Constraining Design: Applying the Insights of Cognitive Work Analysis to the Design of Novel In-Car Interfaces to Support Eco-Driving

Abstract
The Design with Intent (DwI) toolkit assists designers in creating novel designs and interfaces. DwI, however, is not constrained to any degree, making it impossible to know whether the produced designs adequately account for users’ needs. In contrast, Cognitive Work Analysis (CWA) is a Human Factors research tool that seeks to map a system and account for users’ needs, yet does not provide clear guidelines for progressing such analysis into workable designs with which users can interact. This paper seeks to present a proof-of-concept investigation to demonstrate that DwI can be suitably constrained and validated by insights gained from CWA. CWA, in turn, benefits by having a suitable toolkit for progressing insights. Two teams of individuals without design backgrounds were able to develop mock-up in-vehicle interfaces aimed at reducing fuel use. The teams were able to use DwI toolkit to articulate the genesis of their ideas, which in turn could be directly linked to system needs identified within CWA.
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1 Introduction
Transport emissions, primarily carbon dioxide (CO₂) and Nitrous Oxides (NOx), are the leading cause of air pollution in Britain [1]. Of this, road vehicles, specifically automobiles, are the biggest contributor, accounting for approximately 75% of the total transport-related greenhouse gas emissions [2]. Vehicle emissions are far from benign, having significant long-term health implications [3]. Exposure to vehicle emissions increases individuals’ risk in developing a variety of respiratory disorders including asthma, bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pneumonia and upper respiratory tract infection [4]. In addition to the considerable negative impact vehicle emissions can have on human health, these emissions also have significant environmental impact, and have been directly linked to anthropogenic climate change and changing global weather patterns [5, 6]. With such significant and universally negative effects, finding ways to reduce the current high levels of vehicle emissions is a defining challenge of the 21st century, one which the automotive sector is keen to address [7, 8].

Whilst it is, at least currently, not possible to completely remove emissions from automotive transportation [9], emissions and the associated volume of fuel used can be significantly reduced as a consequence of driver behaviour change [10, 11]. Previous research has suggested that vehicle emissions could be reduced by 5%-20% [12] with fuel usage reduced by between 5%-10% [13] should drivers engage in more environmentally friendly driving behaviours. As it has been previously argued, “There is little innately special about more environmentally friendly user behaviour: it’s often simply about using a system effectively” [14]. Pursuing interventions to support a shift towards such driving behaviour and encouraging the adoption of more environmentally conscious driving styles is therefore justly warranted.

One approach to support the modification of driver behavior is the design of interfaces that directly offer guidance on potential future actions, and offers feedback on previous behaviors [15]. The design of new interfaces to encourage a greater awareness of resource use is not novel, and has been significantly pursued to reduce both household energy usage [16] and vehicle energy usage whilst driving [17]. Feedback devices can be successful at promoting positive behavioral change as users are, fundamentally, unaware of their energy consumption [18]. Consequently, individuals are unaware that they can, or indeed need, to take action to modify their behavior. Previous research [19] has demonstrated that household energy use can be significantly reduced following targeted interventions and advice that directly accounts for specific user behaviors. This approach has also been documented to be successful within previous work within the automotive sector, primarily those targeting the uptake of eco-driving behaviors [20-22]. These studies have uniformly identified that significant fuel savings are possible following the provision of in-vehicle feedback devices that respond to driver actions.

1.1 Designing Interfaces
The development of interfaces to encourage environmentally conscious behavior can be seen as placing the designer as a controller of human behavior. Whilst this role could be seen as beyond designers remit, the design of objects has always had an irrefutable fundamental influence on subsequent activities [23, 24].
Whether the subject of the design is a desired physical object or an interface designed to direct or modify user behaviors, designers have an explicit role in influencing the decision making process [14]. This approach is perhaps best popularized by Fabricant’s (2009) phrasing that “Designers are in the behaviour business” [25]. The search for novel approaches to design is of growing interest to researchers [26; 27]. One design approach that may be of value in this pursuit is the “Design with Intent” (DwI) toolkit [25]. From a foundation within ecological psychology [28], DwI seeks to combine an understanding of human activities with affordance theory [29] with insights gained from prominent design theorists [30; 31] to offer a flexible approach to novel design. The approach is predicated on the view that behavior can be directed by design [30], with design having an intrinsic role in suggesting and promoting desirable behaviors whilst simultaneously constraining and reducing the potential for undesirable behaviors to occur. DwI acts as a “Suggestion tool” [25], which seeks to inspire designers to develop novel solutions to problems.

