Surgical management of monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma followed by chemotherapy and stem-cell transplant: A case report and review of the literature
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma (MEITL) is a rare and rapidly progressive intestinal T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma associated with a very poor prognosis and a median survival of 7 mo. Advances in the identification of MEITL over the last two decades have led to its recognition as a separate entity. MEITL patients, predominantly male, typically present with vague and nonspecific symptoms and diagnosis is predominantly confirmed at laparotomy. Currently, there are no standardized treatment protocols, and the optimal therapy remains unclear.

CASE SUMMARY
We report a case of MEITL that was initially considered to be gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) and Imatinib was administered for one cycle. The 62-year-old man presented with abdominal pain, abdominal distension, and weight loss of 20 pounds. Within 2 wk, the size of the mass considerably increased on computed tomography scans. The patient underwent surgery followed by chemotherapy with CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) and stem-cell transplant. A correct diagnosis of MEITL was established based on postoperative pathology. Immunophenotypically, the neoplastic cells fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for MEITL as they were CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD56+, and TIA-1+.

CONCLUSION
Given that MEITL has no predisposing factor and presents with vague symptoms with rapid progression, the concomitant presence of abdominal symptoms and B
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Bissessur AS et al. Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma and low albumin should alert the physician especially when it comes to Asian patients. No standardized relatively poor prognosis and a median survival of only 7 mo and literature review will provide an up-to-date insight into the management of MEITL. Because of a challenge that may be encountered is intestinal obstruction and perforation. Thus, diagnosis is predominantly confirmed at laparotomy and is seen in regions where celiac disease is rare, particularly in Asian countries whereas MEITL has a broader geographic distribution and is seen in most studies of MEITL cases.

INTRODUCTION

Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma (MEITL), formerly known as enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma type II (EATL type II), is a rare non-Hodgkin primary lymphoma of the gastrointestinal tract arising from intraepithelial T-cells.

Previously, EATL was recognized as a single entity of small intestinal lymphoma and was termed as enteropathy-type T-cell lymphoma. But in 2008, the World Health Organization (WHO) classified the disease into two subtypes: (1) EATL type I, which comprises of 80%-90% of all cases; and (2) EATL type II, accounting for 10%-20% (a rather monomorphic variant). EATL accounted for 5.4% of all lymphomas based on an international survey.

However, after 2008, studies revealed noteworthy and remarkable clinical and pathological differences between the two types of lymphoma aforementioned. As a result, the WHO redefined these lymphomas as distinct and separate entities. Type I EATL was then termed as enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma and type II, owing to its distinctive nature, was designated as MEITL.

The geographic distribution of EATL and MEITL varies: EATL is seen more often in areas with a high prevalence of celiac disease (particularly Northern Europe) whereas MEITL has a broader geographic distribution and is seen in regions where celiac disease is rare, particularly in Asian countries. The findings of a study conducted in Asia which included 38 cases of MEITL suggested that intestinal T-cell lymphomas might be merely MEITL in Asian patients.

Male predominance (ratio 2.6:1.0) has been observed in MEITL and the median age of onset is 58 years old, with the small intestine as the most commonly involved site. Upon consultation, MEITL patients typically present with vague and nonspecific symptoms such as abdominal pain, fatigue, weight loss, small bowel perforation, diarrhea, and gastrointestinal (GI) obstruction. Low albumin, increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and elevated C-Reactive protein (CRP) have been observed in most studies of MEITL cases.

Tumor cells with a monomorphic shape, an epitheliopathic pattern, CD8+, and CD56+ are the diagnostic criteria for MEITL and serve to distinguish them from other types of T-cell lymphomas.

The vagueness of symptoms and/or lack of all symptoms at presentation make the initial diagnosis of T-cell lymphoma challenging. In addition, primary diagnosis of MEITL can be delayed until further investigation due to the similar symptoms/imaging manifestations to those of other GI cancers. Another challenge that may be encountered is intestinal obstruction and perforation. Thus, diagnosis is predominantly confirmed at laparotomy.

