Comparison of Efficacy of the Disease-Specific LOX1- and Constitutive Cytomegalovirus-Promoters in Expressing Interleukin 10 through Adeno-Associated Virus 2/8 Delivery in Atherosclerotic Mice
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Abstract

The development of gene therapy vectors for treating diseases of the cardiovascular system continues at a steady pace. Moreover, in the field of gene therapy the utility of "disease-specific promoters" has strong appeal. Many therapeutic genes, including transforming growth factor beta 1 or interleukin 10, are associated to adverse effects. The use of a disease-specific promoter might minimize toxicity. The lectin-like oxidized low density lipoprotein receptor 1 is a marker of cardiovascular disease and a potential therapeutic target. The lectin-like oxidized low density lipoprotein receptor 1 is known to be up-regulated early during disease onset in a number of cell types at the sites where the disease will be clinically evident. In this study an adeno-associated virus-2 DNA vector (AAV2) using the AAV8 capsid, and containing the full length The lectin-like oxidized low density lipoprotein receptor 1 promoter, was generated and assayed for its ability to express human interleukin 10 in low density lipoprotein receptor knockout mice on high cholesterol diet. The cytomegalovirus early promoter was used for comparison in a similarly structured vector. The two promoters were found to have equal efficacy in reducing atherogenesis as measured by aortic systolic blood velocity, aortic cross sectional area, and aortic wall thickness. This is the first head-to-head comparison of a constitutive with a disease-specific promoter in a therapeutic context. These data strongly suggest that the use of a disease-specific promoter is appropriate for therapeutic gene delivery.

Introduction

Atherosclerosis can be described as an inflammation of arteries [1,2]. Cells of the monocyte/macrophage/foam cell lineage are numerically prominent during plaque development, and may represent the cell type with the highest etiologic basis for atherogenesis [3,4]. Anti-inflammatory cytokines such as transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFβ1) or interleukin 10 (IL10) might be used for therapeutic effect, but these agents have significant adverse reactions [5,6]. For example the phenotype of TGFβ1 is very pleiomorphic and is highly associated with the induction of fibrosis [7–10]. In comparison, IL10 has fewer complications yet is still associated with a number of adverse reactions such as increased infections, anemia, and headache [11–20]. We consider that gene therapy is the most advantageous way to deliver IL10 because of its short half-life. Adeno-associated virus (AAV) has been known to be an effective vector for gene therapy since its first use in 1984 [21–23], and continues to increase in popularity [24–26]. Three different reports have described successful AAV-delivered IL10 resulting in the inhibition of atherogenesis in mouse models [27–29]. Moreover, the ability of AAV to deliver therapeutic genes for up to 10 years in patients [30] should ultimately be advantageous in making gene therapy cost effective as well as improving the quality of life of patients.

Due to the adverse effects of using IL10 therapeutically, the use of a disease-specific promoter to control its expression in the sites of arteriosclerosis would likely be beneficial. The use of such disease-specific promoters has been modestly studied for the expression of marker genes [31,32]. LOX1 encodes for a scavenger receptor which binds oxidized low density lipoprotein (Ox-LDL) and is expressed by activated endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and macrophages, the major cell types believed involved in atherosclerosis. Thus it is highly expressed within the atherosclerotic plaque [33–37]. LOX-1 is also considered one of...
the earliest markers of activated endothelium, an event which precedes the atherosclerosis process itself [33]. LOX1 appears to be transcriptionally up-regulated during atherogenesis and many agents, such as Ox-LDL and AngII, induce this up-regulation. Therefore, LOX1 transcriptional promoter (LOX1pr) may be a useful disease-specific promoter to express therapeutic genes to counteract atherogenesis. Here we compare AAV2/8.LOX1pr-hIL10, AAV2/8.CMV-hIL10, or AAV2/8.SV40-pr-Neo virus (2 × 10^9 eg/mouse in 200 µL) each at a titer of 1x 10^9 eg/mL via tail vein injections of 200 µL virus/mouse, followed by two booster injections at an interval of approximately 5 days. High cholesterol diet (HCD), consisting of 4% cholesterol and 10% Coco butter (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA), was provided on the day of first injection and continuously maintained for twenty weeks. HCD was used to ensure the development of atherosclerosis. Negative control mice were fed normally. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Usage Committee of the Central Arkansas Veterans Health Care System at Little Rock. All animals were weighed weekly starting at 16 weeks post-injection.

