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Abstract

Pine wilt is a disease of pine (*Pinus* spp.) caused by the pine wood nematode (PWN), *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*. However, the pathogenic mechanism of pine wilt disease (PWD) remains unclear. Although the PWN was thought to be the only pathogenic agent associated with this disease, a potential role for bacterial symbionts in the disease process was recently proposed. Studies have indicated that aseptic PWNs do not cause PWD in aseptic pine trees, while PWNs associated with bacteria cause wilting symptoms. To investigate the pathogenicity of the PWN and its associated bacteria, 3-month-old microcuttings derived from certain clones of *Pinus densiflora* Siebold & Zucc. produced in vitro were inoculated under aseptic conditions with aseptic PWNs, non-aseptic PWNs and bacteria isolated from the nematodes. Six-month-old aseptic *P. densiflora* microcuttings and 7-month-old *P. massoniana* seedlings were also inoculated under aseptic conditions with aseptic PWNs and non-aseptic PWNs. The results showed that the aseptic microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs or non-aseptic PWNs wilted, while those inoculated with bacterial isolates did not wilt. Nematodes were recovered from wilted microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs and non-aseptic PWNs, and the asepsis of nematodes recovered from aseptic PWN-inoculated microcuttings and seedlings was reconfirmed by culturing them in NB liquid medium at 30°C for more than 7 days. Taken together, the results indicate that the asepsis of PWN did not cause the loss of pathogenicity.

Introduction

Pine wilt is a disease of pine (*Pinus* spp.) caused by the pine wood nematode (PWN), *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner & Buhrer) Nickle. Although the first occurrence of pine wilt disease (PWD) was reported in 1905 in Japan [1], PWN was not identified as the causal agent of the disease until 1971 [2]. PWD has spread to other Asian countries such as China and Korea and to Europe (Portugal) [3,4,5,6], and has become a worldwide threat to pine forests and forest ecosystems with great economic losses. PWD kills 1,000,000 m³ of pine trees annually in Japan [7], and had caused great economic losses exceeding $3 billion [9].

Despite the significance of this disease, the pathogenic mechanism of PWD remains to be elucidated. Until recently, PWN was believed to be the only pathogenic agent causing the disease [10,11,12,13,14]. Because the physiological and histological changes of diseased trees occur before a rapid increase in the population of nematodes, Oku et al. proposed that other agents might be involved in the pathological process [15]. The possible association of PWN and toxin-producing bacteria was reported later [16]. More recently, bacteria from various genera have been found to be associated with *B. xylophilus* [17,18,19,20,21,22]. Certain inoculations indicated that bacteria carried by the PWN may play an important role in the pathogenicity of the disease. For example, Kawazu and Kaneko reported that the asepsis of the PWN caused it to lose its pathogenicity [23]. Han et al. reported that inoculating aseptic black pine seedlings with aseptic PWNs or bacteria alone did not lead to browning or wilting, but inoculation with aseptic PWNs combined with the bacteria isolated from PWN resulted in the onset of severe symptoms [19]. Zhao et al. discovered that inoculation with bacteria alone did not lead to the development of disease symptoms, but a combination of axenic PWNs and bacteria led to disease, while seedlings exhibited none or weak symptoms when inoculated with axenic PWNs or axenic PWNs combined with the non-pathogenic bacterium [20]. The results led the authors to propose a new hypothesis that PWD was a complex disease induced by both, PWNs and their associated pathogenic bacteria.

Although the inoculation tests outlined above provide apparently convincing evidence of the role of bacteria, some scientists think that a note of caution needs to be applied, as bacteria alone cannot cause the disease [24]. It has been proposed that bacteria associated with PWNs are chance contaminants. Because bacteria exist both inside and outside of the host tree, they are not pathogenic [14]. Therefore, further research in this area is needed. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the pathogenicity of bacteria-free PWNs on axenic plantlets derived from clonal plants of *Pinus densiflora* and seven-month-old *P. massoniana* aseptic seedlings.
Materials and Methods

Pine Wood Nematode (Non-aseptic)

Four isolates of *B. xylophilus* (strongly virulent AMA3c1, AN19 and AA3, and weakly virulent YW4) were used in all experiments. The strain AMA3c1 was an inbred line maintained by Lihua Zhu in Jianren Ye’s laboratory for 20 generations of full-sibling mating [25]. It was derived from the wild isolate AMA3. All of the wild isolates were established with 20–30 individuals collected from dead pine trees by Huang Ren-e [26]. The origin of the nematode isolates is listed in Table 1. The nematodes were subcultured on the fungus *Botrytis cinerea* in a 25°C incubator for further use.

