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Abstract Drug delivery systems (DDS) are defined as methods by which drugs are delivered to desired tissues, organs, cells and subcellular organs for drug release and absorption through a variety of drug carriers. Its usual purpose to improve the pharmacological activities of therapeutic drugs and to overcome problems such as limited solubility, drug aggregation, low bioavailability, poor biodistribution, lack of selectivity, or to reduce the side effects of therapeutic drugs. During 2015–2018, significant progress in the research on drug delivery systems has been achieved along with advances in related fields, such as pharmaceutical sciences, material sciences and biomedical sciences. This review provides a concise
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1. Introduction

Drug delivery systems (DDS) are used to transport therapeutic drugs in the body as needed to safely achieve the desired therapeutic effect. Such systems are usually designed to i) improve aqueous solubility and chemical stability of active agents, ii) increase pharmacological activity, and iii) reduce side effects. Modern drug delivery systems have undergone continuous progress since the 1950s, when the first sustained release formulation Dexedrine was introduced\(^1\). The goal of any drug delivery system is to provide and maintain therapeutic concentrations of drug at the target biological site.

Among present drug delivery systems, nanoparticles as carriers have shown great potential in recent years. The encapsulation of drugs in nanoparticles, including micelles, liposomes, dendrimers, nanocapsules, nanoparticles, and liposomes, improves the therapeutic index and reduces the adverse side effects. For example, liposome drug delivery systems can improve bioavailability, increase efficacy and reduce toxicity. Several successful liposome-based drugs have been approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), such as liposomal doxorubicin (Doxil\(^2\)) and liposomal amphotericin B (Ambisome\(^3\)).

This review focuses on the recent advances of drug delivery systems reported within 2015–2018. An extensive literature review was performed mainly using PubMed. In this review, we highlight the emerging strategies for drug delivery, and also emphasize the current construction techniques for delivery systems; specifically, several representative inorganic materials for delivery system and the delivery of biomacromolecules are also discussed.

2. Emerging strategies for drug delivery

2.1. Stimuli-responsive strategy

Currently, stimuli-responsive delivery has been the most attractive strategy in the field of drug delivery. This strategy has been actively explored in order to achieve the tumor-specific delivery and controlled release of their cargoes, where endogenous or exogenous triggers can be employed. The endogenous triggers including pH-sensitive\(^4\), ROS (reactive oxygen species)-sensitive\(^5\), redox-sensitive\(^6\), enzyme-sensitive\(^7\) and temperature-sensitive\(^8\) delivery strategies towards some disease sites like tumors. Exogenous triggers include light-triggered\(^9\) and temperature-triggered\(^10\) strategies induced by exogenous methods. Magnetic-triggered\(^11\), and X-ray triggered\(^12\) delivery strategies have also been used in the design of stimuli-responsive systems. Ultrasound can also serve as a trigger for remote control of drug release deeply within the body. The use of focused ultrasound has the advantage of delivering spatially localized heat, thus improving site-specific controlled release by destabilizing the structure of such delivery systems\(^10\).

Many stimuli-responsive systems containing sensitive segments can be used for delivering drugs to target tissue and achieving an on-demand drug release. The surface properties and nanostructures of stimuli-responsive nanoparticles can also be modulated through intrinsic or extrinsic stimuli for improving cellular uptake and enhancing penetration ability.

2.1.1. Controlled drug release by stimuli-responsive systems

In many circumstances, controlled release of drug at the target site is required for proper therapeutic effect and safety. This can be achieved by various stimuli. For instance, ultrasound-triggered release has been useful for on-demand control of local pain, as specific sonosensitizer can be activated to produce ROS. These products react with liposomal membranes through peroxidation of unsaturated membrane lipids to release drugs, allowing patients to self-manage the intensity and duration of local anesthesia. No systemic toxicity or tissue damage was detected\(^13\). It was shown that ultrasonic energy transferred to liposomes would cause a sonosensitizer to release ROS, peroxidizing unsaturated lipids in the bilayers, leading to local release of anesthetics. An amphiphilic copolymer (PB-PEG) composed of pendant phenylboronic acid and methoxy poly(ethylene glycol) was designed to efficiently load doxorubicin (DOX), epirubicin (EPI), or irinotecan (IR) via donor–receptor coordination between the boron and nitrogen atoms\(^14\). The PB-PEG nanoparticles with a drug loading level of up to 49% exhibited an enhanced cytotoxicity as compared to traditional micelles through hydrophobic interaction.

In another case, a prodrug of DOX (iPDOX) was prepared by attaching iRGD and DOX to pluronic P85 copolymer through a metalloproteinease-2 (MMP-2)-labile peptide, and iPDOX was further encapsulated in the micelles consisting of acid-responsive poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(2-(hexamethylenimino) ethyl methacrylate) (PEG-b-PHEMA)\(^15\). The resulting nanoparticles were able to release iPDOX in tumor acidic microenvironment (pH ~6.8), and then iRGD facilitated subsequent tumor penetration and intracellular uptake of released DOX through peptide cleavage, thereby leading to preferable anticancer efficacy against MCF-7/ADR tumor-bearing nude mice\(^15\). Li et al.\(^16\) employed poly(etherimide)-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEI-PLGA) copolymer to load antiplatelet antibody R300 and DOX within the nanoparticles, which were further modified by a lipid shell layer inserted with matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2)-cleavable peptides. These nanoparticles were found to deplete the platelet to enhance tumor permeability for effective DOX delivery. Cysteine-based poly-(disulfide amide) nanoparticles were designed to scavenge glutathione to inhibit detoxification of active Pt species, leading to the enhanced therapeutic index of Pt drugs against cisplatin-resistant tumors\(^17\). In addition to polymeric
micelles, polymeric vesicles as a drug vehicle have also been explored to provide hypoxia-responsive or redox-responsive drug delivery 8,10.

For drugs like photosensitizers, specific stimuli could be used to directly trigger their therapeutic effect. Recently, Guo et al.20 fabricated the self-assembled nanoparticles consisting of PEGylated platinated boron dipyrromethene (Bodiplatin) with ultralow radiative transition. Upon single-wave light exposure, these nanoparticles generate both singlet oxygen through preferable singlet-to-triplet transition and photothermal conversion through nonradioactive decay, thus providing synergistic effect between photodynamic and photothermal treatments for tumor ablation. To further regulate the photoconversion of photosensitizers for cooperative cancer phototherapy, trimeric boron dipyrromethene (tri-BDP) within the nanoparticles were prepared to enhance nonradiative transition together with moderate singlet-to-triplet transition21. Thus, the polymeric tri-BDP nanoparticles caused dramatic tumor ablation through the dominant late apoptosis and moderate early apoptosis upon light irradiation1.

2.1.2. Enhanced uptake and penetration by stimuli-responsive systems

The surface charge and moity of nanoparticles can influence the interaction of delivery systems with cells and blood components22, thus improving cellular uptake and penetration. To reduce opsonin adsorption and reticuloendothelial systems (RES) recognition, PEG is widely used as a surface layer of nanoparticles. However, PEG also reduces cellular uptake. To resolve this problem, one strategy is the development of detachable PEG. After distribution into tumor, the PEG can be detached in acidic conditions23, high level of GSH 24 and overexpressed enzymes. The inner nanoparticles layer can be modified with ligands, such as cell penetrating peptides, to further improve cellular uptake25. Surface coating with charge-reversible groups is another method for improving drug delivery26. Particles with neutral or negative charge display long blood circulation time, whereas particles with positive charge show high cellular uptake.

As a basic rationale of nanoparticle-based drug delivery, the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect is greatly influenced by the particle size and morphology. Generally, larger particles possess better retention but display less penetration, whereas smaller particles have poor retention but more penetration27,28. To achieve both higher tumor penetration and retention, various kinds of size-changeable nanoparticles have been developed. Shrinkable nanoparticles were fabricated by the small-sized nanoparticles with degradable crosslinkers or cores. For example, small-sized gold nanocluster (AuNC) and dendrigrift poly-lysine (DGL) with hyaluronic acid (HA) were used to form nanoparticles about 200 nm29,30. After extravasating tumor from microvessels, the nanoparticles can be retained around tumor vessels with minimal backflow to vessels. Subsequently, high levels of tumor-bearing hyaluronidase can degrade the HA thereby releasing the small-sized AuNC and DGL. These smaller particles can then deliver drug cargoes into deep tumor with good penetration.

For the size-shrinkable strategy, it would be useful to develop small-sized aggregatable nanoparticles with good initial penetration capacity. The smaller size of the nanoparticles facilitates extravasation from tumor vessels into the deep tumor. The aggregation is then delivering drug cargoes into deep tumor with good penetration.

2.1.3. Enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect

Enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect is greatly influenced by the particle size and morphology. The EPR effect is caused by the polymeric nanoparticle, which can pass through the tumor vessel wall and accumulate in deep tumor. The EPR effect is greatly influenced by the particle size and morphology. Larger particles possess better retention but display less penetration, whereas smaller particles have poor retention but more penetration. A typical example of size-dependent EPR effect is the size-dependent accumulation of polymeric nanoparticles in tumor tissue.

2.2. Co-delivery strategy and theranostics

Combination therapy with various drugs is a common clinical practice in many diseases, but the optimization of PK profiles of the combined drugs for the best synergistic effect is not well demonstrated. Due to varying PK behaviors within the combination, it is hard to obtain an optimal dose ratio that is often optimized by the cell-based tests35. Various delivery systems with identical PK properties (e.g., liposomes) can be useful for co-delivery of drug combinations. Recently, the co-delivery strategy has made substantial progress, as evidenced by the landmark approval of liposomal combination (VYXEOS®) with co-encapsulation of daunorubicin and cytarabine in 2017 (Fig. 2). A potential application of co-delivery combination in cancer therapy is to overcome drug resistance36,37 and metastasis38.

Co-delivery of photosensitizer and chemotherapeutic agent has also been widely studied for improved therapeutic effect. Light-responsive polymeric nanoparticles have been assembled from tellurium-containing block polymer (PEG-PUTE-PFG), indocyanine green (ICG), and cisplatin through the coordination of platinum and tellurium. Upon light exposure, ICG generated O2 to oxidize the tellurium for rapid drug release, and simultaneously produced photothermal effect, together affording synergistic thermo-chemotherapy. Aiming at synergistic cancer therapy, Zhang et al.39 synthesized a prodruk of camptothecin and 2-(1-hexyloxyethyl)-2-devinyl pyropheophorbide-a (HPH) via a GSH-sensitive spacer. The polymeric micelles loading CPT-HPH prodruk can efficiently accumulated at HCT116 tumors, demonstrating synergistic efficacy of chemotherapy and photodynamic therapy.

Nanoparticles have been used as co-delivery strategies for specific and personalized theranostics40, combining therapeutic effects with diagnostics and prognostics, as well as image-guided...
therapy, etc. For example, Tang’s group developed a pH-responsive bone-targeting drug delivery system. In this system, zoledronic acid and plumbagin were co-delivered with upconversion material gadolinium(III) in a mesoporous silica nanoparticle system, which could detect and treat early bone metastasis of breast cancer. Still, challenges exist for the co-delivery strategies for theranostics, such as their ability to provide high signal-to-background images. Dysregulated pH, as a result of aberrant cancer metabolism, is emerging as a ubiquitous characteristic of cancer, and has been one of the highlights of DDS for generating high signal-to-background images for diagnostics and theranostics. Gao’s group pioneered the development of an ultra-pH sensitive (UPS) micellar theranostic nanoplatform with several unique properties, including tunable pH transition (pH transition spanning from 4.4 to 7.4), sharp pH responsiveness (ΔpH < 0.25), ultrahigh fluorescence signal activation (up to 100-fold), and ultrafast pH response (<5 ms). This UPS theranostic technology has been successfully adopted for the imaging of a broad range of tumors by amplifying the tumor acidosis signals. Moreover, a pH-activatable ICG-encoded nanosensor (PINS) based on the UPS systems has been fabricated for precise delineation of tumor margin. The real-time tumor-acidosis guided detection and surgery of solid tumors significantly prolonged mice survival after tumor resection. The pH-responsive nanoplatform has also been applied for the efficient cytosolic delivery of antitumor agents, e.g., anti-PD-1 antibody, for enhanced cancer therapy.

