What Do We Know About the Genetic Basis of Seed Desiccation Tolerance and Longevity?

Hanna Kijak * and Ewelina Ratajczak

Institute of Dendrology, Polish Academy of Sciences, 62-035 Kórnik, Poland; eratajcz@man.poznan.pl
* Correspondence: h.kijak@man.poznan.pl

Received: 28 April 2020; Accepted: 18 May 2020; Published: 20 May 2020

Abstract: Long-term seed storage is important for protecting both economic interests and biodiversity. The extraordinary properties of seeds allow us to store them in the right conditions for years. However, not all types of seeds are resilient, and some do not tolerate extreme desiccation or low temperature. Seeds can be divided into three categories: (1) orthodox seeds, which tolerate water losses of up to 7% of their water content and can be stored at low temperature; (2) recalcitrant seeds, which require a humidity of 27%; and (3) intermediate seeds, which lose their viability relatively quickly compared to orthodox seeds. In this article, we discuss the genetic bases for desiccation tolerance and longevity in seeds and the differences in gene expression profiles between the mentioned types of seeds.
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1. Introduction

The acceleration of climate change poses new challenges for humanity in the fields of agriculture, forestry and environmental protection. More frequent and longer periods of drought, rising temperatures, and weather anomalies may cause, among other things, a decrease in the quality of harvests, problems with forest stand renewal and migration or even extinction of species. Therefore, it is important to preserve genetic resources, for example, by long-term seed storage in controlled conditions. However, deterioration of seeds is one of the biggest problems affecting gene banks. A gradual decrease in the viability of dry-stored seeds is caused by the aging processes and is revealed by signals such as delayed germination, poor seedling establishment or even a total lack of germination, which may result in reduced crop yields [1–3]. Thus, proper seed storage is crucial not only from an economic and social point of view but also for environmental protection [4,5]. The condition of stored seeds depends on many factors, including the storage temperature, moisture content (MC), storage duration, and oxygen pressure [6], but most importantly, on the degree of desiccation tolerance (DT). In general, seeds are divided into three categories: (1) orthodox seeds, which tolerate drying to a moisture content below 7% and storage at −10 °C for a long time [7,8]; (2) recalcitrant seeds, which are very sensitive to desiccation and freezing, as they very quickly lose viability when stored using conventional methods, remaining metabolically active throughout their pre- and post-harvest development [9]; and (3) intermediate seeds, which lose viability relatively quickly compared to orthodox seeds [10]. The vast majority of spermatophytes produce desiccation tolerant (orthodox) seeds, which have an extraordinary ability to survive in extreme environmental conditions [11,12]. Orthodox seeds can be stored for a very long time under gene bank conditions (i.e., temperature below 0 °C, circa 15% relative humidity air) without losing quality [13–15]. On the other hand, it is estimated that 8% of flowering plants worldwide display seed desiccation sensitivity (DS, recalcitrance); this percentage increases up to 50% in the case of tropical evergreen rainforests [8,16]. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the molecular mechanisms of both DT and DS for successful long-term seed preservation and biodiversity protection.
DT can be considered when the moisture content of the cytoplasm drops below 10% on a fresh weight basis (or 0.1 g H$_2$O/g dry weight) without accumulation of lethal damage [17]. Moreover, DT includes maintaining the ability to successfully rehydrate [18]. This remarkable mechanism was crucial during the colonization of land by the first terrestrial plants [19,20] and allows orthodox seeds in the dry state to remain viable for long periods of time [21]. DT in angiosperms occurs during the late seed maturation stage and requires a complex regulatory network, which is determined by a huge repertoire of genes involved in numerous defense mechanisms [12,21–25].

The acquisition of DT is associated with modification of some intracellular physical characteristics, e.g., deposition of insoluble proteins within vacuoles, to improve mechanical resilience against cell collapse. Starch and lipid accumulation also increase the volume buffering capacity. Additionally, chromatin condensation as well as inhibition of replication and transcription and gradual dismantling of the cytoskeleton accompany DT [26].

The molecular and genetic networks that regulate the DT process remain largely unknown. However, significant achievements have recently been made toward understanding the genetic mechanisms of seed aging and longevity. In this review, we discuss the latest scientific reports on the genetic basis of DT in seeds. A better understanding of genetic DT mechanisms may be useful for both agriculture and environmental protection. Moreover, it may lead to the development of better methods for the preservation of desiccation-sensitive seeds.

2. Metabolic Shut-Down

An important factor that allows cells to survive under water deficit conditions is a reduction in metabolic activity and respiratory processes. High metabolic activity has been linked to DS, while a decrease in metabolism is characteristic of DT [15,27,28]. One of the most widespread strategies to slow cell metabolism is to limit the ability of molecules to move around the cytoplasm. To achieve that effect, cells transform the cytoplasm into a glassy state by accumulating the oligosaccharides stachyose and raffinose (RFOs) [11,29,30]. Increases in RFOs and a decreased ratio of sucrose to some RFOs were observed in Fagus sylvatica L. seeds with DT [31].

In dry biological tissues, glasses retain the activity of enzymes and conformation of proteins and play a role in the long-term storage stability of seeds [32]. The replacement of water with the abovementioned oligosaccharides during desiccation also maintains the hydrogen bonds required for membrane and protein stabilization [11,21].

