Abstract. Background/Aim: To evaluate the effect of an ultrasonic cleaning and disinfection method for CAD/CAM abutment surfaces on cell viability and inflammatory response in vitro. Materials and Methods: Untreated and manually polished surfaces of CAD/CAM generated titanium and zirconia disks were randomly assigned, either to a 3-step ultrasonic cleaning and disinfection process (test: TiUF, TiPF, ZrUF, ZrPF) or to 30 sec steam cleaning (control: TiUS, TiPS, ZrUS, ZrPS). Pre-cleaning surface analyses using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), and surface profilometry were performed. Human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) were cultured on test and control specimens and subsequently examined for cell viability and inflammatory response. Expression of acute inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) were assessed by means of RT-qPCR. Results: Cells on all specimens exhibited a satisfactory viability, indicating firm attachment. Cells on polished zirconia samples, cleaned by means of sonication (ZrPF), exhibited significantly higher viability than cells on the same material cleaned by steam (ZrPS), p=0.019. For all other three material/surface treatment combinations (TiU, TiP, ZrU), no such difference was observed between the cleaning methods. The messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) levels of IL-6 and VEGFA were between 50 and 105% of that of the control cells on the non-toxic control surface. mRNA levels of IL-6 and VEGFA correlated well with each other. Conclusion: Except for higher viability of cells cultured on polished zirconia specimens, no universally applicable advantage could be found for the ultrasonic cleaning procedure for zirconia and titanium abutment surfaces regarding cell viability, IL-6 expression or VEGFA expression. The cleaning procedures did not have any negative effect either.

Soft tissue adhesion to the transmucosal part of an implant abutment is essential, as it provides a protective seal which prevents bacterial invasion and subsequent inflammation (1, 2). Peri-implant mucosa is composed of well-keratinized oral, sulcular, and junctional epithelium, as well as underlying connective tissue (3). Human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) are precursors of cells in the connective tissue of the mucosal seal and are involved in the homeostasis of collagen fibers around implant abutments (4, 5). Surface characteristics of the abutment determine to a large extent the quality of mucosal attachment (6). Surface topography, wettability and free energy determine cell reactions (7, 8), whereas contaminants and chemical debris could adversely
affect the surface–cell interaction (9-11). CAD/CAM fabrication procedures for customized implant abutments made of titanium and zirconia may contaminate abutment surfaces through lubricants, waxes, generic pollutants, and wear microparticles. (12, 13). The presence of contaminants at the abutment platform-level has been suggested to be associated with inflammation and titanium particles were demonstrated to activate osteoclastic action (14). For this reason, cleaning and disinfection of the abutment surface is essential. However, effects of various cleaning procedures have been controversially discussed (15-18) and a conclusive clinical relationship between the abutment cleanliness and the maintenance of peri-implant bone levels has yet to be proven (19).

European health regulations, e.g. BS EN ISO 17664: 2004 (International Organization for Standardization) have approved cleaning and disinfection procedures for semicritical medical devices, such as CAD/CAM customized implant abutments. They consider either an ultrasonic cleaning with approved disinfectants or the sterilization of the components at 134°C. However, vapor at such a high temperature and pressure may damage the crystal framework of ceramic abutments and therefore increase the risk of breakage (20-24). Although often conducted in daily practice, steam cleaning is not an approved cleaning and disinfection approach. While in vivo and in vitro investigations have reported that a plasma pre-treatment could be beneficially adopted for abutment cleaning (9, 10, 25), a recent trial of Farronato et al. observed that decontamination with argon plasma alone might not be effective enough (26). It should, however, be noted that plasma processing is not a validated cleaning method for abutment cleaning, following technical procedures of customization.

