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Label-Free Proteomic Analysis of Flavohemoglobin Deleted Strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae
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Yeast flavohemoglobin, YHb, encoded by the nuclear gene YHBI, has been implicated in the nitrosative stress responses in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. It is still unclear how S. cerevisiae can withstand this NO level in the absence of flavohemoglobin. To better understand the physiological function of flavohemoglobin in yeast, in the present study a label-free differential proteomics study has been carried out in wild-type and YHB1 deleted strains of S. cerevisiae grown under fermentative conditions. From the analysis, 417 proteins in Y190 and 392 proteins in ΔYHB1 were identified with high confidence. Interestingly, among the differentially expressed identified proteins, 40 proteins were found to be downregulated whereas 41 were found to be upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae (p value < 0.05). The differentially expressed proteins were also classified according to gene ontology (GO) terms. The most enriched and significant GO terms included nitrogen compound biosynthesis, amino acid biosynthesis, translational regulation, and protein folding. Interactions of differentially expressed proteins were generated using Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database. This is the first report which offers a more complete view of the proteome changes in S. cerevisiae in the absence of flavohemoglobin.

1. Introduction

All major groups of organisms including plants, vertebrates, invertebrates, protozoa, bacteria, and fungi contain hemoglobin [1]. Hemoglobin constitutes a diverse superfamily of proteins that are grouped together because they all bind oxygen reversibly and possess a conserved heme-binding domain, the “myoglobin fold” [2]. Beside these common features, hemoglobin is otherwise divergent in structure and complexity. Most types of vertebrate hemoglobin consist of two types of polypeptide subunits that have single heme domains and form tetrameric oligomers. However, bacterial, fungal, and protozoan hemoglobin fall into two general types: (i) dimeric hemoproteins composed of two single heme domain polypeptides and (ii) monomeric flavohemoproteins containing a single heme-binding domain at the amino terminus and a carboxyl-terminal FAD-binding domain that is related to those found in proteins in the ferredoxin-NADP reductase family [3]. DNA sequence analysis showed that this flavohemoglobin appears to form a distinct subgroup within the hemoglobin family [1, 4]. It has been known for a long time that vertebrate hemoglobin and invertebrate hemoglobin transport O2 and CO2. Now it has been discovered that the hemoglobin of both eukaryotes and prokaryotes has additional functions relating to nitric oxide [NO]. Studies showed that expression of the E. coli hemoglobin gene (hmp) is induced by nitrite, NO, and SNO and confer protection from each of them. E. coli mutants deficient in HMP show compromised ability to metabolize NO and SNO to nitrate [5–9]. Prominent roles of flavohemoglobin protein (HMP) have been demonstrated in NO metabolism and Salmonella pathogenesis [10, 11]. Flavohemoglobin mutant S. typhimurium shows impaired growth under nitrosative stress conditions [12].

Saccharomyces hemoglobin was discovered in 1953 [13] but its function remained unknown for a long time [4, 14, 15]. The expression of its gene, YHBI, is induced by oxygen, which is the opposite of the effect oxygen has on the expression of
most bacterial hemoglobin genes [16, 17]. This observation has been taken to indicate that the hemoglobin of yeast and bacteria may have different functions. It has been shown that yeast flavohemoglobin (yhbl) localizes to two distinct intracellular compartments in respiring cells, the mitochondrial matrix and the cytosol [18]. Moreover, it has been found that the distribution of YHBI between these two compartments is affected by the presence or absence of oxygen and by the mitochondrial genome. Several observations suggest physiological connections between the expression and function of this protein, mitochondrial respiration, and oxidative and nitrosative stress. It has now been shown that yeast YHBI is required to metabolize NO and thereby protects against nitrosylation of cellular targets and inhibition of cell growth under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. That is, the primary function of YHBI is to protect against a nitrosative stress. It has also been suggested that YHBI may protect against oxidative stress [99], but this function has been questioned [20]. Our laboratory for the first time investigated a detailed characterization on the effect of nitrosative stress on S. cerevisiae under respiratory proficient condition. The lack of flavohemoglobin was apparently not detrimental to the cell. Our studies showed that NO or reactive nitrogen species (RNS) produced in flavohemoglobin mutant (ΔYHBI) strain along with the wild-type strain (Y190) of S. cerevisiae under respiratory proficient conditions. Neither the respiration rate nor the ROS production level was changed in ΔYHBI strains of S. cerevisiae compared to wild type indicating the existence of second line of defense in absence of flavohemoglobin. In this context it is interesting to note that increased basal levels of GSH, GR, and mitochondrial catalase appear to be able to compensate for the lower levels of cellular flavohemoglobin [21]. We observed many immunopositive spots both in cytosol and in mitochondria from Y190 and ΔYHBI using monoclonal anti-3-nitrotyrosine antibody indicating a basal level of NO or nitrite or peroxynitrite is produced in yeast system [22, 23]. To identify proteins nitrated in vivo we analyzed mitochondrial proteins from Y190 strains of S. cerevisiae. Among the eight identified proteins, two target mitochondrial proteins are aconitase and isocitrate dehydrogenase that are involved directly in the citric acid cycle. This investigation is the first comprehensive study to identify mitochondrial proteins nitrated in vivo [22]. In another study, we also showed that induction of catalase was found at activity as well as gene expression level in ΔYHBI mutants when subtoxic dose of peroxynitrite was applied to wild type as well as in ΔYHBI mutant grown under fermentative conditions. Such induction was not due to intracellular reactive oxygen species formation [24]. It is still unclear whether endogenously produced NO, if not scavenged by flavohemoglobin, has any detrimental role or how yeast can withstand this NO level. To better understand the physiological function of flavohemoglobin in yeast, in the present study we have carried out label-free differential proteomics study using soluble extract of wild-type (Y190) and flavohemoglobin deleted strains (ΔYHBI mutant) of S. cerevisiae grown under fermentative conditions. Quantification was achieved by employing a label-free system, whereby the summed intensity of the top three most intense peptides assigned to a protein is assumed to be proportional to the protein concentration, which can therefore be estimated by comparison with the three largest peptide intensities of an injected internal standard. This study could provide a significant insight into the role of flavohemoglobin on metabolic pathways or how S. cerevisiae cope with and regulate in vivo produced NO and RNS.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Strains and Media Used. Strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae used in the studies were Y190 (MATα GAL 4 GAL 80 HIS3Δ200 TRP1-901 ADE2-101 URA3-52 LEU2-3,112 URA3::GAL1-1ocZ LYS2::GAL4 (UAS):HIS3 cyh8, wild type) from CLONTECH and its isogenic flavohemoglobin (YHBI) null mutant (both the strains were gifted by Dr. Jonathan Stamler) [4]. The cells were grown in YPD broth (1% yeast extract, 2% Bacto-Peptone (Difco), and 2% dextrose) at 30°C under shaking condition (140–150 rpm).