The DwI approach is characterized by the use of 101 design cards, divided between 8 key lenses, each of which loosely corresponds to the theme of the cards. Many cards could fit into multiple lenses and the division between such lenses can often been seen as somewhat arbitrary [32]. The key lenses are Architectural, Errorproofing, Interaction, Ludic, Perceptual, Cognitive, Machiavellian, and Security. Table 1 presents a summary of the main themes of each of the lenses, as well as example cards from the toolkit lens. Each DwI card presents a single question designers and developers can ask about their target product, system or interface and a real world example of that question in practice to act as an inspiration to help designers see potential applications of the card. Designers are required to use the information presented on the card to make their own inferences about their products and their end-users needs, with no pre-existing boundaries set in place. A key advantage of the DwI approach is that it is a simple approach which allows non-experts to design new products quickly and efficiently. As an example, whilst design approaches such as Design Sprint [33] take five days to complete, DwI takes a single session to produce usable and innovative designs [34].

Despite the freedom that DwI offers as a design tool, it could be argued that this approach lacks guidance on how to best structure ideas. Indeed, designers are never required to actively consider the fundamental requirements of the system or interface being developed nor consider end users needs, subsequently meaning that it is not possible to validate the generated ideas without significant further testing. To address this shortfall, the researchers considered whether established Human Factors methods aimed at developing and mapping the requirements of systems could be of benefit to users of the DwI toolkit, or act as a way to validate the subsequent produced designs. One such approach, popular within academic literature, is Cognitive Work Analysis (CWA) [35; 36].

| Lens                  | Theme                                      | Example Card          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Architectural Lens    | Draws primarily on ideas within architecture and urban planning, seeking to apply ideas from the built environment. Concerns the structure and layout of items and behavior. | Angles, Pave the Cowpaths |
| Errorproofing Lens    | Considers any behaviour that deviates from a target behaviour as an error and seeks to reduce the likelihood of errors occurring. Seeks to design a system whereby these errors cannot occur. | Are you sure? Matched Affordances |
| Interaction Lens      | Fundamentally about users interaction with the devices or displays. Based on the feedback and feedforward of information between the user and the device being considered. | Kairos, Real-Time Feedback |
| Ludic Lens            | Focus on the potential for gamification of a device. Popularised by the view that playful interactions can encourage the maintenance of behavior | Scores, Storytelling |
| Perceptual Lens       | Seeks to utilise biases in human perceptual system, for example use of heuristics, to target the design and development of objects. | Colour associations, Nakedness |
| Cognitive Lens        | Based on cognitive psychology and an understanding of how individuals make decisions. Seeks to bias individuals to make a desired decision. | Provoke empathy, Commitment and consistency |
| Machiavellian Lens    | Seeks to control the behaviour of individuals, by utilising an “Ends Justify the Means” approach. | Functional obsolescence, I cut, you choose |
| Security Lens         | Seek to prevent undesired behaviour through direct countermeasures. Seeks to directly control behaviour. | Peervailence, Coercive atmospheres |

### 1.2 Cognitive Work Analysis

Originally developed for use in the nuclear power industry [35], CWA is a structured framework for understanding complex socio-technical systems, systems in which people and technology are closely coupled [36]. CWA can act as a key tool when developing and designing innovative systems [37]. Fuel efficient driving is a suitable task for this analysis as drivers must interact with in-built vehicle mechanical systems, other road users, and increasingly, in-vehicle technology, including driver assist technology, and since the release of Tesla...
Model S in 2014, fully automated driving systems. CWA seeks to map the constraints that structure the working system, allowing practitioners to understand what is required of the system as well as what is both possible and not possible within the confines of system operations. By focusing on the constraints that frame a system, the analysis seeks to understand and support user needs for improved efficiency and safety. Drawing upon foundations in ecological psychology, general systems thinking and adaptive control systems [38], CWA has developed into a domain agnostic and highly flexible method that can be used to understand a variety of disciplines and also explore the potential of future system developments. CWA is an ideal method for envisioning revolutionary design as it promotes a focus on the fundamental requirements of the system [39]. Due to related theoretical underpinnings, it is proposed within this paper that the insights gained from CWA can be extended by DwI in order to develop usable interfaces with which users directly interact. By combining the free flow idea generation of DwI with the constraint-based framework of CWA, designers are free to be creative within their designs, provided that the fundamental needs of the system are met. Tools to extend the CWA approach are needed as no typical means of using the outputs of CWA within design processes currently exist [40].

