Third cranial nerve palsy in an 88-year-old man after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination: change of injection site and type of vaccine resulted in an uneventful second dose with humoral immune response
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SUMMARY
Vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 currently authorised by the European Medicine Agency are effective, safe and well tolerated in practice. Awareness of rare potential vaccine-related adverse effects (AEs) is important to improve their recognition, management and reporting. An 88-year-old man attended the emergency department with incomplete palsy of the right third cranial nerve 3 days after the first administration of Moderna mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Imaging ruled out a vascular accident and a vaccine AE was hypothesised. Two weeks of oral steroids led to the patient’s recovery, but without evidence of humoral immune response to vaccine. Thus, full immunisation with a dose of Pfizer mRNA-BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in a different site was attempted. This was uneventful and followed by a robust antibody response. Empirical change of site and vaccine brand may represent a tailored option to obtain full immune protection in selected patients, after vaccine AEs.

BACKGROUND
Rapid, large-scale, novel SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have thrown a spotlight on rare adverse reactions, including inflammation-mediated immune reactions. Among the most frequently reported, post-vaccinal nerve paralyses, in particular facial, raise the suspicion of a central nervous system (CNS) thrombotic event and need a specific and fast differential diagnosis in order to put into place an appropriate treatment. A recent published analysis of nerve paralyses after mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccines by the WHO pharmacovigilance database concluded that their incidence is not higher than other vaccines. Although events of third nerve paralyses have not been published so far, only a few cases post-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine are present in the US Vaccine Adverse Event (AE) Reporting System among the 587 cases reported for diplopia, as searched on 3 August 2021 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention wonder https://wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html), similar to other inactivated or live-attenuated vaccines. In addition to the fact that the mechanism of post-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine on nerve paralyses has not yet been elucidated, the conduct necessary to complete the vaccination schedule and immunisation status after such event has not yet been established either.

CASE PRESENTATION
We report the case of an 88-year-old man, with a medical history of ischaemic heart disease, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation on anticoagulant therapy, aortic insufficiency and hypertension. No diabetes was reported.

Three days after the first administration of Moderna mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in the right deltoid, he was brought to the emergency department for objective dizziness, diplopia and gait instability. Nasopharyngeal swab real time PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) for SARS-CoV-2 resulted negative and no signs of active infection were detected. He appeared alert, cooperative, with the right eye abducted and deviated downwards as a unique neurological sign. Brain CT scan, CT angiography and MRI ruled out a vascular accident. Given the temporal relationship, an incomplete palsy of the right third cranial nerve by SARS-CoV-2 vaccine was hypothesised and reported to the Italian Drug Agency Database.

The patient (weight 65 kg) received prednisone 25 mg/day (0.38 mg/kg/day) for 1 week then gradually tapered off over a period of 2 weeks until suspension. This steroid schedule was at a lower dose than that usually adopted for the treatment of cranial nerve palsies, but eventually led to a fast recovery of the clinical manifestations in our patient after 5 days of treatment.

The choice to adopt a lower dose of steroids was undertaken in consideration of the age of the patient and in order to try not to blunt the vaccine response. However, serum antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain (RBD), performed by luciferase immunoprecipitation system (0.24 arbitrary units (AU)) and by routine assay (<0.4 U/mL), resulted negative 2 and 3 weeks after vaccination, respectively. On the other hand, T cell proliferative responses to the SARS-CoV-2 S protein were detectable (Stimulation Index (SI): 19), along with normal proliferative responses to mitogens (PHA, anti-CD3) as well as to other viral antigens (Cytomegalovirus, Varicella-Zoster virus, Herpes simplex virus). This suggested that, in the presence of a normal T cell response, the steroid course may have had mainly blunted the humoral responses.

Given the fragility of the subject and his high-level risk of COVID-19, the treating physician considered a positive benefit–risk ratio in favour of a full immunisation with a second dose of a different vaccine to decrease the risk of an AE recurrence.
Empirically, a dose of Pfizer mRNA-BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in the left quadriceps femoris, contralateral to the first vaccine site and nerve paralysis, was administered, in order to reduce the risk of proximity to a potential inflammatory/immune reaction. In point of fact, the second vaccine administration was uneventful and resulted in a further increase of T lymphocyte proliferative response specific to the SARS-CoV-2 S peptide (SI: 45). Of note, a robust IgG titre to RBD (272.8 AU) and spike proteins (S2 474.3 AU) was also detected 3 weeks after.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The patient was followed up with serial clinical evaluations and did not show any signs or symptoms after the second vaccine administration.

