INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is a vector-borne protozoan infection affecting a large number of people in several countries [1]. Around 1.5 million CL new cases are emerging annually and approximately 350 million people are at risk [2]. The great number of CL cases occurs in Algeria, Brazil, Afghanistan, Iran, Peru, Syria and Saudi Arabia. The disease is often asymptomatic, but it can exhibit symptoms very similar to that of many other skin diseases [3].

The progress of CL and its clinical outcomes are strongly-influenced by the host immune response mounted towards the causative parasite [4]. Interferon gamma (IFN-γ) is a major cytokine involved in macrophage activation. Upon activation, the macrophages produce nitric oxide (NO), a potent mediator involved in intracellular and extracellular Leishmania killing [5].

Diagnosis of CL is usually done through demonstration of the leishmanial parasite in skin smears or biopsy with direct microscopy-based detection methods that commonly lack sensitivity and specificity [6]. Several PCR-based assays that allow both parasite detection and species identification, with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity, have been developed [7].

CL skin lesions are often self-healing, but sometimes mandate treatment. The pentavalent antimonial medications remain the standard treatment in many parts in the world [8]. Nonetheless, these compounds are frequently blamed for their serious side-effects and the reported possibility of treatment failure, resistance and skin lesions relapse [9].

In this study, we presented a comprehensive report describing the clinical features, diagnosis, cytokine profile and the antimonial treatment’s response of CL using cases with suspected leishmanial lesions from Al-Taif province, western Saudi Arabia.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting and population
This descriptive study was carried out in Al-Taif region, an area of 13,840 km², situated west Saudi Arabia (Fig. 1). The climate in this high altitude region is variable and the rain usually falls in late summer and autumn seasons. This study was conducted on 90 patients with active skin lesion(s) suspicious for CL. Cases were selected from those patients presented to the Dermatology department at King Feisal, a referral tertiary level general hospital in Taif during the period between September 2016 and August 2017. CL patients with history of prior therapy to the skin lesion(s), who had co-morbid diseases like cancer, chronic renal or liver diseases or infections as viral hepatitis or human immunodeficiency virus, was excluded from participation in the study.

Ethical considerations
An approval of Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Al-Taif University and King Feisal General Hospital (RAC #207004) was declared before the initiation of the study. In addition, a written informed consent was obtained from each participant after a detailed explanation of the study’s purpose, procedures, potential risks and benefits.

Clinical examination
Patients were interviewed with structured questionnaire and clinically-examined by a specialized dermatologist. The questionnaire comprised, in its first part, information about the patient’s socio-demographic features (age, gender, residence, nationality, animals in or near the house and leishmaniasis-endemic areas visited 1-3 months before eruption of the skin lesions) and in its second part, information about the patient’s medical history (prior medications, home remedies, concomitant diseases and lesion’s evolution time). The lesion’s evolution time was calculated by the time interval from the lesion’s eruption day till the patient’s consultation day.

The clinical examination involved tissue-affected, lesion characteristics (number, size, site and appearance). The lesion’s size was measured through 2 crossing diameters by a metric caliper. For patients with multiple lesions, the surface area was reported as a mean size of the total lesions.

Microscopic examination for Leishmania
Scraping materials were taken from the patient’s lesion and divided into 2 parts: one part was directly used for microscopic examination and another part was stored at 4°C for subsequent molecular parasitological diagnosis. Tissues were scraped from the active edge of the lesion by using a sterile lancet. The scraped material was smeared on a slide glass, fixed with one drop of methanol, stained with Giemsa stain and examined under a light microscope for leishmanial amastigotes, as previously described [10].

Molecular detection of Leishmania
Nnucleic acid of the stored scraping materials were extracted and purified using a genomic DNA purification Kit (Ferments, UK) according to manufacturer’s protocol. DNA was eluted with 50 μl elution buffer and kept at -20°C until PCR analysis. The first PCR analysis was done using Leishmania OligoC-TestT commercial kit (Coris Bioconcept, Gembloux, Belgium). The second PCR was kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) PCR, an in-house semi-nested conventional PCR. Amplification reaction and products analysis were performed with reference to a previous protocol [11].

