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ABSTRACT
Introduction The objective of the Chinese Neonatal Network (CHNN) is to provide a platform for collaborative research, outcomes evaluation and quality improvement for preterm infants with gestational age less than 32 weeks in China. The CHNN is the first national neonatal network and has the largest geographically representative cohort from neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) in China.

Methods and analysis Individual-level data from participating NICUs will be collected using a unique database developed by the CHNN on an ongoing basis from January 2019. Data will be prospectively collected from all infants <32 weeks gestation or <1500 g birth weight at 58 participating NICUs. Infant outcomes and inter-institutional variations in outcomes will be examined and used to inform quality improvement measures aimed at improving outcomes. Information about NICU environmental and human resource factors and processes of neonatal care will also be collected and analysed for association with outcomes. Clinical studies, including randomised controlled trials will be conducted using the CHNN data platform.

Ethics and dissemination This study was approved by the ethics review board of Children’s Hospital of Fudan University, which was recognised by all participating hospitals. Waiver of consent were granted at all sites. Only non-identifiable patient level data will be transmitted and only aggregate data will be reported in CHNN reports and publications.

INTRODUCTION
Preterm infants are of significant public health concern worldwide due to their high risk of mortality and morbidities.1-5 The incidence of preterm births is 7.3% in China and increasing steadily, with approximately 0.2 million infants born at less than 32 weeks gestational age (GA) born each year.4,5 In October 2015, China announced a national plan to end the one-child policy that had been implemented for more than 40 years, allowing some couples to have two children. A national descriptive before-and-after comparative study showed that the announcement of China’s universal two-child policy was associated with a rise in births in China, and with women giving birth being more likely to be multiparous and to be aged 35 and over,6 with an increased likelihood of preterm births.

The population of China represents almost one-fifth of the global population. In China, preterm infant survival in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) has significantly improved in recent years.7-8 Neonatologists in China have made progress in NICU care, and introduced advances such as breast milk feeding,9 NICU infection control,10 family-integrated care of preterm infants11 and better treatment of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in very low birth weight infants.12 However, previous studies mostly involved small groups of NICUs during a defined research period, and were subject to selection bias. This has led to problems of generalisation in the context of the huge Chinese population with regional differences in population characteristics, medical expertise, economic development and health insurance coverage. It also presents difficulties for translation of clinical
evidence into expert consensus practice guidelines,\textsuperscript{13, 14} even though there is strong demand for them in China.

Previous authors have demonstrated that establishing a national neonatal network and database is an important step towards understanding the status of neonatal care and outcomes in a country and can provide an effective platform for collaborative care, quality improvement (QI), research and knowledge translation.\textsuperscript{15–19} Our goal is to establish a national Chinese Neonatal Network (CHNN) in the People’s Republic of China, with a standardised database for collaborative care, QI and research. This manuscript outlines the research protocol for CHNN.

OBJECTIVES
The specific objectives of CHNN are to: (1) Establish an ongoing prospectively collected standardised database of very preterm infants (VPI) with GA <32 weeks or <1500 g birth weight among NICUs in China, as a platform for surveillance, QI and research, (2) Assess and monitor major outcomes of VPI and their risk determinants, as well as inter-institutional variations in care practices and outcomes to identify clinical practices associated with good or poor outcomes, (3) Implement the Evidence-based Practice for Improving Quality (EPIQ) programme to improve quality of care and outcomes, (4) Conduct collaborative research, including epidemiological, clinical and health services studies and randomised controlled trials.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Participating hospitals
CHNN was founded in 2018 with 58 participating hospitals from 25 provinces throughout mainland China (figure 1). No hospitals in Tibet, Taiwan and Hong Kong were included. CHNN hospitals are tertiary referral hospitals with Grade A level III NICUs authorised by the Health Administration of China and have recognised expertise in caring for high-risk neonates. They were selected to be representative of different regions of the country but do not include all hospitals providing NICU care to VPI, and together they care for approximately 5% of all VPI in China. They include all government-designated neonatal centres of excellence in China, including 4 national children’s medical centres, 5 regional children’s medical centres and 30 provincial perinatal or children’s medical centres. The other hospitals comprise major referral centres in large cities across China. Forty-three hospitals were perinatal centres with birthing facilities, and 14 hospitals were freestanding children’s hospitals that admitted only outborn infants. The median number of NICU beds was 40 (IQR, 30–62), and the median number of intermediate-level and continuing care neonatal beds was 66 (IQR, 40–91). For perinatal centres, the median number of annual deliveries was 10 280 (IQR, 6273–15 423). The median number of full-time equivalent neonatologists was 19 (IQR, 12–27), and the median number of NICU nurses was 42 (IQR, 30–65).\textsuperscript{20}

