Men as caregivers of the elderly: support for the contributions of sons
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Abstract: Emerging practice research on filial sources of health care support has indicated that there is a growing trend for sons to assume some responsibility for the health care needs of their aging parents. The purpose of this work is to propose that outcomes observed through a secondary analysis of data from a previous mixed methods research project, conducted with a sample of 60 elderly women residing in independent living centers, supports this concept in elder care. The present study is a retrospective interpretation utilizing the original database to examine the new question, “What specific roles do sons play in caregiving of their elderly mothers?” While daughters presently continue to emerge in existing health care studies as the primary care provider, there is a significant pattern in these data for older patients to depend upon sons for a variety of instrumental activities of daily living. As the baby-boomers age, there is more of cohort trend for their families to be smaller, adult daughters to be employed, and for adult children to be more geographically mobile. These factors may combine to make health care support networks more limited for the current aging population, challenging the elderly and their health care providers to revisit the cultural gender norms that are used to identify caregivers.
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Introduction

Are nurse practitioners, physicians, social workers, and other primary health care providers overlooking a potential resource when developing a plan of care for community-dwelling elderly? Within the current context of an aging population, men can no longer be considered bystanders as caregivers to their aging parents. The unprecedented cohort of persons over the age of 65 years increases daily. Projections are that this age cohort will expand from 39 million in 2010 to an expected 72 million by the year 2030.\(^1\) This phenomenon is a direct result of the maturing and increased lifespan of the baby-boom generation (born between 1946 and 1964). The fastest growing segment of the geriatric population will continue to be that of the oldest old (aged 85 and over), with a projected 240% increase in cohort size by 2040.\(^1\) Along with an increase in numbers of the aged expected to enjoy a longer lifespan is a predicted increase in seniors living with one or more chronic illnesses that will require some type of informal care.\(^2\) There is an emerging, yet largely unrecognized, societal trend for men to begin to fill the gaps as caregivers to community-dwelling elder parents.\(^3–6\) Recent national surveys suggest that approximately one in every three caregivers is a man. An estimated 30% of these male caregivers are sons.\(^7\) It is believed that the number of male caregivers, particularly sons, is underestimated due to studies that poorly address men as family caregivers.\(^8\)
For example, descriptive studies of sons as family caregivers often narrowly define the term "caregiving" to one set of behaviors, or only include sons as a numerical comparison group to daughters in the role of primary care providers, without studying those variables that are unique to a son’s parental caregiving experiences. Furthermore, interventions aimed at relieving caregiver burden are often constructed and promoted to assist daughters, not sons. The purpose of this article is to demonstrate that outcomes observed through a secondary analysis of data from a previous mixed methods research project support the need to direct future research efforts to discovering the role of sons as family caregivers of the elderly.

**Background of the problem**

**Men as caregivers**

While there has been some effort in the literature to study men in the role of husbands and caregivers, particularly when these husbands are caregivers to Alzheimer’s patients, there is a scarcity of research that explores the roles of men who are adult sons and caregivers to their older parents. In an important qualitative study of paired adult siblings with older parents in need of assistance, Matthews identified significant factors that have prevented researchers from obtaining an accurate picture of the involvement of adult sons in caregiving exchanges with their older parents. Gender differences often exist among siblings as to what the “best practice” for informal care of aging parents ought to be in the family context. Matthews proposes that men view their relationship with older parents as more “filial” or “egalitarian” and therefore will often wait until assistance in daily living is requested of them by their parents. In reality, men are more often “care managers” of services and provide a good deal of support, but with the goal of helping their parent(s) to regain independence and self-reliance as much as possible.

