Vibrational Amplitude Frequency Characteristics Analysis of a Controlled Nonlinear Meso-Scale Beam
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Abstract: Vibration response and amplitude frequency characteristics of a controlled nonlinear meso-scale beam under periodic loading are studied. A method including a general analytical expression for harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration and an updated cycle iteration algorithm for amplitude frequency relation of periodic response is developed. A vibration equation with the general expression of nonlinear terms for periodic response is derived and a general analytical expression for harmonic balance solution is obtained. An updated cycle iteration procedure is proposed to obtain amplitude frequency relation. Periodic vibration response with various frequencies can be calculated uniformly using the method. The method can take into account the effect of higher harmonic components on vibration response, and it is applicable to various periodic vibration analyses including principal resonance, super-harmonic resonance, and multiple stationary responses. Numerical results demonstrate that the developed method has good convergence and accuracy. The response amplitude should be determined by the periodic solution with multiple harmonic terms instead of only the first harmonic term. The damping effect on response illustrates that vibration responses of the nonlinear meso beam can be reduced by feedback control with certain damping gain. The amplitude frequency characteristics including anti-resonance and resonant response variation have potential application to the vibration control design of nonlinear meso-scale structure systems.
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1. Introduction

The meso-scale beam is an important component of many precise instruments such as micro-sensors or micro-actuators [1]. The large amplitude motion of the beam subjected to strong loading, e.g., a cantilever beam under strong support motion loading, leads to complicated nonlinear vibration [2], which will degrade the mechanical performance of the instruments. Thus, vibration control of the beam is highly desirable, and in this connection, the vibration response and amplitude frequency characteristics of the controlled nonlinear beam need to be studied. Some investigations on the nonlinear dynamics of meso beams have been reported, but there is a paucity of research on its vibration control.

For a meso-scale beam, a conventional mechanical model such as that based on Bernoulli–Euler theory can be applied. However, the foundational frequency of the beam is high due to its small length and in general, it is larger than the loading frequency. Thus, first several vibration modes will be involved in the vibration response, albeit the first mode vibration is dominant. Increasing structural damping is a common strategy, in particular, for resonant response mitigation [3,4]. However, damping produced by passive control is limited, and active feedback control is necessary to execute large artificial damping. The controlled beam can be converted into a multi-degree-of-freedom (MDOF) nonlinear
system. Feedback control of MDOF systems has been studied [5–14]. However, the control effectiveness of a large damping gain on vibration mitigation of the nonlinear meso beam needs to be studied further.

Most of the investigations on nonlinear dynamics of the meso beam are based on experiments, while theoretical studies on the nonlinear vibration and control are limited. Periodic loading is a class of important real excitations, and periodic vibration and amplitude frequency relation can represent dynamic characteristics of the beam system with various frequencies. The harmonic balance method is suitable for periodic vibration analysis of the nonlinear beam system to obtain amplitude frequency relation by uniform procedure in a wide frequency band [2,15–20]. It converts a nonlinear system equation into a set of algebraic equations for harmonic coefficients of periodic response, and the response based on basic harmonic components can be improved by using high harmonic components. However, general forms of harmonic balance equations, general analytical expressions of periodic response solutions, and an effective iteration procedure for amplitude frequency relation need to be developed further for the controlled nonlinear meso beam.

In the present paper, the vibration response and amplitude frequency characteristics of a controlled nonlinear meso-scale beam under periodic loading are studied. A method including a general analytical expression for harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration and an updated cycle iteration algorithm for amplitude frequency relation of periodic response is developed for the nonlinear beam system. In Section 2, a vibration equation for the controlled beam is established, and a general expression of nonlinear terms for periodic response with multiple harmonic terms is derived. A general analytical expression for a harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration is obtained. In Section 3, an updated cycle iteration procedure for amplitude frequency relation and periodic response solution is proposed. Then, a periodic vibration response with various frequencies is calculated uniformly using the method by only changing the frequency. An accurate response can be obtained by using adequate high harmonic components. In Section 4, numerical results on the periodic vibration response of the controlled nonlinear beam system are given to demonstrate the good convergence and accuracy of the developed method. The amplitude frequency characteristics including principal, super, and fractional harmonic resonances are perceived. The effect of damping feedback gain on vibration response reduction of the controlled nonlinear beam system is explored.