In addition to the conventional antitumor agents, photothermal therapy (PTT) and photodynamic therapy (PDT) are also widely adopted in theranostics. To avoid the poor signal-to-noise or even “false positive” results in conventional imaging
strategies, Yan’s group designed a dual-stimuli-responsive and reversibly activatable nanoprobe. Asymmetric cyanine and glycosyl-functionalized gold nanorods (AuNRs) served as the two building blocks linked by matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)-specific peptide to achieve MMPs/pH synergistic and pH reversible activation. This nanoprobe could be activated only in tumor sites with negligible background and be implemented in fluorescence-guided photothermal therapy. During the PDT process, oxygen would be consumed to generate ROS, thus the cancer cells in hypoxic tumors are remarkably resistant to PDT. To overcome this limitation, an oxygen and Pt(II) self-generating multifunctional nanocomposite was proposed to reverse the hypoxia. Pt(IV) and chlorin e6 were loaded in the nanocomposite, induced by the near infrared (NIR) light to release Pt(II) and oxygen for the production of cytotoxic ROS and synergistic photodynamic therapy to enhance antitumor efficacy. Several other oxygen co-delivery PDT systems have been designed for enhanced anticancer efficacy.

Recently, great attention has been paid in the development of multifunctional and multimodality theranostic strategies for simultaneous cancer imaging, therapy and post-therapy monitoring, etc. For example, a three-in-one system based on the porphyrin/camptothecin-fluorouridine triad microbubbles (PCF-MBs) was established to act as not only a contrast agent for ultrasonic/fluorescence bimodal imaging but also a multimodal therapeutic agent for synergistic chemo-photodynamic therapy. In addition, hollow manganese dioxide (H-MnO2), as a biodegradable nanomaterial, was utilized to load Ce6 and DOX for tumor microenvironment (TME)-specific imaging and modulation. The biomimetic nanogels could responsively release paclitaxel and interleukin-2 for combinatorial immunochemotherapy. The biomimetic nanogels could responsively release drugs, improve drug penetration, and remodel the tumor microenvironment with enhanced antitumor effect. Cancer cell membrane-cloaked nanoparticles exhibited specific homologous-targeting property of cancer cells for targeted drug delivery and reserved tumor associated antigens for inducing multiantigenic immunity. Inspired by the targeting ability of inflammatory neutrophils towards circulating tumor cells (CTCs), this neutrophil-mimicking nanoplatform showed selective depletion of CTCs and prevention of the formation of metastatic niches.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are nano-sized membrane vesicles mainly classified into exosomes (30–100 nm) and microvesicles (100–1000 nm, MVs), which are produced in different pathways. Both can mediate intercellular communication and regulate the occurrence and development of diseases. EVs have multiple advantages as drug delivery vesicles owing to their biocompatibility, low immunogenicity and intrinsic cell targeting properties. Wu et al. constructed dendritic cells derived antigenic MVs packed with low-dose chemotherapeutic drug, which remarkably depressed the murine melanoma and hepatic ascites growth by the combination of direct cytotoxicity and increased infiltration of immune cells. Usman et al. encapsulated CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing systems and microRNA into red blood cell derived EVs and demonstrated significantly robust inhibition of target gene in leukemia and breast cancer models.

Lipoprotein-coated nanoparticles have also been extensively investigated with high biocompatibility and biomimetic properties. Nie’s group fabricated PEGylated phospholipid-enveloped polymeric nanoparticles for the depletion of tumor-associated platelets to enhance the vascular permeability and promote drug accumulation in tumor. Kuai et al. fabricated high density lipoprotein-mimicking nanodiscs for efficient co-delivery of neoantigens and TLR9 agonist CpG-ODN to lymphoid nodes which induced robust adaptive immunity with remarkable tumor growth inhibition.

Virus-like nanoparticles generated from viruses were also investigated as distinct advantages in immunomodulation for their similar characteristics with antigen and adjuvant. Similarly, empty cowpea mosaic virus-derived vesicles could be utilized as immunostimulatory reagents for activating neutrophils and eliciting enhanced antitumor immune responses with striking antitumor efficacy against various cancers.

BDDS has shown bright prospects in the field of biomedical application. However, just like all new-born technologies, there is still a multitude of problems to be solved before wide clinical application. These include the retention of biomimetic function or biological information, poor control of the encapsulation of chemical molecules or synthetic nanoparticles, the negative influence of in vitro modification or drug loading on biomimetic system itself, as well as difficulties in establishing a standardized protocol for their preparation, purification and storage. In addition, it is noteworthy that allogeneic or xenogeneic biological components involved in this kind of nanovesicles formulation may cause immunogenic and/or safety concerns.
emsine\textsuperscript{87}, demonstrating the scientific significance and clinical value of ligand-mediated targeting.

The optimization of DDS has pursued the miniaturization, stability, efficiency and safety of the current design of the targeting moiety, paralleling the \textit{de novo} optimization of the lead compound, with in-depth and interdisciplinary cooperation of chemico-biology, computational chemistry and structural biology. For example, Giralt and Teixido\textquoteright s groups\textsuperscript{88} reported the optimization of apamin for brain-targeting drug delivery. Apamin is a nature-derived bicyclic neurotoxin with proven ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB). Based on the structure–function relationship, a minimized MiniAp-4 molecule was developed, with the toxic sites replaced by substituents, modifications of the bicyclic structure, and disulfide bond replaced by a lactam bond. The optimized molecule possessed even superior BBB-penetration ability vs. that of the parental peptide, with lowered toxicity, improved tolerance of proteases and easy preparation.

After entering systemic circulation, drug delivery systems commonly interact with various plasma macromolecules, resulting in the as-reported “protein corona”. Therefore, the influence of ligand modification on their surface properties must be considered in the optimal design of targeting moieties. Guan et al.\textsuperscript{89} reported that stabilized \textsuperscript{9}CDX ligand of nAChR was liable for IgM absorption in blood circulation, inducing rapid liposome clearance. With computer-aided peptide design, a short and stable peptidomimetic ligand D8 was developed with reduced positive net charge, attenuated IgM absorption, enhanced immunocompatibility, prolonged circulation and preserved bioactivity.

In most conventional ligand-modified delivery systems, the targeting moieties distribute uniformly on the vehicle surface, with only a small proportion participating in the interaction with target cells, causing limited recognition ability as well as incomplete utilization of ligand materials. Sempkowski et al.\textsuperscript{90} reported when aiming at target cells with relatively low receptor expressions ($<2 \times 10^5$ copies/cell), or at target tissues with heterogeneity (e.g., tumor), the increased requirement of ligand density would largely restrict the application of targeted delivery. This group’s approach was to construct “sticky” lipid nanoparticles with ligands changing into clusters in response to acidic pH, providing significantly enhanced recognition of target cells (Fig. 3). Lateral phase separation of lipids such as DPPS exhibited environmentally responsive lipid heterogeneities, forming clustered microdomains of ligands conjugated to these lipids. This increased ligand density and recognition in target tissues in acidic environments, and reduced specific interactions with normal cells with low receptor expressions.

Besides direct modification on the vehicle surface, a new strategy has been developed for the presentation of ligands. In this approach, the cavity formed by molecular imprinting acts as a recognition molecule to match the target receptor. Taking p32 receptor as template molecule, Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{91} used conformational epitope imprinting to fabricate a targeted delivery system via one-pot synthesis with the fabricated cavity as stable targeting moiety, providing strong affinity towards the target protein as well as controllable modification density. As a mature and controllable technology, molecular imprinting might see further application in targets which could hardly be recognized. The folate receptor (FR) is highly expressed in various malignancies, with intense research and application in targeted delivery. However, endogenous folate in human body imposes restrictions on FR-targeted delivery, \textit{e.g.}, folate-free medium and low folate diet are usually required in the \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} experiments to avoid competitive binding. With molecular imprinting, Liu et al.\textsuperscript{92} adopted the non-folate-binding sites in FR as templates, giving rise to optimized ligands with high affinity and unaffected by endogenous molecules. This study provides new strategies for the targeted delivery system based on endogenous ligands.
3. Emerging techniques for drug delivery

Advances in other specific technologies have also improved modern drug delivery systems. Several of these are discussed below.

3.1. 3D printing-based drug delivery technology

3D printing is a layer-by-layer process technology capable of producing 3D drug delivery formulations from digital designs. 3D printing has been termed as an “additive manufacturing” technology by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. Sachs and Cima published the first 3D printing drug paper in 1996. As compared with conventional technology, 3D printing possesses unique advantages for fabrication of complex, personalized and on-demand products. These advantages are crucial to improve the safety, efficacy, and accessibility of medicines. In the past 3 years, 3D printing technology has made breakthroughs in the field of personalized medicine.

Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is the most widely used 3D printing technology for the preparation of special drug dosage forms. Goyanes et al. first proposed the concept of FDM 3D printing tablet in 2014. Thereafter, FDM-based 3D printing technology has been applied for the fabrication of various drug delivery formulations including fast or controlled release tablets, time delayed capsules, multilayer capsules, T type intrauterine implant system, personalized percutaneous patches, and drug-eluting stents or implants. For example, Gaisford’s group reported the fabrication of fast release tablets using FDM 3D printing. The drug release profiles of the tablets could be readily modified by adjusting the printing parameters.

For fabrication of controlled drug delivery formulations, Pan’s group reported a double-chamber drug delivery device by combining FDM 3D printing with HME. They formulated glipizide (anti-diabetes drug)-loaded drug delivery tablets with a dual-nozzle 3D printer, and formulated a double-chamber device composed of a tablet embedded within a larger tablet, each chamber contains different amount of glipizide. The double-chamber design can facilitate both fast and delayed drug release by reasonably adjusting drug distribution in the chambers, which is promising for on-demand drug delivery. Similarly, Rantanen’s group reported a customized approach to modify the drug release kinetics for flexible dosing and precision medication. They first co-extruded nitrofurantoin, Metolose and polyactic acid into filaments with up to 40% Metolose content, and subsequently 3D printed the filaments into model disk geometries. Nitrofurantoin release from the disks could be coordinately quently 3D printed the filaments into model disk geometries.

Overall, 3D printing is unique for the preparation of personalized biomedical devices, which is emerging as a novel process technology for development of novel drug delivery formulations and drug-loaded devices on demand. Up to May of 2016, FDA had approved more than 85 personalized medical products including one orally disintegrating 3D printing drug, levetiracetam tablets (trade name of SPRITAM). On 5th of December, 2017, the FDA released the world’s first technical guideline “Technical Guideline for Additive Manufactured Medical Devices” for 3D printing of biomedical products. In the “Made in China 2025” Plan issued by the State Council of China, extensive efforts have been devoted to 3D printing, particularly, in the fields of biomedicine and high-performance biomedical devices. Given the unique advantage of 3D printing to develop complex products, 3D printing should be of wide application for personalized medicine.

3.2. Microneedle-based transdermal drug delivery technology

Microneedle (MN) technology has greatly accelerated the development of transdermal drug delivery system (TDDS). Due to the microscale size of the needles, the microneedle is able to penetrate the stratum corneum barrier of the transdermal delivery systems without reaching the nerve fibres and blood vessels. Therefore, the MN is painless and convenient in its application with the ability to avoid hepatic first pass metabolism as well as realize sustained release. MNs are classified into solid MN, coated MN, dissolving MN and hollow MN according to the shape and use of MNs. Each of the MN has pros and cons. A solid MN punctures the surface of the skin, and then drugs can be applied to the skin for slow diffusion of drug through the pores and into the body. Although it can prevent pathogenic infection, the drug delivery effect is low. Coated MN is used to coat a water-soluble drug. The MN is attached to the skin, the drug is quickly delivered to the skin, and then the MN is removed. It is suited to deliver a very small fixed amount of drug, but the remaining MN is dangerous because it can infect other people. Dissolving MN is suitable for many biomacromolecules and vaccines, since sustained release of antigen from MN could induce a better cellular immune response than fast release. Dissolving MN improves the disadvantages of the coated MN by delivering large doses of drug, but it is difficult to transfer a fixed amount of drug. Many techniques have been developed on the basis of dissolving MN, such as tip-concentrated MN, rapidly dissolving MN, double-layered MN, according to specific requirements. Hollow-shaped MN has a hollow core inside the needles where the drug is released. Owing to precision control for drug delivery, hollow MN has been a promising strategy for both bolus and basal insulin administration. Several hollow microneedle-based/assisted products have been approved and produced commercially for clinic such as BD Soluvia™ and MicronJet 600™ as influenza vaccines. The current fourth-generation self-adjustable TDDS based on MN technology could precisely control the amount and duration of drug release in response to the pathophysiological condition of patient.

