Extra-axial Desmoplastic Medulloblastoma in Adult Cerebellopontine Angle: Case Report and Noninvasive Molecular Subgrouping Utilizing Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Based Radiomics Nomogram

Abstract
Cerebellopontine angle (CPA) is an atypical site for adult medulloblastoma (MB) with only 12 cases reported in pure extra-axial location. None was predicted on preoperative imaging while the most common misdiagnosis was petrous meningioma. We add the 13th case to this list, attempting to reiterate the radiological features for preoperative prediction of this rare pathology on conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Molecular subtyping also is not yet reported for adult extra-axial CPA MB. We propose the routine use of MRI-based nomograms, in atypical CPA extra-axial masses, for noninvasive prediction of molecular subgroup, especially in resource-limited setups that lack the facility of genetic profiling.
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Introduction
Adult medulloblastomas (MBs) are relatively rare and constitute 0.4%–1.0% of adult primary brain tumors. [1] Cerebellopontine angle (CPA) is an atypical site for MB with 42 cases reported in both pediatric and adult population, intra- and extraaxial locations combined.[2] Extraaxially, the tumors have been localized in two areas: the tentorial region and the CPA.[3] In adults, only 12 cases were found in extra-axial CPA location.[2,4‑13] Here, we report the 13th case of an extra-axial lesion in the CPA of a 27-year-old male, which was presumed to be meningioma on preoperative imaging but turned out to be desmoplastic MB.

As molecular subtyping is not available in resource-limited setups in low income countries, we utilized “for the first time” the MRI based nomogram as an alternative to expensive genetic profiling and propose its use in selective cases.

Case Report
A 27-year-old male patient presented with headache, vomiting, left-sided ataxia, and left-sided mild hearing loss of 1-month duration. The vision was normal. Fundus examination showed papilledema. He had left-sided House-Brackman Grade 1 facial paresis, left-sided mild sensorineural hearing loss, and left cerebellar signs on physical examination.

Imaging
A computed tomography scan of the brain showed a left CPA mixed-density nonenhancing lesion (5.4 cm × 2.8 cm) with broad-based tentorial attachment and displacement of the fourth ventricle but not causing obstructive hydrocephalus. No evidence of calcification was noted. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed T1 hypo/T2 heterointense, extra-axial lesion in left CPA, which had a wide tentorial attachment and showed moderate heterogeneous enhancement with IV gadolinium. There was no meatal extension [Figure 1a-c]. Adjacent white matter edema in the left cerebellar hemisphere was noted [Figure 1d].

Treatment
He underwent a left retromastoid craniectomy. A moderately vascular, soft suckable, grayish pink lesion was found densely adherent to petro-tentorial
junction laterally and to the inferior surface of left hemi
tentorium till hiatus medially. This attachment was heavily
coagulated [Figure 2a]. The tumor-brainstem interface
was well defined, but the tumor-cerebellar interface
was ill-defined. All cranial nerves from 4 to 11 were
preserved [Figure 2b]. Gross total excision achieved in a
piecemeal fashion [Figure 2c]. The central necrotic part
corresponded to T2 hyperintensity on imaging.

Histopathology showed a nodular arrangement of
round-to-oval cells having hyperchromatic nuclei and
scanty cytoplasms, with brisk mitotic activity suggestive
of Desmoplastic MB–WHO Grade IV [Figure 3a].

Immunohistochemical staining showed patchy cytoplasmic
staining of beta-catenin, wild type p53, and synaptophysin
positive with background GFAP activity [Figure 3b-d].
Molecular subtyping is currently not available in our
country.

Table 1: Summary of Previously Reported Extra-axial Medulloblastoma in Adult Cerebellopontine Angle

| Author and years | Age/sex | Contrast uptake on CT/MRI | Tent attachment/ IAC extension | Histopathology | Treatment | Follow-up/recurrence/mets |
|------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Becker et al., 1995<sup>[4]</sup> | 32 female | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Not mentioned | - | - |
| Akay et al., 2003<sup>[5]</sup> | 21 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | PE, CT, RT | 18 months |
| Gil Salú et al., 2004<sup>[7]</sup> | 40 male | Intense/homogeneous | Wide/no | Desmoplastic | TE, CT, RT | Leptomeningeal infiltration/died at 16 months |
| Fallah et al., 2009<sup>[8]</sup> | 47 male | MRI not done. Homogeneous on CT | Wide/no | Classic | TE, RT | - |
| Furtado et al., 2009<sup>[9]</sup> | 32 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | TE, CT, RT | - |
| Singh et al., 2011<sup>[10]</sup> | 21 male | Nonenhancing on CT/MRI not mentioned | Wide/no | Classic | TE only | 15 months+/+spinal mets (IM cervical, IDEM sacral) |
| Spina et al., 2013<sup>[11]</sup> | 22 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | TE, RT | -/no recurrence/M0 |
| Spina et al., 2013<sup>[11]</sup> | 26 female | Intense/homogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | TE, RT | -/no recurrence/M0 |
| Bahrami et al., 2014<sup>[12]</sup> | 23 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Desmoplastic | TE, RT | 12 months |
| Goudihalli et al., 2018<sup>[13]</sup> | 50 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | No/yes | Classic | PE | Vegetative/died |
| Ratha et al., 2019<sup>[13]</sup> | 42 female | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | TE, RT | 15 months |
| Singh and Kumar 2020<sup>[8]</sup> | 26 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Classic | NTE, CT, RT | -/−/infracranial mets at presentation |
| Present case, 2020 | 26 male | Moderate/heterogeneous | Wide/no | Desmoplastic | GTE, CT, RT | 9 months |

