A Dynamic View of Molecular Switch Behavior at Serotonin Receptors: Implications for Functional Selectivity
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Abstract

Functional selectivity is a property of G protein-coupled receptors that allows them to preferentially couple to particular signaling partners upon binding of biased agonists. Publication of the X-ray crystal structure of serotonergic 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptors in complex with ergotamine, a drug capable of activating G protein coupling and β-arrestin signaling at the 5-HT1B receptor but clearly favoring β-arrestin over G protein coupling at the 5-HT2B subtype, has recently provided structural insight into this phenomenon. In particular, these structures highlight the importance of specific residues, also called micro-switches, for differential receptor activation. In our work, we apply classical molecular dynamics simulations and enhanced sampling approaches to analyze the behavior of these micro-switches and their impact on the stabilization of particular receptor conformational states. Our analysis shows that differences in the conformational freedom of helix 6 between both receptors could explain their different G protein-coupling capacity. In particular, as compared to the 5-HT1B receptor, helix 6 movement in the 5-HT2B receptor can be constrained by two different mechanisms. On the one hand, an anchoring effect of ergotamine, which shows an increased capacity to interact with the extracellular part of helices 5 and 6 and stabilize them, hinders activation of a hydrophobic connector region at the center of the receptor. On the other hand, this connector region in an inactive conformation is further stabilized by unconserved contacts extending to the intracellular part of the 5-HT2B receptor, which hamper opening of the G protein binding site. This work highlights the importance of considering receptor capacity to adopt different conformational states from a dynamic perspective in order to underpin the structural basis of functional selectivity.

Introduction

The phenomenon of functional selectivity, by which G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) can differentially activate particular intracellular signaling pathways upon interaction with biased agonists [1], is currently shedding light into the complex mechanisms of GPCR function, as well as unveiling new opportunities for the discovery of safer and more efficacious drugs possessing pathway selectivity [2–5]. This complex receptor behavior has been linked to the existence of several receptor conformational states with a different ability to couple to intracellular signal transducers [6,7]. However, despite the increasing availability of GPCR crystal structures, the nature of these diverse receptor conformations and the structural basis of their differential interactions with ligands and intracellular signaling proteins is still unsolved. In this context, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations can complement X-ray structural data by yielding information on the stability of different ligand-receptor interactions as well as on the capability of these interactions to favor particular receptor conformational states [8].

In the present study, we have applied all-atom MD simulations, covering a simulation time of more than 6 μs, to analyze the structural basis of biased signaling at serotonin receptors. For this purpose, we have studied two recently crystallized GPCRs: the serotonergic 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptors (5-HT1B and 5-HT2B, PDB IDs: 4IAR and 4IB4) [9,10]. These receptors have been crystallized in complex with ergotamine, an anti-migraine drug which can activate to similar extents Gi protein and β-arrestin coupling at the 5-HT1B receptor but favors β-arrestin over Gi protein coupling at the 5-HT2B. Understanding selectivity at these receptors is of special interest as agonism at the 5-HT1B has been associated to the anti-migraine effect of ergotamine while agonism at the 5-HT2B seems to be related to undesired valvulopathic effects [11,12]. In their accompanying publications, the authors analyze the particular conformations of a series of residues - known as molecular micro-switches [13] - which are supposed to determine the nature of receptor activation. In particular, they relate the different receptor states observed in the crystals to a particular combination of activation states of these micro-switches. In the 5-HT1B, they associate increased G
protein coupling to a higher degree of activation of helix VI, which can be linked to an active state of the P-I-F motif at the base of the ligand binding pocket and also of the D/E/RY motif in the intracellular opening of the receptor (Figure 1). In the 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR}, these two switches are in their intermediate or inactive state but, conversely, helix VII seems to be in an active-like state as exemplified by the conformation of Y\textsubscript{7.53} of the NPxxY motif, an observation which the authors link to higher β-arrestin coupling capability.

However, the analysis of the static picture that crystal structures provide makes it difficult to understand how information on the different states of individual switches is transmitted across the receptors; in particular, if we consider the relative spatial separation between the different switches, as well as their distance to the ligand orthosteric binding pocket. Fortunately, the progress made in accelerated molecular dynamics simulations [14] is nowadays helping to gain insight into different aspects of GPCR function such as receptor activation-inactivation processes [15], stabilization of different receptor populations by agonists and inverse agonists [16] or even ligand binding [17,18]. In our case, this technique allows obtaining a dynamic view of the molecular basis of ergotamine-dependent signaling at the 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR}.

**Results**

In order to evaluate the behavior of both receptors, we have run unbiased MD simulations of 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR} consisting of 5 independent replicates per system of 500 ns each (for further details please refer to Table 1 and to the Methods Section).

