Comparison of high-pitch prospective electrocardiogram-gated pulmonary CT angiography with standard CT pulmonary angiography on dual-source CT for detection of subsegmental pulmonary embolism in patients suspected of acute pulmonary embolism

Rohini Thakur¹,³,⁴,⁵, Manphool Singhal¹,⁴,⁵, Ashutosh Nath Aggrawal¹,³,⁵, Ujjwal Gorsi¹,⁴,⁶, Navneet Sharma³,⁵, Ashish Bhalla¹,³,⁵, Niranjan Khandelwal¹,³,⁶

¹Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh – 161002, India
²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh – 161002, India
³Department of Internal Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh – 161002, India

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Purpose: Objective of this study was to compare high-pitch prospective electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated computed tomography (CT) pulmonary angiography (HP-PECG-gated CTPA) with standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography (SP-NECG-gated CTPA) on 128-slice dual-source CT (DSCT) for the detection of subsegmental pulmonary embolism (SSPE) in patients suspected of acute pulmonary embolism (APE) with radiation and contrast-optimized protocols. Cardiac-related motion artefacts, lung image quality, and quantitative parameter (pulmonary arterial enhancement, radiation exposure, and contrast) volumes were also compared.

Material and methods: This prospective study enrolled 87 patients clinically suspected of APE and randomly distributed to either group by software. Two radiologists blinded to each other interpreted the images for assessment of SSPE, image quality, and quantitative parameters.

Results: SSPE was diagnosed in 15/44 (34.09%) patients in HP-PECG-gated CTPA, in comparison to 8/43 (18.60%) patients in SP-NECG-gated CTPA. Cardiac motion-related artefacts (blurring of bronchovascular structures and double-line artefacts) were statistically significantly less, with p-value < 0.05. Lung image quality was also better, with p-value < 0.001. Effective radiation dose and contrast volume in HP-PECG-gated CTPA were (2.54 ± 0.80 mSv, 45.05 ± 6 ml) versus SP-NECG-gated CTPA (3.17 ± 1.20 mSv, 74.19 ± 7.63 ml) with p-values of 0.007 and 0.001, respectively.

Conclusions: Radiation and contrast volume-optimized HP-PECG-gated CTPA provides reduced cardiac motion-related artefacts of pulmonary arteries, which allows enhanced detection of SSPE. It also provides better image quality of lung and parenchyma with lower radiation exposure and less contrast volume
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Introduction

Pulmonary embolism most commonly refers to obstruction of the pulmonary arterial vasculature by thrombus secondary to venous thrombo-embolism [1]. It is a potentially life-threatening illness, which is commonly diagnosed in an emergency setting and leads to increased morbidity and mortality [2,3]. Since the clinical application of multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT), computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is now estab-
lished as a modality of choice for diagnosis of patients suspected of acute pulmonary embolism (APE) [4-6]. However, diagnosis of subsegmental pulmonary embolism (SSPE) remains a challenge [7-12].

Pulmonary arteries and their branches are influenced by cardiac and respiratory motions, which lead to blurring of broncho-vascular structures and shading artefacts in contrast-filled arteries, and they degrade the image quality of lung parenchyma affecting the detection of SSPE [13-15]. With currently available MDCT/dual-source computed tomography (DSCT), respiratory motion-related artefacts have been addressed due to faster scanning and large area coverage [16,17]. Cardiac motion related artefacts have been studied by electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated CTPA with improved image quality [18,19]; however, it was associated with increased radiation exposure due to retrospective ECG-gated data acquisition, which acquires data continuously throughout the cardiac cycle [5,20]. However, with application of prospective ECG-gated pulmonary angiography radiation exposure, the concerns were largely addressed [14,15,21,22]. However, these studies were limited to relatively stable patients and the authors did not find any published study on the application of high-pitch prospective ECG-gated (HP-PECG-gated) CTPA for the diagnosis of SSPE in patients suspected of APE. In this context we conducted a prospectively designed randomized study on second-generation 128-DSCT on patients clinically suspected for APE with HP-PECG-gated CTPA and standard-pitch non-ECG-gated (SP-NECG-gated) CTPA for the detection of SSPE. In addition, cardiac motion-related artefacts, image quality, and quantitative parameters: pulmonary arterial enhancement, radiation exposure, contrast volume, and scan time, were also compared.

