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Abstract

Organotypic tissue slice culture is established from animal or patient tissues and cultivated in an in vitro ecosystem. This technique has made countless contributions to anticancer drug development due to the vast number of advantages, such as the preservation of the cell repertoire and immune components, identification of invasive ability of tumors, toxicity determination of compounds, quick assessment of therapeutic efficacy, and high predictive performance of drug responses. Importantly, it serves as a reliable tool to stratify therapeutic responders from nonresponders and select the optimal standard-of-care treatment regimens for personalized medicine, which is expected to become a potent platform and even the gold standard for anticancer drug screening of individualization in the near future.
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Introduction

The development of novel drugs is time-consuming, laborious, and costly. In the United States, it requires ~13 years and between 1.8-2.6 billion US dollars from commencement to regulatory approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [1]. Despite monumental investments, only 5-14% of compounds ultimately manifest therapeutic efficacy plus manageable treatment-related adverse events and are entitled to the permission of clinical administration [1]. The attrition rate of anticancer drug development is even higher; the clinical approval rate of new anticancer drugs is only 3-6% [2], which is attributed to pluralistic reasons, in particular, the usage of suboptimal drug screening and testing platforms. The advent of precision oncology provokes the implementation of individualized medicine into clinical practice. A preluding program of personalized drug screening for cancer patients before treatment by using a reliable drug screening platform to select the optimal treatment regimens theoretically may improve the clinical therapeutic effectiveness.

The most commonly used tool for identifying new antitumor drugs is the two-dimensional (2D) culture of monolayer cells, wherein a standardized high-throughput system is offered to dissect the characteristics of specific cell types [3]. Although contemporary high-throughput screening systems allow the simultaneous assessment of tens or hundreds of thousands of compounds, 2D monolayer cell culture hardly serves as the gold standard platform for antitumor drug discovery in vitro due to its many inherent drawbacks. First, monolayer cell culture rarely recapitulates tumoral heterogeneity and complexity, not to mention the tumor microenvironment (TME) [4]. The TME contains physical, chemical, and biological elements around cancer cells, which are responsible for the cell-cell and cell-extracellular environment interactions that can shape many important biological properties of tumors, e.g., cell differentiation, proliferation, viability, genetic and proteinic expression, suppression and promotion of metastasis, and drug metabolism [5-8]. Second, the genetic and proteinic expression of tumor cells may be
changed during the 2D monolayer cell culture [9]. Furthermore, the monolayer morphology interferes with the authentic drug response of cancer cells because there is devoid of multicellular drug resistance [10]. In parallel, the monolayer morphology indicates the unlimited accessibility of oxygen, drugs, and nutrients to cells, which cannot mimic the in vivo phenomenon of gradient-degressive diffusion and perfusion-controlled delivery.

The three-dimentional (3D) organoid technique has been developed rapidly for the identification of anticancer drugs and personalized medicine in the past decade [11, 12]. Organoids are derived from stem cells or progenitor cells and form miniaturized organ-like structures that recreate the chief aspects of the 3D anatomy and multiple cell repertoire of the physiological counterpart and recapitulate basic tissue-level functions and many important characteristics (e.g., mutation spectrum and gene expression) [12-16]. In addition, patient-derived organoids have demonstrated high predictive performance to predict the clinical responses of anticancer drugs in diverse carcinomas [17-20]. However, constructing organoids from tumor biopsies may meet some vexing problems, such as a low number of tumor cellularity and an unmet success rate [10, 14]. This method cannot recapitulate many key cell types in the TME (e.g., immune cells). Another anticancer drug discovery platform, the patient-derived xenograft (PDX), also suffers from several shortcomings, including overlong generation time, high cost, and uncertainty of successful establishment. The successful establishment rate of PDX is contextual and depends on the type and origin of tumors. Nevertheless, it shall be never overlooked the potency of this model in obtaining FDA approval for many drugs.

