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Abstract: Due to the steep and changing fast rising edge of explosion shock wave signal, it asks for good dynamic characteristic of sensor and test system. As far as the development of the sensor level, they are difficult to achieve dynamic characteristic requirement of approximate distortionless transmission, so cause a large dynamic error in the actual test. This paper, aiming at providing theoretical support for technology guarantee and service of the shock wave testing system of national shooting range, conducts a research on the method of dynamic characteristic compensation of pressure sensor facing the power evaluation of the blast shock wave. The research proposes and studies the filter design method of dynamic compensation of pressure sensor based on PFQPSO (the progressive function quantum-behaved particle swarm optimization) algorithm, which belongs to inverse modeling. The dynamic compensation can be realized without knowing the model of sensor, so the extra error caused by dynamic modeling of sensors can be avoided. Using 8510 series sensor can be used with lower natural frequency and lower measurement range from Endevco Company, this research has had experiment and modeling on the dynamic characteristics of shock tubes as well as design of dynamic compensation filter. Based on the analysis and confirmation, this study illustrates the possibility and effectiveness of this method.
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1. Introduction
Explosive shock wave is an important physical quantity for evaluating the power of ammunition explosion and weapon system damage. The accuracy of its pressure characteristic parameter is a key indicator for evaluating the explosive power of ammunition or weapon system. [1]. The pressure signal of blast shock wave is a dynamic signal, shown by a rapid rising process generally in several microseconds and long duration in millisecond or even hundreds of milliseconds, so it is a kind of instantaneous change signal with broadband. The measurement of blast shock wave puts forward a higher requirement for the dynamic performance of test system. If the land zone of amplitude-frequency characteristic of test system cannot cover the frequency spectrum of signal to be tested, a larger error of dynamic performance will occur, resulting the distortion of waveform observed. Thus, the test data requires follow-up processing and correction to obtain better results[2]. The dynamic characteristic compensation of sensor is an effective method for correcting the dynamic error. Therefore, carrying out research on shock wave testing technology and sensor dynamic characteristics compensation method, objectively and accurately assessing damage power, has important practical significance for the development of weapon systems and damage theory. [3].

The principle of correcting the dynamic error can be concluded into the studies on the restoration to ideal instrument, the frequency characteristic correction of test instrument, the re-construction of input signal, dynamic error compensation, signal error correction,
deconvolution method and inverse filter technique\textsuperscript{[4]}. Studies abroad mainly start from algorithm, first to realize the inverse filter according to priori knowledge of tested signal and adopt lowpass filtering or smoothing for artificial adjustment. Dr. Nahman, the American famous expert on time domain measurement, makes use of frequency domain correction to propose a best single-parameter deconvolution method to estimate tested signal. Its advantages and disadvantages depend on the algorithm of discrete Fourier transformation, suitable for lowpass test system and sensitive to noise\textsuperscript{[5]}; American Riad and Stafford jointly propose a compensation method to obtain deconvolutional stable solution, strongly inhibiting noise, which suits the deconvolution with bandpass signal; Parruck et al. calculate the deconvolution of pulse response data, derive approximate step waveform by the integral of pulse response and study the mean value and variance of tail\textsuperscript{[6]}; domestically, there are three basic methods of dynamic characteristic error correction, including frequency domain correction, numerical differentiation and superposition integral. These three methods fail to take the impact of error on the stability of results, which are not suitable for measuring signal\textsuperscript{[7]}.

Aiming at the dynamic characteristics compensation of the explosive shock wave sensor, further research on the general form of the frequency domain inverse filtering method based on mathematical inversion, research on the fast recursive pseudo-modeling method, and research on the real-time processing method of dynamic characteristics compensation are the purpose of this paper. In order to provide a theoretical basis for the accuracy of the power evaluation, and to provide technical support for the existing test system and the test system under development.