Material and methods

This randomized prospective study was conducted in a federally funded non-profit tertiary care institution from January 2015 to June 2016. A total of 87 patients were enrolled in the study, who had clinical suspicion of APE. The study protocol was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee, and informed written consent was obtained from all the patients.

Exclusion criteria: those with presence of a pacemaker, body mass index (BMI) over 40 kg/m², cardiac arrhythmia, known significant lung parenchymal disease, pregnancy, and contraindication to intravenous iodinated contrast such as predisposition for contrast reaction or deranged renal function tests were excluded from the study.

The patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were randomly assigned by random integer generator with a list of 1s and 2s assigned to either HP-PECG-gated CTPA \((n = 44)\) or SP-NECG-gated CTPA \((n = 43)\) on second-generation 128-DSCT (SOMATOM sensation-definition-flash; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany).

### Scanning protocols

**Area coverage:** The scan range for both the groups extended from lung apices to the dome of the diaphragm with scanning in the cranio-caudal direction.

**Contrast injection protocol:** For both groups contrast was injected through the anterior cubital vein via a dual syringe power injector with a flow rate of 5 ml/s. Saline chase at the same rate was given in high-pitch protocol for 10 s. Bolus tracking technique was used for acquisition of data with region of interest (ROI) placed in the main pulmonary artery (MPA) in both the groups with automatic scanning after a 5-second delay once a preset attenuation value of 100 was achieved in MPA.

**Contrast volume:** Vascular opacification was achieved by injecting non-ionic iodinated contrast (Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare, Ireland) in both the groups. Contrast volume calculation for both groups was as follows \([\text{flow rate} \times (\text{scan time} + \text{scan delay})]\). In addition, 10-15 ml was added in both groups to achieve partial opacification of left-sided cardiac chambers and aorta.

**Scanner details:** Second-generation 128-DSCT (SOMATOM sensation-definition-flash; Siemens Healthcare) with temporal resolution of 75 milliseconds, gantry rotation time of 0.28 seconds, and slice/beam thickness of 0.6 mm.

**Radiation optimization techniques:** Tube voltage 100 kVp (after switching off Automatic Care kV), automated tube current modulation (care dose 4D; Siemens health care), and iterative image reconstruction algorithms.

**Pitch for HP-ECG-gated CTPA** was 3.2, while for SP-NECG-gated CTPA it was 1.2.

**HP-PECG-gated CTPA** was prospective ECG-gated acquisition with system-generated single dataset in diastolic phase of cardiac cycle prefixed between 60 and 80% of the R-R interval of the ECG depending upon the heart rate. For image reconstruction the iterative reconstruction (IR) method was used to provide good quality images.

### Image analysis

Images were reconstructed to 1 mm slice thickness and analysed on a dedicated workstation (Syngo.via, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). Pulmonary embolism was diagnosed as hypodense filling defect/s in the pulmonary vasculature as established criteria [9,13].

The presence of SSPE and image quality were analysed by 2 radiologists (MS, UG) blinded to each other’s findings, and interobserver agreement was calculated for each finding.

### Qualitative analysis of images

Images were scored on Likert scales for various artefacts as per the previously published articles, as follows [8,9]:

- **Blurring of broncho-vascular structures** – severe: 1 – in-distinct edges within discernible structures, moderate,
2 – significantly blurred edges but discernible, minor, 3 – minimal blurring, and none, 4 – clearly discernible edges with no blurring.

Double-line artifact – severe: 1 – clearly discernible doubling of edges and if associated with many structures, moderate, 2 – fairly discernible doubling of edges and associated with several structures, minor, 3 – barely discernible doubling of edges and seen only in one or two structures and, none, 4 – no blurring of edges.

Intravascular shading – severe: 1 – marked and widespread darkening in opacified pulmonary arteries, moderate, 2 – patchy and discernible darkening, 3 – localized and barely discernible darkening and, 4 – uniform opacification with no darkening.

Lung image quality – 1 – artefacts affecting diagnostic assessment, 2 – artefacts limiting the visibility of major structures but still diagnostic, 3 – minor artefacts, and 4 – no artefacts.

For each Likert scale, scores of 1 and 2 were considered as non-diagnostic. Scores of 3 and 4 were considered diagnostically acceptable.

Quantitative analysis of images

Pulmonary arterial enhancement: measurement by placing the ROI to obtain a value in Hounsfield units (HU) in the main, left, and right pulmonary arteries in each patient.

