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A new class of twisted, current carrying, stationary, straight string solutions having finite energy per unit length is constructed numerically in an extended Abelian Higgs model with global SU(2) symmetry. The new solutions correspond to deformations of the embedded Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen (ANO) vortices by a twist – a relative coordinate dependent phase between the two Higgs fields. The twist induces a global current flowing through the string, and the deformed solutions bifurcate with the ANO vortices in the limit of vanishing current. For each value of the winding number $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ (determining the magnetic flux through the plane orthogonal to the string) there are $n$ distinct, two-parametric families of solutions. One of the continuously varying parameters is the twist, or the corresponding current, the other one can be chosen to be the momentum of the string. For fixed values of the momentum and twist, the $n$ distinct solutions have different energies and can be viewed as a lowest energy “fundamental” string and its $n - 1$ “excitations” characterized by different values of their “polarization”. The latter is defined as the ratio of the angular momentum of the vortex and its momentum. In their rest frame the twisted vortices have lower energy than the embedded ANO vortices and could be of considerable importance in various physical systems (from condensed matter to cosmic strings).
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I. INTRODUCTION

It was almost 50 years ago that Abrikosov predicted the existence of vortex lines in type II superconductors in the framework of the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory, which has then been confirmed many times by experiments in various superconducting materials. The importance of such vortex lines or strings in high energy physics has been recognized by Nielsen and Olesen, who have shown the existence of classical solutions describing straight, infinitely long strings with finite energy per unit length in a relativistic field theory – in the Abelian Higgs model. Ever since their discovery, vortices have been the subject of intense studies, since they find numerous applications in many domains of physics including various branches of condensed matter theory (such as superconductivity, superfluid $^3$He), high energy physics and cosmology. In a more general context vortex lines belong to the class of objects known as “topological defects”. Topological defects (monopoles, vortices, domain walls, etc.) result from the spontaneous breaking of global or local symmetries of the underlying field theory; we refer to some of the excellent reviews. There is an interesting class of models in which both global and local symmetries are simultaneously broken, in the most economical way, with the minimal number of scalar fields. These models, dubbed semilocal models, have some remarkable features; for a detailed review see Ref. Perhaps the most interesting point is that semilocal models exhibit stable vortices, despite the first homotopy group of the vacuum manifold being trivial.

A particularly interesting and simple class of such semilocal models is the extended Abelian Higgs model (EAH), which contains electromagnetism coupled to $N$ charged complex scalars transforming as a vector in the fundamental representation of the global SU($N$) symmetry group. The simplest nontrivial EAH model is the SU(2) symmetric ($N=2$) one, which case is made quite interesting by noting that it represents the bosonic sector of the Electroweak theory in the limit where the Weinberg angle, $\theta_W = \pi/2$. A non-relativistic version of this model is also used in condensed matter theory, in the description of unconventional superconductors for example. The Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen (ANO) vortex solutions, characterized by an integer winding number, $n$, determining their magnetic flux, and by the mass ratio, $\sqrt{\beta} = m_s/m_v$ ($m_s$ resp. $m_v$ denoting the mass of the scalar resp. vector fields), can be embedded into this theory. The parameter $\beta$ also distinguishes superconductors of type I ($\beta < 1$) from type II ($\beta > 1$). The case $\beta = 1$ is quite special, for
here, instead of there being a unique vortex solution satisfying the first order Bogomol’nyi equations [10], there is a continuous family of them having the same energy [7]. The stability of the ANO vortices embedded into the EAH models was examined in Ref. [7], and it was shown there that they are stable only if $\beta \leq 1$. For $\beta > 1$ the embedded ANO vortices have been found to exhibit a single unstable mode that can be interpreted as “magnetic spreading” instability [7, 11]. The Standard Model counterparts of the embedded ANO solutions are the Z-strings, which have been shown to be stable for $\sin^2 \theta_W \gtrsim 0.9$ [12].

In this paper we give a detailed description of a new class of twisted, straight string solutions in the simplest nontrivial SU(2) symmetric semilocal EAH model, some of our main results having been announced in Ref. [13]. The new solutions are translationally symmetric in the $z = x^3$ direction. The main feature distinguishing them from the previously known string or equivalently vortex (when the string is viewed in the $(x^1, x^2)$ plane) solutions is due to the presence of a relative phase (twist) between the two scalars, depending linearly on $z$. The twist induces a persistent global current flowing along the $z$-direction. It turns out that there is a maximal value of the twist, depending on $\beta$. For this maximal twist the current vanishes, and the twisted solutions bifurcate with the embedded ANO vortex. In fact the above mentioned “magnetic spreading” instability of the embedded ANO vortex in the EAH model can be viewed as a signal of bifurcation with a new branch of current carrying solutions.

For any fixed value of $\beta > 1$, and for a given winding number, $n$, the static twisted vortices form $n$ distinct one parameter families, labeled by the twist (or equivalently by the current) and by an integer $\nu = 1, \ldots n$. For a fixed value of the twist, the $n$ different solutions have different energies and can be viewed as a lowest energy “fundamental” string and its $n - 1$ “excitations”. It is quite interesting that the energy of the fundamental twisted solutions is lower than that of the corresponding ANO ones. They could therefore have better stability properties, and in fact we expect the fundamental $n = 1$ twisted string to be stable in the $N= 2$ EAH model for any value of $\beta > 1$.

In the simplest case the twisted vortices are static. But the relative phase of the two scalar fields may also have a linear dependence on the time coordinate, $t = x^0$, in which case the vortices become stationary. These solutions can be obtained from the static ones by Lorentz boosts in the $t, z$ plane. The stationary strings are surrounded by an exponentially screened radial electric field, and they also possess a nonzero momentum, as well as a nonzero angular
momentum oriented in the $z$-direction. For any fixed value of $\beta > 1$, and for a given winding number, $n$, the twisted, stationary vortices form $n$ distinct two-parameter families which can be labeled by their momentum and current. The discrete parameter, $\nu = 1, \ldots, n$, which distinguishes between the $n$ different families can be interpreted as a kind of “polarization”, since it determines the ratio of the momentum and the angular momentum of the string. Due to the Lorentz contraction, the energy of the stationary strings is higher than that of the static ones.

Our solutions can also be viewed as superconducting cosmic strings in a “minimal” model, with a single $U(1)$ gauge field, unlike in the prototype $U(1) \times U(1)$ model of superconducting strings introduced by Witten [14]. In contradistinction to the superconducting strings in Witten’s model, the twisted semilocal strings are strongly localized in the transverse direction, which guarantees the finiteness of their energy. In addition, their superconducting current is global and not local, and it can be arbitrarily large, unlike the situation in Witten’s model [15].

Most previously known semilocal string solutions are static and untwisted, with the notable exception of the solutions of Abraham [16], which have however infinite energy per unit length (for $n = 1$). We should also like to mention that in Ref. [17], in a theory similar to the EAH model, straight, twisted string solutions have been obtained in the description of neutral two-component plasmas. Finally it should be pointed out that the non-abelian counterparts of our twisted strings should exist in the Electroweak model in the form of “twisted Z-strings” in analogy to the ordinary Z-strings.

The plan of the paper is the following: In Section II we introduce the EAH models. In Section III the stationary, $z$-translationally symmetric Ansatz is given, and the EAH theory is dimensionally reduced to two dimensions corresponding to the plane orthogonal to the symmetry axis. Section IV is devoted to the classification of the stationary and twisted string configurations according to their properties under Lorentz transformations. In Section V the theory is further simplified by considering rotationally symmetric configurations in the plane. We discuss the field equations, describe the local behaviour of their solutions in the neighborhood of the singular points. Section VI contains a short review of some relevant known vortex solutions: the classical ANO vortices, the “skyrmion” solutions satisfying the Bogomol’nyi equations, and the chiral, charged vortices of Ref. [16]. Section VII is the main part of the paper, it contains a detailed description of the new solutions. Some concluding
II. EXTENDED ABELIAN HIGGS MODEL

The extended Abelian Higgs (EAH) model considered below is a theory of N complex scalars, \( \Phi^T = (\phi_1, \ldots \phi_N) \), with an SU(N) global symmetry and with their overall phase gauged. Such a theory possesses an SU(N)_{global} \times U(1)_{local} symmetry algebra by construction. In this paper we shall concentrate on the simplest case corresponding to N=2, mostly because of its relationship to the electroweak model. The 4 dimensional (4D) action of the theory is given by

\[
S = \int \left( -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \Phi)\dagger D^\mu \Phi - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Phi\dagger \Phi - \eta^2)^2 \right) d^4x. \quad (2.1)
\]

Here \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \) is the gauge field tensor, \( D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - igA_\mu \Phi \) is the gauge covariant derivative, \( g \) denotes the coupling (charge), and the signature of the flat Minkowskian metric used here is \((+,-,-,-)\). Due to the form of the potential the scalar field has a vacuum expectation value, \( \eta \), and the U(1) gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken. The physical spectrum consists of a vector particle with mass \( m_v = \sqrt{2g}\eta \), of \( 2(N-1) \) Nambu-Goldstone bosons, and of a Higgs scalar with mass \( m_h = \sqrt{2\lambda}\eta \).

It is convenient to scale the fields and coordinates as: \( \Phi \rightarrow \eta \Phi, A_\mu \rightarrow \eta A_\mu, x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu/(g\eta) \), so the rescaled fields and coordinates are dimensionless. In terms of the rescaled quantities the action (2.1) becomes:

\[
S = \frac{1}{g^2} \int \mathcal{L} d^4x = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \Phi)\dagger D^\mu \Phi - \frac{\beta}{2} (\Phi\dagger \Phi - 1)^2 \right\} , \quad (2.2)
\]

where now \( D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - iA_\mu \Phi \), and \( \beta = \lambda/g^2 \).

The fields transform under the U(1) gauge symmetry as

\[
A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x) , \quad \Phi \rightarrow e^{i\Lambda(x)} \Phi , \quad (2.3)
\]

while the global SU(N) symmetry acts on the fields in the following way:

\[
A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu , \quad \Phi \rightarrow e^{i(\theta_a \tau^a)} \Phi , \quad (2.4)
\]

where \( \tau^a \) (\( a = 1, \ldots , N \)) denote the generators of the SU(N) Lie algebra in the fundamental representation (for N=2 we choose \( \tau^a \) to be the Pauli matrices), and the \( \theta_a \) are constant parameters. The corresponding Noether currents are

\[
j^\dagger_\mu = -i \{(D_\mu \Phi)\dagger T^\dagger \Phi - \Phi\dagger T^\dagger D_\mu \Phi \}, \quad (2.5)
\]
with \( \hat{a} = (0, a) \) and \( T^a = (1, \tau^a) \) being generators of \( SU(N) \times U(1) \). The conservation of the currents \((2.5)\) follows from the Euler-Lagrange equations for the action \((2.1)\), which can be written as:

\[
\partial^\rho F_{\rho\mu} = -j^0_{\mu} = i\{(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\Phi - \Phi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\Phi\}, \tag{2.6a}
\]

\[
D_\rho D^\rho \Phi = -\beta(|\Phi|^2 - 1)\Phi, \tag{2.6b}
\]

where \(|\Phi|^2 = \Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\).

