Transoral robotic surgery in dilated cardiomyopathy patients: Anaesthetic considerations
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Abstract

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a serious cardiac condition resulting in progressive heart failure and death. There have been several reports of anaesthetic management of DCM patients for various surgeries. Trans-Oral Robotic Surgery (TORS) is a minimally invasive approach to radical surgery of head and neck cancers. It utilizes the da Vinci™ robotic system to excise deep-seated intra-oral tumors in difficult to reach areas. We present a case series of 3 patients with DCM for TORS and their anaesthetic management.

Introduction

The WHO (World Health Organization) classifies cardiomyopathies according to the anatomical and physiological features into 3 main types: dilated, hypertrophic and restrictive. In dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), the major pathophysiology is systolic dysfunction with global reduction in myocardial contractility. After an initial enlargement of the left ventricle, the compensatory mechanisms reach a nadir, causing left ventricular failure and death. As the low cardiac output state progresses, there is generalized edema, valvular regurgitation, rhythm disturbances and sometimes, intra-cardiac thrombus. Medical management consists of ACE (Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme) Inhibitors, diuretics, beta-blockers, digoxin and anticoagulants. Some patients require biventricular pacing (coordinated stimulation of both the ventricles, improving the stroke volume). Transoral robotic surgery (TORS) is a minimally-invasive approach to deep-seated head and neck cancerous lesions, using the da Vinci™ robotic surgical system (Intuitive Surgical, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) [1]. TORS has several advantages, in the form of less blood loss, better cosmesis, minimal postoperative pain and early recovery. We hereby present a case series of three patients with DCM posted for TORS and the anaesthetic challenges faced.

Case reports

All three patients posted for TORS were already diagnosed cases of DCM. A thorough pre-operative evaluation was done, with explanation of risks, possible postoperative retention of endotracheal tube and intensive care unit (ICU) stay. Pre-anaesthetic investigations included routine hemogram, serum electrolytes, coagulation profile, chest x-ray, electrocardiogram, renal and liver function tests. All patients also underwent a cardiac evaluation and echocardiographic analysis for ventricular function, valvular status, possibility of thrombus and regional wall motion abnormalities. If patient was on ACE (angiotensin-converting enzyme) inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers, they were omitted on the day of surgery to prevent sudden hypotension on induction. Beta-blockers and digoxin were continued. None of the patients were on anticoagulants or pacers. Sedative premedicants in the ward were avoided to prevent myocardial depression or airway obstruction. All preparations for difficult airway management were done, including fibreoptic bronchoscope, videolaryngoscope, cricothyroidotomy and tracheostomy. Adequate nasal preparations were carried out and the more patent nostril was selected for nasotracheal intubation, after preoxygenation. In view of the associated cardiac condition and major cancer surgery, all three patients underwent placement of invasive monitoring lines (arterial and central venous catheters) pre-induction under local anaesthesia, with ultrasound guidance. Apart from standard ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) monitors (ECG, NIBP, SpO₂, ETCO₂, Temperature), neuromuscular monitoring, bispectral index, multigas-monitor, arterial blood pressure, central venous pressure, cuff pressures and urine output were monitored in all patients. Postoperatively, the patients were reversed, but not extubated, in anticipation of airway edema following intra-oral surgery. Flexomettalic tube was changed to portex (PVC) cuffed endotracheal tube (ETT) with the help of a tube exchanger device before reversal. All patients were monitored in a dedicated onco-surgical intensive care unit with the nasotracheal tube in-situ. All of them received humidified oxygen through a T-piece. These patients were extubated after 24 hours over an airway exchange catheter, with supplemental esmolol boluses in-order to prevent sympathetic stimulation. Postoperative analgesia was multimodal, with intravenous paracetamol, NSAID’s (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents) and Fentanyl infusion (30–40 mcg/hour) for the first 24 hours. One patient developed arrhythmia post-extubation, which was amenable to medical management. There were no other complications in any patient.
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Case 1

A 60 year old, 62 Kg, hypertensive, male patient with DCM and growth on posterior aspect of the tongue was posted for TORS. He was a reformed smoker and alcoholic. There was no history of atrial fibrillation or endocarditis. On chest X-ray, there was cardiomegaly and ECG (electrocardiogram) showed occasional missed beats. Echocardiography showed global hypokinesia, reduced LV (left ventricular) systolic function with septal wall motion abnormality, mildly dilated LA (left atrium) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) = 35%. He was on oral tablets (Tab.) Torsemide 10 mg BD (twice daily), Tab. Carvedilol 12.5 mg OD (once daily), Tab. Telmisartan 40 mg BD and Tab. Rosuvastatin 10 mg OD. After applying all monitors (including invasive lines), anesthesia was induced with intravenous (I.V) Midazolam (1mg), Fentanyl (100 mcg), Morphine (6 mg) and Etomidate (8 mg). C-MAC™ videolaryngoscope was used for guiding the nasotracheal tube into the vocal cords. LV Esmolol bolus (60 mg) followed by infusion was given to suppress the sympathetic response during the insertion of mouth gag and robotic instruments. Anesthesia was maintained with O2+Air+Desflurane. Patient maintained his vital parameters (Pulse, blood pressure, Airway pressure, CVP) within normal limits throughout. Esmolol infusion was continued till the removal of mouth-gag and then tapered off. After the surgery, the patient was reversed, but not extubated. Flexomettalic tube was changed to cuffed PVC (polyvinyl chloride) tube and he was transferred to the ICU (intensive care unit) for overnight retention of tube and continued monitoring. He was extubated the next day over a tube exchanger device and then shifted to the ward after 48 hours. All his preoperative cardiac medicines were continued and the patient was asked to regularly follow-up with the cardiologist and the onco-surgeon.

