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Abstract

Schwann cells in the peripheral nervous systems extend their membranes to wrap axons concentrically and form the insulating sheath, called myelin. The spaces between layers of myelin are sealed by myelin junctions. This tight insulation enables rapid conduction of electric impulses (action potentials) through axons. Demyelination (stripping off the insulating sheath) has been widely regarded as one of the most important mechanisms altering the action potential propagation in many neurological diseases. However, the effective nerve conduction is also thought to require a proper myelin seal through myelin junctions such as tight junctions and adherens junctions. In the present study, we have demonstrated the disruption of myelin junctions in a mouse model (Pmp22+/-) of hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP) with heterozygous deletion of Pmp22 gene. We observed a robust increase of F-actin in Pmp22+/- nerve regions where myelin junctions were disrupted, leading to increased myelin permeability. These abnormalities were present long before segmental demyelination at the late phase of Pmp22+/- mice. Moreover, the increase of F-actin levels correlated with an enhanced activity of p21-activated kinase (PAK1), a molecule known to regulate actin polymerization. Pharmacological inhibition of PAK normalized levels of F-actin, and completely prevented the progression of the myelin junction disruption and nerve conduction failure in Pmp22+/- mice. Our findings explain how abnormal myelin permeability is caused in HNPP, leading to impaired action potential propagation in the absence of demyelination. We call it “functional demyelination”, a novel mechanism upstream to the actual stripping of myelin that is relevant to many demyelinating diseases. This observation also provides a potential therapeutic approach for HNPP.
Author Summary

Demyelination has been widely regarded as one of the most important mechanisms impairing the nerve conduction of electrical impulses in many neurological diseases. Failure of the nerve conduction results in sensory and motor deficits. In the present study, we investigated a novel mechanism that also impairs nerve conduction without the removal of myelin, called functional demyelination. Deletion of one of two copies of the \( PMP22 \) gene causes hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP). Our recent study reports that deficiency of \( PMP22 \) in HNPP disrupts myelin junctions of Schwann cells that seal the spaces between layers of myelin membrane. This disruption leads to "leaky" myelin that impairs the conduction of electrical impulses on the nerves. In the present study, using a HNPP mouse model (\( Pmp22^{+/-} \)), we have identified a molecular pathway responsible for the disruption of myelin junctions. Our results showed an increase of actin polymerization in the areas where myelin junctions resided. This increase was associated with an up-regulation of PAK1 activity, a kinase function that is known to regulate actin polymerization. We thus treated the HNPP mice with PAK1 inhibitor. This treatment completely prevented the progression of nerve conduction failure and HNPP pathology. This work offers a promising therapeutic approach for HNPP. Moreover, myelin junction disruption takes place long before the actual stripping of myelin (demyelination) in the late phase of HNPP. Therefore, our finding provides a mechanism upstream to segmental demyelination, a pathological process relevant to many demyelinating diseases.

Introduction

Depolarizing current at the node of Ranvier is typically five times higher than the minimum required to trigger the action potential. This surplus is called the "safety factor" [1]. The safety factor is secured by the wrapping of the glial cell membrane around axons to produce the insulating sheath, called myelin. Schwann cells in the peripheral nervous system form the myelin during early development. However, the maturation process may extend over the first 5 years of human life when the conduction speed of action potential reaches the level of adulthood. The safety factor resulted from the insulation of myelin may be impaired in a variety of neurological diseases, usually as a result of the removal of myelin (demyelination). Denuded axons shunt the depolarizing current out of nerve fibers, leading to either a reduction of conduction velocity or complete failure of action potential propagation. The latter is called "conduction block" and produces focal sensory loss and/or limb paralysis [2, 3].

Although demyelination is widely regarded as one of the most important mechanisms altering the safety factor, effective nerve conduction is also thought to require a proper myelin seal through myelin junctions such as tight junctions and adherens junctions. These junctions seal the spaces between adjacent myelin lamellae and between the myelin and axolemma [4]. We have observed excessively permeable myelin (i.e., an increase of capacitance) in a mouse model of hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP) due to disruption of these myelin junctions. This novel mechanism impairs action potential propagation in the absence of demyelination [5]. We call it "functional demyelination" (Fig 1A). Thus, this mechanism denotes pathological processes that may alter the insulating quality of myelin without physically stripping off the myelin sheath.

HNPP is caused by a heterozygous deletion of \( PMP22 \) gene in human chromosome 17p12. \( PMP22 \) encodes a tetra-span membrane protein primarily expressed in peripheral nerve myelin.
Mice with heterozygous knockout of Pmp22 recapitulate the pathology of humans with HNPP, including tomacula with excessive myelin decompaction that extends from paranodes to juxtaparanodes and internodes [9]. Application of mechanical compression on Pmp22+/− mouse nerves induced conduction block (i.e., failure of action potential propagation) more rapidly than that in Pmp22+/+ nerves. This finding is consistent with the key clinical features in patients with HNPP—focal sensory loss and weakness when nerves are exposed to mild mechanical stress [10, 11]. Therefore, these mice have become an authentic model of HNPP.

There are three types of junctions in myelin: tight junctions, adherens junctions, and septate junctions [4]. All are mainly in non-compact myelin regions (Fig 1A): paranodal loops, Schmidt-Lanterman incisures (SLI), and inner/outer mesaxons [12]. Although each type of junctions has distinct protein constituents, they share similar molecular architectures (Fig 1B). For instance, tight junctions are formed by polymerization of claudins, a family of tetraspan membrane proteins. C-terminals of claudins interact with a group of cytoplasmic adaptors such as ZO1 or ZO2 [13]. These PDZ-containing proteins directly interact with actins and link the tight junctions to the cytoskeleton for stabilization [14]. Adherens junctions employ a similar organization. E-cadherin has a glycosylated extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic c-terminal tail that interacts with adaptor catenins (α-catenin, β-catenin and p120/ε-catenin). α-catenin directly interacts with actin filaments. The actin network is subject to the regulation of small GTPases (Cdc42 or Rac1) and their effectors such as p21-activated kinase (PAK1) [14].
Furthermore, all junctions are strengthened by a group of Ig-domain proteins, such as JAM-C in myelin, that form transmembrane dimers juxtaposed to the junctions to seal the space between the opposing membranes [15]. Because actin networks are involved in the stabilization of all junctions, we test a hypothesis that PMP22 deficiency disrupts myelin junctions by altering actin polymerization.