Some coated MN can self-regulate through the environment, and two strategies can be used for developing self-adjustable MNs, mainly on the basis of the dissolving MN. One is represented by Kim, in which wearable biosensors and advanced transdermal delivery schemes are seamlessly integrated.
govern the drug release kinetics in response to the fluctuation of physiological parameters. The following are several examples of glucose-responsive insulin smart patches. One imitating the function of pancreatic cells loaded with insulin and glucose oxidase (GOx) enzyme has been developed with hypoxia-sensitive hyaluronic acid conjugated with 2-nitroimidazole. Under hypoxic conditions, 2-nitroimidazole is converted to hydrophilic 2-aminoimidazoles through bioreduction and thereby induces insulin release114. Another smart patch uses dual-responsive (hypoxia and H2O2) vesicles fabricated by self-assembly of hypoxia and dual-sensitive diblock co-polymers, which shows a pulsatile release of insulin and efficiently regulates the blood glucose in type I diabetic mice for 10 h115. Another patch reported by Tong et al.119 uses a system which integrates glucose- and H2O2-responsive polymeric vehicles. After transdermal administration to diabetic rats, the insulin release was controlled from glucose-responsive polymeric vehicles. After transdermal administration to diabetic rats, the insulin release was controlled from glucose- and H2O2-responsive elements. A smart thornbin-responsive MN system was described in which heparin release was successfully triggered by thornbin-cleavable peptides interlinked within hyaluronic acid hydrogel scaffolds in the presence of rising thornbin levels116.

Although a large amount of literature claimed safety and non-irritation of MNs, several clinical phase I studies reported that skin irritation and patch application uniformity are still major obstacles121,122. The MN applicator and its parameter settings have crucial roles for MN penetration and subsequent dissolution into skin. The next-generation transdermal drug delivery system will plausibly be a single device of multi-functional integration. In addition, patient-tailored transdermal drug delivery will be very likely available in the foreseeable future with the development of co-application between sensing and therapy.

3.3. Nanocrystals

Unlike polymeric nanoparticle formulations, nanocrystal suspensions are generally stabilized by surfactants or polymeric steric stabilizers, and their particles are composed of 100% active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) without any carriers or vehicles. A reduction in particle size leads to an increase in dissolution rate due to an increased surface area and an enhancement in saturation solubility of the drug. Therefore, the nanosuspension approach can be very advantageous for poorly water-soluble drugs with size-related dissolution limitations. Several clinical available products using nanocrystals technique have been approved, such as the Rapamunne, TriCor, Emend, Megace ES, Triglide, Cesamet, Theodur, Naprelan, and Invega sustenn123. The use of nanocrystals substantially reduces the amount of excipients needed in the formulation compared to other nano-carriers, and thus ultra-high loading capacity is easily achieved. Therefore, nanocrystals are considered as the ideal candidates for i.v. delivery, and several poorly water-soluble drugs have been formulated as nanocrystals for i.v. administration124–126. However, during the development of drug nanocrystals for i.v. administration, one must keep in mind that the nanosuspensions are usually surface modified just by stabilizer adsorption, so the stabilizer may rapidly shed off from the nanocrystal surface and the hydrophobic interface would be exposed upon enter the blood stream, resulting in rapid recognition of opsonin proteins and rapid elimination via phagocytic cells.

With the aid of better adhesion to mucosal surfaces, nanocrystals are frequently applied to mucosal route of administration, including pulmonary and ophthalmic129–132. For pulmonary drug delivery, rapid drug dissolution following inhalation is necessary; otherwise the atomized particles could be cleared away through mucociliary transport. Optimization of local bioavailability of inhaled nanoparticles requires consideration of dissolution, particle aerodynamics and tissue penetration. Because the aerodynamic diameter of the respirable particles ranges from 1 to 5 μm, recently nano-in-microparticles are designed for deep lung deposition, with the purpose of reconciling the advantages of nanocrystals with the aerodynamics of small microparticles. Once nano-in-microparticles reach the alveolar surface, the micron skeleton (mainly sugars, e.g., trehalose) easily dissolves in alveolar fluids and the drug nanocrystals are rapidly outwardly exposed126.

Regarding ocular drug delivery, conventional formulations usually result in very low bioavailability (usually <5%) due to rapid blinking reflex and lacrimation. Accordingly, efforts have been made to prolong the retention of drugs. Romero et al.133 prepared a cationic nanocrystal formulation containing dexamethasone acetate nanocrystals and polymyxin B for ophthalmic application, in which the generation of the positive charge was achieved by the addition of cationic excipients cetylpyridinium chloride and benzalkonium chloride. In vitro mucoadhesion test results showed the potential of increased mucedoahesion of such cationic nanocrystals compared to standard eye drop formulations.

Although many cosmetic products exploiting the nanocrystal principle are available on the market, dermal delivery of nanocrystals has not been widely used and there are no nanocrystal pharmaceutical products for dermal application in the market. Nanocrystal products were expected to achieve deep skin penetration of poorly soluble agents in a size-dependent manner134. Recently, Pireddu et al.135 produced diclofenac acid nanocrystals as a novel approach to treat skin inflammation. Ex vivo transdermal delivery experiments demonstrated that nanocrystal formulation could produce a higher accumulation of diclofenac in the skin compared to the commercial formulation (Voltaren). Moreover, the nanosuspension provided an in vivo anti-inflammatory effect similar to that of a commercial formulation, but with a higher inhibition of myeloperoxidase activity in the damaged tissue (86%) vs. the commercial formulation (16%).

Various inventions have been described for the preparation of nanosuspensions136,137. Generally, these methods require expensive equipment, or use high power consumption processes. Recently, some simple and low-cost approaches have been reported138–140. Although most commercially available nanocrystal-based products are oral dosage formulations, recent work has reported use for i.v. administration. Unique advantages have also been found for delivery to the lung, eye and skin.

3.4. Prodrug nanomedicines

Prodrugs are bio-reversible derivatives of drug molecules that are generally inactive but can be transformed into their active forms via chemical or enzyme-catalyzed reactions141. The prodrug nanomedicines (PNs) based on the molecular self-assembly of amphiphilic prodrugs were developed decades ago142,143. They were named as self-assembled drug delivery systems (SADDS), prodrug self-assemblies, or nanodrugs. The advantages of PNs over traditional drug carriers have led to increased interest in PNs in the fields of pharmaceuticals and nanomedicine (Fig. 4). Anti-cancer and antiviral drugs are commonly used parent drugs for PN design142,144–147. For example, the anti-cancer prodrugs paclitaxel and gemcitabine have been widely studied due to their higher
loading efficiency, stimuli-responsive drug release and enhanced therapeutic efficiency. Clinically used prodrugs occupy about 5%–7% of the drugs approved worldwide.

Unlike traditional nanocarriers, both the chemical and physical stability of prodrugs in PNs are important. To enhance accumulation of parent drugs in target tissue, functional linkers are usually embedded in prodrug for tissue targeting, enzyme-triggered release, pH-triggered release, or redox-triggered release. For instance, hepatocyte targeting of PNs was achieved by coating with α-galactide polyoxyethylene cetyl ether. Lipid–CPT conjugate (CPT-SS-PA) was synthesized by conjugation of CPT and palmitic acid via a disulfide linker. The results indicated that CPT-SS-PA SLN maintained chemical structural stability in simulated physiological environments but exhibited quick reduction-response release of CPT in the presence of DTT. In addition, pH-responsive prodrug system based on pH-sensitive amphiphilic diblock copolymer conjugated through acid-labile cis-aconityl moiety (mPEG-b-PAE-cis-DOX) have been fabricated which can self-assemble into DOX-conjugated PNs (DOX-cis-PMs). Antitumor experiments in tumor-bearing mice demonstrated that the pH-responsive nano-prodrug system effectively enhanced the therapeutic efficacy in comparison to free drug.

Prodrug nanomedicine self-assembled by amphiphilic prodrug usually achieves high drug loading and stability. pH-responsive micellar nanoparticles are prepared by self-assembly of an amphiphilic poly(ethylene glycol)-acetal-paclitaxel (PEG-acetal-PTX) prodrug, and free PTX can be encapsulated in the hydrophobic core of the nanoparticles. These nanoparticles exhibit excellent storage stability for over 6 months under normal conditions, and have a high drug loading capacity of 60.3%. The cRGD-decorated polymersomal mertansine prodrug (cRGD-PS-DM1) has been readily fabricated from cRGD-functionalized poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(trimethylene carbonate-co-dithiolane trimethylene carbonate) with simultaneous loading of mertansine (DM1) via thiol-disulfide exchange reaction and disulfide cross-linking of polymersomal membrane. The study showed that cRGD-PS-DM1 has high drug loading, superior stability, and fast responsive drug release.

As discussed above, PNs are continuing to develop as a popular DDS. As an interdisciplinary product, the study of PNs needs the participation of the scientists in multiple disciplines to achieve success of the design and molecular simulation of prodrugs and PNs, the preparation of them (especially large scale production), investigation of their properties and in vitro/in vivo behavior, and clinical studies.

4. Inorganic materials for drug delivery

Inorganic nanomaterials are also widely explored as potential materials for delivery systems due to their unique and superior physicochemical properties, such as facile preparation/modification, good biocompatibility and storage stability.

Although many challenges need to be overcome, significant progress has been made in recent years based on various materials, e.g., black phosphorus, mesoporous silica, metal-organic framework (MOF), carbon nanomaterials, magnetic nanomaterials, as well as their combinations.

Mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNs) with unique properties have attracted increasing interest for drug delivery applications. Zeng et al. demonstrated a novel pH-responsive MSNs that is gated by the delivered DOX itself via a reversible covalent bond. The paradigm shift, caused by bypassing the use of auxiliary capping agents, could simplify inorganic nanomaterials and allow MSNs to function as intelligent drug carriers for therapy of cancer and other diseases. Cheng et al. designed a cancer-targeted nanoparticle delivery system based on a DOX-gated MSN encapsulated with permeability glycoprotein (P-gp) small interfering RNA (siRNA) and a polydopamine (PDA) outer layer for DOX loading and folic acid decoration. The multifunctional nanoplatform tactfully integrates chemotherapy agents (DOX), gene (P-gp siRNA), and photothermal (PDA layer) substances in one delivery system. In another work, a nano-delivery system of α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (TPGS)-functionalized PDA-coated MSNs was designed for sustainable and pH-responsive delivery of DOX for therapy of drug-resistant non-small cell lung cancer. The MSN-based drug delivery platforms are capable of delivering other hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs or both (Fig. 5). Quan et al. fabricated lactosaminated MSNs targeting the asialoglycoprotein receptor for anticancer drug delivery.

