Abstract: In this article, a computationally-efficient approach is presented for Bit Error Rate (BER) calculations in multi-user Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) frequency selective fading environments, operating in spatial multiplexing transmission mode. To this end, theoretical expressions for the equivalent Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR) per active user and transmission mode, as well as mean BER, are derived. The key idea is that all parameters related to BER calculations can be expressed as sums of identically distributed random variables (RVs). Hence, computational burden can be reduced, since summation formulas take into account the parameters of a standalone RV along with the correlation of an arbitrary pair of RVs. As results indicate, the proposed approach can accurately estimate mean BER in multiuser MIMO orientations with increased reception diversity order, for arbitrary number of transmit/receive antennas, a modulation scheme, and a number of resolvable multi-path components.
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1. Introduction

A challenging task in the design and implementation of next generation broadband wireless networks is data rate maximization for all active users, under limited bandwidth constraints. To this end, several approaches in literature have been proposed, such as the deployment of multiple input multiple output (MIMO) architecture at both ends of the wireless orientation [1]. In this case, two operational modes can be supported: diversity combining (DC), where the same information is sent and received from all antennas of the MIMO orientation, as well as spatial multiplexing (SM), where individual data streams are sent and received from the various modes of the MIMO link [2]. In DC, the mean bit error rate (BER) is improved, as outage probability is minimized, since information is sent and received from all the antennas of the MIMO configuration. In SM, transmission rates can be maximized, at the cost of increased reception complexity, since the diversity order of the system is reduced.

However, in realistic wireless orientations, actual performance improvement from the deployment of MIMO architecture may be significantly different from the theoretically expected one, due to multiple access interference (MAI) [3]. In this context, various studies in recent literature have focused on estimating performance metrics in MIMO orientations. In Reference [3], the authors have derived the outage probability and capacity of cellular MIMO systems with SM and linear receivers, using the
code division multiple access (CDMA) physical layer protocol. As results indicate, capacity can be severely affected in the presence of multiple interferences.

In Reference [4], a CDMA network is taken into account as in Reference [3], where BER is evaluated in a Nakagami-m fading channel, considering multiple antennas at the receiver side. In Reference [5], performance metrics are presented comparing the outage probability and transmission rate of DC and SM, including a use case with the Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) protocol. According to the presented results, the increase in the available degrees of freedom offered by SM schemes can be beneficial for the overall performance of the wireless orientation, provided that channel coding and other key features are adequately modelled. In Reference [6], an interference scenario of a heterogeneous network has been considered, where SM interferes with spatial modulation. As results indicate, in strong SM interference scenarios, significant performance degradation may occur.

In Reference [7], BER is derived for massive MIMO systems, using the orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) physical layer protocol. In this context, a reduced complexity approximation is introduced, using Newton’s interpolation with different polynomial orders for log-likelihood ratio calculations. In Reference [8], the probability of error for a space-time spreading scheme in the CDMA system operating in Nakagami-m fast-fading channels using a decorrelator detector and BPSK modulation has been derived, for MIMO systems with two transmit and L receive antennas. In Reference [9], BER of MIMO-OFDM due to the frequency offset and channel estimation errors has been analyzed. The BER expressions for no combining, equal gain combining (EGC) and maximal ratio combining (MRC) were derived. Intercarrier interference (ICI) and interantenna interference (IAI) due to the residual frequency offsets were analyzed, and the average signal-to-interference-and-noise ratio (SINR) was derived. In Reference [10], BER calculations for downlink multi-user MIMO systems with imperfect channel state information (CSI) are presented, considering MIMO-OFDMA and space time block coding (STBC) scenarios. Performance evaluation of massive MIMO (M-MIMO) systems (e.g., codebook design [11], implementation issues [12], etc.) is a recent challenging research field for next generation networks [13,14]. In this context, in Reference [15], the performance evaluation of BER for an M-MIMO system with M-ary PSK (phase shift keying) scheme over a three-dimensional (3-D) correlated fading model is presented, which involves correlation phenomena between angles of arrival and departure. In Reference [16], the effects of spatial correlation are highlighted in BER calculations in M-MIMO systems.

