AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Directly Phosphorylates and Destabilizes Hedgehog Pathway Transcription Factor GLI1 in Medulloblastoma

Yen-Hsing Li
Jia Luo
Yung-Yi C. Mosley
Victoria E. Hedrick
Lake N. Paul

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/bmspubs

Part of the Medical Sciences Commons

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.
Authors
Yen-Hsing Li, Jia Luo, Yung-Yi C. Mosley, Victoria E. Hedrick, Lake N. Paul, Julia Chang, GuangJun Zhang, Yu-Kuo Wang, Max R. Banko, Anne Brunet, Shihuan Kuang, Jen-Leih Wu, Chun-Ju Chang, Matthew P. Scott, and Jer-Yen Yang
AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Directly Phosphorylates and Destabilizes Hedgehog Pathway Transcription Factor GLI1 in Medulloblastoma

Graphical Abstract

Non-phosphorylated GLI1

AMPK

Phosphorylated GLI1

Robust cell growth

Low cell growth

Highlights

- AMPK blocks Shh-induced transcriptional activity
- AMPK reduces GLI1 protein level and stability
- AMPK phosphorylates GLI1 at serines 102 and 408 and threonine 1074
- GLI1<sup>3A</sup> protein is resistant to AMPK and has higher stability and oncogenic ability

Authors

Yen-Hsing Li, Jia Luo, Yung-Yi C. Mosley, ..., Chun-Ju Chang, Matthew P. Scott, Jer-Yen Yang

Correspondence

jyyang@purdue.edu

In Brief

Li. et al. show that AMPK is linked to the Hh signaling pathway. Activation of AMPK phosphorylates GLI1, a Hedgehog transcriptional activator, and inhibits Hh activity. GLI1 phosphorylation decreases GLI1 protein stability and reduces cell growth, colony formation, and tumor growth in mice.

Li et al., 2015, Cell Reports 12, 599–609

July 28, 2015 ©2015 The Authors

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.054
AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Directly Phosphorylates and Destabilizes Hedgehog Pathway Transcription Factor GLI1 in Medulloblastoma

Yen-Hsing Li,1 Jia Luo,3 Yung-Yi Mosley,1 Victoria E. Hedrick,4 Lake N. Paul,4 Julia Chang,3 GuangJun Zhang,2,5 Yu-Kuo Wang,6 Max R. Banko,7 Anne Brunet,7 Shihuan Kuang,2,8 Jen-Leih Wu,6 Chun-Ju Chang,1,2 Matthew P. Scott,3 and Jer-Yen Yang1,2,3

1Department of Basic Medical Sciences
2Center for Cancer Research
Purdue University College of Veterinary Medicine, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
3Departments of Developmental Biology, Genetics, and Bioengineering, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
4Bindley Bioscience Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA
5Department of Comparative Pathobiology, Purdue University College of Veterinary Medicine, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
6Department of Biological Science and Technology, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin-Chu 300, Taiwan
7Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
8Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
9Institute of Cellular and Organismic Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115 Taiwan
*Correspondence: jyyang@purdue.edu
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.054
This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

SUMMARY

The Hedgehog (Hh) pathway regulates cell differentiation and proliferation during development by controlling the Gli transcription factors. Cell fate decisions and progression toward organ and tissue maturity must be coordinated, and how an energy sensor regulates the Hh pathway is not clear. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is an important sensor of energy stores and controls protein synthesis and other energy-intensive processes. AMPK is directly responsive to intracellular AMP levels, inhibiting a wide range of cell activities if ATP is low and AMP is high. Thus, AMPK can affect development by influencing protein synthesis and other processes needed for growth and differentiation. Activation of AMPK reduces GLI1 protein levels and stability, thus blocking Sonic-hedgehog-induced transcriptional activity. AMPK phosphorylates GLI1 at serines 102 and 408 and threonine 1074. Mutation of these three sites into alanine prevents phosphorylation by AMPK. This leads to increased GLI1 protein stability, transcriptional activity, and oncogenic potency.

INTRODUCTION

Regulation of energy production and storage is necessary for living organisms, especially during stages of development that involve substantial growth. Otherwise embryos may invest precious energy in starting organogenesis that cannot be completed. The problem is exemplified by the crucial function of mitochondria, which are the major source of ATP during human pre-implantation development (Wilding et al., 2009). Therefore, developmental control systems that guide the growth of organs and tissues must be coordinated with energy supply.

The Hedgehog (Hh) pathway is essential for the development of most organs and tissues. Loss of control of the pathway is oncogenic in tissues where a normal role of the Hh signal is to promote growth. Mutations that deregulate Hh signaling are associated with sporadic and familial skin cancer (basal cell carcinoma) and brain tumors (medulloblastoma). For example, Gorlin syndrome is due to loss-of-function mutations in the PTCH gene, which encodes the receptor protein Patched1 (Ptc1) that binds Hh ligand. Normally Ptc1 protein restrains Hh transduction, and therefore growth of the skin and cerebellum, until it is inactivated by the Hh ligand; but, the tumor cells sense the loss of Ptc1 function and divide without the need for Hh signals. Given the fine line between mitogenesis and oncogenesis, appropriate regulation of developmental pathways is critical.

