Surface mechanomyography and electromyography provide non-invasive indices of inspiratory muscle force and activation in healthy subjects
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The current gold standard assessment of human inspiratory muscle function involves using invasive measures of transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi) or crural diaphragm electromyography (oesEMGdi). Mechanomyography is a non-invasive measure of muscle vibration associated with muscle contraction. Surface electromyogram and mechanomyogram, recorded transcutaneously using sensors placed over the lower intercostal spaces (sEMGlic and sMMGlic respectively), have been proposed to provide non-invasive indices of inspiratory muscle activation, but have not been directly compared to gold standard Pdi and oesEMGdi measures during voluntary respiratory manoeuvres. To validate the non-invasive techniques, the relationships between Pdi and sMMGlic, and between oesEMGdi and sEMGlic were measured simultaneously in 12 healthy subjects during an incremental inspiratory threshold loading protocol. Myographic signals were analysed using fixed sample entropy (fSampEn), which is less influenced by cardiac artefacts than conventional root mean square. Strong correlations were observed between: mean Pdi and mean fSampEn |sMMGlic| (left, 0.76; right, 0.81), the time-integrals of the Pdi and fSampEn |sMMGlic| (left, 0.78; right, 0.83), and mean fSampEn oesEMGdi and mean fSampEn sEMGlic (left, 0.84; right, 0.83). These findings suggest that sMMGlic and sEMGlic could provide useful non-invasive alternatives to Pdi and oesEMGdi for the assessment of inspiratory muscle function in health and disease.

The ability to measure respiratory muscle function is clinically important in the assessment of neuromuscular1 and respiratory disease2. In the respiratory system, respiratory muscle force is usually estimated as pressure, and shortening as lung volume change or displacement of chest wall structures3. Moreover, respiratory muscle force is tightly related to the level of activation of the muscles3,4, which can be assessed by electromyography. The diaphragm is the main inspiratory muscle5,6, and so it is important to be able to measure diaphragm function accurately. Accurate assessment of diaphragm pressure generation and activation, however, requires invasive procedures, such as the balloon-catheter technique, to measure transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi)7, or crural diaphragm electromyography using a multipair oesophageal electrode (oesEMGdi)8–10. These invasive tests can be uncomfortable for patients and require some skill from the operator involved. They are therefore rarely carried out in clinical practice. The development of novel, non-invasive indices of diaphragm force output and activation
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would therefore represent a significant advance in the assessment of patients with disordered respiratory muscle function.

Transcutaneous assessment of muscle fibre vibration during contraction provides the opportunity to non-invasively quantify an index of the force exerted by the underlying contracting skeletal muscle\(^1\). In common with other skeletal muscles\(^1\), diaphragm muscle fibres vibrate laterally during contraction\(^12\). These vibrations, related to the mechanical activation of diaphragm muscle fibres, can be non-invasively recorded as sound, using microphones (phononography), to provide a non-invasive index of electromechanical coupling, recruitment, and \(P_d\) generation by the diaphragm\(^13\). Alternatively, inspiratory muscle fibre vibration can be recorded by mechanomyography, using skin-surface accelerometers positioned on the chest wall over the lower intercostal spaces, proximal to the zone of apposition of the diaphragm (sMMG\(_{lic}\))\(^13,15\). The surface mechanomyogram (sMMG) is considered to be the mechanical counterpart of motor unit (MU) electrical activity as measured by surface electromyography (sEMG)\(^10\), and confers several important advantages. Unlike sEMG, sMMG is not influenced by skin preparation, bioelectrical interference from other muscles or by power line interference. Despite this, the use of sMMG to assess inspiratory muscle function has been relatively unexplored, and sMMG\(_{lic}\) has not been compared with gold standard invasive measures of \(P_d\) during voluntary respiratory manoeuvres.

Measurements of both sEMG\(_{lic}\) and sMMG\(_{lic}\) are, however, contaminated by cardiac artefacts, especially using the average rectified value or root mean square (RMS) as standard. Recently, fixed sample entropy (fSampEn) has been proposed as a means to estimate the respiratory muscle effort from sMMG\(_{lic}\)\(^13,14\) and sEMG\(_{lic}\)\(^17\) signals, with less interference from cardiac artefacts. However, fSampEn has not previously been applied to analysis of gold standard invasive oesEMG\(_{di}\) measures.

The principal aim of the study was, therefore, to investigate the use of sMMG\(_{lic}\) as a novel non-invasive index of inspiratory muscle force, by examining its relationship with the invasive gold standard, \(P_d\), in healthy subjects during an incremental inspiratory threshold loading protocol. We hypothesized that there would be close positive linear relationships between mean fSampEn |sMMGlic| and mean Pdi, and between the time-integrals of these signals, with less interference from cardiac artefacts. However, fSampEn has not previously been applied to analysis of gold standard invasive oesEMG\(_{di}\) measures.

