The association between COVID-19 deaths and short-term ambient air pollution/meteorological condition exposure: a retrospective study from Wuhan, China
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Abstract
The emergence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a worldwide pandemic after its first outbreak in Wuhan, China. However, it remains unclear whether COVID-19 death is linked to ambient air pollutants or meteorological conditions. We collected the daily COVID-19 death number, air quality index (AQI), ambient air pollutant concentrations, and meteorological variables data of Wuhan between Jan 25 and April 7, 2020. The Pearson and Poisson regression models were used accordingly to understand the association between COVID-19 deaths and each risk factor. The daily COVID-19 deaths were positively correlated with AQI (slope = 0.4 ± 0.09, \(R^2 = 0.24\), \(p < 0.01\)). Detailedly, PM\(_{2.5}\) was the only pollutant exhibiting a positive association (relative risk (RR) = 1.079, 95%CI 1.071–1.086, \(p < 0.01\)) with COVID-19 deaths. The PM\(_{10}\), SO\(_2\), and CO were all also significantly associated with COVID-19 deaths, but in negative pattern (\(p < 0.01\)). Among them, PM\(_{10}\) and CO had the highest and lowest RR, which equaled to 0.952 (95%CI 0.945–0.959) and 0.177 (95%CI 0.131–0.24), respectively. Additionally, temperature was inversely associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 0.861, 95%CI 0.851–0.872, \(p < 0.01\)). Contrarily, diurnal temperature range was positively associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 1.014, 95%CI 1.003–1.025, \(p < 0.05\)). The data suggested that PM\(_{2.5}\) and diurnal temperature range are tightly associated with increased COVID-19 deaths.

Keywords COVID-19 • Air pollution • Meteorological conditions • Wuhan • Deaths

Introduction
The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a novel viral infectious disease. Since emerging from Wuhan, China, in late 2019, the COVID-19 has rapidly propagated throughout all Chinese provinces and, subsequently, caused a global pandemic (World Health Organization 2020). By Jun 1, 2020, there are about 7 million confirmed cases and 0.4 million deaths globally (World Health Organization 2020).

Ambient air pollutant is currently considered the most significant environmental cause of respiratory illness-induced death. For example, the fatality of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 was tightly linked to environmental conditions (Wallis and Nerlich 2005) and air pollution (Cui et al. 2003). Previous multi-city studies in Europe showed that ambient air pollution has larger association with respiratory causes of death than other diseases (Atkinson et al. 2014). Recently, some studies further demonstrated that there exists a significant correlation between COVID-19 incidence and ambient air pollution (Bashir et al. 2020; Jiang et al. 2020) as well as meteorological conditions (Jiang et al. 2020). However, the knowledge of association between COVID-19 deaths and short-term air pollution/meteorological conditions exposure remains largely limited (Conticini et al. 2020; Ogen 2020).

Due to the suddenness and global scope of the COVID-19 pandemic, urgent concerns have been raised for the role of air pollution and meteorological conditions on COVID-19 deaths. In the current study, the authors aim to explore the potential association between COVID-19 deaths and air pollutants as well as meteorological variables.
Material and methods

Data sources

The current study period was from Jan 25 to April 7 in 2020, during which Wuhan was placed under strict lockdown. This study used the open-access COVID-19 death number of Wuhan, which was maintained by the Health Commission of Hubei China (Health Commission of the Hubei Province 2020). Daily average ambient air pollutant concentrations were extracted from a publicly available website called the Platform AQI (https://www.aqistudy.cn). The mean concentration of each pollutant was calculated from the average of hourly data from all stations in Wuhan. Overall, six pollutants were included in the current study, which were PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, and O$_3$-8h (maximum 8-h moving average concentrations for O$_3$). The definitions of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ were adopted from US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency 2019b), whose cut-off diameters were 2.5 and 10 μm, respectively. The daily air quality index (AQI) based on PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was calculated from US EPA AQI calculator (United States Environmental Protection Agency 2020). The AQI is an index for reporting daily air quality, which is divided into six intervals to help the general population understand what local air quality means to their health (US EPA 2012). Three environmental variables were extracted from the Weather Channel (www.weather.com), including the daily temperature, relative humidity, and diurnal temperature range.

