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In recent years there has been much progress on the investigation of the QCD phase diagram with lattice QCD. This talk will focus on the developments in the last few years. Especially the addition of external influences and extended ranges of \( T \) and \( \mu \) yield an increasing number of interesting results, a subset of which will be discussed. Many of these conditions are important for the understanding of both the QCD transition in the early universe and heavy ion collision experiments which are conducted for example at the LHC and RHIC. This offers many exciting opportunities for comparisons between theory and experiment.
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1. Introduction

The behaviour of QCD matter under different influences has been an active research topic for many years. The effects of different temperatures and densities are summarized in the $T$-$\mu$-plane of the QCD phase diagram which is schematically displayed in Figure 1. The investigation of its structure has seen significant progress in recent years both in experiments and theory.

Most experimental insights are gained from heavy ion collisions, most prominently done with gold or lead at the LHC and RHIC. Here two beams of heavy ions are collided at relativistic velocities, forming an out of equilibrium state, the so-called glasma, which can be described as a color glass condensate (see for example Ref. [1, 2]). Further fragmentation into quarks and gluons lead to the quark gluon plasma. This is a state of deconfined quarks and gluons that exhibits similarities with a strongly interacting fluid and is therefore often treated in the framework of relativistic hydrodynamics. After its formation the quark gluon plasma cools down again while expanding. When the quarks and gluons, which were in a deconfined state in the quark gluon plasma, recombine to colour-neutral hadrons, the chemical abundance of the different hadron species is fixed. This is called chemical freezeout and it is assumed to take place at a similar temperature as the QCD transition. Even after the chemical freezeout, the hadrons still can exchange momentum and energy. The time when this exchange comes to a stop is called kinetic freezeout.

On the theory side, lattice QCD is an obvious tool to investigate the phase diagram. It solves QCD with controllable errors, which allows for reliable predictions. Results from QCD thermodynamics in thermal equilibrium can be obtained with high precision for vanishing chemical potential. However, the investigation of finite densities is complicated by the infamous sign problem. Other non-perturbative methods like Dyson-Schwinger-Equations or Functional Renormalization Groups do not encounter the sign problem, but fail to determine a reliable error.

Since lattice QCD simulates quantities in thermal equilibrium, the question at which states the quark gluon plasma (or the hadrons) is thermalized is very important for comparisons between experimental and lattice QCD results. The state of the plasma does not thermalize and is therefore difficult to investigate with lattice QCD.

This proceedings will focus on the review of recent progress obtained from lattice QCD. A focus will be on results with physical parameters at low finite $\mu_B$ by extrapolations from zero or
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imaginary $\mu_B$, which allow for comparisons with experimental results. Other reviews about lattice QCD results that can not be discussed here are for example Refs. [3, 4].

1.1 Extrapolation to small finite chemical potential

Since at $\mu_B = 0$ the transition is a crossover (Ref. [5–10]), some observables can be described by an analytic function in the vicinity of zero. This fact can be exploited, by using results at imaginary or zero chemical potential. A very common technique for extrapolation is the Taylor method. Within that method, the pressure is parameterized as

$$
\frac{p}{T^4} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!k!} \chi^{RS}_{jk} \hat{\mu}_B^j \hat{\mu}_S^k
$$

(1)

with $\hat{\mu} = \mu T$. The $\chi^{RS}_{jk}$ can be measured at zero $\mu$. Another expansion from which results will be discussed in this work, is the fugacity expansion or sector method. Here the parameterization

$$
\frac{p}{T^4} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{RS}_{jk} \cosh(j \hat{\mu}_B - k \hat{\mu}_S)
$$

(2)

is used. The expansion coefficients $P^{RS}_{jk}$ have to be determined either from the $\chi^{RS}_{jk}$ or from imaginary chemical potential. In general, for simulations with imaginary chemical potential a wide variety of fit functions are possible to describe the data at $\mu^2 < 0$. The choice of fit function is usually guided by the fit quality, sometimes complemented by known physical insights. An advantage of the fugacity expansion is its rapid convergence in the hadronic phase and the added information on the particle contend from different sectors. On the other hand, the Taylor expansion convergences rapidly in the high temperature limit.

