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ABSTRACT

We measure chemical abundance ratios and radial velocities in four massive (i.e., young) \([\alpha/\text{Fe}]\)-rich red giant stars using high-resolution high-S/N spectra from ESPaDOnS fed by Gemini-GRACES. Our differential analysis ensures that our chemical abundances are on the same scale as the Alves-Brito et al. (2010) study of bulge, thin and thick disk red giants. We confirm that the program stars have enhanced \([\alpha/\text{Fe}]\) ratios and are slightly metal poor. Aside from lithium enrichment in one object, the program stars exhibit no chemical abundance anomalies when compared to giant stars of similar metallicity throughout the Galaxy. This includes the elements Li, O, Si, Ca, Ti, Cr, Ni, Cu, Ba, La, and Eu. Therefore, there are no obvious chemical signatures that can help to reveal the origin of these unusual stars. While our new observations show that only one star (not the Li-rich object) exhibits a radial velocity variation, simulations indicate that we cannot exclude the possibility that all four could be binaries. In addition, we find that two (possibly three) stars show evidence for an infrared excess, indicative of a debris disk. This is consistent with these young \([\alpha/\text{Fe}]\)-rich stars being evolved blue stragglers, suggesting their apparent young age is a consequence of a merger or mass transfer. We would expect a binary fraction of \(\sim 50\%\) or greater for the entire sample of these stars, but the signs of the circumbinary disk may have been lost since these features can have short timescales. Radial velocity monitoring is needed to confirm the blue straggler origin.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The atmospheres of low-mass stars retain, to a large extent, detailed information on the chemical composition of the interstellar medium at the time and place of their birth. The chemical abundance ratio [$\alpha$/Fe] has long served as a key indicator of the relative contributions of different types of stars and thus the degree of chemical enrichment (e.g., Tinsley 1974; Matteucci & Greggio 1986; Venn et al. 2004). Massive stars with short lifetimes that die as core collapse supernovae (SNe II) produce $\alpha$-elements and modest amounts of Fe whereas longer lived thermonuclear supernovae (SNe Ia) dominate the production of Fe-peak elements. Enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios therefore indicate that the stars are relatively old such that the gas from which they formed included SNe II contributions, but not those from SNe Ia. Indeed, stars with high [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios are generally older than $\sim$8 Gyr (e.g., Fuhrmann 2011; Bensby, Feltzing & Oey 2014).

Asteroseismology from the CoRoT (Baglin et al. 2006) and Kepler (Gilliland et al. 2010) satellite missions have enabled accurate measurements of stellar masses and radii based on standard seismic scaling relations for stars with solar-like oscillations (Ulrich 1982; Brown et al. 1994; Chaplin & Miglio 2013). Those mass determinations greatly help to derive more robust age estimates (e.g., Chaplin et al. 2014; Lebretton & Goupil 2014; Silva Aguirre et al. 2013, 2014). For red giants in particular, their ages are determined to good approximation by the time spent in the hydrogen burning phase, which is predominantly a function of mass (e.g., Miglio et al. 2013a; Casagrande et al. 2013). The combination of chemical abundance measurements and asteroseismic information has broadly confirmed that stars with higher overall metallicity, [Fe/H], and solar [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios are young whereas stars with lower metallicity and higher [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios are old. Additionally, Nissert (2013) showed a strong correlation between [$\alpha$/Fe] and isochrone-based ages among thin disk stars, with the oldest stars having the highest [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios.

A challenge to this general picture has emerged through the discovery of a handful of stars with enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios and high masses that result in young inferred ages (Martig et al. 2013; Chiappini et al. 2013). Martig et al. (2013) identified a sample of 14 stars younger than 6 Gyr with [$\alpha$/Fe] $\geq$ +0.13 based on high-resolution infrared spectroscopy from APOGEE (Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment; Majewski et al. 2014). These unusually high masses ($M \geq 1.4 M_\odot$), and thus young ages, are robust to modifications to the standard seismic scaling relations and to the assumption that the helium mass fractions are low (i.e., primordial). While Epstein et al. (2014) examined potential issues in the scaling relations for metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] $< -1$, Martig et al. (2015) dismissed this possibility (see Section 7 in their paper) and existing tests for red giant stars indicate that the masses are likely accurate to better than $\sim$10% (Miglio et al. 2013a). The spatial distributions, radial velocities and guiding radii for the young $\alpha$-rich stars are indistinguishable from the $\alpha$-rich population. Definitive population membership, based on kinematics, is currently limited by the proper-motion uncertainties.

