Introduction

Keratoconus is a progressive, non-inflammatory ectatic condition of the cornea (1, 2). It causes considerable alterations of the corneal cellular structure. In vivo slit scanning corneal confocal microscopy provides real-time microscopic images of corneal layers with high resolution and magnification. It helps to understand minute cellular alterations that occur in the disease process of living human cornea (7). Previous studies using a confocal microscope revealed that cellular alterations in keratoconus include decreased basal cell, keratocyte and endothelium cell densities and increased polymegathism and pleomorphism (2–6) compared with control subjects. However, the literature on morphological changes at the cellular level in different stages of keratoconus is limited. Erie et al. suggested that keratocyte...
loss in keratoconus could be associated with disease severity (3). Ucakhan et al. reported that morphological alterations, such as folds in the anterior stroma, elongation of keratocyte nuclei, increased polymegathism and pleomorphism and decreased keratocyte density in stroma, were more evident in the severe stages of keratoconus than in the early stage (4). By contrast, Weed et al. found that the anterior and posterior stromal keratocyte densities increased in moderate and advance keratoconus compared with the controls (5). Bitirgen et al. observed a significant difference in the anterior and posterior stromal keratocyte and endothelial cell densities between mild and moderate keratoconus (22). However, the variation in the qualitative and quantitative observation of corneal cell morphology in different disease severities of keratoconus has yet to be clearly established.

Thus, this study aimed to compare the corneal cell morphology between patients with keratoconus and those with healthy eyes without any ocular disease and to further analyse the corneal cell morphology in different severities of keratoconus.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

New keratoconus patients without any interventions seen in the ophthalmology department of a public hospital in Malaysia were considered the study population. Sample size was estimated at 23 patients with keratoconus using the formula of comparing two group means (independent groups) for continuous variables, and the parameters were set as follows: \( \alpha = 0.05 \), power of the study = 90%, margin of error =10%, and standard deviation of a morphological parameter tested (mean anterior stromal keratocyte cell density in keratoconus 909 (91) cells/mm\(^2\); Hollingsworth et al.) = 91 (9, 32). However, the present study was a part of an interventional study in which the corneal morphology of keratoconus using different types of contact lenses was evaluated. Thus, the study reported the corneal morphology of 47 keratoconus patients instead of 23 patients along with the corneal morphology of 32 healthy eyes of normal subjects, who were recruited between June 2013 and May 2014, to conduct this cross-sectional observational study. A comprehensive eye examination was conducted before recruiting keratoconus patients and healthy subjects. Clinical signs, such as scissors reflex with retinoscopy, central or paracentral thinning, Fleischer ring, Vogt's striae, Munson's sign in slit lamp biomicroscopy and central or paracentral steepening of the cornea in topography (Pentacam, Oculus, Optikgerate GmBH, Wetzlar, Germany), were followed up to diagnose keratoconus. Patients with any ocular diseases apart from keratoconus, with history of wearing contact lenses or surgical interventions in the cornea, using any kind of ocular medication and with systemic diseases that affect ocular health (e.g., diabetes mellitus, hypertension, arthritis and thyroid disease) were excluded from the study. Patients with keratoconus were divided into three subgroups: stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus according to the Amsler–Krumeich classification. The classification is based on myopia with induced astigmatism, mean central keratometry reading, corneal thickness in the thinnest location and presence or absence of a corneal scar (8). Informed consent was obtained from all the keratoconus patients and healthy subjects. Approval was obtained from the University Ethics Committee and Medical Research and Ethics Committee in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Confocal microscopy**

In vivo slit-scanning confocal microscopy was performed using ConfoScan4 (Nidek Technologies Srl, Albignasego, Italy) by following the standard operative procedure. A corneal full thickness scan was applied to capture the images of corneal layers in the keratoconus and control subjects. Throughout the examination, 350 images were captured. Three sharp and clear images of each corneal layer were selected for qualitative and quantitative analyses. Qualitative analysis of the stromal haze was conducted following the grading scale designed by Hollingsworth et al. (9). Quantitative analysis of cell densities and areas was performed using the Nidek Advanced Visual Information System application software in a fixed frame of 600 µm \( \times \) 600 µm. A semi-automated method was used to analyse the stromal keratocyte. The keratocyte nuclei were marked manually using the ‘L’ method to calculate the cell area and density (6, 10). The fully automated method was used to analyse the endothelium.

