High hydrostatic pressure effects on arginine vasotocin levels in fish
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ABSTRACT: The present study investigates the response of the hormone arginine vasotocin (AVT), the non-mammalian antidiuretic hormone, to the acclimation of fish to high hydrostatic pressure (5.1 MPa). Two fish species with different osmoregulatory strategies, the lesser spotted dogfish Scyliorhinus canicula, a marine osmoconforming chondrichthyan species adapted for migration to deep waters, and the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, a pressure-sensitive freshwater species, were selected for study. Fish were exposed to hydrostatic pressures of either 0.1 (control) or 5.1 MPa in hydrostatic chambers for up to 2 wk at their appropriate salinities. Plasma cortisol was measured in trout, and plasma chloride, sodium and potassium were measured in both fish species. A transient high level of plasma AVT was found in dogfish and in trout after 1 and 3 d of exposure to high hydrostatic pressure, which returned to basal levels by 14 d of exposure. In contrast, pituitary AVT content was reduced after short-term exposure in dogfish, while in trout, lower expression was found in high pressure than in control conditions, independently of exposure time. In dogfish, pituitary AVT levels recovered by 14 d under high hydrostatic pressure. No changes in plasma cortisol (trout) or ions (both species) were observed. These initial increases of the AVT release from the pituitary during fish acclimation to high pressure suggest that it works as a physiological short-term response to reduce water loss and equilibrate ion osmotic balance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Deep waters in lakes, seas and oceans differ in several physical parameters from their respective shallow depths, affecting the physiology and evolutionary patterns of fish that live or migrate there (Gibbs 1997, Gaither et al. 2016, Priede 2017). In addition to very low temperatures, reduced oxygen availability and little illumination, high hydrostatic pressure is another relevant condition which fish living at depth must deal with. Hydrostatic pressure increases by approximately 0.1 MPa (1 atm) every 10 m of depth.
Many fish species can tolerate hydrostatic pressures corresponding to depths greater than 6000 m (approximately 59 MPa) (Günther 1887), while others can migrate vertically from about 1000 m (approximately 9.8 MPa), as is the case of Osteichthyes, including the Atlantic bluefin tuna (Block et al. 2001), and cyclostomes, including the European eel (Righton et al. 2016), or from 600 m (approximately 5.9 MPa) in some chondrichthyan species, such as the blue shark (Kyne & Simpfendorfer 2010). Meanwhile, another group of species can tolerate high hydrostatic pressure conditions even if they do not live or migrate to great depth environments (Sébert & Theron 2001). These eurybathic species, such as the trout (Sébert & Theron 2001), are well suited to the study of the plasticity of fish physiological mechanisms, as it relates to their response to hydrostatic pressure changes.

Exposure to high hydrostatic pressures has been shown to induce important changes in fish general physiology (Sébert 2002) and metabolism (Yancey & Siebenaller 2015). Immediate increases in fish locomotor activity and, consequently, increases in oxygen consumption and in some metabolic rates were observed, which were followed by slow acclimations towards a new steady state to basal levels (for reviews, see also Gibbs 1997). Furthermore, in the freshwater eel, high hydrostatic pressure produces large inhibitory effects on cellular structure and decreases in cell membrane fluidity (Somero 1992, Vettier et al. 2006) as well as increases in circulating and tissue ion levels (sodium, chloride and magnesium in blood, and sodium and chloride in gills and muscles) after long-term acclimation (Simon et al. 1989, Sébert et al. 1991). These changes in tissue ion concentrations were explained as a consequence, at least in part, of passive ion entry into the cells caused by a direct inhibition of specific ion transport mechanisms during the adjustment of a new state of energetic metabolism, as a result of the concurrent acclimation to high-pressure conditions (Péqueux 2008).

Fish response mechanisms to confront the negative effects produced by high-pressure conditions have also been studied. This is the case of trimethylamine N-oxide, which has been seen to be involved in the evolutionary adaptation of deep-sea fish, contributing to a reduction in their osmoregulatory costs at great depths by counteracting the disturbances to cellular proteins induced by high pressures (Gillet et al. 2001, Yancey et al. 2001, 2014). However, even though ion osmotic and co-solute/osmolyte pressure adaptations are of major importance for fish in hyperbaric environments, the effects of these environments in their regulatory mechanisms are not very well studied (Sébert et al. 2007, Damasceno-Oliveira et al. 2012).

