Misuse of Discharge Antimicrobial Prescription in the Emergency Department: An Observational Study at a Tertiary Care Center
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We conducted a retrospective cohort study of patients discharged from the emergency department at a tertiary care center with an antimicrobial prescription. More than half of the prescribed antimicrobials were misused and frequently inappropriate for various infectious diseases. In this study, we analyzed the physician-related and environment-related factors predicting misuse.
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Antimicrobials are commonly prescribed in the emergency department (ED). In the United States, approximately 16% of patients discharged from the ED received an antimicrobial prescription [1]. Redundant antimicrobial use and the overuse of broad-spectrum antimicrobials are long-standing issues in the ED [2] and may contribute to the rise in resistant pathogens [3, 4].

Although studies have been done to estimate inappropriate antimicrobial use or test interventions to improve current antimicrobial prescription practices in the outpatient clinic [5, 6], few studies have described the current status of antimicrobial prescription in the ED using comprehensive clinical information. Moreover, the number of cases of discharge antimicrobial prescription misuse in the ED has not been clearly documented. The purpose of this study was to describe patterns in discharge antimicrobial prescriptions and assess the factors associated with their misuse in the ED.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This retrospective cohort study was conducted at Tokyo Metropolitan Tama Medical Center, a tertiary care center in Tokyo, from January 2016 through December 2016. The ED accepts adolescents and adults (age >15) but not children. Patients visiting the ED are initially seen by residents, with attending physicians assisting and supervising. Physicians, including the residents working in the ED, are able to refer patients to subspecialties for consultation if needed. Physicians in subspecialties are responsible for evaluating the patients and have the authority to discharge them. We enrolled all patients who were discharged to home or their living facilities with a discharge prescription of oral antimicrobials from the ED. If patients visited the ED multiple times within 30 days of their initial visit, we included only the first visit for analysis. Patients who had already received a diagnosis of an infectious disease before their ED visit and a discharge prescription of antimicrobial agents and those who were hospitalized later on the same day as their visit to the ED were also excluded. We extracted the clinical information, antimicrobial prescription, and the physician’s diagnosis. Discharge antimicrobial prescription in the absence of a certain diagnosis by the treating physician was considered to be an instance of just-in-case antimicrobial use. We also tracked the prescribers’ information, including primary service, sex, and postgraduate year (PGY) level. We described the physicians’ status PGY level, which was categorized as PGY ≤3 years, PGY 4–7 years, or PGY ≥8 years, based on the duration of residency training common to the entire Japanese healthcare system. We classified the misuse of discharge antimicrobial prescription as unnecessary, inappropriate, or suboptimal based on previously proposed criteria [6–8], and all discharge antimicrobial prescriptions not meeting the classification of misuse were considered appropriate. A study flow assessing the necessity and appropriateness of discharge antimicrobial prescription in the ED is shown in Appendix 1. Two infectious disease physicians reviewed each case and assessed the indications for antimicrobial therapy and its appropriateness using a list of recommendations for common infectious diseases provided by international infectious disease treatment guidelines (Appendix 2 and 3) and a textbook. Disagreement between the 2 assessors was resolved through discussion. The primary outcome was the proportion of overall misuse of discharge antimicrobial prescription and misuse by each type of infectious disease in the ED. Predictors of the misuse of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions in the ED were also investigated. We performed multivariate logistic
regression to predict the misuse (ie, any of antimicrobial use categorized as unnecessary, inappropriate, or suboptimal) of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions in the ED. Variables were retained in the final model if $P < .05$.

