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Abstract

AIM: To analyze the Hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype distribution and transmission risk factors in a population of unselected patients in Luxembourg.

METHODS: Epidemiological information (gender, age and transmission risks) were collected from 802 patients newly diagnosed for hepatitis C and living in Luxembourg, among whom 228 patients referred from prison. Genotyping by S'noncoding (S'NC) sequencing was performed. We compared categorical data using the Fisher's exact test and odds ratios (OR) were calculated for evaluating association of HCV genotype with transmission risks.

RESULTS: The sex ratio was predominantly male (2.2) and individuals aged less than 40 years represented 49.6% of the population. Genotype 1 was predominant (53.4%) followed by genotype 3 (33%). Among risk factors, intravenous drug usage (IVDU) was the most frequently reported (71.4%) followed by medical-related transmission (17.6%) including haemophilia, transfusion recipients and other nosocomial reasons. Genotype 3 was significantly associated to IVDU (OR = 2.42, \(P < 0.001\)) whereas genotype 1 was specifically associated with a medical procedure (OR = 4.84, \(P < 0.0001\)) whereas genotype 1 was specifically associated with a medical procedure (OR = 4.84, \(P < 0.0001\)). The HCV genotype distribution from inmate patients differed significantly from the rest of the population (Chi-square test with four degrees of freedom, \(P < 0.0001\)) with a higher frequency of genotype 3 (46.5% vs 27.5%) and a lower frequency of genotype 1 and 4 (44.7% vs 56.8% and 5.3% vs 9.6%, respectively). IVDU was nearly exclusively reported as a risk factor in prison.

CONCLUSION: We report the first description of the HCV genotype distribution in Luxembourg. The repartition is similar to other European countries, with one of the highest European prevalence rates of genotype 3 (33%). Since serology screening became available in 1991, IVDU remains the most common way of HCV transmission in Luxembourg.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a major cause of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma worldwide. The most recent WHO estimate of the prevalence of HCV infection is 2%, ranging from 0.6% to 2.3% in North America, Northern and Western Europe and Australia[1]. HCV has a high molecular diversity worldwide. A recent international standardization of the nomenclature proposed a classification into 6 genotypes (1 to 6) and more than 70 subtypes (termed a, b, c, d, …)[2]. Although genotypes 1, 2 and 3 are responsible for more than 90% of the infections in North and South America, Europe and Japan[3], prevalence and distribution peculiarities of HCV genotypes are linked to geographical location and mode of transmission[4]. Three broad patterns...
of genotype distribution have been identified to date.\(^{4}\) One pattern, characterized by high genetic diversity, involves geographically discrete areas where HCV has been endemic for a long time such as West Africa with types 1 and 2, Central Africa with type 4, and Asia with types 3 and 6. Another pattern involves areas with a few subtypes circulating in specific risk groups, e.g. subtype 3a in drug addicts. The third pattern involves areas where a single subtype is present, such as in Egypt with subtype 4a and South Africa with subtype 5a.

Beside epidemiological considerations, HCV genotyping also has a clinical impact. Treatment for chronic hepatitis C includes standard or pegylated (PEG) interferon-\(\alpha\) (IFN-\(\alpha\)) in combination with ribavirin.\(^{5-8}\) HCV genotype is a strong independent predictor of sustained virological response, which is defined by an undetectable HCV RNA level 24 wk after the end of treatment.\(^{9}\) Genotypes 2 and 3 are significantly associated with a better therapeutic response, and knowledge on the HCV genotype is now used for tailoring therapeutic modalities: the duration of treatment is longer (48 wk) for genotype 1, 4, 5 and 6 than for genotype 2 and 3 (24 wk).\(^{10}\)

Up to now, no population-based study has been undertaken in Luxembourg and the precise prevalence rate of HCV infected people is not known. The National Council of Communicable Diseases in 2006 estimated the prevalence of HCV infection to be between 0.5% and 1%. Therefore, between 2250 and 4500 individuals might be infected in Luxembourg. Identifying risk factors is important to plan preventive activities and is necessary to target the higher risk population. HCV spreads in humans mainly through parenteral transmission. While uncontrolled medical procedures or transfusion were major causes of HCV transmission before serology screening was available, intravenous drug use (IVDU) has now become the predominant source of infections in developed countries.\(^{9}\) Transmission of HCV also occurs through occupational, perinatal or sexual exposures, albeit with much less efficiency. Practices such as non-injection drug use (particularly via sharing of non-injecting drug equipment), tattooing or body piercing have also emerged as additional causes of HCV transmission.\(^{9}\)

The relation between incarceration and the high incidence of blood-borne viruses has been known for several years.\(^{10-12}\) The HCV prevalence rate in prison is higher than in the general population because of the concentration of injecting drug users. HCV screening and genotyping was introduced in prisons of Luxembourg in 2002. These patients are usually referred to the Centre Hospitalier of Luxembourg (CHL) for medical treatment.