We shall also need the energy momentum tensor induced by varying the metric tensor:

\[
T^\mu_\nu = 2g^{\mu\sigma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^\sigma_\nu} - \delta^\mu_\nu \mathcal{L}. \tag{2.7}
\]

With \( \mathcal{L} \) given by \((2.2)\) this evaluates to

\[
T^\mu_\nu = -F^{\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} + (D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}D_\nu\Phi + (D_\nu\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi - \delta^\mu_\nu \mathcal{L}. \tag{2.8}
\]

The energy density reads

\[
T_0^0 = |D_0\Phi|^2 + |D_i\Phi|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} (|\Phi|^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \vec{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \vec{B}^2, \tag{2.9}
\]

where the Latin indices take the values \( i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \), \(|D_i\Phi|^2 = (D_i\Phi)^{\dagger}D_i\Phi\) (summation over the index \( i \) is implied), the electric and magnetic fields, \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{B} \), are defined as \( E_i = F_{0i} \) and \( B_k = \epsilon_{ijk}F_{ij}/2 \), respectively.

### III. DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION TO 2 DIMENSIONS

Modulo gauge and global symmetry transformations the ground state of the theory \((2.2)\) (i.e. the solution of zero energy) is given by \( A_\mu = 0, \Phi = \Phi_0 \), where \( \Phi_0 \) is a constant complex N-vector, \(|\Phi_0|^2 = 1\). Thus for the case considered in this paper, \( N = 2 \), the vacuum manifold is a 3-sphere:

\[
\mathcal{V} = \{ \Phi \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \Phi^{\dagger}\Phi = 1 \} \cong S^3. \tag{3.1}
\]

As is well known, there are no spherically symmetric, finite energy solutions of the EAH model, but it admits stringlike solutions \[5\]. These strings are infinitely long and their total energy is infinite, nevertheless they can be of physical interest if their energy per unit length is finite. In what follows we shall be considering such strings in the simplest case when
they are stationary and translationally invariant along the \( z = x^3 \) axis. In this case the most general Ansatz for the vector field \( A_\mu \) and for the two (upper and lower) complex components \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) of the Higgs field \( \Phi \) can be written as

\[
A_\mu = (A_0(x_1, x_2), A_3(x_1, x_2), A_i(x_1, x_2)) \equiv (A_\alpha, A_i), \quad \alpha = 0, 3, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

\[
\phi_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2), \quad \phi_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) e^{i(\omega_0 t + \omega_3 z)},
\]

(3.2)

where \( f_1, f_2 \) are complex functions and \( (\omega_0, \omega_3) = \omega_\alpha \), are real parameters. This Ansatz easily follows from the general results of Ref. [18] applied to the case of two commuting symmetry transformations. The most important feature of the Ansatz (3.2) for the present paper is that there is a nontrivial, coordinate dependent relative phase between the two components of the SU(2) doublet \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \). The change in the phase of the scalar fields induced by infinitesimal space-time translations in the \( t, z \) directions is compensated by gauge transformations (followed by global SU(2) rotations). In other words a space-time translation moves the fields along gauge orbits. The relative phases, \( \omega_\alpha \), can be interpreted as a relative rotation, \( \omega_0 \), resp. twist along the \( z \)-axis, \( \omega_3 \), between the two components of the scalar field \( (\phi_1, \phi_2) \). One should also note that the Ansatz (3.2) breaks the originally present global SU(2) symmetry to U(1).

The vacuum manifold (3.1) is a three sphere, which is simply connected \( (\pi_1(S^3) = 1) \), and so there are no topological string solutions. However, as explained in [19], because of the spontaneous breaking of the U(1) gauge symmetry the space of finite energy configurations is not labeled by the vacuum manifold \( \mathcal{V} \), but rather by the gauge orbits, which space is not simply connected: \( \pi_1(U(1)_{\text{local}}/1) = \mathbb{Z} \). This implies that configurations with different \( U(1)_{\text{local}} \) winding numbers are separated by infinitely high energy barriers.

For field configurations given by Ansatz (3.2) the action (2.2) dimensionally reduces from 4D to 2D, \( S = \frac{1}{g^2} \int \mathcal{S} dx^0 dx^3 \), where

\[
\mathcal{S} = \eta^2 \int d^2 x \left\{ A_\alpha A^\alpha |\phi_a|^2 + \omega^\alpha (\omega_\alpha - 2 A_\alpha) |\phi_2|^2 - |D_i \phi_a|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i A_\alpha \partial_i A^\alpha - \frac{1}{4} F^2_{ij} - \frac{\beta}{2} (|\phi_a|^2 - 1)^2 \right\},
\]

(3.3)

where \( a = 1, 2 \); the index \( \alpha = (0, 3) \) is raised by the residual Minkowskian metric, i.e. \( A^\alpha A_\alpha = A_0^2 - A_3^2 \), while the 2D metric of the reduced theory in the \((x^1, x^2)\) plane is Euclidean; summation over the indices \( a, i, j = 1, 2 \) is understood.
The equations of motion of the reduced theory (3.3) in the \((x_1, x_2)\) plane take the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\triangle A_\alpha &= 2A_\alpha |\phi_\alpha|^2 - 2\omega_\alpha |\phi|^2, \\
\partial_t F_{ij} &= i(\phi_D j \phi_a - \bar{\phi}_a D_j \phi_a), \\
D_i D_i \phi_a &= \beta (|\phi_a|^2 - 1) \phi_a - A_a A^a \phi_a - \omega^a (\omega_a - 2A_a) \phi_2 \delta_a^2.
\end{align*}
\] (3.4)

For configurations satisfying the above Gauss law-type equations (3.4a), the energy per unit length of the string is given by \(E = \eta^2 E\), where the "dimensionless" energy per unit length, \(E\), used throughout this paper can be expressed as:

\[
E = \int d^2 x T^0_0 = \int d^2 x \left\{ \omega_a (\omega_a - A_a) |\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} B^2 + |D_i \phi_a|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left( |\phi_a|^2 - 1 \right)^2 \right\},
\] (3.5)

where \(B\) stands for the \(z\)-component of the magnetic field (orthogonal to the \((x_1, x_2)\) plane); \(B = B_3 = F_{12} = \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i A_j\), and summation over the repeated \(\alpha = 0, 3\)-indices (not raised with the residual Minkowskian metric) is understood.

The Noether current associated to the residual U(1) symmetry of the ansatz (3.2) actually coincides with the 3-rd isotopic component, \(j^3_\mu\), of the global SU(2) current in Eq. (2.5). Introducing \((a)J_\mu \equiv -i(\phi_D j \phi_a - \bar{\phi}_a D_\mu \phi_a)\), where now no summation is implied over \(a = 1, 2\), one has \(j^3_\mu = (1)J_\mu - (2)J_\mu\). On the other hand, the local U(1) current in Eq. (2.5) can be written as \(j^0_\mu = (1)J_\mu + (2)J_\mu\). Since, by virtue of the field equations (2.6), for fields decaying sufficiently fast at infinity the integral of \(j^0_\mu\) over the two-plane vanishes, it follows that the integral of the current \(j^3_\mu\) can be expressed as

\[
\int d^2 x j^3_\alpha = 2 \int d^2 x (1)J_\alpha = -2 \int d^2 x (2)J_\alpha := 4I_\alpha,
\] (3.6)

where the "string worldsheet current", \(I_\alpha\), is

\[
I_\alpha = \int d^2 x A_\alpha \bar{\phi}_1 \phi_1 = \int d^2 x (\omega_a - A_a) \bar{\phi}_2 \phi_2,
\] (3.7)

whose components are the conserved Noether charge per unit length of the string, \(I_0\), and the total current flowing along the string, \(I_3\).

Due to the translation symmetry of the Ansatz (3.2) there is a conserved momentum, \(P\), which is expressed (per unit length) as

\[
P = \int d^2 x T^0_z = 2\omega_0 I_3,
\] (3.8)
and for configurations which possess an additional rotational symmetry in the plane (which is the case for all solutions presented in this paper) one also has a conserved angular momentum, \( J \) (per unit length), given by:

\[
J = \int d^2 x \, T^0_\varphi.
\] (3.9)

Note that just as for the energy per unit length, \( E = \eta^2 E \), to obtain the dimensionful momentum and angular momentum one should multiply \( P \) and \( J \) by \( \eta^2 \).

**A. Energy bounds and Bogomol’nyi equations**

Let us recall briefly the method, established by Bogomol’nyi \[10\], to find a lower bound for the energy functional (3.5). We first introduce the total magnetic flux:

\[
\int d^2 x B = \oint A_j dx^j = 2\pi n,
\] (3.10)

with \( n \) being an integer winding number. The flux (3.10) is quantized and topologically conserved, due to the non-trivial topology of the gauge orbits. Thus configurations with different values of \( n \) are separated by infinite energy barriers even though the potential energy cost can be arbitrarily small, since the Higgs field is topologically trivial \[6\]. In what follows, we shall refer to \( n \) as the winding number or number of magnetic flux quanta.

Without any loss of generality we can assume that \( n > 0 \). Next, using the identity

\[
\frac{1}{2} |D_i \Phi + i \epsilon_{ij} D_j \Phi|^2 = |D_i \Phi|^2 + \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i (\Phi^\dagger D_j \Phi) - B |\Phi|^2
\] (3.11)

and the expression (3.7) for \( I_\alpha \), the energy functional (3.5) becomes

\[
E = 2\pi n + |\omega_\alpha I_\alpha| + \int d^2 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |D_i \Phi + i \epsilon_{ij} D_j \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B + |\Phi|^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta - 1) (|\Phi|^2 - 1)^2 \right\}. \tag{3.12}
\]

It can now be seen that for the special value \( \beta = 1 \), the energy is minimized to \( 2\pi n + |\omega_\alpha I_\alpha| \) when the following first order equations, the Bogomol’nyi equations, are satisfied:

\[
D_i \Phi + i \epsilon_{ij} D_j \Phi = 0,
\]

\[
B + |\Phi|^2 - 1 = 0. \tag{3.13}
\]

If in a fixed sector of the phase space labeled by the winding number \( n \), the value of \( |\omega_\alpha I_\alpha| \) can be maintained fixed when varying the action (3.3), then solutions of the Bogomol’nyi
equations (3.13) will solve the variational Euler-Lagrange equations (3.4). In the static and untwisted case, when $\omega_\alpha = 0$, this is automatic, but for $\omega_\alpha \neq 0$ this is not true in general, except for solutions with $\omega_\alpha \omega^\alpha = 0$ discussed in the next Section.

