Sphingomyelin homeostasis is required to form functional enzymatic domains at the trans-Golgi network
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Introduction

Newly synthesized proteins are core glycosylated in the ER after which the sugar chains are trimmed and modified at the Golgi complex. This process takes place in a spatially and timely regulated manner, as trimming of the core glycosylations by mannosidases in the cis- and medial-Golgi cisternae is a requirement for complex glycosylation in later Golgi compartments (Stanley, 2011). What is the role of membrane organization in the coordination of the glycosylation process at the Golgi membranes? We previously reported that treatment of cells with short-chain ceramide causes a replacement of endogenous sphingomyelin (SM) with short-chain SM (C6-SM) at the Golgi complex (Duran et al., 2012). Short-chain SM does not possess the ability to form liquid-ordered domains, and thus, the lateral organization of the Golgi membranes is disrupted (Duran et al., 2012). Disruption of the lipid order by short-chain ceramide treatment blocks Golgi membrane fission and generation of transport carriers but not the fusion of incoming carriers to the Golgi membranes (Duran et al., 2012). SM has been proposed to form lipid domains together with cholesterol in cellular membranes (Simons and van Meer, 1988; Kusumi et al., 2004; Goswami et al., 2008; Brameshuber et al., 2010; Maxfield and van Meer, 2010; Simons and Gerl, 2010; Sezgin and Schwille, 2011; Simons and Sampaio, 2011; Surma et al., 2011). One reasonable hypothesis is that SM levels, by regulating the lateral order of the Golgi membranes (Gkantiragas et al., 2001; Klemm et al., 2009; Bankaitis et al., 2012), control transport carrier formation by recruiting various proteins at a specific budding site. To test this hypothesis, we asked whether a relatively simpler reaction by which a Golgi-specific glycosylation enzyme glycosylates its substrates is dependent on SM homeostasis. We now show that disruption of SM homeostasis by using short-chain ceramide affects the organization of the TGN in such a way that the enzyme sialyltransferase (ST) fails to interact with its substrate and thus creates a glycosylation defect.

Results and discussion

SM is generated by the SM synthase (SMS) enzymes, which convert ceramide and phosphatidylcholine to SM and diacylglycerol, respectively. SMS1 localizes to the trans-Golgi membranes,
whereas SMS2 is found predominantly at the cell surface (Huitema et al., 2004). In addition, an ER-localized, SMS-related protein has been identified, which could also affect SM homeostasis at the Golgi complex (Vacaru et al., 2009). An RNAi-based approach to study the role of SM in Golgi membrane organization is unfavorable, as it requires several days of knockdown and will not lead to depletion of the previously assembled pools of SM in the membranes. To investigate the role SM plays in controlling Golgi membrane functions, we perturb SM homeostasis by treating cells with ω-ceramide-C6 (ω-cer-C6; Rosenwald and Pagano, 1993; Duran et al., 2012). This treatment does not affect the overall levels of SM but produces a pool of short-chain SM that accounts for >20% of the total SM in the Golgi membranes (Duran et al., 2012). We have therefore used this approach to test the requirement of SM in the organization and function of transmembrane proteins in the Golgi complex.

**Treatment with ω-cer-C6 alters the organization of Golgi membranes**

As reported previously, perturbation of SM levels by treating cells with 20 µM ω-cer-C6 blocks transport carrier biogenesis and protein transport at the Golgi complex (Duran et al., 2012). To test whether SM organization also plays a role in the organization of Golgi proteins, HeLa cells expressing the Golgi marker mannosidase II–GFP were treated for 4 h with ω-cer-C6, its nonmetabolizable stereoisomer l-ceramide-C6 (l-cer-C6), or carrier as a control, and the localization of mannosidase II–GFP and the Golgi protein GRASP65 (Barr et al., 1998) was investigated by immunofluorescence microscopy. In control and l-cer-C6–treated cells, mannosidase II–GFP and GRASP65 colocalize in the perinuclear area (Fig. 1 A); however, in ω-cer-C6–treated cells, these proteins are separated from each other (Fig. 1, A and D). Under the same experimental conditions, we investigated the localization of p230 and TGN46, two proteins of the TGN. In both control and l-cer-C6–treated cells, these two proteins show a high degree of colocalization, whereas in ω-cer-C6–treated cells, the distribution of these two proteins is disrupted (Fig. 1, B and D). Similar results were obtained when the localization of the TGN marker ST-GFP and TGN46 was investigated (Fig. 1, C and D). It was previously shown that complex sphingolipid biosynthesis is required for the retention of a Golgi-resident mannosyltransferase in yeast (Wood et al., 2012). Our findings show that the localization of Golgi-specific proteins to the respective cisternae is perturbed upon affecting the levels of SM in mammalian cells.

