Clinical and imaging profiles of pulmonary embolism: a single-institution experience
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Abstract

Background: Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a common life-threatening condition with non-specific clinical presentations. The diagnosis of PE depends highly on imaging studies, which may also provide prognostic information. This study aimed to describe the clinical and imaging profiles of patients with PE, emphasizing the differences between central and peripheral PE.

Methods: After ethics review board approval, this retrospective observational study examined the non-negative results in adult patients who underwent computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CT-PA) at our hospital between May 2016 and December 2019. Demographic and clinical information and imaging findings were collected from the electronic medical records.

Results: The study included 85 cases that were identified after re-interpreting the 103 non-negative CT-PA scans. Six cases were excluded for incomplete data and 12 cases were false-positive. Central PE was found in 63.5% of the cases. Obesity was the most common risk factor seen in 37.6% of the cases. Furthermore, 9.4% of the patients had sickle cell disease, which tended to be associated with peripheral PE. There was no difference between the peripheral and central PE in most clinical and imaging parameters evaluated ($P > 0.05$). However, patients with isolated subsegmental PE were more likely to develop hemoptysis ($P = 0.04$).

Conclusion: This study suggests that patients with obesity and sickle cell disease constitute an important proportion of all PE cases. Furthermore, the clinical and imaging profiles in patients with peripheral PE are similar to those in patients with central PE. Future research should focus on the clinical value of peripheral PE in patients with sickle cell disease.
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Background

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a form of thromboembolic disease that is potentially life-threatening. The global burden of PE has not been estimated; however, it accounts for 100,000 deaths in the USA annually [1]. Despite being a common condition, PE can pose a diagnostic challenge because of its variable presentations. Delaying or missing the diagnosis might result in significant morbidity and mortality [1].

Although the clinical features and risk factors for PE have been widely studied, a detailed evaluation of the geographic locations of these studies reveals that most were conducted in Europe, North America, and the Far East. Little is known about the characteristics of patients with PE in the Middle East, particularly in Saudi Arabia. It would be of particular interest to explore the risk profile for PE in this uncharted area, considering the relatively higher prevalence of certain hematological...
disorders that may be associated with a thrombotic tendency [2, 3].

The definitive diagnosis of PE depends heavily on imaging studies, with computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CT-PA) being the imaging modality of choice. Additionally, because of its ability to establish a diagnosis of PE by demonstrating filling defects in the pulmonary vasculature, CT-PA may allow the assessment of other parameters, such as cardiovascular and pleuroparenchymal features, that are prognostically relevant [4–6].

This study sought to describe the clinical and imaging profiles of patients with PE who presented at an academic institution in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. We also aimed to investigate whether there is any difference between the profiles of central and peripheral PE. The findings of this study will broaden our current knowledge of PE in Saudi Arabia.

Methods
Study design and setting
After ethics review board approval, we conducted a retrospective study, wherein the clinical and imaging profiles of PE were investigated. Particular emphasis was placed on the differences between central and peripheral PE. The study was conducted at the King Fahd Hospital of the Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University.

Study population
The Radiology Information System was searched to identify all the requests for CT-PA scans between May 2016 and December 2019 for both hospitalized patients and those in the Emergency Department (ED). Eligible participants were adult patients who underwent CT-PA to rule out PE. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age < 18 years; (2) pregnancy; (3) purpose of scan was not to rule out PE; (4) chronic venous thromboembolism. Where more than one CT-PA scan had been carried out on the same patient within the same clinical encounter, only the most recent scan was included, as other scans were assumed to be of suboptimal image quality.

Data collection
A structured data collection form was used to collect data from the patient electronic medical records. Data included information related to demographics, as well as clinical and imaging profiles of PE.

Background demographic information
Details of age, sex, comorbidities, risk factors, and clinical setting (ED vs. hospitalized patient) were collected. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was used to assess comorbidities. The CCI score can range from 0 to 37 and includes 17 different components [7]. The assessed risk factors included immobilization, recent surgery or trauma, presence of a previous venous thromboembolic disease, smoking status, obesity, sickle cell disease, use of a central venous catheter, exogenous estrogen use, and a previously existing malignancy.

