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Abstract: In the past decades, the taxonomic status of the cyanobacterial family Phormidiaceae has always been chaotic and problematic. In this study, filamentous cyanobacteria were investigated in the east of China, and twenty strains isolated from different locations of Zhejiang Province were characterized. Using the polyphasic approach combining morphological, molecular and phylogenetic features, these strains were grouped within the members of the genera *Ancylothrix* and *Potamolinea*, the newly recorded genera of cyanobacteria in China. Based on the collected taxonomic information of the family Phormidiaceae, cyanobacterial systematics at family level were further discussed. This study provided a simple and efficient example to perform the phylogenetic evaluation for the monophyly and rationality of currently used families of cyanobacteria by using the regional strains based on the polyphasic approach.
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1. Introduction

The cyanobacterial taxonomic system has encountered rapid and radical revisions during the last decades [1–4]. Such revisions, through intensive usage of the polyphasic approach combining morphological, ecophysiological, biochemical and molecular characters, led to the establishment of a large number of new genera and species [5–8]. The updated cyanobacterial taxonomy summarized by Komárek et al. (2014) proposed an eight-orders, 46 families and 202 genera system, in which the eight-ordered frame was obtained by phylogenomic analyses. This new system further presented a detailed and effective guideline to characterize the cyanobacterial genera and provided evaluation of the present status of all the 202 cyanobacterial genera mainly based on the availability of molecular data. However, the monophyly at the family level in this system was not discussed even though all the families were described in this system, and such an evaluation on the status of all the families are highly dependent on premised work on the cyanobacterial genera. In order to achieve the ideal taxonomic system of cyanobacteria at all categories, the polyphasic approach should also be used in the studies on the cyanobacterial families, especially some important ones.

The family Phormidiaceae, separated from the family Oscillatoriaceae, was created by Anagnostidis and Komárek (1988) in their monograph titled “modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes 3-Oscillatoriales”. With *Phormidium* as the type...
genus, Phormidiaceae was further divided into three subfamilies as Phormidioideae, Microcoleoideae and Spirulinoideae, including many important genera such as Planktothrix, Trichodesmium, Microcoleus, Spirulina and Arthrospira. In “system of cyanoprokaryotes (cyanobacteria) state in 2004, Hoffman et al. (2005) indicated that the higher-level cyanobacterial classification system did not reflect the evolutionary history of the cyanobacteria and needed to be revised, and they retained the family Phormidiaceae in Oscillatoriales, Oscillatoriophycidae in their revised system. Ten years later, the family Phormidiaceae was not included in the updated taxonomic system of cyanobacteria proposed by Komárek et al. (2014) since the authors indicated that the type species of Phormidium (P. lucidum) was considered to correspond to the family Oscillatoriaceae. Later, several taxonomic studies with descriptions of new cyanobacterial genera/species clearly belonging to the family Phormidiaceae were published [9,10]. Moreover, many cyanobacterial studies still retained the name of Phormidiaceae [11–14]. In particular, AlgaeBase (https://www.algaebase.org/, accessed on 12 April 2022) currently recognizes the existence of the family Phormidiaceae with a total of five extant genera, including Ammassolinae [15], Ancylothrix [9], Cephalothrix [16], Potamolinea [10] and Pseudoscillatoria [17], with reliable molecular data, and the genus Phormidium was not included in Phormidiaceae in the AlgaeBase. Such conflicting points led to certain confusion about the existence or non-existence of the family Phormidiaceae. Therefore, it is much needed to evaluate the family Phormidiaceae with regards to its existence and phylogeny by using the polyphasic approach.

