A metal-supported single-atom catalytic site enables carbon dioxide hydrogenation
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Nitrogen-doped graphene-supported single atoms convert CO2 to CO, but fail to provide further hydrogenation to methane – a finding attributable to the weak adsorption of CO intermediates. To regulate the adsorption energy, here we investigate the metal-supported single atoms to enable CO2 hydrogenation. We find a copper-supported iron-single-atom catalyst producing a high-rate methane. Density functional theory calculations and in-situ Raman spectroscopy show that the iron atoms attract surrounding intermediates and carry out hydrogenation to generate methane. The catalyst is realized by assembling iron phtalocyanine on the copper surface, followed by in-situ formation of single iron atoms during electrocatalysis, identified using operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy. The copper-supported iron-single-atom catalyst exhibits a CO2-to-methane Faradaic efficiency of 64% and a partial current density of 128 mA cm−2, while the nitrogen-doped graphene-supported one produces only CO. The activity is 32 times higher than a pristine copper under the same conditions of electrolyte and bias.
Metal nanostructures supported on host substrates, such as metal oxide, metal, and graphene, are widely used in heterogeneous catalysis.1,2 The size of metal nanoclusters is a prime determinant of the catalytic characteristics of the resultant composite materials.

Recently, the ultimate small-size limit for metal catalysts—nitrogen-doped graphene-supported single atoms—has attracted interest for its potential in reducing O2 and CO2. Isolated metal atoms immobilized on nitrogen-doped carbon substrates through metal-nitrogen coordination have shown excellent O2-reducing activity, comparable to that of platinum catalysts.3,9, and have also enabled CO2 reduction reaction (CO2RR) instead of the competing hydrogen evolution that is seen in the bulk forms of the same materials.10,11.

Until now, however, CO2RR products from nitrogen-doped graphene-supported single atoms have been limited to CO: the weak binding of *CO intermediates led to facile release of gaseous CO2. We posited here that it would be possible to modulate CO2RR selectivity toward hydrocarbons if we could regulate the electronic structure of the single-atom site by altering dramatically the choice of host substrate. In prior studies of metal-supported single atoms, i.e., metals incorporating atomically-dispersed elements,11,15 DFT calculations have predicted that the binding and activation energies of reaction intermediates on the metal-supported single atoms can be tuned to promote catalytic behavior.16,17

We pursued the conversion of CO2 to hydrocarbons—methane in the present work—offering a means to store renewable electricity in the form of chemical fuels.18-21 Enhancing catalytic activity and improving selectivity remain key goals,22-24 and, among CO2RR products, methane is of interest in light of its established infrastructure for storage, distribution, and utilization. Prior catalysts have exceeded 50% of the selectivity for methane, but at lower than practical current densities.25-27, which limits industrial application: indeed, such analyses suggest an initial target selectivity of 60% combined with current density >100 mA cm−2.28,29.

Results and discussion

We began by investigating, using DFT calculations, whether CO2 methanation is feasible on atomically-dispersed elements on Cu(111), the preferred orientation in polycrystalline copper exhibiting the lowest surface energy of all low-index facets of Cu with an fcc crystal structure (Supplementary Table 1). We first examined, on the single-atom sites, the adsorption energies of *CO vs. *H and the hydrogenation energy of *CO (Fig. 1a). The adsorption of CO favors CO2RR, while *H determines the ease of further reduction to methane.21,22,24 We found that Fe single atoms, among a range of transition metals explored, exhibit the strongest *CO affinity over competing *H, and the lowest hydrogenation energy of *CO, suggesting a tendency for CO2RR to products beyond CO. Interestingly, transition elements such as Co and Ni, show favorable trends for CO2RR as well. The selectivity toward methane is higher for Cu-NiSA and Cu-CoSA (Fig. 1b) compared to pristine Cu, but lower than that when Fe is used.

