Maternal dietary intake of fish and PUFAs and child neurodevelopment at 6 months and 1 year of age: a nationwide birth cohort—the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS)
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ABSTRACT

Background: Although emerging evidence indicates a relation between maternal intake of fish and improved child neurodevelopment, the results are inconsistent.

Objectives: This study investigated whether dietary consumption of fish during pregnancy is associated with offspring neurodevelopment at age 6 mo and 1 y. As exploratory research, we also examined the association between consumption of PUFAs and neurodevelopment at the same time points.

Methods: After exclusion and multiple imputation from a dataset comprising 104,065 records from the Japan Environment and Children’s Study, we evaluated 81,697 and 77,751 mother-child pairs at age 6 mo and 1 y, respectively.

Results: Maternal fish intake during pregnancy was independently associated with reduced risk of delay in problem-solving at age 6 mo (lowest compared with highest quintile OR = 0.88; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.99; P-trend = 0.01) and in fine motor skills (highest quintile OR = 0.90; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.99; P-trend = 0.02) and problem-solving (fourth quintile OR = 0.89; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.98; and highest quintile OR = 0.90; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.99; P-trend = 0.005) at age 1 y. Dietary intake of total n–3 PUFAs was associated with reduced risk of delay in fine motor skills at 6 mo, and in fine motor skills and problem-solving at 1 y. Dietary intake of total n–6 PUFAs was associated with reduced risk of delay in fine motor skills at 6 mo, and in fine motor skills and problem-solving at 1 y. In contrast, the dietary n–6/n–3 ratio was positively associated with increased risk of delay in problem-solving at 1 y.

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest there might be beneficial effects of fish intake during pregnancy on some domains of child psychomotor development and this effect might be partially explained by PUFA intake from fish. Trial registration: UMIN000030786.
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Introduction

Numerous observational studies have found that dietary intake of fish and/or n–3 PUFAs during pregnancy is beneficial for child psychomotor development (1–10). However, other studies have found no effects (11, 12). Fish is known also to be contaminated with methylmercury, dioxins, polychlorinated biphenyls, and several other persistent halogenated organic pollutants, and among these contaminants mercury has detrimental effects on fetal neurodevelopment (13). Greiner et al. (14) conducted a survey in the United States analyzing 310 health-related news
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stories on fish and found that for every 1 benefit message, 4 risk messages appeared. A systematic review revealed that the benefits of diets providing moderate amounts of fish during pregnancy outweigh potential detrimental effects on offspring neurodevelopment (15). In Japan, information disseminated by the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare encourages pregnant women to consume seafood for nutritional benefits (e.g., high-quality protein, DHA, and EPA) but also to pay attention to some species that might contain high mercury concentrations (16).

As far as we know, there have been only 2 observational studies conducted in Japan on the effects of diet during pregnancy on offspring development (17, 18). Suzuki et al. (17) found that total seafood intake during pregnancy was not significantly associated with motor cluster score on the Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale for 3-d-old infants \((n = 498; P > 0.1)\). Miyake et al. (18) examined the association between maternal fatty acid consumption during pregnancy and behavioral problems in 1199 Japanese children at age 5 y; although they did not evaluate the effects of fish consumption specifically, the results for DHA and EPA, which mainly come from consuming fish, showed no significant associations (18). There is no clear explanation for these null associations, but we speculate that the Japanese population is consuming enough fish to mask the difference in findings between the overseas and Japanese studies. In addition, because these 2 studies were restricted to certain geographic areas in Japan (17, 18), a new study that is representative of Japanese pregnant women is warranted.

We hypothesized that fish intake during pregnancy in young mothers might enhance the psychomotor development of their children. To test this hypothesis, we used data from the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS), a national study, to examine whether dietary fish intake during pregnancy was associated with the psychomotor development of offspring at age 6 mo and 1 y. As exploratory research, we also examined the association between consumption of PUFAs and psychomotor development at the same time points.

**Methods**

**Study population**

The JECS protocol is described in detail elsewhere (19, 20). Briefly, the aim of the JECS, a nationwide government-funded birth cohort study, is to evaluate the impact of certain environmental factors on child health and development. The pregnant participants in the JECS were enrolled from 15 Japanese regions from January 2011 to March 2014 (19, 20). The present study is based on the jecs-an-20,180,131 dataset, which was released in March 2018. The full dataset comprises 104,065 records, but we excluded 3921 and 1889 records because of miscarriages/stillbirths and multiple births, respectively (Figure 1). We also excluded 16,558 and 20,504 records because of incomplete answers and inappropriate measurement timings for the Ages & Stages Questionnaires (ASQ-3) and/or incomplete questionnaires on fish intake, n–3 PUFAs, and/or n–6 PUFAs. This left data from 81,697 and 77,751 mother-child pairs at age 6 mo and 1 y, respectively, for analysis.

The study protocol was approved by the Japanese Ministry of the Environment’s Institutional Review Board on Epidemiological Studies and the ethics committees of all participating institutions. All pregnant participants provided written informed consent.