During an investigation on filamentous cyanobacteria in China, twenty strains from three cyanobacterial samples were isolated at different localities of Zhejiang Province. Phylogenetic analyses showed that these strains were very closely related to the genera Ancylothrix and Potamolinea, both of which were newly recorded genera of cyanobacteria in China. The present study focused on a taxonomic discussion of the cyanobacterial systematics at the family level, with the aim to explore the relationship among different families by using the strains studies in this study. The results and discussion are expected to help the evaluation of the existence of Phormidiaceae as a family in Oscillatoriaceae.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cyanobacterial Collection and Cultivation

Twenty cyanobacterial strains studied in this work were isolated from two localities in Zhejiang Province of China. Detailed information about the habitat of studied strains is summarized in Table 1. Benthic mat samples near to riverbank and soil samples (Figure 1) were scraped using a tweezer or scraper and live samples were washed thoroughly with sterile water before isolation. A single trichome from the pretreated samples were isolated by lab-made Pasteur pipette under a 40 times magnification dissecting microscope (Carl Zeiss STEMI 508, Jena, Germany) and then moved to 24-well plates containing sterilized liquid CT medium for the unialgal culture [18]. After two or three weeks, the blue-green and uncontaminated cultures, after checking, were transferred into screw-capped tubes containing sterilized liquid CT medium for the unialgal culture [18]. After two or three weeks, the blue-green and uncontaminated cultures, after checking, were transferred into screw-capped tubes containing sterilized liquid CT medium for the unialgal culture [18]. After two or three weeks, the blue-green and uncontaminated cultures, after checking, were transferred into screw-capped tubes containing sterilized liquid CT medium for the unialgal culture [18]. After two or three weeks, the blue-green and uncontaminated cultures, after checking, were transferred into screw-capped tubes containing sterilized liquid CT medium for the unialgal culture [18].

Table 1. Locality and habitat where the cyanobacterial strains in this study were collected.

| Strains       | Habitat | Locality               | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) |
|---------------|---------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| WZU 0009, 0011, 0013, 0014 | Benthos | Feiyun River Basin     | 27° 66.82'   | 120° 05.64'   |
| WZU 0153, 0154       | Soil    | Dahongyan Scenic Area  | 28° 81.28'   | 119° 66.22'   |
| WZU 0155-0168       | Benthos | Niutou Mountain National Forest Park | 28° 66.76'   | 119° 49.78'   |
Figure 1. The habitats of studied strains. (a) Benthic mat samples near to riverbank including Phormidium-like sp. 1 strains. (b) Soil samples including Phormidium-like sp. 2 strains. (c) Benthic mat samples at the bottom of the streams including Phormidium-like sp. 3 strains. (d) Macroscopic view of all WZU strains.

2.2. Morphological Observation

All the live cultures were examined with a LEICA DM2000 LED microscope (Leica, Germany). Microphotographs were produced using a LEICA DMC 5400 digital camera (Leica, Germany) photomicrographic system attached to the microscope and the images were analyzed using Leica Application Suite X 3.7.4 software. Measurements of unialgal cellular morphology were made from digital images taken under 1000 times magnification and the mean width of vegetative cells of more than 60 filaments were measured.

2.3. DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification

A certain amount of filament was collected and was washed three times using sterile phosphorus-free sterilized liquid CT medium to avoid contamination with other bacteria. Total genomic DNA from the strains were extracted using the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method [19]. The PCR primers, PA [20] and B23S [21], were chosen for obtaining segments containing 16S ribosomal RNA gene and the associated 16S–23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region. The total PCR reaction volume of 50 µL comprised 1 µL of template DNA, each primer (10 µmol L⁻¹) for 1 µL, 22 µL sterile water and 25 µL 2× Taq Plus Master Mix (Dye Plus) (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China). PCR amplification was performed using a SimpliAmp™ Thermal Cycler (Waltham, MA,
USA) with a PCR profile of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, 31 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, 2 min at 72 °C and then a final 10 min elongation step at 72 °C.

