Honey plus coffee versus systemic steroid in the treatment of persistent post-infectious cough: a randomised controlled trial
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Abstract
Background: Persistent post-infectious cough (PPC) is a cough that remains after a common cold or an upper respiratory tract infection for more than three weeks or perhaps for many months. Two of the suggested treatments for PPC are systemic steroid and honey plus coffee.

Aims: The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare scientifically the therapeutic effects of these two regimens.

Methods: A double-blind randomised controlled trial was conducted from 2008 to 2011 at the Baqiyatallah University Hospital, Tehran, Iran. Included in the study were 97 adults who had experienced PPC for more than three weeks. Patients with other causes of chronic cough, systemic disease, or abnormal routine laboratory tests were excluded. The participants were distributed into three groups. A jam-like paste was prepared which consisted of honey plus coffee for the first group (‘HC’), prednisolone for the second group (steroid, ‘S’), and guaifenesin for the third group (control, ‘C’). The participants were told to dissolve a specified amount of their product in warm water and to drink the solution every eight hours for one week. All the participants were evaluated before treatment and one week after completion of treatment to measure the severity of their cough. The main outcome measure was the mean cough frequency before and after one week’s treatment calculated by a validated visual analogue cough questionnaire score.

Results: There were 97 adult patients (55 men) enrolled in this study with a mean age of 40.1 years. The mean (+/- SD) cough scores pre- and post-treatment were: HC group 2.9 (0.3) pre-treatment and 0.2 (0.5) post-treatment (p < 0.001); steroid (‘S’) group 3.0 (0.0) pre-treatment and 2.4 (0.6) post-treatment (p < 0.05); control (‘C’) group 2.8 (0.4) pre-treatment and 2.7 (0.5) post-treatment (p > 0.05). Analysis of variance showed a significant difference between the mean cough frequency before and after treatment in the HC group versus the S group (p < 0.001). Honey plus coffee was found to be the most effective treatment modality for PPC.

Conclusions: A combination of honey and coffee can be used as an alternative medicine in the treatment of PPC.
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Introduction
Cough is a common symptom of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI).¹ Generally, the cough reflex is triggered by mechanical or chemical stimulation of sensory nerve receptors which are mainly present in the pharynx, larynx, trachea, and bronchi.² According to one theory, the main cause of cough is hypersensitivity which can be provoked by many factors.³⁴
Persistent post-infectious cough (PPC) is a cough that remains after a common cold or URTI.1,3 This cough, which is expected to last for only one or two weeks, persists for longer than three weeks or perhaps for many months.4 PPC is reported to account for 11–25% of all cases of chronic cough.5 Patients with PPC complain of a persistent tickling or irritating sensation in the throat which often leads to paroxysms of coughing.4 Even though PPC is not associated with disability and mortality, it can cause morbidity and result in medical costs. Because PPC does not cause severe debilitation and does not interfere with usual living, some people ignore it and do not get referred to clinics. However, most educated people and those with especially meticulous jobs who suffer this condition seek medical help. Such patients are frequently referred to cough clinics and are investigated for other causes of chronic cough. Therefore, PPC can be a troublesome problem for patients and physicians alike.5,9

A specific infectious aetiology of PPC is rarely confirmed.10 Respiratory viruses – particularly respiratory syncytial virus, adenoviruses, parainfluenza, and influenza – have all been implicated.4,6 The pathogenesis of PPC is probably long-lasting and involves widespread pharyngeal mucosal inflammation, desquamation of the epithelial cells, and damage to the nerve endings that leads to hyperactivity of the cough reflex.4,10,11 These can make the pharynx more sensitive to local irritants and can lead to a vicious cycle with more damage to the mucosa.5,12 In addition, PPC is stimulated by cigarette smoke, chemical fumes, aerosol sprays, dust, perfumes, drinking, eating, talking, laughing, and breathing cold air.4

The successful treatment of PPC depends on making an accurate diagnosis. This chronic cough is usually intractable and has no remarkable response to treatment. Currently available treatments for PPC are antihistamines, narcotics, centrally acting antitussive agents such as codeine and dextromethorphan,5,6 inhaled or systemic corticosteroids,10 inhaled ipratropium bromide, bronchodilators, cromoglycate,5,6 and the combination of honey with coffee.1,13

