Changes of the Airway Space and the Position of Hyoid Bone after Mandibular Set Back Surgery Using Bilateral Sagittal Split Ramus Osteotomy Technique
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Abstract

Purpose: Although there have been several studies of reduced airway space after mandibular setback surgery using the sagittal split ramus osteotomy technique, research on the risk factors for changes of the airway space is lacking. Therefore, this study was performed to examine airway changes and the position of the hyoid bone after orthognathic surgery, and to assess possible risk factors.

Methods: In this retrospective study, 50 patients who underwent posterior displacement of the mandible by the bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomy technique were included. Changes of the position of the hyoid bone and the airway space were analyzed over various follow-up periods, using cephalometric radiography taken preoperatively, immediately after surgery, eight weeks after surgery, six months after surgery, and one year after surgery. To identify risk factors, multiple regression analysis of age, gender, body mass index (BMI), posterior mandibular movement, and the presence of genioplasty was performed.

Results: Inferior and posterior movement of the hyoid bone was observed postoperatively, but subsequent observations showed regression towards the anterosuperior aspect. The airway space also significantly decreased after surgery ($P < 0.05$), and increased slightly up until six months after surgery. The airway space significantly decreased ($\beta = 0.47$, $P < 0.01$) as the amount of mandibular setback increased. However, age, sex, BMI, and presence of genioplasty were not associated with airway reduction.

Conclusion: The amount of mandibular set back was significantly associated with postoperative reduction of airway space. It is necessary to establish a treatment plan considering this factor.
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Introduction

Skeletal class III facial patterns can be the result of mandibular prognathism, maxillary deficiency, or a combination of both[1]. Since the soft palate, tongue and hyoid bone, and the associated tissues are attached directly or indirectly to the maxilla and mandible, these tissues are directly affected by movement of the jaw, causing alterations in the pharyngeal area.

Changing the position of the jaw in orthognathic surgery...
changes in the position of the hyoid bone after OS are widely documented, but whether it returns to the original position during the postoperative follow-up period is still under debate[11-13]. More study is needed to find what can affect the changes of the airway space and the position of the hyoid bone. In light of this, the purposes of this study were as follows: 1) To evaluate the changes of the airway space and the position of hyoid bone after OS (mandibular setback surgery) in patients who had skeletal class III facial pattern without any kind of sleep disorder; 2) To identify possible risks for these changes.

Materials and Methods

1. Study subjects

To address the research hypothesis, the authors conducted a retrospective study evaluating the measurements on lateral cephalometric radiographs of subjects with a Class III facial pattern.

Patients who underwent OS with backward movement of the mandible through the bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomy (BSSRO) technique in the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Ewha Womans University Medical Center during the period from January 2003 to July 2011 were included in this study. The same surgeon operated on every case.

We considered only mandibular movement and excluded the maxilla and mandible combined double jaw surgery. The advance movement of chin was included and backward movement excluded in the genioplasty. Surgeries were performed under general anesthesia with rigid internal fixation using titanium plates and screws.

The patients ranged in age from 19 to 35 years old, were healthy, no known medical history, no signs of habitual sleep disorder, and no history of previous OS. Patients who did not have a postoperative follow up period of more than one year were excluded. The Institutional Review Board of the Ewha Medical Center, Seoul, Korea reviewed and approved this study and access to patients’ records.
2. Methods

1) Lateral cephalometric radiography and measurement

Lateral cephalometric radiographs were taken using the Ortho Stage AUTO III N CMR (Asahi Co., Seto City, Japan). The head was fixed with a cephalostat in the natural head position with maximum intercuspitation conditions. A tube voltage of 73 kVp and a current of 15 mA were set as the recording conditions, and the recording time was 7.4 seconds. Lateral cephalometric radiographs were taken before surgery (T0), immediately after surgery (T1), two months after surgery (T2), six months after surgery (T3), and one year after surgery (T4). The cephalometric radiographs were converted to digital image communication in medicine (DICOM) files, reconstructed using the V-ceph program (Ver. 7.0; Osstem, Seoul, Korea) for calibration, and analyzed by an orthodontist.

2) Measurement points and measurements

(1) Baseline (reference plane): The horizontal reference line (horizontal reference plane, HRP) lies above the sella to nasion (SN) plane over 7 degrees. The vertical reference line is perpendicular to the HRP, and passes through the sella point (vertical reference plane, VRP).

