Effect of water physical therapy on quality of life in breast cancer survivors
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Abstract

Introduction. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of an individualized 12-week water physical therapy program on quality of life parameters in breast cancer survivors.

Methods. Overall, 68 women met the eligibility criteria and were included in the study. They were randomly allocated for the water physical therapy program (experimental group, n = 34) and Pilates physical therapy program (active control group, n = 34). Both groups attended relevant programs for 12 weeks and received 36 rehabilitation sessions. Quality of life parameters were assessed with the questionnaire of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) with a specific module for breast cancer patients (BR-23). Quality of life data were recorded at baseline and after a 12-week intervention.

Results. In the results analysis, statistically significant differences between the studied groups were identified. The experimental group participants presented significantly fewer negative symptoms associated with the disease and surgical intervention as a whole. Particularly, fatigue was lower by 10.27 points in the experimental group compared with the active control group (p < 0.05), insomnia was lower by 13.23 points (p < 0.05), appetite loss was smaller by 14.30 points (p < 0.01).

Conclusions. The results confirm that a 12-week water physical therapy program effectively improves quality of life parameters, which was reflected in improving general physical condition, shoulder range of motion, emotional and physical functioning, optimism about the future, and a decrease in fatigue, dyspnoea, and insomnia in breast cancer survivors.
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Introduction

The problem of studying the quality of life, physical, social, and psychological adaptation of women after surgical treatment for breast cancer becomes more acute as there are more chances of successful recovery in connection with the improvement of long-term results of breast cancer treatment [1, 2]. As a result of recent research, it was found that breast cancer survivors had a deterioration in the psycho-emotional status and a decrease in most criteria of quality of life, which were directly related to the severity of post-mastectomy oedema, pain, and neurological disorders [3–6].

Women with breast cancer have an increased risk of developing depression, fear, sexual dysfunction, sleep disturbances, cognitive problems, and fatigue. Life quality parameters have become significant criteria of women's cancer chemotherapies, cognitive problems, and fatigue. Life quality parameters have become significant criteria of women's cancer rehabilitation efficiency [7–10].

Physical functioning of women after radical mastectomy deteriorates because of general fatigue, pain, decreased skin sensitivity, limitation of limb movement, and lymphatic oedema [11–13].

In order to increase adaptation to the psycho-traumatic situation and to improve the results of special treatment in breast cancer patients, it is proposed to use non-pharmacological and psychotherapeutic correction during cancer therapy and after its completion [14, 15].

Some studies have shown the most important factors for breast cancer survivors to perform water physical therapy, such as a private dressing room, social interaction, and easily modified weightless exercises [10].

The interest in the possible role of physical exercise in improving the quality of life, reducing the risk of relapse, and increasing the survival rate of cancer patients is increasing today. Physical activity can raise a favourable outlook for cancer biomarkers and energy balance, and contribute to a reduction in the risk of cardiovascular disease, which is one of the major causes of death for many people who survived cancer [7].

A lot of studies have been conducted to improve physical functioning and psychological well-being for breast cancer survivors, including water-based exercises [9–10], yoga exercises [11–13], Pilates intervention [16–18], and yoga combined with meditation [19] for problems associated with poor quality of life among patients.

Taking into account the research data that water exercises are effective and safe methods for these patients, we decided to evaluate the effectiveness of an individualized 12-week water physical therapy program on quality of life parameters in breast cancer survivors.

