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**Abstract**

In this note we discuss a supersymmetric (SUSY) $D_4 \times Z_5$ model which leads to vanishing reactor mixing angle $\theta_{13} = 0$ and maximal atmospheric mixing $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ in the lepton sector at leading order (LO), due to the preservation of non-trivial distinct $D_4$ subgroups in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, respectively. The solar mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ remains undetermined and is expected to be of order one. Since right-handed charged leptons transform as singlets under $D_4$, the charged lepton mass hierarchy can be naturally accounted for. The model predicts inverted mass hierarchy for neutrinos. Additionally, we show that, unlike in most of the other models of this type, all vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of gauge singlets (flavons) can be fixed through mass parameters of the superpotential. Next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections to lepton masses and mixings are calculated and shown to be under control, especially the corrections to $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$ are of the order of the generic expansion parameter $\epsilon \approx 0.04$ and arise dominantly from the charged lepton sector.
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1 Introduction

The observed mixing pattern in the lepton sector \([1]\),
\[
\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.318^{+0.042}_{-0.028}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.50^{+0.13}_{-0.11} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.013^{+0.026}_{-0.013} \quad (2 \sigma),
\]
is well compatible with special mixing patterns in which \(\theta_{13}\) vanishes and \(\theta_{23}\) is maximal,
\[
\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \theta_{13} = 0.
\]

Eq. (2) is derived from a \(\mu - \tau\) symmetric neutrino mass matrix \([2]\), in the charged lepton mass basis. At the same time the solar mixing angle \(\theta_{12}\) is not predicted. It is tempting to assume a symmetry to be the origin of such a mixing pattern.

It has been shown \([3]\) that in case of a non-trivial breaking of a dihedral flavor symmetry in the lepton (quark) sector one element \(U_{\alpha\beta}\) of the lepton (quark) mixing matrix is given in terms of group theoretical quantities only \([7]\)
\[
|U_{\alpha\beta}| = \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi (k_1 - k_2) j}{n} \right) \right|.
\]

where \(n\) refers to the group index of the dihedral group \(D_n\), \(j\) to the index of the two-dimensional irreducible representation \(\mathbf{2}_j\) under which two of the three left-handed fields transform and \(k_{1,2}\) are associated to the generating elements \(BA^{k_1}\) and \(BA^{k_2}\) of the \(Z_2\) subgroups preserved in the charged lepton (down quark) and neutrino (up quark) sectors, respectively. (A and B are the generators of the original dihedral group \(D_n\).) Note that \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) have to be distinct to get a non-trivial value for \(|U_{\alpha\beta}|\). Considering the lepton sector, one sees that choosing \(n = 4\) makes it possible to achieve e.g. \(|U_{\mu 3}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\). Using a specific set of flavons to break \(D_4\) to the subgroup \(Z_2\) generated by \(BA^{k_1}\) in the charged lepton sector, also \(|U_{\tau 3}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\) and \(U_{e 3} = 0\) can be enforced, thus leading to \(\mu - \tau\) symmetric lepton mixings.

In the model presented here \(D_4\) is accompanied by the cyclic symmetry \(Z_5\). Both symmetries are only spontaneously broken by flavon VEVs. We use as framework the Minimal SUSY Standard Model (MSSM). It is not the first time that \(\mu - \tau\) symmetry is deduced from the group \(D_4\) \([4, 6, 9, 10]\). However, in these models producing the mass hierarchy among charged leptons is usually non-trivial. In contrast, the observed charged lepton mass hierarchy
\[
m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau \approx \epsilon^2 : \epsilon : 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon \approx \lambda^2 \approx 0.04,
\]
with \(\lambda\) being the Wolfenstein parameter \([11]\), is a natural outcome of our model. In order to achieve this, it is essential that the right-handed charged lepton fields transform as (different) singlets under the flavor symmetry \(D_4\), instead of being in the representations \(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2}\). Notice that also the introduction of a \(U(1)\) symmetry is not necessary for ending up with Eq. (4), see as well \([12, 14]\).

At LO, our model leads to \(\theta_{13} = 0\) and \(\theta_{23} = \pi/4\) with no constraints on the solar mixing angle \(\theta_{12}\), apart from predicting its value generically to be of order one. In the limit of preserved subgroups \(m_\mu\) and \(m_\tau\) are generated of the correct order of magnitude. Since \(m_\tau\) arises from a non-renormalizable operator small and moderate values of \(\tan \beta\) are preferred. Neutrinos exhibit

\(^{1}\)For specific realizations see \([4, 8]\).
inverted mass hierarchy and the lightest neutrino mass $m_3$ fulfills $m_3 \gtrsim 0.015$ eV. We find at LO a strong correlation among $m_3$ and the measure of neutrinoless double beta decay $|m_{ee}|$, a rather weak one among $m_3$ and $\tan \theta_{12}$ as well as a restricted range for Majorana phases. These results are very similar to those found in [4]. We study the model to NLO and show that corrections to the predictions $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\theta_{23}$ being maximal are of order $\epsilon$ and dominated by the charged lepton sector. Also $\theta_{12}$ undergoes corrections; but these are not particularly interesting, because its value is not a prediction of our model anyway. The mass of the electron is generated by NLO corrections. The masses of the other charged leptons and neutrinos are slightly corrected as well.

Furthermore, an appropriate construction of the flavon superpotential allows us to fix all flavon VEVs through couplings with positive mass dimension. By choosing the latter to be of order $\epsilon \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is the generic cutoff scale of our theory, all flavon VEVs are of order $\epsilon \Lambda$. Fixing all flavon VEVs by mass parameters is usually not the case in models of such type which thus encounter free parameters among the flavon VEVs (equivalent to flat directions in the flavon (super)potential), see e.g. [4, 5, 12, 15].

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we briefly introduce the group $D_4$ and discuss its subgroups. The model is outlined and the LO results for lepton masses and mixings are presented in Section 3. Section 4 contains the discussion of the flavon superpotential at LO and NLO. In Section 5 NLO corrections to lepton masses and mixings are shown to be well under control. We conclude in Section 6.