With the view that particle size can influence catalytic activity—prior reports highlighted that aggregated forms of Fe prefer HER, while the single-atom form adopts CO2RR.5,10—we further examined the effect of Fe domain size, and found that the affinity of *CO and *H is dependent on the size of Fe in the Cu host. Fe atoms exhibit an increasing *CO affinity and a decreasing *H affinity as the Fe domain size decreases, and they reach the highest affinity for *CO over *H on single-site Fe (Fig. 1c). This size-dependent relationship is attributed to the fact that Fe single atoms redistribute the d orbitals near the Fermi level compared to bulk counterparts (Supplementary Fig. 1), altering their adsorption properties and thus their catalytic selectivity. We compared the CO2RR performance of Fe single-atom catalysts vs. aggregated Fe catalysts (Fig. 1d). Fe nanoparticles on the Cu surface produce hydrogen, consistent with prior reports.10 Narrowing the size of Fe to clusters (Supplementary Fig. 2) produces a maximum methane FE of 39%. Cu-Fe clusters, accordingly, have exhibited a modest selectivity toward methane in a photoelectrochemical system,30 indicating the size effect on methane selectivity. Only by further reducing the Fe size to the single-atom level do we maximize selectivity toward methane.

In examining the catalyst’s density of states by DFT calculations, we found that Fe single atoms offer d orbitals near the Fermi level (Fig. 2a): such electronic properties have previously been shown to facilitate the adsorption of reaction intermediates.21 By deconvoluting these d orbitals, we found that they arise mainly from the 2 axis character (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 3), resulting in preferred adsorption of *CO on atop sites of Fe (Fig. 2c). In comparison, *CO binds at the hollow sites of Cu atoms (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 4). The binding of *CO to atop Fe is stronger than that to hollow Cu. In Fig. 2d, we find that *CO transfers from Cu nearby (bridge sites and atop sites) to atop Fe, indicated by arrowheads, while only Cu at hollow sites is stable at the original sites.

To study product selectivity, we calculated the post-adsorption steps on Cu-FeSA: CO hydrogenation and C-C coupling. Their energy level determines the branching of C1 (e.g., CH4, CH3OH) vs. C2 (e.g., C2H4, C2H5OH) products.21,22,24 We found that C-C coupling is energetically unfavorable on Cu-FeSA (both on Cu-Cu and Cu-Fe sites) compared to on Cu (Fig. 2e), indicating that *CO adsorbed on atop Fe sites will not couple with neighboring *CO on Cu sites to generate C2 products. We further investigated the surface CO coverage effect on Cu-FeSA surface, shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. Hydrogenation and coupling reactions are promoted with increasing CO coverage, in agreement with previous studies,23,33,34 while Cu will become favored at high coverage. Previous quantum mechanical studies predict that Au/Ag supported single atoms favor C1 products.7. *CO undergoes hydrogenation to form *COH favorably over *CHO on the Fe sites of Cu-FeSA when there is a contribution of solvation (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Figs. 6, 7), while *CHO is preferred on pristine Cu (Supplementary Fig. 8). The overall CO2-to-methane energy diagram for Cu-FeSA indicates that the rate determining step is *CO + *CHO (ΔE = 0.52 eV, Fig. 2g). Taken together, the DFT calculations suggest that Cu-FeSA favors the production of CH4 via a pathway involving the hydrogenation of *CO to *COH instead of C-C coupling.

We pursued therefore the experimental synthesis of the metal-supported single atoms, a challenge in materials science due to the ease of aggregation of the single sites. We employed a planar complex, iron-phenothiacyanine (FePc), as the precursor of the single-atom metal: this, we posited, could separate central Fe ions and minimize the distance between the Fe ion and the Cu surface. Because FePc does not interact with the Cu surface, FePc is not directly adsorbed on the Cu surface (Supplementary Fig. 9); we therefore functionalized the Cu surface with the aid of 3-mercaptopropionic acid (3-MA), enabling the thiol end to anchor the Cu surface and the oxyl end to bond with the central Fe ions in FePc, forming Cu-FePc (inset of Fig. 3a). This approach was designed to avoid FePc stacks that could produce aggregation of Fe, and sought to ensure that FePc deposited on the Cu surface. Evidence of this is seen in depth-profiling X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy in Supplementary Fig. 10.

