Biofuels Produced by Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis over Silica-supported Iron-Based Catalysts Prepared by Autocombustion Method
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Abstract. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of different silica types on iron (Fe)-based catalysts containing copper and potassium prepared by autocombustion for direct use in Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis (FTS) without a reduction step. The catalysts were characterized through nitrogen (\(\text{N}_2\)) adsorption, X-ray diffraction (XRD), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The FTS performance of each catalyst was evaluated in a fixed-bed reactor at 300 °C, 1.0 MPa, a catalyst weight to volume flow rate of 10 gcat h/mol and a hydrogen (\(\text{H}_2\))/carbon monoxide (\(\text{CO}\)) molar ratio of 1. The pore size of the silica had an important influence on the formation of the Fe active phases and subsequent FTS activity. The presence of iron carbide (\(\text{Fe}_x\text{C}\)), which is known to be one of the active Fe phases, was demonstrated by the XRD and TEM analyses. Larger crystallite sizes in the large pores were much easier to accommodate \(\text{Fe}_x\text{C}\) than the smaller crystallite sizes in the small pores. The Fe-based catalysts supported on the silica with the largest pores gave the highest CO conversion level at 86.5%, with a 28.1% \(\text{C}_2-\text{4}\) selectivity and 17.3% \(\text{C}_5+\) selectivity under these operating conditions. Interestingly, this is an alternative approach to synthesize nanostructured metallic catalysts on silica and to produce clean biofuel for the fuel industry and transportation.
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1. Introduction

Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS), for altering syngas into liquid fuels and chemicals, is an important technology in the conversion of coal, natural gas, and biomass to liquid products [1]. Iron (Fe)-based catalysts are preferred for the FTS reaction due to their low cost, high activity, and excellent water gas shift (WGS) reactivity, which are advocated for the conversion of syngas from coal gasification with a low hydrogen (H₂)/carbon monoxide (CO) ratio [2]. To achieve a high performance, copper (Cu) is frequently added to the Fe to realize a lower reduction temperature and reduce the level of sintering. Furthermore, Fe-based catalysts need an alkali metal, such as potassium (K), as a chemical promoter to enhance both the FTS and WGS activity and increase the selectivity for the long chain hydrocarbons (HCs) [3].

In order to improve the FTS performance, structural promoters are necessary additives in industrial Fe-based catalysts. The role of silica (SiO₂) for Fe-based catalysts in the FTS is generally regarded to be physical in nature, in terms of providing a large surface area, stabilizing small Fe crystallites sizes, and enhancing the attrition resistance of catalysts [4, 5]. As generally known, the textural properties of the silica have a great influence on the catalytic performances since it affects the active particle sizes and dispersion. A high dispersion of Fe oxide particles enhances the heat and mass transfer as well as giving a high resistance against coke formation and deposition [6].

Autocombustion is an alternative synthesis method that uses energy from the exothermic decomposition of a redox mixture of organic acid and metal nitrates. The reducing gases, such as H₂ and CO, are released from the exothermic decomposition of the organic acid and then reduce the metal ions to active metal. Therefore, this process can continue without an external energy source. This approach has the advantage of a low cost and high energy performance. There have been several reports on the preparation of catalysts by autocombustion [7]. For example, a series of the cobalt-based catalysts on silica were formed from autocombustion using cobalt nitrates and citric acid in an argon (Ar) atmosphere. Increasing the citric acid level increased the degree of reduction and extended the cobalt dispersion, but markedly increased the level of carbon residues [8].

With respect to catalysts for the FTS, Fe-based FTS catalysts containing Cu and K and supported on silica, were prepared by autocombustion of citric acid for direct use in the FTS reaction without a reduction step [9]. The optimal catalyst, in terms of the highest CO conversion level, was obtained with a citric acid: iron (III) nitrate molar ratio of 0.1, and was superior to the corresponding catalysts prepared by impregnation [9].

However, Fe-based catalysts for FTS on different silica types prepared by autocombustion have not been reported in detail. It is of great interesting and challenging to improve catalysts that are comprised of different types of silica supports. Consequently, the objective of this study was focused on the influence of Fe-based catalysts containing Cu, K and citric acid that were supported on different silica types and prepared by autocombustion for direct FTS without a reduction step. The catalysts were characterized through nitrogen (N₂) adsorption, X-ray diffraction (XRD), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The effect of different silica types on the FTS performance of catalysts were tested in a fixed-bed reactor and correlated with the characterization results.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst Preparation

