Are allergic reactions to indocyanine green really that uncommon? A single institution experiences
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Introduction

Indocyanine Green (ICG) is a compound that was developed in the 1950s by Kodak. It was meant to be employed in the photography. In 1956 its use via intravenous injection was approved in the medical field. Since then, it has mainly been employed in ophthalmology where it is used for Fluor angiographies. Since a few years, ICG has successfully been employed in Sentinel Lymph Node (SLN) mapping [1]. After an off label interstitial injection of the tracer, a fluorescent signal can be elicited with a dedicated device that emits light in the Near Infrared (NIR) wavelength. This allows for a easy identification of the SLN. In gynecologic oncology this tracer has proven to be at least equivalent to the combination of technetium-99m Tc-99m and blue dyes as far as detection rates goes [2-6]. ICG seems to perform better in obese patients and is user friendlier as it does not require a preoperative intracervical injection as is the case with Tc-99m. This ease of use is perceived by the patients as a better quality of delivered care [7]. Furthermore, its toxicity profile seems to compare well with that of the other tracers that are commonly employed for SLN mapping [8]. The information on the toxicity profile of the ICG mainly relates to the ophthalmologic literature, where this compound has been employed for a long interval of time. ICG is considered to be a safe drug with an estimated rate of allergic reactions of 0.05% [9].

Case report

We report a case of a 65-year-old woman affected by a carcinosarcoma that was clinically and radiologically confined to the uterus who underwent an anaphylactic reaction to ICG. The patient was planned to undergo a laparoscopic hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, SLN biopsy followed by a pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy. After a general anesthesia was obtained, a laparoscopy was performed. After having obtained a pneumoperitoneum, having inserted the optic trocar and three ancillary trocars and having explored the abdominal organs to rule out pneumoperitoneum, having inserted the optic trocar and three ancillary trocars and having explored the abdominal organs to rule out the allergic reaction. The patient war re-operated on ten days later. A laparoscopy with total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy was performed without complications.

Discussion

In our cohort of 234 patients (58 cervical cancer patients and 176 endometrial cancer patients) who have been subjected to interstitial injection of ICG for SLN mapping since 2013 this is the only case of allergic reaction that we have recorded, accounting for an incidence of severe allergic reactions of 0.4%. No other adverse effects of any grade have been recorded in our cohort of patients. In the past few years several series on patients undergoing ICG SLN mapping for various oncologic diagnoses have been published and no severe reactions have been reported so far. Although there is a tendency to underreport negative results and complications in the literature, it is plausible that the real incidence of an anaphylactic reaction to ICG is lower than what we have recorded. Still, severe allergic reaction is much more common to blue dyes as compared to ICG with a reported rate that varies between 0.7% and 1.9% [10-14].

Our patient was injected with a relatively high dose of ICG. Different centers have been using different doses and concentrations of ICG for SLN mapping which are typically lower than the one adopted at our institution, ranging from 0,5 to 2,5 mg/ml [2,15]. It is unlikely that the higher dose of ICG injected is responsible for the recorded allergic reaction.

An anaphylactic shock is a dramatic life-threatening event that can occur with virtually any medication. Depending on the mechanism of action, allergic reactions are divided into four types:

- Type I: IgE mediated. This type of allergic reaction is characterized by a rapid response that occurs in minutes. Free antigens cross link the IgE on mast cells and basophils causing a release of vasoactive biomolecules. This type of reaction can be responsible for anaphylactic reactions.
• Type II: IgM or IgG mediated. Ig G or Ig M antibodies bind to cell surface antigens, with subsequent complement fixation. This type of reaction is responsible for, among others, autoimmune hemolytic anemia.

• Type III: IgG mediated. Circulating antigen-antibody immune complexes deposit in postcapillary venules, with subsequent complement fixation. This type of reaction is responsible for the lupus erythematosus systemic.

• Type IV: T-cell mediated. Helper T cells are activated by an antigen presenting cell. At the subsequent exposure, the memory T helper cells will activate macrophages and cause an inflammatory response. This type of reaction is responsible, among others, for contact dermatitis.

Based on their severity allergic reactions are classified in three grades:

- Grade 1: urticaria or blue hives, Pruritus, and/or a generalized rash;
- Grade 2: transient hypotension (systolic blood pressure ≥ 70 mm Hg) not requiring vasopressors;
- Grade 3: hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 70 mm Hg) requiring vasopressor support. Grade 3 reactions are life threatening and typically require an ICU admission.

ICG contains sodium iodide and, according to the manufacturer, it should be used with caution in patients who have a history of allergy to iodides because of the risk of anaphylaxis. Since iodine is a chemical element that is an essential component of the human body, some authors suggest that ICG can be safely employed in patients with iodine allergy since a type-I allergic reaction (antibody mediated, responsible for anaphylactic shock) can virtually not occur [16]. Still, type I reactions may occur to other excipients of ICG.

It is crucial to be aware of the potential allergic reactions that may occur after the administration of ICG and the anesthesiologist should always be notified when ICG is injected. Overall ICG remains a very safe product that performs better than the other conventional tracers (such as Tc-99m and blue dyes alone or in combination) when adopted for the SLN mapping in gynecologic oncology [2-6,8]. Although adverse reactions resulting from an intravenous or an interstitial injection of ICG are expected to be the same, since ICG has only been approved by FDA for intravenous injection, it is crucial to inform the patients undergoing SLN mapping on its off-label use as adverse reactions may otherwise lead to unpleasant medico-legal consequences.
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