Parametric Design Structures in Low Rise Buildings in Relation to the Urban Context in UAE
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Abstract: The city of Al Ain (Abu Dhabi, UAE) has a mainly low rise residential buildings. Villas as part of a compound or separate units represent the majority of the residential areas in the city. Due to the harsh hot arid climate of Al Ain, the energy demand for the cooling load is quite high. Therefore, it is relevant finding new retrofit strategies that are efficient in reducing the cooling load of the villas. The aim of this study is to analyze one particular strategy (parametric shading structure) in terms of design, construction, cost, energy impact on the selected villa. The main data for this study is taken from the local sources. There are six steps followed in this analysis: case study analysis; climate analysis; parametric structure and PV panels; building energy consumption and outdoor thermal comfort; modelling, simulation, and validation; materials, construction, and cost evaluation. The model of the villa was validated for the full year 2020 based on the electricity bills obtained. After adding the parametric design structure, the reduction after shading is approximately 10%. Meanwhile the UTCI (Universal Thermal Climate Index) dropped from extreme heat stress to strong heat stress (average for the month of March and September). These findings are promising in the retrofit industry due to the advanced calculations used to optimize the parametric design structure.
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1. Introduction

Based on a study done in the city of Abu Dhabi, the residential building stock is the sector that has the highest demand in energy consumption. The energy used for the cooling load of the buildings is higher than the other cities in UAE. Moreover, the OTC values are impacted by the harsh hot arid climate. Although UAE has regulations and codes, there are still residential areas that have a lack of shading structures and do not follow regulations that could improve the indoor and outdoor environment [1].

UAE has several cities but the biggest in terms of population are: Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah, Al Ain. The urban development of Dubai is linear where the buildings are located on the side of two main highways in parallel to the coast. Meanwhile Abu Dhabi has the financial center in the downtown area of the main island and the residential zones develop around it. Al Ain has also a central area with low-rise buildings (5–6 floors) and the rest of the city is made of villas as part of compound or individual units. Studying retrofit strategies for villas is relevant for the city of Al Ain [2].

There is growth in residential electricity bills for both expats and nationals from 2011 to 2017, and this data includes both the Abu Dhabi Distribution Company and Al Ain Distribution Company Statistics; it shows that Abu Dhabi has the highest electricity consumption in the domestic sector in 2018 [3].
Referring to a study based on existing residential buildings stock, buildings constructed before 2003 did not follow any such regulations in both of the emirates of Dubai and Sharjah. In Abu Dhabi, the city of Al Ain’s thermal insulation requirement was only established in 2011. The data show that all buildings in UAE built before 2003 did not have to satisfy any thermal insulation requirements [4].

The cooling load of buildings can be mitigated only by improving the current conditions of the building stock. Therefore, finding retrofit strategies is crucial. These strategies are efficient in application, maintenance, and cost. Based on a study done in a villa in Abu Dhabi, it is shown that several retrofit strategies such as window louvres, cool paint, efficient glazing, external shading devices can reduce the annual energy consumption by 43% [5,6].

There is a study in Abu Dhabi that is related to the project target; it is allegedly most likely to reduce 10% of residential projects’ electricity bills in UAE by adopting simple passive strategies such as shading devices; it could be valid for retrofitting existing projects. A recent study proved that a 6% reduction in the houses’ annual energy consumption could be achieved. Therefore, based on a simple calculation, it would result in a 2.7% reduction in the annual energy consumption of UAE. Green design principles can be uncomplicated and efficient at the same time without adding much extra cost and complexity to the architecture, as shown in the figure below [7].

Based on a conducted study, buildings’ energy consumption is 80% of the total amount of electricity consumption in Abu Dhabi. The cooling load comprises 70% of this energy. Some of the useful retrofit strategies that can go along with the parametric shading structure are stated below [8].

To find a solution to these problems and to accomplish the research, case studies were done in a residential stock low-rise building in the city of Al Ain for the development of a sustainable solution for adaptive parametric facades. It involves expanding the parametric shading structures into the neighborhood, creating an innovative approach for the connection to current buildings, and expansion into parking areas [9,10].

The Abu Dhabi Media Zone is a mixed-use development that integrates three city blocks, and it is an important landmark in Abu Dhabi. The project buildings are designed to resemble a large-scale display frame. The frame façades are asymmetrically proportioned and designed with folded smooth claddings. The TwoFour54 towers with the double-skin facade reach levels of performance rarely attained on a project of this scale and are high profile in the Middle East. The double-skin facade (DSF) was an important feature for the design. It achieved three key goals: high environmental quality, an extraordinary glass surface, and the implementation of media lighting. DSF offers a healthy and productive workplace by providing a desirable environment in terms of comfort, quality, and the quantity of light. It consumes between 13 and 16% less energy than a high-performance single skin system [11].

The facade was built by combining five different types of precast pieces in the shape of a propeller. It rotates about 180° on its axis, and the heights vary between 16 to 20 m, depending on their position. The light changes that occur during the day and the artificial lighting at night provide an interesting mix of colors, reflections, and shades, resulting in an ever-changing and unstable image of the facade [12].

This project is mainly designed to maintain residents’ visual privacy, and green design techniques are in place to provide convenience and improve the residents’ standard of living. Furthermore, the residents did not want the strong east and west facade sunlight to penetrate deep into the rooms, particularly in the early mornings and late afternoons when the sea breeze passes through the neighborhood. Therefore, when constructing the exterior, these requirements were the parametric considerations. It consisted of a perforated aluminum screen, where specifics were created and calculated through the use of the Igeo and Grasshopper software programs for various times of the day and weather conditions. The technique of urban camouflage was introduced, in which the double skin facade
inspired by the foliage blends with its surroundings. It was based on the pattern derived from a photographic montage of the surrounding trees and street-scape [13].