DISCUSSION

Mass vaccination during the pandemic has been initiated prioritising the population at high risk of severe SARS-CoV-2 disease, including the elderly >80 years of age. Acute peripheral facial nerve paralysis as an AE following immunisation is a rare adverse reaction (1 of 10 000 to 1 of 1000) described in the product information of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines. However, the incidence of nerve palsy, including facial, is not reported as being higher than other vaccines, such as the Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine, the pneumococcal 7-valent conjugate, diphtheria, tetanus, whole-cell pertussis vaccine, as well as measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. Moreover, a recent study demonstrated that the level of reporting of facial nerve palsies after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination was generally equivalent to those following influenza vaccines prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Since the first clinical studies on BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccines (US Food and Drug Administration 2021), unilateral facial nerve palsy has been observed or published in single reports of patients with recurrent or de novo post-vaccine Bell’s palsy. Aside from the most frequently involved facial nerve, published cases involved abducens nerve palsy 2 days after Pfizer-BioNTech SARS-CoV-2 vaccine and other disimmune ocular AEs. Recently, a report of multiple left-sided cranial neuropathies (an incomplete oculomotor palsy without ptosis, partial abducens palsy, hypoesthesia in middle and lower trigeminal nerve distributions, as well as facial nerve palsy—House-Brackmann grade III) has been described in a patient whose symptoms started 6 days after receiving the first dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.

No defined mechanism has been identified for cranial nerve palsies following SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination. Post-vaccine nerve palsy could be interpreted as an autoimmune phenomenon, occurring via either mimicry of host molecules by the vaccinal antigen or activation of quiescent autoreactive T cells. Another hypothesis is that the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine might induce innate immune activation from a combined effect of mRNA and lipids, potentially including interferon production that could transiently break peripheral tolerance.

Pathophysiology behind COVID-19-related cranial nerve palsy includes direct invasion of endothelial cells by SARS-CoV-2 and indirect damage through pro-inflammatory attack of infected leucocytes. A viral-like inflammatory reaction to the vaccine, with resulting immune-mediated indirect insult along the nerve and subsequent demyelination or localised nerve blood flow reduction, has also been hypothesised. Moreover, along with a deeper knowledge of the pathophysiology of facial nerve palsy after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination or infection, it will be interesting to understand how they may differ from other manifestations of immune-dysregulation at CNS level, such as Guillain-Barré syndrome or myasthenia gravis.

The massive vaccination programme has highlighted the occurrence of neurological paralyses as potential vaccinal AEs, which sometimes simulated vascular accidents in elderly patients with anamnesis of vasculopathies.

The outcome of SARS-CoV-2 immunisation and the continuation of the immunisation programme in these subjects have not been explored to date in the scientific literature.

This report highlights the need to find alternative strategies to complete the vaccination schedule safely in subjects having experienced AEs to the first dose of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Change of vaccine injection site and/or vaccine type may represent a potential option to be explored further. The change of site can reduce the risk of relapse of nerve palsy, possibly elicited by cytokines and/or inflammatory cells migrating toward the affected tissue. The change of vaccine brand may reduce the risk of immune reactions elicited by various vaccine components, which may mimic nerve membrane molecules.

In conclusion, this report is informative for neurologists and emergency care physicians in the context of global SARS-CoV-2 vaccine campaign, while further analyses are needed to clarify the association of third cranial nerve palsy following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Moreover, completing the vaccination schedule to achieve full protection in subjects at risk of severe COVID-19 remains challenging and could be evaluated as a tailored strategy in selected patients.

Learning points

► Nerve paralyses after the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, in particular facial, raise the suspicion of a central nervous system thrombotic event, in particular in elderly patients with a history of vascular accidents.

► Our case is the first reported case of third cranial nerve palsy occurring after the first administration of Moderna mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.

► There are no current data from literature on the conduct necessary to complete the vaccination schedule and immunisation status in subjects having experienced post-vaccinal nerve palsy.

► We demonstrated the efficacy and safety of a second dose of Pfizer mRNA-BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 vaccination performed in a different site in producing a protective humoral response in an elderly person having experienced a severe vaccine complication and no antibody response. Empirical change of site and vaccine brand may represent a strategy to obtain full immune protection in selected patients, after vaccine adverse events.

► Reports of episodes of post-vaccinal nerve palsy should continue to be published in order to better define the pathophysiology of this adverse event and the association with the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines.

► Temporal association between SARS-CoV-2 vaccine and nerve palsy is not proof of causation and, as cases are reported, further research is needed both to establish causality and mechanism.
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Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.
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