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ABSTRACT

Background: In June 2021, 40 years have passed since the first cases of HIV infection were detected. Nonetheless, people living with HIV (PLWH) still suffer from intense HIV-related distress and trauma, which is nowadays mostly linked to the still-existing stigmatization of PLWH.

Objectives: The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to examine the association between HIV/AIDS stigma and psychological well-being among PLWH. We also explored whether this association varies as a function of sociodemographic and clinical characteristics as well as study publication year and stigma measurement.

Method: A structured literature search was performed on Web of Science, Scopus, PsyARTICLES, MedLine, ProQuest, and Google Scholar databases. The inclusion criteria were quantitative, peer-reviewed articles published in English between 1996 and 2020.

Results: After selection, 64 articles were accepted for further analysis (N = 25,294 participants). The random-effects pooled estimate revealed an overall negative and medium-strength association between stigma and well-being (r = −.31, 95% CI [−.35; −.26]). The participants' age modified this effect with a stronger association for older PLWH. Other sociodemographic and clinical variables as well as publication year and stigma measurement did not explain the variation in association between stigma and well-being across studies.

Conclusions: The present meta-analysis and systematic review not only showed an expected negative relationship between stigma and well-being but also revealed a substantial heterogeneity between studies that suggests a strong role of context of a given study. This finding calls for more advanced theoretical and analytical models to identify protective and vulnerability factors to effectively address them in clinical practice and interventions.

Estigma del VIH/SIDA y bienestar psicológico después de 40 años de VIH/SIDA: una revisión sistemática y metanálisis

Antecedentes: En junio de 2021 pasaron cuarenta años desde que fueron detectados los primeros casos de infección por VIH. No obstante, las personas que viven con el VIH (PVCV) todavía sufren de angustia intensa y trauma relacionados con el VIH, que en la actualidad se vinculan principalmente con la estigmatización aún existente de las PVCV.

Objetivos: El propósito de esta revisión sistemática y metanálisis fue examinar la asociación entre el estigma del VIH/SIDA y el bienestar psicológico entre las PVCV. También exploramos si esta asociación varía en función de las características sociodemográficas y clínicas, así como del año de publicación del estudio y la medición del estigma.

Método: Se realizó una búsqueda estructurada de literatura en las bases de datos Web of Science, Scopus, PsyARTICLES, MedLine, ProQuest y Google Scholar. Los criterios de inclusión fueron artículos cuantitativos, revisados por pares, publicados en inglés entre 1996 y 2020.

Resultados: Después de la selección, se aceptaron 64 artículos para análisis adicionales (N = 25,294 participantes). La estimación combinada de efectos aleatorios reveló una asociación general negativa y de intensidad media entre el estigma y el bienestar (r = −.31, IC del 95% [−.35; −.26]). La edad de los participantes modificó este efecto con una asociación más fuerte para las PVCV mayores. Otras variables sociodemográficas y clínicas, así como el año de publicación y la medición del estigma, no explicaron una variación de la asociación entre el estigma y el bienestar entre los estudios.

Conclusiones: El presente metanálisis y revisión sistemática mostró una relación negativa esperada entre el estigma y el bienestar, pero también reveló una heterogeneidad sustancial entre los estudios que sugiere un papel importante del contexto de cada estudio dado. Este hallazgo requiere modelos teóricos y analíticos más avanzados para identificar factores protectores y de vulnerabilidad, para abordarlos de manera efectiva en la práctica clínica y las intervenciones.
HIV/AIDS 40 年后的 HIV/AIDS 污名和心理幸福感: 一项系统综述和元分析

背景: 2021 年 6 月, 距离发现第一例 HIV 感染病例已经过去了 40 年。尽管如此, HIV 感染者 (PLWH) 仍然遭受着强烈的 HIV 相关痛苦和创伤, 如今这主要与仍然存在的 PLWH 污名化有关。

目的: 本系统综述和元分析旨在考查 PLWH 中 HIV/AIDS 污名与心理幸福感之间的关联。我们还探讨了这种关联是否因社会人口统计学和临床特征以及研究发表年份和污名测量而异。

方法: 在 Web of Science, Scopus, PsycARTICLES, MedLine, ProQuest 和 Google Scholar 数据库上进行了结构化文献搜索。纳入标准是 1996 年 至 2020 年间以英文发表的同行评审的定量文章。

结果: 筛选之后, 纳入了 64 篇文章进行进一步分析 (N = 25,294 名参与者)。随机效应汇总估计显示, 污名和幸福感之间总体呈中强度负相关 (r = -31, 95% CI [-35, -26])。参与者的年龄调节了这一效应。年龄更大的 PLWH 有更强的关联, 其他社会人口统计学和临床变量以及出版年份和污名测量并不能解释跨研究的污名和幸福感之间关联的差异。

结论: 本元分析和系统综述表明, 污名和幸福感之间存在预期的负相关关系, 但揭示了研究之间的巨大异质性, 这表明特定研究背景和方法的重要作用。这一发现需要更先进的理论和分析模型来识别保护和易感因素, 以便在临床实践和干预中有效解决这些问题。

1. Introduction

在 2021 年 6 月, 已经过去了 40 年。尽管如此, HIV 感染者 (PLWH) 仍然遭受着强烈的 HIV 相关痛苦和创伤, 如今这主要与仍然存在的 PLWH 污名化有关。HIV/AIDS 污名是由于感染 HIV 的事实而产生的负面态度、偏见和歧视, 它对 PLWH 的心理健康和社会功能产生了深远影响。然而, 关于 HIV/AIDS 污名与心理幸福感之间的关联以及这种关联的可预测因素, 迄今尚未得到广泛的研究和理解。本研究旨在通过元分析来探测 HIV/AIDS 污名与心理幸福感之间的关系, 以及这种关系是否因社会人口统计学和临床特征以及研究发表年份和污名测量而异。我们还将探讨这种关联是否因社会人口统计学和临床特征以及研究发表年份和污名测量而异。
only a clear definition of HIV/AIDS stigma but additionally proposes definitive mechanisms by which stigma exerts its deteriorating effects on the lives of PLWH. Instead, many studies are based on some global and even atheoretical (built ad hoc) HIV/AIDS stigma index, devoid of differentiating distinct mechanisms of this stigma and their unique association with the domains of functioning of PLWH (Rueda et al., 2016). One exception is the HIV Stigma Framework by Earnshaw and Chaudoir (2009), which underscores a number of HIV stigma mechanisms that are distinct psychological responses to the knowledge that PLWH have about their HIV/AIDS status. More specifically, the HIV Stigma Framework distinguishes three mechanisms of stigmatization experienced by PLWH: internalized, anticipated, and enacted HIV/AIDS stigma. According to Earnshaw and Chaudoir (2009), differentiating between these three mechanisms of HIV/AIDS stigma is critical to foster understanding of how HIV/AIDS stigma affects the lives of PLWH, as each of these stigma mechanisms may have a unique impact on psychological, social, and physical components of health and well-being in this patient group (Earnshaw, Rosenthal, & Lang, 2016; Earnshaw, Smith, Chaudoir, Amico, & Copenhaver, 2013). However, this model was criticized as the data for it were obtained only in cross-sectional studies, without control of other possible pathways of such relationships, and the conceptualization of its outcome components (i.e., health and well-being) was rather poorly based on the current understanding of these constructs in psychology (Misir, 2015).