**Ergotamine Interactions**

Our results show that the positions of ergotamine in the binding pocket of both receptors are stable over the simulated time (with an average RMSD of 1.98 Å for the 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} receptor and 1.94 Å for the 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR} receptor) and consistent with the ones presented in the recently published crystal structures. Thus, ergotamine adopts a similar position in the orthosteric binding pocket of both receptors (Figure 1A, see Figure S1 for a detailed representation), but establishes increased contacts with an extended binding pocket in the extracellular part of helix 5 of the 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR}, which are not present in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} (depicted in yellow in Figure 1A).

**Figure 1.** Ergotamine structure and schematic representation of the position of micro-switches in the ergotamine-serotonin receptor complexes. X-crystal structures are shown in thick sticks representation whereas simulation shows frames every 200 ns of the 2.5 μs concatenated trajectories. A) Representative ergotamine poses (depicted in orange) in its binding pocket (top ten receptor interacting residues in yellow). The extracellular part of helix 5 (grey) forms and additional turn stabilized by water (red) in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR} receptor. Extended interactions of ergotamine with helix 5 can anchor together helix 5 and 6 (grey dashed line connecting yellow interacting residues), an effect which is not seen in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} receptor (red cross) B) Relative positions of F\textsubscript{6.44} of the P-I-F motif in both receptors and amount of helix 6 rotation (from residue 6.44 to 6.50) measured with the SIMULAID framework for the analysis of MD simulations (inset). C) Different conformations of the residues forming the D/RKY motif in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1BR} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2BR} receptors.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109312.g001
Table 1. Details of the molecular dynamics simulations performed.

| Simulation description | Length  | Replicates |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R with ergotamine | 500 ns  | 5*         |
| 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R apo form | 500 ns  | 1*         |
| 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R F\textsubscript{6.44}L mutant | 500 ns  | 1          |
| 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R with LSD | 500 ns  | 1*         |
| 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R metadynamics | 200 ns  | 6          |
| Total simulation time  | 8.7 \(\mu\)s |

*This number of replicates was performed for each receptor.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109312.

Differential Molecular Switch Stability

After corroborating the stability of the differential ergotamine/receptor interactions observed in the crystal structures, we assessed the behavior of the proposed molecular micro-switches, which have been linked to differential G protein coupling. One of these switches is the so-called P-I-F motif. This switch - which is formed by residues P\textsubscript{5.55}, I\textsubscript{3.40} and F\textsubscript{6.44} - seems to be in different activation states in the two serotonin receptor crystal structures. Specifically, in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R, F\textsubscript{6.44} adopts a conformation facing helix 5 (Figure 1B (left) active F\textsubscript{6.44} state), which is close to the one found in the active state of the \(\beta\)-adrenergic crystal structure in complex with a G protein (PDB ID: 3SN6 [20]). In contrast, in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R, this residue is located nearer to helix 7 (Figure 1B (right) inactive F\textsubscript{6.44} state) and, therefore, is closer to the position of F\textsubscript{6.44} in the carazolol-bound inactive form of the \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptor (PDB ID: 2RH1 [21]). Importantly, monitoring the dynamic properties of these switches revealed an interesting behavior that is not deducible from the static picture that X-ray structures provide. In detail, while in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R the inactive conformation of F\textsubscript{6.44} prevails, in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R there is a higher transition between active/inactive states of this residue with a preference for the active state (Table 2, Figure 1B). This active state preference seems to be related to an increased capacity of the upper part of helix 6 to rotate visiting its active and its inactive states by spanning a 12° region (inset, Figure 1B and Figure S4). The degree of rotation seems to be modulated by contacts of ergotamine with both helix 5 and 6 in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R (Figure 1A, grey dashed line and Figure S1). These contacts, which are not present in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R, contribute to an anchoring of helix 6 in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B} receptor which shows a maximal rotation of around 5° (inset, Figure 1B and Figure S5). This behavior is also consistent with the hypothesis by Wacker et al. linking a higher degree of interaction of ergotamine with helix 5 in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R with a lower degree of mobility of helix 6 which, in turn, would hamper receptor conformational changes related to G protein activation [10].