An ultrasonic treatment is a proven and authorized cleaning method and has been alternatively recommended to clean titanium and ceramic abutments (13, 19). Ultra-high frequency waves in combination with a disinfecting agent mechanically and chemically remove contaminants from the surfaces. Nevertheless, the impact of this cleaning method on the attachment and inflammatory response of human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) has not been yet investigated. The aim of the current study was, therefore, to examine the effect of a 3-step ultrasonic cleaning and disinfection protocol for CAD/CAM abutments on cell viability and inflammatory response in vitro. HGFs were cultured on untreated and nontoxic control surfaces, TC coverslips (cat. no. 83.1840.002; Nunc, Wiesbaden, Germany), and named “titanium unprocessed” and “zirconia unprocessed” (5), respectively. These samples were subsequently examined for cell viability and inflammatory response (27). The null hypothesis was that an ultrasonic decontamination of CAD/CAM abutment surfaces improves cell viability and reduces the inflammatory response.

Materials and Methods

Specimens and reference materials. A total of 64 disks, each with a diameter of 10 mm and a height of 1.7 mm (BEGO Implant Systems GmbH & Co. KG, Bremen, Germany), made of grade 4 titanium and yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia (Y-TZP) with CAD/CAM machined surfaces were used in the present study. The CAD/CAM generated titanium (Ti) (n=32) and zirconia (Zr) (n=32) disks were divided to one half each (n=16) according to their post-production surface treatment in a polishing step (Figure 1). While one Ti-group and one Zr-group remained unprocessed (named TiU and ZrU for “titanium unprocessed” and “zirconia unprocessed”), the surface of the other group was manually polished by the same operator (CF) by a two-step protocol for 5 min using Panther Edition Lense 260 rough and 260 smooth (Sirius Ceramics, Frankfurt, Germany). Following on from here, this polishing protocol is referred to as “Panther polishing” and the polished groups are named TIP and ZRP for “titanium Panther polished” and “zirconia Panther polished” (Figure 2).

Prior to cleaning, 16 disks, four titanium and zirconia for each group (TiU, TIP, ZrU, ZRP) were used for surface analysis (scanning electron microscopy and profilometry). The untreated and polished titanium and zirconia disks were divided into two additional sub-groups, consisting of an equal number of 4 disks each, to be used in the cell culture experiments and receiving two different treatments in a cleaning step (steam cleaning vs. 3-step ultrasonic cleaning). Four titanium and zirconia disks for each sub-group were randomly allocated as test and control group. The disks of the test groups consisting of n=4 specimens each, underwent a standardized 3-step ultrasonic cleaning procedure reported in a previous study (13) and were named “titanium unprocessed Finevo cleaned” (TiUF), “titanium Panther polished Finevo cleaned” (TIPF), “zirconia unprocessed Finevo cleaned” (ZrUF), “zirconia Panther polished Finevo cleaned” (ZrPF), respectively. These samples were cleansed three times in an ultrasonic bath at 30°C for 5 min each. The first bath contained an antibacterial cleansing solution (FINEVO 01, Sirius Ceramics, Frankfurt, Germany), the second bath contained 80% ethylalcohol, and the third bath contained medically pure water (aqua dest.) (Figure 3).

Titanium and zirconia disks in the control sub-groups, consisting of n=4 specimens each, were solely steam-cleaned for 30 sec (VAP 1; Zhermark, Cologne, Germany) and named “titanium unprocessed steam cleaned” (TiUS), “titanium Panther polished steam cleaned” (TIPS), “zirconia unprocessed steam cleaned” (ZrUS), “zirconia Panther polished steam cleaned” (ZrPS), respectively. Human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) were cultured on test and control specimens and subsequently examined for cell viability and inflammatory response. As a toxic control surface, RM-A, a polyurethane film sheet containing 0.1% zinc diethylthiocarbamate (Hatano Research Institute, Hadano, Kanagawa, Japan), cut to yield disks with a diameter of 10 mm, was employed. As a nontoxic control surface, TC coverslips (cat. no. 83.1840.002; Sarstedt, Nürmbrecht, Germany) were used.

Topography and surface analysis. A total of 16 disks (four in each group TiU, TIP, ZrU, ZRP) were randomly selected for determination of surface topography and roughness prior to the assigned cleaning attempt. The test and control specimens were examined for average surface roughness (Sa), maximum height of the selected surface (Sz), and developed interfacial area ratio (Sdr) by means of
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profilometric focus-variation microscopy (Infinite Focus Standard G4, Alicona Imaging GmbH, Graz, Austria).