Results
Disruption of myelin junctions takes place long before segmental demyelination seen in the late stage of Pmp22+/− mice
While we have described the disruption of myelin junctions in Pmp22+/− nerves [5], the disruption had yet been evaluated during aging. In this study, we found dislocation of E-cadherin (marker for adherens junction), Mag (marker for transmembrane protein of paranodal loop), and claudin-19 (marker for tight junction) in Pmp22+/− paranodes and incisures from 2 weeks to 10 months of age (Fig 2). Under electron microscopy, junction abnormalities with paranodal lamina splitting were qualitatively observed in Pmp22+/− nerves (S1 Fig). In line with our previous studies [5, 10], localization of Caspr and neurofascin at septate junctions was unchanged (S2 Fig). Note that the total amounts of these junction proteins were not altered in Pmp22+/− nerves by Western blot [5]. Taken together, myelin junctions in Pmp22+/− nerves were abnormally formed during development and disrupted in adulthood. This abnormality was observable as early as 2 weeks, which was months ahead of segmental demyelination seen only after 10–12 months of age [5, 10].

Results above predict action potential propagation failure in a subset of Pmp22+/− nerve fibers with no segmental demyelination but severely increased myelin permeability [1]. We performed nerve conduction studies (NCS) in mice at ages of 2, 6, and 12 months. There was a significant reduction of compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitudes in all age-groups of Pmp22+/− mice compared with those in Pmp22+/+ mice (S1 Table). In contrast, conduction velocities were not altered in Pmp22+/− nerves. To determine whether the decrease of CMAP was due to axonal loss, we performed sciatic nerve morphometric analysis at ages of 1, 3 and 6 months. The numbers of myelinated nerve fibers were not significantly different between Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− mice (S2 Table). These findings do not support axonal loss.

To directly evaluate conduction block, sciatic nerves were surgically exposed to eliminate technical variations in NCS (Fig 3A, 3B and 3C). Conduction block (Fig 3D and 3E) was detected in 12 out of 17 studied Pmp22+/− mice but not found in any Pmp22+/+ mice. The remaining 5 Pmp22+/− mice had at least one of the three abnormalities—prolongation of distal latency (Fig 3F), temporal dispersion (Fig 3G), or both. Therefore, conduction block was present in Pmp22+/− nerves. This explains the decrease of CMAP amplitudes in Pmp22+/− mice.

F-actin is increased in Pmp22+/− nerves and co-localized with myelin junctions
F-actin is a common "denominator" in all types of junction complexes (Fig 1B) for junction stabilization [14]. We speculated an altered actin polymerization in PMP22 deficiency. Teased mouse nerve fibers were stained with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin known to specifically label F-actin [16]. F-actin was localized in non-compact myelin regions (Fig 4A) where myelin junctions also reside (Figs 1 and 2). Quantification of F-actin fluorescence intensity showed a significant difference between Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− nerves from 3 to 10 months of age (Fig 4B, 4C and 4D). Moreover, Western blot confirmed the increase of F-actin in Pmp22+/− nerves (Fig 4E and 4F).
We tested dynamics of F-actin formation as described [16]. Jasplakinolide is a membrane permeable cyclo-depsipeptide that competes with phalloidin for F-actin binding. After saturating the existing F-actin with jasplakinolide, phalloidin only labeled newly formed F-actin. Pmp22+/− nerves showed a higher level of new F-actins than that in Pmp22+/+ nerves (Fig 4G and 4H).

Activity of PAK1 is increased in Pmp22+/− nerves

Alteration of actin polymerization prompted us to examine changes of F-actin’s regulators such as Cdc42, Rac1, and PAK1 [17]. Both Cdc42 and Rac1 are functionally essential. Removal of either Cdc42 or Rac1 results in severe dysmyelination [18], which makes the two molecules...
Fig 3. Conduction block was detected in naïve Pmp22+/- nerves. (A) A diagram shows the setting for the experiments. A1-5 indicates the sites where the stimulation electrodes were placed on surgically exposed sciatic nerve. (B) In conventional NCS, proximal stimulation electrode is inserted blindly into the sciatic notch (white arrow in B). Variations of distances between the electrode and sciatic nerve (array of white asterisks) are not avoidable. This variation was eliminated by surgically exposing the sciatic nerves. Two black dots indicate the sites where distal stimulation electrodes were placed around ankle. (C) Area nearby ankle was dissected to reveal the tibial nerve (arrow in C). Due to the tiny space of this area, distance between the electrode and tibial nerve was highly consistent (two asterisks represent the sites of black dots in B). Thus, it did not require surgical exposure to place the distal stimulation electrodes. Note that needle electrode at the asterisk sites was inserted just through the dermis to avoid any nerve injury. (D) CMAP amplitudes were similar between A1 to A4. (E) CMAP in a Pmp22+/- mouse at A3-A5 showed a >50% reduction of the A2 amplitude. This finding demonstrated a conduction block that was defined as a ≥50% decrease of proximal CMAP amplitude over the distal CMAP amplitude, a stringent criterion used in human NCS [41]. Conduction block was found in 12 out of 17 studied Pmp22+/- mice, but not in Pmp22+/- mice. (E) CMAP was recorded from a different mouse and showed a distal latency (3.3ms) 2 times longer than that (1.2ms) in Pmp22+/+ nerve (A2 in D). The doubled distal latency was found in 2 mice out of the 17 Pmp22+/- mice, while the remaining 15 mice had variable degrees of prolonged distal latency. (G) CMAP in this mouse had a duration of 4ms (temporal dispersion) that was about twice longer than that in Pmp22+/+ nerve (A1 in D). In average, the CMAP duration in 17 Pmp22+/- mice (3.9±1.7ms) was significantly longer than that in 7 Pmp22+/+ mice (2.3±0.4ms; p = 0.001; 3–10 month old).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g003
Fig 4. Abnormally increased actin polymerization in the regions where myelin junctions reside. (A) Teased nerve fibers of mouse sciatic nerves were stained with fluorescent phalloidin, which was localized at nodes (arrowheads), incisures (arrows) and mesaxons (asterisks). F-actin was strongly expressed in Pmp22 +/- nerves. Images in the 3rd row were taken under confocal microscopy. The maximal projection of z-stack images was presented to show the mesaxon changes of F-actin at different layers. Scale bars = 10 μm. (B-C) Fluorescence intensity was quantified by placing 2.5 μm x 2.5 μm interest box 10 μm away from the node of Ranvier and by including the entire area of every incisures. The intensity of F-actin staining was increased in Pmp22 +/- paranodes and incisures from 3 months of age onward (n = 40–50 paranodes, 60–70 incisures from 3 Pmp22+/+ and 3 Pmp22+/- mice at each age group). ** P < 0.0001; M = month. (D) The mesaxons with clearly visible F-actin-staining (asterisk in A) were counted in teased nerve fibers of Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/- mice. The F-actin stained mesaxons in Pmp22+/- mice were increased from 3 month of age onward (n = 75 mesaxons from 3 Pmp22+/+ and 3 Pmp22+/- mice at each age group). ** P < 0.0001; M = month. (E) Western blot analysis of F-actin was performed in the sciatic nerves of 3 month-old Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/- mice. (F) The levels of F-actin were significantly increased in Pmp22+/- nerves, compared with those in Pmp22+/+ nerves. *P < 0.05. (G) Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/- sciatic nerve explants were
unfavorable targets of intervention. In contrast, constitutive knockout of PAK1 (Pak1−/−) produces negligible phenotype in mice [19]. We have also confirmed normal morphology, electrophysiology and functions in Pak1−/− peripheral nerves (S3 Fig). Yet, this kinase has been shown to play roles in actin polymerization and cellular focal adhesion [17]. In mouse sciatic nerves, we detected PAK1 (Fig 5A) and PAK2 but not PAK3 (S4 Fig). Levels of total PAK1 (Fig 5A and 5B) or PAK2 (S4 Fig) were not different between Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− nerves. Immunostaining with antibodies against total PAK1 showed diffuse distribution in the sciatic nerves similarly between Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− mice (Fig 5G).

