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Abstract

Energy efficiency is one of the most important parameters in transportation electrification. It allows to improve the production rate due to longer operation without charging or decrease the cost related to transportation. To provide collision-free operation in unknown or various environment, the local path planning algorithm should be considered. An Artificial Potential Field (APF) algorithm is commonly used for this task, however it provides unsmooth and oscillating motion of autonomous ground vehicle (AGV), and is prone to being trapped in a local minimum, e.g., dead-end. In such a case, the energy used to achieve the goal position is higher than necessary. In this paper, the energy-efficient local path planning algorithm is proposed. Future movement prediction has been introduced to APF to allow AGV to bypass obstacles in advance. A novel method for local minimum avoidance is introduced. It is based on placement of virtual obstacles called top quarks in critical areas. These obstacles provide additional repulsive force for the APF based path planner. Considering the predicted stagnation-free path of the AGV, the new temporary goal for APF is selected. Such a combination allows to reduce traveled route length, improve its smoothness, and bypass local minima. The proposed Predictive Artificial Potential Field (PAPF) algorithm has been examined using Husarion ROSbot 2.0 PRO mobile robot, and the obtained results in form of videos are also attached as supplementary files. In comparison to original APF, the proposed path planning algorithm allows to reduce the used electric power by 21.4%. PAPF provided a shorter path by up to 8.73% and shorter time to reach the goal position by up to 40.23%. The movement of the AGV is also much smoother in a case of usage of the proposed algorithm, and the proposed top quarks-based local minimum avoidance mechanism allows to bypass the local minima.

Index Terms

Artificial potential field, path planning, path prediction, autonomous ground vehicle, mobile robot.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, autonomous ground vehicles are gaining widespread adoption in industry (factories, warehouses) but also occur in every-day applications (e.g. self-driving cars [1], [2], restaurants [3], [4]). Main task of these vehicles is transportation of its load to goal position. In order to operate autonomously, the AGV requires implementation of path planning algorithm. This algorithm generates motion commands based on environment information provided by on-board sensors (local path planning algorithm) or information about the entire environment provided by an external source e.g. a predetermined static map (global path planning algorithm) [5]. In a dynamic environment, using a global path planning algorithm may result in a potential collision because the algorithm does not take into account changes in the environment [6]. For this reason, usually the global path planner is used along with the local one, which is based on the AGV’s perception and can provide collision-free path in dynamic environment, where the temporary obstacles occur [7]. Performance of the path planning algorithm is a key factor for increasing the production rate or shortening the production cycle. Multiple approaches to path planning optimality can be seen in the literature: minimum-time, minimum-jerk or minimum-energy [8]. In recent years, path planning algorithms have been intensively studied to improve the traveled path of AGV [9]. Global path planner, which generates entire path to the goal, can consider one of the above-mentioned optimality indicators, however the optimization in real-time is too complex and the robot needs...
The pioneering and most popular local path planning algorithm is the Artificial Potential Field algorithm proposed by Khatib in 1985 [23]. The APF algorithm navigates AGV to the goal by continuous attractive and repulsive fields. The attractive one is related to the goal reaching task, while the repulsive fields are generated by obstacles. A combination of them allows the AGV to reach a goal and provide a collision-free movement in unknown environment. Unlike other methods, the APF calculates subsequent linear and angular velocities in real time considering only data obtained from on-board sensors. It does not require a map of the entire environment. Due to this advantage, it is commonly used in the path planners implemented in AGVs [24]. However, using the APF algorithm may result in the vehicle getting trapped at a local minimum [25]. Furthermore, measurement noise and imprecision in physical implementation may result in oscillations and generation of a non-smooth path [26]. To alleviate the disadvantages of APF, researchers have proposed multiple modifications of the original algorithm, but these modifications do not cover all mentioned aspects. In [27], the robot perception is extended by augmented reality technology to bypass the local minima. The virtual wall has been added to APF algorithm to prevent the mobile robot from falling in the local minimum. In addition, the direction of the robot’s movement is forced by a virtual obstacle. The proposed algorithm allows to decrease the traveled path by the mobile robot in comparison to existing methods. Nevertheless, the smoothness of the movement has not been taken into account. The APF has been improved by a nature-inspired optimization algorithm by optimizing the APF parameters in collision avoidance in [28]. The presented approach allows to decrease the path length and ensures the collision-free movements of the SCARA robotic arm, but both problems related to movement smoothness and local minimum avoidance are not addressed simultaneously.