2. General Analytical Solution to Controlled Nonlinear Beam

The meso-scale beam is an important component of many precise instruments such as micro-sensors or micro-actuators. A conventional mechanical model such as that based on Bernoulli–Euler theory is suitable to the meso beam. The large amplitude motion of the beam subjected to such as strong support loading leads to complicated nonlinear vibration, which will degrade the performance of the instruments. The beam vibration needs to be controlled, and vibration characteristics of the controlled beam need to be identified. A meso beam with control under transverse excitation, as shown in Figure 1, is addressed first in this study. Its nonlinear vibration equation in dimensionless form can be expressed as [21].

\[ a_1 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + a_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + a_3 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} + a_4 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 = f_c(y,t) + u_c(w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}) \]  

where \( w \) is the non-dimensional transverse displacement, \( a_1 \) is the mass coefficient, \( a_2 \) is the damping coefficient, \( a_3 \) is the linear stiffness coefficient, \( a_4 \) is the nonlinear stiffness coefficient, \( f_c \) is the non-dimensional transverse loading, \( u_c \) is the feedback control, \( y \in [0,1] \) is the non-dimensional longitudinal coordinate, and \( t \) is the time variable. Equation (1) is a partial differential equation and can be converted into a set of ordinary differential equations by using the Galerkin method. Vibration modes of the beam are determined
based on boundary constraint conditions, and the displacement \( w \) is expanded into series using the modes. The displacement expansion is

\[
w(y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \phi_i(y) q_i(t) = \Phi Q
\]

where \( N_w \) is the number of modes, \( \phi_i \) is the \( i \)th mode, and \( q_i \) is the \( i \)th modal displacement. The mode vector \( \Phi \) and modal displacement vector \( Q \) are, respectively

\[
\Phi = [\phi_1 \phi_2 \ldots \phi_{N_w}]^T, \quad Q = [q_1 q_2 \ldots q_{N_w}]^T
\]

For instance, a cantilever beam has its vibration modes

\[
\phi_i(y) = (\sin \beta_i - \sinh \beta_i)(\sin \beta_i y - \sinh \beta_i y) + (\cos \beta_i + \cosh \beta_i)(\cos \beta_i y - \cosh \beta_i y)
\]

where the parameter \( \beta_i \) is determined by the algebraic equation

\[
\cos \beta_i \cosh \beta_i = -1
\]

The first three solutions to Equation (5) are 1.875, 4.694, and 7.855. The ratio of the second or third natural frequency to the first natural frequency of the cantilever beam is larger than that of the corresponding simply supported beam.

Substituting expression (2) into Equation (1), pre-multiplying the equation by \( \Phi^T \) and integrating it with respect to \( y \) yields ordinary differential equations in the matrix form

\[
M \frac{d^2 Q}{dt^2} + C_0 \frac{dQ}{dt} + K_0 Q + G_0(Q) = F(t) + U(Q, \frac{dQ}{dt})
\]

where \( M \) is the modal mass matrix, \( C_0 \) is the modal damping matrix, \( K_0 \) is the modal linear stiffness matrix, \( G_0 \) is the modal nonlinear restoring force vector, \( F \) is the modal loading vector, and \( U \) is the modal control vector. Their expressions are

\[
M = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) \alpha_1(y) \Phi(y) dy, \quad C_0 = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) \alpha_2(y) \Phi(y) dy
\]

\[
K_0 = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) \alpha_3(y) \frac{d^2 \Phi(y)}{dy^2} dy, \quad F = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) f_c(y, t) dy
\]

\[
U = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) u_c(\Phi Q, \frac{dQ}{dt}) dy
\]

\[
G_0(Q) = \int_0^1 \Phi^T(y) \alpha_4(y) \left[ \frac{d^2 \Phi(y)}{dy^2} Q \left| \frac{d\Phi(y)}{dy} \right| Q \right] dy
\]

In general, \( M, C_0, \) and \( K_0 \) are diagonal matrices. \( G_0 \) is a nonlinear function vector of modal displacement \( Q \). The feedback control \( u_c \) is a function of displacement \( w \) and velocity, and thus, the modal control \( U \) is a function of the modal displacement \( Q \) and modal velocity. Nonlinear control for the nonlinear system can be expressed as

\[
U = -C_c \frac{dQ}{dt} - K_c Q - G_c(Q)
\]
where $C_c$ is the linear damping feedback gain matrix, $K_c$ is the linear stiffness feedback gain matrix, and $G_c$ is the nonlinear feedback control vector. By incorporating the control (8) into the uncontrolled system, Equation (6) is rewritten as

$$M \frac{d^2Q}{dt^2} + C \frac{dQ}{dt} + KQ + G(Q) = F(t)$$

where $C = C_0 + C_c$, $K = K_0 + K_c$, and $G = G_0 + G_c$. The damping and stiffness of system (9) can be adjusted by feedback control, and thus, the system vibration or beam vibration can be controlled.

