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Abstract

Background:

*Aedes albopictus* is an indigenous primary vector for Dengue and Zika viruses in China. Compared with its insecticide resistance, biology, and vector competence, little is known about its genetic variation, which corresponds to environmental variations. Thus, the present study examines how *Ae. albopictus* varies among different climatic regions in China and deciphers its potential dispersal patterns.

Methods:

The genetic variation and population structure of 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations collected from three climatic regions of China were investigated with 11 microsatellite loci and the mitochondrial COI gene.

Results:

Of 44 isolated microsatellite markers, 11 pairs were chosen for genotyping analysis and had an average PIC value of 0.713, representing high polymorphism. The number of alleles was high in each population, with the ne value increasing from the temperate region (3.876) to the tropical region (4.144). Twenty-five COI haplotypes were detected, and the highest diversity was observed in the tropical region. The mean Ho value (ca. 0.557) of all the regions was significantly lower than the mean He value (ca. 0.684), with nearly all populations significantly departing from HWE and displaying significant population expansion (p-value < 0.05). Two genetically isolated groups and three haplotype clades were evaluated via STRUCTURE and haplotype phylogenetic analyses, and the tropical populations were significantly isolated from those in the other regions. Most genetic variation in *Ae. albopictus* was detected within populations and individuals at 31.40% and 63.04%, respectively, via the AMOVA test, and a relatively significant positive correlation was observed among only the temperate populations via IBD analysis ($R^2 = 0.6614$, $p = 0.048$). Recent dispersions were observed among different *Ae. albopictus* populations, and four major migration trends with high gene flow ($Nm>0.4$) were reconstructed between the tropical region and the other two regions. Environmental factors, especially temperature and rainfall, may be the leading causes of genetic diversity in different climatic regions.

Conclusions:

Continuous dispersion contributes to the similarity of *Ae. albopictus* populations across different climatic regions, and environmental factors, especially temperature and rainfall, may be the leading causes of genetic variation.

Background

*Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus*, also known as the Asian tiger mosquito, is an epidemiologically critical vector for its transmission of several arboviruses, including Dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), Chikungunya virus and filarial nematodes, such as *Dirofilaria immitis* [1-4]. Compared with *Aedes aegypti*,...
the primary vector for DENV and ZIKV, *Ae. albopictus* is generally considered to be a less efficient vector due to its less anthropophilic behavior and inferior adaptation to urban domestic environments [5]. However, its broad temperature adaptability and high competence for several arboviruses make it approximately as important a vector as *Ae. aegypti*. Meanwhile, combined with its strong ecological plasticity, global trade, such as the transportation of used tires and “lucky bamboo”, has also accelerated the global spread of this species from its original Southeastern Asian distribution to every continent except Antarctica [6]. Currently, it is among the top 100 worst invasive species and a threat to human health worldwide [7].

Natural environmental variation is responsible for fluctuations in insect population dynamics, distribution, and biology, including population number, intensity, and feeding behavior [8]. An important and rapidly adapting insect with high fecundity and a short life cycle, *Ae. albopictus* has population dynamics and vector competence that are also greatly influenced by environmental conditions [9, 10]. Numerous previous studies have discussed the effects of environmental variations on *Ae. albopictus* populations, mainly based on their influence on mosquito abundance, survival, size, fecundity, and competence for certain arboviruses [11-15]. However, less research has focused on changes in the genetic diversity and population structure of *Ae. albopictus* populations associated with various environmental conditions, although this information is essential for reconstructing the dispersion patterns of *Ae. albopictus* in certain regions and providing necessary information for subsequent mosquito control.

With the advent of urbanization in China, the natural environment was altered tremendously; more people have moved from rural areas to cities, and trade has increased between cities and rural areas. All these processes create suitable habitats for *Ae. albopictus* and facilitate its breeding and dispersal throughout the country [16-19]. Meanwhile, the dispersion of *Ae. albopictus* from its original environment to a new environment facilitates mutation, and thriving international travel and trade provide essential routes for the continuous introduction of arboviruses from other countries, especially those in Southeast Asia, where *Ae. albopictus* originated [20], thus putting people at risk worldwide. During the past ten years, at least 22 imported ZIKV cases were confirmed by the China CDC, and the persistent emergence of dengue in Southern China, especially in Guangdong and Yunnan provinces, is positively correlated to the widespread presence of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* among these regions [21]. In contrast to *Ae. aegypti* (an invasive species distributed only in the southern area of China), *Ae. albopictus* is an indigenous Chinese mosquito, ranging from Dalian in the north to Hainan in the south [22, 23]. Moreover, it has been considered the sole agent of numerous recent dengue fever outbreaks in China [24, 25]. Its wide distribution and high competence for numerous arboviruses and nematode parasites emphasize the need to more extensively study the biology, distribution, and dispersion patterns of this species.

