Tin-halide perovskites have great potential as photovoltaic materials, but their performance is hampered by undesirable oxidation of Sn(II) to Sn(IV). In this work, we use nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) to identify and describe the origins of Sn(IV) in Sn-based perovskites, mainly focusing on direct measurements of Sn oxidation states with $^{119}$Sn-NMR in solid-state and solution. We find that dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), a typical solvent for Sn-based perovskites, oxidizes Sn(II) in acidic conditions under temperatures used for film annealing. We propose a redox reaction between DMSO and Sn(II), catalyzed by hydroiodic acid, with iododimethylsulfonium iodide intermediate. We find that lower temperatures and less acidic conditions abate this reaction, and we assess a range of compositions and solution component for this instability. These results suggest the need for strategies to prevent this reaction and shed light on other solution instabilities beyond Sn(IV) that must be mitigated to achieve high-performance lead-free perovskites.

Tin halide perovskite materials have recently gained the attention of the photovoltaic community due to their advantages over lead-based perovskites, such as their close-to ideal bandgap, lower environmental toxicity and potential to be the bottom cell in instabilities beyond Sn(IV) that might be mitigated to achieve high-performance lead-free perovskites.

Several reports have attempted to quantify the Sn(IV) content in perovskite films utilising X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. This technique is highly surface sensitive, probing depths usually below 10 nm. Therefore values obtained with XPS can be misleading and inaccurately represent the real Sn(IV) content in the film. Besides, the influence on Sn(IV) formation from ultra-high vacuum and X-rays, which are claimed to induce degradation in lead-based perovskite films, has not yet been studied in detail. While precisely measuring the proportion of these two oxidation states in perovskite thin films can be tricky, there are other facile and available techniques to investigate the origins of Sn(IV) oxidation directly. Considering that the electronic environment of different oxidation states markedly differs, we should explore methods that are sensitive to changes in this property. In this regard, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is an ideal technique with excellent capability to differentiate between chemical environments of each element. Mainly used in materials science for the characterisation of organic molecules, NMR has proven its utility for characterising organometallic systems. Although there are steady increasing reports on its application for metal halide perovskites, its full potential remains underexplored.

In this work, we present the use of a range of NMR techniques as a straightforward and versatile tool for quantifying Sn(IV) content in Sn-based precursors and solutions. By making use of $^{119}$Sn-NMR, we identify and describe the origin of Sn(IV) formation from the reaction between DMSO, which is the most commonly used solvent to prepare devices, and Sn(II) at high temperature in acidic conditions, quantifying the amount of oxidised Sn(IV) being generated. This study aims to be a reproducible guideline for rapid and facile use of NMR for characterising the properties and chemical processes occurring in tin halide perovskite materials.
Intending to find when Sn(IV) is formed during the processing of perovskite, we identified three possible sources of oxidation: precursors, solutions and annealing (Fig. 1a). The versatility of NMR allowed for different experiments appropriate for each processing step. We first used solid-state $^{119}$Sn-NMR for characterising the content of the different oxidation states in SnI$_2$, the precursor generally used for perovskite solution preparation. For the as-received material, Sn(n) signal appears at $\approx -529$ ppm (Fig. 1b), but no Sn(IV) signal was detected. Consequently, the molar content of any Sn(n) in the precursor was below the detection limit of the measurement, which we estimate to be $\approx 1.5$ wt% from the signal-to-noise ratio. Exposure of the powder to air led to increasing Sn(IV) content with time, indicating that oxidised Sn(IV) from SnI$_2$ shows up between $-1740$ and $-1745$ ppm. These results confirm that there is not a significant contribution from the precursor to the final Sn(n) content into the perovskite.