Clinical profile of pulmonary embolism
Data on the clinical symptoms and signs at presentation, vital signs, clinical severity, management, and outcomes were recorded. The clinical severity of PE was assessed using the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (PESI) [8], which is the most validated prognostic tool for PE [9].

Imaging profile of pulmonary embolism
Data on the chest radiographs and CT-PA scans were obtained. The images were assessed for the presence of filling defects in the pulmonary vasculature and for pleuroparenchymal abnormalities.

Definitions
Hypoxia was defined as an oxygen saturation < 95% on room air, tachycardia was defined as a heart rate > 100 bpm, and hypotension was defined as blood pressure < 90/60 mmHg. Obesity was defined as a body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m², and immobilization was defined as bed rest for more than 3 days. Recent surgery was defined as any surgery under general or regional anesthesia in the 4 weeks prior to the PE diagnosis. Central PE was defined as occurring in the pulmonary trunk, main pulmonary arteries, or lobar pulmonary arteries; peripheral PE was defined as occurring exclusively in the segmental or subsegmental pulmonary arteries. Low-risk PE was defined as corresponding to PESI classes I–II, while high-risk PE was defined as corresponding to PESI classes III–V.

Imaging interpretation
All images were independently interpreted by two consultant radiologists, one of whom had fellowship training in chest imaging. Both radiologists were blinded to all patient information, and any discrepancies in interpretation were resolved by consensus.

Statistical analysis
Data were compiled using the QuestionPro platform (Seattle, WA, USA) and analyzed using IBM SPSS for Windows, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical variables are presented as percentages and frequency distributions. Continuous variables are presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests were used to determine whether the data had a normal distribution. Continuous data were compared using the independent $t$ test or Mann–Whitney $U$ test, as appropriate.
Categorical variables were compared using the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. The significance level was set to $\alpha = 0.05$. Due to the small sample size, multivariable logistic regression was not conducted.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The study involved 103 CT-PA scans with PE diagnosis according to the radiology reports. Six CT-PA scans were excluded because of incomplete data. After reinterpreting the images, 12 CT-PA scans were found to not show PE. Of these 12 CT-PA scans, 4 were initially reported to show PE, and the remaining 8 were suspicious for PE. Eighty-five CT-PA scans were, therefore, deemed valid for further analysis (Fig. 1).

There were 40 men (47.1%) and 45 women (52.9%) with a median age of 42.0 years (IQR 32.5–58.5 years). Fifty-five (64.7%) presented to the ED, while 30 (35.3%) were hospitalized patients. The CCI score was 0 in 40 (47.1%), 1–2 in 21 (24.7%), and $\geq$ 3 in 24 (28.2%) cases.

**Localization of the pulmonary embolism**

Fifty-four PE cases (63.5%) were deemed central, and 31 (36.5%) were peripheral. Involvement of the pulmonary trunk, main pulmonary arteries, and lobar arteries was seen in 10 (11.8%), 33 (38.8%), and 52 (61.2%) cases, respectively. The segmental and subsegmental arteries were involved in 70 (82.4%) and 66 (77.6%) cases, respectively (Fig. 2), with only 8 cases having exclusive involvement of subsegmental arteries. The background characteristics of the patients with central and peripheral PE are summarized in Table 1.

**Severity of the pulmonary embolism**

The median PESI score was 55 (IQR 37–73). The number of cases in PESI risk classes I, II, III, IV, and V were 50 (58.5%), 21 (24.7%), 5 (5.9%), 2 (2.4%), and 7 (8.2%), respectively. Seventy-one cases (83.5%) were thus in the low-risk group, and 14 cases (16.5%) were in the high-risk group. There was no difference in the severity between central and peripheral PE ($\chi^2 = 0.004, P = 0.949$).