As reviewed by Gechev et al. [33], RFOs are also storage carbohydrates, which are mobilized during desiccation for energy and sucrose synthesis. The accumulation of sugars is characteristic of highly desiccation tolerant resurrection plants [34–38], and recent studies showed that orthodox seeds seem to share this defense mechanism. Transcriptomic studies on the most popular plant model organism, Arabidopsis thaliana, showed that transcript levels of genes encoding key enzymes in the raffinose pathway, including sucrose synthases, UDP-D-galactose-4-epimerases, galactinol synthases and stachyoyse synthase, were strongly downregulated in seeds of desiccation-sensitive mutants in comparison with those of the wild type (WT) [21]. On the other hand, upregulation of invertase genes was observed, suggesting that D-glucose and D-fructose were not metabolized to stachyose and raffinose, which was confirmed by the detection of high levels of D-glucose and D-fructose in desiccation sensitive mutant seeds [21]. Similar results were obtained by Jing et al. [39], who found that overexpression of galactinol and raffinose synthases was associated with higher concentrations of galactinol, raffinose and stachyose in Arabidopsis mutants than in WT along with enhanced DT in developing mutant seeds. An increase in RFOs accumulation has been recently observed in the orthodox seeds of the Brazilian native tree Erythrina speciosa as well [40]. These results suggest that the increased expression of genes related to the raffinose pathway and accumulation of soluble nonreducing sugars in the cytoplasm during late seed maturation, among other things, might be one of the keys to obtaining DT in seeds. However, the exact molecular mechanisms underlying the transition of the
cytoplasm into the glassy state remain unknown and probably involve a whole range of genes coding proteins of different functions.

Another strategy to reduce metabolic activity is to slowdown the machinery dedicated to metabolic control and respiratory processes. Leprince et al. [41] postulated that an important factor in obtaining DT is the reduction of ATP demand. In recently conducted transcriptomic and proteomic studies on seeds of three coffee species, Stavrinides et al. [12] showed that tested species shared a decrease in the expression of genes associated with energy production. The downregulated genes were related to mitorespiration (i.e., several units of ATP synthase, NADH dehydrogenase complex I, cytochrome bc1 complex, cytochrome c oxidase) and the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA; isocitrate dehydrogenase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, succinyl-CoA ligase, dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase). Interestingly, comparative analysis showed some significant differences in the regulation of mitochondrial energy metabolism between desiccation-tolerant and desiccation-sensitive seeds. Seeds of the desiccation sensitive Coffea canephora displayed higher expression of the translocase inner membrane subunit (TIM44-2) and the mitochondrial splicing factor (OTP439), which are involved in basal cellular processes such as protein import and organelle posttranscriptional processes, respectively. On the other hand, desiccation tolerant seeds of Coffea arabica and Coffea eugenioides exhibited a down regulation of many genes crucial for the regulation of energetic processes, such as mMDH1, VDAC1, and ATP5D. This pattern suggests that DT seeds effectively slow the oxidative phosphorylation machinery [12] and seems to support the theory that reducing ATP demand is crucial for the acquisition of DT. The importance of reducing the expression level of the VDAC gene appears to be confirmed by the results of research conducted on elm (Ulmus pumila L.) seeds. Wang et al. [42] showed that overexpression of VDAC during controlled deterioration treatment was linked to an altered mitochondrial morphology. Moreover, the desiccation sensitive C. canephora, during seed late maturation, was characterized by increased transcription of several respiratory electron transfer chain complex compound genes involved in mitochondrial posttranscriptional and translational activities. Thus, recalcitrant seeds maintain high energy metabolism, which may be among the reasons for their DS. Another upregulated gene in desiccation-sensitive C. canephora was encoding formate dehydrogenase (FDH), a positive regulator of cell death, the defense response and hypoxia tolerance, metal toxicity, and low pH in Arabidopsis [12,43,44], and prohibitin (PHB3), which is associated with the nitric oxide-mediated stress response [12,45]. These transcriptional fingerprints translated into specific respiratory patterns. Upon desiccation, tolerant seeds displayed a stable reduction in their respiratory rate, while sensitive seeds experienced a drastic reduction, and at the same time, the respiration rate was higher than that measured for C. arabica and C. eugenioides [12]. Similar observations were made in Castanea sativa recalcitrant seeds. Desiccation sensitive cotyledons showed a higher respiration rate than more tolerant axes [41].

Uncoordinated slowdown of the metabolic machinery during desiccation leads to lipid oxidation, membrane damage and, consequently, to the death of DS seeds, which is associated with the accumulation of ROS (reactive oxygen species) [41,46]. Hence, genes associated with mitochondrial and respiration processes seem to play an important role in the development of DT. Wagner et al. [47] suggested that mitochondrial metabolism may be related to abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent transcriptional regulation. However, the exact genetic mechanisms of metabolic decreases in seeds remain unknown. Therefore, further research is needed to discover the genetic factors that make metabolism switch off in a coordinated and smooth way. To the best of our knowledge, the research carried out by Stavrinides et al. [12] is the only study that applies a wide transcriptomic and proteomic perspective with different types of seeds.

