Particlelike wave packets in complex scattering systems
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I. INTRODUCTION

Waves propagating in complex media typically undergo diffraction and multiple scattering at all the inhomogeneities they encounter. As a consequence, a wave packet suffers from strong temporal and spatial dispersion while propagating through a scattering medium. Eventually, the incident wave is converted into a diffuse halo that gives rise to a complicated interference pattern (speckle) at the output of the medium. Albeit complex, this wave field remains, however, deterministic. By actively shaping the wave field at the input, one can manipulate the interference between all the scattering paths that the wave can follow. On the one hand, this insight has given rise to spectacular focusing schemes in which scattering enables—rather than impedes—wave focusing and pulse compression [1–9]. On the other hand, it can lead to an optimized control of wave transport [10–13]. A designed wave front can, e.g., be completely transmitted/reflected at will [14,15] as a result of a multiple-scattering interference that is intrinsically narrow band [16]. Here we will aim at the more challenging goal to generate states that are fully transmitted/reflected, yet very robust in a broadband spectral range. As we will demonstrate explicitly, this goal can be reached by way of highly collimated scattering states that are concentrated along individual particlelike bouncing patterns inside the medium [17]. By avoiding the multipath interference associated with conventional scattering states, these wave beams also avoid the frequency sensitivity associated with this interference. As we shall see, particlelike scattering states give rise, in the time domain, to wave packets that remain focused in time and space throughout their trajectory within the medium. This crucial feature makes these states uniquely suited for many applications in a variety of fields, ranging from high intensity focused ultrasound [18,19] or underwater acoustics [20,21] to endoscopic microscopy [22–24], fiber optics [25–29], or telecommunications [30–32].

The key aspect of our experimental study is to demonstrate that these particlelike wave packets can be created just based on the information stored in the scattering matrix [17]. This high dimensional $S$ matrix relates any arbitrary wave field at the input to the output of the scattering medium, and in principle, allows the reconstruction or prediction of either. It fully describes wave propagation across a scattering medium and can meanwhile be routinely measured not only in acoustics [33,34], but also in microwave technology [13,35] and optics [6,12]. The sub-blocks of the scattering matrix contain the complex-valued transmission ($t$) and reflection ($r$) matrices with a certain number $N$ of input and output channels,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} r & t' \\ t & r' \end{pmatrix}.$$  

To describe the statistical properties of $S$ for wave transport through complex media, random matrix theory (RMT) has been very successful [36]. One of the striking results of RMT is the universal bimodal distribution followed by the transmission eigenvalues $T$ of $tt'$ [37] through diffusive media [36,38,39] or chaotic cavities [40,41]. In contradiction with a classical diffusion or chaotic picture, a substantial fraction of propagation channels are found to be essentially closed ($T \sim 0$) or open ($T \sim 1$). Going beyond such a statistical approach, Rotter et al. [17] recently showed how a system-specific combination of fully open or fully closed channels may lead to scattering states that follow the particlelike bouncing pattern of a classical trajectory throughout the entire scattering process. Such particlelike scattering states with transmission close to 1 or 0 are eigenstates of the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix:

$$Q = -\frac{i}{2\pi} S^\dagger \partial_f S,$$

where $\partial_f$ denotes the derivative with respect to the frequency $f$. Originally introduced by Wigner in nuclear scattering theory [42] and extended by Smith to multichannel scattering problems [43], the $Q$ matrix generally describes the time that the incoming wave accumulates due to the scattering process: each eigenvalue yields the time delay of the associated scattering state. Compared to a mere study of the $S$ matrix, the $Q$ matrix provides an elegant and powerful tool to harness the dispersion properties of a complex medium. In this paper, we show, in particular, how a time-delay eigenstate can be
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FIG. 1. The two systems under investigation consist of (a) a regular cavity and (b) a disordered slab machined in an elastic plate. In both configurations, the S matrix is measured in the time domain between two arrays of points placed on the left and right sides of the system (see Appendix A). Flexural waves are generated on each point by a pulsed laser via thermoelastic conversion over a focal spot of 1 mm². The normal component of the plate vibration is measured point by point using interferometric optical detection. The laser source and the probe are both mounted on two-dimensional (2D) translation stages.

