A targeting black phosphorus nanoparticle based immune cells regulator for photodynamic/photothermal and photo-immunotherapy
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ABSTRACT

Photo-immunotherapy is a novel therapeutic approach against malignant tumors with minimal invasiveness. Herein, a targeting multifunctional black phosphorus (BP) nanoparticle, modified by PEGylated hyaluronic acid (HA), was designed for photothermal/photodynamic/photo-immunotherapy. In vitro and in vivo assays indicated that HA-BP nanoparticles possess excellent biocompatibility, stability, and sufficient therapeutic efficacy in the combined therapy of photothermal therapy (PTT) and photodynamic therapy (PDT) for cancer therapy. Moreover, the results of in vitro showed that HA-BP down-regulated the expression of CD206 (M2 macrophage marker) by 42.3% and up-regulated the ratio of CD86 (M1 macrophage marker) by 59.6%, indicating that HA-BP nanoparticles have functions in remodeling tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) phenotype (from pro-tumor M2 TAMs to anti-tumor M1 macrophages). Fluorescence (FL) and photoacoustic (PA) multimodal imaging confirmed the selective accumulation of HA-BP in tumor site via both CD44+ mediated active targeting and the pass EPR effect. In vitro and in vivo studies suggested that the combined therapy of PDT, PTT and immunotherapy using HA-BP could not only significantly inhibit original tumor but also induce immunogenic cell death (ICD) and release Damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which could induce maturation of dendritic cells (DCs) and activate effector cells that robustly evoke the antitumor immune responses for cancer treatment. This study expands the biomedical application of BP nanoparticles and displays the potential of modified BP as a multifunctional therapeutic platform for the future cancer therapy.

1. Introduction

In present clinical practices, traditional cancer treatment strategies including chemotherapy, surgery and radiotherapy have various limitations such as invasiveness, limited treatment efficiency, inevitable toxic effects caused by the lack of tumor specific targeting and risk of tumor recurrence [1–3]. Hence, it is necessary to exploit original nanomaterials and advanced methods for cancer treatment. Phototherapy, including PTT and PDT mediated by nanomaterials, has aroused considerable interest with its advantages such as low systemic cytotoxicity, high spatiotemporal selectivity, non-invasiveness and minimal trauma [4,5]. For phototherapy, photosensitizers (PS) or photothermal agents (PTA) absorb the energy of incident light and produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) or local heating to cause tumor cells apoptosis [6–9]. The combined therapy of PDT and PTT is a desirable therapeutic method, because PDT could disturb tumor physiology by interfering with tumor microenvironment (TME) to increase the sensitivity of tumor cells to PTT [10]. Meantime, heat produced by PTT can increase blood flow and thus improve oxygen supply to enhance the therapeutic outcomes of PDT [11]. In addition, the tumor cell debris produced by phototherapy could act as tumor-associate antigens and cause anti-tumor immune responds to eliminate residual and metastatic cancer cells [12,13]. However, the effect of phototherapy is severely influenced by the tissues penetration depth of NIR light, and anti-tumor immune effect induced by phototherapy is not enough to alleviate the immuno-suppression of tumor microenvironment [14]. Therefore, a combination of photo-immunotherapy and modulation of the TME could be a desirable strategy to improve the anti-tumor effect.

Among various PDT and PTT agents, black phosphorus (BP) as a notionally two-dimensional (2D) layered inorganic material has been widely used as nano agents for tumor therapy due to its excellent electrical conductivity, optical properties and low toxicity [15–18]. In particular, BP with ultra-small sizes gained more attention due to its higher photothermal conversion efficiency and faster clearance [19]. However, the application of ultra-small BP is limited due to several inherent drawbacks such as instability and poor targeting capability.
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factor (TNF), reactive nitrogen and oxygen species (such as NO, H2O2, cytokines (such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-12, IL-23 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)), reactive nitrogen and oxygen species (such as NO, H2O2, iNOS and superoxide), resulting in an antitumor effect [31,32]. In contrast, M2 macrophages release pro-tumor cytokines (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, growth factors, matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and so on) in tumor microenvironment, to promote tumor progression and metastasis [33]. Hence, coconstantaneous re-regulating macrophage subtype (from pro-tumor M2 to antitumor M1) can be an efficient strategy for tumor immunotherapy [34–36]. To re-educate pro-tumor M2 TAMs to antitumor M1 macrophages, many mediators such as ferumoxytol [34], histone deacetylase inhibitors [36] and chloroquine [37] are used to improve antitumor efficiency. As a polysaccharide, HA has been applied diffusely for the advanced drug and gene delivery systems because of its biocompatibility, biodegradability, non-immunogenicity, and tumor targeting specificity (CD44+ receptor) [38,39]. Besides, it has proved that HA with different molecular weights could serve as an important immunoregulator to promote different inflammatory factors and signals, low molecular weight HA (MW < 5 kDa) can induce the conversion of TAMs into M1 macrophages, while high molecular weight HA (> 800 kDa) can promote the conversion of TAMs into M2 macrophages [40,41]. In addition, Chen et al. [42] have proved that low molecular weight HA modified nanoparticles can reverse TAMs from M2 into M1 macrophages to improve the tumor therapeutic efficiency.

In this study, low molecules weight HA was used to modify BP nanoparticles to improve the stability and targeting specificity of BP as well as remodel the phenotype of TAMs. As shown in Scheme 1, BP nanoparticles were prepared via a liquid exfoliation approach, which was further modified with mPEG-NH2 by the electrostatic adsorption between negatively-charged BP and positively charged mPEG-NH2. Afterwards, BP-mPEG nanoparticles were coated with HA by an amido linkage between amino BP-mPEG with carboxylic HA. We hypothesized that HA (MW = 5000 Da) modified BP nanoparticles (HA-BP) possessed high photothermal conversion efficiency and excellent photothermal stability. HA modification can not only enhance CD44 receptor-mediated endocytosis of BP nanoparticles, but also can repolarize TAMs from the M2 phenotype towards the M1 phenotype to significantly improve tumor immunotherapeutic efficacy. Besides, phototheraphy could elicit immunogenic cell death (ICD) to mediate anti-tumor immunity, the dying cells could secrete Damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), including surface-exposed calreticulin (CRT), ATP, and high-mobility group protein B1 (HMGB1), to promote prominent DC maturation, and then induced the activation of specific effector T cells (such as CD4+ /CD8+ T cells) to further eliminate tumor cells [43,44]. In general, this multifunctional nanomaterial can achieve combined PDT/PPT therapy and immunotherapy under the guidance of photoacoustic and fluorescence dual-modality imaging.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

The BP bulk crystals were obtained from Nanjing MKNANO Tech Co. Ltd (purity ≥ 99.995%). N-methyl-2-pyridolidone (NMP ≥ 99.5%), methoxypolyethylene glycol amine (mPEG-NH2, MW = 5000), thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT) and diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) were obtained from Aladdin Reagents. Sodium hyaluronate (HA, MW = 5000 Da) was purchased from Bloomage Freda Biopharm. Carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCL), N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 2’, 7’-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), Fluorescein isothiocyanate isomer (FITC), methylene blue (MB) and coumarin-6 (C6) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Fetal bovine serum (FBS), H-DMEM, trypsin-EDTA, 4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and Calcein AM/PI were purchased from Gibico Life Technologies. Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit was bought from BD Pharmingen. Mice Anti-CD86/PE and mice Anti-CD206/FITC antibody were purchased from BioLegend Co. Ltd. Recombinant Murine IL-4 was obtained from Pepro Tech Co. Ltd (USA) and Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was bought from Solarbio Life Sciences. Rabbit anti-calreticulin (anti-CRT) antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (USA). ATP Determination Kit and HMGB1 ELISA Kit were purchased from Life technologies (USA).

