Deoxycholic acid promotes development of gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett’s oesophagus by modulating integrin-\(\alpha_v\) trafficking
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Abstract

The fundamental mechanisms underlying erosive oesophagitis and subsequent development of Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) are poorly understood. Here, we investigated the contribution of specific components of the gastric refluxate on adhesion molecules involved in epithelial barrier maintenance. Cell line models of squamous epithelium (HET-1A) and BO (QH) were used to examine the effects of bile acids on cell adhesion to extracellular matrix proteins (Collagen, laminin, vitronectin, fibronectin) and expression of integrin ligands (\(\alpha_3\), \(\alpha_4\), \(\alpha_5\), \(\alpha_6\) and \(\alpha_m\)). Experimental findings were validated in human explant oesophageal biopsies, a rat model of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) and in patient tissue microarrays. The bile acid deoxycholic acid (DCA) specifically reduced adhesion of HET-1A cells to vitronectin and reduced cell-surface expression of integrin-\(\alpha_m\) via effects on endocytic recycling processes. Increased expression of integrin-\(\alpha_m\) was observed in ulcerated tissue in a rat model of GORD and in oesophagitis and Barrett’s intestinal metaplasia patient tissue compared to normal squamous epithelium. Increased expression of integrin-\(\alpha_m\) was observed in QH BO cells compared to HET-1A cells. QH cells were resistant to DCA-mediated loss of adhesion and reduction in cell-surface expression of integrin-\(\alpha_m\). We demonstrated that a specific component of the gastric refluxate, DCA, affects the epithelial barrier through modulation of integrin \(\alpha_m\) expression, providing a novel mechanism for bile acid-mediated erosion of oesophageal squamous epithelium and promotion of BO. Strategies aimed at preventing bile acid-mediated erosion should be considered in the clinical management of patients with GORD.
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Introduction

Erosion of oesophageal squamous epithelium induced by gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is associated with an increased risk of developing Barrett’s oesophagus (BO). This premalignant condition underlies the majority of oesophageal adenocarcinoma [1, 2]. Low pH and bile acids in oesophageal refluxate both increase the risk of epithelial erosion and are independent risk factors for the development of BO [3, 4]. Cell death was thought to be the mechanism through which these agents drove erosive oesophagitis. However, the identification of dilated intercellular spaces within oesophageal epithelium occurring in response to refluxate and resolving after GORD treatment suggested that intercellular adhesion is being disrupted in
response to GORD, reducing cellular adhesive strength and impairing pro-survival signalling [4–8].

Alterations in tight junction proteins in response to GORD or its constituents have now been well documented. In animal models of GORD, relocation of claudin-4 and occludin from the plasma membrane to the cytoplasm in the spinous and granular layers of oesophageal epithelium is observed in response to reflux [9]. Human tissue explant studies demonstrate up-regulated gene and protein expression of the tight junction proteins claudin-1 and 2, but not occludin or zona occludens-1 or 2, in patients with erosive reflux disease [10]. Similarly, reduced expression of E-cadherin has been demonstrated in response to reflux [11]. In vitro research suggests that the localization of claudin-4 to tight junction complexes is disrupted by exposure to low pH [12]. The unconjugated bile acid deoxycholic acid (DCA) at neutral pH impairs epithelial function and alters the localization of claudin-1, claudin-4 and E-cadherin [13, 14]. Moreover, bile acids and low pH appear to act synergistically to alter epithelial barrier function [13, 15]. However, intercellular adhesion is additionally mediated by molecules other than tight junction proteins and tight junctions do not mediate adherence between cells and the extracellular tissue scaffolding.

Cellular adhesion to extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins is primarily mediated through hetero-dimeric proteins called integrins [8]. Comprised of one a- and one b-subunit, integrins bind with variable affinity and avidity to specific ECM proteins to provide anchorage and activate pro-survival signalling [8]. Intercellular adhesion mediated by integrins has also been described in squamous epithelium [16, 17], and the presence of integrin$$$$2$$, $$9$$, $$9$$, $$a$$, and $$b$$, has been demonstrated in oesophageal squamous epithelium [18–20]. These adhesion molecules are constantly recycled in order to facilitate tissue remodelling in response to physiological stress. Insufficient integrin-ligand binding can result in reduced adhesive strength, detachment of cells from the ECM and, due to the absence of appropriate survival signalling, apoptosis [21–23].