Data analysis

The effects of ambient air pollutants and meteorological variables on the COVID-19 deaths were studied using the GraphPad Prism® 8.0 (La Jolla California, USA). First, we analyzed the mean value (± standard deviations) of daily AQI, ambient air pollutant concentrations, meteorological variables, and COVID-19 death number. Next, a time series analysis using the Pearson regression model was conducted to examine the associations between overall air quality (using AQI) and COVID-19 deaths on a daily bases. Finally, the association between all risk factors and COVID-19 deaths was evaluated by the Poisson regression model. Based on this finding, the association between ambient air pollutants and COVID-19 deaths was further studied by using the Poisson regression (Table 2). Our data exhibited that among all pollutants, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, and CO were strongly associated with daily COVID-19 deaths (all p < 0.01). Among these four pollutants, PM$_{2.5}$ was the only variable that exhibited a positive association (relative risk (RR) = 1.079, 95%CI 1.071–1.086) with COVID-19 deaths. Among those inversely associated with COVID-19 deaths, the PM$_{10}$ and CO had the highest and lowest RR, which equaled to 0.952 (95%CI 0.945–0.959) and 0.177 (95%CI 0.131–0.24), respectively.

We further studied the effect of three meteorological variables on COVID-19 deaths (Table 3). Our data exhibited that temperature was significantly, but inversely, associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 0.861, 95%CI 0.851–0.872, p < 0.01). However, diurnal temperature range was positively associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 1.014, 95%CI 1.003–1.025, p < 0.05).

Results

Table 1 was a collection of detailed information on daily AQI, air pollutant concentrations, meteorological variables, and COVID-19 death number. Starting on Jan 25, 45 deaths of COVID-19 patients were reported. The deaths gradually increased and peaked on Feb 12 (216 deaths). After that, the deaths started decreasing and dropped to 0 on April 6.

Next, we studied the potential correlation between COVID-19 deaths and air quality. Since the AQI provides an overall assessment of air quality, we first used AQI to explore the potential correlation between air quality and COVID-19 deaths. For accurate fitness, the COVID-19 deaths on Feb 12 were removed from the analysis as it stood as an outlier data point in the analysis. The data revealed that AQI was positively correlated with daily COVID-19 deaths (slope = 0.4 ± 0.09, R$^2$ = 0.24, p < 0.01) (Fig. 1), indicating worse air quality is paralleled with increased COVID-19 deaths. Based on this finding, the association between ambient air pollutants and COVID-19 deaths was further studied by using the Poisson regression (Table 2). Our data exhibited that among all pollutants, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, and CO were strongly associated with daily COVID-19 deaths (all p < 0.01). Among these four pollutants, PM$_{2.5}$ was the only variable that exhibited a positive association (relative risk (RR) = 1.079, 95%CI 1.071–1.086) with COVID-19 deaths. Among those inversely associated with COVID-19 deaths, the PM$_{10}$ and CO had the highest and lowest RR, which equaled to 0.952 (95%CI 0.945–0.959) and 0.177 (95%CI 0.131–0.24), respectively.

We further studied the effect of three meteorological variables on COVID-19 deaths (Table 3). Our data exhibited that temperature was significantly, but inversely, associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 0.861, 95%CI 0.851–0.872, p < 0.01). However, diurnal temperature range was positively associated with COVID-19 deaths (RR = 1.014, 95%CI 1.003–1.025, p < 0.05).

Discussion

Emerging studies suggested that COVID-19 incidence is associated with air pollution and meteorological conditions. However, whether air pollution and meteorological conditions could affect COVID-19 deaths remains unclear. In the current study, the authors provided some preliminary evidence showing that PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, and CO showed a prominent association with COVID-19 deaths, but only that of PM$_{2.5}$ is positive. Additionally, temperature and diurnal temperature range also played a role in increasing and decreasing COVID-19 deaths, respectively.