Although MSN is a promising carrier in drug delivery, the slow degradation and metabolism of MSN presently limit its use. In order to solve these problems, some novel mesoporous silica nanoparticles with rapid degradation rate have been synthesized. Zhai’s group developed uniform monodispersed three-dimensional dendritic mesoporous silica nanospheres with rapid simulated biodegradation, which were completely eliminated within 24 h. Choi and Kim promoted the degradation of MSNs in a physiological condition by surface coating of polyethyleneimine (PEI) based on its proton-sponge effect. Efforts have been made to fabricate multi-stimuli responsive surfaces on MSN for environmentally sensitive, site-specific drug delivery.
with rapid degradation. Wu’s group embedded the gelator within the mesopores of silica nanoparticles (MSNs) and formed low molecular weight gel (LMWG) as a gate to fabricate a dual pH and glucose responsive nano drug delivery system. Aiming at easy aggregation in saline buffers and limited circulation lifetime of MSNs, Su et al. fabricated laser-responsive MSNs which supported RBC-mimetic nanoparticles with a long circulation time and controlled tumor drug release, to realize efficient anticancer drug delivery. Chai et al. developed a red light (660 nm)-responsive drug delivery system based on low-cost cyclodextrin (CD)-gated MSNs containing a photodynamic therapy (PDT) photosensitizer (Chlorin e6, Ce6). The designed CD-gated MSNs are promising to improve the efficacy of PDT and chemotherapy while decreasing the side effects in cancer treatment.

Black phosphorus nanosheets (BPNS) are novel two-dimensional (2D) inorganic nanomaterials which are regarded as a promising candidate for drug delivery platform for synergistic chemo/gene/photothermal therapy. Tao et al. demonstrated the promising application of BPNSs as a robust drug delivery platform, thus opening up an exciting new research point of BP inorganic nanomaterials as a drug delivery carrier. In addition, Zeng et al. elucidated the endocytosis pathways and intracellular trafficking of PEGylated BPNSs in cancer cells; this information is essential for understanding how BP and other emerging 2D inorganic nanomaterials can best be used for cancer theranostics. However, BP is very reactive to water and oxygen, leading to compositional and physical changes. The lack of water- and air-stability under ambient conditions impedes BP’s progress in drug delivery applications. Zeng et al. developed a simple polydopamine modification method to improve the stability and photothermal performance of bare BP nanosheets in order to fabricate a stable multifunctional co-delivery platform for the targeted synergistic chemo/gene/photothermal therapy against multidrug resistant cancer. Furthermore, other single atom nonmetal 2D inorganic nanomaterials have also shown considerable potential in DDS. In recent research, a type of ultrathin boron nanosheets (BNs) was fabricated through a novel top-down method by coupling thermal oxidation etching and liquid exfoliation technologies. Based on the PEGylated BNs, a novel photonic drug delivery system was explored, which exhibits multiple promising advantages for cancer therapy and imaging, including excellent biocompatibility, high drug-loading capacity, triggered drug release by NIR light and moderate acidic pH, and multimodal imaging properties (photothermal, photoacoustic, and fluorescence imaging).

5. Gene drug delivery

With high selectivity and bioactivity, the gene drugs (such as siRNA, microRNA, pDNA and CRISPR/Cas9) have gained increasing attention, especially the successful marketing authorization application of siRNA-based drug (named Patisiran). However, in spite of the outstanding potential and rapid advances, only a few gene drug formulations have been applied to clinical
therapy. Poor pharmacokinetic properties are thought to contribute to limited efficacy of these formulations, which include low stability in the circulation, poor tissue-targeting ability and degradation in lysosomes. To combat these severe challenges, various delivery strategies have been developed to improve clinical application of gene drug.

In order to improve the gene delivery stability in circulation, PEGylation, one of the most common approaches, can enhance colloidal stability and reduce opsonization and clearance by the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS). Chen’s group developed near-infrared (NIR) laser irradiation to activate "bomb-like" escape and release therapeutic cargoes into the cytoplasm. He et al. functional materials have been widely used to enhance endosomal escape and release. Furthermore, Zou et al. designed peptide-functionalized polyplexes (siPA-NPs) compared with unmodified siRNA. Pharmacokinetics, and tumor gene silencing of PEGylated nanoparticles in the hydrophobic core to improve stability, nanosomal membrane and effective stealth by PEG on the outer surface.

For improving cellular uptake of gene drug, some naturally available substances have been widely used in some work due to their natural internalization pathway through receptor-mediated endocytosis. Li et al. selected unmodified human apoferritin to encapsulate siRNA and achieve high transfection efficiency in human tumorigenic cells, human primary mesenchymal stem cells (hMSC) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) at low siRNA concentrations (10 nmol/L). Additionally, cell penetrating peptides are also used to efficiently carry gene drug into cells which are not depend on classical endocytosis. Xu et al. developed a NP platform that could achieve TME pH-triggered rapid disassembly of NPs and exposure of tumor cell-targeting and penetrating peptide TCPA/siRNA complexes for enhanced cell uptake. Moreover, surface charge switchable nanoparticle is another common approach to improve cellular uptake. Wang et al. developed nanoparticles by conjugating 2,3-dimethylmaleic anhydride (DMMMA) molecules to the surface amines of PLGA-PEI micelles and used to delivery siRNAs. The nanoparticles remained negatively charged in physiological condition and positive surface charge was converted to facilitate cellular uptake of siRNAs due to tumor-acidity-activated shedding of DMMMA.

After cell internalization, most of siRNA are trapped in the endosomes and further transported to late endosomes/lysosomes. In order to improve gene silencing efficiency, some functional materials have been widely used to enhance endosomal escape and release therapeutic cargoes into the cytoplasm. He et al. constructed lipid-based liquid crystalline nanoparticles that can perform high-efficient endosomal membrane fusion induced by the conformational transition of lipids in the intracellular acidic environment, resulting in direct release of free siRNA into cytoplasm. Wang et al. present a novel strategy by using near-infrared (NIR) laser irradiation to activate “bomb-like” nanoparticle to generate heat by the coencapsulated ICG and then to produce CO2 and NH3 gases from the coencapsulated ammonium bicarbonate (NH4HCO3), which helps to efficiently break the endosomes/lysosomes and enhance the cytosolic release of the encapsulated miR-34a. He et al. reported a hybrid nanoparticulate system based on a cationic helical polypeptide PPABLG for the efficient delivery of TNF-α siRNA. The pore formation feature of PPABLG was used to facilitate the direct translocation of cell membrane, as well as endosomal escape of TNF-α siRNA.

6. Peptide and protein drug delivery

Peptide and protein drugs, including antibodies and vaccines, increased rapidly among the novel therapeutics in the past three decades. Compared with chemical drugs, peptides and proteins possess higher specificity and potency, as well as less adverse effects. However, they are significantly different in the physicochemical and biological properties with chemical molecules, primarily involving large molecular weight, poor stability, and low permeability, which lead to the limitation of their formulations.

Parenteral administration is the first choice for clinical application of peptides and proteins due to their intrinsic properties. Therapeutic proteins have increasingly been developed as subcutaneous injection, a route which offers many significant practical benefits. Peptides and proteins are prone to degradation by enzymes and therefore usually have short half-lives in vivo. Encapsulation into micro- or nanoparticles with biocompatible and absorbable polymers can provide protection and sustained release for these extremely vulnerable macromolecules to achieve prolonged efficacy. An alternative strategy is loading peptides and proteins into in situ forming depots, which can be injected as viscous liquids and subsequently solidified to hydrogels triggered by the local microenvironment or to implants driven by self-assembly. The common characteristic of these delivery systems is ease of preparation and administration with a standard syringe. Payloads can be released for several weeks.

Great efforts have also been paid for non-invasive delivery of peptides and proteins, among which oral administration is of most interest to improve patient compliance. Oral administration is a preferred method for drug delivery, though achieving effective drug delivery of biomacromolecules is especially challenging due to the multiple absorption barriers presented in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract including the harsh GI environment for biomacromolecules, the trap of mucus and the impermeability of epithelial cells. Proper encapsulation by delivery systems could provide protection for the biomacromolecules, and help to bypass the absorption barriers. Peppas’s group recently reviewed the challenges to deliver peptide and protein orally, and discussed the possible approaches. These include the aid of permeation enhancers, protease inhibitors, and polymeric or polysaccharide carriers. Although the oral bioavailability has been significant improved by these approaches, it is often limited to 0.5%—1.0% in clinical trials compared to i.v. or s.c. administration. A typical example is oral administration of semaglutide in a tablet formulation with the absorption enhancer N-[8-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)amino] caprylate (SNAC). Microenvironment-responsive hydrogel allowed for protection of protein in the stomach but release in the small intestine. Therefore, this seems to be a promising oral peptide and protein carrier. Self-emulsifying drug delivery system
(SEDDS) was employed to deliver hydrophilic peptides and proteins in the presence of hydrophobic ion-pairing agents, and showed an oral bioavailability at least in the single digit percentage range \(^{201}\). The functionalized nanocarriers were also the potential candidates of oral macromolecule delivery systems\(^{202}\).

For overcoming the barriers of mucus, mucus-adhesive NPs (MAPs) and mucus-penetrating NPs (MPPs) have been developed, and results have revealed that MPPs diffused more freely than MAPs, exhibiting improved distribution and access to the absorptive epithelium\(^{203,204}\). In addition, shape and elasticity are also reported to affect mucus diffusion\(^{205,206}\). For overcoming the barriers of epithelial cells, exploiting receptor/transporter-mediated pathways, such as neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn), transferrin receptor (TfR), and apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT), have also exhibited enhanced cellular internalization and improved permeability of loaded therapeutics\(^{207}\). It is worth noting that an increasing number of studies realize that the properties required for efficient mucus penetration are typically unsuitable for cellular internalization. Thus, NPs with the capacity to overcome both the mucus and epithelial cell barriers have been extensively explored\(^{110}\). Additionally, with further understanding of the physiology of epithelium, researchers are now developing NPs capable of overcoming intracellular barriers, such as lysosome-escape and exocytosis at the basolateral membranes\(^{207,211}\).

Oral delivery of proteins has made significant progress, and several oral insulin and glucagon-likepeptide (GLP-1) analogue formulations are under clinical trials\(^{112,213}\). For example, Diasome has developed a liposomal formulation, which has been shown in phase 2 human studies to be very effective in lowering blood glucose levels in Type 1 and Type 2 diabetic patients using very low amounts of oral insulin.

Oral inhalation provides the opportunity for drugs to target the respiratory tract or alternatively be absorbed in the lung for systemic delivery. Inhalation is a particularly attractive route for peptides and proteins. One inhaled dornase alfa (Pulmozyme) and two inhaled insulin (Exubera and Afrezza) have thus far been launched into the clinic. The relative bioavailability of insulin is 33% for Afrezza, almost double the value reported for Exubera\(^{214}\).

Although Exubera was subsequently withdrawn from the market, more than a dozen of inhaled protein therapeutics have entered various clinical trials for treatment of respiratory or lung diseases\(^{215}\). Protein nebulization and excipients are important parameters for the inhaled formulations. The former modulates the aerodynamic profiles of aerosols, while the latter offer opportunities to stabilize the protein.

Except for respiratory tract mucosa, buccal\(^{202}\), ocular\(^{216}\), and nasal mucosa\(^{217}\), are all the active targets for peptides and proteins delivery. For example, Oral-Lyn\(^{\text{TM}}\), an oral insulin spray formulation, has been developed by Generex Biotechnology to deliver insulin through buccal mucosa. When compared to insulin injection administered subcutaneously, Oral-Lyn\(^{\text{TM}}\) shows a faster onset of action and a shorter duration of action.

Macromolecules cannot penetrate the human skin without assistance. Fortunately, recent advances in polymeric microneedles paved a way for transdermal delivery of proteins and vaccines\(^{218}\). Especially bioresponsive microneedles can be triggered by the physiological signals\(^{219}\), allowing the precise, on-demand release of protein therapeutics\(^{220,221}\). Nowadays, several clinical trials are ongoing to investigate the effectiveness of microneedles for protein drug delivery, and developers of related products are optimistic for success.

In recent years, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) including peptides and antibodies, have made great progress in cancer immunotherapy by antagonizing immune checkpoint proteins, promoting the activation of T cells, thereby producing anti-tumor immune effects. However, due to the frequently occurring toxic side effects, the ICI delivery has become an important topic in this field. In view of this, Wang et al.\(^{222}\) have recently used the design of novel biomaterials to deliver checkpoint inhibitor antibodies to the TME to improve therapeutic efficacy. For example, a biodegradable MN has been developed to control the delivery of anti-PD-1(aPD1) to melanoma, compared with free-form aPD1, MN enhanced the retention of aPD1 in tumor microenvironment and induced a strong immune response to melanoma in B16F10 mice. In addition, MN patches could also serve as a platform for the combined delivery of other immunomodulator inhibitors, such as anti-CTLA4 (aCTLA4)\(^{223}\).