The methodological shortcomings of the majority of studies devoted to HIV/AIDS stigma pertain to either a cross-sectional design using self-report questionnaires or, less commonly, a longitudinal design also using solely classic psychometric questionnaires (Logie & Gadalla, 2009; Rueda et al., 2016; Smith, Rossetto, & Peterson, 2008). These kinds of procedures not only preclude causal interpretations but also prevent grasping the processual aspect of struggling with HIV/AIDS stigma among PLWH. Experiencing HIV/AIDS stigma is a dynamic process, and more advanced methods are necessary to capture the trajectories of this process in longer and shorter temporal perspectives, which may lead to different conclusions (Rendina et al., 2018). A paucity of studies control for distinct levels of HIV/AIDS stigma, that is, most do not account for the mechanism of stigma accumulation via the minority stress theory (Meyer, 2003). More specifically, it has been observed that HIV/AIDS stigma may be intensified among sexual and gender minorities (e.g., lesbian, gay, and bisexual PLWH), who are significantly affected by the HIV epidemic (Cramer, Burks, Pöderl, & Durgampudi, 2017). To be sure, not every person infected with HIV is a sexual or gender minority, but those who are may be prone to stigma accumulation (Cramer et al., 2017). It has been documented in several studies that PLWH who belong to sexual and gender minorities have even lower well-being and worse health than the general population of HIV/AIDS patients (Rendina et al., 2018; Rendina, Weaver, Millar, López-Matos, & Parsons, 2019). To further complicate the topic, it must be taken into account that the gender and ethnicity of PLWH may affect stigma accumulation. Specifically, HIV-infected women have reported a lower quality of life, more intense HIV-related stigma, and higher rate of associated mental problems (Machtinger, Wilson, Haberer, & Weiss, 2012). The same process of stigma accumulation has also been observed among PLWH representing ethnic minorities (Logie, Ahmed, Tharao, & Loutfy, 2017). Thus, a negative synergistic effect of being a minority in any of these three areas, gender, sexual orientation, and ethnicity, may be observed although it is yet to be tested.

Finally, as previously mentioned, HIV/AIDS stigma was predominantly examined in the context of the negative aspects of the functioning of PLWH, searching for both stigma correlates and consequences (e.g. Logie & Gadalla, 2009; Rueda et al., 2016). However, such a broad scope of analysed variables, ranging from mental and physical health to risk behaviours, access to health care services, and overall social functioning, has led to ambiguous conclusions (Rendina et al., 2019). More integrative work with a particular focus on the well-being of PLWH and other factors clearly defined as potential moderators should bring more conclusive results in identifying how well-being is related to stigma and what modifies this relationship.

2. Objectives of the current study

Taking the aforementioned issues into consideration, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to synthesize, analyse, and critically review existing studies on the association between HIV/AIDS stigma and psychological well-being among PLWH. We followed the broad operationalization of well-being in particular, quality of life and health-related quality of life, satisfaction with life, and affective components.

Moreover, in the meta-analytic portion, we aimed to evaluate the overall strength and direction of this relationship and searched for its possible moderators, including year of study publication, operationalization of stigma, and the most crucial sociodemographic (i.e. participant age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, employment, education, and relationship status) and clinical variables (time since HIV diagnosis, AIDS status, CD4 count, and viral load). We also examined the possibility of HIV/AIDS stigma accumulation, paying specific attention to a potential synergistic effect of moderators such as sexual and gender minority status, gender, and ethnicity.
3. Method

3.1. Search strategy and inclusion/exclusion criteria

The literature search and review were conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009; see also Figure 1). A search was performed on 12 December 2020, in the following databases: Web of Science, Scopus, PsyARTICLES, MedLine, ProQuest, and Google Scholar (this was treated as an additional source of grey literature; Bellefontaine & Lee, 2014).

The Boolean query had the following form: (HIV OR AIDS OR (acquired AND immunodeficiency AND syndrome) OR (human AND immunodeficiency) OR PLWH OR PLWHA OR HIV/AIDS OR SIDA) AND (stigma* OR (HIV-stigma) * OR (HIV/AIDS-stigma) AND (well-being OR wellbeing OR (well AND being) OR (life AND satisfaction) OR life-satisfaction OR (life AND quality) OR life-quality)). We searched only for papers written in English and published between January 1996 (indicating the advent of combination antiretroviral therapy [Antiretroviral Therapy; ART]) and December 2020. This specific time span was also applied in other meta-analyses on HIV/AIDS stigma (Logie & Gadalla, 2009; Rueda et al., 2016) and was motivated by the fact that in 1996, HIV/AIDS evolved from a terminal to a chronic medical condition as a result of the introduction of ART, which result in differences in the role of HIV/AIDS stigma on the psychosocial functioning of PLWH compared to the era before ART (Logie & Gadalla, 2009).

In addition to the English-language criterion, the studies had to meet the following criteria to be included in the systematic review and subsequently in the meta-analysis:

1. Type of study: We included only peer-reviewed, quantitative, empirical articles that measured the relationship between HIV/AIDS-related stigma and well-being outcomes among PLWH. We excluded other systematic reviews or meta-analyses, editorials, letters, and qualitative reports.

2. Participants: We included studies conducted on HIV/AIDS patients, with no restriction on gender, age, sexual orientation, disease stage, or ethnicity. We also included studies in which participants were composed of PLWH and patients with other chronic

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process for inclusion in the systematic review.
illnesses. We excluded studies that focused on caregivers or family members of PLWH.

(3) Methodology: We included only studies with psychometrically sound measurements of HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being outcomes and reported any one of the following statistics: correlation coefficients and sample sizes, regression coefficients, or other statistics that could be transformed into a standardized effect size. We excluded studies with no psychometric HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being measurements (i.e. studies with ad hoc author-created scales/items) and studies without sufficient statistics to compute a standardized effect size, even after contacting authors.

(4) Quality of study: We followed the Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies (Feng et al., 2014), which consists of 14 criteria and requires the evaluator to answer whether the study in question meets the particular criterion. Studies were rated by three independent evaluators (see Results and Figure 1). They paid special attention to whether the study used a clear definition of HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being outcomes, used validated measures with psychometric data, controlling for sociodemographic and clinical covariates, and provided data to calculate effect sizes. Additionally, the articles, especially those by the same authors, were checked to ensure that they did not use an identical sample of participants more than once. If this was the case, only one of them was included in the final analysis.

### 3.2. Statistical analysis

As the majority of studies used the global HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being index, analysis was performed on such global indicators. If more than one dimension of HIV/AIDS stigma (i.e. internalized stigma, anticipated stigma, or enacted stigma) was considered in the study, the result with the highest strength of association with the outcome was selected (Rueda et al., 2016).