Hh signaling controls transcription of target genes by regulating activities of the three Glioma-associated oncogene (Gli1–3) transcription factors. When Hh ligand binds to the Ptc1 receptor, a 12-pass transmembrane protein, Ptc1 no longer inhibits the 7-transmembrane domain transducer Smoothened (Smoo). In cells not exposed to Hh ligand, Ptc1 is resident in the plasma membrane overlying primary cilia (Rohatgi et al., 2007); Ptc1 moves into the cell and is degraded upon binding Hh. Activated Smoo then accumulates in primary cilia, which are non-motile solitary appendages on many cell types and serve as transduction centers for Hh signals (Rohatgi et al., 2007). Activation of Smoo antagonizes Sufu, a Gli1 negative regulator, to promote nuclear translocation of active Gli proteins and induction of genes that control cell proliferation or differentiation during development.

Embryos devote specific regulatory systems to conserving or increasing the energy supply during times of need. One crucial
energy-sensing molecule is AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). AMPK monitors cellular energy status by responding to AMP/ATP ratios, as well as AMP and ATP concentrations (Scott et al., 2009; Steinberg and Kemp, 2009). The levels of AMP and ATP reflect environmental nutrient supply and uptake. High AMP activates AMPK, which then inhibits energy-consuming processes such as protein synthesis, and boosts energy production by increasing glucose uptake and glycolysis (Hardie et al., 2012).

AMPK is a heterotrimer consisting of α, β, and γ subunits. AMPK is activated approximately 1,000-fold by phosphorylation of a conserved threonine (Thr172) in the activation loop of the KD by upstream protein kinases, such as serine/threonine kinase 11 (STK11, also known as Liver Kinase B1 [LKB1]) (Jishage et al., 2002). When AMP or ADP concentrations are high, their increased binding to the γ subunit causes a conformational change that promotes phosphorylation of Thr172 by LKB1 and inhibits dephosphorylation (Xiao et al., 2011). Genetic experiments show that zebrafish embryos do not require LKB1 if energy is abundant; but, in conditions of energy stress, LKB1 is essential for life (van der Velden et al., 2011). The kinase activity of mammalian phosphorylated AMPK can be enhanced 2- to 5-fold by the binding of AMP to its γ subunit (Sanders et al., 2007; Suter et al., 2006). ATP is an antagonist of AMPK activation, acting by binding to the γ subunit and competing with AMP or ADP binding (Hardie et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2007).

Depletion cannot proceed if energy stores are inadequate. Slowing or postponing developmental steps may save the life of a growing animal. Hh signaling recently has been shown to trigger rapid glycolysis in adipocytes by modulating Smo activity, Ca²⁺ levels, and AMPK activity (Teperino et al., 2012). Thus, the Hh developmental pathway alters production of ATP. We have been investigating the complementary possibility that transduction through the Hh pathway is modulated by energy stores. When energy is scarce and cell division or cell differentiation should be slowed to conserve remaining stores, activated AMPK may indirectly affect developmental pathways like Hh by reducing protein synthesis or other basic gene expression functions. A second possibility is that a more direct regulatory connection links energy sensing with developmental regulators. We have investigated this second possibility using cultured cells that respond to Hh signaling proteins.

RESULTS

Control of Gli1 Protein Level and Stability by AMPK

To investigate whether AMPK regulates the Hh pathway, we used the NIH 3T3 cell line, a well-known Hh-responsive cell line. Cells were treated with the AMPK activator two-deoxyglucose (2DG), a glucose analog that blocks ATP production by inhibiting glycolysis and thereby induces AMPK activation (Wick et al., 1957). Activation of AMPK reduced Gli1 protein levels progressively over time (Figure 1A). As NIH 3T3 cells were treated for increasing numbers of hours with 2DG, the amount of activated AMPK (p-AMPK) increased. The amount of a direct AMPK substrate that is often used to measure AMPK activity (Henin et al., 1995), phospho-Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase (p-ACC), also increased for at least 4 hr. In addition to 2DG, similar effects were observed when two other AMPK activators (A769662 and AlCAR) were applied to NIH 3T3 and pZp53Med1 (Med1) medulloblastoma cells (Figure 1B). In addition, protein levels of Gli2 and Gli3 (FL and R) did not change while AMPK was activated (Figure S1A). AMPK knockout MEF cells had increasing (1.71-fold) Gli1 protein compared to wild-type (WT) MEF, and the amount of Gli1 protein was low in AMPK+/− cells in the presence of 2DG and did not change in the AMPK−/− cells (Figure 1C). Therefore, the low level of Gli1 protein caused by 2DG administration was due to AMPK-dependent action.

