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Introduction

The genus *Hogna* Simon, 1885 is one of the most diverse and least studied genera of wolf spiders, currently accounting for 237 species names [WSC, 2020] of which the majority (170 species, 71%) remain known from the original, usually old and poorly illustrated descriptions. Indeed, for a long time the genus *Hogna* has been used as a ‘dumping ground’ for wolf spiders that could not be satisfactorily placed in other genera. Only recently, attempts have been made to bring some clarity to a number of taxa currently assigned to *Hogna* (e.g., Brady [2012]; Logunov & Ponomarev [2020]; etc.). Even the type species of this genus — *Hogna radiata* (Latreille, 1817) — remains poorly known and inadequately illustrated (e.g., Fuhn & Niculescu-Burlacu [1971: fig. 95, sub *Lycosa r.*]; Pepe, [2005: figs 6–8]) suggesting that two or more species could be present under this name (see Brady [2012: 182]). Both the genus *Hogna* and its type species are in urgent need of a thorough revision.

However, the three species treated in the present paper are true members of *Hogna*. Based on the key to European genera of *Lycosidae* [Nentwig et al., 2020], the studied species share the following diagnostic characters of *Hogna*: they are medium to large spiders (10–14 mm body length in males and 13–19 mm in females). The midline band of the carapace lacks dark markings (Figs 8–10, 31, 32). The cheliceral retromargin has three teeth (Figs 15, 41). The AME/clypeus ratio is 1.2–1.6 (> 0.7, as expected). Macrosetae are present on the cymbial apex (arrowed in Fig. 14). In
the female epigyne the septal pedicel is equal to or usually longer than the septal base (Figs 22, 26, 39) and the male palp has a single, sickle-shaped synembolus (Figs 16, 17, 36). It should be noted that although the combination of diagnostic characters presented above is probably adequate to define the genus *Hogna* in the current state of our knowledge, not all of them are true synapomorphies, likely representing plesiomorphic states for a wider grouping of lycosine genera. Indeed, further research is required to adequately define the genus.

Two of the species redescribed below — *Lycosa effera* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872 and *H. sinaia* Roewer, 1959 — have never been re-examined after their original descriptions [Pickard-Cambridge, 1872; Roewer, 1959]. The only attempt to re-examine and illustrate *L. effera* was made by Roewer [1960a: figs 255a–c] who, despite claiming that he saw the type series, illustrated a female (apparently from Libya) [Ibid: fig. 255a] that belongs neither to this species, nor to *Hogna*. Earlier, Roewer [1955a] assigned this species to the genus *Hyaenosa* Caporiacco, 1940, but in my opinion this decision was poorly justified; see below under ‘Comments’ on *H. effera*.

Based on WSC [2020], the new species described below is the third *Hogna* species known from Afghanistan. Two others were described by Roewer [1960] from female holotypes: *Trochosula afghana* Roewer, 1960 (now in *Hogna*) and *H. bhongavia* Roewer, 1960. The holotype of the former species is kept at the Senck-
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Figs 8–11. Live captive-bred specimens of *Hogna ackermanni* sp.n: 8, 9 — female, dorsal view; 10 — male, dorsal view; 11 — mating pair (male on the left). Credits to G. Ackermann (Erlinsbach, Switzerland).

It has been re-examined by the author and appears to actually belong to *Arctosa* C.L. Koch, 1847, being similar to the Palaeartic *A. cinerea* (Fabricius, 1777) and *A. leopardus* (Sundevall, 1833) (cf. fig. 22a in Roewer [1960] with illustrations in Nentwig *et al.* [2020] or figs 201i, 204e in Almquist [2005]). The epigyne of *H. afghana* have all the characteristic features of *Arctosa*: viz., the epigynal atrium being divided by a hairy septum and copulatory openings sitting at posterio-lateral rims (seen as sclerotized nodes [Roewer, 1960: fig. 22a]). Although a clarification of the taxonomic validity of *H. afghana* lies outside the scope of the present study, it seems reasonable to propose a new combination for this species: *Arctosa afghana* (Roewer, 1960) comb.n.

The female holotype of *H. bhougavia*, as well as that of *Megarctosa bamiana* Roewer, 1960 (also from Afghanistan), were deposited in the collection of the Biological Museum of Lund University (Sweden) but could not be found there and seem to no longer exist. They were likely to have been destroyed together with other materials collected by Lindberg during a car crash in 1962 (Lars Lundqvist, pers. comm., 8 October 2019). Although the taxonomic status and validity of these two names cannot be verified at present, based on the original illustrations by Roewer [1960: figs 15a, 21a] none of them is a member of *Hogna*.

