Genetic Contributions to Ectopic Sperm Cell Migration in Caenorhabditis Nematodes
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ABSTRACT Reproductive barriers involving gametic incompatibilities can act to enhance population divergence and promote the persistence of species boundaries. Observing gametic interactions in internal fertilizing organisms, however, presents a considerable practical challenge to characterizing mechanisms of such gametic isolation. Here we exploit the transparency of Caenorhabditis nematodes to investigate gametic isolation mediated by sperm that can migrate to ectopic locations, with this sperm invasion capable of inducing female sterility and premature death. As a step toward identifying genetic factors and mechanisms associated with female susceptibility to sperm invasion, we characterized a panel of 25 C. elegans genetic mutants to test for effects on the incidence and severity of sperm invasion in both conspecific and interspecies matings. We found genetic perturbations to contribute to distinct patterns of susceptibility that identify ovulation dynamics and sperm guidance cues as modulators of ectopic sperm migration incidence and severity. Genotypes confer distinctive phenotypic sensitivities to the sperm from conspecific C. elegans males vs. heterospecific C. nigoni males, implicating evolution of functional divergence in the history of these species for components of sperm-reproductive tract interactions. Sexually-antagonistic co-evolution within species that drives divergent trait and molecular evolution between species provides a working model to explain mismatched species-specific gametic interactions that promote or mitigate ectopic sperm migration.
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Reproduction genetically binds individuals and populations to one another to define a species. Barriers to reproduction, therefore, will isolate populations from one another so that they accumulate genetic divergence as distinct lineages or species. Reproductive barriers that precede fertilization are especially potent because they inherently preclude offspring production, irrespective of whether zygotes would be viable or fertile (Coyne and Orr 1989; Coyne and Orr 1997). Courtship and mating behaviors allow pre-mating reproductive barriers to manifest at an early stage of the reproductive sequence (Boughman 2002; Maan and Seehausen 2011), yet in species with limited mate recognition, gametic interactions that occur during the post-mating prezygotic phase represent a critical period in the evolution of reproductive isolation (Dobzhansky 1951; Eady 2001; Howard et al. 2009). Given that gamete-related traits and the genes controlling them evolve rapidly (Stockley 1997; Swanson and Vacquier 2002; Wilburn and Swanson 2016), mismatched gamete interactions can evolve to create reproductive incompatibilities that impede the transfer of genetic material from one population to another to maintain, or foster formation of, distinct species (Coyne and Orr 2004; Haerty et al. 2007). Understanding the mechanisms and genetics that underpin the evolution of gametic reproductive isolation is therefore crucial to characterizing the speciation process (Noor and Feder 2006; Nosil and Schluter 2011).

Gametic reproductive incompatibilities between species are especially challenging to study with internal fertilization, though some recent advances provide novel views inside opaque organisms (Mattei et al. 2015). Despite the difficulty in observing directly sperm and oocyte interactions inside the reproductive tract of a live female, studies show that sometimes heterospecific sperm are simply unable to outcompete conspecific sperm (i.e., ‘conspecific sperm precedence’), precluding formation of inter-species zygotes altogether (Price 1997; Stockley 1997;
Eady 2001). Even in the absence of sperm competition, however, transferred sperm or seminal products from heterospecific males can generate reproductive barriers between species by reducing female viability or fertility (Patterson 1946; Knowles and Markow 2001; Ting et al. 2014) or by disrupting intercellular interactions between sperm and egg (Snook et al. 2009). The importance of such gametic barriers to overall reproductive isolation should be greater in organisms with weak pre-mating barriers like \textit{Caenorhabditis} nematodes that often readily mate with other species (Baird 2001; Garcia et al. 2007).

The transparent bodies of \textit{Caenorhabditis} nematodes provide a convenient window for viewing gametic interactions (Hill and L’Hernault 2001; Han et al. 2010; Marcello et al. 2013; Ting et al. 2014), providing a powerful testbed to screen for genetic factors that enhance or suppress gametic reproductive isolation between species. Normally, the amoeboid male sperm of \textit{Caenorhabditis} crawl toward one of the paired spermathecae, where fertilization takes place, after insemination into the uterus via the vulva; the spermathecae represent the furthest points within the reproductive tract that male sperm ought to reach (Figure 1A) (McCarter et al. 1997; Hubbard and Greenstein 2000). Interspecies matings between \textit{Caenorhabditis} nematodes, however, often lead to a gametic form of reproductive isolation and reproductive interference: male sperm can cause sterility and reduced lifespan following matings between individual females or hermaphrodites to males from other species (Ting et al. 2014). The heterospecific sperm not only displace any existing conspecific sperm from the sites of fertilization, but can migrate into ectopic meiotic and mitotic zones of the gonad, or even breach the reproductive tract altogether to meander in the body cavity (Ting et al. 2014). This form of gametic isolation contrasts with the more widely-known pattern of conspecific sperm precedence in other animals (Howard et al. 2009). More rarely, sperm from conspecific males can migrate ectopically (Ting et al. 2014). Although ectopic sperm invasion in \textit{Caenorhabditis} exacts substantial harm to female physiology and fitness, distinct species pairs exhibit significant variation in both female susceptibility to sperm invasion and the relative degree of sperm mislocalization (Ting et al. 2014). The genetic and mechanistic causes of this heterogeneity remain undetermined.