The Fe-based catalysts containing Cu, K and citric acid and supported on silica were prepared by autocombustion as previously described [9, 10], using iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate, copper (II) nitrate trihydrate, and potassium nitrate at a Fe: Cu: K molar ratio of 200:50:5 and citric acid. The respective chemicals were initially dissolved in distilled water to give a citric acid: iron nitrate molar ratio of 0.1. The solutions were adjusted to pH 7.0 using ammonia (NH₃) solution, refluxed at 80 °C for 2 h and then evaporated at 100 °C until a dark brown solution of 25 cm³. The supports were the commercially available Q-3, Q-10, and Q-50 silica (Cariact, Fuji Silysia Co. Ltd.). The Fe/Cu/K mixture was then impregnated over the silica (5 g) at a 20 wt% Fe metal loading and dried at 120 °C for 12 h. The resulting samples were calcined in Ar at 500 °C for 5 h at a ramping rate of 1.5 °C/min and then applied directly in the FTS without a reduction step. The Q-3, Q-10, and Q-50 supported FeCuK catalysts were denoted as FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50, respectively.

2.2. Catalyst Characterization

The pore structure of calcined catalysts was characterized by nitrogen (N₂) adsorption using a NOVA 2200e equipment. The specific surface area (S_{BET}) was obtained by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, the total pore volume (Vₚ) was calculated by the single point method, and the average pore sizes (Dₚ) was obtained by 4Vₚ/S_{BET}. The pore size distribution (PSD) was obtained using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method. The XRD patterns of calcined and used catalysts were performed using a Rigaku RINT 2200 with a monochromatic Cu-Kα radiation source over a 2θ range from 10–80°. The Scherrer equation, D = Kλ/(βcosθ) was used to calculate the average crystalline sizes of calcined and used catalysts. The TEM images were analysed by a Philips Tecnai F20 TEM at 200 kV acceleration voltage and field emission source which was equipped with digital STEM. The average crystallite sizes of used catalyst were calculated by the SemAfore program.

2.3. Catalyst Activity Test

The feed gas for FTS consisted of Ar (3.0%), CO (48.5%), and H₂ (48.5%). Initially, the respective catalyst
(0.5 g) was placed in a fixed bed reactor tube. Thereafter, the FTS reaction was performed for 6 h at 300 °C, 1.0 MPa and a W/F ratio of 10 gcat h/mol. The stream was characterized by online gas chromatography (GC) as follows: a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) was used to analyse the gaseous products [methane (CH₄), carbon dioxide (CO₂), CO, and Ar and a flame ionization detector (FID) was used to evaluate the light HCs (C₁–₃). The liquid products were collected in an ice trap and analysed by GC-FID. The experimental set up is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the FTS apparatus used in this study.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Textural Characteristics of Catalysts

Table 1. Physical properties of the three silica types, and their derived as-synthesized FeCuK catalysts.

| Material       | \(S_{\text{BET}}^a\) (m²/g) | \(V_p^a\) (cm³/g) | \(D_p^a\) (nm) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Q-3            | 760                         | 0.35              | 4.6            |
| FeCuK/Q-3      | 661                         | 0.19              | 3.2            |
| Q-10           | 475                         | 1.53              | 13.4           |
| FeCuK/Q-10     | 446                         | 0.96              | 10.7           |
| Q-50           | 80                          | 1.10              | 48.5           |
| FeCuK/Q-50     | 92                          | 0.57              | 20.2           |
| Q-3            | 760                         | 0.35              | 4.6            |
| FeCuK/Q-3      | 661                         | 0.19              | 3.2            |
| Q-10           | 475                         | 1.53              | 13.4           |

* Determined by the N₂ adsorption method.

For comparison of the effect of different silica types, the catalysts with the same composition but using the Q-3, Q-10, or Q-50 silica as the support were examined. Thus, the physical properties of the calcined FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts were determined by N₂ adsorption, with the results summarized in Table 1. The \(S_{\text{BET}}\) of the Q-3 and Q-10 silica was reduced in the respective FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-10 catalysts from 760 to 661 m²/g and from 475 to 446 m²/g, respectively. This indicated that the introduced components may be deposited on the entrance of large pores and so block them. In contrast, the \(S_{\text{BET}}\) of the Q-50 silica was slightly increased upon formation of the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst from 80 to 92 m²/g, mainly due to some Fe particles entering into the pores of the silica [10, 11]. The citric acid in the Fe-based catalysts released gases during the citric acid decomposition that resulted in a more porous structure and higher \(S_{\text{BET}}\).