In 2009, Ootani et al. [21] first introduced a 3D air-liquid interface (3D-ALI) method for an intestinal epithelial culture where the tissue was minced by simple manual scissoring into small pieces (under 0.3 mm³) and then mixed with collagen before cultivation at the air-liquid interface. Because of the success in the recapitulation of a Wnt-dependent stem cell niche, differentiation, and ultrastructure of stomach cell lineages [21, 22], they further employed this technique in the in vitro tumor tissue culture and strikingly found that the immune cells, T-cell receptor repertoire, and phenotypic and genotypic profiling were highly preserved [23]. However, a raised concern is that these undersized minced tissues may not be sufficient to portray the intratumor heterogeneity as it is unclear how many small pieces would be needed to fully recapitulate the heterogeneity of original tumors. Thus, the consistency of drug responses between the 3D-ALI method and the internal condition is required for validation.

The organotypic tissue slice culture platform is also pragmatic for anticaner drug discovery owing to the integration of the alike in vivo microanatomy and the easy manipulation of in vitro work [24-26]. We recently developed a 3D tumor slice culture (3D-TSC) platform that incorporates a lipofuscin autofluorescence feature for the time-course monitoring of drug responses [27]. Compared with other mainstreams, the 3D-TSC platform presents a comparable potential for anticancer drug development (Table 1). This review attempts to summarize and contextualize the contributions of organotypic tissue slice culture in anticaner drug discovery and emphasizes the likely future development in this field.

Table 1. Overview of the tumor models for anticancer drug discovery

| Models                  | Cell culture | Organoid PDX* | 3D-ALI | 3D-TSC |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Successful establishment rate | Low          | Moderate       | Variable | High   | High   |
| Generation time         | Moderate     | Moderate       | Long    | Small  | Big    |
| The minimal tumor size requirement | Small       | Small          | Big     | Small  | Big    |
| TME recapitulation      | –            | –              | –/++    | ++     | ++     |
| Multicellular drug resistance | –          | ++             | ++      | ++     | ++     |
| Intact morphology       | –            | –              | ++      | +      | ++     |
| Reproducibility         | ++           | ++             | ++      | –      | –      |
| High-throughput drug screening | ++         | +              | –       | –      | –      |

The inapplicability is marked with “−−”, the applicability is marked with “++”, and the robust applicability is marked with “+++”.

*PDX can recapitulate tumor microenvironment from the humanized mice rather than severe immuno-deficient mice.

Abbreviations: PDX, patient-derived xenograft; 3D-ALI, three-dimentional air-liquid interface method; 3D-TSC, three-dimentional tumor slice culture; TME, tumor immune microenvironment.

Organotypic tissue slice cultures

The prototype of organotypic tissue slice culture was developed by Dr. Harford and coworkers in the 1950s [28]. This technique was initially utilized for the assessment of pharmacological efficacy around 1970 [29, 30]. The expediting evolvement of immunotherapy facilitates the application of this technique to evaluate the immune checkpoint blockade, and adoptive cellular therapy response on tumors in recent years [31, 32] (Figure 1). Organotypic tissue slice culture systems represent in vitro cultures of explants of patient- or animal-derived tumoral and normal tissues.

Construction methods

The preparation of tissue slice culture mainly involves the following steps (Figure 2). Briefly, the surgically resected tissues are collected and placed into cold media, which then are meticulously cut into cylindrical or cuboid shapes. These cylindrical or
cuboid tissues are sectioned via a slicing method (e.g.,
vibratome, precision tissue-slicing machine, or simply
manual slicing) under sterile conditions within ~6
hours after tumor excision. Only well-shaped slices
are selected for cultivation. Slices were incubated in a
humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for several
days, and the culture media were changed every 2-4
days.

Figure 1. Milestones in discovery and development of organotypic tissue slice culture. Key milestones in the prototype of organotypic tissue slice culture and the establishment of three-dimensional tumor slice culture are shown in light green. In 2011, US FDA approved the first immune checkpoint blockade drug, ipilimumab, in the clinical setting. Key milestones in the evaluation of drug efficacy, chemotherapy efficacy, immune checkpoint blockade efficacy, and chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy efficacy are shown in purple. Abbreviations: CT, chemotherapy; ICB, immune checkpoint blockade; CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T cell; 3D-TSC, three-dimensional tumor slice culture.

