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Magnetically-doped topological insulators are intensely studied in the search for exotic phenomena such as the quantum anomalous Hall effect. The interplay of electronic and impurity degrees of freedom leads to the Kondo effect, an increase in the resistivity at temperatures $T < T_K$, the Kondo temperature. We study this effect in chiral surface state transport at $T \geq T_K$ in the metallic regime, starting from the quantum Liouville equation and including Kondo scattering to all orders, as well as phonon and non-magnetic impurity scattering. Unlike spin-orbit coupled metals and semiconductors, $T_K$ is suppressed by spin-momentum locking which prevents the formation of a Kondo screening cloud. We expect a resistivity $\rho_{xx} \propto T^4$ primarily due to phonons.

I. INTRODUCTION

Considerable attention has been devoted lately to topological insulators (TI), which have an insulating bulk and conducting edge (2D) or surface (3D) states protected by time-reversal symmetry. Strong spin-orbit coupling in TI leads to a dispersion in the form of a Dirac cone, suppressed backscattering, and coupled charge and spin transport. Within this field, TI doped with magnetic impurities have been the focus of an intense effort, culminating in the observation of the quantum anomalous Hall effect.

Magnetically-doped systems frequently exhibit an increase in the the resistivity below a certain Kondo temperature $T_K$. The Kondo effect stems from the interplay between electron and impurity spins resulting in spin-flip scattering, which leads to screening of the impurity spin below $T_K$. In systems with spin-orbit coupling this effect is of considerable interest, given the associated spin non-conservation and nontrivial spin dynamics. Studies have focused on spin-orbit coupled semiconductors, including quantum dots, non-centrosymmetric metals, and superconductors. In particular, Refs. $^{12,16}$ showed that spin-orbit coupling can enhance the Kondo temperature.

In this context, TI are especially interesting, since the spin-orbit interaction is the dominant energy scale. TI Kondo physics is conceptually unique due to the interplay of impurity degrees of freedom with the spin-momentum locking of the conduction electrons, offering an example of the competition between strong spin-orbit coupling and strong interactions (these systems are distinct from topological Kondo insulators). Research on the Kondo effect in 2DTI and 3DTI is taking off. Studies to date have largely focused on low-temperatures and doping near the Dirac point, with Ref. $^{22}$ mapping the problem onto the Anderson pseudogap model. Spin-orbit coupling gives a strong anisotropy in the correlation of the impurity and conduction electron spin densities, and a universal energy dependence of the low-energy quasiparticle interference near the Dirac point. Interestingly, the Kondo resonance in the bulk of TI can be screened by the exchange interaction.

Fundamental questions remain, especially in regard to the role of spin-momentum locking in the Kondo effect in 3DTI transport. Because of spin-momentum locking, momentum scattering in TI is always accompanied by spin rotations, meaning that one cannot simply translate results known for dilute alloys since transport is irreversible. At the same time, the observation of chiral surface states in transport has been problematic, and recent experiments have only isolated their contribution by using gates. Given the current low sample qualities, it is essential to characterize the surface states fully and identify transport signatures, including magnetic Kondo scattering on the same footing. Fundamental questions remain, especially in regard to the role of spin-momentum locking in the Kondo effect in 3DTI transport. Because of spin-momentum locking, momentum scattering in TI is always accompanied by spin rotations, meaning that one cannot simply translate results known for dilute alloys, since transport is irreversible. At the same time, the observation of chiral surface states in transport has been problematic, and recent experiments have only isolated their contribution by using gates.

In light of this, we present here a transport theory of non-equilibrium magnetic 3DTI that treats impurity, phonon and Kondo scattering on the same footing. Since gating can eliminate bulk transport, we focus on the surface states alone. We derive a many-body kinetic equation from the quantum Liouville equation and sum the scattering terms to all orders in the Kondo interaction, retaining the leading divergent terms, the equivalent of the parquet diagrams. We derive the resistivity as a function of $T$, showing that $T_K$ is strongly suppressed, and the temperature dependence of the resistivity is primarily due to phonon scattering. Physically, this is because spin momentum locking makes it difficult for the impurity spin to polarize the conduction electrons.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. we will introduce the Hamiltonian of the system, including the band, impurity and driving electric field contributions. Section focuses on the transport theory of magnetically doped TI, deriving the kinetic equation directly.
from the quantum Liouville equation. The full resistivity and Kondo temperature are also derived in this section. The results are discussed in Sec. [X]. Finally, Sec. [Y] summarizes our findings.

