How to detect spacetime torsion? In this essay we provide the theoretical basis for an answer to this question. Multipolar equations of motion for a very general class of gravitational theories with nonminimal coupling in spacetimes admitting torsion are given. Our findings provide a framework for the systematic testing of whole classes of theories with the help of extended test bodies. One surprising feature of nonminimal theories turns out to be their potential sensitivity to torsion of spacetime even in experiments with ordinary (not microstructured) test matter.
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1. **Introduction**

The dynamics of test bodies in curved manifolds represents an interesting problem of determining the geometrical structure of spacetime. In Einstein’s General Relativity (GR) spacetime is a Riemannian manifold. However, this is not necessarily true in gauge gravitational theories, which can be considered as viable alternatives to GR. As Einstein himself formulated:

“[...] The question whether this continuum has a Euclidean, Riemannian, or any other structure is a question of physics proper which must be answered by experience, and not a question of a convention to be chosen on grounds of mere expediency.”

*This essay received a honorable mention in the 2014 essay competition of the Gravity Research Foundation.
One of the possible non-Riemannian deviations of the geometry of spacetime is torsion (introduced very early by E. Cartan). The physical problem is then as follows: can we detect the torsion of spacetime and how we can do it?

Torsion arises on an equal footing with the curvature as the gravitational field strength in the gauge-theoretic approach to gravity.\(^2,3\) The sources of these Poincaré gauge fields are the two Noether currents: the mass (energy-momentum) and spin. Accordingly, an early analysis of the problem of motion revealed that curvature and torsion can be detected by means of test bodies composed of microstructured matter\(^4\); the elements of which have mass and spin. In agreement with this analysis, in recent experimental efforts\(^5,6\) one uses polarized test bodies for placing limits on the torsion of spacetime.

In this essay we demonstrate a possibility of detecting the effects of torsion due to the nonminimal coupling of matter with the gravitational field.

2. General nonminimal gravity

As in Ref.\(^4\) we consider matter with microstructure, namely, with spin. An appropriate gravitational model is then the Poincaré gauge theory in which the metric tensor \(g_{ij}\) is accompanied by the connection \(\Gamma_{kij}\) that is metric-compatible but not necessarily symmetric; for details see Refs.\(^2,3\) The gravitational field strengths are the Riemann-Cartan curvature and the torsion:

\[
R_{klij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Gamma_{lij} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \Gamma_{kij} + \Gamma_{knj} \Gamma_{lin} - \Gamma_{lnj} \Gamma_{kin},
\]

\[
T_{kli} = \Gamma_{kli} - \Gamma_{lki}.
\]

We consider a general nonminimal gravity model in which the interaction Lagrangian reads

\[
L_{\text{int}} = F(g_{ij}, R_{klij}, T_{kli})L_{\text{mat}},
\]

and allow for a coupling function \(F(g_{ij}, R_{klij}, T_{kli})\) to be a function of independent scalar invariants constructed in all possible ways from the components of the curvature and torsion tensors. The matter Lagrangian has the usual form \(L_{\text{mat}} = L_{\text{mat}}(\psi^A, \nabla_i \psi^A, g_{ij})\). A Lagrange-Noether analysis, see Ref.\(^7\) yields the general conservations laws of the theory, i.e.

\[
\hat{\nabla}_n \tau_{[ik]}^n = K_m^l \tau_{[kl]}^n - K_{nk}^l \tau_{[il]}^n - \Sigma_{[ik]}^n - A_n \tau_{[ik]}^n,
\]

\[
\hat{\nabla}_i \Sigma_k^i = -\Sigma_l^i K_{kl}^i - \tau^m_n R_{klm}^i - A^i \Xi_{ik}^i - A_l^i \Sigma_k^i.
\]

Here

\[
\Sigma_k^i = \frac{\partial L_{\text{mat}}}{\partial \nabla_i \psi^A} \nabla_k \psi^A - \delta_k^i L_{\text{mat}},
\]

denotes the canonical energy-momentum tensor, and

\[
\tau^n_k^i = -\frac{\partial L_{\text{mat}}}{\partial \nabla^i \psi^A} (\sigma^A_B)_{k}^n \psi^B,
\]
the canonical spin tensor. Furthermore, we made use of the shortcut $\Xi_{ij} := g_{ij} L_{\text{mat}}$, and auxiliary variables like in Ref. [3] i.e. $A(g_{ij}, R_{ijk}^l, T_{ij}^k) := \log F, A_i := \nabla_i A, A_{ij} := \nabla_j \nabla_i A$ etc. The Riemann-Cartan connection was decomposed into the Riemannian (Christoffel) connection

