Protocol for serial organoid formation assay using primary colorectal cancer tissues to evaluate cancer stem cell activity

Organoids can enable the study of solid tumors initiated from a single cancer stem cell (CSC) ex vivo. We describe a serial tumor organoid plating protocol using primary colorectal cancer (CRC) tissues as a rapid and cost-efficient approach to evaluate the impact of therapeutic interventions on CSC functions. We detail the isolation of primary colorectal CSCs, organoid embedding, serial passaging, and CSC-related analytical techniques.
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SUMMARY
Organoids can enable the study of solid tumors initiated from a single cancer stem cell (CSC) ex vivo. We describe a serial tumor organoid plating protocol using primary colorectal cancer (CRC) tissues as a rapid and cost-efficient approach to evaluate the impact of therapeutic interventions on CSC functions. We detail the isolation of primary colorectal CSCs, organoid embedding, serial passaging, and CSC-related analytical techniques.

For complete details on the use and execution of this protocol, please refer to Masibag et al. (2021) and Bergin et al. (2021).

BEFORE YOU BEGIN
Cancer stem cells (CSC) represent a small population of tumor cells playing a pivotal role in cancer initiation, growth, and metastasis (de Sousa e Melo et al., 2017; Kreso and Dick, 2014; Visvader and Lindeman, 2012). Recognized as the root of cancer, CSCs present distinct functional hallmarks compared to bulk tumor cells, such as self-renewal and tumor-initiation activity (Bergin et al., 2021; Boyd et al., 2018; Kreso et al., 2014; O’Brien et al., 2007). The development of novel therapeutics targeting CSCs represents one of the most important challenges in current cancer drug discovery. Colorectal tumors are organized according to a cellular hierarchy, governed by populations of CSCs (Bergin et al., 2021; de Sousa e Melo et al., 2017; O’Brien et al., 2007). While specific surface markers were proven useful to enrich for CSCs in tumor cell fractions (Du et al., 2008; Hatano et al., 2017; O’Brien et al., 2007), no absolute CSC markers were yet identified. Thus, monitoring bona fide CSC activity relies on functional assays such as complex in vivo serial tumor transplantation experiments (Benoit et al., 2017; Lima-Fernandes et al., 2019; Masibag et al., 2021). We established a serial tumor organoid plating protocol, using primary CRC tissues or tumorigenic cell lines to test the impact of small molecules, RNAi-based silencing, or gene editing tools on CSC functions. This assay represents a rapid and cost-efficient method to evaluate the response of a broad range of patient-specific tissues (male vs. female, clinical subtypes, mutational status, etc.) to different treatments, and a valuable pre-clinical ex vivo approach to predict the outcome of pharmacological interventions on CSC populations in vivo. The protocol below describes the key steps of CSC isolation from freshly resected patient tissues, CSC enrichment in spheroid cultures, 3D embedding of single-cell preparations in basement membrane extracts (BME), serial organoid passaging, and relevant analytical procedures to measure the impact of molecular interventions on colorectal CSC activity. Successful execution of this protocol requires access to freshly resected colorectal tumor tissues or established CSC cultures. Collection of such samples require informed consent and institutional research ethic board approval. Alternatively, this serial organoid plating assay can also be conducted using common CRC cell lines with demonstrated tumor-initiating activity. All the following procedures must be performed under a Class II Biological Safety Cabinet to
ensure sterility of experimental samples and to protect investigators from risks relating to biological materials. A tissue culture incubator adjusted at 37°C, and 5% CO₂ is also necessary.

**Institutional permissions**
Primary colorectal tumor tissues used to generate the data presented in this protocol were obtained with patient consent, as approved by the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board, the University of Ottawa Office of Research Ethics and Integrity, and the University Health Network Research Ethics Board.

**Basement membrane extract (BME) preparation**

© Timing: 12–18 h

This initial step is critical since inappropriately processed basement membrane stocks from commercial sources may lead to the failure of tumorigenic cell embedding and subsequent organoid growth.

1. A fresh bottle of 10 mL stock BME - e.g., Matrigel® or equivalent, ≥8–12 mg/mL of protein concentration - should be removed from −80°C storage and placed at 4°C in a box filled with ice for a 12–16 h incubation to ensure the BME has gradually thawed.
   a. Pre-cool serological pipettes and 15 mL conical tubes at −20°C for at least 30 min prior starting BME preparation.
2. Once BME is 90% thawed, put the stock container on ice into a sterile biological safety cabinet along with a bottle of Knockout (KO) DMEM.
3. Using a chilled serological pipette, transfer 10 mL of KO DMEM into the BME stock bottle, and slowly pipette its content by doing “up and downs” to prepare a 50% BME / 50% media solution.
   a. Repeat up and downs until the remainder of BME has thawed.
4. Replace the chilled serological pipette every 5 min to prevent BME polymerization.
5. Once the BME suspension is thawed and homogenous, prepare 0.5 mL–1 mL aliquots in sterile conical tubes (15 mL) by consistently keeping both, conical tubes and the BME container on ice.
6. Aliquots can be stored at −80°C for up to 24 months.