2.2. Synthesis of HA-BP nanoparticles

The BP nanoparticles were prepared from bulk black phosphorus through the sonication liquid exfoliation. Briefly, solid BP was dispersed in NMP (1 mg/mL). The mixture was sonicated with an ultrasonic cell disruption apparatus (1200 W, S-4000, with probe) in an ice bath for 10 h, then with an ultrasonic cleaning for 10 h (300 W). Afterwards, the resulted mixture was centrifuged (4000 rpm, 10 min) to remove the oversized bulk BP. The suspension was further centrifuged (13,000 rpm, 30 min) and the precipitate was washed with deionized water and freeze-dried in vacuum.

BP nanoparticles (20 mg) and mPEG-NH2 (20 mg) were dispersed into deionized water and sonicated for 30 min and then stirring for 4 h. The mixture was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min three times to remove unreacted mPEG-NH2 and freeze-dried to get mPEGylated BP nanoparticles (BP-mPEG). To get HA-BP, 20 mg BP-mPEG and 20 mg HA were dissolved into 20 mL of formamide and sonicated for 30 min, followed by addition of EDC·HCL (138.4 mg) and NHS (82.4 mg) and stirring. Afterwards, trimethylamine (180 μL) was added dropwise into the above activated mixture under 4 °C, which was continuously stirred for 12 h. Pre-cooled acetone (80 mL) was added into the mixture in an ice bath to crystallize floc formed of HA-BP. The mixture was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min three times and freeze-dried to obtain the HA-BP nanoparticles.

To determine the ratio of mPEG-NH2 coated on the BP nanoparticles, FITC-PEG-NH2 was used instead of mPEG-NH2, the preparation method of FITC-PEG/BP was as same as the BP-mPEG. Then the fluorescence peaks at 560 nm was used to determine FITC concentration by a fluorescence spectrometer (FSS, Edinburch), the ratio of FITC-NH2 coated on the BP nanoparticles was calculated by the formula: loading efficiency = \( \frac{W_{FITC-PEG-NH2}}{W_{FITC-PEG-NH2} + W_{BP}} \).

Similarly, the amount of HA coated on BP-mPEG was also determined via the same technology. HA-FITC was used instead of HA, the ratio of HA-FITC coated on the BP-mPEG nanoparticles was calculated by the formula: loading efficiency = \( \frac{W_{HA-FITC}}{W_{HA-FITC} + W_{BP-mPEG}} \).

2.3. Characterization

Morphology of BP and HA-BP was observed by transmission electron microscope (TEM) on a H7650 transmission electron microscope (Japan). The UV–vis spectra was performed with a DU-730 spectrophotometer (Beckman, USA). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) was detected by a Magna-560 spectrometer. The hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential of the nanoparticles were determined using Nano zetaziser (Malven, UK). The crystal structure was monitored by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a XTRA diffractometer (ARL, Switzerland). X-
Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was evaluated by a Thermo Scientific instrument (ESCALab 250, USA).

2.4. Evaluation of the photothermal effect and photostability in vitro

The photothermal effects of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles induced by 808 nm laser irradiation were investigated. Sample (2 mL) with various concentrations in transparent quartz plate were irradiated with 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 10 min, the temperature was monitored by a thermometer.

The photothermal stability of BP and HA-BP with the same concentration of BP (50 μg/mL) was investigated by irradiating with 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 5 min and cooling to initial temperature without irradiation, which repeated five times. To examine the influences of ambient conditions on the stability of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles, the BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were dispersed in water and their photothermal heating curves were recorded after incubation for various periods of time (0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 days) and then exposed to 808 nm laser irradiation for 10 min.

For in vitro photostability, the BP and HA-BP nanoparticles with the same concentration of BP (50 μg/mL) were dispersed in water and maintained in a closed tube, then the dispersion was exposed 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 10 min and then exposed to 808 nm laser irradiation for 10 min. TEM.

For in vitro imaging, PA signal of various concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.4 and 0.5 mg/mL of HA-BP nanoparticles was detected at 690 nm by a preclinical photoacoustic computerized tomography scanner (Vevo 2100 LAZR, Visual Sonics).

2.5. Evaluation of singlet oxygen

DPBF was employed as a chemical probe to evaluate the generation of ROS. In brief, BP or HA-BP (BP: 50 μg/mL) was mixed with DPBF anhydrous ethanol solution (20 μg/mL). The resulting mixture was kept in darkness and irradiated with lasers at 635 nm (0.5 W/cm²) and 808 nm (1.5 W/cm²), respectively. The UV–vis spectra of DPBF was recorded at about 410 nm at various time points (0, 5, 10, and 20 min).

Singlet oxygen quantum yields (ΦΔ) were also detected by monitoring the DPBF. Briefly, the oxygen-saturated solution containing BP or HA-BP (BP: 50 μg/mL) or methylene blue (MB) mixing with DPBF (20 μg/mL) were kept in the dark and irradiated with 635 nm laser (0.5 W/cm²) or 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 3 min in an interval of 30 s. The ΔOD of DPBF oxidation at 410 nm was detected by UV–vis spectrophotometer. The ΦΔ values of BP and HA-BP were evaluated by the MB in DMF (ΦΔ = 0.52) as the standard [45,46].
2.6. Tumor-associated macrophage polarization

RAW264.7 cells were incubated in DMEM medium (containing 1 μg/mL of LPS) for 48 h to generate M1 macrophages, and were treated with 50 ng/mL of IL-4 for 24 h to obtain M2 macrophages. Then, the resulted macrophages were stained with CD86/PE (dilution 1:200) and CD206/FITC (dilution 1:100) double dye for 30 min. After being washed twice with PBS, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then stained with 10 μg/mL DAPI for 10 min. The staining results were observed via confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM, TCS sp5, Leica). Besides, in order to quantitative determination of the conversion ratio, RAW264.7 cells were treated LPS or IL-4 and stained with CD86/PE and CD206/FITC double dye for 30 min. Finally, the ratio of M1/M2 was measured by flow cytometry and CLSM.

2.7. Determination the level of IL-10 and IL-12

To obtain M2 phenotype macrophages, RAW264.7 cells were incubated with IL-4 (concentration: 50 ng/mL) for 24 h, and then treated with HA, BP or HA-BP (BP concentration: 50 μg/mL) for 4 h to polarize to M1 macrophages, laser groups were exposed to 808 nm (1.5 W/cm², 3 min) and 635 nm dye for 30 min. After that, the cells were washed twice with PBS and collected. The M1/M2 ratio was assessed by flow cytometry (FACS Calibur, BD). Furthermore, to investigate whether HA-BP nanoparticles could prime M2 macrophages toward M1 macrophages, M2 macrophages were incubated with HA, BP or HA-BP (HA and BP concentration: 50 μg/mL, HA-BP concentration: 100 μg/mL) for 3 h, laser groups were exposed to 808 nm (1.5 W/cm², 3 min) and 635 nm (0.5 W/cm², 5 min) laser. Then washed with PBS, the cells were incubated with CD86/PE and CD206/FITC double dye for 30 min. Finally, the ratio of M1/M2 was measured by flow cytometry and CLSM.