Knocking down Lkb1 dysregulates the Hh pathway by affecting Gli3 (Jacob et al., 2011). We found that two different Lkb1 small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) reduced the level of Lkb1 protein by half in Med1 cells, while the level of Gli1 protein was not affected (Figure S1B). In Lkb1−/− myoblast cells, both Gli1 protein and mRNA levels were not much different in comparison with Lkb1+/− cells (Figures S1C and S1D). When Lkb1+/− and Lkb1−/− cells were treated with AlCAR, Gli1 protein level was reduced in both (Figure S1C). We conclude that Lkb1 is not involved in the AMPK-mediated reduction of the Gli1 protein levels. HEK293 cells were transfected with a kinase-dead AMPK mutant (Banko et al., 2011) that is unable to phosphorylate proteins. The result was an increase in the Gli1 protein level compared to cells transfected with WT AMPK (Figure 1D).

Gli1 is transcriptionally activated by Shh. Activating AMPK reduced Gli1 protein levels in NIH 3T3 cells despite stimulation with Shh ligand (Figure 1E). Co-treatment of the cells with 2DG and Shh increased the amount of activated AMPK measured as p-AMPK and its target p-ACC, and reduced the amount of Gli1 protein compared to cells treated with Shh alone. Med1 cells, which have constitutively active Hh target gene expression (Berman et al., 2002), also had reduced Gli1 protein levels in the presence of 2DG (Figure 1F). The reduction of Gli1 protein may have been due to protein degradation. Co-treatment with 2DG and proteasome inhibitor (MG132) restored the higher amount of Gli1 protein (Figure S1E). This indicates that, even upon stimulation by Shh, which increases the amount of Gli1 protein because Gli1 is a transcriptional target, activated AMPK is able to reduce Gli1 protein levels.

The reduction of the Gli1 protein level by activated AMPK could be due to an effect on Gli1 transcription, translation, or post-translational stability. We find that Gli1 protein stability is altered by the activity state of AMPK. We used cycloheximide (CHX) to block translation of new protein and monitored the stability of pre-existing Gli1 protein. When AMPK was functional (Figure 1G, left), the amount of Gli1 protein decreased with a half-life of 4 hr. In cells lacking AMPK (Figure 1G, right), Gli1 protein remained stable with a half-life of at least 8 hr. Gli1 instability was accelerated in 2DG and CHX co-treated AMPK+/− cells (Figure 1H), but was not affected in AMPK−/− cells (Figure 1H). The protein stability of Gli2 and Gli3 were not affected in the 2DG and CHX co-treated Med1 cells (Figure S1F). In this experiment AMPK could not have been affecting synthesis of Gli1, which was prevented, but instead affected Gli1 protein stability.

AMPK Reduces Gli1 Transcriptional Activity

We tested whether AMPK activation affects Gli1 mRNA levels in addition to reducing Gli1 protein stability. In AMPK−/− cells, Gli1
and Ptch1 mRNA were elevated about 2-fold compared to AMPK+/+, and, consistently, mRNA from the two target genes was higher in DN-AMPK-transfected cells compared to WT-AMPK-transfected cells (Figures S2A and S2B). In NIH 3T3 cells, treatment with 2DG, A769662, and AICAR led to a time-dependent reduction in the level of mRNA from Gli1 and from another target, Ptch1 (Figures 2A–2C). During the 4-hr time period of examination in these experiments, the activation of AMPK by 2DG, A769662, or AICAR shut down translation and transcription, but the effect was not a general effect on mRNA levels because the control mRNA measured (Gapdh) did not change in amount (Figures 2A–2C). Instead, the reduced Gli1 protein, due to its instability and lowered synthesis, caused lower levels of Gli1 and Ptch1 transcripts. In AMPK−/− cells, Gli1 mRNA remained at the same level in the presence of AICAR (Figure 2D). This result is consistent with the results shown in Figure S2A. Gli1 protein level was not affected in the presence of 2DG in AMPK−/− cells. In keeping with this, the addition of AMPK activators together with Shh lowered Gli1 and Ptch1 mRNA levels compared to induction of those targets with Shh alone (Figures 2E and S2C).

In addition to our in vitro studies with cell lines, we examined whether AMPK controls gli1 mRNA in an in vivo context. In zebrafish embryos, treatment with 2DG led to AMPK activation and inhibition of the activity of its downstream substrate (p-ACC) (Figure S2D). Measuring gli1 and ptch1 mRNA levels, we found a reduction in expression levels of these genes in the 2DG-treated group compared with the untreated group (Figure S2E). Injection of ampk morpholino (MO) into zebrafish embryos led to a reduction of ampk mRNA level in comparison with the control MO (5 bp mismatches of the ampk MO) (Li et al., 2013) and 2-fold elevation of gli1 and ptch1 mRNA levels compared to the control group (Figure S2F).

