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ABSTRACT

We explore the large angular scale temperature anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) due to homogeneous local dust-filled voids in a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe with a cosmological constant. In comparison with the equivalent dust-filled void model in the Einstein–de Sitter background, we find that the anisotropy for compensated asymptotically expanding local voids can be larger, because second-order effects enhance the linear integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect. However, for local voids that expand sufficiently faster than the asymptotic velocity of the wall, the second-order effect can suppress the fluctuation due to the linear ISW effect. A pair of quasi-linear compensated asymptotic local voids with radius \((2\pm3)\times10^2\ h^{-1}\) Mpc and a matter density contrast \(\delta_{\text{mat}} \sim -0.3\) can be observed as cold spots with a temperature anisotropy \(\Delta T/T \sim O(10^{-5})\) that might help explain the observed large-angle CMB anomalies. We predict that the associated anisotropy in the local Hubble constant in the direction of the voids could be as large as a few percent.

Subject headings: cosmic microwave background — cosmology: miscellaneous — large-scale structure of universe

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been mounting evidence that the statistical isotropy in the large-angle cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropy may be broken (Tegmark et al. 2003; Copi et al. 2004; Chiang et al. 2004; de Oliveira-Costa et al. 2004; Eriksen et al. 2004; Hansen et al. 2004; Larson & Wandelt 2004; Park 2004; Schwarz et al. 2004; Vielva et al. 2004; Cruz et al. 2005, 2006). Although the significance of any one of the findings is at most \(3\), the accumulation of anomalies hints at the possibility of the need for new physics to be added to the standard scenario (e.g., Luminet et al. 2003; Jaffe et al. 2005).

To explain the origin of the anomalies, it has been suggested that the large-angle CMB anisotropy is affected by local inhomogeneities (Moffat 2005; Tomita 2005a, 2005b; Vale 2005; Cooray & Seto 2005; Rakić et al. 2006). However, none of these explanations has succeeded in explaining the specific features of the anomalies, namely, the octopole planarity, the alignment between the quadrupole \((l = 2)\) and the octopole \((l = 3)\) (Tegmark 2003), and the alignment between the low-\(l\) multipoles \((l = 2 \pm 3)\) with the equinox and the ecliptic plane (Copi et al. 2004). For instance, if one applies a model in which the Local Group is falling into the center of the Shapley supercluster, the discrepancy between the model prediction and the observed data becomes even worse (Rakić et al. 2006).

Inoue & Silk (2006) explored the possibility that the CMB is affected by a small number of compensated local dust-filled voids. In the Einstein–de Sitter (EdS) background, we found that a pair of voids with radius \(3 \times 10^2\ h^{-1}\) Mpc and density contrast \(b \sim -0.3\) can account for the planarity/alignment features in multipoles with \(l = 2\) and \(3\). The cold spot in the Galactic southern hemisphere, anomalous at the \(\sim 3\) level, can also be explained by such a large void at \(z \sim 1\).

In this paper we study the signature of compensated local dust-filled voids on the CMB in a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) model with a cosmological constant \(\Lambda\). The signature of voids in the FRW universe has been extensively studied in the literature (Thompson & Vishniac 1987; Martínez-González & Sanz 1990; Panek 1992; Amnuay et al. 1993; Mézard & Sesé 1996; Fullana et al. 1996; Vadas 1998; Griffiths et al. 2003). However, none of the above discussions have considered the effect of the cosmological constant which accelerates the cosmic expansion at late times. In contrast to the void model in the EdS background, we expect an extra contribution from the linear integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect due to potential decay at recent epochs \(z < 1\). It is of considerable importance to study whether the second-order effect observed in the equivalent void model in the EdS background enhances the linear ISW effect or not (Tomita 2005a, 2005b). In a similar manner to our previous work (Inoue & Silk 2006), we use the thin-shell approximation for describing the wall. In what follows, we assume that we are outside the voids. In §2 we derive analytic formulae for the temperature anisotropy due to homogeneous dust-filled voids in the FRW model with a cosmological constant \(\Lambda\), and we study the signature of such voids on the CMB. In §3 we explore a model of local dust-filled voids that agrees with the observed anomalies on large angular scales. In §4 we estimate the mean contribution from the local dust-filled voids in the cold dark matter (CDM) cosmology. In §5 we summarize our results and discuss some unresolved issues.

2. DUST-FILLED VOID MODEL

2.1. Cosmic Expansion

We consider a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) model with a cosmological constant \(\Lambda\) as the background universe. In what follows, we use units where the light velocity \(c\) is normalized to 1. In spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\), the flat background FRW metric can be written as

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + R^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \tag{1}
\]
where $t$ is the time, $R$ denotes the scale factor, and $d\Omega^2$ is the metric for a unit sphere. Next, we consider a homogeneous spherical dust-filled void with a density contrast $\delta_m < 0$. We assume that the size of the void is sufficiently smaller than the Hubble radius $H^{-1}$. Then, the metric of the homogeneous void centered at the origin can be approximately described by the hyperbolic FRW metric as

$$ds^2 = -dt'^2 + R'(t')^2[dr'^2 + R^2 \sin^2(r'/R_c)d\Omega^2],$$

(2)

where $t'$ is the time, $R'$ denotes the scale factor, and $R_c$ is the comoving curvature radius. The scale factor $R$ for the flat FRW background at time $t_1$ can be expanded up to third order in $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ in terms of the deceleration parameter $q_2$ and the jerk parameter $j_2$, as

$$R(t_1) \approx 1 - H_2\Delta t - \frac{q_2}{2}(H_2\Delta t)^2 + \frac{j_2}{6}(H_2\Delta t)^3,$$

