Surface Discharge Mechanism on Epoxy Resin in Electronegative Gases and Its Application
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Abstract: This study presents the surface discharge characteristics of insulating gases, including sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$), dry air, and N$_2$, under a non-uniform field. Surface discharge experiments were conducted, with the gas pressure ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 MPa, on samples of epoxy dielectrics under an AC voltage. The experimental results showed that the surface insulation performance significantly improved in insulating gases possessing electronegative gases, such as SF$_6$ and dry air. Surface flashover voltages of SF$_6$ were saturated with an increasing pressure, compared to dry air and N$_2$. The surface discharge mechanism is proposed to explain the improvement and saturation of dielectric characteristics of the electronegative gas in complex dielectric insulations, as well as its influence on the surface flashover voltage. As an application, an insulation design method is discussed with regards to replacing SF$_6$ gas in high-voltage power equipment based on the knowledge of the physics behind gas discharge.
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1. Introduction

Sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$) plays an important role as an insulating gas in high-voltage power equipment. More than 80% of SF$_6$ produced worldwide is supplied for use in high-voltage gas circuit breakers, gas-insulated switchgears, and gas-insulated lines, due to its exceptional physical and chemical properties, including its high dielectric strength, current interruption performance, thermal conductivity, thermal stability, nonflammability, nontoxicity, and non-explosive characteristics [1–4].

Despite the outperformance of SF$_6$, its use in power equipment is becoming increasingly dangerous owing to its high global warming effect, its long atmospheric lifetime, and the high toxicity of decomposed byproducts that result in many environmental problems. The global warming potential (GWP) of SF$_6$, relative to CO$_2$, over a 100-year time horizon is 23,500. This means that the global warming effect of 1 kg of SF$_6$ gas is equivalent to that of 23.5 tons of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere. Considering that the global annual emission of SF$_6$ was 8100 tons in 2012, which is equivalent to annual greenhouse gas emissions of approximately 185 million tons of CO$_2$, its overall GWP impact is not marginal compared to that of CO$_2$ [5]. Owing to its high greenhouse effect, the European Union intends to reduce the SF$_6$ sales of 2014 to one-fifth by 2030 [6]. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has proposed stricter requirements governing the use of SF$_6$, including phasing out its usage in gas-insulated equipment and further reducing allowable greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from such equipment from 2020 onwards [7]. Moreover, the decomposition products are produced by the electrical and thermal decomposition of SF$_6$ in the presence of other molecules, such as H$_2$O, SiO$_2$, N$_2$, O$_2$, H$_2$, and Ar.
It should be noted that the products containing fluorine and/or sulfur in SF6 decomposition, including as SF2F10, SF4, and hydrofluoric acid (HF), are highly reactive, corrosive, and toxic. These are also potent GHGs [8].

Therefore, to reduce its use, many replacements for SF6 have been investigated for application in high-voltage power equipment. These investigations have focused on using common gases (CO2, N2, and dry air), halogenated gases (CF3I, hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), and perfluorinated compounds (PFCs)), and mixture gases as substitutes for SF6. Their dielectric strength and characteristics have been compared with those of SF6 [9]. In addition to gas insulation, composite insulation has been applied to SF6-free gas-insulated switchgear (GIS), instead of simple dielectric insulations by vacuum, gases, or solid dielectrics [10,11]. Composite insulation is a method of using a solid dielectric and an insulating gas together. However, composite insulation comes with problems of deterioration in the insulation performance of the equipment, due to the use of a solid dielectric. The insulation performance deteriorates owing to the formation of a triple junction or a surface discharge. The triple junction induces a high electric field in the region where an electrode is in contact with both the insulating gas and the solid dielectric. Surface discharge is undesired gas breakdown that occurs on a surface at a high voltage, lower than the breakdown voltage of the insulating gas. This breakdown is attributed to the weak point of the dielectrics used and their interactions within the power equipment [10,12,13].

