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The European Society of Cardiology guidelines on non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes suggest different temporal strategies for the angiographic study depending on the risk profile. The scientific evidence underlying the guideline recommendations and the critical issues currently existing in Italy, that often do not allow either an extended strategy of revascularization within 24 h or the application of the principle of the same day transfer from a spoke to a hub centre, are analysed. The position paper focuses, in particular, on the subgroup of patients with a defined diagnosis
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of non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction by proposing a timing of coronary angiography/rewascularization that takes into account the available scientific evidence and the organizational possibilities of a considerable part of national cardiology services.

Introduction

The recent guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) on Non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes (NSTE-ACS), confirming the content of the 2015 guidelines, indicate different timing strategies based on the risk profile for the transfer of patients to facilities equipped with Cath Labs.

The prognostic stratification, in accordance with the guidelines, identifies three risk categories:

1. very high (an immediate invasive strategy is indicated, <2 h): cardiogenic shock, recurrent angina, or chest pain refractory to medical treatment, life-threatening arrhythmias, mechanical complications, acute heart failure associated with NSTE-ACS, ST-segment depression >1 mm in 6 or more leads plus ST-segment elevation aVR and/or V1;
2. high (an early invasive strategy is recommended, <24 h): established diagnosis of Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), new or presumed new dynamic ST-T segment/wave changes (symptomatic or silent), resuscitated cardiac arrest in the absence of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or cardiogenic shock, GRACE (Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events) risk score >140; and
3. low (opt for an elective invasive strategy): absence of high or very high-risk factors.

This document intends to focus its attention on high-risk NSTE-ACS patients, and in particular, on the subgroup with defined diagnosis of NSTEMI identified, on the basis of the fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction, by the typical curve of the high-sensitivity troponin associated with angina or electrocardiographic/echocardiographic alterations. The ESC Guidelines recommend an early invasive strategy with a coronary angiography performed within 24 h of hospitalization for this subgroup of patients, the most numerous in clinical practice, with the additional specification of same day transfer from a spoke centre to a centre with a Cath Lab. These recommendations, necessarily need to be adapted to each country and local territory, taking into consideration the different organization, the different geographic features and the available resources.

Scientific evidence

Invasive strategy <24 h

Various studies have analysed the effects of an early (within 24 h) or delayed revascularization strategy in NSTE-ACS patients. The ISAR-COOL Study (Intracoronary Stenting with Antithrombotic Regimen Cooling-Off) randomized 410 patients to early (median value 2.4 h) or delayed revascularization (median value 86 h). The primary endpoint, consisting of death or non-fatal infarction at 30 days, was recorded in 5.9% of the early invasive strategy group compared to the 11.6% of the delayed group strategy (P = 0.04). Later, the ELISA Study (Early or Late Intervention in Unstable Angina), enrolled 220 patients, comparing an early invasive strategy (median value 6 h) with a delayed strategy after 24-48 h of pre-treatment with tirofiban (median value 50 h). No difference in the two groups was noted with regard to deaths or infarction at 30 days (9.2% vs. 9%, P = 0.97). The ELISA-3 Study randomized 542 high-risk NSTE-ACS patients to an early invasive strategy (median value 2.6 h) vs a delayed strategy (median 54.9 h). The primary endpoint (death, re-infarction, and revascularization at 30 days) resulted reduced, although not significantly, by the early invasive strategy. Zhang et al. compared an early invasive (<24 h) with a delayed strategy (>36 h) demonstrating a risk reduction of infarction in patients revascularized within 24 h. In the ICTUS Study (Invasive vs. Conservative Treatment in Unstable Coronary Syndromes), 1200 patients were randomized to an early invasive strategy with revascularization within 24-48 h or a selective strategy. After receiving optimal medical treatment, only the patients with refractory or clinical relevant ischaemia, detected by ergometric test performed prior to the hospital discharge, were revascularized. The primary endpoint, a composite of death, re-infarction and re-hospitalization for angina, was not different in the two groups at 1 or 10 years of follow-up. The two largest trials that verified the efficacy of an early invasive strategy vs a delayed strategy, also reported by the ESC Guidelines, are VERDICT (Very Early vs. Deferred Invasive evaluation using Computerized Tomography) and TIMACS (Timing of Intervention in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome). The execution time of the coronary angiography as per protocol and the real execution timing in the two trials are indicated in Table 1.