Herein, we present a case of MEITL, the treatment approach, and follow-up result. This case report and literature review will provide an up-to-date insight into the management of MEITL. Because of a relatively poor prognosis and a median survival of only 7 mo, weight loss, elevated LDH and CRP, and low albumin should alert the physician especially when it comes to Asian patients. No standardized
treatment is yet established for MEITL due to the rarity of the disease. However, surgical resection followed by chemotherapy and/or autologous stem cell transplantation has been demonstrated to have better outcomes compared to surgery alone. In addition, new clinical trials using novel regimen of IVE/MTX (ifosfamide, vincristine, etoposide/methotrexate) followed by autologous stem cell transplant have proven significantly better outcomes with a 65% complete remission and 60% 5-year survival rate [10].

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 62-year-old man visited our hospital with a 2-mo history of abdominal pain and distension.

History of present illness
The patient had persistent epigastric pain half an hour after eating, which alleviated after a few hours. The patient’s bowel habits varied between constipation and diarrhea. His symptoms gradually aggravated. He reported a weight loss of 20 pounds.

History of past illness
The patient had no history of other illnesses such as hypertension, diabetes, or heart disease. He had a full positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) scan in the previous year which revealed no abnormality, highlighting the rapid and aggressive progression of the disease.

At an outside hospital, the patient underwent a gastroscopy which showed chronic superficial gastritis and a colonoscopy which revealed multiple colorectal polyps and proctitis. Half a month later, he came to our hospital for further treatment and diagnosis.

Personal and family history
The patient had no significant personal and family history.

Physical examination
Physical abdominal examination revealed abdominal distension on inspection and decreased bowel sounds on auscultation. On palpation, a 15-cm mass could be felt. The mass had a clear boundary and an irregular shape. The abdomen was soft and deep palpation revealed left lower abdominal tenderness.

Laboratory examinations
Laboratory tests and blood workouts revealed an elevated level of CRP at 163.5 mg/L, normal lactate dehydrogenase at 180 IU/L, albumin at 36.2 g/L, lymphocytic percentage at 0.58%, and hemoglobin at 113 g/L. Other laboratory results are shown in Table 1. Tumor markers were all within the normal ranges (Table 2).

Imaging examinations
The patient had two CT scans 2 wk apart at our hospital. An increase in the size of the mass was observed on the second CT scan, with significant necrosis (Figure 2). The size of the mass on the first and second CT scans was estimated to be approximately 85 mm × 74 mm × 107 mm and 113 mm × 97 mm ×
Table 1 Patient’s laboratory results of blood chemistry

| Item                                      | Result | Reference value |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| White blood cell count (× 10⁹/L)          | 7.8    | 3.5-9.5         |
| Neutrophils (%)                           | 88.2   | 40.0-75.0       |
| Eosinophils (%)                           | 0.6    | 0.4-0.8         |
| Basophils (%)                             | 0.3    | 0.0-1.0         |
| Lymphocytes (%)                           | 0.58   | 1.10-3.20       |
| Monocytes (%)                             | 0.28   | 0.10-0.60       |
| Red blood cell count (× 10¹²/L)           | 3.87   | 4.30-5.80       |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                          | 113    | 130-175         |
| Mean corpuscular volume (fl)              | 88.6   | 82.0-100.0      |
| Platelet count (× 10⁹/L)                  | 380    | 125-350         |
| Hematocrit (%)                            | 0.34   | 0.11-0.28       |
| Lactate dehydrogenase (IU/L)              | 180    | 120-250         |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L)                 | 163.5  | < 6.0           |
| Direct bilirubin (umol/L)                 | 2.7    | 0.0-4.0         |
| Indirect bilirubin (umol/L)               | 7.90   | 0.00-22.00      |
| Creatine kinase (U/L)                     | 45     | 50-310          |
| Total protein (g/L)                       | 67.7   | 65.0-85.0       |
| Albumin (g/L)                             | 36.2   | 40.0-55.0       |
| Globulin (g/L)                            | 31.4   | 25.0-35.0       |
| Glucose (mmol/L)                          | 6.34   | 4.30-5.90       |

Table 2 Tumor markers

| Marker | Result | Reference value |
|--------|--------|-----------------|
| CA211  | 1.05   | 0.0-3.3         |
| SCC    | 1.08   | 0.0-1.5         |
| CA724  | 1.36   | 0.0-6.9         |
| CA242  | 4.89   | 0.0-20.0        |
| CA125  | 31.51  | < 35.0          |
| CA-153 | 12.87  | < 25.0          |
| CEA    | 1.97   | 0.0-5.0         |
| CA19-9 | 18.57  | < 37.0          |

146 mm, respectively. Local mesenteric lymph nodes were enlarged.

