Correlated insulating phases of twisted bilayer graphene at commensurate filling fractions: a Hartree-Fock study
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Motivated by the recently observed insulating states in twisted bilayer graphene (TBG), we study the nature of the correlated insulating phases of the TBG at commensurate filling fractions. We use the continuum model and project the Coulomb interaction onto the flat bands to study the ground states by using a Hartree-Fock approximation. In the absence of the hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) substrate, the ground states are the intervalley coherence states at the charge neutrality (filling \( \nu = 0 \), or 4 electron per Moire cell), and at \( \nu = -1/4 \) and \(-1/2\) (3 and 2 electrons per cell, respectively), and the \( C_2T \) symmetry broken state at \( \nu = -3/4 \) (1 electron per cell). The hBN substrate drives the ground states at all \( \nu \) into \( C_2T \) symmetry broken states. Our results provide good reference points for further study of the rich correlated physics in the TBG.

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of flat bands and superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene (TBG) has promoted intensive investigations of the newly layered systems coupled by weak van der Waals interaction. In analogy to high-temperature cuprates, it was thought that superconductivity in TBG is closely related to the strongly correlated insulating phase at half fillings. Insulating states (with and without hBN substrates alignment) have now also been observed in experiments at other fillings with integer number of electrons per Moire cell. Many theories have been proposed to understand the superconductivity and the correlated insulating states. Theoretically, there are mainly two methods to construct the TBG effective models. One is to use the continuum model by scattering between Dirac points that belong to different layers. The other is to construct tight-binding models from local Wannier orbitals.

In this work, we use the continuum model to carry out a self-consistent mean-field study, which is equivalent to the Hartree-Fock approximation, for TBG commensurate fillings corresponding to integer numbers of electrons per Moire cell. Due to particle-hole symmetry, we only need to consider fillings \( \nu = -3/4, -1/4, -1/2 \) and 0 for one, two, three and four electrons occupying the 8 Moiré flat bands, respectively. The ground states at positive fillings can be obtained by particle-hole symmetry. The flat bands are characterized by spin \( s \), valley \( \tau \) and band index \( m \). In addition to the spin degrees of freedom, there are two sets of flat bands, which can be denoted as \( \tau = \pm \), resulted from the interlayer scattering of the states around the Dirac points at the graphene valley \( K \), as shown in Fig.1(b).

We project the Coulomb interaction between electrons into the flat band basis. This process is formally identical to the projection of the Coulomb interaction to the lowest Landau level in the fractional quantum Hall effect. Then, we can apply a Hartree-Fock mean-field theory by decoupling the projected interactions into momentum dependent order parameters. The main results are summarized in Fig.1(a). There are mainly two kinds of states, intervalley coherence states (IVC) and the \( C_2T \) breaking states (\( C_2TI \)). The intra-valley interband scattering favors \( C_2TI \) (red arrow in Fig.1(b)) while...
the inter valley scattering favors IVC states (cyan arrow in Fig.1(b)). If the substrate potential $\Delta_{hBN}=0$, IVC states are found to be the ground state for $v = -1/2, -1/4$ and 0, while $C_2'T'$ states are found to be the ground states for $v = -3/4$. By increasing $\Delta_{hBN}$, $C_2'T'$ states become more stable comparing to IVC states. Decreasing the bandwidth of the Moiré bands reduces the energy difference between the IVC state and $C_2'T'$ state, but does not affect the ground state until the Moiré bands become completely flat where the two states are degenerate in energy.

Recently, the continuum model based Hartree-Fock approximation has been carried out by several groups. Xie et al.22 and Liu et al.22 have adopted the Hartree-Fock calculations that include flat bands as well as many remote bands to address the correlation and topological properties of TBG. Specifically, the latter introduces the momentum independent order parameters but studies more complete phase diagram at $\pm 1/2$ and $\pm 3/4$ fillings. Moreover Liu et al.22, Bultinck et al.22 have also applied a similar approximation by projecting the interactions to the lower energy bands to study the insulating phase at the charge neutral point.