### Materials and Methods

#### Generation of Recombinant AAV Virus

We used the full length 2.4 kb LOX1 promoter previously characterized [33–40]. To generate the AAV/LOX1pr-IL10, the full length Lox1 promoter (nt –2402 to +9) was amplified from human HEK-293 cell by PCR using the primers: upstream 5’-ATATGCGAATTTCTTTATTTGGGGGAAG-3’ and downstream 5’-ATACGGGACTAAAAATATGTCAGCTTCTG-3’. NgI and MluI sites (underlined) were included in the primers to allow easy ligation upstream of the hIL10 gene within the AAV2 plasmid dl3–97. Construction and generation of AAV/Neo and AAV/CMVpr-hIL10 recombinant virus have been described previously [27,41]. We used the cytomegalovirus immediate early constitutive promoter (CMVpr) for comparison against the LOX1pr, which is well studied in gene therapy [42,43]. The virus stocks were generated and titrated by dot blot hybridization as described previously [27,41]. The titers of different virus preparations were brought to 1 × 10^9 encapsidated genomes per mL (eg/mL).

#### Animal Treatments

The low density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) knockout mouse strain (B6; 129S7-Ldlr<sup>–/–</sup>) was purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Two groups of male mice (n = 8–10) weighing 16–20 grams were injected with AAV2/8.LOX1pr-hIL10, AAV2/8.CMV-hIL10, or AAV2/8.SV40-pr-Neo virus (2 × 10^9 eg/mouse in 200 µL), each at a titer of 1x 10^9 eg/mL via tail vein injections of 200 µL virus/mouse, followed by two booster injections at an interval of approximately 5 days. High cholesterol diet (HCD), consisting of 4% cholesterol and 10% Coco butter (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA), was provided on the day of first injection and continuously maintained for twenty weeks. HCD was used to ensure the development of atherosclerosis. Negative control mice were fed normally. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Usage Committee of the Central Arkansas Veterans Health Care System at Little Rock. All animals were weighed weekly starting at 16 weeks post-injection.

#### High Resolution Ultrasound Imaging

The Vevo 770 High-Resolution Imaging system (Visualsonics, Toronto, Canada) was used for ultrasound imaging, using an RMV 707B transducer, having a center frequency of 30 MHz. Animals were prepared as described earlier [41]. Briefly, eight to ten mice from each group were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane (Isothesia, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, USA), with supplemental oxygen and laid supine on a thermostatically heated platform. Legs were taped to ECG electrodes for cardiac function monitoring. A lever was used to remove abdominal hair along with a chemical hair remover (Church & Dwight Co, Inc., NJ, USA) and pre-warmed US gel (Medline Industries, Inc., Mundelein, USA) was then spread over the skin as a coupling medium for the transducer. The thoracic/abdominal region of the aorta was visualized, below the aortic arches to the diaphragm. Image acquisition was started in the B-mode, where a long axis view was used to visualize the length of the aorta. The scan probe was then turned 90° for a short-axis view to obtain pictures of the cross-sectional area of the aorta. Individual frames and cine loops (300 frames) were also acquired at all levels of the aorta both in long axis and short axis view and recorded at distances of 1 mm throughout the length of the aorta. The flow velocity, orientation of the abdominal aorta on ultrasound, was accomplished by tilting the platform and the head of mouse down with the transducer probe towards the feet and tail of the mouse. The described positioning ensured that the Doppler angle was less than 60° for accurate measurements of blood flow velocity in the pulse-wave Doppler (PW) mode within the aorta. Measurements and data analysis was performed off-line on the longitudinal and transverse images using the customized version of Vevo770 Analytical Software. It took approximately 25–30 minutes to carry out the complete imaging for each mouse.

#### Measurement of Plasma Cholesterol

The Veterans Animal Laboratory (VAMU) determined the plasma levels of total cholesterol for the animal groups, measured by VetScan VS2 (ABAXIS, Union City, CA).

#### hIL10 Gene Expression Analysis Using Real-time Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (QRT-PCR)

Six mice from each group were sacrificed and total aortic RNA was extracted with TRIzol extraction (Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was generated.

---

**Figure 1. Structure of AAV vectors and experimental scheme.**

A. Basic structure of the three AAV vectors used in this study. B. Experimental scheme and measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094665.g001
using random hexamer primers and RNase H-reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). QRT-PCR was then performed using the Applied Biosystems 7900HT real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) as described [41]. We designed qRT-PCR specific primers for analyzing human IL10 using Probe-Finder (roche-applied-science.com) web-based software from Roche Applied Science. The results were further analyzed using SDS 2.3 relative quantification (RQ) manager software. The comparative threshold cycles (Ct) values were normalized for the β-actin reference gene and then compared with a calibrator by the 2^−ΔΔCt method.