A few existing studies have validated that adult sons, especially those separated from aging parents by distance, such as during military deployment, express worry and concern for meeting caregiving needs. Clinical experience in working with families has suggested to practitioners that there exist several situations in which adult sons have assumed a larger burden of care for their older parents. The literature supports this observation, most notably when the son is an only child or there are no daughters in the family unit. In a recent descriptive correlational study of 689 senior subjects residing independently in community care residential communities, when asked to identify their current or potential primary supporter by family relationship, 13% choose their adult sons. In that study, when married elderly subjects’ responses were compared with unmarried subjects, 59.3% of unmarried older adults had identified their adult sons as their primary supporter. Additionally, there is some support in the literature for sons as caregivers when the adult daughter in the family lives at a distance, has her own health issues, or experiences competing family stressors. Under these circumstances, the adult son may become the primary caregiver to the aged parent(s), and may do a remarkable job in providing a complex network of informal and formal services.

A beginning approach to the study of sons as caregivers to their elderly parents may be to examine the incidence of activities of daily living (ADLs) as compared with the provision of instrumental ADLs (IADLs). Again, there is a paucity of studies that have been conducted in this area when searched for the last 10 years, and even fewer within the United States. In a recent study in Spain, both ADL and IADL incidents of support were assessed in a cross-sectional study of 1,272 adult children who were primary caregivers to their community-dwelling older parents. Over all outcome measures, the researchers discovered that there were no statistical gender differences found between the total amounts of support provided by adult children to their aged parents. In the provision of ADLs, the only activity for which a statistical gender difference was found was when it came to providing bathing or personal hygiene; as might culturally be expected, daughters provided significantly more incidents of this type of care. With regard to provision of all IADLs, there were no significant gender differences. In a Taiwanese study of 12,166 adult child caregivers that measured IADL provision among sons and daughters, significantly higher incidents of sons as IADL providers, when compared with daughters, in these roles were found. This was particularly evident in the amounts of financial help, material (food and clothing) support, and health care information exchanged. The effect was even stronger for married sons. In a descriptive correlational study in Pennsylvania, a cross-sectional study population, including 5,458 adult child–older parent dyads, was surveyed using a dependent variable with a broad definition of “help”, which included both ADL and IADL measures. In that study, sons were more likely than daughters to provide incidents of assistance or help to their aging parents. However, daughters were more likely to be the providers when the type of care required involved more time and physical contact with the older parent. An additional significant gender difference was found among unmarried and married adult children. In this study, unmarried adult
In the original study, the data were obtained through completed questionnaires and by means of a recorded interview conducted by the author in each of the subjects’ homes, using a formatted focused interview tool. The interview guide consisted of open-ended questions and had been pretested for content validity with an expert panel of six experienced geriatric researchers, as well as with a sample pilot population of four older women who met the criteria of the study. Each of the interviews lasted between 30 and 60 minutes (M [mean] = 45). The interviews were audiotaped and later transcribed verbatim. A secondary review of the original data in its entirety revealed three sources of data that suggested sons’ participation in their older mothers’ care. The sources of data used to address the current research question were 1) demographic data forms including the identification of the number and age of each of their adult children, 2) questions in the interview in which subjects were asked to identify which IADLs/ADLs each adult child had assisted them in doing over the last 30 days, and 3) subject responses to the researcher’s question to describe the incidents of care.25

### Data analysis

The demographic characteristics of the elder study subjects are listed in Table 1. Additionally, all subjects were Caucasian, and the mean age of the sample was 76.03 years, with an age range of 68–89 years. As an aggregate, the sampled widows identified 204 adult children (114 female and 90 male). The mean age of the adult children was 45.5 years, with an age range of 38–57 years. The mean number of adult children per respondent was three.

The original descriptive qualitative study addressed the question: “How do their interpersonal relationships with adult children influence the older person to engage in health promoting behaviors?”25 The purpose of this initial study