Another important micro-switch, the D/E/R for motif, has been closely related to the capacity of receptors to couple with the C-terminus region of G proteins [20,21]. In the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R crystal structure, R\textsubscript{3.50} and D\textsubscript{3.49} form a salt bridge, a characteristic interaction found in inactivated GPCRs [10]. Conversely, in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R, this bridge is broken, potentially allowing interaction of R\textsubscript{3.50} with a G protein. Noteworthy, our dynamic models (Figure 1C) show that there is not just a static interaction state between R\textsubscript{3.50} and D\textsubscript{3.49}, but rather an equilibrium between an established and a disrupted salt bridge. Nevertheless, in agreement with the crystal structures, the calculated percentage of salt bridge formation over the total simulation for both systems shows a predominately open (active) state for the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R and a predominantly closed (inactive) state for the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R (Table 2). Besides that, the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R presents a higher tendency for inactivation, as the ionic lock formed by the interaction of residues R\textsubscript{3.50} and E\textsubscript{3.30}, which controls receptor opening and closure at the intracellular side, tends to close, while remaining open in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R (see Figure 2 and Table 2). As a control, we also simulated both receptors in the absence of ergotamine. As expected, we observe receptor inactivation in both apo forms (Figure S6). However, the ionic lock transits quicker to the inactivated state in the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R compared to the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R.

Receptor Determinants of Micro-Switch Behavior

At this point, a fundamental question arose about the mechanisms of signal propagation between the distantly located ionic lock and the P-I-F motif. Analysis of the receptor region adjacent to the ionic lock and the P-I-F motif residues, led us to propose a hydrophobic connector region that mediates communication between these functionally relevant switches (Figure 2). Thus, as we can observe in Figure 2 (right), the inactive state of E\textsubscript{6.41} in the P-I-F motif of the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R seems to be stabilized by hydrophobic interactions with L\textsubscript{3.45} in helix 3 and with residue F\textsubscript{6.41} in its same helix; which are not formed in the 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}R (left, red cross). Due to this stronger intramolecular helical packing between helix 3 and 6 towards the intracellular side of the 5-HT\textsubscript{2B}R, the receptor seems to be less prone to the intracellular...
opening motion of helix 6 which is necessary for G protein coupling. This is indicated by the 5-HT2BR tendency to preferentially adopt a closed ionic lock conformation (Table 2). Interestingly, virtual mutation of residue F6.41 near the P-I-F motif at the 5-HT2BR to a leucine, as found in the 5-HT1BR, results in an altered receptor behavior with an ionic lock and a P-I-F motif capable of transiting to their active states (Figure S7). Conversely, MD simulations of the 5-HT2BR in complex with LSD, a compound lacking the tripeptide moiety of ergotamine and promoting a lower degree of bias at this receptor [10], did not show a transition to an activated state of the ionic lock and the P-I-F motif (Figure S8). These results would point to a higher impact of sequence differences on receptor activation propensity as opposed to differences in ligand-receptor interactions (LSD vs. ergotamine) due to their different proximity to the studied molecular switches. In this regard, future availability of receptor crystals containing LSD would allow clarifying the current picture.

In parallel to the virtual mutagenesis studies, an additional proof on the importance of hydrophobic interactions in the (in)activation of the connector region of the 5-HT2BR, came from enhanced MD sampling using a metadynamics approach. In particular, we assessed the impact of the conformation of F6.44 on the activation state of F6.44 in the P-I-F motif. As a measure of the activation state, we used the distance to helix 5 of residues F6.44 and F6.41 (d1 and d2) as collective variables (CVs) (Figure 3, structural insets). This distance was selected after analyzing the P-I-F motif in the aforementioned β2-adrenergic receptor X-ray crystal structures in their activated and inactivate state, which led us to define the following activation threshold: inactive state >9.5 Å ≥ active state. Interestingly, the two-dimensional free energy profile obtained from metadynamics (total simulation time: 1.2 μs) revealed an (in)activation pathway of residue F6.44 in the P-I-F motif that involves a concerted motion with residue F6.41 (Figure 3). In detail, starting from the inactive structure (d1 and d2 > 9.5 Å), F6.41 undergoes a conformational change approaching helix 5 (d2 < 9.5 Å, see intermediate state in Figure 3), thus disrupting stabilizing hydrophobic interactions between F6.41 and F6.44. This

| Molecular switch       | 5-HT1B simulations[a] | 5-HT2B simulations[a] |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| F6.44 activation [b]   | 54%                   | 32%                   |
| D3.49-R3.50 salt bridge formation | 38%                   | 77%                   |
| Ionic lock closure     | 14%                   | 73%                   |

[a] Calculated over 2.5 is simulation time per system.
[b] Measured as distance towards helix 5 (see Figure 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109312.t002