The aims of the present work are (1) to describe a new *Hogna* species from Afghanistan, and (2) to redescribe two poorly known *Hogna* species from the Near East which remain known from the original descriptions.

**Material and Methods**

A total of 15 specimens studied have been borrowed from or deposited in the following museums: MMUE — Manchester Museum, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK (curator: D.V. Logunov); OUNHM — Oxford University Natural History Museum, Oxford, UK (curator: Z. Simmons); SMFM — Naturmuseum und Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Frankfurt am Main, Germany (curator: P. Jäger); ZMUM — Zoological Museum of the Moscow University, Moscow, Russia (curator: K.G. Mikhailov).

Digital photographs of the general appearance were made at the World Museum of Liverpool (UK) using a Canon 6D Mark II Camera with a Canon MP-E 65mm lens with Helicon Remote ver. 3.9.7W to control the StackShot 3X Macro Rail and camera settings. Distribution maps were produced by G.N. Azarkina (Novosibirsk, Russia) using the online mapping software SimpleMapper (Shorthouse, 2010).

The terminology and format of description follow Dondale & Redner [1990] and Logunov [2010]; see also Figs
Description

Hogna ackermanni sp.n.  
Figs 1–18, 26, 27, Map 1.

TYPES. HOLOTYPE ♂ (MMUE, G7642.1), Afghanistan, Kapisa Prov., Nijrab [c. 35°00′N, 69°49′E], November 2012, W. Plu. The holotype female was collected alive and brought to Gordon Ackermann (Erlinsbach, Switzerland) who kept it in captivity; the female produced an egg cocoon from which both examined males originated. – PARATYPES: 1 ♀ (ZMMU), 1 ♀ (MMUE, G7642.2), captive bred, hatched from the cocoon produced by the holotype female in 20.03.2013, matured in August-September 2013, died and preserved in November 2013.

COMPARATIVE MATERIAL. Hogna radiata (Figs 19–21, 22, 23). FRANCE: 1 ♀, 2 ♀ (MMUE, G7643.1), Gigouzac (F-46), Le Salinié (c. 44°35′03.9″N, 1°26′49.0″E), under mowings, 13.07.2017, S. Danflous.

ETYMOLOGY. The new species is dedicated to Gordon Ackermann (Erlinsbach, Switzerland), who successfully bred both sexes of this species in captivity and provided the author with the material to study.

DIAGNOSIS. Of the reliably illustrated Palaearctic Hogna species (e.g., Wunderlich, 1992; Lecigne, 2016; Zonstein et al., 2015), the new species seems to be closest to H. radiata (Figs 19–21, 22, 23; see also figs 36–39 in Brady [2012]), from which it can be distinguished by the following characters: palea round in ventral view in H. ackermanni sp.n. (rectangular in H. radiata; cf. Figs 12 and 20); median apophysis in H.ackermanni sp.n. almost twice as narrow as that of H. radiata; cf. Figs 18 and 19, shown on the same scale); median apophysis without a white, membranous area at its base in H.ackermanni sp.n. (with a membranous area in H. radiata; cf. Figs 12 and 20); septal pedicel 1.5 times wider in H.ackermanni sp.n. than that of H. radiata; cf. Figs 26 and 22, shown on the same scale); posterior transverse plate of the septal pedicel in H.ackermanni sp.n. 1.3 times narrower than that of H. radiata; cf. Figs 26 and 22, shown on the same scale); proportions of median septum are different (pedicel width/septal base width ratio — 2.2 in H.ackermanni sp.n. and 4–4.2 in H. radiata; cf. Figs 22, 26 and fig. 39 in Brady [2012]); primary/secondary receptacle length ratio — 0.7 in H.ackermanni sp.n. and 1.4–1.6 in H. radiata (cf. Figs 27 and 23, and fig. 38 in Brady [2012]).

DISTRIBUTION. Only the type locality (Map 1).