Equation (9) is a nonlinear ordinary differential matrix equation for the controlled beam in multiple degrees-of-freedom coupling vibration. The $l$th element of the nonlinear term can be expanded into the power series

$$[G(Q)]_l = \sum_{j=2}^{N_j} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_l=1}^{N_{il}} k^{N}_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_l} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \cdots q_{i_l}$$

where $N_j$ is an integer and $k^{N}_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_l} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \cdots q_{i_l}$ is the nonlinear stiffness coefficient. For the beam with cubic nonlinearity (1) and corresponding control, the $l$th element of the nonlinear term becomes

$$[G(Q)]_l = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N_{ij}} k^{N}_{i,j,k} q_i q_j q_k$$

where $k^{N}_{i,j,k}$ is the cubic nonlinear stiffness coefficient. The nonlinear restoring force vector is expressed as

$$G(Q) = K^N \otimes Q_s \otimes Q_j \otimes Q_k$$

where $K^N$ is a four-dimensional tensor of nonlinear stiffness coefficients and $\otimes$ denotes a generalized product of tensor and vector.

Periodic vibration and amplitude frequency relation represent the frequency response characteristics of the controlled beam system with various frequencies, which are important for dynamic optimization design. The harmonic balance method is suitable for nonlinear periodic vibration analysis to obtain amplitude frequency relation by a uniform procedure in a wide frequency band [2]. A general analytical expression and effective iteration solution procedure for system (9) will be developed for the first time in the following. The periodic loading is expressed as

$$F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} (F_{sk} \sin k\omega t + F_{ck} \cos k\omega t) = F_s \Phi_s + F_c \Phi_c$$

where $\omega$ is the loading frequency, $F_{sk}$ and $F_{ck}$ are the harmonic coefficient vectors of the loading, and $N_f$ is an integer. The harmonic vectors $\Phi_s$ and $\Phi_c$ with coefficient matrices $F_s$ and $F_c$ are, respectively

$$\Phi_s = [\sin \omega t \sin 2\omega t \ldots \sin N_q \omega t]^T, \Phi_c = [\cos \omega t \cos 2\omega t \ldots \cos N_q \omega t]^T$$

$$F_s = [F_{s1} F_{s2} \ldots F_{sN_q}], \quad F_c = [F_{c1} F_{c2} \ldots F_{cN_q}]$$

The integer $N_q$ is larger than $N_f$, and thus, later columns of the matrices $F_s$ and $F_c$ are zeros. The periodic response of the vibration system to the periodic loading is expanded as

$$Q(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_q} (A_k \sin k\omega t + B_k \cos k\omega t) = A_Q \Phi_s + B_Q \Phi_c$$
where \( \mathbf{A}_k \) and \( \mathbf{B}_k \) are harmonic coefficient vectors of the response. The coefficient matrices \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \) are, respectively
\[
\mathbf{A}_Q = [\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \ldots \mathbf{A}_{N_q}], \quad \mathbf{B}_Q = [\mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{B}_2 \ldots \mathbf{B}_{N_q}]
\]  
(16)
As a result of the high-order harmonic effect, the term number \( N_q \) in the expansion for response is larger than \( N_f \) for loading. The \( l \)th element of the response vector \( \mathbf{Q} \) is
\[
q_l(t) = \sum_{k = 1}^{N_q} (a_{lk} \sin k\omega t + b_{lk} \cos k\omega t)
\]  
(17)
where \( a_{lk} \) and \( b_{lk} \) are harmonic coefficients of the response. The response coefficient vectors \( \mathbf{A}_k \) and \( \mathbf{B}_k \) have the expressions
\[
\mathbf{A}_k = [a_{1k} \ldots a_{N_q}k]^T, \quad \mathbf{B}_k = [b_{1k} \ldots b_{N_q}k]^T.
\]  
(18)