Arginine vasotocin (AVT), the non-mammalian homolog of vasopressin, is a nonapeptide synthesized in the preoptic area of the hypothalamus of fish, amphibians, birds and reptiles (Acher 1996). AVT works as a neurotransmitter in brain regions that are in contact with vasotocinergic projections (Absil et al. 2002, Maruska et al. 2007) and, as a hormone, it is released into the blood from specific vasotocinergic axonal projections of the pituitary neurohypophyseal lobe (Duarte et al. 2001, Uchiyama et al. 2014, Chaube et al. 2015).

Several studies in euryhaline teleost fish species, including the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss (Kulczykowska 1997, 2007), the European flounder Platichthys flesus (Balment et al. 1993) and the gilt-head seabream Sparus aurata (Sangiao-Alvarelos et al. 2006, Mancera et al. 2018), as well as in chondrichthyan species such as the bull shark Carcharhinus leucas (Anderson et al. 2006) or the banded houndshark Triakis scyllium (Hyodo et al. 2004) clearly support that AVT works as an antidiuretic signaling molecule which acts mainly in the kidney and gills through specific receptors (Martos-Sitcha et al. 2014, Lema et al. 2019). In the kidney, the hormone promotes water reabsorption and restoration by acting on specific V2-type receptors of the renal collecting ducts (Amer & Brown 1995, McCormick & Bradshaw 2006). In gills, it produces branchial upregulation of salt secretion mechanisms (through alteration of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator Cl− channel) and downregulation of salt uptake mechanisms (through modulation of the Na+:Cl− co-transporter 2, ncc2) (Lema et al. 2019).

Considering the role of AVT in regulation of the ion osmotic balance and the described effects of high hydrostatic pressure on ion concentrations in fish blood (Simon et al. 1989, Péqueux 2008), it is intriguing to explore how hydrostatic pressure affects the AVT system and whether the possible responses are species specific or not. For that purpose, 2 fish species with different osmoregulatory responses and with different strategies in relation to pressure acclimation were selected: the dogfish Scyliorhinus canicula (L. 1758), a marine osmoconforming chondrichthyan species adapted for migration to deep waters (Wearnmouth et al. 2013), and the rainbow trout
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O. mykiss (Carrera et al. 1998), a non-anadromous, pressure-sensitive, osmoregulating Osteichthyes (Sébert & Theron 2001).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animals

Thirty-six immature female rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss of 22.60 ± 0.48 g body mass (mean ± SE), obtained from the commercial hatchery A Coelho and Castro Lda, Estela, Portugal, and 36 mature dogfish Scyliorhinus canicula (mixed-sex individuals) of 614.67 ± 24.82 g body mass, obtained from local fisheries bycatch, were used for the experiments. Trout were kept in aerated, filtered freshwater (dechlorinated Porto city tap water; 0.6‰) and dogfish in artificial seawater (34‰), in both cases under an artificial photoperiod regime (14 h light:10 h dark) at 14 ± 2°C for 10 d before the beginning of the experiments. Trout were fed daily at 12:00 h with a commercial dry pellet diet (EWOS) ad libitum and dogfish with mackerel at the same time of the day. Both were food deprived for 24 h before the first day of the experiment that was performed during November and December. All experiments complied with the guidelines of protection for animals used for scientific purposes from European directive 2010/63/UE, and the procedures were approved by the animal care committee of the Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental (CIIMAR).

2.2. Experiments and sampling

Fish were transferred to custom-built chambers for hydrostatic pressure control of 200 l capacity (6 individuals of the same species per chamber and sampling time) (Damasceno-Oliveira et al. 2004). Each chamber was equipped with a 1000 l reservoir for recirculation of aerated water of the same characteristics mentioned above to maintain optimal water conditions for each species. The same artificial photoperiod was maintained (14 h light: 10 h dark) during the experiment. A hydrostatic pressure of 5.1 MPa (approximately 52 atm, which corresponds to approximately 520 m of depth) was established slowly (by increasing 0.25 MPa per minute), as previously reported (Correia et al. 2012), to avoid possible stress to the fish in the chambers of the treatment group. This procedure was confirmed in a shorter assay performed previously at CIIMAR, based on the analysis of plasma cortisol levels in rainbow trout exposed for 1, 3 and 7 d to high hydrostatic pressure of 5.1 MPa. The respective control group was kept in an identical chamber under 0.1 MPa, corresponding to the hydrostatic pressure at the water surface (approximately 1 atm).

