Intrinsically Distinct Role of Neutrophil Extracellular Trap Formation in Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody–Associated Vasculitis Compared to Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
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Objective. Different studies have demonstrated that neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) may be involved in the pathophysiology of both antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–associated vasculitis (AAV) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). AAV and SLE are clinically and pathologically divergent autoimmune diseases with different autoantibodies. However, the respective autoantigens recognized in AAV and SLE have been shown to be an intricate part of NETs. This study aimed to examine whether the mechanisms of NET formation and the composition of NETs are distinct between AAV and SLE.

Methods. To investigate this hypothesis, healthy neutrophils were stimulated with serum from patients with AAV (n = 80) and patients with SLE (n = 59), and the mechanisms of NET formation and NET composition were compared.

Results. Both patients with AAV and patients with SLE had excessive NET formation, which correlated with the extent of disease activity (in AAV r = 0.5, P < 0.0001; in SLE r = 0.35, P < 0.01). Lytic NET formation over several hours was observed in patients with AAV, as compared to rapid (within minutes), non-lytic NET formation coinciding with clustering of neutrophils in patients with SLE. AAV-induced NET formation was triggered independent of IgG ANCAs, whereas SLE immune complexes (ICx) induced NET formation through Fcγ receptor signaling. AAV-induced NET formation was dependent on reactive oxygen species and peptidyl arginine deaminases, and AAV-induced NETs were enriched for citrullinated histones (mean ± SEM 23 ± 2%). In contrast, SLE-induced NETs had immunogenic properties, including binding with high mobility group box chromosomal protein 1 (mean ± SEM 30 ± 3%) and enrichment for oxidized mitochondrial DNA, and were involved in ICx formation.

Conclusion. The morphologic features, kinetics, induction pathways, and composition of excessive NET formation are all intrinsically distinct in AAV compared to SLE. Recognizing the diversity of NET formation between AAV and SLE provides a better understanding of the pathophysiologic role of NETs in these different autoimmune diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–associated vasculitis (AAV), and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) are both life-threatening systemic autoimmune diseases. These patients are distinguished by their clinical phenotypes, histopathology, and autoantibody profiles. Patients with AAV display ANCAs against myeloperoxidase (MPO) or proteinase 3 (PR3), whereas patients with SLE develop diverse autoantibodies against nuclear autoantigens (ANAs) (1,2). Typically, renal involvement in AAV manifests as a pauci-immune, crescentic glomerulonephritis (GN), while in SLE, a “full house” proliferative GN is seen.

A growing body of evidence indicates that neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) may have an important role in the pathogenesis of both AAV and SLE (3–13). NETs are immunogenic (5) and toxic (13,14) extracellular DNA structures released by neutrophils that contain a pool of autoantigens relevant for both AAV and SLE (15,16). NET-derived DNA complexed with danger-associated molecular patterns, such as LL-37 (4,5) or high mobility group box chromosomal protein 1 (HMGB-1) (4), converts the NET to a more immunogenic state.
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DNA to potent immunogenic structures (4). Indeed, NETs were demonstrated to activate plasmacytoid dendritic cells (4) and autoreactive B cells in vitro (17), which resulted in the production of interferon-α (IFNα) and autoantibodies, respectively. Furthermore, NETs also have direct cytotoxic effects on (glomerular) endothelial cells (18), mediated by histones (13, 18) and MPO (14), which, in a murine model, was found to lead to severe, crescentic GN (19). In addition, murine plasmacytoid dendritic cells loaded with NET-derived DNA led to the production of both ANAs and ANCAs (11). Taken together, these findings provide ample evidence to indicate that NETs have the capability of inducing autoimmunity related to both AAV and SLE.