Contrast volume: noted in millilitres (ml) for every patient in both groups.

Acquisition time: system-calculated acquisition time was recorded in each patient.

Radiation exposure: system-generated radiation exposure dose length product (DLP in milligray centimeters – mGy cm) was recorded for each patient, and effective radiation dose (millisieverts – mSv) was calculated by using the appropriate conversion factor (0.014) as per ICRP 103 publication recommendations [23].

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, version 22.0 for Windows). Means and medians were calculated for quantitative variables, and for measures of dispersion standard deviations or interquartile ranges were calculated. Normality of data was checked by measures of Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests of normality. For normally distributed data, means of 2 different groups was compared using the t-test. For skewed data and ordinal data the Mann-Whitney test was applied. All statistical tests were 2-sided and were performed at a significance level of α = 0.05. For the reliability between 2 observer findings Cohen’s κ test was used and the κ value derived.

Results

A total of 87 (n = 87) patients were enrolled in the study with 44/87 subjected to HP-PECG-gated CTPA (n = 44) and 43/87 to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (n = 43). Patient variables and characteristics were comparable without any statically difference and are summarized in Table 1.

Location of pulmonary embolism

Pulmonary embolism was diagnosed in 15/44 (34.09%) patients in HP-PECG-gated CTPA and in 10/43 (23.26%) patients in standard SP-NECG-gated CTPA (Figure 1). In diagnosed cases, thrombus was present at various levels of pulmonary vasculature and summarized in Table 2. SSPE was present in 15/44 (34.09%) patients in HP-PECG-gated CTPA, in comparison to 8/43 (18.60%) patients in SP-NECG-gated CTPA (Figures 1 and 2).

Qualitative measurements of image quality

Statically significant less blurring of bronchovascular structures and double-line artefacts with p-value less than 0.001 and 0.01, respectively, were noted in HP-PECG-gated CTPA (Figure 3), while intravascular shading was comparable (p = 0.15). The scores of each of these parameters are detailed in Table 3.

Lung image quality

Lung image quality was better with statistically fewer artefacts in HP-PECG-gated CTPA with p-value less than 0.001 (Figure 3B). Table 4 enumerates the artefact scores as markers for quality of lung parenchyma.

Quantitative parameters

Pulmonary artery enhancement

Pulmonary artery enhancement in HP-PECG-gated CTPA was comparable to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (Figure 4, Table 5). Subjectively, assessments of pulmonary vasculature until segmental and subsegmental level was better in HP-PECG-gated CTPA, but no scoring was done.

Table 1. Patients’ demographics

| Serial no. | HP-PECG-gated CTPA | SP-NECG-gated CTPA | p-value |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Patient number | 44 | 43 | 0.236 |
| Male | 24 (54.5%) | 18 (41.9%) | 0.014 |
| Female | 20 (45.5%) | 25 (58.1%) | 0.06 |
| Age (years) | 41.20 ± 15.20 | 47.25 ± 14.60 | 0.84 |
| Weight (kg) | 59.29 ± 8.75 | 59.65 ± 7.60 | 0.06 |

HP-PECG-gated CTPA – high-pitch prospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated CT pulmonary angiography, SP-NECG-gated CTPA – standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography
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Figure 1. High-pitch PECG-gated CTPA in a 24-year-old female patient – coronal and axial images show hypodense filling defect in one of the subsegmental branches of the left inferior pulmonary artery (arrow in A) and in a segmental branch of the right inferior pulmonary artery (arrow in B). Note clearly discernible segmental and subsegmental branches of pulmonary arteries (score 4) devoid of double-line artefacts and intravascular shading (score 4).

Figure 2. High-pitch PECG CTPA in an 18-year-old male patient shows hypodense filling defect in one of the subsegmental branches of the left inferior pulmonary artery (arrow). Segmental and subsegmental branches of pulmonary arteries are free of motion blur and of double-line artefacts (score 4) however, minor intravascular shading is present (score 3).

Figure 3. A) Standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CTPA in a 50-year-old male patient shows minor blurring of bronchovascular structures in bilateral lower lobes (score 3) and minor double-line artefacts in right lower lobe (score 3), lung image-quality artefacts are present but diagnostic (score 2). B) High-pitch PECG-gated CTPA in a 45-year-old male patient shows no blurring of bronchovascular structure with clearly discernible edges of the tracheobronchial tree, and segmental and subsegmental branches (arrows) of pulmonary arteries (score 4). Also note clear and sharp outlines of diaphragm margins, lung image quality diagnostic without any artefacts (score 4).