Fish were sampled after 1, 3, or 14 d in the respective experimental condition for AVT measurements. Since only 2 chambers were available, the experiment was repeated 3 times for sampling at each time point. On the respective sampling day, after a slow decompression of the system (0.25 MPa per minute) in the high hydrostatic pressure chamber or directly in the control, fish were quickly removed from the chambers, always at 15:00 h, and deeply anaesthetized with MS-222 (50 mg l−1) buffered to pH 7.4 with sodium bicarbonate. Blood samples (0.5 ml in trout, 1 ml in dogfish) were collected from the caudal vein using heparinized syringes within 30 min of the beginning of the decompression and centrifuged for 8 min at 20 930 × g, and plasma was collected and stored at −80 °C. Subsequently, fish were sacrificed by decapitation, and the brains were extracted for pituitary collection. Tissues were removed under sterile conditions, rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and transferred to a −80°C freezer for storage until analysis. As mentioned above, a previous experiment was done in trout for cortisol and ion analyses, with slightly different sampling days (1, 3 and 7 d) but identical exposure (high hydrostatic pressure: 5.1 MPa; control: 0.1 MPa) and sampling methods.

2.3. Plasma and pituitary AVT quantification

Circulating AVT was extracted and analyzed according to Rodríguez-Illamola et al. (2011) using gradient reversed-phase HPLC and fluorometric detection (FD). AVT quantification was preceded by solid phase extraction (SPE) of 200 μl of plasma and the subsequent derivatization of the peptide in the SPE column with 4-fluoro-7-nitro-benzofurazan (NBDF) to facilitate fluorescence detection of AVT during the HPLC analysis. The AVT–NBDF complex was separated chromatographically on a Beckman Ultrasphere ODS column (250 × 4.6 mm i.d.; 5 μm particle diameter), and detection was undertaken at 530 nm of emission with an excitation wavelength of 470 nm (Jasco FP2020 fluorescence detector).
Pituitaries were individually disrupted by sonication in 100 μl HPLC-grade water and centrifuged at 20 930 × g for 10 min. Then, 10 μl aliquots of each sonicated sample were separated to analyze each organ’s total protein content by using the bicinchoninic acid method in microplates (Smith et al. 1985). Supernatants were subsequently filtered by using centrifuge filters (0.45 μm) for 20 min at 20 930 × g, and the purified extract was used to quantify the AVT content, injecting the sample directly to a gradient reversed-phase HPLC with FD, following previous instructions described in Rodríguez-Illamola et al. (2011). Pituitary AVT values were then normalized by total protein content.

2.4. Plasma chloride, sodium, potassium and cortisol analyses

Plasma sodium and potassium concentrations were analyzed by flame photometry (PFP7, Jenway), while chloride concentration was quantified by titration (Corning M925 Chloride Analyzer). Plasma cortisol of the respective samples was measured using a commercial ELISA (Neogen).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean ± SE. AVT and cortisol were tested for homogeneity of variation (Levene’s mean test) and normality (Shapiro-Wilks) and then a 2-way ANOVA with hydrostatic pressure and exposure time as main factors, followed by a post hoc Holm-Sidak test. Plasma monovalent ions were compared by unpaired t-tests. In all cases, differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. Sigma Plot v11 software was used for statistics and graphs.

3. RESULTS

3.1. High hydrostatic pressure effects on circulating and pituitary AVT levels in trout

Fig. 1 shows AVT levels in the plasma and pituitary of trout under hydrostatic pressure conditions corresponding to the surface water level (control; 0.1 MPa) and of trout exposed to high hydrostatic pressure conditions (treatment; 5.1 MPa). The effects of high hydrostatic pressure on circulating AVT levels were dependent on exposure time (2-way ANOVA: interaction p = 0.002). Circulating AVT levels were higher in fish exposed to high hydrostatic pressure at 1 and 3 d, but by 14 d levels returned to basal values of control fish (Fig. 1A). In contrast, pituitary AVT levels were significantly lower in high hydrostatic pressure exposed trout than in control trout (2-way ANOVA: F_{1,22} = 13.528; p = 0.001) but with no effect of time (2-way ANOVA: F_{1,22} = 1.177; p = 0.327).

3.2. High hydrostatic pressure effects on circulating and pituitary AVT levels in dogfish

AVT levels in the plasma and pituitary of dogfish kept under control and high hydrostatic pressure conditions are shown in Fig. 2. Circulating AVT levels in control dogfish groups were close to 8-fold higher than those in trout, while the pituitary content was 2 times lower than that in trout.

