Hemispherectomy: Indications, Surgical Techniques, Complications, and Outcome
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Introduction

Surgical removal of a pathological, almost non-functional cerebral hemisphere is a relatively old concept in neurosurgery [1]. The evolution of hemispheric surgery from resection to disconnection techniques, along with a number of technological advances (light microscope, microneurosurgical tools, magnetic resonance imaging, neuro-navigation) resulted into significantly faster and less invasive procedures [2,3]. These improvements in association with prompt candidate selection resulted into further reduction of the procedure-associated mortality and morbidity [2-4]. Nowadays, hemispheric surgery techniques remain a viable treatment option for carefully selected, especially pediatric patients suffering from medically intractable epilepsy [5,6].

The purpose of our current work is to provide a brief overview of the indications of the various surgical techniques, their potential complications and side effects, and their reported outcome rates.

Historical Evolution

Dandy reported the first hemispherectomy ever, for the treatment of a diffuse hemispheric glioma, in 1923 [1]. Fifteen years later, McKenzie was the first to describe hemispherectomy as a viable surgical strategy for treating medically intractable epilepsy [7]. Krynauw was the first to report his results from a series of pediatric patients, employing hemispherectomy for treating medically intractable epilepsy [8]. The first clinical series have reported seizure-free outcome rates after hemispherectomy to be as high as 70-80% [9,10]. Nevertheless, the occurrence of late complications, such as hydrocephalus and superficial hemosiderosis, decreased the initial enthusiasm and restricted its clinical applications [11,12].

Since then, a number of modifications of the initially described surgical technique have been developed in order to minimize or to avoid these complications [12-15]. All the proposed modifications of the initial true anatomical hemispherectomy aimed towards less resection and more disconnection of the affected hemispheric structures from the healthy contralateral hemisphere [16]. Thus, the term anatomical hemispherectomy was replaced by the term hemispherotomy, which exactly denotes the disconnective nature of these procedures. The historical milestones in the evolution of the hemispherectomy surgical techniques are summarized in Table 1.

Indications and Timing

Hemispherectomy is indicated in patients with medically intractable epilepsy due to unilateral hemispheric lesions. Among them are included congenital (extensive, plurilobar cortical dysplasia, hemimegalencephaly, congenital extensive porencephaly, and Sturge-Weber syndrome), and perinatal disorders (middle cerebral artery extensive infarcts, perinatal occlusion of the Sylvian aqueduct, Rasmussen encephalitis, West syndrome, and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome) [4]. The purpose of hemispherectomy is the elimination or the significant decrease of the patient’s seizures and the facilitation of his/her psychomotor development. Four factors seem to play a major role in selecting the most appropriate time for surgery: the severity of the underlying epilepsy, the patient’s neurological condition, the natural history of the underlying disease, and the patient’s age [17]. In cases with catastrophic infantile epilepsy an early surgery is preferable. In other cases, surgery is postponed until hemiplegia and hemianopia have already commenced.

Anesthetic Considerations

The vast majority of surgical candidates are children or infants, so it is mandatory to co-operate with a specialized pediatric anesthesiologist. This age group is susceptible to hypothermia, electrolyte disturbances, and hypovolemic shock. The procedure is always performed under general endotracheal anesthesia and invasive cardiopulmonary monitoring (central venous line, intra-arterial line, transurethral catheter insertion). Warm air-blanket and intravenous fluids are essential for preventing perioperative hypothermia [18,19]. The induction of anesthesia is performed with pentothal and a mixture of nitrous oxide and isoflurane, supplemented by intravenous fentanyl for maintenance. The optimal PCO2 range is between 32–34 mmHg in order to achieve maximum brain relaxation. A loading dose of steroids (dexamethasone) may be given intravenously in the morning of the surgery. Antibiotics, a gastro-protective agent, and anti-epileptic treatment are also indicated.