AMPK may be acting on Gli1 mRNA levels directly or indirectly, so we used a reporter gene assay to look at direct target gene regulation. We used a synthetic target gene consisting of eight
Gli-binding sites joined to a luciferase reporter (Sasaki et al., 1997; Figure 2F). Transfection of HEK293 cells with the reporter transgene, and another plasmid that encoded constitutively active AMPK, suppressed induction by Gli1. As we show in the following experiments, target gene expression is lower because activated AMPK phosphorylates and destabilizes Gli1 protein, not because AMPK directly reduces Gli1 mRNA.

**Direct Regulation of Gli1 Protein Stability by AMPK**

We next examined the mechanism of the influence of AMPK on Gli1 protein stability. To investigate whether AMPK alters Gli1 phosphorylation, we used a chemical genetic approach. The method allows specific labeling of direct substrates of a protein kinase in living cells, thus distinguishing direct from indirect influences of a kinase (Alaimo et al., 2001). ATP-binding pockets of protein kinases contain a conserved gatekeeper residue that, during the reaction, is in close contact with the N6 position of the adenine ring of ATP. Substituting a smaller amino acid for this gatekeeper residue enables the mutant protein kinase, which is termed analog specific (AS), to use ATP analogs containing bulky groups at the N6 position (Allen et al., 2007). In contrast, bulky ATP analogs are poor substrates for WT kinases.

due to steric hindrance by the gatekeeper residue. N6-modified ATPγS nucleotides are accepted by the AS kinase, and the transferred thiophosphate can be alkylated and recognized by a specific monoclonal antibody, thioP antibody (Allen et al., 2005, 2007). The power of the approach is exemplified by an AS version of AMPKα2 (AS-AMPKα2) that was used to identify AMPK substrates in HEK293T cells (Banko et al., 2011).

Using the same protocol, we co-transfected genes encoding HA-tagged WT or AS-AMPKα2 with the two other AMPK subunits β1 and γ1, as well as with genes for Flag-tagged GLI1 and FOXO3a into HEK293 cells. Flag antibody was used to immunoprecipitate GLI1 and FOXO3a proteins. FOXO3 is the AMPK target that served as a positive control. The precipitate was analyzed by immunoblotting with thioP antibody to detect phosphorylated GLI1 and FOXO3a. In AS-AMPKα2-transfected cells treated with 2DG, we found increased phosphorylated GLI1 compared with WT-AMPKα2-transfected cells, as well as increased phosphorylated FOXO3α (Figure 3A).

In the next experiment, we used AMPK phospho-substrate-specific antibody (p-Sub/AMPK) (Gwinn et al., 2008), and we found that Gli1 was phosphorylated in AMPK-transfected cells. HEK293 cells were transfected with Flag-tagged Gli1 alone (−) or with AMPK as well (+). Flag-tagged GLI1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibodies and separated on a protein gel. The blot was probed with antibodies against AMPK phospho-substrate (p-Sub/AMPK), Flag, AMPK, and tubulin (Figure 3B).
The results show that GLI1-Flag was at comparable levels in both extracts, while AMPK was detected only in AMPK-transfected cells; a prominent band was observed with the p-Sub antibody only in cells that had been transfected with AMPK.

We generated MEFs with stable GLI1-Flag expression in AMPK+/− and AMPK−/− cells to verify that phosphorylation of GLI1 is AMPK dependent. AMPK was activated using 2DG and AICAR, and Flag-tagged GLI1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag from AMPK+/− and AMPK−/− cell lines. In the immunoprecipitates, a prominent band was observed with the p-Sub/AMPK antibody in AMPK+/− MEFs, but not in AMPK−/− MEFs (Figure 3C). These results confirm the identity of the AMPK-phosphorylated protein as GLI1.

To discover which GLI1 amino acids were phosphorylated by AMPK, HEK293 cells were co-transfected with either WT-AMPK or DN-AMPK, and GLI1. GLI1 was purified from cell extracts using immunoprecipitation with Flag antibody, and further purified by isolating the GLI1 protein from an SDS gel. Mass spectrometry showed that GLI1 was phosphorylated at sites S102, S408, and T1074 (Figures 3D and S3). The same result was obtained in cells transfected with WT-AMPK, but not if the cells were transfected with DN-AMPK. Fourteen potential phosphopeptides were observed after two independent WT-AMPK transfections (Figures S3B–S3D, yellow). Three of these peptides were not phosphorylated in DN-AMPK-transfected cells (Figures S3B–S3D, red circles). In parallel, GLI1 was purified from stable GLI1-Flag expression AMPK+/− MEFs treated with and without 2DG to modulate endogenous AMPK activity. The same result was found that GLI1 was phosphorylated at sites S102, S408, and T1074 in 2DG-treated AMPK+/− MEFs (Figure S3E). Without 2DG, phosphorylations of S102 and S408 were not detected on GLI1 (Figure S3F). The results indicate that the phosphorylation changes of these sites are in response to metabolic stress in cells.

