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Abstract

Background: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a complex disorder caused by a combination of genetic and non-genetic risk factors. In addition, an increasing evidence suggests that epigenetic mechanisms also accompany AD. Genetic and epigenetic factors are not independent, but multiple loci show genetic-epigenetic interactions, the so-called quantitative trait loci (QTLs). Recently, we identified the first QTL association with AD, namely Peptidase M20 Domain Containing 1 (PM20D1). We observed that PM20D1 DNA methylation, RNA expression, and genetic background are correlated and, in turn, associated with AD. We provided mechanistic insights for these correlations and had shown that by genetically increasing and decreasing PM20D1 levels, AD-related pathologies were decreased and accelerated, respectively. However, since the PM20D1 QTL region encompasses also other genes, namely Nuclear Casein Kinase and Cyclin Dependent Kinase Substrate 1 (NUCKS1); RAB7, member RAS oncogene family-like 1 (RAB7L1); and Solute Carrier Family 41 Member 1 (SLC41A1), we investigated whether these genes might also contribute to the described AD association.

Results: Here, we report a comprehensive analysis of these QTL genes using a repertoire of in silico methods as well as in vivo and in vitro experimental approaches. First, we analyzed publicly available databases to pinpoint the major QTL correlations. Then, we validated these correlations using a well-characterized set of samples and locus-specific approaches—i.e., Sanger sequencing for the genotype, cloning/sequencing and pyrosequencing for the DNA methylation, and allele-specific and real-time PCR for the RNA expression. Finally, we defined the functional relevance of the observed alterations in the context of AD in vitro. Using this approach, we show that only PM20D1 DNA methylation and expression are significantly correlated with the AD-risk associated background. We find that the expression of SLC41A1 and PM20D1—but not NUCKS1 and RAB7L1—is increased in mouse models and human samples of AD, respectively. However, SLC41A1 and PM20D1 are differentially regulated by AD-related stressors, with only PM20D1 being upregulated by amyloid-β and reactive oxygen species, and with only PM20D1 being neuroprotective when overexpressed in cell and primary cultures.

Conclusions: Our findings reinforce PM20D1 as the most likely gene responsible of the previously reported PM20D1 QTL association with AD.
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Background

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disorder in western societies. It is characterized by a progressive decline in mental abilities, neuronal loss, and the accumulation of two types of protein aggregates, amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles [1]. The causes of AD remain elusive, but AD occurrence is currently understood as the consequence of a complex combination of genetic and non-genetic factors [2], the latter of which are believed to be mediated by epigenetic mechanisms [3, 4].

The genetic component of AD has been generally interrogated by genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which have identified an important number of risk loci associated with AD [5–7], but a causal relationship thereof remains difficult to establish. In contrast, the epigenetic contribution to AD is mainly interrogated by
locus-specific or epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) [8, 9], which have revealed site-specific epigenetic alterations and thereby provide mechanistic insights for a particular risk gene, but often lack the statistical power of GWAS [10]. Combining both approaches, it is now possible to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that correlate with alterations in DNA methylation levels—the so-called methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs).

Recently, we reported the first mQTL association with AD, which converges on the gene Predicted Metalloproteinase 20D1 (PM20D1) [11]. We have shown that AD-related cellular stressors—such as the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and amyloid-beta (Aβ)—increase PM20D1 expression, that PM20D1 expression is upregulated in symptomatic APP/PS1 AD mice and human AD samples, and that genetic manipulation of PM20D1 levels can modify the progression of the disease in APP/PS1 mice: When PM20D1 was overexpressed, disease progression was delayed; when PM20D1 was decreased, disease progression was accelerated.

At the same time, two high-throughput studies have expanded the PM20D1 expression QTL (eQTL) region, showing that the expression of neighboring genes to PM20D1 also correlate with several SNPs in that region, which include Nuclear Casein Kinase And Cyclin Dependent Kinase Substrate 1 (NUCKSI); RAB7, member RAS oncogene family-like 1 (RAB7L1); and Solute Carrier Family 41 Member 1 (SLC41A1), plus PM20D1 [12, 13]. Therefore, in spite of the reported functional validations for PM20D1 [11], we cannot completely exclude the possibility that these genes also contribute to the progression of AD.

