Clinical Efficacy and Safety of Albendazole and Other Benzimidazole Anthelmintics for Rat Lungworm Disease (Neuroangiostrongyliasis): A Systematic Analysis of Clinical Reports and Animal Studies
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The safety and efficacy of benzimidazole anthelmintics for the treatment of rat lungworm disease (neuroangiostrongyliasis) have been questioned regardless of numerous experimental animal studies and clinical reports. In this review, 40 of these experimental animal studies and 104 clinical reports are compiled with a focus on albendazole. Among the 144 articles involving an estimated 1034 patients and 2561 animals, 4.1% were inconclusive or vague regarding the use of benzimidazoles. Of the remaining 138 articles, 90.5% found benzimidazoles to be safe and effective (885 patients, 2530 animals), 4.3% as safe but ineffective (73 patients, 3 animals), and 5.0% caused adverse reactions (7 patients, 28 animals). Among those clinical reports that described a confirmed diagnosis of neuroangiostrongyliasis in which albendazole monotherapy was used, 100% reported high efficacy (743 patients, 479 animals). In those where albendazole-corticosteroid co-therapy was used, 97.87% reported it to be effective (323 patients, 130 animals).
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Neuroangiostrongyliasis (rat lungworm disease) is a neurological condition caused by the nematode Angiostrongylus cantonensis. Infections are mainly caused by the accidental ingestion of third-stage larvae (L3) from infected mollusks, often found on produce. The spectrum of disease severity can range from a mild headache to life-long neurological anomalies, paralysis, and even death. This dramatic range of symptoms is thought to be correlated with the number of L3s to which an individual has been exposed. Early diagnosis and detection of infection can be problematic, and early signs and symptoms are very general and vary from case to case [1-3]. Treatment of neuroangiostrongyliasis has also been controversial, particularly regarding the use of anthelmintics, especially benzimidazoles, whose use has been contraindicated in some reports [4, 5]. This lack of consensus regarding the use of anthelmintics has left the healthcare system with few pharmaceutical tools available for its management.

Benzimidazoles are a chemical classification of anthelmintics that include thiabendazole, mebendazole, and albendazole, among others [6, 7]. Benzimidazole anthelmintics are known to bind to the colchicine-sensitive site of β-tubulin and hinder microtubule assembly, corrupting the cascade of cell division at metaphase, which ultimately leads to death of the parasite [8]. Currently, in the United States, specific treatment for neuroangiostrongyliasis is initiated only after diagnostic confirmation through the detection of A. cantonensis DNA in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [9]. However, at this point of the disease, the larvae are likely to have induced neurological damage. In addition, concern has been raised that using anthelmintics to kill larvae that have migrated to the brain could induce further inflammation, causing additional complications [3, 10]. While numerous researchers have investigated the specific question of using benzimidazoles for the management of neuroangiostrongyliasis, their findings are scattered among multiple clinical reports and animal studies [5] and across a wide variety of geographical locations. Thus, a consensus has never been established. This comprehensive review of 144 reports associated with benzimidazole therapy for neuroangiostrongyliasis categorizes these studies based on their treatment regimen and clinical outcomes toward greater insights into efficacy and safety. Although we have reviewed studies associated with all benzimidazole derivatives used, our prime focus is on albendazole as it is currently the only US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved benzimidazole.
anthelmintic capable of crossing the blood–brain barrier (BBB) [11]. To our knowledge, this is the most extensive review of anthelmintic therapy for neuroangiostrongyliasis to date.

