Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Contribute to Phosphorous Uptake and Allocation Strategies of *Solidago canadensis* in a Phosphorous-Deficient Environment
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Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) can facilitate the uptake of limiting or inaccessible nutrients by plants. However, the importance of AMF for invasive plants under phosphorus (P) limitation is poorly well understood because of the presence of non-focal microorganisms, such as endophytes or rhizosphere bacteria. In this study, we investigated how an invasive clonal plant *Solidago canadensis* benefits from the AMF *Glomus intraradices* by using a completely sterile culturing system, which is composed of aseptic seedlings, a pure AMF strain, and a sterile growth environment. We found that the colonization rate, abundance, and spore production of AMF in the insoluble P treatment was more than twice as much as in the available P treatment. Plant above-ground growth was enhanced almost 50% by AMF in the insoluble P treatment. Importantly, AMF were able to facilitate P acquisition by the plant in insoluble P conditions, allowing plants to have lower investment into below-ground biomass and higher benefit/return for above-ground biomass. This study demonstrated the important contribution that AMF make to plants in phosphate-deficient environments eliminating interference from non-focal microorganisms. Our results also suggest that interaction with AMF could contribute to the invasiveness of clonal plant *S. canadensis* in a resource-deficient environment.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Phosphorus (P) is crucial for normal plant growth and development (Luo et al., 2019) and is often present in the soil in relatively large amounts but with low bioavailability due to the complexation with iron, calcium, and aluminum (Smith et al., 2011). Terrestrial plants have evolved two specialized strategies to increase the uptake of inorganic P from soils...
AMF contributes to phosphorus uptake.  

Our focus in this study is on the invasive clonal plant *S. canadensis* to achieve high performance in phosphorus-deficient soils. We predicted that associations with AMF would increase the ability of plants to absorb phosphorus and allow them to change their resource allocation strategy to favor increased above-ground biomass (Berta et al., 1993; Vance et al., 2003). Our study extends previous work in this field by using axenic conditions to avoid potential confounding factors caused by the presence of non-focal microorganisms, such as endophytes or rhizosphere bacteria, that are known to affect plant growth and nutrient uptake (Chen et al., 2006; Rout et al., 2013; Alkhani and Strauss, 2016; Dai et al., 2016b; McLeod et al., 2016; Priyadharsini and Muthukumar, 2017). We used pure cultures of the AMF *G. intraradices* with aseptic seedlings of *S. canadensis* grown under completely sterile culture conditions to determine:

1. Does *G. intraradices* form a mutualistic relationship with *S. canadensis*?
2. Does the relationship between *G. intraradices* and *S. canadensis* vary with nutrient availability?
3. Do P availability and colonization by *G. intraradices* affect the growth of *S. canadensis*?
4. Does *G. intraradices* increase phosphate uptake of *S. canadensis*?
5. What effect does *G. intraradices* have on the resource allocation strategy of *S. canadensis*?

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We began by asking whether the AMF *G. intraradices* forms a mutualistic relationship with *S. canadensis* seedlings by growing aseptic seedlings with a monoxenic culture of AMF. Aseptic seedlings (Supplementary Figure 1) were produced from fresh shoots of *S. canadensis* according to the method by Dai et al. (2016b). Briefly, fresh apical buds of *S. canadensis* were surface-sterilized with 75% ethanol for 1 min and 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 min, and then washed five times with sterilized distilled water. These apical buds were then put into sterilized Murashige and Skoog (MS) solid medium supplemented with 0.8 mg·L\(^{-1}\) 6-benzylaminopurine, 0.1 mg·L\(^{-1}\) 1-naphthaleneacetic acid, and 0.8 mg·L\(^{-1}\) silver nitrate. After clusters of axillary buds proliferated (~50 days of culturing), the aseptic shoots were cut and maintained in MS medium for about 5 days to obtain seedlings with roots for further treatments. The absence of contaminant microorganisms in the seedlings (Supplementary Figures 2, 4) was assessed using both
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 FIGURE 1 | Monoxenic culture system of *Glomus intraradices* (A–C), aseptic growth conditions (D) of *Solidago canadensis* grown in different phosphorus treatments with or without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) colonization, and mycorrhizal root colonization of *S. canadensis* in aseptic seedling culture system (E–H). HR, hairy root, H, hyphae, S, spore, V, vesicles, +AMF- with AMF colonization, -AMF- without AMF colonization. Bars in (A,D) = 2 cm, bars in (B,C) = 100 µm, bars in (E–H) = 25 µm.

the coating plate method and 16S/18S rRNA gene amplification (Dai et al., 2016b).