The sequence for S408 matches the AMPK consensus LRRVXS/TXXXL, but is not conserved in mouse and zebrafish. S102 and T1074 are conserved in the GLI1 proteins of humans, mice, and zebrafish (Figure 3E), but do not perfectly match the optimal AMPK consensus motif (Table S1).

The three AMPK-phosphorylated amino acids in GLI1 were changed to alanines by mutating the Glil gene, i.e., S102A, S408A, and T1074A. This protein, GLI13A, should be immune to AMPK phosphorylation. HEK293 cells were co-transfected with DNA that encoded either WT-AMPKΔ2 or AS-AMPKΔ2, and a construct encoding GLI1WT or GLI13A tagged with a Flag epitope. AS-AMPKΔ2 no longer phosphorylated the Flag-GLI13A protein (Figure 3F), with the signal dropping to the background levels (0.8 arbitrary units) seen in cells transfected with WT GLI1 (2.69 arbitrary units).

An anti-phospho-GLI1 T1074 antibody was prepared that is highly specific. Immunoprecipitated WT GLI1-Flag was stained with the p-GLI11074 antibody (Figure 3G, top middle lane), while the GLI13A mutant (Figure 3G, top right lane) was much less so. The activation of AMPK was demonstrated here by the phospho-AMPK antibody and by the appearance of p-ACC. In this experiment, 2DG was administered for only 30 min, sufficient to activate AMPK, but not long enough to cause loss of GLI1 protein (Figures 1A and 1F). 2DG was necessary for substantial labeling of the WT protein (Figure 3G, top middle lane compared to top left lane). The 2DG-driven phosphorylation of GLI1 was observed with cells transfected with WT GLI1, but not with cells transfected with GLI13A mutant (Figures 3G and S3G). To identify which AMPK phosphorylation site predominates, we repeated the experiment of Figure 2B and co-transfected GLI1WT, GLI13A, the three single mutants, and GLI1102A/1074A with AMPK into HEK293 cells. In cells transfected with GLI13A, no signal was detected with p-Sub/AMPK, and in cells containing GLI1102A, the signal had 28% of the intensity compared with GLI1WT (Figure 3H). GLI1102A and GLI11074A had 57% and 42% of the control signal, respectively, and the signal of the 102A/1074A double mutant was lower at 35% of the control (Figure 3H).

To prove that AMPK can act directly upon GLI1, an in vitro AMPK assay was performed. In Figure 3I, GLI13A was utterly resistant to AMPK, which is similar to results shown in Figure 3H. Each single mutation (102A, 408A, and 1074A) moderately reduced the modification by AMPK, from 20% to 60% (Figure 3I). We conclude that S102, S408, and T1074 are all dominant AMPK phosphorylation sites on GLI1.

GLI13A Has Higher Protein Stability and Transcriptional Activity

Here we show that, compared to GLI1WT, the GLI13A mutant protein has increased stability, is resistant to AMPK-mediated suppression of Glil transcriptional activity, and stabilizes Glil mRNA at high levels. Using CHX to prevent new protein synthesis as in Figure 1, we found that, in transfected HEK293 cells, GLI13A is considerably more stable than GLI1WT. We produced a phosho-mimic version of GLI1 where each of the three AMPK-phosphorylated residues was replaced by a glutamate; this was called GLI13E and was highly unstable (Figure 4A, right). Similarly, GLI13A was much more stable than GLI13E or GLI1WT in NIH 3T3 cell lines in which GLI1 was stably produced (Figure 4A).

To examine regulation of transcription by each version of GLI1, we transfected genes encoding each of the forms into HEK293 cells. Each variant GLI1 was tested with or without co-transfected AMPK. Activation of transcription by GLI1 was measured with a luciferase assay; the cells also were transfected with a plasmid encoding eight Glil consensus sequences in cis to a luciferase gene (Sasaki et al., 1997). Transfected GLI1 activated this target even without added Shh or other agonists. The samples were normalized by comparison to cells transfected with vector alone. Transcriptional induction by WT GLI1 was negatively affected by adding AMPK, produced at two levels by transfecting 1 or 3 μg, even without adding 2DG (Figure 4B). In contrast, GLI13A transcriptional activity was refractory to inhibition by AMPK even at the higher level (Figure 4C). Further activation of AMPK by added 2DG inhibited GLI1 target gene expression if cells contained GLI1WT, but not if cells contained GLI13A (Figures 4D and 4E). We repeated the experiments using other AMPK activators (AICAR and A79662) (Banko et al., 2011) in NIH 3T3 cell lines stably expressing GLI1 proteins. GLI13A had robust resistance to 2DG-induced lowering of Glil/Ptc1 mRNA levels (Figures 4F and 4G). Each single mutant had a different degree of moderate to great resistance to 2DG effects (Figures 4B and 4F).
Figure 3. AMPK Directly Phosphorylates GLI1
(A) HEK293 cells were co-transfected with Flag-tagged GLI1 or Flag-tagged FOXO3, with HA-tagged WT or AS-AMPKα2, and with AMPKβ1 and γ1. AS-AMPKα2 phosphorylates the known AMPK substrate FOXO3, which was detected using thioP antibody. FOXO3 and GLI1 were immunoprecipitated with antibodies that recognize the Flag tag and blotted with thioP, Flag, or HA (AMPK) antibody. *Non-specific bands that were recognized by HA antibody.