2. The Improved method

Researchers have discovered through a large number of studies and experiments that the process of human learning is similar to the quantum behavior of particles, and has great uncertainty. Therefore, a particle in the quantum space can be used to describe an individual, and a quantum potential energy field model can be constructed through the aggregation of swarm intelligence, and then by referring to the characteristics of human group coordination and autonomy, parameter control strategies can be designed. On this basis, a new swarm intelligence algorithm is proposed—Quantum-behaved PSO (QPSO) algorithm with quantum behavior. The quantum-behaved particle swarm optimization (QPSO) is a new PSO (the particle swarm optimization) algorithm model proposed from the perspective of quantum mechanical theory. It changes PSO algorithm evolution search strategy and has no more need of velocity vector, so that the form of evolution equation is simpler and easier to control. Different from standard PSO system, there is also prematurity in QPSO system. After each iteration of QPSO, the search space of individual particle is all the feasible solution space of problems\textsuperscript{[8]}. However, due to the aggregation of particles in bound state, the decline of population diversity is unavoidable. In order to improve the global and local searching ability of quantum-behaved particle swarm, the rate of convergence and computational accuracy, this paper integrates new optimization algorithm on the basis of QPSO algorithm, and proposes PFQPSO based on QPSO of progress function.

In the process of system identification, QPSO algorithm is adopted for global searching. Whereas, the accuracy of identification is lower. Thus, the result searched by QPSO is taken as the input value of progress function. The local optimal solution can searched by learning the progress function to enhance the identification accuracy of system parameter\textsuperscript{[9]}.

The sensor system can be expressed with univariate difference equation, shown in Equation
In Equation (1), \( Y(t) \) and \( X(t) \) are the observable output value and input value. \( e(t) \) is noise.

The progress function \( \hat{y}(t) \) algorithm is shown in Equation (2).

\[
\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+k} \phi_i(t)U_i(t)
\]

In Equation (2), \( \phi_i(t) \) is progress factor and \( \lambda \) is progress rate.

\[
\phi_i(t + 1) = \phi_i(t) \left[ 1 + \lambda (\hat{y} - y) X_i(t) \right]
\]

\[
U_i(t) = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & L & U_n & L & U_{n+b} \\ Y(t-1) & L & Y(t-a) & X(t-1) & L & X(t-b) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y(0) & y(-1) & L & y(1-a) & x(0) & x(-1) & L & x(1-b) \\ y(1) & y(0) & L & y(2-a) & x(1) & x(0) & L & x(2-b) \\ M & M & M & M & M & M & L & x(m-b) \\ y(m-1) & y(m-2) & L & y(m-a) & x(m-1) & x(m-2) & L & x(m-b) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}
\]

In the system identification by PSO algorithm, each particle is the system parameter to be identified. According to the results of identification, the square of the difference value between predicated output and actual output can be calculated and considered as fitness value, and the identification of system parameters can be judged and optimized. In order to verify the system identification ability of PSO algorithm, through the simulation of the known system model, according to its simulation input and output signal, standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms are adopted respectively for system identification.

The simulation object is shown in Equation (5),

\[
H(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2}}{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2}}
\]

The population size of standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms is 50, and the maximum number of iterations is 500.

The setting of standard PSO algorithm is presented. The inertia weight \( \omega \) is decreased linearly from 0.9 to 0.4, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are set to be 2, and the value range of particle is \( a_i, b_i \in [-2, 2] \).

The setting of QPSO algorithm is given. \( a \) is linearly decreased from 1 to 0.5, and the value range of particle is \( a_i, b_i \in [-2, 2] \).