2.2. Measurement of Cell Growth. For growth measurement experiments, midlog phase culture was used as inoculum following dilution in fresh media to O.D.600 nm = 0.07–0.10. Cell growth was monitored turbidimetrically by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm at every one-hour interval.

2.3. Cell Cultivation and Cell Lysate Preparation. Single colony of wild-type S. cerevisiae (Y190) and flavohemoglobin deleted strain of S. cerevisiae (ΔYHBI) were grown in YPD medium under shaking condition at 150 rpm overnight at 30°C. A very small amount of overnight grown inoculum of both the strains was inoculated in fresh YPD media so that the initial O.D. of the culture was 0.1. The inoculated cultures were grown under shaking condition at 150 rpm for 12 hours. Both the cultures reached at 15 O.D. which was the midlog phase of S. cerevisiae growth. Midlog phase cells of Y190 and ΔYHBI of S. cerevisiae were collected following centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes. Cells were washed twice in PBS followed by washing in double distilled water and were kept on ice. Temperature was maintained at 4°C throughout the lysate preparation. Yeast cells were lysed using glass bead lysis method. Cells were suspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Acid washed glass beads were added and were subjected to vortex for 1 min followed by immediately keeping the lysate in ice for 1 min. This cycle was followed at least six times until sufficient numbers of cells were found to be lysed. Protein concentrations were measured using Bradford assay [25]. Samples were lyophilized and stored at −20°C for further LC/MS analysis.

2.4. Sample Preparation for LC. An amount of 200 μg of each protein sample was solubilized in 0.1% Rapigest (w/v Rapigest in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate). The solution was concentrated to 100 μL using 3 kDa spin column and heated to 80°C for 30 min. Samples were reduced with 0.5 mM DTT at 60°C for 30 min followed by alkylation with 5 μL of 200 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min in dark at room temperature. All the samples were digested with trypsin,
where trypsin to protein ratio was maintained at 1:50 for 4-hour incubation at 37°C and with additional incubation with trypsin at 1:50 ratio overnight at 37°C. The sample protein digests were acidified to hydrolyze Rapigest and to stop trypsin activity with 2 μL formic acid (37% w/v). Tryptic digest was mixed with standard protein digest and used for nano-UPLC/ESI QToF HDMS² analysis using SYNAPT G2 HDMS.

