Glutathione S-Transferase Genes Differently Expressed by Pathogen-Infection in *Vitis flexuosa*
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**ABSTRACT** Glutathione S-transferase (GST) genes from transcripts of *Vitis flexuosa* leaves infected with *Elsinoe ampelina* were characterized and analyzed for their expression using primers based on specific regions. Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences from GST transcripts of *V. flexuosa* showed that the score of the deduced amino acid identity ranged from 43.38% (*Vf*GST26625 and *Vf*GST774) to 6.67% (*Vf*GST13892 and *Vf*GST774). Primary and secondary structure analysis was performed using the ProtParam and Self-Optimized Prediction Method with Alignment software. A phylogenetic tree was constructed from the GST proteins by the neighbor joining method using MEGA 6.0 to investigate the relationship among *Vf*GST, *Vv*GST, and *At*GST proteins. To evaluate the differential expression pattern of GST genes by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), primers specific to unique regions in each gene were obtained by alignment of the sequences. Real-time PCR revealed that GST genes were expressed differentially in the leaves of *V. flexuosa* infected with *Botrytis cinerea*, *E. ampelina*, and *Rhizobium vitis*. The expression of *Vf*GST26625 was up-regulated, while that of others were down-regulated among five GSTs in all grapevine leaves inoculated with each pathogen. The results provided herein improve our understanding of defense responses to various pathogen attacks in grapevines.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Plants contain very efficient enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems that work in concert to control the cascades of uncontrolled oxidation and protect plant cells from oxidative damage by scavenging reactive oxygen species (Gill and Tuteja 2010). Plant cells respond to attacks of foreign pathogens by expressing a series of disease resistance-associated genes, which can result in the production of various toxic plant products, including active oxygen species and phytoalexins (Marrs 1996; Lamb and Dixon 1997). The increased expression of glutathione S-transferase (GST) genes following infection by pathogens is an important defense response to pathogen attacks in plants (Taylor *et al*. 1990; Dudler *et al*. 1991; Dean *et al*. 2005).

Plant GSTs are known to function in hydroperoxide detoxification, transporters of anthocyanin, herbicide detoxification, auxin homeostasis, tyrosine metabolism, regulation of apoptosis, and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Dixon *et al*. 2010; Gill and Tuteja 2010). GST genes are induced in plants in response to several different stress situations (Marrs 1996; Wagner *et al*. 2002).

In pathogen-infected plants, stress-inducible GSTs play key roles in the suppression of necrosis caused by pathogen attack by detoxifying organic hydroperoxides of fatty acids produced from peroxidation of membranes (Gullner and Komives 2001; Dixon *et al*. 2002). Organic peroxides occur in response to pathogen attack (Adam *et al*. 1989; Mauch and Dudler 1993) and detoxification of microbial toxins (Edwards *et al*. 2000) during hypersensitive response (HR). If not reduced, peroxides will be converted to cytotoxic aldehyde derivatives, which can result in cell death (Dean *et al*. 2005). GST genes are known to be induced by pathogen attack in wheat (Dudler *et al*. 1991; Mauch and Dudler 1993), potato (Taylor *et al*. 1990), Arabidopsis (Mauch-Mani and Slusarenko 1994), and tobacco plants (Dean *et al*. 2005).
GSTs form a large and diverse group of enzymes that catalyze the addition of the tripeptide glutathione to a variety of substrates with electrophilic and hydrophobic compounds (Pickett and Lu 1989; Marrs 1996). McGonigle et al. (2000) identified a GST supergene family with 42 maize and 25 soybean clones. In Arabidopsis, the GST super-family was divided into four distinct isoforms, Tau, Phi, Zeta, and Theta, with lower than 25% amino acid sequence identity (Wagner et al. 2002).

In a previous study (Ahn et al. 2014), a group of five GST transcripts showing differential expression in the transcriptome data from *Vitis flexuosa* inoculated with *Elsinoe ampelina* was obtained. The present study was conducted to compare nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences and predicted protein structures of GST genes and to analyze their differential expression during infections of *V. flexuosa* with *Botrytis cinerea*, *E. ampelina*, and *Rhizobium vitis*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and pathogen inoculation**

A Korean wild grape, healthy *V. flexuosa* VISKO001, was obtained from the grapevine germplasm collection field of Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan. Cuttings from *V. flexuosa* VISKO001 were then cultivated in an experimental glasshouse at 25°C under a 16 hours photoperiod. The upper fourth or fifth leaves from the shoot apex of *V. flexuosa* were used individually for pathogen inoculation, which was accomplished after lightly scratching the epidermis with a pencil tip.

