KIF15 promotes bladder cancer proliferation via the MEK–ERK signaling pathway
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Background: Bladder cancer (BC) is the most common cancer of the urinary tract and invariably predicts a poor prognosis. In this study, we found a reliable gene signature and potential biomarker for predicting clinical prognosis.

Methods: The gene expression profiles were obtained from the GEO database. By performing GEO2R analysis, numerous differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were found. Three different microarray datasets were integrated in order to more precisely identify up-expression genes. Functional analysis revealed that these genes were mainly involved in cell cycle, DNA replication and metabolic pathways.

Results: Based on protein-protein interactome (PPI) networks that were identified in the current study and previous studies, we focused on KIF15 for further study. The results showed that KIF15 promotes BC cell proliferation via the MEK -ERK pathway, and Kaplan Meier survival analysis revealed that KIF15 expression was an independent prognostic risk factor in BC patients.

Conclusion: KIF15 may represent a promising prognostic biomarker and a potential therapeutic option for BC.
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Introduction
Globally, bladder cancer (BC) is considered one of the most serious cancers, it is the ninth most common cancer and has the 13th highest rate of mortality when compared with 81,190 newly diagnosed cases, and 17,240 BC-related deaths was estimated in the USA in 2018. In China, the annual incidence rate is 80.5/100,000, and the estimated mortality rate is 32.9/100,000. Although treatment for BC has improved in recent decades, there are still areas of unmet need, such as the short survival time of patients with invasive stage tumors or metastatic diseases. Many studies have shown that the progression of BC is associated with proliferation and invasion. The development of BC is a complex process initiated by accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the fundamental mechanisms of BC development to find effective therapeutic strategies leading to improved survival.

Gene expression profiling has been recognized as a useful tool to reveal the mechanism of tumor progression and for biomarker prediction. As the body of expression data in public databases grows, reanalysis and integration of these available data are more likely to provide novel clues for other researches. We screened for genes with abnormal overexpression in BC using public databases with three gene expression profile microarrays. In addition, we used a network and pathway-based approach to find...
novel molecular biomarkers of BC. Using this approach, we found that KIF15, a gene encoding a member of the kinesin family of proteins, may play a crucial role in BC proliferation.

The kinesin-12 family member KIF15 is a plus-end directed kinesin that localizes in a mitosis-specific manner to both spindle microtubules and chromosomes. Most proteins of KIF family own ATP-dependent activity and can drive microtubule-dependent plus-end movement. Kinesins participate in the transport of macromolecules in several essential cellular processes, such as mitosis and meiosis. Studies have demonstrated that kinesin proteins play critical roles in the genesis and development of human cancers. Some kinesin proteins are associated with drug resistance in malignancy. Thus, KIF15 may be a potential anticancer biomarker.

In this study, we demonstrate that KIF15 promotes BC proliferation by the MEK–ERK signaling pathway. Our results suggest that KIF15 plays an important role in BC progression and is a novel therapeutic strategy for BC.

Materials and methods
Microarray data
The gene expression profiles of GSE27448, GSE40355, and GSE42089 were obtained from the National Center of Biotechnology Information GEO database (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The GSE27448 expression profile, which was based on GPL2895 GE Healthcare/Amersham Biosciences CodeLink Human Whole Genome Bioarray, was submitted by Zaravinos et al. The GSE40355 profile, submitted by Hecker et al., was based on GPL8227 Agilent-019118 Biosciences CodeLink Human Whole Genome Bioarray. The GSE42089 expression profile, which was based on GPL9828 [HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array, was submitted by Sherman et al.

Differential gene expression analysis
The gene expression data were analyzed with NCBI online software GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gento). The gene expression profiles of GSE27448, GSE40355, and GSE42089 were obtained from the National Center of Biotechnology Information GEO database (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The GSE27448 expression profile, which was based on GPL2895 GE Healthcare/Amersham Biosciences CodeLink Human Whole Genome Bioarray, was submitted by Zaravinos et al. The GSE40355 profile, submitted by Hecker et al., was based on GPL8227 Agilent-019118 Human miRNA Microarray 2.0 G4470B and GPL13497 Agilent-026652 Whole Human Genome Microarray 4×44K v2. The GSE42089 expression profile, which was based on GPL9828 [HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array, was submitted by Sherman et al.