2.5. LC-MS². For nano-HPLC analysis, a NanoACQUITY UPLC/ESI QToF HDMS² with SYNAPT G2 HDMS system configured for conventional ID chromatography was used. Analytical reversed-phase column used was NanoACQUITY C18, 1.7 μm, 75 μm x 200 mm, ethylene bridged hybrid (BEH), Waters. For peptide trapping, a trapping column NanoACQUITY UPLC column, Symmetry C18 5 μm, 180 μm x 20 mm, Waters, was used. Mobile solvents were 0.1% formic acid in water as buffer A and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile as buffer B. Injection volume was set at 1 μL. Peptides were eluted from the column in a 60 min linear gradient going from 99% buffer A to 1% buffer B in 60 min. The flow rate during elution was set at 300 nL/min and the analytical column temperature was set at 37°C. All samples were analyzed in triplicate. For all measurements, the mass spectrometer was operated in the positive-ion mode with a typical resolving power of at least 10,000 full-width half-maximum. Accurate mass LC-MS data were collected in high definition MS² mode at low energy using low energy of 4 eV and for elevated energy ramping from 15 to 40 eV, switching every 0.8 sec. The total acquisition time was 60 min for the mass range of 50–2000 m/Ze. The lock mass and window were set at 785.8426/0.25 Da. Raw data was imported to Waters PLGS software and processed using low energy threshold of 100 counts and higher energy threshold of 30 counts. Intensity threshold was set at 500 counts.

2.6. Data Processing, Protein Identification, and Quantification. LC-MS data were processed and searched using ProteinLynx Global Server version 2.3 (PLGS 2.3) (Waters). Raw datasets were processed and peak lists were generated based on the assignment of precursor ions and fragments based on similar retention times as described previously [26, 27]. S. cerevisiae UNIPROT databank was used to search each triplicate run with the following parameters: peptide tolerance was 10 ppm and 20 ppm for fragment tolerance; trypsin missed cleavages were set as 1 and the following fixed modification was considered: CAM, Acetyl N-term, oxidation (M), deamidation (NQ), and nitric oxide NO (CY). Label-free quantitation was performed using peak intensity measurements in Waters ExpressionE, which is part of PLGS 2.3. Quantitation is done assuming the intensity response under ESI conditions of the three most intense peptides intensities observed in low collision energy mode in a triplicate set is a function of the molar amount infused in the mass spectrometer. For protein quantification, datasets were normalized using the PLGS “autonormalization” function and clustering software included in PLGS 2.3. The absolute amount of every identified protein is determined as a ratio of the “Hi3” peptide intensity of the protein of interest to that of the “Hi3” peptide intensity of a spiked internal standard as reference. Only those proteins identified in at least two of three injections were used as significant change in protein abundance. All proteins whose abundance was significantly different between samples were manually assessed by checking the matched peptide and replication level across samples which may be due to highly similar protein isoforms.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. For each quantification, the p value was calculated for the log, transformed values by using independent sample t-test. The p value was calculated based on the normal distribution of the ratios. Proteins with a p value below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.8. Functional Annotation. The significantly regulated proteins were analyzed using Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, version 6.7) functional annotation tool [28, 29]. Functionally enriched gene ontology (GO) terms were visualized in semantic space using SimRel functional similarity measure [30] and the REVIGO online visualization tool [31] modified with the Cytoscape version 3.1.1. Protein interaction networks were built using the online database resource Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) [32] which are visualized by Medusa [33], a Java application for visualizing and manipulating graphs of interaction. The interactions include direct (physical) and indirect (functional) associations derived from genomic context, high-throughput experiments, coexpression, and literature mining.

3. Results

Many studies have shown that yeast flavohemoglobin encoded by the gene YHBI consumes NO very efficiently and Yhb1 is likely to be the main protective mechanism against NO in some microorganisms [4, 16, 34, 35]. To investigate the role of flavohemoglobin in S. cerevisiae under fermentative growth, label-free quantitative differential proteomic profiling was carried out using cell lysates of Y190 and ΔYHBI strain of S. cerevisiae. Differential proteomic profiling in ΔYHBI strain of S. cerevisiae would also reflect the change in expression pattern of the nitrosative stress responsive proteins.

The quantitative differential proteomics experiments (Figure 1) were carried out in Waters NanoACQUITY UPLC coupled to a SYNAPT G2 mass spectrometer. Figure 2 shows a plot of abundance relating to 498 proteins in Y190 (Figure 2(a)) and 473 proteins in ΔYHBI strain of S. cerevisiae (Figure 2(b)), respectively, identified from YPD grown cell extracts. A total list of identified proteins with protein score, sequence coverage, top three matched peptide intensities, and calculated protein quantity in fmol and nanogram levels in Y190 and ΔYHBI strain of S. cerevisiae are shown in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Table S2 in Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/8302423, respectively. However, the above numbers for identified proteins also include the other homologues of S. cerevisiae. Therefore, the identified proteins were analyzed based on the availability in S. cerevisiae data base exclusively.
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Figure 1: Overall workflow for label-free quantitation of Y190 and ΔYHB1 strains of S. cerevisiae using differential proteomics. Midlog phase cells were lysed and protein extracts were digested with trypsin (a), followed by LC-MS/MS runs in MS² parallel mode (b) and statistical evaluation of results for identification and quantitation of identified proteins (c).

