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Global gene expression profiling analysis reveals reduction of stemness after B-RAF inhibition in colorectal cancer cell lines
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A B S T R A C T

Cancer cell differentiation is an important field of discussion in the light of cancer stem cells. In a recent study by Herr et al. (2015) "B-RAF inhibitors induce epithelial differentiation in BRAF-mutant colorectal cancer cells" we described how inhibition of mutant BRAF in colorectal cancer cell lines induces cell re-differentiation that is correlated with the loss of tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. We used Illumina HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip to characterize the gain of differentiation of PLX4720-treated 3D cultures of HT29 and Colo-205 cells. Here, we describe the experimental design and statistical analysis that were performed on the data set leading to the above hypothesis. The data are publicly available at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under the accession number GSE50791.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Direct link to deposited data

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE50791.

Experimental design, materials and methods

Tissue culture

Three-dimensional (3D) cultures of the colon cancer cell lines Colo-205 [2] (CLS Cell Lines Service GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) and HT29 [3] [kind gift of Prof. Dr. T. Brabletz, Erlangen, Germany] were set-up as described previously [1,4]. In brief, 4-well chamber slides (BD Biosciences) were coated with a thin layer of ice-cold Matrigel (75 μl) and incubated at 37 °C for at least 30 min to allow solidification of the Matrigel. Subsequently, cells (3–5 × 10^5 cells/well) were resuspended in culture medium containing 2% Matrigel and seeded on top of the solidified Matrigel. The Matrigel-supplemented medium was replaced every 2–3 days.

Inhibitor treatment and cell extraction

HT29 and Colo-205 3D cultures were treated with DMSO or with 3 μM of the B-RAF inhibitor PLX4720 dissolved in DMSO 4 days after seeding. Cells were harvested at three different time points after starting the treatment: at 1, 3 and 8 days for HT29 and at 1, 3 and 8 days for Colo-205.
10 days for Colo-205 cells. The different growth behaviors of the cell lines required different experimental end time points. To extract the cells the medium was aspirated and 500 μl recovery solution (BD Biosciences) were added to each well. The cell/gel mixture was scraped into a 15 ml tube using a pipette tip. Following 1 h of incubation on ice the cells were washed twice with 15 ml ice-cold DPBS (centrifugation: 10 min, 1200 rpm, 4 °C) and the cell pellet used for total RNA isolation.

**RNA isolation and microarray analysis**

RNA was extracted using the Universal RNA Purification Kit (GeneMatrix) from Roboklon. RNA quality and integrity were verified using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies). Biotin-labeled cRNA samples for hybridization on Illumina Human HT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip (Illumina, Inc.) were prepared according to the illuminaHumanv4.db[5]. Probes that had no match for any genomic region or transcript, according to the illuminaHumanv4PROBEQUALITY mapping were discarded. The remaining probes were annotated to the EntrezIDs provided by the illuminaHumanv4ENTREZREANNOTATED map. The ‘findLargest’ function from the R Bioconductor package genefilter [6] resolved the problem, if multiple probes matched the same Entrez gene. The function finds all replicates and selects the one with the largest interquartile rank (IQR) in expression across all samples, finally retaining 19,178 Entrez genes in the Colo-205 and the HT29 array samples.

RNA of both cell lines was hybridized to different Illumina bead chips resulting in different gene expression distributions. (B, C) Principal component analysis of the Colo-205 and HT29 cell line samples. Sample separation along the first principal component (PC1) result from gene expression changes due to 3D culture over time, while PC2 separates samples due to different treatments. Reproducibility of the results is confirmed by the proximity of the HT29 sample duplicates.

**B-RAF inhibition leads to induction of differentiation**

The above findings led to the assumption that the effect of PLX4720 reintroduced a differentiation phenotype from the otherwise more stem cell like cancer phenotype. To corroborate in detail the loss of stemness/pluripotency we used the PluriNet gene set [10] as marker for the stemness or inversely the differentiation status of the cells. The marker set consists of 299 genes that were derived from classifying human pluripotent, multipotent and differentiated cells. To assess the overall stemness/differentiation status of the Colo-205 and HT29 cells, we compared the PluriNet gene expression among all NCI60 cell lines [11]. Gene expression had been measured using the Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 arrays, which we normalized together using robust multichip averaging [12] in conjunction with the custom definition file from Brainarray in Version 17.0 [13]. A principal component analysis separated the samples along the PC1 according to their marker gene expression, predicting the acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell line MOLT-4 as least and the ovary adenocarcinoma derived SK-OV-3 as the most differentiated cells (Fig. 3A). The significant higher expression of the PluriNet marker genes in Colo-205 cells relative to HT29 (p-value < 10^-10, one-sided t-test) likewise indicated a higher stemness of the former (Fig. 3B). To assess the loss of stemness we performed a gene set enrichment using the Generally Applicable Gene-set Enrichment (GAGE) [14]. For analysis we performed an
unpaired sample comparison of PLX4720 treated cells on days 3 and 8/10 relative to day 1. We tested for changes in a gene set in the same direction using the per gene fold change and summarizing individual p-values using Stouffer’s method. Not surprisingly, GAGE confirmed the reduction of stemness with time (Fig. 3C) in both cell lines with a more significant effect in the Colo-205 cells.

Discussion

We described analysis on the two colon cancer cell lines that harbor BRAFV600E mutations. To elucidate the effect of this mutation on carcinogenesis we compared the changes in gene expression of Colo-205 and HT29 3D cultures under B-RAF inhibition over time. While the cell
culture data indicated a high reproducibility, technical and/or biological batch effects hindered us from direct comparison of the cell line data. Therefore, we had to analyze each cell type individually. Yet, functional enrichment of the gene expression clearly correlated with the treatment and cell culture phenotype and suggested the restoration of differentiated epithelia. The analysis predicted novel players involved in the differentiation process and inversely suggested stemness properties inherent in the cancer cell lines. Including a broader data base from the NCI60 cell line panel allowed quantifying the relative differentiation status of the cells. Gene set enrichment then demonstrated the loss of stemness under B-RAF inhibition in line with the observed differentiation phenotype. Based on Fig. 3C, it is even tempting to speculate that the Colo-205 cells lose their stemness faster than HT29, as the latter are more differentiated than the former according to Fig. 3B. This is further supported by the stronger up-regulation over time of differentiation markers in Colo-205 that are not part of the PluriNet gene set, e.g., the transcription factors Caudal Type Homeobox 1 and 2 (Fig. 3D) or the various gene products associated with epithelial differentiation and effector functions [1].

In conclusion, we demonstrated the use of functional analysis in combination with public database data to elucidate the oncogenic mechanisms underlying BRAF mutations in Colon cancer that led to the discovery of novel players and novel therapeutic rationales for using pathway inhibitors in the treatment of this disease.
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