In the present study, we combine a series of in silico methods with in vivo and vitro experiments to provide a comprehensive analysis of PM20D1 eQTL genes, curated evidence for an AD association centered on PM20D1, and further support for the protective role of PM20D1 in AD.

**Methods**

**DNA methylation and genetic background**

Hannon et al.’s mQTL database was interrogated for mQTLs—SNPs and CpGs—in each of the potential PM20D1 QTL region genes [14]. When significant, Bonferroni-corrected p values were directly reported. mQTL SNPs were then investigated in our post-mortem human brain cohort of samples from the IDIBELL Biobank (Barcelona, Spain). DNA was isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction from gray matter of 18 control (Braak 0–II; 32% female; age 64 ± 3 years, mean ± SEM) and 21 Alzheimer’s disease frontal cortex samples (Braak V–VI; 43% female; age 77 ± 2 years, mean ± SEM). Genotypes were obtained by Sanger sequencing using primers listed in Additional file 1: Table S1. DNA was bisulfite converted using the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research), and tested for bisulfite cloning/sequencing and pyrosequencing as previously described [11]. Bisulfite conversion was ensured by including non-CG cytosines in the dispensation sequence following the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers for bisulfite cloning/sequencing and pyrosequencing are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

**RNA expression and genetic background**

GTEX [12] and LIBD [13] datasets were investigated for eQTL correlations in each of the potential PM20D1 QTL region genes. When significant, FDR-corrected p values were directly reported. Only previously annotated genes were considered for LIBD prefrontal (PFC) and hippocampal (Hip) datasets—i.e., Type = “Gene,” Class = “InEns”; and Type = all, Class = “InGen,” respectively. eQTL SNPs were then investigated in our cohort of samples. RNA purification was performed using TRIzol (Invitrogen), reverse-transcribed using the Thermoscript RT-PCR system (Invitrogen), and tested using StepOne-Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and SYBR Green PCR MasterMaster Mix (Applied Biosystems). Three housekeeping genes were used for normalizing PCR signals. Primers for real-time PCR are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

The GeneNetwork database (http://www.genenetwork.org) was also analyzed for the PM20D1 QTL region genes in the BxD mice population. BxD mice derive from multiple intercrosses of the C57BL/6J (B) and DBA/2J (D) progenitor mice, later inbred to fix the generated genetic variation [15]. To date, close to 200 BxD strains have been generated and extensively characterized—at genetic, transcriptomic, and phenotypic levels (http://www.genenetwork.org/)—which constitutes a well-established genetic reference for the analysis of QTLs [16]. The eQTL analysis was performed using the BxD recombinant inbred (RI) Family group, Liver mRNA type, EPFL/LISP BXD CD Liver Affy Mouse Gene 1.0 ST (Apr13) RMA Exon Level dataset, with the interval mapping for the entire genome [16]. Images from GeneNetwork Map Viewer are represented.

**QTL expression in Alzheimer’s disease**

PM20D1 QTL region gene expression was investigated in the aforementioned human brain cohort and five 12-month-old APP/PSEN1 [17] and five wild-type littermate male mouse frontal cortex samples. All animals were maintained under standard animal house conditions in a 12-h dark-light cycle with free access to food and water. The experimental procedures were conducted according to EPFL’s and Switzerland’s...
guidelines on animal welfare (cantonal animal experimentation authorization numbers VD2875.1 and VD3169).

Functional validation
SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells (ATCC) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 20% FBS, 100 µ/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with 0.2% hydrogen peroxide (Merck) and with synthetic amyloid-β (1–42)-derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) (Abcam) during 6 h and 24 h. Cell viability was measured using Alamarblue cell viability assay (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primary hippocampal neuron-glia co-cultures derived from P0 wild-type was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primary hippocampal neuron-glia co-cultures derived from P0 wild-type mice were cultured in media consisting of Neurobasal (Invitrogen), B27 supplement (Invitrogen), L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) (0.2 ml per well) on 96-well plates (2.5 x 10⁴ cells per well) coated with Cultrex poly-l-lysine (Trevigen). Cells were infected at DIV6 with 20 × 10³ (200 ng/well) viral particles containing either a GFP (mock) or a PM20D1/SLC41A1 version of the pLVX-IRES-ZsGreen1 vector (Promega). At DIV 14–17, cytotoxicity was assessed using the CytoTox96 non-radioactive cytotoxicity assay (PROMEGA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis
The analyses were performed using Prism 6.0 (GraphPad). Correlations were calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficients, and comparisons using one-way ANOVA with post hoc Holm-Sidak’s multiple comparison tests. p values smaller than 0.05 were considered statistically significant and provided in the figures as follows: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005, and ****p < 0.0001.

