Frequency of intraepithelial lesions in the follow-up of women with unsatisfactory cervicovaginal cytology
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Abstract

Background: In Mexico, cervical carcinoma is the second leading cause of cancer death in women, which can be prevented if it is detected promptly with cervicovaginal cytology, however, this can be unsatisfactory even with neoplasia, so it is important to follow up to avoid underdiagnosing and delay treatment. In this hospital, this has not been previously studied.

Objective: The objective of the study was to know the prevalence of lesions identified by cytology, colposcopy, biopsy, or hysterectomy, within 3 years after an unsatisfactory cytology.

Materials and methods: Was reviewed the information about 156 cases of unsatisfactory cytologies during 2013 and 2014 that were followed up within next 3 years, at the General Hospital of Mexico “Dr. Eduardo Liceaga”.

Results: A total of 156 cases with follow-up were categorized the 1st year in 119 (76.3%) negative, 27 (17.4%) positive, 1 (0.6%) atypical squamous cells cannot exclude a high-grade lesion, and 9 (5.8%) inadequate; the 2nd year: 31 (79.5%) negative, 6 (15.5%) positive, and 2 (5.1%) unsatisfactory; the 3rd year: 12 (92.3%) negative and 1 (7.7%) carcinoma. According to the method by which the definitive diagnosis was made at follow-up, negative results were obtained by cytology 85 (54.5%), colposcopy with cytology 6 (3.8%), colposcopy with biopsy 20 (12.8%), and hysterectomy 8 (5.1%); positive results were obtained by cytology 7 (4.4%), colposcopy with cytology 8 (5.0%), electrosurgical loop 4 (2.4%), colposcopy with biopsy 8 (5.0%), and hysterectomy 1 (0.6%).

Conclusions: Unsatisfactory cervicovaginal cytology is associated with the presence of preneoplastic and neoplastic lesions during their follow-up 3 years after this result.
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Introduction

Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequent cancer in women worldwide; over 500,000 new cases are identified each year, and it is the fourth cause of cancer-related death among women in developing countries. Each year in the Americas, an estimated 83,200 women are newly diagnosed and 35,680 women die from this disease. In Mexico, since 2006, it is the second cause of death from cancer. An occurrence of 13,960 cases in women is estimated annually, with an incidence of 23.3 cases per 100,000 women. Cervical cancer can be prevented and treated by timely detection with a cervical smear, which is the screening test used worldwide.

According to the current Bethesda System 2014 to report the cervical cytology, an unsatisfactory or inadequate sample will be one that does not meet the required number of squamous cells, or in which more than 75% of squamous cells are not clearly visualized.
as long as no abnormal cells are identified, it is important since an inadequate smear is the most common cause of false negatives, in longitudinal studies, it was observed that a significant number of these women presented lesions or carcinomas later.

Studies in the United Kingdom, Norway, and South Africa concluded that after 2-5 years of follow-up, the risk of detecting high-grade lesions in women with an unsatisfactory Pap test was 1.6-4.0 times greater than in women with normal cytology.

In Colombia and Brazil, inadequate cytology was identified as an important factor that may explain the low impact of the health program and may contribute to overlook the diagnosis of precursor lesions, thereby modifying cervical cancer morbidity and mortality rates.

In our country, the importance of unsatisfactory cytologies at the public health level has been mentioned by González-Losa et al. who refer that one of the main problems in the effectiveness of the National Cervical Cancer Screening Program is the sampling of inadequate cytologies, an evaluation of the same, reports in 2010 an estimated 11-50% of cytological samples with inadequate cytologies, an evaluation of the same, reports in 2010 an estimated 11-50% of cytological samples with this problem, as a consequence of the lack of quality in the taking of samples for evaluation.

In the General Hospital of Mexico “Dr. Eduardo Liceaga,” on average, approximately 10,000 cervicovaginal cytologies are performed annually, in 2015, there were 271 inadequate cytologies, in 2016, 201 were identified, and in 2017, 156 unsatisfactory cytologies were found, corresponding to 2.3%, 1.8%, and 1.6%, respectively. According to the Institute of Epidemiological Diagnosis and Reference in Mexico, there is a prevalence of 14.77% inadequate samples, of which < 5% are expected to present lesions at follow-up.

Therefore, because there are no precise reports of the frequency with which the precursor lesions and cervical carcinoma present in patients who have previously had inadequate samples nor on the follow-up given to them, it was considered pertinent to begin with the study of this situation, identifying the diagnosis issued by a colposcopist and by the cytology department, with specification in the report of sample quality and presence or absence of injury. Subsequently, those patients who were followed up in the following 3 years were identified in the pathology file database by means of a new cytological study, colposcopy, biopsy, electrosurgical loop, and hysterectomy, and the diagnoses issued were collected. Cases in which the patients did not have a cytological report and had no follow-up were eliminated. A follow-up of 3 years (2014, 2015, and 2016) was sought because the 3 years considered by NOM 014-SSA2-1994 are the years, in which the cytological control of the patients is carried out, that is, the year in which the inadequate sample is obtained and the two subsequent years of control are expected to be negative.