We tested the effects of ω-cer-C6 on the Golgi membrane morphology by visualizing the ultrastructure of the cells by electron microscopy. The Golgi stacks appeared to be composed of curled, concentric cisternae upon treatment with ω-cer-C6 compared with the flat cisternae in carrier (ethanol) or l-cer-C6–treated cells (Fig. 2 A). We confirmed that these curled membranes contain the TGN marker ST-GFP by cryoimmunoelectron microscopy (Fig. 2 B).

**Metabolism of ω-cer-C6 into C6-SM by SMS1 and SMS2 leads to disorganization of Golgi membranes**

We reported previously that upon treatment of cells with ω-cer-C6, C6-SM is generated by SMS1 and SMS2, which replaces endogenous SM and ultimately leads to inhibition of protein exit from the Golgi complex (Duran et al., 2012). To test whether formation of C6-SM from ω-cer-C6 plays a role in the observed effects in Golgi compartmentalization (Fig. 1), SMS1 and SMS2 were knocked down in HeLa cells by siRNA. Measurement of the knockdown efficiency by RT-PCR showed a reduction in SMS1 and SMS2 mRNA levels by 65 and 50%, respectively, compared with control siRNA–transfected cells (Fig. 3 A). HeLa cells transfected with control or SMS1 and SMS2 siRNA were treated with ethanol, 20 µM l-cer-C6, or 20 µM ω-cer-C6 for 4 h, and the localization of the two TGN proteins p230 and TGN46 was assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy. In control siRNA–transfected cells, after ω-cer-C6 treatment, we observed an altered TGN morphology and segregation of both proteins within the TGN as compared with both ethanol- or l-cer-C6–treated cells (Fig. 3, B [top] and C). However, the change in the location of these proteins with respect to each other upon ω-cer-C6 treatment was inhibited in cells in which SMS1 and SMS2 were knocked down (Fig. 3, B [bottom] and C). Collectively, these results indicate that the observed effects on the compartmentalization and morphology of the different Golgi cisternae after ω-cer-C6 treatment are caused by the conversion of this lipid to C6-SM. In addition, we previously reported results on the lipid profile of Golgi membranes from cells treated with ω-cer-C6 showed that the formation of the C6-SM occurred at the expense of endogenous long-chain SM, whereas the total levels of SM remained constant (Duran et al., 2012). Our results hence show the importance of regulated levels of SM for the lateral organization of Golgi proteins.

**Altered SM levels affect protein glycosylation**

As the localization of Golgi glycosylation enzymes is affected by treatment with ω-cer-C6 (Fig. 1), we investigated whether protein glycosylation was also affected. TGN46 is a transmembrane protein that is localized to the TGN and cycles between the TGN and the plasma membrane (Banting and Ponnambalam, 1997). The core protein of TGN46 has a molecular mass of 46 kD, but as a result of various glycosylations occurring at the ER and the Golgi complex, the mature protein has an apparent molecular mass of ~110 kD (Prescott et al., 1997). HeLa cells were treated with increasing concentrations of ω-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which the apparent size of TGN46 was examined by Western blotting. Whereas in cells treated with ethanol or l-cer-C6, TGN46 mainly appears as a fully processed 110-kD band, a smaller form of ~80 kD becomes the main form upon treatments with increasing concentrations of ω-cer-C6, indicating an incomplete processing of the protein (Fig. 4 A). This 80-kD form of TGN46 corresponds to a newly synthesized pool because this band was not evident in cells
treated with d-cer-C6 in the presence of cycloheximide to stop new protein synthesis (Fig. S1).