II. HAMILTONIAN

We focus on temperatures $T \geq T_K$ and assume $\varepsilon_F \tau / \hbar >> 1$, where $\varepsilon_F$ is the Fermi energy and $\tau$ the momentum relaxation time, and $\varepsilon_F$ lies in the bulk gap but in the surface conduction band. Single electron states $|k_s\rangle$ below are indexed by wave vector $k$ and spin $s$. The total Hamiltonian is $H = H_0 + U$, where $H_0 = H_{TI} + H_E$ and the total effective scattering potential $U = U_{imp} + U_m + U_{ep}$. The surface state band Hamiltonian is $H_{TI} = -\sum_{kss'} A k \sigma^{ss'} \hat{\theta} \hat{c}^\dagger_{ksc} \hat{c}_{ks's'}$, where $\sigma$ is the electron spin operator, $\hat{\theta}$ is the tangential unit vector corresponding to $k$, and $A$ is a constant. The interaction with the driving electric field, $H_E = \sum_{kss'} H^{E}_{kk's's'} \hat{c}^\dagger_{ksc} \hat{c}_{ks's'}$, with $H_{kk's's'}^{E}$ given below.

The potential due to non-magnetic charged impurities and static defects is $U_{imp} = \sum_{kk'c} V^{C}_{kk'} \hat{c}_{ksc} \hat{c}_{ksc'}$, where $V^{C}_{kk'} = V^{C}_{kk'} \sum_{s} e^{-i (k-k') \cdot R_{f}}$. Here $V^{C}_{kk'}$ is the Coulomb potential of a single impurity and $R_{f}$ denotes the impurity locations. The impurities are assumed uncorrelated and the average of $V^{C}_{kk'} V^{C}_{kk''}$ over impurity configurations is $\langle n_{i} | V^{C}_{kk'} | \delta_{ss'} \rangle / V$, where $n_{i}$ is the impurity density and $V$ the crystal volume. Scattering is assumed elastic.

The Kondo interaction $U_m = \sum_{kk'cs's'} W_{kk'cs's'}^{ss'}$, where $W_{kk'cs's'}^{ss'} = W_{kk'cs's'}^{ss'} e^{-i (k-k') \cdot R_{f}}$, describes scattering off magnetic impurities with density $n_m$, assumed local in space, and $I$ runs over magnetic impurities. For a single impurity $W_{kk'cs's'}^{ss'} = (J/\sqrt{V}) |\sigma^{ss'} \cdot S|^{\dagger}$ or

$$ W_{1kk}^{ss'} = \frac{J}{\sqrt{V}} (|\sigma_{z} S_{+}^{l} + \frac{1}{2} (S_{-}^{l} \sigma_{-} + S_{+}^{l} \sigma_{+})|^{ss'}) $$. (1)

where $S_{l}$ are impurity spin operators, and $\sigma_{\pm} = \sigma_{x} \pm i \sigma_{y}$.

The electron-phonon interaction is

$$ U_{ep} = \sum_{kq,s} D_{q} \hat{c}^\dagger_{k+q,s} \hat{c}_{ks}(b_{q} + b_{q}^\dagger) $$, (2)

with $b_{q}$, $b_{q}^\dagger$ phonon annihilation/creation operators, the deformation potential $D_{q} = -i C \sqrt{\frac{4 \rho_{ph}}{2 \rho_{ph}}}$, $\rho$ the mass density, and $v_{ph}$ the phonon velocity.

