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The intramolecular OH⋯F hydrogen bond in 3,3,3-trifluoropropanol (TFP) exerts a subtle stabilizing effect that, when compared to the non-fluorinated analog, reorders the five distinguishable conformers and widens the gap between the two most stable structures. Here, we combine findings from Raman spectroscopy in supersonic expansions and high-level quantum-chemical calculations to bracket the energy difference between the two most stable TFP structures at 1.7(5) kJ mol⁻¹. The torsional potential energy surface suggests consecutive backbone and OH torsional motions for the conformer interconversion, which are discussed in the framework of supersonic jet cooling as a function of nozzle temperature. The picture of a bistable cold molecule with trans or gauche backbone emerges, in which the OH group controls the energy difference and modulates the high barrier separating the heavy atom frames.

1 Introduction

Conformational preferences in flexible chain molecules are influenced by interactions between the chain segments. This is highly relevant for protein folding in aqueous solution,¹ but a systematic understanding is more straightforward for elementary prototypes in the gas phase,² such as the subtle balance between stretched and hairpin conformations in linear alkanes.³ There is a general lack of reliable experimental data on relative energies of chain conformations in the gas phase, because entropy effects come into play at higher temperatures and the vapor pressure becomes too low when the energetics starts to dominate. Adiabatically cooled supersonic jet expansions offer a partial solution, but their non-equilibrium character tends to freeze the conformational equilibrium at higher temperatures, requiring a critical analysis of the findings.³

Two particularly elegant approaches exploit nuclear wavefunction mixing between conformations⁴ and stimulated emission pumping via suitable electronically excited states.⁵ However, none of them is easily applicable to backbone isomerizations of saturated alkane chains. If one is only interested in the enthalpy difference at non-cryogenic temperatures, He-droplet pickup and freezing is an attractive and fairly universal approach.⁶

For unsubstituted alkanes, chain segments have to reach a size of about 7 CH₂ units before the dispersion forces between them can overcome the intrinsic preference for an all-trans conformation.³ By substituting one CH₃ end group for CF₃ and the other for CH₂OH, one can move the turning point to much smaller chain segments, because a weak hydrogen bond-like CF–HO attraction between the OH and CF₃ groups can be realized in suitably folded conformations. Indeed, the simplest foldable trifluoroalcohol, 3,3,3-trifluoropropanol (TFP, CF₃CH₂CH₂OH), already prefers a folded conformation, as inferred from microwave data.⁷ However, the case becomes less clear when taking various related compounds into consideration: mono- and trifluoroethanol still favor a gauche conformation, but the driving force can be attributed more to the “gauche effect” than to traditional hydrogen bonding.⁸⁻¹⁰ Further, monofluoro- propanol shows no distinct preference for a hydrogen-bonded structure,⁶,¹¹ which can be plausibly explained in terms of dipole–dipole interactions between the C–O and the C–F bonds.¹¹ Increasing the chain length by one CH₂ group apparently provides enough conformational flexibility to avoid this unfavorable interaction, and the resulting monofluorobutanol shows a substantial amount of internally hydrogen-bonded conformers.¹¹

Quantitatively, a rough experimental estimate places the folded TFP conformation 3.5(10) kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy than its stretched conformation.⁷ This is substantially more than for the non-fluorinated 1-propanol, where an even weaker hydrogen bond-like interaction between a terminal CH group and an electron lone pair of the OH group gives the resulting global minimum conformation an energy advantage of 0.5721 kJ mol⁻¹.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Parameters of potential energy surface fit; band intensity ratios from Raman-jet experiments; harmonic vibrational frequencies from calculations and assignments of experimental bands for the two main conformers; and Raman jet spectra between 100 and 1600 cm⁻¹. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cp05868b
over the next OH torsional isomer. The energy penalty of the fully stretched conformation is likely even smaller, but also harder to evaluate, because it involves backbone isomerization.

The goal of the present study is to bracket the energy difference between the two lowest energy conformations of TFP as closely as possible by a combined experimental and theoretical approach using vibrational spectroscopy in supersonic jets and advanced \textit{ab initio} methods. For this purpose, we detect and assign both conformers \textit{via} their spectrally separated low-temperature OH stretching and low frequency modes by spontaneous Raman scattering. In contrast to stimulated Raman techniques, this also works for saturated alkyl chains. Assuming that the conformational equilibrium is completely frozen at the pre-expansion temperature, one can derive an upper bound for the energy difference between the two conformations. Comparison to the related case of ethanol, where conformational relaxation only involves a tunneling-assisted rearrangement of the OH group and is thus more feasible in supersonic jets, provides information that is closer to a lower bound. Discrimination between backbone and OH torsion yields a third set of estimates. High-level quantum-chemical predictions with zero-point energy corrections which include adjustments to experimental spectra provide a fourth, independent estimate of the energy difference between the conformations. Taken together, these four estimates indicate that the earlier experimental value of 3.5±1.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for the energy difference derived from microwave spectra\(^2\) is too large.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Raman jet experiment

