Integrated single-cell analysis of multicellular immune dynamics during hyperacute HIV-1 infection
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Cellular immunity is critical for controlling intracellular pathogens, but individual cellular dynamics and cell-cell cooperativity in evolving human immune responses remain poorly understood. Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) represents a powerful tool for dissecting complex multicellular behaviors in health and disease1,2 and nominating testable therapeutic targets3. Its application to longitudinal samples could afford an opportunity to uncover cellular factors associated with the evolution of disease progression without potentially confounding inter-individual variability4. Here, we present an experimental and computational methodology that uses scRNA-seq to characterize dynamic cellular programs and their molecular drivers, and apply it to HIV infection. By performing scRNA-seq on peripheral blood mononuclear cells from four untreated individuals before and longitudinally during acute infection5, we were powered within each to discover gene response modules that vary by time and cell subset. Beyond previously unappreciated individual- and cell-type-specific interferon-stimulated gene upregulation, we describe temporally aligned gene expression responses obscured in bulk analyses, including those involved in proinflammatory T cell differentiation, prolonged monocyte major histocompatibility complex II upregulation and persistent natural killer (NK) cell cytolytic killing. We further identify response features arising in the first weeks of infection, for example proliferating natural killer cells, which potentially may associate with future viral control. Overall, our approach provides a unified framework for characterizing multiple dynamic cellular responses and their coordination.

Despite advances in pre-exposure prophylaxis, there were 1.7 million new cases of HIV infection in 2018 (ref. 18), highlighting the need for effective HIV vaccines. A better understanding of key immune responses during the earliest stages of infection, especially Fiebig stage I and II, before and at peak viral load, respectively, could help identify future prophylactic and therapeutic targets5. Using historical samples, collected before standard-of-care included treatment during acute infection, the Females Rising through Education, Support and Health (FRESH) study6, we assayed evolving immune responses during hyperacute (1–2 weeks post-detection) and acute (3 weeks to 6 months) HIV infection.

We performed Seq-Well-based massively parallel scRNA-seq on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from four FRESH participants who became infected with HIV during study. We analyzed multiple timepoints from pre-infection through 1 year following viral detection (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 1 and Methods) over which all four demonstrated a rapid rise in plasma viremia and a drop in CD4+ T cell counts7 (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1a). Altogether, we captured 59,162 cells after performing quality controls, with an average of 1,976 cells per participant per timepoint (Extended Data Fig. 1b and Supplementary Table 2). To assign cellular identity, we analyzed the combined data from all participants and timepoints (Methods). These analyses yielded few participant-specific features, suggesting that disease biology, rather than technical artifact, is the main driver of variation (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 1c,d). We annotated clusters by comparing differentially expressed genes defining each to known lineage markers and previously published datasets (Extended Data Fig. 1e,f and Supplementary Table 3). These clusters recapitulate several
well-established PBMC subsets (Fig. 1c), revealed phenotypic subgroups of both monocytes (antiviral, inflammatory and nonclassical) and cytotoxic T cells (CTLs) (CD8+ CTL proliferating; Extended Data Fig. 1g) and highlighted subset frequency dynamics such as natural killer (NK) cell expansion after 2–3 weeks. Flow cytometry measurements of CD45+CD3+CD4+ and CD45+CD3+CD8+ frequencies over the course of infection correlated with those measured by Seq-Well (Extended Data Fig. 2a,b and Fig. 1e). Whole blood monocyte counts, meanwhile, confirmed monocyte expansion following infection (Extended Data Fig. 2c).

Having mapped cell type frequency dynamics during acute HIV-1 infection, we next examined how different cellular phenotypes shifted over time. Previous applications of scRNA-seq to evolving cellular responses have either emphasized pseudotemporal ordering in development9 to delineate well-ordered progressions through cell fate10 or identified transcriptional differences11 associated with disease treatment12. As our dataset includes multiple, noncontiguous participants and timepoints sampled (n = 59,162). Cells are annotated based on differential expression analysis on orthogonally discovered clusters. tSNE in c annotated by timepoint (top) and participant (bottom). e, Scatter-plot depicting the correlation between cell frequencies of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells measured by Seq-Well (n = 2 array replicates) and FACS (n = 1 flow replicate). R² values reflect variance described by an F-test for linear regression.
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cell types studied demonstrated higher expression of IRF7 at peak viremia compared to pre-infection and 1-year timepoints (Extended Data Fig. 3c). We also assayed plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), which produce IFN-α and IFN-β in response to HIV23, at peak viremia and produce IFN-α at peak viremia compared to pre-infection and 1-year timepoints (Extended Data Fig. 3c). We also assayed plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), which produce IFN-α and IFN-β in response to HIV23, at peak viremia and produce IFN-α at peak viremia compared to pre-infection and 1-year timepoints (Extended Data Fig. 3c). We also assayed plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), which produce IFN-α and IFN-β in response to HIV23, at peak viremia and produce IFN-α at peak viremia compared to pre-infection and 1-year timepoints (Extended Data Fig. 3c). We also assayed plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), which produce IFN-α and IFN-β in response to HIV23, at peak viremia and produce IFN-α at peak viremia compared to pre-infection and 1-year timepoints (Extended Data Fig. 3c).

The three other participants studied (P2–P4) each had pDC responses and sets of ISG GMs similar to P1 at, or the week before, peak viremia, which we corroborated at the individual gene level (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 3f–h). Comparing GMs across individuals, we noted common ISGs (present in three or more cell types) that were shared in two or more participants (ISG15, IFI15, XAF1) as well as some specific to a single participant (APOBEC3A, IFI27, STAT1; Extended Data Fig. 3i). To independently confirm the presence of IFNs and downstream cytokines, we measured IFN-γ and IFN-α and ELISA of soluble CD14 (sCD14) in matching plasma samples. Points are averages of duplicate measurements. P1, pre-infection; PV, peak viremia; 9 M, 9 months post-detection.

Given concerted and cell-type-specific IFN responses during hyperacut HIV infection, we next explored whether other modules

Fig. 2 | GM discovery reveals ubiquitous response to IFN with cell-type-specific features. a, Schema depicting temporal GM discovery (see Methods). This procedure was repeated for each major cell type (monocytes, CD4+ T cells, CTLs, NK cells, B cells, plasmablasts and mDCs) on a participant-by-participant basis, generating 0–8 GMs per cell type. Modules were arbitrarily numbered within a given cell type and participant. mDC, myeloid dendritic cell; PCA, principal-component analysis; PC, principal component; TOM, topological overlap matrix. b, In P1, six GMs across multiple cell types exhibited similar temporal expression profiles; each GM’s score (colored lines) peaked at the same timepoint as for peak viremia (line-and-dot plot). c, Number of occurrences of gene membership for all genes present across the six GMs in each participant. Normalized GM score is depicted by heat (black to orange), whereas raw module score is depicted by box size. The timepoint closest to peak viral load is indicated by a dotted line. d, Mean expression of ISGs separated by timepoint and individual. Shaded area denotes 95% CI of the mean. See Supplementary Table 2 for the number of cells per timepoint per cell type. e, Lumixen measurements of IP-10, MIG and IFN-γ and ELISA of soluble CD14 (sCD14) in matching plasma samples. Points are averages of duplicate measurements. P1, pre-infection; PV, peak viremia; 9 M, 9 months post-detection.
exhibited shared expression dynamics. We applied fuzzy c-means clustering to the median module scores at each timepoint across all cell types on a participant-by-participant basis, generating clusters of modules which we refer to as meta-modules (MMs) (Methods). MMs represent gene programming across distinct cell types with coordinated temporal dynamics—here, synchronized responses to infection—enabling us to link cellularly discrete but contemporaneous behaviors to both common and unique propagators.