Figure 1 Genetic perturbations affect the incidence of sperm invasion in \textit{C. elegans}. (A) Regions of \textit{C. elegans} hermaphrodite body scored for sperm localization: Male sperm is transferred through the vulva into the uterus (regions 1-2), where the amoeboid sperm crawl to one of the paired spermathecae (region 3) which is the site of fertilization. Sperm are considered ectopic if found in the proximal gonad (region 4), distal gonad (region 5), and/or somatic locations outside the reproductive tract and gonad (region 6). (B) Incidence of ectopic sperm varies significantly across different \textit{C. elegans} mutant strains (and wildtype strain N2) following mating with \textit{C. elegans} males (% of hermaphrodites with ectopic sperm present in any location) \(\chi^2 = 105.9, df = 25, P < 0.0001\). (C) Incidence of ectopic sperm following heterospecific mating with \textit{C. nigoni} males varies significantly among strains \(\chi^2 = 76.2, df = 25, P < 0.0001\). (D) The incidence of ectopic sperm across mutant genotypes from conspecific \textit{C. elegans} males does not significantly predict the incidence of sperm invasion from heterospecific \textit{C. nigoni} males (Spearman’s rho = 0.21, df = 24, \(P = 0.3\)). Asterisks in (B) indicate statistical difference from wildtype after multiple test correction (Dunnett’s test \(\alpha = 0.05\)). Sample sizes below bars in (B) and (C) indicate the number of mated \textit{C. elegans} hermaphrodites scored; error bars show binomial 95% confidence intervals. Gray lines in (B-D) provide reference lines for wildtype values. Gray box in (D) indicates binomial 95% confidence intervals for the wildtype; error bars for mutants in (D) not shown for visual clarity. Mutant strains are colored to indicate phenotypic effects: ovulation defects (red), sperm attraction defects (blue), ovulation and attraction defects (purple), fertilization defects (yellow), attraction and ovulation and fertilization defects (orange), wildtype N2 (black) (Supplementary Table S1).
Here we test how genetic disruptions make the hermaphrodite (‘female’) sex of *C. elegans* more or less vulnerable to ectopic migration of male sperm cells of both their own species and of a different species (*C. nigoni*). *C. elegans* hermaphrodites experience high incidences of ectopic sperm after mating with *C. nigoni* males, but only rarely from males of their own species (Ting et al. 2014). We predict that ectopic migration of heterospecific sperm arises as a direct consequence of the lack of sexual co-evolution between females and males of distinct species, whereas sexual selection within each species leads to female reproductive tract reactivity with structural or signaling features compatible only with sperm from conspecific males. Specifically, co-evolved chemical signaling cues between gametes are hypothesized to modulate susceptibility to interspecific ectopic sperm migration (Ting et al. 2014). Mature oocytes in *Caenorhabditis* hermaphrodites and females secrete chemical cues that guide sperm to the sites of fertilization (reviewed in Han et al. 2010; Hoang et al. 2013); we propose that the identity or sensitivity of signaling molecules, receptors, or transduction could differ among species, with ‘miscommunication’ resulting in ectopic migration of sperm cells. Differences among species in physical or mechanical properties of the reproductive tract, such as the strength of spermathecal valve constriction, also could contribute to variation in female sensitivity to sperm invasion. To address the mechanisms of ectopic sperm migration, we use a reverse genetics approach to identify genes important in the process of sperm invasion, analyzing the phenotypic effects of 25 genetic disruptions to understand the factors underlying ectopic sperm incidence and severity. We selected mutant strains to inform our hypotheses about potential roles of their deficient gene products in the fertilization process, based on previous studies demonstrating their influence on normal reproduction in terms of gamete signaling (Han et al. 2010) and oviulation dynamics (Kim et al. 2013). By assaying sperm invasion incidence and severity in genotypes with perturbed sperm-oocyte signaling, spermathecal constriction/dilation responses, and oocyte maturation, we demonstrate how these factors influence the propensity for heterospecific sperm to migrate to ectopic locations within females and thus modulate the strength of reproductive isolation barriers between species.

**METHODS**

**Genetically disrupted *C. elegans* strains**

To explore possible mechanisms associated with female susceptibility to ectopic migration of male sperm, we selected 25 *C. elegans* mutants from published literature and Wormbase.org phenotype descriptions that we hypothesized might influence sperm invasion (Table 1; Supplementary Table S1). We aimed with this approach to identify shared phenotypic processes subject to genetic control that influence female susceptibility to sperm invasion, rather than fine-scale characterization of individual genetic mutants. Phenotypic disruptions of the mutants affected the functions of physical structures or activity in the reproductive tract, such as changes in gonadal sheath cell contractions, oviolation, and cell-cell communication of gametes (Supplementary Table S1), though we note that phenotypic characterization of these mutations is not comprehensive and may have additional phenotypic effects. We predicted sperm invasion to be more likely when sperm are able to reach the spermathecae, if ectopic sperm migration proceeds into the gonad through the proximal spermathecal valve. Consequently, we predicted that mutations that disrupt sperm guidance would reduce the likelihood of sperm invasion whereas mutations that disrupt proper sheath cell and spermathecal contractions may exacerbate ectopic sperm migration. In our assays, mutant strains otherwise share the same N2 genetic background, which acted as our wildtype control strain. Several strains in our analysis include mutant alleles at more than one locus (Table 1; Supplementary Table S1), so, despite selecting them based on a focal gene of interest, it remains possible that additive or epistatic interactions involving other factors on the genetic background could contribute to the phenotypic effects we report. Two strains required picking appropriate genotypes and phenotypes to assay from stocks that needed propagation as heterozygotes (TX183, SL1138). We maintained *Caenorhabditis* populations on 55 mm diameter NGM Lite agar plates with *Escherichia coli* (OP50) as food, using an agar concentration of 2.2% to discourage burrowing (Stiernagle 2006).

**Mating assays to quantify sperm invasion**

We assessed the extent of ectopic sperm migration using three responses: whether any sperm occurred in ectopic locations (incidence), the number and identity of ectopic locations (severity), and how many sperm occurred in each location (abundance) (Figure 1A). To visualize and quantify the location and number of sperm transferred by males upon mating to *C. elegans* hermaphrodites (‘females’), we stained males of *C. nigoni* (strain JU1325) or *C. elegans* fog-2(q71) with MitoTracker Red CMXRos (Invitrogen) to fluorescently label their sperm (Schedl et al. 2006); batches of 300-600 males in 300 µL of M9 buffer with 10 µM MitoTracker Red CMXRos in a watch glass. We incubated males in the dark for two hours, then transferred males with a glass pipette to plates with food and allowed them to recover overnight before mating with age-matched virgin hermaphrodites. Following mating, the fluorescently stained sperm are visible inside the body of unstained hermaphrodites (Ting et al. 2014).

