Phagocytic Integrins: Activation and Signaling
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Phagocytic integrins are endowed with the ability to engulf and dispose of particles of different natures. Evolutionarily conserved from worms to humans, they are involved in pathogen elimination and apoptotic and tumoral cell clearance. Research in the field of integrin-mediated phagocytosis has shed light on the molecular events controlling integrin activation and their effector functions. However, there are still some aspects of the regulation of the phagocytic process that need to be clarified. Here, we have revised the molecular events controlling phagocytic integrin activation and the downstream signaling driving particle engulfment, and we have focused particularly on αMβ2/CR3, αXβ2/CR4, and a brief mention of αVβ5/αVβ3 integrins.
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INTRODUCTION

Phagocytosis entails the engulfment and disposal of particles in sequential steps, including particle recognition, cytoskeletal remodeling, membrane protrusion, particle engulfment, and phagolysosomal digestion (1, 2). The role of integrins in phagocytosis is evolutionarily conserved and can be observed in Caenorhabditis elegans INA-1/PAT-3, which is involved in clearance of apoptotic cells (3), and Drosophila αPS3/βv, which has roles in microbial defense and apoptotic cell removal (4, 5) (Table 1). In mammals, the orthologues αVβ3/αVβ5 are expressed in professional and non-professional phagocytes (endothelial, epithelial, fibroblast, and neuronal and mesenchymal cells) with a role in phosphatidylserine-rich apoptotic/necrotic body clearance. Professional phagocytes in mammals express complement receptors αMβ2/CR3 and αXβ2/CR4, which are involved in host defense and tissue homeostasis (45). Other integrins with reduced phagocytic capacity (α5β1, α2β1, α6β1, and α5β1) are involved in phagocytosis of fibrillar or denatured extracellular matrix components (Table 1).

Integrins are characterized by requiring activation to be functional. This review has focused on the main events determining β2 integrin activation and downstream signaling in relation to cytoskeletal remodeling and particle engulfment, and it makes a special mention of the main differences between other phagocytic integrins, especially those involved in apoptotic cell clearance.

INTEGRIN STRUCTURE AND ACTIVATION

Phagocytic integrins are heterodimeric (α and β subunit) receptors. Subunits are divided into ectodomains, a transmembrane helix, and short cytoplasmic tails. The α-subunit ectodomains contain Mg2+-binding metal-ion-dependent adhesive sites (MIDAS) and Adjacent to MIDAS (AdMIDAS), which binds inhibitory Ca2+ or activating Mn2+ (46, 47). Ligand binding can occur
TABLE 1 | Major mammalian phagocytic integrins and their invertebrate orthologues.

| Integrin | αI domain | Co-receptors | Phagocytic targets | Expression |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| αMβ2     | +         | - SR-A1/2 (6) | iC3b-opsonized particles (9) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - Decayn1 (7) | iC3b-opsonized particles (9) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - RAGE (8)   | C3d-opsonized particles (10) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | Denatured proteins (11, 12) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | Bacteria (LPS, LBP) (13, 14) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | Zymosan (15, 16) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | Myelin sheaths (17) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | Platelet factor 4 (PF4) (18) | Professional phagocytes |
|          |           |              | LL-37 (19) | Professional phagocytes |
| α2β1     | +         | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Non-professional phagocytes |
| α3β1     | -         | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Collagen fibrils (22-24) | Non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Fibronectin aggregates (27) | Non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Fibronectin-opsonized apoptotic bodies (28) | Non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Vitronectin (29) | Non-professional phagocytes |
| αβ1      | -         | - CD36/SCARB3 (25) | - Fibrin fibrils (30, 31) | Professional phagocytes |
| αβ3      | -         | - CD36/SCARB3 (33) | - MFG-E8 opsonized (36, 37) | Professional and non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (33) | - Gas6 through co-receptor (38) | Professional and non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (33) | - ProS1 through co-receptor (39, 40) | Professional and non-professional phagocytes |
|          |           | - CD36/SCARB3 (33) | - TSP-1 (41) | Professional and non-professional phagocytes |
| aPS3βv   | -         | - MerTK (34, 35) | - Apoptotic or necrotic bodies (42, 43) | Professional phagocytes |
| INA-1/PAT-3 | ?         | - - | Peptidoglycan (4, 44) | Drosophila phagocytes. |
|          |           |              | - Apoptotic cells (4, 5) | Drosophila phagocytes. |
|          |           |              | - Apoptotic cells (5) | Drosophila phagocytes. |

