Biostatistics of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* in maize plants in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia
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Abstract. Russiani W, Anwar R, Triwidodo H. 2021. Biostatistics of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* in maize plants in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22: 3463-3469. Fall Armyworm (FAW) *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) is an invasive species that has become important pest of maize in Indonesia since 2019. This research was aimed to study the biology and demographic statistics of FAW in maize at Bogor. Daily observations of 132 FAW individuals from egg to death were carried out as the basis for compiling biology and life table. The demographic statistics of FAW were calculated using the Jackknife approach from the basic life table data. ImagoFAW active at night. Mating activity occurs between 06.00 pm – 04.00 am, while egg-laying activity occurs between 06.00 pm-10.00 pm. Most hatching occurs between 00.00 am - 02.00 am. FAW has 6 larvae instars and there is no difference in stage between larvae that develop into male and female, which is around 15 days. The male pupae stage is longer than the female pupae, 8.78 ± 0.12 days and 7.81 ± 0.15 days. Male and female imagoes have the same life cycle, which is about 10 days. The life cycle of FAW was 32.26 ± 0.41 days, with a preoviposition period of 2.56 ± 0.45 days. Fecundity of FAW reaches 1012.62 ± 99.12 eggs per female imago. The population of FAW in Bogor has an intrinsic growth rate of 0.154 ± 0.001 individuals/day, with gross reproduction rate of 104.781 ± 0.155 individuals per imago per generation and a generation time of 30.114 ± 0.002 days.
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INTRODUCTION

The Fall Armyworm (FAW), *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is an important pest in maize that has resulted in considerable yield losses in many countries. Based on a survey conducted by CABI (2017), the loss of maize yields due to FAW attacks in Ghaza were 45% and 40% respectively. The damage of FAW in Africa reached 8.3 to 20.6 million tons with loss of 2.5 to 6.2 billion USD. This loss occurs due to proper FAW control methods (Day et al. 2017; FAO 2020).

FAW is a polyphagous insect pest native to tropical and subtropical Central America, which comes from the southern United States to northern Argentina. FAW has a wide host range of 353 plants from 76 families, especially Poaceae, Asteraceae, and Fabaceae. Furthermore, maize, rice, sorghum, cotton, grasses, and sugarcane have strong preferences (Montezano et al. 2018). Imago of FAW has strong flight and spreading out over long distances capability in the summer (Sparks 1979). In addition, factors that influence the distribution and abundance of *S. frugiperda* are human activities in the form of transportation or movement of plant material from one location to the next (Wang et al. 2020a). In 2006, FAW was discovered outside its native region in West Africa and Central Africa (Goergen et al. 2016). After that, FAW was reported spread to eastward and become invasive in the Sub-Saharan region (2008), Middle East and South Asia (2018), Mainland Asia, East Asia, Southeast Asia (2019), and Australia (2020) (FAO 2020 ). FAW consists of two invasive sub-species, corn strains and rice strains. Corn-strain are mostly found in maize and sorghum, while rice strains prefer grasses (Nagoshi and Meagher 2004).

In Indonesia, FAW was first reported in March 2019 at West Sumatra. In Java Island, FAW was first reported attacking maize crops in Banten and Bogor in June 2019. FAW is reportedly found in almost all maize production centers in Indonesia in 2020. FAW collected from Banten confirmed classified Rice Strain after molecular identification using phylogeographic analysis (Sartiami et al. 2020). In 2019 FAW also reportedly attacked in Bandung, Garut, and Sumedang. The population that is still low is found in Bandung and Garut while the population already high is in Sumedang (Maharani et al. 2019). This research was aimed to study the biology and demographic statistics of FAW in maize at Bogor, West Java, Indonesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of feed plant

Three seeds of maize were planted per planting hole at a distance of 20 cm × 20 cm². The seeds were planted once every three days to ensure that maize plants are always available for insect feed. Maize plants that used for insect feed are three to four weeks after planting (WAP).
Insect rearing

Insect rearing was initiated by collecting or taking S. frugiperda larvae from maize plant fields in the Bogor area. The insects obtained with various larval stages were taken and put into a container (40 cm x 25 cm x 7 cm) to be reared in the laboratory. The larvae collected from the field are put in a plastic container until they become pupae.

The moths were paired between males and females with a sex ratio of 3:6 in a special container for their mating and laying their eggs. Three WAP maize plants were placed in a plastic container and infested with S. frugiperda imago. The eggs obtained will be hatched and reared with the previous following methods. This rearing method is found after searching for the best way for UGF growth, after examining the weaknesses of the existing cohort maintenance methods. One of them is the success of mating, producing fertile eggs due to mating, successful pupation, providing fresh and abundant food for the larvae, etc.