Because of its impact on patient management and treatment, we made a retrospective analysis of the HCV genotype distribution in a population of 802 unselected patients living in Luxembourg using 5'noncoding (5' NC) sequencing. We also analysed transmission risks related to infection. As almost 30% of the patients live in prison, we distinguished this population in our analysis. Our survey gives the first estimation of the distribution of HCV genotypes amongst unselected HCV patients in Luxembourg.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Patients

Genotype and epidemiological information (gender and age) was collected from 802 patients newly diagnosed for hepatitis C and referred to the Centre Hospitalier of Luxembourg from 1991 to 2006. Among the overall population of 802 patients, a subset of 228 (28.4%) referred from prison (Centre Pénitentiaire of Luxembourg or CPL) has been distinguished from the remaining 574 patients, the latter being considered as general population. Among the 802 patients, 60 (7.6%) were also chronically co-infected with HIV-1 and 7 (0.9%) with HBV. Information regarding risk factors [intravenous drug usage (IVDU), medical reason (including transfusion and haemophilia or other nosocomial reasons), sexual transmission, mother-to-child transmission, occupational exposure, tattooing and body piercing] was available for 437 of the 802 patients (54.5%), and for 103 of the 228 patients (45.2%) of the CPL.

### Methods

Detection of sera specific antibodies to HCV (ABBOTT Axsym HCV v3.0, Abbott, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium), antigen/antibodies to HIV (HIV Combo v4.0, Abbott) and surface HBV antigens (Ag HBs v2.0, Abbott) was performed with commercial kits. HCV viral load was determined with the quantitative COBAS AMPLICOR® HCV test v2.0 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Only samples with a viral load superior to 20000 IU/mL were considered for genotyping. PCR products generated with the COBAS AMPLICOR® HCV test were collected for subsequent genotyping using the TRUGENE® HCV 5’ NC methodology (Bayer s.a., Brussels, Belgium).

### HCV genotype incidence

The incidence of HCV genotypes in our cohort has been calculated, per year, as the proportion of the number of new included genotypes divided by the total number of new included genotypes. Results are expressed as percentages. Patient inclusion in our cohort is based on the date of the first viral load analysis. Determination of the incidence has been performed from 1999 to 2006.

### Statistical analysis

The Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical data such as genotype, age and gender. Odds ratios were calculated for evaluating association of HCV genotype with risk factor. Stratified analyses were conducted using the Mantel-Haenszel estimator for adjusting odds ratios with age and gender.

## RESULTS

### Gender and age

The sex ratio (SR) of the 802 investigated patients was predominantly male (2.2) (Table 1). Individuals aged less than 40 years, for whom a more recent time point of infection could be assumed, represented 49.6% of all patients. The population of HCV-infected patients at CPL was almost exclusively male (94.7%, SR = 17.8), with a
significantly larger proportion of younger patients (77.6% patients aged less than 40 years, compared to 38.5% in the rest of the population, P < 0.0001).

Genotype distribution
Genotype 1 was predominant, with 428 patients (53.4%), followed by genotype 3 (33%), 4 (8.3%), 2 (4.6%) and 5 (0.6%) (Table 1). Among patients co-infected with HIV or HBV, the genotype distribution was similar to the overall population (data not shown). Regarding prison inmates (Table 1), genotypes 1 and 3 were predominant (44.7% and 46.5%, respectively), followed by genotype 4 (5.3%) and 2 (3.5%). The HCV genotype distribution in patients with CPL differed significantly (Chi-square test with four degrees of freedom, P < 0.0001), with a higher frequency of genotype 3 (46.5% vs 27.7%) and a lower frequency of genotype 1 and 4 (44.7% vs 56.8% and 5.7% vs 9.58%, respectively).

As shown in Figure 1, the analysis of HCV genotype incidence has been performed from 1999 to 2006. The rate of genotypes 1, 2, 3 and 4 was relatively constant over time, only isolated cases of genotype 5 were observed during this 7 years period.

Distribution of genotypes according to gender and age
As described in Figure 2A, genotype 3 was significantly more frequent in males (69.1% vs 30.9%, P < 0.0001), while genotypes 2 and 5 were more frequent in females (P = 0.047 and P = 0.0027, respectively). The frequency of genotypes 1 and 4 were independent of gender and age (Figure 2A and B).

Genotype 3 was associated significantly with patients aged less than 40 years (60% vs 44.5% for patients aged more than 40 years, P < 0.0001) (Figure 2B). Conversely, Genotype 2 was significantly associated with patients aged more than 40 years (73% vs 49.3%, P = 0.0064).

