We consider chains of one-dimensional, piecewise linear, chaotic maps with uniform slope. We study the diffusive behaviour of an initially nonuniform distribution of points as a function of the slope of the map by solving the Frobenius-Perron equation. For Markov partition values of the slope, we relate the diffusion coefficient to eigenvalues of the topological transition matrix. The diffusion coefficient obtained shows a fractal structure as a function of the slope of the map. This result may be typical for a wide class of maps, such as two-dimensional sawtooth maps.

Following the approach in [11,12,13,14], we describe a method by which the diffusion coefficient for this class of maps can be computed for a broad range of parameter values. The method will be illustrated by the map

\[ m_a(x_\tau) := \begin{cases} 
  ax_\tau & , \quad 0 < x_\tau \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
  ax_\tau + 1 - a & , \quad \frac{1}{2} < x_\tau \leq 1 
\end{cases} , \quad a > 0 , \]

(2)

as sketched in Fig. 1, which has been introduced and discussed in [3,4]. We find that the diffusion coefficient for this map shows a very rich fractal structure as a function of the slope.

To describe the dynamical behaviour of an arbitrary initial density for a set of particles on some interval of the line \(-\infty < x < \infty\), we will need the Frobenius-Perron equation, supplemented by boundary conditions. The Frobenius-Perron equation is given by

\[ \rho_{\tau+1}(x) = \int dy \rho_\tau(y) \delta(x - M_a(y)) \]

(3)

where \(\rho_\tau(x)\) is the probability density for points on the line, and \(M_a(y)\) is the map under consideration. We suppose that the motion takes place on an interval \(0 < x < L\), and we impose periodic boundary conditions, i.e. \(\rho_\tau(0) = \rho_\tau(L)\) for all \(\tau\), or absorbing boundary conditions \(\rho_\tau(x) = 0\) for \(x = 0, L\) for all \(\tau\) [17]. Next we use the argument of Gaspard and coworkers [11,12,13,14] to relate the eigenmodes of the Frobenius-Perron equation to the solution of the diffusion equation

\[ \frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 n(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \]

(4)

where \(n(x,t)\) is the macroscopic density of particles at a point \(x\) at time \(t\), and \(D\) is a diffusion coefficient. If
and periodic boundary conditions are used on an interval $M$ is a topological transition matrix whose elements in each of the Markov partition regions at time one can easily see that for large times $n(x, t)$ has the form

\[ n(x, t) = \text{const.} + A \exp \left( -D(4\pi^2/L^2)t \pm i(2\pi/L)x \right). \]  

Consequently, if one can find a solution of Eq. (3), for large $L$ and $\tau$, in the form of

\[ \rho(x, \tau) = \text{const.} + A' \exp \left( -\gamma_p(a)\tau \pm i(2\pi/L)x \right), \]  

one can relate the decay rate $\gamma_p(a)$ to $D$ by

\[ D(a) = \lim_{L \to \infty} \left( L/2\pi \right)^2 \gamma_p(a). \]  

For absorbing boundary conditions one relates the diffusion coefficient to the escape rate from the system by an equation similar to Eq. (3). The escape-rate formalism of chaotic dynamics shows that the escape rate from a system with absorbing boundaries is equal to the Lyapunov exponent minus the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy for particles trapped within the system whose trajectories lie on a fractal repellor. For the case of maps with slopes of uniform magnitude considered here, the Komogorov-Sinai entropy for the fractal repellor $h_{KS}(a)$ is identical to the topological entropy of points on the repellor and it can be computed from $\gamma_p(a)$ as

\[ h_{KS}(a) = \log a - \gamma_p/4 + O(L^{-3}). \]  

The use of maps with uniform slope is not an essential ingredient in the calculation of $D(a)$ described below, which can be applied to more general linear maps. The main idea is that the Frobenius-Perron equation can be written as a matrix equation whenever the parameters of the map are such that one can construct a Markov partition of the interval $(0, L)$, which has the property that partition points get mapped onto other partition points by the map $M_a(x)$.

In a related context, these partitions have been discussed in [13]. Analytical expressions for $D(a)$ can be derived for all integer values of $a \geq 2$. For even integers, the results of Grossmann and Fujisaka [3] are recovered, $D(a) = (1/24)(a-1)(a-2)$, and for odd integers we find $D(a) = (1/24)(a^2 - 1)$. To obtain $D(a)$ for a general Markov partition value of $a$, one can use computer methods.

