Determination of MIC Distribution and Mechanisms of Decreased Susceptibility to Bedaquiline among Clinical Isolates of *Mycobacterium abscessus*
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ABSTRACT Chemotherapeutic options against *Mycobacterium abscessus* infections are very limited. Bedaquiline, a new antituberculosis (anti-TB) drug, is effective for the treatment of multidrug-resistant TB. However, few data are available on bedaquiline for treatment of *M. abscessus* infections. In this study, we determined the profile for *in vitro* susceptibility of *M. abscessus* clinical isolates to bedaquiline and investigated the potential molecular mechanisms of decreased susceptibility. A total of 197 *M. abscessus* clinical isolates were collected from sputum and bronchoalveolar fluid of patients with lung infections. Standard broth microdilution test revealed that bedaquiline exhibited high *in vitro* killing activity against *M. abscessus* isolates, with a MIC$_{50}$ of 0.062 and a MIC$_{90}$ of 0.125 mg/liter. Whole-genome sequencing data showed that no nonsynonymous mutation occurred in *atpE*, the gene encoding the bedaquiline-targeted protein. However, of 6 strains with decreased susceptibility of bedaquiline (MIC$_{H11005}$ 0.5 to 1 mg/liter), 3 strains had nonsynonymous mutations in *mab_4384*, the gene encoding the repressor of efflux pump MmpS5/MmpL5. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis showed that the expression of MmpS5/MmpL5 in the group with decreased susceptibility to bedaquiline was significantly higher than in those with medium MICs (MIC = 0.125 to 0.5 mg/liter) or in the low-MIC group (MIC $\leq$ 0.062 mg/liter). Two isolates with increased MICs did not show overexpression of MmpS5/MmpL5, which could not be explained by known molecular mechanisms. This is the first report showing the association of MmpS5/MmpL5 with decreased bedaquiline susceptibility in *M. abscessus* clinical isolates and suggesting the presence of other, yet-to-be identified mechanisms for decreased bedaquiline susceptibility in *M. abscessus*.
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Infections caused by nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) have been increasing dramatically around the world in recent years (1). *Mycobacterium abscessus* is one of the most commonly detected pathogens among rapidly growing NTM, and it often causes high morbidity and mortality among patients with chronic lung diseases such as bronchiectasis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and cystic fibrosis (CF) (2, 3). Human-to-human transmission of *M. abscessus* infection was reported recently, making the problem more disconcerting (1, 4). Because *M. abscessus* is intrinsically
resistant to various kinds of antimicrobials available in clinical practice, the treatment options for *M. abscessus* infections are limited (5). The 2007 American Thoracic Society Guideline recommended a long period (at least 1 year) of a combination treatment regimen including macrocyclic lactones (clarithromycin or azithromycin), aminoglycosides (amikacin), and β-lactams (cefotaxim or imipenem) for *M. abscessus* infections (3). However, a meta-analysis in 2017 showed that the curative effect of this regimen is still very limited, with effective rates of 34% to 54% for newly diagnosed *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease, and 20% for refractory disease (6). Thus, development of new drugs for the treatment of *M. abscessus* infections is an urgent need.

Bedaquiline, a new diarylquinoline antituberculosis (anti-TB) drug, targets the c subunit of ATP synthase and exerts an antibacterial effect by blocking ATP synthesis (7–9). Bedaquiline is effective for the treatment of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* organisms with very low MICs. It was approved by the Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) in December 2012 (10).

One clinical report demonstrated that bedaquiline also possesses potential therapeutic activity in patients with severe *M. abscessus* lung disease, indicating that bedaquiline could be considered as a salvage therapy for *M. abscessus* infections (11). However, the MIC data for bedaquiline against *M. abscessus* are limited, and no bedaquiline susceptibility breakpoint is available for *M. abscessus* so far. The mechanism of bedaquiline nonsusceptibility is virtually unknown (12, 13). In this study, we determined the *in vitro* profile of susceptibility of *M. abscessus* clinical isolates to bedaquiline and investigated the potential molecular mechanisms underlying the decreased susceptibility.