A waypoint tracking scheme with a collision-avoidance system using the APF with speed planning is proposed in [29]. Since the local path planner does not usually conform to the dynamic constraints of the vehicle motion, the authors proposed a method to combine the dependence of the vehicle’s speed with the curvature of the path. Such an approach allows to eliminate severe yawing of the heading angle of the vehicle, and it prevents possible excessive tire slip angle of the vehicle driving at high speed. The proposed approach is not applicable for environments with local minima due to lack of the avoidance mechanism. In [30], membrane pseudo-bacterial potential field algorithm has been proposed. The algorithm uses dynamic membranes that include a pseudo-bacterial genetic algorithm for evolving the required parameters of APF algorithm. The goal of the proposed algorithm was to minimize path length, ensure collision avoidance, and provide path smoothness. Obtained results indicate proper operation of the proposed solution, however the local minimum avoidance has not been considered. In [31], the integration of unmanned aircraft vehicles (UAVs) in the dynamic national airspace to prevent them from colliding with the other traffic to solve the problem, the authors proposed the dynamic APF algorithm, which allows to generate a real-time reactive collision-free
The Artificial Potential Field (APF) algorithm proposed in 1985 is currently the state-of-the-art in local path planning [23]. It is based on the electrostatic particles interaction given by eq. (1) [35].

\[ F = -\frac{kq_1q_2}{r^2} \]  

where: \( k \) is the interactions constant; \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are the electric charges of the particles; and \( r \) is the distance between the particles. The algorithm is divided into potential forces: attractive (opposite particles’ charges \( q_1 = -q_2 \)) and repulsive (identical particles’ charges \( q_1 = q_2 \)). In order to provide the collision-free and goal reaching path, the obstacles and AGV are assumed as having the same charge and the goal position is opposite charge particle. In such a case, the obstacle generates repulsive force and the goal position generates attractive force. The graphical representation of the APF algorithm is presented in Fig. 1. The overall force that is applied to AGV is depicted in eq. (2).

\[ \vec{F}_w = \vec{F}_{\text{goal}} + \vec{F}_{\text{obstacles}} \]
The graphical representation of the artificial potential field’s forces.

with

\[ \vec{F}_{\text{goal}} = -k_{VG} q_V q_G \frac{\vec{r}_{VG}}{|\vec{r}_{VG}|^2} \frac{\vec{r}_{VG}}{|\vec{r}_{VG}|} \]

\[ \vec{F}_{\text{obstacles}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} k_{VO} q_V q_O \frac{\vec{r}_{VO}}{|\vec{r}_{VO}|^2} \frac{\vec{r}_{VO}}{|\vec{r}_{VO}|}, & \text{if } |\vec{r}_{VO}| \leq d_o \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \]

where \( \vec{F}_{\text{goal}} \) is the attractive force; \( \vec{F}_{\text{obstacles}} \) is the repulsive force; \( k_{VG} \) and \( k_{VO} \) is the interaction constant between AGV and the goal, and the AGV and obstacles; \( q_V \), \( q_G \) and \( q_O \) is the AGV, the goal, and obstacles charge, respectively; \( N \) is a number of obstacles in AGV’s surroundings; \( \vec{r}_{VG} \) and \( \vec{r}_{VO} \) is the directional vector from the AGV to the goal and from the AGV to \( i \)-th obstacle; and \( d_o \) is a repulsive effective range.

