Knee Septic Arthritis after Arthroscopy: Incidence, Risk Factors, Functional Outcome, and Infection Eradication Rate
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Abstract

Purpose Septic knee arthritis following arthroscopy is a rare but dreaded complication. Definition and management of knee deep infections are quite discussed in literature. In this review, literature regarding infections after knee arthroscopy is analyzed highlighting the incidence, causative bacteria, risk factors as well as clinical outcomes.

Methods We performed a review of the literature matching the following key words: “septic arthritis” OR “infection” AND “arthroscopy” AND “knee.” Knee arthroscopic procedures, such as debridement, meniscectomy, meniscus repair, synovectomy, microfracture, and lateral release, were considered. Complex procedures, such as ligament reconstruction, fractures, or complex cartilage repair techniques, were not included.

Results Thirteen studies were included in this review. Incidence of infection ranged from 0.009 to 1.1% in patients undergoing simple arthroscopic procedures. Staphylococci are the most commonly isolated organisms from postarthroscopy infection. Use of intraoperative intra-articular steroids, smoking, obesity, male sex, diabetes, number of procedures performed during surgery, time of surgery, and tourniquet time of more than 60 minutes have been certified as risk factors for knee infection.

Conclusion Postarthroscopy septic arthritis of the knee causes significant morbidity, usually requiring readmission to the hospital, at least one additional operation, and prolonged antibiotic therapy, both intravenous and oral. Prompt diagnosis and treatment are associated with a high success rate.
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Level of Evidence Level IV, systematic review of I-IV studies.

Introduction

Arthroscopic knee surgery is one of the most common orthopaedic procedures performed worldwide, and would seem intrinsically “low risk” for infectious complications.1,2 Although the incidence of infection after arthroscopy is very low, ranging from 0.009 to 0.4%,3-5 septic arthritis represents a potentially devastating postoperative complication, with possible sequelae including accelerated joint degeneration, early arthroplasty, fusion, and amputation with significant morbidity. Commonly involved organisms include Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci, while gram-negative bacteria are rarely found.

In this article, we reviewed the infectious complications of simple knee arthroscopy, and evaluated incidence rates, microbiological aspects, risk factors, treatment practices,
and outcomes. Simple knee arthroscopy, including debridement, meniscectomy, meniscus repair, synovectomy, microfracture, and lateral release, were considered. We did not include complex procedures such as ligament reconstruction, fractures, or complex cartilage repair techniques.

Methods

To identify relevant papers dealing with knee infection after “simple” arthroscopic surgical procedures, we performed a research of literature on arthroscopy in English language using the MEDLINE database with the search strings “septic arthritis” OR “infection” AND “arthroscopy” AND “knee.” Additional articles were identified by checking the references. Two authors (G.B. and S.L.D.D.) independently reviewed each abstract. Once a paper was identified as likely to be included, full-text versions were obtained. Conflict about the inclusion of a paper was resolved by further evaluation, which was undertaken by the senior author (D.R.).

Results

A total of 550 published papers were extracted. Overall, 537 papers were excluded from the analysis for the following reasons: 7 were review articles, 4 were not in English, 6 were case reports, 502 studies were not related to the research, 11 studies did not evaluate the infectious outcome, 2 were letters to the editor, and 5 papers had a missing abstract. Thirteen papers were finally included (►Fig. 1).

Incidence

Reported rates of infection after “simple” arthroscopic knee surgery ranged from 0.0093 to 1.16 (►Table 1). Unfortunately, there was no consensus on the definition of postsurgical septic arthritis in any of the selected papers. The definition of deep infection was specified only in three studies, wherein patients were considered infected only if there was a positive joint-fluid culture.4,5,7 Many of the studies reporting surgical site infection (SSI) were published before publication of the Centers for disease control and prevention (CDC) guidelines in 1992, which defined postoperative wound infections as superficial, incisional, deep incisional, and organ or space SSI. In the 1980s,
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**Table 1** Rate of postarthroscopic knee septic arthritis reported in selected studies

