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Abstract. The measurement of gamma rays from cosmic sources at ~MeV energies is one of the key tools for nuclear astrophysics, in its study of nuclear reactions and their impacts on objects and phenomena throughout the universe. Gamma rays trace nuclear processes most directly, as they originate from nuclear transitions following radioactive decays or high-energy collisions with excitation of nuclei. Additionally, the unique gamma-ray signature from the annihilation of positrons falls into this astronomical window and is discussed here: Cosmic positrons are often produced from β-decays, thus also of nuclear physics origins. The nuclear reactions leading to radioactive isotopes occur inside stars and stellar explosions, which therefore constitute the main objects of such studies. In recent years, both thermonuclear and core-collapse supernova radioactivities have been measured though $^{56}\text{Ni}$, $^{56}\text{Co}$, and $^{44}\text{Ti}$ lines, and a beginning has thus been made to complement conventional supernova observations with such measurements of the prime energy sources of supernova light created in their deep interiors. The diffuse radioactive afterglow of massive-star nucleosynthesis in gamma rays is now being exploited towards astrophysical studies on how massive stars feed back their energy and ejecta into interstellar gas, as part of the cosmic cycle of matter through generations of stars enriching the interstellar gas and stars with metals. Large interstellar cavities and superbubbles have been recognised to be the dominating structures where new massive-star ejecta are injected, from $^{26}\text{Al}$ gamma-ray spectroscopy. Also, constraints on the complex interiors of stars derive from the ratio of $^{60}\text{Fe}/^{26}\text{Al}$ gamma rays. Finally, the puzzling bulge-dominated intensity distribution of positron annihilation gamma rays is measured in greater detail, but still not understood; a recent microquasar flare provided evidence that such objects may be prime sources for positrons in interstellar space, rather than distributed nucleosynthesis. We also briefly discuss the status and prospects for astronomy with telescopes for the nuclear-radiation energy window.

1. Introduction
The nuclear processes in cosmic objects produce, among others, unstable, radioactive isotopes (from nucleosynthesis), or nuclei excited above their ground states (from energetic collisions). Therefore, a measurement of cosmic gamma rays makes uses of electromagnetic radiation (i.e. astronomy) as a remote probe to learn about such nuclear processes in distant sources. This complements studies of presolar grains, which are being found as inclusions in meteorites, and provide a material messenger from cosmic nuclear reactions from sources outside the solar system. Together, these are the key tools for nuclear astrophysics for the study of nuclear reactions and their impacts on objects and phenomena throughout the universe. All other studies rely on less direct consequences of nuclear reactions: Supernova light and spectra, for example, originate from the photosphere of a supernova, which is rather remote from the inner energy source, and complex radiation transport links the observables to their physical origins. Nevertheless, astronomical precision is more developed at wavelengths longer than those of this
gamma-ray range, when astronomical instrumentation is capable to collect and focus radiation that is less penetrating than gamma-rays.

This paper may be seen as a complement to a similar report written ten years ago from a corresponding lecture series at the Santa Tecla school [Diehl, 2007], and to a recent review article [Diehl, 2013a]. Further background material may be found in a recent textbook [Diehl et al., 2011].

2. Nuclear astronomy in the context of astrophysics messengers

Measurements of nuclear radiation and its properties from cosmic sources is difficult. After pioneering detectors on the Apollo spacecraft and in the context of first explorations of the near earth space and solar radiation, it had become clear that radiation at nuclear energies was an observational fact, and that it presented new astronomical constraints [Trombka et al., 1978; Trombka and Fichtel, 1983], such as the MeV bump of the diffuse gamma-ray background, line signatures in the high-energy emission of solar flares, and gamma ray bursts. The first Galaxy-scale sources seen in such gamma rays were the lines attributed to positron annihilation reported after a balloon experiment from the central Galaxy in 1964 and the line from $^{26}$Al radioactivity gamma-rays also from the central Galaxy region reported from the 1978/79 measurements with the HEAO-C satellite [Mahoney et al., 1982]. But it took many years to further advance the techniques of gamma-ray telescopes, mainly due to the penetrating nature of the gamma rays, which prevent photon collection and concentration by mirrors or lenses, and due to activation by cosmic rays of the instrument materials to generate a large instrumental background [Bertsch et al., 1988]. Therefore, even after two major observatory missions for imaging and spectroscopy [Diehl, 2013a; Gehrels et al., 1993; Schoenfelder et al., 1996; Vedrenne et al., 2003; Winkler et al., 2003], currently achieved sensitivities allow to only see Galactic sources and exceptionally-bright supernovae from up to few Mpc distance, and spatial resolution is of order 2-3 degrees only. The spectral resolution, however, has been advanced to and beyond astrophysical needs and expectations, allowing line shape constraints in detail for the lines and sources of interest [Diehl, 2013b].

