Continuous versus discontinuous suture in perineal injuries produced during delivery in primiparous women: a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background: The technique used in the repair of a perineal injury resulting from childbirth could avoid discomfort and morbidity during the postpartum period. Recent studies show inconsistent results and support the need for new research with the inclusion of new health parameters not yet studied. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate if the suture technique (continuous or interrupted) has an effect on pain and other postpartum problems, incidence of incontinence (urinary and/or fecal), and the restart of sexual relations.

Methods: A single-blind randomized clinical trial was conducted in five hospitals in south-east Spain. The participants were primiparous women who had experienced a perineal injury during delivery (second-degree tear or episiotomy). Data was collected on sociodemographic variables, variables associated with pregnancy, labor and delivery, and the postpartum period, and outcomes during the 3 months after delivery: pain, incontinence, and restart of sexual relations. Odds ratios (OR) were calculated by binary logistic regression to assess the influence of the suture type on binary outcomes and t-test used for comparing continuous outcomes. Multivariate analyses (using logistic regression -adjusted (aOR)- and analysis of covariance) were carried out to adjust for unbalanced variables after randomization.

Results: A total of 70 women were included in the intervention group (continuous suture) and 64 in the reference group (interrupted sutures). A negative association was observed (aOR = 0.39; 95% CI = 0.18–0.86) between a continuous suture and the need for analgesia at 24 h postpartum. Pain experienced by the women at 24 h postpartum was assessed as 4.4 ± 0.3 compared with a score of 3.4 ± 0.3 in the group with continuous sutures (p = 0.011). At 15 days postpartum, women in the intervention group experienced less pain (aOR = 0.38; 95% CI = 0.18–0.80) (p = 0.019). Urinary sphincter incontinence was also evaluated at 15 days, with 4.3% (n = 3) of the women in the intervention group presenting with urinary incontinence compared with 18.8% (n = 12) in the control group (aOR = 0.11; 95% CI = 0.03–0.47) (P = 0.003).

Conclusions: The women who had a continuous suture repair showed lower levels of pain from delivery to 3 months after delivery and had a lower incidence of urinary incontinence at 15 days postpartum.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03825211 posted January 31, 2019 (retrospectively registered).
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Background

During childbirth perineal trauma may occur, either spontaneously or after episiotomy [1, 2]. In Spain the actual rate of episiotomies is unknown. According to the available data, it varies between 33 and 73% of all vaginal deliveries [3]. Perineal trauma rates vary considerably according to individual practices and the policies of each hospital. In 2010, a European study reported that the perineal tear rate oscillated between 0.1% in Romania and 4.9% in Iceland, and the episiotomy rate varied between 3.7% in Denmark and 75% in Cyprus [4]. These injuries result in both short- and long-term morbidity, with possible effects on the pelvic floor [5], can cause urinary or anal incontinence, perineal pain, and may also affect women’s sexual function [2, 4–6].

In addition, the type of suture technique used in the perineal lesion repair can also cause maternal morbidity [3, 4]. Different suture techniques exist, with the most used technique being the interrupted suture—closing the skin with separate stitches. In contrast, the continuous suture consists of joining all the tissue layers involved in the perineal lesion with the same suture thread in a continuous manner [3, 7–9].

Different studies have associated the suture technique and type of suture with differing complaints and pathologies in women [3, 7, 8, 10]. In 2008, a randomized controlled trial addressing perineal repair was conducted in Spain where a continuous suture repair used in 233 women and a interrupted suture technique in another 222 women [11]. No significant differences in pain were found between these repair techniques. In contrast, in Turkey a 2009 study conducted on 160 women concluded that a continuous suture technique was associated with less pain in the short-term [12]. A 2012 Cochrane review that included 16 studies also concluded that women who had continuous sutures experienced less pain in the short-term and also required less analgesia [13]. In this review, only pain and the requirement for analgesia in the short-term and at 10-days were taken into account, and it recommended carrying out more research to address the outcomes relevant to women; including sexual problems and pelvic floor muscle dysfunction (such as incontinence) in the immediate period as well as in the long-term after delivery [13]. However, a clinical trial conducted in 2017 in India with 200 women, concluded that there was no difference between the two suture techniques in terms of pain on days 2, 10 and 90: This study did not assess other outcomes [14].

The use of one or another technique in the repair of perineal trauma can prevent morbidity and discomfort to women in the postpartum period. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate whether the suture technique (continuous vs interrupted) had an effect on pain, incidence of incontinence (urinary and/or fecal), and the restart of sexual relations.

