Introduction

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are a global problem affecting 42% of children or adolescents in Europe and 58% in North America [1]. Child maltreatment, which comprises physical, emotional, and sexual abuse as well as neglect, is a major component of ACEs. Globally over 1 billion children and adolescents experience violence, and many more cases go unreported [2].

ACEs have been shown to lead to adverse mental disorders in adulthood, most notably depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [3,4] with a dose-response relationship. In a recent meta-analysis, participants with at least four ACEs had a higher risk of all adverse health outcomes; with strong associations shown for mental disorders, in particular depression (OR=4.4) and anxiety (OR=3.7) [5].

The associations between child maltreatment and mental disorders were consistently reported, again most notably on depression (OR=2.5) and anxiety (OR=1.7) [6]. However, many of the existing studies were subject to numerous limitations. For example, retrospective cohort studies often used prevalent outcomes, which could substantially distort the exposure-outcome relationship.
study, participants with prevalent/prior mental disorders at baseline assessment were excluded. This is to reduce recall bias and to focus on incident events in middle and older ages. The timeline of measurements is shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

UK Biobank recruited 502,493 participants aged 37–73 years (5.5% response rate) who were assessed at 22 centres across England, Scotland, and Wales between 2007 and 2010 as a general population-based cohort. UK Biobank received ethical approval from the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 11/NW/03820).

Recruitment was conducted by sending letters to home addresses obtained from national health records, and follow-up data were obtained via linkage to routine health records, web-based questionnaires and repeat assessment clinics attended by a sub-group (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). At the baseline assessment clinic, participants completed a self-administered questionnaire on general health and a more in-depth face to face interview on medical history. Self-reported variables included ethnicity, education level, sleep duration, television viewing time, smoking status, and alcohol intake. Deprivation level was measured via the Townsend area deprivation index which sums a score derived from assets, income, and household factors applied to postcode of residence [12]. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was used to assess physical activity levels [13].

Following the baseline interviews, a series of measurements, including height, weight, and blood pressure, were collected along with urine and blood samples. Body mass index (BMI) was derived from weight/height² measured using standardized equipment and used to classify individuals as underweight (≤18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5 to 24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25 to 29.9 kg/m²), or obese (≥30.0 kg/m²). Biomarkers were measured at a dedicated central laboratory between 2014 and 2017. In this study, we have selected tri-glyceride (TG), a lipid found to be associated with mood disorders [14], and C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of systemic inflammation and indicator of a stress response [15]. Details of these measures and assay performances can be found online in the UK Biobank showcase and protocol [16].

2.2. Child maltreatment

Child maltreatment was assessed through a web-based questionnaire conducted in August 2017 [17]. Overall 339,229 participants who provided an email address were invited and approximately half (n = 157,348) of participants completed the online questionnaire. Respondents were generally younger, more likely to be female and white, and had healthier lifestyles compared with non-respondents [18]. The web-based questionnaire included the Childhood Trauma Screener (CTS) [19], a shortened version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) [20]. It consists of a 5-point Likert scale for each of five types of child maltreatment, physical abuse, physical neglect, emotional abuse, emotional neglect, and sexual abuse, and has been validated against the CTQ with good overall correlation (r = 0.88) and satisfactory type-specific correlations (r = 0.55–0.87) [21]. The CTQ is a widely used instrument for measuring child maltreatment and has been validated against actual records of abuse and neglect [19,22]. The threshold values on the Likert scale derived from a validation study [19] were used to define the presence or absence of each type of child maltreatment. In this study, the primary exposure variable was the number of types of child maltreatment, and was categorised as 0, 1, 2, and ≥3 as there were not sufficient number of events to analyse participants with 4 or 5 types of maltreatment separately.