Figure 2: Scattered plot of the dynamic range of proteins identified in ΔYHB1 (a) and Y190 (b) strains of S. cerevisiae. x-axis represents number of proteins identified in label-free quantitation which not only includes proteins identified from the database of S. cerevisiae but also includes the other homologues of it. y-axis represents proteins at fmol level. The arrow indicates the level of flavohemoglobin protein (8.93 fmol) in wild-type (Y190) strain of S. cerevisiae which is absent in flavohemoglobin deleted strain of S. cerevisiae (ΔYHB1).

Figure 3 represents the complete differential proteomic profiling of Y190 and ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. The protein identification was based on the detection of at least two fragment ions per peptide with two or more than two peptides identified per protein. During the merging of the individual datasets from the label-free experiment, only peptides with a False Discovery Rate (FDR) < 4% were included. From the analysis, 417 proteins in Y190 and 392 proteins in ΔYHB1 were identified with high confidence. Among the total proteins identified in wild-type Y90, 259 proteins were identified in triplicate and 64 proteins in duplicate while 94 proteins were identified in single set of experiment (Figure 3(a)). On the other hand, in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae, 227 proteins were identified in triplicate and 78 proteins in duplicate while 88 proteins were identified in single set of experiment (Figure 3(b)). Based on the fold change values, upregulated and downregulated proteins were arranged with their corresponding p value (Supplementary Table S3). Only those proteins were considered as positive up- or downregulated proteins which were identified with a p value < 0.05 and were found to become abundant in at least two of the three replicates. Among the 81 nonredundant differentially expressed identified proteins, 40 were found to be downregulated (Table 1) whereas 41 were found to be upregulated (Table 2) in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. Nine proteins were identified as unique proteins exclusively present in Y190.
Table 1: List of downregulated proteins in ΔYHB1 cells of *S. cerevisiae*.

| Gene ID | Protein description                                      | log$_2$-fold change | p value  |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| ILV5    | Ketol-acid reductoisomerase, mitochondrial               | −0.62               | 0.0002   |
| BAT2    | Branched-chain-amino acid aminotransferase, cytosolic   | −1.05               | 0.0011   |
| HSP26   | Heat shock protein 26                                    | −0.98               | 0.0015   |
| HSP12   | 12 kDa heat shock protein                                | −0.75               | 0.0024   |
| ARO2    | Chorismate synthase                                      | −1.11               | 0.0040   |
| SHM2    | Serine hydroxymethyltransferase, cytosolic              | −0.89               | 0.0043   |
| ASN1    | Asparagine synthetase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] 1         | −1.71               | 0.0045   |
| ACO2    | Probable aconitate hydratase 2                           | −0.78               | 0.0049   |
| ARO8    | Aromatic amino acid aminotransferase 1                  | −1.02               | 0.0050   |
| ADE3    | C-1-Tetrahydrofolate synthase, cytoplasmic             | −0.54               | 0.0057   |
| LYS20   | Homocitrate synthase, cytosolic isozyme                 | −0.93               | 0.0079   |
| ACO1    | Aconitate hydratase, mitochondrial                       | −0.49               | 0.0099   |
| HSP10   | 10 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial                | −0.29               | 0.0104   |
| DUG1    | Cys-Gly metallopeptidase YFR044C                         | −0.22               | 0.0109   |
| EN01    | Enolase 1                                               | −0.73               | 0.0112   |
| RPL34A  | 60S ribosomal protein L34-A                              | −0.70               | 0.0126   |
| ARO4    | Phospho-2-dehydro-3-deoxyheptonate aldolase, tyrosine-inhibited | −1.02 | 0.0137 |
| HIS4    | Histidine biosynthesis trifunctional protein             | −2.82               | 0.0141   |
| LYS1    | Saccharopine dehydrogenase [NAD+, L-lysine-forming]     | −1.33               | 0.0150   |
| MET17   | Protein MET17                                           | −0.92               | 0.0164   |
| ECM10   | Heat shock protein SSC3, mitochondrial                   | −0.55               | 0.0174   |
| LYS9    | Saccharopine dehydrogenase [NADP+, L-glutamate-forming] | −1.17               | 0.0228   |
| THR4    | Threonine synthase                                       | −0.22               | 0.0232   |
| HSP82   | ATP-dependent molecular chaperone HSP82                 | −1.02               | 0.0236   |
| AAT2    | Aspartate aminotransferase, cytoplasmic                 | −0.89               | 0.0246   |
| BAT1    | Branched-chain-amino acid aminotransferase, mitochondrial| −0.66               | 0.0249   |
| DPS1    | Aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic                    | −0.59               | 0.0265   |
| KGD1    | 2-Oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 component, mitochondrial| −0.38               | 0.0305   |
| ASN2    | Asparagine synthetase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] 2         | −0.90               | 0.0321   |
| SSB1    | Heat shock protein SSB1                                  | −2.02               | 0.0327   |
| SOD1    | Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn]                            | −0.24               | 0.0356   |
| THS1    | Threonyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic                    | −0.07               | 0.0362   |
| ALD6    | Magnesium-activated aldehyde dehydrogenase, cytosolic   | −0.14               | 0.0364   |
| LEU4    | 2-Isopropylmalate synthase                              | −1.35               | 0.0394   |
| KRS1    | Lysyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic                       | −0.72               | 0.0400   |
| ILV3    | Dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, mitochondrial               | −0.98               | 0.0417   |
| LEU1    | 3-Isopropylmalate dehydratase                            | −1.02               | 0.0451   |
| AHP1    | Peroxiredoxin type-2                                     | −0.37               | 0.0460   |
| RHR2    | (DL)-Glycerol-3-phosphatase 1                           | −0.44               | 0.0503   |
| ADH3    | Alcohol dehydrogenase 3, mitochondrial                  | −0.58               | 0.0546   |

