Tuberous sclerosis complex and Myc coordinate the growth and division of Drosophila intestinal stem cells
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Intestinal stem cells (ISCs) in the adult Drosophila melanogaster midgut can respond to damage and support repair. We demonstrate in this paper that the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) plays a critical role in balancing ISC growth and division. Previous studies have shown that imaginal disc cells that are mutant for TSC have increased rates of growth and division. However, we report in this paper that loss of TSC in the adult Drosophila midgut results in the formation of much larger ISCs that have halted cell division. These mutant ISCs expressed proper stem cell markers, did not differentiate, and had defects in multiple steps of the cell cycle. Slowing the growth by feeding rapamycin or reducing Myc was sufficient to rescue the division defect. The TSC mutant guts had a thinner epithelial structure than wild-type tissues, and the mutant flies were more susceptible to tissue damage. Therefore, we have uncovered a context-dependent phenotype of TSC mutants in adult ISCs, such that the excessive growth leads to inhibition of division.

Introduction

Stem cell–mediated repair is a promising approach for treating a variety of pathological disorders. Many adult tissues contain stem cells, and tissue homeostasis requires replenishment of lost cells by these adult stem cells. An imbalance between the removal of dead cells and the production of new cells can lead to tissue overgrowth, tissue damage, inflammation, and cancer (Niemeyer et al., 2006; Nystul and Spradling, 2006; Metcalfe and Ferguson, 2008).

In the adult mammalian intestine, stem cells are located near the base of each crypt (Crosnier et al., 2006; Yen and Wright, 2006; Walker and Stappenbeck, 2008; Barker et al., 2009). Two groups of cells, called label retention cells and columnar base cells, have stem cell properties but express completely different markers (Barker et al., 2007; Montgomery and Breault, 2008; Sangiorgi and Capecchi, 2008; Zhu et al., 2009; Li and Clevers, 2010). These intestinal stem cells (ISCs) give rise to progenitor cells in the transit-amplifying zone and provide a large number of precursor cells that can replenish cells of various lineages along the crypt-villus axis. However, the mechanism by which these different ISCs and progenitor cells mediate intestinal repair remains to be investigated (Barker et al., 2008; Batlle, 2008; Scoville et al., 2008; Casali and Batlle, 2009).

In the adult Drosophila melanogaster midgut, ISCs are present individually and distributed evenly underneath the epithelium (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006). When an ISC divides, it gives rise to a renewed stem cell and an enteroblast (Fig. 1 A). Immediately after division, a higher level of active cytoplasmic Delta is retained in the cell that remains as an ISC, whereas the neighboring enteroblast quickly loses the active form of Delta (Bray, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2007). This asymmetric level of active Delta in ISCs stimulates the Notch signaling pathway in the newly formed enteroblast (Bardin et al., 2010), which ceases division and starts to differentiate. Depending on the strength of Notch pathway stimulation, the enteroblast may differentiate to become an enterocyte or enteroendocrine cell (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006, 2007).
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Figure 1. TSC–TOR regulates ISC growth in the adult midgut. (A) Cell types in adult midgut. ISC, intestinal stem cell; EB, enteroblast; EE, enteroendocrine cell; EC, enterocyte. Delta, Su(H)-lacZ, Prospero, and fluorescent phalloidin Pdm1 are markers for the indicated cell types. The esg-GFP (> is Gal4-UAS) is expressed in both the ISC and enteroblast. (B–E) 3D reconstruction (B and C) of and normal confocal images (D and E) of control and TSC2 RNAi cells. The control flies

- (F) Graph showing the number of D1+GFP+ cells over days at 29°C. Control and TSC2 RNAi treatments are compared. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

- (G) Graph showing the number of enterocytes over days at 29°C. Control and TSC2 RNAi treatments are compared. p>0.05.

- (H) Graph showing the number of D1+GFP+ cells over days at 29°C. Control, TSC2 RNAi, TSC1 RNAi, and Rapamycin treatments are compared with Day 5, Day 10 DMSO, and Day 10 Rapamycin controls. **p<0.01.

- (I) Graph showing the number of D1+GFP+ cells over TOR* DN and TOR* RNAi treatments. Control, TSC2 RNAi, and TOR*TSC2 RNAi treatments are compared. **p<0.01.

- (J) Graph showing the RNA fold change over days at 29°C. TSC2, TSC1, Extra, and Actin treatments are compared. **p<0.01.
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In addition to the Delta–Notch pathway, recent studies demonstrate that the EGF receptor pathway, Wingless pathway, Decapentaplegic pathway, and intrinsic chromatin modification by the deubiquitinase Scrpr are required for the development and maintenance of ISCs (Lin et al., 2008; Buszczak et al., 2009; Jiang and Edgar, 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Buchon et al., 2010; Mathur et al., 2010; Biteau and Jasper, 2011; Jiang et al., 2011). JNK, p38, and, possibly, PVF2 are required for the regulation of ISCs in aging flies (Biteau et al., 2008; Choi et al., 2008; Park et al., 2009). The insulin receptor (InR), Janus kinase–signal transducer and activator of transcription, Hippo, and JNK signaling are essential for ISC division during homeostasis and pathogenic stimulation (Maeda et al., 2008; Amcheslavsky et al., 2009; Apidianakis et al., 2009; Buchon et al., 2009a,b; Chatterjee and Ip, 2009; Cronin et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2009; Beebe et al., 2010; Karpowicz et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2010; Staley and Irvine, 2010). Therefore, conserved regulatory pathways are involved in ISC-mediated homeostasis.

In this study, we used an RNAi-based genetic screen to search for important stem cell regulators and have identified tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) as an essential regulator of midgut ISC growth (in this study, cell growth is measured as an increase in cell size). The human disease TSC is characterized by the appearance of benign tumors in multiple tissues, as the result of mutations in either the TSC1 or TSC2 gene (Crino, 2008; Huang and Manning, 2008). The TSC gene products form a complex that negatively regulates Rheb and target of rapamycin (TOR). We show here that loss of TSC in midgut ISCs leads to excessive cell growth, which in turn causes defects in ISC division. These stem cell defects lead to a thinner gut epithelium, and the flies have increased susceptibility to feeding of tissue-damaging agents.

## Results

### ISCs exhibit highly excessive growth in loss of TSC function

To search for essential regulators of ISCs, we used the escargot (esg) Gal4–upstream activation sequence (UAS) system to knock down specific targets by RNAi in adult midgut precursor cells (Fig. 1 A). We included the tubulin-Gal80° to control temporarily double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) expression; at 29°C, the repressor Gal80° will not be active and will thus allow Gal4 to drive the expression of UAS constructs. The UAS-mCD8GFP construct was included to illuminate the affected cells. In control guts, the GFP-positive (GFP+) cells were smaller and frequently in pairs, representing the ISC and enteroblast cell nests in young flies (Fig. 1, B’ and D’, arrow pairs). In TSC2 dsRNA (Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center [VDRC] no. 6313)–expressing guts, the GFP+ cells were much larger and present as individual cells (Fig. 1, C and E). We measured the size of ISCs (Delta+ and GFP+ cells) based on the area in confocal images. The size of the ISCs increased progressively after RNAi initiation to ~10-fold in 10 d (Fig. 1 F). The surrounding mature enterocytes had no GFP expression (Fig. 1 E’) and did not show any size increase (Fig. 1 G). The enteroendocrine cells were also not affected, as revealed by nuclear staining of Prospero and cell membrane staining of β-catenin/Armadillo (Fig. 1, D’ and E’, thick arrows). Although the esgGal4 driver is expressed in both ISC and enteroblast together with the Delta staining, we demonstrate that loss of TSC2 function induces a substantial increase in cell size in ISCs.