either at the αI-domain (α-subunit) in αX, αM, and α2 or at the α/β-chain interface in integrins without the αI domain (Figure 1A, Table 1).

Integrins are tightly regulated by conformational changes, a hallmark of which is cytoplasmic tail separation (48). Integrin conformations are described according to the state of the headpiece (open/closed; H+/H−) and leg ectodomains (extended/bent; E⋅/E−) (49). Resting integrins remain in an inactive/“bent” (E−H−) conformation with the lowest free energy (−4.0 kcal/mol for α2β1) with respect to fully activated integrins (50). E⋅H− is characterized by a closed ligand-binding site and clamped membrane proximal regions (51). In activated integrins (E⋅H−), the hybrid domain (β-subunit) swings away from the α-chain, and the membrane proximal regions unclasp. This correlates with the rearrangement of the MIDAS and opening of the ligand binding site (51).

Structural and mutational studies have investigated models of integrin activation to explore whether integrin extension or leg separation occurs first. Mutations and deletions of the CD-loop (β-subunit terminal domain) have been proposed to keep integrins from extending and have shown no impact on αVβ3 and αMβ2 activation (52); there is little proof that mutations in this region affects β2 integrins (53), strongly indicating that releasing these constraints is not enough to induce activation.

Structural studies (54) have demonstrated that αIβ2 follows the “switch-blade” model of activation, where leg separation occurs first, releasing constraints of the bent conformation and opening of the ligand-binding site resulting in an intermediate/low affinity conformation E⋅H− (55). The E⋅H− conformation has a free energy between 1.6 and 0.5 kcal/mol, meaning the high affinity conformation is thermodynamically favored (50, 56). Mutations in the EGF3 repeat of the β2-subunit have also been shown to induce a high affinity conformation through destabilizing the thermodynamically favorable bent conformation and facilitating leg separation (57). It is noteworthy that an E⋅H− conformation has been described for α1β2 and αMβ2, allowing integrins to bind ICAM in cis, which may regulate neutrophil function (58); however, the specifics of how this activation takes place remain unknown.

Integrin activity is regulated by changes in affinity and aggregation, with the latter affecting receptor avidity. Cytoplasmic proteins bind to α- or β-subunits causing tail separation, stabilizing their high affinity conformation (48, 59). This can be triggered either through signaling from other receptors (“inside-out” signaling, Figure 1B), direct ligand-binding, or experimentally, using Mn2+ (“outside-in” signaling, Figure 1C), which triggers downstream signaling pathways (60).

INSIDE-OUT SIGNALING

Rap1 as a Signaling Node

Early studies in complement-dependent phagocytosis using mutants of small GTPases pointed to Rap1 as the main regulator of αMβ2 activity (61) and to it being required for β1-mediated...
Figure 1 | Phagocytic integrin αMβ2 structure and activation pathways. (A) 3D structure model generated through homology modeling using Modeller 9.23. The following PDB entries served as templates: 1m8o, 2k9j, 2knc, and 3k6s (low-affinity/bent conformation), 1dpq, 2ki, 2m3e, 2m0, 2vdo, 3g9w, 3fcu, 5e6s, 6ckb, and 6avu (high affinity conformation), and the sequences for αM (NP_001139280.1) and β2 (NP_000202.3). PSI: Plexin-Semaphorin-Integrin domain. (B) Inside-out pathway of integrin αMβ2 activation. Signals stemming from multiple receptors induce Rap1-GTP loading and RIAM-mediated recruitment of Talin1 to integrin tails, with possible contributions by other pathways. Protein-binding motifs in the integrin tails are shown in red (NPXY) and in purple (GFFKR). FERM domains are highlighted for Kindlin-3 and Talin1 (F0–F3). Highlighted RIAM domains are as follows; TB, Talin1 Binding domain; RA, Rap Association domain; PH, Pleckstrin Homology domain; PRR, Proline Rich Region. (C) Outside-In pathway in the context of phagocytosis through αMβ2. Src Family Kinases remain inhibited by membrane-bound tyrosine phosphatases. Kindlin-3 mediated clustering facilitates Src Family Kinase activation, contact maturation and contractility necessary for phagocytic engulfment. PPases, Phosphatases; SFK, Src Family Kinases; MT, Microtubules. For simplicity, some proteins are shown as monomers. Question marks denote unsolved or hypothetical signaling steps.