This work is organized as follows. We start from the discussion of the continuum model and the Hartree-Fock mean-field formulation in Section II. In Section III, we discuss the main results at charge neutrality $v=0$, half-filling $v=1/2$ and $v=3/4$ filling. Then, we will briefly mention the results at $v=1/4$ filling and finalize the discussion in Section IV.

II. FORMULATION

A. Moiré lattice structure

The Moiré pattern is formed by twisting the top and bottom layers of an aligned bilayer graphene by angles $\theta/2$ and $-\theta/2$. As shown in the panel (c) in Fig.1, the formed periodic superlattice can be viewed as a triangular lattice of the AA region, where the atoms from the same sublattice of the two layers lie on top of each other with the lattice vectors $L_{M1} = (0, -1)L_M$, $L_{M2} = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})L_M$ and $L_M = a_0/(2 \sin(\theta/2))$, where $a_0=0.246$ nm, is the lattice constant of the monolayer graphene. The corresponding reciprocal lattice vectors of the Moiré lattice are $G_1 = (-\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}a_0}, -\frac{2\pi}{a_0})$ and $G_2 = (-\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{a_0}, 0)$. After the twisting, the momentum of the two Dirac points of the two layers becomes $K_1$ and $K_2$ they and are equivalent to the $-\tau K_1$ and $-\tau K_2$ in the Moiré Brillouin zone as shown in Fig.1(d).

B. Continuum model

The low energy physics of TBG can be described by the continuum model introduced by Bistritzer and MacDonald.31,32 Here we formulate the continuum model as described by the Hamiltonian,

$$ H_{BM}^{\tau}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar v_F (\mathbf{k} - K_1^\tau) \cdot \sigma^\tau & U \\ U^* & -\hbar v_F (\mathbf{k} - K_2^\tau) \cdot \sigma^\tau \end{pmatrix} $$

where $\tau = \pm$ is the valley index, $\sigma^\tau = (\tau \sigma_x, \sigma_y)$ which is the Pauli matrices defined in the A,B sub lattice space. $K^\tau$ correspond to the two inequivalent Dirac points of the unrotated monolayer graphene, while $K_1^\tau$ and $K_2^\tau$ are the corresponding Dirac points of the bottom and top layers that are twisted by angles $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\mathbf{\alpha}_\tau$. The interlayer tunneling between the the Dirac states in the two layers is described by the matrix

$$ U = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & u_1 \\ u_1 & u_0 \end{pmatrix} $$

where $u_0$ and $u_1$ are the intra-sublattice and inter-sublattice interlayer tunneling amplitudes. This continuum Hamiltonian is spin independent, so it has two SU(2) symmetries at each valley. Since it does not have terms that couple the two valleys, it also has a $U_s(1)$ vector charge conservation in addition to the $U_s(1)$ for total charge conservation symmetry. The eigenstate of $H_{BM}^{\tau}$ can be written in the Bloch wavefunction form

$$ \psi_{m,\tau}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} u_{m,\tau}\mathbf{G}\chi(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{G})} $$

where $X = \{A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2\}$ is the layer and sublattice index with the eigen-energy $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\tau}$. Here, m and $\tau$ are the band and valley indices and we omit the spin index s here since the Hamiltonian is spin independent. Throughout the paper, we fix the parameters as $\hbar v_F/a_0=2.365$ eV, the twist angle is fixed at $\theta=1.086^\circ$. The inter-sublattice interlayer coupling is chosen as $u_1=0.11$ eV, so that the Moiré bands are completely flat when the intra-sublattice interlayer coupling $u_0$ vanishes. In order to see the effect of Moiré bandwidth, we tune the $u_0$ from the realistic value of 0.08 eV which corresponds to a bandwidth of 1.8 meV to the value of 0.01 eV resulting in a bandwidth of 0.03 meV.

C. Mean field theory

In order to study the effects of the Coulomb interaction, we apply our mean-field theory in the momentum space to decouple the interaction term. We solve a set of self-consistent equations to search for possible symmetry breaking states due to the Coulomb interaction. The mean-field calculation is performed in momentum space, which allows us to avoid the real space Wannier obstruction.12,13,16,41,42 Moreover, in our calculation, we project the Coulomb interaction onto the two flat bands. More details of the projection to the flat bands are provided in appendix A.