## 2 $D_4$ Group Theory

The dihedral group $D_4$ has eight (distinct) elements and five irreducible representations denoted here as $\mathbf{1}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $\mathbf{2}$. All representations are real and only $\mathbf{2}$ is faithful. $D_4$ is generated by $A$ and $B$ which can be chosen as [16]

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

for $\mathbf{2}$. Note that we have chosen $A$ to be a complex matrix, although $\mathbf{2}$ is a real representation. For $(a_1, a_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2}$ we thus find that $(a_2^*, a_1^t)^t$ transforms as $\mathbf{2}$ under $D_4$. The generators $A$ and $B$ of the one-dimensional representations are

$$\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{1}_1 : \quad A = 1, \quad B = 1, \\
\mathbf{1}_2 : \quad A = 1, \quad B = -1, \\
\mathbf{1}_3 : \quad A = -1, \quad B = 1, \\
\mathbf{1}_4 : \quad A = -1, \quad B = -1. 
\end{array} \quad (6-9)$$

The character table can be found in e.g. [4]. $A$ and $B$ fulfill the relations

$$A^4 = \mathbf{1}, \quad B^2 = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad ABA = B. \quad (10)$$

The Kronecker products involving $\mathbf{1}_i$ are the following

$$\mathbf{1}_i \times \mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_i, \quad \mathbf{1}_i \times \mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, 4, \quad \mathbf{1}_2 \times \mathbf{1}_3 = \mathbf{1}_4, \quad \mathbf{1}_2 \times \mathbf{1}_4 = \mathbf{1}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}_3 \times \mathbf{1}_4 = \mathbf{1}_2. \quad (11)$$
For \( s_i \sim \mathbf{1}_i \) and \( (a_1, a_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2} \) we find
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} s_1 a_1 \\ \hline s_1 a_2 \\ \end{array} \right) \sim \mathbf{2}, \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} s_2 a_1 \\ s_2 a_2 \\ \end{array} \right) \sim \mathbf{2}, \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} s_3 a_2 \\ s_3 a_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \sim \mathbf{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} s_4 a_2 \\ -s_4 a_1 \\ \end{array} \right) \sim \mathbf{2} .
\]
The four one-dimensional representations contained in \( \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \) read for \( (a_1, a_2)^t, (b_1, b_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2} \)
\[
a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 \sim \mathbf{1}_1, \quad a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \sim \mathbf{1}_2, \quad a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 \sim \mathbf{1}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 \sim \mathbf{1}_4 .
\]
These formulae are special cases of the expressions, given for dihedral symmetries \( D_n \) with general index \( n \), which can be found e.g. in [3,17].

In order to understand how maximal atmospheric mixing and vanishing \( \theta_{13} \) arise in our model, it is relevant to discuss the subgroups of \( D_4 \). All its subgroups are abelian: \( Z_2 \cong D_1, Z_4 \) and \( D_2 \cong Z_2 \times Z_2 \). In the following we are only interested in \( Z_2 \) subgroups generated by \( BA^k \) with \( k = 0, \ldots, 3 \), because these are preserved in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors at LO. Noting
\[
(BA^k)^2 = BA^kB = BA^{k-1}BA^{k-1} = \cdots = B^2 = 1
\]
shows that \( BA^k \) indeed generates a \( Z_2 \) symmetry. Since \( k \) can take integer values between 0 and 3, we find four possible \( Z_2 \) subgroups of this type. Apart from VEVs of fields transforming trivially under \( D_4 \), a \( Z_2 \) group given through \( BA^k \) is left unbroken by a non-vanishing VEV of a singlet transforming as \( \mathbf{1}_3 \) if \( k = 0, 2 \) holds, and of one singlet transforming as \( \mathbf{1}_4 \) for \( k = 1, 3 \). Additionally, it is left intact by fields \( \psi_{1,2} \) forming a doublet, if their VEVs have the following structure
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \langle \psi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2 \rangle \\ \end{array} \right) \propto \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\pi i k} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (11)

As mentioned in the Introduction, in order to get non-trivial mixing the \( Z_2 \) subgroups preserved in the charged lepton and the neutrino sectors have to have different indices \( k_l \) and \( k_\nu \). To achieve maximal atmospheric mixing, we need e.g. \( k_l \) to be odd, whereas \( k_\nu \) has to be even. This is analogous to the constraints found for the indices \( k_{l,\nu} \) in [4]. In the following section we show that it is phenomenologically irrelevant whether \( k_l \) is 1 or 3 as well as whether \( k_\nu \) is 0 or 2, i.e. the result for the lepton mixing angles is in all cases \( \theta_{13} = 0 \) and \( \theta_{23} = \pi/4 \). In Section [4] a simple flavon superpotential is constructed which naturally leads to an odd index \( k_l \) and an even index \( k_\nu \).