Strain of *S. cerevisiae* with high confidence in triplicate results (Table 3). It is important to note that flavohemoglobin was present with an abundance of 8.33 fmol in average of the three replicates in the list of nine unique proteins present in Y190 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. However, only 4 proteins were identified as unique which were exclusively present in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* in triplicate (Table 3).

To gain insight into the proteome level change that occurred from YHB1 deletion, the whole proteomics data (p value < 0.05) were subjected to different functional analysis tools. First, the data was analyzed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.7 functional annotation tool. DAVID uses gene ontology and other data sources to cluster proteins based
Table 2: List of upregulated proteins in ΔYHB1 cells of S. cerevisiae.

| Gene ID | Protein description                        | log$_2$-fold change | p value  |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| UGP1    | UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase | 0.54                | 0.0003   |
| TIF1    | ATP-dependent RNA helicase eIF4A           | 1.08                | 0.0007   |
| RPP1A   | 60S acidic ribosomal protein P1-alpha      | 1.32                | 0.0008   |
| OLA1    | Uncharacterized GTP-binding protein OLA1   | 1.03                | 0.0026   |
| RPL6B   | 60S ribosomal protein L6-B                 | 0.91                | 0.0032   |
| YEF3    | Elongation factor 3A                      | 0.41                | 0.0043   |
| RPL5    | 60S ribosomal protein L5                   | 0.15                | 0.0056   |
| ERG13   | Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase         | 0.37                | 0.0066   |
| TEF1    | Elongation factor 1-alpha                 | 0.29                | 0.0084   |
| RPS12   | 40S ribosomal protein S12                 | 0.36                | 0.0085   |
| YDJ1    | Mitochondrial protein import protein MAS5  | 0.39                | 0.0090   |
| RPS9B   | 40S ribosomal protein S9-B                | 0.09                | 0.0111   |
| EFB1    | Elongation factor 1-beta                  | 0.30                | 0.0116   |
| RPS7A   | 40S ribosomal protein S7-A                | 0.21                | 0.0119   |
| CHC1    | Clathrin heavy chain                      | 0.70                | 0.0125   |
| YDR365W-B | Transposon Ty1-LR4 Gag-Pol polyprotein    | 0.24                | 0.0131   |
| CDC60   | Leucyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic       | 0.30                | 0.0138   |
| RPL20A  | 60S ribosomal protein L20-A               | 0.14                | 0.0148   |
| SEC53   | Phosphomannomutase                        | 0.70                | 0.0152   |
| FAS1    | Fatty acid synthase subunit beta          | 0.37                | 0.0159   |
| PSA1    | Mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase   | 0.63                | 0.0164   |
| TEF4    | Elongation factor 1-gamma 2               | 0.32                | 0.0173   |
| ANB1    | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A-1 | 0.28                | 0.0173   |
| CDC9    | Pyruvate kinase 1                         | 0.10                | 0.0183   |
| HXK1    | Hexokinase-1                              | 0.34                | 0.0232   |
| RPL16A  | 60S ribosomal protein L16-A               | 0.16                | 0.0259   |
| RPL7A   | 60S ribosomal protein L7-A                | 0.12                | 0.0262   |
| RPL9A   | 60S ribosomal protein L9-A                | 0.19                | 0.0271   |
| TKL1    | Transketolase 1                           | 0.21                | 0.0280   |
| HSC82   | ATP-dependent molecular chaperone HSC82   | 0.55                | 0.0329   |
| SAHI    | Adenosylhomocysteinase                     | 0.23                | 0.0336   |
| SSZ1    | Ribosome-associated complex subunit SSZ1  | 0.30                | 0.0345   |
| TDH2    | Glyceroldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 2| 0.39                | 0.0346   |
| GND1    | 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating 1 | 0.26 | 0.0352 |
| RPS22A  | 40S ribosomal protein S22-A               | 0.39                | 0.0368   |
| FAS2    | Fatty acid synthase subunit alpha          | 0.31                | 0.0381   |
| TDH3    | Glyceroldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 3| 0.39                | 0.0391   |
| PDC1    | Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1          | 0.30                | 0.0407   |
| RPL19A  | 60S ribosomal protein L19-A               | 0.06                | 0.0419   |
| RPL2A   | 60S ribosomal protein L2-A                | 0.39                | 0.0468   |
| RPL12A  | 60S ribosomal protein L12-A               | 0.23                | 0.0483   |

