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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Tibial plateau fracture is a common fracture that accounts for 1-2% of all fracture. Various treatment options including proximal tibial plating with locking compression plates are available for the treatment of tibial plateau fracture. This study was done to determine the clinical profile and functional outcome of tibial plateau fracture following locking compression plating.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective and observational study was carried out in Orthopedics Department of Universal College of Medical Sciences-Teaching Hospital (UCMS-TH) from December 2018 to July 2020. After ethical clearance (UCMS/IRC/224/18) from Institutional Review Board (IRB) of UCMS-TH and informed written consent, all patients with tibial plateau fracture (Schatzker II-VI) who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study and treated with locking compression plate. Post-operatively patients were regularly followed at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months for clinical, radiological and functional assessment. Descriptive statistics like frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation were used to analyze the data.

RESULTS

In our study of 30 cases, the mean age was 37.77 ±15.65 years. Most of the cases were Schatzker type VI (13 patients) and type II (9 patients). The average duration for fractures union was 23.4 ±2.1 weeks. Superficial wound infection was the common complication seen in five cases. At six months, the mean knee society score (KSS) was 78 ±7.22 and majority of patients (19 patients) had good results.

CONCLUSION

Locking compression plate has an excellent functional and radiological outcome. It is an effective implant that can be adopted for the treatment of tibial plateau fractures in adults.
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INTRODUCTION

Tibial plateau fracture accounts for 1-2% of all fractures and contributes 8% of fractures in the elderly. Tibial plateau fractures are the common proximal tibial fractures generally caused by high energy trauma e.g. road traffic accident (RTA). Tibial plateau fracture ranges from simple lateral condyle fracture to severely comminuted metaphyseal fractures and are often associated with severe soft tissue compromise. Though difficult, the treatment of these fractures aims to restore normal knee joint function by anatomical restoration of joint surfaces and maintaining the reduction by stable implants.

Various treatment options including proximal tibial plating with locking compression plates are available for the treatment of tibial plateau fracture. In the past, fracture treated with older non anatomical plates ended with fracture collapse, joint incongruity and malunion. But, now precontoured anatomical locking compression plate (LCP) is considered the implant of choice, which is designed to prevent all these complication. Therefore, with the advent of this LCP, even complex tibial plateau fractures which were once considered difficult to treat, are now having successful outcomes.

Thus, with this background we have conducted this study to determine the clinical, radiological and functional outcome of tibial plateau fracture managed with proximal tibial locking compression plates.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design, place and duration of study

This prospective hospital-based, longitudinal and observational study was carried out in Department of Orthopaedics of Universal College of Medical Sciences & Teaching Hospital, (UCMS-TH), Bhairahawa, Nepal from December 2018 to July 2020.

Ethical approval and patient consent

After ethical clearance (UCMS/IRC/224/18) from Institutional Review Board (IRB) of UCMS-TH, all patients with tibial plateau fracture (Schatzker type II to VI), who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Patients were informed about the purpose of the study and written consent was taken. Cases were admitted either via out-patient department (OPD) or Emergency department of UCMS-TH. Data collection was done by the researcher.

Sample size and sampling technique

The targeted sample size after using the sample size formula was 30. So, approximately convenient sample of 30 patients were selected by using purposive sampling technique.

\[ n = \frac{z^2pq}{d^2} \]

\[ n = \text{required sample size; } p = \text{prevalence of disease (2%); } q = 100 - p; \quad z = 1.96 \text{ taken at 95% confidence interval; } d = \text{allowable error taken as 5%}; \quad P = 2\%, \quad q = 98\% \]

Inclusion criteria

1. Schatzker type II to VI tibial plateau fracture
2. Age \( \geq \) 18 years

Exclusion criteria

1. Polytrauma patients and head injury patients
2. Open fractures
3. Neuromuscular problems
4. Pathological fractures
5. Previous fracture of same limb

Patient management

All stable patients with isolated tibial plateau fracture were admitted either via emergency or OPD. Detailed history and systemic examination was done to rule out any other co-morbidity. Before admission to ward, all preoperative investigation, along with CT scan if needed, was sent. Depending on the radiological findings, fracture was classified according to Schatzker classification. Preoperatively patients were managed by analgesics, anti-swelling agents, limb elevation and knee immobilizer. Skeletal traction was applied to patients with coexisting co-morbidities who were likely to require a longer duration for surgery clearance and optimization for surgery.

Surgical technique

In the operation theatre, prophylactically all patients were given cefuroxime (1.5 gram) intravenous injection half an hour before surgery. After spinal anaesthesia, patient was placed in a supine position with thigh tourniquet on injured limb and bolster under knee to allow knee flexion. Various approaches were used for tibial plateau fracture depending on the location and type of fracture fragment (Schatzker classification). For type II and III fracture, lateral plating was done using antero-lateral approach. For Type IV fracture, medial plating was done. For type V and VI fracture, double plating from medial and lateral approach was done. Those with isolated or combined posterior tibial condyle fracture, isolated posterior plating or combined posterior plating was done via posterior, posteromedial or posterolateral approach depending on fracture anatomy. The plate used was anatomically precontoured medial, lateral and posterior LCP. For complex fractures additional 3.5 mm distal radius volar LCP was used. (Figure 1,2)
Post-operatively, X-ray of knee was taken on the day of surgery. Analgesics and antibiotics were given for days to weeks depending upon the patient's pain tolerance and wounds status respectively. Postoperative immobilization was done by knee immobilizer with intermittent regular active and passive quadriceps and knee range of motion physiotherapy. Non-weight-bearing axillary crutch walking was started as soon as possible depending on patient's pain tolerance. Wound dressing was done on the 3rd, 6th and 10th day and suture removal on 14th postoperative day. Generally, patients were discharged between 7 to 14 days depending upon the condition of the wound.