### RESULTS

**Bedaquiline susceptibility profile of *M. abscessus* clinical isolates.** A total of 197 *M. abscessus* strains were isolated from sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples during the period from January 2012 to December 2016. Of these, 163 strains were *Mycobacterium abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* and 34 strains were *Mycobacterium abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* (Table S1). The MICs of bedaquiline against *M. abscessus* clinical isolates ranged from 0.007 to 1 mg/liter, with a MIC$_{50}$ and MIC$_{90}$ of 0.062 and 0.125 mg/liter, respectively (Fig. 1). This result suggested that bedaquiline exhibited a high *in vitro* killing activity against *M. abscessus* isolates.

**Sequence analysis of atpE and mab_4384.** Strains were divided into three groups according to the levels of bedaquiline susceptibility: those showing low MICs (≤0.062
mg/liter \([n = 101]\), medium MICs (0.125 to 0.25 mg/liter \([n = 90]\)), and high MICs (0.5 to 1 mg/liter \([n = 6]\)) (overall MIC and mutation information for all the strains is listed in Table S1). Among the 197 strains used in this study, no nonsynonymous mutation was found in \(\text{atpE}\), the gene encoding the bedaquiline-targeted protein, suggesting that the decrease in bedaquiline susceptibility of these clinical isolates was not due to the \(\text{atpE}\) gene. This notion is consistent with previous reports (9, 14, 15).

It was reported that in \(\text{M. tuberculosis}\), the MmpS5/MmpL5 efflux pump is involved in bedaquiline resistance. Mutations in the gene for the repressor of \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\), \(\text{rv0678}\), lead to overexpression of \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\) and subsequently contribute to bedaquiline resistance in these \(\text{M. tuberculosis}\) strains (16). \(\text{Mab}_4383/\text{Mab}_4382\) and \(\text{Mab}_4384\) in \(\text{M. abscessus}\) are homologous to \(\text{MmpS5/MmpL5}\) and \(\text{Rv0678}\) in \(\text{M. tuberculosis}\). Sequence comparative analysis of \(\text{Mab}_4384\) among the 197 strains was performed. Strains with decreased susceptibility (MICs of 0.5 to 1 mg/liter) possessed mutations of A169S, Q215R, H7R, and E142K (Table 1). A169S, H7R, and E142K are located in the functional domain of \(\text{Mab}_4384\), which may affect the function of \(\text{Mab}_4384\) and subsequently impact the expression of efflux pump gene \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\). In contrast, Q215R is located outside the functional domain of \(\text{Mab}_4384\). Q215R was also present in strains with low MICs (\(\leq 0.062\) mg/liter), indicating that this mutation did not affect the function of \(\text{Mab}_4384\). More interestingly, more than 50% of strains with low and medium MICs harbored a deletion of \(\text{mab}_4384\), but none of the strains with high MICs did (Table 1). Further sequence analysis revealed that \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\) was absent in all strains with the \(\text{mab}_4384\) deletion (data not shown). This result suggested that the deletion of \(\text{mab}_4384\), and efflux pump gene \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\), may contribute to the susceptibility of \(\text{M. abscessus}\) to bedaquiline.

**Transcriptional analysis of efflux pump \(\text{Mps5/MmpL5}\).** We hypothesize that mutations of \(\text{Mab}_4384\) in the isolates with high MICs lead to increased expression levels of the efflux pump gene \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\) and contribute to decreased bedaquiline susceptibility. Isolates with bedaquiline MICs of 0.5 to 1 \((n = 6)\), and 6 randomly selected isolates from the low- and medium-MIC groups, were subjected to quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis for \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\) expression. As shown in Fig. 2, the expression levels of \(\text{mmpS5/mmpL5}\) in the high-MIC group were significantly higher than those in the medium-MIC and low-MIC groups. Two isolates, A321 and A305, with MICs of 0.5 to 1 mg/liter did not show overexpression of \(\text{Mps5/MmpL5}\). These two isolates also did not have nonsynonymous mutations in \(\text{atpE}\). Thus, other, yet-to-be identified mechanisms are likely present in these two isolates that contribute to the decreased bedaquiline susceptibility.