Positioning and Surgical Preparation

The patient’s torso is placed in a semi-decubitus position, and the head is fixed with a three-point fixation device, rotated 45°, in order to achieve an almost horizontal position, with the affected hemisphere upwards. A foam-roll is placed under the ipsilateral shoulder. After meticulous skin prepping with antiseptic soap, the skin incision is performed. A skin incision should permit sufficient exposure of the working fluid. The procedure is achieved with a specialized pediatric neurosurgeon, with the employment of neuronavigation cannot replace the usage of well known anatomical landmarks. It is of importance to minimize bleeding with the use of Raney clips and bipolar cautery, especially in children. The skin-flap is elevated and reflected...
anteriorly. The underlying temporalis fascia is reflected anteriorly, attached to the skin flap, so as to avoid trauma to the underlying facial nerve [18].

| Year   | Surgeon | Indication                  | Ptns | Comments                                                                 |
|--------|---------|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1928   | AH      | Right-sided glioma          | 5    | Basal ganglia removal, ligation of MCA and ACA at the carotid bifurcation, Hemisphere removed in fragments |
| 1933   | AH      | Neoplasia                   | 3    | Basal ganglia preservation improve motor outcome                         |
| 1938   | AH      | Post-traumatic intractable seizures | 1    | En-block hemispheric removal                                              |
| 1950   | AH      | Infantile hemiplegia        | 12   | Reduction in seizure frequency, improvement in cognitive and behavioral outcome |
| 1960   | AH      | Sturge – Weber Syndrome     | 5    | Reduction in seizure frequency, improvement in behavioral disorders, and worsening in hemiplegia |
| 1966   | AH      | Infantile hemiplegia        | 18   | Described the SCH concept (16.6%)                                         |
| 1968   | m-AH    | Infantile hemiplegia        | 50   | SCH management with membrane removal, lavage and shunting, and foramen of Monroe obstruction with a muscle plug |
| 1983   | FH      | Intractable epilepsy        | 57   | FH protects against SCH at the expense of seizure control                |
| 1992   | VPH     | Intractable epilepsy        | 83   | VPH is a safe and effective disconnection technique for intractable epilepsy in children |
| 1992   | HC      | Chronic epilepsy            | 11   | HC outcome compares favorably with that of more extensive surgical procedures, yet is associated with significantly less risk |
| 1995   | HD      | Intractable epilepsy        | 13   | HD entails a smaller transection, less operation time, and less blood loss, it leaves more brain tissue, but with equivalent seizure control as compared with other functional hemispherectomy techniques |
| 1995   | PIH     | Intractable epilepsy        | 11   | PIH is a minimally-aggressive tractotomy with few complication             |
| 2001   | TFH     | Intractable epilepsy        | 20   | Method of choice in cases with enlarged ventricles or perinatal ischemic cysts and is not recommended for hemimegalencephaly |

Table 1: Milestones in hemispheric surgery (Ptns: number of patients, AH: anatomical hemispherectomy, SCH: superficial cerebral hemisiderosis, m-AH: modified anatomical hemispherectomy, FH: functional hemispherectomy, VPH: ventral parasagittal hemispherectomy, HC: hemicorticectomy, HD: hemispheric deafferentation, PIH: peri-insular hemispherotomy, TFH: trans-sylvian functional hemispherectomy)

The initial craniotomy step is the placement of multiple burr holes according to the neuronavigation guidance, if this is available. The underlying dura is detached from the bone with a #3 Penfield dissector. The adjacent burr holes are connected with a craniotome and the bone flap is carefully removed. Additional temporal bone is either drilled away or removed with a Leksel rongeur, so as to reach the anterior temporal area [18].

The dura is opened anteriorly, inferiorly, and posteriorly. The initial durotomy is made anteriorly and posteriorly with a #15 blade. The rest of the dural opening is accomplished with Metzenbaum scissors using fine-toothed forceps to pick up the dura. Dural incision bleeding is controlled with hemostatic clips. The dural flaps, based toward the midline, are reflected. Particular attention needs to be paid to the fact that the dural flaps need to be maintained moistened through out the procedure [18].