Our experimental setup consists of an elastic cavity and a disordered elastic wave guide at ultrasound frequencies (see Fig. 1). In a first step we measure the entries of the S and Q matrices over a large bandwidth using laser-ultrasonic techniques. The eigenvalues of the transmission matrix are shown to follow the expected bimodal distributions in both configurations. The wave fields associated with the open/closed channels are imaged in the time domain by laser interferometry. Not surprisingly, they are shown to be strongly dispersive as they combine various path trajectories and thus many interfering scattering phases. To reduce this dispersion and to lift the degeneracy among the open/closed channels, we consider the eigenstates of the Q matrix that have a well-defined time delay, corresponding to a wave that follows a single path trajectory. In transmission, a one-to-one association is found between time-delay eigenstates and ray-path trajectories. The corresponding wave functions are imaged in the time domain by laser interferometry. The synthesized wave packets are shown to follow particlelike trajectories along which the temporal spreading of the incident pulse is minimal. In reflection, the Q matrix yields the collimated wave fronts that focus selectively on each scatterer of a multitarget medium. Contrary to alternative approaches based on time-reversal techniques [44–47], the discrimination between several targets is not based on their reflectivity but on their position. The eigenvalues of Q directly yield the time of flight of the pulsed echoes reflected by each scatterer.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Revealing the open and closed channels in a cavity

The waves we excite and measure are flexural waves in a duralumin plate of dimension 500 × 40 × 0.5 mm³ (see Fig. 1). The frequency range of interest spans from 0.23 to 0.37 MHz (Δf = 0.14 MHz) with a corresponding average wavelength of 3.5 mm. We thus have access to N = 2W/λ ∼ 22 independent channels, W being the width of the elastic plate. Two complex scattering systems are built from the homogeneous plate: (i) a regular cavity formed by cutting the plate over 20 mm on both sides of the plate [see Fig. 1(a)] and (ii) a scattering slab obtained by drilling several circular holes in the plate [see Fig. 1(b)]. The thickness L of each system is 45 and 52 mm, respectively.

The S matrix is measured for each system with the laser-ultrasonic setup described in Fig. 1, following the procedure explained in the Appendix A. Transmission and reflection matrices as depicted in Eq. (1). (b) Transmission eigenvalue histogram μ(T) averaged over the frequency bandwidth f = 0.23–0.37 MHz. The distribution is compared to the bimodal law μ0(T) [red continuous line, Eq. (3)]. (c),(d) Absolute values of the monochromatic wave fields (f₀ = 0.30 MHz) associated with the two most open channels.
recorded at the central frequency $f_0 = 0.30$ MHz for the cavity. Most of the energy emerges along the main diagonal and two subdiagonals [50] of the reflection/transmission matrices. These reflection and transmission matrix elements correspond to specular reflection of each mode on the cavity boundaries and to the ballistic transmission of the incident wave front, respectively.

We first focus on the statistics of the transmission eigenvalues $T_l$ computed from the measured $t$ matrix (see Appendix B). Their distribution $\rho(T)$ is estimated by averaging the corresponding histograms over the frequency bandwidth. Figure 2(b) shows the comparison between the distribution measured in the rectangular cavity and the bimodal law $\rho_b$ which is theoretically expected in the chaotic regime [40,41],

$$\rho_b(T) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{T(1-T)}}.$$  (3)

Even though our system is not chaotic, but exhibits regular dynamics, a good agreement is found between the measured eigenvalue distribution and the RMT predictions, confirming previous numerical studies [51]. A similar bimodal distribution of transmission eigenvalues is obtained in the disordered plate, as shown in the Supplemental Material [52].