**Examiners**

One observer was involved in this study for the selection and analysis of all the images, but the observer was not informed about the severity of the keratoconus patients. Inter-
The number of Malay, Indian and Chinese was 24 (51.0%), 18 (38.3%) and 5 (10.6%), respectively. Qualitative observations of the corneal cell morphology in the keratoconus group were noticeably different from those of the healthy eyes. Epithelial cells were not clearly visible in all keratoconic eyes. Analysis was not conducted because of poor image quality. Bowman’s layer was observed as amorphous layers in the keratoconic eyes, similar to the healthy eyes (Figure 1). However, Bowman’s layer was not clearly visible in three (6.3%) eyes with stage 3 keratoconus, in which the sub-basal nerve fibres were visible along with the stromal keratocyte in Bowman’s plane (Figure 2). The sub-basal nerve fibres in the keratoconic eyes were more tortuous (Figure 3) than the straight vertical orientation of nerves seen in the healthy eyes (Figure 4).

Statistical Analysis

All data were analysed using the SPSS software (IMB SPSS 17; SPSS Inc., USA). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the normality of the data distribution. Descriptive statistics and percentage (%) were used to analyse the qualitative data. The independent sample t-test was used to compare the difference in means between healthy eyes and keratoconus. The one-way ANOVA test (post-hoc test) was used to compare the mean cell area and density among three different severities and three different ethnic groups of keratoconus. All the tests were two tailed, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Fifty-eight patients with keratoconus were randomly selected from the hospital. Among them, three were not willing to participate, and eight did not match the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study. Thus, 47 eyes of the 47 clinically diagnosed patients with keratoconus (18 males and 29 females) and 32 non-keratoconic eyes (11 males and 21 females) as the control were evaluated for the study. The mean (standard deviation) ages of the patients with keratoconus and healthy subjects were 28.42 (SD 6.42) and 28.28 (SD 7.57) years, respectively. The mean age and sex were not significantly different (P = 0.928 and P = 0.592, respectively) between the keratoconus and healthy subjects. In the keratoconus subgroups, the number of stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 patients with keratoconus was 9 (19.1%), 21 (44.6%) and 17 (36.1%), respectively. In the keratoconus group, the number of Malay, Indian and Chinese was 24 (51.0%), 18 (38.3%) and 5 (10.6%), respectively.
Regularly arranged round or cigar-shaped keratocyte nuclei were observed in the healthy eyes (Figure 7). Irregularities in the shape and size of keratocyte nuclei were observed in stage 3 keratoconic eyes (Figure 8). Thin and elongated keratocyte nuclei were observed in three (6.3%) eyes with stage 3 keratoconus. A thick, long and bright artefact was observed in the anterior stroma of two (4.2%) eyes with stage 3 keratoconus that looked like an accumulation of cells. In the anterior stroma, small dark bands were observed in two (4.2%) eyes with stage 3 keratoconus. In the posterior stroma, keratocyte cells were visible in all the keratoconic eyes with grade 0 haze in 24 (51.0%) eyes, grade 1 haze in 20 (42.5%) eyes and grade 2 haze in 3 (6.3%) eyes. In the posterior stroma, thick dark bands were observed in three (6.3%) eyes with stage 2 and 3 (6.3%) eyes with stage 3 keratoconus.
The quantitative analysis of the corneal cell morphology showed a significant difference between the keratoconus and healthy eyes shown in Table 2. The mean sub-basal nerve fibre density and sub-basal nerve fibre length were significantly less ($P < 0.001$) in keratoconus group compared with healthy eyes. The mean anterior stromal keratocyte density (ASKD) and mean anterior stromal keratocyte area (ASKA) in the keratoconus group were significantly different ($P < 0.001$) from those in healthy eyes. The mean ASKD in stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus subgroups showed a significant reduction in cell density ($P < 0.001$) with increased severity (Table 3). Significant