Meta-analysis was performed with the use of library ‘meta’ in the R Statistics 4.03 software environment (Schwarzer, 2007) and Pearson’s correlation coefficients for effect size measures. The unstandardized and standardized regression coefficients obtained from single studies, after adjustment for having the same direction regardless of the measurement method, were transformed to Pearson’s correlation coefficients following formulas provided by Lipsey and Wilson (2001) with the use of library ‘esc.’ A random effects model was implemented, assuming heterogeneity of the effect size between studies because the studies do not stem from one population. The DerSimonian–Laird estimator of the variance of the distribution of true effect sizes was used. Heterogeneity in true effect sizes between studies was evaluated by Cochran’s Q statistics (distributed as a chi-square statistic with number of studies minus 1 degrees of freedom) and I² statistics (Higgins, Thompson, Deeks, & Altman, 2003). Outliers’ diagnostics were based on Baujat plot (Baujat, Mahé, Pignon, & Hill, 2002) and Graphic Display of Heterogeneity (GOSH) plot (Olkin, Dahabreh, & Trikalinos, 2012) analysis. Publication bias was assessed with a contour-enhanced funnel plot (Peters, Sutton, Jones, Abrams, & Rushton, 2008). The potential moderators of the effect size were analysed with meta-regression (Viechtbauer, 2010).

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Identification, screening, and eligibility

Initially, 8,333 titles and abstracts were gathered through electronic databases, including 5,452 from MedLine, 1,402 from Web of Science, 781 from ProQuest, 582 from Scopus, 19 from PsyARTICLES, and 97 from Google Scholar, which was treated as an additional database source. After removing duplicates, 6,723 potentially eligible records remained for further screening. Careful title and abstract screening performed by three independent reviewers garnered 182 full articles for assessment, and 118 of them were eliminated after applying the exclusion criteria. Finally, 64 articles were accepted for further analysis. The details of the selection process are presented in Figure 1 in a PRISMA flow diagram.

Regarding the year of publication, the majority of studies were published in the last five years. Included study publication years are as follows: 2020 (9 articles, 14% of all); 2019 (7 articles, 11% of all); 2018 (7 articles, 11% of all); 2017 (7 articles, 11% of all); and 2016 (5 articles, 8% of all). In the remaining analysed years, one to three articles from each year were eligible, with the exception of 2013, which had seven articles, accounting for 10% of all analysed. The total sample size was $N = 25,294$ PLWH, including 14,590 males, 10,682 females, and 22 participants who chose the ‘other’ option regarding sex. 92% (59 out of 64) of analysed studies were cross-sectional in design. Finally, as far as the study settings are concerned (i.e. country), more than half (51%; 33 out of 64) of the eligible studies have been conducted in the USA. About 15% (10 out of 64) have been carried out in Asian regions (e.g. China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Vietnam), 7% (5 out of 64) in European countries, 7% in African countries (5 out of 64), 4% (3 out of 64) in Canada. The rest of the studies came from other parts of the world, such as Australia, India, Iran and Indonesia.

The eligible studies used various measures to assess the HIV/AIDS stigma, but the most common were the Berger HIV Stigma Scale (Berger, Ferrans, & Lashley, 2001), the Internalized HIV Stigma instrument (Sayles et al., 2008), the Barriers to Care Scale
(Heckman, Somlai, Kelly, Stevenson, & Galdabini, 1996), the HIV Stigma Framework Scale (Earnshaw & Chaudoir, 2009), and the HIV Stigma Measure (Sowell et al., 1997). With respect to well-being measures, quality of life was evaluated most commonly by the WHOQOL-HIV BREF (WHOQOL Group, 1995), the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey (Stewart, Hays, & Ware, 1988), and the HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality of Life instrument (Holmes & Shea, 1998). Life satisfaction was assessed almost exclusively by the Satisfaction with Life instrument (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985). The other components of psychological well-being were evaluated primarily by the Psychological Well-Being Scale (Ryff, 1989) as well as the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988). Table 1 summarizes the details of the 64 selected articles.

4.2. Meta-analysis: association between HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being outcomes

4.2.1. Diagnosis of outliers and influencing cases

Possible outliers and influencing cases, that is, studies yielding observed effects outlying or well-separated from the rest of the data, were identified with a Baujat plot. Results of the Baujat plot are depicted in Figure 2. The plot shows the contribution of each study to the overall Q-test statistic for heterogeneity on the horizontal axis versus the influence of each study on the overall result on the vertical axis. As can be seen, especially in the case of Study 33 (i.e. Miller et al., 2016), some studies appeared to contribute heavily to overall heterogeneity and, as such, may have a strong influence on the overall results. However, since careful inspection of this study did not identify any specificity, we decided to conduct GOSH plot analysis to further detect outliers and influential studies. In Figure 3, the pooled effect size is presented on the x-axis and the between-study heterogeneity on the y-axis. As seen, the obtained model formed a unimodal distribution, with domination of high between-study heterogeneity. Since graphical analysis showed no multimodality, we decided not to remove any study from the subsequent analyses.

4.2.2. Publication bias

The potential publication bias effect was examined with a contour-enhanced funnel plot. The results are depicted in Figure 4, which shows that in general, small studies appear to be under-represented in the areas of both high and no statistical significance. Thus, although publication bias cannot be excluded, this may suggest that the observed asymmetry is caused by other factors, such as the real absence of smaller studies due to their known insufficient power to detect the effect in question. The mean sample size of the studies included in the meta-analysis is 392.22 (SD = 499.89), ranging from 41 to 2987, and 14% of studies had a sample size below 100 participants. Additionally, sample characteristics as well as study quality, not only statistical significance, may favour publication of larger studies.

4.2.3. Effect sizes and heterogeneity

The effect sizes for individual studies ranged from −.90 to .04 and overlap in the confidence interval was minimal (Figure 5). This heterogeneity was significant, (Q(63) = 1242.65, p < .001, $I^2 = 94.9\%$ [94.1%; 95.6%]), indicating that 95% of the total variation in estimated effects was due to between-study variation, which was considered to be high (Higgins et al., 2003). The random-effects pooled estimate revealed a negative and medium-size (Cohen, 1988) association between stigma and subjective well-being ($r = −.31$, 95% CI $[−.36; −.26]$). However, a 95% prediction interval $[−.77; .13]$ informing on the range of true effects in similar future studies suggests that this association may be from negative to null or even slightly positive (IntHout, Ioannidis, Rovers, & Goeman, 2016).

4.2.4. Moderators

In the next step, possible moderators of the obtained effect size were examined through meta-regression. They included publication year, operationalization of stigma, and sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. There was no evidence of variation in the effect size due to publication year (B = −.01, p > .05) or the Berger’s scale versus other tools to assess stigma (B = .03, p > .05). The observed effect size also did not change with percentage of male participants in the study (B = −.06, p > .05), being in a stable relationship (B = .04, p > .05), higher education (B = −.09, p > .05), or stable employment (B = .06, p > .05). Similarly, statistically insignificant results were noted for the percentage of participants with heterosexual orientation (B = .01, p > .05) and Caucasian ethnicity versus other ethnicities (B = −.16, p > .05). For clinical variables, all the effects were insignificant, including mean viral load (B = .21, p > .05), mean time since diagnosis (B = −.01, p > .05), and AIDS status (B = −.08, p > .05). Thus, only two moderators were identified: mean age of the participants, (B = −.01, p < .05) and mean CD4 count (B = −.01, p < .05). However, values for CD4 count became insignificant when controlled for participant age. Thus, mean age of the participants was the only significant moderating variable, modifying the stigma-well-being effect, which is presented in Figure 6 using a bubble plot with a circle size.
Table 1. Summary of literature investigating association between HIV/AIDS stigma and psychological well-being among people living with HIV.