Table 2. Locations of thrombus in pulmonary vasculature

| Location                             | HP-PECG-gated CTPA (n = 15/44) | SP-NECG-gated CTPA (n = 10/43) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Main pulmonary artery                | 1                             | 2                              |
| Right pulmonary artery               | 6                             | 3                              |
| Left pulmonary arteries              | 3                             | 1                              |
| Right lobar arteries                 | 11                            | 5                              |
| Left lobar arteries                  | 5                             | 2                              |
| Right segmental arteries             | 11                            | 6                              |
| Left segmental arteries              | 5                             | 4                              |
| Right subsegmental arteries          | 10                            | 5                              |
| Left subsegmental arteries           | 5                             | 3                              |

HP-PECG-gated CTPA – high-pitch prospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated CT pulmonary angiography, SP-NECG-gated CTPA – standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography.
Figure 4. High-pitch PECG-gated CTPA in a 24-year-old male patient – axial and coronal images show uniform and dense opacification pulmonary arteries with quantitative enhancement in HU (MPA 396, right pulmonary artery 340, left pulmonary artery 354). Coronal image shows no intravascular shading (score 4) with visualization of subsegmental branches.

Table 3. Scores (mean with standard deviation) as measured on Likert scale for each qualitative parameter

| Serial No. | Qualitative parameter                  | HP-PECG-gated CTPA   | SP-NECG-gated CTPA | p-value    |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| 1          | Blurring of bronchovascular structures | 70.39 ± 3.37         | 61.88 ± 7.90        | ≤ 0.001    |
| 2          | Intravascular shading                  | 67.13 ± 8.30         | 69.34 ± 5.60        | 0.15       |
| 3          | Double-line artefacts                  | 19.86 ± 0.55         | 18.74 ± 1.80        | 0.01       |

HP-PECG-gated CTPA – high-pitch prospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated CT pulmonary angiography, SP-NECG-gated CTPA – standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography

Table 4. Lung image quality as measured with presence of artefacts

| Scoring system for lung image quality (artefacts) | HP-PECG-gated CTPA | SP-NECG-gated CTPA | p-value    |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Artefacts affecting diagnostic assessment (1)     | 0                  | 0                  | 0.000      |
| Artefacts limiting visibility of structures but still diagnostic (2) | 0                  | 0                  |            |
| Minor artefacts (3)                               | 1                  | 16                 |            |
| No artefacts (4)                                  | 43                 | 27                 |            |

HP-PECG-gated CTPA – high-pitch prospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated CT pulmonary angiography, SP-NECG-gated CTPA – standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography

Table 5. Summary of quantitative image parameters

| Parameter                              | HP-PECG-gated CTPA   | SP-NECG-gated CTPA | p-value    |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Main pulmonary artery attenuation      | 316.77 ± 95.29 HU    | 318.91 ± 118.64 HU | 0.92       |
| Left pulmonary artery attenuation      | 299.93 ± 86.90 HU    | 314.65 ± 116.21 HU | 0.50       |
| Right pulmonary artery attenuation     | 296.98 ± 90.84 HU    | 311.91 ± 113.93 HU | 0.50       |
| Dose length product (mGy cm)           | 181.15 ± 57.78       | 219.58 ± 93.42     | 0.02       |
| Effective radiation dose (mSv)         | 2.54 ± 0.80          | 3.17 ± 1.2         | 0.007      |
| Contrast volume (ml)                   | 45.05 ± 6.00         | 74.19 ± 7.63       | 0.001      |
| Scan time (s)                          | 0.745 ± 0.08         | 1.36 ± 0.45        | 0.001      |
| Scan length (mm)                       | 318.11 ± 44.33       | 334.67 ± 35.48     | 0.05       |
| Heart Rate (beats per minute)          | 92.41 ± 25.62        | 84.95 ± 10.35      | 0.08       |

HP-PECG-gated CTPA – high-pitch prospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated CT pulmonary angiography, SP-NECG-gated CTPA – standard-pitch non-ECG-gated CT pulmonary angiography

Other quantitative parameters

Radiation exposure in HP-PECG-gated CTPA (2.45 ± 0.80 mSv) was significantly lower (19.87%) as compared to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (3.17 ± 1.2 mSv) with p ≤ 0.007. Contrast volume was also statistically significantly lower with HP-PECG-gated CTPA (p ≤ 0.001). All the quantitative parameters with values are enumerated in Table 5.
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Interobserver agreement on qualitative parameters

The interobserver agreement for assessment of various motion-related artefacts were as follows: blurring of broncho-vascular markings (κ-value 0.92), intravascular shading artefacts (0.85), and double-line artefacts (0.87). Both the readers did not differ by more than one score value in the assessment of various qualitative parameters.

