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A B S T R A C T

Staphylococcus aureus is recognized as an epidemiologically important nosocomial pathogen. Staphylococcal infections are frequent in hospitalised patients despite antibiotic therapy (e.g. MRSA) and these patients may have severe consequences. The ecological niches of *S.aureus* are anterior nares (primary reservoir), skin, mucosal surfaces. HCWs constitute an important reservoir of *S. aureus*. Nasal MRSA carriage is a recognised risk factor for subsequent infection of endogenous origin. So, the present study was planned to determine the prevalence of nasal carriage of MRSA among HCWs. The cross sectional study was conducted in Government medical college, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh India during study period from July 2018 to October 2018 on 100 HCWs. Procedure was performed as per standard microbiological techniques. Data was then compiled in MS Excel and statistical tests were applied. It was found out that the prevalence of nasal carriage of *S.aureus* was 21%. Out of which 61% were MRSA. The prevalence among nurses, doctors, lab technicians, class IV workers were 22.85%, 0%, 10%, 20% respectively. It can be concluded that the prevalence of MRSA is huge among nurses. Awareness regarding simple preventive measures, judicious use of antibiotics, treatment using 2% mupirocin nasal ointment and periodic screening to identify carriers can help reduce transmission.
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Introduction

*Staphylococcus* (staphyl in Greek means bunch of grapes, kokkos meaning berry) are gram positive, catalase positive, coagulase positive, non motile, non sporing, occasionally capsulated spherical cocci, approximately 1 micrometre in diameter that occur in grape like clusters and are ubiquitous. Many of its species are known to be commensals in humans. *Staphylococcus aureus* is recognized as an epidemiologically important pathogen. These species are important as they can develop resistance against various classes of antibiotics (penicillin, methicillin etc.). One such identified antibiotic resistant pathogen is Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA).

Earlier Staphylococcal infections were treated by penicillin but by 1944, more than 90% of staphylococcal strains became resistant. This was due to the production of penicillinase – a
plasmid mediated, inducible enzyme which splits the beta lactam ring of penicillin making the drug inactive. Another drug, methicillin was introduced in late 1950s (1959) which too became ineffective by early 1960s because of the alterations in penicillin binding protein, PBP2a which is regulated by Staphylococcal cassette chromosomal mec gene (especially mec A). Such resistant strains were named MRSA. MRSA strains can be further divided into hospital acquired (HA-MRSA) and community acquired (CA-MRSA). MRSA being resistant to all beta lactam antibiotics poses a great challenge in the treatment of its infections due to limited therapeutic options.

Staphylococcus aureus is an important cause of a variety of pyogenic infections involving skin and soft tissue (folliculitis, furuncle, abscess, wound infections, carbuncle, impetigo, paronychia, less often cellulitis), musculoskeletal (osteomyelitis, arthritis, bursitis, pyomyositis), respiratory (tonsillitis, pharyngitis, sinusitis, otitis, bronchopneumonia, lung abscess, empyema, rarely pneumonia), central nervous system (abscess, meningitis, intracranial thrombophlebitis), endovascular (bacteraemia, septicaemia, pyemia, endocarditis), urinary tract infections.

Some common toxin mediated staphylococcal diseases include: food poisoning, toxic shock syndrome, scalded skin syndrome.

Epidemiological niches of Staphylococcus aureus are anterior nares. Other sites include axilla, umbilicus, perineal region and mammary folds. Healthy persons can act as carriers though they are at lesser risk of contracting infection. About 30-50% of healthy persons carry Staphylococcus in their nose and skin, hence making anterior nares the primary reservoirs and also the most consistent area from where they can be isolated. Since there is relative absence of human defences in this area, the staphylococcal cells flourish here and are capable of withstanding the local antibacterial defences.

Health care workers (HCWs) constitute an important reservoir of Staphylococcus aureus. Nasal carriage of MRSA is a recognized risk factor for subsequent infection of endogenous origin. The rate of nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus among the HCWs from several studies ranges from 16.8 – 56.1%. The colonization of MRSA in them can be attributed to exposure to patients infected with MRSA and also during the course of their work.

Early and appropriate infection control measures (ICM) are key elements to reduce MRSA transmission and to control the hospital reservoir.

Reduction of antibiotic misuse, active surveillance, contact isolation, decolonization and improvement of hand hygiene compliance – are a few methods to control this superbug.