In this study, we investigated how a specific component of the gastric refluxate, DCA, affects the epithelial barrier through modulation of integrin expression, providing a novel mechanism for bile acid-mediated erosion of oesophageal squamous epithelium and facilitating re-epithelialisation with BO.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture

HET-1A and QH-Tert (also known as CP-A) [24, 25] cell lines, representing oesophageal squamous epithelium and non-dysplastic metaplasia (BO), respectively, were used for these experiments and cultured according to manufacturer’s instructions (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

Adherence and detachment assays

Adhesion Assays: Detached HET-1A cells were seeded in 96-well plates. Simultaneously 100 $$\mu$$l of medium containing DCA or ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to each well.

After allowing 2 hrs for adhesion, the medium was aspirated, the cells washed, and 100 $$\mu$$l of medium containing 2.5 $$\mu$$M calcein AM (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA) was added to each well for 1 hr at 37°C. Fluorescence was determined using a Victor luminometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The Millicolortm ECM screening kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to determine adhesion to specific ECM proteins.

Detachment and Re-Adherence Assays: cells were seeded in 12-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight. After 2 hrs treatment with DCA, the growth medium was aspirated and the wells washed twice with medium to ensure capture of all detached cells. Detached cells were resuspended in fresh medium and placed in a new well. Wells containing the residual adherent cells were washed twice, and fresh medium was added to each well. After 24 hrs, images were acquired and cell viability determined using MTT (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The original untreated well was used as the reference for comparison.

Flow cytometric assessment of integrin expression

Flow cytometry was used to investigate cell-surface integrin expression using anti-integrin $$a$$- (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA, $$a$$-; Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA, and Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fluorescence was determined using a Beckman Coulter flow cytometer (Gyan ADP 9 Colour, Brea, CA, USA).

Western blot analysis

Western blot procedure was performed as described previously [26] using anti-integrin$$a$$- (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and anti-$$\beta$$-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

HET-1A cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Expression of integrin$$a$$- and Rab11 was detected using primary antibodies (integrin$$a$$-; BD Biosciences, Rab11; Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, California, United States) and appropriate secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 44432 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Images were acquired using the IN Cell 1000 (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) or Zeiss LSM510 laser confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Image analysis was performed using IN Cell analysis software.

Ex vivo oesophageal tissue biopsy culture

For explant experiments, biopsies of healthy squamous oesophageal tissue were acquired with patient’s consent, during gastroscopy in the endoscopy unit of St James’s Hospital, Dublin 8, Ireland. No patients had symptoms or macroscopic evidence of GORD. Six biopsies were taken from each of five patients, 5 cm above the gastroesophageal junction. Following incubation with DCA for 2 hrs, tissue explants were formalin fixed and embedded in paraffin blocks for analysis of integrin-
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αv expression by immunofluorescence. After deparaffinization and epitope retrieval, the tissue was sequentially incubated with primary (anti-αv), and secondary antibodies and Hoechst as above. Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM510 laser confocal microscope using a 63× oil immersion objective lens.

Quantification of intensity of membrane staining with integrin αv in tissue explants after DCA treatment

Tissue explants were acquired, treated, fixed, stained and imaged as described above. The Trainable Weka Segmentation Plugin (available at http://fiji.sc/Trainable_Weka_Segmentation) for ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to define the cell membranes prior to determining membrane intensity (Fig. S2).

Immunohistochemistry of patient oesophageal tissue microarrays

Tissue microarrays (TMA) were constructed from diagnostic blocks of oesophageal disease at St James’s Hospital. Case selection and approval of TMAs were conducted (JOS). Areas of disease were identified by a consultant pathologists (BD, OSE), and 0.6 mm cores were taken from the blocks to construct the TMAs (RF). Pathology was re-evaluated post-TMA construction (BD, OSE). Normal control samples were obtained from individuals attending for upper GI endoscopy without symptoms to suggest GORD or other inflammatory conditions and whom upon pathological assessment demonstrated no evidence of inflammation or disease. Immunohistochemistry was performed on normal squamous epithelium (n = 22), oesophagitis (n = 52) and Barrett’s intestinal metaplasia (IM; n = 70) tissue using anti-integrin–αv and the Vectastain Elite ABC HRP Kit (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, United Kingdom) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Tissue microarrays were imaged using the Aperio Digital pathology slidescanner (Leica Biosystems, Nussloch, Germany). Immunoreactivity was assessed digitally under 40× magnification in a semi-quantitative manner for integrin–αv by two independent observers (AMB and DD) who were blinded to the pathological and clinical diagnosis of all patients in the study. Both percentage positivity and of cytoplasmic staining intensity were graded for epithelial and stromal compartments. Intensity was graded as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate) and 3 (strong), and positivity was graded as 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, or 100%.

