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Abstract

Large-scale spontaneous speech corpora are crucial resource for various domains of spoken language processing. However, the available corpora are usually limited because their construction cost is quite expensive especially in transcribing speech precisely. On the other hand, loosely transcribed corpora like shorthand notes, meeting records and closed captions are more widely available than precisely transcribed ones, because their imperfectness reduces their construction cost. Because these corpora contain both precisely transcribed regions and edited regions, it is difficult to use them directly as speech corpora for learning acoustic models. Under this background, we have been considering to build an efficient semi-automatic framework to convert loose transcriptions to precise ones. This paper describes an improved automatic detection method of precise regions from loosely transcribed corpora for the above framework. Our detection method consists of two steps: the first step is a force alignment between loose transcriptions and their utterances to discover the corresponding utterance for the certain loose transcription, and the second step is a detector of precise regions with a support vector machine using several features obtained from the first step. Our experimental result shows that our method achieves a high accuracy of detecting precise regions, and shows that the precise regions extracted by our method are effective as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation.
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1. Introduction

Large-scale spontaneous speech corpora are crucial resource for various domains of spoken language processing. For example, the simplest approach to construct a language model, which covers spoken-style expressions as well as the specified domain topics, is training it from a large-scale spontaneous corpus including many precise transcriptions of spontaneous speech in the specified domain. However, the available corpora are usually limited because their construction cost is quite expensive especially in transcribing speech precisely.

On the other hand, loosely transcribed corpora like shorthand notes, meeting records and closed captions are more widely available than precisely transcribed ones, because their imperfectness reduces their construction cost. Because these corpora contain both precisely transcribed regions and edited regions, it is difficult to use them directly as speech corpora for learning acoustic models. Under this background, we have been considering to build an efficient semi-automatic framework to convert loose transcriptions to precise ones. This paper describes an improved automatic detection method of precise regions from loosely transcribed corpora for the above framework. Our detection method consists of two steps: the first step is a force alignment between loose transcriptions and their utterances to discover the corresponding utterance for the certain loose transcription, and the second step is a detector of precise regions with a support vector machine using several features obtained from the first step. Our experimental result shows that our method achieves a high accuracy of detecting precise regions, and shows that the precise regions extracted by our method are effective as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation.

Figure 1: Example of precise and edited transcriptions

(i) Precise transcription

(However well according this document in the case of Kanagawa prefecture it’s acquired uh rich finance as the result)

(ii) Edited transcription

(However according to this document in the case of Kanagawa prefecture, it has acquired rich finance as the result)

writers’ intuition. Because this corpus contains both precisely transcribed regions and edited regions, it is difficult to use it directly as a speech corpus for learning acoustic models.
Under this background, we have been considering to build an efficient semi-automatic framework to convert edited transcriptions to precise ones. This paper describes an improved automatic detection method of precise regions from loosely transcribed corpora for the above framework. Our detection method consists of two steps: the first step is a force alignment between edited transcriptions and their utterances to discover the corresponding utterance for the certain edited transcription, and the second step is to detect precise regions with a support vector machine using several features obtained from the first step.

There are two major directions of related works. The first direction is reducing the construction cost of precisely transcribed corpora. (Roy et al., 2010) employed an acoustic score obtained from an automatic alignment to estimate the accuracy and difficulty in transcribing speech recordings. (Maruyama et al., 1999) suggested using an automatic alignment for timing detection of closed captioning in documentary programs. Our experimental result shows that it is quite difficult to detect edited regions accurately, but still shows that our proposed method achieves promising performance to reduce the conversion time by hand from edited transcriptions into precise ones. The second direction is speaker adaptation of acoustic models. (Paulik and Panchapagesan, 2011) proposed that regions, where loose transcriptions and LVCSR outputs were identical, were effective as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation. (Watanabe et al., 2004) proposed that the identical regions between the outputs of several LVCSR systems were used as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation. (Lamel et al., 2001) employed an automatic alignment for lightly supervised acoustic model training. In their work, the automatic alignment is used to filter out unreliable training data in acoustic model training. (Braunschweiler et al., 2010) employed lightly supervised recognition for automatic alignment between text and speech of Audiobooks. Table 1 shows the statistics of editing operations between precise transcriptions and edited transcriptions. As shown in Table 1, Japanese National Diet Records contains more deletion errors than Audiobooks, and these errors make a force alignment more difficult. Our experimental result shows that our proposed method achieves high accuracy of detecting precise regions, and shows that precise regions extracted by our proposed method are effective as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2. describes a force alignment method between the edited transcriptions and their utterances. Section 3. describes the detector of precise regions with a support vector machine. The evaluation experiment on the Japanese National Diet Record is presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5. concludes this paper.