Possible explanations for the origin of these stars include (i) they are evolved blue stragglers whose current masses lead to spurious age determinations, (ii) they were formed during a recent gas accretion episode in the Milky Way or (iii) they were born near the corotation radius near the Galactic bar (Martig et al. 2013; Chiappini et al. 2013). For the former explanation, increasing the mass of a red giant from $\sim$1.0 to $\sim$1.4 $M_\odot$ would lower the inferred age by about 5 Gyr (Dotter et al. 2008), and that amount of material is consistent with blue straggler formation scenarios (Silis, Karakas & Lattanzio 2009). For the latter two explanations, the basic premise is that the stars are genuinely young and that the gas from which they formed remained relatively unprocessed reflecting mainly SNe II ejecta. Numerical simulations with inhomogeneous chemical enrichment predict a small fraction of young stars ($\sim$ 3 Gyr) with high [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios (Kobayashi & Nakasato 2011) and young metal-rich stars with high [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios have been observed in the Galactic centre (Cunha et al. 2007).

The goal of this work is to confirm the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios of four young stars from Martig et al. (2013), identify any chemical signature that may provide clues to the origin of these objects and measure radial velocities to better understand the binary fraction.

2 SAMPLE SELECTION, OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The sample consists of four stars with [$\alpha$/Fe] $\geq +0.20$ and ages $< 4.0$ Gyr from Martig et al. (2013), see Tables 1 and 2. High resolution ($R = 67,500$), high signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N \approx 150$ - 300 per pixel near 6500 Å) optical spectra were taken during initial science observations using the Gemini Remote Access to CFHT ESPaDOnS (Donati 2003) Spectrograph (GRACES; Chené et al. 2014) in June and July 2015 using the 1-fiber mode. Briefly, light from the Gemini North telescope is fed to the CFHT ESPaDOnS spectrograph via two 270m-long optical fibres with $\sim$8% throughput (see Chené et al. 2014). Details of the observations are provided in Table 1. Data reduction was performed using the OPERA pipeline (Martidi et al. 2012; Malo et al. in prep) and reduced spectra are available from the Gemini website (http://www.gemini.edu/sciops/instruments/july-2015-onsky-tests). Subsequent to those data being made publicly available, the OPERA pipeline was updated by L. M. and the spectra were re-reduced. We used the unnormalised spectra without automatic correction of the wavelength solution using telluric lines and co-added the individual exposures for a given star. Continuum normalisation was performed using routines in IRAF.

The effective temperatures ($T_{\text{eff}}$) for the program stars were determined using the infrared flux method following Casagrande et al. (2010, 2011). The surface gravity ($\log g$) was determined from the masses and radii obtained using the standard seismic scaling relations, and our $\log g$ values are essentially identical to those of Pinsonneault et al. (2014); the average difference in $\log g$ was 0.010 $\pm$ 0.007.

1 Our results and conclusions are unchanged whether we use the publicly available or re-reduced spectra.

2 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
Table 1. Details of the observations.

| 2MASS ID | Filename | OBSID | Date/UT at end | Exptime (s) | Airmass | Instrument mode |
|----------|----------|-------|----------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| J19081716+3924583 | N20150604G0033.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-9 | 2015-06-04/08:41:38 | 180 | 2.03 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19081716+3924583 | N20150604G0034.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-9 | 2015-06-04/08:45:42 | 180 | 2.11 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19081716+3924583 | N20150604G0035.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-9 | 2015-06-04/08:49:53 | 180 | 2.06 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0052.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:43:59 | 180 | 2.05 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0053.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:47:50 | 180 | 2.04 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0054.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:51:43 | 180 | 2.05 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0055.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:57:40 | 180 | 2.06 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0056.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:57:40 | 180 | 2.06 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0057.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:57:40 | 180 | 2.06 | Spectroscopy, star only |
| J19093999+4913392 | N20150721G0058.fits | GN-2015A-SV-171-11 | 2015-07-21/10:57:40 | 180 | 2.06 | Spectroscopy, star only |

