Lowering the Recommended Maximal Wall Thickness Threshold Improves Diagnostic Sensitivity in Asians With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is defined as left ventricular end-diastolic maximal wall thickness (WTMax) $\geq$15.0 mm, without accounting for ethnicity, sex, and body size. It is well-established that Asians have smaller hearts than do Caucasians.

OBJECTIVES This study aims to examine the implications of this single absolute WTMax threshold on the diagnosis of HCM in Asians.

METHODS The study consisted of 360 healthy volunteers (male: n = 174; age: 50 ± 12 years) and 114 genetically characterized patients with HCM (male: n = 83; age: 52 ± 13 years; genotype-positive, n = 39). All participants underwent cardiovascular magnetic resonance. WTMax was measured semiautomatically at end-diastole according to the standard 16 myocardial segments.

RESULTS Healthy male volunteers had increased WTMax compared with that of female volunteers (8.4 ± 1.2 mm vs 6.6 ± 1.1 mm, respectively; $P < 0.001$). Conversely, WTMax was similar between male and female patients with HCM (15.2 ± 3.4 mm vs 14.7 ± 3.0 mm, respectively; $P = 0.484$) and between those with and without a pathogenic gene variant ($P = 0.828$). Using the recommended diagnostic threshold of 15.0 mm, 56 patients with HCM had WTMax $<15.0$ mm and no healthy volunteers had WTMax $>15.0$ mm (specificity of 100% and sensitivity of 51%). Lowering WTMax thresholds to 10.0 mm in female patients and 12.0 mm in male patients did not affect specificity (100%) but significantly improved sensitivity (84%). Despite lower left ventricular mass, female patients with HCM demonstrated more features of adverse cardiac remodeling than did male patients: increased myocardial fibrosis, higher asymmetric ratio, and disproportionally worse myocardial strain.

CONCLUSIONS The study highlights cautious application of guideline-recommended WTMax to diagnose HCM in Asians. Lowering WTMax to account for ethnicity and sex improves diagnostic sensitivity without compromising specificity. (JACC: Asia 2021;1:218–226) © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is an important cause of arrhythmias, heart failure, and strokes (1). Accurate measurement of wall thickness is crucial in establishing diagnosis and assessing prognosis (2-4). The current diagnosis of wall thickness is crucial in establishing diagnosis (WTMax) of HCM in adults sided require lower WTMax thresholds than the published HCM cohort. We hypothesize that Asians with HCM contemporary guidelines (3,4) were prospectively included in this analysis.

Those without cardiovascular risk factors were and cardiovascular risk in the general population. Heart Centre Singapore Biobank to examine health age) were prospectively recruited in the National recruited from the Cardiomyopathy Clinic at the National between June 1, 2014, and June 30, 2019. These patients were referred for diagnosis, risk stratification, and management of HCM. Because the study aimed to examine WTMax in Asians with HCM, using the recommended threshold of ≥15.0 mm will introduce a selection bias. Instead, this study defined HCM as nondilated left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) on cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) according to indexed LV mass and volumes established in Asians (2-) that was not explained by another cardiac or systemic disease (such as hypertension and other cardiomyopathies). This was according to the clinical definition reported in the 2011 and 2020 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (3,8). Furthermore, the study included only probands, and family members identified by screening and pedigree studies were excluded.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Singhealth Centralised Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all individuals.

**CMR PROTOCOL AND IMAGE ANALYSIS.** Cardiovascular phenotyping was performed in all participants (Siemens MAGNETOM Aera 1.5-T). Balanced steady-state free precession cines were acquired in the standard long-axis (2-, 3- and 4-chamber) and short-axis views, extending from the base to the apex (8-mm thick and 2-mm gap; 30 phases per cardiac cycle). In patients with HCM, replacement myocardial fibrosis was assessed using late gadolinium enhanced imaging (Gadovist, Bayer Pharma AG) based on the inversion-recovery fast gradient echo sequence. Myocardial T1 mapping (Modified Look-Locker Inversion-recovery sequence) was used to assess for diffuse myocardial fibrosis. Extracellular volume fraction was estimated from the native and 15-minute postcontrast T1 maps (T1 mapping module: CVI42 [Circle Cardiovascular Imaging]) that included regions of nonischemic fibrosis.