Figure 2. Hydrophobic connector region formed by positions 3.43, 6.41 and 6.44 between helices 3 and 6. In this figure, we see that in the 5-HT1BR (left) the P-I-F motif adopts an active conformation and the ionic lock remains open, while in the 5-HT2BR (right), these switches are preferentially in an inactivated state. This can be related to the fact that in the 5-HT2BR, the inactive conformation of F6.44 in the P-I-F motif is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions with 6.41 and L1.44. Stronger contacts between helices 3 and 6 favor the formation of the ionic lock (R3.50-E6.30) in this receptor. In contrast, these interactions are mainly lost in the 5-HT1BR (red cross) due to sequence differences. In line with this observation, analysis of water occupancy at bulk concentration shows that in the 5-HT2BR receptor the hydrophobic interactions between helix 3 and 6 hamper the formation of a water channel (in blue) present in the 5-HT1BR receptor. That seems to impact the position of residue 7.53 (purple) which is stable in the 5-HT2BR receptor but moves towards helix 2 in the 5-HT1B receptor (red arrow).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109312.g002
The intermediate state seems to allow a conformational change of F6.44 towards its active state ($d_1 < 9.5\,\text{Å}$; see active state in Figure 3). Noteworthily, according to this energetic map, F6.44 cannot transit to its active conformation ($d_1 < 9.5\,\text{Å}$) unless F6.41 has already adopted an active conformation itself ($d_2 < 9.5\,\text{Å}$).

Interestingly, the importance of the presence of this hydrophobic connector is also reflected by the analysis of water entrance to the intracellular part of both receptors. As we can see in Figure 2, hydrophobic interactions between helix 3 and 6 hamper the entrance of water to the G protein binding site of the 5-HT2B receptor. Conversely, in the 5-HT1B receptor, the increased degree of receptor opening allows the formation of a water channel. The different degree of water entrance to both receptors, in turn, can help explain the position adopted by Y7.53 of the NPxxY motif (a switch which Wacker et al. associate with $\beta$-arrestin coupling) [10]. In our simulations, Y7.53 preserves its crystal structure position at the 5-HT2B receptor and faces water at the intracellular receptor opening (Figure 2, right). However, upon formation of the water channel in the 5-HT1B receptor, Y7.53 adopts a conformation facing helix 2 (see red arrow in Figure 2 left). Accordingly, analysis of distance between Y7.53 and helices 2 and 3 during the total simulation time (Figure S9) allows seeing how in the 5-HT1B receptor this residue abandons the initial state present in the crystal structure and tends to occupy a region closer to helix 2 and more distant to helix 3, thus separating from the center of the helix bundle. In the 5-HT2B receptor, conversely, Y7.53 movement is more restrained and this residue adopts a position away from helix 2 and closer to helix 3, thus maintaining an active conformation as described in the crystal structure publication. Notably, stabilization of Y7.53 in a position near helix 2 as the one observed for the 5-HT1B receptor has previously been described in simulations of other GPCRs, and could correspond to an intermediate residue state previous to G protein coupling [23,24].

**Discussion**

In summary, extended MD simulations can add highly relevant information to the recently obtained X-ray structures of the 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptors. Results presented in this work allow us to draw a picture on the structural basis of the different functional states of serotonin receptors. These differences are related to both the effect of ergotamine interactions with the

**Figure 3. Two-dimensional free energy profile of the connector region of the 5-HT2B receptor.** To create this map two collective variables were used: the first coordinate ($d_1$) is the distance between C-4 of the F6.44 and the $C_\alpha$ of residues 5.50, while the second one ($d_2$) is the distance between the C-4 of F6.41 and the $C_\alpha$ of residue 5.54. As we can see, an active state of F6.44 only exists when residue F6.41 has adopted an active conformation and broken their hydrophobic contact. The point 4IB4 represents distances as they are found in the crystal structure of the 5-HT2B receptor.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109312.g003
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receptors, as well as with differences in sequence conservation between the 5-HT1B and 5-HT2BR. In the first place, differential interactions of ergotamine with the top of helices 5 and 6 (Figure 1A) determine the rotational freedom of helix 6 (Figure 1B) that, in turn, impacts the orientation and conformational properties of the P-I-F motif (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the importance of ligand interactions with an extended binding site on modulation of receptor signaling has also been experimentally observed in other GPCRs, as in the case of allosteric modulation of muscarinic M2 receptors [25,26].

Besides that, our results suggest that an unconserved hydrophobic connector region between the P-I-F motif and the ionic lock (Figure 2) could be a key structural element differently impacting the formation of a water channel. This, in turn, would relate to the degree of receptor opening (disrupted ionic lock), which determines G protein coupling. The differential tendency of the G protein binding site to open can help explaining why G protein coupling is stronger in the 5-HT1B and lower in the 5-HT2BR, whereas beta-arrestin can be activated by both receptors, resulting in functional selectivity. All in all, our results provide new mechanistic insight into the basis of the connection between ligand binding, conserved micro-switches and G protein coupling from a structural perspective and are in accordance with previously proposed GPCR activation mechanisms [15,27,28]. In this way, we have shown how MD simulations can complement experimental structural determinations by shedding light into the subtle crosstalk between receptor regions which, in the case of GPCR functional selectivity, ultimately determine differences in receptor signaling. This information, however, will clearly benefit from additional information coming from mutagenesis studies and from the structural analysis of these receptors in their G protein-coupled state and, naturally, also in complex with β-arrestin. This structural data will shed light into remaining questions on receptor activation such as the importance of the NPxxY motif for β-arrestin coupling. This type of information, together with a dynamic perspective as the one presented in this work, can provide a starting point for the rational selection of compounds engaging particular micro-switches to different extents and, in this way, favoring a particular intracellular activity pattern.