(σ = 0.013). Equivalent widths (EWs) were measured by fitting Gaussian functions using routines in IRAF and DAOSPEC (Stetson & Pancin 2008). The two sets of equivalent width measurements were in excellent agreement (<IRAF − DAOSPEC> = 0.8 mÅ; σ = 1.6 mÅ) and were averaged (see Table 5). (The minimum and maximum EWs used in the analysis were 7 mÅ and 125 mÅ, respectively.) Chemical abundances were obtained using the local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) stellar line analysis program MOOG (Sneden 1973; Sobek et al. 2011) and one-dimensional LTE model atmospheres with [α/Fe] = +0.4 from Castelli & Kurucz (2003). The microturbulent velocity (ξ) was estimated by forcing no trend between the abundance from Fe I line and the reduced equivalent width. We required that the derived metallicity be within 0.1 dex of the value adopted in the model atmosphere. The final stellar parameters are presented in Table 2. We estimate that the internal uncertainties in T_eff, log g and ξ are 50 K, 0.05 cgs and 0.2 km s^{-1}, respectively. Our stellar parameters are in good agreement with the values published in Martig et al. (2013); the average differences in T_eff, log g and [Fe/H] are 2 ± 66 K, −0.04 ± 0.05 cgs and −0.09 ± 0.02 dex, respectively.

Chemical abundances for other elements were obtained using the measured EWs, final model atmospheres and MOOG. For the 6300 Å [O I] line, Cu and the neutron-capture elements, abundances were determined via spectrum synthesis and χ^2 minimisation. For the 6777 Å O triplet, the difference is in good agreement with the non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) corrections by Amarsi et al. (2013) (when assuming A(O)_{LTE} = 8.8, T_eff = 5000 K, log g = 3.0 and [Fe/H] = −0.5; their grid does not yet extend to lower T_eff and lower log g). For Cu, Ba, La and Eu, we included isotopic shifts (IS) assuming solar abundances and hyperfine structure (hfs) in the line lists. The chemical abundances are presented in Tables 4 and 5. We adopted solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2009) and the uncertainties were determined following the approach in Yong et al. (2014).

The abundance uncertainties from errors in the stellar parameters are provided in Table 5. For the majority of lines, we used damping constants from Barklem, Piskunov & O’Mara (2000) and Barklem & Asplund-Johansson (2003). For the remaining lines, we used the Unsold (1953) approximation.

A bright comparison giant star (HD 40409) studied by Alves-Brito et al. (2010) was also included in our analysis. After comparing our abundance ratios with those of Alves-Brito et al. (2010) for the comparison star HD 40409, we made minor offsets to place our Fe and α-element abundances onto their scale to aid our interpretation in the following sections. Alves-Brito et al. did not report abundances for the other elements.

We re-analysed the stars using ATLAS9 model atmospheres generated by Mészáros et al. (2012). When compared to the ATLAS9 models by Kurucz (1993) and Castelli & Kurucz (2003), the newer models include an updated H_2O line list, a larger range of carbon and α-element abundances and solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2005). The average difference in [X/Fe] ratios (Mészáros et al. 2012 (with [C/Fe] = 0 and [α/Fe] = +0.3) − Castelli & Kurucz 2003) was only −0.03 ± 0.01 dex.

3 RESULTS

We confirm that the program stars are slightly more metal poor than the Sun and have enhanced [α/Fe] ratios ([α/Fe] = 0.01). The average offset was −0.06 and the individual values were: Fe I (+0.01), Fe II (−0.15), O I (−0.17), Si I (−0.18), Ca I (+0.11), and Ti I (−0.04). For Fe II, O I and Si I, the differences are non-negligible and likely due to differences in the line selection and atomic data.

3 Stellar parameters for the comparison star were taken from Alves-Brito et al. (2010) as we were unable to apply the same methods as for the program stars.