2.8. In vitro cellular uptake

The cellular internalization by 4T1 cells was evaluated by flow cytometry and CLSM. Briefly, 4T1 cells were incubated with BP/C6 or HA-BP/C6 (concentration of BP: 50 μg/mL) at 37 °C for various time intervals. Besides, to verify the targeting specificity, 4T1 cells were pre-cultured with HA (concentration: 100 μg/mL) for 3 h and then incubated with HA-BP/C6 at the same dose for different time. Then, the cells were washed twice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. Afterwards, the cells were stained with 10 μg/mL DAPI solution for 10 min and imaged by CLSM. The final quantitative analysis was conducted by flow cytometry.

2.9. In vitro cellular ROS and thermal imaging study

DCFH-DA was applied to assess the level of intracellular ROS. Firstly, 4T1 cells were treated with BP or HA-BP with same concentration of BP (50 μg/mL) for 4 h, then cultured with DCFH-DA for 30 min. Afterwards, the cells of laser groups were exposed to 635 nm laser (0.5 W/cm²) for 5 min or 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 2 min. The cells were washed twice with PBS and stained with DAPI (10 μg/mL) for 10 min. The fluorescence images were examined by CLSM, and the flow cytometry was also utilized to quantify the production of ROS.

To evaluate the photothermal effect of HA-BP in 4T1 cells, the cells were incubated in a 6-well plate and treated with BP and HA-BP (BP concentration: 50 μg/mL) for 4 h, followed by replacing with fresh culture DMEM medium. Then the plates were irradiated with 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) for 3 min, the infrared thermal imaging camera (Ti27, Fluke) was utilized to record the change of temperature.

2.10. In vitro live/dead state detection

Live/dead state detection was carried out in 12-well plates by Calcein-AM/PI staining Kits. 4T1 cells were treated with BP and HA-BP (BP concentration: 50 μg/mL) for 4 h, the laser groups were irradiated with 635 nm (0.5 W/cm², 5 min) and 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm², 2 min). After incubation for 24 h, the cells were cultured with Calcein-AM (0.67 μmol/L) and PI (1.5 μmol/L) in Buffer solutions for 15 min. The fluorescence images were recorded with a fluorescence microscope.

2.11. Wound healing assay

Before wound healing assay, condition medium was prepared in advance. Firstly, RAW264.7 cells were incubated with IL-4 for 24 h to obtain M2 macrophages, then old medium was replaced with fresh DMEM medium containing 50 μg/mL of BP or HA-BP. After incubation for 4 h, the medium was collected as the corresponding condition medium. Simultaneously, M2 medium without treatment was defined as M2 condition medium.

Wound healing assay was conducted to measure the effect on 4T1 cells migration capacity after different treatments. In brief, 4T1 cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/per well) were plated in a 6-well plate for 24 h, the cell monolayers were wounded and incubated with fresh serum-free medium. After that, the cells were incubated with M2, BP condition medium or HA-BP condition medium. The healing status were observed at 0 and 24 h. Migration rate of 4T1 cells was computed by the formula: Migration = [(Scratch area at 0 h - Scratch area at 24 h)/Scratch area at 0 h] × 100%.

2.12. Motility and invasion assay

Motility and invasion assay were implemented via transwell experiment to detect the metastasis and invasion capacity of 4T1 cells. For the cell motility assay, 4T1 cells (5 × 10⁵ cells/per well) were seeded in the upper transwell chambers and were incubated with fresh serum-free DMEM medium, M2 condition DMEM medium, BP condition DMEM medium or HA-BP condition DMEM medium. Then fresh DMEM medium containing 600 μL of 10% FBS was added into the lower chamber. After incubated for 24 h, removed un-migrated cells on the upper surface of the membrane, and the cells of the lower side of the membrane were fixed, stained with crystal violet and observed by a microscope (NIKON TS-100). Finally, 35% acetic acid was utilized to dissolve the stained cells and the final absorbance was detected at 570 nm. The cell invasion assay was performed in the same way but with a matrigel-coated transwell chamber. Invasion and migration rates were computed as the ratio of OD value of experiment group to that of control group.

2.13. Cytotoxicity evaluation

Preparation of different condition medium: RAW264.7 cells were incubated with IL-4 for 24 h, then old medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 50 μg/mL of BP or HA-BP, the medium was collected as condition medium after cells was incubated for 4 h. Meanwhile, M2 medium without treatment was defined as M2 condition medium, and M1 medium without treatment was defined as M1 condition medium.

The cytotoxicity of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles was detected by MTT assay. Briefly, 4T1 cells were treated with BP or HA-BP at different concentrations of BP (5, 20, 50 or 100 μg/mL) and exposed to 635 nm (0.5 W/cm², 5 min) and 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm², 2 min) laser, respectively. Followed by incubated for 24 h, the fresh DMEM medium containing MTT (5 mg/mL) was added and continued to be cultured for another 4 h. Then removed the medium and added 150 μL of DMSO per well, the OD value at 570 nm was recorded with microplate system. In addition, the cytotoxicity of M1, M2, BP condition medium (BP + M2)
or HA-BP condition medium (HA-BP + M2) on 4T1 cells was also evalu-
ated by MTT method.

2.14. Cell apoptosis assay

4T1 cells were incubated with BP, HA-BP, BP condition medium
(BP + M2) or HA-BP condition medium (HA-BP + M2) for 4 h
and then exposed to laser as in section 2.14. Followed by incubation
for 24 h, the cells were incubated with anti-CRT antibody for 30 min
and collected. The samples were detected using flow cytometry. The
fluorescence intensity signal was detected by a flow cytometer.

2.15. In vitro detection of ICD biomarkers

The membrane surface-exposure of CRT was firstly analyzed by flow
cytometry. Briefly, 4T1 cells were treated with BP, HA-BP, BP condition
medium (BP + M2) or HA-BP condition medium (HA-BP + M2) for 4 h
and then exposed to laser as in section 2.14. Followed by incubation
for 24 h, the cells were incubated with anti-CRT antibody for 30 min
and collected. The samples were detected using flow cytometry. The
HMGB1 Elisa Kit and ATP Determination Kit were utilized to determine
the extracellular release of HMGB1 and ATP, respectively. Typically,
HMGB1 Elisa Kit and ATP Determination Kit were utilized to determine
the extracellular release of HMGB1 and ATP, respectively. Typically,
4T1 cells were treated with BP or HA-BP nanoparticles for 4 h, and the
laser was performed according to MTT assay. After incubation for 24 h,
the supernatant medium was analyzed via HMGB1 Elisa Kit and ATP
Determination Kit, respectively.

2.16. In vitro DC maturation

DC maturation experiments were investigated by transwell method.
Briefly, 4T1 cells were plated in upper chamber of a 24-well plate at a
cell density of 5 × 10^4 cells per well, and DCs were seeded at 1 × 10^5
cells per well in lower chamber. After co-cultured for 12 h, BP
and HA-BP were added into upper chambers at the same concentrations
of BP (50 μg/mL). 4T1 cells were treated with the laser after 4 h co-
incubation as in section 2.14. After incubation for 48 h, DCs were in-
cubated with anti-CD86/PE and MHCII/FITC antibodies for 30 min and
then exposed to laser as in section 2.14. Followed by incubation
for 48 h, the supernatant medium was analyzed via HMGB1 Elisa Kit and ATP
Determination Kit, respectively.