Whereas the eigenvalues $T_l$ of $t^\dagger t$ yield the transmission coefficients of each eigenchannel, the corresponding eigenvectors provide the combination of incident modes that allow us to excite this specific channel. Hence, the wave field associated with each eigenchannel can be measured by propagating the corresponding eigenvector. To that aim, the whole system is scanned with the interferometric optical probe. A set of impulse responses is measured between the line of sources at the input and a grid of points that maps the medium. The wave function associated with a scattering state is then deduced by a coherent superposition of these responses weighted by the amplitude and phase of the eigenvector at the input (see Appendix C). Hence all the wave functions displayed in this paper are only composed of experimentally measured data and do not imply any theoretical calculation or numerical simulation.

Figures 2(c) and 2(d) display the wave field associated with the two most open eigenchannels ($T_l \sim 1$) of the cavity. Although such open channels allow a full transmission of the incident energy, they do not show a clear correspondence with a particular path trajectory. The same observation holds in the disordered plate [52]. As a consequence, the associated scattering state undergoes multiple scattering when passing through the cavity. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) illustrate this dispersion by displaying the output temporal signal associated with the two open channels shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) (see Appendix B). Both signals contain several peaks occurring at altogether three different times of flight. As we will see further, each peak is associated with a particular path trajectory and can be addressed independently by means of the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix.

### B. Addressing particlelike scattering states in a cavity

The Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix $Q$ is now investigated to generate coherent scattering states from the set of open channels. Since $Q$ is Hermitian when derived from a unitary S matrix [Eq. (2)], the time-delay eigenstates $q_m^{\alpha}$ form an orthogonal and complete set of states, to each of which a real proper delay $\tau_m$ can be assigned, such that $Qq_m^{\alpha} = \tau_m q_m^{\alpha}$. In general, $q_m^{\alpha}$ is a $2N$-dimensional eigenvector which implies an injection from both the left and the right leads of the system. However, among this set of time-delay eigenstates, a subset features an incoming flux from only one lead that also exits through just one of the leads. These are exactly the desired states that belong to the subspace of open or closed channels and display trajectorylike wave-function patterns.

As was shown by Rotter et al. [17], the above arguments can be translated into a straightforward operational procedure (see Appendix B), which we apply here to identify the particlelike scattering states among the time-delay eigenstates of the measured $Q$ matrix. The litmus test for this procedure in the present context will be to show that the three different time traces that are identifiable in the open transmission channels [see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)] can now be individually addressed through an associated particlelike state. The results we obtain for the cavity geometry [Fig. 1(a)] fully confirm our successful implementation of particlelike scattering states: The propagation of the states we obtain from our procedure yields monochromatic wave states that are clearly concentrated on individual bouncing patterns (see Fig. 4). Whereas Fig. 4(a)
corresponds to the direct path between the input and output leads, Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) display a more complex trajectory with two and four reflections on the boundaries of the cavity, respectively. The associated time delays \( \tau_m \) do correspond to the run times of a particle that would follow the same trajectory at the group velocity \( v_g \sim 2.6 \text{ mm/\mu s} \) of the flexural wave [53]. Their transmission coefficients \( |t_m| \) are equal to 0.90, 0.95, and 0.85, respectively, meaning that they are almost fully transmitted through the cavity.

The time trace associated with each particlelike scattering state is computed from the frequency-dependent transmission matrix (see Appendix B). The result is displayed in Figs. 3(c)–3(e). Unlike the open transmission channels studied above, each particlelike state gives rise to a single pulse that arrives at the output temporally unscattered at time \( \tau = \tau_m \). Figure 3 also shows that each temporal peak in the time trace of the open channels can be attributed to a particular path trajectory. We may thus conclude that the open channel displayed in Fig. 2(c) is mainly associated with the double and quadruple scattering paths displayed in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The open channel displayed in Fig. 2(d) consists of a linear combination of the paths displayed in Fig. 4. This association is also confirmed by explicitly analyzing the vectorial decomposition of the particlelike state in terms of the transmission eigenchannel basis.

