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Abstract We present nonrotating simulations with the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM) in a square limited area domain over uniform sea surface temperature. As in previous studies, convection spontaneously aggregates into humid clusters, driven by a combination of radiative and moisture-convective feedbacks. The aggregation is qualitatively independent of resolution, with horizontal grid spacing from 3 to 110 km, with both explicit and parameterized deep convection. A budget for the spatial variance of column moist static energy suggests that longwave radiative and surface flux feedbacks help establish aggregation, while the shortwave feedback contributes to its maintenance. Mechanism-denial experiments confirm that aggregation does not occur without interactive longwave radiation. Ice cloud radiative effects help support the humid convecting regions but are not essential for aggregation, while liquid clouds have a negligible effect. Removing the dependence of parameterized convection on tropospheric humidity reduces the intensity of aggregation but does not prevent the formation of dry regions. In domain sizes less than \((5,000 \text{ km})^2\), the aggregation forms a single cluster, while larger domains develop multiple clusters. Larger domains initialized with a single large cluster are unable to maintain them, suggesting an upper size limit. Surface wind speed increases with domain size, implying that maintenance of the boundary layer winds may limit cluster size. As cluster size increases, large boundary layer temperature anomalies develop to maintain the surface pressure gradient, leading to an increase in the depth of parameterized convective heating and an increase in gross moist stability.

1. Introduction

A growing number of numerical models have now simulated an instability in idealized radiative convective equilibrium (RCE), in which deep convection “self-aggregates” into humid clusters, even in the absence of inhomogeneities in boundary conditions and forcing. This instability occurs in 2-D and 3-D domains, with and without rotation, in nonhydrostatic cloud resolving models (CRMs) and general circulation models (GCMs) with parameterized convection.

The phenomenon is of interest for a variety of reasons. The idealized nonrotating RCE framework allows for the study of feedbacks in a simplified context and may be useful as a platform for model development, allowing for quick intercomparisons of model physics (Wing et al., 2018). A better understanding of the aggregation process may provide insight into observed phenomena, such as tropical cyclones (Wing et al., 2016) or the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) (Arnold & Randall, 2015), although it remains unclear to what extent the lessons of aggregation apply to the real world. Wing et al. (2017) and Holloway and Woolnough (2016) present excellent reviews of previous work on aggregation; we will provide only a brief overview here.

Aggregation typically begins with the formation of a dry patch, driven by radiative cooling and subsidence, in which convection is suppressed (Emanuel et al., 2014). This dry patch expands while convection and rainfall intensify elsewhere in the domain, eventually becoming confined to a humid region covering roughly 20–25% of the domain area. Aggregation is usually accompanied by a decrease in domain-mean humidity, and an increase in outgoing longwave radiation (OLR). In concentrating the same amount of precipitation in a smaller, more humid area, the effects of convective entrainment are minimized, precipitation efficiency is increased, and the free troposphere becomes warmer and drier than when convection is scattered. Observations show a correlation between the degree of cloud organization, reduced humidity, and enhanced...
OLR (Tobin et al., 2012, 2013), suggesting that some aspects of idealized aggregation are relevant to the real world. Stein et al. (2017) found that, in CloudSat-CALIPSO data, the vertical distribution of cloud fraction shifts with the degree of aggregation, with a decrease in high cloud fraction and increases in low cloud. The transition to aggregation is primarily driven by diabatic feedbacks, although the details appear to depend on the model physics and boundary conditions. Many studies have found that aggregation will not occur when radiation is made noninteractive (Bretherton et al., 2005; Holloway & Woolnough, 2016; Muller & Held, 2012), but there is disagreement over the role of high (Bretherton et al., 2005; Stephens et al., 2008) versus low cloud (Muller & Held, 2012), and clear-sky effects (Emanuel et al., 2014). Surface fluxes and shortwave radiation can impact aggregation (Wing & Cronin, 2016; Wing & Emanuel, 2014), but in most cases are not essential. The resolved transport of moist static energy is generally downgradient, acting to reduce the spatial variance of humidity and therefore opposing aggregation (Holloway & Woolnough, 2016; Wing & Emanuel, 2014); in equilibrium, this is the primary “negative feedback” balancing diabatic input to the humid region. Rain reevaporation and the formation of cold pools can also oppose aggregation (Muller & Bony, 2015) and contribute to a dependence on model domain size (Jeevanjee & Romps, 2013). The relative importance of each of these processes varies over time Wing and Emanuel (2014) and Holloway and Woolnough (2016).

There are several examples in the literature of aggregation occurring more readily or more intensely with higher surface temperatures (Coppin & Bony, 2015; Emanuel et al., 2014; Khairoutdinov & Emanuel, 2010; Wing & Emanuel, 2014), although aggregation has also been found over low SST (Abbot, 2014; Holloway & Woolnough, 2016; Wing & Cronin, 2016). This possible temperature dependence, combined with the typical reduction in mean humidity and increased OLR accompanying an aggregated state, has led to the suggestion that aggregation could serve as a tropical thermostat (Bony et al., 2016; Khairoutdinov & Emanuel, 2010; Mauritsen & Stevens, 2015).

There has also been interest in the factors controlling the length scale of aggregation. In cloud resolving models, typical domains are small enough that only a single convective cluster emerges, although elongated channel domains have developed multiple clusters (Wing & Cronin, 2016). Aggregation develops more readily in large domains and generally does not occur at all below a certain domain size, although this lower limit is relaxed when low-level reevaporation is switched off (Muller & Bony, 2015; Holloway & Woolnough, 2016). This implies that aggregation has a preferred length scale larger than the typical CRM domain size. Simulations of RCE in global models have formed both singular and multiple clusters on a range of scales (Arnold & Randall, 2015; Coppin & Bony, 2015; Held et al., 2007; Reed et al., 2015; Silvers et al., 2016). The physical processes controlling the quantity and scale of aggregated clusters are poorly understand, although mechanisms have been proposed (Wing & Cronin, 2016; Yang, 2017). The answers could be relevant for theoretical studies of the MJO, in which scale selection remains an important open question (Adames & Kim, 2016; Kuang, 2011).

This paper is motivated by a lack of consensus on several key questions. First, is a dependence on free tropospheric humidity important to the clustering of convection, or are convection and humidity independently organized by the large-scale flow? This question can be difficult to probe in a cloud resolving model, where there is no intrinsic separation between convection and large-scale motion. Here we use parameterized convection, which can be more easily manipulated, to show that moisture-convection feedbacks enhance aggregation, but are not essential to it. Second, is there an upper limit to the convective cluster size, and what physical processes set that limit? We show that convection begins to form multiple clusters in domains larger than a critical size and suggest this is due to the difficulty of maintaining the boundary layer flow of a single large cluster against dissipation.