**Measurements of fish and PUFA intakes**

In the JECS, dietary consumption of fish and total n–3 and n–6 PUFAs was determined by the FFQ, which is semiquantitative and has been validated for use in large-scale Japanese epidemiological studies (21). The FFQ was administered in mid–late pregnancy, and participants were asked to respond about their dietary intake in the period between learning of the pregnancy and the second/third trimester. Of the 171 food and beverage items, 21 concern fish or shellfish consumption. Participants answered how often they consumed each food type during the mid–late pregnancy (covering dietary intake after they learned of the pregnancy). The standard portion size for each food type was categorized as small (50% smaller than standard), medium (same as standard), or large (50% larger than standard). For each of the 21 items of fish or shellfish, the standard portion size (with the approximate equivalent size in grams) is as follows: slice of salted fish (70 g); 1 whole dried fish (50 g); quarter of a can of tuna (20 g); slice of salmon or trout (70 g); 4 sashimi slices of bonito or tuna (60 g); 4 sashimi slices of Japanese amberjack (60 g); half slice of cod or flatfish (40 g); slice of sea bream (70 g); 1 whole horse mackerel or sardine (80 g); 1 whole saury or mackerel (80 g); 2 tablespoons of small dried fish (10 g); one-quarter of a clutch of salted roe (20 g); half skewer of eel (50 g); 3 sashimi slices of squid (50 g); one-third of an octopus tentacle (50 g); 2 Chinese white shrimps (40 g); 10 shucked clams (20 g); 10 shucked pond snails (20 g); and fish paste products comprising one-sixth of chikuwa (20 g); 2 slices of kamaboko (20 g), and one-quarter of satsuma-age (20 g). The 9 frequency categories for each item were \(<1 \text{ time/mo}, 1–3 \text{ times/mo}, 1–2 \text{ times/wk}, 3–4 \text{ times/wk}, 5–6 \text{ times/wk, every day, 2–3 times/d, 4–6 times/d, and } \geq 7 \text{ times/d.}

Daily intake of fish (grams per day) was calculated as the frequency of consumption multiplied by the standard portion size for each fish item. The fatty acid composition table of Japanese foods (22) was used to calculate the daily intake of...
n–3 and n–6 PUFAs (data for the individual subtypes of fatty acids are not included in the JECS dataset). We performed log-transformation of fish and PUFA intake and calculated the energy-adjusted intake using the residual model (23). Because there were 4430 individuals for the 6-mo analysis and 4148 for the 1-y analysis whose maternal fish intake was 0 g/d, we replaced this value with 0.03 g/d, which is one-tenth of the lowest fish intake (0.3 g/d) of the participants (excluding 0 g/d). We did the same for 217 individuals for the 6-mo analysis and 189 for the 1-y analysis whose maternal n–3 PUFA intake was 0 g/d, replacing it with 0.001 g/d, which is one-tenth of the lowest n–3 PUFA intake of the participants (excluding 0 g/d).

Psychomotor development

The quantitative use of the ASQ-3, a parent-completed screening method for monitoring children at risk of developmental delay, has been validated in epidemiological studies (24). The ASQ-3 comprises 21 age-specific structured questions divided among 5 domains: communication, gross motor skills, fine motor skills, problem-solving, and personal-social skills (24). Participants were administered the ASQ-3 at age 6 mo and 1 y. In total, the questionnaire comprises 30 questions (6 per domain) that can be answered with either “yes” (10), “sometimes” (5), or “not yet” (0), resulting in a score of 0–60 for each domain. If there were 1 or 2 missing answers out of the 6 questions, the remaining total score was multiplied by a correction coefficient of 1.2 or 1.5, respectively, to adjust the score to 0–60. If >2 of the 6 questions were not answered, the participant was excluded from the analysis. The timings of administering the 2 tools (at 6 mo and 1 y) were set to within a window period of ±1 mo (from 5 mo 0 d to 6 mo 30 d for the 6-mo analysis, and from 11 mo 0 d to 12 mo 30 d for the 1-y analysis); participants to whom the ASQ-3 was administered outside these periods were excluded. Age was corrected for prematurity if a child was born ≥3 wk before the due date. There are 2 items that ask about behaviors at 1 y of age that the child might have performed at one time but no longer does because he or she has acquired more advanced skills (one in the fine motor domain and another in the problem-solving domain). If parents answered “not yet” or “sometimes” to an easier item but “yes” to a more advanced item, the response for the earlier item was changed to “yes” (24). The screen-positive cases for each area are defined as those with scores on or below the respective threshold values, which are set at 2 SDs below the mean (i.e., z-score at or below −2) to yield a sensitivity of 85–92%, specificity of 78–92%, and positive predictive value of 32–64% (24).

Statistical analysis

Unless stated otherwise, data are expressed as mean ± SD or median. We categorized participants according to quintile for fish or PUFA intake to estimate the risk of a participant being on or below the respective threshold (2 SD below the mean) of each domain of development for each level of fish intake and PUFA intake. We chose this 5-group approach because the previous largest study adopted quintiles (5). We then calculated ORs and 95% CIs using logistic regression analysis. In tests for trend, we assigned categorical numbers to the quintile distributions for fish intake and PUFA intake and evaluated them as continuous variables. We included potential confounding factors and covariates in the statistical analysis if previous studies found them to be associated (or are theoretically inferred to be associated) with the outcome. These were assessed by questionnaires administered during early and mid–late pregnancy. Because birth weight, gestation length, and breastfeeding are postexposure covariates and are considered mediators not confounders, we did not adjust for these covariates. The confounding factors and covariates used in this study were as follows: age; previous deliveries (nulliparous or multiparous); prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²) categorized as <18.5, 18.5–25, or ≥25; highest maternal educational level (1, junior high or high school; 2, technical junior college, technical/vocational college, associate degree; 3, bachelor’s degree, postgraduate degree); annual household income (<4 million, 4–6 million, or ≥6 million JPY); marital status [1, married (including common-law status); 2, single (never married); 3, divorced or widowed]; alcohol intake (1, never; 2, previously drank alcohol but quit before learning of the pregnancy; 3, previously drank alcohol but quit after learning of the pregnancy; or 4, currently drinking); 5 participants were miscategorized to a category No. 5 “quit,” which does not exist, so we treated them as having missing data and later imputed them using multiple imputation; smoking status (1, never smoked; 2, previously smoked but quit before learning of current pregnancy; 3, previously smoked but quit after learning of current pregnancy; or 4, currently smoking); physical activity (25, 26) (MET, metabolic equivalent of a task measured as the number of minutes per day); employment status (yes or no); child’s sex; presence of congenital anomaly (yes or no); and use of EPA and/or DHA supplementation (yes for ≥1–3 uses/wk or no for ≤2–3 uses/mon).