The known static and untwisted ($\omega_\alpha = 0$) semilocal solutions are either the embedded ANO vortices, with $A_i = A_{(ANO)}$ and $\Phi = \phi_{(ANO)} \Phi_0$, where $\Phi_0$ is a constant SU(2) doublet of unit norm, $\Phi_0^\dagger \Phi_0 = 1$, or the so-called “skyrmion” [7, 19] solutions. The latter fulfill the Bogomol’nyi equations (3.13), and for any winding number, $n$, there exists a $4n$-parameter family of such solutions describing multi-vortices. $2n$ of these parameters can be identified with the positions of the vortices ($n$ points in the plane), and every vortex located at a point $p$ is labeled by a complex parameter, $w_p$, whose modulus determines the width of the flux tube at point $p$, and whose argument corresponds to the orientation of the vortex in the “internal” space.

IV. LORENTZ CLASSES

We notice at this point that our coordinate system is not yet completely fixed, since one can perform boosts along the $z$-axis:

$$x^\mu = (t, z, x_1, x_2) \rightarrow \tilde{x}^\mu = (\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}, x_1, x_2),$$

(4.1)

where

$$t = \tilde{t} \cosh(\gamma) - \tilde{z} \sinh(\gamma), \quad z = \tilde{z} \cosh(\gamma) - \tilde{t} \sinh(\gamma),$$

(4.2)

with $\gamma$ being the parameter of the boost. The fields then transform as

$$A_\mu(x)dx^\mu = \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x})d\tilde{x}^\mu, \quad \Phi(x) = \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}),$$

(4.3)

and the form of our Ansatz (3.2) will be preserved upon replacing $t, z$ by $\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}$ and $(\omega_0, \omega_3)$ by

$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 \cosh(\gamma) - \omega_3 \sinh(\gamma), \quad \tilde{\omega}_3 = \omega_3 \cosh(\gamma) - \omega_0 \sinh(\gamma).$$

(4.4)

We thus see that Lorentz boosts in the ($t, z$) plane preserve the structure of the ansatz (3.2), and that the $\omega_\alpha$ transform as components of a Lorentz (co)vector. This means that all pairs of values of $\omega_\alpha$ belonging to the same orbit of the Lorentz group (see Fig. 1) are equivalent. As a result, instead of two independent parameters $(\omega_0, \omega_3)$ we have in fact only one, which
can be chosen to be the Lorentz-invariant combination
\[ \omega^2 = -\omega^\alpha \omega_\alpha = \omega^2_3 - \omega^2_0. \] (4.5)

Therefore the space of solutions decomposes into three classes that can be labeled by the possible Lorentz types of the length of \( \omega^2 \), as proposed by Carter [20]:

\[
\omega^2 \begin{cases} 
= 0 & \text{null or chiral case} \\
< 0 & \text{time-like or electric case} \\
> 0 & \text{space-like or magnetic case}
\end{cases}
\] (4.6)

FIG. 1: Phase space of the stationary and translationally symmetric strings. Solutions corresponding to a Lorentz orbit with constant \( \omega^2 = \omega^2_3 - \omega^2_0 \) are related to each other by the boost symmetry. The new superconducting strings correspond to the \( \omega^2 > 0 \) regions.

These three classes also correspond to the Lorentz types of the string worldsheet current \( I_\alpha \) in (3.7). We now discuss some relevant properties of Eqs. (3.4) corresponding to the three Lorentz classes.

1. The chiral class, \( \omega_\alpha \omega^\alpha = 0 \). All known semilocal solutions obtained up to now belong to this class. From Eqs. (3.44) it follows that \( A_\omega := \omega^\alpha A_\alpha \) satisfies
\[
\Delta A_\omega (\omega) - 2A_\omega (\omega) \phi_a \overline{\phi}_a = 0.
\] (4.7)
Now the standard positivity argument for Eq. (4.7),
\[ \int d^2x A(\omega) \Delta A(\omega) = -\int d^2x (\partial_i A(\omega))^2 = 2 \int d^2x A(\omega) \phi_a \bar{\phi}_a, \]  
(4.8)
implies that the only regular and bounded solution of Eq. (4.7) in the 2-plane is the trivial one, \( A(\omega) \equiv 0 \), i.e. \( \omega_0 A_0 = \omega_3 A_3 \). Therefore the two Gauss-law type equations (3.4a,b,c) reduce to a single one. This also implies that the worldsheet current is isotropic, \( \mathcal{I}_\alpha \mathcal{T}^\alpha = 0 \). Furthermore, since \( A^\alpha A_\alpha = 0 \), Eqs. (3.4b,c) reduce to the equations of motion of the untwisted and static semilocal vortices, and they decouple from the remaining Gauss law. Therefore, to any static, untwisted vortex solution \( (A_i, \phi_a) \) of Eqs. (3.4b,c) there corresponds a twisted, stationary chiral vortex obtained by solving in addition the Gauss-law equation (3.4a). It is important to note that the solutions \( A_\alpha \) of the Gauss-law equation (3.4a) do not always fall off sufficiently fast at infinity. As a result, not all of the stationary chiral solutions will have finite energy.

As already mentioned, the known static and untwisted semilocal solutions of Eqs. (3.4b,c) are either the embedded ANO vortices, or the “skyrmions”. Bounded solutions of the related Gauss-law equation (3.4a) exist only for the “skyrmions”, but their energy for winding number \( n = 1 \) is nevertheless divergent due to the slow falloff of the fields \( A_\alpha \) (lack of localization). This is an alternative way to rederive the main result of Ref. [16], where stationary and charged vortices satisfying the Bogomol’nyi equations were found, to which we shall refer to as “spinning skyrmions”.

2. The space-like (or magnetic) class, \( \omega_\alpha \omega^\alpha < 0 \). In fact all new solutions to be presented in this paper fall into this class. Now contracting Eqs. (3.4a) with \( \tilde{\omega}_\alpha \) where \( \tilde{\omega}^\alpha \omega_\alpha = 0 \) one finds that \( A(\tilde{\omega}) := \tilde{\omega}^\alpha A_\alpha = \omega_3 A_0 - \omega_0 A_3 \) satisfies
\[ \Delta A(\tilde{\omega}) - 2A(\tilde{\omega}) \phi_a \bar{\phi}_a = 0. \]  
(4.9)
The same positivity argument as above implies again \( A(\tilde{\omega}) \equiv 0 \), i.e. the electric potential, \( A_0 \), is completely determined by the component of the magnetic one, \( A_3 \). Exploiting the boost-symmetry of the Ansatz (3.2), one can always achieve in this case by a choice of the reference frame that \( \omega_0 = 0 \) and \( A_0 = 0 \). We note that the worldsheet current is spacelike, \( \mathcal{I}_\alpha \mathcal{T}^\alpha < 0 \).

3. The timelike (or electric) class, \( \omega_\alpha \omega^\alpha > 0 \). In this case there is such a reference frame where \( \omega_3 = 0 \) and \( A_3 = 0 \). The worldsheet current is timelike, \( \mathcal{I}_\alpha \mathcal{T}^\alpha > 0 \). It is unlikely
that finite energy solutions exist in this class, since from Eqs. (3.4c) we obtain the
asymptotic \((\rho^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \to \infty)\) equation \(\Delta f_2 = -\omega_0 \omega^\alpha f_2\) (assuming that \(f_1 \to 1, A_\mu \to 0\)), which implies that the function \(|f_2|\) tends too slowly to zero to make the
energy (3.5) convergent.

V. THE CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC EQUATIONS

A. Field equations

In this section we shall examine solutions with cylindrical symmetry, for which we may
choose a gauge such that we are lead to the following Ansatz in polar coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_0 &= \omega_0 a_0(\rho), A_\rho = 0, A_\phi = n a(\rho), A_3 = \omega_3 a_3(\rho), \\
\phi_1 &= f_1(\rho) e^{im\phi}, \quad \phi_2 = f_2(\rho) e^{i(\omega_0 t + \omega_3 z)},
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1)

where \(m = 0, \ldots n - 1\). Furthermore, as shown in the previous section, the electric potential
is either given by \(A_0 = A_3\) in the chiral case (\(|\omega_0| = |\omega_3|\)), or by \(A_0 = \omega_0 A_3/\omega_3\) in the
magnetic case, i.e. in both cases one can take \(a_0(\rho) = a_3(\rho)\). The energy functional assumes
the form:

\[
E = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( n^2 a_1^2 + |\omega|^2 a_3'^2 \right) + n^2 \frac{(1 - a)^2}{\rho^2} f_1^2 \\
+ \frac{(m - na)^2}{\rho^2} f_2^2 + f_1^2 + f_2'^2 + |\omega|^2 \left[ f_1^2 a_3^2 + f_2^2 (1 - a_3)^2 \right] + \frac{\beta}{2} \left( 1 - |f|^2 \right)^2 \right\},
\]

(5.2)

where \(|\omega|^2 \equiv \omega_0^2 + \omega_3^2, |f|^2 \equiv f_1^2 + f_2^2\). A Bogomol’nyi-type rearrangement of the energy (5.2)
yields the following:

\[
E = 2\pi n + |\omega|^2 |Q| + \pi (\beta - 1) \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \left( 1 - |f|^2 \right)^2 + \\
2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{na'}{\rho} + (|f|^2 - 1) \right)^2 + \left( f_1' + \frac{n(a - 1)}{\rho} f_1 \right)^2 + \left( f_2' + \frac{(na - m)}{\rho} f_2 \right)^2 \right\},
\]

(5.3)

where the quantity \(Q\),

\[
Q = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho (1 - a_3) f_2^2 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho a_3 f_1^2,
\]

(5.4)
determines the vortex worldsheet current,
\[ \mathcal{I}_\alpha = \omega_\alpha Q, \] (5.5)
and in terms of which the momentum (3.8) and the angular momentum (3.9) can be expressed as
\[ P = 2\omega_0\omega_3 Q, \]
\[ J = -2\omega_0\nu Q, \quad \text{where } \nu := n - m = 1, \ldots, n. \] (5.6)
The parameter \( Q \) is Lorentz-invariant, since it enters the invariant relation (5.5) between Lorentz-vectors. On the other hand, the quantities \( \mathcal{I}_\alpha, P, J \) are not Lorentz-invariant. In particular, in the spacelike case, if \( \omega^\alpha\omega_\alpha < 0 \), there exists a rest frame where \( \omega_0 = 0 \), therefore in this frame \( \mathcal{I}_0 = P = J = 0 \). Using Eq. (5.6), we can define in any nonstatic frame a “helicity” or “polarization” given by the ratio
\[ \frac{J}{P} = -\frac{\nu}{\omega_3}, \] (5.7)
which can be extended as a limit to the static reference frame as well. From now on we shall refer to \( \nu \) as helicity number. In the rest frame, where \( \omega_3 = \omega \), we define the “rest frame current” as
\[ \mathcal{I} := \omega Q. \] (5.8)
The value of the energy in the rest frame is given by Eq. (5.3) with \( |\omega|^2 = \omega^2 \).