2.3. Mental disorders

Mental disorders were ascertained through individual-level record linkage to primary care records available for 45% of the UK
Biobank cohort until May 2017 for Scotland, September 2017 for Wales, and August 2017 for England. The detailed linkage procedures relating to primary care records are available online (http://biobank. ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/showcase/docs/primary_care_data.pdf). READ codes in the primary care record were mapped to ICD-10 codes. The outcomes in this study included: all mental disorders (ICD-10 F01-F09), dementia (F01-F03), substances abuse (F10-F19), including alcohol-related, disorders, schizophrenia (F20-F29), affective disorder (F30-F39), depression disorder (F32-F33), anxiety disorder (F40-F48), PTSD (F43.1), and behavioural syndrome (F50-F59) encompassing eating and sleep disorders, as well as syndromes associated with physiological disturbances and physical factors.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Cox proportional hazard models were used to analyse the associations between child maltreatment and incident mental disorders. The results are reported as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In the primary analysis we only included participants who did not have any mental disorder diagnosis at or before baseline assessment. This can reduce reverse causation because prevalent mental disorders could affect child maltreatment recall [7]. The models were adjusted for age at baseline assessment, sex, and ethnicity as potential confounders. Deprivation and education could be the consequence of child maltreatment (mediator) or affecting child maltreatment recall (confounder), but were primarily hypothesised to be mediators in the analysis. The hypothetical causal assumption is shown in Supplementary Figure 2. Since excluding participants who had mental disorder diagnosis prior to baseline assessment may induce selection bias, we conducted sensitivity analyses including all participants with complete data. These sensitivity analyses, follow-up was assumed to start when they were at the age of 30 years and all mental disorder diagnoses after that were included.

Moderation analyses were conducted by: sex, age group (38–50, 51–60, and 61–72 years; approximate tertiles), education level (with vs. without university degree), and area-based deprivation index (≥ vs. < median), physical activity (<600 vs ≥600 MET-min/week), obesity (BMI ≥30kg/m² vs <30kg/m²), alcohol drinking (<14 vs >14 units/week), binge drinking (binge drinker [average drinking unit per occasion >6 for female and >8 for male] vs non-binger drinker), ability to confide (never/almost never vs sometimes or more), and frequency of social visits (never/almost never vs sometimes or more), and household factors (household size, living with partner, living with children, living with relatives, living with unrelated person/people). We selected these factors because age could affect the reporting of maltreatment, sex could affect the type of maltreatment, and socioeconomic and lifestyle factors might influence the long-term effects of maltreatment experiences. Both stratified (sub-group) and interaction analyses were conducted for mental disorders, depression disorder, anxiety disorder, and behavioural syndrome as these outcomes had sufficient events for subgroup analyses. We also conducted sensitivity analyses including all mental disorder diagnoses for the moderation analysis of sex, age group, and education level as these factors should be the same or very similar between age of 30 and the baseline assessment.

We studied five groups of potential mediators: PA and TV (physical activity >600 MET-min/week [binary variable], time spent watching television [continuous variable]), smoking and drinking (any smoking and alcohol drinking >14 units a week [binary variable]), social factors (able to confide and social visit frequency [binary variables]), CVD risk factors (obesity and blood pressure [continuous variable]), and CRP and TG (continuous variable). All potential mediators were selected because of their potential associations with both child maltreatment and mental health. These groups of mediators were adjusted for in the Cox models to examine whether, and to what extent, the HRs between child maltreatment and mental disorders were attenuated.

Formal mediation analysis based on counterfactual framework was then conducted [23]. Counterfactual framework defines direct (non-mediated) and indirect (mediated) effects and are more robust against various limitations of traditional adjustment-based mediation analysis, such as mediator-outcome confounding affected by exposure [24]. To reduce multicollinearity and overadjustment, the potential mediators were selected using a stepwise approach. Firstly, mental disorder was regressed by child maltreatment and all potential mediators and confounders in a Weibull regression model with robust standard errors. Weibull regression was chosen because of its superior statistical properties in mediation analysis [25]. Potential mediators were then selected based on their associations with mental disorder after mutual adjustments. The selected potential mediators were then regressed by child maltreatment count and other covariates (mediator model) in either logistic (for binary mediators) or linear (for other mediators) models adjusting for other mediators and confounders. The outcome and mediator models were then combined to compute the mediation proportions based on natural indirect effect (NIE) divided by total effect (TE) for each participant which was then averaged. Quasi-Bayesian estimation with 1,000 iterations were used for estimating the 95% CI and p-values of the NIE and TE. Analyses were conducted in R version 4.0.2 using packages survival and mediation.

