Finite Element Stimulation Analysis on Osteogenic Capacity of the Gelatin-Hybridized Calcium Phosphate Cement
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Introduction

When a bone defect is caused due to craniotomy, skull reconstruction is performed with titanium plates or hydroxyapatite in order to protect the brain and achieve an esthetically favorable outcome [1]. These non-biodegradable osteo-inducing materials remain in the body and are never incorporated into the auto logos bone. Skull reconstruction using these materials often evokes postoperative complication such as protrusion, osteomyelitis, and instability. The use of biodegradable osteo-inducing biomaterial that can be spontaneously changed to the analogous bone would be ideal for skull reconstruction; however, such biomaterial has not been developed yet. We previously developed an injectable, biodegradable osteo-inducing biomaterial (gelatin-hybridized calcium phosphate cement, gelatin-hybridized CPC, Gunze Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), and demonstrated its estrogenic capacity using a rat model with a full-thickness skull defect. In the present study, we performed a histological analysis to investigate the influences of the degree of cross-linking and volume of gelatin contained within gelatin - hybridized CPC on the osteogenic capacity. Furthermore, we performed finite element simulation analysis to examine the bone strength of the regenerated bone.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical requirements of the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Faculty of Medicine, Kindai University. Ten-week old male SD rats (n=18) were used in the experiments. Rats were raised in clean racks at 22°C and 50% humidity under a 12-hour dark-light cycle. They were fed irradiated (3 mGy) pellets, and had unlimited access to drinking water. Following anesthesia with isoflurane, two cylindrical defects (5 mm in diameter) were made on each side of the cranium using a drill. Then, gelatin-hybridized CPC was injected to fulfill the bone defect which became hardened within 5 minutes following injection. The cement pastes were packed in cylindrical Teflon® molds (6mm in diameter and 12mm in height), maintained at 37°C Cat 100% humidity for 1 hr, and kept in distilled water at 37°C for 24 hr. The compressive strength tests were performed on the cement specimens using a universal testing machine (AG-5kNXplus, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) in accordance with JIS T 0330-4. The crosshead speed was 0.5mm/min and a load cell of 5kN was used. Tissues were extracted 10 weeks after implantation for histological assessment of the estrogenic capacity. In addition, finite element simulation [2], was performed using μCT to examine the degree of compression fracture after applying mechanical stress on top of the rat skull.
**Statistical Analysis**

The Student t-test was used to compare 2 means, and the One-way analysis of variance and the Holm post hoc test were used to compare >3 means. All statistical analyses were performed using R Environment (R Project) and p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

The compressive strength of the hardened, gelatin-hybridized CPC was examined prior to its implantation. The compressive strength of the gelatin-hybridized CPC with 10 and 20wt% gelatin was significantly lower than that with 0wt% gelatin, being approximately 1/3 and 1/9 of the 0wt% group, respectively. The degree of cross-linking did not show a significant influence on the compressive strength (Figure 2). By histology and µCT, bone regeneration was not completely achieved in the full-thickness skull defect 10 weeks after implantation of the gelatin-hybridized CPC. Bone regeneration was observed more frequently in rats that received the gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 20wt% gelatin with a low degree of cross-linking compared with those that received the gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 10wt% gelatin with a high degree of cross-linking. Thus, the gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 20wt% gelatin with a low degree of cross-linking incorporated more effectively into the antiligious bone (Figure 3). Furthermore, we performed finite element simulation analysis and demonstrated that, following implantation, the bone strength of the rat skull was the greatest in rats that received gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 20wt% gelatin with a low degree of cross-linking (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Previous studies examined the osteogenic capacity of gelatin-containing conventional CPC using rabbit femur and canine mandibular bone defect models. These studies demonstrated a positive correlation between the volume of gelatin contained within CPC and cell infiltration after implantation. However, studies have also shown that the bone strength of CPC is significantly reduced with an increasing volume of gelatin [3,4]. In this study, the strength of CPC was maintained by adjusting the degree of cross-linking and volume of gelatin. Additionally, since gelatin-hybridized CPC is injectable, it facilitates casting and can be shaped to fit various forms of bone defects. Our findings suggest that for gelatin-hybridized CPC, the use of a high volume of gelatin with a low degree of cross-linking promotes ontogenesis to improve its incorporation into antiligious bone. It has been reported that about 600gram of mechanical stress is applied subcutaneously [5]. Thus, the initial strength of the gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 20wt% gelatin was not sufficient to withstand the stress.

However, finite element simulation analysis demonstrated that the degree of compression fracture was the lowest in defects implanted with gelatin-hybridized CPC containing 20wt% gelatin.
with a low degree of cross-linking, thus achieving the highest bone strength following implantation. Furthermore, histological analysis of the bone defect revealed that the gelatin particles contained in the gelatin-hybridized CPC were degraded soon after implantation. This suggests that the gelatin-hybridized CPC promotes bone formation by providing a space that facilitates angiogenesis and cell infiltration. Recent studies demonstrated cranium reconstruction using hydroxyapatite produced by a 3D printing technique as well as poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) produced by computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) [6]. However, none of the materials are without limitations regarding their use as a biodegradable osteo-inducing biomaterial for skull reconstruction. We demonstrated that the gelatin-hybridized CPC induces ontogenesis at an early stage, enabling the regenerated bone to be incorporated into antilogueous bone. Further studies are warranted to examine its use in clinical practice.
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