In-situ fabrication of polymer microsieves for μTAS by slanted angle holography
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Abstract We present a simple, versatile method for the in-situ fabrication of membranes inside a microfluidic channel during a chip manufacturing process using only two extra slanted angle holographic exposure steps. This method combines the strengths of both inclined UV exposure and holographic lithography to produce micrometer-sized three-dimensional sieving structures. Using a common chip material, the photoresist material SU-8, together with this method, a leak-free membrane-channel connection is obtained. The resulting membranes are monodisperse, with a very well-defined pore geometry (i.e., microsieves with a pore diameter between 500 nm and 10 μm) that is easily controllable with the holographic set-up. The selectivity of in-situ fabricated microsieves with a pore diameter of 2 μm will be demonstrated using polystyrene beads of 1 and 3 μm.
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1 Introduction

Microfluidic devices are extensively investigated for the control and manipulation of small fluid volumes (Gravesen et al. 1993). Usually, a microfluidic device is defined as a device having at least one channel with a diameter of less than 1 mm. In biosensors, these devices are often used to process small quantities of fluid and/or to detect the presence of chemical or biological substances in a small fluid volume (Erickson and Li 2004). Typical fluids in microfluidics are: whole blood, blood plasma, saliva, urine, protein or antibody solutions and various buffers. These are complex mixtures that need various treatments such as filtering/purification, mixing and chemical treatment. Often, one or more membranes are therefore incorporated in a microfluidic device (De Jong et al. 2006). Its function can be diverse including separation of particles from liquids, induction of turbulence for mixing and/or providing of a large internal surface area for adsorption.

Frequently, commercially available membranes are implemented in microfluidics (Thorslund et al. 2006). Typically, these membranes are non-woven fabrics and exhibit a highly polydisperse pore structure and high porosity. Consequently, the application of these membranes results in a large pressure drop and restricts the throughput of the fluid in the device (Baker 2004). Monodisperse microsieves (usually in silicon) have a low pressure drop (Kuiper et al. 1998; Heyderman et al. 2003) but the actual implementation in a microfluidic device is complicated and laborious. Moreover, the sealing of devices with microsieves often poses a serious problem (De Jong et al. 2006).

Both inclined lithographic exposure and holographic lithography are well-established techniques for the fabrication of microstructures. Holographic lithography excels
in producing very small features (Kondo et al. 2006; Romanato et al. 2006) and even membranes (Preen et al. 2009), while inclined exposures form an easy method to create somewhat larger (>100 μm) structures (Sato et al. 2003; Han et al. 2004). Here, we present a new method for the in-situ fabrication polymer microsieves inside a microfluidic channel which combines these two techniques, and therefore, takes advantages of the strengths of both. This enables us to produce three-dimensional microsieving structures with well-controlled pore diameter in the micrometer range. Inclined holographic exposure through a mask will result in functional microsieves which are chemically (and hence leak-free) connected to the microchannel at discrete positions where they will be tested on their performance. The production of the microsieves is based on the use of lithographic and holographic processes which are common processes in the production of microfluidic devices.

The material used in this study is SU-8 (Shaw et al. 1997), a photoresist that is frequently used as a chip material for micro-total analysis systems (μTAS) (Zhang et al. 2001; Mogensen et al. 2003; Chuang et al. 2003). It will be shown that the addition of a few clever exposure steps to the photolithographic process is needed to obtain monodisperse microsieves incorporated in a microfluidic device. The great advantage of this approach is that sealing at the channel walls is not an issue, since the membrane is made of the same material and during the same processing step as the chip itself.

2 Experimental

SU-8, a commercially available negative photoresist (MicroChem Corporation) is used as chip material in this study. It has a strong non-linear optical response which results in a digital recording of the analogue interference pattern (Shaw et al. 1997). Moreover, the photoresist mixture is in the glassy state at room temperature, minimizing mobility during irradiation, and therefore, enabling the utilization of several consecutive exposure steps.