Table 1. Comparison of stromal haze, polymegathism and pleomorphism of corneal layers in between stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus (KC) subgroups

| Parameters                     | Stage 1 KC (n=9) | Stage 2 KC (n=21) | Stage 3 KC (n=17) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Anterior stromal *haze (eyes)  |                  |                   |                   |
| Grade 0: 3 (33.33%)            | Grade 0: 4 (19.05%) | Grade 0: 3 (17.65%) |
| Grade 1: 4 (44.45%)            | Grade 1: 11 (52.38%) | Grade 1: 12 (70.59%) |
| Grade 2: 2 (22.22%)            | Grade 2: 6 (28.57%) | Grade 2: 6 (28.57%) |
| Posterior stromal *haze (eyes) |                  |                   |                   |
| Grade 0: 5 (55.56%)            | Grade 0: 13 (61.90%) | Grade 0: 6 (35.29%) |
| Grade 1: 4 (44.44%)            | Grade 1: 8 (38.10%) | Grade 1: 8 (47.06%) |
| Polymegathism (Average)        | 40.87%           | 40.70%            | 43.14%            |
| Pleomorphism (Average)         | 33.20%           | 36.14%            | 37.30%            |

* KC: Keratoconus. n: Number of patients with keratoconus.
* Stromal haze is graded based on the grading scale designed by Hollingsworth et al. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2005; 25:254–260.
The mean endothelial cell density (ECD) of keratoconic eyes was not significantly different ($P = 0.072$) from that of healthy eyes. The mean endothelial cell area (ECA) of keratoconic eyes was significantly different ($P = 0.030$) from that of healthy eyes. The mean ECD of stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus subgroups progressively decreased with the increased severity of the disease. However, the mean ECD and ECA were not significantly different ($P = 0.754$ and $P = 0.919$, respectively) among the three keratoconus subgroups. The detailed quantitative analysis among the three stages of keratoconus is presented in Table 3.

Accordingly, an analysis was conducted to evaluate the differences in the corneal cell morphology among the three ethnic subgroups (Malay, Indian and Chinese) in patients with keratoconus (Table 4). The qualitative analysis did not show any noticeable difference among the three ethnic subgroups in patients with keratoconus. The quantitative analysis also did not reveal any significance ($P > 0.05$) difference in cell density and area among the three ethnic subgroups in patients with keratoconus.

**Table 2.** Comparison of cell density and cell area of corneal layers between keratoconus and healthy eyes

| Parameters          | Keratoconus* ($n=47$) | Healthy eyes* ($n=32$) | Mean difference | t-statistic (df) | P-value* |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
|                     | Mean (SD)              | Mean (SD)              | Mean (SD)       |                 |          |
| ASKD (cells/mm²)    | 885.08 (47.34)         | 1095.71 (101.85)       | 210.63 (176.64, 244.53) | 12.37 (77) | 0.        |
| ASKA (µm²)          | 1132.96 (59.89)        | 925.79 (84.89)         | -207.16 (-239.57, -174.75) | -12.72 (77) | 0.        |
| PSKD (cells/mm²)    | 705.78 (47.65)         | 808.15 (88.85)         | 102.37 (71.64, 133.11) | 6.63 (77) | 0.        |
| PSKA (µm²)          | 1423.55 (98.99)        | 1250.90 (138.34)       | -172.65 (-225.79, -119.50) | -6.46 (77) | 0.        |
| ECD (cells/mm²)     | 2860.04 (215.28)       | 2946.71 (194.52)       | 86.67 (-7.87, 181.22) | 1.82 (77) | 0.072     |
| ECA (µm²)           | 348.51 (22.75)         | 332.30 (42.19)         | -16.20 (-30.83, -1.59) | -2.20 (77) | 0.030     |
| SBNFD (cells/mm²)   | 13.3 (7.3)             | 19.1 (5.2)             | 5.8 (11.23, 21.32) | 1.31 (32) | 0.        |
| SBNFL (µm²/mm²)     | 11.2 (4.1)             | 18.5 (3.5)             | 7.3 (9.37, 16.21) | 1.27 (32) | 0.        |