Genetic variation is ubiquitous in vector populations, especially in invasive vectors, such as *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*, where it helps them occupy diverse niches and respond quickly to evolutionary challenges [26]. Microsatellites are the preferred markers for studying genetic variation in vectors due to their codominance, high informativity, and vast abundance throughout vector genomes [27]. To date, multiple microsatellite loci associated with *Ae. albopictus* have been successfully isolated and employed
in *Ae. albopictus* population studies on a global scale, and they continue to be a popular choice of genetic marker [15, 28-30]. Simultaneously and independently from *Ae. albopictus* microsatellites, the mitochondrial COI gene has frequently been used for mosquito barcoding and invasive species monitoring due to its conservation and high accuracy when distinguishing sequence variation at the interspecies level [31-35].

To evaluate how *Ae. albopictus* populations vary with environmental factors and decipher the potential dispersal patterns of *Ae. albopictus* among different climatic regions, a total of 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations were collected and examined via 11 microsatellite loci and the COI gene. The results provide guidelines for the future control of *Ae. albopictus* in China.

**Materials And Methods**

**Eco-climatic characteristics of different climatic regions**

All mosquitoes were sampled from the three main climatic regions of China, including tropical, subtropical and temperate regions [36, 37]. The annual accumulated temperature for the tropical region is above 8000°C, the lowest monthly average temperature is not less than 15°C, and the annual precipitation is approximately 1500~2000 mm; the annual accumulated temperature for the subtropical region is between 4500~8000°C, the lowest monthly average temperature is 0~15°C, and the annual precipitation is above 1000 mm; and the annual accumulated temperature for the temperate region is between 3000 and 4500°C, the coldest monthly average temperature is -28~0°C, and the annual precipitation is approximately 700 mm.

**Mosquito sampling and DNA isolation**

In the present study, ca. 600 *Ae. albopictus* larvae were sampled from seventeen geographically diverse sites across three environmentally distinct regions of China from June to August 2018; all the sampling site information is described in Fig. 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1. To avoid inbreeding interference, each pool of female mosquitoes for a given locality was collected from at least five wild breeding places within 500 meters. For each of the samples, the larvae were reared separately and emerged at 25°C ± 1°C at 75% ± 5% relative humidity (RH) under a 14 h light/10 h dark (LD) photoperiod, and all female adult mosquitoes were identified under a microscope before DNA isolation [38, 39]. The DNA isolation was conducted with the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (No. 69504, Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and all the DNA samples were stored at -80°C.

**Microsatellite isolation, processing, and COI gene amplification**

Referring to Chambers *et al.* [31], microsatellite markers were isolated from the whole genome of *Ae. albopictus* by magnetic-bead enrichment and PCR screening, and all markers were found to be highly polymorphic via denatured polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (D-PAGE). A set of 11 microsatellite loci was employed for genotyping the 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations. Detailed microsatellite primer
information is listed in Table 1. All PCRs were performed on a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) in a 50 μl reaction system containing 10 ng of DNA, 0.25 U of PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase (10 pmol/μl, TaKaRa, Japan), 6 μM of dNTPs (2.5 mM each, TaKaRa, Japan) and ca. 5 μM of each primer, with the program set to 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 57°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. All products were then checked with 2% agarose gel electrophoresis under UV light and run on a 3730XL DNA Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA).

As described by Bonacum et al. [32], COI sequence polymorphism was investigated among 497 individuals (22-30 individuals per locality). Briefly, DNA amplification of a 550-bp fragment of COI was performed on a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) with the following primer set: 5’-GGAGGATTGGAATTGATTATTC-3’ (F-COI) and 5’-CCCGGTAATAATAAATATAATCTTC-3’ (R-COI) in a 50 μl reaction mix containing 10 μl PCR Reaction Buffer (TaKaRa, Japan), 4 μl of dNTPs (2.5 mM each, TaKaRa, Japan), 1 μl of primers (10 pmol/μl, TaKaRa, Japan), and 0.5 μl PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa, Japan). The PCR amplification program was set as follows: pre-denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 54°C for 45 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. All the PCR products were detected and separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. The target fragments for COI were then cut from the gel under UV light and purified with the GenElute™ PCR Clean-Up Kit (NA1020, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Each purified PCR product was then cloned into the pCR™2.1 Vector with a TA Cloning™ Kit (K202040, Invitrogen, USA) and selected by bacteria liquid PCR with the T7 promoter primers. Finally, at least 20 positive clones for each PCR product were sequenced on both strands using an ABI 3730XL automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Population structure analyses, phylogenetic genotyping and migration analyses