We performed multiple imputations for the missing values of covariates by using chained equations (27) to obtain 5 imputed datasets. Data were imputed differently according to time point (i.e., at age 6 mo and 1 y). We included auxiliary variables related to covariates to preserve the assumption of missing at random. Statistical significance was set at a 2-sided P value of <0.05. Analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

Participant characteristics

Table 1 shows the maternal characteristics of the participants according to quintile for fish intake. Compared with those with low reported fish consumption, women with higher fish consumption were slightly older and more likely to be multiparous, have a higher education level and annual household income, be a current drinker, be a nonsmoker, and be more physically active. The associations were similar for dietary n–3 PUFA intake. As for a possible selection bias, of the 104,065 records at baseline, 22,368 records were not used for the 6-mo analysis due to the exclusion criteria described in the Study population section. Compared with nonparticipants, participants were more likely to be older, multiparous, educated, a nonsmoker, and employed and to have higher income. There were no material differences in the other covariates.
Scores for each psychomotor development domain and the respective threshold values

Scores (mean ± SD) for the 5 psychomotor development domains at age 6 mo were 46.6 ± 8.9 for communication, 33.5 ± 12.8 for gross motor, 40.9 ± 14.1 for fine motor, 44.1 ± 12.7 for problem-solving, and 34.3 ± 15.2 for personal-social. At age 1 y, the scores were 37.8 ± 13.4, 42.9 ± 17.5, 48.3 ± 11.6, 42.4 ± 13.6, and 37.1 ± 14.5, respectively. The respective threshold values were calculated by subtracting 2 SDs from the mean for each domain (24): they were 28.9, 7.9, 12.7, 18.8, and 4.0 at 6 mo, and 10.9, 7.8, 25.0, 15.2, and 8.1 at 1 y.

Multivariable logistic regression for scoring at or below −2 SD of the mean for each psychomotor development domain

Table 2 shows the multivariable ORs (with 95% CIs) for scoring at or below −2 SD of the mean for each psychomotor development domain at age 6 mo according to quintile for fish intake during pregnancy (n = 81,697). Reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for problem-solving at 6 mo. A trend test also revealed a significant linear association between fish consumption and problem-solving at 6 mo. At 1 y (Table 3), reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for fine motor and in the fourth and fifth quintiles for problem-solving, with trend tests also revealing significant linear associations. The significantly reduced risk of a 2 SD decline in these domains (P < 0.05) was mostly ~10% compared with the reference group (the lowest group). Given that the prevalence of a 2 SD decline is 2.5%, reducing the risk of a 2 SD decline by 10% would correspond to 0.25% (2.5% × 10%) overall reduction. If we extrapolate this (0.25%) to all infants born in Japan in a 1-y period, which is ~1 million, that would be 2500 infants, indicating 500 infants in each quintile (0.25%/quintile = 0.25% × 1 million, that would be 2500 infants, indicating 500 infants in each quintile (2.5% × 1 million, that would be 2500 infants, indicating 500 infants in each quintile (0.25%/quintile = 0.25%) would be prevented from neurodevelopmental delay.

Table 4 shows the summary of adjusted ORs for dietary intake of fish and alcohol intake during pregnancy (n = 81,697). Reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for fish intake at 6 mo. A trend test also revealed a significant linear association between fish consumption and problem-solving at 6 mo. At 1 y (Table 3), reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for fine motor and in the fourth and fifth quintiles for problem-solving, with trend tests also revealing significant linear associations. The significantly reduced risk of a 2 SD decline in these domains (P < 0.05) was mostly ~10% compared with the reference group (the lowest group). Given that the prevalence of a 2 SD decline is 2.5%, reducing the risk of a 2 SD decline by 10% would correspond to 0.25% (2.5% × 10%) overall reduction. If we extrapolate this (0.25%) to all infants born in Japan in a 1-y period, which is ~1 million, that would be 2500 infants, indicating 500 infants in each quintile (0.25%/quintile = 0.25%) would be prevented from neurodevelopmental delay.

Table 4 shows the summary of adjusted ORs for dietary intake of fish and alcohol intake during pregnancy (n = 81,697). Reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for fish intake at 6 mo. A trend test also revealed a significant linear association between fish consumption and problem-solving at 6 mo. At 1 y (Table 3), reduced risk of delay was found in the fifth quintile for fine motor and in the fourth and fifth quintiles for problem-solving, with trend tests also revealing significant linear associations. The significantly reduced risk of a 2 SD decline in these domains (P < 0.05) was mostly ~10% compared with the reference group (the lowest group). Given that the prevalence of a 2 SD decline is 2.5%, reducing the risk of a 2 SD decline by 10% would correspond to 0.25% (2.5% × 10%) overall reduction. If we extrapolate this (0.25%) to all infants born in Japan in a 1-y period, which is ~1 million, that would be 2500 infants, indicating 500 infants in each quintile (0.25%/quintile = 0.25%) would be prevented from neurodevelopmental delay.
TABLE 2  For 6-mo-old infants, ORs (95% CIs) of scores at or below −2 SDs of the mean for each development domain according to quintile for fish intake during pregnancy (n = 81,697)

