Constitutive androstane receptor induced-hepatomegaly and liver regeneration is partially via yes-associated protein activation
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**Abstract**
The constitutive androstane receptor (CAR, NR3I1) belongs to nuclear receptor superfamily. It was reported that CAR agonist TCPOBOP induces hepatomegaly but the underlying mechanism remains largely unknown. Yes-associated protein (YAP) is a potent regulator of organ size. The aim of this study is to explore the role of YAP in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration. TCPOBOP-induced CAR activation on hepatomegaly and liver regeneration was evaluated in wild-type (WT) mice, liver-specific YAP-deficient mice, and partial hepatectomy (PHx) mice. The results demonstrate that TCPOBOP can increase the liver-to-body weight ratio in wild-type mice and PHx mice. Hepatocytes enlargement around central vein (CV) area was observed, meanwhile hepatocytes...
1. Introduction

The constitutive androstane receptor (CAR, NR3I1), is a xenobioto-sensing nuclear receptor, and a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily. CAR is composed of a DNA-binding domain, a large carboxy-terminal ligand-binding domain, and a poorly conserved amino-terminal domain. It is localized in the cytoplasm by forming a complex with HSP90 and the cytoplasmic CAR retention protein. Once activated, CAR dissociates from the cytoplasmic complex and is translocated into the nucleus where it forms a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor alpha (RXRa) and activates the transcription of its downstream targets.

CAR activates transcription of its target genes encoding various enzymes and proteins involved in drug metabolism and transport when exposed to xenobiotics. In addition to xenobiotics, CAR can also regulate the elimination of toxic endobiotics such as bilirubin and bile acids, so one major function of CAR is detoxification. However, recent studies have also unveiled the crucial role of CAR in various physiological and pathophysiological processes in the liver including gluconeogenesis, metabolism of fatty acids, metabolism of lipids, hormonal regulation, proliferation of hepatocytes, and hepatocarcinogenesis. CAR is also involved in metabolic diseases including jaundice, cholestasis, thyroid homeostasis and obesity.

1.4-Bis[2-(3,5-dichloropyridyloxy)] benzene (TCPOBOB), a classical and specific CAR agonist, was reported to induce robust hepatocyte proliferation and hepatomegaly in mouse livers. Moreover, the rapid proliferation response induced by TCPOBOB can protect liver from failure even after massive tissue loss by 91% hepatocyte. The mechanism of TCPOBOB-induced hepatomegaly was studied in previous reports. C-Myc and its downstream target forhead box protein M1 (FOXM1) are crucial in TCPOBOB-induced direct liver hyperplasia. -Catenin deficiency decreases the impact of CAR activation on hepatocytes proliferation. Disruption of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and MET signaling results in significant reduction of the TCPOBOB-induced proliferation without altering CAR activation.

Yes-associated protein (YAP), a key downstream factor of Hippo signaling pathway, is a potent regulator of organ size and tissue homeostasis. When Hippo signaling is ON, YAP is phosphorylated by LATS1/2 and binds with 14-3-3 in cytoplasm. When Hippo signaling is OFF, YAP can be dephosphorylated and translocated into nucleus where it binds with TEA domain family member (TEAD) to activate transcription of genes involved in cell survival, growth, and proliferation. According to previous studies, YAP increases organ size and causes aberrant tissue expansion in mice. YAP activation reversibly increases liver size more than 4-fold. Removal of Mst1 and Mst2 (the upstream of YAP) in liver, which causes the YAP activation, results in significant liver enlargement and dysplasia. In addition, YAP also participates in liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy (PHx). The expression of nuclear YAP increased in parallel with hepatocyte proliferative activity after PHx. Deleting Yap from hepatocytes reduced the nuclear accumulation of pSmad2, the EMTC-like response and the proliferative response. Inhibition of Mst1 and Mst2 augments liver repair and regeneration by activating the downstream effector YAP and promoting cell growth.