1.2 Simulations at finite chemical potential

Since the extrapolation methods discussed in section 1.1 are only feasible for small chemical potential and for an analytic transition other techniques are required to reach out further into the phase diagram. There have been many ideas around in the Lattice community how to facilitate simulations despite the sign problem, for example reweighting techniques [11–14], density of state methods [15, 16], using the canonical ensemble [17–19], formulations with dual variables [20] or Lefschetz thimbles [21, 22]. Two methods for which I will briefly discuss some recent results are Complex Langevin and Sign reweighting. While non of the available results are at physical quark masses and continuum extrapolated, and might still have some other caviates that prevent them from giving final answers on the phase diagram, impressive progress has been made recently.

Complex Langevin One way to simulate QCD with finite density, that has been intensely studied and made significant progress over recent years is to employ Complex Langevin equations. While here only a few recent result will be discussed, a more comprehensive overview can be found in Ref. [23]. Complex Langevin simulation extend the gauge group from $SU(3)$ to $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$. The non-compact nature of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ can lead to so called runaway configurations. To make sure the evolution stays close to the unitary manifold, which produces the correct result, gauge cooling (Refs. [24, 25]) can be employed. Other methods for improvement are the use of an adaptive step
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Wilson: 243, \( \beta = 5.8 \), \( \kappa = 0.1544 \).

Fermion Density / \( (6V N_t) \) \( \mu \) [MeV]

\( N_t = 4 \) \( N_t = 8 \) \( N_t = 16 \) \( N_t = 24 \) \( N_t = 32 \)

Figure 2: (Ref. [39]) The fermion density at finite chemical potential from Complex Langevin simulations. Left: Overview over a large range of chemical potential. Right: Zoom in of the lower left corner of the right side.

size for the numerical integration (Ref. [26]) and the addition of a force term to the evolution (Ref. [27]). The correctness of the result can be monitored by checking the fall-off of specific observables, which usually is required to meet a certain speed (Refs. [28–33]).

Recent results on the phase diagram with Complex Langevin simulations include the use of improved actions like the Symanzik improved gauge action and the comparison to results from the Tayor expansion method (see section 1.1) with was done in Ref. [34] with four flavours of staggered quarks with pion masses between 500 MeV and 700 MeV on \( 16^3 \times 8 \) lattices.

Also, for heavy pions with a mass of about 1.3 GeV and two flavors of Wilson fermions the transition temperature at finite \( \mu \) was computed in (Ref. [35]). The determination of the transition temperature was obtained from the third order Binder cumulant of two different observables, both related to the Polyakov loop.

In Ref. [36-38] Complex Langevin simulations in small boxes with sizes of \( 8^3 \times 16 \) and \( 16^3 \times 8 \) with four flavors and a lattice spacing of \( a^{-1} \approx 4.7 \) GeV were performed. For the dependence of the quark number on the chemical potential a plateau was observed that the authors relate to the Fermi surface and color superconductivity.

Results for a large range of chemical potentials and several lattice spacings and volumes were presented at this year’s lattice conference (Ref. [39]). Here two flavors of Wilson fermions \( (m_\pi = 550 \text{ MeV}) \) were used for simulations of chemical potentials up to 5 GeV. The results for fermion density normalized by a factor of \( \frac{1}{6V N_t} \) are shown in figure 2. They are shown for lattices with \( N_s = 24 \) and five different values of \( N_t \) between 4 and 32. For large chemical potential a saturation effect can be observed. For the simulations, adaptive step size scaling, gauge cooling and dynamic stabilisation were employed. The results still have to be extrapolated to a Langevin step size of zero.

Reweighting A common challenge when reweighing from zero to finite chemical potential is the overlap problem: The amount of configurations obtained by importance sampling that contain information on finite \( \mu \) physics is prohibitively small because they are only in the tails of the probability distribution. To mitigate this overlap problem one can reweight from a theory where the reweighing factors are from a compact space. Two possible choices, for which results were presented at this conference (Ref. [40]), are the phase quenched theory, where the reweighing factors
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2. The transition temperature

The crossover nature of the transition and its temperature has been determined since 2006 with a variety of observables (Ref. [5–10, 44, 45]). More recently the transition temperature has been determined with increased precision in Ref. [46] and Ref. [47]. Often different definitions yield consistent results within the available precision. However for an analytic transition this is not guaranteed in contrast to the situation of a phase transition.

A high precision determination of the transition temperature at $\mu_B = 0$ from five different observables was done in Ref. [48]. All five definitions have the same continuum limit within the available precision. This yields a combined value of $T_c = (156 \pm 1.5) \text{MeV}$.