| Study/Reference | Cases (N) | Infection rate (%) | Comments |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|
| Clement et al14 | 975       | 0.19               | Deep infection rate: 0.22 for all arthroscopic knee procedures, 0.36 for high-complexity procedures |
| Ashraf et al13  | 3         | 0.3                | Deep infection after high- and low-complexity arthroscopic procedures |
| Wyatt et al15   | 36        | 0.09               | Deep infection rate: 0.08 for antibiotic group, 0.14 for no-antibiotic group |
| Hagino et al12  | 2         | 0.08               |                     |
| Yeranosian et al11 | 2    | 0.23               | The incidence of infection for diagnostic arthroscopy was 0.33 |
| Balabaud et al10 | 2        | NA                 |                     |
| Bert et al4     | 4         | 0.12               | The infection rate was 0.15% in those patients who received antibiotics and 0.16% in those who did not receive antibiotics |
| Johnson et al3  | 1         | 0.009              | Infection rate: 0.01% for diagnostic procedures, 0.04% for total procedures |
| De Lee et al8   | 95        | 0.08               |                     |
| Small et al9    | 11        | 0.36               | The overall infection rate was 0.28 including anterior cruciate procedure |
| Sherman et al7  | NA        | 0.1                | Infection defined as purulent joint fluid and positive result of joint-fluid culture |
| Armstrong et al5 | 17       | 0.4                | The overall infection rate was 0.42 including cruciate procedure and arthroscopy combined with an unrelated extra-articular surgical procedure |
| Armstrong and Bolding5 | 4  | 1.1                |                     |

Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.
there were four published articles about the complications subsequent to knee arthroscopy. Two national surveys were performed by the Complications Committee of the Arthroscopy Association of North America. These surveys were based on the responding physicians’ recall of all complications including infections associated with arthroscopic procedures and the total number of arthroscopic procedures they had performed.

In 1985, DeLee reported that 0.08% (95 cases) of recalled cases required drainage and antibiotic for intra-articular infection. Postsurgical infection represents the most frequent complication (10.2% of all complications) after postoperative hemarthrosis, broken instruments, and thrombophlebitis.

Small reported a higher postsurgical knee infection rate of 0.28%; when we considered deep infections and knee arthroscopy excluding anterior cruciate procedures, this rate increased to 0.36 (11 infections out of 3,034 procedures).

Sherman et al reviewed a large series of knee arthroscopies performed by a few surgeons in one orthopaedic group over a prolonged period. They defined infection as purulent fluid aspirated from the knee from which one organism was isolated, and reported an infection rate of 0.1%.

Johnson et al, reporting on the use of 2% glutaraldehyde for disinfecting arthroscopes between procedures, noted an overall infection rate of 0.04% (5 infections out of 12,505 procedures performed during 8 years); 0.01% for diagnostic arthroscopy and 0.009% for operative arthroscopy excluding open or reconstructive surgery. Septic arthritis developed in only one patient after diagnostic arthroscopy, which had been performed to determine the extent of loosening of a cemented knee prosthesis, and in four patients who underwent combined arthroscopy, arthrotomy, and capsular reconstruction.

Armstrong and Bolding reported an elevated rate of septic arthritis following arthroscopy at an institution, where 4 infections occurred out of 101 arthroscopic procedures performed during a 3-month period (infection rate, 4%). Three infections occurred after knee arthroscopy. During the subsequent 6 months, three additional postarthroscopic infections occurred among 251 arthroscopic procedures; so, the rate decreased to 1.2%. Review of the combined data from the entire 9-month observation period from May 1990 through January 1991 showed an overall knee infection rate of 1.1%. Previously, data on all postarthroscopic septic arthritis during a 4-year study were reported by Armstrong et al on more than 4,000 knee arthroscopies. Eighteen patients became infected (infection rate: 0.42), but the infection rate dropped to 0.4% when cruciate ligament reconstructions were excluded.

More recently, Bert et al retrospectively compared the incidence of knee-joint sepsis after routine arthroscopic surgery of the knee with and without preoperative intravenous antibiotics at a single outpatient surgery center over a 3-year period. The infection rate was 0.12% and in particular, 0.15% for those patients who received prophylactic antibiotics before the arthroscopic surgical procedure and 0.16% for those patients who did not receive antibiotics. In the same year, Balabaud et al described only four cases of infection after knee arthroscopy (one anterior cruciate replacement, one quadriceps tendon suture, and two “simple” arthroscopies).