**Note:** BME aliquots must be thawed only once, a few minutes before its use during the experiment. We do not recommend re-freezing or reusing aliquots once they have reached a temperature above 5°C–6°C.

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Antibodies          |        |            |
| Mouse Anti-Ki-67 Monoclonal Antibody, Dilution 1:500 | BD Biosciences | Cat# 556003; RRID: AB_396287 |
| BMI-1 Antibody (JJ093-3), Dilution 1:250 | Novus Biologicals | Cat# NBP2-67916, RRID: AB_2809763 |
| E-Cadherin (2E10) Rabbit mAb Antibody, Dilution 1:500 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 3195; RRID: AB_2291471 |
| Anti-Human CD133 Mouse Monoclonal Antibody, PE Conjugated, Dilution 1:500 | BD Biosciences | Cat# 566593; RRID: AB_2744281 |
| Biological samples  |        |            |
| Human: CRC primary sample, APC<sup>Mut</sup>/BRAF<sup>Mut</sup>/p53<sup>Mut</sup>, Stage IV, Female, 45 years old | (Bergin et al., 2021) | #92 |
| Human: CRC primary sample, KRAS<sup>Mut</sup>/BRAF<sup>Mut</sup>/p53<sup>Mut</sup>, Stage III, Male, 65 years old | (Bergin et al., 2021) | #146 |
| Human: CRC primary sample, APC<sup>Mut</sup>/KRAS<sup>Mut</sup>/BRAF<sup>Mut</sup>/p53<sup>Mut</sup>, Stage III, Female, 63 years old | (Bergin et al., 2021) | #162 |

(Continued on next page)
## Protocol

### REAGENT or RESOURCE    | SOURCE                  | IDENTIFIER
---                       |                        |               
Human: Colon Cancer Stem Cell - Frozen Vial | Celprogen, Inc. | Cat# 36112-39
Human: Colorectal Cancer Cell line, HCT116, Stable pLenti-GFP reporter, Male | (Masibag et al., 2021) | n/a

### Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins

| Name                                      | Source                        | Identifier               |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BIX01294                                  | AdipoGen Life Sciences        | Cat# AG-CR1-0150-M001    |
| UNC0642                                   | Cayman Chemical               | Cat# 14604-1             |
| YB-0158                                   | MedChemExpress                | Cat# HY-136541           |
| Hoechst 33342                             | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# H3570                |
| DMSO, sterile filtered                    | R&D Systems                   | Cat# 3176                |
| Calcein AM                                | Tocris Bioscience             | Cat# 5119                |
| Sterile PBS (phosphate buffered saline), pH 7.4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 10010023            |
| Solution Formaldehyde 37%                 | Millipore Sigma               | Cat# 47608               |
| EDTA 0.5 M Solution, pH 8.0               | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# BP2482500           |
| Corning™ Matrigel™ Membrane Matrix        | Fisher Scientific             | Cat# CB-40234            |
| DMEM/F12 (1:1 Ratio)                      | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 11320033            |
| Knockout DMEM                             | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 10829018            |
| L-Glutamine                               | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 25030081            |
| Non-Essential Amino Acids                 | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 11140050            |
| Sodium Pyruvate                           | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 11360070            |
| HEPES                                     | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 15630080            |
| Heparin                                   | STEMCELL Technologies          | Cat# 07980               |
| Lipid Mixture 1                           | Millipore Sigma               | Cat# L0288               |
| Penicillin Streptomycin                    | Cytiva Hyclone                | Cat# SV30010             |
| Amphotericin B                            | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 15290018            |
| N2 Supplement                             | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 17502048            |
| B27 Supplement                            | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 17504044            |
| Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent          | STEMCELL Technologies          | Cat# 07174               |
| Collagenase Type IV                       | STEMCELL Technologies          | Cat# 07990               |
| RNase-Free DNase Set                      | QIAGEN                        | Cat# 79254               |
| Trypan Blue Stain                         | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 110282              |
| Ammonium Chloride Solution                | STEMCELL Technologies          | Cat# 07850               |
| TrypLE Express Enzyme (1X) Phenol Red     | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 12605010            |
| Human recombinant EGF                     | Wisent Bioproducts            | Cat# 511-110-EU           |
| Recombinant Human FGF-basic               | PeproTech                      | Cat# 100-18B             |
| Cytiva Ficol-Paque™ PLUS Media            | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 36-101-6383          |
| KnockOut™ Serum Replacement               | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 10828028            |

### Critical commercial assays

| Name                                      | Source                        | Identifier               |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| MiniMACS Starting Kit                     | Miltenyi Biotec               | Cat# 130-090-312         |
| CD133 MicroBead Kit – Tumor Tissue        | Miltenyi Biotec               | Cat# 130-100-857         |
| CD326 (EpCAM) MicroBeads, human           | Miltenyi Biotec               | Cat# 130-061-101         |
| Dead Cell Removal kit                     | Miltenyi Biotec               | Cat# 130-090-101         |

