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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we are using enhancing feedback control on a new continuous 4D autonomous hyper chaotic system proposed by Sadiq A. Mehdi and A. Hayder, Qasim [Analysis of a New Hyperchaotic System with six cross-product nonlinearities terms, 2017], this system has three critical points employs ten terms include six quadratic cross-product nonlinearity terms. We notice that when we apply any linear control method that relies on a single unit control added to the system, the system behavior in this case cannot control it, so we applied enhancing linear feedback control at origin and we noticed that a necessary condition for suppression is getting positive feedback coefficient. Theoretical analysis and numerical simulation check the validity of the results obtained.
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1. Introduction

Henri Poincaré was the first scientist who discovered chaos in history in 1890, by answering the question posed by Weierstrass on the stability of the solar system, Poincaré discovered orbits for three or more than can depict of unstable and unpredictable of nonlinear dynamical systems. Poincaré is the first person who discover a specific random system which led to the founding of what is now in chaos [10].
In 2017 Mehdi, S. A. and Qasim, H. A. [8] introduced a new hyperchaotic system the basic features of dynamical system, fractal dimension of system as 3.0243 , and system characteristics with high sensitivity and generate complex hyperchaotic attractor with two-scroll [8].

2. System Description

We introduce the new system as a new continuous 4D autonomous hyperchaotic system, the system contain ten terms include six quadratic cross-product nonlinear terms, this is the reason to make it hyperchaotic system. The new system has the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= ayz - bxz - cw \\
\dot{y} &= dx - xz - y \\
\dot{z} &= exy - fz \\
\dot{w} &= gxz + hz 
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x, y, z,\) and \(w\) are variable of the system and \(a, b, c, d, e, f, g\) and \(h\) are real constant parameters of system (1). When \(a = 18, b = 3.1, c = 2, d = 10, e = 3, f = 2.6, g = 5\) and \(h = 1.3\) the system (1) is chaotic and belongs to the Lorenz system family [8]. The following figure described the attractors of this system [2].

![Attractor of the chaotic system](image)
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Figure (1): Attractor of the chaotic system

In system (1), when the coordinate is transformed from \((x, y, z, w)\) into \((-x, -y, z, -w)\), the new dynamical system is symmetry and invariant about the z-axis, and it is easily to see that the z-axis is invariant for the flow of the new hyperchaotic system and all orbits of the new system (1) starting from the z-axis stay in the z-axis for
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all values of time[2]. The equilibrium of the Eq. (1) have been found by solving four equations \( \dot{x} = \dot{y} = \dot{z} = \dot{w} = 0 \), then we get

\[
P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 + 4.8373i \\ 0 - 1.8605i \\ 10.8346 \\ 0 - 251.7499i \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 - 4.8373i \\ 0 + 1.8605i \\ 10.8346 \\ 0 - 251.7499i \end{bmatrix}
\]

We note that all equilibrium points are unstable. Therefore we have studied stabilization of this system at origin point \( P_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0) \), by using enhancing linear feedback control [8].

3. Definition (1): Enhancing Feedback Control

Assume that the chaotic system has the form:

\[
\dot{f} = AX + h(X)
\]

where

\( X(t) = [x_i]^T = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)

\( A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n} \) is the matrix parameters and \( h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is the nonlinear part of the system. If we add the unit control \( N \) to the Eq. (2), then the system has the form:

\[
\dot{f} = AX + h(X) + N
\]

The purpose of the unit control to become the limit \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \|X(t)\| = 0 \). We can present the unit control by the form:

\[
N = n_i = \{-k[x_i]^n_{i=1} ; i = j\}
\]

such that \( k \) is feedback parameters and \( k \neq 0 \). This method depends on Routh Hurwitz Criteria, to find the exact value of feedback parameter, the necessary and sufficient condition to satisfy chaotic control and the feedback parameter must be positive, sometimes we get more than positive feedback parameter, to select good feedback parameter we must use the equation [4]:

\[
k = \cap_{i=1}^n k_i = k_1 \cap k_2 \cap \ldots \cap k_n
\]

In the method of enhancing feedback control we multiply system variables by more than one of the unit control and add to unstable chaotic system provided that equivalents the corresponding to the added variable [4].