PCR products were purified using a TIANgel Midi Purification kit (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and were then cloned using pClone007 Versatile Simple Vector Kit (Beijing Tsingke Biotech CO., Ltd., Beijing, China). The target gene fragment was inserted into *Escherichia coli* DH5α cells for replication, and then the inserted fragment was sequenced bidirectionally by using the standard primers M13F (5′-GTA-AAA-CGA-CGG-CCA-GT-3′) and M13R (5′-GTC-ATA-GCT-GTT-TGC-TCC-TG-3′). Clones including the target fragment were sequenced by the Wuhan Tianyi Huayu Gene Technology (Wuhan, China). All sequences obtained in this study were submitted to the NCBI GenBank database after being checked and under following accession numbers in Tables 2 and 3.

### Table 2. Analyses on ITS of 16S–23S region for *Ancylothrix* strains.

| Strain   | GenBank      | Complete ITS (nt) | D1–D1′ Helix (nt) | tRNA^Ile^ | tRNA^Ala^ | Box–B Helix (nt) | Box–A Helix (nt) | D4 | V3 Helix (nt) | D5 |
|----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----|--------------|----|
| WZU 0009 | OL742575     | 441               | 57                | +         | +         | 39              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| WZU 0011 | OL742572     | 441               | 57                | +         | +         | 39              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| WZU 0013 | OL742573     | 445               | 59                | +         | +         | 39              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| WZU 0014 | OL742574     | 441               | 57                | +         | +         | 40              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| 7PC      | KT819196     | 437               | 57                | +         | +         | 40              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| 8PC      | KT819197     | 438               | 57                | +         | +         | 40              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| 9PC      | KT819198     | 436               | 56                | +         | +         | 40              | 12              | 7  | 43           | 19 |
| 10PC     | KT819199     | 515               | 54                | +         | +         | 38              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| 11PC     | KT819200     | 364               | 63                | +         | -         | 39              | 12              | 7  | 12           | 17 |
| 12PC     | KT819201     | 409               | 66                | +         | -         | 33              | 11              | 8  | 44           | 16 |
| 13PC     | KT819202     | 516               | 54                | +         | +         | 38              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 21 |
| WZU 0153 | OM237453     | 511               | 54                | +         | +         | 36              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0154 | OM237454     | 511               | 54                | +         | +         | 36              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |

### Table 3. Analyses on ITS of 16S–23S region for *Potamolinea aerugineoacerulea* strains.

| Strains | GenBank     | Complete ITS (nt) | D1–D1′ Helix (nt) | tRNA^Ile^ | tRNA^Ala^ | Box–B Helix (nt) | Box–A Helix (nt) | D4 | V3 Helix (nt) | D5 |
|---------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----|--------------|----|
| 1PC     | KX001786    | 372               | 63                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 30           | 22 |
| 2PC     | KX001787    | 372               | 63                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 30           | 22 |
| 3PC     | KX001788    | 372               | 63                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 30           | 22 |
| WZU 0155 | OM237439    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0156 | OM237440    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0157 | OM237441    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0158 | OM237442    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0159 | OM237443    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0160 | OM237444    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0161 | OM237445    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0162 | OM237446    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0163 | OM237447    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0164 | OM237448    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0165 | OM237449    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0166 | OM237450    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0167 | OM237451    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |
| WZU 0168 | OM237452    | 394               | 61                | +         | -         | 49              | 12              | 7  | 54           | 22 |