The ability to circulate fresh air throughout the building without any reliance on air conditioning and mechanical equipment is the thermal switch’s major performance support. By applying dynamic action to create isolated standard flows, the facade can bring in air passively through the facade and cool building residents.

This system contains an inherent chemical property that turns it proportionally dark to the intensity of UV light, and it allows shading every time it is necessary, but this system is impractically expensive. The material must be efficiently distributed and allocated where needed. Moreover, the design features curved glazing, combining photochromic pigments within protective films. This strategy can be applied to buildings with different climate conditions. It is used mainly to reduce the cooling load. An experiment was made on the UAE region, specifically on the rooftop of the University of Sharjah. This was also connected to the Solar Decathlon Middle East 2018 Dubai, for a housing project. After the experiment was performed, the results showed an improvement of 5–10% when applying the cool roof. This is what made it a great strategy to be used to reduce the cooling load and the building’s generation of electricity. Cool walls are used in different climates and they are not very common in the UAE region; the research and analysis on cool walls indicated that if they were combined with proper indoor ventilation, they would have a huge impact on the reduction of heat gain in the buildings [14–16].

Applying the shading devices in many areas can sometimes lead to a higher amount of energy consumption due to the use of artificial lighting in the building. So, when installing a shading device on a building, it is important to choose the best elevation and direction in order to have enough natural lighting entering the building to reduce the electricity use. Shading devices are the best choice regarding the retrofit strategies. It is recommended to use a centralized automated system, which is more beneficial for the reduction of the cooling load [1,17].

The local standards have clear retrofit strategies on low-rise buildings. The Urban Planning Council (UPC), which is an Abu Dhabi local authority, have developed different programs and regulations since 2010, for example, the Abu Dhabi 2030 plan. Based on the new and updated regulations that are related to the Estidama sustainability rating system, neighborhood areas must follow at least the minimum standard rates of Estidama codes and regulations, and government buildings are required to target a higher level of rating. The main target in this project and the focus of this research is applying the developed retrofit strategies in the low-rise residential villas without exceeding a high retrofit cost and in a reasonable amount of time [18,19].

Based on the above literature analysis, there is a large space for improvement in retrofit strategies for low-rise buildings. Shading devices are an efficient strategy (among others), however multiple parameters must be taken into consideration in order to find the optimized structure [20].

The goal of this research is to design a parametric shading structure that will be added to a residential villa to reduce the excessive heat gains on the villa façade (decreasing the internal cooling load) and improve the walkability for the compound inhabitants. The aim of this structure is to improve both the indoor and outdoor conditions. Instead of adding a multi-shading device for each area separately, this research project suggests to have one parametric shading device structure that connects all areas and the urban context (building facade, parking area, sidewalks) under the same shading structure without any disturbance of the surrounding environment (architecturally and structurally).

The novelty in this research is using advanced software (such as Rhino and Grasshopper) to add parameters that automatically adjust the optimized shape of the structure from the architecture and construction perspective (while reducing cooling load and improving OTC). In addition, the production method brings advanced technology into the mass production.
2. Methodology

The methodology followed in this study is linear. The city was selected because of its rapid urban development and the relevance of the city in the region. Al Ain has a hot arid climate with low levels of humidity, unlike cities on the coast such as Dubai or Abu Dhabi. The data used for the modeling, validation, and cost evaluation model are taken from local sources. The modelling follows two main directions based on the tools used, the base model, and the retrofitted model. The results are compared and adjusted in accordance with the specified method. The results are then compared and analyzed (Figure 1).

![Schematic view of the methodology followed in this study.](image)

The methodology of this research follows the main points below:
- Case study analysis;
- Climate analysis;
- Parametric structure and PV panels;
- Building energy consumption and outdoor thermal comfort;
- Modelling, simulation, and validation;
- Materials, construction, and cost evaluation.

2.1. Case Study Analysis

Based on the research, the MREIFA villa compound is chosen to be the unit to retrofit, located in Alain. This is because we found more problems in this unit. Moreover, a site visit of the compound was performed to investigate and the results showed that the compound has excessive heat gain. As investigated, the heat was very uncomfortable when walking around there. This will cause a high electricity bill due to the overuse of air conditioning. In addition to that, the units are very old, and they do not follow the sustainability standards. So, the aim is to have higher outdoor thermal comfort by adding a parametric shading structure, which will result in less usage of air conditioning and lower the electricity bill. Moreover, one of the reasons to select the Al Ain units (MREIFA VILLAS) is the availability of information and documentation in these units specifically.

The complex is located in Asharej Alain, as illustrated in Figure 2, with a complex area of 131,302.69 m². It consists of 114 units, and the units’ areas range from 420.75 to 608.75 m². Moreover, each unit is a two-story villa that includes a balcony, gym, two bedrooms, maid’s room, parking lots, and a big living room. The villa has many weaknesses that will cause high energy consumption. The first problem is having poor shading, and not only in the unit; even the outdoor area is not shaded and the parking lots in front of the units are unshaded as well, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4, which lets lots of heat inside the units and in the neighborhood; additionally, it caused cracks on the walls. Moreover, there are no water bodies that can help improve the air quality and evaporative cooling. Moreover, there is no green surrounding the units, as seen in Figure 5, and there is also no green in the neighborhood that could help to reduce heat. On the other hand, the strengths and
opportunities we found in the units are the small openings in the units and the plaster epoxy beige paint color they used for the surface, as lighter colored materials will help reflect more sunlight and trap the heat less. In conclusion, this complex consists of many problems that will cause heat and high energy consumption in both the units and the neighborhood, which leads to high electricity bills. The façade and location of the villa is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Analysis of the selected villa and neighborhood. (a) Asharej neighborhood. (b) MREIFA Villa. (c) The parking slots. (d) Surrounding area. (e) Site analysis of MREIFA Villa Compound.