Most recently, YAP/TEAD activation was found to participate in CAR-dependent proliferation of murine hepatocytes. However, this study used an in vitro cell-based system and an animal experiment of verteporfin (an inhibitor of YAP/TEAD interaction) treatment. The mechanistic influence of CAR activation on YAP signaling has not been clarified and the relationship between CAR and YAP remains unknown. Therefore, the current study aims to elucidate the role of YAP in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly using liver-specific YAP-deficient mice, to investigate whether YAP is associated with CAR activation-promoted liver regeneration, and further explore the relationship between CAR and YAP.

Antibodies used in this study include anti-β-catenin (BD Biosciences, Cat# 610153, RRID: AB_397554), anti-Ki67 (Abcam, Cat# ab15580, RRID: AB_294309), anti-YAP (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 2819216), anti-CCNA1 (Sangon biotech, Cat# D220507), anti-ANKRD1 (Sangon Biotech, Cat# D121628), anti-CCND1 (Sangon biotech, Cat# D199519), anti-MET (Sangon biotech, Cat# D160981) and anti-FOXM1 (Proteintech, Cat# 13147-1-AP, RRID: AB_2106213, Rosemont, IL, USA).
2.2. Animal experiment

Male C57BL/6 mice (8- to 9-week-old) were obtained from Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center (Foshan, China). Liver-specific YAP-deficient mice and paired wild-type (WT) mice were generated by Shanghai Model Organisms Center, Inc. (Shanghai, China). Male C57BL/6 mice were injected intraperitoneally with the vehicle corn oil (Aladdin, Cat# C116025, Shanghai, China) or 3 mg/kg TCPOBOP per day (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat# T1443, St. Louis, MO, USA). Liver tissue and serum samples were harvested after 5 and 10 days. WT mice were injected intraperitoneally with vehicle or 3 mg/kg TCPOBOP per day following PHx. Tissue and serum samples were collected at 2 and 5 days after the surgery. Male WT and Yap−/− mice (8- to 9-week-old) were injected intraperitoneally with corn oil or 3 mg/kg TCPOBOP per day and the tissue samples were collected at Day 10. Serum and liver samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80 °C for further use. A portion of liver was immediately fixed in 10% formalin for histological section. The animal treatments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. The entire study stuck to the 3Rs principle of animal experiments, under the guideline of ARRIVE guidelines with respect.

2.3. Histological and biochemical assessment

According to our previous publication24, liver samples were fixed, embedded, sectioned, and then stained by haematoxylin and cosin (H&E staining). Paraffin-embedded sections were stained with β-catenin antibody (BD Biosciences, Cat# 610153, San Jose, CA, USA) and Ki67 antibody (Abcam, Cat# ab15580, Cambridge, UK). For CTNNB1 staining quantification, the number of hepatocytes was counted, then the area of the whole visual field was measured by Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) and KI67 antibody (Abcam, Cat# ab133470), anti-CAR (Abcam, Cat# ab62590, RRID: AB_956175) and anti-YAP (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 14074, RRID: AB_2650491).

2.4. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

As described in our previous report22, Trizol reagent was used for the extraction of total RNA from the liver. Primer Premier RT reagent Kit (Takara, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan) was used for the synthesis of cDNA. All sequence of primers used for quantitative RNA analysis were listed in Supporting Information. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in Biosystems 7500 using SYBR Premix Ex-Taq II Kit (Takara, Japan) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The fold changes of mRNA levels were analyzed by ∆ΔCt method.

2.5. Western blot analysis

The total proteins were extracted and the levels were determined by Western blot analysis according to our previous procedures22. Briefly, protein samples were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred onto membranes. After blocking for 1 h, the blots were incubated with different antibodies overnight at 4 °C, followed by secondary anti-rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 7077, RRID: AB_10694715, Danvers, MA, USA) or antimouse antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 7076, RRID: AB_330924) at room temperature for 1 h on the next day. The ECL Detection Kit (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) was employed to develop the blots. The intensity of the bands was quantified by Quantity One software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

2.6. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

HepG2 cells (ATCC, Cat# HB-8065, RRID: CVCL_0027, VA, USA) were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) was conducted using Thermo Scientific Pierce co-IP kit (Thermo Scientific) as described in our previous report25. Samples were analyzed by Western blot using anti-IgG (Abcam, Cat# ab133470), anti-CAR (Abcam, Cat# ab62590, RRID: AB_956175) and anti-YAP (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 14074, RRID: AB_2650491).