For measuring Raman spectra in a free jet, we employ the “curry” jet setup\(^3\) (“classical unrestricted Raman spectroscopy”). Sample preparation is carried out using a glass saturator at \(\theta_{\text{sat}} = -30\) to +20 °C in which a stream of the carrier gas (typically He) is directed through the liquid alcohol. The prepared gas mixture is stored in a 4.7 L Teflon-coated stainless steel reservoir and conducted through a PTFE tube to the \(4 \times 0.15\) mm\(^2\) jet nozzle into a 144 L jet chamber; the tube and the nozzle can be heated by a rotary vane backing pump. The supersonic expansion is crossed by a 532 nm, 18 W cw laser focused to an irradiance on the order of 10 GW m\(^{-2}\). Scattered photons are collimated at a 90° angle by a Nikkor 50 mm f/1.2 photographic lens, focused on the entrance slit of a grating monochromator and detected by a LN\(_2\)-cooled CCD chip (Princeton Instruments Spec-10). Optionally, a \(\iota/2\) plate can be used to control the polarization of the probing laser beam. Typically, six scans of 200 seconds duration are recorded, distinct “spikes” from background events are removed by comparing independent scans, and the obtained data are co-added to yield the final spectrum. Wavenumber calibration of the spectra is carried out using the lines of a Ne lamp. Slight calibration drifts on the order of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) are corrected by linear shifts in the wavenumber domain to fit well-calibrated spectra.

3,3,3-Trifluoropropanol (97%) was obtained from ABCR and Manchester Organics and used as received. Ethanol (Merck, 99.8%) was used in the reference measurements for the conformer interconversion.

### 2.2 Quantum-chemical calculations

The canonical energy and Raman activity calculations presented throughout this work were conducted using the Gaussian09 software package at the MP2 and B3LYP (without dispersion correction) levels of approximation. All local\(^15\) (“L”) and explicitly correlated\(^16,17\) (“F12”) results, including the torsional potential surface, were computed using the Molpro 2010.1 and 2012.1 packages,\(^18\) with non-iterated perturbative triples (“aVnZ”) used in all local CCSD(T) calculations.\(^19\) Local correlation treatments reduce basis set superposition error (BSSE) and are beneficial for vibrational frequencies.\(^20–22\) They furthermore speed up the calculations and promise to be applicable to very large molecular systems.\(^23,24\) Pipek–Mezey localization\(^25\) was employed for all local correlation treatments in this work.

All calculations were done using Dunning’s correlation-consistent basis sets; for all explicitly correlated calculations, we used the adapted cc-pVTZ-F12 basis set.\(^26\) As is common practice, we shorten “aug-cc-pVnZ” to “aVnZ”. The LMP2 structure optimizations for the torsional potential energy surface (TPES) used a Dunning basis set in which diffuse functions were added only on non-hydrogen atoms, abbreviated “aVnZ”.

In local and F12 calculations, density fitting approximations were used throughout. The fitting basis sets were the Molpro default aVnZ/JKFF\(^27\) and aVnZ/MP2F12\(^28\) basis sets. For compactness, we omit the “DF-” prefix.

### 2.3 Nomenclature and conventions

TFP adopts five distinguishable conformations\(^30\) (Fig. 1) which may be characterized by two dihedral angles: the O–C1–C2–C3 “backbone torsion” angle, which we denote \(t_1\), and the H–O–C1–C2 “OH torsion” angle, \(t_2\). We use two-letter descriptors for the conformers that qualitatively encode the value of the dihedral angles \(t_1\) with a capital and \(t_2\) with a small letter, using “G/g” for gauche (\(t_1 \approx \pm60^\circ\)) and “T/t” for trans (\(t_1 \approx 180^\circ\)).\(^30\) The most stable conformer shows opposite signs in \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), indicated by a prime. The unprimed counterpart “Gg” turns out to be the least stable conformer.

![Fig. 1 Structures of the five stable TFP conformers (only Tt has a mirror plane, whereas all other conformers are C\(_{1v}\)-symmetric). See Section 2.3 for details on the labeling scheme.](image-url)
3 Computational results

3.1 Conformer energies

Quantum-chemical calculations were carried out on the five TFP conformers in order to provide estimates of their relative energies (see Table 1, values in parentheses). Structure optimizations and harmonic frequency calculations were carried out at the B3LYP/aVTZ, MP2/aVTZ, LMP2/aVTZ and LMP2/aVTZ levels, and the structures were re-used for a number of Coupled-Cluster calculations where optimizations and frequency analyses would be unfeasible. In light of the low temperatures in our supersonic jet experiment, the spectroscopically most interesting feature is the energy difference between the two most stable conformers, Gg' and Tt. We will first detail on different approaches for benchmarking the electronic energy component, discussing corrections due to zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) separately.

