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This research article is dedicated to solving fractional-order parabolic equations, using an innovative analytical technique. The Adomian decomposition method is well supported by Elzaki transformation to establish closed-form solutions for targeted problems. The procedure is simple, attractive, and preferred over other methods because it provides a closed-form solution for the given problems. The solution graphs are plotted for both integer and fractional-order, which shows that the obtained results are in good contact with problems’ exact solution. It is also observed that the solution of fractional-order problems is convergent to the integer-order problem. Moreover, the validity of the proposed method is analyzed by considering some numerical examples. The theory of the suggested approach is fully supported by the obtained results for the given problems. In conclusion, the present method is a straightforward and accurate analytical technique that can solve other fractional-order partial differential equations.

1. Introduction

The present research work is dedicated to studying the analytical solution of fractional-order parabolic equations. The literature is well recognized that a broad range of physics, engineering, nuclear physics, and mathematics problems can be defined as unique boundary and initial value problems. Homogeneous beam’s transverse vibrations are controlled by fractional single fourth-order parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs). Such problem types occur in viscoelastic and inelastic flow mathematical modeling, layer deflection theories, and beam deformation [1–12]. Analyses of these problems have taken several physicist’s and mathematician’s attention [13–15].

The time fractional parabolic PDEs with variable coefficient:

\[ \frac{\partial^\beta \mu}{\partial \tau^\beta} + \kappa(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} + \frac{1}{\psi} \mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \psi^4} + \frac{1}{\psi} \rho(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \psi^4} = g(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau), \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2, \tau \geq 0, \]

(1)

where \( \kappa(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \), \( \mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \), and \( \rho(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \) are positive. With initial conditions,
\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = f_0(\phi, \varphi, \psi), \quad \mu_\tau(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = k_0(\phi, \varphi, \psi), \]

with boundary conditions

\[ \mu(a, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = h_0(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \mu(b, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = h_1(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \]
\[ \mu(\phi, a, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = g_{01}(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \mu(\phi, b, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = g_{11}(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \]
\[ \mu_{\varphi\psi}(a, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \bar{h}_0(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \mu_{\varphi\psi}(b, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \bar{h}_1(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \]
\[ \mu_{\varphi\varphi}(\phi, a, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \bar{g}_{01}(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \mu_{\varphi\varphi}(\phi, b, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \bar{g}_{11}(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \]
\[ \mu_{\psi\psi}(\phi, \varphi, a, \psi, \tau) = \bar{k}_0(\varphi, \psi, \tau), \mu_{\psi\psi}(\phi, \varphi, b, \psi, \tau) = \bar{k}_1(\varphi, \psi, \tau). \]

For which, \( h_1, g_{11}, h_t, g_{1}, g_{11} \) and \( \ell \) are continuous variables, and \( \ell \) differs between 0 and 1 and beam’s flexural stiffness ratio [1] in its volume per unit mass, like, and its mentions [1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11]. Many researchers [10, 16, 17] have attempted to study the analytical solutions of the parabolic equation of the fourth-order. Different techniques have been suggested recently, such as the B-spline method [18], decomposition method [19], the implicit scheme [20], the explicit scheme [11], and the spline method [21] to analyze the solution of the partial differential fourth-order parabolic equation. Biazar and Ghazvini [22] have used He’s iterative technique for the solution of parabolic PDE’s. The modified version of this method was introduced in [23] to solve singular fourth-order parabolic PDEs. The fourth-order parabolic PDE analytical solution was examined in [24] to solve singular fourth-order parabolic PDEs. The modified Laplace discussed variational iteration technique [25] to solve singular fourth-order parabolic PDEs.