Fig. 2 (a) shows the results for the diffusion coefficient of the dynamical system Eqs. (1), (2) for values of $a$ in the range $2 \leq a \leq 8$. In Fig. 2 (b)-(d), we present magnifications of three small regions in this interval. One can see clearly that $D(a)$ has a complicated fractal structure with regions exhibiting self-similarity. In Fig. 3, we show an enlargement of the region for $2 \leq a \leq 3$. The dashed line is the prediction of $D(a)$ for a simple random-walk model suggested by Schell, Fraser and Kapral [3]. Note that the model correctly accounts for the behaviour of $D(a)$ near $a = 2$. The wiggles in this graph can be understood by considering the transport of particles from one unit interval to another. These regions are coupled to each other by turnstiles, where points in one unit interval get mapped outside that particular interval into another unit interval. As in the case of two-dimensional twist maps, such as the sawtooth map, these turnstiles are crucial for large-scale transport.

The region $2 \leq a \leq 3$ can be analyzed by studying the interaction of turnstiles. One can recognize three distinct series of values of $a$, each of which provides a cascade of apparently self-similar regions of decreasing size, as the limits $a \to 2$ or $a \to 3$ are approached. To understand these series, consider the trajectory of a point that starts just to the left at $x = 1/2$. The first iterate of $x = 1/2$ is in the second interval, $(1, 2)$. The series values of $a$ are defined by the condition that the second iterate of $x = 1/2$ is at the leftmost point of the upward
turnstile in the second interval \((1, 2)\) \((a = 2.732)\), or that the third iterate is at the corresponding point in the third interval \((a = 2.920)\). The numbers on the graph refer to the number of intervals the image of \(x = 1/2\) has travelled before it gets to the appropriate point on the turnstiles. Series \(\beta\) points are defined in a similar way, but they are allowed to have two or more internal reflections within an interval before reaching the left edge of a turnstile. Series \(\gamma\) points are defined by the condition that some image of \(x = 1/2\) has reached the rightmost edge of an upward turnstile (i.e. some point \(x = n + 1/2\), where \(n\) is an integer), and consequently an increase in \(a\) will lead to a decrease in \(D(a)\). These cascades provide a basis for a physical understanding of the features of \(D(a)\) in this region: Particles leave a particular unit interval through a turnstile and undergo a number of iterations before they are within another turnstile. Whether they continue to move in the same or the reverse direction at the next and later turnstiles is a sensitive function of the slope of the map. Thus the fractal structure of the \(D(a)\) curve is due to the effects of long-range correlations among turnstiles and these correlations lead to changes of \(D(a)\) on an infinitely fine scale. A similar argument can be employed to explain, at least qualitatively, the fractal structure of \(D(a)\) for higher values of the slope, although more work needs to be done before a full understanding of this curve is obtained \([23]\).

We conclude with a few remarks: (A) Our results appear to be the first example of a system whose diffusion coefficient has an unambiguously fractal structure. We suspect that similar results obtain for all other one-dimensional, piecewise linear maps \([24]\), which might be of interest, e.g., for chaotic scattering \([27]\), as well as for transport in maps of more than one dimension, such as sawtooth maps. We note that oscillations of the diffusion coefficient with respect to an appropriate control parameter, which could be a field strength, have already been found in the standard \([8]\) and the sawtooth map \([10]\). (B) It is not known whether this fractal structure persists for smooth maps where the function \(M_a(x)\) is \(C^1\), or where the map contains some randomness. (C) We have numerical evidence that the Markov points are dense for \(a \geq 2\), and we believe that our results give the full structure of the \(D(a)\) function. Nevertheless, it would be valuable to have a mathematical proof.
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FIG. 1. Illustration of the dynamical system Eqs. (1),(2) for a particular slope, $a = 3$. The Markov partition given by the dashed grid leads to the construction of the transition matrix in Eq. (9).

FIG. 2. Diffusion coefficient $D(a)$ computed for the dynamical system Eqs. (1),(2) and some enlargements. Graph (a) consists of 7908 single data points. In graph (b)-(d), the dots are connected with lines. The number of data points is 476 for (b), 1674 for (c), and 530 for (d).

FIG. 3. Enlargement of the region of slope $a \leq 3$ with the solution for a simple random walk model (dashed line) and labels for the values which are significant for “turnstile dynamics” (see text). For some points, the turnstile coupling is shown by pairs of boxes. The graph shows 979 single data points.
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