Correlation coefficient between harmonic and transverse flow in heavy-ion collisions
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The correlation between the harmonic flow and the transverse flow in relativistic heavy ion collisions is calculated in the hydrodynamic model. The partial correlation coefficient, corrected for fluctuations of multiplicity, is compared to experimental data. Estimators of the final transverse and harmonic flow are used to predict the value of the correlation coefficient from the moments of the initial distribution. A good description of the hydrodynamic simulation results is obtained if the estimator for the final transverse flow, besides the most important transverse size and entropy, includes also the eccentricities.

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of relativistic heavy ion collisions is studied experimentally by measuring characteristics of particles emitted in collision events. Some of the most common observables used in heavy ion collisions are the harmonic flow coefficients, measuring the azimuthal asymmetry of the emitted hadrons, and transverse momentum spectra. In the hydrodynamic scenario these two quantities are a measure of the collective expansion of the dense matter created in the interaction region [1-3].

In order to find an additional characteristic of the rapid expansion a correlation measurement between the harmonic flow and transverse momentum has been proposed [4]. In this paper we present results for the harmonic flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient in Pb+Pb and p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Experimental results for these collisions have been published by the ATLAS Collaboration [5]. The calculated correlation coefficients are corrected for effects of multiplicity fluctuations within each centrality bin, using the method of partial correlation coefficients [6].

The values of the final global collective variables, such as the harmonic flow coefficients and the transverse flow, can be reasonably well estimated from the initial entropy, transverse size and eccentricities. We study how well such estimators of the final observables predict the correlation coefficient between the final harmonic flow and transverse momentum. Linear hydrodynamic response is superimposed on moments of the initial density to calculate the covariances between the final observables.

II. MODEL

The collision dynamics is described by the viscous hydrodynamic model [7, 8]. The initial entropy density in the transverse plane is generated from the nucleon Glauber model. Each participant nucleon contributes to the initial entropy of the fireball. The system is evolved by the hydrodynamic equations with shear viscosity $\eta/s = 0.08$ and a temperature dependent bulk viscosity [9]. At the freeze-out temperature of 150 MeV hadrons are emitted statistically [10]. We perform simulation for Pb+Pb and p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Details of the calculation can be found in Refs. [9, 11].

The azimuthal spatial anisotropies of the initial entropy density profile $s(r, \phi)$ in the transverse plane are characterized by the eccentricities

$$e_n e^{in\Psi_n} = -\frac{\int r dr d\phi \ r^n s(r, \phi) e^{in\phi}}{\int r dr d\phi \ r^n s(r, \phi)}.$$  (1)

The hydrodynamic evolution of azimuthally asymmetric distribution leads to an azimuthal asymmetry in particle spectra. For $N$ particles emitted in the acceptance region the harmonic flow coefficients are calculated

$$v_n(2) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^{N} e^{i(\phi_j - \phi_k)}.$$  (2)

in each event. The average transverse momentum in each event is defined as

$$[p_T] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i.$$  (3)

The flow coefficients and the average transverse momentum are calculated for charged particles in most of the cases, but we present also some results for identified particles, protons, kaons, and pions. To improve the statistics we use combined events generated from the same hydrodynamic evolution. This procedure allows to reduce the statistical error in correlations and the corrections for selfcorrelations can be neglected [4].
III. PARTIAL CORRELATION

Covariances and variances of observables in heavy-ion collisions are measured in experiments and predicted in model calculations \cite{12,16}. In most cases these are quantities based on covariances (or cumulants) of flow coefficients. In this paper we consider the correlation between the harmonic flow coefficients and the average transverse momentum

\[ \rho(v_2^2, [p_T]) = \frac{\text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T])}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(v_2^2) \text{Var}([p_T])}}, \]  

(4)

The covariances and variances in the above formula should be calculated excluding self-correlations, i.e. the sums over many particles should be done excluding same particle indices \cite{12}, i.e. with

\[ \text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T]) = \langle \sum_{i\neq j\neq k} e^{i\phi_i - \phi_j} (p_k - \langle [p_T] \rangle) \rangle, \]  