Methods

A prospective, controlled, multicenter clinical trial was conducted, with random allocation of women to two groups, between the months of November 2016 and May 2018.

Population selection

The reference population was women who gave birth in the following hospitals situated in south-east Spain: San Juan de la Cruz in Ubeda (Jaén), San Agustín in Linares (Jaen), Jaen University Hospital Complex, University Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada), and Hospital Torrecardenas in Almería.

The inclusion criteria were: Age > 18 years, primiparous, singleton and eutocic delivery, second-degree perineal tear or an episiotomy as part of labor, and a newborn weight between 2500 g and 4000 g. The following exclusion criteria were used: language barrier, problems related to the pelvic floor prior to labor (prolapse, incontinence, vulva varices, etc.), dyspareunia or sexual dysfunction, hemorrhoids perceived as uncomfortable or painful, or women who did not wish to participate or did not sign the informed consent.

To estimate the sample size, the main outcome was taken into account: pain. Sample size estimation was based on the next assumptions: a statistical power of 80%, an α level of 5%, a 1:1 allocation between the intervention group and the reference group, a frequency of pain of 60.4% in women with interrupted sutures [9], and a priori reduction of pain of 50% with continuous sutures. With these assumptions 54 women would be required in each group: Assuming a loss of 20%, the sample size needed was 67 women in each group. Figure 1.

Intervention

Two types of sutures were used for the perineal injury using two different techniques: Group A received continuous sutures and Group B had interrupted sutures placed. The two techniques and sutures are described in more detail in Additional file 1. The women were allocated to a treatment group using a computer-generated random numbers table. The generated sequence was placed in individual opaque envelopes that were opened when a woman met the inclusion criteria.

The health personnel that placed the sutures had previously taken a training course on continuous suture technique and had at least 1 year of experience in this technique. Additionally, this person had a minimum of 5 years of experience in attending deliveries and, therefore, also in the suturing of perineal injuries. The sutures were placed by ten trained midwives. Around 5% [1] of the midwives in Torrecardenas, 10% [2] in the Hospital Complex of Jaen, 12% [3] in Granada, and 20% [2] of the midwives in Ubeda and Linares participated.
Data collection
To evaluate the perception of pain experienced by women during labor the verbal rating scale (VRS) was used [15]. Data was collected on sociodemographic variables, type of perineal injury (tear of second-degree or episiotomy), type of suture used, time spent placing suture, number of suture packets used, complications, severity of pain, type of pain, need for analgesia, wound condition, care performed, urinary and/or fecal incontinence, start of sexual relations, and start of physical activity. Data was also collected on the start of labor (spontaneous or induced) and type of delivery, medication during dilation, type of analgesia used, gestational week, duration of the first stage of labor, second stage labor and delivery, as well as data regarding the newborn.

Information was gathered by midwives who interviewed the women in the delivery room, providing them with an informed consent form. The rest of the data were obtained from the clinical history, maternal record book and via follow-up phone calls. The women were blinded to the suture technique used.

Follow-up
The women were followed-up as follows:

Day of childbirth: in the postpartum period, the midwife collected data on the type of delivery, need for epidural analgesia, duration of dilation, stage two of labor, delivery, type of perineal trauma, time used to place suture, number of suture packets, wound complications, newborn weight and the Apgar score at 1 min and at 5 min. After the suture was placed, they assessed pain on the pain scale and assessed the wound.

Follow-up after delivery was done at 2 h, 24 h, 15 days and 3 months. Pain, need for analgesia, wound condition, sphincter incontinence, and the start of sexual relations were assessed (15 days and 3 months).

Data analysis
First of all, randomized groups were compared regarding variables which could influence the outcomes: statistically significant imbalances were found for marital status and attendance to a health education course during pregnancy. Associations between binary outcomes (e.g., pain - yes/no, incontinence - yes/no) was ascertained by computing odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI); the variables imbalanced after randomization were adjusted for using a non-conditional logistic regression analysis. Normality was checked in continuous variables (e.g., pain assessed by verbal rating scale, time to restart sexual intercourse). In the comparison of continuous outcomes between the two groups the t-test was applied in univariate analyses, and the analysis of covariance to adjust for the two imbalanced baseline variables.