2.7. Co-localization assay

According to previous publications24, HepG2 cells (ATCC, Cat# HB-8065, RRID: CVCL_0027) were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS and then treated with DMSO or 10 μmol/L CITCO (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat# C6240) for 48 h. After incubation, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% Triton X-100, respectively. Then, cells were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-CAR (Abcam, Cat# ab62590, RRID: AB_956175) and mouse monoclonal anti-YAP (R&D Systems, Cat# MAB8094, RRID: AB_2819224, MN, USA) overnight at 4 °C. Cells were stained with secondary antibodies including anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 4408, RRID: AB_10694704) and anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 647 (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat# 4414, RRID: AB_10693544). Images were acquired using a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000, Tokyo, Japan).

2.8. Statistical analysis

All values were presented as the mean±standard deviation (SD). Two-tailed Student’s t tests were used for statistical test by SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Analytics, Armonk, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). A P value <0.05 was considered as significantly different.

3. Results

3.1. CAR activation induces hepatomegaly in mice liver

To evaluate the effect of hepatomegaly induced by CAR, mice were treated for 5 or 10 days with the CAR specific agonist TCPOBOP (Fig. 1A). The results show that liver/body weight ratios in TCPOBOP-treated groups were significantly higher (1.74-fold, P<0.0001 after treated for 5 days; 2.14-fold, P<0.0001 after treated for 10 days) than that of the vehicle groups (Fig. 1B). Liver morphology also shows an obvious enlargement both 5 and 10 days after TCPOBOP treatment (Fig. 1C). H&E staining does not reveal significant liver injury (Fig. 1D). Serum
Figure 1  CAR activation induces hepatomegaly and increase the liver-to-body weight ratio. (A) Male C57BL/6 mice were treated by TCPOBOP for 5 or 10 days. (B) The liver-to-body weight ratio significantly increased in TCPOBOP-treated group. (C) Liver morphology also shows a liver hypertrophy. (D) H&E staining suggests that there was no liver injury. Scale bar = 50 μm. (E) CTNNB1 staining was performed to measure the cell size, which indicated an increase of cell size around CV area. Scale bar = 50 μm. (F) Quantification of CTNNB1 staining in TCPOBOP-treated mice. (G) The expression of KI67 was measured by KI67 staining, the number of KI67⁺ cells was increased in PV area after treated by TCPOBOP. KI67⁺ cells were indicated by arrows. Scale bar = 50 μm. (H) Quantification of KI67 staining in TCPOBOP-treated mice. Data are presented as mean±SD, n = 5; **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001, significantly different to the control; Student’s t test.
ALT, AST and ALP were also examined and no significant difference was observed between the TCPOBOP- and vehicle-treated groups (Supporting Information Fig. S1A), suggesting that the hepatomegaly was not induced by liver injury. It was reported that TCPOBOP can induce hepatocyte proliferation and the increase of hepatocyte cell size16. To characterize the size of hepatocytes, CTNNB1 staining was performed and an increase of cell size around the central vein (CV) area was observed after TCPOBOP-treatment for 5 days (1.23-fold versus vehicle group, \( P < 0.01 \)) or 10 days (1.42-fold versus vehicle group, \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 1E and F), while there was no significant change in cell size around the portal vein (PV) area (Fig. S1B). Expression of Ki67 was determined by immunohistochemistry to assess hepatocyte proliferation. The percentage of Ki67+ cells around the PV area was dramatically increased after 5 days (21.3-fold versus vehicle group, \( P < 0.01 \)) or 10 days (34.0-fold versus vehicle group, \( P < 0.01 \)) of TCPOBOP treatment, indicating a high level of cell proliferation induced by CAR (Fig. 1G and H). However, the Ki67+ cells did not appear around the CV area (Fig. S1C). 

