaves were similar to the WT rice (Figure 3E), the MDA contents of transgenic lines were significantly lower than WT plants under PEG-simulate osmotic stress (Figure 3D).

**Silencing of OsHMGB707 Does Not Significantly Affect Osmotic Stress Tolerance of Transgenic Rice Plants**

Herein, we generated *OsHMGB707* RNAi lines to further assess the drought tolerance function of *OsHMGB707*. One segment of *OsHMGB707* was cloned into vector pTCK303 under the control of the ubiquitin promoter (Supplementary Figure 3A). Real-time qPCR analysis showed that *OsHMGB707* expression in several RNAi transgenic rice plants (e.g., RNAi-11and RNAi-17) was significantly lower than the WT plants (Supplementary Figure 3B).

The *OsHMGB707* RNAi plants were further treated with PEG-simulated osmotic stress. There was no obvious phenotypic difference between the RNAi and WT rice plants before treatment. Indeed, the leaves of RNAi rice plants rolled in a pattern similar to the WT plants under PEG-simulated osmotic stress treatment for 1 day (Figure 4A). Besides, there was no significant difference between the survival rates of *OsHMGB707* RNAi plants and the WT after stress treatment (Figure 4B). Consistently, there was no significant difference in the MDA and soluble sugar contents between the *OsHMGB707* RNAi and WT plants under stress treatment (Figures 4C–D). These results indicate *OsHMGB707* knockdown does not significantly affect the osmotic tolerance of transgenic rice plants.
FIGURE 1 | Sequence alignment and homologous analysis of OsHMGB707 and other HMG proteins from Arabidopsis and rice. (A) Protein sequence alignment of several Arabidopsis and rice HMGB subfamily proteins. The HMG domain is indicated by lines. (B) Phylogenetic tree of Arabidopsis and rice HMG proteins. The tree was constructed using MEGA5.0.
Drought Tolerance of OsHMGB707 Transgenic Rice Plants Under Field Conditions

To further investigate the drought tolerance of transgenic rice plants under field conditions, the OsHMGB707 OE, RNAi, and WT rice plants were planted in the field and subjected to drought treatment at the young panicle differentiation stage. Under drought treatment, the OsHMGB707 OE rice plants exhibited excellent growth with fewer leaves rolled than in WT and RNAi lines. Meanwhile, the leaves of RNAi lines rolled like those of the WT (Figure 5A). Under normal conditions, there was no significant difference in the biomass and yield between the OsHMGB707 OE lines and the WT plants. However, under drought stress, the biomass, panicle length, 100-seed weight, and yield per plant were significantly higher in OsHMGB707 OE lines compared to WT.
OE rice plants (line OE8 or OE18) than in WT plants. There was no significant difference in the agronomic traits between OsHMGB707 RNAi and WT rice plants, except that the yield per plant of RNAi-11 lines was lower than WT plants (Figures 5B–E). The field test was repeated and OE plants under drought stress exhibited similar higher biomass and yield compared to WT plants (Supplementary Figure 4). These results further demonstrate that OsHMGB707 facilitates rice drought tolerance and can therefore be exploited in breeding drought-resistant rice varieties.

This study explored several drought tolerance-related traits to further understand the mechanism for the enhanced drought tolerance in OsHMGB707 OE rice plants. The WT tended to show higher water loss rate of the detached leaves compared to the OsHMGB707 OE lines, but the difference was not statistically significant (Supplementary Figure 5A). The root
lengths of OsHMGB707 OE transgenic rice plants and WT plants were similar under normal and drought stress conditions (Supplementary Figure 5B).

Sub-Cellular Localization, Trans-Activation, and DNA Binding Ability of OsHMGB707

To investigate the subcellular localization of OsHMGB707, an OsHMGB707-GFP fusion protein was constructed and introduced to tobacco leaves. The fluorescence signals of OsHMGB707-GFP were detected in the cell nucleus of tobacco epidermal cells, merged with PI, which is a kind of nuclear stain (Figure 6A). Generally, HMG proteins have no classic transcription factor for trans-activation activities. This study also investigated the trans-activation activity of OsHMGB707 in yeast to verify the similar attribute of OsHMGB707. The results showed that OsHMGB707 is a cell nuclear protein with no trans-activation activity (Figure 6B).

High mobility group proteins are commonly associated with chromatin/DNA and mainly function to bind various DNA structures, including four-way junction DNA, and to aid the assembly of the transcription protein complex. To determine whether OsHMGB707 can bind DNA, the GST-OsHMGB707 was expressed in E. coli and purified (Supplementary Figure 6). The four-way junction DNA was produced via annealing and recycling. The EMSA assay showed that OsHMGB707 binds to the synthetic four-way junction DNA and competes with the unlabeled DNA (Figure 6C).

