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ABSTRACT: On-surface synthesis has emerged in the last decade as a method to create graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) with atomic precision. The underlying premise of this bottom-up strategy is that precursor molecules undergo a well-defined sequence of inter- and intramolecular reactions, leading to the formation of a single product. As such, the structure of the GNR is encoded in the precursor. However, recent examples have shown that not only the molecule, but also the coinage metal surface on which the reaction takes place, plays a decisive role in dictating the nanoribbon structure. In this work, we use scanning probe microscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to investigate the behavior of 10,10’-dichloro-9,9’-bianthryl (DCBA) on Ag(111). Our study shows that Ag(111) can induce the formation of both seven-atom wide armchair GNRs (7-acGNRs) and 3,1-chiral GNRs (3,1-cGNRs), demonstrating that a single molecule on a single surface can react to different nanoribbon products. We additionally show that coadsorbed dibromoperylene can promote surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling in DCBA, leading to the exclusive formation of 3,1-cGNRs.

INTRODUCTION

Graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) are narrow strips of graphene that supplement the exceptional electronic properties of their two-dimensional parent material with a nonzero band gap by virtue of quantum confinement. A well-known quality of GNRs is that their electronic properties can be tailored by controlling their width and edge structure. During the last years, research has been focused on the synthesis of GNRs ultimately envisioning their incorporation in future generations of (carbon-based) nanoelectronics. The underlying premise of this bottom-up strategy is that precursor molecules undergo a well-defined sequence of inter- and intramolecular reactions, leading to the formation of a single product. As such, the structure of the GNR is encoded in the precursor. However, recent examples have shown that not only the molecule, but also the coinage metal surface on which the reaction takes place, plays a decisive role in dictating the nanoribbon structure. In this work, we use scanning probe microscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to investigate the behavior of 10,10’-dichloro-9,9’-bianthryl (DCBA) on Ag(111). Our study shows that Ag(111) can induce the formation of both seven-atom wide armchair GNRs (7-acGNRs) and 3,1-chiral GNRs (3,1-cGNRs), demonstrating that a single molecule on a single surface can react to different nanoribbon products. We additionally show that coadsorbed dibromoperylene can promote surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling in DCBA, leading to the exclusive formation of 3,1-cGNRs.

So far, almost all on-surface syntheses of GNRs have relied on the Ullmann coupling reaction. Here, a halogen atom is dissociated from the aromatic precursor under the catalytic influence of the underlying substrate, leading to the formation of surface-stabilized radicals. The radical species then undergo intermolecular coupling to generate polymeric chains that can subsequently be converted into planar GNRs through cyclodehydrogenation (graphitization). Selecting a dedicated molecular precursor then gives a selective nanoribbon product. However, the paradigm “precursor molecule determines nanoribbon geometry” has recently been found to be deficient, as the first examples have emerged where different types of ribbons were obtained from a single molecule on different metal substrates. In particular, seven-atom wide armchair GNRs (7-acGNRs) can be prepared from 10,10’-dibromo-9,9’-bianthryl (DBBA) on Au(111), whereas the anomalous 3,1-chiral GNR (3,1-cGNR) was formed when the synthesis was attempted on Cu(111). The same 3,1-
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cGNR was obtained using 10,10′-dichloro-9,9′-bianthryl (DCBA)—the chlorinated analogue of DBBA—and non-halogenated 9,9′-bianthryl (BA) on Cu(111). Another surprising result is the formation of irregular polybianthrenes (irregular graphitic structures) when attempting on-surface synthesis with DCBA on Au(111). The result was rationalized by the fact that the C–Cl bond is stronger than the C–Br bond. As a consequence, for DCBA, the dehalogenation temperature is elevated relative to that for DBBA and is higher than the cyclodehydrogenation temperature. This leads to a reversed reaction sequence, with cyclodehydrogenation preceding covalent coupling.