2.17. In vivo fluorescence and PA imaging

All Female mice (BALB/c) were approved and bought from Sun Yat-
sen University animal center. A suspension of 100 μL 4T1 cells (1×10^6)
was made and injected into right lower abdomen of the mouse to
construct 4T1 cancer-bearing xenograft mouse model. When tumor
volumes of 4T1 cancer-bearing mice reached 100 mm^3, the mice were
randomly divided into ten groups as follows: ① Control, ② Control + 808 + 635 nm laser, ③ BP, ④ BP + 808 nm laser, ⑤ BP + 635 nm laser, ⑥ HA-BP, ⑦ HA-BP + 808 nm laser, ⑧ HA-BP + 635 nm laser, ⑨ HA-BP + 808 + 635 nm laser. BP or HA-BP (2 mg/mL, 100 μL) were administrated into mice through intravenous injection every 2 days for
5 times. Then the tumor sites of mice in the laser groups were exposed
to 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm^2, 3 min) and 635 nm laser (0.5 W/cm^2,
5 min) after injection for 4 h. The temperature changes in tumor sites
were recorded by an infrared thermal camera. The tumor sizes and
body weight were measured every two days and tumor volumes were
measured by the equation: V = (length) × (width)^2/2. The Kaplan-
Meier survival curves were obtained by the percent mice when the tumor
volume > 1200 mm^3 or the mice died. After 21 days, tumor tissues of all groups were dissected and soaked in 4% formalin, em-
bedded with paraffin for immunofluorescence staining of Ki67, CD31
and MMP-9. To assess the effect of HA-BP nanoparticles on the polar-
zation of TAMs, tumors were stained by CD86/PE and CD206/FITC
antibodies to evaluate the effect of immunotherapy. The other major organ tissues (containing heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney and brain) were also stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

2.19. Statistical analysis

All experimental data was expressed in this manuscript as mean ±
standard deviation. The difference between two groups was analyzed
by a two-tailed Student's t-test. The statistical analysis was performed
by Origin 8.5.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of HA-BP nanoparticles

The synthesis process of HA-BP nanoparticles was shown in Fig. 1A,
BP nanoparticles were firstly modified with mPEG-NH2, then HA-BP
nanoparticles were obtained by covalently linking between the amino
of BP-mPEG and the carboxyl of HA. As revealed in Fig. 1B, BP nano-
particles with diameter of 30 nm were obtained (Fig. 1D). To improve
the dispersibility, BP nanoparticles were modified with mPEG-NH2 via
electrostatic interaction, the size of BP nanoparticles increased to about
50 nm with a uniform distribution (Fig. S1A). And the typical N–H
bending vibration peak at 1640 cm^−1, P–P–O stretching band at 1100 cm^−1
as well as P=O stretching vibration band at 1040 cm^−1 in
FTIR spectrum further confirmed the successful synthesis of BP-mPEG
nanoparticles (Fig. S1B). In order to enhance the targeting ability and
biocompatibility of BP-mPEG nanoparticles, low molecular weight HA
(MW = 5000 Da) was used to coat on the surface of BP-mPEG nano-
particles via amide bond. As shown in Fig. 1C and E, HA-BP nano-
particles showed a larger particle size of 56 nm. The zeta potential of BP
increased from −23.7 mV to −6.03 mV after modification with mPEG-
NH2, and then decreased to −28.4 mV after functionalization with HA
(Fig. 1F), proving the successful fabrication of HA-BP nanoparticles.
Besides, the crystal structures of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were
measured by XRD, all the characteristic periodic peaks in the pattern
were consistent with those of orthorhombic BP (standard JCPDS card
no. 6524-91, Fig. 1G). The chemical constitutions of HA-BP nano-
particles were determined by XPS (Fig. S2A-E). Fig. S2A showed the
binding energies of various elements after calibration with the binding
energy of C1s peak at 284.8 eV. The main component elements mainly
included C, O, N and P. The two peaks at 130.3 eV and 129 eV
were attributed to P2p spectrum, respectively. The N1s spectrum showed the
binding energy of N–H at 399.6 eV, and the binding energy of C=O at
532.02 eV was shown in O1s spectrum, further demonstrating the
successful HA capping of BP nanoparticles. Furthermore, the conjuga-
tion was further characterized by FTIR spectrum (Fig. 1H). BP
comprised the broad absorption bands at 1006 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1155 cm\(^{-1}\) appointing to P–O stretching band and P–P–O linear stretching band, respectively, and the small peak of 1626 cm\(^{-1}\) was stemmed from the P\(\rightarrow\)O stretching vibration band. Anti-symmetric and symmetric stretching vibration peaks of C\(\rightarrow\)O at 1615 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1409 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed from the FTIR spectrum of HA. The FTIR results of HA-BP retained all characteristic peaks of BP, which confirmed the successful synthesis of HA-BP through the amide bond containing C\(\rightarrow\)O stretching vibration peak of 1735 cm\(^{-1}\) as well as N–H bending band of 1640 cm\(^{-1}\), and the intensity of C\(\rightarrow\)O symmetric stretching vibration at 1409 cm\(^{-1}\) from the FTIR spectrum of HA was obviously weakened, which could be ascribed to the formation of the amide bond. The amount of mPEG-NH\(_2\) coated on HA-BP nanoparticles was calculated to be 21.1\% (w/w %) of the HA-BP, and the ratio of HA and BP in HA-BP nanoparticles was 39.2\% (w/w %) and 39.7\% (w/w %), respectively. Furthermore, Fig. S3 showed the size and PDI of BP and HA-BP.
nanoparticles in PBS, which indicated that HA capping could ameliorate the stability of BP nanoparticles and keep BP highly stable in physiological solutions for at least 8 days.

### 3.2. Photothermal effect and photostability of HA-BP nanoparticles

To assess the photothermal effects of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles, the aqueous dispersions of nanoparticle at different BP concentrations were irradiated with 808 nm laser at a power intensity of 1.5 W/cm² for 10 min. Fig. 2A showed a concentration-dependent and time-dependent photothermal effects of BP solution. The temperature of BP nanoparticles dispersion rapidly increased by 14 °C after exposed to laser for 10 min even at a low concentration of 25 μg/mL, while the temperature of water increased slightly (ΔT ≈ 1.7 °C). In comparison with the bare BP nanoparticles, HA-BP heated up faster (Fig. 2B) at the same condition, exhibiting a better photothermal capability. This was probably due to the HA shell that reduced the degradation and aggregation of BP nanoparticles. The photothermal stability of HA-BP was measured as seen from Fig. 2C, the HA-BP nanoparticles presented a better photothermal stability than that of BP nanoparticles, there was no obvious change of photothermal effects with HA-BP nanoparticles during five heating cycles. The time-dependent photothermal stabilities of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were further examined (Fig. S4A and B). We observed that the temperature of BP solution increased by 25.2 °C under 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm²) irradiation for 10 min on the first day, but the temperature only increased by 7.7 °C after storing for 8 days, which was likely due to the degradation of BP nanoparticles. In comparison, the temperature of HA-BP solution still increased by 26.5 °C after irradiation for 10 min on the 8th day, a result close to that of the first day (30.4 °C). This suggested that HA shell could improve BP stability in aqueous dispersion even with NIR light irradiation. For further quantitative comparison, the photothermal conversion efficiency of HA-BP nanoparticles was calculated as 46.05% (Fig. 2D and E), which was higher than that of the bulk BP nanoparticles (29.47%, Fig. S4C and D). Additionally, the corresponding photostability of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles was further evaluated and shown in Fig. S5, the size of BP nanoparticles reduced constantly after 808 nm laser irradiation, and the morphology of the BP nanoparticles was partly destructed right after 808 nm laser irradiation (Fig. S5A and B). While almost no evident size or morphological change was observed in HA-BP nanoparticles group (Fig. S5A and C), suggesting that HA capping could improve the photostability of BP nanoparticles. Overall, the results indicated that HA modification could effectively improve the stability and photothermal efficiency of BP nanoparticles.