Significant effects of high-pressure treatment (2-way ANOVA: F_{1,21} = 45.602; p < 0.001) and exposure time (2-way ANOVA: F_{2,21} = 12.453; p < 0.001) as well as a significant interaction time × treatment (2-way ANOVA: F_{2,21} = 8.885; p = 0.002) were observed for the circulating AVT levels in dogfish (Fig. 2A). Control fish maintained similar circulating levels during all sampling days, while fish exposed for 1 and 3 d to high hydrostatic pressure displayed higher circulating AVT levels than their corresponding controls (p < 0.001). Between 3 d and 14 d in high hydrostatic pressure, values fell to basal levels (p = 0.539).

Pituitary AVT levels in dogfish were significantly affected by hydrostatic pressure (2-way ANOVA: F_{1,22} = 12.654; p = 0.002) and exposure time (2-way ANOVA: F_{2,22} = 10.268; p < 0.001) (Fig. 2B), with an interaction observed between treatment and time (2-way ANOVA: F_{2,22} = 8.788; p = 0.002). Control fish maintained similar pituitary AVT levels over the 14 d, while levels decreased in dogfish exposed for 1 and 3 d at high hydrostatic pressure when compared with levels of the respective controls. After 14 d, treated fish recovered pituitary AVT levels.

3.3. High hydrostatic pressure effects on monovalent ions and cortisol plasma levels in trout and dogfish

Dogfish in water with salinity of 34‰ had approximately double the concentration of chloride, sodium and potassium when compared to trout kept in
freshwater (Table 1). Exposure to high hydrostatic pressure (5.1 MPa) for 1 d did not significantly affect the plasma concentrations of any of the monovalent ions measured in either fish species when they were compared to their respective control groups (0.1 MPa). In trout, hydrostatic pressure did not alter plasma cortisol levels over a 7 d period (Table 2).

4. DISCUSSION

Our present study reveals for the first time that the fish vasotocinergic system is altered under high hydrostatic pressure conditions in 2 fish species with different osmoregulatory strategies and ecophysiological adaptations: the rainbow trout, a euryhaline...
teleost that can support a limited pressure range, and the dogfish, an osmoconforming chondrichthyan adapted to descend into deeper waters.

Circulating AVT levels quantified in our study in rainbow trout (control groups) were stable over the 2 wk of the sampling period and ranged from 34.49 ± 4.59 to 39.13 ± 7.25 pg ml⁻¹. Pituitary AVT content was also stable over time, with values ranging from 0.43 ± 0.08 to 0.48 ± 0.08 ng μg protein⁻¹. It is also interesting that circulating AVT levels were significantly lower in trout used in this study than those reported previously (Rodríguez-Illamola et al. 2011) when juveniles of rainbow trout were sampled at a similar time of the day (14:00 h; 100.93 ± 13.98 pg ml⁻¹). In contrast, AVT pituitary levels were similar in both studies. Since AVT analytical methods were HPLC-FD based in both experiments, and taking into account that trout were immature females (Rodríguez-Illamola et al. 2011), it can be assumed that the divergence found in the circulating AVT levels between these studies did not depend on the age and sex of the fish nor was it likely to have a methodological origin. However, seasonal rhythmic patterns could also affect the AVT production/release system in rainbow trout (Rodríguez-Illamola et al. 2011, Sokółowska et al. 2020) and, therefore, the circulating AVT levels. Specifically, the lowest levels were found in the present study, which was conducted in December, while the highest basal circulating AVT levels were found in the previous study performed in April (Rodríguez-Illamola et al. 2011).

With respect to Scyliorhinus canicula, this is the first time in which circulating AVT levels were studied in this species, displaying values of 271 to 389 pg ml⁻¹ (Fig. 2A). Similar AVT levels were reported in the bull shark Carcharhinus leucas (148 to 238 fmol ml⁻¹; Anderson et al. 2006), while in the banded houndshark Triakis scyllium, the AVT levels found were lower (87.7 ± 13.2 fmol ml⁻¹; Hyodo et al. 2004). However, it is important to take into account that both studies used animals of a much younger age than those used in the present study. As far as we know this is the first time in which pituitary AVT levels were measured in a chondrichthyan species, showing mean values between 0.22 ± 0.03 and 0.29 ± 0.05 ng μg protein⁻¹ (Fig. 2B). Therefore, it will be necessary to explore new situations and other species to know the characteristics of AVT production/release in the different groups of chondrichthians.