The results of the two studies, which enrolled over 5000 patients, show that among non-selected NSTE-ACS patients, an early invasive strategy, compared to a delayed strategy, is not 'superior' regard to the composite primary endpoint (death, myocardial infarction or stroke, at 6 months in the TIMACS Study, death from all causes, non-fatal myocardial infarction, hospital admission due to refractory myocardial ischaemia or heart failure in the VERDICT Study). From a further analysis of the VERDICT Study, the secondary endpoint (non-fatal infarction, refractory ischaemia, heart failure, death, and new revascularization) resulted significantly reduced in the early strategy only in regard to non-fatal infarction [hazard ratio.
(HR) 0.73, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.56-0.96, \( P = 0.025 \). Also, in the TIMACS study, the secondary endpoint (death, myocardial infarction, or refractory ischaemia at 6-months) was significantly inferior in the early invasive arm (95% vs. 12.9%; HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.58-0.89, \( P = 0.003 \)), but this result was mainly determined by the reduction of refractory ischaemia (1.0% vs. 3.3%, \( P < 0.001 \)).

Both the TIMACS and VERDICT studies present a number of limitations that must be taken into consideration. In the TIMACS, the examined sample population, even if numerically considerable (over 3000 patients) is relatively ‘underpowered’ if we consider the number of events. In the VERDICT study, instead, coronary angiography was not performed in some of the patients assigned to the delayed strategy group, mitigating therefore a potential clinical advantage. Even the ESC guidelines, with regard to the two studies, underline how an important limitation is represented by the fact that ‘coronary angiography timing’ was calculated from the randomization and not from the symptom onset or hospital admission. Furthermore, while in the early strategy groups coronary angiography was performed in the majority of the cases within 24 h from randomization, in the delayed strategy group, the timing of coronary angiography resulted extremely heterogenous (up to 90 h), so that it was impossible to identify a time range in which, potentially, the results would have been different. From the pre-specified subgroups analysis of the TIMACS and VERDICT studies, results that only patients with a GRACE score \( \geq 140 \) (about one-third of the population of TIMACS) benefited from an early invasive strategy in terms of reduction of the primary endpoint (TIMACS: HR 0.65, 95% CI 0.48-0.89 vs. HR 1.12, 95% CI 0.81-1.56, \( P = 0.01 \); VERDICT: HR 0.81, 95% CI 0.67-1.01 vs. HR 1.21, 95% CI 0.92-1.60; \( P = 0.02 \)). It is notable that both trials used the original GRACE score for in-hospital death while, in consideration of the different weight of the variables, the use of other GRACE score would have led, in the same patient, to a different risk, and to a consequential different decisional strategy. The subgroups analysis of the TIMACS and VERDICT patients with a GRACE score \( \geq 140 \) does not allow, however, to draw any definitive conclusions, due to the insufficient numeric sample of the population.

### Invasive strategy \( < 2 \) h

Numerous are also the studies concerning a very early (within 2 h) or delayed revascularization strategies in NSTE-ACS patients. The OPTIMA Study (Optimal Timing of PCI in Unstable Angina) randomized 142 patients to a very early (within 2 h) vs a delayed revascularization strategy (median value 25 h). The primary endpoint, a composite of death, non-fatal infarction, and urgent revascularization, was observed more frequently in patients who underwent immediate revascularization (\( P = 0.004 \)); the same result was observed in regard to acute myocardial infarction (\( P = 0.005 \)).