Eq. (5.3) implies the following inequality for \( \beta \geq 1 \):
\[ E \geq 2\pi n + (\omega_0^2 + \omega_3^2)Q. \] (5.9)
It is worth noting that the existence of this bound does not automatically guarantee the existence of stable, localized solutions in the model [11]. The bound (5.9) can be saturated for \( \beta = 1 \), if all the perfect squares in the second line in (5.2) vanish, leading to the Bogomol’nyi equations:
\[ f_1' + \frac{n(a-1)}{\rho} f_1 = 0, \] (5.10a)
\[ f_2' + \frac{(na-m)}{\rho} f_2 = 0, \] (5.10b)
\[ \frac{na'}{\rho} + (f_1^2 + f_2^2 - 1) = 0. \] (5.10c)
The reduced action, $S$, can be obtained by replacing in the expression for the energy $E$ in (5.2) $|\omega|^2 = \omega_3^2 + \omega_0^2$ by $\omega^2 = \omega_3^2 - \omega_0^2$. Varying $S$ gives the cylindrically symmetric field equations:

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}(\rho a'_3)' = 2a_3|f|^2 - 2f'_2, \quad (5.11a)
$$

$$
\rho \left(\frac{a'}{\rho}\right)' = 2f'_1(a - 1) + 2f'_2(a - \frac{m}{n}), \quad (5.11b)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}(\rho f'_1)' = f_1 \left[ \frac{n^2(1 - a)^2}{\rho^2} + \omega^2a_3^2 - \beta(1 - |f|^2) \right], \quad (5.11c)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}(\rho f'_2)' = f_2 \left[ \frac{(m - na)^2}{\rho^2} + \omega^2(1 - a_3)^2 - \beta(1 - |f|^2) \right]. \quad (5.11d)
$$

where $' = d/d\rho$. These equations do not depend separately on $\omega_0$ and $\omega_3$, but only on the Lorentz-invariant combination $\omega^2 = \omega_3^2 - \omega_0^2$, and therefore any solution determines actually a whole class, which is its Lorentz orbit corresponding to boosts (4.3). Since we are interested in finite energy solutions of Eqs. (5.11), in the following we shall assume that $\omega^2 \geq 0$ (space-like or null classes in the terminology of the previous Section).

We note that the change of variable $a(\rho) \rightarrow n(1 - a(\rho))$ achieves that the field equations do not depend separately on $n$ and $m$, but only on the “helicity number” $\nu = n - m$. However, due to the boundary conditions, solutions of the field equations do not depend only on $\nu$, but separately on $n, m$. For this reason we prefer to use the parameterization of the equations explicitly containing both $n$ and $m$.

One can directly check that any solution of the first order Bogomol’nyi equations (5.10) also solves the field equations (5.11) if $\beta = 1$. In the next Section we shall briefly review some relevant solutions of the Bogomol’nyi equations (5.10).

### B. Local behaviour

Eqs. (5.11) admit a 4-parameter family of local solutions regular at the origin, $\rho = 0$:

$$
a = a^{(2)}_3 \rho^2 + O(\rho^{2m+2}), \quad a_3 = a^{(0)}_3 + O(\rho^{2m+2}), \quad (5.12a)
$$

$$
f_1 = f^{(n)}_1 \rho^n + O(\rho^{n+2}), \quad f_2 = f^{(m)}_2 \rho^m + O(\rho^{m+2}), \quad (5.12b)
$$

where $a^{(2)}_3$, $a^{(0)}_3$, $f^{(n)}_1$, $f^{(m)}_2$ are free parameters. Depending on the relative magnitudes of the values of the parameters $\beta$ and $\omega \equiv \sqrt{\omega^2}$ with respect to $\sqrt{2}$, the various possible asymptotic
behaviours of the fields as $\rho \to \infty$ for $\omega > 0$ can be inferred from the following:

\[ a = 1 + A\sqrt{\rho} e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} - \frac{D^2(1 - m/n)}{(1 - 2\omega^2)} e^{-2\omega \rho} + \ldots, \quad (5.13a) \]

\[ a_3 = B e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} + \frac{D^2}{(1 - 2\omega^2)} e^{-2\omega \rho} + \ldots, \quad (5.13b) \]

\[ f_1 = 1 + C e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} - \frac{\beta D^2}{2(\beta - 2\omega^2)} e^{-2\omega \rho} + n^2 A^2 + \frac{\omega^2 B^2}{2(4 - \beta)} e^{-2\sqrt{2}\rho} + \ldots, \quad (5.13c) \]

\[ f_2 = D e^{-\omega \rho} + \ldots, \quad (5.13d) \]

where $A, B, C, D$ are free parameters, and the resonant values ($\omega^2 = 1/2$, etc) have been excluded. The dominant asymptotic behaviour of $a, a_3, f_1$ is given by the smallest exponential in (5.13).

If $\omega^2 = 0$ then the Gauss-law-type equation for $a_3$ (5.11h) (recall that $a_0 = a_3$) decouples from the field equations (5.11b-d). The latter then become identical to the much studied “minimal” (i.e. static and untwisted) semilocal vortex equations. Let us recall some known facts about this “minimal” semilocal system (5.11b-d). If $f_2 = 0$, then Eqs. (5.11b-c) reduce to the vortex equations in the N= 1 Abelian-Higgs model, in which case solutions are known to exist for any value of $\beta$. The behaviour of these ANO vortices near the singular points is immediately obtained from Eqs. (5.12) and (5.13) (with $B = D = 0$). In addition, Hindmarsh \[7\] and Gibbons et al. \[19\] have shown the existence of $n$ one-parameter families of solutions of Eqs. (5.11b-d) also with $f_2 \neq 0$, but only for the special value $\beta = 1$. In fact, these solutions actually fulfill the first order Bogomol’nyi equations (5.10), and they are sometimes referred to as “skyrmions”. The asymptotic behaviour for large $\rho$ of these solutions is radically different from that of the ANO vortices, whose fields approach their vacuum values exponentially, whereas the “skyrmions” exhibit an asymptotic power law behaviour. In fact for $\omega = 0$ the asymptotic behaviour of the fields in Eqs. (5.11) is given as:

\[ a = 1 + \left( -\frac{(1 - m/n)}{x^{2\nu}} + \ldots \right) + A\sqrt{\rho} e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} + \ldots, \]

\[ a_3 = \left( \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} + \ldots \right) + B e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} + \ldots, \]

\[ f_1 = 1 + \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} + \ldots \right) + C e^{-\sqrt{2}\rho} + \ldots, \]

\[ f_2 = \frac{1}{x^{\nu}} + \ldots, \quad (5.14) \]
where \( x \equiv \rho/w \) with \( w \), the width of the magnetic flux tube, being an arbitrary parameter. The magnetic field, \( B \), falls off like \( w^{2\nu}/\rho^{2\nu+2} \). This is quite contrary to what one could expect, namely that the flux be confined within a region of size \( \approx 1/\sqrt{2} \) determined by the length scale of the massive vector boson. It should be stressed at this point that although the asymptotic expansion (5.14) is valid for any value of \( \beta \), global solutions of (5.11) for \( \omega = 0 \) satisfying the vortex boundary conditions (5.12), (5.14) are known to exist only for \( \beta = 1 \).

In this paper we shall give convincing numerical evidence that by relaxing the condition \( \omega = 0 \), \( n \) distinct one-parameter families of (twisted) solutions of Eqs. (5.11) exist not only for \( \beta = 1 \), but also for any value of \( \beta > 1 \).

VI. UNTWISTED AND CHIRAL SEMILOCAL VORTICES

Before considering new solutions, it is instructive to reproduce numerically some of the known solutions of the field equations (5.11) and to briefly review some of their properties. The numerical method we have used is a suitable version of the “multi-shooting” procedure successfully applied to produce high precision data for the ’t Hooft-Polyakov monopoles [22], to which paper we refer for further details.

The simplest solutions are the ANO vortices corresponding to \( a_0 = 0 \), \( a_3 = 0 \), \( f_2 = 0 \). We exhibit a sample ANO vortex profile function, \( a = a_{\text{ANO}} \), \( f_1 = f_{\text{ANO}} \), in Fig. 2, and also tabulate some numerical values of the relevant parameters of the ANO solutions in Table I. The energy of the ANO vortices increases monotonically with \( \beta \) and diverges in the London limit \( \beta \to \infty \). Solutions with \( \beta = 1 \) are distinguished by the fact that they also satisfy the first order Bogomol’nyi equations (5.10).

Next, as already discussed, for the special value \( \beta = 1 \) there are \( n \) one-parameter families of solutions with \( f_2 \neq 0 \) generalizing the corresponding ANO vortex. These solutions, dubbed “skyrmions”, satisfy the Bogomol’nyi equations (5.10), therefore they all have the same energy. As noted in [7], the three Bogomol’nyi equations imply that

\[
f_2 = \frac{1}{x^{\nu}} f_1,
\]

with \( x = \rho/w \), so that (5.10) reduce to two equations for \( f_1 \) and \( a \). For a given value of the winding number \( n \), the helicity number \( \nu = n - m \) can assume \( n \) values, \( \nu = 1, 2, \ldots n \),
FIG. 2: The profile functions for the ANO vortex with $\beta = 2$, $n = 1$ (left) and for one of the “skyrmions” with $n = 1$, $m = 0$, ($\beta = 1$) (right).

which label $n$ different solutions of the Bogomol’nyi equations for any given value of the “size parameter” $w$. The existence of these solutions was established in Ref. [7, 19]. We exhibit a typical solution in Fig. 2.