G. Adomian is an American scientist who has developed the Adomian decomposition method. It focuses on the search for a set of solutions and the decomposition of the nonlinear operator into a sequence in which Adomian polynomials [26] are recurrently computed to use the terms. This method is improved with the aid of Elzaki transformation such that the improved method is known as the Elzaki decomposition method (EDM). Elzaki Transform (ET) is a modern integral transform introduced by Tarig Elzaki in 2010. ET is a modified transform of Sumudu and Laplace transforms. It is important to note that there are many differential equations with variable coefficients that Sumudu and Laplace cannot accomplish transforms but can be conveniently done by using ET [27–30]. Many mathematicians have been solving differential equations with the aid of ET, such as Navier-Stokes equations [30], heat-like equations [31] and Burgers–Huxley equation [32].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definition. The Abel-Riemann of fractional operator \( D^\beta \) of order \( \beta \) is given as [27–30]

\[
D^\beta \nu(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{d^j}{d\zeta^j} \nu(\zeta), & j = \beta, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(j-\beta)} \frac{d}{d \psi} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta-\psi)^{\beta-j+1} d\psi, & 0 < \beta < 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( j \in Z^+, \beta \in R^+ \), and

\[ D^\beta \nu(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta-\psi)^{\beta-1} \nu(\psi) d\psi, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1. \]

2.2. Definition. The fractional-order Abel-Riemann integration operator \( j^\beta \) is defined as [27–30]

\[ j^\beta \nu(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta-\psi)^{\beta-1} \nu(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad \zeta > 0, \beta > 0. \]

The operator of basic properties:

\[ j^\beta T^\psi j^\beta = j^{\beta+\psi}, \quad D^\beta T^\psi j^\beta = j^{\beta+\psi}. \]

2.3. Definition. The Caputo fractional operator \( C^\beta \) of \( \beta \) is defined as [27–30]

\[
C^\beta \nu(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{d^j}{d\zeta^j} \nu(\zeta), & j = \beta, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(j-\beta)} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta-\psi)^{\beta-j+1} d\psi, & 0 < \beta < 1,
\end{cases}
\]

3. Idea of NDM

The general fractional-order PDE is given as

\[ D^\beta \mu(\phi, \tau) + L\mu(\phi, \tau) + N\mu(\phi, \tau) = q(\phi, \tau), \quad \phi, \tau \geq 0, 1 < \beta \leq 1, \]

In Equation (9), we represent the linear part of the equation with \( L \) and the nonlinear part with \( N \), and \( D^\beta \phi^\beta \tau^\beta \) denotes the Caputo fractional derivatives. With initial condition,

\[ \mu(\phi, 0) = k(\phi), \]

We have applied the Elzaki transformation to Equation (9)

\[ E\left[ D^\beta \mu(\phi, \tau) + E[L\mu(\phi, \tau) + N\mu(\phi, \tau)] = E[q(\phi, \tau)], \right. \]

and using Elzaki Transform’s differentiation property, we
get

\[
\frac{1}{\zeta^2}E[\mu(\phi, \tau)] - s^{1-\beta} \mu(\phi, 0) = E[q(\phi, \tau)] - E[L\mu(\phi, \tau) + N\mu(\phi, \tau)],
\]

\[
E[\mu(\phi, \tau)] = s^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\phi, 0) + s^{\beta}E[q(\phi, \tau)] - s^{\beta}E[L\mu(\phi, \tau) + N\mu(\phi, \tau)].
\]

(12)

Now, \(\mu(\phi, 0) = k(\phi)\).

\[
E[\mu(\phi, \tau)] = s^{\frac{1}{2}}k(\phi) + s^{\beta}E[q(\phi, \tau)] - s^{\beta}E[L\mu(\phi, \tau) + N\mu(\phi, \tau)].
\]

(13)

The following infinite series represent the EDM solution \(\mu(\phi, \tau)\).

\[
\mu(\phi, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j(\phi, \tau),
\]

(14)

and Adomian polynomials as

\[
N\mu(\phi, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j,
\]

(15)

\[
A_j = \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j} \left( N \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \left( \lambda^j \mu_j \right) \right) \right], \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

(16)

We get replacement Equation (14) and Equation (15) in Equation (13).

\[
E \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j(\phi, \tau) \right] = s^{\frac{1}{2}}k(\phi) + s^{\beta}E[q(\phi, \tau)] - s^{\beta}E \left[ L \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j(\phi, \tau) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \right].
\]