2.5. Role of funding sources

The funder has no roles in study design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation, and writing of the report.

3. Results

Of the 502,493 UK Biobank participants, 152,911 had complete data on child maltreatment and sociodemographic covariates (Supplementary Figure 3). Of these, 68,338 had been linked to primary care records which revealed that 12,256 had a mental disorder prior to the baseline assessment. These were excluded in the main analysis to account only for new onset of mental disorders resulting in a study population of 56,082 participants; mean [SD] age 55.49 [7.74] years and 59.86% female. At least one type of child maltreatment was reported by 31.10% (17,443) of participants; 19.75% (11,078), 6.92% (3,879) and 4.43% (2,486) of participants reported 1, 2, and ≥3 types respectively. The most frequently reported maltreatment type was emotional neglect (20.2%), and the least was physical neglect (5.21%). Those with a higher number of reported child maltreatments were: younger; more likely to be deprived, a smoker and obese; watched more TV; and were less likely to be physically active; have a university/college degree; or be able to confide (Table 1).

Overall, 5,595 (9.98%) participants developed a mental disorder over the follow-up period. Anxiety disorder was the most common (n=1,865 [3.33%]) followed by behavioural syndrome (n=1,420 [2.53%]), and affective disorder (n=1,304 [2.33%]), including depression disorder (n=1,229 [2.19%]).

Child maltreatment was associated with overall mental disorders with evidence of a dose-response relationship (Fig. 1). Compared with participants who did not report any of the included maltreatment type, those who reported three or more types of maltreatment had the highest risk (HR 1.95, 95% CI: 1.75-2.16), followed by those who experienced two (HR 1.54, 95% CI: 1.40-1.69) then one (HR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.21-1.38). When mutually adjusted, emotional abuse (HR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.17-1.42) and neglect (HR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.21-1.38) had the stronger independent associations with all mental disorders, followed by sexual (HR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.07-1.28) and physical (HR 1.15, 95% CI: 1.04-1.27) abuse, even though the 95% CIs overlapped.
The associations between number of child maltreatment types and mental disorders are shown in Fig. 2. Child maltreatment was associated with all mental disorders. The association was strongest for PTSD (HR 1.68, 95% CI: 1.27-2.20), and weakest for behavioural syndrome (HR 1.16, 95% CI: 1.10-1.23), substance abuse (HR 1.19, 95% CI: 1.14-1.24), and schizophrenia. Of the different types of maltreatment, emotional (including PTSD), behavioural syndrome, substance abuse, and anxiety disorder were stronger among participants who were female (Pinteraction=0.049), younger (Pinodemographic, lifestyle, and household subgroups. The association NIE of the mediators.

The associations between number of child maltreatment types and mental disorders spanned affective disorder (including depression), anxiety disorder (including PTSD), behavioural syndrome, substance abuse, and schizophrenia. Of the different types of maltreatment, emotional

