Soluble TREM2 inhibits secondary nucleation of Aβ fibrillation and enhances cellular uptake of fibrillar Aβ
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Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) is a single-pass transmembrane receptor of the immunoglobulin superfamily that is secreted in a soluble (sTREM2) form. Mutations in TREM2 have been linked to increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). A prominent neuropathological component of AD is deposition of the amyloid-β (Aβ) into plaques, particularly Aβ40 and Aβ42. While the membrane-bound form of TREM2 is known to facilitate uptake of Aβ fibrils and the polarization of microglial processes toward amyloid plaques, the role of its soluble ectodomain, particularly in interactions with monomeric or fibrillar Aβ, has been less clear. Our results demonstrate that sTREM2 does not bind to monomeric Aβ40 and Aβ42, even at a high micromolar concentration, while it does bind to fibrillar Aβ42 and Aβ40 with equal affinities (2.6 ± 0.3 μM and 2.3 ± 0.4 μM). Kinetic analysis shows that sTREM2 inhibits the secondary nucleation step in the fibrillation of Aβ, while having little effect on the primary nucleation pathway. Furthermore, binding of sTREM2 to fibrils markedly enhanced uptake of fibrils into human microglial and neuroglioma derived cell lines. The disease-associated sTREM2 mutant, R47H, displayed little to no effect on fibril nucleation and binding, but it decreased uptake and functional responses markedly. We also probed the structure of the WT sTREM2–Aβ fibril complex using integrative molecular modeling based primarily on the cross-linking mass spectrometry data. The model shows that sTREM2 binds fibrils along one face of the structure, leaving a second, mutation-sensitive site free to mediate cellular binding and uptake.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and features the neuropathological hallmarks of extracellular Aβ plaques and intraneuronal tau neurofibrillary tangles (1, 2). Human genetic studies on heritable mutations in APP and PSEN causing early-onset familial AD (3) argue that pathogenic Aβ drives tau neurofibrillary tangle formation; in contrast, mutations in MAPT do not lead to AD pathology nor cause AD, but rather a rare genetic form of early-onset primary tauopathy (4). In support of the molecular genetics, a recent cross-sectional study in postmortem human AD brain samples demonstrated the presence and correlation of robust prion bioactivity for Aβ and tau proteins in nearly all cases (5), suggesting that even at death, Aβ in prion conformations are active in the late stages of disease. Together, these data establish the importance of pathogenic Aβ throughout AD progression and highlight the urgent need to better understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mitigate Aβs role in pathogenesis.

Microglia are the innate immune effector cell in the brain with myriad functions in healthy aging and neurological diseases. Recent human genetic studies have discovered mutations in several genes encoding microglia-specific proteins that increase risk for AD, thus supporting the notion that microglia are central to AD pathogenesis. Genetic variants of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2), a cell-surface receptor expressed on myeloid cells and microglia, increase the risk of AD by threefold, implicating microglia and the innate immune system as important determinants in AD pathogenesis (6). TREM2 consists of an extracellular Ig-like domain, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. Proteolytic cleavage of TREM2 at His157 releases soluble TREM2 (sTREM2) that can be detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (7). While the function of sTREM2 is uncertain, it is believed to promote microglia survival, proliferation, and phagocytosis, making it important for cell viability and innate immune functions in the brain (6, 8, 9). Full-length membrane-bound TREM2 binds to its adaptor protein, DAP12, on the surface of microglia to transmit downstream signaling in response to soluble TREM2 | amyloid-β | Alzheimer’s disease | integrative modeling | fibrillation kinetics
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Significance

Mutations in a microglial protein, TREM2, represents a risk for Alzheimer’s disease (AD). We show that the soluble form of TREM2, sTREM2, can bind and inhibit fibrillization of Aβ peptides. sTREM2 increases uptake of Aβ fibrils into microglial and neuroglioma cell lines. Contrastingly, mutation R47H was found to have little effect on fibril nucleation and binding, but decreased uptake and functional responses. Our findings using integrative molecular modeling based on cross-linking mass spectrometry data for WT sTREM2–Aβ fibril complex demonstrate that TREM2 has at least two ligand-binding surfaces: one binding Aβ fibrils and the other anionic polyvalent ligands. R47H mutation lies on the latter surface. These findings inform mechanisms by which TREM2 modulates key processes in AD progression.

PNAS 2022 Vol. 119 No. 5 e2114486119
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2114486119 | 1 of 12

Downloaded from https://www.pnas.org by UCSF LIBRARY on May 20, 2022 from IP address 128.218.42.125.
clustering induced by multivalent ligands (10). Most of the studied mutations are in the Ig-like domain of TREM2. Misfolding, retention, and aberrant shedding are postulated to be caused by some mutations, while other variants have altered ability to interact with their binding partners (8, 11, 12).

The R47H mutation in TREM2 constitutes one of the strongest single allelic genetic risk factors for AD. The R62H, D87N, and T96K mutations in TREM2 were also linked to AD after extensive analyses of TREM2 polymorphisms (13–16). Several acts with their binding partners (8, 11, 12). Some mutations, while other variants have altered ability to interact with ligands (10). Most of the studies that report the highest affinities relied on biolayer interactions of sTREM2 with different Aβ species. Preliminary studies have come to inconsistent conclusions concerning the specific forms of Aβ oligomerization domains added to the studied constructs to specify forms of Aβ (29, 30), in contrast to two other studies that reported weak or no interaction (7, 31).