Figure 2. Flow diagram of the organotypic tissue slice culture system for anticancer drug discovery. The organotypic tissue slice culture platform can be used to assess the tumoricidal efficacy of anticancer drugs. A. In the left panel, animal or patient-derived organotypic tissues are cut into the same figurate slices for the antitumor activity assessment of cancer drugs. Tumor slices are employed to evaluate the tumoricidal efficacy of anticancer drugs whereas normal tissue slices are used to observe the invasive ability of tumors and the efficacy of drugs against tumor invasiveness. Drug treatment is immediately initiated after the generation of the organotypic tissue slice culture system. The cell viability of slices is assessed on the 2-7th day of cultivation. B. The 3D-tumor slice culture (3D-TSC) system preserves the architecture and cell repertoire of the original tumor. This platform maximizes the retention of inter-tumor and intratumor heterogeneity, cellular-stromal interactions, and the complexity of the original tumor. The blood vessels on the slices will collapse within a short period after the cessation of blood circulation. Abbreviations: 3D-TSC, three-dimensional tumor slice culture; ECM, extracellular matrix; MSC, Mesenchymal cell; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; NK cell, natural killer cell; CSC, cancer stem cell.
Figure 3. 3D-tumor slice culture increases the number of live cells and maintains the immune components of their original tumors. This figure was modified from our previous publication [27] and gained approval from the correspondence authorship. A. Observation of tumor growth in 3D-TSCs derived from primary tumors formed by B477-GFP cells injected into the mammary fat pad of nude mice. Scale bar: 1 mm. B. Time-lapse of cell viability in 3D-TSCs derived from B477-GFP mouse tumors. C. Immunofluorescence staining of immune biomarkers derived from genetically engineered Brca1Co/Co; MMTV-Cre mouse in immunocompetent hosts. Blue is nuclear counterstaining by hematoxylin, and brown staining is positive protein staining by DAB. CD3ε/CD8α: T lymphocytes; CD11b: macrophages and microglia marker; CD45: T, NK, dendritic, and lymphokine-activated killer cells marker; Scale bar: 100 µm. D. Pearson correlation coefficient identifying the correlation of gene expression between Day 1 to Day 8 with Day 0 in T cells and B cells.

Underpinning for anticancer drug discovery

Organotypic tissue slice cultures are reliable surrogates for patients or animal models to study some in vivo biological characteristics [33-38]. One tumor bulk can be sliced into tens or even hundreds of slices, enabling the simultaneous assessment of multiple anticancer drugs and the easy realization of 3Rs (i.e., reduction, replacement and refinement) in experiments. Microinjection of tumor cells into normal tissue slices or implantation of 3D tumor cell spheroids on the surface of those slices (hereon called tumor invasive tissue slices) can recapitulate the tumor migration behaviors, contributing to the discovery of effective antitumor drugs against tumor invasion.

The organotypic tissue slice culture platform can be used to validate results obtained from 2D culture and recapitulate in vivo circumstances during cell proliferation and metastasis [39, 40]. It maximally retains many aspects of the original tumors, including inter-tumor and intratumor heterogeneity, TME, morphology, cellular-stromal interplay, and complexity [24, 41-45]. The 3D-TSC platform experiences expanding advantages in these regards [27]. First, the slice kept growing for at least 10 days with a gradually increasing number of total live cells (Figure 3A-B). Second, the key immune cell repertoire and the gene expression level of T lymphocytes and B lymphocytes can be entirely preserved for at least 8 days (Figure 3C-D).