*Mean(standard deviation). * Independent t-test. n: Number of patients with keratoconus. t: t-statistics. df: Degree of freedom. CI: Confidence intervals, ASKD: Anterior stromal keratocyte density. ASKA: Anterior stromal keratocyte area. PSKD: Posterior stromal keratocyte density. PSKA: Posterior stromal keratocyte area. ECD: Endothelial cell density. ECA: Endothelial cell area. SBNFD: Sub-nasal nerve fibers density. SBNFL: Sub-basal nerve fibers length.
Discussion

The pathological process of keratoconus involves all layers of the cornea. Thus, the cellular morphology of each corneal layer alters in eyes with keratoconus (3–6). However, these cellular alterations may vary based on the severity of the disease (22, 31). The present study was conducted to observe the corneal morphological changes in patients with keratoconus. Furthermore, the cellular morphology in the different stages of keratoconus was compared.

In the present study, Bowman’s layer was visible as an amorphous layer in patients with keratoconus and healthy subjects. However, it was not distinguishable in a few stage 3 keratoconic eyes, in which sub-basal nerve fibres and keratocyte nuclei were visible in Bowman’s plane. Mutalib et al. described the same abnormality in a single case with stage 3 keratoconus, and Efron et al. called this abnormality the ‘split field’ view of Bowman’s layer (7, 31). A tear in Bowman’s layer in severe keratoconus may be the reason for this abnormality (11, 12).

In the present study, sub-basal nerve fibres were more tortuous than the vertical orientation of the nerves seen in healthy eyes. Bitirgen et al. reported a change in the usual pattern of sub-basal nerves in keratoconic eyes. However, there is no clear explanation for this abnormality (22).

The study demonstrated an increased stromal haze with the progression of the disease in patients with keratoconus. In the anterior and posterior stroma, the level of haze was noticeably higher in stage 3 than in the stage 1 and stage 2 keratoconus subgroups. Uçakhan et al. and

Table 3. Comparison of cell density and cell area of corneal layers in between stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus (KC) subgroups

| Parameters     | Stage 1 KC (*n=9*) | Stage 2 KC (*n=21*) | Stage 3 KC (*n=17*) | F* (df:2,44) | P-value* |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|
| ASKD (cells/mm²) | 924.78 (42.60)   | 895.92 (42.23)   | 850.66 (31.75)   | 12.206       | 0.000    |
| ASKA (µm²)     | 1083.43 (51.61)  | 1118.47 (51.89)  | 1177.07 (43.50)  | 12.426       | 0.000    |
| PSKD (cells/mm²) | 739.44 (44.90)   | 702.91 (26.51)   | 691.50 (61.45)   | 3.360        | 0.044    |
| PSKA (µm²)     | 1357.01 (86.60)  | 1424.58 (54.08)  | 1457.51 (130.57) | 3.344        | 0.044    |
| ECD (cells/mm²) | 2905.44 (291.09) | 2853.85 (218.02) | 2837.47 (227.82) | 0.298        | 0.754    |
| ECA (µm²)      | 345.66 (23.88)   | 349.30 (24.41)   | 349.04 (21.26)   | 0.640        | 0.520    |