Then, we chose units with different orientations and locations to study the heat gain and retrofit them. Unit A, as shown in Figure 3, has a southeast orientation. There is excessive sun exposure due to heat trapped between two buildings, which exposes the street and villa to excessive heat. Unit B is oriented to the southwest, and it faces the main street with no green surroundings. Unit C is oriented to the northeast; it is on the edge, as seen in Figure 5, which makes it very exposed to heat, causing the overuse of air
conditioning. Finally, unit D is oriented to the southeast with nothing in front of it. In the end, unit B was chosen for this research because it has excessive heat gain since it is located to the southwest and facing the main street.

Based on the site study analysis of the MREIFA villa compound in Alain, it is found that it has no green area surroundings. The sun is mainly directed to the south. The wind comes from the northeast. The compound has the following units: unit A, unit B, unit C, and unit D. Each unit has a different area. Unit A has an area of 420.75 m$^2$, unit B is 497.112 m$^2$, unit C is 608.75 m$^2$, and unit D is 420.75 m$^2$. Regarding the study performed, unit B is chosen to work with (Figure 2).

2.2. Climate Analysis

The city of Al Ain has a hot desert climate characterized by very hot, sunny summers and pleasantly mild winters. June is the warmest month of the year, with an average temperature of 33.8 °C/92.8 °F. January has the lowest average temperature of the whole year, which is 20.8 °C/69.4 °F. The average temperature in Al Ain is 27.8 °C/82.0 °F [21,22].

A sun shading chart helps us to understand the sun angles that we can use to generate energy. It shows that the huge amount of heat south and west that needs to be treated. It also shows the glare in the east that should be avoided (Table 1, Figure 3).

Table 1. Temperature values in the city of Al Ain [21].

|                | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
|----------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Avg. Temperature (°C) | 20.8    | 21.5     | 24.5  | 28.4  | 32.5| 33.8 | 32.6 | 31.5   | 30.8      | 28.9    | 25.3     | 22.6     |
| Min. Temperature (°C)  | 14.7    | 15.8     | 18.6  | 21.7  | 25.6| 27.2 | 26.8 | 25.9   | 24.4      | 22.1    | 18.5     | 16.6     |
| Max. Temperature (°C)  | 26.9    | 27.2     | 30.4  | 35.2  | 39.4| 40.5 | 38.4 | 37.1   | 37.2      | 35.8    | 32.1     | 28.6     |
| Avg. Temperature (°F)  | 69.4    | 70.7     | 76.1  | 83.1  | 90.5| 92.8 | 90.7 | 88.7   | 87.4      | 84      | 77.5     | 72.7     |
| Min. Temperature (°F)  | 58.5    | 60.4     | 65.5  | 71.1  | 78.1| 81   | 80.2 | 78.6   | 75.9      | 71.8    | 65.3     | 61.9     |
| Max. Temperature (°F)  | 80.4    | 81.0     | 86.7  | 95.4  | 102.9| 104.9| 101.1| 98.8   | 99        | 96.4    | 89.8     | 83.5     |
| Precipitation/Rainfall (mm) | 10    | 34       | 9     | 3     | 0   | 1    | 0    | 1      | 1         | 0       | 7        | 6        |

Figure 3. Average temperature of Al Ain from climate analysis [21].
A wind wheel provides climate information like the temperature, wind direction, wind speed, and humidity. These all provide ideas about opening locations and a suitable passive strategy. A wind wheel gives us the opportunity to discover mainly if evaporative cooling and natural ventilation are applicable or not. The figures below present the wind wheel of the average over all years of the Al Ain climate, summer wind, and winter wind. The average wind wheel shows that high wind speeds come from the northwest, northeast, and south (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Weather condition analysis of Al Ain City. (a) Summer-fall sun shading chart [21]. (b) Winter-spring sun shading chart [21]. (c) Summer Sun path on site [22]. (d) Winter Sun path on site [22]. (e) Winter wind wheel [21]. (f) Summer wind wheel [21].

2.3. Parametric Structure and PV Panels
2.3.1. Parametric Structure

Focusing on other retrofit strategies and methods that will be used in the unit selected in the city of Al Ain, and after examining and pointing out the misconceptions that the
villa is facing in regard to the correct use and suitable function of the efficient Mashrabiya design, research is found that illustrates and provides the traditional use and heritage of Mashrabiya, how is it applied today in contemporary architecture, and what standards are not used correctly in the modern Mashrabiya design. Referring to the history, heritage, and culture, the use of Mashrabiya in the design of old building façades was one of the most important shading strategies. Mashrabiya was previously handmade, and it was made up of wooden materials. The Mashrabiya in the past was the place that is covered with wood, and they used to put the water jar to cool there; it provided a passive cooling strategy. The factor that most helped in the growth of Mashrabiya is the privacy concern that was in the Islamic religion. Mashrabiya was mostly famous in Egypt, but it was widespread throughout Syria, Iraq, the Arabian Gulf, Sudan, Lebanon, and the Maghreb countries. This strategy was used not only in houses but also in mosques, tombs, and different organizations.

Functions that the Mashrabiya strategy provides follow: Daylight Control, Air Flow Regulation, Humidity Control, Temperature Regulation, Visual Privacy, and Air Circulation.

The research that we took the information from as a reference and evidence of our main concern stated that the old Mashrabiya design had more functions than just being decorative. The research also stated that modern architecture has changed and modified the design of Mashrabiya in a more efficient way, such as in the city of Masdar in Abu Dhabi; it is the most popular building technique in terms of sustainable and efficient buildings.