Ethics approval
The study was carried out following the precepts included in the Belmont report and the Declaration of Helsinki (updated at the Brazil Assembly in 2013) for biomedical research. Both the design and the development of the work conform to the standards of good clinical practice (CPMP /
that were needed to perform the continuous suture was
presence group \((14.1 \pm 0.9\) min, compared with \(18.2 \pm 1\) min in the refer-
ence group \((p = 0.003)\). In terms of attendance at an education program
in the interrupted suture group \((n = 38)\) of the women who underwent
interrupted sutures \((aOR = 0.38, 95\% CI = 0.18–0.80)\) \((p = 0.011)\). Urinary sphincter incontinence was also
assessed at 15 days, and was experienced by \(4.3\% (n = 3)\) of the women in the intervention group vs \(18.8\% (n = 12)\) in the reference group \((aOR = 0.11, 95\% CI = 0.03–
0.47)\) \((p = 0.003)\). The number of women restarting sexual
intercourse was small and no difference was found
between the two groups at 15 days, but at 3 months the
number of women normalizing their sexual relationships
was higher in the intervention group \((aOR = 4.78, 95\% =
2.14–10.64)\) \((p<0.001)\).

Table 3 shows the results with the variables measured
continuously. The pain manifested by women at 24 h
postpartum was scored as \(4.4 \pm 0.3\), compared to \(3.4 \pm
0.3\) by those of the continuous suture group \((p = 0.011)\). Three
months after the suture was placed pain was
assessed in the perineal area, with a score of \(0.7 \pm 0.2\) in
the reference group, compared to \(0.2 \pm 0.2\) in the inter-
vention group \((p = 0.030)\). There were no significant dif-
fences regarding the days after delivery to restart
sexual intercourse, although it was higher in the refer-
ence group.

Discussion
Women who had a continuous suture repair showed less
pain at 24 h and required less analgesia. The pain was
also less at 15 days and at 3 months postpartum. The
women in the intervention group also had a lower rate
of urinary incontinence at 15 days after delivery. There
were no differences in pain at 2 h, neither in the rate of
wound dehiscence, nor in the time elapsed for the initi-
ation of sexual relations.

As these were eutocic deliveries, all deliveries were
assisted by midwives. However, we do not believe that
the type of professional who attends the delivery would
influence these results, and therefore if this type of su-
ture had been performed by a gynecologist the results
would not have been affected as long as they were
eutocic deliveries.

Randomization did not achieve complete balance in all
the variables between the two groups. Unbalanced vari-
ables were civil status and attendance during pregnancy

Results
A final total of 70 women were included in the interven-
tion group—who received continuous sutures—and 64
were in included in the reference group.

In Table 1 the two groups are compared according to
different sociodemographic, pregnancy, and labor/deliv-
ery variables. No significant differences were found be-
tween groups for age, education level, body mass index,
presence of prior illnesses, or physical exercise during
pregnancy. Significant differences were only observed in
two variables: civil status and attendance at the educa-
tion program during pregnancy on labor and newborn
care. In the group with interrupted suture there were
more single women and also more women attending the
educational program on pregnancy, labor and care of
newborn. With respect to civil status, \(67.2\% (n = 47)\) in the
continuous suture group were married, compared with
\(59.4\% (n = 38)\) in the interrupted suture group \((p =
0.047)\). In terms of attendance at an education program
during pregnancy, \(54.3\% (n = 38)\) of the continuous su-
ture group attended this, compared with \(73.4\% (n = 47)\)
in the interrupted suture group \((p = 0.031)\).

With respect to the duration of the suture, the time to
place suture in the intervention group had a mean of
\(14.1 \pm 0.9\) min, compared with \(18.2 \pm 1\) min in the reference
group \((p = 0.003)\). The number of suture packets that
were needed to perform the continuous suture was
on average of \(1.1 \pm 0.03\) packets, compared with \(2.3 \pm
0.06\) used in the interrupted technique \((p < 0.001)\).

Table 2 shows the association between the suture
technique that was used and the effects measured as
binary variables. Of the women who had an interrupted
suture \(66.7\% (n = 42)\) required analgesia at 24 h, com-
pared to \(52.9\% (n = 37)\) of those who had continuous su-
tures; with a negative association observed \((aOR = 0.39,
95\% CI = 0.18–0.86)\) \((p = 0.019)\). Regarding pain in the
perineal area at 15 days postpartum, this was experi-
enced by \(31.4\% (n = 22)\) in the intervention group, com-
pared to \(53.1\% (n = 34)\) of the women who underwent
interrupted sutures \((aOR = 0.38, 95\% CI = 0.18–0.80)\)
\((p = 0.011)\). Urinary sphincter incontinence was also
assessed at 15 days, and was experienced by \(4.3\% (n = 3)\)
of the women in the intervention group vs \(18.8\% (n =
12)\) in the reference group \((aOR = 0.11, 95\% CI = 0.03–
0.47)\) \((p = 0.003)\). The number of women restarting sexual
intercourse was small and no difference was found
between the two groups at 15 days, but at 3 months the
number of women normalizing their sexual relationships
was higher in the intervention group \((aOR = 4.78, 95\% =
2.14–10.64)\) \((p<0.001)\).
Table 1 Description of the study population