Discussion

CTPA on MDCT is now an established modality for the diagnosis of patients suspected of APE [4-6]; however, diagnosis of SSPE remains challenging [7-12]. The clinical implications of diagnosis of SSPE are not known, but diagnosis – if possible – is desirable for proper treatment in at least a subgroup of patients with limited cardiovascular reserve [10,11,24].

Pulmonary vasculature is a contiguous structure and is anatomically divided from proximal to distal as main pulmonary artery, right and left branches, lobar, segmental, and subsegmental arteries. Segmental and subsegmental pulmonary arteries generally course parallel to segmental and subsegmental bronchi and are named according to the bronchopulmonary segments that they supply. Pulmonary artery branches move with cardiac pulsations and respiratory motion making them prone to these motion-related artefacts, compromising accuracy for diagnosis of SSPE [13-15]. Respiratory motion-related artefacts can be avoided with breath-holding or fast scanning with large area coverage, which is possible with current-generation MDCT or DSCT [16,17,25,26].

Pulmonary artery and its branches move with (a) cardiac motion that involves cyclical change in vessel diameter and length (best visualized in diastolic phase) and (b) due to transmission of pulsations by ventricle contractions through adjacent lung parenchyma [14]. These cardiac-related movements cause blurring of broncho-vascular structures, intravascular shading, and double-edge artefacts degrading image quality. After the advent of higher detectors MDCT efforts have been made to address cardiac motion-related artefacts by ECG-gated CTPA by retrospective ECG gating with success [18,19]; however, due to concerns of increased radiation exposure CTPA with retrospective ECG gating, it did not gain popularity in routine clinical practice [5,20]. With the availability of newer CT scanners, especially DSCT with faster gantry rotation time, improved temporal resolution, prospective ECG-gating CTPA with high-pitch acquisition technique was studied, and radiation exposure concerns were largely addressed [14,15,20,21,27]. Prospective ECG-gated scanning provides a single set of images in the diastolic phase of the cardiac cycle, which is the most stable with relatively little motion of cardiovascular structures [28,29]. Current CT scanners allow the selection of the range of R-R interval of ECG for triggering of the X-ray tube for data acquisition [30]. In our study we selected 60% to 80% of R-R interval for acquisition of data, and the system calculated the best phase from the preset R-R interval depending on heart rate. Prospective ECG-gated scanning, due to selective turning on of the X-ray tube by the ECG signal only in the diastolic phase, results in reduced radiation exposure as compared to retrospective ECG gating in which data acquisition is continuous throughout the cardiac cycle [29,31,32]. Usually low voltage (kVp) and high pitch acquisition leads to increased noise; however, IR reconstruction enables better quality images, compensating for parameters used to reduce radiation exposure [33-35]. In our study, patients with BMI over 40 kg/m² were excluded and the tube voltage (kVp) was fixed at 100, but kVp value needs to be increased in case of high BMI.

In our study radiation- and contrast-optimized protocols were almost similar with difference in acquisition of data – one with high pitch and prospective ECG-gated scanning and the other with standard non-ECG gating. The results of our study reveal that HP-PECG-gated CTPA results in decreased motion related artefacts in broncho-vascular structure like blurring of broncho-vascular margins, double-line artefacts, and intravascular shading, which lead to improved (Figures 1-3) detection of SSPE with better overall lung image quality. These results are concordant with the previously reported studies with HP-PECG-gated CTPA [15,16,22].

The interobserver agreement for the assessment of qualitative parameters was statically no less (more than 0.80), and 2 readers did not differ by more than one score value in the assessment of each parameter.