Our next hypothesis involved the potential oxidation of Sn(n) through the preparation of the tin halide perovskite solution. For this purpose, we measured freshly prepared solutions (no heating was applied unless stated otherwise) using liquid-state $^{119}$Sn-NMR (Fig. 2a) and found no Sn(n) for either SnI$_2$ or FASnI$_3$ in both solvents. This finding agrees with the results from solid-state NMR. A 1.0 M sample of SnI$_4$ in DMSO was measured to know the approximate expected shift, with Sn(IV) signal found at $\approx 2023$ ppm (Fig. 2a). We found that the chemical shift of the SnI$_2$ can vary significantly. Firstly, we found lower chemical shifts in DMSO than in DMF, which agrees with the more effective donation of electron density from DMSO to SnI$_2$ and, to a lesser extent, between SnI$_2$ and FASnI$_3$ (Fig. 2a). In the latter case, the introduction of FAI can have an electron-withdrawing effect on SnI$_2$ adducts, as NMR has already proven its complexing ability in solution. Interestingly, Fig. 2b shows that there is a strong dependence of the SnI$_2$ chemical shift with its solution concentration in DMSO, which is less
pronounced in DMF (Fig. S1 and S2, ESI†). The lower the concentration of SnI₄, the more efficiently DMSO can solvate SnI₂, shielding Sn(II) and shifting its NMR signal upfield.

We also investigated the possible effect of concentration on the chemical shift of SnI₄. However, no significant difference was found (Fig. S3, ESI†), suggesting that the configuration of SnI₄ in solution prevents interaction between the solvent and the metallic centre. Therefore, solvation does not substantially affect its shift. Thus liquid-state NMR is not just useful for Sn(IV) detection but also provides information on the coordination chemistry in tin halide perovskite solutions.

After finding no significant formation of Sn(IV) from the previous steps, we decided to investigate the annealing process. We heated FASnI₃ solutions at 100 °C for 30 min, to mimic the conditions used for annealing tin-based perovskite layers in the reported literature so far.¹² Notably, we observed a change in the colour of the solution from orange to dark red (Fig. 2c), which is clear evidence of a chemical reaction occurring. This change in colour was recently reported also in the publication by Saidaminov and co-workers after heating the solution at 120 °C for 10 min.¹³ We characterised this solution by liquid-state ¹¹⁹Sn-NMR and found a peak corresponding to Sn(IV) (Fig. 2d).

To prove whether the reaction is spontaneous or depends on externally applied energy, we also heated a FASnI₃ solution at 80 °C for 30 min, which did cause only a minor change in colour. As can be seen in Fig. 2d, no Sn(IV) formation is observed after this treatment, suggesting that performing annealing at lower temperatures could be a solution for preventing Sn(IV) formation. This result would agree with the fact that the current highest PCE reported for Sn-based perovskite solar cells was processed at 70 °C.¹ We note that heating the solution is under excess-solvent conditions. Comparing it to the thin film preparation, solvent will remain present in the wet film at the start of annealing as well. Hence, it should be considered that similar effects may also occur during annealing to modify the Sn oxidation state ratio.

One of the most potent aspects of NMR characterisation is that the signal intensities from the different chemical environments in a sample are proportional to the number of nuclei, allowing the quantification or estimation of relative proportions of two different compounds. For our study, we prepared a solution of FASnI₃ in DMSO deliberately substituting 1% SnI₂ for SnI₄ to confirm the detection limit for this method. With this sample, we proved that even this low Sn(IV) content could be easily identified by NMR (Fig. S4, ESI†). From this, we also determined the Sn(IV) content for FASnI₃ and MASnI₃ samples to be around 1%, considering that for the same signal-to-noise ratio and acquisition time, the signal intensities were approximately the same.