In clinical studies, we and others have demonstrated that excessive NET formation or impaired NET degradation is present both in patients with active AAV (3, 7, 20, 21) and in patients with severe SLE (4, 5, 8, 12, 17, 22, 23), and this is correlated with the severity of disease activity. Thus, preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated an important role for NETs in the pathogenesis of both AAV and SLE. However, as both diseases are divergent clinical and histologic entities, we hypothesized that excessive NET formation should have a different pathophysiologic role in each disease. The present study addressed this hypothesis by characterizing the quantitative, qualitative, and immunologic properties of NET formation in a direct comparison of AAV and SLE patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population. Serum samples were collected from 80 patients with ANCA-positive AAV who met the classification criteria for vasculitis according to the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference definitions (24), and 59 patients with ANA-positive SLE who met the American College of Rheumatology 1997 updated classification criteria for SLE (25). The patients were followed up at the Lupus-, Vasculitis-, and Complement-mediated Systemic Autoimmune Diseases outpatient clinic (LuVaCs) at Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). All patients consented to participate in the LUMC biobank. Clinical data were extracted from the patients’ electronic records at the time of the collection of the serum sample. The control group consisted of 29 healthy subjects who consented to participate in the LUMC healthy donor biobank. Both biobanking studies were approved by the local ethics committee at LUMC. The characteristics of the patients and healthy controls are summarized in Supplementary Table 1, and an extended methods section is provided in Supplementary Patients and Methods (available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract).

Preparation of neutrophils. Ficoll-amidotrizoat (LUMC) was used to isolate neutrophils from 20 ml of whole blood obtained from a healthy donor and collected in EDTA-coated tubes. Cell isolation was carried out using density gradient centrifugation followed by erythrocyte lysis with sterilized water at 4°C. The neutrophils were then labeled with 2 μM PKH (Sigma-Aldrich) for all NET quantification experiments or 2.5 μM MitoSox Red (ThermoFisher Scientific) for immunofluorescence microscopy experiments. Thereafter, the neutrophils were stimulated for 4 hours (or as otherwise indicated) with 10% serum, IgG-depleted serum, 250 μg/ml or 25 μg/ml isolated IgG from healthy controls or patients with AAV or SLE, or IgG derived from intravenous immunoglobulin (Sanquin) in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium–2% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Life Technologies), to induce NET formation. Details on the methods used for IgG depletion and separation are provided in Supplementary Patients and Methods (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract). When immobilized IgG was used, 10 μg/ml IgG was coated overnight at 4°C in a 96-well Falcon plate, after which the neutrophils were incubated in the wells.

Quantification of ex vivo NET formation. NET formation was quantified using an approach previously described by our group (26, 27). Briefly, PKH-labeled neutrophils were plated in a 96-well culture plate (Falcon) at 37,500 cells per well in phenol red–free RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated FCS. After 3.75 hours of stimulation, 1 μM Sytox green, an impermeable DNA dye (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), was added for 15 minutes, and the neutrophils were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Added Pharma).

NETs were then visualized and quantified by confocal laser scanning microscopy using an automated BD Biosciences Pathway 855 apparatus, as described previously by our group, or an Image Xpress Micro confocal microscopy device (Molecular Devices). Further details on the NET quantification, live-cell imaging, and inhibition experiments are described in Supplementary Patients and Methods (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract). Per well, the total amount of NET formation was quantified as the cumulative area of Sytox green staining corrected for the number of neutrophils (as reflected by the mean area of PKH26-labeled cells). In addition, the mean NET size per image and mean size of the PKH-labeled cell area, as a reflection of clustering of neutrophils, were each analyzed and quantified. For comparison of values between different experiments, the fold increase in NET area as compared to the mean NET area per imaged neutrophil from 3 normal human serum control samples was calculated for each sample in each experiment.

Immunofluorescence staining of NETs. Healthy neutrophils were seeded onto chambered coverslips (ibiTreat; ibidi), and NET formation was induced as described previously. For each staining, at least 3 different SLE and AAV serum samples were used. Slides were stained with antibodies to detect NET-related proteins and immunoglobulins. Details are described in Supplementary Patients and Methods (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract).
The area of colocalization of NET-related proteins and DNA was quantified with ImageJ software, through a colocalization macro in which the localization area of the NET-related protein (stained with Alexa Fluor 488) and DNA (stained with Hoechst dye) was measured. The percentage colocalization per NET marker was calculated as the localization area divided by the total area of DNA.