After projecting onto the two flat bands, the total Hamiltonian becomes

$$ H = \sum_{m\tau\mathbf{G}} (\epsilon_{m\mathbf{G}\tau} - \mu) d_{m\mathbf{G}\tau}^\dagger d_{m\mathbf{G}\tau} $$

$$ + \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2\}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}\tau'}^{\mathbf{q}\tau'} d_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}\tau'}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}\tau'} d_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}\tau'} d_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}\tau'} $$

$$ d_{m\mathbf{G}\tau}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} d_{m\mathbf{k}\tau}^\dagger d_{m\mathbf{k}\tau} $$

where $\mathbf{G}$ is the reciprocal lattice vectors of the Moiré lattice and $\mathbf{\alpha}$ is the Dirac point in the unrotated monolayer graphene.
where $S$ is the total area, $d_{\text{skr}}$ and $d_{\text{akr}}$ are the creation and annihilation operators in the projected flat band basis. Here, we neglect the intervalley interaction due to the Coulomb scattering between the two valleys $V(K^+ - K^-)$ whose ratio to the intravalley interaction can be estimated by $a/L_M$ which is negligible for small twist angle. The projected interaction is derived from the Coulomb interaction $V(q)$ as

$$V_{\tau \tau'}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)(k_1, k_2, q) = \sum_{G} V(q + G)$$

$$\lambda_{m_1, m_2, \tau}(k_1 - q, k_1 + G) \lambda_{m_3, m_4, \tau'}(k_2, k_2 + q + G)$$

where $\lambda_{m,\tau}(k, k + G)$ is the form factor written in terms of the Bloch wavefunction Eq. 3 as

$$\lambda_{m_1, m_2, \tau}(k_1, k_2 + G) = \sum_{G',X} u^*_{m_1, \tau', G', X}(k_1) u_{m_2, \tau, G + G', X}(k_2)$$

We take $V(q)$ as the single-gate-screened Coulomb potential $^{29,30}$

$$V(q) = \frac{e^2}{2\varepsilon\varepsilon_0 q}(1 - e^{-2q d_i})$$

In this paper, we take the dielectric constant $\varepsilon = 7$ and the gate distance $d_i = 40$ nm. We have also checked the results using other sets of parameters and it will not change the ground state of the system.

Next, we perform a standard self-consistent mean-field calculation on Eq. 4. Since we only consider the states that preserve the translation symmetry at the scale of Moiré unit cell, the mean-field order parameters are then defined by the density matrix $\rho(k)$ whose elements are

$$\rho_{m_1, m_2, \tau} = \left\langle d_{m_1, \tau}^* d_{m_2} \right\rangle$$

Since this density matrix is finite even for the nonsymmetry breaking states in the charge channel, which will be double-counted when coupled to the density operators in the mean-field Hamiltonian, we need to remove these double counting terms in the calculation. Different approaches have been used to address this issue $^{27,29,30}$. Here we take care of this by subtracting the density matrix of the nonsymmetry breaking states $\rho_0(k)$ at the filling studied from $\rho(k)$, so that there is always a trivial solution from the mean-field Hamiltonian which corresponds to the fully symmetric state. This means the density matrix that couples to the density operator in the mean-field Hamiltonian is effectively $\rho(k) - \rho_0(k)$. More details of the mean-field approximation are provided in appendix $^5$ At charge neutrality, our approach is equivalent to that used in Ref. $^{29}$.

III. RESULTS

We have applied the self-consistent mean-field calculation to different integer fillings, and find several symmetry breaking gapped states induced by the interaction.