Animal model of GORD

Oesophageal tissue for this study was obtained as per a previous study [27]. Briefly, oesophageojunostomies were performed on Wistar rats in order to promote mixed acid and bile acid reflux. At 22 ± 2 weeks, the animals were sacrificed, the oesophagus was removed, fixed in 10% buffered formalin, processed and paraffin-embedded. A control group (n = 4) who did not receive oesophageojunostomy were also included. Tissue sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and areas of ulcerated and non-ulcerated tissue were identified by consultant pathologist (SF) who was blinded to experimental grouping. Immunohistochemical staining of tissue for integrin–αv was carried out as described above for quantification purposes (n = 4 control group, n = 12 from oesophageojunostomy group). Images were acquired using an Aperio Digital pathology slidescanner (Leica Biosystems). Staining intensity of integrin–αv was assessed digitally under a 10× objective, in a semi-quantitative manner by two independent observers (AMB, DD) as above. Analysis of staining intensity in ulcerated tissue was compared to non-ulcerated tissue for each animal.

Statistics

Data were analysed using Graph Pad Prism (Graph Pad Prism, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are presented at mean ± S.E.M. for n = 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA, t-tests, Kruskal–Wallis tests or Mann–Whitney U-tests as appropriate. Differences with P values <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), and <0.001 (***), were considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval to conduct the work with the patient tissue was granted by the Adelaide and Meath Hospital (AMNCH), Tallaght, Dublin (REC 2011/04/05). Ethical approval for the rat GORD model was granted by the BioEthics Committee, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

Results

DCA reduces adhesion and promotes detachment of HET-1A cells

To identify whether bile acids affected oesophageal cell adhesion, we exposed HET-1A cells to DCA or UDCA during the process of adhesion. HET-1A cells were seeded in the presence of DCA or UDCA for 2 hrs. Deoxycholic acid prevented adhesion in a dose-dependent fashion, reducing adherence to 70.2% (P < 0.05) and 57.0% (P < 0.001) of control at concentrations of 150 and 300 μM, respectively (Fig. 1A). The hydrophilic bile acid UDCA had no effect (Fig. S3). Neither DCA nor UDCA affected cell viability or induced apoptosis at these concentrations and time-points (Fig. S4A and B, respectively). The concentrations chosen were based on reported concentrations found present in refluxate from patients with GORD and BO [28].

We next investigated whether DCA caused detachment of adherent HET-1A cells. After 2-hr exposure to DCA, the detached cells in the supernatant were aspirated, washed to remove the bile acid stimulus and re-seeded into fresh 12-well plates. Detachment, and re-adherence, of 28.1% and 33.6% of viable cells was observed in response to 100 and 300 μM DCA, respectively (P < 0.001, Fig. 1B–D). These findings suggest that exposure to DCA induces a loss of cell adhesion which is reversible and is independent of effects on cell viability. This is a dose-dependent effect, as 500 μM DCA, although not representative of physiological concentrations, caused detachment of the cells and a subset of the detached cells are still viable and re-adhere (Fig. S1).
DCA reduces adhesion to a specific subset of ECM proteins

To determine whether the loss of adhesion induced by DCA was a general or selective phenomenon, adherence to specific ECM proteins was assessed. In response to 300 μM DCA treatment, there was no alteration in adherence of HET-1A cells to collagen I, collagen IV or fibronectin relative to DMSO control. However, a significant reduction in adhesion to vitronectin (88.6%, \( P < 0.01 \), Fig. 2A) and laminin (14.6%, \( P < 0.05 \), Fig. 2A) was observed.