Glass slides are cleaned in ethanol and dried with nitrogen gas. A 50 nm thin layer of Omnicoat (Microresist Corp.) is spin coated (4,000 rpm, 20 s) and cured (2 min at 200°C) to ensure a good adhesion of the SU-8 to the glass substrate. A layer of SU-8 is spin coated (SU-8-2010, for layers up to 10 μm) or doctor bladed (SU-8-2100, for layers between 10 and 100 μm thickness) on a cleaned glass substrate. Thereafter, a 2-step pre-bake is performed to evaporate the solvents and to ensure that the photoresist is in the glassy state. The heating times for the two layer thicknesses used in this study, 6 and 50 μm, are displayed in Table 1. Note that for the 6 μm film, these values are equal to these in the SU-8 datasheet, while for the 50 μm film significantly different times were obtained in an optimization procedure.

The UV exposures are carried out using the 351 nm line of a CW Ar+ ion laser (Beamlok 2080-25S, SpectraPhysics). The laser beam is guided through a spatial filter formed by 2 lenses and a pinhole to filter out inhomogeneities of the beam intensity (Fig. 1a). A λ/2 plate rotates the beams’ polarization to 45°, after which it is split into one horizontally polarized and one vertically polarized beam by a polarizing beam splitter (PBS). Another λ/2 plate in the transmitted beam rotates the polarization of that beam to vertical. The sinusoidal interference pattern generated by the resulting two parallel polarized crossing laser beams is used for the holographic irradiation. The periodicity Λ of this pattern depends on the laser wavelength λ, the angle between the laser beam θ and the average refractive index of the recording material n, according to Bragg’s law (Bragg 1913).

\[
\Lambda = \frac{\lambda}{2 n \sin \theta/2}
\]  

(1)

Usually, a photoresist is illuminated with an interference pattern where the grating vector is perpendicular to the

| Table 1 Heating times for pre and post-exposure bake |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Film Thickness (μm) | Prebake 65°C (min) | Postbake 95°C (min) | Development Time (min) |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | <1 |
| 50 | 30 | 60 | 1 | 15 | 5 |

Fig. 1 a Schematic overview of the slanted angle holographic set-up. A laser beam is filtered by a spatial filter consisting of 2 lenses (L) and a pinhole (P), directed to a λ/2 plate by a mirror, passes through a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) where it is split into 2 perpendicularly polarized beams. The transmitted beam passes through a second λ/2 plate, after which it is directed to the sample, crossing the other beam at an angle θ. b Detailed schematic view of the geometry of a slanted angle holographic exposure with a tilt angle z.
sample normal. Here, the photoresist is exposed with the sample tilted over an angle \( \alpha \) with respect to the bisector of the angle between the two interfering laser beams (Fig. 1b), as used in, e.g., the fabrication of slanted gratings (Van Heesch et al. 2005).

The latent image produced by such an exposure is depicted in Fig. 2. Areas of high and low intensities lie in lamellae-like structures that are tilted over the same angle \( \alpha \) (Fig. 2a). Likewise, a second exposure step, this time with a tilt angle \( -\alpha \) results in lamellae tilted over \( -\alpha \) (Fig. 2b). The resulting latent image of the final structure then shows a honeycomb-like shape, as can be found in Fig. 2c. During illumination a Lewis acid is formed in the high intensity areas, which acts as a catalyst for the cationic polymerization. Crosslinking is induced during a second heating sequence (post-exposure bake (PEB), for temperatures and times see: Table 1) which is followed by slowly cooling down the sample to minimize buildup of internal stresses due to temperature differences between the glass substrate and the SU-8. The final structure is obtained when unreacted monomer is rinsed out of the non-exposed parts by consecutively immersing the sample in SU-8 developer (mr-dev 600, MicroChem Corp.) and in propanol-2 after which it is dried with nitrogen gas (Fig. 2d).

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis (XL 30 ESEM-FEG, Philips), a 15 nm layer of gold (K575 XD Turbo sputter coater, Emitech, Ltd.) is sputter coated on the sample after fabrication.