(17)

Applying the Elzaki transformation’s linearity,

\[
E[\mu_0(\phi, \tau)] = s^{\frac{1}{2}}k(\phi) + s^{\beta}E[q(\phi, \tau)],
\]

(18)

\[
E[\mu_1(\phi, \tau)] = -s^{\beta}E[L\mu_0(\phi, \tau) + A_0].
\]

(19)

We can generally write

\[
E[\mu_{j+1}(\phi, \tau)] = -s^{\beta}E \left[ L\mu_j(\phi, \tau) + A_j \right], \quad j \geq 1.
\]

(20)

Equation (18) and Equation (20) implement the inverse Elzaki transformation

\[
\mu_0(\phi, \tau) = k(\phi) + E^{-1} \left[ s^{\beta}E[q(\phi, \tau)] \right],
\]

\[
\mu_{j+1}(\phi, \tau) = E^{-1} \left[ s^{\beta}E \left[ L\mu_j(\phi, \tau) + A_j \right] \right], \quad j \geq 1.
\]

(21)

4. Numerical Implementation

4.1. Problem. Consider fractional-order one-dimensional parabolic equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^\beta \mu}{\partial \tau^\beta} + \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} = 0, \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2, \, \tau \geq 0,
\]

(22)

with initial conditions

\[
\mu(\phi, 0) = 0, \mu_\tau(\phi, 0) = 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120},
\]

(23)

with boundary conditions

\[
\mu \left( \frac{1}{2}, \tau \right) = \left( 1 + \frac{1/2}{120} \right) \sin (\tau), \mu(1, \tau) = \left( \frac{121}{120} \right) \sin (\tau),
\]

(24)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial \phi^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \tau \right) = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 \sin (\tau), \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial \phi^2} (1, \tau) = \frac{1}{6} \sin (\tau).
\]

The Elzaki transform of Equation (22):

\[
\frac{1}{\zeta^\beta} \mu(\phi, s, u) - s^{2-\beta} \mu(\phi, 0) - s^{3-\beta} \mu_\tau(\phi, 0) = -E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} \right].
\]

(25)

Simplify and replace Equation (23) condition.

\[
\mu(\phi, s, u) = s^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) + s^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120}) - E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} \right].
\]

(26)

Use of inverse Elzaki transformation

\[
\mu(\phi, \tau) = E^{-1} \left[ s^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) - s^{\beta}E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} \right] \right],
\]

(27)

\[
\mu(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \tau - E^{-1} \left[ s^{\beta}E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} \right] \right].
\]

(28)

Equation (28) correction function is provided by

\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell+1}(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \tau - E^{-1} \left[ s^{\beta}E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^4 \mu_\ell}{\partial \phi^4} \right] \right].
\]

(29)

the first term

\[
\mu_0(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \tau,
\]

(30)
then we got

\[ \mu_{x+1}(\phi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \phi^E \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^i \mu_i}{\partial \phi^i} \right) \right] \]  

(31)

for \( j = 0 \),

\[ \mu_1(\phi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \phi^E \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \frac{\partial^4 \mu_0}{\partial \phi^4} \right) \right] \]

\[ \mu_1(\phi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + (\phi^4/120)}{\phi^4} \right) \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \phi^3} \right] = - \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \frac{\tau^{\beta+1}}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)}. \]

(32)

The following terms are

\[ \mu_2(\phi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \phi^E \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \frac{\partial^4 \mu_1}{\partial \phi^4} \right) \right] = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \frac{\tau^{2\beta+3}}{\Gamma(2\beta + 2)}. \]

\[ \mu_3(\phi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \phi^E \left( \frac{1}{\phi} + \frac{\phi^4}{120} \frac{\partial^4 \mu_2}{\partial \phi^4} \right) \right] = - \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \frac{\tau^{3\beta+4}}{\Gamma(3\beta + 2)}. \]

\[ \vdots \]

(33)