DCA reduces the surface expression of a select group of integrins

Adhesion to vitronectin is almost exclusively mediated through integrin-\( \alpha_v \) [29]. Therefore, we next investigated whether the reduction in adhesion was a consequence of altered cell-surface integrin expression. Expression of integrin-\( \alpha_v \) was reduced by 2.8%, 24.2% (\( P < 0.01 \)) and 33.2% (\( P < 0.001 \)) in response to 100, 300 and 500 μM DCA, respectively (Fig. 2B) demonstrating a dose-dependent effect. These findings were replicated using an antibody directed against an alternate epitope on the extracellular domain of integrin-\( \alpha_v \) (17E6 clone, Merck, data not shown) excluding a conformational shape change as the cause. Additionally, in order to exclude a processing artefact due to the mechanical detachment process used (cell scraping), immunofluorescent staining of adherent HET-1A cells exposed to DCA was performed, imaged using high content analysis and assessed using IN Cell Investigator software. In response to DCA, a reduction in cell membrane integrin-\( \alpha_v \) expression was observed with no change in total integrin-\( \alpha_v \) expression (Fig. S5). Similarly, Western blotting demonstrated that DCA treatment had no effect on total cellular integrin-\( \alpha_v \) protein expression (Fig. 2C). These findings suggest that DCA mediates detachment of HET-1A cells from vitronectin by reducing the cell-surface expression of integrin-\( \alpha_v \), rather than reducing protein expression. In view of the observed ability of HET-1A cells to re-adhere after removal of DCA stimulus, we next evaluated whether the reduction in cell-surface integrin expression was a reversible phenomenon. Following withdrawal of DCA, the cell-surface expression of integrin-\( \alpha_v \)
returned to baseline levels (Fig. 2D). Finally, in order to evaluate whether other components of oesophageal refluxate exhibited a similar effect on integrin expression, HET-1A cells were exposed to the conjugated bile acid taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA) and the hydrophilic bile acid UDCA. Neither TCDCA nor UDCA induced a change in the cell-surface expression of integrin-αv (Fig. 2E) suggesting that, among the bile acids studied in these experiments, the effects on integrin-αv internalisation are specific to DCA. The effect of DCA on a cohort of integrins was then investigated to examine whether this was a generalised process affecting integrin expression. Deoxycholic acid (300 μM)
exposure reduced the cell-surface expression of integrins-α5, α6 and αv by 29.3% \((P < 0.01)\), 28.1% \((P < 0.01)\) and 32.6% \((P < 0.001)\), respectively, relative to control (Fig. 2F). The cell-surface expression of integrins-α3 and α4 was not significantly altered (Fig. 2F). These results suggest that exposure of HET-1A squamous epithelial cells to DCA induces a reversible reduction in the cell-surface expression of a select cohort of integrins.

The membrane expression of integrin-αv is reduced by DCA in oesophageal tissue explants

In order to determine whether the in vitro findings were representative of an in vivo phenomenon, we used an ex vivo tissue explant model. Integrin-αv was localized to the cell membrane in oesophageal explant biopsy tissue (Fig. 3A, arrows). Quantification of membrane intensity after exposure of the explanted tissue to DCA (Fig. S2) demonstrated that the mean membrane fluorescent intensity of integrin-αv was reduced by exposure to 500 \(\mu\)M DCA \((P < 0.05\), Fig. 3B).

Although we observed more diffuse expression of integrin-αv, particularly in biopsies treated with 300 \(\mu\)M DCA, we were unable to quantify this due to limitations in sensitivity using current image analysis software programs. The data suggest that the observations detected in vitro are recapitulated in human patient ex vivo treated tissue.

DCA impairs endosomal processing

The observed reduction in surface expression of integrin-αv (Fig. 2B) suggested protein internalization. The type of pathway through which internalized proteins/receptors progress can be identified by their association with specific Rab-GTPases. The co-localization of integrin-αv with Rab11 in HET-1A cells suggests processing through a recycling pathway rather than internalization for degradation (Fig. 3C, arrows) [29]. Progression of endosomes through the recycling pathway is associated with fusion of endosomal vesicles, resulting in fewer Rab-associated vesicles of larger size [30]. After serum starvation, HET-1A cells exposed to complete medium with DMSO (vehicle control)

