High-resolution three-dimensional NMR structure of the KRAS proto-oncogene promoter reveals key features of a G-quadruplex involved in transcriptional regulation
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Non-canonical base pairing within guanine-rich DNA and RNA sequences can produce G-quartets, whose stacking leads to the formation of a G-quadruplex (G4). G4s can coexist with canonical duplex DNA in the human genome and have been suggested to suppress gene transcription, and much attention has therefore focused on studying G4s in promotor regions of disease-related genes. For example, the human KRAS proto-oncogene contains a nuclease-hypersensitive element located upstream of the major transcription start site. The KRAS nuclease-hypersensitive element (NHE) region contains a G-rich element (22RT; 5'-AGGGCGGTGGGAATAGG-GAA-3') and encompasses a Myc-associated zinc finger-binding site that regulates KRAS transcription. The NEH region therefore has been proposed as a target for new drugs that control KRAS transcription, which requires detailed knowledge of the NHE structure. In this study, we report a high-resolution NMR structure of the G-rich element within the KRAS NHE. We found that the G-rich element forms a parallel structure with three G-quartets connected by a four-nucleotide loop and two short one-nucleotide double-chain reversal loops. In addition, a thymine bulge is found between G8 and G9. The loops of different lengths and the presence of a bulge between the G-quartets are structural elements that potentially can be targeted by small chemical ligands that would further stabilize the structure and interfere or block transcriptional regulators such as Myc-associated zinc finger from accessing their binding sites on the KRAS promoter. In conclusion, our work suggests a possible new route for the development of anticancer agents that could suppress KRAS expression. 

Non-canonical base pairing within guanine-rich DNA and RNA sequences can produce G-quartets stabilized via eight hydrogen bonds involving both the “Watson-Crick” and “Hoogsteen” edges of each guanine. Stacking of the planar G-quartets (also called a G-tetrad) leads to the formation of a G-quadruplex (G4). G4s are maintained by the presence of cations such as K+ and to a lesser degree Na+ and NH4+. The stacked G-quartets constitute the nearly invariant core of all G4 structures (1–3). This core is stabilized by cooperation between three key factors: hydrogen-bonding dipole interactions, metal coordination, and π-π stacking. The orientations of the loop regions within G4 structures are tightly related to strand directionality and give rise to the heterogeneity of G4 structures (4–6). G4 structures interfere with replication (7–9), transcription (10–13), and recombination (14–16). Bioinformatics analyses have provided evidence that sequences with potential to adopt G4 are not randomly localized within genomes but are specifically enriched in particular regions such as telomeres and promoters of genes (17–20). Proto-oncogenes are particularly enriched with G4 motifs, whereas tumor suppressor genes are not (21, 22). The formation of intramolecular G4s has been studied in vitro for motifs found in different human promoters regions, including c-myc (23–25), c-kit (26), and bcl2 (27). G-rich elements found in other proto-oncogenes such as KRAS have received less attention. KRAS is located on chromosome 12 and encodes a GTP/GDP-binding protein. Previous studies showed that mutant alleles of KRAS are prevalent in pancreatic, biliary tract, colorectal, and lung carcinomas (28–33). Mutations in the KRAS promoter are found in about 30% of these cases. It is thought that the KRAS oncogene promotes glycolysis through the activation of downstream signaling pathways (34) to sustain the energy requirements for uncontrolled cellular proliferation, thus contributing to survival of cancer cells. The very high affinity of the RAS GTP/GDP-binding site (picomolar range) (35, 36) has made it difficult to synthesize molecules that effectively compete with GTP at millimolar range inside cells to block KRAS activity (37). It is no surprise that after many
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decades of unsuccessfully battling against the RAS proteins new strategies in the field of drug design have emerged. Among those, some target alternative binding sites in the GTPase domain (38), others target the altered metabolic pathways (39), whereas some target the mRNA with antisense oligonucleotides (40). Alternatively, several strategies, including ours, target the promotor region in an effort to block $KRAS$ expression (41). The $KRAS$ promoter contains a polypurine nuclease-hypersensitive element (42) that plays an essential role in transcription. Its deletion results in a significant down-regulation of $KRAS$ transcription (12, 43, 44). The promoter region of the $KRAS$ gene comprises more than 500 bp and is susceptible to digestion by nucleases such as DNase I, micrococcal nuclease, and other endogenous nucleases (45). A particular sequence inside the NHE, between positions $+327$ and $+296$ nucleotides upstream of the main transcription initiation site, is particularly rich in guanines (sequence 32R; Fig. 1A). 32R contains six guanine stretches and is able to form several G4 conformations. Among those stretches of guanines, two regions overlap and includes the Myc-associated zinc finger (MAZ)-binding sites, the recognition sequence for a transcription factor that recognizes GGGCGG and GGGAGG sequences (46). Recent biophysical studies using circular dichroism and DMS footprinting (43, 47) suggest that oligonucleotides corresponding to 32R are able to adopt different intramolecular G-quadruplex topologies depending on which G-runs are included. Some of the topologies were tested as decoys for sequestration of MAZ (48). In addition, certain G4 structures in this region are stabilized by G-quadruplex-interacting ligands (12, 13, 43, 49) such as guanidine-modified phthalocyanines that interfere with $KRAS$ transcription by competing with MAZ and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 proteins. Here we studied the conformations adopted by different stretches within the 32R sequence using NMR spectroscopy. The G4 conformation revealed by our studies provides a model that could potentially be used for in silico drug screening for ligands that stabilize the G4 structure in the $KRAS$ promoter. The approach targeting unusual motifs present in genomic DNA is actively being pursued and can be seen as a new alternative strategy with promising results (1, 28, 29, 41).