### Table 1
Participants characteristics by number of child maltreatment types

|                  | 0          | 1          | 2          | 3+         |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total n          | 38,639     | 11,078     | 3,879      | 2,486      |
| Mean (SD) age, years | 56.19 (7.69) | 55.91 (7.75) | 55.35 (7.73) | 54.50 (7.80) |
| Male             | 17209 (44.54) | 4956 (44.74) | 1526 (39.34) | 786 (31.62) |
| Ethnicity        |            |            |            |            |
| White            | 37938 (98.19) | 10745 (96.99) | 3728 (96.11) | 2332 (93.81) |
| South Asian      | 251 (0.65) | 123 (1.11) | 39 (1.01) | 39 (1.57) |
| Black            | 124 (0.32) | 60 (0.54) | 43 (1.11) | 46 (1.85) |
| Chinese          | 62 (0.16) | 32 (0.29) | 13 (0.34) | 14 (0.56) |
| Mixed            | 122 (0.32) | 60 (0.54) | 29 (0.75) | 28 (1.13) |
| Others           | 142 (0.37) | 58 (0.52) | 27 (0.70) | 27 (1.09) |
| Less deprived (index = median) | 20149 (52.15) | 5212 (47.05) | 1679 (43.28) | 1000 (40.23) |
| Education attainment | 18285 (47.32) | 4983 (44.98) | 1675 (43.18) | 1036 (41.67) |
| Smoking          |            |            |            |            |
| Never            | 24368 (63.17) | 6471 (58.51) | 2087 (53.98) | 1215 (49.01) |
| Previous         | 12710 (32.95) | 4043 (36.56) | 1539 (39.81) | 1094 (44.13) |
| Current          | 1499 (3.89) | 464 (4.94) | 240 (6.21) | 170 (6.86) |
| Alcohol drinking - < 14 units/week | 20149 (52.15) | 5212 (47.05) | 1679 (43.28) | 1000 (40.23) |
| Physical activity > 600 MET-minutes/week | 18285 (47.32) | 4983 (44.98) | 1675 (43.18) | 1036 (41.67) |
| Mean (SD) TV viewing/day | 2.45 (1.36) | 2.48 (1.43) | 2.53 (1.47) | 2.56 (1.52) |
| Able to confide | 33727 (87.29) | 9196 (83.01) | 3137 (80.87) | 1986 (79.89) |
| Have social visits | 38252 (99.00) | 10893 (98.33) | 3790 (97.71) | 2424 (97.51) |
| Household size   | 2.47 (1.17) | 2.41 (1.13) | 2.37 (1.13) | 2.40 (1.17) |
| Living with partner | 30403 (78.68) | 8206 (74.07) | 2751 (70.92) | 1711 (68.83) |
| Living with children | 13920 (36.01) | 3859 (34.83) | 1361 (35.09) | 902 (36.28) |
| Living with other relatives | 1125 (2.91) | 323 (2.92) | 97 (2.50) | 69 (2.78) |
| Living with non-relatives | 532 (1.38) | 216 (1.97) | 80 (2.06) | 65 (2.61) |
| BMI categories   |            |            |            |            |
| Underweight      | 195 (0.51) | 62 (0.56) | 20 (0.52) | 15 (0.60) |
| Normal           | 15287 (39.63) | 4107 (37.17) | 1368 (35.30) | 814 (32.82) |
| Overweight       | 16022 (41.54) | 4584 (41.49) | 1615 (41.68) | 976 (39.35) |
| Obese            | 7070 (18.33) | 2296 (20.78) | 872 (22.50) | 675 (27.22) |
| Central obesity  | 15930 (41.28) | 4728 (41.13) | 1633 (41.21) | 1036 (41.76) |
| Mean (SD) systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 137.21 (18.07) | 136.56 (18.22) | 135.58 (18.10) | 134.93 (18.58) |
| Mean (SD) handgrip strength, kg | 31.63 (10.72) | 31.56 (10.83) | 30.74 (10.92) | 29.48 (10.54) |
| Mean (SD) c-reactive protein, mg/L | 2.19 (4.16) | 2.31 (4.53) | 2.44 (4.80) | 2.82 (5.58) |
| Mean (SD) triglyceride, mmol/L | 1.64 (0.96) | 1.67 (0.96) | 1.67 (1.03) | 1.67 (1.00) |

Abbreviations: N- sample size, SD- standard deviation, GCSE- General Certificate of Secondary Education, SE- secondary education, NVQ- National Vocational Qualification, HND- Higher National Diploma, HNC- Higher National Certificate, MET- Metabolic Equivalent for Task.

4. Discussion

The current study has demonstrated a potentially important association between child maltreatment and mental disorders that spanned affective disorder (including depression), anxiety disorder (including PTSD), behavioural syndrome, substance abuse, and schizophrenia. Of the different types of maltreatment, emotional...
Number of types of maltreatment

| Number of maltreatment | 0       | 1       | 2       | 3+      |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Event                  | 38,639  | 11,078  | 3,879   | 2,486   |
| Hazard Ratio           | 1.00    | 1.29    | 1.54    | 1.95    |
| P                      | 1.00    | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

Types of maltreatment

| Types of maltreatment | Physical abuse | Emotional abuse | Sexual abuse | Physical neglect | Emotional neglect |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Number                | 4,042          | 4,545          | 4,528        | 2,924            | 11,320           |
| Event                 | 575            | 666            | 552          | 386              | 1,486            |
| Hazard Ratio          | 1.15            | 1.29            | 1.17          | 1.14              | 1.29              |
| P                     | 0.005           | <0.0001         | 0.0008       | 0.02              | <0.0001          |

Fig. 1. Association between child maltreatment and all mental disorders
Adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity.

