Maize productivity and soil nutrients variations by the application of vermicompost and biochar
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Abstract

Poor soil organic matter is one of the major causes of the deterioration of soil health. Most soils fertility is also decreased when enough organic carbon is not present in the soil. Maize is most susceptible to this poor soil fertility status. A significant amount of maize growth and yield is lost when it is cultivated in low organic matter and poor fertility soil. To overcome this issue organic amendments can play an imperative role. Biochar and vermicompost are organic amendments that can not only improve organic residues but also increase soil nutrient concentration. The current experiment was conducted to explore the sole and combined application of both organic amendments with recommended NPK fertilizer. Four treatments were tested i.e., control, biochar (BC1), vermicompost (VC1) and VC1+BC1 with and without nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) in the experiment. Results showed that VC1+BC1+NPK performed significantly best for improvement in maize plant height (6.25 and 3.00%), 1000 grains weight (30.48 and 29.40%), biological yield (18.86 and 43.12%) and grains yield (30.58 and 39.59%) compared to BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively. A significant improvement in soil N, P and K also validated the efficacious role of VC1+BC1+NPK over BC0+VC0+NPK and control. Treatment VC1+BC1+NPK is recommended for the achievement of better maize growth and yield in poor organic matter soils. More investigations are suggested in variable climatic conditions to declare VC1+BC1+NPK as the best amendment compared to control for enhancing soil N, P and K status as well as maize productivity.
Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.; family Poaceae) is a Kharif, cross-pollinated and short-day crop cultivated in Pakistan. It is a multipurpose cereal crop used as food, feed and raw material for humans, animals and industries respectively [1]. Both irrigated, as well as rain-fed areas, are suitable for its cultivation. Sandy loam to clay loam are desired soil textures that support maize productivity compared to other textures [2]. Maize is a major cereal grain crop after rice and wheat. In Pakistan maize is 4th largest crop cultivated after wheat, rice and cotton. Maize grain is nutritionally important because it includes the source of vitamins, proteins, starch and minerals. One hundred grams (100g) of fresh maize contain 361 calories of energy, 9.4 grams of protein, 4.3 grams of fats, 74.4 g carbohydrates, 1.8 grams fibre, 1.3 grams of ash and vitamins in milligram [3]. However, poor soil organic matter and soil fertility status adversely affect the growth and productivity of maize [4–7].

To overcome this issue addition of organic amendments are usually suggested [8–11]. Biochar (BC) is one such solid porous material. It is obtained from the thermochemical transformation of plant biomass at high temperatures (350–600˚C) in a low O2 supply [12]. The quality which makes biochar more attractive as a soil amendment is being porous structure [13]. On the other hand, BC is enriched with hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), oxygen (O), phosphorus (P), potassium (K) and carbon (C) [5]. That’s why the application of biochar can also boost soil fertility and minimize carbon emission [14]. Biochar application improves soil properties like increased water holding capacity (WHC), increase CEC, higher pH, reduce nutrients leaching and providing nutrients to the soil by itself [12], hence safeguards crops against water stress [8,15–17].

Vermicompost (VC) is another popular organic amendment. It is the product of the decomposition of various worms species i.e., red wigglers, earthworms and white worms to develop a mixture of decomposing food waste and vegetables, vermicast and bedding materials [18]. These castings contain minimum levels of pollutants with saturation of nutrients over organic materials prior to vermicomposting [18]. Vermicompost have water soluble nutrients. It is an excellent, nutrient enrich soil organic conditioner and fertilizer. Mostly large and small scale farming use it as sustainable, organic matter [19]. Earthworms indirectly promote microbial activity and biomass via aeration and fragmentation by increasing the available surface area for microbes. Thus affecting the structure and composition of the microbial communities [20].

Therefore, keeping in mind, the potential benefits of VC and BC, the current study was conducted on maize. This study is covering the knowledge gap of VC and BC utilization as a sole amendment and in combination with recommended NPK fertilizers. The aim of the study was improvement of selection of best VC and BC combination with and without NPK for enhancement in maize growth and yield. It is hypothesized that combined application of VC and BC with NPK might be an effective amendment than sole application for improvement in maize productivity in low organic matter and poor fertility soils.