PAK1-3 activation involves autophosphorylation at multiple amino acid residues, including S144, S199, and/or T423 [17]. In addition, PAK1, not PAK2-3, can be phosphorylated at T212 to activate PAK1 independently of small GTPases and regulate F-actin formation [20]. Western blot of sciatic nerve lysates showed a significantly increased level of T212 (but not S144) in Pmp22+/− nerves compared with that in Pmp22+/+ nerves (Fig 5A, 5B, 5C and 5D). The activity of pPAK1 reached its peak at age of 3-month-old, which correlated with the time when tomacula are actively formed [10]. We verified specificity of total PAK1, S144, and T212 antibodies using Pak1+/+ and Pak1−/− nerves. All antibodies detected PAK1 in Pak1+/+ nerves but not in Pak1−/− nerves (Fig 5A and 5C).

PAK1 has been shown to phosphorylate MAPK kinase-1 (MEK1) at its S298 residue [21]. Western blot revealed an increase of phosphorylated MEK1 in Pmp22+/− nerves compared with that in Pmp22+/+ nerves (Fig 5E and 5F). Together, these data support an increase of PAK1 activation in PMP22 deficient nerves. Residues in other PAKs could be phosphorylated but cannot be substantiated due to the lack of specific antibodies.

PAK1 is associated with junction protein complexes

Both T212 and S144 antibodies failed to stain mouse nerves in our immunofluorescence experiments. To determine whether PAK1 is associated with junction protein complexes, we first performed co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) in mouse sciatic nerve lysates and verified interactions between E-cadherin, β-catenin and σ-catenin (protein elements of adherens junction) (Fig 6C, 6D and 6E) [22]. Next, by using β-catenin antibodies, we were able to pull down PAK1 from the sciatic nerve lysates (Fig 6E). Because β-catenin is known to reside in the non-compact myelin regions and is an element of myelin adherens junction complex [22], PAK1 would associate with adherens junction protein complex. This finding is also consistent with a previously published study showing interactions between PAK1 and β-catenin [23].

To understand how PAK1 is activated in Pmp22+/− myelin, we tested whether the disruption of adherens junction protein complex activates PAK1. We first verified the presence of adherens junction protein complex in culture Schwann cells, including β-catenin, σ-catenin and E-cadherin (S4 Fig). By using siRNA, β-catenin or σ-catenin was knocked down in culture Schwann cells. PAK1 activity indexed by T212 was increased in either β-catenin or σ-catenin knock-down cells compared with that in control cells treated with scramble siRNA (Fig 6F1, 6F2, 6G1 and 6G2). Together, these findings suggest that PAK1 is present in the junction...
Fig 5. PAK1 activity is increased in Pmp22+/− nerves. (A) Western blot of phosphorylated PAK1 (T212) and total PAK1 (t-PAK1) in the sciatic nerves from 0.5–5 month-old Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− mice. Both T212 and t-PAK1 were not detectable in the sciatic nerves of Pak1−/− mice (line 9). (B) T212 level was normalized against t-PAK1 levels. T-PAK1 level was normalized against β-Tubulin levels. The levels of T212, but not t-PAK1 levels, were significantly increased in Pmp22+/− nerves, compared with those in Pmp22+/+ nerves. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (C) Western blot of S144 in the sciatic nerves of 3 month-old Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− mice. S144 were not detectable in the sciatic nerves of Pak1−/− mice (line 4). (D) S144 levels were normalized against t-PAK1 levels. S144 level was not significantly different between Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− nerves. (E) Western blot for phosphorylated MEK1 (S298) and total MEK1 (t-MEK1) in the sciatic nerves of 3 month-old Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22+/− mice. (F) S298 levels were normalized against t-MEK1 levels. S298 levels were significantly increased in Pmp22+/− nerves, compared with those in Pmp22+/+ nerves. ***P < 0.001. (G) Longitudinal (G1, G5) and transverse (G2, G6) sections of sciatic nerves were stained with antibodies against PAK1. The staining was superimposed with phase-contrast images (G3, G4), which showed PAK1 located in myelin and axons. PAK1 were not detectable in the sciatic nerves of Pak1−/− mice (G7). Scale bars = 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g005
Fig 6. PAK1 complexes with adherens junction proteins and is activated after junction complex is disrupted. (A) Human HA-tagged PMP22 was co-expressed with GFP or GFP-tagged E-cadherin in HEK293a cells. Cell lysates were loaded as inputs and blotted with the anti-HA or anti-GFP antibodies (Input lanes). Lysates were immunoprecipitated and blotted with GFP or HA antibodies (IP lanes). (B) GFP or GFP-tagged wild-type E-cadherin and mutants were co-expressed with HA-tagged PMP22 in HEK293a cells. Lysates were subjected to co-IP. IB = immunoblotting; IP = immunoprecipitation. E+T = mutant with intracellular domain deleted, T+I = mutant with extracellular domain deleted. (C) Lysates were extracted from mouse sciatic nerves at postnatal day 10, day 15 and 3 month-old Pmp22+/+ mice. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-E-cadherin antibody and the precipitated endogenous proteins were blotted with anti-PMP22, anti-β-catenin and anti-σ-catenin antibody. E-cadherin antibodies were able to pull down PMP22 in P10 and 15 days Pmp22+/+ nerves, but failed to do so in 3-month-old Pmp22+/+ and Pmp22−/− nerves (negative control). Also, E-cadherin antibodies were able to pull down β-catenin and σ-catenin in Pmp22+/+ nerves. IgG was used as another negative control. Note that the band of PMP22 was around 22kDa, suggesting a major portion of the PMP22 proteins were glycosylated. (D) IP using control IgG and anti-p120/
α-catenin antibody was carried out in extracts from mouse sciatic nerves at P10 and 3 month-old Pmp22+/- mice. The presence of β-catenin and E-cadherin in these IP was evaluated by immunoblotting. The p120-α-catenin antibodies were able to pull down β-catenin and E-cadherin in Pmp22+/- sciatic nerves. (E) Immunoprecipitation using control IgG and anti-β-catenin antibodies was carried out using extracts from mouse sciatic nerves at P10, 3 and 6 month-old Pmp22+/- mice. The presence of E-cadherin, α-catenin, or PAK1 in this IP was evaluated by immunoblotting. The β-catenin antibodies were able to pull down E-cadherin, α-catenin and PAK1 in Pmp22+/- sciatic nerves, but β-catenin failed to pull down PAK1 in Pak1-/- nerves. (F1) Schwann cells were transfected with α-catenin siRNA for 72 hours. Endogenous α-catenin and T212 were evaluated by immunoblotting. β-Tubulin was used as loading control. (F2) The α-catenin level was normalized against β-Tubulin level. T212 level was normalized against t-PAK1 level. Quantitative analysis showed an 85% knockdown of α-catenin level, compared to that in control siRNA. The levels of T212 were significantly increased in the α-catenin-siRNA cells. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. (G1) Western blot analysis of T212 and PAK1 were performed in Schwann cells following transfection of β-catenin and control siRNAs. (G2) Quantitative analysis shows a 95% knockdown of the β-catenin level. The levels of T212 were significantly increased in the β-catenin-siRNA cells. *** P < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g006