The microsatellite data were processed with GeneMapper v.4.0 (Applied Biosystems, USA). All markers were tested for polymorphism by determining their polymorphism information content (PIC) values via PIC-Calc 0.6 [40], and the null allele frequency of each locus was assessed with Micro-Checker version 2.2.3 [41]. Allele frequency indices, including na and ne, were assessed with Cervus version 3.0.7 [42]. The Ho, He, and FIS values of all populations were calculated with Arlequin version 3.5.2.2 [43]. Departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and heterozygosity deficiency were assessed via Bottleneck version 1.2.02 [44]. Additionally, STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 [45] and the ΔK method of Evanno et al. [46] were employed to calculate the optimal K value; the parameters were set as follows: (1) K ranged from 1 to 20 with ten iterations for each K value; (2) an admixture model was chosen with a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm run for 100,000 iterations and 1,000,000 repetitions. The optimal K value was calculated via Structure Harvester: http://taylor0.biology.ucla.edu/structureHarvester/ and depicted with Distribut version 1.1. The R packages “Adegenet 2.1.3” [47] and “Genpop 4.7.5” [48] were used to illustrate the population structure and its relationship with genetic distance, respectively; meanwhile, the genetic variation and F_{ST} value for each population were evaluated with the AMOVA test via Arlequin version 3.5.2.2 [43].
The haplotypes of all the populations were screened by DnaSP version 6.0 [49], and the genetic relationships among all the haplotypes were displayed as a TCS network constructed by Network 10.0.0.0 [50, 51]. Moreover, BEAST version 1.8.4 [52] and the R package “pheatmap” [53] were used to build a phylogenetic tree of all the haplotypes and examine the distribution of all the haplotypes among the different climatic regions, with the best model selected by JModelTest 2.1.10 [54]. The mismatch distribution and Bayesian skyline plot analyses were conducted with Arlequin version 3.5.2.2 and BEAST version 1.8.4, respectively, to investigate the current dispersal incidences of each population. Concurrently, the possible migration routes were rebuilt via the R package “divMigrate” with the number of bootstrap replicates set to 3, the alpha value set to 0.05, the Nm method chosen for the migration statistic, and the filter threshold value set to 0.25 [55]. Finally, the relationships between the genetic indices and environmental factors were described via principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple factor analysis (MFA) with the R package “FactoMineR” [56].

Results

Microsatellite marker isolation and assessment

In the present study, a total of 44 pairs of microsatellite markers were isolated from the whole genome of *Ae. albopictus*, 11 pairs of which were highly polymorphic and were therefore chosen for microsatellite genotyping analysis (Table 1). The allele number of each locus ranged from 10 to 33, with a mean of 17.545 alleles per locus. The PIC values of each locus ranged from 0.334 to 0.925, with a mean value of 0.713. According to the definition of PIC values by Allah *et al.* [57], nearly all the markers selected were highly informative (PIC value>0.5); the exception was BW-P18, which had a value of 0.357 and was considered to be an informative marker. The Micro-Checker results suggested that null alleles were present at all loci, with frequencies ranging from 0.064 to 0.157 (Additional file 8: Table S5). The linkage disequilibrium (LD) test showed that 302 pairs of loci of a total of 1870 (16.15%) across all locations were in significant LD after Bonferroni correction, while no consistency was found among them (Additional File 5: Figure S1).

Genetic diversity and variation

According to the results of the microsatellite data analysis, the observed number of alleles ($n_a$) in each *Ae. albopictus* population was very high, and the mean $n_a$ value in each temperature region ranged from 6.909 to 8.091 without a significant difference. In contrast, the effective number of alleles ($n_e$) ranged from 3.501 to 4.525, and the $n_e$ value increased from the temperate region (3.876) to the tropical region (4.144). The mean value of observed heterozygosity (Ho) for all the climatic regions was ca. 0.557, which was significantly lower than the expected heterozygosity (He) (ca. 0.684). The $F_{IS}$ value of each temperature region ranged from 0.266 to 0.359, and the *Ae. albopictus* populations significantly departed from HWE, except in two locations, SHJD and KZXZ (subtropical region, Table 2). Heterozygosity tests of all 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations based on the stepwise mutation model (SMM) revealed that nearly all the populations from the temperate and subtropical regions displayed significant population expansion.
with p-values < 0.05, while no significance was observed among all the tests for the *Ae. albopictus* populations from the tropical region after Bonferroni correction (Additional file 2: Table S2).