It also kept the tradition of Mashrabiya and did not change its function but added in a modern touch and sense to enhance the use of it. Returning to the roots of traditional UAE elements in building Mashrabiya and modernizing it has been studied, as seen in Figure 5. The concept of desert dunes is added to create the final design of the parametric shading structure, as illustrated in Figure 6.

In this part, we studied the different structures and made a decision matrix to help us to choose the best structure to hold the parametric structure to be extended to the parking lots and street. The first structure is the Beam Structure, which acts as a cantilever. It carries a vertical load and shear load. The second structure is the Space Truss, which is a long-spanning three-dimensional plate structure based on the rigidity of the triangle. The third structure is the Cable Structure, which utilizes tensioned cables to support or transmit the major loads of the structure. The fourth structure is the Tree Structure, which has the shapes of trees: they are complex and fractal-like. A decision was made based on several criteria: the cost, span, durability, efficiency/quality, and weight. After testing and examining the structures based on the given criteria using the decision matrix, the best structure with the highest total was the Tree Structure, which carries the whole shading element.

---

**Figure 5.** Final design of parametric shading structure.
2.3.2. Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV) Application (Product Selection)

After analyzing the UTCI and finalizing the results of the energy validation and the electricity bill savings that are created by the parametric shading structure, this section explains the next stage of the energy savings, which will lead to lower bills, created by the BIPV. The next step is making the decision to apply BIPV panels to the structure to create more bill savings and in the future, a payback payment. The first step was to select the BIPV product. The product selection was based on the flexibility, the watt power, and the efficiency of the BIPV module as well as the ability to have it customized based on the curvature desired according to the parametric structure. After a wide search and access to different kinds of products, the Monocrystalline PERC (Passivated Emitter and Rear Cell) Solar Panel product from the TARGRAY Company (Dubai, UAE) is selected. The product selected comes as a transparent back sheet and front sheet; additionally, the color, size, and shape can be customized as desired and include a wide variety of choices. The type of the solar cell is the Monocrystalline PERC 6” with an Efficiency of 21.7%; the reason this product is the most advantageous is that even though the cells are cut and formed into different shapes, this does not affect the high efficiency of the solar cell. Other advantages are the customized ultra-flexible lightweight solar panels, which come with a standard 25-year warranty that guarantees maximum performance throughout the lifetime. It also has high durability and BIPV application with a high watt power that reaches 330 watt power; this is the selected watt power that is applied to the parametric structure. Below is an image of the product that shows its ultra-flexibility as well as the electrical characteristics at standard test conditions (STC).

2.3.3. Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV) Application (Calculation)

After selecting the product and explaining the specifications, the second step is to calculate the Array Size of BIPV, as well as the number of modules, and the system’s Direct Current (DC) size, to know how much energy the BIPV will generate per year and in what way it will be connected to the parametric structure. First, the process of calculating was not an easy process; many difficulties were faced, and the result was calculated by creating an Excel spreadsheet. The Excel sheet calculated the BIPV Array Size and the number of modules based on the numbers inserted, which are related to the energy consumption load of the interior lighting of the villa (80 kWh per day); it also refers to the energy simulation discussed in detail in the previous subsections of section dy. The reason for selecting only a small load sector from the villa is the small area of the BIPV panels that are available on the structure; additionally, BIPV is meant to generate energy for a small sector of the energy load and not for the whole building. So, the aim is to have the BIPV generating energy to decrease electricity bills and get a payback in the future. The BIPV will generate 10% from the solar cells that are available on the structure, and 90% will be connected to the
grid with the Al Ain Distribution Company. The amount of area available for the BIPV on the structure is 21.717 m² (12.7 m × 1.71 m). After discovering all of this information, the application stage begins by inserting the numbers into the Excel sheet, and the results are that the Direct Current size of the BIPV is 19 kW and the number of solar cells needed is 58 modules with a watt power of 330 each (Figure 7).

| Spec/Model | Max-power (Pm) – Unit (watt) |
|------------|------------------------------|
| F-MWT330M60S | 330-watt power |

Figure 7. BIPV product specification.

2.4. Building Energy Consumption and Outdoor Thermal Comfort

2.4.1. Building Energy Consumption

The reduction of energy consumption in buildings can be achieved by simple methods and techniques using an appropriate building design and energy-efficient system and technologies such as passive cooling strategies. Passive cooling strategies are methods to improve the performance of the building. There are several passive cooling strategies. Natural ventilation is the process of supplying air without the use of mechanical systems, and thermal mass is the ability to store thermal energy to help with balancing the temperature. High thermal mass with night flush increases air movement at night to cool the structural elements of a building, and finally there is the evaporative cooling strategy.

After the analysis done by the climate consultant, there was not enough information to depend only on the values of the climate consultants. The best idea was to learn the realistic values of the villa by doing a site examination to know how the parametric structure will be in the context of sidewalks and the urban area, which is the next step in the outdoor thermal comfort process. The site visit experience showed a huge human discomfort while walking or just standing for five minutes; the parking area allowed access to the sun and did not include any shading strategy to prevent heat gain to humans and surrounding facilities. All of this trapped heat is absorbed by the unshaded street and the gained heat is reflected to the villa. This whole compound region has a high rate of unshaded facilities, which makes it an Urban Heat Island (UHI). This process occurs when a city experiences much warmer temperatures than the nearby rural areas. The surfaces in each environment absorb and hold heat, and this is what is happening to the selected area. The UAE Green Building Regulations & Specifications will be taken into consideration while designing the parametric structure in order to achieve the minimum temperature to improve outdoor thermal comfort and reduce heat gain to create a comfortable outdoor environment for people in the urban context. Based on the green building regulations, the dry bulb temperature comfort zone is in the range of 22.5 °C to 25.5 °C, and the air velocity is between 0.2 and 0.3 m/s. The relative humidity should range from 30% to 60%. By following these standards, outdoor thermal comfort will be achieved, and the retrofitting strategy and parametric structure will lead to a sustainable green building that reduces heat gain and energy consumption. The next sections show how the UHI problem will be solved in addition to the main strategy, which is the parametric double skin facade structure.