DESCRIPTION. MALE (paratype from MMUE). Carapace 7.50 long, 5.15 wide. Eye sizes and inter-distances: AME 0.31, ALE 0.28, PME 0.63, PLE 0.50, AME-AME 0.15, AME-ALE 0.08, PME-PME 0.42, PLE-PLE 1.28. Width of anterior eye row 1.48, second row 1.53, third row 1.95. Clypeus height 0.25, chelicera length 3.10. Abdomen 6.25 long, 3.50 wide. Length of leg segments: I 7.05 + 3.20 + 5.70 + 6.50 + 3.60 (26.05); II 6.60 + 2.90 + 5.10 + 6.25 + 3.55 (24.40); III 5.80 + 2.50 + 4.20 + 6.05 + 3.34 (21.90); IV 7.30 + 2.90 + 6.05 + 8.80 + 4.05 (29.10). Leg formula: IV, I, II, III. Coloration (Figs 5–7, 10, 11). Carapace yellowish brown, densely covered with brownish and yellowish white recumbent hairs; AMEs surrounded by white and orange-coloured hairs. Clypeus yellowish brown. Chelicerae brown, anteriorly two thirds of their length densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs (Fig. 5). Sternum and coxae brown, densely covered with black recumbent hairs.
On three *Hogna* species from the Near East and Central Asia

Figs 12–21. Male copulatory organs and somatic morphology of *Hogna ackermanni* sp.n. (12–14, 16–18, paratype ♂ from MMUE, 15; holotype ♀) and *H. radiata* (Latreille, 1817) (19–21, from France): 12, 20 — left male palp, ventral view; 13, 21 — ditto, retrolateral view; 14 — cymbium, ventral view; 15 — left female chelicera, ventral view; 16 — embolar division, ventral view; 17 — ditto, apical view; 18, 19 — median apophysis, apical view. Scale bars: 0.1 mm (18, 19), 0.25 mm (12, 13, 16, 17, 20, 21), 0.5 mm (14, 15). Abbreviations: C — conductor, E — embolus, EB — embolic base, ET — embolic tip, MA — median apophysis, P — palea, St — subtegulum, T — tegulum.

Labium dark brown, endites yellowish brown (Fig. 7). Abdomen: dorsum densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs, with a poorly marked brown colour pattern of a pale cardiac mark and two pairs of brown spots; sides light yellow; venter (including book-lung covers) light yellow densely covered with black recumbent hairs and with two white spots at the lateral ends of the epigastric furrow (Fig. 7). Spinnerets light yellow, covered with brownish and white hairs. All legs orange-yellow, densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs; tibiae ventrally-apically brownish. Palps yellow, with brownish cymbium and brown bulb. Palpal structure as in Figs 12–14, 16–18: cymbium elongated, 2.2 times longer than wide, with a bunch of apical macrosetae; subtegulum prominent, situated proximally, at 7 o'clock; palea round; synembolus sickle-shaped, as long as palea width; median apophysis narrow, with a pointed retrolateral end and a prominent ventral tooth; embolus twice as long as synembolus.

**FEMALE (holotype).** Measurements. Carapace 8.90 long, 7.00 wide. Eye sizes and inter-distances: AME 0.38, ALE 0.35, PME 0.73, PLE 0.65, AME-AME 0.16, ALE-PLE 0.10, PME-PME 0.53, PLE-PLE 1.80. Width of anterior eye row 1.83, second row 2.00, third row 2.60. Clypeus height 0.33, chelicera length 4.50. Abdomen 10.00 long, 6.90 wide. Length of leg segments: I 7.25 + 3.50 + 5.25 + 5.10 + 2.80 (23.90); II 6.75 + 3.00 + 4.65 + 4.90 + 2.90 (23.90); III 6.00 + 2.50 + 3.75 + 4.90 + 2.75 (19.90); IV 8.00 + 3.40 + 5.75 + 7.15 + 3.30 (27.60). Leg formula: IV,II,III,III. **Coloration**
Figs 22–29. Female copulatory organs and somatic morphology of *Hogna radiata* (Latreille, 1817) (19–21, from France), *H.* *sinaia* Roewer, 1959 (24, 25, 28, 29, holotype ♂) and *H.* *ackermanni* sp.n. (26, 27, holotype ♀): 22, 24, 26 — epigyne, ventral view; 23, 25, 27 — vulva, dorsal view; 28 — body, dorsal view; 29 — ditto, ventral view. Scale bars: 0.25 mm (22–27), 0.5 mm (28, 29). Abbreviations: FD — fertilization duct, H — hood, PR — primary receptacle, SB — septal base, SR — secondary receptacle, SP — septal pedicel.