To estimate the electronic Tt–Gg' energy difference at the complete basis set (CBS) limit, we employed explicitly correlated CCSD(T)-F12 calculation with local and canonical correlation schemes at the MP2/aVTZ-optimized structures. We find that the results are mostly insensitive to the choice of a specific F12 method\(^1,\)\(^2,\)\(^3\) and triples scaling,\(^3\) with variations well below 0.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\); we rely on the F12a energies henceforth. The local and canonical methods yield Tt–Gg' energy differences of 1.25 and 2.33 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, and CBS limit extrapolations of LCCSD(T(0))/aVTZ and aVQZ calculations agree with the LCCSD(T(0))/F12a results within 0.2 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). We tentatively explain the \sim 1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) discrepancy among the results by the intramolecular OH⋅⋅⋅F bond in Gg' which is treated at the MP2 rather than CCSD(T) level in the local calculations due to default Molpro cut-off thresholds for long-range interactions. Including this interaction at a higher correlation level would thus likely move the locally correlated results closer to the canonical ones. The calculations further provide CABS-corrected SCF energies as CBS limit estimates\(^3\) with a Tt–Gg' difference of \sim 1.39 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), showing that electron correlation is absolutely essential.

We also conducted a comparable series of trial calculations on non-fluorinated propanol, using LMP2/aVTZ-optimized structures and including harmonic ZPVE contributions of +0.03 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at this level. LMP2/aVTZ and LCCSD(T(0))/aVTZ then yield Gg–Gt energy differences of 1.08 and 1.06 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, while LCCSD(T(0))/F12a/aVTZ and CCSD(T(0))/F12a/aVTZ predict lower energy differences of 0.92 and 0.61 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). These values can be compared to MP2-F12 calculations by Höfener et al.\(^3\) and a focal-point analysis by Kahn and Bruice\(^4\) which agree on a ZPVE-corrected energy difference of 0.42 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). In this case, the accurate experimental value of 0.5721 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (ref. 4) also indicates a slightly superior performance of the CCSD(T)-F12 method (0.04 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) difference to the experiment) and suggests variations of at least 0.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for this set of computational analyses. For TFP, this implies a best theoretical estimate of the Tt–Gg' electronic energy difference of 2.3(5) kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

Zero-point vibrational energies (ZPVEs) for TFP were estimated from harmonic frequency calculations using B3LYP, MP2 and LMP2 methods and the aVTZ basis set and clearly favor the Tt conformation. For some bands, true (anharmonic) wavenumbers \tilde{\nu}_i are known from the experiment (see Section 4). Together with their corresponding harmonic predictions \omega_i, we can estimate better ground-state energy contributions \Energy_{ij} of these vibrations, assuming a Morse-type energy level spacing and a correct prediction of the harmonic curvature:

\[
\frac{\Energy_{ij}}{\hbar c N_A} = \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\nu}_i + 3 \omega_i).
\] (1)

For the Gg' and Tt conformers, 20 and 12 bands were assigned, respectively (see Fig. S1–S3 in the ESI\(^{+}\)). To avoid inconsistencies in the ZPVE corrections due to this mismatch, we concentrate on 8 common bands which can be assigned to similar vibrational motions in both conformers with the aid of normal-mode calculations, and Table 2 lists the resulting corrections to the Tt–Gg' ZPVE difference. Despite involvement of particularly anharmonic OH stretching and torsional states in the common experimental data set, most of the energy level shifts from the harmonic to the anharmonic model tend to cancel between the two conformers, as shown in the center lines of Table 2. Overall anharmonic corrections of more than 0.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) seem very unlikely, and we settle for a conservative Tt–Gg' ZPVE difference of \sim 0.7(5) kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

Another simple and popular method to approximate anharmonic effects is to multiply the harmonic frequencies by appropriate

| Table 1 | Relative conformer energies (in kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) on various levels of theory including zero-point corrections (with experimental updates for Tt, cf. Table 2) for the aVTZ basis set unless stated otherwise. The numbers in parentheses give the relative electronic energies without zero-point corrections |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|         | B3LYP | MP2 | LMP2 | LCCSD(T(0)) | CCSD(T)-F12 |
| Gg'     | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Tt     | 0.80 (1.16) | 1.44 (2.17) | 0.80 (1.49) | 0.59 (1.25) | 1.67 (2.33) |
| Tg     | 2.80 (3.28) | 3.73 (4.43) | 3.15 (3.77) | 3.19 (3.67) | 3.88 (4.37) |
| Gt     | 5.13 (5.92) | 5.40 (6.49) | 4.94 (5.98) | 4.83 (5.62) | 5.80 (6.59) |
| Gg     | 7.04 (7.59) | 7.54 (8.49) | 7.18 (8.09) | 7.05 (7.62) | 7.72 (8.29) |

\(a\) ZPVE corrections from B3LYP. \(b\) LMP2/aVTZ-optimized structures. \(c\) V/TZ-F12 basis set, MP2/aVTZ-optimized structures. \(d\) Using “harm + obs” ZPVEs for Gg’ and Tt.