From the above, it is clear that metal substrates catalyze various reactions in the formation process of GNRs: Ullmann coupling, surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling, and dehalogenation. The exact sequence of thermally induced transformations is an interplay between the precursor molecule of interest and the metal substrate, and can additionally be affected by coadsorbed species. Understanding what product is obtained therefore requires an understanding of the various elementary reaction steps and their activation temperatures. Although scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and noncontact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM) imaging allow the identification of reaction products and intermediates, these techniques lack the ability to monitor chemical transformations at annealing temperatures. Recent studies have used X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to reveal activation temperatures of various elementary reaction steps in on-surface transformations. Scanning probe microscopy (SPM) and XPS can therefore be regarded as a complementary set of techniques to monitor the on-surface chemistry of molecular species: together, they provide a comprehensive understanding of the underlying processes.

In this work, we aim to complement our previous investigations of nanoribbon synthesis on the (111) facets of coinage metals (gold, silver, and copper) from dihalobianthryl precursors by exploring the behavior of DCBA on Ag(111). In addition, the behavior of nonhalogenated BA and DCBA with 3,9 and 3,10-dibromoperylene (DBP) was studied. The silver surface is interesting because it possesses intermediate reactivity with respect to the previously studied Au(111) and Cu(111) surfaces. As a result, the nature of the product—possibly the 7-acGNR as on gold, the 3,1-c-GNR as on copper, or something different altogether—is difficult to predict a priori. To obtain a deeper understanding of the on-surface reaction sequence, we combined our STM studies with systematic, temperature-dependent XPS measurements, not only on Ag(111) but also on Au(111) and Cu(111). In the latter two cases, our work corroborates the earlier finding that, in contrast with what happens for DBBA, cyclodehydrogenation takes place before dehalogenation for DCBA on Au(111), whereas non-Ullmann coupling occurs regardless of dehalogenation on Cu(111). In each case, we find that, for chlorinated molecules, the dehalogenation temperature is elevated compared to that for brominated precursors, which can crucially affect the sequence of on-surface reactions. In fact, we find that DCBA reacts on Ag(111) to form either the 7-acGNR or the 3,1-c-GNR, whereas DBBA only gives 7-acGNRs. Indeed, the higher dehalogenation temperature of DCBA (as compared to DBBA) increases the likelihood of switching to a non-Ullmann, surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling mechanism. The surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling pathway is furthermore selected when DCBA is annealed with co-adsorbed DBP, showing that the latter molecule can affect the reaction mechanism in such a way that coupling into 3,1-cGNRs is promoted. The exact atomic structure of the resulting GNRs and their polymeric intermediates were further resolved with STM and differential conductance mapping. Moreover, successive syntheses allowed us to produce armchair and chiral nanoribbons side-by-side, using just a single precursor species.

**METHODS**

**Sample Preparation.** DCBA was used as synthesized in our earlier work. BA, DBBA, and 3,(9/10)-DBP were also synthesized previously. Clean Au(111), Ag(111), and Cu(111) surfaces were prepared by repeated cycles of Aṙ bombardment (E_{Aṙ} = 1 keV) at room temperatures (RTs) and subsequent annealing of the surface to 800 K. The cleanliness of the substrate was verified either by XPS or by STM. Samples were prepared by vacuum sublimation of precursor molecules from an effusion cell onto the surface kept at RT. The thickness of deposited organics was calibrated by quartz microbalance, STM, and XPS measurements. The sample was gradually heated in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) to monitor the on-surface reactions.

**STM Measurements.** STM measurements were carried out in a Scienta Omicron variable-temperature STM/AFM instrument operated at T = 11.5 K under UHV conditions (base pressure < 10⁻¹⁰ mbar). Tip passivation was achieved by attachment of halogen atoms from the surface, similar to the procedure used to create CO-functionalized tips. Differential conductance mapping was performed in the constant current mode using a lock-in amplifier [f = 473 Hz, V_{ac} = 20 mV (rms)].