| Development Domain | Quintile for fish intake | P value for trend |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|                    | 1 (low) | 2                  | 3                | 4                | 5 (high) | 6               |
| Median intake of fish, g/d | 5.4     | 18.6              | 29.9             | 43.5             | 69.3     |
| Communication | | | | | |
| Scores > 2 SDs below average | 16,032 | 16,003             | 16,017           | 16,013           | 16,021 |
| Scores ≤ 2 SDs below average | 307     | 337               | 322             | 327             | 318     |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 1.10 (0.94, 1.29) | 1.05 (0.90, 1.23) | 1.07 (0.91, 1.25) | 1.04 (0.89, 1.21) |
|Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 1.02 (0.87, 1.19) | 0.95 (0.81, 1.11) | 0.94 (0.81, 1.11) | 0.93 (0.79, 1.09) |
|Gross motor | | | | | |
| Scores > 2 SDs below average | 16,061 | 16,015             | 16,040           | 16,051           | 16,057 |
| Scores ≤ 2 SDs below average | 278     | 325               | 299             | 289             | 282     |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 1.17 (0.997, 1.38) | 1.08 (0.91, 1.27) | 1.04 (0.88, 1.23) | 1.02 (0.86, 1.20) |
|Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 1.13 (0.96, 1.33) | 1.03 (0.87, 1.21) | 0.98 (0.83, 1.16) | 0.96 (0.81, 1.13) |
| Fine motor | | | | | |
| Scores > 2 SDs below average | 15,892 | 15,918             | 15,887           | 15,897           | 15,885 |
| Scores ≤ 2 SDs below average | 447     | 422               | 452             | 443             | 454     |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 0.94 (0.82, 1.08) | 1.01 (0.89, 1.16) | 0.99 (0.87, 1.13) | 1.02 (0.89, 1.16) |
|Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.86 (0.75, 0.99) | 0.90 (0.78, 1.03) | 0.86 (0.75, 0.98) | 0.90 (0.78, 1.02) |
| Problem-solving | | | | | |
| Scores > 2 SDs below average | 15,690 | 15,667             | 15,704           | 15,690           | 15,714 |
| Scores ≤ 2 SDs below average | 649     | 673               | 635             | 650             | 625     |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 1.04 (0.93, 1.16) | 0.98 (0.87, 1.09) | 1.00 (0.90, 1.12) | 0.96 (0.86, 1.08) |
|Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.98 (0.88, 1.09) | 0.90 (0.81, 1.01) | 0.91 (0.81, 1.02) | 0.88 (0.79, 0.99) |
| Personal-social | | | | | |
| Scores > 2 SDs below average | 16,120 | 16,127             | 16,103           | 16,114           | 16,095 |
| Scores ≤ 2 SDs below average | 219     | 213               | 236             | 226             | 244     |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 0.97 (0.80, 1.18) | 1.08 (0.90, 1.30) | 1.03 (0.86, 1.25) | 1.12 (0.93, 1.34) |
|Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.91 (0.75, 1.10) | 0.98 (0.82, 1.19) | 0.92 (0.76, 1.11) | 1.01 (0.84, 1.22) |

1 Dietary intake between learning of pregnancy and the second/third trimester. Quintile medians in grams per day adjusted energy intake using the residual method.
2 Covariates were adjusted for age, previous deliveries, prepregnancy BMI, highest maternal educational level, annual household income, marital status, alcohol intake, smoking status, physical activity, employment status, presence of congenital anomaly, child’s sex, and use of EPA and/or DHA supplementation.

PUFAs according to psychomotor development domain. Details for fish intake are shown in Tables 2 and 3, n–3 PUFAs in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2, n–6 PUFAs in Supplemental Tables 3 and 4, and n–6/n–3 PUFAs in Supplemental Tables 5 and 6.

Supplemental Tables 1 and 2 show the multivariable ORs and 95% CIs at 6 mo and 1 y, respectively, according to quintile for n–3 PUFAs intake during pregnancy. Significantly reduced risk of delay was observed in some quintiles for communication, fine motor, and problem-solving. A trend test also revealed a significant linear association between n–3 PUFAs consumption and fine motor at 6 mo. In the analysis of 1-y-olds, the significant risk reduction had disappeared in communication whereas a significant association emerged in the personal domain. The largest risk reduction was in problem-solving in the fifth quintile (OR = 0.79; 95% CI: 0.71, 0.87). Trend tests revealed significant linear associations of n–3 PUFAs consumption with fine motor and problem-solving.

Supplemental Tables 3 and 4 show the multivariable ORs and 95% CIs for age 6 mo and 1 y, respectively, according to quintile for n–6 PUFAs intake during pregnancy. Significantly reduced risk of delay was observed in some quintiles for communication and fine motor at 6 mo, with a trend test revealing a significant linear association between n–6 PUFAs consumption and fine motor. However, at 1 y, the significant risk reduction had disappeared in communication and emerged in gross motor and problem-solving. Trend tests revealed significant linear associations of n–6 PUFAs consumption with gross motor, fine motor, and problem-solving.

Supplemental Tables 5 and 6 show the multivariable ORs and 95% CIs for 6 mo and 1 y, respectively, according to quintile for n–6/n–3 PUFAs intake during pregnancy. There was significantly increased risk in the fifth quintiles for problem-solving at both 6 mo and 1 y, with a trend test revealing a significant linear association between the n–6/n–3 ratio and problem-solving at 1 y. Also, there was a significantly reduced risk of delay in the fourth quintile for gross motor at 1 y.