A. Eigenstates of TI Hamiltonian

The eigenstates $|kn\rangle$ of $H_{TI}$ are denoted by $|k, \pm\rangle$, where $\pm$ represent the electron and hole bands respectively:

$$ |k, +\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ e^{-i \theta/2} |k, \uparrow\rangle - i e^{i \theta/2} |k, \downarrow\rangle \right] $$ (3)

$$ |k, -\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ e^{i \theta/2} |k, \uparrow\rangle + i e^{-i \theta/2} |k, \downarrow\rangle \right]. $$

Matrix elements in the eigenstate basis carry a tilde $\tilde{\cdot}$.

The $x$-velocity operator in the eigenstate basis is

$$ \tilde{\varphi}_{x} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_x} = A \cos \theta \hbar \sigma_{x} + A \sin \theta \hbar \sigma_{y} + 1 $$ (4)

where $\gamma = \varphi - \varphi'$. The transfer matrix $S_{k} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \langle k, \uparrow | k, + \rangle & \langle k, \uparrow | k, - \rangle \\ \langle k, \downarrow | k, + \rangle & \langle k, \downarrow | k, - \rangle \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{-i \theta/2} e^{-i \theta/2} \end{array} \right) \theta/2 \right)$.

The Kondo interaction with a single magnetic impurity has the following matrix elements in eigenstate space

$$ \tilde{W}_{kk}^{+} = \frac{J}{2} \left( -2i \sin \gamma S^{z} + i e^{-i \theta/2} S^{+} + i e^{i \theta/2} S^{-} \right) $$ (7)

$$ \tilde{W}_{kk}^{-} = \frac{J}{2} \left( 2 \cos \gamma S^{z} + i e^{-i \theta/2} S^{+} + i e^{i \theta/2} S^{-} \right) $$

$$ \tilde{W}_{kk}^{0} = \frac{J}{2} \left( 2 \cos \gamma S^{z} - i e^{-i \theta/2} S^{+} - i e^{i \theta/2} S^{-} \right) $$

$$ \tilde{W}_{kk}^{-} = \frac{J}{2} \left( -2i \sin \gamma S^{z} - i e^{-i \theta/2} S^{+} + i e^{i \theta/2} S^{-} \right) $$

where $\phi = \varphi + \varphi'$. Notice the presence of backscattering terms. We remark in addition that $\langle \tilde{W}_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{W}_{\alpha \gamma} \tilde{W}_{\beta \gamma} \alpha \rangle = \langle \tilde{W}_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{W}_{\beta \gamma} \tilde{W}_{\alpha \gamma} \rangle = -\langle \tilde{W}_{\alpha \beta} \tilde{W}_{\gamma \alpha} \tilde{W}_{\beta \gamma} \rangle$.

III. KINETIC EQUATION

The system is described by the many-body density operator $F$. The single-particle density matrix $f_{\alpha \beta} = \text{Tr} (\hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\beta})$, where $|\alpha\rangle \equiv |k_{a}s_{a}\rangle$ and Tr is the full operator trace. $F$ obeys the quantum Liouville equation

$$ \frac{dF(t)}{dt} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ H(t), F(t) \right] = 0 $$ (8)

Assuming random impurity locations and spins $R_{I}$, $m$, we introduce the impurity average of $F$ through

$$ \langle F(t) \rangle = \Pi_{I=1} \int \frac{dR_{I}}{V} \frac{1}{2S+1} \sum_{m=-S}^{S} \langle m | F(R_{1},...R_{n}; m; t) | m \rangle. $$ (9)
We write $F = \langle F \rangle + G$, where $\langle F \rangle$ is averaged over impurities and $G = F - \langle F \rangle$ is the remainder. We integrate over $G$, since our interest is in impurity-averaged expectation values, hence $\langle F \rangle$. Then Eq. (8) is broken up into

\[
\frac{d\langle F(t) \rangle}{dt} + \frac{i}{\hbar} [H_0, \langle F(t) \rangle]] = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [U, G(t)] \rangle.
\]