### 2.4. Phylogenetic Analyses

The obtained 16S rRNA gene sequences of this study were compared by using the online tool nucleotide BLAST and the highly similarly sequences of 16S rRNA gene were downloaded from the NCBI GenBank database and used for phylogenetic analyses. All downloaded sequences and obtained sequences were aligned using MAFFT v7.463 [22] and
cut both ends neatly by using BioEdit v7.0.9 [23]. Sequences of *Gloeobaacter violaceus* and *Gloeobaacter kilaeuensis* were used as outgroups. After this, multiple sequence alignment finally formed a data matrix of 108 sequences with 1071 nucleotide sites. The nucleic acid substitution model (GTR + F + R4) was selected for the optimal maximum likelihood analysis (ML) and the other model (GTR + F + 4) was selected for the optimal Bayesian analysis (BI). Both BI and ML analysis were conducted on the basis of Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in PhyloSuite v1.2.2 molecular phylogenetic platform [24]. The specific operation parameters of substitution models were individually estimated by IQ-TREE v2.1.3 [25,26] and MrBayes v3.2.7 [27]. For the ML analysis, a total of 1000 bootstrap replications were made under standard option. BI analysis comprised two parallel runs for 10,000,000 generations, sampling every 100th generation, in which the initial 25% of sampled data were discarded as burn-in. Neighbor-Joining (NJ) analyses were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter model with 1000 bootstrap replications in MEGA 11 [28]. Phylogenetic trees were visualized in FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/, accessed on 22 March 2022), and all obtained were edited by TreeView 1.6.6 software [29]. Genetic distances of similarity matrices of the 16S rRNA gene sequences were estimated using the Kimura 2-parameter model in MEGA 11 to calculate p-distance with pairwise deletion of gaps.

2.5. Analyses of 16S–23S Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS)

The presence of tRNA gene sequences were tested with the tRNAscan-SE2.0 web server [30]. The conserved hypothetical secondary structures were constructed using S-fold web-based software [31] and adjusted in the Adobe illustrator 2020 software.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological Description

**Diagnosis:** The twenty strains isolated in this study were similar to *Phormidium* in morphological and ecological characteristics, and the phylogenetic analysis showed that these strains were close to the species of the genera *Ancylothrix* (WZU 0009, WZU 0011, WZU 0013, WZU 0014, WZU 0153, WZU 0154) and *Potamolinea* (WZU 0155–WZU 0168). According to their morphological and ecological differences, these strains were divided into three different species.

3.1.1. *Phormidium*-like sp. 1

**Description:** In the natural environment, thallus is macroscopic, with dark-green filaments forming benthic mats on submerged substrata. Filaments are bright-green or dark-green. Sheaths are very thin, colorless and hyaline. Trichomes are cylindrical, 4.6–7.1 µm wide, 3.3–6.0 µm long, shorter than wide to isodiametric, with a single filament growing, contracting slightly at the crosswalls, and the ends of filaments gradually become sharp and undirected left and right curved. Most of the apical cells are conical-rounded and narrowed, without calyptra (Figure 2). Reproduction is by fragmentation of trichomes at necridic cells. They are without heterocytes, aerotopes and akinetes.
Figure 2. Light microscopy of *Phormidium*-like sp. 1 strains: (a–f) The filaments are densely entangled with each other; (g–j) different shapes of filaments, some apical cells rounded, some apical cells conical-rounded and narrowed; (k,l) trichomes slightly constricted at the crosswalls; (m–s) details of the conical-rounded and narrowed apical cells; (t,u) details of the thin and colorless sheath. Scale bars: (a,b) = 50 μm, (c–j) = 20 μm, (k–u) = 10 μm.

Habitat: River benthos near to riverbank.

References: WZU 0009, WZU 0010, WZU 0013, WZU 0014.
Habitat: River benthos near to riverbank.
Reference strains: WZU 0009, WZU 0010, WZU 0013, WZU 0014.

3.1.2. *Phormidium*-like sp. 2

Description: Thallus is macroscopic forming bright-green compact biofilms on a xerophytic environment. Sheaths are very thin, colorless and hyaline. Through observation, sheaths are more obvious than *Ancylothrix rivularis* in laboratory culture. Filaments are olive-green or dark-green. Trichomes are cylindrical, 3.8–6.1 μm wide and 2.9–6.4 μm long, shorter than wide to isodiametric, with a single filament growing, contracting slightly at the crosswalls and the ends of filaments gradually become sharp and undirected left and right curved. Most of the apical cells are conical-rounded and narrowed, a small number of apical cells are rounded, without calyptra (Figure 3). Reproduction is by fragmentation of trichomes at necridic cells. Heterocytes, aerotopes and akinetes were not observed under culture conditions.