2.4.2. Outdoor Thermal Comfort

Extreme climate and heat stress negatively affect things in many dimensions, including food, water, and especially human health and performance. Human activities are affected by weather conditions. In fact, humans are very sensitive to environmental heat. They
may suffer from severe consequences because of high temperature environments. For example, heatstroke can damage major body organs or even cause sudden death. It can also increase the likelihood of work-related accidents. Human thermal comfort in indoor and outdoor environments is measured by various indicators. Over 60 thermal stress indicators have been proposed to assess high temperature environments and predict the potential for the heat stress of the body. Each of these indicators has its own advantages and disadvantages [23,24].

The universal thermal climate index (UTCI) is the equivalent temperature for the environment derived from a reference environment. It is one of the most used indices for measuring heat stress in outdoor spaces. Calculating the UTCI considers many parameters, like the dry temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed. It is regarded as the reference environmental temperature causing strain. The UTCI is divided into ten groups ranging from extreme cold stress to extreme heat stress, as shown in Table 2 [25].

Table 2. UCTI classification [26].

| Class of Thermal Stress | UTCI (°C) Range | Stress Category       |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| −5                      | below −40      | Extreme Cold Stress   |
| −4                      | −27 to −40     | Very Strong Cold Stress|
| −3                      | −13 to −27     | Strong Cold Stress     |
| −2                      | 0 to −13       | Moderate Cold Stress   |
| −1                      | +9 to 0        | Slight Cold Stress     |
| 0                       | +9 to +26      | No Thermal Stress      |
| +1                      | +26 to +32     | Moderate Heat Stress   |
| +2                      | +32 to +38     | Strong Heat Stress     |
| +3                      | +38 to +46     | Very Strong Heat Stress|
| +4                      | above +46      | Extreme Heat Stress    |

2.5. Modelling, Simulations, and Validation

2.5.1. Modelling and Simulation

The modelling of the unit used for this study was conducted in Rhino software. Rhino is designed to work on complex structures. Grasshopper is a plugin that enables the parametrization to happen. For each stage of this research, different software programs are used, and the alignment of this software proved to be challenging and time consuming [27,28].

In Figure 8, the base model is shown in Rhino Grasshopper. The values of walls and openings refer to data received from the building company and from the site survey. The precision in building the model was crucial in achieving a model close to the real conditions (Figure 8) [10,29].

Figure 8. Energy model before shading.
2.5.2. Validation for 1-Bedroom Apartment

A study conducted in UAE by Taleb and Al Saleh focused on the energy consumption rate in the residential sector for every property. From their research, we can understand how much energy is normally used in UAE houses and apartments: in an expat villa, the energy use ranges between 32,100 and 97,000 kW and for an expat apartment, from 7200 to 12,400 kW. The focus will be mainly on expats because the selected compound is only for expat residents. We must study the energy consumption for the whole villa and reduce it, but there is a lack of information regarding the electricity bills for the whole villa; the only electricity bill data available are for 1-bedroom apartments within the villa. Electricity data for a 1st floor expat apartment in the northeast-facing unit are available. This data will be used only for validation purposes in order to make sure the energy model is correct [30].

Referring to the energy bills, it is noticed that the occupant is using less energy in some months, such as January to April; this means that the occupant is either using less electricity or is not available during the day. Because of this observation, as we are using Honeybee in Grasshopper plugins within Rhino software, we use a plugin called the Occupancy Activity Schedule, as shown in Figure 9 below. The top chart shows the months in the year with respect to this and the activity of this occupant. The holidays and the weekends are added into the schedule so that we can reach the same energy consumption: this person uses 5781.10 kWh per year, and the energy use is calculated from the electricity bills from the data given. The purpose of doing this is to make the Rhino energy model close to reality as possible. After we added the occupancy schedule into the energy calculation, we ran the energy model in Rhino as shown below, and the Rhino model energy consumption for the whole year is 5932.50 kWh. The goal here is to make the Rhino model as close as possible to the validation energy use. They are close, at 5932.50 kWh per year and 5781.10 kWh per year, respectively. Lastly, after doing the energy use calculation for Rhino, we start calculating the electricity bills provided by the Al Ain Distribution Company website. The following information was added with 5932.50 kWh per year, and it calculated the electricity bill, which is 1589.91 AED per year for the expat apartment. This bill is closer to the validation bill, which is 1549.32 AED per year. This means that the Rhino energy model is close to reality and correct (Figure 9).

![Figure 9. Occupancy activity schedule for Rhino model.](image)

2.5.3. Using PVwatts Software

After the results were calculated by the Excel sheet (Appendix A, Table A1), the next step was moving on to calculating the amount of energy that the BIPV system with a Direct
Current of 19 kW will generate per year. The next approach started with the selection of software that gives us a rough estimation of how much energy this BIPV will generate per year and the annual savings as well. The best selection to perform this action was the PVwatts software. The numbers from the Excel Sheet were next inserted into the software to get the results according to the BIPV DC size, which is 19 kW; the energy generated will be 30,640 kWh/year, with a total of 2480 dollars per year of electricity bill savings as a rough estimation from PVwatts. After that, the calculation of the bill savings started; they are based on the amount of energy consumption and the energy simulation of the shading structure before adding the BIPV to it. The amount of electricity bill savings before adding the BIPV was 84,587.34 kWh, and after adding the BIPV on top of the shading structure, the final electricity bill savings was 53,947.34 kWh after the BIPV energy simulation for the villa. So, the amount of the electricity bill that will be paid by the consumer of the villa after the BIPV is 14,457.89 kW per year, but in the future, the payback period will reach zero, and the consumer will not pay anything for the utility or the electricity bill. By this, the aim of installing the BIPV has been reached, and it will also benefit the people living in the villa.