LV measures of geometry and function were analyzed using CVI42 at our NHRI S CMR Core Laboratory, according to standardized protocols as published previously (7,9,10). Specifically, WTMax was measured at end-diastole according to the standard 16 myocardial segments (Figure 1). Asymmetric LV wall thickness ratio was defined as the ratio of the thickest myocardial segment compared with the opposing segment (9). All patients and healthy volunteers with abnormal CMR findings that would confound wall thickness assessment were excluded: other cardiomyopathies and regional/global myocardial thinning caused by myocardial infarction, significant valvular regurgitation, and burnt-out HCM.

The distribution of hypertrophy in HCM was assessed qualitatively on the long-axis views based on the following definitions (Figure 1) (11):

- Reversed curvature septum: septum convexes into LV cavity and a crescentic LV cavity
- Neutral septum: straight septum; neither concaves nor convexes into LV cavity
- Sigmoid septum: prominent septal bulge and septum concaves into LV cavity
- Apical hypertrophy: hypertrophy of apical with or without mid-ventricular segments and an “ace of spade” LV cavity
Nonseptal hypertrophy: hypertrophy in segments other than the septum

**TARGETED SEQUENCING OF HCM GENES AND DEFINING PATHOGENIC VARIANTS.** In all patients with HCM, targeted genome sequencing was performed using TruSight Cardio sequencing kit (Illumina) as previously described (12). Libraries were individually indexed, purified, and enriched for genes related to inherited cardiac conditions including HCM. Pooled libraries were sequenced using Illumina MiSeq (v2 kit) or NextSeq 500 (Mid Output v2 kit) benchtop sequencers using paired-end, 150 base pair reads. Raw sequencing data were demultiplexed, trimmed, and mapped to University of California, Santa Cruz GRCh37/hg19 reference genome before variant calling using Genome Analysis Toolkit version 3.5 HaplotypeCaller and UnifiedGenotyper.

Variants of 15 genes (ACTC1, CSRP3, FHL1, GLA, LAMP2, MYBPC3, MYH7, MYL2, MYL3, PLN, PRKAG2, TNNC1, TNNI3, TNNT2, TPM1) that are robustly associated with either HCM or its known genocopies were annotated using CardioClassifier (13,14). The pathogenicity of the variants for each patient was further curated by an expert cardiologist in genetics and cardiomyopathies (S.A.C.), who was blinded to the imaging and other clinical data.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.** Distribution of continuous variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data were presented as mean ± SD or median.
(interquartile range), as appropriate. Groups of continuous data were compared using either the parametric Student’s t-test and 1-way analysis of variance or nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute values and percentage and compared using the Pearson chi-square test. The ability to discriminate between patients with HCM and healthy volunteers and the optimal sensitive/specific WTMax thresholds were derived from the area under the curve (AUC).

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 24, IBM Corp) and GraphPad (version 8, GraphPad Software Inc). A 2-sided P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

MYOCARDIAL WALL THICKNESS IN HEALTHY VOLUNTEERS AND PATIENTS WITH HCM. The study consisted of 360 healthy volunteers (male: n = 174; age: 50 ± 12 years) (Table 1). Male, compared with female, volunteers have increased WTMax (8.4 ± 1.2 vs 6.6 ± 1.1; P < 0.001). A positive correlation was demonstrated between WTMax and body surface area in both male (r = 0.38; P < 0.001) and female (r = 0.30; P < 0.001) volunteers. After accounting for the differences in body sizes, the difference in wall thickness between healthy male and female volunteers was reduced but remained statistically significant (indexed WTMax: 4.6 ± 0.6 mm/m² vs 4.2 ± 0.7 mm/m², respectively; P < 0.001). Age was associated with WTMax in the healthy volunteers, but the effect was small. With every decade increase in age, WTMax increased 0.11 mm in male volunteers (linear regression: WTMax = 0.011 × age + 7.612) and 0.34 mm in female volunteers (WTMax = 0.034 × age + 5.189).

A total of 114 patients with HCM (male: n = 83; age: 52 ± 13 years) were analyzed (Table 2). Patients with HCM and healthy volunteers had similar systolic blood pressures (134 ± 20 mm Hg vs 131 ± 17 mm Hg, respectively; P = 0.108). Pathogenic HCM gene
variants were identified in 39 of 114 patients with HCM. WTMax was similar between those with and without a pathogenic gene variant (WTMax: 15.1 ± 3.4 mm vs 15.0 ± 3.2 mm, respectively; P = 0.828) (Supplemental Figure 1). Reverse curvature (n = 57; 50%) and apical hypertrophy (n = 27; 24%) were the most common patterns in patients with HCM. The remaining patients had neutral (n = 17; 15%), sigmoid (n = 7; 6%) septum or hypertrophy at a nonseptal location (n = 6; 5%).