In our study we used contrast-optimized protocols in both the groups, and extended contrast injection was not used for simultaneous assessment of aorta and coronary arteries as reported by Bolen et al. [15]. Pulmonary artery enhancement was statistically no less [main pulmonary artery attenuation (p = 0.92), right pulmonary artery attenuation (p = 0.50), and left pulmonary artery attenuation (p = 0.50)] even though the amount of contrast used in HP-PECG-gated CTPA was 64.75% less (45.05 ± 6.0 ml) as compared to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (74.19 ± 7.63 ml) with a p ≤ 0.001 (Figure 4). This means the pulmonary arterial vasculature was optimally opacified without compromising diagnostic quality enhancement of pulmonary vasculature. In addition, there was also better contrast opacification of segmental and sub-segmental branches in HP-PECG-gated CTPA as compared to SP-NECG-gated CTPA on subjective assessment, but no scoring was done. This enabled us to detect thrombi till subsegmental level in HP-PECG-gated CTPA 15/44 (34.09%) vis-a-vis 8/43 (18.60%) in SP-NECG-gated CTPA (Figures 1 and 2).

For radiation exposure optimization we used a low voltage setting (fixed at 100 kVp), body-adapted tube current modulation, and IR for image reconstruction for both the groups. In our study with HP-PECG-gated, CTPA showed a significant reduction (29.40%) in radiation compared to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (18.60%) in SP-NECG-gated CTPA (Figures 1 and 2).
diation exposure (2.45 ± 0.80 mSv) as compared to SP-NECG-gated CTPA (3.17 ± 1.20 mSv) with p ≤ 0.007, which is similar to the study conducted by Bolen et al. on 128-DSCT [15].

Our study had some limitations. The study was directed towards qualitative and quantitative assessment of image quality. The qualitative assessment was a subjective evaluation with no clear-cut or accepted guidelines present in the literature. No statistical evaluation was made regarding sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of SSPE. In addition, we reported an increased number of cases of SSPE in HP-PECG-gated CTPA in comparison to SP-NECG-gated CTPA. Whether this is a chance finding or statistically significant needs to be further evaluated.

Conclusions

HP-PECG-gated CTPA on 128-DSCT with radiation and contrast volume optimization is feasible and provides images with reduced cardiac motion-related artefacts, subsequently allowing better detection of SSPE.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge Ramesh Chand Geol for providing statistics-related work.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

1. Agarwal R, Varma S. Acute pulmonary embolism. Eastern Journal of Medicine 2009; 14: 57-68.
2. Anderson FA Jr, Wheeler B, Goldberg RJ, et al. A population-based perspective of the hospital incidence and case fatality rates of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: the Worcester DVT study. Arch Intern Med 1991; 151: 933-938.
3. Guintini C, Ricco GD, Marini C, et al. Pulmonary embolism: epidemiology. Chest 1995; 107 (1 Suppl): 35-95.
4. Konstantinides SV, Torbicki A, Agnelli G, et al. Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Pulmonary Embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). 2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism. Eur Heart J 2014; 35: 3033-3069.
5. Remy-Jardin M, Pistolesi M, Goodman LR, et al. Management of suspected acute pulmonary embolism in the era of CT angiography: a statement from the Fleischner Society. Radiology 2007; 245: 315-329.
6. Stein PD, Fowler SE, Goodman LR, et al, for the PIOPED II Investigators. Multidetector computed tomography for acute pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med 2006; 354: 2317-2327.
7. Schoepf UJ, Kessler MA, Rieger CT, et al. Multislice CT imaging of pulmonary embolism. Eur Radiol 2001; 11: 2278-2286.
8. Ghanima W, Nielsen BE, Holmen LO, et al. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: interobserver agreement among radiologists with varied levels of experience. Acta Radiol 2007; 48: 165-170.
9. Rawat KS, Busi TBS, Sudarsan H, et al. Current role of multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) in diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Curr Radiol Rep 2014; 2: 68.
10. Stein PD, Goodman LR, Hull RD, et al. Diagnosis and management of isolated subsegmental pulmonary embolism: review and assessment of the options. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2012; 18: 20-26.
11. Le Gal G, Righini M, Parent F, et al. Diagnosis and management of subsegmental pulmonary embolism. J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4: 724-731.
12. Newnham M, Turner AM. Diagnosis and treatment of subsegmental pulmonary embolism. World J Respirol 2019; 9: 30-34.
13. Wittram C, Maher MM, Yoo AJ, et al. CT angiography of pulmonary embolism: diagnostic criteria and causes of misdiagnosis. Radiographics 2004; 24: 1219-1238.
14. Shuman WP, Leipsic JA, Busey JM, et al. Prospectively ECG gated CT pulmonary angiography versus helical un gated CT pulmonary angiography: impact on cardiac related motion artefacts and patient radiation dose. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: 2444-2449.
15. Bolen MA, Renapurkar RD, Popovic ZB, et al. High-pitch ECG-synchronized pulmonary CT angiography versus standard CT pulmonary angiography: a prospective randomized study. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2013; 201: 971-976.
16. Bunch PM, Fulwadhiya UP, Wortman JR, et al. Motion artifact reduction from high-pitch dual-source computed tomography pulmonary angiography. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2018; 42: 623-629.
17. Bauer RW, Schell B, Beeres M, et al. High-pitch dual-source computed tomography pulmonary angiography in freely breathing patients. J Thorac Imaging 2012; 27: 376-381.
18. Schoepf UJ, Becker CR, Bruening RD, et al. Electrocardiographically gated thin section CT of the lung. Radiology 1999; 212: 649-654.
19. Marten K, Engelke C, Funke M, et al. ECG-gated multislice spiral CT for diagnosis of acute pulmonary embolism. Clin Radiol 2003; 58: 862-868.
20. Schoepf UJ, Costello P. CT angiography for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: state of the art. Radiology 2004; 230: 329-337.
21. Ardley ND, Lau KK, Buchan K, et al. Effects of electrocardiogram gating on CT pulmonary angiography image quality. Journal of medical imaging and radiation oncology 2014; 58: 303-311.
22. Bamberg F, Marcus R, Sommer W, et al. Diagnostic image quality of contrast volume optimization is feasible and provides images with reduced cardiac motion-related artefacts, subsequently allowing better detection of SSPE.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.
25. Hou DJ, Tao DK, Davison C, et al. Clinical utility of ultra-high pitch dual source thoracic CT imaging of acute pulmonary embolism in the emergency department: are we one step closer towards a non-gated triple rule out? Eur J Radiol 2013; 82: 1793-1798.

