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Abstract

For several decades, the transcription factor MEF2 (myocyte enhancer factor-2) has been known as a master regulator of myogenesis that orchestrates the first step in muscle formation: the differentiation of myoblasts into myocytes. Because of its importance during myoblast differentiation, the potential roles of MEF2 during later steps of myogenesis, in particular myocyte fusion, could not be properly investigated. Our recent findings filled that gap as we recently unravelled a key role of MEF2 in myocyte fusion both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, we identified the PP2A-Bδ phosphatase as a key enzyme regulating MEF2 activity specifically during fusion, but not differentiation. We showed that by controlling phosphorylation of HDAC4, a known repressor of MEF2, PP2A-Bδ regulates the transcription of a subset of MEF2-dependent genes involved solely in myocyte fusion. Our observations demonstrate that, in contrast to the historical paradigm, MEF2 controls both early (i.e., the myoblast differentiation) and late (i.e., the myocyte fusion) steps of myogenesis with PP2A-Bδ allowing discrimination between both functions. Because PP2A holoenzymes exhibit high substrate specificity, our findings point towards novel therapeutic options for various myopathies characterized by defects in normal fusion, such as muscular dystrophies.

Skeletal myogenesis is a highly complex and ordered process, entailing two main events [1,2]: (i) the specification of muscle precursors into myoblasts, followed by their differentiation into myocytes and (ii) the fusion of these differentiated myocytes giving rise to the multinucleated skeletal myofiber.

The class IIa Histone DeAcetylas (HDACs)/MEF2 (Myocyte Enhancer Factor-2) axis has long being considered as the master regulator of the differentiation process during skeletal myogenesis [3]. However, our recent work [4] demonstrates that this pathway is also crucial for fusion of myocytes into myofibers, both in vitro and in vivo. Our findings thus further confirm and expend the idea that the class IIa HDACs/MEF2 axis is a cornerstone of the myogenic process in vertebrates.

MEF2, the Historical Master Regulator of Myoblast Differentiation

Myoblast differentiation is coordinated by a hierarchical network of transcription factors, among which members of the MEF2 family, which includes MEF2a, -b, -c and -d play dominant roles [1,5]. MEF2 regulates the expression of a series of muscle structural genes and basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors involved in the differentiation program, that are collectively known as myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) and include Myf5, MyoD, myogenin and MRF4 [1]. The transcriptional activity of MEF2 is controlled by class IIa HDACs (HDAC4, -5, -7, and -9) and by MITR, a HDAC9 paralog lacking a HDAC catalytic domain [6-8]. Interestingly, the ability of class IIa HDACs to bind to MEF2 is determined by the phosphorylation status of conserved serine residues located in their amino-terminal non-HDAC domain [9,10]. When not phosphorylated on these residues, class IIa HDACs bind to MEF2 and repress its transcriptional activity. In contrast, phosphorylation of class IIa HDACs induces their detachment from MEF2, which restores the activity of the transcription factor [11].
work of the Olson laboratory that brought to light the central role of the class IIa HDACs/MEF2 pathway in skeletal muscle development and remodeling [12]. During skeletal muscle formation, the signal-responsive association with class IIa HDACs allows for a stage-dependent control of MEF2 activity. Upon initiation of the differentiation program, the inhibitory activity of class IIa HDACs towards MEF2 is overcome by phosphorylation of their conserved serine residues by myogenic kinases [12,13]. This derepression allows MEF2 to cooperate with MyoD-related myogenic factors and promote skeletal muscle differentiation in vitro [11,14]. Historically, the role of the class IIa HDACs/MEF2 axis has thus been restricted to the early myoblastic differentiation events. Because the various approaches that were used to modulate the levels, activities or signal-responsiveness of class IIa HDACs had dramatic effects on myoblast differentiation [11-13,15], it has been very difficult so far to investigate any potential role of the class IIa/MEF2 pathway in later stages of skeletal muscle formation, such as myocyte fusion into myotubes.

The idea that the role of MEF2 during skeletal myogenesis was strictly limited to early differentiation is challenged by a careful survey of the literature. For instance, several studies have reported that MEF2 controls the transcription of genes involved in cytoskeleton structure and dynamics in muscle and non-muscle cells [16-20]. Cytoskeleton dynamics is required for proper cell-cell fusion, and accordingly many proteins important for myogenic fusion are components or regulators of the cytoskeleton (For recent review [21]). Based on these observations, one might expect that MEF2 may also control all or part of the fusion process during myogenesis.