3 Outline of the Model and Results at LO

In this section the transformation properties of all fields (apart from the driving fields responsible for the vacuum alignment) under the flavor symmetry \( D_4 \times Z_5 \) are presented. Similar to the model discussed in [4] the framework is the MSSM and the left-handed lepton doublets \( L_i \) transform as \( \mathbf{1}_1 + \mathbf{2} \) under \( D_4 \). In order to accommodate the charged lepton mass hierarchy, we assign the right-handed charged leptons \( c^e_i \) to the three singlets \( \mathbf{1}_2 + \mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{1}_4 \) and not to \( \mathbf{1}_1 + \mathbf{2} \) as done in [4]. In contrast to the model given in [4] we assign the \( Z_5 \) charges to the matter superfields and \( h_{u,d} \) such that \( h_{u,d} \) are uncharged under \( D_4 \times Z_5 \) and, more importantly, we allow the generations of one type, \( L_i \) and \( c^e_i \), to transform differently under \( Z_5 \). In this way the additional cyclic symmetry \( Z_5 \) does not only play the role of a symmetry which separates the charged lepton and neutrino sectors (at LO), but also plays the role of a Froggatt-Nielsen
do not transform under \( L \) operator involving three flavons, because they do not play a role in the preservation of different subgroups of \( D_4 \). As we will show it is not relevant for phenomenology which of the two subgroups in each sector is chosen. The relevant aspect is the fact that different subgroups are preserved. The two additional flavons \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_3 \) are Froggatt-Nielsen type fields. Since they do not transform under \( D_4 \) they do not play a role in the preservation of different subgroups of \( D_4 \). They allow for non-zero (11) and (23), (32) entries in the neutrino mass matrix at LO and are relevant for generating the appropriate hierarchy among the charged lepton masses, because \( m_\tau \) arises dominantly from \( L_D e_1^c h_d \psi_e / \Lambda \), while the mass of the muon is generated through the operator \( L_D e_2^c h_d \psi_e \eta_1 / \Lambda^2 \). The mass of the electron originates mainly from one subleading operator involving three flavons, \( L_1 e_1^c h_d \psi_e \eta_1 / \Lambda^3 \). Since the tau lepton mass stems from a non-renormalizable operator, \( v_\tau = \langle h_d \rangle \) is expected to be of the order of the electroweak scale and thus small and moderate values of \( \tan \beta = \langle h_u \rangle / \langle h_d \rangle = v_u / v_d \) are preferred in this model. The right order of magnitude of \( m_\tau \) can be achieved for \( \langle \psi_\nu \rangle / \Lambda \approx \epsilon \approx 0.04 \). The ratio \( m_\mu : m_\tau \approx \epsilon : 1 \) requires that also \( \langle \eta_1 \rangle / \Lambda \approx \epsilon \). As derived from the flavon superpotential all flavon VEVs can be of order \( \epsilon \Lambda \) and thus the electron mass \( m_e \) is expected to fulfill \( m_e : m_\tau \sim \epsilon^2 : 1 \) due to its origin from a three flavon operator. Apart from generating the electron mass, operators involving several flavons (as well as shifts in the flavon VEVs) lead to small deviations from the LO result that \( \theta_{23} \) is maximal and \( \theta_{13} \) vanishes. As we show below, these deviations are dominated by corrections associated to the charged lepton sector, while all subleading effects in the neutrino sector are of relative order \( \epsilon^2 \). All fields appearing in the Yukawa couplings and their transformation properties under \( D_4 \times Z_5 \) are captured in Table 1.

In order to elucidate how maximal atmospheric mixing and vanishing reactor mixing angle arise, we first take into account only operators which are suppressed by at maximum \( 1/\Lambda^2 \) and in which the flavon doublet \( \psi_\nu \) only couples to neutrinos, whereas \( \psi_e \) couples to charged leptons. In this way the \( Z_2 \) subgroups in charged lepton and neutrino sectors remain exactly preserved. We show subsequently that the inclusion of further operators, compatible with the symmetries of the model, and shifts in the flavon VEVs only slightly correct the results achieved at this

| Field | \( L_1 \) | \( L_D \) | \( e_1^c \) | \( e_2^c \) | \( e_3^c \) | \( h_u \) | \( h_d \) | \( \psi_{\nu,1,2} \) | \( \psi_{e,1,2} \) | \( \eta_1 \) | \( \eta_3 \) |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| \( D_4 \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{2} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) | \( \mathbf{1} \) |
| \( Z_5 \) | \( \omega^2 \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega^3 \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega \) |

Table 1: Particle content of the model. \( L_i \) denotes the three left-handed lepton \( SU(2)_L \) doublets, \( e_i^c \) the right-handed charged leptons and \( h_{u,d} \) the MSSM Higgs doublets. The flavons \( \psi_{\nu,1,2} \) and \( \psi_{e,1,2} \) only transform under \( D_4 \times Z_5 \). Also the Froggatt-Nielsen type fields \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_3 \) are gauge singlets and only carry a non-zero \( Z_5 \) charge. \( \omega = e^{2\pi i} \) is the generating element of \( Z_5 \).
level. With the above restrictions the allowed operators in the superpotential are
\[ W_I = \frac{y^{\nu}_{3}}{\Lambda} (L_2 e^\nu_3 h_d \psi_{e1} - L_2 e^\nu_3 h_d \psi_{e2}) + \frac{y^{\nu}_{1}}{\Lambda^2} (L_2 e^\nu_1 h_d \psi_{e1} + L_2 e^\nu_1 h_d \psi_{e2}) \eta_1 \] (12)
\[ + \frac{y^{\nu}_{2}}{\Lambda} L_1 L_1 h_u^2 \eta_1 + \frac{y^{\nu}_{4}}{\Lambda^2} (L_2 L_3 + L_3 L_2) h_u^2 \eta_3 + \frac{y^{\nu}_{6}}{\Lambda^2} L_1 (L_2 h_u^2 \psi_{\nu 1} + L_3 h_u^2 \psi_{\nu 1}) \]
\[ + \frac{y^{\nu}_{7}}{\Lambda^2} (L_2 \psi_{\nu 2} + L_3 \psi_{\nu 1}) h_u^2 L_1 . \]

As shown in Section 4, the vacuum structure is
\[ \langle \psi_{e2} \rangle = -i \rho_e \langle \psi_{e1} \rangle = w_e , \quad \langle \psi_{\nu 2} \rangle = \rho_\nu \langle \psi_{\nu 1} \rangle = w_\nu , \quad \langle \eta_1 \rangle = w_1 \] and \[ \langle \eta_3 \rangle = w_3 \] (13)
with \( \rho_{e,\nu} = \pm 1 \). The different choices of \( \rho_{e,\nu} \) correspond to the different possible values of the subgroup index \( k_1 \) and \( k_\nu \), respectively. Since they are not uniquely fixed by the flavon superpotential, we keep \( \rho_{e,\nu} = \pm 1 \) as parameters and check that all possibilities lead to \( \theta_{13} = 0 \) and \( \theta_{23} = \pi/4 \). We arrive at fermion mass matrices of the form (\( M_I \) given in left-right convention)
\[ M_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \rho_e y^{\nu}_{2} w_1 / \Lambda & i \rho_e y^{\nu}_{1} \\ 0 & y^{\nu}_{2} w_1 / \Lambda & -y^{\nu}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{w \nu}{\Lambda} v_d \] and \( M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} y^{\nu}_{1} w_1 & y^{\nu}_{3} w_\nu & \rho_\nu y^{\nu}_{3} w_\nu \\ y^{\nu}_{3} w_\nu & y^{\nu}_{3} w_3 & 0 \\ \rho_\nu y^{\nu}_{3} w_\nu & y^{\nu}_{3} w_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{w \nu}{\Lambda^2} . \] (14)