To add, the process did not end up going smoothly, and all of the numbers were calculated with the help of an Excel sheet that performs a simple rough calculation. This is because the software that we planned to use for the project did not work even with the help of the instructor because the servers of the company itself were facing problems. The figure below shows the trial of using the BIMSolar software, which calculated an efficiency of the BIPV modules when applied to the shading structure of 77% (Figure 10) [31].

Figure 10. BIMSolar trial results.

2.6. Materials, Construction, and Cost Evaluation

GKD Metal Fabric/Solar Omega

This material is designed for sun shading. It is made of woven vertical flat wire with horizontal stainless-steel wire to provide even more efficient solar protection for building facades. It was used in projects and showed a great reduction in energy consumption. Solar Omega works by creating a solar block through a stacking effect that enhances the sun’s position in the sky. As the sun rises higher, the stacking effects of the horizontal rods shade the facade. In winter, when the sun is low, more solar gain is allowed through the mesh. If we add more depth to the horizontal rods, it will increase the amount of shading without blocking the view through the fabric. It also has a LEED Certification; when talking about Solar Omega, we talk about its benefit in reducing the heat gain as well as reducing the energy needed to power the HVAC systems. Some of the advantages of Solar Omega are that it is made of a metal fabric that allows the transmission of natural light and provides effective internal lighting while reducing energy costs. The cable and materials of metal fabrics are made of 60% recycled materials and 40% new materials.
During manufacturing, 100% of the leftover or unused material is recycled. The material is 100% recyclable whenever it reaches the end of its life. So, it can be used repeatedly and will not cost as much as new material; the only cost is the recycling process [32].

After a detailed study was made of the design and schematics for the looked-for shape and function of the facade and the structure type, as well as the materials that were based on certain criteria, the next step in this section is to choose the most beneficial fabrication method to accomplish the target. Moreover, having the shading structure completed for the desired form and time and cost, we must choose a suitable fabrication method. The first step was to look and search for similar project installation and fabrication methods, and due to the uniqueness and complexity of the parametric shading structure, it was found that the best solution is to use the 3D-printing fabrication method. The reasons behind choosing this process are its great benefits and the successful results it show in many parametric design projects, as well as the time it takes to complete the project compared to many other construction methods. 3D printing is a process that transforms a digital drawing into the final desired design by the method of layering the materials until the object is created. The materials that are used in 3D printing come in many kinds, and they are formed in thin strings that are called filaments; they are placed inside the 3D printer for the selected size and material type, and the desired structure is made by layering them. The 3D-printing process goes through three important stages: modeling, printing, and then finalization. A detailed design is prepared to describe these stages (Figure 11).

For 3D printing, the materials are divided into many sectors, and usually 3D printers print a variety of materials of different types, including plastic, powders, metals, carbon fiber, and Nitinol, which is not used in construction but is used for medical components. They all come in the form of filaments, except the powders. For the project, as previously discussed in section one, the materials and structure were chosen by the decision matrix, and the distribution of the materials through the facade will be by fixed panels that are going to be attached on the top of the structure, which is supported by tree structure columns for holding the parametric structure. Since the printing will take place for the shading structure, the material that was chosen was aluminum filaments for the structure. On top of the structure, attached to it, are the panels, which are the GKD Metal Fabric/Solar Omega, specifically TIGRIS 2100; they are formed of a stainless steel mesh that is horizontal and vertical, and they were used before in the Abu Dhabi Capital Gate skyscraper. It has brown shades that accommodate the surrounding environment. It is brought from the
factory. Figures 12 and 13 show a detailed design of the different layers of the structure reflecting the fabrication and application complexity of the design shading structure [33].

*Figure 12. Materials applied based on our façade design.*

*Figure 13. Structure layers.*
3. Results

The results of this research refer to three main points analyzed in the paragraphs above. Each section shows decreased values as expected. The results refer to

- Energy consumption;
- Outdoor thermal comfort;
- Cost evaluation.

3.1. Energy Consumption Reduction

In this section are shown the results of the energy calculations for the whole villa before and after shading was added. Referring to a study, the expat villa energy use is in the range from 32,100 to 97,000 kWh per year. Another study was conducted that estimated household energy usage in UAE; in this study, the most energy is used for cooling in houses, and this is because of the hot weather in UAE [24].

Before the shading, after generating the energy in Honeybee in the Grasshopper plugin, the total energy consumption is 92,436.79 kWh per year. In this calculation, we did not use the occupancy activity schedule, as the villa selected for this project has no information regarding the electricity bills. Because the occupant’s activity is unknown, it will not affect the accuracy of the calculation as well as the reduction of it. After running the energy model, the result of the electricity bills is provided by the Al Ain Distribution Company website. The 92,436.79 kWh per year was added, and it calculated the electricity bill, which is 25,492.28 AED (local currency) per year. Figure 14 shows the model before and after adding the shading structure. The colors in the study zones change from orange to yellow and from light blue to darker blue referring to the scheme code from rhino/grasshopper software (dark blue 329 kWh/mm² and red 971 kWh/mm²).