Mental disorders

| Mental disorders       | Number | Event | Hazard Ratio | P     |
|------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|-------|
| All mental disorders   | 56,082 | 5,595 | 1.22         | <0.0001 |
| Dementia               | 56,082 | 52    | 1.32         | 0.03   |
| Substance abuse        | 56,082 | 1,197 | 1.19         | <0.0001 |
| Schizophrenia          | 56,082 | 35    | 1.47         | 0.004  |
| Affective disorder     | 56,082 | 1,304 | 1.39         | <0.0001 |
| Depression disorder    | 56,082 | 1,229 | 1.39         | <0.0001 |
| Anxiety disorder       | 56,082 | 1,865 | 1.19         | <0.0001 |
| PTSD                   | 56,082 | 25    | 1.68         | 0.0002  |
| Behavioural syndrome   | 56,082 | 1,420 | 1.16         | <0.0001 |

Fig. 2. Association between number of maltreatment types and mental disorders
Adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity. The HRs correspond to the increase in risk for each additional types of child maltreatment.

Models

| Models               | Number | Event | Hazard Ratio | P     |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------------|-------|
| M1 (Demographics)    | 56,082 | 5,595 | 1.22         | <0.0001 |
| M2 (M1 + SES)        | 56,082 | 5,595 | 1.20         | <0.0001 |
| M3 (M1 + PA/TV)      | 46,660 | 4,660 | 1.22         | <0.0001 |
| M4 (M1 + Smoking/Drinking) | 51,729 | 5,187 | 1.20         | <0.0001 |
| M5 (M1 + Social)     | 54,675 | 5,485 | 1.21         | <0.0001 |
| M6 (M1 + CVD factors) | 51,588 | 5,373 | 1.21         | <0.0001 |
| M7 (M1 + CRP and TG) | 52,884 | 5,242 | 1.23         | <0.0001 |
| M8 (M1 + all factors) | 36,964 | 3,849 | 1.17         | <0.0001 |

Fig. 3. Association between number of child maltreatment types and all mental disorders by adjustment models
M1: Adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity
M2: M1 and additionally deprivation index, and education attainment
M3: M1 and additionally total physical activity level and television viewing
M4: M1 and additionally smoking status and units of alcohol drinking
M5: M1 and additionally able to confide and social visit frequency
M6: M1 and additionally handgrip strength and systolic blood pressure
M7: M1 and additionally adjusted for C-Reactive Protein and Triglyceride
M8: Adjusted for all factors listed
The HRs correspond to the increase in risk for each additional types of child maltreatment.
abuse and neglect had the stronger associations with long-term mental disorders. The associations were generally consistent whether we included only participants who were free from mental disorder diagnosis in middle or older age or included all participants including those with a previous diagnosis. This indicates that the potential mental health consequences of child maltreatment are apparent 20-70 years after the occurrence, even among individuals with no history of mental disorders in early adulthood. The long-term effects of child maltreatment were only partially mediated by lifestyle or biological factors. The associations were consistent irrespective of sex, level of education, deprivation, and physical activity, and presence of obesity, but were stronger amongst binge drinkers and people with few social visits. This could potentially be used to identify patients who are at the highest risk to develop mental disorders (e.g. those with previous mental disorder diagnoses suggesting onset of mental disorders is most common during this time than any other life stage [33]. However, the youngest UK Biobank participants included in our study were already 38 years of age. Further studies are needed to explore whether our observed interaction with age is due to a birth cohort effect, a weakening association over the life-course, or because maltreatment victims were more likely to die early [34].

Even though the ability to confide was the strongest mediator identified in this study, it only mediated 8% of the excess risk. It should be noted that the included social factors could not completely capture social support. Indeed, Sperry & Widom [28] found social support to be a significant effect modifier for child maltreatment and depression and anxiety. We found frequency of social visits to be a moderator of anxiety following maltreatment, however, it did not reach statistical significance for depression contrary to other literature [28,29]. It should be noted that there are many forms of social support and frequency of social visits encompasses just one; other forms such as trusted adult support through childhood, perceived social support, and social connectedness have also been shown to be important buffering factors [8,30,31].