We performed a series of experiments to demonstrate that the well-studied TSC–TOR pathway regulates adult ISCs. TSC is a protein complex that consists of TSC1 and TSC2, and they negatively regulate TOR to control translation and cell growth. Two TSC2 RNAi lines (VDRC no. 6313 and 6314) and one TSC1 RNAi line (VDRC no. 22252) all showed a similar size increase (Fig. 1 H). Next, we examined the requirement of TOR by feeding the specific inhibitor rapamycin to the RNAi flies. Administration of rapamycin efficiently suppressed the increase in cell size during RNAi induction (Fig. 1 I). We then used a TOR dominant-negative construct as well as TOR RNAi to show that the TSC2 RNAi-induced size increase is TOR dependent (Fig. 1 I). Therefore, we have demonstrated that the well-studied TSC–TOR pathway regulates cell growth in adult midgut ISCs.

Real-time RT-PCR was used to measure the knockdown efficiency by RNAi. The result revealed an ~60% decrease of TSC2 RNA in the whole gut under the tubulin-Gal4 ubiquitous driver (Fig. 1 J). The use of esgGal4 for this experiment would not be as accurate because only the precursor cells in the dissected gut would have the RNAi effect. Although the knockdown...
Figure 2. Loss of TSC causes defects in mitotic regulators and ISC division. (A) The number of days after heat shock induction of mitotic recombination in TSC2<sup>193</sup> mutant MARCM flies is indicated. The guts were stained for Delta. GFP+ clusters or single cells that also contained Delta were counted. If a cluster contained two or more GFP+ cells, it was counted as a multiple-cell clone. Most Delta+ MARCM cells remained as single cells. n ≥ 175. (B) The TSC2 RNAi was v6313 crossed...
appeared to be specific, and the RNAi-induced cell growth phenotype was consistent with the known function of TSC, we confirmed the phenotypes by mosaic analysis with repressible cell marker (MARC; Lee and Luo, 2001; Amcheslavsky et al., 2009). Three TSC2 mutant alleles were tested: TSC2<sup>29</sup>, which is a weak allele, and TSC2<sup>192</sup> and TSC2<sup>193</sup> which are null alleles (Ito and Rubin, 1999). The staining of these guts with Delta together with the GFP marking showed that all the TSC2 mutants caused an increased ISC size between day 4 and 12 after the heat shock regimen (Fig. 1 M, TSC2<sup>193</sup> allele). This increase in ISC size was also observed with TSC1<sup>22</sup> and TSC1<sup>29</sup> alleles (Fig. 1 L, TSC1<sup>29</sup> allele) but not with wild-type chromosomes (Fig. 1 K). We conclude that TSC loss of function causes cell-autonomous growth of ISCs in the adult midgut.

**Loss of TSC causes defects in ISC division**

TSC has been dubbed a tumor suppressor because previous studies have shown that TSC mutant cells have increased cell division in addition to cell growth (Ito and Rubin, 1999; Gao and Pan, 2001; Tapon et al., 2001; Rosner et al., 2006; Huang and Manning, 2008). However, our observations suggest a major deviation from the current paradigm, such that loss of TSC in adult Drosophila ISCs leads to a halt in cell division. First, MARCM analysis revealed that most TSC2 mutant cells that were also Delta<sup>+</sup> grew larger but remained as individual cells without clonal expansion (Fig. 2 A and Fig. 1 M), whereas wild-type ISCs formed GFP clones with many more cells (Fig. 1 K). Similar results were observed with the TSC1 mutant clones (Fig. 1 L). Second, the TSC2 RNAi guts had a reduction in phosphorylated histone 3–positive (pH3<sup>+</sup>) cells (Fig. 2 B, white bars). ISCs are the only mitotic cells within the adult midgut, and pH3 staining reveals ISCs that are in mitosis. Third, when the flies were fed with tissue-damaging agents dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) and bleomycin, the number of pH3<sup>+</sup> cells increased in the wild-type guts but not in TSC2 RNAi guts (Fig. 2 B, black and gray bars). Together, these results demonstrate that TSC mutant ISCs do not divide.

We further analyzed the cell division phenotype by examining the expression of specific regulators. The kinase Polo is essential for mitosis and meiosis and is localized in different compartments at different phases of the cell cycle (Llamazares et al., 1991). By using a fusion protein trap line (FlyTrap no. CC01326), we found that Polo-GFP was detectable in Delta<sup>+</sup> cells. Similar to a previous study (Llamazares et al., 1991), the Polo-GFP fusion protein was, at times, highly concentrated at the ISC metaphase plate (Fig. 2, C and C′). In wild-type guts, 3.0% (16/538) of the Polo-GFP–positive cells had this metaphase pattern, which likely represents the number of ISCs undergoing mitosis. In the TSC2 RNAi gut, the Polo-GFP was still clearly detectable as cytoplasmic staining (Fig. 2 D), but only 0.2% (2/843) of the cells showed the metaphase staining pattern.

Another essential component for mitotic entry is the kinase Cdc2 (Royou et al., 2008). Our staining data show that in wild-type guts, Cdc2 is expressed in all Delta<sup>+</sup>,esg>GFP<sup>+</sup> (> is Gal4-UAS; Fig. 2, E–E′′), arrows) but only in some Delta<sup>+</sup>,esg>GFP<sup>+</sup> cells. Therefore, Cdc2 is most likely expressed in ISCs and segregated into newly formed enteroblasts. In TSC2 RNAi guts, we could not detect any Cdc2 staining in any of the cell types (Fig. 2, F–F′′). The loss of Cdc2 supports the observation that TSC2 mutant ISCs have division defects.

**TSC mutant ISCs have additional defects during S phase**

The aforementioned results show that the mutant cells grow bigger and do not divide, raising the possibility that these cells may undergo endoreplication if DNA synthesis is normal. We performed 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) feeding and incorporation experiments to examine whether the TSC2 RNAi or mutant cells are normal in S phase. The traditional BrdU incorporation/detection is not as sensitive as EdU (Staley and Irvine, 2010) and requires feeding time of a few days, which leads to a difficult interpretation of results. Therefore, we developed an EdU feeding protocol that allowed us to perform a much shorter feeding time of 6–24 h and gave better snapshots of the replication activity at various time points after RNAi initiation. We show in Fig. 3 the 24 h feeding results, which clearly indicate that the number of Delta<sup>+</sup> cells that had detectable EdU incorporation was significantly reduced, especially in day 6 and day 8 (Fig. 3 A). We also performed MARCM clonal experiments using a null TSC2 mutant allele, and the result showed that most of these null cells had no detectable incorporation (Fig. 3 B).