Microscopic and chemical analysis. After post-production surface treatment, but prior to the cleaning step, a total of 16 disks (four in each group TiU, TiP, ZrU, ZrP) were randomly allocated to scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Phenom ProX, PhenomWorld B.V., Eindhoven, Netherlands) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis. The emitted x-rays detected by EDX allow to obtain chemical profiles of the elements found on the abutment surfaces.

Cell culture, viability and gene expression assays. The titanium (Ti) and zirconia (Zr) disks of the test (TiUF, TiPF, ZrUF, ZrPF) and control group (TiUS, TiPS, ZrUS, ZrPS) were examined regarding cell viability and inflammatory response of primary human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) cultured directly on their surfaces. HGFs were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). HGFs were cultured in “Fibroblast Basal Medium” (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) supplemented with the “Fibroblast Growth Kit-Low serum” (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/ml each from Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The specimens were subsequently placed into a well of a 12-well cell culture plate onto which 1x10^5 HGFs were seeded in 1 ml medium. For each material/surface treatment combination (TiUS, TiUF, TiPS, TiPF, ZrUS, ZrUF, ZrPS, ZrPF) as well as for nontoxic and toxic controls, 4 replicates were set up for measuring viability of attached cells and for RNA extraction. The plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ overnight. On the next day, the specimens with cells on their surfaces were washed once in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and transferred to wells in new plates. Viability of cells attached to the surface of the specimens were measured using a CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturers’ instructions. Total RNA was purified from the cells attached to the surface of the specimens using a NucleoSpin® kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using the GoScript™ Reverse Transcription System with Oligo(dT)15 primers (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). mRNA of IL-6 and VEGFR was measured in four replicates using dual-probe RT-qPCR. Each assay contained components of two TaqMan (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) assay kits, one for the target mRNA and the other for mRNA of glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), which was used

Figure 1. Study design for specimen treatments, cleaning procedures, SEM-, EDX-, profilometric analysis and cell culture experiments.
as an internal reference gene. Cycle numbers at a defined threshold for target (Ct target) and GAPDH (Ct GAPDH) were read and the difference between the two was calculated as ΔCt = Ct target − Ct GAPDH. Subsequently, the four ΔCt values in the four replicates for one sample were used to calculate the mean ΔCt for each sample. Relative copy number of target mRNA to fictive 1000 copies of GAPDH-mRNA were calculated as 1000/2 mean ΔCt. Copy numbers were converted to percent of copy numbers in control cells cultured on culture plate surface.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the software Graphpad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The statistical significance of differences between test groups was determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test analysis. The level of significance was set at 5% (p<0.05).

Results

Topography and surface analysis. Descriptive characteristic variables for the average surface roughness (Sa μm), developed interfacial area ratio (Sdr %), and maximum height of the selected surface (Sz) according to surface treatment (TiU, ZrU, TiP, ZrP) are shown in Table I. While...
the Panther polishing protocol reduced the average surface roughness values (Sa) by half for the examined titanium specimens, this phenomenon was not observed for the zirconia specimens. Profilometric images of the untreated and polished titanium and zirconia surfaces displayed differences depending on the conducted treatment (Figure 4).

**Microscopic and chemical analysis.** SEM analysis revealed production-induced wear particles, debris as well as organic and inorganic contaminants on the CAD/CAM generated surfaces of the untreated and polished titanium and zirconia disks. All examined test specimens displayed surface contamination of various degree and particle size. On- and/or
intra-layered particles and circular milling grooves resulting from CAD/CAM machining were detected (Figures 5 and 6). Zirconia disk specimens which underwent a two-step polishing procedure (ZrP), displayed a reduced contamination with scattered residues and surface leveling (Figure 6E-H). The chemical elements identified on 4 disk samples in each group, both on the polished (TiP, ZrP), as well as on the unpolished surfaces (TiU, ZrU) were registered. The elements primarily included carbon (C), sodium (Na), oxygen (O), silicium (Si), and chlorine (Cl) in higher percentages (Figures 7-9). They occurred together with elements in single-digit or lower percentages as e.g. with aluminium (Al) and vanadium (Va). Both are components of milling burs utilized in CAM processes.