**Statistical analysis.** Data on NET formation are expressed as the median (interquartile range [IQR]) area of NET formation per imaged neutrophil or as the fold induction relative to the mean value from 3 normal human serum control samples per experiment. NET formation ratios are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Colocalization data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Statistically significant differences between 2 groups were determined with the Mann-Whitney U test, and Wilcoxon’s matched pairs test was used for paired serum samples. Pearson’s r was used for statistical correlation tests. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad software.
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RESULTS

Lytic NET formation in AAV versus non-lytic NET formation in SLE. We have previously demonstrated that serum from both AAV patients and SLE patients can induce excessive NET formation in healthy neutrophils (20,22,26). We therefore performed a side-by-side quantitative comparison of 2 large cohorts of patients with ANCA-positive AAV (n = 80) and patients with ANA-positive SLE (n = 59). We observed that both AAV and SLE patient sera induced excessive NET formation as compared to that in the serum from healthy controls (each \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 1A). Furthermore, excessive NET formation was significantly higher in patients with AAV compared to patients with SLE (median NET area per neutrophil 1.29 [IQR 0.58–3.38] versus 0.77 [IQR 0.33–1.50]; \( P = 0.02 \)).

The quantitative difference between AAV-induced NET formation and SLE-induced NET formation was associated with a differing morphologic appearance. In AAV, large areas of DNA with interconnected DNA stretches were observed, whereas in SLE, small clusters of “NET-ting” neutrophils were typically seen around extracellular DNA (Figure 1B).

To further investigate the observed morphologic differences between AAV-induced and SLE-induced NETs, we performed live-cell imaging to assess the morphologic features over time (Figure 1C and Supplementary Movies 1–3, available on the
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Figure 2. NET formation is triggered by SLE immune complexes (ICx) in an Fcγ receptor (FcyR)–dependent manner, but not by antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA IgG). A, IgG levels were compared before and after depletion of IgG from the serum of patients with ANCA-positive AAV (n = 14) and patients with antinuclear antibody–positive SLE (n = 10). IgG was depleted by protein G–agarose beads. B, Ex vivo NET formation in IgG-depleted patient serum was measured. Results are the mean ± SEM ratio compared to NET formation in the whole serum (broken horizontal line). C, Ex vivo NET formation in the presence of isolated soluble IgG from patient serum was measured. Results are the mean ± SEM fold increase relative to NET formation in the presence of soluble IgG from HC serum (n = 6) (broken horizontal line). D, Ex vivo NET formation in the presence of immobilized IgG from patient serum or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) was measured. Results are the mean ± SEM fold increase compared to NET formation in the presence of immobilized IgG from HC serum (n = 6) (broken horizontal line). E, Ex vivo NET formation was measured after FcγR signaling blockade by the Syk inhibitor R406. Results are the mean ± SEM ratio compared to untreated neutrophils stimulated with the same serum sample (broken horizontal line); representative data from 3 experiments are shown. * = \( P < 0.05 \); ** = \( P < 0.01 \) by Mann-Whitney U test. med = medium (see Figure 1 for other definitions).
NET FORMATION IN ANCA-ASSOCIATED VASCULITIS VERSUS SLE

Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract). We observed that AAV-induced NETs were characterized by a lytic expulsion of extracellular DNA strands, which occurred from 1–2 hours onward (see Movie 1). In contrast, SLE-induced NET formation was characterized by clustering of neutrophils within minutes, and the neutrophils released NETs without visible neutrophil lysis and with maintenance of their motility (see Movie 2).

To quantify the different features of AAV-induced NET formation compared to SLE-induced NET formation, NETs were quantified at various time points. The results confirmed the delayed kinetics of AAV-induced NET formation as compared to the fast kinetics of SLE-induced NET formation (see Supplementary Figure 1, available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract).