To generate a monoxenic AMF culture, we used carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) roots transformed with the T-DNA from a tumor-inducing plasmid as the host for the pure AMF strain *G. intraradices* (Figures 1A–C). The pure AMF strain and aseptic hair-root system (available from the Key Laboratory of Ion Beam Bioengineering, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese
Academy of Sciences) was used to obtain aseptic spores. Spores of *G. intraradices* were isolated and applied to the aseptic plant seedlings. The colonized roots from the Minimal Medium *S. canadensis* were placed in a sterile flask. A 10-mM sodium citrate (10 times volume of medium) solution was added, and then the mixture was stirred in a sterile flask, sterilized at 121°C for 2 h, and then cooled to room temperature. For each flask, 25 mL modified 0.5 × Hoagland (Dai et al., 2016a) without P was added to the sand. A sterile *S. canadensis* seedling was transferred into each flask. Seedlings were grown in an incubator at 28°C and light for 16 h a day at 360 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ and 8 h darkness. For AMF inoculation, 1 mL *G. intraradices* spores (+ AMF) suspension (approximately 770 ± 8 spores) was added into the flasks. No spore was added as the control treatment (−AMF).

To address question 1, we measured the hyphal colonization rate and spore production in sand media in inoculated vs. non-inoculated treatments after 45 days. Plants and sand media were harvested from the flask. Roots were pulled out and the sand was shaken off and collected to count the number of spores. Roots of *S. canadensis* were sampled for hyphal staining of AMF to determine root colonization. There were five replicates for each treatment. Hyphal staining was assessed following the procedure described in Phillips and Hayman (1970). Briefly, root samples for each treatment were gently washed with distilled sterile water and cut into 2-cm pieces before being externally cleaned in 10% KOH and then acidified with 1% HCl. The surface of the root samples was then stained with 0.05% trypan blue in lactophenol before the microscopic observation of mycorrhizal colonization rate and the abundance of arbuscules in the roots (Yang et al., 2014). The abundance of colonization was classified into four classes: 0–25% colonized, 25–50% colonized, 50–75% colonized, and 75–100% colonized. No colonization or spores of *G. intraradices* were detected in the non-inoculated seedlings, confirming the lack of contamination in our experiments.

To determine whether the mutualistic relationship varied with nutrient availability (question 2), we grew *S. canadensis* seedlings in media as above, but with three different nutrient levels. Sterile conditions were established as above, and 30 mg·kg⁻¹ P (approximately P content in Hoagland nutrient solution) was added either as soluble KH₂PO₄ (hereafter referred to as “Available P”) or insoluble Ca₅(PO₄)₂(OH) (hereafter referred to as “Insoluble P”) to a flask and mixed evenly. No P was added to the controls (hereafter referred to as “No P addition”). Five replicates of each treatment were set up for a total of 30 flasks.

To quantify the impact of AMF on phosphate uptake of *S. canadensis* (question 4), root phosphatase activity was determined following Zalamea et al. (2016). Briefly, roots were placed in a glass vial with 25 mL of 0.2 M sodium acetate–acetic acid buffer (pH 5.0) and shaken in a water bath at 28°C. The assay was initiated by adding 2.5 mL substrate (50 mM para-nitrophenyl phosphate, pNPP) and incubated for 30 min. The
reaction was terminated by removing 0.5 mL of buffer solution and adding it to 4.5 mL of terminator solution (0.11 M NaOH) in a glass test tube. After vortexing, the absorption was measured at 405 nm against para-nitrophenol (pNP). Phosphatase activity was expressed in mmol pNP g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ produced from pNPP through hydrolysis by phosphatase.

All seedlings were ground and analyzed for total P content (Fujita et al., 2010). After a digestion procedure (Kjeldahl digestion method; 1 h at 200°C and 2 h at 340°C in a mixture of concentrated sulfuric acid and 30% hydrogen peroxide), the seedlings were cooled and diluted with deionized water to 45 ml. The P content was determined colorimetrically using a UV-1200 spectrophotometer (MAPADA, Shanghai, China) following Wan et al. (2018a).