(4)

where a dot means the time derivative $d/dt$, $H_2 \equiv H(t_2)$, and $R(t_2) \equiv 1$. For a FRW universe with matter and $\Lambda$, we have $q = (\Omega_m - 2\Omega_\Lambda)/2$, where $\Omega_m$ and $\Omega_\Lambda$ are the density parameters for the matter and the cosmological constant $\Lambda$, and the jerk is $j = -(\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda)$. The density parameter and the Hubble parameter (denoted by primes) for the void are written in terms of the matter density $\rho_m$ of the matter density contrast $\delta_m = \delta\rho_m/\rho_m$, and the Hubble parameter contrast $\delta_H$ as

$$\Omega_m' = \frac{\rho_m(1 + \delta_m)}{H^2(1 + \delta_H)}, \quad H' = (1 + \delta_H)H.$$

(5)

The deceleration and jerk parameters for the void are

$$q' = -\frac{2}{(1 + \delta_H)^2} \left[ \frac{\Omega_m(1 + \delta_m) - 2\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m(1 + \delta_m) + \Omega_\Lambda} \right],$$

$$j' = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta_H)^2} \left[ \frac{\Omega_m(1 + \delta_m) + \Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m(1 + \delta_m) - 2\Omega_\Lambda} \right].$$

(6)

Equations (3)–(6) give the scale factor $R'(t')$ for the hyperbolic FRW void. From the Friedmann equation, the cosmological time for the flat universe that consists of matter and the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ is

$$t = sH^{-1}, \quad s = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{1 - \Omega_m}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Omega_m} + 1}{\sqrt{\Omega_m}} \right).$$

(7)

2.2. Time Solution

In what follows, we calculate the evolution of the internal time $t'$ for an expanding void with a peculiar velocity in terms of the external time $t$ up to order $O((r_v/H^{-1})^2)$, where the comoving radius of the void is expressed as $r_v(t)$ in the external coordinates and as $r'(t')$ in the internal coordinates. To connect the two metrics at the shell, we require the boundary conditions

$$[R(t)r_v(t)]^2 = [R'(t')r'_v(t')]^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{R'(t')^2r'_v(t')^2}{3R_c^2} \right],$$

(8)

$$-dt'^2 + R^2(t)dr^2 = -dt^2 + R^2(t)dr^2,$$

(9)

where we have assumed that $r' \ll R_c$. Up to order $O((r_v/H^{-1})^3)$, the curvature term in equation (8) is negligible. Then equations (8) and (9) yield

$$dt' = dt \left( 1 - R\dot{R}r_v\dot{\delta}_H + \frac{1}{2} R^2 r_v^2 \dot{\delta}_H^2 \right).$$

(10)

Let us assume that the expansion of the thin shell (=wall) in the external coordinates is expressed as $r_v(t) \propto t^\beta$, where $\beta$ is a constant. Equation (10) can be explicitly written as

$$dt' = \left( 1 - \frac{3\beta t}{s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ddot{\delta}_H^2}{\dot{\delta}_H^2} \right) dt,$$

(11)

where we define

$$\xi = \frac{r_v(t)R(t)}{H^{-1}}.$$

(12)

Note that $\ddot{\delta}_H$ and $\xi$ are functions of $t$ rather than $t'$. As we shall see in the subsequent analysis, the anisotropy turns out to have a leading order of $\xi^2$. Therefore, omitting the curvature term in equation (8) in the process of deriving $dt'$ can be justified.\(^3\) Using equations (3)–(7) and (12), equation (11) can be integrated to yield the finite time difference $\Delta t' = t_2' - t_1'$,

$$\Delta t' = c_1 \Delta t + c_2(\Delta t)^2 + c_3(\Delta t)^3,$$

(13)

where $c_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are functions of $\beta$ and $\delta_H$ at $t = t_2$.

2.3. Temperature Anisotropy

We denote quantities at the time the photon enters the void and those at the time the photon leaves by the subscripts “1” and “2,” respectively. Primes denote quantities measured by a comoving observer in the interior coordinate system, and the unprimed quantities are measured by a comoving observer in the background universe just out of the shell of the void (see Fig. 1 in Inoue & Silk 2006).

To calculate the energy loss, we apply two local Lorentz transformations at each void boundary. The first is to convert the photon four-vector momentum in the comoving frame in the background universe to the frame in which the shell is at rest. The second is to convert it to the frame in the comoving frame inside the void.

The four-vector momentum of the photon that enters the void is

$$k_1 = E_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos \psi_1 \\ \sin \psi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(14)

where $\psi_1$ is the angle between the normal vector of the void shell and the spatial three-vector of the momentum of the photon that

\(^3\) The curvature correction yields additional terms of order $O(\xi^4)$ in eq. (11).
enters the void. After the photon passed the shell, the four-vector is converted to

\[ k'_1 = E'_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos \psi'_1 \\ \sin \psi'_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

(15)

\[ = E_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \gamma'_1 [1 + (v_1 - v'_1) \cos \psi_1 - v_1 v'_1] \\ \gamma_1 \gamma'_1 [\cos \psi_1 + (v_1 - v'_1) - v_1 v'_1 \cos \psi_1] \\ \sin \psi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

(16)

where \( v_1 \) and \( v'_1 \) are the velocities of the void shell at the time \( t = t_1 \) and \( \gamma \)-factors are defined as \( \gamma_1 = 1/(1 - v_1^2)^{1/2} \) and \( \gamma'_1 = 1/(1 - v_1'^2)^{1/2} \). When the photon reaches the far edge of the shell, the four-vector momentum becomes

\[ k'_2 = \frac{R'_1}{R'_2} E'_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos \psi'_2 \\ \sin \psi'_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

(17)

where \( \psi'_2 \) is the angle between the normal vector of the void shell and the spatial three-vector of the momentum of the photon that leaves the void.