In all the previously mentioned studies, however, either a limited number of antennas/active users or non-frequency selective fading environments were considered. In this article, a computationally efficient BER calculation method is proposed and evaluated, for various MIMO orientations. To this end, BER can be calculated for a varying number of transmit/receive antennas, as well as resolvable multi-path components. Therefore, the maximum number of active users for a specific quality of service (equivalently express via the maximum BER) can be directly calculated. Although the CDMA physical layer protocol has been considered, the same formula can be applied in OFDMA networks as well.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. The MIMO SM transceiver model is described in Section 2, while BER calculations along with the proposed approach for SINR estimation are presented in Section 3. Results are presented and discussed in Section 4, while concluding remarks along with proposals for future work are described in Section 5.

2. MIMO Spatial Multiplexing Transceiver Model

Considering the downlink of an arbitrary MIMO-CDMA orientation with N_t antennas at the transmitter and N_r antennas at the receiver (N_t × N_r) then the transmitted signal for the k^{th} (1 ≤ k ≤ K) user will be given by the equation below [17].

\[
x_k(t) = t_k \sqrt{P_k} \mathbf{b}_k(t) \mathbf{c}_k(t)
\] (1)
By defining $\text{dim} = \min (N_t, N_r)$ as the dimension of the MIMO channel, then $x_k(t)$ is the $\text{dim} \times 1$ transmission signal matrix, $P_k$ is a diagonal $\text{dim} \times \text{dim}$ matrix indicating the transmission power per data stream, $b_k(t)$ is the $\text{dim} \times 1$ symbol matrix, $c_q$ is the Gold coding sequence [17], and $t_k$ is the $\text{dim} \times \text{dim}$ precoding matrix. The various data streams in the $b_k$ matrix will also be referred to as modes throughout the rest of this article. The received signal per active user can be described as a superposition of the $L$ multipath components from all active users.

$$y_k(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} H_{k,l}x_k(t - \tau_l) + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k' = 1, k' \neq k}^{K} H_{k,l}x_{k'}(t - \tau_l) + n_k$$  

(2)

where $\tau_{l/H_{k,l}}$ are the delay and channel coefficient of the $l$th multipath component ($1 \leq l \leq L$) and $n_k$ is the corresponding Gaussian $\text{dim} \times 1$ noise. The real and complex parts of each element of the channel matrix are assumed to be normally distributed with zero mean value and a variance equal to 0.5.

This signal is processed by a bank of $L$ 2-D RAKE receivers, where the time of arrival of each multipath component along with the corresponding channel coefficient are estimated [18]. The output $\text{dim} \times 1$ signal of the $l$th RAKE finger after correlation with the desired spreading sequence and MRC will be given by the Equation below.

$$z_{k,l} = r_{k,l} \left\{ \frac{H_{k,l}t_k^{1/2}b_{k,0} + \sum_{l'=1, l' \neq l}^{L} H_{k,l'}t_k^{1/2}(\rho_{k,k,l',l'}b_{k,-1} + \overline{\rho}_{k,k,l',l'}b_{k,0}) + \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{k'=1, k' \neq k}^{K} H_{k,l'}t_k^{1/2}(\rho_{k,k',l',l'}b_{k',-1} + \overline{\rho}_{k,k',l',l'}b_{k',0}) + n_k}{(4)} \right\}$$

(3)

where $\rho_{k,k,l,l'}$ and the corresponding conjugate $\overline{\rho}_{k,k,l,l'}$ are the partial cross correlations [4], $r_{k,l} = (H_{k,l}t_k)^H$ is the MRC multiplying matrix ($A^H$ is the conjugate transpose of matrix $A$), $b_{k,0}$ is the symbol matrix at the current symbol period, and $b_{k,-1}$ is the corresponding matrix at the previous symbol period. Assuming independent standard normal matrices among the various users of the MIMO, CDMA network, the signal power of the desired user, the Intersymbol Interference (ISI), MAI power, and the total noise power will be given by Equations (4)–(7), respectively.