Methods

System preparation

5-HT1B and 5-HT2BR receptors (PDB IDs 4AR [9] and 4B4 [10]) were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank. Residues were assigned numbers according to the numbering scheme proposed by Ballesteros and Weinstein [19] and the region of the crystal corresponding to the fusion protein BRIL was removed for the subsequent simulations. The protonation state of titratable groups was predicted for a pH value at 7.4 based on PROPKA [29] using the implemented prediction tool of the MOE package [30]. Subsequently, in order to place both receptors into the bilayer membrane, a hole was generated in a pre-equilibrated palmitoyl-luteinylphosphadithylocline (POPC) bilayer – generated using the CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder [31] – by removing POPC molecules. Lipids which were in close contact with the protein atoms (<1 Å distance from any protein atoms) were deleted. Finally, the coordinates for water and ions were generated using the solvate and autoionize modules of VMD 1.9.1 [32]. The ionic strength was kept at 0.15 M by NaCl and we used the TIP3 water model. The all-atom models of each system were generated by using the Amber99SB force-field parameters and ergotamine, LSD and POPC were parameterized using Antechamber from AmberTools 11 [33]. To obtain the systems of LSD in complex with both 5-HT receptors, we simply modified ergotamine to create LSD. This involved deletion of N-substitution of the lysergamide of ergotamine and subsequent addition of two ethyl groups yielding N,N-diethyl-lysergamide (LSD). The system was parameterized as mentioned above.

Molecular dynamics simulations

Simulations were performed using ACEMD [14,34] using the following protocol: In a first stage, each system was submitted to a minimization procedure for 3000 steps. In a second stage, the system was equilibrated using the NPT ensemble with a target pressure equal to 1.01325 bar, a time-step of 2 f s and using the RATTLE algorithm for the hydrogen atoms. In this stage, the harmonic constraints applied to the heavy atoms of the protein and ligand were progressively reduced from an initial value of 10 kcal/mol/A ˚ until an elastic constant force equal to 0 kcal/mol and the temperature was increased to 300 K. The purpose of this relaxation phase is to allow for a complete adjustment of membrane lipids to the receptor, thus filling non-physiological gaps between receptor and membrane lipids. All the simulations were conducted using the same non-bonded interaction parameters, with a cutoff of 9 Å, a smooth switching function of 7.5 Å and the non-bonded pair list set to 9 Å. The periodic boundary conditions were set to a size of 78×78×88, and for the long range electrostatics we used the PME methodology with a grid spacing of 1 Å. In a third stage, production phases were performed using the NVT ensemble with aforementioned parameters but a time-step of 4 fs, and a hydrogen scaling factor of 4 (please see simulation data in File S1). This timestep is possible due to the implementation of the hydrogen mass re-partitioning scheme in the ACEMD code [35]. Simulations were performed for 500 ns for individually generated starting structures (by performing stages 1 to 3). Importantly, individually-generated starting structures allow a more robust statistical analysis and thus the detection of relevant dynamic events that are independent from the starting structures.

Metadynamics simulations

In order to enhance the sampling of the hydrophobic connector region including the residues F6.41 and F6.44, we applied a metadynamics approach as implemented in the PLUMED software plugin [36]. In order to describe the behavior of the hydrophobic connector region, we chose a two-dimensional reaction coordinate: the first dimension (d1) is the distance between C-4 of the F6.44 and the C-4 of F6.41 and the Cz of residues 5.50, while the second one (d2) is the distance between the C4 of F6.41 and the Cz of residue 5.54 (see Figure 3). Metadynamics runs were executed using a Gaussian hill height of 0.1 kcal/mol and hill widths of 0.1 Å along both d1 and d2. The deposition rate was one hill every 4 ps, with a well-tempered bias factor of 10 [37]. To obtain a well-sampled free-energy surface, we used the multiple walker approach [38], in which several simulations explore the same free-energy landscape and interact by contributing to the same history-dependent bias potential every 20 ps. For this purpose, we used 6 walkers starting from the equilibrated receptor structure which was used for the MD production run. The system was simulated for a total of 1.2 μs using 6 walkers (200 ns each). General simulation parameters were kept as described for the production run in the previous section (please see simulation data in File S2).