Gene Expression Profiles of OsHMGB707 OE and RNAi Transgenic Rice Plants

Microarray analysis was conducted to determine the effect of OsHMGB707 on the gene expression profiles of transgenic rice plants. A total of 107 genes were up-regulated while 88 genes were down-regulated in OsHMGB707 OE plants, compared with the WT plants (Supplementary Table 1). Meanwhile, 39 genes were down-regulated, and 110 genes were up-regulated in RNAi plants, relative to the WT plants (Supplementary Table 2). Only one overlapped gene, OsDREB1G, was found between the up-regulated genes in OsHMGB707 OE plants and down-regulated genes in RNAi plants, suggesting that OsDREB1G might be the target gene of OsHMGB707.
FIGURE 5 | Drought tolerance assessment of OsHMGB707 transgenic lines and WT rice plants under field conditions. (A) The performance of OsHMGB707-overexpression (OE) lines (OE8 and OE18), OsHMGB707-RNAi lines (RNAi-11 and RNAi-17), and WT rice plants (ZH11) before and after drought stress in the field. The rice plants were cultivated in the greenhouse, and irrigation was stopped before the heading stage. The water was re-supplied after 30-day drought treatment. (B) Biomass, (C) panicle length, (D) 100-seed weight, (E) yield per plant in transgenic lines and WT rice plants. Data represents means ± standard error (SE) (n = 8–10). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, Student’s t-test.
Some of the up-regulated DEGs in OE plants were also drought-induced, compared with the micro-array data of zhonghua11 under drought treatment (Figure 7A). Besides, some of these up-regulated genes encode stress-related transcription factors and heat shock proteins. For instance, LOC_Os02g45450 (OsDREB1G) and LOC_Os06g03670 (OsDREB1C) encode dehydration-responsive element-binding protein (DREB), LOC_Os02g43840 encodes an ethylene-responsive element-binding protein (ERF), LOC_Os01g42190 encodes the heat shock protein DnaJ, and LOC_Os01g04370 encodes the heat shock protein 20. Several down-regulated genes in OsHMGB707-RNAi plants encode peroxidases (Prx) (e.g., LOC_Os07g48010,
FIGURE 7 | The gene expression profiles of OsHMGB707 transgenic rice plants. (A) Cluster analysis of selected DEGs between transgenic lines and WT rice plants. FC, fold change; WTD, WT plants under drought stress; WT, WT plants under normal conditions. (B) qPCR analysis to validate the expression of some DEGs. Error bars indicate the standard error (SE) of three biological replicates, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, Student's t-test.

LOC_Os07g48020, and LOC_Os02g14430). Thus, the expressions of these DEGs were detected to validate the microarray results. According to the results, most of the DEGs were significantly up-regulated in OsHMGB707-OE lines than in WT plants (Figure 7B). Moreover, the expression of several DEGs was lower in OsHMGB707 RNAi lines than in the WT plants. These results suggest that OsHMGB707 potentially regulates the expression of stress-related genes.

Further, the upstream promoter segments of several DEGs were cloned to investigate whether OsHMGB707 can directly regulate the proposed target genes. Yeast one-hybrid assays were used to test whether OsHMGB707 could bind these promoters. We found that yeast co-transformed with OsHMGB707 and pHis2.1-promoters (i.e., LOC_Os01g2190, LOC_Os02g15350, and LOC_Os02g45450) constructs grew better than yeast containing pGAD-T7 plus pHis2.1-promoters on the SD selective medium without histidine (Figure 8A). EMSA assays further displayed that OsHMGB707 binds to these promoter segments in vitro (Figure 8B). These results demonstrated that OsHMGB707 might bind to the promoter of the potential target genes.

DISCUSSION

OsHMGB707 Positively Regulates Rice Drought Tolerance

Plants respond to drought stress in various ways, including changes in gene expression (Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010). The HMG protein is an abundant non-histone chromosomal protein that modulates chromatin/DNA configuration, regulates gene transcription, and participates in DNA damage repair and plant innate immunity (Agretri and Bianchi, 2003; Choi et al., 2016; Charbonnel et al., 2018). Several studies have shown that some HMG proteins modulate stress response in Arabidopsis (Kwak et al., 2007; Lildballe et al., 2008; Mallik et al., 2020). Here, OsHMGB707, a novel HMG gene in rice, was isolated and characterized. Our results revealed that OsHMGB707 is localized in the rice drought QTL interval region, and its expression is remarkably induced by drought stress (Figure 2). Furthermore, OsHMGB707 overexpression in rice significantly enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic rice (Figures 3, 5). These results indicate that OsHMGB707 encodes a stress-induced HMG protein and positively regulates drought tolerance in rice.