FURTHER DIAGNOSTIC WORK-UP

The patient was consulted at the General Surgery Department of our hospital and gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) was initially considered due to the imaging presentation of the tumor (Figure 2) and the associated symptoms. Gleevec (Imatinib) was administered as empirical neoadjuvant targeting therapy. He had 5-8 times of diarrhea/d after oral administration of Gleevec.

While the patient was on Gleevec, his symptoms further aggravated, with a higher accumulation of pelvic fluid accompanied with fever (maximum of 37.9 °C). As intestinal perforation and peritonitis were suspected, and the patient underwent emergency surgery.
Figure 2 Computed tomography images. A: First computed tomography (CT) scan; B: Second CT scan 2 wk later. Multiple enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes and apparent necrosis were noted on the second CT scan.

Postoperative immunohistochemistry findings revealed CD3 (+), CD20 (-), CD21 (residual FDC +), CD138 (-), Kappa (+), Lambda (+), Ki-67 (80%), CD117 (-), CD4 (+), CD5 (-), CD7 (+), CD8 (+), CD30 (-), CD10 (-), PD-1 (-), CK-PAN (-), GranzymeB (+), TIA-1 (+), and CD56 (+) (Figure 3). Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-encoded RNA (EBER) in situ hybridization was negative. The results are listed in Table 3.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Based on postoperative immunohistochemistry findings, the final diagnosis was MEITL.

TREATMENT

The patient underwent surgery followed by chemotherapy and stem-cell transplant.

Intraoperative findings

The size of the mass was estimated to be 15 cm × 14 cm × 10 cm and the margin was not clear. The texture was hard. Superficial purulent exudation was observed. The tumor had inflammatory adhesions with the mesentery of the small intestine, descending colon, and transverse colon. The relationship between the tumor and left psoas major muscle and left ureter was unclear. Specimens were sent for further pathology diagnosis after tumor resection.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

After surgery and before systemic treatment, ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET/CT scan (Figure 4) revealed no remarkable abnormalities, no abnormal density focus, and no significant increase or decrease in radioactivity uptake. There was no significant thickening and increase of radioactivity uptake in the anastomotic intestinal wall. The metabolism of FDG was increased in the middle abdomen subcutaneously. Several large lymph nodes were spotted in the left abdominal mesenteric area, with the largest measuring 1.1 cm × 1.6 cm. The standardized uptake value (SUV) of the left mesentery was 1.6. An increase in the metabolism of FDG was also noted in the ascending colon.

In addition, the patient underwent a bone marrow biopsy, which showed no overt morphologic or flow cytometry evidence of T-cell lymphoma or metastatic malignancy (Figure 5).

A month after surgery, the patient was started on chemotherapy with CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone), scheduled for four cycles, every 3 wk. After chemotherapy, the patient underwent stem-cell transplant. Between surgery and the first cycle of CHOP chemotherapy, the patient developed an itchy rash on his hands which subsequently relieved after his first chemotherapy cycle.
Figure 3 Pathologic and immunohistochemistry findings. A and B: Low magnification (A) (× 40, H&E) and high magnification (B) (× 200, H&E) images of lymphocytes demonstrating an epitheliotropic pattern; C: The shape of lymphoma cells is uniform throughout, emphasizing the monomorphism; D-H: The tumor cells were positive for CD3 (D), CD8 (E), CD56 (F), Granzyme B (G), and TIA-1 (H). (C-H, magnification × 200).
Table 3 Panel of immunohistochemical stains

| IHC stain | Result |
|-----------|--------|
| CD3       | +      |
| CD4       | +      |
| CD5       | -      |
| CD7       | +      |
| CD8       | +      |
| CD30      | -      |
| CD56      | +      |
| CD117     | -      |
| CD138     | -      |
| Ki-67     | 80%    |
| Kappa     | +      |
| Lambda    | +      |
| PD-1      | -      |
| CK-PAN    | -      |
| TIA-1     | +      |
| Granzyme B| +      |
| EBER ISH  | -      |

IHC: Immunohistochemistry; EBER: Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNA.