3. Antioxidative System and Regulation of the Redox State

The mechanisms of seed longevity and aging are still under intensive study. The main factor considered to have a huge impact on these processes is ROS [46,48–51]. During long-term seed storage, ROS accumulation leads to damage to lipids, DNA, and proteins and consequently contributes to
decreased germination, loss of seed vigor, and even death [52–54]. It is believed that mitochondria are the main producers of ROS due to their important role as energy sources for cell growth and metabolism. Therefore, a pivotal role of mitochondria is metabolic shut down under seed storage conditions, as mentioned above. Nevertheless, there are active and passive mechanisms that are responsible for the detoxification of the ROS accumulated in the cytosol and cell compartments of developing and mature seeds. Active systems involve numerous proteins that allow seeds to wait out unfavorable conditions in a dormant state. Such specific antioxidant enzymes in seeds are superoxide dismutases, catalases, glutathione and ascorbate peroxidases, monodehydroascorbate, dehydroascorbate, and glutathione reductases [11,48,55]. Passive mechanisms include low molecular weight antioxidants, such as glutathione oxidation form (GSH) and glutathione disulfide form (GSSG), tocopherol, and ascorbic acid (Asc).

Under stress, glutathione is maintained in the reduced state by action, and the accumulation of GSSG is often correlated with increased stress. Glutathione half-cell redox potential (\(E_{\text{GSSG/2GSH}}\)) is an important indicator of the cell redox state and a specific marker of seed viability during desiccation stress [56]. Differences in half glutathione cells (\(E_{\text{GSSG/2GSH}}\)) were observed in Norway maple (Acer platanoides L) orthodox seeds and in sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus L.) recalcitrant seeds [52]. Thiol-disulfide transitions take part in cell signaling and control of catalytic activity, regulatory switches and protective mechanisms [57,58]. It is supposed that redox processes are involved in the metabolic regulation of maturing seeds and in the establishment of DT [59].

Regulation of the redox state can be controlled by antioxidant enzymes and compounds containing disulfide groups glutathion, peroxiredoxins (Prxs), thioredoxins (Trxs), and glutaredoxin (Grxs) [60,61]. This suggests that peroxiredoxin acts in redox-dependent cell signaling and can play an important role in defining the difference between seeds of the orthodox and recalcitrant types. Ratajczak et al. [52] showed that 1-Cys-Prx is reduced in Norway maple seeds (DT) and oxidized in sycamore seeds (DS) during development.

Moreover, other genes, such as Prxs and Trxs, have been reported as possible regulators of the redox state during different stages of seed development.

Peroxiredoxin family members have an important role in regulating and maintaining the redox balance in seed cells. It is also believed that these proteins prevent germination during stress conditions [62]. Prxs were first identified in yeast and are widely distributed among living organisms. Plant peroxiredoxins can be divided into four classes: typical 2-Cys Prx, atypical 2-Cys Prx, 1-Cys Prx, and Prx Q, located in distinct cell compartments including the chloroplast and mitochondrion [63]. Prxs are capable of reducing \(H_2O_2\), alkyl hydroperoxides, and hydroxyl radicals [62]. They demonstrate antioxidant activity by protecting lipids, proteins, and DNA against ROS [64,65].

Prxs are intensively expressed during seed development, especially at stages associated with water loss during late maturation and in mature seeds in the dry state [66–69]. Therefore, it was primarily suggested that Prxs are involved in the maintenance of seed dormancy [64]. However, overexpression of barley 1-Cys Prx did not induce seed dormancy in transgenic Arabidopsis plants but inhibited germination under unfavorable conditions [62]. Furthermore, the expression level of the Prx in nondormant mutant aba1 seeds was comparable with that of the WT [68], which also contradicts the hypothesis about the maintenance of dormancy. Interestingly, differences in Prx IIF transcript and protein levels as well as in the level of posttranslational modification have been observed between A. platanoides L. desiccation-tolerant seeds and A. pseudoplatanus L. desiccation-sensitive seeds during desiccation [52]. Thus, different expression patterns of peroxiredoxins may influence seed viability and longevity.

A similar conclusion was reached by Chen et al. [70] in an ectopic expression study of Nelumbo nucifera 1-Cys-Prx encoded by NnPER1 gene in transgenic Arabidopsis. Over expression of NnPER1 improved seed tolerance to adverse conditions by detoxifying ROS. However, the exact functions of Prxs in seeds remain to be discovered.
Another possible redox state regulator system involves the thioredoxin gene family. In higher plants, Trxs are divided into groups m, f, x, y, o and h based on their amino acid sequences. Connected with seeds are type h NADPH-dependent thioredoxins, which are most abundant in the mature state and in the nucleus of cells under oxidative stress [71]. Trxhs are crucial for the germination process by reducing storage proteins and mobilizing lipids [72–74]. However, an increased reduction in Trxhs during the late stages of seed development and germination under oxidative stress has been observed, suggesting, along with their localization in the nucleus, that Trxhs may be a part of the antioxidative system [71]. Furthermore, NADPH thioredoxin reductase (NTR) may be involved in the reduction of 1-Cys-Prx, thus suggesting that the NTR/Trx system indirectly influences ROS detoxification by peroxiredoxins [75]. Nevertheless, the role of Trxh in different types of seeds remains unclear.