In addition, the morphologic appearance of AAV-induced NET formation was compared to that of SLE-induced NET formation in a subset of patient’s sera. The size of individual AAV-induced NETs (median 1.1 × 10^6 pixels [IQR 0.8 × 10^6–1.2 × 10^6]) was significantly larger than that of individual SLE-induced NETs (median 0.7 × 10^6 pixels [IQR 0.5 × 10^6–0.9 × 10^6]; P < 0.0001) (see Supplementary Figure 2A, available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract). Furthermore, the size of the neutrophil (PKH) area was significantly larger for SLE-induced NETs (see Supplementary Figure 2B [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract]), reflecting the typical phenomenon of neutrophil clustering in SLE.

In patients with AAV, NET formation correlated moderately with the extent of disease activity measured by the Birmingham
Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) (r = 0.5, P < 0.0001) (Figure 1D). Of interest, NET formation was significantly higher in SLE patients with high disease activity (SLEDAI score >4) compared to SLE patients with low disease activity (SLEDAI score ≤4) (median NET area per neutrophil 1.2 [IQR 0.5–1.9] versus 0.5 [IQR 0.3–1.0]; P = 0.02) (Figure 1G).

Triggering of NET formation by SLE immune complexes (ICx) in an Fcγ receptor (FcγR)-dependent manner, but lack of effect of IgG ANCAs in AAV serum. Recently, our group demonstrated that AAV-induced NET formation occurred in a manner independent of IgG ANCAs (20), whereas SLE-specific autoantibodies were reported to induce NET formation (4). We therefore further corroborated the involvement of autoantibodies in a direct comparison of AAV-induced and SLE-induced NET formation. Detailed information on the selected patient serum samples are included in Supplementary Patients and Methods (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.41047/abstract). Complete depletion of IgG did not lead to a reduction in NET formation in cultures with AAV patient serum (mean ± SEM ratio 1.29 ± 0.33; P = 0.74). In contrast, upon IgG depletion, a significant reduction in SLE-induced NET formation was observed (mean ± SEM ratio 0.53 ± 0.19; P = 0.049) (Figures 2A and B).

Surprisingly, NET formation could not be restored with the addition of soluble IgG isolated from either AAV or SLE patient serum (Figure 2C). However, immobilized IgG, mimicking ICx, significantly restored NET formation in cultures with SLE patient serum (mean ± SEM fold induction 8.77 ± 1.99; P < 0.001 versus healthy controls), but not in cultures with IgG derived from AAV patient serum (mean ± SEM fold induction 2.73 ± 1.03; P = 0.1 versus healthy controls) (Figure 2D).

To further study the signaling cascade of ICx-induced NET formation, the spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) inhibitor R406, an inhibitor of IgG-mediated activation of FcγR signaling (28), was employed. After inhibition of Syk, only NET formation induced by SLE patient serum was diminished (mean ± SEM ratio 0.53 ± 0.17; P = 0.04), whereas NET formation induced by AAV patient serum was unaffected by the Syk inhibitor (mean ± SEM ratio 1.30 ± 0.18; P = 0.09) (Figure 2E). Taken together, these data demonstrate that SLE ICx induce excessive NET formation through, at least partially, FcγR signaling, whereas AAV-induced NET formation occurs independent of IgG ANCAs.

Enrichment of citrullinated histone 3 (CitH3) on AAV-induced NETs and exclusive presence of HMGB-1 on SLE-induced NETs. Because the morphologic features, kinetics, and triggers of NET formation were different between AAV and SLE, we next compared the composition of NETs, by investigating the presence of NET-associated proteins. AAV-induced NETs were significantly enriched for CitH3 (29) (P < 0.0001) (Figures 3A and F). In contrast, SLE-induced NETs were significantly enriched for neutrophil elastase (P < 0.0001) (Figures 3B and F).
and exclusively contained HMGB-1 (P < 0.0001) (Figures 3C and F). On unstimulated neutrophils, CitH3, neutrophil elastase, and HMGB-1 were absent (Figures 3A–C), whereas MPO and PR3 were present (Figures 3D and E).

MPO was found both on AAV-induced NETs and on SLE-induced NETs, whereas PR3 was localized on the cell membrane of SLE NET-ting neutrophils (Figures 3D, E, and G). Taken together, these data demonstrate that NET-related proteins are different on AAV-induced NETs compared to SLE-induced NETs.