To investigate the cell cycle defects further, we performed a tissue dissociation and cell-sorting experiment. Dissected guts were incubated with trypsin to release gut cells into suspension, which were stained with Hoechst 33342 DNA dye and analyzed by FACS. The esg>GFP control guts gave 11.3% of cells that had a strong GFP signal (Fig. 4 A, boxed area), whereas the TSC2 RNAi guts gave a lower percentage (6.86%) in the same gated area (Fig. 4 B), which is consistent with the mutant ISCs being unable to divide. The wild-type GFP-positive cells showed tight DNA dye profiling (Fig. 4 C), and computer modeling suggested that 82% of the cells were 2N or in G1 (Fig. 4 C′). As a comparison, the overexpression of Myc drives more cells to completion of S phase (Johnston et al., 1999; Wu and Johnston, 2010), resulting in a profile that had clearly distinguishable 2N and 4N peaks (Fig. 4, D and D′). Meanwhile, cells from TSC2 with the esg>GFP,tubulin-Gal80<sup>+</sup> flies. The control guts had ~5–10 mitotic cells after 6 or 12 d of incubation at 29°C, whereas the TSC2 RNAi guts had almost no detectable mitotic cells after a similar incubation (white bars). Feeding of DSS or bleomycin increased the mitotic cell counts in the control flies, but similar feeding could not increase mitotic cell counts in the TSC2 RNAi flies. Three independent experiments were performed, and four guts were counted for each sample. (C–D′) The Polo-GFP is a protein trap line. The expression was detected by anti-GFP immunofluorescent staining. Most Polo-GFP<sup>+</sup> cells also had Delta staining. In some wild-type cells, the GFP fusion was enriched at the metaphase plate (arrows in C–C′ and inset in C). In TSC2 RNAi flies, the Polo-GFP was still detectable in the cytoplasm of Delta<sup>+</sup> cells, but almost none of them showed metaphase plate staining. (E–F′) The control was esg>GFP, and Cdc2 and Delta antibodies were used for immunofluorescent staining. The arrows in these panels indicate Delta<sup>+</sup> cells. In control guts, all Delta<sup>+</sup> cells also had Cdc2 staining. In TSC2 RNAi guts, the esg>GFP>, Delta<sup>+</sup> cells were larger, but they did not contain Cdc2 staining. Error bars are standard deviations. * , P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.
Figure 3. **TSC2 mutant ISCs had reduced DNA replication.** (A) The *esg>GFP* control and TSC2 RNAi flies were incubated in 29°C for a total of 4, 6, or 8 d. EdU was added to a 5% sucrose solution for feeding flies for 24 h in the final day. Guts were dissected and used for Delta antibody staining and EdU detection using the Click-iT detection kit. GFP+;Delta+ cells were counted, and the percentage of them that also had an EdU signal is plotted as shown. Using this feeding protocol, 80–100% of Delta+ cells in control guts also contained an EdU signal. In TSC2 RNAi guts, only 30–50% of Delta+ cells contained an EdU signal. \( n \geq 75 \). (B) TSC2 mutant MARCM clones were induced by heat shock, returned to 29°C for 5 d, and then fed with EdU for 1 d. MARCM GFP+ cells that were also Delta+ were counted as total number of cells; 25% of them also had EdU staining. The wild-type (WT) ISCs were the Delta+ cells that surround the MARCM ISCs; 71% of which showed EdU staining. (C–E″) Confocal images of staining for control, TSC2 RNAi, and TSC2 mutant MARCM guts. In C–C″, most *esg>GFP* and Delta+ (arrows) control cells were small and had EdU incorporation. In D–D″, most TSC2 RNAi Delta+ cells did not have EdU (arrowheads), but some still can incorporate (arrows). In E–E″, most TSC2 mutant MARCM cells that were Delta+ did not show EdU staining (arrowheads), whereas a few did (arrows). Error bars are standard deviations. *, \( P < 0.05 \).
TSC2 mutant cells had defective S-phase progression. (A and B) FACS analysis of dissociated gut cells. When control esg>GFP fly gut cells were analyzed, 11.3% of the count showed a strong GFP signal (boxed area in A). Cells with similar GFP signals were analyzed in TSC2 RNAi fly guts (boxed area in B, 6.84%). These cells spread toward the right, which is consistent with an overall increase in cell size. Control w1118 fly gut cells did not show any GFP signal in the similarly boxed area (not depicted). [C and C’] The GFP-positive cells in the boxed area of the control guts used for DNA dye profiling showed clustering into a major peak and a minor peak, which should correspond to 2N and S-phase cells, respectively. C’ is a computer model for contribution from G1 (2N)- and S-phase cells. (D and D’) Cell cycle profiling in guts overexpressing Myc. In this condition, a 4N peak is clearly observed, which is consistent with published results showing that Myc can drive cells through S phase, and therefore, more cells have 4N DNA content. D’ is the computer model for contribution from 2N, S, and 4N cells. (E and E’) GFP+ cells from TSC2 RNAi guts showed a broader distribution, which peaked near 2N but spread toward 4N. E’ is the computer model suggesting that a much higher percentage of cells were in S phase. wt, wild type.
Figure 5. **ISCs without TSC2 function still express correct cell fate markers.** (A–C‴″) The esg>GFP; tubulin-Gal80 line was crossed with the TSC2 RNAi (6313) line and the offspring was incubated at 29°C for 8 d before dissection. Many of the esg>GFP+ cells also contained Delta (A–A″, arrows). Therefore, these cells express two markers that define ISC. The esg>GFP+ cells did not express Prospero (PROS), which was present in enteroendocrine cells.
RNAi guts showed a broader profile but did not form another peak (Fig. 4 E). Computer modeling suggested that ~65% of these cells had DNA content between 2N and 4N (Fig. 4 E'). This result suggests that many TSC2 RNAi cells can enter S phase but either stop or slow down, leading to the accumulation of different amounts of DNA in the population. Based on both the EdU incorporation and FACS analysis, we conclude that TSC2 RNAi midgut precursor cells have significantly slower DNA synthesis and do not have synchronized S phase. However, because mitosis is abolished, even slow DNA replication over time will cause polyploidy in these large mutant cells. This will be different from mutant imaginal disc cells, which continue to divide and, therefore, have a modest size increase and remain diploid (Ito and Rubin, 1999; Gao and Pan, 2001; Tapon et al., 2001). Our FACS analysis of TSC2 RNAi cells at later time points did show an even broader profile. However, because of the extreme cell size increase, the DNA signal comparison between small control cells and large mutant cells becomes unreliable. Therefore, we speculate that the large mutant cells in the adult midgut are not in active endoreplication, although they have DNA content larger than 2N because of the lack of cell division and slow DNA synthesis.