In general, the strategy of local melanoma treatment based on biodegradable MN improved the efficacy of immunomodulator inhibitors and reduces systemic toxicity. Additionally, checkpoint suppression in combination with other immunomodulators showed synergistically increased antitumor activity. Wang et al.\(^{224}\) demonstrated a novel vector for controlling the release of anti-PD1 antibodies and CpG oligonucleotides (CpG ODNs) in response to postoperative inflammatory conditions. DNs (DNA nano-coconuts) contained aPD1 and inflammatory reactive triglyceride monostearate (TGMS) nanoparticles caging a restriction enzyme inside, which could specifically divide DNs into short fragments of CpG ODNs. After injecting TGMS nanoparticles into the tumor resection site, they are digested by inflammation-related proteases and lysed, releasing caged enzymes, which cleaved DNs into CpG ODN and released aPD1. The CpG DNA-based carrier can not only serve as the treatment loading matrix of aPD1, but also be cut into another drug CpG fragment to the active DC by enzymes, so as to improve the therapeutic effect.

7. Future perspective of drug delivery research

In the past three years, great progress in novel DDS has been achieved in the delivery strategies, construction techniques, and potential materials for improving the bioavailability, biocompatibility and therapeutic index of drugs. In fact, many presently-formulated prescription drugs have unfavorable physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties, along with various limitations on the dosage regimen and undesirable side effects in the conventional dosage form. The development of new drug delivery systems and new formulations would be potential and promising approaches for increasing these therapeutic indices and reducing side effects. However, it should not be neglected to balance druggability and functional design, as the clinical application should be the final focus of our work. Also, decades of experience in drug research and development has demonstrated that there would be no new pharmaceutical preparations without technological innovation. It is imperative to strengthen the research and development of innovative technologies and drug delivery systems within the pharmaceutical industry. Certainly, a novel technical invention becomes an innovative technology to be applied in drug delivery system, requiring long-term testing, revision and optimization.

Acknowledgments

This review paper was supported by the projects of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 81773650,
Recent progress in drug delivery

81690264 and 81673376), the Drug Innovation Major Project of China (Grant No. 2018ZX09721003-004).

References

1. Park K. Controlled drug delivery systems: past forward and future back. J Control Release 2014;190:3–8.
2. Barenholz Y. Doxil® — the first FDA-approved nano-drug: lessons learned. J Control Release 2012;160:117–34.
3. Kennon S, Tasch EG, Arm RN, Wig PP. The relationship between plaque scores and the development of caries in adult dentition. Clin Prev Dent 1979;1:26–31.
4. Li M, Shi KR, Tang X, Wei JJ, Cun XL, Chen XX, et al. pH-sensitive folic acid and dNP2 peptide dual-modified liposome for enhanced targeted chemotherapy of glioma. Eur J Pharmacol 2018;824:240–8.
5. Zhang TH, Chen X, Xiao CS, Zhuang XL, Chen XS. Synthesis of a phenylboronic ester-linked PEG-lipid conjugate for ROS-responsive drug delivery. Polym Chem 2017;8:6209–16.
6. Chi YY, Yin XL, Sun KX, Feng SS, Liu JH, Chen DQ, et al. Redox-sensitive and hyaluronic acid functionlized liposomes for cytoplastic drug delivery to osteosarcoma in animal models. J Control Release 2017;261:113–25.
7. Fouladi F, Steffen KJ, Mallik S. Enzyme-responsive liposomes for the delivery of anticancer drugs. Bioconjug Chem 2017;28:857–68.
8. Feng Y, Li NX, Yin HL, Chen TY, Yang Q, Wu M. Thermo- and pH-responsive, lipid-coated, mesoporous silica nanoparticle-based dual drug delivery system to improve the antitumor effect of hydrophobic drugs. Mol Pharm 2019;16:422–36.
9. Li GP, Li W, Di HX, Luo LH, Zhu CQ, Yang J, et al. A photosensitive liposome with NIR light triggered doxorubicin release as a combined photodynamic-chemo therapy system. J Control Release 2018;277:114–25.
10. Santos MA, Goertz DE, Hynynen K. Focused ultrasound hyperthermia mediated drug delivery using thermo-sensitive liposomes and visualized with in vivo two-photon microscopy. Theranostics 2017;7:2781–31.
11. Salvatore A, Montis C, Berti D, Baglioni P. Multifunctional magnetoliposomes for sequential controlled release. ACS Nano 2016;10:7749–60.
12. Deng W, Chen WJ, Clement S, Guller A, Zhao JZ, Engel A, et al. Controlled gene and drug release from a liposomal delivery platform triggered by X-ray radiation. Nat Commun 2018;9:2713.
13. Rwei AY, Paris JL, Wang B, Wang WP, Axon CD, Vallet-Regí M, et al. Ultrasound-triggered local anethesia. Nat Biomed Eng 2017;1:644–53.
14. Lv SX, Wu YC, Cai KM, He H, Li YJ, Lan M, et al. High drug loading and sub-quantitative loading efficiency of polymeric micelles driven by donor-receptor coordination interactions. J Am Chem Soc 2018;140:1235–8.
15. Wang TT, Wang DG, Liu JP, Feng B, Zhou FY, Zhang HW, et al. Acidity-triggered ligand-presentation nanoparticles to overcome sequential drug delivery barriers to tumors. Nano Lett 2017;17:5429–36.
16. Li SP, Zhang YL, Wang J, Zhao Y, Ji TJ, Zhao X, et al. Nanoparticle-mediated local depletion of tumour-associated platelets disrupts vascular barriers and augments drug accumulation in tumours. Nat Biomed Eng 2017;1:067–79.
17. Ling X, Chen X, Riddell IA, Tao W, Wang JQ, Hollett G, et al. Glutathione-scavenging poly(disulfide amide) nanoparticles for the effective delivery of Pt(IV) prodrugs and reversal of cisplatin resistance. Nano Lett 2018;18:4618–25.
18. Qian CG, Feng PJ, Yu JC, Chen YL, Hu QY, Sun WJ, et al. Ananorebo-inspired anticancer nanovesicles. Angew Chem Int Ed 2017;56:2588–93.
19. Deng ZY, Qian YF, Yu YQ, Liu GH, Hu JM, Zhang GY, et al. Engineering intracellular delivery nanocarriers and nanoreactors from oxidation-responsive polyamersomes via synchronized bilayer cross-linking and permeabilizing inside live cells. J Am Chem Soc 2016;138:10552–66.
20. Guo ZQ, Zou YL, He H, Rao JM, Ji SS, Cui XN, et al. Bifunctional platinated nanoparticles for photoinduced tumor ablation. Adv Mater 2016;28:10155–64.
21. Ye SY, Rao JM, Qiu SH, Zhao JL, He H, Yan ZL, et al. Rational design of conjugated photosensitizers with controllable photoconversion for dually cooperative phototherapy. Adv Mater 2018;30:1801216.
22. Gao HL, He Q. The interaction of nanoparticles with plasma proteins and the consequent influence on nanoparticles behavior. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2014;11:409–20.
23. Guan XW, Guo ZP, Wang TH, Lin L, Chen J, Tian HY, et al. A pH-responsive detachable PEG shielding strategy for gene delivery system in cancer therapy. Biomacromolecules 2017;18:1342–9.
24. Zeng Y, Zhou ZX, Fan MM, Gong T, Zhang ZR, Sun X. PEGylated cationic vectors containing a protease-sensitive peptide as a mRNA delivery system for treating breast cancer. Mol Pharm 2017;14:81–92.
25. Gao HL, Zhang QY, Yu ZQ, He Q. Cell-penetrating peptide-based intelligent liposomal systems for enhanced drug delivery. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2014;15:210–9.
26. Chen XL, Liu LS, Jiang C. Charge-reversal nanoparticles: novel targeted drug delivery carriers. Acta Pharm Sin B 2016;6:261–7.
27. Lv GX, Guo WS, Zhang W, Zhang TB, Li SY, Chen SZ, et al. Near-infrared emission CuInS/ZnS quantum dots: all-in-one theranostic nanomedicines with intrinsic fluorescence/photocoustic imaging for tumor phototherapy. ACS Nano 2016;10:9637–45.
28. Wang S, Huang P, Chen XY. Hierarchical targeting strategy for enhanced tumor tissue accumulation/retention and cellular internalization. Adv Mater 2016;28:7340–64.
29. Liu R, Xiao W, Hu C, Xie R, Gao HL. Theranostic size-reducible and no donor conjugated gold nanocluster fabricated hyaluronic acid nanoparticle with optimal size for combinational treatment of breast cancer and lung metastasis. J Control Release 2018;278:127–39.
30. Hu C, Cun XL, Ruan SB, Liu R, Xiao W, Yang XT, et al. Enzyme-triggered size shrink and laser-enhanced NO release nanoparticles for deep tumor penetration and combination therapy. Biomaterials 2018;168:64–75.
31. Ruan SB, Hu C, Tang X, Cun XL, Xiao W, Shi KR, et al. Increased gold nanoparticle retention in brain tumors by in situ enzyme-induced aggregation. ACS Nano 2016;10:10086–98.
32. Zhang P, Xu XY, Chen YP, Xiao MQ, Feng B, Tian XK, et al. Protein corona between nanoparticles and bacterial proteins in activated slime: characterization and effect on nanoparticle aggregation. Biosens Bioelectron 2018;100:10–6.
33. Yang PP, Luo Q, Qi GB, Gao YJ, Li BN, Zhang JP, et al. Host materials transformable in tumor microenvironment for homing theranostics. Adv Mater 2017;29:1605869.
34. Subbiah V, Grille-Olson JE, Combest AJ, Sharma N, Tran RH, Bobe I, et al. Phase Ib/II Trial of NC-6004 (Nanoparticle Cisplatin) plus gemcitabine in patients with advanced solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2018;24:43–51.
35. Zhang M, Liu EG, Cui Y, Huang YZ. Nanotechnology-based combination therapy for overcoming multidrug-resistant cancer. Cancer Biol Med 2017;14:212–27.
36. Peng HG, Chen BF, Huang W, Tang YB, Jiang YF, Zhang WY, et al. Reprogramming tumor-associated macrophages to reverse EGFR T790M resistance by dual-targeting codelivery of gefitinib/vorinostat. Nano Lett 2017;17:7684–90.
37. Kang XJ, Wang HY, Peng HG, Chen BF, Zhang WY, Wu AH, et al. Codelivery of dihydroartemisinin and doxorubicin in mannosylated liposomes for drug-resistant colon cancer therapy. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2017;38:885–96.
38. Kang XJ, Zheng ZN, Liu ZH, Wang HY, Zhao YG, Zhang WY, et al. Liposomal codelivery of doxorubicin and andrographolide inhibits breast cancer growth and metastasis. Mol Pharm 2018;15:1618–26.
39. Kemp JA, Shim MS, Heo CY, Kwon YJ. “CombO” nanomedicine: co-delivery of multi-modal therapeutics for efficient, targeted, and safe cancer therapy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016; 98:3–18.

40. Krauss AC, Gao X, Li L, Manning ML, Patel P, Fu WT, et al. FDA approval summary: (daunorubicin and cytarabine) liposome for injection for the treatment of adults with high-risk acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res 2019; 25:2685–90.

41. Cheng W, Nie JP, Gao NS, Liu G, Tao W, Xiao XJ, et al. A multifunctional nanoplatform against multidrug resistant cancer: merging the best of targeted chemo/gene/photothermal therapy. Adv Funct Mater 2017; 27:1704135.

42. Zhang FW, Ni QQ, Jacobson O, Cheng SY, Liao A, Wang ZT, et al. Polymeric nanocarriers with a glutathione-sensitive heterodimeric multifunctional prodrg for in vivo drug monitoring and synergistic cancer therapy. Angew Chem Int Ed 2018; 57:7066–70.