Strains against vancomycin (the drug of choice for MRSA) called as VRSA have now started to emerge which will lead to major therapeutic challenges by further narrowing the limited treatment options. Hence, preventive strategies need to be refurbished.

Our study is designed to determine the prevalence of nasal carriage of MRSA among HCWs. The study will thus help in identifying hidden reservoirs, establishing better antibiotic guidelines and sanitary protocol (hand hygiene practices, contact precautions, identifying previously colonized patients) for HCWs that will consequently aid in reducing the future incidence of nosocomial acquired MRSA.
Materials and Methods

Study area: Tertiary health care centre, Government medical college, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

Study type: Cross sectional

Study duration: 7th July 2018 – 7th September 2018 (2 months)

Sample size: 100 (using sample size formula, \( N = \frac{4pq}{l^2} \), \( p \) = prevalence, \( q = 100-p \), \( l = \) allowable error)

The rate of nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* among healthcare workers from several studies ranges from 16.8 – 56.1%.

\[ p = 33, \quad q = 67, \quad l = 10\% \]
\[ N = 88 \] (Round off to 100 to reduce error)

Inclusion criteria: Health care workers from intensive care units, medicine wards, surgical wards, paediatrics wards, operating rooms, laboratory, orthopaedics wards. Health care workers included in the study are: doctors, nurses, technicians and class IV workers.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Health care workers with history of fever, upper respiratory tract infection, recent nasal surgery, use of nasal medication or antibiotic in the past one month. 2) Medical students were excluded.

Ethical considerations: Written Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was carried out after approval from Institutional Ethics Committee (Letter no./07-2018/GMC RJN/ I.E.C./2018 dated 06/07/2018).

Ethical considerations including privacy of personal data was considered in all steps of research.

Nasal swab collection: A sterile cotton swab was inserted into each nostril and rotated gently for 5 times on the anterior nares of the study participants. Nasal swabs were then transported in sterile test tubes to the laboratory.

Culture and identification: The swabs were inoculated on 5% sheep blood agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Identification was done according to standard microbiological techniques.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test and MRSA detection: The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns were tested by using modified Kirby Bauer method as per the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. The following antimicrobials were used – penicillin, tetracycline, clindamycin, chloramphenicol, cotrimoxazole, gentamycin, erythromycin, cefoxitin, ciprofloxacin (Hi Media labs, New Delhi, India). Colonies with an inhibition zone of less than or equal to 21 mm for cefoxitin were considered as methicillin resistant.

Statistical analysis: The data was compiled in MS-Excel and then it was analysed and suitable statistical test was applied. The decontamination processes were then initiated on MRSA positive health care workers as per standard norms.

Results and Discussion

During 2-months of study period, 100 health care workers were screened. *Staphylococcus aureus* colonisation was present in 21% (21 out of 100) HCWs and 13% (13 out of 100) MRSA strains were isolated. Out of 21 *S.aureus* strains isolated, 13(61%, 13 out of 21) strains were resistant to methicillin.
Table 1 shows the distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA carriers among health care workers. Maximum percentage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA were found in nurses (8 MRSA, 11 *S. aureus*).

Table 2 shows the culture results of nasal swabs of healthcare workers. *Staphylococcus aureus* was found in 21 out of 100 samples. Table 3 shows sensitivity/resistance profile of the *S. aureus* isolates. Maximum resistance is shown against penicillin, cefoxitin (13 out of 21 i.e. 61.90 %). Resistance to cefoxitin is shown in 13 *S. aureus* isolates. Maximum sensitivity is for ciprofloxacin (16 out of 21 i.e. 76.19%) (Graph 2).

### Table 1 Distribution of *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carriers among healthcare workers

| Category        | Male | Female | Total No. Sampled | *S. aureus* | MRSA no. Positive (carriage rate%) |
|-----------------|------|--------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Doctors         | 12   | 18     | 30                | 2           | 0 (0%) (N=30)                     |
| Staff nurses    | 00   | 35     | 35                | 11          | 8 (22.85%) (N=35)                 |
| Class IV        | 11   | 4      | 15                | 4           | 3 (20%) (N=15)                    |
| Technicians     | 10   | 10     | 20                | 4           | 2 (10%) (N=20)                    |
| Total           | 33   | 67     | 100               | 21          | 13% (N=100)                       |

### Table 2 Culture results of nasal swabs of healthcare workers

| Culture results | Isolates | No./percentage |
|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| Culture positive| *S. aureus* | 21             |
|                  | CONS     | 35             |
|                  | Others   | 16             |
| Culture sterile  | -        | 28             |
| Total           | -        | 100            |