Phagocytosis (62). Rap1 acted as a node, connecting different signaling pathways (chemokines, fMLP, PAF, and TNFα) for integrin activation (63). Rap1-GTP loading is induced by specific Guanine–Nucleotide Exchange Factors (GEFs), being Epac1 (dependent on cyclic AMP; cAMP) and CalDAG-GEFs (dependent on Ca2+/Diacylglycerol; DAG), amongst the
best characterized (Figure 1B). Epac1 expression was found to increase during monocyte-macrophage differentiation, correlating with the acquisition of immunoregulatory functions (64), and in neutrophilic HL-60 cells, pharmacological activation of Epac1 increased Rap1-GTP and complement-dependent phagocytosis (65). RasGRP3/CalDAG-GEFIII exhibited similar effects, promoting Rap1 activation and phagocytosis (66). Mutations in CalDAG-GEF1 produced leukocyte adhesion deficiency syndrome (LADIII) with defective neutrophil-endothelial adhesion (67), and mouse CalDAG-GEF1/−/− macrophages showed reduced integrin activation (68). Rap1 activation can be induced by Toll-like receptors (TLRs) (69); however, the signaling pathways remain poorly defined. In neutrophils, secreted myeloid-related proteins (MRPs) 8 and 14 bind to TLR4 causing Rap1 activation and β2-dependent adhesion (70). In macrophages, low concentrations of TLR3/4/9 agonists induced RasGRP3-dependent Rap1 activation (71). Activation of αMβ2 by TLR2/TLR4 required Rac1-GTP loading, PI3K activity, and cytohesin-1 binding to the β2 subunit (72). The role of cytohesin-1 is controversial, as the use of cytohesin-1 siRNAs and inhibitors results in an increase in the αMβ2 affinity conformation (73).

Talin1 and Kindlin-3

Talin1 and Kindlin-3 are the best-characterized integrin activators. Both belong to the FERM family but interact with distinct NPXY motifs in the cytoplasmic tails of β1, β2, and β3, and they thus contribute differently to activation (74). Although Talin-binding is required for efficient β3 activation during adhesion, it is dispensable for phagocytosis (75). αβ3 requires an unknown mediator that recognizes a YEMAS motif proximal to the NPXY. A candidate could be the FERM family FRMD5, as it promotes β5–Kindlin-2 interaction and induces ROCK activation during adhesion (76), yet there is no information of its relevance in phagocytosis.

Talin1 contains an N-terminal globular head with a linear FERM domain and a C-terminal rod domain organized in 13 subdomains (R1-R13), which contains a dimerization domain, an integrin binding site, three F-actin binding sites, and several Vinculin and RIAM binding sites (77, 78). The FERM domain has four subdomains (F0-F1-F2-F3), where F3 contains the primary integrin binding site (IBS) that interacts with the membrane-proximal NPXY motif conserved in β-integrin tails (59, 79, 80). In resting leukocytes, Talin1 remains auto-inhibited due to an interaction between F2F3 and R9 subdomains, which mask the primary IBS (81). Several Talin1 activation mechanisms have been proposed. By binding to PIP5Kγ, Talin1 is recruited to the plasma membrane where the F2F3 domain binds to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2), disrupting the head–tail interaction and exposing the IBS (82, 83). Additionally, RIAM–Talin1 interaction was described as necessary for Talin1 activation and recruitment to integrin tails (Figure 1B) (84).