Binding of immunoglobulins to SLE-induced NETs, implicating NETs as a substrate for ICx. Because many of the above-mentioned NET-related proteins are potential sources of autoantigens for disease-relevant autoantibodies, we next investigated whether NETs are substrates for ICx. We therefore investigated the presence of AAV and SLE autoantibodies on AAV- and SLE-induced NETs (Figures 4A and B). On AAV-induced NETs, colocalization of IgG was a mean ± SEM 2.4 ± 0.63%, whereas colocalization of IgM was 9.9 ± 2.5% and IgA was 0 ± 0% (Figures 4A and C). In comparison, on SLE-induced NETs, the colocalization of IgG (mean ± SEM 33.3 ± 3.6%; P < 0.0001), IgM (26 ± 3.3%; P < 0.0001), and IgA (6.7 ± 1.4%; P < 0.0001) was significantly higher (Figures 4B and C). These data provide evidence of the binding of SLE-related autoantibodies to NETs, suggesting that SLE-induced NETs are capable of formation of ICx. In contrast, in AAV-induced NETs, there was a paucity of immunoglobulin binding to NETs.

Enrichment of oxidized mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in SLE-induced NETs. Oxidized mtDNA has previously been shown to enhance IFN responses in SLE through the cyclic GMP-AMP synthase/stimulator of IFN genes (cGAS/STING) pathway, supporting a critical role of mtDNA in the pathogenesis of autoimmunity in SLE (10). We therefore assessed the presence of mtDNA in AAV- and SLE-induced NETs by quantifying the colocalization of

Figure 5. Oxidized mitochondrial DNA is enriched in SLE-induced NETs compared to AAV-induced NETs. A, Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of unstimulated neutrophils (Medium), AAV-induced NETs, and SLE-induced NETs was carried out with MitoSOX Red labeling of the neutrophils after staining for TOMM20 (green) and DNA (Hoechst blue). Representative images are shown. Original magnification × 20; bar = 20 μm. B and C, The percentage colocalization of TOMM20 (B) and MitoSOX Red (C) was determined on NETs. Results are the mean ± SEM percentage of total DNA area per image. *** = P < 0.001 by Mann-Whitney U test. < = not detectable (see Figure 1 for other definitions).
TOMM20 (a marker of the mitochondrial outer membrane protein) and MitoSOX Red (a red fluorescent indicator of specific binding to mitochondria after oxidation) as markers of (oxidized) mtDNA (Figure 5A). TOMM20 colocalization was significantly lower on AAV-induced NETs (mean ± SEM 1.7 ± 1.5%) compared to SLE-induced NETs (7.0 ± 1.3%; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 5B). Similarly, MitoSOX colocalization was significantly lower on AAV-induced NETs compared to SLE-induced NETs (mean ± SEM 0.8 ± 0.2% versus 4.2 ± 0.8%; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 5C). Thus, TOMM20 and MitoSOX were both significantly enriched on SLE-induced NETs but were virtually absent on AAV-induced NETs, thereby confirming that SLE-induced NETs are enriched for oxidized mtDNA.

**Differing molecular pathways of NET formation in AAV and SLE.** To get further insight into the mechanisms of AAV- and SLE-induced NET formation, different canonical pathways of NET formation were studied. AAV-induced NET formation was significantly decreased by chloramidine, an inhibitor of peptidyl arginine deaminase (PAD) enzymes (mean ± SEM ratio 0.63 ± 0.09; \( P = 0.03 \)), whereas SLE-induced NET formation remained unaffected by this PAD inhibitor (mean ± SEM ratio 1.4 ± 0.39; \( P = 0.58 \)) (Figure 6A). As a consequence of PAD inhibition, the presence of CitH3 became undetectable on both AAV- and SLE-induced NETs (Figure 6B).