Likewise, the \(V_p\) of Q-3 was decreased from 0.35 to 0.19 cm³/g in the FeCuK/Q-3 catalyst, while for Q-10 it was decreased from 1.53 to 0.96 cm³/g in the FeCuK/Q-10 catalyst, indicating that some of the introduced metal species entered the small pores of the Q-3 and Q-10 silica support [12]. In contrast to the \(S_{\text{BET}}\), the \(V_p\) of Q-50 was also markedly decreased from 1.1 to 0.57 cm³/g in the FeCuK/Q-10 catalyst (Table 1). The \(D_p\) of the Q-3, Q-10, and Q-50 silicas were all decreased upon formation of their respective FeCuK catalyst by auto combustion and calcination, in accord with a previous study [12]. This marked decrease in the \(V_p\) and \(D_p\) suggests that the Fe particles were loaded into the pores of silica more than deposited on the outer surface. As expected, it was noted that the silica helped to protect the Fe-based catalysts on the silica matrix during the calcination step, absorbing the heat from the auto combustion and so avoiding structure collapse [13].

Fig. 2. Plots of the PSD of the (a) FeCuK/Q-3, (b) FeCuK/Q-10, and (c) FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts.

The pore size distributions (PSD) of the calcined catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. The samples prepared from the different silica types all had a uniform pore size with a very narrow PSD between 2 and 25 nm, as calculated using the BJH method for the mesoporous silica [4]. The FeCuK/Q-3 catalyst, with a very high surface area and relatively narrow mesopores, showed a smaller pore diameter in the PSD curve that the other two catalysts, as expected [2]. It should be noted that the PSD curve of the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst was broader (17–25 nm), with a maximum at about 20 nm, than those for FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-10.

3.2. Crystalline Structures of the Catalysts

The metal dispersion on the silica supports was evaluated from the XRD patterns of the calcined FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts before FTS, and are shown in Fig. 3(a). The calcined catalysts exhibited the presence of Fe in hematite (Fe₂O₃),
magnetcite (Fe₃O₄) and carbides (Fe₃C) phases. The broad peak observed at a 20 range from 20–25° corresponded to amorphous silica. The XRD patterns were very broad, especially for the FeCuK/Q-3 catalyst, which indicated a relatively small crystalline size. The width of the XRD peaks of Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ were apparent in the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst and were strongly affected by the pore size. No XRD peaks were assigned to Cu or K species due to their high dispersion level and low concentration [9]. Increasing the pore size caused a further reduction of Fe₂O₃ to Fe₃O₄ and then carburization of Fe metal (Fe) to Fe₃C. Consequently, the autocombustion process completed the conversion of metal compounds to metal oxides and then to metal carbides without a reduction step [14].

Fig. 3. XRD patterns of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst (a) before and (b) after a 6-h FTS reaction.

The as-synthesized FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst revealed the presence of a Fe₃C phase, as seen in Fig. 3(a). This carburization might have occurred during the calcination step in the catalyst preparation process, where the citric acid was used as the reducing agent and iron nitrate as the oxidizing agent. The decomposition of citric acid releases large amounts of gases, such as H₂, CH₄, H₂O, CO, CO₂, NH₃, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and nitrogen monoxide (NO). The reducing H₂ and CO would then play a key role as the reducing and carburizing agents to produce Fe₃C from the metal oxides phases [14], as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 3(b) displayed the XRD patterns of used catalysts after the 6-h FTS reaction. The XRD patterns of all catalysts showed Fe phases as Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃C. Increasing silica pore sizes led to a gradual increased intensity of Fe₃C, as observed from the average crystallite sizes calculated by the Scherrer formula in Table 2. After the 6-h FTS reaction, the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst contained more Fe₃C than the FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-10 catalysts. The intensities of these peaks were larger with the large pore sized catalysts, with a higher Fe₃C amount [2]. This is consistent with the XRD patterns for the calcined FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts in Fig. 3(a). It is worth noting that the carbidization of small iron oxide particles led to a small level of Fe₃C, and so the Fe dispersion in silica was influenced by the pore size of the silica [4].

Fig. 4. Schematic diagram showing the carburization and transformation sequence for Fe phase the calcination catalyst preparation [14].

The crystalline sizes of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts are summarized in Table 2. Increasing the pore size resulted in narrowing XRD peaks and in larger sizes of Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ crystallites. Moreover, the crystallite sizes followed the same trend as the silica pore sizes, where larger crystallite sizes were located in larger pore sizes, as reported previously [4], especially in the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst, as seen in Fig. 3(a).