$$P_{k,k} = P_k t_k \left\{ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L} r_{k,l} H_{l,k} \right)^H \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L} r_{k,l} H_{l,k} \right) \right\}^{1/2}$$

(4)

$$P_{\text{ISI},k} = P_k \left\{ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{l'=1}^{L} (r_{k,l} H_{l',k} t_k)^H \right)^2 + 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{l'=1}^{L} (r_{k,l} H_{l',k} t_k)(\rho_{k,k,l,l'}b_{k,-1} + \overline{\rho}_{k,k,l,l'}b_{k,0}) \right) \right\}$$

(5)
where \( N_o \) is the noise level and \( \text{Re}(x) \) is the real part of \( x \).

All output expressions from Equations (4)–(7) are \( \dim \times \dim \) matrices. In order to avoid interstream interference, appropriate transmission matrices should be selected, so as to provide diagonal expressions for each \( P_{s,k} \) matrix.

3. BER Calculation in Frequency Selective Fading MIMO Orientations

Each diagonal element of \( P_{s,k} \) can be equivalently written using the Equation below.

\[
\gamma_{k,d}^2 = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{q=1}^{N_l} \zeta_{k,d,l,q} \right)^2 P_{k,d}
\]  

(8)

where

\[
\zeta_{k,d,l,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} H_{k,l,q,d} t_{k,l,q,d}
\]  

(9)

In Equation (9), \( P_{k,d} \) is the power allocated to the \( d \)th transmission mode. In the remainder of this article, the \( i \)th entry of matrix \( X \) will be denoted as \( X_i \). In this context, in Equations (8) and (9), \( H_{k,l,q,d} \) represents the channel coefficient from the \( q \)th transmit antenna (1 ≤ \( q \) ≤ \( N_t \)) to the \( q \)th receiver antenna (1 ≤ \( q \) ≤ \( M_r \)) for the \( l \)th multipath and \( t_{k,l,q,d} \) the \((q,d)\) element of the precoding matrix \( t_k \).

The summation of a large number of random variables (RVs) is normally distributed, where mean and standard deviation values are given by the equation below.

\[
H_{o,d} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{q=1}^{N_l} E\left( \zeta_{k,d,l,q} \right)
\]

\[
\sigma_{o,d} = \sqrt{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{q=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \sum_{q'=1}^{N_l} E\left( \left( \zeta_{k,d,l,q} - \zeta_{k,d,l',q'} \right)^2 \right) }
\]

(10)

according to the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) [19]. In Equation (10), \( \left( \zeta_{k,d,l,q} \right) \) represents the mean value of \( \zeta_{k,d,l,q} \). At this point, it is reasonable to assume that all \( \zeta_{k,d,l,q} \) variables have similar probability density function (pdf) curves with the same mean (\( \mu_q \)) and standard deviation (\( \sigma_q \)). Under this assumption, Equation (10) can be written by using the formula below.

\[
\mu_{o,d} = LN_t \mu_{d}, \sigma_{o,d} = \sigma_d \sqrt{LN_t \left( 1 + \rho_{o,d} (LN_r - 1) \right) }
\]

(11)

where \( \rho_{o,d} \) is the correlation coefficient for an arbitrary pair \( \left( \zeta_{k,d,l,q}, \zeta_{k,d,l',q'} \right) \). Moreover, MAI from the \( k' \)th user can be expressed by Equation (12) below.