Assay on IFN-γ and NO in sera
All participants were asked to give blood sample for assays on IFN-γ and NO levels in their sera before treatment. After overnight fasting, 5 ml of venous blood were withdrawn, kept
at room temperature for 30 min. Serum was transferred to sterile tubes, centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C and kept at -20°C. Level of IFN-γ was determined using RayBio® Human ELISA commercial kit (Norcross, Georgia, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Serum nitrate concentration, as a stable end-product of nitric oxide, was measured by an endpoint one-step enzymatic assay using nitrate reductase. The concomitant reduction of nitrate to nitrite by NADPH was monitored by the oxidation of the coenzyme and the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm (μmol/L).

Patients treatment and follow-up

Patients eligible for treatment were selected and sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam, GlaxoSmithKline, Uxbridge, UK) was administered intramuscularly at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day for 20 days. Ulcerative lesions were observed for healing during the intramuscular injections. Resolution signs of the lesions included inflammatory signs (skin erythema, edema and hardening) and size regression (partial or complete scarring, re-epithelialization).

Statistical analysis

Collected data were coded, tabulated and statistically analyzed using SPSS 19 program (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive data were analyzed as frequency, percentage, and mean ± standard deviation (SD). Serum level of IFN-γ was expressed as non-parametric variable and compared between groups using Mann-Whitney to test significant difference at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Socio-epidemiological features

Ninety CL suspects were identified and investigated in our study, with an estimated average annual frequency rate of 7.5 cases per 100,000 inhabitants. Cases registered all the year around, majority of cases were recorded in winter (45.0%), reached a peak at February/March (16.6%) and March/April (13.3%) interval periods. The cases decreased in other months and minimum in August (3.3%) and November (4.4%) (Fig. 2).

Of all CL patients, investigated, 77.7% were male (mean age = 28.40 ± 21.08) and 22% female (mean age 42.5 ± 21.28). Patients were recruited into 4 age groups and their demographic characteristics were described in relation to their age groups (Table 1). Majority of cases were below 20 years (50.0%), coming from remote rural areas (75.5%) and had a travel history to leishmania-endemic areas inside or outside the country (86.6%). Significant difference was not observed in these 3 variables between the patients’ age groups (P > 0.05, chi-square).

Infection appeared more frequently in Saudi people (71; 78.8%) than in non-Saudi (21.1%), with high significant differences observed among age groups and patient’s nationality (P < 0.001). Moreover, 68.8% cases reported contact with domestic animals like dogs, sheep, goats, cattle, buffaloes, donkey or chickens in or nearby their houses, significant difference observed between different age groups (P < 0.05).

Fig. 2. A month-wise distribution of 90 leishmanial cases enrolled in this study.
Clinical characteristics

Considering the leishmanial lesions, examined, all were confined to the patients’ skin with no mucosal tissue involvement noticed. The mean number of lesions was 1.08 ± 0.356 (mean ± SD) and the mean surface area of 6.35 ± 3.01 cm². The lesion’s evolution time ranged between 3 and 15 months (mean ± SD = 5.96 ± 2.53). The majority of lesions were of 3-6 months durations (85.5%). Most of the patients (84; 93.3%)