A. $\nu = 0$ case

At the charge neutral point, there are four electrons occupying the eight Moiré bands. The self-consistent mean-field calculation leads to several symmetry breaking insulating states which can be classified into three groups according to the specific symmetry that is broken in these states. The first group is the flavor-polarized states including spin-polarized (SP), valley-polarized (VP) and spin-valley locked (SV) states which either break $SU(2)$ symmetry or spinless time reversal symmetry $T$ or both. These states are generalized ferromagnetic insulating states (FMI). If we let $s$, $\tau$ and $\gamma$ be the Pauli matrices in the spin, valley and band basis the order parameter of the FM states can be written as $s \tau_0 \gamma_0$, $s \tau_1 \gamma_0$ or $s \tau_1 \gamma_0$ and these states are degenerate in energy. The second group includes the insulating states that break $C_2 T$ symmetry and is labeled by $C_2 T I$, where the Dirac points are completely gapped out due to the $C_2 T$ breaking. Their order parameter is dominated by the term $s_0 \tau_0 \gamma_1$. They are also degenerate in energy. The last group is the intervalley coherent (IVC) state, which mixes the states from the two opposite valleys so that the $U_{1}(1)$ valley charge conservation is broken. The order parameter associated with this state can be written as $s_0 \tau_1 \gamma_1$.

In order to determine which state is the ground state, we calculate the total energy per particle for each mean-field solution. As shown in Fig. 2 the IVC state is always the ground state. For realistic parameters, where the intra-sublattice inter-layer coupling $u_0 = 0.08$ eV, the IVC state is about 1 meV lower than the $C_2 T I$ and FMI states. As $u_0$ decreases from 0.08 eV to 0.01 eV, where the bandwidth of the non-interaction Moiré bands becomes flatter and flatter, the energy of $C_2 T I$ becomes closer and closer in energy to the IVC state. These results are consistent with those of Ref. $^{29}$ and $^{30}$. The IVC has an energy gap of about 40 meV as shown in the panel (b) in Fig. 2. Panel (c) shows one of the (VP) FMI states where all the four bands have double spin degeneracy. Panel (d) shows one of the $C_2 T I$ states with order $s_0 \tau_0 \gamma_1$, which has an energy gap of about 35.5 meV.

We have also studied the effect of sublattice potential, which is associated with the alignment of the hBN substrate with one of the graphene layers. This staggered potential can be formulated by an extra term $\Delta \delta_{\text{hBN}} \sigma_z$ adding to Eq. 1. We find that the IVC state is quickly suppressed by the staggered potential and the ground state then becomes the $C_2 T I$. This is understandable since the staggered potential explicitly breaks the $C_2$ sublattice symmetry, which should favor the $C_2 T$ breaking state.

B. $\nu = -\frac{1}{2}$ case

At the half filling case, there are two or six electrons occupying the eight Moiré bands. Since these two cases are related by particle-hole transformation, we only consider the case with two electrons, i.e. $\nu = -1/2$. Similarly, the self-consistent mean-field calculation gives three groups of states which can be viewed as the flavor-polarized version of those states found at the charge neutral case.
The first group of states is the fully flavor-polarized states that do not mix the two Moiré bands. Since there are only two electrons occupying the eight bands, only one of the four spin-valley combined flavors is fully filled leaving the other three completely empty. We again call this group of states as FMI and the order parameter of FMI can be described by the term \((s_0 \pm z_0)(\tau_0 \pm \tau_z)\gamma_0\) depending on which combination of flavors is fully filled. As shown in panel (c) in Fig. 3 the two filled bands below the chemical potential correspond to the two bands of the ordered flavor, and since \(C_2T\) symmetry is not broken, the Dirac points for each flavor are not destroyed. One set of the occupied bands is double degenerate corresponding to the spin degeneracy of the opposite valley of the filled bands. The energy gap of these states is about 18.7 meV. The second group of states includes the spin or valley polarized states that also mixes the two Moiré bands, so we name them as the \(C_2TFMI\) states. The order parameter of these states can be described by the terms as \((s_0 \pm z_0)(\tau_0 \pm \tau_z)\gamma_x\) for the spin-polarized states and \(s_0(\tau_0 \pm \tau_z)\gamma_x\) for the valley-polarized states. The \(C_2T\) symmetry is always broken in the polarized species (spin or valley) and preserved in the other. As shown in the panel (d) in Fig. 3 which corresponds to the valley-polarized \(C_2TFMI\), the Dirac points are indeed gapped out in the filled bands, while those in the unoccupied bands are not destroyed. The energy gap in these states is about 25.5 meV. The third group of states is the spin-polarized IVC states, which mixes the two valleys for one spin component. Its order parameter can be described by the terms as \((s_0 \pm z_0)\tau_\gamma\). The energy dispersion of this IVC state is shown in the panel (b) in Fig. 3 whose energy gap is about 28.6 meV.