| Author          | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | Stigma measure | Life satisfaction (Satisfaction with Life Scale) | Gender (lower life satisfaction and higher stigma in women) | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Heckman et al. | 1997 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Barriers to Care Scale | N = 275; Male (M) = 221, Female (F) = 53, Other (O) = 1; Age mean = 36.8 | n/a | Homo (HM) = 44; Hetero (HT) = 28; Bi (B) = 11 | Caucasian, 19%; African American, 3%; Latino, 6% | 34% higher education | 36% AIDS | Gender (lower life satisfaction and higher stigma in women) |
| 2. Heckman et al. | 2002 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Barriers to Care Scale | N = 83; M = 69, F = 14; Age mean = 55.2 | 100% higher education; 26% stable relationship; 11% employed | Caucasian, 38%; African American, 11% | 65% detectable viral load; 55% AIDS | Ethnicity (lower quality of life [QL] and higher stigma in Caucasians compared to other studied ethnicities), employment (higher QL and lower stigma), and AIDS status (lower QL and higher stigma) |
| 3. Heckman et al. | 2004 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Barriers to Care Scale | N = 329; M = 237, F = 92; Age mean = 41.9 | 41% higher education; 40% stable relationship; 83% employed | Caucasian, 17%; African American, 9%; Latino | 9.6 years since diagnosis/treatment; 46% AIDS | AIDS status (lower well-being and higher stigma) |
| 4. Kang et al.   | 2005 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Social Impact Scale | N = 53; M = 45, F = 8; Age mean = 42.1 | 56% Chinese, 9%; Filipino, 19%; Southeast Asian, 9%; Japanese, 7%; other | Caucasian, 38%; African American, 17%; Latino | 46% higher education; 21% stable relationship; 64% employed | Mean CD4: 260.20; 52% detectable viral load; 6.1 years since diagnosis/treatment; 38% AIDS | Ethnicity (lowest well-being and higher stigma in Asians compared to other studied ethnicities) |
| 5. Ramirez-Valles et al. | 2005 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Experienced Homosexual Stigma Scale | N = 155; M = 155; Age mean = 39.5 | 66.9% Latino, 20.7% African American, 1.7% Caucasian, 1.7% other | 23.9% higher education; 23% stable relationship; 27.1% employed | Mean CD4: 330.60; 58.6% detectable viral load | Medical status (higher well-being and lower stigma associated with higher mean CD4 and undetectable viral load) |
| 6. Murphy et al. | 2006 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | N = 118; F = 118; Age mean = 39.6 | 72% Caucasian, 19% African American, 3% Latino, 6% other | 34% higher education | 36% AIDS | Gender (lower life satisfaction and higher stigma in women) | (Continued) |
### Table 1. (Continued).

| Author                | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | Stigma measure | Well-being measure | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 7. Swendeman et al.   | 2006 USA                        | Longitudinal | HIV Stigma Measure | Quality of life (physical aspects; HIV/AIDS Progression Scale) | N = 147; M = 116, F = 31; Age mean = 23.2 | HM = 101, HT = 46 | 44% Latino, 24.9% African American, 24.5% Caucasian, 7% other | 56% higher education | 26.5% AIDS | Gender (higher stigma and lower QL in women) and sexual orientation (homosexual orientation associated with higher stigma) |
| 8. Mak et al.         | 2007 Hong Kong                  | Longitudinal | Self-Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (emotional aspects; Mental Health Inventory) | N = 119; M = 102, F = 17; Age mean = 42.08 | HM = 26, HT = 87 | 100% Chinese | 1.7% higher education; 36.1% higher education | 100% | 17.5% detectable viral load; 52 years since diagnosis/treatment |
| 9. Buseh et al.       | 2007 USA                        | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life HIV-specific overall QL; the revised Sign and Symptom Check-List for HIV | N = 55; M = 55; Age mean = 48.08 | HM = 35, HT = 20 | 100% African American | 28.6% higher education | No significant covariates |
| 10. Holzemer et al.   | 2009 USA, various African countries, Puerto Rico | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life HIV-specific overall QL; HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality of Life instrument | N = 726; M = 395; F = 331; Age mean = 42.69 | n/a | 29.7% African American 27.6% Latino, 21.0% Caucasian, 21.7% other | 27.8% higher education | 41% AIDS | Education (higher education associated with lower stigma and higher QL) and AIDS status (lower QL and higher stigma) |
| 11. Abboud et al.     | 2010 Lebanon                     | Cross-sectional | HIV Stigma Measure | Quality of life HIV-specific overall QL; Multidimensional Quality of Life-HIV | N = 41; M = 32; F = 9; Age mean = 36.33 | n/a | 100% Asian | 24.4% higher education; 34.3% stable relationship; 43.9% employed | 7.5% detectable viral load; 6.1 years since diagnosis/treatment; 12.5% AIDS | Relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 12. Greff et al.      | 2010 Lesotho, Malawi, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania | Longitudinal | HIV/AIDS Stigma Instrument | Quality of life HIV-specific overall QL; HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality of Life instrument | N = 141; M = 121; F = 325; Age mean = 35.4 | n/a | 100% African | 5% higher education; 28% stable relationship; 30% employed | Mean CD4: 332.30; 3.1 years since diagnosis/treatment | Medical treatment (ART treatment associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
Table 1. (Continued).