The scattering term is $\mathcal{J}(F) = (i/\hbar)\langle [U, G(t)] \rangle$. We solve

\[
G(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-iHt'/\hbar} [U, \langle F(t - t') \rangle] e^{iHt'/\hbar}, \quad (11)
\]

and introduce resolvents $R^\pm(E) = (E - H \pm i\eta)^{-1} in Fourier space, with $\eta$ infinitesimal. The resolvents satisfy

\[
e^{\pm iHt/\hbar} e^{-\eta t} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dE R^\pm(E) e^{\mp iEt/\hbar} \quad (12)
\]

\[
R^\pm(E) = \frac{1}{\pm i\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt e^{\mp iHt/\hbar} e^{\pm iEt/\hbar} e^{-\eta t} \quad (13)
\]

We also introduce the $T$ operators, given by $T^\pm(E) = U + UR^\pm(E)U$. Finally, we obtain

\[
\mathcal{J} = -\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dE}{2\pi\hbar} \langle A(E, t) \rangle + h.c. \quad (14)
\]

where $h.c.$ stands for Hermitian conjugate, the function

\[
A(E, t) = T^+(E)[R_0^+(E)\langle F(t) \rangle - \langle F(t) \rangle R_0^-(E)]T^-(E)R_0^-(E) + R_0^+(E)\langle F(t) \rangle T^+(E)[R_0^+(E) - R_0^-(E)]T^-(E), \quad (15)
\]

and the bare resolvent $R_0^+(E) = (E - H_{TI} \pm i\eta)^{-1}$.

We use Wick’s theorem to obtain a one-particle equation for $f$. We switch to the eigenstate representation $|\gamma\rangle = |kn\rangle$, where $n$ is used exclusively for the band index. We focus on the intraband part of $f$, diagonal in $n$, since interband matrix elements are next-to-leading order in $h/eF \tau \ll 1$. The equation for $f$ is found by tracing (11) with $c^\dagger \gamma c_\gamma$, hence $\mathcal{J}(f_\gamma) = \text{Tr} [\mathcal{J}(F)c^\dagger \gamma c_\gamma]$.

The electric field, assumed constant and uniform, enters through $H_{kk'} = e\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{kk'}$. To linear order in the electric field, $f_{kn} = f_{0kn} = f_{Ekn}$, where $f_{0kn}$ is the equilibrium Fermi-Dirac distribution function for band $n$.

1. Born approximation

We assume no correlations between different scattering mechanisms, so $\langle U_{imp} U_m \rangle = 0$, and similarly for all cross terms. The scattering term in the Born approximation is obtained after replacing $T$ matrix in $A(E, t)$ with $U$, which is $A(E, t) + h.c. = UR_0^+[F, U]R_0 + h.c.$ The reduced scattering term is

\[
\mathcal{J}^{(2)}_\gamma = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{U_{\alpha\beta} U_{\eta\tau}}{\varepsilon_\alpha - \varepsilon_\beta + i\eta} \langle [c^\dagger_\alpha c_\gamma, c^\dagger_\beta c_\gamma c^\dagger_\delta c_\beta] \rangle + \hbar(\delta f)
\]

\[
= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \delta(\varepsilon_\gamma - \varepsilon_\tau) \langle U_{\gamma\tau} U_{\tau\gamma} \rangle (f_\gamma - f_\tau)
\]

In the last step of deriving the above equation, we used Wick’s theorem to approximate the statistical average of a series of operators as the sum of their pairings $c^\dagger_\alpha c_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} f_\alpha$, for example $\text{Tr} (F)c^\dagger_\alpha c_\beta c^\dagger_\gamma c_\tau = c^\dagger_\alpha c_\tau c^\dagger_\gamma c_\beta + c^\dagger_\beta c_\tau c^\dagger_\gamma c_\alpha$. We also used the property $\langle U_{\alpha\beta} U_{\beta\alpha} \rangle = \langle U_{\beta\alpha} U_{\alpha\beta} \rangle$, the averages of any two operators $A$ and $B$ commute, which is manifest according to the definition of the averaging process (9). Analogous approximations are used in higher orders in $U$.