The Experimental Ethical approval. This study was approved by the NHS Health Research Authority (NRES Committee London – Dulwich 05/Q0703) and the experiments conformed to the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects were fully informed of any risk associated with the study and provided their written consent before participation.

Subjects. Adult subjects, familiar with physiological studies, with no history of cardiorespiratory or neuromuscular disease were recruited.

Measurements. Both invasive and non-invasive measurements of inspiratory muscle force and activation were obtained simultaneously from all subjects. Unless specified, all measures were recorded continuously during all stages of the protocol.

Invasive measurements. \(P_d\) was measured as the difference between gastric and oesophageal pressure obtained using a dual-pressure transducer tipped catheter (CTO-2; Gaeltec Devices Ltd., Dunvegan, UK) and associated amplifier (S7d; Gaeltec Devices Ltd., Dunvegan, UK), as previously described\(^18–20\).

Crural oesEMG\(_{di}\) was recorded using a multipair oesophageal electrode catheter (Yinghui Medical Equipment Technology Co. Ltd., Guangzhou, China). The catheter consisted of nine consecutive recording electrode coils, which formed five pairs of electrodes\(^2,21\). The pressure transducer and electrode catheters were inserted transnally and once correctly positioned, taped to the nose to prevent movement during the study.

Non-invasive measurements. sMMG\(_{lic}\) was recorded using two triaxial accelerometers (TSD109C2; BIOPAC Systems Inc., CA, USA), and associated interface (HLT100C) and isolated power supply (IPS100C) modules (BIOPAC Systems Inc., CA, USA). The accelerometers were attached bilaterally to the skin with adhesive rings as close as possible to the surface EMG electrodes along the seventh or eighth intercostal space, over the anterior axillary line\(^16\).

sEMG\(_{lic}\) was recorded bilaterally using two pairs of disposable surface Ag/AgCl electrodes (H124SG; Covidien Kendall) placed on the skin over the seventh or eighth intercostal spaces, between the mid-axillary and the anterior axillary lines\(^8,12,17,22\). Electrode pairs were spaced 2 cm apart and a ground electrode was placed on the clavicle. The skin was appropriately prepared prior to electrode application.

Respiratory airflow was measured using a pneumotachograph (4830; Hans Rudolph Inc., KS, USA) connected to a differential pressure transducer (DP45; Validyne Engineering, CA, USA) and amplifier (CD72; Validyne Engineering, CA, USA). Mouth pressure (\(P_{max}\)) was measured from a side port on the pneumotachograph using a second differential pressure transducer (MP45; Validyne Engineering, CA, USA) attached to the amplifier.

Signal Acquisition. The oesEMG\(_{di}\) and sEMG\(_{lic}\) signals were amplified (gain 100), high-pass filtered at 10 Hz, and AC-coupled before acquisition (CED 1902; Cambridge Electronic Design Limited, Cambridge, UK). All signals were acquired using a 16-bit analogue-to-digital converter (PowerLab 16/35; ADInstruments Ltd., Oxford, UK) and displayed on a laptop computer running LabChart software (Version 7.2, ADInstruments Pty, Colorado Springs, USA) with analogue to digital sampling at 100 Hz (flow and pressures), 2000 Hz (sMMG\(_{lic}\)), and 4000 Hz (oesEMG\(_{di}\) and sEMG\(_{lic}\)).
Maximal volitional manoeuvres. Three maximal volitional inspiratory manoeuvres were performed initially: maximal static inspiratory pressure from functional residual capacity (Pmax), maximal sniff pressure, and maximal inspiration to total lung capacity (PImax). These manoeuvres were performed sitting upright in a chair with a noseclip in place (except for maximal sniffs) and were repeated several times to ensure maximal volitional effort. Pdi, oesEMGlic, sEMGlic, and sMMGlic were recorded continuously during the manoeuvres and peak values determined for subsequent normalization of oesEMGlic recorded during the inspiratory loading protocol (see below). Each participant’s PImax value was used to determine the inspiratory threshold loads used in their individual incremental inspiratory threshold loading protocol.