The frequency dependence of the particlelike scattering states is investigated in the Supplemental Material [52]. They are shown to be stable over the frequency ranges \( f = 0.2–0.6 \text{ MHz} \) [Fig. 4(a)], \( f = 0.3–0.6 \text{ MHz} \) [Fig. 4(b)], and \( f = 0.2–0.4 \text{ MHz} \) [Fig. 4(c)]. The corresponding bandwidths are at least one order of magnitude larger than the frequency correlation width of the transmission matrix coefficients which is equal to 0.02 MHz [52]. This proves the robustness of particlelike states over a broadband spectral range. Given this nondispersive feature, they turn out to be perfect candidates also for the formation of minimally dispersive wave packets in the time domain. To check this conjecture, we investigate here the spatiotemporal wave functions of these states over the aforementioned bandwidths (see Appendix B). The propagation of the particlelike wave packets through the cavity can be visualized in the three first movies of the Supplemental Material [52]. Figure 5 displays successive snapshots of the wave packet synthesized from the particlelike scattering state displayed in Fig. 4(c). Quite remarkably, the spatiotemporal focus of the incident wave packet is maintained throughout its trajectory despite the multiple-scattering events it undergoes in the cavity.

C. Lifting the degeneracy of particlelike scattering states

In a next step, we investigate particlelike scattering states in the disordered wave guide [Fig. 1(b)]. The corresponding \( Q \) matrix is measured at the central frequency \( f_0 = 0.3 \text{ MHz} \) (see Appendix A). Figures 6(a) and 6(b) display the monochromatic wave functions associated with two fully transmitted time-delay eigenstates. Whereas Fig. 6(a) displays the typical features of a particlelike scattering state that winds its way through the scatterers, the time-delay eigenstate of Fig. 6(b) is clearly associated with two scattering paths of identical length. We thus encounter here a degeneracy in the time-delay eigenvalues that needs to be lifted by an additional criterion, such as by considering well-defined subspaces of the measured \( S \) matrix [54]. In this instance, the two ray paths can be discriminated by their different angles of incidence. Correspondingly, we consider two subspaces \( S' \) of the original \( S \) matrix by keeping either positive or negative angles of incidence from the left lead (see Appendix D). The corresponding time-delay matrices lead to two distinct particlelike scattering states displayed in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). The two scattering paths that were previously mixed in the original time-delay eigenstate [Fig. 6(b)] are now clearly separated. The frequency stability of these states is investigated in the Supplemental Material [52]. They are shown to be stable over the frequency ranges \( f = 0.2–0.4 \text{ MHz} \) [Fig. 6(c)] and \( f = 0.2–0.5 \text{ MHz} \) [Fig. 6(d)]. The corresponding particlelike wave packets are shown in the two last movies of the Supplemental Material [52] where the high quality of their focus in space and time is immediately apparent.

D. Revealing time-delay eigenstates in reflection

Time-delay eigenstates can also result from a suitable combination of closed channels. Figures 6(e) and 6(f) display two such closed channels derived from the \( S \) matrix of the disordered slab. The closed channels combine multiple reflections from the holes of the scattering layer. However, the closed channel displayed in Fig. 6(e) mixes the contributions from the scatterers labeled 1 and 2. Also the closed channel displayed in Fig. 6(f) is associated with reflections from altogether three scatterers (2, 3, and 4). A simple eigenvalue decomposition of \( rr^\dagger \) or \( tt^\dagger \) does not allow a discrimination
showing selective focusing on scatterer 3 (time delay \( \tau_m \)).

FIG. 6. Absolute value of the wave field associated with several time-delay/scattering eigenstates of the disordered system at the central frequency \( f_0 \). (a) Transmitted particlelike scattering state (\( \tau_m = 29 \mu s, |r_m| = 0.84 \)). (b) Degenerate time-delay eigenstate mixing two particlelike trajectories (\( \tau_m = 26 \mu s, |r_m| = 0.91 \)). (c),(d) Unmixing of the time-delay eigenstate displayed in (b) by considering different subspaces of the \( S \) matrix (see Appendix D). Their transmission coefficients \( |r_m| \) are equal to 0.94 and 0.98, respectively. (e),(f) Closed channels deduced from the \( S \) matrix (\( T_f \sim 0 \)) showing simultaneous reflections from the scatterers of the disordered wave guide. (g) Reflected time-delay eigenstate showing selective focusing on scatterer 2 (time delay \( \tau_m = 11 \mu s, \text{reflection coefficient } |r_m| = 0.94 \)). (h) Reflected time-delay eigenstate showing selective focusing on scatterer 3 (time delay \( \tau_m = 30 \mu s, \text{reflection coefficient } |r_m| = 0.88 \)).
between the scatterers. On the contrary, the analysis of the $Q$ matrix allows a one-to-one correspondence with each scatterer based on a time-of-flight discrimination. Figures 6(g) and 6(h) actually display the wave functions associated with two reflected time-delay eigenstates. Each of these eigenstates is associated with a reflection from a single scatterer (2 and 3, respectively). The corresponding time delays $\tau_m$ given in the caption of Fig. 6 are directly related to the depth $z$ of each scatterer, such that $\tau_m \sim 2z/v_g$.