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \{^k_{ij}\} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(\partial_l g_{ij} + \partial_j g_{il} - \partial_i g_{lj}),$$

plus the post-Riemannian piece:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k - K_{ij}^k.$$  

Here the contortion tensor reads

$$K_{ij}^k = -\frac{1}{2}(T_{ij}^k - T_j^k i + T^k_{ij}) = -K_i^k.$$  

3. Equations of motion

The conservation equations (11) and (15) form the basis for a general multipolar analysis. Utilizing the geodesic expansion technique of Synge[9] one can derive equations of motion of a test body[10] For this we use the world-function $\sigma$ and the parallel propagator $g^{y x}$ and denote

$$\Phi y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n y_0 x_0 := \sigma y_1 \ldots \sigma y_n g^{y_0 x_0},$$

$$\Psi y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n y_0 x_0 x' := \sigma y_1 \ldots \sigma y_n g^{y_0 x_0 y' x'}.$$  

Furthermore, we introduce integrated moments à la Dixon[11] of an arbitrary order $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$p y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n y_0 := (-1)^n \int_{\Sigma(s)} \Phi y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n y_0 x_0 \Sigma x_1 d\Sigma x_1,$$  

$$q y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 := (-1)^n \int_{\Sigma(s)} \Psi y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 x_0 x_1 \Sigma x_2 d\Sigma x_2,$$  

$$\xi y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 := (-1)^n \int_{\Sigma(s)} \Psi y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 x_0 x_1 \Xi x_2 d\Sigma x_2,$$  

$$\eta y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 := (-1)^n \int_{\Sigma(s)} \Psi y_2 y_3 \ldots y_n y_0 y_1 x_0 x_1 \eta x_2 d\Sigma x_2,$$  

$$q y_3 y_4 \ldots y_n y_0 y_2 := (-1)^n \int_{\Sigma(s)} \Psi y_3 y_4 \ldots y_n y_0 y_2 x_0 x_1 g^{y_0 y_2} \Xi x_2 d\Sigma x_3.$$  

Here the integrals are performed over spatial hypersurfaces. Note that in our notation the point to which the index of a bitensor belongs can be directly read from the index itself; e.g., $y_n$ denotes indices at the point $y$. Furthermore, we will now associate the point $y$ with the world-line of the test body under consideration.

---

*a*We use the hat to denote objects and operators (such as the curvature, covariant derivatives, etc) defined by the Riemannian connection $\hat{\cdot}$. 


4. Nonminimal coupling

A general extended body consists of material elements with microstructure, i.e., with spin. In the pole-dipole approximation, the relevant moments are \( p^a, p^{ab}, t^{ab}, t^{abc}, \xi^{ab}, \xi^{abc}, s^{ab}, q^{abc} \). If we neglect all higher multipole moments and introduce the integrated orbital angular momentum and the integrated spin angular momentum of an extended body as

\[
L^{ab} := 2p^{[ab]}, \quad S^{ab} := -2s^{ab},
\]

we obtain the following equations of motion:

\[
\frac{D}{ds} J^{ab} = -2v^{[a} p^{b]} + 2FQ^{cd[a} T_{cd}^{b]} + 4FQ^{[a}_{cd} T^{b]}cd
- \left( 4q^{[a|c} b^{]} + 2\xi^{[a|c} b^{]} \right) \nabla_c F, \tag{19}
\]

\[
\frac{D}{ds} P^a = \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^a_{bcd} J^{cd} v^b + FQ^{bc}_{d} \hat{\nabla}^{a}_{b} T_{bc}^{d}
- 2q^{bcd} K_{dc}^{a} \nabla_b F + 2Fq^{acd} \nabla_d A_c
- \xi^{ba} \nabla_b F - \xi^{cba} \hat{\nabla}_c \hat{\nabla}_b F. \tag{20}
\]