Honey is one of the oldest known medicines and has been regarded as a health-giving substance since ancient times. Its medical use is recorded from around 3000 BC onwards and it is addressed in the Holy Bible and other holy books. Honey has been valued highly in the Middle East and was mentioned as a curative substance for human illnesses in the Holy Quran 1400 years ago. In traditional medicine, honey is used to relieve the signs and symptoms of URTI and respiratory disorders, especially coughing.4,14-17 The World Health Organization (WHO) has cited honey as a potential treatment for cough and other URTI symptoms.14 It also suggests that honey demulcents may soothe the throat.14 Honey can be effective and is therefore recommended to provide some relief from cold symptoms and cough.14

Honey is produced from flower nectar by honey bees.18 It is delicious and has been reported to contain more than 200 substances such as sugars (fructose, glucose, maltose and sucrose), minerals, proteins, some vitamins, organic acids, and antioxidants (phenolic compounds, flavonoids, enzymes, carotenoid-like substances, amino acids, and other phytochemicals).18,20 By its antioxidants, honey can increase cytokine release and has antimicrobial effects.21,22 It can also prevent cellular oxidative damage that leads to ageing, disease, and death.18

Honey has been rediscovered in modern times for the maintenance of health in several diseases,14,21 burns, injuries, and wounds. It has many medicinal properties including its wound healing capacity.23 Honey reduces inflammation, oedema, and stimulates epithelialisation stages, tissue regeneration, and improvement of granulation and debridement;24,25 which, in turn, accelerates tissue repair and leads to wound healing.1,7,26-34 Honey is also recommended in dental hygiene as it has therapeutic properties in the treatment of gingivitis and periodontal disorders.32,35 Because of its sweetness, honey reflexively stimulates saliva secretion and secretion of mucus in the airways.36,37 These effects may be associated with its hyperosmolarity and its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties.14,34,35

On the other hand, caffeine is a naturally occurring alkaloid found in coffee, tea, cola drinks, and cocoa.38 Methylxanthines (such as theophylline and caffeine) are bronchodilator drugs which are believed to stimulate breathing and have been used to prevent apnoea.36,37 Caffeine is also hypoglycaemic and has anti-inflammatory effects.36,37 It is the world’s most commonly consumed psychoactive substance that stimulates the central nervous system.38 Caffeine improves vigilance and psychomotor performance and increases levels of self-reported alertness and decreases levels of self-reported fatigue and sleepiness.

To the best of our knowledge, there has only been one previous report (from our group) on the combination of honey and coffee as a treatment for PPC.13 Considering the great number of people around the world suffering from PPC, this study was carried out to evaluate and compare the therapeutic effects of honey plus coffee with those of systemic steroids in the treatment of patients with PPC.

Methods
Design and setting
A double-blind randomised controlled trial of 97 adult patients (55 men) presenting with PPC was performed during a period of almost three years at the Baqiyatallah University Hospital, Tehran, Iran from 2008 to 2011.

Participants
The patients were assessed in our cough clinic and were registered after completion of a check list of personal data including age, sex, weight, education, occupation, duration of illness, and the presence or absence of any systemic disease. All the participants provided a comprehensive history and underwent a physical examination of the respiratory system, ear, nose, and throat. They were thoroughly examined for any abnormality and also underlying causes of their cough. In addition, routine laboratory tests were carried out and a chest x-ray was taken. Other evaluations such as spirometry, computed tomography (CT scan) of the paranasal sinuses, and high-resolution computed tomography of the thorax were carried out as necessary.
Patients with PPC lasting more than three weeks were included in the study. Smokers and those with systemic disease and/or abnormal routine laboratory tests were excluded. A large majority of patients have more than one cause for chronic cough. In this study we excluded every patient with other causes of cough or we first treated the other conditions.

In patients with chronic cough there is a positive relationship between cough frequency and cough reflex sensitivity. In PPC the severity of the cough is often mild to moderate but the repetition makes it annoying, and the major factor that shows it is subsiding is the reduction in its frequency. We can therefore assume that the frequency of cough is equal to the severity of cough in PPC. Considering the abovementioned points, we therefore consider that ‘frequency of cough’ represents ‘severity of cough’ in this study.

Ethical considerations

The participants were all volunteers and they were fully informed about the aim of the study, the potential benefits of this research, the prescribed regimens, the follow-up sessions, and their own duty as participants. They were also informed about the potential complications such as dyspepsia and insomnia, and how to face them. They were reassured that the data and their files were kept confidential. Informed consent was taken from them before they were enrolled in the study. The project design of this prospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences according to the Declaration of Helsinki. This trial was registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT: 201103034737N2).