(Figs 1–4, 8, 9, 11). Carapace brown, densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs. Clypeus brown, covered with yellowish white hairs; AMEs surrounded by white and orange-coloured hairs. Chelicerae brown, anteriorly two thirds of their length densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs (Fig. 4). Sternum and coxae brown, densely covered with black recumbent hairs. Labium and endites dark brown (Fig. 3). Abdomen: dorsum and sides brown, densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs, with no colour pattern; venter (including book-lung covers) brown, densely covered with black recumbent hairs. Spinnerets brown, covered with brownish hairs. All legs brown, densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs; tibiae, metatarsi and tarsi of legs I–III ventrally dark brown. Palps brown, densely covered with yellowish white recumbent hairs. Epigyne and vulva as in Figs 26, 27: median septum longer than wide (septal length/wide ratio 1.2); hoods separated by one hood width; secondary receptacles tube-shaped, as wide as insemination ducts; fertilization ducts prominent, situated near epigastric furrow.
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Figs 30–35. General appearance of *Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) (30, 31, paralectotype ♀, 32, 33, lectotype ♂, 34, 35, from the UAE): 30, 33 — body, ventral view; 31, 32 — ditto, dorsal view; 34, 35 — live female. Photos 34, 35, credits to Huw Roberts (Abu Dhabi, the UAE). Scale bars: 5 mm.

Рис. 30–35. Общий вид *Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) (30, 31, паралектотип ♀, 32, 33, лектотип ♂, 34, 35, из ОАЭ): 30, 33 — тело, вид снизу; 31, 32 — то же, вид сверху; 34, 35 — живая самка. Фотографии 34, 35, признательность Хью Робертс (Абу-Даби, ОАЭ). Масштаб: 5 мм.

*Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872), **comb.n.**

Figs 30–43, Map 2.

*Lycosa effera* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872: 318 (D♂♀); ♀ lectotype designated here, in OUNHM.

*Hyænosa effera* Roewer, 1955a: 260; 1960a: 821, figs 455a–c (♀♂); Zonstein, Marusik, 2013: 59.

*Trochosa effera* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876: 601.

*Tarentula iaffa* Strand, 1913: 161 (D♂); holotype ♂ from SMFM, 2232–138, not examined, but see Zonstein et al. [2015: fig. 2h].

**Syn.n.**

*Geolycosa altera* Roewer, 1955b: 764, fig. 12 (D♂); holotype ♀ from SMFM, examined (see Logunov [2012]. **Syn.n.**

*Trochosa altera* Logunov, 2012: 355; ♂ 20–21 (♀ from Geolycosa).

*Geolycosa iaffa* Roewer, 1955a: 241; Zonstein, Marusik, 2013: 59.

*Lycosa ferox* Denis, 1947: 32, pl. 1, figs 3–5 (♂♀).

*Lycosma ferox*: Alderweireldt, van Harten, 2004: 352.

*Hogna ferox* Zonstein et al., 2015: 377, fig. 2h,i (♀); Lecigne, 2016: 99, figs 7, L–O (♀); Alderweireldt, Jocqué, 2017: 5; Bosmans et al., 2019: 86.

**TYPES. Lectotype ♂ (designated here; Figs 32, 33, 36–38) (OUNHM, bottle 1572, tube 41), ‘no exact locality and date’; in the original description [Pickard-Cambridge, 1872: 319], the type locality was not properly defined, stating that “examples of both sexes, adult and immature, were found at Jericho, Hebron, the Lebanon and Beirut”. Paralectotypes: 1 ♀ (OUNHM, bottle 1572, tube 41), together with the lectotype; 4 immatures (OUNHM, bottle 1572, tube 14), ‘no exact locality and date’.

The adult syntype ♂ of *Lycosa effera* from Syria deposited in SMFM (no. 9910928) has been re-examined and turned out to belong to the genus *Arctosa*. The taxonomic assignment of an immature female of *Hyænosa effera* from SMFM (no. 9913477), which was also re-examined by the author, remains unclear, but it seems to belong to *Alopecosa* Simon, 1885.

