An Introduction to Sphingolipid Metabolism and Analysis by New Technologies
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Abstract Sphingolipids (SP) are a complex class of molecules found in essentially all eukaryotes and some prokaryotes and viruses where they influence membrane structure, intracellular signaling, and interactions with the extracellular environment. Because of the combinatorial nature of their biosynthesis, there are thousands of SP subspecies varying in the lipid backbones and complex phospho- and glycoheadgroups. Therefore, comprehensive or “sphingolipidomic” analyses (structure-specific, quantitative analyses of all SP, or at least all members of a critical subset) are needed to know which and how much of these subspecies are present in a system as a step toward understanding their functions. Mass spectrometry and related novel techniques are able to quantify a small fraction, but nonetheless a substantial number, of SP and are beginning to provide information about their localization. This review summarizes the basic metabolism of SP and state-of-art mass spectrometric techniques that are producing insights into SP structure, metabolism, functions, and some of the dysfunctions of relevance to neuromedicine.
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Introduction

Sphingolipids (SP)1 are, even today, often thought of as “brain lipids” because many bear names such as sphingomyelins, cerebrosides, gangliosides, etc. They are a complex class of molecules that are found in essentially all animals, plants and fungi, and some prokaryotic organisms and viruses (Merrill et al. 2007). They are defined as a category by the presence of a sphingoid base backbone (mainly sphingosines, So; sphinganines, Sa; and phytosphingosines in mammals, but a wide range of additional species are known) (Pruett et al. 2008) to which can be attached amide-linked fatty acids and (or) a headgroup at the primary hydroxyl. The headgroups range in complexity from a simple H in ceramide (Cer) to highly complex glycoconjugates (Merrill et al. 2007; Lopez and Schnaar 2009). SP serve as structural components of membranes, lipoproteins, skin and other biomaterials, and as cell-signaling modulators and mediators. They are located in multiple intracellular organelles, including the plasma membrane, intracellular vesicles and associated organelles (Golgi membranes, endosomes, etc.), mitochondria and even the nucleus (Ledeen and Wu 2008). In some cases, they are

1 The abbreviation SP was chosen because it is the category code that has been adopted by the LIPID MAPS Consortium, www.lipidmaps.org.
organized in small, dynamic aggregates within membranes referred to as “rafts” where they can influence the localization and functions of transporters and receptors, such as the AMPA receptor in neurons (Hou et al. 2008). The properties of SP have been reviewed recently for sphingoid bases and Cers (Goni and Alonso 2006; Zheng et al. 2006; Goni and Alonso 2009), and for Cers and more complex species (Maggio et al. 2006; Hakomori 2008; Merrill 2008; Lopez and Schnaar 2009).

The complex carbohydrate moieties of SP are often signatures for particular cell types, and mediate interactions with complementary ligands, such as extracellular matrix proteins and receptors (Hakomori 2000), including direct carbohydrate–carbohydrate binding with headgroups on neighboring cells. For example, gangliosides interact with glycan-binding proteins involved in regulation of nervous system (Quarles 2007; Lopez and Schnaar 2009). SP can interact with proteins on the same cell surface to control the location of the protein (for example, in membrane rafts with other signaling proteins) and modify the conformation of receptors and their activities (Hakomori et al. 1998; Yoon et al. 2006). The functional unit in which glycosphingolipids (GSP) play important roles in cell surface signaling has been referred to as a “glycosynapse” (Hakomori 2002, 2008).