(5)

and using dynamical variances

\[ \text{Var}(v_2^2) = v_2^4 - v_2^4 \]  

(6)

and

\[ \text{Var}([p_T]) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (p_i - \langle [p_T] \rangle)(p_j - \langle [p_T] \rangle), \]  

(7)

\[ \langle \ldots \rangle \text{ represents the average of events. Predictions for the flow-transverse momentum correlation have been presented for Pb+Pb collisions at } \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2760 \text{ GeV. In the following we present hydrodynamic model results for Pb+Pb collisions for a higher energy, corresponding to the data published by the ATLAS Collaboration \cite{3}.} \]

A direct comparison of the calculation to data is not possible if the centrality bins in the experiment and in the model calculation are different. The ATLAS data are obtained in very narrow multiplicity bins, whereas model calculations are done in relatively broad centrality bins, 5% or 10%. In a given centrality bin the multiplicity fluctuates and may influence the measurement of the flow-transverse momentum correlation.

This effect in the context of heavy-ion collisions is discussed in Ref. \cite{18}. The problem is how to extract the correlation between two physical observables without interference from a third, control variable. In our case it is the question how to extract the correlation between the flow harmonic \(v_2^2\) and the average transverse momentum \([p_T]\), without interference due to changes in the control variable, the event multiplicity \(N\). The most direct way is to fix the control variable and to calculate all statistical averages in an ensemble of events with fixed multiplicity. This would give the correlation coefficient at fixed multiplicity

\[ \rho(v_2^2, [p_T]|N) = \frac{\text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T]|N)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(v_2^2|N) \text{Var}([p_T]|N)}}. \]  

(8)

The experimental data are calculated in narrow bins of multiplicity approximating the above procedure \cite{3}. An alternative way to estimate the correlation coefficient at fixed multiplicity is to use the partial correlation coefficient with correction for effects due to fluctuations in the control variable \cite{18}. Using the partial covariance

\[ \text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T] \cdot N) = \text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T]) - \frac{\text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T]) \text{Cov}(N, [p_T])}{\text{Var}(N)}, \]  

(9)

and the partial variances

\[ \text{Var}(v_2^2 \cdot N) = \text{Var}(v_2^2) - \frac{\text{Cov}(v_2^2, N)^2}{\text{Var}(N)}, \]  

(10)

\[ \text{Var}([p_T] \cdot N) = \text{Var}([p_T]) - \frac{\text{Cov}([p_T], N)^2}{\text{Var}(N)}, \]  

(11)

one gets for partial correlation coefficient

\[ \rho(v_2^2, [p_T] \cdot N) = \frac{\text{Cov}(v_2^2, [p_T]|N)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(v_2^2|N) \text{Var}([p_T]|N)}}. \]  

(8)
The application of the partial correlation analysis is illustrated in Fig. 1. The standard correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T]) \) is calculated for three different ensembles of events width full and reduced width of the multiplicity distribution (black dots). In the limit of of zero width, one would recover the correlation coefficient at fixed multiplicity. In practice, we stop at an ensemble with 20\% of events from the center of the multiplicity distribution, due to limited statistics. One notices that the results depend on the width of the multiplicity bin. The limit of fixed multiplicity can be estimated using the partial correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T] \cdot N) \) (red squares). The results for the partial correlation coefficient does not depend on the width of the multiplicity bin. The two correlation coefficients merge in the most narrow multiplicity bin, although with increasing statistical error.

IV. PARTIAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF FLOW AND TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM

We calculate the correlations coefficient and the partial correlation coefficient for charged hadrons emitted in Pb+Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02\text{TeV} \). The results obtained in the hydrodynamic model are shown in Fig. 2. We note that the corrections due multiplicity fluctuations are significant, the partial correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T] \cdot N) \) is larger than the standard correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T]) \). The experimental data are taken in narrow bins of centrality and approximate the correlation coefficient at fixed multiplicity \( \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T], N) \). The calculated partial correlation coefficient fairly well reproduces the measured data both for the elliptic and triangular flow.