Figure 4  Postoperative positron emission tomography scan.

Regarding the staging of MEITL, gastrointestinal lymphomas follow the Lugano staging system[11], which is tabulated in Table 4. Our patient was staged as having IIE disease.

DISCUSSION

Consistent with our case, it was reported that the most common site of involvement is the small intestine, particularly the jejunum followed by the ileum and duodenum; rarely it could also involve the colon and stomach[12]. Metastasis to mesenteric lymph nodes is common[13]. Our patient had several
Table 4 The Lugano staging system

| Stage | Features |
|-------|----------|
| I     | Tumor confined to small bowel: Single or multiple primary lesions |
| II    | Para-intestinal nodal involvement |
| II-1  | Involving mesenteric, aortic, caval, pelvic, or inguinal nodes |
| II-2  | With penetration of serosa involving adjacent organs or tissues |
| E (III, II-1E, II-2E) | Tumor extending into abdomen from primary small bowel site |
| III   | NO stage III |
| IV    | Disseminated extranodal sites or supra-diaphragmatic nodal involvement |

Figure 5 A (approximately 22.06% of non-erythroid cells) mature lymphocyte population (mainly T cells, with a small amount of B and NK cells).

enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes observed on CT but they were due to lymphoid hyperplasia rather than metastasis. Regarding imaging modalities, obstruction is not common in the small bowel. Multifocal involvement and perforation are more prevalent [14]. Necrosis, reported not to be usual in MEITL [6,8], was observed in our case (Figure 6).

The pattern of presenting symptoms greatly varied among previous studies, with abdominal pain being the most commonly reported symptom. Our patient presented with a 2-mo history of abdominal pain, abdominal distension, and weight loss. However, abdominal pain has a wide range of diagnoses which can pose a great challenge in diagnosing MEITL upon clinical presentation. Weight loss, despite being regarded as a B symptom, has not been found to be an exclusive symptom when it came to diagnosis of MEITL in Asian patients [5,6]. In contrast to classic EATL which can be suspected in sudden worsening of abdominal pain and diarrhea in a previously diagnosed celiac disease patient, MEITL has not been found to have any predisposing factor and was rather known to be sporadic. Clinically, diagnosis of MEITL is more challenging and delayed because of the low index of clinical suspicion and a vague inconsistent display of symptoms that can be easily confused with other malignancies [15-17].

Low albumin, elevated LDH, abnormally high CRP, low hemoglobin, and abnormal lymphocyte count are common laboratory abnormalities detected [2,5,10,16]. Our patient had all the listed laboratory abnormalities except for a normal LDH level.

In this case, based on postoperative pathology reports, other malignancies such as poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, B-cell lymphoma, and GIST were easily excluded because of the expression of only T-cell markers by monoclonal tumor cells. In addition, negative staining for CD20 [18,19] and
CD117 excluded B-cell lymphomas and GIST, respectively. The positive staining for CD56 and CD8 led to the diagnosis of MEITL and not the classical EATL form. The panel of immunohistochemistry markers was consistent with MEITL. EBER in situ hybridization was negative, thus excluding the possibility of natural killer/T-cell lymphoma which most commonly presents as a facial mass, with a small percentage involving the GI tract.

Despite having been demonstrated to be negative in several papers and studies for the occurrence of MEITL, CD4 was found to be positive in our case. One of the largest multicenter studies of MEITL analyzed 38 patients where CD4^+CD8^+ rate was as low as 19%. CD4^+CD8^+, however, supports the cellular origin of MEITL being type ‘A’ intestinal T-cells.

