Epigenetic control of a VDR-governed feed-forward loop that regulates p21\(^{\text{waf1/cip1}}\) expression and function in non-malignant prostate cells
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ABSTRACT

In non-malignant RWPE-1 prostate epithelial cells signaling by the nuclear receptor Vitamin D Receptor (VDR, NR1I1) induces cell cycle arrest through targets including \(\text{CDKN1A}\) (encodes p21\(^{\text{waf1/cip1}}\)). VDR dynamically induced individual histone modification patterns at three VDR binding sites (R1, 2, 3) on the \(\text{CDKN1A}\) promoter. The magnitude of these modifications was specific to each phase of the cell cycle. For example, H3K9ac enrichment occurred rapidly only at R2, whereas parallel accumulation of H3K27me3 occurred at R1; these events were significantly enriched in G\(_1\) and S phase cells, respectively. The epigenetic events appeared to allow VDR actions to combine with p53 to enhance p21\(^{\text{waf1/cip1}}\) activation further. In parallel, VDR binding to the \(\text{MCM7}\) gene induced H3K9ac enrichment associated with rapid mRNA up-regulation to generate miR-106b and consequently regulate p21\(^{\text{waf1/cip1}}\) expression. We conclude that VDR binding site- and promoter-specific patterns of histone modifications combine with miRNA co-regulation to form a VDR-regulated feed-forward loop to control p21\(^{\text{waf1/cip1}}\) expression and cell cycle arrest. Dissection of this feed-forward loop in a non-malignant prostate cell system illuminates mechanisms of sensitivity and therefore possible resistance in prostate and other VDR responsive cancers.

INTRODUCTION

Collectively, nuclear receptors (NRs) regulate a significant proportion of the human genome to exert diverse cellular function. Several NRs are attractive chemotherapy targets as the gene programs they control broadly inhibit cell proliferation and/or induce programmed cell death. For example, the anticancer actions of 1\(\alpha\),25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\), the natural VDR (NR1I1) ligand, have been established for 30 years (1–3) and in certain cancer cell types including some prostate cancer cell lines (4–7), and xenograft and transgenic prostate cancer models (8,9). These effects are not universal and insensitivity is also apparent (10,11). In parallel, large-scale epidemiological studies found inverse associations between circulating levels of the pre-ligand, 25OH-D\(_3\), and prostate cancer risk (12–20). However, despite these links between VDR signaling and broad anticancer activities, clinical exploitation in cancer has been slow.

Gene target expression by NRs has been intensively investigated from the perspective of receptor binding to response elements (21–24). These dynamics contribute to the patterns of target gene mRNA, however, the cycles vary considerably in timing and magnitude of response between different target genes and NRs. A complete
understanding of the mechanisms that entrain these patterns and their biological significance remain elusive.

Against this backdrop, elucidating NR gene expression control mechanisms is important to illuminate mechanisms of resistance in cancer cells. In particular epigenetic resistance mechanisms have been explored. For example, elevated levels of the NCOR2/SMRT co-repressor suppress VDR's ability to regulate a subset of target genes that mediate antiproliferative actions (25–27). In turn the accumulation of repressive histone modifications at suppressed target genes may allow for hypermethylation at adjacent CpG regions (28) and development of stable patterns of gene silencing [reviewed in refs. (29,30)].

MiRNA also contribute negative regulatory aspects to normal gene regulation, for example, as part of feed-forward loop motifs (31,32). Also NRs, including the VDR, are able to govern miRNA expression, and this in turn may contribute to resistance (33–37). The extent to which NR-regulated miRNA form feed-forward loops remains uncertain. Previously, we established that 1α,25(OH)2D3 regulates the DNA helicase MCM7 (25) and others have established that miR-106b, located on intron 13 of the MCM7 gene, inhibits the VDR target gene, CDKN1A (encodes p21(waf1/cip1)) (38,39). Together these findings suggest that co-regulated miRNA may form an integral part of VDR signaling to control gene expression.