Based on Eq. (19), the non-magnetic impurity scattering term in the Born approximation

\[
\mathcal{J}_{imp}(f_{k^+}) = \frac{n_k kF}{2Ah} \int \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi} |V_{kk'}|^2 (f_{k^+} - f_{k^+}) (1 + \cos \gamma) \quad (17)
\]

The magnetic impurity scattering term in the Born approximation [note $W_{kk'}^{++}$ has the angular structure of Eq. (7)]

\[
\mathcal{J}^{(2)}_m(f_{k^+}) = \frac{n_m kF}{Ah} \int \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi} (\bar{W}_{kk'}^{++} \bar{W}_{kk'}^{++})(f_{k^+} - f_{k^+}) \quad (18)
\]

For the electron-phonon interaction in the Born approximation
\[ J_{\text{ep}}(f_{k^+}) = -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_q |D_q|^2 \delta(\hbar \omega_q + \varepsilon_{k-q} - \varepsilon_{k^+}) [N_q f_{k-q} + (1 - f_{k^+}) - (1 + N_q) f_{k^+} (1 - f_{k-q})] \]

where \( b_q^\dagger b_q = N_q \delta_{Qq} \), and \( N_q \) is the phonon distribution. We assume the phonons are in equilibrium \( N_q = 1/[e^{\hbar \omega_q/k_B T} - 1] \), which at low \( T \) decays exponentially as a function of energy. Therefore we only consider low energy phonons \( \hbar \omega_q < \varepsilon_F \), for which \( 1/\sqrt{1 - q^2/(2k_F)^2} \approx 1 \) and \( \varepsilon_k \approx \varepsilon_{kq} \approx \varepsilon_F \), since transport takes place on the Fermi surface.

Below we will assume \( f_{E k^+} \propto (eE \cdot \hat{k}/h)(\partial f_{0 k^+}/\partial k) \). The non-magnetic impurity scattering term reduces to

\[ J_{\text{imp}}(f_{E k^+}) = \frac{n_i k_F f_{E k^+}}{4 \hbar} \int \frac{d^2 k}{2\pi} |C_{kk}^2|^2 (1 + \cos \gamma) \tag{20} \]

The magnetic impurity scattering term is

\[ J_m^{(2)}(f_{E k^+}) = \frac{7n_m J^2 k_F}{12 \hbar} S(S + 1) f_{E k^+}. \tag{21} \]

For acoustic phonons, with \( \omega_q \propto q \), and the maximum \( q \to \infty \), the phonon scattering term is

\[ J_{\text{ep}}(f_{E k^+}) = \left( \frac{2\pi^5 C^2}{15 \hbar^2 \rho \nu_p^3} \right) \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \right)^4 f_{E k^+}. \tag{22} \]

\[ J_m^{(4)}(f_{k^+}) = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{k_{12k3k4}} (2 \hat{W}_{k_{12}k_{34}} \hat{W}_{k_{13}k_{42}} \hat{W}_{k_{14}k_{23}} - 2 \hat{W}_{k_{14}k_{23}} \hat{W}_{k_{13}k_{42}} \hat{W}_{k_{12}k_{34}} + \hat{W}_{k_{12}k_{34}} \hat{W}_{k_{13}k_{42}} \hat{W}_{k_{14}k_{23}}) \times \frac{f_{k_{1234}}}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k_1} - \varepsilon_{k_2} - \varepsilon_{k_3} - \varepsilon_{k_4}} \delta(\varepsilon_{k_1} + \varepsilon_{k_2} - \varepsilon_{k_3} + \varepsilon_{k_4}). \tag{25} \]

Making the same substitutions as for the third-order term and performing the impurity spin averages we obtain

\( \left( \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right)^{(4)} \) as will show below.

The expansion is straightforwardly continued to fifth order and above. The Born approximation is sufficient for treating \( U_{\text{imp}} \) and \( U_{\text{ep}} \). In order to capture the relevant many-body Kondo physics, the Kondo scattering term must be evaluated in all orders in \( U_m \). Whereas the number of terms increases with each order, the leading divergent terms, which are logarithmic in temperature, can be easily identified, and their contribution to the resistivity will be seen to form a straightforward geometric progression. We focus on these terms in this work, which is equivalent to summing the parquet diagrams.