on the shared annotations to similarity cluster. These annotation clusters help to visualize the connections shared by different proteins in various categories within gene ontology and other annotation sources. Sixty-three of the regulated proteins (both up- and downregulated) were clustered into 14 clusters with similarity term overlap and threshold for kappa similarity set at 4 and 0.35, respectively. Group membership was kept at 2 and multiple lineage threshold was set at 0.5 (Supplementary Table S4). The differentially expressed proteins were also classified according to gene ontology (GO) terms. Sixty-four of the enriched GO terms were visualized using SimRel functional similarity measure and REViGO.
Flavohemoglobin deletion in *S. cerevisiae* caused significant impact on amino acid biosynthetic pathways. The most affected amino acid biosynthetic processes include the synthesis of aspartate family of amino acids, branched chain amino acids, and aromatic amino acids where downregulation was observed for 19 proteins involved in the biosynthesis of amino acids. Histidine biosynthesis trifunctional protein His4p was found to be maximally downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* protein (log₂-fold change −2.82, *p* value: 0.014). It was the highest fold change value obtained in quantitative label-free differential proteomic profiling.

Another important protein was Arg1p which is required for arginine biosynthesis. Arg1p was uniquely identified in wild-type *S. cerevisiae* Y190 in this experiment. Two of the proteins responsible for antioxidant defense Peroxiredoxin Ahlp and superoxide dismutase Sodlp were also found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other major downregulated proteins include three of the amino acyl tRNA synthases Dps1, Krs1, and Ths1, serine hydroxymethyltransferase Shm2p, mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase Adh3p, and Cys Gly metallopeptidase Duglp.

Many members of the glycolytic, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), and pentose phosphate pathway were also affected upon YHB1 deletion. Enolase (Eno1p) of glycolytic pathway, aconitase (Aco1p and Aco2p), and 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (Kgd1p) of the TCA cycle were all downregulated in ΔYHB1. Some other members of the TCA cycle phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pck1p), NAD (+)-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh1p), and pyruvate carboxylase cytoplasmic isoform (Pyc1p) were also uniquely identified in Y190. However, two isoforms of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd1p and Gpd2p) were found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. These enzymes are key players in the pentose phosphate pathway and glycolysis, and their downregulation could suggest a shift towards oxidative phosphorylation for energy production.

When the seventy-nine of the regulated proteins were mapped it was found that the regulated proteins were significantly interacting with each other (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S6).

### Table 3: Uniquely identified proteins in three replicate experiments in Y190 and ΔYHB1 cells of *S. cerevisiae.*

| Gene ID | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| **Unique in Y190** |
| BNA1 | 3-Hydroxyanthranilic acid dioxygenase |
| ARG1 | Argininosuccinate synthase |
| YHB1 | Nitric oxide oxidoreductase; flavohemoglobin |
| IDH1 | Subunit of mitochondrial NAD (+)-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase |
| THR1 | Homoserine kinase |
| PCK1 | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase |
| ADE1 | N-Succinyl-5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide synthetase |
| PYC1 | Pyruvate carboxylase isoform, cytoplasmic |
| RNR2 | Ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase, small subunit |
| **Unique in ΔYHB1** |
| CBF5 | Pseudouridine synthase catalytic subunit of box H/ACA snRNPs |
| PDB1 | El beta subunit of the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) complex |
| RPL37A | Ribosomal 60S subunit protein |
| RPL37B | Ribosomal 60S subunit protein |

When the seventy-nine of the regulated proteins were mapped it was found that the regulated proteins were significantly interacting with each other (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S6).

**Flavohemoglobin deletion in *S. cerevisiae* caused significant impact on amino acid biosynthetic pathways. The most affected amino acid biosynthetic processes include the synthesis of aspartate family of amino acids, branched chain amino acids, and aromatic amino acids where downregulation was observed for 19 proteins involved in the biosynthesis of amino acids. Histidine biosynthesis trifunctional protein His4p was found to be maximally downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* protein (log₂-fold change −2.82, *p* value: 0.014). It was the highest fold change value obtained in quantitative label-free differential proteomic profiling.**

**Another important protein was Arg1p which is required for arginine biosynthesis. Arg1p was uniquely identified in wild-type *S. cerevisiae* Y190 in this experiment. Two of the proteins responsible for antioxidant defense Peroxiredoxin Ahlp and superoxide dismutase Sodlp were also found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other major downregulated proteins include three of the amino acyl tRNA synthases Dps1, Krs1, and Ths1, serine hydroxymethyltransferase Shm2p, mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase Adh3p, and Cys Gly metallopeptidase Duglp.**