To have insights into the Sn(II) oxidation reaction, we also investigated the state of the organic parts (i.e. DMSO and FAI/MAI) by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR. As we can see from the ¹H spectra in Fig. 3a, a signal is found in the 1.89–1.92 ppm range for both MA and FA containing solution. This trend fits the expected shift for dimethyl sulfide (DMS), that we determined by adding 1 equivalent of DMS to a FASnI₃ sample (Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†). This was also confirmed with a ¹³C-NMR experiment, where we found the signal of the methyl groups from DMS at 17 ppm (Fig. S7, ESI†). In this respect, ¹³C-NMR is a more reliable method than ¹H-NMR for monitoring DMS formation, considering that using DMSO-d₆ leads to deuterated DMS. These results are in explicit agreement with the recent work on DMS formation, where the authors establish a relationship between the decomposition of DMSO and the emergence of the signal from DMS by ¹H-NMR in Sn-based perovskite solutions, which they indirectly link to the oxidation of Sn(II).¹⁵ However, this correlation might present some drawbacks. At the same time, the authors also found traces of DMS for MAPbI₃ solutions, as we did for unheated SnI₂ or FASnI₃ samples (Fig. S8, ESI†), which is reasonable considering that DMS is one of the main impurities found in DMSO.¹⁶ Also, DMS has such a low boiling point (37.3 °C) that it could potentially be lost during sample preparation. We see no longer an increase in the intensity of the DMS signal after heating at 100 °C for 2 or 4 days (Fig. S9, ESI†). We believe the direct
identification of Sn(IV) by $^{119}$Sn-NMR that we present in this work is a more reliable approach to quantify the Sn(n) oxidation occurring in solution.

We performed $^{119}$Sn-NMR on heated FASnI$_3$ solutions in DMF. The first observation was that the solution did not turn red during heating (Fig. 3b). Additionally, no Sn(n) formation was detected by $^{119}$Sn-NMR, confirming that, among the conventional solvents, Sn(n) was exclusively being oxidised by DMSO through the following reaction, proposed in the recent viewpoint on DMS formation$^{14}$ and previously described for tin chloride$^{15}$:

$$2\text{SnI}_2 + 2(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{SO} \rightarrow \text{SnO}_2(\text{s}) + \text{SnI}_4 + 2(\text{CH}_3)\text{S}$$

We also investigated all other possible components facilitating the reaction between DMSO and Sn(n) at 100°C for 30 min by preparing solutions with various compositions. We studied the effect of using CsI, to investigate whether the Brønsted–Lowry acidity of the A-site cation affects the solution reactivity. Interestingly, CsSnI$_3$ solution turned slightly darker after heating (Fig. 3b) but did not show any new signal in the $^{119}$Sn-NMR spectrum related to Sn(n). This result points to the redox reaction happening in trace amounts, while the protons in solution are acting as a catalyst. This assumption is further confirmed by similar results obtained when adding no salt: heating SnI$_2$ solutions yielded the same minor discoloration (Fig. 3b) while showing no signal for Sn(n). Clay Hamill and co-workers already described the sensitivity of DMSO to the acidity of the perovskite solution for lead-based perovskite solutions.$^{16}$ Concerning these results, we wanted to study if DMSO was already showing any decomposition by heating it in the presence of our weak acid. However, a solution of FAI in DMSO did not show any increase in the DMS intensity by $^1$H-NMR (Fig. S10, ESI†), nor did it undergo any colour change (Fig. 3b).

We were also interested in observing if the extensively used additive SnF$_2$ could have any effect on this process. As we show in Fig. 3b, heating the solution containing 10 mol% SnF$_2$ did not produce any significant change in colour, and showed no Sn(n) signal (we investigated the complete spectral range in case new compounds were being formed). This result suggests the possibility of using certain additives to suppress or even prevent the redox reaction between DMSO and Sn(n). The different electronegativity of I$^-$ and F$^-$ might play an important role in the stabilization of Sn(n). In addition, a recent study has proposed the introduction of formic acid as an additive in the perovskite solution, owing to the higher stability of the Sn(n) oxidation state.$^{17}$ While acidic conditions will stabilise Sn(n), we should be careful applying them when using DMSO as the solvent.

The results obtained so far point towards a redox reaction between DMSO and Sn(n) that is favoured in acidic conditions. The oxidation of many different types of organic compounds in DMSO in the presence of hydrogen halides has been widely studied.$^{18–21}$ Through the in situ generation of halodimethylsulphonium halides$^{19}$ it is possible to carry out oxidative halogenations on olefins$^{20}$ or aromatic compounds,$^{21}$ among others. In this sense, any I$_2$ generated would also be able to oxidise SnI$_2$ to SnI$_4$. We confirmed this by adding I$_2$ beads to a FASnI$_3$ solution and found both Sn(n) and Sn(n) presence (Fig. S11, ESI†).