**Results and discussion**

We began our study with circular dichroism (CD) and NMR analyses of oligonucleotides within the sequence NHE (Fig. 1A). To make spectral assignments possible, different oligonucleotides were evaluated (supplemental Table S2) with the objective of identifying a sequence that formed a single G4 conformer based on the dispersion and intensities of imino peaks observed in the NMR spectra. The sequence 21R and three other related sequences display a 1D imino peak pattern that corresponds to a single conformer as shown by 1D 1H NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 1C). The similar imino signatures suggest the presence of a predominant conformer within the human NHE of $KRAS$ gene.

The sequence 22RT with a G16 to T16 mutation displayed a better resolved imino peak pattern and a slightly better stabilization observed by the CD melting studies (Fig. 1B). In addition, DMS footprinting (50) and our 15N-filtered 1D NMR experiments (results not shown) demonstrated that G16 did not participate in the tetrad formation. Oligonucleotides of the native sequence with four G-tracts, 21R and 22R, and those with single G16 to T (16G→T) mutations, 21RT and 22RT, respectively, appeared to adopt a predominant conformation based on the analysis of the imino proton region from 10 to 12 ppm. The sequence and the respective 1H 1D NMR spectra are presented in Fig. 1C. Remarkably, 22RT showed a better resolved peak pattern in both imino and aromatic regions (not shown) than...
did 21R or 22R. For stability purposes, we have included an additional A at the 22RT 3′-end. These modifications resulted in better imino and aromatic peak resolution when compared with 21R and 21R. The CD spectral signatures are similar between 21R and 22RT. The spectrum of each includes a positive band at 263 nm and a negative band at 243 nm suggestive of a parallel G4 fold (Fig. 1B, left). The thermal stability of 21R and 22RT was determined through CD melting experiments. The melting temperatures (T_m) in 90 mM K+ were 49.2 ± 0.2 and 51.8 ± 0.3 °C for 21R and 22RT, respectively (Fig. 1B, right). The molecularity of 21R and 22RT was assessed by inspecting the UV-visible melting curves and by diffusion NMR experiments (supplemental Figs. S2 and S3, respectively). The results demonstrate that both 21R and 22RT fold into a monomeric structure, inferred from the reversible and superimposable cooling versus heating UV-visible curves in the concentration range that spans an order of magnitude from ∼5 to ∼50 μM. These experiments showed that melting transitions are reversible and independent of DNA concentration, demonstrating that the G4 structures formed by both 21R and 22RT are unimolecular. As the melting process was reversible, model-dependent van’t Hoff enthalpies of folding could be calculated. The ΔH_f values for 21R and 22RT were 164 ± 4 and 191 ± 7 kJ/mol, respectively. Diffusion NMR spectroscopy was used to determine the diffusion coefficient value for 22RT. From diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) experiments, we obtained a diffusion coefficient of 1.54 × 10^{-10} m^2 s^{-1} (logD = -9.81), which is in the range of those found for monomeric G4 oligonucleotides of similar size such as the human telomeric sequence (22AG) observed elsewhere (23, 51, 52). The results confirm that 22RT adopts a parallel G4 as shown schematically in Fig. 2C. The three G-tetrads are connected by four linkers: two single-residue loops (C5 and T10), a bulge (T8), and one four-nucleotide loop (A14, A15, T16, and A17). C5 and T10 each form double-chain reversal loops that allow these single residues to bridge three G-tetrad blocks. Inspection of r.m.s.d. values and conformation diversity for C5 indicates hingelike motions parallel to the mass-weighting principal axis. The T10 base is oriented toward the 3′-end