Hematopoietic cell-specific Kindlin-3 is mutated in LADIII, causing β1/β2/β3 activation defects (85, 86) and preventing neutrophils adhesion to IC3b and ICAM-1 (87). Kindlin-3 binds to the membrane-distal NPKF sequence in the β2 subunit tail without excluding Talin1 binding (Figure 1B) (87). Studies of their individual contributions to activation revealed that Kindlin-3 is not sufficient to induce the high-affinity state of αMβ2, whereas Talin1 promotes full activation (88). Whether binding of Talin1 and Kindlin-3 is sequential or simultaneous and their exact contribution to integrin activation remains to be explored. The signaling events directing Kindlin-3 to integrins also remain elusive, as in T cells, Kindlin-3 localization at immune synapses depends on Rap1 and Mst-1/Rap1 signaling (89), whereas no such interaction has been described for phagocytic cells.

RIAM–Talin1 Interaction

RIAM (Rap1-Interacting Adaptor Molecule or APBB1IP) was identified as a Rap1 effector that promoted a β2 and β1 high affinity state, increasing T-cell adhesion and spreading (90). RIAM binds to Rap1-GTP through a central Ras-association domain (RA), to PI(4,5)P2 through a Pleckstrin-Homology (PH) domain and to VASP, Profilin, and PLCγ1 via proline-rich regions (90–94). RIAM also interacts with Talin1 through its N-terminus and Talin1 has several RIAM-binding sites located at F3, R2, R3, R8, and R11 subdomains (77). Binding of RIAM to Talin1 releases Talin1 from its autoinhibition (Figure 1B) (95).

The Rap1-RIAM-Talin1-Integrin pathway also operates in complement-dependent phagocytosis. Studies in Talin1-silenced THP-1 cells revealed that Rap1 and Talin1 regulated each other’s localization at phagocytic cups (96). Reduced RIAM expression in human monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM), neutrophilic HL-60 cells, and THP-1 cells diminished levels of high affinity αMβ2 and reduced complement-dependent phagocytosis and Talin1 recruitment to phagocytic cups (65). Complement-dependent phagocytosis, cell adhesion to ICAM, and ROS production were also impaired in mouse RIAM−/− macrophages and neutrophils (97). Additionally, RIAM deficiency in vivo had a profound effect on β2 activity but a moderate effect on β1- or β3-dependent functions (98).

Besides RIAM, Rap1 effectors RapL and RGS14 (Regulator of G-Protein Signalling-14) have been proposed to regulate αMβ2 activation by inside-out signaling (Figure 1B). The former is proposed to interact with αM-subunit inducing integrin tail separation and integrin activation (99); however, RapL has only been shown to interact with a GFFKR motif in αL cytoplasmic tail, and there is no direct evidence that it plays a role in αMβ2 activation (100). For RGS14, the integrin activation mechanism is unknown but seems to be dependent on Talin1-binding to β2 (101).

Recently, a direct interaction between Rap1-GTP and Talin1 was described at Talin1 F0 and F1 subdomains (102–105). Synergistic interaction between this region and an F1 lipid-interacting helix facilitates relocation of Talin1 and its integrin-activating function (Figure 1B) (105, 106). This pathway could be relevant for fast cell responses, as disruption in mice impaired platelet aggregation, neutrophil adhesion, extravasation, and phagocytosis but had no effect on macrophage adhesion and migration (104).
OUTSIDE-IN SIGNALING

Outside-in signaling during phagocytosis initiates upon ligand interaction, stabilizing the active conformation, separating integrin tails, allowing for the binding of actin cytoskeletal linkers (Talin1 and/or Kindlin-3), and reorganizing cytoskeletal constraints, as described in the picket-fence model (2). This generates the force needed to drive membrane extension and particle engulfment/internalization (Figure 1C). Regulators have been described in focal complex-like formations at the phagocytic cup (107).