In the limit $w \to 0$ the “skyrmion” solution tends smoothly to the $\beta = 1$ ANO vortex. Since we shall make a connection with the large size limit of the “skyrmions”, $w \to \infty$, we recall some of the main points of the analysis of Ref. [7, 19]. To study this limit, it is convenient to introduce the variable

$$\chi = \ln(f) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + x^{-2\nu}),$$

(6.2)

which satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 \chi}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{d \chi}{dx} + w^2 (1 - e^{2\chi}) = 2\nu^2 \frac{x^{2\nu-2}}{(x^{2\nu} + 1)^2}.$$  

(6.3)

Now one can see that for large values of $w$ the solution of Eq. (6.3) is well approximated by

$$\chi \approx -\frac{\nu^2}{w^2} \frac{x^{2\nu-2}}{(x^{2\nu} + 1)^2},$$

(6.4)

which tends to $\chi = 0$ as $w \to \infty$, so as the width of the vortex tends to infinity the “skyrmion” solution approaches the following configuration more and more:

$$f_1 = \frac{x^\nu}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2\nu}}}, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2\nu}}}, \quad n(1 - a) = \frac{\nu}{1 + x^{2\nu}}.$$  

(6.5)
For the limiting configuration (6.5) one has $f_1^2 + f_2^2 = 1$, which means that the amplitude of the Higgs field is fixed, $\Phi^\dagger \Phi = 1$. Taking into account the U(1) “phase symmetry” one realizes that the Higgs field $\Phi$ takes its values in the target space of a $\text{CP}^1$ nonlinear $\sigma$-model. For this reason the “skyrmions” in the infinite width limit approximate $\text{CP}^1$-lumps. It is worth pointing out here that the non-trivial part of the limiting configuration (6.5) depends only on $\nu = n - m$. For a fixed value of $\nu$ there exist an infinite number of different “skyrmions” with different winding numbers $n = \nu, \nu + 1, \ldots$ whose energies are different. Although solutions with $n > \nu$ do not have the same boundary conditions at the axis as (6.5), away from the origin all of them approach for $w \to \infty$ the same configuration (6.5).

Finally one may wonder about the possible existence of such “skyrmions” with $\omega = 0$ also for $\beta \neq 1$. Just by counting the number of free parameters: there are four at $\rho = 0$ in (5.12) and also four at $\rho = \infty$ in (5.14), one sees that one cannot hope to find a one parameter family of solutions similar to those in the $\beta = 1$ case. Although this parameter counting argument leaves open a possibility of finding some isolated “skyrmion” solutions, here we can only confirm the negative results of Ref. [7], since our numerical searches yielded no solutions with $\omega \neq 0$ for $\beta \neq 1$.

VII. TWISTED STRING SOLUTIONS

In this Section we present some numerically constructed solutions of the cylindrically symmetric field equations (5.11) for $\beta > 1$. For a given value of the winding number $n$, the new solutions comprise $n$ one parameter families labeled by the twist parameter, $\omega$. Exploiting the boost symmetry gives finally two-parameter families of twisted vortices labeled by $\omega$ and $\omega_0$. We also explore the phase space of these twisted vortices, and we believe that our mostly numerical results provide strong evidence in favour of their existence. In order to obtain numerical solutions by our shooting and matching techniques it is necessary to have a “reasonable” starting point in the 8-dimensional parameter space. In particular one has to avoid that the numerical procedure converges to the ANO solution, which is always present. A very natural idea is to search for new classes of solutions bifurcating with the ANO vortex. If such bifurcating solutions exist, they will provide a good starting point in the parameter space.
TABLE I: Numerical values of the shooting parameters $f^{(1)}_1$ and $a^{(2)}$, the energy for the ANO vortex and the bifurcation parameter $\omega_b$ corresponding to the branching off of the twisted solutions.

| $\beta$ | $\omega_b(\beta)$ | $f^{(1)}_1$ | $a^{(2)}$ | $E/2\pi$ |
|---------|------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| 1       | 0                | 0.500000 | 0.853178 | 1.000000 |
| 2       | 0.329886         | 0.616573 | 1.099352 | 1.156761 |
| 3       | 0.513051         | 0.696864 | 1.284290 | 1.260901 |
| 4       | 0.661658         | 0.759706 | 1.438747 | 1.340595 |
| 5       | 0.790405         | 0.811948 | 1.574136 | 1.405773 |
| 6       | 0.905687         | 0.856955 | 1.696163 | 1.461215 |
| 7       | 1.011028         | 0.896661 | 1.808164 | 1.509620 |
| 8       | 1.108629         | 0.932290 | 1.912281 | 1.552676 |
| 9       | 1.199978         | 0.964672 | 2.009991 | 1.591515 |
| 10      | 1.286135         | 0.994398 | 2.102359 | 1.626935 |

A. Bifurcation analysis

The first step in the bifurcation analysis is to linearize Eqs. (5.11) around the ANO solution with $a = a_{ANO}$, $f_1 = f_{ANO}$, $a_3 = 0$, $f_2 = 0$. If now $f_2 \ll 1$, then to first order in the amplitude $f_2$ one has $a_3 \equiv 0$, since $f_2 = 0$ implies $a_3 = 0$, and $f_2$ appears quadratically in Eq. (5.11a). Therefore $a_3 = O(f_2^2)$, and the linearized field equation (5.11d) for the $f_2$ amplitude can be written as the following (Schrödinger-type) equation:

$$\frac{-1}{\rho}(\rho f'_2) + \left[\frac{(na_{ANO} - m)^2}{\rho^2} - \beta (1 - f_{ANO}^2)\right] f_2 = -\omega^2 f_2.$$  (7.1)

This equation corresponds precisely to the eigenvalue problem studied by Hindmarsh in his linearized stability analysis of the ANO vortex embedded into the semilocal theory [7]. In agreement with his results, for any $\beta > 1$ we find a single normalizable solution, $f_2$, with a negative eigenvalue, $-\omega^2 \equiv -\omega_b^2(\beta, n, m)$. In Table 1 we list for $n = 1$, $m = 0$ the values of $\omega_b(\beta)$ for some values of $\beta$. Just as in Ref. [7], we do not find any non-trivial normalizable solutions of Eq. (7.1) when $\beta < 1$. In Ref. [7], where $\omega_3 = 0$, the existence of normalizable solutions (bound states) of Eq. (7.1) for $\beta > 1$ is the sign of the instability...
of the embedded ANO vortex, whereas their absence for $\beta < 1$ indicates its linearized stability. The instability of the embedded ANO vortex can be interpreted as the magnetic flux spreading out to infinity.

In our case $\omega_3 \neq 0$, and the very same bound states indicate the existence of new branches of solutions bifurcating with the corresponding ANO vortex at $\omega = \omega_b(\beta, n, m)$. The bifurcation parameter $\omega_b(\beta, n, m)$ is depicted in Fig. 3 in function of $\beta$ for some of the smallest values of $n$ and $m$. To each curve $\omega^2_b(\beta, n, m)$ in Fig. 3 there corresponds a new family of solutions bifurcating with the corresponding (i.e. of the same values of $\beta, n$) ANO vortex for $\omega = \omega_b(\beta, n, m)$. Based on our numerical results there is little doubt that for any (integer) value of $n$ a discrete family of curves $\omega^2_b(\beta, n, m)$ exist with $m = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. The new (static) twisted vortex solutions are therefore expected to exist for any value of $\beta > 1$, and for a given winding number $n$ they comprise a two parameter set labeled by the twist parameter, $\omega$, varying continuously, and by the discrete index $m = 0, 1, \ldots n - 1$. Instead of the twist, $\omega$, each of the new solution branches can also be parameterized by $f^{(m)}_2$. For $m = 0$, $f^{(0)}_2$ is the value of the condensate at the axis of the twisted vortex.

As can be seen in Fig. 3 all curves $\omega^2_b(\beta, n, m)$ pass through zero at $\beta = 1$. This point corresponds to the bifurcation of the “skyrmions” in the limit of vanishing size parameter $w$ with the corresponding ANO vortices. The corresponding zero mode solutions of the eigenvalue problem describe deformations of the “skyrmions” with respect to $w$ in the vicinity of $w = 0$. Our numerics indicate that in the opposite limit, $\beta \to \infty$, one has $\omega_b(\beta, n, m) \to \infty$.

### B. New Solutions

As exposed in detail in the previous subsection, the known “magnetic spreading” instability of the embedded ANO vortices in the EAH theory indicates in fact the bifurcation phenomenon, in which new (twisted) solution families branch off from the ANO family. In Subsection A we have already constructed new solutions in the linear approximation, but it remains to construct them in the full nonlinear theory. In view of the mathematical complexity of Eqs. we do not have much hope to obtain analytical solutions, although an existence proof using functional techniques appears to be feasible. In this paper we present exclusively numerical results, which in our view provide convincing evidence as to
FIG. 3: Left: The bifurcation parameter, \( \omega_B^2(\beta, n, m) \), as a function of \( \beta \). Right: the value of the condensate \( f_2^{(0)} \) as a function of the twist \( \omega \) for twisted solutions with \( \beta = 2, 4, 9 \), and \( n = 1 \).

FIG. 4: The rest frame energy \( E/2\pi \) (left) and the rest frame current \( I/2\pi \) (right) plotted against the value of the condensate \( f_2^{(0)} \) at the origin for the solutions with \( n = 1 \) and \( \beta = 2, 4, 9 \).

the existence of globally regular twisted solutions. Adapting the multi shooting procedure described in Ref. [22] and tested for the ANO vortices (see Table I), we have succeeded to obtain numerically globally regular solutions of Eqs. (5.11) corresponding to twisted, current carrying vortices. To obtain the new solutions we start close to the bifurcation point, i.e. we choose values of the shooting parameters close to those of the bifurcating solution, and then we let vary the value of \( \omega \) in the neighborhood of \( \omega_B^2(\beta, n, m) \) to obtain “nontrivial” (i.e. different from the ANO vortex) solutions.
In fact for our solutions $\omega$ varies in a finite interval, $0 < \omega \leq \omega_b(\beta, n, m)$ (for $n > 2$ the situation is actually slightly more complicated; see below). As $\omega$ tends to $\omega_b(\beta, n, m)$, the functions $a_3 \to 0$, $f_2 \to 0$, while $a \to a_{ANO}$, $f_1 \to f_{ANO}$, i.e. the new class bifurcates with the corresponding ANO vortex. The limit $\omega \to 0$ is somewhat more complicated. Instead of approaching an untwisted vortex with $\omega = 0$ in this limit, solutions for $\omega \to 0$ approach pointwise the exterior part of the “skyrmions” in the large size limit ($w \to \infty$), without ever attaining it. In other words, the point $\omega = 0$ does not belong to the phase space of twisted vortices. This limit will be analyzed in some detail in Subsection E.

