Embryonic Stem Cells Derived Kidney Organoids as Faithful Models to Target Programmed Nephrogenesis
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The kidney is a complex organ that is comprised of thousands of nephrons developing through reciprocal inductive interactions between metanephric mesenchyme (MM) and ureteric bud (UB). The MM undergoes mesenchymal to epithelial transition (MET) in response to the signaling from the UB. The secreted protein Wnt4, one of the Wnt family members, is critical for nephrogenesis as mouse Wnt4−/− mutants fail to form pretubular aggregates (PTA) and therefore lack functional nephrons. Here, we generated mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) line lacking Wnt4 by applying the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated systems 9 (Cas9). We describe here, differentiation of the wild type and Wnt4 knockout mESCs into kidney progenitors, and such cells induced to undergo nephrogenesis by the mouse E11.5 UB mediated induction. The wild type three-dimensional (3D) self-organized organoids depict appropriately segmented nephron structures, while the Wnt4-deficient organoids fail to undergo the MET, as is the case in the phenotype of the Wnt4 knockout mouse model in vivo. In summary, we have established a platform that combine CRISPR/Cas9 and kidney organoid technologies to model kidney development in vitro and confirmed that mutant organoids are able to present similar actions as in the in vivo studies.

The mammalian metanephric kidney develop from the interaction between the UB and MM cell populations, including the Six2+Cited1+nephron progenitor cells (NPCs) and Foxd1+stromal precursor cells. The UB undergoes branching morphogenesis to form the tree-like collecting system. The tips of the UB signal to the MM to maintain undifferentiated NPCs (Six2+Cited1+) and induce the differentiation in a subset of NPCs (Six2+Cited1−). The latter NPCs begin to aggregate to form the PTAs and undergo MET and become polarized, and form renal vesicles (RVs) with a lumen. RVs will sequentially transition to Comma-shaped bodies, and S-shaped bodies, eventually forming segmented nephrons, including glomeruli and adjacent proximal tubules and distal tubules.

The Wnt4 gene encodes a signaling glycoprotein and it is expressed in multiple organs such as the embryonic metanephric kidney, the adrenal gland, the bipotential gonad, and the mammary and pituitary glands, and it plays an important role in organogenesis. A homozygous missense mutation in the human WNT4 gene causes SERKAL (SEx Reversion, Kidneys, Adrenal and Lung dysgenesis) syndrome, which leads to fetal lethality. Conventional Wnt4 knockout mouse embryos manifest several deficiencies; the kidney development is impaired at an early stage and the MET fails. Wnt4 is expressed at the comma and S-shape stages of nephrogenesis; complete inactivation of Wnt4 in mice leads to early postnatal death, almost certainly due to the lack of kidney function. Wnt4 signaling also controls the differentiation of the stromal cells in the embryonic kidney. All these data shows that Wnt4 plays an important role during kidney development in vivo. However, we fail to know if Wnt4 provides such functions in developing kidney organoids in vitro.

Protocols to generate human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs)-derived renal organoids to model human kidney development and diseases have been recently published. Using appropriate chemical compounds or growth factors, developmental signaling pathways can be triggered to promote PSCs differentiation into nephron progenitors. The hPSCs-derived nephron progenitors can also undergo MET and generate mature nephrons and...
collecting duct structures. The 3D kidney culture technology, allow human and mouse PSCs to exhibit their remarkable self-organizing properties depicted by appropriately segmented structures of nephrons.

The genome engineering technique, the CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing, provides an unprecedented opportunity for studying kidney disease and development with hPSCs ex vivo. These techniques provide new resources for modelling and studying human kidney development and disease. The induction of renal lineage has been conducted using mESCs and these mESCs-derived nephron progenitor cells can be induced to nephron structures by spinal cord. These findings show that mESCs have important potential for modelling the development as well as regeneration.