Cell culture and reagents
BC cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas VA, USA). The cell lines T24 and 253J were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Synthesis of siRNA and transfection of cells
Bladder cells T24 and 253J were transfected with specific siRNAs targeting KIF15 (si-KIF15). The sequences of siRNAs were

- siRNA1: 5′-GCGGUAUAUGGUACCAUGT-3′
- siRNA2: 5′-GCUGAAAAAGGUUUUCCUUUT-3′
- siRNA3: 5′-GGAUUUAGAGGUUGCUGAATT-3′

The siRNA were purchased from GenePharma (Suzhou, China). Untreated BC cells were cultured for 24 hours before transfection. Cells were transfected with siRNA (100 nM) complexes using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell proliferation assay
Briefly, 5×10⁴ cells/well were seeded into a 96-well plate and transfected with siRNA. Cell proliferation was assessed by using cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) (Beyotime Institute of
Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) after 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 hours; absorbance was measured by ELISA microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Ethynyl deoxyuridine (Edu) assay was performed by using an Edu Kit (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Experiments were repeated three times.

**Colony formation assay**

Approximately 1,500 BC cells were seeded into 6-well dishes with medium. One week later, the cells were transfected with siRNA, and after 2 weeks, viable colonies >0.1 mm in diameter were stained with crystal violet and scored. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, and experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Patients and immunohistochemical analysis**

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Committee Board of Shandong University Qilu Hospital (Jinan, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all 106 patients. The patients underwent radical cystectomy for BC, which was diagnosed by imageological and pathology examination at our institution from 2009 to 2014. Patients with missing information were excluded from this study. Patients with autoimmune disease or cancer in other systems or who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy were also excluded from study. The specimens were used after obtaining informed consent from the patients and were stained according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Immunostain SP Kit, Dako-Cytomation). For immunohistochemistry staining, briefly, paraffin-embedded sections of BC tissues were deparaffinized and then heated in a pressure pot for 3 minutes to retrieve the antigens. Then, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies against KIF15 (Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA) overnight at 4°C. Antibody binding was detected using a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody at 37°C for 30 minutes. A 3,3′-diaminobenzidine substrate kit was used to perform the chromogenic reaction. The intensity of the staining was rated using the following criteria: 0 = negative, 1 = low, 2 = medium, and 3 = high. The extent of staining was scored as 0 = 0% stained, 1 = 1%–25% stained, 2 = 26%–50% stained, and 3 = 51%–100% stained. Final scores were calculated by multiplying the score for intensity by the score for extent and dividing the samples by one of the four grades: 0 = negative, 1–2 = low staining, 3–4 = medium staining, and 4–6 = high staining. The following criteria were used to quantify the expression levels of KIF15 in BC tissues: high expression = final scores of 4–6 and low expression = final scores of 0–3.

**Immunoblotting**

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described. Briefly, 100 µg of protein was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk for 2 hours and were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies. The primary antibody used for immunoblotting was the same anti-KIF15 antibody (Proteintech) that was used for IHC. Other primary antibodies used for immunoblotting were antibodies against GAPDH (Affinity, Cincinnati, OH, USA), P-c-Raf, P-MEK, P-p90Rsk, P-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, CyclinD1, CyclinE2, CDK2, and CDK4 purchased from CST (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used to detect the primary antibodies, and protein bands were visualized using an Odyssey scanner (Li-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics Program. Each experiment was performed in triplicate, and the values are presented as the mean ± SD, unless otherwise stated. The variance between groups was statistically compared. Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean values. Kaplan–Meier curves were analyzed for relevant variables. The log-rank test was used to analyze differences in survival times among the patient subgroups. The risk factors associated with the prognoses of these patients were evaluated using Cox’s proportional hazard regression model. All probability values had a statistical power level of 90%, and a two-sided level of 5%; P<0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Identification of DEGs and aberrantly expressed mRNAs in BC**