Data Analysis

Molecular images were produced using VMD 1.9.1 [32] and statistical analysis and plots were obtained using the R software [39]. Activation of molecular switches was measured as follows: i)
F6.44 and F6.41 activation was measured by monitoring distance to helix 5 (measured, respectively as distance between C-4 of F6.44 and Cα of residue 5.50 and distance between C-4 of F6.41 and Cα of residue 5.54); residues were considered active when distance to H5 was equal or lower than 9.5 Å. ii) Ionic lock opening was measured by monitoring distance between Cα of R3.50 and of Cβ of E6.30; the lock was considered closed when this distance was equal or lower than 5 Å. iii) Y7.53 orientation was measured by determining the distance from its OH group to the Cα of residues 3.50 and 2.40. Furthermore, assessment of helix 5 helicity in the extracellular region was evaluated using the secondary structure predictor of the Timeline plugin of VMD. The free-energy surface plot was generated using GNUPLOT [40]. Finally, helix 6 rotation measures and representations were obtained using the Trajelix module [41] of the SIMULAID framework for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations [42].

Supporting Information

Additional figures (S1 to S9) as well as protocols and topologies used to run molecular dynamics simulations can be found in the Supporting Information.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Detailed representation of ergotamine in the binding pocket of the 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptors. Analysis of the highest interacting residues across the replicates (using a cutoff distance of 3 Å to the ligand) yields ergotamine interactions corresponding to the ones described by Wacker et al. [10]. Notably, differential contacts between the ligand and both receptors (depicted in purple) reveal a slightly deeper binding of ergotamine at the 5-HT1B, reflected by higher contacts with residue W5.46, and an increased contact of the ligand with helix 5 in the 5-HT2B, seen in the interaction with M3.39. The frequency of contacts between ergotamine and the different receptor residues depicted in the binding pocket representation can be quantitatively assessed in the bar plots at the lower part of this figure (please note that purple residues also correspond to differential ligand-receptor contacts).

(TIFF)

Figure S2 Analysis of water occupancy in the extracellular receptor region. Analysis of water occupancy at both receptors reflects the different space occupied by ergotamine in its extended binding pocket. While in the 5-HT1B there is enough space between the ligand and helix 5 to allow water entrance, in the 5-HT2B, ergotamine is closer to helix 5 and we see an increased water entrance at the level of helix 3.

(TIFF)

Figure S3 Water-receptor interactions at the 5-HT2B. Detail of a representative snapshot showing stabilizing interactions between a water molecule and the extracellular part of helix 5.

(TIFF)

Figure S4 Degree of helix 5 helicity at its extracellular region. This was assessed using the VMD Timeline plugin over the total simulation time of each receptor (2.5 µs). As we can observe, while in the 5-HT1B this region maintains a coiled secondary structure, in the 5-HT2B the equivalent residues can adopt a turn conformation corresponding either to an α-helix or to a 3–10 helix. Secondary structure assignment via VMD of the original crystal conformations is provided below each of the plots.

(TIFF)

Figure S5 Comparison of the amount of helix 6 rotation considering the extracellular half of the 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B receptors. The amount of helix 6 rotation ranging from position 6.44 to position 6.60 was measured using the Trajelix module of the SIMULAID framework for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. This analysis measured rotation around the helix axis perpendicular to the membrane by considering the Cα alpha residues at each receptor. As we can see, helix 6 is capable of rotating to a higher degree in the 5-HT1B.

(TIFF)

Figure S6 Inactivation of the 5-HT1B and the 5-HT2B in the absence of ergotamine. The upper plots monitor distance between residues forming the ionic lock (in particular of Cα of R3.50 and of Cβ of E6.30). The lower plot monitors distance of F6.41 to helix 5 in both receptors (dark green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cα of residue 5.50 on H5) and distance to H5 of F6.41 in the 5-HT2B (light green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cβ of residue 5.54 on H5). In these plots, we can see how, in both receptors, the ionic lock tends to close in the absence of ergotamine. In the 5-HT2B, however, this process is much faster than in the 5-HT1B. Regarding the P-I-F motif, F6.41 adopts an inactivated position from the beginning of the simulation, which is maintained over the 500 ns.

(TIFF)

Figure S7 Dynamic behavior of a representative replica of the wild type 5-HT2B and of a 5-HT2BF6.41L mutant. The upper plot monitors distance between residues forming the ionic lock (in particular of Cα of R3.50 and of Cβ of E6.30). The lower plot monitors distance of F6.41 to helix 5 (dark green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cα of residue 5.50 on H5) and distance to H5 of F6.41 in the 5-HT2B (light green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cβ of residue 5.54 on H5) in the case of the wild type receptor distance to H5 of F6.41 in the 5-HT2B is also monitored (light green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cβ of residue 5.54 on H5). These plots show how, in this mutant receptor, the ionic lock and residue F6.41 show a bigger tendency to be in their active state.

