Assessment of Soil loss Tolerance limit to Latosol, Argisol and Cambisolin the southern Minas Gerais state
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Abstract

Soil Loss Tolerance (T) reflects the maximum erosion rate that still allows a sustainable level of crop production. The T limit can be used to support the conservationist land-use planning and to propose erosion mitigation measures. In this context, we aim to determine the Soil Loss Tolerance limit to different soil classes located at the Coroado Stream Subbasin, southern Minas Gerais, Brazil. The soil classes of the subbasin area was classified as Dystrophic Red Latosols - LVd (90.0%), Eutrophic Red-Yellow Argisols - PVAe (5.4%), and Dystrophic Tb Haplic Cambisols - CXbd (1.9%). The following attributes were used to determine the T limits: texture, depth, density, permeability, and organic matter. To analyzing these parameters, we collect soil samples at 18 points distributed along the subbasin area. T values ranged from 4.75 to 7.40 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, with the lowest limit observed for CXbd (4.75 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). These results indicate that the Cambisol should be prioritized in the adoption of conservation practices to reduce water erosion and to maintain soil loss levels at acceptable rates. Latosols, Argisols, and Cambisols are the most common soils in the Brazilian territory. Thus, the results provided by the work can be used as a reference to monitoring the erosion process and evaluate the sustainability of agricultural activities in Brazil.
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Avaliação do limite de tolerância à perda de solo para Latossolos, Argissolos e Cambissolos no sul de Minas Gerais

Resumo

A Tolerância de perda de solo (TPS) é um parâmetro que reflete a taxa máxima de erosão que ainda permitirá um nível de produção sustentável das culturas agrícolas. As informações fornecidas pela TPS podem ser utilizadas como ferramenta para mitigar os impactos da erosão e como mecanismo para a proposição de práticas conservacionistas em bacias hidrográficas. Nesse cenário, o objetivo do trabalho foi determinar a Tolerância de Perda de Solo em diferentes classes de solos presentes na Sub-bacia Hidrográfica do Córrego Coroado, sul de Minas Gerais. Os solos da área foram classificados como Latossolos Vermelhos distrôficos – LVd (90,0%), Argissolos Vermelho-Amarelos eutróficos – PVAe
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Introduction

After World War II, much of Europe was in ruins, and agricultural production systems were destroyed with various impacts on the soil. In this context, the Soil Loss Tolerance (T) concept arise, which was focusing mainly on the soil function in food production (Verheijen et al., 2009).

T reflects the maximum erosion rate that still allows a sustainable level of crop production (Wischmeier and Smith, 1978). The ideal T value is the erosion rate equivalent to the soil formation (Verheijen et al., 2009). However, due to the interactions between the pedogenetic factors, measure the soil formation rate is a complex task (Li et al., 2009). The T limit can be used to support the conservationist land-use planning and to propose erosion mitigation measures in agricultural activities (Nunes et al., 2012; Demarchi and Zimback, 2014).

The T determination in Brazilian soils is usual in large-scale studies (Oliveira et al., 2008; Bertol and Almeida, 2000). However, according to Duan et al. (2017), the T limits range widely, indicating that the use of a uniform pattern on regional-scale agricultural land is not accurate and does not reflect efforts to maintain the sustainability of agricultural systems. Thus, it is imperative to determine T values in a river basin scale to improve its application and reliability.

Material and methods

Study area

The research was carried out at the Capoeirinha farm (Ipanema Agrícola SA) located at Alfenas Municipality, southern of Minas Gerais state, Brazil, in the coordinates, UTM 405062 at 406420 m E and 7618526 at 7618610 m N, zone 23K, Datum SIRGAS 2000) (Figure 1). The area has 1285.2 ha located in the Córrego Coroa do sub basin, which belongs to the Rio Grande hydrographic basin. According to Köppen, the climate was classified as Mesothermal Tropical (Cwb), with an average annual temperature of 22 °C and an average annual rainfall of 1500 mm (Alvares et al., 2013). Altitudes range from 793 to 942 m with an average of 868 m.

The subbasin relief is present in the Declivity Map (Figure 2), which was elaborated by the ArcMap 10.3 Slope tool (ESRI, 2015) (Figure 1), from the Digital Elevation Model extracted from the contour lines of the Minas Gerais state map (SISEMA, 2019). The area presents an average slope of 12.7% and a predominantly rolling relief (8–20%).