A new definition as the peak of the chiral susceptibility as a function of the chiral condensate (instead of the more common definition as function of the temperature) was introduced in Ref. [47]. It allows for a more precise extraction of the transition temperature and, therefore, for an improvement in the extrapolation (see section 2.3).

By now the error on the transition temperature at $\mu_B = 0$ is much smaller than the width $\sigma$ of the crossover. It has to be determined separately and is not encompassed by the error of the transition temperature. A possible definition, that has been used in Ref. [47], is

$$\langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle(T_c \pm \sigma/2) = \langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle_c \pm \Delta \langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle/2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The result, extrapolated to the continuum from three lattice spacings, can be seen in figure 3 up to $\mu_B = 300 \text{MeV}$.

2.1 Influence of many colors

On possible influence on the transition temperature is the number of colors. The large color limit is theoretically interesting as it simplifies certain aspects of QCD (see for example [49–51]).
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2.2 Quark masses and the Columbia plot

An important influence on the QCD transition temperature is its dependence on the light and strange quark masses. The Columbia plot (figure 5) shows one possible scenario for the dependence of the order of the transition as a function of the quark masses for $N_f = 2 + 1$. The infinite quark mass limit (the upper right corner) yields pure $SU(3)$-gauge theory with static quarks where the QCD transition is of first order. When the quark masses become finite, the first order transition is getting weaker until it becomes a second order transition. On the opposite corner of the Columbia plot (the lower left corner) the chiral limit for three flavours is expected to be a first order transition as well. Again, when quark masses become larger the transition weakens until it becomes a second order transition. The limiting second order lines for both corners are still under active investigation. Several results on the Columbia plot where presented at this years lattice conference, and are roughly depicted on the right side of figure 5. However, a dedicated review of, especially the chiral limit is given in Ref. [56, 57] and not part of this proceedings.

2.3 Extrapolation to finite $\mu_B$

The behaviour of the transition temperature in the $\mu_B$-$T$-plane can be parameterized by the Taylor expansion as

$$T_c(\mu_B) = T_c(0) - \kappa_2 \left( \frac{\mu_B}{T_c(0)} \right)^2 - \kappa_4 \left( \frac{\mu_B}{T_c(0)} \right)^4 + O(\mu_B^6). \quad (4)$$

The odd powers of $\frac{\mu_B}{T_c}$ vanish due to the isospin symmetry. The coefficients $\kappa_2$ has been determined in several computations. The more recent ones from Refs. [46, 47, 64–66] are compared in figure 6. The green points were obtained from extrapolations with imaginary chemical potential while the
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**Figure 5:** A schematic view of one possible version of the Columbia. On the left side the talks at this year’s Lattice conference are noted as well (Refs [58–63]).

**Figure 6:** (Ref. [47]) Overview of the different determinations of the $\kappa_2$ and $\kappa_4$ coefficients as defined in equation (4). The values are taken from Ref. [46, 47, 64–66]. Green points correspond to determinations from imaginary chemical potential, while results shown in blue were obtained by the Taylor method.

The extrapolation of the transition temperature to finite $\mu_B$ for the choice of vanishing net strangeness ($n_S = 0$) and $n_Q = 0.4n_B$, to match the condition in heavy ion collisions from Refs. [46, 47] is shown in figure 7. The results from Ref. [46] (left side of figure 7) rely on the Taylor expansion method. They were obtained with HISQ quarks and continuum extrapolated from three lattices with temporal extend $N_t = 6, 8, 12$.

The results on the right hand side of figure 7 were obtained from simulations at imaginary chemical potential. They are continuum extrapolated from three lattices with sizes $40^3 \times 10, 48^3 \times 12$ and $64^3 \times 16$ and obtained using stout smeared staggered quarks. The extrapolation was done with
two different functions,

\[ T_c = 1 + \mu_B^2 \left( a + \frac{d}{N_f} \right) + \mu_B^4 \left( b + \frac{\epsilon}{N_f} \right) + \mu_B^6 \left( c + \frac{f}{N_f} \right) \]  

(5) 

and

\[ T_c = \frac{1}{1 + \mu_B^2 \left( a + \frac{d}{N_f} \right) + \mu_B^4 \left( b + \frac{\epsilon}{N_f} \right) + \mu_B^6 \left( c + \frac{f}{N_f} \right)} \]  

(6) 

to estimate the systematic error from the choice of extrapolation function.