Acquisition of Bacterium-free Nematodes

Populations of the four isolates were multiplied on *B. cinerea* cultures on potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) medium at 25°C for 7 days, then washed onto a piece of filter paper in a Baermann funnel with sterile water and kept there for 12 h. A volume of 10 mL of nematode-containing liquid was collected from the bottom of the funnel and centrifuged at 2919 g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the nematode-containing precipitate was washed several times and resuspended with sterile water. The nematode suspension was poured onto a sterilized cover slip in a petri dish, incubated at 25°C and allowed to lay eggs for 4–6 h, after which the nematodes were discarded. Eggs adhering to the surface of the cover slip were rinsed several times with sterile water to remove the nematodes, and the cover slip was placed in a petri dish containing 15% H2O2 for 60 min at 25°C. The nematodes were subcultured on NB agar medium and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. Colonies were selected and then further cultivated to establish pure cultures. Two bacterial strains were isolated from AMA3c1, and were designated as AMA3c1-1 and AMA3c1-2.

The isolated bacteria were mainly identified on the basis of 16 S rRNA gene sequencing. Total genomic DNA was extracted as described by Sambrook et al. [27]. From the extracted genomic DNA, 16 S rRNA genes were PCR amplified with the bacterial universal primers 27F and 1492R. PCR was performed in the following program: one cycle of 5 min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 1 min at 72°C, and one cycle of 5 min at 72°C [28]. PCR products were purified using the TaKaRa DNA Purification Kit (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and cloned into the pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa), transformed into *Escherichia coli* JM109, extracted, amplified and purified according to standard procedures, and then sequenced at Spring Ltd. (Nanjing, China). The 16 S rRNA gene sequence similarity was determined using the EzTaxon server [29]. The 16 S rRNA gene sequences were deposited in the NCBI database. The phylogenetic dendrograms were constructed by the neighbor-joining method using the molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0.

Culture of Aseptic *P. massoniana* Seedlings

Mature seeds of *P. massoniana* were soaked in 0.1% KMnO4 solution for 12 h and then washed in tap water for 1 h; floating seeds were then discarded. The seed coats of the remaining seeds were removed with sterilized forceps and intact megagametophytes were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for 30 s, and then soaked in 0.1% aqueous HgCl2 (w/v) for 3 min followed by 3 rinses with sterile water. Megagametophytes were then placed on a PDA plate for accelerated germination at a certain distance from each other. The process of accelerated germination was monitored frequently and uncontaminated germinated megagametophytes were transferred to a 500 mL flask containing 200–250 mL Gresshoff and Doy (30) medium (GD) supplemented with 0.5–1.0% activated charcoal and cultured there for 7 months under controlled conditions (temperature 25±2°C, 16 h of light at 2000 lx).

Inoculation

Preparation of inoculum. The aseptically cultured nematodes were harvested by Baermann funnel under aseptic conditions and the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 10000

### Table 1. Origin of the four isolates of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*.

| Virulence | Isolate | Collection site | Source | Year collected |
|-----------|---------|----------------|--------|---------------|
| Strong    | AMA3    | Anhui, Anqing  | Dead *Pinus thunbergii* | 2004 |
|           | AN19    | Anhui, Maanshan| *P. massoniana*          | 2004 |
| Weak      | YW4     | Yunnan, Dehong | Unknown                      | 2005 |
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---

**Pathogenicity of Aseptic *B. xylophilus***

The culture of aseptic microcuttings of *P. densiflora* was performed as described by Zhu et al. [31]. Cotyledon-hypocotyl explants obtained from 21–28 days old aseptically grown seedlings of *P. densiflora* were cultured on GD medium containing 4.0 mg/L 6-BA (6-benzylaminopurine) and 0.1 mg/L NAA (α-naphthylacetic acid) for 5 weeks for bud induction. Induced axillary buds were subcultured on GD medium supplemented with 0.5–1.0% activated charcoal for elongation. Elongated shoots (10–20 mm long) were excised and cut into 3–8 mm stem sections and then cultured on GD medium supplemented with 2.0 mg/L 6-BA and 0.2 mg/L NAA for proliferation. After 4 weeks of culture, shoots were transferred to GD medium supplemented with 0.5–1.0% activated charcoal for elongation. The shoots were subcultured into fresh medium at one month intervals. All cultures were maintained under the same conditions used for the culture of *P. massoniana* seedlings. All shoots generated from a single seed were identified with the same clonal number.

