we found that 9.6% of 114 consecutive asymptomatic women tested positive for severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). None of those 11 SARS-CoV-2–positive pregnant women had any COVID-19–related symptoms.

The much higher rate of asymptomatic COVID-19 infections that we found (9.6% vs 1.5%) cannot be explained by the 3 theories proposed by Goldfarb et al. Our Philadelphia COVID-19 testing data are from the same period as the Boston study, as physical distancing orders were also in place. Although Boston does have fewer people per square mile (13,841) than New York City (27,000), Philadelphia has an even lower population density (11,854 people per square mile). On March 28, 2020, prior to the Boston study’s time frame (and ours), Governor Andrew Cuomo announced an executive order that New York hospitals were required to allow 1 person to accompany a patient throughout their labor and delivery. This was issued several days after 2 major New York City hospital systems banned support people from labor and delivery rooms because of the coronavirus pandemic in effort to protect patients, babies, and labor and delivery healthcare providers.2

Based upon our findings, as well as others3, the very low rate of asymptomatic pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the Boston study may be an outlier during the early stages of the pandemic, with a more accurate infection rate being much higher. The higher rate of asymptomatic pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2, who may still infect healthcare providers and others, demonstrates the importance of universal testing of pregnant women admitted to labor and delivery, as well as precautions such as mask wearing and hand washing.

Acknowledgments. None.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References
1. Goldfarb IT, Diouf K, Barth WH, et al. Universal SARS-CoV-2 testing on admission to the labor and delivery unit: low prevalence among asymptomatic obstetric patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020 May 27 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.255
2. Sutton D, Fuchs K, D’Alton M, Goldfman D. Universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 in women admitted for delivery. N Engl J Med 2020 Apr 13 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2009316.
3. Vintzileos WS, Muscat J, Hoffmann E, et al. Screening all pregnant women admitted to labor and delivery for the virus responsible for COVID-19. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2020 Apr 26 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.04.024.

Validation of a small-size pooling approach targeting hospital surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 infection
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To the Editor—The ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), started in December 2019 as a large viral pneumonia outbreak in the city of Wuhan, China.1 The disease spread from Wuhan to other countries, and the World Health Organization declared it a pandemic by March 11, 2020 (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen). With vaccine development currently underway, the rapid identification of disease carriers and their close contacts represents the only effective measure to limit SARS-CoV-2 spreading.2

Hospitals are hotbeds for SARS-CoV-2 transmission; healthcare workers (HCWs) are at high risk of being infected and of further transmitting the virus to vulnerable patients.3 Thus, infection control strategies based on SARS-CoV-2 testing in HCWs and patients are necessary.4 Unfortunately, this type of disease surveillance is limited by the overwhelming demand for SARS-CoV-2 molecular diagnostic analyses.5,6

To increase COVID-19 testing capacity, procedures based on pooling of naso-oral pharyngeal (NOP) swab specimens have been recently proposed.7,8 However, the validation of the sample pooling approach is crucial to assess its diagnostic accuracy and to avoid false-negative results. Recent studies describing the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in pools of 5 to 32 samples reported false-negative rates up to 10% for large groups, suggesting that smaller sample pools are a good compromise to increase sample processing capacity while maintaining test reliability.6,9 Since 5-sample pools were shown to efficiently detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA in RT-PCR assays,9 we chose to test and validate this approach using a high-throughput RNA extraction and amplification platform. The Sant’Andrea Hospital of Rome (Italy) has put in place a SARS-CoV-2 surveillance program focused on the periodic screening of HCWs and preventive screening of patients (before hospitalization). In total, 2,035 people from the surveillance program (1,437 HCW and 598 patients) were enrolled in this study. The molecular diagnostic workflow we used for SARS-CoV-2 detection included the following elements: (1) NOP swab sampling using the COPAN UTM-RM virus transport medium (Copan Diagnostics,
Murrieta, CA); (2) automated specimen RNA extraction and amplification with the Versant kPCR molecular system (Siemens Healthineers AG, Erlangen, Germany). Viral nucleic acid detection was carried out using the detection kit for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) RNA (PCR-fluorescence probing; Daan Gene, Sun Yat University, Guangzhou, Guandong, China), an RT-PCR assay which simultaneously detects the viral nucleocapsid (N) and Orf1ab genes.

We first tested a small set of NOP swab pools to assess the lower detection limit of the method, then we validated the method on a larger set of sample pools from Sant’ Andrea Hospital HCWs and patients. Each sample was analyzed both individually and as a part of a pool of 5 specimens (200 μL each). The small set consisted of 10 pools, each including 1 SARS-CoV-2–positive sample and 4 negative NOP samples. For each pool, 2 technical replicates were prepared and analyzed. The PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values of individually tested positive samples ranged from 33.3 to 38.1 for the N gene and from 34.1 to 38.7 for the Orf1ab gene, whereas Ct values obtained from their corresponding pools were between 34.3 and 38.9 for the N gene and between 35 and 40 for the Orf1ab gene (Fig. 1). The Ct value differences (ΔCt) between individual and pooled positive samples ranged from 0.3 to 2.3 for N and from 0.4 to 1.8 for Orf1ab (Fig. 1). No false-negative amplification signals were obtained using an analogous set of sample pools consisting of only SARS-CoV-2–negative NOP samples.