For
\[ w_e , w_\nu , w_1 , w_3 \approx \epsilon \Lambda \] (15)
with \( \epsilon \approx 0.04 \), muon and tau lepton masses read
\[ m_\mu = \sqrt{2} |y^{\nu}_{2}| w_1 w_\nu v_d / \Lambda^2 \approx \epsilon^2 v_d \] and \[ m_\tau = \sqrt{2} |y^{\nu}_{1}| w_e v_d / \Lambda \approx \epsilon v_d , \] (16)
while the electron remains massless at this stage and acquires a mass from operators with three flavon insertions, see Eq.(34). The charged lepton mass matrix can be diagonalized through the usual biunitary transformation (\( U_I \) and \( V_I \)) so that
\[ U_I^\dagger M_I V_I = \text{diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau) \] (17)
with \( U_I \) given by
\[ U_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \rho_e / \sqrt{2} & -i \rho_e / \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 / \sqrt{2} & 1 / \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \] (18)
and \( V_I \) is a diagonal matrix, ensuring that the entries of \( M_I \) in the charged lepton mass basis are real and positive. The unitary matrix \( U_\nu \) diagonalizing \( M_\nu M_\nu^\dagger \) can be written in the form
\[ U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_\nu & \sin \phi_\nu e^{-i \gamma_\nu} & 0 \\ -\rho_\nu \sin \phi_\nu e^{i \gamma_\nu} / \sqrt{2} & \rho_\nu \cos \phi_\nu / \sqrt{2} & 1 / \sqrt{2} \\ -\sin \phi_\nu e^{i \gamma_\nu} / \sqrt{2} & \cos \phi_\nu / \sqrt{2} & -\rho_\nu / \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \] (19)

\[ \text{We do not list the operator } L_1 e^\nu_3 h_d \psi_{\nu 2}^2 / \Lambda^2 , \text{ because plugging in the vacuum given in Eq.(13) renders this possible contribution to the charged lepton mass matrix zero. However, we discuss the operator in Section 5, since it leads to a non-vanishing contribution, once the shifts in the flavon VEVs are taken into account.} \]
with $\phi_\nu$ and $\gamma_\nu$ being real functions of the entries of $M_\nu$. For the absolute values of the lepton mixing matrix we then find

$$|U_{MNS}| = \begin{pmatrix}
|\cos \phi_\nu| & |\sin \phi_\nu| & 0 \\
|\sin \phi_\nu|/\sqrt{2} & |\cos \phi_\nu|/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\
|\sin \phi_\nu|/\sqrt{2} & |\cos \phi_\nu|/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

(20)

showing that $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$ hold, while the solar mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ is not fixed in our model and related to the angle $\phi_\nu$. As one can see the form of $|U_{MNS}|$ and thus the result for the mixing angles do not depend on a particular choice of $\rho_\nu$ and $\rho_e$.

In the charged lepton mass basis the neutrino mass matrix $M'_\nu = U_l^\dagger M_\nu U_l^*$ reveals a texture zero in its (23) entry. According to the findings in [19] a consequence of the presence of this texture zero together with $\theta_{13} = 0$ is that the neutrino mass spectrum has to have inverted hierarchy and furthermore the lightest neutrino mass $m_3$ cannot vanish. This is in our model not a generic result attributed to the flavor symmetry $D_4 \times Z_5$ and its breaking pattern, but is due to the fact that a flavon coupling to the neutrino sector and transforming as $(1_3, \omega^3)$ under $(D_4, Z_5)$ is absent. A numerical analysis similar to the one found in [4] can also be performed for this model. The results of such an analysis are quantitatively very similar to those found in [4] for the case of a non-zero (23) entry of the neutrino mass matrix $M'_\nu$ in a spontaneously CP violating framework. These include that the measure of neutrinoless double beta decay $|m_{ee}|$ fulfills $|m_{ee}| \approx m_3$, a weak correlation between $\tan \theta_{12}$ and $m_3$ and rather strong correlations of the two Majorana phases and the mass $m_3$ as well as a lower bound on $m_3$ around 0.015 eV. Plots showing these results can be found in [4] in Figures 1 to 4. All results are found to be independent of the different choices of $\rho_\nu$ and $\rho_e$. Noting that the third neutrino mass $m_3$ is given by $|y_{\nu}^2|^2 w_3 v_u^2 / \Lambda^2$, we find that in order to correctly reproduce the light neutrino mass scale of around 0.1 eV a value of the cutoff scale $\Lambda \approx 4 \times 10^{12}$ GeV for $v_u \approx 100$ GeV is necessary.

Comparing our results to those found in [4] we see that the difference of the two models lies in the fact that in [4] the subgroup in the charged lepton sector is $D_2$, while it is only a $Z_2$ subgroup in our model. As a consequence, the model in [4] leads to $\mu - \tau$ symmetric mixing through preserving certain $D_4$ subgroups only, whereas in the model here the absence of a flavon transforming as $1_4$ under $D_4$ from the charged lepton sector is relevant for achieving $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$, see for details Appendix B in [4].

4 Flavon Superpotential

In the following we align the VEVs of the fields $\psi_e$ and $\psi_\nu$ correctly and fix all VEVs through mass parameters of the superpotential, thus avoiding flat directions in the potential associated to VEVs which remain undetermined. We consider the $F$-terms of a new set of fields, the driving fields, as source of the alignment conditions. These fields are like the flavons gauge singlets and transform in general under $D_4 \times Z_5$. We assume the existence of a continuous $R$-symmetry $U(1)_R$ under which all matter superfields have charge +1, flavons and the superfields $h_{u,d}$ charge 0 and driving fields charge +2, so that the superpotential, responsible for the alignment of the flavon VEVs, will be linear in the driving fields.
We find as solutions \( \rho \) with \( D_4 \) way through coupling it to a field renormalizable level, as \( 3 \) scale.