**DISCUSSION**

Chemotherapeutic therapies against infections caused by \(\text{M. abscessus}\) are often unsuccessful due to its intrinsic resistance to most antibiotics. New drugs, especially
new anti-TB drugs, against *M. abscessus* infections have brought new hope for treating *M. abscessus* infections. With the advantages of oral delivery, bedaquiline has been considered as a prospective drug in the treatment of *M. abscessus* infections (17). Thus, clinical data for *in vitro* susceptibility of *M. abscessus* to bedaquiline are urgently needed.

In this study, we collected 197 *M. abscessus* clinical isolates in Shanghai, China. We found that bedaquiline exhibited high *in vitro* killing activity against *M. abscessus*, with a MIC$_{50}$ of 0.062 and MIC$_{90}$ of 0.125 mg/liter. In contrast to our data, Pang et al. reported that bedaquiline has a moderate antibacterial activity against *M. abscessus*, with a MIC$_{50}$ of 0.13 and MIC$_{90}$ of $>$16 mg/liter (9). The difference may be due to the potential exposure of *M. abscessus* to second-line anti-TB drugs in the study by Pang et al., such as clofazimine, which gains cross-resistance with bedaquiline. In this study, we tested the MIC of clofazimine to *M. abscessus* and found that it was below 1 mg/liter, supporting the absence of bedaquiline exposure.

Based on our data and those of others (14, 15, 17), bedaquiline showed a high antibacterial activity at a very low concentration (<0.1 mg/liter). In addition, bedaquiline can maintain a mean plasma concentration of 0.6 mg/liter at standard oral doses (18), and it can be extensively distributed to tissues, including the lungs, according to the pharmacokinetic studies (19). In one *M. abscessus*-infected mouse model, bedaquiline significantly reduced the bacterial burden in the lungs after 4 days of treatment (20). When bedaquiline was used as salvage therapy for *M. abscessus* infection, there was clinical improvement in the early stage of treatment, with a sustained reduction of bacterial load in sputum and no severe side effects (11). Therefore, bedaquiline could be an effective alternative in the multidrug therapy of *M. abscessus* infections treatment. However, some negative results also merit attention. Lerat et al. reported that bedaquiline showed almost no activity in nude mice (21), and in the previously mentioned salvage therapy trial, long-term bedaquiline treatment efficacy was shown to not be ideal (11). Furthermore, according to Alexander and coworkers, even very low bedaquiline MICs that might ostensibly be viewed as indicating susceptibility may be associated with treatment failure (22). More bactericidal activity trials are needed to confirm the usefulness of bedaquiline in *M. abscessus* infections treatment.

The emergence of bedaquiline resistance and treatment failure in TB highlights the importance of rational use of bedaquiline in clinical practice as well as monitoring bedaquiline susceptibility of the pathogen during the course of therapy. Understanding of the mechanisms of bedaquiline resistance is necessary to direct clinical therapeutic choices and reduce the occurrence of resistance (12). Currently known mechanisms of bedaquiline resistance are as follows. (i) Mutations within the target gene *atpE*, including those yielding A28V, A63P, I66M, A28P, G61A, D28N, and A63V changes, prevent bedaquiline from binding to the c subunit of AtpE and finally exert an antibacterial effect by blocking ATP synthesis. These target-based mutations can increase bedaqui-
line MICs 8- to 133-fold against *M. tuberculosis* after *in vitro* exposure to bedaquiline (19, 23, 24). (iii) Mutations in Rv0678, a transcriptional repressor of efflux pump MmpS5/MmpL5, cause 2- to 8-fold increases of bedaquiline MICs in *M. tuberculosis* isolates after both *in vitro* and *in vivo* exposure to bedaquiline (25–28). (iii) Mutations in pepQ were also reported conferring a 4-fold increase of bedaquiline MIC against *M. tuberculosis*, though the gene function was unclear (29). (iv) During the bedaquiline treatment course, mmpT5 mutations in *Mycobacterium intracellulare* were found to be associated with 2- to 8-fold bedaquiline MIC increase (22). However, no homologs of PepQ and MmpT5 were found in 197 genomes in this study.

Little is known about mechanisms of bedaquiline resistance in *M. abscessus*. A report in 2017 by Dupont and colleagues showed construction of an atpE mutant of bedaquiline-sensitive *M. abscessus* and demonstrated that mutation in atpE can lead to bedaquiline resistance (15). Pang and colleagues identified 66 bedaquiline-resistant strains from 381 *M. abscessus* clinical isolates, of which 15 had atpE mutations. However, all of the mutations were synonymous (9). No nonsynonymous atpE mutation has been found among clinical isolates of *M. abscessus*. This remains true in our study: no atpE mutation was found in all the 197 clinical *M. abscessus* isolates. This is different from the mechanisms of bedaquiline resistance in *M. tuberculosis* (23).