Transmission risk factors
Among risk factors (Table 2), IVDU was the most frequently reported (71.4%), followed by medical-related transmission such as transfusion, haemophilia or other nosocomial reasons (17.6%, 77/437, of whom 68 cases through transfusion). 35 patients (4.7%) reported infection through sexual contact (homosexual, bisexual and heterosexual transmission). Other routes of transmission were also reported such as non-injection drug use (5 cases), occupational exposure (3 cases), tattooing (3 cases), mother-to-child transmission (1 case) and body piercing (1 case). IVDU was nearly exclusively reported as a risk factor for CPL (94.2% of the reported cases) (Table 2).

Four inmate patients reported infection through medical procedure, one after sniffing and another one after tattooing. In the general population, the predominant source of infection remained IVDU (64.3%).

Association of the genotypes and transmission risk factors
Odds ratios were determined for evaluating association of HCV genotype with risk factor in the overall population (Table 3). The odds ratio for association of IVDU and HCV genotype 3 was 4.84 (95% confidence intervals, 2.86 to 8.25; P < 0.0001). When adjusted for age and gender, IVDU patients were still significantly more likely than other patients to be infected with HCV genotype 3 (Mantel-Haenszel adjusted odds ratio = 4.54, 95% confidence intervals, 1.60 to 12.7; P = 0.0043). Conversely, IVDUs were at lower risk of being infected with HCV genotype 1 whether or not they were adjusted for age and gender.

DISCUSSION
We report here the first description of HCV molecular epidemiology in Luxembourg. This survey has been realized with a relatively confident case number of 802 unselected patients seen in routine practice, irrespective of their clinical background. The genotype distribution is similar to other European countries with yet one of the highest European prevalence of genotype 3 (33%). Injecting drug use has currently become the principal cause of HCV transmission.

HCV genotypes 1 and 3 were the most prevalent in our patients. In Europe, there have been dynamic changes over time in the prevalence of the different HCV subtypes. The prevalence of HCV 1b and 2 have decreased, while HCV 1a, 3a and 4 have increased[11,13]. Regarding incidence of genotypes in Luxembourg, we did not notice significant changes in the emergence of genotype 3 from 1999 to 2006. The genotype proportion, as observed in our cohort, has been relatively constant overtime. No new genotype has been massively introduced in Luxembourg despite strong progression of the immigration flow from 1985 to 2001. One reason might be that the majority of immigrants are coming from the European Union. Because of the few data available, we were not able to find

---

### Table 1  Patient details and HCV genotype distribution in the overall population, in the Centre Pénitentiaire of Luxembourg (CPL) and in the general population

| Variable     | Overall (n=802) | CPL (n=228) | General (n=574) |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| n (%)        | 802 (100)       | 228 (28.4) | 574 (71.6)      |
| Sex ratio    | 2.2             | 17.8       | 1.44            |
| Age (% less than 40 yr) | 49.6             | 77.6       | 38.5            |
| Genotype     |                 |            |                 |
| 1            | 428 (53.4)      | 102 (44.7) | 326 (56.8)      |
| 2            | 38 (4.7)        | 8 (3.5)    | 30 (5.2)        |
| 3            | 264 (33)        | 106 (46.5) | 158 (27.5)      |
| 4            | 67 (8.3)        | 12 (5.3)   | 55 (9.6)        |
| 5            | 5 (0.6)         | 0          | 5 (0.9)         |
any association of genotypes and demographic data for the patients. As for the several genotype 4 viruses identified in our cohort, we can assume that their introduction could be related to African immigration\[16\]. One limitation of our study is the methodology used for genotyping: the TRUGENE® HCV 5’NC method indeed does not allow for easy discrimination between HCV subtypes. Therefore, the apparent invariability of HCV molecular epidemiology may mask significant variability in terms of subtypes.

The high prevalence of HCV genotype 3 in our population is attributed to IVDU in the overall population and in particular in the incarcerated population. In similar studies from neighbouring countries, distribution rate of genotype 3 varied from 13.42% in Germany\[14\], 22%-26.3% in France\[13,17\] to 29.3% in the Netherlands\[18\]. In prison, injecting drug use is the most commonly reported risk factor\[19-21\]. In the rest of the population, the prevalence of genotype 3 is 27.5%. This rate is comparable with other studies\[13,17,18\] in which inclusion of prisoners was not specified. Other molecular epidemiology studies have shown that HCV subtype 3a is significantly associated with transmission through injecting drug use in industrialized countries\[22-24\] and explain the prevalence of subtype 3a in many North and South American and European countries. HCV subtype 3a originates from Asia and has spread widely among injecting drug users\[25,26\]. Mechanisms of subtype 3a transmission remain poorly defined. Genotype 3 was not associated with a high level of HCV RNA as compared to the others genotypes in our cohort. Nevertheless, the relative homogeneity of NS5B sequences of injecting drug users from France, South America, Australia and California have indicated that HCV subtype 3a has been transmitted from a common origin through a unique worldwide epidemic among the drug user communities\[27\]. In this study, the authors reported region-specific HCV 3a variants suggesting local transmission among intravenous drug users from the same geographical area. Therefore, the intrinsic characteristics of the transmission network of IVDU (unsafe injecting practices, drug preparation materials, needle or syringe exchange) together with the importance of the social context of drug injections may explain the efficiency of HCV genotype 3 transmission in Luxembourg.