To address the effects of AMF on the resource allocation strategy of *S. canadensis* (question 5), the effective resource allocation (ERA) was calculated using the following equation (Grace et al., 2009):

$$\text{ERA} (%) = \frac{V_{+\text{AMF}} - V_{-\text{AMF}}}{V_{-\text{AMF}}}$$

where $V_{+\text{AMF}}$ and $V_{-\text{AMF}}$ is the value of phenotypic and physiological indicators (i.e., above- and below-ground biomass, phosphatase activity, or phosphorus content) with and without AMF inoculation, respectively.

Duncan's multiple range tests were conducted to find if there were significant differences in colonization rate and spore number among treatments. We quantified the effects of different phosphorus treatments on the growth of *S. canadensis* with or without AMF colonization using the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan's multiple range tests were also performed to determine the growth of *S. canadensis* with different treatments and also used to compare the differences of the effect of AMF on plant resource allocation. All statistical analyses were performed with the SAS statistical software 9.1, and figures were drawn with SigmaPlot 12.0 software.

**RESULTS**

*Glomus intraradices* did form a mutualistic interaction with *S. canadensis* (**Figures 1E–H**). Consistent with our second
hypothesis, the proportion of roots colonized by *G. intraradices* varied with P availability \((F = 44.18; p < 0.001)\). More than 80% of roots in inoculated treatments were colonized in the Insoluble P treatment, which was significantly higher than the colonization in either the No P addition (38% colonized) or the Available P treatments (17% colonized; Figure 2A; \(p < 0.001)\). The abundance of *G. intraradices* on colonized roots was also higher in the Insoluble P treatment than in the Available P or No P addition treatments (Figure 2B). All roots in the Available P treatment and 90% of the roots in the No P addition treatment had below 25% colonization by *G. intraradices*, while 75% of the roots in the Insoluble P treatment were more than 25% colonized. Finally, spore numbers in the sand beneath the No P addition and Insoluble P treatments were more than twice as high as in sand from the Available P treatment \((F = 7.34; p = 0.009; Figure 2C)\).

Next, we asked how nutrient treatment and AMF inoculation affected the growth and functional traits of *S. canadensis* (question 3). We found that roots tended to be longer in the treatment with No P addition or AMF inoculation than in the treatment with available P and with AMF inoculation (Figure 3A). In contrast, shoot length, leaf number, and leaf area tended to increase with AMF inoculation (Figures 3B–D). Some of these effects were substantial. For example, leaf area in the Insoluble P treatment was 61% higher with AMF inoculation than in the non-inoculated treatment \((1.8\text{ vs. }2.9\text{ cm}^2; \text{Figure 3D and Table 1})\). In the Insoluble P treatment, the total dry mass tended to be greater than in the other two P treatments (Supplementary Figure 5). Compared to the non-inoculated treatment, AMF inoculation decreased both root dry mass and root to shoot ratio of plants grown in the insoluble P condition, but did not affect these traits of plants grown in the available P and no P conditions (Figures 4A,C and Table 1). On the other hand, compared to the non-inoculated treatment, the AMF inoculation increased both shoot dry mass and specific leaf area of plants grown in the insoluble P condition, but imposed no effect on these traits of plants grown in the available P or no P conditions (Figures 4B,D and Table 1). In summary, plants grown in soil with low P availability tended to shift resources from below-ground to above-ground tissues in the presence of AMF.

For the fourth question, we found that AMF inoculation changed phosphatase activity and phosphate uptake of *S. canadensis*. AMF inoculation significantly decreased phosphatase activity in the Insoluble P treatment but did not affect the phosphatase activity in the Available P or No P addition treatments (Figure 5A). In the Insoluble P treatment, the AMF inoculation increased P concentration by 107% compared to the non-inoculated treatment (Figure 5B). That is, *G. intraradices* significantly promoted phosphate uptake and decreased phosphatase activity of *S. canadensis* in conditions of insoluble P (Figure 5).

Finally, we found that *S. canadensis* changed its resource allocation when it was colonized by *G. intraradices*. In insoluble P conditions, colonized plants allocated 47.5% more resources to above-ground growth while decreasing the biomass allocation to below-ground (Figure 6A and Table 2). Plants with AMF achieved a higher P content despite allocating fewer resources to below-ground growth and having decreased phosphatase activity (Figure 6B and Table 2). The effective resource allocation was higher in the No P addition treatment than in the Available P treatment (Figure 6B).

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings provide the first direct proof that AMF increase P uptake, change plant resource allocation strategy, and promote the growth of *S. canadensis* under conditions deficient in inorganic phosphorus. The efficient use of limited resources facilitated by mutualism with AMF contributes to the rapid growth of invasive weed *S. canadensis* and may facilitate the invasion of new habitats.