(B) HEK293 cells were co-transfected with Flag-tagged GLI1 and HA-tagged AMPK, and cells were lysed in NP40 lysis buffer. GLI1 was immunoprecipitated using an antibody to Flag and the precipitate was analyzed on a protein blot using AMPK phosphorylation-specific substrate antibody (p-Sub/AMPK) and Flag antibody. The introduction of AMPK into the cells causes p-Sub/AMPK to label GLI1-Flag.

(legend continued on next page)
GLI1\(^{3A}\) Has Potent Cell Division-Stimulating and Oncogenic Activity

To further investigate the function of these GLI1 mutants, we generated stable cell lines in NIH 3T3 cells using lentiviral vectors that produced GLI1\(^{WT}\), GLI1\(^{3A}\), or GLI1\(^{3E}\). The amount of GLI1\(^{3A}\) protein that accumulated was about 1.4-fold that seen for GLI1\(^{WT}\), normalizing both to actin (Figure 5A). Cell counting with a hemacytometer showed that cells transduced with GLI1\(^{3A}\) virus had a significantly increased growth rate compared to WT and GLI1\(^{3E}\) cell lines (Figure 5B). Colony formation assays (Figure 5C) showed increased growth of GLI1\(^{3A}\)-bearing mutant cells compared to other cell lines. To test the effect of activating AMPK, 25 mM 2DG was added to the starting cultures and the growth of colonies was measured 2 weeks later. An even higher colony number difference was observed after treatment with 2DG (Figure 5D; Yang et al., 2008), but only for cells containing GLI1\(^{3A}\). Similar results were obtained using A769662 and AICAR in the colony formation assay (Figure S5). To test the oncogenic impact of GLI1\(^{WT}\) in comparison to the mutant proteins, the stably transfected cell lines producing the GLI1 variants were injected subcutaneously into nude mice. Tumor growth was monitored for 3 weeks. Cells that contained GLI1\(^{3A}\) grew to a volume about 2.5 times that of the other three cell lines (Figure 5E).

DISCUSSION

The Hh pathway controls cell differentiation and growth in developing embryos and regenerating adult tissues. Most features of the pathway components and their interactions are evolutionarily conserved across many species from Drosophila to humans, in developing and adult animals experiencing deprivation and stresses, as well as circadian and annual rhythms that demand adaptation of developmental mechanisms to circumstances. One way that this happens is that the pathway itself has built-in feedback controls that buffer the signaling. For example, induction of the Gli1 gene by the pathway creates a positive feedback loop that can maintain expression of target genes including Gli1 itself. A restraining effect is mediated by the induction of ptc by the pathway, since the Ptc protein is a negative regulator. The amount of Ptc increases in response to increased Hh ligand, so the pathway is buffered. Too much ligand may be reined in by extra Ptc antagonist.

While these sorts of feedback controls are important, they do not cope with the need to coordinate with all relevant aspects of physiology. In particular, dramatic changes in energy stores occur due to the changing abundance of food. Elaborate mechanisms have evolved for cells to adapt to high or low levels of ATP (Hardie et al., 2012; Inoki et al., 2012). In some tissues, such as fly wing discs and mammalian cerebellum, Hh signaling has powerful growth effects. Embarking on that growth when ATP is scarce is unlikely to succeed and may lead to fatal imbalances among tissues and cell types. Thus, activation of AMPK in response to high AMP levels shuts down central processes, such as protein synthesis and ion transport (Lang and Föllter, 2014), while boosting other processes that increase hardness and allow survival until ATP stores are rebuilt. AMPK has many target proteins that, together, allow coordinated shutdown of energy-demanding activities (Hardie et al., 2012). Its activity can be triggered by stimuli such as exercise (Jessen et al., 2014), cytokines, and hypoxia (Evans et al., 2012). Targets have been identified in several ways, such as whole-cell proteomics (Banko et al., 2011), in vitro tests (Gwinn et al., 2008), and genetics (Mihaylova and Shaw, 2011). The full range of targets is not known, but among them are transcription factors such as E2F1 (Yang et al., 2014), Msn2 (Petenko et al., 2013), and FoxO3a (Greer et al., 2007). A recent paper showed that AMPK negatively regulates Gli1 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), but did not address the mechanism (Abi et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2014). They showed that expression of AMPK is negatively correlated with Gli1 in HCC. Their work provides a useful example of the effect of reduced AMPK function in a tumor; as in our experiments, tumor growth is stimulated when Gli1 is not targeted by AMPK.
Our research shows that GLI1 can be added to the list of direct AMPK targets. S408 is found in a sequence that perfectly matches the AMPK consensus site, while S102 and T1074 (SP and TP) sequences are not perfectly matched to the traditional AMPK consensus site. We examined other kinases such as p38 and JNK, MAPK-type proline-directed kinases, and found that their inhibition did not influence GLI1 protein levels (data not shown). Mutation of each of the individual sites on GLI1 impacts AMPK-mediated phosphorylation activity, and each mutation site may exert effects on other sites (Figures 3H and S3G). Overall, current results show that two sets of AMPK-dependent phosphorylation sites include two SP/TP sites (S102 and T1074, Figures S3E and S3F), with high basal stoichiometry and modest inducibility, and one site matching the AMPK consensus (S408, Figures S3E and S3F), with low basal stoichiometry but very high inducibility. Collectively, these AMPK-regulated sites in GLI1 control its protein stability.