### Software and algorithms

| Name                                      | Source                        | Identifier               |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| CellReporterXpress                        | Molecular Devices             | https://www.moleculardevices.com/products/cellular-imaging-systems/acquisition-and-analysis-software/cellreporterxpress#gref |
| ZEN pro software                          | Zeiss                         | https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/int/products/microscope-software/zen.html |
| Imaris 9.5                                 | Oxford Instruments            | https://imaris.oxinst.com/versions/9-5 |
| ImageJ                                     | U.S. National Institutes of Health | https://imagej.nih.gov/j/ |

### Other

| Name                                      | Source                        | Identifier               |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Falcon Cell strainers, 70um               | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 08-771-2            |
| Progene® Cell Culture Dishes, 100 mm      | Ultident Scientific           | Cat# 229621              |
| CellTreat 6-well plates                   | CELLTREAT Scientific Products | Cat# 229106              |
| Thermo Scientific™ Nunclon™ Spher™ Flasks | Thermo Fisher Scientific       | Cat# 12-566-439          |
| 15 mL Conical Tubes, Sterile/Graduated    | Sarstedt, Inc.                | Cat# 62-554-100          |
| 50 mL Conical Tubes, Sterile/Graduated    | Sarstedt, Inc.                | Cat# 62-547-100          |

(Continued on next page)
CRITICAL: All reagents must be added to the media formulation in a sterile biological safety cabinet.

Optional: Amphotericin B can be added to the CSC culture media, at 1µg/mL, to further prevent fungi contaminations.

Tumor Dissociation Solution

| Reagent                | Final concentration | Amount |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Collagenase IV         | 1 mg/mL             | 10 mL  |
| Penicillin Streptomycin (100x) | 1%             | 100 µL |
| RNase-Free DNase       | 30 Kunitz Units     | variable |
| Total                  | n/a                 | ~1.2 mL |

Stable at 4°C for 1 month. Can be aliquoted and stored at –20°C for long term storage (< 9 months).

STEP-BY-STEP METHOD DETAILS

Isolation of cancer cells from primary tumor tissue

© Timing: 2 h
Here we are describing the method employed to isolate and enrich primary CSCs from freshly resected human colorectal tumor tissues (Figure 1). Patient-derived samples are obtained with informed consent and are mechanically dissociated into smaller fragments, followed by enzymatic dissociation. The resulting cell suspension is strained to eliminate debris and aggregates. Upon lysis of red blood cells and exclusion of dead cells, a sorting procedure is applied to isolate the CD133+ fraction. Cell sorting increases chances of successfully initiating spheroid formation over a subsequent culture period in non-adherent conditions. This section is an adaptation of a method described by Kreso et O’Brien. (Kreso and O’Brien, 2008).

Note: Fresh primary colorectal tumor tissues obtained from surgical procedures in the clinic must be preserved on ice, in a 15 mL conical tube (or equivalent) containing a physiological saline solution such as PBS and must not be frozen. Case-specific information may be provided by the clinical team, such as the age and the biological sex of the patient, as well as the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, the localization, the mutational status, and other pathological parameters of the neoplasm depending on Research Ethics Board approvals.

1. Obtain a freshly resected primary CRC sample in a 50 mL collection tube on ice, and immediately proceed to tissue dissociation in a biological safety cabinet.
2. Transfer the CRC tumor fragment to a 35 mm petri dish containing 5 mL of ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution. Use the PBS to wash blood and/or debris.
3. Aspirate the PBS and perform a second wash of the tumor fragment using 3 mL of cold CSC media. Aspirate and replace the media with 3 mL of fresh CSC media.

Optional: If biobanking is advised, dissect the tissue into multiple fractions, for either protein/RNA/DNA extracts or histology embedding. Make sure to preserve a tissue section of ≥ 1 cm³ for CSC isolation.

4. Utilizing forceps to immobilize the tumor fragment, use a razor blade to mince the tumor fragment into ~2 mm pieces in CSC media.

CRITICAL: Mincing the tissue into smaller pieces maximizes the number of cells obtained through the isolation process.

5. Use scissors to cut the aspirating end of a p1000 tip to make it wider and use it to transfer the minced tumor fragment suspension to a 50 mL conical tube containing 5 mL of the Tumor Dissociation Solution.
6. Transfer tube containing the suspension to a 37°C incubator or water bath for 1 h.
a. Every 15 min, vigorously flick the tube 10–15 times to aid the enzymatic dissociation of the tumor sample. Alternatively, 10–15 up and down pipetting with a sterile 5 mL serological pipette can be performed.

7. Pass the digested tumor suspension through a sterile 70 μm cell strainer and collect the filtrate into a new sterile 50 mL conical tube. Wash the strainer with 1–2 mL of CSC media.

8. Centrifuge the filtrate at 450 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Extend the centrifugation time if no substantial cell pellet can be observed. Aspirate and discard the supernatant.

9. Resuspend the cell pellet in 5 mL of a solution of 0.8% (w/v) Ammonium Chloride 0.8% + 0.1 mM EDTA to lyse red blood cells. Incubate on ice for 10 min to allow red blood cells lysis.

△ CRITICAL: If red blood cell lysis is incomplete and marked by residual red coloration, increase the volume of Ammonium Chloride solution, and let the cells to deposit for an extra 10 min.