The main roles of AVT in fish are related to the antidiuretic function (Kulczykowska 1997, Martos-Sitcha et al. 2013), the response to stress conditions (Fryer & Leung 1982, Gestó et al. 2014, Martos-Sitcha et al. 2019) and the behavioral and physiological regulation of reproduction (Foran & Bass 1999, Bass & Grober 2001, Sokółowska et al. 2015, Altmieme et al. 2019). We were able to confirm that hydrostatic pressure exposure per se does not elicit a stress response in trout since plasma cortisol levels were not elevated (Barton & Iwama 1991). In elasmobranchs, the stress hormone 1α-hydroxycorticos-
2014). In both species, all these parameters kept similar values in high hydrostatic pressure compared to those in the hydrostatic pressure that corresponds to shallow water (control groups) at short term (1 d). In contrast to these results, previous studies in eels suggested that hydrostatic pressure produces a diuretic tendency in fish to increase circulating and tissue ion levels (sodium, chloride and magnesium in blood, and sodium and chloride in gills and muscle) after long-term exposure to high hydrostatic pressure (Simon et al. 1989). In the same way, Dunel-Erb et al. (1996) observed an increase in ionoregulatory cells in the gills of eels acclimated to high hydrostatic pressure.

AVT circulating levels increased during at least the first 3 d of exposure to high hydrostatic pressure (5.1 MPa) in both species, and levels decreased to basal values at some point between 3 and 14 d of exposure to high-pressure conditions. Somero (1992) reported that cell membrane fluidity increases in fish exposed to high pressure for 15 d, which reasonably could produce a diuretic trend at the level of the kidney. In our case, plasma monovalent ion concentrations in both fish species did not change in fish exposed at short term to high hydrostatic pressure (5.1 MPa) in relation to those kept under low hydrostatic pressure (0.1 MPa). So, the increased AVT release found in the present study could be an antidiuretic response that occurs under these conditions in a similar way to what happens after transferring fish to environments of increased salinity (Haruta et al. 1991, Balment et al. 1993, 2006, Kulczykowska 2007). As a consequence, our results suggest that increased plasma AVT levels could contribute to readjust plasma monovalent ion concentrations induced by high hydrostatic pressure, that seems to occur very efficiently in trout and in dogfish, at least in the short term.

The return to basal circulating AVT levels after long-term exposure of fish to high-pressure conditions (by 14 d) suggests that other mechanisms could be involved in such an antidiuretic response, including probable increases of the AVT sensitivity in target tissues by means of increased AVT receptor expression. This has been demonstrated in fish acclimated to hyperosmotic water conditions (Lema 2010, Martos-Sitcha et al. 2014). This physiological state could help to maintain the antidiuretic AVT effects for longer periods, with lower costs for fish than maintaining high circulating AVT levels.

Concerning pituitary AVT content, it was initially (1 and 3 d) lower in dogfish exposed to the hydrostatic pressure of 5.1 MPa in relation to the respective control groups, while it recovered to control values by 14 d of exposure. However, in trout, this pituitary AVT content fall to basal levels was independent of the period of exposure to high pressure. The evolution observed in the pituitary and the circulating AVT levels in dogfish kept under high hydrostatic pressure agree with the biphasic response observed in fish for this and other peptide hormones under altered salinity conditions (Perrott et al. 1991). Hence, stores of the neuropeptide are released into the blood during the initial exposure (1 to 3 d), which is accompanied by an eventual drop in AVT content at the pituitary neuronal endings. Subsequently, AVT release into the blood decreases, allowing the pituitary to restore its AVT content to basal levels.

The effect of high hydrostatic pressure on circulating AVT levels was slightly higher in dogfish (an increase of 3.7 times at 1 d and 2.9 times at 3 d) than in trout (2.4 times at 1 d and 2.2 times at 3 d). This could be indicative of differences in the extension of the AVT response at the pituitary level in the 2 species studied. However, these data are still very preliminary and do not allow judgements at this level, especially considering that they are from 2 species with different osmoregulatory strategies and behaviors throughout the water column.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The present results point to a transient increase of pituitary AVT release in fish species exposed to high hydrostatic pressure, which is probably relevant for the maintenance of fluid and ion osmotic balance under these conditions. As we have used 2 species with very different physiological and osmoregulatory adaptations, it is not possible to make comparisons based on the differences observed in the response of AVT during exposure to high hydrostatic pressure, and so more in-depth studies are necessary.
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