4. Routh-Hurwitz Theorem (R. H. T.): Given the polynomial

\[
P(\lambda) = \lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + c_{n-1} \lambda + c_n.
\]

where the coefficients \( c_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \) are real constants, define the Hurwitz matrices using the coefficients \( c_i \) of the characteristic polynomial:

\[
H_1 = (c_1), \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 1 \\ c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 1 & 0 \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_1 \\ c_4 & c_5 & c_6 \end{pmatrix}
\]

When \( n=4 \), Routh-Hurwitz Criterion is defined as the form [1]:

1) \( c_1 > 0 \)
2) \( c_1 c_2 - c_3 > 0 \)
3) \( c_4 > 0 \) \\
4) \( c_1 c_2 c_3 > c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_4 \)

We can find the Jacobian matrix of the system (1) as follows [8]:

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-bz & azay - bx & -c \\
d - z & -1 & -x & 0 \\
ey & ex & -f & 0 \\
gz & hz & gx + hy & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The characteristic equation found by using \( \det(J - \lambda I) = 0 \).

\[
|P_1 - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix}
-\lambda & 0 & 0 & -c \\
d & -1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -f - \lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

\[
|P_1 - \lambda I| = \lambda(-1 - \lambda)(-f - \lambda)\lambda
\]

If we substitute \( P_1 \) and the values of real constant in the Eq. (6), the eigenvalues are: \( \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = -1, \lambda_3 = -2.6 \) and \( \lambda_4 = 0 \) [8]. By depending on the result of eigenvalues we notice that never use any one methods of linear feedback control on a chaotic system (1), because all these strategies (ordinary feedback control, dislocate feedback control and speed feedback control) depend on adding one unit control on chaotic system remedy stable. But in strategy of enhancing linear feedback control we will add two or more unit control on the system (1).

**Theorem (1)**: The system (1) with control \( N = [n_1 \ 0 \ 0 \ n_4]^T \), where \( n_1 = -kx \) and \( n_4 = -kw \), the system (1) converge to the unstable equilibrium \( P_1 \) when \( k \in (0, \infty) \).

**Proof**: The system (1) with new control can be written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{z} \\
\dot{w}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-bz & azay - bx & -c \\
d - z & -1 & -x & 0 \\
ey & ex & -f & 0 \\
gz & hz & gx + hy & 0
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
-kx \\
0 \\
0 \\
-kw
\end{bmatrix}
\]

If we substitute \( P_1 \) and the values of real constants in the Eq. (8) we get the characteristic Eq. :

\[
\lambda^4 + c_1 \lambda^3 + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_3 \lambda + c_4 = 0
\]

where \( c_1 = (3.6 + 2k) \), \( c_2 = (2.6 + 7.2k + k^2) \), \( c_3 = (5.2k + 3.6k^2) \) and \( c_4 = 2.6k^2 \)

Now, according to the R. H.T. the Eq.(9) has four eigenvalues, all of them is real part and positive satisfied the condition in the Eq. (6).

Obviously, \( c_1 = (3.6 + 2k) > 0, c_1 c_2 - c_3 = \left(12.96k + 7.2k^2 + k^3\right) > 0, c_4 = (2.6k^2) > 0 \), we have a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \). Lastly, from the forth condition, we get;

\[
7.2k^5 + 51.84k^4 + 130.752k^3 + 134.784k^2 + 48.672k > 0
\]

If we solve the inequality of the Eq.(10) we get positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \), so that the active feedback control when \( k > 0 \), as shown in Fig.2, we can take some values of \( k \) and substitute these values in the Eq. (9) are given in Table 1.
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Table (1): List of eigenvalues corresponding to the characteristic Eq. (9) for different value of k

| Value of k | Characteristic Eq. | Eigenvalues |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| -5        | \( \lambda^4 - 6.4\lambda^3 - 8.4\lambda^2 + 64\lambda + 65 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_1 = -2.6 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_2 = -1 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_{3,4} = 5 \) |
| 0         | \( \lambda^4 + 3.6\lambda^3 + 2.6\lambda^2 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_1 = -2.6 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_2 = -1 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_{3,4} = 0 \) |
| 28        | \( \lambda^4 + 59.6\lambda^3 + 988.2\lambda^2 + 2968\lambda + 2038.4 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_{1,2} = -28 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_2 = -2.6 \)
|           |                    | \( \lambda_{3,4} = -1 \) |

This method achieves the control of the system (1), because it satisfies all four conditions of R. H. T. and all the eigenvalues that have been obtained negative. The proof is complete.