TGN46 is predominantly localized to the TGN, the compartment where glycoproteins are sialylated by the enzyme ST (Stanley, 2011). We tested whether the decrease in molecular mass observed in cells treated with d-cer-C6 was caused by a defect in sialylation of TGN46. Lysates from control and d-cer-C6–treated cells were digested with neuraminidase, an enzyme that removes sialic acid, and TGN46 was analyzed by Western blotting. In control cells, neuraminidase treatment caused a reduction in the apparent molecular mass of TGN46, indicating that fully processed TGN46 is sialylated (Fig. 4 B, left, first and second lanes). However, the 80-kD immature TGN46 present in d-cer-C6–treated cells was not sensitive to neuraminidase digestion (Fig. 4 B, left, third and fourth lanes). These results suggest that treatment with
d-cer-C6 leads to defects in TGN46 glycosylation, in particular in sialylation. Because d-cer-C6 treatment leads to defects in transport (Duran et al., 2012), a possible explanation for the defect in sialylation is that TGN46 is arrested in the cis-Golgi cisternae before it reaches the trans-Golgi cisternae where it is normally sialylated. To test whether the 80-kD nonsialylated form of TGN46 detected in d-cer-C6–treated cells could be transported through the entire Golgi stack and reach the cell surface, HeLa cells were treated with ethanol or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which the cells were biotinylated. After precipitation of biotinylated proteins, the size of the cell surface and total TGN46 were examined by Western blotting. Whereas in control cells neuraminidase-sensitive TGN46 appears as a band of 110 kD in the cell surface fractions (Fig. 4 B, right, first and second lanes), in d-cer-C6–treated cells the neuraminidase-insensitive 80-kD band is also detected (Fig. 4 B, right, third and fourth lanes). Presence of the 80-kD band in the cell surface fraction is not caused by cell lysis, as β-actin was not detected in the biotinylated fraction (Fig. 4 B). These results indicate that a small fraction of the smaller form can be transported from the Golgi complex to the cell surface because 20 µM d-cer-C6 treatment does not block its transport completely.

To test whether d-cer-C6 treatment causes a general defect in TGN46 glycosylation, lysates from control or d-cer-C6–treated cells were digested with a commercial mix of different deglycosylation enzymes to remove most N-linked and simple O-linked glycosylations, treated with neuraminidase alone to only remove sialylations, or untreated, and TGN46 was analyzed by Western blotting. In control cells, both deglycosylation treatments showed a reduction in the apparent molecular mass of TGN46, indicating that fully detected...
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4 h, after which cells were lysed, and the lysates were Western blotted against TGN46 to investigate its glycosylation state and β-actin as a loading control (Fig. 4 D). In control-transfected cells that were treated with ethanol or l-cer-C6, the fully processed 110-kD band was the prominent form of TGN46, ~70% of the total TGN46, whereas in cells that were treated with d-cer-C6, <40% of the total pool of TGN46 was fully glycosylated (Fig. 4, D and E). However, in SMS1 + SMS2 knockdown cells, the d-cer-C6–mediated block in glycosylation was alleviated, as in these cells ~60% of TGN46 was fully glycosylated after d-cer-C6 treatment (Fig. 4, D and E). This indicates that conversion of d-cer-C6 to C6-SM leads to a defect in glycosylation.

processed TGN46 is not only sialylated but contains other glycosylations (Fig. 4 C, first through third lanes). However, although the 80-kD immature TGN46 present in d-cer-C6–treated cells was insensitive to neuraminidase digestion, it was sensitive to the deglycosylation mix (Fig. 4 C, fourth through sixth lanes). These results show that d-cer-C6 treatment does not cause a complete glycosylation defect of TGN46.