We next identified MMs from every participant and grouped them by their expression dynamics (Extended Data Fig. 4). We labeled four of these on the basis of their transient peak expression score patterns: sharp positive (MMsp), sharp negative (MMsn) and gradual positive (MMgp) and gradual negative (MMgn); three additional MMs, labeled a–c, demonstrated more complex patterns. Besides MMsp, which contained the majority of the ISG modules, only MMsn, enriched for ribosomal protein-coding genes (Supplementary Table 4) previously shown to indicate cellular quiescence, spanned five or more cell types. In parallel, we attempted to discover conserved modules across individuals, using cells from all four participants binning timepoints by viral load (Methods, Extended Data Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table 6). All but four of these cross-participant modules recapitulated those found in our participant-specific approach (Extended Data Fig. 5b–d). However, this pan-participant analysis did not reveal any GMs with consistent expression trends (in at least three out of four participants) besides the already identified ISG (MMsp) and ribosomal protein (MMsn) modules and failed to discover several participant-specific modules within MMgp.

Notably, MMgp consisted of responses sustained throughout acute infection, but implicated different cell types in each participant. For example, in P2, MMgp consisted of monocyte, B cell, plasmablast, CTL and proliferating T cell GMs (Fig. 3a). Unlike MMsp (ISGs), these GMs spanned several distinct gene expression programs, such as antigen presentation (monocytes and B cells), interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 production (plasmablasts) and granzyne B production (CTLs; Fig. 3b,c and Supplementary Table 7). As these overlap in time, they may represent cell subsets responding to common stimuli and/or one another. Looking for known relationships between genes within and across cell types, we generated a network modeling potential axes of cell–cell signaling, both direct (via receptor–ligand) and indirect (signaling via chemokines and cytokines), in P2 (Fig. 3d and Methods). Expression of IL-8 and IL-6 in B cells and plasmablasts may attract monocytes presenting antigen to prime CD4+ T cells, potentially leading to IL-17 production and RCL2 upregulation, known to restrict CTL-mediated killing of infected cells. Together, this suggests the IL-6–IL-8–IL-17 signaling axis as a potential target for future HIV treatment.

Given the diverse, participant-specific GMs in MMgp (Extended Data Fig. 4), we next looked whether any acute infection responses were present in multiple participants. In CD4+ T cells, monocytes, NK cells, CTLs and proliferating T cells, we found GMs in MMgp that shared genes in two or more participants (Fig. 3e–i; see Supplementary Table 8 for overlapping genes). While DCs and B cells also expressed multiple GMs within MMgp, some did not share any genes across participants (Extended Data Fig. 6a) or had low membership scores and were thus excluded (membership <0.25, labeled with † in Extended Data Fig. 4; Methods).

We next qualitatively compared GM functional annotations within MMgp for each cell type across participants (Fig. 3e–i and Methods). Despite variable temporal dynamics and unique gene memberships, we observed significant enrichment for ≥15 of the same underlying pathways and functions in at least two participants (P <0.01, Supplementary Table 9), suggesting the existence of common features across individuals despite heterogeneity in infection response. For example: 1) CD4+ T cells (P2+P4) expressed genes associated with nonclassical viral entry by endocytosis and adhesion, suggesting migration and viral dissemination throughout the body; 2) monocytes (P2+P3+P4) expressed genes associated with antigen presentation, potentially indicating generalized IFN responses or the potential to promote active T helper and CTL responses; and 3) NK cells (P1+P3), CTLs (P1+P2) and proliferating T cells (P2+P3+P4) upregulated genes associated with killing of target cells by perforin and granzyme release, highlighting the joint role of innate and adaptive lymphocytes in combating viremia (see Supplementary Table 7 and Extended Data Fig. 6b for shared genes). Gene expression data corroborate these GM expression trends (Extended Data Fig. 6c).

We hypothesized that there may be a common set of immune drivers coordinating these gene responses during infection. To identify potential inducers of the GMs in MMgp, we generated a list of predicted upstream drivers for each. Using hits that were significant for two or more GMs, we constructed a network detailing putative upstream signaling (Supplementary Table 9, Extended Data Fig. 6d,e and Methods), highlighting potential roles for: 1) IFN-α and IFN-γ across all five cell types; 2) IL-15, IL-12 and IL-21 in CTLs, NK and proliferating T cells; and 3) IL-1p and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) restricted to CD4+ T cells. Parallel Luminex measurements confirmed increased IP-10, MIG and IL-12, but not IFN-γ, in plasma at 4 weeks, near when MMgp peaked in each individual (Extended Data Fig. 6f).

Re-scoring cell types against enriched genes for each driver revealed variable kinetics in the onset, intensity and length of immune responses across different cell types (Extended Data Fig. 7a). We note the following gene-programming upregulation trends in all participants: 1) CD4+ T cells activity from before peak viremia throughout acute infection; 2) CTL and proliferating T cell programs are induced during hyperacute infection; and 3) NK cell and monocyte activity persists throughout the first month of infection, highlighting a persistent role for innate immunity throughout acute infection. Based on cell type, gene and functional enrichments, we summarize the shared (≥2 participants) immune responses with sustained gene expression over the course of the first month of HIV infection, their potential drivers and putative cell–cell signaling, emphasizing CD4+ T cells, which was the only cell type expressing genes downstream of proinflammatory cytokines (Fig. 3j,k and Extended Data Fig. 7b). Thus, our module discovery approach readily reveals immune responses and potential interactions among several cell types during acute HIV infection.

In our analyses, we observed GMs that demonstrated similar temporal response patterns within the same cell type but distinct pathway enrichments, implying orthogonal biological functionality: for example, the NK cytokine signaling GM3 module (CCL3, CCL4) and the cytotoxic GM4 module (PRF1, GZMB) in P3 (Fig. 3h). To understand how these GMs might be linked, we looked across single cells for module coexpression (observed in bulk approaches). Surprisingly, the strength of the correlation between expression of these modules across single NK cells changes with time, decreasing later in infection (Extended Data Fig. 8a,b). K-means clustering separated cells by variable expression of GM3 and GM4 (Extended Data Fig. 8c). Variation in the correlation of GM3 and GM4 may reflect NK cell plasticity with dual cytotoxic and signaling programming near peak viremia.