### RESULTS

Of the 36 308 cumulative patients who visited the ED during the study period, 1674 (4.6% of visit) received a discharge oral antimicrobial prescription. Among these, 119 (0.3%) were excluded due to a pre-existing diagnosis of bacterial infection at the study institution ($n = 101; 84.9%$), history of antimicrobial prescription within 30 days ($n = 4; 3.4%$), or hospitalization on the same day as their ED visit ($n = 14; 11.8%$), leaving 1555 (4.3%) patients for analysis (Appendix 4). The baseline characteristics of the study patients are summarized in Appendix 5. Common diagnoses made by treating physicians that led to a higher frequency of antimicrobial prescriptions were as follows: pneumonia (17.8%, 7.6 per 1000 visits), skin and soft tissue infection (15.9%, 6.4 per 1000 visits), and urinary tract infection (13.7%, 5.9 per 1000 visits) (Appendix 6). Overall, 813 (52.3%) antimicrobial prescriptions were considered to be cases of misuse. Unnecessary, inappropriate, and suboptimal discharge antimicrobial prescriptions accounted for 428 (27.5%), 337 (21.7%), and 48 (3.1%) cases, respectively (Appendix 7).

Table 1 shows the predictors of discharge antimicrobial prescription misuse in the ED. Factors related to the prescriber, including a higher PGY level ($\geq 8$ years) (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 1.77; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.15–2.72), surgical subspecialty (aOR = 2.86; 95% CI, 1.94–4.22), and late-night visit (12:00 AM–7:59 AM) (aOR = 1.55; 95% CI, 1.04–2.30), were significantly associated with the misuse of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions.

### DISCUSSION

This study comprehensively evaluated the appropriateness of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions in the ED at a Japanese tertiary care center by retrospectively reviewing the prescription patterns. The use of antimicrobials in the ED has not been

| Variables | Adjusted Odds Ratio—Reference | $P$ Value |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Physician-Related Factors | | |
| Prescriber’s PGY level ≤3 years | 1.17 (0.86–1.61) | .32 |
| Prescriber’s PGY level 4–7 years | 1.77 (1.15–2.72) | .01 |
| Physicians in the emergency department | | |
| Physicians in medical subspecialties | 1.66 (0.99–2.77) | .05 |
| Physicians in surgical subspecialties | 2.86 (1.94–4.22) | <.001 |
| Environment-Related Factors | | |
| Daytime visit (8:00 AM–4:59 PM) | 1.13 (0.93–1.53) | .43 |
| Nighttime visit (5:00 PM–11:59 PM) | | |
| Late-night visit (12:00 AM–7:59 AM) | 1.55 (1.04–2.30) | .03 |
| Physician’s Diagnosis | | |
| Pneumonia | | |
| Animal bite | 1.23 (0.52–2.93) | .64 |
| Intra-abdominal infections | 4.58 (2.41–8.69) | <.001 |
| Urinary tract infection | 6.12 (3.45–10.86) | <.001 |
| Pharyngitis | 6.59 (3.41–12.77) | <.001 |
| Skin and soft tissue infection | 10.64 (6.13–18.48) | <.001 |
| Gastroenteritis | 48.56 (16.75–140.80) | <.001 |
| Composite upper respiratory tract infections* | 50.03 (24.17–103.54) | <.001 |
| Prophylaxis for traumatic injury | 59.51 (28.21–125.53) | <.001 |
| Others* | 12.32 (6.13–24.78) | <.001 |

Abbreviations: ED, emergency department; PGY, postgraduate year; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

The outcome variable was the misuse of antimicrobial prescriptions in the emergency department.

Predictors of antimicrobial misuse in the outpatient setting identified in previous studies, including patients’ age, gender, underlying diseases, the presence of an allergy to any antimicrobial, and prescribers’ training status (as PGY level), were included in our multivariate logistic regression model.

The Hosmer Lemeshow test was used for goodness-of-fit for the logistic regression model with a $P$ value of .10.

Variables considered but not retained in the final model were patient age, patient gender, allergy for antimicrobial agents, history of asthma, chronic lung disease, chronic liver diseases, active solid organ malignancies, hypertension, chemotherapeutic agent use in the last 28 days, symptoms meeting SIRS criteria, duration of symptom, days of visiting ED, spring-summer, and patient’s request for antimicrobial agents.

*Composite upper respiratory tract infections included acute tonsillitis, acute otitis media, otitis externa, sinusitis, bronchitis, asthma attack, and other upper respiratory tract infections.