**XPS and Near-Edge X-ray Absorption Fine Structure Measurements.** XPS and near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) studies were performed at the HE-SGM beamline (bending magnet) at the HZB BESSY II synchrotron facility (Berlin, Germany). This beamline and its end station are specifically designed for studies of sensitive organic molecules and thin films. Photoelectron spectra were measured in normal emission (NE) geometry and normalized to the current in the storage ring and the number of scans. XPS measurements were performed at excitation energies of 300 and 380 eV, with a resolution of ~0.3 eV. The binding energy scale of the spectra was referenced to the Au 4f₉/₂ emission at 84 eV and (when possible) to the position of the Fermi level of the metal substrate. Peak-fitting analysis of the XPS spectra was performed using the XPS Tools (XPST) program package for IGOR Pro. C K-edge NEXAFS spectra were recorded in the partial electron yield mode with a retarding voltage of −150 eV. The angle between the polarization vector of X-rays and vector normal to the sample surface was either 55° (NE) or 90° (normal incidence geometry). Spectra were normalized to the corresponding spectra from the clean metal surfaces and to the continuum jump.

**Tight-Binding Calculations.** In some experiments, the structure of the GNR or polymer was inferred from STM imaging or differential conductance mapping. Tight-binding calculations were performed in order to correlate these experimental images with the correct structure. All calculations were performed using an on-site energy of εₗ for the carbon pₓ orbital and a nearest-neighbor hopping parameter t. Local density of states maps were generated by convolution of the
constituent orbitals with a tip of size 3 Å and a Lorentzian energy broadening of the eigenstates by 36 meV.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nanoribbons from DCBA on Ag(111): STM Study. Figure 1a shows an STM scan of a Ag(111) surface onto which GNRs were synthesized through deposition of DCBA at RT followed by annealing at 570 K. The temperature was ramped up slowly from RT to 570 K over the course of 20 min. The STM topography shows GNRs with long, straight edges. The nanoribbons display distinct end-localized states, with the shape of “cat paws”. These states become particularly noticeable when scanning at negative bias voltage. These features are consistent with 7-acGNRs.54–68

Figure 1b,c shows the results of deposition of DCBA at RT followed by rapid heating (instead of slow ramping) to 600 K. Although difficult to quantify in our setup, the complete temperature ramp takes place within approximately 5 min. Surprisingly, some areas on the crystal feature 7-acGNRs (Figure 1b), whereas in other areas, GNRs are seen with a characteristic sawtooth-like edge and without localized end states (Figure 1c). The appearance of these ribbons in STM is consistent with the 3,1-chiral GNR (3,1-cGNR).32,44,45,69 Consequently, we have managed to generate 7-acGNRs and 3,1-cGNRs from the same precursor molecule on the same metal surface by simply varying the heating rate. The two different transformations—Ullmann coupling to give the 7-acGNR and surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling to give the 3,1-cGNR—are illustrated in Figure 1e. A rough estimate based on our STM images is that 3,1-cGNRs are approximately twice as predominant as 7-acGNRs, although the majority of the organic material has interconnected into undefined graphitic structures. Earlier studies have shown that 7-acGNRs can fuse laterally, after their initial formation, into 14-acGNRs and 21-acGNRs. The formation of 7-acGNRs and 3,1-cGNRs from DCBA, however, is to the best of our knowledge, the first instance where a single molecule, on a single metal surface, can give two types of nanoribbons, through two distinctly different and competing mechanisms.