The series form of problem (1) such as:

\[ \mu(\phi, \tau) = \mu_0(\phi, \tau) + \mu_1(\phi, \tau) + \mu_2(\phi, \tau) + \mu_3(\phi, \tau) + \mu_4(\phi, \tau) + \ldots \]

\[ \mu(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \left\{ \tau - \frac{\tau^{\beta+1}}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)} + \frac{\tau^{2\beta+3}}{\Gamma(2\beta + 2)} - \frac{\tau^{3\beta+4}}{\Gamma(3\beta + 2)} + \ldots \right\} \]

(34)

when \( \beta = 2 \), then integer EDM solution is

\[ \mu(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \left\{ \tau - \frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^5}{5!} - \frac{\tau^7}{7!} + \ldots \right\} \]

(35)

The exact result is given as

\[ \mu(\phi, \tau) = \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^5}{120} \right) \sin(\tau). \]

(36)

4.2. Problem. Consider fractional-order two-dimensional parabolic equation:

\[ \frac{\partial^\beta \mu}{\partial \tau^{\beta}} + 2 \left( 1 + \frac{\phi^4}{6} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^4} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} = 0, \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2, \quad \tau \geq 0, \]

(37)

with initial conditions

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, 0) = 0, \quad \mu_1(\phi, \varphi, 0) = 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!}, \]

(38)

with boundary conditions

\[ \mu \left( \frac{1}{2}, \varphi, \tau \right) = \left( 2 + \frac{(1/2)^6}{6!} \right) \sin(\tau), \mu \left( \frac{1}{2}, \varphi, \tau \right) = \left( 2 + \frac{(1/2)^6}{6!} \right) \sin(\tau), \mu \left( \phi, 1, \tau \right) = \frac{1}{24} \sin(\tau), \mu \left( \phi, 1, \tau \right) = \frac{1}{24} \sin(\tau). \]

(39)

In the Elzaki transformation of Equation (37), we get

\[ \frac{1}{s^\beta} \mu(\phi, s, u) - s^{2-\beta} \mu(\phi, 0) - s^{2-\beta} \mu_1(\phi, 0) \]

\[ = -E \left[ 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} \right], \]

(40)

Simplify and replace Equation (38) condition.

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, s, u) = s^\tau(0) + s^\tau \left( 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} \right) \]

\[ - s^\tau \left[ 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} \right], \]

(41)

using inverse Elzaki transformation

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = E^{-1} \left[ s^\tau \left( 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} \right) - s^\tau \left( 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} \right) \right]. \]

(42)

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = \left( 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} \right) \tau - E^{-1} \left[ s^\tau \left( 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^2} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu}{\partial \phi^3} \right) \right]. \]

(43)
then we get

\[ \mu_{0,1}(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = \left( 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} \right) \tau, \]

(45)

and

\[ \mu_{1,1}(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \beta \left( 2 \left( \frac{1}{\phi^3} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu_0}{\partial \phi^4} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\varphi^3} + \frac{\varphi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu_0}{\partial \varphi^4} \right) \right]. \]

(46)

for \( j = 0, \)

\[ \mu_1(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\phi^3} + \frac{\phi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu_0}{\partial \phi^4} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\varphi^3} + \frac{\varphi^4}{6!} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \mu_0}{\partial \varphi^4} \right] \]

(47)

In the series form of problem (2), we get

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = \mu_0(\phi, \varphi, \tau) + \mu_1(\phi, \varphi, \tau) + \mu_2(\phi, \varphi, \tau) + \mu_3(\phi, \varphi, \tau) + \cdots, \]

In the series form of problem (2), we get

\[ \mu(\phi, \varphi, \tau) = \left( 2 + \frac{\phi^6}{6!} + \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} \right) \left\{ \tau - \frac{\tau^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(3\beta+2)} + \frac{\tau^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(4\beta+2)} \right\}. \]