**METHODS**

**Search Strategy**

A multilingual comprehensive search of databases including PubMed/Medline, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and CAB Direct from each database’s inception to 28 July 2020 was conducted. The search included ahead-of-print, in-process, and other nonindexed citations. The search terms used were “rat lungworm” [AND] “albendazole,” “rat lungworm” [AND] “mebendazole,” “rat lungworm” [AND] “albendazole,” “rat lungworm” [AND] “thiabendazole,” “rat lungworm” [AND] “treatment,” “Angiostrongylus cantonensis” [AND] “albendazole,” “Angiostrongylus cantonensis” [AND] “mebendazole,” “Angiostrongylus cantonensis” [AND] “thiabendazole,” “Angiostrongylus cantonensis” [AND] “treatment,” “neuroangiostrongyliasis” [AND] “albendazole,” “neuroangiostrongyliasis” [AND] “mebendazole,” “neuroangiostrongyliasis” [AND] “thiabendazole,” and “neuroangiostrongyliasis” [AND] “treatment.” We identified additional candidate studies from the bibliographic references of the eligible primary studies and reviews.

**Eligibility Criteria**

Eligible studies conducted in humans had to meet all of the following criteria: case reports or case series, confirmed or presumptive diagnosis of neuroangiostrongyliasis or ocular angiostrongyliasis, and treatment with 1 or more benzimidazole anthelmintics with or without coadministration of corticosteroids. Criteria for animal studies included the following: experimental infection of animals with *A. cantonensis* L3 larvae, administration of 1 or more benzimidazole anthelmintics either pre- or post-infection, and confirmation of anthelmintic efficacy by 1 or more of the following: histopathology, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), assessment of inflammatory biomarkers, detection of L1 larvae in the feces, or extraction of *A. cantonensis* from the brain or heart–lungs complex. This article follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses [12].

**Study Selection and Data Extraction**

Two authors independently screened the article titles in the literature search. A standard data collection form was developed to extract information such as authors, year of publication, country of disease origin, patient’s knowledge of exposure, incubation period, time duration between incubation period and initiation of anthelmintic treatment, type of benzimidazole used and its regimen, other coadministered medications, method used to confirm infection, and patient outcome associated with benzimidazole anthelmintic treatment. The data from articles in languages other than English were collected by a native speaker of the respective language. Case reports and case series were analyzed together as clinical reports, and the animal studies were analyzed separately.

Given the lack of specific diagnostic markers to indicate the severity of *A. cantonensis* infection, severity is determined subjectively and is entirely based on the presenting symptoms. Thus, quantitative analysis of any correlation between benzimidazole therapy and its clinical efficacy against neuroangiostrongyliasis is not valid. Therefore, the efficacy of benzimidazole anthelmintics in the clinical reports analyzed in this study was based entirely on the patient’s condition after the therapy, that is, improved, unaffected, or deteriorated. Efficacy and safety of benzimidazoles from the clinical reports and animal studies were categorized into the following 4 groups based on their outcomes: “beneficial outcome” when benzimidazole therapy is reported to be effective and safe, “ineffective” when benzimidazole therapy is reported to be safe but not effective, “adverse outcome” when benzimidazole therapy is reported to have deteriorated the patient’s condition, and “inconclusive” when the clinical outcome associated with the benzimidazole therapy is not specific or clear.

**RESULTS**

Literature searches resulted in clinical reports from 1972 through 2021. The reports of animal studies collected were from 1965 through 2020. As our literature search was multilingual, the breakdown for clinical reports was English (n = 80), Chinese (n = 12), French (n = 9), Spanish (n = 2), and German (n = 1); and the breakdown for animal studies was English (n = 35), Chinese (n = 3), French (n = 1), and German (n = 1).

**HUMAN DATA**

**Clinical Outcomes**

Our search yielded 104 clinical reports that included both confirmed as well as presumptive diagnoses of neuroangiostrongyliasis. The total number of patients included in these clinical reports was estimated to be 1034; the exact number was undeterminable based on the data provided in some of the published reports. Of these 104 clinical reports, 6 were classified as inconclusive. Of the remaining 98 articles, 87 (885 patients) reported beneficial outcomes associated with benzimidazole anthelmintic treatment, with or without the coadministration of corticosteroids; 5 (73 patients) reported the use of benzimidazoles to be ineffective; and 6 (7 patients) reported adverse outcomes (Figure 1, Table 1). The summary of these clinical reports is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