Results

In the Department of Cytopathology of the General Hospital of Mexico “Dr. Eduardo Liceaga,” during the period from 2013 to 2014, a total of 8212 cervicovaginal cytologies were received, of which 651 (7.9%) were unsatisfactory and of these only 156 were followed (23.9%) (Fig. 1). The age range was a minimum of 19 years and a maximum of 90 years, the average age of women with inadequate cytology was 46.6 years (Fig. 2).

The 3-year follow-up of these patients was reviewed, 113 (72.4%) had cytology repeated and were categorized the 1st year and ordered by frequency in 119 (76.3%) negative, 27 (17.4%) positive, 1 (0.6%) atypical squamous cells cannot exclude a high-grade lesion,
Mexico “Dr. Eduardo Liceaga,” in this study of 8212 cytologies performed during the period from 2013 to 2014, the unsatisfactory represented 7.9%, a percentage that is not less low than that reported in other series with a range of 0.8-11.7%6,10,11,17.

The average age of 49.6 years is higher than in other studies 37.7-39.1 years 6,17 since 96% of our population is older than 40 years, although women younger than 19 years were identified, it should be considered that the women’s cancer prevention and control program are aimed at women aged 25-64 years16,18.

A total of 156 (23.9%) were subsequently monitored, and according to guidelines19,20,21, most 72.4% had their cytology repeated after the unsatisfactory cytological result, only 11 cases (10.9%) were again inadequate. It is unknown why the other women in the total population were not followed.

Although the majority were negative at the 1st year of follow-up, up to 17.4% did present subsequent

Table 1. Follow-up of unsatisfactory cytology at 1, 2, and 3 years according to result

| Result       | 1st year | 2nd year | 3rd year |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Negative     | 73.7 (115) | 76.9 (30) | 92.3 (12) |
| ≤ Leibig a   | 9 (14)    | 10.3 (4)  | 0 (0)    |
| > Loiag b    | 9 (14)    | 5.1 (2)   | 7.7 (1)  |
| Unsatisfactory | 8.3 (13) | 7.7 (3)   | 0 (0)    |
| Total        | 100 (156) | 100 (39)  | 100 (13) |

a. They include all cases with the result of atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US) and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL)

b. They include all cases of atypical squamous cells cannot exclude a high-grade lesion (ASC-H), high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL), carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma.

Discussion

Inadequate cervicovaginal cytologies are presented in less than 2% annually in the General Hospital of Mexico “Dr. Eduardo Liceaga,” in this study of 8212 cytologies performed during the period from 2013 to 2014, the unsatisfactory represented 7.9%, a percentage that is not less low than that reported in other series with a range of 0.8-11.7%6,10,11,17.

The average age of 49.6 years is higher than in other studies 37.7-39.1 years 6,17 since 96% of our population is older than 40 years, although women younger than 19 years were identified, it should be considered that the women’s cancer prevention and control program are aimed at women aged 25-64 years16,18. A total of 156 (23.9%) were subsequently monitored, and according to guidelines19,20,21, most 72.4% had their cytology repeated after the unsatisfactory cytological result, only 11 cases (10.9%) were again inadequate. It is unknown why the other women in the total population were not followed.

Although the majority were negative at the 1st year of follow-up, up to 17.4% did present subsequent
abnormality, a considerably high percentage compared to that reported in the literature from 1.1 to 9.4%. In the following 2 years of follow-up, 15.5% and 7.7%, respectively, of diagnoses with lesions were observed, of which 16.7% presented squamous abnormalities. The predominant lesions in the 1st year were low grade (9.0%), high grade (4.5%), and carcinoma (2.6%); in the 2nd year were observed mainly lesions of low grade in 10.3%, those of high grade, and the carcinoma presented both in 2.6%; for the 3rd year, only one case with carcinoma was identified, percentages higher than those reported by Adam et al.11 This demonstrates that it is likely to observe cervical lesions after unsatisfactory cytologies, however, in the absence of current statistics of the prevalence of intraepithelial lesions after inadequate cervical-vaginal cytologies in our country, it is difficult to know whether our results are statistically significant.

Conclusions

It is concluded that inadequate specimens contribute to the increase of undiagnosed precursor and neoplastic lesions since they cannot be ruled out, but are even associated with them, therefore, it is necessary to repeat the sampling and follow-up in these cases to make an early diagnosis, provide timely treatment, and favor the survival of hospital patients, which affects morbidity and mortality rates due to cervical cancer. Likewise, the clinical significance and prognosis of an unsatisfactory cytology is not fully known and studies are required to provide more information about it. Furthermore, it is suggested to emphasize the need to train the personnel responsible for improve cytological sampling, and in their interpretation, to have a high degree of intra- and inter-observer concordance.
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