2. Force Alignment between Edited Transcriptions and Their Utterances

As the first step of our method, a force alignment between edited transcriptions and their utterances is employed to discover the corresponding utterance for the certain edited transcription. The force alignment is carried out by the LVCSR decoder which works on the bigram language model shown in Fig. 2. Here, denotes the i-th word in the transcription, and and denote a short pause and a filled pause occurring immediately after word , respectively. This constraint restricts the output of the automatic speech recognition to the same word sequence as one in the edited transcription.

An example of the force alignment between an precise/edited transcription and its corresponding utterance is shown in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, when the precise transcription is aligned with the corresponding utterance, the syllable durations resemble their inherent values. On the other hand, when the edited transcription is aligned with the corresponding utterance, the force alignment makes the best effort possible to align the input utterance with the transcription. As a result, frames of spurious syllables are absorbed by a silence segment or another syllable segment. This causes the syllable duration to be distorted and the acoustic score in the alignment degrades because of a mismatch between the syllable and the aligned model.

In the example in Fig. 3, the model /gal/ is forced to align with the frames of syllable /del/. Besides, the short pause models are also forced to align with the frames of syllables /gal/ and /su nel/. Additionally, a filled pause /lohl/ is inserted. Hence, if syllable durations are overly long or short compared with their inherent values, or if acoustic scores are worse than a standard value, it may suggest that there are mismatches between the text and the utterances due to the text having been edited.

3. Automatic Detection of Precise Regions

As the second step of our method, a detector with a support vector machine is employed to detect precise regions from

Table 1: Editing operations in existing loosely transcribed corpora

| Audio book (Braunschweiler et al., 2010) | Sub | Del | Ins | Total |
|----------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Japanese National Diet Records         | 1.0%| 6.3%| 0.7%| 8.0%  |
edited transcriptions. In this paper, we formalize the detection of precise regions as a binary classification problem for each word in the edited transcriptions. Each word is classified either as a precise (non-edited) word or an edited word based on the features obtained from the force alignment. We used TinySVM (ver 0.09) (Kudoh, ) as the support vector machine implementation with a polynomial kernel.

A force alignment between the precise transcription and its corresponding utterance of the training corpus gives the syllable duration $d_s$ and the syllable acoustic log-likelihood $L_s$ for a sequence of syllables $s_N$ where $N$ is the number of syllables. In this assumption, the mean duration of the syllable type $x$ is calculated by

$$E_d(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x) d(s_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x)} \quad (1)$$

and the variance of the syllable type $x$ is calculated by

$$V_d(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x) (d(s_i) - E_d(x))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x)} \quad (2)$$

Using these equations, the normalized syllable duration of a certain syllable $s_j$ in the test corpus is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{d}(s_j) = \frac{d(s_j) - E_d(s_j)}{\sqrt{V_d(s_j)}} \quad (3)$$

We expect that this measure will represent peculiarity of the given syllable. As well as syllable duration, the normalized acoustic log-likelihood of a certain syllable $s_j$ in the test corpus is also defined as follows:

$$E_L(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x) L(s_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x)} \quad (4)$$

$$V_L(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x) (L(s_i) - E_L(x))^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(s_i = x)} \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{L}(s_j) = \frac{L(s_j) - E_L(s_j)}{\sqrt{V_L(s_j)}} \quad (6)$$

Because the major editing operation of Japanese National Diet Records is deletion of redundant expressions and hesitations, the following five acoustic features are employed:

1. The maximum normalized syllable duration in the word,
2. The minimum normalized acoustic log-likelihood in the word,
3. The normalized acoustic log-likelihood of the syllable which gives the maximum normalized syllable duration in the word,
4. The normalized syllable duration of the syllable which gives the minimum normalized acoustic log-likelihood in the word, and
5. The acoustic log-likelihood of whole the word.