of the oligonucleotides, and fewer distinct conformers are observed. Interestingly, T8 forms a bulge projected out of the G-tetrad core. Although over 700,000 G-quadruplexes with single or multiple bulges may exist in the human genome (53, 54), only a few G-quadruplex structures have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, and besides our model, only another deposited structure (Protein Data Bank code 2M4P) has a bulge between G-tetrads. This unusual structural feature within the 3D fold may be attractive to design ligands specific for KRAS G4 in silico. The fourth linker is composed of A14, A15, T16, and A17 and forms a medium-size propeller loop that crosses all three tetrads. The size of the loop allows several water molecules to fit between the G4 core surface and the loop residues. At both oligonucleotide extremities, two adenine residues, A1 and A22, cap the 5′- and 3′-ends of 22RT, respectively (Fig. 3). A1 interacts with A17, and both are tilted inward, capping the G4 core surface at the 5′-end. At the 3′-end, A21 and A22 interact through π-π stacking and are tilted toward the tetrad surface, which is much more exposed to the solvent than the opposite end (Fig. 3b). In most of the lowest energy conformers, A21 partially blocks one of the grooves. T10 shows a profile slightly different from T8 and T16. T10 methyl protons do not make cross-correlations with any other proton except with T10 H2/H2′, and the cross-peak with its own H1′ is very weak, indicating free rotational motion around the C1′ and N1 of the pyrimidine base without any appreciable out-of-axis torsion of the base. For T8, we observe low-intensity NOEs, which indicate that the T8 methyl slightly interacts with G4 and G9; there is a low-intensity cross-correlation between the T8 methyl and the G9 H8 and a very weak correlation from the methyl of T8 to G4 H1, indicating that the...
methyl may be positioned in the edge of the top tetrad. Finally, both sugar CH2 protons of T16 have strong cross-peaks with the A17 H6 and H8 protons, not observed in any other of the two thymines. Overall, we observe a more restricted mobility of T16 compared with the other two thymines. Fig. 3a shows the ensemble of structures chosen by the lowest total energy criterion. Structures were refined in water and deposited under Protein Data Bank code 5I2V. Of the 11 structures deposited, only six are shown in Fig. 3 for clarity. Two K+/H11001 counterions are expected per conformer coordinated between three G-quartet planes. In the ribbon diagrams shown in Fig. 3b, the ribbon thickness is proportional to the all-atom r.m.s.d. A1 and T8 have the highest r.m.s.d. values (∼3.5 Å) of all nucleotides in the molecule. This was expected as these two residues have fewer inter-residue correlations in the NOESY spectra. The propeller-type loops are also characterized by above average r.m.s.d. fluctuations. G-quadruplexes are highly polymorphic, and sequences with G4-forming potential are suggested to be formed in different key genomic regions, mainly in telomeres and gene promoters. Recently, high-resolution models of several different folding topologies have been reported, and some were highlighted in the aim to develop interesting pharmacological compounds that could be exploited as new anticancer drugs (47, 55–64). The G4 structure presented here is in agreement with the topology calculated for 21R (50) using DMS footprinting and may serve as a template to target and design new drugs that may diminish or inhibit KRAS expression. Interestingly, our structure contains a four-residue loop that covers one of the four grooves. It is well documented that the stability of G4 structures somewhat decreases as bulge and loop sizes increase (65, 66). We observed a more important and expected structural heterogeneity in the region of the four-nucleotide loop.