(48)
Then, $β = 2$, the integer EDM result as
\[
\mu(φ, ϕ, r) = \left(2 + \frac{φ^6}{6!} + \frac{φ^9}{9!}\right)\left(r - \frac{r^3}{3!} + \frac{r^5}{5!} - \frac{r^7}{7!} + \frac{r^9}{9!} \cdots \right).
\] (50)

The exact solution is
\[
\mu(φ, ϕ, r) = \left(2 + \frac{φ^6}{6!} + \frac{φ^9}{9!}\right) \sin (r). \tag{51}
\]

In Figure 3, the exact and the EDM solutions of problem 2 at $β = 1$ are shown by subgraphs, respectively. From the given figure, it can be seen that both the EDM and exact results are in close contact with each other. In Figure 4, the EDM solutions of problem 2 are investigated at different fractional order $β = 0.8$ and 0.6. It is analyzed that time-fractional problem results are convergent to an integer-order effect as time-fractional analysis to integer order.

4.3. Problem. Consider fractional-order three-dimensional parabolic equation:
\[
\frac{∂^α μ}{∂τ^α} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos φ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^α μ}{∂φ^α} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^α μ}{∂ψ^α} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^4 μ}{∂φ^2} = 0,
\] (52)

with initial conditions
\[
μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, 0) = φ + ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos ψ) + (\cos ψ),
\] (54)

\[
μ_0(φ, ϕ, ψ, 0) = (\cos φ) + (\cos ϕ) + (\cos ψ) - (φ + ψ),
\] (55)

with boundary conditions
\[
μ(0, ψ, τ) = (-1 + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(π, ψ, τ) = \frac{2π - 3}{6} + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(0, ϕ, 0) = (-1 + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(π, ϕ, τ) = \frac{2π - 3}{6} + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(ϕ, ψ, π) = (-1 + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(ϕ, ψ, π) = \frac{2π - 3}{6} + φ + ψ - \cos φ - \cos ψ)τ^{-1},
\]
\[
μ(0, ϕ, 0) = μ_0(φ, 0, ψ, 0, τ) = μ_0(φ, ϕ, 0, τ) = e^{τ^2},
\]
\[
μ(π, ψ, τ) = μ_0(φ, π, ψ, τ) = μ_0(φ, π, ψ, τ) = e^{(3 + 2)τ^2}.
\] (56)

In the Elzaki transformation of Equation (52), we get
\[
\frac{1}{s^\beta} \mu(φ, ϕ, ψ, s, u) = s^{2-β} μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, 0) - s^{-1} μ_0(φ, ϕ, ψ, 0) - \frac{s^{2-β}}{2 \cos φ} μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, 0).
\]

Simplify and replace Equation (54) condition.
\[
μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, s, u) = s^2\left(\cos φ + \cos ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos ψ) + (\cos ψ)\right)
\]
\[
+ s^2\left(\cos (φ) + \cos (ψ) + (\cos (ψ)) - (φ + ψ)\right)
\]
\[
- s^β \left(2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos φ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂φ^2} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂ψ^2}
\]
\[
+ 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^4 μ}{∂φ^2} \right),
\] (58)

using the inverse Elzaki transform
\[
μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, τ) = E^{-1}\left[s^2\left(\cos φ + \cos ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos ψ) + (\cos ψ)\right)
\]
\[
+ s^2\left(\cos (φ) + \cos (ψ) + (\cos (ψ)) - (φ + ψ)\right)
\]
\[
- s^β E^{-1}\left(2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos φ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂φ^2} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂ψ^2}
\]
\[
+ 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^4 μ}{∂φ^2} \right),
\]
\[
μ(φ, ϕ, ψ, τ) = \{φ + ϕ + ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos (ψ) + (\cos ψ))\}(1 - τ)
\]
\[
E^{-1}\left(2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos φ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂φ^2} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^2 μ}{∂ψ^2}
\]
\[
+ 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\frac{∂^4 μ}{∂φ^2} \right),
\] (59)