Figure 4. GLI13A Has Higher Protein Stability and Transcriptional Activity
The GLI13A mutant has increased stability and is resistant to AMPK-mediated suppression of GLI1 transcriptional activity. (A) Lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with Flag-GLI1WT, Flag-GLI13A, or Flag-GLI13E were harvested at different times after treatment with CHX (1 μg ml−1) and analyzed by immunoblot. GLI13E has the two serines and one threonine that are normally phosphorylated by AMPK changed into glutamates to mimic phosphorylated GLI1. (B) HEK293 cells were co-transfected with GLI1-luciferase reporter, GLI1WT, and AMPK for 36 hr. The two amounts of AMPK-expressing plasmid used were 1 and 3 μg. Cell lysates were analyzed using a luciferase assay to measure GLI1 transcriptional induction of the introduced GLI-luciferase target gene. Representative results from three experiments (n = 3), each conducted in duplicate, are shown with SDs. (C) HEK293 cells were co-transfected with GLI1-luciferase reporter, GLI1WT, and AMPK and analyzed as in (B). (D) and (E) NIH 3T3 cells were transfected with vector control, GLI1WT, or GLI13A for 36 hr, then treated with 2DG (25 mM) for 4 hr. RT-PCR was used to measure (D) GLI1 mRNA and (E) Ptc1 mRNA. The experiment was repeated three times (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). (F) and (G) NIH 3T3 vector, GLI1WT, and GLI13A producing stable cell lines were treated with AICAR (0.75 mM) and A769662 (150 μM) for 4 hr. RT-PCR was used to measure (F) GLI1 mRNA and (G) Ptc1 mRNA. The experiment was repeated three times.

Post-translational modification of Gli proteins by other signaling pathways contributes to the formation of many cancers with elevated Gli activity (Ama-kye et al., 2013; Hui and Angers, 2011; Niewiadomska et al., 2014). The mTOR/ S6K kinase pathway was shown to enhance Gli1 transcriptional activity (Wang et al., 2012). Since the mTOR/S6K pathway has been reported to be involved in the development of various tumors, targeting mTOR is becoming one of the major methods for cancer treatment. In addition, AMPK has been shown to suppress mTOR/S6K1 activity through direct phosphorylation of mTOR and Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (Kim et al., 2008), the upstream negative regulator of mTOR (Kahn et al., 2005). Given our findings, we now know that AMPK both directly and indirectly suppresses the Hh/GLI1 pathway. AMPK conditional knockout models have been created (Violet et al., 2009), but the role of AMPK in tumorigenesis has not been extensively studied. Loss of AMPK is insufficient to provoke tumor formation in mice, but genetic ablation of the α1 catalytic subunit of AMPK accelerates the development of lymphomas driven by Myc overexpression (Faubert et al., 2013). In contrast, deletion of the AMPKα2, but not the AMPKα1, subunit of AMPK increases susceptibility to H-RasV12-induced
transformation in murine fibroblasts (Phoenix et al., 2012), raising the interesting possibility that the AMPKα2 subunit may contribute to tumor suppression in a way that is independent of, or in addition to, the energy-sensing function of AMPK. This suggests that AMPK activity opposes tumorigenesis. Loss of AMPK function evidently fosters tumor progression, perhaps by heightening activities of pathways that spur cell growth and proliferation.

In summary, we found that AMPK inhibits Gli1 protein levels and transcriptional activity. AMPK phosphorylated GLI1 at three novel sites and induced GLI1 protein degradation. Mutation of these three sites into alanine prolonged GLI1 protein stability, transcriptional activity, and oncogenic function (Figure 6). We report here that an energy sensor, AMPK, directly targets the Hh transcriptional activator, GLI1, and suppresses GLI1 activity. Revealing the detailed molecular mechanism of how AMPK modulates the Hh pathway will enable us to further understand the coordination between energy metabolism regulation and the Hh pathway during development.