**Risk profile for pulmonary embolism**

The majority of patients (83.5%) had at least one identified risk factor for PE, with the most common risk factor being obesity, which was present in 32 cases (37.6%). Overall, 20% of the patients had undergone a recent surgery within the preceding 4 weeks, 14 cases (16.5%) had a history of previous venous thromboembolic disease. Furthermore, 11 patients (12.9%) had malignancies, including leukemia (1.2%), lymphoma (2.4%), and solid tumors (9.4%). Sickle cell disease was a risk factor in eight cases (9.4%), of which only one was female. Both
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Fig. 2 Vascular involvement of pulmonary embolism

**Table 1** Background characteristics of patients with pulmonary embolism

| Characteristics                     | Peripheral PE | Central PE | Overall    |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Age [median years (IQR)]            | 37.0 (31.0–59.0) | 44.0 (32.3–59.3) | 42.0 (32.5–58.5) |
| Gender Male                         | 17 (42.5%)    | 23 (57.5%) | 40         |
| Gender Female                       | 14 (31.1%)    | 54 (68.9%) | 45         |
| Setting Emergency department        | 17 (30.9%)    | 38 (69.1%) | 55         |
| Setting Inpatient department        | 14 (46.7%)    | 16 (53.3%) | 30         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) [median (IQR)] | 25.7 (20.4–30.7) | 29.1 (25.0–33.8) | 28.0 (22.8–32.9) |
| Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus     | 9 (42.9%)     | 12 (57.1%) | 21         |
| Comorbidities Myocardial infarction | 2 (66.7%)     | 1 (33.3%)  | 3          |
| Comorbidities Cerebral vascular accident | 3 (37.5%)     | 5 (62.5%)  | 8          |
| Comorbidities Congestive heart failure | 1 (33.3%)    | 2 (66.7%)  | 3          |
| Comorbidities Chronic kidney disease | 2 (66.7%)     | 1 (33.3%)  | 3          |
| Comorbidities Liver disease         | 2 (66.7%)     | 1 (33.3%)  | 3          |
| Comorbidities Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 1 (50.0%) | 1 (50.0%) | 2         |
| Comorbidities Connective tissue disease | 1 (50.0%)    | 1 (50.0%)  | 2          |
| Comorbidities Peripheral vascular disease | 0 (0.0%)     | 1 (100.0%) | 1          |
| Comorbidities Solid tumors          | 2 (25.0%)     | 6 (75.0%)  | 8          |
| Comorbidities Lymphoma              | 0 (0.0%)      | 2 (100.0%) | 2          |
| Comorbidities Leukemia              | 0 (0.0%)      | 1 (100.0%) | 1          |

PE pulmonary embolism, IQR interquartile range
No significant differences between central and peripheral pulmonary embolisms based on any of the included variables ($P > 0.05$)
thrombophilia and the use of a central venous catheter were identified as risk factors in one unique case (1.2%). Notably, none of these risk factors was associated with the type of PE the patient developed, except sickle cell disease, in which 75% of the patients had peripheral PE ($P = 0.047$). Patients with a history of venous thromboembolism ($P = 0.044$) and sickle cell disease ($P = 0.002$) were younger than the other patients (Fig. 3).

Clinical presentation
The most frequent presenting symptom was dyspnea, which was seen in 67 (78.8%) of the cases. Chest pain and cough were seen in 31 (36.5%) and 10 (11.2%) cases, respectively. Thirteen patients (15.3%) experienced unilateral leg swelling or pain. Hemoptysis was the least common symptom, seen in only four cases (4.7%), with only three patients (3.5%) being asymptomatic.

Tachycardia and hypoxia were seen in 43 (50.6%) and 28 (32.9%) of the cases, respectively. There were six (7.1%) patients that presented with an altered level of consciousness. Only five (5.9%) patients had hypotension. None of the presenting clinical symptoms or signs showed a significant association with the type of PE (Table 2). However, hemoptysis was more likely to occur in isolated subsegmental PE ($P = 0.043$).