Anatomical Hemispherectomy

Anatomical hemispherectomy (AH) is performed under the surgical microscope and achieved in three phases. Initially, the Sylvian fissure is opened [18]. The ipsilateral MCA is identified, dissected, clipped and divided lateral to the lenticulostrate branches of the basal ganglia. Similarly, the ipsilateral ACA is divided proximal to the origin of the callosal-marginal artery [1,8,18]. Care must be taken to avoid any catastrophic injuries to the contralateral vessels [18].

In the second step, the corpus callosum is properly identified and divided through an interhemispheric approach. The usage of a neuronavigator may be advantageous, especially in hemimegalencephaly cases, where the anatomical distortion could be easily misleading. Initially, all medial bridging cortical veins are identified, coagulated, and securely divided [18]. The callosotomy is performed using microdissection, coagulation, and aspiration techniques from the genu anteriorly to the splenium posteriorly [18]. A cottonoid is placed in the foramen of Monroe to protect the underlying choroid plexus and prevent the blood and debris entering the ventricular system [18]. Alternatively, it may be permanently plugged with a piece of muscle [10].

Finally, the fronto-basal white matter is divided through the anterior part of the lateral ventricle. The temporal stem is dissected and the ipsilateral anterior choroid and posterior communicating arteries are identified. The latter is clipped and divided at its P3 segment. The amygdala and the hippocampus are removed employing sub-pial dissection with special care on the preservation of the occulomotor nerve [1,8,18]. The obtaining of a preoperative magnetic resonance venography (MRV) could be beneficial for studying all bridging veins and for better and safer surgical corridor selection. The ipsilateral basal nuclei and thalamus may be left in situ for better motor outcome [20]. The exposed choroid plexus may be coagulated or left untouched, according to the surgeon’s preference [1,18,20,21].

The method is characterized by high level of seizure control at an expense of increased morbidity risks.

Cerebral Hemicorticectomy

Winston developed a technique known as “cerebral corticectomy” in an effort to benefit from the success of AH in controlling intractable...
seizures but to avoid its associated complications. Nevertheless, this method did not gain popularity [22].

It has been described as a "de-gloving dissection" around the lateral ventricle, leaving thus a thin layer of white matter over the intact ependyma. The removed cortical gray matter was considered to be the source of all epileptogenic activity [22].

The hemisphere is exposed in the same manner as in AH. The cerebral decortication includes a vertical cortical incision into the white matter, starting from the posterior Sylvian sulcus and continuing all over the parietal lobe. With a fan-like incision the frontal opercula is dissected away, undermining all neocortical structures. Next a large portion of the fronto-parietal cortex is dissected and removed. The cortex covering the temporal and occipital horns is removed. Finally, the remaining occipital cortex and corpus callosum are resected in a piecemeal fashion [22].

**Functional Hemispherectomy (Rasmussen’s Modification)**

Functional hemispherectomy (FH) is a four-step process [12,23]. The temporal lobe is removed with two cortical incisions, one on the superior temporal gyrus, running in parallel to the Sylvian fissure, and a second one placed on the dorsal temporal lobe, down to the temporal base, perpendicular to the first one. The posterior resection line is traced approximately 8 cm from the temporal pole. The hippocampus, the parahippocampal gyrus, the medial part of the uncus, and the lateral part of amygdala are removed with the ultrasonic aspirator after opening the temporal horn. The ipsilateral third cranial nerve should be protected.

The second step involves removal of the suprasylvian cortex by two parallel incisions perpendicular to the sylvian fissure, and providing access into the ipsilateral lateral ventricle [12,18]. This cortical block is 5-6cm wide, and extends medially to the falx, containing the precentral and postcentral gyri, from the convexity, down to the Sylvian fissure. This step ends with transection of the corona radiata.