---

**Inoculation**

Preparation of inoculum. The aseptically cultured nematodes were harvested by Baermann funnel under aseptic conditions and the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 10000
nematodes/mL. One mL of this suspension was cultured in NB liquid medium in a flask for more than 7 days at 30°C to assess for contamination, and the remainder was maintained at 4°C. After verifying that the nematodes were bacteria-free, the suspension at 4°C was used for the inoculation test. The unsterilized nematodes cultured on B. cinerea for 5–7 days were harvested 1 day before inoculation and adjusted to the same concentration as that of the aseptic nematodes.

The bacterial isolates obtained from the nematodes were used to test their pathogenicity. The two bacterial suspensions that had been shake-cultured in a 200-mL flask for 2 days at 28°C were adjusted to a concentration of 2×10^6 bacteria/mL and then mixed in equal volumes.

**Inoculation of aseptic microcuttings with AMA3c1 and bacteria.** Aseptic microcuttings elongated on GD medium for 3 months were collected under aseptic conditions and the shoot tips were cut away. The treated microcuttings were placed into fresh medium, a piece of aseptic absorbent cotton was placed on the wound, and 0.02 mL of the inoculum suspension liquid containing 200 nematodes or 4×10^5 bacteria (the mixture of two bacterial suspensions) was added to the cotton. Inoculation with sterile water was used as a control. Each treatment was performed 5 times using a minimum of 10 microcuttings. All cultures were then maintained at 30°C under continuous, cool white fluorescent illumination (2000 lx) with a 16 h photoperiod. The number of wilted seedlings was recorded every 2 days until 20 days after inoculation.

**Inoculation of aseptic seedlings with AMA3c1.** The shoot tips of 7-month-old P. masamionica aseptic seedlings (10–15 cm tall) were cut away with sterilized scissors under aseptic conditions, and a piece of aseptic absorbent cotton was placed on the wound, and 0.02 mL of the inoculum suspension liquid containing 250 nematodes or 4×10^5 bacteria was added to the cotton. Inoculation with sterile water was used as a control. Each treatment was performed using at least 10 seedlings. The treated cultures were then maintained under the same conditions described above. The number of wilted seedlings was recorded every 2 days until 30 days after inoculation.

**Inoculation of aseptic microcuttings with AMA3c1, AN19, AA3 and YW4.** Aseptic microcuttings elongated on GD medium for 6 months were collected under aseptic conditions, and the shoot tips were cut away. The treated microcuttings were placed into fresh medium, a piece of aseptic absorbent cotton was placed on the wound, and 0.025 mL of the inoculum suspension liquid containing 250 nematodes was added to the cotton. Inoculation with sterile water was used as a control. Each treatment was performed using at least 10 microcuttings. The treated cultures were then maintained under the same conditions described above. The number of wilted seedlings was recorded every 2 days until 30 days after inoculation.

**Recovery of the Nematode from the Wilted Microcuttings**

Twenty or 30 days after inoculation, wilted microcuttings or seedlings were removed from the bottle and cut into 2–4 mm sections with aseptic scissors; nematodes were extracted with a Baermann funnel. After 12 h, nearly 1 mL of nematode-containing liquid at the bottom of the funnel was collected. The nematodes from the microcuttings inoculated with aseptic nematodes were recovered under aseptic conditions. The recovered nematodes were assessed for bacterial contamination by culturing 200 μL of each suspension in NB liquid medium at 30°C for more than 7 days. The number of recovered nematodes was counted under the microscope.

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago) was used for variance analysis. All the data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

**Results**

**Acquisition of Bacterium-free Nematodes**

Nematode eggs were placed on the mycelia of B. cinerea after surface sterilization with H2O2. After approximately 2–3 weeks, the fungal mats disappeared from the plate. The nematodes were extracted and the results of surface sterilization were assessed. Bathing PWN eggs in 15% H2O2 for 60 min was shown to lead to complete asepsis. A total of 12 populations were assessed in NB liquid medium for more than 7 days, and only one population from AA3 was not bacterium free.

**Identification of Bacteria**

The 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis identified strains AMA3c1-1 and AMA3c1-2 as Pseudomonas sp. and Rhizobium sp., respectively. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of these two bacterial strains were deposited in the NCBI database, and the accession numbers were JQ419489 and JQ419490, respectively.