| Variables | Continuous suture n (70) | Interrupted suture n (64) | P value |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (years), m (SEM)* | 30.98 ± 0.63 | 30.76 ± 0.68 | 0.813 |
| Civil status | | | 0.047 |
| Single, n (%) | 7 (10.00) | 17 (26.56) | |
| Married, n (%) | 47 (67.14) | 38 (59.38) | |
| Stable relationship, n (%) | 15 (21.43) | 9 (14.06) | |
| Divorced, n (%) | 1 (1.43) | 0 (0.00) | |
| Education level | | | 0.979 |
| Incomplete primary, n (%) | 2 (2.86) | 1 (1.56) | |
| Primary, n (%) | 3 (4.29) | 3 (4.69) | |
| Incomplete secondary, n (%) | 2 (2.86) | 4 (6.25) | |
| Secondary, n (%) | 17 (24.29) | 18 (28.13) | |
| Higher secondary education, n (%) | 19 (27.14) | 12 (18.75) | |
| University, n (%) | 27 (38.57) | 26 (40.63) | |
| BMIb, m (SEM) | 28.19 ± 0.51 | 27.27 ± 0.46 | 0.185 |
| Previous illness, Yes, n (%) | 6 (8.57) | 8 (12.50) | 0.575 |
| Exercise during pregnancy, Yes, n (%) | 39 (55.71) | 34 (53.13) | 0.862 |
| Perineal massage pre-labor, Yes, n (%) | 15 (21.43) | 12 (18.75) | 0.830 |
| Attendance during pregnancy at an education course on pregnancy, delivery and newborn care, Yes, n (%) | 38 (54.29) | 47 (73.44) | 0.031 |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks), m (SEM) | 39.57 (0.13) | 39.78 (0.14) | 0.275 |
| Start of labor | | | 0.473 |
| Spontaneous, n (%) | 48 (68.57) | 40 (62.50) | |
| Induced, n (%) | 22 (31.43) | 24 (37.50) | |
| Epidural analgesia, Yes, n (%) | 56 (80.00) | 53 (82.81) | 0.825 |
| Duration of the first stage of labor (minutes), m (SEM) | 314.80 (22.87) | 342.72 (21.10) | 0.373 |
| Duration of the second stage of labor (minutes), m (SEM) | 85.61 (6.29) | 96.64 (8.23) | 0.284 |
| Duration of the third stage of labor (minutes), m (SEM) | 9.71 (1.06) | 9.70 (1.02) | 0.994 |
| Type of perineal lesion | | | 0.387 |
| Episiotomy, n (%) | 32 (45.71) | 35 (54.69) | |
| 2nd degree tear, n (%) | 38 (54.29) | 29 (45.31) | |
| Weight of newborn (grams), m (SEM) | 3252.6 (41.8) | 3185.1 (50.5) | 0.301 |

* m (SEM): mean (standard error of the mean)

b BMI (body mass Index) = Kg/m²

at an education course on pregnancy, delivery and newborn care. These differences were corrected using multivariate analysis. As marital status and education received during pregnancy may affect the participants’ answers on subjective outcomes, such as pain, the results were adjusted for these two variables too, which did not modify the results of the crude analysis. Another limitation that may exist is that the midwives who collected the data knew the group to which the women belonged, which could affect the collection of pain data and to a lesser extent the identification of incontinence. An attempt was made to reduce this potential source of bias with an objective questionnaire in which the midwives did not influence data collection and using a pain scale—the verbal rating scale (VRS) [15].

A strength of this study was that the women did not know the suture technique used (simple blinding) and therefore their answers could not be influenced by knowing the intervention. In the exclusion criteria, parity was taken into account since it has an influence on the discomfort and problems in the postpartum period [16].