protein complexes. PAK1 may be activated when the junction protein complex is not formed normally.

To determine how PMP22 deficiency affects adherens junctions, we speculated an interaction between PMP22 and E-cadherin. This speculation was based on our previous study showing interactions between PMP22 and other junction proteins with Ig or Ig-like extracellular domains [5]. HA- or GFP-tagged PMP22 and E-cadherin were co-expressed in 293a cells. The co-IP showed an interaction between PMP22 and the extracellular domain of E-cadherin (Fig 6A and 6B). When co-IP was done in mouse sciatic nerve lysates, interactions between endogenous PMP22 and E-cadherin were only detectable in mice younger than postnatal day 15 but not in adult nerves (Fig 6C). This is in agreement with our immunostaining showing that PMP22 was localized into non-compact myelin regions in developing nerves but confined to internodal compact myelin and separated from myelin junctions in adult nerves [5]. These findings suggest that PMP22 might affect junction protein complex formation through its interactions with junction proteins during development. Abnormally formed junction complex would thereby activate PAK1.

Therapeutic effect of PAK1 inhibitor in Pmp22+-/- mice

Heterozygous deletion of PMP22 in patients with HNPP still leaves an intact allele of PMP22. The allele of PMP22 results in a partial production of PMP22 proteins [8], which would allow a portion of normal myelin junctions formed. We reasoned that the activated PAK1 would further disrupt those normally formed junctions, presumably via alterations of actin polymerization. PF3758309 is a commercially available PAK inhibitor. Chow et al have tested this compound (25mg/kg) in a skin cancer mouse model with a 7–10 day course of intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection [24]. The compound penetrated into the nervous system [25].

We first tested mouse tolerance to PF3758309 (i.p. daily). The dose of 2.5mg/kg or 25mg/kg killed over 50% of 20 Pmp22+-/- mice within 15 days with drastic reduction of body weight, but no death was found in 21 vehicle-treated Pmp22+-/- mice. Thus, Pmp22+-/- mice were treated with 0.25, 0.5, 1.0mg/kg PF3758309 or vehicle—saline (Fig 7 and Table 1). Animals tolerated these lower doses well with no change of body weight or increase of death. To give a sufficient time for recovery of myelin permeability, we injected the compound for 11 weeks. Injection started at age of postnatal day 7. The treatment prevented the decline of CMAP amplitudes with all three dosages (Fig 7A), but did not restore the CMAP amplitudes to the levels in Pmp22+/- mice. 3.2±0.6mV in ten 3-month-old Pmp22+/- mice versus 1.5±0.8mV in eight 3-month-old Pmp22+/- mice treated with 1.00mg/kg PF-3758309. The remaining outcomes were collected only from mice treated with the lowest dose of 0.25mg/kg. PF3758309 suppressed levels of T212, phosphorylated MEK1 (S298) and F-actin (Table 1, Fig 7C and 7D), as well as improved abnormal claudin-19 distributions (i.e., tight junctions; Table 1) and myelin
Fig 7. PAK1 inhibitor is therapeutic in Pmp22+/− mice. (A) NCS on mouse sciatic nerves showed significantly higher CMAP amplitude in 3-month-old Pmp22+/− mice treated with 0.25–1.0 mg/kg PF-3758309 (n = 42) for 11 weeks, compared with that in the vehicle group (n = 24). There was a trend of dose-dependent change. *P < 0.05. (B) This difference of CMAP amplitudes was also found between 7-12-month-old Pmp22+/− mice treated with 0.25 mg/kg PF-3758309 and the vehicle group. CMAP was measured every 10 days. By the end of one month, CMAP amplitudes were already significantly different between the treated and vehicle groups. Thus, the treatment was stopped at this point. The baseline CMAP amplitudes prior to the treatment were not different between the two groups but decreased over the course of treatment in the vehicle group and unchanged in the PF-3758309 group. **P < 0.01. (C) Teased nerve fibers from 3-month-old Pmp22+/− mice were stained with the fluorescence-phalloidin to reveal F-actin. A nerve fiber from a PF-3758309-treated mouse showed a lower intensity of F-actin fluorescence when compared with that in a nerve fiber from a vehicle-treated mouse. Arrowheads point to the node of Ranvier, which are flanked by paranodes on each side. Scale bars = 10 μm. (D) Fluorescence intensity of F-actin staining was quantified by placing a 2.5 μm x 2.5 μm interest box 10 μm away from the node of Ranvier. The intensity was compared between the PF-3758309 treated group and the vehicle group (n = 65–92 analyzed paranodes from 3 vehicle mice and 3 PF-3758309 treated mice). Note that a high level of F-actin in 3-month-old mice correlates well with a high level of PAK1 activity in the mice at the same age (Fig 5A). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E) Sciatic nerve fascicles from 3-month-old Pmp22+/− mice were incubated with 3kDa Dextran, as described [5], to evaluate the myelin permeability. Individual teased nerve fibers were imaged. Arrowheads point to the node of Ranvier. Notice that a nerve fiber from a mouse treated with vehicle showed higher fluorescence intensity than that in a nerve fiber from a mouse treated with PF-3758309. Scale bars = 10 μm. (F) Fluorescence intensity was quantified by placing a 2.5 μm x 2.5 μm interest box 10 μm away from the middle point of the node of Ranvier. The intensity was significantly decreased in 3-month-old PF-3758309-treated nerve fibers, compared to those from the vehicle group (n = 495–521 analyzed paranodes from 3 vehicle mice and 3 PF-3758309-treated mice). ***P < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g007
permeability (Fig 7E and 7F) compared with those in the vehicle group. Using teased nerve fibers, we quantified, as described [10], the percentages of nerve fibers with tomacula, a key pathology of HNPP. Tomacula were fewer in the treated group (Table 1).