\[
MAI_{k',d} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{q=1}^{N_l} \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \sum_{d'=1}^{\dim} \sum_{v=1}^{N_t} h_{k,l,q,v} t_{k,l,q,v} \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{L} \sum_{d'=1}^{\dim} \sum_{v=1}^{N_t} h_{k,l',q,v} t_{k,l',q,v} \right) b_{o,k',d'} \sqrt{P_{k',d}}
\]

\[
b_{o,k',d'} = p_{k,k',l'+l} |b_{k,l'+1} + \bar{p}_{k,k',l+l} b_{k,l'}| \rho_{o,d}
\]

(12)
where, as before the real and complex part of each, the MAIk′,d variable is normally distributed, according to CLT. The equivalent SINR for the kth user and dth transmission dimension will be given by Equation (13) below (Im(x) is the imaginary part of x).

\[
SINR_{k,d} \approx \frac{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{q=1}^{N_i} \sum_{l=1}^{N_i} H_{i,q,l,k',d} \right)^2 P_{k,d}}{\text{Re} \left( \sum_{k' = 1, k' \neq k}^{K} MAIk_{k',d} \right)^2 + \text{Im} \left( \sum_{k' = 1, k' \neq k}^{K} MAIk_{k',d} \right)^2}
\]

(13)

where ISI and noise power can be neglected for increased MAI scenarios. The denominator of SINR_{k,d} is Chi-squared distributed \([19]\), since it represents sum of squares of normal RVs. However, an alternate representation based on Gamma distribution will be considered, in order to include the special case of correlated sums.

Considering two RVs X and Y, then the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the ratio \(Z = Y/X\) can be derived using the formula below \([19]\) (SINR_{k,d} \geq 0).

\[
F_Z(z) = P(Y \leq zX, X > 0) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^z f_Y(y)dy f_X(x)dx
\]

(14)

The differentiation of \(F_Z(z)\) leads to the pdf of \(Z\).

\[
f_Z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} F_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty [xf_Y(xz)] f_X(x)dx
\]

(15)

Throughout the rest of this manuscript, all variables will be indexed by the corresponding transmission dimension (i.e., \(d\)). Therefore, if \(Y_d\) denotes the square of \(\gamma_{k,d}\), which is normally distributed with mean/standard deviation equal to \(\mu_{Y_d}/\sigma_{Y_d}\), respectively, and \(X_d\) is the denominator of Equation (13), then the following is true.

\[
f_{Z_d}(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu_{Y_d})^2}{2\sigma_{Y_d}^2}} \frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{Y_d}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_{Y_d}^2}} \frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{Y_d}} e^{-\frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\sigma_{Y_d}} z^2} dz
\]

(16)

where \(\Gamma(x)\) is the Gamma function and \(\alpha_d/\theta_d\) denote the shape/scale parameter of the Gamma distribution. If \(u = \sqrt{x}\) then \(du = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} dx\). Hence, the equation below is found.

\[
f_{Z_d}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_d/\theta_d)} e^{-\frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\theta_d^2}} \frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\sqrt{\pi} \theta_d^2} \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\theta_d^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_{Y_d}}{\theta_d^2} u^2\right) du
\]

(17)

For large number of users and minimum correlation, it can be assumed that \(\alpha_d = \lfloor \alpha_d \rfloor\), where \(\lfloor x \rfloor\) is the integer part of \(x\). Starting from the known integral, Equation (18) is found, which is shown below.

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-sx^2}dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4s}} \left(1 + \text{erf}\left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{s}}\right)\right)
\]

(18)

and considering the Taylor series expansion for \(\text{erf}(x)\), then Equation (19) is uncovered.
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-gx^2+px} dx = e^{\frac{p^2}{4g^2}} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{4g} s^{-1/2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n p^{2n+1}}{n! (2n+1)^{2n+1} g^{n+1}} \right\}
\]

(19)

Using the \(a_d\)th derivative of Equation (19) with respect to \(g\), \(f_{Z_d}(z)\) can be written as:

\[
f_{Z_d}(z) = \frac{e^{\frac{-z^2}{2\sigma_{Z_d}^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{Z_d}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{\pi} n!} \left( \frac{z^2}{2\sigma_{Z_d}^2} \right)^{n+1} \prod_{j=0}^{n} (\frac{-j - \frac{1}{2}}{\sigma_{Z_d}^2}) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\frac{-n - j}{\sigma_{Z_d}^2}) \right\}
\]