PE - Partial excision; CT - Chemotherapy; RT - Radiation therapy; TE - Total excision; GTE - Gross total excision; NTE - Near-total excision; CT - Computed tomography; MRI - Magnetic resonance imaging; IAC - Internal auditory canal; IDEM - Intradural extramedullary
Postoperatively, his headache and ataxia improved but his facial paresis transiently worsened to Grade 2 which improved to Grade 1 over 2 weeks. His craniospinal MRI with contrast was done after 4 weeks of surgery. Cerebrospinal fluid analysis from lumbar tap turned out to be negative for malignant cells. He was labelled as “standard risk” based on the size of residual $<1.5\, \text{cm}^2$, no metastasis, nonlarge cell histology, and age $>3$ years. The patient underwent craniospinal irradiation as well as chemotherapy with vincristine, cisplatin, and cyclophosphamide. Postadjuvant therapy, craniospinal imaging was clear for any metastasis or recurrence at 9 months [Figure 3e and f].

**Discussion**

Adult MB is known to be lateral hemispheric. CPA is a rarely reported location, challenging to diagnose both clinically and radiologically. There have been only 12 reported cases of extra-axial MB in the adult literature [Table 1].

A review of all reported cases shows that CPA MB is twice more common in males in their 2nd–3rd decade, with the classic variety seen in more than half of the cases. Ten out of 12 reports so far published considered meningioma as a provisional diagnosis based on broad tentorial attachment, despite heterogeneous enhancement. Meatal extension which is considered pathognomonic for vestibular schwannoma was only seen in one case.

Our preoperative diagnosis was based on a broad base extra-axial lesion, without extension into the internal auditory meatus favoring meningioma as well as disapproving schwannoma. Although the lack of dural tail and heterogeneous enhancement was not classical of...
a meningoima, the short clinical course and white matter edema in the adjacent cerebellar lobe favored atypical meningoima preoperatively. Classical radiological features of adult MB are well delineated in the literature but not for atypical locations. All 12 cases reported so far were diagnosed after histopathology leaving MRI features to be focused more than ever before.

Molecular subtyping has not yet been reported for adult CPA MBs. We lack the facility in our setup but tried to speculate on the basis of location, histology, and immunohistochemistry (IHC) retrospectively. Moreover, in the era of molecular genetics, radiogenomics have provided sufficient data to define certain molecular subtypes noninvasively, although it is quite clear that no single imaging feature is pathognomonic of any particular subgroup, certain imaging characteristics are much more prevalent in one subgroup compared to others and may even be highly specific for an individual molecular subgroup.[14]

Here, we intend to emphasize the use of an integrated approach utilizing location, histology, IHC, and radiomics to predict molecular subgroup in adult cases of MB, in developing countries that lack the facility of genetic subtyping. A brief review of the correlation of molecular subgroup to location, histology, IHC, and radiomics is presented individually, which can help predict the molecular subgroup with a fair degree of accuracy when combined.

**Location-molecular subtype correlation**

Adult MBs unlike pediatric are reported to be of two molecular subtypes, instead of four, with Group 3 occurring as an exception and Group 4 restricted to midline.[15,16] Lateral location is reserved for WNT and SHH tumors, with the former mostly seen in CPA and the latter in the cerebellar hemisphere. Furthermore, superior location abutting and/or reaching the tentorium is a specific imaging feature of SHH-subgroup MB seen in 48% of patients as opposed to 6% in other groups.[14]

**Histology-molecular subgroup correlation**

There is a consensus among researchers that all desmoplastic histology in adults belongs to the SHH subgroup, but the opposite is not true: Not all SHH tumors in adults are of desmoplastic histology.[15,17,18] Moreover, another study reports that 9% of adult SHH-MBs are located in CP/CPA.[19]

**Immunohistochemistry-molecular subgroup correlation**

Beta-catenin localization to cytoplasm instead of nucleus excludes WNT.[18] In addition, some markers such as GAP 1 and YAP1 are considered pathognomonic for SHH and WNT type, respectively.[20]

**Radiomics-molecular subgroup correlation**

Nomograms based on multiparametric MRI have suggested 95% predictive accuracy for SHH subgroup,[21] best among all other subgroups. We applied the SHH subgroup-specific nomogram to our case [Figure 4] and the probability of the tumor to be SHH type turned out to be 98%. As only two molecular subgroups can be expected in adult CPA, we propose to suggest WNT type in cases where SHH type seems less probable on the nomogram, combined with nuclear reactivity for beta-catenin.[20]

In our case, CPA location was the only factor favoring WNT type, while tentorial attachment, desmoplastic histology, and lack of nuclear reactivity of beta-catenin were favoring SHH type. Hence, we used SHH specific nomogram in retrospect to predict the molecular subgroup with fair degree of accuracy. Desmoplastic histology, p53 wild type and SHH subgroup all confer good to intermediate prognosis in this case.

**Conclusion**

The use of an integrated approach, based on location, appearance, histology, and demographics not only predicts molecular subgroup but also help defining prognosis. MRI-based nomograms in selected cases can substitute expensive genetic profiling in resource-limited setups.
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