*B. cinerea* was grown in Petri-dishes at 25°C on potato dextrose agar (0.4% potato starch, 2% dextrose, and 1.5% agar) under 12/12 hours light/dark conditions. Spores of *B. cinerea* were collected from the plates, suspended in 0.24% potato dextrose broth at a concentration of 10^6 spores/ml and then centrifuged at 3,000g for 5 minutes to remove mycelia debris. Leaves were subsequently inoculated by dropping 30 μl of spore suspension onto the wounded areas. Several colonies of *E. ampelina* were incubated in a shaking incubator (140 rpm) at 28°C for 10 days, after which the cultures were harvested by centrifugation, ground in a homogenizer in sterile distilled water, poured onto V-8 juice agar medium (20% V-8 juice, 2% agar) and incubated at 28°C under a near ultraviolet lamp for 2 days to produce spores of the pathogen (Yun et al. 2003). Spores of *E. ampelina* were collected by scraping-off of plates with sterile distilled water. The concentration was then adjusted to 10^5 spores/ml and sprayed onto leaves, after which a single colony of bacteria was placed in YEP medium (0.1% yeast extract, 0.5% beef extract, 0.5% peptone, 0.5% sucrose, 0.05% MgSO₄, pH 7.2) and cultivated at 28°C in a shaking incubator, after which it was spun down by centrifugation and resuspended with sterile water. *R. vitis* cell suspension (30 μl) was dropped onto the wounded portion of the leaves.

Leaves inoculated with the pathogens were placed on two layers of moist paper towel, then incubated in a closed box in a dark, moist chamber (100% relative humidity) at 25°C or 28°C for 2 days. Five leaves were used for each treatment, and the experiment was repeated twice. Controls were harvested from water-treated leaves under the same conditions. Leaves were harvested at the indicated time points (0, 1, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours) after inoculation, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at −80°C for future use.

**RNA isolation and sequence analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from grapevine leaves using the pine tree method, with slight modification (Chang et al. 1993). RNA was then measured based on the absorbance at 230, 260, and 280 nm using a Nano Drop spectrophotometer (ND-1000; Nano Drop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA), after which first-strand cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA (500 ng) using a GoScript™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and subsequently used as a template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Nucleotide sequences were then translated using the program hosted by the ExPASy Proteomics Server (http://au.expasy.org). Pairwise comparisons and multiple alignments of nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were performed using ClustalX program (Thompson et al. 1997). A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method using Mega 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). Human GST amino acid
sequence was used as the outgroup.

**Real-time PCR**

Real-time PCR was performed using a C1000™ Thermal Cycler (CFX96™ Real-Time System; BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) with SYBR Premix Ex (SYBR Premix Ex Taq; TaKaRa Bio Inc., Osaka, Japan) as the fluorescent dye. Amplification was conducted by subjecting the samples to one cycle of 95°C for 30 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 seconds and 60°C for 30 seconds. Transcript levels were calculated using the standard-curve method and normalized against the grapevine beta-actin gene (AB372563) as an internal control, after which melting curves of the amplified products were recorded. Untreated leaves (at time zero) were tested as the reference sample. For each gene, the reference sample was defined as the 1× expression level, after which the results were expressed as the fold increase in mRNA over the reference sample. All reactions were performed in triplicate to ensure consistency of the results. Five of the GST differentially expressed genes identified upon transcriptome analysis of *V. flexuosa* inoculated with *E. ampelina* were selected (Ahn et al. 2014), and specific primers for real-time PCR were designed by alignment of their nucleotide sequences (Table 1). In order to validate the pathogen infection, the pathogenesis-related 1 (PR1) gene was used as a marker gene to test their expression in the grapevine leaves inoculated with three pathogens. The deduced amino acid sequences of GST genes between *V. flexuosa* transcripts were compared using the CLC Main Workbench (ver. 4.0; CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark).

