ISSR Markers-Trait Associations and Stability Analysis in Bread Wheat Varieties
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ABSTRACT The genetic variability and yield stability are complex traits with large environmental components that are utilized for breeding of improved plant performance under drought conditions. In order to better understand the relationship among genotype, trait components, and environment, marker-trait associations in 20 wheat varieties using phenotypic and ISSR (Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat) markers under drought conditions were examined. The combined analysis of variance results showed that the tested traits were significantly influenced by years, irrigation treatments, and the varieties. The drought stress conditions resulted in a substantial reduction in grain yield by 33.70%. The varieties \textit{viz.}, Shakha-93, Sahel-1, Giza-160, Giza-168, Sids-1, Sids-4 and Nilen were relatively drought resistant (DSI, Drought Susceptibility Index values < 1) and gave high grain yield when compared with the average of all varieties under drought stress. The stability analysis revealed that the cultivar named ‘Sids-1’ showed high and stable yield (\(b_1 = 1\) and \(S^2_{di} = 0\)), so it could be selected to be grown under drought stress conditions. On the other hand, Shakha-92 and Bacanora-88 showed below-average stability (\(b_1 = 1.35 \) & \(1.42\)), indicating that these genotypes perform well under normal conditions. The percent of polymorphism (\%P\) among varieties ranged from 25 to 80% with an average of 52.08%. Polymorphism information content (PIC) values varied from 0.08 to 0.28. Plant height and 1000-kernel weight showed significant regressions (23.83 and 27.54) under normal irrigation conditions, respectively. Moreover, under drought stress conditions, plant height, 1000-kernel weight and no. of spikes/m\(^2\) showed significant regressions of 40.38, 20.95 and 24.95, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Wheat (\textit{Triticum aestivum} L.) is one of the most important crops in Egypt. Increasing grain yield of wheat is the most important national goal to face the continuous increase in food demand of the Egyptian population. In the past decade, there has been marginal increase in the productivity of wheat, particularly under environments relatively favorable for growth and development of wheat (Joshi \textit{et al.} 2007). On the other hand, there is a substantial scope for improvement in the productivity under unfavorable environments that are influenced by a significant presence of abiotic stresses such as drought stress (Aggarwal 1991; Joshi \textit{et al.} 2007).

Genetic improvement for grain yield has been demonstrated under both normal and stress conditions over a period of several decades (Blum 1989). The moisture stress imposed at different plant growth stages e.g., vegetative growth, flowering, grain filling stages and/or all the combined growth stages together resulting in significant reduction in all vegetative, yield and yield components characters (Kassab and El-Zeinty 2005). DSI is a measure of yield stability under drought stress conditions (Ahmad \textit{et al.} 2003). The DSI sometimes has been represented as a measure of genotypic yield potential under drought stress conditions (Brukner and Frohberg 1987). However, DSI does not account for differences in yield potential among genotypes (Clarke \textit{et al.} 1992).

Grain yield stability is one of the most important needs of agriculture. The ideal wheat genotype should be high and stable yielding under wide range of environmental conditions. Various statistical techniques have been developed to...
identify systematic variation in individual genotypic responses. Eberhart and Russell (1966) model has been widely used in studies of adaptability and stability of plant materials. Also, the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) used by Pinthus (1973) measures the proportion of a genotype’s production variation that is attributable to the linear regression as an index of production stability over different environments. According to Crossa et al. (1988), the selection of superior genotypes in a plant-breeding program is based mainly on their yield potential and stable performance over a wide range of environmental conditions.

Understanding the relationship between genotypic and phenotypic variation lies at the heart of the study of genetics and is also critically important to applications in plant breeding. Genetic diversity among crop plants is desirable for improving plant population. Determination of genetic diversity for various crop plants is one of the important tools in any plant breeding program because if more diversity occurs in crop species, chances of selection efficiency will be increase. Morphological, physiological and cytogenetic plant characters are used at present as selection criteria but they are not stable and affected greatly by the environmental conditions. However, the selection based on molecular markers is more stable than the above mentioned characters. Crop physiology and genomics have led to new insights in drought tolerance studies by providing the breeders with new knowledge and tools for plant improvement (Tuberosa and Salvi 2006). Looking for the coincidence of loci for specific traits and loci for yield component under drought stress and in stress-free environments, it is possible to test more precisely whether a specific trait of significant importance in improving drought tolerance and yield potential.

Inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSRs) is one of the DNA-based markers that has been widely used in studies of cultivar evolution and molecular ecology (Karaca and Izbriak 2008). The ISSR markers could be efficiently used to evaluate genetic variation in the wheat genotypes (Sofalian et al. 2008; Najaphy et al. 2011). Different molecular markers are currently available for genome mapping and tagging of different traits that is useful for marker assisted breeding techniques of wheat improvement under stress conditions (Ashraf 2010). The objectives of this work are to assess genetic diversity and marker-trait associations in 20 bread wheat varieties using phenotypic and ISSR markers under normal and drought stress conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials**

Twenty bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties (Table 1) were planted at Experimental Farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Egypt, during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 winter seasons.

**Phenotypic evaluation**

Two experiments were conducted in two successive seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The two irrigation treatments were; I$_1$ (normal “N”): Ten irrigations through all growth stages in wheat crop were supplied and I$_2$ (drought stress “D”): four irrigations only were applied at the planting, the tillering, the booting and the heading stages, respectively. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with a split-plot arrangement of treatments, with four replications. The irrigation treatments were allocated to the main plots and wheat varieties to the subplots. Each plot consisted of 15 rows (20 cm spacing) of 3.5 meter length, i.e., 10.5 m$^2$ (1/400 feddan) and then converted to hectare (feddan= 0.42 hectare). Planting date was 20$^{th}$ and 22$^{nd}$ November in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons, respectively. The experimental field soil was sandy-clay in texture. The normal agronomic practices of growing wheat except irrigation were carried out until harvest time.

**Data recorded**

Plant height (cm), number of spikes/m$^2$, spike length (cm) and 1000-kernel weight (g, grams) were measured. Grain and biological yields (ton/hectares) were determined per plot and then converted to yield per hectare. Thus, harvest index (grain yield / total biological yield) was determined.

**Statistical analysis**

The combined analysis of the two season’s data was
Table 1. Pedigree and the origin of 20 bread wheat cultivars.

| Cultivar     | Pedigree                                      | Origin     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
| Debeira      | CNO67/SN64//KLRE3/8156PK-3418-65-05-05         | Sudan      |
| Sakha-8      | CNO67/SN64//KLRE3/8156PK-3418-65-05-05         | Egypt      |
| Shakha-69    | Inia/RL4220/7C/Yr“S”CM15430-25-65-05-05        | Egypt      |
| Shakha-92    | Napo63/Inia66/Werm“S”S.1551-15-15-15-05        | Egypt      |
| Shakha-93    | Sakha92/TR810328 s 8871-15-25-15-05            | Egypt      |
| Sahel-1      | N.S.732/Plm/veery“S” D735-4Sd-1Sd-OsD          | Egypt      |
| Sonora-64    | YT 54/N10B//2*Y54=somoeng2                     | Mexico     |
| Giza-160     | Chenab/Giza 155                               | Egypt      |
| Giza-164     | KVZ/Buha“S”//k a1/Bb                           | Egypt      |
| Giza-165     | DMC no/Mfd//Mon“S”CM43339-C-1Y-1M-24- 1M-24-OB | Egypt      |
| Giza-168     | MIL/Buc//Seri CM93046-8M-O4-OM-2Y-OB           | Egypt      |
| Sids-1       | HD2172/Pavon“S”//1158/Maya74“S”                | Egypt      |
| Sids-4       | Maya“S”//Mon “S”/CMH74.A592/3/Giza157-2       | Egypt      |
| Gemmeza-7    | CMH74A.630/5x//Seri82/3/Agent CGM4611-2GM-3GM-1GM-OGM | Egypt |
| Gemmeza-9    | Aid“S”//Hauc “S”//CMH74.A.630/5x CGH483-5GM-1GM-OGM | Egypt |
| Gemmeza-10   | --                                            | Egypt      |
| Canada-515   | --                                            | Canada     |
| Canada-462   | --                                            | Canada     |
| Bacanora-88  | CM67458-4Y-1M-3Y-1M-5Y-O8-O                   | Egypt      |
| Nilen        | --                                            | Sudan      |

conducted according to Gomez and Gomez (1994). The means of varieties were compared using the Revised Least Significant Difference (RLSD) method at 5% and 1% of probability. Statistical analysis was performed using “MSTATC” microcomputer program (MSTATC 1990).

Stability analysis of grain yield of the tested genotypes was done for the four environmental conditions (2-irrigation treatments and 2-years). Stability was defined as a function of slope and deviation from the regression of cultivar yield on an environmental index. Yield stability and stability parameters were analyzed similar to that suggested by Eberhart and Russell (1966). Coefficient of Variance (CV%) was estimated according to Francis and Kannenbert (1978). The coefficient of determination (r²) was proposed to use by Pinthus (1973). Relative Yield Reduction (RyR%) = (1- yield under drought / yield under normal)*100. DSI was calculated according to the method of Fischer and Maurer (1978).