Examining MMsp (ISG GMs), we also observed that P3 exhibited temporally similar modules in monocytes (GM1 and GM3); however, these did not vary much over time. Instead, they were highly coexpressed, but only at HIV-detection (Extended Data Fig. 8d,e). Gene-set analysis demonstrated that monocyte GM1 consisted of antiviral response genes, while GM3 was enriched for genes associated with inflammation (Extended Data Fig. 8f). Thus, monocytes in P3 at the time of HIV detection are simultaneously expressing both antiviral and inflammatory gene programs,
Fig. 3 | Distinct modules across different cell types share temporal expression patterns in acute HIV infection and suggest shared and cell-type-specific drivers of immune response. a. Normalized module expression scores for the six GMs clustered into meta modules: gradual positive (MMgp) in P2. † indicates GMs with MM membership score < 0.25. b. Mean gene expression of representative genes from modules in a; see Supplementary Table 4 for full gene lists. c. Select enriched pathways for the genes in each module from a; gene-set enrichment performed in ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA); see Supplementary Table 6 for the full list, using a right-tailed Fisher's exact test. d. Putative cell-cell signaling network. Nodes represent gene products with either measured gene upregulation in the modules in a or predicted drivers from IPA. Edges were drawn from connections nominated by IPA and curated from the literature. e-f. Module scores (left), gene overlaps between modules (middle) and enriched pathways (right; IPA) for modules grouped in MMgp and shared across participants in CD4+ T cells (e), monocytes (f), CTLs (g), NK cells (h) and proliferating T cells (i). Enriched pathways were determined using a right-tailed Fisher's exact test. j. Putative cell-cell signaling network derived from genes shared across ≥2 participants from modules in e-f; see Supplementary Table 7. Nodes and edges are drawn as in d. Here we highlight those molecules interacting with or measured in CD4+ T cells; the full network is presented in Extended Data Fig. 7b. To reduce complexity, we omitted nodes depicting expression of GZMB, PRF1 and GNYL by both NK cells and proliferating T cells and CCL5 by proliferating T cells. k. Summary table of immune responses to related and distinct stimuli with similar temporal dynamics, defined by transient increased module expression for several weeks after peak viremia.

a previously unappreciated phenotype. While both gene programs strongly contributed to the major axes of monocyte variation in all individuals, we were unable to identify polyfunctional monocytes in the other participants (Extended Data Fig. 9a–c). Meanwhile, nonclassical monocytes displayed disparate temporal dynamics across participants (Extended Data Figs. 1d and 9d). Comparing differentially expressed genes at peak response timepoints (1–2 weeks) further highlighted other participant-specific differences: monocytes in all participants produced antiviral factors (Extended Data Fig. 9e,f), but only P2 and P3 were enriched for inflammatory...
responses and only P3 for TNF signaling via NF-κB ($q < 0.001$). Chronic inflammation has been associated with susceptibility to infection$^{34}$ and our data show variable inflammatory gene expression before infection with subsequent mixed expression changes in hyperacute infection across participants (Extended Data Fig. 9g).

As we have previously demonstrated that natural control of HIV is associated with diverse cellular phenotypes in CTLs$^{35}$ and DCs$^{4}$, we looked to see whether the presence of polyfunctional monocytes in P3 might link to disease progression in chronic infection. We observed that both P3 and P4 maintained low levels of viremia.
subset of cytotoxic, proliferating NK cells in P3 and P4. In other infection settings\textsuperscript{35,39}, NK cells have demonstrated antigenic memory, suggesting that these cells could be responding to some previously encountered antigen; however, while all participants tested negative for sexually transmitted infections pre-HIV-infection, we did not screen for other chronic infections or exposures. These proliferating NK cells may function alongside CTLs early in infection, mitigating CTL antigenic load and subsequent exhaustion\textsuperscript{41}. Although there are ethical and practical difficulties associated with collecting additional samples from untreated HIV infected persons, follow-up studies in nonhuman primates could determine the functional, actionable importance of early immune responses for long-term viral control. Future work in FRESH will seek to test the effects of early administered ART on longitudinal HIV response dynamics. Parallel efforts in human cohorts with other viral and bacterial infections, as well as inflammatory diseases and cancers, will enable assessment of the broad utility of the framework described herein, and begin to reveal the common and unique immune response motifs that inform human immunity for future modulation with drugs or treatments.
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Methods

Study participants. All participants in this study were enrolled in the FRESH cohort\(^{10}\). This prospective study recruits women who are HIV negative, aged 18–24 years and are tested for HIV-1 RNA in plasma twice weekly for 1 year. Each time the women come to the study center they participate in peer-support groups and receive a stipend. In addition to semi-weekly virus testing by PCR with reverse transcription, whole blood is collected four times (including during enrollment) throughout the year from participants. If a plasma test comes back positive, the participant is asked to come back to the clinic that day to collect a blood sample. Samples were then collected weekly through the first 6 weeks of infection and regularly afterward as long as the participant continues to return to the study center. In the arm of the study described herein, participants were initiated on ART when their CD4 count fell below 350 cells/µl, per standard treatment guidelines at the time of enrollment. A second arm of the study was initiated in 2014 and is currently still in place; in that arm, participants who test positive for viral RNA are initiated on ART when they are called back into the study center for their first post-infection sample collection. To the best of our knowledge, all participants in this study had not yet started ART for the timepoints processed here. FRESH was performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board at Partners (Massachusetts General Hospital), MIT and the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal. All FRESH participants consented for genetic and genomic data collection and analysis.

Cell preparation, flow cytometry and cell sorting. The Life Sciences Reporting Summary contains information on the sample preparation, antibodies, gating strategy and sort strategy used in this study.

Single-cell RNA-seq with Seq-Well. The Seq-Well platform was utilized as previously described\(^{11}\) to capture the transcriptomes of single cells on barcoded mRNA capture beads. In brief, 10 µl of sorted CD45^+Calcine Blue^− PBMCs were mixed at 1:1 dilution with Trypan blue and counted using a hemocytometer. The cells were resuspended in RPMI + 10% FBS at a final concentration of ~100,000 live cells/µl and 20,000–25,000 cells in 200 µl were added to each Seq-Well array preloaded with barcoded mRNA capture beads (ChemGenes). Two arrays were used for each sample to increase cell numbers. The arrays were then sealed with a polycarbonate membrane (pore size of 0.01 µm), cells were lysed, transcripts were hybridized to the beads and the barcoded mRNA capture beads were recovered and pooled for reverse transcription using Maxima h–RT (Thermo Fisher EP00753) and all subsequent steps. After an Exonuclease I treatment (NEB M0293L) to remove excess primers, whole transcriptome amplification (WTA) was carried out using KAPA HiFi PCR Mastermix (Kapa Biosystems KK2602) with 2,000 beads per 50 µl of reaction volume. Libraries were then pooled in sets of eight (totaling 16,000 beads) and purified using Agencourt Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, A68881) by a 0.8x volume wash followed by a 0.8x volume wash and quantified using Qubit hsDNA Assay (Thermo Fisher Q28545). Quality of the WTA product was assessed using the Agilent hsD5000 Screen Tape System (Agilent Genomics) with an expected peak >800 bp tailing off to beyond 3,000 bp, and a small or non-existent primer peak, indicating a successful preparation. Libraries were then constructed using a Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina FC-131-1096) on a total of 750 pg of pooled cDNA library from 16,000 recovered beads using index primers as previously described\(^{11}\). Amplified and fragmented sequences were sequenced on a NextSeq500 (total 300,000 beads per array) and using a 0.8x volume Ampure XP bead wash yielding library sizes with an average distribution of 500–750 bp in length as determined using an Agilent hsD1000 Screen Tape System (Agilent Genomics). Two Seq-Well arrays were sequenced per NextSeq500 sequencing run with an Illumina 75 Cycle NextSeq500/550 v2 kit (Illumina FC-404-2005) at a final concentration of 2.4 pM. The read structure was paired end with the reads originating from a custom read 1 primer, covering 20 bases inclusive of a 12-bp cell barcode and 8-bp unique molecular identifier (UMI), then an 8-bp index read and finally Read 2 containing 50 bases of transcript sequence.