**Diagnosis**

Since accurate diagnosis and detection of neuroangiostrongyliasis is an ongoing challenge with other infectious diseases exhibiting similar signs and symptoms [5], we sought to
determine the number of clinical reports that included a confirmed diagnosis and to identify the method used for diagnosis. We defined a case to have a confirmed diagnosis if any larval stage of the parasite was reported to have been recovered from the patient’s CSF, eye, or during an autopsy or if there was positive confirmation of infection by PCR or any A. cantonensis–specific immunodetection technique performed on the patient’s CSF, peripheral blood, or serum. Based on this criterion, 79 of 104 articles reported a confirmed diagnosis, and the remaining 25 reported a presumptive diagnosis.

**Benzimidazoles**

**Benzimidazole monotherapy**

The use of benzimidazole anthelmintic monotherapy was reported in 29 articles, where 18 reported a confirmed (117 patients) and 11 reported a presumptive diagnosis (145 patients; Figures 2–4, Table 2). Of the 18 articles that reported a confirmed diagnosis, the diagnosis was categorized as inconclusive in 2 (9 patients), monotherapy was reported to be ineffective in 3 (22 patients), and an adverse outcome was reported in 2 (2 patients). The remaining 11 articles (94 patients) reported monotherapy to be beneficial. Similarly, among those reporting a presumptive diagnosis, 3 of 11 articles (4 patients) reported monotherapy to have adverse outcomes and the remaining 8 (141 patients) reported monotherapy to be beneficial.

**Benzimidazoles–corticosteroid cotherapy**

The use of benzimidazole anthelmintics along with corticosteroids was described in 75 of 104 clinical reports (Figures 2–4, Table 2). Of these, a confirmed diagnosis was provided in 61 (486 patients), while the remaining 14 (194 patients) reported a presumptive diagnosis. Use of corticosteroids such as dexamethasone, prednisone, prednisolone, and methylprednisolone was common in the reports that involved cotherapy. Of these 75 articles, 3 were categorized as inconclusive, 2 (54 patients) reported cotherapy to be ineffective, and the remaining 70 (97.2%; 526 patients) reported cotherapy to be beneficial. Interestingly, none of the articles that described use of benzimidazole–corticosteroid cotherapy reported any adverse outcome.

**Albendazole**

Of the 104 clinical reports reviewed, 77 (743 patients) specifically reported the use of albendazole (Figure 2), of these, 4 were categorized as inconclusive. Of the remaining 73 articles, 2 reported albendazole to be ineffective, 1 reported adverse outcomes, and 70 (96%) reported beneficial outcomes.

**Albendazole monotherapy**

Of the 77 articles that described the use of albendazole, 17 reported on monotherapy (Figure 2). Of these, 10 reported a confirmed diagnosis, 1 of which was classified as inconclusive [156] and the remaining 9 (100%) reported beneficial outcomes. The estimated mean dose of albendazole reported in these articles was approximately 15 mg/kg/day or 400 mg twice daily (average body weight to be 60 kg). The estimated duration of monotherapy was approximately 2 weeks, the mean time of initiation of albendazole post-exposure was approximately 6 weeks, and the mean time of initiation of albendazole post-onset of symptoms was approximately 4.5 weeks. The remaining 7 of 17 articles that reported albendazole monotherapy had a presumptive diagnosis, and of those, 1 reported an adverse outcome [145]. The remaining 6 articles reported beneficial outcomes.

| Clinical Outcome | Case Reports | Case Series | Total Number of Clinical Reports | Animal Studies | Total Number of Articles |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Beneficial       | Confirmed    | Presumptive | Confirmed                       | Presumptive    | Total                  |                     |
|                  | 46           | 10          | 21                              | 10             | 87                     | 38                  | 125                 |
| Ineffective      | 2            | 0           | 2                               | 1              | 5                      | 1                   | 6                   |
| Adverse          | 3            | 2           | 0                               | 1              | 6                      | 1                   | 7                   |
| Inconclusive     | 1            | 0           | 4                               | 1              | 6                      | 1                   | 6                   |
| Total number of articles | 52     | 12          | 27                              | 13             | 104                    | 40                  | 144                 |

*References [13-99], †References [100-137], ‡References [138-142], §Reference [143], ‡References [144-149], †Reference [150], ‡References [151-156].