To test whether formation of C6-SM from d-cer-C6 is responsible for the observed defects in protein sialylation at the TGN, we treated both control and SMS1 + SMS2 double knockdown cells with ethanol, 20 µM l-cer-C6, or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which cells were lysed, and the lysates were Western blotted against TGN46 to investigate its glycosylation state and β-actin as a loading control (Fig. 4 D). In control-transfected cells that were treated with ethanol or l-cer-C6, the fully processed 110-kD band was the prominent form of TGN46, ~70% of the total TGN46, whereas in cells that were treated with d-cer-C6, <40% of the total pool of TGN46 was fully glycosylated (Fig. 4, D and E). However, in SMS1 + SMS2 knockdown cells, the d-cer-C6–mediated block in glycosylation was alleviated, as in these cells ~60% of TGN46 was fully glycosylated after d-cer-C6 treatment (Fig. 4, D and E). This indicates that conversion of d-cer-C6 to C6-SM leads to a defect in glycosylation.
FKBP–rapamycin complex gains a high affinity for the FKBP–rapamycin-binding (FRB) domain of the FKBP–rapamycin-associated protein (Brown et al., 1994; Sabatini et al., 1994). We generated a construct by inserting an FRB domain in the lumenal side of C-terminally GFP-tagged TGN46 (TGN46-FRB-GFP) and the parallel construct by inserting FKBP in the lumenal side of the Golgi localization domain of ST with a C-terminal RFP tag (ST-FKBP-RFP; Fig. 5A). When expressed in HeLa cells, both constructs localize to the trans-Golgi cisternae/TGN as observed by fluorescence microscopy, confirming that the added domains do not apparently alter the localization of these proteins (Fig. S2A). Moreover, TGN46-FRB-GFP localization overlaps with endogenous TGN46 (Fig. S2B). In addition, TGN46-FRB-GFP localized to numerous punctae, which are in fact transport carriers of the TGN to the cell surface (CARTS) because they colocalize with the CARTS-specific cargo mRFP–pancreatic adenocarcinoma up-regulated factor (PAUF; Fig. S2C; Wakana et al., 2012) but not with altered SM levels.

### Figure 4. d-cer-C6 treatment affects TGN46 glycosylation.

(A) HeLa cells were treated with ethanol, the indicated concentrations of d-cer-C6, or 20 µM l-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which the cells were lysed, and the lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using an anti-TGN46 antibody. A 110-kD band corresponds to the fully processed, fully glycosylated TGN46, whereas smaller bands of ~95, 80, and 75 kD correspond to immature forms of TGN46. (B) HeLa cells were treated with ethanol or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which the cells were biotinylated. After isolation of biotinylated proteins, the biotinylated fractions and cell lysates were treated with neuraminidase (Neur.) or buffer alone and analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies against TGN46 and β-actin. (C) HeLa cells were treated with ethanol or with 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which the cells were lysed. Lysates were treated with a deglycosylation (Deglyc.) mix (second and fifth lanes), treated with neuraminidase (third and sixth lanes), or remained untreated (first and fourth lanes) and analyzed by Western blotting using an anti-TGN46 antibody. (D) HeLa cells transfected with control (CTRL) or SMS1 + SMS2 siRNA for 92 h were treated with ethanol, 20 µM l-cer-C6, or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which cells were lysed, and the lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-TGN46 and anti-β-actin antibodies. (E) Quantitation of the band intensity of mature 110-kD TGN46 (in percentages of total TGN46) for the experiment in D. Bars show the mean values ± SEM of four independent experiments (n = 4). Statistical significance is indicated as **, P < 0.01 or n.s., P > 0.05.

