Mapping the relative risk of weight disorders in children and adolescents across provinces of Iran: the CASPIAN-V study
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Abstract

Background: This study aimed to find possible spatial variation in children’s weight disorders and in predicting the spatial distribution.

Methods: The study population of this ecological study consisted of 7-18-year-old students living in 30 provinces of Iran. We used Besag, York and Mollie (BYM) model, a Bayesian model, to study the relative risk (RR) of underweight and excess weight (overweight and obese). The model was fitted to data using OpenBUGS (3.2.1) software.

Results: The highest RR of underweight was found in southeastern provinces. Whereas, the highest RR of excess weight was documented in northern, northwestern and capital provinces. Sistan-Balouchestan (RR=1.973; Bayesian confidence interval [BCI]: 1.682, 2.289), Hormozgan (RR=1.482; BCI: 1.239, 1.749), South Khorasan (RR=1.422; BCI: 1.18, 1.687) and Kerman (RR=1.413; BCI: 1.18, 1.669) had the highest RR of underweight. Mazandaran (RR=1.366; BCI: 1.318, 1.419), Gilan (RR=1.346; BCI: 1.151, 1.562), Tehran (RR=1.271; BCI: 1.086, 1.472) and Alborz (RR=1.268; BCI: 1.079, 1.475) provinces are high risk regions for excess weight.

Conclusion: The significant variations in geographical distribution of weight disorders are because of various sociodemographic and ethnic differences. The current findings should be considered in health policy making in different regions of the country.

Introduction

The current status of children’s health in each nation displays the future of that nation. One of the most important health indicators is, in this regard, having appropriate weight in childhood and adolescence. Indeed, the consequences of either underweight or overweight can negatively affect the physical growth and psychological development of children. In addition, muscle weakness, delayed puberty, and low work productivity are negative outcomes of underweight. On the other hand, overweight children are more likely to become overweight adults, which indicates high risks for vascular disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, metabolic diseases, and mental disorders. Moreover, some studies link fatness to significantly lower quality of life. Morbidity and mortality in adulthood are possible consequence of pediatric obesity.

Body mass index (BMI) is used to determine childhood overweight and obesity. Overweight is defined as a BMI at or above the 85th percentile and below the 95th percentile for children and teens of the same age and sex. Obesity is a BMI at or above the 95th percentile for children and teens of the same age and sex. Obesity is a major health problem in Iran, where the prevalence of overweight and obesity was reported to be 9.7% and 11.9%, respectively. In an Iranian national study, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was estimated to be 9.7% and 11.9%, respectively. A meta-analysis study in 2016, the prevalence of obesity in Iranian population below the age of 18 was estimated as 6.1%. In another meta-analysis study in 2014, the overall prevalence of obesity and overweight were estimated to be about 5.1% and 10.8%, respectively. However, these figures had great
spatial variation over the 31 provinces of the country. In addition, different age and sex groups had large variations in the prevalence of obesity and overweight. This study is interested in finding possible spatial variation in children’s disorder weight and predicting its spatial distribution, considering the effect of age and sex. Although, many studies have been conducted on this subject in Iran, few of them have virtually focused on the spatial distribution.3–18

Disease mapping, the analysis of geographical variation in rates of disease, can identify areas of unusually high risk so that action may be taken. Nowadays, disease mapping methods are widely used in many countries to model the geographical distribution of common diseases such as cancer, premature mortality due to cardiovascular disease and obesity, malaria, health inequalities, public health improvements and mortality.19–21 In addition, these methods are used to formulate etiological hypotheses and finally provide a map of disease risk in a region to allow better resource allocation and risk assessment.22,23 In fact, one of the main needs of health specialists is the regulation of health promotion programs. In other words, the awareness of the geographical distribution of the relative risk (RR) of weight disorders in children and adolescents can help prepare programs to prevent many future health problems including adult obesity, type 2 diabetes and heart disease. Focusing on health promotion and disease prevention programs in the pediatric age group can provide effective strategies for reducing further population-level burden of disease.