**Pathogenicity of Aseptic AMA3c1 on Aseptic P. densiflora Microcuttings**

The shoot tips of aseptic microcuttings from clones 10-4, 16-2 and 1-A of P. densiflora were cut down and inoculated with aseptic AMA3c1, unsterilized AMA3c1 and the mixture of the two strains of bacteria. Microcuttings inoculated with aseptic AMA3c1 and with unsterilized AMA3c1 wilted, whereas those inoculated with bacteria and the control survived for 20 days after inoculation (Figure 1 A & Figure 1 B, Table 2). There were no differences in wilting symptoms between the microcuttings treated with aseptic nematodes and those exposed to unsterilized nematodes. In the case of clone 10-4, 4 of 18 microcuttings wilted 6 days after inoculation with aseptic AMA3c1 and another 13 microcuttings wilted during the following 20-day period. In the case of clone 16-2, 6 of 20 microcuttings wilted 6 days after inoculation with aseptic AMA3c1 and another 14 microcuttings wilted 14 days after inoculation. In clone 1-A, the presence of bacteria caused earlier symptoms, but aseptic nematodes eventually wilted 80% of the microcuttings over a 42-day period.

**Pathogenicity of Aseptic AMA3c1 on Aseptic P. masamionica Seedlings**

In 7-month-old aseptic P. masamionica seedlings, inoculation with PWNs caused typical pine wilt symptoms, while plants inoculated with sterile water remained healthy (Figure 2). Eighteen of the 20 seedlings inoculated with aseptic AMA3c1 wilted within 30 days after inoculation, and the fastest wilt occurred 6 days after inoculation. Eight of 10 seedlings wilted after inoculation with unsterilized AMA3c1 (Table 3). These results suggest that asepsis of the inbred strain AMA3c1 did not result in the loss of pathogenicity.

**Pathogenicity of Aseptic AMA3c1, AN19, AA3 and YW4 on Aseptic P. densiflora Microcuttings**

Six-month-old aseptic microcuttings from clone 7–7 of P. densiflora were inoculated with aseptic AMA3c1, AN19, AA3 and YW4, and unsterilized nematodes. Aseptic PWNs successfully invaded and wilted the microcuttings, as did the unsterilized...
nematodes 20 days after inoculation (Figure 3 A & Figure 3 B). There was no significant difference in wilting ratios between aseptic and unsterilized nematodes. Of the 4 isolates, AMA3c1 showed the strongest virulence and YW4 showed the weakest virulence against the microcuttings. Inoculation of microcuttings with aseptic AMA3c1, AN19, AA3 and YW4 caused wilting ratios of 90, 70, 60 and 40%, respectively, while these ratios were 80, 70, 60 and 40%, respectively, after inoculation with the corresponding unsterilized nematodes (Table 4).

**Table 2. Wilting ratios of tissue-cultured microcuttings of Pinus densiflora inoculated with AMA3c1 and number of nematodes recovered from the microcuttings.**

| Inoculum          | Wilting rates | Recovery of nematodes/ microcutting |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
|                   | 6 d | 8 d | 10 d | 12 d | 14 d | 16 d | 18 d | 20 d | 20 d |
| aseptic AMA3c1    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10-4              | 4/18 | 6/18 | 10/18 | 14/18 | 16/18 | 17/18 | 17/18 | 17/18 | 442 ±166 b (240-687) |
| 16-2              | 6/20 | 15/20 | 18/20 | 18/20 | 20/20 | 1177 ±765a (6-2106) |
| 1-A               | 0    | 0    | 2/10 | 2/10 | 2/10 | 3/10 | 4/10 | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| unsterilized AMA3c1|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10-4              | 3/22 | 8/22 | 14/22 | 17/22 | 20/22 | 20/22 | 20/22 | 20/22 | 43 ±39 c (6-110) |
| 16-2              | 5/20 | 14/20 | 15/20 | 17/20 | 17/20 | 17/20 | 17/20 | 17/20 | 43 ±39 c (6-110) |
| 1-A               | 0    | 0    | 3/10 | 3/10 | 3/10 | 5/10 | 7/10 | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| bacteria          |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10-4              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| 16-2              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| 1-A               | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| ck                |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10-4              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| 16-2              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |
| 1-A               | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | N    | 55 ±74 c (5-239) |

1. Data were recorded in the 20 days after inoculation. Values represent the mean ± SD. Means in a column followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s multiple range test (<i>P</i>≤0.01).
2. “N” means not done.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038095.t002