As the photon leaves the shell, the four-vector momentum is converted to

\[ k_2 = E_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos \psi_2 \\ \sin \psi_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

(18)

\[ = \frac{R'_1}{R'_2} E'_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_2 \gamma'_2 [1 + (v_2 - v'_2) \cos \psi'_2 - v_2 v'_2] \\ \gamma_2 \gamma'_2 [\cos \psi'_2 + (v_2 - v'_2) - v_2 v'_2 \cos \psi'_2] \\ \sin \psi'_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

(19)

The velocities of the void are

\[ v_1 = R \frac{dv}{dt} \bigg|_{t = t_1} = \beta R \frac{r_i}{t_i}, \]

(20)

\[ v'_1 = R' \frac{dv'}{dt'} \bigg|_{t' = t'_1}, \]

(21)

where \( i = 1, 2 \). Up to order \( O(\xi^3) \), the connection conditions (8) and (9) and equation (10) yield

\[ v'_1(t_1) = \left( 1 - \frac{\kappa_i}{2} \xi_i^2 \right) \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \delta_H}{s_i} \right) \xi_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_H \xi_i^2 \right] \times (v_i + \xi_i) - \xi_i (1 + \delta_H), \]

(22)

where \( \xi_i \equiv \xi(t_i), \delta_H \equiv \delta_H(t_i), \) and \( \kappa_i \equiv (1 + \delta_H)^2 - \{1 + [1 - \Omega_k(t_i)] \delta(t_i) \} \). Using the Friedmann equation, the parameters at \( t = t_1 \) can be written in terms of those at \( t = t_2 \) as

\[ \frac{1 + \delta_1}{1 + \delta_2} = \frac{H_2^2}{H_1^2} \left( \frac{R'_2}{R'_1} \right)^3 \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - \Omega_k(t_2)}{1 - \Omega_k(t_1)} \left( \frac{H_2}{H_1} \right)^2 \right], \]

(23)

\[ \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} = \frac{H_2^2}{H_1^2} \left( \frac{R'_2}{R'_1} \right)^2. \]

(24)

From the geometry of the void in the internal comoving frame, at the order \( O(\xi^3) \), the relation between the void radius \( r'_1 \) and \( r'_2 \) is approximately given by

\[ r'_1 \sin \psi'_1 \approx r'_2 \sin \psi'_2. \]

(25)

The relation between the time \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) can be written as

\[ \int_{t'_1}^{t'_2} \frac{dt'}{R(t')} \approx r'_1 \cos \psi'_1 + r'_2 \cos \psi'_2. \]

(26)

The energy loss suffered between times \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) by a CMB photon that does not traverse the void is

\[ \left( \frac{E_2}{E_1} \right)_{\text{no void}} = \frac{1 + z_2}{1 + z_1}, \]

(27)

where \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) are redshift parameters corresponding to \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), respectively. The ratio of the temperature change for photons that traverse the void to that for photons that do not traverse the void is

\[ \frac{\Delta T}{T} = \frac{\left( E_2 / E_1 \right)_{\text{void}}}{1 + z_2} - 1. \]

(28)

Equations (7)–(28) can be solved recursively using \( \xi_2 \) as a small parameter.

After a lengthy calculation, neglecting the terms of order \( O(\xi^3) \), we find

\[ \frac{\Delta T}{T} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \xi_2^2 \cos \psi_2 \left[ -2 \delta_H^2 - \xi_2^2 + (3 + 4 \delta_m) \delta_H \Omega_m \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left. \delta_m \Omega_m (-6 \beta / s + 1) + \left( 2 \delta_H^2 + \xi_2^3 \right. \right. \]

\[ \left. + \delta_m \Omega_m (3 + 2 \delta_m) \delta_H \Omega_m \right] \sin 2 \psi_2 \right) \} \}, \]

(29)

where \( \delta_H, \delta_m, \beta, s, \) and \( \Omega_m \) are evaluated at \( t = t_2 \). For the matter-dominated EdS universe, \( \delta_H = -\delta_m / 3, \Omega_m = 1, \) and \( \Lambda = 0 \), we recover formula (34) from Inoue & Silk (2006). Equation (29) is the generalized formula for a spherical homogeneous dust-filled void in the flat-\( \Lambda \) FRW background. Plugging in \( \beta = -3 \Omega_m^{0.6} \Omega_m / 6 \) for a compensating void (Sakai 1995; Sakai et al. 1999) and the Hubble parameter contrast in linear order, \( \delta_H = \Omega_m \delta_m (1 + f^{-1}(w)) / 2 \) [see the derivation and the definition of \( f(w) \) in

\[ \xi_2 \]

4 It turns out that the terms of order \( O(\xi^3) \) are actually absent in \( \Delta T/T \) if one writes \( \delta_H \) in terms of \( \kappa \) and \( \delta_m \). The next leading order is \( O(\xi^3) \).