Chest radiography findings
The imaging findings are summarized in Table 3. A chest radiograph was performed in 83 (97.6%) patients, and the results of which were normal in 24 (28.2%) cases. The most commonly observed abnormality was a parenchymal infiltrate, observed in 32 (37.6%) cases. Pleural effusion, cardiomegaly, and atelectasis were seen in 24 (28.2%), 18 (21.2%), and 11 (12.9%) patients, respectively. The Westermark sign was observed in only two (2.4%) cases, and the Hampton sign was not seen in any of the cases.

Pleuroparenchymal CT-PA findings
CT-PA scans showed pleuroparenchymal abnormalities in 64 (75%) cases (Figs. 4, 5 and 6). The most common pleuroparenchymal finding was that of a wedge-shaped peripheral opacity, which was seen in 35 (41.2%) cases, while pleural effusion was observed in 23 (27.1%) cases. Enhancing and non-enhancing atelectasis were seen in 4 (4.7%) and 2 (2.4%) cases, respectively, with bubbly consolidation seen in 2 (2.4%) cases. Moreover, there were no differences in the pleuroparenchymal findings between the central and peripheral PE ($P > 0.05$).

Management options
Of the 85 cases, only 7 (8.2%) did not receive anticoagulant therapy to manage the PE. The reasons for not treating these patients with anticoagulant therapy were unclear in all except one patient, who had a history of hemorrhagic stroke as a complication of previous anticoagulant therapy, and an inferior vena cava filter put in place. The anticoagulants used were enoxaparin 32 (41.0%), rivaroxaban in 20 (25.6%), warfarin in 18 (23.1%), unfractionated heparin in 6 (7.7%), and dabigatran in 2 (2.6%) cases. The duration of treatment varied, including 1 month in 5 (5.9%), 3 months in 10 (10.6%), 6 months in 29 (34.1%), 9 months in 2 (2.4%), 12 months in 8 (9.4%), and lifelong in 11 (12.9%). Systemic thrombolysis was carried out in only 10 cases (11.7%), and none of the patients underwent surgical or mechanical thrombectomy.
Survival and complications
The survival rate was 83.5%, as 14 (16.5%) patients had died within 30 days of the PE event. Recurrence of thromboembolic disease within 3 months was observed in a single patient with recurrent PE. Three patients (3.5%) developed bleeding events as complications due to treatment, including epistaxis, menorrhagia, and hemorrhagic stroke.

Discussion
The study investigated the differences in the clinical and radiological profiles between patients with central and peripheral PE. The study did not find any significant differences in these patients; however, the study does demonstrate some unique features in the patients’ clinical profiles.

Clinical profile
Our results show that half of the PE patients in this study were younger than 42 years old, an age that is notably lower than that described in the literature [10]. In the USA, a population-based study showed that the median age of patients with PE in 2012 was 65 years [10, 11]. The incidence of thromboembolism increases with age. For example, an earlier study showed that the incidence among individuals aged ≥ 70 years was three times higher than among individuals aged 45–69 years, which was, again, three times higher than the incidence rate among individuals aged 20–44 years [11]. The younger age of incidence in our study could be attributed to a high prevalence of risk factors, as more than 80% of the patients had at least one risk factor for PE. The high prevalence of risk factors in this population stresses the importance of careful history taking in patients suspected with PE.

Obesity was by far the most common risk factor for PE, seen in one-third of our patients. Obesity is a global epidemic, and Saudi Arabia is no exception [12]. It was suggested that the thrombogenic effect of obesity is partly mediated by factor VIII-related activated protein C resistance [13].