Figure 1. Symptoms of tissue-cultured microcuttings of Pinus densiflora 3 days (A) and 18 days (B) after inoculation with the pine wood nematode and bacterial strains isolated from the nematodes: (I) aseptic AMA3c1, (II) unsterilized AMA3c1, (III) bacterial strains, (IV) aseptic water.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038095.g001
Recovery of the Nematodes

Nematodes were recovered from wilting microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs and non-aseptic PWNs. There were significant differences in the number of nematodes recovered between the two types of inocula. The number of nematodes recovered from wilting microcuttings and seedlings was significantly higher in those inoculated with aseptic PWNs than in those inoculated with unsterilized PWNs (Tables 2, 3 & 4). In the case of the aseptic AMA3c1 inoculum, the average numbers of recovered nematodes from clones 10-4, 16-2, and 7-7 of tissue-cultured microcuttings of *P. densiflora*, and aseptic *P. massoniana* seedlings were 442 (range: 240-687, N = 9), 1177 (range: 6-2106, N = 10), 364 (range: 110-990, N = 6), and 1158 (range: 108-2635, N = 13), respectively. On the contrast, the average numbers of recovered nematodes from clones 10-4, 16-2, 7-7 of tissue-cultured microcuttings of *P. densiflora*, and aseptic *P. massoniana* seedlings inoculated with unsterilized AMA3c1 were 55 (range: 5-239, N = 9), 43 (range: 6-110, N = 10), 66 (range: 1-135, N = 6), and 85 (range: 13-215, N = 5), respectively. In microcuttings inoculated with aseptic AN19, AA3 and YW4, the average numbers of recovered nematodes were 229, 525 and 207, respectively, while these numbers were 56, 69 and 75, respectively, after inoculation with the corresponding unsterilized nematodes.

The nematodes recovered from wilted microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs were cultured in NB liquid medium at 30°C for more than 7 days and no microorganisms grew on the medium.

Discussion

PWD is a very complex disease, and the role of bacteria in the pathogenesis of PWD is controversial. Although inoculation experiments performed under sterilized conditions [19,20,23] and in the field [20,32] showed that surface sterilized PWNs lose their pathogenicity, Tamura reported that 3-year-old *P. densiflora* inoculated with aseptic nematodes wilted [33]. Bolla and Jordan also reported aseptic PWNs showed no alterations in their pathogenicity against 45-day-old *P. sylvestris* [34]. Kawazu and Kaneko speculated that the different results of field inoculations might be due to the fact that axenic PWNs regain their pathogenicity after being re-contaminated with bacteria during inoculation [23]. Because bacteria exist everywhere in uncontrolled conditions, in vitro inoculation using aseptic nematodes, purified bacteria and hosts grown aseptically was more suitable for the accurate study of plant-pathogen interactions.

Obtaining aseptic nematodes was the first crucial step for the accurate study of the interaction between parasitic nematodes and plants. The successful disinfection of PWNs has been achieved using various chemical disinfecting solutions and different types of sterilizing protocols [19,34,35,36]. Because PWNs carry different bacteria on the surface of their body, it is difficult to obtain axenic PWNs. The present study used a simple and efficient method to obtain bacteria-free PWNs that consist of bathing the PWN eggs during the 4–6 h incubation and allowing them to adhere on the cover slip in 15% H₂O₂ for 60 min.
Two bacterial strains were isolated and identified as Pseudomonas sp. and Rhizobium sp. from AMA3c1. According to the literature, the PWN carries bacteria from different genera and the bacterial communities differ among countries. Bacillus is predominant in Japan [37], Pseudomonas, Pantoea, Stenotrophomonas in China [19,20,38], Burkholderia, Brevibacterium, Ewingella, Enterobacter, Serratia in Korea [21], and Burkholderia, Pseudomonas and other bacteria from Enterobacteriaceae are mainly found in Portugal [22,39]. Although part of the Chinese PWN population was introduced from Japan [40], Bacillus was not reported in China except in the work of Tan and Feng [41]. Bacillus was also not found in Portugal [22]. Although Pseudomonas has been reported as one of the major phylogenetic groups in Portugal and China, it does not indicate that a Pseudomonas species is associated with PWN in Portugal.

Figure 3. Symptoms of tissue-cultured microcuttings of Pinus densiflora 3 days (A) and 18 days (B) after inoculation with 4 isolates of the pine wood nematode: (I) aseptic nematodes, (II) unsterilized nematodes; AAMA3c1 - aseptic AMA3c1, AAA3 - aseptic AA3, AAN19 - aseptic AN19, AYW4 - aseptic YW4, CK - aseptic water.