Our study shows that the main risk factor for infection by genotype 3 is IVDU whereas the main risk factor for infection by genotype 1 is medical-related transmission.
These data are in agreement with other studies in Europe\[^{15,28-30}\]. Serology screening is routinely performed since the early 1990s and recent infections are more likely attributable to other risk factors rather than medical-related transmission (blood, blood transfusion or surgery). Interestingly, 4 patients from the general population reported infections through tattooing and body piercing. This finding reemphasizes the fact that practices using sharps in personal services are potential sources of spread of infection.

The age of infected individuals may be a direct variable for the genotype distribution. Nevertheless, our data did not show that patients infected by genotype 1 were older than 40 years. This would mean other routes of infection by genotype 1 such as IVDU. Since serology screening is available, IVDU remains the more common route of HCV transmission in Luxembourg. Genotype 3 is significantly associated with males aged less than 40 years which is consistent with the profile of drug injecting users. The male/female ratio of the IVDU population is 3:1 and the mean age of indexed intravenous drug users is about 30 years in Luxembourg. We found that genotype 2 is significantly associated with patients aged more than 40 years. These results may indicate that the type 2 infections were not recent but probably linked to some distant event like blood transfusion. Unfortunately, we could not find any correlation of this genotype with a route of transmission. In addition, we have also shown that genotype 2 and 5 are more frequent in female. To our knowledge, this association has never been reported and merits further investigation.

Incarcerated individuals have high rates of infectious diseases. The concentration of a marginal population in prison has necessitated systematic and rigorous screening for hepatitis B and hepatitis C. Consistent with similar studies worldwide, the prevalence of HCV in prison inmates in CPL (23.7% in 2006) is higher than in the general population suggesting probable transmission in prisons through intravenous drug use and unsafe sexual behaviour\[^{12,35,36}\]. In CPL, the prevalence rate of HCV has increased from 19.7% in 2001 to 23.7% in 2006. This increase is consistent with the continuous increase of infection rate in the IVDU population observed in Luxembourg as well as other European countries\[^{33}\]. HCV among injecting drug users is one of the European Union's major public health problems. In 2004, statistics from our laboratory provided the proportion of 74% reported HCV infections with acute and chronic HCV infections in the IVDU population. Therefore, prisons provide a good location to offer HCV screening and treatment and to prevent future transmission. Sharing injection equipment as well as tattooing and piercing during incarceration among intravenous drug users are more frequent than in the community\[^{34}\]. In this respect, a syringe/needle exchange program was implemented in CPL in 2005. Exchange is conducted through the medical unit and requires an initial evaluation by the prison doctors.

In conclusion, our study gives the first estimation of the genotype distribution in Luxembourg. Like in other countries from the European Union, IVDU is the driving force for HCV transmission in Luxembourg. Therefore, increased prevention efforts targeted to drug injection are urgently needed to curb the epidemic. HCV genotype distribution has to be followed in the future in order to improve the biological tests for diagnosis and the development of preventive procedures among IVDU or the prison population. As the genotype distribution has a direct impact on medical practice and treatment, it may require new therapeutic strategies in particular with regards to vaccine development.

### COMMENTS

#### Background

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) has a high molecular diversity worldwide and is classified into 6 genotypes. Prevalence and distribution peculiarities of HCV genotypes are linked to geographical location and mode of transmission.

#### Research frontiers

Data on genotypic distribution from various areas are crucial to understand the spreading mode of HCV. Identifying risk factors is important to plan preventive activities and is necessary to target the higher risk population.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

This report describes for the first time the HCV genotype distribution in Luxembourg. The repartition is similar to other European countries, with one of the highest European prevalence rates of genotype 3 (33%) which is associated to intravenous drug usage.

#### Applications

HCV among injecting drug users is one of the European Union’s major public health problems. Mechanisms of genotype 3 transmission remain poorly defined and need to be investigated to explain the efficiency of HCV genotype 3 transmission in Luxembourg.
Peer review
This article is interesting. The authors have provided valuable information about epidemiological aspects of HCV genotype distribution in Luxembourg with nice connections to the similar studies worldwide.
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