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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The preservation of bone volume immediately after tooth removal is necessary to optimize the success of implant placement in terms of esthetics and function. The objectives of this study were to compare the ability of Choukroun’s platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) versus CollaPlug (Zimmer) in maintaining the buccal bone height of sockets following extractions in patients.

Materials and methods: Twenty patients who required tooth extraction and implant placement were enrolled in this study. The patients were randomly divided into two groups. They are group I PRF group and group II CollaPlug group. The vertical buccal crestal bone heights were measured immediately after extraction and 4 months postextraction and implants were placed.

Results: The vertical buccal crestal bone level in the CollaPlug group had a baseline mean of 4.67 ± 0.54 and a postmean of 6.98 ± 0.60, whereas in the PRF group baseline mean was 5.43 ± 0.47 and postmean was 6.93 ± 0.55. The bone resorption was found in both the groups (2.31 mm for CollaPlug and 1.5 mm for PRF), in agreement with previous studies. However, there was increased bone loss in CollaPlug group compared with PRF group, which was found statistically significant.

Conclusion: In conclusion, within the limits of the present study, the two tested socket preservation materials seem to be effective in the treatment of extraction sockets, even though the design of the study did not allow us to evaluate to what extent the clinical improvement could be attributed to the PRF per se, since a negative control was not included in this investigation. However, preparation of PRF is not very cumbersome and inexpensive, which makes it a better socket preservation material than CollaPlug.

Keywords: Choukroun’s platelet-rich fibrin, CollaPlug (Zimmer), Vertical buccal crestal bone heights.

How to cite this article: Madhan G, Singh M. Comparison of Ability of Platelet-rich Fibrin vs CollaPlug in maintaining the Buccal Bone Height of Sockets following Extractions in 20 Patients. J Health Sci Res 2017;8(1):1-6.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Tooth replacement with dental implant-supported restoration is currently a well-accepted treatment alternative to traditional fixed and removable dental prostheses. Short- and long-term clinical studies have reported similar if not higher prognosis for these restorations. The challenge is that even the current dental implant design does not mimic natural root form, particularly in an immediate implant placement situation. The incongruity between the shape of the implant body and that of the socket wall may lead to gaps between the bone and the implant. Thus, following tooth extraction, there would not be sufficient bone–implant surface contact for more predictable osseointegration, and a poorer peri-implant soft and hard tissue contours following healing.

Secondly, studies have shown immediate implant placement has also not prevented alveolar bone loss. Hence, there is a significant interest in developing new surgical techniques and biomaterials to prevent alveolar bone loss following tooth extraction.

The healing of extraction wound has been studied extensively both histologically and radiographically in humans. It is currently understood that during the first 8 weeks following extraction there is a marked osteoclastic bone resorption that takes place on the surface of the residual ridge particularly the coronal part of the buccal bone wall and there is reduction of buccal crest in both the vertical and horizontal planes, with the degree of horizontal bone loss typically exceeding the degree of vertical bone loss.

Alveolar ridge preservation (ARP) is any procedure undertaken at the time of or following an extraction that is designed to minimize external resorption of the ridge and to maximize bone formation within the socket. Current methods to prevent ridge resorption include the use of various particulate grafts and membranes manufactured from various materials. Most of these materials have been shown to be osteoconductive, providing a scaffold for the osteoblasts to migrate and form bone.

Insertion of a filler material (best being autologous bone) into the socket is considered important to preserve as much bone as possible. Serino et al used...
a commercially available bioabsorbable sponge of polylactide–polyglycolide, which served as a support to prevent the collapse of the surrounding soft tissue into the socket during the healing process. A similar product is available commercially as collagen plug (CollaPlug, Zimmer Dental). This was placed into the socket without raising a flap.11,12

The importance of growth factor in enhancing wound healing has become the focus of research in the present day, as they hasten the healing process. They are osteoinductive unlike the other bone grafts which are osteoconductive and remain unresorbed in the grafted site.13,14

Platelet-rich Fibrin
Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) represents a new step in the platelet gel therapeutic concept with simplified processing minus artificial biochemical modification. Unlike other platelet concentrates, this technique requires neither anticoagulants nor bovine thrombin (nor any other gelifying agent). It is just centrifuged natural blood without additives.15