Subjects and methods

The participants’ type of surgery treatment and cancer stage were determined with medical records. The research was designed in accordance with the principles of CONSORT. The subjects were randomly allocated for the water physical therapy program (experimental group, n = 34) and...
Pilates physical therapy program (active control group, n = 34). The women were randomized into one of these two groups with the use of sequentially numbered, opaque sealed envelopes. Both groups attended relevant programs for 12 weeks and received 36 rehabilitation sessions. The women had undergone surgical treatment (radical mastectomy by Madden) for breast cancer and stayed in a hospital inpatient department. Radiotherapy was used in addition to the breast cancer surgery. The average time after surgery was 5.82 ± 0.12 months. Patients aged 50–60 years with recent history of radical mastectomy, tumour stage 1–2, time after surgery not exceeding 6 months, poor quality of life, absence of metastases, and no contraindications to physical activity were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were the following: bilateral mastectomy, time after surgery more than 6 months, metastases, congestive heart failure, tumour stage 3, or any contraindications limiting activity.

The study groups were homogenous in terms of demographic and treatment-related characteristics and quality of life parameters at baseline.

Quality of life parameters were assessed with the questionnaire of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) with a specific module for breast cancer patients (BR-23) [20]. Quality of life data were recorded at baseline and after the 12-week intervention.

EORTC QLQ-C30 is a general self-report questionnaire for cancer patients, containing 30 questions. Indicators for functional and symptomatic scales and individual questions ranged from 0 to 100 points. Thus, a high functional score level reflected high/healthy levels of functioning, but a high symptom score showed a high level of problems. Scoring of the breast cancer module QLQ-BR23 (23 questions) is intended to evaluate systemic therapy side effects, breast symptoms, arm symptoms, body image, and sexual functioning [20]. Applying both questionnaires allows to receive operational information without significant time consuming.

One of the key features of the developed water physical therapy program was rational combination of exercises in the horizontal and vertical positions of the body in deep and shallow water, which helped engage practically all muscles of the body and successfully overcome post-mastectomy complications. The program consisted of a wide range of breathing exercises (static, dynamic) and physical exercises (active, active-passive, special, combined developing, sports-applied), allowing to solve current tasks. Noodles, blades and water dumbbells were used to increase the load. The intensity of physical activity depended on the motion state and varied from 45% to 60% of heart rate reserve.

The water physical therapy program was built on a rational combination of swimming, combined developing exercises, and exercises of local impact on different muscle groups with various initial positions: standing, half-squatting, sitting, lying on noodles. The principle of alternation of physical activity of different muscle groups was observed in order to reduce the manifestations of fatigue and to increase the recovery rate of body functions during the program implementation. Individualization and differentiation of physical exercises in the aquatic and land environment was also carried out with the consideration of the degree of lymphedema. Patients who had lymphedema degree 1–2 performed special exercises from different initial positions: standing, lying on the back, lying on the side, sitting on a fitball. Women with the 3rd degree of lymphedema performed the majority of exercises from lying initial positions without special equipment.

The Pilates program was conducted on the floor and included warm-up, the main part with a resistance band, and cool-down. The total duration of a session was 60 min. Exercise intensity was individualized for each patient and varied from 45% to 60% of heart rate reserve. Flexibility and resistance exercises were directed at the muscles of the scapular waist, lower limbs, back, and abdomen.

Data (mean and standard error of the mean) were analysed with the use of the Statistics for Windows (version 8.00) software. Before the statistical analysis, the Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to test for the normal distribution of data. Dependent sample t-test was used to analyse life quality changes in one group from baseline to post-intervention. Independent sample t-test served to compare life quality between the women of the experimental group and active control group.

Ethical approval

The research related to human use has been complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies, has followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and has been approved by the authors’ institutional review board.

Informed consent

Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this study.

Results

Demographic and treatment-related characteristics of the studied women are shown in Table 1. These data demonstrated that there were no significant differences between the two intervention groups at baseline. A CONSORT flow diagram is presented in Figure 1.

The results of changes by the functional and symptom scales of the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire in the studied groups are presented in Table 2.