The kinetic equation is

\[ (J_{\text{ep}} + J_{\text{imp}} + J_m)(f_{kn}) = \frac{eE \cdot \hat{k}}{h} \frac{\partial f_{0kn}}{\partial k}. \tag{26} \]

We focus on the electron band \( n = + \). The kinetic equation is readily solved by making the Ansatz \( f_{Ek^+} \propto (eE \cdot \hat{k}/h)(\partial f_{0 k^+}/\partial k) \). Then the full scattering term can be reduced to \( J(f_{k}) = f_{g}/\tau \), where \( \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ep}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{imp}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{m}}} \). For electron-phonon scattering in the Born approximation, we find

\[ \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ep}}} = \frac{\pi^5 C^2}{15 \hbar^2 k_F^2 \rho \nu_p^3} \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \right)^4. \tag{27} \]
For scalar impurity scattering, from Eq. (16) \( \gamma = \theta' - \theta \)

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{imp}}} = \frac{n_e k_F}{4 \hbar A} \int \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi} |V_{kk}|^2 \sin^2 \gamma.
\] (28)

For magnetic impurities, in the Born approximation,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right)^{(2)} = \frac{7n_m S(S+1)J^2 k_F}{24A\hbar}.
\] (29)

To order \( J^3 \) [Eq. (23)], we find the Kondo scattering term

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right)^{(3)} = \frac{7n_m S(S+1)J^2 k_F^2}{24A^2\hbar^2 \pi} \ln \left| \frac{\varepsilon_{kF}}{k_B T} \right|.
\] (30)

We have retained the leading divergent terms, logarithmic in temperature, responsible for the Kondo physics, omitting a temperature-independent term in \( J^3 \). The exact result is found by summing all terms in the perturbation theory. The fourth order term yields

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right)^{(4)} = \frac{7n_m S(S+1)J^4 k_F^3}{32\pi^2 A^4 \hbar} \ln^2 \left| \frac{\varepsilon_{kF}}{k_B T} \right|.
\] (31)

We sum all leading terms in \( 1/\tau_m \) exactly, obtaining

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_m} = \frac{7\pi n_m S(S+1)J^2 \rho_F}{12\hbar} \frac{1}{(1 + J \rho_F \ln |\varepsilon_{kF}/k_B T|)^2}.
\] (32)

where \( \rho_F = \frac{k_F}{2\pi A} \) is the density of states at the Fermi energy. This diverges at the Kondo temperature

\[
T_K = \frac{\varepsilon_F}{k_B} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{J \rho_F} \right).
\] (33)

This result is valid for arbitrary impurity spin. For the case of dilute alloys, the formalism outlined above reproduces results found previously by summing the transition matrix or equivalent alternative methods. One simplification available in dilute alloys is the assumption of a short-range impurity potential, which enables one to sum the transition matrix exactly and use the optical theorem to deduce the transition rate immediately. This is an accurate approximation in transport because in metals for a short-range potential the transport lifetime is identical to the Bloch lifetime. In TI, due to the presence of terms prohibiting backscattering, the transport lifetime is always different from the Bloch lifetime, and approximating the transport lifetime using the optical theorem is not accurate.

The similarity in the expression for \( T_K \) for TI and metals has been pointed out previously, and attributed to a peculiarity of the Rashba Hamiltonian of TI surface states, which allows the problem to be mapped to the pseudogap Anderson model. We expect the mathematical similarity of the two problems to extend beyond the Rashba model, since time reversal breaking by the magnetic impurities enables backscattering and eliminates topological protection in the many-body Kondo scattering terms.