To help elucidate the role of sTREM2 and its interaction with Aβ, we evaluated the binding of sTREM2, without any nonnative oligomerization domains added to the studied construct, to specific forms of Aβ. We used NMR to show that sTREM2 does not bind to monomeric Aβ, even at high micromolar concentrations. Next, we examined the binding of sTREM2 to fibrils, formed under well-defined conditions to provide a relatively homogeneous structure, as assessed by solid-state NMR (32). Additionally, because oligomeric forms of Aβ are heterogeneous and kinetically labile, we opted to determine how sTREM2 affects the formation of intermediates in the fibrillation of Aβ and show that it has a profound effect on the secondary nucleation step of the process. We find that the R47H variant binds to Aβ40 and Aβ42 fibrils with a similar affinity and inhibits their fibrilization just as the WT sTREM2 does. Finally, we show that WT sTREM2, but not the mutant R47H, strongly enhances the uptake of Aβ fibrils in human neural and microglial cells.

A second goal of this report was to define the structural underpinnings of the interaction between sTREM2 and Aβ fibrils. Although individual structures of sTREM2 and Aβ fibrils have been reported (8, 33), the structures of the complex are not available. The molecular surface of sTREM2 is particularly interesting with regards to its function (8, 29). The crystal structure of the ectodomain of TREM2 (TREM2-ECT) reveals an immunoglobulin fold motif with a highly asymmetric distribution of charged and hydrophobic residues. The surface of the hydrophobic and aromatic protrusion at the top of the structure (Fig. 1, red dotted area) has a highly positive electrostatic potential adjacent to it is a relatively flat surface of positively charged residues (Fig. 1, black dotted area, surface 1). Surface 1 appears suited for binding to acidic moieties (like in Protein Data Bank [PDB] 1D code 6BSO) (8). R47 lies near the basic patch, consistent with the R47H mutation disrupting the conformation of the CD loop (8), which comprises a large portion of surface 1. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that disease-promoting mutations disrupt the apolar character and electrostatic surface of this region of the protein (34). The R47H mutation is also known to disrupt sTREM2's ability to bind to and signal in response to acidic phospholipids (29). Thus, the data indicate that this surface is important for binding or signaling in response to anionic lipids. In contrast, the determinants of binding to Aβ peptides are uncertain, with different studies coming to differing conclusions concerning the effect of AD mutants on binding or uptake of Aβ fibrils (7, 29–31). Recently, it was suggested that different surfaces might be involved in binding different TREM2 ligands (29). Indeed, sTREM2 has a second unusual, variegated electrostatic surface (surface 2 in Fig. 1), with an extended band of positively charged residues flanked by acidic patches near the top and bottom of the structure, which might interact with different binding partners. Here, we use integrative structural modeling guided by chemical cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) to map the structure of the fibrillar Aβ–stTREM2 complex, and how it is affected by the R47H substitution. The resulting model suggests that the patch of hydrophobic and basic residues on stTREM2 that contains R47 does not directly interact with Aβ40 fibrils. Instead, stTREM2 is predicted to interact with Aβ primarily via surface 2, while projecting surface 1 away from the amyloid fibrils, with implications for both cellular uptake and signaling.

Results

Interactions of sTREM2 with Different Aβ Species. We first investigated the interactions of sTREM2 with different Aβ species. Previous studies have come to inconsistent conclusions concerning
Kapp curve is well described by a classic Langmuir isotherm with an affinity. We therefore performed a binding titration using a fixed domain of human IgG4 (30), albeit without reporting the binding used for probing interactions of sTREM2 fused with the Fc domain of human IgG4 (30), which was produced under carefully controlled conditions chosen to provide highly precise and reproducible kinetic measurements (42–45). We evaluated the fibrillization kinetics of Aβ42 (4.9 μM) and Aβ40 (5 μM) by monitoring the fluorescence of thioflavin T (ThT) under nonshaking conditions (43), in the absence and presence of different concentrations of sTREM2. Without sTREM2, Aβ42 aggregated rapidly with a half-life of less than 1 h, consistent with a previous report (Fig. 4 A and B) (9, 43). However, the addition of a substoichiometric amount of sTREM2 attenuated the fibrillization of Aβ42 significantly (Fig. 4 A and B), as observed previously with a fluorescently tagged version of the peptide (29). A significant reduction was observed with concentrations of sTREM2 as low as 70 nM and a molar ratio of 0.015 (sTREM2/Aβ42). This reduction contrasts with observations for other chaperones, which typically require higher molar ratios for a large effect on the time course of fibrilization (42). Thus, sTREM2 is capable of inhibiting fibrillization at concentrations significantly below the K_{diss} for binding to fibrils.