Developing a complete CWA is an extensive and time consuming process, and is largely beyond the scope of the current paper, which is focused upon initial idea generation following use of the DwI toolkit. The complete CWA process comprises of five key phases, Work Domain Analysis (WDA), Control Task Analysis (ConTA), Strategies Analysis (StrA), Social Organization and Cooperation Analysis (SOCA) and Worker Competencies Analysis (WCA) [41, 42]. The key phase of the CWA referenced throughout the current paper is the WDA. The primary focus of the WDA is the development of an Abstraction Hierarchy. The Abstraction Hierarchy aims to map the proposed system on multiple conceptual levels, ranging from its reason for existing to the physical objects that the system is comprised of. Five Conceptual levels are considered when developing an Abstraction Hierarchy. The uppermost level maps the systems “Functional Purpose(s)”, the system’s raison d’etre, or reason(s) to exist. Below this level, the system’s “Values and Priorities” are presented. The “Values and Priorities” level maps metrics for measuring the system’s success, how users and observers can know that their system is achieving the outlined “Functional Purpose(s)”. The central level of the Abstraction Hierarchy is the “Purpose Related Functions”. Within this level are functions linking the system’s activity to the roles offered by each of its constituent components. The fourth level is “Object Related Processes”. Within this level the input of each “Physical Object” within the system is considered in terms of what it contributes to wider system functioning. The final, or foundation level of the Abstraction Hierarchy is the “Physical Objects” level, which documents all of the tangible objects of which the system is comprised. The generated Abstraction Hierarchy can be validated using an exhaustive means-ends analysis, following the why–what-how triad approach [42]. It is possible to nominate any item within the hierarchy and ask the question “what does this do?”. By examining all connections in the layer immediately above the node, it is possible to answer the question “why does it do this?”. When considering all connections in the layer immediately below, it must be possible to answer the question “how does it achieve this?”. This validation process ensures that all connections are suitable. Once completed, the Abstraction Hierarchy actively maps out the system for designers. This stage is considered essential for development as it can be seen as laying the foundation for the system under investigation. The Abstraction Hierarchy identifies the constraints on workers behavior based upon their physical context [43]. Regarding the focus of the current paper, this can be considered in terms of how the wider road environment, including both infrastructure and other road users, and the current vehicle context, including its technological capacities, influence the achievement of greater fuel efficiency.

CWA offers analysts a technology agnostic approach to consider a system, allowing for the consideration of both technology and human agents in the same analysis. This makes it an ideal approach for the consideration of novel technology as well as a tool to consider the constraints for a new interface in a previously established working environment. Despite these benefits, the final outcome of the CWA analysis is not a complete workable design of the envisaged system or interface. It is in this gap that this paper is focused, exploring the use of DwI to progress thinking towards initial mock-up designs, in preparation for further work empirically assessing the impact that such interfaces can have.

1.3 Research Goal

This paper will document the process of combining knowledge gained from a developed CWA documenting fuel-efficient driving with DwI in the design of in-vehicle interfaces. This is applied to two in-vehicle interface development case studies. This paper will focus on the application of knowledge gained from the CWA to act as theoretical underpinning for interfaces developed using the DwI toolkit to examine the extent to which these methods can complement one another.