MEF2 also Controls Myocyte Fusion

Few studies so far have provided direct evidence that MEF2 is a regulator of muscle cell fusion. In Drosophila, Arredondo et al. showed that CF2 (Drosophila Chorion factor 2), a MEF2 co-factor, is involved in the control of muscle size and of the number of nuclei in myofibers [22]. MEF2a-deficient murine C2C12 myoblasts have impaired ability to differentiate into myocytes but also exhibit lower levels of Myoferlin, which is critical for myogenic fusion, and of Palladin (Palld) and Formin-binding protein (Fnbp1l), which are involved in cytoskeleton dynamics and myocyte fusion [5]. Expression of singles bar gene in Drosophila, a protein linked to myocyte fusion, is also controlled by MEF2 [23].

The original goal of our study was to investigate the role of protein phosphatases during myogenesis [4]. Because protein kinases have long been known to be crucial in this process, the involvement of their counterpart, protein phosphatases would also be expected. Surprisingly, very few reports had addressed this issue when we started our project. Only three protein phosphatases had been shown to play a role in skeletal myogenesis and all three (i.e., calcineurin, protein phosphatase-1 and protein-tyrosine phosphatase-α) were associated to myoblastic differentiation [24-26]. We decided to focus on PP2A because of the importance of class IIa HDACs during myogenesis and because of the PP2A ability to regulate these enzymes in other biological processes such as angiogenesis [27,28]. Our work showed that in myoblasts, PP2A controls HDAC4 phosphorylation levels and its repressive activity towards MEF2-directed transcription. PP2A is a trimeric holoenzyme made of a scaffolding A, catalytic C and B-type regulatory subunits. There are 15 different B-type subunits, that are classified into four distinct families (PR55/B or B55, PR61B or B56, PR72B* and STRN/PR93/PRt10/B**, [29,30].

The nature of the B subunit found in the holoenzyme determines various characteristics of the complex, including substrate specificity. Consequently, among the approximately 80 unique heterotrimeric holoenzymes, each possesses its own substrate specificity related to a particular biological activity. In muscle cells, we succeeded in identifying HDAC4 as the specific substrate of PP2A holoenzymes containing the Bδ regulatory subunit. Interestingly, other class IIa HDACs were not regulated by PP2A-Bδ [4].

To our surprise, knocking down the Bδ subunit had no significant effects on myoblast differentiation. Instead it severely impacted the ability of differentiated myocytes to fuse together and form multinucleated myofibers [4]. Using a transcriptomic approach, we confirmed that knockdown of PP2A-Bδ led to the up-regulation of MEF2-dependent genes. However, only a subset of MEF2- dependent genes, mainly those related to the cytoskeleton (i.e., Sorbs2) were affected by knocking down PP2A-Bδ, while those involved in myoblast differentiation (i.e. Myogenin) remained unaffected.

Some of our observations might provide a possible explanation as to why the absence of PP2A-Bδ has no impact on myocyte differentiation. Indeed, we found that 1PP2A and 12PP2A, two protein PP2A inhibitors [31], were highly expressed in non- and early differentiating myoblasts. It is likely that the presence of these proteins would prevent any PP2A activity during the early stages of myogenesis (Figure 1). The absence of phosphatase activity directed towards class IIa HDACs would create a favorable context for phosphorylation of HDAC4 by myogenic kinases, which would in turn activate the MEF2-directed myoblast differentiation program. At the onset of myogenic fusion, levels of 1PP2A and 12PP2A are drastically reduced, thus allowing a fine control of HDAC4 phosphorylation levels by PP2A-Bδ-mediated dephosphorylation.
A few months after our study was published, an article reported that HDAC4 expression levels were inversely correlated with the ability of myocytes to fuse and form myotubes [32]. In 2010, Sun et al. found that a mTOR–miR-1–HDAC4–follistatin pathway was important for myocyte fusion [33]. In addition, mTOR and HDAC4 have both been connected to the endolysosomal pathway, which itself might be linked to myocyte fusion [34,35]. Knockdown of lysosomal associated protein 2 (LAMP-2), a key regulator of endolysosomal biology, impairs myotube formation in C2C12 cells and LAMP-2 deficiency is associated with myopathies [35,36]. HDAC4 may regulate endolysosomal pH, a key feature in endolysosomal function, through the CREB-NEH6 axis [35]. Together with our observations, these studies point towards a key role for HDAC4 in the process of myogenic fusion. Autophagy, a lysosome-mediated degradation pathway is activated during muscle cell differentiation and is required for in vitro myogenesis [36,37]. With regards to fusion, studies have shown that impaired autophagy is associated with reduced myotube formation [37], while others have reported opposite observations. Intriguingly, PP2A, HDAC4 and MEF2 have all been independently linked to the autophagic response [17,35,38,39]. It is thus tempting to speculate that in addition to cytoskeleton regulation, the PP2A-HDAC4-MEF2 axis may also regulate myocyte fusion through activation of alternative pathways, including autophagy. However, we did not observe upregulation of autophagy-related genes in PP2A-Bδ-deficient myocytes.