To design a composite insulation for SF6-free power equipment, it is necessary to investigate the fundamental surface discharge characteristics of the composite insulation and identify its weaknesses. Extensive research has been conducted on the surface discharge mechanism in different materials under different electric fields formed by AC, DC, and impulse voltage [10,14–16]. Béroual et al. [10,14,15] presented the experimental characterization of discharge propagation over insulators such as Bakelite, epoxy, and glass immersed in a single gas or mixture gas, under lightning impulse, DC, and AC voltages. The shape and length of creeping discharge patterns were investigated to understand the capacitive charge effect and electric field influence. Kato et al. [16] conducted experiments under a negative impulse voltage to clarify the surface flashover characteristics based on the existence of surface charges on an alumina insulator in a vacuum. The influence of surface charges on the electric field and the secondary electron emission avalanche were explained. These investigations have succeeded in revealing that the surface charges of insulators influence the surface flashover characteristic.

In order to investigate the occurrence of surface flashover, the effects of the gas medium and its pressure, thickness and dielectric constant of a solid insulator, roughness and shape of the electrode, and applied voltage on gas breakdown have been further explored [3,17–21]. These investigations have reported that surface flashover occurs at the triple junction and in its vicinity in several common and specified factors influencing the surface discharge [19]. Park et al. [3] compared the surface discharge characteristics of different gas media, such as SF6, N2/O2 mixture gases, and imitation air, and explained the important role of the O2 concentration in the N2/O2 mixed gas. Lim et al. [19] conducted a comparative study on SF6 alternative candidate gases for investigating the surface insulation performance of eco-friendly gas, including N2/O2 mixture gas, dry air, and compressed air under AC voltage. Based on the experimental results and the comparative study, the effect of moisture contained in the candidate gases and the correlation among the electric filed intensity, collision-ionization coefficient, electron attachment coefficient, and gap length were discussed. Douar et al. [20] presented the ignition of surface discharges and their propagation governed by repulsion due to electrostatic force and attraction caused by nonlocal photo-ionization.

Before the occurrence of surface flashover, the solid dielectric causes the occurrence of physical phenomena, such as electron emission at a triple junction, surface charge accumulation, photoemission, and a secondary electron emission avalanche (SEEA). These phenomena contribute to electron avalanches and the formation of a conductive channel on the dielectric surface. There are several surface discharge mechanisms, based on electron generation and the high electric field as a physical phenomenon in a vacuum [16,18,22]. However, this physical phenomenon and the detailed progression of surface discharge have not yet been fully understood in compressed gases with
various electronegative gases. This means that prior research dealing with the progression and suppression of surface discharge is rather limited, in terms of the detailed physical processes of electron generation and disappearance in compressed gas. Although the authors have studied the effect of the concentration of \( O_2 \) on surface discharge characteristics in compressed gas in previous works \([3,19]\), many studies on surface discharge seem to be carried out under vacuum or gases with a pressure of 0.3 MPa or less. This research trend has led to a gap in knowledge about the detailed mechanism by which an electronegative gas among high-pressure gases generates electrons during the surface discharge process. This knowledge gap means that the surface insulation design of \( SF_6 \)-free high-voltage equipment with high-pressure compressed gas is still a challenging problem. In this paper, we analyze the effect of \( SF_6 \) and \( O_2 \) in compressed gas on electron generation during the surface discharge progress, and provide useful physical information for the surface insulation design of high-pressure \( SF_6 \)-free high-voltage equipment.