(TIFF)

Figure S8 Dynamic behavior of the 5-HT1B and 5-HT2B in complex with LSD. A) Analysis of the highest interacting residues with LSD (using a cutoff distance of 3 Å to the ligand). Purple residues correspond to differential ligand-receptor contacts while residues with an increased font represent different interactions as compared to simulations including ergotamine. B) The upper plot monitors distance between residues forming the ionic lock (in particular of Cα of R3.50 and of Cβ of E6.30). The lower plot monitors distance of F6.41 to helix 5 (dark green line, measured as distance between C-4 of this residue and Cα of residue 5.50 on H5). In the case of the 5-HT2B, however, this process is much faster than in the 5-HT1B. Regarding the P-I-F motif, F6.41 adopts an inactivated position from the beginning of the simulation, which is maintained over the 500 ns.

(TIFF)

Figure S9 Scatterplot describing the relative distances of Y7.53 of the NPαXY motif to different receptor helices over the simulation replicates of both receptors. As we can see, in the 5-HT1B receptor (grey points), Y7.53 maintains a conformation closer to H3 (distance measured from OH of Y7.53 to Cα of residue 3.50). Conversely, in the 5-HT1B (black points), this tyrosine adopts a position closer to H2 (reference atom Cα of...
residue 2.40). Red dots represent the distances observed in the crystal structures of the 5-HT_{1B}R (HAR) and 5-HT_{2A}R (4HB).

(TIFF)

File S1 Simulation data (classical MD). Initial topologies for the different systems simulated with classical MD (summarized in Table 1) and the scripts used to run them in ACEMD.

(TAR)

File S2 Simulation data (metadynamics). Initial topology of the 5-HT_{2A}R receptor in complex with ergotamine, together with scripts used to perform multiple walker metadynamics.

(TAR)