Collectively, our data refine the historical role of the HDAC4-MEF2 pathway in skeletal myogenesis and shed light on its ability to control both the differentiation and the fusion processes. Nevertheless, our work also leaves a number of unanswered questions: Are there any phosphatase(s) controlling the phosphorylation of other...
class IIa HDACs that are also expressed in muscle cells (i.e. HDAC5, HDAC7 and HDAC9) [40]. Which processes, during myogenesis, are controlled by these unidentified phosphatases: differentiation, fusion, or both? What are the sets of MEF2-dependent genes that are specifically regulated by HDAC5, HDAC7 or HDAC9? Along this line, an exciting possibility would be that each class IIa HDAC phosphatase might ensure the control of specific subsets of MEF2-dependent genes. Beyond myogenesis, the question as to whether class IIa HDACs or MEF2 might also contribute to cell fusion in other biological processes requires further examination.

Interestingly, besides myogenesis, the control of cytoskeleton dynamics by the PP2A-MEF2 axis has also been linked to Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Tau, a microtubule-binding protein is hyperphosphorylated in amyloid plaques. This is a hallmark of AD and interestingly, PP2A-Bα is the major phosphatase regulating Tau phosphorylation [41]. Misregulation of PP2A activity leads to Tau hyperphosphorylation and aberrant microtubule dynamics. Therefore, pharmacological modulation of PP2A activity in AD has attracted a lot of attention [42]. To our knowledge, the role of PP2A-Bδ remains unexplored in neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. In contrast, mutations in MEF2c have also been associated to late-onset of AD while recent observations pointed to a role for HDAC4 in amyloid beta clearance in ApoE4 astrocytes [43–45]. Based on our observations in myocytes, we believe that the potential involvement of the PP2A-Bδ-HDAC4-MEF2 pathway in AD is an interesting line of investigation.

**Therapeutic Perspectives**

Protein phosphorylation is a key regulatory mechanism that is involved in virtually every signaling pathway in mammalian cells. Therefore, it is not surprising that dysregulation of protein phosphorylation has been linked to a plethora of diseases, such as cancer, inflammatory syndromes and Alzheimer’s disease to name only a few [46]. As of 2018, 38 kinase inhibitors had been approved for therapeutic use [47]. Although phosphatases are the opposing arm of protein phosphorylation regulation, they caught less attention than kinases as drug targets and the identification of phosphatase physiological substrates often remains a challenge [48]. For a long time, phosphatases were considered as non-optimal for pharmacological targeting because they were wrongly regarded as enzymes lacking specificity. Even if the growing knowledge about phosphatases and their substrates is slowing shifting this outdated paradigm, the development of phosphatase-targeting drugs to modulate phosphorylation levels of disease proteins remains mostly uncharted territory.

Targeting PP2A is even more challenging because of the involvement of PP2A in a wide range of key biological processes. Potential drugs thus need to target only specific PP2A holoenzymes, in order to avoid major adverse effects, as illustrated by the lethal knock-down of PP2A catalytic subunits in mice [49]. Recently, Leonard et. al identified DT-061, a compound that specifically stabilizes the B56/B’α-PP2A holoenzyme in an active state [50]. This finding paves the way towards the development of innovative therapeutics targeting PP2A holoenzymes [51]. In light of our findings, a drug that would lead to the specific activation of PP2A-Bδ holoenzyme may be useful to favor muscle regeneration in the multiple diseases associated with muscle loss, i.e. sarcopenia or myopathies.

**Conclusions and Future Directions**

Although MEF2 is undisputedly important for myogenesis *in vitro*, its role in *in vivo* models is far less characterized and requires further investigation [3]. Indeed, MEF2 seems to be more prominent for myogenesis in Drosophila than in vertebrates. In mouse and Zebrafish, MEF2 is important for muscle regeneration and sarcomere assembly but seems dispensable for myotome formation. The discrepancies between *in vivo* and *in vitro* observations may lie in the functional redundancies between MEF2 members or splicing isoforms.

Interestingly, the phenotype that we observed after PP2A-Bδ knockdown was similar both *in vitro* (C2C12 mouse myoblasts model) and *in vivo* (zebrafish model). This suggests that interfering with regulators or partners might be a more profitable strategy when attempting to specifically modulate MEF2 biological functions.
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