To investigate how VDR-regulated epigenetic events control target gene expression, we interrogated non-malignant RWPE-1 prostate epithelial cells (40) undergoing G1 cell cycle arrest in response to 1α,25(OH)2D3 [reviewed in ref. (41)]. Specifically, we undertook a series of experiments to establish, if and to what extent VDR governed both histone modifications and miRNA expression to control gene expression. We focused on the circuitry that controls the CDKN1A gene and revealed that mRNA and protein modulation was determined by the spatial-temporal binding sequence of the VDR complex to two target genes; namely regulation of miR-106b. These events generate a VDR feed-forward loop that regulates the magnitude, timing and sensitivity of p21(waf1/cip1) expression and hence cell cycle arrest. The regulation and function of this feed-forward loop provides insight into mechanisms of VDR sensitivity and resistance in prostate other VDR-responsive cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Agents, cell culture and antibodies

1α25(OH)2D3 [gift of Dr Milan Uskokovic (BioXcell S.p.A., Italy)] used at 100 nM concentration for all experiments. RWPE-1 non-malignant prostate epithelial cells and RWPE-2 cells were maintained in KSFM media supplemented with EGF and BPE (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). P695V40T cells were cultured in RPMI with 10% FCS.

Antibodies for immunoblot: p21(waf1/cip1), beta-actin (Abcam, ab7960, ab8229). Antibodies for ChIP assays—VDR, NCOR1, RNA Pol II (Abcam ab3508, ab24552, ab26721), NCOR2/SMRT (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies sc1610), H3K27me3 (Upstate ABE44), H3K9ac, H3K9me2 and H3K4me3 [in house antibodies (42)]

Mice

Wild-type C57 BL/6xFVB mice were treated with EtOH or 20 μg/kg 1α,25(OH)2D3 for 12 or 24 h. Nine mice were used per treatment group. Prostate tissues pooled into three groups of three. RNA was isolated using TRizol (Invitrogen).

Live cell sorting

Cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen), which is a well-tolerated stain for DNA (43), fractionated using a MoFlo cell sorter (Beckman-Coulter, High Wycombe, UK) and Summit V4.3 software (Beckman-Coulter, UK) and 5 × 10^5 cells/phase were collected.

q-RT–PCR

RNA was isolated using TRizol (Invitrogen). Target gene expression was quantitated on an ABI 7900 [Applied Biosystems (http://www.appliedbiosystems.com)] machine. All primers and probes were as described previously (25). For miRNA quantitation, q-PCR was performed using Assay-on-Demand miR-106b and RNU48 probes. Measurements were performed in technical and biological triplicate.

Immunoblot analysis

Fifty microgram total protein extracts separated on 10% SDS gels, and blots probed with antibodies described above. Proteins detected using ECL (Amersham) and autoradiography.

ChIP protocols

X-ChIP was used to measure the association of VDR, NCOR1 and NCOR2/SMRT and RNA Pol II binding as described previously (44). Briefly, chromatin from 1.5 × 10^6 mid-exponential cells was cross-linked. Precleared inputs were immunoprecipitated with: VDR (Abcam ab3508), NCOR1 (Abcam ab24552), NCOR2/SMRT (Santa Cruz 1610), RNA Pol II (Abcam ab26721). Complexes were recovered using magnetic beads, washed, crosslinking reversed and further cleared DNA was recovered by standard precipitation approaches. Twenty-five nanogram DNA was used per Q-PCR reaction using SYBRgreen with pre-optimized primers (Table 1). To measure the interaction of VDR with co-repressors Re-ChIP was used. VDR immuno-complexes prepared with the VDR indicated in the X-ChIP section were eluted and immunoprecipitated with antibodies to NCOR1 or NCOR2/SMRT, new
immuno-complexes were formed and detection was as indicated for X-ChIP. N-ChIP was performed as previously described (45). Briefly, chromatin from 5 x 10^7 C107 cells was harvested from nuclei and digested by micrococcal nuclease (Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK) and immunoprecipitated with H3K27me3 (Upstate) (Abcam ab27472) or H3K9ac, H3K9me2 and H3K4me3 in-house antibodies (42) or IgG control. DNA was purified and analyzed as for X-ChIP. C-ChIP was adapted from N-ChIP as previously described (46) by ‘spiking’ with SL2 cells prior to chromatin extraction and using human specific primers (Table 1).

MiR-106b knockdown
si-miR-106b (M10000734, Dharmacon) and scrambled constructs (IN-001005-01-05, Dharmacon) transiently transfected into cells at 100 nM. Cells seeded into 6-well plates for treatment with EtOH or 1α,25(OH)2D3 (100 nM) and RNA isolated using TRizol (Invitrogen). For FACS analysis, cells were stained with Propidium Iodide solution, and run through a FACScan II (Becton Dickinson Biosciences). DNA histograms were analyzed using ModFit software (Verity Software House).