10. Add an equal volume of CSC media to the cell suspension and centrifuge at 450 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Aspirate and discard the supernatant.

11. Resuspend the pellet in 10 mL of fresh CSC media and remove dead cells from the tumor cell suspension using either Ficoll or a Dead Cell Removal kit following the manufacturer’s guidelines.

12. Resuspend the resulting live cell fraction into desired volume of CSC media and perform cell viability counts using trypan blue and a hemocytometer, or an automated cell counter (e.g., Countess™ 3 Automated Cell Counter or equivalent). See troubleshooting 1

Note: If cell sorting methods are not available, it is possible to proceed to step-15 and initiate spheroid growth without enriching for CD133⁺ cells. However, based on our experience, the success rate of spheroid formation is much lower without cell sorting.

13. Proceed to Magnetic-Activated Cell Sorting (MACS) of the primary CRC cell suspension to obtain a fraction enriched in CD133⁺ tumor-initiating cells (O’Brien et al., 2007). Use the MiniMACS Starting Kit (or equivalent) with the CD133 MicroBead Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Note: Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) can be used instead of MACS to enrich CD133⁺ colorectal tumor cells prior to establish spheroid cultures. However, we recommend the use of MACS for manipulators relying on core facility based FACS infrastructures with schedule restrictions. Since the access to primary tumor tissues can sometimes be difficult to plan, MACS represents a more flexible option for spontaneous tissue processing.

Optional: An initial MACS sorting step using EpCAM/CD326-conjugated MicroBeads, prior to CD133⁺ cell enrichment can help excluding stromal, endothelial and hematopoietic cells from the CRC tumor cell mixture (Kreso and O’Brien, 2008).

14. Resuspend the CD133⁺ tumor cell fraction in 10 mL of CSC media and perform a cell count using Trypan blue and a hemocytometer, or an automated cell counter. See troubleshooting 1

15. Transfer 5 × 10⁴ cells/mL into non-adherent flasks and culture the cells at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for ~2 weeks or until visible multicellular aggregates emerge from the cultures (Figure 2A). See troubleshooting 2

Note: Since independent patient samples differ in terms of CSC frequency, the success rate for spheroid formation cannot be 100%. Moreover, some CRC primary samples will yield faster and more robust spheroid growth. Lastly, the spheroids shape often differ from a patient to another, forming either spherical or ruffled/irregular structures (Figures 2B and 2C).
Maintenance of colorectal CSC spheroids

Timing: 1 week

This step describes the timing and culture needs to maintain robust CSC-enriched spheroids in suspension. Our previous work demonstrated that 90%–95% of the cells constituting such spheroids are simultaneously expressing the surface markers CD44 and CD133, which are related to colorectal CSCs (Bergin et al., 2021; Du et al., 2008; O’Brien et al., 2007). Spheroids can be passaged using either a mechanical or chemical/enzymatic dissociation method. It is noteworthy that CSC-enriched spheroid cultures can be established from patient-derived tumor tissues, as detailed in the previous section, or from commercial sources of colorectal CSCs (e.g., Celprogen Inc., Torrance, CA). Specific CRC cell lines such as HCT116 can also efficiently form spheroid suspensions when cultured in CSC media (serum-free) in non-adherent flasks. These provide additional options for users to execute this serial organoid plating protocol.

16. Once established, spheroid cultures must be monitored daily, and CSC media changed at least once every 3 days.
   a. To proceed to media change, place the flasks containing the spheroid cultures in a sterile biological safety cabinet and transfer the entire volume to a 15 mL conical tube.
   b. Allow spheres to deposit at the bottom of the tube or proceed to a brief, gentle centrifugation (450×g, 30 s).
c. Aspirate the culture media without disturbing the pellet and carefully resuspend spheroids in 8–10 mL of fresh CSC media.

△ CRITICAL: When changing the media of spheroid cultures, proceed gently and avoid harsh or repetitive pipetting to preserve the integrity of the structures.

17. CSC-enriched spheroid passaging must be performed once a week, or earlier if the user notices a fast growth rate. We recommend mechanical disruption of spheroids as a rapid and efficient method for passaging.

△ CRITICAL: Under-passaging CSC-enriched spheroids increases cell death and impact the integrity of CSCs for subsequent organoid formation.

Note: Fast spheroid growth can be monitored by the acidification rate of the CSC media (shift in DMEM/F12 pH indicator) and by microscopic observations revealing deteriorated and overgrown spheroids (Figures 2D and 2E).

18. When a spheroid culture is ready to be split, transfer the spheroids suspension to a 15 mL conical tube using a sterile 10 mL serological pipette.

Note: Larger cultures with high spheroid density should be separated into two tubes to ensure ease with disruption.

19. Mechanically disrupt the spheroids by executing vigorously up and down pipetting for 5 min using a sterile 5 mL serological pipette.
   a. Assess by eye the presence of residual spheroids and continue up and down pipetting for an additional 5 min if needed.