**IMPLICATIONS OF WTMax THRESHOLDS IN ASIANS WITH HCM.** Female and male patients with HCM had similar WTMax (14.7 ± 3.0 mm vs 15.2 ± 3.4 mm, respectively; P = 0.484) (Table 2). WTMax demonstrated excellent ability to discriminate between healthy volunteers and patients with HCM (AUC: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.98-1.00; P < 0.001) (Figure 2), with similar findings observed in either sex.

Using the recommended diagnostic threshold of 15.0 mm in contemporary guidelines, a total of 56 patients (female: n = 16) had wall thickness <15.0 mm. No healthy volunteers had WTMax >15.0 mm. These findings accounted for a low sensitivity of 51% and negative predictive value (NPV) of 87% but excellent specificity and positive predictive value (PPV) of 100%. Based on the AUC values, the most sensitive and specific sex-specific WTMax values were determined. A WTMax of 10.0 mm in female volunteers and 12.0 mm in male volunteers were associated with a specificity and PPV of 100% and a sensitivity and NPV of 84% and 95%, respectively. Lowering WTMax to 8.0 mm in female volunteers and 9.0 mm in male volunteers was associated with a sensitivity and NPV of 100% and at an expected lower specificity and PPV of 82% and 64%, respectively.
When corrected for their smaller body sizes, female patients with HCM had increased indexed WTMax compared with that of male patients (9.2 ± 1.8 mm/m² vs 8.2 ± 2.0 mm/m², respectively; \( P = 0.018 \)). Like absolute WTMax, indexed WTMax demonstrated excellent discrimination between healthy volunteers and patients with HCM (AUC: 0.98; 95% CI: 0.97-1.00; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 2). Incidentally, the most sensitive and specific indexed WTMax values derived from the AUC curves were the same for either sex. An indexed WTMax of 6.5 mm/m² was associated with a specificity and PPV of 100% (sensitivity and NPV of 84% and 95%, respectively), and an indexed WTMax of 4.5 mm/m² was associated with a sensitivity and NPV of 100% (specificity and PPV of 62% and 46%, respectively). Similar thresholds were observed when patients with apical hypertrophy were excluded (indexed WTMax values of 6.4 mm/m² and 4.5 mm/m² were associated with 100% specificity and sensitivity, respectively) and when analysis was stratified by genotypic status.

Despite lower LV mass and concentricity, female patients with HCM had increased measures of diffuse myocardial fibrosis (native T1 and extracellular volume fraction) and similar proportions of nonischemic replacement myocardial fibrosis compared with male patients with HCM. Similarly, asymmetric ratio in WTMax segments was significantly higher in female compared with male patients (2.47 ± 1.02 vs 1.78 ± 2.00).
Multidirectional strain was significantly higher in healthy female compared with male volunteers ($P < 0.001$ for all) but was similar in female and male patients with HCM, suggesting a relative worse strain in female compared with male patients with HCM (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

We have examined WT$_{\text{Max}}$ in Asian volunteers and patients with HCM. Sex-specific WT$_{\text{Max}}$ of 12.0 mm in male subjects and 10.0 mm in female subjects (or indexed WT$_{\text{Max}}$ of 6.5 mm/m$^2$ in either sex) discriminate between healthy volunteers and Asians with HCM with 100% specificity. Lower WT$_{\text{Max}}$ of 9.0 mm in male subjects and 8.0 mm in female subjects (or indexed WT$_{\text{Max}}$ of 4.5 mm/m$^2$ in either sex) is associated with 100% sensitivity (Central Illustration).

Despite smaller LV mass, female patients with HCM have increased indexed WT$_{\text{Max}}$, elevated CMR markers of diffuse myocardial fibrosis, increased asymmetric ratio (a potential adverse prognostic marker), and disproportionately worse LV strain compared to male patients with HCM.