Yeranosian et al determined the incidence of infection requiring reoperation after arthroscopic knee surgery during a 6-year period, comparing infection rates across different age groups, genders, geographic regions of the United States, and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes through a retrospective review of a large insurance company database. The incidence of septic arthritis, excluding complex knee arthroscopy, ranged from 0.12 (arthroscopy with meniscectomy including any meniscal shaving) to 0.40 (arthroscopy with lysis of adhesions).

In the last 2 years, there have been four reported articles published on overall complications related to knee arthroscopy. Hagino et al examined the complications of arthroscopic knee surgeries conducted in a Sports Medicine and Knee Center. Postoperative complications consisted of two cases of septic arthritis (0.008% of cases) and one case of superficial infection controlled by arthroscopic washout and debridement.

Ashraf et al analyzed the incidence of acute and subacute complications of knee arthroscopy in patients aged 17 years or younger and identified the associated risk factors. Major complications were defined as events that were either life or limb threatening, required additional surgery, or had potentially detrimental effects on the patient’s long-term outcome. The most common major complication was a wound complication that required surgical reassessment followed by arthrofibrosis requiring manipulation under anesthesia, unplanned revision surgery, and septic arthritis requiring irrigation and debridement (0.3%). This percentage was related to high- and low-complexity arthroscopic procedures. In contrast, Clement et al showed a deep infection rate of 0.19 and 0.36% in low- and high-complexity procedures, respectively.

Wyatt et al determined the effect of administering a preoperative antibiotic to patients undergoing knee arthroscopy on the incidence of postoperative infection. There were 36 deep infections (0.09%): 25 in the antibiotic group (0.08%) and 11 in the no-antibiotic group (0.14%).

### Microbiology and Risk Factors
The causative bacteria were reported only in four papers. In the majority of reports, the pathogen most commonly identified from surgical site infections that occur after arthroscopy was Staphylococcus, both coagulase positive and negative. Other infections have been reported to include Enterobacter cloacae (6 patients), Streptococcus spp. (6 patients), and Serratia marcescens (3 patients); 11 patients had culture-negative infections.

Risk factors for infection have been addressed in multiple studies although they usually have been identified through surveillance analysis, with or without comparison with controls. Several risk factors have been suggested by this uncontrolled data including preoperative risk factors, factors related to the host, and surgical risk factors.

The use of intraoperative intra-articular steroids has been discussed frequently as a risk factor for infection.
Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} showed that use of intra-articular corticosteroids declined after the first 2 years of the study, and the risk of infection with its use was highly significant. These results were also confirmed later by Armstrong and Bolding in 1994.\textsuperscript{6} The CDC recommends avoiding the use of intraoperative intra-articular steroids.\textsuperscript{17}

The efficacy of prophylactic antibiotics in knee arthroscopy has been discussed in two papers. Wyatt et al,\textsuperscript{15} in their large sample of patients who underwent simple knee arthroscopy, reported that there was no association between preoperative antibiotic use and postoperative deep or superficial infection rates. Similar results in terms of incidence of knee joint sepsis after routine arthroscopic surgery of the knee with and without preoperative intravenous antibiotics were reported by Bert et al,\textsuperscript{4} who performed a retrospective study on the incidence of infection after routine arthroscopic meniscectomy with and without prophylactic antibiotics. Over a 3-year period, 2,780 arthroscopic cases were collected. Of the 933 patients who received an antibiotic, 1 (0.11\%) had a deep infection. Of the 1,847 patients who did not receive an antibiotic, 3 (0.16\%) had a deep infection. Although these results were not statistically significant, this study had an insufficient sample size to rule out a clinically significant difference. The results of this study confirmed that there is no value in administering antibiotics before routine arthroscopic meniscectomy to prevent joint sepsis.

| Table 2 Microbiologic findings from articles reviewed |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Microorganism isolated** | **Percentage (%)** | **Comments** |
| Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* | 36 | The most frequently isolated microorganism in all cases is MSSA but is not specified in postarthroscopic septic arthritis patients |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | 27 | |
| MRSA | 11 | |
| Enterobacteria | 6 | |
| *Streptococcus spp.* | 6 | |
| *Serratia marcescens* | 3 | |
| Culture negative | 11 | |
| Balabaud et al\textsuperscript{10} | NA | |
| Bert et al\textsuperscript{4} | *Staphylococcus aureus* | 100 | |
| Johnson et al\textsuperscript{3} | *Staphylococcus aureus* | 100 | |
| Small et al\textsuperscript{9} | NA | Staphylococcus infection is the most frequent |
| Jonhson et al\textsuperscript{3} | *Staphylococcus aureus* | 100 | |
| Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} | *Staphylococcus aureus* | 53 | |
| | Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* | 41 | |
| | *Enterobacter cloacae* | 6 | |
| Armstrong and Bolding\textsuperscript{6} | NA | Staphylococcus infection is the most frequent |