From the obtained \( \vec{F}_w \), the next direction and linear velocity of the AGV can be calculated using eq. (3)-(4).

\[ \theta^* = \arctan \left( \frac{F_y^w}{F_x^w} \right) \] (3)

where: \( \theta^* \) is reference AGV’s orientation.

\[ V^* = \text{Limit} \left( |\vec{F}_w|, V_{\text{max}} \right) \] (4)

where: \( V^* \) is reference AGV’s linear velocity; and \( V_{\text{max}} \) is maximum allowed AGV’s linear velocity. These equations work properly only in simulation case due to AGV limited dynamics. It is not possible to change the AGV orientation rapidly. For this reason, the AGV can go dangerously near the obstacle. To prevent this, the linear velocity equation should take into account the error (\( \theta_{\text{error}} \)) between the reference orientation (\( \theta^* \)) and current orientation (\( \theta \)). The high error value has to decrease the linear velocity. The modified linear velocity equation is as follows:

\[ V^* = \begin{cases} \text{Limit} \left( \alpha \cdot |\vec{F}_w|, V_{\text{max}} \right), & \text{if } \text{abs}(\theta_{\text{error}}) \leq \theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (5)

with:

\[ \alpha = \frac{\theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}} - \text{abs}(\theta_{\text{error}})}{\theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}}} \]

where: \( \theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}} \) is a margin orientation error. In this approach, linear velocity depends linearly on \( \theta_{\text{error}} \) in range \((-\theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}}, \theta_{\text{error}}^{\text{max}})\) and is zero if the AGV’s orientation error exceed the range.

Unfortunately, the algorithm has a few problems [25], which are illustrated in Fig. 2, and described below:

a. There is no mechanism of avoiding or bypassing the dead-ends. If a vehicle enters a dead-end., it cannot get out without human intervention or another mechanism.

b. In very narrow passages, the repulsive force generated by the walls can stop the robot from getting between them. In this situation, the goal will not be reached.

c. The algorithm generates an oscillation in the presence of obstacles, which is mainly related to the limited dynamics of the vehicle. The obtained orientation and linear velocity from the algorithm are set as reference values to the motion controller of the vehicle, and additional time is required to obtain proper orientation and speed.

d. The same situation occurs in narrow corridors. It should be noted that the oscillations can be unstable and eventually cause a collision.

### A. ENERGETIC EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

AGV’s are usually battery powered so the evaluation of a path generation algorithm should also take into account the energy consumption aspect. It is often preferable to utilize a longer but smoother path which does not require sudden accelerations. Such rapid maneuvers increase current consumption and shorten the operation lifespan of the AGV. The total energy of the AGV can be expressed using the
following formula [36]:

\[ E(t) = \int_t^t \left( mv(t)a(t) + I\omega(t)\beta(t) + \frac{2f_v}{F}v(t) + B \right) dt + P_s t \]

(6)

where: \( m \) is AGV mass; \( I \) is AGV moment of inertia; \( f_v \) is a viscous friction coefficient; \( B \) is fixed power provided for the motors to overcome the static friction; \( P_s \) is other energy consumed for on-board embedded computer, sensors, and control circuit; \( v(t) \) and \( a(t) \) are linear velocity and acceleration, respectively; \( \omega(t) \) and \( \beta(t) \) are angular velocity and acceleration, respectively; From the depicted equation, one can see that energy is complex function where linear and angular velocity and acceleration have an impact on its terminal value. For this reason, smoother path will decreases the energy consumption. Next, the integration in time domain causes that shorter time required to travel the route may also decrease the required energy to reach the goal. Due to lack of local minima avoidance mechanism in APF algorithm and its susceptibility to oscillations, the above analysis of eq. 6 undermines energetic efficiency of the original APF algorithm.

III. THE PROPOSED PREDICTIVE-APF

The keynote of the proposed PAPF algorithm is to obtain shorter and smoother path with a shorter time to reach the goal, and bypass the local minima in order to provide energy efficient path planning algorithm. To obtain such a behaviour of the path planning algorithm, the authors developed a prediction-based approach. In real-time, the future movements are predicted to obtain the next path in predetermined horizon of prediction. This information is used to determine the temporary goal for the AGV. Therefore, the current direction of AGV is corrected to react to obstacles in advance, and avoid unnecessary movements. In addition, the predicted path is used to detect AGV’s stagnation in the local minimum. In such a case, the virtual obstacles, called top quarks, are created to force the AGV to select goal-reaching path. The general diagram of the proposed Predictive Artificial Potential Fields algorithm is presented in Fig. 3.

A. PREDICTION OF FUTURE MOVEMENTS

The visualization of the proposed prediction mechanism is presented in Fig. 4, while algorithms steps are enumerated below:

1. First a direction line is defined as current original APF force direction,
2. Successive path positions are generated using the original APF algorithm with a constant position increment and predefined number of steps,
3. Perpendicular distance between each point and the direction line is computed,
4. The point with the largest distance is selected as the new temporary goal,
5. New APF force is calculated for the temporary goal instead of the global one for the AGV’s movement.

