Technical Notes

Downward penetrating endplate screw technique under O-arm navigation posterior fusion in patients with osteoporotic vertebral body fractures associated with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis
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ABSTRACT

Background: A downward penetrating endplate screw (PES) technique combined with caudal anchor screws inserted in the upward direction under O-arm navigation (i.e., crossing screw technique) avoided screw backout and proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) in three patients with osteoporotic vertebral body fractures and diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH).

Methods: The PES techniques were utilized for patients with T12 (one patient) and L1 (two patients) spontaneous fusion across the targeted vertebrae, with minimal damage to the involved endplates/intervertebral discs. The average number of instrumented vertebrae was 5.3.

Results: There were no perioperative complications over the mean follow-up period of 28.7 months; no screw loosening, and no PJK.

Conclusion: The PES technique prevented screw backout, and PJK in three patients with lumbar osteoporotic vertebral fractures and DISH.
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INTRODUCTION

The penetrating endplate screw (PES) technique (i.e., single or double endplate penetrating screw SEPS or DEPS) was utilized for posterior fusions in three patients with lumbar osteoporotic vertebral body fractures and diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH). The PES technique involved the oblique insertion of longer screws penetrating one or two cephalad/caudad endplates. Here, we successfully utilized the PES technique under O-arm navigation to treat three patients with DISH and T12 or L1 osteoporotic lumbar vertebral fractures.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Technique

Our institutional review board approved this study. The PES technique was utilized to treat three patients with lumbar vertebral body osteoporotic fractures (i.e., T12 [1 patient] and L1 [2 patients]) and DISH. Notably, the posterior rod/screw fusion was performed two levels above and two levels below the spontaneously fused index level fractured vertebral body.

Operative technique

For the two levels above the index fractured vertebral body, the cephalad PES screws were inserted in a downward direction from the outer cranial side to the inner caudal side; this included attempted penetration by the screw of two endplates, one at the index level and the other at the adjacent caudal level [Figures 1 and 2]. Application of each screw was included in the study: routine bony probing (i.e., with gear shift), K-wire placement, exchange with the screw tap, and finally, application of the cannulated screw (i.e., 50–55 mm in length). The caudal screws are similarly inserted but in an upward direction. Anchor screws are usually placed 2–3 levels above and below the vertebral fracture and were connected with appropriately contoured rods [Figure 3].

RESULTS

The average number of instrumented vertebrae was 5.3 (4–6) [Table 1]. The anchor screws were inserted two or three levels above (14 screws) and below (14 screws) the index vertebral body fracture levels. Three cranial screws penetrated two endplates, while ten screws penetrated only a single endplate. Of the 14 caudally placed screws, nine penetrated two endplates, while five penetrated a single endplate. There were no perioperative complications. Further, over the mean follow-up period of 28.7 months, no screw loosening or proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) was observed.

DISCUSSION

It is difficult to obtain rigid fixation in osteoporotic bone for patients with osteoporotic compression fractures and DISH patients. The endplate penetrating screw technique (i.e., lumbar pedicular transvertebral screw fixation) was first reported by Abdu et al. in 1994.[1] It was extended to the

Figure 1: Trajectory of downward penetrating endplate screw (PES) technique. (a) AP view and (b) lateral view. Arrow; direction of insertion and red cross; strong fixation point with cortical bone.

Figure 2: Screenshot from the O-arm image guidance system showing the intraoperative planned trajectory. (a) Sagittal view, (b) coronal view, white line; screw, green line; direction of insertion, blue line and circle; screwdriver. (c) axial view, and (d) 3D reconstructed cone-beam CT imaging.

Figure 3: Rod connection in patients with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis between downward (blue arrow) and upward (red arrow) PESs, resulting in crossing screw direction between the cranial and caudal anchors.
Shiraishi, et al.: Downward penetrating endplate screw technique

Table 1: Demographic information in patients with downward penetrating endplate screw technique.

|                | Case 1            | Case 2            | Case 3            |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Sex            | Male              | Male              | Male              |
| Age (years)    | 88                | 82                | 70                |
| DISH range     | T2-L3             | T7-L4             | T7-L4             |
| Affected vertebrae | T12          | L1                | L1                |
| Instrumented vertebrae | T9-L3        | T10-L4            | T11-L3            |
| Number of Instrumented vertebrae | 6            | 6                 | 4                 |
| Applied d/u PES technique | T9 10 dPES L2 3 uPES | T10 11 12 dPES L2 3 4 uPES | T11 12 dPES L2 3 uPES |
| Applied Conventional PPS technique | T11 L1        | none              | none              |
| Implant failure | none              | none              | none              |
| Follow-up period (months) | 31            | 30                | 25                |

Table 1 legend: d/u PES: downward/upward penetrating endplate screw, DISH: Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis

Thoracic spine by Nottmeier et al. in 2013.\textsuperscript{[4]} Takeuchi et al. in 2020 developed the DEPS technique that showed a 134% higher average insertion torque in spite of using a smaller diameter screw versus conventional PPS techniques.\textsuperscript{[5]} The screw torque in the DEPS technique was stronger as the longer obliquely inserted screws could penetrate two vertebral endplates whereas conventional pedicle screws penetrated no endplates.

**Downward and upward PES technique**

In the downward PES technique, the screw is inserted downward from the outer cranial side to the inner caudal side, penetrates the inferior facet, the superior facet, the pedicle, the caudal endplate of the vertebral body at the index level, and reaches the cranial endplate of the adjacent caudal vertebral body. The caudal screws are similarly inserted but in an upward direction. The PES technique offers more cortical bone-screw interface, better resists screw back-out, and largely prevents PJK, and proximal junctional failure (PJF).\textsuperscript{[6]} O-arm navigation, further, makes cephalad PES safe and a more precise technique for screw insertion typically three levels above and three levels below the index level of the vertebral fracture.\textsuperscript{[6]}

**CONCLUSION**

The combined use of the PES cephalad (i.e., downward) and caudal (i.e., upward) PES technique utilized in three patients with DISH 2–3 levels above/below osteoporotic T12 and L1 lumbar vertebral fractures, resisted screw back-out, prevented PJK, and avoided PJF.
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