Every solution of Eqs. (5.11) can be Lorentz-boosted, giving rise to a whole family of vortices, labeled by $\omega_0$, with a given $\omega^2 = \omega_0^2 - \omega^2$. Passing to the rest frame, where $\omega_0 = 0$, we observe that the rest frame energy, $E$, decreases for decreasing values of $\omega$ or equivalently for increasing values of the condensate $f_2^{(0)}$ at the origin. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 where $E/2\pi$ is plotted in function of $f_2^{(0)}$. As the twist parameter decreases, the current and the condensate value at the center of the fundamental vortex increase; see Fig. 3. We have also plotted in Fig. 4 the rest frame current, $I/2\pi$, (introduced in Eq. (5.8)) in function of the value of the condensate at the origin, $f_2^{(0)}$.

For solutions with $n \leq 2$ the decrease of the energy is monotone, so all such twisted vortices have lower energy than the corresponding ANO ones. For $n > 2$ the maximal value of the twist parameter can be different from the bifurcation value. For example for $n = 3$ we find that $\omega_{\text{max}}(\beta, 3, 0) > \omega_b(\beta, 3, 0)$ (this is recorded in Table IV), and so for a given value
of the twist parameter in the interval $\omega_b < \omega < \omega_{\text{max}}$ there are two distinct twisted vortices. In this case $f_2^{(0)}$ can be chosen instead of $\omega$ to label the solutions, since is always increases monotonically as one moves along the solution family. For sufficiently large values of $f_2^{(0)}$ both $\omega$ and $E$ start decreasing, and the energy $E$ of the twisted vortex becomes smaller than $E_{\text{ANO}}$ while $\omega$ eventually tends to zero. The rest frame energy of the twisted vortices is therefore bounded as

$$E_{\text{ANO}}(\beta, n) \geq E(\beta, \omega, n, m) > 2\pi n + \omega |I|,$$

for $n = 1, 2$, while for $n \geq 3$ the first inequality here is valid when $\omega$ is sufficiently smaller than $\omega_b$. The energy of the new solutions with $n = 1, 2$ is thus always smaller than that of the ANO vortex. Therefore we expect the new solutions of winding number $n = 1$ with a nonzero current to be stable.

In Figs. 5 we depict two sample twisted vortex function profiles for $\omega = 0.3$ and also for a rather small value of the twist, $\omega = 0.017$ (with $\beta = 2$ and $n = 1$). In Table II we list the relevant parameters of some of the twisted vortex solutions for $n = 1$: the twist, $\omega$, the values of $f_1^{(1)}$, $f_2^{(0)}$, $a_1^{(2)}$, $a_3^{(0)}$ parameterizing the solutions at the origin, the rest frame energy, $E$, the invariant charge $Q$, and the rest frame value of the current, $I = \omega Q$.

Next we turn to solutions with winding number $n > 1$. In this case one finds $n$ distinct one-parameter solutions indexed by $m = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$, or equivalently by the helicity index

FIG. 6: Twisted semilocal vortex solutions for $\beta = 2$ and $n = 2, m = 0, \omega = 0.1$ (left) and $n = 2, m = 1, \omega = 0.215$ (right).


TABLE II: Solution parameters for $\beta = 2, 4, 9$ and $n = 1$.

| $\beta$ | $\omega$ | $f_1^{(1)}$ | $f_2^{(0)}$ | $a^{(2)}$ | $a_3^{(0)}$ | $E/2\pi$ | $Q/2\pi$ | $I/2\pi$ |
|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.3     | 1.010824| 0.386212    | 0.546391    | 0.124789 | 1.155180 | 0.170624 | 0.051187|
| 0.2     | 0.767653| 0.705426    | 0.363046    | 0.422267 | 1.131355 | 0.902637 | 0.180527|
| 2       | 0.1     | 0.534459    | 0.864141    | 0.204976 | 0.663061 | 3.015082 | 0.301508|
|         | 0.017   | 0.239896    | 0.972041    | 0.051176 | 0.906725 | 1.020255 | 29.91849| 0.508614|
| 0.6     | 1.305601| 0.428496    | 0.675653    | 0.107975 | 1.336898 | 0.096934 | 0.058161|
| 0.3     | 0.799348| 0.837937    | 0.354284    | 0.496610 | 1.237417 | 0.869072 | 0.260722|
| 4       | 0.1     | 0.462641    | 0.947953    | 0.154324 | 0.754081 | 1.106005 | 4.140499| 0.414050|
|         | 0.03    | 0.272278    | 0.981800    | 0.062910 | 0.889577 | 1.039866 | 18.6000  | 0.558001|
| 1       | 1.677185| 0.570981    | 0.808482    | 0.129739 | 1.573669 | 0.087067 | 0.087067|
| 0.6     | 1.113827| 0.849213    | 0.515067    | 0.379056 | 1.443952 | 0.387808 | 0.232685|
| 0.3     | 0.734885| 0.939568    | 0.300118    | 0.589641 | 1.273394 | 1.130746 | 0.339224|
| 9       | 0.1     | 0.435594    | 0.979182    | 0.136570 | 0.785287 | 1.116579 | 4.663623| 0.466362|
|         | 0.08    | 0.394789    | 0.982893    | 0.116465 | 0.812686 | 1.097425 | 6.154640 | 0.492371|

TABLE III: Parameters of the fundamental solutions for $\beta = 2$ and $n = 2, m = 0$

| $\omega$ | $f_1^{(2)}$ | $f_2^{(0)}$ | $a^{(2)}$ | $a_3^{(0)}$ | $E/2\pi$ | $Q/2\pi$ | $I/2\pi$ |
|---------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.5     | 0.461753    | 0.743062    | 0.370423 | 0.500163 | 2.389129 | 0.459068 | 0.229534|
| 0.1     | 0.075237    | 0.990196    | 0.030212 | 0.951224 | 2.099932 | 4.633715 | 0.463371|
| 0.02    | 0.014362    | 0.999592    | 0.001576 | 0.996961 | 2.021527 | 26.21910 | 0.524382|

$\nu = 1, \ldots, n$ determining the value of the angular momentum in Eq. (5.6). In Figs. 6 we depict an $n = 2, m = 0$ twisted vortex with $\omega = 0.1$ and also another one with $n = 2, m = 1$ and $\omega = 0.215$. For $m > 0$ both $f_1$ and $f_2$ vanish at the origin, i.e. there is no condensate there. While the $\beta = 1$ "skyrmions" with different values of $m$ are all degenerate in energy, for twisted vortices with $\beta > 1$ this degeneracy is lifted. In fact, solutions with $m > 0$ can be viewed as ‘excitations’ of the ‘fundamental’ solution with $m = 0$, since they have higher energy, this is illustrated in Fig. 7.
FIG. 7: Rest frame energy $E/(2\pi)$ versus the rest frame current $I/(2\pi)$ for two solutions with $n = 2$, $m = 0, 1$ for $\beta = 2$. For $m = 0$ and for large currents one has $E/(2\pi) \to 2$.

TABLE IV: Parameters of the fundamental solutions for $\beta = 2$ and $n = 3$, $m = 0$

| $\omega$ | $f_1^{(3)}$ | $f_2^{(0)}$ | $a_1^{(2)}$ | $a_2^{(0)}$ | $E/2\pi$ | $Q/2\pi$ | $I/2\pi$ |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.71    | 0.389410    | 0.122714    | 0.647036    | 0.018799    | 3.716103  | 0.010221  | 0.007257  |
| 0.73    | 0.353581    | 0.380272    | 0.587955    | 0.167458    | 3.71523   | 0.094779  | 0.069189  |
| 0.4     | 0.064950    | 0.965118    | 0.101566    | 0.878371    | 3.459984  | 1.215289  | 0.486116  |
| 0.13    | 0.009128    | 0.998709    | 0.007153    | 0.988680    | 3.167331  | 4.627920  | 0.601630  |

We tabulate a few values of the pertinent parameters of some fundamental twisted solutions with $n = 2, 3$ in Tables III and IV, and of some excited ones with $n = 2$ and $m = 1$ for $\beta = 2$ in Table V.

In the limit $\omega \to \omega_0(\beta, n, m)$ solutions with different values of $m$ tend to the same ANO

TABLE V: Parameters of the excited solutions for $\beta = 2$ and $n = 2$, $m = 1$

| $\omega$ | $f_1^{(2)}$ | $f_2^{(1)}$ | $a_1^{(2)}$ | $a_2^{(0)}$ | $E/2\pi$ | $Q/2\pi$ | $I/2\pi$ |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.2     | 0.559894    | 0.721888    | 0.649659    | 0.374412    | 2.403820  | 1.288194  | 0.257639  |
| 0.1     | 0.343013    | 0.976403    | 0.641370    | 0.715442    | 2.321518  | 5.587675  | 0.558768  |
| 0.04    | 0.212548    | 1.054153    | 0.629574    | 0.873387    | 2.241088  | 20.31268  | 0.812507  |
vortex, whose energy does not depend on $m$. In the opposite limit, for small values of the twist and for large currents, the energy of the fundamental solutions approaches the universal lower bound $E = 2\pi n$ irrespectively of the value of $\beta$, while for the excited solutions this is not the case, since their energy grows with $\beta$ and diverges in the London limit $\beta \to \infty$ for any $I$ (for a more detailed discussion of this limit see the next Subsection).

We now describe some interesting features of the stationary case. As has already been discussed stationary solutions can be obtained from the static ones by applying Lorentz boosts (4.4). For static solutions the global charge, momentum and angular momentum densities are all vanishing, $j_0^3 = T_z^0 = T_\varphi^0 = 0$. Applying a Lorentz boost to a static solution generates a stationary one whose fields are obtained by simply replacing in Eq. (5.1) $\omega_3 = \omega$ and $\omega_0 = 0$ by $\omega_0 = -\omega \sinh(\gamma)$, $\omega_3 = \cosh(\gamma)\omega$. These stationary solutions have, however, some different physical properties, for they have non-vanishing momentum, angular momentum, and global charge. It is instructive to see how this comes about explicitly.