26. Lu GM, Luo S, Meinel FG, et al. High-pitch computed tomography pulmonary angiography with iterative reconstruction at 80 kVp and 20 mL contrast agent volume. Eur Radiol 2014; 24: 3260-3268.

27. De Zordo T, von Lutterotti K, Dejaco C, et al. Comparison of image quality and radiation dose of different pulmonary CTA protocols on a 128-slice CT: high-pitch dual source CT, dual energy CT and conventional spiral CT. Eur Radiol 2012; 22: 279-286.

28. Otton JM, Phan J, Feneley M, et al. Defining the mid-diastolic imaging period for cardiac CT – lessons from tissue Doppler echocardiography. BMC Med Imaging 2013; 13: 5.

29. Sabarudin A. Prospective ECG-triggering coronary CT angiography (CCTA): how safe is this procedure? J Hear Health 2015; 1.1. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2379-769X.104.

30. Erol B, Karcaaltincaba M, Cay N, et al. Effectiveness best R-R reconstruction interval determination software for the evaluation of dual-source coronary CT angiography examinations. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2011; 35: 229-234.

31. Earls JP, Berman EL, Urban BA, et al. Prospectively gated transverse coronary CT angiography versus retrospectively gated helical technique: improved image quality and reduced radiation dose. Radiology 2008; 246: 742-753.

32. Sabarudin A, Sun Z, Yusof AK. Coronary CT angiography with single-source and dual-source CT: comparison of image quality and radiation dose between prospective ECG-triggered and retrospective ECG-gated protocols. Int J Cardiol 2013; 168: 746-753.

33. Schuhbaeck A, Achenbach S, Layritz C, et al. Image quality of ultra-low radiation exposure coronary CT angiography with an effective dose < 0.1 mSv using high-pitch spiral acquisition and raw data-based iterative reconstruction. Eur Radiol 2013; 23: 597-606.

34. Bucher AM, Kerl MJ, Albrecht MH, et al. Systematic comparison of reduced tube current protocols for high-pitch and standard-pitch pulmonary CT angiography in a large single-center population. Acad Radiol 2016; 23: 619-627.

35. McLaughlin PD, Liang T, Homiedan M, et al. High pitch, low voltage dual source CT pulmonary angiography: assessment of image quality and diagnostic acceptability with hybrid iterative reconstruction. Emerg Radiol 2015; 22: 117-123.