In order to align the vacuum of \( \psi_\nu \) we introduce one driving field, \( \sigma^0_e \), transforming as \( 14 \) under \( D_4 \), similar to \( 4 \), and as \( \omega \) under \( Z_5 \). The alignment of the vacuum of \( \psi_e \) is achieved in a similar way through coupling it to a field \( \sigma^0_e \) transforming as \( 13 \) and being invariant under \( Z_5 \). We add a field \( \chi^0_e \) which is neutral under \( D_4 \times Z_5 \) to allow for a coupling of mass dimension two which fixes the size of the VEV of \( \psi_e \). The VEVs of \( \psi_\nu \) and of the Froggatt-Nielsen fields \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_3 \) are deduced from the \( F \)-terms of three further fields, \( \chi^0_e, \eta^0_1 \) and \( \eta^0_3 \) transforming as shown in Table 2. Note that also the field \( \eta^0_4 \) allows for a coupling with positive mass dimension in the flavon superpotential. Apart from \( \sigma^0_e \) and \( \sigma^0_\nu \), responsible for the alignment of \( \langle \psi_{\nu;1,2} \rangle \) and \( \langle \psi_{\nu;1,2} \rangle \), all other driving fields transform trivially under \( D_4 \). The flavon superpotential \( W_{fl} \) is given, at the renormalizable level, as \( ^3 \)

\[
W_{fl} = a_e \sigma^0_e (\psi^2_{\nu;1} + \psi^2_{\nu;2}) + M^2_1 \chi^0_e + b_e \chi^0_e \psi_{\nu;1} \psi_{e;2} + a_\nu \sigma^0_\nu (\psi^2_{\nu;1} - \psi^2_{\nu;2}) + b_\nu \chi^0_\nu \psi_{\nu;1} \psi_{\nu;2} + c_\nu \chi^0_\nu \eta_1 \eta_3 + d \eta^0_3 (\psi_{\nu;1} \psi_{\nu;2} + \psi_{e;1} \psi_{e;2}) + f \eta^0_1 \eta^2_1 + g \eta^0_4 \eta^2_4
\]

with \( a_e, b_e, a_\nu, b_\nu, c_\nu, d, f \) and \( g \) being complex numbers with absolute values of order one. Assuming that the flavons acquire their VEVs in the SUSY limit, i.e. (soft) SUSY breaking effects can be safely neglected, we can use the \( F \)-terms of the driving fields to determine the vacuum structure of the flavons. The first two equations read

\[
\frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \sigma^0_e} = a_e (\psi^2_{\nu;1} + \psi^2_{\nu;2}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \sigma^0_\nu} = a_\nu (\psi^2_{\nu;1} - \psi^2_{\nu;2}) = 0.
\]

We find as solutions

\[
\langle \psi_{e;2} \rangle = -i \rho_e \langle \psi_{e;1} \rangle = w_e \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \psi_{\nu;2} \rangle = \rho_\nu \langle \psi_{\nu;1} \rangle = w_\nu
\]

with \( \rho_e = \pm 1 \) and \( \rho_\nu = \pm 1 \). We see that we preserve a \( Z_2 \) subgroup of \( D_4 \) generated by either \( BA \) \( (k_l = 1) \) or \( BA^3 \) \( (k_l = 3) \) in the charged lepton sector, whereas in the neutrino sector the subgroup is either generated by \( B \) \( (k_\nu = 0) \) or by \( BA^2 \) \( (k_\nu = 2) \). From

\[
\frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \chi^0_e} = M^2_1 + b_e \psi_{\nu;1} \psi_{e;2} = 0
\]

\( ^3 \)We can safely neglect the term \( \chi^0_e h_u h_d \), because the VEVs of all flavons are much larger than the electroweak scale.

| Field   | \( \sigma^0_e \) | \( \sigma^0_\nu \) | \( \chi^0_e \) | \( \chi^0_\nu \) | \( \eta^0_1 \) | \( \eta^0_3 \) |
|---------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| \( D_4 \) | 13             | 14             | 11            | 11            | 11            | 11            |
| \( Z_5 \) | 1              | \( \omega \)  | 1             | \( \omega \)  | \( \omega^3 \) | \( \omega^4 \) |

Table 2: Driving fields of the model necessary to align the VEVs of \( \psi_e \) and \( \psi_\nu \) and to fix the flavon VEVs through mass parameters of the superpotential. All these fields have charge +2 under \( U(1)_R \).
it follows that the VEV of $\psi_e$ is fixed through the mass scale $M_1$ to be

$$w_e^2 = i\rho_e \frac{M_1^2}{b_e}.$$  \hfill (25)

The last three $F$-term equations,

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \chi^0_{\nu}} &= b_\nu \psi_{\nu;1} \psi_{\nu;2} + c_\nu \eta_1 \eta_3 = 0, \quad (26a) \\
\frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \eta_3} &= d \left( \psi_{e;1} \psi_{e;2} + \psi_{e;2} \psi_{e;1} \right) + f \eta_1^2 = 0, \quad (26b) \\
\frac{\partial W_{fl}}{\partial \eta_4} &= M_2 \eta_1 + g \eta_3^2 = 0, \quad (26c)
\end{align}

allow us to determine the VEVs of $\psi_\nu$, $\eta_1$ and $\eta_3$ as functions of the two mass parameters $M_1$ and $M_2$. For $M_1$ and $M_2$ being of the order $\epsilon \Lambda$ we find that all flavon VEVs are of that order as well. $\langle \psi_e \rangle \neq 0$ is a necessary consequence of Eq.\,(24) showing that $D_4$ is always spontaneously broken. The other flavon VEVs could in principle vanish. However, if we assume that the VEV of one of the fields $\psi_\nu$, $\eta_1$ and $\eta_3$ does not vanish then the non-vanishing of the other two ones follows. Due to the fact that all terms in $W_{fl}$ are by construction linear in the driving fields the $F$-terms of the flavons vanish in any case for vanishing VEVs of all driving fields. Then also the allowed term $\chi^0_{\epsilon} h_u h_d$ does not give rise to a $\mu$-term.