The prediction of future movements is based on the state-of-the-art local path planning algorithm, which is APF in this particular case. The novel algorithm uses only two intuitive parameters: horizon of prediction (\( \lambda \)) and step-size (\( \Delta \)). The first one determines length of the predicted path, e.g., 2 m, while the second one is used to manipulate the distance between consecutive points, e.g., 0.01 m. The next position of AGV is generated using the original APF algorithm with constant position increment (\( \Delta \)) until the final predicted trajectory path is shorter then the predefined horizon of prediction (\( \lambda \)). Using eq. (2) and eq. (3) for previous predicted position as actual one, the direction of next step is obtained.
Next the predicted position is updated in this direction by step-size. Such a prediction allows to obtain local path in predefined horizon, which will be used in the next steps of PAPF algorithm. The path prediction procedure is presented in Algorithm 1:

**Algorithm 1 Path Prediction Algorithm**

1. Set `predicted_path[0]` to current AGV position
2. Set `goal` to global goal position
3. Set `i_{max}` to λ/Δ
4. **for** `i = 1` to `i_{max}` **do**
5. Calculate APF force \( \vec{F}_w \) for previous position \( \text{predicted_path}[i-1] \)
6. Set `predicted_path[i]` as `predicted_path[i-1]` moved in obtained direction by \( \Delta \)
7. **end for**
8. return(`predicted_path`)

It should be noted that the prediction is calculated in real-time on the AGV. For this reason, the step-size should be small enough to provide the highest accuracy of predicted path, and large enough to allow the real-time prediction, e.g., for above-mentioned parameters, the prediction requires to calculate the APF algorithm 200 times per control loop.

Next, the obtained predicted path is used to determine new temporary goal for AGV in order to provide shorter and smoother path.

**B. TOP QUARK-BASED MECHANISM**

One of the main problems of APF algorithm, and many other local path planning algorithms, is the stagnation in local minimum. To solve the problem, the additional mechanism is required [35]. In the proposed PAPF algorithm, the novel local minimum avoidance mechanism is based on detection of algorithm stagnation during the described prediction of future movements. In such a case, a virtual heavy particle, called top quark (which is the most massive of all observed elementary particles), is placed in the detected local minimum and then the prediction of future movements procedure is repeated until the predicted path is free of the stagnation problem. In order to solve dead-lock related to the lack of non-stagnation path, the maximum number of repetition is limited to \( M \) times. The top quark has been called heavy due to significantly larger interaction constant \( k_{VQ} \) assumed for this kind of obstacle (i.e., \( k_{VQ} \ll k_{VQ} \)). The modified force equation including the proposed top quark is as follows:

\[
\vec{F}_{\text{top quark}} = \vec{F}_{\text{goal}} + \vec{F}_{\text{obstacles}} + \vec{F}_{\text{top quark}}
\]

with

\[
\vec{F}_{\text{top quark}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{M_{\text{curr}}} \left\{ \frac{k_{VQ} q_i q_q}{|\vec{r}_{VQ}|^2} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_{VQ}}{|\vec{r}_{VQ}|}, \text{ if } |\vec{r}_{VQ}| \leq d_o \right. \nonumber \\
\left. 0, \text{ otherwise} \right.
\]

where \( \vec{F}_{\text{top quark}} \) is the repulsive force; \( k_{VQ} \) is the interaction constant between AGV and top quark; \( q_q \) is top quark charge; \( M_{\text{curr}} \) is a number of created top quarks by the proposed local minimum avoidance mechanism \((0 \leq M_{\text{curr}} \leq M)\); \( \vec{r}_{VQ} \) is the directional vector from the AGV to \( i \)-th top quark; and \( d_o \) is a repulsive effective range. The idea of the novel top quark local minimum avoidance mechanism proposed in this paper and used in the PAPF algorithm is presented in Fig. 5. The figure presents the six iterations (subfigures B-G) of future path prediction. It is shown that each stagnation point in previous prediction is used to place the top quark. The next path prediction take into the account top quark in the same way as the other obstacles but with higher interaction constant \( k_{VQ} \).