### Altered SM levels cause a physical separation of glycosylation enzymes from their substrates

Our results thus far show that treatment of HeLa cells with d-cer-C6 and its conversion to C6-SM caused in TGN46 a sialylation defect, a late glycosylation step occurring at the trans-Golgi complex and the TGN. However, a fraction of this immature TGN46 was transported to the cell surface (Fig. 4). Interestingly, a GFP chimera of ST (ST-GFP), an enzyme responsible for protein sialylation, was physically separated from TGN46 after d-cer-C6 treatment (Fig. 1C). These results raise the question whether the observed glycosylation defects are caused by a physical segregation of the glycosylating enzymes from their substrates. To test whether TGN46 and ST can be mutually accessible in d-cer-C6-treated cells, we used a rapamycin-mediated trapping assay (Pecot and Malhotra, 2004). Upon binding of the small molecule rapamycin to the FK506-binding protein (FKBP; Wiederrecht et al., 1991), the FKBP–rapamycin complex gains a high affinity for the FKBP–rapamycin-binding (FRB) domain of the FKBP–rapamycin-associated protein (Brown et al., 1994; Sabatini et al., 1994). We generated a construct by inserting an FRB domain in the lumenal side of C-terminally GFP-tagged TGN46 (TGN46-FRB-GFP) and the parallel construct by inserting FKBP in the lumenal side of the Golgi localization domain of ST with a C-terminal RFP tag (ST-FKBP-RFP; Fig. 5A). When expressed in HeLa cells, both constructs localize to the trans-Golgi cisternae/TGN as observed by fluorescence microscopy, confirming that the added domains do not apparently alter the localization of these proteins (Fig. S2A). Moreover, TGN46-FRB-GFP localization overlaps with endogenous TGN46 (Fig. S2B). In addition, TGN46-FRB-GFP localized to numerous punctae, which are in fact transport carriers of the TGN to the cell surface (CARTS) because they colocalize with the CARTS-specific cargo mRFP–pancreatic adenocarcinoma up-regulated factor (PAUF; Fig. S2C; Wakana et al., 2012) but not with altered SM levels.
Figure 5. **d-cer-C6 treatment causes permanent segregation of a Golgi-resident enzyme from its substrate.** (A) Scheme of the trapping procedure using rapamycin (Rap.)-mediated dimerization of FRB and FKBP domains. The domain structure of the chimeric constructs TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP is shown relative to the Golgi membrane. Transmembrane (TM), FRB, and FKBP domains and the N and C termini of the proteins are indicated. (B) HeLa cells

---

**Note:** The figures and data are included in the text to illustrate the effects of d-cer-C6 treatment on Golgi-segregated enzymes.
proteins specific for the ER exit sites, endosomes, or lysosomes (Fig. S2, D–H). HeLa cells expressing TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP were treated with 20 µM t-cer-C6 or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which 500 nM rapamycin or DMSO was added and incubation was continued for 2 h in presence of 100 µM cycloheximide to block protein synthesis. In t-cer-C6–treated cells, treatment with rapamycin increased the level of colocalization of the two proteins compared with DMSO-treated cells, as measured by the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the two channels (Fig. 5, B and C). However, in d-cer-C6–treated cells, rapamycin treatment did not induce a coalescence of the two proteins in the same domains and the Pearson’s correlation coefficient remained unaltered compared with DMSO-treated cells (Fig. 5, B and C). To corroborate that treatment with t-cer-C6 by itself does not alter the trapping capacity of rapamycin, HeLa cells expressing TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP were incubated with 500 nM rapamycin or DMSO for 2 h, after which the incubation was continued for 4 h in the presence of 20 µM t-cer-C6 or 20 µM t-cer-C6 and 100 µM cycloheximide, before fixation for fluorescence microscopy. Pretreatment with rapamycin, but not with DMSO, inhibited the lateral segregation of TGN46-FRB-GFP from ST-FKBP-RFP because these two proteins were present in the same membrane compartments (Fig. 5, D and E). Altogether, these results indicate that TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP are not mutually accessible after d-cer-C6 treatment, suggesting that a physical separation is the cause for the observed sialylation defect in endogenous TGN46.