**4.2 Corrections from Non-Renormalizable Terms**

Including non-renormalizable terms with three flavons leads to corrections of the alignment achieved at LO. We find nine terms to contribute at this level to the flavon superpotential (again all possible terms involving the superfields $h_u$ and $h_d$ are neglected)

$$\Delta W_{fl} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i}{\epsilon} \sigma^0_{\epsilon} \eta_1 \left( \psi_{e;1}^2 + \psi_{e;2}^2 \right) / \Lambda + \frac{x_2}{\epsilon} \sigma^0_{\epsilon} \eta_3 \left( \psi_{e;1} \psi_{e;1} + \psi_{e;2} \psi_{e;2} \right) / \Lambda \quad (27)$$

Note that there is no correction at this level involving the driving field $\sigma^0_{\epsilon}$. We can parametrize the VEVs of $\psi_e$ and $\psi_\nu$ as

$$\langle \psi_e \rangle = \left( \frac{i \rho_e (w_e + \delta w_{e;1})}{w_e + \delta w_{e;2}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \psi_\nu \rangle = \left( \frac{\rho_\nu (w_\nu + \delta w_{\nu;1})}{w_\nu + \delta w_{\nu;2}} \right) \quad (28)$$

and find for the shifts in linear expansion that

$$\frac{\delta w_{e;1}}{w_e}, \frac{\delta w_{e;1}}{w_\nu} \sim \epsilon, \quad (29)$$

$$\delta w_{e;1} \neq \delta w_{e;2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta w_{\nu;1} = \delta w_{\nu;2}. \quad (29)$$

Since the shifts of $\langle \psi_{\nu;i} \rangle$ are the same, the vacuum alignment is preserved up to this level and we can absorb the shifts $\delta w_{\nu;i}$ into a redefinition of $w_\nu$. The equality of $\delta w_{\nu;i}$ is due to the fact that
at the first non-renormalizable level the superpotential $\Delta W_{fl}$ does not contain terms involving $\sigma^0_\nu$. The shifts of the VEVs of the singlets $\eta_1$ and $\eta_3$ are of the same order of magnitude as $\delta w_{\nu;i}$ and $\delta w_{\nu;i}$. However, we do not mention them explicitly, because their effect on lepton masses and mixings can always be absorbed into a redefinition of Yukawa couplings or VEVs $w_1$ and $w_3$.

5 Lepton Masses and Mixings at NLO

In general, NLO corrections arise from two sources: (i) shifts in the flavon VEVs and (ii) operators with two and more flavon insertions, evaluated by plugging in the LO form of the VEVs, which have not been considered in Section 3. As we show in the following, all such additional contributions change the LO results only slightly. However, their discussion is relevant, because such terms generate the electron mass and govern the deviations from the LO results $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$. We discuss all such terms which give rise to contributions up to and including $\varepsilon^3$ (in units of $v_d$ and $v_u^2/\Lambda$, respectively) as well as for the (13) element of the charged lepton mass matrix also corrections of order $\varepsilon^4 v_d$.

Considering the charged lepton sector we find the following additional operators involving two and more flavons which contribute to the (23) and (33) elements of $M_t$ (order one coefficients are omitted and operators are not written in $D_4$ components)

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{D} e^c_3 h_d \psi_\nu \eta_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_3 h_d \psi_3^3$$

where the last term actually gives rise to two independent contributions. In a similar way the (22) and (32) elements get corrected through

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{D} e^c_2 h_d \psi_\nu \eta^2_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_2 h_d \psi_e \eta^2_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_2 h_d \psi_\nu \eta_3 \eta_3$$

with the first term being responsible for two independent contributions. At the same time these entries also receive contributions from the shifts in the VEV of $\psi_e$ which we indicate by

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{D} e^c_3 h_d \delta \psi_e \eta_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{D} e^c_2 h_d \delta \psi_e \eta_3$$

The (21) and (31) elements are generated only through three flavon insertions of the form

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_1 h_d \psi_\nu \psi_\nu + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_1 h_d \psi_\nu \eta_1 \eta_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{D} e^c_1 h_d \psi_e \eta_1 \eta_3$$

Note that the first operator gives rise to three independent terms. Similarly, the (11) element arises from

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_{1} e^c_1 h_d \psi_\nu \eta_1$$

The generation of the (12) and (13) elements is somewhat special, because the lowest order operators which could give rise to non-zero (12) and (13) elements are

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{1} e^c_2 h_d \psi_e \nu^2$$ and $$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} L_{1} e^c_3 h_d \psi_e \nu^2$$.

---

*As mentioned in Section 4.2 the shift in the VEV of the field $\eta_1$ can be absorbed into the Yukawa couplings or the LO VEV itself and thus its contribution is not displayed. The same holds for $\eta_3$. 

---
However, plugging in the LO result for the VEVs of \( \psi_e \) and \( \psi_\nu \), we see that these operators give no contribution. Taking into account the shifts arising at a relative order \( \epsilon \) we find that then the (12) element is generated, whereas the (13) element still vanishes. Operators with three flavons also contribute to the (12) element at this level

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 e^2 h_d \psi_e^2 \eta_1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 e^2 h_d \psi_e \eta_3.
\]

(36)

The (13) element only originates from operators involving four flavons

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 e^2 h_d \psi_e^3 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 e^2 h_d \psi_e \eta_1^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 e^2 h_d \psi_e^2 \eta_2^2.
\]

(37)

Again, the last operator allows for two independent contractions. At this level we expect that the (13) element also receives a contribution from subleading shifts in the VEVs of \( \psi_\nu \) which we however do not calculate, because the specific form of the (13) element is not relevant for the analysis of lepton masses and mixings. Thus, we can parametrize the charged lepton mass matrix including NLO corrections as

\[
M_l = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_1^e \epsilon^2 & \beta_2^e \epsilon^2 & \beta_3^e \epsilon^2 \\
\beta_2^e \epsilon^2 & i \rho_c \alpha_3^e \epsilon + \beta_4^e \epsilon & i \rho_c \alpha_4^e + \beta_5^e \epsilon \\
\beta_3^e \epsilon^2 & \alpha_3^e \epsilon & -\alpha_1^e \epsilon
\end{pmatrix} \epsilon v_d
\]

(38)

taking into account the sizes of flavon VEVs and of their shifts as given in Eq.(15) and Eq.(29). Through re-phasing of right-handed fields we can make \( \alpha_1^e, \alpha_3^e \) and \( \beta_4^e \) real and positive. The other parameters, apart from \( \epsilon \), are in general complex numbers with absolute values of order one. Note further that the parameters \( \alpha_1^e \) are up to corrections of order \( \epsilon \) determined by the LO operators given in Eq.(12).