3.2. CAR activation promotes liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy

To explore whether CAR activation can promote liver regeneration, a 2/3 hepatectomy model was used and treated with TCPOBOP (Fig. 2A). Significant increase of liver size and liver/body weight ratios (1.33-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) after treated for 2 days; 1.52-fold, \( P < 0.001 \) after treated for 5 days) were observed in TCPOBOP-treated group (Fig. 2B and C).

H&E staining revealed no liver injury occurred (Fig. 2D). Serum ALT, AST, TBIL, and TBA didn’t change significantly after TCPOBOP treatment, indicating normal liver function (Supporting Information Fig. S2A). CTNNB1 and Ki67 staining were also performed. Hepatocytes around the CV area showed an enlargement (1.17-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) after treated for 2 days; 1.23-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) after treated for 5 days; Fig. 2E, quantification shown in Fig. 2F) which was absent around the PV area (Fig. S2B). The percentage of Ki67+ cells was apparently increased around the PV area after treatment with TCPOBOP (5.92-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) after treated for 2 days; 7.50-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) after treated for 5 days; Fig. 2G and H) while there was no expression around the CV area (Fig. S2C). These data suggest that CAR activation promotes hepatocyte enlargement around the CV area and proliferation around the PV area, which further facilitates liver regeneration.

3.3. YAP pathway is involved in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration

YAP acts as a regulator of organ size and tissue homeostasis16. The role of YAP/TEAD in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly was also investigated. The protein expression levels of total YAP and its downstream targets CTGF, ANKR1 and CYR61 were measured in hepatomegaly and liver regeneration models. All of these proteins were upregulated in TCPOBOP-treated mice, especially at Day 10 (Fig. 3A). The proliferation-related proteins such as CCNA1, CCND1 and CCNE1 were all significantly upregulated after TCPOBOP treatment. The upregulation of nuclear YAP and the downregulation of cytoplasmic p-YAP suggested that the YAP pathway was activated in this process (Supporting Information Fig. S3A).

In the PHx mice model, the protein expression levels of YAP and its downstream targets ANKR1 and CYR61 were upregulated, while CTGF showed a slight increase in TCPOBOP-treated mice. The proliferation-related proteins such as CCNA1, CCND1 and CCNE1 were all significantly upregulated after TCPOBOP treatment, especially at Day 2 after PHx (Fig. 3B). Similarly, the nuclear YAP and the cytoplasmic p-YAP showed the same trend as the hepatomegaly model, which indicated that the YAP pathway was activated in these processes (Fig. S3B).

Nuclear translocation is essential both for CAR and YAP to activate their downstream targets, thus the possible co-localization of CAR and YAP was examined. HepG2 cells were treated with hCAR selective agonist CITCO. The result suggests that the CAR activation enhanced translocation of YAP (Fig. 3C). Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiment was then performed to confirm the potential protein–protein interaction of CAR and YAP and a clear interaction between CAR and YAP was observed (Fig. 3D).

3.4. CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly is partially due to YAP signaling

To further explore the role of YAP in TCPOBOP-induced hepatomegaly, Yap−/− mice were used and treated with TCPOBOP for 10 days (Fig. 4A). The liver/body weight ratios still showed an increase in TCPOBOP treated Yap−/− mice (2.08-fold, \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared with the vehicle group, but the average value of liver/body weight ratios in TCPOBOP-treated Yap−/− mice was lower than that of TCPOBOP-treated WT mice (10.6% versus 13.1%, \( P < 0.01 \)), suggesting that YAP may be partially involved in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly (Fig. 4B). A slight shrinkage of liver was noted in Yap−/− mice in the treated group compared with the counterpart in WT mice (Fig. 4C).