The analysis of self-reported parameters of life quality after therapy indicated that the experimental group partici-
Table 1. Demographic and treatment-related characteristics of the study participants

| Characteristics                                      | Experimental group (n = 34) | Active control group (n = 34) | p       |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (M ± m)                                          | 57.44 ± 2.16               | 57.99 ± 2.24                  | > 0.05  |
| Race                                                 |                            |                               |         |
| White, n (%)                                         | 33 (97%)                   | 32 (94%)                      | > 0.05  |
| Black, n (%)                                         | 1 (3%)                     | 2 (6%)                        | > 0.05  |
| Married/committed relationship, n (%)                | 28 (82%)                   | 27 (79%)                      | > 0.05  |
| High school graduate, n (%)                          | 13 (38%)                   | 12 (35%)                      | > 0.05  |
| College graduate, n (%)                              | 19 (56%)                   | 20 (59%)                      | > 0.05  |
| Post-graduate, n (%)                                 | 2 (6%)                     | 2 (6%)                        | > 0.05  |
| Body mass index, kg/m² (M ± m)                       | 25.92 ± 0.42               | 26.01 ± 0.81                  | > 0.05  |
| Treatment                                            |                            |                               |         |
| Surgery type (mastectomy by Madden), n (%)           | 34 (100%)                  | 34 (100%)                     | > 0.05  |
| Time after surgery, months                           | 5.23 ± 0.32                | 5.11 ± 0.42                   | > 0.05  |
| Cancer stage                                         |                            |                               |         |
| Stage 1, n (%)                                       | 12 (35%)                   | 13 (38%)                      | > 0.05  |
| Stage 2, n (%)                                       | 22 (65%)                   | 21 (62%)                      | > 0.05  |

Table 2. Changes of life quality indicators (arithmetic mean ± error of mean) in breast cancer survivors by the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire (scores)

| Indicator                                           | Experimental group (n = 34) | p         | Active control group (n = 34) | p         |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
|                                                     | Baseline | Post-intervention |          | Baseline | Post-intervention |          |
| Functional scale                                     |          |                  |          |          |                  |          |
| Physical functioning                                 | 65.67 ± 1.81 | 75.80 ± 2.25   | < 0.001 | 69.90 ± 1.77 | 71.23 ± 2.40   | > 0.05  |
| Role functioning                                     | 61.47 ± 2.95 | 68.20 ± 2.58   | > 0.05  | 59.80 ± 2.77 | 62.57 ± 2.79   | > 0.05  |
| Emotional functioning                                | 58.70 ± 2.40 | 76.43 ± 2.76*** | < 0.001 | 59.30 ± 2.69 | 60.57 ± 2.61   | > 0.05  |
| Social well-being                                    | 60.90 ± 3.38 | 68.70 ± 3.28   | < 0.05  | 62.53 ± 2.81 | 63.03 ± 2.26   | > 0.05  |
| Cognitive functioning                                | 61.37 ± 2.50 | 75.93 ± 2.47*  | < 0.001 | 61.27 ± 1.66 | 67.53 ± 2.48   | < 0.05  |
| Global health status                                 | 64.37 ± 2.60 | 77.93 ± 2.42*  | < 0.001 | 64.27 ± 1.66 | 65.53 ± 2.22   | > 0.05  |
| Symptom scale                                        |          |                  |          |          |                  |          |
| Fatigue                                              | 44.00 ± 2.88 | 30.80 ± 3.69*   | < 0.01  | 44.73 ± 2.62 | 41.07 ± 2.51   | > 0.05  |
| Nausea and vomiting                                  | 13.75 ± 2.68 | 15.35 ± 2.88    | > 0.05  | 13.15 ± 2.88 | 13.15 ± 2.88   | > 0.05  |
| Pain                                                 | 45.83 ± 2.99 | 27.93 ± 3.16    | < 0.001 | 40.83 ± 3.93 | 28.80 ± 4.36   | < 0.05  |
| Dyspnoea                                             | 51.70 ± 3.48 | 37.40 ± 3.85    | < 0.01  | 46.20 ± 4.13 | 45.10 ± 3.40   | > 0.05  |
| Insomnia                                             | 40.70 ± 3.48 | 23.10 ± 4.30*   | < 0.01  | 37.43 ± 4.19 | 36.33 ± 4.07   | > 0.05  |
| Appetite loss                                        | 27.50 ± 3.62 | 16.50 ± 3.50**  | < 0.05  | 30.80 ± 4.53 | 30.80 ± 3.91   | > 0.05  |
| Constipation                                         | 6.88 ± 1.68  | 6.55 ± 1.27     | > 0.05  | 6.15 ± 2.88 | 6.25 ± 1.88    | > 0.05  |
| Diarrhoea                                            | 5.78 ± 1.68  | 5.75 ± 1.27     | > 0.05  | 6.25 ± 1.77 | 6.44 ± 1.66    | > 0.05  |