In all, a total of 25 COI haplotypes were observed among 497 *Ae. albopictus* individuals (GenBank ID: MN651301-MN651325) based on an analysis of the COI sequences, and the haplotype indices changed dramatically across *Ae. albopictus* populations from different climatic regions (Additional file 3: Table S3). The haplotype diversity (Hd) ranged from 0.074 (ZGND, temperate region) to 0.750 (JYJB, tropical region), with the nucleotide diversity (π) ranging from 0.014 (BJLG, temperate region) to 0.190 (JYJB, tropical region). The average number of nucleotide differences (k) ranged from 0.067 (BJLG, temperate region) to 0.929 (JYJB, tropical region), and the number of polymorphic sites ranged from 1 to 5 across all the populations. Overall, the tropical *Ae. albopictus* populations showed the highest diversity, with mean values of Hd, π, and k reaching 0.657, 0.156, and 0.763, respectively, whereas the temperate populations showed the lowest diversity.

**Population structure and differentiation based on microsatellite analysis**

In the present study, according to the microsatellite analysis results, all the *Ae. albopictus* populations were adequately allocated to two clades with significant genetic differences, and the best K value, as determined via the ΔK method of Evanno *et al.*, was also equal to two (Fig. 2a). Combined with the STRUCTURE bar plot analysis, the Bayesian clustering analysis showed that all the *Ae. albopictus* populations were adequately allocated to two clades with certain locations from the subtropical and temperate regions genetically isolated from the other locations. (Fig. 2b&c). Moreover, a total of 86.4% of the variation was explained by 50 PCs in the discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) analysis; the results revealed two genetically isolated groups, and there was no clear relationship between the *Ae. albopictus* population structure and its distribution across climatic regions (Fig.2d).

The AMOVA results are presented in Table 3. Of the total genetic variation partitioned, 31.40% could be attributed to differences among individuals within populations and 63.04% to differences within individuals (F<sub>IS</sub>=0.33253, F<sub>IT</sub>=0.36962, and all p<0.00001). Meanwhile, the pairwise F<sub>ST</sub> values between populations ranged from 0.008 (ZGND, temperate region and NNXD, subtropical region) to 0.141 (SHJD, subtropical region and JKCH, tropical region). Genetic differentiation was significant between all the sampled populations after Bonferroni correction (p < 0.05) except for five pairs of F<sub>ST</sub> values among the subtropical populations NNXZ, NJTH, NJDX, KZXZ, and temperate populations ZGND, BHBG, QDDX, and HBSD (Additional file 4: Table S4). In contrast to the high individual variation, a slightly significant positive correlation was observed among only the temperate populations via isolation by distance (IBD) analysis (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.6614, p = 0.048), while no such evidence was observed in the other two regions (Additional file 6: Figure S2).

**Haplotype network and phylogenetic analysis based on COI sequences**

Three major haplotype clades, distributed across the three central climatic regions and closely related to each other, were reconstructed via the TCS network with 497 COI sequences. Haplotype (H1) of Clade...
was the most frequent haplotype and was distributed from the tropical to the temperate region with an increasing trend. Nearly all the other haplotypes derived from H1 with one or two mutations, with Clade I mainly distributed in the tropical region and Clade II mainly distributed in the southern subtropical region (Fig. 3b).

A phylogenetic tree of all 25 haplotype sequences, combined with a heatmap analysis, demonstrated that the 25 haplotypes were divided into three major well-supported clades. As expected, Clade I was separated from Clade II and Clade III with 100% bootstrap support and included haplotypes H9, H10, H11, H12, H19, and H20, which were observed in only the tropical region. In comparison, Clade II diverged from Clade I with lower bootstrap support (70.74%) and included five haplotypes (H2~H3 and H5~H7) that were distributed in only the southern subtropical region. Clade III was an admixture group containing all the remaining 14 haplotypes, two of which were observed in the tropical region and four in the temperature region (Fig. 3a).