Here, we report a novel setting to be able to combine CRISPR/Cas9 with kidney organoid technologies to model kidney development. By using the CRISPR/Cas9 technique, we generated the Wnt4 deficient mESCs. We programmed differentiation of wild type and mutant mESCs into kidney progenitors and through the interaction with UB were able to induce nephrogenesis and generate kidney organoids ex vivo. We demonstrate that the Wnt4 CRISPR-knock out cells, generate kidney organoids which fail to advance the MET and lead to failure in nephrogenesis. Taken together, these results depict an innovative platform for mouse kidney development modelling and regenerative medicine application for detailed molecular genetic studies.

Results

Generation of Wnt4 deficient mESCs with double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas9. The mouse Wnt4 gene consists of five exons; previously reported conventional Wnt4 knockout mouse model, generated a probable null allele by replacing the whole exon 3 with a neo cassette. To analyze the role of Wnt4 during kidney organoid development in vitro, we generated a Wnt4 deficient mESC line using the CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology. We used a pair of small guided RNAs (sgRNAs) guiding paired Cas9 nickases to knockout genes in mESCs, which have been shown to reduce the off-target activity and facilitate gene knock-out efficiency in cell lines. We designed the sgRNAs to target Wnt4 exon 2 (Fig. 1A), and constructs encoding GFP or mCherry-tagged Cas9 and sgRNAs were electroporated into the wild type mESCs. GFP and mCherry co-expressing cells were FACS sorted and positive clones were picked and expanded (Fig. 1B). Sanger-sequencing results revealed the knockout mESC line with one allele 10 bp and another allele 17 bp deletion in the Wnt4 exon 2 (Fig. 1C).

We observed that the Wnt4−/− mESCs colonies were indistinguishable in size and shape from unmodified mESCs (Fig. 1D), and presented similar expression level of mESC markers such as Sox2, Oct4 and Nanog (Fig. 1E and Supplementary Fig. S1A), indicating that the Wnt4−/− cells maintained pluripotency and self-renewal properties.

Induction of intermediate mesoderm differentiation in the mouse ES cells. In order to use the CRISPR Wnt4 knockout mESCs to model kidney development in vitro we have optimized protocol to generate kidney organoids from wild type mESCs. mESCs were isolated from mouse blastocysts at E3.5. Activation of LIF-Stat3 or Wnt/β-catenin signaling promotes mESCs self-renewal and CHIR99021, an inhibitor of the GSK-3, induces non-neural differentiation. We have therefore treated the mESCs with the 5 h pulse of CHIR99021 in monoculture conditions. Expression of the epiblast markers such as Fgf5 and T (Brachyury) but not the extraembryonic endoderm marker Afp, the extraembryonic endoderm marker Wnt4 of IM associated with kidney lineages. In summary, we have established a novel protocol to induce the mESCs towards the IM stage in a monolayer culture setting with CHIR99021-FGF9/heparin supplementation (Fig. 3A).

Generation of kidney organoids from mESCs-derived nephron progenitors with embryonic UB. Nephron Progenitor Cells (NPCs, Six2+ cells) purified from mouse embryonic kidney present long-term self-renewal properties when cultured in the medium enabling NPC self-renewal (NPSR). The NPSR medium mimics the in vivo nephron progenitor niche by delivering important small molecules and necessary growth factors to maintain undifferentiated progenitor cell proliferation and self-renewal. To test, whether NPSR can indeed induce the NPCs from the mESCs-derived IM cells, we harvested these cells at day 8 of differentiation and cultured them as 3D pellets in the NPSR medium overnight (Fig. 2, step A2 and Supplementary Fig. S2A). This process enhanced expression of the NPC markers, such as Cited2, Wt1, Hoxd11, and Six2 (Fig. 4A,B). Incubation of the mESCs-derived IM cells in the NPSR medium overnight was a necessary step in priming these IM cells for renal differentiation. If this step was omitted, the nephrogenesis in the IM cells failed to be induced by the UB (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