The lipid backbones of SP and their metabolites (sphingoid bases, sphingoid base 1-phosphates, ceramides, ceramide 1-phosphates, and others) serve as mediators of intracellular and extracellular signaling in essentially every type of cell examined, including neurons (Buccoliero and Futerman 2003). The number of biological functions they regulate is large and continues to grow, including neuronal growth (Schwarz et al. 1995; Schwarz and Futerman 1997), differentiation (Milstien et al. 2007) and death (Coetzee et al. 1996; Jana et al. 2009). Thus, it is not surprising that sphingolipids participate in the traditional paradigm of lipid signaling wherein a complex lipid is cleaved to a bioactive backbone that activates or inhibits downstream target(s), but it is somewhat more novel that at least some of the mediators appear also to be generated by de novo biosynthesis (Zheng et al. 2006; Bartke and Hannun 2009). These changes in lipid composition are also likely to alter the properties of the membranes where these conversions take place, increasing or decreasing the rafts and other structures (Hartel et al. 2005; Goni and Alonso 2006), membrane curvature (Goni et al. 2005), transbilayer (flip-flop) movement of lipids (Contreras et al. 2005) and other related molecules (Siskind et al. 2006).

This review provides an overview of the basic structures of sphingolipids and their metabolism, then discusses some of the state-of-art technologies that exist and are in development for sphingolipid analysis. It is hoped that this information may help readers understand and study the roles of SP in neuroscience and neuromedicine.

**Sphingolipid Structures**

Sphingoid bases vary in alkyl chain length, the position and number of double bonds and hydroxyl groups, and other features (Prueitt et al. 2008). Sphingosine (So) is the prevalent backbone of most mammalian SP and refers specifically to (2S, 3R, 4E)-2-aminoocadec-4-ene-1, 3-diol and is also referred to as “trans-4-sphingenine”. A convenient nomenclature for sphingoid bases is to give the number of hydroxyl groups (d for the two, di-, hydroxyls of So and Sa and t, for tri-, for 4-hydroxysphinganine, which is often called phytosphingosine) followed by the chain length (typically 18 carbons) and number of double bonds (most often 0, 1 or sometimes 2); therefore, So is abbreviated “d18:1,” Sa as “d18:0,” and phytosphingosine as “t18:0”. The most common structural variations from these sphingoid bases for mammals are the longer chain eicosasphingosines (C20-So or d20:1) found in brain gangliosides (Colsch et al. 2004), and the presence of a second double bond (d18:2) in some of the sphingolipids found in plasma and brain (Karlsson 1970; Levery 2005; Prueitt et al. 2008) (Fig. 1a).

The amide-linked fatty acids found in ceramides also vary in chain length (typically 14–36 carbon atoms), degree of unsaturation (most are saturated, but in some special cases, the fatty acids are highly unsaturated (Sandhoff et al. 2005), and presence or absence of a hydroxyl group on α or ω carbon atom (Fig. 1a). A simple nomenclature to provide information about both the sphingoid base and the fatty acid of these more complex species has the sphingoid base abbreviation followed by the fatty acid; for example, N-palmitoylphosphinosine is abbreviated “d18:1/16:0”.

The phosphosphingolipids subcategory includes the sphingoid base 1-phosphates, Cer 1-phosphates (Cer1P), and sphingomyelins (SM), the most prevalent phosphosphingolipid in most mammalian cells, but additional species such as sphingosylphosphocholine (also referred to as lysoSM) and ceramide phosphoethanolamine (Liliom et al. 2004) are also noted.

The major carbohydrates of mammalian GSP are listed in Fig. 1c. The GSP nomenclature (Chester 1998; Fahy et al. 2005) is more complicated by having both common names (such as “ganglioside GM1a” shown in Fig. 1c) and systematic structural nomenclatures (the same compound can be named Neu5Ac2-3Galβ1-3GalNAcβ1-4Galβ1-4Glcβ1Cer (d18:1/16:0)) or II2-α-N-acetylenuraminosyl-gangliotetraosylCer (abbreviated II2-α-Neu5NacGg2Cer) by a fairly commonly used system where subcategory (ganglio-, globo-, etc.) denotes the root structure for the category, Roman numerals show location of specified carbohydrates, such as sialic acid or other carbohydrate branchpoints and Arabic superscripts designate the
hydroxyl linkage. For more information, see the cited references.