43. Mura S, Nicolas J, Couvreur P. Stimuli-responsive nanocarriers for drug delivery. Nat Mater 2013; 12:991–1003.

44. Qiao H, Cui ZW, Yang SB, Ji DK, Wang YG, Yang Y, et al. Targeting osteocytes to attenuate early breast cancer bone metastasis by theranostic upconversion nanoparticles with responsive plumbagin release. ACS Nano 2017; 11:7259–73.

45. Arranja AG, Pathak V, Lamners T, Shi Y. Tumor-targeted nanocarriers for cancer theranostics. Pharmacol Res 2017; 115:87–95.

46. Webb BA, Chimenti M, Jacobson MP, Barber DL. Dysregulated pH: a perfect storm for cancer progression. Nat Rev Cancer 2011; 11:671–7.

47. Li Y, Wang YG, Huang G, Gao JM. Cooperativity principles in self-assembled nanomedicine. Chem Rev 2018; 118:5359–91.

48. Wang YG, Zhou KJ, Huang G, Hensley C, Huang XN, Ma XP, et al. A nanoparticle-based strategy for the imaging of a broad range of tumours by nonlinear amplification of microenvironment signals. Nat Mater 2014; 13:204–12.

49. Zhao T, Huang G, Li Y, Yang SC, Ramezani S, Lin ZQ, et al. A transistor-like pH nanoprobe for tumour detection and image-guided surgery. Nat Biomed Eng 2016; 1:0006.

50. Luo M, Wang H, Wang ZH, Cai HC, Lu ZG, Li Y, et al. A STING-activating nanovaccine for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Nanotechnol 2017; 12:648–54.

51. Xu XD, Wu J, Liu YL, Yu M, Zhao LL, Zhu X, et al. Ultra-pH-responsive and tumor-penetrating nanoplatform for targeted siRNA delivery with robust anti-cancer efficacy. Angew Chem Int Ed 2016; 55:7991–4.

52. Akhilany AM, Thompson LB, Boulou SP, Sisco PN, Murphy CJ, Gold nanorods: their potential for photothermal therapeutics and drug delivery, tempered by the complexity of their biological interactions. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2012; 64:190–9.

53. Shanmugam V, Selvakumar S, Yeh CS. Near-infrared light-sensitive nanomaterials in cancer therapeutic. Chem Soc Rev 2014; 43:6254–87.

54. Morgan J, Oseroff AR. Mitochondria-based photodynamic anticancer therapy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2001; 49:71–86.

55. Zhao X, Yang CX, Chen LG, Yan XP. Dual-stimuli responsive and reversibly activatable theranostic nanoprobe for precision tumor-targeting and fluorescence-guided photothermal therapy. Nat Commun 2017; 8:14998.

56. Xu ST, Zhu XY, Zhang C, Huang W, Zhou YF, Yan DY. Oxygen and Pr(III) self-generating conjugate for synergistic photo-chemo therapy of hypoxic tumor. Nat Commun 2018; 9:2053.

57. Li XS, Kwon N, Gao T, Liu Z, Yoon J. Innovative strategies for hypoxic-tumor photodynamic therapy. Angew Chem Int Ed 2018; 57:11522–31.

58. Yue XL, Zhang Q, Dai ZF. Near-infrared light-activatable polymeric nanof ormulations for combined therapy and imaging of cancer. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2017; 115:155–70.

59. Chen M, Liang XL, Gao C, Zhao RR, Zhang NS, Wang SM, et al. Ultrasound triggered conversion of porphyrin/camptothecin-fluoroxyuridine triad microparticles into nanoparticles overcomes multidrug resistance in colorectal cancer. ACS Nano 2018; 12:7312–26.

60. Shi JI, Kantoff PW, Rooster R, Farokhzad OC. Cancer nanomedicine: progress, challenges and opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer 2017; 17:20–37.

61. Yu MK, Park J, Jon S. Targeting strategies for multifunctional nanocarriers in cancer imaging and therapy. Theranostics 2012; 2:3–44.

62. Zhou LL, Wang H, He B, Zeng LJ, Tan T, Cao HQ, et al. Current approaches of photothermal therapy in treating cancer metastasis with nanotherapeutics. Theranostics 2016; 6:762–72.

63. Zhang PF, Liu G, Chen XY. Nanobiotechnology: cell membrane-based delivery systems. Nano Today 2017; 13:7–9.

64. Kumar S, Michael IJ, Park J, Granick S, Cho YK. Cloaked exosomes: biocompatible, durable, and degradable encapsulation. Small 2018; 14:1802052.

65. Ingato D, Edson JA, Zakharian M, Kwon YJ. Cancer cell-derived, drug-loaded nanovesicles induced by sulf hydril-blocking for effective and safe cancer therapy. ACS Nano 2018; 12:9568–77.

66. Xue JW, Zhao ZK, Zhang L, Xue LJ, Shen SY, Wen YJ, et al. Neutrophil-mediated anticancer drug delivery for suppression of postoperative malignant glioma recurrence. Nat Nanotechnol 2017; 12:692–700.

67. Wu TT, Zhang D, Qiao Q, Qin XY, Yang CL, Kong M, et al. Bimimetic nanovesicles for enhanced antitumor activity of combinational photothermal and chemotherapy. Mol Pharm 2018; 15:1341–52.

68. Molinaro R, Corbo C, Martinez JO, Taraballi F, Evangelopoulos M, Minardi S, et al. Biomimetic proteolipid vesicles for targeting inflamed tissues. Nat Mater 2016; 15:1037–46.

69. Xu C, Yang XY, Fu X, Tian R, Jacobson O, Wang ZT, et al. Converting red blood cells to efficient microreactors for blood detoxification. Adv Mater 2017; 29:1603673.

70. Kaneti L, Bronshtein T, Malkah Dayan N, Kovregina I, Letko Khair N, Lupu-Haber Y, et al. Nanoghosts as a novel natural nonviral gene delivery platform safely targeting multiple cancers. Nano Lett 2016; 16:1574–82.

71. Armstrong JPK, Holme MN, Stevens MM. Re-engineering extracellular vesicles as smart nanoscale therapeutics. ACS Nano 2017; 11:69–83.

72. Song QL, Yin YJ, Shang LH, Wu TT, Zhang D, Kong M, et al. Tumor microenvironment responsive nanogel for the combinatorial antitumor effect of chemotherapy and immunotherapy. Nano Lett 2017; 17:6366–75.

73. Chen Z, Zhuo PF, Luo ZY, Zheng MB, Tian H, Gong P, et al. Cancer cell membrane-bimimetic nanovesicles for homologous-targeting dual-modal imaging and photothermal therapy. ACS Nano 2016; 10:10049–57.

74. Kroll AV, Fang RH, Jiang Y, Zhou JR, Wei XL, Yu CL, et al. Nanoparticulate delivery of cancer cell membrane elicits multi-antigenic antitumor immunity. Adv Mater 2017; 29:1703969.

75. Kang T, Zhu QQ, Wei D, Feng JX, Yao JH, Jiang TZ, et al. Nanoparticles coated with neutrophil membranes can effectively treat cancer metastasis. ACS Nano 2017; 11:1397–411.

76. Wu TT, Qi Y, Zhang D, Song QL, Yang CL, Hu XM, et al. Bone marrow dendritic cells derived microvesicles for combinational immunochemotherapy against tumor. Adv Mater 2017; 29:1703191.

77. Usman WM, Pham TC, Kwok YY, Vu LT, Ma V, Peng BY, et al. Efficient RNA drug delivery using red blood cell extracellular vesicles. Nat Commun 2018; 9:2359.

78. Kuai R, Ochyl LJ, Bahjat KS, Schwendungt A, Moon JJ. Designer vaccine nanodiscs for personalized cancer immunotherapy. Nat Mater 2017; 16:489–96.

79. Lizotte PH, Wen AM, Sheen MR, Fields J, Rojanasopondist P, Steinmetz NF, et al. In situ vaccination with cowpea mosaic virus nanoparticles suppresses metastatic cancer. Nat Nanotechnol 2016; 11:295–303.
Recent progress in drug delivery

80. Lebel ME, Charttrand K, Tarrah E, Savard P, Leclerc D, Lamerre A. Potentiating cancer immunotherapy using papaya mosaic virus-derived nanoparticles. Nano Lett 2016;16:1826–32.

81. Johnson KB, Bak M, Kempen PJ, Melander F, Burkhart A, Thomsen MS, et al. Antibody affinity and valency impact brain uptake of transferrin receptor-targeted gold nanoparticles. Theranostics 2018;8:3416–36.

82. Peng S, Wang YH, Li N, Li C. Enhanced cellular uptake and tumor penetration of nanoparticles by imprinting the “hidden” part of membrane receptors for targeted drug delivery. Chem Commun 2017; 53:11114–7.

83. Kedmi R, Veiga N, Ramishetti S, Goldsmith M, Rosenblum D, Thompson AM, et al. Neoadjuvant trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and treosulfan in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer (KRISTINE): a randomized, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:115–26.

84. Oller-Salvia B, Sanchez-Navarro M, Ciudad S, Guiu M, Arranz-Hurvitz SA, Martin M, Symmans WF, Jung KH, Huang CS, Talebian S, Foroughi J, Wade SJ, Vine KL, Dolatshahi-Pirouz A, Goyanes A, Buanz ABM, Basit AW, Gaisford S. Fused-filament 3D printing of patient-tailored prednisolone tablets via fused deposition modelling (FDM) 3D printing. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2016;107:40–8.

85. Skowrya J, Pietrzak K, Alhnan MA. Fabrication of extended-release patient-tailored prednisolone tablets via fused deposition modelling (FDM) 3D printing. Eur J Pharm Sci 2015;68:61–7.

86. Holländer J, Genina N, Jukarainen H, Khaajehian M, Rosling A, Mikilä E, et al. Three-dimensional printed PCL-based implantable prototypes of medical devices for controlled drug delivery. J Pharm Sci 2016;105:2665–76.

87. Goyanes A, Det-Amonratt U, Wang J, Basit AW, Gaisford S. 3D scanning and 3D printing as innovative technologies for fabricating personalized topical drug delivery systems. J Control Release 2016;234:41–8.

88. Kwon KM, Lim SM, Choi S, Kim DH, Jin HE, Jee G, et al. Microneedle: a review. J Control Release 2017;251:11–23.

89. Kwon KM, Lim SM, Choi S, Kim DH, Jin HE, Jee G, et al. Microneedles: quick and easy delivery methods of vaccines. Clin Exp Vaccine Res 2017;6:156–9.

90. Yang HW, Ye L, Guo XD, Yang CL, Comans RW, Prausnitz MR. Ebola vaccination using a DNA vaccine coated on PLGA-PLL/PGA nanoparticles administered using a microneedle patch. Adv Healthc Mater 2017:6:1600730.

91. Johnson KL, McCann CM, Wilkinson JL, Jones M, Tebo BM, West M, et al. Dissolved Mn(III) in water treatment works: prevalence and significance. Water Res 2018;140:181–90.

92. Han A, Liu CH, Chang H, Duong PK, Cheung CMG, Xu CJ, et al. Self-implantable double-layered micro-drug-reservoirs for efficient and controlled ocular drug delivery. Nat Commun 2018;9:4433.

93. Jin X, Zhu DD, Chen BZ, Ashfaq M, Guo XD. Insulin delivery systems combined with microneedle technology. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2018;127:119–37.

94. Bhatnagar S, Dave K, Venuganti VVK. Microneedles in the clinic. J Control Release 2017;260:164–82.

95. Lee H, Choi TK, Lee YB, Cho HR, Ghaffari R, Wang L, et al. A graphene-based electrochemical device with thermoresponsive microneedles for diabetes monitoring and therapy. Nano Lett 2016;11:566–72.

96. Lee H, Song C, Hong YS, Kim MS, Cho HR, Kang T, et al. Wearable/disposable sweat-based glucose monitoring device with multistage transdermal drug delivery module. Sci Adv 2017;3:e1603134.