Discussion

Maternal fish intake during pregnancy was independently associated with reduced risk of delay in some domains of child psychomotor development (mainly in fine motor and problem-solving) at age 6 mo and 1 y. Dietary intake of total n–3 and total n–6 PUFAs was also associated with a reduced risk in some domains. In contrast, the dietary n–6/n–3 PUFAs ratio was associated with increased risk of delay in problem-solving. At the
same time, however, we need to acknowledge the large number of nonsignificant associations that exist.

The findings of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using fish oil and/or n–3 PUFAs are inconsistent (28, 29), whereas many observational studies have shown benefits (1–10) but not all (11, 12). In general, RCTs provide a higher level of evidence than observational studies, although RCTs have some limitations, such as being unable to actively reduce nutrient intake due to ethical reasons, not being suitable for evaluating long-term interventions, and not being able to intervene with the consumption of fish as a whole food. In these aspects, observational studies have the advantage; they can compare between extremely low and high consumption of nutrients and are suitable for long-term follow-up, enabling us to investigate the effects of nutrient intake in utero over the long term, which is important to consider in the developmental origin of health and disease (DOHaD) theory. We have previously reported that PUFA deficiency during the early neurodevelopmental period in mice could model the prodromal state of schizophrenia through changes in the epigenetic regulation (DOHaD theory) of nuclear receptor genes (30). Other animal studies have indicated that epigenetic phenomena could be an important mechanism underlying the DOHaD theory and that RCTs with nutritional interventions might need to be started preconceptionally (31).

Conducting this kind of RCT is essentially unrealistic, giving observational studies the advantage in this case. Consuming fish as a whole food might offer synergistic effects of nutrients (32) because fish is a good source of nutrients that are important for infant development such as iodine and vitamins A, D, and B-12 (33). Nevertheless, a disadvantage of observational studies is that the associations observed could be attributable to confounding by unmeasured confounding variables and/or residual confounding, which is a limitation of the present study by nature of its design.

Besides DHA, arachidonic acid (AA) is also an important PUFA. It is abundant in the gray matter [∼8–10% for AA and 9–14% for DHA (34, 35)] and is a required precursor for eicosanoids such as PGs, thromboxane, and leukotriene, which are potent regulatory and inflammatory substances (36). AA also showed neuroprotective effects in an animal study (37) and was involved in the growth of neurites in a cell culture study (38). Our results showed that the effects of n–6 PUFAs on neurodevelopment (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4) were similar to those of n–3 PUFAs (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). However, for the n–6/n–3 ratio, detrimental effects emerged for the problem-solving domain in the highest quintile group (median ratio = 7.28–7.29; Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). If we take a closer look at the ORs for both the n–6/n–3 ratio and

### TABLE 3

For 1-y-old infants, ORs (95% CIs) of scores at or below −2 SDs of the mean for each development domain according to quintile for fish intake during pregnancy (n = 77,751)

| Quartile for fish intake | 1 (low) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (high) |
|--------------------------|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| Median intake of fish,1 g/d | 5.5 | 18.6 | 30.0 | 43.5 | 69.1 |
| **Communication** | | | | | |
| Scores >2 SDs below average | 15,062 | 15,014 | 15,003 | 15,032 | 15,020 |
| Scores ≤2 SDs below average | 488 | 536 | 548 | 518 | 530 |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 1.10 (0.97, 1.25) | 1.13 (0.99, 1.28) | 1.06 (0.94, 1.21) | 1.09 (0.96, 1.23) |
| Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 1.05 (0.93, 1.20) | 0.98 (0.86, 1.11) | 1.00 (0.88, 1.14) | 0.4 |
| """Gross motor"" | | | | | |
| Scores >2 SDs below average | 14,683 | 14,674 | 14,619 | 14,593 | 14,646 |
| Scores ≤2 SDs below average | 867 | 876 | 932 | 957 | 904 |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 1.01 (0.92, 1.11) | 1.08 (0.98, 1.19) | 1.11 (1.01, 1.22) | 1.05 (0.95, 1.15) |
| Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.97 (0.88, 1.07) | 1.03 (0.94, 1.13) | 1.04 (0.95, 1.15) | 0.09 |
| **Fine motor** | | | | | |
| Scores >2 SDs below average | 14,603 | 14,620 | 14,581 | 14,633 | 14,659 |
| Scores ≤2 SDs below average | 947 | 930 | 970 | 917 | 891 |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 0.98 (0.89, 1.08) | 1.03 (0.94, 1.13) | 0.97 (0.88, 1.06) | 0.94 (0.85, 1.03) |
| Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.95 (0.87, 1.05) | 0.99 (0.90, 1.08) | 0.92 (0.84, 1.01) | 0.90 (0.81, 0.99) |
| **Problem-solving** | | | | | |
| Scores >2 SDs below average | 14,688 | 14,693 | 14,699 | 14,756 | 14,747 |
| Scores ≤2 SDs below average | 862 | 857 | 852 | 794 | 803 |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 0.99 (0.90, 1.10) | 0.99 (0.90, 1.09) | 0.92 (0.83, 1.01) | 0.93 (0.84, 1.02) |
| Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.98 (0.89, 1.08) | 0.97 (0.88, 1.07) | 0.89 (0.81, 0.98) | 0.90 (0.81, 0.99) |
| **Personal-social** | | | | | |
| Scores >2 SDs below average | 15,086 | 15,097 | 15,096 | 15,039 | 15,056 |
| Scores ≤2 SDs below average | 464 | 453 | 455 | 511 | 494 |
| Crude OR | 1.00 | 0.98 (0.86, 1.11) | 0.98 (0.86, 1.12) | 1.11 (0.97, 1.26) | 1.07 (0.94, 1.21) |
| Adjusted OR | 1.00 | 0.92 (0.81, 1.05) | 0.91 (0.80, 1.04) | 1.01 (0.89, 1.15) | 0.99 (0.87, 1.12) |