It is important to determine whether the treatment is still effective after the developmental stage. Moreover, in human clinical trials, a range of ages, instead of a single age point, are usually included. Mice were enrolled at ages of 6–11 months. Again, F-actin levels were significantly lower in the PF3758309 (0.25mg/kg) group (Fig 7D). In Fig 7B, we measured CMAP amplitudes prior to the treatment. After treatment, CMAP amplitudes decreased about a half (from 1.6±0.7mV to 0.7±0.2mV) in the vehicle group, but the decrease was completely prevented over the course of PF3758309 treatment. The measurement of baseline CMAP was not possible in the 1st set of experiment since the injection started at age of 1 week when mouse paws were too small for any reliable recording.

The percentages of tomacula in the 2nd set of experiment were not significantly different between PF3758309 and vehicle groups. However, we noticed one outlier with the highest percentage of tomacula (39.7%) in PF3758309 group. We then counted another 14 Pmp22+/− mice (un-injected). None of them showed tomacula above 39.7%. When the outlier was removed, the difference of tomacula between PF3758309 and vehicle group was significant (the 6–7th column in Table 1). Finally, by Western blot, PAK1 activity indexed by T212 was decreased in PF3758309 groups compared with vehicle groups. The claudin-19 distribution was also improved in the treated group (Table 1).

Two additional PAK inhibitors (FRAX597 and FRAX486) were commercially available. However, they failed to inhibit PAK1 activity in mouse peripheral nerves (Ratio of T212/PAK1: vehicle 1.07±0.23 versus FRAX597 1.30±0.62, n = 4 vehicle mice and 5 FRAX597 mice, p = 0.502; vehicle 0.97±0.13 versus FRAX486 0.93±0.13, n = 4 vehicle mice and 5 FRAX486 mice, p = 0.601). They were not suitable for the treatment. This failure was presumably due to the poor penetrance into the peripheral nerve system.

Table 1. PAK1 inhibitor PF-3758309 is therapeutic in the Pmp22+/− mice

| 1 week old at start of injection (prior to tomacula formation) |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mouse number | drug dose | CV(m/s) | Ratio (T212/PAK1) | % Tomacula | % abnormal Claudin-19 | Ratio (S298/MEK1) |
| Vehicle | n = 8 (4F/4M) | 0.25 mg/kg/day; via I.P.; duration = 11 weeks | 20.3 ±1.5 | 0.75±0.0 | 35.8±5.5 | 55.7 ±16.6 a | 39.5 ±4.5 b | 0.90±0.1 |
| PF-3758309 | n = 8 (3F/5M) | 17.8 ±3.0 | 0.61±0.0 | 27.3±4.5 | 36.5 ±18.4 a | 27.7 ±4.6 b | 0.39±0.2 |
| P value | 0.069 | 0.015 | 0.028 | 0.045 | 0.010 | 0.004 |

| 6–11 month age at start of injection (after tomacula reached their peak prevalence) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mouse number | drug dose | CV(m/s) | Ratio (T212/PAK1) | % Tomacula | % Tomacula -outlier | % abnormal Claudin-19 |
| Vehicle | n = 8 (3F/5M) | 0.25 mg/kg/day; via I.P.; duration = 4 weeks c | 24.6 ±3.4 | 0.34±0.1 | 29.1±3.0 | 29.1±3.0 | 66.3±8.7 a |
| PF-3758309 | n = 8 (4F/4M) | 19.7 ±11.1 | 0.26±0.1 | 25.2±7.5 | 22.8±4.3 d | 43.5±13.3 a |
| P value | 0.628 | 0.037 | 0.228 | 0.010 | 0.012 |

a Quantification of paranodes with abnormal claudin-19 staining.
b Quantification of incisures with abnormal claudin-19 staining.
c At the 4th week of injection, NCS already detected a significant difference. Thus, the treatment was terminated earlier than 12 weeks for this group of mice.
d An outlier was taken off from the PF-3758309 group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.t001
PAK inhibitor suppresses F-actin formation via PAK1

Suppression of F-actin formation by PF3758309 may not be due to PAK1 but an off-target effect. One may test this issue by crossing Pak1-/- into Pmp22+/+ mice to remove Pak1 gene in Pmp22+/+ mice. However, a compensation effect of PAK2 activity in Pak1-/- mice has been observed [26]. We have confirmed an increase of phosphorylated PAK2 (S20) in Pak1-/- Schwann cells (row 6 in Fig 8). Thus, this approach does not help.

When Schwann cells were treated with either vehicle or PF3758309, F-actin became hardly detectable in Pak1+/+ cells. However, PF3758309 failed to change the levels of F-actin in Pak1-/- cells (row 1 in Fig 8). This finding suggests that PF3758309 suppresses F-actin formation mainly via PAK1.

Note that F-actin formation may be regulated by many different signaling pathways, not just PAK1. Thus, deletion of PAK1 alone in cells does not necessarily decrease the level of F-actin, which could be even increased by unidentified compensating mechanisms, such as PAK2 (row 1 in Fig 8). This notion was in line with the fact that PF3758309 inhibited all levels of F-actin, phosphorylated PAK1 (T212 in Table 1) and phosphorylated PAK2 (S20) (row 6 in Fig 8). This also suggests that PF3758309 is not a specific inhibitor for PAK1, but affects other PAKs.

Discussion

Our study revealed a novel mechanism—myelin junctions are disrupted through PAK1 activity in HNPP mouse model. This change results in conduction block in the Pmp22+/+ nerves,

![Graph showing F-actin, phosphorylated PAK1 (S144, T212) and phosphorylated PAK2 (S20) levels in Pak1+/+ and Pak1-/- primary Schwann cell culture after the cells were treated with PF-3758309 (9μM) for 12 hour.](doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g008)
thereby explaining focal sensory/motor deficits in HNPP. Moreover, myelin junction disruption occurred much earlier than segmental demyelination in Pmp22+/− mice [5, 10, 11]. Therefore, functional demyelination represents an upstream mechanism prior to the actual stripping of myelin, a key pathology shared by many demyelinating diseases. We believe that this is an important conceptual advance since this mechanism reveals molecular targets that may be intervened prior to the removal of myelin.

The conclusion above is supported by several lines of evidence. First, PAK1 activity indexed by T212 is increased in Pmp22+/− nerves. The time course of the PAK1-increase mirrors the progression of tomacula [10]. Second, based on our co-IP data, PAK1 interacts with adherens junction protein complexes either directly or indirectly, as demonstrated previously [23]. Interactions between PMP22 and other myelin-junction-related proteins have also been found in our previous study [5]. Because all myelin junctions are localized to the non-compact myelin regions [5], activated PAK1 would be available to affect other types of junctions and F-actin. T212 phosphorylation in PAK1 has been shown to recruit PAK1 to submembrane actins [20], where PAK1 activity may be further promoted by certain lipids, such as sphingosine or phosphoinositides, independent of small GTPases [27, 28]. Thus, an increase of T212 in Pmp22+/− nerves is highly relevant for F-actin formation locally around the myelin junctions.