Even though the ability to confide was the strongest mediator identified in this study, it only mediated 8% of the excess risk. It should be noted that the included social factors could not completely capture social support. Indeed, Sperry & Widom [28] found social support to be a significant effect modifier for child maltreatment and depression and anxiety. We found frequency of social visits to be a moderator of anxiety following maltreatment, however, it did not reach statistical significance for depression contrary to other literature [28,29]. It should be noted that there are many forms of social support and frequency of social visits encompasses just one; other forms such as trusted adult support through childhood, perceived social support, and social connectedness have also been shown to be important buffering factors [8,30,31].

### 4.1. Comparison with existing literature

This study supports findings from the existing literature that demonstrated a clear association between ACE/child maltreatment and psychiatric outcomes in adulthood. A meta-analysis has identified associations of ACEs with depression and anxiety with strong effect sizes (ORs 4.4 and 3.7) [5], similarly in another meta-analysis on child maltreatment (ORs 2.5 and 1.7) [6]. However it should be noted that many of the studies included were subject to various biases and therefore the pooled effect sizes may be inflated.

A prospective study with 21-year follow up showed a consistent relationship between substantiated child maltreatment and mental disorders in early adulthood [4]. Consistent with our study and others [27], the authors found that emotional abuse and neglect were particularly harmful to subsequent mental health and PTSD was the outcome most strongly associated with all forms of abuse. The reasons behind the stronger association of emotional abuse are still to be explored it could be related to how the abuses were reported in this study. Physical abuse was reported as being hit so hard that it left the participants with bruises or marks in childhood, while emotional abuse was reported as being hated. The former could be, historically, a form of disciplinary method but the latter is most likely malicious. Further study should explore on this. Our study expands the existing evidence by showing that the association between child maltreatment and mental disorders continues into middle and older age, even if there were no known mental problems in childhood and early adulthood.

We found that good social support, as measured through frequent social visits, could mediate the association between child maltreatment and mental disorders. However, we should note that reverse causation is possible as social isolation is a precursor to mental disorders. Similarly a prospective cohort study [28] found social support to be a significant effect modifier for child maltreatment and depression and anxiety. We found frequency of social visits to be a moderator of anxiety following maltreatment, however, it did not reach statistical significance for depression contrary to other literature [28,29]. It should be noted that there are many forms of social support and frequency of social visits encompasses just one; other forms such as trusted adult support through childhood, perceived social support, and social connectedness have also been shown to be important buffering factors [8,30,31].

### Summary of mediation analysis

![Table 2](https://example.com/table2)

| Association with all mental disorders | Association with child maltreatment | % mediated |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| University degree                   | 0.86 (0.80, 0.92)                   | 0.9        |
| Area-based deprivation             | 0.95 (0.89, 1.02)                   | -          |
| MET-min per week                    | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)                   | -          |
| Hours of TV viewing                 | 1.06 (1.02, 1.09)                   | 0.4        |
| Units of alcohol intake             | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)                   | -          |
| Able to confide                     | 0.89 (0.82, 0.97)                   | 8.0        |
| Social visits                       | 0.81 (0.73, 1.04)                   | -          |
| Obesity                             | 1.06 (0.98, 1.16)                   | -          |
| Central obesity                     | 1.15 (1.06, 1.24)                   | 0.9        |
| Systolic blood pressure             | 0.93 (0.89, 0.96)                   | 1.2        |
| CRP                                 | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)                   | -          |
| Triglycerides                       | 1.03 (1.00, 1.06)                   | -          |

* Cox regression models with potential mediators as independent variables.

1. Linear (for continuous mediators) and logistic (for binary mediators) models with potential mediators as dependent variables.

All analyses adjusted for each other and for child maltreatment, age, sex, and ethnicity.