We next performed a validation of the pooling strategy to assess the diagnostic performance and benefits of this approach. Daily during the first 3 weeks of April 2020, we analyzed an average of 96.9 individual NOP samples and their corresponding 19.38 pools collected from Sant’ Andrea Hospital HCWs and patients (2,035 individual samples and 407 pools). In total, 36 patients (1.7 %) were identified as SARS-CoV-2–positive. The diagnostic performance and benefits of this approach represented an optimal strategy for surveillance programs in late pandemic phases when screening of a large population is needed.
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Configuring a hospital in the COVID-19 era by integrating crisis management logistics
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To the Editor—The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 disease (COVID-19) emerged in China and has spread throughout the world.1 The first case of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia was confirmed on March 2, 2020, and presently almost 200,000 people have been infected here.2 The Ministry of Health (MOH) has responded to the COVID-19 outbreak by designing clusters of governmental hospitals to accommodate the increased flow of patients. Although our bed-occupancy rates never exceeded 80% until 2019, the situation has changed dramatically since March 2020, when the intensive care unit (ICU) occupancy rates reached 100% due to the pandemic. Hence, our hospital has been under pressure to upgrade our ICU services. We have used crisis management tactics in configuring our medical city (Table 1). First, we created a multidisciplinary crisis management team (CMT) to supervise the operations, and we promptly applied a surge plan based on the available scientific evidence. Our CMT policies, ICU configuration strategy, staff and resource utilization, admission protocols, and therapeutic guidelines have been reviewed continually based on new international updates, emerging therapies, and the recommendations of our national health authorities.2–5 By adjusting, and retrofitting existing ICUs, and acute wards, we have expanded the ICU bed capacity in a step-wise manner: phase 1, 180 beds; phase 2, 240 beds; phase 3, 300 beds). Our main challenge has been to install new structures (ie, gas access, power circuits, monitors, and HEPA purifiers) in the pop-up units. We could not maintain single-patient (ie, gas access, power circuits, monitors, and HEPA purifiers) in acute wards. we have expanded the ICU bed capacity in a step-wise manner: phase 1, 180 beds; phase 2, 240 beds; phase 3, 300 beds). Our main challenge has been to install new structures (ie, gas access, power circuits, monitors, and HEPA purifiers) in the pop-up units. We could not maintain single-patient occupancy; thus, we isolated cohorts of COVID-19 patients in multiple-occupancy glass rooms. Nursing stations have been set up outside these rooms; new circuits have been installed for the transmission of data and alarms; and new procedure carts have been arranged for each new unit. The ICU-bed triage and staff governance have been controlled by the CMT. Our CMT members have provided coverage 24 hours per day, 7 days per week to arrange the ICU admission flow and the transfer of patients to other hospitals based on the daily MOH plan. We have followed a tiered strategy in which we allocate experienced intensivists and nurses to supervise redeployed noncritical care physicians and nurses, and we also established back-up teams. The refinement of the respiratory and ICU care included changing the ventilator circuits and filters based on patient needs, avoiding nebulizers, creating specialized intubation and prone-positioning ventilation teams, and upgrading the oxygen supply system. The latter has been a major problem for our oxygen supply management team. Hence, we have promoted awake prone positioning and more oxygen-support therapies (ie, high-flow nasal cannula, and helmet continuous positive pressure ventilation) to avoid mechanical ventilation if possible. Interventional therapies (ie, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and therapeutic plasma exchange) have been carefully screened by expert teams to optimize resource utilization. The ICU pharmacy operations have been linked to the MOH central stock and supervised by pharmacists of the CMT to facilitate the prompt delivery of medications. Infection control measures have been strictly implemented in all hospital areas by creating specific zones and protocols for donning and doffing personal protective equipment, providing sanitizer dispensers, applying strict room-disinfection protocols, and providing safe waste handling.6 Moreover, we have utilized novel transportation capsule isolation technology to minimize the risk of SARS-CoV-2 acquisition during inter- and intrahospital transportation.7

New hospital communication systems have been installed in the pop-up ICUs. The communication between frontline staff and the CMT is continuous. Because visitors were not allowed, family meetings were organized via web-based applications to reduce patient and family stress. Training and emotional staff support have been provided on a daily basis. We have utilized daily COVID-19 training sessions to provide additional emotional reassurance (ie, dual training and emotional support strategy). Moreover, ~600 COVID-19 patients have been hospitalized in our ICU over the past 4 months. Our staff’s nosocomial infection rate was ~3% during the early stages of the pandemic, and it has decreased to 0.5% since May 2020. As the