**The Importance of Axenic Systems in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Studies**

The AMF colonization rate in our study (up to 83%) was substantially higher than in most previous studies, where they ranged from 21 to 73% (Li et al., 2006; Balzerque et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2014; Hack et al., 2019). One reason for this could be that the axenic systems eliminate interference

| TABLE 1 | Two-way ANOVAs of the effects of different phosphorus (P) treatments on the growth and functional traits of Solidago canadensis with/without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). |
|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
| Growth traits | Source | d.f. | F | p-value |
| Root length | AMF | 1 | 4.07 | 0.055 |
| | P | 2 | 1.84 | 0.181 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 0.39 | 0.678 |
| Shoot length | AMF | 1 | 6.79 | 0.016 |
| | P | 2 | 1.52 | 0.239 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 0.60 | 0.569 |
| Leaf number | AMF | 1 | 3.89 | 0.062 |
| | P | 2 | 3.45 | 0.048 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 0.17 | 0.841 |
| Leaf area | AMF | 1 | 5.77 | 0.009 |
| | P | 2 | 5.77 | 0.009 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 5.28 | 0.013 |
| Root dry mass | AMF | 1 | 2.85 | 0.104 |
| | P | 2 | 13.68 | 0.001 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 1.13 | 0.339 |
| Shoot dry mass | AMF | 1 | 14.42 | 0.001 |
| | P | 2 | 10.90 | 0.001 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 5.90 | 0.008 |
| Root to shoot ratio | AMF | 1 | 8.13 | 0.009 |
| | P | 2 | 13.00 | 0.001 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 3.39 | 0.050 |
| Specific leaf area | AMF | 1 | 16.31 | 0.001 |
| | P | 2 | 9.55 | 0.001 |
| | AMF × P | 2 | 0.30 | 0.746 |

Values of \(p < 0.05\) are in bold. Values are in italics where \(0.05 < p < 0.1\).
from other phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (Spagnoletti et al., 2017). Plants may need to invest more resources in maintaining a mutualistic relationship with AMF in the absence of these bacteria.

In non-axenic systems, the presence of other endophytes or rhizosphere bacteria has been found to promote both above- and below-ground growth (Calonne-Salmon et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2018). In contrast, we found that AMF allow plants to reduce the amount of resources used to construct a root system and allocate more resources to above-ground structures in this study (Figure 4). This difference in results suggests that the presence of other microorganisms in non-axenic systems can obscure the true effects of AMF.

**Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Contribute to Phosphorus Uptake in Low Nutrient Conditions**

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can play significant roles in plant nutrient absorption, especially in nutrient-poor soil (Mikkelsen et al., 2008; Eissenstat et al., 2015). In our study, *S. canadensis* in the Insoluble P treatment accumulated more than twice as much P in the presence of AMF compared to their absence (Figure 5B). This finding is consistent with previous work by Li et al. (2006) and Yang et al. (2012), who found that over half of the P uptake by plants was due to AMF in soils with low P bioavailability. The mechanism underpinning this process is relatively well-understood. AMF secrete organic acids, phosphatases, and inorganic phosphorus transporters that contribute to the solubilization of insoluble P and the release of orthophosphate, which enhances P uptake and facilitates plant growth (Joner et al., 2000; Koide and Kabir, 2000; Bagyaraj et al., 2015). *S. canadensis* in a P-deficient environment secretes only half the amount of phosphatase with AMF colonization compared to no AMF colonization (Figure 5A). These findings were consistent with the idea that plants profit more from AMF whose hyphae would secrete phosphatases when insoluble P is available, allowing plants to decrease the resources allocated to phosphatase activity (Priyadharsini and Muthukumar, 2017). Evidence suggests that association with
AMF leads to increased plant growth (Figures 3, 4, 6; Delavaux et al., 2017).

**Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in the Allocation Strategies in Invasive Plants**

There is still some debate as to whether invasive plants receive a greater benefit from mutualism with AMF than native species. Bunn et al. (2015) showed that native and invasive plants did not respond differently to AMF, but invasive plants had a higher level of AMF colonization when grown in competition with native plants. However, Menzel et al. (2017) showed that a mycorrhizal mutualism could promote the invasion success of neophyte plant species. The presence of arbuscular mycorrhiza has also been closely linked with plant invasions through facilitating nutrient cycling (Jo et al., 2018). Consistent with this, we found that AMF facilitate the growth of invasive plant *S. canadensis*. In nutrient-limited soil, *S. canadensis* allocates fewer resources to above-ground growth but more resource to below-ground growth for foraging more nutrients without AMF colonization. However, due to the presence of AMF, *S. canadensis* changes its resource allocation strategy and is able to allocate more resources to above-ground growth and facilitate phosphorus (P) uptake by the plant, allowing plants to have lower investment into below-ground biomass, and higher benefit/return for above-ground biomass (Figure 6, and schematic on the right in Figure 7). This is consistent with what Zhang et al. (2015) found, i.e., the colonization of AMF led to higher allocation to shoot biomass in rice. Shen et al. (2020) found that AMF increased P acquisition of the invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum*, and the P acquisition in above-ground was higher than in roots, which is consistent with our results.

**TABLE 2** Analyses of variance due to the effects of different phosphorus (P) treatments on the effective resource allocation of Solidago canadensis.

| Measures             | F^2, 12   | p-value |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Below-ground dry mass| 2.32      | 0.141   |
| Above-ground dry mass| 13.21     | 0.001   |
| Phosphatase activity | 5.19      | 0.024   |
| Total phosphorus content | 10.80   | 0.002   |

Values of p < 0.05 are in bold.
In conclusion, we have shown that colonization by AMF is associated with changes in P uptake and increased growth in *S. canadensis*. With the contribution of AMF, the clonal plant *S. canadensis* is able to allocate more resources to above-ground growth, which might also affect its clonal performance. As a consequence, association with AMF likely contributes to *S. canadensis’* success as an invasive clonal species, particularly in nutrient-limited habitats.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

SQ and ZD designed the experiment, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. SQ, JW, and LW performed the experiment. DS, DD, SE, SB, TT, and AM commented on the details of the manuscript drafts. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

**FUNDING**

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32171509 and 32071521), Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds (2021K160B), and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu (BK20211321). DS acknowledges the CNPq (Fellowship of Research productivity 307839/2014-1), AM acknowledges ARC grant (DP190100243), and TT also acknowledges the support by the Australian Research Council. Part of the funding for this research was supported by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Xiang Xiao for kindly providing the pure AMF strain *Glomus intraradices* and the aseptic carrot hair-root system.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.831654/full#supplementary-material
REFERENCES

Afkhami, M. E., and Strauss, S. Y. (2016). Native fungal endophytes suppress an exotic dominant and increase plant diversity over small and large spatial scales. *Ecology* 97, 1159–1169. doi: 10.1890/15-1166.1

Amaya-Carpo, L., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Bagyaraj, D. J., Sharma, M. P., and Maiti, D. (2015). Phosphorus nutrition of crops through arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Curr. Sci.* 108, 1288–1293.

Balzergue, C., Puech-Pages, V., Becard, G., and Rochange, S. F. (2011). The regulation of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis by phosphate in pea involves early and systemic signalling events. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 1049–1060. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq335

Bécard, G., and Fortin, J. A. (2010). Early events of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza formation on Ri T-DNA transformed roots. *New Phytol.* 180, 211–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.03698.x

Berta, G., Fusconi, A., and Trotta, A. V. A. (1993). mycorrhizal infection and the morphology and function of root systems. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 33, 159–173.

Bunn, R. A., Ramsey, P. W., and Leikberg, Y. (2015). Do native and invasive plants differ in their interactions with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? *A Meta Anal. J. Ecol.* 103, 1547–1556.

Callaway, R. M., Newingham, B., Zabinski, C. A., and Mahall, B. E. (2001). Compensatory growth and competitive ability of an invasive weed are enhanced by soil fungi and native neighbours. *Ecol. Lett.* 4, 429–433.

Calonne-Salmon, M., Plouznikoff, K., and Declerck, S. (2018). The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis* MUCI. 48133 increases the phosphorus uptake and biomass of *Medicago truncatula*, a benzo[a]pyrene-tolerant plant species. *Mycorrhiza* 28, 761–771.

Chen, Q., Wu, W. W., Qi, S. S., Cheng, H., Li, Q., Ran, Q., et al. (2019). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improve the growth and disease resistance of the invasive plant *Wedelia trilobata*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 130, 582–591. doi: 10.1111/jam.14415

Chen, Y. P., Rekha, P. D., Arun, A. B., Shen, F. T., Lai, W. A., and Young, C. C. (2006). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from substrabolic soil and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 34, 33–41.