To increase mating success, we immobilized *C. elegans* hermaphrodites in batches of 40-60 adult hermaphrodites anesthetized in a 0.2 mL tube with 80 µL of 0.1% tricaine and 0.01% tetramisole hydrochloride in M9 buffer for ~45 min (Kirby et al. 1990; Mcnater et al. 1997). Anesthesia does not affect sperm motility (Kubagawa et al. 2006). We then transferred hermaphrodites with a glass pipette onto a 55 mm diameter NGM-lite plate to allow excess anesthetic to soak into the plate to avoid carry-over to the mating plate. Finally, we picked 10-20 individuals onto a 10 mm diameter bacterial spot (*E. coli* OP50) on a 35 mm diameter NGM-lite plate with stained males in 1:3 hermaphrodite: male ratio. Males were allowed to mate for ~70 min, after which hermaphrodites were moved onto a fresh 35 mm diameter Petri dish with food and 20 µL of M9 buffer was added onto the hermaphrodites to aid in their recovery from the anesthesia (Mcnater et al. 1999). A pilot experiment demonstrated that our use of anesthesia to improve mating success also tended to elevate the incidence of sperm invasion, albeit not significantly so (conspicuous wt incidence 3/110 = 2.7% non-anesthetized vs. 8/93 = 8.6% anesthetized, Fisher exact test *P* = 0.12; heterospecific wt incidence 18/35 = 51.4% non-anesthetized vs. 50/71 = 70.4% anesthetized, Fisher exact test *P* = 0.084). Our use of anesthesia, thus, should if anything enhance the sensitivity of our assay to quantify sperm cell invasion.

After mating, we quantified the location and abundance of sperm cells for each genotype that had been mated either to conspecific *C. elegans* males or to heterospecific *C. nigoni* males. First, we incubated mated hermaphrodites for 6 h at 20°C and then mounted them on 5% agarose pads on glass microscope slides, immobilized with 2 µL of 50 mM sodium azide (NaN3), and protected from rapid desiccation with a glass cover slip. Using an Olympus BX51 fluorescent compound microscope (40X magnification), we recorded the presence or absence of stained male sperm in six regions of the body (Figure 1A) (Edmonds et al. 2011). Three regions represent non-ectopic zones (proximal uterus, distal uterus, and spermatheca), and three regions indicate
Table 1 Mutant C. elegans genotypes assayed for ectopic sperm presence

| Gene (allele) | Strain | Protein | Phenotype group** |
|---------------|--------|---------|------------------|
| wt (+)        | N2     | n/a     | wildtype         |
| ceh-18 (mg57) | GR1034 | homeodomain transcription factor | attraction + ovulation |
| ceh-18 (mg57); fog-2 (q71) | DG1604 | homeodomain transcription factor | attraction + ovulation |
| daf-2 (e1370) | CB1370 | insulin/IGF receptor tyrosine kinase | attraction + ovulation |
| dpl-1 (n31)6 | MT9940 | DP transcriptional regulator | ovulation |
| eff-1 (n3639) | MT11691 | EZF transcription factor | ovulation |
| egg-1 (tm1071) | AD186 | LDL-receptor | attraction + fertilization + ovulation |
| fat-1 (wa9) | BX24 | omega-3 fatty acyl desaturase | attraction |
| fat-1 (wa9); fat-4 (wa14) | BX52 | omega-3 and delta-5 desaturases | attraction |
| fat-2 (wa17) | BX26 | delta-12 fatty acyl desaturase | attraction + ovulation |
| fat-3 (wa22) | BX30 | delta-6 fatty acid desaturase | attraction |
| fat-4 (wa14) | BX17 | delta-5 fatty acid desaturase | attraction |
| gst-4 (ok2358) | RB1823 | GSH-dependent prostaglandin D synthase | attraction + ovulation |
| inx-14 (ag17) | AU98 | innexin gap junction channel | attraction + ovulation |
| ipp-5 (sy605) | PS3653 | inositol 5-phosphatase | ovulation |
| itr-1 (sa73) | JT73 | inositol (1,4,5) triphosphate receptor | ovulation |
| itr-1 (sy327) | PS2368 | inositol (1,4,5) triphosphate receptor | ovulation |
| oma-1 (zu405ste33); oma-2 (te51) | TX183 | TIS11 zinc finger protein | ovulation |
| plc-1 (rx1) | PS4112 | phospholipase C | ovulation |
| rme-2 (b1008) | DH1390 | LDL-receptor | attraction + ovulation |
| spe-41 (sy693) | PS4330 | Ca+ TRPC channel | fertilization |
| spe-42 (tn1231) | SL1138 | novel 7-pass transmembrane protein | fertilization |
| spe-9 (hc88) | BA671 | EGF repeat-containing protein | fertilization |
| spv-1 (ok1498) | RB1353 | F-BAR/RhoGAP protein | ovulation |
| vab-1 (dx31) | CZ337 | ephrin receptor | ovulation |
| vab-1 (dx31); fog-2 (q71) | DG1612 | ephrin receptor | ovulation |

*genotype of full genetic background in Supplementary Table S1; **'ovulation = defects in sheath cell development or contractions, ovulation, oogenesis or oocyte maturation, sperm sensing, endomitotic oocytes, spermathecal valve constriction or contractions; attraction = defects in fatty acid synthesis, sperm migration toward oocytes, sperm retention; fertilization = defects in fertilization upon gamete contact.

Ectopic sperm presence (proximal half of the gonad arm, distal half of the gonad arm, soma outside of the gonad and reproductive tract; Figure 1A). We quantified the ‘abundance’ of sperm localized to each region in each individual as: 0) ‘none’, no sperm in the region, 1) ‘low’, 1-5 sperm, 2) ‘medium’, <50 sperm (bright fluorescent signal, patchy distribution), and 3) ‘high’, ≥50 sperm (very bright fluorescent signal, continuous distribution). We consider the sperm invasion to be ‘severe’ whenever we observed any sperm outside of the gonad and reproductive tract (Figure 1), which was usually accompanied by sperm being present in other ectopic locations as well. Thus, the severity index for a given genotype corresponds to the percentage of mated hermaphrodites with ectopic sperm in locations outside the gonad and reproductive tract. We completed screening across 10 days in a one month period, where on each day N2 control matings were always present (n > 4 for each conspecific and heterospecific matings). No block effect was detected for the wildtype strain (ANOVA: F9, 154 = 0.748, P = 0.66), so we combined the data in subsequent analyses.