5 This approximation formula was originally derived for linear voids in low-density (\( \Omega_m < 1 \)) FRW models. However, from numerical analyses, it turns out that it can also be applied to voids in flat-\( \Lambda \) FRW models if \( \delta_m \ll 1 \) (Sakai 1995).
Appendix A], into equation (29), the anisotropy formula for compensated voids is

\[
\frac{\Delta T}{T} = \frac{1}{3} \delta_m \Omega_m v_2^3 \cos^3(\psi_2) \left( 2 + 3 \Omega_m - \frac{5 \Omega_m^{2/3}}{F} \right) + \frac{\delta_m^2 \Omega_m^{1/3} \Omega_0^2}{54 F^2} \left\{-50 \Omega_m \sin^2(\psi_2) \right. \\
-30 \Omega_m^{4/3} F [1 + 2 \cos(2\psi_2)] + 9 \left[ \frac{2 \Omega_m^{2.27}}{s} + 3 \Omega_m^{5/3} \right] \\
+ 3 \Omega_m^{5/3} \cos(2\psi_2) \right\} + 3 \Omega_m^{3/2} \delta_m^3, \tag{30}
\]

where

\[ F \equiv 2 \left( 5/6, 1/3, 11/6, -w \right) \tag{31} \]

and \( w \) is the effective equation-of-state parameter at time \( t_2 \). In contrast to the void model in the EdS background, there is an additional term which is linear in the matter density contrast \( \delta_m \). As shown in Figure 1, it can be interpreted as the contribution from the linear ISW effect, which comes from the change in the gravitational potential as the photon crosses the void.

For a void at redshift \( z \) centered at an angular diameter distance \( d \) from the Earth, the angle \( \psi_2 \) between the light ray and the normal vector on the void surface at the time the photon leaves the shell is written in terms of the size/distance parameter \( b \equiv R(z) r/v \) and the angle \( \theta \) between the light ray and the line of sight to the void center as

\[ \psi_2(b, \theta) \approx \sin^{-1}(\sin \theta / b). \tag{32} \]

Equations (30), (31), and (32) give the anisotropy for compensating voids at arbitrary redshift \( z \).

For a void at \( z = 0 \) in the flat-\( \Lambda \) FRW universe with \( \Omega_{m,0} = 0.24 \) (Spergel et al. 2007), we have \( w_0 = \Omega_{m,0} - 1 = -0.76 \). Then equations (30) and (31) yield

\[
\frac{\Delta T}{T} \approx \xi_0 \cos \psi_2 \left[ 0.087 (\cos^2 \psi_2) \delta_{m,0} \right. \\
- (0.021 + 0.018 \cos \psi_2) \delta_{m,0}^2, \tag{33}
\]

where \( \xi_0 \) and \( \delta_{m,0} \) are evaluated at \( t = t_0 \). Plugging \( \psi_2 = 0 (\theta = 0) \) in equation (30), we have the anisotropy

\[
\frac{\Delta T}{T} \approx \left( 0.087 \delta_{m,0} - 0.039 \delta_{m,0}^2 \right) \xi_0 \tag{34}
\]

toward the center of the void. From equation (34), we conclude that the contribution from the second-order effect, which is proportional to \( \delta_{m,0}^2 \), coherently enhances the contribution from the linear ISW effect provided that the second-order correction for the void expansion and the wall expansion (which we discuss later) is negligible. In this case, we would observe a cold spot in the direction of the void.

To generate an anisotropy \( \Delta T/T = 10^{-5} \), the void radius should be

\[ r_v(z = 0) \approx 2 \times 10^7 (|\delta_{m,0}|/0.2)^{-1/3} \text{ Mpc}, \tag{35} \]

where \( H_0^2 = 3000 \text{ Mpc} \). In comparison with the equivalent void model in the EdS background, the void radius can be smaller because of the coherent contribution owing to the linear ISW effect and the second-order effect.

In order to study the second-order corrections, we introduce two nonlinear parameters (\( \epsilon \) and \( \eta \)) that control the void and the

---

6 Here we do not call this the “Rees-Sciama effect” (Rees & Sciama 1968), because we deal with the relativistic second-order effect in which the curvature effect is no longer negligible.
wall expansion (see also Inoue & Silk 2006). These are defined as

$$ \delta_H = \frac{1 + f^{-1}(w)}{2} \Omega_m \delta_m - \epsilon \delta_m^2, $$

(36)

$$ \beta = -\frac{\Omega_m^{0.6}}{6} \delta_m + \eta \delta_m^2. $$

(37)

If the matter inside the void near the boundary expands with the asymptotic velocity of the wall, i.e., $\delta_H = \beta$, then the nonlinear parameter $\epsilon$ can be written as

$$ \epsilon = \frac{1}{6\delta} \left( \Omega_m^{3/5} + 3\Omega_m - 6\delta \eta - 5F^{-1} \Omega_m^{2/3} \right). $$

(38)

Assuming $\eta = 0$, we have $\epsilon > 0$ for $\Omega_m > 0$. For low-density universes $\Omega_m = 0.2-0.3$, we have $\epsilon = (0.07-0.09)\delta^{-1}$. As