In summary, our results show that conversion of short-chain ceramide into short-chain SM mediated by SMS segregates Golgi proteins. Specifically, this leads to a physical separation of the enzyme ST from its substrate TGN46 that, as a result, is not sialylated. It is possible that under these conditions, newly synthesized TGN46 arrives in the Golgi complex, is modified by the enzymes of the early Golgi cisternae, and exits the Golgi complex for the cell surface without entering the TGN (Patterson et al., 2008). However, we prefer to propose that SM homeostasis is important for the lipid organization into membrane domains of different thickness commensurate with the length of the protein transmembrane domains (Monro, 1995). When this homeostasis is perturbed with short-chain ceramide treatment, lipid mixing occurs, and the lipid bilayer becomes laterally homogeneous. Because of the resulting changes in lipid bilayer thickness, the membrane cannot optimally accommodate proteins with long transmembrane domains. This, we propose, leads to a physical separation of the enzymes (ST) and the substrates (TGN46) in different domains within the same Golgi cisternae. These findings highlight the significance of lipid homeostasis in protein organization and function at the TGN.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies
N-Hexanoyl-e-erythro-sphingosine (t-cer-C6) and N- Hexanoyl-l-erythro-sphingosine (d-cer-C6) obtained from Matreya were dissolved in pure ethanol (Merck) as stock solution. Cycloheximide was purchased from A.G. Scientific, rapamycin was obtained from EMD Millipore, and both were dissolved in DMSO as stock solutions. Sheep anti-human TGN46 was obtained from AbB Serotec. Goat anti-GRASP65 (C-20) was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Mouse anti-β-actin [clone AC15] was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against GFP was purchased from Abcam. Mouse anti-p230, mouse anti-Sec31a, and mouse anti-EEA1 were obtained from BD. Mouse anti-M6PR (mannose-6 phosphate receptor) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, mouse anti-transferin receptor was purchased from Invitrogen, and mouse anti-LAMP1 was obtained from Stressgen. Alexa Fluor–labeled secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen, and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Protein A–gold was obtained from the Department of Cell Biology at Utrecht University (Utrecht, Netherlands).

Cell culture, RNAi, and plasmids
HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza) containing 10% FCS. Cells were transfected using XtremeGene 9 (Roche) or TransIT-HelaMONSTER (Mirus Bio LLC) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. siRNA transfection was performed using HiPerFect transfection reagent (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The nontargeting control siRNA oligonucleotide sequence was 5′-AAUUGCGUAGCUAAGUAAUGG-3′ (Invitrogen); siRNA oligonucleotides against SMS1 and SMS2 were Silencer Select Predesigned siRNA obtained from Ambion with IDs s48915 and s46644 (catalog no. 4392420), respectively (Durán et al., 2012). ST-FGFP, encoding for the ST6Gal ST, and ST-FKBP-RFP plasmids were cloned from the previously described ST-FKBP-GFP plasmid (Pecot and Malhotra, 2004) into pEGFP-N1 and pcDNA3.1-mCherry vectors, respectively. HeLa cells stably expressing the plasmid encoding the first 100 amino acids of rat mannosidase II in the pEGFP-N1 vector were described previously (Süttler et al., 2005). The TGN46-GFP plasmid, generated by inserting human TGN46 cDNA into a pEGFP-N1 vector using the BamHI restriction site, was provided by S. Ponnambalam (Leeds University, Leeds, England, UK) and used to clone the plasmid encoding TGN46-FRB-GFP, by inserting the FRB domain from pFAda-FRB-GFPkanX6, described in Hanuki et al. (2008) and obtained from EUROCARF (European Saccharomyces Cerevisiae Archive for Functional Analysis), by Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009) with two PCRs using the following primers: 5′-ACGTGAGCCTCTGGAGCAT-3′ and 3′-GGGATCCGAGAAGCTTTGAA-5′ to amplify the FRB domain from the pFAda-FRB-GFPkanX6 vector. The mRFP-PAU plasmid was previously described as a pcDNA3-based vector encoding mRFP to express PAU with C-terminal mRFP (Wakana et al., 2012). SnapGene software (obtained from GSL Biotech) was used for molecular cloning procedures.