Statistical analyses
We compared the incidence of sperm invasion for each C. elegans mutant genotype to the N2 wildtype when mated to either conspecific or heterospecific males, first by conducting an omnibus contingency table χ2-test for heterogeneity across the 26 strains and then applying a Dunnett’s test for binary data with multiple treatments and a single control (Chuang-Stein and Tong 1995). In order to assess similarity of responses for the ‘amount’ of sperm localized to different regions of the body, we applied a clustering analysis separately for conspecific and heterospecific sperm, using the Bioinformatics Toolbox clustergram function in MATLAB (Mathworks 2017). Using sperm abundances (none, low, medium, high) in each region of the body (region 1-6; Figure 1), each genetic mutant generated a 24 dimensional data point (4 ‘amounts’ x 6 regions). We then calculated a Euclidian distance matrix between pairs of data points, which we treated as a similarity matrix that we then standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Finally, we applied the UPGMA bottom-up hierarchical clustering method to create the agglomerative hierarchical dendrograms relating the similarity of sperm localization across genotypes.

Data availability
Strains are available from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center. The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, tables and supplementary files. Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.7212530.

RESULTS
Profiles of sperm cell invasion imply divergence in reproductive traits
To explore possible mechanisms associated with female susceptibility to ectopic migration of male sperm, we characterized 25 genetic disruptions in C. elegans that we hypothesized might influence sperm invasion. We screened the mutant strains of C. elegans, along with the wildtype N2 strain, for presence of ectopic male sperm in distinct regions of the body of mated hermaphrodites, contrasting animals that had mated to conspecific C. elegans males or to heterospecific C. nigoni males. We assessed the extent of sperm invasion in terms of overall ‘incidence'
as the fraction of animals with any ectopic sperm present, with its ‘severity’ measured by the number of ectopic locations with sperm present, which we also quantified in more detail with the ‘abundance’ of invasive sperm in each ectopic region.

Mutant strains differed significantly from one another in the incidence of ectopic sperm from both conspecific C. elegans males ($\chi^2 = 105.9, df = 25, P < 0.0001$) and from heterospecific C. nigoni males ($\chi^2 = 76.2, df = 25, P < 0.0001$). We found that wildtype C. elegans hermaphrodites had a substantially higher incidence of ectopic sperm when mated to heterospecific C. nigoni males (70% of individuals with ectopic sperm) than when mated to males of their own species (8.6%, $\chi^2 = 67.315, df = 1, P \leq 0.001$; Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1), consistent with previous work (Ting et al. 2014). Mutant strains also exhibited more ectopic sperm overall in heterospecific matings than in conspecific matings (with the exception of inx-1; Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1): heterospecific sperm were observed in ectopic locations in at least 40% of individuals across all genotypes, whereas just two mutant strains approached a comparable incidence of ectopic sperm migration from males of their own species (oma-1,2 and vab-1;fog-2; Figure 1). Interestingly, the incidence of ectopic sperm did not correlate across genotypes for conspecific vs. heterospecific matings (Spearman’s $p = 0.21$, df = 24, $P = 0.3$; Figure 1), implying partial decoupling of how genetic perturbations confer sensitivity to conspecific vs. heterospecific sperm.

Despite the relative rarity of ectopic sperm from conspecific males, four mutants showed a significantly higher incidence of sperm invasion compared to wildtype (oma-1,2 45% higher, vab-1;fog-2 31% higher, inr-1(sa73) 23% higher; fat-2 19% higher; Figure 1B). All four of these mutants exhibit ovulation-related defects, as would be predicted if the ability of sperm to migrate ectopically is influenced by structural or mechanical aspects of oocyte release. These observations suggest that the genetic pathways underlying the ovulatory process may be essential for female protection against the costs of mating with males of their own species.

When mated to heterospecific males, four other mutants showed ectopic sperm incidence that was $>20\%$ higher than wildtype (gst-4, spe-9, daf-2, and ceh-18; Figure 1C). Knockout of both fat-1 and inx-14 compromise sperm guidance to the spermathecae (Kubagawa et al. 2006; Edmonds et al. 2011), so the tendency for ectopic migration to be reduced in these genetic backgrounds is consistent with sperm attraction to the spermathecae being crucial for the initiation of sperm invasion.

In some cases, we observed parallel effects of heterospecific and conspecific sperm on the severity of sperm invasion, such as for the three mutants that conferred the most extreme incidence of conspecific ectopic sperm that also tended to increase the incidence heterospecific sperm invasion (inr-1(sa73) 18% higher, vab-1;fog-2 11% higher, and oma-1,2 12% higher; Figure 1D). Similarly, the two mutants with most extreme incidence of ectopic heterospecific sperm also tended to increase the ectopic sperm incidence after conspecific matings (daf-2 and ceh-18; Figure 1D). These parallel effects of both conspecific and heterospecific sperm imply that sperm invasion is controlled, in part, by overall sensitivity of the female reproductive tract to ectopic migration in similar ways to any source of sperm.

By contrast to these mutant strains with parallel effects of conspecific and heterospecific sperm, three mutant genotypes showed opposing trends of ectopic sperm migration. In the case of the fat-2 mutant that disrupts oocyte secretion of sperm chemotactants and exhibits spermathecal valve dilation (Kubagawa et al. 2006), we observed a pattern of higher conspecific but lower heterospecific sperm invasion than wildtype comparators (Figure 1D). Reciprocally, spe-41 and spe-42 showed a trend of reduced ectopic sperm incidence for conspecific sperm vs. elevated incidence for heterospecific sperm (Figure 1D). These conflicting effects of conspecific vs. heterospecific sperm imply that sperm invasion also is partly controlled by species-specific interactions of sperm with the reproductive tract, with contrasting outcomes for different pairings. Taken together, these findings support the idea that evolutionary divergence between species in some traits of male sperm and female reproductive tracts may modulate the propensity for ectopic sperm migration.

**Severity of sperm invasion is distinct from incidence**

To assess in more detail the severity of how sperm invasion manifests, we quantified ectopic sperm presence in different regions of the hermaphrodite (‘female’) body. We scored severity based on the extent of spread of sperm through the body, ranging from contained within either the proximal or distal gonad, to spreading beyond the gonad into the body cavity (Figure 2A). In particular, we observed ‘severe’ ectopic migration by heterospecific C. nigoni sperm into the body cavity or somatic tissue outside the gonad altogether for nearly half of the 70% of wildtype C. elegans individuals that showed at least some degree of sperm invasion (i.e., severity = 46%; Figure 2). Across the 25 mutant genotypes, heterospecific ectopic sperm also often localized outside the gonad, leading to significant variation in severity scores across genotypes that ranged from 24% (pla-1) to 81% (sceh-18;fog-2) of individuals (x$^2 = 67.97, df = 25, P < 0.0001$; Figure 2C). Three mutants showed especially high severity, with heterospecific ectopic sperm occurring in the body cavity in 71–79% of mated individuals (egg-1, spe-9, rme-2; Figure 2C). By contrast, heterospecific sperm rarely invaded the body cavity for four fat mutants, showing unusually low severity of 27–32% (Figure 2C).