| Table 2 | Tt–Gg' differences in ZPVE (in kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) from various methods using the aVTZ basis set, to be added to the electronic energy difference: harmonic frequencies without scaling (“harm”) and with updates from 8 common observed band positions (“harm + obs”). Also given are the ZPVE corrections from removing the appropriate harmonic bands \(\left(-\frac{\Energy_{ij}}{\hbar c N_A} \sum \omega_i \right)\) and adding the experimental updates (‘+ \sum \Energy_{ij}’, see eqn (1)) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|         | B3LYP | MP2 | LMP2 |
| harm    | -0.66 | -0.78 | -0.72 |
| harm + obs. | +0.02 | +0.20 | +0.15 |
| \(\sum \Energy_{ij}\) | -0.02 | -0.15 | -0.12 |
| harm + obs. | -0.66 | -0.73 | -0.69 |
scaling factors. However, applying factors as proposed by Merrick et al.\textsuperscript{25} to the B3LYP and MP2 wavenumbers naturally changes the experimentally updated energy difference very little due to systematic compensation effects. Exploratory VP2 calculations as implemented in the Gaussian09 package\textsuperscript{36} at the B3LYP/aVTZ level suffer from irregular behavior for the low-frequency modes, but still serve to confirm a conservative error bar of $\pm 0.5$ kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} for the zero-point energy difference. We thus obtain a best-estimate Tt–Gg ZPVE difference of $-0.7(5)$ kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} based on unscaled LMP2/aVTZ harmonic frequencies. Combining this robust ZPVE difference value with the electronic estimate of 2.3(5) kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}, we obtain a best estimate for the total Tt–Gg energy difference of 1.6(7) kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}.

3.2 Calculated Raman spectra

Harmonic wavenumbers $\omega_i$, Raman scattering cross-sections $\alpha_{i}^{sc}$ and depolarization ratios $\delta_i$ were computed using the Gaussian09 program package, assuming an effective vibrational temperature of 100 K. In our experiment, we control the polarization of the incident radiation, in which case $\delta_i$ is equivalent to the “depolar (U)” ratios ($\leq 67\%$) reported by the software. Our focus is placed on three band systems which we also characterize spectroscopically in Section 4 below: the O–H, symmetric C1–H and C2–C3 stretching bands. To compensate for the neglect of anharmonicity, we determine scaling factors for these band systems in a customized scheme based on our experimental findings. The harmonic prediction for each observed band is scaled onto its observed anharmonic value; this is done for Gg and Tt in all three cases and additionally for Tg in the OH stretching region. The Gg', Tt(Tg) average is then applied to all remaining conformers in the corresponding regime.

The results from B3LYP, MP2 and LMP2 calculations for the three band systems are given in Table 3, using the scaled wavenumbers ($\omega_{i}^{sc}$) for the calculation of $\alpha_{i}$ predictions. As to the scattering cross-sections, more important than their absolute values are the Tt/Gg ratios, since they will be of direct spectroscopic interest in Section 4.3. We find these ratios to be remarkably robust between the B3LYP and MP2 methods, yielding averages of 2.16(3) for the OH, 1.06(2) for the CH and 0.726(4) for the CC bands.

We note that the unscaled MP2 and LMP2/aVTZ methods underestimate some spacings and in part even fail to reproduce a qualitatively correct ordering of the bands; this is mostly masked by our scaling scheme in which inconsistencies remain only in the position of the Gt band relative to Tt.

The assignment of a small spectral feature near 3673 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the OH region to the Tg conformer is still tentative at this point, as we outline in Section 4.1. However, when using only the Gg' and Tt bands for our scaling scheme, the Tg band still remains slightly blue-shifted relative to the dominant Gg' band, consistent with the observation. We thus rely on this assignment henceforth.

3.3 Torsional potential energy surface

The two dihedral angles $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ were used to describe the interconversion of the five possible TFP conformers, relaxing all other internal coordinates. The resulting torsional potential energy surface (TPES) can roughly be separated into a “core” region containing the four most stable conformers and a periphery extending to Gg. We sampled the core region ($\tau_1 = 15\cdots240\,; \tau_2 = 120\cdots360\,$) with a dense data point grid of 7.5$^\circ$ spacing, while the step size was decreased to 30$^\circ$ in the periphery. The inversion symmetry of the surface allowed to cut down the number of unique surface geometries to 851, including the five well-defined local minima. The TPES was then calculated in a two-step process: first, the molecular structures for all points were optimized at the LMP2/aVTZ level; their energies were then refined in a second series of single-point energy calculations at the LCCSD(T)/aVTZ level. LMP2/aVTZ checks revealed that the neglect of hydrogen diffuse functions in the aVTZ basis set showed no significant effect on the relative energies and structures. Only in the OH stretching wavenumbers, some slight disagreements on the order of a few cm\textsuperscript{-1} were observed, and all frequency calculations were thus restricted to the full aVTZ basis set. As Table 1 demonstrates, LCCSD(T)/aVTZ predicts the electronic Tt–Gg energy difference in good agreement with the explicitly correlated and extrapolated local calculations, showing the same $\sim 1$ kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} error in relation to the more accurate canonical F12 results. This suggests that in order to improve our surface calculations, eliminating this systematic error might be more important than further approaching the CBS limit.

The calculated data points were fitted with an inversion-symmetric Fourier series of the form

$$V(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{7} c_j \cos(\tau_1 j) \cos(\tau_2 j) + \sum_{j=1}^{7} d_j \sin(\tau_1 j) \sin(\tau_2 j),$$

(2)
with a total of 113 terms for the initial fit. The least significant parameters were then eliminated, and the process was repeated iteratively until 45 terms remained in the function with a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\); the same error applies to the individual conformers. The full TPES and its core region are displayed in Fig. 2 and 3. Its implications for conformer interconversion will be discussed in Section 5. The optimized set of fit parameters is given in Section 1 of the ESI.