Migration and correlation analyses between genetic indices and environmental factors

As illustrated in Fig. 4, all five genetic indices and two environmental factors (i.e., temperature and rainfall) contributed equivalently to the first axis of the PCA, explaining up to 95.2% of the variation; the exception was the environmental factor latitude, which contributed more to the second axis, with a proportion of 17.5%. Combining these results with hierarchical clustering via MFA, all 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations were clustered into three groups, of which cluster II and cluster III were closely related to each other. When environmental factors were regarded as the major influencing factors, the molecular diversity indices of the populations in the tropical region were significantly higher than those in the other regions. These results also demonstrated that environmental factors, especially temperature and rainfall, may be the leading causes of differences in genetic diversity among different climatic regions.

Migration patterns were assessed using divMigrate networks representing all 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations. As expected, *Ae. albopictus* was observed to have migrated frequently between the tropical and temperate areas in both directions (Fig. 1). A total of four major migration trends were observed among the different climatic regions with high gene flow (Nm>0.4). Two routes were found to have originated from the tropical and subtropical areas and reached Hebei and Beijing in the temperate area, while another two arrived in Guangdong and Guangxi from the southern subtropical area. Compared with the south to north routes, the latter migration routes were substantially larger. Meanwhile, the Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs values were negative, with no statistically significant p-values except in population JKCH (tropical region, Additional file 3: Table S3). Based on the COI sequences, the mismatch analysis results showed that the Harpending raggedness indices for all three haplotype clades were relatively low (ranging from 0.1054 to 0.1812, p>0.05), and unimodal mismatch distributions were observed among the different *Ae. albopictus* populations (Additional file 7: Figure S3).

Discussion
Due to their high mutation rates, codominant expression, and universal distribution throughout the eukaryotic genome, microsatellite loci have been widely used to evaluate the genetic variation and population structure of mosquito, especially for those without fully annotated genomes [29, 31]. Although different sets of microsatellite loci have been identified by previous studies [28, 29, 58], the absence of PCR amplification products of mosquito samples from certain temperature zones has resulted in insufficient data. Therefore, in this study, we developed new primers for our samples to ensure the integrity of the experimental data. However, the potential impact of null alleles, such as reducing population genetic diversity and increasing genetic differentiation among populations [59-61], must be taken into consideration when microsatellite markers are used, because null alleles are more frequent in arthropods than in other species [62-64].

In the present study, even though nearly all the loci were considered highly informative (PIC > 0.5), null allele was still observed at all loci with frequencies ranging from 0.02 to 0.158, with an average of 0.078; however, frequency values smaller than 0.2 are considered to have no significant effect on the accuracy of data analysis by many studies [59, 65]. Mitochondrial genes are also good markers for studying population diversity and are widely used in many studies. To improve the accuracy of the findings in this study, the mitochondrial COI gene was also employed, and the genetic variation of all the individuals was investigated using both COI and microsatellite data.

Consistent with the studies of Zhong et al. [66] and Wei et al. [67], which were based on only mitochondrial and microsatellite diversity, respectively, the tropical Ae. albopictus populations showed higher diversity than the populations of the other two regions in China. An environment more conducive to survival and continuous dispersion may be the best explanation for this phenomenon. According to studies by Wei et al. and others [67-70], the hot and humid climate of Southern China is more suitable for the breeding and development of Ae. albopictus than a temperate climate, which has relatively low temperatures and dry air. Notably, during the winter, freezing temperatures bring about diapause in Ae. albopictus for the whole winter period, resulting in lower allele richness and population diversity in temperate Ae. albopictus populations [62-64]. Meanwhile, Southern China shares borders with many countries in Southeast Asia, where Ae. albopictus originates geographically, including Laos, the Philippines, and Myanmar [20]. Frequent border trades and resident travel among these areas result in the continuous dispersion of Ae. albopictus, enriching the diversity of the local population; this result was confirmed by the results of the bottleneck analysis, which showed that all the populations from temperate and subtropical regions had undergone bottleneck effects, while the populations of the tropical region had not. As suggested by Takezaki et al[71], an He value between 0.5 and 0.8 indicates high genetic polymorphism in the population. In the present study, the He values of all the sampling sites ranged from 0.606~0.733, which indicates high polymorphism. Simultaneously, compared with those in the tropical region, the mean Ho values of the temperate and subtropical regions were significantly lower than the mean He values, and nearly all the populations significantly departed from HWE.