Intervention

The included participants were randomly distributed into three groups. Three regimens of medical jam-like pastes were prepared, as follows:

1. Each 600g of the first regimen consisted of 500g of honey and 70g of original instant coffee, given to every member of the first group (honey plus coffee group, n=29)
2. Each 600g of the second regimen consisted of 320mg prednisolone given to every member of the second group (steroid group, n=30)
3. Each 600g of the third regimen consisted of only guaifenesin as a supportive treatment given to every member of the third group (control/placebo group, n=26).

All three products were similar in packaging, colour, shape, and taste (by adding enough edible brown colour, coffee essence, artificial honey flavour, and liquid glucose). The dosages of the ingredients were determined according to usual daily usage. The ingredients of each product were gently mixed and homogenised. These products should be kept at room temperature of about 22–26°C. The natural honey used in this study was obtained from the region of the Zagros Mountains in the west of Iran and the coffee was original instant coffee produced in Spain by Nestle Spain Ltd.

The samples were produced by our pharmacists. The sample sizes were determined using a computerised randomised programme and they were encoded confidentially and distributed randomly between the participants. The participants were told to dissolve one tablespoon (about 25g) of the prescribed jam-like paste in one glass (about 200mL) of warm water (<60°C) and then to drink the solution. They were asked to repeat it every eight hours for one week. There were therefore 24 doses in each 600g of jam-like paste, and the doses for each group were approximately:

1. HC group: 20.8g of honey and 2.9g of coffee three times daily
2. Steroid group: 13.3mg of prednisolone three times daily
3. Control group: 25g of guaifenesin three times daily

The participants were told about all three prescriptions but they were unaware of their own regimen. They agreed not to use any additional anti-inflammatory and antitussive agents, even honey or coffee.

Main outcome measures

In all participants the frequency of their cough was evaluated before treatment and one week after completion of treatment and the check lists were completed. We chose one week after the completion of treatment in order to evaluate only the lasting effects of the regimens and to eliminate their sedative effects. In addition, the participants were kept under observation for the first month even if their cough was cured. A cough questionnaire was designed (see Appendix 1, available online at www.theprcj.org) and its validity was accepted by five experts in the field. With regard to reliability, the questionnaire had a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.908.

Data were presented as n (%) within each treatment group. C=control, HC=honey plus coffee, S=steroid.
as patients in the study. The process of this research is illustrated by the flow diagram in Figure 1, and the completed CONSORT checklist is Appendix 2 the attached (available online at www.thepcrj.org).

**Statistical analysis**

All the analyses were carried out using SPSS V.15.0 software. Continuous variables were presented as mean (standard deviation, SD) and categorical variables were presented as absolute and relative frequencies. One-way ANOVA, \( \chi^2 \) test, Wilcoxon signed ranks test, and paired t tests were used to compare the groups. All reported p values were based on two-sided hypotheses. The distribution of the baseline data is shown in Table 1.

**Results**

In this clinical trial the mean (SD) of age, weight and duration of illness were 40.1 (12.5) years, 75.8 (10.6) kg, and 2.9 (2.4) months, respectively. Participants’ ages ranged from 21 to 65 years. Their weight ranged from 50kg to 90kg and most were highly educated (Table 1). The mean (SD) frequency of cough before and after the treatment were 2.94 (0.23) and 1.71 (1.22), respectively (Table 2).

The difference between variables including age, duration of illness in months and frequency of cough before treatment in all three groups were similar and were not statistically significant (p>0.05, Table 2). The \( \chi^2 \) test showed that the distribution of variables including sex, education, and occupation was the same in the three groups (p>0.05).

According to the Wilcoxon signed rank test, the cough frequency was similar in all three groups before treatment (p>0.05). Changes in the frequency of cough in the honey plus coffee and steroid groups after treatment were significant (p<0.05) but were not significant in the control group (p>0.05). Thus, the steroid and honey plus coffee regimens were effective in treating the condition. Analysis of variances showed that the difference between the mean cough frequency before and after treatment with the steroid and honey plus coffee regimens was significant (p<0.001).