Material and methods

Experimental site and design

Field trial was done in the Peshawar research farm (34.1°21’N, 71°28’5”E), University of Agriculture, during summer 2020 with experimental layout randomized complete block design (RCBD).

Plot size and plant spacing

The plot size was kept 5x5 m² with plant-plant distance 25–30 cm while row-row was 75 cm. Maize hybrid pioneer 3025w variety were used in the experiment. Standard protocols were used for the analysis of pre-experimental soil (Table 1).
Maize variety and fertilizer application

Basal dose of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) was soiled @ 120-90-60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) from urea, single superphosphate and sulphate of potash [28]. A full dose of P, K and \(\frac{1}{2}\) N were soiled just before sowing and incorporated/mixed and another \(\frac{1}{2}\) N was soiled after 35 days of the emergence of the crop with irrigation water.

Biochar

Biochar was produced by pyrolysis of locally available mixed wood chips (hardwood) in a muffle furnace (450 °C for 4 h) and was grinded before applying to the field [29]. Biochar produced had total C, N and P content of 67.3%, 1.03% and 0.21% respectively with C:N = 65.34 and pH = 8.2.

Vermicompost

Crop residue and garden waste was used to prepare vermicompost. On partial composting, Eisenia fetida were introduced and the vermicompost (with pH 6.2, total C 23.2%, total N 1.30%, C:N 12.21, total P 0.89%, mg kg\(^{-1}\), bulk density 1.12 Mg m\(^{-3}\) and water holding capacity of 55.18%) was ready after 90 days.

Treatment plant

Total four combinations of VC and BC were applied with and without NPK in three replicates. The treatments include control (No BC (BC0)+No VC (VC0)), BC1 (10 t ha\(^{-1}\) biochar), VC1 (10 t ha\(^{-1}\) vermicompost) and BC1+VC1 (5+5 t ha\(^{-1}\) biochar and vermicompost), BC0+VC0 +NPK, BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK. Treatments included BC and VC were applied manually as per the treatment plan.

Irrigation

During the maize growing season, six irrigation events were applied, with each event being equivalent to 75 mm, except the first (pre-planting) which was equivalent to 100 mm.

Harvesting and data collection

At crop maturity plants were harvested. The measuring tape was used for plant height determination. A thousand grains were counted manually from treatment after threshing and weighed using analytical grade balance.
**Biological and grains yield**

The biological yield was recorded by suing the formula of

$$\text{Biological yield} (\text{kg ha}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Biological yield of randomly selected rows}}{\text{row} - \text{row distance} \times \text{row length} \times \text{No.of rows}} \times 10000$$

For the grain yield, two central rows in each treatment were harvested.

$$\text{Grain yield} (\text{kg ha}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Grains yield in two central rows}}{\text{row} - \text{row distance} \times \text{row length} \times \text{No.of rows}} \times 10000$$

**Soil pH and EC**

Deionized water and soil were mixed in 5:1 ratio. After 15 min shaking pH was analyzed using pH meter [22]. Water and soil samples were taken at a ratio of 5:1 means 50 ml of water and 10 g of soil sample. Then the mixture was put on the mechanical shaker for 15min for shaking. After that EC was found with the help of a conductivity meter [23].

**Soil organic matter**

Organic matter was determined by treating 1 g of soil with 10 ml of 0.5 N K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$ and 20 ml of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$. Final titration was done with ferrous ammonium sulphate solution [24].

**Soil total nitrogen**

Total nitrogen was determined in soil samples with the help of Kjeldhal’s method by Bremner and Mulvancy [30].

$$\text{Nitrogen} \, (\%) = \frac{(\text{Sample} - \text{Blank}) \times \text{N of HCl} \times \text{meq.N} \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample} \times \text{Volume}} \times 100$$

**Soil phosphorus and potassium**

Both nutrients were determined by the method prepared by Soltanpour and Schwab [31]. 10g soil sample was taken in a flask and 20ml of extractable ABDTPA solution were added to it. After shaking for 15min K contents were computed on a flame photometer. For P, one ml of solution was taken in a 25ml volumetric flask. Add 5ml of ascorbic acid reagent and make the solution up to 25ml with distilled water. These flasks were then placed in a dark place until it changes the colour and then find out the P content through a spectrophotometer.