4 The average offset was −0.06 and the individual values were: Fe I (+0.01), Fe II (−0.15), O I (−0.17), Si I (−0.18), Ca I (+0.11), and Ti I (−0.04). For Fe II, O I and Si I, the differences are non-negligible and likely due to differences in the line selection and atomic data.
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Table 2. Stellar parameters.

| KIC ID     | 2MASS ID     | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | log $g$ (cgs) | $\xi_t$ (km s$^{-1}$) | [Fe/H] | Mass$^{a}$ ($M_{\odot}$) | Age$^{a}$ (Gyr) |
|------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------|
| 4350501    | J19091716+3924583 | 4689                 | 3.05         | 1.01                    | −0.14  | 1.65 ± 0.20             | <3.0          |
| 9821622    | J19093615+4041212 | 4895                 | 2.71         | 1.18                    | −0.40  | 1.71 ± 0.26             | <2.6          |
| 11394905   | J19093999+4913392 | 4951                 | 2.50         | 1.38                    | −0.51  | 1.40 ± 0.18             | <4.0          |
| 4143460    | J19101154+3914584 | 4711                 | 2.50         | 1.26                    | −0.39  | 1.58 ± 0.20             | <3.1          |
| HD 40409   | J05540606-6305230 | 4746                 | 3.20         | 1.19                    | +0.22  | ...                      | ...           |

$^{a}$ These values are taken directly from Martig et al. (2015). The masses are from the scaling relations.

Table 3. Line list for the program stars

| Wavelength (Å) | Species$^a$ | L.E.P (eV) | log $gf$ | KIC 4350501 mÅ (1) | KIC 9821622 mÅ (2) | KIC 11394905 mÅ (3) | KIC 4143460 mÅ (4) | HD 40409 mÅ (5) | Source$^b$ |
|----------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| 6300.31        |             |            |          | Spectrum synthesis  | B                   |                     |                     |                | B          |
| 7771.95        |             |            |          | 8.0 0.00 −9.75      |                     |                     |                     |                | B          |
| 7774.18        |             |            |          | 8.0 0.00 −9.75      |                     |                     |                     |                | B          |
| 5665.56        |             |            |          | 14.0 4.92 −2.04     |                     |                     |                     |                | B          |
| 5684.49        |             |            |          | 14.0 4.95 −1.65     |                     |                     |                     |                | B          |

$^{a}$ The digits to the left of the decimal point are the atomic number. The digit to the right of the decimal point is the ionization state (“0” = neutral, “1” = singly ionised).

$^{b}$ $\log gf$ values used in Yong et al. (2006) where the references include Ivans et al. (2001), Kurucz & Bell (1993), Prochaska et al. (2000), Ramirez & Cohen (2002); B = Gratton et al. (2003); C = Oxford group including Blackwell et al. (1979); Blackwell, Petford & Shallal (1979); Blackwell et al. (1980, 1986); Blackwell, Lynas-Gray & Smith (1995); D = Fuhr & Wiese (2006), using line component patterns for hfs/IS from Kurucz & Bell (1993); E = Fuhr & Wiese (2006), using hfs/IS from McWilliam (1998); F = Lawler, Bonvallet & Sneden (2001), using hfs from Ivans et al. (2006); G = Lawler et al. (2001), using hfs/IS from Ivans et al. (2006).

This table is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the paper. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

Examination of the individual α-elements (O, Si, Ca and Ti), however, reveals subtle differences among these elements (see Figure 1). For O and Si, the two most metal-rich stars have higher [X/Fe] ratios when compared to the two most metal-poor stars. For Ca and Ti, however, the situation is reversed in that the two most metal-rich stars have lower [X/Fe] ratios. And therefore on average, all stars have similar [X/Fe] ratios.

Figure 1 enables us to compare the abundance ratios of the program stars with the thin disk, thick disk and bulge red giant stars from Alves-Brito et al. (2010). Recall that our analysis includes HD 40409 also studied by Alves-Brito et al and that we have adjusted our abundance scale to match theirs (at least for Fe and the α-elements). Therefore, we are confident that there are no major systematic abundance offsets between the program stars and the comparison sample. The program stars occupy the same region of chemical abundance space as the comparison thin disk, thick disk and bulge objects. It is not obvious, however, whether the program stars more closely follow the thin disk or thick disk abundance trends due to the different behaviour of the four α-elements. Nevertheless, based on these α-elements, we conclude that there are no unusual chemical abundance patterns amongst the program stars.