2 Method

2.1 Participants

To develop the interfaces, two main workshop sessions were held. The first workshop was comprised of two female participants, aged 26 and 39 years ($M = 32.5$), and
one male participant, aged 24 years. All participants possessed a background in Human Factors and driving research, but did not have an understanding of fuel efficiency. The second workshop was comprised of three participants, two male participants, aged 32 and 33 years ($M = 32.5$), and one female participant, aged 31 years. Two of the participants held substantive backgrounds in Human Factors research. The third participant had considerable experience in the development of information displays, primarily for use by rail passengers. All participants were recruited via opportunity sampling and the use of a recruitment mailing list. Two of the three participants in each of workshop held a full UK driving license and had extensive experience driving on the UK road network. Participants were required to provide full informed consent prior to the start of the study. Although these groups are small, especially in line with work suggesting that innovation is positively correlated with group size [44], practicalities of the study and participant availability restricted the use of larger samples. As the focus of the current work is to examine whether CWA and DWI could be integrated, two workshops were deemed preferable to a single case study workshop. Besides, smaller group sizes were advantageous in allowing the research facilitator to better manage the workshops.

2.2 Procedure

The University of Southampton Ethics Committee gave full ethical approval for this study prior to the start of the workshops. Both workshops followed the same structure, however due to differences in participants’ backgrounds, experience and the volume of discussion, timings varied between groups. Participants were initially introduced to the research program, the overall aims of the session, and received a brief introduction on the concept of eco-driving and improving fuel efficiency when driving through the modification of driver behavior. Following this introduction, participants were introduced to a previously completed Cognitive Work Analysis documenting fuel-efficient driving [45]. The previously completed CWA had mapped the potential constraints of the Abstraction Hierarchy [46]. The concept of eco-driving was introduced to the participants using the presented CWA, they were presented with a single scenario. For Workshop 1 this scenario was waiting at traffic lights; for Workshop 2 the scenario considered was overtaking. A single specific scenario was chosen in order to better frame the workshops and make most use of the available time. Participants were asked, using the presented CWA, to design an interface that would help the driver to become more fuel-efficient during the presented scenario. Participants were asked to work through all 101 DwI cards [34] whilst considering the scenario and the CWA [45] to inspire suitable designs. Participants were informed that they were free to use any form of interaction display within their design, including head-down displays (HDDs), head-up displays (HUDs), auditory signals and haptic signals. For the design element of the workshop, participants were presented with A3 sheets of paper, post-it notes and a variety of different colored pens and actively encouraged to think in a creative manner when developing the required interfaces. Participants were asked to exhaustively consider whether each DwI card could, or should, be incorporated into the designed interface. Participants were told that if they could either modify their existing design, or develop a new design incorporating their previous ideas with those generated by the use of further DwI cards. When participants introduced an interface element based upon a DwI card, a member of the research team asked them to discuss why and how this card informed their progressing design. The research team made substantive notes documenting future understanding of the design. A member of the research team was on hand throughout the workshops to answer any questions that arose, to moderate the session and to ensure that each participant was able to contribute ideas to the session. The research facilitator, however, did not attempt to influence the group designs in anyway, and did not impose their opinions on the groups’ designs during the workshops. Following the development of the initial design, the groups were asked to review their designs and ideas to ensure that all members of the group were happy to progress. Approximately 60 minutes was given to the design stage of the session, but participants were not explicitly timed.

The final phase of the workshop focused on the use of the previous presented CWA [45] to review and redesign the developed interface as appropriate. Participants were asked to reflect on all of the previously completed stages of the CWA, and discuss how each of the key elements within their interface was informed by the CWA. Despite this section of the workshop being largely a reflective exercise and a linear discussion process within the groups, it did spark considerable deliberation and discussion, lasting approximately 45 minutes. Participants were free to revisit their design and modify should they feel this was required. Following this stage, participants were offered the opportunity to reflect upon their use of the DwI cards and the overall workshop
experience. Table 2 presents a summary of the different workshop phases and timings for clarity.