Optimal parameters of the influencing factors

The generation procedures and culture conditions affect the viability of organotypic tissue slices. Slicing tools, thickness, postresection culture timeframe, and oxygen levels all determine the quality and live cell quantity of slice from the beginning of cultivation to the endpoint of drug assessment. Carefully optimizing the preparation and culture conditions can minimize the artificially induced variations in cell viability.
Most studies generate slices with a thickness of 250-500 µm [25-27, 33, 45-47]. Slices with less than 200 µm thickness are extremely fragile and curly and contain large necrotic areas; even at a thickness of 250 µm, a necrosis gradient across the slice has yet been observed [45]. No publication has applied tumor slices with a thickness of more than 500 µm for anticancer drug discovery due to the limited provision of oxygen and nutrients to the center area that can lead to tissue necrosis.

Various postresection culture timeframes give rise to slices with diverse persistence to preserve the tissue architecture and viability, ranging from 4 to 16 days [27, 33-36, 46-49]. Merz et al. [48] sliced glioblastoma specimens and transferred the slices into the culture system within a few minutes after surgical biopsy and, surprisingly, found that the viability and main histological features of the original tumors were conserved for at least 16 days.

Appropriate oxygen levels are necessary for the retention of slice morphology [26], as hypoxia (< 5% O2) elicits rapid and significant changes to many stress pathways and is associated with a negative impact on the morphology of tumor slices [26]. Moreover, hyperoxic conditions (41% O2) do not increase the tissue viability, metabolic activity, and proliferation of slices compared to normoxic conditions (21% O2) [25]. Therefore, the atmospheric oxygen level is sufficient for slice culture. Intermittent exposure of slices to oxygen and nutrients using a rotating incubation unit further potentiates slice viability compared to the floating or stagnant filter-supporting slice culture [45, 47]. One explanation is that the rotating incubation units result in a higher elimination efficiency of waste products. Floating slices in the liquid culture medium essentially cannot meet the oxygen supplement because of the limited solubility of oxygen (< 2.2 mmol/L) [50]. Positioning the slice on a filter at the air-liquid interface achieves the efficient uptake of oxygen and nutrients [27, 33]. Koch et al. [50] floated a liver slice on perfluorodecalin, a non-water-soluble chemical of the artificial oxygen carrier, and overlaid it with the culture medium also conquers the predicament of insufficient oxygen supplementation in the culture medium and prolongs the lifespan of the slice as the perfluorodecalin can continuously provide oxygen to the slice from the bottom.

The optimal time window for the assessment of therapeutic efficacy needs to be clarified, which should obviate the slicing-caused activation of stress and inflammatory responses in the beginning and the tissue destruction and devitalization of overlong cultivation. Metabolic activity is continuously elevated within the first 24 hours of cultivation but does not fluctuate at later time points [25]. Moreover, slicing-caused tissue damage mainly occurs at the outer part of the slices within the first 24 hours but thereafter is not observed during the subsequent 72 hours of follow-up [25]. These findings suggest that the first 24 hours is the contraindicated period for the assessment of therapeutic responses. Also, consistency, high-throughput screening, intratumoral heterogeneity, antibody delivery, cryopreservation, and live tissue imaging are some of the challenges of this system that should be addressed by a balanced review but not the purpose of this work.

**Promising applications**

The organotypic tissue slice platform allows the selection of optimal treatment regimens for individualization in the context of precision oncology and has won popularity in the elucidation of tumor invasive ability, the potential toxicity of drugs, and the efficacy assessment of multiple treatment paradigms.

**Identification of tumor invasiveness**

The tumor invasive tissue slices visualize the tumor invasiveness in near real-time and offer easy maneuverability for testing the pharmacological efficacy of therapies against tumor migration [51-54]. Tumor invasiveness varies with the different morphologies of tumor cells, in which the invasive phenotype cells are characterized by a small soma with a distinct leading process [55]. The intratumoral composition of the invasive phenotype cells and the signaling between them and the tumor core are the determinants conditioning tumor invasiveness [55]. Therefore, finding a treatment that targets the invasive phenotype cells may significantly mitigate tumor migration.