Loss of TSC allows cell growth but does not direct differentiation

When an ISC divides, two cells are formed with one becoming a renewed ISC and the other becoming an enteroblast. The enteroblast ceases to divide and starts to differentiate, which is associated with substantial growth if committing to the enterocyte lineage (Fig. 1 A). Therefore, the aforementioned TSC mutant phenotypes can be a result of directed differentiation. However, the detection of both Delta and esg>GFP (Fig. 5 A, arrows), two major stem cell markers in adult Drosophila ISCs, in many of the large TSC mutant cells suggests that they have retained at least some stem cell properties. Therefore, we next performed analyses of various markers to determine whether these mutant ISCs are largely undifferentiated. As shown in Fig. 5 B, staining of the large GFP+ cells with Prospero was negative, whereas the small enteroendocrine cells stained positive for this marker (Fig. 5 B, thick arrows). In addition, the Delta and the Notch pathway target gene Su(H)-lacZ stained separate cells when two occasional neighboring cells both had esg>GFP expression (Fig. 5, C–C′′′). Therefore, the ISC versus enteroblast fate has been maintained after TSC2 RNAi. We also quantified the relative number of Delta+ cells over the experimental period. In wild-type flies, progressively older fly guts had a decreasing Delta+ to esg>GFP+ cell ratio, from ~40 to 20% (Fig. 5 D, white bars). This reflects the accumulation of more enteroblasts after divisions during which the ISCs are renewed but not increased. If there is ISC loss, we expect this ratio will decline faster. In TSC2 RNAi guts, the ratio declined from ~60 to 50% (Fig. 5 D, black bars). This is consistent with no cell division, and there is also no indication of stem cell loss after TSC2 RNAi.

The stem cell state and subsequent differentiation are often correlated with specific chromatin modifications (Boheler, 2009; Buszczak et al., 2009; Sang et al., 2009). In wild-type guts, we observed that precursor cells marked by esg>GFP exhibited a more prominent nuclear staining of acetylated histone 3 (AcH3; Fig. 5 E, arrows). Importantly, the large TSC2 RNAi cells also exhibited this stronger AcH3 nuclear staining (Fig. 5 F, arrowheads), suggesting that they were precursor-like cells. Fluorescent phalloidin illuminates F-actin present at the apical brush border of mature enterocytes and in smooth muscle cells (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Amcheslavsky et al., 2009). We found that >90% of the large GFP+ cells examined after 8 d of RNAi treatment showed no direct overlap with phalloidin staining (Fig. 5 G, arrowheads). The POU domain protein Pdm1 is a newly identified mature enterocyte marker (Bardin et al., 2010). We stained for Pdm1 and found that it was not expressed in the big TSC2 RNAi cells but was clearly present in surrounding enterocytes (Fig. 5 F). Therefore, ISCs that have lost TSC2 function remain undifferentiated.

TSC coordinates ISC growth and division through TORC1 but not TORC2

We conducted additional experiments to gain further insight into the mechanism by which TSC regulates ISC division. In cases in which InR acts directly upstream, it usually suppresses TSC function (Pan et al., 2004; Avruch et al., 2006), and thus, InR and TSC have opposite functions and should exhibit opposite phenotypes. However, in ISCs, loss of InR and loss of TSC both abolish division, which is also observed in germline stem cells (Amcheslavsky et al., 2009; Hsu and Drummond-Barbosa, 2009). Furthermore, after knockdown of the TSC2 RNAi with rapamycin, the repressed ISC division (Fig. 5 G, arrowheads). Therefore, it is unlikely that InR signaling simply represses TSC to allow TOR to stimulate division in ISCs. We next simultaneously inactivated TSC by TSC2 RNAi and activated the InR pathway through TORC1 but not TORC2

RNAs in combination either by expressing an activated InR or by RNAi knockdown of PTEN, a negative regulator of the InR pathway. As shown in Fig. 6 B, ~80% of the InR-stimulated or PTEN RNAi–induced mitotic activity was suppressed by loss of TSC function.
Recent evidence suggests that TSC can regulate two different TOR complexes: TORC1 and TORC2 (Huang and Manning, 2009; Inoki and Guan, 2009). In the canonical pathway, TSC represses TORC1 by acting as a GTPase-activating protein for this genetic suppression implies that TSC acts in parallel or downstream of InR/PTEN but is a positive regulator of ISC division. In consideration of all the results, we surmise that InR and TSC act in parallel to regulate ISC division (see Fig. 8E).
the GTPase Rheb. In the second pathway, TSC may activate TORC2 independently of the GTPase-activating protein activity (Huang et al., 2009). TORC2 contains two distinct components called Sin1 and Rictor (Sarbassov et al., 2005; Guertin et al., 2006). Therefore, we examined ISC division in the genetic mutants of *sin1* and *rictor*, both of which are viable strains (Hietakangas and Cohen, 2007). We found that these mutants behaved similarly to wild-type flies in basal or damage-stimulated ISC division (Fig. 6 C). One observed defect in the *sin1* and *rictor* mutants is a lack of AKT phosphorylation at the C terminus, which enhances AKT activity in conjunction with InR signaling (Sarbassov et al., 2005; Guertin et al., 2006; Hietakangas and Cohen, 2007). This lack of C-terminal AKT phosphorylation was readily detectable in whole fly and gut extracts of the *sin1* and *rictor* mutants (Fig. 6 D). However, the TSC2 RNAi guts showed a normal level of AKT phosphorylation. Therefore, loss of ISC division in TSC mutant guts is not caused by deregulation of the TORC2 pathway.

Two other possible mechanisms are that TSC2 regulates ISC division through an unknown pathway or through TORC1, which causes excessive growth that may block cell division. Rapamycin is a potent inhibitor of TORC1. We therefore used this chemical to examine the effect of TORC1 inhibition on cell division in TSC2 RNAi guts. Rapamycin was fed either at the beginning of RNAi induction (day 0) or at the time 5 d after RNAi initiation (day 5). The experiment was continued for a total of 10 d, and DSS was added during the last 2 d to stimulate cell division. When rapamycin was given at the beginning of the experiment, the TSC2 RNAi–induced growth increase and division defect were both suppressed (Fig. 6 E). However, if TSC2 RNAi was allowed to take effect for 5 d, which causes the ISCs to grow by approximately fourfold (Fig. 1), rapamycin administration could not rescue the ISC division defect (Fig. 6 F), despite suppressing further growth. Therefore, by inhibiting growth right at the beginning, the size of the ISCs remained normal, and they were able to divide even in the absence of TSC2. These results suggest that the ISC division defects observed in the TSC2 mutant are not because of another pathway but are caused by the excessive growth mediated by TORC1.