**Characteristic analysis of GST genes**

Nucleotide sequences of GST genes were translated using the program hosted by the ExPASy Proteomics Server (http://au.expasy.org). Primary and secondary structure analysis was performed using ProtParam (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/) and Self-Optimized Prediction Method with Alignment (SOPMA) (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=npsa_sopma.html), respectively.

**RESULTS**

Five of the 17 GST transcripts showing more than 500 read counts identified upon transcriptome analysis of *V. flexuosa* inoculated with *E. ampelina* (Ahn et al. 2014) were selected for characterization of their structures and evaluation of their differential expression against pathogen attacks. In this study, these genes were designated *Vf*GST26625 (*V. flexuosa* GST, Locus 26625), *Vf*GST13892

---

**Table 1.** NCBI gene accession numbers or NABIC IDs and sequences of gene primers used for quantitative real-time PCR analysis*.

| Name                          | Accession no. or NABIC ID | Primer sequences       |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Glutathione-S-transferase tau 7 (Locus 26625-1/GST26625) | NS-0804-000001          | 5’-CGGATTTGCATGAATGGTATCAA-3’ |
| Glutathione-S-transferase tau 19 (Locus 13892-1/GST13892) | NS-0805-000001          | 5’-CCGAACCTTTGAAAGAAGGCAAGA-3’ |
| Glutathione-S-transferase family protein (Locus 29294-1/GST29294) | NS-0806-000001          | 5’-AAGTGATCAACTTGGGGCACTC-3’ |
| Glutathione-S-transferase family protein (Locus 3450-1/GST3450) | NS-0807-000001          | 5’-GTTTGTGAATGTGGCTCTGGTG-3’ |
| Glutathione-S-transferase family protein (Locus 774-1/GST774) | NS-0808-000001          | 5’-GACGACATGTTGGAACACACTGG-3’ |
| Beta-actin                     | AB372563                  | 5’-ACGAGAAAATCTGTAGGAGGATG-3’ |

*NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information, NABIC ID: National Agricultural Biotechnology Information Center, RDA, Korea, PCR: polymerase chain reaction, *Vf*: *Vitis flexuosa.*
(V. flexuosa GST, Locus 13892), Vf/GST29245 (V. flexuosa GST, Locus 29294), Vf/GST3450 (V. flexuosa GST, Locus 3450), and Vf/GST774 (V. flexuosa GST, Locus 774), and deposited in the National Agricultural Biotechnology Information Center, Rural Development Administration, Korea under accession numbers NS-0804-000001, NS-0805-000001, NS-0806-000001, NS-0807-000001, and NS-0808-000001, respectively.

The deduced amino acid sequences of GST genes in V. vinifera and V. flexuosa transcripts are shown in Fig. 1. The deduced amino acid sequences of GST genes showed homologies ranging from 43.38% (Vf/GST26625 and Vf/GST774) to 6.67% (Vf/GST13892 and Vf/GST774) (Table 2). Among the six GST transcripts, Vf/GST3450 and Vf/GST26625 showed 22.68% and 19.81% similarity with those of Vf/GST774 and Vf/GST3450, respectively, in the deduced amino acid sequences.

Based on pairwise comparisons and multiple alignments of nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences, a phylogenetic tree of GST genes from V. flexuosa, V. vinifera, and Arabidopsis was constructed by the Neighbor-joining method using Mega 6.0 (Fig. 2). GST genes were clustered into six main sub-families designated as classes I, II, III, IV, V, and VI. Class I is primarily composed of Arabidopsis
Table 2. Identity of amino acids deduced from alignment of GST genes of *Vitis flexuosa* transcripts. GST proteins and accession numbers*.

| Gene       | VvGST | VfGST26625 | VfGST13892 | VfGST29294 | VfGST3450 | VfGST774 |
|------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| VvGST      |       | 9.30       | 11.59      | 13.14      | 13.43      | 11.36    |
| VfGST26625 | 9.30  | 7.39       | 9.81       | 10.05      | 8.66       | 43.38    |
| VfGST13892 | 11.59 | 7.39       | 7.33       | 10.05      | 6.67       | 6.67     |
| VfGST29294 | 13.14 | 9.81       | 11.59      | 8.66       | 8.64       | 8.64     |
| VfGST3450  | 13.43 | 19.81      | 10.05      | 22.38      | 22.38      |          |
| VfGST774   | 11.36 | 43.38      | 6.67       | 8.64       | 22.68      |          |

*GST: glutathione-S-transferase, VvGST: *Vitis vinifera* GST, VfGST: *V. flexuosa* GST.