AAV-induced NET formation was also significantly decreased after inhibition of NADPH oxidase by diphenyleneiodonium (DPI) (mean ± SEM ratio 0.29 ± 0.08; \( P = 0.002 \)), whereas SLE-induced NET formation remained unaffected by this DPI inhibitor (mean ± SEM ratio 0.86 ± 0.19; \( P = 0.5 \)) (Figure 6C). Therefore, taken together, these findings indicate that excessive NET formation in AAV, but not in SLE, is dependent on PAD enzymes and NADPH oxidase.

**DISCUSSION**

In separate studies, NETs have been reported to play a role in the pathophysiology of both AAV and SLE, although each is a clinically distinct systemic autoimmune disease (3,4). The present study is the first to directly compare the characteristics of ex vivo NET formation induced by serum from patients with AAV to that induced by serum from patients with SLE. We were able to confirm that both patients with AAV and patients with SLE displayed excessive NET formation, and that this was correlated with the extent of disease activity. Most importantly, we demonstrated that AAV and SLE induce 2 distinct forms of NET formation, based on morphology, kinetics, triggers, and pathways. In AAV, an IgG-independent lytic expulsion of NETs containing citrullinated histones, and involving PAD enzymes and NADPH oxidase, was observed. In contrast, in SLE, a non-lytic, ICx-induced NET formation was observed, with concomitant clustering of neutrophils independent of PAD enzymes and NADPH oxidase. In addition, SLE-induced NETs had immunogenic properties, including
NET formation in ANCA-associated vasculitis versus SLE

NET formation between AAV and SLE, reflecting lytic versus non-lytic NET formation, respectively, and the distinct characteristics of NETs in each disease are indicative of the versatile role of NETs in the pathophysiology of AAV and SLE.

Mainly 2 processes of NET formation have recently been described, lytic and non-lytic NET formation, which is also referred to as “suicidal” and “vital” NET formation, respectively, and primarily depends on the type of stimulus used (6,30). Typically, lytic NET formation depends on NADPH oxidase, takes a few hours, and involves recruitment of pore-forming units to disrupt the plasma membrane (31). It can be induced by phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (a robust chemical compound) (32), specific microorganisms (33), and crystals (34). In contrast, non-lytic NET formation is typically induced within minutes, and can be triggered by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (33), specific bacterial products (35), Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4)–activated platelets (33), or complement proteins together with TLR-2 ligands (36) and SLE-specific ICx. Non-lytic NET formation can be independent of NADPH oxidase (30,33,35,37), but some studies have shown that NADPH oxidase can be involved (10,36). Importantly, the plasma membrane is not disrupted and NETs are released via vesicular transport while remaining viable, and typically contain oxidized mtDNA (10,35,36).

These pivotal features of lytic and non-lytic NET formation corresponded to the properties of NET formation respectively observed on AAV- and SLE-induced NETs (6). AAV-induced NET formation is accompanied by neutrophil lysis after more than 1 hour, and depends on NADPH oxidase, indicative of a lytic form of NET formation (30). Even though we demonstrated that AAV-induced NET formation was independent of IgG ANCA, the exact factors in AAV serum that trigger NET formation remain unknown. In a previous study, our group showed that neither IgA depletion nor C5 blockade affected AAV-induced NET formation, and there was no correlation with the serum levels of tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-8, or C-reactive protein (20). Interestingly, the necroptosis pathway was recently demonstrated to be involved in ANCA-mediated NET formation (13). Necroptosis can be induced by various triggers, including TNF, LPS, TLRs 1/2, 3, 4, and 7/8, and different chemical, toxic, and viral factors (38). In addition, Heeringa et al suggested that other complement factors, such as C3a, could contribute to NET formation in AAV (39), which points toward the notion that there may be multifactorial triggers for lytic NET formation in the serum of AAV patients.