Using traditional methods based on the raw or standardized data was been very common in the last decade. One of the most common methods used by many researchers to estimate the RR of disease is standardized mortality ratio (SMR) method. Although, it has several disadvantages. Firstly, the mean and variance of SMR are dependent upon expected numbers. And secondly, in regions where there are not any cases of disease, the SMR is zero. Today, the use of disease mapping methods has led to controlling the problem of SMR and creating the high-precision maps.24 On the other hand, previous studies in Iran only have focused on a small area. While awareness of disease trend can help to allocate the resources equitably. The aim of the present study is mapping of RR of Iranian children and adolescent weight disorders in the provinces of Iran based on a national school-based program. Thus the Besag, York and Mollie (BYM) model has been used with the Bayesian approach.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This study is an ecological study at provinces of Iran level, based on the CASPIAN-V study, which was conducted in 30 provinces of Iran at both urban and rural levels in 2015.27

Participants

Students aged 7–18 years were the target population of the study. Students were selected using multistage, stratified cluster sampling method. The sample size was 14,400 students at the national level, i.e., 480 students in each province. Data of all these students were included in the current study without any restrictions.

Measurements

Weight and height were measured under standard protocols using calibrated instruments BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height squared (m²). In order to categorize BMI, the WHO growth curve was used in which BMI was classified into underweight (BMI <5th percentile), normal weight (BMI between 5th and 85th percentiles), overweight (BMI between 85th and 95th percentiles), and obese (BMI ≥85th–95th percentiles).2

Statistical analysis

BYM Model: BYM model was used to study the incidence of underweight and excess weight (overweight and obese) RR. In this model, \( \{Y_i\} \) and \( \{E_i\} \) represent the number of observed and expected cases for province \( i \), respectively, where \( E_i = n \times (\Sigma y_i)/(\Sigma n_i) \). \( \theta \), the RR of diseases in \( i \) region, is modeled as:

\[
\log \theta_i = \alpha + u_i + v_i
\]

Where \( u_i \) and \( v_i \) represent non-structural and structural heterogeneity, respectively.24,28 This model was fitted using OpenBUGS 3.2.1 (rev 781).29 Convergence was checked by using Brooks-Gelman-Robin plots. Significance tests for parameters were done by the use of Bayesian confidence intervals (BCI) which are equivalent to \( P \) value. All the maps were provided using ArcGIS (10.4.1).20

Results

We intend to provide a map of children and adolescent weight disorders in Iran. We applied BYM model with Bayesian approach. Table 1 provides a descriptive statistic of data. Overall, 16.1% were underweight, and 20.8% had excess weight (overweight and obese).

Table 2 shows the RR, observed (Oi) and expected (Ei) numbers of underweight and excess weight cases in provinces of Iran, based on BYM model. Figures 1a and 1b show the map of RR of underweight and excess weight from BYM model, respectively. Sistan-Baluchestan (RR = 1.973), Hormozgan (RR = 1.482), South Khorasan (RR = 1.422) and Kerman (RR = 1.413) have the highest RR of Underweight as shown in Figure 1a. West-Azarbayejan, Mazandaran, Gilan, Tehran, Zanjan and Ardebil have the least RR of Underweight. West-Azarbayejan with RR = 0.949 has the least risk. According to Figure 1b,
Mazandaran (RR = 1.366), Gilan (RR = 1.346), Tehran (RR = 1.271) and Alborz (RR = 1.268) provinces are high risk regions for excess weight. Figure 2 illustrates the findings of the study for girls and boys, separately. According to Figure 2a, Khuzestan (RR = 1.55), Hormozgan (RR = 1.425) and Sistan-Balouchestan (RR = 1.55) provinces have the highest RR of underweight for girls and South Khorasan (1.44), Kerman (RR = 1.40), Hormozgan (RR = 1.50) and Sistan-Balouchestan (RR = 2.19) provinces have the highest RR of underweight for boys. The most important finding observed is that girls of Khuzestan province are so affected by underweight.