Figure 2. (A) 2D 1H NOESY spectra at 300-ms mixing time in 1H2O showing H6 to thymine methyl (top) and H5-H6 cytosine (middle) correlations from 2D 1H TOCSY spectrum and H8/H6-H1 sequential walk assignments (bottom). (B) H1/H8 correlations from 2D 1H NOESY spectrum at 300-ms mixing time. C, sequential imino-H8 intertetrad NOE connectivities observed by NMR. D, schematic representation of the G-quartets of 22RT. Guanines are numbered based on position in the 22RT sequence. All experiments were performed at 20 °C in a buffer containing 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, supplemented with 70 mM KCl and 2 mM DNA. Characteristic guanine imino-H8 NOE connectivities were observed for the following tetrads: G2-G6-G11-G18, G3-G7-G12-G19, and G4-G9-G13-G20.
with a significant degree of plasticity as indicated by the low number of inter-residue NOEs from the loop nucleotides. The four-base loop blocks the groove but creates an additional narrow backbone-backbone interface in the region where A17 links to G18 (Fig. 3, c and f, red colored surface, and supplemental Fig. S5). The conformation seems unique to this G4 fold and could be an interesting site to target small ligands. In our view, this medium-size loop presents in terms of drug design another interesting feature, i.e., together with the G4 core surface it makes a sort of tunnel or cavity with negative electrostatic potential values significantly lower than those found on the rest of the surface (blue). The cavity could be targeted by ligands with non-bulky side arms usually hanging out from the aromatic core that conventionally targets the more exposed tetrad. This cavity/tunnel is similar to the one found in the structure of a G4 adopted by a G-rich region of c-kit2 (Protein Data Bank code 2KQG) (67). As opposed to KRAS 22RT, c-kit2 G4 coexists in two parallel-stranded propeller-type folds that are similar but dynamically distinct substates.

**Conclusion**

In summary, G-quadruplex DNA structural motifs can behave as transcription repressors (12, 68), and KRAS is an important target against many forms of cancer that so far have very poor responses to standard therapies (38). We have determined a 3D high-resolution NMR structure of a stable G-quadruplex from a sequence located in the nuclease-hypersensitive element of the promoter region of the KRAS proto-oncogene. The structure may be one among others potentially available in the promotor region and may offer new, interesting possibilities for selective recognition by small ligands (69–73). In that sense, developing inhibitors of KRAS that target G-quadruplex motifs may be an interesting alternative route in the fight against certain types of cancers, as important as others currently being pursued against the intricate signaling network involved in oncogenic KRAS activation (74). Current existing strategies involve targeting post-translational modifications to prevent membrane association (75), small GTPase-targeting peptides (76), SOS-mediated nucleotide exchange (77), and inhibitors that allosterically control GTP affinity (38).

**Materials and methods**

**DNA oligonucleotides**

The unlabeled DNA oligonucleotides used in this work were purchased from both Eurogentec (Belgium) and Integrated DNA Technologies. They were synthesized on a 200-nmol or 1-μmol scale and then purified by reverse-phase HPLC. The sequences were supplied lyophilized. The 5% 15N,13C site-specifically labeled 22RT used in this study was synthesized in our laboratory (INSEERM U1212, Bordeaux, France) on an automated Expedite 8909 DNA synthesizer at a 1-μmol scale on a 1000-Å primer support (Link Technologies SynBase CPG). All the standard phosphoramidites (dABz, dT, dGiBu, and dCAc), reagents, and solvents used during the synthesis were purchased from Glen Research. The dGiBu phosphoramidite
(U-^{13}C_{10}, 98%; U-^{15}N, 98%; CP, 95%) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. After the synthesis, the oligonucleotides were cleaved from the support, and the nucleobases were deprotected with ammonium hydroxide at 55 °C for 16 h and then lyophilized. All the sequences used for NMR were prepared in potassium NMR buffer (20 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$, 70 mM KCl, pH 6.5, 10% D$_2$O). For CD and UV-visible studies, samples were prepared in KP buffer (20 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$, 70 mM KCl, pH 6.5). After dissolving in buffers, the oligonucleotides were heated for 5 min at 95 °C and chilled on ice several times. After the last annealing cycle, they were refrigerated for 24 h before use. Supplemental Table S2 lists some characteristics of the sequences used in this work.