We observed similar effects for monomeric Aβ40, where the gel-purified monomeric peptide aggregated in the absence of sTREM2, as previously reported (44, 45). Addition of substoichiometric amounts of sTREM2 significantly attenuated the fibrillization of Aβ40 (Fig. 4 G and H), even at a concentration of 80 nM, as observed for Aβ42. This finding suggests that sTREM2 is a potent inhibitor of an intermediate in the amyloid fibrillation process.

To gain further molecular insight into the mechanism of inhibition, we performed a kinetic analysis using a well-established model of Aβ42, the more toxic species among the two forms of Aβ (43). In this model, the aggregation of Aβ42 can be described by two pathways. The primary pathway involves the nucleation of monomers into aggregation-competent oligomers with the rate constant of primary nucleation (k_{n}) and elongation (k_{e}). The secondary pathway involves the fibrillar surface of fibrillar Aβ42 that further catalyzes the formation of oligomers with k_{2} as the rate constant of secondary nucleation. We then used the Amylofit (44) server to analyze the data and fit kinetic parameters for the combined rate constants associated with both the primary pathway (k_{n}k_{e}) and secondary pathway (k_{n}k_{2}). When both k_{n}k_{e} and k_{n}k_{2} were set as free-fitting parameters, the fitted curve described the data well (Fig. 4 A), sTREM2 affected mainly k_{n}k_{e} (Fig. 4 E and F), which describes the secondary pathway of aggregation. However, the effect on the primary pathway is much less significant. To further support this result, we performed additional fitting by using k_{n}k_{e} as the only free parameter, while constraining k_{n}k_{2} as the global fitting parameter. Changing k_{n}k_{2} alone can explain the aggregation behavior (Fig. 4 D). However, using k_{n}k_{e} of the primary

Fig. 1. Crystal structure of sTREM2 (PDB ID code 5UD7) (8), showing electrostatic potential map of the ectodomain. The white, red, and blue colors in the map correspond to the neutral, acidic, and basic residues, respectively. The map was generated using CHIMERA v1.14 (69). The hydrophobic and aromatic protrusion in sTREM2 is highlighted with a red dashed curve (hydrophobic tip). The flat surface of basic residues adjacent to the hydrophobic tip is shown with black dashed curve (surface 1). Another patch of basic residues, opposite to surface 1, is highlighted with a yellow dashed curve (surface 2). Key residues in these three regions are indicated.

R47H Has No Significant Effect on sTREM2 Binding to Fibrillar Aβ40 and Aβ42. Using the cosedimentation assay, we also showed that the binding constants of sTREM2 WT and R47H mutant to fibrillar Aβ42 and fibrillar Aβ40 are the same within experimental error. Thus, this substitution did not cause a measurable change in the binding affinity to fibrillar Aβ42 and fibrillar Aβ40 (Fig. 3 C, D, G, and H). Interestingly, the R47H mutation is known to impair the binding of sTREM2 to other ligands, such as phospholipids (11). The lack of an effect of this mutation on the binding to fibrils indicates that sTREM2 has alternative binding surfaces for different ligands.

sTREM2 Inhibits the Fibrillation of Aβ40 and Aβ42 by Targeting the Secondary Nucleation Pathway of Fibril Formation. TREM2-Fc dimeric constructs have been reported to interact with oligomeric and fibrillar Aβ, resembling several extensively studied molecular chaperones (36–41). We therefore asked whether monomeric sTREM2 can modulate the fibrillation of Aβ40 and Aβ42. For these studies, we used gel-filtered monomeric Aβ40 and Aβ42 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), which were produced under carefully controlled conditions chosen to provide highly precise and reproducible kinetic measurements (42–45). We evaluated the fibrillization kinetics of Aβ42 (4.9 μM) and Aβ40 (5 μM) by monitoring the fluorescence of thioflavin T (ThT) under nonshaking conditions (43), in the absence and presence of different concentrations of sTREM2. Without sTREM2, Aβ42 aggregated rapidly with a half-life of less than 1 h, consistent with a previous report (Fig. 4 A and B) (9, 43). However, the addition of a substoichiometric amount of sTREM2 attenuated the fibrillization of Aβ42 significantly (Fig. 4 A and B), as observed previously with a fluorescently tagged version of the peptide (29). A significant reduction was observed with concentrations of sTREM2 as low as 70 nM and a molar ratio of 0.015 (sTREM2/Aβ42). This reduction contrasts with observations for other chaperones, which typically require higher molar ratios for a large effect on the time course of fibrilization (42). Thus, sTREM2 is capable of inhibiting fibrillization at concentrations significantly below the K_{diss} for binding to fibrils.

We observed similar effects for monomeric Aβ40, where the gel-purified monomeric peptide aggregated in the absence of sTREM2, as previously reported (44, 45). Addition of substoichiometric amounts of sTREM2 significantly attenuated the fibrillization of Aβ40 (Fig. 4 G and H), even at a concentration of 80 nM, as observed for Aβ42. This finding suggests that sTREM2 is a potent inhibitor of an intermediate in the amyloid fibrillation process.