In contrast, earlier studies have shown that the DBBA precursor yields only 7-acGNRs on Ag(111).36,69,70 Figure S1 shows a reproduction of this experiment in our setup, where we resolve windmill-like self-assemblies of partially debranched precursor molecules, the polyanthrylene intermediate, and the 7-acGNR product. While nanoribbon synthesis was also attempted with the nonhalogenated BA, this molecule was found to desorb from the surface at elevated temperatures, as evidenced by a completely empty surface in STM experiments. This finding is corroborated by an XPS experiment (see Figure S2). Similarly, thermal desorption (and absence of intermolecular coupling) was found for BA on Au(111).46

In an additional experiment, we codeposited equal amounts of DCBA and DBBA onto Ag(111). The deposition at RT was followed by quick heating to 600 K. As seen in Figure 1d, both 7-acGNRs (indicated with green arrows) and 3,1-cGNRs (orange arrows) are produced. However, the two different types of GNRs are now mixed and not in separate domains. Assuming that the 7-acGNRs originate from DBBA, this shows another manifestation of the formation of 3,1-cGNR from DCBA. We therefore conclude that the Ag(111) surface can promote reaction pathways characteristic for both the less reactive Au(111) surface (formation of 7-acGNR via Ullmann coupling)10,71 and the more reactive Cu(111) surface (formation of 3,1-cGNR via surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling).32,44,45

We finalize this section by summarizing that DCBA can give both 7-acGNRs and 3,1-cGNRs on Ag(111). This anomalous behavior of DCBA shows that Ag(111) can promote the reaction pathways characteristic for both the more inert Au(111)10,71 (Ullmann coupling) and the more reactive Cu(111)42,44,45 (surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling). This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first instance where a single molecule, on a single metal surface, can give two distinct types of nanoribbon products. At this point, we tentatively
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hypothesize that the formation of 3,1-cGNR is a consequence of blocking of the Ullmann coupling pathway, which could arise from passivation of bianthryl radical species by atoms on the surface. A deeper understanding of the processes which work comes from XPS experiments, which are discussed in the next section.

DCBA on Ag(111): XPS Study. The behavior of DCBA on Ag(111) was investigated in temperature-resolved XPS measurements, where both the Cl 2p and C 1s lines were monitored. Figure 2a shows a Cl 2p map for DCBA on Ag(111), acquired by taking successive Cl 2p XPS spectra while heating the silver crystal from RT (300 K) to 560 K (at a heating rate of 3 K/min). This is the heating procedure found to yield 7-acGNRs exclusively. Studies of debromination of DBBA on metal surfaces,\textsuperscript{11,54,59} as well as deiodination of diiodoterphenyl\textsuperscript{57} indicate that dehalogenation is accompanied by the appearance of a new component in the halogen XPS spectra at lower binding energy ($E_B$). On this basis, the Cl 2p spin doublets centered around $E_B$ (Cl 2p$_{3/2}$) = 200.7 eV and around $E_B$ (Cl 2p$_{1/2}$) = 197.5 eV can be attributed to carbon-bound chlorine in DCBA, and chlorine chemisorbed on Ag(111), respectively. The absence of chemisorbed chlorine at RT shows that the C−Cl bond initially remains intact, unlike the weaker C−Br bond which is broken immediately upon adsorption.\textsuperscript{59} Dechlorination of the precursor molecules thus occurs in a broad temperature interval from 380 to 470 K as evidenced by a gradual redistribution of the Cl 2p intensity from the high $E_B$ to the low $E_B$ spin doublet. At temperatures beyond 470 K, all carbon-bound Cl is gone and only chemisorbed Cl is left on the surface. The reduction of the total Cl 2p photoemission intensity toward the end of the DCBA dechlorination process can be attributed to chlorine desorption at elevated temperatures.