**OTHER MATERIAL. UAE**: 1 ♀ (MMUE; Figs 34, 35, 42, 43), Jebel Hafief Mercure Hotel (24°04'40.8"N, 55°46'08.4"E), 14.01.2014, H. Roberts; 1 ♀ (MMUE), Mazandaran (no exact locality), oak forest, 06.2004, H. Ghahari; 1 ♀ (MMUE), Isfahan, Naja
COMPARATIVE MATERIAL. *Hogna ferox* (Lucas, 1838) (Figs 44, 45). SPAIN: 1 $ (MMUE, G7572.18234), The Canary Islands, Gran Canaria, Juan Grande [c. 27°49′N, 15°28′W], 27.03. 1997, J. Murphy.

DIAGNOSIS. *Hogna effera* seems to be closest to *H. ferox*, which was described from and is reliably known only from the Canaries [Wunderlich, 1992; Hepner & Paulus, 2009]; see also below under ‘Distribution’. Based on the available schematic illustrations of specimens of *H. ferox* (viz., figs 716–719 in Wunderlich [1992] and figs 14–16 in Hepner & Paulus [2009]) and the female from Gran Canaria re-examined by the author (Figs 44, 45), *H. effera* can be readily distinguished from *H. ferox* by the following characters: the base of median apophysis in the male palp of *H. effera* is about twice as narrow as that of *H. ferox* (if measured in the apico-proximal direction; cf. Fig. 36 with fig. 717 in Wunderlich [1992]); the septal pedicel is visibly constricted in its posterior half in *H. effera* (not constricted, with subparallel edges in *H. ferox*; cf. Figs 39, 42 and 44; see also fig. 719 in Wunderlich [1992] and fig. 15 in Hepner & Paulus [2009]); hoods separated by 1–1.5 hood diameter in *H. effera* (touching in *H. ferox*; cf. Figs 39, 42 and 44); and the vulva of *H. effera* is comparatively smaller than that of *H. ferox* (septal pedicel length/vulva height ratio: 1.5–1.6 in *H. effera*, and 1.00 in *H. ferox*; cf. Figs 40, 43 and 45, illustrated on the same scale; see also fig. 16 in Hepner & Paulus [2009]).

COMMENTS. *Lycosa effera* was transferred to the genus *Hyaenosa* Caporiacco, 1940 by Roewer [1955a: 260] without any justification; followed by WSC [2020]. However, *Hyaenosa* was described by Caporiacco [1940] as a monotypic genus based on an immature female – *H. strandi* Caporiacco, 1940 from Ethiopia – and, in my opinion, the taxonomic status and validity of both the genus *Hyaenosa* and its type species requires revision. *L. effera* conforms to all the diagnostic characters of the genus *Hogna* listed above in ‘Introduction’ and hence it is safe to propose a new combination: *Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872), comb.n. (ex *Hyaenosa*).

Zonstein et al. [2015] synonymized the name of *Tarentula iaffa* Strand, 1913 with *H. ferox* by comparison of the holotype female from Tel-Aviv with newly collected specimens from Israel. However, as it is clear now that all the records of *H. ferox* from Israel are to be re-assigned to *H. effera*, and therefore *T. iaffa* is to be considered a junior synonym of *H. effera*. *Geolycosa altera* Roewer, 1955 was described by Roewer [1955a] on the basis of the holotype female from Iran. The holotype of this species was illustrated by Logunov [2012: figs 20–21] who also mistakenly transferred this species name to *Trochosa* C.L. Koch, 1847. Based on the results of the present study, the latter species has copulatory organs that closely resemble those of *H. effera*.
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Figs 36–45. Copulatory organs and somatic morphology of *Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) (36–38, lectotype ♂, 39–41, paralectotype ♀, 42, 43, from the UAE) and *H. ferox* (Lucas, 1838) (44, 45, from the Canary Islands): 36 — left male palp, ventral view; 37 — ditto, retrolateral view; 38 — median apophysis, apical view; 39, 42, 44 — epigyne, ventral view; 40, 43, 45 — vulva, dorsal view; 41 — left female chelicera, ventral view. Scale bars: 0.1 mm (38), 0.25 mm (36, 36, 39–40, 42–45), 0.5 mm (41).

Рис. 36–45. Копулятивные органы и соматическая morfология *Hogna effera* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) (36–38, лектотип ♂, 39–41, паралектотип ♀, 42, 43, из ОАЭ) и *H. ferox* (Lucas, 1838) (44, 45, из Гран-Канария): 36 — левая пальпа самца, вид снизу; 37 — то же, вид сзади-сбоку; 38 — медиальный апофиз, виз сверху; 39, 42, 44 — эпигина, вид снизу; 40, 43, 45 — вульва, вид сверху; 41 — левая хелицера самки, вид снизу. Масштаб: 0,1 мм (38), 0,25 мм (36, 36, 39–40, 42–45), 0,5 мм (41).

effera* (Figs 39, 40, 42, 43) and therefore is to be considered its junior synonym.