*Others include sexually transmitted disease, other genitourinary infections, odontogenic infection, miscellaneous bacterial infections, salivadenitis, febrile neutropenia, lymphangitis, bursitis, septic arthritis, and tonsillar abscess.
studied well in Asia; previous Asian studies only examined antimi-
crobial misuse for certain infectious diseases in the ED or re-
ported a point prevalence survey of antimicrobial prescriptions
in the ED [9, 10]. In contrast, this study included all patients
who received a discharge antimicrobial prescription for any of
a variety of infectious diseases in the ED throughout a 1-year
period, enabling us to estimate the proportion of antimicrobial
misuse in the ED and to explore the factors related to the misuse
of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions.

Similar to other Asian studies of ED antimicrobial prescrip-
tions [9, 10], the present study found that more than half of the
discharge antimicrobial prescriptions in the ED were instances
of misuse while one third were unnecessary. These findings
strongly support the need for an effective antimicrobial stew-
ardship program in the ED.

In the multivariate model for predictors of discharge anti-
microbial prescription misuse, physicians with a higher PGY
status (≥8 years) and those in a surgical subspecialty were more
frequently responsible. As in other clinical settings [11, 12], the
difficulty of updating clinical knowledge, weaker adherence to
the current guidelines, and reliance on experience might have
accounted for the higher risk of antimicrobial misuse among
physicians with a higher PGY level. In general, most surgical
training programs do not offer adequate training in infectious
disease treatment and antimicrobial use. Moreover, awareness
about antimicrobial agents among surgeons appeared to be
lower [13]. However, it is worth noting that awareness about
appropriate antimicrobial use among the surgical subspecialties
in the era of antimicrobial stewardship is on the rise [14].

Late-night visit was significantly associated with the misuse
of discharge antimicrobial prescription in the ED. We hypoth-
esize that decision fatigue, hesitation in asking for a late-night
subspecialty consultation, and the fear of possible complica-
tions due to not prescribing antimicrobials may have led to a
higher prescription rate involving the misuse of discharge anti-
microbial prescriptions during the midnight shift.

Besides the factors noted above, antimicrobial misuse more
frequently occurred for certain infectious diseases. Not only
inadequate knowledge of the treatment choices for certain in-
fected diseases and a misunderstanding of the antimicrobial
indications, but also underlying behavioral drivers including
time constraints and uncertain diagnoses might also lead to the
misuse of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions.

This study has several limitations. Because it was a sin-
gle-center, retrospective study of the Japanese healthcare
system, the findings may not be generalizable to other institu-
tions with different healthcare systems. Although the appropri-
ateness of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions was evaluated
using prespecified criteria generated by reliable references,
these criteria have not been validated. The determination of ap-
propriateness through a review of the medical records might
be biased due to the limited information in the medical charts.

Moreover, interrater variation between the 2 infectious disease
physicians may have affected the assessment of the appropri-
ateness of discharge antimicrobial prescriptions. The duration
of an antimicrobial prescription was unable to be tracked and
evaluated because physicians in the ED encouraged patients to
have follow-ups with the primary care providers, and usually
prescribed antimicrobials until the next follow-up outpatient
appointment. Because the purpose of the study was to assess
the appropriateness of antimicrobial prescriptions based on the
physicians’ diagnosis, the accuracy of the diagnoses was not
re-evaluated. Because the physicians’ diagnoses may have been in-
correct and thus have led to antimicrobial misuse, exploring the
association between the diagnostic accuracy and antimicrobial
use in the ED warrants further study. Patient-related factors, es-
specially patients’ request for discharge antimicrobial prescrip-
tion, may have been underestimated due to the absence of this
information in the electronic medical records. Finally, other
factors potentially influencing antimicrobial prescription, such
as physicians’ previous training status and the patient volume
for each treating physician in the ED, were unavailable for eval-
uation in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study found that more than half of oral antimicrobial pre-
scriptions upon discharge from the ED were misused. Misuse
frequently occurred during late-night visits, and older phy-
sicians and physicians in a surgical subspecialty were most
often responsible. Given the significant proportion of misused
discharge antimicrobial prescriptions in the ED, the imple-
mentation of effective antimicrobial stewardship focusing on
physician- and environment-related factors is urgently needed.
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