This study describes the surface discharge characteristics of epoxy resin in compressed gases (i.e., \( SF_6 \), dry air, and \( N_2 \)) under a non-uniform electric field. It aims to understand the solid-gas composite insulation characteristics and analyze the effect of secondary electron attachment emitted from the dielectric surface on the surface insulation performance. The reason for the excellent surface insulation performance of electronegative gases (\( SF_6 \) and dry air) is explained in detail in this paper, based on the physics governing the gas discharge and the surface discharge mechanisms.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The experimental setup and experimental procedure are introduced in Section 2. The experimental results of the surface discharge characteristics in compressed gas dielectrics are presented in Section 3. The surface discharge mechanism and the insulation design method of an \( SF_6 \)-alternative insulating gas, based on the effect of the electronegative gases and electron swarm parameters, are discussed in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. Experimental Setup and Method

A parallel needle-plane electrode system enclosed in an experimental gas-insulated switchgear (GIS) filled with different gases was used in this study. The specifications of the electrodes used in this experiment are as follows: The needle electrode (\( N \)) had a diameter of 5 mm and 20° angle of a point (\( \theta \)). The diameter of the plane electrode (\( P \)) was 59 mm. Both electrodes were made of stainless steel and were arranged vertical to each other. The solid dielectric used was made of epoxy resin and was in the form of a disc with a diameter (\( d \)) of 100 mm and a thickness (\( t \)) of 2 mm. The sample of epoxy resin was inserted between the electrodes. The distance between the epoxy dielectric and the needle electrode was zero, and the needle electrode was carefully contacted, without damaging the epoxy surface, since damage to the epoxy surface affects the surface flashover voltage. Figure 1a illustrates a schematic diagram of the experimental electrodes and a solid dielectric arrangement.

The experimental gas-insulated switchgear (GIS) chamber is shown in Figure 1b. This chamber is made of stainless steel of a 20 mm thickness and consists of two layers: An interior part with a diameter of 260 mm, height of 460 mm, and volume of 25 L, and an exterior part with a diameter of 460 mm, height of 500 mm, and volume of 83 L. Two windows were used to observe the inside of the experimental chamber. These windows were made of acryl with a diameter of 110 mm and thickness of 2 mm. The chamber was designed and manufactured to endure a fixed temperature range (\(-90–100^\circ C\)) and pressure up to 1.0 MPa. It was also capable of withstanding an AC voltage of 300 kV. A pressure gauge was installed to measure the pressure inside the chamber. The chamber could be preserved at a pressure of \( 6.67 \times 10^{-2} \) Pa by using a vacuum pump (SINKU KIKO Co., Ltd. Miyazaki, Japan, GUD-050A).
The insulation gases used were SF₆, dry air, and N₂. Dry air was produced by a dry air production device. The device had three types of filters that could reduce impurities and lower the dew point of dry air. The final dew point of dry air produced using this device was less than −60 °C. These gases were pressurized from 0.1 to 0.6 MPa, inside the chamber of the device.

The experiments were performed under AC voltage supplied by a high-voltage generator (DY-106-Korea, AC 300 kV/120 mA) with a voltage rising speed of 3.15 kV/s. As an AC power source is actually used as the operating power of GIS, gas-insulated transmission lines (GIL), and gas circuit breaker (GCB), it is very important to analyze the surface discharge characteristics and mechanisms for the device and equipment working under AC power. After ventilation of the chamber to 6.67 × 10⁻² Pa, each type of gas was inserted and pressurized from 0.1 to 0.6 MPa, inside the chamber. AC voltage was applied to the electrodes at each pressure level that the gases were subject to. Then, the surface flashover voltages were sequentially measured five times. The average value of this measurement is referred to as the mean surface flashover voltage (V_B) in this study. The surface flashover voltages were measured using a high-voltage probe.

3. Experimental Results

Figure 2 illustrates the surface flashover voltages of SF₆, dry air, and N₂, each at a gas pressure ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 MPa. From this, the effect of pressure on the surface flashover voltages and the breakdown voltages can be analyzed. These voltages increase monotonically with an increasing pressure of SF₆, dry air, and N₂. This monotonous rise is attributed to a shorter mean free path with an increasing gas pressure. The short mean free path limits the acceleration of electrons and reduces the number of electrons with high kinetic energy between the electrodes. The limitation and reduction suppress collision ionization and the SEEA, and such suppression results in an increase in the breakdown voltage and surface flashover voltage. An interesting observation in Figure 2 is the surface discharge characteristic of SF₆. The insulation characteristics of electronegative gases such as SF₆, under a non-uniform electric field, are a clear N-shaped breakdown voltage. This N-shape rises after the breakdown voltage decreases with an increase in pressure [23,24]. The N-characteristic has also been observed in N₂/SF₆ mixed gas containing 0.1% SF₆ [24]. However, the N-characteristics were not revealed in this study. The corona stabilization effect due to positive space charges leads to N-shaped properties. It is presumed that the unobserved N-characteristic in this study is due to the weak corona stabilization effect, because of the different experimental conditions employed by other researchers [23,24]. The most evident difference between the references [23,24] and our experiment...

