Electron-Like Fermi Surface and Remnant ($\pi, 0$) Feature in Overdoped La$_{1.78}$Sr$_{0.22}$CuO$_4$
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We have performed an angle-resolved photoemission study of overdoped La$_{1.78}$Sr$_{0.22}$CuO$_4$, and have observed sharp nodal quasiparticle peaks in the second Brillouin zone that are comparable to data from Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ (BSCCO) and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-y}$ (YBCO). In these systems, however, additional features derived from complicated crystal structures, such as the Bi-O superstructures in BSCCO and the Cu-O chains in YBCO, have made the analysis rather complicated. In particular, the Bi-O superstructure complicates the interpretation of spectra around ($\pi, 0$), resulting in the controversy over the Fermi surface geometry of La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO), by virtue of the absence of these effects and the availability of high quality single crystal samples over the entire doping range, provides the opportunity to advance our understanding of the high temperature superconductors. In the underdoped regime, earlier studies have uncovered the presence of two electronic components, a systematic suppression of the spectral weight near ($\pi/2, \pi/2$) (when compared with that of overdoped samples or BSCCO for data taken under the same conditions), and striped Fermi surface segments near ($\pi, 0$) of width $\sim \pi/2$, which have been interpreted as evidence for electronic inhomogeneities. In the overdoped regime, an electron-like Fermi surface was observed in the high-$T_c$ superconductors for the first time, but despite this progress, important problems remain. Since ARPES data from underdoped samples are very broad, worries about the sample quality persist. There are also questions about the effects of the photoemission matrix element, which makes it difficult to extract quantitative information about stripe effects on nodal spectral weight by comparing the experiments and theoretical calculations that predict suppression. We address these important questions by performing a detailed study of overdoped LSCO ($x=0.22$) where the stripe effects are expected to be weak, and have the aid of reliable band structure calculations (unlike the case of BSCCO). We performed ARPES in three Brillouin zones (BZ) and performed numerical simulations to investigate the matrix element effects. In the second BZ, using a favorable polarization, we have identified a sharp spectral feature along the diagonal direction in this sample that is comparable to that of BSCCO. This observation demonstrates that the surface quality of LSCO is comparable to that of BSCCO and gives credence to LSCO data in the underdoped region, since the sample quality of LSCO in the underdoped region is expected to be improved with decreasing Sr content. Our detailed analysis shows that the compound has an electron-like Fermi surface with additional spectral weight near ($\pi, 0$), which is the remnant of the flat band feature in the underdoped samples. The detailed comparison of experiment and simulation also provides a better understanding of the matrix element effect in these experiments.

The ARPES measurements were carried out at BL10.0.1.1 of the Advanced Light Source, using incident photons with an energy of 55.5 eV. We used a SCIENTA SES-200 spectrometer in angle mode, where one can collect spectra over $\sim 14$ degrees, corresponding to a momentum width of $\sim 1.1 \pi$ (in units of $1/a$, where $a \sim 3.8 \AA$ is the lattice constant). The total energy and momentum resolution was about $20 \text{ meV}$ and $0.02\pi$, respectively. We studied high quality single crystals of LSCO with $x=0.22$ grown by the traveling-solvent floating-zone method. The measurements were performed in an...
ultra high vacuum of $10^{-11}$ Torr at 20 K and the samples were cleaved \textit{in situ}. The position of the Fermi level $(E_F)$ was calibrated with gold spectra.

Figure 1 shows energy distribution curves (EDC’s) for two different experimental geometries along the directions shown in the right panel. In both geometries, the light is incident to the surface with an incident angle of $\sim 7^\circ$. The EDC’s in geometry I were measured by rotating the sample, which causes the changes in the in-plane $E$-vector of the incident photons as shown in the right panel. On the other hand, in geometry II, the spectra were measured by moving the analyzer, and therefore the in-plane $E$-vector is fixed. While in geometry I, the $E$-vector was almost normal to the sample surface, resulting in a small in-plane $E$ component, in the geometry II, the $E$-vector was almost parallel to the sample surface. Panels (a) and (d) show ARPES spectra around the $(\pi, 0)$ point. These spectra show a single peak with little dispersion, which will be addressed in the discussion below as a remnant of the "flat band" feature appearing below $E_F$ even for $x < 0.2$.[8] Panels (b) and (e) give the nodal cut $[(0,0) \text{ to } (\pi, \pi)]$ in the first BZ for each geometry. One can see that panel (e) shows a dispersive feature while panel (b) shows almost no corresponding spectral feature, due to transition matrix element effects. Thus, compared to the previous results, we could observe the nodal feature in the first BZ more clearly in geometry II. Furthermore, as shown in panels (c) and (f), we found that the nodal state feature become stronger in the second BZ, particularly in geometry II. As a whole, geometry II gives clearer dispersive features, probably because the in-plane $E$-vector is much larger than that in geometry I. These results show that there are significant matrix element effects which should be carefully considered in order to extract intrinsic information. It should be emphasized that the intensity of the first BZ nodal state in underdoped LSCO is still much weaker than that in BSCCO or overdoped LSCO observed under the same experimental conditions. These relative changes in data with doping under the same experimental geometry are intrinsic but the matrix element effect makes quantitative analysis more difficult.