20. Once no spheroids are visible, filter the cell suspension through a 70 \( \mu \text{m} \) cell strainer to eliminate cell aggregates. Resuspend the cell suspension in the desired volume of CSC media. Perform cell counts and transfer \( 5 \times 10^4 \) cells/mL into 8 mL of CSC media in a new series of non-adherent culture flasks.

△ CRITICAL: Since patient-derived spheroid are valuable samples, we recommend freezing stocks at early passages. Whole CSC-enriched spheroids are cryopreserved in a freezing media, which consists in a 10% DMSO, 30% KnockOut Serum Replacement, and 60% CSC media mixture. On thawing, it is critical to immediately wash off the freezing media and resuspend the spheroids in fresh CSC media. Gentle pipetting must be observed to minimize the disruption of spheroid structures. Moreover, it is critical to track the number of passaging to avoid bringing the cultures beyond 10–12 passages. Such a practice mitigates artifactual tissue culture adaptation of CSCs and preserves molecular characteristics of the tumor of origin (Ray et al., 2012).

Optional: Enzymatic dissociation of CSC-enriched spheroids can also represent a suitable method for passaging. However, it can affect surface protein markers and may alter specific experimental readouts upon dissociation, such as flow cytometry. For enzymatic dissociation of CSC-enriched spheroid cultures, refer to Kreso et al. (Kreso and O’Brien, 2008).

Pause point: The protocol can be paused at this point if the user opt for freezing the spheroid cultures.

Primary organoid formation in BME domes

© Timing: 2 h
The following steps describe the procedure to embed colorectal CSCs into 3D BME structures and grow tumor organoids, as illustrated in Figure 3A. Single cells with tumor-initiating functions have the capacity to form organoid structures once embedded in BME (Bergin et al., 2021; Masibag et al., 2021). Such patient-derived tumor organoids can be subjected to therapeutic interventions to further evaluate their impact on different functions ex vivo (Figure 3A).

21. Transfer CSC-enriched spheroids from culture flasks to 15 mL conical tubes and mechanically dissociate the spheres as above-described to generate single-cell suspensions.
   a. Typically, 1 mL of spheroid suspension is sufficient to seed a full 6-well plate with primary organoids.
   b. Make sure to pass the dissociated spheroid suspension through a 70 μm cell strainer to eliminate multicellular aggregates.

△ CRITICAL: If the experiment requires viral vector-based transduction (e.g., lentiviral particles), it is essential to perform the transduction on the single cell suspension, prior to BME embedding. BME-embedded epithelial structures were documented as refractory to viral-based gene delivery (Maru et al., 2016). Moreover, genome engineering interventions, such as CRISPR-Cas9 based knockout or knockin systems must also be performed at that stage (Hendriks et al., 2021; Michels et al., 2020).

Note: At this point, take an aliquot of BME from −80°C and let it thaw on ice.

22. Perform a live cell count using trypan blue and a hemocytometer, or an automated cell counter. See troubleshooting 1

Figure 3. Organoid plating procedure from colorectal CSC-enriched spheroid cultures
(A) Schematic description of the method used to embed primary colorectal CSCs in 3D BME domes and generate a miniaturized tumor ex vivo system to test therapeutic interventions.
(B) Image sequence (left to right) demonstrating how to properly plate the 1:1 BME/cell mixture to form domes in a 6-well plate. The bottom panels represent a high-magnification view of the well.
(C) Schematics illustrating the appropriate positioning of the p1000 pipettor when plating BME/cell mixture to form 3D domes. Wells in which the BME/cell mixture disperses across the plastic surface should not be considered for subsequent steps.

The following steps describe the procedure to embed colorectal CSCs into 3D BME structures and grow tumor organoids, as illustrated in Figure 3A. Single cells with tumor-initiating functions have the capacity to form organoid structures once embedded in BME (Bergin et al., 2021; Masibag et al., 2021). Such patient-derived tumor organoids can be subjected to therapeutic interventions to further evaluate their impact on different functions ex vivo (Figure 3A).

21. Transfer CSC-enriched spheroids from culture flasks to 15 mL conical tubes and mechanically dissociate the spheres as above-described to generate single-cell suspensions.
   a. Typically, 1 mL of spheroid suspension is sufficient to seed a full 6-well plate with primary organoids.
   b. Make sure to pass the dissociated spheroid suspension through a 70 μm cell strainer to eliminate multicellular aggregates.

△ CRITICAL: If the experiment requires viral vector-based transduction (e.g., lentiviral particles), it is essential to perform the transduction on the single cell suspension, prior to BME embedding. BME-embedded epithelial structures were documented as refractory to viral-based gene delivery (Maru et al., 2016). Moreover, genome engineering interventions, such as CRISPR-Cas9 based knockout or knockin systems must also be performed at that stage (Hendriks et al., 2021; Michels et al., 2020).

Note: At this point, take an aliquot of BME from −80°C and let it thaw on ice.

22. Perform a live cell count using trypan blue and a hemocytometer, or an automated cell counter. See troubleshooting 1
23. In a sterile 15 mL conical tube, prepare a 2 cells/µL dilution in CSC media.
   a. For a 6-well plate, we recommend plating 300 cells per dome. Thus, 1,800 cells should be
diluted in a final volume of 900 µL of CSC media.