By substituting expression (15) into nonlinear term (12), using harmonic function properties and neglecting the higher harmonic part, the nonlinear term can be obtained as
\[
\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{K}_{3k}^N(\mathbf{A}_Q, \mathbf{B}_Q)\mathbf{\Phi}_s + \mathbf{K}_{3c}^N(\mathbf{A}_Q, \mathbf{B}_Q)\mathbf{\Phi}_c
\]  
(19)
where \( \mathbf{K}_{3k}^N \) and \( \mathbf{K}_{3c}^N \) are the function matrices of the response coefficients \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \). The \( l \)th element of the vector \( \mathbf{G} \) is
\[
[\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Q})]_l = \sum_{m = 1}^{N_q} (k_{3s,lm}^N \sin m\omega t + k_{3c,lm}^N \cos m\omega t)
\]  
(20)
where \( k_{3s,lm}^N \) and \( k_{3c,lm}^N \) are the harmonic components of the nonlinear term. The coefficients can be further expressed as
\[
k_{3s,lm}^N = \sum_{i,j,k}^{N_q} k_{i,j,k}^N d_m(i, j, k), \quad k_{3c,lm}^N = \sum_{i,j,k}^{N_q} k_{i,j,k}^N e_m(i, j, k)
\]  
(21)
where \( d_m \) and \( e_m \) are functions of the response coefficients \( a_{lk} \) and \( b_{lk} \). They are
\[
d_m = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi/\omega} \sum_{l = 1}^{N_q} k_{3s,lm}^N q_l \sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} a_{ijl}^0(\lambda_1) a_{jkl}(\lambda_3) g_s(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)
\]  
(22)
\[
e_m = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi/\omega} \sum_{l = 1}^{N_q} k_{3c,lm}^N q_l \sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} a_{ijl}^0(\lambda_1) a_{jkl}(\lambda_3) g_c(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)
\]  
(23)
with
\[
a_{ijl}^0(\lambda_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{ijl}^0, & \lambda_1 = 1 \\ b_{ijl}^0, & \lambda_1 = 2 \end{array} \right., \quad a_{jkl}(\lambda_3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{jkl}, & \lambda_3 = 1 \\ b_{jkl}, & \lambda_3 = 2 \end{array} \right.
\]
\[
g_s = \frac{1}{2} [1 - (-1)^{\lambda_1+\lambda_3} s_g(S_p), \quad g_c = \frac{1}{2} [1 + (-1)^{\lambda_1+\lambda_3} s_g(S_p)
\]
\[
s_g(\mu_p) = (-1)^{2\lambda_2/2} s_g(\mu_p), \quad S_p = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)
\]  
(24)
where \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \) are \(+1\) or \(-1\), \( S_p \) and \( C_p \) denote all combinations of \((\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\), \( j_1 \) is for \( \lambda_1 = 1 \) and \( j_2 \) is for \( \lambda_2 = 2 \), and \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta function. Expressions (22) and (23) indicate that \( d_m \) and \( e_m \) are cubic power functions of the response coefficients \( a_{lk} \) and \( b_{lk} \). As such, general expression of the nonlinear term has been obtained in Equations (19)–(24) for the periodic response with multiple harmonic terms, which is given first for the meso beam.
According to the harmonic balance method, substituting response (15) with expression (19) and loading (13) into Equation (9) and balancing each harmonic term yields algebraic equations for response coefficients $A_Q$ and $B_Q$ as

$$KA_Q - MA_Q\Omega^2 - CB_Q\Omega = F_s - K_{3s}^N$$  
(25)

$$KB_Q - MB_Q\Omega^2 + CA_Q\Omega = F_c - K_{3c}^N$$  
(26)

where the vibration frequency matrix is

$$\Omega = \text{diag}[\omega, 2\omega, \ldots, N_\Omega \omega]$$  
(27)

Equations (25) and (26) are algebraic matrix equations, and they can be rearranged as equations for the response coefficient vector of $a_{lk}$ and $b_{lk}$ to solve. However, equations for the $m$th column $A_m$ of the matrix $A_Q$ and the $m$th column $B_m$ of the matrix $B_Q$ are obtained from Equations (25) and (26) as

$$KA_m - m^2\omega^2MA_m - m\omega CB_m = F_{sm} - K_{3s,m}^N$$  
(28)

$$m\omega CA_m + KB_m - m^2\omega^2MB_m = F_{cm} - K_{3c,m}^N$$  
(29)

where $K_{3s,m}^N$ is the $m$th column vector of the matrix $K_{3s}^N$ while $K_{3c,m}^N$ is the $m$th column vector of the matrix $K_{3c}^N$. By solving Equations (28) and (29), response coefficient vectors $A_m$ and $B_m$ with elements $a_{lm}$ and $b_{lm}$ are obtained as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_m \\ B_m \end{bmatrix} = \Delta_m^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F_{sm} - K_{3s,m}^N \\ F_{cm} - K_{3c,m}^N \end{bmatrix}$$  
(30)

where

$$\Delta_m(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} K - m^2\omega^2M & -m\omega C \\ m\omega C & K - m^2\omega^2M \end{bmatrix}.$$  
(31)

Equation (30) provides a set of general harmonic balance solution formulae for periodic vibration of the nonlinear system (9) representative of the controlled meso beam. By the equation, the harmonic response coefficients $A_m$ and $B_m$ and then $A_Q$ and $B_Q$ can be obtained, and thus, the periodic vibration response of the system is determined by Equation (15) and the beam displacement is calculated by Equation (2). The vibrational amplitude frequency characteristics of the controlled beam are obtained by means of the responses under various frequencies. The accuracy of the responses can be improved by increasing numbers of expansion terms in Equations (2) and (15). Convergence of the response expansion is determined by relative differences of the harmonic response coefficients, i.e., $|a_{lm} - d'_{lm}|/a_{lm}$ and $|b_{lm} - b'_{lm}|/b_{lm}$. However, because $K_{3s,m}^N$ and $K_{3c,m}^N$ depend on response coefficients $A_m$ and $B_m$, Equation (30) is nonlinear and will be solved by iteration.