Figure 1. Experimental setup: (a) Scheme of the electrode arrangement and (b) the experimental GIS chamber.
is the presence of a solid dielectric. The existence of the solid dielectric may strongly influence the 
distribution of positive ions between electrodes. The mechanism of surface discharge in our electrode 
system with a solid dielectric would be different from the mechanism of breakdown in an electrode 
system without any dielectric. The dominant mechanism of surface discharge is the SEEa from the solid 
dielectric, while that of breakdown manifests as additional avalanches due to positive space charges.

The solid dielectric placed between electrodes plays an important role as an electron source 
that emits electrons through electron collision and photon irradiation on the surface of the dielectric. 
Therefore, the dielectric leads the electron generation mechanism, including SEEa and photoemission, 
while developing surface discharge over the solid dielectric. In contrast, this electron generation 
mechanism does not occur in the breakdown mechanism under the electrode system without any solid 
dielectric between electrodes.

Figure 2 shows that the surface flashover voltage measured with a solid dielectric is higher than 
the breakdown voltage of the electrode system without any solid dielectric. In general, as the solid 
dielectric forms a triple junction point with a high electric field and electron sources, it is known that 
the surface flashover voltage is lower than the breakdown voltage. However, our experimental results 
showed the opposite. This is because the diameter of the solid dielectric is considerably larger than 
that of the plane electrode in our electrode system.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Surface flashover voltage (Δ, SF$_6$; ■, dry air; and □, N$_2$) and breakdown voltage (●, SF$_6$; ○, dry air; and ▼, N$_2$).

The insulation performance and the rate of increase in surface flashover voltages with 
an increasing pressure are noticeably different for electronegative gases (i.e., SF$_6$ and dry air) and 
the non-electronegative gas (i.e., N$_2$). The surface insulation performance of SF$_6$ and dry air was 
better than that of N$_2$ in the gas pressure range of this experiment. SF$_6$, being an electronegative 
gas, demonstrated a higher surface insulation performance than that of dry air. The excellent surface 
insulation performance of electronegative gases (SF$_6$ and dry air) is due to the electron attachment 
mechanism. Although both SF$_6$ and dry air are electronegative gases, the difference in the surface 
insulation performance between these gases was possibly due to the electron attachment cross section, 
which represents the electron attachment capability of gases. Saturation of the surface flashover voltages 
with an increasing pressure was observed in SF$_6$—evidently more than in dry air and N$_2$—within a gas 
pressure range of 0.1 to 0.4 MPa.

The rate of increase of the surface flashover voltage with an increasing pressure is shown in Figure 3. 
The insulating gas containing N$_2$ was insensitive to saturation in the pressure range. This saturation 
is presumed to be due to the electron detachment of negative ions in the electronegative gases (i.e., 
SF$_6$ and O$_2$) during surface discharge development. As N$_2$ cannot attach electrons, negative ions 
are not formed in N$_2$. This means that no electron detachment mechanism occurs in N$_2$. A detailed
interpretation of the surface insulation performance and surface flashover voltage saturation will be explained in Section 4 based on surface discharge mechanisms.