Using X-ray diffraction (XRD), we estimate distance between the Fe ion and Cu to be 7.8 Å (diffraction peak at 11.3° in Fig. 3a),
matching the predicted distance Fe⋯3-MPA⋯Cu. We do not observe diffraction signals related to its stacking in FePc powder at 10°, 15°, and 16.3°. We associate the grazing-incidence-angle XRD in Supplementary Fig. 11 (signal at 11.3°) with contributions from surface self-assembling FePc. The rough surface of sputtered copper provides ample adsorption sites for iron phthalocyanine, exhibiting the intensified monolayer XRD signal. We also conduct atomic force microscope studies; the roughness of ~9 nm on the sputtered copper (Supplementary Fig. 12), while a 9 Angstrom step height, corresponding to the predicted distance Fe⋯3-MPA⋯Cu, is observed on the iron-phthalocyanine-modified copper (Supplementary Fig. 13). The Cu surface becomes smoother following molecular assembly (Supplementary Fig. 14) but keeps a (111) preferred orientation, shown in Fig. 3a (the peak at 43.5°). Using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), we found that the intramolecular coordination environment of the oxyl-bonded FePc remains similar to that of unbound FePc (Fig. 3b), while the oxidation state of the central Fe increases slightly, a finding we ascribe to the additional coordination of the oxyl end in 3-MPA. Focusing on the bonding environment of surface Cu (Supplementary Fig. 17), we observe an energy shift to the lower binding energy of the Cu peak in Cu-FePc, allowing us to identify Cu-S functional bonding during 3-MPA surface modification. The shoulder at higher binding energies is attributed to the Cu-O due to exposure to air prior to XAS measurement. Overall, the XRD and XAS studies suggest the formation of self-assembling FePc on Cu, without measurable evidence of stacking beyond a monolayer.

We applied a negative bias to enable the Fe-N cleavage and formation of Cu-FeSA. This provides in-situ synthesis during CO₂RR: the FePc reductive demetallation process initiates at potentials as positive as +0.4 V vs RHE, and continues in the potential range (~0.7 to ~1.3 V vs RHE) of CO₂RR. We found, using in-situ XAS (Fig. 3c), that the Fe-N bond disappears and the Fe-Cu metallic bond (a lower coordination intensity compared to pure Fe metal) emerges during CO₂RR. Accordingly, the oxidation state also changes from that of a cation to a metallic one, and the electronic state differs from that of pure Fe metal (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Figs. 18–20). The large diameter of the phthalocyanine ring (15 Å) separates iron ions (2.52 Å) well, preventing self-aggregation of Fe ions. We further confirmed the Cu-Fe bond from structural fitting of the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS, Supplementary Fig. 21). We also found, from N 1s XPS (Supplementary Fig. 22), that the peak from N-Fe and N-C in FePc drops following electroreduction, suggesting the detachment of the phthalocyanine ring from the surface. We also investigated Cu K-edge XAS from which we found that Cu keeps its metallic state during CO₂RR (Supplementary Figs. 23, 24). Using atomic-resolution transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 3e), we observe the dispersion of single Fe atoms in the Cu matrix. Single Fe atoms appear as bright spots, the result of their causing a more scattered electron beam received by the high-angle annular detector.

The distribution of Fe atoms in the as-formed Cu-FeSA is uniform, and we did not see evidence of an aggregated form of

---

**Fig. 1 Calculations and catalytic activities for single-atom-anchored copper.**

- **a** Comparison of adsorption energy and hydrogenation of *CO on various single-atom catalytic sites.
- **b** Catalytic methane activities of pristine Cu vs. various single-atom-anchored copper catalysts for CO₂ reduction reaction.
- **c** Effect of iron domain sizes in the copper surface on the adsorption energies of *H and *CO.
- **d** Catalytic activities of various iron-dispersed copper materials, including nanoparticle, cluster, and single-atom forms. The error bars represent 1 s.d. on the basis of three independent samples.
**Fig. 2 Mechanism study for the copper-supported single-atom iron catalyst.**

- **a** Density of states of pristine Cu and Cu-FeSA. 
- **b** Adsorption energy of CO for pristine Cu and Cu-FeSA. 
- **c** Deconvolution of d orbitals of single-atom iron in Cu-FeSA. 
- **d** Schematic illustration of *CO transition: the arrow heads mean the transition pathway while the cross marks suggest immobile *CO adsorption sites. 
- **e** C-C coupling energy for pristine Cu vs. Cu-FeSA. 
- **f** Hydrogenation energy of the intermediates for methane production on the iron sites in Cu-FeSA. 
- **g** Energy diagram for methane evolution in Cu-FeSA. The r.d.s. (rate determining step) is the hydrogenation of *CO intermediates on the iron sites.