The nuclear window of astronomy (see Fig.1) may be defined between the lowest-energy nuclear transitions (of $^{44}$Ti at 68 and 78 keV, and $^{60}$Fe at 58 keV) and the highest energies of such transitions from cosmic sources of $\sim$15 MeV (more specifically, the $^{16}$O transitions at
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6.1 and 7 MeV and the $^{12}$C transition at 15.1 MeV). This window also includes the signatures of the annihilation of positrons with its prominent line at 511 keV, which, strictly speaking, is not of nuclear origin, but unique in its astrophysical information about high-energy sources and processes. Exploration of this astronomical window is fairly recent, starting ~50 years ago [Lingenfelter and Ramaty, 1978]. INTEGRAL for the first time combines adequate spectral resolution for line identification and line shape measurements with all-sky exposure.

Other information about such high-energy processes of interest are also not easy to obtain: Meteoritic inclusions have been found to contain a wealth of isotopic information [Clayton and Nittler, 2004]. This derives from measurements of material probes in the laboratory with exquisite precision. The results can be related to sources of nucleosynthesis, yet the formation of presolar dust grains and the journey of these through meteorites to our terrestrial laboratory leaves some systematic issues and uncertainties that limit such interpretation. X-ray spectroscopy also addresses high energy physics and abundances of nuclei in hot gas near nucleosynthesis events. But here potential confusion with atomic re-formation processes and the corresponding astrophysical processes, as well as underlying thermal emission, make it difficult to extract nucleosynthesis information. Penetration to dense interiors of cosmic sources of interest is partially possible with gamma rays, more than at X-rays or even lower energies, but still severely affected by radiation re-processing e.g. in stars and supernovae, in particular in comparison...
with neutrinos. This has been demonstrated in recent years with detailed spectroscopy of solar neutrinos [BOREXINO Collaboration et al., 2008, 2014]; but the Sun may remain the only source for which neutrino spectroscopy at nuclear physics detail is feasible. Neutrino and gravitational-wave signatures are among the hopes of astrophysicists to make use of new messengers in order to learn more about the interiors of stars, stellar collisions, and explosions. But learning about the characteristic signatures in new observational windows, and also the biases and systematic effect, is tedious and takes decades, typically. The astronomical window of nuclear radiation is now explored since about 2–3 decades; in spite of its restrictions, it will remain worth exploring through improved instrumentation.

3. Science quests and lessons for nuclear astronomy

Nuclear-physics processes in cosmic sites are mainly the nuclear reactions in sources of cosmic nucleosynthesis. Inner regions in stars, their core in all cases, and shells for stars beyond the main sequence phase of their initial evolution, but also stellar explosions are prime objects for science investigations using nuclear observations. Furthermore, cosmic sites where high-energy reactions occur or which are cosmic extremes of gravity or electromagnetism have become prominent objects of astrophysical studies also making use of nuclear emission processes.

Interiors of stars are opaque and can be studied only indirectly; but in particular their later evolution beyond core hydrogen burning is plagued with substantial uncertainties [Jones et al., 2015; Pignatari et al., 2013a] from complex hydrostastical equilibrium rearrangements that involve material transport with intrinsic 3-dimensionality such as convection and radiation transport through materials of varying densities and composition. The consequences of this late stellar evolution are significant, because late interior evolution synthesises many nuclei which contribute to cosmic metal enrichment, e.g. from the s-process in giant stars whose products are ejected through winds and major contaminations of dust grains found in stardust inclusions of meteorites [Pignatari et al., 2010, 2013b]. Also, the core sizes of later pre-supernova stages are determined in this late evolution, as are the carbon to oxygen ratio. Not knowing all this interior complexity, models of stellar evolution carry systematic uncertainties which a.o. result in uncertainties of lifetimes till core collapse supernova or strong wind phases.

3.1. Supernovae

The bright display of supernovae, rising within a few days to a maximum luminosity that rivals galaxies, and falling of slowly at a time scale of months, is due to energy input from radioactive decay [Clayton et al., 1969]. The $^{56}$Ni produced in the supernova decays through gamma-ray and positron emission, and heats the supernova envelope to provide such a spectacular astronomical phenomenon.

Observing gamma rays from the primary energy source (see Fig. 3) has always been a goal, as well as a dream, for gamma-ray astronomy [Clayton et al., 1969]. The brightest such sources occur quite rare in our nearby universe, and are supernovae of type Ia which produce about 0.5 $M_\odot$ and thus about ten times as much $^{56}$Ni as core-collapse supernovae do. In January 2014, luckily one such event occurred at just 3.5 Mpc distance [Fossey et al., 2014], in the nearby star-forming galaxy M82.