Aluminum is also an ingredient of polishing pastes and could have, consequently, originated from polishing procedures. While traces of sulfur seem to be residues from cleaning attempts during the main production and cleansing procedure of CAD/CAM products, traces of chlorine indicate an insufficiently removed cleansing solution during central production.

**Cell viability.** Cell viability on the surfaces of all material/surface treatment combinations (TiUS, TiUF, TiPS, TiPF, ZrUS, ZrUF, ZrPS, ZrPF) was roughly comparable to that of the cells on the non-toxic control surface, indicating a firm attachment (Figure 10). Cells on Panther polished and ultrasonically cleaned zirconia disks (ZrPF) exhibited...
significantly higher viability compared to cells on the same material but solely cleaned by steam (ZrPS), \( p=0.019 \). For the other tested material/surface treatment combinations (TiU, TiP, ZrU), no such difference was observed between the cleaning methods. Moreover, no significant differences were observed between untreated/steam cleaned (TiU) and Panther polished/ Finevo cleaned (TiPF) titanium samples as well as between untreated/ steam cleaned (ZrU, ZrUS) and Panther polished/ Finevo (ZrPF) cleaned zirconia samples.

**Gene expression.** The mRNA levels of IL-6 expressed in HGFs cultured on test and control samples of all material/surface treatment combinations (TiUS, TiUF, TiPS, TiPF, ZrUS, ZrUF, ZrPS, ZrPF) ranged between 68 and 105% of that of the cells cultured on the nontoxic control surface (Figure 11A). The mRNA levels of VEGF ranged between 50 to 98% of control cells cultured on the culture plate surface (Figure 11B). Notably, mRNA expression levels for
In the current study, steam cleaning and an antibacterial sonication cleaning process were compared regarding their effect on cells in vitro. Generally, good cell viability was observed for all tested abutment surfaces, indicating satisfactory cell attachment. The 3-step ultrasonic disinfection and cleaning protocol exhibited superior cytocompatibility on panther polished zirconia specimens, compared to conventionally steam-cleaned samples. However, only one type of cells was used in this study. It is well possible that gingival fibroblasts are not sensitive to contaminants on the surfaces of these specimens. In a previous study (11), the surfaces of zirconia and titanium samples were ultrasonically cleaned with a special washing reagent containing proteinase, detergent and EDTA, and/ or a vacuum plasma protocol. While the washing reagent led to an increased cell number on the surface, the plasma treatment did not seem to have any effect. A possible explanation is that protease and detergent are important while physical cleaning methods, such as plasma treatments, may be less effective. By contrast, another recent study showed enhanced numbers and cell spreading areas of adherent osteoblasts on plasma treated surfaces in vitro (28). Nakajima et al. also reported significantly reduced mRNA levels of IL-6 and VEGFA by either surface-treatment (11). By way of comparison, we did not find such effects with ultrasonically cleaned surfaces. However, inflammation is a complex biological process and may not be adequately assessed in simple in vitro systems.

In summary, cell attachment to the tested surfaces was generally good. For zirconia CAD/CAM disks polished by Panther Edition Lense 260 rough and 260 smooth instruments (ZrPU), sonication provided better results over the control steam cleaning procedure. For all other three material/ surface treatment combinations, no such difference was observed. The cleaning procedures utilized did not have any negative effect either. Therefore the null hypothesis could not be confirmed by the results of this study. The tested 3-step ultrasonic protocol did not universally demonstrate enhanced HGF-cell attachment and a reduced inflammatory cytokine response on CAD/CAM abutment surfaces. Further in vitro and in vivo studies are necessary to clarify this issue. More importantly, clinical outcomes of implant abutments and superstructures cleaned by various methods will provide valuable evidence as to whether a specific cleaning procedure is substantially advantageous in reducing the risk of peri-implant inflammation.
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