Furthermore, the following three linguistic features are also employed:

1. Word identity,
2. Part-of-speech, and
3. The number of syllables of the word.

All these features for the focused word, the preceding two words, and the succeeding two words are combined into a feature vector for each word.

4. Experiment

In this section, we discuss our evaluation experiments using the Japanese National Diet Record.

4.1. Experimental Setup

Table 2 shows the data statistics of a part of the Japanese National Diet Record, which is used for our experiment. The in-house large vocabulary continuous speech recognition system, SPOJUS++ (SPOken Japanese Understanding System) (Fujii et al., 2011) is employed as the decoder for

\footnote{Instead of acoustic features on syllable units described in this section, acoustic features on word units were employed in (Ohta et al., 2011b; Ohta et al., 2011a).}
the force alignment described in Section 2. and continuous syllable recognition described in Section 3. The acoustic model of this stage is the Japanese context-independent syllable-based acoustic model (Nakagawa et al., 1999) (116 syllables, a left-to-right topology, 4 emitting states, and a single Gaussian mixture with full covariance matrix), which is trained from academic presentation speech data and simulated public speech data of CSJ (Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese) (Maekawa, 2003). The acoustic analysis condition of this stage is shown in Table 3.

Based on a preliminary experiment, we set $P(F_{\text{Filler}}|w_i) = 0.05$, $P(w_{i+1}|w_i) = 0.475$ and $P(s_{\text{sp}}|w_i) = 0.475$ for the alignment constraint shown in Fig.2.

### 4.2. Baseline Method

(Paulik and Panchapagesan, 2011) proposed that regions, where loose transcriptions and LVCSR outputs were identical, were effective as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation. As the first step of their method, the word alignment between loose transcriptions and LVCSR outputs was computed in the same manner as it was done for word error rate evaluation. And then, identical regions which were equal or longer than the specified threshold were considered as precise regions and were used as training labels of lightly supervised speaker adaptation. The following baseline method based on their idea is used in our experiment.

(a) The first step is to compute the word alignment between edited transcriptions and LVCSR outputs in the same manner as it is done for word error rate evaluation.

(b) The second step is to extract identical regions between them, which are contain equal or more words than the specified threshold, as precise regions.

As the LVCSR decoder for the baseline method, SPOJUS++ is also used. Its acoustic model of the baseline method is the Japanese context-dependent syllable-based acoustic model, which contains 928 syllable models with 8 left contexts (5 vowels, silence, /N/, and short pause including /q/). It was trained from academic presentation speech data of CSJ. Each continuous density HMM had 5 states, and 4 of them had pdfs of output probability. Each pdf consisted of 64 Gaussians with diagonal covariance matrices. As the language model of the baseline method, a word-based trigram model with Witten-Bell backoff, which was trained from the Japanese National Diet Record contains 38,668K words in 1,083 meetings, is employed. Because the edited transcriptions of the Japanese National Diet Record contain neither filled pause nor silent pause, our previously proposed filler prediction model (Ohta et al., 2008) and pause insertion model (Ohta et al., 2009) are employed to estimate the probability of filled pause and silent pause.

### 4.3. Detection Results of Edited Regions

Fig. 4 shows the recall-precision curves of edited region detection. The upper line denotes the recall-precision curve of the detector which uses both acoustic features and linguistic features, and the lower dotted line denotes the recall-precision curve of the detector which uses only linguistic features. The difference between these two curves shows that the syllable-level acoustic features are effective to detect edited regions. Furthermore, this figure also shows that it is quite difficult to detect edited regions accurately, but still shows that our proposed method achieves promising performance to reduce the conversion time by hand from edited transcriptions into precise ones. Under the condition that an annotator must discover a constant number of edited regions, it is expected that an annotator with our method can discover three times faster than an annotator without our method, because our method reduces the number of possible edited regions to a one third.
Fig. 5 shows the results of our proposed method, the baseline method using LVCSR, and the combination method of these two methods. The combination method consists of the following three steps:

(a) the first step is to compute the word alignment between edited transcriptions and LVCSR outputs in the same manner as it is done for word error rate evaluation,

(b) the second step is to extract identical regions between them, which contain equal or more words than the specified threshold, as precise regions, and

(c) the last step is to employ our proposed method to extract precise regions from the remaining regions.