INTEGRAL invested almost half a year of observing time to follow the expected brightening of this supernova in $^{56}$Co decay gamma-rays, which are expected to leak out of the supernova after several weeks towards a maximum brightness expected about three months after the explosion. From the observations, indeed, Doppler broadened lines at 847 and 1238 keV were measured [Churazov et al., 2014; Diehl et al., 2015] (see Fig. 4). This is a great triumph of supernova science, and confirms our general understanding of a white dwarf being disrupted by Carbon fusion to power the explosion. The brightness of the lines corresponds to about 0.5 $M_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni produced, as expected.
There was a surprise, however: INTEGRAL started to observe the supernova 17 days after the inferred explosion date of Jan 14.75 2014 (UT). This seemed too late to test for presence of $^{56}$Ni on the surface, as would be expected by the somewhat exotic He cap model variant of SN Ia, considering the decay time of $^{56}$Ni of 8.8 days. But INTEGRAL data provided a clear indication of the presence of the characteristic $^{56}$Ni decay lines at 158 and 812 keV energy [Diehl et al., 2014]. This was unexpected, and points to a rather significant mass of $^{56}$Ni near the surface, as much as 10% of the total. Such constraints are important to find out what the progenitors of supernovae of type Ia are, and how the explosion actually occurs [Hillebrandt et al., 2013]. Therefore, it was hypothesised that the explosion might have been triggered by a surface helium explosion from a helium belt that has built up rather rapidly from matter accreted from the companion star, and thus that the companion also is rather evolved and sheds helium, rather than having a hydrogen envelope [Diehl et al., 2014]. This makes SN2014J appear as an intermediate between a standard white-dwarf / main-sequence binary and a double degenerate system of two white dwarfs. The amount of $^{56}$Ni and other constraints, however, suggest that the mass of the disrupted star was rather high and near the Chandrasekhar limit. The narrowness of the observed gamma-ray lines then suggests that our aspect of the SN2014J progenitor binary system is rather face-on than edge-on.

In many ways this supernova appears to be rather standard, but nevertheless shows signs of special surface action early on [Goobar et al., 2014]. That may teach us that the standard explosions of this type may appear as rather spherically symmetric unfoldings of the debris (which re-radiates the radioactive energy input, and may thus have a smoothing effect as a bolometer); but the explosion itself does not necessarily also have to reflect the same spherically symmetric properties, and may in fact allow more complex ignition processes to be involved [Röpke et al., 2011].

In a way, this is also hinted at by high resolution spectroscopy of the emerging $^{56}$Co decay lines (see Fig. 4): The appearance is less smooth and gradual than would be expected by a smooth unfolding of a spherically symmetric envelope including the inner $^{56}$Ni ashes [Diehl et al., 2015]. Gamma rays at energies around the $^{56}$Co laboratory values appear to come at different times, to gradually build up the Doppler broadened 40 keV wide lines seen at brightness maximum. But the light curve rises more slowly than standard models suggest, and indicate irregularity that points to a heterogeneous distribution of $^{56}$Ni throughout the expanding supernova. Thus, while being an impressive confirmation of our general understanding of thermonuclear supernovae, the
gamma-ray data also present some interesting hints and puzzles upon a closer look. Similar to the SN1987A event, which changed how we thought core-collapse supernovae to arise, SN2014J has the potential to lead to major refinements and changes to our supernova type Ia models.

With respect to core collapse supernovae, SN1987A has been teaching us new aspects ever since the explosion almost thirty years ago, as we can follow the phenomena which arise and evolve as we are able to observe them at just 50 kpc distance. Recently, the NuSTAR hard X-ray mirror telescope has been able to measure the low-energy line at 68 keV from $^{44}$Ti decay with great precision [Boggs et al., 2015]. This confirms the earlier INTEGRAL detection of these lines [Grebenev et al., 2012] and thus the direct proof of $^{44}$Ti decay that had been inferred indirectly only from the late, shallow, decline of the bolometric emission of the young supernova remnant. The NuSTAR measurement reports a lower intensity than what INTEGRAL had reported, and the $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ of $^{44}$Ti which are inferred are still higher than standard model yields, but consistent with the late-lightcurve inferred values. The slight red-shift of the $^{44}$Ti line also confirms other indications that the inner explosion had some deviations from spherical symmetry.

The NuSTAR image in $^{44}$Ti 68 keV emission [Grefenstette et al., 2014] (Fig. 5) is a great achievement for nuclear astrophysics. It clearly demonstrates that such deviations from spherically-symmetric explosions, and clumpy structures in the inner ejecta, are an observational fact. Moreover, the fact that the Chandra X-ray image showing Fe as seen in recombination...
Figure 5. The $^{44}$Ti decay image of Cas A, as measured with NuSTAR from the hard X-ray lines of $^{44}$Sc de-excitation. This image resolves the emission regions, and shows irregular and clumpy ejecta (from Grefenstette et al. [2014]).