To gain further molecular insight into the mechanism of inhibition, we performed a kinetic analysis using a well-established model of Aβ42, the more toxic species among the two forms of Aβ (43). In this model, the aggregation of Aβ42 can be described by two pathways. The primary pathway involves the nucleation of monomers into aggregation-competent oligomers with the rate constant of primary nucleation (k_{n}) and elongation (k_{e}). The secondary pathway involves the fibrillar surface of fibrillar Aβ42 that further catalyzes the formation of oligomers with k_{2} as the rate constant of secondary nucleation. We then used the Amylofit (44) server to analyze the data and fit kinetic parameters for the combined rate constants associated with both the primary pathway (k_{n}k_{e}) and secondary pathway (k_{n}k_{2}). When both k_{n}k_{e} and k_{n}k_{2} were set as free-fitting parameters, the fitted curve described the data well (Fig. 4 A), sTREM2 affected mainly k_{n}k_{e} (Fig. 4 E and F), which describes the secondary pathway of aggregation. However, the effect on the primary pathway is much less significant. To further support this result, we performed additional fitting by using k_{n}k_{e} as the only free parameter, while constraining k_{n}k_{2} as the global fitting parameter. Changing k_{n}k_{2} alone can explain the aggregation behavior (Fig. 4 D). However, using k_{n}k_{e} of the primary
small decrease in $t_{\text{AB}}$ and $T$ (Tables S1 and S2). Similarly, the R47H mutation showed a slightly weaker than WT sTREM2 (Fig. 3). Examining the effect of sTREM2 R47H on the fibrillization kinetics of $\beta_42$ fibrils or $\beta_40$ fibrils or $\beta_40$ fibrils in the presence and absence of WT and mutant sTREM2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–D). In this short-term in vitro assay, we found no significant effect of either sTREM2 or the mutant R47H on the toxicity of either $\beta$ species.

We next determined the cellular uptake of sTREM2 WT versus R47H in the presence of $\beta_40$ fibrils. H4 or HMC3 cells were treated with fibrillar $\beta_40$ (2.5 $\mu$M) and increasing concentrations of sTREM2 WT or R47H, and the amount associated with the cell fraction was determined using ELISA for sTREM2 and homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) for $\beta_40$ (Fig. 5). We observed a dose-dependent association of sTREM2, which was decreased approximately fourfold for the R47H mutant (Fig. 5 A and C). We confirmed the TREM2 ELISA kit equally measured recombinant sTREM2 WT and R47H in cell-free conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5G). These findings show that the R47H substitution greatly decreases the uptake of sTREM2. Similar results were also observed for sTREM2 uptake when mixed with $\beta_42$ oligomers (Fig. 5 C and G).

sTREM2 also enhanced the uptake of $\beta_42$ fibrils. As the concentration of sTREM2 increased from 1.25 to 10 $\mu$M, the extent of uptake of $\beta_42$ increased markedly in a dose-dependent manner, reaching an approximately threefold increase at 10 $\mu$M.

**Effects of sTREM2 on $\beta_4$ Uptake.** We further examined the uptake of sTREM2–$\beta$ mixtures using human neuroglioma cells (H4 cell line) and microglial cells (HMC3 cell line). First, we established a cytotoxic dose–response curve using the MTT assay at various concentrations of sTREM2 and $\beta_40$ fibrils or $\beta_42$ oligomers on H4 cells in the presence and absence of WT and mutant sTREM2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–D). In this short-term in vitro assay, we found no significant effect of either sTREM2 or the mutant R47H on the toxicity of either $\beta$ species.

We next determined the cellular uptake of sTREM2 WT versus R47H in the presence of $\beta_40$ fibrils. H4 or HMC3 cells were treated with fibrillar $\beta_40$ (2.5 $\mu$M) and increasing concentrations of sTREM2 WT or R47H, and the amount associated with the cell fraction was determined using ELISA for sTREM2 and homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) for $\beta_40$ (Fig. 5). We observed a dose-dependent association of sTREM2, which was decreased approximately fourfold for the R47H mutant (Fig. 5 A and C). We confirmed the TREM2 ELISA kit equally measured recombinant sTREM2 WT and R47H in cell-free conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5G). These findings show that the R47H substitution greatly decreases the uptake of sTREM2. Similar results were also observed for sTREM2 uptake when mixed with $\beta_42$ oligomers (Fig. 5 C and G).

sTREM2 also enhanced the uptake of $\beta_42$ fibrils. As the concentration of sTREM2 increased from 1.25 to 10 $\mu$M, the extent of uptake of $\beta_42$ increased markedly in a dose-dependent manner, reaching an approximately threefold increase at 10 $\mu$M.
stREM2 (Fig. 5 D and H). The R47H mutant had a decreased ability to stimulate the uptake of Aβ42 fibrils. Over the concentration range examined, approximately twofold higher concentrations of R47H than WT were required to achieve a similar degree of stimulation. Thus, stREM2 stimulates the uptake of Aβ fibrils while the R47H mutation decreasing the extent of this stimulation.