| Author                  | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | Stigma measure | Psychological well-being measure | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 13. Wagner et al.       | 2010 Canada                      | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (emotional aspects: items from the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) | N = 159; F = 159; Age mean = 37.7 | HT = 159 | 65% African American, 19.5% Caribbean, 6.5% Caucasian, 9% other | 66% higher education; 49% stable relationship | Mean CD4: 630.4; 34.4% detectable viral load; 9.2 years since diagnosis/treatment | Education (higher education associated with lower stigma and higher well-being) |
| 14. Andrino- poulos et al. | 2011 USA                         | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Life satisfaction (items from Diabetes Quality of Life) | N = 179; F = 179; Age mean = 22.3 | n/a | 73% African American, 21% Latino, 6% other | 11% higher education; 53.6% stable relationship | Mean CD4: 290.6; 86% detectable viral load | Ethnicity (higher stigma and lower QL in African Americans compared to other studied ethnicities) and education (higher education associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 15. Li et al.           | 2011 China                       | Cross-sectional | HIV/AIDS-Related Stigma and Discrimination Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; Chinese HIV/AIDS Quality of Life Scale) | N = 202; M = 144; F = 58; Age mean = 33.2 | n/a | 100% Asian | 4% higher education; 51% stable relationship; 8% employed | Mean CD4: 310.2; 2.6 years since diagnosis/treatment | Medical treatment (longer treatment duration and adherence associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 16. Rao et al.          | 2012 China                       | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; Medical Outcomes Study-HIV) | N = 120; M = 98; F = 22; Age mean = 36.1 | n/a | 100% Asian | 4% higher education; 55% stable relationship; 54% employed | Mean CD4: 211.3 | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL), employment (lower stigma and higher QL), and education (higher education associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 17. Varni et al.        | 2012 USA                         | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (cognitive aspects; Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale) | N = 203; M = 146; F = 57; Age mean = 43.18 | HM = 85, HT = 86, B = 32 | 81% Caucasian, 19% other | 8% higher education; 55% stable relationship; 54% employed | Mean CD4: 310.2; 2.6 years since diagnosis/treatment | Gender (higher stigma and lower QL in women) and age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL) |
| 18. Biener et al.       | 2013 Australia                   | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (cognitive aspects; Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale) | N = 697; M = 662; F = 32, O = 3; Age mean = 43.67 | HM = 611, HT = 49, B = 37 | n/a | 19.7% higher education; 35.9% stable relationship; 64% employed | Mean CD4: 310.2; 2.6 years since diagnosis/treatment | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower well-being) and years since diagnosis (longer HIV-infection duration associated with lower stigma and higher well-being) |
| 19. Earnshaw et al.     | 2013 USA                         | Cross-sectional | HIV Stigma Framework Scale | Psychological well-being (cognitive and affective aspects; Illness Cognitions Questionnaire) | N = 95; M = 47; F = 48; Age mean = 49.34 | HM = 20, HT = 75 | 51.6% African American | 58.9% higher education; 72% employed | Mean CD4: 340.2; 15.56 years since diagnosis/treatment | Gender (higher affective well-being and lower stigma in women), ethnicity (higher affective well-being and lower stigma in African Americans), and education (higher education associated with higher affective well-being and lower stigma) |
| 20. Emlet et al.        | 2013 USA                         | Cross-sectional | Homosexual Stigma Scale | Quality of life (mental aspects; Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey) | N = 226; M = 226; Age mean = 62.97 | HM = 210, B = 16 | 77% Caucasian, 23% other | 63.50% higher education; 34.9% stable relationship; 79% employed | 43% AIDS | No significant covariates (victimization as homosexual stigma component associated with lower QL in the whole sample) | (Continued) |
| Author | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | HIV version of the Unsupportive Social Interactions Inventory | Stigma measure | Life satisfaction (Personal Wellbeing Index) | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 21. Hutton et al. | 2013 Australia and USA | Cross-sectional | | | | N = 274; M = 250; F = 24; Age mean = 45.05 | | | 100% Caucasian | 33.2% stable relationship; 31.2% employed | 13.54 years since diagnosis/treatment | Age (older age associated with lower stigma and higher life satisfaction) |
| 22. Sanjuan et al. | 2013 Spain | Cross-sectional | Prejudice Perception Scale | Affective well-being (Positive and Negative Affect Scale) | N = 133; M = 97; F = 36; Age mean = 39.11 | | | 100% Caucasian | 27.8% higher education; 10% stable relationship; 71% employed | 10.01 years since diagnosis/treatment | Relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with lower stigma and higher well-being) |
| 23. Slater et al. | 2013 USA | Cross-sectional | Internalized HIV Stigma instrument | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality of Life instrument) | N = 60; M = 60; Age mean = 54.6 | | | 56.7% Caucasian, 41.7% African American, 1.6% Asian | 11.7% higher education; 30% stable relationship; 61% employed | 15.2 years since diagnosis/treatment | Age (older age associated with higher QL, but when stigma was included in the model, age appeared to be insignificant with QL) |
| 24. Storholm et al. | 2013 USA | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (Bryff Scales of Psychological Well-Being) | N = 904; M = 640; F = 264; Age mean = 55.53 | | | 87% “Non-white,” 13% Caucasian | 49.67% higher education | n/a | Sexual orientation (homosexual orientation associated with higher stigma and lower well-being) |
| 25. Fuster-Levi-Minzi et al. | 2014 Spain | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (physical, psychological, and social aspects; Quality of Life Questionnaire) | N = 557; M = 390; F = 157, O = 10; Age mean = 43.43 | | | 100% Caucasian | 15.1% higher education; 31.4% stable relationship; 38% employed | Mean CD4: 557.8; 37.3% detectable viral load; 13.5 years since diagnosis/treatment | No significant covariates |
| 26. Li et al. | 2015 China | Cross-sectional | HIV/AIDS-Related Stigma and Discrimination Scale | Psychological well-being (social aspects; Attitudes Towards HIV Health Care Providers Scale) | N = 503; M = 299; F = 204; Age mean = 46.07 | | | 67.6% African American, 32.4% other | 43.5 higher education; 18.9% employed | 12.5 years since diagnosis/treatment | Ethnicity (higher stigma and lower well-being in African Americans compared to other studied ethnicities) and medical treatment (years in treatment positively associated with lower stigma and higher well-being) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | No significant covariates |