A third question is whether there is any fundamental distinction between the aggregation seen in CRMs, and that seen in models with parameterized convection? Aggregation has been studied in both cloud resolving models with relatively high resolution (dx < 5 km) and general circulation models with parameterized clouds and convection (dx ≈ 100 km). One goal of this study is to bridge these two regimes, both in resolution and domain geometry. Here we use the atmospheric component of the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS), a model somewhat unique in that it is a global AGCM with the ability to run in a CRM-like doubly periodic domain. The model is routinely run across a wide range of horizontal resolutions (discussed below), with physical parameterizations designed to adapt with the grid spacing. We find no
qualitative difference between aggregation with explicit convection \((dx \approx 3 \text{ km})\) and parameterized convection \((dx \approx 100 \text{ km})\).

The GEOS model and experimental setup are described in section 2. Section 3 presents a reference case of nonrotating aggregation. We explore the dependence of aggregation on model resolution in section 4, and the domain size dependence in section 5. A mechanism limiting the spatial scale of aggregated clusters is proposed in section 6, and section 7 concludes with a summary and discussion of our findings.

2. Model Description

The Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) is an atmosphere-ocean general circulation model (AOGCM) developed by the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO; Molod et al., 2012). GEOS is used in a variety of applications, including daily production of short range weather forecasts for NASA mission support, production of the Modern Era Reanalysis for Research and Applications (Bosilovich, et al., 2015; Rienecker et al., 2011), global mesoscale simulations (Putman et al., 2014; Putman & Suarez, 2011), and basic research in atmospheric chemistry, stratospheric dynamics, and other topics. Model grid spacing ranges from roughly 50 km for MERRA-2 production to 7 km in global mesoscale runs. Configured as a coupled system, the model is used for seasonal prediction (Ham et al., 2014), and decadal climate projections were submitted to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP-5; Ham et al., 2014).

Here we use the atmospheric component of GEOS, based on the FV3 finite volume dynamical core (Putman & Lin, 2007). Convection is parameterized with the Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert (RAS) scheme of Moorthi and Suarez (1992). Boundary layer turbulence is based on a combination of the Lock et al. (2000) scheme of nonlocal mixing in unstable layers, and the Richardson number-based scheme of Louis et al. (1982) in stable conditions. Shortwave radiation follows Chou (1990, 1992) and longwave radiation is taken from Chou and Suarez (1994). The model uses a prognostic cloud fraction, liquid and ice scheme described in Bacmeister et al. (2006). All simulations presented here use single moment microphysics.

Resolution dependence appears in the model physics through the width of the probability density function governing large-scale cloud fraction, the physics time step, and in constraints on RAS. As in the default model, a stochastic Tokioka parameter (Tokioka et al., 1988) is used to convert the RAS scheme to a shallow nonprecipitating scheme at high resolution. The entrainment rate is subjected to a random lower limit, shifted to higher values with increasing resolution. In this way, parameterized deep convection is increasingly suppressed as resolved vertical motions become more capable of representing convective storms, similar to the behavior of a scale-aware parameterization (e.g., Grell & Freitas, 2013).

In this study, we take advantage of a new doubly periodic configuration, in which the full AGCM is run on a square Cartesian domain with reentrant boundary conditions. The domain and horizontal grid spacing can be set to arbitrary size, allowing for rapid testing and model development. We expect this configuration to become increasingly useful as global atmospheric models begin routinely operating in the “gray zone,” where convection is not yet explicitly resolved, but traditional scale-separation assumptions break down (e.g., Molinari & Dudek, 1992). Single column models (SCM) are currently used in model development as a platform for rapid parameterization testing, but these are unsuitable for use at high resolutions where many parameterizations are designed to cede ground to resolved dynamics. By running the full dynamics in an arbitrarily small domain, the doubly periodic configuration enables parameterization testing at high resolutions with enormous computational savings compared with a global run.

In all simulations presented here, sea surface temperature is fixed at 301 K. Insolation has been set equal to the 21 March equatorial daily mean by fixing the zenith angle at 52.5° and reducing the solar constant to 733 W m\(^{-2}\). Except where otherwise noted, the domains are initialized with horizontally uniform conditions, based on an equilibrium profile taken from a doubly periodic run with the same SST, 25 km grid spacing, and a 100 km \(\times\) 100 km domain. A white noise perturbation \(O(0.1 \text{ K})\) is added to the lowest level initial temperature to break symmetry. The model is run with 72 levels, with approximately eight in the boundary layer. The Coriolis parameter is set to zero.
3. A Reference Case of Aggregation

We begin with analysis of a representative case, in a domain 1,320 km × 1,320 km, with 55 km horizontal grid spacing. This is a larger domain than has been used in most CRM aggregation studies, and at this horizontal resolution, the parameterized deep convection plays an important role in removing column instability.

As in previous studies, the aggregation process begins with formation of a dry patch, visible by day 5 in the lower left of the domain (Figure 1). Over the next 2 weeks, the dry patch expands and becomes drier, while the remaining humid region consolidates and becomes more humid. By day 100, the system has reached a statistical equilibrium, with a quasi-circular humid region nearly saturated in its core. The aggregation process is reflected in the evolution of the probability distribution of column water vapor (CWV) shown in Figure 2. There is a rapid initial increase in the number of very dry columns, and a more gradual moistening of the humid region. The final equilibrium has high variance with a strongly skewed singly peaked distribution.

To understand the feedbacks responsible for aggregation, we construct a budget for the variance of the column moist static energy, following Wing and Emanuel (2014). Aggregation is in some sense defined by large regional differences in column moist static energy (MSE), and larger spatial MSE variance is indicative of more intense aggregation. Processes that contribute to MSE variance can be thought of as causing or supporting aggregation, while processes that reduce MSE anomalies oppose aggregation.

We use the frozen moist static energy, $h$, defined

$$ h = c_p T + g z + L_v q_v - L_i q_i, \quad (1) $$

where $c_p$ is the specific heat capacity of air, $T$ is temperature, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, $z$ is height above the surface, $L_v$ is the latent heat of vaporization, $q_v$ is the specific humidity, $L_i$ is the latent heat of fusion, and $q_i$ is the specific ice content. Column MSE anomalies vary according to

$$ \partial_t \tilde{h} = -\omega \tilde{h} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\text{LS}} - \mathbf{F}^{\text{SHF}} + \mathbf{F}^{\text{LHF}} + \mathbf{F}^{\text{LHF}} + \mathbf{F}^{\text{SHF}}, \quad (2) $$

where primes denote anomalies relative to the spatial mean, $A' = A - \bar{A}$, and hats denote the mass-weighted column integral.
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{h}^2}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\omega}{\bar{h}} + \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{h}} - \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{h}} + \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{h}} + \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{h}} + \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{h}}. \] (3)

Taking the spatial average of equation (3) yields the fractional growth rate of MSE variance attributable to each budget term. Terms in equation (2) which are positively correlated with MSE anomalies, i.e., increasing MSE in regions of high MSE, or removing MSE from regions of low MSE, will add to the variance. This may be thought of as a variant of the projection method of Andersen and Kuang (2012), used to study convective feedbacks in the MJO (e.g., Arnold et al., 2013, 2015), here applied to instantaneous anomalies rather than composites to allow a time-varying quantification of feedback processes.