Table 2 Workshop Summary

| Phase | Content | Timings | Input | Outcomes |
|-------|---------|---------|-------|----------|
| Introduction and Consent | Researcher outlines the current study, presenting participants with an information sheet and consent form | 5 Minutes | Participants aware of study design and requirements and are able to give informed consent |
| Introduction to fuel efficiency and familiarization with the previously completed CWA | Participants are presented with an overview of fuel efficient driving, the behavioral approaches to fuel usage and familiarized with the completed CWA. | 45 Minutes | Participants knowledge grounded within previously completed works and system operations |
| Scenario presentation and interface design using DwI cards | Participants were presented with a scenario and asked to exhaustively use the DwI cards to design a suitable in-vehicle interface to support drivers in completing the scenario as fuel efficiently as possible. | 60 Minutes | Initial interface(s) developed prior to further refinement |
| Review and redesign of the developed interface using the previously presented CWA | Participants were asked to reflect on their completed interface(s) and discuss how each element was informed by the previously developed CWA. Elements which could not be explained using the previously developed CWA were refined or removed. | 45 Minutes | Final developed interface(s) |
| Reflection | Participants were asked to reflect on their use of CWA, the DwI cards and the workshop experience. | 5 Minutes | Knowledge of participants experience using the methodology |

3 Results and Discussion

Two interface mock-ups were developed from the workshops, following participants’ designs. The interfaces presented here are initial mock-ups and presentation of ideas, and are not currently deployed in vehicles or simulator for testing.

3.1 Workshop 1 – Waiting at Traffic Lights

The interface mock-up designed for the task of “Waiting at Traffic Lights” is presented within Fig 1. This scenario was chosen as it is a point in the drive where the driver is able to review their current performance without becoming distracted from the overall driving task and risking their safety. The interface devised was based on 47 unique DwI cards, across all eight lenses. It should be noted that the interface was designed for future use, as it does account for the potential of interconnected vehicles and infrastructure, a potential explicitly presented within the CWA that participants used to guide their design.

![Fig 1 Designed HDD interface mock-up for the scenario “Waiting at Traffic Lights”](image)

The developed interface contains eight key elements, a countdown traffic light display, a potential to proceed display, a surround vision system, a fuel efficiency feedback display, a minimized satellite navigation display, a route selection display, a fuel gauge and a radio/entertainment display. A summary of each interface element, and the role each element fulfils is are provided in table 3.

Table 3 Summary of interface elements

| Element | Element Name | Element Explanation |
|---------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Count Down Traffic Light Display | Display indicating to drivers the approximate time the traffic lights will remain on their current color, allowing drivers to gauge relative wait time. In the current example, the lights are on red and have approximately a quarter of the time remaining. |
| 2 | Potential To Proceed Display | Display indicating the likeliness a driver is to proceed through the next revolution of lights. |
Each element within the interface was developed using the combined DwI and CWA approach as outlined previously. To provide an illustration of the use of the DwI cards, Table 4 provides a summary of the different DwI cards that inspired the design of the fuel efficiency feedback display. Also included within this table is the use of cards that were seen as generic and an inspiration for the wider display rather than any single element.

### Table 4 DwI cards used to inspire design of the fuel efficiency display within the “Waiting at Traffic Lights” interface

| Lens | Card | Description/ Reasoning |
|------|------|------------------------|
| Architectural | Converging and Diverging | Offer a fuel efficiency score to encourage engagement with the task of becoming fuel-efficient. |
| Architectural | Positioning | Only activate key sections of the display when stationary. |
| Architectural | Segmentation & Spacing | Divide the interface display into individual elements so that individuals can interact with individual elements. |
| Architectural | Simplicity | Use of pictorial representations wherever possible to encourage a simple and accessible display. |
| Error proofing | Defaults | Default the display options to be the most fuel-efficient possible and focus on environmental rather than monetary gains from the system. |
| Error proofing | Portions | Divide the interface into smaller elements and offer users different feedback for different achievements and actions. |

Interaction: Kairos
Switch to a traffic light information display as the vehicle approaches the traffic light.

Interaction: Partial completion
Show users their achievements so far, how much fuel they have saved in the current journey by being fuel-efficient.

Interaction: Progress bar
Digital display/pictorial representation of a plant or pile of coins acts as a progress bar towards overall fuel efficiency goal.

Interaction: Real-time feedback
Digital display/ pictorial representation of a plant or pile of coins acts as real time feedback to fuel usage and potential emissions.

Interaction: Summary feedback
Give information about current performance via pictorial representation.

Interaction: Tailoring
Offer option to change plant representation to financial information represented by a pile of coins.