---

**Table 2**

Summary of mediation analysis

|               | Association with all mental disorders | Association with child maltreatment | % mediated |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| University degree | 0.86 (0.80, 0.92)                   | 0.88 (0.86, 0.90)                   | 0.9        |
| Area-based deprivation | 0.95 (0.89, 1.02)                   | 0.96 (0.94, 0.99)                   | -          |
| MET-min per week | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)                   | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05)                   | -          |
| Hours of TV viewing | 1.06 (1.02, 1.09)                   | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03)                   | 0.4        |
| Units of alcohol intake | 1.06 (1.03, 1.09)                   | -0.01 (-0.02, 0.00)                 | -          |
| Able to confide | 0.89 (0.82, 0.97)                   | 0.80 (0.78, 0.83)                   | 8.0        |
| Social visits | 0.81 (0.73, 1.04)                   | 0.00 (-0.01, 0.00)                  | -          |
| Obesity | 1.06 (0.98, 1.16)                   | 1.10 (1.06, 1.13)                   | -          |
| Central obesity | 1.15 (1.06, 1.24)                   | 1.05 (1.02, 1.08)                   | 0.9        |
| Systolic blood pressure | 0.93 (0.89, 0.96)                   | -0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)                | 1.2        |
| CRP | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)                   | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03)                   | -          |
| Triglycerides | 1.03 (1.00, 1.06)                   | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02)                   | -          |

* Cox regression models with potential mediators as independent variables.

1. Linear (for continuous mediators) and logistic (for binary mediators) models with potential mediators as dependent variables.

All analyses adjusted for each other and for child maltreatment, age, sex, and ethnicity.
that child maltreatment was associated with both depression and binge drinking, independent of household challenges [37]. Our study adds to the existing evidence by demonstrating that binge drinking is an effect modifier, rather than a mediator. We demonstrated that those who reported binge drinking were more vulnerable to any mental disorders (Pinteraction=0.03) and behavioural syndrome (Pinteraction=0.003) following child maltreatment. Therefore, maltreatment victims who also have drinking problems represent a particularly vulnerable subgroup for mental illness and should be supported.

4.2. Limitations

Firstly, the UK Biobank does not have data on ACEs except for child maltreatment which was recalled by participants rather than captured prospectively. This is a common limitation of studies of childhood experiences with long duration of follow-up and may be subject to reverse causation. Also, retrospective measures may be liable to underreporting which could underestimate the associations [8,38,39]. Secondly although the number and type of maltreatments were recorded, severity, timing, duration, and frequency were not. Thirdly, other mediating and moderating factors such as neurodevelopmental disorders, resilience, and emotional dysregulation could not be analysed as they were not assessed in UK Biobank, and that the included mediators were only measured once in the baseline assessments. The low mediation proportions could be, partly, due nondifferential misclassification bias from the measurements of the mediators, as well as the omission of interactions between mediators. Another limitation is the potential for reverse causality with some moderators, in particular social factors and binge drinking. The primary outcome (all mental disorders) included alcohol-related disorders and therefore the moderation analysis for that could be overestimated. The UK Biobank cohort was not representative of the