The fractional growth rates defined by the spatial average of equation (3) are shown in Figure 3. We utilize the color scheme of Wing and Emanuel (2014) and Holloway and Woolnough (2016) to allow easy comparison. As in those studies, the diabatic terms—radiation and surface fluxes—appear to be the early drivers of aggregation. The longwave feedback dominates over the first 30 days, gradually diminishing until it is similar to the shortwave contribution. Surface fluxes strongly amplify MSE variance over the first 10 days, and then become weakly damping. Contributions from horizontal and vertical advection are consistently negative, and of comparable magnitude over most of the simulation.

This evolution is similar to the control case of Holloway and Woolnough (2016), although we find larger initial growth rates during the first 5 days of the simulation, and a large initial damping effect from vertical advection not seen in their study. These differences may be related. If vertical advection initially offsets growth from the diabatic terms, the MSE variance would grow more slowly and maintain a small denominator in equation (3). The advection difference may stem from the grid spacing (4 km versus 55 km) or the use of a nonhydrostatic instead of hydrostatic dynamical core. There are somewhat larger differences relative to Wing and Emanuel (2014), who found an intermediate stage of aggregation in which the contribution from advection is temporarily positive. Despite this, the final equilibria are similar, though longwave heating remains more important than shortwave throughout our simulation.

Additional insight can be gained from the spatial pattern of feedbacks. We sort the budget terms of equation (2) by the column water vapor (CWV) and plot them in moisture-time space to provide a sense of how the growth rates of Figure 3 are arrived at. As expected, column moist static energy anomalies (Figure 4a) vary almost monotonically with CWV. A single black contour indicates the column water bin corresponding to the domain-mean MSE, i.e., an MSE anomaly of zero. As seen in the column water PDF of Figure 2, domain-mean column water decreases by roughly 10 kg m^{-2} as aggregation develops.

Budget terms with positive anomalies (red shading) to the right of the zero line, or negative anomalies (blue shading) to the left, will tend to increase MSE spatial variance. It is apparent that most processes contribute a mixture of amplifying and damping MSE anomalies at different points in the model domain. The single exception is shortwave radiation, which amplifies MSE anomalies everywhere. Anomalies in horizontal and vertical advection are both strongly negative in the most humid columns, but their combination is positive in the moderately humid regions, amplifying anomalies there. Their sum is also positive across the dry regions. The longwave anomalies are strongly positive in the humid columns, balancing the advection, and negative...
over the regions of moderate humidity. Surface fluxes offer the weakest feedback, which is generally negative after day 10.

Many studies of aggregation have identified a shallow circulation between dry and humid regions which transports MSE upgradient, maintaining the aggregated state (Bretherton et al., 2005; Holloway & Woolnough, 2016; Muller & Bony, 2015; Muller & Held, 2012). The circulation is thought to be driven by low-level radiative cooling anomalies in the dry regions; with little convection in these regions, radiative cooling is almost entirely balanced by subsidence-driven adiabatic warming. The source of these radiative cooling anomalies—low clouds or clear-sky humidity gradients—appears to depend on the model used.

Based on the isobaric continuity equation, an effective stream function \( W_i(p) \) representing flow across column moisture space may be defined,

\[
W_i(p) = W_{i-1}(p) + \alpha_i(p),
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) is the mean pressure velocity in the \( i \)th CWV bin. Note this differs slightly from the mass flux stream function derived by Bretherton et al. (2005), though the two result in qualitatively similar circulations. This stream function is shown in Figure 5a (black contours), along with CWV-binned total radiative cooling. The plot makes clear that the regions of strongest descent coincide with the strongest radiative cooling over the regions of moderate humidity. Surface fluxes offer the weakest feedback, which is generally negative after day 10.

Many studies of aggregation have identified a shallow circulation between dry and humid regions which transports MSE upgradient, maintaining the aggregated state (Bretherton et al., 2005; Holloway & Woolnough, 2016; Muller & Bony, 2015; Muller & Held, 2012). The circulation is thought to be driven by low-level radiative cooling anomalies in the dry regions; with little convection in these regions, radiative cooling is almost entirely balanced by subsidence-driven adiabatic warming. The source of these radiative cooling anomalies—low clouds or clear-sky humidity gradients—appears to depend on the model used.

Based on the isobaric continuity equation, an effective stream function \( W_i(p) \) representing flow across column moisture space may be defined,

\[
W_i(p) = W_{i-1}(p) + \alpha_i(p),
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) is the mean pressure velocity in the \( i \)th CWV bin. Note this differs slightly from the mass flux stream function derived by Bretherton et al. (2005), though the two result in qualitatively similar circulations. This stream function is shown in Figure 5a (black contours), along with CWV-binned total radiative cooling. The plot makes clear that the regions of strongest descent coincide with the strongest radiative
cooling rates. Figure 5b shows binned profiles of relative humidity (shading) and cloud liquid and ice condensate (red and white contours). Low cloud cover is minimal in the dry regions, but a sharp vertical gradient in humidity is seen, which appears to be the primary factor in low-level radiative cooling.

Several studies have shown that the aggregation process can be prevented by homogenizing radiative heating, or by removing the effects of different cloud types on radiative heating (Arnold et al., 2015; Bretherton et al., 2005; Muller & Held, 2012). Here we conduct similar "mechanism-denial" experiments to understand processes important to aggregation.

First, the longwave radiative heating is made horizontally uniform. The longwave fluxes in each column are calculated normally, but the heating tendencies are horizontally averaged over the domain before being applied. The local radiative feedback is therefore removed, while leaving a domain-mean feedback intact. The column water vapor on day 120 of this simulation is shown in Figure 6a, with a mean value roughly 10 kg m$^{-2}$ higher than the reference case (Table 1). The striking uniformity of water vapor in the domain confirms the importance of longwave feedbacks to the simulated aggregation.