---

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Vitis vinifera*, and *V. flexuosa*. Phylogeny was inferred using MEGA 6 program (Tamura et al. 2013) with the following parameters: Neighbor-Joining method; Bootstrap with 1,000 replicates. Human GST was used as the outgroup. AtGSTU: *A. thaliana* GST TAU (Wagner et al. 2002), VvGST: *V. vinifera* GST, VvGST (AF501625.1), VvGST1 (AY156048.1), VvGST2 (EF088687.1), VvGST3 (EF469244.1), VvGST4 (AY971515.1), VvGST5 (EF140721.1), VfGST: *V. flexuosa* GST, VfGST26625 (NS-0804-000001), VfGST13892 (NS-0805-000001), VfGST29294 (NS-0806-000001), VfGST3450 (NS-0807-000001), and VfGST774 (NS-0808-000001). HumanGST: Human GST (J03746.1).
GSTs except for VfGST26625, while class II is composed of Arabidopsis GST, V. flexuosa GST, and V. vinifera GST members. VfGST3450 and VfGST29294 were divided into class III and class V, respectively.

Information regarding all six GST genes based on the primary structure is presented in Table 3. The results showed that the size of the six GSTs varied from 138 to 245 amino acids (88,669.9 to 162,499.1 Da). The predicted isoelectric points (pIs) ranged from 4.94 to 5.03 and the instability index (II) ranged from 34.82 to 64.19. Results of secondary structure prediction using SOPMA (Table 4) revealed that random coils ranged from 26.48% to 37.96% and alpha helices ranged from 31.82% to 45.69%.

Specific primers of GST genes designed based on alignment of nucleotide sequences from V. flexuosa transcripts were used to detect differential expression by real-time PCR of grapevine leaves inoculated with the three different pathogens. The expressions of GSTs were induced differentially and depended on three inoculated pathogens in V. flexuosa (Fig. 3). The expression of VfGST26625 was up-regulated, while that of the other genes was down-regulated in all grapevine leaves inoculated with each pathogen. The expression kinetics from the real-time PCR results were similar to those of previous transcripts analyses (Ahn et al. 2014).

In leaves inoculated with B. cinerea, expression of the VfGST13892, VfGST29294, VfGST3450, and VfGST774 genes was down-regulated relative to the control, while VfGST26625 exhibited delayed and sustained up-regulation of transcription (Fig. 3A). Expression of the VfGST13892 and VfGST774 genes decreased 1 hour after inoculation with B. cinerea, increased at 12 hours and then declined again at 48 hours.

The pattern of up-regulation for VfGST26625 in leaves inoculated with E. ampelina was similar to that of B. cinerea-inoculated leaves in which the transcription showed peaks at 6 hours and 24 hours after inoculation of the pathogens. Expression of the VfGST13892 and

---

**Table 3.** Primary structure analysis of GST genes identified in Vitis flexuosa transcripts and V. vinifera collected from the NCBI database*.

| Gene       | Amino acid length (bp) | ORF (bp) | M. Wt. (Da) | pI    | Instability index (%) | Aliphatic index | GRAVY |
|------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| VvGST      | 138                    | 417      | 88,669.9    | 4.95  | 64.19                 | 27.21           | 0.879 |
| VfGST26625 | 219                    | 660      | 113,958.4   | 5.03  | 34.82                 | 29.00           | 0.721 |
| VfGST13892 | 193                    | 803      | 162,499.1   | 4.94  | 48.08                 | 30.91           | 0.804 |
| VfGST29294 | 245                    | 738      | 110,422.3   | 5.01  | 43.15                 | 28.08           | 0.765 |
| VfGST3450  | 234                    | 705      | 149,076.7   | 4.97  | 42.69                 | 27.62           | 0.695 |
| VfGST774   | 232                    | 699      | 122,311.7   | 5.01  | 36.43                 | 26.13           | 0.662 |

*GST: glutathione-S-transferase, NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information, ORF: open reading frame, M. Wt.: molecular weight, pI: predicted isoelectric point, GRAVY: grand average of hydropathicity, VvGST: V. vinifera GST, VfGST: V. flexuosa GST.