Information about changes in the chemical environment of carbon atoms comes from analysis of the C 1s spectra acquired at several characteristic annealing temperatures. Analysis of the evolution of the C 1s line shape for DCBA on Ag(111) was performed using a peak-fitting procedure that was implemented previously for the investigation of the on-surface transformations of DBBA on Ag(111) and Cu(111) surfaces.\textsuperscript{11,59} More details of the fitting procedure are presented in the Supporting Information. The C 1s peak can be fitted by four components, representing four groups of chemically distinct carbon atoms: (i) “graphitic” carbon atoms (C[Cl3]), bonded to three neighboring carbon atoms; (ii) “edge” carbon atoms (C[Cl2H]), bonded to two carbon atoms and one hydrogen atom; (iii) chlorine-bonded carbon atoms (C[Cl2Cl]); and (iv) silver-bonded carbon atoms (C[Cl2Ag]). The schematics showing carbon atoms in different chemical environments are shown in Figure S3. The relative intensities of the components are proportional to the fractions of carbon atoms corresponding to distinctive chemical environments.

Figure 2b displays the intensities of the different carbon species upon DCBA deposition and subsequent heating on Ag(111). The intensities were normalized to the number of carbon atoms in chemically inequivalent positions out of the 28 carbon atoms that make up the molecule or repeating unit. The fitted spectra can be found in Figure S3. The temperature windows for dehalogenation, covalent coupling, and cyclo-dehydrogenation are clearly observed, indicated by blue, green, and gray colors in Figure 2b. Dechlorination occurs between 380 and 470 K, in agreement with the Cl 2p data. In the same temperature window, the C[Cl3]/C[Cl2H] ratio increases from 10:16 to 12:16. These ratios are consistent with the theoretical C[Cl3]/C[Cl2H] ratios in pristine DCBA and in covalently coupled polymers, respectively. We conclude that dechlorination and subsequent covalent coupling are correlated.

A tiny fraction of silver-linked carbon atoms (the C[Cl2Ag] state) emerges upon dechlorination at 410 K. Organometallic (OM) chains were reported for DBBA on Ag(111).\textsuperscript{59} However, the formation of OM chains would lead to the appearance of at least two carbon atoms in the C[Cl2Ag] state per molecular unit. In the present case of DCBA on Ag(111), the observed signature of carbon atoms in the C[Cl2Ag] state is
too small to be explained by the formation of OM structures. For DCBA on Ag(111), the absence of OM structures from DCBA can be attributed to the high annealing temperatures required for dissociation of the C−Cl bond. Indeed, cleavage of the C−Ag bond was reported already at 400 K, which means that most of the C−Ag bonds will readily dissociate at temperatures required for dechlorination. Hence, no OM intermediates are formed, and the molecules tend to link covalently right upon dehalogenation, indicating an Ullmann coupling mechanism.

Figure 2b also shows that above 470 K, the fraction of C[2H] sites decreases, accompanied by a proportional growth of the C[C3] site fraction. This is a clear indication of cyclodehydrogenation. The approximate C[C3]/C[2H] ratio increases from 12:16 at 470 K to 22:6 at 560 K, surpassing a theoretical C[C3]/C[2H] ratio of 20:8 for 7-acGNRs and 3,1-cGNRs. This can be explained by the tendency of Ag(111) to promote lateral fusion, or cross-dehydrogenative coupling, of GNRs. It is likely that GNRs are formed around 530−540 K, while annealing above 540 K promotes lateral fusion, increasing the fraction of graphitic carbon atoms even further. The graphitization of molecules upon annealing is also confirmed by angular-dependent NEXAFS spectroscopy measurements (Figure S5).

To generalize our knowledge about the spectroscopy fingerprints for different on-surface reactions of DCBA on coinage metals, we extended our XPS investigations to Cu(111) and Au(111) substrates. On these surfaces, DCBA has been reported to give 3,1-chiral GNRs and poorly Cu(111) and Au(111) substrates. On these surfaces, DCBA coinage metals, we extended our XPS investigations to upon annealing is also con