This phenomenon may be closely related to the application of mosquito control programs in these areas [72], according to recent insecticide-resistance studies of Ae. albopictus [37, 73-76], as compared with temperate regions, tropical and subtropical regions have denser populations and more developed agricultural industries; thus, the overuse of insecticides within these areas will tend to cause insecticide resistance in Ae. albopictus.
within these regions, resulting in differences in genetic variability among different regions. The continuous dispersion of *Ae. albopictus* from Southeast Asian countries to the tropical region of China also augments the local mosquito population.

Corresponding to the results of Kotsakiozi and Manni *et al.* [29, 77], which showed no genetic differentiation within the native Asian range, our population differentiation analysis results showed that even though nearly all the pairwise $F_{ST}$ values between populations were significant, the values were not high, which indicates potential anthropogenic exchange between the populations. A study by Schmidt *et al.* [78] of the genetic structure of *Ae. albopictus* collected from 12 sample sites in Guangzhou also found that human transportation networks, particularly shipping terminals, influenced the genetic structure of *Ae. albopictus* populations. With the development of China, the rapid expansion of high-speed rail, aircraft routes, and highways between different temperature zones has not only facilitated trade among different cities but also accelerated the spread of mosquitoes. Accordingly, only two genetically separated clades were observed among these populations, and molecular variations within populations and individuals were found to contribute to the genetic differentiation between these populations. As a global invasive species, *Ae. albopictus* has developed several strategies to cope with a broad range of temperatures and adapt to local thermal conditions [9, 68], which helps this mosquito disperse and colonize different locations successfully. Here, the migration analysis results displayed four major migration trends with high gene flow ($Nm>0.4$) among the different climatic regions, corresponding with China’s main trade and tourism routes. All these results revealed that human-aided dispersion might be the main reason for the similarity of populations across the different regions [22, 67-78]. This hypothesis was also verified via IBD analysis, which indicated that long distances were not significantly associated with individual genetic variation.

Corresponding to the microsatellite analysis results, a total of 25 haplotypes were detected among the 497 COI sequences in the present study, while nearly 44% (11 out of 25) of these haplotypes were observed in only the tropical and subtropical regions. The $p$-value of the Mantle test for the tropical region was significant. The same result for Chinese *Ae. albopictus* populations was also observed by both Guo *et al.* and Zhang *et al.* [79, 80]. Guo *et al.* identified three predominant haplotypes in *Ae. albopictus* populations: H01 (21.5%) from Guangdong Province (subtropical region), H19 (22.1%) from Yunnan and Hainan provinces (tropical region), and H30 (10%) from Hainan Province, while Zhang *et al.* detected 25 haplotypes, including 10 shared haplotypes and 15 private haplotypes. This means that continuous dispersal from neighboring Southeast Asian countries may be the reason for the higher diversity of the *Ae. albopictus* populations in the tropical and subtropical regions and that the origins of the *Ae. albopictus* populations of these two regions may be different. Furthermore, the mismatch analysis results revealed recent population dispersal among the different climatic regions, which is the main reason for the universal distribution of the remaining haplotypes. As an important and rapidly adapting insect, *Ae. albopictus* has biological characteristics that are strongly influenced by environmental conditions [9, 10]. In tropical and subtropical regions, the climate is hot and wet, making it more suitable for the survival of *Ae. albopictus*; when this mosquito migrates from a tropical region to a temperate region, it needs time to
adapt to the new environment, especially to survive during the winter period, which causes diapause in *Ae. albopictus* [4]. According to a study by Roiz *et al.* about the effects of environmental factors on *Ae. albopictus*, the activity of host-seeking females was positively affected by temperature and rainfall [81]. The PCA in the present study examined genetic indices and environmental factors (such as temperature, rainfall and altitude) and revealed that temperature and rainfall may be the leading causes of differences in genetic diversity among *Ae. albopictus* populations from different climatic regions.

Overall, strong dispersal patterns were observed in Southern China, and frequent dispersals have occurred from the tropical and subtropical regions to the temperate region. Monitoring the population structure and potential migration routes of *Ae. albopictus* in different climatic regions will help in the selection of appropriate mosquito control strategies, and since dengue fever continues to break out in Southern China every year, monitoring the potential migration routes of *Ae. albopictus* can also predict the potential transmission routes of this virus and help prevent its further spread.