**Sphingolipid Metabolism**

**Sphingolipid Biosynthesis**

The *de novo* biosynthesis of most SP begins with condensation of L-serine and palmitoyl-CoA by serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT) to form 3-ketosphinganines (Merrill 2002; Hanada 2003) (Fig. 2a). For mammals and yeast, at least two gene products (termed SPTLC1 and SPTLC2, or sometimes SPT1 and SPT2) are necessary for activity (Dickson et al. 2000) and appear to be physically associated (Gable et al. 2000; Hanada et al. 2000). Another SPTLC2-like gene (SPTLC3) has been also discovered, the gene product has been expressed and involved in SPT activity (Hornemann et al. 2006).

As the first unique enzyme of this pathway, SPT is undoubtedly very important in specifying the amounts and types of sphingoid bases made. Mutations in SPTLC1 are associated with hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy type I (Bejaoui et al. 2001; Dawkins et al. 2000). SPT has been thought to only utilize L-serine as its amino acid substrate, recent studies have revealed that L-alanine is used to form a novel sphingoid base, 1-deoxy-sphinganine (1-deoxySa) (Zitomer et al. 2009). Furthermore, D-serine is a competitive inhibitor with an *IC*₅₀ that is similar to the *K*ₘ for L-serine (Hanada et al. 2000), and this has implications for the mammalian brain that has substantial amounts of D-serine predominantly localized to the forebrain structure (Hashimoto and Oka 1997). SPT is also highly selective for the co-substrate fatty acyl-CoA with the mammalian enzyme, utilizing palmitoyl-CoA (C16:0) > pentadecanoyl- and heptadecanoyl-CoAs (C15:0 and C17:0) > stearoyl-CoA (C18:0), and essentially no unsaturated species except palmitelaidic (16:1 with a trans-double bond) (Williams et al. 1984; Hanada et al. 2000). This differs from the case when SPT is comprised of SPTLC3, which has a preference for myristoyl-CoA and makes the d16:0 family sphingoid bases (Hornemann et al. 2009).

In the next steps, the 3-ketosphinganine is reduced to Sa by 3-ketosphinganine reductase (Mandon et al. 1992) followed by N-acetylated to dihydroceramides (DH Cer) by a family of Cer synthases (CerS) (Pewzner-Jung and Futerman 2006). There are at least six mammalian CerS that have varying degrees of specificity for fatty acyl-CoAs (Riebeling et al. 2003; Lahiri and Futerman 2005; Mizutani et al. 2005; Laviad et al. 2008) (Fig. 2a). The resultant DH Cer are converted to Cer via insertion of a 4,5-trans-double bond into the sphingoid base backbone by dihydroceramide desaturases (DES1 and DES2) (Michel et al. 1997). In mammalian cells, DES1 appears to have only desaturase activity (Michel et al. 1997), whereas DES2 can perform both desaturation and the 4-hydroxylation that produces phytoceramides (Omae et al. 2004).
Note that So is not directly made in this pathway; rather, Cer must be hydrolyzed to the free sphingoid base and fatty acids by ceramidase (ceramidases are present in lysosomes and other membranes) (Ferlinz et al. 2001; Linke et al. 2001; Tani et al. 2005). So can then undergo phosphorylation to form sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), which is important in cell signaling (Maceyka et al. 2005, 2009) and as an intermediate of SP turnover (sphinganine-1-phosphate, Sa1P, is also made, but its role in signaling is not well defined).

Cer is at the branchpoint for biosynthesis of complex SP (Fig. 2b) by addition of various headgroups: phosphate (from ATP) by ceramide kinase (CerK) (Van Overloop et al. 2006); phosphocholine (for SM) transferred from phosphatidylcholine SM synthases (SMS), with SMS1 localized to the Golgi and SMS2 localized to the plasma membrane (Huitema et al. 2004); phosphoethanolamine for ceramide phosphoethanolamine (CPE) by an SMS1-related enzyme (SMSr) in the ER lumen that has been reported to produce only trace amounts of CPE as a regulator of Cer homeostasis (Vacaru et al. 2009).