Figure 1 – Location and slope map of the Coroado Stream subbasin, located at Alfenas, southern Minas Gerais, Brazil
Coffee is the main subbasin land-use class and occupies 46.3% of the area. The cultivation of coffee in steep slopes is traditional in the region and characterized the mountain coffee. The area also presents the following land use and occupation classes: maize (10.4%), eucalyptus (5.3%), native and regenerating forests (29.0%), access roads (5.1%), facilities (1.1%) and drainage (2.8%).

The land use map (Figure 2A) was elaborated based on field surveys and images from the Landsat-8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) satellite, bands 2, 3 and 4, in orbit 219, point 75, obtained from the Division of Imaging (INPE, 2019) and process at ArcMap 10.3 software (ESRI, 2015). The soil classes of the study area are Dystrophic Red Latosols - LVd (90.0%), Eutrophic Red-Yellow Argisols - PVAe (5.4%), and Dystrophic Tb Haplic Cambisols - CXbd (1.9%). The digital soil map (Figure 2B) was prepared using the Minas Gerais State Soil Map (UFV et al., 2010) combined with morphological field descriptions.

Figure 2 – Land use and occupation map (A) and Digital soil map with sampling points (B) of the Coroado Stream subbasin, located at Alfenas, southern Minas Gerais, Brazil. Notes: LVd = Dystrophic red Latosol, PVAe = Eutrophic red-yellow Argisol, CXbd = Haplic Tb dystrophic Cambisol, RO = Rocky Outcrop

Soil Loss Tolerance (T)

The soil loss tolerance was calculated according to the Equation 1 proposed by Bertol and Almeida (2000):

\[ T = 100 \cdot h \cdot r_a \cdot m \cdot p \cdot D_s \cdot 1000^{-1} \]  

(Eq. 1)

Where: T is the soil loss tolerance (Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)); h is the effective soil depth (cm), limited to 100 cm; \( r_a \) is the ratio that expresses, mutually, the effect of the textural relationship between the horizons B and A and the clay content of the horizon A; m is the factor that expresses the organic matter content in the 0 - 20 cm soil depth; p is the soil permeability factor; Ds is the soil density (kg dm\(^{-3}\)) e 1.000 is the constant that represents the time period required to wear a soil layer of 1000 mm depth.

Disturb and Undisturbed soil samples were collected at 18 points in the surface (0 - 20 cm) and subsurface (20 - 60 cm) layers, using a probe and a cylindrical sampler (92.53 cm\(^3\)), respectively (Figure 2A). Soil depth and morphological description were determined in 40 x 40 x 60 cm micro trenches, according to Santos et al. (2005). Soil organic matter content was determined according to Embrapa (2017), while the particle size distribution by the pipette method with 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH (Gee and Bauder, 1986) and the soil density using the undisturbed samples (Blake and Hartge, 1986).

The \( r_a \) parameter is obtained by the relation of clay content between the surface (0 - 20 cm) and subsurface (20 - 60 cm) layers. For textural ratios below 1.5, \( r_a \) values of 1.0, 0.9, and 0.8 were assigned for soils with A horizon clay content higher than 40%, between 40 and 20%, and less than 20%, respectively. The m parameter was also weighted at 1.00, 0.85, and 0.70 for soil organic matter content higher than 5.0, between 5.0 and 2.5, and less than 2.5 dag kg\(^{-1}\), respectively (Bertol and Almeida, 2000). The soil permeability was classified according to Table 1.
Table 1 – Soil permeability classes as a function of soil texture and structure degree, according to Galindo and Margolis (1989)

| Texture             | Structure degree | Permeability classes | Weighting value for the T calculation* |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Clay and very clay  | Weak             | Weak                 | 0.70                                  |
| (Clay > 35%)        | Moderate         | Weak                 | 0.70                                  |
|                     | Strong           | Moderate             | 0.85                                  |
| Medium              | Weak             | Moderate             | 0.85                                  |
| (15% < clay < 35%)  | Moderate         | Moderate             | 0.85                                  |
|                     | Strong           | Fast                 | 1.00                                  |
| Sandy               | Weak             | Moderate             | 0.85                                  |
| (Clay + silt < 15%) | Moderate         | Fast                 | 1.00                                  |
|                     | Strong           | Fast                 | 1.00                                  |

Adapted from Galindo and Margolis (1989) and Oliveira et al. (2008). Notes: T = Soil Loss Tolerance, *weighting value adapted from de Bertol e Almeida (2000).