![Figure 14. 3D modeling of the base case without the shading structure and with the shading structure (structure not visible).](image)

After adding the shading structure, the energy model is generated using the Honeybee and Grasshopper plugins; the total energy consumption is 84,587.34 kWh per year. The reduction after shading is approximately 10%. This decrease in the energy usage happened because of the GKD metal fabric’s ability to reduce the energy consumption. The studied angle of the sun is from 12 p.m. to 2 p.m.: on the 21st of June (summer), it is 85 degrees, and on the 21st of December (winter), it is 42 degrees. The material is able to block the summer sun with the gaps and allow the winter sun to enter for heating. When the energy analysis is completed the electricity bills are calculated. The calculation is done by using the Al Ain Distribution Company website. The amount of payment is 23,098.03 AED per year. Comparing between the payment before (25,492.28 AED per year) and after (23,098.03 AED per year), the bill savings are 2394.25 AED per year.

3.2. OTC Results

This section focuses on calculating the outdoor surface temperature, as this was the second aim of this research. The below results show how much the parametric shading
design is going to reduce the outdoor surface temperature to improve walkability. An analysis was done in terms of: the building is under severe heat exposure from the sun; the orientation of the building is in the southwest direction, which means that it is under high sun exposure for the whole year. Moreover, the radiation analysis shows that the building has a high radiation exposure. From the chart as shown, the most critical months of the year are March, June, September, and December, and the most critical time is 2 p.m., as this time is in between 12 p.m. and 6 p.m. At 2 p.m., the temperature is at the highest peak for the whole year (Figure 15).

![Figure 15. UCTI values before and after the structure.](image1)

After identifying the critical months and time, the next step is to plug these parameters into Grasshopper and calculate the UTCI before and after shading. The first row shows the calculation before shading for the chosen months, and it has high heat exposure; the second row shows the results after applying aluminum shading with GKD/Solar Omega metal fabric panels, which have been hidden to show the colors clearly. Overall, we have noticed a difference before and after shading; it has decreased the temperature impacting UTCI. Figure 16 shows extreme heat stress (average for the month of March and September). Although the shading is approximately 3 m above ground level, it still reduced the surface temperature impacting UTCI. Figure 16 shows a graphical representation of the parametric shading structure at a larger and more realistic perspective [34].

![Figure 16. Realistic 3D view of the structure.](image2)

3.3. Cost Evaluation

3.3.1. Payback Period of the BIPV (Using a Simple Payback Period Excel Sheet)

After finishing the BIPV analysis process, the last step was to calculate the payback period to examine whether the aim was reached or not. The tables of the Excel sheet that has been used to calculate the payback period state the different approaches that are
followed and added up to get the result of the annual savings and income as well as the number of years that the people in the villa will wait until they get the payback from the BIPV modules and start paying zero AED in electricity bills to the utility.

The first tables, which are titled PV system cost, are numbers that are taken from PVwatts as well as the first Excel sheet that calculated the DC (Appendix A, Table A1); in row (1c) is the rate per kWh based on the AADC (Al Ain Distribution Company) tariff, which is the amount of money that is paid by the consumer for the electricity bills. For United Arab Emirates, the tariff that is decided by the Al Ain Distribution Company is 0.286 AED/kWh. The next row, which is (1d), is the installed system’s total cost, which is 49,318.51 AED, and this includes the labor cost as well as the installation cost and the transportation and the fixation of the BIPV models as well as the solar cells of the BIPV product. The Annual Savings and Income, calculates the first year electricity bill savings from the BIPV, and it is calculated by multiplying the DC system size, which is 19 kWh, by 30,640 kW (from PVwatts), which is the amount of energy that is generated per year by the BIPV, and it results in a total savings of 8641.03 kW for the first electricity bill. Finally, the simple payback period of the BIPV system resulted in having a total of 3.50 years as a payback period, so after 3.5 years, the people living in the villa will start getting their investment back from BIPV. Furthermore, the next subsection in this section will explain the payback period and the return of investment in the BIPV modules in detail.

3.3.2. Return of Investment (ROI) for the BIPV

This section will explain the return of investment of the BIPV. The return of investment is a process that is calculated based on accumulations of different values or amounts of money paid over several years in order to reach a value of zero. The year this value is reached will reveal the number of years the consumer must wait in order to examine the efficiency of the product that has been invested. In this case, the product that has been invested in is the installation of the BIPV system; the aim of the project is to make a profitable project so that people living in the villa can benefit financially from the installed BIPV system. The way that this investment is calculated is by the accumulation of the total amount of money paid each year for the electricity bills. First, a comparison has been made to test the project aim. The accumulation of the total electricity bills paid each year for the normal villa with the addition of the parametric shading structure was used as a baseline for the return of investment calculations. The total electricity bills were accumulated and the total amount of money paid each year for a lifetime of 10 years was added up. The result was 254,922.80 AED paid over 10 years, and this amount is for the villa itself without the addition of the shading structure and the BIPV system. The next step is the accumulation of the electricity bills for 10 years when applying the parametric shading structure, which comes to 259,172.31 AED (Figure 17).

Comparing this value with the first value, it shows a difference when applying the shading structure and that makes the project aim at a decrease of electricity bills throughout...
the years achieved. The next step is examining the amount paid for 10 years after the addition of the BIPV for more efficiency and financial income for residents in addition to the shading structure. This amount is 179,439.52 kW. This means that the aim has been achieved, and in terms of the return of investment, zero is reached. The number below zero that is achieved by the BIPV in addition to the parametric structure is the amount of money that will start returning to the people living in the villa after 4 years. The calculations of the BIPV and Shading structure are shown in the Appendix A, Table A2.