By comparing the total energy per particle of the states in each group, we again find that the ground state is the IVC state. The energy of the IVC states is lower by 0.5 meV at \(U_0=0.08\) eV and approaches the \(C_2TFMI\) as the bandwidth of the Moiré bands decreases by tuning down \(U_0\).

We have also studied the effect of staggered potential at this filling and we find that by adding the staggered potential \(\Delta_hBN\), the IVC ground state starts to mix with the \(C_2T\) breaking order, and the IVC order parameter is quickly suppressed as \(\Delta_hBN\) reaches 0.3 meV, so that the ground state becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_hBN & = 0.3 \text{ meV}, \\
\Delta_hBN & = 0.4 \text{ meV}, \\
\Delta_hBN & = 0.5 \text{ meV}.
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 2. (a) Total energy per particle for ferromagnetic insulator (FMI), \(C_2T\) breaking insulator (\(C_2TI\)) and intervalley coherent (IVC) states at the charge neutrality for various values of the intra-sublattice interlayer coupling \(U_0\), where we choose \(\Delta E\) to be relative to the average energy of these three states to clarify the small energy difference. (b)(c)(d) Typical energy dispersion of states of these three groups of states, where \(U_0=0.08\) eV and the chemical potential is moved to the center of the gap for convenience. The non-interaction bands calculated from the \(H_{BM}\) are also plotted for comparison.

FIG. 3. (a) Total energy per particle for ferromagnetic insulator (FMI), flavor polarized \(C_2T\) breaking insulator (\(C_2TFMI\)) and intervalley coherent (IVC) states at half filling for various values of the intra-sublattice interlayer coupling \(U_0\), where we choose \(\Delta E\) to be relative to the average energy of these three states to clarify the small energy difference. (b)(c)(d) Typical energy dispersion of states of these three groups of states, where \(U_0=0.08\) eV and the chemical potential is moved to the center of the gap for convenience.

We have also studied the effect of staggered potential at this filling and we find that by adding the staggered potential \(\Delta_hBN\), the IVC ground state starts to mix with the \(C_2T\) breaking order, and the IVC order parameter is quickly suppressed as \(\Delta_hBN\) reaches 0.3 meV, so that the ground state becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_hBN & = 0.3 \text{ meV}, \\
\Delta_hBN & = 0.4 \text{ meV}, \\
\Delta_hBN & = 0.5 \text{ meV}.
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 4. Evolution of the intervalley coherent (IVC) state and \(C_2T\) breaking order parameters with the increasing of the staggered potential \(\Delta_hBN\) for \(U_0=0.08\) eV at (a) half filling \(\nu = -1/2\) and (b) quarter filling \(\nu = -1/4\).
C. \( \nu = -\frac{1}{2} \) case

At the case \( \nu = \pm \frac{1}{2} \), there are one or seven electrons occupying the eight Moiré bands. Again, since these two cases are related by particle-hole transformation, we only consider the case with one electron, i.e. \( \nu = -\frac{1}{2} \). In this filling, the self-consistent mean-field calculation leads to two gapped solutions, including the flavor-polarized \( C_2T \) FMI and a mixed order state with both IVC order parameter and \( C_2T \) breaking order parameter. This time, the energy of these two states are very close to each other and \( C_2T \) FMI is lower in energy by at most 0.022 meV for various values of \( u_0 \) from 0.01 eV to 0.08 eV, as shown in the panel (a) in Fig. 5. For the realistic case where \( u_0 = 0.08 \) meV, energy gap is about 25.6 meV and for the \( C_2T \) FMI state and 23.1 meV for the mixed IVC state as shown in the panel (c) and (d) in Fig. 5. The IVC component of the order parameter of the mixed IVC state will be quickly suppressed if there is a small staggered potential arising from the alignment of the hBN substrate and the ground state is \( C_2T \) FMI which is the QAH states reported in the experiment of twisted bilayer graphene around the magic angle. We find insulating ground states for all these fillings, which is consistent with the experimental results. For \( \nu = 0, -\frac{1}{2} \) and \(-\frac{1}{4} \), the ground state is the intervalley coherent (IVC) state, and for \(-\frac{1}{4} \), the ground state is the flavor-polarized \( C_2T \) breaking insulator (\( C_2T \) FMI). The energy difference between the IVC state and the \( C_2T \) FMI state decreases as the bandwidth of the flat bands decreases. A small staggered potential associated with the hBN alignment can quickly suppress the IVC order and stabilize the \( C_2T \) breaking state for all the fillings.