**DISTRIBUTION.** Although the distribution of *H. effera* cannot be reliably outlined at present, the species seems to occur at least in the eastern Mediterranean, the Near East and Saudi Arabia (Map 2), whence it has been recorded under different names, most commonly as *Hogna ferox*: viz., Crete [Lecigne, 2016: sub *Hogna ferox*], Cyprus [Bosmans et al., 2019: sub *Hogna ferox*], Egypt [Pickard-Cambridge, 1876: sub *Trochosa effera*; Denis, 1947: sub *Lycosa ferox*;
Roewer, 1960a: sub *Hyaena e.*; El-Hennawy, 2017: sub *Hogna ferox* & *Hyaena e.*], Lebanon [Pickard-Cambridge, 1872; Roewer, 1960a: sub *Hyaena e.*], Israel [Pickard-Cambridge, 1872; Strand, 1913: sub *Tarentula iaffa*; Zonstein, Marusik, 2013: sub *Hyaena e.*; Zonstein et al., 2015: sub *Tarentula iaffa* & *Hogna ferox*], Syria [Denis, 1947: sub *Lycosa ferox*], Iran [Roewer, 1955b: sub *Geolycosa altera*; Logunov, 2012: sub *Trochosa altera*; present data], Yemen, Saudi Arabia [Alderweirldt, van Harten, 2004: sub *Lycorna ferox*] and the UAE [Alderweirldt, Jocqué, 2017: sub *Hogna ferox*; present data].

Apparently, some records of *Hogna radiata* from Greece (see Bosmans & Chatzaki, 2005; Bosmans et al., 2013) are likely to belong to *H. effera* as well. The record by Roewer [1960a: fig. 45a, sub *Hyaena e.*] from Libya (Derna) based on a single female actually belong to a different species and even genus of *Lycosidae.*

As stated in the original description [Lucas, 1838: 27], *Lycosa ferox* was collected from the Canary Islands (trouvé aux îles Canaries), but the type locality was not specified. According to Arechavaleta et al. [2010], the species is known from the seven islands of the archipelago. Yet, earlier, Wunderlich [1992: 459] argued that Lucas’ [1838] description could refer to several *Hogna* species and does not allow a reliable interpretation, whereas the whereabouts of the original type series of *L. ferox* remains unknown. Hence, Wunderlich [1992] nominated the largest Canarian *Hogna* species of the six known from there as the true *H. ferox,* and this notion is followed here. Later, *H. ferox* was also illustrated by Hepner & Paulus [2009] based on the specimens from Gran Canaria, being conspecific with the species illustrated by Wunderlich [1992]. Guy [1966: figs 56a–c] presented three variations of the epigyne of *H. ferox,* which unfortunately cannot be taken into consideration because they were not geographically specified and include both what could be interpreted as *H. ferox* (sensu Wunderlich [1992]; see also Fig. 44), with an unconstricted septal pedicel [Ibid.: fig. 56a], and *H. effera,* with a constricted pedicel [Ibid.: fig. 56b]. Indeed, Guy [1966: 113] considered both names as belonging to one species.

Based on the diagnosis given above and the fact that the type locality of *H. ferox* lies in the Canaries, the current idea of the species being a widespread Mediterranean species [Guy, 1966; Zonstein et al., 2015; Nentwig et al., 2020; WSC, 2020] needs verification. At least, most of the reliably illustrated records of *H. ferox* from the eastern Mediterranean (e.g., Denis, 1947; Zonstein et al., 2015; Lecigne, 2016; etc.) and Saudi Arabia (Figs 42, 43) appear to belong to *H. effera.*