A profound marker (i.e., “generalized stiffness”) of the invasive phenotype tumor cells has been found on the tumor invasive normal tissue slice culture system [56, 57]. Anticancer drug-caused alterations of the tumor cell mechanical and migratory patterns in this model are the theoretical supports for its clinical application in testing the therapeutic efficacy of drugs inhibiting tumor invasion. The mechanical and migratory properties of glioblastoma (GBM) cells on hippocampal slices can be weakened by cannabinoids [57]. Similarly, 2 Gy of nonlethal irradiation on this platform attenuates GBM cell invasiveness by increasing the “generalized stiffness” and inducing changes in the actin cytoskeleton and the motility of tumor cells [56].Implanting C6 GBM cells on the surface of brain slices manifests the antitumor invasiveness activity of the Rac1 inhibitor NSC23766) (Table 2) [58]. The tumor invasive normal tissue slice
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culture system with these unique advantages will account for more room in the preclinical stage of unveiling many effective antitumor invasive drugs.

**Toxicity determination**

Plus, the tumor invasive tissue slices show a promising outlook in the evaluation of the potential pharmacological toxicity. Brain slices can serve as a tool to reflect the toxicity of various neurotoxic compounds and may provide interventions to mitigate toxicity [59, 60]. A great predictive performance in the prediction of drug-induced seizure liability is also observed in this model, with 93% sensitivity and 100% specificity [61]. The organotypic hippocampal slices have underpinned several mechanistic insights underlying ethanol dependence and/or ethanol withdrawal-induced neurochemical toxicity, such as abnormal synaptic transmission and CA1 pyramidal cell death [62, 63]. Drug-induced cholestasis can be modeled on liver slices via 48 hours of incubation with human cholestasis-causing drugs, allowing the observation of the pathogenesis and progression of the disease under an *in vitro* condition [64]. Doxorubicin and trastuzumab both show cardiotoxicity in the clinical treatment of breast cancer patients, and this phenomenon is also recapitulated on the heart slice culture model, as manifested by a loss of cardiomyocyte structure and function after 48 hours of treatment [65].

**Assessment of therapeutic efficacy**

Organotypic tumor slice culture demonstrates the suitability to investigate the antitumor activity of small-molecule drug therapy (i.e., chemotherapy and molecularly targeted therapy) [24, 25, 27, 41, 47, 49, 66-84], immunotherapy [27, 31, 85], radiotherapy [86-88], and adoptive cellular therapy [32], as summarized in Table 3 and discussed below.

**Small-molecule drug therapy**

Organotypic slices treated with small-molecule drugs show concentration-dependent and heterogeneous responses [77, 86], exemplified by reduction of cell viability [79], decrease in cell proliferation [67, 80-82], and increase in cell loss and apoptosis [67, 80-82]. Tumor slice culture has been incorporated into the discovery of new combination therapy regimens and the efficacy assessment of clinical standard-of-care treatment for multiple cancers [47, 66-68]. For example, the oncological efficacy and safety profiles of the CAF regimen (i.e., cyclophosphamide, adriamycin plus 5-fluorouracil) in breast cancers have been confirmed by many landmark phase 2 to 3 randomized controlled trials [89-93], and therefore this regimen is recommended for clinical application by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines version 2. 2022 (https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf). Utilizing breast cancer patient-derived slices to assess the CAF response succeeds in the stratification of CAF responders from nonresponders [47]. Despite the tumor slices are ubiquitously suitable to assess small-molecule drug responses, it is more intriguing to characterize the immunotherapeutic efficacy.

**Table 2. Contributions of organotypic tissue slice model from normal organs in antitumor invasive drug discovery**

| Models                              | Sketch diagram | Contributions                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Organotypic brain slice culture     | ![Sketch](image1) | Jasplakinolide, Rac1 inhibitor NSC23766, and tranilast significantly decrease the tumor cell invasion on brain slices. | [52, 58, 77] |
| Organotypic cerebellar slice culture| ![Sketch](image2) | Epidermal growth factor accelerates the invasion of medulloblastoma cells on cerebellar slices. | [53] |
| Tissue-based liver-kidney-on-a-chip | ![Sketch](image3) | A CXCR4 small-molecule antagonist AMD3100 effectively halts the liver tropism of breast cancer extracellular vesicles. | [54] |
| Organotypic hippocampal slice culture| ![Sketch](image4) | Cannabinoids influence the migratory and mechanical properties of tumor cells on organotypic hippocampal slices. | [57] |
### Table 3. The in vitro response of treatments on the patient-derived tumor slice culture system