Equation (59) correction function is provided by
\[
\sum_{τ=0}^{∞} μ_{\tau+1}(φ, ϕ, ψ, τ) = \{φ + ϕ + ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos (ψ) + (\cos ψ))\}(1 - τ)
\]
\[
E^{-1}\left(2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos φ} - 1\right)\sum_{τ=0}^{∞} \frac{∂^2 μ}{∂φ^2} + 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\sum_{τ=0}^{∞} \frac{∂^2 μ}{∂ψ^2}
\]
\[
+ 2\left(\frac{φ + ψ}{2 \cos ψ} - 1\right)\sum_{τ=0}^{∞} \frac{∂^4 μ}{∂φ^2} \right],
\] (61)

the first term
\[
μ_{\tau+1}(φ, ϕ, ψ, τ) = \{φ + ϕ + ψ - (\cos φ) + (\cos (ψ) + (\cos ψ))\}(1 - τ),
\] (62)
then we get

$$\mu_{t+1}(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^j \mu_0}{\partial \varphi^j} + 2 \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^j \mu_1}{\partial \varphi^j} \right] \right].$$

for $j = 0$,

$$\mu_0(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_0}{\partial \varphi^0} + 2 \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_1}{\partial \varphi^0} \right] \right].$$

The following terms are

$$\mu_2(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_2}{\partial \varphi^0} + 2 \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_3}{\partial \varphi^0} \right] \right].$$

$$\mu_3(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_3}{\partial \varphi^0} + 2 \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_4}{\partial \varphi^0} \right] \right].$$

$$\mu_4(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = -E^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_4}{\partial \varphi^0} + 2 \left( \frac{\phi + \psi}{2 \cos \varphi} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^0 \mu_5}{\partial \varphi^0} \right] \right].$$

$$\mu_j(\phi, \psi, \rho, \tau) = \{ \phi + \psi - (\cos(\phi) + \cos(\psi)) \} \left( \frac{\tau^j}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} - \frac{\tau^{j+1}}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)} \right).$$

(64)
The series form of problem (3) such as

\[
\mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \mu_0(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) + \mu_1(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) + \mu_2(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) + \mu_3(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) + \cdots,
\]

\[
\mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \{\phi + \varphi + \psi - (\cos(\phi) + \cos(\varphi) + \cos(\psi))\}
\cdot \left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 - \tau + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} - \frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^4}{4!} - \frac{\tau^5}{5!} + \frac{\tau^6}{6!} - \frac{\tau^7}{7!} + \cdots
\end{array}\right\}.
\]

In the integer-order solution of EDM of Equation (52) at \(\beta = 2\), we get

\[
\mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = \{\phi + \varphi + \psi - (\cos(\phi) + \cos(\varphi) + \cos(\psi))\}
\cdot \left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 - \tau + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} - \frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^4}{4!} - \frac{\tau^5}{5!} + \frac{\tau^6}{6!} - \frac{\tau^7}{7!} + \cdots
\end{array}\right\}.
\]

The exact solution is given as

\[
\mu(\phi, \varphi, \psi, \tau) = (\phi + \varphi + \psi - (\cos(\phi) + \cos(\varphi) + \cos(\psi)))e^{-\beta\tau}.
\]

In Figure 5, the exact and the EDM solutions of problem 3 at \(\beta = 1\) are shown by subgraphs, respectively. From the given figure, it can be seen that both the EDM and exact results are in close contact with each other. In Figure 6, the EDM solutions of problem 3 are investigated at different fractional order \(\beta = 0.8\) and \(0.6\). It is analyzed that time-fractional problem results are convergent to an integer-order effect as time-fractional analysis to integer order.

5. Conclusion

In the present article, an efficient analytical technique is used to solve fractional-order parabolic equations. The present method is the combination of two well-known methods, namely, Elzaki transform and Adomian decomposition method. The Elzaki transform is applied to the given problem, which makes it easier. After this, we implemented Adomian decomposition method and then inverse Elzaki transform to get closed form analytical solutions for the given problems. The proposed method required small number of calculation to attain closed form solutions and is therefore considered to be one of the best analytical method to solve fractional-order partial differential equations.
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