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ABSTRACT
Introduction: A simple, rapid, precise, accurate, sensitive and stability indicating RP-HPLC method for the determination of Pravastatin in pure and tablet dosage form.

Materials & Methods: HPLC Method was developed using Zorbax ODS (250×4.6 mm ×5 µ) with the mobile phase of 0.1% formic acid pH adjusted to 3 and methanol in the ratio 50:50 v/v. Pravastatin peak was monitored at 238 nm, and the retention time was 4.44 minutes.

Results and Discussion: ICH guidelines were followed to validate the proposed method regarding specificity, precision, linearity, accuracy, system suitability, and robustness. The method was found to be linear in the range of 10–50 µg/mL, and also the regression equation was found to be y=124936 x+19884 R²=0.997. For intra- and inter-day precision, the %RSD for Pravastatin was 1.05 and 0.917%. Percentage mean recovery was found to be 98.36%. LOD and LOQ values were 0.231 and 0.701 µg/mL, respectively. Pravastatin stability was inspected under various forced degradation conditions, and it was found to be easily degraded in acidic and basic conditions.

Conclusion: The developed method was found to be having a suitable application for routine quality control analysis of Pravastain in pharmaceutical formulations.
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INTRODUCTION
Pravastatin is chemically known as(3R,5R)-7-[(1S,2S,6S,8S,8aR)-6-hydroxy-2-methyl-8-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoyl]oxy]-1,2,6,7,8,8a-hexahydropyridazin-1-yl]-3,5-dihydroxyheptanoic acid. Pravastatin (Figure 1) is a specific inhibitor of the hepatic HMG-CoA reductase in humans. The inhibition of this enzyme produces a reduction in cholesterol biosynthesis as HMG-CoA reductase activity is an early-limiting step in cholesterol biosynthesis. Pravastatin is also used to lower the risk of stroke, heart attack, and other heart complications.

Literature survey reveals that very few HPLC methods were reported to estimate Pravastatin in pharmaceutical dosage forms. In the present work an attempt has been made to develop a novel, rapid and economic RP-HPLC method for estimation of Pravastatin in pure and tablet dosage form.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Instrument
Agilent 1260 infinity binary pump HPLC equipped with PDA detector and EZ Chrom open lab software was used for chromatographic studies. The column used was Zorbax ODS with dimensions 250 mm×4.6 mm ×5 µ.

Chemicals
Pravastatin pure drug was purchased from Yarrow Chemicals, Mumbai. HPLC grade methanol, formic acid, and all other chemicals were purchased from Merck Limited, Mumbai. Triple distilled water was used throughout the study. Pravastatin tablets were procured from local pharmacy.

Preparation of Standard Stock Solution
A standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of Pravastatin in 10 mL mobile phase, then sonicated for about 10 minutes to get the primary standard stock solution containing 1000 µg/mL of Pravastatin. Working standard solution was prepared by further dilution with mobile phase.
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Preparation of Sample Solution
5 tablets of Pravastatin were weighed and crushed to a fine powder, and a quantity of tablet powder equivalent to 10 mg of PRT was transferred to 10 mL volumetric flask and dissolved in the mobile phase, and the volume was adjusted up to the mark with mobile phase. The mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min with intermittent sonication to ensure complete dissolution. The resulting solution was filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane filter. The filtrate was diluted further with the mobile phase to get the working sample solution.

Mobile Phase
A 50:50 v/v of 0.1% formic acid pH was adjusted to 3 and methanol was mixed to get the mobile phase. The mobile phase was then filtered through a 0.22 μm nylon membrane vacuum filtration and degassed by sonication.

Detection of Wavelength
The spectrum of diluted solutions contains 10 µg/mL of Pravastatin in mobile phase were recorded separately on UV spectrophotometer and the solutions were scanned between 200-400 nm by using mobile phase as blank. The peaks of maximum absorbance wavelengths were observed. The maximum wavelength was found to be 238 nm for Pravastatin.

Results
Method Development
Several concurrent trials developed the proposed method to establish the preferred chromatographic conditions, which would be helpful to conduct a complete validation study. The mobile phase for consisting of 0.1% Formic acid (pH 3): methanol (50:50 v/v) at 1-mL/min flow rate and detection wavelength 238 nm was optimized, which gave sharp peak, minimum tailing factor with short run time for Pravastatin. The retention time for Pravastatin was found to be 4.44 minutes (Figure 2).