Statistics

IL-10, body weight, cholesterol and the hemodynamic parameters (aortic systolic blood velocity, aortic cross sectional area, and aortic wall thickness) were analyzed with statistics software SPSS 16.0 by nonparametric ANOVA test. If differences were detected between means, Newman-Keuls test was used for multiple comparisons. Difference were considered as significant if P < 0.05.

Results

AAV2/8 Delivers hIL10

We consider IL10 as a “gold standard” anti-atherosclerotic gene as it has been used and shown to be efficacious by three different groups [27–29]. However, before moving on to clinical trials we considered that it may be appropriate to investigate the use of a disease-specific promoter to limit the possibility of adverse reactions to systemic IL-10 expression. To test this hypothesis, the efficacy AAV2/8 LOX1pr-IL10 was compared to AAV2/8 CMVpr-IL10 in LDLR KO mice on high cholesterol diet (HCD). An AAV/Neomycin resistance gene (Neo) vector (AAV2/8 SV40pr-Neo) was also used as a non-therapeutic, null control. Vector structures are shown in Figure 1A and the overall experimental scheme in Figure 1B. A more detailed view of the AAV LOX1pr-hIL10 vector plasmid is shown in Figure 2. 20 weeks after virus administration, 6 mice from each group were sacrificed, and mRNA isolated from the aortas used to measure the expression of IL10. Representative results for LOX1pr- and CMV-hIL10–treated mice are shown in Figure 3, and both vectors were observed to be highly expressed in aortas at a level of appropriately 0.1–0.2% that of β-actin. Results showed that the expression driven by by CMVpr and LOX1pr were not statistically different.

Both CMVpr-hIL10 and LOX1pr-hIL10 Transgene Delivery Inhibit Aortic Blood Flow Velocity with Equal Efficacy

Having demonstrated significant gene delivery/expression for both CMVpr-hIL10 and LOX1pr-hIL10, we then studied the effects of the transgene. Figure 4A shows that CMVpr-hIL10 and LOX1pr-hIL10-transgene-HCD-treated animals had similar total cholesterol levels, and were comparable to Neo-treated, HCD-fed animals, however hIL-10 treated animals trended slightly lower as has been seen previously. No significant changes of animal weight were observed in the different groups (Figure 4B).

We analyzed arterial blood flow velocity as an easy technique to calculate the extent of atherosclerosis in the mouse groups. The
systolic blood velocity in the thoracic/abdominal region of the aorta was quantified by high resolution ultrasound (HRUS) imaging system Vevo 770 with measurements taken on eight-to-ten animals. Figure 5 shows the systolic blood velocity from five separate measurements on each animal. Neo-HCD-treated animals displayed significantly higher aorta blood flow velocities \( p, 0.05 \), consistent with a constricted aortic lumen. Additionally, both CMVpr- and LOX1pr-hIL10-HCD-treated animals were statistically similar to each other \( p = 0.377 \). CMVpr- and LOX1pr- treatments displayed an anti-atherosclerotic effect and were statistically similar in their degree efficacy \( p = 0.377 \).

CMVpr-hIL10 and LOX1pr-hIL10 Gene Delivery Inhibits Aortic Structural Changes Associated with Atherosclerosis with Equal Efficacy

We then analyzed structural changes within the aortas of the various experimental groups by measuring the aortic cross sectional area. Multiple measurements were taken from eight-to-ten animals in each group. The measurements were made in the same thoracic/abdominal site (see Materials and Methods). Figure 6 shows the results of the thoracic/abdominal region of the aorta. The AAV/Neo-HCD-treated had the smallest cross sectional lumen area, being consistent with atherosclerosis \( p, 0.05 \). The two therapeutic treatments, both LOX1pr-hIL10-HCD and CMVpr-IL10, had significantly larger lumens than Neo-treated-HCD controls \( p<0.05 \). The wall thickness of the thoracic region of the aorta was a final measurement made on the aortas by HRUS. Figure 7 shows the results for the thoracic region of the aorta. The Neo-HCD-treated animals displayed the thickest aortic walls, while both CMVpr- and LOX1pr-hIL10 vector-HCD-treatments were efficacious \( p<0.05 \) and were statistically similar to each other \( p = 0.144 \). The normal diet group had the thinnest aortic walls.