The setting of improved QPSO algorithm is provided. \( a \) is linearly decreased from 1 to 0.5, \( \lambda = 0.1 \), and the value range of particle is \( a_i, b_i \in [-2, 2] \).

| algorithms | optimal parameters | fitness value |
|------------|--------------------|--------------|
| b0         | b1                 | b2           | a0 | a1 | a2 |

Table 1 The simulation results of different algorithms
Different algorithms are executed for 50 times, and the minimum error is selected. The simulation results are shown in Table 1. Table 1 shows the simulation results of different algorithms. It can be seen that the error of system identification by QPSO algorithm is far less than that by standard PSO algorithm. Whereas, the error of PFQPSO algorithm is far less than that of QPSO algorithm, and its results is nearly close to truth value.

|     | PSO     | QPSO    | PFQPSO  |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| 0.001532 | 0.003081 | 0.001531 | 1.000000 | -1.91705 | 0.923195 | 1.255E-10 |
| 0.001538 | 0.003068 | 0.001538 | 1.000000 | -1.91705 | 0.923195 | 6.135E-11 |
| 0.001537 | 0.003071 | 0.001537 | 1.000000 | -1.91705 | 0.923195 | 2.306E-20 |

Figure 1 The comparison of convergence curve of standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms

Figure 2 The comparison of parameter estimation of standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms
Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the fitness value of 500 iterations of system identification by standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms and the change trajectory of parameters, respectively. It can be seen that the convergence speeds of standard PSO, QPSO and PFQPSO algorithms is increasing successively. PFQPSO can reach the convergence after 310 times of iterations, and the average error of PFQPSO on training set is far less than that of the other two algorithms. It can be concluded from the optimal parameters obtained from simulation that, the accuracy of parameters from PFQPSO is the best, which nearly approximates to truth value when noise exists. Therefore, the simulation experiment shows that PFQPSO has a more rapid convergence speed and higher accuracy in system identification.

3. Experiments

The essence of this method is to convert the design of the dynamic compensator to the identification of the compensator parameters by experiments. The principle of sensor dynamic error correction based on the PFQPSO algorithm is to reversely identify the sensor calibration data by using an intelligent optimization algorithm, and use the acquired sensor inverse model as the sensor dynamic compensator [10]. Its basic principle is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3 The basic functional block diagram of inverse modeling](image)

![Figure 4 The design schematic diagram of sensor dynamic compensation filter based on PFQPSO](image)

Figure 4 shows the general principle of sensor dynamic error correction based on PFQPSO, in which, \( y(t) \) is sensor output signal, \( y'(t) \) is the reference model output signal, \( \hat{y}(t) \) is the compensated output signal, and \( m \) is the highest order of dynamic compensator. First of all, the dynamic calibration experiment is conducted on sensor to obtain its response to step
signal. Then the output signal of sensor is taken as the input signal of compensator, and the step signal is taken as the output signal of compensator. Next, the inverse identification is performed on the parameters of compensation filter by PFQPSO, so as to obtain the transfer function of compensation filter and realize the compensation and correction of sensor dynamic error.

The dynamic compensation filter model is determined by the minimum mean squared error and the overshoot value of compensated data relative to ideal step signal, by making use of the response data of pressure sensor under the excitation of step signal in the movable-scale experiment of shock tube\cite{[11]}. Figure 5 and Figure 6 is the relationship between the compensator order of 8510B sensor from American Endevco Company and error of mean square, as well as overshoot.

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**Figure 5** The relationship between the compensator order of 8510B sensor and error of mean square

\[x = [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]; \text{order}\]
\[y = [7.3, 5.4, 4.4, 4.7, 4.8, 4.6, 4.8, 4.5]; \text{overshoot}\]

![Figure 6](image6.png)

**Figure 6** The relationship between the compensator order of 8510B sensor

It can be seen from Fig. 5 to Fig. 6 that the mean square error and overshoot curve of the data after compensation gradually decrease with the increase of the order. The order of the compensation filter of this sensor decreases obviously after the 5th order. Due to some noise of system and sensor structure, a high-order system can be applied to describe more accurately the pressure sensor system, establish the compensator of corresponding order and obtain better compensation result of compensation from sensor output. However, the higher order of compensation filter, the harder the realization of its hardware, which is hard to be inserted in test system. After balancing the compensation effect and the difficulty to realize the hardware of filter, the order of 8510B compensation filter from American Endevco Company is selected to be 5.
With the improved QPSO, the dynamic compensation filter of sensor is built by inverse modeling, which has the advantages of simple algorithm and good convergence. This paper carries out the modeling of dynamic compensation filter on 8510B piezoresistive pressure sensor from American Endevco Company, and analyzes the indicators of its frequency domain and time domain performance to judge the compensation effect of dynamic compensation filter built by this algorithm. The indicator of frequency domain performance is mainly to analyze its effective bandwidth (the working band when the amplitude error is ±3dB); the time domain performance is mainly to analyze its overshoot, rise time, peak time and steady-state response time (±5%).