Genomic DNA extraction and PCR assays

Fresh young leaves from wheat plantlets were harvested and immediately ground in extraction buffer using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol as described by Poresbski et al. (1997). A total of 20 varied ISSR primers (Metabion International AG, Germany), were scanned across genotypes. Genomic DNA amplification was carried out in a DNA Thermal Cycler (Primus 25, Germany) according to the methods described by Williams (1990). The ISSRs assays were performed in a 25 µl volume containing 12.5 µl of Go Taq® Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, USA), 3.5 µl of primer 8 pmol, 7 µl of free nuclease water and 2 µl of 100 ng genomic DNA templates. PCR amplification was programmed for conditions with preliminary initial denaturation cycle at 94°C for five minutes. The following 35 cycles were composed of: denaturation step at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing step for 1 min at 50 to 60°C (annealing step, optimized for each primer), and elongation step at 72°C for 2 min 30 sec. The
final cycle of polymerization was performed at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were separated on a 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The amplified fragments were visualized and photographed using UVP Bio Doc-It imaging system (USA).

**ISSR markers analysis**

The DNA banding patterns generated by ISSR markers were analyzed by Gene Profiler software (version 4.03). The presence (1) or absence (0) of each band was recorded for each line for all the tested primers. To measure the informativeness of the ISSR markers in differentiating among 20 wheat varieties, polymorphism information content (PIC) was calculated according to the formula of Ghislain *et al.* (1999) as PIC= 1- [(p)^2 + (q)^2] where p is the frequency of allele band present and q is frequency of allele band absent across wheat varieties. The marker index (MI) was also calculated for each ISSRs primer as MI = PIC x η β, where PIC is the mean PIC value, η the number of bands, and β is the proportion of polymorphism (Powell *et al.* 1996). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using the 1–0 data. The association analysis was conducted using simple linear regression. Data on individual phenotypic trait were regressed on whole 1–0 binary marker data for each individual marker using MS Excel program. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was calculated as \( R^2 = 1 - \frac{(SSE)}{(SST)} \), where SSE and SST are the sum of squares of error and the total sum of squares, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Phenotypic evaluation**

**Mean performance and variation**

The combined analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed highly significant differences between genotypes, irrigation treatments, and years for all the studied traits except harvest index was unaffected by years. Furthermore, mean squares due to irrigation x varieties (I x V) interaction were highly significant for all studied traits.

Results in Table 3 showed that plant height, grain yield and yield components traits were significantly affected by drought stress in both growing seasons. Local cultivar ‘Giza-168’ exhibited the tallest plants (105.00 and 95.53 cm) while the shortest plants were for the cultivar ‘Sakha-93’ (86.95 and 72.23 cm) under normal irrigation and drought stress respectively. The variety Sids-4 had the longest spikes (14.74 and 12.16 cm) and the highest 1000-kernel weight (44.39 and 34.98 g) while the highest value for number of spikes/m² was for the cultivar ‘Sids-1’ (369.25 and 307.50/m²) under normal and drought stress respectively. Also, the cultivar *viz.* Nilen gave the highest harvest index (35.18%) under normal treatment and the cultivar Sakha-93 gave the highest harvest index (28.02%) under drought stress. The grain yield differed among wheat varieties (Table 3). Cultivars Sids-1 and Nilen produced the highest grain yield (5.26 and 3.66 ton/hect.) and (5.30 and 3.59 ton/hect.) under normal irrigation and drought stress respectively. While, local cultivar ‘Gemmeza-9’ produced the lowest grain yield under normal conditions (4.01

| SV               | Plant height | Number of spikes | Spike length | 1000-kernel weight | Grain yield | Harvest index |
|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Year (Y)         | 0.00         | 0.00             | 0.00         | 0.00              | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.27          |
| Irrigations (I)  | 0.00         | 0.00             | 0.00         | 0.00              | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.00          |
| Y × I            | 0.00         | 0.28             | 0.45         | 0.64              | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.42          |
| Varieties (V)    | 0.00         | 0.00             | 0.00         | 0.00              | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.00          |
| Y × V            | 1.00         | 0.42             | 1.00         | 1.00              | 1.00        | 1.00          | 0.70          |
| I × V            | 0.00         | 0.00             | 0.00         | 0.00              | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.00          |
| Y × I × V        | 1.00         | 0.76             | 1.00         | 1.00              | 1.00        | 1.00          | 0.83          |
| CV%              | 5.13         | 6.62             | 5.22         | 7.16              | 10.65       | 13.64         |