**Conclusion**

The present study systematically evaluated the genetic variation, population structure, and haplotype-based phylogenetic relationships of *Ae. albopictus* populations across different climatic regions of China. All 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations were genetically structured in accordance with their locality or region of origin, and three major haplotype clusters were observed via phylogenetic analysis of COI. These results suggest different evolutionary histories in changing environments, especially differences in temperature and rainfall. Meanwhile, four major migration trends were observed among the different climatic regions with high gene flow; these trends contribute to the similarity of the *Ae. albopictus* populations.
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Tables

Table 1 Information of microsatellite primers used for genotyping all the 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations.

| No. | Primer name | Forward sequence (5’-3’) | Reverse sequence (5’-3’) | Repeat Motifs | Size range (bp) | Dye | GenBank No. |
|-----|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----|-------------|
| 1   | BW-P1       | TTAGCATCCATCTATTTCTGCC   | AAACATTCCTACGCATTTCAC    | (GT)6         | 230-260        | 5’-HEX | MT642446   |
| 2   | BW-P3       | GAAAATATGCTCTATCAAATG    | AAGTCAGAAACAGGAGTCT      | (GT)3GC(GT)3TTT | 132-178        | 5’-FAM | MT642447   |
| 3   | BW-P6       | GAATTGGAGCCTTGTTAAAC     | CCCTACTTTGAGAAACACTGA    | (TG)5         | 124-200        | 5’-HEX | MT642448   |
| 4   | BW-P18      | ACTGTCATCTCTATCGAATGC    | GTGTTATCAGTTGGAAGCGTT    | (GT)24        | 158-203        | 5’-HEX | MT642449   |
| 5   | BW-P22      | GGGCTTCCTCCCAACATACATC   | CAGCTCCGTCCTCCTCTCTCCC   | (GT)5(GCGT)2(GT)3 | 187-244        | 5’-HEX | MT642450   |
| 6   | BW-P23      | GGATAAGAATGACACACGGC     | CAAAGAGGAACACATAGGAA     | (GAC)7        | 133-177        | 5’-FAM | MT642451   |
| 7   | BW-P24      | ACCAAACATACTACATGGCA     | AACCTAGAATCGAGAGAAGAC    | (AC)8         | 145-239        | 5’-FAM | MT642452   |
| 8   | BW-P26      | CTTGCTGGTCTCCTATCTGT     | TGGCTCGTGCGCTCTAGTCAAT   | (GT)5         | 107-233        | 5’-HEX | MT642453   |
| 9   | BW-P27      | TTATACAAAGGCAACATCC      | CACACACATAGAAGAAGCAA     | (ACG)6        | 249-281        | 5’-FAM | MT642454   |
| 10  | BW-P35      | TATTTGAGACATAGCCATTTGCT  | TCCAAACCTGATTTCCGACTG    | (CA)7TTT      | 83-120         | 5’-HEX | MT642455   |
| 11  | BW-P36      | GTCATGGTAGTCCTCACAGTCA   | ATATGGATCATAGTGAGAGAG    | (AC)6CCC      | 159-179        | 5’-FAM | MT642456   |
### Table 2: Genetic diversity indices for 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations investigated by 11 microsatellite loci.

| Regions                | SC   | SS  | \(n_a\) | \(n_e\) | \(F_{IS}\) | \(Ho\)  | \(He\)  | HWE  |
|------------------------|------|-----|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|------|
| **Tropical region**    |      |     |         |         |           |         |         |      |
| HKWN                   | 30   | 30  | 8.455   | 4.525   | 0.388     | 0.561   | 0.733   | 0.442*** |
| JYJB                   | 30   | 30  | 7.636   | 4.355   | 0.385     | 0.584   | 0.699   | 0.533*** |
| JKCH                   | 25   | 25  | 7.000   | 3.553   | 0.292     | 0.532   | 0.671   | 0.246*** |
| Mean                   | 29   | 29  | 7.697   | 4.144   | 0.355     | 0.559   | 0.701   | 0.408*** |
| **South-subtropical region** |      |     |         |         |           |         |         |      |
| NNXD                   | 30   | 30  | 7.909   | 4.000   | 0.336     | 0.533   | 0.700   | 0.347**  |
| NNXZ                   | 30   | 30  | 7.909   | 4.190   | 0.473     | 0.627   | 0.725   | 0.480*** |
| GZTH                   | 30   | 30  | 8.455   | 4.292   | 0.172     | 0.467   | 0.714   | 0.192*   |
| Mean                   | 30   | 30  | 8.091   | 4.161   | 0.327     | 0.542   | 0.713   | 0.340**  |
| **North-subtropical region** |      |     |         |         |           |         |         |      |
| NJTH                   | 30   | 30  | 7.455   | 3.836   | 0.392     | 0.590   | 0.682   | 0.473*** |
| NJDX                   | 30   | 30  | 8.455   | 4.119   | 0.465     | 0.637   | 0.706   | 0.551*** |
| KZXZ                   | 30   | 30  | 6.182   | 3.681   | 0.189     | 0.474   | 0.677   | 0.331    |
| SHJD                   | 30   | 30  | 5.455   | 3.106   | 0.035     | 0.455   | 0.606   | 0.123    |
| Mean                   | 30   | 30  | 6.909   | 3.677   | 0.266     | 0.542   | 0.660   | 0.369*   |
| **Temperate region**   |      |     |         |         |           |         |         |      |
| HNDX                   | 30   | 30  | 7.000   | 3.642   | 0.247     | 0.553   | 0.627   | 0.365**  |
| QDDX                   | 30   | 30  | 7.909   | 3.921   | 0.361     | 0.570   | 0.664   | 0.380**  |
| BHBG                   | 22   | 22  | 8.091   | 3.838   | 0.433     | 0.641   | 0.637   | 0.447*** |
| BJLG                   | 30   | 30  | 8.636   | 4.341   | 0.408     | 0.574   | 0.728   | 0.456*** |
| ZGND                   | 30   | 30  | 6.909   | 3.728   | 0.425     | 0.631   | 0.636   | 0.419*** |
| SXJW                   | 30   | 30  | 6.455   | 3.501   | 0.270     | 0.572   | 0.622   | 0.421**  |
| HBSD                   | 30   | 30  | 7.546   | 3.926   | 0.256     | 0.508   | 0.687   | 0.341**  |
| Mean                   | 29   | 29  | 7.591   | 3.876   | 0.359     | 0.583   | 0.662   | 0.411**  |