**Theorem (2):** This theorem consisted of two parts: The system (1) with control
\[ N = [n_1 n_2 0 n_4]^T, \] where \( n_1 = -kx, \ n_2 = -ky \) and \( n_4 = -k \) or
\[ N = [n_1 0 \ n_3 n_4]^T, \] \( n_1 = -kx, n_3 = -kz \) and \( n_4 = -kw \), the system (1) converge to the unstable equilibrium \( P_1 \) when \( k \in (0, \infty) \).

**Proof part A:** The system (1) with new control can be written as:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{z} \\
\dot{w}
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
-bz & az - bx & -c \\
-1 & -x & 0 \\
e -f & 0 & 0 \\
-hy & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} + 
\begin{bmatrix}
-kx \\
-ky \\
-0 \\
-kw
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11)

Then the characteristic Eq. is:
\[
\lambda^4 + c_1\lambda^3 + c_2\lambda^2 + c_3\lambda + c_4 = 0
\]

(12)

where \( c_1 = (3.6 + 3k), \ c_2 = (2.6 + 9.8k + 3k^2), \ c_3 = (5.2k + 8.8k^2 + k^3) \) and \( c_4 = 2.6(k^2 + k^3) \)
Now, according to the R. H. T. the Eq. (11) has four eigenvalues all of them is real part and positive satisfied the condition in the Eq. (6).

Obviously, \( c_1 = (3.6 + 3k) > 0, c_1c_2 - c_3 = (17.16 + 62.08k + k^2 + 18.8k^3 + 3k^4) > 0 \)

and \( c_4 = 2.6(k^2 + k^3) > 0 \) we have a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \). Lastly, from the forth condition we get:

\[
8k^6 + 78.4k^5 + 276.24k^4 + 416.128k^3 + 245.648k^2 + 48.672k > 0
\]  

(13)

If we solve the inequality of the Eq. (12) we get a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \), so that the active feedback control when \( k > 0 \). We can take some values of \( k \) and substitute these values in the Eq. (12) are given in Table 2:

**Proof part B**: The system (1) with new control can be written as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{z} \\
\dot{w}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-bz & az & ay - bx & -c \\
-d - z & -1 & -x & 0 \\
e & e & f & 0 \\
gz & hz & gx + hy & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
z \\
w
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
-kx \\
0 \\
-kz \\
-\lambda
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

Then the characteristic Eq. is:

\[
\lambda^4 + c_1\lambda^3 + c_2\lambda^2 + c_3\lambda + c_4 = 0
\]

(15)

where \( c_1 = (3.6 + 3k), c_2 = (2.6 + 8.2k + 3k^2), c_3 = (5.2k + 5.6k^2 + k^3) \) and \( c_4 = (2.6k^2 + k^3) \)

Now, according to the R. H. T. the Eq. (15) has four eigenvalues all of them is real part and positive satisfied the condition in the Eq. (6).

Obviously \( c_1 = (3.6 + 3k) > 0, c_1c_2 - c_3 = (9.36 + 32.12k + 29.8k^2 + 8k^3) > 0 \) and \( c_4 = (2.6k^2 + k^3) > 0 \), we have a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \). Lastly, from the forth condition we get:

\[
8k^6 + 65.6k^5 + 195.6k^4 + 275.072k^3 + 185.744k^2 + 48.672k > 0
\]

(16)

If we solve the inequality of the Eq. (16) we get a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \), so that the active feedback control when \( k > 0 \), as shown in Fig. 3, we can take some values of \( k \) and substitute these values in the Eq. (15) are given in Table 2.