RESULTS

1α,25(OH)2D3 induces cell cycle arrest associated with dynamic target gene regulation
1α,25(OH)2D3 induced cell cycle arrest in RWPE-1 cells after 24 h exposure to ligand (Supplementary Figure S1). Co-incident with the cell cycle arrest, known VDR target genes (23,39,47,48) revealed significant 1α,25(OH)2D3-induced regulation, in bulk cell populations, compared to EtOH time-matched controls (Figure 1A). These patterns of mRNA accumulation were not readily predicted from the arrangement and location of vitamin D response elements (VDREs) in the promoter regions (23,39,47). These analyses suggested common and unique aspects of mRNA regulation. CDKN1A, GADD45A and IGFBP3 displayed rapid modulation that returned to basal levels whereas CYP24A1 displayed more sustained accumulation to a greater magnitude. CYP24A1, GADD45A and CDKN1A all displayed sequential repression, accumulation at 0.5 h, followed by repression. IGFBP3 displayed no repression, but instead more immediate accumulation. Post 1 h, all genes displayed modulating accumulation with some degree of synchrony at 2 h that was generally reduced subsequently, although another peak was generally apparent at 12 h. P21(waf1/cip1) (encoded by CDKN1A) expression displayed distinct peaks at 1 and 3 h and a broader peak from 16 h onwards reflecting increased mRNA levels at 0.5, 2 and 12 h (Figure 1B). The dynamic regulation of CDKN1A over the first 1 h was also observed in the RAS-transformed variant of RWPE-1 cells, namely RWPE-2 (40) and in another prostate epithelial immortalized cell line, namely P69SV40T (49). These common VDR-regulated mRNA accumulation patterns in different prostate epithelial cell models suggest the mechanisms underlying this behavior are also common and shared (Supplementary Figure S2).

VDR-regulated histone modifications underpin CDKN1A regulation
Time-resolved quantitative ChIP approaches (0.5, 1, 4 and 12 h) at three VDRE regions (R1, R2, R3) (Figure 2A) (39). The spatial–temporal re-distribution of VDR and co-repressors was measured in parallel with regulation of a panel of histone modifications. Histone modifications measured were associated with either gene maintenance, namely H3K4me3 (the trithorax mark) and H3K27me3 (the polycomb mark), or others associated with dynamic regulation of transcription (H3K9ac and H3K9me2). These approaches revealed that 1α,25(OH)2D3 induced tightly regulated gene activating epigenetic events at only one response element on CDKN1A, namely R2 [from -4505 to -4489 from transcription start site (TSS)] (Figure 2B).

VDR accumulated rapidly at R2 after 0.5 and 1 h in response to 1α,25(OH)2D3 treatment and was associated with release of both co-repressors at 0.5 h (Figure 2B). N-ChIP approaches revealed parallel enrichment of H3K9ac and loss of repressive H3K9me2 at 0.5 h. At this time point, at the other response elements,

Table 1. Primer sequences used for Q-PCR and ChIP analyses

| Gene region | Forward | Reverse |
|-------------|---------|---------|
| Q-PCR       |         |         |
| MCM7        | CGGTGCTGTAGAAAGGAGAG | AAACCCGTACACCTGTCG |
| MCM7 probe  | GCCAATCTGCGCAGCTGGGT | CAGGCTGAGTGCAGTGTAG |
| X and N-ChIP| TACCTGGGAGGCTGAAGGTGG | TAATTCAGCATCGGGGACAG |
| MCM7 -589   | CTAAGCCACACCAACCTTAT | GAGGGGCTCCAAGGTCCTAG |
| CDKN1A -7049(R3) | AGCACGCTAGGA GCTTACGAGCTGG | CTGGCAGATCATAACCTGTC |
| CDKN1A -4496(R2) | TGGAGGAAGGGA GTAGTAGAGAG | GGCTCCCAAGGAACTGACTTC |
| CDKN1A -2137 (R1) | TGACGAGGCGG CCGCCTGG | CGCTCTCCTACCCTCCTGTA |
| CDKN1A TSS  | CGAAGCTAGTCTCGTGG | CTGCGAGATCACATACCTGTC |
1α,25(OH)₂D₃ actively induced association with co-repressor and/or enriched for repressive histone modifications. Thus significant enrichment of NCOR2/SMRT occurred at R3 (from −7059 to −7036) and of NCOR1 at R1 (from −2146 to −2129), the latter is associated with a loss of H3K9ac and enrichment of H3K9me2.