4. Discussion

4.1. Surface Discharge Mechanism

This section describes the surface discharge mechanism in detail, explaining the experimental results presented in Section 3. The main outcomes were an improved surface insulation performance of insulating gases containing electronegative species and noticeable saturation of the surface insulation performance of SF$_6$ with an increasing pressure. These two results seem to be inherently related to the mechanisms of electron attachment and electron detachment, respectively, during the progression of surface discharge. The electron attachment is a mechanism by which SF$_6$ and O$_2$ trap electrons moving to the positive electrode to form negative ions between two electrodes. This reduces the number of electrons that cause collision ionization and the SEEA on the dielectric surface, thereby resulting in a higher surface insulation performance from the inclusion of an electronegative gas. However, the negative ions generated by electron attachment emit electrons from collisions among electrons, positive ions, and negative ions. As this electron detachment adds electrons between the electrodes, it may limit the rise of the surface flashover voltage.

Surface discharge is developed by an initial electron, the SEEA, a surface streamer, and conductive channel formation. The formation of an initial electron depends on the polarity of the applied voltage. When the applied voltage is positive, the initial electron is generated by the electron detachment of a negative ion around the needle electrode or electron emission from the epoxy surface. When the applied voltage is positive, the initial electron is generated by the electron detachment of a negative ion around the needle electrode or electron emission from the epoxy surface. Examples of electron detachment from SF$_6^-$ ions can be presented as follows under an appropriate condition for each case [25]:

Collisional detachment: $SF_6^- + SF_6 \rightarrow SF_6 + SF_6 + e$,

Photodetachment: $SF_6^- + h\nu \rightarrow SF_6 + e$,

Thermally-induced detachment: $SF_6^- + \text{heat} \rightarrow SF_6 + e$.

When the applied voltage is negative, an initial electron is generated by field emission from the cathode at the triple junction, where the electrode, solid dielectric, and insulating gas make contact. This electron collides with the epoxy surface. Then, the generated initial electron contributes to the emission of secondary electrons from the surface. The secondary electrons again lead to an SEEA at the epoxy surface and collision ionization in the gas. During the process of collision ionization, photons emitted from the excited molecules are irradiated to both the epoxy surface and other molecules.
This irradiation results in photoemission and photoionization and can supply additional electrons in the discharge space between the electrodes. The electrons generated by field emission, the SEEA, ionization collision, photoemission, and photoionization can attach themselves to an electronegative gas.

During the development of surface discharge, negative ions can form from the electron attachment process. However, these ions can also disappear due to the electron detachment mechanism during the same surface discharge development. As the surface discharge develops with an increase in the applied voltage, the SEEA and photoemission can become more prominent. Owing to the active SEEA and photoemission processes, a surface streamer develops along the epoxy surface. The head of the streamer advances based on what the electron source is (photoionization/photoemission), and the surface streamer intersects between the electrodes. When a conductive channel is formed between the electrodes due to the surface streamer and the presence of many electrons, surface flashover finally occurs.

The most evident difference between an electronegative gas and a non-electronegative gas is the occurrence of electron attachment or detachment during the process of surface discharge development. The authors believe that these processes are the key determining factors that explain the experimental results. Electron attachment is the only process that removes electrons in the discharge space between electrodes in the surface discharge mechanism. The removal of electrons suppresses the formation of the streamer and conductive channels. This suppression is the reason behind the high surface insulation performance of an electronegative gas. Therefore, the surface insulation performance of the insulating gas containing electronegative gases SF$_6$ and O$_2$ is superior to that of N$_2$.

Although SF$_6$ and dry air are both electronegative gases, the disparity in the surface insulation performance between these gases can be explained by the difference in the electron attachment cross section, which determines the characteristics of the gases. Since the surface discharge grows on the surface of the epoxy dielectric, the capturing of electrons emitted from the surface appears to contribute to a significant improvement in the surface insulation performance. Electrons are generated from the epoxy surface during surface discharge by the SEEA and photoemission processes. The energy range of the electrons emitted from the surface by these processes was assumed to be 3.0–4.5 eV [16,26]. Figure 4 shows the electron attachment cross sections of SF$_6$ and O$_2$ in the electron energy range. Evidently, the cross section of SF$_6$ is considerably larger than that of O$_2$. From this figure, it can be assumed that the ability of secondary electrons, emitted from the epoxy surface, to attach themselves is significantly better in SF$_6$ than in O$_2$.