Despite a restricted episiotomy policy, episiotomy rates are high (around 50% in both groups) in line with the results of other authors [1, 3].
A 2008 meta-analysis conducted by Kettle et al. [17] which included seven studies concluded, although not significantly, that the severity of pain up to the tenth day was considerably less with the continuous repair method, and that this difference was maintained up to 12 months after of childbirth, in line with our results. One of the included studies was that of Fleming [18] in 1990, in which they suggested that the difference in pain could be caused by the edema produced from the increased pressure in the sutures. A priori this might explain the lower levels of pain observed in our study group at 24 h and 15 days postpartum. This difference was not detected in the first 2 h, possibly because this edematous reaction had not yet occurred; however, we do not believe that this could be the reason that explains our results with respect to pain at 3 months.

Table 2  Type of suture and effects on the woman (binary variables)

| Effect variables                          | Continuous suture | Interrupted suture | OR (95%CI) | p-value | ORa* (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Perineal pain at 24 h post delivery       |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 62 (88.47)        | 62 (96.88)         | 0.25 (0.05–1.22) | 0.087   | 0.17 (0.03–0.99) | 0.048   |
| No                                        | 8 (11.43)         | 2 (3.13)           | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Analgesia at 24 h post delivery           |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 37 (52.86)        | 42 (66.67)         | 0.56 (0.28–1.13) | 0.107   | 0.39 (0.18–0.86) | 0.019   |
| No                                        | 33 (47.14)        | 21 (33.33)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Perineal wound dehiscence at 24 h post delivery |             |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 6 (8.57)          | 6 (9.38)           | 0.91 (0.28–2.97) | 0.871   | 1.20 (0.35–4.11) | 0.776   |
| No                                        | 64 (91.43)        | 58 (90.63)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Perineal pain at 15 days post delivery    |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 22 (31.43)        | 34 (53.13)         | 0.4 (0.20–0.82) | 0.012   | 0.38 (0.18–0.80) | 0.011   |
| No                                        | 48 (68.57)        | 30 (46.88)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Analgesia at 15 days post delivery        |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 8 (11.43)         | 8 (12.50)          | 0.90 (0.32–2.57) | 0.849   | 0.78 (0.26–2.30) | 0.646   |
| No                                        | 62 (88.57)        | 56 (87.50)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Perineal wound dehiscence at 15 h post delivery |             |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 1 (1.43)          | 2 (3.31)           | 0.45 (0.04–5.08) | 0.518   | 0.38 (0.03–4.57) | 0.445   |
| No                                        | 69 (98.57)        | 62 (96.88)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Sphincter incontinence at 15 days postpartum |            |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 3 (4.29)          | 12 (18.75)         | 0.19 (0.05–0.72) | 0.015   | 0.11 (0.03–0.47) | 0.003   |
| No                                        | 67 (95.71)        | 52 (81.25)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Start of sexual relations at 15 days postpartum |        |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 3 (4.29)          | 1 (1.56)           | 2.82 (0.29–27.83) | 0.375   | 4.14 (0.36–47.91) | 0.256   |
| No                                        | 67 (95.71)        | 63 (98.44)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Perineal pain at 3 months                |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 4 (5.71)          | 11 (17.19)         | 0.29 (0.09–0.97) | 0.044   | 0.23 (0.06–0.81) | 0.023   |
| No                                        | 66 (94.29)        | 53 (82.81)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Sphincter incontinence at 3 months       |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 12 (17.14)        | 14 (21.88)         | 0.74 (0.31–1.74) | 0.490   | 0.47 (0.18–1.27) | 0.139   |
| No                                        | 58 (82.86)        | 50 (78.13)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Exercise at 3 months                     |                   |                    |            |         |              |         |
| Yes                                       | 34 (48.57)        | 24 (37.50)         | 1.57 (0.79–3.14) | 0.197   | 1.75 (0.85–3.62) | 0.130   |
| No                                        | 36 (51.43)        | 40 (62.50)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |
| Normalization of sexual intercourse at 3 months |       |                    |            | < 0.001 | 4.78 (2.14–10.64) | < 0.001 |
| Yes                                       | 49 (71.01)        | 23 (37.10)         | 4.15 (2.00–8.64) |         |              |         |
| No                                        | 20 (28.99)        | 39 (62.90)         | 1 (reference) |         | 1 (reference) |         |