---

Fig. 3.— Mollweide projection maps for the cleaned CMB from the WMAP three year data (Park et al. 2006) (left) and those for the temperature anisotropy owing to a pair of identical voids with radius $r_v = 300 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ (right) for modes $l = 2$–6. We assume a flat-Λ FRW universe with $\Omega_{m0} = 0.24$. The boundaries of the void hemispheres are denoted by small light-gray disks. Note that the identical voids are tangential to each other and the apparently larger void is nearer to us. We also assume that we are outside the pair of voids. The size/distance ratios of the two voids are assumed to be $b = 0.91$ and $b = 0.46$. The separation angle of the void centers is $\theta_v = 63^\circ$, and the nonlinear parameters are chosen to be $(\epsilon, \eta) = (0.27, 0)$, which correspond to an asymptotic void $(H_0 = \beta)$. The gray scale denotes the temperature fluctuations in which the maximum absolute value is set to 50 μK. The north pole is aligned to the $z$-axis for which the angular dispersion of the quadrupole plus the octopole around the $z$-axis (de-Oliveira Costa et al. 2004) is maximal in the direction $(l, b) = (110^\circ, 60^\circ)$, which is close to the dipole direction at $(l, b) = (-96^\circ, 48^\circ)$. The coordinate center is located at $(l, b) = (-30^\circ, -30^\circ)$. The Shapley supercluster is located in the direction of the point where the two voids contact each other (star).
shown in Figure 2, an increase in $\epsilon$ leads to further redshifts of the photons due to reduction in the expansion rate inside the void. Therefore, for voids that expand with the asymptotic velocity, the second-order effect always enhances the linear ISW effect. On the contrary, for $\epsilon < 0$, the second-order effect leads to further blue-shIFs of the photons. Therefore, for voids that expand sufficiently faster than the asymptotic velocity of the wall (i.e., $\delta r > \beta$), the second-order effect can reduce the redshift of photons due to the linear ISW effect. Furthermore, an increase in the velocity of the wall (i.e., $\eta > 0$) also leads to a suppression of the linear ISW effect, because the photon is more Doppler blueshifted (see Fig. 2, right). Thus, the net redshift/blueshift of photons upon leaving the void depends on whether it is asymptotically evolving or not. In what follows, we define asymptotic voids as those with $\delta r = \beta$ and $\eta = 0$.

3. ORIGIN OF ANOMALIES

In order to explain the low-$l$ anomalies, we have proposed a model that consists of a pair of identical local voids in the direction $(l, b) = (-30^\circ, -30^\circ)$ at $z \sim 0.05$ (Inoue & Silk 2006). In the flat-$\Lambda$ background, a similar model can work as well. For illustrative purposes, we have simulated sky maps for models that consist of identical asymptotic voids with a density contrast $\sim -0.3$ that are tangent to each other. Note that we are assumed to be just outside one of the voids. As the background cosmology, we have assumed a flat-$\Lambda$ FRW model with $\Omega_m,0 = 0.24$. As shown in Figure 3, the planar feature $l = 2, 3, 6$ and the alignment feature $l = 2, 3$ can be well reproduced in our model that consists of identical voids with radius $(2-3) \times 10^2 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$. Although we still need an additional contribution that does not completely blur the fluctuation pattern by the voids, the chance of alignment between the quadrupole and octopole becomes large in our void model, since otherwise no correlation between the two modes is expected.

In order to study the large-angle correlation feature, we also calculated the two-point correlation function in real space $C(\theta)$ (see Spergel et al. 2007). Recently, it has been argued that the Shapley supercluster could be responsible for the anomalies on large angular scales (Cooray & Seto 2005; Rakic et al. 2006). However, as shown in Figure 3, the amplitude in the direction of the Shapley supercluster (in the direction of the contact point of the two hypothesized voids) is somewhat smaller than those of the minima in either the quadrupole or the octopole. Furthermore, in the flat-$\Lambda$ FRW model, the presence of the linear ISW effect (blueshift effect for mass concentration) can reduce the amplitude of the temperature fluctuations due to the Rees-Sciama effect (redshift effect for mass concentration) in the quasi-linear regime (locally $\Omega_m,0 \sim 1$). Because the highly nonlinear structure ($\delta \gg 1$) is concentrated in a particular region, it cannot strongly affect the large angular fluctuations. Thus, we conclude that such a scenario has a potential difficulty in explaining the anomalies in the FRW model with a cosmological constant.

4. MEAN CONTRIBUTION FROM DUST-FILLED Voids

As shown in § 3, for linear voids $|\delta_m| \ll 1$ at $z < 1$, the temperature anisotropy due to a dust-filled void in the flat-$\Lambda$ FRW background is proportional to $\delta_m r^3$ due to the linear ISW effect. Assuming the cold dark matter (CDM) power spectrum, the mean amplitude of the temperature anisotropy due to a linear void is proportional to $r_v$, because $|\delta_m|^2 \ll r_v^{-2}$ for the linear regime. Therefore, we expect larger contributions from larger voids provided that $|\delta_m|^2 \ll 1$ (Fig. 5, right, solid curve). For quasi-linear voids $|\delta_m|^2 = O(10^{-3})$ or linear voids at high $z$, the second-order effect can also contribute to the anisotropy. Because we have $|\delta_m|^2 \ll r_v^{-1/3}$ for the nonlinear regime, the contribution from the second-order effect is maximum at the scale $r_v \sim 3 \times 10^2 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ (Fig. 5, right, dashed curve). In the high-redshift region $z > 1$, the contribution from the linear ISW effect is significantly reduced, because the gravitational potential decay does not occur in the matter-dominant epoch. Similarly, the contribution from the second-order effect is also significantly reduced at $z > 1$ (Fig. 5, right).

From these features, we conclude that local dust-filled quasi-linear voids with a radius $r_v \sim \sim 200 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ are natural candidates for explaining the origin of the claimed anomalies on large angular scales.