Let us first remark that the energy momentum tensor of the ANO solutions is boost invariant, since its only non-zero components are $T_0^0 = T_z^z$, $T_\rho^\rho$, $T_\varphi^\varphi$. When we compute $T_\mu^\nu$ for the static twisted vortices, we find that $T_\rho^\rho = T_\varphi^\varphi = 0$, but otherwise the remaining 7 components are all non-vanishing and describe a complex system of internal stresses and pressures in the vortex. The boost invariance of this system is manifestly broken by non-zero values of $T_0^0 - T_z^z$ and $T_\varphi^z$, which can be represented in the form

$$T_0^0 - T_z^z = \frac{\omega}{2} j_3^3 + \ldots, \quad T_\varphi^z = \frac{\nu}{2} j_3^3 + \ldots, \quad (7.3)$$

where dots stand for total derivative terms that vanish upon integration. If we now apply a Lorentz boost (4.4), then in view of the tensorial transformation law, $T_\mu^\nu \to \tilde{T}_\mu^\nu = (\partial x^\alpha / \partial \tilde{x}^\mu)(\partial x^\beta / \partial \tilde{x}^\nu)T_{\alpha \beta}$, the densities of the energy, momentum and angular momentum become

$$\tilde{T}_0^0 = T_0^0 + \sinh^2(\gamma)(T_0^0 - T_z^z),$$

$$\tilde{T}_z^0 = -\sinh(\gamma) \cosh(\gamma)(T_0^0 - T_z^z),$$

$$\tilde{T}_\varphi^0 = \sinh(\gamma) T_\varphi^z. \quad (7.4)$$

Integrating Eq. (7.4) using Eq. (7.3) and $\int j_3^3 \, d^2 x = 4I_3 = 4\omega Q$ one can express the effect of a boost on a static solution as $E \to \tilde{E} = E + 2(\omega_0)^2 Q$, $\tilde{P} = 2\omega_0 \omega_3 Q$, $\tilde{J} = -2\omega_0 \nu Q$, which completely agrees with Eqs. (5.2), (5.6) as it should. Similarly the charge and current
densities generated by such a boost are $\tilde{j}_0^3 = -\sinh(\gamma)j_0^3$ and $\tilde{j}_3^3 = \cosh(\gamma)j_3^3$, which gives upon integration Eq. (5.5).

![Graphs showing energy and current densities](image)

FIG. 8: Left: The energy and current densities, $T_0^0$ and $j_3^3$, for a static solution with $\beta = 2$, $\omega = 0.19$, and $n = 1$. Right: Energy densities, $T_0^0$, of the corresponding stationary solutions for some values of the boost parameter, $\gamma$.

Summarizing, twisted vortices are not Lorentz boost invariant. The densities of the energy, momentum, angular momentum, global charge and the current of a boosted static solution are given by linear combinations of $T_0^0$ and $j_3^3$, up to terms that vanish upon integration (see Fig. 8). These stationary solutions can be labelled by the value of the boost parameter, $\gamma$, or equivalently by $\omega_0$, or equivalently by their momentum $P$.

C. The $\beta \to \infty$ limit

It is instructive to discuss in some detail the $\beta = \infty$ limiting theory, in which case the scalar fields are constrained as

$$\Phi^\dagger \Phi = |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 \equiv 1,$$

(7.5)

when the SU(2) EAH model reduces to the gauged $\text{CP}^1$-model. It is convenient to parameterize the scalar fields as:

$$f_1 = \cos \theta, \quad f_2 = \sin \theta,$$

(7.6)
and then the field equations can be written as:

\[
\frac{1}{\rho}(\rho a_3')' = 2[a_3 - \cos^2 \theta], \quad (7.7a)
\]
\[
\rho \left(\frac{a'}{\rho}\right)' = 2 \left[a - \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{n} \cos^2 \theta\right)\right], \quad (7.7b)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\rho}(\rho \theta')' = \frac{1}{2} \left[\omega^2 (2a_3 - 1) - \frac{n\nu}{\rho^2} \left(2a - \frac{n + m}{n}\right)\right] \sin(2\theta). \quad (7.7c)
\]

The local behaviour of the fields near \( \rho = 0 \) is not analytic in \( \rho \), it is given by

\[
a = (a^{(2)} - \frac{m}{n} \ln \rho) \rho^2 + \ldots, \quad a_3 = a_3^{(0)} + \ldots, \quad \theta = c \rho \sqrt{n^2 - m^2} + \ldots. \quad (7.8)
\]

For large values of \( \rho \) the asymptotic series of the function \( \theta \) is easily seen to be

\[
\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - D e^{-\omega \rho} + \ldots, \quad (7.9)
\]

while the various possible asymptotic behaviours of \( a, a_3 \) for \( \rho \to \infty \) depending on \( \omega \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{2} \), are still given by the formulae \((5.13a,b)\). The profile functions of the current carrying vortex solution of Eqs. (7.7) are qualitatively similar to those of Eqs. \((5.11)\), for a sample profiles see Figs. \(\text{9}\). In Table VI we give the relevant parameters of some solutions with winding number \( n = 1 \) for various values of the twist, \( \omega \). For any winding number \( n \) the energy of the fundamental \( (m = 0) \) \( \beta = \infty \) solutions remains bounded for any finite value of \( \omega \).

We emphasize that our numerical evidence does suggest \( \omega_b(\infty) = \infty \). i.e. the parameter \( \omega \) varies in the interval \((0, \infty)\).
TABLE VI: Parameters of the $n = 1, \beta = \infty$ solutions

| $\omega$ | $f_1^{(1)}$ | $a^{(2)}$ | $a_3^{(0)}$ | $E/2\pi$ | $Q/2\pi$ | $I/2\pi$ |
|----------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|
| 5        | 6.030722    | 1.812331| 0.064034  | 2.991526| 0.018523| 0.092613 |
| 1        | 1.442394    | 0.640988| 0.366642  | 1.753574| 0.274199| 0.274199 |
| 0.1      | 0.417767    | 0.125292| 0.804925  | 1.124363| 5.046921| 0.504692 |
| 0.01     | 0.155052    | 0.022236| 0.958536  | 1.017715| 78.02346| 0.780235 |

On the other hand, the energy of the excited ($m > 0$) $\beta = \infty$ solutions diverges, since the $(m - n a)^2 f_2^2/\rho$ term in the energy density (5.2) causes a logarithmic divergence in the total energy as $\rho \to 0$. This behaviour is very similar to the case of the $\beta \to \infty$ limiting ANO vortex, whose energy also diverges logarithmically.

**D. Qualitative description of the phase space**

The phase space of the fundamental ($m = 0$) twisted vortex solutions with winding number $n = 1$ is depicted in Fig. 10 using the explicit parameters appearing in equations (5.11), $\beta$, $\omega$, and the magnitude of the condensate at the origin, $f_2^{(0)}$, as coordinates. In Fig. 10 a one parameter family of twisted vortices for a fixed value of $\beta$ corresponds to a curve in the $(f_2^{(0)}, \omega)$ plane. The ANO vortex for some fixed value of $\beta$ corresponds to a $\beta =$const. straight line in the $f_2^{(0)} = 0$ plane. The curve $\omega_b(\beta)$ is also indicated in the $f_2^{(0)} = 0$ plane, where the bifurcation of the new solutions with the ANO vortices takes place. Three families of twisted solutions have been indicated as solid curves for the values $\beta = 2, 4, 9$, together with the “skyrmion” solutions satisfying the Bogomol’nyi equations ($\beta = 1$). The curves terminate at the smallest values of $\omega$ we could compute. They should all approach indefinitely the $(\omega = 0, f_2^{(0)} = 1)$ line, without ever reaching it.

We have also depicted the “energy landscape” of the fundamental solutions with winding number $n = 1$ in Fig. 11. In Fig. 11 a family of solutions for a fixed value of $\beta$ corresponds to a solid curve in the $(f_2^{(0)}, \omega)$ plane, and we have also indicated the corresponding ANO vortex, located at the endpoint of the curve in the $f_2^{(0)} = 0$ plane where the new family bifurcates with it. The family of solutions for $\beta = \infty$ is represented by the solid curve in the $f_2^{(0)} = 1$ plane. It is clearly seen that as the value of $\omega$ decreases from $\omega_b(\beta)$ towards $\omega = 0$, ...
the energy of all twisted vortices decreases towards the Bogomol’nyi bound, $E = 2\pi$, without ever attaining it, irrespectively of the value of $\beta$. An important point is that solutions with nonzero values of $\omega$ do not have a smooth $\omega \to 0$ limit, i.e. the point $(f_2^{(0)} = 1, \omega = 0)$ corresponding to the approximate $\mathbb{CP}^1$ lump does not belong to the phase space as is indicated on Fig. 11 by a circle.

E. The zero twist limit

As we have already remarked, the limit of zero twist, $\omega \to 0$ is rather nontrivial. After a brief description of the behaviour of the solutions for small values of $\omega$, we shall give a heuristic explanation of the observed behaviour without any attempt of mathematical rigor.
FIG. 11: Energy of the fundamental twisted vortices.

One observes that when the twist parameter $\omega$ decreases with fixed $\beta, n, m$, the solutions behave differently in a region containing the origin (interior region) and in the corresponding outside region. In the outside region the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions is dominated by the $f_2$ amplitude due to its slowest falloff, but what is more, the solutions approach more and more the “skyrmion” configurations described in Section VI. At the same time the value of the current is getting larger and larger, in fact for $n = 1$ we expect that it will grow without bounds. We depicted the current in function of the twist parameter for $n = 1$ in Fig. 12 Irrespectively of the value of $\beta$ the energy of the fundamental solutions ($m = 0$) approaches a universal lower bound which is nothing but the Bogomol’nyi lower bound, i.e.
for $\omega \to 0$ we observe that $I$ is growing without any apparent limit and

$$E \to 2\pi n, \quad f_2^{(0)} \to 1.$$  \hfill (7.10)