RT-PCR
96 h after siRNA transfection, total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit obtained from QIAGEN. The levels of SMS1, SMS2, and GAPDH mRNA were analyzed using cloned AMV First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen) and SYBR GreenStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s recommendations, using 250 ng of total RNA per reaction. Primers used for PCR are the following: SMS1, 5′-ACTGAGAGCTGCCAGACAT-3′ and expressing TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP were treated with 20 µM t-cer-C6 or 20 µM d-cer-C6 for 4 h, after which 100 µM cycloheximide and DMSO or 500 nM rapamycin was added to the culture media for an additional 2 h. Cells were then fixed for fluorescence microscopy. (C) Quantitation of the relative colocalization of TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP in the experiment shown in B, as measured by the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the green and red channels. Bars show the mean values ± SEM of ≥10 cells counted from four independent experiments. (D) HeLa cells expressing TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP were treated with DMSO or 500 nM rapamycin for 2 h, after which 100 µM cycloheximide and 20 µM t-cer-C6 or 20 µM d-cer-C6 were added to the culture media for an additional 4 h. Cells were then fixed, and the localization of TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP was monitored by fluorescence microscopy. (E) Quantitation of the relative colocalization of TGN46-FRB-GFP and ST-FKBP-RFP in the experiment shown in D, as measured by the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the green and red channels. Bars show the mean values ± SEM of ≥10 cells counted from four independent experiments. * , P < 0.01. Bars, 5 µm.
5′-TGCCTACATTGGCTTTCG-3′; SM2, 5′-CAATTCAGCGTCTTCG-3′ and 5′-CCCTTGTAGTTGCTTTCG-3′; and GAPDH, 5′-TGACACCACTGCTAGC-3′ and 5′-GGCATGACTGTCGATGAC-3′.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Samples were fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min, and blocked in 2% BSA in PBS for 30 min before antibody staining. For Sec131 immunofluorescence samples were fixed in methanol for 6 min at −20°C and blocked in 2% BSA in PBS for 30 min at room temperature before antibody staining. Fixed samples were analyzed with a confocal system (TCS SPS II CW STED; Leica) in confocal mode using a 100×, 1.4 NA objective and detectors (HyD; Leica). Alexa Fluor 488–, 555–, 594–, and 647-conjugated secondary antibodies were used. Images were acquired using the Leica software and converted to TIFF files using ImageJ (version 1.43) (National Institutes of Health). Two-channel colocalization analysis was performed using ImageJ, and the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated using the Manders’ coefficients plugin developed at the Wright Cell Imaging Facility (Toronto, Ontario, Canada).

Electron microscopy

For conventional electron microscopy, HeLa cells treated with ethanol, t-cer-C6, or d-cer-C6 were fixed for 2 h with 2% glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.2 M sodium cacodylate containing 0.05% CaCl₂, pH 7.4. The samples were postfixed for 2 h in a 1:1 mixture of 2% aqueous osmium tetroxide and 3% aqueous potassium ferricyanide. The samples were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Epon. Sections were mounted on copper grids and counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

For cryoimmunoelectron microscopy, samples were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. After staining with the buffer, the cells were pelleted by centrifugation, embedded in 10% gelatin, cooled on ice, and cut into 1-μm-thick blocks. The blocks were infused with 2–3 μm at 4°C overnight, stored in liquid nitrogen, and then cut on a cryo-ultramicrotome. Sections were mounted onto Formvar-filmed copper grids, counterstained with uranyl acetate, pH 7.0, then embedded in Epon. Sections were carbon-coated with Pd/C and rotary shadowed with Pt/C (50:50) before examination. For conventional electron microscopy, HeLa cells treated with ethanol, 20 µM ceramide-C6, or 20 µM ceramide-C6–treated cells were biotinylated with 1 mg/ml biotin–NHS–ligand (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS for 30 min on ice. The cells were washed twice with PBS+, and biotin was quenched by incubation in PBS+ for 30 min on ice. After washing twice with PBS+, the cells were lysed with PBS containing 1% NP-40, 0.2% SDS, and protease inhibitors for 10 min on ice. The lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 16,000 g, and the supernatant was incubated with equilibrated NeutrAvidin agarose resin (Thermo Scientific) overnight at 4°C while rotating. The resins were washed four times with PBS containing 2% NP-40 and 0.2% SDS and twice with PBS. The resin was eluted by incubation in denaturing buffer (New England Biolabs, Inc.) for 5 min at 95°C.

Statistical analysis
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