The correlation between the flow coefficient could depend on the transverse momentum cuts used for the calculation of the flow coefficients. First, because the harmonic flow coefficients depend on the transverse momentum in a nonmonotonous way and second, due to an increasing contribution from mini-jets for higher \( p_T \). With increasing \( p_T \) the flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient increases (Fig. 3). This effect appears both in experimental data and in simulation results. The flow-momentum correlation coefficient can be measured separately for different particle species. In Figs. 4 and 5 are presented results for the partial correlation coefficient for protons, kaons, and pions. The correlation coefficient becomes smaller with increasing particle mass.

The flow-momentum correlation can be measured also in p+Pb collisions. This measurement is interesting as

\[
\text{Cov}(v_{n\{2\}^2}, [p_T] \cdot N) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(v_{n\{2\}^2}, N) \text{Var}([p_T] \cdot N) - \rho(v_{n\{2\}^2}, N) \rho([p_T], N)}.
\]
it may give some insight on the initial state. Two versions of the Glauber model for the initial state are used, the standard one, with deposition of entropy at the positions of the participant nucleons and, the second version of the model, with deposition of entropy in between the participant nucleons [17]. The two versions of the model give a different rms transverse sizes of the initial fireball. For the centralities considered in this work, the first model gives \( R_{RMS} \approx 1.5 \text{fm} \) and the second one \( R_{RMS} \approx 0.9 \text{fm} \). The flow-momentum correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_n(2), |p_T| \bullet N) \) is predicted to have a different sign in the two scenarios [4].

We present results for the partial correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_n^2(2), |p_T| \bullet N) \) in p+Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV} \). The change from the standard correlation coefficient \( \rho(v_n^2(2), |p_T|) \) is small both for the elliptic and triangular flow. In particular, the sign of the correlation coefficient is not changed in the two scenarios for the initial state (Figs. 6 and 7). A comparison of the calculation with experimental results favors the compact source scenario. Interestingly, the compact source scenario gives predictions for the flow coefficients and transverse momentum in good agreement with experimental data. The agreement with the data on \( \rho(v_n^2(2), |p_T|) \) is worst for the more central bin. It may indicate that the entropy fluctuations, which influence the multiplicity and the fireball...
shape in the most central p+Pb collisions, are not correctly implemented in the model. It would be interesting to confront predictions of other models of initial state for hydrodynamic simulations on flow-transverse momentum correlations with the data. Another interesting point would be to compare predictions of hydrodynamic and cascade models in p+Pb collisions.

V. ESTIMATORS FOR FLOW-MOMENTUM CORRELATIONS

The correlation between the harmonic flow and the transverse momentum of final charged hadrons results from a hydrodynamic response applied to a given ensemble of initial conditions in event by event evolution. In this paper we consider a linear response to initial conditions. The linear response estimators from the initial state, with two different average transverse sizes of the initial fireball, \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 1.5 \text{fm}\) (black triangles) and \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 0.9 \text{fm}\) (red squares), are compared. ATLAS Collaboration data are represented by blue crosses.

FIG. 6. Elliptic flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient in p+Pb collisions as a function of the number of charged particles \((p_T > 0.5 \text{GeV}, \mid \eta \mid < 2.5)\). Two schemes for the initial state, with two different average transverse sizes of the initial fireball, \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 1.5 \text{fm}\) (black triangles) and \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 0.9 \text{fm}\) (red squares), are compared. ATLAS Collaboration data are represented by blue crosses.

FIG. 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the triangular flow (no experimental data available).

FIG. 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the triangular flow (no experimental data available).