Figure 5. Tests of Cell Division, Colony Formation, and Oncogenic Effects of Mutant GLI1 Proteins

(A) NIH 3T3 cells were infected with vector, GLI1WT, GLI13A, or GLI13E lentivirus, with Flag tags on each protein, and selected for 7 days with puromycin (2.5 μg/ml). Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with Flag antibody to measure the amounts of the expressed proteins.

(B) This experiment used NIH 3T3 GLI1-stable cell lines (vector control, WT, 3A, and 3E). 5 × 10^5 cells were seeded into 12-well plates for growth assays, each cell type in triplicate, and cells were counted using a hemocytometer for 3 consecutive days. The experiment was repeated three times (*p < 0.01, **p < 0.001).

(C) NIH 3T3 cells with GLI1WT, GLI13A, or GLI13E stably expressed were seeded into six-well plates for colony formation assays for 2 weeks. Colonies larger than 1.5 mm were counted.

(D) As in (C), the cells were treated with 2DG (25 mM) and 2DG-containing medium. The medium in 2DG-treated wells was changed every 3 days to refresh the 2DG. Colony numbers were counted 2 weeks later. In (C) and (D), each cell line was seeded in duplicate, with n = 3 (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.0001).

(E) NIH 3T3 GLI1-stable cell lines (vector control, WT, 3A, and 3E). 10^7 cells were injected subcutaneously into the nude mice and tumor growth was monitored for 3 weeks (**p < 0.001).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

All human subjects and animal manipulation protocols were under regulations of institutional review board (IRB 1203012078) and Purdue Animal Care and Use Committee (PACUC 1301000800), respectively.

Reagents and Plasmids

AMPK activators 2DG and metformin were purchased from Sigma, AICAR was from Calbiochem, and A-769662 was from Selleckchem. CHX and glucose were purchased from Sigma. The dual-luciferase assay kit was purchased from Promega. The Gli1-luciferase reporter contained eight directly repeated copies of the consensus Gli1-binding site (Sasaki et al., 1997). GLI1 HA-tagged, Flag-tagged, and pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-Puro constructs were gifts from Dr. Mien-Chie Hung (Wang et al., 2012) (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center), LKB1 shRNA plasmids were gifts from Dr. Hui-Kuan Lin (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center). Mutated constructs derived from the control HA-tagged and Flag-tagged GLI13A and GLI13E were generated using the Quick Change multisite-directed mutagenesis kit from Stratagene. NheI-forward primer (5’ GGCGAGCTAGCATGGACTACAAAGACCATGAC 3’) and BstBI-reverse primer (5’ AGATTTGACACCCCGATCTCTG 3’) were used to subclone the GLI1WT, GLI13A, and GLI13E into pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-Puro.

Immunoblotting and Immunoprecipitation Assays

Immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation were performed as previously described (Yang et al., 2008), with the following antibodies: Gli1 and GFP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); Gli1, AMPK, p-AMPK, ACC, p-ACC, p-AMPK/Sub, LKB1, JNK, p-JNK, p-38, and p-p38 (Cell Signaling Technology); Actin, Tubulin, Flag-M2 (Sigma), and HA (Roche); and Thio phosphatase Ester Specific Ab (Epitomics). The Gli1 antibody used to generate the data shown was of the Santa Cruz Biotechnology and Cell Signaling Technology antibodies at 1:1,000 dilution in 5% milk.
The diagram shows that activated AMPK directly phosphorylates GLI1 on S102, S408, and T1074 sites. Phosphorylation lowers GLI1 protein stability, thus reducing GLI1 transcriptional activity, and mitigates cell growth.

**Real-Time qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and PZps3^{MCD} cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA (1 μg) was reverse-transcribed with random hexamer primers using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). A fraction (1/20) of the resultant cDNA was used as a template for amplification with TaqMan qPCR probes (Applied Biosystems) on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast thermocycler as follows: Gapdh (Mm99999915_g1), Glil (Mm00494645_m1), and Ptc1 (Mm00436026_m1).

**Cell Culture, MTT Cell Growth, and Colony Formation Assay Analysis**

NIH 3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% bovine calf serum (BCS) at 5% CO2. All other cell cultures were kept in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 5% CO2. The concentrations and time for each chemical treatment were as follows: 2DG (25 mM, 4 hr) and CHX (1 μg ml⁻¹), unless otherwise noted. The cell growth rate was determined using MTT and cell-counting assays (Yang et al., 2008). For colony formation assays, 5 x 10⁴ cells were placed in 1.5 ml DMEM with 10% FBS and 0.3% agarose and overlaid onto 3 ml DMEM with 10% FBS and 0.6% agarose in each well of a six-well plate; medium with or without 25 mM 2DG was applied to each well until the end of the assay. After 2–3 weeks, colonies larger than 2 mm in diameter were counted.
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