24. Once the BME aliquot has completely thawed, quickly add BME to the cell suspension accord-
ing to a 1:1 ratio (BME:cell suspension) to obtain a final 1 cell/µL dilution.
   a. For an entire 6 well-plate, transfer 900 µL of BME to 900 µL of cell suspension.

△ CRITICAL: The BME solution will immediately start polymerizing at temperatures above
9°C. Immediately proceed to the next step once BME is added to the cell suspension to
avoid uneven or premature polymerization before dome plating. We recommend plating
no more than 6 wells at the time to avoid BME polymerization issues.

25. Using a p1000 pipettor, gently homogenize the BME/cell mixture and carefully deposit 300 µL at
the center of each well of a 6-well culture plate to form dome structures, as illustrated in Fig-
ure 3B.
   a. It is important to proceed quickly to ensure BME does not polymerize before dome
formation
   b. Place the tip of the p1000 at the center of the well, carefully dispense a small volume of the
solution that will serve as a starting point for dome formation (Figure 3B, Methods videos S1
and S2).
   c. Carefully dispense the remainder of the 300 µL at the same position and ensure it forms a
tridimensional dome and does not spread out along the plate (Figure 3C).
   d. Repeat these steps for each well into which organoids must be seeded.

26. Without disrupting the domes, place lid on the culture plate and gently transfer it to the incu-
bator for a 10-min incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂. See troubleshooting 3
   a. Ensure to transfer the plate very steadily without tipping or using swift movements.

△ CRITICAL: At this step, dome disruption results in a failed experiment since it will hinder
proper 3D growth of the organoids.

27. Once the polymerization period is over, transfer the dome-containing plate back to the biolog-
ical safety cabinet. Ensure BME domes have preserved their integrity and have not dispersed
across the well (Figure 3C).

Note: After 10 min at 37°C, we recommend to visually inspect each well under a light
microscope to ensure an even vertical distribution of the cells across the dome structures.
If all cells are found at the bottom of the dome(s), it may indicate BME polymerization
issues, and such domes should not be used. Contacts between the cells and the culture-
treated plastic induce different cell behaviors vs. BME embedding and may alter the
experimental outcomes.

28. Fill the dome-containing wells with 3 mL of CSC media.

29. Drug treatments (vs. controls) can be initiated ≥24 h post-seeding by adding the appropriate
concentration of each compound in a fresh batch of CSC media (plan 3 mL per well).
   a. Carefully aspirate the media from dome-containing wells without disrupting the structures
and add 3 mL of drug or control/vehicle supplemented CSC media.
   b. Terminate the drug treatments by replacing the media with fresh, drug-free CSC media at the
desired period.
   c. Tumor organoid growth period can be extended beyond the drug treatments, as desired.

Note: For drug treatments, it is expected that certain compounds will exert cytotoxic effects
on primary organoid growth. We recommend testing each drug at escalating concentrations
vs. control(s) to identify a dose range yielding sufficient residual primary organoids for subsequent evaluation of tumor-initiating activity in secondary passages.

Note: Typically, it will take 1–2 weeks to notice visible organoids, depending on the patient sample(s) used. Each patient sample gives rise to organoids presenting unique growth rate, morphological aspects, and metabolic activity. We recommend performing pilot experiments to establish patient-specific timelines and determine whether CSC media needs to be replenished over the primary organoid growth period. See troubleshooting 4

Figure 4. Examples of organoid detection methods using high content imaging

(A) 3D-embedded organoids can be detected, counted, and scored by high content imaging using ImageXpress Automated Cell Imaging Systems, or equivalent platforms.

(B) Example of organoid detection based on a size exclusion threshold, where cellular structures below a definite size are not counted from brightfield images. A color mask is applied by the analysis software over each structure considered as an organoid. Scale bar = 70 µm.

(C) Example for the use of a fluorescent (GFP) reporter system outlining a specific population of HCT116 organoids to be counted in an experiment. A color mask is applied by the analysis software over each structure presenting a fluorescence signal above a definite background threshold (FLUOR Mask). Scale bar = 70 µm.

(D) Identification of live organoid structures using the cell permeable, fluorescent dye Calcein AM (green). While several live organoids are detectable in DMSO-treated wells, only residual live cells are observed upon treatments with the anticancer small molecule YB-0158. Scale bar = 70 µm.

30. Use a high content imaging system (e.g., ImageXpress Pico Automated Cell Imaging System, or equivalent) to quantify changes in organoid counts and other morphological characteristics, such as size and form factor (Huang et al., 2015) in response to drug treatments. Perform brightfield scans of each well with Z-stack acquisition, ideally using 4× or 10× objective to generate merged composite images of all acquired optical sections (Figure 4A). Using a high content imaging analysis software (e.g., CellReporterXpress, Molecular Devices) it is possible to identify and count CRC tumor organoids based on a size exclusion threshold approach, as illustrated in Figure 4B. The size exclusion cut-off must be pre-determined by the user. See troubleshooting 5
For experiments based on fluorescence reporter systems to detect specific tumor organoids, a fluorescence z-stack acquisition scan of each well must be performed in addition to brightfield, enabling reporter-positive organoid counts (Figure 4C) (Benoit et al., 2021).