Our analysis also included the Fe-peak elements Cr, Ni and Cu. For these elements, the program stars lie on, or very near, the well-defined trends exhibited by local red giant stars of comparable metallicity (e.g., Luck & Heiter 2002). Unlike the α-elements, however, the possibility exists that there may be systematic abundance offsets between our values and the literature comparison sample for these three elements as we have no stars in common.

We measured abundances for the neutron-capture elements Ba, La and Eu. The first two elements are produced primarily through the r-process while the latter is an r-process element. Observations of open cluster giants indicate that the Ba abundance increases with decreasing age (D'Orazi et al. 2000). Such a chemical signature was interpreted as being due to extra contributions from low-mass stars to the Galactic chemical evolution. Abundance trends with age, however, are not seen for other s-process elements such as Zr and La (Jacobson & Frigatti 2013). We find [Ba/Fe] $\lesssim$ 0.15 and in the context of the D'Orazi et al.

5 The main conclusion of that work was that the bulge and local thick disk stars are chemically similar and that they are distinct from the local thin disk.
Eu and the [Eu/Fe] ratios, and high ratios would be expected given that among the open clusters. More data are needed to examine Assuming mass is a proxy for age, then this trend be-

for the program stars using spectrum synthesis (see Fig-

though the former are based on a single line.

NLTE KIC 9821622 5.31 7 0.06 0.07 0.06 
− KIC 9821622 7.17 15 0.02 0.06 0.07 
− KIC 11394905 7.05 13 0.03 0.05 0.06 
− KIC 4143460 7.30 12 0.03 0.18 0.06 
− HD 40409 7.74 10 0.05 0.01 0.17 
− Ca i KIC 4350501 6.23 9 0.04 0.04 0.07 
− KIC 9821622 6.30 10 0.03 0.36 0.06 
− KIC 11394905 6.09 9 0.03 0.27 0.06 
− KIC 4143460 6.20 10 0.03 0.25 0.08 
− HD 40409 6.47 3 0.03 −0.09 0.10 
− Ti i KIC 4350501 4.83 25 0.02 0.02 0.07 
− KIC 9821622 4.95 33 0.02 0.40 0.06 
− KIC 11394905 4.73 20 0.02 0.29 0.05 
− KIC 4143460 4.75 23 0.02 0.19 0.07 
− HD 40409 5.14 19 0.03 −0.03 0.08 
− Cr i KIC 4350501 5.39 8 0.07 −0.10 0.08 
− KIC 9821622 5.31 7 0.06 0.07 0.06 
− KIC 11394905 5.17 7 0.06 0.05 0.06 
− KIC 4143460 5.25 6 0.08 0.00 0.09 
− HD 40409 5.77 5 0.09 −0.09 0.10 
− Fe i KIC 4350501 7.34 98 0.01 −0.16 0.08 
− KIC 9821622 7.12 98 0.01 −0.38 0.07 
− KIC 11394905 7.00 99 0.01 −0.50 0.07 
− KIC 4143460 7.11 87 0.01 −0.39 0.08 
− HD 40409 7.71 58 0.01 0.21 0.09 
− Fe ii KIC 4350501 7.50 10 0.04 0.00 0.11 
− KIC 9821622 6.93 10 0.03 −0.57 0.10 
− KIC 11394905 6.81 8 0.03 −0.06 0.11 
− KIC 4143460 7.12 12 0.03 −0.38 0.11 
− HD 40409 7.80 6 0.04 0.30 0.12 

(2009) results, the program stars resemble open clusters with ages > 2 Gyr. Within our limited sample, however, the [Ba/Fe] ratio appears to decrease with increasing mass. Assuming mass is a proxy for age, then this trend between abundance and age would be opposite to that seen among the open clusters. More data are needed to examine this intriguing result. The program stars all have enhanced [Eu/Fe] ratios, and high ratios would be expected given that Eu and the α elements typically follow each other (e.g., Woolf, Tomkin & Lambert 1993; Sakari et al. 2011). That said, the [Eu/Fe] ratios are slightly higher than [α/Fe], although the former are based on a single line.