This finding is consistent with numerous studies in epithelial cells that demonstrated junction disruption after altering actin polymerization [29, 30]. This finding is also in line with another study in transected mouse sciatic nerves; an increase of F-actin in myelin through the activation of a small GTPase, Rac1, promoted the removal of E-cadherin, a marker of adherens junctions. Inhibition of actin polymerization prevented the E-cadherin from being removed [16].

Interestingly, septate junctions are spared in Pmp22+/− nerves [5, 10], which was replicated in the present study. Like epithelial cells, myelinating Schwann cell polarizes into "apical-like and basolateral-like" domains [31]. We speculate that septate junctions are involved in a domain and mechanisms distinct from other myelin junctions. Indeed, in our previous study [5], PMP22 was found in the paranodal regions during the early development but was not observed in the septate junction region.

Third, PAK inhibitor (PF3758309) improved F-actin dysregulation, junction disruption, and abnormal myelin permeability in Pmp22+/− nerves. The decline of CMAP amplitudes was completely prevented by the PAK inhibitor. The inhibitor was even effective in the adult nerves with fully developed pathology (Fig 7 and Table 1). These results were from a large cohort of mice (total n = 82 mice) of two age groups with three different dosages. Effective dose of PF3758309 (0.25mg/kg) was 100 times lower than the dose of 25mg/kg used in skin cancer mouse model [24]. Such low dose of 0.25mg/kg PF3758309 makes its use safer. Indeed, we treated the mice for 11 weeks, which was a long duration rarely seen in literature and far longer than the duration of 7–10 days used in the skin cancer mouse model [24]. Yet, there was no increase of mouse mortality and observable side-effect.

PAK inhibitor was effective in aged animals (6–11 months; Table 1). While pharmacological treatment is unlikely given to asymptomatic patients, the PAK inhibitor would still be effective in the symptomatic patients with the pathology fully developed. This is also in line with our previous observation of dynamic paranodal changes during action potential propagation in adult nerves [32]. Thus, PAK inhibitors may become a promising therapy for HNPP.

Our data suggest that PF-3758309 also inhibits PAK2 in the peripheral nerves. Thus, the beneficial effect in the treated Pmp22+/− mice could relate to other types of PAKs, in addition to PAK1. Loss-of-function of PAK3 has been shown to affect synaptic plasticity [33]. Although the effective dose of PF-3758309 was very low in our study, it remains to be determined whether the treatment results in any side-effect in cognitive functions.
The severity of myelin permeability varied in different Pmp22+/− nerve fibers (Fig 1B in Guo et al Ann Neurol 2014) [5]. This variability would produce two different populations of myelinated nerve fibers in HNPP. Those in the first group have severely "leaky" myelin (i.e., high capacitance), leading to failure of action potential propagation in the absence of demyelination (Fig 3). We call this "functional demyelination". Inhibition of PAK1 is expected to restore the nerve conduction in the group of nerve fibers, which is reflected by the prevention of CMAP decline in PF3758309-treated Pmp22+/− mice (Fig 7 and Table 1). Those in the second group have mildly increased permeability of myelin, which still allows action potentials to propagate but would partially compromise the safety factor of action potential propagation. This compromised safety factor would put the PMP22-deficient nerve fibers at risk to conduction failure if the fiber is challenged by external factors, such as mechanical stress. Indeed, our previous study has demonstrated that mechanical compression induced conduction block in Pmp22+/− nerves faster than that in Pmp22+/+ nerves [10]. It is not until the very late stage (>10–12 months) when obvious segmental demyelination and axonal loss start in Pmp22+/− mice [10, 34, 35]. Note conduction velocities in NCS are determined by large myelinated nerve fibers [1]. As long as there are some large myelinated nerve fibers still conducting action potentials in Pmp22+/− nerves, conduction velocities would remain normal or minimally decreased. This is also consistent with the observations in patients with HNPP [11].

In summary, we propose a pathogenic mechanism of two steps—initiation and perpetuation (Fig 9). Initiation: PMP22 and E-cadherin (or other junction proteins) travel via the secretory pathway from endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/Golgi apparatus to cytoplasmic membrane [36, 37]. Like the polarized epithelial cells, E-cadherin in developing Schwann cells has to be transported from apical domain (internodal membrane) to basolateral domain (paranode and incisures) through endocytosis [22, 37]. PMP22 has been reported to regulate the endocytosis of E-cadherin via Arf6, an ATPase [38]. PMP22 may affect E-cadherin transport during development (Fig 6). In supporting this notion, our study has shown that PMP22 is transiently

![Fig 9. A proposed mechanism for junction disruption in HNPP.](image-url) Initiation—PMP22 and E-cadherin travel via the secretory pathway from endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/Golgi apparatus to cytoplasmic membrane [36, 37]. PMP22 has been reported to regulate the endocytosis of E-cadherin via Arf6 (an ATPase) [38]. PMP22 may also form a protein complex with E-cadherin during the secretory pathway. Deficiency of PMP22 would affect the transport processes of E-cadherin or other junction proteins, thereby leading to the abnormal formation of junction complex. Perpetuation—β-catenin in adherens junction protein complex has been shown to interact with PAK1 [23]. Abnormal formation of junction complex activates PAK1 in Pmp22+/− Schwann cells (Fig 6B), which further promotes disruption of junction protein complexes. PAK1 is known to regulate actin polymerization [17]. Thus, activation of PAK1 may disrupt the junctions via actin polymerization.

*doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006290.g009*
expressed in non-compact myelin regions of developing nerves but disappears in those compartments after maturation [5]. Because abnormal junction formation is upstream to the PAK1 activation, the PAK inhibitor would not affect this step. Perpetuation: HNPP with heterozygous deletion of PMP22 still have a normal allele that produces about a half of PMP22 proteins in normal controls [8]. These residual PMP22 proteins would permit some myelin junctions to form. However, after the activation of PAK1 by abnormally formed junction complex (Fig 6), PAK1 activity promotes further junction disruption in adulthood (Figs 2 and 6). Thus, this junction disruption, not the abnormal junction formation during early development, would be affected by the PAK inhibitor. It remains to be determined whether the junction disruption was through the increase of F-actin and/or via another unidentified pathway. However, studies in epithelial cells have demonstrated junction disruption by altering actin polymerization [29, 30]. After all, inhibition of PAK1 activity did suppress the formation of F-actin and improved claudin-19 distribution (Figs 7C, 7D and 8; Table 1). Together, these findings not only provide a mechanistic explanation for abnormal myelin permeability and impaired action potentials propagation in HNPP, but also offer a promising therapeutic approach for this disease.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All mice were housed in Tennessee Valley Healthcare System (TVHS) animal facility, a part of Vanderbilt University animal care system. Experimental procedures were approved by the IACUC of Vanderbilt University (M1500006-00). All mice in this study were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation, according to the guidelines of the IACUC at the Vanderbilt University and the recommendations of the Panel on Euthanasia of the American Veterinary Association.