Muller and Bony (2015) and Holloway and Woolnough (2016) found that aggregation could still occur in a CRM with homogenized radiation, so long as cold pool formation was inhibited by switching off rain reevaporation in the lowest 1.5 km. Muller and Bony (2015) suggested that a "moisture-memory" feedback was responsible for the aggregation, in which convection preferentially develops in regions of high humidity. Their experiment, and related work by Jeevanjee and Romps (2013), implies that cold pools are important in inhibiting aggregation in CRMs. In the experiment shown here with 55 km grid spacing, cold pools remain unresolved and are not explicitly parameterized. Although the model does include reevaporation of rain, the RAS convection scheme includes no explicit downdrafts. In a related test (not shown), we switched off rain reevaporation while the longwave heating tendency was homogenized over the domain, mimicking the experiment of Muller and Bony (2015). This resulted in a lower domain-mean humidity, but no increase in organization after 90 days. This suggests that either the "moisture-memory" feedback is relatively weak in this model, or there are additional processes acting to inhibit aggregation.
We can isolate the importance of clouds to the longwave feedback by selectively setting to zero the liquid or ice condensate in the model's longwave radiative code. Eliminating the ice cloud radiative effect results in a moderately humid band of convection (Figure 6b). While the dry anomalies are similar to those of the reference case, the humid regions are less humid and apparently unable to form a compact cluster. The implication is that the ice cloud radiative effect plays a role in supporting the region of deep convection (where such clouds principally occur) but contributes little to the formation and maintenance of dry regions. Holloway and Woolnough (2016) made a geometric argument for the occurrence of banded versus circular humid regions which may apply here: supposing that the convecting regions tend to minimize their perimeter-to-area ratio, convection will form a banded structure in a square domain when the required convecting area exceeds $L^2/\pi$, with $L$ the domain length. Ice cloud radiative effects may intensify ascent in the humid region and reduce the area required for convection, allowing for a compact circular patch. When this feedback is removed, the less intense convection requires a larger area to balance radiative cooling across the domain and takes on a banded structure to minimize its perimeter.

Eliminating the radiative effect of shallow liquid clouds (Figure 6c) has less effect on the aggregation, with no obvious differences relative to the reference case. This contrasts with Muller and Held (2012), who found that radiative cooling associated with liquid clouds was essential to driving the shallow circulation that maintained aggregation. As suggested above, the low-level radiative cooling here is primarily a clear-sky effect, due to the sharp humidity gradient at the top of the boundary layer.

![Figure 6. Snapshots of column water vapor in mechanism-denial experiments at equilibrium. (a) Horizontally uniform longwave heating, (b) no cloud ice radiative effect, (c) no cloud liquid radiative effect, and (d) uniform water vapor seen by the RAS convection scheme.](image)

### Table 1

| Case         | dx (km) | L (km) | Precip (mm/d) | CWV (mm) | OLR (W m$^{-2}$) | Net SW (W m$^{-2}$) |
|--------------|---------|--------|---------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|
| Reference    | 55.0    | 1,320  | 3.07          | 25.45    | 284.5            | 360.2               |
| Uni LW       | 55.0    | 1,320  | 1.56          | 35.11    | 226.7            | 149.7               |
| No ice LW    | 55.0    | 1,320  | 3.08          | 23.83    | 290.8            | 352.8               |
| No liq LW    | 55.0    | 1,320  | 3.13          | 24.67    | 291.5            | 368.1               |
| Uni QV       | 55.0    | 1,320  | 2.59          | 26.96    | 275.2            | 353.0               |
| 3 km         | 3.7     | 1,320  | 3.14          | 23.20    | 292.3            | 375.2               |
| 7 km         | 7.3     | 1,320  | 3.20          | 22.80    | 287.6            | 374.9               |
| 14 km        | 14.7    | 1,320  | 3.20          | 24.36    | 287.5            | 358.2               |
| 27.5 km      | 27.5    | 1,320  | 3.10          | 24.43    | 290.6            | 366.3               |
| 110 km       | 110.0   | 1,320  | 2.86          | 25.94    | 284.5            | 360.7               |
| 2,640 km     | 55.0    | 2,640  | 3.56          | 27.63    | 293.8            | 368.0               |
| 4,950 km     | 55.0    | 4,950  | 3.60          | 32.35    | 289.2            | 354.9               |
| 9,900 km     | 55.0    | 9,900  | 3.66          | 33.00    | 286.5            | 355.8               |

Note. Averages taken over the last 30 days of each simulation.
Finally, we consider the role of convection-moisture interaction. Until recently, there had been little direct evidence that the interaction between convection and tropospheric humidity plays a role in aggregation. Tompkins and Semie (2017) found a significant impact from the choice of subgrid mixing scheme in a CRM, with schemes that produced enhanced mixing around updraft cores also producing stronger aggregation, implying that convective entrainment processes are important. Here we conduct an analogous experiment in a model with parameterized convection, where it is possible to homogenize the humidity field “seen” by the parameterization without actually altering the humidity. We horizontally average the free tropospheric (p < 850 hPa) water vapor passed to the Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert (RAS) scheme; the parameterized convection then behaves as though the same humidity profile is present throughout the domain, while the radiative and resolved dynamical effects of moisture variability are preserved. The result is shown in Figure 6d. Aggregation is weaker, with convection again occupying a band of moderate humidity, with less spatial moisture variance than any experiment except that with homogenized longwave radiation.

This reduction in aggregation intensity is consistent with moisture mode theories (Fuchs & Raymond, 2005; Sobel et al., 2001; Sobel & Maloney, 2012), wherein deep convection, modulated by turbulent entrainment, is assumed to be a function of tropospheric humidity. Such a causal relationship has been seen in CRM experiments (Derbyshire et al., 2004), and a strong correlation between column humidity and precipitation is seen in nature (Bretherton et al., 2004). On the other hand, in this model the modulation of convection by humidity is clearly secondary in importance to the radiative feedbacks. It is possible that the relatively small impact here may result from insufficient moisture sensitivity in RAS, or from a large fraction of the total precipitation being generated by large-scale condensation rather than parameterized convection.

4. The Resolution Dependence of Aggregation

To more directly connect the aggregation described above with that seen in cloud resolving model simulations, we conduct a series of experiments with decreasing grid spacing. The GEOS model physics are designed to adapt with horizontal resolution, allowing applications with grid spacing from 3 to 110 km. Here we consider the sensitivity of aggregation to grid spacing across this range. The boundary conditions and domain size for all experiments are identical to the reference case described above.

We find that aggregation develops in every case, though with some quantitative differences. Snapshots of column water vapor (CWV) in the equilibrated simulations are shown in Figure 7. The convective clusters are most humid with 14 and 55 km grid spacing. The clusters are generally circular, although the 3.5 km case is nearly banded. There is some variability in the drier part of the “band,” but the structure is essentially stable over the last 40 days of the simulation. Dry regions are less dry in the 7 km case, which also exhibits a smaller and irregularly shaped humid region. This case is firmly in the “gray zone,” where convective motions remain only partially resolved, and the present balance between resolved and parameterized convection may require adjustment. We note that coarsening the high resolution fields to a common 110 km grid has no qualitative effect on the aggregation’s appearance.