![Figure 3. Light microscopy of *Phormidium*-like sp. 2 strains: (a–c) Represent twisted or entwined filaments; (d–g) trichomes wrapped in the sheath; (h,i) trichomes slightly constricted at the crosswalls; (j–r) the details of various diversiform of apical cells. Scale bars: (a–c) = 50 μm, (d–r) = 10 μm.](image)

Habitat: Attached on rock surfaces.
Reference strains: WZU 0153, WZU 0154.
3.1.3. *Phormidium*-like sp. 3

Description: Thallus is attached to the substrate, dark-green. Trichomes are densely entangled with each other. Sheaths are hyaline, colorless and thin. Trichomes are cylindrical and vegetative cells are usually cylindrical, 6.8–10.6 µm wide and 4.5–7.8 µm long, shorter than wide. Trichome has a single filament growing, contracting slightly at the crosswalls, and the ends of filaments gradually become sharp (Figure 4). Most of the apical cells are rounded, a small number of the apical cells are conical-rounded and narrowed, without calyptra. Reproduction is by the disintegration of trichomes at the necridic cells. Heterocytes, aerotopes and akinetes were not observed.

**Figure 4.** Light microscopy of *Phormidium*-like sp. 3 strains: (a–c) Numerous trichomes are densely entangled with each other; (d,e) detail of the sheath attached to filaments; (f–n,p–r,t) the details of various diversiform of apical cells; (o,s) detail of biconcave necridic cells. Scale bars: (a,b) = 50 µm, (c,e) = 20 µm, (d,f–t) = 10 µm.

Habitat: At the bottom of the stream.
Reference strains: WZU 0155-WZU 0168.
3.2. Molecular and Phylogenetic Analyses

The phylogenetic analyses of the 16S rRNA gene confirmed that the studied strains were a well supported clade among genera *Ancyclothrix* and *Potamolinea*. In total, 108 sequences from the order Oscillatoriales were used based on NJ, ML and BI methods to construct the 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic trees (Figure 5) in order to better understand the strains from Phormidiaceae in the present and investigate their relationships in the order Oscillatoriales, including in the families Coleofasciculaceae, Desertifilaceae, Microcoleaceae and Oscillatoriae and all genera from Phormidiaceae. In this study, six strains (WZU 0009, 0011, 0013, 014, 0153, 0154) with the strains of two species, *Ancylithrix rivularis* and *Ancylithrix terestris*, were placed in a clade based on the phylogenetic tree with high bootstrap values of 99%/100%/1.00 supported by the NJ/ML/BI approaches. Pairwise p-distance analysis of the 16S rRNA gene can also be used as evidence in phylogenetic comparison [32]. The 16S rRNA gene similarities between *Phormidium*-like sp. 1 and *Ancylothrix rivularis* strains, and *Phormidium*-like sp. 2 and *Ancylothrix terestris* strains were all >99%, higher than 97%—the threshold of bacterial genus classification (Table 4). Phylogenetic analyses placed the other fourteen WZU strains (0155–0168) with *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* in a robust clade; all the species from species *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* shared 98.13%–100% similarities, also higher than the threshold of bacterial species classification (Table 5). Therefore, based on phylogenetic analysis, we determined that *Phormidium*-like sp. 1–3 represent the three species *Ancylothrix rivularis*, *Ancylothrix terestris* and *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea*, respectively.