(Continued)
| Author                  | Year and study setting (country) | Study design   | Stigma measure                          | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates                  |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 29. Wu et al.          | 2015 China                      | Cross-sectional| HIV/AIDS-Related Stigma and Discrimination Scale | N = 190; M = 137, F = 53, Age mean = 38.30 | n/a                | 100% Asian | 14.7% higher education; 55.8% stable relationship; 26.8% employed | Mean CD4: 211.2; 2.9 years since diagnosis/ treatment | Medical variables (Mean CD4 positively associated with lower stigma and higher QL and medical treatment) years in treatment positively associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 30. Earnshaw et al.    | 2016 USA                        | Cross-sectional| HIV Stigma Framework Scale               | N = 93; M = 55, F = 35, O = 3; Age mean = 50.07 | HM = 17, HT = 76    | 61.3% Caucasian, 38.7% Latino | 64.5% higher education | 18.1 years since diagnosis/ treatment | Sexual orientation (homosexual orientation associated with higher stigma and lower well-being) and education (higher education associated with lower stigma and higher well-being) |
| 31. Fekete et al.      | 2016 USA                        | Cross-sectional| Berger HIV Stigma Scale                 | N = 140; M = 102, F = 38; Age mean = 42.77 | n/a                | 50.8% Caucasian, 45% African American, 4.2% other | 23.6% higher education; 27.9% stable relationship; 46.7% employed | 11.66 years since diagnosis/ treatment | Gender (higher stigma and lower life satisfaction in women) |
| 32. Garrido-Hernansaiz et al. | 2016 India                  | Cross-sectional| HIV-Related Stigma Scale                | N = 965; M = 396, F = 565; Age mean = 33.12 | HM = 68, HT = 893  | 100% Indian | 13.9% higher education; 44.7% stable relationship; 77.3% employed | 15.46 years since diagnosis/ treatment | Relationship (higher QL and lower stigma in single persons) and higher education and employment (both associated with higher QL and lower stigma) |
| 33. Miller et al.      | 2016 USA                        | Longitudinal   | Berger HIV Stigma Scale                 | N = 216; M = 159, F = 57; Age mean = 47.86 | HM = 103, HT = 113 | 75.9% Caucasian, 24.1% other | 42.4% higher education; 13.6% stable relationship; 45.6% employed | Mean CD4: 340.5 | Medical variables (Mean CD4 positively associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 34. Song et al.        | 2016 China                      | Cross-sectional| Berger HIV Stigma Scale                 | N = 125; M = 125, Age mean = 30.07 | HM = 125             | 100% Asian | 42.4% higher education; 13.6% stable relationship; 45.6% employed | Mean CD4: 340.5 | Medical variables (Mean CD4 positively associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 35. Alsayed et al.     | 2017 USA                        | Cross-sectional| Berger HIV Stigma Scale                 | N = 178; F = 178; Age mean = 41.70 | n/a                | 62.4% African American, 37.6% Caucasian | 66.3% higher education; 24.2% stable relationship; 27.5% employed | 65% detectable viral load | Age (older age associated with lower stigma and higher QL in women), ethnicity (higher stigma and lower QL in African Americans compared to other studied ethnicities), relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with lower stigma and higher QL), and education (higher education associated with higher QL and lower stigma) |
| Author            | Year and study setting (country) | Study design     | Stigma measure                          | Well-being measure                                      | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity                  | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 36. Cramer et al. | 2017 USA                        | Cross-sectional  | Victim Experience Questionnaire Affective well-being (Positive and Negative Affect Scale) | N = 154; M = 134; F = 15; O = 5; Age mean = 42.41       | HM = 154               | 42.9% Caucasian, 40.9 African American, 16.2% other | n/a                      |                                | n/a Gender (higher stigma/ minority stress [as LGB status] and lower well-being in women) |
| 37. Jang & Bakken | 2017 USA                        | Cross-sectional  | Internalized HIV Stigma instrument Quality of life (Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey) | N = 292; M = 190; F = 102; Age mean = 46.70           | n/a                    | 75% Caucasian, 25% Latino | 24% higher education; 18% employed | Mean CD4: 310.2; 37% AIDS |                                |
| 38. Logie et al. | 2017 Canada                     | Cross-sectional  | Berger HIV Stigma Scale Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV-BREF) | N = 173; M = 173; F = 173; Age mean = 40.70        | n/a                    | 69.5% African, 30.5% Caribbean | 16.2% higher education; 32.7% stable relationship; 33.2% employed | Mean CD4: 468.2; 33% detectable viral load; 12.6 years since diagnosis/ treatment; 51% AIDS |                                |
| 39. Porter et al. | 2017 USA                        | Cross-sectional  | Berger HIV Stigma Scale Psychological well-being (Ryff Scales of Psychological Well-Being) | N = 914; M = 648; F = 266; Age mean = 55.50         | HM = 302; HT = 612     | 52% African, 34% Latino, 14% Caucasian | 49% higher education; 77.1% employed | Mean CD4: 166.5; 17.1% detectable viral load; 22 years since diagnosis/ treatment | No significant covariates |
| 40. Veld et al.  | 2017 South Africa               | Cross-sectional  | Internalized AIDS-Related Stigma Scale Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV-BREF) | N = 2230; M = 747; F = 1483; Age mean = 37.10       | n/a                    | 8.8% higher education; 30.9% stable relationship; 51.4% employed | n/a                      |                                | Mean CD4: 12.41 years since diagnosis/ treatment Gender (stigma-related shame associated with lower well-being only in women, but higher stigma-related loneliness in men) |
| 41. Vincent et al.| 2017 USA                        | Cross-sectional  | HIV-Related Shame Scale Quality of life (emotional aspects; Functional Assessment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection) | N = 299; M = 199; F = 100; Age mean = 55.23        | HM = 137; HT = 162     | 49.2% African, 29.1 Caucasian, 8.7% Latino, 13% other | 21% higher education; 45% employed | n/a                                | |                                |
| 42. Ekstrand et al.| 2018 India                      | Cross-sectional  | Internalized Stigma Scale Quality of life (social aspects; Quality of life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire) | N = 600; M = 600; F = 600; Age mean = 34.30      | n/a                    | 100% Indian | 14.5% higher education; 39.6% stable relationship; 3% employed | 42 years since diagnosis/ treatment Relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with higher stigma and lower QL, age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL), and medical treatment (longer treatment duration and adherence associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |

(Continued)
| Author                        | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | Stigma measure | Well-being measure | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity       | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Lacombe-Duncan & Chuang      | 2018 Taiwan                     | Cross-sectional | Internalized HIV Stigma instrument | Life satisfaction (Satisfaction with Life Scale) | N = 355; M = 272; F = 83; Age mean = 36.88 | HM = 178, HT = 177 | 100% Asian     | 34.8% higher education; 20.9% employed | Mean CD4: 460.8; 5.72 years since diagnosis/treatment | Higher education and employment (both associated with lower stigma and higher life satisfaction, though only in men) |
| Logie et al.                 | 2018 Canada                     | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey) | N = 1425; F = 1425 Age mean = 43.10 | n/a | 81.4% Caucasian, 18.6% other | 84% higher education; 32.18% stable relationship; 34.5% employed | n/a | Gender (higher stigma and lower QL in women) |
| Nobre et al.                 | 2018 Finland                    | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (physical and psychological aspects; 15-D Measure of Health-Related Quality of Life) | N = 440; M = 336; F = 104; Age mean = 47.50 | HM = 242, HT = 180, B = 18 | 86.1% Caucasian, 13.9% other | 31.7% higher education; 52.7% stable relationship; 66.9% employed | CD4 mean: 510.2; 14.4% detectable viral load; 10.8 years since diagnosis/treatment; 30.2% AIDS | Gender (higher stigma and lower QL in women), employment (lower stigma and higher QL), relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with higher QL and lower stigma), and education (higher education associated with higher stigma and lower QL) |
| Rasoolinajad et al.          | 2018 Tehran                     | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV BREF) | N = 450; M = 366; F = 184; Age mean = 37.29 | n/a | 100% Iranian | 14.5% higher education; 30.7% stable relationship; 13.9% employed | Mean CD4: 487.81 | Education (higher education associated with higher QL and lower stigma) and relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with lower stigma and higher QL) No significant covariates |
| Rendina et al.               | 2018 USA                        | Longitudinal   | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Affective well-being (Profile of Mood States Scale) | N = 50; M = 50; Age mean = 38.70 | HM = 44, B = 6 | 34% African, 30% Latino, 20% Caucasian, 16% other | 10.8 years since diagnosis/treatment | No significant covariates |
| Tran et al.                  | 2018 Vietnam                    | Cross-sectional | Substance Abuse Stigma Scale | Quality of life (physical and psychological aspects; EuroQol) | N = 1133; M = 850; F = 283; Age mean = 34.20 | n/a | 100% Asian | 5.9% higher education; 61.6% stable relationship; 73.9% employed | Mean CD4: 294.7; 3.5 years since diagnosis/treatment; 9.6% AIDS | Medical treatment (ART treatment duration associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| den Daas et al.              | 2019 Denmark                    | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (emotional aspects; items from the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) | N = 170; M = 159; F = 11; Age mean = 33.20 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | No significant covariates |