### Reducing growth by inhibiting Myc can rescue ISC division

To gain further support for the model that excessive growth in ISCs is the reason for the observed inhibition of cell division, we sought other regulators that could inhibit ISC growth. Myc has been shown to regulate cell growth during *Drosophila* development (Johnston et al., 1999; Wu and Johnston, 2010). Overexpression of *Drosophila* Myc in the midgut by the esg-Gal4 driver did not cause a detectable change in cell growth even after 2 or 6 d at a permissive temperature (Fig. 7 A). Nonetheless, the higher level of Myc significantly enhanced the cell growth phenotype induced by TSC2 RNAi within 2 d (Fig. 7 A), demonstrating that Myc is indeed a growth regulator in the midgut. We then tested the loss of function of *Myc* by esg-Gal4–driven RNAi. Over a short duration of 2 d, the *Myc* RNAi did not cause a significant change in ISC growth or division (Fig. 7 A and B). However, the *Myc* RNAi, for 6 d or longer, did cause a halt of division (unpublished data). We cannot distinguish whether prolonged loss of Myc function affects ISC division directly or the lack of cell growth affects division indirectly. Nevertheless, we used the short duration protocol to inhibit the cell growth phenotype induced by TSC2 RNAi. Flies that had both TSC2 RNAi and *Myc* RNAi showed a cell size more similar to that of the control, which was smaller than TSC2 RNAi samples (Fig. 7, A [right-most sample] and F). These double RNAi flies also exhibited a mitotic index similar to that of the control (Fig. 7 B). Moreover, cell division in these flies in response to DSS feeding...
was equal to the control (Fig. 7 B, black bars). The GFP-positive cell number and size were also consistent with the mitotic index (Fig. 7, C–J). Therefore, a reduction in growth by reducing Myc activity can restore ISC division. Together, these results support the hypothesis that the excessive growth in the TSC mutant blocks cell division and that, in normal guts, TSC and Myc function to coordinate ISC growth and division.

**Loss of TSC leads to increased susceptibility to intestinal damage**

To assess the biological outcome in the absence of TSC function within ISCs, we examined the midgut organization by tissue sectioning. The wild-type midgut showed protrusions of mature enterocytes lining the lumen, which was consistent with their normal absorptive functions (Fig. 8 A). However, in TSC2 RNAi guts, the epithelial layer appeared to be thinner and smoother (Fig. 8 B). Nevertheless, the epithelium still appeared as a continuous sheet.

We then fed the TSC2 RNAi flies with tissue-damaging agents. DSS and bleomycin feeding causes different damages in the midgut and can kill flies in a dose-dependent manner (Amcheslavsky et al., 2009). When a low dose of 1% DSS in sucrose solution was given, ~78% of the wild-type flies were still alive at the end of 7 d. However, under the same conditions, only 30% of the TSC2 RNAi flies were alive at the end of 7 d (Fig. 8 C). Similarly, when a low dose of 2 µg/ml bleomycin was administered for 7 d, 80% of the wild-type flies survived, whereas only 40% of the TSC2 RNAi flies survived under the same conditions (Fig. 8 D). The increased susceptibility to tissue damage observed in these TSC2 RNAi flies is consistent with the loss of ISC division, which would lead to fewer precursor cells being available for repair.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have provided evidence demonstrating that TSC is an essential regulator of ISC growth and division. In the absence of TSC function, ISCs have unrestricted cell growth, which halts cell division and leads to the formation of extremely large cells. Although stem cell markers are still expressed, these ISC-like cells are nonfunctional and can no longer divide or differentiate. As a consequence, the TSC mutant gut has a thinner epithelium and the mutant fly is more susceptible to tissue-damaging agents. Our study has uncovered a tissue context–dependent phenotype of TSC mutants, such that unrestricted cell growth can lead to a stop of cell division, and thus, TSC does not function all the time as a classical tumor suppressor.

The TSC–TOR and other growth regulatory pathways, such as InR and Myc, have intricate interactions. Some suggest that the InR pathway directly represses TSC, whereas others and our study here suggest that the two pathways act in parallel (Fig. 7 E; Pan et al., 2004; Avruch et al., 2006). The TSC–TOR pathway also has a negative feedback into upstream components of the InR pathway (Huang and Manning, 2009; Inoki and Guan, 2009). Recent identification of TORC2 in addition to the original TORC1 further complicates these pathways (Hietakangas and Cohen, 2007; Guertin et al., 2009). However, our results clearly show that TORC2 mutants and TSC mutants have different phenotypes in the adult *Drosophila* midgut, suggesting that TSC does not function through TORC2 to regulate ISC division. Previous studies have demonstrated that Myc can modulate TSC–TOR in controlling the growth of mammalian and fly cells (Tapon et al., 2001; Telemann et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2009), which is consistent with what we have observed.

In normal development and adult tissue homeostasis, cells need to grow in size by approximately twofold before they divide to maintain the original cell size. Reduction in cell growth below a certain threshold can lead to a halt of division (Grewal and Edgar, 2003; Jorgensen and Tyers, 2004; Leeevers and McNeill, 2005). Therefore, the balance between cell growth versus division is a complex process requiring delicate coordination (Kohlmaier and Edgar, 2008). Here, we have shown that, in TSC mutants, the increase in midgut ISC size is >10-fold (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), whereas the increase in larval disc cell size is less than twofold (Ito and Rubin, 1999; Gao and Pan, 2001; Tapon et al., 2001). A possible reason for this difference is that the mutant larval disc cells continue to divide, thereby maintaining a moderate cell size. One key question that remains is why the larval disc cells that contain a TSC mutation have somewhat coordinated growth and division, whereas the adult mutant ISCs have completely stopped their division. It is possible that because imaginal discs are developing organs, they are designed to have faster growth and division. Adult midgut ISCs have a slower intrinsic cell cycle of >24 h (Michelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006), and adult cells have differences in checkpoint controls (Su et al., 2000; Walworth, 2000; Song, 2005). These may allow the excessive growth to take place until it passes a critical point that blocks division.

Phenotypes manifested in TSC patients are mostly benign tumors that rarely progress into higher-grade cancers (Crino, 2008). TSC1 and 2 have expression in the intestine, and adult patients have occasional intestinal polyps (Hizawa et al., 1994; Fukuda et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2001). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts from mutant TSC animals can also enter senescence, which is equivalent to a cessation of cell division (Zhang et al., 2007). The adult midgut ISC phenotypes shown in this study are consistent with these phenotypes. We speculate that excessive cell growth leading to a block in cell division is a common phenotype in slowly dividing adult tissues when TSC is mutated. The phenotype of increased cell growth and increased cell division may be applicable to rapidly dividing cells, including developing *Drosophila* disc cells, mammalian hematopoietic stem cells, and tumor cells (Ito and Rubin, 1999; Gao and Pan, 2001; Tapon et al., 2001; Rosner et al., 2006; Gan et al., 2008). A recent study demonstrates that in TSC mutants, there is loss of adult female germline stem cells because of differentiation (Sun et al., 2010). The ISCs and germline stem cells have different niche compositions that may contribute to the observed differences in the mutant phenotype. Moreover, it underscores the idea of a tissue context–dependent phenotype exhibited in TSC mutants.
Loss of TSC leads to impaired intestinal homeostasis. (A and B) Midgut morphology in wild-type (WT) and TSC2 RNAi flies. The dissected guts were mounted in Epon plastic, and after sectioning, the tissues were stained with Toluidine blue. In the wild-type gut, the enterocytes are more tightly packed around the lumen, and protrusions are seen in most enterocytes. In the TSC2 RNAi gut, the enterocytes still form a continuous epithelium, but there are fewer protrusions, and there are fewer enterocytes in each section. The overall gut appeared thinner and smoother. (C and D) Wild type were esg-GFP; tubulin Gal80⁰ flies. Feeding experiments were performed at 29°C. Wild-type and TSC2 RNAi flies were fed with 5% sucrose as a control or with added 1% DSS or 2 µg/ml bleomycin. Flies were counted and transferred to new feeding vials every day. The survival rate is shown as a percentage. TSC2 RNAi flies have increased susceptibility toward the two tissue-damaging agents. Three independent experiments were performed with 100 flies for each sample. (E) A model for TSC coordination of ISC growth and division through the TORC1 pathway. Both TORC1 and InR pathways can stimulate growth and division but appear to act independently in adult midgut ISCs. TSC inhibits TORC1 and ISC growth. In the absence of TSC, TORC1 stimulates an excessive growth, which leads to the inhibition of ISC division. The TORC2 function is dispensable in ISC growth and division. Myc may act independently to regulate ISC growth, but reducing Myc is sufficient to suppress the excessive growth induced by loss of TSC. The arrows indicate activation, and the lines with a bar end indicates repression. Error bars are standard deviations.
Materials and methods