3. Iteration Procedure for Vibration Response

Equations (28) and (29) or (30) include $2 \times N_w \times N_\Omega$ algebraic equations for harmonic response coefficients $a_{lm}$ and $b_{lm}$ ($l = 1, 2, \ldots, N_w; m = 1, 2, \ldots, N_\Omega$). The equations can be rewritten generally as

$$f_{a,lm}(A_Q, B_Q) = 0$$  
(32)

$$f_{b,lm}(A_Q, B_Q) = 0$$  
(33)
where \( f_{a,lm} \) and \( f_{b,lm} \) are functions of \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \). \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \) are matrices by assembling \( a_{lm} \) and \( b_{lm} \), respectively, as expressions (16) and (18). Based on Equations (32) and (33), iterative equations are given according to the generalized Newton iteration algorithm as

\[
a_{lm}^{k+1} = a_{lm}^k - \theta_k \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial a_{lm}}, \quad b_{lm}^{k+1} = b_{lm}^k - \theta_k \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial b_{lm}}
\]

(34)

where superscript "\( k \)" denotes the \( k \)th step of iteration, functions \( f \) and \( \theta \) are

\[
f^k = \sum_{l=1}^{N_\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{N_q} \left[ f_{a,lm}(\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k)^2 + f_{b,lm}(\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k)^2 \right]
\]

\[
\theta^k = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{N_\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{N_q} \left[ |\partial f_k / \partial a_{lm}|^2 + |\partial f_k / \partial b_{lm}|^2 \right]}{\sum_{l=1}^{N_\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{N_q} \left[ |\partial f_k / \partial a_{lm}|^2 + |\partial f_k / \partial b_{lm}|^2 \right]}
\]

(35)

Derivatives of the function \( f \) are given by

\[
\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial a_{lm}} = \frac{f_k(a_{lm} + \Delta a_{lm}, b_{lm}) - f_k(a_{lm}, b_{lm})}{\Delta a_{lm}}, \quad \frac{\Delta a_{lm}}{\Delta a_{lm}} = \beta a_{lm}, \quad \frac{\Delta b_{lm}}{\Delta b_{lm}} = \beta b_{lm}
\]

(36)

where the parameter \( \beta \) is set as a small value, e.g., \( 10^{-5} \). Equation (34) presents a general iteration algorithm for the harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration of the nonlinear system (9). However, a computational expense of the iterative Equation (34) is large due to coupling high dimensions.

To improve computational efficiency, based on the partially uncoupled Equation (30), another form of the iterative equation is obtained as

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{k+1} \\
\mathbf{B}_{lm}^{k+1}
\end{array} \right\} = \Delta_{mk}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{F}_{lm} - \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_0} (\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k) \\
\mathbf{F}_{lm} - \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_0} (\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k)
\end{array} \right\}
\]

(37)

where \( \mathbf{A}_m \) and \( \mathbf{B}_m \) are vectors respectively by assembling \( a_{lm} \) and \( b_{lm} \), \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_0} \) is a part of \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^N \) independent of \( \mathbf{A}_m \) and the other part \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_A} \) depends on \( \mathbf{A}_m \), \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_B} \) is a part of \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^N \) independent of \( \mathbf{B}_m \) and the other part \( \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_B} \) depends on \( \mathbf{B}_m \), and the matrix

\[
\Delta_{mk} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K} - m^2 \omega^2 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_A} (\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k) & -m \omega \mathbf{C} \\
m \omega \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{K} - m^2 \omega^2 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K}_{3c,m}^{N_B} (\mathbf{A}_Q^k, \mathbf{B}_Q^k)
\end{array} \right].
\]

(38)

The iteration solution procedure for solving Equation (37) or (34) is proposed as follows: first, select initial values of the response coefficient matrices \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \), e.g., as a solution to the linearized system; second, substituting the initial values into Equation (37) or (34) yields the next step values of the response coefficient vectors \( \mathbf{A}_m \) and \( \mathbf{B}_m \), and \( \mathbf{A}_m \) and \( \mathbf{B}_m \) are used to update the last step values and to calculate the response coefficient vectors \( \mathbf{A}_{m+1} \) and \( \mathbf{B}_{m+1} \) \((m=1, 2, \ldots, N_q-1)\), that is constantly updated cycle; third, examine the convergence of the response coefficient matrices \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \) by using relative differences of adjacent step values of the response coefficients, i.e.,

\[
|a_{lm}^{k+1} - a_{lm}^k| \leq \gamma_1 |a_{lm}^k|, \quad |b_{lm}^{k+1} - b_{lm}^k| \leq \gamma_1 |b_{lm}^k|
\]