GalCer and GlcCer, which are synthesized using uridine diphosphate (UDP)-Glc:Cer glucosyltransferase (CGlcT) and UDP-Gal:Cer galactosyltransferase (CGalT), respectively, by adding the corresponding hexose from UDP-Gal or UDP-Glc (Fig. 2b). The addition of a fatty acid to the 1-hydroxyl has also been described (Shayman and Abe 2000).
The subcellular localization of GSP biosynthesis is interesting. GalCer is synthesized in the lumen of the ER versus GlcCer, which is thought to be made on cytosolic facing membranes (Sprong et al. 2003). CGalT contains an ER retrieval signal (a KKVK amino acid sequence) at the C-terminus and the active site facing the lumen of the ER at the N-terminus (Sprong et al. 1998) and has access to UDP-Gal that is transported into the lumen of the ER by UDP-Gal transporter 2 (UGT2), a splice variant of UGT1 (the transporter for UDP-Gal into the Golgi) that contains an ER locating dilyisine motif (KVKAS) (Kabuss et al. 2005). CGalT is highly expressed in oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells, with a pattern that matches that of myelination (Bosio et al. 1996, 1998), and the disruption of the mouse gene encoding CGalT leads to unstable myelin and progressive paralysis in mouse models (Fewou et al. 2005). GalCer is a substrate for a sulfatotransferase in the ER lumen that utilizes the activated sulfate donor 3′-phosphoadenosine-5′-phosphosulfate to form sulfatides (3′-sulfo-galactosylsaccharide, ST) (Honke et al. 1997). ST are the major sulfoglycolipids of brain, kidney, the gastrointestinal tract and endometrium, and mammalian male germ cells (Honke and Taniguchi 2003).

Most of the more complex GSP are synthesized by the stepwise addition of carbohydrate molecules (e.g. galactose, N-acetylgalactosamine, and sialic acid) to GlcCer mainly in the Golgi apparatus by particular glycosyltransferases (GTs) (Yu et al. 2004, 2009; Zeng and Yu 2008). Matured GSP are transferred via vesicular transport to the cell surface where they become components of the cell membrane. The nature of the headgroup additions for the root family GSP are illustrated in Fig. 2b. After the initial glycosylations, the pathway divides into ganglio-, lacto-, neolacto-, globo-, and isogloboseries. The downstream pathways, particularly for the gangliosides, have been described as “combinatorial” (Kolter et al. 2002) in analogy to combinatorial synthesis were common starting materials are utilized to make many downstream products by adding them to the reaction vessel in different combinations.

Gangliosides are an interesting prototype for the link between GSP metabolism and biomedicine. It has long been known that gangliosides show significant differences in expression levels and patterns in developing brains (Davidsson et al. 1989; Svennerholm et al. 1989; Ngamukote et al. 2007). For example, total gangliosides increase in adult mouse brains when compared with embryonic mouse brains and the expression pattern of gangliosides shifts from relatively simple subspecies such as GM3 and GD3 to more complex gangliosides, such as GM1, GD1a, GD1b, and GT1b (Ngamukote et al. 2007). The dynamic changes in gangliosides expression also observed during the differentiation of embryonic and mesenchymal stem cells into neural cells (Kwak et al. 2006). Gangliosides bind to myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) and play essential roles in maintaining axon–myelin stability and functions (Quarles 2007). Mice lacking the ganglioside biosynthetic gene Galgt1 fail to express complex gangliosides and exhibited central nervous system and peripheral nervous system axon degeneration and dysmyelination quantitatively and qualitatively similar to that of Mag null mice (Pan et al. 2005) and have disruption in the paranodal junctions and ion channel clusters at the nodes of Ranvier (Susuki et al. 2007).