The study has shown that absolute WT$_{\text{Max}}$ in Asians is about 2.0 mm less than that reported in Caucasians (male: $8.4 \pm 1.2$ mm vs $10.6 \pm 1.9$ mm, respectively; female: $6.6 \pm 1.1$ mm vs $8.6 \pm 1.6$ mm, respectively) (15). Based on this, the 15.0-mm diagnostic threshold is $>5$ SDs above the WT$_{\text{Max}}$ in Asians compared with $\sim 2$ to 4 SDs in Caucasians. Therefore, it is perhaps not surprising that the current recommended wall thickness thresholds that were established in Caucasians can be applied in Asians with very high specificity. However, this threshold will “miss” about a half of Asians with HCM as demonstrated in this study. Our study has important clinical implications. Asians and female patients are at risk of delayed (or under-) diagnosis because their smaller hearts may not satisfy the WT$_{\text{Max}}$ criteria until more advanced stages of disease. This may partly explain why female patients present with more adverse cardiac remodeling (also demonstrated in our study) and experience worse outcomes than male patients do, and Asians with HCM have higher rates of sudden cardiac deaths than Western populations do (<2% vs 1%-2%) (16-18).

In this study, we have presented data using both absolute sex-specific WT$_{\text{Max}}$ and indexed WT$_{\text{Max}}$ thresholds. Indexed WT$_{\text{Max}}$ threshold, although less frequently described, accounts for the important differences in body surface area. Of note, the value of 6.5 mm/m$^2$ in our study is very similar to thresholds
demonstrated in a recent study conducted in the Netherlands: 6.5 mm/m² for male and 6.7 mm/m² for female participants (19). Whether a single indexed WTMax can be applied to different ethnicities, sexes, and body sizes requires further investigations. Increasing evidence suggesting the recommendation of a single absolute WTMax of 15.0 mm to diagnose HCM should be re-examined (5,16). Because patients with WTMax lower than thresholds using either sex-specific or indexed WTMax have less severe disease (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2), it is reasonable to consider serial CMR to monitor disease progression in a patient referred for suspected HCM and WTMax in the “gray zone” between 4.5 and 6.5 mm/m² (males: 9.0-12.0 mm; females: 8.0-10.0 mm). In these patients with WTMax in the gray zone, the pattern of hypertrophy, clinical history, and/or genetic testing may also be helpful.

The heterogeneous phenotypic expression of HCM is contributed in part by differences in sex, ethnicity, and body size. Female persons have smaller heart sizes and LV mass than male persons do. In the general population, female persons develop more concentric remodeling and demonstrate elevated measures of myocardial fibrosis than do male persons [20]. Of note, the regions of increased concentricity in female subjects correspond to regions of increased wall stress [20]. Despite a lower LV mass, female patients with HCM demonstrate adverse features of cardiac remodeling compared to male patients with HCM: increased asymmetric ratio (a potential adverse prognostic marker [9]), elevated CMR measures of diffuse myocardial fibrosis, and relative worse strain measures. How the smaller heart sizes predispose female patients with HCM to an increased cardiovascular risk warrants further investigation.

**STUDY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS.** The study was conducted using the same imaging and analysis protocols for all patients. Furthermore, all patients with HCM underwent genome sequencing. In this first Asian study to examine implications of diagnostic thresholds, an irrefutable diagnosis of HCM was essential. We had excluded other causes of LVH in the HCM cohort that could confound study validity. As hypertension is a common cause of LVH in individuals in the older age group, this partly explains the relatively small sample size of younger patients with HCM in the study. We have limited our study to patients with HCM and nondilated LVH on CMR. These thresholds will need to be validated in other Asian cohorts with HCM, including those with segmental myocardial thickening and normal LV mass (presumably with milder disease and lower WTMax). Female persons were underrepresented in the study, and the thresholds will need to be validated in larger Asian cohorts.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Current recommended WTMax threshold of 15.0 mm is highly specific for the diagnosis of HCM in Asians. Lower thresholds to account for ethnicity, sex, and body size improve sensitivity without affecting specificity and should be considered to improve diagnostic accuracy in Asians.
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**PERSPECTIVES**

**COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE:** It is well-established Asians have smaller hearts than do Caucasians, even after accounting for the smaller body surface areas. This study demonstrates the potential challenge of using a single absolute WTMax value in diagnosing Asians with HCM.

**TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK:** The thresholds examined in the study should be validated in larger and more diverse Asian cohorts of patients with HCM.
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