Regular follow ups were done at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months. In every follow-up, patient's clinical and radiographic findings, range of motion and patient's daily functional activity were assessed and recorded. Finally at 6 months, functional assessment of knee was assessed by using the Knee Society Score. Complications such as wound infection were treated by regular dressing, debridement and antibiotics. Radiological evaluation was made based on the signs of union of fracture, fracture collapse, malunion and implant loosening or failure. Union was considered when at least 3 cortices were united in X-ray. At six-weeks partial weight-bearing walking and at three months, full weight-bearing walking was allowed.

**Statistical analysis**

All the data was collected in a proforma that included patients demographic profile, general history, clinical and radiological findings, its management and regular follow up. Data were entered and analyzed by SPSS Vs.20. Descriptive statistics like frequency, percentage; mean and standard deviation were used to analyze the data.

**RESULTS**

In this study, the mean age group was 37.77 ±15.65 years ranging from 18 years to 65 years and male patients outnumbered female patients (26 males and 4 females) with male to female ratio of 13:2. Out of 30 cases, 21 cases (70%) were of the right side and 9 cases (30%) were of the left side. Majority of fractures were due to road traffic accident (93.3%) followed by fall injury (6.7%). (Table 1)

Majority of cases were Schatzker Type VI (13 patients;43.3%) and Type II (9 patients; 30%). Most of the cases were operated within 5-10 days (16 cases/53.3%) and within 5 days of trauma (11 cases/36.7%) with a mean of 6.33 ±2.74 days between trauma and surgery. (Table 1,2)

**Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population**

| Variables                        | Frequency (n=30) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Age (years)                      |                  |                |
| 18-38                            | 18               | 60             |
| 39-58                            | 7                | 23.3           |
| ≥59                              | 5                | 16.7           |
| Mean age = 37.77 ±15.65 years    |                  |                |
| Sex                              |                  |                |
| Male                             | 26               | 86.7           |
| Female                           | 4                | 13.3           |
| Side affected                    |                  |                |
| Left                             | 9                | 30             |
| Right                            | 21               | 70             |
| Mode of injury                   |                  |                |
| RTA                              | 28               | 93.3           |
| Fall injury                      | 2                | 6.7            |
| Schatzker classification         |                  |                |
| Type II                          | 9                | 30             |
| Type III                         | 4                | 13.3           |
| Type IV                          | 1                | 3.3            |
| Type V                           | 3                | 10             |
| Type VI                          | 13               | 43.3           |
| Duration between trauma and surgery (days) |          |                |
| <5 days                          | 11               | 36.7           |
| 5-10 days                        | 16               | 53.3           |
| >10 days                         | 3                | 10             |

The mean duration of fracture union was a 23.4 ±2.11 week ranging from 21-26 weeks. Only 6 patients (20%) had minor complication like superficial wound infection and hardware related pain that was seen in 5 patients (16.7%) and 1 patient (3.3%) respectively. (Table 2,3)

At 6 months, majority of cases (19 patients) had good results where 10 patients were from Schatzker type VI. The mean
knee society score was 78 ±7.22 where Schatzker type III, Type II and VI had mean of 85, 83.33 ±4.33 and 83.75 ±2.5 respectively. Majority of patients (18 patients) had knee flexion of 90-120 degree where 12 cases were of Schatzker type VI and the average knee flexion of all types was 114.50 ±13.79. (Table 2,3)

Table 2. Complications and outcome of tibial plateau fracture treated with LCP

| Variables                          | Frequency (n=30) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Complications                      |                  |                |
| Superficial Infection              | 5                | 16.7           |
| Hardware related pain              | 1                | 3.3            |
| Fracture union (weeks)             |                  |                |
| <5 days                            | 20               | 6.6            |
| 5-10 days                          | 21               | 6.6            |
| >10 days                           | 22               | 16.6           |
| Outcome (knee society score at 6 months) |
| Poor (< 60)                        | 9                | 6.7            |
| Fair (60-69)                       | 3                | 10             |
| Good (70-84)                       | 10               | 33.3           |
| Excellent (85-100)                 | 11               | 36.7           |
| Knee flexion at 6 months (degree)  |                  |                |
| <90                                | 1                | 3.3            |
| 90-120                             | 18               | 60             |
| >120                               | 11               | 36.7           |