SC: Sample Code; SS: Sample Size; \(n_a\): Observed number of alleles; \(n_e\): Effective number of alleles (Kimura and Crow, 1964); HWE: Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium; Ho: Observed Heterozygosity; He: Expected Heterozygosity (Nei’s, 1973)

***: \(p<0.001\); **: \(p<0.01\); *: \(p<0.05\)

### Table 3: Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) test of 17 *Ae. albopictus* populations sampled from three temperature regions.

| Source of Variation       | d.f. | Sum of Squares | Variance Components | Percentage of Variation | P-value | Fixation indices |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Among groups              | 5    | 142.425        | 0.12789Va           | 3.62                   | \(P<0.00001\) | \(F_{CT} = 0.03620\) |
| Among populations within groups | 11   | 93.157         | 0.06845Vb           | 1.94                   | \(P<0.00001\) | \(F_{SC} = 0.02010\) |
| Among individuals within populations | 485 | 2156.367       | 1.10951Vc           | 31.40                  | \(P<0.00001\) | \(F_{IS} = 0.33253\) |
| Within individuals        | 502  | 1118.000       | 2.22709Vd           | 63.04                  | \(P<0.00001\) | \(F_{IT} = 0.36962\) |
| Total                     | 1003 | 3509.949       | 3.53294             |                        |         |                   |

---

**Figures**
Figure 1

Sampling map and Migration patterns for Ae. albopictus among three different climatic regions of China. Three climatic regions were marked with different colors, four major destinations were marked with stars of different colors, and migration routes were marked with different types of arrows.
Figure 2

The population structure analysis of all 17 Ae. albopictus populations based on 11 microsatellite loci. (a) K values assessed via Evanno et al.’s ΔK methods; (b) STRUCTURE bar plots (k=2); (c) Bayesian clustering analysis of all Ae. albopictus populations; (d) DAPC analysis of all Ae. albopictus populations, and 86.4% of variation was explained by 50 PCs.

Figure 3
The Bayesian and Haplotype network analysis of the representing 25 haplotypes among all 17 Ae. albopictus populations based on COI sequences. (a) Bayesian tree of the representing 25 haplotypes was constructed via BEAST version 1.8.4 with the best model “HKY+G” selected by JModelTest 2.1.10; (b) TCS haplotype network for the COI gene of all Ae. albopictus individuals (n=502) from different climatic regions of China. The sizes of circles are proportional to haplotype frequency, and each line segment represents a single mutation.

Figure 4

Multiple factor analysis (MFA) and Hierarchical clustering performed on 17 Ae. albopictus populations sampled from three different temperature regions. (a) Multiple factor analysis between genetic indices of all individuals and three environmental variables (temperature, rainfall and altitude) of 17 Ae. albopictus populations, populations of different clusters were marked with different colors; (b) Hierarchical clustering of all 17 Ae. albopictus populations on the factor map.
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