![Figure 4. Attachment cross sections of gases (—, SF$_6$ and ---, O$_2$).](image)

The progress of the streamer and the formation of a conductive channel on the epoxy surface can be suppressed by the capture (attachment) of the secondary electrons due to the large electron attachment cross sections. This could be the reason why SF$_6$, which has an excellent electron attachment ability in the electron energy range of 3.0–4.5 eV, exhibits a superior surface insulation performance compared to dry air [27].
In addition, the noticeable saturation of surface flashover voltages with an increasing pressure, in
the pressure range of 0.1 to 0.4 MPa in SF$_6$, may be related to electron detachment. During surface
discharge development, negative ions collide with electrons, positive ions, and other negative ions
in the medium. Electrons attached to electronegative gas are then separated and detached from the
negative ions by these collisions. The separated electrons are imbibed into the SEEA or collision
ionization, helping the formation of a conductive path along the epoxy surface between the electrodes.
In other words, a large number of negative ions can improve the surface insulation performance, and at
the same time, can function as electron sources [28]. The electron detachment of negative ions mainly
occurs from collisions with ions rather than high electric fields [29]. Since the mean free path becomes
shorter as the pressure increases, it can be assumed that the collision of positive and negative ions also
occurs more frequently with an increasing pressure. Frequent collisions activate electron detachment,
causing a noticeable saturation of the surface insulation performance with an increasing pressure.
The rise of the surface flashover voltage of SF$_6$ at 0.6 MPa may be related to a high-pressure surface
discharge mechanism, such as leader discharge.

4.2. Insulation Design Method of an Insulating Gas Alternative to SF$_6$ Based on Electron Swarm Parameters

An insulation design method that can ensure a good insulation performance in high-voltage
power apparatus such as GIS can be proposed from our experimental results, derived from Figure 2.
The surface insulation performance can be enhanced by the inclusion of electronegative gases and
an increase in the gas pressure. Therefore, these factors must be considered during insulation design.
However, very rarely is apparatus designed with a gas pressure exceeding 1.0 MPa [23]; therefore, it is
desirable to incorporate the addition of electronegative gases into the insulation design method.
An insulation design using a mixture of electronegative gases should be carried out upon analyzing
the electron swarm parameters of the gases. These parameters are the electron attachment cross section
and electron scattering cross section. The electron attachment cross section represents the ability of an
electronegative gas to capture electrons. This ability depends on the energy of the electrons. There are
certain energy ranges in which electronegative gases can effectively and easily capture electrons. In the
discharge space, electrons accelerated by the applied electric field are distributed with various values
of kinetic energy. The improvement in the insulation performance of the gas is attributed to the
easy and effective capture of these electrons. Therefore, there is a need for a method of selecting an
electronegative gas with a large electron attachment cross section spanning a wide range of electron
energies. The lower the kinetic energy of the electrons, the easier the electron attachment is; thus, for
insulation design, it is preferable to select an electronegative gas possessing a wide electron attachment
cross section in a low electron energy range.

Meanwhile, the electron scattering cross section represents the ability to reduce the kinetic energy
of electrons, which are accelerated by the applied electric field. This reduction of kinetic energy
effectively activates the electron attachment of electronegative gases. Therefore, electron scattering
results in high-energy electrons with the sufficient ionization capacity becoming inactive, consequently
resulting in effective electron attachment.