Results
DNA methylation and genetic background
PM20D1 DNA methylation is strongly correlated with the rs708727-rs960603 haplotype, and both, methylation and haplotype, are associated with AD [11] (Fig. 1a). In particular, multiple CpG sites in the PM20D1 promoter show strong correlations with rs1172198, rs708727, rs823082, rs823088, rs1361754, and rs960603 mQTL SNPs [14] (Table 1). Our previous whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) analysis discarded similar correlations with PM20D1 neighboring genes [11]. However, since the eQTL region has been recently expanded in other tissues, which now also include the genes NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 [12, 13] (Table 2), we cannot completely rule out the possibility that these genes show similar correlations. In particular, the GTEx consortium [12] found correlations between these particular mQTLs and the levels of RNA expression for NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 in the cerebellum; for PM20D1 in the hippocampus; and for NUCKS1, RAB7L1, SLC41A1, and PM20D1 in other non-brain tissues (e.g., blood, tibial nerve) [12]. In addition, the LIBD study [13] found correlations for RAB7L1, SLC41A1, and PM20D1 in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and for RAB7L1 and PM20D1 in the hippocampus [13] (Table 2).

Hence, we have expanded our previous analysis and measured the levels of DNA methylation of these genes in a genetically well-characterized human cohort of brain samples by locus-specific bisulfite sequencing and pyrosequencing (Fig. 1b, c). We observed no significant correlations between NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 DNA methylation levels and the genetic background (Fig. 1b, c). In fact, NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 promoter regions remained largely unmethylated, independently of the genetic background (Fig. 1b). In contrast, PM20D1 DNA methylation was strongly correlated with the genetic background (Fig. 1b, c). Thus, despite of a previously reported slight correlation for SLC41A1, i.e., values ranging from 7 to 9% of DNA methylation [14] (Table 1), PM20D1 arises as the only gene in this QTL region that truly qualifies as mQTL.

RNA expression and genetic background
Similar to DNA methylation, we analyzed the RNA expression levels of the PM20D1 QTL genes by allele-specific and real-time PCR (Fig. 1d, e). NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 were found to be expressed from both chromosomes (Fig. 1d), and showed no significant correlation with the genetic background, although a trend for SLC41A1 was observed (Fig. 1e). In contrast, the expression levels of PM20D1 were significantly correlated with the genetic background, and PM20D1 was mainly expressed from non-methylated chromosomes (Fig. 1b, c).

To further explore the PM20D1 QTL region, we took advantage of the BxD mouse population [15], since mice and humans share a large number of synteny blocks [18], including the PM20D1 QTL locus. Supporting our previous results, similar relationships were also observed in the BxD population, i.e., no effect of the genetic background (Fig. 1f). In contrast, PM20D1 DNA methylation is strongly correlated with the genetic background (Fig. 1f). Thus, despite of a previously reported slight correlation for SLC41A1, i.e., values ranging from 7 to 9% of DNA methylation [14] (Table 1), PM20D1 arises as the only gene in this QTL region that truly qualifies as mQTL.

QTL expression in Alzheimer’s disease
Genes in close proximity tend to share common regulatory elements and to correlate in expression [19, 20]. PM20D1 is upregulated in human and mouse samples of AD [11], which stipulates that its neighboring genes


Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
might be dysregulated in similar fashion. Accordingly, both SLC41A1 and PM20D1 eQTL low expression carriers seem to be associated with the same AD-risk haplotype (e.g., rs708727 AA carriers, Table 2 and Fig. 1e). To account for this possibility, we assessed the levels of expression of the PM20D1 QTL genes in APP/PS1 mice and AD human postmortem samples, which were stratified by the rs708727 genotype. No significant expression differences were observed for NUCKS1 or RAB7L1 in the frontal cortex of APP/PS1 mice at symptomatic stages (Fig. 3a), nor in human AD frontal cortex (Fig. 3b). Conversely, SLC41A1 levels were significantly increased in both APP/PS1 mice (Fig. 3a) and human AD samples, together with PM20D1 (Fig. 3b), which raises the question whether SLC41A1 might have similar neuroprotective functions as PM20D1 or, alternatively, whether it participates of AD pathology.