Finally, we measured lithium abundances (or limits) for the program stars using spectrum synthesis (see Figure 2). Only KIC 9821622 (J19083615+4641212) has a detectable 6707 Å lithium line and we measure A(Li)LTE = 1.63 and A(Li)_NLTE = 1.76 using the non-LTE corrections from Lind, Asplund & Barklem (2009). While this star ap-

pears to be lithium-rich when compared to the other program stars, the degree of enrichment is considerably smaller than the highest values found in some giant stars, A(Li) LTE > 4 (Reddy & Lambert 2003). For the other three stars, the lithium abundance limits, A(Li)LTE < 0.4, overlap with the limits in giant stars presented by Luck & Heiter (2007).

Iofrê et al. (2013) also studied KIC 9821622 using the GRACES spectra available at the Gemini website (recall that our analysis is based on spectra from an updated ver-

Table 4. Chemical abundances for the program stars (O i - Fe ii).

| Name       | A(X)   | N_lines | s.e.log_ε | [X/Fe] | σ[X/Fe] |
|------------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|
| KIC 4350501| 9.07   | 3       | 0.05      | 0.53   | 0.16    |
| KIC 9821622| 8.40   | 3       | 0.08      | 0.11   | 0.13    |
| KIC 11394905| 8.24  | 2       | 0.10      | 0.07   | 0.15    |
| KIC 4143460| 8.90   | 2       | 0.03      | 0.60   | 0.14    |
| HD 40409   | 9.01   | 2       | 0.14      | 0.10   | 0.17    |

Table 5. Chemical abundances for the program stars (Ni i - Eu ii).

| Name       | A(X)   | N_lines | s.e.log_ε | [X/Fe] | σ[X/Fe] |
|------------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|
| KIC 4350501| 6.14   | 23      | 0.02      | 0.06   | 0.03    |
| KIC 9821622| 5.86   | 19      | 0.01      | 0.04   | 0.04    |
| KIC 11394905| 5.70  | 19      | 0.01      | −0.01  | 0.04    |
| KIC 4143460| 5.86   | 23      | 0.02      | 0.03   | 0.03    |
| HD 40409   | 6.47   | 18      | 0.02      | 0.03   | 0.04    |

Table 6. Abundance errors from uncertainties in atmospheric parameters.

| Species | ∆T eff | ∆log g | ∆ξ | ∆[m/H] | Total |
|---------|--------|--------|----|--------|-------|
| KIC 4350501 |       |        |    |        |       |
| [O i/Fe] | −0.10  | 0.04   | 0.06 | −0.04  | 0.13  |
| [Si i/Fe] | −0.03  | 0.01   | 0.04 | −0.00  | 0.05  |
| [Ca i/Fe] | −0.05  | −0.01  | −0.01 | −0.01  | 0.05  |
| [Ti i/Fe] | 0.06   | −0.01  | 0.02 | −0.01  | 0.06  |
| [Cr i/Fe] | 0.04   | −0.01  | 0.01 | −0.01  | 0.04  |

a The total error is determined by adding in quadrature the first four entries.

This table is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the paper. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.
Figure 1. Abundance [X/Fe] versus [Fe/H] for the program stars. For the bottom panel, $\alpha$ is the average of O, Si, Ca and Ti. Thin disk (open aqua circles), thick disk (filled blue circles) and bulge (red triangles) red giant stars from Alves-Brito et al. (2010) are overplotted in each panel. The thick disk comparison star HD 40409 is located at [Fe/H] = +0.20 and includes an error bar.

Figure 2. Spectra near the 6707.8 Å Li line for the program stars. In the second panel, we overplot the best fitting synthetic spectra (red dashed lines) corresponding to $\lambda$(Li)$_{NLTE} = 1.76$. The shaded yellow region corresponds to synthetic spectra which differ from the best fit by ±0.2 dex. The spectra were corrected for their heliocentric radial velocity and the locations of some nearby Fe I lines are indicated in the lower panel.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Chemical abundances

All chemical abundance ratios appear “normal” when compared to local red giant stars of similar metallicity. That is, our program stars exhibit no unusual chemical abundance signatures that could provide clues to the origin of these unusually massive and young stars with enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios. (We will return to the lithium-rich object later in the discussion.) Given the chemical similarities between local thick disk stars and those of the inner disk and bulge (Alves-Brito et al. 2010; Bensby et al. 2010), it is difficult to use chemical abundances to test the scenario proposed by Chiappini et al. (2015) in which these young [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich objects were formed near the Galactic bar and migrated to their current locations.