Seq-Well alignment, cell identification and cell type separation. Read alignment, cell barcode discrimination and UMI per transcript collection were performed as by Orodivas-Montanes et al.\(^{13}\) using a hg19 reference. Initially, we aligned the sequences from P1 to a combined HIV + h-g19 genome using the consensus sequence of HIV clade C viruses from the HIV Sequence Database (https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/HIV/mainpage.html). After alignment, however, we measured 0–2 cells with HIV transcript alignments per array; therefore, we used the standard hg19 reference for our analysis. UMI-collapsed data were used as input into Seurat\(^{14}\) (v.2.3.4) for cell and gene trimming and downstream analysis. The following steps were performed on all of the arrays processed from a single participant, on a participant-by-participant basis. All cells with UMI < 2000 umis or >20,000 UMIs per cell were removed. Any gene expressed in fewer than five cells were discarded from downstream analysis. This cells-by-genes matrix was then used to create a Seurat object for each participant. Cells with >20% of UMIs mapping to mitochondrial genes were then removed (50–100 cells per array). These objects (one per participant) were then merged into one object for pre-processing and cell type identification.

The combined Seurat object was log-normalized with a size factor of 10,000 and scaled without centering. Additionally, linear regression was performed to remove unwanted variance due to cellular complexity (nUMI) and low-quality cells (percent.mito). Subsequently, 3,251 variable genes were identified using the ‘LogVMR’ function and the following cutoffs: x.low.cutoff = 0.01, x.high.cutoff = 10 and y.cutoff = 0.25. PCA was performed over these genes and the top 17 PCs were chosen for clustering and embedding on the basis of the curve of variance described by each PC and the genes most contributing to each PC. Next, FindClusters (SNN graph + modularity optimization) with a resolution of 0.5 was used to generate 13 clusters and the Fourier transform 2-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (SNE) implementation\(^{16}\) with 2,000 iterations to embed the data into two-dimensional space.

Cluster identity was assigned by finding differentially expressed genes using Seurat’s implemented Wilcoxon rank-sum test and then comparing those cluster-specific genes to previously published datasets\(^{17–19}\). One cluster exhibited no cluster-specific genes; the cells from this cluster were embedded centrally in the SNE, and on further investigation expressed both myeloid and lymphocyte markers. Therefore, these cells were removed as multiplets (when multiple cells enter the same well in the Seq-Well array). After multiplet removal, 59,162 cells were captured across all samples processed. The remaining 12 clusters included subsets of major circulating immune cells (see Supplementary Table 3 for marker genes). These clusters were merged by parent cell type (T cell, cytotoxic T cell, B cell, plasma cell, macrophage, DC and mast cell) for downstream analysis, as variation in the SNE graph parameters weakly affected cluster assignment to the modal subsets.

As NK cells share many markers transcriptionally with cytotoxic T cells\(^{20}\), clustering in our dataset did not separate these two cytotoxic cell types. NK cells were annotated based on lacking expression of CD3 (CD3D, CD3E, CD3G) and non-zero expression of CD16 (FCGR3A) and KLRF1. CD56 (NCAM) was not highly expressed in our data and therefore was not used to separate NK cells. Any cell with a cluster identity belonging to the cytotoxic T cell cluster that lacked CD3 expression or expressed CD16/KLRF1 was annotated as an NK cell. With this annotation, we noted distinct transcriptional responses between NK cells and CTLs both as a function of time and gene membership (Fig. 2c and Fig. 3h).

Cell type normalization. Once separated by cell type and participant, the single-cell transcriptomes were processed on a cell-type-by-cell-type basis across all timepoints. For each cell type, the presence of residual contaminant RNA or doublets was assayed by scoring every cell against a set of contaminant genes from other cell types built from our marker list used to discern cluster identity (see Supplementary Table 11 for cell-type-specific contaminant gene lists and cutoffs). Cells with high contamination scores (0–10% of cells) were subsequently removed from further analysis to avoid unwanted variation in the subsequent unsupervised module discovery. Following contamination filtering, data underwent scaling and variance description by gene discovery (via variance explained) (–>4000 genes, dependent on cell type and cell number). PCA was then applied on the limited set of genes, followed by projection to the rest of the genes in the dataset.

Module discovery. For the module analysis, we subset our data on the top and bottom 50 genes, after projection, for the first 3–9 PCs (dependent on the variance described by each PC and genes contributing to each PC) as input for WGCNA’s functions. Following the WGCNA tutorial (https://bioinformatics汪www.stat.ucla.edu/html/CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/Tutorials/), an appropriate soft-power threshold was chosen to calculate the adjacency matrix. As scRNA-seq data is impacted by transcript dropout (failed capture events), adjacency matrices with high power further inflate the impact of this technical limitation and yield few co-regulated modules. Therefore, when possible, a power was chosen as suggested by the authors of WGCNA (that is, the first power with a scale-free topology >0.8); however, in instances where this power yielded few modules (fewer than three), we decreased our power. As a rule, smaller soft powers lead to fewer large-sized modules, whereas larger soft powers lead to more small-sized modules. In our analysis, there was frequently a distinct tipping point where, as we increased our soft power, modules would fail to be identified by WGCNA (due to low connectivity). We ran our analyses with several soft powers to find an appropriate balance to generate a maximal number of modules without losing GM membership. Next, an adjacency matrix was generated using the selected soft power and it was transformed into a TOM. Subsequently, this TOM was hierarchically clustered and the cellDynamics function in flowSOM\(^{21}\) was used to identify the modules of correlated genes (minimum module size of ten). Similar modules were then merged using a dissimilarity threshold of 0.5 (that is, a correlation of 0.5); WGCNA typically suggests dissimilarity thresholds of 0.8–0.95, but we sought to avoid any spurious cluster separation potentially associated with the chosen soft power.

*Correspondence:* Individuals interested in collaborating on the analysis of the data presented in this work can contact the authors at the email addresses listed below.
To test the significance of the correlation structure of a given module, a permutation test was implemented. Binning genes in the true module by average gene expression (number of bins was ten), genes with the same distribution of average expression across the module (total list of genes per bin) were randomly picked 10,000 times. For each of these random modules, a one-sided Mann–Whitney U-test was performed to compare the distribution of dissimilarity values between the genes in the true module and the distribution of dissimilarity values between the genes in the random module. Correcting the resulting P-values for multiple hypothesis testing by Benjamini–Hochberg FDR correction, a module was considered significant if <500 tests (P < 0.05) had FDR > 0.05. We note that if we chose a smaller soft power for TOM generation, which in turn resulted in larger modules with fewer excluded genes, fewer modules passed this permutation test, likely due to noisier genes that maintained weak correlations with all other genes in the analysis.