| Covariates were adjusted for age, previous deliveries, prepregnancy BMI, highest maternal educational level, annual household income, marital status, alcohol intake, smoking status, physical activity, employment status, presence of congenital anomaly, child’s sex, and use of EPA and/or DHA supplementation. | | | | | |
Our findings of this detrimental effect of the n–6/n–3 ratio are consistent with those of a French study (among never-breastfed women). Second, as mentioned earlier, due to the observational nature of the study, the results could have been confounded by unmeasured residual factors. For instance, fish intake might function as a proxy for an overall healthy lifestyle (43). Third, use of the FFQ has not been validated in pregnant women. Therefore, the dataset did not consider n–3 PUFA subtypes (e.g., EPA, DHA, α-linolenic acid, and docosapentaenoic acid). Fourth, the ASQ-3 findings are based on parental report, which raises a risk of recall bias, and almost all of the important confounders were included in the model. Moreover, the FFQ contains as many as 21 items related to fish or shellfish consumption (21).

There are also, however, some limitations of this study to consider. First, use of the FFQ has not been validated in pregnant women. Second, as mentioned earlier, due to the observational nature of the study, the results could have been confounded by unmeasured residual factors. For instance, fish intake might function as a proxy for an overall healthy lifestyle (43). Third, the dataset did not consider n–3 PUFA subtypes (e.g., EPA, DHA, α-linolenic acid, and docosapentaenoic acid). Fourth, the ASQ-3 findings are based on parental report, which raises a risk of recall bias, and almost all of the important confounders were included in the model. Moreover, the FFQ contains as many as 21 items related to fish or shellfish consumption (21).

There are also, however, some limitations of this study to consider. First, use of the FFQ has not been validated in pregnant women. Second, as mentioned earlier, due to the observational nature of the study, the results could have been confounded by unmeasured residual factors. For instance, fish intake might function as a proxy for an overall healthy lifestyle (43). Third, the dataset did not consider n–3 PUFA subtypes (e.g., EPA, DHA, α-linolenic acid, and docosapentaenoic acid). Fourth, the ASQ-3 findings are based on parental report, which raises a risk of recall bias, and almost all of the important confounders were included in the model. Moreover, the FFQ contains as many as 21 items related to fish or shellfish consumption (21).

There are also, however, some limitations of this study to consider. First, use of the FFQ has not been validated in pregnant women. Second, as mentioned earlier, due to the observational nature of the study, the results could have been confounded by unmeasured residual factors. For instance, fish intake might function as a proxy for an overall healthy lifestyle (43). Third, the dataset did not consider n–3 PUFA subtypes (e.g., EPA, DHA, α-linolenic acid, and docosapentaenoic acid). Fourth, the ASQ-3 findings are based on parental report, which raises a risk of recall bias, and almost all of the important confounders were included in the model. Moreover, the FFQ contains as many as 21 items related to fish or shellfish consumption (21).
concern about subjectivity on the part of the parents, possibly inflating positive effects. Fifth, only 4 smoking statuses were available during pregnancy (1, never smoked; 2, previously smoked but quit before learning of current pregnancy; 3, previously smoked but quit after learning of current pregnancy; and 4, currently smoking). If data of the amount actually smoked were available instead, the association between n–3 PUFAs and neurodevelopment might have been clearer because the amount could affect PUFAs through degradation (44). Sixth, because rapid and dynamic brain development continues until 2 y of age (45), postnatal dietary exposure should also have been taken into consideration. Seventh, because the participants in this study are a representative sample of Japanese pregnant women whose fish consumption is high compared with their Western counterparts, the present findings might not be generalizable to Western populations. Lastly, due to multiple comparison, it is likely that some of the observed differences could be due to chance.

In terms of clinical implications, the evidence supporting the efficacy of n–3 PUFAs in child neurodevelopment is still inconsistent (28, 29). However, because there is considerable evidence of the beneficial effects of fish consumption on neurodevelopment, we advocate that fish—especially fatty fish (10)—be recommended to pregnant women, as long as the fish species are limited to those not containing methylmercury. In terms of research implications, because impaired early communication skills can be recognizable as symptoms of autism even in the first year of life (46, 47), more follow-up is needed to explore other aspects of neurodevelopment such as mental health and behavior.

To conclude, dietary intake of fish and PUFAs (both n–3 and n–6) in pregnancy was partly associated with a reduced risk of suboptimal neurodevelopment outcomes at age 6 mo and 1 y. The dietary n–6:n–3 ratio was positively associated with increased risk for 1 neurodevelopmental domain. The present findings should be interpreted with caution, and future study is needed to focus on older children to examine if the beneficial effects persist.