In addition, post hoc Tukey tests showed that changes in cough frequency before and after treatment in the steroid and control groups were not significant (p>0.05) while the mean change in

---

**Table 2. Mean (SD) differences between treatments and some explanatory variables**

| Variables                  | HC group | S group | C group | p Value |
|----------------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age (years):               | 42.3 (13.2) | 36.1 (11.9) | 42.0 (11.8) | 0.103 (NS) |
| Weight (kg):               | 76.1 (12.9) | 77.1 (8.8) | 73.9 (9.9) | 0.534 (NS) |
| Duration of illness (months): | 3.0 (2.9) | 2.9 (1.5) | 2.9 (2.7) | 0.973 (NS) |
| Frequency of cough (degree): | Before treatment | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.0) | 2.8 (0.4) | 0.082 (NS) |
|                           | After treatment | 0.2 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.6) | 2.7 (0.5) | <0.001 (S) |

C=control, HC=honey plus coffee, S=steroid, (NS)=not significant, (S)=significant.
Honey plus coffee for persistent cough

cough frequency before and after treatment in the honey plus coffee group was higher than in the other two groups and was statistically significant (p<0.001). The results of this study show that the honey plus coffee regimen had a significantly more curative effect on cough frequency than the other two regimens.

Discussion

Main findings

The aim of the present study was to evaluate and compare the therapeutic effects of honey plus coffee with systemic steroid and with control/placebo agents in patients with PPC. The results show that, compared with systemic steroid, the combination of honey and coffee is a better treatment modality for PPC. The treatment is safe and effective and also eliminates the unpleasant consequences of illness for both patients and physicians. These are interesting findings.

Interpretation of findings in relation to previously published work

Each year, billions of dollars are spent on controlling and trying to cure cough while the real effect of cough medicines is not quite reliable.14,15 Even though PPC is reported to account for only 11–25% of all cases of chronic cough8 and it is not associated with disability and mortality, it can cause morbidity and is responsible for medical costs.12

The exact mechanism of action of honey is not definitely known but acidity, osmolality, and hydrogen peroxide production have been proposed to be important factors.17 Honey lowers plasma prostaglandin concentrations by reducing prostaglandin synthesis at the site of application.21 It also increases nitric oxide (NO) levels in the lesions and has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities.22 It seems to be that, due to their hyperosmolarity, sweet substances naturally stimulate the salivation reflex and thereby the hypersecretion of airway mucus. These can improve mucociliary clearance in the airway and lead to a demulcent effect in the pharynx resulting in reduced cough.11,12,20,31,32 These effects may be associated with the hyperosmolarity and anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties of honey.1,14,16,19,34 As a result of these properties, honey can expedite the repair and healing of pharyngeal mucosal desquamation and therefore reduce mucosal irritation.1,14,16,20,23,33,35

We chose the combination of honey and coffee because both are mentioned as cough remedies in complementary medicine and their combination is acceptable and safe. Although honey and coffee separately have been reported to have treatment effects on some respiratory diseases and they can induce mucosal tissue healing, to the best of our knowledge there is no report in the literature on the combination of these two except our previous study of the efficacy of honey plus coffee in the treatment of PPC.13 That study showed that honey plus coffee is the most effective treatment modality for PPC, and also that the effect of the combination is greater than the effect of each one separately.13

The exact mechanism of action of the combination of honey and coffee is unknown and has not been fully explained, but may be due to the synergistic effect of these two substances. This combination can bring about notable improvements in mucosal tissue healing by repairing the nerve ending damage caused by mucosal desquamation and mucosal irritability. This may provide a possible explanation for the role of honey and coffee in the successful treatment of PPC.

Honey and coffee are natural edible substances that are safe, agreeable, less expensive than medicines, and easily available. Moreover, they have proved to be effective in a short period of time.

Strengths and limitations of this study

This study was carried out in accordance with our previous study13 and its principles and with the addition of a control/placebo group. The addition of guaifenesin in the control group was intended to have some medical effects for participants in the third group and not to deprive them of medical treatment. In order to obtain the best effect of prednisolone we chose an approximate dosage of 40-45mg daily, which is the maximum suggested dosage for the treatment of PPC. It is important to point out that the total amount of our products used by the participants in the one-week treatment period was constant and small deviations in the daily dosage do not make much difference.

Implications for future research, policy and practice

Further investigations in this field with larger sample sizes will make the results more reliable and we hope to see such studies in other settings in the future.