**Table 4.** Summary of predicted secondary structure analysis of GST genes based on the Self-Optimized Prediction Method with Alignment tool*.

| Gene       | Alpha helix (%) | Extended strand (%) | Beta turn (%) | Random coil (%) |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| VvGST      | 31.82           | 24.24               | 6.82          | 37.12           |
| VfGST26625 | 45.21           | 19.63               | 8.68          | 26.48           |
| VfGST13892 | 33.16           | 24.87               | 7.25          | 34.72           |
| VfGST29294 | 35.51           | 20.41               | 9.80          | 34.29           |
| VfGST3450  | 35.10           | 18.37               | 8.57          | 37.96           |
| VfGST774   | 45.69           | 17.67               | 4.74          | 31.90           |

*GST: glutathione-S-transferase, VvGST: Vitis vinifera GST, VfGST: V. flexuosa GST.
Differential Expression of GST Genes in *Vitis flexuosa*

Fig. 3. Expression of glutathione *S*-transferase genes by quantitative real-time polymerase chain analysis of *Vitis flexuosa* leaves inoculated with pathogens, *Botrytis cinerea* (A), *Elsinoe ampelina* (B), and *Rhizobium vitis* (C). Transcript levels were calculated using the standard curve method from triplicate data with the grapevine actin gene as an internal control and non-treated leaves (at time zero) as a reference. The results represent the mean fold increase in mRNA over non-treated leaves relative to the 1× expression level. The results are the means of triplicate data from three experiments. Bars indicate the standard deviation.

*Vf*GST3450 genes gradually decreased 6 hours after inoculation, increased at 12 hours and then declined again. Four GST genes, *Vf*GST13892, *Vf*GST29294, *Vf*GST3450, and *Vf*GST774, were down-regulated compared to the control leaves (Fig. 3B).

The expression pattern of *Vf*GST26625 was up-regulated, peaking at 12 hours, in leaves inoculated with *R. vitis*, while it peaked at 24 hours in leaves inoculated with *B. cinerea* and *E. ampelina* (Fig. 3C). Transcripts of the *Vf*GST29294 and *Vf*GST3450 genes decreased 6 h after inoculation with *R. vitis*, increased at 12 hours and then declined in the grapevine leaves. Expression of the *Vf*GST13892 gene was down-regulated at 1 hour, then increased until 6 hours after inoculation with pathogen, after which it declined again.

The expression of PR1 gene, which was used as a marker gene for pathogen infection, was tested in the grapevine leaves inoculated with pathogens (Fig. 4). PR1 gene was induced as early as 1 hour and kept induced up to 24 to 48 hours after inoculation of three pathogens in grapevine leaves.

**DISCUSSION**

We characterized the structures of GSTs of *V. flexuosa* and investigated their expressions in response to infection with *B. cinerea, E. ampelina*, and *R. vitis* in grapevines. DNA sequences of all six genes from the *V. flexuosa* transcriptome data and the *V. vinifera* National Center for Biotechnology Information database were identified upon sequencen analysis.

Studies on physicochemical characterization provide information about the properties such as molecular weight, pl, II, aliphatic index (AI), and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) which are essential and vital in providing data about the proteins (Pradeep *et al*. 2012). Guruprasad *et al*. (1990) suggested that the stability and
Expression of pathogenesis-related protein 1 gene by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of *Vitis flexuosa* leaves inoculated with three pathogens. Transcript levels were calculated using the standard curve method from triplicate data with the grapevine actin gene as an internal control and non-treated leaves (at time zero) as a reference. The results represent the mean fold increase in mRNA over non-treated leaves relative to the 1× expression level. The results are the means of triplicate data from three experiments. Bars indicate the standard deviation. *B. cinerea*: *Botrytis cinerea*, *E. ampelina*: *Elsinoe ampelina*, *R. vitis*: *Rhizobium vitis*.