Table 2. Analysis of variance “p” values for selected sources of variation for characters measured on 20 bread wheat genotypes with two irrigation treatments for 2-years.
Table 3. Mean performance of 20 wheat varieties under normal (N) and drought stress (D) for studied characters over two years.

| Characters          | Treatment | N         | D         | N       | D       | N       | D       | N       | D       | N       | D       |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                     | Plant height (cm) | 93.45 | 83.18 | 353.00 | 285.88 | 11.18 | 10.48 | 37.03 | 25.91 | 4.42 | 2.86 | 26.83 | 23.47 |
|                     | Number of spikes/m² | 99.40 | 87.68 | 319.00 | 258.25 | 11.48 | 10.79 | 39.45 | 30.31 | 4.51 | 3.90 | 29.08 | 18.94 |
|                     | Spike length (cm) | 98.70 | 89.53 | 344.38 | 275.00 | 12.04 | 11.06 | 39.26 | 27.49 | 4.80 | 3.04 | 27.96 | 17.94 |
|                     | 1000-kernel weight (g) | 91.05 | 81.23 | 374.38 | 300.75 | 11.56 | 10.98 | 35.88 | 27.46 | 4.69 | 2.88 | 32.42 | 24.23 |
|                     | Grain yield (ton/hec.) | 86.95 | 72.23 | 288.38 | 234.25 | 11.51 | 11.06 | 39.56 | 31.73 | 4.84 | 3.25 | 28.89 | 28.02 |
|                     | Harvest index (%) | 103.20 | 93.58 | 333.50 | 279.38 | 11.66 | 11.26 | 39.61 | 30.23 | 5.03 | 3.45 | 29.47 | 24.78 |
|                     | Sonora 64 | 100.45 | 91.83 | 298.00 | 248.88 | 11.31 | 10.90 | 40.93 | 33.00 | 5.03 | 3.30 | 33.88 | 24.51 |
|                     | Giza 160 | 101.70 | 90.23 | 348.50 | 294.88 | 11.56 | 11.03 | 41.46 | 31.89 | 5.15 | 3.36 | 34.17 | 26.46 |
|                     | Giza 164 | 100.10 | 92.63 | 360.25 | 295.13 | 12.34 | 12.24 | 42.55 | 31.31 | 5.06 | 3.45 | 27.65 | 22.49 |
|                     | Giza 165 | 98.95 | 89.08 | 353.63 | 284.88 | 11.65 | 11.18 | 39.81 | 29.71 | 4.64 | 2.99 | 27.65 | 22.49 |
|                     | Giza 168 | 105.00 | 95.53 | 321.00 | 242.00 | 11.91 | 11.38 | 42.55 | 31.31 | 5.06 | 3.38 | 31.18 | 25.30 |
|                     | Sids 1 | 102.40 | 92.63 | 369.25 | 307.50 | 12.33 | 11.33 | 40.64 | 33.08 | 5.26 | 3.66 | 31.41 | 25.76 |
|                     | Sids 4 | 96.10 | 87.98 | 221.00 | 202.63 | 14.74 | 12.16 | 44.39 | 34.98 | 4.33 | 3.25 | 35.17 | 24.48 |
|                     | Gammeza 7 | 99.35 | 87.98 | 351.50 | 245.75 | 12.31 | 11.21 | 41.71 | 29.80 | 4.42 | 2.94 | 26.61 | 21.73 |
|                     | Gammeza 9 | 101.25 | 87.38 | 322.25 | 232.75 | 11.43 | 10.48 | 41.03 | 31.21 | 4.01 | 2.64 | 27.09 | 18.50 |
|                     | Gammeza 10 | 95.75 | 85.98 | 327.25 | 291.00 | 11.54 | 10.90 | 39.80 | 31.29 | 4.10 | 3.19 | 31.08 | 22.22 |
|                     | Canada 515 | 105.40 | 88.63 | 371.88 | 263.00 | 11.95 | 11.45 | 38.53 | 31.08 | 4.29 | 3.01 | 31.80 | 18.79 |
|                     | Canada 462 | 103.50 | 90.28 | 336.00 | 249.50 | 12.21 | 12.21 | 42.16 | 31.61 | 4.94 | 2.96 | 29.17 | 20.03 |
|                     | Bacanora 88 | 94.25 | 85.23 | 265.88 | 217.00 | 11.29 | 10.41 | 38.88 | 29.84 | 4.38 | 2.58 | 30.36 | 16.25 |
|                     | Nilen | 105.65 | 94.48 | 341.75 | 296.50 | 11.66 | 10.93 | 43.46 | 34.18 | 5.30 | 3.59 | 35.18 | 25.40 |
|                     | mean | 99.13 | 88.36 | 330.04 | 265.24 | 11.89 | 11.07 | 40.29 | 30.71 | 4.70 | 3.11 | 30.49 | 22.50 |
|                     | RLSD | 0.05 | 1.29 | 9.58 | 0.17 | 1.56 | 0.25 | 3.14 |
|                     |     | 0.01 | 1.67 | 12.38 | 0.23 | 2.01 | 0.32 | 4.07 |