Study population
This is a prospective, hospital-based cohort. Data will be collected on all infants <32+0 weeks GA or birth weight <1500 g admitted to participating NICUs. Stillborn, delivery room death and infants transferred to non-participating hospitals within 24 hours after birth will be excluded. Re-admissions and transfers between participating hospitals will be tracked as data from the same

Figure 1 Map of 58 participating hospitals in Chinese Neonatal Network (CHNN). There were 58 member hospitals from 25 provinces in China that are participating in the CHNN. The distribution of the 58 member hospitals is almost geographically throughout the mainland China.
infants. Infants will be followed until NICU discharge/transfer or death.

**Patient and public involvement**
The study responds to patient concerns about quality of care and perceived variation in quality of care among different hospitals. Outcomes of QI initiatives will be published and made available to patients.

**Achieving the four specific objectives of CHNN**
Objective 1: Establish an ongoing prospectively collected standardised database.

**Governance**
The executive committee of CHNN comprises 13 senior neonatology leaders representing different regions of the country and include 2 co-chairpersons, 2 vice-presidents and 3 secretary generals. The committee is responsible for setting policies and research agendas, and oversees the activities and operations of the network and coordinating centre.

**Coordinating centre and data collection**
The CHNN Coordinating and Data Centre is located at the Children’s Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China. The Data Centre is equipped with dedicated servers that are managed by the Information Technology Department of the Children’s Hospital of Fudan University in compliance with hospital, municipal and national standards for data security. A unique scalable customised database with built-in error checking based on MS Access was created in-house. A standard manual of operations and definitions was provided to all participating centres. Dedicated data abstractors under the supervision of the site principal investigator are responsible for data acquisition in each hospital and centralised training sessions were organised to teach data abstractors to carry out data collection and uploading to the CHNN database. Data abstractors enter data directly from patients charts into a dedicated computer at each hospital and electronically transmitted data to the CHNN Coordinating Centre. Only non-identifiable patient information will be transferred and only aggregate data results will be reported and published. Data quality is ensured at multiple levels. The data entry programme has built-in error checking. Additional data checks are performed quarterly by the coordinating centre for quality and completeness, and site-specific data quality reports will be fed back to each site and data records returned for corrections if needed. Data quality audit using data re-abstraction of randomly selected patient charts will be performed annually. Site investigators will be responsible for data quality control in each site. An annual report will be produced for the network.

**Data variables**
Data variables were selected by the CHNN executive committee (table 1). Definitions of variables were standardised and mapped to The International Statistical Classification of Diseases, version 10 (ICD-10) and SNOMED dictionaries as appropriate. Mortality is defined as death due to any cause prior to discharge home. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia is defined as oxygen requirement at 36 weeks post-menstrual age. Severe neurological injury is defined as ≥ stage 3 intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) with ventricular dilatation or parenchymal injury (including periventricular leucomalacia) with or without IVH, according to the Papile classification. Retinopathy of prematurity is defined as ≥ stage 3 according to Bell’s criteria. Necrotising enterocolitis is defined as ≥ stage 2 according to Bell’s criteria. Nosocomial infection is defined as culture-proven sepsis (blood or cerebrospinal fluid positive for pathogenic organism) at >3 days or 72 hours postnatal age. Haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) is

| Categories                     | Variables                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Demographic                   | Birth weight gestation, sex, ethnicity, small for gestational age.                                                                                                                                          |
| Obstetric/perinatal risks     | Maternal age education, smoking, drugs in pregnancy, antenatal care, antenatal complications, hypertension preterm labour, preterm rupture of membranes, maternal diabetes, group B Strep chorioamnionitis, multiple gestation, antenatal steroids, delivery mode presentation, drug treatment, delivery complications, Apgar score, resuscitation. |
| NICU care                     | Admission illness severity (SNAP, TRIPS), fluids, nutrition, assisted respiration, antibiotics, drug therapy, intravenous lines, catheters procedures, surgery.                                                      |
| Outcomes                      | 1. Primary—survival without major morbidity (BPD, SNI, ROP, NEC, NI). 2. Secondary outcomes—death, BPD, SNI, ROP, NEC, NI, patent ductus arteriosus, air leak syndrome.                                          |
| Resource use                  | Duration of hospitalisation, duration of ventilation, duration of oxygen therapy, use of central catheters, parenteral nutrition, surgery.                                                               |

BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; NEC, necrotising enterocolitis; NI, nosocomial infection; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; ROP, retinopathy of prematurity; SNAP, score for neonatal acute physiology; SNI, severe neurological injury; TRIPS, transport risk index of physiologic stability.
defined as PDA requiring pharmacological treatment or surgical ligation.28

Objective 2: Assess and monitor major outcomes of very preterm infants and their risk determinants, and inter-institutional variations in care and outcomes.