The dynamic compensation filter model of 8510B piezoresistive pressure sensor from Endevco Company is shown in Equation (7).

The coefficient values are given.

\[
\begin{align*}
b_0 &= -1.003970 & b_1 &= -0.124788 & b_2 &= -0.858342 & b_3 &= -0.140772 & b_4 &= -0.481996 & b_5 &= -0.085275 \\
a_0 &= -1.401758 & a_1 &= -0.588599 & a_2 &= 0.234809 & a_3 &= -0.655360 & a_4 &= -0.581886 & a_5 &= -0.313028
\end{align*}
\]

The model of equivalent system after compensation is shown in Equation (8).

The coefficient values are provided.

\[
\begin{align*}
d_0 &= 1.415103 & d_1 &= 1.177521 & d_2 &= 0.040734 & d_3 &= -0.970338 & d_4 &= -0.539432 \\
d_5 &= 0.604072 & d_6 &= 1.123248 & d_7 &= 0.887328 & d_8 &= 0.125176 & d_9 &= -0.652276 & d_{10} &= -0.409326 \\
c_0 &= 2.496802 & c_1 &= 0.869065 & c_2 &= -0.377827 & c_3 &= -1.986814 & c_4 &= -0.525491 \\
c_5 &= 1.969847 & c_6 &= 1.423296 & c_7 &= 0.743668 & c_8 &= -0.948631 & c_9 &= -0.861911 & c_{10} &= 0.007217
\end{align*}
\]

4. Results and Discussion

The frequency of shock wave signal reaches up to 100kHz, so the effective bandwidth of sensor shall be more than 100kHz (±3dB)\[12\]. It can be seen from Figure 7 that, the main resonant frequency of original sensor system is 83kHz; the effective bandwidth (±3dB) is 32kHz; the working frequency is 9kHz, when the amplitude error is ±2%; the working frequency is 12kHz, when the amplitude error is ±5%; the working frequency is 17kHz, when the amplitude error is ±10%. However, the effective bandwidth (±3dB) of system after the compensation of compensation filter is 149kHz; the working frequency is 14kHz, when the amplitude error is ±2%; the working frequency is 25kHz, when the amplitude error is ±5%; the working frequency is 42kHz, when the amplitude error is ±10%. 


Figure 7 The amplitude-frequency characteristic of sensor before and after compensation by PFQPSO for 8510B sensor

The verification of time domain performance

In order to analyze the time domain performance of sensor system before and after the compensation, the built dynamic compensation filter can be applied to obtain the step response of sensor system after compensation\(^{13}\), and to compare various performance indicators of time domain.

The step response output of 8510B piezoresistive pressure sensor from Endevco Company before and after compensation by PFQPSO is shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8 The comparison of step response output of 8510B piezoresistive pressure sensor before and after compensation by PFQPSO

Table 2 The comparison of time domain performance of 8510B piezoresistive pressure sensor from Endevco Company before and after compensation
In order to explain the repeatability of compensator with the optimal order for the same sensor, the compensator with the optimal order is adopted for 10 times of shock tube data rated by one sensor, to examine the consistency of compensation characteristic of time domain and frequency domain. The result of time domain indicator is shown in Table 2, and the result of frequency indicator is shown in Table 3.