The excited solutions with $m \neq 0$ also approach the same limiting “skyrmion” configurations depending only on $\nu$. Unfortunately, with our numerical procedure we could not obtain solutions for values of $\omega$ smaller than $\approx 10^{-2}$. Nevertheless these numerical results enable us to give a heuristic description of the $\omega \to 0$ limit. It turns out that the solutions behave in this limit essentially in the same way as the large size “skyrmions”. Let us introduce a new function $C(\rho)$ as

$$f_2(\rho) = x^{-\nu} C(\rho) f_1(\rho),$$  \hfill (7.11)

where $C(0) = 1$, $x = \rho/w$, and $w$ is the “size” parameter. Similarly to the skyrmion case, we will now study the limit $w \to \infty$. With

$$s = \frac{1}{w^2}$$  \hfill (7.12)
the field equations (5.11) read

\[ s \frac{1}{x} \left( x a_3' \right)' = 2a_3 (f_1^2 + f_2^2) - 2f_2^2, \]  
(7.13a)

\[ s x \left( \frac{x a_3'}{x} \right)' = 2f_1^2 (a - 1) + 2f_2^2 (a - \frac{m}{n}), \]  
(7.13b)

\[ s \left\{ \frac{1}{x} (x f_1') - n^2 \left( \frac{1-a}{x^2} \right) f_1 \right\} = f_1 \left[ \omega^2 a_3^2 - \beta (1 - f_1^2 - f_2^2) \right], \]  
(7.13c)

\[ \left\{ C'' + \left( \frac{2 f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{1-2 \nu}{x} \right) C' - \frac{2 \nu}{x} \left( \frac{f_1'}{f_1} - \frac{n(1-a)}{x} \right) C \right\} = \frac{\omega^2}{s} (1 - 2a_3) C, \]  
(7.13d)

where now ' denotes the derivative with respect to \( x \). Assuming that

\[ \lim_{s \to 0} \omega^2 = 0, \]  
(7.14)

and that the derivatives remain bounded in an interval, \( D \), equations (7.13a-c) imply on \( D \) for \( s \to 0 \)

\[ 0 = (f_1^2 + f_2^2) a_3 - f_2^2, \quad 0 = f_1^2 (a - 1) + f_2^2 \left( a - \frac{m}{n} \right), \quad 0 = \beta (f_1^2 + f_2^2 - 1) f_1, \]  
(7.15)

whose solution can be parameterized with a single function \( \Theta(x) \):

\[ f_1 = \sin(\Theta), \quad f_2 = \cos(\Theta), \quad a_3 = \cos^2(\Theta), \quad n(1-a) = \nu \cos^2(\Theta). \]  
(7.16)

The function \( C(x) \) can now be expressed as

\[ C = x^{\nu} \frac{f_2}{f_1} = x^{\nu} \cot(\Theta). \]  
(7.17)

Inserting (7.17) to the equation for \( C(x) \) (7.13d) one obtains

\[ \Theta'' + \frac{1}{x} \Theta' = \frac{1}{4} \left( \lambda + \frac{\nu^2}{x^2} \right) \sin(4\Theta), \]  
(7.18)

where \( \lambda \equiv \lim_{s \to 0} \omega^2/s \). Since the boundary conditions, \( \Theta(x) \to \pi/2 \) for \( x \to \infty \) and \( \Theta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to 0 \), ensure that the fields \( f_1, f_2, a, a_3 \) have the qualitatively correct global asymptotic behavior not only on \( D \) but by extension on the whole \( 0 \leq x < \infty \), we seek solutions of (7.18) subject to these boundary conditions. There are now two possible cases: either \( \lambda \) is unbounded or it is finite. In fact \( \lambda \) must be bounded, since otherwise \( \Theta(x) \) could not satisfy the boundary conditions. A simple positivity argument shows that, unless \( \lambda = 0 \), equation (7.18) does not admit solutions satisfying the boundary conditions. When \( \lambda = 0 \), the solution is given by

\[ \sin(\Theta) = \frac{x^{\nu}}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2\nu}}}. \]  
(7.19)
We therefore conclude that in the $1/\sqrt{s} = w \to \infty$ limit one has $\lim_{s \to 0} \omega^2/s = 0$, and the solutions approach the following configuration

$$f_1 = \frac{x^\nu}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2\nu}}}, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2\nu}}}, \quad n(1 - a) = \frac{\nu}{1 + x^{2\nu}}, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{1 + x^{2\nu}},$$

(7.20)

and furthermore $C(x) \equiv 1$. This limiting configuration is indeed the same as the one obtained in the large size skyrmion limit (6.3). What is interesting, is that this limiting behavior is universal, i.e. it does not depend on $\beta$. Similarly to the skyrmions, the limiting configurations depend only on $\nu$, and so solutions with $n = \nu, \nu + 1, \ldots$ have the same limit. Only the fundamental $m = 0$ solutions approach the limiting skyrmion globally, since they have the same boundary conditions at the origin as (7.20), and so their energy approaches in the limit the Bogomol’nyi bound, i.e. $E = 2\pi n$. The excited solutions ($m \neq 0$) have different boundary conditions at $x = 0$, and they approach the limiting configuration in a domain not containing the origin.

Finally we present a simple virial argument which shows that at least in the $\beta = \infty$ theory there are no nontrivial “skyrmion” solutions. From the energy functional (5.2) one can obtain the following virial relation for static configurations:

$$\int_0^\infty d\rho \, n^2 \frac{a^2}{\rho} = \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \left\{ 2\omega^2 [f_1^2 a_3^2 + f_2^2 (1 - a_3)^2] + \beta (1 - |f|^2)^2 \right\},$$

(7.21)

which immediately implies that for $\omega = 0$ the $\beta = \infty$ theory, when the the potential energy term $\propto \beta$ is absent, does not admit nontrivial finite energy solutions. Although for finite values of $\beta$, the above simple virial argument does not exclude the possible existence of “skyrmions” for $\omega = 0$, the analysis of the $\omega \to 0$ limit has indicated that in fact irrespectively of the value of $\beta$, $f_1^2 + f_2^2 \to 1$, therefore it is unlikely that nontrivial “skyrmions” would exist apart from the known ones for $\beta = 1$.

**VIII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In summary, we have presented a new class of straight, twisted string solutions of the SU(2) symmetric semilocal Abelian Higgs model. These twisted strings have finite charge, energy, momentum, and angular momentum per unit length, and they also carry a global current. The solutions are not Lorentz boost invariant in the $t, z$ plane, and there exists a rest frame where their charge, momentum, and angular momentum vanish. The current does not vanish, however, and so it is an essential physical parameter.
The twisted solutions exist for $\beta > 1$ and they can be labeled by the frequency $\omega_0$ and twist $\omega_3$, by the winding number $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, and by an additional integer, $m = 0, 1, \ldots n - 1$. Related to these, there are four independent physical parameters of the vortex: the momentum $P \sim \omega_0$, current $I \sim \omega_3$, magnetic flux $\sim n$, and angular momentum $J \sim (n - m)$. For $I \to 0$ the twisted solutions bifurcate with the embedded ANO vortices. The current seems to range in the infinite interval, at least for $n = 1$, and for $|I| \to \infty$ the solutions approach the $\text{CP}^1$ lump(s) just as the ”skyrmions” do in the large size limit. The energy in the rest frame decreases as $|I|$ grows and, if $m = 0$, it tends to the absolute lower bound $2\pi n$ in the zero twist limit. The fundamental solutions with $m = 0$ have the lowest energy for a given $n$ and $I \neq 0$. They have finite energy also in the London limit $\beta \to \infty$, whereas the energy of the excited strings with $m \neq 0$ diverges. As $\omega \to 0$, the solutions approach the limiting “skyrmion” configurations.

Perhaps the most important property of the twisted strings is the fact that their energy is lower as compared to that of the ANO vortices. They are therefore energetically more favorable to be produced during phase transitions, and so one would expect that the formation of such twisted strings is an important issue. This can be called ‘spontaneous superconductivity’ and this may imply interesting applications in cosmological models. The new twisted vortices could also play a role in solid state physics in models of various superconductors with several order parameters (e.g. two-band superconductivity models containing a vector and two complex scalar fields [9, 23]). In superfluid $^3\text{He}$ twisted vortices qualitatively similar to ours (Salomaa-Volovik vortices) have been experimentally observed [3]. From the point of view of high energy physics the twisted semilocal strings should have their twisted $Z$-strings counterparts in the bosonic sector of Standard Model of Electroweak interactions.

An important property of the superconducting semilocal strings it that, similarly to the topological ANO vortices, they cannot terminate. The embedded ANO strings do not have this property, since they can terminate on magnetic monopoles [5]. Superconducting semilocal strings have a global current flowing through them, whose conservation implies that they should either be infinitely long or form closed loops. Such loops will in general be charged and will also have a momentum circulating along them, and so that there is a possibility that they could form quasi-stationary objects of vorton type. In fact there have been attempts to construct such closed flux loop solutions in the SU(2) EAH model, although the results obtained remain somewhat controversial for the time being since the
existence of such solutions, advocated in Ref. [24], has not been confirmed in the analysis of Refs. [25].

Maybe the most important open problem related to the new solutions is their stability. Since for a fixed value of the current the fundamental twisted solution have the least energy, we expect them to be stable in the semilocal model. However, a detailed stability analysis is necessary to make definite conclusions.

Another interesting issue is to investigate the interactions between twisted vortices. While type II ANO vortices (with $\beta > 1$) always repel each other, for our twisted vortices the situation seems to be more complicated. Since we have superimposed vortex solutions for $n > 1$, we can ask if it is energetically favorable for them to break up into widely separated constituents with $n = 1$. The curves in Fig. 12, where the ratio $E/(2\pi n)$ is plotted against $I$, indicate that the interaction is repulsive up to a certain value of $I$, however, at least for $n = 2$ it becomes attractive for large enough currents. For the $n = 2$ vortex a clear crossover takes place around $I/2\pi \approx 0.51$. This suggests that the $n = 2$ superimposed vortex for large enough currents becomes stable with respect to breakup into $n = 1$ vortices, unlike the type II ANO vortices. If the interaction of separated vortices has also an attractive phase this could have important physical consequences. Clearly a more detailed analysis of this issue is necessary, which is, however beyond the scope of our paper. It is also worth mentioning that in a different two-component Ginzburg-Landau model a similar non-monotonic behaviour of $E/n$ has been reported in [26].

Before finishing our discussion, we would like to comment on the fact that the vortex charge $I_0$, momentum $P$, and angular momentum $J$ vanish in the rest frame, but the current $I_3$ does not. This can certainly be explained using the tensorial laws of transformation of the corresponding densities, as was done above. At the same time, it might be worth illustrating the same fact also in the framework of a simple kinematic model, viewing the superconducting string as consisting of positive and negative elementary charges of the same mass in stationary motion. The positive charges spiral around the vortex axis clockwise in the positive $z$ direction, while the negative charges spiral with the same speed but counterclockwise and in the negative $z$ direction. The positive charges have thus positive momentum and angular momentum, while the negative charges have negative momentum and angular momentum, but the current is always oriented clockwise in the positive $z$ direction. In the vortex rest frame the volume densities of the positive and negative charges are thus identical,
implying that the total charge density, momentum, and angular momentum vanish, while the total current does not. If the vortex is boosted in the positive $z$ direction, say, then, due to the Lorentz contraction, the volume density of positive charges will become higher as compared to the density of negative charges. As a result, the total charge, momentum and angular momentum will no longer be zero.
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