To investigate the bioimaging performance of HA-BP, in vitro PA imaging experiment was conducted and displayed in Fig. 2F. The PA signal intensities of HA-BP aqueous dispersions were related positively to HA-BP concentrations at 690 nm laser excitation. Therefore, HA-BP nanoparticles could be used as a PA contrast agent for bioimaging.

### 3.3. Photodynamic effect of BP and HA-BP

The \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) generation capacity is vital for the PDT efficiency, so DPBF was used as the singlet oxygen probe to detect the photodynamic performances of BP and HA-BP. With the increase of \(^{1}\text{O}_2\), the absorbance of DPBF in 410 nm would decrease. As presented in Fig. 2G and H, the
decrease of DPBF absorbance intensity with a prolonged time verified the generation of 1O2 by BP or HA-BP nanoparticles under NIR laser irradiation. According to the quantification result (Fig. 2I), the decrease of DPBF in pure DPBF group was negligible, indicating no ROS generation. While the relative absorbance of BP group decreased to 12.0% in 20 min under the same conditions, HA-BP generated less ROS than BP, this was probably because of HA capping that enhanced the photothermal conversion efficiency of BP nanoparticles and hindered the energy transfer between BP and oxygen for generating 1O2 [47]. Meanwhile, 1O2 generation efficiency of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles under 808 nm laser irradiation (1.5 W cm⁻²) was also evaluated (Fig. S6), and the result indicated that 1O2 generation efficiency of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles under 635 nm laser irradiation is significantly better than that with 808 nm laser irradiation. The 1O2 quantum yield (Φ₁O₂) of BP and HA-BP under 635 nm laser irradiation was calculated to be 85.2% and 41.6%, respectively, using MB as the standard [45], while the Φ₁O₂ of BP and HA-BP under 808 nm laser irradiation was only 7.5% and 4.3%, respectively (Fig. S6C). The difference could be attributed to the energy transfer of photo-excited electrons caused by 808 nm laser, which is mainly released in the form of the heat, while the energy transfer caused by 635 nm laser mainly reacts with oxygen molecules to produce 1O2 [20,48].

3.4. Tumor-associated macrophage polarization

It was known that HA with low molecular weight could activate macrophages and remodel M2 macrophages toward M1 macrophages [40]. Therefore, we investigated whether HA-BP nanoparticles had an immunologic effect on macrophages polarization. Firstly, to verify whether HA-BP nanoparticles could be internalized by M2 macrophages or not, RAW264.7 cells were pretreated to generate M2 macrophages, C6 was used as a fluorescence probe to identify the internalization of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles by flow cytometry analysis (Fig. S7). We observed that the intracellular fluorescence intensity all presented a time-dependent increase. No obvious difference of cellular uptake was observed in the BP/C6 and HA-BP/C6 groups, indicating that both BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were easily endocytosed by M2 macrophages, which benefited the polarization of TAMs from M2 to M1 phenotype. Afterwards, we explored the influences of HA-BP nanoparticles on macrophages polarization. Firstly, we induced RAW264.7 cells into M2 macrophages with 50 ng/mL of IL-4 for 24 h, and incubated them with HA (50 μg/mL), BP (50 μg/mL) and HA-BP (100 μg/mL) for 3 h, then CD206/FITC antibody was used to mark M2 macrophages, CD86/PE antibody was chosen as a typical marker for M1 Macrophages. Flow cytometry analysis was revealed in Fig. 3A and C, RAW264.7 cells expressed 51.7% CD206 and 48.9% CD86, while RAW264.7 cells were treated with IL-4 alone, the expressions of CD206 were increased to 68.3%, while the expressions of CD86 were decreased to 26.9%, implying that ~68.3% macrophages were polarized to M2 phenotype successfully. Next, M2 macrophages were treated with HA, BP, HA-BP, BP + laser or HA-BP + laser, respectively, we observed that the expressions of CD86 were dramatically up-regulated to 71.2%, 86.5% and 87.6% on macrophages treated with HA, HA-BP and HA-BP + laser, respectively, while the expressions of CD206 were decreased to 45.5%, 26.0% and 24.6%, respectively, and BP or BP + laser displayed only a little effect on macrophage polarization. These data indicated that HA-BP could reeducate the polarization of TAMs from M2 to M1 macrophages, which was mainly benefited from the functions of low molecular weight HA, and laser irradiation had no obvious effect on macrophage polarization. Similarly, the polarization was further proved by CLSM (Fig. 3B). When M2 macrophages were treated with HA, HA-BP or HA-BP + laser, the red fluorescence signal (CD86) was obviously enhanced and the green fluorescence signal (CD206) was attenuated. Besides, to further confirm the regulation effect of HA-BP nanoparticles on TAMs subtype, the relevant cytokines of M1 and M2 macrophages were determined using Elisa Kit. After treated with HA, HA-BP or HA-BP + laser, the level of IL-12, the representative M1-type cytokine, increased apparently (Fig. 3D), and the secretion level of IL-10, a representative M2-type cytokine, decreased obviously (Fig. 3E), while macrophages of HA-BP or HA-BP + laser group secreted slightly higher level of IL-12 and slightly lower level of IL-10 than IL-4-treated group. All above results indicated that HA-BP nanoparticles could effectively polarize M2 macrophages to M1 phenotype.

3.5. In vitro cellular uptake

To manifest the targeting capability of HA-BP nanoparticles on 4T1 cells (CD44⁺ over-expressed), the dye C6 was used as a fluorescence probe to mark HA-BP nanoparticles. The cell uptake result of flow cytometry (Fig. S8) and quantitative analysis were shown in Fig. 4A. There was only a slight amount of C6 in cells even at 8 h in free C6 group. In contrast, the internalization level in the BP/C6 group increased with increasing of incubation time, which were still at least 2.5-fold higher than free C6 group all the time. In addition, the mean fluorescence intensity of HA-BP/C6 reached maximum at 4 h, which was 1.84-fold stronger than that of the BP/C6 group, and then slightly decreased at 6 h. The distinct difference indicated the specific and rapid uptake of HA-BP by 4T1 cells, which was because that HA can bind with the CD44⁺, a highly expressed HA receptor on the surface of 4T1 cell membrane, to improve the active targeting capacity of BP to 4T1 cells. Furthermore, after 4T1 cells were pre-cultured with HA for 3 h, there was no significant difference of the mean fluorescence intensity between BP/C6 and HA + HA-BP/C6 groups at each time point, further indicating HA modified BP possessed higher 4T1 tumor selectivity on account of HA receptor (CD44⁺)-mediated endocytosis process. Besides, the above flow cytometric results were consistent with CLSM results, the amount of BP/C6 or HA-BP/C6 nanoparticles internalization by 4T1 cells was visualized by green fluorescence. As shown in Fig. 4B, compared with the negligible green fluorescence of C6 alone group, BP/C6 groups exhibited apparent green fluorescence intensity, while 4T1 cells treated with HA-BP/C6 showed the strongest green fluorescence, further indicating that HA capping could improve the tumor targeting ability of BP nanoparticles.