**CD spectroscopy**

CD experiments were performed on a Jasco J-815 spectrometer using Spectra Manager software. Each DNA sample was prepared at 3–5 μm in KP, buffer and annealed at 90 °C for 5 min, cooled slowly by turning off the heating block (about 3–5 h), and then incubated overnight at 4 °C. The CD spectra were measured in the region between 220 and 330 nm using a scan speed of 50–100 nm/min and a response time of 1 s. Three scans were collected and averaged. Data were processed as described elsewhere (78). CD melting studies were performed on ≈3 μM DNA samples using either a full-wavelength or a single-wavelength mode. In the former case, the data were collected in the wavelength range 330–220 nm with 0.5-s averaging time, 2-nm bandwidth, 100-nm/min scan speed, two accumulations, and 0.2-nm step. The temperature was raised from 4 to 90 °C with 1 °C intervals and a 0.4 °C/min rate. These parameters lead to the overall acquisition time of 1 h/10 °C temperature change. All data collected in this manner were examined for the presence of possible intermediates during the melting process. However, the overall shape of the CD signature remained unchanged for every sample examined. Thus, after completion of the full-wavelength scan for each sample, additional melting data were collected, monitoring at 264 (characteristic for a parallel G4) and 330 nm (used as a reference to factor out instrument fluctuations) with an averaging time of 32 s and a bandwidth of 2 nm. The temperature was raised from 4 to 95 °C and then cooled to 4 °C at a rate of 1 °C/min. This set of experiments allowed us to test the reversibility of the melting process and to obtained thermodynamic parameters. Melting data were processed as described in a previous report (78).

**NMR spectroscopy**

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 700- and 800-MHz instruments equipped with cryogenically cooled probes. Experiments were performed at 20 °C. For solution NMR, standard 3- or 5-mm NMR tubes were used. The samples were prepared in potassium NMR buffer. The concentrations of oligonucleotide were between 1 and 5 mM depending on the experiment requirements. Most of the 1H 1D spectra were recorded using the 1-1 echo pulse sequence (79) (based on the use of “double pulsed field gradient spin-echo”), which selectively removes resonance due to water without affecting other resonances, including those that are in fast exchange with water. The gradient pulse was a smoothed square shape (SMSQ10).100. Resonance assignments were made using 5% $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C site-specific low-enrichment labeling for imino protons and $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC for aromatic H8 protons. To correlate imino and H8 protons of the same guanine via the $^{13}$C5 carbon at natural abundance through-bond correlations, the $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC experiment were performed at natural abundance. Thymines were unambiguously identified using the following mutants: 22RT T8 to C8 and 22RT T10 to C10 (supplemental Table S2). The remaining resonances were identified using TOCSY and COSY experiments and were independently verified using NOE experiments.

**DOSY experiment**

A reference 1D $^1$H spectrum was recorded before the DOSY experiment. The pulse program stepbbpgs191d1 was used for the 1D DOSY spectra, and stepbbp1sp9pr was used for the 2D DOSY spectra. The sequences used simulated echo with a bipolar gradient pulse pair and one spoiled gradient, and 3-9-19 WATERGATE (80) solvent suppression was also applied. Sixty-four scans were recorded for the 1D DOSY experiment, and 1024 were recorded for 2D DOSY. A relaxation delay of 2 ms was applied, and a 20-μs delay was used for water suppression. The time domain was fixed to 8000 points for the F2 dimension and 32 points for the F1 dimension. The diffusion time (Δ) was 150 ms, the gradient length (δ) was 1 ms, and the recovery delay after gradient was fixed to 200 μs. The gradient strengths applied were set between 5 and 95%, and the gradient strength change was set to linear. The data processing was performed using Bruker-designed DOSY software. The following equation was applied to fit the curve of diffusion,

$$ I = I_0 e^{-D g^2 \rho^2 \Delta - \delta/(3 - \tau^2)} \quad (\text{Eq. 1}) $$

where $I$ is the observed intensity, $I_0$ is the reference intensity (unattenuated signal intensity), $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the observed proton, $g$ is the gradient strength, $\delta$ is the length of the gradient, and $\tau$ is the diffusion time. The diffusion coefficient $D$ for a given molecule is described by the Stokes-Einstein equation,

$$ D = \frac{kT}{6\pi\eta R_s} \quad (\text{Eq. 2}) $$

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, $\eta$ is the viscosity of the liquid, and $R_s$ is the (hydrodynamic) radius of the molecule.

