Incidental Findings on Knee Radiographs in Children and Adolescents
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Background: Despite the wide use of knee radiography in children and adolescent patients visiting the outpatient clinic, there has been no analysis about the prevalence and type of incidental findings yet. This study was performed to investigate the incidental findings on knee radiographs in children and adolescents according to age.

Methods: A total of 1,562 consecutive patients younger than 18 years of age were included. They who visited Seoul National University Bundang Hospital’s outpatient clinic with a chief complaint of knee pain or malalignment between 2010 and 2011. We reviewed the knee radiographs and analyzed the prevalence and type of incidental findings, such as metaphyseal lucent area, epiphyseal cortical irregularity, osteochondroma and Harris growth arrest line.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 10.2 years (range, 1 month to 18 years). We identified 355 incidental findings in 335 patients (21.4%) and 98 abnormal findings (6.3%). The most common incidental finding was metaphyseal lucent area (131, 8.4%), followed by epiphyseal cortical irregularity (105, 6.7%), Harris growth arrest line (75, 4.8%), and osteochondroma (44, 2.8%). An epiphyseal cortical irregularity tended to have a higher prevalence at younger age ($p < 0.001$) and the prevalences of metaphyseal lucent area and Harris growth arrest line were also higher at a younger age ($p = 0.001$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively). However, the osteochondroma tended to have a higher prevalence at an older age ($p = 0.004$).

Conclusions: This study describes the incidental findings on knee radiographs in children and adolescents and provides effective information from a viewpoint of an orthopedic doctor. The authors recommend considering those incidental findings if unfamiliar findings appear on a knee radiograph in the pediatric outpatient clinic.
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Many children visit the pediatric orthopedic outpatient clinic because of knee problems such as pain and malalign-ment as one of their most common chief complaints.1,2) The radiography of the knee is widely used by clinicians for the evaluation of knee problems, and abnormal findings such as fracture, osteomyelitis and malignancy can be diagnosed. However, incidental findings unrelated to the patients’ problems may be observed as well. Unlike adults, children have physiologic bowing, open growth plates, and absent or only partial appearance of the ossification center. Thus, there are some difficulties in distinguishing abnor-
mal findings from normal findings in children.

Despite the wide use of knee radiography in child and adolescent patients visiting the outpatient clinic and the awareness of incidental findings of the knee by many researchers,3-13 there has been no analysis about the overall prevalence and the different types of these incidental findings. Therefore, this study was performed to investigate the prevalence and distribution of incidental findings from knee radiographs in children and adolescents according to age.

Methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, which is a tertiary referral hospital. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) consecutive patients under 18 years of age, who visited the pediatric orthopedic outpatient clinic between 2010 and 2011, (2) patients with a chief complaint of knee pain or deformity, and (3) patients who got knee anteroposterior (AP) and lateral radiographs. Patients with inadequate knee radiographs for review were excluded from this study. All medical records and knee AP radiographic examinations were retrospectively reviewed and follow-up radiographs were analyzed for patients who underwent additional radiography. If needed, lateral radiographs were checked as well.

Consensus Building

The selection of incidental findings was based on a review of the literature following a PubMed (http://pubmed.gov) search. Following terms were used for the literature search on the PubMed database: (“incidental finding” [All Field] AND “knee” [All Filed]) OR (“bone tumor” [All Field] AND “knee” [All Field]) AND (“children” [All Field] OR “adolescent” [All Field]) OR (“physiologic finding” [All Field] AND “children” [All Field] OR “bone” [All Field]). One of the orthopedic surgeons (SGS) reviewed the abstracts and articles and the most commonly referred incidental findings were pooled for consensus building. In total, 818 literatures were searched and of these, 45 literatures were thought to be relevant for this study. Redundant terms for incidental findings were eliminated, leaving 8 for candidacy. Relevant literatures regarding these terms were searched and reviewed for consensus building.