Figure 1. Graphical comparison of outcomes from clinical reports (n = 104) and animal study results (n = 40) associated with the management of neuroangiostrongyliasis using benzimidazole anthelmintics. The numbers of patients and animals involved are estimated.
Of the 75 articles that reported benzimidazole-corticosteroid cotherapy, 60 specifically reported use of albendazole (Figure 2). Of those, 49 had a confirmed diagnosis, 2 were classified as inconclusive [151, 153], and 1 reported albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy to be ineffective [140]. The remaining 46 of 47 articles (97.87%) reported cotherapy to be beneficial. The estimated mean dose of albendazole reported was approximately 15 mg/kg/day or 400 mg twice daily (average body weight to be 60 kg). The estimated duration of cotherapy was approximately 2.5 weeks, the mean time of initiation of cotherapy post-exposure was approximately 3.5 weeks, and the mean time to initiation of cotherapy post-onset of symptoms was approximately 3 weeks. The remaining 46 of 47 articles (97.87%) reported cotherapy to be beneficial. The estimated mean dose of albendazole reported was approximately 15 mg/kg/day or 400 mg twice daily (average body weight to be 60 kg). The estimated duration of cotherapy was approximately 2.5 weeks, the mean time of initiation of cotherapy post-exposure was approximately 3.5 weeks, and the mean time to initiation of cotherapy post-onset of symptoms was approximately 3 weeks. The remaining 11 of 60 articles that reported albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy described a presumptive diagnosis. Of those, 1 reported cotherapy to be ineffective [139] and 1 was classified as inconclusive [154]. The remaining 9 articles reported beneficial outcomes.

Albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy

Of the 75 articles that reported benzimidazole-corticosteroid cotherapy, 60 specifically reported use of albendazole (Figure 2). Of those, 49 had a confirmed diagnosis, 2 were classified as inconclusive [151, 153], and 1 reported albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy to be ineffective [140]. The remaining 46 of 47 articles (97.87%) reported cotherapy to be beneficial. The estimated mean dose of albendazole reported was approximately 15 mg/kg/day or 400 mg twice daily (average body weight to be 60 kg). The estimated duration of cotherapy was approximately 2.5 weeks, the mean time of initiation of cotherapy post-exposure was approximately 3.5 weeks, and the mean time to initiation of cotherapy post-onset of symptoms was approximately 3 weeks. The remaining 11 of 60 articles that reported albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy described a presumptive diagnosis. Of those, 1 reported cotherapy to be ineffective [139] and 1 was classified as inconclusive [154]. The remaining 9 articles reported beneficial outcomes.

Benzimidazoles Other Than Albendazole

Mebendazole and thiabendazole were the most commonly used benzimidazole anthelmintics in clinical reports after albendazole (Figure 3); in 2 articles, use of flubendazole was reported [97, 152]. Mebendazole use was reported in 12 articles (118 patients); of those, monotherapy use was reported in 4, with 2 reporting adverse outcomes [147, 148], 1 reporting ineffective outcomes [142], and 1 reporting beneficial outcomes [83]. Interestingly, the other 8 articles reported use of corticosteroid cotherapy, and all of those 8 (100%) reported beneficial outcomes (103 patients), irrespective of confirmed or presumptive diagnosis. Similarly, 8 clinical reports described thiabendazole use (21 patients), with monotherapy use reported in 6. Two articles reported adverse outcomes [144, 146], 2 reported ineffective outcomes [138, 141], and 2 reported thiabendazole to be beneficial [92, 93]. Similar to the outcomes of mebendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy, thiabendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy was reported to be 100% beneficial (2 of 2 articles; 3 patients). Among the ocular angiostrongyliasis reports [175], only 1 advised against the use of benzimidazoles (mebendazole) [148], and the remaining 8 of 9 studies reported beneficial outcomes, primarily with the use of albendazole (Figure 4).