Inspiratory threshold loading protocol. All participants performed an inspiratory threshold loading protocol at five inspiratory threshold loads set at 12% (L1), 24% (L2), 36% (L3), 48% (L4), and 60% (L5) of the subject’s PImax. Inspiratory threshold loads were generated using an electronic inspiratory muscle trainer (POWERbreathe K5; POWERbreathe International Ltd., Southam, UK) attached to the distal end of the pneumotachograph. The inspiratory muscle trainer had an electronically controlled resistance valve that provided a pressure threshold resistance, which was set using the associated software (Breathe-Link, POWERbreathe International Ltd., Southam, UK). Subjects were seated and breathed through the pneumotachograph via a mouthpiece with a noseclip in place. Baseline measurements were recorded during a minimum of 2 minutes of quiet tidal breathing, following which the inspiratory muscle trainer was attached to the pneumotachograph and the series of threshold loads was imposed. Subjects were not provided with any specific instructions to adopt a certain duty cycle, and were free to choose their own breathing frequency. Subjects were, however, informed that effort was needed to overcome the threshold loads, and they were therefore encouraged to focus on using their diaphragm, to perform quick deep inspirations and to ensure that expiration was complete before making their next inspiratory effort. Each load consisted of 30 breaths followed by a resting period to allow all objective and subjective respiratory measures to return to baseline. Participants were asked to score breathlessness intensity at the end of each load using the modified Borg scale (mBorg)23. Participants were coached to anchor responses to mBorg 0 (no breathlessness), mBorg 10 (maximum breathlessness intensity imaginable) and mBorg 5 (severe, half maximal).

Data analysis. LabChart data were exported as Matlab files, and analysed offline in the widely available Matlab R2014a software. All signal processing and data analysis procedures described below were automated.

Signal filtering and pre-processing. sMMGlic signals were resampled at 200 Hz and filtered with a 4th-order zero-phase Butterworth band-pass filter between 5 and 35 Hz. Each accelerometer simultaneously provided three sMMGlic signals (sMMGlic X, sMMGlic Y, and sMMGlic Z), representing acceleration of muscle fibre vibration along all three spatial directions. A new signal, representing the total acceleration of muscle fibre vibration measured by each accelerometer, was arithmetically calculated as the norm of the vector formed by the three sMMGlic signals, as follows:

$$|sMMGlic| = \sqrt{sMMGlic X^2 + sMMGlic Y^2 + sMMGlic Z^2}$$

oesEMGlic and sEMGlic signals were resampled at 2000 Hz, and filtered with a 4th-order zero-phase Butterworth band-pass filter between 5 and 400 Hz and with four 10th-order zero-phase notch filters to remove the power line interference at 50 Hz and its harmonics at 150, 250, and 350 Hz.

Respiratory cycle segmentation and selection. Flow and pressure signals were segmented into inspiratory and expiratory segments by means of a zero-crossing detector on the flow signal, as previously described24. After segmentation all cycles were visually inspected and those either containing artefacts within the EMG and MMG signals or having an unusual Pdi pattern were rejected. The following parameters were then calculated for each respiratory cycle: inspiratory time, total breath time, and mean Pdi. The median values of all respiratory cycles during resting breathing and threshold loading were then calculated and 10 cycles that contained the three parameters nearest to the median values were automatically selected, resulting in a total of sixty respiratory cycles for each subject.

Pdi parameters. The level of inspiratory muscle force during each respiratory cycle was calculated as the mean of the inspiratory Pdi signal. Transdiaphragmatic pressure-time product (PTPdi), the time-integral of Pdi, was also calculated for each respiratory cycle by multiplying the area under the curve of the inspiratory Pdi signal by the respiratory frequency, and had units of cmH2O·s·min. Both mean Pdi and PTPdi parameters were calculated after removal of the baseline from the inspiratory Pdi signal, which was determined for each respiratory cycle as the minimum level observed from the start of inspiration to the start of expiration (i.e. between points of zero flow).

Quantification of oesEMGlic signals using RMS. An additional 4th-order zero-phase Butterworth high-pass filter at 20 Hz was applied to oesEMGlic signals in order to reduce the P and T waves of electrocardiographic artefacts, and the low-frequency, large amplitude deflections in signal baseline produced by electrode motion and oesophageal peristalsis25. oesEMGlic signals were converted to RMS using a moving window of 50 ms with a one-sample step. The RMS peak values of oesEMGlic of a subject’s sixty respiratory cycles were then determined by manually analysing inspiratory oesEMGlic signal segments falling between QRS complexes of the electrocardiographic noise2. For each respiratory cycle, the highest value obtained across all five bipolar electrode pairs was selected (peak RMS oesEMGlic). As previously described26, the per-breath RMS peak values of oesEMGlic were expressed...
as percentages of the largest RMS peak value of oesEMG_{di} obtained throughout the inspiratory threshold loading protocol and the three maximal volitional manoeuvres (peak RMS oesEMG_{di(max)}).