The detection of the local minimum is based on a prediction of additional path-length \( (\lambda_{\text{stagnation}}) \) and calculating the the mean position of these predicted points \( \bar{p}_{\text{stagnation}} \). If all predicted points are in a range equal to \( R \) from the mean position then it is assumed that the robot’s stagnation problem occurs. Next, the top quark is placed to \( \bar{p}_{\text{stagnation}} \), and the procedure of prediction of future path is repeated. The result of the proposed local-minimum avoidance mechanism is stagnation-free path that is used to select new temporary goal.

**C. SELECTION OF NEW TEMPORARY GOAL**

The new temporary goal is selected as the most distant point from the last predicted path, i.e., stagnation-free path, from the direction of classic APF. The procedure of the selection is as follows:

(i) calculate the \( \vec{F}_w \) force for current AGV’s position,

(ii) create a linear function (i.e., \( A \cdot x + B \cdot y + C = 0 \)), where: \( x \) and \( y \) are the point coordinates; \( A, B \) and \( C \) are the function parameters) of the direction of obtained force called as directional line,

(iii) calculate distance \( (d) \) between each position \( \bar{p} \) in the predicted path and the directional line using following formula:

\[
d = \frac{|A \cdot p^x + B \cdot p^y + C|}{\sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)}}
\]

(iv) select the farthest point from the directional line.
Such a definition of new temporary goal is supported by triangle inequality, which states that for any triangle, the sum of the lengths of any two sides must be greater than or equal to the length of the remaining side. If in the predicted path, the obstacle forced AGV to avoid it, then the most distant point from the initial direction is selected, so the AGV moves to this position in advance. The additional remark should be noted: when the obstacle is just in range of horizon of prediction, then the temporary goal will be moved smoothly from the direction path to goal, to the point that has to be reached to avoid the obstacle during next AGV positions. These two information proves that the obtained path by PAPF should be shorter and smoother (or at least the same) then the one obtained by APF.

D. CALCULATE NEXT AGV’S LINEAR VELOCITY AND ORIENTATION

The last step in the proposed PAPF is to calculate the linear velocity and orientation of AGV. The PAPF uses the modified APF equation of $\vec{F}_w$ force (eq. (7)), but it takes the temporary goal instead of the global one. Next, the linear velocity and orientation is calculated using eq. (3) and eq. (5).

It is worth to point out that the proposed PAPF algorithm should guarantee collision-free path due to usage of the
extended APF equations. Modifications of the original approach is generating temporary goal instead of calculated force for global goal, and in a case of local minimum occurrence, considering top quarks.

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION**

The validation of the proposed prediction-based path planning algorithm was made using the Husarion ROSbot 2.0 PRO mobile robot and Robot Operating System. The APF and PAPF algorithms were implemented in C++ programming language and they are based only on the LiDAR sensor (i.e., 360 degree laser scanner).

The photo of the laboratory environment is presented in Fig. 6, while the algorithms parameters are summarized in Table 1.

In a case of APF parameters, which are common for both algorithms, they have been chosen taking into account mobile robot size, the environment size, and obstacles size. In addition, the interaction constants were selected empirically to provide a collision-free movement with safe margin to obstacles. For the proposed PAPF algorithm, the horizon of prediction ($\lambda$) is the most important parameter and selection process has been visualized in next subsection. The step-size ($\Delta$) and coefficients in the rest of parameters were selected empirically with particular emphasis on the correctness.

### TABLE 2. Indicators obtained in Test (I)-(V).

|                      | Artificial Potential Field | Predictive Artificial Potential Field |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                      | Duration [s] Path length [m] | Duration [s] Path length [m] |
| Test (I)             | 69.79 4.50                  | 41.71 (reduced by 40.23 %) 4.13 (reduced by 8.29 %) |
| Test (II)            | 52.12 4.20                  | 41.366 (reduced by 20.63 %) 4.16 (reduced by 1.00 %) |
| Test (III)           | 42.22 3.99                  | 37.89 (reduced by 10.45 %) 3.80 (reduced by 4.78 %) |
| Test (IV)            | fail fail                   | 38.424 3.77 |
| Test (V)             | fail fail                   | 39.426 3.87 |
| Test (VI)            | 49.15 4.05                  | 41.93 (reduced by 14.69 %) 3.76 (reduced by 7.16 %) |