Unspecific or Multiple Benzimidazoles Used

Seven clinical reports reported use of multiple benzimidazole anthelmintics; however, the specific benzimidazole used for the individual patients was not provided (Table 2). Among these 7 reports, 6 confirmed diagnoses according to our study criteria and 1 confirmed infection by peripheral serology (unspecified) [96]. Five of these 7 articles had language that led us to infer that corticosteroids were administered with benzimidazoles as a cotherapy; however, the details of individual patient regimens were not provided. Of these 7 articles, 2 were classified as inconclusive [152, 155] and 1 reported having an adverse outcome in 1 of 3 patients [149]. The remaining 4 reported beneficial outcomes.

ANIMAL DATA

We found 40 articles that investigated the efficacy and safety of various benzimidazole anthelmintics using animal models (Figure 5). The total number of animals included in these

Figure 3. Graphical comparison of clinical reports that described mebendazole or thiabendazole treatment based on the type of therapy and disease diagnosis. The estimated numbers of patients involved are provided in parentheses. The corresponding references of articles are listed here. References: Mebendazole monotherapy (confirmed): beneficial, [83]; ineffective, [142]; adverse, [148]. Mebendazole monotherapy (presumptive): adverse, [147]. Mebendazole cotherapy (confirmed): beneficial, [84-88]; Mebendazole cotherapy (presumptive): beneficial, [89-91]. Thiabendazole monotherapy (confirmed): ineffective, [138-141]; adverse, [146]. Thiabendazole monotherapy (presumptive): beneficial, [92, 93]; adverse, [144]. Thiabendazole cotherapy (confirmed): beneficial, [84, 95].
The efficacy of albendazole on rat lungworm was estimated to be 2561; the exact number was undeterminable based on the data provided in some of the published studies. The animal studies were analyzed separately from the clinical reports, and the summary of these studies is provided in Supplementary Table 2. Twenty-two of 40 animal studies reported use of albendazole (994 animals); 5 of those used corticosteroid cotherapy. Many of these animal studies concurrently reported on the efficacy and safety of other agents as cotherapy with albendazole, for example, Chinese medicines, immune modulators, and fungal extracts, all with beneficial outcomes. Similarly, 8 articles reported on the efficacy of mebendazole; of those, 1 used mebendazole–betamethasone (corticosteroid) cotherapy [100]. Six studies investigated the efficacy of thiabendazole (482 animals) with primarily beneficial results. In 8 studies, flubendazole was used (65 animals), and 2 studies investigated the efficacy of parbendazole [101, 124]; all reporting beneficial outcomes.

Of these 40 animal studies involving an estimated 2561 animals, only 1 reported the use of benzimidazole anthelmintics (thiabendazole) to be ineffective in 3 rats [143]. Similarly, 1 study advised against the use of benzimidazoles (albendazole) with 28 rabbits [150]. The remaining 38 (95%) animal studies, involving approximately 2530 animals, reported benzimidazoles to be beneficial and effective against neuroangiostrongyliasis.

DISCUSSION

Benzimidazoles are one of the few classes of anthelmintics that are effective against *A. cantonensis* [157], especially albendazole, which is currently the only available FDA-approved benzimidazole known to be capable of crossing the BBB [11]. An increasing number of guidelines recommend the use of albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy for the management of neuroangiostrongyliasis, for example, the Sydney Children's Hospital Network, Australia (2018) [158]; the Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Services, Australia (2019) [159]; the Hilo Medical Center, Hawaii (2020) [160]; and the Hawaii Governor's Joint Task Force on Rat Lungworm Disease Clinical Subcommittee [9]. Experimental studies have also demonstrated the in vitro efficacy of albendazole on *A. cantonensis* L3 [157, 161]. However, doubt remains regarding albendazole's safety, primarily due to concerns that it may cause further inflammation and associated complications induced by the killed larvae in the brain [3, 10]. For further clarification, we extensively reviewed and compiled relevant reports on its use in the treatment of angiostrongyliasis, but not without associated challenges. These studies involved a broad array of objectives, methodologies, and data reporting standards that were used over the time span of the articles included. Other challenges more specific to angiostrongyliasis were the lack of specific diagnostic markers or accepted diagnostic guidelines to indicate the severity of infection and the nonspecific nature of disease presentation.