**DESCRIPTION. MALE** (lectotype). Carapace 5.90 long, 3.90 wide. Eye sizes and inter-distances: AME 0.25, ALE 0.18, PME 0.45, PLE 0.40, AME-AME 0.08, AME-ALE 0.08, PME-PME 0.23, PLE-PLE 0.70. Width of anterior eye row 1.00, second row 1.00, third row 1.38. Clypeus height 0.15, chelicera length 2.26. Abdomen 4.40 long, 2.90 wide. Length of leg segments: 1.480 + 2.25 + 4.10 + 4.15 + 2.40 (17.70); II 4.50 + 2.00 + 3.50 + 2.55 + 2.25 (15.80); III 4.10 + 1.80 + 2.90 + 3.80 + 2.15 (14.75); IV 5.50 + 2.10 + 4.20 + 6.00 + 2.80 (20.60). Leg formula: IV, I, II, III. *Coloration* (Figs 32, 33); the specimen is visibly faded. Carapace light yellow, with a pattern made of dense recumbent hairs; two wide longitudinal brown stripes, a wide white stripe in between them and two wide white marginal bands; brown fovea prominent and long (Fig. 32). Clypeus light yellow. Sternum light yellow, densely covered with white hairs. Labium and endites light yellow. Chelicerae yellowish brown. Abdomen: dorsum yellow-grey, with a yellow cardiac mark edged with brown lines; sides yellow-grey; venter light yellow. Book-lung covers and spinnerets light yellow. All legs light yellow, but Mt and Tr I–II orange-brown. Palps light yellow, with brownish bulbs. Palpal structure as in Figs 36–38: cymbium elongated; subtegulum prominent, situated proximally, at 6 o’clock; palea round; symnemobulbus needle-shaped; median apophysis narrow-triangular (in apical view), with a pointed retrolateral end and a low ventral tooth; embolus six times longer than symnemobulbus, originating at 12 o’clock and clearly visible along all its length.

**FEMALE** (paratype). Carapace 6.25 long, 5.05 wide. Eye sizes and inter-distances: AME 0.28, ALE 0.16, PME 0.43, PLE 0.45, AME-AME 0.16, AME-ALE 0.10, PME-PME 0.38, PLE-PLE 1.00. Width of anterior eye row 1.33, second row 1.30, third row 1.63. Clypeus height 0.15, chelicera length 3.10. Abdomen 7.10 long, 3.85 wide. Length of leg segments: 1.485 + 2.50 + 3.10 + 3.20 + 2.05 (15.70); II 4.20 + 2.30 + 2.85 + 3.05 + 2.00 (14.40); III 3.85 + 2.05 + 2.50 + 3.50 + 2.00 (13.90); IV 4.25 + 1.95 + 3.35 + 4.35 + 2.10 (16.00). Leg formula: IV, III, III. *Coloration* (Figs 30, 31, 34, 35), as in the male, except for yellowish brown labium and endites, dark brown chelicerae, and a better visible, brownish colour pattern of dorsum (Figs 31, 35). Epigyne and vulva as in Figs 39, 40, 42, 43: median septum longer than wide (septal length/wide ratio 1.1–1.5); hoods separated by 1–1.5 hood diameter; secondary receptacles as wide as insemination ducts; fertilization ducts prominent, situated near epigastic furrow.

*Hogna sinaia* Roewer, 1959

Figs 24, 25, 28, 29, Map 1.

*Hogna sinaia* Roewer, 1959: 412, figs 222a, b (D²); holotype ♀ in SMFM, examined.

**TYPES. HOLOTYPE ♀ (SMFM, 11752; Figs 24, 25, 28, 29). “Aran.: Lycosidae No. 792 / Hogna sinaia n.sp. Rwr. / 1 ½ (I.1inad.) / Sinai-Halbinsel...[further illegible] / Rwr. Det. 1957”; apparently, Sinai-Halbinsel (Nuweiba, Egypt; c. 29°30’N, 34°00’E). The type series contains two specimens, of which one is an immature female, but these cannot be treated as the syntypes because in the original description Roewer [1959: 412–413] designated the male female as the holotype and then illustrated and described it.

**DIAGNOSIS.** Of the reliably illustrated females of Palaearctic *Hogna* species, *H. sinaia* is most similar to that of *H. radiata* (Figs 22, 23) in having the anchor-shaped median septum (Fig. 24). It differs from the latter species in the shorter (1.4 times) septal pedicel (cf. Figs 24 and 22, shown on the same scale) and the unique, globular secondary receptacles (tubular in *H. radiata* and all other *Hogna* species illustrated to date; cf. Figs 25 and 23). The male of *H. sinaia* remains unknown.