We have also checked the effect of screening strength by tuning the gate distance \( d_s \) in Eq. (2) from 40 nm down to 1 nm. The screening strength is stronger as \( d_s \) decreases which makes the Coulomb interaction more short-ranged. We find that as \( d_s \) decreases, the total energy of all the mean-field states increases while their energy differences do not change sign, i.e no phase transition occurs. The increase of the energy is due to the fact that the stronger screening reduces the magnitude of the Coulomb interaction therefore reduces the energy gain of the mean-field solution.

As comparing with the results from the previous work, at the charge neutral point \( \nu = 0 \), our results are consistent with those from Ref. 30, which also uses the projection method but projects the interaction onto more than six bands per spin and valley compared with only two bands in our calculation. This means that the correlation effect is well captured by the lowest two flat bands at the charge neutrality. For the fillings \( \nu = -1/2 \) and \(-1/4 \), we find that a small staggered potential \( \Delta_{hBN} \) can suppress the IVC states and stabilize the \( C_2T \) FMI state, which is consistent with the results of Ref. 28, where HF calculation including both the flat bands as well as the remote bands without projection methods is performed. However, for \( \nu = -1/2 \) without the staggered potential \( \Delta_{hBN} \), our results indicate that the IVC state is always the ground state with a finite energy gap, while Ref. 28 shows the ground state can be either the IVC state or the valley-polarized state depending on the screen strength of the Coulomb interaction. This discrepancy can come from the different mean-field scheme, the momentum dependence of the order parameters and the effect the remote

D. \( \nu = -\frac{1}{4} \) case

Again we consider only the hole dope side \( \nu = -\frac{1}{4} \), i.e. there are three electrons occupying the eight Moiré bands. We also find two groups of states in this case, which are the \( C_2T \) FMI state and the IVC state. In the \( C_2T \) FMI state, three out of the four spin-valley flavors are occupied while the other flavor is completely empty. Within each filled flavor, the two flat bands are mixed so that \( C_2T \) is breaking and an energy gap of about 25.7 meV is opened. The IVC state also only involves three flavors, while two of them with the same spin and opposite valleys form an IVC state by mixing the two opposite valleys, the other flavor form a \( C_2T \) breaking order by mixing the two flat bands within that flavor, so the IVC state at this filling can also be viewed as the mixed order of the IVC and \( C_2T \) order and the energy gap of this state is about 25.7 meV. Comparing the energy of the two groups of states, we find that IVC state is always the ground state and the energy difference from the \( C_2T \) FMI also decreases with the decreasing of the bandwidth as shown in the panel (b) in Fig. 5. Similarly, if we add a small staggered potential \( \Delta_{hBN} \), the IVC order will be suppressed quickly as shown in the panel (b) in Fig. 4.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have performed self-consistent mean-field calculations at commensurate filling fractions of the twisted bilayer graphene around the magic angle. We find insulating ground states for all these fillings, which is consistent with the experimental results. For \( \nu = 0, -\frac{1}{2} \) and \(-\frac{1}{4} \), the ground state is the intervalley coherent (IVC) state, and for \(-\frac{1}{4} \), the ground state is the flavor-polarized \( C_2T \) breaking insulator (\( C_2T \) FMI). The energy difference between the IVC state and the \( C_2T \) FMI state decreases as the bandwidth of the flat bands decreases. A small staggered potential associated with the hBN alignment can quickly suppress the IVC order and stabilize the \( C_2T \) breaking state for all the fillings.