**Fig. 3** (A and B) DCA reduces integrin-αv expression in oesophageal tissue explants. Tissue explants \((n = 5\) per group) were exposed to DCA ex vivo for 2 hrs, fixed, paraffin-embedded and subsequently stained for integrin-αv expression. Images were acquired using a Zeiss 510 confocal microscope (A). Membranes and membrane intensity were identified using the Trainable Weka Segmentation Plugin in ImageJ (B, see Fig. S2). (C–E) DCA impairs integrin-αv endocytic recycling. To inhibit endocytic recycling, HET-1A cells were serum starved. No co-localization between Rab11 and integrin-αv was observed (C, serum starved, top panel). Complete medium was then added to allow endocytic recycling to progress, as indicated by fusion of vesicles to form larger vesicles and co-localization of Rab11 and integrin-αv (C, control, middle panel, arrows). When HET-1A cells were exposed to complete medium containing DCA, no fusion of vesicles or co-localization between Rab11 and integrin-αv was observed (C, DCA, bottom panel). The mean number of vesicles (D) and mean area of vesicles (E) were imaged by high content analysis and quantified using the IN Cell Investigator software package. Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. for DCA treatment relative to DMSO control for \(n = 3\) experiments \((*P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001)\).
demonstrated a reduction in the number of Rab11 vesicles (Fig. 3C middle panel and D) and an increase in mean vesicular size (Fig. 3E) consistent with reactivation of endocytic recycling. Deoxycholic acid exposure resulted in a greater number of smaller Rab11-positive vesicles relative to control (Fig. 3C bottom panel, D and E). This suggests that when cells are exposed to DCA, endosomes are failing to fuse and mature into larger endosomes and are thus not progressing through the endocytic recycling pathway [30]. This results in reduced protein recycling and cell-surface expression of integrin-αv.v

The expression of integrin-αv is increased in ulcerated tissue in an animal model of GORD

Chronic exposure of the oesophagus to bile and acid results in premalignant changes and formation of ulcers. We used a rat model of GORD to investigate the effect of chronic exposure of refluxate on the expression of integrin-αv. Oesophageal specimens from rats (n = 12) which had been exposed to pathological reflux were examined for

Fig. 4 Integrin-αv expression is increased in ulcerated tissue compared to non-ulcerated squamous epithelium in an in vivo model of GORD. Oesophageal specimens from rats (n = 12) exposed to pathological reflux were examined for expression of integrin-αv. A significant increase in integrin-αv expression was observed in the ulcerated regions (B) compared to normal non-ulcerated squamous epithelium (A) (**P < 0.001 Mann–Whitney U). C and D are the corresponding haematoxylin and eosin stains for A and B, respectively.

** Fig. 4 Integrin-αv expression is increased in ulcerated tissue compared to non-ulcerated squamous epithelium in an in vivo model of GORD. Oesophageal specimens from rats (n = 12) exposed to pathological reflux were examined for expression of integrin-αv. A significant increase in integrin-αv expression was observed in the ulcerated regions (B) compared to normal non-ulcerated squamous epithelium (A) (**P < 0.001 Mann–Whitney U). C and D are the corresponding haematoxylin and eosin stains for A and B, respectively.
expression of integrin-αv (Fig. 4). A significant increase in integrin-αv expression was observed in the adherent epithelium adjacent to the ulcerated regions (Fig. 4B) compared to normal non-ulcerated squamous epithelium (Fig. 4A). These results demonstrate that chronic exposure of the oesophageal squamous epithelium to reflux leads to pre-metaplastic changes in integrin-αv expression, suggesting that up-regulation of integrin-αv expression is an early event occurring in erosive GORD, prior to the development of IM.