(Continued)
| Author | Year and study setting (country) | Study design | Stigma measure | Well-being measure | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|--------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 50. Kalan et al. | 2019 Iran | Cross-sectional | Iranian version of the HIV/AIDS Stigma Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV BREF) | N = 200; M = 153; F = 47; Age mean = 34.20 | n/a | 100% Iranian | 60.5% higher education; 33.5% stable relationship; 50.2% employed | Mean CD4: 320.3; 14.2 years since diagnosis/treatment; 32% AIDS | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL), education (higher education associated with higher stigma and lower QL), and employment (associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 51. Laschober et al. | 2019 USA | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; H-Qol measure; AIDS Clinical Trials Group) | N = 346; M = 191; F = 153; Age mean = 45.50 | HM = 167, HT = 179 | 63.3% Caucasian, 36.7% other | 20.2% higher education; 68% employed | 12.8 years since diagnosis/treatment | No significant covariates |
| 52. Porter et al. | 2019 USA | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Psychological well-being (Ryff Scales of Psychological Well-Being) | N = 640; M = 640; Age mean = 55.69 | HM = 247, HT = 364, B = 29 | 49.5% African, 33.8% Latina, 16.7% Caucasian | 25.3% higher education; 77.1% employed | Mean CD4: 443.39; 13.13 years since diagnosis/treatment; 54% AIDS | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower well-being) and sexual orientation (Homosexual orientation associated with higher stigma and lower well-being) |
| 53. Rendina et al. | 2019 USA | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (physical aspects and treatment adherence; TLFB interview) | N = 120; M = 81; F = 39; Age mean = 54.60 | HM = 52, HT = 68 | 75.8% African, 15% Caucasian, 9.2% Latino | 52.5% higher education; 20% employed | Mean CD4: 330; 42% detectable viral load; 17.1 years since diagnosis/treatment; 44% AIDS | Medical variables (higher mean CD4 associated with lower stigma and higher QL) and treatment (treatment duration associated with lower stigma and higher QL) |
| 54. Shrestha et al. | 2019 Nepal | Cross-sectional | AIDS-Related Stigma Scale | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV BREF) | N = 599; M = 305; F = 294; Age mean = 38.20 | N = 123; M = 123; Age mean = 43.29 | 75.6% African American, 15.5% Caucasian, 8.9% Latino | 46.3% higher education; 41.5% employed | Mean CD4: 430; 5.3 years since diagnosis/treatment | No significant covariates |
| 55. Relf et al. | 2019 USA | Cross-sectional | HIV Stigma Scale | Quality of life (Brief Health Status Assessment Instrument for Use in HIV disease) | N = 289; M = 277; F = 12; Age mean = 41.80 | N = 335; M = 267; F = 68; Age mean = 37.60 | 51.9% African, 27.8% Caucasian, 20.3% other | 46.5% higher education; 26.4% stable relationship; 63.3% employed | Mean CD4: 380.6; <1 year since diagnosis/treatment | Gender (lower quality of life and higher stigma in women) and ethnicity (higher stigma and lower quality of life in non-Caucasian participants compared to other studied ethnicities) |

(Continued)
| Author         | Year | Study design | Stigma measure | Well-being measure | Sample: gender and age | Sexual orientation | Ethnicity                  | Sociodemographic characteristics | HIV-related clinical variables | Significant sociomedical covariates |
|---------------|------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Doric         | 2020 | Cross-sectional | HIV Scale | Subjective well-being (Satisfaction with Life Scale and Positive and Negative Affect Scale) | N = 90; M = 79; F = 11; Age mean = 41.64 | HM = 42, HT = 41, B = 7 | 100% Caucasian | 37.3% higher education; 33.9% stable relationship; 44.1% employed | 7.88 years since diagnosis/treatment | No significant covariates |
| Nguyen et al. | 2020 | Cross-sectional | Lesbian and Gay Identity Scale  | Affective well-being (NIHTB-EB Battery) | N = 371; M = 332; F = 39; Age mean = 52.10 | HM = 294, HT = 50, B = 27 | 62.1% Caucasian, 23.2% Latino, 14.7% African | 23% higher education | Mean CD4: 644.2; 17.3% detectable viral load | Sexual minority status associated with higher well-being and lower stigma |
| Parcesepe et al. | 2020 | Cross-sectional | HIV/AIDS Stigma | Quality of life (overall QL; HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality of Life Instrument) | N = 912; M = 336; F = 576; Age mean = 31.10 | n/a | 100% African | 58.1% stable relationship; 61.1% employed | Mean CD4: 210.2 | Gender (lower QL and higher stigma in men) and relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with lower stigma and higher quality of life) |
| Yang et al.   | 2020 | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV | Quality of life (overall QL; Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey) | N = 193; M = 193; F = 116; Age mean = 30.10 | n/a | 100% Asian | 67.70% higher education; 14.3% stable relationship; 74.6% employed | Mean CD4: 520.3; 27.5% detectable viral load; 3.1 years since diagnosis/treatment | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL and employment (lower stigma and higher QL) |
| Zhou et al.   | 2020 | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV | Quality of life (overall QL; Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey) | N = 2987; M = 1881; F = 1106; Age mean = 42.46 | n/a | 100% Asian | 42% higher education; 69% stable relationship; 73.1% employed | Mean CD4: 390.2; 27.75% detectable viral load; 3.23 years since diagnosis/treatment | Age (older age associated with higher stigma and lower QL), education (higher education associated with higher QL and lower stigma) and relationship (being in a stable relationship associated with higher stigma and higher QL) |
| Zhu et al.    | 2020 | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV | Quality of life (HIV-specific overall QL; WHOQOL-HIV BREF) | N = 300; M = 277; F = 23; Age mean = 27.50 | HM = 245, HT = 55 | 100% Asian | 60.3% higher education; 12.8% stable relationship; 83.7% employed | n/a | Education (higher education associated with higher QL and lower stigma) and employment (higher QL and lower stigma) |
| Zulkarnain et al. | 2020 | Cross-sectional | Berger HIV | Psychological well-being (Ryff Scales of Psychological Well-Being) | N = 112; F = 112; Age mean = 39.94 | n/a | n/a | 83.1% higher education; 57% stable relationship | n/a | No significant covariates |
proportional to the weight of the individual studies. As seen, the negative relationship between stigma and subjective well-being was stronger for older participants. Nonetheless, mean participant age in the individual studies explained 9.4% of the variation, with still significant heterogeneity of the effect size between stigma and well-being across the studies ($Q_{(62)} = 1103.08, p < .001$).
Figure 5. Forest plot of effect sizes for individual studies, overall estimated effect, and 95% prediction interval. The numbers of the studies correspond to the numbers assigned in Table 1. \( \tau^2 \): between-study variance; \( I^2 \): I-squared statistic of heterogeneity.
Additionally, we verified if stigma accumulation, defined as an interaction of being female, other than heterosexual and other than Caucasian, affected the stigma well-being effect, but this interaction as well as all two-way interactions were insignificant.

5. Summary of main findings and discussion

The aim of this study was to examine and critically review the relationship between HIV/AIDS stigma and PWB among PLWH. After the selection process, 64 articles were determined as having met the criteria and the publication range (between 1997 and 2020). They were subsequently analysed for content and quality, and the reported effect sizes were investigated by meta-analysis. Despite high variability in the operationalization of both HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being, we noticed a pattern indicating a negative relationship of medium strength between these two constructs, with the random-effects pooled estimate equalling –.31 (Table 1 and Figure 5). However, high between-study heterogeneity was noted, with possible null future effects. This raised the question of publication bias, but the relevant analysis showed no evidence of this. In addition, the publication year did not explain the between-study effect heterogeneity, which may suggest that despite more than two decades of implementation of ART, the strength of the relationship between stigma and the well-being of PLWH remains quite stable.