In comparison, SLE-induced NETs display features of non-lytic NET formation, referring to the rapid extrusion of NETs enriched with oxidized mtDNA within minutes, independent of NADPH oxidase. Of note, the involvement of NADPH oxidase in non-lytic NET formation is still under debate (10,36). A cumulating number of studies have suggested that the trigger to induce NET formation in SLE deserves important considerations. Highly purified ICx generated from isolated anti-RNP autoantibodies mixed with its substrate, snRNP (small nuclear RNP), can induce NETs in a manner that is dependent on NADPH oxidase (10). The characteristics of these “pure” RNP ICx, which are distinct from the heterogenous autoantibodies and ICx derived from SLE patient serum investigated in the present study, likely explain the discrepancy with regard to whether or not NADPH oxidase is involved. Indeed, our finding of NADPH oxidase–independent formation of NETs in SLE was recently confirmed by others in studies that utilized a novel method for discriminating between NADPH oxidase–dependent and –independent NET formation (37).

Importantly, the viability of the neutrophils stimulated with SLE patient serum in our study could be confirmed by our observations of their persistent motility, leading to neutrophil clustering, and the lack of observable plasma membrane lysis (35,40). Taken together, the results of the present study directly comparing NET formation between AAV and SLE provide further evidence that AAV- and SLE-induced NETs have important and distinct immunologic characteristics.

Previous studies have shown that certain features of NETs play a pathogenic role in AAV and SLE. Studies in murine models revealed that NET-derived histones can cause crescentic GN. Crescentic GN is typically seen in AAV (3,19), emphasizing the relevance of the currently reported observation that citrullinated histones are predominantly found on AAV-induced NETs, implicating their contribution to the renal pathophysiology of AAV (13,41). Another relevant feature was the detection of HMGB-1 on SLE-induced NETs. HMGB-1 facilitates the endosomal uptake of self DNA via the receptor for advanced glycation end products/TLR-9 pathway (42), which is an important premise for the formation of anti-DNA autoantibodies, as demonstrated in nonautoimmune mice, in which HMGB-1–nucleosome complexes induced anti–double-stranded DNA responses in a TLR-2–dependent manner (43). Therefore, the presence of HMGB-1 on SLE-induced NETs indicated the ability of SLE-specific NET–derived extracellular DNA to be taken up, intracellularly processed, and presented as a relevant autoantigen in SLE (11). Moreover, previous studies have demonstrated that NET-bound SLE autoantibodies and C1q resulted in activation of the classical and lectin complement pathways (12), while AAV-induced NETs activated the alternative pathway of the complement system (13,41).

Furthermore, SLE-induced NETs were also enriched for oxidized mtDNA, which augment their potential to induce a proinflammatory type I IFN response via the cGAS/STING pathway (10). Importantly, SLE NETs are enriched for mtDNA, but do not solely consist of mtDNA. This was supported by the finding that “NET-ting” neutrophils containing LL37–DNA complexes, implying that they were chromosomal NETs, could activate autoreactive B cells in lupus (17). SLE-induced NETs were demonstrated to contribute to ICx formation and, as such, can be implicated in the renal pathology of SLE. Indeed, the typical histopathologic finding in lupus nephritis is that of a “full house” GN detected by
immunofluorescence, indicating that deposition of ICx and complement activation have occurred.

The direct comparison of ex vivo NET formation between AAV and SLE in the present study reveals several interesting observations. First, the clustering of neutrophils observed in SLE-induced NET formation is not new to the field. The SLE serum-induced clustering of neutrophils was demonstrated as far back as 1990, and this was found to be dependent on C1q-containing ICx and correlated with SLE disease activity, which preceded the discovery of NETs in 2004 (44,45). Interestingly, we demonstrated that in both AAV and SLE patients, excessive NET formation was associated with active disease. However, in AAV and SLE patients during times of disease remission, the levels of NET formation were heterogeneous. It is possible that excessive NET formation in these patients who were without active disease could be an indication of the potential for a future disease relapse.