Overexpression of MmpS5/MmpL5 caused by Rv0678 mutation was prevalent in MDR *M. tuberculosis* isolates from patients treated with bedaquiline or without documented prior use of clofazimine or bedaquiline (28), indicating that elevated expression of MmpS5/MmpL5 contributed to both intrinsic and acquired bedaquiline resistance in *M. tuberculosis*. Currently, no information is available about MmpS5/MmpL5 expression in bedaquiline-non-susceptible *M. abscessus* clinical isolates. Our study is the first showing a role for MmpS5/MmpL5 in decreased bedaquiline susceptibility in *M. abscessus* clinical isolates (4/6 [66.7%]). Furthermore, we showed that the decreased bedaquiline susceptibility is the result of mutation in the repressor gene *mab_4384*. None of the MmpS5/MmpL5-overexpressing *M. abscessus* strains had been exposed to bedaquiline or clofazimine before. Therefore, overexpression of MmpS5/MmpL5 appears to be associated with intrinsic bedaquiline resistance in *M. abscessus* clinical isolates. There was one isolate, A315, with a bedaquiline MIC of 1 mg/liter that showed an extremely high level of MmpS5/MmpL5 expression. Sequence comparative analysis of this clone showed no mutation in *mab_4384*, indicating the presence of another unknown regulator for MmpS5/MmpL5 that remains to be investigated. In addition, we showed 2 isolates with elevated bedaquiline MICs (A321 and A305) without overexpression of MmpS5/MmpL5 or atpE mutation, suggesting the presence of an MmpS5/MmpL5-independent pathway which could not be explained by current known mechanisms. We are currently in the process of investigating the remaining molecular mechanisms in these strains.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation of *M. abscessus* clinical strains.** A total of 197 *M. abscessus* isolates were collected from sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples of patients with lung infections in Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital from January 2012 to December 2016. Isolates were preliminarily screened for NTM by both MGIT960 medium culture and *p*-nitrobenzoic acid test, followed by molecular identification of *M. abscessus* by sequencing of the rpoB and erm(41) genes (5, 30). All isolates were then stored at °80°C until use.

**Bedaquiline susceptibility test.** Bedaquiline (Biopharmaleader, China) susceptibility was determined by the broth microdilution method according to CLSI document M24-A2 (31). *Mycobacterium peregrinum* (ATCC 700686; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213; American Type Culture Collection) served as the control reference strains.

**Whole-genome sequencing and comparison of atpE and mab_4384.** In this study, 35 strains isolated in 2016 were sequenced. DNA extraction, library construction, and sequencing were performed as we described previously (32). The whole genomes of the other 162 strains isolated during 2012 to 2015 were published by us previously (32). Sequences of atpE (*mab_1448*) and *mab_4384* were extracted from the sequencing data. Sequences were aligned to the homologous sequences of the reference mycobacterial strain ATCC 19977 by BLAST (33).

**RNA extraction and qRT-PCR.** RNA samples were extracted from mid-log-phase bacterial cultures according to the protocols recommended by Medjahed and Singh (34). cDNA was synthesized using the...
RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Shiga, Japan). Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) on a 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). Reactions were repeated in triplicate and the fold change in gene expression was calculated as previously described (35). Clinical M. abscessus strain 205, with a bedaquiline MIC of 0.007 mg/liter, was used as the reference strain for the gene expression analysis. PCR primer pairs for amplification of mmpL5 and the endogenous reference gene sigA were mmpL5_RT_F (AGAGCGCCGAC GGAAGAGC/mmpL5_RT_R (TTGGTCTGCCGAGGTTGTC) and sigA_RT_F (AGCGTGAGCTGCTACAGGAC)/ sigA_RT_R (TGATTTCCAGCACCCTTGT).

Accession number(s). The accession numbers for the 35 M. abscessus isolates sequenced in this study are available at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under BioProject no. PRJNA448987.
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