Table 2. The crystalline sizes of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts.

| Catalyst     | d_RXD (nm) | d_TEM (nm) |
|--------------|------------|------------|
| FeCuK/Q-3    | 4.8        | 7.1        |
| FeCuK/Q-10   | 17.7       | 12.4       |
| FeCuK/Q-50   | 27.5       | 14.8       |

*Derived from the diffraction line in XRD and the crystalline sizes calculated by the Scherrer formula; bFe₂O₃ at 35.5° and Fe₃O₄ at 35.3° for calcined catalysts before FTS; cFe₃C at around 43.0° for used catalysts after FTS. dFe₃C sizes for used catalysts after a 6-h FTS reaction, as derived from the TEM analysis.

3.3. Morphology (TEM analysis) of the Catalysts

Representative TEM images of the used (after the 6-h FTS reaction) FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts are compared in Fig. 5. All the TEM images were broadly similar [4], and showed the effect of the silica pore size on the dispersion of the Fe₃C phase in the used catalysts. As expected, more dispersed Fe₃C species were observed in the smaller pore sizes.
Table 2 shows the average crystallite sizes of the Fe,C phase of the used catalysts, as calculated using the SemAfore program. The average crystallite sizes of Fe,C increased initially from 7.6 nm to 15.8 nm and then markedly to 19.2 nm, as the pore size of silica increased from those in Q-3 to Q-10 and then to Q-50, respectively. In accord, increasing the pore size increased the average crystallite size of Fe,C. The result revealed that a more dispersed Fe,C phase was observed in the Q-50 silica with larger pore sizes [6], which is in accord with the XRD data in Fig. 3(b), where the intensity of Fe,C peaks appeared in the used FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts after the 6-h FTS reaction.

![TEM images](image)

**Fig. 5. TEM images of the used (after a 6-h FTS reaction) (a) FeCuK/Q-3, (b) FeCuK/Q-10, and (c) FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts.**

### 3.4. FTS Performance

#### 3.4.1. CO conversion

Table 3. The FTS performance, in terms of the CO conversion efficiency and product selectivity, of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts.

| Catalyst      | CO conversion | CH4 | C2H4 | C3+ | Product selectivity (%) |
|---------------|---------------|-----|------|-----|-------------------------|
| FeCuK/Q-10    | 10.8          | 28.8| 45.7 | 15.9| 9.6                     |
| FeCuK/Q-10    | 33.3          | 35.7| 24.2 | 25.2| 14.9                    |
| FeCuK/Q-50    | 86.5          | 37.8| 16.8 | 28.1| 17.3                    |

*Reaction condition: 0.5 g catalyst, H2/CO of 1/1, 300 °C, 1.0 MPa, and 1F/Flow = 10 gcat h/mol.*

The calcined FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts were used in the FTS reaction to investigate the promotional role of the silica pore structure. The obtained CO conversion level and product selectivity are summarized in Table 3. Comparing the FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts, the former catalyst with the largest surface area (661 m²/g) and smallest average pore size (3.2 nm) showed the lowest CO conversion level, whereas the latter catalyst with the smallest surface area (92 m²/g) and largest pore size (20.2 nm) showed a higher CO conversion level. Therefore, increasing the silica pore size increased the CO conversion level to a maximum of 86.5% in this study, being ranked in the order FeCuK/Q-50 > FeCuK/Q-10 > FeCuK/Q-3.

It is important to note that the pore size of the silica support for the FeCuK catalysts played a more important role than the surface area on the CO conversion level [12]. The reaction rate is likely to be mainly controlled by the diffusion of reactants and products. The CO conversion level compared to the catalyst pore size (Dp) are compared in Fig. 6. As expected, the CO conversion level increased as a function of the silica pore size. In accord, the noted trend was that a much higher CO conversion level was obtained with a larger pore size of silica [4]. Indeed, several previous reports showed that a higher CO conversion level in the FTS reaction depended on the faster diffusion rate /greater efficiency and the improved active metal dispersion [2, 12].

![CO conversion level](image)

**Fig. 6. The CO conversion level obtained in the FTS reaction with the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts, and their respective pore sizes (Dp).**

Moreover, it should be noted that the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst had the highest CO conversion level in this FTS reaction. Two main factors may be associated with this improvement. Firstly, as is indicated by XRD patterns of the calcined catalysts (Fig. 3(a)), the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst contained Fe₃O₄, which can be readily converted by carburization of Fe to Fe,C. A larger amount of Fe,C would result, which is the true active species for FTS. Secondly, the XRD patterns of the used catalysts (after the 6-h FTS reaction) revealed that the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst contained more Fe,C than the other two catalysts (Fig. 3(b)). The TEM results (Table 2) of the used catalysts also confirmed that the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst had a higher Fe,C content than the other two catalysts [12].