Figure 3. STM scans of DCBA on Ag(111) at various temperatures. (a) STM scan (V = −2 V, I = 20 pA) showing assemblies of DCBA as obtained from depositing at RT. (b) Higher-resolution STM scan (V = −2 V, I = 20 pA) of the assembly of (a). (c) STM scan (V = −2 V, I = 20 pA) of the sample of (a,b) after annealing to 440 K. (d) Higher-resolution STM scan (V = −2 V, I = 20 pA) of the polymers of (c). (e) STM scan of the same region as (d), but at (V = −1.6 V, I = 20 pA). (f) STM scan (V = −1.2 V, I = 20 pA) after annealing to 470 K. (g) STM scan (V = −1.6 V, I = 20 pA) after annealing to 520 K. (h) STM scan (V = −1.6 V, I = 20 pA) after annealing to 660 K. Scale bars in (d,e) are 2 nm. All other scale bars are 10 nm.

those of the same intact molecule on Au(111). Unlike DBBA, no assembly into chiral “windmill-like” structures is observed. Heating to 440 K—the dehalogenation temperature as found from XPS—gives the situation shown in Figure 3c. The molecules have assembled into polymeric chains. Although the XPS experiments show that dehalogenation is not yet complete at this temperature, we have not been able to identify any remaining uncoupled molecules on this sample, possibly because of a slight discrepancy in the temperature calibration of the SPM setup with respect to the XPS setup.

We argue that it might not be clear a priori whether the polymers of Figure 3c are the polyanthrenylenes that precede the 7-acGNR or the chiral polymer that precede the 3,1-cGNR, especially considering the fact that earlier studies concluded that the two polymers have a very similar appearance in STM. Previous attempts to resolve the type of polymer have made use of nc-AFM, but the nonplanar nature still makes it difficult to unequivocally assign the correct geometry. However, in our experiments, we found that increasing the negative bias voltage in STM past −1.8 V induces an abrupt contrast change in the polymers: scanning at
−2 V results in images where the polymers have twice as many lobes as at lower absolute bias. This is shown in more detail in Figure 3d,e. Interestingly, this "lobe-doubling" feature enables us to assign the polyanthrylene structure rather than the chiral polymer structure (see Figure S6).

We carried out further gradual heating of these polymers, similar to the procedures used before in the XPS experiments and the SPM experiments resulting in the formation of 7-acGNRs. This results in cyclodehydrogenation, which is found to start at 470 K (Figure 3f), is in full swing at 520 K (Figure 3g), and is almost fully completed at 660 K (Figure 3h). At this highest temperature, a significant number of 14- and 21-acGNRs is observed as well. The lateral fusion of 7-acGNRs explains why, in the C 1s XPS spectra, the theoretical C×C3/[C2H] ratio of 20:8 was surpassed to give a ratio of 22:6. Cross-dehydrogenative coupling generates a significantly larger proportion of graphitic carbon atoms compared to what is expected for either the 7-acGNR or the 3,1-cGNR.

Finally, we remark that we attempted to find the hypothetic OM intermediate that would precede the polymer phase on Ag(111), in analogy with DBBA. However, no polymers were found below 420 K. This is in agreement with the exceedingly low C×C2Ag/[C2H] ratio of 20:8, which was surpassed to give a ratio of 22:6. Cross-dehydrogenative coupling generates a significantly larger proportion of graphitic carbon atoms compared to what is expected for either the 7-acGNR or the 3,1-cGNR.

As shown recently by Liu et al., the presence of coadsorbed molecular species can have a substantial effect in an on-surface synthesis protocol, even in the form of a catalyst. With this in mind, we decided to perform synthesis with DCBA with coadsorbed 3,9- and 3,10-dibromoperylene (DBP). DBP is known to give five-atom wide armchair nanoribbons on Au(111).27,38 On Cu(111), two OM intermediates are observed: a "wiggly-chain".

**Scheme 1. Proposed Reaction Mechanisms for DBBA/DCBA with DBP**

"Top: As shown earlier on Au(111), using the brominated species, both molecules undergo dehalogenation and subsequent coupling into armchair nanoribbon heterojunctions.27 Bottom: Using DBP with DCBA on Ag(111) gives the situation where at the debromination temperature, only the DBP is dehalogenated. The radicals originating from DBP could induce CH activation in DCBA, promoting the surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling into 3,1-cGNRs.