To determine if stREM2 WT or R47H complexed with Aβ induces distinct functional responses in microglia (HMC3...
Fig. 4. (A) The aggregation traces (dotted line) of 4.9 μM monomeric Aβ42 monitored by ThT fluorescence in the absence and presence of sTREM2. The solid lines are fits obtained using the secondary nucleation dominated, unseeded model with both $k_n k_n$ and $k_2 k_2$ as free fitting parameters. Fits with only $k_n k_n$ (C) or $k_2 k_2$ (D) as the free-fitting parameter. (B) Longer half-time ($t_{1/2}$) with increasing amount of sTREM2. Relative rate constants $k_n k_n$ (E) and $k_2 k_2$ (F) from A. An asterisk (*) denotes rate constants from Aβ42 aggregation in the absence of sTREM2. (G) The aggregation traces (dotted line) of 5 μM monomeric Aβ40 monitored by ThT fluorescence in the absence and presence of sTREM2. The solid lines are fits using the multistep secondary nucleation dominated, unseeded model with both $k_n k_n$ and $k_2 k_2$ as free-fitting parameters. (H) Longer half-time ($t_{1/2}$) with increasing amount of sTREM2. Replicates for aggregation and fitting kinetics with SD for sTREM2 WT are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1.
cells), we examined the differential expression profile of 1,321 genes related to neuroinflammation and myeloid cell innate immunity using the Nanostring platform. Agglomerative clustering of gene expression across all the concentrations of sTREM2 WT and sTREM2 R47H identified a major gene cluster for cells treated with sTREM2 WT only and cells treated with sTREM2 WT mixed with Aβ40 fibrils, which segregated from the gene cluster for sTREM2 R47H, sTREM2 R47H mixed with Aβ40 fibrils, and Aβ40 fibril only treatment (Fig. 6A and B). Notably, we observed a sTREM2 WT dose-dependent up-regulation of several of genes involved in microglial activation such as C3, CXCL1, RELB (a component of the NF-κB complex) (Fig. 6C and D and SI Appendix, Figs. S8 and S9 and Table S3). In contrast, sTREM2 R47H induced little to no functional response and showed an expression profile similar to Aβ fibril only treatment. To further elucidate the functional pathways and microglial functions induced by the sTREM2–Aβ complex, we performed Ingenuity Pathway Analysis on the Nanostring dataset. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis identified the involvement of several canonical microglial activation pathways including chemokine, cytokine, interleukin (IL)-1, NF-κB, and p38 MAPK signaling in HMC3 cells upon induction with sTREM2 WT complexed with Aβ (SI Appendix, Table S4). Our data suggest that sTREM2 in complex with Aβ fibrils can mediate downstream signaling of genes for microglial activation, and the R47 site regulates this function.

Integrative Modeling of the Fibrillar Aβ40–sTREM2 Complex Based on Chemical Cross-Links Identified a Unique Binding Interface in sTREM2. Our cell-based assay indicated that the R47H mutant influences its uptake but has no significant effect on the binding to Aβ fibrils (Figs. 3D and H and 5C, D, G, and H). In an attempt to rationalize these observations, we mapped the structure of the fibrillar Aβ–sTREM2 complex, by using integrative structure modeling (48, 49) based on XL-MS data (50). Aβ40 fibrils were formed using a procedure that produces relatively homogenous fibrils (32, 51) that are structurally similar to a published threefold symmetric solid NMR structure of Aβ40 (33). To avoid the complexity of posttranslational modifications of sTREM2 expressed in the mammalian system, we used sTREM2 obtained from bacterial expression system to form a complex with Aβ40 fibrils in vitro. This complex was chemically

**Fig. 5.** Aβ fibrils or oligomers (at 2.5 μM) were incubated with various concentrations of sTREM2 WT or R47H (10, 5, 2.5, and 1.25 μM) before adding to H4 cells represented as Aβ+sTREM2. The numerical values denote the concentration of sTREM2 either for WT or R47H. Control samples for sTREM2 (without the addition of Aβ) are represented as WT C and R47 C, added at a constant concentration of 2.5 μM. (A) ELISA to determine the amount of sTREM2 in the cell lysates of H4 cells treated with Aβ40 fibrils + sTREM2. (B) HTRF assay to determine the amount of Aβ40 in cell lysates of H4 cells treated Aβ40 fibrils + sTREM2. (C) ELISA to determine the amount of sTREM2 in the cell lysates of H4 cells treated with Aβ42 oligomers + sTREM2. (D) HTRF assay to determine the amount of Aβ42 in cell lysates of H4 cells treated Aβ42 oligomers + sTREM2. (E–H are identical to A–D, but with HMC3 cells.) *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.
cross-linked using two cross-linkers, BS3 and NHSF. BS3 targets lysine sidechains and protein N termini, while NHSF links lysine sidechains or protein N termini to sidechains of several residues, including tyrosine, histidine, lysine, serine, and threonine (52). Western blot analysis showed successful cross-linking for sTREM2 and Aβ40 by both BS3 and NHSF (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). The number of cross-links identified by MS analysis was relatively modest, due to the paucity of Lys residue in both Aβ and sTREM2. Nevertheless, 15 intermolecular cross-links were observed (Fig. 7A).