We reported recently that the UB separated from mouse embryos (E11.5) induces MET and nephrogenesis in an intact and dissociated to single cells and re-aggregated E11.5 MM5. Hence, we have used the mouse E11.5 UB tissue as a potent nephrogenesis inducer. We aggregated “primed” 3D pellets with the UB and cultured in 3D Trowell culture system. This led to successful nephrogenesis induction (Fig. 2, steps B1–B5 and Fig. 4C) depicted by positive staining for glomerulus marker: Wilms tumor 1 (Wt1+, yellow; Fig. 4D); proximal tubule marker: Wt1, Hoxd11, and Six2 and the extraembryonic endoderm marker Wnt4, the extraembryonic endoderm marker Wnt4 ex vivo with UB were able to induce nephrogenesis and generate kidney organoids in vitro.
Lotus tetragonolobus lectin (LTL+, green; Fig. 4E); and distal tubule marker: (Pax2+ LTL−, red; Fig. 4F), suggesting proper differentiation of cultured organoids to major segments of the nephrons. We also found numerous Wt1+ glomeruli adjacent to the LTL+ proximal tubules, and LTL+ proximal tubules connected with Pax2+ LTL− distal tubules (Fig. 4G, G’).

To verify, that the kidney organoid structures were generated via the interaction between the UB and the mESCs-derived kidney cells, but not by contaminated UB tip cells with the primary MM cells, we cultured the E11.5 UB tissue in isolation in the 3D culture. The UB cells underwent apoptosis already at the second day of culture and died at day 3 (Supplementary Fig. S2B). In addition, there is lack of nephron structures formation when "un-primed" IM cells were aggregated with UB or the IM cells "primed" in NPSR medium were transferred to 3D

**Figure 1.** Generation and characterization of Wnt4 knockout mESCs. (A) Schematic diagram of the location and sequences of the two sgRNAs designed to target the exon 2 of the Wnt4 gene. (B) Schematic of the double nicking by RNA-Guided CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of Wnt4 in mESCs. MEF: mouse embryonic fibroblast. (C) Chromatogram of the representative wild type and CRISPR/Cas9 Wnt4 mutant clone. Interpretation shows separated alleles (A1 and A2) aligned against the wild type sequence. The red line represent the PAM sequence while the dotted lines indicate deletions. (D) Representative bright field images of undifferentiated wild type mESCs, and Wnt4 knockout mESCs colonies. The colonies look alike and cells do not present any differences in formation of the colonies. Scale bars: 200 μm. (E) qRT–PCR results show the expression level of the stem cell markers (Sox2, Oct4, Nanog) – no significant differences between wild type mESCs and Wnt4 knockout mESCs can be observed.
culture without integration with the UB (Supplementary Fig. S2C,D). These data suggest that the mESCs-derived IM cells can differentiate into nephron progenitors via a "priming step". Such cells are also competent to undergo the MET and generate 3D kidney organoid when induced with the embryonic UB.

Wnt4 regulates nephrogenesis in kidney organoids. Wnt-signaling play multiple roles in different tissues during development. It regulates the pattern formation, cell fate choices, cell renewal, proliferation and migration

To investigate whether Wnt4 would play the same role during kidney organoid development, we generated the Wnt4−/− organoids with the CRISPR/Cas9 knockout Wnt4 mESC line. The differentiation conditions of Wnt4−/− organoids were the same as the wild type mESCs organoids (Fig. 2A). There is no visible morphology difference between wild type and Wnt4−/− mESCs when differentiated to IM population (Fig. 5A and Supplementary S2B); 3D pellets show no distinction before and after priming step (Supplementary S2A and S3D). In addition, Wnt4−/− mESCs differentiated to kidney lineage present expression of the same markers as the wild type cells during all differentiation stages (Supplementary S3A–C, E,F). These data show that Wnt4−/− mESCs were able to differentiate into kidney lineage.