Drosophila stocks and feeding experiments
Most stocks have been previously described in Amcheslavsky et al. (2009). Myc RNAi lines were obtained from VDRC, and the Transgenic RNAi Project, UAS-Mycin, and PTEN RNAi lines were obtained from the Bloomington stock center (no. 8549). Polo-GFP (no. CC01326) was obtained from Fly-Trap, and the sin1 and rictor mutants were obtained from S. Cohen (Temple Life Sciences Laboratory, Singapore; Hietakangas and Cohen, 2007), and the TOR flies were obtained from E. Baehrecke (University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA) and T. Neufeld (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN). Viability tests and feeding experiments were previously described in Amcheslavsky et al. (2009). In brief, 50–100 flies of 3–5 d old were used per vial. The vials contained a piece of 2.5 × 3.75–cm chromatography paper (Tissue Culture Grade, Macherey-Nagel) in a 250-ml tube containing PBS, 0.5% BSA, and 0.1% Triton X-100. Anti-Cdc2 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; a gift from W. Theurkauf) for cell dissociation experiments and D. Guertin for comments on the manuscript. We thank S. Cohen for the rictor mutant flies, Fly-Trap for the Polo-GFP line originated from the A. Spradling laboratory, E. Baehrecke and T. Neufeld for TOR transgenic lines, W. Theurkauf for the Cdc2 antibody, and X. Yang for the Pdm1 antibody.

For rapamycin feeding, 1 µl of 5.5 mM rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in DMSO was added to 500 µl of a 20% sucrose solution. This mixture was added to standard fly vials. The effective concentration in the food medium was ~0.5 µM. 1-d-old flies were cultured on the rapamycin-augmented food, incubated at 29°C, and transferred to new media with rapamycin every 2 d over a period of 6–10 d. For EdU labeling, wild-type and TSC2 mutant flies were fed on 100 µM EdU (Invitrogen) in a 5% sucrose solution for 24 h. Guts were dissected and subjected to Delta immunostaining as described previously (Amcheslavsky et al., 2009). EdU incorporation was detected using EdU Alexa Fluor 555 heat shock assay (Click-iT; Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunostaining and fluorescent microscopy
Most gut dissection, fixation, antibody staining, and confocal microscopy procedures were previously described in Amcheslavsky et al. (2009). The entire gastrointestinal tract was pulled from the posterior end directly into fixation medium containing PBS and 4% formaldehyde (Millipore Chemicals). Guts were fixed in this medium for 3 h, except for Delta staining in which the fixation was for 0.5 h. Subsequent rinses, washes, and incubations with primary and secondary antibodies were performed in a solution containing PBS, 0.5% BSA, and 0.1% Triton X-100. Anti-Cdc2 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; a gift from W. Theurkauf, University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA; anti-PA21 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:100 dilution; a gift from X. Yang, University of Singapore, Singapore); anti-Ach3 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500 dilution; Millipore); and anti-GFP (rabbit polyclonal, 1:1,000 dilution; Invitrogen) were used for immunofluorescent staining. For Western blotting, the anti-C-terminal phospho-AKT antibody (rabbit polyclonal, 1:1,000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology) and the antitubulin antibody (1:1100 dilution; Hybridoma bank) were used.

Microscope image acquisition was performed in the Digital Light Microscopy Core Facility at the University of Massachusetts Medical School using a spinning-disc confocal microscope (Nikon). The main components are an inverted microscope (TE-2000E; Nikon) with a spinning-disc confocal attachment (CSU10; Yokogawa) and a 40x Plan Apochromat oil objective (Nikon) with a numerical aperture of 1.0. The imaging temperature was room temperature, and the medium was oil. The fluorescence used were DAPI, Alexa 488, Alexa 568, and Alexa 633. The camera made was a Rolera ME EM charge-coupled device (Olympus). The acquisition and processing software was MetaMorph (Molecular Devices) with no deconvolution or γ adjustment and used an 8-bit export file format.

Gut dissection, tissue section, tissue dissociation, cell sorting, and RT-PCR
Guts dissection and sectioning were previously described in Amcheslavsky et al. (2009). For tissue dissociation, the maliagnant tubules, the esophagus, and the rectum were removed, leaving only the midgut. Approximately 30 midguts were put into a 1.5 ml microfuge tube on ice containing a 0.5 mM solution containing PBS, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5% trypsin (Invitrogen). The midguts were incubated at room temperature for 2.5 h in the trypsin solution on a Nutator (Adams; BD) and vortexed vigorously every 30 min during the incubation. At the end of the incubation, the tissues were disrupted by a hand-held homogenizer. The dissociation of cells was confirmed by examining a small fraction of the suspension under a fluorescent microscope (TE-2000E). DNA dye Hoechst 33342 (Roche) was added to the trypsin solution during cell dissociation at a final concentration of 10 µg/ml. The samples were stored on ice in the dark until analyzed. The cell suspensions were filtered through nylon mesh to remove large debris before passing through the cell sorter (LSR II; BD). S2 cells were used for preps of the cell sorter. These cells were washed once with PBS, resuspended in PBS, 5 mM EDTA, and 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33342, and incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature. The cells were analyzed by a cell analyzer (LSR; BD, Flow Cytometry Core Facility, University of Massachusetts Medical School). For real-time quantitative PCR, total RNA was isolated from 10 dissected guts and used to prepare cDNA. PCR was performed using a real-time PCR detection system (iQ5; Bio-Rad Laboratories) with the following primers: TSC2 forward, 5′-ATCGTGGAGCGCATTGC-3′; TSC2 reverse, 5′-TGCCTGTCCAAGAATTTT-3′; TSI1 forward, 5′-GGACAGGACAAAGTGATGC-3′; TSI1 reverse, 5′-ACATCAGGCACCACAGCA3′; extra reverse, 5′-TGGATCTGCGACTTCTAG-3′; actin forward, 5′-AGTGTGTCACC-GGAATAC3′; actin reverse, 5′-AAGGCTGCACCTTCTCTGCACG-3′; rp49 forward, 5′-GGATGGATATGCTAAGCTGT-3′; and rp49 reverse, 5′-GGCC-TTGTCCATGCTAG-3′. rp49 was used as a normalization independent RT–quantitative PCR. The primers were designed with a computer search for each independent biological replicates.
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The work in the Y.T. Ip laboratory was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (DK83450) and the Worcester Foundation for Biomedical Research. Y.T. Ip is a member of the University of Massachusetts Diabetes Endocrinology Research Center, and core resources supported by the center grant (DK32520) were also used. The work in the J. Jiang laboratory was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM61269 and GM67045), the Welch Foundation (I-1603), and the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas (RP100561).