The activation state at R2 was rapid and reversed after 1 h. Thus following the initial accumulation at 0.5 h of an
Figure 2. VDR-regulated epigenetic events on the promoter of CDKN1A. (A) The genomic location of the VDR binding regions (R3, R2 and R1) on CDKN1A and the transcription start site (TSS). (B) Left graphs. RWPE-1 bulk populations treated with 1α,25(OH)2D3 (100 nM) or EtOH for indicated time points. Association of RNA Pol II, VDR, NCOR1 and NCOR2/SMRT was measured at each region by X-ChIP using ChIP grade antibodies and normalized and given as fold enrichment over input as described previously (44). Primers are shown in Table 1. Enrichment was measured by Q-PCR with primers specific to these regions that amplified a product <150 bp. Right graphs. Parallel changes to histone modifications (H3K9me2, H3K27me3, H3K4me3 and H3K9ac) were assayed using N-ChIP and normalized using bound over unbound DNA pulled down with specific ChIP grade antibodies (42). N-ChIP was performed as previously described (53). Enrichment was measured by Q-PCR as above. All measurements performed in technical duplicate and biological triplicate.
‘active’ receptor and epigenetic signature (Figure 2B) and mRNA and protein accumulation (Figure 1), NCOR2/SMRT and H3K9me2 were re-enriched for 1 h at R2, with loss of H3K9ac levels, underscoring the tight control of these activation events. In contrast, the repressive events at R3 and R1 were more sustained, notably at R1 where H3K27me3 accumulated from 1 h onwards through to 12 h (Figure 2B). It is also interesting to note that NCOR/SMRT enrichment appears to move along the promoter from R3 (0.5 h) to R2 (1 h) to R1 (12 h) also suggesting longer term effects on the promoter. The TSS also displayed sustained H3K4me3 enrichment after 0.5 h as NCOR1 and NCOR2/SMRT levels declined. H3K4me3 enrichment continued to 12 h, as did RNA Pol II and accompanied mRNA and protein accumulation at 12 h.

Re-ChIP analyses were undertaken at R2 and R1 as these regions reflected the parallel but distinct activation and repression observed upon 1α,25(OH)2D3 treatment. These analyses under scored the role of co-repressor release and recapture. NCOR1 interactions with VDR were significantly reduced at both regions after 0.5 h although this was only co-incident with H3K9ac gain at R2 (Figure 3A). Subsequently, NCOR1 significantly re-associated with VDR, most noticeably at R2, at 1 h onwards, co-incident with increased H3K9me2 in this region (Figure 2). NCOR2/SMRT did not dissociate from the VDR, but rather trended to accumulation at 4 h at R2 and reflected the co-repressor enrichment in this region at this time point (Figure 2).

At certain time points the CDKN1A locus displayed both activating and repressing histone modifications, whereas mRNA expression was at basal levels. For example, after 1 h the TSS and body of the gene displayed enrichment for H3K9ac and H3K4me3 (Figure 2 and data not shown), whereas regions R2 and R1 were enriched for H3K9me2 and H3K27me3, respectively. We investigated whether this represented a so-called poised state and whether the CDKN1A locus could be activated by further transcriptional activators. Regions R1 and R2 are within close proximity to p53 binding sites (39,50). We therefore tested if the p53 activator 5-flurouracil enhanced 1α,25(OH)2D3-induced CDKN1A mRNA accumulation over a 4 h time course and revealed significantly co-operative actions at 1 h (Figure 3B). The combination of agents yielded a significant combined effect at 1 h; comparable events were observed with IGFBP3, another target regulated by VDR and p53 (Figure 3B) (51).

