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Correction for 'On the environmental competitiveness of sodium-ion batteries under a full life cycle perspective – a cell-chemistry specific modelling approach' by Jens F. Peters et al., Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2021, 5, 6414–6429, DOI: 10.1039/D1SE01292D.

Fig. 4 was incorrect in the original submission as it did not show results over the whole life cycle, but only manufacturing impacts. The corrected Fig. 4 should appear as follows:
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**Fig. 4** Cradle-to-grave impacts per kWh of electricity provided by the battery cells over the lifetime of the assumed application for varying energy density, using PV electricity for charging. The thick frames mark the default values used in the assessment. The LiNMC cell is used as common reference, and the colour coding indicates the performance relative to this benchmark (green colour: better than LiNMC benchmark; yellow or red = worse). Moving the frame downwards until reaching the green are indicates the improvement in terms of cycle life required for equaling or excelling the benchmark cell (keeping all other parameters fixed).