**Statistical analyses**

The standard statistical procedure was adopted for the statistical analyses of data [32]. The paired comparison was applied using fertilizer and organic amendments as factors on Origin2021b [33]. Fisher LSD test was applied for comparison of each treatment at $p \leq 0.05$. Pearson correlation and principal component analysis were also performed on Origin2021b.

**Results**

**Plant height and 1000 grains weight**

Results showed that the application of treatments significantly changed plant height and 1000 grains weight. Compared to control (BC0+VC0+No NPK), BC1 and VC1+BC1 significantly increased plant height. No significant change was noted in plant height between VC1 and
control. The addition of VC1+BC1+NPK caused significant improvement in plant height over BC0+VC0+NPK (Fig 1A). Treatments BC1+NPK and VC1+NPK remained statistically alike to each other and with BC0+VC0+NPK for plant height. Maximum increase of 6.25 and 3.00% in plant height was observed in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 than BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively. For 1000 grains weight, BC1, VC1 and VC1+BC1 caused significant enhancement compared to control. It was also noted that BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK also performed significantly better than BC0+VC0+NPK for improvement in 1000 grains weight. No significant change in 1000 grains weight was noted between VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK (Fig 1B). Maximum increase of 30.48 and 29.40% in 1000 grains weight was observed in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 than BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively.

Biological and grains yield

The addition of treatments significantly affects biological and grains yield. Over control (BC0+VC0+No NPK), BC1, VC1 and VC1+BC1 significantly improved biological yield. Application of BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK also caused significant enhancement in biological yield over BC0+VC0+NPK (Fig 2A). Treatments BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK remained statistically similar to each other for biological yield. Maximum increase of 18.86 and 43.12% in biological yield was noted where VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 were applied over BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively. For grains yield, BC1, VC1 and VC1+BC1 caused a significant increase over control. Treatments BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK also differed significantly better compared to BC0+VC0+NPK for enhancement in grains yield. No significant change in grains yield was between BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK (Fig 2B). Maximum increase of 30.58 and 39.59% in grains yield was noted in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 than BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively.

Soil pH and organic matter

It was noted that control (BC0+VC0+No NPK) and VC1+BC1 did not differ significantly for soil pH. Application of BC1 and VC1 caused a significant decrease in soil pH over control.
(Fig 3A). Treatments BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK remained statistically similar with BC0+VC0 +NPK for soil pH. However, VC1+BC1+NPK significantly increased soil pH than BC0+VC0 +NPK. In the case of organic matter, BC1, VC1 and VC1+BC1 caused significant improvement compared to control. Treatments BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK also remained significantly better than BC0+VC0+NPK for an increase in organic matter (Fig 2B). Maximum increase of 26.72 and 29.33% in soil organic matter was noted in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 compared to BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively.

**Soil nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium**

Treatments BC1, VC1 and BC1+VC1 caused significant improvement in total soil N over control (Fig 4A). The addition of BC1+VC1 also differed significantly better for improvement in
soil N compared to BC1 and VC1. Similarly, BC1+NPK, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK caused a significant increase in soil N than BC0+VC0+NPK. Maximum increase of 35.82 and 41.89% in soil N was noted in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 than BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively. For soil P, BC1 and VC1 did not bring any significant change over control. A significant improvement in soil P was noted where VC1+BC1 was applied over control (Fig 2B). Results also showed that BC1+NPK did not differ significantly over BC0+VC0+NPK for soil P. However, VC1+NPK and VC1+BC1+NPK remained significantly better for improvement in soil P compared to BC0+VC0+NPK. Maximum increase of 88.35 and 89.13% in soil P was observed in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 compared to BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively. In the case of soil K, BC1, VC1 and VC1+BC1 caused a significant enhancement than control. Treatments BC1 and VC1 remained statistically similar to each other for soil K. However, BC0+VC0+NPK, BC1+NPK and VC1+NPK did not differ significantly from each other for soil K. Only application of VC1+BC1+NPK caused significant increase in soil K compared to BC0+VC0+NPK (Fig 4C). Maximum increase of 16.06 and 18.67% in soil K was observed in VC1+BC1+NPK and VC1+BC1 compared to BC0+VC0+NPK and control respectively.