**Integrin-αv expression is up-regulated in oesophagitis and Barrett’s IM patient tissue**

To assess integrin-αv expression in patient tissue, we performed immunohistochemical analysis using tissues from the following patient groups: normal squamous epithelium (n = 22), oesophagitis (n = 52) and Barrett’s IM (n = 70). Figure 5 shows representative images of integrin-αv in tissue from normal squamous epithelium (Fig. 5A), oesophagitis (Fig. 5B) and IM (Fig. 5C) together with their corresponding tissue sections stained with haematoxylin and eosin (Fig. 5D–F). We observed an increase in integrin-αv expression in the epithelium of oesophagitis tissue in terms of epithelial intensity, compared to normal squamous epithelium or Barrett’s IM (Fig. 5G, both P < 0.05). A significant increase in the number of positively stained epithelial cells was observed in IM tissue compared with normal squamous epithelium (Fig. 5G, P < 0.01) or oesophagitis tissue (Fig. 5G, P < 0.05). Using a combined score, incorporating both epithelial intensity and epithelial positivity demonstrated an increase in integrin-αv in oesophagitis and IM tissue compared to normal squamous epithelium (Fig. 5G, both P < 0.05). We also examined the expression of integrin-αv in the stromal compartment for the different tissue subsets. An increase in protein expression (intensity) was observed in IM tissue compared to normal squamous epithelium or oesophagitis tissue (Fig. 5H, P < 0.05). There was no difference in terms of the percentage of positively stained stromal cells and the combinational score of I*P demonstrated the same as result as the stromal intensity score (Fig. 5H, P < 0.05).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that the stromal integrin-αv expression was increased in IM, compared to both normal and oesophagitis tissue and that epithelial integrin-αv expression was increased in both oesophagitis and IM tissue compared to normal squamous epithelium.

**Barrett’s metaplastic cell line is resistant to DCA-mediated detachment and integrin-αv internalization**

Metaplastic transformation (i.e. BO) is thought to occur in order to promote a cell type more resistant to the physiological stress experienced by the original tissue. We used a cell line representing BO (OH) to investigate the effects of DCA on detachment, integrin-αv expression and internalization. Deoxycholic acid did not cause detachment of OH cells (Fig. 6A). Integrin-αv protein expression was sixfold greater in the cell line representing BO (OH) than in the HET-1A cell line (Fig. 6B). Deoxycholic acid had no effect on the cell-surface expression (internalization) of integrin-αv (Fig. 6C) or total integrin-αv expression (Fig. S6). Although cell viability was impaired at the highest concentration of DCA studied (500 μM, Fig. 6D), detachment was still not observed (Fig. 6A). In contrast to squamous epithelium, where integrin-αv is localized diffusely around the cell membrane (Fig. 6E middle panel, arrows), in Barrett’s epithelium (Fig. 6E lower panel, arrows), expression was polarized towards the basal side of the epithelial cells. These results suggest that metaplastic transformation is associated with an increase in integrin-αv expression which could facilitate resistance to reflux-mediated erosion of oesophageal epithelium.

**Discussion**

Low pH and bile acids in oesophageal refluxate are associated with an increased risk of erosive oesophagitis [3] and are independent risk factors for the development of BO, a precursor of oesophageal adenocarcinoma [31]. Recent developments in our understanding of oesophageal pathology suggest that alterations in the expression and function of cellular adhesion molecules are involved in benign and metaplastic oesophageal diseases [5, 32, 33]. This study reports that oesophageal squamous epithelium exposed to DCA exhibits a reversible reduction in the surface expression of a subset of integrins which promotes cellular detachment and impairs adhesion (Fig. 7). The underlying mechanism of this process is due to impaired endosomal processing of integrins. The in vitro findings of reduced surface expression of integrin-αv in HET-1A squamous epithelial cells are paralleled in human oesophageal tissue explants transiently (2 hrs) exposed to DCA. These findings are not replicated in OH metaplastic BO cells that have increased expression of integrin-αv compared to the HET-1A and are resistant to DCA-mediated reduction in surface expression of integrin-αv, potentially offering a survival advantage to this cell type above that of squamous epithelium in the setting of chronic bile reflux. Additionally, the increased expression of integrin-αv observed in Barrett’s metaplastic tissue may provide more robust cellular adhesion, less susceptible to detachment in response to chronic exposure to the bile acid component of reflux observed in these patients. Increased integrin-αv expression observed in ulcerated tissue in our rat model of reflux-induced GORD further implicates a role for this protein in the GORD-BO sequence.

Deoxycholic acid reduced HET1-A cell adhesion in a dose-dependent, apoptosis-independent manner. The fact that DCA reduced surface expression of integrin-α5, α6 and αv, but not α3 and α4, indicates a selective process. The reduced cell membrane expression of integrin-αv was consistent with the reduced adhesion of HET-1A cells to vitronectin in response to DCA. A similar association can be made between integrin-αv and the reduced adhesion to laminin. However, the magnitude of the change (compared to vitronectin) was likely smaller for two reasons, firstly, because adhesion to vitronectin was lower than that of other ECM proteins at baseline (data not shown) and, secondly, because a greater number of integrin heterodimers (including integrin-αv) have high affinity for laminin. In support of this
hypothesis, adherence to collagen and fibronectin was unaltered, consistent with the constant levels in the surface expression of integrin-α3 and α4 [29, 34, 35].