As a comparison with the lattice result several data points for the freeze-out temperature (Refs. [67, 68, 70–74]) are shown. While the chemical freeze-out is not the same as the QCD transition, it is expected to occur at a similar temperature, which makes an comparison interesting. In addition, on the right side, a result from Dyson-Schwinger-Equation calculations (Ref. [69]) is shown. The curvature agrees well with the lattice result, while in that case the absolute value was set to a previous lattice value, which was determined by a different observable and with a larger error. Therefore the difference does not imply a contradiction between the two calculations.

2.4 The influence of a magnetic field

When considering the situation in heavy ion colliders, another important influence on the transition temperature is the magnetic field (Refs. [75–77]). In the last decade the simulation of QCD with a magnetic field on the lattice has been a very active field (e.g. Refs. [78–87]). In several works, which were not yet continuum extrapolated, an increase of the transition temperature with the addition of a magnetic field was found. This agreed well with the expectation resulting from the so-called magnetic catalysis, which describes that at zero temperature the chiral symmetry breaking increases with the magnetic field. However, continuum extrapolated results find the opposite behaviour, the so called inverse magnetic catalysis. Studies with various pion masses (Refs. [85, 88]) suggest that this effect is related to the deconfinement rather than the chiral...
transitio. The effects of a magnetic field remained an active topic at this year’s lattice conference [87, 89–96]. In addition to studying a magnetic field at zero or finite temperature, now also the combination of a magnetic field and a finite density is under active investigation (Refs. [89, 90, 97]). Figure 8 shows the transition temperature, both for the chiral and deconfinement transition, as a function of the magnetic field, for three chemical potentials ($\mu_B = 0$ MeV, 250 MeV, 500 MeV). The results were obtained on $N_t = 6$ lattices with staggered quarks. They show that the addition of a chemical potential further decreases the transition temperature, which matches the expectation from extrapolation to finite density without a magnetic field (see section 2.3).

3. Fluctuations

Fluctuations are computed as the derivatives of the pressure with respect to various chemical potentials:

$$\chi^{B,Q,S}_{i,j,k} = \frac{\partial_i \partial_j \partial_k p}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B \partial \hat{\mu}_Q \partial \hat{\mu}_S} T, \hat{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu_i}{T}$$ (7)

While fluctuations to various order have previously published for example in Ref. [98–102], now new continuum extrapolated results are available in Ref. [103]. These results are obtained by the Taylor method and continuum extrapolated from lattices with $N_t = 6, 8, 12$ and 16 with HISQ fermions. The precision of these results is high enough to allow for a comparison to different models with detailed studies for example on inclusion or exclusion of various states in a Hadron Resonance Gas model, as shown figure 9. To match the lattice results, for example for $\chi^{RS}_{11}$, it is necessary to add states from quark models to the list of resonances from the PDG [104].

On the other hand in Refs [105, 106] results on the fugacity expansion coefficients (see equation (2)) from imaginary chemical potential are presented. Here the results are continuum estimates obtained with stout smeared staggered fermions on $N_t = 8, 10$ and 12 lattices. The analysis is based on a two dimensional fugacity expansion with imaginary $\mu_B$ and $\mu_S$. The result for $P^{RS}_{21}$ is shown in figure 10. This coefficient includes contributions from $N = \Lambda$ and $N = \Sigma$ scattering. The negative trend indicates the presence of an repulsive interaction that cannot be described with the addition of more resonances.
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Figure 9: (Ref. [103]) New continuum extrapolated results ($N_f = 6, 8, 12, 16$) allow for detailed comparisons with various models.

Figure 10: (Ref. [105]) Continuum estimate for the fugacity expansion coefficient (see equation (2)) from $N_f = 8, 10, 12$ with stout smeared staggered fermions. This coefficient includes contributions from $N - \Lambda$ and $N - \Sigma$ scattering. The negative trend indicates the presence of a repulsive interaction that cannot be described with the addition of more resonances.

The ratios of various fluctuations can be used to express the cumulants of the Baryon number distribution. This offers an observable for comparisons with heavy ion collision measurements of the proton number distribution. At the current precision level this can only be a rough comparison. If the precision is increased in the future, other effects should be taken into account, like the continuum limit on the lattice side, or volume fluctuations and on equilibrium effects on the experimental side.