Multiple prior studies had linked higher concentrations of ambient PM with increased respiratory causes of death (Park et al. 2020). For example, influenza-induced excess mortality was reported in Milan during winter 2016–2017, which was strongly associated with concomitant PM pollution (Murtas and Russo 2019). The SARS fatality also demonstrated a positive association with PM pollution in Chinese population in...
While there is no shortage of reviews focusing on PM and health, the information regarding the outcome of exposure to PM on COVID-19 is limited. So far, only Frontera et al. (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), and Zhu et al. (2020) had investigated this issue in Italy, USA, and China, respectively, and all of them showed that severe PM2.5 pollution is linked to higher mortality in COVID-19 patients. In the current study, our data agreed with these studies as we have also shown that PM2.5 is positively associated with COVID-19 deaths in Wuhan. More interestingly, our data exhibited that PM10 is also tightly correlated with COVID-19 deaths, but in a negative pattern. The author believed that this divergent effect between PM2.5 and PM10 might be size-related. According to US EPA, the PM could be divided into PM2.5 and PM10 based on its diameter (United States Environmental Protection Agency 2019a). Although both PM features prolonged air floating capacity and could penetrate into the respiratory tract, the PM2.5 could pass the pulmonary defense barrier, disseminate into alveoli, or even enter blood circulation (Falcon-Rodriguez et al. 2016). Comparing to the strong penetration ability of PM2.5, PM10 could only reach the tracheobronchial region (Hinds 1999), which could be removed by host immune more easily. Additionally, previous studies had also demonstrated the PM could induce cellular overexpression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor (Aztatzi-Aguilar et al. 2015; Frontera et al. 2020; Lin et al. 2018). Since the SARS-CoV-2 uses the ACE2 to invade host cells (Wan et al. 2020), PM2.5 appears to surpass PM10 in increasing alveolar viral load and aggravating viral infection. However, the PM2.5 is comprised of multiple other possibly relevant particles rather than being a singular particle (Croft et al. 2020). Moreover, it is still under debate that ambient particles could actually transport viruses that are still viral enough to cause COVID-19. Thus, the hypothesis proposing tight association between PM2.5 and COVID-19 deaths requires further laboratory study for confirmation.

Our study found that ambient PM10 is inversely associated with COVID-19 deaths. This finding is contrary to the observation from literatures. For example, Yao et al. (2020b) reported that PM10 increased the COVID-19 case fatality rate in 49 Chinese cities. In the subsequent study, Yao et al. (2020a) further concluded that PM10 could increase the case fatality rate of COVID-19 patients with mild to severe disease by host immune more easily.
progression in Wuhan. Although the cause of this discrepancy is unknown, we think this might be related to the exact COVID-19 death number we used in the current study rather than the case fatality rate. In Wuhan, the COVID-19 incidence reached its peak on Feb 12 and started to drop afterward. Meanwhile, the peak-plateau of COVID-19 death number lasts from Feb 12 to 23. Thus, there is a reverse variation tendency between net death number and case fatality rate during this period of time in Wuhan. In addition, previous studies also presented disagreement on the association between PM$_{10}$ and COVID-19 transmission (Jiang et al. 2020; Zhu et al. 2020). Thus, the role of PM$_{10}$ on COVID-19 deaths is largely unknown and needs future epidemiological and laboratory studies with larger sample size.

Relationships have also been previously studied between meteorological conditions and severe outcomes in the context of respiratory illness. For example, an inverse correlation had been reported between respiratory disease mortality and temperature (Fallah Ghalhari and Mayvaneh 2016; Pinheiro Sde et al. 2014). The association between diurnal temperature range and respiratory disease mortality has also been previously presented (Kim et al. 2016). In 2003, temperature and diurnal temperature range had been suggested to affect the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak in Guangdong, China (Tan et al. 2005). Recently, some studies had provided some preliminary evidence indicating the COVID-19 transmission may be partially suppressed with temperature increase (Liu et al. 2020; Wu et al. 2020). In this contribution, a recent study presented an inverse association between COVID-19 deaths and temperature (Fareed et al. 2020), which matched the conclusion from the current study. However, few studies so far have investigated the association of diurnal temperature range with COVID-19 deaths. Literature reviewing came back only one short-term epidemiological investigation, which reported that diurnal temperature ranges were positively correlated with daily COVID-19 deaths (Ma et al. 2020). The results from the current study agreed with this prior study. However, since our study was based on data from a longer investigation period, the conclusion from the current study tends to be more reliable.

There are several limitations that must be acknowledged in the current study. First, other than the daily death number, the detailed demographic data of COVID-19 deaths were unavailable. As reported in the previous study, COVID-19 death is more common in people with advanced age (mean age 78.5) and with underlying conditions (Istituto Superiore di Sanità 2020). Thus, there exists a large overlap between external causes of death and underlying health problems in COVID-19 patients. Second, the current study is conducted only in Wuhan, China, but not in other countries on other continents. Lack of comparison (e.g., a big city with good AQI) could be a drawback of the current study. Future studies should explore the association between ambient air pollutants/meteorological variables with COVID-19 death cases with detailed clinical data from multiple regions. Last but not the least, China has recently increased Wuhan’s COVID-19 death toll by 50%. This was mainly due to the breakdown of the health care system during the pandemics, which made the death counts inaccurate. Thus, the finding from the current study might require verification of future studies from other world regions.

In conclusion, our studies demonstrated COVID-19 deaths in Wuhan has a positive association with PM$_{2.5}$ and diurnal temperature range. Meanwhile, the death number is inversely associated with PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, and daily temperature.
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