Ludic: Challenges & Targets
Allow users to set their own personalized fuel efficiency goals to reach in order to gain achievements.

Ludic: Collections
Allow permanent collection of achieved goals/add the option to grow a permanent “garden”.

Ludic: Levels
Achieve rewards at staggered levels of achievement on the pictorial representations to encourage greater engagement with the task of fuel-efficient driving as the journey continues.

Ludic: Rewards
Potential to gain visual rewards and permanent achievements based on actions.

Ludic: Scores
Give comparative behavior feedback to encourage future behavior, so that a driver must improve their fuel efficiency in order to gain the same level of reward.

Perceptual: Metaphors
Use of pictorial representation to make fuel saving more apparent to the driver.

Cognitive: Assuaging guilt
Visual representation of a plant growing to encourage guilt reduction.

Cognitive: Commitment and consistency
Encourage users to buy in to the overall idea of reducing carbon footprint by incorporating environmental or financial ideas into the display.

Cognitive: Emotional engagement
Encourage users to engage with the idea that fuel saving is the correct thing to do for both the environment and their financial wellbeing.

Cognitive: Habits
No significant changes in driver’s current actions are required. The interface acts as an information prompt.

Cognitive: Rephrasing & renaming
Potential to reframe eco-driving and emissions saving to a direct financial saving.

Machiavellian: Bundling
Pairing fuel saving or financial saving with emission reduction so that in order to save money the user consequently reduces emissions.

Machiavellian: Worry resolution
Reduce worry caused by anti-environmental action of driving by displaying positive environmental images when driver is fuel-efficient.

### 3.1.1 Validation of the Display

In order to ensure that the display adhered to the previously completed CWA [45], each element of the interface was compared against this documentation. Due to its focus in mapping the physical objects that comprise a system as well as the overall aims and objectives of the system, the Abstraction Hierarchy created as part of the Work Domain Analysis component was seen as the primary validation tool.

When considering the Abstraction Hierarchy and taking the example of the fuel efficiency display (Item 4, Table 3), this item can be linked to the functional purposes of...
“Save Energy” and “Reduce Emissions”, holding the values and priorities of “Optimize Vehicle Range”, “Reduce Fuel Usage”, “Optimize Driver Satisfaction”, “Reduce NOx” and “Reduce CO₂”. It does this by accounting for and providing drivers more information regarding the Purpose Related Function “Control Vehicle Motion”. To calculate the relative success of the driver and be able to contribute feedback, the display is able to present information to the driver related to their ability to “Control Acceleration” and “Control Vehicle Speed”, have knowledge of the “Speed Limit” and encourage “Smooth Motion”. In order to achieve these goals, the device can take information from the vehicle, as captured within the Physical Objects including “Clutch”, “Fuel”, “Brake Pedal” and “Accelerator Pedal”. In addition, this application is reliant of the physical object “V2X Communication” to allow it to accurately communicate with surrounding infrastructure to allow presentation of the lights duration and offer an estimation of approximate dwell time. The corresponding nodes from the Abstraction Hierarchy are presented in Fig 2, mapping how this display element can be used to reduce emissions.

![Fig 2 Subset of the Abstraction Hierarchy accounted for by the fuel efficiency display (Item 4, Table 3)](image)

A similar validation process was undertaken for all interface elements in order to ensure that the functioning of each element was warranted based upon the previously generated specifications. Using the generated CWA as a validation tool helps to ensure that each interface element can contribute to the primary function of the system. In this way the developed interface can be seen to support users in achieving greater fuel efficiency. Of note is that this interface display makes use of both feedback systems, such as shown by Fuel Efficiency Feedback Display (Table 3, Item 4), but also feedforward information, provided by the Count Down Traffic Light Display (Table 3, Item 1) and Potential To Proceed Display (Table 3, Item 2). By providing feedback on behavior, it is hoped that, long term drivers develop positive driving habits. By providing feedforward information, drivers will be aware of both the time they have to wait, removing any need for anticipatory actions, and the likelihood of passing through the lights allowing for more gentle acceleration within the traffic flow if they would be required to again wait at the lights.