**Functional validation**

To further disentangle the potential influence of PM20D1 QTL genes in AD, we next assayed their expression upon Aβ and ROS exposure, two pathogenic hallmarks of AD [2]. No significant differences were observed for NUCKS1 or RAB7L1 genes (Fig. 4a, b). Conversely, both Aβ and ROS treatments significantly increased PM20D1 (Fig. 4a, b), while ROS but not Aβ altered SLC41A1 expression, albeit in opposite direction (Fig. 4a, b). Of note, the expression of PM20D1 and SLC41A1 was expected to be positively correlated since both eQTLs are associated with the same AD-risk haplotype—i.e., they share common regulatory elements—and both genes are increased in mouse and human samples of AD. However, our results suggest that PM20D1 and SLC41A1 are regulated by different mechanisms since only PM20D1 is upregulated by AD-related stressors—i.e., Aβ and ROS.

Lastly, to investigate whether SLC41A1, alongside with PM20D1 [11], might also influence AD progression, we overexpressed both genes in SH-SY5Y cells and primary neuronal cultures using lentiviral constructs, and assayed both ROS-induced cell death and cell viability. Confirming our previous results [11], PM20D1 overexpression decreased ROS-induced cell death (Fig. 4c) and increased cell viability (Fig. 4d). In contrast, SLC41A1 overexpression did not only not protect against ROS-induced cell death in SH-SY5Y cells (Fig. 4c), but instead proved to be detrimental per se (Fig. 4d), which is reminiscent of a previous report in which SLC41A1 overexpression was shown to reduce cell survival in multiple cell lines [21]. Counterintuitively, the AD-risk haplotype [11] is associated with lower levels of SLC41A1 expression, together with PM20D1, which seems to indicate

**Table 1** PM20D1 mQTL region genes and SNPs and their DNA methylation correlation obtained from the Hannon database

| Gene   | CpG   | rs1172198 | rs708727 | rs823082 | rs823088 | rs1361754 | rs960603 | Min  | Max  | Delta |
|--------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|------|------|-------|
| NUCKS1 | -     | -         | -        | -        | -        | -         | -        | -    | -    | -     |
| RAB7L1 | -     | -         | -        | -        | -        | -         | -        | -    | -    | -     |
| SLC41A1| cg23034840 | 7.60E–08  | -        | 2.90E–05 | -        | -         | -        | 7    | 9    | 2     |
| PM20D1 | cg07157834 | 3.60E–22  | -        | -        | -        | -         | 83       | 90   | 7    |
| PM20D1 | cg24503407 | 4.60E–08  | 1.90E–25 | 7.20E–16 | -        | 7.20E–16  | 1.80E–12 | 60   | 87   | 27    |
| PM20D1 | cg07167872 | 2.80E–07  | 5.00E–26 | 7.00E–16 | 4.10E–10 | 6.90E–16  | 1.90E–13 | 41   | 79   | 38    |
| PM20D1 | cg11965913 | 2.30E–11  | 2.30E–37 | 2.50E–19 | 1.50E–10 | 2.50E–19  | 1.30E–14 | 15   | 75   | 60    |
| PM20D1 | cg14893161 | 1.30E–12  | 4.30E–34 | 7.00E–19 | 1.30E–09 | 7.00E–19  | 1.40E–13 | 17   | 70   | 53    |
| PM20D1 | cg14159672 | 1.30E–08  | 3.00E–30 | 3.20E–18 | 1.20E–10 | 3.20E–18  | 3.10E–13 | 22   | 87   | 65    |
| PM20D1 | cg26354017 | 1.40E–09  | 5.60E–33 | 2.10E–18 | 4.50E–10 | 2.10E–18  | 1.10E–14 | 12   | 83   | 71    |
| PM20D1 | cg17178900 | 7.00E–08  | 1.20E–19 | 1.20E–13 | -        | 1.20E–13  | 4.10E–09 | 40   | 87   | 47    |