**Fig 4.** Effect of sole and combined application of vermicompost (VC1) and biochar (BC1) in the presence and absence of recommended NPK fertilizer on soil N (A), soil P (B) and soil K (C). Different values on bars are showing significant change at $p \leq 0.05$; Fisher LSD. NPK = Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267483.g004
Pearson correlation and Principal component analysis

Pearson correlation showed that soil pH was significantly positive in correlation with soil K and P. Organic matter also showed a significant positive correlation with soil N, P and K. Soil N was positive non-significant in correlation with soil pH. A significant positive correlation also existed between soil N, P and K with plant height, 1000 grains weight, biological and grains yield (Fig 5). According to principal component analysis variables explained 84.5% of the variation in the first two axes (Table 2; Fig 6), i.e., 17.6% and 66.9% variances were accounted for the first and second principal components, respectively. The 1st principal component (PC1) captured a higher number of attributes compared to 2nd (PC2). All the studied attributes were closely linked to VC1+BC1 except soil pH. Plant height was more responsive towards soil N, P and K. Biological yield, grain yield and 1000 grains weight were more responsive towards soil organic matter. Soil nutrients were more closely linked with NPK compared to control (Table 3; Fig 7).

Discussion

Results of the current study showed that both BC1 and VC1 sole and combined application imposed positive effects on maize growth and yield attributes i.e., plant height, 1000 grains weight, biological and grains yield. However, VC1+BC1 performance was significantly better than the sole application of these treatments. The improvement in the growth attributes was associated with improvement in soil organic matter and nutrient concentration by the application of BC1 and VC1. The porous structure of activated carbon sorb a significant amount of nutrients that reduced the losses of volatile nutrients (NH$_4^+$) thus improving the uptake of
nutrients in the plants [34,35]. In addition, size, geometry, microspores, and distribution in biochar play a useful role in the absorption of water and nutrients. The addition of biochar also makes nutrients cycling speedy in soil. Higher holding of nutrients and rhizobacterial diversity enhance soil fertility and nutrient uptake in plants [36]. Chan et al. [37] argued that the high surface area of activated carbon is a major cause of improvement in cation exchange sites in the soil. Such improvements in exchange sites resulted in a better supply of nutrients to the crops. It also releases a significant amount of nutrients in soil solution that become part of the activated carbon structure during pyrolysis. The concentration of nutrients present in

| Principal Component          | Eigenvalue | PC1   | PC2   | Percentage of Variance (%) | Cumulative (%) |
|------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Plant Height (cm)            | 6.02537    | 0.33159 | 0.24186 | 66.94852                   | 66.94852       |
| 1000 Grains Weight (g)       | 1.58297    | 0.36776 | -0.26377 | 17.58856                   | 84.53708       |
| Biological Yield (kg/ha)     | 0.47141    | 0.31081 | -0.32268 | 5.23786                    | 89.77494       |
| Grain Yield (kg/ha)          | 0.36828    | 0.32512 | -0.36128 | 4.09202                    | 93.86696       |
| Soil pH                      | 0.26748    | 0.1462 | 0.66856 | 2.972                      | 96.83896       |
| Organic Matter (%)           | 0.13383    | 0.36064 | -0.18326 | 1.48699                    | 98.32594       |
| Soil N (%)                   | 0.07324    | 0.39152 | 0.03309 | 0.81382                    | 99.13976       |
| Soil P (mg/kg)               | 0.05599    | 0.36554 | 0.21226 | 0.62212                    | 99.76189       |
| Soil K (mg/kg)               | 0.02143    | 0.33787 | 0.33254 | 0.23811                    | 100            |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267483.t002