Infant characteristics and outcomes will be summarised as counts and percentages for categorical variables and using the means and SD or the medians and IQRs for continuous variables. Subgroup analysis and inter-institutional comparisons will use the \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables and analysis of variance F-test or Mood’s median test for continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression models with adjustment for confounders will be used to assess risk factors associated with outcomes.

To study inter-institutional variations in primary and secondary outcomes, the ratios and associated 95% CIs will be calculated, and ‘caterpillar plots’ will be used to graphically display differences between participating sites. The ‘indirect standardisation’ approach will be used to compute the standardised outcome ratios in order to adjust for multiple baseline characteristics. Each NICU can compare its own observed rate with the average CHNN rate to identify the relative level of its own unit. For each outcome, the expected number of events will be computed as the sum of predicted probabilities from a multivariable model (logistic regression or zero inflated negative binomial models based on data distribution) derived using data from all NICUs with adjustment for confounders. NICU standardised outcome ratios will be graphically displayed using ‘funnel’ plots with 95% prediction intervals for comparison between NICUs. Pair-wise comparisons between NICUs will be performed using multivariate regression models adjusted for confounders. The generalised estimating equation models will be used for adjusting analyses for infant cluster within the CHNN. Hierarchical random-effects regression models will be used to allow for variation at unit level. In addition, statistical significance will be evaluated by applying a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple pair-wise comparisons.

Associations of clinical practices and other factors with outcomes will be assessed under the general framework of individual patient-level data meta-analyses. Random-effects models with adjustment for confounding variables and important risk factors will be used to assess the association and residual variation due to unknown or unmeasured units-specific factors. These analyses will identify treatment practices and healthcare services with significant effects on outcomes, which subsequently can be targeted for implementation or improvement. Analyses (two-sided tests) based on 10 000 yearly admissions evaluating the effects of treatments or practices (assuming 50% exposure) on outcomes (incidence 1% to 40%) will be able to detect relative risks of 1.6 to 1.1 with a statistical power of 80% and type I error rate of 5%. This power calculation is based on the anticipated data collection by CHNN during the first year. Safety and outcome improvements will be monitored within each unit using control charts and \( \chi^2 \) tests for differences from baseline in outcome rates. Multivariable logistic regression analyses will pool data from units within CHNN network to assess changes in outcomes over time with adjustment for potential confounders and important risk factors and accounting for clustering.

Objective 3: Implement EPIQ programme to improve quality of care and outcomes.

EPIQ is a multifaceted system for QI that facilitates implementation of practice change.29 EPIQ is based on three features: (a) systematic review of evidence in the published literature, (b) quantitative and qualitative analysis of outcomes and practices to identify practices associated with good or poor outcomes for targeted change and (c) use of a collaborative network of clinicians, researchers and administrators to facilitate mutual learning and implement change. In Shanghai, Cao et al30 demonstrated in the multicentre EPIQ-REIN study, that over a 1-year period, EPIQ reduced the rate of ventilator associated pneumonia from 48.84 per 1000 ventilator-days to 18.50 per 1000 ventilator-days (p<0.001), and rates of central line associated blood stream infection from 16.7 per 1000 central line days to 5.2 per 1000 central line days (p<0.01).

After the first year of data collection (baseline data), we will implement EPIQ in all CHNN NICUs and compare the results pre and post EPIQ implementation. The EPIQ programme has well established published protocols for training of personnel, coordination, literature review, risk assessment, identification of target outcomes, implementation and facilitation of practice changes, monitoring and review, communication and safety assurance; and CHNN has well trained personnel, teams and organisation from the previous EPIQ-REIN experience.30 A national CHNN infrastructure for collaborative QI will be established. Hospitals will be organised into groups that target specific outcomes. Multidisciplinary groups will examine the literature, conduct systematic reviews and examine hospital specific data in China to develop practice guidelines and bundles of best practices for implementation in CHNN NICUs. Quarterly cycles of practice change will be introduced using PDSA (Plan-Do-Study-Act) cycles of rapid change, and outcomes will be monitored quarterly and results fed back to hospitals. An online teaching and communication web portal will be used to facilitate collaboration between sites, including site visits, discussions and planning. Safety will be monitored. Multivariable logistic regression analyses will pool data from units to assess changes in outcomes over time with adjustment for potential confounders and important practice related risk factors, which will be targeted for practice change.