| Type of cancer | Treatment* | No. of patients | In vitro response | Reference |
|----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Prostate cancer and bladder cancer | Docetaxel or gemcitabine | 10 | Induction of cell death and increase in cell loss | [24] |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma | Rapamycin | 12 | Decrease in metabolic activity | [25] |
| Colon cancer and breast cancer | Chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, and polytherapy† | 7‡ | Decrease in cell viability and increase in apoptosis, with a heterogeneous individual response to chemotherapy or immunotherapy. | [27] |
| Colorectal cancer liver metastasis | IL-10 antibody plus CAR-T cell therapy | 38 | dIL-10 augments CAR-T cell activation and CAR-T cell-mediated cytotoxicity | [32] |
| Hepatic metastatic colorectal carcinoma | Oxaliplatin, cetuximab, or pembrolizumab | 9 | Decrease in cell proliferation, with a heterogeneous individual response to chemotherapy and targeted therapy | [41] |
| Breast cancer | Cyclophosphamide, adriamycin plus 5-FU | 15 | Decrease in cell proliferation and induction of cell death | [47] |
| Glioblastoma | Tremozolamide | 12 | Decrease in cell proliferation and increase in cell loss and apoptosis, with a heterogeneous individual response to chemotherapy | [48] |
| Gastric and esophageal gastric junction cancer | 5-FU or cisplatin | 13 | Increase in cell loss and apoptosis | [49] |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | Sorafenib plus N20 blocking peptide | 13 | Decrease in cell proliferation | [66] |
| Colorectal carcinoma | 5-FU | 7 | A dose-dependent decrease in cell proliferation, with a heterogeneous individual response to chemotherapy | [67] |
| Bladder cancer | Mitomycin-C plus oxasackie A21 | 1 | Stronger apoptosis in the combination therapy than either of the monotherapy | [68] |
| HNSCC | Cetuximab | 10 | Decrease in cell proliferation, with a heterogeneous individual response to targeted therapy | [69] |
| HNSCC | Cetuximab | 14 | Decrease in cell proliferation, with a heterogeneous individual response to targeted therapy | [70] |
| Glioblastoma | Gefitinib | 1 | Insensitive anticancer activity | [71] |
| Melanoma | Ribociclib plus CGM097 | 13 | The impudence of cell growth | [72] |
| Prostate cancer | Enzalutamide, or olaparib | 3 | Decrease in cell proliferation and increase in cell loss, with a heterogeneous individual response to anti-androgen or targeted therapy | [73] |
| Breast cancer | Rapamycin | 30 | Decrease in cell proliferation, with a heterogeneous individual response to targeted therapy | [75] |
| Rectal cancer liver metastasis | Oxaliplatin | 20 | Decrease in tumor size and cell viability, and increase in apoptosis | [78] |
| Breast cancer | Doxorubicin | 1 | A dose-dependent decrease in cell viability | [79] |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma | Staurosporine, gemcitabine or cisplatin | 10 | Decrease in cell proliferation and increase in cell loss and apoptosis | [80] |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma | Staurosporine or cycloheximide | 13 | A dose- and time-dependent increase in apoptosis and decrease in cell proliferation | [81, 82] |
| Lung cancer | Cisplatin | 32 | Induction of cell death | [83] |
| Melanoma, NSCLC, RCC, breast cancer, and ovarian cancer | Nivolumab | 37‡ | Increase in immune activity, with a heterogeneous individual response to immunotherapy | [85] |
| Oral squamous cell carcinoma | 4 Gy irradiation | 28 | More cancer stem cells and DNA damage response in responders than nonresponders | [87] |

*The “or”-connected drugs represent monotherapy, while the “plus”-connected drugs represent combination therapy.
†These 7 patients consist of 2 breast cancer patients and 5 colon cancer patients, and these 37 patients consist of 13 melanoma patients, 7 NSLCC patients, 8 breast cancer patients, 6 ovarian patients, and 3 RCC patients.
‡The drugs involved in these treatments include 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, docetaxel, doxorubicin, epirubicin, mitoxantrone, irinotecan, daunorubicin, tamoxifen, neratinib, cetinib, afatinib, regorafenib, osimertinib, palbociclib, pembrolizumab, durvalumab, and durvalumab plus IL-2.