| Subgroup                | N   | Event | Hazard Ratio | Pinteraction |
|-------------------------|-----|-------|--------------|--------------|
| Overall                 | 50,082 | 5,590 | 1.20 (1.17-1.24) | 0.049 |
| Sex                     |     |       |              |              |
| Female                  | 31,606 | 2,788 | 1.22 (1.18-1.26) | 0.001 |
| Male                    | 18,476 | 2,808 | 1.16 (1.13-1.23) | 0.0001 |
| Age                     |     |       |              |              |
| 35-50                   | 14,888 | 1,715 | 1.29 (1.24-1.34) | 0.0001 |
| 51-60                   | 22,239 | 2,122 | 1.17 (1.12-1.22) | 0.0001 |
| 61-72                   | 18,957 | 1,759 | 1.14 (1.08-1.20) | 0.0001 |
| Education               |     |       |              |              |
| Without degree          | 30,103 | 3,267 | 1.20 (1.16-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| With degree             | 20,987 | 2,329 | 1.22 (1.17-1.27) | 0.0001 |
| Deprivation             |     |       |              |              |
| Low                     | 28,044 | 3,005 | 1.20 (1.16-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| High                    | 20,040 | 2,501 | 1.21 (1.17-1.26) | 0.0001 |
| Physical Activity       |     |       |              |              |
| <600 MET-min/wk          | 17,203 | 1,770 | 1.20 (1.15-1.26) | 0.0001 |
| >600 MET-min/wk          | 38,881 | 3,826 | 1.21 (1.17-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Obesity                 |     |       |              |              |
| Not obese               | 45,170 | 4,309 | 1.20 (1.16-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Obese                   | 9,914  | 1,287 | 1.20 (1.14-1.28) | 0.0001 |
| Alcohol drinking        |     |       |              |              |
| <=14 units/wk           | 30,114 | 2,753 | 1.21 (1.17-1.25) | 0.0001 |
| >14 units/wk            | 25,970 | 2,843 | 1.20 (1.16-1.25) | 0.0001 |
| Binge drinking          |     |       |              |              |
| No                      | 30,015 | 3,647 | 1.17 (1.14-1.21) | 0.0001 |
| Yes                     | 17,067 | 1,949 | 1.25 (1.20-1.30) | 0.0001 |
| Able to confide         |     |       |              |              |
| Never/Almost never      | 8,036  | 914   | 1.20 (1.14-1.28) | 0.0001 |
| Sometimes or more       | 48,048 | 4,682 | 1.20 (1.17-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Social Visit            |     |       |              |              |
| Never/Almost never      | 723    | 90    | 1.54 (1.32-1.79) | 0.0001 |
| Sometimes or more       | 55,361 | 5,506 | 1.20 (1.17-1.23) | 0.0001 |
| Household size          |     |       |              |              |
| 1                       | 9,155  | 987   | 1.22 (1.15-1.29) | 0.0001 |
| <=1                      | 46,804 | 4,589 | 1.20 (1.17-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Living with partner     |     |       |              |              |
| No                      | 13,012 | 1,402 | 1.22 (1.16-1.27) | 0.0001 |
| Yes                     | 43,072 | 4,134 | 1.20 (1.16-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Household children      |     |       |              |              |
| No                      | 30,041 | 3,563 | 1.19 (1.15-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Yes                     | 20,037 | 2,033 | 1.22 (1.17-1.28) | 0.0001 |
| Living with relatives   |     |       |              |              |
| No                      | 54,470 | 5,419 | 1.20 (1.17-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| Yes                     | 1,614  | 177   | 1.35 (1.17-1.55) | 0.0001 |
| Living with unrelated person(s) | 55,189 | 5,495 | 1.21 (1.17-1.24) | 0.0001 |
| No                      | 895    | 101   | 1.29 (1.11-1.50) | 0.0001 |

Fig. 4. Association between number of child maltreatment types and all mental disorders by population subgroups

Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation index, and education attainment. The HRs correspond to the increase in risk for each additional types of child maltreatment.
UK general population as they were more educated, less deprived, and had a healthier lifestyle (e.g. more physical activity) but the exposure-outcome associations were found consistent with population representative studies [40]. It should, however, be noted that only about 10% of the UK Biobank participants was included in this analysis. This might induce collider bias, inflating the associations, and that the results from this study might not be applicable for early adulthood onset mental disorders. Incident mental disorders were defined from after the baseline follow-up even though the child maltreatment were recalled after baseline assessment. The mental disorders were ascertained using primary care records, available in 45% of the participants. While the availability of primary care data is due to differences in recording system and should not cause differential bias, the reliance on primary care data may systematically omit some cases, particularly those with subclinical symptoms or those who refused or delayed to seek help [41]. There are also other mental disorders and manifestations of mental health conditions such as self-harm and suicide attempts which might not comprehensively captured in the primary care data and should be an area for future research. Whether child maltreatment may affect help seeking behaviours is not well understood. This could cause reverse causation and exaggerate the HRs.

4.3. Conclusions
Child maltreatment was associated with a wide range of mental disorders diagnosed for the first time in middle and older age. As no strong mediators were identified, prevention of child maltreatment should be prioritised to reduce maltreatment-related mental health burdens. Individuals maltreated in childhood who also binge drink and/or have weak social connectedness were at greater risk of developing mental disorders and could potentially be targeted for future interventions.
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