We used these Wnt4−/− kidney lineage cells to aggregate with the wild type UB to make the organoids (Fig. 2A, step CB5). In contrast to the wild type organoids (Fig. 4C), the 3D morphology of the Wnt4−/− organoids appeared to be flatter (Fig. 5B). These organoids failed to undergo nephrogenesis and did not generated any kidney structures, as depicted on Fig. 5C with immunostaining for nephron specific markers (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Fig. S4A). The, Wnt4−/− organoid failed to undergo the MET and subsequently kidney development failed, as is the case in the in vivo model (Fig. 2A,B and Supplementary Fig. S4B). In conclusion, Wnt4 signal is crucial to regulate epithelial transformation of nephron progenitors in the developing kidney organoids. Moreover, organoids are a good tool to study and model development.

Discussion
Recent advances in genome editing and stem cell-derived kidney organoid technologies provide the possibility to perform sophisticated genetic studies in PSC-derived kidney lineage. Several groups reported using gene-editing settings to study kidney development and disease in the organoids. Recapitulated features of glomerular and tubular diseases by transiently transfecting undifferentiated hPSCs with plasmids expressing wild type Cas9 and
sgRNAs targeting disease-relevant genes *PODXL* (*podocalyxin like*), polycystic kidney disease (PKD) genes *PKD1* and *PKD2*[^45] and TALEN knockout *PAX2* to study UB development in vitro[^47]. Here, we report for the first time using the double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas9 technique to knockout of *Wnt4* in the mESCs and study kidney development in vitro.

Mouse ESC lines possess the ability to differentiate into a variety of cell types, and therefore are a source of cells for functional studies[^48].[^49] Usually, mESCs differentiation starts with embryoid body (EB) formation as a way of following normal developmental events that take place in the embryo[^50]. However, here we have developed a 2D monolayer culture method to successfully differentiate mESCs into epiblast. We further differentiated the

---

**Figure 3.** Direct differentiation of mESCs to IM. (A) Schematic protocol of induction of IM from mESCs. (B) Phase contrast images of mESCs in monolayer (2D) cultures during differentiation into IM. Consecutive days are shown, with day 0 indicating the time point immediately before CHIR99021 treatment. Scale bars, 200μm. (C) RT-PCR of mESCs differentiation presenting gene expression changes during CHIR treatment, after 5 hours and 1 day; cells expressed epiblast markers *Fgf5* and *T* but no extraembryonic endoderm marker *Afp*. (D) RT-PCR presenting gene expression changes during further CHIR differentiation of mESCs; day 1 and 2 showing the expression of primitive streak markers (*Mixl1*, *T*, *Tbx6*) but no ectodermal markers (*Pax6* and *Sox1*). (E) RT-PCR at day 8 of differentiation showing the expression of markers of IM (*Osrl*, *Pax2*, *Lhx1*, *Gata3*, *Wt1*, *Eya1*, *Cited2*, *Hoxd11*) while ectodermal marker *Pax6* was not detected. E16.5 Kidney cDNA was used as a positive control. NC, negative control with no DNA template. (C–E) Full-length gels are presented in Supplementary Information.
epiblast stage cells into IM cell population using CHIR99021-FGF9/heparin treatment. Our studies revealed that using Wnt and Fgf signaling we could efficiently differentiate mESCs into kidney precursor cells in 2D cultures. With previously reported high efficiency of maintaining nephron progenitor’s stemness in NPSR medium35, we managed to direct the differentiation of IM into nephron progenitors in a 3D pellet in this medium. This incubation - “priming” step, appeared to be crucial in derivation of NPC from IM that would be competent to undergo nephrogenesis. Our method illustrates that the nephron progenitors derived from mESCs gain the potential to interact with the functional UB and are competent to advance the nephrogenesis.