Abbreviations: MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*; NA, not applicable.

| Table 3 Risk factors |
|----------------------|
| Sherman et al\textsuperscript{7} | Patient age > 50 y, tourniquet time > 60 min |
| Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} | Administration of intra-articular corticosteroids, length of surgery |
| Armstrong and Bolding\textsuperscript{6} | Administration of intra-articular corticosteroids |
| Clement et al\textsuperscript{14} | Age, 15–49 y; male; diabetic; morbidly obese; tobacco use; high-complexity procedure; in low-complex procedure: patient age < 50 y and male sex |
| Wyatt et al\textsuperscript{15} | White patients (univariate regression analysis); patient age > 40 y (univariate and multivariate regression analyses) |
| Yeranosian et al\textsuperscript{11} | Male sex, patient age < 60 y |
The study by Clement et al\textsuperscript{14} attempted to identify and quantify patient- and procedure-related risk factors for postarthroscopic knee infections using a large dataset. They found that there were higher rates of deep, superficial, and total infections among tobacco users, patients undergoing high-complexity procedures, morbidly obese patients, men, diabetic patients, and patients younger than 50 years. Tobacco use was the strongest predictor of deep infections, followed by procedure complexity, morbid obesity, diabetes, age younger than 50 years, and male sex. Again, a higher rate of deep infections was observed among younger men (age < 50 years) undergoing low-complexity procedures.

Similar results were previously reported by Yeranosian et al,\textsuperscript{11} who found that male sex and younger age were associated with infections but did not stratify by deep, superficial, and total infection rate, nor did they consider additional risk factors known to predict surgical-site infections in other areas of orthopaedics, including tobacco use, body mass index (BMI), and diabetes. The age at surgery, like the risk factor, was confirmed by Bert et al\textsuperscript{4} and Sherman et al,\textsuperscript{7} who found that age more than 40 years was associated with an increased risk of infection. The other variables studied (race, gender, BMI, American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA] status, diabetes, operative time) were not associated with risk of postoperative infection.

Other risk factors such as length of surgery, number of procedures performed during surgery, and type of procedures were studied. Time of surgery and tourniquet time of more than 60 minutes were statistically significant factors for increased risk of postoperative infection.\textsuperscript{5,7} Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} reported that the length of surgery was significantly longer for infected patients. In contrast, the number and type of surgical procedures have not been shown to have a statistically significant correlation with the occurrence of a postoperative infection. In addition, Sherman et al\textsuperscript{7} showed that the tourniquet time was a dominant predictor of complications. The overall complication rate for the procedures in which a tourniquet was used was 8.9%, with 5.4% major and 3.7% minor complications, but Sherman’s group did not separately differentiate infections from overall major complications.

**Treatment and Outcome**

The treatment modalities were clearly stated in only six papers (\textit{Table 4}). Most patients were successfully treated with a combination of antibiotic therapy and surgical intervention. All patients with more significant infections received intravenous antibiotics for prolonged courses, ranging from 15 days\textsuperscript{10} to 4 weeks.\textsuperscript{4} For some patients, intravenous antibiotic therapy was followed by another 2 to 4 weeks of oral antibiotic therapy.\textsuperscript{5,10} Oral antibiotics were discontinued when all clinical signs of septic arthritis had disappeared and when the C-reactive protein had returned to normal values.\textsuperscript{10} Most patients required irrigation and lavage of the joint, either arthroscopically or by means of open arthrotomy associated with antibiotics,\textsuperscript{4,5,10,12} although some were managed with needle aspirations followed by 2 weeks of intravenous antibiotics.\textsuperscript{5,8} In one case with a knee infection, open drainage of the joint and antibiotic therapy were required.\textsuperscript{3} Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} reported four cases treated with needle aspiration and intravenous antibiotic treatment showing good clinical results.