4. Discussion
This study is an attempt to investigate the impact of parametric structures in building facades and the surrounding environment. The results showed a significant improvement in the reduction of the energy consumption and improvement in outdoor thermal comfort. However, difficulties were encountered related to software:

- Aligning the design software Rhino and the structure calculation software STAAD. Rhino is an architectural software that has a large field of application. The base of the files in Rhino were proven difficult to be converted in STAAD. After several trials the converted file did not read all the structural elements. Therefore, they had to be re-designed and adjusted (Figure 18).
- The integration of the BIPV proved to be challenging in the software adaptation. In the Rhino software there are many plug-in software that can be connected (such as grasshopper and honeybee), however in order to have the estimation of the energy production from the BIPV thru BIMSolar the files needed additional work in adapting.

Additionally, difficulties were encountered while collecting the data from the local sources. Collecting the information on the building architecture and structure took more time than previously estimated. Collecting the electricity bills for the specific villa where the information was available was a time-consuming process too.

The material selected and the 3D-printing technology are new in the market, and this study investigated their application in the city of Al Ain. The cost analysis is based on local suppliers, and the return of investment is based on realistic climate conditions. Summers are proven to make it difficult to keep a normal work schedule.

Future research and further development has to be done in adapting architectural and structural software for faster computing. This adaptation of files will drastically reduce work time. Grasshopper is based on the python language and is accessible to the audience. New researchers can give their contribution in improving the scripts [35].

However, with all of the technical challenges faced in this research, the results shown after the validation of the model based on the real energy bills are promising for future applications on a larger scale. Other cities with a similar climate can adapt this strategy and technology in order to achieve the levels of sustainability aimed at initially.

5. Conclusions
The aim of this study was to understand and analyze the impact of the parametric structure on the building’s energy consumption and the surrounding environment.
The major findings of this research are: a reduction of the energy consumption by a total of 10% per year; an improvement in the outdoor thermal comfort, the UTCI dropped from extreme heat stress to strong heat stress (average for the month of March and September).

Another relevant aspect of this study was the application of the BIPV in order to add value to the full structure in terms of design and energy production. The Annual Savings and Income calculates the first year electricity bill savings from the BIPV, and it is calculated by multiplying the DC system size, which is 19 kWh, by 30,640 kW (from PVwatts), which is the amount of energy that is generated per year by the BIPV, and it results in a total savings of 8641.03 kW for the first electricity bill.

This study brings innovation in terms of the software used, production method, and application. The software programs used for the modeling are Rhino and Grasshopper (as a plugin), and the calculation of the structure is done in STAAD. BIPV was also integrated into the parametric structure and analyzed accordingly using BIMSolar. An analysis of the cost and the payback investment was conducted. Based on the potential design of the parametric structure and the different systems and products, future work is needed to understand the application of such a structure in other units of the same compound in order to have such an application on a district level. Moreover, other retrofit strategies using the parametric design of structures can be explored on a unit level and on a district level. Therefore, more energy savings can be achieved, and the outdoor thermal conditions can be improved.

This study is relevant to the local industry in improving production with advanced software. Parametric shading structures are crucial in improving the energy performance of a building and OTC, therefore the analysis done in this research can have a large field of application. Moreover, it will help in reaching the sustainability goals defined by the Abu Dhabi Municipality.
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Appendix A

Table A1. BIPV calculations [36].

| BIPV Calculations                           |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Estimated Total AC Loads                    | 80,000         |
| Inverter Efficiency                         | 0.97           |
| Ave. Daily DC Energy Consumption of (DC Loads + AC Loads DC Energy / Inverter Efficiency) | 82,474 WHr    |
Table A1. Cont.

| BIPV Calculations |
|---------------------------------|
| **Number of Modules** |
| **Estimated Annual DC Energy Usage** | 30,103 kWh/year |
| **Peak Sun Hour** | 5.37 kWh/m²/day |
| **PV size + Usage/(365 * PSH)** | 15.36 kW |
| **Actual PV Size with 25% Losses** | 19.2 kW |
| **kWp for PVSYST** | 19 kW |
| **Number of Modules + Array/Wats per Module** | 1900 330 58 Modules |
| **Area per Module** | 0.37 m² |
| **Total Area needed** | 21.3 m² |

Table A2. Parametric shading structure and BIPV cost calculations.

| Items | Units | Daily Output | Item Cost (AED) | Quantity No. | Total Cost (Item + Labor + Equip) | Total Cost including 10% O&P (AED) |
|-------|-------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Temporary fencing | LM/panel | 110 | 6.06 | 44 | 272.22 | 299.4 |
| Site Cleaning | m² | 120 | 0.207 | 125.52 | 25.98 | 28.6 |
| Excavation | m³ | 115 | 26.14 | 16 | 414.24 | 460.06 |
| Foundation | m³ | 16 | 263.38 | 16 | 4214.08 | 4635.5 |
| Column Erection | each | 10 | 872.34 | 4 | 3489.36 | 3838.3 |
| 3D printing sand mold | m² | 18 | 43 | 91 | 3893 | 4282.3 |
| Aluminum casting | kg | 1451.5 | 13 | 258.06 | 3396.12 | 3735.73 |
| Transportation | each | 60.96 | 69 | 2 | 138 | 151.8 |
| Aluminum supportive structure welding | each | 960 | 8.19 | 12 | 98.28 | 108.108 |
| Aluminum supportive structure erection | each | 105 | 20.53 | 12 | 246.36 | 270.996 |
| GKD installation | m² | 140 | 69.42 | 139.5 | 9684.09 | 10,652.5 |
| BIPV installation | each | 250 | 303.11 | 20 | 6062.1 | 6668.31 |
| **Total Cost** | | | | | 34,860.62 |
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