The OPTIMA-NSTEMI Trial (Leipzig Immediate vs early and late Percutaneous coronary Intervention trial in NSTEMI), a three-arm trial, randomized 602 patients to immediate (<2 h), early (10-48 h) and deferred revascularization after, or in case of failure of medical therapy. The median access time to coronary angiography was 1.1 h for the immediate revascularization group, 18.6 h for the early invasive group, and of 67.2 h in the selective strategy group. The primary endpoint was constituted by the creatine phosphokinase-MB peak during hospitalization, as surrogate of the extension of myocardial damage. The study did not observe any differences in the incidence of the primary endpoint nor in the secondary clinical endpoints at 6 months. The RIDDLE-NSTEMI Study (Immediate vs. delayed invasive intervention for non-STEMI patients) randomized 323 NSTE-ACS patients who underwent invasive strategy within 2 h or 72 h (median time 62 h). The early invasive therapy arm was superior in the reduction of primary composite endpoint of death and re-infarction at 30 days (\( P = 0.008 \)) and at 1 year, especially for the minor incidence of in-hospital ischaemic recurrence. The ABOARD Study (Angioplasty to Blunt the Rise of Troponin in Acute Coronary Syndrome) randomized 352 NSTE-ACS patients to immediate coronary angiography (median value 1 h) or delayed invasive strategy (median value 20 h). The primary endpoint, represented by the median value of troponin peak during hospitalization, was not different in the two groups. After 30 days, the clinical secondary endpoints did not differ in the two groups. The recent EARLY Study (Early or Delayed Revascularization for Intermediate and High-Risk Non-ST-elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes) randomized 741 medium-high risk NSTE-ACS patients to an immediate coronary angiography (within 2 h) vs a delayed strategy (12-72 h) without pre-treatment with dual
antiplatelet therapy. The study showed that an early strategy reduces the primary endpoint (composite of cardiovascular death, ischaemic recurrences in need of urgent revascularization) at 30 days compared to the delayed arm. The result was mainly determined by the reduction of ischaemic recurrences. The absence of dual antiplatelet therapy before coronary angiography could, however, have caused a disadvantage to the delayed arm.15

**Meta-analysis and ongoing studies**

Many meta-analyses, which evaluated the pooled data of randomized controlled trials on NSTE-ACS in unselected patients, and relative to the benefit based on the execution time of coronary angiography, have not shown evidence of superiority of the early strategy with regard to death, non-fatal myocardial infarction or stroke. Some of the meta-analysis have only demonstrated, in the early strategy, a risk reduction of recurrent/refractory ischaemia and a shorter hospital stay.16–20 Only one meta-analysis observed a benefit in terms of survival in high-risk patients but with an inconclusive interaction test.

The data from the SWEDHEART (Swedish Web-system for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-based care in Heart disease Evaluated According to recommended Therapies) Registry (1995-2014) pointed out that the implementation of the ESC guidelines has led, in addition to an increase of the number of patients with optimized therapy, also to a significant increase in revascularization procedures and a reduction of mortality and ischaemic events at 1 year, regardless of the execution time of the coronary angiography.21 The RapidNSTEMI Trial (Very Early vs. Delayed Angiography +/- Intervention on Outcomes in Patients With NSTEMI) (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT 03707314), which is currently in the recruitment phase, will provide more reliable data on the efficacy of an early invasive strategy in a contemporary context.

**The situation and the issues in Italy**

According to the data provided by the 2015 Census of the Italian cardiological facilities conducted by the Italian Association of Hospital Cardiologists (ANMCO) and the Italian Society of Cardiology (SIC), the ratio of the hospitals equipped with Intensive Cardiac Care Units (ICCU) present on national territory is 6.58 to 1 million inhabitants (n = 395), while the ratio of the hospitals with Cath Labs that perform coronary angioplasty (PCI) is 4.37 to 1 million inhabitants (n = 263), in line with the 2019 data reported by the Italian Society of Interventional Cardiology (SICI, GISE) (n = 269).22 It is therefore quite clear that a relevant number of cardiological facilities with ICCU must necessarily send NSTE-ACS patients to hub centres equipped with Cath Labs. Data from the 2020 National Outcomes Program (NOP) of the Age.na.s (National Agency for Regional Health Services), referred to the year 2019, indicate that in Italy the percentage of myocardial infarctions treated with PCI within 2 days is 49.96%. These data overestimate the percentage of NSTE-ACS patients who underwent PCI within the 48h, as it also includes STEMI, which, in most of the national territory, can benefit from a more structured and efficient network. The NOP also quantifies in over 60 000 the volume of hospital admissions for NSTEMI, presenting a clear indication of the entity of the problem.23–25