Table 3. Analysis of complication and outcome with respect to Schatzker type

| Variables                          | Type II | Type III | Type IV | Type V | Type VI | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|-------|
| Interval between trauma and surgery (days) |
| 5-10 days                          | 0       | 1        | 2       | 0      | 10      | 16    |
| >10 days                           | 0       | 0        | 0       | 0      | 3       | 3     |
| Average duration (days)            | 3.33 ±0.86 | 6.00 ±0.80 | 5.23 ±0.22 | 6.07 ±0.32 | 6.09 ±0.70 | 6.33 ±0.74 |
| Complication                       |         |          |         |        |         |       |
| Infection                          | 0       | 0        | 0       | 1      | 1       | 3     |
| Pain                               | 0       | 0        | 1       | 0      | 0       | 1     |
| Average fracture union (weeks)     | 20.66 ±11.23 | 23 ±0.30 | 23.25 ±0.55 | 24.66 ±0.75 | 20.07 ±0.75 | 23.4 ±0.21 |
| Knee flexion (degree)              |         |          |         |        |         |       |
| <90                                | 0       | 0        | 0       | 0      | 1       | 1     |
| 90-120                             | 0       | 0        | 0       | 3      | 3       | 12    |
| >120                               | 9       | 1        | 1       | 0      | 0       | 11    |
| Average knee flexion (degree)      | 128.33 ±2.5 | 125 ±0.80 | 121.25 ±1.10 | 109 ±0.10 | 103.08 ±10.08 | 104.5 ±10.10 |
| Average KSS at 6 months (points)   | 83.33 ±4.33 | 85 ±0.30 | 83.75 ±0.75 | 71.67 ±1.88 | 75.46 ±1.74 | 78 ±2.22 |
| Functional outcome at 6 months by KSS |
| Poor                               | 0       | 0        | 0       | 0      | 2       | 2     |
| Good                               | 5       | 0        | 1       | 3      | 10      | 19    |
| Excellent                          | 4       | 1        | 3       | 0      | 1       | 9     |

DISCUSSION

Various surgical treatment options including locking compression plates are in use to treat tibial plateau fracture. Proximal tibial LCP system is associated with better functional outcome, decrease in fracture union time and overall complications.

In our study, the mean age group was 37.77 ±15.65 years with majority of patient's (18 patients) age between 18 to 38 years. Similar results was seen in Mahendra et al study and Henley et al study where the mean age group was 39.03 years and 33 years which is similar to our study. There were 26 males (86.7%) and 4 females (13.3%) in our study. Similar results was seen in Somashekhara et al study, where out of 30 patients, 25 (83.33%) were male and only 5 (16.66%) were female. In this study, out of 30 patients 21 cases (70%) were of the right side and 9 cases (30%) were of the left side which is similar to Imran et al study where 63.3% fracture were of right side and 36.7% were of left side. In this study, the major cause of fracture was road traffic accident (93%) followed by fall injury (7%) which is similar to study done by Prabkhar et al where all 21 fractures (100%) were due to road traffic accidents.

In this study, majority of cases were of Schatzker Type VI (13...
cases (43.3%) and Type II (9 cases/30%) which is similar to Venkatesh et al study, where majority of cases were Schatzker Type VI; and Albuquerque et al where type II (35.14%) and type VI (20.03%) accounted for majority of cases. In Nokolaos et al study of 125 patients, Schatzker type II (42 cases/33.6%) was the common fracture type which is also similar to our study. In this study, most of the cases were operated between 5-10 days of trauma (16 cases/53.3%) and within 5 days (11 cases/36.7%) and with a mean of 6.33 ±2.74 days between trauma and surgery which is similar to Peter A. Cole et al study where the mean time between trauma and surgery was 7.1 days.

The mean duration of fracture union was 23.4 ±2.11 weeks ranging from 21-26 weeks which is similar to Girish et al and Kancherla NR et al where the mean duration of fracture union was 22 weeks (15-24 weeks) and 19 weeks (16-24 weeks) respectively. But in Nokolaos et al study, the average time to union was 13 weeks (8–36 weeks) which is not similar to our study which could be due to larger sample size, longer duration of these study and difference in fracture union criteria.

Only 6 patients (20%) had minor complication like superficial wound infection and hardware related pain that was seen in 5 patients (16.7%) and one patient (3.3%) respectively which is similar to Nokolaos et al study where 15.2% suffered superficial wound infection. Similar results were also seen in Sven et al study where superficial wound infection and hardware related pain was seen in 15.8% and 18% respectively. All cases were successfully treated with local wound care and antibiotics.

At 6 months, majority of cases (19 patients) had good results where 10 patients were from Schatzker type VI. The mean knee society score was 78 ±7.22. Similar result was seen in study done by Mohammad Ali et al, where the mean knee society score was 80.2. But in Vassilios et al and Yukai Wang et al study the mean knee society score was 89 and 93 respectively which is better than our study which could be due to difference in sample size and duration of these studies.

CONCLUSION

Locking compression plate is a time demanded implant of choice for treating Schatzker type II-VI tibial plateau fracture as it is associated with excellent functional and radiological outcome; early fracture union and minimal manageable complication.

LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The major limitation of this prospective study is the small sample size and short duration of study. A further prospective study with a larger number of patients and for longer duration of time is recommended for a more appropriate result.
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