4 Raman jet spectroscopy

4.1 OH stretching region

Jet-spectroscopic signatures of TFP monomers and dimers in the OH stretching region have previously been characterized by Schrage in FTIR studies.\(^30\) Two monomer signals were identified at 3667 and 3681 cm\(^{-1}\) and attributed to the G\(_g^0\) and T\(_t\) conformers, respectively, with an estimated concentration ratio of T\(_t\): G\(_g^0\) = 1:4. A small red-shifted 3656 cm\(^{-1}\) band has contributions from the OH stretching modes of acceptor molecules in hydrogen-bonded dimer structures, but may overlap with the symmetric stretching vibration of residual water monomers in Raman experiments.

In order to study the unperturbed conformational preferences of the TFP monomer, we aimed for experimental conditions that essentially suppress the formation of clusters. We thus employed a low saturator temperature \(T_{\text{sat}}\) of -25 °C, which provides largely dimer-free conditions with the nozzle close to room temperature, given that the expansion is sampled not too far downstream. We were able to reproduce the IR findings of Schrage\(^30\) within a calibration accuracy of 1 cm\(^{-1}\), yielding jet Raman G\(_g^0\) and T\(_t\) band positions of 3668 and 3682 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Fig. 4 compares Raman jet spectra in the OH stretching region to B3LYP, MP2 and LMP2 calculations in the aVTZ basis set after application of the observation-based wavenumber scaling procedure (see Section 3.2). The predicted scattering intensities suggest a T\(_t\):G\(_g^0\) ratio of about 0.2–0.3, in agreement with the FTIR evidence.\(^30\)

Heated-nozzle jet spectra at close distances \(d\) hint towards the existence of a third feature near 3673 cm\(^{-1}\), slightly blue-shifted to the G\(_g^0\) band (Fig. 4). Similarly, spectra recorded at increased background pressures (not shown) indicate a continuous transition from the jet environment to the gas phase while showing an early onset of the 3673 cm\(^{-1}\) feature. On grounds of the calculated energy ordering, we attribute this band to the T\(_g\) conformer. In addition, gas-phase spectra were recorded by flooding the test chamber with the sample gas mixture at room temperature. They show a broad scattering contribution between the now less prominent G\(_g^0\) and T\(_t\) bands, which we attribute to other conformers and anharmonic coupling of the OH oscillators to torsional modes.\(^39\) Fig. 4 also includes a simulated gas-phase
spectra are displayed in Fig. 5 for different nozzle temperatures. city, we will continue to denote them as ‘‘stretching’’ bands. The bands are in resonance with bending overtones, but for simplification of the 3673 cm\(^{-1}\) supports the Tg assignment on grounds of the relative intensity of the 3673 cm\(^{-1}\) band. Further, the simulated Gt band has a considerable intensity contribution, about 1/3 of that of Tt. This suggests that the high-wavenumber B3LYP prediction for this band is less likely due to the absence of a separate band in the experiment, while the wave function results are more plausible. Furthermore, the simulation provides initial support for the accuracy of the theoretically predicted conformational energy differences and scattering intensities, which will be elaborated below.

A series of experiments at a nozzle distance of \(d = 3\) mm were also carried out, but analysis of the spectra revealed contamination with dimers, affecting the monomer OH stretching bands in terms of band width and intensity in particular for the Tt feature. We discard these data in favor of the 1 mm spectra which only show traces of dimers.

### 4.2 CH/CC stretching band systems and fingerprint region

To obtain independent experimental estimates of the Tt/Gg conformer ratio, we require pairs of well-isolated bands of both conformers corresponding to comparable vibrational motions together with robust predictions for their scattering strengths. Apart from the OH stretching band system, two other candidates were identified which fulfill these requirements: the symmetric C1–H stretching bands at 2919 (Tt) and 2904 cm\(^{-1}\) (Gg), and the C2–C3 stretching bands at 848/797 cm\(^{-1}\) (Tt/Gg). The former bands are in resonance with bending overtones, but for simplicity, we will continue to denote them as ‘‘stretching’’ bands. The spectra are displayed in Fig. 5 for different nozzle temperatures.

In order to assign the spectral signatures in the fingerprint region to Gg and Tt, polarization experiments were carried out as detailed in ref. 38 and 40. The polarization of the incident (‘‘\(i\)’’) laser radiation was changed from perpendicular (‘‘\( \perp \)’’) to parallel (‘‘\( \parallel \)’’) in relation to the scattering plane. Non-totally symmetric modes (\(A''\) for Tt) are completely depolarized, and their intensity is only reduced by a factor of \(\delta' = 6/7\) upon \(\perp \rightarrow \parallel\) polarization change. Totally symmetric modes (\(A'\) for Tt and all for Gg) are more or less polarized and will be quenched by a factor \(0 \leq \delta' < 6/7\). The recorded spectra in the 200–400 cm\(^{-1}\) region are shown in Fig. 6 and are compared to wavenumber-scaled\(^{35}\) B3LYP/aVTZ predictions and unscaled LMP2/aVTZ predictions with an assumed Tt/Gg conformer ratio of 0.20. We note that the band positions, \(\sigma^0\) values and depolarization ratios from MP2/aVTZ and scaled B3LYP/aVTZ predictions agree well and can be regarded as interchangeable within our analysis.

