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ABSTRACT

Abstract: The swellings in the neck can be caused by innumerable pathological lesions arising from the various anatomical structures lying therein. Multi-Detector CT (MDCT) has now become the new standard in a radiological imaging modality. The utilization of MDCT has resulted in improved resolution and considerable reductions in scan acquisition and display time. Aim and Objective: This study is an effort to assess the role of MDCT in detection, characterization and diagnosing neck masses that correlate cytologically. Methods: A study of 50 cases in a clinically suspected neck mass was studied. Contrast-enhanced CT neck was done, and Specific CT criteria were used to characterize the mass so that a probable diagnosis could be made. MDCT diagnoses then compared with cytological results to conclude efficiency of MDCT analysis of neck mass. Results: In our study, the correlation between MDCT diagnosis and pathological diagnosis was significant (p<0.001) when we compare both the modalities for diagnosing malignancy. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy is 92.3%, 87.5%, 88.9%, 91.3%, 90% respectively. Conclusion: Multi-detector computed tomography helps in precise anatomical localization and characterization of neck masses. Hence, it will be a method of choice for initial evaluation, preoperative planning, and biopsy targeting and postoperative follow-up.
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INTRODUCTION

The neck is a wide anatomical area, and a detailed understanding of neck anatomy is critical to reaching a differential diagnosis [1]. It encompasses a wide variety of anatomical structures that belong to different organ systems, and thus the swellings in the neck can be caused by innumerable pathological lesions arising from the various anatomical structures lying therein [2]. In addition to metastases from malignancies commonly are neck masses, cervical lymphadenopathy is one of the common causes of neck masses in our country due to the prevalence of tuberculosis [3]. Clinical history and examination are usually the first step in the diagnostic evaluation of such masses and help in the gross differentiation of such masses. Multi-Detector CT (MDCT) has now become the new standard in a radiological imaging modality. The utilization of MDCT has resulted in improved resolution and considerable reductions in scan acquisition and display time [4]. This study is an effort to assess the role of MDCT in detection, characterization and diagnosing neck pathologies, which may help in deciding further course of management, and findings will be correlated cytologically.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

Study design: The study was a hospital-based, cross-sectional descriptive study. Ethical approval and informed consent: Ethical approval granted by the college Ethics Committee. Patients were incorporated into this study after taking proper consent.

Study location: Study was conducted at the Department of Radiodiagnosis, Burdwan Medical College

Study time frame: July 2017 to June 2018

Study population: fifty patients irrespective of age and sex presenting with neck mass studied over the period of one year after fulfilling inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria: We have tried to reach a definite diagnosis utilizing the available clinical, radiological & cytological data. Each patient of our study was subjected to thorough clinical examination and radiological evaluation. Specific CT criteria were used to characterize the mass so that a probable diagnosis could be made. USG guided FNAC then performed aseptically and later on, and the cytological analysis was carried away. MDCT diagnoses then compared with cytological results to conclude the efficiency of MDCT analysis of neck mass.

Statistical analysis: Data was analysed and diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, specificity of MDCT is assessed

RESULTS

In the present study, male preponderance was noted that is, 52% of the patients were males compared to 48% females with male to female ratio of 1.08:1.

The patients’ age ranges from 7 year to 76 years, with a
mean age of 42.46.

Table 1: Distribution of cases based on age

| AGE (years) | Distribution [n (%)] |
|-------------|---------------------|
| <10         | 3 (6)               |
| 11-20       | 3 (6)               |
| 21-30       | 7 (14)              |
| 31-40       | 9 (18)              |
| 41-50       | 10 (20)             |
| 51-60       | 10 (20)             |
| 61-70       | 5 (10)              |
| >71         | 3 (6)               |

Table 2: Distribution neck masses into benign, malignant groups according to MDCT characters

| MDCT DIAGNOSIS | N |
|----------------|---|
| Benign lesions|   |
| Thyroid        | 5 |
| Thyroglossal cyst | 1 |
| Parotid (Salivary adenoma) | 2 |
| Localized abscess | 2 |
| Schwannoma     | 2 |
| TB Lymphadenitis | 6 |
| Reactive lymph node | 2 |
| Lipoma         | 1 |
| Inflammatory lateral neck mass | 1 |
| Inflammatory mass in masticator space | 1 |
| Malignant lesions | |
| Buccal carcinoma | 6 |
| Tongue carcinoma | 5 |
| Thyroid carcinoma | 5 |
| Pyriform sinus carcinoma | 2 |
| Carcinoma larynx | 3 |
| Carcinoma mandible | 1 |
| Esophageal carcinoma | 1 |
| Carcinoma oropharynx | 1 |
| Lymphoma       | 2 |
| Carcinoma alveolar process | 1 |

In the present study's most common presenting complaint was that of neck mass, which was seen in 48 (96%) patients and was mainly due to cervical lymphadenopathy, which was an associated complaint with the primary site of malignancy. The second most frequent presenting complaint was that of painless ulcers seen in 21 cases (24%) of patients.

Of the lesions involving the suprathyroid neck spaces, the maximum number of lesions were recorded in the buccal space (n = 6) majority of which were found to be squamous cell carcinoma. In the infrathyroid neck, the predominant lesions (n = 17) were observed in the visceral space. Thyroid origin lesions constitute the bulk of the visceral space lesions. The distribution of neck mass into benign and malignant groups according to MDCT characters shown in table 2.