A literature review about the endoscopic findings of MEITL revealed a higher tendency of diffuse infiltrating type lesions compared to ulcerative and polypoid lesions. In a study of nine MEITL patients, the endoscopic examination findings were: Six (67%) diffuse infiltrating type lesions (colitis-like or proctocolitis-like); two (22%) polypoid type lesions; and one (11%) ulcerative type lesion. Another study of endoscopic findings of 15 cases of MEITL showed eight (53%) ulceroinfiltrative type lesions and two ulcerative type lesions.

Currently, there are no standardized treatment or diagnostic protocols for MEITL. Being a very rare entity, there exist very few trials and regimens in regards to MEITL, with some having more promising results in eligible patients. Historically, MEITL has been treated with surgery, chemotherapy, autologous stem cell transplant, or their combination. Several studies hypothesized that chemotherapy with or without surgery delivered better outcomes than surgery alone. Moreover, different chemotherapy regimens have been investigated over the last decade, resulting in different prognoses. Despite anthracycline-based regimens being associated with better survival rates than other therapies or no therapy at all, Sieniawski et al compared a novel regimen with anthracycline-based regimen. The novel regimen begins with one course of CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone), followed by three courses of IVE/MTX (ifosfamide, vincristine, etoposide alternating with intermediate-dose methotrexate). Autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) was then performed 3 wk after the last cycle of IVE/MTX. They found that the novel regimen had a better response (lower mortality and higher remission). Chiadamide combined with chemotherapy also slightly improved the survival time in two patients, with a mean survival time of 16 mo. The planned regimen for our patient was four cycles of CHOP every 3 wk, followed by stem cell transplant.