FIG. 5. Total energy per particle for flavor-polarized \( C_2T \) breaking insulator (\( C_2T \) FMI) and mixed inter valley coherent (IVC) states at \( \nu = -\frac{1}{4} \) (a) and \( \nu = -\frac{1}{3} \) (b) for various values of the intra-sublattice interlayer coupling \( u_0 \), where we choose \( \Delta E \) to be relative to the average energy of these two states to clarify the small energy difference. (c)(d) Typical energy dispersion of states of these two groups of states, where \( u_0 = 0.08 \) eV and the chemical potential is moved to the center of the gap for convenience.
bands, since the energy difference between these competing states is quite small.
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Appendix A: Projection to the flat bands

The Bloch wavefunction calculated from the continuum model has the following form:

$$\psi_{n,\tau,k}^X(r) = \sum_G u_{n,\tau,G,k}(k) e^{i(k+G)r} \tag{A1}$$

where $X = A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2$ corresponds to the mixture of sublattice and layer indices and $G$ is the reciprocal lattice in the moire Brillouin zone expressed as $G = n_1 G_1 + n_2 G_2$. Here, we use 121 $G$ vectors with $n_1, n_2 \in [-5, 5]$ which is enough to produce the flat band. Eq. [A1] provides the transformation between the original monolayer graphene operators $c^*\tau$, $c$ and the operators in the projected eigenbasis $d^*\tau$, $d$ which reads:

$$c_{X,k+G,\tau} = \sum_n u_{n,\tau,G,k} d_{n,k,\tau} \tag{A2}$$

where the momentum of $c$ operator is defined in the big Brillouin Zone (BZ) of the monolayer graphene while the momentum of the operator $d$ is defined in the Moiré Brillouin Zone (mBZ), with the periodic boundary condition $d_{n,k+G,\tau} = d_{n,k,\tau}$ Then the interaction part of the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{X,\tau',\tau''} \sum_{k_1 k_2 q \in BZ} V^{XX}(q) u_{X,k_1,\tau'}(k_1) u_{X,k_2,\tau''}(k_2)^* C_{X,k_1,\tau'} C_{X,k_2,\tau''}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 k_1 k_2 q \in mBZ G_1 G_2 G_3 G_4} V(q) \sum_{\tau,\tau'} u_{m_1,\tau,G_1}(k_1) u_{m_2,\tau,G_3}(k_2) u_{m_3,\tau',G_2}(k_1) u_{m_4,\tau',G_4}(k_2)$$

where we use the single-gate-screened Coulomb potential to approximate the interaction $V^{XX}(q)$ as

$$V^{XX}(q) = V(q) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\varepsilon\epsilon_0 q} (1 - e^{-2q\epsilon_0}) \tag{A4}$$

and $\lambda_{m_1 m_2,\tau}(k_1, k_2 + G)$ is the form factor defined by the Bloch wavefunction as

$$\lambda_{m_1 m_2,\tau}(k_1, k_2 + G) = \sum_{G',X} u_{m_1,\tau,G'}(k_1) u_{m_2,\tau'G'}(k_2) \tag{A5}$$

so that the projected Coulomb interaction matrix is

$$V^{XX'}_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(k_1, k_2, q) = \sum_{\tau} \sum_{G} V(q + G) \lambda_{m_1,\tau,G}(k_1 - q, k_1 + G) \lambda_{m_2,\tau',G'}(k_2)$$

\[\lambda_{m_1 m_2,\tau}(k_1, k_2 + G) = \lambda_{m_2 m_1,\tau}(k_2, k_1 - G) \tag{A7}\]

from the definition, and

$$\lambda_{m_1 m_2,\tau}(k_1, k_2 + G) = \lambda_{m_2 m_1,\tau}(-k_1, -k_2 - G) \tag{A8}$$

due to the time reversal symmetry.
Appendix B: Mean-field approximation

In the section above, we have derived the Eq. \[4\] and \[5\] in the main text. We use the self-consistent HF approximation to decouple the interaction term. Using the order parameter defined in Eq. \[8\]