Fig. 4. Expression of pathogenesis-related protein 1 gene by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of *Vitis flexuosa* leaves inoculated with three pathogens. Transcript levels were calculated using the standard curve method from triplicate data with the grapevine actin gene as an internal control and non-treated leaves (at time zero) as a reference. The results represent the mean fold increase in mRNA over non-treated leaves relative to the 1× expression level. The results are the means of triplicate data from three experiments. Bars indicate the standard deviation. *B. cinerea*: *Botrytis cinerea*, *E. ampelina*: *Elsinoe ampelina*, *R. vitis*: *Rhizobium vitis*.

instability of proteins is determined by the order of certain amino acids in its sequence. In addition, the (II of a known protein could be used to predict whether a given protein is stable (II < 40) or unstable (II > 40). The AI is defined as the relative volume of a protein occupied by aliphatic residue side chain (alanine, valine, leucine, and isoleucine) and regarded as a positive factor for the increase of thermal stability (Gasteiger et al. 2005). The GRAVY value for a protein is calculated as the sum of hydropathy values, divided by the number of residues in the amino acids sequence (Kyte and Doolittle 1982). Random coils influence flexibility and stability of the protein structure and dehydration response against water stress (Qu et al. 1998; Buxbaum 2007). In this study, SOPMA predicted that all the GSTs contain large percentage (27%-38%) of random coils and the least conformation was of β-turns (less than 10%).

Plant GSTs are induced in response to pathogen attacks, heavy metals, and oxidative stress to protect cellular components from damage (Levine et al. 1994; Ulmasov et al. 1995; Marrs 1996; Moons 2003). It has been postulated that the antioxidative activity of GSTs plays a role in the production of various toxic plant products, such as generation of active oxygen species, or in limiting the extent of cell death during the HR (Dudler et al. 1991; Alvarez et al. 1998). We studied the expression of five GST genes and PR1 gene in *V. flexuosa* grape leaves inoculated with *B. cinerea*, *E. ampelina*, and *R. vitis*. The results of this study showed that the five GSTs were differentially expressed and their expression kinetics were similar to those observed in previous transcripts analyses.

GSTs have been proposed to play a variety of roles by altering their expression in the host responses to pathogen attacks. Prp1-1 was induced 2 hours after inoculation with *Phytophthora infestans*, reaching their peak values at 48 hours and 56 hours after inoculation in potato (Hahn and Strittmatter 1994). In *Arabidopsis*, GST genes exhibit a wide range of responses to pathogen infection, hormonal treatment of jasmonate, salicylic acid and ethylene, and oxidative stress (Wagner et al. 2002).

Dean et al. (2005) first showed that plant GST plays a role in susceptibility to fungal infection. Specifically, they reported that four GST genes were amplified from *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves infected with *Colletotrichum destructivum* via several responses to fungal infection, and at least one GST gene plays a key role in disease development. There is also evidence that GST acts as a negative regulator of defense response (Hernández et al. 2009).

It was reported that the expression of defense-related genes was induced by inoculation with various pathogens in grapevines (Bézier et al. 2002; Robert et al. 2002; Kortekamp 2006). Differential expression of chitinase genes after inoculation of grape leaves with *Botrytis cinerea* and *Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi* has been reported (Robert et al. 2002). Thomma et al. (2001) reported that the activation pattern of synthesis of defense-related compounds was different dependently on the type of pathogens in *Arabidopsis*. Chong et al. (2008) showed that defense and signaling genes are differentially regulated by different secondary messengers and types of microorganisms in *V. vinifera*.

In this study, the molecular structure of all five VfGST
genes was analyzed based on their predicted amino acid sequences in *V. flexuosa*. Five GST genes with different nucleotide sequences showed differential expression in response to three different pathogens in *V. flexuosa*. Although GSTs were reportedly induced in plants infected with pathogens, several of their transcripts were down-regulated in *V. flexuosa* infected with two fungal and one bacterial pathogen. These findings suggest that all tested *Vf* GST genes might play controversial roles in defense response pathways against pathogen infection in grapevines. Overall, the results presented herein provide information that will be useful for further investigations of the mode of action at the molecular level and analysis of differential expression patterns of GST genes in defense-related responses under various pathogen infections in grapevines.
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