Method Validation
System Suitability
Standard solutions were prepared as per the test method and injected into the chromatographic system. The system suitability parameters like theoretical plates, resolution and asymmetric factor were evaluated. The results were presented in Table 1.

Specificity
The specificity was studied to examine the presence of interfering components, while in the comparison of Chromatograms, there was no interference from blank and standard Chromatogram.

Linearity
Linearity was performed by preparing a standard solution of PRT at different concentration levels, i.e., 10–50 µg/mL. The absorbance was measured at 238 nm. Each measurement was carried out in triplicate. Linearity was proven by regression analysis by the least square method. The correlation coefficient and linearity results were presented in Table 2, and the linearity curve was represented in Figure 3.

Precision
Precision was studied to find out intra-day and inter-day variation in the test methods of PRT for 6 times on the same day and different day. The intra-day and inter-day precision obtained was %RSD (<2.0) indicates that the proposed method is quite precise and reproducible, and results are shown in Table 3.

Accuracy
The accuracy of the method was determined by the standard addition method. A known standard drug was added to the fixed amount of pre-analyzed drug sample solution. The standard addition method was performed at three concentration levels in triplicate at 50%, 100%, and 150%. Percent recovery (Table 4) was calculated by comparing the peak area before and after adding the standard drug.

Robustness
To demonstrate the method’s robustness, prepared solution as per test method and injected at different variable conditions like using different conditions like flow rate and wavelength. The results for robustness are represented in Table 5.

Figure 1: Structure of Pravastatin

Figure 2: Optimized Chromatogram of Pravastatin
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Table 1: Results for system suitability of PRT

| Injection | Retention time (min) | Peak area (µg/mL) | Theoretical plates (TP) | Tailing factor (TF) |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1         | 4.441                | 2791515           | 4280                    | 1.52                |
| 2         | 4.44                 | 2683204           | 4235                    | 1.5                 |
| 3         | 4.427                | 2786415           | 4257                    | 1.52                |
| 4         | 4.44                 | 2795612           | 4264                    | 1.51                |
| 5         | 4.44                 | 2767821           | 4238                    | 1.52                |
| 6         | 4.442                | 2714561           | 4216                    | 1.54                |
| 7         | 4.443                | 2745923           | 4251                    | 1.52                |
| 8         | 4.44                 | 2789632           | 4236                    | 1.51                |
| 9         | 4.442                | 2754926           | 4285                    | 1.5                 |
| 10        | 4.441                | 2762451           | 4269                    | 1.48                |
| Mean      |                      | 2759206           | -                       | -                   |
| %RSD      | 0.1025               | 1.328             | -                       | -                   |

Table 2: Results for Linearity of PRT

| S. No. | Conc. (µg/mL) | Peak area (µg/mL) | Statistical Analysis |
|--------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1      | 0            | 0                 | Slope 124936          |
| 2      | 10           | 1425912           | Intercept 198484      |
| 3      | 20           | 2791515           | Regression equation  y = 124936x + 1984744 |
| 4      | 30           | 4027939           | Correlation coefficient R² = 0.997 |
| 5      | 40           | 5107667           |                       |

Table 3: Results for linearity of PRT

| Recovery/Spike level at about (%) | Amount of PRT added (ppm) | Conc. Found (µg/mL) | % Recovery | % Mean recovery |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 50                                | 10                        | 4192561             | 9.82       | 98.25           |
| 50                                | 10                        | 4211562             | 9.95       | 99.58           |
| 50                                | 10                        | 4206123             | 9.92       | 99.91           |
| 100                               | 20                        | 5593021             | 20.071     | 100.35          |
| 100                               | 20                        | 5582362             | 19.99      | 99.97           |
| 100                               | 20                        | 5572431             | 19.92      | 99.6            |
| 150                               | 30                        | 6791026             | 29.78      | 99.29           |
| 150                               | 30                        | 6746342             | 29.54      | 98.18           |
| 150                               | 30                        | 6765923             | 29.6       | 98.67            |

Table 4: Results for accuracy of PRT

| S. No. | Parameter | Optimised | Used | Rt (min) | Peak area | %RSD |
|--------|-----------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|------|
| 1      | Flow rate | 0.8 mL/min| 0.8 mL/min | 4.52 | 2789632 | 0.52 |
| 2      | Wavelength| 230 nm    | 236 nm     | 4.46 | 2689732 | 0.51 |
| 3      | Mobile phase| MeOH:Buffer (70:30) | 68.32 | 4.5 | 2658127 | 0.39 |

Table 5: Results for robustness of PRT

Ruggedness
The analysis of samples confirmed that the ruggedness of the method. Samples of 100 µg/mL concentration were analyzed by different analysts. It was observed that there were no marked changes in absorbance, which demonstrated that the developed method was rugged in nature.
Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification

Limit of detection (LOD) is defined as the lowest concentration of analyte that gives a detectable response. Limit of quantification (LOQ) is defined as the lowest concentration that can be quantified reliably with a specified level of accuracy and precision. The results are furnished in Table 6.