Table 2 Clinical presentation of patients with pulmonary embolism

| Characteristics                      | Peripheral PE | Central PE | Overall |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|
|                                      | N (%)         | N (%)      |         |
| Symptoms                             |               |            |         |
| Asymptomatic                         | 2 (66.7)      | 1 (33.3)   | 3       |
| Dyspnea                              | 25 (37.3)     | 42 (62.7)  | 67      |
| Chest pain                           | 9 (29.0)      | 22 (71.0)  | 31      |
| Cough                                | 6 (60.0)      | 4 (40.0)   | 10      |
| Hemoptysis                           | 2 (50.0)      | 2 (50.0)   | 4       |
| Leg pain or swelling (unilateral)    | 3 (23.1)      | 10 (76.9)  | 13      |
| Syncope                              | 1 (33.3)      | 2 (66.7)   | 3       |
| Signs                                |               |            |         |
| Tachycardia (heart rate > 100 beats/min) | 16 (37.2)  | 27 (62.8)  | 43      |
| Hypoxia (oxygen saturation < 95% on room air) | 12 (42.9)  | 16 (57.1)  | 28      |
| Hypotension (blood pressure < 90/60 mmHg) | 3 (60.0)   | 2 (40.0)   | 5       |
| Altered consciousness                | 2 (33.3)      | 4 (66.7)   | 6       |

N number of cases, PE pulmonary embolism
No significant differences between central and peripheral pulmonary embolisms based on any of the included variables (P > 0.05)
Recent surgery was found to be a high-risk factor in our study; as 20% of the cases were defined as postoperative PE. Thromboembolism is recognized as the leading cause of preventable in-hospital deaths [14]. At our institution, a protocol that mandates risk stratification and prophylaxis for thromboembolism was set in place. However, there remains insufficient data on the rate of adherence to this protocol. A previous study involving seven major hospitals in Saudi Arabia found a gap between guidelines and practice of thromboprophylaxis measures [15]. It has been conclusively shown that missing enoxaparin doses during hospitalization increases the incidence of deep venous thrombosis [16].

Notably, 9% of patients with PE in this study had sickle cell disease. While the prevalence of sickle cell disease varies significantly across Saudi Arabia, it is reported to be the highest in the Eastern Province (17%), where the current study was based [2]. Such a high prevalence might be explained, at least partly, by the high level of consanguineous marriages in this population [17]. This study highlights two features related to PE in patients with sickle cell disease. First, these patients tend to have PE at a younger age, which may increase the morbidity of the disease, as these patients might require lifelong treatment. Second, PE in these patients tends to occur in the peripheral vasculature. In their analysis of radiographic studies in patients with sickle cell disease, Gopalsamy et al. [18] similarly showed that the segmental vessels were involved in 75% of PE cases. This finding is not particularly surprising given that sickle cell disease predominantly affects the microcirculation and small vessels. However, a considerable volume of recent literature suggests that hypercoagulability plays a crucial role in thrombotic events in sickle cell disease [19]. It is also important to note that acute chest syndrome, a common complication of sickle cell disease [20], can be clinically indistinguishable from PE. Patients with sickle cell disease thus more frequently undergo CT-PA scans, which might diagnose clinically insignificant incidental PE.

We also saw several cases with a previous history of venous thromboembolism, which were in a younger age group than the other cases. This might be related to the presence of undiagnosed inherited thrombophilia.

### Table 3

| Characteristics              | Peripheral PE |  | Central PE |  | Overall |
|------------------------------|---------------|---|------------|---|---------|
|                              | N  | (%)   | N           | (%) |         |
| Chest X-ray findings         |    |       |             |     |         |
| Normal chest radiographic    | 5  | (20.0)| 19          | (80.0)| 24      |
| infiltrates                  | 14 | (40.0)| 18          | (60.0)| 32      |
| Atelectasis                  | 6  | (50.0)| 6           | (50.0)| 12      |
| Pleural effusion             | 11 | (50.0)| 13          | (50.0)| 24      |
| Cardiomegaly                 | 9  | (50.0)| 9           | (50.0)| 18      |
| Pulmonary edema              | 3  | (100.0)| 0           | (0.0)| 3       |
| Venous congestion            | 0  | (0.0)| 1           | (100.0)| 1       |
| Westermark sign              | 0  | (0.0)| 2           | (100.0)| 2       |
| CT-PA pleuroparenchymal      |    |       |             |     |         |
| findings                     |    |       |             |     |         |
| Normal pleuroparenchymal     | 4  | (20.0)| 17          | (80.0)| 21      |
| findings                     |    |       |             |     |         |
| Wedge-shaped peripheral      | 12 | (30.0)| 23          | (70.0)| 35      |
| opacity                      |    |       |             |     |         |
| Pleural effusion             | 11 | (50.0)| 12          | (50.0)| 23      |
| Enhancing atelectasis        | 2  | (50.0)| 2           | (50.0)| 4       |
| Non-enhancing atelectasis    | 1  | (50.0)| 1           | (50.0)| 2       |
| Bubbly consolidation         | 1  | (50.0)| 1           | (50.0)| 2       |