Figure 6. The lines from $^{44}$Ti decay from Cas A, from de-excitation of $^{44}$Sc (left), and from de-excitation of $^{44}$Ca (right) as measured with SPI/INTEGRAL. (from Siegert et al. [2015]).

lines differs in detail from the NuSTAR image also is interesting: Explosion physics strongly suggests that Ti and Fe both arise from the very inner supernova regions, and thus should be co-located; it is difficult to imagine a process that would be able to separate them as much as these image comparisons suggest. Rather, it seems plausible that the X-ray recombination emission includes a bias from the ionisation of Fe, which thus does not reflect all of the Fe in the expanding remnant. The Fe that is co-located with the $^{44}$Ti emission clumps apparently is not X-ray bright on average.

INTEGRAL’s SPI spectrometer recently also added new insight, from re-analysis of archival data [Siegert et al., 2015]. Now the lines from $^{44}$Ti decay at low energy (68 and 78 keV lines originate from the first decay stage and from de-excitation of $^{44}$Sc) as well as the line at 1157 keV originating from de-excitation of $^{44}$Ca have both been measured by the same instrument (Fig. 6). This is important, in view of instrumental systematics, which always is a concern for measurements in the MeV regime and with instruments that suffer from a large instrumental background. Both lines show large Doppler broadening ($4300\pm1600 / 2200\pm1600$ km s$^{-1}$ for the 78 / 1157 keV line, respectively), consistent with the expected ejecta expansion velocity of several 1000 km s$^{-1}$. The high-energy line appears somewhat brighter than the low-energy line; this brightness ratio also results from comparing all measurements of $^{44}$Ti decay in Cas A since the pioneering COMPTEL detection of the 1157 keV line [Iyudin et al., 1994], and the various X-ray line measurements thereafter (Fig. 7) [Siegert et al., 2015; Vink, 2004]. Since the brighter line originates from $^{44}$Ca that does not decay any further, it may be possible that nuclear excitation from locally-produced cosmic rays may add to the brightness of the 1157 keV line. Note that young supernova remnants are believed to be the main sources of cosmic rays in the galaxy [Blasi, 2013]; but direct proof has not been possible, as the leakage out of a
Figure 7. The lines from $^{44}\text{Ti}$ decay from Cas A, as measured with different instruments (data points with error bars), reflect the decay time of $^{44}\text{Ti}$ (shown as solid lines). The 1157 keV line from de-excitation of $^{44}\text{Ca}$ appears somewhat brighter, which could hint towards particle acceleration. (from Siegert et al. [2015])

supernova remnant is expected to occur after typically 1000 years only, when the outer shock weakens, and hence when X- and radio emission from these outer shock regions are difficult to detect. Fermi and H.E.S.S./MAGIC have made measurements of the impacts of cosmic-ray leakage onto the surroundings, in particular molecular clouds, to demonstrate cosmic ray acceleration in supernova remnants; but the difficulty to spectrally distinguish between inverse-Compton acceleration from cosmic ray electrons and pions from cosmic-ray protons has obtained different conclusions for different sources. Low energy cosmic ray interactions such as suggested by this brightness ratio measurement may be searched for, to test this cosmic ray acceleration in a young supernova remnant.

3.2. Diffuse nucleosynthesis and positrons
If radioactive decays occur at time scales that are long with respect to the re-occurrence time of nucleosynthesis events, the contributions from individual events will overlap and be superimposed. Considering that for our Galaxy, the rate of supernovae of type core-collapse is about one supernova every 50 years [Diehl et al., 2006a], and the rate of novae is about 30-35 events per year, it is clear that all radioactivities beyond the 100 year scale will be of diffuse nature. For the study of such diffuse emission, therefore, it is required that the interpretation accounts for superposition from many sources. The spatial distribution of candidate sources, i.e. their distances and locations within the Galaxy, and also the potential variety in their nucleosynthesis yields have to be modelled from prior knowledge, which can be theory or resulting from other astronomical constraints or combinations thereof. Then, such a source model must be integrated over the radioactive decay time, accounting for temporal evolution of the rate of events, or else, one must adopt a steady state. The latter is plausible and often adopted, i.e. for time scales of My, which relates to the long-lived gamma ray emission sources of $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{60}\text{Fe}$ and also positron annihilation, one may assume that the event rate in our current Galaxy did not change over the past few My, and a steady state has been obtained from the new production and the radioactive decay of unstable nuclei resulting from cosmic nucleosynthesis.