| Variable                        | N  | %   |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|
| Perceived health status         |    |     |
| Excellent                       | 22 | 36.7% |
| Good                            | 38 | 63.3% |
| Socioeconomic status (USD)      |    |     |
| $18,000–$20,000.99              | 18 | 30%  |
| $21,000 or more/year            | 42 | 70%  |
| Educational level               |    |     |
| High school graduate            | 12 | 20%  |
| Business school graduate        | 8  | 13.3% |
| Some college                    | 32 | 53.3% |
| College graduate                | 8  | 13.3% |
| Graduate school                 | 0  | 0%   |
was to explore the relationships between older persons and their adult children, with the goals of discerning and describing the patterns of intergenerational support exchanges and their subsequent impact upon the older person’s well-being. A constant comparison approach was used to collect and analyze the data, resulting in the identification of several patterns of filial exchange that were suggested by observing this population. The present study is a secondary analysis utilizing subsets of the original data to examine the new question: “What specific roles do sons play in caregiving of their elderly mothers?” The subjects’ prior recorded responses to the question: “In the last month, which of the following types of support did each of your adult children provide to you?” were isolated from these data. Caregiving tasks were categorized according to ADLs or IADLs. Specifically, ADLs were considered to include the following: help with bathing, dressing, toileting, and eating. IADLs were operationalized to include the following: ability to use the phone/computer, shopping for food/clothing, preparing food, housekeeping, laundry, obtain transportation for medical care/health promotion activities, taking medications as prescribed, and managing finances. Interview responses were sorted from these data in which subjects discussed specific incidents of support received from adult children. Incidents of social support were separated by sex of the adult child as identified by the elderly subject. Applying an analytic approach, paradigm cases, thematic analysis, and analysis of exemplars, these data were extracted from the transcribed interviews with the subjects.

Findings

The secondary analysis of these data permitted a closer examination of subjects’ responses that described the specific contributions to both the health promotion and the health care of the subjects that were made by their adult sons and daughters, respectively. Specifically, recent episodes of filial provision of ADLs and IADLs were enumerated and compared by sex. In this study, as supported by previous literature, daughters surpassed sons in the provision of all ADLs. However, when compared by sex, sons of these subjects fared closely in their provision of IADL supportive behaviors with daughters in certain important areas, over the most recent month. It is a discussion of those similarities and differences between the sexes in the provision of IADLs that will be discussed in the remaining paper. The outcomes were analyzed and are presented here as a comparison by sex of the total identified incidents of IADL support identified by the older subject (Table 2).

In this sample, sons exceeded daughters in providing their mothers with health-related assistance with transportation for health services, instruction of the subject in the use of telephone or computer to obtain health-related information, and guidance in financial matters that impact general health status in a variety of aspects. Forty-two subject responses reflected these findings. The following are examples identified in the interview data:

“Last week my son called me and told me there was some program about Diabetes that I should watch on cable TV”

“I’m not that good with the computer but he (son) showed me how to get into a medical website where you can ask questions about your health”

“I learned how to e-mail questions to my doctor and his staff … my son showed me how he is a very patient teacher”

“He (son) set up buttons on my ADT security system so I can push a button for emergency medical help, if I need it. It makes me feel secure”

“If I have some unexpected expenses for out of pocket medical or dental care, I contact my son and he helps me manage the payment on my budget”

“When I have a doctor’s appointment my son either goes with me or if I have transportation from a friend here, he will call the doctor after my visit to be sure he knows exactly what the doctor has ordered”

“Even if he can’t go with me my son takes care that I get to the doctor or physical therapy on time. He sometimes sends a taxi to take me”

“I recently bought a supplemental health policy, I asked my son to explain the choices to me. He understands that paperwork”

“I try to make my doctors’ appointments early morning – first thing; then my son can go with me and return to work after he takes me home”

### Table 2 Distribution by sex of provision of IADLs by adult children in the last month

| IADLs              | Daughters N=114 | % | Sons N=90 | % |
|--------------------|-----------------|---|-----------|---|
| Using telephone/computer | 38 (33%)       | 60 (66.6%)          |
| Shopping           | 80 (70.2%)      | 75 (83.3%)          |
| Preparing food     | 24 (21.1%)      | 18 (20%)            |
| Housekeeping       | 6 (5.3%)        | 0 (0%)              |
| Laundry            | 10 (8.8%)       | 4 (4.4%)            |
| Transportation     | 62 (54.4%)      | 54 (60%)            |
| Taking medicine    | 14 (12.3%)      | 10 (11.1%)          |
| Managing money     | 74 (64.9%)      | 78 (86.7%)          |

