United States). The most commonly cited reported barrier to graduates finding jobs caring for PWH are lack of job opportunities in their geographic area.

Conclusion. HIV pathways in IM and FM programs are heterogeneous in their structure and curricula. Less than 50% of pathway graduates remain in the HIV provider workforce, and the majority of those work in the West and Northeast United States. The impact of these programs might be enhanced by interventions to increase graduate retention in this workforce and to launch pathways in the areas of greatest need, such as the Southern United States.
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Background. Burnout in graduate medical education is common and reported in ~70% of Internal Medicine (IM) residents. Most studies have described interventions focused on residency training, but fellowship training suffers from similar challenges and likely similar levels of burnout. After conducting a needs assessment amongst fellows within our Infectious Diseases (ID) fellowship program, we developed a wellness program to address these issues.

Methods. In Spring 2018, we reviewed the existing literature and consulted with local experts on trainee well-being. Based on our findings, we designed a multi-tiered approach to enhance wellness amongst fellows. An ID Fellowship Well-Being Committee (WBC) was created in September 2018 to lead the intervention. The WBC included an even mix of fellows and faculty at multiple levels at all three main teaching hospitals associated with the program. Meetings occur every other month, and co-chairs (one faculty and one fellow) report back to the program director quarterly. Topic areas and interventions are described in Table 1. Fellows were sent a qualitative collection tool we developed. Data collected included instruments of having protected time together, convening in a low pressure and informal setting to provide feedback, and spending quality time in a non-clinical setting with co-fellows. Fellows cited the wellness retreat as a strength at our annual fellowship external program review.

Conclusion. Burnout is likely high among IM sub-specialty fellows, and interventions are needed to support the well-being of those trainees. We describe a roadmap for the development of a well-being program at a relatively large, academic ID fellowship program led by a mixed fellow and faculty committee. We will continue to monitor data on fellow burnout and make programmatic changes based on feedback. We are hopeful that our work will empower other programs to engage in developing their own well-being programs.
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Table 1. Overview of ID Fellow Well-Being Alums and Programming

Fellows

Interventions

Reduction in Workload

Increased clinical support on ID consult services at two busiest teaching hospitals
Decreased number of ID Divisions Grand Rounds presentations required in first year of fellowship

Education

ID Division Grand Rounds by the Director of Well-Being for GME (September 2018)

Enhancing Resilience

Writing Exercise on Uncertainty in ID, October 2018
First-year Fellows Retreat, January 2019
- Full-day retreat involving paper and personal writing, start, team-building activity, gratitude writing exercise, and community building activity with all fellowship classes
Senior (2nd-4th year) Fellow Dinner Series
Twice annual dinners with faculty facilitator to decrease isolation, provide space for real-time peer mentorship, and give anonymous feedback about the program

Relaxation/Community Building

First-year Fellow Retreat, full-day, January 2019
Senior Fellow Dinner Series, lunch May 2019

2535. The Stairway to Antibiotic Heaven: Evaluating a Scaffolded Video Series on Empirc Antibiotic Selection

Jeffrey Larnard, MD; Jason Zucker, MD, MS; Rachel Gordon, MD, MPH; Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York; Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

Session: 266. Medical Education: Medical School to Practice
Saturday, October 5, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. Inappropriate antibiotic use and spread of resistance is a well-known problem. In academic settings, house staff often make initial decisions regarding antibiotic use. Recently, there has been increasing interest in using “whiteboard animations” as a way of delivering educational content. We introduced a supplemental series of short whiteboard animation videos on empiric antibiotic selection during a blended transition to residency course for fourth year medical students. Our aim was to determine whether the videos were an effective learning resource.

Methods. A total of eight whiteboard animation videos on empiric antibiotic selection were created using Camtasia. Learning was scaffolded using a pneumonic case and an antibiotic “ladder” to provide context for the antibiotics discussed. Questions were interspersed throughout the videos. Students completed an eight question pretest and then an eight question post-post after completing the modules. Qualtrics was used to randomly select questions for the pre- and post-tests from a common question bank. After each individual video module, students were also offered a post-module survey with Likert scaled questions evaluating student perception of the module. All tests and surveys were anonymous. Scores of pre- and post-tests were compared with unpaired t-tests.

Results. We received a total of 37 pre-tests and 14 post-tests. The average score on the pre-test was 66% compared with 93% on the post-test ($P < 0.0001$). We also received seventy-four post-module surveys across the eight videos. When asked whether the particular video module was an effective way to learn about antibiotic coverage, 98% of responses responded “agree” or “strongly agree”. 90% of responses also answered “agree” or “strongly agree” when asked if they were more likely to remember the spectrum of activity of the presented antibiotics after watching the module.

Conclusion. While further studies are needed our results suggest that whiteboard animation videos may be an effective way to teach empiric antibiotic selection to medical students preparing for internship.
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Background. Overnight fever is common in hospitalized patients. Past work has analyzed cross-covering resident practices regarding overnight fever, but little is known about how residents provide anticipatory guidance for overnight fever. We aimed to further our understanding of resident sign-out practices for overnight fever by analyzing the specific content of the guidance they provide and evaluating whether the presence of infection impacts the guidance provided.

Methods. We performed a cross-sectional study of resident sign-outs on an inpatient Internal Medicine service between September 2018 and April 2019 using a data collection tool we developed. Data collected included patient’s primary reason for hospitalization, whether fever was an anticipated problem, whether a differential diagnosis for fever was included, evaluation and management instructions for fever, and any rationale provided for the instructions. We analyzed the data using descriptive statistics and chi-squared analysis.

Results. Among 216 sign-outs reviewed, 38% indicated infection was the primary hospital diagnosis. Fever was an anticipated issue in 169 (78%) of sign-outs (Table 1). Of sign-outs recommending fever evaluation, 79% specified at least one diagnostic test but 34% still utilized a nonspecific phrase such as “full fever work-up” (Table 2). Only 62% of fever sign-outs included antibiotic guidance. In addition, rationales were provided for evaluation or management guidance in only 41% and 61% of sign-outs, respectively (Table 3). Chi-squared analysis did not show a statistically significant association between primary hospital problem and the sign-out including fever anticipatory guidance ($P = 0.78$), recommending in-person assessment ($P = 0.11$), or providing antibiotic guidance ($P = 0.15$).

Conclusion. Fever anticipatory guidance is commonly included in resident-written sign-out regardless of primary hospital problem. Specific evaluation instructions for fever are used more commonly than nonspecific fever work-up terms, but rationales for testing are given uncommonly. Future educational interventions around signing-out and evaluating fever overnight may lead to more effective anticipatory guidance and rationale testing and treatment.

Table 1: Characteristics of fever sign-out (n=216)

| Description | YES | NO |
|-------------|-----|----|
| Fever as anticipated problem | 163 (76%) | 53 (26%) |
| Associated problem (if fever not listed) | 162 (76%) | 54 (24%) |
| Fever (or associated problem) differential diagnosis | 169 (78%) | 47 (22%) |
| Fever evaluation recommendations | 169 (78%) | 47 (22%) |
| Antimicrobial recommendations | 134 (62%) | 82 (38%) |

Table 2: Characteristics of fever evaluation recommendations included in the sign-out (n=169)

| Description | YES | NO |
|-------------|-----|----|
| Recommendation for at least one specific test | 134 (79%) | 35 (21%) |
| Broad/nonspecific terms | 73 (44%) | 66 (44%) |
| Recommendation for in-person assessment | 43 (25%) | 126 (75%) |