Submitted: 2 March 2011
Accepted: 18 April 2011

References
Amcheslavsky, A., J. Jiang, and Y.T. Ip. 2009. Tissue damage-induced intestinal stem cell division in Drosophila. Cell Stem Cell. 4:49–61. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2008.10.016
Apidianakis, Y., P. Tsouli, N. Perrimon, and L. Rahme. 2009. Synergy between bacterial infection and genetic predisposition in intestinal dysplasia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 106:20883–20888. doi:10.1073/pnas.0917791706
Avruch, J., K. Hara, Y. Lin, M. Liu, X. Long, S. Ortiz-Vega, and K. Yonezawa. 2006. Insulin and amino-acid regulation of mTOR signaling and kinase activity through the Rheb GTPase. Oncogene. 25:6361–6372. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209088
Bardin, A.J., C.N. Perdigoto, T.D. Southall, A.H. Brand, and F. Schweiguth. 2010. Transcriptional control of stem cell maintenance in the Drosophila intestine. Development. 137:705–714. doi:10.1242/dev.039404
Barker, N., J.H. van Es, J. Kuipers, P. Kujala, M. van den Born, M. Coijzins, A. Hagebeurt, J. Korving, H. Begthel, P.J. Peters, and H. Clevers. 2007. Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. Nature. 451:1003–1007. doi:10.1038/nature06196
Barker, N., M. van de Wetering, and H. Clevers. 2008. The intestinal stem cell. Genes Dev. 22:1856–1864. doi:10.1101/gad.167408
Barker, N., R.A. Ridgway, J.H. van Es, M. van de Wetering, H. Begthel, M. van den Born, E. Danenberg, A.R. Clarke, O.J. Sansom, and H. Clevers. 2006. Insulin and amino-acid regulation of mTOR signaling and kinase activity through the Rheb GTPase. Oncogene. 25:6361–6372. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209088
Bebee, K., W.C. Lee, and C.A. Mitchelli. 2010. JAK/STAT signaling coordinates stem cell proliferation and multilineage differentiation in the Drosophila intestinal stem cell lineage. Dev. Biol. 338:28–37. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.10.045

708
JCB • VOLUME 193 • NUMBER 4 • 2011
Biteau, B., and H. Jasper. 2011. EGFR signaling regulates the proliferation of intestinal stem cells in Drosophila. Development. 138:1045–1055. doi:10.1242/dev.056671
Biteau, B., C.E. Hochmuth, and H. Jasper. 2008. JNK activity in somatic stem cells causes loss of tissue homeostasis in the aging Drosophila gut. Cell Stem Cell. 3:445–455. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.024
Boheler, K.R. 2009. Stem cell pluriptycity: a cellular trait that depends on transcription factors, chromatin state and a checkpoint deficient cell cycle. J. Cell. Physiol. 221:10–17. doi:10.1002/jcp.21866
Bray, S.J. 2006. Notch signaling: a simple pathway becomes complex. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7:678–689. doi:10.1038/nrm2009
Buchon, N., N.A. Broderick, S. Chakraborti, and B. Lemaire. 2009a. Invasive and indigenous microbiota impact intestinal stem cell activity through multiple pathways in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 23:2333–2344. doi:10.1101/gad.182709
Buchon, N., N.A. Broderick, M. Poidevin, S. Pradrandon, and B. Lemaire. 2009b. Drosophila intestinal response to bacterial infection: activation of host defense and stem cell proliferation. Cell Host Microbe. 5:200–211. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2009.01.003
Buchon, N., N.A. Broderick, T. Kuraishi, and B. Lemaire. 2010. Drosophila EGFR pathway coordinates stem cell proliferation and gut remodeling following infection. BMC Biol. 8:152. doi:10.1186/1471-2172-8-152
Buszczak, M., S. Paterno, and A.C. Spradling. 2009. Drosophila stem cells share a common requirement for the histone H2B ubiquitin protease scanwy. Science. 323:248–251. doi:10.1126/science.1165678
Casi, A., and E. Batlle. 2009. Intestinal stem cells in mammals and Drosophila. Cell Stem Cell. 4:124–127. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2009.01.009
Chatterjee, M., and Y.T. Ip. 2009. Pathogenic stimulation of intestinal stem cell response in Drosophila. J. Cell. Physiol. 220:664–671. doi:10.1002/jcp.21808
Choi, N.H., J.G. Kim, D.J. Yang, Y.S. Kim, and M.A. Yoo. 2008. Age-related changes in Drosophila midgut are associated with PVF2, a PDGF/VEGF-like growth factor. Aging Cell. 7:318–334. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2008.00380.x
Crimo, PB. 2008. Do we have a cure for tuberous sclerosis complex? Epilepsy Curr. 8:159–162. doi:10.1111/j.1535-7511.2008.00279.x
Cronin, S.J., N.T. Nehme, S. Limmer, S. Liegeois, J.A. Pospisilik, D. Schramek, R. Tempe, N. Tsuchihashi, C. Vassetzky, C. Zahn, K. Fölling, O. Schneider, E. Bastian, C. Bourgoignie, M. Brown, K.J. Fitzgerald, and D.M. Sabatini. 2006. Ablation in mice of mTORC1 and tuberin expression in human tissues. Mod. Pathol. 19:46–49. doi:10.1038/modpathol.3800289
Crosnier, C., D. Stamataki, and J. Lewis. 2006. Organizing cell renewal in the Drosophila midgut: roles for Tsc1 and Tsc2 in normal and homeostatic self-renewal. Development. 133:1725–1738. doi:10.1242/dev.016869
Drosophila 9:769–770. doi:10.1002/s ro0092-8674(00)80657-1
Jiang, H., and B.A. Edgar. 2009. EGFR signaling regulates the proliferation of Drosophila adult midgut progenitors. Development. 136:483–493. doi:10.1242/dev.026955
Jiang, H., P.H. Patel, A. Kohlmaier, M.O. Grenley, D.G. McEwen, and B.A. Edgar. 2009. Cytokine/Jak/Stat signaling mediates regeneration and homeostasis in the Drosophila midgut. Cell. 137:1343–1355. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.05.014
Jiang, H., M.O. Grenley, M.J. Bravo, R.Z. Blumberg, and B.A. Edgar. 2011. EGFR/Ras/Mapk signaling mediates adult midgut epithelial homeostasis and regeneration in Drosophila. Cell Stem Cell. 8:84–95. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2010.11.026
Johnson, M.W., C. Kerfoot, T. Bushnell, M. Li, and H.V. Vinters. 2001. Hamartin and tuberin expression in human tissues. Mod. Pathol. 14:202–210. doi:10.1038/modpathol.3800289
Johnston, L.A., D.A. Prober, B.A. Edgar, R.N. Eisenman, and P. Gallant. 1999. Drosophila myc regulates cellular growth during development. Cell. 95:770–790. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(99)81512-3
Jorgensen, P., and M. Tyers. 2004. How cells coordinate growth and division. Curr. Biol. 14:R1014–R1027. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.11.027
Karpowicz, P., J. Perez, and N. Perrimon. 2010. The Hippo tumor suppressor pathway regulates intestinal stem cell regeneration. Development. 137:4135–4145. doi:10.1242/dev.060483
Kohlmaier, A., and B.A. Edgar. 2008. Proliferative control in Drosophila stem cells. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 20:669–706. doi:10.1016/j.coi b.2008.10.002
Lee, T., and L. Luo. 2001. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARMC) for Drosophila neural development. Trends Neurosci. 24:251–254. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(00)7191-4
Lee, W.C., K. Beebe, L. Sudmeier, and C.A. Michcell. 2009. Adenomatous polyposis coli regulates Drosophila intestinal stem cell proliferation. Development. 136:2255–2264. doi:10.1242/dev.035196
Leevers, S.J., and H. McNeill. 2005. Controlling the size of organs and organisms. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17:604–609. doi:10.1016/j.cobi.2005.09.008
Li, L., and H. Clevers. 2010. Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in mammals. Science. 327:542–545. doi:10.1126/science.1180794
Lin, G., N. Xu, and R. Xi. 2008. Paracrine Wingless signalling controls self-renewal of Drosophila intestinal stem cells. Nature. 455:1119–1123. doi:10.1038/nature07329
Lin, G., N. Xu, and R. Xi. 2010. Paracrine unpaired signaling through the JAK/Stat pathway controls self-renewal and lineage differentiation of Drosophila intestinal stem cells. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 2:37–49. doi:10.1016/j.jmcb.02008
Llamazares, S., A. Moreira, A. Tavares, C. Girdham, B.A. Spruce, C. Gonzalez, R.E. Karss, D.M. Grover, and C.E. Junkel. 1991. polo encodes a protein kinase homolog required for mitosis in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 5:2153–2165. doi:10.1101/gad.5.12a.2153
Maeda, K., M. Takemura, M. Umemori, and T. Adachi-Yamada. 2008. E-cadherin prolongs the moment for interaction between intestinal stem cell and its progenitor cell to ensure Notch signaling in adult Drosophila midgut. Genes Cells. 13:1219–1227. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2443.2008.01239.x
Mathur, D., A. Bost, I. Driver, and B. Ohielse. 2010. A transient niche regulates the specification of Drosophila intestinal stem cells. Science. 327:210–213. doi:10.1126/science.1180794
Metcalf, A.D., and M.W. Ferguson. 2008. Skin stem and progenitor cells: using regeneration as a tissue-engineering strategy. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 65:24–32. doi:10.1007/s00018-007-7427-x
Mitchell, C.A., and N. Perrimon. 2006. Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult Drosophila midgut epithelium. Nature. 439:475–479. doi:10.1038/nature04371
Montgomery, R.K., and D.T. Breault. 2008. Small intestinal stem cell markers. J. Anat. 213:52–58. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008.00925.x
Niemeyer, P., U. Krause, P. Kasten, P.C. Kreuz, P. Henle, N.P. Sudkam, and A. Mehlhorn. 2006. Mesenchymal stem cell-based HLA-independent cell therapy for tissue engineering of bone and cartilage. *Carr. Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 1:21–27. doi:10.1242/dev.05146