Comparison of predicted and experimental spectra allows for some straightforward assignments, which were used in the “harm + obs” method (see Section 3.1 in this document and the Section S3 of the ESI\(^\dagger\)). Only two bands show a distinct anharmonic red-shift, consistent with their \(\tau_3\) (OH) torsional character which is also revealed by the depolarization behavior.

### 4.3 Experimental conformer interconversion enthalpy

After having established the basic spectral signatures and relative abundances of the two Gg and Tt conformers, their ratio can be systematically modified by heating the nozzle and PTFE feeding tube. The idea is to increase the population of the less stable Tt conformer to deduce constraints on its energy difference to Gg. These constraints depend on the degree of conformational relaxation in the supersonic expansion.

In 1982, Felder and Günthard proposed that conformer ratios in supersonic expansions are essentially frozen in at the pre-expansion temperature and formulated that ‘‘conformational cooling in supersonic expansions seems to be an exception rather than a rule.’’\(^{41}\) This ‘‘instant-freezing’’ assumption seemed to...
uphold even for small molecular species with only a single internal-rotation degree of freedom governing in the interconversion. Similarly, Ruoff et al. suggested in 1990 that conformational relaxation plays a considerable role only for interconversion barriers below 400 cm$^{-1}$ (about 4.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$). On the other hand, previous Raman-jet studies have found conformational temperatures as low as 100 K for alkane-folding barriers on the order of 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (ref. 3) and ca. 50 K for the downstream conformational interconversion of ethanol in pure He expansions which profits from hydrogen tunneling through the barriers.

We can thus expect some conformational cooling for TFP in the supersonic expansion, in particular for the g–t path, which is analogous to ethanol. According to the conformational propensity predictions in Fig. 7, full relaxation down to 50–100 K is inconsistent with the observed quantities of the Tt conformer.

The instant-freezing model effectively keeps the conformational temperature $T_{conf}$ fixed at the nozzle temperature $T_n$ throughout the entire jet expansion, and the corresponding Tt/Gg$'$ conformer ratio remains unaltered. For simplicity, we assume that the rotational and vibrational energy levels are spaced equally for all conformers so that all entropic contributions to the interconversion free enthalpy vanish under jet-cooled conditions.

The spectroscopic Tt/Gg$'$ band intensity ratio $F$ is then given by

$$F = C \cdot S = \frac{\sigma_{Tt}}{\sigma_{Gg'}} \cdot \frac{N(Tt)}{N(Gg')} = \frac{\sigma_{Tt}}{\sigma_{Gg'}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta H^\circ}{RT_n}\right),$$

(3)

where $C$ represents the $\sigma'$ ratios from quantum-chemical calculations and $S$ is the Tt/Gg$'$ population ratio including a degeneracy factor of 2 for the chiral Gg$'$ conformation. By taking the logarithm,

$$\ln F = \ln(C/2) - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT_n},$$

(4)

$\Delta H^\circ$ can be estimated from linear fits to ln $F/C$ vs. $1/T_n$ plots forced to meet the theoretical $C$ value (indicated by a superscript “fix”).

---

**Fig. 6** Top: polarization-affected Raman spectra in the OH torsion region: $\perp_{1}^\perp$, $\parallel_{1}$ and $\perp_{7/6}^\perp$ spectra at $\theta_n = 150$ °C, $d = 1$ mm. Bottom, upper panel: scaled B3LYP/aVTZ calculations with an approximate Tt/Gg$'$ conformer ratio of 0.20 in a simulated depolarization experiment; lower panel: unscaled, polarization-independent LMP2/aVTZ predictions using the isotropic ($\perp$) B3LYP intensities (because LMP2 intensities were unavailable and to illustrate the close match between LMP2 and scaled B3LYP band positions). The bands corresponding to approximate $\tau_2$ torsional motion are labeled in the theoretical results.