Figure 3. VDR interactions with co-repressors and the enhancement of 1α,25(OH)2D3-induced target gene expression. (A) RWPE-1 cells were treated as above, and X-ChIP performed and VDR DNA–protein complexes were eluted and immunoprecipitated with antibodies to NCOR1 or NCOR2/SMRT. Enrichment was measured by Q-PCR with primers specific to these regions that amplified a product less than 150 bp. Primers are shown in Table 1. All measurements performed in technical duplicate and biological triplicate. (B) RWPE-1 cells were treated with 1α,25(OH)2D3 (100 nM), 5-flurouracil (Fl-U) (50 nM), or combination (DF) for 1 h. Accumulation of CDKN1A and IGFBP3 measured by TaqMan Q-PCR. All measurements performed in technical and biological triplicate (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
Cell cycle status determines the magnitude of histone modifications on the CDKN1A promoter

Previous Q-PCR approaches in FACS-sorted RWPE-1 cells revealed S-phase elevation of co-repressors (for example NCOR1, NCOR2/SMRT) and HDACs (for example HDACs 2 and 3), whereas VDR and was broadly equivalent through the cell cycle [52] and data not shown]. We therefore reasoned that the mixture of activating and repressing histone modifications may represent cells in different cell cycle states, for example, that S-phase cells would not be epigenetically responsive to VDR activation. To address this possibility, we FACS-sorted cells into different phases of the cell cycle and examined VDR-induced changes in histone modifications through the cell cycle using Carrier-ChIP (C-ChIP) experiments in duplicate wells ± S.E.M. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

Again, focusing on two response regions that displayed distinct activation events at 0.5h, we revealed that after 0.5 h, 1α,25(OH)2D3 induced significant H3K27me3 enrichment at R1 in S and G2/M phase cells only (Figure 4A). At the basal level there were two pronounced differences in G1 cells compared to the other phases, with H3K9ac enrichment at R1 at the TSS (data not shown). Interestingly in bulk culture upon treatment with 1α,25(OH)2D3 H3K27me3 levels trended to enrichment after 0.5h, however it was not significant, but this became significant when considering the individual phases of the cell cycle. Similar phase-specific patterns of H3K9ac enrichment occurred in G1 cells only at the TSS, and again this was not significant when considering bulk population cells but was when considering G1 phase cells only (Figure 4B).

Co-regulation of miR-106b is critical to regulate p21[waf1/cip1] expression

1α,25(OH)2D3 treatment up-regulated MCM7, and consequently miR-106b, in a highly similar manner to CDKN1A. That is, MCM7 accumulated at 0.25 h, miR-106b at 0.33 h, prior to the initial CDKN1A expression at 0.5 h (Figure 5A). Two VDR binding regions on the MCM7 promoter were identified in silico and demonstrated the same rate of VDR binding over the first 0.5 h compared to R2 of CDKN1A (Figure 5B). We also examined the enrichment of the same four histone modifications as measured at the CDKN1A promoter and found that only H3K9ac was clearly enriched at −7995 (0.5 h) and the TSS (1 h) (Figure 5C). In contrast the repressive histone modifications (H3K9me2 and H3K27me3) were not significantly altered at either the two VDR binding regions or the TSS. These data suggest that MCM7 has an intrinsically more responsive promoter. However, the rate is similar of VDR binding kinetics to the critical activating response elements, either R2 of the CDKN1A gene or at position −7995 of the MCM7 gene. Therefore the receptor binding kinetics alone do not explain the altered mRNA accumulation rate between the genes CDKN1A and MCM7 (Figure 5A).