Iron was found (SEM, TEM and elemental scans over scales from nanometers to micrometers, Supplementary Figs. 25–29). The Fe proportion obtained using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is 0.3 at%. In-situ Raman spectroscopy allows us to monitor materials synthesis and to identify reaction intermediates during CO2RR. We first study the Raman spectra of bare Cu (Fig. 3f) as a reference. The initial faint peak at 520 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to copper oxides, a mixture of Cu₂O and CuO via Cu L-edge soft XAS (Supplementary Fig. 30), consistent with the XPS results in Supplementary Fig. 16. During CO₂RR, the surface oxides are reduced and CO intermediates are adsorbed on Cu (*CO₃²⁻ symmetric stretch on the Cu surface)⁴³–⁴⁶. We also observe the intramolecular C≡O stretch on the Cu surface (Supplementary Fig. 31).

In examining the in-situ Raman spectra of the precursor film Cu-FePc, we identified the peaks at 660 cm⁻¹, 745 cm⁻¹, and 935 cm⁻¹ as the phthalocyanine vibrations in FePc, and the peak at 535 cm⁻¹ as the *CO adsorbed on iron sites (*COFe, Fe-CO stretch)⁴⁸,⁴⁷–⁴⁹. The phthalocyanine ring fade as the potential is decreased to −1.1 V vs. RHE and further negative potentials, suggesting that the phthalocyanine ring has detached from the Cu surface, consistent with in-situ XAS results. The Fe-CO stretch remains after the removal of the phthalocyanine ring, confirming that the Fe atom is retained on the Cu surface. The intensity of *COFe is higher than that of *CO₃²⁻ in Cu-FeSA, agreeing with the view that Fe sites attract and convert CO₂ to *CO on Fe sites, and suppress adsorbed *CO on Cu sites.

In the case of Cu-FeSA, we observe a small intramolecular C≡O stretch on the Cu surface under a low potential (Supplementary Fig. 31). This then vanishes under a high potential, and a new intramolecular C≡O stretch on the Fe site appears. This observation is in agreement with the suppression of *CO on Cu sites. We associate the 740 cm⁻¹ signal at −0.6 V vs RHE with 3-MPA (Supplementary Fig. 32) since it is closed to the Cu surface. At −0.7 ~ −1.0 V vs RHE, the noticeable red spots can be attributed to FePc, which moves toward the copper surface and increases the Raman intensity as the 3-MPA detaches from the Cu surface. The intensity at 660 cm⁻¹ and 935 cm⁻¹ increases from −0.6 V to −0.7 V vs RHE and then decreases at a more negative voltage, suggesting that the phthalocyanine ring of FePc is detached from the Cu surface. We observe a signal associated with the
phthalocyanine ligand that remains following CO2RR, consistent with the view that iron atoms are attracted towards the copper surface and that some phthalocyanine rings remain near the copper surface, but they depart from the catalytic surface after approximately one hour. (Supplementary Fig. 33) Overall, we conclude that the Fe-N bond breaks during electrocatalysis, the phthalocyanine ring detaches from the central Fe ions, converting to Cu-FeSA. Cu-FeSA shows strong adsorption of $^+\text{CO}$ on Fe sites following the reduction reaction.