Figure 4. Expression of hIL10 in animal groups. Relative expression of delivered hIL10 determined by quantitative PCR from the aortas of 6 mice per group. Data shown are mean ± SE. Note that high expression of hIL10 by LOX1pr-hIL10-HCD-treated animals took place only in the aortas. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094665.g004

Figure 5. Systolic blood velocity. High resolution ultrasound (HRUS) was used to measure blood flow velocities in the luminal center of the abdominal region of the aorta in 8–10 animals from each group. Note that both CMVpr-hIL10- and LOX1pr-hIL10-HCD-treated animals had significantly lower blood velocity than the AAV/Neo-HCD-treated animals, similar to ND controls \( p<0.05 \). However, CMVpr-hIL10-HCD- and LOX1pr-hIL10-HCD-treated animals were statistically similar to each other \( p = 0.377 \). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094665.g005
hIL10-HCD-treated animals were statistically similar to each other (p = 0.235). Here we demonstrate that an AAV2/8.LOX1pr-hIL10 vector was effective in inhibiting atherogenesis in LDLR KO mice on HCD, and that its efficacy was equal to that of the AAV2/8.CMV-IL10 vector. Additionally, there were no deaths or observed morbidity as a result of IL10 delivery by either AAV vector, even though IL10 is linked to higher infection rates [11]. We believe this is the first head-to-head comparison of a disease-specific promoter (LOX1) and a well used constitutive promoter (CMV) in an in vivo setting. The cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter is perhaps the most used promoter in gene delivery experiments. Thus this study shows that disease-specific promoters, when chosen appropriately, are still able to express therapeutic transgenes to efficacious levels. Experiments to identify the specificity of LOX1pr-IL10 expression within the atherosclerotic plaque were not successful, not unexpectedly, as IL10 is a secreted product. Yet there is enough documentation that the 2.4 kb LOX1 promoter fragment has a significant activity and responsiveness to disease-associated stimuli as does the wt LOX-1 promoter [38–40]. The basal level of LOX1 promoter activity is very low [39]. Although we did not determine what stimulus was responsible for LOX1pr induction in our animal model, it is likely that Ox-LDL plays a role, but other stimulations are also possible, such as that of angiotensin II [44]. One additional question is why LOX1pr-IL10 with HCD is not expressed very highly in the lung and liver which also have significant arterial mass (endothelial and smooth muscle cells)? Although we did not quantitate the fat content it was visually apparent that the livers were fatty and the lungs were not. In the liver, AAV8 readily transduces hepatocytes and likely these cells become a major reservoir for AAV8 infection, thereby competitively reducing the level of transduction of liver arteries [45]. It is also interesting that fatty livers appear associated with atherosclerosis at other sites but not in the liver itself [46]. Endothelial cells are not a major target for AAV8 [47].

While LOX-1 is the “gold standard” as an atherogenesis-active promoter, there are other genes that are similarly up-regulated [48–52]. Also, the milieu of cells present within the artery wall during atherogenesis changes over time [53,54], and this should be taken into account when choosing the disease-specific promoter for gene delivery. In normal arteries low monocyte populations exist within the arterial wall, while over time, with the activation of the endothelium and recruitment of additional monocytes, this number dramatically increases. Yet, our AAV vectors are systemically delivered before HCD-initiated disease. Thus, the transduction of the whole monocyte pool is likely, part of which will ultimately migrate into the arterial wall. Alternatively, Robbins et al (2013) suggest that resident intra-arterial monocyte populations are major contributors to monocyte/macrophage/foam cell burdens [55]. Yet, even then, AAV-delivered IL10 expression within the arterial walls should limit the proliferation of this lineage [56]. The most appropriate mouse/diet model to best study plaque formation is still a matter of debate. The LDLR KO mouse does not develop atherosclerosis without a HCD. Yet, the level of cholesterol (4%) and coco butter (10%) in HCD is very high, perhaps more than in some human Western diets.

We have previously described the therapeutic use of AAV/IL10 and its downstream effector, STAT3, to limit atherogenesis in the LDLR KO mouse. This series of studies [27,57,58], along with those of others [28,29], have shown that IL10, and the IL10 signal transduction pathway, is beneficial for limiting atherogenesis by gene therapy. Our first study, with IL10 being expressed from AAV2 p3 promoter, demonstrated that IL10 was effective in inhibiting plaque development in the LDLR KO mouse on HCD [27]. We have also demonstrated that STAT3, a downstream mediator of IL10 signaling, confers anti-atherogenesis effect [57]. In any case, this study represents a significant step forward in the debate on usefulness of disease-specific promoters for gene therapy.
of arteriosclerosis disease. This study adds to the weight of many previous studies and further shows the suitability and versatility of adeno-associated virus vectors for cardiovascular gene therapy.
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