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

ton/hectare), and the cultivar ‘Bacanora-88’ produced the lowest yield under drought stress conditions (2.58 ton/hectare) in the two years.

Regarding the interaction between irrigation treatments and wheat varieties on grain yield, drought stress conditions resulted in a substantial reduction in grain yield by 33.70%, while the varieties under normal irrigation conditions performed well for grain yield (Table 5). The lowest percent of the reduction in grain yield were 22.27, 25.02, 29.40 and 29.78% (average of the two seasons) for the cultivars viz. Gammeza-10, Sids-4, Giza-164 and Canada-515, respectively.

The cultivar ‘Nilen’ produced the highest grain yield by 5.30 ton/hectare when compared with the other varieties under the normal irrigation conditions, mean while the cultivar, ‘Sids-1’ gave the highest yield by 3.66 ton/hectare under drought stress conditions.

Drought susceptibility index and stability analysis

The combined analysis of variance (Table 4) revealed highly significant differences among environments (E), genotypes (G), and genotype by environment (G×E) interaction for all the studied traits except for harvest index that was not significant.

The stability parameters $b_i$, $S^2_d$, and $r^2$ were used as selection criteria of the stability in grain yield associated with high mean (Table 5). In the analysis of environments (2-years × 2-irrigation treatments), the cultivar ‘Sids-1’ was stable over all the tested environments ($b_i=1$ and $S^2_d=...
0) with high coefficient of determination ($r^2$) and gave high mean yields by 4.46 ton/hectare. The cultivars Sakha-93, Sahel-1, Giza-168, Canada-462 and Nilen gave high mean yields by 4.04, 4.24, 4.32, 3.95 and 4.44 ton/hectare, respectively compared with the grand mean (3.91 ton/hec.). Also, they gave high $r^2$, insignificant $b_i$ and $S^2_{di}$. On the other hand, the cultivars ‘Shakha-92’ and ‘Bacanora-88’ showed below-average stability ($b_i = 1.35 & 1.42$),

### Table 4. Mean sum of squares of combined analysis of variance for studied traits.

| SV              | df | Plant height | Number of spikes/m² | Spike length | 1000-kernel weight | Grain yield | Harvest index |
|-----------------|----|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Environment (E) | 3  | 748.45**     | 28476.50**           | 5.06**       | 6.21**             | 2.97**      | 426.11**      |
| Rep/E           | 12 | 6.37         | 48.66                | 0.12         | 0.03               | 0.27        | 11.66         |
| Genotype (G)    | 19 | 99.34**      | 4228.88**            | 1.25**       | 0.17**             | 0.73**      | 25.31**       |
| E × G           | 57 | 104.26**     | 171.37**             | 0.77**       | 0.72**             | 0.40**      | 4.31          |
| Error           | 228| 1.11         | 60.57                | 0.02         | 0.03               | 0.04        | 6.52          |

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively. SV, source of variation; df, degrees of freedom.

### Table 5. Mean, stability parameters, relative yield reduction (RYR) and drought susceptibility index (DSI) for grain yield (ton/hect) of the 20 bread wheat varieties over four environments.