32 patients out of 50 present with cervical lymphadenopathy. The majority of (n = 22) were due to metastatic secondary with a known primary in the head-neck region. Two cases of lymphoma and six cases of tubercular lymphadenitis were also diagnosed.

The CT criteria used to characterize the lesions were margins, enhancement pattern, necrosis, surrounding soft tissue infiltration, bone erosion, vascular invasion and the extent to adjacent neck space. And the findings are tabulated in Table 3.

Table 3: Distribution of various CT characters in between malignant and benign lesions

| Character                   | Malignant n (%) | Benign n (%) | Total n (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Heterogenous enhancement   | 24 (92.3)       | 18 (75)      | 42 (84)     |
| Irregular marg-zine         | 24 (92.3)       | 8 (33.3)     | 32 (64)     |
| Necrosis                   | 14 (53.8)       | 13 (54)      | 27 (54)     |
| Soft tissue infiltration    | 23 (88.5)       | 6 (25)       | 29 (58)     |
| Bone erosion               | 6 (23.1)        | 0            | 6 (12)      |
| Vascular invasion          | 2 (7.7)         | 0            | 2 (4)       |
| Extent to adjacent space   | 4 (15.4)        | 4 (16.7)     | 8 (16)      |

Twenty-seven lesions were diagnosed on CT as malignant. There were three cases that were diagnosed as malignant lesion two was the malignant transformation of adenomatous goiter and one was tongue carcinoma, which on pathological test turned out to be adenomatous goiter and chronic cell infiltrate. Of the 23 cases identified as benign, 21 turned out to be benign while two were malignant lesions on histopathology.

There were two cases which were diagnosed as a chronic inflammatory lesion of masticator space and one was inflammatory pseudotumor they were diagnosed as undifferentiated sarcoma and round cell tumor respectively on histopathology.

The diagnoses of CT have been compared with the histopathology diagnoses in Table 4. The sensitivity of CT in detecting malignant/benign lesions was 92.3% with a specificity of 87.5 %, the positive predictive value of 88.9 % and a negative predictive value of 91.3 % and
accuracy 90% (Table 4).

| Pathological diagnosis | Malignant | Benign |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| CT diagnosis           |           |        |
| Malignant              | 24        | 3      |
| Benign                 | 2         | 21     |

Sensitivity = 92.3%, Specificity = 87.5 %, Positive predictive value = 88.9 %  Negative predictive value = 91.3 %

DISCUSSION

In the present study most, the common presenting complaint was that of neck mass, which was seen in 48 (96%) patients who were mainly due to cervical lymphadenopathy, which was an associated complaint with the primary site of malignancy. The second most frequent presenting complaint was that of painless ulcer seen in 21 cases (24%) of patients, were mostly seen in gingivobuccal and tongue cancers. Dobrossy L [5] did a study in the year 2005 in which the oral cavity was the most common site of lesion seen in 40% of patients and presented with complaints of non-healing oral ulcers.

In the present study, 34% of the patients had visceral neck space involvement, which included pyriform sinus, larynx, thyroid and esophageal in the present study. A similar finding was noted in a study done by Mathur R [6] in the year 2016.

Our heterogeneous study enhancement was noted in 42 out of 50 primary lesions. Heterogenous enhancement found in 92.3% of malignant lesions. Similarly, irregular margin and soft tissue infiltration noted in 92.3% and 88.46% of malignancy, respectively. Heterogenous enhancement and necrosis also noted in some benign lesions. Bone erosion found to be a highly specific feature for malignancy. CT features like irregular margin, surrounding soft tissue infiltration, etc. were found to be statistically significant in differentiating malignant and benign lesions. Kurabayashi T [7] in their study found 11 malignant lesions out of 53 with a sensitivity of 64% on the bases of margins, internal architecture and adjacent plane invasion on CT scan.

In our study, the correlation between MDCT diagnosis and pathological diagnosis was significant (p<0.001) when we compare both the modalities for diagnosing malignancy. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy is 92.3%, 87.5%, 88.9%,91.3%, 90% respectively. Mathur R [6] et al., in their study, mentioned a similar finding in CT differentiating benign and malignant lesions with a sensitivity of 96% and specificity and accuracy of 93%. Liao LJ [8] did a meta-analytic review of various studies and made a pooled estimate in which sensitivity was 52%, and specificity was 93% for MDCT detecting malignant lesion.

CONCLUSION

Multi-detector computed tomography helps in precise anatomical localization and characterization of neck masses. Hence, it will be a method of choice for initial evaluation, preoperative planning, and biopsy targeting and postoperative follow-up.

Limitations: The current study included all neck mass lesions, irrespective of their diagnosis and histopathological variety. It would have been better if an individual type of lesion could be considered separately. A large-scale study with great logistic support and adequate randomization is thus recommended.

Clinical uses: Accurate delineation of pathologies by MDCT provides a reliable preoperative diagnosis, helps in staging, aspiration cytology, planning of biopsy and post-treatment follow up. Hence, Multidetector CT is currently one of the most versatile and powerful imaging procedures for the initial evaluation of neck masses.
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