97. Yu JC, Zhang YQ, Ye YQ, DiSanto R, Sun WJ, Ranson D, et al. Microneedle-array patches loaded with hypoxia-sensitive vesicles provide fast glucose-responsive insulin delivery. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112:8260–5.

98. Yu JC, Qian CG, Zhang YQ, Cui Z, Zhu Y, Shen QD, et al. Hypoxia and H2O2, dual-sensitive vesicles for enhanced glucose-responsive insulin delivery. Nano Lett 2017;17:733–9.

99. Gupta MK, Meng FB, Johnson BN, Kong YL, Tian LM, Yeh YW, et al. 3D printed programmable release capsules. Nano Lett 2015;15:5321–9.
patches for glucose-sensitive transcutaneous delivery of insulin in diabetic rats. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 2018;10:20014–24.

120. Zhang YQ, Yu IC, Wang IQ, Hanne NJ, Cui Z, Qian CG, et al. Thrombin-responsive transcutaneous patch for auto-anticoagulant regulation. *Adv Mater* 2017;29:1604043.

121. Hirobe S, Azukizawa H, Hanafusa T, Matsu K, Quan YS, Kamiyama F, et al. Clinical study and stability assessment of a novel transcutaneous influenza vaccination using a dissolving microneedle patch. *Biomaterials* 2015;57:50–8.

122. Arya J, Henry S, Kalluri H, McMallister DV, Pewin WP, Prasunit MR. Tolerability, usability and acceptability of dissolving microneedle patch administration in human subjects. *Biomaterials* 2017;128:1–7.

123. Malamati M, Taylor KMG, Malamatari S, Douroumis D, Kachrimanis K. Pharmaceutical nanocrystals: production by wet milling and applications. *Drug Discov Today* 2018;23:534–47.

124. Sigfridsson K, Skantze P, Skantze U, Svensson L, Löfgren L, Nordell P, et al. Nanocrystal formulations of a poorly soluble drug. 2. Evaluation of nanocrystal liver uptake and distribution after intra-venous administration to mice. *Int J Pharm* 2017;524:248–56.

125. Bi C, Miao XQ, Chow SF, Wu WJ, Yan R, Liao YH, et al. Particle size effect of curcumin nanosuspensions on cytotoxicity, cellular internalization, in vivo pharmacokinetics and biodistribution. *Nanomedicine* 2017;13:943–53.

126. Abdelaziz HM, Gaber M, Abd-Elwakil MM, Mahrouk MT, Elghory MA, Kamel NM, et al. Inhalable particulate drug delivery systems for lung cancer therapy: nanoparticles, microparticles, nanocomposites and nanoaggregates. *J Control Release* 2018;269:374–92.

127. Yang L, Hong J, Di J, Guo Y, Han M, Liu M, et al. 10-Hydroxycamptothecin (HCPT) nanosuspensions stabilized by mPEG1000-HCPT conjugate: high stabilizing efficiency and improved antitumor efficacy. *Int J Nanomed* 2017;12:3681–95.

128. Li YJ, Hong JY, Li HW, Qi XY, Guo YF, Han MH, et al. Genkwanin nanosuspensions: a novel and potential antitumor drug in breast carcinoma therapy. *Drug Deliv* 2017;24:1491–500.

129. Hadwinoto GD, Lip Kwok PC, Lakerveld R. A review on recent technologies for the manufacture of pulmonary drugs. *Ther Deliv* 2018;9:47–70.

130. Hidalgo A, Cruz A, Pérez-Gil J. Pulmonary surfactant and nanocarriers: toxicity versus combined nanomedical applications. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2017;1859:1740–8.

131. Khurana LS, Singh R, Singh H, Sharma M. Systematic development and optimization of an in-situ gelling system for moxifloxacin ocular nanosuspension using high-pressure homogenization with an improved encapsulation efficiency. *Curr Pharm Des* 2018;24:1434–45.

132. Maged A, Mahmoud AA, Ghorab MM. Nano spray drying technique as a novel approach to formulate stable econazole nitrate nanosuspension formulations for ocular use. *Mol Pharm* 2016;13:2951–65.

133. Romero GB, Keck CM, Müller RH, Bou-Chacra NA. Development of cationic nanocrystals for ocular delivery. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 2016;107:215–22.

134. Pelikh O, Stahr PL, Huang J, Gerst M, Scholz P, Dietrich H, et al. Diclofenac acid nanocrystals as an effective strategy to reduce in vivo skin inflammation by improving dermal drug bioavailability. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 2016;143:64–70.

135. Suri GS, Kaur A, Sen T. A recent trend of drug-nanoparticles in suspension for the application in drug delivery. *Nanomedicine* 2016;11:2861–76.

136. Chang TL, Zhan HL, Liang DN, Liang JF. Nanocryst technology for drug formulation and delivery. *Front Chem Sci Eng* 2015;9:1–14.

137. Romero GB, Keck CM, Müller RH. Simple low-cost miniaturization approach for pharmaceutical nanocrystals production. *Int J Pharm* 2016;501:236–44.

138. Han XF, Wang ML, Ma ZH, Xue P, Wang YJ. A new approach to produce drug nanosuspensions CO2-assisted effervescence to produce drug nanosuspension. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 2016;143:107–10.

139. Wang YL, Han XF, Wang J, Wang YJ. Preparation, characterization and in vivo evaluation of amorphous tacrolimus nanosuspensions produced using CO2-assisted in situ nanomorphization method. *Int J Pharm* 2016;505:35–41.

140. Amly W, Karaman R. Recent updates in utilizing prodrugs in drug delivery (2013–2015). *Exp Opin Drug Deliv* 2016;13:571–91.

141. Du L, Jia JW, Ge PJ, Jin YG. Self-assembly of S'-cholisterol-ethyl-phosphoryl zidovudine. *Colloid Surf B Biointerfaces* 2016;148:35–91.

142. Gaudin A, Yemisci M, Eroglu H, Lepetre-Mouelhi S, Turkoglu OF, Dönmez-Demir B, et al. Squalenoyl adenosine nanoparticles provide neuroprotection after stroke and spinal cord injury. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2014;9:1054–62.

143. Sarett SM, Werfel TA, Chandra I, Jackson MA, Kavanaugh TE, Hattaway ME, et al. Hydrophobic interactions between polymeric carrier and palmitic acid-conjugated siRNA improve PEGylated polyplex stability and enhance in vivo pharmacokinetics and tumor gene silencing. *Biomaterials* 2016;97:122–32.

144. Du L, Zhang BL, Lei YJ, Wang S, Jin YG. Long-circulating and liver-targeted nanoadsomes of cyclic phospholipid N-dodecanoyl gemcitabine for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2016;79:208–14.

145. Cheetham AG, Zhang PC, Lin YA, Lock LL, Cui HG. Supramolecular nanostructures formed by anticancer drug assembly. *J Am Chem Soc* 2013;135:2907–10.

146. Couvreur P, Stella B, Reddy LH, Hillaireau H, Dubernet C, Desmaële D, et al. Squalenoyl nanomedicines as potential therapeutics. *Nano Lett* 2006;6:2544–8.

147. Huang XX, Liao WB, Xie ZH, Chen DS, Zhang CY. A pH-responsive prodrug delivery system self-assembled from acid-labile doxorubicin-conjugated amphiphilic pH-sensitive block copolymers. *Mater Sci Eng C* 2018;90:27–37.

148. Zuo J, Tong L, Du L, Yang M, Jin YG. Biomimetic nanoadsomes of 1-O-octodecyl-2-conjugated linoleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidyl gemcitabine with phospholipase A2-triggered degradation for the treatment of cancer. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 2017;152:467–74.

149. Luo C, Sun J, Sun BJ, Liu D, Miao L, Goodwin TJ, et al. Facile fabrication of tumor redox-sensitive nanoadsomes of small-molecule oleate prodrug as potent chemotherapeutic nanomedicine. *Small* 2016;12:6353–62.

150. Rautio I, Kumpulainen H, Heinbach T, Olijär J, Oh D, Irvinen T, et al. Prodrugs: design and clinical applications. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008;7:255–70.

151. Du L, Wu LL, Jin YG, Jia JW, Li M, Wang Y. Self-assembled drug delivery systems. Part 7: hepatocyte-targeted nanoadsomes of an adefovir lipid derivative with cytochrome P4S0-triggered drug release. *Int J Pharm* 2014;472:1–9.

152. Zhang JM, Song HJ, Ji SL, Wang XM, Huang PS, Zhang CN, et al. NO prodrug-conjugated, self-assembled, pH-responsive and galactose receptor targeted nanoparticles for co-delivery of nitric oxide and doxorubicin. *Nanoscale* 2018;10:4179–88.

153. Han LQ, Wang TQ, Wu JL, Yin XL, Fang H, Zhang N. A facile route to form self-carried redox-responsive vorinostat nanodrug for effective solid tumor therapy. *Int J Nanomed* 2016;11:6003–22.

154. Luo C, Sun J, Liu D, Sun BJ, Miao L, Musetti S, et al. Self-assembled redox dual-responsive prodrug-nanosystem formed by single thiouether-bridged paclitaxel-fatty acid conjugate for cancer chemotherapy. *Nano Let* 2016;16:5401–8.

155. Meng H, Zou Y, Zhong P, Meng FH, Zhang J, Cheng R, et al. A smart nano-prodrug platform with reactive drug loading, superb stability, and fast responsive drug release for targeted cancer therapy. *Macromol Biosci* 2017;17:1600518.

156. Huang D, Zhuang YP, Shen H, Yang F, Wang X, Wu DC. Acetal-linked PEGylated paclitaxel-prodrugs forming free-paclitaxel-loaded
pH-responsive micelles with high drug loading capacity and improved drug delivery. *Mater Sci Eng C* 2018;82:60–8.

158. Tao W, Zhu XB, Yu KH, Zeng XW, Xiao QL, Zhang XD, et al. Black phosphorus nanosheets as a robust delivery platform for cancer theranostics. *Adv Mater* 2017;29:1603276.

159. Zhou YX, Quan GL, Wu QL, Zhang XX, Niu BY, Wu BY, et al. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 2018;8:165–77.

160. Zeng XW, Liu G, Tao W, Ma Y, Zhang XD, He F, et al. A drug-self-gated mesoporous antitumor nanoplatform based on pH-sensitive dynamic covalent bond. *Adv Funct Mater* 2017;27:1605985.

161. Ji XY, Kong N, Wang JQ, Li WL, Xiao YL, Gan ST, et al. A novel top-down synthesis of ultradfin 2D boron nanosheets for multimodal imaging-guided cancer therapy. *Adv Mater* 2018;36:1803031.

162. Kim CK, Ghosh P, Rotello VM. Multimodal drug delivery using gold nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* 2009;1:61–7.

163. El-Sherbiny IM, Elbash NM, Sedki M, Elgammal A, Yacoub MH. Magnetic nanoparticle-based drug and gene delivery systems for the treatment of pulmonary diseases. *Nanomedicine* 2017;12:387–402.

164. Yao J, Li PF, Li L, Yang M. Biochemistry and biomedicine of quantum dots from biodetection to bioimaging, drug discovery, diagnostics, and therapy. *Acta Biomater* 2018;74:36–55.

165. Liu QJ, Cui L, Losic D. Graphene and graphene oxide as new nanocarriers for drug delivery applications. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:9243–57.

166. Xu TT, Xu XY, Gu Y, Fang L, Cao F. Functional intercalated nanocomposites with chitosan-glutathione-glucosylcarnosine and layered double hydroxides for topical ocular drug delivery. *Int J Nanomed* 2018;13:917–37.

167. Liang RZ, Wei M, Evans DG, Duan X. Inorganic nanomaterials for bioimaging, targeted drug delivery and therapeutics. *Chem Commun* 2014;50:14071–81.

168. Chen TT, Yi JT, Zhao YY, Chu X. Bionimeralized metal-organic framework nanoparticles enable intracellular delivery and endolysosomal release of native active proteins. *J Am Chem Soc* 2018;140:9912–20.

169. He B, Shi YJ, Liang YQ, Yang AP, Fan ZP, Yuan L, et al. Single-walled carbon-nanohorns improve biocompatibility over nanotubes by triggering less protein-initiated pyroposis and apoptosis in macrophages. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:2393.