Table 3 The overshoot data of ten times of repetitive experiments of 8510B sensor from Endevco Company (normalization)

| Optimal order | Experimental serial number | Original overpressure peak | Original overshoot% | Post-compensation peak | Overshoot after compensation% |
|---------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| n = 5         |                            |                            |                      |                        |                               |
| 1             | 2.724                      | 172.4                      | 1.039               | 3.9                    |                               |
| 2             | 2.592                      | 159.2                      | 1.041               | 4.1                    |                               |
| 3             | 2.193                      | 119.3                      | 1.032               | 3.2                    |                               |
| 4             | 2.266                      | 126.6                      | 1.029               | 2.9                    |                               |
| 5             | 2.852                      | 185.2                      | 1.033               | 3.3                    |                               |
| 6             | 2.372                      | 137.2                      | 1.046               | 4.6                    |                               |
| 7             | 2.434                      | 143.4                      | 1.028               | 2.8                    |                               |
| 8             | 2.794                      | 179.4                      | 1.031               | 3.1                    |                               |
| 9             | 2.705                      | 170.5                      | 1.043               | 4.3                    |                               |
| 10            | 2.565                      | 156.5                      | 1.036               | 3.6                    |                               |

From the data, it can be concluded that, there is a large difference between different sensors for original overshoot, which is caused by the larger difference in the characteristics of sensor (mainly inherent frequency).

Whereas, the original overshoot of ten times of shock wave data of 8510B from Endevco Company is averaged to 154.9%, with the highest value of 185.2%. The mean value of overshoot after compensation is 3.58%.

Table 4 The frequency domain indicator of ten times of repetitive experiments of 8510B sensor from Endevco Company

| Optimal order | Effective bandwidth | Effective bandwidth | Amplitude error±2% (kHz) | Amplitude error±5% (kHz) | Amplitude error±10% (kHz) |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| n=5 | before compensation (kHz) | after compensation (kHz) | before compensation | after compensation | before compensation | after compensation | before compensation | after compensation |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 32.2 | 149.6 | 9.4 | 14.7 | 12.6 | 25.7 | 17.1 | 42.2 |
| 31.4 | 148.3 | 6.2 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 24.9 | 16.9 | 43.1 |
| 33.4 | 147.9 | 8.7 | 14.4 | 11.9 | 25.3 | 16.4 | 42.6 |
| 32.7 | 148.6 | 10.4 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 26.7 | 17.3 | 43.6 |
| 31.9 | 149.6 | 9.4 | 15.4 | 12.7 | 26.4 | 17.2 | 42.7 |
| 34.1 | 147.8 | 10.7 | 14.5 | 13.1 | 25.1 | 17.9 | 43.8 |
| 33.1 | 147.9 | 9.1 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 26.8 | 16.4 | 43.7 |
| 32.7 | 146.9 | 9.7 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 26.7 | 16.5 | 42.9 |
| 34.1 | 148.3 | 9.4 | 14.4 | 12.7 | 25.9 | 16.7 | 44.1 |
| 31.1 | 146.5 | 10.1 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 26.1 | 14.9 | 43.8 |

It can be seen from Table 4 that the frequency domain indicator of ten times of repetitive shock tube experiments for the same one sensor is consistent. There is a good repeatability in the compensation effect of the compensator with the optimal order for the same one sensor. At the same time, the compensation effect of compensator obtained by PFQPSO is further verified, suitable for practical overpressure measurement of shock wave.

5. Conclusion

This paper proposes and studies the design method of pressure sensor dynamic compensation filter based on PFQPSO algorithm. Taking a sensor with poorer dynamic performance in 8510 series of sensor from Endevco Company as an example, this paper carries out the dynamic performance experiment of shock tube, modelling and the design, analysis and verification of dynamic compensation filter based on PFQPSO. The findings show that this method is feasible and effective. In addition, the dynamic compensation filter or inverse filter is recursive, which can be realized by IIR filter, suitable for real-time processing.
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QPSO: the quantum-behaved particle swarm optimization
PSO: the particle swarm optimization
PFQPSO: the progressive function quantum-behaved particle swarm optimization
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