4. Seed Coat as Defense Mechanism

The seed coat (testa) develops from the maternal tissue, the integuments, originally surrounding the ovule and acts as a physical and chemical barrier between the embryo and external conditions [11,23,29]. It is composed of several layers of specialized cell types. Its inner layer, endothelium, is rich in flavonoid compounds, which scavenge the reactive oxygen species and restraining oxidative stress [23,29]. Moreover, cell walls of palisade layer (PL) contain suberin, while the inner integument contain cutin, lipophilic polymers, create impermeable barrier to water gases and solutes [23,76,77]. Therefore, these polymer seed coat compounds might play a role in preventing oxidation stress and seed aging, by limiting the diffusion of atmospheric oxygen into the seed [23]. Research on 225 tree species conducted by Daws et al. [78] showed that desiccation sensitive seeds are indeed characterized by a thinner seed coat than desiccation tolerant. It has been hypothesized, that this feature is correlated with rapid germination, which is a result of selection pressure from vertebrate seed predators and fungi pathogens [79,80]. Therefore, the ability to germinate excluding the dormancy state may minimize the duration of exposure to predation and pathogens in tropical, humid regions where DS species are most common [78]. This hypothesis seems to be supported by the research conducted on Arabidopsis mutants seeds with thinner and more permeable testa comparing to the WT, which germinated faster than the wild type [81].

Seed coat thickness and mechanical strength is associated with flavonoid compounds, mainly proanthocyanidins (PAs), polysaccharides, and polyesters such as lignin and suberin. Some attempts to identify key genes regulating seed longevity connected to seed coat defense mechanisms have already been made. Righetti et al. [22] in the coexpression network of M. truncatula and Arabidopsis analysis found that wrky3 and nfxl1 mutants seeds were affected with reduced longevity and higher testa permeability. WRKY3 transcription factor (TF) is highly expressed during last stages of seed development. Its deficiencies in mutant plants lead to more severe disease symptoms of fungal Botrytis cinerea infection [82]. Furthermore overexpression of grape (Vitis labrusca) WRKY3 in transgenic Arabidopsis resulted in improved resistance to Colletotrichum cichoracearum, plants also showed improved salt and drought stress tolerance during the germination, seedling and the mature plant stages [83]. Second transcription factor encoded by NFXL1 has been linked to trichothecene phytotoxin-induced response in Arabidopsis and higher resistance to abiotic stresses such as salt, drought and high light intensity [84,85], but also represses Fusarium graminearum fungus resistance in wheat [86]. Target genes for these TFs are yet to be determined.

Probably peroxidases are involved in biosynthetic pathway of the flavonoids, however no specific genes associated with polymerization of these compounds have been identified yet [23]. During seed desiccation polymeric flavonoids are being oxidized by the laccase-type polyphenol oxidase Testa Glabra 10 (TT10) and as a result become brown pigments [87,88]. Mutations in TT10, manifested by disturbed testa pigmentation, lead to reduced dormancy and longevity [89]. For example, rapeseed (Brassica napus) and flax (Linum usitatissimum) yellow seed mutants showed greater reductions in germination in comparison with dark-pigmented seeds subjected to accelerated aging [90,91].
Another factor that affects testa strength and waterproof is lignin, which accumulates in cell walls of seed coat integuments \[92,93\]. This polymer of monolignol has been associated with permeability and resistance to mechanical damage in soybean \[94\] and is thought to act as antioxidant \[90\]. It has been shown, that Testa Transparent 10 participates in seed coat lignin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* and rapeseed \[11\]. *tt10* mutants are characterized by reduced lignin content in the seed coat and their longevity during natural aging is being affected \[81,95\].

Suberin is another biopolymer involved in seed coat permeability. Several enzymes involved in suberin biosynthesis have been already described, including glycerol-3-phosphate acyl-transferases (GPAT), which encode transferases involved in the synthesis of acylglycerol precursors of suberin polymer \[96–98\]. Analysis of gpat5 *Arabidopsis* mutant revealed a reduction of suberin content in the seed coat \[99\]. Recently, Renard et al. \[23\] linked peroxidases with biosynthesis probably of both lignin and suberin in the testa. *Arabidopsis prx2* and *prx25* loss of function mutants where characterized by higher permeability than the WT seeds \[23\]. Moreover, overexpression of COG1 and ATHB25 transcription factors causes the increase in suberin accumulation in the palisade layer, which results in higher resistance to seed aging \[24,100\]. However, target genes for these TFs have not been identified yet, but it has been observed that cog1-2D and athb25-1D mutants accumulate more ABA \[23\]. Interestingly, MYB41 TF in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Nicotiana benthamiana* activates aliphatic suberin synthesis and deposition steps in the ABA-dependent manner \[101\], thus COG1 and ATHB25 my indirectly influence this TF. As Renard et al. \[23\] hypothesize, COG1 and ATHB25 may directly regulate suberin biosynthetic genes, such as glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferases, cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenases, fatty acyl CoA reductases, and laccases. On the other hand, peroxidases PRX2 and PRX25 may be indirectly regulated by COG1 TF through the upregulation of Gibberellin 3-Oxidase 3 (GA3OX3) \[23,24\]. GA3OX3 converts the inactive gibberellin (GA) precursors, GA9 and GA20, in the bioactive gibberellins GA4 and GA1 \[102\]. Additionally, ATHB25 in *Arabidopsis* positively regulates another gene involved in GA biosynthesis, Gibberellic Acid Oxidase 2 (GA3OX2), probably in the indirect way through upregulation of another TF, which have not been identified yet \[100\]. GAs have been shown to contribute to the formation of seed coat through the induction of starch degradation at the epidermis and palisade, and by increasing mucilage synthesis at the epidermis \[24,103\]. It is also possible that GA may influence seed longevity by the induction protoanthocyanidin synthesis in the endothelial layer of the seed coat \[29,100\].