Many members of the glycolytic, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), and pentose phosphate pathway were also affected upon YHB1 deletion. Enolase (Eno1p) of glycolytic pathway, aconitase (Aco1p and Aco2p), and 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (Kgd1p) of the TCA cycle were all downregulated in ΔYHB1. Some other members of the TCA cycle phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pck1p), NAD (+)-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh1p), and pyruvate carboxylase cytoplasmic isoform (Pyc1p) were also uniquely identified in Y190. However, two isoforms of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd1p and Gpd2p) were found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. These enzymes are key players in the pentose phosphate pathway and glycolysis, and their downregulation could suggest a shift towards oxidative phosphorylation for energy production.

When the seventy-nine of the regulated proteins were mapped it was found that the regulated proteins were significantly interacting with each other (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S6).

**Flavohemoglobin deletion in *S. cerevisiae* caused significant impact on amino acid biosynthetic pathways. The most affected amino acid biosynthetic processes include the synthesis of aspartate family of amino acids, branched chain amino acids, and aromatic amino acids where downregulation was observed for 19 proteins involved in the biosynthesis of amino acids. Histidine biosynthesis trifunctional protein His4p was found to be maximally downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* protein (log₂-fold change −2.82, *p* value: 0.014). It was the highest fold change value obtained in quantitative label-free differential proteomic profiling.**

**Another important protein was Arg1p which is required for arginine biosynthesis. Arg1p was uniquely identified in wild-type *S. cerevisiae* Y190 in this experiment. Two of the proteins responsible for antioxidant defense Peroxiredoxin Ahlp and superoxide dismutase Sodlp were also found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other major downregulated proteins include three of the amino acyl tRNA synthases Dps1, Krs1, and Ths1, serine hydroxymethyltransferase Shm2p, mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase Adh3p, and Cys Gly metallopeptidase Duglp.**

Many members of the glycolytic, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), and pentose phosphate pathway were also affected upon YHB1 deletion. Enolase (Eno1p) of glycolytic pathway, aconitase (Aco1p and Aco2p), and 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (Kgd1p) of the TCA cycle were all downregulated in ΔYHB1. Some other members of the TCA cycle phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pck1p), NAD (+)-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh1p), and pyruvate carboxylase cytoplasmic isoform (Pyc1p) were also uniquely identified in Y190. However, two isoforms of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd1p and Gpd2p) were found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. These enzymes are key players in the pentose phosphate pathway and glycolysis, and their downregulation could suggest a shift towards oxidative phosphorylation for energy production.
Figure 4: Enriched gene ontology terms among the upregulated and downregulated proteins upon flavohemoglobin YHB1 deletion in *S. cerevisiae*. The intensity of color and diameter of each dot represent the enrichment of the GO terms.

dehydrogenase (Tdh2p and Tdh3p), pyruvate kinase Cdc19p, pyruvate decarboxylase Pdc1p, and hexokinase Hxk1p were upregulated in ΔYHB1. Also, E1 beta subunit of the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) complex Pdb1p was uniquely identified in ΔYHB1. 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase Gnd1p and transketolase Tkl1p of the pentose phosphate pathway were also upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*.

Few of the chaperone proteins were differentially regulated upon YHB1 deletion. Hsp90 chaperone Hsc82p and the Hsp40/DnaJ family chaperone Ydj1p were upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. However, other Hsp70 family members Ssb1p and Ecml0p and the other Hsp90 chaperone Hsp82p were found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other cytosolic members of small heat shock family proteins Hsp10p, Hsp12p, and Hsp26p were also downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*.

Few members of the amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism were found to be upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. These included mannose-1-phosphate guanyllyltransferase (Psa1p), phosphomannomutase (Sec53p), and UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase (Ugp1p). Two of the fatty acid synthase subunits Fas1p and Fas2p, hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase Erg13p, and Sahlp which regulates cellular lipid homeostasis were upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Clathrin heavy chain Chc1p, GTP-binding protein Olalp, few of the ribosomal proteins of the 60S and 40S, DEA(D/H)-box RNA helicase Tif1p, and few of the elongation factors Yef3p, Tef4p, Efb1p, and Tef1p were also upregulated ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*.

4. Discussion

This is first proteomics based study to illustrate the cellular response in flavohemoglobin deleted strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Flavohemoglobin deletion in *S. cerevisiae* did not impose any significant change in the yeast phenotype or growth pattern between the wild type and mutant when cells were grown under fermentative conditions as evident from the growth curve data [23]. It proves that *S. cerevisiae* can cope with the reactive nitrogen species (RNS) generated from cellular metabolisms even when those RNS are not scavenged by flavohemoglobin. Moreover, our previous studies also indicated the microbiostatic effect of RNS on *S. cerevisiae* under nitrosative stress even in the absence of flavohemoglobin [21]. This indicates that other compensating and more elaborate mechanisms are present in yeast to meet this challenge.