Serum ALT, AST and ALP indicated no significant difference between the treatment and vehicle group (Supporting Information Fig. S4A). H&E staining suggests that there was no liver injury (Fig. 4D). The YAP protein and its downstream targets with proliferation-related proteins were also measured (Fig. S4B and S4C). YAP was totally depleted in Yap−/− mice, YAP downstream targets CTGF, ANKR1 and proliferation-related protein CCND1 were all upregulated in TCPOBOP-treated group both in WT and Yap−/− mice.

Immunohistochemical staining of CTNNB1 and Ki67 was conducted revealing that hepatocyte size in the CV area was still enlarged (1.19-fold, \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 4E and F), while the number of Ki67+ cells was increased in the PV area (16.0-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) in TCPOBOP-treated Yap−/− mice (Fig. 4G and H), but the effect was weaker compared with TCPOBOP-treated WT mice. CTNNB1 staining in the PV area and Ki67 staining in the CV area are shown in Fig. S4D and S4E.

3.5. Other factors are involved in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly

To investigate other possible factors involved in CAR activation-induced liver enlargement, the mRNA expression of C-myc, Foxn1, Cnntb1, Egfr and Met were measured in TCPOBOP-treated WT mice and Yap−/− mice (Fig. 5A and B). The results suggested that C-myc, Foxn1, Cnntb1 and Met mRNA were upregulated in TCPOBOP-treated WT mice and TCPOBOP-treated Yap−/− mice. The protein expression levels of C-Myc,
Figure 2  CAR activation promotes liver regeneration and facilitates the restore of liver weight after partial hepatectomy. (A) Mice after partial hepatectomy were treated with TCPOBOP for 2 or 5 days. (B) The liver-to-body weight ratio was much higher in TCPOBOP-treated group. (C) Liver morphology suggested an increase of liver size. (D) H&E staining suggested that no liver injury occurred. Scale bar = 50 μm. (E) Hepatocyte size was quantified by CTNNB1 staining which showed hepatocytes hypertrophiy around CV area. Scale bar = 50 μm. (F) Quantification of CTNNB1 staining in TCPOBOP-treated mice after PHx. (G) The number of KI67⁺ cells significantly was increased around PV area which indicated an increase of proliferative response. KI67⁺ cells were indicated by arrows. Scale bar = 50 μm. (H) Quantification of KI67 staining in TCPOBOP-treated mice after PHx. Data are presented as mean±SD, n = 5; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001, significantly different to the control; Student’s t test.
CTNNB1 and MET were significantly upregulated in TCPOBOP-treated WT mice and Yap+/− mice, indicating that these signaling pathways also contribute to CAR activation-induced liver enlargement when Yap was absent (Fig. 5C and D). These data suggested that many different pathways were involved in CAR-induced hepatomegaly, the knockout of Yap only relieve the liver enlargement to some extent but cannot totally eliminate the proliferation effect caused by other pathways such as C-Myc, CTNNB1 and MET signaling.

4. Discussion

CAR plays a critical role in the control of endogenous and xenobiotic compound metabolism. CAR activation by TCPOBOP induces hepatomegaly and an increase in both hepatocyte growth and proliferation in mice. Since YAP is a potent factor in the control of liver size, its role in the hepatoproliferative effects of CAR was investigated. Most recently, YAP/TEAD activation was found to play a key role in CAR activation-promoted proliferation in murine hepatocytes. However, the role of YAP in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration, and the relationship between CAR and YAP remains unknown. In the current study, CAR activation significantly promoted YAP translocation and directly interacted with YAP, which consequently promotes hepatomegaly and liver regeneration thus indicating a potential role for YAP in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration. These findings provide new insights to further understand the physiological functions of CAR.

It was reported that CAR activation via TCPOBOP can induce robust hepatocyte proliferation and hepatomegaly in mouse livers and promote liver regeneration after liver tissue loss. In the current study, CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration were confirmed in WT and the PHx mouse model. All mice were male in order to eliminate the gender difference, since CAR can be activated by estrogens, although to a lesser degree than by exogenous ligands such as TCPOBOP. CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration in this study is mainly caused by hepatocyte enlargement and proliferation. Hepatocytes proliferation can be observed only in the PV area, while the increase of cell size was only shown in the CV area. A possible reason for this phenomenon is that CAR activation induces the expression of enzymes and perhaps the enlargement of subcellular structures, since enzymes related to glycolysis, glycogen synthesis, ketogenesis, lipogenesis, and detoxication are preferentially situated in the CV area.