Seed tolerance to adverse conditions has been also linked to the light perception. Park et al. \[104\] suggested that COG1 functions as a negative regulatory component within phytochromes PHYA (far-red light receptor) and PHYB (red light receptor) signaling pathways. Overexpression of COG1 causes defects in PHYA- and PHYB mediated light responses \[104\]. More recent research of phyA and phyB loss of function *Arabidopsis* mutants showed, that red and far-red light negatively influence the seed tolerance to unfavorable conditions \[24\]. Both mutants produced more tolerant seeds with reduced permeability to tetrazolium and increased suberin content. An inverse relationship was observed regarding cryptochrome (*cry1*, *cry2*) blue light receptors mutants. Produced seeds were sensitive to aging, seed coat was more permeable, and the suberin content was reduced \[24\]. This mechanism may be correlated with GA action, since light inhibits GA biosynthesis \[24,105\].

5. Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) Proteins Accumulation

Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins form a group of several dozen, mostly hydrophilic, rich in Gly and small amino acids such as Ala and Ser, molecules ranging in weight from 10 to 30 kDa and above \[106,107\]. Depending on the plant species, they can be categorized into several groups characterized by specific amino acid motifs: LEA1-LEAn, dehydrins and seed maturation proteins (SMPs) \[108\]. LEAs were first described in cottonseeds during their late maturation stages of development and germination \[109\]. Further research showed that these proteins are widely distributed in the plant kingdom and have been identified from algae, mosses, ferns, to angiosperms and resurrection plants \[108,110\]. Interestingly, LEA-like proteins are also found in a variety of
organisms, such as anhydrobiotic nematodes [111,112], brine shrimp [113], rotifers [114,115], and some bacterial species [108]. Although LEAs were first described in embryonic tissues, thirty years of intensive research showed that the expression of LEA genes is also significantly induced in vegetative organs (i.e., callus, flowers, roots, leaves, buds) under abiotic stresses such as desiccation, salinity, and cold [116–120].

The in vivo activities of most LEA proteins remain unknown. However, based on some research on transgenic plants, it is considered that they have a protective function and play an important role in developing desiccation tolerance in seeds. For example, silencing of the three LEA proteins from group 4 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* was enough to cause water deficit sensitivity [121]. Moreover, studies on recalcitrant seeds of *Avicennia marina* in comparison to orthodox seeds showed the absence of LEA proteins in desiccation-sensitive seeds, which led authors to the conclusion that this might be one of the reasons for DS [122]. In addition, the group 3 LEA protein HVA1 from *Hordeum vulgare* increased plant tolerance to water deficit when incorporated into rice plants. Transgenic plants also maintained higher growth rates than the control group and showed better recovery when the stress conditions were removed [123]. Additionally, there are some evidences, based on invertebrate studies, that at least some LEA proteins require the presence of trehalose for full protective action during desiccation, heat and freeze stresses [124–127]. Li et al. [128] observed connection between overexpression of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene (*TPS1*) from rice, higher accumulation of LEA14A and dyhydrin DHN6, and improved tolerance of rice seedling to cold, high salinity and drought treatments. Nevertheless, the importance of trehalose occurrence regarding LEA proteins in seeds is still elusive.

More recent studies seem to confirm that the accumulation of specific LEA proteins during seed development might be the key to obtaining DT. Analysis of the transcriptome and proteome of intermediate coffee seeds revealed that 15 seed-specific LEA genes were massively transcribed and translated during the late maturation stage. In comparison with orthodox seeds, the authors did not observe any specific lack of these proteins [129]. Other studies on late maturation in coffee seeds showed significantly higher expression levels and protein contents of one specific LEA, EM6, in *C. arabica* and *C. eugenioides*, which show intermediate desiccation tolerance in comparison with the recalcitrant *C. canephora* [12]. Similar observations were made by Delahaie et al. [25] in the juxtaposition of desiccation-sensitive *Castanospermum australe* and desiccation-tolerant *Medicago truncatula*. Comparative proteomic analysis showed that six LEA polypeptides, SBP65, MP2, PM25, LEAm, EM1, and the abovementioned EM6, were less abundant in desiccation-sensitive *C. australe* [25]. EM6 plays a crucial role in water binding during *Arabidopsis* seed maturation. The absence of this protein may affect the stability of the glassy state of the cytoplasm, caused by increased water absorption, and thus may lead to the disintegration of membrane structures [130]. This acting as a molecular sponge protein may participate in water loss control during seed maturation and drying [12].