Human WNT4 mutation causes kidneys dysgenesis syndrome and mouse knockout of Wnt4 leads to a failure in kidney development (kidney agenesis). Our studies revealed that using Wnt and Fgf signaling we could efficiently differentiate mESCs into kidney precursor cells in 2D cultures. With previously reported high efficiency of maintaining nephron progenitor’s stemness in NPSR medium35, we managed to direct the differentiation of IM into nephron progenitors in a 3D pellet in this medium. This incubation - “priming” step, appeared to be crucial in derivation of NPC from IM that would be competent to undergo nephrogenesis. Our method illustrates that the nephron progenitors derived from mESCs gain the potential to interact with the functional UB and are competent to advance the nephrogenesis.

Figure 4. Generation of kidney organoids through aggregation of mESC-derived nephron progenitor cells and embryonic UB. (A) Schematic of the differentiation protocol of mESCs into kidney organoids. (B) Electrophoresis gel of RT-PCR products presenting kidney lineage cells expressing nephron progenitor markers Cited2, Wt1, Hoxd11, and Six2 after incubation in NPSR medium overnight. (C) Global bright field images of kidney organoids in Trowel culture. Scale bars: 500 μm. (D–F) Whole-mount immunofluorescence analyses of the organoids showing nephron progenitor markers: (D) glomeruli marker - Wt1, (E) proximal tubule marker - LTL, (F) nephron marker - Pax2. Scale bars: 20 μm. (G) Confocal image showing three compartments of segmented nephron, including the distal tubule (DT, Pax2 + LTL -), proximal tubule (PT, LTL +) and the glomerulus (G, Wt1 +). Dotted box shows single nephron that was used to generate a schematic diagram in (G'). Scale bars: 20 μm.
the Wnt9b from the UB has no cells to act upon (all being \( Wnt4^{−/−} \))\(^{10} \), it is no surprising that the nephrogenesis fails and all MM markers are lost.

The CRISPR/Cas9 technology has enabled efficient creation of various disease models, in our work and of others\(^{45,53} \) proving that generation of renal organoids from gene-modified PSCs provide an excellent tool and endless possibilities to model kidney development and disease. These are important breakthroughs, which will promote the development of regenerative medicine.

In summary, we showed here wild type mESCs-derived nephron progenitors aggregated with primary UB formed kidney organoids with full nephron structures. While genome-modified nephron progenitors (\( Wnt4^{−/−} \)) aggregated with UB formed mutant organoids with failed kidney development, which functionally recapitulate kidney development phenotypes \( \textit{in vivo} \). The described methodologies (Fig. 2A) are broadly relevant for functional studies of factors involved in development and their potential in regenerative medicine. In the long term, this system may provide a useful setting that will benefit personalized medicine and gene therapy.

**Materials and Methods**

The animal care and experimental procedures in this study were in accordance with Finnish national legislation on the use of laboratory animals, the European Convention for the protection of vertebrate animal used for experimental and other scientific purposes (ETS 123), and the EU Directive 86/609/EEC. The animal experimentation was also authorized by the Finnish National Animal Experiment Board (ELLA) as being compliant with the EU guidelines for animal research and welfare.

**Mouse ESCs culture.** All experiments used the wild type mouse embryonic stem cells derived from Taconic’s W4/129S6 inbred mouse strain. Undifferentiated wild type and the CRISPR/Cas9 knockout mESCs were maintained on the mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) as a feeder layer with mESCs medium as previously reported\(^{44} \).
CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Cas9 nickase was used for editing the second exon of the Wnt4 gene following the protocol of the Zhang Feng’s lab, MIT (https://www.addgene.org/crispr/zhang/). pSpCas9n (BB)-2A-GFP (AddGene: PX461) was modified by replacing 2A-GFP with 2A-mCherry. Paired oligos corresponding to Wnt4 gRNA1 (5′-GCGCTGGAAACTGTTCCACAC-3′) were cloned into pSpCas9n (BB)-2A-GFP vector. Paired oligos corresponding to Wnt4 gRNA2 (5′-GGCCTGGAACCTGTTCCACAC-3′) were cloned into pSpCas9n (BB)-2A-mCherry vector. Paired GFP and mCherry constructs were co-electroporated into mESCs. GFP and mCherry double positive cells were isolated by flow cytometry sorting (FACS) two days after electroporation, and immediately plated onto the 10 cm MEF coated plate. One to two weeks later, there were colonies growing in the culture plate. Using 100 μl pipette tips we picked up the colonies and placed them individually in the 96 well culture plate filled with trypsin. After dissociation into single cells, the colonies were transferred onto MEF-coated 24-well plates and then expanded in 10 cm plates separately. Further, the genotyping by PCR/TA-cloning and chromatogram sequencing were used to analyze the mutations and select the positive clones for analysis and differentiation experiments. The primers (5′-3′) used for genotyping: Wnt4 forward: GTTACACATCCAACACCTG, reverse: AGAAGCCTGATGCCAAGGG.