Rearing of the cohort

The life table of the cohort is a life table that follows the development of the cohort starting from 1st instar larvae to the last surviving imago (Birch 1948). A cohort population is a number of individuals who have the same age (Begon et al. 2008). The reared cohort is used to study FAW biology and construct life table. The reared cohort begins by placing both male and female pupae in special cages. The container used allows the imago to mate and lay eggs.

There was a total of 11 egg groups were reared separately in hatchery containers (Figure 1). The hatchery container has 5.5 cm upper diameter, 4.0 cm bottom diameter, and 3.0 cm height (Figure 1a). The egg group was observed until the last eggs hatched. Each early instar larvae was recorded for the number and time of hatching. A total of 132 1st instar larvae will be used for the next rearing.

All of the first instar larvae are reared in a distinctive container filled with maize plants as a source of food. The special container for larvae has 8.0 cm upper diameter, 5.0 cm bottom diameter and 23.0 cm height (Figure 1b). Observations were made daily to determine larvae's growth, which included life larvae, dead larvae, molting process, and availability of the food. The final instar larvae were immediately transferred to the pupae container with 8.0 cm upper diameter, 5.5 cm bottom diameter, and 18.0 cm height (Figure 1c). The pupa container was filled with sterilized sawdust. The pupae that have been formed are immediately sorted and separated between male and female pupae. A total of 42 female pupae and 49 male pupae were paired and put in the imago container that has 6.5 cm upper diameter, 5.0 cm bottom diameter, 32.0 cm height (Figure 1d). That container were filled with maize plants and honey. The honey has been diluted with sterile water. The concentration of the honey solution is 10% and soaked to the cotton that hung in the cage. The soaked cotton was used as a source of nutrition for imago. Observations were made until the female in container died.

Biology of fall armyworm

The biological observations of FAW were carried out simultaneously with cohort insect rearing. Observation of cohort rearing was egg stage, larvae, pupae, male, female, sex ratio, pre-oviposition period, and daily fecundity of female imago. The life cycle of S. frugiperda was observed from the first instar larva being infested in maize plants until adult stage. Observation data were arranged in the biological table of S. frugiperda.

Figure 1. The S. frugiperda rearing container used for: A. Eggs, B. Larvae, C. Pupae, D. Imago. Bar = 5 cm
Life table and population parameters of fall armyworm

Based on the results of cohort observations, data were arranged using a life table of FAW that consists of median age (pivotal age, x), age-specific survival rate (lx), and age-specific fecundity (mx). Observation of age-specific survival rate (lx) was carried out by counting the number of S. frugiperda individuals living each day. Age-specific fecundity (mx) is the average number of S. frugiperda larvae laid by imago every day at age (x). Age-specific survival rate and age-specific fecundity data can be described in the form of a curve and life table.

The cohort observation data were compiled in a life table. Determination of other demographic parameters can be determined by using life table data of S. frugiperda. According to Birch (1948), the calculated demographic parameters include:

Net Reproduction Rate (Ro) = \( \sum l_x m_x \)

Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR) = \( \sum m_x \)

Intrinsic Rate of Increase (r) = \( \sum l_x m_x e^{rx} = 1 \), with initial \( r = \frac{\ln(R_0)}{T} \)

Generation Time Average (T) = \( \ln (R_0) / r \), with initial \( T = \sum x l_x m_x / \sum l_x m_x \)

Doubling Time (DT) = \( \ln(2) / r \)

The calculation of the median value and diversity of demographic statistics made using the Jackknife method. Jackknife is used in statistics inferencing to estimate the bias and standard error of a statistic. A random sample from observations is used to calculate standard bias and error. The basic idea of the jackknife estimator is doing a count by eliminating one or some observations from a set example. Resampling process is done by discarding one observation over and over again (Efron 1982). Thus, through this approach will get 132 demographic statistics values and calculated the mean value and variety.

Data analysis

Observation and research data were processed using Microsoft Excel 2016, Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 9.1, and the Minitab 16 program for t-test (α=5%)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The insect used in this observation was confirmed as S. frugiperda from the characteristics of S. frugiperda larva. The larva has a line on the head forming Y letter and there are dots on the last segment abdomen which form a thick and trapezoidal rectangle (Figure 3) correspond to Sartiami et al. (2020). The eggs laid by S. frugiperda in group like pearls covered with scales gave moldy appearance. The function of the scales function is to maintain the temperature. The new oviposited eggs have white color like a pearl and laying in group. Medium age has some eggs darker, blackish in color, and all-black color when the egg hatch immediately (figure 2).