In Arabidopsis, altering the expression of different HMG proteins led to distinct phenotypes for stress tolerance. AtHMGB1 overexpression reduced salt tolerance, whereas its knockout did not affect the salt tolerance level (Lildballe et al., 2008). Although overexpression of HMGB5 did not affect salt and osmotic stress tolerance, plants lacking HMGB5 showed reduced germination rate on media containing salt (Kwak et al., 2007). In this study, overexpression of OsHMGB707 significantly enhanced drought stress tolerance (Figures 3, 5). Notably, the effects of OsHMGB707 differed from the function of AtHMGB1 and HMGB5. On the other hand, OsHMGB707 knockdown did not significantly affect the stress tolerance of transgenic rice plants (Figures 4, 5). This finding concurs with the results reported in Arabidopsis that knocking out the HMGB1 gene does not affect plant stress tolerance. Of note, this study examined RNAi knockdown lines but not knockout lines. Therefore, the residual
activity of OsHMBG707 in RNAi plants might still function. Considering that the rice genome contains six HMGB genes (Grasser et al., 2007), it is also possible that the redundant HMGB members have a compensation effect. Therefore, the knockout of all the six HMGB genes using the CRISPR/Cas9 technique would be more effective in characterizing their functions in rice.

**OsHMBG707 Encodes a Nuclear DNA Binding Protein**

High mobility group proteins are often associated with chromatin/DNA, and many HMG proteins have been shown to localize in the cell nucleus. However, a few others localize in the cell cytosol and are even secreted out of the cell (Grasser et al., 2006; Pedersen et al., 2010). The different subcellular localization suggests that HMG proteins have different cellular functions (Pedersen et al., 2010). In this study, the OsHMBG707 protein was localized in the cell nucleus (Figure 6A), just like most HMG proteins. In vitro, EMSA methods have also demonstrated that many HMG proteins can bind DNA (e.g., four-way junction DNA) (Ritt et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2003; Grasser et al., 2004). Here, we found that OsHMBG707 protein could bind the four-way junction DNA and double-stranded target DNA (Figures 6C, 8), indicating that OsHMBG707 can bind different types of DNA. The DNA binding of most HMGB proteins lacks sequence specificity, is always scanned and is temporary (Launholt et al., 2006). However, some HMGBs can recognize specific DNA sequences and selectively bind to targets. For example, in maize, HMGB1 can recognize CCAAT cis-elements (Grasser et al., 1994). AtHMGB11 preferably binds to AT-rich DNA and shows structural bias for supercoiled DNA (Roy et al., 2016). DNA binding and footprinting assays further identified A(A/C)–ATA—(A/T)(A/T) as AtHMGB15 binding motif (Mallik et al., 2020). Here, OsHMBG707 could bind several target DNA (Figure 8), and several of these target promoters contain CCAAT elements (data not shown). However, it remains unknown whether OsHMBG707 recognizes specific DNA sequences.

**OsHMBG707 Regulates the Expression of Stress-Related Genes**

Previous studies have shown that HMG proteins can bend DNA to facilitate the function of transcription regulatory factors and specific gene expressions (Bustin and Reeves, 1996; Bianchi and Agresti, 2005). In this study, the expression of several genes was up-regulated in OsHMBG707-overexpressing rice plants,
including LOC_Os02g45450 (OsDREB1G), LOC_Os06g03670 (OsDREB1C), LOC_Os02g15350 (OsDOF7), LOC_Os01g42190 (encodes heat shock protein DnaJ), LOC_Os01g04370 (encodes heat shock protein), and LOC_Os08g14190 (encodes flavonol sulfotransferase) (Supplementary Table 1). Some of these DEGs were also up-regulated by drought stress treatment (Figure 7A). Notably, some of these DEGs have been reported to be related to drought and other abiotic stresses. For example, DREB transcription factors play an important role in stress responses (Sakuma et al., 2006a,b). A previous study suggested that OsDREB1G and OsDREB1C may be involved in cold response in rice (Dubouzet et al., 2003). Heat shock proteins are responsible for protein homeostasis and tolerance to heat, drought, and other abiotic stresses (Jacob et al., 2017; Pulido and Leister, 2018). In plants, flavonol sulfotransferase-mediated sulfate-conjugation reactions play an essential role in plant adaptation to stress (Klein and Papenbrock, 2004).

The yeast one-hybrid test showed that OsHMGB707 directly binds to the promoter of OsDREB1G and several other target genes (Figure 8). The binding of OsHMGB707 to the promoter of the stress-related genes potentially enhances their expression enabling the plants to cope with drought stress. In animals and higher plants, HMG protein interacts with specific transcription factors to regulate gene expression (Grasser et al., 2007). For example, the maize HMGB1 protein can interact with DOF transcription factors to regulate tilling in maize seeds (Cavalar et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2006). In this study, several transcription factors (e.g., OsDOF7 and OsDREB1C) were significantly induced in OsHMGB707 OE plants. Cognizant of this, we speculated that OsHMGB707 might interact with these transcription factors and promote their expression. However, the yeast two-hybrid test indicated that OsHMGB707 does not interact with OsDOF7 and OsDREB1C transcription factors (data not shown). Therefore, the interaction between OsHMGB707 and transcription factors was not investigated further in this study. However, a detailed mechanism for OsHMGB707 in regulating the expression of target genes needs further investigation.

This study identified a novel drought-tolerance HMG gene in rice, OsHMGB707. Overall, the results of this study demonstrate that OsHMGB707 localizes in the nucleus where it may enhance the expression of stress-related genes, thereby positively modulating rice drought tolerance.
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