Concerning this, the previously studied solution of FAI in DMSO did not change colour after heating at 100°C for 30 min (Fig. 3b). If the DMSO reduction to DMS happened through iodide oxidation to I$_2$, we should have seen this solution turn yellow, due to the formation of an I$_3$ complex. This result would then suggest that the redox reaction might be directly between DMSO and Sn(n), and not through the formation of I$_2$. However, the formation and stability of I$_2$ might be influenced by whether a reducing agent like Sn(n) is present in solution to react with or not. If I$_2$ has no compounds in solution to react with, the equilibrium might be shifted to the previous intermediates rather than to I$_2$ formation. While I$_2$ might be undetectable for FAI in DMSO, iododimethylsulphonium iodide might still be generated in situ in addition to DMS (Scheme 1), which could be related to there being two different signals in $^1$H-NMR in the range of DMS (1.89–2.05 ppm). The formation of this intermediate would explain the I$_2$-mediated mechanism of Sn(n) oxidation in DMSO (Scheme 1). This mechanism involves the use of protons, which would agree with our previous results, in which we found that acidic conditions favour the reaction.

The formation of SnO$_2$ precipitates might also be postulated, originating from a secondary reaction between SnI$_4$ and H$_2$O, which would regenerate HI.

Characterisation by NMR can also shed light on the decomposition of the organic components of the perovskite. As mentioned earlier, we heated FASnI$_3$ solutions at 100°C for 2 and 4 days. In contrast to the previous experiments, no signal was found for Sn(n) in this case. Interestingly, we began to observe a precipitate, eliciting that extended heating can potentially lead to the precipitation of all Sn(n) as SnO$_2$. Additionally, we found a new group of signals in $^1$H spectra with shifts in the range of 6.80–7.20 ppm, which were increasing in intensity over time (Fig. S9, ESI†), suggesting that they belong to compounds forming during decomposition of the solution. At this point, we performed 2D-NMR experiments to understand the nature of these products. The $^1$H–$^1$H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) (Fig. S12, ESI†) and rotating frame Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY) results (Fig. S13, ESI†) confirmed that the signals are not coupled with each other. Still, they showed chemical exchange in between them and with the amine protons of FA (Fig. S13, ESI†), indicating that they have exchangeable protons. Therefore, these new signals might belong to decomposition products of FA that has only amine protons, meaning that these signals must belong to the ammonium ion. These signals have been previously assigned to

$$\text{H}^- + \text{F}^- + \text{SnI}_2 \rightarrow \text{SnI}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{S}$$

Scheme 1 I$_2$-Mediated mechanism of Sn(n) oxidation in DMSO. A possible intermediate species, iododimethylsulphonium iodide, is highlighted inside the square.
NH₄⁺ in the study on DMS generation, and are one of the decomposition products described by Clay Hamill et al. in perovskite solutions in DMSO. Other decomposition processes of DMSO such as its degradation to sulfate ion should always be considered, although, to the best of our knowledge, we believe our system does not provide the required conditions for this process to happen.

Currently FASnI₃-based devices achieve V₉ values between 0.4 and 0.6 V (with SnF₂, Fig. S14, ESI†), while the material has potential for much higher values. This fact points out that there is a limiting factor, which would be strongly influenced by the so reviewed Sn⁴⁺ content. The oxidising effect of DMSO could be behind it, therefore alternative strategies that avoid the use of this solvent have to be discovered in order to improve the performance of these devices.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented solid- and liquid-state Sn-NMR as a simple and straightforward technique for identifying Sn(n) oxidation and described its redox reaction with DMSO. Other methods traditionally used for oxidation state quantification in Sn-based perovskite materials show clear limitations. For instance, XPS not only requires extended measurement, ultra-high vacuum and X-rays, all of which may damage samples but also only gives information about the sample surface. At the same time, XANES depends on synchrotron facilities, making it a less available technique. These results also present NMR as a useful and accessible tool, with clear underexplored potential for understanding perovskite solutions, and point out the necessity of finding new solvents for Sn perovskites to substitute DMSO or otherwise suppressing its decomposition.
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