Not all reviewed articles included outcome information (\textit{Table 4}). The treatment was successful in all cases analyzed with an infection eradication rate of 100%. However, two papers reported decreased function of the involved joint. De Lee et al,\textsuperscript{8} in their national survey, reported that of those patients who developed a postoperative infection, 18 patients had a poorer outcome with decreased knee flexion (less than 90 degrees). Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} reported that none of their patients had a secondary infection or immediate relapse with long-term excellent results in 73% of patients.

**Table 4** Treatment modalities and outcome

| Author          | Treatment                                      | Duration of antibiotic treatment | Outcome                                |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Hagino et al\textsuperscript{12} | Arthroscopic debridement + antibiotic therapy | NA                               | 100% cured                             |
| Balabaud et al\textsuperscript{10} |                                                   |                                  |                                        |
| Bert et al\textsuperscript{4}     | Single arthroscopic debridement + antibiotic therapy (IV) | 4–6 wk                           | 100% cured                             |
| Johnson et al\textsuperscript{3}  | Open drainage + antibiotic therapy              | NA                               | 100% cured                             |
| De Lee et al\textsuperscript{8}   | Drainage + antibiotic therapy                   | NA                               | 18.9% had poor outcome with decreased knee flexion (less than 90 degrees) |
| Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{5} | Debridement + antibiotic therapy (IV + per OS)  | NA                               | 100% cured (73% excellent results)    |
|                               | Needle aspiration + antibiotic therapy (per OS) in two patients | 2 wk                             | 100% cured (good results)              |

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; OS, by mouth.
Although all patients were cured, some reported a decreased knee function. They also reported good clinical results in four cases treated with only needle aspiration and 2 weeks of intravenous antibiotic therapy.

Because these studies were not controlled trials designed to assess validated outcome measures, it is difficult to draw conclusion; however, clearly, infectious complications can be associated with some disability after these usually well-tolerated procedures.18,19

Discussion

Septic arthritis after knee arthroscopy is a very uncommon complication. Data from literature indicate an incidence rate ranging from 0.009 to 1.1% in patients undergoing simple arthroscopic procedures. Unfortunately, there was no consensus on the definition of postsurgical septic arthritis in all the reviewed papers. The definition of deep infection was only specified in three studies in which patients were considered infected only in case of a positive joint-fluid culture.4,5,7 For this reason, it was difficult to arrive at any crucial conclusion regarding the incidence, risk factors, and treatment. In this review, we considered only those cases that underwent simple knee arthroscopy including diagnostic arthroscopy, debridement, meniscectomy, meniscus repair, synovectomy, microfracture, and lateral release.

Infections in immunocompromised people are generally difficult to diagnose due to aspecific symptoms, but patients undergoing knee arthroplasty are generally in good health and infections sustained by opportunistic agents including parasites are never reported and hospital-acquired bacteria are reported with the highest frequency. Moreover, fever itself cannot be considered an accurate symptom related to infection.20–23

Staphylococci remain the most commonly isolated microorganisms from postarthroscopy SSI.3–6,9,10,15 In 11 cases with deep infections, the causative microorganism was unknown. Risk factors for infection have been addressed in multiple studies although they usually have been identified through surveillance analysis, with or without comparison with controls. The use of intraoperative intra-articular steroids has been certified as a risk factor for infection in two of the reported papers.3,16 Tobacco users, patients undergoing high-complexity procedures, morbidly obese patients, men, diabetic patients, and patients younger than 50 years are predisposed to a higher incidence of superficial and deep infections. Other risk factors, such as length of surgery, number of procedures performed during surgery, and type of procedures, were studied. Time of surgery and tourniquet time of more than 60 minutes were statistically significant factors for increased risk of postoperative infection.

Factors encountered are quite different than those reported to be associated with the risk of infection in patients undergoing joint arthroplasty.24,25

In conclusion, although uncommon, postarthroscopy SSI do cause significant morbidity in patients, usually requiring readmission to the hospital, at least one additional operation, and prolonged antibiotic therapy, both intravenous and oral.

The treatment has been successful in all cases analyzed, with an infection eradication rate of 100%. However, two papers reported decreased function of the involved joint.3,8
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