Cell differentiation. Mouse ESCs were cultured in Matrigel-coated 6 cm culture dishes, in mESCs medium until reaching 70–90% confluency. mESCs were passaged on Matrigel-coated 6 cm plates at 30,000 cells/cm². Next day, cells reached 80–90% of confluency and were treated with 8 μM CHIR99021 in APPl basal medium (STEMCELL Technologies) for 4 days, followed by FGF9 (200 ng/ml) and heparin (1 μg/ml) treatment for another 4 days; medium was changed every other day. Following the differentiation, there were some floating cells; these were apoptotic cells and were removed during changing medium.

3D kidney organoids formation. At day 8 of differentiation, cells were collected and dissociated into single cell suspension using TrypLE select (Life Technologies). Cells (3 × 10⁴) were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 4 min to form a pellet and were incubated overnight (ON) with NPSR medium in U-bottom low-attachment 96-well plates (Thermo, Cat. No. 174929) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. After ON incubation, the cellular pellet was aggregated with freshly dissected and 30 mins hrGDNF (PeproTech) treated E11.5 UBs as described previously. The aggregated pellets were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 4 min and kept in the 1.5 ml Eppendorf LoBind tubes with DMEM and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) medium ON. Next day, the pellets formed 3D aggregates at the bottom of the tubes and were transferred into a Trowell-type culture onto 0.1 μm or 1 μm pore polyester membrane and cultured for around 8 days in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS medium at 37 °C and 5% CO₂; medium was changed every other day.

RT-PCR. An RNeasy kit (Qiagen) was used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations to extract the total RNA. cDNA synthesis (First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, ThermoFisher) was performed using standard protocols. qRT-PCR analyses were displayed with SYBR Green (Agilent) by an CFX96 Real-Time PCR machine. The Brilliant III SYBR® Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The GAPDH probe served as a control to normalize the data. The gene expression experiments were performed in triplicates on three independent experiments. All the Primers sequences are given in Table S1.

Whole mount immunostaining. For the immunostaining, the wild type and Wnt4+/− kidney organoids were used at the same time, and treated as a control to each other. The kidney organoids were washed two times with 1× PBS and fixed with 100% cold Methanol (~ -20 °C pre-chilled) for 30 min, washed at least 3 times in 1× PBS before immunostaining. For immunostaining, the organoids were blocked in 0.1% Triton-X100, 1% BSA and 10% goat serum/0.02 M glycine-PBS for 1–3 hours at room temperature. Following blocking, the organoids were incubated ON in primary antibodies against Wt1 (1:100, #05–753, Millipore), Pax2 (1:200, #PRB-276P, Covance), in blocking buffer overnight at 4 °C. Next day, the organoids were washed with 1× PBS six times and incubated ON in 1× PBS with goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 546 (1:1000; #A11010, Life technologies), goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1000; #A21235, Life technologies) and fluorescein anti-LTL (1:350, #FL-1321, Vector Laboratories) at 4 °C. A Zeiss LSM780 microscope and Zeiss Axioslab were used for image capture and analysis. Wild type and Wnt4−/− kidney organoids were imaged using the same settings of the microscope.

Data Availability
The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.
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