Objective 4: Conduct collaborative research, including epidemiological, clinical and health services studies and randomised controlled trials.

The CHNN database platform will support additional research initiatives, including epidemiological, clinical and health services and randomised controlled trials. Research projects can be initiated by any CHNN member,
approved by the CHNN Scientific Advisory Committee and coordinated through the CHNN Coordinating Centre, where data will also be collected and analysed. In future stages, limited data sets may be released to investigators using a secure electronic portal system.

**Statistical analysis**
All analyses of cohort data will be performed by a team of statisticians (including PhD and MSc statisticians) at the CHNN coordinating centre, under the leadership of the CHNN Secretary General supervising the centre. For specific research projects, relevant data may be released to the responsible research group for their analysis. Participating sites may perform analysis of their own individual site data.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**
Central ethics approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Children’s Hospital of Fudan University (#CHFU 2018–296) and recognised by all participating sites. All participating sites have signed data transfer agreements with the CHNN Coordinating Centre and obtained ethics approval from their affiliated institutions or hospitals to allow sending de-identified data to the CHNN Coordinating Centre in compliance with national, provincial and local hospital regulations for ensuring patient privacy and confidentiality, and are consistent with international standards. All CHNN studies will not take individual patients, and waiver of consent were granted at all sites. The results of this registry will be disseminated by three methods: (1) An annual report will be published and provided to participating hospitals and regional health authorities. (2) Results will be reported at national and international scientific meetings. (3) Results will be published in domestic and international scientific journals.

**DISCUSSION**
The CHNN collaboration will be the first national network to examine data from a large cohort of preterm infants that is representative of the different regions of China on an ongoing basis. This is important because China has a huge population, with many regional differences in social, economic and healthcare development. Unfortunately, the existing literature regarding preterm infants in China has been mostly limited to single-centre studies or multicentre studies with small numbers of participating sites. Findings from this national collaboration will provide a comprehensive picture of outcomes of very preterm infants in Chinese NICUs and establish a strong system for outcomes surveillance and monitoring trends in clinical practices and outcome variations. Data from CHNN will also provide robust estimates for development of clinical practice guidelines and healthcare recommendations that can be generalisable across the country.

QI is a key goal, especially for China where neonatal care is still developing and there is significant room for improvement. The adoption of EPIQ will provide a robust system for QI in Chinese NICUs. EPIQ was pioneered by the Canadian Neonatal Network, where Lee et al first demonstrated 44% reduction in nosocomial infection and 15% reduction in bronchopulmonary dysplasia in a multicentre randomised controlled trial, and EPIQ is now practiced in all Canadian NICUs. In a comparative study of outcomes by the International Network for Evaluation of Outcomes, the implementation of EPIQ nationally was credited with rapidly improving neonatal outcomes in Canada in comparison with other countries. Subsequent studies in Canada and China provided confirmation of its efficacy. Since Chinese NICUs have experience using EPIQ, this will facilitate its implementation in Chinese NICUs and provide a proven method for improving quality of care and outcomes in China. However, we recognise that change can be difficult to implement, especially those that require making financial investments, adding staff or breaking cultural traditions. Notwithstanding possible early reluctance to follow these recommendations, the strength of evidence produced from the data, the pragmatic nature of the results and the examples provided by ‘early adopter’ NICUs will be the most persuasive elements of the CHNN collaboration.

The flexibility of the CHNN data platform to accommodate additional studies like randomised controlled trials provides a unique opportunity for Chinese NICUs. China has a large population, which is conducive to conducting multicentre studies rapidly and efficiently. Once the CHNN data platform is fully functional, we anticipate that China will play a leading role in conducting large scale multicentre studies and clinical trials.

Limitations of CHNN are: (1) The data are from a select group of large tertiary NICUs with the highest level of neonatal care in China, and may not be generalisable to the whole population, (2) There may be biases inherent in large cohort databases of this nature, including reporting bias, selection bias and others, (3) Data on parent participation, pain management, skin-to-skin contact care and other important developmental aspects of modern neonatal care are not currently available but will be included in the future, (4) Data on resource use is limited.

In summary, the CHNN collaboration will serve as a strong national platform for collaborative research, outcomes evaluation and QI for VPI in China. The knowledge generated will have potential to benefit infants and families in China and internationally.
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