A recent study, carried out in Emilia Romagna on a ‘service strategy’ for early access to the Cath Lab of NSTE-ACS patients admitted to spoke hospitals, executed in a region with a well-structured and organized network, reports a medium time, between hospital admission and access to the Cath Lab for coronary angiography/PCI, of 46.6 h (range 27.5–71.2 h).26 Noteworthy are the data provided by the EYESHOT Study (EmploYed antithrombotic therapies in patients with acute coronary Syndromes Hospitalized in ItaLian cardiac care units), a large, multi-centre, observational, prospective registry which enrolled 2585 consecutive patients with acute coronary syndrome in 203 Italian cardiological facilities with ICCU. In this study, the median timing between hospital admission and coronary angiography for the 388 patients with NSTEMI was 40.5 h [interquartile range (IQR) 19.8–73.5] in hospitals with Cath Labs and 67.2 h (IQR 42.5–126.7) in those without (P < 0.0001).27 Therefore, it is important to note that in Italy the admission-coronary angiography time-frame varies considerably among centres that have or do not have on-site Cath Labs, and that the proportion of ICCU cardiological facilities with or without Cath Labs is inverted compared to the centres that participated in the EYESHOT Study (66% of Cardiology Services with Cath Labs in the EYESHOT Study and 60% of cardiological centres without Cath Labs according to the Census of Cardiology Services in Italy).28

Therefore, the situation in Italy indicates that a significant proportion of Cardiology Services are obliged to send NSTE-ACS patients to the referred hub, that the number of NSTEMI patients is extremely high, that the timings to coronary angiography are far from those recommended by the guidelines, probably due to organisational issues (secondary transport, relations with emergency services, availability of professional health staff and ambulances, possibility of immediate receptivity of hub centres).

Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2 summarize some of the national data and the main issues caused by the application of the ESC guidelines on early revascularization strategy and same day transfer of NSTE-ACS patients.22–28

**Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes and revascularization timing: the ANMCO proposal**

From the overall assessment of the presented data it follows that:

- the scientific evidences, in regard to the superiority of an early invasive strategy versus a delayed strategy in NSTE-ACS patients, do not indicate a clear superiority in terms of the primary endpoint of the largest trials conducted on these patients; the benefit of an early invasive strategy is essentially limited to the need of further revascularizations or to an infarction recurrence. The main trials show limitation in terms...
Table 2  Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome patients: same-day transfer and coronary angiography within 24 h

| Italian data | Problems/issues |
|-------------|----------------|
| Spoke centres (Cardiology Units with ICCU): n = 395 | An important part of Cardiology Units are obliged to send NSTE-ACS patients to the referred Hub centres |
| Hub centres (Cardiology Units with Cath Labs): n: 26328 | Elevated number of hospital admissions for NSTEMI. |
| N NSTEMI—2019 hospital admission volume: 65 964 | Low percentage of AMI treated with PCI within 2 days (including STEMI); difficult to imagine a doubling of such a percentage, in terms of NSTEMI revascularization without contraindications, in half the time (24 h). |
| PCI in NSTEMI—2019 hospital admission volume: 34 176 | Considerable unhomogenous data at both local and regional level, to testimony of different organizational levels. Therefore, it is conceivable that an univocal behaviour at regional level for NSTE-ACS is impractical. |
| PCI treated with PCI within 2 days: 49.96% | Recent data show that in Italy the time between hospital admission for NSTE-ACS and coronary angiography is about 2 days and nearly 3 days in hospitals without Cath Lab. |
| PCI/100 000 inhabitants: 2624 (national average) | If the recommendations of the European guidelines were applied to the letter, the role of spoke centres would be reduced in favour of a ‘centralization’ of patients, with inevitable organizational and logistics repercussion. |
| PCI/100 000 inhabitants: 614 (national average) | Spoke centres: need for ‘ad hoc’ medical and nursing staff shift work for transfers (dubious cost/efficacy), ready availability for daytime/working days not allowed by the NCLA, secondary transport resources not viable for in-service medical staff, already engaged in the ward/surgery. |
| Time between hospital admission and coronary angiography/PCI in NSTE-ACS in Italy: | Hub centres: need for a structuring of a network with similar characteristics to the STEMI network, at the moment not feasible due to the non-programmable ‘emergency’ procedures, the availability of hospital beds and the overload of non-programmable procedures. Pre-holiday and holiday activities not at the same level as working days. |
| Average value 46.6 h | Organization of ‘back transfer’ not feasible in case of extended early invasive strategy and same-day transfers (difficult application of a ‘STEMI-like’ network for immediate revascularization and re-transfer to the spoke centres). Only few NSTE-ACS patients could undergo coronary angiography/revascularization at arrival at the hub centre, to allow an early re-transfer to the spoke centre after the procedure. |
| Organizational ‘back transfer’ applied in many local realities to the Spoke centres for ACS-NSTE cases. | |