### DCBA with DBP on Ag(111): Promoting Chiral Nanoribbon Formation

Our previous experiments showed that slow or stepwise annealing of DCBA on Ag(111) is likely to result in 7-acGNRs, whereas 3,1-cGNRs were obtained when the sample was heated suddenly. This is likely due to the presence of atoms on the surface that block the normal Ullmann coupling pathway. From the XPS experiments, it was deduced that the close temperature proximity of dehalogenation and cyclodehydrogenation could be the underlying cause of the blocking of the Ullmann coupling pathway: molecules undergoing premature cyclodehydrogenation release hydrogen atoms that may passivate the bianthryl radicals. An interesting question that arises is whether it is possible to exploit the sensitivity of the reaction sequence induced by this temperature proximity and thus to control the type of GNR that is obtained.
The intermediate consisting of biradical species and a straight-chain intermediate which contains tetraradicals. The transformation from the biradical to tetraradical occurs at the expense of two hydrogen atoms. The nanoribbon formation behavior of DBP on Ag(111) is outlined in Figure S7, where it is verified that 5-acGNRs can indeed be obtained on this surface.

Figure 4a shows the result of on-surface synthesis with both DCBA and DBP on Ag(111), achieved by depositing both species in an equal amount onto the metal surface followed by slow annealing to 570 K. Despite using the same heating rate that earlier gave rise to 7-acGNRs exclusively, this time we only get the 3,1-cGNRs. More precisely, we find that the two molecular species have segregated, with DBP interconnecting to give poorly ordered graphitic structures, and DCBA coupling into 3,1-cGNRs. The long, straight, and mostly defect-free segments of the 3,1-cGNRs suggest a largely unimpeded Ullmann-type coupling of the DCBA. Moreover, the chiral nanoribbons make up roughly half of the material on the surface. Because an approximately equal amount of DBP and DCBA was deposited, this suggests that DCBA is transformed predominantly into 3,1-cGNRs rather than into graphitic structures. Thus, the yield of 3,1-cGNR formation in this experiment is very high: much higher than what was achieved without DBP. The segregation behavior of DBP and DCBA and resultant coupling into 3,1-cGNRs is in large contrast with the unimpeded copolymerization of DBP and DBBA into acGNR heterojunctions on Au(111)27 (see Scheme 1: top) and the incompatible copolymerization of DBP with DBBA on Cu(111), where in the last case, the DBP actually interferes with the formation of 3,1-cGNRs instead of promoting it (see Figure S8). We have therefore found that the presence of DBP promotes the surface-assisted coupling mechanism of DCBA to 3,1-cGNR on Ag(111).

We have come up with two possible explanations for the effect of DBP on the reaction mechanism of DCBA on Ag(111). First, as shown in Scheme 1, the presence of both a brominated and a chlorinated molecular species gives rise to a temperature window where only the bromine-bearing precursor has dehalogenated. Because DBP has a planar shape, its radical could approach the DCBA CH(2) position and promote its activation. This explanation puts DBP into the role of the activator for surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling. Subsequent coupling of thus-obtained radicals of DCBA yields 3,1-cGNRs. This scenario also suggests that DBP radicals could be prematurely passivated in the process of activating DCBA. Coupling of partially passivated DBP molecules is likely to be nonselective, explaining why DBP forms poorly ordered graphitic structures. An alternative explanation puts DBP into the role of the hydrogen source. Because the OM intermediate found for pure DBP at 450 K is reminiscent of the tetraradical species found earlier for DBP on Cu(111) (see Figure S7), DBP may have already undergone dehydrogenative coupling at the DCBA dechlorination temperature. The hydrogen thus released could inhibit Ullmann coupling by passivating dechlorinated DCBA radical species. Regardless of which explanation is correct, both hypotheses rely on the fact that the increased dehalogenation temperature of DCBA gives rise to the possibility of switching from the Ullmann coupling mechanism to surface-assisted dehydrogenation.