We investigated CO2RR in neutral electrolyte (1 M KHCO3) in a flow cell. The neutral condition circumvents the consumption of CO2 by alkaline electrolyte, rendering it more compatible with sustained CO2RR. The major product on the copper-supported iron-single-atom catalyst is methane, and its Faradaic efficiency (FE) reaches a maximum value of 64% at a current density of 200 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 4a), showing progress in the direction of technoeconomic promise (Supplementary Table 2), while the nitrogen-doped-graphene-supported one generates only carbon monoxide (Supplementary Fig. 34). In comparison, the major product on bare Cu is ethylene (maximum 54% FE at a current density of 200 mA cm$^{-2}$) and the methane FE is only 2% FE. The local pH in KHCO3 at 200 mA cm$^{-2}$ is 1250. At high pH, C-C coupling dominates, and the CI pathways are suppressed, facilitating selectivity to C2 products, in line with the catalytic result seen on bare Cu52. Cu-FeSA shows a 32x FE to methane compared to bare Cu. The SAA system also gives a 1.3x higher FE to methane compared to the prior report53. We optimized catalytic performance by controlling several parameters: thickness of host copper layer, 3-MPA modification time, and FePc adsorption time (Supplementary Figs. 35–37). With optimal parameters, we achieved a methane partial current density of 128 mA cm$^{-2}$ with a turnover frequency (TOF) of 31770 h$^{-1}$, higher than 4.19 h$^{-1}$ for bare Cu (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Note 1). The TOF of Cu-FeSA for producing methane is higher than that of single-atom catalysts on nitrogen-doped carbon substrates for producing carbon monoxide (14800 h$^{-1}$)3. When we added potassium thiocyanate into the catholyte to poison Fe54,55, methane selectivity dropped within several minutes: this suggests that the active site for the hydrogenation is Fe. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy for Cu-FeSA (Supplementary Fig. 38) reveals a low RCT: the surface is therefore highly activated in the range of potential we apply56,57. We detect a small amount of ethylene (2%) in Cu-FeSA (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Figs. 39, 40).

The Cu-FeSA is stable during an electrolysis of 12 h; the methane FE and applied potential remain stable at a constant operating current density of 200 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 4c). We offer that
the activation process correlates with the fact that the phthalocyanine rings remain and then leave the catalytic surface, shown in Supplementary Fig. 33. We performed in-situ XAS to investigate the long-term evolution of electronic configurations of Fe (Fig. 4d). In-situ XANES provides oxidation number via analysis of the edge shift, and it provides the chemical orbital hybridization via the oscillation behavior near the white-light region. We did not observe an edge shift nor shape change near the white-light region. This suggests that the chemical environment of Cu-FeSA remains substantially unchanged during electromethanation. The Fe compositions, examined by ICP-MS, also remain similar before and after reaction. According to literature, Cu undergoes dynamic phase transformation/surface reconstruction to methane. We report CO2-to-methane conversion having a copper host during electrocatalysis. Fe attracts CO intermediates phthalocyanine onto the Cu surface and reduced it to Fe in a basis of three independent samples.

**Methods**

Preparation of gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used without further purification. GDE was made via deposition of sputtered Cu on a PTFE substrate, followed by assembly of iron phthalocyanine (FePc) on the Cu surface. Cu with a thickness of 200 nm was sputtered on a PTFE substrate (pore size of 450 nm) using a pure Cu target (99.99%) with an Ar flow rate of 6 mtorr at an applied power of 85 Watts. Cu/PTFE was immersed in 10 mM 3-mercaptolpropionic acid (3-MPAH) for 10 min to modify the functional group on the copper surface, followed by a deionized water rinse and dried with nitrogen. Surface modified copper was submerged in 1 mM FePc(dmg)2 for 90 min, rinsed completely with methanol, and dried with nitrogen. The surface-modified substrate was soaked into CoPc(MeOH) and NiPc(MeOH) to obtain the Cu-CoPc and Cu-NiPc. For Iron nanoparticles and clusters, 8.8 mg of iron(II) chloride and 20 mg of poly(methacrylic acid) ligand were added into a screw-neck glass bottle containing 5 ml of methanol. After the solution becomes uniform by sonication, 0.5 ml of freshly prepared NaBH4 solution (5 mg ml–1 in methanol) was injected into the solution under vigorous stirring (2000 r.p.m.). The stirring speed of the solution was kept the same for another 10 min. In the washing process, the precipitate was sonicated to ensure all clusters dispersing uniformly in methanol, and then separated by centrifuge.