GEO is a free database that has various microarray profiles and next-generation sequencing data. In order to acquire a more reliable gene signature, we integrated the datasets GSE27448, GSE40355, and GSE42089 into the merged gene expression dataset. Using the criteria of P<0.05 and ≥2-fold change in expression over control, a total of 153 genes were identified after the analysis carried out by GEO2R and Venn diagram, which included 42 upexpression genes and 111 downexpression genes. The DEGs heat map, volcano plot, and integrated Venn diagram are presented in Figure 1. Then we analyzed the upexpression genes.
GO and KEGG analysis of upexpression genes

The 42 upexpression genes were inputted into the online biological tool DAVID. GO analysis included three aspects: molecular function (MF), biological process (BP), and cellular component (CC) (Table 1). The GO analysis results indicated that upexpression genes were enriched in 24 BP terms, 11 CC terms, and 6 MF terms. Further analysis showed that these genes were significantly enriched in cell division, DNA replication, mitotic nuclear division, and nucleoplasm...
Table 1 The significant enriched GO analysis of integrated upexpression genes in BC

| Category GO term                     | Gene count | P-value  |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Chromatin binding                    | 7          | 2.54E-04 |
| ATP binding                          | 11         | 1.68E-03 |
| Protein binding                      | 30         | 3.65E-03 |
| ATPase activity                      | 4          | 8.60E-03 |
| Helicase activity                    | 3          | 1.65E-02 |
| DNA replication origin binding       | 2          | 2.51E-02 |
| Nucleoplasm                          | 20         | 1.50E-06 |
| Spindle pole                         | 5          | 9.37E-05 |
| Nucleus                              | 23         | 7.30E-04 |
| Midbody                              | 4          | 2.83E-03 |
| Cytosol                              | 16         | 3.11E-03 |
| Cytoplasm                            | 21         | 3.49E-03 |
| Nuclear chromatin                    | 4          | 8.66E-03 |
| Kinetochore                          | 3          | 1.36E-02 |
| MCM complex                          | 2          | 1.96E-02 |
| Spindle                              | 3          | 2.89E-02 |
| Anaphase-promoting complex           | 2          | 4.93E-02 |
| Cell division                        | 12         | 1.45E-10 |
| DNA replication                      | 8          | 4.07E-08 |
| Mitotic nuclear division             | 9          | 5.39E-08 |
| G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle | 5   | 7.26E-05 |
| Spindle organization                 | 3          | 5.56E-04 |
| Anaphase-promoting complex           | 4          | 6.94E-04 |
| Regulation of ubiquitin-protein ligase activity involved in mitotic cell cycle | 3 | 1.16E-03 |
| Cell proliferation                   | 6          | 1.18E-03 |
| Sister chromatid cohesion            | 4          | 1.50E-03 |
| Mitotic spindle organization         | 3          | 1.98E-03 |
| DNA replication initiation           | 3          | 2.25E-03 |
| Protein ubiquitination involved in ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process | 4 | 4.59E-03 |
| DNA damage response, signal transduction by p53 class mediator resulting in cell cycle arrest | 3 | 8.22E-03 |

Abbreviations: BC, bladder cancer; BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; GO, gene ontology; MF, molecular function.

Table 1 (Continued)

| Category GO term | Gene count | P-value  |
|------------------|------------|----------|
| Negative regulation of ubiquitin-protein ligase activity involved in mitotic cell cycle | 3 | 1.07E-02 |
| Cell cycle       | 4          | 1.20E-02 |
| Positive regulation of ubiquitin-protein ligase activity involved in regulation of mitotic cell cycle transition | 3 | 1.22E-02 |
| Positive regulation of exit from mitosis | 2 | 1.31E-02 |
| DNA repair       | 4          | 1.48E-02 |
| Regulation of attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochore | 2 | 1.53E-02 |
| Positive regulation of ubiquitin protein ligase activity | 2 | 1.97E-02 |
| Regulation of cell cycle | 3 | 3.04E-02 |
| Regulation of signal transduction by p53 class mediator | 3 | 3.04E-02 |
| Protein localization to centrosome | 2 | 3.89E-02 |
| DNA-dependent DNA replication | 2 | 3.89E-02 |

(Figure 2A). In order to reveal the potential functions, we performed KEGG pathway enrichment analysis (Table 2).16 As shown in Figure 2B, the enriched pathways were cell cycle, DNA replication, and metabolic pathways.