3.2.1. $^{26}\text{Al}$ The discovery of characteristic gamma rays from the radioactive decay to $^{26}\text{Al}$ [Mahoney et al., 1982] from the extended inner region of our Galaxy was proof that the synthesis of this isotope, and hence cosmic nucleosynthesis, was ongoing in our Galaxy. This was interpreted as support for above steady-state hypothesis, rather than attributing such $^{26}\text{Al}$
The $^{26}$Al image derived with COMPTEL [Plüschke et al., 2001], and a spectrum from the inner Galaxy as measured with INTEGRAL/SPI [Diehl et al., 2006b]. This line reflects current nucleosynthesis in the Galaxy over the past Myrs. Its analysis has evolved into a rich field of astrophysics of the structure of our Galaxy, as well as of massive star groups (see text).

to a peculiar recent and nearby event (which would have to be located towards the direction of the inner Galaxy, coincidentally). Since then, gamma ray surveys have confirmed that $^{26}$Al gamma rays originated from diffuse emission across large regions of the sky, along the plane of our Galaxy. In particular, the COMPTEL data (Fig. 8) collected in years 1991-2000 of the CGRO Mission provided support for a sky image that identified the inner Galactic ridge and a few nearby massive star regions as sources of $^{26}$Al. With INTEGRAL’s spectrometer, since 2002 data are being taken on the spectral characteristics of this $^{26}$Al emission (Fig. 8). This has led to a re-determination of the total content of $^{26}$Al in our Galaxy under the steady-state assumption, and also to the detailed comparison of nucleosynthetic production models considering the source content of localised regions with their population of (mainly massive) stars. Moreover, the line shape measurements also set kinematic constraints to the $^{26}$Al source regions. We will briefly discuss and summarise these aspects in the following.

The Galactic $^{26}$Al content. The determination of the total mass of $^{26}$Al contained in our Galaxy can be used for a global comparison to models: If one integrates over the different candidate sources, accounting for their yields of interstellar $^{26}$Al and their spatial distribution (distances), one obtains a general consistency check of our understanding as reflected in models with observational constraints. Early such comparisons [Clayton and Leising, 1987; Signore and Dupraz, 1993] based on data from HEAO-C [Mahoney et al., 1982] and SMM-GRS [Share et al., 1985] thus saw novae, AGB stars, Wolf-Rayet stars, and core-collapse supernovae as plausible sources, with sufficient uncertainties in yields to regards each of these as significant contributors. The total content of interstellar Galactic $^{26}$Al was determined as 2–3 M$_\odot$. With COMPTEL’s image of the $^{26}$Al emission across the entire sky [Diehl et al., 1995; Oberlack et al., 1996; Plüschke et al., 2001], it became clear [Prantzos and Diehl, 1996] that massive stars, i.e. core-collapse
supernovae and Wolf-Rayet stars, were dominant contributors, an argument mainly based on the irregular and clumpy appearance of the $^{26}\text{Al}$ gamma-ray emission along the plane of the Galaxy, pointing to prominent contributions from clusters of massive stars. These comparisons made use of the bright emission along the inner Galactic ridge, fitting this with intensity-scaled models of spatial source distributions such as disk models based on exponential fall-off with a characteristic scale radius (about 2-4 kpc) and scale height (about 100-300 pc); but also more complex models such as spiral arm representations were used. It became clear, however, that a few more nearby source regions, such as the prominent Cygnus region, or in particular the Scorpius-Centaurus groups at only 110-150 pc distance, could lead to a systematic over-estimate of the Galactic mass of $^{26}\text{Al}$, if not properly accounted for [Diehl et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2009].

$^{26}\text{Al}$ and massive star groups. Predicting the contributions to interstellar $^{26}\text{Al}$ from a group of massive stars turned out to be crucial for any bottom-up comparison of source yields with gamma-ray data. Here, a model-predicted yield for a star of mass $M$ must be multiplied with the number of occurrences of stars of this mass, $N(M)$. Then, stellar evolution must be accounted for, as stellar wind phases release $^{26}\text{Al}$ much earlier than the core-collapse supernova, which is relevant considering the decay time of $^{26}\text{Al}$ of 1.40 My. Population synthesis models were built [Cerviño et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2010; Voss et al., 2009] to put such predictions on a firm footing for comparisons to a range of astronomical messengers, including gamma-ray data, but also interstellar ionisation, interstellar cavity sizes, and stellar emission at other wavelengths. These models mostly used a mass distribution of stars from Salpeter’s law, $dN(M)/dM \propto M^{-2.3}$; see however discussion of the present-day mass function aspects [Weidner and Kroupa, 2005; Weidner et al., 2010]. Comparisons were made for specific massive-star groups, where astronomical constraints were available on the stellar census, on interstellar cavities, and on $^{26}\text{Al}$ emission. This led to corrections of the massive star content in the Cygnus region [Knödlseder, 2000; Martin et al., 2009], to hints for wind model adjustments from the Carina region [Voss et al., 2012], and also provided a basis for more detailed investigations for the nearby Orion [Diehl, 2002; Diehl et al., 2003; Fierlinger et al., 2012, 2016; Voss et al., 2010] and Scorpius-Centaurus [Diehl et al., 2010; Pöppel et al., 2010; Preibisch et al., 2002] groups, where astronomical constraints are considered rather complete. These studies therefore are ongoing, and allow, a.o., for testing stellar evolution models with and without inclusion of stellar rotation, for different mass functions including non-explosion of some mass intervals in the 10–30 $M_\odot$ range, stellar wind and supernova overlaps in superbubbles, and correlated star formation scenarios (triggered star formation).