YAP, a key downstream factor in the Hippo signaling pathway, possesses a critical role in the control of organ size. A previous study demonstrated that another nuclear receptor, pregnane X receptor (PXR), can also induce liver enlargement and promote...
Figure 4  CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly is partially via YAP pathway. (A) Wild-type or Yap$^{-/-}$ mice were treated by TCPOBOP for 10 days. (B) The liver-to-body weight ratio in TCPOBOP-treated Yap$^{-/-}$ mice was lower than TCPOBOP-treated wild-type mice, but was still much higher than vehicle group of Yap$^{-/-}$ mice. (C) Liver morphology suggested that liver size was increased even in Yap$^{-/-}$ mice. (D) H&E staining suggested that no liver injury occurred. Scale bar = 50 µm. (E) CTNNB1 staining showed that there was still a slight increase of hepatocytes size around CV area in Yap$^{-/-}$ mice. Scale bar = 50 µm. (F) Quantification of CTNNB1 staining in wild-type or Yap$^{-/-}$ mice. (G) The number of Ki67$^+$ cells still was increased in Yap$^{-/-}$ mice around PV area. Ki67$^+$ cells were indicated by arrows. Scale bar = 50 µm. (H) Quantification of Ki67 staining in wild-type or Yap$^{-/-}$ mice. Data are presented as mean±SD, n = 5; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001, significantly different to the control; Student’s t test.
liver regeneration via activation of YAP. Both PXR and CAR belong to the superfamily of nuclear receptors, suggesting that CAR may activate or interact YAP as well. A previous cell-based study suggested that there is a functional crosstalk between CAR and YAP in the nucleus of hepatocytes, but there is no evidence suggesting a functional relationship between CAR and YAP. In this study, CAR activation can significantly promote the nuclear translocation of YAP and then upregulate the downstream targets of YAP. Furthermore, Co-IP assays showed a potential interaction of CAR and YAP, which suggested the important role of YAP in CAR activation-induced liver enlargement and regeneration. However, additional evidence such as surface plasmon resonance and isothermal titration calorimetry is needed to confirm their interactions, and to determine the amino acids that lead to the CAR and YAP interaction.

Yap liver-specific knockout mice revealed that CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly was only partially dependent on the YAP pathway, suggesting other regulatory factors may be involved in CAR activation-induced liver enlargement. Many regulators were reported to be involved in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly such as C-Myc, FOXM1, CTNNB1, EGFR and MET. According to previous reports, the activation of CAR can still promote hepatomegaly in Ctnnb1 knockout mice. The depletion of C-Myc also only partially restored the enlargement of liver. Similarly, liver enlargement can still be observed in EGFr + MET double knockout mice after treated with TCPOBOP for 10 days. These studies indicated complicated mechanisms in CAR activation-induced liver enlargement and regeneration process. In the current study, the mRNA and protein levels of related factors involved in hepatocytes enlargement and proliferation were