PPI networks construction and module analysis

In order to analyze the different gene interactions and find hub-genes, PPIs were constructed by using Cytoscape. According to the PPI networks (Figure 3), the top ten genes with highest interaction degrees were KIF15, ASPM, CCNB1, KIAA0101, AURKB, ZWINT,UBE2C, PTTG1, POLQ, and GINS2. Kinesin proteins play critical roles in the development of human cancers,12,13 and KIF15 is involved with the progression of many solid tumors,21 so we decided to study whether the abnormal expression of KIF15 was related to bladder carcinogenesis.
Figure 2 The functional analysis of integrated upexpression genes.
Notes: (A) GO analysis and significant enriched GO terms of upexpression genes in BC tissues. (B) Significantly enriched pathway terms of upexpression genes.
Abbreviation: ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BC, bladder cancer; GO, gene ontology.
Table 2 Signaling pathway analysis of integrated upexpression genes in BC

| Pathway                | Genes                          | Gene count | P-value     |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Cell cycle             | CCNB1, CDC20, MCM2, CDC25C, MCM5 | 5          | 1.52E–04    |
| DNA replication        | POLE2, MCM2, MCM5               | 3          | 3.02E–03    |
| Metabolic pathways     | PGLS, ATP5J2, PDXX, POLE2, FDPS, PAFAH1B3, GPT2 | 7          | 4.97E–02    |

Abbreviation: BC, bladder cancer.

Correlation of KIF15 expression with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of BC patients

We examined the expression pattern of KIF15 protein by IHC staining in a retrospective cohort of 106 specimens from patients with BC to explore the clinical significance of KIF15 in BC (Figure 4A). The clinicopathologic characteristics of the 106 patients are shown in Table 3. In detail, higher level KIF15 expression was detected in 58 (54.7%) tumor tissue specimens, whereas 48 (45.3%) specimens exhibited low/absent level. Regarding the correlation between KIF15 expression level and clinicopathological parameter in patients, patients with higher expression of KIF15 (with a cutoff at median) presented advanced TNM stage. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis revealed that patients with low KIF15 expression had more favorable overall survival compared with high KIF15 expression (P=0.01; Figure 4B). The results of univariate analysis and multivariate analysis by Cox proportional hazards models suggested that KIF15 expression was an independent prognostic risk factor (P=0.012 and 0.003, respectively; Table 4).

KIF15 promotes BC cells proliferation

We interrupted the expression of KIF15 by using siRNA, and we confirmed downregulation of KIF15 protein in T24 and 253J BC cells (Figure 5A). Compared with the negative control (NC) group, KIF15 expression knockdown suppressed BC cell proliferation, as measured by the CCK-8 assay, Edu assay, and colony formation assay (Figure 5B–D).

KIF15 promotes BC cells proliferation via the MEK–ERK pathway

To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying KIF15 promotion of BC proliferation, we used KIF15 knockdown cells and NC cells. Previous studies showed that KIF15 is associated with the MEK–ERK signaling pathway. We therefore investigated whether changes in KIF15 expression could affect activation of the MEK–ERK signaling pathway in BC cells. Western blot analysis showed that KIF15 knockdown inhibited p-ERK expression in BC cells (Figure 6). Furthermore, KIF15 knockdown downregulated the expression of P-c-Raf, P-MEK, P-p90Rsk, CyclinD1, CyclinE2, CDK2, and CDK4, which promotes cell cycle progression in BC cells.

Discussion

In the past decade, great efforts have been made to explore the molecular mechanism of proliferation and metastasis for cancer cells. Nevertheless, critical details regarding these mechanisms in BC remain incompletely understood. Hence, we undertook a detailed investigation using the GEO database and acquired microarray data regarding DEGs in tumor and normal tissues. A Venn diagram was constructed and 42 upexpression genes were found to be strongly related to the development of BC. Both KEGG and GO analysis were performed to illuminate the potential biological functions and pathways involved in bladder tumorigenesis.