Developed in France by Choukroun et al in 2001, the PRF production protocol attempts to accumulate platelets and released cytokines in a fibrin clot. Though platelets and leukocyte cytokines play an important part in the biology of this biomaterial, the fibrin matrix supporting them certainly constitutes the determining element responsible for the real therapeutic potential of PRF.15-18

Clinical and histological effectiveness of treatment of an extraction socket with Choukroun’s PRF versus CollaPlug (Zimmer) as a socket preservation material was done in the present study.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
The objective of the study was to evaluate and compare the bone dimensional changes clinically in extraction sockets with growth factor-enhanced fibrin matrix (Choukroun’s PRF) against bioabsorbable collagen matrix (CollaPlug) and to evaluate bone regeneration capacity of PRF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Patient Selection
All patients above 18 years of either sex reporting to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, RajaRajeswari Dental College and Hospital, Bengaluru, requiring tooth extraction followed by implant placement were selected for this study. Written informed consent was obtained from those who agreed for participation. Ethical clearance was taken for the study. Our inclusion criteria were systemically healthy subjects, sockets with intact four-wall configuration evaluated clinically by probing, healthy periodontium, and presence of at least one tooth adjacent to socket for the preparation and adaptation of acrylic stent for measurements. Subjects with any major systemic illness, acutely infected tooth at the time of extraction, and a history of head and neck radiation therapy and cigarette smokers were not included. Twenty patients fulfilling the above-mentioned criteria were selected and randomly assigned to one of the two groups.

According to the protocol for presurgical preparation, upper and lower impressions were taken to obtain a working model. Acrylic stents were made on the working model including at least one tooth adjacent to the tooth to be extracted to serve as a fixed reference guide for the vertical measurements done using a standardized periodontal probe; the measurements were done with base of stent as reference. Measurements were done before extraction, immediately after extraction, and at 4th month during reentry.

Group I—Choukroun’s PRF: To produce PRF, 10 mL of blood was drawn from each patient of the group by venipuncture, collected into a sterile test tube without any anticoagulant, and centrifuged in a centrifuge machine (REMI Laboratories, Mumbai) for 9 minutes at 2,700 rpm. This resulted in separation of three basic fractions: Red blood cells (RBCs), PRF, and platelet-poor plasma (PPP). Because of differential densities, the RBC layer forms the lowest layer, the PRP layer is the middle one, and the PPP layer is at the top. Platelet-rich fibrin layer was taken out using a sterile tweezer at the time of surgery and was placed into the socket.

Group II—CollaPlug: In group II patients CollaPlug (Zimmer) was placed into the socket. Atraumatic extraction was done using luxators. Immediate postextraction measurements of vertical distance of crestal bone buccally from the apical end of the stent were done using periodontal probe as a reference. Wound closure was done after placing either PRF or CollaPlug.

Follow-up was done after 4 months for a reentry procedure and implant placement. For the measurements, previously used acrylic stents were placed and all the measurements were repeated.

RESULTS
The present clinical study was carried out to compare the clinical effectiveness of Choukroun’s PRF and CollaPlug (Zimmer) in preserving the dimensions of extraction socket during the healing period of 4 months.
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A total of 20 patients (mean age 24.7 ± 1.3 years) satisfying the selection criteria were included in the study and randomly allocated into PRF group and CollaPlug group (10 patients in each group). The gender distribution was similar between the two groups. The study involved only patients requiring maxillary anterior teeth extractions and replacement with an implant-supported prosthesis. At the extraction site, the buccal crestal bone level from the base of stent was recorded at baseline (at the time of extraction) and post-ARP, at 4 months.

The parameters recorded were subjected to the following statistical analysis:

- Descriptive statistics like minimum (min), maximum (max), mean, standard error (std. error) of mean, and standard deviation (std. dev) are given to make some general observations about the data of clinical parameters among the two groups in baseline test and post-ARP.

- Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA): Analysis of covariance is a method for adjusting the groups for the initial readings (baseline) of selected variables in our study and then seeing the effect of the treatments (materials) such as CollaPlug and PRF on these interested variables. If we had not measured the interested variables in our study before the start of treatment, i.e., baseline readings, we would have come to entirely the wrong conclusion about the impact of treatment on post-ARP test readings. The ANCOVA was carried out taking 5% as level of significance.

| CLINICAL PARAMETER |
|---------------------|
| Buccal Crestal Bone Level |
| - Descriptive statistics of buccal crestal bone level (Table 1 and Graph 1).
| - The baseline, post-ARP, and adjusted (for baseline) means of buccal crestal bone level among the CollaPlug and PRF group. The baseline mean is 4.67 ± 0.54 for CollaPlug group and 5.43 ± 0.47 for PRF group. The postmean is 6.98 ± 0.60 for CollaPlug group and 6.93 ± 0.55 for PRF group. The adjusted (for baseline) mean is 7.30 ± 0.411 for CollaPlug group and 6.61 ± 0.411 for PRF group. From the graph, we can make out that there is increase in the adjusted (for baseline) mean when compared with post-PRP mean in CollaPlug, whereas it has decreased in PRF (Table 2 and Graph 2).
| - Analysis of covariance for buccal crestal bone level among two groups indicates that there is significant main effect (p-value < 0.05) for treatment, i.e., post-ARP readings differ significantly across the two groups. However, a significant relationship exists between post and baseline readings. So, it can be said that when we statistically control for baseline readings,

| Table 1: Descriptive statistics of buccal crestal bone level among the two groups in baseline and post-ARP tests |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Group | Min | Max | Mean | Std. Dev | Std. Error (mean) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CollaPlug | Baseline | Post | Baseline | Post | Baseline | Post |
| PRF | 3.33 | 5.00 | 8.33 | 10.33 | 4.67 | 6.98 |
| 3.33 | 5.33 | 7.33 | 10.33 | 5.43 | 6.93 |

| Table 2: Means of buccal crestal bone level among the four groups in baseline, post, and adjusted posttests |
|---|---|---|---|
| Treatment | N | Baseline mean | Post-mean |
| CollaPlug | 10 | 4.67 | 6.98 |
| PRF | 10 | 5.43 | 6.93 |

| Adjusted mean |
|---|---|---|---|
| CollaPlug | 7.30 |
| PRF | 6.61 |
Among these the focus is on the osteoinductive property. The osteoinductive bone grafts contain the growth factors responsible for these stimuli, which are of the family of bone morphogenetic proteins, transforming growth factor (TGF-α), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and epidermal growth factor.

Today’s concept in tooth extraction shall routinely consider maintenance of the existing extraction socket dimensions with some sort of bone-replacement material. This procedure has been called ARP or preservation.

Traditionally, ARP includes the use of particulate alloplasts, xenografts, autografts, allografts, and membranes manufactured from various materials, including those that are bioabsorbable or nonresorbable, naturally derived or synthetic. Most of these materials have been shown not only to be osteoconductive but also many of them are associated with a number of disadvantages, such as increased overall cost, the requirement for a second surgical site, and the use of animal-derived products.

Till date, the rich and readily available autologous source of growth factors is from platelets derived from the peripheral blood. They contain a number of different growth factors which are released into the tissue after injury. These include TGF-α, PDGF, IGF, and FGF, which act as differential factors on regenerating tissues. The PDGF is angiogenic and is known to stimulate the reproduction and chemotaxis of connective tissue cells and matrix deposition.

Though PRP was the first platelet concentrate to be invented, its preparation involved biochemical handling and the use of potential immunogenic material; hence, PRP is not a strict autologous material.

Choukroun’s PRF is a simple strategy of concentrating platelets or enriching blood clot which acts as a bioscaffold and reservoir of growth factors for tissue regeneration. It is strictly autologous and involves no biochemical handling.

A different approach for socket preservation is the use of bioabsorbable collagen. Collagen is the most abundant extracellular matrix protein and component of connective tissue. The collagen used in dental procedures is readily isolated and purified from various animal species by enzyme treatment. Collagen type I is the main organic component that is originally secreted by osteoblasts, which then becomes mineralized at a later stage of bone development. Collagen has been actively investigated as a favorable artificial environment for bone in-growth. It was shown that endothelial cells adhere, spread, and proliferate on a collagen membrane. CollaPlug, an absorbable collagen sponge, consists of 85 to 95% bovine collagen type I and 5 to 15% bovine collagen type III and has been
used with great success. The sponge serves as a support to prevent the collapse of the surrounding soft tissue into the socket during the healing process.\textsuperscript{14,25} Hence, the present clinical study was done to compare the clinical effectiveness of Choukroun's PRF and CollaPlug (Zimmer) in preserving the dimensions of extraction socket during the healing period of 4 months.