III. DISCUSSION

The first point we would like to emphasize is the relevance of the time-delay matrix for selective focusing and imaging in multitarget media. The state-of-the-art approach is the DORT method (French acronym for Decomposition of the Time Reversal Operator). Initially developed for ultrasound [44,45] and more recently extended to optics [46,47], this widely used approach takes advantage of the reflection matrix $r$ to focus iteratively by time-reversal processing on each scatterer of a multitarget medium. Mathematically, the time-reversal invariants cannot be deduced from the eigenvalue decomposition of the time reversal operator $rr^\dagger$ or, equivalently, from the singular value decomposition of $r$. On the one hand, the eigenvectors of $r$ should, in principle, allow selective focusing and imaging of each scatterer. On the other hand, the associated eigenvalue directly yields the scatterer reflectivity. However, a one-to-one association between each eigenstate of $r$ and each scatterer only exists under a single-scattering approximation and if the scatterers exhibit sufficiently different reflectivities. Figures 6(e) and 6(f) illustrate this limit. Because the holes display similar scattering cross sections in the disordered slab, closed channels are associated with several scatterers at once. On the contrary, the time-delay matrix allows a discrimination between scatterers based on the time-of-flight of the reflected echoes [see Figs. 6(g) and 6(h)]. Moreover, unlike the DORT method, a time-delay analysis also allows us to discriminate the single-scattering paths from multiple-scattering events, the latter ones corresponding to longer time of flight. Hence, the time-delay matrix provides an alternative and promising route for selective focusing and imaging in multitarget media.

A second relevant point to discuss is the nature of transmitted time-delay eigenstates in other complex systems. Recently, Carpenter et al. [27] and Xiong et al. [28] investigated the group delay operator, $i/(2\pi)v^{-1}\partial_f t$, in a multimode optical fiber. The eigenstates of this operator are known as the principal modes in fiber optics [25,26]. For a principal mode input, a pulse that is sufficiently narrow band reaches the output temporally undistorted, although it may have been strongly scattered and dispersed along the length of propagation. On the contrary, for a particlelike scattering state input, the focus of the pulse is not only retrieved at the output of the scattering medium but maintained throughout its entire propagation through the system. This crucial difference provides particlelike states with a much broader frequency stability than principal modes, which translates into the possibility to send much shorter pulses through these particlelike scattering channels. Last but not least, we also emphasize that even though particlelike states are unlikely to occur in diffusive scattering media, the time-delay eigenstates are still very relevant also in such a strongly disordered context. Consider here, e.g., that the eigenstates with the longest time delay can be of interest for energy storage, coherent absorption [55], or lasing [56,57] purposes. From a more fundamental point of view, the trace of the $Q$ matrix directly provides the density of states of the scattering medium [58], a quantity that turns out to be entirely independent of the mean free path in a disordered system [59].