Values represent CpG DNA methylation and SNP correlation Bonferroni-corrected p values from Hannon et al. [14], n = 166 prefrontal cortex samples (https://epigenetics.essex.ac.uk/mQTL). Min lowest value, Max highest value, Delta highest – lowest values. Significantly differentially probes for each gene are represented. ‘*’ not significant
**Table 2** | PM20D1 mQTL region genes and SNPs and their RNA expression correlations from GTEX and LIBD databases and our own cohort

| SNP         | GTEX Hip | GTEX CB | GTEX TN | LIBD PFC | LIBD Hip | FCX  | GTEX Hip | GTEX CB | GTEX TN | LIBD PFC | LIBD Hip | FCX  |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|------|
| rs1172198   | n.s.     | n.s.    | 3.30E−12| n.s.     | n.s.     | n.s. | 1.80E−05| 1.00E−11| n.s.    | n.s.     | n.s.    | n.s. |
| rs823082    | n.s.     | n.s.    | 4.00E−08| 1.50E−12| 5.20E−06| n.s. | 8.20E−07| 7.80E−13| n.s.    | 4.40E−03| n.s.    | n.s. |
| rs823088    | n.s.     | n.s.    | 3.60E−06| 5.00E−08| n.s.     | n.s. | 2.00E−06| 3.20E−10| n.s.    | n.s.    | n.s.    | n.s. |
| rs1361754   | n.s.     | n.s.    | 1.40E−36| 1.90E−36| 2.04E−07| 1.34E−03| 0.01E+00| 2.40E−13| n.s.    | 1.60E−66| 4.73E−14| 3.83E−07| 2.83E−07|
| rs960603    | n.s.     | n.s.    | 4.30E−10| 3.37E−04| 6.71E−07| n.s. | 8.25E−04| 2.75E−05| n.s.    | n.s.    | n.s.    | n.s. |
| rs960603    | n.s.     | n.s.    | 3.20E−09| 1.40E−29| 9.97E−06| 0.02E+16| 3.00E+00| 3.20E−16| n.s.    | 0.00E+00| n.s.    | 4.94E−04|

Values in GTEX and LIBD columns represent gene expression and SNP correlation FDR-corrected p values from GTEX [12](https://www.gtexportal.org) and LIBD (http://eqtl.brainseq.org) [13] datasets. GTEX, hippocampus (Hip) n = 111, cerebellum (CB) n = 154, tibial nerve (TN) n = 361; LIBD, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) n = 412, hippocampus (Hip) n = 394. In italics, correlation p values obtained from our cohort of frontal cortex samples (FCX) n = 39. n.s. not significant.
Fig. 2 eQTL analysis overview of BxD mouse cohort extracted from the GeneNetwork database. The **PM20D1** QTL region is located on chromosome 1, where the sequence site is indicated (in purple). Top correlated SNPs are indicated in gray. Top values represent chromosomes. Left values represent likelihood ratio statistics (LRS), blue line. Thresholds for suggestive and significant LRS are indicated in gray and red, respectively. Right values represent additive coefficients (AC), green and red lines for C57BL/6 J (B) and DBA/2 J (D) alleles, respectively.
that the epigenetic association with AD and the upregulation of SLC41A1 in AD are the consequence of different mechanisms.

In sum, these lines of evidence corroborate our previous results on PM20D1 and suggest that PM20D1—which is upregulated and protective in response to AD-related stressors—is the main gene responsible of the AD-risk haplotype, while the evidence for SLC41A1 is less consistent and in a different direction.

Discussion

Our previous study has identified PM20D1 as a risk factor for AD [11]. We found that PM20D1 DNA methylation and RNA expression were correlated with the genetic background, which, in turn, was associated with AD. Moreover, we demonstrated that by genetically increasing and decreasing PM20D1 expression, AD-related pathologies were decreased and increased, respectively. Recently, the PM20D1 QTL region has been expanded to other genes, including NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 genes [12, 13] (data shown in Table 2). These genes are in partial linkage disequilibrium with PM20D1 and, thereby, potentially contribute to our AD-associated risk haplotype.