In transcriptomic studies on recalcitrant tea seeds, all LEA proteins were found to be downregulated. Therefore, Jin et al. [131] speculated that an insufficient level of transcription of these genes results in sensitivity to dehydration. On the other hand, research on desiccation-sensitive *C. austral* seeds showed high accumulation of two dehydrins: BudCar5 and DHN-cognate, which were barely detected in desiccation tolerant *M. truncatula*. Furthermore, in *C. austral*, dehydrins constitute 83% of the LEA proteome, while in *M. truncatula*, dehydrins constitute only 20% [25]. Moreover, silencing dehydrins in *Arabidopsis* does not affect DT, nor does the lack of one or two LEA genes [129]. These results suggest that not all LEA proteins are involved in the acquisition of DT. There must be a more complex relationship that contributes to DT in seeds, which involves specific LEA proteins and regulatory factors. Most of these genes are under ABA-dependent signaling control; moreover, the promoters of LEA genes show cis-element responses [132,133]. Therefore, further research on the expression mechanisms of LEA genes in different types of seeds is needed.
6. Gene Expression Regulation at the Transcriptomic Level

The mechanisms that regulate gene expression have a huge impact on the plant response to stress. The direction of transcriptional reprogramming largely affects whether the reaction to adverse conditions will end in desiccation tolerance or sensitivity. The response to water limitation and other stresses is mainly regulated by ABA signaling, but there are also mechanisms independent of this factor [134,135]. In the case of vegetative tissues, many transcription factors (TFs) have already been shown to induce gene expression associated with defense mechanisms. For example, the WRKY TF induces synthesis of raffinose family oligosaccharides in Boea hygrometrica, which leads to the transition of the cytoplasm into the glassy state [136]. Overexpression of MYB10 from the resurrection plant Craterostigma plantagineum in transgenic Arabidopsis resulted in increased tolerance to drought [137]. Some studies have already shown that there are some differences in gene expression patterns between desiccation-tolerant and desiccation-sensitive seeds during their maturation [12,21]. However, little is known about the mechanisms that regulate the acquisition of DT at the gene expression level. It is widely accepted that seed development is mainly under ABA signaling control, which involves many TFs during maturation and seed dormancy [138,139].

ABA plays a pivotal role in stress resistance in plants through the regulation of physiological processes that participate in seed lipid and storage protein synthesis and is crucial for the acquisition of DT and dormancy in seeds. ABA hormone signaling is associated with the expression of regulatory genes involved in seed maturation [140,141]. This pathway is composed of PYR/PYL-RCAR receptors, PP2C phosphatases, and SnRK2 kinases and works through the regulation of the expression of genes that contain the ABSCIC ACID RESPONSE ELEMENT (ABRE) motif in their promoter region (reviewed by [134,142]).

It is commonly believed that the AFL subfamily of B3 TFs plays a crucial role in ABA signaling during seed development and maturation. The name of this group of genes—AFL—comes from the first letters of the genes, which are part of this subfamily: ABA INSENSITIVE 3 (ABI3), FUSCA3 (FUS3), and LEAFY COTYLEDON 2 (LEC2). Additionally, AFL also includes LEAFY COTYLEDON 1 (LEC1), which is an ortholog of the NF-YB subunit of the CCAAT-binding TF [143–146]. These master TFs control the expression of thousands of other genes involved in all seed development stages. The main feature of AFL TFs is the presence of a B3 DNA-binding domain, which consists of a seven-stranded β-sheet arranged in an open barrel that is accompanied by two short α-helices located at the two ends of the barrel [147]. The B3 domain binds to the RY motifs and activates maturation-specific genes; additionally, ABI3 activity requires another domain, which binds to ABA response elements [148–152]. Mutations in AFL genes cause shortages of reserve material in seeds, lower the degree of DT and cause difficulties in the acquisition of dormancy [153–156]. In this review, we will focus only on the major regulators of transcription in developing and maturing seeds, representing B3 transcription factors; for a wide review of LEAFY COTYLEDON 1, see Jo et al. [157].

The first plant B3 TF identified was VIVIPAROUS-1 (VP1) in maize, which is an ortholog of the Arabidopsis ABI3 transcription factor. Maize vp1 mutant seeds are ABA insensitive and as a result are unable to reach a quiescent state, which is manifested by the germination of seeds that are still in the corn cob [153]. Analogously, Arabidopsis ab13 mutant seeds are unable to complete the maturation program; as a result, they do not acquire dormancy and are characterized by a low degree of DT [158]. As Sano et al. reviewed [11], some abi3 mutant seeds remain green due to defective chlorophyll catabolism, resulting in reduced longevity and storability. Hence, ABA INSENSITIVE 3 must be involved in the reduction of anthocyanin and chlorophyll accumulation. Coexpression network studies on Medicago and Arabidopsis revealed that ABI3 shows the highest number of correlations with DT-related genes [22]. Thus, this TF seems to be a crucial transcriptional regulator during seed development. Some mechanisms that involve ABI3 during the maturation program have already been described. For example, induction of seed dormancy and desiccation tolerance in Arabidopsis is indirectly correlated with ABI3 by regulation of seed-specific heat shock factor HSFA9. Positive regulation of this TF results in the accumulation of protective heat shock proteins [159–164], which
An \textit{Arabidopsis} mutant of \textit{abi3} causes a considerable reduction in the concentration of LEA proteins. However, the knockout of ABI3 alone is insufficient to induce the expression of LEA genes. The expression of LEA genes requires the positive regulation of other transcription factors, such as ABI3 and FUS3, which act as master regulators of LEA gene expression. ABI3 and FUS3 positively regulate the expression of other transcription factors, including ABI3 and FUS3, which in turn positively regulate the expression of LEA genes.