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 compared with the data between the experimental and active control groups
p < 0.05–0.01) indicators by most functional and symptom scales elements in comparison with those in the active control group. At the end of the course, they were more closely aligned with the maximum number of points.

The mean values of indicators for physical functioning were still lagging behind the norm in the study groups, but in the experimental group they significantly improved by 10.13 points (p < 0.001) as compared with only 1.33 points improvement (p > 0.05) in the active control group.

The patients in the experimental group complained mainly about certain difficulties in the process of performing their work, which requires considerable effort, and also during a long walk. However, no problems with the implementation of a small walk, self-service, or the need to spend a long time in bed were found, while in the patients from the active control group these complaints were preserved at the end of the rehabilitation course.

The women after breast cancer surgery still experienced some limitations during day-to-day operations or leisure; in particular, 53% of the subjects in the experimental group and 63% in the active control group suffered from mild difficulties, and 17% and 27%, respectively, experienced significant difficulties; the rest did not have any limitations.

The most significant improvement was observed in the subscale of emotional functioning. These indicators significantly increased by 17.73 points (p < 0.001) in the experimental group compared with 1.27 points (p < 0.05) in the active control group.

Feelings of tension, anxiety, irritation, depression were significantly reduced in women after performing the water physical therapy program.

Estimating the results of the questionnaire in the subscale of social functioning, we found a significant improvement by 7.60 points (p < 0.05) in the experimental group women, while in the active control group only a positive dynamics of 10.27 points in the experimental group compared with the active control group (p < 0.05), insomnia by 13.23 points (p < 0.05), pain by 0.87 points (p > 0.05), and appetite loss by 14.30 points (p < 0.01).

On the basis of the results of the survey with a specific QLQ-BR23 module (Table 3), we established certain significant differences in the study groups after performing the rehabilitation programs. Particularly, fear, feelings about the body image, and the sense of femininity loss significantly decreased in both groups, which was reflected in an improvement of body image by 9.80 points (p < 0.01) in the experimental group and by 7.23 points (p < 0.01) in the active control group.

Analysing the answers to the question about future perspectives, we found that the concerns about health in the future significantly decreased in the experimental group women, while in those in the active control group the value of this indicator remained almost unchanged.

As can be concluded from Table 3, the average results with regard to future perspectives were statistically significantly better in the experimental group subjects compared with the active control group by 14.47 points (p < 0.05); breast symptoms improved by 9.67 points (p < 0.05), respectively.

**Discussion**

The research results indicate that the implementation of the water physical therapy program had a substantial influence on life quality in women after breast cancer surgery. The 12-week monitoring demonstrated that applying water