Materials characterization. Microstructure and elemental analysis were collected by Hitachi SU5000 FE-SEM equipped Bruker energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. Cold-field emission Cs-corrected transmission electron microscope (JEOL ARM-200F) with 200 keV acceleration voltage was used in microstructure analysis at Material and Chemical Laboratories, Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), Taiwan. Electron Energy Loss Spectrum (EELS) observations were performed using Gatan Imaging Filter (GIF) model 965 QuantumER™ at room temperature with camera length of 2 cm. The energy resolution measured by the full width at half maximum of a zero-loss peak was about 0.4 eV through a cold field emission gun. Surface morphology and step profile were measured using Veeco Dimension 5000 Scanning Probe Microscope (DS5000) at Taiwan Semiconductor Research Institute (TSRI), Taiwan. Crystal structures were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, collected by Rigaku MiniFlex600 G6. The grazing-incidence-angle XRD patterns were acquired by PANalytical X’Pert Pro at Taiwan Semiconductor Research Institute (TSRI), Taiwan. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted on a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrophotometer with a monochromated Al Ka X-ray beam (1486.60 eV). The depth-profiling XPS spectrum were collected on the same model at Taiwan Semiconductor Research Institute (TSRI), Taiwan. All binding energies of the elements were calibrated to adventitious carbon at 284.50 eV. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) including X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) of Fe K-edge were collected by a silicon drift detector at ambient air at the 98M beamline of the Advanced Photon Source (APS) located at Argonne National Laboratory and at the SXRMB beamline.
the Canadian Light Source (CLS). The pre-edge baseline was subtracted and the spectra was normalized to the post-edge. EXAFS analysis was conducted using a Fourier transform on k^2-weighted EXAFS oscillations to evaluate the contribution of each bond pair to the Fourier transform peak. REX2000 software using ab initio calculated phases and amplitudes from the program FEFF 8.2 was used for EXAFS fitting. CN, R, ΔE, and the EXAFS Debye–Wallner factor (DW; r^2) are variable parameters of the EXAFS equation for fitting the experimental result. XANES of Cu L-edge and Fe L-edge were obtained in total electron yield mode (TEY) and total fluorescence yield mode (TFY) at the SGM beamline of the Canadian Light Source (CLS). The iron concentration was quantified by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

Electrocatalytic characterization. Electrochemical properties were investigated using an Autolab PGSTAT204 potentiostat in a flow cell reactor using a gas diffusion electrode (GDE) as the working electrode (WE), nickel foam as the counter electrode (CE) and a saturated Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode (RE). The WE and CE were separated by an anion exchange membrane. Aqueous solutions of 1.0 M KHCO_3 saturated with CO_2 (pH = 7.0) was used as the electrolyte and the flow rate of CO_2 was 50 scm. The potentials were converted to values relative to RHE based on the following equation: E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.0591 \times \text{pH} + 0.197 (F_{Ag/AgCl} \text{is the standard potential of Ag/AgCl relative to SHE at 25 °C} (0.210 \text{V}). The working area of electrodes was 1.0 cm^2 for each experiment. Linear sweep voltammetry was performed at a scan rate of 20 mV/s with IR-correction. R and Nyquist plots were fitted by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements with a frequency range from 0.1 to 10^5 Hz with AC amplitude of 10 mV. Cyclic voltammetry was measured at the scan rate of 100 mV/s. The gaseous products were evaluated via gas chromatography (PerkinElmer Clarus 600) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a flame ionization detector. TOFs (in s^-1) for the CO_2 were evaluated based on the 8-electron pathway for methane. TOF = Turnover number for methane formation/Number of active sites. The iron poisoning experiment was conducted by adding 0.01 M potassium thioacetamide to 0.210 V. The working area of electrodes was 1.0 cm^2 for each experiment. Linear sweep voltammetry was performed at a scan rate of 20 mV/s with IR-correction. R and Nyquist plots were fitted by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements with a frequency range from 0.1 to 10^5 Hz with AC amplitude of 10 mV. Cyclic voltammetry was measured at the scan rate of 100 mV/s. The gaseous products were evaluated via gas chromatography (PerkinElmer Clarus 600) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a flame ionization detector. TOFs (in s^-1) for the CO_2 were evaluated based on the 8-electron pathway for methane. TOF = Turnover number for methane formation/Number of active sites. The iron poisoning experiment was conducted by adding 0.01 M potassium thioacetamide to
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