Completion of the transventricular parasagittal callosotomy is the main task of the third step. The remaining anterior and posterior callosal fiber tracts are disconnected from the ependymal surface toward the cingulate gyrus. The pericallosal artery constitutes the medial border of the resection, while working at the knee of the corpus callosum [12,18].

Lastly, anterior and posterior disconnections of the frontal and parieto-occipital lobes take place [12,18]. The borders for the transection of the corona radiata are the superior circular sulcus, the anterior cerebral artery, and the M1 segment of the middle cerebral artery. The posterior disconnection takes place after fully opening the Sylvian fissure and promptly elevating the parietal operculum. The disconnection line extends from the posterior part of the lateral ventricle opening, to the trigone of the temporal pole cavity [12,18].

**Vertical Para-Sagittal hemispherotomy (Delalande Modification)**

The head is fixed in a neutral position, slightly elevated on the horizontal plane [14]. The skin incision is a linear transverse one, followed by a small parasagittal frontoparietal craniotomy, 3 x 5 cm in size, with its anterior one-third located anterior to the coronal suture. The cortical window reaches the midline for easier access to the corpus callosum. Newer modifications suggest a laterally displaced fronto-parietal window in order to avoid all the para-sagittal veins [14].

Resection of a limited frontal cortex (3 x 2cm) facilitates entrance to the ipsilateral lateral ventricle and the foramen of Monro. The body and the splenium of the corpus callosum are resected, until the third ventricle is exposed. By severing the posterior column of the fornix at the level of the ventricular trigone, a posterior disconnection of the hippocampus is achieved [14].

A vertical incision lateral to the thalamus is carried out from the trigone to the most anterior part of the ventricle, guided by the choroid plexus of the temporal horn. Resections of the genu and the rostrum of the corpus callosum complete the callosotomy. The last step involves the resection of the posterior part of the gyrus rectus, which is possible through a straight incision anterolaterally through the caudate nucleus from the rectus gyrus to the anterior temporal horn [19]. Thus, all connections from the anterior temporal lobe, the amygdala, and the frontal lobe will be severed [14].

**Lateral Peri-insular Hemispherotomy (Villemure Modification)**

The PIH is a lateral disconnection procedure of the fronto-parieto-temporal opercular cortices. A "π"-shaped skin incision allows for a craniotomy over the insula, extending from the coronal suture to 3-4 cm behind the external auditory canal. Its lowest edge should be the bottom of the middle cranial fossa, all the way to the mid-convexity of the skull. The dural flap has a similar shape, and may be reflected either upwards or downwards [13].

The peri-insular approach is divided into three phases: the supra-insular, the infra-insular, and the insular phase. The subpial resection/aspiration technique is employed during all the phases of this procedure [13].

In the supra-insular phase, the resection of the frontal and parietal operculum is carried out, leaving the underlying insular cortex completely exposed. Transection of the corona radiata is performed while opening the lateral ventricle from the frontal horn to the trigone. All tissue entering the callosum from the medial wall is transected, in order to perform a transventricular parasagittal callosotomy. Critical anatomical landmarks are the pericallosal arteries, the falx, and the cingulum. A partial de-afferentation of the hippocampus is subsequently accomplished by an incision through the fimbria and the fornix. Finally, the fronto-basal white matter is disconnected [13]. During the infra-insular phase a temporal lobotomy is performed (resection of the temporal operculum, transection of the temporal stem, and removal of the amygdala and the anterior hippocampus). At this stage, if the resection is maximal, the optic tract is visible. Finally, during the insular phase, the insula is resected in a piecemeal fashion [13,19].

**Transsylvanian Functional Hemispherotomy (Schramm Modification)**

The TFH constitutes a keyhole variant of hemispherotomy [21]. It is indicated for patients with atrophic hemispheres and enlarged
In the case of significant intraoperative blood loss, volume and/or fluid is crystal clear. When dry, a layer of hemostatic materials (Gelfoam, Surgicel, Tachoseal) should be applied to avoid any postoperative hematoma formation due to oozing.