**UV-visible spectroscopy**

**Thermal difference spectra**—Thermal difference spectra were obtained in KP buffer by collecting UV-visible wavelength scans from 220 to 350 nm at two temperatures, one well below (usually 4 °C) and another well above (usually 95 °C) the melting temperature of the DNA secondary structure. The difference spectra were obtained by subtracting the data at 4 °C from the data at 95 °C. Both 21R and 22RT showed thermal difference spectral signatures characteristic of G4 structures (81).

**UV-visible melting of 21R and 22RT**—Concentration dependences of the melting transitions of 21R (5’-AGGGCGGT-GTGGGAAGAGGGA-3’) and 22RT (5’-AGGGCGGT-
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**ASBMB**
GTGGGAAATAGGGA-3') were determined in UV-visible melting experiments by monitoring the signals at 295 and 335 nm using an UVikon XL spectrophotometer. The former wavelength is sensitive to the G4 folding state, and the latter wavelength was used as a reference to monitor instrument performance. The extinction coefficient of the DNA at 335 nm is negligible. Five separate samples with concentration ranging from 5.0 to 50 μM were annealed in KP, buffer as described above and equilibrated at 4 °C overnight. Samples were placed in cuvettes with 1.0- or 0.2-cm path lengths depending on strand concentration. The temperature was measured with the temperature sensor inserted in the cuvette holder next to the DNA sample. The temperature was changed at a rate of 0.2 °C/min, and the averaging time was 0.3–0.5 s. Each experiment included two temperature ramps from 95 to 0.5 °C with a 15-min hold and from 0.5 back to 95 °C. The experiments were repeated twice. The value of signal at 335 nm was subtracted from each data set. The data suggest that the folding/unfolding of both 21R and 22RT is reversible as the melting and cooling data are nearly superimposable, consistent with our CD melting study. The melting curves were analyzed assuming a two-state model with temperature-independent enthalpy, ΔH° (82). Starting and final baselines were assumed to be linear, and melting temperature and enthalpy of unfolding were adjusted to get the best fit. Data were also analyzed assuming non-zero heat capacity. This analysis included an additional parameter but did not lead to significant improvement of the fit. Melting temperatures and ΔH° obtained from CD and UV-visible data are in good agreement with each other.

NMR structure of a G-quadruplex from the KRAS promoter region

NMR structural calculations based on NOE distance restraints and simulated annealing