3.6. In vitro photothermal and photodynamic effect

After confirming the targeting efficacy of HA-BP nanoparticles, the photodynamic effects in 4T1 cells was investigated. The intracellular ROS generation was detected using the DCFH-DA kit.DCFH-DA itself is not fluoroscent but can be hydrolyzed to DCFH via intracellular esterase. And DCFH molecules could be oxidized to DCF by ROS to emit strong green fluorescence, acting as an indicator of ROS generation. Quantitative flow cytometric analysis was shown in Figure S9. Almost no green fluorescence was observed in Control, Control + 635 nm laser, BP and HA-BP groups at each time interval. While when cells were treated with 635 nm laser at a power intensity of 1.5 W/cm² irradiation for 5 min, the mean fluorescence intensities in BP group were lower than that of HA-BP group for all time intervals, indicating that HA-BP nanoparticles generated more ROS than BP nanoparticles. Besides, the cells treated with BP or HA-BP combined with 808 nm plus 635 nm dual laser irradiation exhibited a little stronger fluorescence intensity compared with that after single 635 nm laser irradiation, this was because that PTT improved the effect of PDT for generating more singlet oxygen [49]. Interestingly, the mean fluorescence intensities in HA-BP group plus laser irradiation exhibited strongest fluorescence signal at 4 h and then decreased at 6 h, which was due to the large amount of HA-BP nanoparticles internalization by 4T1 cells at 4 h (Fig. 4A). Besides, the CLSM results of 4T1 cells with green DCF fluorescence were shown in Fig. 4C, there was slight green fluorescence was observed in control groups with or without laser irradiation, while the strong green fluorescence was observed in BP and HA-BP group after 635 nm laser irradiation. Importantly, the fluorescence signal of HA-BP + 635 nm laser
performed stronger than those of BP + 635 nm laser group, which was attributed to more HA-BP nanoparticles internalization by 4T1 cells than BP nanoparticles owing to HA receptor (CD44+) -mediated tumor targeting capacity. These results lead to the conclusion that HA-BP could enhance the ROS generation capability of cells compared with BP nanoparticles, thereby achieving excellent overall therapeutic effect.

Additionally, Fig. 4D showed that HA-BP could induce intracellular hyperthermia when exposed to 808 nm laser. As expected, the temperature of the blank group only slightly rose to 37.1 °C with 808 nm laser (1.5 W/cm², 3 min) irradiation, while the temperature of the experimental groups increased rapidly in the existence of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles, thereby achieving excellent overall therapeutic effect.

For purpose of evaluating visually therapeutic efficiency of HA-BP nanoparticles in vitro, Calcein-AM/PI co-stained images (Fig. 4E) were further applied to identify the live/dead states of 4T1 cells. Typically, there were almost no dead cells (red) in the control groups, which confirmed that NIR laser irradiation alone was not harmful to cells. There were small amount of dead cells observed in BP and HA-BP groups, which might be because that BP degrade and produce phosphate anions in the cells, and unabiding elevation of cytosolic phosphate anions influence cellular ATP hydrolysis to cause programmed cell death and inhibit proliferation of tumor cells [50–53]. Besides, in the groups of BP and HA-BP with a single 635 nm or 808 nm laser, a small amount of cells showed orange or red color, suggesting that BP and HA-BP nanoparticles could cause 4T1 cells apoptosis with NIR irradiation. Compared with HA-BP+808 nm and HA-BP+635 nm laser groups, there were a larger amount of cells stained red in HA-BP+808 + 635 nm laser group, most of the cells showed chromatin

Fig. 3. HA-BP nanoparticles induce M2 macrophages to M1 phenotype: (A and C) Flow cytometric results of expression of CD86 (M1) and CD206 (M2) treatment with different formulations. (B) Confocal images of expression of CD86 (M1) and CD206 (M2) treatment with different formulations. (D) The level of IL-12 released by macrophages before and after regulation of M2 macrophages towards M1 phenotype with different formulations. (E) The level of IL-10 secreted by macrophages with different formulations. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 as contrast with IL-4 group, and #p < 0.05 and ###p < 0.001.
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condensation and irregular shape, which could be explained that PTT increases the separation rate of the electron (hole) and enhances the therapeutic efficiency of PDT, implying an effective phototherapy on 4T1 cells by HA-BP after NIR irradiation.

3.7. Cell migration and invasion assay

The anti-metastatic effects of HA-BP nanoparticles in vitro were verified by wound-healing and transwell experiments. As illustrated in Fig. 5A and C, 4T1 cells regrew quickly and the wound recovered rapidly in the blank cells group and M2 condition medium group. Compared with BP+M2 group, HA-BP+M2 treatment dramatically restrained the wound healing rate of 4T1 cells with a wound closure of only 1.7%. This may be due to M1 macrophages re-educated by HA-BP nanoparticles inhibiting the migration of 4T1 cells. In addition, the transwell experiment was implemented to further determine the influence of HA-BP nanoparticles on invasion and migration capacity of 4T1 cells (Fig. 5B and D). We found that cells passed through the membrane and covered almost the entire lower surface in the blank group and M2 condition medium group. The invasion and migration rates of M2 group were 1.51 and 1.067, respectively, indicating the strong invasion and migration capacity of 4T1 cells. However, after treatment with HA-BP+M2, the invasion and migratory rate of 4T1 cells significantly reduced to 0.396 and 0.401, respectively. This demonstrated that the migratory and invasion of highly metastatic 4T1 tumor cells could be effectively suppressed after HA-BP reversed TAMs phenotype. In general, the above results indicated that the HA-BP exhibited great potential in suppressing the migration and metastasis of 4T1 cells.
3.8. In vitro cytotoxicity

MTT assays were conducted to evaluate the cytotoxicity of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles on 4T1 cells. As revealed in Fig. 5E and F, there was no obvious cytotoxicity of BP and HA-BP on 4T1 cells even at the BP concentration up to 100 μg/mL without laser irradiation (cell
viability > 90%). Next, the phototherapy (PTT and PDT) effects of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were investigated under 635 nm and 808 nm laser irradiation. Cell viability slightly decreased when exposed to 635 nm or 808 nm laser alone, and the cell viability of HA-BP with laser irradiation groups were all lower than that of BP with laser irradiation groups, which was attributed to enhanced cellular internalization of HA-BP than BP. To testify the combined effect of PTT and PDT, we measured the cytotoxicity of BP or HA-BP under the 808 + 635 nm laser and 635 + 808 nm laser, respectively. The final results displayed that both BP and HA-BP displayed stronger photo-induced cytotoxicity of 808 + 635 nm laser than that of 635 + 808 nm laser. Especially, the cells treated with HA-BP had a lower viability (30.5%) at the concentration of 50 μg/mL with 808 + 635 nm laser irradiation than that of HA-BP + 635 + 808 nm laser group (41.9%). The higher cytotoxicity of HA-BP could be attributed to stronger cellular internalization brought by HA modification under laser irradiation, and the higher cytotoxicity of HA-BP + 808 + 635 nm laser was explained that the hyperthermia by PTT resulted in more singlet oxygen and improved the effect of PDT. Besides, to further evaluate the cytotoxic effect of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles on tumor cell with macrophages polarization, M2 macrophages were treated with BP or HA-BP to collect relevant condition medium and the cytotoxicity of 4T1 cells was detected. As shown in Fig. 5G, the cytotoxicity was enhanced when 4T1 cells were exposed to the M1 condition medium but reduced in the M2 condition medium, indicating that M1 macrophages were efficient to sensitize 4T1 cells and significantly enhance cell apoptosis with M1 macrophages, which dramatically enhanced phototherapy-induced cell apoptosis, majorly in early stage. The above results illustrated that HA-BP nanoparticles is an excellent phototherapy agent to inhibit cells proliferation and significantly enhance cell apoptosis with M1 macrophages.