(39)

where \( \gamma_1 \) is a small value representing iteration accuracy and \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \). If the current step values of the response coefficients do not satisfy condition (39), continue to carry out the next step until convergence. This iteration procedure is called updated cycle iteration. Finally, the harmonic response coefficients \( \mathbf{A}_Q \) and \( \mathbf{B}_Q \) are obtained and used to
calculate periodic responses (15) and (2), by which the amplitude frequency characteristics of the vibration response of the controlled beam system can be determined. The vibration response with various frequencies can be calculated using the uniform method by only changing frequency. Accurate response will be obtained by using adequate high harmonic components.

The above method, including a general analytical expression for a harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration and an updated cycle iteration algorithm for amplitude frequency relation of periodic response, is a uniform method for a nonlinear system of the controlled meso-scale beam under various frequencies, and it is suitable for obtaining amplitude frequency relation in a wide frequency band. It is also applicable to various periodic vibration analyses including principal resonant response, super-harmonic resonant response, and multiple stationary responses. The dynamic stability of the periodic vibration can be solved by using the direct eigenvalue analysis approach for systems with periodically varying parameters [20,21].

When loading frequency $\omega$ keeps away from the resonant frequencies of the nonlinear system, a non-resonant response as a function of frequency $\omega$ can be obtained by using the above method. When the loading frequency $\omega$ is close to a resonant frequency of the nonlinear system, a principal resonant response as function of frequency $\omega$ can be obtained by using the uniform method. In the case of multiple response solutions, a resonant response obtained depends on initial iteration values and vibration stability. When the loading frequency $\omega$ is close to $1/n$-times resonant frequency of the nonlinear system ($n$ is an integer larger than 1), a super-harmonic resonance near $n\omega$ can occur, and in the meantime, a response component with frequency $\omega$ also exists. In this case, the vibration response obtained has the frequency of $\omega$.

The vibration response of the nonlinear system (9) or beam (1) is composed of multiple harmonic components, and its amplitude will be obtained by periodic solution under maximum condition. For example, the displacement response amplitude $\max \{|w(y_0, t)|\}$ on $y_0$ is determined by periodic solution varying with time in a period under the condition $\partial w(y_0, t)/\partial t = 0$. As a consequence, the amplitude frequency characteristics of periodic vibration can be determined.

4. Numerical Results and Discussion

To illustrate the application of the developed method and amplitude frequency characteristics, consider a meso-scale cantilever beam with control under a periodic loading. Its nonlinear vibration equation in dimensionless form is as Equations (1) and (9), in which $\alpha_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are constants for the uniform beam. The foundational frequency of the meso beam is high and generally larger than the loading frequency. Thus, first several vibration modes will be involved in vibration response, while the first mode vibration is dominant. Increasing structural damping is a common strategy for resonant response suppression. Damping produced by passive control is limited, whereas active feedback control can produce large artificial damping, which is incorporated in damping coefficient of system (9). The effect of the damping on vibration response characteristics will be explored for control at the midpoint. The periodic support loading is $F = F_{s1}\sin\omega t$ in system (9). After normalized with respect to mass, non-dimensional damping coefficient, linear stiffness coefficient, nonlinear stiffness coefficient, and loading amplitude for the first mode are denoted by $c$, $k_1$, $k_3$, and $F_{s1}$, respectively. The other coefficients can be determined by these coefficients based on Equations (4), (7), and (8). Using the developed method, harmonic response coefficients (18) and periodic vibration response (2) of the beam system are obtained, which are used to determine amplitude frequency characteristics. In calculation, basic parameter values are $k_1 = 1.0$, $c = 0.1$, $k_3 = 0.12$, $F_{s1} = 0.2$, and $y_0 = 1$ unless otherwise specified. Numerical results on the non-dimensional vibration response and amplitude frequency relation are shown in Figures 2–14, which have been verified through direct numerical simulation.
Figure 2. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around principal resonance for loading amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.2$ (blue solid line: response based on periodic solution; black dotted line: response based on first harmonic term).

Figure 3. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around principal resonance for loading amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.34$.

Figure 4. Larger amplitude response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ by numerical simulation from initial displacement 1.0 and velocity 2.5.
Figure 5. Stationary larger-amplitude response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ (blue solid line: numerical simulation; red dotted line: the developed method).

Figure 6. Smaller amplitude response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ by numerical simulation from initial displacement 1.0 and velocity 0.

Figure 7. Stationary smaller-amplitude response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ (blue solid line: numerical simulation; red dotted line: the developed method).
Figure 8. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around super-harmonic resonance for loading amplitude $F_s = 0.5$ (blue solid line: response based on periodic solution; black dotted line: response based on first harmonic term).