Therefore, we investigated the contribution of miR-106b to control p21[waf1/cip1] expression and cell cycle arrest. Firstly intervention with miRNA knockdown approaches resulted in ~50% knockdown and caused 1α,25(OH)2D3 treatment to induce CDKN1A accumulation to the same extent, but at a significantly earlier time point (Figures 1A, 5A and D) thus suggesting a role to control mRNA accumulation rates. In parallel, we established that the same miRNA knockdown approaches allowed p21[waf1/cip1] to be induced to a higher level (Figure 5E) leading to an enhanced 1α,25(OH)2D3 driven G1 arrest (Figure 5F). These data suggest that miR-106b also plays a role in governing translation rates, as the area under the curve of mRNA accumulation did not differ significantly with miR-106b siRNA (Figure 5D). Interestingly at later time points (22 h) miR-106b also accumulated and appears to correlate with the loss of p21[waf1/cip1] expression after 22 h (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure S3). Finally, the relationship between Cdkn1a and miR-106b appears...
Figure 5. MiR-106b co-expression governs p21(waf1/cip1) expression and cell cycle arrest. (A) RWPE-1 cells were treated with 1α,25(OH)2D3 (100 nM) or EtOH control for indicated time points, mRNA extracted, and levels of accumulation of indicated genes measured by TaqMan Q-PCR. For miRNA quantitation, Q-PCR performed using Assay-on-Demand miR-106b and RNU48 probes. All measurements performed in technical and biological triplicate. (B) Cells were treated as in A, chromatin extracted and VDR binding to the indicated VDREs in the MCM7 promoter, and R2 on the CDKN1A promoter was measured, as indicated in Figure 2. (C) Changes to H3K9ac were assayed using N-ChIP and normalized using bound over unbound DNA pulled down with specific ChIP grade antibodies (42). N-ChIP was performed as previously described (53). Enrichment was measured by Q-PCR as above. All measurements performed in technical and biological triplicate. (D) 100nM si-miR-106b (MI0000734, Dharmacon) and scrambled constructs (IN-001005-01-05, Dharmacon) transiently transfected into cells. Following transfection, cells were treated as above. CDKN1A mRNA expression was measured by TaqMan Q-PCR as in Figure 1A. All data points on panels A and D represent the mean of triplicate experiments amplified in triplicate wells ± SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005). (E) The effect of mir-106b knockdown on p21(waf1/cip1) expression. Cells were treated as in panel C and p21(waf1/cip1) detected by western blot as in Figure 1B, and both scr and siRNA blots exposed for 30 s. (F) The effect of 1α,25(OH)2D3 treatment on cell cycle arrest was measured in the indicated treatment groups. For FACS analysis, cells were stained with Propidium Iodide solution and run through a FACScan II (Becton Dickinson Biosciences). DNA histograms were analyzed using ModFit software (Verity Software House). Results were plotted as percent of cells seen in G1 phase and each data point represents the mean of three separate experiments ± SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005).
detectable in the prostates of normal C57 BL/6xFVB mice at 12 and 24 h post-treatment with 1α,25(OH)2D3 (20 µg/kg) or equal volume of EtOH for 12 or 24 h. Mice (n = 9) in each control and treatment group were sacrificed and the prostate removed and pooled into three groups of three, mRNA extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen), and Cdkn1a and miR-106b levels were measured by TaqMan Q-PCR. Accumulation of each target is given as log2 (fold change). Each data point represents the mean ± SEM. A significant induction of miR-106b was observed at 12 h, and at 12 and 24 h Cdkn1a was significantly repressed. (**P < 0.01).

**DISCUSSION**

Transcriptional kinetics, even for the same NRs, are highly variable depending upon the cell type and target gene. These ambiguities are compounded by the frequent use of cancer cell lines to study these kinetics. Problematically, these cells frequently contain corruptions to the very mechanisms being studied, including elevated NCOR1 and NCOR2/SMRT expression (25,26,54,55). To the very mechanisms being studied, including elevated NCOR1 and NCOR2/SMRT expression (25,26,54,55).

This is apparent when considering the VDR where, in normal response. Rapid and dynamic patterns of mRNA accumulation occurred for four VDR target genes, and there was a degree of response synchrony especially in early events. We established for one target, CDKN1A, that the patterns of mRNA accumulation reflected receptor and co-repressor exchanges occurring in a unique manner at each of three VDREs. In turn, these events drove unique patterns of histone modifications. Activation events occurred at only one response element (R2) after 0.5 h and were reflected by increased mRNA accumulation and protein expression. It is tempting to speculate that the immediate repressive events occurring at R3 and R1 contribute to the reduced mRNA levels for CDKN1A that occur at earlier time points. Collectively, these results support the concept that in non-malignant systems NR transcriptional responses can be rapid and functional, and faithfully lead to changes in protein. The transition through activated epigenetic states appears to allow the integration of transcriptional signals, for example through co-operation with p53 at 1 h and suggests an epigenetic basis for the observed co-operation between these two pathways (39,60–63). The co-operative effects at 1 h also support the p53 functional status of RWPE-1 cells and reflects the fact human papillomavirus 18 (HPV 18) immortalized cells do not necessarily target p53 (40).