Since we were interested in identifying modules of genes that changed in expression as a function of time, another permutation test was implemented to identify modules that significantly vary from pre-infection. First, every cell was scored for the genes within the module, using the AddModuleScore function in Seurat. This function calculates an average expression score by calculating the mean expression of the genes within the module corrected for expression of other genes with similar means across the dataset. Thus, this score functions as an expression estimate of the genes within a module in any given single cell. As testing for differences in distribution is sensitive to sample number, a sample size (s) was selected based on the number of cells present at any given timepoint within a cell type. The sample size used was the bin size of the least frequent cell types having ~100 cells total across all timepoints within a participant. If a timepoint had fewer than ten cells, that point was not used in the testing. In the case of plasmablasts and mDCs in multiple participants, more than three timepoints had fewer than ten cells and therefore no modules were considered significantly variant in time. To determine whether module expression varied across the timecourse, we bin 1,000 two-sided Mann–Whitney U-tests across the distribution of scores from s random cells at pre-infection and s random cells from each other timepoint were performed. For each timepoint, the P-values from the 1,000 tests were averaged. After FDR correction, if q < 0.05 for any timepoint, the module was considered to significantly vary in expression in time. Our approach and tests have been written as functions in R and have been included as Supplementary Software. While a similar approach is possible using bulk RNA-seq data, here, we are powered to identify temporally similar modules active in distinct subsets of cells both within and across time and we can use each cell of a specific type as a well-controlled, independent biological replicate to identify, from a single sample, essential response features and their putative upstream drivers. Compared to a directed approach, this discovery-based identification of temporally variant modules enables unbiased selection of coordinated genes and pathways and immediately reveals differences in response dynamics among cell types, states and participants.

For the cross-participant module discovery analysis (Extended Data Fig. 5), we applied the WGCNA framework to all cells of a given cell type across all four participants at all timepoints sampled. Here, the number of genes input into the framework varied between ~350–850 genes by choosing the top and bottom 100 genes from the most significant PCs, determined by finding the asymptote in the PC elbow plot (ranked s.d. of each PC). These modules were then tested for significance correlation between two modules (1) null hypothesis –1 participant; and (2) alternative hypothesis –1 participant + time.time.bin. We then calculated the F-statistic for the ANOVA between these two models. Peak and post-peak timepoints were chosen based on the score maxima for the modules discovered in each participant in MMsp and MMgmp (see Extended Data Fig. 4).

Module grouping and gene-set analysis. To more easily compare modules by temporal pattern within and between participants, fuzzy c-means clustering was applied to all of the modules in a given participant using the Mfuzz package11 (v.2.38.0). We chose to use fuzzy c-means clustering to allow us to understand the extent of membership of a given module to its assigned cluster. For each participant, c was chosen as 5 groupings (k = 5–7, such that diverse temporal patterns were separated, minimizing the number of clusters containing fewer than three modules. These groupings of modules were then annotated by similar scoring patterns across participants, taking into consideration that infection time is not the same for every participant (Extended Data Fig. 4). We named four of these MMs on the basis of the most consistent module dynamic signature: MMsp, MMmsn, MMgmp and MMgmn. The remaining three MMs were named a–c given their more complex score dynamics.

Gene-set analysis on modules was performed using IPA (QIagen) given its better performance with low gene numbers; our modules were sized between 10–66 genes. Only gene names were supplied for analysis and submitted for core analysis with the experimentally confident setting. In Fig. 3d–i, the pathways annotated were taken from either the canonical pathways or diseases and functions results. For the upstream driver analysis in Extended Data Fig. 6d, upstream genes were identified for the following functional annotations (FDR < 0.05) in at least two modules of any given cell type, with at least five genes in the gene set. As the gene sets annotated in IPA are quite large and share many genes, the edges in our network were restricted to only those upstream drivers that shared three or more genes. To achieve finer granularity, the list of enriched genes for each upstream driver from modules within a given cell type was used to generate scores against the single-cell expression data. Only upstream driver scores that demonstrated temporal variability (as described above) were included. We report the median scores at each timepoint for each upstream driver.

We chose to use parts of MSigDB v.6.2 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/ msigdb) for the gene-set enrichment analysis in Extended Data Figs. 8 and 9, given higher gene numbers (>100), allowing for more conservative P-values. Multiple-hypothesis testing was corrected by the Benjamini–Hochberg FDR procedure. The specific collections of gene sets used are reported in the figure legends.

Cell–cell signaling network curation. The cell–cell signaling networks in Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 7b were generated using connections annotated in IPA11. Molecules of interest were chosen from genes in the modules belonging to MMgp in P2 (Fig. 3d) or shared among at least two participants (Fig. 3i, Extended Data Fig. 7b and Supplementary Table 7), respectively. We also included select upstream drivers found to be significantly enriched in downstream genes within the modules. Edges were drawn between all nodes (genes or predicted upstream drivers) with the ‘Connect’ tool in ‘My Pathways’ using both ‘Direct’ and ‘Indirect’ interactions. Subsequently, edges were manually trimmed by looking at the provided support for the connections and discarding any connections not supported by demonstrations of expression or activation in the input data. This process was performed for each cell type against each upstream driver connected to a cell for which that upstream driver was not significantly enriched nor was also trimmed (for example, only edges between IL-1β and nodes for genes in CD4+ T cells were kept). Contextualization of these cell–cell signaling networks is further explored online: http://shaleklab.com/resource/immune-dynamics-of-acute-hiv-infection.

Comparison to microarray data generated in acute SIV infection. To contextualize our single-cell results against previously published datasets in SIV infection, we compared genes differentially expressed at peak viremia (compared to pre-infection) in all eight cell types studied in P1 to genes upregulated in rhesus macaques 0–180 d after SIV infection12. Any genes found to be differentially expressed (FDR-corrected q < 0.05) in our data are depicted alongside any gene that demonstrated log(fold change) upregulation at any timepoint in the Bosinger et al. microarray experiments14 (Extended Data Fig. 3b). We note that compared to many of the ISGs upregulated in acute SIV infection, where upregulation persists for >2 weeks, only ISGs in P1 were only differentially expressed at the 1-week timepoint, indicating potential differences in the evolution of immune responses between humans and macaques.

scRNA-seq of pDCs with SMART-seq2 and analysis. Reverse transcription WTA and WTA of the pDCs in 96-well plates was performed as previously described15. Samples were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq500/550 instrument with an Illumina 75 Cycle NextSeq500/550 v2 kit (Illumina FC-404-2005) using 30-bp paired-end reads. Given difficulties acquiring pDCs from pre-infection samples due to limited cell numbers, we sequenced pDCs from the peak IFN response and the 1-year timepoints in each participant. Reads (5 × 106 to 3 × 106 per cell) were aligned to the hg38 (Gencode v22) transcriptome and genome using RSEM16 and Tophat17, respectively. After trimming low-quality cells (cells with <25,000 mapped reads or <1,000 genes), the remaining cells had a median of 122,000 mapped reads and 2,866 genes. Pre-processing and differential expression analysis were conducted in Seurat18 using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. To test for differences in INI responsiveness, participantspecific response gene lists were used to generate scores in the pDCs using the AddModuleScore function in Seurat. The gene list used to score in each participant was chosen by including any gene that appeared at least twice in the modules that belonged to MM3 for that participant (see Extended Data Fig. 3i).