Given that (1) MEITL has no predisposing factor; (2) the diagnosis of MEITL is predominantly made at laparotomy; (3) a bulky tumor and elevated serum LDH and CRP levels are risk factors significantly associated with a worse prognosis, and (4) MEITL has no standardized treatment, the concomitant presence of abdominal pain and systemic symptoms (weight loss, fever, and night sweating) together with laboratory parameters indicative for hypoalbuminemia, anemia (low hemoglobin), increased CRP, and low lymphocytic count and endoscopic findings of diffuse infiltrating type lesions can be regarded as highly suspicious features of MEITL and should alert physicians to this rare disease and opt for immediate laparotomy.
| Ref.               | Gender/age | Chief complaint                        | Treatment                                                                 |
|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chen et al[29]    | M/60       | Abdominal pain                         | Emergency surgery followed by CHOP + IVE/MTX + SCT, followed by ASCT       |
| Ishibashi et al[30]| M/60       | Diarrhea and 10 kg weight loss in 17 mo | CHASE followed by SCT                                                    |
|                   | F/40       | Diarrhea and 6 kg weight loss in 3 mo  | TIP-COP followed by surgery 10 mo later                                    |
|                   | F/50       | Abdominal distension                   | CHOP + high-dose MTX + SCT                                               |
| Aiempanakit et al[31]| M/67     | Diarrhea for 4 mo and 15 kg weight loss over 3 mo | Anthracycline-based regimen                                               |
| Antoniadu et al[32]| M/76       | Severe dyspnea                         | N/A                                                                       |
| Aoyama et al[33]  | M/85       | Fever and diarrhea                     | CHOP followed by DeVIC                                                   |
| Pan et al[34]     | F/67       | Abdominal pain                         | 1 cycle of CEOP                                                          |
| Liu et al[35]     | F/48       | Abdominal pain, distension, vomiting, watery diarrhea, weight loss | Unspecified chemotherapy                                                 |
| Nato et al[39]    | M/63       | Diffuse abdominal pain for 1 mo        | Emergency surgery followed by 2 cycles of CHOP                           |
| Kasinathan et al[37]| F/70     | Abdominal pain and vomiting for 4 wk   | 2 cycles of CHOP, followed by 2 cycles of GDP                            |
| Mago et al[38]    | M/59       | SOB for 1 mo, abdominal distension for 2 wk | 1 cycle of CHOEP                                                        |
| Nato et al[39]    | F/43       | Abdominal distension, 2 mo history of early satiety and nausea | 4 cycles of GDP achieving a PR, CR was achieved after CBT conditioned with total body irradiation, cyclophosphamide, and cytarabine |
| Pan et al[40]     | M/63       | Diffuse abdominal pain for 1 mo        | Emergency surgery followed by 2 cycles of CHOP                           |
|                   | M/47       | Diarrhea, dyspnea, orthopnea, weight loss for 1 year | 1 dose of L-asparaginase, etoposide, and decanom regimen followed by emergency surgery, adjuvant chemotherapy included etoposide, methylprednisolone, high-dose cytarabine, and cisplatin |
| Umino et al[41]   | M/41       | Diarrhea and epigastric pain for 1 mo  | 3 neoadjuvant cycles of ICE followed by autologous SCT                    |
| Ferran et al[42]  | F/45       | Cutaneous lesions followed by abdominal perforation after chemotherapy initiation | 6 neoadjuvant cycles of CHOP and 1 cycle of SMILE followed by surgery. 1 adjuvant cycle L-GEMOX |
| Aoki et al[43]    | F/77       | Abdominal discomfort, night sweats, and fever for 1 mo | EPOCH for 6 mo                                                          |
| Soardo et al[44]  | M/65       | 2-wk history of weight gain, increased abdominal volume with progressive mild dyspnea, and fever in the last 2 d | Emergency laparotomy                                                      |
| Liu et al[45]     | M/61       | Upper abdominal pain and black stool for 2 mo | Partial excision of small intestine and chidamide-based combination regimen |
|                   | F/35       | Abdominal distension for 1 mo          | Sigmoid colostomy followed by chidamide-based combination therapy         |
| Name et al | Gender | Age | Symptoms | Treatment | Duration |
|-----------|--------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|
| Samuel et al [46] | M/62 | | Hypovolemic shock secondary to severe chronic diarrhea and 100 pounds lost over a year | Chemotherapy | 1 mo |
| Ikeda et al [47] | M/61 | | 3 episodes of ileal strangulation within 4 mo of gastrectomy | Ileal resection followed by 2 cycles of CHOP and 1 cycle of ICE | 3 mo |
| Lenti et al [48] | F/63 | | Diarrhea and 10 kg weight loss in 6 mo | Surgery followed by a single course of CHOP | 27 mo |
| Broccoli et al [49] | M/65 | | Petechiae at both limbs, acute abdominal pain, diarrhea, and clinical signs of bowel perforation | Emergency resection of 9 cm of small bowel | 6 mo |
| Tabata et al [50] | M/72 | | Ileum perforation...severe constipation after 21 mo in CR | Emergency resection followed by anthracycline-based regimen chemotherapy (CR for 21 mo), paclitaxel therapy was administered during recurrence | In CR after 52 mo |
| Fisher et al [51] | F/60 | | Abdominal pain, diarrhea, and 30 pounds of weight loss over 3 mo | EPOCH chemotherapy (subsequently developing a large lymphoma 6 mo after therapy initiation) | N/A |
| Tian et al [52] | M/58 | | Abdominal pain, diarrhea, and weight loss over 3 mo | 1 course of CHOP | Died subsequently after the first cycle due to bone marrow suppression |
| Kubota et al [53] | M/41 | | Diarrhea for 1 mo and intermittent abdominal pain | Resection followed by CHOP and 3 cycles of ICE resulted in CR | Repeated intrathecal chemotherapy and high-dose chemotherapy followed by ASCT achieved CR |
| Gentille et al [54] | F/70 | | Intermittent abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea for 4 mo. 50 pounds of weight loss | Right hemicolecotony followed by 5 cycles of EPOCH (with PEG-asparaginase added in the last cycle) | Developed abdominal pain 15 mo after initial therapy, subsequently passing away around 20 mo after initial diagnosis |
| Sato et al [55] | M/65 | | Watery diarrhea for 14 mo | 8 cycles of CHOP followed by 5 cycles of ESHAP | Still alive 67 mo post chemotherapy |
| Felipe-Silva et al [56] | M/78 | | Diarrhea for 2 mo + 20 kg weight loss | Surgical resection followed by 2 cycles of CHOP, which was changed to COP | 6 mo |
| Okumura et al [57] | F/66 | | Abdominal distension for 1 mo presenting with acute abdomen | Surgical resection followed by high dose chemotherapy and SCT | Still alive at the time of publication, in complete remission |
| Yang et al [58] | M/39 | | Diarrhea and anorexia for 8 wk + 6 kg weight loss | CHOP followed by stem-cell transplant | Unknown |
| Fukushima et al [59] | M/60 | | Severe diarrhea | CHOP | 1 year |
| Liong et al [60] | M/50 | | Diarrhea for 6 mo, presenting with acute abdomen due to intestinal perforation | Surgical resection followed by CHOP | 4 mo |
| Noh et al [61] | M/68 | | Nausea and vomiting for 6 mo + 25 kg weight loss | Surgical resection followed by chemotherapy (unspecified) | Unknown |
| Hashimoto et al [62] | M/64 | | Diarrhea for several months | Chemotherapy (unspecified) | Unknown |
| Liu et al [63] | F/43 | | Upper abdominal pain and weight loss for 3 mo | 4 cycles of CHOEP and 2 cycles of DHAP followed by surgery | 11 mo after diagnosis, 1 d after surgery due to septic shock |
| Fukushima et al [64] | F/68 | | Upper abdominal pain and nausea | Laparoscopic intestinal resection followed by auto-peripheral blood SCT | 22 mo without recurrence; passed away 1 mo after duodenal recurrence in 23rd mo |