A consensus building session was held by five orthopedic surgeons (MSP, KML, KHS, SLY, and SGS) with 13, 11, 9, 8, and 4 years of orthopedic experience to select the incidental findings of knee radiographs in children and adolescents. Standardization was performed for terms for incidental findings and 6 incidental findings were finally selected and defined. Each finding was divided into three categories: incidental finding, normal finding and abnormal finding. Normal findings were defined as the absence of any abnormal findings on knee radiographs. Also the physiologic malalignment without pathologic finding on the knee radiograph, such as physiologic genu varum and genu valgum was defined as normal finding.

Incidental findings were defined as previously undiagnosed medical conditions that were discovered unintentionally and were unrelated to the current medical condition.14 Also radiographs with incidental findings of patients referred from local clinics were defined as incidental findings. We included the metaphyseal lucent area, epiphyseal cortical irregularity, osteochondroma and also a Harris growth arrest line in the category of incidental findings (Table 1). The metaphyseal lucent area was divided into cortical fibrous defect, non-ossifying fibroma and bilateral metaphyseal lucency. A non-ossifying fibroma was defined as an enlarged benign cortical defect occurring in the long bone of the lower extremity (Fig. 1A).15,16 A cortical fibrous defect was defined as well-defined, intracorti-

| Table 1. Description of Incidental Findings |
|--------------------------------------------|
| **Type**                      | **Sub-type**           | **Description**                               |
| Metaphyseal lucent area | Cortical fibrous defect | Well-defined, intracortical, round or oval radiolucency with sclerotic margins in metaphyseal long bones. |
| Non-ossifying fibroma | | Enlarged benign cortical defect occurring in long bones of the lower extremities. |
| Bilateral metaphyseal lucency | | Metaphyseal lucent area in both lower extremities. |
| Epiphyseal cortical irregularity | | Fragmented appearance on the medial side of the epiphysis in the distal femur. |
| Osteochondroma | | Benign tumor, overgrowth of cartilage and bone near the end of the bone and near the growth plate. |
| Harris growth arrest line | | Lines of increased bone density representing the position of the growth plate. |
Abnormal findings were defined as findings directly related to the patient’s symptoms and diagnosis, subsequently needing medical or surgical treatment. These included fractures, osteochondral lesions, tumors, infections, metabolic disorders and skeletal dysplasia. Additional studies such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) were performed to confirm the diagnosis if it was uncertain by using X-ray only.

**Interobserver Reliability and Review of Radiographs**

After building of a consensus, three orthopedic surgeons (KHS, TGK, and SGS), with 8, 7, and 4 years of orthopedic experience assessed the interobserver reliability of radiographs.
graphic findings. Prior sample size estimation by precision analysis indicated an assessment of 36 knee radiographs as a minimum. Three examiners independently assessed the radiographic findings without knowledge of the patients’ clinical information and the other examiners’ measurements. All measurements were collected by a research assistant (HMK), who did not otherwise participate in the study.

All radiographic studies were assessed by two examiners (SGS and KHS) with 4 and 8 years of orthopedic experience. AP radiographs of both knees in standing or supine positions were reviewed to search for incidental findings. If there was a controversy, 3 authors (SGS, KHS, and MSP) had a consensus process until agreement was reached. Each radiograph was classified into incidental finding, normal finding or abnormal finding related to the diagnosis and the type of abnormal findings were documented. Periodic radiographs and patients’ medical records were followed for radiographs with incidental findings.

**Statistical Analysis**

Prior precision analysis was performed to identify the minimal sample size required for reliability testing. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were used for reliability testing at a target value of 0.80 and a 95% confidence interval (CI) width of 0.2 for three observers. The minimal sample size needed was 36 by Bonett’s approximation. An ICC value of 1 indicated perfect reliability and an ICC greater than 0.8 indicated excellent reliability.

Descriptive statistics were used to provide demographic data and radiographic findings. Chi-square tests were performed to compare the prevalence of findings by age. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and the level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

A total of 1,572 patients were reviewed with knee radiographs between 2010 and 2011. Ten patients were excluded due to inadequate knee radiographs. Thus, a total of 1,562 consecutive patients were enrolled in this study. There were 975 males and 587 females with mean age of 10.2 years (range, 1 month to 18 years) at the time of outpatient clinic visit. Three hundred and seventy-seven patients were under 5 years of age, 445 patients were between 5 and 10 years, and 740 patients were over 10 years old. Of 1,562 patients, 355 incidental findings were identified in 335 patients (21.4%). Abnormal findings were seen in 98 radiographs (6.3%) and normal findings in 1,129 radiographs (72.3%) (Table 2). Radiographic assessments showed an excellent reliability (ICC, 0.811; 95% CI, 0.700 to 0.891) in terms of the interobserver reliability for overall incidental findings.