Table 4. Wilting ratios of tissue-cultured microcuttings of Pinus densiflora inoculated with AMA3c1, AN19, AA3 and YW4, and number of nematodes recovered from the microcuttings.

| Inoculum          | Wilting ratio (%) | Recovery of nematodes/microcutting |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| aseptic AMA3c1    | 90                | 364±355 ab (110–990)              |
| aseptic AN19      | 60                | 229±316 ab (18–846)               |
| aseptic AA3       | 70                | 525±455 a (70–1144)               |
| aseptic YW4       | 40                | 207±37 ab (165–252)               |
| unsterilized AMA3c1 | 80             | 66±52 b (1–135)                  |
| unsterilized AN19 | 70                | 56±77 b (4–208)                  |
| unsterilized AA3  | 60                | 69±56 b (10–113)                 |
| unsterilized YW4  | 40                | 75±77 b (2–182)                  |
| CK (aseptic water)| 0                 | N                                 |

1. Data were recorded 20 days after inoculation. Values represent the mean ± SD. Means in a column followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s multiple range test (P<0.01).
2. “N” means not done.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038095.g003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038095.t004
because none of the isolated *Pseudomonas* species was found in all sampling areas and they have not been detected in surface-disinfected nematodes by using molecular techniques [22]. The difference of bacterial composition was likely owing to the discrepancy of geographic areas, environmental factors, pine hosts, and vectors [42]. For example, *P. massoniana* is the dominant host in China, *P. thunbergii* is the dominant host in Japan, and *P. pinaster* is the dominant host in Portugal.

In vitro experiments showed that inoculation of pine microcuttings and seedlings with bacteria alone did not cause disease, which was in accordance with previous reports [17,19,20]. However, aseptic PWNs successfully infected in vitro-grown pine microcuttings and seedlings and caused typical pine wilt symptoms. In our first experiment, inoculation of 3-month-old microcuttings derived from tissue-cultured *P. densiflora* with the aseptic inbred nematode *AMA3* caused wilting. The results were further confirmed by the inoculation of 7-month-old *P. massoniana* aseptic seedlings with aseptic *AMA3* and, microcuttings of *P. densiflora* with aseptic AN19, AA3 andYW4. These results were contrary to those of Kawazu and Kaneko [17], Han et al. and Zhao et al. [19,20], who reported that seedlings inoculated with axenic nematodes did not show wilting symptoms or a few showed only minor symptoms of stem shrinkage without needle browning. One possible reason for these discrepancies may be that the observation period in the studies of Han et al. and Zhao et al. was too short (only 4 days) for the appearance of wilt symptoms [19,20]. Tamura reported that most of the aseptic *P. thunbergii* seedlings inoculated with axenic nematodes collapsed, and the fastest wilt occurred 5 days after inoculation with 1500 nematodes on callus tissues formed on seedling root tips [30]. In the present study, the fastest discoloration of leaves or shrinkage of stems was usually observed 6 days after inoculation.

The nematodes were recovered from wilted microcuttings and seedlings that had been inoculated with either aseptic nematodes or unsterilized nematodes, and the asepsis of nematodes recovered from wilted microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs was reconfirmed. Surprisingly, the number of recovered nematodes from wilting microcuttings and seedlings inoculated with aseptic PWNs was significantly higher than that from plants inoculated with unsterilized PWNs. Similar observations have previously been reported by Tamura [30]. When the root callus was inoculated with the combination of aseptic nematodes and bacteria, the number of nematodes decreased rapidly and they did not propagate over a 55-day period. It is likely that bacteria killed callus tissues before the reproduction of nematodes [30]. Despite the successful recovery of nematodes from wilting seedlings in the in vitro inoculations reported by Kawazu et al., Han et al. and Zhao et al. [43,19,20], these authors did not report on the number of nematodes recovered, and it is therefore not possible to determine whether any differences were present. However, Zhao et al. [20] performed field inoculation and reported that the number of nematodes per gram of wood in pine trees inoculated with axenic PWNs was lower than that of trees inoculated with axenic PWNs combined with the pathogenic bacterium or with wild PWNs in the control. The reason for the inhibition of nematode propagation in the present study is not clear, and further investigation is therefore required.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that inoculation with axenic PWNs caused wilting of young pine microcuttings and seedlings. However, since there are significant differences between the physiology and pathology of adult pine trees and young seedlings, further research on the role played by nematodes and associated bacteria in disease development should be conducted using axenic PWNs and at least two- or three-year-old pine seedlings grown aseptically.
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