FIG. 8. The covariance of the harmonic flow with transverse momentum in Pb+Pb collisions as a function of the number of participant nucleons. The blue triangles represent the results of the hydrodynamic simulation, the black dots represent the covariance predicted using the estimator \((13)\) of the final flow harmonic and transverse flow, the red crosses represent the covariance for the improved ansatz \((16)\) for the estimator of the transverse momentum. Panels (a) and (b) present results for the elliptic and triangular flows respectively.
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FIG. 6. Elliptic flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient in p+Pb collisions as a function of the number of charged particles \((p_T > 0.5 \text{GeV}, \mid \eta \mid < 2.5)\). Two schemes for the initial state, with two different average transverse sizes of the initial fireball, \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 1.5 \text{fm}\) (black triangles) and \(R_{\text{rms}} \approx 0.9 \text{fm}\) (red squares), are compared. ATLAS Collaboration data are represented by blue crosses.

FIG. 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the triangular flow (no experimental data available).
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FIG. 8. The covariance of the harmonic flow with transverse momentum in Pb+Pb collisions as a function of the number of participant nucleons. The blue triangles represent the results of the hydrodynamic simulation, the black dots represent the covariance predicted using the estimator \((13)\) of the final flow harmonic and transverse flow, the red crosses represent the covariance for the improved ansatz \((16)\) for the estimator of the transverse momentum. Panels (a) and (b) present results for the elliptic and triangular flows respectively.
where the initial transverse rms radius in an event is \( R_{\text{rms}} \). The ansatz for the predictors is

\[
\hat{p}(R_{\text{rms}}, S) = \langle |p_t| \rangle + a_p(R_{\text{rms}} - \langle R_{\text{rms}} \rangle) + b_p(S - \langle S \rangle)
\]

\[
\hat{N}(R_{\text{rms}}, N) = a_N(R_{\text{rms}} - \langle R_{\text{rms}} \rangle) + b_N S + c_N \left( \epsilon_2^2 - \langle \epsilon_2^2 \rangle \right) + d_N \left( \epsilon_3^2 - \langle \epsilon_3^2 \rangle \right)
\]

where \( R_{\text{rms}} \) is the initial transverse rms radius in an event and \( \hat{p} \) is the linear predictor for the average transverse momentum \( |p_t| \) and \( \hat{N} \) is the linear predictor for \( S \). The initial eccentricities \( \epsilon_2^2, \epsilon_3^2 \) are added to the estimator formula (16).

The partial correlation coefficient of the harmonic flow with transverse momentum in Pb+Pb collisions as a function of the number of participant nucleons. The blue triangles represent the results of the hydrodynamic simulation, the black dots represent the covariance of flow and transverse momentum with transverse momentum in Pb+Pb collisions as a function of the number of participant nucleons. The black dots represent results for the predictor depending on the initial transverse size and entropy \( \langle |p_t| \rangle \). The average \( \langle |p_t| \rangle \) itself depends on scales imposed on the dynamics, freeze-out temperature and hydrodynamic evolution time, not only on the initial conditions. The coefficients \( a_p, \ldots, k_3 \) of the linear relationship (13) are adjusted to minimize the sum of square deviations between the prediction and the actual value of the global observable for events corresponding to a given centrality class.

Note that the linear predictor for the average transverse flow is constructed as a linear relation for the deviation from the average \( \langle |p_t| \rangle \) itself. The average \( \langle |p_t| \rangle \) is defined in (13) (black dots). For central collisions the covariance obtained using the predictors reproduces the hydrodynamic results. For semiperipheral collisions the deviation is significant. The same is true for the partial correlation coefficient (Fig. 9), which involves also the predictor for the final multiplicity.

In the improved ansatz, eccentricities \( \epsilon_n^2 \) are added to the estimator formula (13)

\[
\hat{p}(R_{\text{rms}}, S) = \langle |p_t| \rangle + a_p(R_{\text{rms}} - \langle R_{\text{rms}} \rangle) + b_p(S - \langle S \rangle) + c_p(\epsilon_2^2 - \langle \epsilon_2^2 \rangle) + d_p(\epsilon_3^2 - \langle \epsilon_3^2 \rangle)
\]

\[
\hat{N}(R_{\text{rms}}, N) = a_N(R_{\text{rms}} - \langle R_{\text{rms}} \rangle) + b_N S + c_N(\epsilon_2^2 - \langle \epsilon_2^2 \rangle) + d_N(\epsilon_3^2 - \langle \epsilon_3^2 \rangle)
\]