The vertical structures of the radiative cooling and humid-dry circulations also remain similar. Figure 8 shows the profiles of radiative cooling binned by column water vapor and the inter-CWV stream function for each experiment. There is some variation in the strength of radiative cooling with resolution, e.g., between the 3 and 55 km cases, which raises the possibility of resolution dependence in the radiative feedbacks discussed in section 3. Despite the differences in radiative cooling, the circulation varies little with resolution, although it is slightly weaker in the 3 km case, and stronger in the 55 km. There is also a slight deepening of the ascent profiles in the humid region at coarser resolutions. This may be a consequence of the increasing Tokioka restriction on RAS, which limits the depth of convective heating at high resolutions. Figure 9 shows binned profiles of the parameterized convective mass flux, indicating that convective depth generally decreases with resolution as expected.

Some studies have found qualitative changes with model resolution. Muller and Held (2012) showed that aggregation in a CRM no longer developed from a disaggregated state when grid spacing was reduced from 2 to 1 km, and Reed and Medeiros (2016) found that the intensity of aggregation in an AGCM significantly increased as the grid spacing was reduced from 110 to 7 km, with a similar reduction in planetary radius. It is possible the relative insensitivity to resolution seen here results from the GEOS model physics, which have been carefully tuned at multiple resolutions. However, we did not run with grid spacing less...
5. The Domain Size Dependence of Aggregation

In this section we increase the domain size to examine how aggregation changes with horizontal scale. Muller and Held (2012) and Silvers et al. (2016) both found some domain size dependence of aggregation, the former using a CRM with square domains approximately 100–500 km, and the latter using an AGCM with square domains from 800 to 1,300 km. Muller and Held found that convection would aggregate into quasi-circular clusters in domains larger than 200 km, but convection remained disorganized in smaller domains, a constraint that may be related to cold pool formation (Jeevanjee & Romps, 2013). Silvers et al. found a linear form of organized convection in their larger domains, while the smallest domain appeared unable to capture the same level of organization.

Here we run with domain edges of 1,320, 2,640, 4,950, and 9,900 km. All simulations use 55 km grid spacing. Snapshots of column water vapor are shown in Figure 10, on days 120, 150, and 180 for the 1,320, 2,640, and 4,950 km cases, and day 300 for the 9,900 km case. We use later snapshots for the larger domains because they take somewhat longer to equilibrate. The three smaller domains all show a single circular humid cluster. The domain-mean water vapor increases with domain size (Table 1), but the three cases are otherwise quite similar. The 9,900 km case is unique in developing multiple clusters, with five distinct humid regions visible on day 300. There is considerable variability in the cluster configuration, with clusters alternately merging and breaking apart, but the two large clusters seen in Figure 10 are representative of the maximum size seen, suggesting an upper scale limit of roughly 4,000 km.

To assess whether the multiple clusters might eventually merge, given sufficient time, we initialized the 9,900 km domain with a single humid cluster, using state fields from the 4,950 km case at equilibrium and
linearly interpolated to match the larger domain. Figure 11 shows a sequence of snapshots of column water vapor from this initially aggregated experiment. Over a period of 30 days, the central humid region appears to collapse, becoming drier, while the surrounding moderately humid region expands as a ring before breaking up into disorganized bands. The system eventually resembles the disaggregated case in Figure 10. The same sequence of core collapse and an expanding humid ring occurs for a variety of initial conditions, including initializing with only the water vapor taken from the aggregated case and other fields horizontally uniform (not shown). In each case, the model is unable to maintain the initial aggregated cluster.

6. A Scale-Limiting Mechanism

Inspired by recent studies, we seek an explanation for the apparent horizontal scale limit using the boundary layer momentum balance. The importance of the boundary layer was suggested by Wing and Cronin (2016), who proposed that aggregation horizontal scale was linked to the boundary layer moisture recharge time scale, and again by Yang (2017), who derived a general constraint on the temperature dependence of aggregation size in a 2-D domain. Yang argued that boundary layer flow requires a horizontal pressure gradient to balance momentum loss through the surface, an idea we apply below.

Here we note an additional consideration: that as the cluster size increases, the surface wind speed increases. This can be understood through an idealized continuity equation: \( w_{sub}A_{sub} \propto u_{surf}2\pi R_{moist} \), where \( w_{sub} \) is the subsidence rate, \( A_{sub} \) is the area of subsidence, \( u_{surf} \) is the surface wind directed into the moist region, and \( R_{moist} \) is the radius of the moist region. The subsidence rate, defined as the mean pressure

Figure 8. Profiles of radiative cooling (shading) and stream functions representing flow between dry and humid regions (contours), in simulations with varying grid spacing.
velocity at 500 hPa in regions of subsidence, is roughly constant with domain size, varying from 0.021 Pa/s with \( L = 1,250 \) km to 0.022 Pa/s with \( L = 4,950 \) km. This is constrained by the radiative cooling rate, which varies roughly 10% (at 500 hPa) across the three simulations, with compensating changes in static stability. Because the relative fraction of subsidence versus ascent is similarly constrained by continuity, we have \( A_{\text{sub}} \propto L^2 \) and \( R_{\text{moist}} \propto L \), which implies that \( u_{\text{surf}} \propto L \).

Figures 12a–12c show the surface stress vector projected on the gradient of column water vapor for the three smaller domain sizes. The stress at the moist region boundary is seen to increase roughly linearly with domain size, consistent with the argument above. We suggest that an inability to balance this increasing surface stress is the root cause of the apparent upper size limit. However, the precise way in which the momentum balance fails is less clear. In this model, maintaining the surface pressure gradient across an increasing distance appears to alter deep convection in ways that destabilize the cluster. We focus below on these changes in surface pressure and convection, for which we have ready diagnostics, but future work should examine the complete momentum budget in detail.

Surface pressure binned by column moisture is shown in Figures 12d–12f for the three domains. As expected, each case shows a clear pressure gradient from dry to humid columns, consistent with the low-level flow. The pressure difference between dry and humid regions increases with domain size, sufficient to maintain a similar pressure gradient, though not to singularly balance the increase in surface drag; nonlinear momentum transport therefore appears to be important as well. An increase in mean pressure is also visible as the domain size increases, likely due to the increase in moisture content in the larger domains (Table 1).

Yang (2017) pointed out that, if the free troposphere is subject to weak horizontal pressure gradients, and the equilibrium pressure field is hydrostatic, the boundary layer pressure gradient will largely depend on the horizontal density gradient within the boundary layer. The boundary layer density is a function of
temperature and vapor pressure. The latter, though contributing a large fraction of the total density variation, is constrained by a 100% relative humidity upper bound and a 0% lower bound, which surface evaporation in the dry region effectively increases. In our reference case, the boundary layer relative humidity varies from roughly 30% to 99% (Figure 5b) and has a comparable range in the 2,640 and 4,950 km cases. Boundary layer temperatures are potentially less constrained, and indeed we find that boundary layer temperatures in the humid regions consistently increase with domain size (at least up to $L = 4,950$ km).