The bile acids predominantly found present in refluxate of patients with oesophagitis and BO comprise of cholic acid, secondary bile acids (including DCA and UDCA) and taurine/glycine conjugates of.
these bile acids [28]. Interestingly, the conjugated bile acid TCDCA and the hydrophilic bile acid UDCA did not exert these effects on integrin-$\alpha_v$ localization. Unconjugated hydrophobic bile acids (e.g. DCA) are more likely to be protonated at neutral pH and as such are capable of traversing cell membranes. This may suggest that the effects of DCA are mediated within the cell membrane or the intracellular compartment rather than at the cell surface. Attempts to reduce the pH of the medium to acidic pH (e.g. pH 4 or 5) resulted in death of the HET-1A cells, precluding analysis of the effects of acid on integrin expression (data not shown). However, bile reflux in neutral or weakly acidic milieu is the predominant form of reflux in patients receiving acid suppressing medication [36]. Animal models of reflux demonstrate that metaplasia and adenocarcinoma are significantly more likely to occur when bile acids are present in oesophageal refluxate [37–40].

Fig. 5 Integrin-$\alpha_v$ expression in patient tissue. Images of integrin-$\alpha_v$ staining of normal (A) oesophagitis (B) and Barrett’s IM (C) together with corresponding haematoxylin and eosin staining of the same tissue (D–F). (G) A significant increase in integrin-$\alpha_v$ epithelial expression (Intensity) was observed between normal and oesophagitis (\*P < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U) and between oesophagitis and IM (\*P < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U). A significant increase in the percentage of positively stained epithelial cells was observed between normal and IM (\***P < 0.001, Kruskal–Wallis, \***P < 0.01 Mann–Whitney U) and between oesophagitis and IM (\*P < 0.05 Kruskal–Wallis, \***P < 0.01 Mann–Whitney U). A significant increase in epithelial I\*P was observed between normal and oesophagitis (\*P < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U) and between normal and IM (\*P < 0.05 Kruskal–Wallis, \***P < 0.01 Mann–Whitney U). (H) A significant increase in stromal integrin-$\alpha_v$ expression (Intensity) was observed between normal and IM (\*P < 0.05 Kruskal–Wallis, \***P < 0.01 Mann–Whitney U) and between oesophagitis and IM (\*P < 0.05 Kruskal–Wallis, \*P < 0.05 Mann–Whitney U). No differences were observed in the percentage of positive stromal staining for integrin-$\alpha_v$ between normal, oesophagitis and IM. A significant increase in stromal I\*P was observed between normal and IM (\*P < 0.05 Mann–Whitney U) and between normal, oesophagitis and IM. A significant increase in epithelial I\*P was observed between normal and IM (\***P < 0.001 Mann–Whitney U) and between oesophagitis and IM (\***P < 0.001 Mann–Whitney U). P-values <0.05 (\*), <0.01 (\**), and <0.001 (\***).
of mixed reflux (low pH and bile acid) [40] and acid protected against bile acid-induced adenocarcinoma in the presence of a tumour promoter [39]. There are studies that suggest this may be reflected in human pathophysiology [41, 42].

We next investigated a mechanism for DCA-mediated reduction in cell-surface expression of integrin-αv. Rab11 is associated with endosomal recycling through the peri-nuclear recycling compartment [30]. Integrins-α5, α6 and αv undergo endocytic recycling through this pathway, while integrins-α3 and α4 do not [43, 44]. Our findings suggest that DCA is interfering with the endocytic pathway, thus inhibiting recycling of integrin-αv.