\begin{itemize}
  \item ABI3 and FUS3 are master regulators of LEA gene expression.
  \item ABI3 positively regulates the expression of FUS3, which in turn positively regulates the expression of LEA genes.
  \item FUS3 positively regulates the expression of ABI3, creating a feedback loop.
\end{itemize}

These factors positively regulate the expression of LEA genes, which are important for seed development and maturation, as well as for seed longevity. The accumulation of LEA proteins is crucial for the proper development of the seed coat and the maintenance of seed viability. The expression of LEA genes is tightly controlled by transcription factors, and the role of ABI3 and FUS3 in this process is well-studied.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{Simplified diagram illustrating the effect of B3 transcription factors during the maturation of seeds. a. FUS3 represses TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA 1 (TTG1) transcription factor (TF), which is a negative regulator of genes related to fatty acid and storage protein biosynthesis, and positively regulates WRINKLED 1 (WRI1), an inducer of fatty acid biosynthesis; thus, FUS3 indirectly positively affects the accumulation of storage materials. FUS3 also regulates ABI3 expression in the lateral parts of cotyledons. b. LEC2 regulates other B3 transcription factors—FUS3 and ABI3, preventing anthocyanin and chlorophyll accumulation and by positive regulation of WRI1 and OLE1 takes part in intensified fatty acid biosynthesis and storage; LEC2 also positively regulates the expression of 2S and 12S storage proteins. c. ABI3 regulates expression of FUS3 in the embryo axis and cotyledons and indirectly takes part in the accumulation of heat shock protective proteins by positive regulation of HSFA9 TF; ABI3 is a master regulator of late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) protective proteins.}
\end{figure}

Braybrook et al. [148] proved that LEC2 is expressed at the earliest stages of seed development and remains active until the middle phase of maturation. This protein directly and indirectly activates the expression of genes involved in seed maturation and the accumulation of lipids and seed storage proteins (SSPs) by inducing the expression of other AFL TFs, such as ABI3 and FUS3 [148,169]. LEC2 is required in the early stages of seed development to activate FUS3 expression and in later stages of maturation to maintain the expression of ABI3 at a stable level [158]. By positive regulation of FUS3 and ABI3, LEC2 also prevents anthocyanin and chlorophyll accumulation [149,158]. Straightway, LEC2 regulates the expression of WRINKLED 1 (WRI1), which plays a crucial role in fatty acid biosynthesis during seed maturation (Figure 1). Interestingly, WRI1 seems to be transcriptionally induced by LEC2 only in the hypocotyl of the embryo [170]. Another gene involved in the accumulation of storage
reserves regulated by LEC2 is OLE1, encoding oleosin [148,171], and genes encoding 2S and 12S storage proteins [148,149,154] (Figure 1). Therefore, mutations in LEC2 may cause a reduction in the amount of reserve materials in seeds. Indirectly, however, LEC2 affects the expression of LEA EM1 and EM6 genes by inducing the expression of the EEL basic leucine zipper (bZIP) TF [148], which is a negative regulator of those EM proteins in Arabidopsis [172]. The EEL TF competes with ABI5, which is a positive regulator of EMs, for their promoter sites [172]. In the later stages of the maturation program, during drying when LEC2 is present in smaller quantities, the EEL volume also drops, and the expression of the DT-related proteins EM1 and EM6 is induced by ABI5. Therefore, the main role of LEC2 in the acquisition of DT in seeds seems to be the regulation of genes involved in the accumulation of storage materials.

In the case of the accumulation of storage materials in developing seeds, another crucial B3 transcription factor, FUSCA3, is involved. Wang and Perry [173], in the expression analysis in Arabidopsis, identified that direct and indirect target genes for FUS3 are associated with nutrient reservoir activity, lipid localization, storage, metabolic processes, and seed oil body biogenesis. Indirect transcriptional control of genes related to storage materials by FUS3 is linked with negative regulation of TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA 1 (TTG1), which is a TF that suppresses the accumulation of seed oil and storage proteins in Arabidopsis [174] (Figure 1). Suppression of TTG1 by FUS3 may lead to the promotion of four out of five genes encoding precursors of 2S storage proteins [174]. Moreover, ttg1 mutants are characterized by a dramatic accumulation of seed storage reserves, including storage proteins and fatty acids [175]. TTG1 is also associated with the accumulation of anthocyanins, which compete for the same carbon source as fatty acid biosynthesis processes in maturing seeds. Moreover, anthocyanins repress the expression of genes encoding pivotal reductases involved in the elongation of the fatty acid carbon chain [173,174]. Additionally, together with LEC2, FUS3 induces the expression of WRI1, positive regulator of fatty acid biosynthesis compounds in developing seeds [176] (Figure 1). Thus, by repressing TTG1 expression and enhancing WRI1, FUS3 indirectly promotes the biogenesis of storage lipids. In addition to a significant role in the accumulation of storage materials, FUS3 together with AKIN10 kinase in Arabidopsis probably plays an important role in the establishment and maintenance of seed dormancy, as well as in the regulation of lateral organ development [177]. Finally, analysis of the Arabidopsis fus3 mutant showed that FUSCA3 is involved in the regulation of ABI3 expression in the lateral parts of cotyledons [158].