### 3.2 Workshop 2 – Accelerating to Overtake

The interface designed for the task of “Accelerating to Overtake” is presented within Figs 3 and 4. This scenario of overtaking is not associated with the typical activity of fuel-efficient driving, however it is an activity that many drivers are likely to engage in on a regular basis. The devised interface was based on 29 unique DwI cards and, similar to the previous “Waiting at Traffic Lights” interface, utilized all eight lenses. Unlike the previous interface display, which only used the vehicle’s HDD, the overtaking interface is primarily presented as part of the vehicle’s HUD (Fig 3) in order to remove the need for the driver to divert their gaze away from the road ahead. This information can be supplemented with auditory feedforward information presented to the driver prior to the start of the maneuver. A breakdown of the task and the details of the actions that will be undertaken, supporting this auditory feedforward information is presented in the vehicle’s HDD for redundancy, as shown in Fig 4.

![Fig 3 Designed HUD interface mock-up for the scenario “Accelerating to Overtake”](image)

Within the current image the vehicle has overtaken the vehicle in the middle lane and is being informed they should be prepared to follow the ghost car in moving back to the middle lane.
When considering the “Accelerating to Overtake” HUD display (Fig 3), the key novel feature is the presentation of the ghost car. The ghost car presents users with an ideal model of how to complete the task of overtaking, offering guidance on timing and speed, constrained with the view of completing the maneuver in the most fuel-efficient way possible. This idea has been heavily influenced by the use of ghost cars that are popular in gaming. The HDD display, in contrast, is not designed to present any novel information to the driver, but rather reinforce information that the driver may have missed from the audio system, including time until maneuver and the ideal speed the car should travel in order to complete the maneuver and remain fuel-efficient. Table 5 provides a summary of the use of different DwI cards that inspired design of the fuel efficiency feedback display, it includes both HUD and HDD elements.

Table 5 DwI cards used to inspire design of the “Accelerating to Overtake” interface

| Lens | Card | Description/ Reasoning |
|------|------|------------------------|
| Architecture | Converging & Diverging | Channel people into different lanes so they safely split up and allow room for efficient overtaking. |
| Architecture | Conveyor belts | Overtaking interface only appears following request – button press or similar interaction. |
| Architecture | Mazes | Encourage following of the most fuel efficient path when overtaking to still achieve goal but in a fuel-efficient way. |

3.2.1 Validation of the Display

Similar to the “Waiting at Traffic Lights” interface, the “Accelerating to Overtake” interface was compared to the CWA Abstraction Hierarchy, and appropriate elements were identified as shown in Fig 5. To ensure that the interface fulfilled functional purposes of “Save Energy” and “Reduce Emissions (CO₂ and NOₓ)”. Within this interface these goals are achieved as they adhere to the Values and Priorities of “Minimize Traffic Delay”, “Minimize Congestion”, “Optimize Driver
Satisfaction” and “Optimize Travel Time”. Whilst overtaking is generally not considered fuel efficient, due to the additional fuel required to accelerate the vehicle, overtaking may “Optimize Vehicle Range” and “Reduce Fuel Usage” if the driver is able to shift to a higher gear. Assuming a form of combustion engine, these engines are more efficient at higher gears, potentially allowing the Values and Priorities of “Reduce NOx” and “Reduce CO₂” to be achieved. The system achieves these goals by accounting for and providing drivers more information regarding the Purpose Related Function “Control Vehicle Motion”. To calculate the relative success of the driver and be able to provide feedforward information to the driver, the display presents information to the driver related to their ability to “Control Acceleration” and “Control Vehicle Speed”, have knowledge of the “Speed Limit” and encourages “Smooth Motion”. In order to achieve these goals, the device can take information from the vehicle, as captured within the Physical Objects including “Clutch”, “Fuel”, “Brake Pedal” and “Accelerator Pedal”. In addition, this application is reliant of the physical object “V2X Communication” to allow the vehicle to accurately communicate with nearby vehicles and infrastructure to allow accurate estimation of the moment the driver can safely overtake.