Animals and drug administration

Pmp22+/- mice were backcrossed with C57Bl6J mice (Jackson Lab) for more than 10 generations to reach congenic. Mice were genotyped as described [9]. The primers for genotyping are listed in S3 Table. The congenic Pmp22+/- mice have been extensively characterized [5]. They showed pathology and other features similar to those in Pmp22+/- mice with mixed background (C57Bl6J/129) [10]. Pak1-/- mice were from Dr. Jonathan Chernoff’s lab, Fox Chase Cancer Center, USA. The Pak1-/- mice were produced in C57Bl6J background and have been described with negligible phenotype [19].

For PAK1 inhibitor (PF3758309) injections, Pmp22+/- mice were randomized into vehicle and treated groups. Based on our power calculation of variations derived from mouse compound nerve action potentials, 7 mice for each group would have a 92% chance to detect a significant difference. PF-3758309 (Cat# CT-PF0375, ChemieTek) was dissolved in normal saline for intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) daily.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Sciatic nerves or cells were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer (Cat# 87788, Thermo scientific) with proteinase/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C with rotation (70 rpm). Protein G agarose beads (Cat# 15920–010, Life technologies) were added for another 2 hour incubation at 4°C. Samples were eluted with Laemmli sample buffer (Cat# 161–0737, Bio-rad), resolved by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblot. All antibodies and their titers in this study are listed in S4 Table.
Evaluation of myelin permeability

This technique has been validated [5]. In brief, 1 cm sciatic nerve fascicles were submerged in artificial CSF after epineurium removal and sealed at both ends with Vaseline. A 3kDa Dextran of fluorescence (2 mg/ml, Cat# D3329, Life technologies) was added for one hour incubation at room temperature without oxygenation. After washing, the nerve fascicles were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 minutes and teased into individual nerve fibers on glass slides for fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescence intensity was quantified by placing a 5μm x 5μm interest box 10μm away from the middle point of the node of Ranvier.

β-catenin knockdown in Schwann cells

Silencing of β-catenin and σ-catenin were carried out using Accell SMARTpool siRNAs (Cat# A-062106-13 and A-040628-15, Dharmacon). Schwann cells were transfected with 1μM siRNA. The efficiency of the knockdown was evaluated by Western blot 72 hours after the transfection. Accell non-targeting siRNA (Cat# D-001910, Dharmacon) was used as negative control.

Immunofluorescence staining

This method was modified from our published study [5, 10]. In brief, sciatic nerves were fixed, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 5μm-thick slices. Sections were incubated overnight with primary antibodies at 4°C. After washing, sections were stained for 1 hour with secondary antibodies. The stained slides were examined under a Leica fluorescent microscope (Leica DM6000B). For teased nerve stains, sciatic nerves were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight and teased into individual fibers on glass slides. The slides were dried overnight, reacted with primary antibodies, and followed by secondary antibodies. For newly formed F-actin staining, as described [16], the existing F-actin in sciatic nerve explants was saturated with a cell-permeable actin-binding compound, jasplakinolide (Cat# 420127, Millipore), at 1μM in culture media. After washing with PBS, the explants were incubated in a drug-free media for 6 hours at 37°C. The explants were fixed in 4% PFA and teased for F-actin staining using fluorescent phalloidin (1:400, Cat# R415, Life Technologies).

Nerve conduction study (NCS)

NCS was previously described [10]. In brief, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (VetEquip Inc. Cat# 908106; 1.7L/minute of oxygen at 1.0 bar; 1.5% of the total oxygen flow being vaporized with Isoflurane). This anesthetic drug has been tested in our laboratory. It does not affect nerve conduction if the procedure is completed within 25 minutes. A skilled technician in our lab gets NCS done in each mouse within 6 minutes. For the experiments of conduction block in Fig 3, Avertin (250mg/kg, i.p.) was used for anesthesia. Avertin did not affect CMAP over 2 hours [5]. CMAP was recorded from the intrinsic foot muscle using needle electrodes. Stimulation electrodes were positioned percutaneously at the sciatic notch and adjacent to the tibial nerve at the ankle. CMAP amplitudes were measured from baseline to the peak of negative deflection.

Morphometric analysis of mouse sciatic nerves and electron microscopy with high pressure freezing

This method has been described [39]. Epon sections (1μM thickness) of mouse sciatic nerves were examined under the 63X objective. The entire field of transverse sections of each nerve
was imaged for analysis. Images were imported into software (ImagePro Plus). Areas of each field were counted to obtain the number of nerve fibers.

Electron microscopy on mouse sciatic nerves was performed as described [40]. Briefly, sciatic nerves were cryofixed in a high-pressure freezer (HPM100; Leica) and freeze substitution was performed in an embedding system at low temperature (AFS; Leica) using the tannic acid–OsO₄ protocol. Samples were embedded in Epon, sectioned (Ultracut S Ultramicrotome, Leica), and stained with an aqueous solution of 2% uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate. Samples were examined in a LEO EM 912AB electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Pictures were taken with an on-axis 2048x2048-CCD-camera (TRS, Moorenweis, Germany).

**Western blot**

Chopped sciatic nerves were immediately dropped into RIPA buffer (Cat# R0278, Sigma) with proteinase/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Cat# 5872, Cell Signaling). Samples were homogenized for protein isolation. Protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Prod#23225, Thermo Scientific). Samples were loaded into SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to a PVDF membrane. The membranes were blotted with 5% non-fat milk and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, and followed by secondary antibodies. The immune complexes were detected by the enhanced chemiluminescence (Cat# NEL103001, Perkin Elmer). In some cases, the blots were stripped and re-probed with additional antibodies. Quantification of band intensity was performed by the ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/).

**Plasmids and transfection**

E-cadherin-GFP plasmid was purchased from Addgene (Cat# 28009). PMP22-HA was obtained from Genocopoia (Cat# EX-D0117-M06). The primers for E-cadherin pE-cad-(E+T)-GFP were, forward: 5’-CCCAAGCTTGCCACCATGGGCCCTTGGAGCCGC-3’, reverse: 5’-CCGCTCGAGAAACAGCAAGCAGCAGAATCAG-3’; pE-cad-(T+I)-GFP, forward: 5’-CGGGGTACCGCCACCATGATTCTGGGGATTCTTGGAGG-3’, reverse: 5’-CCGCTCGAGGTCGTCCTCGCCGCCT-3’. The accuracy of all plasmids was verified by DNA sequencing. The plasmids were transfected into HEK293a cells by using Effectene (Cat# 301425, Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software version 6.0 or SAS 9.4. The data was represented as the mean ± SD. For normally distributed data, a Student’s t test was utilized. The Wilcoxon Rank-sum test was used when the data were not under normal distribution. Differences were considered significant when the P value was less than 0.05.