**Sphingolipid Turnover**

With the exception of specialized cases of turnover for cell signaling, SP are generally thought to turn over via a process that involves internalization with endocytic vesicles, sorting in early endosomes, and recycling of some portions of the sphingolipid back to the plasma membrane (often with remodeling of the sphingolipid) (Tettamanti et al. 2003) or transport or the remainder to lysosomes where they are degraded by specific acid hydrolases (Kolter and Sandhoff 2006). In lysosome, GSP are catabolized by the stepwise hydrolysis of the terminal monosaccharides through the concerted action of a series of specific exoglycosidases and sphingolipid activator proteins (which include SAP-A, SAP-B, SAP-C or SAP-D, and GM2-activator protein) (Kolter and Sandhoff 2006; Schulze et al. 2009), as summarized in Fig. 3. These pathways are also connected to numerous inherited diseases arising from mutations in the genes encoding these enzymes and/or activator proteins, as is also summarized in Fig. 3. These so-called sphingolipid storage diseases often result in an accumulation of the corresponding lipid substrate in brain (and other organs) (van Echten-Deckert and Herget 2006).

**Sphingolipid Analysis**

SP can be analyzed by a variety of traditional methods, such as thin-layer chromatography (TLC), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), immunoochemical methodologies, and mass spectrometry (MS), which is the most commonly used method nowadays because it can identify and quantify large numbers of sphingolipid molecules with a high degree of structural accuracy (Sullards 2000; Haynes et al. 2009; Shaner et al. 2009). Various ionization methods have been applied, including electrospray ionization, ESI; atmospheric pressure chemical ionization, APCI, and matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization (MALDI), combined with triple quadrupole or tandem quadrupole-linear ion trap mass analyzers for MS/MS and MS.
Fig. 3 Catabolism of complex SP and diseases associated with deficiencies in these enzymes and/or activator proteins (Kolter and Sandhoff 2006). The color symbols and scheme for these compounds are the same as shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The SP turnover-related diseases are indicated by number with: (1) GM1-gangliosidosis; (2) Tay-Sachs disease/Sandhoff AB variant; (3) Sandhoff disease; (4) Niemann Pick disease; (5) Farber disease; (6) Gaucher disease; (7) metachromatic leukodystrophy; (8) globoid cell leukodystrophy/Krabbe disease; (9) Fabry disease; (10) Sialidosis; (11) Gaucher disease; (7) metachromatic leukodystrophy; (8) globoid cell leukodystrophy/Krabbe disease; (9) Niemann Pick disease; (10) Farber disease. The abbreviations are as follows: Choline-P phosphatidylcholines; E1-P phosphatidylethanolamine; Glc glucose; GlcNAc N-acetylglucosamine; Gal galactose; GalNAc N-acetylgalactosamine; and NeuAc N-acetylmuramino acid (Sullards et al. 2007; Shaner et al. 2009), respectively, or for higher mass accuracy, time-of-flight (TOF) (Kirsch et al. 2008), or Fourier transform (FT) instruments (McFarland et al. 2005; Vukelic et al. 2007; Pol et al. 2009).

SP are particularly amenable to ESI and MALDI mass spectrometric analyses because they are relatively easily ionized (thus can be detected with high sensitivity that allows analysis of relatively small numbers of cells) and form fragment ions that are characteristic for the headgroup and backbone subclasses. For examples, both long-chain bases and complex SP ionize well via ESI in the positive ion mode to produce protonated molecular ions (M + H)⁺. Alternatively, sphingoid base-1-phosphates, ST, SM, and gangliosides form strong ions of the type (M–H)⁻, (M–15)⁻, or (M–nH)ⁿ⁻ (i.e., the original molecular ion minus a proton, a methyl group or multiple hydrogens, respectively) via negative ion ESI, depending on the composition of the solvent (Merrill et al. 2005). The fragmentation profiles for both sphingoid bases and many complex SP can be obtained by MS/MS and multistage tandem MS (MSⁿ) analysis, which provide structural information about the headgroups and types of sphingoid bases and fatty acids in the lipid backbones (Fig. 4).