Second, citrullination appeared to be not essential for NET formation in both patients with AAV and patients with SLE, which is consistent with previous observations that confirmed the independence of PAD4, i.e., citrullination, for the formation of NETs in humans (46,47). These observations are in contrast to those in studies that demonstrated the involvement of PAD enzymes in murine lupus (48-50). It is noteworthy that in those studies, NET formation was induced with calcium ionophores (48), PMA (49,50), or imiquimod (48), and NET quantification was based on CitH3 positivity. Importantly, PMA-induced NET formation is truly independent of PAD enzymes, while calcium ionophore-induced NET formation is completely dependent on PAD enzymes (47). Furthermore, it needs to be taken into account that PAD inhibition will prevent histone citrullination of NETs, resulting in CitH3-negative NETs (46,47). Conversely, in a murine model of lupus in MRL.Fas1pr mice, lupus and proliferative nephritis were not affected by PAD4 inhibition (51).

Third, we confirmed that CitH3, generally reported as a key marker of NETs (14,29), is a highly specific NET marker, since it was absent on healthy and necrotic neutrophils (data not shown). However, CitH3 was not a sensitive marker, as illustrated by the high load of NET-derived DNA that did not colocalize with CitH3. Similarly, the sensitivity of MPO, but not its specificity, as a NET marker was demonstrated in our study. Taken together, these data indicate that careful considerations should be made when identifying neutrophil-derived extracellular DNA as NETs, which cannot be easily disentangled from necrosis (52).

Moreover, we noted the paucity of immunoglobulin binding on AAV-induced NETs, while several AAV- and SLE-relevant autoantigens, as detected by immunohistochemistry using monoclonal antibodies, were present on NETs (Figure 3). These data do not prove that the presence of these autoantigens on NETs is actually relevant, because it is well-known that in vivo autoantibodies detected in AAV and SLE patients are heterogenous and polyclonal. Indeed, previous studies have investigated anti-NET anti-

bodies in AAV, although it remains uncertain as to whether these anti-NET antibodies were the same as ANCAs (3,7). Of note, it is important to realize that these studies reported on antibodies reacting with PMA-induced NETs, which might be unrelated to either in vivo or ex vivo NET formation in humans. Previously, it was demonstrated that neutrophil-derived MPO and NETs were prominently deposited in the glomerular, periglomerular, and tubulointerstitial regions of kidney biopsy tissue from patients with MPO-specific AAV (14). On the other hand, it is known that ANCA-associated GN is typically a crescentic, pauci-immune GN, implying that there are no deposits of autoantibodies or complement in the kidney. Thus, despite the abundant presence of MPO, anti-MPO ANCAs were not abundantly detected, which does support our findings. Alternatively, we speculate that the paucity of immunoglobulins on AAV-induced NETs could be related to the serum concentration of ANCAs as compared to the concentrations of the monoclonal antibodies used for immunohistochemistry. Unfortunately, we were unable to investigate whether epitope variation could also explain this observation.

There are some limitations to our study that need to be acknowledged. First, we used a relatively novel method to quantify NET formation, which has an intrinsically high interassay variability due to the use of freshly isolated neutrophils from healthy subjects each time (20), but simultaneously has several advantages compared to currently reported assays (20,26,52). To minimize variability in the present study, AAV and SLE sera were tested simultaneously within the same experiments.

Another potential limitation of this assay is the use of neutrophils from healthy donors instead of neutrophils from AAV or SLE patients. However, we have demonstrated previously that spontaneous NET release by AAV-derived neutrophils was not significantly different from spontaneous NET release by healthy neutrophils. More importantly, AAV-induced NET formation by neutrophils derived from healthy donors was also not significantly different from NET formation by neutrophils derived from AAV patients (20). Similar results were obtained for neutrophils derived from SLE patients (data not shown).

Second, regarding the results of our immunohistochemical studies of NET-associated proteins, we were unable to dissect whether the colocalization of NET-associated proteins either originated from the serum or were derived from the neutrophils.

In conclusion, this study provides compelling support for the observation that NET formation in AAV and SLE are diseasespecific processes, with each encompassing their own unique properties and implications for the distinct pathophysiology of AAV and SLE. These excessively formed NETs in AAV and SLE have distinct features and depend on intrinsically distinct processes, thereby implicating a unique role for NETs in each separate autoimmune disease. Thus, the recognition of the diversity of NET formation in systemic autoimmune diseases has important implications when evaluating NET as a potential therapeutic target.
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