### Table 4. Comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity among all *Ancylothrix* strains.

| Strains          | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| WZU 0009         | 99.74                                       |
| WZU 0011         |     | 99.57                                      |
| WZU 0013         | 99.66                                      |
| WZU 0014         | 99.91                                      |
| 1PC              |     | 99.74                                      |
| 2PC              |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 3PC              |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 4PC              |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 5PC              |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 6PC              |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 7PC              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 8PC              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 9PC              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 10PC             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 11PC             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| 12PC             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 99.74                                      |
| WZU 0153         | 95.94                                      |
| WZU 0154         | 95.93                                      |

### Table 5. Comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity among all *Potamolinea aerugineoaerugineocaerulea* strains.

| Strains          | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. *P. aerugineoaerugineocaerulea* 1PC | 99.93 |
| 2. *P. aerugineoaerugineocaerulea* 2PC |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3. *P. aerugineoaerugineocaerulea* 3PC | 99.46 | 99.52 |
| 4. WZU 0155      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 |
| 5. WZU 0156      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 |
| 6. WZU 0157      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 |
| 7. WZU 0158      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 8. WZU 0159      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 9. WZU 0160      | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 10. WZU 0161     | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 11. WZU 0162     | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 12. WZU 0163     | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 13. WZU 0164     | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 14. WZU 0165     | 98.20 | 98.27 | 98.49 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 15. WZU 0166     | 98.13 | 98.20 | 98.42 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 | 99.46 |
| 16. WZU 0167     | 98.20 | 98.27 | 98.49 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 | 99.53 |
| 17. WZU 0168     | 98.41 | 98.48 | 98.69 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.53 | 99.46 | 99.53 |

Figure 5. Bayesian inference (BI) phylogenetic tree of WZU strains based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown on the BI tree for NJ/ML methods and Bayesian posterior probabilities, clade A–E represent Potamolinea, Cephalothrix, Ancylothrix, Ammassolinea, Pseudoscillatoria. Bar, 0.03 substitutions per nucleotide position.
3.3. Analyses of ITS between 16S and 23S rRNA Gene and Secondary Structures

The 16S–23S ITS secondary structures were compared among Ancyclothrix and Potamolinea aerugineoaerulescens in this study. The ITS region can be used as a tool to distinguish closely related organisms [33,34]. Specific information of the various parts of the 16S–23S ITS region is summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

For genus Ancyclothrix, all sequences of the six strains contained both tRNA^Ile and tRNA^Ala. Compared with the original seven strains, the D1–D1′, Box–B and V3 helix (nt) of all thirteen strains exhibited eight (Figure 6), six (Figure 7) and seven (Figure 8) different types. For genus Potamolinea, all strains contained only tRNA^Ile without tRNA^Ala, and regardless of whether D1–D1′, Box–B or V3 helices, WZU strains showed their uniqueness (Figure 9).

Figure 6. D1–D1′ helix of Ancyclothrix strains: (a) Ancyclothrix rivularis WZU 0009, 0011, 0013, 0014; (b) Ancyclothrix rivularis WZU 0013; (c) Ancyclothrix rivularis 7PC, 8PC; (d) Ancyclothrix rivularis 9PC; (e) Ancyclothrix terrestris 10PC, WZU 0153, WZU 0154; (f) Ancyclothrix terrestris 13PC; (g) Ancyclothrix terrestris 11PC; (h) Ancyclothrix terrestris 12PC.

Figure 7. Box–B helix of Ancyclothrix strains: (a) Ancyclothrix rivularis WZU 0009, 0011, 0013, 0014; (b) Ancyclothrix rivularis 7PC, 8PC, 9PC; (c) Ancyclothrix terrestris 10PC, 13PC; (d) Ancyclothrix terrestris WZU 0153, 0154; (e) Ancyclothrix terrestris 11PC; (f) Ancyclothrix terrestris 12PC.
Figure 8. V3 helix of Ancylothrix strains: (a) Ancylothrix rivularis WZU 0009; (b) Ancylothrix rivularis WZU 0011, 0013, 0014 and Ancylothrix rivularis 7PC, 8PC, 9PC; (c) Ancylothrix terrestris 10PC; (d) Ancylothrix terrestris 13PC; (e) Ancylothrix terrestris WZU 0153, 0154; (f) Ancylothrix terrestris 11PC; (g) Ancylothrix terrestris 12PC.