Figure 9. The $^{26}\text{Al}$ line shows a clear Doppler shift from large-scale rotation of the Galaxy. The velocities, however, are systematically higher by about 200 km s$^{-1}$. This is interpreted as $^{26}\text{Al}$ being ejected into superbubbles that have expanded more towards the direction forward and away from spiral arms, as the ejection occurs after the groups have evolved over several Myrs and moved away from their formation sites. (From Kretschmer et al. [2013]).
Figure 10. The yields in $^{60}\text{Fe}$ from massive stars are rather uncertain. In this graph, adapted from Limongi and Chieffi [2006b], we illustrate the impact of different treatments of known physical processes inside the star.

Implications of the Galactic $^{26}\text{Al}$ line characteristics. INTEGRAL’s spectrometer has been maintaining the excellent spectral resolution of its Ge detectors over more than 13 mission years now, through periodic annealing operations of the detectors. Here, roughly every 6 months, the cryo-cooled Ge detector array with its 19 elements is elevated from normal operation temperatures ($\sim 80$ K) to $\sim 10^\circ$ C for about two weeks, thus curing the lattice defects in Ge detectors which compromise charge collection, and are created by cosmic-ray bombardments in space. As a result, the survey data of INTEGRAL over many years can be analysed coherently at high spectral resolution. This allowed to recognise the large-scale Galactic rotation in the $^{26}\text{Al}$ line through its characteristic systematic Doppler shifts with Galactic longitude viewing direction (Fig. 9) [Diehl et al., 2006a; Kretschmer et al., 2013]. These measurements led to a precision of line shape constraints, which allowed to compare the large-scale Galactic rotation velocities with longitude between $^{26}\text{Al}$, which traces nucleosynthesis ejecta, and CO, which traces molecular clouds parental to new star formation and massive star groups [Kretschmer et al., 2013]. The apparent discrepancy of velocities, with an excess velocity of $\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ of $^{26}\text{Al}$-based values over CO-based values, came as a surprise. The explanation was obtained from modelling how massive star groups form, evolve, and dissolve over tens of My, recognising that the $^{26}\text{Al}$ ejection mostly will occur into cavities created from stellar winds and earlier star formation, and that such cavities are more likely to occur between spiral arms than within them. Therefore, superbubbles are recognised [Krause et al., 2015] through these $^{26}\text{Al}$ measurements as major elements in the process of returning nucleosynthesis ejecta from stars and supernovae into cold interstellar gas that may later form next-generation stars. We have tested several aspects of this stellar feedback with respect to X-ray emission [Krause et al., 2014] and the origins of globular clusters with their peculiar abundance patterns [Krause et al., 2016]. At the galactic scale, superbubbles will also influence how the halo and interstellar clouds herein are involved into the cycling of matter from star forming region to next-generation star forming region [Fraternali, 2012], and how disk and halo exchange angular momentum [Krause et al., 2015; Kretschmer et al., 2013].

3.2.2. $^{60}\text{Fe}$ The gamma-ray emission from $^{60}\text{Fe}$ was predicted from nucleosynthesis theory [Clayton, 1971]. This was re-affirmed after $^{26}\text{Al}$ has been found, and the massive star source hypothesis turned out to be more plausible and dominant as compared to a nova origin [Timmes et al., 1995]. Massive star interiors are candidate sites of neutron capture on iron nuclei and thus $^{60}\text{Fe}$ producers, in particular in the He and C shells where neutron release from the $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha,n)$ and $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)$ reactions were expected [Limongi and Chieffi, 2006a]. It just remained unclear how much of the $^{60}\text{Fe}$ would survive and not be destroyed by further neutron captures. Predictions
range from insignificant amounts to amounts that rival $^{26}$Al yields, yet strongly depend on uncertainties of massive star shell burning astrophysics as well as the neutron capture and beta decay reaction rates involved (see Fig. 10). The shell burning stages within massive stars in their late evolution are quite uncertain: Here, 3D effects of gas motions from shellular rotation stimulated by the rotating star play a key role, as well.

