A Smooth, Inductively Coupled Ring Trap for Atoms
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We propose and numerically investigate a scalable ring trap for cold atoms that surmounts problems of roughness of the potential and end-effects of trap wires. A stable trapping potential is formed about an electrically isolated, conducting loop in an ac magnetic field by time averaging the superposition of the external and induced magnetic fields. We investigate the use of additional fields to eliminate Majorana spin flip losses and to create novel trapping geometries. The possibility of micro-fabrication of these ring traps offers the prospect of developing Sagnac atom interferometry in atom–chip devices.

PACS numbers: 37.10.Gh, 37.25.+k, 67.85.-d

There is significant interest amongst the cold atom community to build devices utilizing the sensitivity of matter–wave optics. This research is driven not only by the desire to explore new regimes of atomic physics, but also by the possibility of building sensors based on matter–wave interferometry [1, 2]. An extremely promising method of achieving these goals is through micro–fabricated structures for trapping and manipulating atoms on atom chips [3, 4]. Trapping and manipulation of atoms near permanent magnetic structures has been an active research topic for a number of years [5], much current work is focussed on electromagnetic trapping, as this allows dynamic control of the trapping potentials. With relatively modest currents, on the order of 1 A, large magnetic field gradients and curvatures can be obtained due to the close proximity of the atoms to the wires creating the magnetic potentials. Furthermore, due to the use of modern semiconductor fabrication techniques, complex structures can be constructed [6]. One example of this was the demonstration of the controlled transport of atomic clouds along an atom chip ‘conveyor belt’ [7].

A leading motivation behind atom chip experiments is the desire to explore reduced dimensional quantum gasses [8]. However, fragmentation of atomic clouds close to trapping wires has been observed by many groups [9, 10, 11, 12, 13], which presents a significant problem for single–mode wave guiding of atoms and coherent matter–wave optics. In these cases this roughness of the trapping potential was shown to be due to longitudinal magnetic fields arising from deviations of the current flow from the desired path. By recognizing that the roughness of the potential is proportional to the current through the trap wires, these defects were recently circumvented through the use of ac currents to generate the magnetic fields [13]. With this method the corrugation of the trapping potential averages to zero while still maintaining the desired trap depths and frequencies.

A complementary approach to explore reduced dimensional quantum gasses are ring traps. Even in the largest aspect ratio 1D traps there is finite confinement and expansion along the ‘weak’ trapping direction. In a ring trap the weak direction has infinite extent with periodic boundary conditions. This opens the way for investigation of superfluid phenomena in quantum gasses [14, 15]. For sensor development a symmetric ring potential is ideal for a Sagnac atom interferometer [16, 17] as the shared paths of opposing arms of the interferometer provide common mode rejection of noise due to path–length differences. To date, magnetic ring traps have involved the use of magnetic or electro–magnetic elements to create a multiply connected magnetic field minimum in circular [16, 17, 18, 20] and stadium [20] geometries. In these cases electrical connectivity of the coils to an external power supply leads to a perturbation of the ideal symmetric field. When the traps are scaled down, and the ring trap forms close to the current carrying coils, these effects become increasingly significant.

Here we propose a scalable, smooth ring trap for ultracold atoms. No external electrical connection is required, eliminating undesirable end effects of wires and maintaining the symmetry of the wave–guide. Instead, modest ac magnetic fields are applied to the system. We consider the simple system of a single, closed conducting loop of radius $r_{\text{ring}}$, formed from a conductor of circular cross–
section, radius $r_{\text{wire}}$, immersed in a magnetic field, the
amplitude of which varies sinusoidally in time. The ac
field is aligned perpendicular to the plane of the coil and
is assumed spatially uniform across the area of the coil.
From Faraday’s Law, we find that the time varying B–
field induces current in the conducting loop, creating an
induced magnetic field about the loop. The external and
induced fields cancel symmetrically in a ring, Fig. 1
the radius of which varies in time. If the potential varies at
a frequency much greater than the atomic motional fre-
quencies then a single trapping radius is found by averag-
ing the field over one cycle, $\Omega \gg 24$. As the induced cur-
rents are inherently alternating, the potentials obtained
will benefit from the suppression of roughness demon-
strated in [13]. The ring trap forms in the plane of the
conducting loop and thus the zero of the instantaneous
B–field travels through this trapping radius during each
cycle, which may result in Majorana spin–flip losses of
trapped atoms.