### Table 3 Sensitivity/resistance profile of the *S. aureus* isolates

| Antibiotics      | Sensitive no. (%) | Resistant no. (%) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Clindamycin      | 15 (71.42%)       | 6 (28.57%)        |
| Chloramphenicol  | 10 (47.61%)       | 11 (52.38%)       |
| Erythromycin     | 14 (66.66%)       | 7 (33.33%)        |
| Tetracycline     | 12 (57.14%)       | 9 (42.85%)        |
| Gentamycin       | 15 (71.42%)       | 6 (28.57%)        |
| Cefoxitin        | 08 (38.09%)       | 13 (61.90%)       |
| Ciprofloxacin    | 16 (76.19%)       | 5 (23.80%)        |
| Penicillin       | 8 (38.09%)        | 13 (61.90%)       |
| Cotrimoxazole    | 10 (47.61%)       | 11 (52.38%)       |
Table 4 Comparative analysis with different studies

| S.N. | Parameters | Present Study | Similar Studies | Contrast Studies |
|------|------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1.   | *S. aureus* in anterior nares | 21% | 22% (Rutvi V. et al.,)⁷ | 0% (Shobha K. et al.,)²³ |
|      |            |               | 31% (Mehrad Askarian et al.,)² | 41.66% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
| 2.   | *S. aureus* % category wise |       |                      |                 |
| 2.a. | Doctors   | 6.66% | 15.2% (Rutvi V. et al.,)⁷ | 40% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
|      |           | (2/30) | V. et al.,³⁰⁴ | 41% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ |
| 2.b. | Nurses    | 31.42% | 21.5% (Rutvi V. et al.,)⁷ | 100% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
|      |           | (11/35) | V. et al.,³⁰⁴ | 45.97% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ |
| 2.c. | Technicians | 20% (4/20) | 12.64% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ | 42.85% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
| 2.d. | Housekeepers | 26.66% | - | 75% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
|      |           | (4/15) |                        |                        |
| 3.   | MRSA positive% | 13% | 18.39% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ | 31.66% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
|      |            |                   | 5.3% (Mehrad Askarian et al.,)² |                 |
| 4.   | MRSA % category wise |       |                      |                 |
| 4.a. | Doctors   | 0 | 0% (Radhakrishna et al.,)²⁴ | 20% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³⁰⁶ |
| 4.b. | Nurses    | 22.85 (8/35) | 6.1% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ | 75% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
| 4.c. | Technicians | 10 (2/20) | 2% (Himadri Mandal et al.,)⁹ | - |
| 4.d. | Housekeepers | 20 (3/15) | 5.1% (Rutvi V. et al.,)⁷ | 50% (Lovely Gupta et al.,)³ |
| 5.   | Antibiotic susceptibility of *S. aureus* |       |                      |                 |
|      | Maximum resistance | Penicillin cefoxitin | Penicillin (Sharon Rainy Rogharpi et al.,)²⁵ | Ciprofloxacin (Yukti Sharma et al.,)²⁶ |
The prevalence of nasal carriage of MRSA among health care workers in Rajnandgaon has not yet been determined to date. This study shows 21% of healthcare workers were nasal carriers of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Of these 61% were MRSA (i.e. 13% of total). Studies with similar and contrast findings have been tabulated below.

The differences in the prevalence of nasal carriage of *S.aureus* is due to differences in the sample size, and different techniques.

In our study, the highest prevalence of MRSA is among nurses as they are in direct contact with the patients (Table 4).

However, no doctors showed MRSA colonization. This may be due to good infection control practice implementation.

High MRSA% in Class IV workers may be due to their poor hygiene practices. Relatively lesser MRSA prevalence was seen among technicians because of lack of direct contact with patients.

In conclusion, the present study found MRSA prevalence to be 13%. Prevalence is higher among the nurses. Thus, proper infection control precautions should be employed to minimize carriage rate. Among the *S.aureus* isolates, maximum resistance is shown...
against penicillin, cefoxitin (13 out of 21 i.e. 61.90 %). Maximum sensitivity is for ciprofloxacin (16 out of 21 i.e. 76.19%). The key to prevent nosocomial infections is compliance with sanitary and antibacterial guidelines, alongwith periodic screening of HCWs.

**Recommendations**

Awareness among healthcare workers regarding judicious use of broad spectrum antibiotics and simple preventive measures including hand hygiene, can reduce transmission considerably.
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