Figure 10. Snapshots of column water vapor in simulations with 55 km grid spacing and varying domain size. Days 120, 150, 180, and 300 are shown for the cases in increasing size.

Figure 11. Snapshots of column water vapor on days 5, 15, and 30 in a 9,900 km domain initialized with a single aggregated cluster.
Figure 12. (a–c) Surface stress vector projected on the gradient of column water. (d–f) Surface pressure binned by column water vapor. (g) Profiles of temperature in the 1,320 km case and (h, i) temperature differences relative to the 1,320 km case. (j–l) Binned profiles of parameterized convective mass flux.
Figure 12g shows the binned temperature profiles in the 1,320 km domain, and differences in binned temperatures between the larger domains and the 1,320 km case are shown in Figures 12h and 12i. A warming is evident throughout the domain. In the free troposphere this warming is mostly horizontally uniform, consistent with weak temperature gradient dynamics. However, within the boundary layer the warming is concentrated in the humid regions, as required to enhance the surface pressure difference. The mean temperature increase at 950 hPa in the most humid 20% of the domain is roughly 1.2 K with L = 2,640 km and 2.1 K with L = 4,950 km. As might be expected, this increase in moist static energy in the humid boundary layer has a significant impact on the parameterized convection. Figures 12j–12l show the binned convective mass flux, with deeper mass flux profiles in the larger domains.

The deeper heating profiles from parameterized convection, in addition to increasing upper tropospheric temperatures, also have a significant impact on the aggregation circulation. The inter-CWV stream functions shown in Figure 13 suggest a reason why the cluster size does not continue increasing with the domain size. The three smaller domains show increasingly deep large-scale ascent in the humid columns, and, in the 4,950 km case, a significant strengthening of both the deep and shallow branches of the circulation. In general, deeper ascent is associated with enhanced column export of moist static energy (Back & Bretherton, 2006), and requires greater diabatic input to the column in order to maintain convection. This deepening circulation implies a gross moist stability that increases with the scale of aggregation. At some critical length scale above 4,000 km, the humid-region gross moist stability may become high enough that convection can no longer be maintained, and aggregation in a single cluster is no longer possible.

7. Summary and Discussion

We ran a set of convective self-aggregation simulations using the NASA GEOS AGCM in a doubly periodic Cartesian domain. We found that over a period of roughly 30 days, convection became clustered in a quasi-circular humid region surrounded by dry subsidence. The domain-mean humidity was reduced, and outgoing longwave radiation increased.

Aggregation has been studied in several cloud resolving models with doubly periodic domains, including SAM (Bretherton et al., 2005), DAM (Jeevanjee & Romps, 2013), RAMS (Stephens et al., 2008), UCLA-LES (Hohenegger & Stevens, 2016) as well as in global AGCMs including CAM (Reed et al., 2015), SP-CAM (Arnold & Randall, 2015), ECHAM (Popke et al., 2013), IPSL-CM5A (Coppin & Bony, 2015), ICON (Silvers et al., 2016), and GFDL AM2 (Held et al., 2007). The range of horizontal grid spacing used here, from 3 to 110 km, and the use of an AGCM in a CRM-like domain, helps to bridge the two modeling regimes. We find that the qualitative character of aggregation changes little as a function of resolution, whether with convection-permitting nonhydrostatic dynamics or with fully parameterized convection.

The column moist static energy (MSE) variance budget, developed by Wing and Emanuel (2014), was used to identify processes important to aggregation. MSE variance is initially increased by longwave radiative feedbacks and, to a lesser extent, surface fluxes. As convection becomes organized, the MSE variance...
growth rate due to the longwave term diminishes, becoming comparable in size to the shortwave feedback, while growth rates due to surface fluxes become weakly negative. The advection terms consistently work to homogenize the MSE field, despite the development of a shallow circulation providing upgradient MSE transport. Such a circulation has been seen in several previous studies, attributed to strong low-level radiative cooling rates in the dry region. Here the radiative cooling appears to be a clear-sky effect, which may contribute to its lack of resolution dependence.

Mechanism-denial experiments, in which key physical effects are selectively removed, point again to the importance of radiative feedbacks. When longwave heating profiles were homogenized across the domain, aggregation disappeared completely. When cloud ice was removed from the longwave calculations, the aggregation weakened, with lower humidity in the ascending region. Removing cloud liquid, however, had minimal effect on the organization.

We also examined the role of convection-moisture interactions. Deep convection is known to be modulated by tropospheric humidity (Bretherton et al., 2004; Derbyshire et al., 2004), and convection-moisture feedbacks are thought to play a role in the diurnal cycle of continental precipitation (Del Genio & Wu, 2010) and large-scale phenomena like the MJO (e.g., Raymond & Fuchs, 2009). Due to our use of parameterized convection at coarser resolutions, we were able to directly test this feedback by homogenizing the free tropospheric ($p < 850 \text{ hPa}$) moisture field passed to the parameterized convection. This experiment is analogous to the removal of cloud ice or liquid from the radiative calculations described above; convective tendencies are calculated as though every column had water vapor profiles equal to the domain mean. We found that removing the moisture dependence of convection reduced the intensity of aggregation but did not prevent the initial formation of dry regions. This is consistent with the idea that initial dry patches are formed by moisture-radiative feedbacks, while convection plays a role in amplifying the spatial organization.

Finally, we studied the scale dependence of aggregation by increasing our square domain from 1,320 to 9,900 km on a side. We found that the model developed a single circular cluster in domains up to 5,000 km, and domain-mean humidity increased with domain size. In the 9,900 km domain, the model developed multiple clusters, the largest of which were 3–4,000 km in size. We attempted to initialize the large domain with a single large cluster, but these invariably broke apart, implying that there is an upper limit to cluster size around 40,00 km.

In this case, the root cause of the upper size limit appears to be the increasing strength of the low-level flow with cluster size, which results from a relatively constant fractional area and rate of large-scale subsidence, both constrained by radiative cooling. The surface stress in the aggregation boundary region increases roughly linearly with domain size, suggesting that maintaining the stronger surface flow against dissipation becomes difficult for larger clusters. A related factor is the maintenance of the surface pressure gradient, which requires increasing surface pressure differences between humid and dry regions as the distance between them increases. This pressure difference is partly enabled by positive temperature anomalies in the humid-region boundary layer, which increase with the domain size. The increasing surface temperature leads to increased CAPE, and deeper parameterized convection. The deeper convective heating leads to increasing gross moist stability at larger scales, until eventually a balanced aggregated circulation becomes impossible to maintain.