* aOR: OR adjusted for civil status and attendance during pregnancy at an education course on pregnancy, delivery and newborn care
Valenzuela et al. [11] in Spain and Kindberg et al. [19] in Denmark conducted randomized studies of continuous compared to interrupted sutures for perineal repair, both with a sample of 400 women in two groups evaluating the level of pain and the use of analgesia on day two, day ten, and at 3 months postpartum. These studies did not observe any significant difference between the two groups, which to some extent is contrary with our results which showed a lower use of analgesia in the first 24 h. In Turkey, Kokonali et al. [12] conducted a trial in which 160 women were included and concluded that the pain with a continuous suture was significantly less severe than with an interrupted suture repair technique. In this trial, pain was assessed with the performance of different activities. However, it did not take into account parity, and only women who had undergone an episiotomy were included, unlike the study by Valenzuela et al. [11] who evaluated second-degree tears and episiotomies.

The time difference between a continuous suture repair and an interrupted suture repair was 4 min. Valenzuela et al. [11] demonstrated that a continuous suture required 1 min less than interrupted sutures. Results from other authors also report similar findings [12, 17]. Another significant difference was the use of less suture material in the continuous repair compared with the repair using interrupted sutures, with a difference of more than one packet. This was also reported in other studies, such as Hasanpoor et al. [8] in Iran, Valenzuela et al. [11] in Spain and Kokonali et al. [12] in Turkey.

Although the commencement of sexual relations was almost 1 week earlier in women with a continuous suture and the mean difference in days till first sexual intercourse was approximately 5 days compared with the continuous suture group, this difference was not significant between groups. Shrivastava et al. [14] and Valenzuela et al. [11] reported the opposite results, with a later re-initiation of sexual relations in the group with continuous sutures. Our results showed that women with a continuous suture had a higher frequency of having normalized their sexual relations at 3 months postpartum.

In our study, we observed less cases of urinary incontinence at both 15 days and at 3 months in women with a continuous suture. We were unable to compare this last result as it has not been analyzed in any previous study.

**Implications for future research**

To address significant knowledge gaps in this area, research is needed that: [1] identifies the impact of the type of suture on all types of vaginal delivery, including instrumental deliveries and in multiparous women [2]; identify or, if necessary, develop measures of impact of care in this area [3]; identify the factors that influence professionals to perform one type of suture or another [4]; develop and evaluate the efficacy of continuous suture of the perineal lesion to reduce the negative impact of this and its comorbidities on women in instrumental deliveries [5]; quantify the direct medical costs associated with the comorbidities associated with the type of suture that is performed and identify the factors that influence these costs; and [6] quantify and fully explore the indirect costs of the type of suture. Research that addresses these objectives will provide the empirical basis necessary to improve the quality of life of women.

**Conclusion**

The findings in our study demonstrated lower levels of pain, less need for analgesia at 24 h, and a lower incidence of urinary incontinence at 15 days postpartum in the group of women who had a continuous suture. In addition, less time is needed for a continuous suture repair and the amount of suture required is lower for a continuous repair compared with an interrupted suture technique.

**Table 3** Type of suture and effects on pain score and sexual activity (continuous variables)

| Variables                              | Univariate analysis |            | p value |            | p value |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
|                                        | Continuous          | Interrupted|         |            |         |
|                                        | suture m (SEM)²     | suture m (sem) |         |            |         |
| Perineal pain at 2 h post delivery     | 1.7 (0.3)           | 2.3 (0.3)  | .142    | 1.7 (0.3)  | 2.3 (0.3) | .208    |
| Perineal pain at 24 h post delivery    | 3.5 (0.3)           | 4.4 (0.2)  | .009    | 3.4 (0.3)  | 4.4 (0.3) | .011    |
| Perineal pain at 15 days post delivery | 0.8 (0.2)           | 1.9 (0.3)  | .001    | 0.8 (0.2)  | 1.9 (0.2) | .001    |
| Perineal pain at 3 months              | 0.2 (0.1)           | 0.7 (0.2)  | .034    | 0.2 (0.2)  | 0.7 (0.2) | .030    |
| Days till sexual activity c            | 41.8 (2.4)          | 48.1 (2.3) | .060    | 41.8 (2.4) | 48.1 (2.5) | .072    |
| Days till first intercourse            | 46.1 (2.2)          | 50.8 (2.2) | .137    | 46.2 (2.2) | 50.8 (2.3) | .168    |

*a Variables adjusted in the multivariate analysis: civil status and attendance during pregnancy to an education course on pregnancy, delivery and newborn care

*b m (Mean); SEM (standard error of the mean)

*c Sexual activity: refers to caresses, sex games, masturbation and sexual intercourse
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