Figure 9. D1–D1’ helix of Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea: (a) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 1PC, 2PC; (b) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 38PC; (c) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea WZU strains. Box–B helix of Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea: (d) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 1PC; (e) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 2PC; (f) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 38PC; (g) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea WZU strains. V3 helix of Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea: (h) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 1PC; (i) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 2PC; (j) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea 38PC; (k) Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea WZU strains.
The studied D1–D1′ helix showed conserved secondary structures in strains from the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade, and variable secondary structures in strains from the *Ancylothrix terrestris* clade. WZU0009, 0011 and 0014 share the same structure (Figure 6a). For the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade, the main difference lies in the terminal loop: the number of unpaired bases A and C have a slight difference (Figure 6a–c). For the *Ancylothrix terrestris* clade, WZU 0153, 0154 and 10PC, and 13PC share the same structure (Figure 6e,f). 11PC and 12PC have a clear difference to the other four strains. (Figure 6g,h). For the *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* clade, the strains in this study showed a clear difference from the three original strains. WZU strains consisted of one 4-bp helix, one 5-bp helix, one small unidirectional bulge, one 2-bp helix, one 3:3 bp base bilateral bulge, one 3-bp helix, one 4:1 unidirectional bulge, one 5-bp helix and one 4-bp apical loop (Figure 9c).

The studied Box–B helix showed higher conserved secondary structures in strains from the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade than the D1–D1′ helix, and WZU strains shared the same structure (Figure 7a); other original strains shared the structure also (Figure 7b). The secondary structures between the two types showed high similarity. There are slight differences in their respective base bilateral bulge. For the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade, WZU strains share the same structure with 10PC and 13PC; the main difference lies in the terminal loop and the number of unpaired bases had a slight difference (Figure 7c,d). 11PC and 12PC have a clear difference from the other four strains. (Figure 7e,f). For the *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* clade, WZU strains had their own unique Box–B helix, consisting of one 5-bp helix, one 1:2 bp base bilateral bulge, one 3-bp helix, one small unidirectional bulge, one 7-bp helix and 15-bp unpaired bases in the terminal loop (Figure 9g).

The studied V3 helix showed the highest conserved secondary structures in strains from the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade. Except for WZU 0009, all other strains in the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade shared the same structure (Figure 8b); WZU 0009 had a one 2:3 bp base bilateral bulge (5′-GG-GAA-3′) (Figure 8a), while all other strains in the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade at the same place had a one 2:3 bp base bilateral bulge (5′-GG-AAA-3′) (Figure 8b). For the *Ancylothrix rivularis* clade, WZU strains share the same structure with 10PC and 13PC (Figure 8c–e), whereas, in the terminal loop, the unpaired bases arrangement had a slight difference (C-U). For the *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* clade, WZU strains have their own unique V3 helix, consisting of one 2-bp helix, one 4:4 bp base bilateral bulge, one 8-bp helix, one 1:1 bp base bilateral bulge, one 4-bp helix, one 1:1 bp base bilateral bulge, one 5-bp helix and 4-bp unpaired bases in the terminal loop, which differ significantly from other strains in the *Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea* clade (Figure 9h–k).