**FIGURE 8.** Comparison of the proposed PAPF and the classical APF algorithms in Test (I).
of stagnation detection and computational requirements of prediction. Calculation time of path prediction in ROSbot 2.0 PRO is as follows:

- $\lambda = 1$ m and $\Delta = 0.01$ m:
  - single path prediction takes 15 ms;
  - $M$ paths prediction takes 147 ms
- $\lambda = 2$ m and $\Delta = 0.03$ m:
  - single path prediction takes 10 ms;
  - $M$ paths prediction takes 98 ms
One can see, that calculation time depends linearly on step-size and horizon of prediction. The path planning algorithm control loop period was set to 100 ms. For this reason, the authors decided to chose step-size equal to $\Delta = 0.03$.

Such a step-size does not impact significantly on the quality of predicted path, but significantly reduce the calculation time. It has been assumed that additional 25% of horizon of prediction ($\lambda_{stagnation} = 25\% \cdot \lambda$) is calculated for detection.
Figure 13. Comparison of the proposed PAPF and the classical APF algorithms in Test (VI) with additional subplots presenting position, orientation, linear velocity and angular velocity in time domain.

of stagnation. In a case of obstacle-free environment, the AGV covers a distance equal to $\lambda_{\text{stagnation}}$, while in the case of local minimum presence, the AGV stagnates and the distance is shorter. The stagnation occurs if the distance covered by AGV is less than a half of the distance which should travel in straight line. Due to this, the radius $R$ was set to 25% of $\lambda_{\text{stagnation}}$.

The proposed PAPF has been evaluated considering the following aspects:

- impact of the horizon of prediction parameter to AGV behaviour and traveled path,
- comparison of original APF and the proposed PAPF taking into account the time required for goal reaching, and the traveled path length,
- energetic efficiency of the proposed PAPF in comparison to the original PAPF.

A. HORIZON OF PREDICTION

As was mentioned in Section III, the horizon of prediction value should be selected considering the AGV, environment, and assumed obstacles dimensions, but also the used sensor and AGV’s perception, due to the proposed PAPF is still a local path planning algorithm. Another aspect is related to computational requirements, i.e. performance of AGV computer, control loop period and expected number of predicted points. The longer path is predicted in the PAPF, then the AGV will react in advance to obstacle, but if the predicted path will be too long, then the prediction may be generated without information about the environment behind the obstacle, and may provide imprecise prediction of future movements in complex environment. In Fig. 7, the traveled paths of the original APF and the proposed PAPF for 5 different horizon of prediction ($\lambda \in \{0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0\}$ m)
are presented. The obtained results in form of videos are also attached as supplementary file. The AGV goal position was a 7 m from a robot in the straight path. One can see that longer horizon of prediction provides the smoother and shorter path. For horizon of prediction equal to 2 m, an unsmooth movement occurs (orange rectangle in Fig. 7), which was caused by above-mentioned inaccurate prediction in long horizon due to lack of perception behind the obstacle. Analysing size of the Husarion ROSbot 2.0 PRO and the available environment, the Authors selected horizon of prediction equal to 1 m for next examinations. This value of $\lambda$ provides significantly smoother and shorter path.

B. EXAMINATIONS

Both algorithms have been evaluated in six environments. The environments have been created to present behaviour of APF and the proposed PAPF in narrow passages, driving along the long wall and in occurrence of local minimum. Another aspect was to create an environments where there is a obstacle between the AGV and the temporary goal to validate the collision-free operation of the proposed approach.

The obtained results are presented in the form of three selected moments in Fig. 8-13 for Test (I)-(VI), respectively. These are also attached as videos in supplementary file. In figures at left column an original APF is presented, while at right column is the proposed PAPF. Each captured moment of experiment consists of two elements: the real photo and the current perception of the robot visualized in RViz package (the part of the ROS). The RViz presents, the AGV’s position and orientation, calculated output direction by path planning algorithm, and marked as red line, already traveled path. In a case of the proposed PAPF, there is additional drawings: (i) orange line is a predicted path, (ii) red point at the predicted path is a temporary goal, and (iii) in a case of local minimum within a horizon of prediction, a light red path as abandoned predicted path with placed a top quark at the stagnation point marked as white dot. In Fig. 13, the additional plots of mobile robot’s position, orientation, and linear and angular velocities in time domain have been presented under the captured moments of experiments. Additional captured moments related to local minimum avoidance are presented in Fig. 14. The path’s quality indicators, i.e., duration of the movement and path length, are summarized in Table 2.