However, one may argue that the possibility of having such large voids is extremely small. Indeed, if we assume a flat-$\Lambda$ CDM cosmology, we find that a $\sim 30\%$ mass deficiency at the scale of $r_v = 200 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ is only a 13 $\sigma$ result for linear fluctuations with $\sigma_8 = 0.74$ or $11\sigma$ for the pre-WMAP3 normalization $\sigma_8 = 0.9$. Assuming the CDM power spectrum (Bardeen et al. 1986), the expected temperature anisotropy for a linear (not asymptotic) void with radius $r_v = 200 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ at $z \sim 0$ is $\Delta T/T = O(10^{-7})$.

This inconsistency may be circumvented by considering a percolation process of small nonlinear voids (Colombi et al. 2000; Hanami 2001). As $N$-body simulations (Colberg et al. 2005) and local observations (Patiri et al. 2006) suggest, at low redshifts $z < 0$, nonlinear voids with a typical radius of the order of $O(10) h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ cover almost the entire space. Therefore, small nonlinear voids can percolate each other to make larger dust-filled quasi-linear voids. Interestingly, a low-density region with a 25% deficiency at $z < 0.1$ over a volume of $300 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}^3$ has been discovered in the galaxy distribution in the south Galactic cap (Frith et al. 2003, 2005; Busswell et al. 2004). It seems that the underdense region consists of two local voids in the ranges $0.03 < z < 0.06$ and $0.07 < z < 0.1$, suggesting

We consider a mean amplitude of linear fluctuations smoothed by a top-hat window function with a radius $r_v = 200 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ for cosmological parameters $(\Omega_m,0,\Omega_b,h,\sigma_8,n) = (0.24,0.04,0.73,0.74,1)$. 

![Figure 4. Two-point correlation function $C(\theta)$ for the void model as in Fig. 3 (solid curve) and for the WMAP three year data (gray region) expected for a statistically isotropic sky with best-fit flat CDM cosmology and for the best-fit flat CDM model with $\Omega_m,0 = 0.04$ and $\Omega_b,0 = 0.24$ (dash-dotted curve). The gray region denotes the maximum likelihood error at the 68% confidence level, which consists of the cosmic variance and the measurement errors.](image-url)
that the region consists of several dust-filled voids with a radius \( r_v \sim 50 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc.

If such a low-density region can be regarded as a homogeneous dust-filled asymptotically evolving void, we expect an anisotropy in the local Hubble constant \( \delta_H \sim -\Omega_m^{1/2}b_m/\delta_\text{m} \sim 0.02 \). It can be even larger than \( \delta_H = [1 + f^{-1}(w)\Omega_m\delta_\text{m}/2 \sim 0.04 \) if it is not asymptotically evolved. Although the substructure inside the void can also affect the anisotropy in the Hubble constant, we expect that the mean value over the low-density region will show a systematic deviation in comparison with the global value by several percent. Detection of a correlation between local large-scale structure and the anisotropy in the local Hubble constant would be strong evidence for the postulated local dust-filled large voids.

5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have explored the large angular scale temperature anisotropy owing to the homogeneous local dust-filled voids in the flat-\( \Lambda \) FRW universe. A compensated asymptotically evolving dust-filled void with a comoving radius \( r_v = (2-3) \times 10^2 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc and a density contrast \( \delta_\text{m} = -0.3 \) will give a cold spot with anisotropy \( \Delta T/T \sim 10^{-5} \). A pair of such compensated local voids in the direction \((l,b) = (-30^\circ, -30^\circ)\) might explain the quadrupole/octopole alignment and the planarity of quadrupole and octopole. As in the void model in the EdS background, the temperature anisotropy due to the dust-filled homogeneous void is proportional to \((r_v/H^{-1})^3\). For asymptotically evolving voids, contributions from the term that is proportional to \( \delta_\text{m}^2 \) always enhance the linear ISW effect, which is proportional to \( \delta_\text{m} \). However, for local voids that expand sufficiently faster than the asymptotic velocity of the wall, contributions from the term \( \propto \delta_\text{m}^2 \) can suppress the linear ISW effect. Thus, the enhancement/suppression depends on the nonlinear dynamics of the void. We have also shown that the contribution to the CMB anisotropy from the linear ISW and the second-order effects is more significant for

\[ 300 \, h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^3 \] in the south Galactic cap (Busswell et al. 2004) might be evidence of such a structure. Detailed observational properties can be obtained by ongoing projects such as the 6dF galaxy survey (Jones et al. 2004). On the theoretical side, more elaborate modeling of the void percolation process is required, because the signature on the CMB may depend on the configuration and the momentum of the substructure inside the void. Determination of nonlinear parameters (\( \epsilon \) and \( \eta \)) based on more realistic models is also an important task. Once these observational and theoretical issues are settled, we can determine whether new physics, such as primordial non-Gaussianity (Mathis et al. 2004) or an enhancement in the gravity in the dark energy sector (Farrar & Rosen 2007), may be required.

Another implication unique to the dust-filled large voids postulated here concerns increased dispersion in the locally measured Hubble constant as measured both in different directions and at different redshifts. As we have shown, for voids with a density contrast \( \delta_\text{m} = -0.3 \), the expected fluctuation in the Hubble constant is as large as 2\%–4\% (Tomita 2000, 2001). Although, in this paper, we have assumed that we are outside the dust-filled voids, it is also possible that we are inside the void. If the distance between the Milky Way and the wall of the void is sufficiently small, the ratio of our peculiar velocity to the light velocity will be \( v/c = O(10^{-3}) \) for a radius \( r_v = (2-3) \times 10^2 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc and a density contrast \( \delta_\text{m} = -0.3 \). Therefore, the order of the predicted amplitude of the dipole is similar to that of the observed CMB dipole (Tomita 2003). In this case, we expect a positively skewed dispersion in the Hubble constant \( \delta_H \sim 0.02-0.04 \) in the direction to the center of the void (approximately at \((l,b) = (-30^\circ, -30^\circ)\)). Accuracy measurement of \( \sim 1\% \) in the Hubble constant would be achieved by increasing the number of samples of Type Ia supernovae from \( N \sim 50 \) to 5000 provided that the error decreases as \( 1/\sqrt{N} \).