Fluorescence probes such as Calcein AM can be used to visualize live and metabolically active tumor organoids upon conversion of the non-fluorescent probe into acetoxymethyl ester hydrolyzed fluorescent Calcein (green) by intracellular esterases (Figure 4D). Only use permanent labeling reagents such as Calcein AM if these are known not to interfere with critical biological parameters of the experiment (Vilgelm et al., 2020).

Primary organoid harvest and secondary organoid plating

**Timing:** 2 h

The following steps describe the harvest of BME-embedded primary organoids and subsequent plating of secondary organoid series (Figure 5). To assess the impact of a drug treatment (or gene-specific silencing) on CSCs tumor-initiating capacity within CRC tumor organoids, it is essential to conduct serial/secondary plating from dissociated primary organoids. Such a rationale was initially proposed in hematopoietic stem/progenitor systems (Rizo et al., 2008) and further adapted by us for CRC (Bergin et al., 2021; Masibag et al., 2021). Upon the initial growth of primary organoid incubation, resulting control and drug-treated organoids will be dissociated into single-cell suspensions and embedded in a secondary series of BME domes, as detailed in steps 21–28 (starting with organoids instead of spheroids). Importantly, this secondary series of tumor organoids will not be further exposed to any drug treatments or other therapeutic interventions (Figure 5). If the tumor-initiating cell populations are altered by the treatment(s) of primary organoids, lower secondary organoid formation frequency values should be observed compared to control groups upon high content imaging of the experimental plates (Figure 5).

**Note:** Before starting this part, take an aliquot of BME out from −80°C and let it thaw on ice.

31. Gently aspirate the media surrounding the primary organoid-containing domes, and gently wash each well with 4 mL of sterile PBS. Repeat the aspiration step to discard the PBS.
32. Add 1 mL of Gentle Cell Dissociation reagent to chemically dissociate the BME domes and organoids.
   a. Transfer the plate(s) containing the organoids to harvest to the incubator, at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 10 min.
   b. After the first 5 min of incubation, pipette up and down using a sterile 5 mL serological pipette to help dissociate the BME, and put the plate back to the incubator for another 5 min.
33. Once the 10-min incubation is over, execute up and down pipetting until no organoids are visible, and filter the cell suspension through a 70 μm strainer to eliminate multicellular aggregates. Collect the filtrate in a new sterile 50 mL conical tube.

34. Complete the filtrate volume to 10 mL with CSC media and preform cell counts using trypan blue and a hemocytometer, or an automated cell counter. See troubleshooting 1.

35. For each secondary dome, plate a total of 15 × 10⁴ cells in a volume of 300 μL of BME:cell suspension mixture (or 50 cells/μL).

Note: Since secondary organoid plating is executed using cell harvests from primary organoids, which present an heterogeneous composition with significantly lower CSC populations than spheroids (Bergin et al., 2021), larger number of cells must be plated to enhance the frequency of secondary tumor organoids.

36. Proceed to BME re-embedding of primary organoid cells by executing steps 23 to 28 but using the appropriate cell number per well. See troubleshooting 3.

Optional: Secondary organoid plating can be performed following a limiting dilution assay (LDA) format, by seeding decreasing number of cells (e.g., 3 × 10⁴, 1.5 × 10⁴, 7.5 × 10⁴, 3.75 × 10³, etc.) in series of independent domes. While this approach represents a considerable investment in material and reagents, LDA plating followed by Poisson Distribution analysis can help users estimating the number of CSCs present in each experimental condition for every patient sample involved in the assay (Dorrell et al., 2014).

EXPECTED OUTCOMES
Secondary CRC organoids typically emerge after 7 days in culture, but this can vary from one patient-derived sample to another (Figure 6A). Beyond this point, secondary organoids generally become increasingly large, which may hamper subsequent high-content imaging quantification and morphological analysis (Figure 6A). Based on our experience, specific CRC patient-derived samples can initiate secondary organoid formation substantially faster than others, with variable growth rates. Thus, initial/pilot runs may enable users to document such critical patient-specific parameters to tailor subsequent experiments. In addition, secondary CRC tumor organoids can be further serially passaged and maintained under long-term tridimensional culture conditions, as documented in other systems (Leeman et al., 2019).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
High content imaging platforms are recommended to quantify primary and secondary organoid counts, size, and other morphological features such as form factor (FF) used to score organoid shapes from circular-like to irregular (Figure 6B). An example of form factor-based scoring analysis in ex vivo tumor organoids was documented by Huang et al. (Huang et al., 2015). Modulation of primary and secondary organoid formation can be expressed as raw counts or as relative tumor-initiation rates for a specific experimental condition vs. control. Examples of downregulation of secondary CRC tumor organoid formation using three compounds targeting colorectal CSC functions are presented in Figure 6C (Bergin et al., 2021; Masibag et al., 2021). In these specific cases, secondary organoids were imaged as described in step – 30, using a size exclusion threshold approach. Each dot on the violin plots represents secondary organoids counted from independent wells and two-tailed Student t-test was applied to determine the statistical significance (p<0.05) for each treatment vs. DMSO controls (Figure 6C). High-resolution confocal fluorescence microscopy can be used to visualize and quantify biomarkers of key functions linked to CSCs and tumor progression in primary and secondary organoids. Following formaldehyde fixation and immunofluorescence labeling, (Dekkers et al., 2019), high-resolution confocal imaging can reveal either proliferative (Ki-67⁺) (Crespo et al., 2017), self-renewing (Bmi1⁺) (Kreso et al., 2014), or differentiated (cytokeratin-20) (Sikandar et al., 2010) cells within CRC tumor organoids (Figure 6D). Confocal fluorescence microscopy also enables
the assembly of vertical reconstruction of CSC-enriched spheroids and tumor organoids from the acquisition of serial optical sections, using ImageJ, as presented in Methods videos S3 and S4. Improved surface rendering tools such as Imaris 9.5 can be applied to serial confocal fluorescence images to enhance visualization and quantification capacity of cells expressing markers of proliferation and self-renewal in primary and secondary CRC tumor organoids (Figure 6E). In addition, extensive analysis of cellular heterogeneity can be conducted on tumor organoids by assessing the abundance and distribution of various markers, using either cytometry approaches (fluorescence, CyTOF) or single-cell genomics upon completing steps - 32–34 (Brandt et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018; Gonzalez-Exposito et al., 2019).