Figure 11 from Ref. [102] shows the ratios

$$R_{31}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{\chi^B_3}{\chi^B_1} = \frac{S_B \sigma_B^3}{M_B}$$

(8)

$$R_{42}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{\chi^B_4}{\chi^B_2} = \kappa_B \sigma_B^2$$

(9)

$$R_{51}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{\chi^B_5}{\chi^B_1}$$

(10)

$$R_{62}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{\chi^B_6}{\chi^B_2}$$

(11)

as a function of $R_{12}^B(T, \mu_B) = \frac{M_B}{\sigma_B^2}$ evaluated along the transition line. Here $M_B$, $\sigma_B^2$, $S_B$ and $\kappa_B$
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Figure 11: (Ref. [102]) Left: The ratios $R_{31}^B(T, \mu_B) = S_B \sigma_B^3 / M_B$ and $R_{42}^B(T, \mu_B) = \kappa_B \sigma_B^2$ as a function of $R_{12}^B(T, \mu_B) = M_B / \sigma_B^2$ evaluated along the transition line in comparison to the data from the STAR collaboration (Ref. [107, 108]). Right: The ratios $R_{51}^B(T, \mu_B)$ and $R_{62}^B(T, \mu_B)$ as a function of $R_{12}^B(T, \mu_B)$ in comparison to the data from the STAR collaboration (Ref. [108]).

Figure 12: (Ref. [105]) The ratios $R_{31}^B(T, \mu_B) = S_B \sigma_B^3 / M_B$ and $R_{42}^B(T, \mu_B) \equiv \kappa_B \sigma_B^2$ as a function of $R_{12}^B(T, \mu_B) = M_B / \sigma_B^2$ evaluated along the transition line in comparison to the data from the STAR collaboration (Ref. [107]).

To include strange particles like $K$ or $\Lambda$ in the comparison with lattice calculations, suitable observables have to be constructed. Ref. [109] used the Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) model to compare different proxies (see left of figure 13). The proxy $\sigma^2_{\Lambda} / \sigma^2_{B}$ for the fluctuation ratio $\chi_{\Lambda}^{RS} / \chi_B^{RS}$ was further investigated both by comparing the HRG and the experimental (see middle of figure 13) results, as well as lattice calculations from both the Taylor and sector method (see right of figure 13).
Another quantity that has been investigated for long time is the equation of state. In the following some progress on the baryon number $n_B$ will be discussed. When extrapolated to finite $\mu_B$ with a Taylor expansion up to $\mu_B^2$ it shows an increase in the error around the transition temperature, which leaves room for unexpected behavior. (see figure 14 and top row of figure 15). This has been observed by different groups and on different data sets (Refs. [100, 111]). In Ref. [111] a simple toy model suggest that this behavior could linked to the cut-off in the Taylor series in this region, which is illustrated in the bottom row of figure 15. A possible explanation for the extra challenges around the transition temperature might be that the extrapolation has to cover both the hadronic and the quark gluon plasma phase. Therefore a new extrapolation scheme from imaginary $\mu_B$ was proposed that shows a smooth behaviour as can be seen in figure 16. Now the inclusion of an extra term does increase the error more broadly over all temperatures. This behavior can make the results more suitable, if the lattice results are taken as input in hydrodynamic models, where often only the central value is used.

Figure 13: (Ref. [109]) Left: Calculations for different combinations of practice number cumulants (proxies) that could be measurable in heavy ion collision experiments and the total of $-\frac{\chi^2}{\chi^2}$ that is accessible in lattice QCD calculations with the Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) model. Middle: Comparison of the proxy $\sigma^2/\sigma^2 + \Lambda^2$ for two temperatures in the HRG model and experimental results from Ref. [110] Right: Comparison between the Taylor (see equation (1)) and the sector (see equation (2)) method for $-\frac{\chi^2}{\chi^2}$ on an $48^3 \times 12$ lattice, as well as results from the HRG model.

Figure 14: (Ref. [100]) The extrapolation to finite baryon number $n_B$ done by the Taylor method (see equation (1)): Left: $\mu_Q = \mu_S = 0$, Right: $n_S = 0$ and $n_Q/n_B = 0.4$

4. The equation of state

...
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5. The critical endpoint

The most sought after point in the QCD phase diagram is the critical endpoint, where the analytical transition between quark gluon plasma and hadrons becomes second order. However a comprehensive determination of the point is highly challenging. In this section I will briefly discuss three different ansatizes that can lead to some inside despite all the challenges.