MALDI usually uses matrix molecules to assist the efficient production of singly charged ions, which in conjunction with the high m/z range of TOF instruments, has aided in the observation of higher molecular weight GSPs. Therefore, studies of more complex GSPs often utilize this technique (Suzuki et al. 2006; Landoni et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009). One disadvantage of MALDI is that matrices produce abundant background chemical noise at lower m/z values, which precludes analysis of free sphingoid bases. However, new advances in matrix choices and high pressure sources may provide an opportunity to study these lower molecular weight SP by MALDI (Nakamura et al. 2006; Cha and Yeung 2007; Stuebiger and Belgacem 2007; Astigarraga et al. 2008; Goto-Inoue et al. 2008; Zarei et al. 2008).

The combination of MS with liquid chromatography (Sommer et al. 2006; Sullards et al. 2007; Shaner et al. 2009) has been successful in meeting difficult analytical challenges by reducing ionization suppression effects from other species and allowing the separation of isomeric and isobaric species, which cannot be achieved by mass spectrometry alone. Two types of HPLC are mainly chosen for SP separation in HPLC–MS/MS: reversed phase LC (Lee et al. 2003; Kaga and Yeung 2007; Stuebiger and Belgacem 2007; Astigarraga et al. 2008; Goto-Inoue et al. 2008; Zarei et al. 2008) and normal phase LC (Pettus et al. 2004; Merrill et al. 2005; Pacetti et al. 2005; Farwanah et al. 2007; Farwanah et al. 2008).
et al. 2009) to separate compounds primarily by their headgroup constituents (for example, distinguish Cer, GlcCer, LacCer, globotriaosylceramide, globotetraosylceramide, SM as well as cholesterol, etc.). HPLC–MS/MS has been used to quantify and determine the structures of free sphingoid bases, free sphingoid base phosphate, Cer, monohexosylceramide (both GalCer and GluCer), LacCer, SM (Sullards and Merrill 2001; Pettus et al. 2003; Bie- lawski et al. 2006; Yoo et al. 2006), and more complex GSP (Merrill et al. 2005; Ikeda et al. 2008).

Currently, LC–ESI MS/MS is the most popular analytical tool for sphingolipid analysis, because it provides (1) a high level of specificity with regard to differentiation of complex molecular species via retention time, precursor ion m/z value, and structure-specific product ion m/z value, (2) high sensitivities that are orders of magnitude better than those of classical techniques, which enables detection of SP in minute quantities (~10⁶ cells), (3) a wide dynamic range (typically several orders of magnitude) for quantitation of SP, and (4) the potential of accurate and precise quantitation in complicated biological samples using appropriate internal standards (C. Haynes, 2009). Different classes of gangliosides (i.e. GM1, GM2, GM3, GD3, GD1a, GD1b, GT1b, GQ1b) in the rat brain can be fast and efficiently quantified with high sensitivity using LC–ESI MS/MS (Fong et al. 2009). Regioisomeric gangliosides, such as GM1a and GM1b, GD1a, GD1b, and GD1c, and GT1a, GT1b, and GT1c, with diagnostic sugar chains have also been effectively separated and distinguished by theoretically expanded multiple reaction monitoring of LC–ESI MS/MS (Ikeda et al. 2008).

New Technologies for Sphingolipid Analysis

Although the methods described earlier are powerful approaches to study many SP, there still are many challenges for sphingolipidomic analysis, which refer to the structure-specific and quantitative measurements of all the individual molecular species of SP so that the quantity of each one in a given biological context (i.e. manipulated biosynthesis or turnover) may be determined. The sphingolipidome could contain tens of thousands of SP because there are over 400 headgroup subcategories in mammals alone (www.sphingomap.org) (Merrill et al. 2007, 2009), and many have at least several to a few dozen backbone variants on sphingoid bases and fatty acids. The current analytical challenges include the needs for profiling all the SP in a specific biological system (such as central nervous system), knowing the location of these molecules, and obtaining information about their metabolic flux.