M: Male; F: Female; CHOP: Cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisolone; IVE: Ifosfamide, vincristine, and etoposide; MTX: Methotrexate;
Fluorine-2-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (\(^{18}\text{F}-\text{FDG PET}\)) has been proven to be the most useful diagnostic modality in the staging and follow-up for recurrence of aggressive lymphomas. A study of 12 MEITL cases\(^{[65]}\) examined by PET concluded that MEITL is not restricted to the gut; many different anatomical sites were involved at presentation or at relapse with the infiltration of thoracic structures in 50% of the cases and central nervous system involvement in 25% of the cases. Our patient, however, had no abnormalities, no abnormal density focus, and no significant increase or decrease in radioactivity uptake on his whole body \(^{18}\text{F}-\text{FDG PET}\) scan after surgery and before his chemotherapy. The increase in metabolism of FDG in the middle abdomen subcutaneously is considered to be postoperative changes. Inflammation was considered for the increase in metabolism of FDG in the ascending colon. An increase in uptake of FDG is not distinct for malignancy; benign infectious and inflammatory processes as well as treatment-induced inflammatory changes can also account for an increase in FDG uptake\(^{[66]}\). In regards to uptake of FDG on PET scans in the setting of MEITL, clinicians must be careful as infectious and inflammatory processes can also lead to an increase.

As GIST was highly suspected as the primary diagnosis, no endoscopic biopsy was planned due to the risk of intraabdominal bleeding and tumor rupture (increasing risk of dissemination and metastasis). However, after the mass was found to be significantly enlarged within 2 wk while on Gleevec, emergency surgery after acute abdomen (perforation and acute peritonitis) was performed and specimens were sent for pathology examination. This is consistent with previous studies, which showed that EATL and MEITL have been preponderantly diagnosed at laparotomy\(^{[16,21]}\). In the study by Sieniawski et al\(^{[21]}\), 52 out of 57 patients underwent emergency laparotomy and Gale et al\(^{[16]}\) reported that diagnosis was made at laparotomy in 25 out of 31 patients.

CONCLUSION

Understanding MEITL as an entity can be dismaying for both patients and physicians. Diagnosis should be correlated to clinical symptoms while the final diagnosis is mainly based on the pathological features and immunophenotypes. Since MEITL is associated with a poor prognosis and high recurrence, it is crucial that the oncologist should follow and monitor any relapsing signs. In the occurrence of a rapidly growing malignant tumor in the small intestine (otherwise not explained by any other pathologic processes), vague gastrointestinal symptoms, and a poor suspicion of diagnosis due to lack of specific tests accompanied by elevated C-reactive protein, elevated LDH, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, and low lymphocytic count, we suggest emergent laparotomy and specimens to be sent for pathology. Based on our case\(^{[8]}\) relatively favorable prognosis and the cases reported in the literature, surgical resection followed by chemotherapy and stem-cell transplant leads to a better prognosis and should be recommended as the standard treatment protocol.
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