5.1 Lee-Yang edge singularities

One way to search for a critical endpoint in the QCD phase diagram is to look for Lee-Yang edge singularities (Ref. [112]). There have been recent efforts (Refs. [42, 113, 114]) to use reweighing to determine the leading singularities in the complex plane. New results presented at this years lattice conference use the fluctuations $\chi_1^B$, $\chi_2^B$ and $\chi_3^B$ to find a rational approximation for these quantities.

---

Figure 15: (Ref. [111]) Top row: The extrapolation of $\chi_1^B$ with data from Ref. [101]) on $N_t = 12$ lattices to different chemical potentials. Bottom row: The same extrapolation in a simple toy model with different orders of the Taylor expansion.

Figure 16: (Ref. [111]) The pressure and the baryon number from the resummed extrapolation. The lighter bars show the increased error by the inclusion of a higher order term.
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This approximation can then be used to determine the Lee-Yang edge singularities by solving for the zeros of the polynomial in the denominator. The simulation were done with 2+1-flavors of HISQ quarks on lattices with $N_t = 4$ and 6 and shown in figure 17. On the left side shaded areas are extracted from the expected scaling behavior of different critical endpoints: The first one is the Roberge-Weiss critical endpoint which is accessible from direct simulations at imaginary chemical potential. The second one is the chiral critical endpoint which is discussed in section 2.2. The third one is the QCD critical endpoint. Most singularities that could be determined seem to correspond to the Roberge-Weiss transition, for which the scaling behavior is shown on the right side of figure 17.

5.2 Universality

An important feature of a second order phase transition is the universality in its vicinity. This would allow to extract information about the QCD phase diagram by studying different theories or models, which can be more accessible compared to full QCD at finite density. To make this possible the universality class and a mapping between QCD and the theory under investigation has to be established. Results of one possible model were presented at this years lattice conference (Refs. [116, 117]). In Ref. [118] a $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric quark model with $Z(2)$ symmetry was studied. Its action is given as

$$S(\phi, \chi) = \sum_x \left( \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu \chi)^2 + V(\phi, \chi) \right)$$

with

$$V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \chi^2 - i g \phi \chi + U(\phi) + h \phi.$$ 

Around the critical endpoint patterned regions are found, which the authors compare to the patterns of nuclear pasta (see e.g. Ref. [119]). In figure 18 the phase diagram for this model is shown.
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Figure 18: (Ref. [117, 118]) Patterns around a critical endpoint in a QCD-inspired heavy quark model.

Figure 19: (Ref. [132]) Overview over results on the QCD transition line from Lattice QCD, FRG and DSE (Refs. [65, 121, 129, 133–135]), as well as results on the freeze-out line from heavy ion collision experiment.

5.3 Functional methods

Another approach, beside lattice QCD, to study QCD from its fundamental degrees of freedom are the functional methods. Under this name, both Functional Renormalization group (FRG) (see e.g. Refs. [120–125]) and Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSE) (see e.g. Refs. [69, 126–129, 129, 130]) are combined. DSE rely on an infinite tower of equations that give an exact representation of QCD. However to solve those equations this tower has to be truncated, which introduces an error that cannot be estimated at the moment. The FRG method relies on a functional integro-differential equation to describe the flow of the action (Ref. [131]). Again a truncation introduces an unknown error. An idea for an error estimation can be gained from the comparison between different truncations, methods or with lattice and experimental results. An overview over some recent determinations of the crossover line in the QCD phase diagram and the critical endpoint in comparison with lattice and freeze-out results can be seen in figure 19. The newer computations agree well with the lattice results and the critical endpoint estimations seem to be concentrated in an relative small part of the phase diagram. However further investigation and comparison of other observables is necessary before any conclusions can be drawn.
6. Conclusion

As was evident as this conference, the QCD phase diagram is a very active topic of research with lots of exciting challenges for lattice QCD. The understanding of the phase diagram is a fascinating subject for theory and experiments. In addition, with new experimental results expected in the next years, further progress in theory is needed to be able to give input and enable comparisons as close to the experimental conditions as possible. This proceedings tried to update and add on last year’s review (Ref. [4]) with a focus on activities at the conference. Still, not all aspects could be discussed. For example, another axis of the phase diagram, for which new results are available, is the dependence on the isospin chemical potential (Refs. [136–138]). For many aspects the next years promise to be interesting, with the hope of new insights and understanding in various areas.
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