Next, the structural model of the fibrillar Aβ40–sTREM2 complex was computed by integrative modeling (48, 53). The model was obtained by sampling configurations of the crystal structure of sTREM2 (PDB ID code 5UD7) (8) and the ssNMR structure of Aβ40 fibrils (PDB ID code 2LMP) (33) in order to find those configurations that are consistent with the

---

**Fig. 6.** Agglomerative clustering showing differential gene expression for (A) myeloid and (B) neuroinflammation pathways related genes using nSolver software. The data were analyzed in triplicate for each concentration of sTREM2 WT and sTREM2 R47H (10 μM, 5 μM, 2.5 μM, 1.25 μM) added with Aβ (2.5 μM) to HMC3 cells and for Aβ (2.5 μM) added alone. Volcano plot showing expression of genes in myeloid and neuroinflammation pathways for (C) 10 μM WT + 2.5 μM Aβ and (D) 10 μM R47H + 2.5 μM Aβ compared to cells treated only with 2.5 μM Aβ. All the genes with a significance threshold (gray line) of P < 0.05 and log2 (fold-change) values < -1 (green line) or > +1 (red line) are displayed on the plots. For exact values of log2 fold-change, refer to SI Appendix, Table S3.
Aggregation of peptides and proteins into amyloid plaques is a key feature in AD. Aβ40 and Aβ42 found in plaques display markedly different aggregation behavior. Aβ40 is more abundant form, while Aβ42 is more aggregation prone (43). The major source of aggregates in the case of Aβ40 and Aβ42 is a fibril-catalyzed nucleation process (44, 45), which proceeds from monomeric Aβ via intermediate oligomeric forms that represent the primary nucleating species. A secondary pathway involves nucleation on the surface of preformed fibrils. This pathway is believed to be particularly significant, because it can generate toxic oligomers as intermediates in the secondary nucleation process (43, 54).

The kinetics of aggregation is complex as Aβ can act simultaneously as a reactant, intermediate, and a product. Moreover, the kinetics of Aβ aggregation is strongly affected by interactions with chaperone-like proteins that inhibit fibrillation. The Knowles group has previously described kinetic models for suppression of aggregation of amyloidogenic proteins in the presence of various chaperones, which inhibit a specific intermediate (37, 42). One such chaperone, Brichos, interacts with Aβ and selectively inhibits the secondary nucleation pathway. Brichos also can suppress the toxicity associated with aggregation by interacting with amyloid fibrils selectively to break the critical catalytic cycle through which toxic oligomers are generated. Using similar kinetic models, our results support inhibition of secondary nucleation pathways in aggregation of Aβ. Since the secondary nucleation is at the surface of the fibrils, and does not depend on the monomeric species, the inhibition we observed was at substoichiometric ratios of sTREM2 to Aβ.

Our kinetic and binding studies, together, with a low-resolution fibrillar Aβ–sTREM2 complex models, furthermore show that a patch of basic residues (containing residues R46, R47, K48, and R62) on sTREM2, which is altered in AD, participates in extensive hydrogen bond networks with residues R47, K48, and R62 on fibrillar Aβ. These results led us to hypothesize that sTREM2 recognizes ligands using at least two distinct surfaces of its structure. Surface 1 is crucial for binding anionic ligands, such as cell-surface glycanics or negatively charged membranes, as confirmed in crystallographic (8) and very recent molecular dynamics studies (34). These studies indicate that the AD-risk variant R47H induces structural instability in the complementarity determining region (CDR loop), which forms the hydrophobic patch near the top of surface 1 as viewed in Fig. 1. This structural instability is consistent with our results. In the WT TREM2 structure, the side chain of the residue R47H projects into the N-terminal region of the CDR loop, participating in extensive hydrogen bond networks with residues S65, T66, H67, and N68. However, this H-bonding is absent when the residue is mutated to histidine (R47H). Instead, theimidazole moiety of histidine (in R47H mutant) forms H-bond with T66 and π–π stacking interactions with the H67 completely changing the secondary architecture of the protein.

In contrast, we found that sTREM2 serves to enhance cellular uptake of fibrils in HMC3 cells, which have endogenous membrane tethered TREM2. This finding was somewhat surprising, as we expected that sTREM2 might compete with binding to membrane-bound TREM2, decreasing rather than increasing uptake. It is instead likely that TREM2’s extracellular domain acts similarly, irrespective of whether it is present in solution or tethered to the cell surface. In both cases, it would appear to act as a molecular glue, using one surface to bind to Aβ amyloid and the other to bind to acidic ligands on the cell surface (Fig. 8). This finding is also consistent with our observation of enhanced uptake in H4 cells, which lack TREM2. In chemical cross-links identified by XL-MS, symmetry considerations, and the excluded volume principle (SI Appendix). The modeling addressed the challenge of ambiguous assignment of cross-links to individual residues, arising from the arrangement of multiple copies of the Aβ40 monomer in the complex (48, 53). Five clusters of models were found that satisfy at least 80% of the cross-links. The structural variability within and among the clusters reflects both the actual heterogeneity of the samples used to obtain the data and the modeling uncertainty arising from limited input information and modeling assumptions; it is generally impossible to deconvolute them from each other and we do not attempt to do so here.