GO analysis showed that these genes were mainly enriched in cell division, DNA replication, mitotic nuclear division, and nucleoplasm. As shown in previous studies, cell division and DNA replication played an important role in the proliferation of malignant BC cells. Furthermore, KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that upexpression genes...
were enriched in cell cycle, DNA replication, and metabolic pathways. According to our research, some hub genes, especially KIF15, have been classified by constructing the PPI network based on the degree, which may suggest a strategy for therapeutic interventions in BC.

Although KIF15 has been studied for 10 years, the role of KIF15 in regulating the behavior of cancer cells has not yet been elucidated. Klejnot et al. reported that kinesins are a superfamily of proteins with important roles in eukaryotic intracellular trafficking and cell division. Some proteins participate in molecular intracellular transport, and others play an important role in the process of mitosis and cytokinesis.

Drechsler et al. showed that KIF15 is a second tetrameric spindle motor (in addition to kinesin-5, Eg5) and described the mechanisms by which KIF15 and its inhibitor hTpx2 modulate spindle microtubule architecture. The interaction of KIF15 with microtubules has also been described.

Another study demonstrated that KIF15 drove centrosome separation during bipolar spindle assembly. Studies of KIF15 have shown that it is important for several tumors. For example, KIF15 is critical for K51 resistance in HeLa cells. KIF15 is considering as a prognostic marker and new therapeutic target for endocrine therapy-resistant breast cancer. KIF15 is also overexpressed in lung cancer and may be important in the cell cycle. Meanwhile, it has been found that inhibitors of Aurora A and KIF11 overcome KIF15-dependent drug resistance. In this study, we found that KIF15 promotes BC cell proliferation via the MEK–ERK pathway.

In the current study, a number of patients with higher expression of KIF15 were found to be more susceptible to BC progression, though no significant clinicopathological
Table 3 Association between KIF15 expression and clinicopathological features of 106 patients with bladder cancer

| Variable                  | All patients (n=106) | KIF15 expression | P-value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
|                           |                      | Low/absent (n=48, 45.3%) | High (n=58, 54.7%) |
| Gender                    |                      |                  |         |
| Male                      | 93 (87.7%)           | 44               | 49      | 0.262   |
| Female                    | 13 (12.3%)           | 4                | 9       |         |
| Age (years)               |                      |                  |         |
| <65                       | 40 (37.8%)           | 18               | 22      | 0.964   |
| ≥65                       | 66 (62.2%)           | 30               | 36      |         |
| Tumor size                |                      |                  |         |
| <4 cm                     | 33 (31.1%)           | 14               | 19      | 0.442   |
| ≥4 cm                     | 53 (50.0%)           | 27               | 26      |         |
| Unknown                   | 20 (18.9%)           | 7                | 13      |         |
| Tumor grade               |                      |                  |         |
| Low grade                 | 10 (9.4%)            | 4                | 6       | 0.724   |
| High grade                | 96 (90.6%)           | 44               | 52      |         |
| Pathological T stage      |                      |                  |         |
| pTis/T1                   | 32 (30.2%)           | 11               | 21      | 0.138   |
| pT2–4                     | 74 (69.8%)           | 37               | 37      |         |
| LN M                      |                      |                  |         |
| pNx/pN0                   | 89 (84.0%)           | 43               | 46      | 0.151   |
| pN+                       | 17 (16.0%)           | 5                | 12      |         |
| Metastasis                |                      |                  |         |
| M0                        | 100 (94.3%)          | 47               | 53      | 0.147   |
| M1                        | 6 (5.7%)             | 1                | 5       |         |
| TNM stage                 |                      |                  |         |
| Ois-I                     | 38 (35.8%)           | 12               | 26      | 0.034   |
| II–IV                     | 68 (64.2%)           | 36               | 32      |         |

Notes: pN+ = pN1, pN2, or pN3. Ois = TisN0 M0.