Hemispherectomy remains the only currently employed epilepsy surgical procedure associated with significant perioperative mortality, ranging between 2-10% [18]. The causes of death are postoperative brain edema, tentorial herniation, acute onset postoperative hydrocephalus, and postoperative hemorrhage [25-31]. However, the most recent clinical series report significantly lower mortality rates, mainly due to the improved neurosurgical techniques, better neuro-anesthesia, and better preoperative imaging modalities [32].

Superficial cerebral hemosiderosis (SCH) is a complication that appears with a reported incidence as high as 30-50% after AH. It is manifested by progressive neurological deterioration after a mean time of eight years post-operatively [25]. The hemispherectomy cavity becomes lined with highly vascularised neo-membranes, as a result of chronic multiple microbleeding. The ventricles are filled-in with a brown, machine-oilluid. It is associated with cerebral gliosis, ependymitis, and aqueductal stenosis, leading to hydrocephalus development [25,26]. It has to be mentioned that many clinical investigators consider this complication of historical significance, without any actual importance.

Obstructive hydrocephalus is among the most common complications with a reported incidence ranging from 2-26% in hemispheric surgery procedures. Hemimegalencephaly and multiple hemispheric surgery procedures. Hemimegalencephaly and multiple cortical dysplasias require more frequently a CSF shunting, postoperatively [12]. Excision of the ipsilateral choroid plexus has been described in order to avoid this potential complication. Nowadays, CSF shunting at the same setting with the hemispherectomy procedure is widely advocated [17,18,25].

Damage to major arteries and veins during surgery may lead to brain edema and ischemia. These conditions are potentially lethal as they raise the intracranial pressure and may lead to brain herniation [13]. Aseptic meningitis, third and sixth cranial nerve palsy, hyponatremia, and electrolyte dysbalances due to inappropriate antidiuretic hormone syndrome secretion have been described as less common complications of hemispheric surgery [24]. The early recognition of any procedure-associated complications, and their prompt management is of paramount importance for the good outcome of these patients.

Outcome

Complete abolition of seizures (Engel Class I) has been reported to be as high as 43-90% (average 70%), among patients undergoing hemispherotomy [13]. No data support that one hemispherotomy technique is superior to others, while the average reported seizure-free outcome is approximately 70% at the completion of the second postoperative year. Moreover, patients being treated with hemispherotomy had a comparable, if not better, seizure control.
outcome compared to those undergoing hemispherectomy [12,14,17-19, 24,33].

Postoperative neurological morbidity depends on the anatomic location of the underlying pathology and the patient’s preoperative neurological status. Up to 84% of patients retain some form of effective walking after hemispherotomy [14,34]. It is important to note that about 50% of the patients lack fine finger motor function postoperatively [14, 17-19,24,33]. Verbal skills show a higher chance of improvement compared to non-verbal skills [27]. Interestingly, this improvement is irrespective of the performance of a resective or a disconnective procedure [27]. The patient’s age and the potential brain plasticity are important issues to be taken into consideration when considering language outcome [35]. Younger patients demonstrate better language outcomes after hemispherectomy/hemispherotomy. Generally, the cognitive improvement after hemispherectomy is assessed as moderate [32].

Conclusion

Hemispheric surgery remains a valid treatment option for patients suffering medically intractable, and usually catastrophic, epilepsy. The choice between hemispherectomy or hemispherotomy technique depends mainly on the underlying pathology, the patients age and his/her neurological status, as well as the surgeons experience. Hemispherotomy techniques offer the advantage of a less extensive and lengthy procedure, thus minimizing the chance of severe intraoperative bleeding. Hemispheric surgery procedures should be performed only in specialized centers, where there is abundance of expertise and adequate volume of cases, for optimal results.
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