For those animals in which we found any ectopic heterospecific sperm, it most frequently localized to all three ectopic regions (proximal gonad, distal gonad, body cavity; Figure 2C).

By contrast to severity induced from heterospecific C. nigoni sperm, in those 9% of wildtype individuals that exhibited ectopic sperm from males of their own species, we found less severe localization patterns with the ectopic sperm cells localized solely to the proximal gonad 50% of the time, and with just 2 of the 8 individuals having sperm cells present outside the gonad (severity = 25%; Figure 2B). Indeed, mutant strains did not differ significantly from one another in degree of severe invasion of sperm from conspecific C. elegans males (x$^2 = 33.8, df = 25, P = 0.11$; Figure 2B).

Plots of ectopic sperm ‘incidence’ vs. ‘severity’ highlight those mutant genotypes with unusual combinations of these two measures of sensitivity to sperm invasion relative to what is observed in wildtype individuals (Figure 3A). Incidence and severity provide complementary information about ectopic sperm migration, with their partial independence demonstrated with the lack of an overall correlation across genotypes between incidence and severity for both heterospecific and conspecific sperm invasion (Figure 3B). Nevertheless, we identified 10 mutants that had trends of both higher incidence of heterospecific sperm invasion and greater severity compared to wildtype, the most extreme examples being ceh-18;fog-2, daf-2 and spe-9 (Figure 3A). We also observed five mutants with the opposite trend, with tendencies for both lower severity and incidence of ectopic heterospecific sperm compared to wildtype, including four fat mutants (fat-1, fat-2, fat-3, and fat-1; Figure 3A).

Interestingly, seven mutants exhibited a tendency for a higher incidence of sperm invasion and yet less severe locations of ectopic sperm occurrence, relative to wildtype (Figure 3A). Six of these seven...
genotypes show defects in spermathecal contractions and/or ovulation, the two most extreme examples being \textit{plc-1} and \textit{ceh-18} (Figure 3A). Notably, both of the \textit{ceh-18} mutant genetic backgrounds that we analyzed exhibited unusual ectopic sperm invasion profiles, but in different ways: in a \textit{fog-2} genetic background, \textit{ceh-18} showed both high severity and incidence whereas the severity tended to actually be lower than wildtype when the \textit{fog-2} gene was functional (Figure 3A). Lastly, we identified three mutants that tended to experience less sperm invasion than wildtype overall, yet exhibited more extreme severity in terms of ectopic locations (\textit{rme-2}, \textit{egg-1}, \textit{inx-14}; Figure 3A). These disproportionate sensitivities to the incidence of ectopic sperm or to the severity of sperm invasion imply that these two

Figure 2 Sperm mislocalization in ectopic regions of \textit{C. elegans} hermaphrodites. (A) Severity of sperm mislocalization was characterized for combinations of distinct ectopic regions, including the proximal and distal gonad arms as well as somatic zones of the hermaphrodite body outside of the reproductive tract altogether (see Figure 1). Individuals were considered ‘severely invaded’ when sperm was found in the soma and at least one other ectopic region. (B) Stacked bars indicate for eight different gene mutants the cumulative percentage of individual hermaphrodites with conspecific \textit{C. elegans} male sperm mislocalized into the different ectopic regions. The other 17 mutant strains had fewer than five individuals with conspecific ectopic sperm, and were excluded from severity analysis. (C) Cumulative percentage of individual hermaphrodites with heterospecific \textit{C. nigoni} male sperm mislocalized into the different ectopic regions of \textit{C. elegans} hermaphrodites varies significantly across mutant genotypes ($\chi^2 = 67.97$, df = 25, $P < 0.0001$). Numbers above each bar in (B) and (C) indicate the percentage of severely invaded individuals among those with non-zero incidence of ectopic sperm. Numbers at the bottom of bars in (B) and (C) indicate sample size of individuals with ectopic sperm that allowed calculation of severity. Post-hoc statistical comparisons to wildtype identified no individual mutants after multiple test correction despite significant overall differences across strains (Dunnett’s test $\alpha = 0.05$). Mutant strain names are colored to indicate functional phenotypic effects as in Figure 1. Sperm localization for non-ectopic regions is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.
aspects of ectopic sperm migration can be partly separated with distinct genetic perturbations to the female reproductive tract.

When we quantified sperm incidence in non-ectopic regions, we found both conspecific and heterospecific sperm most often to be present throughout both distal and proximal zones of the uterus and in the spermathecae (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure S2).

**Genotype-phenotype clustering of sperm abundance profiles**

Finally, we assessed the ‘abundance’ of sperm invasion by a semi-quantitative measure of the number of sperm (‘none’ to ‘high’ with ≥50 sperm cells) that localized to each of six regions of the body of mated individuals for each mutant genotype (Figure 4C). The distal uterus more often contained ‘high’ sperm abundance (both conspecific and heterospecific) compared to other regions, whereas no ectopic location exhibited ‘high’ sperm abundance in our assays 6 h post-mating, even for heterospecific sperm (Figure 4C; Supplementary Figure S3). We applied a clustering algorithm to group genotypes with similar profiles of sperm localization and abundance to distill these metrics into graphical summaries (Figure 4A-B).

This clustering defined six distinct groups of genotypes when mated to *C. nigoni*, with one mutant strain exhibiting a unique profile characterized by especially low incidence and abundance of ectopic sperm (*inx-14*; Figure 4A). The five mutants that fell into the same cluster as the wildtype also occupied a similar region of interspecies severity × incidence space near the wildtype genotype (Figure 3A cf. Figure 4A).

The three clusters of nine mutants (plus *inx-14*) that showed high dissimilarity to the wildtype also tended to be distant to wildtype in interspecies severity × incidence space, exhibiting especially high or especially low prevalence of ectopic sperm (Figure 3A cf. Figure 4A).