Luminex and ELISA cytokine measurements. Matching plasma cytokine levels were determined in duplicate using a multiplexed magnetic bead assay (catalog no. LHCl6003M, Life Technologies) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a mixture of beads that were coated with anticytokine antibodies were prewashed and then incubated with the plasma samples. They were then co-incubated with a mixture of biotinylated detector antibodies followed by R-phycocerythrin (R-PE)-conjugated streptavidin. A magnetic separator was used to wash the beads between incubations. Fluorescence intensity was determined on a Bio-Plex 200 system. Concentrations of the cytokines in the samples were determined by interpolating on sigmoid four-parameter logistic regression standard curves.
Matching plasma soluble CD14 levels were measured using human CD14 DuoSet ELISA Kit (catalog no. DY383, R&D Systems) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, a 96-well microplate was coated with anti-CD14 capture antibody overnight. The plates were blocked with reagent diluent for 1 h and then incubated with recomblandant or plasma samples (diluted 1:600 in reagent diluent) for 1.5 h. They were further incubated with detection antibody for 1.5 h, followed by streptavidin–HPR for 20 min. The substrate was added for 20 min for color development. The reaction was stopped by adding stop solution. Optical density (OD) of each well was determined at 450 nm (corresponding ODs at 530 nm were subtracted for wavelength correction). The concentrations of soluble CD14 in the samples were determined by interpolating on a sigmoid four-parameter logistic regression standard curve. All incubations were done at room temperature.

T cell receptor CDR3 pulldown and analysis. To directly sequence the CDR3s from proliferating T cells assayed by Seq-Well, we applied a recently published TCR pulldown method56 to WTA products from the 2-week, 3-week and 4-week timepoint samples from all four participants. Briefly, biotinylated capture probes from the TCR region were annealed to melted WTA cDNA. Magnetic streptavidin beads were then used to pull down cDNA enriched for TRBC; this cDNA was subsequently amplified using KAPA HiFi Mastermix (Kapa Biosystems) and purified using 0.75x SeraPure beads to select for 0.8–1-kb sized DNA fragments. To select for sequences with full CDR3 regions, a pool of V-region specific primers was used to further amplify sequences of interest. Step-out PCR was used to amplify cDNA was subsequently amplified using KAPA HiFi Mastermix (Kapa Biosystems) and purified using 0.75x SeraPure beads to select for 0.8–1-kb sized DNA fragments. To select for sequences with full CDR3 regions, a pool of V-region primers was used to further amplify sequences of interest. Step-out PCR was used to amplify

The concentration of soluble CD14 in the samples were determined by interpolating on a sigmoid four-parameter logistic regression standard curve. All incubations were done at room temperature.

Determining the cellular identity of early proliferating cytotoxic cells. A recent scRNA-seq study on cytotoxic in naïve human CD8+ and NK cells in healthy humans, noting basal levels of TRDC in both cell types54. To determine whether TRDC+ FCGR3A+ cells were γδT or NK cells, we scored them, as well as nonproliferating CTLs and NK cells, against gene signatures described in that study (Extended Data Fig. 10g). Based on score similarity to NK cells, and the relative downregulation of CD3 compared to the other proliferating T cell subsets (FDR-corrected Wilcoxon rank-sum test; CD3D: log2FC = −0.895, q = 2.7 × 10−10; CD3G: log2FC = −0.923, q = 8.9 × 10−10), we determined cluster 4 (lilac) to be proliferating NK cells.

Statistics. WGCNA module significance was tested using a permutation test (n = 10,000) on dissimilarity values and compared to the distribution of true values using a one-sided Mann–Whitney U-test. After FDR correction, modules that failed <500 tests (P < 0.05) were considered significant. Participant-specific modules were tested for temporal variation in score using a two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test with 1,000 subsamples. Modules discovered across all participants were tested for temporal variation with binned samples using an ANOVA with two models: (1) null – 1 + participant; and (2) alternative – 1 + participant + time.bin (P < 0.05). Gene-set analysis was performed on GMs using IPA (Qiagen). For the differential expression analysis in monocytes, we performed hypergeometric tests using gene lists from MSigDB v6.2 and corrected for multiple-hypothesis testing using the FDR procedure. Differential expression analysis between groups of single cells was performed using either a two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test or the ‘binom’ test as implemented in Seurat.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 1 | Participant and time point breakdown by cluster and cluster annotation. **a**, Plasma viral load for the 12 participants studied in Ndlovu et al.7 with the four individuals characterized here annotated. **b**, Average ratio of number of reads per number of UMIs measured per single cell. R-squared values reflect variance described by a linear model. Number of cells per participant: P1 – 15,259; P2 – 13,128; P3 – 15,927; P4 – 15,425. **c**, Time point and **d**, participant cell frequency by annotated cell type (left) and shared-nearest neighbors (SNN) clusters (right). **e**, Relative expression levels of exemplary marker genes used for cell type identification projected on the FItSNE. **f**, Heatmap of the top 10 genes differentiating each SNN cluster. Up to 500 random cells are depicted for each cluster. Two-sample Wilcoxon rank sum test; see Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers. **g**, tSNE embedding of dataset colored by SNN cluster and annotated based on genes in **e**, **f**, and Supplementary Table 3.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Cell frequency by participant and cell type. 

a, Representative gating scheme for CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. n = 30 samples.
b, Cell type frequency calculated from total cells measured within an array. Lines represent average between duplicate arrays. Columns are separated by participant.
c, Monocyte count from whole blood for 12 participants studied in Ndhllovu et al. Two-sided paired t test, n = 12.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Gene modules that align near peak viremia and are differentially expressed in pDCs are enriched for response to interferon.