---

**Figure 5** Other factors are involved in CAR activation-induced hepatomegaly and liver regeneration. (A) The mRNA level of other related genes in wild-type mice treated by TCPOBOP or corn oil for 5 or 10 days. (B) The mRNA level of other related genes in wild-type or Yap mice. (C) The protein levels of C-Myc, FOXM1 and MET were upregulated after treated by TCPOBOP for 5 or 10 days. (D) The protein levels of C-Myc, FOXM1, CTNNB1 and MET were upregulated after treated by TCPOBOP in Yap mice. (E) Quantification of Western blot results in wild-type mice. (F) Quantification of Western blot results in Yap mice. Data are presented as mean±SD, n = 5; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001, significantly different to control; Student’s t test.
measured. In WT mice, the expression of C-Myc and MET were significantly upregulated after treated by TCPOBOP. Interestingly, the level of these proteins was even higher in TCPOBOP-treated Yap−/− mice than WT mice. We hypothesize that these proteins were upregulated to a higher extent to compensate the proliferative response reduced by the loss of Yap. CTNNB1 was not significantly changed consistent with a previous report14, but can be downregulated in Yap−/− mice, and then be induced by the activation of CAR, suggesting that there may be a crosstalk between the CTNNB1 and YAP pathways. On the other hand, a previous study revealed that PXR can also promote hepatomegaly and liver regeneration via YAP pathway. However, the activation of PXR failed to induce hepatomegaly when YAP was depleted, indicating that PXR-induced liver enlargement is totally in a YAP dependent manner15.

YAP downstream targets, such as CTGF and ANKRD1, were upregulated even in Yap−/− mice. We hypothesize that these proteins might be regulated by other factors simultaneously. CTGF belongs to CCN family, which is involved in diverse biological processes such as cell adhesion, proliferation, and angiogenesis. Previous studies reported that CTGF can be regulated by the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway28,29. Meanwhile, it is also a potential target of WNT and BMP signaling30. ANKRD1 is a potent regulator of early cardiac development, it can be significantly upregulated in cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure16. ANKRD1 can be induced by both the TGF-β and WNT pathways in epithelial cells. The upregulation of ANKRD1 could be observed in models of WNT/β-catenin-induced tumors31. Our results suggest that the β-catenin signaling pathway was activated in Yap−/− mice, and thus CTGF and ANKRD1 were still significantly upregulated in Yap−/− mice.

Cyclin D and cyclin E are two major classes of cyclins during the G1 phase of the cell cycle32. Protein levels of CCND1 and CCNE1 were upregulated significantly at both time points in TCPOBOP-treated WT mice. This suggested that CAR activation induces hepatocyte proliferation by promoting G1-S transition. Since DNA synthesis in hepatocytes was terminated 4–5 days after PHx, the liver mass was restored so the proliferative response was much weaker at Day 534. Thus, in the PHx vehicle group, the expression of CCNE1 was downregulated at Day 5 while CCND1 didn’t change significantly.

Liver possesses the ability of regeneration in response to injury. Liver regeneration can be defined as compensatory hyperplasia with the remaining liver tissue expands to meet the metabolic needs of the organism. However, the expanding liver does not regain its original gross anatomical structure. The pathways participated in liver regeneration include growth factors, cytokines and metabolic networks. The most common model is that of liver regeneration following partial hepatectomy, which 3 of 5 liver lobes (2/3 of the liver mass) is removed35. It was reported that CAR deficiency impaired liver regeneration, while the CAR activation by pharmacological means can prevent or rescue the experimental SFSS (small-for-size-syndrome), which is a modified version of extended hepatectomy in mice (eHx, 86% removed). Meanwhile, huCAR mice (transgenic mice bearing a human CAR) developed spontaneous hepatomegaly in response to CITCO. CITCO also improved liver weight gain, steatosis and hyperbilirubinemia in huCAR mice after eHx, suggesting that CAR activation may be effective against human SFSS. CITCO can also mitigate liver failure in huCAR mice and exerts pro-regenerative and protective effects on human liver slices12. The present study shows that YAP, a critical factor of liver regeneration, was activated in TCPOBOP-promoted liver regeneration in PHx model, which aids the liver to regain its normal functions.

5. Conclusions

The current study demonstrates that CAR activation can promote liver enlargement and liver regeneration partially by inducing YAP translocation and interaction with YAP. These findings provide new insights to further understand the physiological functions of CAR and indicate the potential for manipulation of liver size. CAR can be a potential target to promote liver regeneration after liver surgery and rescue SFSS during the transplantation of liver. The investigation of protein-protein interaction of CAR and YAP may provide therapeutic strategy that can avoid the side effect of CAR activation and promote its clinical use in the future.
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