To calibrate the amount of mandibular setback movement, the distance from the VRP to point B was measured (Fig. 1).

(2) Hyoid bone: Measurements of the distance of the hyoid bone from different landmarks include the following: HRP-Hy, measurement of the horizontal distance from the most anterior-superior position of the hyoid bone to the HRP; VRP-Hy, measurement of the horizontal distance from the most anterior-superior position of the hyoid bone to the VRP; C-Hy, measurement of the distance from the most anterior-superior position of the hyoid bone to the most posterior point of the mandibular symphysis.

(3) Airway space: Airway space was measured as the horizontal distance from the most superior point of the second cervical spine to the posterior of tongue.

3. Assessment methods and statistical analysis

1) Changes in the airway space and the hyoid bone after surgery

Measurements were performed T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4.

The degree of change in the hyoid bone and the airway space was evaluated at each exam. Null hypotheses of no change were tested using a linear mixed model for verification, with repeated measures.

2) Evaluation of risk factors

To evaluate the risk factors associated with the changes in the airway space after OS, multiple linear regression analysis was performed of the patient’s age, sex, amount of mandibular setback, body mass index (BMI), and presence of genioplasty. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 Software (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The lateral cephalometric radiographs of 50 patients (21 males and 29 females) with a mean age of 24.1 years (range
Changes of hyoid bone position at each period of time

1) Change of vertical position
Post-operative downward movement of the hyoid bone with reference to the HRP and the hyoid bone (Hy) was observed. The preoperative (T1) had a distance of $117.06 \pm 8.45$ mm (T0), which increased to $120.82 \pm 9.91$ mm (T1). The increase observed at T1 consistently showed a decreasing trend across patients (Table 1).

2) Change of horizontal position
The vertical distance of hyoid from the baseline changed from $14.07 \pm 6.70$ mm (T0) to $9.71 \pm 6.26$ mm (T1), and this pattern continued for six months after surgery.

3) Change of the hyoid bone position relative to the symphysis of the mandible
The distance between the hyoid bone and the most posterior point of the symphysis of the mandible increased from $40.69 \pm 4.68$ mm (T0) to $41.94 \pm 5.56$ mm (T1) as the hyoid bone moved backward postoperatively, but decreased to $39.72 \pm 4.97$ mm (T3) six months post-surgically.

2. Changes of the airway space
The preoperative airway space of $18.55 \pm 4.17$ mm (T0) decreased to $14.38 \pm 4.08$ mm post-surgically (T1). Over a six month period, gradual recovery of the airway space was observed, recovering to $16.44 \pm 3.64$ mm (T3). However, the passage of an additional six months did not lead to more recovery, only reaching a value of $16.58 \pm 3.60$ mm (T4). Full recovery to the original volume was not observed (Table 2).

Table 2. Airway space changes (n=50)

|       | Mean±standard deviation | Number |
|-------|------------------------|--------|
| T0    | 18.55±4.17             | 50     |
| T1    | 14.38±4.08             | 50     |
| T2    | 15.49±3.81             | 50     |
| T3    | 16.44±3.64             | 50     |
| T4    | 16.58±3.60             | 50     |

Table 3. Factors associated with airway change

|                  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis* |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                  | $\beta$  | SE     | P-value | $\beta$  | SE     | P-value |
| Age              | 0.09    | 0.05   | ns      | 0.07    | 0.05   | ns      |
| Gender           | -0.27   | 0.59   | ns      | -0.09   | 0.56   | ns      |
| Body mass index  | -0.01   | 0.09   | ns      | -0.04   | 0.08   | ns      |
| Amount of set-back | 0.46b  | 0.11   | $>0.001$| 0.47b   | 0.52   | $>0.001$|
| Genioplasty      | 0.24    | 0.58   | ns      | -0.29   | 0.54   | ns      |

SE, standard error; ns, none significant.
*Mutually adjusted linear regression model. *Statistically significant ($P<0.05$).
3. Risk factors affecting the airway space changes

Variable potentially affecting airway space changes after OS were evaluated. These were age, gender, amount of setback, BMI and whether or not genioplasty was performed. Multiple regression analysis showed that the amount of setback has a statistically significant effect on the change in airway spaces, Ts (T1-T0) (β=0.46, P<0.001) (Table 3).