(20)

For the derivation of the Gamma pdf, the Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimation (MLPE) method in Reference [20] is taken into account. Lastly, for the BPSK modulation equivalent, BER can be expressed as Reference [21] (Q function is considered in the integral).

\[
BER = \left( \frac{1}{\text{dim}} \right) \sum_{d=1}^{\text{dim}} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q\left( \sqrt{2z} f_{Z_d}(z) \right) dz
\]

(21)

Note that for the derivation of Equations (17) and (20), it has been assumed that \(X_d\) and \(Y_d\) are uncorrelated. In the opposite case, the following transformation can be applied.

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{Y_d} &\leftarrow \sigma_{Y_d} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{X_d,Y_d}^2} \\
\mu_{Y_d} &\leftarrow \mu_{Y_d} - \rho_{X_d,Y_d} \mu_{X_d} \left( \frac{\sigma_{Y_d}}{\sigma_{X_d}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

In Equation (22), \(\rho_{X_d,Y_d}\) is the correlation coefficient of \(X_d\) and \(Y_d\).

\[
\rho_{X_d,Y_d} = \frac{\text{cov}(Y_d,X_d)}{\sigma_{Y_d} \sigma_{X_d}}
\]

(23)

In the proposed approach, transmission matrices are iteratively calculated (Table 1), where \(n\) is the repetition index, \(I_{\text{dim}}\) the \(\text{dim} \times \text{dim}\) identity matrix, and \(\text{tr}(X)\) is the trace of matrix \(X\). In this context, singular value decomposition takes place, where the left and right singular matrices \((U, V)\) of the desired signal matrix as well as the corresponding eigenvalues matrix \((\Sigma)\) are calculated. Note that, even for arbitrary number of interferers, the equivalent parameters of only two users need to be calculated: the desired one and the interferer. Hence, at the \(n\)th channel realization, mean and standard deviation (std) values of all related parameters are calculated considering the first \(n\) samples, until convergence of the transmission matrices is achieved. Afterward, total MAI power from \(K-1\) users is calculated using squares of samples generated from a normal distribution, by taking into account calculated correlation.
Step 1: \( n \leftarrow 0 \). Set \( d_u \leftarrow 1 \), \( i_u \leftarrow 2 \), \( U \leftarrow d_u \cup u, \epsilon = 10^{-3} \).
For every \( k \in U: t_{k,n} \leftarrow (1/\sqrt{dim})t_{dim, P_{k,n} \leftarrow t_{i_u}^{\text{th}} t_{k,n}\)}

Step 2: \( n \leftarrow n + 1 \), \( r_{k,n} = (H_{k,n} x_{k,n})^H \) and \( A_{k,n} = \left( \sum_{l=1}^L r_{k,n} H_{k,l} \right)^H \left( \sum_{l=1}^L r_{k,n} H_{k,n} \right) \)

Step 3: \( A_{k,n} \leftarrow U_{k,n} \Sigma_{k,n} V_{k,n}^H \), \( t_{k,n+1} \leftarrow V_{k,n} \)