Finally, having acquired a sample with 3,1-cGNR, we deposited more DCBA and repeated the heating procedure. Because all interfering molecular species present on the surface have already coupled, no promotion of the surface-assisted coupling pathway should be expected. Indeed, this time, several short 7-acGNRs were obtained, next to the already existing chiral nanoribbons, as shown in Figure 4b. To unequivocally prove the nature of the different types of nanoribbons, we performed differential conductance mapping on a 7-acGNR and 3,1-cGNR lying next to each other, as can be seen in Figure 4c,d. Indeed, a map at low bias voltage shows end-localized states in the 7-acGNR and edge-localized states in the 3,1-cGNR (Figure 4d), in agreement with a simulated map from a simple tight-binding calculation (Figure 4e). This experiment finalizes our hypothesis that the 3,1-cGNR can be obtained by blocking the Ullmann coupling pathway or promotion of the surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling pathway.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we present a systematic study of the behavior of DCBA on Ag(111). Our STM measurements enabled us to disclose the nature of the different nanoribbons as well as the behavior of the polymeric intermediate. We demonstrate that both the 7-acGNR and the 3,1-cGNR can be obtained: the first combination of the molecule and metal surface that allows for the synthesis of two different kinds of GNRs side-by-side. This means that the structure of GNRs is determined by more subtle factors than even the molecular structure and the surface.

Our XPS study allowed us to get deeper insights into the behavior of brominated and chlorinated bianthryls on the coinage metal surfaces and get information about the activation temperatures of on-surface reactions. For DCBA on Au(111), dehalogenation takes place after cyclodehydrogenation, resulting in the formation of poorly ordered bisanthrene polymers as observed previously in STM.46 In turn, the more reactive Ag(111) surface decreases the dechlorination temperature with respect to that on Au(111) by virtue of its larger reactivity. As a result, the formation of well-defined nanoribbons can take place from DCBA, and all intermediates could be resolved in STM measurements. Cu(111) blocks the Ullmann coupling mechanism, promoting surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling regardless of the halogen. However, for the (111) surfaces of each coinage metal, we find that dechlorination takes place at higher temperature than debroration, which can crucially affect the reaction mechanism.57 In fact, the significantly higher dehalogenation temperature of DCBA with respect to its brominated analogue brings the dehalogenation temperature close to the onset for the surface-assisted dehydrogenation.

The small energetic separation of the dehalogenation and dehydrogenation temperatures for DCBA in comparison with those for DBBA may result in an increased likelihood of switching to non-Ullmann, surface-assisted dehydrogenation coupling. It was suggested that the presence of the reaction side products (most likely hydrogen) or coadsorbates interfering with Ullmann coupling can make the alternative reaction pathway possible. This hypothesis was verified in an experiment where we promoted the formation of 3,1-cGNRs by using coadsorbed DBP. DBP affects the reaction sequence in such a way that the surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling mechanism is promoted. Rather than giving a copolymer as on Au(111)27 or Cu(111), 3,1-cGNRs were obtained, even when using the gradual heating procedure that earlier gave rise to the 7-acGNR exclusively.
We conclude that the unique match of intermediate reactivity of the Ag(111) surface and the relatively high dechlorination barrier of DCBA enables the surface-assisted dehydrogenative coupling pathway, explaining why sometimes DCBA couples into 3,1-cGNR. Therefore, DCBA on Ag(111) is a combination of molecule and metal surface that can give rise to either the 7-acGNR or the 3,1-cGNR depending on the reaction parameters and the presence of co-adsorbed species. The processes identified in our combined SPM and XPS studies reveal design rules for on-surface syntheses involving molecules on metals and will aid in future strategies for bottom-up synthesis of GNRs and other molecular nanostructures.
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