Dai, Z. C., Fu, W., Wan, L. Y., Cai, H. H., Wang, N., Qi, S. S., et al. (2016b). Different responses of an invasive clonal plant *Wedelia trilobata* and its native congener to gibberellin: implications for biological invasion. *New Phytol.* 208, 114–124. doi: 10.1111/nph.13451

Delavaux, C. S., Smith-Ramesh, L. M., Kuebbing, S. E. (2017). Smith-Ramesh LM, Grace, E. J., Cotsaftis, O., Tester, M., Smith, F. A., and Smith, S. E. (2009). *Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plants and soils.* *Ecology* 98, 3111–3119. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2067

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.

Diao, J. M., Davies, F. T., Fox, T., and He, C. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic fertilizer influence photosynthesis, root phosphatase activity, nutrition, and growth of *Ipomoea carnea* sapf fistulos. *Photosynthetica* 47, 1–10.
The ecology and evolution of invasive plants. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. 49, 25–47.

Vance, C. P., Uhde-Stone, C., and Allan, D. L. (2003). Phosphorus acquisition and use: critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. New Phytol. 157, 423–447. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x

Venice, F., Ghignone, S., di Fossalunga, A. S., Amselem, J., Novero, M., Xie, X. N., van Kleunen, M., Bossdorf, O., and Dawson, W. (2018). The ecology and evolution of invasive plants. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. 49, 25–47.

Vance, C. P., Uhde-Stone, C., and Allan, D. L. (2003). Phosphorus acquisition and use: critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. New Phytol. 157, 423–447. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x

Venice, F., Ghignone, S., di Fossalunga, A. S., Amselem, J., Novero, M., Xie, X. N., et al. (2020). At the nexus of three kingdoms: the genome of the mycorrhizal fungus Gigaspora margarita provides insights into plant, endobacterial and fungal interactions. Environ. Microbiol. 22, 122–141. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14827

Vila, M., Espinar, J. L., Hejda, M., Hulme, P. E., Jarosik, V., Maron, J. L., et al. (2011). Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities and ecosystems. Ecol. Lett. 14, 702–708. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x

Wan, L. Y., Qi, S. S., Dai, Z. C., Zhao, Z. Y., and Atkin, O. K. (2015). Resource allocation and grain yield of rice altered by inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? J. Plant Ecol. 8, 436–448.

Xie, X., Lai, W., Che, X., Wang, S., Ren, Y., Hu, W., et al. (2022). A SPX domain-containing phosphate transporter from Rhizopagus irregularis handles phosphate homeostasis at symbiotic interface of arbuscular mycorrhizas. New Phytol. doi: 10.1111/nph.17973

Yang, G. W., Liu, N., Lu, W. J., Wang, S., Kan, H. M., Zhang, Y. J., et al. (2014). The interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and soil phosphorus availability influences plant community productivity and ecosystem stability. J. Ecol. 102, 1072–1082. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2014.01628.x

Yuan, Y. G., Tang, J. J., Deng, D., Hu, S. J., Yong, J. W. H., and Chen, X. (2014). An invasive plant promotes its arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses and competitiveness through its secondary metabolites: indirect evidence from activated carbon. PLoS One 9, e9332. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091783

Zalamea, P. C., Turner, B. L., Winter, K., Jones, F. A., Sarmiento, C., and Dalling, J. W. (2016). Seedling growth responses to phosphorus reflect adult distribution patterns of tropical trees. New Phytol. 212, 400–408. doi: 10.1111/nph.14045

Zhan, F. D., Li, B., Jiang, M., Yue, X. R., He, Y. M., Xia, Y. S., et al. (2018). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance antioxidant defense in the leaves and the retention of heavy metals in the roots of maize. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 25, 24338–24347. doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-2487-z

Zhang, F. J., Li, Q., Chen, F. X., and Xu, H. Y. (2017). Inderjit, and Wan FH. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi facilitate growth and competitive ability of an exotic species Flaveria bidens. Soil Biol. Biochem. 115, 275–284.

Zhang, S. J., Wang, L., Ma, F., Bloomfield, K. J., Yang, J. X., and Atkin, O. K. (2015). Is resource allocation and grain yield of rice altered by inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? J. Plant Ecol. 8, 436–448.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Qi, Wang, Wan, Dai, da Silva Matoas, Du, Egan, Bonser, Thomas and Moles. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.