Figure 9. Stationary response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 0.35$ (blue solid line: response based on periodic solution; black dotted line: response based on first harmonic term).

Figure 10. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around fractional harmonic resonance for loading amplitude $F_s = 1.7$ (blue solid line: response based on periodic solution; black dotted line: response based on first harmonic term).
Figure 11. Stationary response ($w$) varying with time ($t$) for loading frequency $\omega = 0.23$ (blue solid line: response based on periodic solution; black dotted line: response based on first harmonic term).

Figure 12. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around principal resonance for loading amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.34$ and different damping coefficients $c$ (blue solid line: $c = 0.1$; red dashed line: $c = 0.16$).

Figure 13. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around super-harmonic resonance for loading amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.5$ and different damping coefficients $c$ (blue solid line: $c = 0.1$; red dashed line: $c = 0.45$).
Figure 14. Amplitude ($w_{Am}$) frequency ($\omega$) relation around fractional harmonic resonance for loading amplitude $F_{s1} = 1.7$ and different damping coefficients $c$ (blue solid line: $c = 0.1$; red dashed line: $c = 1.25$).

Figure 2 shows the amplitude frequency relation around principal resonance for periodic vibration response of the beam under $F_{s1} = 0.2$. The amplitude frequency relation around principal resonance based on the first expansion term of the response is also given for comparison. The non-dimensional resonant frequency is close to 1.13, and the non-dimensional resonant response amplitude is 1.78 determined by the developed periodic solution, which is larger than 1.76 based on only the first expansion term. The difference will be shown further in the subsequent results, which increases with loading amplitude. Thus, the response amplitude should be determined by periodic solution (2) with (15) instead of only the first harmonic term. If the resonant response amplitude is larger than 1, the nonlinear term of the system cannot be regarded as small in resonance.

As the loading amplitude increases to $F_{s1} = 0.34$, Figure 3 shows the amplitude frequency relation around principal resonance for periodic vibration response of the beam. It is seen that two stationary responses exist in a frequency interval $[1.17, 1.27]$, which can be caused also by increasing the nonlinear stiffness coefficient. If the loading amplitude or nonlinear stiffness increases, the multiple responses region will be enlarged in amplitude and frequency and move right. In particular, the initial state region, which tends to smaller amplitude response with time, is larger than that for the larger amplitude response. Thus, the smaller amplitude response has a larger stability probability than the larger amplitude response; that is, the smaller amplitude response is more likely to occur practically. Figure 4 shows the larger amplitude response varying with time for the loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ by numerical simulation from initial displacement 1.0 and velocity 2.5. The stationary response amplitude is 2.58. Figure 5 illustrates that the stationary response by numerical simulation accords completely with that by using the developed method. Figure 6 shows the smaller amplitude response (stationary amplitude 0.66) varying with time for the loading frequency $\omega = 1.24$ by numerical simulation from initial displacement 1.0 and velocity 0. Figure 7 illustrates again that the stationary response by numerical simulation agrees completely with that by using the developed method.

As the loading amplitude increases to $F_{s1} = 0.5$, Figure 8 shows the amplitude frequency relation around super-harmonic resonance for the periodic vibration response of the beam. It is seen that the amplitude frequency curve of the response based on only the first harmonic term does not display any peak and valley, but the curve of the response determined by multiple harmonic terms of periodic solution (15) has a peak and a valley. In particular, the response valley or anti-resonant response near frequency $\omega = 0.32$ in the nonlinear system is obtained for the first time. For frequency $\omega > 0.34$, the response amplitude determined by the periodic solution is larger than that based on only the first harmonic term.
harmonic term, and for frequency $\omega < 0.33$, the response amplitude determined by the periodic solution is smaller than that based on only the first harmonic term. Thus, it is supported further that the response amplitude should be determined by periodic solution (2) with (15) instead of only the first harmonic term. The dynamic characteristics including anti-resonance have potential application to vibration control design of meso-scale nonlinear systems. Figure 9 illustrates that the stationary response varying with time in amplitude and shape determined by the periodic solution is different from that based on only the first harmonic term, where the loading frequency $\omega = 0.35$. The response amplitude is 0.58 for the former and 0.55 for the latter. The relative difference is 5%, but the variation of dynamic characteristics is remarkable, as shown in Figure 8. The response contains a super-harmonic resonance component with frequency $3\omega$ and basic harmonic component with frequency $\omega$, which is relatively large. The response peak increases with the loading amplitude.