**Abbreviation:** IADLs, instrumental activities of daily living.
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Discussion

The findings of this study support the literature previously cited that suggests sons do contribute to the care of their elderly parents, particularly in the provision of IADL support. Sex differences in approach to caregiving are only now emerging and are not well understood, as most existing studies have examined only the contrast in type or number of caregiving exchanges between adult children of different sexes. Although not a particular goal of this study, these data also supported the notion that elderly widowed mothers appreciate and are accepting of a variety of supportive attempts from their adult sons. In many exemplary cases, the subjects viewed their sons as better able to deal with the practical issues of their health status and health care needs, when the subject was overcome with the burden of emotional and physical responses to their own condition.

Limitations of the study

The ability to generalize outcomes of this study is limited by its smaller sample size, homogeneity of race, income,
and geographic location, as well as the sample selection of convenience.\textsuperscript{22} The sample population also had a higher than average educational level when compared with the general population in this age cohort.\textsuperscript{33} However, it does provide early support to the emerging notion in the health-related literature that the roles and contributions of men, especially sons, are underestimated and changing in today’s society.

**Recommendations for future research**

These findings have several implications for primary care practitioners who work with community-dwelling older widows or older parents, who desire to maintain their independence and self-care. To better understand the complexity of family relationships, it may also be essential to examine the adult children’s point of view with regard to their perceived parental support. A larger more heterogeneous study of adult men with the purpose of describing the perceived nature of their contributions to their aging parents’ health promotion and health maintenance would be in order. Studies of adult sons’ caregiving behaviors need to be conducted in environments in the community that allow direct access to male populations; for example, accessing workplace populations or male-dominated community, civic, or church groups. Additionally, studies of adult sibling units where qualitative contributions are described, and rationales for “best practice” in providing for the needs of aging parents are analyzed in detail, can foster a better understanding of male contributions to parental health-related care. Comprehensive, evidence-based tools that identify descriptors of functions that delineate primary and secondary caregivers, apart from the limitation of ADLs and IADLs, need to be developed. Specific variables that have not been previously well-studied in male caregivers of the elderly, such as perceived burden of care, caregiver self-efficacy, ambivalence as a factor in filial relationships, and family composition, may be added to the data collected from adult male children.\textsuperscript{28–32,34} An additional link has been identified concerning the concept of “reciprocity” attributing lower morale when older parents are unable to reciprocate exchanges of support to their children. Previous studies have identified a pattern of intergenerational support where the older generation was more likely to receive instrumental forms of social support from their children, while the younger generation was more likely to receive childcare or financial support from their aging parents.\textsuperscript{35–39} This particular outcome was supported in the findings of the study presented in this paper. In this study, subjects expressed their willingness to care for their young grandchildren when needed, as a way to reciprocate their adult children’s support of them.

Longitudinal studies are needed to be initiated now that will identify those dynamic variables that will affect filial relationships within the current generation of growing older “baby-boomers” need to be designed and implemented.

**Clinical implications**

A goal of this study has been to enhance the extant literature suggesting that while daughters presently continue to emerge as the principle primary care provider of ADL care, there is a significant trend as evidenced in these data for older patients to depend upon sons for a variety of IADLS, particularly when there are no daughters or close female relatives available. As the baby-boomers age, there is more of a cohort trend for families to be smaller, adult daughters to be employed, and for adult children to be more geographically mobile. These factors may combine to make caregivers and health care support networks more limited for the current aging population.

It is incumbent upon nurse practitioners, physicians, social workers, and all community health care providers to suggest possibilities for adult sons to participate in the continuing care and improved quality of life outcomes for older parents. While such a broader perspective of resources strengthens the ability of the elderly to live longer in the community, disseminating knowledge of these outcomes might potentially prevent burnout in daughters who may currently assume sole responsibility for the health maintenance and the successful aging of older parents.
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