In order to test this hypothesis, we have performed a comprehensive analysis of PM20D1 QTL genes, at the DNA methylation, RNA expression, and functional level, using APP/PS1, human postmortem AD samples, as well as mouse in vivo and in vitro experiments. We found no significant correlations between the genetic background and the DNA methylation and/or RNA expression levels of other PM20D1 QTL genes, except for the previously reported PM20D1 itself (Fig. 1b–e, Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 2). This is in contrast to other studies, which, in addition to PM20D1, reported significant RNA expression correlations with the genetic background for NUCKS1, RAB7L1, and SLC41A1 (Table 2) [12, 13]. However, the strongest effects were found for rs708727 and PM20D1 DNA methylation, and for rs708727 and PM20D1 RNA expression in all datasets containing PM20D1, which pinpoints PM20D1 as the major QTL in the region (Tables 1 and 2). The reported discrepancies between these studies could lie in the power of the analysis, since the GTEX and LIBD studies contain bigger cohorts; different methods used, i.e., locus-specific versus the high-throughput approaches; and tissue- or brain region-specific eQTL effects, for example, PM20D1 is not found in the cerebellum GTEX dataset. Consequently, whether PM20D1 is cell-type and/or tissue-specifically regulated will surely be a matter of future investigations.

Between control and AD samples, we observed no significant differences between the levels of RNA expression of PM20D1 QTL genes either, except for the previously reported PM20D1 and the newly reported SLC41A1, which were both increased in mouse and human samples of AD (Fig. 3a, b). However, AD-related stressors such as Aβ and ROS exclusively upregulated PM20D1 expression, but not SLC41A1, which was either not affected or downregulated, respectively. In line with these results, only PM20D1 overexpression was found to protect against ROS-mediated cytotoxicity (Fig. 4c) and to increase cell viability (Fig. 4d), whereas SLC41A1 overexpression was either not protective (Fig. 4c) or even detrimental (Fig. 4d). These results are in concordance with other previous investigations. PM20D1 overexpression and depletion have been shown to be well tolerated [22, 23]. Both adeno-associated virus transduced [22] and PM20D1 knockdown [23] mice are viable and appear healthy, although these manipulations led to altered N-acyl amino acid production, which affects thermogenesis regulation [22, 23]. On the contrary, both the overexpression and the depletion of SLC41A1 have been found to be detrimental. SLC41A1 overexpression reduced cell survival in multiple cell lines [21], while morpholino-mediated depletion induced severe developmental abnormalities in zebrafish [24], which
suggests the need of well-controlled levels of SLC41A1. Interestingly, both PM20D1 and SLC41A1 are expressed by astrocytes [25] and might therefore be indirectly associated with increased levels of gliosis in AD [17, 26]. However, as the lack of expression of both PM20D1 and SLC41A1 is associated with a higher risk of AD, but only PM20D1 is upregulated by AD-related stressors and neuroprotective, this suggests that first, PM20D1 is the most relevant gene for AD within the analyzed loci, and second, that the increased levels of SLC41A1 in AD might be a mere consequence of the increased number of astrocytes.

Interestingly, PM20D1 has previously been shown to activate mitochondrial uncoupling [22], which promotes neuronal survival [27] and appears to be protective against neurodegenerative disorders including AD and Parkinson’s disease (PD) [28]. Mitochondrial uncoupling plays important roles in the adaptive responses to bioenergetic and oxidative stressors. It decreases ROS production, prevents mitochondrial calcium accumulation, and regulates protein and substrate mitochondrial import [29]. In addition, PM20D1 itself has also been related to several disorders characterized by high levels of ROS, such as diabetes [22] and obesity [22], and to neurodegenerative diseases with strong mitochondrial alterations, including multiple sclerosis [30] and PD [31]. Taken together, these data suggest a potential use of PM20D1-derived treatment approaches not only for AD, but likely also other diseases.

In sum, our results further support that PM20D1 is the most likely responsible candidate of the previously reported QTL association with AD, and reinforce its protective role in AD. How precisely PM20D1 exerts its protective functions now requires further investigations.
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