3.9. Cell apoptosis assay

The phototherapy of HA-BP and immunotherapy of M1 macrophages could induce cell apoptosis, which was detected via Annexin V-FITC/PI staining. As revealed in Fig. 5H, treatment with BP and HA-BP without NIR irradiation did not induce obvious apoptosis in comparison to Control group, and the cell apoptosis rates were 7.41% and 7.94%, respectively. However, when the cells were treated with laser irradiation, the cell apoptosis ratio of HA-BP treated with 4T1 cells was 38.2% (7.19% of early stage and 31% of late stage), higher than that of treatment with BP (26.3%), which could be attributed to HA capping that promoted more nanoparticles to enter the cells, and thus enhanced phototherapy to induce cell apoptosis. Importantly, the apoptosis rate of cells treated with HA-BP + M2 under 808 + 635 nm laser irradiation was 49.6% (36.9% of early stage and 12.68% of late stage), which was far higher than that of BP + M2 group (26.8%), indicating that HA-BP can reverse pro-tumor M2 macrophages to anti-tumor M1 macrophages, which dramatically enhanced phototherapy-induced cell apoptosis, majorly in early stage. The above results illustrated that HA-BP nanoparticles is an excellent phototherapy agent to inhibit cells proliferation and significantly enhance cell apoptosis with M1 macrophages.

3.10. In vitro ICD evaluation

In general, when triggered cell death by specific factors, tumor cells without immunogenicity can be transformed into immunogenic cells, which activate the phagocytosis of immature DCs and lead to DC maturation ultimately. Mature DCs subsequently induce immune killing activity against the initial tumor cells in the host body, and this phenomenon is called ICD [43,44]. It has been identified that ICD inducers included certain chemotherapeutics, ionizing radiation, PTT and PDT [54–56]. The exposure of DAMPs (containing CRT, ATP and HMGB1) is prerequisite for DC maturation to trigger ICD-mediated antitumor immunity, so we evaluated the expression and release of the DAMPs of various treatments. As shown in Fig. 5I, BP and HA-BP nanoparticles without laser irradiation had little influence on CRT expression. HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) with and without M2 condition medium treatment both exhibited the strongest increase in CRT expression compared with BP + L group. Especially, the CRT-expression positive cells after HA-BP + M2 + L treatment was up to 23.1%, which was attributed to the phototherapy of HA-BP and anti-tumor effect of M1 macrophages. Besides, the results in Fig. 5J and L showed that HA-BP + M2 + L treatment group made for highest levels of HMGB1 release and ATP secretion compared among all treatment groups. These results indicated that combination of phototherapy and macrophages was a powerful strategy to improve DAMPs release and result in ICD. Moreover, DC maturation was also detected via the cell surface specific expression of MHCII and CD86 by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 5K and M, correspondingly, HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) group exhibited a significant enhancement of DC maturation compared with BP + L group (808 + 635 nm laser) group, this was because that enhanced phototherapeutic effect of HA-BP induced stronger ICD effect than that of BP (Fig. 5I, J and L). Additionally, HA-BP + M2 + L (808 + 635 nm laser) induced the most incremental expression of both CD86 and MHCII on DCs among various treatment groups, indicating the optimal effect on the maturation of DCs. These results demonstrated that ROS, heating and macrophages are potent factors to induce ICD, which is essential to stimulate T lymphocyte-mediated adaptive immunity in vivo.

3.11. In vivo visual imaging

The performance of HA-BP nanoparticles for in vivo NIR optical fluorescence imaging was observed in 4T1 tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 6A and B). After intravenous (i.v.) injection of DIR, BP/DIR or HA-BP/DIR, the fluorescence signal of free DIR was distributed mainly in liver tissue and less in tumor within 48 h, exhibiting a poor tumor targeting specificity. For BP/DIR-treated mice, fluorescence signal in tumor regions was the strongest at 4 h post-administration and eliminated from the body gradually. Noticably, HA-BP/DIR displayed stronger fluorescence intensity and had a relatively long duration (at least 48 h) in tumor tissue. Major accumulation was visible in tumor (reached maximum at 8 h) but not in liver or other tissues within 48 h post-administration. At 48 h post-injection, all mice were dissected and major organs were harvested. The fluorescence images and quantification of

---

X. Zhang, et al. Bioactive Materials 6 (2021) 472-489
major tissues were shown in Fig. 6C and D. In the DIR and BP/DIR groups, the DIR mostly accumulated in liver and spleen, while the fluorescence intensities of HA-BP/DIR group were 17.6 times and 24.6 times as high as that of BP/DIR and DIR groups, respectively. HA-BP/DIR significantly strengthened DIR accumulation in tumor tissue because of both CD44+ targeting and EPR effect of the nanoplatform. To further validate the tumor imaging performance of HA-BP, PA signals of BP and HA-BP nanoparticles were monitored by tail vein injection as shown in Fig. 6E. PA signaling intensity of BP group enhanced gradually with time and reduced apparently at 12 h and 24 h, while PA signaling intensity of HA-BP group was up to the highest level in the surrounding of blood vessels at 8 h and considerable signal lasted for up to 24 h, achieving the real-time bioimaging. These results indicated that HA-BP nanoparticles exhibited notable dual-modal FL/PA imaging capacities and possessed an excellent tumor targeting ability.