Assay of Tablet Dosage Form

The assay results of Pravastatin in tablet dosage form were comparable with the value claimed on the label. The obtained results were presented in Table 7 indicating the suitability of the method for routine analysis. The sample chromatogram is shown in Figure 4.

Degradation Studies

Acid Degradation Studies

To 1-mL of stock solution of Pravastatin, 1-mL of 2N Hydrochloric acid was added and refluxed for 30 minutes at 60°C. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain 10 µg/mL solution, 10 µL of that solution was injected into the system, and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of the sample (Table 8).

Alkali Degradation Studies

To 1-mL of stock solution of Pravastatin, 1 mL of 2N sodium hydroxide was added and refluxed for 30 minutes at 60°C. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain 10 µg/mL solution, 10 µL of that solution was injected into the system and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of the sample.

Oxidative Degradation Studies

To 1-mL of stock solution of Pravastatin, 1-mL of 20% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) was added separately. The solutions were kept for 30 min at 60°C. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain 10 µg/mL solution, and 10 µL solution was injected into the system and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of the sample.

Neutral Degradation Studies

Stress testing under neutral conditions was studied by refluxing the drug in water for 6 hours at a temperature of 60°C. For HPLC study, the resultant solution was diluted to 10 µg/mL solution and 10 µL were injected into the system and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of the sample.

Summary

The method was developed using C¹⁸ (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µ) as stationary phase with mobile phase containing mixture of 0.1% formic acid (pH 3) and methanol (50:50). The eluted compound was monitored at 238 nm. The developed method was validated for specificity, linearity, precision, accuracy, limit of detection, limit of quantification, and robustness as per approved ICH guidelines. The results were summarized in Table 9.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrated a validated stability-indicating RP-HPLC method to estimate Pravastatin available...
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as a tablet dosage form. The method was completely validated and showed satisfactory results. The method was free from the interference of the other active ingredients and additives used in the formulation. The RP-HPLC method for estimating Pravastatin has various advantages: low solvent consumption, less retention time, excellent peak symmetry, highly sensitive, precise, accurate, and robust. Hence it can be concluded that this method may be employed for the routine quality control analysis of Pravastatin in active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and pharmaceutical preparations.

REFERENCES

1. DB Chastain, KR Stover, DM Riche: Evidence-based review of statin use in patients with HIV on antiretroviral therapy. Journal of Clinical Translational Endocrinology. 2017; 22(8):6-14.
2. T Hatanaka. Clinical pharmacokinetics of Pravastatin: mechanisms of pharmacokinetic events. Clinical Pharmacokinetics. 2000; 39(6): 397-412.
3. SM Singhvi, HY Pan, RA Morrison, DA Willard: Disposition of Pravastatin sodium, a tissue-selective HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, in healthy subjects. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 1990; 29(2):239-243.
4. Önal A, Sagirli O. Development of a selective LC method for the determination of pravastatin sodium. Chromatographia. 2006;64(3):1-6.
5. FP Gomes, PL Garcia, JMP Alves, AKSingh, ERMK Hackmann and MRM Santoro. Development and Validation of Stability-Indicating HPLC Methods for Quantitative Determination of Pravastatin, Fluvastatin, Atorvastatin, and Rosuvastatin in Pharmaceuticals. Analytical Letter. 2009; 42(12):1784-1804.
6. ND Raj, S Kumaravel, M ramalingam and S Narayan. Reverse Phase HPLC Method for the Determination of Pravastatin in Tablet Dosage Forms. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2010; 1(2):187-189
7. Doshi DB, Bhatt PA. Development and Validation of Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography Method for the Estimation of Pravastatin Sodium in Tablet Dosage Form. Asian Journal of Chemistry. 2010; 3(1):197-300.
8. Jacob J, Vishal JM. Development and Validation Analytical Methods for Pravastatin. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2013;6(10):741-746.
9. ICH, Validation of analytical procedures: Text and Methodology. International Conference on Harmonization, IFPMA , Geneva , (1996).