Case 2

A 59 year old, 66 Kg male patient diagnosed to have carcinoma of left base of tongue with DCM was posted for TORS. He was a chronic smoker, with history of palpitations and hypertension. He had been hospitalized 6 months back for cardiac failure and was currently on the following medications: Tab Telmisartan 40 mg BD, Tab Eplerenone (aldosterone receptor antagonists) 25 mg OD and Tab Carvedilol (selective beta blocker) 6.25 mg OD. All blood investigations were within normal limits. Chest X-ray showed cardiomegaly and ECG showed occasional ectopics. Echocardiography showed severe global hypokinesia, paradoxical septal wall movement abnormality, reduced systolic function, grade 2 diastolic dysfunction, dilated LV cavity and LVEF = 34%. Preoperative vitals were: Pulse 80/min, B.P 120/82 mmHg and SpO2 98%. Invasive monitoring was instituted along with routine monitors. After preoxygenation, I.V induction with midazolam 2 mg, fentanyl 120 mcg, Morphine 6mg and Etomidate 10mg was done. For attenuation of intubation response, I.V Esmolol bolus was given at 1 mg/Kg. A flexomettalic tube was inserted nasotracheally under videolaryngoscopic guidance. Muscle relaxant given after confirming tube position and continuous vecuronium infusion started. Patient maintained his vital parameters (Pulse, blood pressure, Airway pressure, CVP) within normal limits throughout. Esmolol infusion was maintained between 6-9 cms of H2O and fluid restriction. As in the previous cases, invasive monitoring, overnight retention of tube in ICU and extubation after 24 hours over a tube exchanger catheter was practiced in this patient also. Post-extubation, he developed rhythm disturbances in the form of atrial fibrillation, which was hemodynamically stable. Pharmacological cardioversion was done with I.V Amiodarone (Bolus dose 150 mg diluted in 100 ml of 5% dextrose over 10 minutes followed by infusion of 1 mg/min over the remaining 18 hours). The patient responded well and converted to sinus rhythm. Akathisia was observed in the ICU for 72 hours with expert consultation from a cardiologist. Patient remained hemodynamically stable throughout and was later shifted out on oral cardiac medications.

Discussion

Dilated cardiomyopathy is a serious cardiac disorder causing progressive life-threatening cardiac failure [2]. All three patients were already diagnosed cases of DCM with concurrent deep-seated intracranial aneurysm. The patient responded well. Dilated cardiomyopathy is a serious cardiac disorder causing progressive life-threatening cardiac failure [2]. All three patients were already diagnosed cases of DCM with concurrent deep-seated intracranial aneurysm. The patient responded well. Dilated cardiomyopathy is a serious cardiac disorder causing progressive life-threatening cardiac failure [2]. All three patients were already diagnosed cases of DCM with concurrent deep-seated intracranial aneurysm. The patient responded well.
Etotmidate was used for intravenous induction due to its cardiovascular stability. Muscle relaxant infusion under neuromuscular monitoring was employed to maintain adequate surgical relaxation and prevent even slight patient movement during robotic surgery. Intra-operative hemodynamic stability was ensured with either esmolol bolus or NTG infusion. The main concern was the rise in sympathetic stimulation following application of mouth gag to open the mouth for accommodating robotic instruments [5]. Laryngeal reflexes can be stimulated by the handling of the areas in-and-around the deep-seated cancerous growth. Tachycardia and hypertension can be deleterious in DCM patients and arrhythmias can be stimulated during tumor manipulation, both leading to precipitation of cardiac failure. Invasive monitoring was instituted pre-induction, for beat-to-beat monitoring of the blood pressure, pulse contour analysis and central venous pressure. Complete preparation of the operating room (O.R.) with defibrillator, all cardiac medications, resuscitative equipment and pacemaker need to be done preoperatively to effectively manage any untoward events. Difficult airway cart also need to be kept ready in such patients for effective airway management as per ASA difficult airway guidelines. Postoperatively, these patients were not extubated, so as to give adequate time for airway edema to settle [6]. Extubation was done over a tube exchanger device in view of pre-existing difficult airway. Only one patient developed postoperative complication, in the form of atrial fibrillation post-extubation. This could have been because of sympathetic stimulation during the extubation process, transient airway obstruction following tube removal or pre-existing compromised cardiac status (echocardiographic findings of severely reduced systolic function, low ejection fraction, global hypokinesia). Nevertheless, he returned to sinus rhythm following amiodarone and other supportive therapy. The rest of the perioperative course was uneventful in all the patients. They were discharged later with advice for regular follow-up with the cardiologist, onco-surgeon and the oncologist.

Conclusions

Robotic surgery is a new feather in the revolutionary cap of minimally-invasive surgery. Trans-oral robotic surgery is a promising technique for head and neck cancers in difficult to reach areas. The prime concern is sympathetic stimulation following insertion of mouth gag and robotic arms or instruments during TORS. Other considerations include judicious fluid therapy, cautious positioning and postoperative tube retention, apart from meticulous difficult airway management. A patient with compromised cardiac status can pose a huge challenge for anesthetic management of such complex surgeries. Dilated cardiomyopathy can cause cardiac failure or intractable arrhythmias, especially under the stress of anesthesia and cancer surgery. Detailed preoperative evaluation and adequate pre-anesthetic preparation, along with institution of invasive monitoring is indispensable for a successful outcome. Anesthetic management must be tailor-made according to individual patient requirements. Intensive post-operative monitoring must be done to observe for development of rhythm disturbances or cardiac failure or thrombo-embolism. Anesthesiologists must be prepared to face the challenges posed by improvements in surgical technology in such high risk cardiac patients. TORS can be safely done in well controlled DCM patients, provided all the above precautions are strictly adhered-to.
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