Another approach to peculiar velocities uses galaxy surveys that apply different distance calibrators (Pike & Hudson 2005; Watkins & Feldman 2007). The most optimistic individual galaxy distance estimates cited, using \( L \)-band surface brightness fluctuations, have an accuracy of 10\% for half the sampled galaxies and extend to \( 40 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc (Tonry et al. 2001). Other studies go deeper, for example, the Tully-Fisher relation has been applied to a sample of 3000 spiral galaxies out to 200 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc (Karachentsev et al. 2006) with distance errors of 15\%. It should be possible to apply these techniques to test our hypothesis by

Fig. 5.—Mean amplitudes of temperature anisotropy for a linear void \(|\delta_\text{m}| < 0.1 \) as a function of void radius \( r_v \) at \( z = 0 \) (left) and as a function of void redshift \( z \) for \( r_v = 200 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc (right). Contribution from the linear ISW effect is denoted by solid curves, and that from the second-order effect is denoted by dashed curves. We assume \((\epsilon, \eta) = (0.0)\) and cosmological parameters \((\Omega_{\text{m}}, \Omega_{\text{b},0}, h, \sigma_8, n) = (0.24, 0.04, 0.73, 0.74, 1)\) (Spergel et al. 2007).
targeting several thousand galaxies that sample the peculiar velocities induced by our nearest postulated voids and their associated shells, which extend over thousands of square degrees.

Thus, further study of correlation between the large-scale structure and the variation in the locally measured Hubble constant is necessary in order to prove/disprove our void scenario.

Our findings may shed new light on the issue of cross-correlation between the large-scale structure and the CMB. Within a flat $\Lambda$CDM model, recent works on the cross-correlation suggest a best-fit value $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.85$ (Rassat et al. 2007; Cabre et al. 2006), which is larger than the best-fit value $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.70$ from the joint analysis of the CMB and the large-scale structure (Tegmark et al. 2004). Because the second-order effect in quasi-linear asymptotic voids systematically enhances the linear ISW effect, the observed deviation could be explained by such quasi-linear or nonlinear local voids in the flat-$\Lambda$ universe with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.70$.

If the low-$l$ CMB anomalies persist, our phenomenological model provides a simple astrophysical interpretation with no recourse to new physics. Perhaps the most promising tests of our hypothesis will come from improved sampling of Hubble flow anisotropies. If these directions coincide with the locations of our postulated voids, the case becomes more compelling. CMB polarization maps and lensing studies could potentially reveal interesting signals. For example, the inflow pattern in the void wall will induce a small polarization signal, as will the associated gravitational lensing of the CMB. The expected amplitude of the scattering angle of photons due to the postulated large local voids is $\sim \delta v/c \sim 0.1^\circ$, corresponding to subdegree scales. These effects are small, amounting to an imprint on the anisotropic polarization pattern of order a percent ($\sim \delta v/c$), but the phase structure would be unique and correlated with both the temperature map and the large-scale galaxy distribution. The global mean value of the Hubble constant will be slightly reduced, as the required dominance of voids biases the measured local $H_0$ to be slightly high. Although the effect is small, it has potential significance for future dark energy surveys, which will combine CMB studies with deep galaxy redshift surveys. For example, the degeneracy between the Hubble constant and the determination of the curvature of the universe using the CMB will need to be taken into account.

One anomaly we have not sought to incorporate explicitly is the north–south power excess in the CMB. It may be tempting to attribute this to a directional deficiency of local power, as has been previously noted in deep galaxy counts (Busswell et al. 2004) and which in the present context consists of a compensated void complex that correlates the CMB and large-scale structure signals.

The reduction in normalization of $\sigma_8$ to 0.74 makes it more difficult to account for large-scale features in the galaxy distribution without introducing extra large-scale power. Our example of tuned voids is one manifestation of extra power that would inevitably, if incorporated into the cosmological initial conditions of a simulation, boost the significance of large-scale features such as the SDSS “Great Wall” (Gott et al. 2005) and rare massive clusters (Baugh et al. 2004).

We thank K. Tomita and N. Sakai for useful discussions and comments. This work is in part supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (17740159) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology in Japan.

APPENDIX A

LINEAR PERTURBATION OF HUBBLE PARAMETER

In what follows, we calculate the Hubble parameter contrast at the matter- or $\Lambda$-dominant era in the linear order. Let us write the Friedmann equation at a point $x$ in a perturbed universe in terms of the scale factor $a$, the Hubble parameter $H$, the matter density $\rho_m$, the gravitational constant $G$, the curvature $K$, and the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ as

$$H^2(x, t) = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_m(x, t) - \frac{K(x, t)}{a^2(t)} + \frac{\Lambda}{3},$$

where the Hubble parameter $H$ is defined on a comoving slice and the scale factor at the present time $t_0$ is assumed to be $a_0 = 1$. In what follows, we introduce the total density $\rho = \rho_m + \Lambda/(8\pi G)$. The linear perturbation component in equation (A1) in the Fourier space for the flat FRW background can be written as