Table 1. Demographic features of 90 CL suspects by age group

| Variable (No.) | 5-19 yr | 20-39 yr | 40-59 yr | ≥ 60 yr | P-value |
|----------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Gender         |         |          |          |         |         |
| Male (70)      | 39 (43.3) | 10 (11.1) | 12 (13.3) | 9 (10) | 0.090533 |
| Female (20)    | 6 (6.6)  | 2 (2.2)  | 8 (8.8)  | 4 (4.4) |         |
| Residence      |         |          |          |         |         |
| Rural (68)     | 37 (41.1) | 10 (11.1) | 12 (13.3) | 9 (10) | 0.22345 |
| Urban (22)     | 8 (8.8)  | 2 (2.2)  | 8 (8.8)  | 4 (4.4) |         |
| Nationality    |         |          |          |         |         |
| Saudi (71)     | 42 (46.6) | 9 (10)   | 9 (10)   | 11 (12.2) | 0.000187** |
| Non-Saudi (19) | 3 (3.3)  | 3 (3.3)  | 11 (12.2) | 2 (2.2) |         |
| Travel history |         |          |          |         |         |
| Yes (78)       | 35 (38.8) | 11 (12.2) | 19 (21.1) | 13 (14.4) | 0.21331 |
| No (12)        | 10 (11.1) | 1 (1.1)  | 1 (1.1)  | 0 (0.0) |         |
| Animals around house |         |          |          |         |         |
| Yes (62)       | 36 (40)  | 7 (7.7)  | 9 (10)   | 10 (11.1) | 0.030195* |
| No (28)        | 9 (10)   | 5 (5.5)  | 11 (12.2) | 3 (3.3) |         |

*Highly significant (P<0.001); *Significant (P<0.05).

Table 2. Clinical features of CL active skin lesions by age group

| Lesion (patient no.) | Case number (%) of age group | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                      | 5-19 yr | 20-39 yr | 40-59 yr | ≥ 60 yr |
| Type                 |         |          |          |         |
| Nodular (5)          | 2 (2.2) | 1 (1.1)  | 2 (2.2)  | 0 (0.0) | 0.851897 |
| Ulcerative (85)      | 43 (47.7)| 11 (12.2)| 18 (20)  | 13 (14.4) |         |
| Ulcer                |         |          |          |         |         |
| Wet (12)             | 5 (5.5) | 3 (3.3)  | 3 (3.3)  | 1 (1.1) | 0.506986 |
| Dry (73)             | 38 (42.2)| 8 (8.8)  | 15 (16.6)| 12 (13.3) |         |
| Duration             |         |          |          |         |         |
| < 6 months (77)      | 39 (43.3)| 9 (9.9)  | 19 (21.1)| 10 (11.1) | 0.568424 |
| 6-12 months (9)      | 5 (5.5) | 2 (2.2)  | 1 (1.1)  | 1 (1.1) |         |
| > 12 months (4)      | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.1)  | 0 (0.0)  | 2 (2.2) |         |
| Location             |         |          |          |         |         |
| Face (61)            | 38 (42.2)| 6 (6.6)  | 8 (8.8)  | 9 (9.9) | 0.000273* |
| Upper limbs (15)     | 4 (4.4) | 5 (5.5)  | 3 (3.3)  | 3 (3.3) |         |
| Lower limbs (14)     | 3 (3.3) | 1 (1.1)  | 9 (9.9)  | 1 (1.1) |         |
| Number               |         |          |          |         |         |
| Single (84)          | 43 (47.7)| 11 (12.2)| 19 (21.1)| 11 (12.2) | 0.722736 |
| Double (4)           | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.1)  | 1 (1.1)  | 1 (1.1) |         |
| Multiple (2)         | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.1) |         |
| Surface area         |         |          |          |         |         |
| 1-5 cm (35)          | 16 (17.7)| 4 (4.4)  | 7 (7.7)  | 8 (8.8) | 0.224655 |
| 5-10 cm (54)         | 29 (32.2)| 8 (8.8)  | 13 (14.4)| 4 (4.4) |         |
| > 10 cm (1)          | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.1) |         |

*Highly significant (P<0.001).
presented with single lesion, while 6 patients developed 2-3 lesions. The highest proportion of lesions (85; 94.4%) was of ulcerative type while 5.5% of lesions were nodular. Lesions affected exposed body parts, mainly the face (61; 67.7%), followed by the upper limbs (15; 16.6%) and the lower limbs (14; 15.5%). Table 2 shows the clinical features of the leishmanial skin lesions relative to the different age groups. The mean surface areas of lesions were below 5 cm in 35 (38.8%) of cases, 5-10 cm in 54 (60%) patients and more than 10 cm in just one case. The ulcerative lesions looked dry with scales in 85.8% (73/85) and wet in 14.1% (12/85) of cases. The lesions were exclusively found on exposed body areas: the face in 67.7%, the lower limbs in 15.5% and the upper limbs in 16.6% of patients. The facial lesions were found frequent in young patients below 19 years (38/61; 62.2%), while the limbs lesions were described more in older ages (≈ 86%), with highly significant differences observed among the patients age groups ($P = 0.000273$, chi-square).