Quantification of EMG and MMG signals using fSampEn. Sample entropy is a measure of the complexity of a time-series signal and depends on the regularity of a signal, so that the higher the regularity, the lower the complexity, and the lower the entropy of a signal. For a given signal, regularity is calculated as the probability given by the ratio A/B, where A and B are the number of pairs of signal segments of length m + 1 and m, respectively, that are similar, that is with a maximum sample-by-sample difference less than a predefined tolerance parameter (r). The input parameter m is commonly set at 2 samples. However, r is usually expressed as a function of the standard deviation of the signal analysed. In fSampEn, the sample entropy is calculated within a moving window, instead of over the whole signal, using a fixed r value. In this way, the fSampEn of a signal is a time-series whose values are higher not only when the signal is more complex, but also when the signal includes a wider range of amplitudes.

In this study, the oesEMG_{di}, sEMG_{ic}, and [sMMG_{ic}] signals were converted to fSampEn using a moving window of 750 ms with a 50-ms step and m = 2. The tolerance parameter, r, was set at 0.1 and 0.5 times the mean standard deviation EMG and MMG values, respectively, of respiratory cycles of the upper half loads. Values of fSampEn parameters were selected in accordance with the guidelines proposed by Estrada et al

The level of inspiratory muscle force and activation during each respiratory cycle was calculated as the mean inspiratory fSampEn of the MMG and EMG signals, respectively.

Analogous to PTP_{di}, a novel index, the entropy-time product (ETP), was calculated by multiplying the area under the curve of the inspiratory fSampEn of the MMG (ETP |sMMG_{ic}|) signals by the respiratory frequency. Thus, ETP had units of s·min^{-1}.

Statistical analysis. All data are expressed as median (interquartile range). Correlation coefficients were determined to measure the relationships between the recorded signals. Normality of all the parameters calculated for each subject was tested using Lilliefors tests. Since not all parameters had a normal distribution, and a linear relationship between them could not be assumed a priori, the degree of association between parameters was measured using Spearman’s rank correlation (ρ). The significance level for all correlations was set at 0.05. Statistical differences in breathing pattern (inspiratory time and respiratory rate), pressures (P_{mo} and P_{di}) and breathlessness (mBorg) between first and last loads of the loading protocol were analysed using non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank tests.

Within-subject correlation coefficients were calculated over the 60 respiratory cycles of each individual. A group mean correlation coefficient of the 12 participants was also calculated for each pair of parameters using the Fisher z-transform. After applying the Fisher z-transform to the correlation coefficients of the 12 participants, the transformed z-values were averaged, and the inverse Fisher z-transform was applied to the average z-value to convert it back to a group mean correlation coefficient.

The strength of correlation coefficients was interpreted according to Evans’ classification, where correlation coefficients between 0.2 and 0.39 represent a weak correlation, coefficients between 0.4 and 0.59 represent a moderate correlation, coefficients between 0.6 and 0.79 represent a strong correlation, and coefficients of 0.8 and above represent a very strong correlation.

Results

Twelve subjects (6 male, age 33 (30–38) years, BMI 22.2 (20.6–24.2) kg/m², FEV_{1} 98.0 (94.8–105.5) % of predicted, FVC 105.0 (91.5–110.2) % of predicted, FEV_{1}/FVC 82.0 (74.1–83.9) %) were recruited and completed the incremental inspiratory loading protocol.

Breathing pattern, pressure generation and breathlessness intensity during incremental inspiratory threshold loading. Representative recordings from a single subject during resting breathing and loads 1 to 5 of the inspiratory threshold loading protocol are shown in Fig. 1.

The group median (interquartile range) Plmax was 87.0 (78.0–116.5) cmH₂O equivalent to 109.0 (89.5–126.5) % of predicted values. The inspiratory threshold loads increased from 11 (10–14) cmH₂O during load 1 to 52 (47–70) cmH₂O during load 5. P_{mo} increased from 0.3 (0.3–0.4) cmH₂O during resting breathing to 53.7 (49.5–68.4) cmH₂O (P < 0.001) at the end of load 5. P_{di} increased from 11.1 (7.1–11.7) cmH₂O at rest to 64.4 (46.1–67.9) cmH₂O (P < 0.001) at the end of load 5. Inspiratory time ranged from 1.7 (1.4–2.1) to 2.2 (1.8–2.6) s (P = 0.11), and respiratory rate ranged from 13 (11–15) to 12 (10–13) breaths.min^{-1} (P = 0.27). mBorg breathlessness intensity increased from 0.5 (0.0–0.8) during load 1 to 4.0 (2.5–5.5) by the end of load 5 (P < 0.001).

Comparison between RMS and fSampEn for myographic signal analysis. RMS and fSampEn time-series of a representative subject’s myographic signals are shown in Fig. 2.