The structural variability in the most populated model cluster (the average Cα root-mean-square deviation between each model in a cluster and the cluster centroid) is ~5.0 Å. This resolution is sufficient to define the orientation of sTREM2 relative to the fibril surface (Fig. 7). The two most populated clusters, accounting for most of the entire ensemble of models (Fig. 7B), differ by an approximate twofold rotation of the subunits about an axis orthogonal to the fibril axis. Both share the fibril interaction via surface 2 (Fig. 7A). Moreover, none of the other models showed an interaction between surface 1 and the fibrils. Thus, modeling suggests that the R47 site projects away from the fibril. This, in turn, is consistent with the finding that the R47H substitution has little to no effect on the binding to Aβ fibrils or its fibrillization kinetics (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Soluble TREM2 inhibits secondary nucleation of Aβ fibrilization and enhances cellular uptake of fibrillar Aβ. Aβ40 and Aβ42 found in plaques display markedly different aggregation behavior. Aβ40 is more abundant form, while Aβ42 is more aggregation prone (43). The major source of aggregates in the case of Aβ40 and Aβ42 is a fibril-catalyzed nucleation process (44, 45), which proceeds from monomeric Aβ via intermediate oligomeric forms that represent the primary nucleating species. A secondary pathway involves nucleation on the surface of preformed fibrils. This pathway is believed to be particularly significant, because it can generate toxic oligomers as intermediates in the secondary nucleation process (43, 54).

The kinetics of aggregation is complex as Aβ can act simultaneously as a reactant, intermediate, and a product. Moreover, the kinetics of Aβ aggregation is strongly affected by interactions with chaperone-like proteins that inhibit fibrillation. The Knowles group has previously described kinetic models for suppression of aggregation of amyloidogenic proteins in the presence of various chaperones, which inhibit a specific intermediate (37, 42). One such chaperone, Brichos, interacts with Aβ and selectively inhibits the secondary nucleation pathway. Brichos also can suppress the toxicity associated with aggregation by interacting with amyloid fibrils selectively to break the critical catalytic cycle through which toxic oligomers are generated. Using similar kinetic models, our results support inhibition of secondary nucleation pathways in aggregation of Aβ. Since the secondary nucleation is at the surface of the fibrils, and does not depend on the monomeric species, the inhibition we observed was at substoichiometric ratios of sTREM2 to Aβ.

Our kinetic and binding studies, together, with a low-resolution fibrillar Aβ–sTREM2 complex models, furthermore show that a patch of basic residues (containing residues R46, R47, K48, and R62) on sTREM2, which is altered in AD, participates in extensive hydrogen bond networks with residues R47, K48, and R62 on fibrillar Aβ. These results led us to hypothesize that sTREM2 recognizes ligands using at least two distinct surfaces of its structure. Surface 1 is crucial for binding anionic ligands, such as cell-surface glycanics or negatively charged membranes, as confirmed in crystallographic (8) and very recent molecular dynamics studies (34). These studies indicate that the AD-risk variant R47H induces structural instability in the complementarity determining region (CDR loop), which forms the hydrophobic patch near the top of surface 1 as viewed in Fig. 1. This structural instability is consistent with our results. In the WT TREM2 structure, the side chain of the residue R47H projects into the N-terminal region of the CDR loop, participating in extensive hydrogen bond networks with residues S65, T66, H67, and N68. However, this H-bonding is absent when the residue is mutated to histidine (R47H). Instead, the imidazole moiety of histidine (in R47H mutant) forms H-bond with T66 and π–π stacking interactions with the H67 completely changing the secondary architecture of the protein.

In contrast, we found that sTREM2 serves to enhance cellular uptake of fibrils in HMC3 cells, which have endogenous membrane tethered TREM2. This finding was somewhat surprising, as we expected that sTREM2 might compete with binding to membrane-bound TREM2, decreasing rather than increasing uptake. It is instead likely that TREM2’s extracellular domain acts similarly, irrespective of whether it is present in solution or tethered to the cell surface. In both cases, it would appear to act as a molecular glue, using one surface to bind to Aβ amyloid and the other to bind to acidic ligands on the cell surface (Fig. 8). This finding is also consistent with our observation of enhanced uptake in H4 cells, which lack TREM2. In
support of this hypothesis, Song et al. (55) report that mice expressing the human TREM2 R47H variant do not exhibit sTREM2 binding to neuronal surfaces or amyloid plaques, as observed in mice expressing the common variant. Additionally, depletion of positive charge because of histidine mutation could potentially lead to effects on binding and uptake. The possibility of two distinct binding surfaces in TREM2’s extracellular domain may also have implications in understanding the role of membrane-tethered TREM2 in mediating the targeting and encapsulation of amyloid plaques by microglial processes, known as the microglia barrier (56, 57). The R47H mutation in humans and humanized knockin mouse models disrupts the microglial barrier formation around Aβ deposits leading to a more toxic plaque phenotype (19, 55, 58). Considering our findings here, the loss of microglia–amyloid interactions in carriers of mutant TREM2 might be due to diminished binding of membrane phospholipids from adjacent injured neurons or other disease-relevant biomolecules, such as ApoE, known to decorate the plaque surface (59–61), rather than Aβ itself. Consistent with this idea is the finding that mice deficient in ApoE exhibit a similar plaque phenotype as TREM2 deficiency (62), suggesting that ApoE is an important plaque component for attracting TREM2+ microglia processes.