Table 4 Cox proportional hazard model analysis of prognostic factors in patients with bladder cancer

| Variable                  | OS | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------|----|-------------|---------|
| Univariate analysis       |    |             |         |
| Gender                    |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.209   |
| Male                      |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.286   |
| Female                    |    | 0.613 (0.286–1.315) | 0.286   |
| Age (years)               |    |             |         |
| <65                       |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.607   |
| ≥65                       |    | 1.393 (0.758–2.559) | 0.701   |
| Tumor size                |    |             |         |
| <4 cm                     |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.013   |
| ≥4 cm                     |    | 0.846 (0.448–1.599) | 0.024   |
| Tumor grade               |    |             |         |
| G1–2                      |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.011   |
| G3                        |    | 0.845 (0.359–1.992) | 0.025   |
| Pathological T stage      |    |             |         |
| pTis/T1                   |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.025   |
| pT2–4                     |    | 2.520 (1.219–5.206) | 0.153   |
| LN M                      |    |             |         |
| pNx/pN0                   |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.024   |
| pN+                       |    | 2.192 (1.112–4.323) | 0.011   |
| Metastasis                |    |             |         |
| M0                        |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.025   |
| M1                        |    | 3.379 (1.323–8.626) | 0.012   |
| TNM stage                 |    |             |         |
| Ois-I                     |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.153   |
| II–IV                     |    | 2.108 (1.096–4.05) | 0.237   |
| KIF15                     |    |             |         |
| Low/absent                |    | 1.000 (reference) | 0.668   |
| High                      |    | 2.152 (1.181–3.920) | 0.003   |

Notes: pN+ = pN1, pN2, or pN3. Ois = TisN0 M0.

Abbreviation: OS, overall survival.

difference was observed. Cox proportional hazard model analysis showed that significant poor prognosis was associated with a higher level of KIF15 expression. This contradiction may be attributable to the patients in the study population, most of whom had muscle-invasive BC, as BC patients reportedly suffer poor survival despite receiving the standard therapy. Indeed, more BC patients should be included to validate this conclusion clinically.

The MEK–ERK pathway plays an important role in cell proliferation and participates in the genesis of many epithelial cancers. The MEK–ERK pathway participates in several cellular processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and motility and plays an important role in BC prognosis. This pathway is often upregulated in cancer tissues and plays an
Figure 5 KIF15 promotes BC cells proliferation.

Notes: (A) T24 and 253J cells transfected with siRNA, respectively, were subject to Western blotting. (B–D) CCK-8 assay, Edu assay, and colony formation assay comparing proliferation ability of KIF15 knockdown and NC group. Adjustments of brightness, contrast, and size are applied to the whole images of Western blot-based analyses without elimination of any information present in the original, including backgrounds. Data are presented as mean ± SD. All experiments are performed in triplicate, and mean values are shown. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: BC, bladder cancer; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8; CON, control; Edu, ethynyl deoxyuridine; NC, negative control.
KiF15 promotes BC cells proliferation via the MeK–eRK pathway.

Notes: Western blot analysis showed that KiF15 knockdown inhibited p-eRK, P-c-Raf, P-MeK, P-p90Rsk, CyclinD1, Cycline2, CDK2, and CDK4 expression in BC cells. Adjustments of brightness, contrast, and size are applied to the whole images of western blot-based analyses without elimination of any information present in the original, including backgrounds.

Abbreviations: BC, bladder cancer; nC, negative control.

Figure 6 KIF15 promotes BC cells proliferation via the MEK–ERK pathway.

Vital role in anticancer therapy. Currently, the efficacy of MEK inhibitors targeting the MEK–ERK pathway has been put in clinical trials for study, and according to the previous research, MEK–ERK pathway can regulate cyclinD1 transcription and influence the cell cycle process. Furthermore, from our studies, ERK also regulates the formation of the cyclinE/CDK2 complex. Thus, MEK–ERK pathway plays an important role in KIF15 regulation of BC cells proliferation.

However, clinical features were not distinctly different between patients with higher vs lower expression of KIF15, and detailed mechanisms are not yet understood. Larger sample studies and more extensive investigation of these mechanisms are needed in future investigations of KIF15 in BC.

Conclusion
This study used an online public database to find DEGs, identified a novel role for KIF15 in promoting BC cell proliferation, and demonstrated a potential link between KIF15 and the MEK–ERK signaling pathway. In conclusion, our study provided evidence supporting KIF15 as a key regulator and correlated KIF15 expression and the clinical prognosis of BC patients. Therefore, KIF15 may be a potential therapeutic target for BC treatment in the future.
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