There are six instars of S. frugiperda larvae in its life cycle. The 1st instar has ± 0.82 mm length and transparent in color. The 2nd instar larvae are started to turn green and have ± 6.384 mm in length (Figure 3). Larvae 3rd to 5th instar are actively eating stages, causing a lot of damage to maize plants. The 6th instar larva has darker color with more vivid complexion on the body. This instar larva is not actively eating and will shrink in size to become prepupae. The 6th instar larva will drop off from the leaf into soil or sawdust for pupation.

The 3rd instar larva begins to brown and has white lateral stripes. The 4th to 6th instar larvae are larger in size, have clearer lateral stripes on the lateral side, and visible “Y” form on the head. In addition, also the pinacula in the last abdomen is clearly visible forming a square pattern and forming a trapezoid pattern on the previous abdomen. The 6th instar larva is shrunk before pupation.

The male and female S. frugiperda pupae can be distinguished by looking at genital opening and anal slot distance of the pupa. The distance of genital and anal slots of male pupae is shorter than females. The color of pupae is brightly reddish-brown (Figure 5). The wing pattern and color can distinguish male and female imago. Male imago has a lighter wing color and has a kidney-like pattern. The wing of the female imago is duller and has no visible pattern.

Figure 2. Eggs of S. frugiperda at various ages: A. New oviposited eggs, B. Medium age (one day), C. Hatch immediately
**Figure 3.** *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae, A. 1st instar, B. Microscopic 1st instar, C. 2nd instar, and D. Microscopic 2nd instar. Bar = 0.05 mm

**Figure 4.** *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae and pupa, a) 3rd instar, b) 4th instar, c) 5th instar, d) 6th instar, e) prepupa, and f) pupa

**Figure 5.** *Spodoptera frugiperda* pupae and imago: A. Male and female pupae, B. Male pupa (has bigger size), C. Female pupae (the distance between genital and anal farther than male), D. Male imago (the patterns on the wing are more complex), E. Female imago
Biology of fall armyworm

The imago is active in the late afternoon until early morning. Mating behavior takes place from 06.00 pm until 04.00 am. After that time there is no more mating imago (Figure 6). The egg-laying occurs from 06.00 pm to 10.00 pm. This is in line with Assefa (2018) that imago of *Spodoptera frugiperda* is nocturnal and mating behavior of adult occurs overnight. Another report stated that imago from the Noctuid adults began laying eggs at 07.00 pm until 11.00 pm (Yunus et al. 2011).

The egg stage occurs for two to three days. Eggs that are in a group will hatch on the same day. Hatching of eggs takes place between 10.00 pm until 12.00 am (Figure 7). 35% of the eggs hatched at 00.00 am to 02.00 am. Hatching eggs of at least 5% occurs between 10.00 pm and 12.00 pm and 08.00 am - 10.00 am. Egg hatching did not occur from 14.00 to 22.00.

The development of male and female larva stage of 1st to 6th instar and the length of life between male and female imago were not significantly different (Table 1). Differences in the development of male and female insects occur in the pupa phase. Male stadium pupal stage is longer than female pupae. This happens because the female insect needs to ripen the eggs first before mating.

There are no significant difference values of life cycle between males and females, except pupa stage (Table 1). Life cycle of FAW is 32.26 ± 0.41. Development of larva stage of 1st to 6th instar was ± 15 days for each female and male. The larva period tends to be about 14 – 19 days has been reported (Sharanabasappa et al. 2018). The life cycle of male imago is 10.58 ± 0.56 days and 9.53 ± 0.44 day in females. According to Wang et al. (2020b), the lifecycle of male imago is 9.09 ± 1.64 days and 16.21 ± 0.1 days for female imago. The lifecycle of imago can affect the number of population in a life cycle. The longevity of *S. frugiperda* imago causes rapid population growth and difficulty to control using natural enemies.

The results show that the gross reproductive value (GRR) of *S. frugiperda* is 394.791 ± 0.455 individuals per generation. Meanwhile, the reproduction rate (Ro) shows that each generation will double by 104.781 ± 0.155 times with the time of life cycle in one generation is 30,114 ± 0.002 days (Table 2). The higher GRR and Ro values indicate the level of suitability of the insect’s life to the host (Hidayat et al. 2019).
The value of the intrinsic rate of increase (r) of \textit{S. frugiperda} is 0.154 ± 0.001 individuals per adult per day. The generation time average (T) of \textit{S. frugiperda} is 30.114 ± 0.002 days. The doubling time value (DT) of \textit{S. frugiperda} is 4.487 ± 0.001 days (Table 2). The smaller T and DT values indicate that the insects will reproduce and multiply faster.

\textit{Spodoptera frugiperda} can occur in three generations in a maize growing season (± 90 days). The multiple of each generation is 104.781 ± 0.155 times the previous generation. It means that if there were 10 larvae at the beginning of the planting season, then in one growing season the maize would be 11 million larvae (Table 2).
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