Results and discussion

The structure of the LVd was classified as granular with moderate degree and medium size, while the PVAe and CXbd classes were classified as angular blocks with respective moderate and weak degrees, and medium size. According to the texture, the soil was classified, as clay (LVd and PVAe) and sandy clay loam (CXbd). PVAe showed a higher textural relationship and soil organic matter content (Table 2).

Table 2 - Variables analyzed for the different soil classes of the Coroado Stream Subbasin, located at Alfenas, southern Minas Gerais, Brazil

| Soil   | Clay content of Horizon A (%) | Textural relation (g kg⁻¹) | Organic matter content (kg dm⁻³) | Average depth (m) |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| LVd    | 54                            | 0.95                        | 2.56                             | 2.70              |
| PVAe   | 56                            | 1.07                        | 2.75                             | 2.55              |
| CXbd   | 29                            | 1.02                        | 2.45                             | 0.71              |

Notes: LVd = Dystrophic red Latosol, PVAe = Eutrophic red-yellow Argisol, CXbd = Haplic Tb dystrophic Cambisol.

The permeability of CXbd was classified as moderate, while the high clay content provided to the PVAe and LVd classes a slow permeability. The soil depth ranged from 0.71 to 2.70 m (Table 2), and the average density ranged from 1.21 to 1.25 kg dm⁻³ (Table 3). The values of the parameters used in the T calculations are present in Table 3.

Table 3 – Variables used in the calculation of the Soil Loss Tolerance (T) limit in the Coroado Stream Hydrographic Subbasin, Alfenas, south of Minas Gerais, Brazil

| Soil   | h (cm) | r_a (dimen.) | m (dimen.) | p (dimen.) | Ds (kg dm⁻³) | T (Mg ha⁻¹year⁻¹) |
|--------|--------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| LVd    | 100    | 1.0          | 0.85       | 0.7        | 1.24         | 7.40             |
| PVAe   | 100    | 1.0          | 0.85       | 0.7        | 1.21         | 7.20             |
| CXbd   | 71     | 0.9          | 0.7        | 0.85       | 1.25         | 4.75             |

Notes: h = effective soil depth; r_a = relationship that expresses, together, the effect of the textural relation between the horizons B and A and the clay content of the A horizon; m = factor that expresses the organic matter content in the 0 - 20 cm soil depth; p = soil permeability factor; Ds = soil density; T = soil loss tolerance; dimen = dimensionless; LVd = Dystrophic red Latosol, PVAe = Eutrophic red-yellow Argisol, CXbd = Haplic Tb dystrophic Cambisol.
We found T values lower than 11.20 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), which is the limit determined by Wishmeier and Smith (1978). The results obtained for the LVd are below the limit established by Lombardi Neto and Bertoni (1975) that range from 9.60 to 15.00 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). According to Duan et al. (2017), this result is due to the wide variation of T values among the same soil classes. For PVAe, the T limits are close to the results found by Oliveira et al. (2008), who observed an average of 7.03 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) in Argisols of Paraíba state.

Density was the main factor that influences the difference found between the T values of LVd and PVAe (Table 3). Soil attributes are weighted before the T calculation, which may lead some factors to have their effect masked or intensified in the index result. Although the T has an empirical character, the index results cannot faithfully indicate a tolerable soil loss. However, T is a useful tool to support the conservationist land use planning (Bertol and Almeida, 2000).

Due to the low T limits observed for CXbd (4.75 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)), this soil class should be prioritized in the adoption of conservation practices to reduce water erosion and maintain soil loss levels at acceptable rates. Therefore, this soil should be used mainly to native vegetation protection and to support grasslands cultivation.

It is worth mentioning that T values do not impose arbitrary restrictions on soil management, only act as a guide to landowners make choices of management techniques (Lombardi Neto and Bertoni, 1975). Moreover, considering soils with slow formation rates, any losses above 1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) can cause irreversible damage to its long-term quality (Stefano and Ferro, 2016). Thus, in the short term, T can be used as a sustainability index, but even in situations with low erosion rates, practices that seek to reduce soil losses to values close to zero should be adopted to ensure soil production capacity and agricultural system sustainability.

**Conclusion**

We calculated the Soil Loss Tolerance limits to Latosols, Argisols, and Cambisols in the Coroado Stream subbasin. The limits found in the subbasin area ranged from 4.75 to 7.40 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), and the Latosol presents the highest values, followed by the Argisol and Cambisol. Since these are the most common soils found in the Brazilian territory, the results provided by the work can be used as a reference to monitoring the erosion process and promote the sustainability of agricultural activities.
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