Note that this hypothesis does not explain how the humid-region boundary layer becomes warmer, only that the warmth appears to be a requirement for maintaining the surface pressure gradient across a larger scale. Indeed, due to the higher near-surface temperatures, surface sensible heat flux is smaller in the larger domains, and the warming by radiative flux divergence within the humid-region boundary layer also decreases with domain size (not shown). We find that boundary layer cooling associated with convection and water phase changes does decrease, despite a small increase in rain reevaporation, so the answer likely involves the moist physics. However, the precise warming mechanism remains unclear.

The scale-limiting mechanism suggested here is superficially similar to the wavelength dependence of the gross moist stability proposed by Kuang (2011), although the two differ in both detail and effect. Kuang suggested that the tropospheric temperature anomalies required to drive a large-scale circulation would become larger at longer wavelengths, reducing CAPE in regions of ascent and producing a shallower convective heating profile. In contrast, the mechanism proposed here focuses on maintenance of the boundary layer flow (Yang, 2017), with temperature anomalies required in the boundary layer rather than the free troposphere, and predicts deeper convective heating in the ascent regions.
In nature and more Earth-like simulations, the spectrum of convective cluster sizes is likely governed by a combination of processes which vary in influence depending on the conditions. Other proposed scale-selecting mechanisms include the horizontal spread of high clouds (Adames & Kim, 2016), the remoistening time scale of the boundary layer (Wing & Cronin, 2016), the horizontal mixing of moisture (Craig & Mack, 2013), and the time scale of free tropospheric moisture variability (Grabowski & Moncrieff, 2004). The mechanism identified here may act to limit the ultimate size of convective clusters which are organized by other processes.

There is evidence from numerical simulations that aggregation scale and structure depend on myriad factors, including surface temperature, whether SST is interactive, and details of the model physics. This study should be considered a snapshot of aggregation dynamics at a particular SST, with a particular model. That aggregation will develop out of RCE conditions in models with such a range of physics options suggests that some tendency to aggregate is likely present in nature, although obscured by the complexity of real-world phenomena operating on shorter time scales. Nevertheless, many of the attributes of aggregation—a decrease in area-mean water vapor, an increase in OLR—have been found correlated with convective organization in real-world observations (Tobin et al., 2012, 2013). Future work, perhaps under the auspices of a recently proposed RCE model intercomparison project (Wing et al., 2018), is needed to understand which aspects of aggregation are model dependent and which aspects apply to nature.

Acknowledgments
We thank two anonymous reviewers for comments which substantially improved this manuscript. This work was supported by Goddard Earth Sciences Technology And Research (GESTAR) and NASA’s Modeling, Analysis and Prediction (MAP) program. Computing was supported by the NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCSS). The model output used in each figure is available in the supporting information, and full output is available by request. Public access to the model source code is available through geos5.org on branch “Heracles-5_2.”

References
Abbot, D. S. (2014). Resolved snowball earth clouds. Journal of Climate, 27, 4391–4402.
Adames, A. F., & Kim, D. (2016). The MJO as a dispersive, convectively coupled moisture wave: Theory and observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73, 913–941.
Anderson, J. A., & Kuang, Z. (2012). Moist static energy budget of MJO-like disturbances in the atmosphere of a zonally symmetric aquaplanet. Journal of Climate, 25, 2782–2804.
Arnold, N. P., Branson, M., Kuang, Z., Randall, D. A., & Tziperman, E. (2015). MJO intensification with warming in the superparameterized CESM. Journal of Climate, 28, 2706–2724.
Arnold, N. P., Kuang, Z., & Tziperman, E. (2013). Enhanced MJO-like variability at high SST. Journal of Climate, 26, 988–1001.
Arnold, N. P., & Randall, D. A. (2015). Global-scale convective aggregation: Implications for the Madden-Julian Oscillation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 7, 1499–1518. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000498
Bacmeister, J. T., Suarez, M. J., & Robertson, F. R. (2006). Rain reevaporation, boundary layer-convection interactions, and Pacific rainfall patterns in an AGCM. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63, 3383–3403.
Back, L. E., & Bretherton, C. S. (2006). Geographic variability in the export of moist static energy and vertical motion profiles in the tropical Pacific. Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L17810. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026672
Bony, S., Stevens, B., Coppin, D., Becker, T., Reed, K. A., Voigt, A., et al. (2016). Thermodynamic control of anvil cloud amount. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113(32), 8927–8932.
Bosilovich, M. G., Lucchesi, R., & Suarez, M. (2015). MERRA-2: File specification (Note 9, Version 1.0, 73 pp.). GMAO Office.
Bretherton, C. S., Blossey, P. N., & Khairoutdinov, M. (2005). An energy-balance analysis of deep convective self-aggregation above uniform SST. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62, 4273–4292.
Bretherton, C. S., Peters, M. E., & Back, L. E. (2004). Relationships between water vapor path and precipitation over the tropical oceans. Journal of Climate, 17, 1517–1528.
Chou, M.-D. (1990). Parameterizations for the absorption of solar radiation by O2 and CO2 with applications to climate studies. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 47, 762–772.
Chou, M.-D., & Suarez, M. J. (1994). An efficient thermal infrared radiation parameterization for use in general circulation models (NASA Tech. Memo. 104606, Vol. 3). Greenbelt, MD: Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA.
Coppin, D., & Bony, S. (2015). Physical mechanisms controlling the initiation of convective self-aggregation in a General Circulation Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 7, 2060–2078. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000571
Craig, G. C., & Mack, J. M. (2013). A coarsening model for self-organization of tropical convection. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 8761–8769. doi:10.1002/jgrd.50674
Del Genio, A. D., & Wu, J. (2009). The role of entrainment in the diurnal cycle of continental convection. Journal of Climate, 23, 2722–2738.
Derbyshire, S., Beau, I., Rechthold, P., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Pirou, J.-M., Redelsperger, J.-L., et al. (2004). Sensitivity of moist convection to environmental humidity. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 130(604), 3055–3079.
Emanuel, K., Wing, A. A., & Vincent, E. M. (2014). Radiative-convective instability. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 6, 75–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013MS000270
Fuchs, Z., & Raymond, D. J. (2005). Large-scale modes of a rotating atmosphere with radiative-convective instability and WISHE. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62, 4084–4094.
Grabowski, W. W., & Moncrieff, M. W. (2004). Moisture-convection feedback in the tropics. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 130, 3081–3104.
Grell, G. A., & Freitas, S. R. (2013). A scale and aerosol aware stochastic convective parameterization for weather and air quality modeling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 13, 23845–23893.
Ham, Y.-G., Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Vikhliaev, Y., Zhao, B., Marshak, J., et al. (2014). Decadal prediction skill in the GEOS-5 forecast system. Climate Dynamics, 42, 1–20.
Ham, Y.-G., Schubert, S. D., Vikhliaev, Y., & Suarez, M. J. (2014). An assessment of the ENSO forecast skill of GEOS-5 system. Climate Dynamics, 43, 2415–2430.
Held, I. M., Zhao, M., & Wyman, B. (2007). Dynamic radiative convective equilibrium using GCM column physics. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 64(228), 228–238.
Hohenegger, C., & Stevens, B. (2016). Coupled radiative convective equilibrium simulations with explicit and parameterized convection. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 8, 1468–1482. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000666

Holloway, C. E., & Woolnough, S. J. (2016). The sensitivity of convective precipitation to diabatic processes in idealized radiative-convective equilibrium simulations. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 8, 166–195. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000511

Jeevanjee, N., & Romps, D. M. (2013). Convective self-aggregation, cold pools, and domain size. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 994–998. https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50204

Kharoutinov, M., & Emanuel, K. (2010). Aggregation of convection and the regulation of tropical climate. In 29th Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology. Tucson, AZ. American Meteorological Society.