As the loading amplitude increases to $F_{s1} = 1.7$, Figure 10 shows the amplitude frequency relation around fractional harmonic resonance (e.g., $9\omega/2$) for the periodic vibration response of the beam. Figure 11 illustrates that the stationary response varying with time particularly in shape determined by the periodic solution is largely different from that based on only the first harmonic term. The loading frequency is $\omega = 0.23$, and the stationary response amplitude is 1.52. The relative difference of amplitudes based on the periodic solution and first harmonic term for frequency $\omega = 0.4$ is 30.4%. The response peak also increases with the loading amplitude.

For the controlled nonlinear beam system under the harmonic loading with amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.34$, when the damping coefficient increases to exceed 0.16, the two stationary responses around principal resonance in Figure 3 disappear and become a new pattern of response, as shown in Figure 12. Thus, the principal resonant responses can be controlled by increasing the damping gain. For the controlled beam system under the harmonic loading with amplitude $F_{s1} = 0.5$, when the damping coefficient increases to exceed 0.45, the peak response around super-harmonic resonance and the anti-resonant response in Figure 8 disappear, as shown in Figure 13. Thus, large damping or feedback gain is required to mitigate the beam vibration with frequency smaller than the principal resonant frequency. However, for the controlled beam system under the harmonic loading with amplitude $F_{s1} = 1.7$, the peak response around fractional harmonic resonance in Figure 10 disappears only when the damping coefficient increases up to 1.25, as shown in Figure 14. In fact, it is unpractical to produce such large damping in passive control, but the large damping or feedback gain can be generated by active control as artificial damping. Thus, the resonant responses of the nonlinear meso beam can be reduced by feedback control with certain damping gain.

For an actual meso beam, its basic geometrical and physical parameters are obtained first to determine the parameters of model (1) such as $a_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), as shown in reference [21] and by Equations (4), (7), and (8). Then, the model parameters are updated by test results compared with analytical results on amplitude frequency relation. The updated model can be used for dynamic analysis and control design of the beam.

5. Conclusions

The method including a general analytical expression for harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration and an updated cycle iteration algorithm for amplitude frequency relation of periodic response has been developed for the controlled nonlinear meso-scale beam. The general expression of nonlinear terms in the nonlinear system for periodic response with multiple harmonic terms is derived. The general analytical expression for harmonic balance solution to periodic vibration of the nonlinear system is obtained. The updated cycle iteration procedure for amplitude frequency relation and periodic response solution is proposed. The developed method has the following main advantages: (1) it is a unified method for nonlinear systems with various frequencies, and periodic vibration responses for different frequencies can be calculated uniformly by only changing frequency;
(2) it is suitable for obtaining the amplitude frequency relation of nonlinear systems in a wide frequency band and for effective numerical computation; (3) it can take into account the effect of higher harmonic components on vibration response; and (4) it is applicable to various periodic vibration analyses including principal resonant response, super-harmonic resonant response, and multiple stationary responses.

The amplitude frequency characteristics of the controlled nonlinear meso beam and the effect of damping feedback gain on vibration response have been studied. Numerical results on vibration response of the beam with various damping and loading amplitudes have demonstrated that: (1) the developed method has good convergence and accuracy with increasing response expansion terms, and the response amplitude should be determined by the periodic solution with multiple harmonic terms instead of only the first harmonic term; (2) the amplitude frequency characteristics around the principal resonance of the controlled beam system show two stationary responses for large loading amplitude and nonlinear stiffness coefficient, the resonant frequency is dependent on the loading and response amplitudes, the region of multiple responses can be enlarged in amplitude and frequency as the loading amplitude or nonlinear stiffness increases, and the smaller amplitude response has a larger stability probability than the larger amplitude response in terms of the initial states region of stable vibration; (3) the amplitude frequency curve of vibration response near super-harmonic resonance has a peak and a valley for a large loading amplitude, the response valley or anti-resonant response in the nonlinear system is obtained for the first time, and the dynamic characteristics have potential application to vibration control design of nonlinear meso structures; (4) the peak response with frequency around super-harmonic resonance or fractional harmonic resonance increases with loading amplitude; and (5) the principal resonant responses of the nonlinear beam system can be controlled by increasing damping gain, the response around super-harmonic resonance can be mitigated by feedback control with large damping gain, and the response around fractional harmonic resonance with frequency smaller than the principal resonant frequency cannot be mitigated effectively by passive control, but it can be controlled by active feedback with large artificial damping. Thus, the vibration responses of the nonlinear meso beam can be reduced by feedback control with certain damping gain. The vibrational amplitude frequency characteristics including anti-resonance and resonant response variation have potential application to vibration control or dynamic optimization design of nonlinear meso-scale structure systems.

However, the developed method is limited to periodic vibration analysis, and a method for non-periodic vibration analysis needs to be developed further. The analysis method is expected to apply to an actual meso-scale structure for dynamic optimization design and experimental verification.
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