We demonstrate that the cell membrane intensity of integrin-αv was reduced in oesophageal tissue explants after exposure to DCA suggesting that our in vitro data are representative of an in vivo phenomenon occurring in response to reflux. We propose that the reduction in cell-surface expression of integrin-αv in response to DCA leads to reduced adhesive strength within the stratified squamous epithelium. This increases the likelihood of denudation (ulceration) in response to further chemical and mechanical stress. The basal zone of the oesophageal squamous epithelium may be exposed during this process and, in response to chronic reflux, these cells can undergo reactive changes including basal zone thickening and inflammation [45]. Expression of integrin-αv is up-regulated in the epidermis of chronic wounds and inflammatory tissue and plays a role in wound healing [46, 47]. We used an in vivo rat model of reflux-induced GORD to demonstrate increased integrin-αv expression in ulcerated

Fig. 7 Proposed Mechanism of Action. Exposure of the oesophagus to DCA present in gastric reflux impairs trafficking of integrin-αv to the cell membrane through effects on endosomal processing (stage 2), leading to a decrease in cell-surface integrin expression and detachment of cells from the basement membrane (stage 3). Integrin-αv expression is up-regulated in the resulting ulcerated epithelium facilitating wound healing (stage 4). Re-epithelialization occurs with metaplastic Barrett’s oesophagus cells that have increased expression of integrin-αv and are resistant to further DCA-mediated erosion (stage 5).
epithelium compared to non-ulcerated tissue in the setting of chronic exposure to reflux containing DCA. Healing of these ulcers involves activation of transcription factors and growth factors (EGF, FGF, HGF, VEGF) to facilitate restoration of the epithelium and ECM [48]. Integrins play a vital role in co-ordination of the wound healing process. Integrin-αv modulates expression of cell-surface receptors and physically interacts with receptors including Vascular Endothelial growth Factor (VEGF), Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMPs) and Inhibitors of Apoptosis Proteins (IAPs) to facilitate cell proliferation, migration and angiogenesis [46]. An increase in expression of integrin-αv is observed in the latter stages of wound healing and is associated with TGF-β activation and regulation of ECM deposition [49]. Integrin-αv is also implicated in differentiation and tissue remodelling. The increased expression of integrin-αv observed in the rat oesophageal ulcerated tissue may facilitate the re-epithelialization process and attachment to the regenerated ECM.

The diffuse membranous localisation of integrin-αv in squamous oesophageal epithelium mimics that of alpha integrins previously identified within the oesophagus (e.g. α2, α3, α6 and αv) [18, 20]. In this location, it is plausible that alterations in integrin expression may also play a role in the development of the dilated intercellular spaces which occur in response to reflux. In contrast to its diffuse localization in squamous epithelium, within BO integrin-αv expression was polarized towards the basal aspect of the cell suggesting a greater role in adhesion to the basement membrane. However, expression was also observed at the basolateral aspect of the cells in the intercellular spaces suggesting that it may also have a role in intercellular adhesion in Barrett’s epithelium.

In addition to our findings of increased expression of integrin-αv in the BO cell line QH and in BO patient tissue, we demonstrate that exposure of QH cells to DCA did not alter expression of integrin-αv and QH cells did not detach after DCA stimulation. These findings are consistent with the theory that metaplastic transformation occurs to produce a cell type more resistant to the physiological stress experienced by the original tissue. Consequently, in vivo in the setting of erosive oesophagitis driven by chronic exposure to bile acids and low pH, re-epithelialization with Barrett’s cells may be favoured. When BO is established, erosion due to mechanical stress and exposure to bile acids is less likely to occur. Furthermore, in addition to increased adhesive strength, expression of integrin-αv is associated with increased pro-survival signalling both in vitro and in vivo [50]. However, the finding that integrin-αv is highly expressed in BO is also of some clinical concern. High levels of integrin-αv have been associated with an increased risk of carcinogenesis in solid organ tumours [51] and could therefore play a role in the development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma.

The findings of this study suggest a novel mechanism through which reflux promotes the development of BO. The reduction in cell-surface expression of integrin-αv may predispose to denudation/ulceration of squamous oesophageal epithelium. An increase in integrin-αv expression is observed in oesophageal ulcers, potentially driven by the inflammatory cytokines and growth factors, that have previously been shown to be expressed in ulcerated tissue [52]. This increased integrin-αv expression may facilitate the wound healing process for re-epithelialisation, but could also promote the metaplastic transformation to an epithelium more resistant to bile acid reflux. When BO is established, erosion due to mechanical stress and exposure to bile acids is less likely to occur. Taken together, the findings of this study suggest a novel mechanism through which bile acids promote both erosive GORD and favour the presence of BO in the GORD oesophagus.

This study highlights that targeting the bile acid component of gastric reflux should be considered in the clinical management of patients with GORD to prevent erosion of the oesophagus and development of BO.
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