One may suspect that the weak dispersion of the features in underdoped LSCO, particularly in the nodal direction, may be due to inferior surface quality (roughness, defects etc.). The present results have shown that the sharpness of the nodal feature seen in geometry II is comparable to that in BSCCO, which demonstrates the high quality of the LSCO surfaces. One can expect that the sample quality decreases with increasing $x$ because of the disorder introduced by Sr and the difficulty in the crystal growth due to the Sr solubility limit. In fact, underdoped samples give better cleavage than overdoped samples, which possibly indicates the better surface quality for the underdoped samples. Therefore, the ARPES results in the underdoped region, which show broad features around $(\pi, 0)$ and interpreted as a two-component feature, should be reliable results.

The band dispersions along the $(0,0)$ to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0,0)$ to $(\pi, \pi)$ directions, which have been derived from the ARPES spectra by taking the second derivatives, are shown in Fig. 2. In going from $(0,0)$ to $(\pi, 0)$, the band reaches $E_F$ at $k_\pi \sim 0.8\pi$ but substantial spectral weight remains below $E_F$ up to $(\pi, 0)$. However, as shown in the inset, the peak in the momentum distribution curves (MDC’s) clearly crosses the Fermi level around $(0.85\pi, 0)$ concomitant with the decrease of spectral weight for $k_x > 0.85\pi$. This behavior is not seen in optimally-doped and underdoped LSCO along the $(0,0)$-$(\pi, 0)$ line.[8] The LDA band calculation also predicts an electron like Fermi surface for $x \geq 0.1$,[8, 21] although the calculation shows finite band dispersions along the $c$-axis and the Fermi surface is somewhat $k_z$-dependent. The $k_z$-dispersion is expected to be strongly renormalized in real materials.[8] Therefore, we state that the $x = 0.22$ has an electron-like Fermi surface centered at $(0,0)$. The red line shows a tight-binding fit to the experimental band dispersion with parameters $E_{p\pi} - E_d = 1.2$ eV, $t_{pd\pi} = 0.5$ eV, $E_{xy} \equiv t_{pp\pi}/2 - t_{py\pi}/2 = 0.15$ eV. Here, $t_{pd\pi}$ and $E_{xy}$ are the transfer integrals for the nearest-neighbor O 2$p_{\pi}$-Cu 3$d_{xy}$-O 2$p_{\pi}$ and O 2$p_{\pi}$-O 2$p_{\pi}$ overlap, respectively. The best fit values for $t_{pd\pi}$ and $E_{xy}$ are much smaller than those obtained from a tight-binding fit to the LDA band-structure calculation due to the band narrowing corresponding to the mass enhancement by a factor of $\sim 3$ in the overdoped region.[8]

Figure 3 shows the spectral weight plot integrated over a 30 meV window around the Fermi level [(a), (c)] for both geometries and simulations of the spectral weight plot for each geometry including transition matrix element effects [(b), (d)] (see below). Integrating over the nar-
row window of the order of the energy resolution makes it possible to obtain the spectral weight at $E_F$, which approximately represents the Fermi surface. The Fermi surfaces at $k_z = 0$ and $\pi/c$ from the band-structure calculation for La$_{2-x}$M$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.2$) [3], as well as the Fermi surface from the present tight-binding fit are superimposed on Fig. 2(a). As a whole, they well describe the global features related to the Fermi surface obtained from ARPES. In particular, looking at the nodal direction in the second BZ, they agree well with each other. The volume enclosed by the tight-binding Fermi surface is $S_{FS} \approx 0.8 \times 2 \pi^2$, which satisfies Luttinger’s sum rule $S_{FS} = 2 \pi^2 (1 - x)$ within experimental accuracy.

Here, we have performed simulations of spectral weight distribution including matrix element effects by using the same method described in [24]. The simulation method which we apply here has given a good account of the photoemission results on graphite [25] and TaSe$_2$. Therefore, this is a good starting point to understand the matrix element effect in the present ARPES results, at least qualitatively. First, we consider the initial state $|i> = (1/\sqrt{N}) \sum_{i,j} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_i \phi_i (r - \mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{r}_j)$ as the two-dimensional tight-binding ground state, where $\phi_i$ is the atomic orbital Cu 3$d_{x^2-y^2}$ or O 2$p_x, p_y$. The matrix element factor is given by $M(k) = |a_{d_{x^2-y^2}} A_{d_{x^2-y^2}} + a_{p_x} A_{p_x} + a_{p_y} A_{p_y}|^2$, where $A_{d_{x^2-y^2}}, A_{p_x}, A_{p_y}$ are angular distribution factors from each atomic orbital Cu 3$d_{x^2-y^2}$, and O 2$p_x, 223 p_y$ $a_{d_{x^2-y^2}}, a_{p_x}, a_{p_y}$ have been determined from the tight-binding fit to the dispersion of ARPES result as shown in Fig. 2. The spectral function $A(k, \omega)$ of the tight-binding band was approximated by broadening the $\delta$-function with width of $\omega^2$, where $\omega$ is in units of eV. Then, the simulated momentum distribution of spectral weight is given by $n(k) = M(k) \int I(k, \omega) d\omega$, where $I(k, \omega)$ is spectral weight broadened by energy resolution of 20 meV.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), in geometry I, the nodal states in the first BZ show almost no spectral weight while those in second BZ are enhanced, consistent with the experimental data in Fig. 3(a). This implies that the suppression in geometry I compared to geometry II is caused by matrix element effects. According to the simulation, the smaller matrix elements in the first BZ are a result of the combination of the symmetry of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and the large out of plane component in the $E$-vector. The enhancement in the second BZ may be caused by the angular distribution factor of the three atomic orbitals, because they have a small emission probability for small angles when the $E$-vector is vertical to the surface. In geometry II, we can see the clear dispersion and spectral weight in the nodal state in the first BZ as shown in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. 3(c). The simulation in Fig. 3(d) shows an enhancement of the spectral weight in the $(0, 0) - (\pi, \pi)$ direction compared to the nodal states of the first BZ in geometry I, which qualitatively agrees with the experimental results.