Around 2005, gamma rays from $^{60}$Fe decays in the Galaxy were reported from both the RHESSI [Harris et al., 2005] and the INTEGRAL [Harris et al., 2004] observations of our Galaxy. The early reports were not highly significant detections, but after more INTEGRAL observations seemed consolidated [Wang et al., 2007]. It became clear that the gamma ray brightness of $^{60}$Fe was far below the brightness in $^{26}$Al, the intensity ratio being about 15% ($\pm$5%). Note that $\beta$-decay lifetime measurements as well as neutron capture measurements are far from simple, and recent efforts have contributed here [Heftrich et al., 2015; Rugel et al., 2009]. This allows some interesting astrophysical constraints, yet precludes a detailed study of line shapes and regional/localised emission regions, which had turned $^{26}$Al studies into such a rich field in the meantime.

3.2.3. Positron annihilation Positron emission results from the production of proton rich isotopes, in general. This occurs mainly in hydrogen burning in stars and nova explosions. Thus positron annihilation may be plausibly expected to occur after nova explosions, but also in type-I X-ray bursting sources in neutron star binaries; in normal stars, nucleosynthesis release times into interstellar space are longer than any of the decay times of $\beta^+$ radioactivities. Therefore, the study of positron annihilation across our Galaxy also is related to the field of nuclear astrophysics, and the contribution to positrons from nucleosynthesis have been studied since early times [Clayton, 1973; Lingenfelter and Ramaty, 1978; Ramaty et al., 1994]. A recent assessment [Martin et al., 2012], including the propagation of positrons from their nucleosynthesis sources to potential annihilation sites, has updated these expected contributions to positron annihilation gamma rays from nucleosynthesis sources.
Beyond the connection to nucleosynthesis and $\beta^+$-unstable isotopes, positrons may also be produced from other objects, such as pair plasma creating high-energy sources (pulsars, accreting binaries), and by cosmic ray interactions in interstellar space [Prantzos et al., 2011].

Already from OSSE results from the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory mission, it had been suggested that the annihilation gamma-ray emission was diffuse in nature, but particularly bright in the region of the inner Galaxy and its bulge region [Purcell et al., 1997]. These data had ruled out the transient annihilation hypothesis based on the 'Great Annihilator' results discussed earlier [Leventhal et al., 1989; Mirabel et al., 1992; Ramaty et al., 1992]. INTEGRAL observations then have provided a rather detailed picture from the gamma ray sky in positron annihilation emission [Bouchet et al., 2010; Churazov et al., 2005; Jean et al., 2003, 2006; Knödlseder et al., 2005; Skinner et al., 2012; Weidenspointner et al., 2008]. It now was clear that the inner Galaxy with its bulge region was a very prominent source of annihilation gamma rays, much brighter than the disk region throughout the Galaxy itself. This was surprising, as practically all candidate sources would be expected to populate the Galactic disk. Also surprising was the rather regular appearance of the central Galaxy emission, slightly offset from longitude zero by about 1 degree, but otherwise rather smooth in distribution and circularly extended both in longitude and latitude, suggesting an extent of 1–2 kpc. This stimulated interpretations of dark matter as a main source, as in this case the Galaxy’s gravitational potential would dictate the spatial distribution of the emission. However, the $^{26}$Al emission along the plane of the Galaxy demonstrates that positron sources in the Galactic disk regions do exist, and should contribute to the gamma-ray emission.

After more than four years of data collection, INTEGRAL began to reveal the disk emission in positron annihilation gamma-rays as well [Bouchet et al., 2010; Weidenspointner et al., 2008]. Some discussion about asymmetries ensued [Weidenspointner et al., 2008], and was later understood as originating from the above-mentioned slight offset of the emission centroid [Bouchet et al., 2010], while analyses searched for differences in brightness at positive versus negative longitudes throughout the Galaxy [Skinner et al., 2012]. Recently, from 11 years of data, the spatial distribution and spectroscopy has been re-assessed (Fig. 11) [Siegert et al., 2016]. In those latest results it became clear that the disk also is a substantial emitter of positron annihilation gamma rays, rivalling the bulge region in total brightness, but with much lower surface brightness. Therefore it had been difficult to detect this disk emission with less exposure in earlier data. Also, the disk appears now to be more extended in latitude than believed earlier, reducing the surface brightness even more. This suggests that positrons do escape from source regions also towards the halo, thus leading to increased latitude extent of the gamma ray emission. Possibly, positrons escape into the halo, and may then be re-directed into the inner Galaxy and bulge region by large-scale magnetic fields in the halo, following an earlier model to explain the bright bulge emission.