Abbreviations: 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; RCC, renal cell carcinoma.

**Immunotherapy**

Deciphering immune-oncology on organotypic tissue slice was initially described by Sivakumar et al. [31], who demonstrated that the live immune cell populations lasted for 7 days on the filter-supporting tumor slice cultures. Although some immune cell populations are changed between the slice model and the original tumor and the proportion of the immune components are variable with the time-lapse, this technique observed the response of immune checkpoint blockade. Introducing novel biological materials and techniques into the tumor slice culture system may avoid the variation of immune cell populations and key immune mediators, contributing to the more confidential in vitro results of immunotherapeutic efficacy. Indeed, Voabil and colleagues [85] embedded patient-derived tumor fragments into an artificial extracellular matrix and found that the lymphocyte efflux was significantly lower than those cultured in medium or collagen, with no significant difference in T-cell functionality and nonspecific immune activation. They evaluated the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) blockade response of 37 tumors from five cancer types (i.e., melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and renal cell carcinoma) on this platform. Only a small proportion of tumors (13 out of 37) showed discernable immunotherapy responses, predominantly from melanoma and non-small-cell lung cancer, which was concordant with the clinical outcomes.

The filter-supporting tumor slice culture model with a 0.4 µm pore size membrane culture insert cannot prevent the horizontal lymphocyte efflux and thus may identify the negative result of
pembrolizumab (a humanized anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody) responses [41]. Tumor slice in the 3D-TSC platform is precoated with a reconstituted collagen solution and supported by an insert at the air-liquid interface; therefore, the horizontal and vertical lymphocyte efflux is efficiently spared and the lymphocytes are well preserved within 8 days of cultivation [31]. We utilized this technique to evaluate the immunotherapeutic efficacy of colon cancer or breast cancer patients. The expression level of programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) in colon cancer patients was low, only between 2-11%. Patients with 11% PD-L1 expression showed the highest PD-1/PD-L1 blockade response; intriguingly, the anti-PD1/PD-L1 therapeutic efficacy was higher in patients with 2% PD-L1 expression than in those with 5% or 7% PD-L1 expression. One explanation is that intratumoral PD-L1 is heavily glycosylated, and the immunohistochemical readout results may not reflect the reality of PD-L1 expression [94]. Removal of N-linked glycosylation significantly elevates PD-L1 detection in human tumor tissues, and the improved PD-L1 detection level is closely related to the clinical oncological efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy [94]. Therefore, PD-L1 after deglycosylation can be used as a biomarker to predict immunotherapeutic efficacy.

Radiotherapy

Radiotherapy reduces the risk of tumor relapse, ameliorates survival benefits, and has become an irreplaceable component of systematic treatment for many cancers [95]. Organotypic tissue slices exposed to irradiation observe the stall of cell growth and the decrease in tumor volume [86]. Cancer stem cell status and DNA damage repair both dictate tumor radiosensitivity. After 24 hours of exposure to 4 Gy irradiation, the expression levels of the cancer stem cell marker CD44 and DNA damage repair markers γ-H2AX and p-ATM in tumor slices from radiotherapy-sensitive oral squamous cell carcinoma patients are significantly higher than those from radiotherapy-resistant patients [87], suggesting that this platform is applicable to stratify radiotherapeutic responders from nonresponders. Radiotherapy plus the inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (CDK4/6i) palbociclib contribute to a significantly increased cell death in meningioma slices than either of the monotherapy [88]. These results offer preclinical evidence for the cotreatment of CDK4/6i with radiotherapy for meningiomas.