**Fig. 7** Cumulative Boltzmann conformer populations between 0 and 500 K based on CCSD(T)-F12a/VTZ-F12 relative energies and B3LYP/aVTZ ZPEs (see Table 1). The dashed vertical lines indicate the experimental nozzle temperatures of $\theta_n = 25, 80, 150$ °C.
The results are displayed in Table 4 and Fig. 8; note that for EtOH, the energy sequence of g and t is reversed. The CH value appears too high and the slope in Fig. 8 visibly deviates from the trend in the data points. We attribute this to stretch-bend Fermi resonance intensity distortions and consider the corresponding fixed value for $\Delta H^c$ unreliable. Indeed, the transitions are below twice the corresponding CH bending fundamentals observed at 1478 and 1497 cm$^{-1}$ for Gg' and Tt, respectively (not shown). They may thus have partial bending character and harmonic stretching intensity estimates are obsolete. If we also relax the ordinate intercept in the regression, the resulting $C_{\text{fit}}$ values are still in moderate agreement with the predictions, and the corresponding $\Delta H^c_{\text{fit}}$ values – although more affected by statistical errors – do not differ significantly from before. Again, the exception is CH, where the difference amounts to a factor of two. This supports the assumption of unreliable harmonic intensities in this Fermi resonance affected case. The enthalpies from the other fits can be assumed to resemble upper-bound estimates to the true value, also because they assume negligible relaxation. Estimated entropy contributions between 300 and 450 K based on computed partition functions lead to a correction of the linear intercepts in the three TFP panels of Fig. 8 by +0.1 for the rotational contribution and roughly +0.4 for the vibrational contribution in the completely frozen model. In both cases, the Tt conformation is slightly favored by entropy, although the harmonic vibrational partition function estimate is very uncertain at these temperatures due to the large amplitude low frequency vibrations. Any partial relaxation of the Tt conformation will reduce this entropy effect, but as a truly worst case estimate, we add a column $\Delta H^c_{\text{high}}$ to Table 4 which contains the effect of this

Table 4

| TFP   | $\Delta H^d$  | $C^d$  | $\Delta H^c_{\text{high}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{fit}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{low}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{t2}}$ | $C^t$ |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| O–H   | 1.9(1)        | 2.16(3)| 3.4(1)                     | 2.4(10)                  | 2.6(9)                   | 0.57(3)                | 2.3   |
| C1–H  | [2.7(1)]      | [1.06(2)] | [4.1(2)]      | 1.37(8)                  | 0.68(2)                   | 0.79(3)                | 1.3   |
| C2–C3 | 1.44(5)       | 0.726(4)| 2.9(1)                     | 1.5(5)                   | 0.7(1)                   | 0.43(1)                | 1.3   |

EtOH O–H

| d (mm) | $\Delta H^d$  | $C^d$  | $\Delta H^c_{\text{high}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{fit}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{low}}$ | $\Delta H^c_{\text{t2}}$ | $C^t$ |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 1 mm  | 1.58(2)       | 1/1.5(1) |                             |                          |                          |                        |       |
| 3 mm  | 2.37(10)      |        |                             |                          |                          |                        |       |
| 6 mm  | 3.16(5)       |        |                             |                          |                          |                        |       |

Lit. 43

0.47

Fig. 8

Logarithmic linear regressions of band intensity ratios $F$ for TFP OH, C1–H and C2–C3 stretching band systems. Also given is a benchmark study on the OH stretching bands of ethanol where the conversion is more facile and the value of $C$ is well-established; here, hollow symbols are fixed at $T_n$ and solid symbols are scaled in $1/T$ to reproduce the accurately known energy difference $\Delta H^c = 0.473$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (ref. 43) at each nozzle distance. All fits were fixed at the origin based on calculated $C$ ratios (indicated by hollow diamonds). EtOH colors only for clarity.
intercept increment on the slope of the van’t Hoff plot. One can see that the agreement with the fitted values $\Delta H_{\text{f},\text{exp}}$ stays similar for OH, becomes worse for CC and is very unreasonable for CH, underscoring the Fermi resonance problem for this particular mode when computed harmonic CH scattering cross sections are used. Considering further that the OH stretching region suffers least from band overlap from other conformations, this may provide the most reliable upper bound.

The corresponding ethanol measurements clearly demonstrate a significant cooling of the OH torsion coordinate because they propose far too large values of $\Delta H_{\text{f},\text{exp}}$ (Table 4, bottom part). As a first step beyond the drastic instant-freezing assumption, we scale the 1/T values of EtOH at each nozzle distance $d$ to reproduce the literature value for $\Delta H_{\text{f}}$. Conformational cooling in TFP will be less efficient than in EtOH because it involves backbone isomerization. By applying the EtOH scaling factors to our TFP $\Delta H_{\text{f},\text{exp}}$ values, we obtain safe lower bounds to the interconversion enthalpy, $\Delta H_{\text{f},\text{low}}$ (Table 4).

The drawback of this indiscriminate scaling approach is that it effectively assumes a uniform relaxation for the $t_1$ and $t_2$ degrees of freedom, which appears unrealistic in light of the barriers predicted in Section 3.3. Furthermore, it is a two-state model, whereas at least one or two other conformers come into play at higher nozzle temperatures (cf. Fig. 7). We thus introduce a third model in which the sample mixture is again pre-equilibrated at the nozzle temperature $T_N$. The less stable and spectrally distinct T-type ($T_g$) and G-type ($G_t$, $G_g$) conformers then undergo exclusive $t_2$ relaxation by a certain fraction $k$ – assumed to be uniform for all conformers – and pool in the $T_t$ and $G_g$ minima, respectively. The relaxed $T_t$/$G_g$ ratio $S$ is thus given by

$$S = \frac{T_t^0 + kG_t^0}{G_g^0 + k(G_t^0 + G_g^0)}$$

(5)

where the “0” superscript refers to the initial Boltzmann populations at the nozzle temperature $T_N$. Again, the ethanol data provide a helpful background for this model, since the reduction to the two distinguishable trans (“t”) and gauche (“g”) conformers yields