N number of cases, CT-PA computed tomography pulmonary angiography, PE pulmonary embolism

No significant differences between central and peripheral pulmonary embolisms based on any of the included variables (P > 0.05)
Testing for thrombophilia in our study, however, was limited, given that it does not significantly alter the management or outcomes in most cases, and is not one of the recommended routine tests [21]. Smoking was also a risk factor in this study, predominantly in men. However, smoking may have been underreported in women since there is social stigma around women smokers in the community.

As in the PIOPED study [22], dyspnea was the most common presentation among our patients. Chest pain in our study (36.5%), however, seemed to be less common than in the PIOPED study (66%) [22]. Consistent with recent studies, hemoptysis was an uncommon presentation. Older studies reported hemoptysis rates as high as 28% [23], likely because of delayed diagnosis before CT-PA scans became widely available.

This study did not find any difference in the clinical presentation between central and peripheral PE. The exception to this was hemoptysis, which was significantly more common in patients with isolated subsegmental PE. Garcia-Sanz et al. [24] suggested that hemoptysis was more common in subsegmental PE than in central PE, although the difference was not statistically significant. Hemoptysis is known to occur in peripheral PE because of alveolar hemorrhage that results from the influx of high-pressure blood from bronchial circulation of the obstructed area [25].

**Imaging profile**

**False-positive pulmonary embolism**

Out of the 103 non-negative CT-PA scans, 12 scans were negative for PE. All these patients were assumed to have peripheral PE. Although the radiology reports were not definitive in all cases, anticoagulant therapy was administered in two-thirds of the patients.

Inconsistency in the interpretation of the CT-PA scans has been well described before. For example, a retrospective review, performed over 12 months at a tertiary-care university hospital, found a discordance rate of 25% between the original interpretation and retrospective review [26]. We are currently in the process of analyzing this interpretive discordance in the diagnosis of PE with different vascular involvement between radiologists with varying training levels.

**Chest radiography**

Chest radiography was performed in almost all patients with PE. Most patients had non-specific changes, such as parenchymal infiltrates. However, the main role of chest radiography in patients with suspected PE is to look for alternative diagnoses that explain the patient’s symptoms, rather than to establish a diagnosis of PE.

**Pleuroparenchymal CT-PA findings**

More than 25% of the PE cases were associated with pleural effusion. The development of pleural effusion in PE remains unclear, but could be associated with increased permeability of the pulmonary capillaries [27]. The frequency of pleural effusion found in the CT-PA scans in this study was consistent with the frequency seen in previous studies [28, 29]. Moreover, the impacts of pleural effusion on outcomes in patients with PE showed conflicting results [28, 30].

**Study limitations**

This study has certain limitations. It was conducted in a single-academic institution, yielding a small sample size that was not adequate for multivariable regression analysis. The retrospective observational nature of the study is another limitation. Furthermore, the study did not include CT-PA scans that were performed for purposes other than ruling out PE. Such scans could have identified incidental PE.
Conclusion
This study provides insights into the clinical and radiological profiles of patients with PE in Saudi Arabia. It suggests that clinical and imaging profiles in patients with peripheral PE are similar to those in patients with central PE. Patients with sickle cell disease and obesity constituted a significant proportion of all PE cases in this study. Future research should focus on the clinical value of peripheral PE in patients with sickle cell disease.
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