Finally, we would like to stress the impact of our study on other fields of wave physics and its extension to more complex geometries. For this experimental proof of concept, a measurement of the wave field inside the medium was required in order to image the wave functions and prove their particlelike feature. However, such a sophisticated protocol is not needed to physically address particlelike wave packets. A simple measurement of the scattering matrix (or a subpart of it) at neighboring frequencies [27] yields the time-delay matrix from which particlelike state inputs can be extracted. Such a measurement can be routinely performed through 3D scattering media whether it be in optics [6,12], in the microwave regime [13,35], or in acoustics [33,34]. As to the generation of particlelike wave packets, multielement technology is a powerful tool for the coherent control of acoustic waves and electromagnetic waves [60]. Moreover, recent progress in optical manipulation techniques now allows for a precise spatial and temporal control of light at the input of a complex medium [60]. Hence there is no obstacle for the experimental implementation of particlelike wave packets in other fields of wave physics. At last, we would like to stress the fact that in our experimental implementation we are in the limit of only a few participating modes with a wavelength that is comparable to the spatial scales of the system. In this limit the implementation of particlelike states is truly nontrivial since interference and diffraction dominates the scattering process as a whole. When transferring the concept to the optical domain one may easily reach the geometric optics limit where the wavelength is much shorter than most spatial scales of the system and particlelike states may in fact be much easier to implement in corresponding complex optical media.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we experimentally implemented particlelike scattering states in complex scattering systems. Based on an experimentally determined time-delay matrix, we have demonstrated the existence of wave packets that follow particlelike bouncing patterns in transmission through or in reflection from a complex scattering landscape. Strikingly, these wave packets have been shown to remain focused in time and space throughout their trajectory within the medium. We are convinced that the superior properties of these states in terms of frequency stability and spatial focus will make them very attractive for many applications of wave physics, ranging from focusing to imaging or communication purposes. In transmission, the efficiency of these states in terms of information transfer as well as their focused input and output profile will be relevant. In reflection, selective focusing based on a time-of-flight discrimination will be a powerful tool to
overcome aberration and multiple scattering in detection and imaging problems.
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APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The first step of the experiment consists of measuring the impulse responses between two arrays of points placed on the left and right sides of the disordered slab (see Fig. 1). These two arrays are placed 5 mm away from the disordered slab. The array pitch is 0.8 mm (i.e., <\lambda/2) which guarantees a satisfying spatial sampling of the wave field. Flexural waves are generated in the thermoelastic regime by a pumped diode Nd:YAG laser (THALES Diva II) providing pulses having a 20-ns duration and 2.5 mJ of energy. The out-of-plane component of the local vibration of the plate is measured by considering a normalized scattering matrix with the same dimensional input eigenvector \( q \) of the homogeneous plate. These eigenmodes and their eigenfrequencies have been determined theoretically using the thin elastic plate theory [15,48,49]. They are normalized such that each of them carries unit energy flux across the plate section. Theoretically, energy conservation would imply that \( S \) is unitary. In other words, its eigenvalues should be distributed along the unit circle in the complex plane. However, as shown in a previous work [15], this unitarity is not retrieved experimentally because of experimental noise. A dispersion of the eigenvalues \( s_i \) of the \( S \) matrix is observed around the unit circle. We compensate for this undesirable effect by considering a normalized scattering matrix with the same eigenspaces but with normalized eigenvalues [15]. The \( Q \) matrix is then deduced from \( S \) using Eq. (2).

\[
\delta f S(f_0) = \frac{S(f_0 + \delta f) - S(f_0 - \delta f)}{2 \delta f},
\]

with \( \delta f = 3 \text{ kHz} \).

APPENDIX B: REVEALING TRANSMISSION/TIME-DELAY EIGENCHANNELS AND THEIR TEMPORAL/SPECTRAL FEATURES

The transmission and time-delay eigenchannels are derived from the matrices \( S \) and \( Q \) measured at the central frequency \( f_0 \). The transmission matrix \( t(f_0) \) (from the left to the right lead) is extracted from \( S(f_0) \) [Eq. (1)]. The output and input transmission eigenvectors, \( u \) and \( v \), are derived from the singular value decomposition of \( t(f_0) \):

\[
t(f_0) = \sum_l \sqrt{T_l(f_0)} u_l(f_0) v_l^T(f_0)
\]

with \( T_l(f_0) \) the intensity transmission coefficient associated with the \( l \)th scattering eigenstate at the central frequency. The frequency-dependent amplitude transmission coefficient \( t_l(f) \) of this eigenstate can be obtained from the set of transmission matrices \( t(f) \) measured over the whole frequency bandwidth, such that

\[
t_l(f) = u_l(f_0) t(f) v_l(f_0).
\]

An inverse DFT of \( t_l(f) \) finally yields the time-dependent amplitude transmission coefficient \( t_l(\tau) \) of the \( l \)th scattering eigenstate measured at the central frequency \( f_0 \). The time traces displayed in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) correspond to the square norm of this quantity.