This corresponds to the observations made by Rendina et al. (2018, 2019) that although the manifestations of HIV/AIDS stigma have changed at the individual and societal levels, its overall effect on the well-being of people with HIV/AIDS is the same as at the beginning of the epidemic. Historically, this result has indicated both a widespread overestimation of past effects and an underestimation of current effects, which may have important implications for clinical practice. On the other hand, it can also be a sign that despite 40 years of great medical progress in treating HIV/AIDS, PLWH still experience their HIV+ status as a highly traumatizing factor (Neigh et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2020).

As for sociodemographic covariates, eleven out of twelve eligible studies pointed to elevated stigma and much worse well-being among older PLWH. In meta-analysis, age was identified as the only significant moderator of effect size, with older adults having a stronger negative relationship between stigma and well-being. Currently, HIV has been increasingly diagnosed among older adults (UNAIDS, 2019). The poorer quality of life among them has been found to be a derivative of not only comorbid health conditions that are increased by age (Heckman et al., 2002) but also experienced stigma, which indicates a double jeopardy (i.e. HIV/AIDS stigma and ageism; Emlet, 2006; Emlet, Fredriksen-Goldsen, & Kim, 2013). As such, this constitutes a special case of stigma accumulation and the only case obtained in our study.

For other possible areas of HIV/AIDS stigma accumulation, namely, gender (being female), sexual and gender minority (other than heterosexual), and ethnicity (other than Caucasian), not only was an interaction effect insignificant but also no main effects were noted. This indicates that the strength and direction of the relationship between stigma and well-being were not modified by a combination of the aforementioned characteristics. However, the systematic review highlighted a more complex picture, as ten out of fifteen eligible studies in this context indicated a higher HIV/AIDS stigma and significantly poorer well-being among female PLWH compared to male PLWH; yet four studies found the opposite trend (Earnshaw et al., 2016; Jang & Bakken, 2017; Parcesepe et al., 2020) and one study showed that male PLWH suffered more from some components of stigma than females (loneliness), although it did not account for gender differences in well-being (Vincent et al., 2017).
in six out of seven eligible studies, PLWH representing sexual and gender minorities (i.e. lesbian, gay, and bisexual) experienced higher stigma and declared substantially lower quality of life compared to heterosexual PLWH. Only Nguyen et al. (2020) found higher well-being among PLWH representing sexual and gender minorities compared to heterosexual PLWH, although there was no significant difference in the stigma level between these two groups. Furthermore, in six out of nine eligible studies, African and/or African American PLWH reported higher stigmatization and lower well-being compared to PLWH of Caucasian ethnicity, although Heckman et al. (2002) and Earnshaw et al. (2013) observed the reverse and Kang, Rapkin, Remien, Mellins, and Oh (2005) found the lowest well-being and the highest stigma among Asian PLWH. However, in all of these studies, the moderating effect of sociodemographic variables was tested directly, which showed a low theoretical advancement of the studies on stigma thus far.

Similarly, employment, education, and marital status also had some associations with both stigma and well-being in single studies, but they were not verified as moderators. During meta-analysis, they did not modify the effect size, thus a protecting role of these factors against the negative consequences of stigma on well-being is not as universal as is often assumed (Cooper et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2008). For instance, Jang & Bakken, (2017), Nobre, Pereira, Roine, Sutinen, and Sintonen (2018), and Kalan et al. (2019) observed that higher education sometimes increases perceived stigma and, in turn, deteriorates the well-being of PLWH. In addition, two studies in our review conducted on women with HIV in India showed that married women experienced higher HIV/AIDS stigma and worse well-being than those who were single (Ekstrand et al., 2018; Garrido-Hernansaiz, Heylen, Bharat, Ramakrishna, & Ekstrand, 2016).

For clinical covariates, such as CD4, viral load, time since diagnosis and treatment, and AIDS status, we are very cautious in reaching certain conclusions, as the majority of reviewed studies did not include these variables or if they did, CD4 count and viral load status were based on self-assessment only. Nonetheless, the overall trend indicated by meta-analysis was that the negative relationship between stigma and well-being was stronger among PLWH with a higher CD4 count. This suggests that the psychological context of being infected with HIV, expressed by the association between stigma and well-being, is more pronounced for people in better health condition. This is understandable, as, in the presence of poor health, stigma may be experienced differently and have less impact on general well-being. However, this effect became zero after including the participants’ mean age in the analysis, implying a possible interdependence between age and self-reported CD4.

### 5.1. Limitations and future research directions

Our systematic review and meta-analysis revealed a strong heterogeneity among the studies, thus despite clear selection criteria, thorough assessment of the methodological and statistical quality of eligible articles by independent reviewers, and established methods to aggregate the study results, we must specify several limitations of the obtained findings. First, we included only published studies in the English language. Both unpublished studies and studies published in different languages may bring different results, particularly as stigma, its internalization, and its social expression can be strongly rooted in cultural context (Liamputtong, 2013). Second, even if we did not observe the effect of the tool in measuring stigma, it was limited to the comparison of the most popular Berger’s scale with other questionnaires, sometimes developed ad hoc for the purpose of a given study, where different aspects of stigma without a relevant psychometric evaluation were aggregated into a global stigma index. This illuminates the fundamental problem of the lack of a conclusive theoretically and empirically validated model of HIV/AIDS stigma in the literature. Specifically, as was already mentioned, research on HIV/AIDS stigma lacks a theoretical model that provides not only a clear definition of HIV/AIDS stigma but additionally proposes definitive mechanisms by which stigma exerts its deteriorating effects on the lives of PLWH. Instead, many studies are based on some global and even atheoretical (built ad hoc) HIV/AIDS stigma index, devoid of differentiating distinct mechanisms of this stigma and their unique association with the domains of functioning of PLWH. Even wider heterogeneity of well-being operationalization forced us to abandon a similar analysis for well-being, instead of using this term as an umbrella concept. Thus, it cannot be excluded that for separate dimensions of well-being, the obtained effect may differ substantially. Third, 92% of reviewed studies were cross-sectional and adopted only elementary statistical analysis with control for relatively scarce covariates. As such, more longitudinal studies are critical for understanding HIV/AIDS stigma mechanisms, with more advanced models that include its potential mediators and moderators. Thus, future research on HIV/AIDS stigma and well-being should be of better quality and go beyond the basic description of the relationship into more explanatory models.
Finally, the vast majority of reviewed studies came from the USA region, which reflects the dominance of the USA studies in HIV/AIDS stigma research. This latter fact calls for the need for more research on stigma in other geographical regions, representing culturally versatile samples of PLWH in the future.

6. Conclusions

The present meta-analysis and systematic review not only indicate an expected negative relationship between stigma and well-being but also reveal a substantial heterogeneity between studies that suggests a strong role of context of a given study. This context may include not only fundamental characteristics of the participants (e.g., being a minority) but also differences in structural stigma at both local and national levels (Hatzenbuehler, 2016). Thus, future study designs require more advanced theoretical and analytical models to identify protective and vulnerability factors to improve the ability to address them in clinical practice and interventions for PLWH.
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