There was a very strong positive group mean correlation between mean fSampEn oesEMG_{di} and oesEMG_{di(max)} (ρ = 0.81) (Fig. 3). Individual correlation coefficients are shown in Table 1. All correlations were statistically significant (P < 0.05).

The RMS peaks corresponding to cardiac noise were clearly identifiable and greater in the RMS than in the fSampEn time-series (Fig. 2). This was quantified by calculating the level of cardiac noise as the average ratio, in decibels, between the peak value of segments with and without cardiac noise. This ratio was calculated for the expiratory phases of resting breathing and the final load of all RMS and fSampEn time-series shown in Fig. 2 (Table 2).

In light of the strong positive group mean correlation between mean fSampEn oesEMG_{di} and oesEMG_{di(max)} and the robustness of fSampEn to cardiac noise, from here on EMG and MMG data will be presented as the mean fSampEn value only.
Patterns of inspiratory muscle activation during incremental inspiratory threshold loading. In general, mean $P_{di}$, mean $f_{SampEn sEMG lic}$, and mean $f_{SampEn |sMMG lic|$ increased progressively during the inspiratory threshold loading protocol. However, mean $f_{SampEn oesEMG di}$ increased relatively less at higher than at lower loads (Fig. 4).

Correlations between invasive and non-invasive indices of inspiratory muscle force. Strong to very strong positive group mean correlations were obtained between mean $P_{di}$ and mean $f_{SampEn |sMMG lic|}$.
left ($\rho = 0.76$, Fig. 5a) and right ($\rho = 0.81$, Fig. 5b), and between PTP$_{di}$ and ETP $|sMMG_{lic}|$ left ($\rho = 0.78$, Fig. 5c) and right ($\rho = 0.83$, Fig. 5d). Individual correlation coefficients are shown in Table 3, and individual relationships between mean P$_{di}$ and mean fSampEn $|sMMG_{lic}|$, and between PTP$_{di}$ and ETP $|sMMG_{lic}|$ are shown in the Supplementary Fig. S1. Individual and group mean correlations between the time-integrals of P$_{di}$ and fSampEn $|sMMG_{lic}|$ signals are shown in the Supplementary Table S1. All correlations were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). These strong positive group mean correlations were observed despite an increase in the slope of the relationship between mean fSampEn $|sMMG_{lic}|$ and mean P$_{di}$ values at loads L2–L5 relative to loads L0–L2 (Fig. 5a,b, Supplementary Table S2).

Figure 3. Relationship between RMS- and fSampEn-derived measures of oesEMG$_{di}$. Data points represent median and interquartile range of the 120 respiratory cycles of the twelve study subjects for each load. The group mean correlation coefficient, $\rho$, of the twelve subjects was calculated using the Fisher z-transform. Dashed lines show the order of execution of the inspiratory threshold loads.

| Subject ID | Spearman's $\rho$ oesEMG$_{di\%max}$ – mean fSampEn oesEMG$_{di}$ |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1          | 0.67                                                      |
| 2          | 0.87                                                      |
| 3          | 0.83                                                      |
| 4          | 0.72                                                      |
| 5          | 0.85                                                      |
| 6          | 0.76                                                      |
| 7          | 0.76                                                      |
| 8          | 0.79                                                      |
| 9          | 0.84                                                      |
| 10         | 0.85                                                      |
| 11         | 0.67                                                      |
| 12         | 0.93                                                      |
| **Group mean** | **0.81**                                                 |

Table 1. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients ($\rho$) between oesEMG$_{di\%max}$ and mean fSampEn oesEMG$_{di}$. All correlations were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

|                          | oesEMG$_{di}$ | $sEMG_{in}$ left | $sEMG_{in}$ right | $|sMMG_{in}|$ left | $|sMMG_{in}|$ right |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| RMS at rest              | 27.16         | 21.36            | 13.07            | 6.56              | 0.98              |
| RMS at load 5            | 23.18         | 20.06            | 13.11            | 9.09              | 3.60              |
| fSampEn at rest          | 4.05          | 0.06             | 0.26             | 2.20              | −0.25             |
| fSampEn at load 5        | 0.52          | −0.52            | −0.33            | 1.06              | 1.25              |

Table 2. Level of cardiac noise, in decibels, of the RMS and fSampEn time-series shown in Fig. 2. The average ratio between the peak value of segments with and without cardiac noise was calculated for the expiratory phases.
Correlations between invasive oesEMG\textsubscript{di} and non-invasive sEMG\textsubscript{lic} measures. Very strong positive group mean correlations were obtained between mean fSampEn oesEMG\textsubscript{di} and mean fSampEn sEMG\textsubscript{lic} left ($\rho = 0.84$, Fig. 6a) and right ($\rho = 0.83$, Fig. 6b). Individual correlation coefficients are shown in Table 4. All correlations were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).
Discussion