**Incidental Findings**

Of 355 incidental findings, there were 131 patients (8.4%) with metaphyseal lucent area, 105 patients (6.7%) with epiphyseal cortical irregularity, 75 patients (4.8%) with Harris growth arrest line, and 44 patients (2.8%) with osteochondroma (Table 3).

In patients under 5 years of age we identified 173

![Fig. 4. The Harris growth arrest line is an increased bone density representing the position of the growth plate.](image)

| Age (yr) | Total finding | Incidental | Normal | Abnormal |
|---------|----------------|------------|--------|----------|
| < 5     | 1,562 (1,562)  | 355 (335, 21.4) | 1,129 (1,129, 72.3) | 98 (98, 6.3) |
| 6–10    | 446 (445)      | 97 (96, 21.6) | 326 (326, 73.3) | 23 (23, 5.2) |
| 10–18   | 740 (740)      | 85 (85, 11.5) | 600 (600, 81.1) | 55 (55, 7.4) |

Values are presented as number of findings (patients, %).
incidental findings (40.8%), including 99 cases (26.3%) of epiphyseal cortical irregularity. In patients between 5 and 10 years of age, we found 97 incidental findings (21.6%) and 47 cases (10.6%) with metaphyseal lucent area, while we found 85 incidental findings (11.5%) with 30 cases of osteochondroma (4.1%) in patients over 10 years of age (Table 3).

There was a higher prevalence of epiphyseal cortical irregularity in patients with young age ($p < 0.001$). Also the prevalence of metaphyseal lucent area and Harris growth arrest line was higher in patients with young age ($p = 0.001, p < 0.001$). However, osteochondroma was found to have a higher prevalence in patients with higher age ($p = 0.004$) (Table 3).

### Abnormal Findings Related to the Diagnosis

Ninety-eight abnormal findings (6.3%) were identified in knee radiographs. Findings of tumors were seen in 41 knee radiographs, fractures in 31, osteochondral lesions in 10, skeletal dysplasias in 6, infections in 5 and metabolic disorders in 5.

#### DISCUSSION

In our cohort, we identified incidental findings in knee radiographs of 21% of patients. A metaphyseal lucent area was the most commonly seen incidental finding, followed by epiphyseal cortical irregularity, Harris growth arrest line and osteochondroma. An epiphyseal cortical irregularity, metaphyseal lucent area as well as a Harris growth arrest line was found with higher prevalence in young age while osteochondroma was more prevalent in older age.

Our study has its limitation. The reading of the radiographs may depend on the examiner’s decision although we had several consensus meetings. There have been some studies reported on malignant tumors mimicking benign lesions, such as a parosteal osteosarcoma mimicking an osteochondroma and an osteogenic sarcoma mimicking a non-ossifying fibroma. Therefore, additional studies such as bone scan, MRI or biopsy may be needed to confirm the diagnosis in selected cases with an uncertain diagnosis on radiography.

Knee pain is one of the most common complaints in an orthopedic outpatient clinic and radiography of the knee is the most simple and widely used test in those patients. However, knee radiography findings in children and adolescents have quite different characteristics compared to adults. Those characteristics include the physiologic bowing, open growth plate and an absent or partial appearance of the ossification center. In addition to these normal characteristics, we studied incidental findings of the knee in children and adolescents. The incidental findings were unexpectedly high in knee radiography. Understanding the prevalence and results of incidental findings in knee radiography may be helpful in the decision making for pediatric orthopedic patients. Also age is a very important factor in the clinical history of a patient with knee problems as certain benign tumors are age dependent.