3.12. In vivo anti-tumor therapy

Based on the test results in vitro, in vivo experiments were further carried out to investigate the tumor phototherapy efficacy of HA-BP nanoparticles on 4T1 cancer-bearing mice. As shown in Fig. 7A, 4T1 cells were inoculated into the mice, BP or HA-BP were intravenously injected for five times (1d, 3d, 5d, 7d and 9d), the mice of laser groups were exposed to 808 nm laser or 635 nm laser at 4 h after each injection, then the mice of all groups were executed and analyzed at the 21st day. The real-time temperature variation of tumor site was monitored by an infrared thermal camera (Fig. 7B). It was noticeable that the temperature in tumor site rapidly rose from 36.4 °C to 53.6 °C under 808 nm laser irradiation within 3 min after i.v. injection of HA-BP nanoparticles, a condition sufficient to ablate the malignant tumor, while the PBS and BP injected mice only exhibited slight increase of temperature. The phototherapy and immunotherapy efficiencies of HA-BP
were further investigated in vivo. Fig. 7C showed the change of tumor volume over time, the visual images of tumor tissues were shown in Fig. S10. Tumors in the Control and Control+808+635 nm laser groups grew quickly, indicating the negligible influence of NIR laser irradiation on tumor growth rate. Treatments with HA-BP nanoparticles without laser irradiation only slightly inhibited tumor growth, which might be attributed to the anti-tumor effect of M1 macrophages re-educated by HA-BP nanoparticles. The tumor growth was partially suppressed in BP nanoparticles with single or double laser groups and HA-BP nanoparticles with single laser groups, and the anti-tumor effect of HA-BP with 808 nm laser groups were better than that of HA-BP + 635 nm laser groups, indicating that PTT effect of HA-BP nanoparticles was more effective to inhibit tumor growth than PDT effect. In comparison, combined PDT/PTT/immunotherapy of HA-BP nanoparticles triggered by double laser irradiation significantly inhibited tumor growth, achieving noteworthy tumor regression with a tumor volume of 83.01 mm³. This result indicated that HA-BP nanoparticles combined with 808 + 635 nm laser exhibited a valid anti-tumor effect. H&E staining results of tumor tissues were shown in Fig. 7E. The tumor cells of Control and Control +808 + 635 nm laser groups were integrated and...
closely arranged without tumor fragmentation, while various degrees of karyolysis, piecemeal necrosis and fragmentation were observed in other treatment groups. Expectedly, symptoms containing karyotheca lysis, severe necrosis and nucleolus vanishing were most noticeable in the HA-BP + 808 + 635 nm laser group, and there were almost no apparent live tumor cells, exhibiting considerable therapeutic effect. Besides, the mice in HA-BP + laser groups survived for over 21 days without a death until they were executed, while half of the mice in the control group died or exceeded the established end-value 1200 mm3 after treatment for 15 days (Fig. S11), indicating HA-BP plus laser irradiation could effectively prolonged the survival time of the mice. Therefore, it is mentioned that HA-BP nanoparticles could be as a promising agent for phototherapy and immunotherapy in the clinical trials in the future.

For further applications of the nanomaterials, their latent short-term toxicities in vivo were supposed to be particularly concerned. Over 21 days of treatment, the body weights of all mouse groups showed no noticeable loss and remained a slight upward trend (Fig. 7D). Besides, the H&E staining images (Fig. S12) of major tissues in all groups did not exhibit any obvious abnormality, demonstrating that the nanomaterials were relatively safe for application. Additionally, serum biochemistry assay of indicators (including AST, ALT, ALB, ALP, γ-GT, BUN and CRE, which are all relative to the functions of liver or kidney) were performed to determine the long-term toxicity in vivo. As shown in Fig. S13, the biochemistry results in BP and HA-BP groups were similar with those of Control group, and all indicators were in the normal reference range, manifesting that there were no obvious side effects on liver and kidney caused by BP and HA-BP nanoparticles.

3.13 Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence

TUNEL staining can precisely indicate DNA fracture induced by apoptosis instead of necrosis of cells so that the result would not be influenced by non-apoptosis caused by external radiation like laser. As shown in Figs. 7F and S14, almost no apoptotic cells were observed in Control and Control + 808 + 635 nm laser groups, and the nuclei of tumor cells had an intact shape. In the BP, BP + 808 nm, BP + 635 nm laser and HA-BP groups, there were small amounts of apoptotic cells (brown granules). However, a great amount of abnormal tumor cells was observed in HA-BP + 808 nm, HA-BP + 635 nm and HA-BP + 808 + 635 nm laser groups. More importantly, most of tumor cells became dark brown granular nuclei in the HA-BP + 808 + 635 nm laser groups, which was consistent with H&E staining results, indicating that HA-BP could significantly cause apoptosis of tumor cells.

Furthermore, to further investigate the therapeutic effects of HA-BP nanoparticles, the polarization of TAMs, factors including Ki67, MMP-9 and CD31 that were correlated with tumor proliferation and metastasis were assessed via immunofluorescence staining. As revealed in Fig. 8A and B, the percentages of M2 macrophages in HA-BP and HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups were obviously lower than that in Control and Control + L groups, while the percentages of anti-tumor M1 macrophages in HA-BP and HA-BP + L groups significantly increased. These results were consistent with results in vitro, proving that HA-BP successfully polarized pro-tumor M2 macrophages to pro-inflammatory and anti-tumor M1 macrophages. Besides, as a matrix metalloproteinase produced by macrophages, MMP-9 is expressed responding to the stimulation of tumorigenic factors, and the tumor cells of preliminary regions could cause the expression of MMP-9 and Ki67 [57]. As shown in Fig. 8A, C and D, in comparison with Control and Control + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups, the expression of MMP-9 and Ki67 significantly decreased in BP and HA-BP groups, and almost no the expression of MMP-9 and Ki67 was observed in HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) group, implying the reduced metastasis and proliferation activities of tumor cells caused by BP and HA-BP nanoparticles. Besides, matrix metalloproteinase is proved to be directly involved in angiogenesis and invasion [58]. So we further explored the expression of CD31, a marker of vascular endothelial and indicator of angiogenesis at the tumor sites, the results were shown in Fig. 8A and E. The expression of CD31 in BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups declined dramatically, and HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) group showed the lowest expression of CD31 among all treatment groups, which was attributed to the photothermal effect and a decrease in the number of M2 macrophages. 

According to the valid effect of BP-based phototherapy on DAMPs release in vitro, CRT exposure in tumor sites after treatment was measured as a typical biomarker of ICD. As revealed in Fig. 8A and F, Control and Control + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups hardly induced CRT expression because of few green fluorescence (anti-CRT antibodies) in tumor tissues, and BP or HA-BP alone exhibited a small amount of CRT exposure. However, enhanced fluorescence intensity was shown in BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) group and the brightest green fluorescence appeared in HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) group, indicating that BP and HA-BP had a potent ICD-inducing effect under NIR laser irradiation in vivo. In addition, the infiltration of immune cells into tumor sites is essential for the tumor immunotherapy, so the recruitment of CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes by the anti-tumor effect of HA-BP mediated phototherapy was evaluated. As shown in Fig. 8A and G, there were almost no CD4+ (red fluorescence) and CD8+ (green fluorescence) cells observed in Control, Control + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups, and BP or HA-BP groups showed a small amount of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the tumor sites, which might be because that BP or HA-BP induced the pro-tumor M2 macrophages to pro-inflammatory and anti-tumor M1 macrophages. M1 macrophages could secret a series of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as IL-6, IL-23 and IL-12) to alleviate immunosuppressive microenvironment and activate T cell immune response [28]. In contrast, BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) and HA-BP + L (808 + 635 nm laser) groups exhibited a significantly stronger infiltration CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, implying that CD4+ and CD8+ T cell-dependent anti-tumor immunity was induced by phototherapy. Importantly, HA-BP + L displayed the highest proportion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells owing to the stronger phototherapy effects and alleviation of immunosuppressive microenvironment from M1 macrophages. All of the results demonstrated that HA-BP exhibited excellent phototherapy efficiency and could induce a robust anti-tumor immune response.

4. Conclusion

In this study, HA-BP nanoparticles were successfully prepared as a macrophage converter and tumor-targeted photosensitizer to achieve tumor photo-immunotherapy. In vitro and in vivo results manifested that HA-BP nanoparticles could obviously reduce the degradation of BP and improve the stability. Meanwhile, HA-BP nanoparticles displayed excellent PA imaging ability and photothermal/photodynamic therapeutic efficiency and could induce a robust anti-tumor immune response.
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