Given the preponderance of evidence that supports the use of benzimidazoles for management of neuroangiostrongyliasis (90.5% of articles), studies that indicated otherwise deserve further review. Those articles that reported adverse outcomes were generally vague, using such descriptions as "symptoms remarkably worsened" [144, 147], "deterioration of symptoms" [145, 148], "condition exacerbated" [145], "relapse of symptoms" [146], and so on. The fact that no new symptoms were reported suggests that these adverse outcomes were the result of an increase in the severity of existing conditions and thus leads us to believe that the adverse outcomes reported in these articles are due to the inefficacy of
benzimidazole rather than drug–parasite immune response or benzimidazole-related toxicity. Additionally, the articles that reported adverse outcomes had certain factors in common; they used either mebendazole or thiabendazole monotherapy (not albendazole) or made a presumptive (not confirmed) diagnosis.

The use of mebendazole appeared to be beneficial in 75% of clinical reports and 100% of animal studies. Berkhout et al [147] reported 2 presumptive cases in which only 1 patient received mebendazole as discharge medication and reported worsening of symptoms 24 hours post-discharge. Since the larvicidal effect of benzimidazole anthelmintics occurs during subsequent cell division in the nematode [162], which takes at least 1 week [163, 164], these early adverse events are unlikely due to mebendazole. Tseng et al [142] reported 9 confirmed cases who were treated with mebendazole for approximately 6 days, with or without the coadministration of corticosteroids, with ineffective outcomes. However, the specifics of the treatment were not reported, and mebendazole’s inability to cross the BBB [165] renders it less than ideal for the management of neuroangiostrongyliasis [166], as the parasite likely reached the central nervous system prior to administration of the drug.

The use of thiabendazole is similarly problematic. Thiabendazole is a predecessor of albendazole and mebendazole, with the ability to cross the BBB. However, due to its severe side effects, such as hepatitis, tinnitus, headaches, dizziness, photosensitivity, and mood swings, it has been withdrawn from the market in most countries (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK548194/) [167]. Many of these side effects mimic the symptoms of neuroangiostrongyliasis [1], making it difficult to distinguish between the disease and the side effects from thiabendazole. While 83% of the animal studies of thiabendazole found it to be effective, only 50% of the clinical reports showed beneficial effects compared with mebendazole (75%) and albendazole (96%).

As an illustration of thiabendazole’s lack of efficacy, Kliks et al [138] describes 16 fishermen who were infected; 9 were treated with thiabendazole for 3 days (a comparatively short treatment duration), with no appreciable effect on the disease course. Similarly, Scemama [141] reported on a 14-month-old for whom thiabendazole was initiated for 1 week, but there was no improvement in her condition. Bisseru et al [146] reported on a patient who was given thiabendazole for 2 weeks, and the patient was discharged symptom-free. However, approximately 10 days later, the patient was readmitted because of a relapse of symptoms, and it was concluded that the relapse was due to an inflammatory reaction to the dead or dying larvae in the brain. Similarly, Bowden [144] reported on 2 presumptive cases who died of neuroangiostrongyliasis, but neither of the deceased patients actually received thiabendazole. The authors also reported adverse outcomes with the use of thiabendazole in 2 other patients who eventually recovered. It is likely that the results from these early studies with thiabendazole have substantially contributed to current concerns regarding the use of benzimidazoles for neuroangiostrongyliasis.