We are grateful to all of the participants of the JECS and to all individuals involved in data collection. Members of the JECS Group as of 2020 were: Michihiro Kamijima (principal investigator, Nagoya City University, Nagoya, Japan), Shin Yamazaki (National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan), Yukihito Ohya (National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan), Reiko Kishi (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan), Nobuo Yaegashi (Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan), Koichi Hashimoto (Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan), Chisato Mori (Chiba University, Chiba, Japan), Shuichiro Ito (Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan), Zentaro Yamagata (University of Yamashina, Chuo, Japan), Hidekuni Inada (University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan), Takeo Nakayama (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan), Hiroysau Ito (Osaka University, Suita, Japan), Masayuki Shima (Hyogo College of Medicine, Nishinomiya, Japan), Youichi Kurozawa (Tottori University, Yonago, Japan), Narufumi Suganuma (Kochi University, Nankoku, Japan), Koichi Kusuhara (University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan), and Takahiko Katoh (Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan).

The authors’ responsibilities were as follows—KH: designed research; the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS) Group: conducted the research; KH: analyzed the data; KH: wrote the paper; KH: has primary responsibility for final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

KH has received a research grant from the First Bank of Toyama Scholarship Foundation, speaking honoraria from the DHA&EPA Association, Niigata Medical Association, Toyama Medical Association, and Toyama Occupational Health Promotion Center, and a supervision fee from Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Williams C, Birch EE, Emmett PM, Northstone K, Avon Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood Study Team. Stereocuity at age 3.5 y in children born full-term is associated with prenatal and postnatal dietary factors: a report from a population-based cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr 2001;73:316–22.
2. Daniels JL, Longnecker MP, Rowland AS, Golding J, ALSPAC Study Team, University of Bristol Institute of Child Health. Fish intake during pregnancy and early cognitive development of offspring. Epidemiology 2004;15:394–402.
3. Oken E, Wright RO, Kleinman KP, Bellinger D, Amarasiriwardena CJ, Hu H, Rich-Edwards JW, Gillman MW. Maternal fish consumption, hair mercury, and infant cognition in a U.S. cohort. Environ Health Perspect 2005;113:1376–80.
4. Hibbeln JR, Davis JM, Steer C, Emmett P, Rogers I, Williams C, Golding J. Maternal seafood consumption in pregnancy and neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood (ALSPAC study): an observational cohort study. Lancet 2007;369:578–85.
5. Oken E, Osterdal ML, Gillman MW, Knudsen VK, Halldorsson TI, Strom M, Bellinger DC, Hadders-Algra M, Michaeelsen KF, Olsen SF. Associations of maternal fish intake during pregnancy and breastfeeding duration with attainment of developmental milestones in early childhood: a study from the Danish National Birth Cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88:789–96.
6. Oken E, Radesky JS, Wright RO, Bellinger DC, Amarasiriwardena CJ, Kleinman KP, Hu H, Gillman MW. Maternal fish intake during pregnancy, blood mercury levels, and child cognition at age 3 years in a US cohort. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1711–81.
7. Gale CR, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM, Law CM, Schlotz W, O’Callaghan FJ. Oil fish intake during pregnancy—association with lower hyperactivity but not with higher full-scale IQ in offspring. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2008;49:1061–8.
8. Mendez MA, Torrent MJ, Julvez J, Ribas-Fito N, Kogevinas M, Sunyer J. Maternal fish and other seafood intakes during pregnancy and child neurodevelopment at age 4 years. Public Health Nutr 2009;12:1702–10.
9. Sagiv SK, Thurston SW, Bellinger DC, Amarasiriwardena C, Korrick SA. Prenatal exposure to mercury and fish consumption during pregnancy and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-related behavior in children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2012;166:1123–31.
10. Julvez J, Mendez M, Fernandez-Barres S, Romagueria D, Vioque J, Llopt S, Ibarluza J, Guexens M, Avella-Garcia C, Tardun A, et al. Maternal consumption of seafood in pregnancy and child neuropsychological development: a longitudinal study based on a population with high consumption levels. Am J Epidemiol 2016;183:169–82.
11. Davidson PW, Strain JJ, Myers GJ, Thurston SW, Bonham MP, Shamlaye CF, Stokes-Riner A, Wallace JM, Robson PJ, Duffy EM, et al. Neurodevelopmental effects of maternal nutritional status and exposure to methylmercury from eating fish during pregnancy. Neurotoxicology 2008;29:767–75.
12. Kim H, Kim H, Lee E, Kim Y, Ha EH, Chang N. Association between maternal intake of n-6 to n-3 fatty acid ratio during pregnancy and infant neurodevelopment at age 6 months of age: results of the MOCEH cohort study. Nutr J 2017;16:23.
13. Costa LG. Contaminants in fish: risk-benefit considerations. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol 2007;58:367–74.
14. Greiner A, Clegg Smith K, Guallar E. Something fishy? News media presentation of complex health issues related to fish consumption guidelines. Public Health Nutr 2010;13:1786–94.
15. Starling P, Charlton K, McMahon AT, Lucas C. Fish intake during pregnancy and foetal neurodevelopment—a systematic review of the evidence. Nutrients 2015;7:2001–14.
16. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. [For you who is going to be a mother] [Internet]. 2010 [cited May 1, 2020]. Available from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/topics/bukyoku/iyaku/syoku-anzen/suigin/dl/051102-2a.pdf. Japanese.
17. Suzuki K, Nakai K, Sugawara T, Nakamura T, Ohba T, Shimada M, Hosokawa T, Okamura K, Sakai T, Kurokawa N, et al. Neurobehavioral effects of prenatal exposure to methylmercury and PCBs, and seafood consumption in pregnant women. Neurotoxicology 2003;24:79–87.
intake: neonatal behavioral assessment scale results of Tohoku study of child development. Environ Res 2010;110:699–704.

18. Miyake Y, Tanaka K, Okubo H, Sasaki S, Arakawa M. Maternal fat intake during pregnancy and behavioral problems in 5-year-old Japanese children. Nutrition 2018;50:91–6.