We find as result for the charged lepton masses

\[
m_e = (|\beta_1^e| \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^4)) \, v_d, \quad m_\mu = (\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3)) \, v_d \quad \text{and} \quad m_\tau = (\sqrt{2} \alpha_4^e + O(\epsilon^2)) \, v_d
\]

(39)

and thus confirm earlier statements about the size and origin of the electron mass in our model.

The unitary transformation applied to the left-handed charged leptons in order to diagonalize \( M_l \) is, up to the first correction in \( \epsilon \) in each matrix element, of the form

\[
U_l \approx \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \frac{|\beta_1^e| \epsilon^2}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e} \\
-i \rho_c \frac{\beta_4^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e} \\
\frac{\beta_3^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e}
\end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_2^e \epsilon^2 \\
\frac{\beta_3^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e} \\
\frac{\beta_4^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_3^e}
\end{pmatrix}
\right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\beta_3^e \epsilon^3}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_4^e} \\
\frac{\beta_3^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_4^e} \\
\frac{\beta_4^e \epsilon}{\sqrt{2} \alpha_4^e}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(40)

We analyze the corrections to the neutrino mass matrix in a similar way and find that the following operators contribute to the (11) element

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^3} L_1 L_1 h_u^2 \eta_3^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_1 L_1 h_u^2 \psi_e^2 \eta_1
\]

(41)

and that corrections to the (23) element come from

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^4} L_D L_D h_u^2 \psi_e \eta_1^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} (L_D L_D)_1 h_u^2 (\psi_e \eta_3)_1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} (L_D L_D)_1 h_u^2 (\psi_e \psi_\nu \eta_1)_1.
\]

(42)
Here we denote by \((\cdots)_{1}\) the contraction to a trivial singlet \(1_{1}\) of \(D_{4}\). All these contributions can be absorbed into the LO result. The relation between the (12) and (13) element is disturbed at a relative order \(\epsilon^{2}\) through the terms

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} L_{1} L_{D} h_{\mu e}^{2} \psi_{\nu}^{2} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} L_{1} L_{D} h_{\nu e}^{2} \psi_{v}^{2}.
\]  

Note that the first operator generates three independent contributions. Since the shift of the VEVs of \(\psi_{\nu}\) is at lowest order aligned with the LO result, see Eq.(29), we do not encounter any correction to the LO relation between the (12) and (13) elements at the relative level of \(\epsilon\). Among the corrections of order \(\epsilon^{3}\) (in units of \(v_{u}^{2}/\Lambda\)) we also expect corrections due to possible deviations from \(\langle \psi_{\nu};1 \rangle = \langle \psi_{\nu};2 \rangle\) at the level of \(\epsilon^{3}\Lambda\). The (22) and (33) elements of \(M_{\nu}\) receive two types of corrections. The first one still preserves the \(\mu - \tau\) symmetric structure of the LO result and generates entries of order \(\epsilon^{3}v_{u}^{2}/\Lambda\)

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} (L_{D} L_{D})_{3} h_{\nu u}^{2}(\psi_{e}^{2} \psi_{\nu})_{3} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} (L_{D} L_{D})_{3} h_{\nu u}^{2}(\psi_{u} \psi_{v})_{3}.
\]  

The second type breaks \(\mu - \tau\) symmetry and stems from very similar operators

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} (L_{D} L_{D})_{4} h_{\nu u}^{2}(\psi_{e}^{2} \psi_{\nu})_{4} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}} (L_{D} L_{D})_{4} h_{\nu u}^{2}(\psi_{u} \psi_{v})_{4}.
\]  

As one can see the only difference is the contraction to another \(D_{4}\) singlet; in the first case we contract to a \(1_{3}\), indicated through \((\cdots)_{3}\), whereas in the second case the contraction is to \(1_{4}\), denoted by \((\cdots)_{4}\). Also these \(\mu - \tau\) symmetry breaking contributions arise at the level \(\epsilon^{3}v_{u}^{2}/\Lambda\). Thus, at NLO \(M_{\nu}\) takes the form

\[
M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{1}^{\nu} & \alpha_{2}^{\nu} & \alpha_{3}^{\nu} \\
\alpha_{1}^{\nu} + \beta_{1}^{\nu} \epsilon^{2} & \beta_{2}^{\nu} \epsilon^{2} & \rho_{\nu} \alpha_{3}^{\nu} \\
\rho_{\nu} \alpha_{3}^{\nu} & \alpha_{2}^{\nu} & \beta_{3}^{\nu} \epsilon^{2}
\end{pmatrix} \epsilon \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}.
\]  

Note that the parameters \(\alpha_{1,2,3}^{\nu}\) and \(\beta_{1,2,3}^{\nu}\) are complex numbers with absolute values of order one. Similar to the charged lepton sector, the parameters \(\alpha_{1,2,3}^{\nu}\) coincide at LO in the expansion in \(\epsilon\) with those given in Eq.(12) and Eq.(13).