4. Discussion

With the advent of phylogenetic analyses based on molecular sequence data, extensive revision and reconstruction of cyanobacterial classification have been performed in recent years. An ideal cyanobacterial taxonomic system in which all categories should be monophyletic has been repeatedly proposed [2,35,36]. As we described above, the order-level and genus-level classification in the newly revised system of cyanobacteria have been well documented [32]. However, phylogenetic elucidation and evaluation on the monophyly of cyanobacterial groups at a family level have barely been conducted, implying that taxonomic revision of the cyanobacterial families may be enforced in the future. In this study, we aimed to investigate the diversity of oscillatorean cyanobacteria in the east of China. Through isolating filamentous strains from mat-forming habitats, twenty strains with *Phormidium*-like morphologies were successfully obtained. Previous studies on the *Phormidium*-like group based on phenotypic and genotypic data, mostly using 16S rRNA gene sequences, have revealed a wide diversity and heterogeneity, leading to the establishment of several new genera such as *Roseofilum* [37], *Ammassolinea* [15] and *Kamptonema* [38]. DNA sequence-based comparison and phylogenetic analyses in this study revealed these twenty strains as belonging to the two newly proposed genera *Ancylothrix* and *Potamolinea*, respectively. Furthermore, the secondary structures of ITS also supported their taxonomic positions decided by the 16S rRNA gene sequences. Both *Ancylothrix* and
Potamolinea were found in China for the first time, and they were added as new recorded genera of cyanobacteria in China. Ancylothrix was newly described by Martins et al. (2016) from samples in southern Brazil and was further found to contain two internal groups: one group represents a species with only freshwater occurrences and the other group with only aerophytic populations, both corresponding to Ancylothrix rivularis and A. terrestris, respectively. The finding of the genus Ancylothrix in the present study with two species and habitats was exactly the same as that by Martins et al. (2016), reflecting the common and stable features of the genus Ancylothrix [9]. Similarly, the genus Potamolinea was created by Martins and Branco (2016) from ten populations of bottom streams in Brazil, with further description of two species. Potamolinea aerugineocaerulea, found in the present study, was very similar to that described by Martin and Branco (2016) in ecological and phylogenetic aspects [10].

The modern taxonomic system of cyanobacteria is superior to the traditional systems because it more closely reflects the phylogeny. However, the delimitation of cyanobacterial family is still unclear. This is indeed the case for the family Phormidiaceae. Continuous changes along the history of Phormidiaceae, from the beginning of the family in 1988 to its disappearance in 2014 by Komárek et al. (2014), and the current retention of this family in many studies, have resulted in much confusion and uncertainty for the cyanobacterial taxonomic system. The genera Ammassolinea [15], Ancylothrix [9], Cephalothrix [16] and Potamolinea [10] were founded after Komárek et al. (2014), but the inventors of those new genera only considered the morphological similarity to the polyphyletic genus Phormidium without taking into account the fact of the absence of the family Phormidiaceae in Komárek et al. (2014). In order to evaluate the monophyly of the family Phormidiaceae, the phylogenetic tree, based on a large number of 16S rRNA gene sequences including those from type species of both Oscillatoria and Phormidium, was obtained (Figure 5). As shown in Figure 5, the strains of different Phormidium species including the type species, were shown to be diversely and sparsely located at different clades, indicating Phormidium as a largely heterogeneous genus. Furthermore, phylogenetic evaluation showed that the five extant genera within the family Phormidiaceae in AlgaeBase did not group together, and were diversely distributed at different clades, corresponding to Oscillatoriaceae, Microcoleaceae and Coleofasciculaceae, respectively (Figure 5). Obviously, the family Phormidiaceae currently used is far from the monophyly based on the phylogenetic evaluation. With the combination of the above results and analyses, the conclusion was drawn that the family Phormidiaceae should not exist in the current taxonomic system of cyanobacteria. The results and conclusion strongly support the taxonomic removal of the family Phormidiaceae in the revised taxonomic system by Komárek et al. (2014), clearly preventing further confusion in determining the taxonomic belonging of Phormidium-like cyanobacteria.

The taxonomic revision of cyanobacteria at genus and species levels based on the polyphasic approach have been largely performed and emphasized during the last decades, but there are fewer studies on taxonomic revisions at family level and elucidation for family delimitation. The present study provided a simple and efficient example to perform phylogenetic evaluation for the monophyly and rationality of currently accepted families of cyanobacteria by using the regional strains based on the polyphasic approach.
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