Since the electron attachment process is more important than the ionization process in the
prevention of a breakdown, only the addition of an electronegative gas is usually conducted in the
insulation design method. However, for producing a higher insulation performance, the electron
swarm parameters cannot be overlooked. Based on these two parameters (the electron attachment
cross section and electron scattering cross section), the mixture gas that is more suitable for insulation
design should include a gas with electron attachment abilities and the ability to suppress the electron
behavior. It is preferable to include a mixture of two or more auxiliary gases with different electron
attachment cross section peaks, depending on the electron energy, because one electronegative gas
cannot capture all electrons in a considerably wide electron energy region. Meanwhile, the mixing
of gases with an electron scattering capability that reduces the electron energy in the electron energy
region corresponding to the peak of the electron attachment cross section should be recommended.
Based on these electron swarm parameters, the experimental results reported that the 2.5% positive lightning impulse breakdown voltage of SF$_6$/air and SF$_6$/CO$_2$ in 0.05 to 0.45 MPa under a non-uniform electric field with a gap of 10 mm is higher than that of pure SF$_6$ [30]. Kojima et al. [31] studied the insulation properties of a mixed gas containing two or more electronegative gases based on the electron attachment cross section, depending on the electron energy.

In addition to selecting the insulating gas, engineering techniques can be applied to an insulation design method, and these techniques should be integrated into high-voltage apparatus with a selection method of insulating gas that considers the electron swarm parameters. The engineering techniques are improvements in electrodes and solid dielectrics, details of which can be found in references [11,32–36]. The theoretical principles governing the engineering techniques are the suppression of electron generation and relaxation of the concentrated electric field. Since suppression and relaxation are the direct factors influencing gas breakdown, a good insulation performance can also be ensured with these engineering techniques. Therefore, when insulation gas selection and engineering insulation techniques are simultaneously applied to high-voltage apparatus, the surface insulation performance of the apparatus can be improved, owing to a synergistic effect that imbibes physical and engineering elements.

Therefore, the selection of insulating gas based on the physics of gas discharge (i.e., electron swarm parameters) is more important than engineering insulating design techniques. This is because engineering insulation design techniques are based on physical knowledge (i.e., physical mechanisms and physical analysis), while the insulation performance of the high-voltage apparatus is significantly dependent on the insulating gas. To date, single or mixed insulating gases that can completely replace SF$_6$ in terms of insulation, arc quenching, and liquefaction have not yet been reported in the fields of applied physics and high-voltage engineering. The authors believe that a new insulating gas as an alternative to SF$_6$ can be discovered or developed, based on the physics-based knowledge of gas discharge.

5. Conclusions

This study investigated the surface discharge characteristics of epoxy resin in compressed gases, namely SF$_6$, dry air, and N$_2$, in a non-uniform electric field. Among the different gases, SF$_6$ and dry air, which are electronegative gases, revealed better insulation performances compared with N$_2$, owing to their electron attachment ability. The effect of electronegative gases on surface flashover voltages, varying with the pressure in these compressed gases, was analyzed in detail through the processes of electron attachment and electron detachment. Electron attachment induced an increase in the surface flashover voltage of the electronegative gas. Electron detachment seemed to result in saturation of the voltages as the pressure increased. A surface discharge mechanism that includes electron attachment, electron detachment, and secondary electron emission (SEEA and photoemission) was proposed for an analysis of the surface flashover voltages, which varied with the gas pressure. From this mechanism, it was found that the physical phenomena governing the behavior of electrons, including electron attachment, electron detachment, and electron scattering, are related to an improvement in the dielectric strength of the mixed gas. Based on these findings, techniques for improving the dielectric strength of mixed gases were discussed from a physics and engineering point of view. The discussions and knowledge of the physics behind gas discharge suggest the possibility of a new insulating gas to replace SF$_6$. To discover and develop the new insulating gas, theoretical and experimental attempts to understand the effects of varying the pressure on electron attachment and electron detachment are required. In addition to such attempts, the insulation characteristics of gases and mixed gases and their discharge mechanisms under DC and AC voltages must specifically be identified. This study contributes to explorations attempting to expand the knowledge of physics that governs gas discharge. The physical information obtained from the results of this study can be used to secure improved surface insulation performances by using the technique of mixing insulation gas in the surface insulation design of SF$_6$-free high-voltage equipment.
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