Fig 6. Principal component analysis for studied soil and maize attributes using organic amendments as group.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267483.g006
activated carbon is dependent on the type of waste feedstock that is used to develop the activated carbon [38]. Younis et al. [34] reported the better uptake of P by the addition of cotton sticks activated carbon. According to [39] biochar has a significant amount of potassium in ash. This potassium when dissolved in this soil water becomes readily available for plants. Ultimately uptake of potassium in plants is increased. Such better uptake of potassium plays a critical role in the osmoregulation and maintains the pressure in the guard cells due to which stomatal conductance is regulated [40,41]. Solid surface energy, dispersive and polar surface of biochar play a key role in the retention of water molecules when applied in soil. Biochar has

| Principal Component          | Eigenvalue | PC1     | PC2     | Percentage of Variance (%) | Cumulative (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Plant Height (cm)           | 6.02537    | 0.33159 | 0.24186 | 66.94852                    | 66.94852      |
| 1000 Grains Weight (g)      | 1.58297    | 0.36776 | -0.26377| 17.58856                    | 84.53708      |
| Biological Yield (kg/ha)    | 0.47141    | 0.31081 | -0.32268| 5.23786                     | 89.77494      |
| Grain Yield (kg/ha)         | 0.36828    | 0.32512 | -0.36128| 4.09202                     | 93.86696      |
| Soil pH                     | 0.26748    | 0.1462  | 0.66856 | 2.972                       | 96.83896      |
| Organic Matter (%)          | 0.13383    | 0.36864 | -0.18326| 1.48699                     | 98.32594      |
| Soil N (%)                  | 0.07324    | 0.39152 | 0.03309 | 0.81382                     | 99.13976      |
| Soil P (mg/kg)              | 0.05599    | 0.36554 | 0.21226 | 0.62212                     | 99.76189      |
| Soil K (mg/kg)              | 0.02143    | 0.33787 | 0.33254 | 0.23811                     | 100           |

Fig 7. Principal component analysis for studied soil and maize attributes using fertilizers as group.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267483.g007

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267483.t003
negative surface charge due to negative zeta potential. This negative charge facilitates the electrostatic attraction of cations present in the soil. Such electrostatic attraction towards biochar provides a chance for the exchange of cations between salt solution and biochar surface [42]. Progressive degradation of cellulose and lignin in waste feedstock make the amorphous surface of biochar. This amorphous surface of biochar has micropores. The emission of volatile compounds during pyrolysis creates spaces that play a role in the absorption of water when biochar is applied in the soil as an amendment [43]. On the other hand, VC also has a high sorption ability for the essential nutrients in soil [44]. A significant proportion of N, P and K in vermicompost structure also played an imperative role in the enhancement of soil fertility. These nutrients become exchanged on the exchange sites of soil, thus their availability to plants is increased [45]. It has been observed that the beneficial soil microbial population is also significantly increased when vermicompost is applied. The readily organic contents in vermicompost facilitate the process of mineralization and nutrients cycling regulated by microbes in the soil. It also enhanced soil aeration and aggregation which played a key role in the proliferation of soil aerobic microbes [44,46]. Better aggregation also increases the water holding capacity. Plants usually take nutrients through this water uptake, thus better water availability by application of vermicompost also played important role in the improvement of crops productivity [44,46]. Similar results were also noted in the current study where soil N, P and K were significantly increased where VC was applied as a sole and combined amendment with BC and NPK.

Conclusion

It is concluded that both BC1 and VC1 have the potential to improve soil nutrient concentration when applied as an amendment in soil. BC1 and VC1 can improve maize growth and yield attributes as a sole amendment, however, their combined application in the presence of recommended NPK is a better strategy for enhancement of maize growth in poor organic matter soils. More investigations are suggested at the field level for declaration of VC1+BC1+NPK as the best amendment for enhancement in maize growth and yield in different agro-climatic zones.
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