The annihilation of positrons may occur after slowing down from the relativistic energies at which they typically are produced, and only at energies in the eV region. Then, in interstellar space the formation of positronium through charge-exchange collisions with hydrogen is important, and an intermediate stage that eases annihilation because of angular momentum conservation. Positronium is an atom-like bound quantum mechanical system composed of the positron and an electron, with a binding energy of 6.8 eV. From its relative spin orientations, it can adopt a singlet and a triplet status. Annihilation then can occur from either of these states, the triplet state being somewhat more long-lived and producing three photons from angular momentum conservations, while the singlet state produces two photons of 511 keV energy. Annihilation thus converts the electron-positron rest masses of 1.022 MeV into radiation, producing a line at 511 keV and a characteristic continuum towards lower energies. The relative intensities of these two spectral components encode how much annihilation occurs through the positronium intermediate state, versus direct annihilation that is the only annihilation channel.
in fully-ionised interstellar plasma. From this, it had been deduced that positrons typically annihilate in a partially-ionised medium with sufficient neutral atoms to make positronium annihilation the dominant channel, and from the width of the 511 keV line the temperature of the medium is constrained through Doppler broadening to about 7000 K [Churazov et al., 2005, 2015]. In latest results [Siegert et al., 2016], the different spectral components and line width could be identified separately in emission attributed to the bright bulge region, to the extended and faint disk region, and to another point-like source near the Galactic Centre direction. It appears that spectra may differ between bulge and disk (Fig. 11, upper spectra), indicating different typical/averaged annihilation conditions. Also, there are indications that the central source shows a broader 511 keV line (Fig. 11, below), which may suggest that annihilations here occur in gas with higher kinematic motion or at higher temperature, such as expected if the central black hole environment was related to this source.

One of the candidate positron sources are accreting binary systems with accretion onto a stellar-mass black hole; these objects are called micro-quasars [Prantzos et al., 2011]. Here, the matter is channeled towards the black hole through an accretion disk that shines in X-rays [Done et al., 2007; Remillard and McClintock, 2006], and disappears in the black hole, somehow resulting in plasma ejections seen as jets of radio emission far out in those systems [Mirabel and Rodríguez, 1999]. Such binary system accretion often is unstable, reflecting different configurations of the accretion flow and the geometrical shapes of the accretion disk. One of these microquasars, V404 Cygni, nearby in our Galaxy, went into a flaring state in June 2015 [Rodriguez et al., 2015], thus becoming the brightest source in the X-ray sky for several weeks. Analysing INTEGRAL data from this flaring period, it was recently recognised [Siegert, 2016] that the typical gamma-ray signatures of positron annihilation were present (Fig. 12), although significantly Doppler broadened and variable, and thus not easy to detect, typically. This underlines the candidate source role of microquasars to produce positrons which later annihilate in interstellar space. The local annihilation seen recently with V404 Cygni occurs near the production site very close to the black hole itself, and could be seen in this source only because it is bright enough to also have sufficient brightness in such a side channel of its total radiation spectrum.
4. Summary and perspectives
The measurement of cosmic gamma rays in the nuclear domain, from $\sim$100 keV to a few MeV, have evolved into a diverse and rather fruitful field of high energy astronomy. Its science is rather unique, addressing cosmic sources of nucleosynthesis, of particle acceleration, and of positron production. Particle acceleration can also be studied at higher energies in gamma rays, and with cosmic rays. The other fields are unique to this spectral window. Current instrumentation features Compton scattering or coded mask techniques for spatial resolution, and semiconductors for spectral precision. Through better segmentation of detectors and tracking of secondary particles, the precision and also sensitivity could be advanced now to explore sources beyond our Galaxy and many expected but fainter Galactic sources. But space missions are costly and sparse. INTEGRAL’s spectrometer, together with the NuSTAR hard X-ray telescope, are the only current missions which provide measurements in this energy range. No further such instruments are currently planned, and therefore will probably not be realised within the next decades (space projects typically have 10-20 years of lead time). But INTEGRAL is working well after 13 years, even though it was originally planned for a 5-year maximum of operations. Its orbit and onboard resources should allow proper functionality until 2029, when the spacecraft will re-enter the Earth atmosphere and be de-orbited, unless some unforeseen malfunction or micrometeorite collision would occur earlier. Re-approval after science and operations review occurs every two years at ESA, and currently the mission is financed till end 2018. As above results show, important scientific refinements of gamma-ray results occur in the mature and late INTEGRAL mission, and transient sources such as SN2014J and V404 Cygni make these mission extensions more worthwhile.
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