**Supporting Information**

S1 Fig. Myelin junction abnormalities were observed under electron microscopy. Adult mouse sciatic nerves were imaged under electron microscopy after processed through high-pressure freezer and freeze substitution to ensure excellent preservation of paranodal myelin. (A) Tight junctions between paranodal loops were clearly visible in Pmp22+/+ nerves (arrowheads). (B) In contrast, there was only one tight junction visible in a Pmp22+-/- paranode without a tomaculae. We cannot completely exclude that this change may be resulted from processing artifact. However, this preservation is the best that one could achieve with current technology. (C) In a different Pmp22+-/- paranode with a tomaculae, large split spaces between myelin lamina were evident and devoid of any tight or adherens junctions. This abnormality
was never observed in $Pmp22^{+/+}$ nerves. Tight junctions and adherens junctions were not visible in these regions. As discussed in our previous publication [1], the paranodal lamina splitting might also be contributed by the loss of transmembrane adhesion molecules, such as JAM3 [2]. (D) This figure shows the tracing of all layers of EM tomography. The large paranodal lamina split involves all layers.

**S2 Fig. Localization of Caspr and Neurofascin in 3-month-old $Pmp22^{+/−}$ mouse nerves was not altered.** (A) Longitudinal sections of 3-month-old $Pmp22^{+/+}$ and $Pmp22^{+/−}$ mouse sciatic nerves were stained with antibodies against Caspr. Caspr immunoreactivity was normal in the paranodal (arrowhead) regions. (B) Teased never fibers of 3-month-old $Pmp22^{+/+}$ and $Pmp22^{+/−}$ mouse sciatic nerves were stained with antibody against neurofascin. The pattern of neurofascin staining in $Pmp22^{+/−}$ paranodal (arrowhead) or incisures (arrow) was normal, even though the intensity of neurofascin appeared to be increased. (C) When comparison was made between the two genotypic groups, there was no significant difference of Caspr-stained paranodes from 3 months to 10 months of age ($n = 55–110$ paranodes and $310–440$ incisures from either $3Pmp22^{+/+}$ or $3Pmp22^{+/−}$ mice at each age group; $p > 0.05$). (D) The percentages of neurofascin-stained paranodes or incisures from 3 months to 10 months of age were also not different between the two genotypic groups ($n = 240–350$ paranodes and $800–1,100$ incisures from $3Pmp22^{+/+}$ and $3Pmp22^{+/−}$ mice at each age group; $p > 0.05$).

**S3 Fig. Ablation of Pak1 in mice results in no phenotype in the peripheral nerves.** (A) Genotyping detected $Pak1^{+/+}$, $Pak1^{+/−}$ and $Pak1^{−/−}$ mice. (B) Duration on a rotating bar was tested using Rotarod. There was no significant difference between $Pak1^{+/+}$ and $Pak1^{−/−}$ mice. (C, D) Nerve conduction studies were performed on the sciatic nerves and showed no significant difference of CMAP amplitude or conduction velocity between $Pak1^{+/+}$ and $Pak1^{−/−}$ mice. (E) The mouse sciatic nerves were examined by semithin sections. There was no abnormality was found in $Pak1^{+/+}$ and $Pak1^{−/−}$ mice up to 17 months of age.

**S4 Fig. Total PAK2 was not increased in $Pmp22^{+/−}$ nerve fibers.** PAK2, but not PAK3, were detectable in mouse sciatic nerves by Western blot. The level of PAK2 was normal in $Pmp22^{+/−}$ nerves.

**S5 Fig. Characterization of adherens junction protein complex in conditionally immortalized mouse Schwann cells.** Conditionally immortalized Schwann cells were generated as described [5]. The property of primary cells is largely preserved in these cells [5]. In brief, $Pmp22^{+/+}$ or $Pak1^{−/−}$ mice were crossed with $SV40^t g$ transgenic mice to produce $Pmp22^{+/+}/SV40^t g$ or $Pak1^{−/−}/SV40^t g$ mice. At P5, sciatic nerves were dissected to culture Schwann cells at $33°C$. The low temperature activated $SV40^t g$ transgene to express $SV40$ that promoted cell proliferation to a large quantity [2, 4]. Cells were allowed to differentiate by transferring them to a $37°C$ incubator, which inactivated the $SV40$ transgene. $Pmp22^{+/+}/SV40^t g$ Schwann cells exhibited their typical spindle shape. (A) These Schwann cells expressed a Schwann cell marker of S100. (B) To determine whether adherence junction protein complex is present in the Schwann cells, Co-IP was performed and showed that $β$-catenin was able to pull-down E-cadherin and P120.

**S1 Table. Nerve conduction studies in mouse sciatic nerves.** *Data in S1 Table and S2 Table were collected from mice with mixed C57B6/129 background before the C57Bl6 congenic mice
were available in our lab. CMAP amplitudes in the table above appear to be higher than those in congenic mice shown in Fig 7. This difference would not affect any conclusion since CMAP and the morphometric data in S2 Table were collected at the same time in the same mice.

S2 Table. Morphometric analysis in mouse sciatic nerves. The total numbers of myelinated nerve fibers were not significantly different between \textit{Pmp22}^{+/+} and \textit{Pmp22}^{+/-} mice. Because the transverse areas of sciatic nerves were normal in \textit{Pmp22}^{+/-} mice (for 3-month old mice, \textit{Pmp22}^{+/+} 92,659±6,011 \mu m^2 versus \textit{Pmp22}^{+/-} mice 107,059±18,535 \mu m^2; p = 0.16), this comparison was equivalent to a comparison of nerve fiber density. In addition, all semithin sections of these sciatic nerves showed no signs of axonal degeneration, including axonal atrophy, accumulation of intra-axonal organelles and myelin collapsed into axons \cite{5}. Note that the smallest myelinated nerve fibers on semithin sections may not be clearly visualized and accurately measured under light microscopy. They were omitted from the analysis. This would affect the total number of myelinated nerve fibers, but would not affect the conclusion since HNPP mainly affects the large diameter myelinated nerve fibers \cite{6}. The abnormalities of myelin junctions in \textit{Pmp22}^{+/-} nerves are predominantly seen in large myelinated nerve fibers. Because amplitudes of CMAP are mainly contributed by myelinated nerve fibers with large diameters \cite{7}, we also compared the number of nerve fibers with diameters \geq 5 \mu M. No significant difference was found between \textit{Pmp22}^{+/+} and \textit{Pmp22}^{+/-} mice.

S3 Table. Mouse genotyping primers.

S4 Table. Primary antibodies. Claudin-19: gift from Dr. Furuse M. Kyoto University, Japan; JAM-C: gift from Professor Beat Imhof, CMU-University of Geneva, Switzerland; Pan Neurofascin: gift from Professor Peter Brophy, The University of Edinburgh, Scotland.
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