**DISTRIBUTION.** Only the type locality (Map 1).

**DESCRIPTION. MALE.** Unknown.

**FEMALE.** (holotype). Carapace 6.80 long, 5.50 wide. Eye sizes and inter-distances: AME 0.33, ALE 0.28, PME 0.78, PLE 0.68, AME-AME 0.13, AME-ALE 0.13, PME-PME 0.38, PLE-PLE 1.30. Width of anterior eye row 1.50, second row 1.80, third row 2.25. Clypeus height 0.25, chelicera length 3.15. Abdomen 9.80 long, 6.50 wide. Length of leg segments: 1.580 + 2.00 + 4.60 + 4.55 + 2.70 (19.65); II 5.40 + 2.70 + 3.85 + 4.15 + 2.35 (18.45); III 4.80 + 2.45 + 3.70 + 4.50 + 2.50 (17.95); IV 6.40 + 2.95 + 5.35 + 7.95 + 3.10 (25.75). Leg formula: IV, III, III. *Coloration* (Figs 28, 29); the specimen is markedly faded and shabby, with the
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Table. *Hogna* species in some regional faunas of Asia Minor and the Near East.

| Species          | Caucasus | Egypt | Iran | Israel | Turkey |
|------------------|----------|-------|------|--------|--------|
| *H. alexandria*  | Roewer, 1960 | X     |      |        |        |
| *H. bergsøei* (Thorell, 1875) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. effera* (O. P.-Cambridge, 1872) | X     |      | X    | X      | X      |
| *H. felina* (L. Koch, 1878) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. graeca* (Roewer, 1951) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. nigrichelis* (Roewer, 1955) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. ocellata* (L. Koch, 1878) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. peregrina* (Audouin, 1826) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. radiata* (Latreille, 1817) | X     | X    | X    | X      | X      |
| *H. sinaia* Roewer, 1959 | X     |      |      |        |        |
| *H. truculenta* (O. P.-Cambridge, 1876) | X     |      |      |        |        |
| **Total number** | 4       | 6     | 3    | 2      | 2      |

Based on the following sources: the Caucasus [Otto, 2020], Egypt [El-Hennawy, 2017], Iran [Zamani et al., 2020], Israel [Zonstein, Marsük, 2013; Zonstein et al., 2015], Turkey [Demir, Seyyar, 2017]; in all cases with modifications based on the results of the present study and Logunov & Ponomarev [2020].

Discussion

As already mentioned in the introduction, the taxonomy and world diversity of the genus *Hogna* are confusing. Even its regional diversity remains poorly understood. The Table presents a list of 11 *Hogna* species described or recorded from five reasonably well studied regional faunas of the Caucasus, Asia Minor and the Near East. Of these, only two species have been reported from several regions: viz., *H. radiata* from all the five regions and *H. effera* from three regions. Yet, the extended distribution of the latter species is based on the revised data from the present study (see above), whereas the records of *H. radiata* are likely to have been based, at least partly, on misidentifications. The current state of knowledge of the supposedly common *H. radiata* requires a re-examination of its type series, if it exists, or a designation of a neotype to fix this name to a particular morphotype of those that are currently assigned to it (e.g., Brady [2012]).

All other *Hogna* species described from the area at hand (Table) remain known from old, poorly illustrated original descriptions (e.g., *H. felina* from eastern Georgia, *H. nigrichelis* from Iran, etc.) and are likely to be either *nomina dubia* (e.g., *H. peregrina* from Egypt; see Alderweireldt [1991]) or require revision (e.g., *H. bergsøei* from the eastern Caucasus; see Logunov & Ponomarev [2020]). One species — *H. truculenta* from Egypt — was described based on an immature female [Pickard-Cambridge, 1876: 601–602]. The author commented that the species “is very nearly allied in colours and general appearance” to *H. effera*. Either this species name is to be treated as *nomen dubium*, or it is another record of the latter species; in both cases the name is not valid. All these problems lie outside the scope of the present study and are to be properly dealt with elsewhere.
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right leg I detached. Carapace yellow, with two wide brown longitudinal stripes of recumbent hairs and three longitudinal white stripes (a median and two marginal) of recumbent hairs. Clypeus yellow. Sternum light yellow. Labium and endites yellowish brown. Abdomen light yellow, with a pale cardiac mark on dorsum. Book-lung covers and spinnerets yellow. All legs and palps yellow. Epigyne and vulva as in Figs 24, 25: median septum as long as wide (septal length/wide ratio 1.0); hoods separated by one hood width; secondary receptacles swollen and globular, more than twice the width of insemination ducts; fertilization ducts prominent, situated near epigastric furrow.
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