When mated to conspecific males, most mutants fell into two main clusters (Figure 4B). Eight mutants grouped with the wildtype profile of sperm localization and abundance, which included none of the *fat* mutants (Figure 4B). The nine mutants that were most dissimilar to wildtype did not fall into highly distinctive clusters of more than two strains for the conspecific sperm localization profiles (Figure 4B; Supplementary Figure S4). Overall, the multidimensional phenotypic clustering analysis reinforces the trends in ectopic sperm incidence and severity, while identifying affinities among mutant genotypes in sperm migration profiles not evident in those simple metrics (e.g., proximity of the heterospecific clusters containing *fat-3* and *spe-42*; Figure 4A).

**DISCUSSION**

We characterized the susceptibility of wildtype *C. elegans* and 25 mutants to sperm cell invasion: the incidence, severity, and abundance of sperm migration into ectopic locations beyond the reproductive tract. We captured the complementary contributions of these genes to distinct aspects of sperm migration by analyzing multiple metrics of sperm invasiveness, identifying factors related to species-specific sperm migration from the contrasting responses of mutant strains when mated to conspecific vs. heterospecific partners. These experiments provide a crucial basis for understanding the mechanisms and genetics that underpin the evolution of gametic reproductive isolation (Noor and Feder...
2006; Nosil and Schluter 2011), for Caenorhabditis nematodes in particular (Ting et al. 2014). We found that genetic perturbations contribute to distinct responses of sperm invasion when sperm originated from conspecific vs. heterospecific males. Genetic disruptions generally led to an elevated incidence of ectopic sperm localization, but some mutants that altered between-gamete communication instead tended to reduce the incidence and severity of ectopic sperm migration. We identify two mechanisms in particular that provide strong candidates for modulating the incidence and severity of ectopic sperm localization profiles: sperm chemical attraction defects lead to decreases, and ovulation defects increases, in the incidence and severity of sperm invasion.

Across genotypes, we observed that the incidence of ectopic sperm migration does not necessarily determine its severity. This result indicates that genetic perturbations to hermaphrodites (‘females’) can lead to independent consequences for i) how likely it is that male sperm will be able to migrate to ectopic locations at all and ii) how extensively different ectopic locations will be infiltrated. Moreover, the susceptibility of C. elegans hermaphrodites to sperm invasion from males of their own species or from another species (C. nigoni) also was uncorrelated across mutant genotypes overall. This finding of species-specific sensitivity to sperm invasion supports the idea that the distinct evolutionary trajectories of C. elegans and C. nigoni gave rise to divergent sperm-reproductive tract interactions, driving distinct hermaphrodite and female susceptibility to ectopic sperm migration. Theory predicts females to be more capable of resisting the costs of mating to males with which they coevolved (Parker and Partridge 1998; Panhuis et al. 2001; Chapman et al. 2003). Sexually-antagonistic co-evolution within species that drives divergent trait and molecular evolution among species provides a prime possible explanation for the distinct phenotypic consequences quantified in our experiments (Rice 1996; Markow 1997; Rice and Holland 1997; Stockley 1997; Eady 2001; Arnqvist and Rowe 2005).

**Sperm attraction defects and reduced sperm invasion**

We were particularly interested in determining ectopic sperm profiles for mutants in the fat gene family, as these mutants are defective in conspecific sperm guidance by virtue of disrupting polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) synthesis, the chemical precursors to oocyte-secreted F-class prostaglandin signaling molecules that direct sperm toward mature oocytes (Watts and Browse 2002; Kubagawa et al. 2006; Han et al. 2010). If prostaglandin-based sperm chemotaxis is conserved across species, then mutant strains with similar phenotypic responses in terms of the location and abundance of heterospecific C. nigoni male sperm in different body regions of hermaphrodite C. elegans (regions defined as in Figure 1). (B) Hierarchical clustering for profiles of sperm location and abundance for conspecific C. elegans male sperm in distinct body regions of hermaphrodites. Branchlengths in the dendrograms correspond to standardized phenotypic distance between mutant strains, which is depicted among all pairs of strains in the heat map as high similarity of sperm profile in green to high dissimilarity in red. Phenotypic clusters are outlined in yellow and mutant strain names are colored to indicate functional phenotypic effects as in Figure 1. (C) Stacked bars depict the cumulative relative abundance of heterospecific C. nigoni sperm observed in each region of the body as the proportion of mated hermaphrodites for wildtype strain N2 and five mutant strains (sperm abundances for all strains shown in Supplementary Figure S3; sperm abundances from conspecific matings for all strains shown in Supplementary Figure S4). Sperm abundance in each region for a given individual ranged from none (white) to high ($\geq 50$ sperm present, black), assessed for the three non-ectopic regions and the three ectopic regions defined in Figure 1. Mutant strain names are colored to indicate functional phenotypic effects as in Figure 1.

**Figure 4** Phenotypic clustering of sperm abundance and distribution across mutant genotypes. (A) Hierarchical clustering groups together
we expected that the loss of these signals would lead to reduced invasion by both conspecific and heterospecific male sperm; previous research suggested the possibility that a high density of sperm in the spermatheca is a key precursor to ectopic migration (Ting et al. 2014). Indeed, most fat mutants we tested tended to show both lower incidence and lower severity of sperm invasion by males of C. nigoni as well as C. elegans (fat-1, fat-1fat-4, fat-2, fat-3; Figure 3A). These findings are consistent with sperm chemotaxis and sperm-oocyte signaling providing essential contributions to gametic isolation in Caenorhabditis.

We might further explore the individual ectopic sperm phenotypes of the fat mutants with an examination of the consequences of different mutations in the PUFA synthesis cascade. Briefly, fat-1 mutants fail to produce omega-3 (n-3) PUFAs, fat-2 mutants fail to produce Δ12-desaturase required to initiate PUFA synthesis, fat-3 mutants lack Δ6 desaturase activity required to produce PUFAs, and fat-4 mutants are defective in synthesizing Δ5 unsaturated fatty acids (Watts and Browse 2002; Han et al. 2010). Moreover, disruption of rme-2 leads to yolk accumulation in the pseudocoelom rather than getting transported to oocytes (Grant and Hirsh 1999), and yolk is where n-3 and n-6 PUFAs accumulate (Kubagawa et al. 2006). We hypothesize that this mislocalization of yolk that contains sperm chemotactically cues leads to the pattern of extreme severity of heterospecific sperm invasion for rme-2 mutants, but only when sperm have first migrated ectopically. Perhaps the endocytic trafficking of yolk from soma to germline represents a key vulnerability of females for ectopic sperm migration beyond the reproductive tract. We also found fat-1 mutants to induce the greatest difference from wildtype when faced with sperm from heterospecific C. nigoni (Figure 3A), despite the lack of conspecific influence on sperm motility (Kubagawa et al. 2006); this result suggests that n-3 PUFA synthesis may be more crucial for C. nigoni sperm chemotaxis than for C. elegans sperm chemotaxis. Conversely, mutation to fat-4 conferred the weakest effect on ectopic sperm migration, also eliciting minimal disruption to normal sperm taxis (Kubagawa et al. 2006), suggesting that Δ5 unsaturated fatty acids may be less important in regulating sperm chemotraction and ectopic migration for both C. elegans and C. nigoni. These general observations from our mutant library motivate future fine-scale characterization of the pathways associated with sperm chemotaxis in ectopic sperm migration.