Adoptive cellular therapy

Adoptive cellular therapy is a new type of therapy that aims to leverage the internal immune system to obviate cancers, wherein immune cells are engineered with the expression of anti-specific T-cell receptors or chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) that can better recognize and kill malignant cells [96, 97]. The overwhelming triumph of CD19-targeted CAR-T cell therapy in refractory B-cell malignant lymphoma is an important landmark of adoptive cellular therapy in cancers [98, 99] and serves as an exemplification for developing more treatment paradigms, e.g., CAR-NK cell therapy [96]. The tumor slice culture platform recently has been used to assess the efficacy of CAR-T cell therapy in solid tumors. Despite the low success of CAR-T cell therapy in human colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRLM), the interleukin-10 blockade has shown functions to enhance the antitumor activity of CAR-T cell therapy in human CRLM slices, as characterized by the increased CAR-T cell activation and CAR-T cell-caused cytotoxicity as well as amplified CAR-T cell proliferation [32]. Therefore, harnessing tumor slice culture models may broaden the clinical application of adoptive cellular therapy in solid tumors.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Organotypic tissue slice culture platform has many advantages for anticancer drug discovery, including (1) identification of tumoricidal efficacy of anticancer drugs, specifically the immune checkpoint blockade antibodies; (2) high predictive performance of drug responses; (3) quick stratification of treatment responders and nonresponders; and (4) ascertainment of the cooperativity of different combination therapy strategies. Therefore, this technique may tailor the best clinical guideline-recommended regimens for individualization, providing a decision aid for clinicians. Recapitulation of the complexity and TME of original tumors on the slices is of pivotal importance, particularly the indispensable components for specific anticancer drugs (e.g., immune cell repertoire for PD-1/PD-L1 blockade). Thus, it needs to consider all aspects of the generation and cultivation conditions for maximally maintaining the consistency of the slices with the original tissues. Notably, accurately judging therapeutic efficacy requires averaging an adequate number of slice results due to intratumoral heterogeneity. In addition, the system is not a reproducible tool similar to 3D-ALI or patient-derived xenografts and is normally unable to test a plethora of drug responses. It is crucial to enhance the efficiency of clinical translation of preclinical findings on this technique, for which it needs to narrow down the drug candidates by prescreening compounds by the 2D monolayer cell culture or selecting agents according to the NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology.
Table 4. The promising applications of different organotypic tumor slice cultures in antitumor drug discovery

| Models                                | Sketch diagram | Small-molecule drug therapy | Immunotherapy | Radiotherapy | Adoptive cellular therapy | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Filter-supporting tumor slice culture |                | √                           | √             | √            | √                         | [25, 35, 41, 49, 66, 81] |
| Floating tumor slice culture          |                | √                           | √             | √            |                           | [26, 33, 68, 69, 72, 75] |
| 3D-tumor slice culture                |                | √                           | ×             | ×            |                           | [27]      |
| Tumor slice culture on a rotating platform |            | ×                           | ×             | ×            |                           | [47]      |
| Collagen-supporting tumor slice culture |            | ×                           | ×             | ×            |                           | [80]      |
| Tumor slice culture on a microfluidic platform |           | ×                           | ×             | ×            |                           | [84]      |
| Patient-derived tumor fragment culture |            | ×                           | √             | ×            |                           | [85]      |

The application that has been described in the publications is marked with “√”, otherwise is marked with “×”.

Different tissue origins and preparation procedures bestow the platform with the intrinsic advantages and limitations in the field of anticancer drug discovery. We enumerated the overlapping but distinct preparation conditions for different organotypic tumor slice models in Table 4. Each of them has been documented with the potential to assess the oncological efficacy of the antitumor treatment strategy. In addition, tissue slices have implicated the availability of uncovering novel biomaterials within the synthesis of anticancer drugs; for example, graphene quantum dots and nanoparticles both show complete penetration and minimal viability damage on slices [100, 101], indicating their promising application for the construction of drug delivery systems.

Abbreviations
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