a, Enrichment of modules from P1 in Fig. 2b against the IPA Interferon Signaling canonical pathway; FDR corrected Right-Tailed Fisher’s Exact Test. See Supplementary Table 4 for gene lists and their sizes. b, Heatmap of median expression of genes upregulated in PBMCs from SIV infection of rhesus macaques (n=8) compared to day 0 (fold change > 2) in Bosinger et al.21 depicted independently for each cell type in P1. Only genes differentially expressed across all time points by ANOVA in a given cell type are shown. c, Differential expression results for IRF7 in each cell type (except plasmablasts and mDCs which do not have enough cells to test, n < 4) between cells from 2 weeks and pre-infection + 1 year; implemented using the “bimod” likelihood ratio test in Seurat44. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per cell type per timepoint. d, Representative gating scheme for single-cell pDC sorts. n = 8 samples. e, Heatmap of genes differentially expressed between pDCs captured at the same timepoints as peak interferon responses and 1-year post HIV infection. Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test; number of cells per timepoint: Peak – 159; 1-Year – 184. f, Same as a for the modules in Fig. 2d for P2, P3, and P4. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per cell type per timepoint. g, Mean gene expression (log scaled) of MX1 and CXCL10 over time in each individual separated by cell type. Shaded area denotes 95% confidence interval of the mean. h, Scoring of pDCs in each participant using a core interferon signature specific to that participant. Number of cells per participant and time point: P1 Peak – 31; P1 1-Year – 79; P2 Peak – 48; P2 1-Year – 20; P3 Peak – 42; P3 1-Year – 28; P4 Peak – 16; P4 1-Year – 57. i, Heatmap of gene frequency across interferon response GMs in each participant.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | All significant temporally variant modules in all participants grouped by fuzzy c-means clustering. Modules grouped by fuzzy c-means clustering (see Methods for choice of c) reside in the same gray box. Each group of modules, or meta module (MM), were then aligned across participants based on overall temporal trend (left column). Some participants had multiple MM with similar temporal dynamics and were grouped within the same MM. Since fuzzy c-means clustering assigns membership values to each member of a cluster, we report any modules that demonstrated low cluster membership with †.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Cross-participant module discovery recapitulates aspects determined by calculating participant-specific modules. a, Timepoints chosen for testing significant changes in module expression over time across all participants. Timepoints were chosen based on peak expression of modules in MM1 and MM3. We used an ANOVA model to account for participant-specific features. b, Significant cross-participant modules that map to participant-specific modules (share at least 5 genes), separated by cell type. Median module expression is plotted for each module split by participant. Error bars depict the upper and lower quartiles for all cells across all four individuals at each time point. Boxed modules demonstrate consistent directional trends in expression between each pair of timepoints in at least 3/4 participants. P-I = pre-infection; P = peak; P-P = post-peak; 1-Y = 1 year. See a and Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per cell type per participant. c, Significant cross-participant modules that do not correspond to participant-specific modules. d, Sankey diagram demonstrating the gene overlap between participant specific modules (left) and cross-participant modules (right). Node size correlates with the number of genes within the module and edge width correlates with the number of shared genes between modules. Only overlaps consisting of ≥5 genes have edges depicted.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Sustained B cell modules and shared genes and upstream drivers between participants. a, B cell modules in MM1 with high cluster membership. b, Euler diagram of conserved overlapping genes between cell types from Fig. 3e–i, see Supplementary Table 8. c, Mean expression of ANXA1, HLA-DRA, CCL5, PRF1, and CD8A in CD4+ T cells, monocytes, CTLs, NK cells, and proliferating T cells, respectively. Shaded area denotes 95% confidence interval of the mean. Participants who did not have modules with shared temporal expression pattern as outlined in Fig. 3e–i are shown as dashed lines. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint per participant. d, Network of predicted upstream drivers of modules in Fig. 3e–i. Nodes are colored by significance in each cell-type. Edge width and color reflect the number of shared genes (width) in the gene sets of the upstream drivers for a given cell-type (color; see Methods). e, d displayed with only the edges from a given cell type. f, Luminex measurements of IP-10, MIG, IFN-γ, IL-12 in matching plasma samples. Points are averages of duplicate measurements. PI = pre-infection; 4W = 4 weeks post-detection; 9M = 9 months post-detection.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Putative upstream drivers highlight variable response dynamics and cell-cell signaling. a, Median gene set scores for significantly temporally variant (p < 0.05) upstream drivers in all participants. Gray boxes indicate that the upstream driver was not significantly variant in that cell type and participant. Right-Tailed Fisher Exact Test; see Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint per cell type. b, Putative cell-cell network described in Fig. 3j, but all nodes and connections depicted. Nodes represent genes with either measured upregulation in the modules in Fig. 3e–i or predicted drivers from IPA. Edges were drawn from connections nominated by IPA and curated from the literature.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Two cases of similar temporal modules in NK cells and monocytes: variable correlation and variable co-expression. a, Module scores in NK cells for NK GM3 and NK GM4 in P3. Ellipses drawn at 95% confidence interval for cells from each timepoint. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint. b, Correlation (spearman’s rho) between the scores for NK GM3 and NK GM4 at each timepoint. Two-sided asymptotic t approximation; FDR corrected q-value: N.S = not significant; *q < 0.05; **q < 0.01, ***q < 0.001. c, Gene expression heatmap of all NK cells in P3 for those genes in NK GM3 and NK GM4. Cells are separated based on k-means (k=3) over the depicted genes. d & e, Same as in a & b but for monocyte modules Mono GM1 and Mono GM3 in P3. f, Gene set enrichment analysis of the genes in Mono GM1 and Mono GM3 against the following MSigDB collections: Hallmark, C2, C3, C5, and C7. FDR corrected hypergeometric test; number of genes: GM1 - 33, GM3 - 52.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Participants demonstrate diverse monocyte responses prior to and immediately after HIV detection, wherein one participant who goes on to control infection presents a poly-functional subset of monocytes. a, Inflammatory and anti-viral genes shared between participants (present in at least two modules. b, Inflammatory and anti-viral scores of monocytes in each participant using gene lists in a. Ellipses drawn at 95% confidence interval for cells from each timepoint. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint per cell type. c, Principal component analysis (PCA) of all monocytes from each participant. Density of cells in PC1 vs PC2 space annotated by timepoint are depicted, and 3/5 of the top loading genes for PC1 and PC2 are also annotated. d, Percent of Non-Classical (CD16+/FCGR3A) monocytes of total monocytes as a function of time in each participant. Percentage calculated from cluster assignment (see Extended Data Fig. 1d). e, Heatmap of differentially expressed genes (FDR corrected q < 0.05 in at least one participant) between monocytes at the peak response timepoint (0 weeks/1 week) vs. pre-infection. Arrows indicate genes specific to P3 (dark-brown) and P1 (violet). Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test; see Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint per participant. f, Enriched pathways for the differentially expressed genes in e, using the MSigDB Hallmark Gene Sets. Hypergeometric test; FDR corrected q values; number of differentially expressed genes: P1 – 1,350; P2 – 436; P3 – 857; P4 – 514. g, Violin plot of the Inflammatory Module Score (see a for genes in the module) for monocytes at pre-infection (Pre) and peak transcriptional response time points (Peak) in each participant. See Supplementary Table 2 for cell numbers per timepoint per participant.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Non-proliferating and proliferating cytotoxic T cells. a, Principal component analysis of non-proliferating CTLs with participant density annotated along PC1 and PC2. Number of cells: P1 – 1828; P2 – 968; P3 – 1503; P4 – 670. b, Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes between the participants who control (P3/P4) and those who do not (P1/P2); implemented using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test. See a for cell numbers.

c, Expression of GZMB and PRF1 in all CTLs and proliferating T cells. Number of cells: CTL – 4,969; Proliferating T cells – 2,639. d, Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes between non-proliferating CTLs and proliferating T cells; implemented using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test; see c for cell numbers.

e, Heatmap of detected TCR-β CDR3s in proliferating T cell clusters 0 & 1 at 2 weeks, 3 weeks, and 4 weeks post-HIV detection. See Supplementary Table 10 for full list of CDR3s. f, Distribution of ranked TCR-β CDR3 clones (by total cell number) and singletons measured from all T cells (i.e. CD4+ T cells, CTLs, and proliferating T cells) detected at 2 weeks, 3 weeks, and 4 weeks post-HIV detection in at least two single cells in each participant. Here, except for the singletons, each sliver represents the percentage of CDR3s ascribed to the top n-n+1 clones for that timepoint and participant. g, Same as in a but over proliferating T cells. Number of cells: P1 – 483; P2 – 273; P3 – 1193; P4 – 690. h, CD8 T cell (top), γδ T cell (middle), and NK cell (bottom) scores for each proliferating T cell cluster (see g for cell numbers) and 500 randomly sampled CTLs, and 500 randomly sampled NK cells. Signatures were established from differential expression over the single-cell dataset published by Gutierrez-Arcelus et al.1. See Supplementary Table 10 for all differentially expressed genes and signature score gene lists. Box plots features depict: minimum = 25th percentile - 1.5 * inter-quartile range (IQR; smallest value within); lower = 25th percentile; center = 50th percentile; upper = 75th percentile; maximum = 75th percentile + 1.5 * IQR (largest value within).
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Data collection
Sequencing data was collected using a NextSeq500. Flow cytometry data was collected on a BD SORP FACSCaria II cell sorter using BD FACSDiva software (version 8.0.2).