Table 4. Comparison of cell density and cell area of corneal layers in between three ethnic subgroups in keratoconus

| Parameters     | Malay (*n=24*) | Indian (*n=18*) | Chinese (*n=5*) | F (df:2,44) | P-value* |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|----------|
| ASKD (cells/mm²) | 893.05 (45.32) | 881.24 (52.81) | 860.66 (30.29) | 1.067       | 0.353    |
| ASKA (µm²)     | 1122.46 (55.77) | 1138.61 (68.13) | 1163.02 (41.26) | 1.082       | 0.348    |
| PSKD (cells/mm²) | 705.41 (50.02) | 704.95 (42.59) | 705.78 (47.65) | 0.027       | 0.973    |
| PSKA (µm²)     | 1424.54 (102.16) | 1424.15 (91.29) | 1416.66 (131.11) | 0.013       | 0.987    |
| ECD (cells/mm²) | 2867.41 (153.42) | 2822.88 (264.70) | 2760.90 (177.74) | 0.654       | 0.525    |
| ECA (µm²)      | 349.40 (18.82)  | 349.46 (24.49)  | 363.58 (23.97)  | 0.953       | 0.394    |

* KC: Keratoconus. Mean (SD): Mean(standard deviation). * Analysis of variance (ANOVA). F: F-ratio. df: Degree of freedom. n: Number of patients with keratoconus. ASKD: Anterior stromal keratocyte density. ASKA: Anterior stromal keratocyte area. PSKD: Posterior stromal keratocyte density. PSKA: Posterior stromal keratocyte area. ECD: Endothelial cell density. ECA: Endothelial cell area.
Efron et al. also reported different amounts of haze and reflectivity in stroma in patients with keratoconus (4, 7). Collagen fibrils play a major role in maintaining the transparency of the cornea with their regular and organised arrangement. Mackiewicz et al. and Sawaguchi et al. reported that increased proteolytic enzymes or decreased levels of proteinase inhibitors leads to the loss of collagen fibrils in keratoconus (14, 15). Runager et al. and Chaerkady et al. found that decreased a level of proteoglycans molecules and a decreased concentration of transforming growth factor beta are linked to the disorganisation of collagen fibrils in keratoconus (16, 17). Therefore, the activities of the enzymes may be altered with the progression of the disease, and they may cause alteration in the number and arrangement of collagen fibrils. This reason may explain the loss of transparency of stromal layers (2, 5, 9).

In the present study, irregularities in the size and shape of the anterior stromal keratocyte nuclei were observed. Furthermore, relatively thin and elongated keratocyte nuclei were observed in the anterior stroma of stage 3 keratoconic eyes. Ucakhan et al. and Mutalib et al. reported the presence of elongated keratocyte nuclei in the anterior stroma in keratoconus (4, 31). However, Somodi et al. found elongated keratocyte nuclei in the posterior stroma (13). The reason for the changes in shape of keratocyte nuclei is not yet completely understood. Nevertheless, Muller et al. reported that the shape of keratocyte nuclei is location dependent (18), whereas Maurice et al. found that the shape of keratocyte could depend on the allocation of proteoglycan in the stroma (19).

The accumulation of cells appearing as a bright artefact was observed in the anterior stroma in two stage 3 keratoconic eyes. Mutalib et al. reported a similar finding in a single stage 3 keratoconic eye (31). Studies reported that in severe keratoconus, the broken areas of a fragmented Bowman’s layer are filled with either epithelium or keratocyte cells (11, 12). Thus, this cluster of cells can be hypothesised to transfer the keratocyte approaching the breaks of Bowman’s layer of stage 3 keratoconus. However, further investigation of this observation is essential.