More generally, these findings suggest that divergence among species in the chemical constituents or stoichiometry of prostaglandin molecules and their PUFA precursors could play a key role in defining the likelihood and severity of ectopic sperm migration. Indeed, at least 10 chemically-related prostaglandin compounds collectively contribute to sperm guidance in C. elegans (Hoang et al. 2013), implicating ample scope for divergence across species. It remains an important goal to understand which components of sperm guidance may be conserved across species and which evolve species-specific roles. Recent work also shows that chemosensory cues from the external environment encountered by females and hermaphrodites, as well as their starvation state, can modulate female production of sperm chemoeffectants that they secrete in their reproductive tract (Kubagawa et al. 2006; Mcknight et al. 2014). Exogenous factors experienced by males also can influence sperm migration ability (Hoang and Miller 2017). The evolution of unique combinations of sperm chemoeffectants or environmental dependence in different species could potentially contribute to the observed heterogeneity in ectopic sperm migration severity when distinct pairs of species interact (Ting et al. 2014).

Ovulation defects and elevated sperm invasion

In contrast to cell signaling-related defects, we predicted greater incidences of sperm invasion would result from ovulation defects. Ovulation normally begins with the contractions of gonadal sheath cells and the dilation of the distal spermathecal valve, which pulls the distal spermatheca over the most proximal mature (“1”) oocyte (Schedl 1997). The distal part of the spermatheca subsequently constricts, holding the oocyte in the spermatheca for fertilization, followed by initiation of eggshell synthesis (Ward and Carrel 1979; Schedl 1997). The fertilized egg then exits into the uterus within five minutes of the start of ovulation, aided by dilation of the valve between the spermatheca and the uterus (Mccarter et al. 1999). In wildtype C. elegans, one oocyte is ovulated and fertilized at a time in an assembly line fashion, repeating every ~23 min (Schedl 1997; Mccarter et al. 1999).

Our findings suggest that disruptions to different phases of the process of ovulation may enable ectopic sperm migration. For example, we observed severe invasion of heterospecific sperm in itr-1(sa73) mutants, which have been shown to exhibit continuous dilation and constriction of the spermatheca during ovulation, leading to oocyte tearing (Bui and Sternberg 2002; Yin et al. 2004). We propose that this process likely enhances the opportunity for sperm accumulated in the spermatheca to migrate into the proximal gonad if fragments of the torn oocyte prevent the spermatheca from completely constricting. Similarly, fat-2 mutants are known to exhibit inappropriate spermathecal valve dilation during ovulation, resulting in missmated eggs (Kubagawa et al. 2006; Edmonds et al. 2010). In our experiments, fat-2 mutants were more susceptible to conspecific but not heterospecific ectopic sperm than wildtype in spite of the sperm guidance cue defects also induced by fat-2 (Kubagawa et al. 2006; Edmonds et al. 2010), suggesting that maintenance of appropriate ovulation cues may be especially important for protection from ectopic conspecific sperm migration.

Regulation of spermathecal valve dilation and constriction is unlikely to be the only factor contributing to increased sperm invasiveness, however. For example, three mutants with defects in this process only exhibited unusual patterns of sperm invasion when mated to C. nigoni but not when mated to conspecific males (daf-2, rme-2, and gst-4; (Grant and Hirsh 1999; Edmonds et al. 2010)). Moreover, gonadal sheath cell contractions distal to the spermatheca are slower than wildtype in vab-1;fat-2 mutants, leading to delayed ovulation (Miller et al. 2003), and ovulation from the gonad in oma-1,2 mutants fails to occur altogether (Detwiler et al. 2001). Indeed, the incidence of ectopic sperm tends to positively coincide with the degree of ovulation defect among the four mutants we found to have individually significant increases in the incidence of conspecific sperm invasion (fat-2, itr-1(sa73), oma-1,2, and vab-1;fat-2; Figure 1, Figure 3). The six mutants with the most extreme incidence and severity of sperm invasion from heterospecific C. nigoni males also commonly conferred ovulation defects (itr-1(sa73), daf-2, gsr-4, ceh-18;fat-2, spe-41, and spe-9; Figure 1, Figure 3).

Curiously, we observed contrasting patterns of sperm invasion in genetically distinct strains that both contained the ceh-18(mg57) mutation. ceh-18(mg57) conferred delayed ovulation and slow, weak and uncoordinated sheath cell contractions compared to wildtype (Rose et al. 1997), but one mutant strain also contained fog-2(q71) in the genetic background which eliminates self-sperm production (Schedl and Kimble 1988). We observed nearly 50% more extreme sperm invasion for ceh-18;fog-2 than for ceh-18 alone (Figure 3). Because the fog-2 genetic background prevents hermaphrodites from making their own self-sperm, this fog-2-dependent effect of sperm invasion on ceh-18 mutants suggests that production of self-sperm may help to protect the gonad from ectopic migration of heterospecific sperm. We hypothesized that such protection might result as a byproduct of self-sperm triggering ovulation and mechanical oocyte cell movement within the female germline prior to arrival of aggressive heterospecific sperm. Mutations to hermaphrodite sperm-associated genes spe-41 and
spc-42 also lead to self-sterility, like fog-2, which may explain the tendency for elevated incidence and/or severity of ectopic sperm migration from both conspecific and heterospecific males for these mutants as well, although spc-41 hermaphrodites ovulate similar to wildtype (Xu and Sternberg 2003).