To date, there has been no study investigating on the incidence of epiphyseal cortical irregularity in children. In our study, an epiphyseal cortical irregularity occurred in 105 out of 1,562 patients. A cortical irregularity was observed with a much higher proportion than in other age groups in patients under 5 years of age. An epiphyseal cortical irregularity usually appeared in patients under 4 years of age and there was a tendency that it is to be found at an earlier age in girls than in boys. Such findings tended to disappear on follow-up radiographs as the patients grew older. It may be inferred due to the fact that a cortical irregularity is related to a delayed ossification. Therefore, an epiphyseal cortical irregularity is thought to be an incidental finding which needs no further evaluation and treatment. Some infants may show a minimal beaking or fragmentation at the edge of the metaphyses. This finding

### Table 3. Common Incidental Findings According to Age

| Incidental finding          | Total | < 5 (10.9) | 6–10 | 10–18 | $p$-value |
|----------------------------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|
| Metaphyseal lucent area     | 131 (8.4) | 41         | 47   | 43    | 0.001     |
| Epiphyseal cortical irregularity | 105 (6.7) | 99         | 6    | 0     | <0.001    |
| Harris growth arrest line   | 75 (4.8)  | 32         | 31   | 12    | <0.001    |
| Osteochondroma              | 44 (2.8)  | 1          | 13   | 30    | 0.004     |

Values are presented as number (%).
is considered as a normal variation.\textsuperscript{12)} It appears as spurs, discrete linear mineralized sections at the periphery of the physis or as a beak on an osseous projection.\textsuperscript{25)}

The metaphyseal lucent area is classified among non-ossifying fibromas, cortical desmoids and bicortical multiple lucencies.\textsuperscript{11)} A cortical desmoid is known as a benign, fibrous or fibro-osseous lesion\textsuperscript{9,10)} and has been reported to most commonly occur between the age of 10 and 15 years.\textsuperscript{7,8)} Its prevalence is reported to be 11.5\% for males and 3.6\% for females.\textsuperscript{6)} However, in our study, the prevalence of cortical desmoid was 9.1\% for males and 7.2\% for females. The prevalence in patients under 5 years of age and between 5 and 10 years of age was higher than in patients over 10 years of age. Bilateral metaphyseal lucency, which symmetrically involves both extremities, is thought to be an incidental finding that needs no further evaluation and treatment. However, further studies such as bone scan and MRI can be performed if needed in case of cortical desmoid and non-ossifying fibroma to rule out malignancy.

The Harris growth arrest line has been well described in the literature and is classically described as radiographic dense lines found in long bones.\textsuperscript{16,18,26)} Major causes for physeal injury and temporary growth arrest are a systemic illness, nutritional deficit, physeal injury and a fracture in the growing period.\textsuperscript{16,18,23,27,28)} A previous study reported that the Harris line was found in 7\% of boys and 3\% of girls.\textsuperscript{29)} Another study showed the highest frequency of Harris line in boys between 1 and 4 years of age, with another peak at 5 years, while the highest frequency of the lines in girls was observed between 1 and 3.5 years of age.\textsuperscript{30)} Our results showed an overall prevalence of Harris growth arrest line with 4.8\% for both gender. It was more common in younger patients. This is because Harris growth arrest line is obvious around the growth plate. At higher age, we found it far from the growth plate and tapered. Considering our hospital as a tertiary institution, the prevalence of Harris growth arrest line may have had a higher appearance than in the general population.

Osteochondroma is the most common benign bony lesion. It usually appears as a single lesion, but it can also be part of osteochondromatosis (multiple hereditary exostoses).\textsuperscript{16,17)} A previous study reported the frequency of a single osteochondroma was 2\% as an incidental finding during the course of a radiographic examination for other lesions.\textsuperscript{9)} In our study the prevalence was 2.8\%, (by gender 2.7\% for boys and 3.1\% for girls) which is in accordance with the results of previous studies. Osteochondroma tended to be more prevalent in patients over 10 years of age.

In summary, we were able to demonstrate the prevalence of different types of incidental findings in knee radiographs of children and adolescents, such as metaphyseal lucent area, epiphyseal cortical irregularity, Harris growth arrest line and osteochondroma. As a result, the authors recommend to consider those incidental findings if unfamiliar findings appear in knee radiographs of children and adolescents.
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