The filtration capacity of the membranes fabricated inside the microchannels are characterized using a dispersion of dark red polystyrene microspheres (Fluka). The filtration experiments are monitored with an optical microscope (Leica DM6000M, equipped with a DFC420 camera).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Fabrication

In a first set of experiments, the possibility to produce in-plane membranes with a variety of pore sizes and shapes is explored. Therefore, samples with a 6 \( \mu \)m film of SU-8 on a glass substrate coated with Omnicoat are prepared and consecutively irradiated with a tilt angle of \( \alpha \) and \( -\alpha \) with respect to the interference pattern of the intersecting laser beams, followed by PEB and development.

By changing the periodicity of the interference pattern, the pore size of the resulting membrane is adaptable to the desired diameter. In Fig. 3 this is illustrated by 3 cross-sectional SEM images of three in-plane membranes, exposed to interference patterns with periodicities of, respectively, 1, 2, and 7 \( \mu \)m, corresponding to \( \theta \) between the beams of, respectively, 20°, 10°, and 4°, all membranes are fabricated with a tilt angle \( \alpha \) of 30°. It is observed that the recording of small periodicities (e.g., 1 \( \mu \)m) results in the formation of membranes with smaller pore diameters, in multiple layers on top of each other.

Upon exposure to a different tilt angle \( \alpha \), the pore shape and array are influenced. Smaller tilt angles are expected to result in slit-shaped pores, while large tilt angles should give more round pores. Slit-shaped pores have many advantages over round pores (Kuiper et al. 2002). For example, the open area of a membrane with slit-shaped pores...
pores is larger than that of a membrane with round pores, having the same selectivity, i.e., the same smallest pore diameter. Therefore, the pressure drop of such a slit-shaped membrane is lower than that of a membrane with round pores. Moreover, slit-shaped pores are less susceptible to fouling, due to the lower surface to volume ratio of the pores.

In Fig. 4, the effect of changing the tilt angle is clearly illustrated by cross-section SEM images of membranes where \( \alpha = 10^\circ, 20^\circ, 30^\circ, 40^\circ \), for a periodicity of 1\( \mu \)m. From the SEM images, it can be found that the minimum diameter of the pores of all four fabricated membranes is approximately equal. This indicates that all four membranes have the same selectivity.

In the second set of experiments, the in-plane membranes described in the previous paragraph are fabricated in situ in a microfluidic channel. To achieve this, a glass substrate is coated with a thin layer of SU-8, typically 5\( \mu \)m, which is flood exposed (200 mJ/cm\(^2\)) and post-baked. This acts as an adhesion layer to which the microfluidic channel and the membrane will be chemically bonded. A 50\( \mu \)m thick film is doctor bladed and pre-baked. After cooling down, it is first exposed to UV-light (351 nm) through a line mask containing the negative image of the microfluidic channels with a width of 75\( \mu \)m. In a consecutive exposure step, the slanted-angle holographic exposure is performed through a line mask with a smaller periodicity (10\( \mu \)m) is performed. The sample is brought into optical contact with the mask using cyclohexane as a contact fluid, to avoid reflections at the SU-8/mask interface. The dimensions of the transparent lines are typically in the micrometer range, in this case 5\( \mu \)m, and they correspond to the final thickness of the membranes in the microfluidic channel. The holographic exposure step is performed with periodicity of 2\( \mu \)m and a tilt angle of 40\(^\circ\).

After exposure, the sample is crosslinked (PEB), followed by the development step.

An example of a sample containing equally spaced microfluidic channels containing a multitude of in-plane membranes is shown in the SEM images of Fig. 5. The pore spacing used to make these membranes is 2\( \mu \)m and the angle \( \alpha \) is 40\(^\circ\), with a resulting minimum pore diameter of 720 nm. In the SEM image of Fig. 5b the sharp contours of the well-defined membranes are displayed. From this image it can also be observed that both the microfluidic channel walls and the membrane itself are physically attached to each other—which is expected, as they are fabricated in the very same process. Also the connection of
the membrane to the SU-8 adhesion layer on the bottom looks impeccable. This gives a good indication that the structures are leak-proof. From Fig. 5c, it is clearly visible that the membrane pores are open and the pore size distribution is very small.