Elliptical integrals must be evaluated to obtain the
field from a circular loop [22]. Initial experiments exam-
ined a full spatial integral across the wire for an uniform
current density [23]. It was found that modeling the cur-
rent as passing through an infinitesimal region centered
on the wire yielded trapping parameters that agreed to
better than 0.5% with the full model. For the simula-
ons in this Report, unless stated otherwise, we examine
a loop of radius $r_{\text{ring}} = 1$ cm formed from gold wire of
circular cross–section, with a radius $r_{\text{wire}} = 0.5$ mm.

The induced emf, $E$, in a loop is proportional to the
rate of change of the magnetic flux, $\phi$, through the loop,
$$E = -\frac{d\phi}{dt} = L \frac{dI}{dt} + IR \quad (1)$$

For a magnetic field of the form $B(t) = B_{dc} +
B_0 \cos(\omega t)$ the induced current, $I(t)$, may be expressed as
$$I(t) = \frac{-I_{max}}{\sqrt{1+\Omega^2}} \cos(\omega t + \delta_0) \quad (2)$$
where we have introduced the terms $I_{max} = \pi r_{\text{ring}}^2 B_0/L,
\Omega = \omega L/R$ and $\delta_0 = \tan^{-1}(1/\Omega)$. The resistance and
inductance of the ring are denoted by $R$ and $L$, respecti-
evly. Eqn. $2$ applies once turn–on effects, such as overshooting
of the current, have stabilized. The inductance of the
loop is dependent on the loop radius, as well as the shape
of the conductor forming the loop [24],
$$L \approx \mu_0 r_{\text{ring}} \ln \left( \frac{8r_{\text{ring}}}{r_{\text{wire}}} \right) - 1.75 \quad (3)$$
The resistance is found from the familiar formula incor-
porating the resistivity, $\rho$, of the conductor,
$$R = 2\rho r_{\text{ring}}/r_{\text{wire}}^2 \quad (4)$$
Due to the resistance and inductance of the conducting loop, the phase and amplitude of the induced current are
dependent on the frequency of the driving field, Fig. 2

The trapping potential is obtained by time–averaging
over one cycle of the varying fields. In Fig. 3 (a), a con-
tour plot through the radial and axial plane shows the
potential in the radial plane, with $B_0 = 100$ G and $\Omega = 4$. However, the zeros of the time–varying field pass through
the trapping radius once per cycle, which is undesirable
for a magnetic atom trap. If we apply a dc quadrupole B–
field, $B_{dcq} \{z, -r/2\}$, centered on the conducting loop we
obtain the trapping potential shown in Fig. 3 (b). The
instantaneous zeros of the total B–field follow a trajec-
tory that lies symmetrically about the plane of the ring, re-
moving potential spin–flip losses. Furthermore, the form
of the time–averaged potential is not significantly per-
turbed by the quadrupole field. The application of a
quadrupole field with axial gradient 25 G cm$^{-1}$ forms a
stable ring trap 1.76 mm from the surface of the wire in
the plane of the loop, with trapping frequencies of
60 Hz and 49 Hz radially and orthogonal to the plane
of the loop, respectively and a minimum trap depth of
1.2 mK. The average magnetic field at the trap radius
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\caption{The phase between current in the conducting loop and the driving field (left) and the induced current (right) as a function of the frequency of the driving field. Note that at low frequencies the phase induced current lags $\pi/2$ behind the driving field. For the simulations in Fig. 3 $\Omega = 4$}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{The magnetic potential about the conducting loop showing the effect of the control parameters. The positions of the time–averaged trap minimum are marked by the white dots, and the instantaneous zeroes of the B–field by the black dots. The trajectory that the minima follow is indicated by the numbered, black arrows. For these results $\omega = (2\pi) \times 30$ kHz, $B_0 = 100$ G. (a) no additional fields. (b), a dc quadrupole field, $B_{dcq} = 25$ G cm$^{-1}$ is added.}
\end{figure}
is 18.8 G, and the minimum field at that point over one cycle is 9.7 G. As a result of the significant B–field at all times, the trap’s Larmor frequency is typically two orders of magnitude larger than the rate of change of the magnetic field direction. Trapped atoms will thus adiabatically follow the magnetic field at all times, further preventing Majorana spin changes of trapped atoms [25].