The time-delay eigenchannels at the central frequency are derived from the eigenvalue decomposition of \( Q(f_0) \)

\[
Q(f_0) = \sum_m \tau_m q_m(f_0) [q_m^T(f_0)]^T.
\]

The time-delay eigenvector \( q_m \) is a 2N-dimensional column vector that can be decomposed as

\[
q_m = \begin{pmatrix} q_m^L \cr q_m^R \end{pmatrix}.
\]

where \( q_m^L(f_0) \) and \( q_m^R(f_0) \) contain the complex coefficients of \( q_m(f_0) \) in the basis of the \( N \) incoming modes in the left and right leads, respectively. Among the set of time-delay eigenstates, particlelike scattering states injected from the left lead should fulfill the following condition [17]:

\[
\| q_m^L(f_0) \|^2 \gg \| q_m^R(f_0) \|^2 \approx 0.
\]

A particlelike scattering state is thus associated with a \( N \)-dimensional input eigenvector \( q_m^L(f_0) \). The corresponding output eigenvector \( q_m^R(f_0) \) and transmission coefficient \( t_m(q)(f_0) \) can be deduced from the \( t \) matrix:

\[
t_m(q)(f_0) q_m^L(f_0) = t_m(q)(f_0) q_m^R(f_0).
\]

The frequency-dependent amplitude transmission coefficient \( t_m(q)(f) \) of this time-delay eigenstate can be obtained from the
set of transmission matrices \( t(f) \) measured over the whole frequency bandwidth, such that

\[
t^{(q)}_{m}(f) = \left[ q^{\text{out},\ast}_{m,L}(f_0) \right]^\dagger t(f) q^{\text{in},\ast}_{m,L}(f_0).
\]

An inverse DFT of \( t^{(q)}_{m}(f) \) finally yields the time-dependent amplitude transmission coefficient \( t^{(q)}_{m}(\tau) \) of the \( m \)th time-delay eigenstate measured at the central frequency \( f_0 \). The time traces displayed in Figs. 3(c)–3(e) correspond to the square index \( j \) (denoted by the index \( i \)). A discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of \( k(f) \) yields a set of frequency-dependent transmission matrices \( k(f) \). The lines of \( k(f) \) are then decomposed in the basis of the plate modes. The monochromatic wave field \( \Psi(f) = [\psi_j(f)] \) associated with a transmission/reflection or time-delay eigenchannel is provided by the product between the matrix \( k(f) \) and the corresponding eigenvector \( [v_i(f_0) \quad q^{\text{in},\ast}_{m,L}(f_0)] \), respectively.

**APPENDIX D: UNMIXING DEGENERATED TIME-DELAY EIGENSTATES**

Depending on the geometry of the scattering medium, the different scattering paths involved in a degenerated time-delay eigenstate can be discriminated either in the real space or in the spatial frequency domain [54]. Here, the time-delay eigenstate displayed in Fig. 6(b) shows two scattering paths with opposite angles of incidence. Hence, they can be discriminated by analyzing each block of the \( S \) matrix in the spatial frequency domain. The left lead of each block is decomposed over the positive or negative angles of incidence. This subspace of the \( S \) matrix, referred to as \( S' \), is then used to compute a reduced time-delay matrix \( Q' \) such that

\[
Q' = -\frac{i}{2\pi} S^{-1} S'.
\]

Note that the transpose conjugate operation of Eq. (2) is here replaced by an inversion of \( S' \) because of its nonunitarity [54]. Depending on the sign of the angle of incidence chosen for the left lead, the reduced matrix \( Q' \) provides the time-delay eigenstates displayed in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d).
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