---

**Table 3. Changes of life quality indicators (arithmetic mean ± error of mean) in breast cancer survivors by the QLQ-BR23 questionnaire (scores)**

| Indicator                | Experimental group (n = 34) | Active control group (n = 34) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | Baseline | Post-intervention   | p       | Baseline | Post-intervention | p       |
| Body image              | 55.30 ± 2.65 | 65.10 ± 2.56    | < 0.01 | 56.40 ± 2.67 | 63.63 ± 1.88 | < 0.01 |
| Sexual functioning      | 7.13 ± 2.50 | 8.23 ± 2.63     | > 0.05 | 10.97 ± 2.82 | 12.10 ± 3.00 | > 0.05 |
| Sexual enjoyment        | 4.40 ± 2.11 | 5.50 ± 2.82     | > 0.05 | 9.90 ± 3.27  | 12.10 ± 3.40 | > 0.05 |
| Future perspectives     | 53.77 ± 4.45 | 67.00 ± 4.25* | < 0.01 | 51.60 ± 3.10 | 52.53 ± 5.06 | > 0.05 |
| Breast symptoms         | 32.70 ± 3.25 | 21.93 ± 3.57*   | < 0.001 | 39.27 ± 2.92 | 31.60 ± 2.42 | < 0.05 |
| Arm symptoms            | 43.27 ± 2.83 | 31.90 ± 2.41   | < 0.001 | 43.63 ± 1.81 | 36.30 ± 3.08 | < 0.05 |
| Upset by hair loss      | 13.75 ± 2.54 | 13.55 ± 2.88    | > 0.05 | 13.15 ± 2.88 | 12.19 ± 2.18 | > 0.05 |
| Systemic therapy side effects | 22.75 ± 2.68 | 13.15 ± 1.88 | < 0.05 | 23.15 ± 1.98 | 19.15 ± 2.88 | > 0.05 |

*p < 0.05 compared with the data between the experimental and active control group.
exercises was more effective compared with land exercises for improvement the quality of life in breast cancer survivors at the outpatient treatment stage.

The study supports a considerable body of research that shows a significant role of regular physical exercises in reducing stress, fatigue, depression, as well as improving physical fitness, life quality, and specific quality of life domains in breast cancer survivors [1, 4, 6, 8, 12, 21, 22].

Numerous studies have confirmed that water exercises are valuable tools for reducing pain and lymphedema, but various intervention methods, duration, and intensity of exercises create some difficulties in comparing the results obtained in the context of the current research [4, 8–10].

Some studies analysed aquatic therapy programs and patient satisfaction in women after breast cancer surgery [3, 4, 9], other investigations [16–18] focused on the analysis of Pilates exercises as useful practices for women experiencing lymphedema, fatigue, and sleep disturbance. Previous studies applied the interventions frequencies of 2–3 sessions per week, durations of 4–8 weeks, with different combinations of intensity for breast cancer patients.

This research results indicate that swimming, special exercises for coordination, increasing the shoulder range of motion, balance, and stretching contribute to the improvement in physical condition and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. Dynamic water physical exercises in different planes of motion, as well as special exercises for increasing muscle strength with the use of noodles and swimming boards were applied in the program. After practicing the water physical program, the women began to feel more powerful, efficient, full of strength, resilient, and vigorous, which affected their well-being and ability to perform daily activities.

The strength of our current research consists in providing a rational combination of breathing exercises, special exercises in the horizontal and vertical positions of the body in deep and shallow water, as well as applying noodles and dumbbells, which allowed to engage practically all muscles of the body and successfully overcome post-mastectomy complications.

Limitations

The weak side of our study is that the physical, role-related, and emotional functioning, as well as social well-being were evaluated with a questionnaire, and the patients’ lifestyle differences could have an impact on the obtained results. Moreover, the sample was not more than 6 months after surgery, and race was mostly white, so it would be hard to extrapolate the results to other races and periods after surgical intervention.

Conclusions

The results of the study have confirmed that the 12-week water physical therapy program was effective for improving quality of life parameters in breast cancer survivors. The developed program positively influenced the global quality of life in women, which was reflected in the improvement of the general physical condition, shoulder range of motion, emotional and physical functioning, optimism about the future, and a decrease in fatigue, dyspnoea, and insomnia.

Further research should evaluate the effectiveness of an individualized 12-week yoga physical therapy program on quality of life parameters in breast cancer survivors.
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