Conclusions

PPC may be successfully treated by a combination of honey and coffee in a short time. Compared with systemic steroid, this treatment modality can be used as an alternative medicine in the treatment of PPC. We therefore recommend the use of this effective treatment modality for PPC patients. Further investigations are warranted.
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Appendix 1: The designed cough questionnaire

Chronic Persistent Dry Cough Questionnaire

No:  
Name:  
Age:  
Sex:  
Weight:  
Height:  
Job:  
Date:  
Phone:  
Address:  

Education (Grade):  

Chronic Cough:  Severe □  Moderate □  

Other Signs & Symptoms:
Duration of chronic cough (How long persisted):
The cough stimulants are: (chemical or mechanical stimulation of the cough reflex)
Eating: □ all □ special  Drinking: □ all □ special  Smoking □  
Breathing cold air, □ Perfume Smelling, □ Talking, □ Others.

How many times the patient has been referred to physician:
History of previous treatments:
History of Hyperglycemia:
History of other Disease:
Comprehensive history and Physical examination:
Chest:  
BP:  
RR:  
PR:  

Chest X Ray:  
Spirometry:  
As necessary (PNS CT scan, HRCT, MRI, Routine laboratory tests, ...):

Which common causes of chronic cough are present:
□ 1-URI and other respiratory infections, Sinusitis, Postnasal drip syndrome (PND):
□ 2-Gastroesophageal reflux (GERD):
□ 3-Asthma, Allergy (probable atopic cough):
□ 4-Postinfectious chronic cough:
□ 5-Chronic bronchitis, bronchiectasis, parenchymal pulmonary diseases:
□ 6-Respiratory tumors, respiratory tract foreign bodies:
□ 7-Psychogenic cough:
□ 8-Smoking:

ENT examinations: Ear:  Normal □ Abnormal □  
Nose:  Normal □ Abnormal □  
Throat:  Normal □ Abnormal □
Appendix 1: The designed cough questionnaire continued

Clinical diagnosis:
Differential diagnosis:

Date: Sign:

☐ Accepted, ☐ Excluded, ☐ First needs other treatments,

Date: Treatment in this study by: D. No: Sign:

Date: Compliance: Well ☐ ------ Unusual ☐
Severity of cough: ☐ +++ ☐ ++ ☐ + ☐ 0 Sign:

As Necessary:

Date: Compliance: Well ☐ ------ Unusual ☐
Severity of cough (2): ☐ +++ ☐ ++ ☐ + ☐ 0 Sign:
### CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial*

| Section/Topic     | Item No | Checklist item                                                                 | Reported on page No |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Title and abstract** | 1a      | Identification as a randomised trial in the title                              | 1                   |
|                   | 1b      | Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts) | 1                   |
| **Introduction**  | 2a      | Scientific background and explanation of rationale                             | 1,2                 |
|                   | 2b      | Specific objectives or hypotheses                                               | 2                   |
| **Methods**       | 3a      | Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio | 2                   |
|                   | 3b      | Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons | 2                   |
| **Participants**  | 4a      | Eligibility criteria for participants                                          | 2                   |
|                   | 4b      | Settings and locations where the data were collected                           | 3                   |
| **Interventions** | 5       | The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administrated | 3                   |
| **Outcomes**      | 8a      | Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed | 3                   |
|                   | 8b      | Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons           | 3                   |
| **Sample size**   | 7a      | How sample size was determined                                                  | 3                   |
|                   | 7b      | When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines     | -                   |
| **Randomisation:**| 8a      | Method used to generate the random allocation sequence                          | 3                   |
| Sequence generation| 8b      | Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size) | 3                   |
| Allocation concealment mechanism | 9     | Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned | 3                   |
| **Implementation**| 10      | Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions | 3                   |
| **Blinding**      | 11a     | If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those) | 3                   |
| Section | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| Statistical methods | 12a Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes  
12b Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses |
| Results | 13a For each group, the number of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome  
13b For each group, losses and exclusions after randomization, together with reasons  
14a Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up  
14b Why the trial ended or was stopped  
15 Baseline data: A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group  
16 Numbers analysed: For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups  
17a Outcomes and estimation: For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as SE or confidence interval)  
17b For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended  
Ancillary analyses | 18 Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory  
19 Harms: All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance, see CONSORT for harms)  
Discussion | 20 Limitations: Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses  
21 Generalisability: Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings  
22 Interpretation: Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence  
Other information | 23 Registration: Registration number and name of trial registry  
24 Protocol: Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available  
25 Funding: Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders  

*We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster-randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming; for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see www.consort-statement.org.*