### Diagnostic tests results

The *Leishmania* amastigote forms were exhibited in 67 (74.4%) cases by Giemsa stained smear microscopy. In comparison, the leishmanial DNA was identified in 86 (95.5%) cases with the OligoC-Test. The assay picked 19 CL cases more than microscopy. The semi-nested kDNA PCR assay identified the remaining 4 CL cases, achieving 100% sensitivity (Fig. 3). Importantly, all leishmanial cases identified by microscopy were also positives for the parasite DNA.

### Treatment and follow up results

Forty-seven (52.2%) patients were found suitable for treatment with sodium stibogluconate. All patients were followed up at the end of therapy. Forty patients (85.1%) displayed clinical signs for partial or complete resolution of their skin lesions. For IFN-γ and NO serum levels analysis, these patients were allocated as group (A). The remaining patients (n = 7) had no resolution signs for their skin lesions and recruited for the above purpose.

**IFN-γ and NO levels**

Before pentostam therapy, the levels of IFN-γ and NO in patients’ sera were higher in group (A) than in group (B). Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used for comparative studies (Table 3). Statistically, the differences observed between the 2 groups were highly significant ($P = 0.000$, 2-tailed).

### DISCUSSION

During this relatively-short study period, 90 patients with active *leishmanial* skin lesions were identified in the study’s setting. At first glance, the absolute number of cases detected per one year seems relatively large, inconsistent with previous reports from the same setting [12]. Factors like the increasing urbanization close to the nearby endemic foci, the growing population’s size and the frequent population’s movement could be explanations. Moreover, the progress made in disease’s diagnosis and the increase in population’s awareness towards...
the value of early treatment may be additional explanations. Taken together, it was clear that CL in the study setting is more frequent than perceived in the literature and mandate further attention from the health authorities.

CL cases were reported all the year round, but most of the cases were reported in the winter, in agreement with previous Saudi studies [13,14]. Conversely, in a study, carried out in southeastern Tunisia, most of the cases were recorded in the summer [15]. The local climate and its effects on the host-vector activities could explain seasonality variations among different studies [16]. All CL lesions were confined to the skin with no mucosal tissue involvement or nodular dissemination noticed in all cases, consistent with previous studies [17,18] and inconsistent with others [19,20].

The patient’s young age, male gender, rural residence, and frequent travel were proven risk factors for CL in the study’s setting. Although no age was found immune to infection, patients below 20 years were the most susceptible age group. Identical observation has been displayed in an earlier report [21]. In contrast to our finding, patients aged 20-30 years have been described as a high-risk group, in one study [22] while older patients (40-60 years) were the most vulnerable group in another [18]. Regarding gender distribution, a definite male preponderance, with 3.5:1 male to female ratio, was defined in our study. The same path has been widely perceived in other Saudi [13,14] and non-Saudi endemic loci [23]. Inconsistent with our finding, an equal distribution of CL cases between males and females has been also reported in one study [24] and the female gender predominance has been reported in another [25]. Perhaps, the above striking differences among studies are related to the cultural difference, behavioral patterns and occupational activities of the studied populations.

Also in our study, the microscopic examination of stained smear prepared from lesion’s scraping displayed sensitivity of 74%, higher than that previously reported. Sensitivities of 42%-70% have been reported in earlier studies [23,25]. All skin scraping samples that diagnosed as negatives by microscopy were proved positives for leishmanial DNA by one of the 2 PCR assays. The kDNA PCR was more sensitive than the OligoC-Test kit and microscopy. Pentostam® with the adopted regimen proved effective in treating 85% of cases, especially those IFN-γ and NO levels in their sera before treatment initiation. We recommend more in-depth field and longitudinal studies in the near future to support our study’s findings.
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