DwI was envisioned as a “suggestion tool” [25] to inspire novel designs. Within the current study DwI was used to develop interfaces that aim to reduce fuel use and emissions whilst driving to limit the negative impact such emissions can have, both on human respiratory health [3, 4] and the wider eco-system [5, 6]. Although neither interface directly interacted with the driver or the vehicular controls, the presence of such interfaces may be sufficient to encourage greater fuel-efficency as the drivers become more aware of their overall fuel use [19]. By actively promoting fuel-efficiency within everyday driving, drivers can become aware of the impact that their behaviour can have and consequently take steps to reduce both their fuel usage and corresponding emissions [45].

CWA seeks to exhaustively map a domain in order to facilitate extensive understanding and allow informed decisions to be made in response to system redevelopment [35]. However, CWA lacks a clear avenue for progressing insights into workable interfaces. In contrast, DwI [25] is a toolkit to aid novel design, but lacks any true grounding to ensure that the designs developed meet user needs and requirements. Within the current paper, it is argued that CWA can be used to inform, guide and constrain the generated interfaces developed using DwI. In turn, it was found that CWA could be used to validate the proposed interfaces developed using DwI, ensuring that the DwI cards were able to actively address the fundamental requirements of the system under investigation. Although this paper only provides a case study combining the methods, it is hoped that future research can develop a formalised approach to provide best practice guidance on using both CWA and DwI to develop Human-System interfaces. As previous research suggests that no typical means of using the outputs of CWA within design currently exist [40], the current paper provides a clear avenue regarding progressing this methodology towards interface design.

It is clear that the interface mock-ups presented in Figs 1, 3 and 4 are not ready for immediate deployment in a vehicle or simulator testing facility and require further work in order to make sure they are aesthetically pleasing. This research has not directly considered the importance of aesthetics in interface design and development, and the created interface mock-ups would benefit from input from a designer to improve visual appeal. Provided that all features of the display are maintained, developers can be sure that the interface fulfils user needs and requirements for the goal of minimizing fuel consumption. Therefore, it is important that the combination of CWA and DwI happens early in an interface development cycle. Extending this point, initial interface design is but the first step in the design journey [46]. Testing is required, both in laboratory and field studies, to fully appreciate end users’ engagement in the displays.

**Fig 5** Subset of the Abstraction Hierarchy accounted for by the “Accelerating to Overtake” display

### 4 General Discussion

The aim of this paper was to present initial work examining use of CWA [35] to constrain interfaces developed using DwI [25] to encourage fuel-efficient driving. By focusing on user requirements, as provided by CWA [45], it was seen that the discussions relating to the DwI cards were more structured and directed. By providing the CWA and DwI cards, individuals without a background in design or fuel-efficient driving were able to develop initial mock-ups of potential interfaces. Previous research [40] has highlighted the need to extend the insights offered by CWA and the present investigation suggests that DwI is an appropriate tool for this goal.
It should be noted that a limitation of the current paper is that participants were only presented with the combined CWA and DwI cards, so it is not possible to assess the direct influence that either of these elements held over the final designs, or indeed whether the designs generated within the research would be substantially different were they developed by a different team which lacked these resources. This research was intended to look at the potential for the combination of the CWA and DwI approaches and considerable more work is required to elucidate the relative value in this approach.

Future research is needed to examine the extent to which a constrained DwI approach can develop novel ideas for deployment in vehicles. It would also be useful to present the developed ideas to a variety of external potential end users in order to gain feedback and provide practical validation beyond that gathered from the theoretical validation offered by CWA. This further validation will enable researchers to identify ideas worthy of further pursuit, including the potential to explore the impact of both interfaces within an empirical, user-focused, simulator study, whereby fuel savings and overall interface effectiveness can be directly assessed.

5 Conclusions

This paper presents a proof of concept that the open and domain agnostic toolkit, Design with Intent, could be constrained and used to develop interfaces when supported by the Human Factors method, Cognitive Work Analysis. Participants, individuals without a background in fuel-efficient driving or design, were able to take the insights gained from the CWA process and confidently work through the DwI toolkit to develop potential interfaces. It can be argued that the DwI toolkit allowed participants to create initial concepts, whilst CWA acted to constrain the ideas to ensure that they remained focused on the end goals of the system. This study acts as a proof-of-concept that combining these two distinct methodologies is possible and, more importantly, offers a potentially valuable approach when developing interface concepts that are grounded within design principles.
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