| Varieties     | $\bar{X}$ Normal | $\bar{X}$ Drought | RYR%   | DSI | Mean of all | $b_i$ | ±S.E. | $S^2_{di}$ | $r^2$ % |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|-----|-------------|------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Debeira       | 4.42             | 2.86               | 35.23  | 1.02| 3.64        | 0.99 | ±0.01 | 0.00      | 42     |
| Sakha-8       | 4.51             | 2.90               | 35.70  | 1.03| 3.70        | 1.03 | ±0.01 | 0.00      | 88     |
| Shakha-69     | 4.80             | 3.04               | 36.51  | 1.04| 3.92        | 1.12*| ±0.01 | 0.00      | 99     |
| Shakha-92     | 4.69             | 2.88               | 38.61  | 1.11| 3.78        | 1.35*| ±0.02 | 0.00      | 98     |
| Shakha-93     | 4.84             | 3.25               | 32.88  | 0.93| 4.04        | 1.02 | ±0.01 | 0.04      | 93     |
| Sahel-1       | 5.03             | 3.45               | 31.37  | 0.88| 4.24        | 1.01 | ±0.01 | 0.00      | 90     |
| Sonora-64     | 4.72             | 2.86               | 39.43  | 1.14| 3.79        | 1.17*| ±0.02 | 0.01      | 97     |
| Giza-160      | 5.15             | 3.36               | 34.81  | 0.97| 4.25        | 1.15*| ±0.01 | 0.08      | 99     |
| Giza-164      | 5.03             | 3.30               | 34.55  | 1.00| 4.17        | 1.09*| ±0.01 | 0.02      | 95     |
| Giza-165      | 4.64             | 2.99               | 35.73  | 1.03| 3.82        | 1.05 | ±0.00 | 0.00      | 99     |
| Giza-168      | 5.06             | 3.58               | 29.40  | 0.82| 4.32        | 0.95 | ±0.02 | 0.00      | 93     |
| Sids-1        | 5.26             | 3.66               | 30.46  | 0.86| 4.46        | 1.00 | ±0.00 | 0.00      | 99     |
| Sids-4        | 4.33             | 3.25               | 25.02  | 0.70| 3.79        | 0.71*| ±0.03 | 0.01      | 98     |
| Gemmeza-7     | 4.42             | 2.94               | 33.46  | 0.98| 3.68        | 0.94*| ±0.00 | 0.00      | 100    |
| Gemmeza-9     | 4.01             | 2.64               | 34.11  | 1.04| 3.33        | 0.86*| ±0.00 | 0.00      | 100    |
| Gemmeza-10    | 4.10             | 3.19               | 22.27  | 0.67| 3.65        | 0.57*| ±0.03 | 0.01      | 99     |
| Canada-515    | 4.29             | 3.01               | 29.78  | 0.90| 3.65        | 0.79*| ±0.01 | 0.01      | 100    |
| Canada-462    | 4.94             | 2.96               | 40.11  | 1.15| 3.95        | 1.02 | ±0.02 | 0.00      | 99     |
| Bacanora-88   | 4.38             | 2.58               | 41.15  | 1.24| 3.48        | 1.40*| ±0.04 | 0.04      | 77     |
| Nilen         | 5.30             | 3.59               | 32.32  | 0.91| 4.44        | 1.07 | ±0.01 | 0.00      | 96     |
| Grand mean    | 4.70             | 3.11               | 33.70  | 3.90|             |      |        |           |        |

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
respectively.

Drought susceptibility index values (Table 5) ranged from 0.67 for ‘Gemmeza-10’ to 1.24 for ‘Bacanora-88’. The varieties viz., ‘Sakha-93, Sahel-1, Giza-160, Giza-168, Sids-1, Sids-4 and Nilen’ were relatively drought resistant (DSI values < 1) and produced high grain yield by 3.25, 3.45, 3.34, 3.57, 3.66, 3.25 and 3.59 ton/hec., respectively under drought stress when compared with the average of all the tested varieties. The cultivars viz., ‘Shakha-92, Sonora-64, Canada-462 and Bacanora-88’ were relatively drought susceptible (DSI > 1).

Level of polymorphism based on ISSRs

In this work, nine out of 20 primers revealed different degrees of polymorphism (%P) among 20 bread wheat varieties. Out of 48 amplified products, 25 were scored polymorphic. The %P ranged from 25% (UBC-880) to 80% (UBC-834) with an average of 52.08% (Table 6). The PIC values for the nine ISSR primers were varied from 0.08 to 0.28 (Table 6). The MI values ranged from 0.08 to 1.25 for the primers UBC-880 and UBC-811 respectively.

Table 6. ISSR primers used to detect polymorphism, primer sequences, AB, PB, %P, PIC, and MI for 20 breadwheat varieties.

| Primer | Primer Sequence | AB | PB | %P   | PIC   | MI   |
|--------|-----------------|----|----|------|-------|------|
| UBC-811| (GA)3AC         | 7  | 5  | 71.43| 0.25  | 1.25 |
| UBC-812| (GA)2GG(AG)4AA  | 5  | 2  | 40.00| 0.18  | 0.36 |
| UBC-815| (TC)3A          | 3  | 1  | 33.33| 0.09  | 0.09 |
| UBC-833| (GA)3TT         | 4  | 2  | 50.00| 0.13  | 0.26 |
| UBC-834| (AG)3YT         | 5  | 4  | 80.00| 0.28  | 1.12 |
| UBC-840| (CT)3TT         | 6  | 2  | 33.33| 0.13  | 0.26 |
| UBC-849| (GT)3YA         | 6  | 3  | 50.00| 0.12  | 0.36 |
| UBC-880| (TC)3AA         | 4  | 1  | 25.00| 0.08  | 0.08 |
|        | (GGGTG)3        | 8  | 5  | 62.50| 0.22  | 1.10 |
| Total  |                 | 48 | 25 |      |       |      |
| Means  |                 | 5.33| 2.78| 52.08| 0.16  | 0.54 |

AB, amplified bands; PB, polymorphic bands; %P, percent of polymorphism; PIC, polymorphism information content and MI, marker index.