One can see that he proposed PAPF provides much smoother path. The predicted trajectory successfully gives the information about the new temporary point, and the goal position is reached faster than the original APF. From the plots presented in Fig. 13, one can see that the robot oscillations occur for original APF due to limited dynamic of the mobile robot, i.e. reference signal is changing faster than mobile robot can reach it. In such a case, the linear velocity is reduced for APF algorithm due to eq. 5. In the proposed PAPF, the reference orientation is much smoother, and there is no reason to reduce the linear velocity. The indicators presented in Table 2 proves the efficiency of the proposed PAPF. The time required to reach the goal is around 21.5 % shorter than the original APF, and also the traveled path is significantly shorter by up to 8.29 %.
In Test (III), the proposed PAPF presents that even in narrow gap, the algorithm provides collision-free path, and still the traveled path in comparison to original APF in that part of environment is much smoother.

C. ENERGETIC EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT

As was mentioned in Section II, the smoother path, shorter path, and less time required to reach the goal should provide significant improvement of energetic efficiency. To prove that assumption, the energy consumption of ROSbot 2.0 PRO with the original APF and with the proposed PAPF path planners have been compared. The efficiency measurement were performed using the XSTAR VC4S battery charger. The batteries used in the mobile robot are 3x XTAR 18650-350PCM 3500mAh Li-ION Protected 3,7V 10A. The procedure of the measurement was as follows:

- charge the batteries,
- program the robot to start experiment automatically,
- insert fully charged batteries,
- turn on the mobile robot,
- turn off the mobile robot right after the goal is reached,
- charge the batteries and sum the read the charged capacities.

To provide higher accuracy of efficiency measurements, each experiment was performed 3 times, i.e. 3 experiments for original APF, and 3 experiment for the proposed PAPF. The goal was (10, 0) m and three obstacles were placed in the AGV’s path, similar to setup presented in Fig. 7. The obtained results are as follows:

- original APF: 149.7 mAh
- the proposed PAPF: 117.6 mAh

The application of the proposed PAPF allowed to reduce electric energy consumption by 32.1 mAh (21.4%).

V. CONCLUSION

Application of the proposed algorithm into the local path planning algorithm results in a significant reduction of consumed energy, goal reaching time, and path length. The original APF algorithm has been used in both: the prediction of future movement of the AGV, and as local path planning algorithm with a new temporary goal instead of the global one. Such a combination guarantees the collision-free operation, smoother movement and shorter path selection. The proposed approach allows to decrease power consumption by over 20%.

Moreover, the proposed algorithm allows to bypass the local minimum. The proposed top quark-based mechanism prevents the AGV from stagnation in a local minimum, and allows to bypass the local minimum with a smooth movement. The results are also presented in video form included as supplementary files. The proposed local path planning algorithm should be considered in industrial AGVs, where the energy-efficiency is very important due to significant payload. In case of transportation of pallets with weight up to 300 kg, the non-smooth movement provided by the original APF algorithm would significantly decrease the current batteries capacity. It has been experimentally proved that the proposed approach successfully solves the problem of non-smooth movement. Another aspect important for the application is time required to reach the goal. The proposed approach significantly decreases the task execution time.

Future work will focus on dynamic obstacles avoidance in an energy-efficient way. The research group’s aim of research related to local path planning algorithms is to provide the efficient algorithm that can be safety implemented in industrial AGVs in dynamic environments and with significant payload. In such a case, the smoothness of the robot’s path and it’s continuous movement have a significant impact to energetic-efficiency and production rate due to availability to execute more tasks per single batteries charge.

APPENDIX

The brief presentation of the proposed Predictive Artificial Potential Field algorithm one can see in the following URL: https://youtu.be/FJSlUPzLjqQ or QR code:
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