References

1. Urban J, Clarke W, von Zastrow M, Nichols DE, Kobika B, et al. (2007) Functional selectivity and classical concepts of quantitative pharmacology. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 320: 1–13.
2. Martí-Solano M, Guixá-González R, Sanz F, Pastor M, Selent J (2013) Novel Insights into Biased Agonism at G Protein-Coupled Receptors and their Potential for Drug Design. Curr Pharm Des 19: 5156–5166.
3. Wieder JW, Xiao K, Tomenson AR, Lezkowij RJ (2014) Recent developments in biased agonism. Curr Opin Cell Biol 27C: 18–24.
4. Kenakin T, Christopoulos A (2012) Signalling bias in new drug discovery: detection, quantification and therapeutic impact. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12: 205–216.
5. Allen JA, Yost JM, Setola V, Chen X, Sassano MF, et al. (2011) Discovery of beta-Arrestin-Biased Dopamine D2 Ligands for Probing Signal Transduction Pathways Essential for Antipsychotic Efficacy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 2–7.
6. Park PSH (2012) Ensemble of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Active States. Curr Med Chem 19: 1146–1154.
7. Kenakin T, Miller LJ (2010) Seven transmembrane receptors as shapeshifting proteins: the impact of allosteric modulation and functional selectivity on new drug discovery. Pharmacol Rev 62: 265–304.
8. Preininger AM, Meiler J, Waller NE, Tobin AB, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2010) Identification of orthosteric and allosteric site mutations in M2 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors that contribute to ligand-selective signaling bias. J Biol Chem 285: 7459–7474.
9. Venkataraman AJ, Deupi X, Lebon G, Tate CG, Schertler GF, et al. (2013) Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature 494: 185–194.
10. Krueger RJ, Hall NE, Tobin AB, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2010) Structure-function of the G protein-coupled receptor superfamily. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol: 531–556.
11. Li H, Robertson AD, Jensen JB (2005) Very fast empirical prediction and rationalization of protein pKa values. Proteins 61: 704–721.
12. Chenical Computing Group (2014) Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software. Available: http://www.chemcomp.com/software.htm. Accessed 2014 Sep 25.
13. Jo S, Lim JB, Klauda JB, Im W (2009) CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder for mixed bilayers and its application to yeast membranes. Biophys J 97: 50–56.
14. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph 14: 33–38.
15. Nygaard R, Frimurer TM, Holst B, Rosenkilde MM, Schwartz TW (2009) Ligand binding and micro-switches in 7TM receptor structures. Trends Pharmacol Sci 30: 249–259.
16. Wacker D, Wang Y, Ma J, Wu H, Wacker D, et al. (2013) Structural Basis for Molecular Recognition at Serotonin Receptors. Science 340: 610–614.
17. Wacker D, Wang Y, Katritch V, Han G (2013) Structural Features for Functional Selectivity at Serotonin Receptors. Science 340: 615–619.
18. Labrujère S, Villalobos CM, Vanadrenk M (2013) Activation of 5-hydroxytryptamine 1B/1D/1F receptors as a mechanism of action of antimigraine drugs. Expert Opin Pharmacother 14: 1599–1610.
19. Roth B (2007) Drugs and valvular heart disease. N Engl J Med: 6–9.
20. Rasmussen SGF, DeVree BT, Zou Y, Kruse AC, Chung KY, et al. (2011) Pathway and dynamics of the M3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. Nature 451: 552–564.
21. Yuan S, Ghoshdastider U, Trzaskowski B, Latek D, Debinski A, et al. (2012) The role of water in activation mechanism of human N-formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1) based on molecular dynamics simulations. PLoS One 7: e47114.
22. Dror RO, Arlow DH, Maragakis P, Mildorf TJ, Pan AC, et al. (2011) Activation mechanism of the β2-adrenergic receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 18604–18609.
23. Bock A, Metten N, Schrage R, Dallanoce C, Bazz J, et al. (2012) The allosteric vestibule of a seven transmembrane helical receptor controls G-protein coupling. Nat Commun 3: 1044.
24. Gregory KJ, Hall NE, Tobin AB, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2010) Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature 494: 185–194.
25. Katritch V, Cherezov V, Stevens R (2013) Structure-function of the G protein-coupled receptor superfamily. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol: 531–556.
26. Kenakin T, Christopoulos A (2012) Signalling bias in new drug discovery: detection, quantification and therapeutic impact. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12: 205–216.
27. Venkatakrishnan AJ, Deupi X, Lebon G, Tate CG, Schertler GF, et al. (2013) Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature 494: 185–194.
28. Li H, Robertson AD, Jensen JB (2005) Very fast empirical prediction and rationalization of protein pKa values. Proteins 61: 704–721.
29. Chenical Computing Group (2014) Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software. Available: http://www.chemcomp.com/software.htm. Accessed 2014 Sep 25.
30. Jo S, Lim JB, Klauda JB, Im W (2009) CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder for mixed bilayers and its application to yeast membranes. Biophys J 97: 50–56.
31. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph 14: 33–38.
32. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph 14: 33–38.
33. Case D (2012) AMBER 12. Amber Molecular Dynamics software. Available: http://ambermd.org/. Accessed 2014 Sep 25.
34. Harvey MJ, Giuppioni G, De Fabritiis G (2009) ACEMD: Accelerating Biomolecular Dynamics in the Microsecond Timescale. J Chem Theory Comput 5: 1632–1639.
35. Ferrantra KA (1999) Improving efficiency of large time-scale molecular dynamics simulations of hydrogen-rich systems. J Comput Chem: 2658–2668.
36. Raiteri P, Laio A, Gervasio FL, Micheletti C, Parrinello M (2006) Efficient Smoothly Converging and Tunable Free-Energy Method. Phys Rev Lett 100: 050601.
37. Ballesteros JA, Jensen AD, Liapakis G, Rasmussen SG, Shi L, et al. (2001) Activation of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor involves disruption of an ionic lock between the cytoplasmic ends of transmembrane segments 3 and 6. J Biol Chem 276: 29171–29177.
38. Yuan S, Ghoshdastider U, Trzaskowski B, Latek D, Debinski A, et al. (2012) The role of water in activation mechanism of human N-formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1) based on molecular dynamics simulations. PLoS One 7: e47114.
39. Dror RO, Arlow DH, Maragakis P, Mildorf TJ, Pan AC, et al. (2011) Activation mechanism of the β2-adrenergic receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 18604–18609.
40. Mezei M (2010) Simulaid: a simulation facilitator and analysis program. J Comput Aided Mol Des 20: 97–107.
41. Raiteri P, Liapakis G, Casnati S, Rasmussen SG, Shi L, et al. (2001) Activation of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor involves disruption of an ionic lock between the cytoplasmic ends of transmembrane segments 3 and 6. J Biol Chem 276: 29171–29177.
42. Yuan S, Ghoshdastider U, Trzaskowski B, Latek D, Debinski A, et al. (2012) The role of water in activation mechanism of human N-formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1) based on molecular dynamics simulations. PLoS One 7: e47114.
43. Dror RO, Arlow DH, Maragakis P, Mildorf TJ, Pan AC, et al. (2011) Activation mechanism of the β2-adrenergic receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 18604–18609.
44. Mezei M (2010) Simulaid: a simulation facilitator and analysis program. J Comput Chem: 2658–2668.