Two-dimensional (2-D) ESI MS/MS techniques have been introduced for the identification, structure determination, and quantitation of sphingolipidomic analysis (Han and Gross 2003; Han and Jiang 2009; Stahlman et al. 2009). This technique (also called “shotgun” lipidomics) utilizes MS and MS/MS in both positive and negative ESI conditions to analyze an infusion sample (usually dissolved in chloroform, which provides good lipid solubility and high sensitivity). The formation of charged molecular adducts in the positive mode and anionic species in the negative mode is promoted by the presence of aqueous LiOH and LiCl, respectively. This technique is a relatively fast and simple method to profile many SP and glycerol lipids in crude lipid extracts. It has been used to examine the lipid composition.
of the brain mitochondria, which can help to determine whether intrinsic lipid abnormalities underlie mitochondrial dysfunction associated with neurological and neurodegenerative diseases (Kiebish et al. 2009). A variety of very-low-abundance sphingolipid classes (e.g., So, psychosine, and lysosphingomyelin) and other SP species (e.g., SM) in brain were also identified and quantitated using this approach (Jiang et al. 2007). However, it should be noted that the possibility of ionization suppression and the inability to distinguish isomeric and isobaric species are main limitations of this non-LC method.

The use of nanospray combined with high resolution MS and MS/MS analysis via tandem mass spectrometers is an innovative extension of the 2-D lipid profiling technique (Ekroos et al. 2002). This method usually uses a chip containing a high-density array of nanospray nozzles to infuse very small volumes of sample into the mass spectrometer. It allows numerous product ion analyses to be performed incrementally across a desired mass range. The resulting product ion data is then queried for structure-specific fragment ions or neutral losses corresponding to unique lipid fragmentation to reveal the individual headgroup, fatty acid, and sphingoid base combinations of various intact lipid species in the sample. The high resolution and mass accuracy of the mass analyzer also allows a narrower precursor ion window, resulting in a better selection of lipid of interest (Haynes et al. 2009). Chip-based nanoelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry has demonstrated the capability of observing structural and composition differences of gangliosides (such as tri-sialoganglioside subspecies with different fatty acid chain lengths) between normal and benign tumorous frontal cortex tissues (Schiopu et al. 2009). It has also been used to identify complex and distinctive gangliosides (such as GD, GT, GM, LM, GQ subspecies) in human gliosarcoma (Vukelic et al. 2007). Since all infusion-based techniques have limitations concerning unambiguous identification and, more importantly, quantitation of SP, newer chip-based nanospray systems allow the HPLC column to be directly coupled to the chip and enable simultaneous fraction collection of excess eluent. Using this technology, complex mixtures can be separated, and the analytes are selectively eluted under conditions with minimized ionization suppression effects. Isotopic, isomeric, and isobaric effects can also be differentiated easily. The resulting LC–MS or MS/MS data may then be used for quantitation when the kinetic and dynamic range problems are solved and the appropriate internal standards are included. Detailed structural information can also be obtained by analyzing a particular fraction containing an analyte of interest in greater detail (i.e. MS$^3$) (Haynes et al. 2009).

The recent development of ion mobility mass spectrometry has demonstrated additional possibilities to achieve separation of lipids (Jackson et al. 2005). In this technique, different ions with the same m/z value (isomers) are separated based on differences in their mobility through a buffer gas and applied electric field (Verbeck et al. 2002). The mobilities of different ions are directly affected by their three-dimensional shapes, e.g., ions with a greater cross-section migrate slower (Verbeck et al. 2002; Fenn et al. 2009; Trimpin et al. 2009). Thus, it is possible to distinguish the different conformations of ions having the same m/z (Liu and Clemmer 1997; Clowers et al. 2005). This new technology offers the potential to differentiate isomeric sphingolipid species for subsequent identification and quantitation that currently can only be achieved via LC.