The ring trap is conservative and as such must be loaded with cold atomic samples [26]. The use of a magnetic quadrupole field suggests that a mirror–MOT [27] may be formed above the center of the loop, which may then be relaxed to load the ring trap. Pre–cooled atoms may be transferred into the ring trap by spatially overlapping a separate atom trap, such as an optical tweezers [10]. Mode–matching of these potentials is likely to prove difficult and instead we propose a method of deforming the ring potential to provide a 3D magnetic trap. For large B–field gradients the quadrupole field is the dominant term, forming a trap at the center of the ring. A bias B–field parallel to the ac driving field shifts the central quadratic trap out of the plane of the loop, providing improved optical axis for loading the potential with pre–cooled atoms. An additional bias field, constant over the loop, in the plane of the ring shifts the quadrupole trap towards the ring trap position. To localize atoms along the ring, and to minimize losses during the transfer, the quadrupole field is reduced and a bias field is gradually applied. The adiabatic nature of the transfer may be seen in Fig. 4 together with the applied fields. The weak bias B–field along the plane of the loop, has the effect of tilting the ring and breaks the symmetry of the ring and may be used to localize atoms within the ring [19].

A maximum current density of \( j = 9300 \) A cm\(^{-2}\) is found for the parameters discussed, with corresponding Ohmic losses of 5.2 W. The heating losses in the ring scale as \( (B_0)^2 \), whereas the trap frequencies scale as \( \sqrt{B_0} \), allowing a significant reduction in the power dissipated, if required. These values offer promise for scaling the ring down, with the consideration of some additional parameters. Firstly, a smaller loop necessarily has a smaller area, and thus couples less magnetic flux for the same B–field. Secondly, while the resistance varies as \( r_{\text{ring}}/r_{\text{wire}}^2 \), the inductance has a more complex scaling, Eqn. 3. As an example, for a 2 mm diameter gold ring formed of wire with a diameter of 100 \( \mu \)m, in a 10 G field at 1 MHz, we predict trapping frequencies of (113, 210) Hz. Notably, the current density in this case is the same as for the larger ring, but the total dissipated power is three orders of magnitude lower. These parameters may be examined in conjunction by considering the frequency scaling \( \omega_0 = R/L \), which gives an order of magnitude frequency for the external ac field required to drive the system. Shown in Fig. 5 for ring radii below \( \sim 100 \mu \)m frequencies on the order of 100 MHz are required to drive the system. At the atom chip scale, the required quadrupole fields can be applied locally [28], allowing for parallelism of the device construction.

A further geometry that is considered is the extension to two concentric, coplanar conducting loops. The induced currents through each loop are related through their mutual inductance and Eqn. 2 is extended to two coupled equations for these currents. For this system, the frequency of the external B–field should be chosen \( \omega < R/L \) so that the separate currents in the coils run out of phase with the external field. In the limit of zero drive frequency the currents through each coil are ap-
proximately in phase and reach a peak value when the external field is at a minimum, forming a tight trapping potential between the loops. About the maximum of the external B–field the current in the loops will have an infinitesimal positive (negative) current amplitude and the trapping radius forms at the outer (inner) ring. Averaging over a cycle of the ac field the time–averaged trapping potential forms a ring at approximately the mean radius of the loops. Such a ring waveguide can have large trapping frequencies due to the proximity of the trapping radius to both wires.

RF–dressed potentials for atoms traps have been a topic of some interest since a proposal by Zobay and Garraway in 2001 [29]. In this Report we have deliberately chosen parameters to remain in a regime where the atomic magnetic moment adiabatically follows the total magnetic field and remains in an un–dressed, $m_F$ state. In this parameter space the modulation frequency of the B–field is much less than the Larmor frequency and both are significantly larger than the spatial trapping frequencies, as is the case in the TOP trap. The extension to rf–dressed potentials is readily achievable, albeit requiring higher drive frequencies than those presented here.

Many applications present themselves for the ring traps proposed here. A circular waveguide is ideal for use in Sagnac interferometry [17], the study of sonic black holes [30], superfluid circulation in quantum fluids [14, 15] and soliton propagation [31, 32].

We have proposed and numerically investigated magnetic ring traps that required no external wiring. The scheme circumvents problems with roughness of the trapping potential by creating a time averaged field that is inherently smooth with respect to deviations of the current in the conductors. We have identified a mechanism for eliminating Majorana losses by applying a quadrupole B–field centered on the ring. Large radius $\sim$1 cm traps have been described that will allow for sensitive interferometric tests, due to the macroscopic area of the rings. Smaller traps on the micron scale are also proposed which are amenable to micro–fabrication and use in atom–chip devices.
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