Data analysis
Reads were aligned against hg19 (Ensembl: Homo_sapiens.GRCh37.74) using Drop-seq Tools (v.1.13). After alignment, data were processed using R (v3.4.4), Seurat (v.2.3.4), WGCNA (v1.66), Mfuzz (v.2.38.0), and custom scripts provided in the Supplemental Software. Gene Set Analysis was performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen, Summer 2019 Release) and MSigDB (v6.2). Flow cytometry data was analyzed using Flowjo (v10.4).
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Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The expression matrix and associated meta data can be visualized and downloaded from The Alexandria Project, a Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation funded portal (part of the Single Cell Portal hosted by The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard): https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/SCP256. De-identified raw data is available upon request through the corresponding authors given the at-risk nature of HIV infected persons. The raw data will also be submitted to dbGaP pending IRB approval.
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**Sample size**
Sample size was selected based on limited sample availability from the FRESH study (n=4). We chose participants for which we had at least 7/8 of the following time points with samples remaining: pre-infection, HIV detection (0 weeks), 1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 months, and 1 year post HIV detection. With longitudinal sampling, we have 30 independent samples. Within an individual, we have 7-8 samples, which provided robust statistics based on cell number replicates (~14k-16k cells per participant).

**Data exclusions**
Cells with fewer than 750 or more than 6,000 unique transcript reads were removed from analysis as low quality cells or potential doublets; these cells would add unwanted noise to downstream analysis. Any cells whose measured transcriptome was greater than 20% mapping to mitochondrial genes were also removed, as these have been shown to be low quality cells. Both exclusion criteria were pre-established for this data analysis.

**Replication**
Every sample was processed by Seq-Well in duplicate (except for one: P1 - 6 months, due to experiment failure). In the majority of cases, cell frequencies were confirmed by duplicate measurements. Due to sample limitations and current standard of care for HIV infection, we were unable to assay additional individuals to further validate our findings above the participants described here (n=4).

**Randomization**
All participants contracted HIV-infection in this study. Pre-infection samples were determined by negative RT-PCR of viral RNA and other HIV tests. All samples classified as post-HIV detection were characterized by positive RT-PCR of viral HIV RNA in the plasma. Controller phenotype was determined by a HIV viral load of fewer than 1,000 viral copies/mL for 3 consecutive tests, spaced 6 months apart, starting at 1.8 years post initial infection.

**Blinding**
Blinding was not relevant as there was no placebo group. All HIV- samples were collected prior to infection as participant-internal controls.
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### Materials & experimental systems

| Involved in the study |
|-----------------------|
| Antibodies           |
| Eukaryotic cell lines |
| Palaeontology        |
| Animals and other organisms |
| Human research participants |
| Clinical data        |

### Methods

| Involved in the study |
|-----------------------|
| ChIP-seq              |
| Flow cytometry        |
| MRI-based neuroimaging |

### Antibodies

| Antibodies used |
|-----------------|
| Alexa Fluor 700 - CD45; Biolegend; clone 2D1; Cat# 368514; Lot B 248834; 1:200 Dilution |
| BUV737 – CD3; BD Biosciences; clone UCHT1; Cat# 564307; Lot 7335654; 1:200 Dilution |
| BV711 – CD4; Biolegend; clone OKT4; Cat# 317440; Lot B249480; 1:200 Dilution |
| BUV395 – CD8; BD Biosciences; clone RPA-T8; Cat# 563795; Lot 7069910; 1:200 Dilution |
| BV605 – CD14; Biolegend; clone M5E2; Cat# 301834; Lot B247581; 1:200 Dilution |
| BV510 – HLA-DR; BD Biosciences; clone G46-6; Cat# 563083; Lot B249262; 1:200 Dilution |
| BV650 – CD123; Biolegend; clone 6H6; Cat# 306020; Lot B241226; 1:200 Dilution |
| APC-Cy7 – CD11c; Biolgened; clone 2D1; Cat# 337218; Lot B254813; 1:200 Dilution |

### Validation

| Validation |
|------------|
| Alexa Fluor 700 – CD45. Validated by Biolegend on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). BUV737 – CD3. Validated by BD Biosciences on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). BV711 – CD4. Validated by Biolegend on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). BUV395 – CD8. Validated by BD Biosciences on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). BV605 – CD14. Validated by Biolegend on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). BV510 – HLA-DR. Validated by BD Biosciences on human peripheral blood lymphocytes and monocytes (mouse IgG1 K control). |
**Human research participants**
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| Population characteristics | For P1, P2, P3, P4 respectively: |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age at HIV Detection:       | 24, 21, 24, 21.                   |
| Gender:                     | F, F, F, F.                       |
| Feibig Stage at HIV Detection: | I, I, I.                          |
| HIV Status at enrollment:   | HIV-, HIV-, HIV-, HIV-            |
| Controller Phenotype: No, No, Yes, Yes. |                                 |
| HLA-A:                      | 24:02/29:02, 68:01/68:02, 02:05/66:01, 01:01/66:01. |
| HLA-B:                      | 07:02/44:03, 57:02/58:02, 14:01/39:10, 39:10/81:01. |
| HLA-C:                      | 07:01/07:02, 06:02/18, 8:04/12:03, 12:03/18.  |

**Recruitment**

Eligible women were HIV uninfected, aged 18–23 years, sexually active, not pregnant, non-anemic (hemoglobin ≥10 g/L), without other barriers to participation (serious chronic illness, enrollment in another study, or family responsibilities), and gave written consent to enrollment. We targeted disadvantaged, at-risk women, favoring the enrollment of those who were unemployed and not attending school. Participants were recruited at local sites frequented by young people, including cafes, nightclubs, and shopping malls. (see Dong et al., The Lancet, 2018). Selection bias in participant recruitment is possible given the monetary incentive to join the study. This may have led to increased numbers of women seeking socio-economic gain. Nevertheless, in our study of n=4, we cannot comment on how any biases may impact the results herein, especially given our pre-infection control samples.

**Ethics oversight**

Biomedical research ethics committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal and the IRB of Massachusetts General Hospital.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
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**Methodology**

**Sample preparation**

PBMCs were purified from whole blood by ficoll phase separation, and subsequently frozen for long term LN2 storage. Frozen peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were thawed and washed twice with warm RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Next, the cells were resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% FBS) and stained with antibodies on ice for 30 minutes in FACS buffer. Afterward, the cells were washed and stained with the viability stain Calcein Blue, AM (Invitrogen, C34853) for 15 minutes on ice. Finally, the stained cells were washed twice with FACS buffer.

**Instrument**

BD SORP FACSAria II

**Software**

BD FACSDiva (v8.0.2) was used to collect flow cytometry data. Subsequent analysis was performed using FlowJo v10.4.

**Cell population abundance**

Up to 250,000 viable immune cells (CD45+Calcein Blue+) were sorted into 1 ml of RPMI + 10% FBS for Seq-Well. For For Smart-Seq2 of pDCs, cells were directly sorted into 10 μl of RLT (Qiagen) + 1% BME in 96 well plates (24-96 per sample).

**Gating strategy**

FSC-A and SSC-A were gated to liberally include all lymphocytes and myeloid cells. Subsequent gating on intact cells was performed on FSC-W, and on singlets by SSC-W. Live, Immune cells: Calcein+CD45+. CD4+ T cells: Calcein+CD45+CD14–CD3+CD4+. CD8+ T cells: Calcein+CD45+CD14–CD3+CD8+. pDCs: Calcein+CD45+CD14–CD3–CD11c+HLA-DR+CD123++.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.