LEC transcription factors activity is strictly correlated with plant hormones, the germination inhibitor abscisic acid and growth promoter gibberellic acid, which are important factors in controlling seed maturation, germination, and seedling growth [178–180]. ABA plays a critical role in the induction and the maintenance of seed dormancy and inhibits the transition from embryonic to germinative growth [181,182]. Its accumulation increases during initiation of maturation phase, remains high until the late phase of maturation, when it starts to decline to finally reach very low levels in developing seedlings [179,180,183]. ABA promotes seed maturation and dormancy, by stimulation of FUS3 and LEC1 TFs, which positively regulate the biosynthesis of storage materials [180,184]. Furthermore, FUS3 expression is being enhanced by exogenously introduced ABA [184] and FUS3 induces the increase of ABA as well [185]. Thus, FUS3 and ABA act as positive regulators to each other [180].

Gibberellins, on the other hand, are necessary for promotion of seed germination [182,186]. Therefore GA levels are low during seed maturation phase and increase through germination [180,183,186]. The level of GA is regulated by FUS3 and LEC2 TFs, which repress the enzymes involved in transformation of GA into the active form [187]. Both LEC2 and FUS3 downregulate GA biosynthesis gene GA3OX2 [187], additionally FUS3 negatively regulates GA3OX1 [185]. Whereas when it comes to the seedlings, LEC TFs have been shown to be down regulated by GA [188–190].

Master regulators of seed development and maturation have been extensively studied in model organisms, such as Arabidopsis, legumes, and maize. However, there is still not enough data on
differences in the expression patterns of these factors in different types of seeds of other species. An attempt was made by Stavrinides et al. [12], who studied whether there are differences in the expression patterns of TFs between intermediate and recalcitrant coffee seeds during late maturation. Interestingly, very few TFs were identified in the transcriptome of intermediate coffee seeds [12]. Most DT-related regulatory genes were implicated in RNA processing or chromatin remodeling. In contrast, an inverse relationship was identified in the desiccation-sensitive seeds, which did not contain chromatin remodeling factors, but many TFs related to developmental activities and cell differentiation. The authors did not detect differences in expression patterns of master ABA-dependent TFs but reported changes in regulation of other genes encoding proteins that play important roles in ABA signaling. DT-related were positive regulators of ABA-mediated seed germination inhibition in Arabidopsis–NHL6 [12,191], epistatic to ABI5–AFP2 [12,192] and ABO5, which probably positively regulates the expression of stress-inducible genes [12,193]. Specifically, PYL8 was upregulated in recalcitrant seeds. Overexpression of this gene in Arabidopsis leads to ABA hypersensitivity in seeds and boosts the level of seed dormancy [194]. Stavrinides et al. [12] concluded that ABA sensitivity may be the key to regulating DT acquisition in seeds, as mitochondria were recently shown to slow down metabolic activity in response to ABA. Nevertheless, little is known about the differences in the transcription regulatory processes in desiccation-tolerant and -sensitive seeds during development and maturation.

7. Conclusions

In this review, we have summarized findings about the genetic basis for acquiring desiccation tolerance (DT) and longevity in seeds. This process is very complicated and involves numerous genes encoding proteins involved in gene expression, metabolic shutdown and storage material accumulation. Furthermore, there are differences in expression patterns during seed maturation between desiccation-tolerant and recalcitrant types of seeds. It appears that a smooth metabolic shutdown, accumulation of protective LEA proteins, and efficient antioxidative systems are crucial for the acquisition of DT, dormancy and longevity (Figure 2). All of these processes seem to be under abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent regulation, which includes the master transcription factors (TFs) ABI3, FUS2, and LEC2. Although TFs appear to coordinate the maturation program in every type of seed, there are some differences in expression patterns between desiccation-tolerant and -sensitive seeds. The reasons for these differences, however, remain unclear. We believe that influencing ABA sensitivity may enable us to control the acquisition of DT in recalcitrant seeds. Such knowledge would help improve crop yields and storage conditions in gene banks. Although many genes associated with DT have been identified, little is known about the mechanisms that control seed DT, dormancy and longevity. Comparative studies between orthodox, recalcitrant, and intermediate seeds are needed to explain why differences in DT levels occur.

Searching for answers concerning the operation of the entire mechanism affecting tolerance to seed desiccation will allow us to answer the following important questions: why seeds are aging and whether genes responsible for DT also reduce the aging process of these seeds.
Figure 2. Simplified schema showing what factors are necessary for the development of desiccation tolerance in seeds. During seed maturation, abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent signaling affects gene expression patterns, which lead to coordinated slowing of metabolism, activation of antioxidative and redox regulation systems, and accumulation of protective proteins. The acquisition of desiccation tolerance may contribute to higher seed resistance to the aging processes during long-term storage.
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