170. Schleich N, Po C, Jacobs D, Ucakar B, Gallez B, Danhier F, et al. Comparison of active, passive and magnetic targeting to tumors of multifunctional paclitaxel/SPIO-loaded nanoparticles for tumor imaging and therapy. *J Control Release* 2014;194:82–91.

171. Chen F, Zhao ER, Hableeg G, Hu T, Kim T, Li JT, et al. Increasing the efficacy of stem cell therapy via triple-function inorganic nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 2019;13:6605–17.

172. Gao WX, Hu YL, Xu L, Liu MC, Wu HY, He B. Dual pH and glucose sensitive gel gated mesoporous silica nanoparticles for drug delivery. *Chin Chem Lett* 2018;29:1795–8.

173. Cheng W, Liang CY, Xu L, Liu G, Gao NS, Tao W, et al. TPGS-functionalized polydopamine-modified mesoporous silica as drug carriers for enhanced lung cancer chemotherapy against multidrug resistance. *Small* 2017;13:1700623.

174. Quan GL, Pan X, Wang ZH, Wu QL, Li G, Dian LH, et al. Erratum to: lactosaminated mesoporous silica nanoparticles for asialoglycoprotein receptor targeted anticancer drug delivery. *J Nanobiotechnol* 2015;13:89.

175. Shen DK, Yang JP, Li XM, Zhou L, Zhang RY, Li W, et al. Biphase stratification approach to three-dimensional dendritic biodegradable mesoporous silica nanospheres. *Nanotechnology* 2014;24:923–32.

176. Choi E, Kim S. Surface pH buffering to promote degradation of mesoporous silica nanoparticles under a physiological condition. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 2019;533:463–70.

177. Song Y, Li Y, Xu Q, Liu Z. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles for stimuli-responsive controlled drug delivery: advances, challenges, and outlook. *Int J Nanomed* 2016;12:87–110.

178. Su JH, Sun HP, Meng QS, Zhang PC, Yin Q, Li YP. Enhanced blood suspensibility and laser-activated tumor-specific drug release of theranostic mesoporous silica nanoparticles by functionalizing with erythrocyte membranes. *Thermosci* 2017;7:523–37.

179. Chai SQ, Guo Y, Zhang ZY, Chai Z, Ma YR, Qi LM. Cyclodextrin-gated mesoporous silica nanoparticles as drug carriers for red light-induced drug release. *Nanotechnology* 2017;28:145101.

180. Zhao YT, Wang HY, Huang H, Xiao QL, Xu YH, Guo ZN, et al. Surface coordination of black phosphorus for robust air and water stability. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2016;55:5003–7.

181. Zeng XW, Luo MM, Liu G, Wang XS, Tao W, Lin YX, et al. Polydopamine-modified black phosphorous nanocapsule with enhanced stability and photothermal performance for tumor multimodal treatments. *Adv Sci* 2018;5:1800510.

182. Morrison C. Ahylam prepares to land first RNAi drug approval. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2018;17:156–7.

183. Khalil IA, Yamada Y, Harashima H. Optimization of siRNA delivery to target sites: issues and future directions. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 2018;15:1053–65.

184. Wang HX, Song ZY, Lao YH, Xu X, Gong J, Cheng D, et al. Nonviral gene editing via CRISPR/Cas9 delivery by membrane-disruptive and endosomolytic helical polypeptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018;115:4903–8.

185. Guan XW, Guo ZP, Lin L, Chen J, Tian HY, Chen XS. Ultrasensitive pH triggered charge size dual-rebound gene delivery system. *Nano Lett* 2016;16:6823–31.

186. Zou Y, Zheng M, Yang WJ, Meng FH, Miyata K, Kim HJ, et al. Virus-mimicking chamaeric polymersomes boost targeted cancer siRNA therapy in vivo. *Adv Mater* 2017;29:1703285.

187. Li L, Muñozzella M, Carmona U, Lopez MP, Yang F, Trigueros C, et al. Ferritin-mediated siRNA delivery and gene silencing in human tumor and primary cells. *Biomaterials* 2016;98:143–51.

188. Xu XD, Saw PE, Tao W, Li YJ, Ji XY, Yu M, et al. Tumor microenvironment-responsive multistaged nanoplatform for systemic RNAi and cancer therapy. *Nano Lett* 2017;17:4427–35.

189. Wang B, Ding YP, Zhao ZX, Han XX, Yang N, Zhang YL, et al. Delivery of small interfering RNA against Nogo-B receptor via tumor-acidity responsive nanoparticles for tumor vessel normalization and metastasis suppression. *Biomaterials* 2018;175:110–22.

190. Chen Y, Gao DY, Huang L. *In vivo* delivery of miRNAs for cancer therapy: challenges and strategies. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2015;81:128–41.

191. He SF, Fan WW, Wu N, Zhu JJ, Miao YQ, Miao XR, et al. Lipid-based liquid crystalline nanoparticles facilitate cytosolic delivery of siRNA via structural transformation. *Nano Lett* 2018;18:2411–9.

192. Wang H, Agarwal P, Zhao ST, Yu JH, Lu XB, He XM. A near-infrared laser-activated “Nanobomb” for breaking the barriers to microRNA delivery. *Adv Mater* 2016;28:347–55.

193. He H, Zheng N, Song ZY, Kim KH, Yao C, Zhang RJ, et al. Suppression of hepatic inflammation via systemic siRNA delivery by membrane-disruptive and endosomolytic helical polypeptide hybrid nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 2016;10:1859–70.

194. Morrison C. Fresh from the biotech pipeline-2017. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018;36:131–6.

195. Viola M, Sequeira J, Seiça R, Veiga F, Serra J, Santos AC, et al. Subcutaneous delivery of monoclonal antibodies: how do we get there?. *J Control Release* 2018;256:301–14.

196. Ye C, Chi H. A review of recent progress in drug and protein encapsulation: approaches, applications and challenges. *Mater Sci Eng C* 2018;83:233–46.

197. Sahoo JK, VandenBerg MA, Webber MJ. Injectable network biomaterials via molecular or colloidal self-assembly. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2018;127:185–207.

198. Wagner AM, Gran MP, Peppas NA. Designing the new generation of intelligent biocompatible carriers for protein and peptide delivery. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 2018;8:147–64.

199. Lakdireddy HR, Urmann M, Besenius M, Werner U, Haack T, Bruin P, et al. Oral delivery of diabetes peptides—comparing standard
formulations incorporating functional excipients and nanotechnologies in the translational context. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;106:196–222.

200. Koetting MC, Guido JF, Gupta M, Zhang A, Peppas NA. pH-responsive and enzymatically-responsive hydrogel microparticles for the oral delivery of therapeutic proteins: effects of protein size, crosslinking density, and hydrogel degradation on protein delivery. J Control Release 2016;221:18–25.

201. Mahmood A, Bernkop-Schnürch A. SEDDS: a game changing approach for the oral administration of hydrophilic macromolecular drugs. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2018;142:91–101.

202. Batista P, Castro PM, Madureira AR, Sarmento B, Pintado M. Recent insights in the use of nanocarriers for the oral delivery of bioactive proteins and peptides. Peptides 2018;101:112–23.

203. Maisel K, Ensign L, Reddy M, Cone R, Hanes J. Effect of surface chemistry on nanoparticle interaction with gastrointestinal mucus and distribution in the gastrointestinal tract following oral and rectal administration in the mouse. J Control Release 2015;201:48–57.

204. Wu JW, Zheng YX, Liu M, Shan W, Zhang ZR, Huang Y. Biomimetic viruslike and charge reversible nanoparticles to sequentially overcome mucus and epithelial barriers for oral insulin delivery. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2018;10:9916–28.

205. Yu MR, Wang JL, Yang YW, Zhu CL, Su Q, Guo SY, et al. Rotation-facilitated rapid transport of nanorods in mucosal tissues. Nano Lett 2016;16:7176–82.

206. Yu MR, Xu L, Tian FL, Su Q, Zheng N, Yang YW, et al. Rapid transport of deformation-tuned nanoparticles across biological hydrogels and cellular barriers. Nat Commun 2018;9:2607.

207. Pridgen EM, Alexis F, Kuo TT, Levy-Nissenbaum E, Karnik R, Blumberg RS, et al. Transepithelial transport of Fc-targeted nanoparticles by the neonatal Fc receptor for oral delivery. Sci Transl Med 2013;5:213ra167.

208. Kim KS, Suzuki K, Cho H, Youn YS, Bae YH. Oral nanoparticles exhibit specific high-efficiency intestinal uptake and lymphatic transport. ACS Nano 2018;12:8893–900.

209. Al-Hilal TA, Park J, Alam F, Chung SW, Park JW, Kim K, et al. Oligomeric bile acid-mediated oral delivery of low molecular weight heparin. J Control Release 2014;175:17–24.

210. Shan W, Zhu X, Liu M, Li L, Zhong JJ, Sun W, et al. Overcoming the diffusion barrier of mucus and absorption barrier of epithelium by self-assembled nanoparticles for oral delivery of insulin. ACS Nano 2015;9:2345–56.

211. Fan WW, Xia DN, Zhu QL, Li XY, He SF, Zhu CL, et al. Functional nanoparticles exploit the bile acid pathway to overcome multiple barriers of the intestinal epithelium for oral insulin delivery. Biomaterials 2018;151:13–23.

212. Moroz E, Matoori S, Leroux JC. Oral delivery of macromolecular drugs: where we are after almost 100 years of attempts. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;101:108–21.

213. Chuang EY, Nguyen GTH, Su FY, Lin JK, Chen CT, Mi FL, et al. Combination therapy via oral co-administration of insulin- and exendin-4-loaded nanoparticles to treat type 2 diabetic rats undergoing OGTT. Biomaterials 2013;34:7994–8001.

214. de Kruifj W, Ehrhardt C. Inhalation delivery of complex drugs—the next steps. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2017;36:52–7.

215. Bodier-Montagutelli E, Mayor A, Vecellio L, Respaud R, Heuzé-Vourc’h N. Designing inhaled protein therapeutics for topical lung delivery: what are the next steps?. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2018;15:729–36.

216. Mandal A, Pal D, Agrahari V, Trinh HM, Joseph M, Mitra AK. Ocular delivery of proteins and peptides: challenges and novel formulation approaches. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2018;126:67–95.

217. Thwala LN, Préat V, Csaba NS. Emerging delivery platforms for mucosal administration of biopharmaceuticals: a critical update on nasal, pulmonary and oral routes. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2017;14:23–36.

218. Ye YQ, Yu JC, Wen D, Kahkoska AR, Gu Z. Polymeric microneedles for transdermal protein delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2018;127:106–18.

219. Yu JC, Zhang YQ, Kahkoska AR, Gu Z. Bioreponsive transcutaneous patches. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2017;48:28–32.

220. Hu XL, Yu JC, Qian CG, Lu Y, Kahkoska AR, Xie ZG, et al. H2O2-responsive vesicles integrated with transcutaneous patches for glucose-mediated insulin delivery. ACS Nano 2017;11:613–20.

221. Qiu C, Wei W, Sun J, Zhang HT, Ding JS, Wang JC, et al. Systemic delivery of siRNA by hyaluronan-functionalized calcium phosphate nanoparticles for tumor-targeted therapy. Nanoscale 2016;8:13033–44.

222. Wang C, Ye YQ, Hochu GM, Sadeghifar H, Gu Z. Enhanced cancer immunotherapy by microneedle patch-assisted delivery of Anti-PD1 antibody. Nano Lett 2016;16:2334–40.

223. Ehlerding EB, England CG, Majewski RL, Valdovinos HF, Jiang DW, Liu G, et al. ImmunoPET imaging of CTLA-4 expression in mouse models of non-small cell lung cancer. Mol Pharm 2017;14:1782–9.

224. Wang C, Sun WJ, Wright G, Wang AZ, Gu Z. Inflammation-triggered cancer immunotherapy by programmed delivery of CpG and Anti-PD1 antibody. Adv Mater 2016;28:8912–20.