Discussion

Skeletal changes of the jaw due to OS also affect the size of the airway space. Several studies have assessed the changes in the airway space and the position of the hyoid bone, as well as the physiologic reflex mechanism for airway maintenance after skeletal movement in subjects with a skeletal class III facial pattern who underwent OS[11,13,14].

Eggensperger et al.[15] evaluated the lateral radiographs of 32 patients who underwent mandibular setback surgery, taken at one week, six months, and 12 months post-operatively, observing a continuous decrease in the nasopharynx and oropharynx space, Hochban et al.[16], Saitoh[17], and Tselnik and Pogrel[11] also reported decreases in the pharyngeal airway space after mandibular setback surgeries. Demetriades et al.[6] found similar results, and noted a setback of five mm as potentially critical for the development of obstructive sleep apnea. Chung and Lee[18], Lee[19] and Kim et al.[20] reported that oropharyngeal space decreased postoperatively, with the decrease maintained in the months post-surgery. Marşan et al.[12] found an increase in the nasopharynx with no alterations of the hypopharynx in patients with a Class III facial pattern who underwent bimaxillary surgery. Hasebe et al.[21] did not find any differences in the pharyngeal airway space between the mandibular setback surgery group and bimaxillary surgery group. Pereira-Filho et al.[5] found an increase in the nasopharyngeal space with long-term maintenance, an increase in the oropharynx with relapse in the long term, and a decrease in the hypopharynx with long term maintenance for bimaxillary surgery in patients with a Class III pattern.

Thus, results are conflicting about the changes of the airway space after OS. These variations may arise from the landmarks used for measuring the airway space, which can be different among studies.

In this study, the airway space changed from the T0 value of 18.55±4.17 mm to the T1 value of 14.38±4.08 mm, showing the greatest reduction in airway space. This reduction recovered gradually to 16.58±3.60 at T4, but complete recovery was not observed, and a reduction of about 11% compared to the preoperative airway space measurement was still present.

After mandibular setback surgery the hyoid bone may move inferiorly[13,22,23], both inferiorly and posteriorly[14,15,24,25], and both inferiorly and forward[21]. Some studies also report that the hyoid bone returns to its original position, maintaining the airway resistance[7,11-13,15,24,25].

These changes can occur because of the physiologic reflex mechanism for maintaining the airway space. In this study, we observed posterior and inferior movement of the hyoid bone after mandibular setback surgery, and found anterior and superior movement of hyoid bone towards the original position one year following surgery. However, like the airway change mentioned above, these movements could not return the hyoid bone to its original position.

To assess the risk factors associated with changes in the airway space after OS, age, gender, amount of mandibular set back, BMI, and genioplasty were statistically analyzed. Only setback was identified as a significant factor T (T1-T0). The amount of mandibular setback is a statistically significant (β=0.46, P<0.001) risk factor affecting the airway space changes, both by univariate and by multiple regression analysis (P<0.001).

BMI is usually categorized, but we used it as a continuous variable, because most of the patients were in the normal range, and we wished to assess the linear risk of this factor. We thought that continuous variables were appropriate for reliable results statistically.

A relapse occurred in the airway space postoperatively and the gap between each time period (T2-T0, T3-T0) were decreased. The authors performed the same analysis for the time of T2-T0 and T3-T0 for each risk factor, none of which were statistically significant (data not shown).

Further research on the other risk factors affecting the airway space changes associated with OS are needed especially the direction of mandibular set-back, original airway 3-dimensional dimension, and will benefit from technology developments.
Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the changes of the airway space and the position of the hyoid bone occurring after mandible set-back surgery, which can be followed by a regression phenomenon to the original position, and to identify the risk factors associated with decreased airway space. To analyze the changing pattern of the hyoid bone and the airway space, lateral cephalometric radiographs which were taken T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4 were analyzed. At each exam, the position of the hyoid bone and the changes in the airway space were measured, and the following conclusions were drawn.

1. After mandible set-back surgery, the hyoid bone moved downward and backward, with subsequent forward and upward movement towards the original position in the postsurgical observation period, but did not return to the exact original position.

2. The airway space decreased significantly after surgery ($P<0.05$) and gradually increased up to six months, after which significant improvement was not observed.

3. Among the risk factors affecting the decrease in the airway space after OS, the amount of mandibular setback was the only significant risk factor ($P<0.05$). Other factors such as age, sex, BMI, and genioplasty did not differ significantly.
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