A global transcriptome profile of *S. cerevisiae* under nitrosative stress has been reported by Horan et al., 2006 [35]. The transcriptomics data were collected from the cells which were grown in respiratory proficient media. Our proteomics study was carried out in fermentative YPD media and it did not match with the transcriptomics data. Much less work has been published on cellular defense against nitrosative stress; particularly the role of cellular metabolic regulation as defense against nitrosative stress is poorly understood.
Our data shows that branched chain amino acids (BCAA) leucine, isoleucine, and valine biosynthesis were impaired in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* due to downregulation of dihydroxy-acid dehydratase (Ilv3p) that catalyzes the second step of leucine biosynthesis. Its immediate downstream enzymes Ilv5p, aminotransferases Bat1p/Bat2p, and isopropylmalate isomerase Leu1p were also downregulated in ΔYHB1. Ilv3p is a vulnerable target to nitrosative stress as it contains Fe-S cluster that is prone to be attacked by nitric oxide [36].

The downregulation of amino acid biosynthesis I in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* also affects lysine biosynthesis via the α-aminoadipate which is the dominant pathway of lysine biosynthesis in *S. cerevisiae* [37, 38]. Lys20p is the key enzyme in first step of lysine biosynthesis that converts α-ketoglutarate to homocitrate and exerts maximum flux control in lysine synthesis [39]. It was downregulated in flavohemoglobin deleted strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other lysine biosynthesis enzymes that were also downregulated include saccharopine dehydrogenase Lys1p and Lys9p. Also, aminoadipate aminotransferase Aro8p that catalyses the formation of α-aminoadipate from α-ketoadipate [40] was found to be downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae*. Other pathways like asparagine biosynthesis (Asn1p and Asn2p), shikimate acid pathway and chorismate biosynthesis via shikimate (Aro4p, Aro8p, and Aro2p), and histidine biosynthesis (His3p) were downregulated in the absence of flavohemoglobin. However, the downregulation of the enzymes in amino acid biosynthesis process was not prominent in ΔYHB1 strain of *S. cerevisiae* in this study as cells were grown in amino acid rich complex media under fermentative conditions.

Similar impact on amino acid biosynthesis under nitrosative stress has already been reported in *E. coli*. When *E. coli* cells are challenged with nitric oxide, it induces bacteriostasis by damaging the Fe-S cluster of dihydroxy-acid dehydratase involved in branched chain amino acid synthesis [41]. Nitric oxide also induces lysine and methionine auxotrophy in *Salmonella typhimurium* that results from reduced succinyl-CoA availability that is required for methionine and lysine biosynthesis due to lipoamide dehydrogenase deactivation by nitric oxide [42].
Block in TCA cycle due to NO has been documented in many previous studies [43, 44]. In the present study, down-regulation of aconitase (Aco1p) which is also a Fe-S cluster containing protein and 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (Kgd1) was observed in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. The supply of 2-oxoglutarate exerts significant control on the lysine synthesis flux in S. cerevisiae [45]. Thus, substrate unavailability due to downregulation of TCA cycle may result in the downregulation of lysine biosynthesis as observed in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. Another direct effect of the downregulation in amino acid biosynthesis was observed in protein biosynthesis in which three of the amino acyl synthases were downregulated. But the effect may be compensated by upregulation of RNA helicases like Tif1p and elongation factor like Yef3p, along with some of the ribosomal proteins. Tif1p (eIF4A) and its homologs in higher eukaryotes were found to be essential for translation initiation [46–48].

Many of the heat shock proteins and chaperones which are required for effective protein folding were also regulated in absence of YHBI. Hsp70 chaperone Ssb1p and Hsp70 Ecm10p involved in mitochondrial protein import [49] were downregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. Another protein Olalp, a member of the Obg family of GTP-binding proteins, shown to specifically interact with HSP70 [50] was also upregulated in ΔYHB1 strain of S. cerevisiae. It has been reported that Olalp suppresses antioxidant response via nontranscriptional mechanisms. Its upregulation in E. coli inhibits the ability of cells to scavenge damaging reactive oxygen species [51, 52]. All these mechanisms probably help S. cerevisiae to combat the deleterious effects on NO and survive normally.

In conclusion, flavohemoglobin deletion in S. cerevisiae affects translation of several proteins which have been reflected in label-free quantitative differential proteomic analysis. Although S. cerevisiae cells do not have a nitric oxide synthase orthologue in its genome sequence, still several reports indicated the presence of NO and RNS in it. It is conceivable that nitrosative stress would become a problem for S. cerevisiae in the absence of flavohemoglobin and global change at the translational level is an essential requirement for cell to cope with the stressed conditions. Further investigations are required to study the mechanisms of regulation of these pathways involving nitrosative stress.
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