Table (2): List of eigenvalues corresponding to the characteristic Eq. (12) and Eq. (15) for different values of \( k \)

| \( k \) | Characteristic Eq. | Eigenvalues |
|---|---|---|
| -7 | Part A | \( \lambda^4 - 17.4\lambda^3 + 81\lambda^2 + 51.8\lambda - 764.4 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_1 = -2.6 \)  
\( \lambda_2 = 6 \)  
\( \lambda_{3,4} = 7 \pm 2.2302 \times 10^{-7} \) |
| | Part B | \( \lambda^4 - 17.4\lambda^3 + 92.2\lambda^2 - 105\lambda - 215.6 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_1 = -1 \)  
\( \lambda_2 = 4.4 \)  
\( \lambda_{3,4} = 7 \) |
| | Part A | \( \lambda^4 + 27.6\lambda^3 + 273\lambda^2 + 1116.8\lambda + 1497.6 = 0 \) | \( \lambda_1 = -9 \)  
\( \lambda_2 = -2.6 \)  
\( \lambda_{3,4} = -8 \pm 2.92002 \times 10^{-7}i \) |
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Figure(3): Stabilization of system.

So that we controlled the behavior of the system and made it stable. The proof is complete.

**Theorem (3):** The system (1) with control \( N = [n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4]^T \) where \( n_1 = -kx \) and \( n_2 = -ky, n_3 = -kz \) and \( n_4 = -kw \), the system (1) converges to the unstable equilibrium \( P_1 \) when \( k \in (0, \infty) \).

**Proof:** The system(1) with new control can be written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{f}_1 \\
\dot{f}_2 \\
\dot{f}_3 \\
\dot{f}_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-bz & az & ay - bx & -c \\
 d - z & -1 & -x & 0 \\
 e y & e x & -f & 0 \\
 g z & h z & g x + h y & 0
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
-kx \\
-ky \\
-kz \\
-kw
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Then the characteristic Eq. is:

\[
\lambda^4 + c_1 \lambda^3 + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_3 \lambda + c_4 = 0
\]

where \( c_1 = (3.6 + 4k), \ c_2 = (2.6 + 10.8k + 6k^2), \ c_3 = (5.2k + 10.8k^2 + 4k^3) \)

and \( c_4 = (2.6k^2 + 3.6k^3 + k^4) \).

Now, according to the R. H. T. the Eq.(18) has four eigenvalues all of them is real part and positive satisfied the condition in the Eq. (6).

Obviously, \( c_1 = (3.6 + 4k) > 0 \), \( c_1c_2 - c_3 = (9.36 + 44.08k + 54. k^2 + 20k^3) > 0 \) and \( c_4 = (2.6k^2 + 3.6k^3 + k^4) > 0 \), we have a positive feedback coefficient \( k > 0 \).

Lastly, from the forth condition we get:

\[
64k^6 + 345.6k^5 + 705.28k^4 + 672.768k^3 + 296.608k^2 + 48.672k > 0
\]
If we solve the inequality of the Eq.(19) we get a positive feedback coefficient $k > 0$, so that the active feedback control when $k > 0$, as shown in Fig.4, we can take some values of $k$ and substitute these values in the Eq. (18) are given in Table 3:

Table 3: List of eigenvalues corresponding to the characteristic Eq. (18) for different values of $k$

| Value of $k$ | Characteristic Eq. | Eigenvalues |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| -2          | $\lambda^4 - 4.4\lambda^3 + 5\lambda^2 + 0.8\lambda - 2.4 = 0$ | $\lambda_1 = -0.6$, $\lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_{3,4} = 2$ |
| 6           | $\lambda^4 + 27.6\lambda^3 + 283.4\lambda^2 + 1284\lambda + 2167.2 = 0$ | $\lambda_1 = -8.6$, $\lambda_2 = -7$, $\lambda_{3,4} = -6$ |

This method achieves the control of the system (1), because it satisfies all four condition of R. H. T. and all the eigenvalues that have been found negative, so that we can control the behavior of the system and made it stable. The proof has been completed.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we used the strategy of enhancing linear feedback control to solve 4D continuous hyperchaotic system. The basic properties depend mainly on Lyapunove exponents to analysis system is chaotic or stable. We depend on the result of eigenvalues we never use any strategies of linear feedback control on the system (1), because all of these strategies depend on adding one unit control on chaotic system remedy stable. Linear feedback control fail to control the system (1), except the method of enhancing linear feedback control because we will add two or more unit control on the chaotic system. Through the results obtained show that four ways to control the behavior of the system (1) and made it stable.
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