NOE-derived distance restraints were calculated from spectral densities obtained from different 1H-1H NOESY spectra at various mixing times (50, 200, 300, and 400 ms). In the final structure calculations, only data from the 300-ms mixing time was used. All NMR restraints were obtained from spectra collected at 293 K unless otherwise stated. The peak volumes were classified as weak (4.0–6.5 Å), medium (2.5–4.5 Å), and strong (1.8–3 Å). Planarity restraints (20 kcal/mol/Å²) were introduced for the following tetrad architecture: G2-G6-G11-G18, G3-G7-G12-G19, and G4-G9-G13-G20. Hydrogen-bond and planarity restraints were defined between 1.9 and 2.1 Å and between 2.9 and 3.1 Å for the bonds established between H1 and O6 and between H21 and N7, respectively, and were only applied to the guanine bases involved in tetrad formation. The anti conformation was defined by the glycosidic torsion angles (χ) determined from the H1'-H8 intrabase distances. They were restrained to be in the range of −130 ± 40°. Altogether, the hydrogen bonds and the artificial planarity restraints kept the G-quartets in their quasiplanar conformation during the first steps of the ARIA-CNS calculations; these restraints were removed during the refinement process. The integration of NOE volumes, calibration of distances with a relaxation matrix spin diffusion correction, and setting of lower and upper bounds were done by ARIA2.3/CNS1.2. Two distinct steps were used to calculate the final assembly of 20 structures. First, eight iterations of calculations were carried out using 200 structures per iteration in ARIA2.3/CNS1.2 (83, 84) with mixed Cartesian and torsion angle dynamics during the simulated annealing runs. The protocol contains four stages: (a) an initial high-temperature torsion angle simulated annealing of 50,000 steps at 10,000 K with 27 fs for each step, (b) a torsion angle dynamic cooling stage of 10,000 steps from 10,000 to 2000 K, (c) a Cartesian dynamics cooling stage of 10,000 steps from 2000 to 1000 K, and finally (d) a Cartesian dynamics cooling stage of 20,000 steps from 1000 to 50 K with 3 fs per step. For all bonds, angles, and improper dihedral energy terms of the force field, the standard CNS dna-rna-allatom topology and parameter files were used with uniform energy constants. For distances and hydrogen bonds, 10 kcal mol⁻¹Å⁻² was applied during the initial stage of dynamics and was increased up to 50 kcal mol⁻¹Å⁻² for the remaining steps of the dynamics. For dihedral restraints, energy constants applied were 5, 25, 200, and 200 kcal mol⁻¹Å⁻² for the phases a, b, c, and d, respectively. An energy constant of 25 kcal mol⁻¹Å⁻² was applied for planarity restraints. Distance restraints together with G-tetrad hydrogen-bonding distance restraints, glycosidic angle restraints, and planarity restraints were used during this calculation step. In the second step, we performed the necessary refinement of the t20 best structures in explicit water molecules as solvent. For that purpose, the SANDER module of Amber 12 (University of California, San Francisco) was used. The calculation was performed with the AMBER force field FF12SB, which contains the AMBER force field for nucleic acids and Barcelona changes (85). Two K⁺ ions were included between the G-tetrads, and 21 additional K⁺ ions were included to counter the negative charge of the DNA. The 20 structures were solvated by a truncated octahedral box of TIP3P water molecules (86). The structures were energy-minimized using harmonic position restraints of 25 kcal/mol/Å². First, 1000 steps of minimization were carried out holding the system fixed and minimizing just the water box, including ions with 500 steps of steepest descent minimization followed by 500 steps of conjugate gradient minimization. Then, 2500 steps of minimization were performed for the entire system with 1000 steps of steepest descent minimization followed by 1500 steps of conjugate gradient minimization. Afterward, 20 ps of simulated annealing was acquired with heating from 0 to 300 K during 5 ps under a constant volume while maintaining the position restraints at 25 kcal/mol/Å². Finally, we performed a cooling step to 100 K during 13 s; during this step, the time constant for heat bath coupling was varied in the range of 0.05–0.5 ps. A final cooling stage was also performed with more rapid cooling (0.1–0.05 ps) to bring the system to 0 K. The weight of distance restraints was increased gradually during this simulated annealing from 0.1 to 1 in the first 3 seconds and was then kept at 1 for the rest of the annealing procedure.

NMR assignments

Using site-specific low (5%)-enrichment [13C,15N]guanine-labeled samples, the imino H1 and the aromatic H8 protons for each guanine were unambiguously assigned (supplemental Fig. S1A). The guanine H8 aromatic protons were assigned using classical 13C-1H HSQC spectral analysis for each guanine separately (supplemental Fig. S1B). The assignments were also
confirmed by natural abundance through-bond correlations using a jump and return (JR) HMBC experiment, which correlates guanine imino protons with H8 aromatic protons through $^{13}$C5 (supplemental Fig. S1C). The complete spectral assignment was achieved by combining through-bond (TOCSY and COSY) and through-space (NOESY) experiments. An $^1$H-$^1$H TOCSY experiment allowed unambiguous correlation of H5-H6 protons of the cytosine at position 5 and the H6 methyl protons of thymines at positions 8, 10, and 17 (Fig. 2A). The remaining proton assignments such as those of sugar protons (H3’, H4’, H2’/H2”, and H5’/H5”) were determined as described previously (5, 6).
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