Fig. 5 shows that the performance of our proposed method and one of the baseline method using LVCSR outputs are comparable because LVCSR performance of the Japanese National Diet Record is not high as shown in No Adaptation row of Table 4. Therefore, the performance of the combination method is also comparable.

4.4. Detection Results of Precise Regions

Fig. 6 shows the recall-precision curve of the detection of precise regions. As shown in Fig. 6, the ratio of precise regions without our method \((\text{recall} = 100\%\) is 80.1\% on the syllable level. This is improved to 86.5\% by filtering 60\% of the whole transcription \((\text{recall} = 60\%)\) with our method. This change is same to an improvement from 83.7\% to 88.9\% on the word level.

Fig. 6 shows that the baseline method using the identical regions which are equal or longer than 5 words achieves a slightly better precision than our proposed method. Therefore, the combination method achieves a bit better performance for the \(40\% \leq \text{recall} \leq 60\%\) section.

4.5. Lightly Supervised Speaker Adaptation

This section describes the result of the lightly supervised speaker adaptation experiment using automatically extracted precise regions as training labels. Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimation method is employed for speaker adaptation of HMMs (Tsurumi and Nakagawa, 1994). All training and adaptation of HMMs were performed using the HTK HMM toolkit ver. 3.4.1 (Young et al., 2006). Because transcriptions of Japanese National Diet Record contain many ideographic characters, CRF-based Japanese morphological analyzer MeCab ver. 0.96\(^3\) (with UniDic ver. 1.3.12\(^4\)) is employed to convert them into syllable sequences. The LVCSR decoder, its acoustic model, and its language model are already described in Section 4.2.

We prepared 5,642 syllables of 3 speakers for training, and 4,411 syllables of same speakers for testing. The result is shown in Table 4. The column Prec. shows the ratio of the correct labels in the training labels of speaker adaptation, and the column Coverage column shows the ratio of syllables covered by the training labels of speaker adaptation in the testing syllables. The first row No adaptation shows the result without speaker adaptation. The second row Using edited transcriptions shows the baseline result, in which the whole edited transcriptions are used for training labels. The another baseline result uses identical regions which are extracted by the method described in Section 4.2. as training labels is shown in the third row Using identical regions. The sixth row Using precise transcriptions shows the upper bound of our proposed method, in which the precise transcriptions are manually prepared and used for training labels\(^5\). The fourth row Using extracted precise regions shows the result of our proposed method using the automatically extracted precise regions under the condition \(\text{recall} = 90\%\).

As shown in Table 4, our proposed method achieves a higher performance than baselines, although our proposed method uses less training labels. It means that automatic extraction of precise regions is effective to refine edited transcriptions for lightly supervised speaker adaptation of acoustic models. Table 4 shows that the baseline method using LVCSR, which gives the higher precision and the lower coverage of the training labels than our proposed method, achieves a lower speaker adaptation result. This fact suggests that the coverage of the training labels is also

---

\(^3\)http://mecab.sourceforge.net/
\(^4\)http://www.tokuteicorpus.jp/dist/
\(^5\)Because editing operations of Japanese National Diet Record decrease words as shown in Table 1, there are more training labels in the manually prepared precise transcriptions than the edited transcriptions.
important as well as their precision is.
Because there is no big difference between the performance of our proposed method to extract precise regions and one of the combination method under the condition recall = 90% as shown in Fig.6, there is only a bit difference between the speaker adaptation result of our proposed method and one of the combination method.

5. Conclusion
In this paper, we proposed developing partially-transcribed speech corpus from edited transcriptions based on an automatic detection method of precise regions. The evaluation experiments using the Japanese National Diet Record showed that our proposed method achieves 86.5% precision under the condition recall = 60%. Furthermore, the experiment showed that precise regions extracted automatically by our proposed method was effective as the training data of lightly supervised speaker adaptation of acoustic models.
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