It is interesting that the CO conversion level of these three FeCuK/SiO₂ catalysts synthesized by auto combustion remained almost unchanged over the 6-h FTS reaction, as exhibited in Fig. 7. It was deduced that Fe carburization and stability were achieved by surface active carbonaceous species during the preparation of the catalysts in the auto combustion method [15]. Consequently, the induction period required for conventional Fe-based catalysts for the FTS was not
necessary for these catalysts synthesized by auto-combustion. As expected, the existence of citric acid significantly improved the reduction and carburization of iron oxide phases during catalyst preparation, which suggested that this carbonaceous material worked as reducing agent during the decomposition of nitrate to form partially reduced Fe species. Similar results have been reported before, where the addition of carbonaceous material into the precursors led to the stabilization of highly dispersed iron oxide phases and a more reduced active phase after heat treatment in an inert atmosphere [16].

Fig. 7. Plot showing the influence of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts on the CO conversion level over a 6-h FTS reaction. Reaction condition: 0.5 g catalyst, H2/CO of 1/1, 300 °C, 1.0 MPa, and a W/F of 10 gcat h/mol.

3.4.2. Product selectivity

The product selectivity during the 6-h FTS reaction of citric acid with the three different catalyst types are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 8. The reaction product selectivity was influenced by the average pore and crystallite sizes. Since Fe-based catalysts in the FTS commonly have a high WGS reaction activity, then the CO2 selectivity was presumably mainly derived from the WGS reaction [17]. The FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst had the highest CO2 selectivity, where increasing the silica support pore size significantly increased the CO2 selectivity, which is because the WGS reaction was catalysed by the FeOx phase. Accordingly, a higher FeOx phase could lead to high CO2 selectivity [18].

Comparison between the FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts revealed that FeCuK/Q-3 showed a higher CH4 selectivity, due to the influence of the pore size, where increasing the pore size led to a strongly decreased CH4 selectivity. Small pores would contribute to the formation of small molecular weight products from the diffusion limitation, while large pores benefited the formation of heavy HCs. The stronger –CHx– species, which represent carbon intermediates leading to CH4, were bonded to the catalyst surface in the smaller pores [19].

The olefins appeared to be the predominant primary products of these Fe-based catalysts in the FTS reaction. The C2–4 selectivity obtained with the FeCuK/Q-50 was significantly higher than that with the FeCuK/Q-3 and FeCuK/Q-10 catalysts, where increasing the pore size is beneficial for C2–4 selectivity [4]. The formation of paraffin, which is produced from the secondary hydrogenation of olefins, was inhibited on the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst as it had less Fe and a limited amount of Fe,C [20].

Fig. 8. Influence of the FeCuK/Q-3, FeCuK/Q-10, and FeCuK/Q-50 catalysts on the product selectivity in the 6-h FTS reaction. Reaction condition: 0.5 g catalyst, H2/CO of 1/1, 300 °C, 1.0 MPa, and a W/F of 10 gcat h/mol.

From Fig. 8, the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst had the highest C2–4 and, especially, C3+ selectivity, supporting that larger pore sizes tended to be selective for the formation of heavy HCs [12] and an increased C3+ selectivity. It is suggested that the dissociative adsorption of CO, which led to the formation of the CH2 fragments required for chain growth, occurred in the larger pore sizes of silica [21].

4. Conclusions

The Fe-based catalysts containing Cu, K and citric acid and supported on different silica types were prepared by auto-combustion for direct use in the FTS without a reduction step. In this study, the FTS performance of the catalysts were evaluated in a fixed-bed reactor at 300 °C, 1.0 MPa, W/F of 10 gcat h/mol, and H2/CO molar ratio of 1. The FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst produced the highest CO conversion level at 86.5% with 28.1% C2–4 selectivity and 17.3% C3+ selectivity under these operating conditions. Characterization of this catalyst by XRD and TEM analyses revealed the presence of Fe,C, which is known to be one of the Fe active phases. During the decomposition of citric acid, large amounts of gases, such as H2, CH4, H2O, CO, CO2, NH3, NOx, and NO were released. The reducing H2 and CO played a key role as the reducing and carburizing agents to produce Fe,C from the metal oxides phases. The larger crystallite sizes found in the large pores of the FeCuK/Q-50 catalyst were much easier to form Fe,C than the smaller crystallites in the small pores of FeCuK/Q-3 And FeCuK/Q-10. The pore sizes of the catalysts played a more important role than the surface area via influencing the formation of the Fe active phases and FTS performance. Of particular interest is that these Fe-based catalysts supported on different silica types derived from auto-combustion do not require a reduction
step and provide another route to synthesize nanostructured metallic catalysts on silica for the production of clean biofuel for industry and transportations.
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