Recomputing the mass spectrum of the neutrinos we find that all masses are corrected by terms of relative order \(\epsilon^{2}\). Relevant for calculating the deviations from maximal atmospheric mixing and \(\theta_{13} = 0\) is the form of the eigenvector associated to the third neutrino mass \(m_{3}\). We find that its LO form, see Eq.(19), receives corrections only at order \(\epsilon^{2}\). Thus, the results for the mixing angles \(\theta_{13}\) and \(\theta_{23}\) at NLO are dominated by corrections coming from the charged lepton sector, see Eq.(19), and we get

\[
|U_{e3}| = \sin \theta_{13} \approx \frac{|\beta_{e}^{\nu}|}{2\alpha_{2}^{\nu}} \epsilon, \tag{47}
\]

\[
\sin^{2} \theta_{23} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \rho_{\nu} \frac{\text{Re}(\beta_{e}^{\nu})}{\alpha_{1}^{\nu}} \epsilon \right). \tag{48}
\]

The solar mixing angle \(\theta_{12}\) whose exact value is not predicted in our model, but only given in terms of the parameters of the neutrino mass matrix at LO, undergoes also corrections of order \(\epsilon\). However, these are not of particular interest.
6 Conclusions

We have presented a SUSY $D_4$ model which leads to maximal atmospheric mixing and vanishing $\theta_{13}$, while keeping the solar mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ undetermined, but expected to be in general large. These predictions originate from the non-trivial breaking of $D_4$ to distinct $Z_2$ subgroups generated by $BA^k$, $k = 0,1,2,3$, in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. As we have shown, it does not matter to which of these $Z_2$ subgroups $D_4$ is actually broken. It is enough to achieve that the index $k_l$ in the charged lepton sector is odd, whereas $k_\nu$, the index in the neutrino sector, is even. At the same time the hierarchy among charged leptons can be naturally produced. Apart from $D_4$, responsible for the $\mu - \tau$ symmetric mixing pattern, we employ a cyclic symmetry $Z_5$ in order to separate the flavons coupling to neutrino and charged lepton sectors at LO as well as to achieve appropriately the mass hierarchy $m_e \ll m_\mu \ll m_\tau$. Due to a restricted choice of flavon fields we find that neutrinos have inverted mass hierarchy with the lightest neutrino mass $m_3 \gtrsim 0.015$ eV. These properties together with non-trivial relations among $m_3$, the observables $|m_{ee}|$ and $\tan \theta_{12}$ as well as the Majorana phases are shared with a similar SUSY $D_4$ model, found in [4]. In the latter, however, the hierarchy among charged leptons could not be reproduced without fine-tuning. This is due to the fact that right-handed charged leptons also transform as $1 + 2$ under $D_4$. This problem is solved in the present model by assigning them to three (distinct) one-dimensional representations of $D_4$. The result of $\mu - \tau$ symmetric lepton mixings remains untouched.

A particular feature of our model is that the flavon superpotential not only leads to the vacuum alignment which is needed in order to arrive at the predictions $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$, but also fixes all flavon VEVs through two mass parameters, $M_1$ and $M_2$. In this way, it is natural to have the same order of magnitude for all flavon VEVs and flat directions in the flavon (super)potential, associated to free parameters among the VEVs, are avoided. In contrast, in (almost) all models of this type these are generically present. The existence of terms with couplings of positive mass dimension also ensures the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry $D_4$ and so destabilizes the trivial vacuum in which all flavon VEVs vanish.

We have carefully studied NLO corrections arising from higher-dimensional operators involving several flavons in the Yukawa sector as well as in the flavon superpotential. The latter induce in general shifts in the flavon VEVs. In the particular case we discussed here these operators do not disturb at NLO level the LO vacuum structure of the flavons coupling dominantly to the neutrino sector. We eventually find that all corrections in the neutrino sector are of relative order $\epsilon^2$. In contrast to this, the results in the charged lepton sector get corrected at a relative order $\epsilon$. For this reason, the deviations from $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$ of order $\epsilon$ stem from the charged lepton sector only. This is a further feature which distinguishes the present model from the one of [4], in which deviations from $\mu - \tau$ symmetric lepton mixing are governed by corrections from the neutrino sector and which at NLO lead to $\theta_{23} - \pi/4$ being much smaller than $\theta_{13}$. In the present model NLO corrections are furthermore relevant to generate the electron mass of order $\epsilon^3 v_d$. As frequently happens in such models, the mass of the tau lepton is generated through a non-renormalizable operator so that small and moderate values of $\tan \beta$ are preferred.

Several models leading to $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$ have been considered before in the literature. The model closest related to the one illustrated is discussed in [4]. However, as mentioned, this model needs some fine-tuning to get the correct charged lepton mass hierarchy. The model [6], on which [4] is actually based, is in contrast non-SUSY and thus incorporates no solution to the hierarchy problem. Nevertheless, in this model it has been shown [6, 20] that $m_\mu \ll m_\tau$.
can arise from a softly broken (additional) symmetry, whereas $m_e$ being small can be attributed to a small VEV of one of the Higgs doublets present in the model. A model constructed in the same spirit as [6] can be found in [7] having as flavor group $S_3 \times Z_2$ (recall that $S_3$ is isomorphic to $D_3$). Its results are very similar to those of the model in [6], apart from fewer constraints on the neutrino mass spectrum. A neat example of a minimalistic SUSY model leading to $\mu - \tau$ symmetric mixing is given in [12]. The flavor group is $S_3 \times Z_3$. The structure of the model is very similar to ours and in the neutrino sector also a $Z_2$ subgroup of the same type as here is preserved by appropriate flavon VEVs. Yet, in the charged lepton sector an alignment is employed which completely breaks $S_3$, but efficiently generates the charged fermion mass hierarchy. The alignment is studied in detail in [12], but, as usual, two parameters among the flavon VEVs remain undetermined, thus giving rise to flat directions in the flavon (super)potential.

In summary, we have constructed a SUSY $D_4$ model, which predicts $\mu - \tau$ symmetric lepton mixing through breaking $D_4$ to distinct $Z_2$ subgroups in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, respectively, and at the same time accommodates naturally the mass hierarchy $m_e \ll m_\mu \ll m_\tau$. Furthermore, all flavon VEVs are fixed through mass parameters of the superpotential. Thus, the problem of free parameters among the flavon VEVs is avoided, which is often met in models of such type. On the basis of this model it might be very interesting to consider an extension to the quark sector. As has been shown in [5], also the Cabibbo angle might arise from a non-trivial breaking of a dihedral group to distinct $Z_2$ subgroups in up and down quark sectors and so its size might have a similar origin as the prediction of $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$ in the lepton sector.
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