In this study, sMMGlic, Pdi, sEMGlic and oesEMGdi have been measured simultaneously for the first time, allowing investigation of the relationship between non-invasive and invasive indices of inspiratory muscle force, and electrical activation, respectively. The main finding of this study was the observation of strong to very strong positive correlations between sMMGlic, a novel non-invasive index of inspiratory muscle force output, and the invasive gold standard measure of diaphragm pressure generation, Pdi. Very strong correlations were also observed between non-invasive sEMGlic and invasive oesEMGdi measures. Furthermore, this study is the first to demonstrate strong correlations between RMS- and fSampEn-derived measures of oesEMGdi, and, importantly, the superior signal-to-noise ratio of fSampEn over RMS analysis of oesEMGdi signals, including attenuation of the cardiac artefact. This supports the use of the fSampEn technique to analyse respiratory muscle electromyogram signals.

The peak force and power output of skeletal muscle depends upon numerous factors, including muscle and fibre size and length, muscle fibre type, force-velocity relationship and the force-frequency relationship. All of these factors are relevant to respiratory muscle function. It is not possible to measure the force produced by the diaphragm muscle directly, and the best index of this force is Pdi, which can be recorded either during voluntary manoeuvres or in response to phrenic nerve stimulation. Pdi is defined as the difference between intrapleural and abdominal pressure, and, in practice, is generally equated to the arithmetic difference between gastric and oesophageal pressure. Measurement of Pdi therefore requires insertion of balloon-catheters or a solid-state dual-pressure transducer tipped catheter, as used in the present study.

The strong relationship between sMMGlic and Pdi in the present study suggests that sMMGlic could provide a reliable non-invasive index of inspiratory muscle force output. The MMG signal recorded at the skin surface records the summation of the mechanical activity of single MUs as pressure waves generated by the active muscle fibres, and does not, therefore, provide a direct measure of muscle force. However, the pressure waves generated by muscle fibre activity have been suggested to reflect the mechanical aspects of muscle contraction: the gross lateral movement related to the overall change of muscle geometry at the beginning of contraction, the smaller

| Subject ID | Spearman's \( \rho \) Mean Pdi – Mean fSampEn | Spearman's \( \rho \) PTPdi – ETP | Mean fSampEn oesEMGdi |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|           | Mean sMMGlic                                   |                  |                   |
| Left      | Right                                         | Left            | Right            |
| 1         | 0.8                                           | 0.88            | 0.84             | 0.88             |
| 2         | 0.67                                          | 0.87            | 0.72             | 0.89             |
| 3         | 0.8                                           | 0.78            | 0.85             | 0.84             |
| 4         | 0.77                                          | 0.79            | 0.91             | 0.94             |
| 5         | 0.87                                          | 0.9             | 0.83             | 0.81             |
| 6         | 0.78                                          | 0.72            | 0.8              | 0.77             |
| 7         | 0.76                                          | 0.9             | 0.83             | 0.92             |
| 8         | 0.88                                          | 0.82            | 0.8              | 0.79             |
| 9         | 0.42                                          | 0.57            | 0.41             | 0.48             |
| 10        | 0.88                                          | 0.81            | 0.85             | 0.79             |
| 11        | 0.73                                          | 0.75            | 0.77             | 0.85             |
| 12        | 0.51                                          | 0.72            | 0.33             | 0.64             |
| Group mean| 0.76                                          | 0.81            | 0.78             | 0.83             |

Table 3. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (\( \rho \)) between invasive (Pdi) and non-invasive (sMMGlic) measures of inspiratory muscle force. Correlations between mean values, and between the corresponding time-integrals (PTPdi and ETP |sMMGlic|), are shown. All correlations were statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)).