Table 7. Details of simple linear regression ($R^2$) analysis using 48 amplified bands involving 6 different agronomic traits.

| Marker | Trait              | Conditions | $R^2$ | P-value |
|--------|--------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| UBC-811| Plant height       | N          | 23.83 | 0.03    |
|        |                    | D          | 40.38 | 0.00    |
| UBC-811|                    | N          | 27.54 | 0.02    |
|        |                    | D          | 02.33 | 0.52    |
| UBC-840| 1000-kernel weight | N          | 9.14  | 0.20    |
|        |                    | D          | 20.95 | 0.04    |
| UBC-840| No. of spikes/m²   | N          | 10.61 | 0.16    |
|        |                    | D          | 24.95 | 0.03    |

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
tested traits. Results showed that two markers (UBC-811_775bp and UBC-840_1530bp) were identified for the character viz., 1000-kernel weight trait and two markers UBC-811_775bp and UBC-840_535bp were identified for plant height and No. of spikes/m² traits (Table 7). The associated markers each explained a maximum regression from 20.95 (1000-kernel weight) to 40.38% (plant height) of the total available variation for individual associated traits (Table 7). Under normal irrigation conditions, results in Table 7 showed significant regressions (23.83, \( p=0.03 \)) and (27.54, \( p=0.02 \)) on plant height and 1000-kernel weight traits respectively. On the other hand, under drought stress conditions results showed significant regressions of 40.38, 20.95 and 24.95 for the characters viz., plant height, 1000-kernel weight and No. of spikes/m² traits respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Wheat crop production and improvement under stress conditions is an important aim for plant breeders, who are looking for genetic diversity for stress tolerance and high yield production. Large scale trait evaluations may enhance the utilization of plant genetic resources collections by increasing genetic variability for economically significant traits in wheat breeding program. Using selection based on the tolerance indices that are calculated from the yield under different conditions, we can breed for the genotypes that adapted to a wide range of drought stress conditions. The reduction of grain yield by drought stress could be attributed to grain–filling process that is harmfully affected by drought stress and kernels that is reaching to maturity stage before complete filling (Menshawy 2007). The moisture stress imposed at the different growth stages i.e., vegetative growth stage or flowering stage or grain filling stage and/or all growth stages together reduced significantly all vegetative, yield and yield components characters (Kassab and El-Zeiny 2005). The results of our study showed the significant variations found among the varieties suggesting the importance of the assessment of genotypes performance under different environments in order to identify the best genetic make up for a particular environment.

Drought susceptibility index (DSI) is a measure of yield stability (Ahmad et al. 2003). DSI actually provides a measure of yield stability based on minimization of yield loss under stressed compared to non-stressed conditions rather than on yield level under dry conditions per se (Clarke et al. 1984). Superior varieties for drought tolerance gave the low values of drought susceptibility index and the highest grain yield under drought stress conditions. The varieties ‘Shakha-93, Sahel-1, Giza-160, Giza-168, Sids-1, Sids-4 and Nilen’ were relatively drought tolerant (DSI values < 1) and gave high grain yield by 3.25, 3.45, 3.34, 3.57, 3.66, 3.25 and 3.59 ton/hectare respectively under drought stress when compared with the average of all the tested varieties (Table 5) and therefore these varieties...
reflected good drought tolerance potential. Thus, these varieties can be used as a donor parents in wheat breeding program by crossing with local varieties which having high yield potential, to combine drought tolerance with high yield traits.

The impact of genotype by environment interaction (GxE) on the relative performance and stability of a genotype across environments is so important that it forms challenging difficulty to the breeder in developing superior cultivars broadly adapted as described by Eberhart and Russell (1966). Highly significant differences for environments (E), genotypes (G), and genotype by environment (G×E) interaction were recorded for all the studied traits except harvest Index that was unaffected by G×E interaction. These results are in line with those of Al-Otayk (2010), Bose et al. (2014) and Mohamed and Said (2014).