CLUSTERING AND TYROSINE KINASES

One of the earliest events in outside-in signaling could be ligand-induced clustering, a process requiring Talin1 and/or Kindlin-3 (74, 108). Kindlin-3-induced clustering is reported to activate Src family kinases (SFKs) (109, 110) by the exclusion of tyrosine phosphates such as CD45 (68). Size exclusion of these membrane-bound phosphatases with large extracellular domains seems to be a common feature of integrin-mediated close-contact immune processes, such as Dectin-1 and FcyRIII phagocytosis and immune synapse formation (68, 111, 112). This process does not exclude SFKs but favors their activation due to removing the inhibitory effect of these phosphatases (109, 110). However, there are as of yet only indirect evidences (109, 110) that phosphatases such as CD45 are excluded during integrin-mediated phagocytosis.

SFKs appear to be exclusively involved in “outside-in” signaling, as SFK-deficient cells produced reduced ROS after integrin clustering (113), whereas ICAM-1 adhesion and complement-dependent phagocytosis were normal in pre-activated SFK-deficient cells (114, 115).

A requirement for SFK activation has been described for β1, β2, and β3 integrins (109, 114, 116). Hck, Fgr, and Lyn are the representative SFKs in myeloid cells. Hck co-localized with αMβ2 at phagocytic cups of actinopsonized zymosan (117, 118), and the Hck knockout phenocopied the αM knockout (119). However, in U937 macrophage-like cells, Hck and Fgr siRNA, unlike Lyn, had no effect on particle internalization (120), and genetic restitution of Fgr-deficient cells inhibited adhesion, spreading, and Syk activation (121). In contrast, the Hck−/− Fgr−/− Lyn−/− triple knockout showed no inhibition in CR3-mediated phagocytosis (122), which may point to compensatory roles of other ubiquitously expressed SFKs. Despite the research into outside-in activation of SFKs, the exact mechanism and individual contribution of each SFK have yet to be dissected.

SFK activity precedes activation of tyrosine kinases Syk and FAK family member Pyk2. Syk is necessary for phagocytosis of iC3b-opsonized beads/zymosan and localizes at phagocytic cups (107, 123), whereas Pyk2 contributes to clearance of complement-opsonized bacteria (124). Clustering of β3 integrins results in Syk activation (125), which in turn triggers Pyk2 signaling (126). Pharmacological inhibition of Syk and FAK kinases points to non-redundant functions during phagocytosis and to a possible sequential activation (107).

PHOSPHOINOSITIDES COORDINATE GTPASES AND CYTOSKELETAL REARRANGEMENTS

Phagocytosis requires sequential enrichment of phosphoinositides (PIPs) in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane (127). PIP enrichment recruits GEFs for small GTPases, which are sequentially activated (128), and other components of integrin adhesion complexes.

PI(4,5)P2 enrichment can be induced by lipid redistribution due to particle-induced plasma membrane deformation (129) and/or by SFK or Talin1-induced PIP5Kγ activity (83, 130, 131). PI(4,5)P2 enrichment strengthens Talin1 anchoring (81) and recruits different factors involved in F-actin dynamics, like the actin-depolymerizing-factor ADF/Cofilin, whose activity is inhibited by PI(4,5)P2 (132), or the formin mDia (133, 134). Additionally, RIAM binds PI(4,5)P2 and may recruit VASP and Profilin, which could also contribute to actin polymerization (90, 93) (Figure 1C).

PI(3,4,5)P3 recruits and induces Vinculin activation through disrupting an auto-inhibitory interaction (135). This is dependent on Syk activity and, to a lesser extent, on FAK/Pyk2 and is upstream from ROCK activation (107). In focal complexes, RIAM contributes to Vinculin binding to Talin1, as RIAM-Talin1 interaction unmasks a Vinculin binding site in Talin1 (77). Afterwards, Vinculin binding to F-actin and α-actinin favors filament bundling and force generation (136, 137).