The flow-transverse momentum covariance \( \text{cov}(v_n|2|^{2}, |p_t|) \) from the hydrodynamic simulations is well described using the improved ansatz (red dots).
crosses in Fig. 5. Also the partial correlation coefficient is fairly well described using the improved ansatz (Fig. 9). The essential part of the improvement comes from the inclusion of the eccentricities $\epsilon_2$ in the ansatz for the average transverse momentum. Fig. 10 presents the correlation coefficient between the transverse flow $\langle p_T \rangle$ and its predictor $\hat{p}$. The inclusion of the eccentricities in the predictor $\hat{p}$ for $\hat{p}$ increases the correlation $\rho(p, \hat{p})$. It should be noted that estimators of the initial size in non-central collisions, other than the rms transverse radius, have been discussed as determining the transverse expansion [24, 25].

VI. EFFECT OF CONTROL VARIABLE FOR OTHER OBSERVABLES

Another observable discussed in heavy ion collisions is the variance of the average transverse momentum [21, 26–28]. In the hydrodynamic model transverse momentum fluctuations reflect the fluctuations of the initial volume [21] and the violence of the collective transverse expansion [24]. For broad centrality bins, multiplicity fluctuations are important. Multiplicity fluctuations influence significantly the variance of the average transverse momentum. The partial variance of the transverse momentum with respect to the multiplicity is significantly smaller than the standard variance. This observation should be kept in mind when comparing simulations and data in centrality bins corresponding to different widths of multiplicity distributions.

In Fig. 11 is shown the dynamical variance of the average transverse momentum for identified particles. To correct for the multiplicity fluctuations, we present results for the particle variance of the average transverse momentum. The event by event fluctuations of the average transverse momentum are larger for massive particles. Part of this dependence may be due to the increase of the average transverse momentum of emitted particles with particle mass. The variance scaled by the square of average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ shows still a clear dependence on particle mass (Fig. 13). With increasing particle mass the contribution of collective flow increases with respect to the thermal momentum.

As a further example, we study the partial correlation for cumulants [13] of harmonic flows with corrections for control variables $\langle p_T \rangle$ and $N$. We present results for the correlation coefficient between the elliptic and triangular flow $\rho(v_2[2]^2, v_3[2]^2)$. In Fig. 14 we compare the standard correlation coefficient and the partial correlation coefficients with respect to multiplicity $\rho(v_2[2]^2, v_3[2]^2 \cdot N)$, to transverse momentum $\rho(v_2[2]^2, v_3[2]^2 \cdot \langle p_T \rangle)$, and to both control variables $\rho(v_2[2]^2, v_3[2]^2 \cdot N, \langle p_T \rangle)$. The corrections due to correlations of flow cumulants with control variables are negligible.
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Correlations between the harmonic flow coefficients and the transverse flow are studied for relativistic collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Hydrodynamic model results are compared to experimental data of the ATLAS Collaboration [5]. Hydrodynamic simulations reproduce fairly well the measurements for central and semi-central Pb+Pb collisions. In p+Pb collisions the hydrodynamic model with initial condition corresponding to a compact, small-sized source reproduces qualitatively the measurement, while the standard Glauber model initial conditions lead a wrong sign of the correlation coefficient.

A novelty in the analysis is the incorporation of corrections due to correlations to a control variable, the multiplicity. Hydrodynamic simulations are performed in centrality bins with relatively broad multiplicity distributions. The effect of multiplicity fluctuations on the correlation coefficients can be corrected using the partial correlation coefficient [6]. The correction is sizable for the correlation of the elliptic flow and transverse momentum and for the variance of the transverse momentum.

The covariance between the final harmonic flow and transverse momentum results from the hydrodynamic response on the covariance matrix of the initial eccentricities, rms transverse size and multiplicity. A good ansatz for the linear hydrodynamic response requires the combination of the transverse size, entropy, and eccentricities in the estimator for the final transverse flow.