4.2 Line broadening

Line broadening offers another possible clue to the origin of the program stars. In particular, high line broadening could arise as the result of mass transfer and/or stellar mergers and these processes are relevant in the context of the blue straggler explanation proposed by Martig et al. 2015. We
Table 7. Heliocentric radial velocities (km s$^{-1}$).

| Name          | Date     | RV     | σRV    | APOGEE |
|---------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| KIC 4350501   | 4 Jun 2015 | −83.4  | 0.5    | −83.3  |
| KIC 9821622   | 21 Jul 2015 | −6.0   | 0.4    | −5.5   |
| KIC 11394905  | 21 Jul 2015 | −69.8  | 0.5    | −75.5  |
| KIC 4143460   | 21 Jul 2015 | +6.6   | 0.5    | +6.6   |

4.3 Radial velocities and kinematics

As noted by Martig et al. (2013), these young [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich stars do not possess unusual kinematic properties when compared to the other [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich objects. We measured heliocentric radial velocities from the observed wavelengths of the lines used in the EW analysis (see Table 7). For three stars (KIC 4350501, KIC 9821622 and KIC 4143460), we measured radial velocities that are in excellent agreement with the APOGEE values. For one star, KIC 11394905, there is evidence for a −6 km s$^{-1}$ radial velocity variation between the APOGEE and GRACES spectra, suggesting the presence of a binary. For three of the four program stars, the differences in radial velocity are less than 0.2 km s$^{-1}$, we cannot exclude the possibility that these stars are binaries. The high probability peaks near 700 and 1350 days are caused by the typical baseline between the first APOGEE measurements and the GRACES observations.

For the four program stars, however, only two (KIC 4350501 and KIC 4143460) have multiple radial velocity measurements from APOGEE; both have three measurements with a baseline of ∼30 days. Given the metal-poor blue stragglers tend to have long periods, > 100 days, and semi-amplitudes of ∼10 km s$^{-1}$ (Carney et al. 2001), we suggest that it is unlikely that APOGEE would have detected radial velocity variations, if present, over such a short baseline.

Combining the APOGEE radial velocities with those measured from the GRACES spectra, we now have additional epochs and a longer baseline over which to examine the likelihood of detecting radial velocity variation. Following Norris et al. (2013), we can then ask the following question: What is the probability of observing a radial velocity variation ≤ 1.0 km s$^{-1}$ given the observed number of epochs and their time spans? Using Monte Carlo simulations, we estimated these probabilities in the following way. We assumed that each star had a circular orbit with a semi-amplitude of 10 km s$^{-1}$; such values appear typical for blue stragglers (Carney et al. 2001). We tested all periods from 0.5 days to 30 days (in steps of 0.5 days) and then from 30 days to 1800 days (in steps of 1 day). For a given assumed period, we performed 10,000 realisations in which the inclination angle was randomly set and the first “observation” was set at a random phase. For all epochs of observation, we could obtain velocities. We then asked the question: For what fraction of realisations is the maximum velocity difference ≤ 1.0 km s$^{-1}$? We plot those results in panels a, b and d in Figure 3.

Given the small number of radial velocity measurements, we cannot exclude the possibility that these stars are binaries. The high probability peaks near 700 and 1350 days are caused by the typical baseline between the first APOGEE measurements and the GRACES observations.

For KIC 11394905 (panel c in Figure 3), the two radial velocity measurements differ by ∼6 km s$^{-1}$ and we asked a slightly different question: For what fraction of realisations is the maximum velocity difference ≥ 5.0 km s$^{-1}$? The limited observations can only preclude periods around 700 and 1400 days.

Informed by the above simulations, we conclude that all program stars could be evolved blue stragglers and we do not evolved blue stragglers to be a factor of 3−4 lower than the young [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich stars in their sample. That said, there are selection biases for the Kepler sample as well as for the subset observed by APOGEE that need to be taken into account. Additionally, Martig et al. (2013) found no evidence for anomalous surface rotation which some blue stragglers possess. Finally, they noted that the radial velocity variation among the APOGEE spectra for individual stars was small, σRV < 0.2 km s$^{-1}$.