Figure 6. Relationship between invasive and non-invasive measures of inspiratory muscle electrical activation recorded from the left (a) and right (b) sides, calculated as the mean of the fSampEn oesEMGdi and sEMGlic signals. Data points represent median and interquartile range of the 120 respiratory cycles of the twelve study subjects for each load. The group mean correlation coefficients, \( \rho \), of the twelve subjects were calculated using the Fisher z-transform. Dashed lines show the order of execution of the inspiratory threshold loads.
subsequent vibrations at the resonance frequency of the muscle, and the dimensional changes in the active muscle fibres. As such, sMMG has been extensively evaluated in non-respiratory muscle groups as a tool to investigate MU recruitment strategies, and as indirect indices of muscle function, including muscle force output and fatigability. Studies of locomotor, upper limb and intrinsic hand muscle function, have reported curvilinear relationships between the RMS sMMG and force output expressed as a % of maximum voluntary contraction (%MVC). sMMG has been observed to plateau, or even subsequently decrease, at higher activation levels in a manner that differs from muscle to muscle. Since the amplitude of MMG signals is related to both the tension increase and the velocity of tension increase during twitch and tetanic contractions, it has been postulated that a decrease in MMG amplitude at higher firing rates reflects fusion of the mechanical activity of MUs in relation to the elevated firing rate. It has therefore been proposed that the variation in RMS-sMMG/%MVC relationships between muscles corresponds to differences in MU activation strategy (MU recruitment vs frequency modulation) due to differences in muscle size and histochemical type. RMS-sMMG has been observed to plateau, or even subsequently decrease, at higher activation levels in a manner that differs from muscle to muscle. Since the amplitude of MMG signals is related to both the tension increase and the velocity of tension increase during twitch and tetanic contractions, it has been postulated that a decrease in MMG amplitude at higher firing rates reflects fusion of the mechanical activity of MUs in relation to the elevated firing rate. It has therefore been proposed that the variation in RMS-sMMG/%MVC relationships between muscles corresponds to differences in MU activation strategy (MU recruitment vs frequency modulation) due to differences in muscle size and histochemical type. RMS-sMMG has been observed to plateau, or even subsequently decrease, at higher activation levels in a manner that differs from muscle to muscle. Since the amplitude of MMG signals is related to both the tension increase and the velocity of tension increase during twitch and tetanic contractions, it has been postulated that a decrease in MMG amplitude at higher firing rates reflects fusion of the mechanical activity of MUs in relation to the elevated firing rate.

Table 4. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients (ρ) between invasive (oesEMGdi) and non-invasive (sEMGlic) signals. Correlations between mean values are shown. All correlations were statistically significant (P < 0.05).

| Subject ID | Left | Right |
|------------|------|-------|
| 1          | 0.82 | 0.83  |
| 2          | 0.87 | 0.89  |
| 3          | 0.82 | 0.82  |
| 4          | 0.79 | 0.81  |
| 5          | 0.82 | 0.87  |
| 6          | 0.89 | 0.89  |
| 7          | 0.76 | 0.67  |
| 8          | 0.86 | 0.83  |
| 9          | 0.87 | 0.9   |
| 10         | 0.83 | 0.84  |
| 11         | 0.85 | 0.79  |
| 12         | 0.88 | 0.71  |
| Group mean | 0.84 | 0.83  |

Few previous studies have investigated the utility of sMMG in respiratory muscle physiology. Petitjean and Bellemare, using condenser microphones, reported significant linear relationships between the amplitude of the right and left phonoygram and Pdi peak values, elicited by phrenic nerve stimulation. Recently, Sarlabous et al. used uniaxial accelerometers to record sMMG in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and healthy subjects during an incremental inspiratory flow protocol. Very strong correlations were observed between sMMG and peak inspiratory Pdi. There was also a strong correlation between sMMG and FEV1 in patients, suggesting the potential utility of sMMG as a novel non-invasive index of the mechanical load on the respiratory muscles. Distinct to this previous work, the present study employed triaxial accelerometers to record sMMG, allowing inspiratory muscle vibrations to be measured in all three spatial directions. Uniaxial accelerometers detect muscle mechanical activity in one spatial direction only and can therefore lead to an underestimation of muscle mechanical activation. Moreover, inspiratory threshold loading allowed the sMMG to be measured over a wider range of respiratory effort than the inspiratory flow protocol employed previously by Sarlabous et al.