The solution of the kinetic equation is

\[
f_{k \sigma} = \frac{\varepsilon_{kF} k_F \partial f_0}{\partial \varepsilon}.
\]

From this, the full resistivity is

\[
\rho_{xx}(T) = \frac{8\pi\hbar^2}{A e^2 k_F^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{imp}}} + \frac{7\pi n_m S(S+1)}{12\hbar} \frac{\rho_F J^2}{1 + J \rho_F \ln \left| \frac{k_B T}{\varepsilon_F} \right|^2} \right] + \frac{\pi^5 C^2}{15\rho A k_F^2 \varepsilon_F \hbar^2} \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \right)^4.
\] (34)

We discuss the range of Kondo temperatures achievable in TI. It is reasonable to assume \( J \approx 100 \text{meV nm}^2 \), based on figures reported in Ref. 5, where the coupling constant is given as \( J_{eff} \approx 2 \text{eV} \). This value is normalized per Bi$_2$Se$_3$ unit, yielding \( J = J_{eff} x V_{cell} \), where \( x \) is the doping level (typically around 5%) and \( V_{cell} \) the unit cell volume. These values are also comparable to those in ferromagnetic semiconductors. Using \( A = 4.1 \text{ eV A}^2 \) and assuming \( k_F \approx 10^6 \text{m}^{-1} \), which corresponds to a doping density of \( 10^{11}\text{cm}^{-2} \) a typical number in quasi-2D systems, yields \( \varepsilon_F \approx 500 \text{ K} \). The exponent, however, makes \( T_K \) negligible. The resistivity minimum, which also depends on the details of phonon scattering, is found by setting \( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \rho_{xx}(T) = 0 \), which yields 0.9 K (it is 1.1 K at the rather high density of \( 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)). The location of the resistivity minimum is \( \sim n_m^{1/3} \) (as for a 2D metal), as opposed to \( \sim n_m^{1/5} \) for a 3D metal. Considering that these parameters are optimistic, we conclude that under realistic experimental conditions, the temperature dependence of the resistivity stems primarily from phonons.

IV. DISCUSSION

The Kondo temperature quantifies the tendency towards Kondo singlet formation between local moments and the Fermi sea. The small \( T_K \) reflects the difficulty
for a local moment to polarize the surface states and create a Kondo screening cloud, which stems from the strong coupling of the conduction electron spin to the momentum. The two energy scales appearing in $T_K$ are $ak_F$ and $Jk_F^2$, the spin-orbit and exchange energies respectively. The Kondo effect in TI reflects the competition between these two mechanisms: the in-plane spin-orbit effective field prevents the impurity spin from polarising the conduction electrons. Hence, in TI spin-orbit coupling competes against the Kondo interaction, in the same way that it competes against electron-electron interactions in suppressing Stoner instabilities. Although spin-flip scattering is allowed, it is extremely unlikely. In general spin-momentum locking does not guarantee the suppression of backscattering. For this reason, we expect our findings to persist when warping terms, important in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, are added to $H_{TI}$. This can be handled using the present formalism, which is general and can be applied to spin-orbit Hamiltonians of the form $H_{SO} = (\hbar/2) \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_k$.

The Kondo temperature could be increased by increasing the density, though the bulk conduction band provides a stringent limit, given the small bulk gaps in current TI of the order of 0.3 eV. In the opposite limit, as the Dirac point is approached $T_K$ decreases exponentially since $\rho_F$ vanishes, yet transport near the Dirac point is diffusive and dominated by puddles. Our findings cannot be extrapolated to that regime.

The suppression of $T_K$ is contrasted with semiconductors and metals with strong spin-orbit coupling, which differ from TI in several ways: TI have a single Fermi surface, spin-orbit coupling is strong, there is no spin precession (indeed no spin-Hall effect) and no interband scattering. The simplest models of spin-orbit coupled semiconductors and metals consist of two bands, spin-orbit coupling is weak compared to the kinetic energy, the spin precesses in an effective field set by the spin-orbit interaction, interband scattering at the Fermi energy is just as important as intraband scattering, and the density of states in 2D is a constant. The much weaker spin-orbit in these conductors does not impede the formation of a Kondo screening cloud, and may under certain circumstances favor it.

\section{SUMMARY}

In summary, we have studied the Kondo effect in TI in the metallic regime, showing that the Kondo temperature is strongly suppressed by spin-momentum locking. The temperature dependence of the resistivity is due to phonon scattering. A natural extension of this work would be TI thin films, in which tunneling is possible between different TI surfaces.
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