Nystul, T.G., and A.C. Spradling. 2006. Breaking out of the mold: diversity within adult stem cells and their niches. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 16:463–468. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2006.08.003

Ohlstein, B., and A. Spradling. 2006. The adult *Drosophila* posterior midgut is maintained by pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*. 439:470–474. doi:10.1038/nature04333

Ohlstein, B., and A. Spradling. 2007. Multipotent *Drosophila* intestinal stem cells specify daughter cell fates by differential notch signaling. *Science*. 315:988–992. doi:10.1126/science.1136606

Pan, D., J. Dong, Y. Zhang, and X. Gao. 2004. Tuberous sclerosis complex: from *Drosophila* to human disease. *Trends Cell Biol.* 14:78–85. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2003.12.006

Park, J.S., Y. Song, and D. Wagner. 2009. The stem cell—chromatin connection. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 20:1143–1148. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2009.09.006

Sangiorgi, E., and M.R. Capecchi. 2008. Bmi1 is expressed in vivo in intestinal stem cells and signaling. *Gastroenterology*. 134:849–864. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2008.01.079

Shaw, R.L., A. Kohlmaier, C. Polesello, C. Veelken, B.A. Edgar, and N. Tapon. 2008. The tuberous sclerosis complex maintains cell growth and cell proliferation. *Cell Metab.* 7:391–401. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2008.01.017

Sun, P., Z. Quan, B. Zhang, T. Wu, and R. Xi. 2010. TSC1/2 tumour suppressor complex maintains *Drosophila* germline stem cells by preventing differentiation. *Development*. 137:2461–2469. doi:10.1242/dev.051466

Tapon, N., N. Ito, B.J. Dickson, J.E. Treisman, and I.K. Hariharan. 2001. The *Drosophila* tuberous sclerosis complex gene homologs restrict cell growth and cell proliferation. *Cell*. 105:345–355. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00332-4

Teleman, A.A., V. Histakangas, A.C. Sayadian, and S.M. Cohen. 2008. Nutritional control of protein biosynthetic capacity by insulin via Myc in *Drosophila*. *Cell Metab.* 7:21–32. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007.11.010

Walker, M.R., and T.S. Stappenbeck. 2008. Deciphering the ‘black box’ of the intestinal stem cell niche: taking direction from other systems. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.* 24:115–120. doi:10.1097/MOG.0b013e3282f495f4

Walworth, N.C. 2000. Cell-cycle checkpoint kinases: checking in on the cell cycle. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 12:697–704. doi:10.1016/S0955-0674(00)00154-X

Yen, T.H., and N.A. Wright. 2006. The gastrointestinal tract stem cell niche. *Stem Cell Rev.* 2:203–212. doi:10.1007/s12015-006-0048-1

Zhang, H., N. Bajraszewski, E. Wu, H. Wang, A.P. Roseman, S.L. Daboue, J.D. Griffin, and D.J. Kwiatkowski. 2007. PDGFRs are critical for PERT/Actin activation and negatively regulated by mTOR. *J. Clin. Invest.* 117:730–738. doi:10.1172/JCI28984

Zhu, L., P. Gibson, D.S. Currie, Y. Tong, R.J. Richardson, I.T. Bayazitov, H. Poppleton, S. Zakharenko, D.W. Ellison, and R.J. Gilbertson. 2009. Prominin 1 marks intestinal stem cells that are susceptible to neoplastic transformation. *Nature*. 457:603–607. doi:10.1038/nature07389