$$S = \frac{(1 - k)g^0}{t^0 + kg^0} = \frac{(1 - k)S^0}{1 + kS^0}.$$  

(6)

and the relaxation efficiency factor $k$ can be calculated from the spectroscopic data. For $d = 1$ mm, $k = 0.12$–0.17 for the employed nozzle temperatures. Applying to TFP the same $k$ values for each nozzle temperature, we can use the model to fit the $C$ intensity ratio and $T_t$ energy to our experimental data while holding the remaining conformers fixed at their predicted ZPVE-corrected CCSD(T)–F12a energies (Table 1). We then obtain $\Delta H_{\text{f},t_2}$ values intermediate to those of the instant-freezing and scaling models, but closer to the upper bound (see Table 4). The $C_{t_2}$ values returned by these fits are approximately 2.0, 0.6 and 0.6 for OH, CH and CC, respectively. This is again in moderate agreement with the calculations (Table 4), with the CH result being somewhat too low. Besides the Fermi resonance discussed above, we suspect spectral overlap of the $G_g$ and other conformers to be a possible reason for this, which might also explain a slight asymmetry of the $G_g$ band in Fig. 5. Still, the predictions from the $t_2$ model are within reasonable bounds.

Wrapping up these 13 partially bounded estimates for the $T_t$–$G_g$ energy difference, the energy gap should lie in the 0.5–3.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$ range, and more likely between 1.3 and 2.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$. A value of $1.8(5)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ is thus a reasonable best experimental estimate, although one has to keep in mind the imperfection of all employed methods to reproduce the complex partial conformational relaxation in the first 1–2 μs of the expansion. Agreement with the best theoretical estimate of $1.6(7)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ is very satisfactory and a combined best estimate of $1.7(5)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ appears justified in view of the entanglement of different theoretical and experimental inputs for both values.

5 Summary

Working out the quantitative conformational landscape of an aliphatic chain molecule such as trifluoroopropanol requires a combined experimental and theoretical effort. As shown in Fig. 3, the key players are an internally hydrogen-bonded $G_g^\prime$ conformation and an energetically somewhat higher and more polar stretched $T_t$ conformation. Their interconversion involves a facile and tunneling-assisted OH rotation ($g/t$) followed or preceded by a less facile backbone ($G_t/G$) torsion, whereas a concerted rearrangement is energetically unfavorable. Building on the related case of ethanol, the OH reorientation is demonstrated to occur to some extent already 1 mm downstream a supersonic nozzle. However, $T_t$ signals persist in the Raman jet spectra despite a distinct energy penalty of about $1.7(5)$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ relative to the global $G_g^\prime$ minimum, which suggests that backbone torsion is at least partially frozen in the expansion.

We have modeled the observed spectral $T_t$/$G_g^\prime$ distributions in the jet expansion using three approaches, assuming indiscriminate relaxation of both relevant torsional coordinates, their instantaneous freezing, and exclusive partial relaxation of the more facile OH torsion. Based on these models, one may favor the lower-end, upper-end, or intermediate predictions for the conformational energy gap, respectively. Spectral evidence has been found for at least one further conformer ($T_g$) being still populated close to the nozzle, corroborated by theoretical energy predictions and underscoring the limits of the instant-freezing model. Further experimental uncertainties arise from the need to include some theoretically calculated cross-sections in the modeling, and from the smallness of the observed relaxation effect. Some degree of backbone interconversion, as demonstrated in alkanes using the same experimental setup, cannot be ruled out. We therefore prefer not to narrow down the best estimate for the $T_t$–$G_g^\prime$ energy difference beyond stating that it is a factor of two smaller than previously estimated, with an error bar that is also reduced by a factor of 2.

On the theoretical side, we provide support for a correct description of the energetics at explicitly correlated CCSD(T) levels, with small but significant deviations for local CCSD(T) approaches due to an approximate treatment of the intramolecular hydrogen bridge.
Based on our spectra, there is little evidence for significant anharmonic corrections to the zero-point vibrational energy difference between the dominant conformations. Fig. 7 shows our best estimate for the conformational partitioning of TFP as a function of temperature, underscoring that a two-state model only persists at rather low temperatures, soon extending into the OH torsionally excited states which serve as intermediates in the Tt \rightarrow G^+ interconversion.

Overall, it is rewarding to see a balanced error situation between theory and experiment for such a subtle folding equilibrium. More accurate experimental values would probably require either the introduction of an aromatic chromophore or the restriction to OH torsional isomerism. More accurate theoretical values would demand for a smaller system, where anharmonic zero-point energy can be treated more rigorously. What makes the trifluoropropanol system dynamically interesting is the close energy match of two entirely different conformations which are separated from each other by two consecutive barriers and thus fairly stable with respect to collisional interconversion. One could conceivable a vibrational above-barrier excitation scheme which switches between these two conformations of different polarity and dipole orientation, making it interesting for electric field deflection experiments. In any case, a microwave reinvestigation of the two or even three lowest-energy conformations of TFP appears rewarding.
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