LIMITATIONS
The serial tumor organoid plating assays herein described does not consider the contribution of elements of the tumor microenvironment, such as stromal components and immune cells to tumor biology and drug response. To mitigate this aspect in ex vivo organoid systems, co-culture methods were recently developed (van Neerven et al., 2022) and could be adapted and integrated to this protocol to better represent the tumor microenvironment. Moreover, ex vivo drug treatments differ from in vivo administration, where critical pharmacological parameters such as pharmacokinetics...
and pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) cannot be evaluated. Still, this method allows for rapid and cost efficient assessment of therapeutics impact on colorectal CSC activity in patient-derived tissues, and was deemed predictive of therapeutic outcomes when transposed to preclinical in vivo serial tumor transplantation systems (Masibag et al., 2021).

TROUBLESHOOTING

Problem 1
Viable cell counts on dissociated CRC tumor fragments, spheroids, or organoids yield low values when using an automated cell counter (step-by-step method details, steps 12, 14, 22, and 34).

Potential solution
In our experience, an automated cell counter can sometimes mistakenly exclude viable cells that were freshly dissociated. If low viable cell counts are consistently observed, we recommend staining the cells with trypan blue and performing counts using a hemocytometer. It is noted that prolonged incubation with dissociation reagents can decrease cell viability. Thus, if cell viability remains an issue, dissociation time should be decreased for each type of sample.

Problem 2
No spheroids are forming from dissociated primary tumor cells in culture (step-by-step method details, step 15).

Potential solution
Unfortunately, the use of primary samples comes with patient diversity and complexity. Patient samples should be processed shortly after surgical resection. However, delayed access to the samples can be detrimental to the integrity of the tissue and associated with death and/or necrosis within tumor fragments. This, in turn, can impact the success rate of spheroid culture establishment.

Problem 3
BME fails to form robust tridimensional domes in 6-well plates (step-by-step method details, steps 26 and 36).

Potential solution
We have tested our protocol in multiple brands of culture plates, and we found that the CellTreat 6-well plates (See key resources table) are the most reliable to obtain robust BME dome formation. Moreover, we had most success using Corning Matrigel™ basement membrane extract (#CB-40234) over other brands tested. Ensure to use a strict 1:1 BME:cell suspension ratio at step 24, since lower BME concentrations can result in loose polymerization and dome collapse. Increasing the final concentration of BME can also improve the polymerization of the domes but could also increase matrix rigidity and reduce drug diffusion. This option should be carefully tested by the user in pilot experiments. Finally, inadequate BME resuspension, aliquots preparation, and storage can be the root cause for inefficient dome formation.

Problem 4
Primary organoid formation frequency is low (step-by-step method details, step 29).

Potential solution
When CSCs are cultured as spheroids, they typically maintain a high rate of organoid formation (up to 90%–95%). However, patient-derived samples can differ in their respective tumor-initiating capacity. We recommend performing pilot organoid plating experiments with each patient-derived spheroid culture to determine sample-specific tumor initiation capacity. This strategy will also be useful to establish an approximate organoid growth timeline for each patient-derived culture.
Problem 5
Poor organoid resolution by high content imaging (step-by-step method details, step 30).

Potential solution
Organoids grown in BME are distributed at different points on the dome’s vertical axis and it is possible for multiple organoids to be localized on top of each other. This can pose issues with imaging and quantification process. This is particularly frequent if domes are scanned at a single optical plane. We recommend using a high content imaging platform equipped with a z-stacking function, allowing to properly distinguish organoids growing on different strataums and increase the precision of the data.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Yannick D. Benoit (ybenoit@uottawa.ca).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents

Data and code availability
This study did not generate or analyze any datasets and did not use any code.
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