Increased PI(3,4,5)P3 at CR3-phagocytic cups (138) depends on PI3K (139) and Syk (126), and both are activated downstream of Kindlin-induced clustering (140). PI(3,4,5)P3 enrichment recruits Vav1/3, which are GEFs for the RhoA family GTPases (128). Complement-dependent phagocytosis requires Vav1 to activate RhoA (61, 141) but also RhoG with no participation from Cdc42 and Rac1 (142). However, expression of constitutively active Rac1 rescues the defective engulfment of Vav1-3 knockouts (143). This discrepancy could be explained by the overlapping roles of Rhog and Rac1 (144, 145) (Figure 1C).

In the final steps leading to engulfment, RhoA-GTP initiates the ROCK-MLCK-myosin signaling pathway and actomyosin contractility (146). RhoA is enriched at phagocytic cups, and its localization is modulated by motifs in β2-integrin tails (141). Premature activation of RhoA is inhibited by Rap-GTP through ARAP3, a dual GAP for Rho and Arf GTPases, which is recruited by PI(3,4,5)P3 and PI(3,5)P2 (147). Finally, mDia contributes to phagosome closure (107, 133) and particle engulfment by connecting the actin cytoskeleton to microtubules (148) (Figure 1C).

SIGNAGING DURING PHAGOCYTOSIS OF APOPTOTIC CELLS

During apoptotic cell phagocytosis by mammalian αvβ3/αvβ3, a p130Cas-CrkII-Dock180-Elmo module induces Rac1 activation, which is responsible for cytoskeletal remodeling and phagosome formation (149, 150). Other known signals include the
activation of SFKs, as signals from the Mer-TK receptor recruit phosphorylated FAK to mammalian β5 in a Src-dependent manner (151), and Syk and Pyk2 activation has been shown to occur for αβ2 (152, 153). There is also evidence that Rac-1 activation is dependent on RhoG and its GEF Trios (154, 155), whereas RhoA inhibits engulfment (156), and the role of Cdc42 remains unclear (157–159).

An orthologous pathway using the CED-2-CED-5-CED10 module has been described for C. elegans INA-1, which activates the Rac ortholog and requires activation of SRC-1 (Src-ortholog) (3). Similarly in Drosophila, severed axon clearances requires Src42A and Shark—the Src and Syk orthologs, respectively (160, 161)—pointing to an evolutionarily conserved pathway operating in apoptotic cell removal.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

There are still critical gaps in the knowledge of phagocytic integrin signaling, specifically concerning proximal events and their hierarchy. There are several proposed alternative Talin1-recruitment mechanisms, but their contributions and significance are yet to be established. Rap1-Talin1 interaction is evolutionarily conserved and might constitute a mechanism for short-term adhesions (105), whereas Rap1-RIAM-Talin1 contacts would have a faster recruitment of effector proteins. In this line, it is yet to be established if RIAM is required for outside-in signaling, formation, and recycling of the focal adhesion-like complexes distributed in phagocytic cups (107).

Different F-actin nucleators/elongators are described to participate in CR3-mediated phagocytosis; however, their localization, recruitment, and relative contributions are unknown. The regulation of small GTPases, which control actin dynamics, remains obscure; there is scarce evidence of GEF and GAP spatiotemporal localization in phagocytic cups, and it is well established that GTPases negatively regulate each other, which also raises questions on signal termination and negative-feedback loops.

Many structural and signaling proteins required for phagocytic integrin function have potential post-translational modification-dependent functions, and, although there are several candidates, little work has been undertaken to establish Ser/Thr kinase and phosphatase recruitment and localization within the phagocytic cup.

Fine-grain elucidation of the molecular mechanisms involved in integrin-mediated phagocytosis will yield invaluable information on possible control points for phagocytic functions (antigenic capture, pathogen, tumor or apoptotic body elimination, etc.). Indeed, complement-opsonized immune complexes and particles may be presented directly by subcapsular sinus macrophages to naïve B cells or conveyed to dendritic cells for B-cell presentation. This process requires cooperation between antigen-presenting cell αMβ2/αβ2 and B-cell CR1, CR2, and/or Fc receptors (162–165). Manipulation of this pathway may inform new vaccine strategies (166).
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