The subjects were in the age group of 24.7 ± 1.3 years. The gender distribution was similar between groups.

The patients in this study were nonsmokers. Tobacco usage was found to negatively affect bone grafting procedures. Nonsmokers were selected in order to avoid a factor that could compromise or interfere with the normal healing of bone graft.\textsuperscript{26-28}

Four months time frame seems to be standard for evaluating the success of socket preservation/ARP. In this study, the healing time after ARP and before implant placement was 4 months. This schedule was assigned following a standard protocol where a 4- to 6-month period is recommended.\textsuperscript{9,29-31}

Extraction sockets are considered a reliable model for evaluation of bone healing.\textsuperscript{32} To promote a fair comparison between groups, special attention was given in this study to site selection during subject recruitment. Only maxillary incisors were included to evaluate extraction sockets of similar size and anatomy, thus minimizing variables and strengthening the result obtained.

Another factor that may have an effect on the bone remodeling process after ARP is flap elevation. Flap elevation often results in poor blood supply, more bone loss, delayed wound healing and compromises soft tissue appearance. Previous study showed that the order of magnitude of bone resorption caused by flap reflection alone is only about 1 mm.\textsuperscript{33} Fickl et al\textsuperscript{34} demonstrated that during a 3-month period of healing after elevation of mucosal flaps for tooth extraction, soft and hard tissue reduction was 14% more than “flapless” exodontia. Hence, flapless exodontias were our protocol.

The buccal crestal bone level in the CollaPlug group had a baseline mean of 4.67 ± 0.54 and post-mean of 6.98 ± 0.60, whereas in the PRF group, baseline mean was 5.43 ± 0.47 and post-mean was 6.93 ± 0.55. The bone resorption was found in both the groups (2.31 mm for CollaPlug and 1.5 mm for PRF) in agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{9,35-37} However, there was increased bone loss in CollaPlug group compared with the PRF group, which was found statistically significant.

The practical aspect of Choukroun’s PRF use in clinical practice needs to be examined carefully. As the autologous PRF preparation utilizes the patient’s own blood, the risk of human to human disease is virtually eliminated, making it a safe treatment modality. Preparation of PRF, however, adds another step to the surgical procedure and increases the time for procedure by 10 to 20 minutes. PRF preparation can be performed by a properly trained surgical assistant under the supervision of a surgeon.

In the present study, PRF and CollaPlug were used to preserve the socket dimension. A favorable response was observed in all the clinical parameters recorded in both the groups. In the PRF group, it exhibited the following properties and advantages: (1) it is safe because of an autologous preparation; (2) it provides adheresiveness and tensile strength for clot stabilization; (3) it is biologically acceptable; (4) it contains important growth factors, such as PDGF and TGF-\alpha released by platelets; (5) it promotes angiogenesis; (6) it contains a dense fibrin network that is highly osteoconductive; (7) it has hemostatic properties; (8) it contains high concentrations of leukocytes, which reduces the risk of infections; (9) it improves wound healing and is also an affordable treatment modality.

For all of the reasons mentioned above and from the results we obtained in our study treated with PRF, our clinical impression is that it significantly enhances socket healing.

**CONCLUSION**

The following conclusions were drawn from the present study:

There was significantly larger buccal crestal bone loss in CollaPlug group compared with the PRF group, which was found statistically significant.

Within the limits of the present study, the two tested socket preservation materials seem to be effective in the treatment of extraction sockets, even though the design of the study did not allow us to evaluate to what extent the clinical improvement could be attributed to the PRF per se, since a negative control was not included in this investigation. However, preparation of PRF is not very cumbersome and inexpensive, which makes it a better socket preservation material than CollaPlug. Also, further studies should be carried out by taking control sites to confirm the effects of PRF in bone regeneration and need to be corroborated by investigations on larger population.
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