All of the animal studies that were reviewed had confirmed diagnoses of neuroangiostrongyliasis since they are experimentally infected, and only 1 of the 40 animal studies reported an adverse outcome [150]. Wang et al (2006) challenged each rabbit in their study with 400 L3s and administered single-dose albendazole (5 mg/kg). The magnetic resonance imaging,
histobenzazole had increased cerebral inflammation in these rabbits compared with the control groups. However, it should be emphasized that this is the only study that used rabbits to investigate the efficacy of benzimidazole anthelmintics and that this is the only animal study of albendazole that used more than 100 L3s to induce infection. Perhaps, a dose greater than 5 mg/kg/day of albendazole would be required to produce a significant effect against 400 L3s, considering how this was the only animal study to report an adverse outcome. Additionally, while Guilhon et al [143] reported thiabendazole therapy to be ineffective, other animal studies [124, 129-132] reported thiabendazole to be beneficial. Lämmler and Weidner [124] demonstrated the beneficial effects of fenbendazole, a commonly used veterinary benzimidazole anthelmintic.

Albendazole is currently the preferred anthelmintic for management of neuroangiostrongyliasis. Among the clinical reports with a presumptive diagnosis, only 1 reported an adverse outcome 24 hours post-initiation of albendazole monotherapy [145]. However, it is unlikely that the patient's condition would have become exacerbated after 24 hours of albendazole therapy, as discussed above in the article by Berkhout et al [147]. Similarly, among clinical reports of albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy, only 1 reported the cotherapy to be ineffective [139]. Chotmongkol et al [139] conducted a single-centered, randomized, clinical trial to compare the efficacy of albendazole–prednisolone cotherapy vs prednisolone alone for resolving the duration of headaches and found no statistical difference in the duration of headaches between the 2 study groups ($P = .49$), deeming it ineffective.

Among clinical reports with a confirmed diagnosis, albendazole monotherapy appears 100% effective. The efficacy of albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy similarly appears to be very effective (97.87%), with only 1 article reporting it to be ineffective [140]. Nevertheless, there are still concerns of initiating further cerebral inflammation from the dying larvae in the brain and associated complications. The FDA currently recommends the coadministration of a corticosteroid along with albendazole for helminths that are capable of migrating to the brain, such as in neurocysticercosis [168]. Additionally, many clinical reports have documented corticosteroid monotherapy to be beneficial for relieving the symptoms associated with neuroangiostrongyliasis, primarily the duration of headaches [169-173]. In contrast, few have reported corticosteroid monotherapy to be ineffective in severe cases [174]. Therefore, while the literature with a confirmed diagnosis of neuroangiostrongyliasis suggests no evidence that albendazole monotherapy causes cerebral inflammation, considering the potential benefit of relieving the symptoms and out of an abundance of caution, coadministration of a high-dose corticosteroid is recommended.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results provided in 144 articles (estimate of 1034 patients and 2561 animals) on the use of benzimidazole anthelmintics for the treatment of neuroangiostrongyliasis, 4.1% (6 of 144) were unspecific regarding the treatment aspects and thus considered inconclusive. Of the remaining articles, 90.5% (125 of 138) considered the use of benzimidazoles as beneficial (885 patients, 2530 animals), 4.3% (6 of 138) as being safe but ineffective (73 patients, 3 animals), and 5% (7 of 138) reported adverse outcomes (7 patients, 28 animals). Clinical reports with a confirmed diagnosis reported albendazole monotherapy to be 100% (9 of 9 articles) effective (743 patients, 479 animals), while the efficacy of albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy was reported to be 97.8% (46 of 47 articles) effective (323 patients, 130 animals). These animal studies from 18 laboratories and clinical reports from 24 countries provide highly supportive evidence for the safe and effective use of benzimidazole anthelmintics, especially albendazole–corticosteroid cotherapy, for the management of neuroangiostrongyliasis.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at Clinical Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.
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