In the present study, the dark bands were observed in the anterior stroma of stage 3 keratoconic eyes. This finding was supported by Ucakhan et al., who reported the presence of Vogt’s striae in the anterior stroma of keratoconic eyes with moderate and severe keratoconus (4). In the present study, the posterior stroma also demonstrated prominent and continuous dark bands in stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconic eyes. Weed et al., Somodi et al. and Hollingsworth et al. reported a similar finding in the posterior stroma of patients with keratoconus (5, 13, 20). Hayes et al. found that only a minor alteration occurs in the collagen lamellar orientation in mild keratoconus. However, the alteration of collagen fibrils in stromal lamellae is related to the progression of the disease (21). Therefore, the dark bands (Vogt’s striae) are commonly seen in relatively severe keratoconic eyes. The abnormal separation of collagen fibrils in stromal lamellae could be the contributing factor in Vogt’s striae (5, 20). However, Ucakhan et al. stated that the pulling of the endothelium and Descemet’s membrane towards the cone apex could be the reason (4).

In the present study, the mean anterior and posterior stromal keratocyte densities and cell areas in the keratoconus group were significantly different from those in healthy eyes. Previous researches also reported similar findings (3–6). A significant difference was also observed among the three keratoconus subgroups. In the anterior stroma, keratocyte density was 3.12% and 8.01% less in stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus, respectively, than that in the stage 1 keratoconus subgroup. In the posterior stroma, keratocyte cell density was also 4.94% and 6.48% less in stage 2 and stage 3 keratoconus, respectively, than in the stage 1 keratoconus subgroup. Eric et al. and Ucakhan et al. also related the loss of keratocyte to the severity of keratoconus (3, 4). Recently, Bitirgen et al. observed a significant difference in the keratocyte density between mild and moderate keratoconus (22). Studies showed that keratocyte cell loss could be associated with the release of cytokines in the pathological process of the disease that causes the apoptosis of keratocyte (23, 24). However, mechanical injuries in the epithelium due to eye rubbing or wearing of contact lenses could also lead to the release of apoptotic cytokines (25, 26). Therefore, micro traumas caused by eye rubbing or wearing of contact lenses could be an influencing factor in progressive cellular alteration. Furthermore, the differences in the keratocyte cell area between the control and the keratoconus group and among the different keratoconus subgroups could be explained by the increased irregularities in the size and shape of the keratocyte in the later stages of keratoconus.

Previous studies reported changes in the endothelial cell morphology in keratoconic eyes.
(3–6, 22). In the present study, polymegathism and pleomorphism were higher in patients with keratoconus than in those with healthy eyes. Moreover, polymegathism and pleomorphism were higher in patients with stage 3 keratoconus than in those with stage 1 and stage 2 keratoconus. Ucakhan et al. and Chi et al. observed polymegathism and pleomorphism in severe keratoconus compared with those in the early stages of keratoconus (4, 27). Degenerative and ectatic changes in the later stages of keratoconus can explain the alteration in the usual hexagonal pattern of endothelial cells (27, 28). In the present study, the changes observed in endothelial cell density and cell area among the three keratoconus subgroups were not significantly different. Ucakhan et al. reported a significant decrease in endothelial cell density in severe keratoconus compared with mild and moderate keratoconus (4). However, Bitirgen et al. and Mocan et al. found a significant difference in endothelial cell density among mild, moderate and severe keratoconus (22, 29). The reason for the endothelial cell loss in keratoconus is not yet completely understood. Nevertheless, Kaldawy et al. argued that apoptosis is the only process of cell death in keratoconus (30). Therefore, the degenerative nature of keratoconus and apoptosis of endothelial cell could be the reason for the endothelial cell loss and the differences in endothelial cell density and area among the three keratoconus subgroups.

Conclusion

The present study showed that corneal cell morphology in keratoconus varies significantly from that in healthy eyes. Furthermore, the difference in morphological alteration, as observed in the different stages of keratoconus, was suggestive of progressive cellular changes in the cornea with the progression of the disease. As indicated in the above discussion, the management of keratoconus could be severity based. Although the usual pathological process of the disease cannot be interfered, avoiding micro trauma, such as eye rubbing and wearing ill-fitting contact lenses, may slow down the disease progression.

One of the limitations of the study is the difficulty in imaging three epithelium layers of the cornea. Moreover, a greater number of patients in each ethnic group could have revealed a better understanding of the effect of ethnicity on keratoconus.
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