19. Kawamoto T, Nitta H, Murata K, Toda E, Tsukamoto N, Hasegawa M, Yamagata Z, Kayama K, Fki R, Ohy A, et al. Rationale and study design of the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS). BMC Public Health 2014;14:25.

20. Michikawa T, Nitta H, Nakayama SF, Yamazaki Y, Tanaka K, Okubo H, Sasaki S, Arakawa M. Maternal fat intake: validity and reliability of self-administered food frequency questionnaires. J Epidemiol 2018;28:99–104.

21. Yokoyama Y, Takachi R, Ishihara J, Ishii Y, Sawada N, Shinozawa Y, Tanaka J, Kato E, Kitamura K, et al. Validity of short and long self-administered food frequency questionnaires in ranking dietary intake in middle-aged and elderly Japanese in the Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study for the Next Generation (JPHC-NEXT) protocol area. J Epidemiol 2016;26:420–32.

22. Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. Fifth revision of the Nutrition Labeling System. Tokyo: Japan Public Health Foundation; 2005. Japanese.

23. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65:1220S–8; discussion 95–31S.

24. Squires J, Bricker D. Ages & stages questionnaires: third edition (ASQ-3). Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing Co; 2009.

25. Murase N, Katsumura T, Ueda C, Inoue S, Shimomitsu T. The assortment of physical activity questionnaires and questionnaires for children. J Health Welfare Statistics 2002;49:1–9. Japanese.

26. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:1381–95.

27. van Buuren S. Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. Stat Methods Med Res 2007;16:219–42.

28. Shulkin M, Pimpin L, Bellinger D, Kranz S, Fawzi W, Duggan C, Mozaffarian D. n-3 fatty acid supplementation in mothers, preterm infants, and term infants and childhood psychomotor and visual development: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nutr 2018;148:409–18.

29. Middleton P, Gomersall JC, Gould JR, Shepherd E, Olsen SF, Makrides M. Omega-3 fatty acid addition during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;11:CD003402.

30. Maekawa M, Watanabe I, Iwayama Y, Kimura T, Hamazaki T, Yoshikawa T, Totsuka H, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acid deficiency during neurodevelopment in mice models of the prodromal state of schizophrenia through epigenetic changes in nuclear receptor genes. Transl Psychiatry 2017;7:e1229.

31. Fall CH. Fetal malnutrition and long-term outcomes. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser 2013;74:11–25.

32. Jacobs DR Jr, Tapsell LC. Food, not nutrients, is the fundamental unit in nutrition. Nutr Rev 2007;65:439–50.

33. Simpson JL, Bailey LB, Pietrzik K, Shane B, Holzgreve W. Micronutrients and women of reproductive potential: required dietary intake and consequences of dietary deficiency or excess. Part II—vitamin D, vitamin A, iron, zinc, iodine, essential fatty acids. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2011;24:1–24.

34. Hamazaki T, Hamazaki K, Inadera H. Fatty acid composition in the postmortem amygdala of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. J Psychiatr Res 2012;46:1024–8.

35. Hamazaki K, Maekawa M, Toyota T, Iwayama Y, Dean B, Hamazaki T, Yoshikawa T. Fatty acid composition and fatty acid binding protein expression in the postmortem frontal cortex of patients with schizophrenia: a case-control study. Schizophr Res 2016;171:225–32.

36. Simopoulos AP. Omega-3 fatty acids in inflammation and autoimmune diseases. J Am Coll Nutr 2002;21:495–505.

37. Wang ZJ, Liang CL, Li GM, Yu CY, Yin M. Neuroprotective effects of arachidonic acid against oxidative stress on rat hippocampal slices. Chem Biol Interact 2006;163:207–17.

38. Darios F, Davletov B. Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids stimulate cell membrane expansion by acting on syntaxin 3. Nature 2006;440:813–17.

39. Favrais G, Saliba E. Neurodevelopmental outcome of late-preterm infants: literature review. Arch Pediatr 2019;26:492–6.

40. Reece MS, McGregor JA, Allen KG, Harris MA. Maternal and perinatal long-chain fatty acids: possible roles in preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;176:907–14.

41. Allen KG, Harris MA. The role of n-3 fatty acids in gestation and parturition. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2001;226:498–506.

42. Bernard JY, De Agostini M, De Lauzon-Guillain B, Charles MA, Heude B, EDEN Mother-Child Cohort Study Group. The dietary n-3 fatty acid ratio during pregnancy is inversely associated with child neurodevelopment in the EDEN mother-child cohort. J Nutr 2013;143:1481–8.

43. Schiepers OJ, De Groot RH, Jolles J, van Boxtel MP. Fish consumption, not fatty acid status, is related to quality of life in a healthy population. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2010;83:31–5.

44. Hodson L, Skea M, Fielding BA. Fatty acid composition of adipose tissue and blood in humans and its use as a biomarker of dietary intake. Prog Lipid Res 2008;47:348–80.

45. Gilmore JH, Knuckmeyer RC, Gao W. Imaging structural and functional brain development in early childhood. Nat Rev Neurosci 2018;19:123–37.

46. Maestro S, Muratori F, Cesari A, Cavallaro MC, Ponzio G, Pecini C, Grassi C, Manfredi A, Sommario C. Course of autism signs in the first year of life. Psychopathology 2005;38:26–31.

47. Zwagenbaum L, Bryson S, Rogers T, Roberts W, Brian J, Szatmari P. Behavioral manifestations of autism in the first year of life. Int J Dev Neurosci 2005;23:143–52.