Imaging mass spectrometry is another emerging technology that not only identifies various classes of molecules but also determines their spatial distributions in biological samples. Imaging mass spectrometry is beginning to allow analysis of SP in situ using samples such as thin slices of tissue, cultured cells and model membranes. One of the methods is MALDI imaging mass spectrometry in which samples (such as brain slices) are placed on a MALDI plate, imbedded as uniformly and non-disruptively as possible with matrix material serving to enhance the desorption and ionization of analytes in the sample. Then, the sample is scanned by the laser beam incrementally to generate discrete mass spectra (Chaurand et al. 2006; Roy et al. 2006; Jackson et al. 2007a; b) The intensity of a specific ion of interest can then be assigned different colors and plotted in x, y-space to yield a molecular image of the biological sample. This may then be cross-referenced with a histologic image using standard methods generated from the same sample or a similar neighboring sample. In another imaging technique, secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), a beam of primary ions is tightly focused to impinge on the surface of the biological sample to ionize compounds of interest (Sjovall et al. 2004; Roy et al. 2006; Benabdellah et al. 2010). SIMS provides better spatial resolution for lower mass species than MALDI, whereas MALDI is more amenable to higher mass analytes. An additional ambient imaging technique, desorption electrospray ionization (DESI), uses charged droplets of solvent generated from an electrospray to generate the secondary ions to provide molecular image of biological samples with much easier experimental procedure but even lower spatial resolution than MALDI imaging (Wiseman et al. 2006; Manicke et al. 2008). Additional techniques are emerging where MALDI is combined with another method of ion separation, such as ion mobility-MS which gives two-dimensional separations based on analyte apparent surface area or collision cross section as well as mass-to-charge [McLean et al. (2007)].

Applied to brain tissues, MALDI imaging has observed primary SP such as SM, monohexosylceramides, ST, and
gangliosides (Jackson et al. 2007b; Jackson and Woods 2009; Murphy et al. 2009) as well as the specific localization of their subcategories in different brain regions. It has been reported that the cerebellar cortex contains small amounts of SM and larger amounts of gangliosides GM1, GD1, and GT1, whereas the cerebellar peduncle contains sulfatides and primarily GM1 with smaller quantities of GD1 (Woods and Jackson 2006). In another study of Tay-Sachs and Sandhoff disease, MALDI imaging mass spectrometry, GM2 and asialo-GM2 (GA2) subspecies were found highly elevated in diseased brain and specifically localized in the granular cell region of the cerebellum, whereas ST was localized mainly in myelinated fiber (white matter) region of the cerebellum as well as in the brain stem with a relatively uniform distribution and had similar relative signal intensity for both normal and diseased brain. Many subcategories of other lipids (such as phosphatidylcholines, phosphatidylinositol, plasmene-lethanolamine, phosphatidylinerine) were also localized to specific regions of the brain (Chen et al. 2008a). Long-chain base (LCB) gangliosides with C18- or C20-sphingosine have also been found to localize differentially in the mouse brain by MALDI imaging mass spectrometry (Chen et al. 2008b; Sugiuра et al. 2008). The C18-species was widely localized throughout the frontal brain, whereas the C20-species selectively distributed along the entorhinal-hippocampus projections, especially in the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus (Sugiuра et al. 2008). SIMS TOF has also been used to analyze brain slices to reveal the different distributions of GalCer subspecies in white matter. The C18 GalCer subspecies were mainly found in cholesterol-rich areas, whereas C24 GalCer subspecies were primarily distributed in Na+- and K+- enriched regions (Borner et al. 2006). While imaging mass spectrometry has limitations with respect to providing quantitative information (Murphy et al. 2009), the ability to reveal the cellular—and eventually subcellular—location of the molecules of interest will be a major complement to sphingolipid analysis and sphingolipidomic biology.

**Conclusion**

SP are comprised of thousands of species that encompass bioactive backbones and complex phospho- and glycolipids that nature has chosen to make for equally sophisticated biological functions. The challenge for researchers is to develop methods that are powerful enough to deal with this complexity. Although there is still a long way to go, the application of relatively recently developed analytical methods for SP is already helping clarify the roles of these compounds in neural cell behavior and disease.
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