Singh and Narayanan (1993) reported that if G×E interaction is found to be significant, the stability analysis can be carried out. According to the Eberhart and Russell (1966) model, a stable cultivar is that one with a high mean yield and regression coefficient does not significantly differ than unity (bi=1), and the deviation from regressions is does not significantly differ than zero (S²di=0). The genotypes with bi=1 are considered the most appropriate for farmers, since they respond satisfactorily to environmental conditions, while genotypes with bi>1 are specially adapted to normal environment and genotypes with bi<1 are specially adapted to unfavorable environment (Okuyama et al. 2005). The present results (Table 5) reported that wheat cultivar ‘Sids-1’ was more stable over all the studied environments (bi=1 and S²di = 0), with high r² and surpassed the other varieties in grain yield under drought stress conditions by 3.66 ton/hectare, so it could be selected to be grown under drought stress conditions (in the Egyptian dry lands) (Table 5). On the other hand, the cultivars ‘Shakha-92 and Bacanora-88’ showed below-average stability (bi = 1.35 & 1.42) indicating that these genotypes perform well under normal environment conditions. The grain yields of these cultivars were reduced markedly under stress conditions.

The percent of polymorphism (%P) using ISSR markers in the previous studies was varied. In this regard, Nagaoka and Ogihara (1997) obtained similar %P (53.60%), while Carvalho et al. (2009) documented a very high %P of 98.50%. Also, Emel (2010) reported a %P of 76.07% among 11 triticale cultivars. In contrast, Tok et al. (2011) showed that the highest percent of polymorphic loci was very low (17.59%) among wheat genotypes. The polymorphism information content (PIC) index has been used extensively in many genetic diversity studies (Tatikonda et al. 2009; Thudi et al. 2010). Moreover, the PIC value of markers indicates the usefulness of DNA markers for gene mapping, molecular breeding and germplasm evaluation (Peng and Lapitan 2005). PIC values obtained by Saleh (2012) and Marsafari et al. (2014), ranging from 0.22 to 0.31 using ISSR in date palm, and from 0.05 to 0.27 using AFLP markers in wheat respectively. The moderate values of PIC for the ISSR primers could be attributed to the diverse nature of the wheat accessions and/or highly informative ISSR markers (Najaphy et al. 2011). Markers index values were in agreement with those obtained by Khaled and Hamam (2015), and smaller than those, from 0.41 to 3.36, reported by Najaphy et al. 2011.

Studies using single marker analysis by Roy et al. (2006) showed, the associated markers each explained a maximum of 8.12 and 29.38% for tiller numbers and florets per spike traits in bread wheat genotypes. Our results were supported by the findings of Khaled and Hamam (2015) demonstrating that five ISSRs were regarded as candidate markers linked to some agronomic traits in wheat bread genotypes. Mohammadi et al. (2010) reported that seed number/spike trait was positively related to a 600bp RAPD (RAPD, for Random Amplified Polymorphism DNA) band under drought conditions. Recently, Khaled et al. (2015) indicated that there was highly significant regression (34.95, \( p = 0.006 \)) on grain yield trait in bread wheat under normal conditions. Likely, El-Rawy and Youssef (2014) used sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) markers to evaluate bread wheat genotypes under drought stress. They reported that SRAP molecular markers were able to generate some unique and specific bands for certain genotypes related to drought tolerance. Determining the genetic basis of tolerance involves correlating the incidence of molecular markers with phenotypic scores to predict DNA genomic regions that harbor a factor influencing the plant’s response (Roy et al. 2011). Molecular markers that respond most consistently and to the greatest extent in the target environment
are the prime candidates for marker-assisted selection (MAS). Therefore, ISSR markers identified during the present study need to be subjected to validation and/or functional analysis of respective traits. In addition, we believe that at least one of the markers identified would be validated and used for marker-assisted selection.

CONCLUSION

Genetic stability and diversity are two of the key factors for the improvement of many crop plants. A major challenge for plant breeders is the selection of high yielding genotype with wide adaptation to a wide range of environments. The varieties named ‘Shakha-93, Sahel-1, Giza-160, Giza-168, Sids-1, Sids-4 and Nilen’ were relatively drought resistant. Therefore, these varieties can be used as a donor plants in wheat breeding program by crossing them with local varieties that have high yield potential to combine drought tolerance with high yield traits. The stability analysis revealed that the cultivar ‘Sids-1’ showed high and stable yields, so we recommend to be grown under drought stress condition as a stable high yielding variety suitable for planting at the Egyptian dry lands. We believe that at least one of the ISSR molecular markers identified in this study will be validated and can be used for marker-assisted selection (MAS-Selection) in many wheat breeding program.
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