According to Figure 2b, Mazandaran (RR = 1.28), Gilan (RR = 1.36), Ardebil (RR = 1.17), East-Azarbayjan (RR = 1.19), Tehran (RR = 1.19) and Alborz (RR = 1.17) provinces have the highest RR of excess weight for girls and Mazandaran (RR = 1.32), Gilan (RR = 1.20), Qazvin (RR = 1.23), Tehran (RR = 1.28), Alborz (RR = 1.24) and Bushehr (RR = 1.38) provinces have highest RR of excess weight for boys. The most important finding seen in these maps is that girls in Ardebil and East-Azarbayjan provinces and boys in Qazvin and Bushehr provinces are affected by excess weight. These findings have not been

---

**Table 1.** Frequency (n), BMI mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of underweight and excess weight cases according to sex and age (year)

| Provinces          | Total | Underweight (n=1428) | Excess weight (n=1242) |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                    | n     | Mean (SD)            | RR (BCI)               |
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Figure 1. The map of relative risk of (a) underweight and (b) excess weight (overweight and obese) in Iranian children and adolescence by using BYM model; RR<0.7: low risk, 0.7≤RR<1: medium risk, 1≤RR<1.2: high risk, RR≥1.2: extremely high risk.

The results obtained from the separate age groups are shown in Figure 3. According to Figure 3a, Sistan-Balouchestan province has the highest RR of underweight in less than 10 years old (RR = 1.76) and 11-14 (RR = 2.04) age groups, respectively. West-Azarbayejan (RR = 2.43) and Khuzestan (RR = 2.17) provinces have the highest RR in the over 15-year-old age group.

According to Figure 3b, Alborz province (RR = 1.49) has the highest RR in the less than 10-year-old age group. Ardebil province (RR = 3.41) has the highest RR in the 11-14-year-old age group. However, no significant difference was seen among the provinces in the age group of those aged 15 or over.

Discussion

The current study presents the spatial pattern of RR of weight disorders by sex and different age groups in provinces of Iran by using Bayesian BYM model in a large representative sample of Iranian students. BYM model in comparison with traditional methods such as SMR, provides more reliable estimates and more precision maps by using the required information from spatial correlation among various areas. Bayesian approach to disease mapping includes prior knowledge about the variation in disease rates, as well observed cases in each region to provide a more precise spatial pattern of disease.24,25

It was revealed that the highest RR of excess weight (overweight and obesity) occurred in northern, northwestern and capital provinces including Gilan, Mazandaran, Alborz, and Tehran. This finding supports previous research in this field,13,14,18,31 whereas the highest RR of underweight occurred in southeastern provinces including Sistan-Balouchestan, Hormozgan, south Khorasan, and Kerman. These results seem to be consistent with the other research done in these areas.15-17

Although, according to a systematic review study, the prevalence of obesity in Iran was not very diverse,14 the finding is in agreement with some published studies which showed the prevalence of obesity among women and girls in northern and northwestern provinces.31,33
One unanticipated finding was related to mapping of underweight in the third age group (≥15). In contrast to previous finding that West Azarbaycan was one of the areas with high risk of excess weight, it is known as an area at risk of underweight in the present study. The reason for this is not clear but it may be a high tendency to consider thinness as the preferred body image style, especially in women.14

The existence of significant differences in geographical areas can be due to differences in lifestyle, food habits, health facilities, socio-economic status, and even genetics. In order to find out more precise information on risk factors in high-risk areas, studies should be conducted at an individual level.

In the present study, the target population was divided to two sex groups and three age groups, separately. Therefore, more accurate diagnosis of the at-risk population has been achieved.

However, our study had some limitations. Data was at provinces level and it would be better to study children's disorder weight distribution on smaller regions such as counties.

**Implications for practice and policy making**

1. Using the results of this study to recognize at-risk regions and population.
2. Using the results of this study to implement health promotion and prevention program in at-risk regions.

**Future direction**

It is recommended to conduct a similar study for a longer period of time in more recent years. In addition, exploring the association between socio-environmental status and human developing index with disorder weight would be provide a new understanding of socioeconomic inequality.

**Conclusion**

Awareness of the geographical distribution of the RR of weight disorders in children and adolescents can contribute to the development of health promotion programs in the future. Taking childhood weight disorder, especially obesity, as one of the most serious problems of nations, establishing health promotion programs in schools including changing unhealthy eating habits and increasing physically activities can be effective. It is well documented that, training healthy behaviors to prevent chronic disease is easier during childhood and adolescence. Therefore, it is important to mention that performing these programs is only possible when parents would have close cooperation with school personnel.
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