Here \( v^b := dx^b/ ds \), \( s \) is the proper time, \( \frac{D}{ds} = v^i \hat{\nabla}_i \), and we defined the total energy-momentum vector and the total angular momentum tensor by

\[
P^a := F \left( p^a - \frac{1}{2} K^{a}_{cd} S^{cd} \right) + (p^{ba} - S^{ab}) \nabla_b F, \tag{21}
\]

\[
J^{ab} := F \left( L^{ab} + S^{ab} \right). \tag{22}
\]

In addition, we introduced a redefined moment

\[
Q^{bca} := \frac{1}{2} \left( q^{bca} + q^{bac} - q^{cab} \right). \tag{23}
\]

The equations of motion (19) and (20) generalize the results obtained in Ref. [8] to the case when extended bodies are built of matter with microstructure and move in a Riemann-Cartan spacetime with nontrivial torsion.

5. Minimal coupling

When the coupling function is constant, \( F = 1 \), that is for the minimal coupling case, we obtain

\[
P^a = p^a - \frac{1}{2} K^{a}_{cd} S^{cd}, \quad J^{ab} = L^{ab} + S^{ab}, \tag{24}
\]

and the equations of motion

\[
\frac{D}{ds} J^{ab} = -2v^{[a} p^{b]} + 2Q^{cd[a} T_{cd}^{b]} + 4Q^{[a}_{cd} T^{b]}cd,
\]

\[
\frac{D}{ds} P^a = \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^a_{bcd} J^{cd} v^b + Q^{bc}_{d} \hat{\nabla}^{a}_{b} T_{bc}^{d}. \tag{26}
\]
It is satisfying to see that the structure of the equations of motion for minimal coupling (25)-(26) is in agreement with the earlier results of Yasskin and Stoeger [4]. Therefore, we confirm once again that spacetime torsion in the minimal coupling scheme interacts only with the integrated spin $S_{ab}$, which arises from the intrinsic spin of matter, and the higher moment $q_{abc}$. Hence, usual matter without microstructure cannot detect torsion and, in particular, experiments with macroscopically rotating bodies such as gyroscopes in the Gravity Probe B mission do not place any limits on torsion [12].

6. Nonminimal coupling: a loophole to detect torsion?

However, the conclusion that matter without microstructure cannot detect torsion is apparently violated for the nonminimal coupling case. As we see from (19) and (20), test bodies of structureless matter could be affected by torsion via the derivatives of the coupling function $F(g_{ij}, R_{klij}, T_{kl})$. This possibility, however, is qualitatively different from the ad hoc assumption that structureless particles move along auto-parallel curves in the Riemann-Cartan spacetime made in Refs. [13]-[16]; see the critical assessment in Ref. [12]. The trajectory of a monopole particle without intrinsic spin ($\tau_{abc} = 0$), is described by

$$\frac{D}{ds}(F p^a) = -\xi^{ab} \nabla_b F,$$

(27)

which is neither geodesic nor auto-parallel. The same is true for the dipole case when the nonminimal coupling force is combined with the Mathisson-Papapetrou force.

7. Conclusions

We have presented equations of motion for material bodies with microstructure for a very large class of gravitational theories, thus extending the previous work [4, 17-20] to the general framework with nonminimal coupling. In the special case of minimal coupling (which is recovered when $F = 1$), our results can be viewed as the covariant generalization of the ones in Refs. [14, 15] as well as the parts concerning Poincaré gauge theory of Ref. [19].

A somewhat surprising result in the present nonminimal context with torsion, is the – indirect – influence of the torsion through the coupling function $F$ on the dynamics of matter without intrinsic spin even in the lowest order equations of motion – see eq. (27). This clearly is a distinctive feature of theories which exhibit nonminimal coupling, which sets them apart from other gauge theoretical approaches to gravity.

Experiments testing the universality of free fall should be used to put strong limits on theories with nonminimal coupling (already present day accelerometers reach a sensitivity of $< 10^{-12}$ m/s$^2$). Experimentalists are thus encouraged to use our results as a universal framework to systematically test the effects of nonminimal coupling by means of spinning, as well as structureless massive test bodies.
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