sTREM2, produced by cleavage of the ectodomain of TREM2 or by alternative splicing, has ligand binding capacity, decreases Aβ plaques in AD brain, and serves as a biomarker that regulates the immune response in AD (56). Previous reports showed that the R47H variant causes loss-of-function in

![Fig. 8. Schematic representation showing binding surfaces of TREM2 in soluble and membrane-bound form. Aβ fibrils primarily bind along surface 2, which is away and distinct from surface 1 that houses the R47H mutation and plays a role in cellular binding and uptake. The membrane-bound form of TREM2 is attached to the membrane via a transmembrane helix and a flexible extracellular sequence. This membrane-bound form mediates signal transduction through the adapter protein DAP12 when TREM2 binds to polyvalent ligands that induce clustering of the DAP12 subunits.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2114486119)
membrane-bound TREM2 and microglial activation impairment, and similar effect could also occur for soluble TREM2 (7). While R47H mutation did not change the sTREM2–Aβ binding capacity in vitro, it affected its uptake, thus reducing the intracellular signaling for microglial activation in response to fibrillar Aβ in microglial cells. Our results highlight the role of sTREM2 in AD, not only surrounding insoluble Aβ plaques but also favoring the clearance of diffusible Aβ by microglia and encoding the expression of genes required to trigger neuro-inflammatory responses and microglial survival (63).

In conclusion, our results have helped define functional roles of sTREM2, which can bind with moderate affinity to fibrils and acts as a potent inhibitor of fibril formation. Moreover, the common variant of sTREM2, but not R47H, is readily taken up by both neurogliaoma derived and microglial cell lines, and it can enhance uptake of fibrillar Aβ. These roles overlap with that of membrane bound TREM2, which mediates signaling when it binds multivalent ligands, that can either be Aβ aggregates, acidic phospholipids, ApoE, or a mixture of these ligands that are presented in polyvalent forms. These findings also provide insight into a possible chaperone-like role of sTREM2, which might have important implications for the initiation, processing, and propagation of plaques during the progression of AD.

Materials and Methods

Detailed experimental methods can be found in SI Appendix. The synthetic gene for sTREM2 WT and sTREM2 R47H mutant protein was obtained from Twist Bioscience and was cloned in vector pTwist Puro. The gene encoded the human TREM2 signal peptide and extracellular domain (residues 19 to 157) until the ADAM cleavage site (sequence in the SI Appendix). The glycosylation sites N20 and N79 were left unchanged, and a 6-His tag was added at the C terminus of the gene sequence to aid in protein purification, which is detailed in SI Appendix.

NMR Spectrometry. 1H NMR HCOS experiments were conducted at University of California, San Francisco NMR facility on a Bruker Avance i 800 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5-mm triple-resonance z-gradients TXI-Cryoprobe. Aβ samples were prepared following a previously reported method (35). Data were collected at 10 °C for 100 μM 1H Aβ with or without 100 μM sTREM2 in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). NMR data were processed with NMRPipe (64) and visualized with Sparky (65).

Aggregation Kinetics of Aβ40 and Aβ42. The aggregation of Aβ40 and Aβ42 was monitored following a published method (43). Briefly, Aβ40 and Aβ42 were first prepared in monomeric form using gel-filtration chromatography. The final assay solution contained 4.9 μM Aβ42 or 5 μM Aβ40, various concentrations of sTREM2 WT/R47H, and 6 μM ThT in a total volume of 100 μL per well in a 96-well plate (Corning 3881). Fluorescence signal (λex = 444, λem = 485) was monitored on a Spectramax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices) using bottom read at 37 °C without shaking. The kinetic traces were first fitted using a sigmoidal function determined by combining the structure of sTREM2 (PDB ID code 2UD7) (8), Aβ40 fibers (PDB ID code 2LMK) (33), and our XL-MS data. Four stages of modeling included: 1) gathering data, 2) re-presenting system and translating input information into spatial restraints, 3) conformational sampling to generate an ensemble of models that satisfies the restraints, and 4) analyzing and validating the ensemble structures and data (46, 66, 67) (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). The integrative structure modeling protocol (stages 2, 3, and 4) was scripted using the Python Modeling Interface package (66), which is a library for modeling macromolecular assemblies based on our open-source Integrative Modeling Platform package; files containing input data, scripts, and output results are available at https://github.com/integrativemodeling/sTREM2-fAbeta_modeling. Given that many studies are conducted using mouse models and mouse TREM2, we also examined the surfaces of mouse TREM2, using AlphaFold (68) to create a molecular model (SI Appendix, Fig. S14 and Table S5). The surface is quite similar to that of WT human TREM2, so the modeling results should hold for the mouse as well as human species.

Data Availability. All study data, and scripts are included in the main text and SI Appendix. Files containing input data, scripts, and output results of integrative modeling are available at Github, https://github.com/integrativemodeling/sTREM2-fAbeta_modeling. The mass spec raw data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRoXy partner repository under Accession Number PXD0037022 and Project ID PXD000927000.
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