While the overall features in the experimental results agree with the simulation as shown above, there was still a discrepancy between them regarding the spectral weight distribution near $(\pi, 0)$. Here, we discuss the possible origin of the strong spectral weight around the $(\pi, 0)$ point which cannot be described within the simple two dimensional electron-like Fermi surface picture. As shown in Fig. 4, the spectral weight distribution around $(\pi, 0)$ shows a relatively straight contour along the $k_x$ direction, which is slightly narrower than that in Nd-LSCO ($(k_y, \pi/4)$ [24]. This spectral weight distribution is very similar to that of the flat band which appears be-

![FIG. 2. Energy dispersion and a tight-binding fit for La$_{1.78}$Sr$_{0.22}$CuO$_4$. The gray plot in the inset was obtained by normalizing the spectra to the peak in each MDC.](image-url)
low $E_F$ for smaller $x$. Presumably the $(\pi,0)$ flat band feature which exists in the optimum and underdoped regions does not completely lose its spectral weight even for $x = 0.22$ (and probably for $x = 0.3$, see data in Ref.\cite{fretwell}), where the saddle point is located above $E_F$. Therefore, the spectral weight around $(\pi,0)$ appears as a remnant of the “flat band”. Recently, there have been debates as to whether there exists an electron-like Fermi surface in BSCCO or not\cite{fretwell}. While this discussion has been complicated by the Bi-O superstructures, the present results from overdoped LSCO clearly shows an electron-like Fermi surface with much less ambiguity. One may suspect that the matrix element may suppress spectral weight only around the $(\pi,0)$ point making the hole-like Fermi surface appear electron-like. However, as far as the matrix element simulation using the simple tight-binding scheme is concerned, such a suppression localized in momentum space around $(\pi,0)$ is difficult to explain. This is consistent with an earlier calculation\cite{fretwell}. In the case of BSCCO, the possibility of additional states at $(\pi,0)$ has been proposed\cite{fretwell}. In the present case, strong intensity around $(\pi,0)$, which is not predicted by the simulation, always exists irrespective of polarization geometries, while the nodal states are strongly affected by matrix element effects. This implies an intrinsic unusual electronic structure such as stripes associated with the “flat band” feature.

As seen in Fig. \ref{fig:fig4}, we have shown that the electronic structure of LSCO with $x = 0.22$ shows two features. One is the nodal Fermi surface which is found to be consistent with the band structure calculation. The other is the remnant flat band which gives rise to a straight segment of spectral weight near the $(\pi,0)$ region. These two features are qualitatively similar to those observed in Nd-LSCO and LSCO with $x = 0.15$ samples\cite{fretwell}, though quantitatively the flat band effect in LSCO with $x = 0.22$ is weaker. This dual nature of the electronic structure can be explained in terms of order-disorder stripes competition\cite{fretwell}. The origin of the nodal state can be understood by considering strongly disordered stripes and/or weakened stripe order. In the latter case, one may begin to recover the underlying band structure. The underlying electronic structure will manifest itself stronger as the charge ordering effect becomes weaker in LSCO with $x = 0.22$.

In conclusion, we have unambiguously observed an electron-like Fermi surface in slightly overdoped LSCO with $x = 0.22$, which agrees with the band-structure calculation. By utilizing the matrix element effects, we have obtained clear dispersion and sharp peak features for the nodal states comparable to BSCCO. The transition matrix element effects have been discussed by simulations, which account for the enhancement in the second BZ and for different geometries. Although the stripe effect in the present sample is weaker, the observation of the nodal spectral weight and the straight segment near $(\pi,0)$ is consistent with the picture of order-disorder competition of stripes in the system.
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\caption{Contour plot of the spectral weight shown in Fig. \ref{fig:fig3}c symmetrized with respect to the $\Gamma$ point. Red curves show the electron-like Fermi surface obtained from the fit to the experiment as shown in Fig. \ref{fig:fig2}. Shaded regions around $(\pi,0)$ reflect a remnant of the “flat band”.
\label{fig:fig4}}
\end{figure}
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