$$2\tilde{H} \delta H_k = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \delta \rho_k - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{k}{a} \right)^2 \mathcal{R}_k,$$

where $\tilde{H}$ is the spatially averaged Hubble parameter and the curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as

$$\delta K_k = \frac{2}{3} k^2 \mathcal{R}_k.$$

If we can neglect the anisotropic stress, the relation between the Newtonian gravitational potential $\Phi_k$ and the total energy density contrast $\delta_k$ is given by the Poisson equation

$$\left( \frac{k}{a} \right)^2 \Phi_k = 4\pi G \delta \rho_k.$$

The time evolution of $\rho_k$ is given by the continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}_k = -3(\dot{\rho} + \ddot{\rho}) \delta H_k - 3\tilde{H} \delta \rho_k,$$
where $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{P}$ are the spatially averaged total energy density and total pressure, respectively. The perturbed Raychaudhuri equation is (Liddle & Lyth 2000)

$$\delta H_k = -2\dot{H} \delta H_k - \frac{4\pi G}{3} \delta \rho_k + \frac{1}{3} \left( k \right)^2 \frac{\delta P_k}{\bar{\rho} + \bar{P}}. \quad (A6)$$

From equations (A2)–(A6), we have

$$\frac{2}{3} \dot{H}^{-1} \Phi_k + \frac{5 + 3w}{3} \Phi_k = -(1 + w)R_k, \quad (A7)$$

where the effective equation of state $w = \dot{P}/\dot{\rho}$ is written in terms of the density parameter for the matter $\Omega_{m,0}$ and for the cosmological constant $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$ at the present time $t_0$ as

$$w = -\frac{1}{\Omega_{m,0}/(\Omega_{\Lambda,0}a^3) + 1}. \quad (A8)$$

From the time derivative of the local Friedmann equation (A2) multiplied by $a^2$, the continuity equation (A5), and the Raychaudhuri equation (A6), we have $\dot{R}_k = -\dot{H} \delta P_k (\bar{\rho} + \bar{P}) = 0$, because the pressure perturbation vanishes $\delta P_k = 0$ at the matter- or $\Lambda$-dominant era. Plugging $\Phi_k = f(w)R_k$ into equation (A7), since $\dot{R}_k = 0$, $f(w)$ satisfies

$$2w(1 + w) \frac{df}{dw} + \frac{5 + 3w}{3} f + 1 + w = 0. \quad (A9)$$

The solution is

$$f(w) = -\frac{3}{5} (1 + w)^{1/3} 2F_1\left(\frac{5}{6}, 1, \frac{11}{6}, -w\right). \quad (A10)$$

which satisfies the boundary condition $f = -3/5$ for $w = 0$. Plugging $\Phi_k = f(w)R_k$ into equations (A2) and (A4), we have

$$\frac{\delta H_k}{H} = \frac{1 + f^{-1}(w)}{2} \delta_k, \quad (A11)$$

which yields

$$\delta_H = \frac{1 + f^{-1}(w)}{2} \delta_m \Omega_m, \quad (A12)$$

where the evolution of $f(w)$ is given by equations (A8) and (A10). Equation (A12) is applicable to the matter- or $\Lambda$-dominated era at which the anisotropic stress is negligible.

### APPENDIX B

**LINEAR INTEGRATED SACHS-WOLFE EFFECT**

We evaluate the magnitude of the linear integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect, which comes from the time evolution of the gravitational potential as the photon traverses the void.

In terms of the gravitational potential $\Psi$, contribution from the ISW effect is approximately evaluated as

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T} \sim \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \Delta t, \quad (B1)$$

where $\Delta t$ is the duration time for which the photons pass through the void. From the Poisson equation, in the Newtonian limit, $\Delta t \ll H^{-1}$, the gravitational potential can be written in terms of the density contrast $\delta_k = \delta \rho_k/\rho$ inside the void in the Fourier space as

$$\Psi_k \sim -\frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (H^2 a^2 \delta_k) = -\frac{1}{k^2} \left(2\dot{a} a \delta_k + \ddot{a} \delta_k\right), \quad (B2)$$

where a dot means the partial time derivative $\partial/\partial t$ and $k$ is the wavenumber. Plugging the peculiar velocity inside the void at comoving distance $r$ from the center $v = \delta_H H a r$ into the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + a^{-1} \nabla \cdot v = 0, \quad (B3)$$
we have
\[ \dot{a}^2 \delta^2_k = -3H^2 a^2 \alpha \delta_k, \quad (B4) \]
where \( \alpha = [1 + f^{-1}(w)]/2 \). Writing the wavenumber in terms of the void comoving radius \( r_v \) as \( k \sim \pi / r_v \), equations (B2) and (B4) yield
\[ \frac{\Delta T}{T} \sim -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \xi^2 \left( 2q + 3\alpha \right) \delta, \quad (B5) \]
where \( \xi = ar/H^{-1} \) and \( q \) is the deceleration parameter. Thus, the anisotropy owing to the linear ISW effect is proportional to the density contrast \( \delta \) and \( \xi^2 \). In terms of the matter density contrast \( \delta_m = \delta \rho_m/\rho_m \) and the matter density parameter \( \Omega_m \), equation (B5) can be written as
\[ \frac{\Delta T}{T} \sim s \xi^3 \delta_m \Omega_m, \quad (B6) \]
where
\[ s = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( 3\Omega_m - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{3} \Omega_m^{-1/3} f^{-1} \right). \quad (B7) \]
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