The Italian issues and data.
AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ICCU, intensive cardiac care unit; NCLA, national collective labour agreement; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, primary coronary angioplasty; pPCI, primary coronary angioplasty; NSTE-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Figure 1  Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: hospital admission time curve in Italy.
of ‘underpowerment’ with respect to the number of events;
• the results of smaller studies, as well as the results of meta-analysis on the topic, are contrasting;
• the optimal timing of coronary angiography in NSTE-ACS patients therefore still constitutes a ‘gap in evidence’ that needs to be filled through adequate sized randomized clinical trials, as also pointed out by the authors of the guidelines29;
• the Italian reality shows that a large number of the Cardiology Services with ICCUs must refer to centres with Cath Labs;
• the issue regarding secondary transport, being unable to provide a same-day transfer of NSTE-ACS patients, is particularly relevant in many regional realities;
• the hub centres could not be able to deal with the impact of an immediate transfer of NSTE-ACS patients from the spoke centres;
• an analysis of subgroups of the largest trials shows that the benefit of an early revascularization strategy, in terms of ‘hard’ endpoint, is obtained in patients with a GRACE score > 140 (quantified in about one-third of the total NSTE-ACS patients). However, such data derive from the analysis of subgroups with insufficient statistical power to draw definitive conclusions;
• the data of the 2020 NOP, which refer to the year 2019,23,24 relative to the number of revascularization procedures in NSTEMI patients (over 34 000), indicate that in the national reality the early revascularization strategy in all NSTE-ACS patients is not feasible, at the moment;
• the local and regional realities show heterogeneous data in terms of early revascularization rate, also for primary PCI,22 demonstrating significant differences in resources/organization.

Table 3  Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome patients: ANMCO proposal for risk stratification and coronary angiography/revascularization timing

| Risk stratification | Category                                                                 | Invasive strategy timing |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Very high risk      | Hemodynamic instability, cardiogenic shock, recurrent chest pain or refractory to medical treatment, life-threatening arrhythmias, mechanical complications, acute heart failure clearly related to NSTE-ACS, ST-Segment depression > 1 mm in ≥ 6 leads plus elevation in aVR and/or V1 | Immediate (<2 h)         |
| High risk           | GRACE risk score > 140                                                   | Within 72 h              |
|                     | Resuscitated cardiac arrest in absence of STEMI or cardiogenic shock      | Preferably within 72 h, however always during index hospitalization |
| Medium risk         | Defined diagnosis of NSTEMI                                               | Selective invasive strategy; if indicated to be performed during index hospitalization |
|                     | New or presumed new dynamic ST/T variations (silent or with symptoms at admission but responsive to treatment). |                         |
| Low risk            | Absence of medium, high or very high-risk characteristics                  |                          |

NSTEMI, Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction; NSTE-ACS, Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes; STEMI, ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction.
On the basis of such a premise, it seems reasonable to propose a timing for revascularization in NSTE-ACS patients that necessarily takes into account the scientific evidence and the regional issues that render impractical both an extended strategy of revascularization within 24 h and the application of the principle of “same day transfer” (Table 3). It is important to take into consideration that the timing proposal interests “quantitatively” especially the NSTEMI subgroups that, with the use of high sensitivity troponin, represent the majority of NSTE-ACS.

The proposal does not take into account the presence of any comorbidities, such as anaemia and renal impairment, that inevitably need minimal aetiological investigations or treatment that could lead to a reasonable delay in the execution of the coronary angiography. On this issue, we note that the data deriving from the VALIDATE-SWEDHEART (Bivalirudin vs. Heparin in ST-Segment and Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction in Patients on Modern Antiplaetelet Therapy in the Swedish Web System for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies Registry)30 indicate that about 15% of the patients with acute coronary syndrome present anaemia (defined by a <13 g/dL haemoglobin value in males and <12 g/dL in females) and confirm a high prevalence of renal insufficiency (defined by an estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m²), present in 31% of the anaemic patients and in 13% of the non-anaemic patients.

Conclusions

The scientific evidences relative to ‘hard’ endpoint are not univocal in presenting a significative advantage of an early revascularization strategy in a population of unselected NSTE-ACS patients. In addition, the epidemiological and organizational data in Italy do not allow a direct application of the ESC guidelines on NSTE-ACS, with regard to the recommendations to perform coronary angiography within 24 h from admission with a same-day transfer to a hub centre. The ANMCO proposal is a necessary and reasonable compromise in consideration of all the available scientific evidences and the organizational possibilities of a considerable part of national cardiology services.
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