Step 4: \( Y_d \leftarrow \left( \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{q=1}^N \sum_{p=1}^N H_{d,l} a_{d,l,p} d_{d,l,p} \right)^2 \) \( P_{d,d}, 1 \leq d \leq \text{dim} \)
For arbitrary values of \( l, q, l', q' \) calculate:
\[ \mu_{Y_{l,n}} = \text{LN} \left( \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,l}, \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,q'} \rangle \right), \sigma_n = \text{std} \left( \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,l} \rangle \right) \rho_{l,n} = \text{E} \left[ \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,l} \rangle \left( \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,q'} \rangle - \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,'q'} \rangle \right) \right] / \sigma_n \]
\[ \sigma_{MAI_{l,n}} = \text{E} \left[ \left( \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,l} \rangle - \langle \zeta_{d,l,q,'q'} \rangle \right)^2 \right] / \sigma_n \]
\[ x_{i_u,d,n} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{q=1}^N \sum_{p=1}^N h_{d,l,q,l} d_{d,l,p} \sum_{d'=1}^d \sum_{l'=1}^L \sum_{q'=1}^N h_{d,l,q,l'} d_{d,l,p} \right\} P_{d,d} \text{d} \]
\[ r_{k,n} = \text{cov} \left[ \text{Re}(x_{i_u,d,n}), \text{Im}(x_{i_u,d,n}) \right] \]
\[ P_{k,n+1} = t_{d,n}^T A_{k,n} t_{d,n+1} \]
If \( |\text{tr}(P_{k,n+1}) - |\text{tr}(P_{d,n})| \geq \epsilon \cdot |\text{tr}(P_{d,n})| \) go to Step 2

Step 5: \( z = N \left[ \mu_{MAI_{l,n}}, \sigma_{MAI_{l,n}}^2 \right], y = z^2 \chi^2 + y^2 \)

Calculate \( \sigma_d, \theta_d \) from the generated samples of \( X_d \)
Calculate BER from Equation (21)

4. Results

Results are presented in Figures 1–3, for various MIMO orientations. Note that in all figure legends, the notation \( (M_t, M_r, L, PG) \) has been considered. In Figure 1, three MIMO cases are depicted (three group of curves from left to right): \((4, 4, 6, 32), (4, 4, 2, 32)\) and \((8, 8, 6, 32)\). In this particular set of simulations, pdf curves of \( \gamma_{l,n} \) are depicted considering reduced diversity order MIMO cases (i.e., \( \text{dim} = 1 \)). Users may vary from 5 to 31 with a step of 2. Hence, total throughput varies from 2.4 Mbps to 14.88 Mbps in the \( 4 \times 4 \) orientation and from 4.8 Mbps to 29.76 Mbps in the \( 8 \times 8 \) orientation. In Figure 2, results are depicted considering reduced diversity order MIMO cases (i.e., \( L \times M_t \)). In particular, in each group of curves, two resolvable multi-path components are taken into account. Hence, the diversity order can be either 8 (\( 4 \times 4 \) system) or 16 (\( 8 \times 8 \) system). As can be observed, significant variations may occur among the calculated BER values with the proposed approach and the ones derived from simulations. However, in both groups of curves, convergence is improved for an increased number of interfering users, since, in this case, CLT is more accurate for the MAI estimation.
In Figure 3, the number of resolvable multipath components in an 8 × 8 MIMO orientation can be either 4 or 6, which improves diversity order (i.e., 32 or 48). As can be observed, there is good agreement per group of curves for a reduced number of users compared to the previous case. In particular, for 25 users, simulation and theoretical BER values are $6.9 \times 10^{-3}$/$7.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for four multipath components, respectively. Hence, the calculation error is 3%. For six multi-paths and 23 users, corresponding values are $5.3 \times 10^{-3}$/$5.4 \times 10^{-3}$. Therefore, the calculation error is further reduced to 2%.

Figure 1. CLT curve fitting for various MIMO orientations.

Figure 2. BER values for reduced diversity order MIMO orientations.
5. Conclusions

The performance of MIMO SM orientations was analyzed, in terms of achievable BERs. In this context, desired user signal and total interference were expressed in terms of summation of identically distributed random variables, which reduces computational complexity. As the results indicate, the calculation error is minimized for high diversity multi-user MIMO orientations. Knowledge of uncoded BER is particularly important for the design of next generation broadband wireless networks, since it allows capacity estimations when advanced encoding techniques are employed.

Future work includes, among others, the extension of the presented results in multicellular orientations, as well as BER calculations in Non Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) networks.
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