Invasive measurement of Pdi and oesEMGdi is technically complex, requires some skill from the operator involved, may be time-consuming, and most importantly can be uncomfortable for study participants. In this regard, non-invasive techniques would facilitate the assessment of inspiratory muscle force and activation in physiological, and clinical, studies at scale. In contrast to sMMG, SEMG has been used extensively to assess respiratory muscle activation. Reilly et al. reported a very strong correlation between non-invasive parasternal intercostal muscle EMG (sEMGlic) and oesEMG during an incremental cycle exercise test to exhaustion in healthy subjects and in cystic fibrosis patients with chronic airway obstruction. PTPdi increased with increasing sEMG in healthy subjects, whereas PTPdi in cystic fibrosis patients plateaued at submaximal oesEMG and sEMG levels reflecting neuromechanical uncoupling as a consequence of impaired respiratory mechanics in chronic lung disease. Simultaneous recordings of sEMGlic and sMMGlic, as described in the present study, could therefore...
provide the potential to assess the relationships between electrical and mechanical activation of inspiratory muscles in a wholly non-invasive manner. The oesEMG\textsubscript{di} is increasingly recognized to provide an index of neural respiratory drive which, together with measures of respiratory muscle pressure generation, are facilitating a greater understanding of the neurophysiology of breathlessness perception in health and in respiratory disease\textsuperscript{13,32,36}. sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic} could therefore provide non-invasive estimates of neural respiratory drive to further this work at scale. A combination of sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic} could also provide clinically applicable non-invasive indices of respiratory muscle function that could be particularly useful in the following settings: monitoring of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease\textsuperscript{43,66}, weaning from mechanical ventilation in critical care settings\textsuperscript{57}, monitoring of diaphragm weakness as a prognostic factor in motor neuron disease\textsuperscript{1}, and monitoring patients who cannot reliably perform lung function\textsuperscript{64}. The increase in the slope of the relationship between the non-invasive measures (sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic}) and invasive measures (P\textsubscript{di} and oesEMG\textsubscript{di}) at the highest inspiratory threshold loads, which we suggest is due to increased extradiaphragmatic respiratory muscle activation, occurred at relatively high levels of diaphragm activation (median(IQR) oesEMG\textsubscript{di/\textsubscript{max}} was 50.7% (39.3%-61.7%) at load L2). This level of oesEMG\textsubscript{di/\textsubscript{max}} is higher than the values typically recorded at rest even in severe COPD patients\textsuperscript{4}, and approaches end-exercise values in health and in respiratory disease\textsuperscript{10,20,64}. This change in slope is therefore unlikely to significantly limit the utility of these non-invasive correlates of inspiratory muscle activation within typical clinical settings.

A major novel feature of this study is the use of the fSampEn to analyse the simultaneously recorded oesEMG\textsubscript{di}, sEMG\textsubscript{lic}, and sMMG\textsubscript{lic} signals. The fSampEn of a signal is a time-series whose values not only depend on the signal amplitude, but also on the signal complexity. Like RMS, fSampEn can track amplitude changes evoked by EMG and MMG activity. However, since cardiac artefacts are much more regular (less complex) than EMG and MMG signals, which are less random in nature, fSampEn is less influenced by cardiac artefacts than RMS, as previously described for sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic} signals\textsuperscript{17}. In this study, the potential of fSampEn to reduce cardiac artefacts has been shown, for the first time, in oesEMG\textsubscript{di} signals, which are more affected by cardiac cross-talk than sEMG\textsubscript{lic} and sMMG\textsubscript{lic} signals. Based on the advantageous properties of fSampEn, the entropy-time product has been proposed in this study as a new index to measure inspiratory muscle force output from sMMG\textsubscript{lic} signals. The ETP parameter allows inspiratory muscle activity to be analysed over the whole inspiratory phase of a respiratory cycle regardless of cardiac noise which can be markedly elevated depending on recording site. Accordingly, no relevant differences have been found between correlation values of left and right sides in healthy subjects. However, an RMS-based analysis, which has been the conventional approach to analyse EMG signals, implies prior rejection of signal segments that contain cardiac noise, and therefore provides only coarse estimates of inspiratory muscle activity, since only the RMS peak value of the signal generated during muscle activation is analysed. Moreover, this is a subjective and time-consuming task when performed manually. Although some automatic algorithms have been previously proposed to remove cardiac noise from EMG signals\textsuperscript{69–71}, these algorithms involve the recording of an extra electrocardiographic channel for QRS complexes detection.

Despite the potential for using sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic} to assess inspiratory muscle force and activation non-invasively, we acknowledge limitations of our study, which invites further investigation. Firstly, although our findings suggest that sEMG\textsubscript{lic} and sMMG\textsubscript{lic} are useful indices of inspiratory muscle function, these measures are not specific for the diaphragm, since, as discussed above, we cannot exclude the contribution of extradiaphragmatic chest wall and abdominal musculature to these non-invasive signals, particularly during loaded breathing\textsuperscript{2,3}. There is no consensus standard for optimum surface EMG electrode positioning during non-invasive assessment of diaphragm activation, with several recording sites having been suggested for recording electrode placement\textsuperscript{3,67,68,79}. There is also no consensus on methods to maintain electrode orientation with respect to the muscle fibres or to control for the influence of variable muscle-to-electrode distance, such as subcutaneous fat or chest wall deformities that produce variable muscle-to-electrode filtering effects\textsuperscript{3}. The participants in our study cohort were twelve healthy subjects with BMI values within the normal range and the effect of BMI in sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and sEMG\textsubscript{lic} measures, respectively, in healthy subjects. The strong correlation between sMMG\textsubscript{lic} and P\textsubscript{di} suggests that sMMG\textsubscript{lic} could provide a novel non-invasive correlate of inspiratory muscle force through which to further the study of the physiology, and pathophysiology, of inspiratory muscle function in health and disease.

Data Availability

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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