Since an sinodal intensity pattern is used for the illumination of the microsieve structures, for large film thicknesses (>100 μm) the UV-absorption of the SU-8 would lead to the formation of larger pores on the non-illumination side of the sample. Then, a gradient in minimum pore diameter would be observed and hence the selectivity would deteriorate.

3.2 Characterization

Three samples with 50 μm wide channels (50 μm high, square cross-section, open top) containing 15 μm wide membranes with a minimum pore diameter of 0.5, 2.5, or 4 μm, are capillary filled with a dispersion of 1 or 3 μm (or both sizes mixed) polystyrene microbeads (Fluka). The experiments were performed with open channels, due to the fact that sealing them with a PDMS slab and a rigid support gave a too high stack to visualize in the microscope. However, this sealing method was observed to leave the membrane intact after removal of the PDMS.

In Fig. 6a, a microscope image of the membrane with a minimum pore diameter of 2.5 μm which is filled with a mixture of 1 and 3 μm beads in water is shown. The fluid flows from right to left, and it is clear that the 3 μm beads are retained by the membrane, while the 1 μm beads are allowed to pass through the membrane.

Also, the 0.5 μm membrane and the 4 μm membrane are tested on their filtration characteristics. In Fig. 6b it can be seen that the 1 μm beads are retained by the 0.5 μm pores of the membrane. Figure 6c shows that the 3 μm beads can pass through the 4 μm membrane pores, they are present on both sides of the membrane while the flow comes from the right, even though a cluster of beads blocks the membrane partially.

From these experiments it can be concluded that the physical presence of these in-plane membranes, inside a microfluidic channel provides the channel with structures having a large specific surface, e.g., for adsorption of biomolecules. And additionally, they can also fulfill the filtration function they were originally designed for, in a very efficient, selective way.

The flow resistance of the membranes is determined by measuring the face velocity of water before and after it has passed a membrane during capillary filling of a channel. For this experiment, a 50 μm thick sample is used, in which 50 μm wide channels are fabricated using mask lithography, containing a 25 μm wide membrane with a pore size of approximately 2 μm. Fifteen channels are capillary filled with water, and the face velocity is determined using optical microscopy. The average initial face velocity for the water is measured to be 95 ± 10 mm/s. The deviation on this is rather large due to the relatively low frame rate (100 frames per second) of the camera on the microscope. The face velocity of the water after passing the membrane is determined as 16 ± 4 mm/s. Although
this is a reduction of a factor of 6 in the flux, it needs to be taken into account that the membrane used for this test had an aspect ratio (membrane thickness/pore diameter) of 12.5, while in an ideal case the microsieve would have an aspect ratio of 1 (Kuiper et al. 2002). Decreasing the membrane thickness would reduce the flow resistance of the microsieve significantly. Since the thickness of the membrane is dependent on the dimensions of the mask used, a microsieve thickness of 2 μm would be the lower limit of this fabrication technique.

4 Conclusions

The results described above show that the combination of SU-8, a common chip material, and slanted angle holography creates an exceptional opportunity to produce monodisperse microfilters for micro total analysis systems in situ, using a set of cleverly chosen exposure steps. Membranes with a narrow pore size distribution are easily fabricated. Pore dimensions are shown to be controllable 0.5 and 3 μm by changing the angles α and θ. Furthermore, the monodisperse microsieves are manufactured in situ inside the microchannels using slanted angle holography during the chip fabrication process itself. This approach results in a leak-proof connection between the channel walls, the channel bottom and the membranes. The filtration capabilities of the in-situ fabricated membranes are tested using a combination of a colored fluid and microspheres. The microspheres, having a diameter larger than the minimum pore diameter are shown to be retained well by the membranes.

The above described approach to the in-situ fabrication of membranes in microchannels is believed to provide an exceptional design freedom for the leak-free implementation of filtration functionalities into μTAS.
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