\[
\rho(k)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} = \langle d_{m_1,\tau}^+ d_{m_2,\tau'} \rangle
\]

where we have confined the order the direction for spin in z-axis, which will not affect the general conclusion of the ground state, the interaction term becomes:

\[
H_{HF} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{(m_1)} \sum_{(k_1)} \sum_{(k_2)} \sum_{(s')} V_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2}^{\tau \tau'}(k_1, k_2, 0) \rho(k_1)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} d_{m_1,\tau}^+ d_{m_2,\tau'}^+ - \rho(k_2)_{m_2,\tau m_1,\tau'} \rho(k_1)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} d_{m_2,\tau}^+ d_{m_1,\tau'}^+ \\
+ \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{(m_1)} \sum_{(k_1 k_2)} \sum_{(s')} V_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2}^{\tau \tau'}(k_1, k_2, k_1 - k_2) \rho(k_2)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau} d_{m_2,\tau}^+ d_{m_1,\tau'}^+ - \rho(k_1)_{m_2,\tau m_1,\tau'} \rho(k_2)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} d_{m_1,\tau}^+ d_{m_2,\tau'}^+ \\
= \sum_{k_{\tau\tau'}} h_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} k_{\tau\tau'} d_{m_1,\tau}^+ d_{m_2,\tau'}^+ - E_c
\]

where,

\[
h_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} k_{\tau\tau'} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{m_1'} \sum_{m_2'} \sum_{s'} \{ [V_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}^{\tau \tau'}(k', k, k' - k') + V_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}^{\tau \tau'}(k', k', k - k')] \rho(k')_{m_1',\tau m_2',\tau'} \\
- [V_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}^{\tau \tau'}(k', k, k' - k') + V_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}^{\tau \tau'}(k', k', k - k')] \rho(k')_{m_1',\tau m_2',\tau'} \}
\]

\[
E_c = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{(m_1)} \sum_{(k_1 k_2)} \sum_{(s')} \{ [V_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2}^{\tau \tau'}(k_1, k_2, 0) \rho(m_1, m_2, k_1, \tau) \rho(m_1, m_2, k_2, \tau') \\
- V_{m_1 m_2 m_1 m_2}^{\tau \tau'}(k_1, k_2, k_1 - k_2) \rho(k_2)_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau} \rho(k_1)_{m_2,\tau m_1,\tau'}] \}
\]

is the condensation energy. The first term in Eq. \[B2\] is the Hartree term and the second term is the Fock term. Then the mean-field Hamiltonian becomes:

\[
H_{MF} = \sum_{k_{\tau\tau'}} \sum_{m_1} \{ [\epsilon_{m_1,\tau} - \mu] \delta_{m_1,\tau} \delta_{m_1,\tau'} + h_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} k_{\tau\tau'} d_{m_1,\tau}^+ d_{m_2,\tau'}^+ - E_c \}
\]

For each momentum \(k\) and spin \(s\), \(h_{m_1,\tau m_2,\tau'} k_{\tau\tau'}\) is a \(4 \times 4\) matrix. In the self-consistent HF calculation, we start with some initial values of the density matrix \(\rho(k)\), and then solve the Eq. \[B4\] for the new \(\rho(k)\), which is used to calculate the new \(H_{MF}\) until \(\rho(k)\) is converged.

Since the density matrix \(\rho(k)\) is finite even for the no-symmetry breaking states at the charge channel, which will be double-counted when it couples to the density operators in the mean-field Hamiltonian. In order to address this issue, we have used a scheme where we replace the density matrix \(\rho(k)\) by \(\hat{\rho}(k) = \rho(k) - \rho_0(k)\) in Eq. \[B2\] where, \(\rho_0(k)\) is the density matrix of the no-symmetry breaking state at filling studied. Using this scheme, the mean-field Hamiltonian will always have a trivial solution that corresponds to the no-symmetry breaking state at any particular filling that is studied. At the charge neutrality, our approach coincides with the one used in Ref. \[29\].
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