To explore the possibility of self-sperm priming further, we conducted a follow-up experiment to contrast wildtype vs. fog-2(q71) ‘females’ in the absence of additional mutations, when mated to conspecific or heterospecific C. nigoni males. While fog-2(q71) individuals showed nominally higher than wildtype incidence of ectopic conspecific sperm (11/87 = 12.6% vs. 6/77 = 7.8%), we observed no statistically significant difference for either conspecific or heterospecific ectopic sperm migration (Fisher Exact Test (FET) P = 0.23; heterospecific ectopic incidence in fog-2 39/51 = 76%, vs wt 39/49 = 80%, FET P = 0.73). Severity scores also were not statistically distinguishable for wildtype and fog-2 strains (conspecific fog-2 4/11 vs. wt 4/6 FET P = 0.96, heterospecific fog-2 26/39 vs. wt 25/39, FET P = 0.50). Consequently, priming by self-sperm may not play a major role or may only affect particular contexts, as we also did not see much more ectopic sperm migration for either vab-1 or vab-lfog-2 relative to wildtype (Figure 3), where VAB-1 is the ephrin receptor for the sperm-derived MSP trigger of ovulation (Miller et al. 2003).

We also observed unusually high incidence and severity of ectopic sperm migration in spc-9(hcb88) mutants, which exhibit partial sperm-associated self-sterility at the semi-permissive temperature of 20° used in our study (L’Hernault et al. 1988; Singson et al. 1998). The non-functional self-sperm nevertheless induce oocyte maturation and ovulation (Singson et al. 1998), however, so it remains unclear what mechanism might facilitate increased sperm invasion in spc-9(hcb88). Disruption of ceh-16 also leads to oocyte endomitosis (McCarter et al. 1997), such that oocytes fail to ovulate and undergo multiple rounds of endomitotic DNA replication, resulting in polyploid oocytes that remain in the gonad arm (Iwasaki et al. 1996). The accumulation of endomitotic oocytes might also lead to accumulation of secreted sperm-guidance cues and promote ectopic sperm migration.

Our screen of perturbed genotypes shows that disrupted ovulation can contribute to the incidence and severity of ectopic sperm migration from inter-species matings, but what implications does this have for natural variation and divergence among species? Species of Caenorhabditis differ substantially in ovulation rate, potential for self-sperm-induced ovulation, egg retention and egg size (Nigon and Dougherty 1949; Farhadifar et al. 2015). Consequently, evolutionary change to ovulation dynamics across the phylogeny contributes a viable source of species differences in female susceptibility to ectopic sperm migration (Ting et al. 2014).

Male vs. female contributions to divergent gametic interactions

Successful fertilization within Caenorhabditis is attributed largely to sperm chemotaxis, which we expect to be influenced by both oocyte signaling as well as sperm competitive ability. Our experiments focused on female factors that modulate sperm invasion, but genetic differences among males also are important to understanding ectopic sperm migration. Alleles of comp-1, srb-13, and mss genes that influence sperm competitive ability or guidance in Caenorhabditis provide clear candidates for exploring the sperm-oriented perspective (Edmonds et al. 2010; Hansen et al. 2015; Yin et al. 2018). Similarly, members of the msp multi-gene family are important in sperm signaling to oocytes (Miller et al. 2001). For example, high concentrations of MSP induce shear cell hypercontraction in C. elegans and the conserved 20 amino acids at the C-terminus of MSP exert cross-species capability of inducing sheath cell contractions (Miller et al. 2001), suggesting that exceptional concentrations of MSP released into females by males of another species could promote ectopic sperm migration. Given the rapid evolution of sperm traits and sequences for sperm-related genes (Cutter and Ward 2005; Artieri et al. 2008; Viele et al. 2016; Yin et al. 2018), we anticipate that such changes will prove important to understanding the variation among species in ectopic sperm migration patterns.

Unknown molecules on the sperm surface or in the seminal fluid might also enable sperm to breach membranes of the female reproductive tract. For example, sperm lysozyme in abalone creates a hole for sperm passage in the vitelline envelope surrounding the egg to allow fertilization (Kresge et al. 2001). In Ascaris nematodes, the vitelline layer is present on oocytes in the ovudict (Ford 1967), so penetration of a vitelline-like layer on the surface of the oocyte by Caenorhabditis sperm could predispose them to perforating other cell types. Sperm-egg fusion in Caenorhabditis, however, remains enigmatic (Stein and Golden 2015), making it difficult to confidently ascribe the inherent properties of sperm cells in fertilization to their potential to penetrate other cell types. Parallels with pathogenesis also might be informative: the Caenorhabditis intracellular microsporidian parasite Nematocepa parisii invades intestinal cells by traversing cell membranes (Troemel et al. 2008). Sperm cells from males of another species effectively act as a sexually-transmitted pathogen inside a foreign dead-end host (Levin and Bull 1994; Woolhouse et al. 2001). Future studies of what genetic factors promote or prevent sperm invasion from the perspective of sperm will be crucial for elucidating a full understanding of the causes and consequences of ectopic sperm migration in the evolution of gametic reproductive interference and reproductive isolation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jonathan Schneider for conducting the cluster analysis. We thank Robert Horvitz, from Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for providing strains and the CGC for providing strains (CGC funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs: P40 OD010440). Two anonymous reviewers provided valuable constructive feedback. ADC is supported by funds from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

LITERATURE CITED

Artieri, C. G., W. Haerty, B. P. Gupta, and R. S. Singh, 2008 Sexual selection and maintenance of sex: evidence from comparisons of rates of genomic accumulation of mutations and divergence of sex-related genes in sexual and hermaphroditic species of Caenorhabditis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25: 972–979. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss046

Baird, S. E., 2001 Strain-specific variation in the pattern of caudal papillae in Caenorhabditis briggsae (Nematoda: Rhabditidae); implications for species identification. Nematology 3: 373–376. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854101317020925

Boughman, J. W., 2002 How sensory drive can promote speciation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 17: 571–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02595-8

Bui, Y. K., and P. W. Sternberg, 2002 Caenorhabditis elegans inositol 5-phosphatase homolog negatively regulates inositol 1, 4, 5-triphosphate signaling in ovulation. Mol. Biol. Cell 13: 1641–1651. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.02-01-0008

Chapman, T., G. Artieri, J. Bangham, and L. Rowe, 2003 Sexual conflict. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18: 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)00004-6

Chuang-Stein, C., and D. M. Tong, 1995 Multiple comparisons procedures for comparing several treatments with a control based on binary data. Stat. Med. 14: 2509–2522. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4780142302