For three of the four program stars, the differences in radial velocities between APOGEE and GRACES are below 1 km s$^{-1}$. While the uncertainties in the APOGEE and GRACES radial velocities are ∼0.5 km s$^{-1}$, we do not know for certain whether the zero-points are the same. We therefore conservatively adopt a threshold velocity difference of 1 km s$^{-1}$ when exploring the current observational constraints on binarity.

7 For three of the four program stars, the differences in radial velocities between APOGEE and GRACES are below 1 km s$^{-1}$.

8 Again, we do not know whether the APOGEE and GRACES measurements have the same zero points, so we conservatively adopt a threshold value of 5 km s$^{-1}$ in this exercise.
have sufficient radial velocity measurements to exclude binarity. Therefore, long-term radial velocity monitoring for the entire sample from Martig et al. (2015) is essential to establish any radial velocity variation and thereby place stronger constraints on the blue straggler hypothesis.

Preston & Sneden (2000) found no evidence for s-process enhancements among their sample of long period low eccentricity blue stragglers. Similarly, our sample also exhibit no evidence for s-process element enrichment.

Finally, recall that one star, KIC 9821622, appears to be lithium rich. The exact process that causes enhanced Li abundances in a small fraction of evolved stars has not been identified (e.g., Charbonnel & Balachandran 2000), and the heterogeneity in evolutionary phase among Li-rich giants suggests that multiple mechanisms may be at work (Martell & Shetrone 2013). If our sample are evolved blue stragglers, then the mechanism(s) responsible for lithium enrichment must also operate in these objects.

4.4 Spectral energy distributions

To further explore the possibility that these stars could be blue stragglers, or binaries in general, we examine their spectral energy distributions. To reiterate, the key aspect we are focusing upon is the possibility that the program stars are binaries such that the inferred masses are high due to mass transfer or merger leading to ages that are underestimated for a single star. Spectral energy distributions (SEDs) were created using the Spanish Virtual Observatory SED Analyzer (VOSA) (Bayo et al. 2008). For the program stars, the SEDs are generated using photometry from SDSS DR9 (Ahn et al. 2012), Tycho-2 (Hog et al. 2000), 2MASS (Majewski et al. 2003) and WISE (Wright et al. 2010) and fit using the BT-NextGen (AGSS2009) grid of stellar model atmospheres created by Allard, Homeier & Freytag (2012). (For 4350501, the 22 $\mu$m WISE W4 bandpass was not included in the fit.) In Figure 4 we find that two stars (KIC 9821622 and KIC 4350501) exhibit an infrared (IR) excess and a third star (KIC 4143460) may also show an IR excess. The IR excess is most notable in the 22 $\mu$m WISE W4 bandpass.

Among red giant stars, <1% exhibit an IR excess (Jones 2008; Bharat Kumar et al. 2015). On the other hand, IR excesses are commonly found in post-AGB, RV Tauri, and Lambda Bootis stars (e.g., Van Winckel, Waelkens & Waters 1995; Giridhar et al. 2005). These objects are (likely) binary systems with debris disks or dusty circumstellar environments that have undergone dust-gas winnowing (see Venn et al. 2014). An examination of all 14 stars in the Martig et al. (2015) sample show that only 5 stars have clear IR excesses, including 3 of these stars with GRACES spectra.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed high-resolution spectra of four massive (i.e., young) [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich stars from Martig et al. (2015) obtained using Gemini-GRACES during the 2015 on-sky tests. While one object appears to be lithium rich, we find no chemical abundance anomalies among the program stars when compared to local giants. Although only one of the four stars exhibits a radial velocity variation, given the small number of radial velocity measurements, we cannot exclude the possibility that the three remaining stars are binaries. Martig et al. (2015) suggested that these young [$\alpha$/Fe]-rich stars could be evolved blue stragglers. The spectral energy distributions indicate that two (and perhaps three) of the four stars exhibit an infrared excess, characteristic of certain types of binary stars. In light of the high >50% binary fraction among blue stragglers, long-term radial velocity monitoring is essential to test this scenario.
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