Kuang, Z. (2011). The wavelength dependence of the gross moist stability and the scale selection in the instability of column-integrated moist static energy. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 68, 61–74.

Lock, A. P., Brown, A. R., Bush, M. R., Martin, G. M., & Smith, R. N. B. (2000). A new boundary layer mixing scheme. Part I: Scheme description and single-column model tests. *Monthly Weather Review*, 128, 3187–3199.

Louis, J.-F., Tiedtke, M., & Geleyn, J.-F. (1982). A short history of the PBL parameterization of ECMWF. In ECMWF workshop on planetary boundary layer parameterization (pp. 59–79). Reading, UK: ECMWF.

Mauldin, T., & Stevens, B. (2015). Missing IRR effect as a possible cause of muted hydrological change and high climate sensitivity in models. *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 346–351.

Mołod, A., Takacs, L., Suarez, M., Bacmeister, J., Song, J.-S., & Eichmann, A. (2012). In M. Suarez (Ed.), The GEOS-5 atmospheric general circulation model: Mean climate and development from MERRA to fortuna (Tech. Rep. NASA/TM-2010–104606, Vol. 28). NASA technical report series on global modeling and assimilation.

Molini, J., & Dudek, M. (1992). Parameterization of convective precipitation in mesoscale numerical models: A critical review. *Monthly Weather Review*, 120, 326–344.

Moorhi, S., & Suarez, M. J. (1992). Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert. A parameterization of moist convection for general circulation models. *Monthly Weather Review*, 120, 978–1002.

Muller, C. J., & Bony, S. (2015). What favors convective aggregation and why? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 5626–5634. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064260

Muller, C. J., & Held, I. M. (2012). Detailed investigation of the self-aggregation of convection in cloud-resolving simulations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 69, 2551–2565.

Popke, D., Stevens, B., & Voigt, A. (2013). Climate and climate change in a radiative-convective equilibrium version of ECHAM6. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/2012MS000191

Putman, W., da Silva, A. M., Ott, L. E., & Darmenov, A. (2014). Model configuration for the 7-km GEOS-5 nature run, garyned release (non-hydrostatic 7 km global mesoscale simulation) (GMTO Office Note S. Version 1.0, 18 pp.).

Putman, W. M., & Lin, S.-J. (2007). Finite-volume transport on various cubed-sphere grids. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(1), 55–78.

Putman, W. M., & Suarez, M. (2011). Cloud-system resolving simulations with the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System global atmospheric model (GEOS-5). *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L16809. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048438

Raymond, D. J., & Fuchs, Z. (2009). Moisture modes and the Madden-Julian Oscillation. *Journal of Climate*, 22(11), 3031–3046.

Reed, K. A., & Medeiros, B. (2016). A reduced complexity framework to bridge the gap between AGCMs and cloud-resolving models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 860–866. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066713

Reed, K. A., Medeiros, B., Bacmeister, J. T., & Lauritzen, P. H. (2015). Global radiative-convective equilibrium in the Community Atmosphere Model 5. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72, 2183–2197.

Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., et al. (2011). MERRA: NASA’s modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications. *Journal of Climate*, 24, 3624–3648.

Silbers, G. L., Stevens, B., Mauritzen, T., & Georgetta, M. (2016). Radiative convective equilibrium as a framework for studying the interaction between convection and its large-scale environment. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 8, 1330–1344. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000629

Sobel, A. H., Bellon, G., & Bacmeister, J. (2007). Multiple equilibria in a single-column model of the tropical atmosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, L22804. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031320

Sobel, A. H., & Maloney, E. (2012). An idealized semi-empirical framework for modeling the Madden-Julian Oscillation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 69, 1691–1705.

Stein, T. H. M., Holloway, C. E., Tobin, I., & Bony, S. (2017). Observed relationships between cloud vertical structure and convective aggregation over tropical ocean. *Journal of Climate*, 30, 2187–2207.

Stephens, G., van den Heever, S., & Pakula, L. (2008). Radiative-convective feedbacks in idealized states of radiative-convective equilibrium. *The Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 65, 3899–3916.

Tobin, I., Bony, S., Holloway, C. E., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Seze, G., Coppin, D., et al. (2013). Does convective aggregation need to be represented in cumulus parameterizations? *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 692–703. https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20047

Tobin, I., Bony, S., & Roca, R. (2012). Observational evidence for relationships between the degree of aggregation of deep convection, water vapor, surface fluxes, and radiation. *Journal of Climate*, 25, 6885–6904.

Tokioka, T., Yamazaki, K., Kitoh, A., & Ose, T. (1988). The equatorial 30–60 day oscillation and the Arakawa-Schubert penetrative cumulus parameterization. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan*, 66, 883–901.

Tompkins, A. M., & Semie, A. G. (2017). Organization of tropical convection in low vertical wind shears: Role of updraft entrainment. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 9, 1046–1068. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000802

Wing, A. A., Camargo, S. J., & Sobel, A. H. (2016). Radiative–convective feedbacks in spontaneous tropical cyclogenesis in idealized numerical simulations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 73, 2633–2642.

Wing, A. A., & Cronin, T. W. (2016). Self-aggregation of convection in long channel geometry. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 142, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2628

Wing, A. A., & Emanuel, K. A. (2014). Physical mechanisms controlling self-aggregation of convection in idealized numerical modeling simulations. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 6, 59–74. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013MS000269

Wing, A. A., Emanuel, K. A., Holloway, C. E., & Muller, C. (2017). Convective self-aggregation in numerical simulations: A review. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 38, 1173–1197.

Wing, A. A., Reed, K. A., Satoh, M., Stevens, B., Bony, S., & Ohno, T. (2018). Radiative-convective equilibrium model intercomparison project. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*, 11, 793–813.

Yang, D. (2017). Boundary layer height and buoyancy determine the horizontal scale of convective self-aggregation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 75, 469–478.