We undertook univariate linear regression analyses to identify patterns of associations within these data sets. These approaches identified the most significant association occurred after 4 h, where the locus appeared to return to a resting state, characterized by a significant association at between VDR, NCOR1 and H3K27me3 (P < 0.05) at the TSS and R1 (data not shown). These findings support an emerging view of this histone mark being more dynamically regulated than previously appreciated (64,65) and suggest its regulation is part of sequential epigenetic steps in the control of transcription. These data suggest that the regulation of H3K27me3 at specific response elements act as a marker of the return to the basal state and forms part of a biological ratchet to regulate dynamic transcriptional patterns (66).

We made the further discoveries that the magnitude of epigenetic modulation was refined by the cell cycle status. ChIP approaches in FACS-sorted cells revealed that G1 phase cells were characterized by enhanced VDR-induced activating histone modifications (for example H3K9ac), with S and G2/M phases being largely repressive, for example, with H3K27me3 enrichment at region R1. Thus events, which were not significant when considering bulk populations, emerged with significant clarity when considering each specific cell cycle phase and underscore the fact that bulk culture findings represent an average event of potentially very different populations. While other NRs have been demonstrated to display cell cycle-specific phases of activation (67,68), the current study supports an underlying role for differential regulation of histone modifications through the cell cycle to govern these actions. Together these data suggest the magnitude of CDKN1A activation, at least at early time points, is influenced significantly by the stage of the cell cycle.

We added further to this understanding by establishing VDR-dependent co-regulation of miR-106b to modulate the precise timing of CDKN1A accumulation and also the expression of p21\textsuperscript{waf1/cip1}, and consequential cell cycle arrest. Together these data demonstrate that VDR induced regulation of p21\textsuperscript{waf1/cip1} is determined by interplay of histone modifications and miRNA expression that combine in a feed-forward loop. Key regulatory aspects of this loop are the re-distribution of VDR binding and co-repressor associations to govern histone modifications of two gene targets and the status of the cell cycle. The cell
In parallel increased H3K9ac enrichment is observed at all response elements. The other response elements display repressive events. Responds in a positive manner with loss of co-repressors and increased CDKN1A first hour of activation only one response element on MCM7. Over the first hour of activation only one response element on CDKN1A responds in a positive manner with loss of co-repressors and increased expression and therefore offers an important diagnostic and prognostic therapeutic window (72–75). In this manner, the regulation of miRNA such as miR-106b in feed-forward loop motifs may be critical biomarkers to monitor VDR responsiveness. The current studies open up the door to the possibility that serum expression of VDR tumor-regulated miRNA define molecular phenotypes associated with prostate cancer aggressiveness and responsiveness to vitamin D compound treatment.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
1α25(OH)2D3 was a gift from Dr Milan Uskokovic (BioXell S.p.A., Italy).

FUNDING
Funding for open access charge: M.J.C. acknowledges the support of NucSys, a European Community FP6-Marie Curie Research Training Network, the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, and in part, support from National Institute of Health grants R01 CA095367-06 and 2R01-CA-095045-06, and the NCI Cancer Center Support Grant to the Roswell Park Cancer Institute [CA016056]. B.M.T. is supported by program grant C1015/A9077 from Cancer Research UK.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES
1. Colston,K., Colston,M.J. and Feldman,D. (1981) 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and malignant melanoma: the presence of receptors and inhibition of cell growth in culture. *Endocrinology*, 108, 1083–1086.
2. Miyaura,C., Abe,E., Kuribayashi,T., Tanaka,H., Konno,K., Nishii,Y. and Sada,T. (1981) 1 alpha,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 induces differentiation of human myeloid leukemia cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 102, 937–943.
3. Abe,E., Miyaura,C., Sakagami,H., Takeda,M., Konno.K., Yamazaki,T., Yoshih,S. and Sada,T. (1981) Differentiation of mouse myeloid leukemia cells induced by 1 alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 78, 4990–4994.
4. Campbell,M.J., Elstner,E., Holden,S., Uskokovic,M. and Koeffler,H.P. (1997) Inhibition of proliferation of prostate cancer cells by a 19-nor-hexafluoride vitamin D3 analogue involves the induction of p21waf1, p27kip1 and E-cadherin. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.*, 19, 15–27.
5. Elstner,E., Campbell,M.J., Munker,R., Shintaku,P., Binderup,L., Heber,D., Said,J. and Koeffler,H.P. (1999) Novel 20-epi-vitamin D3 analog combined with 9-cis-retinoic acid markedly inhibits colony growth of prostate cancer cells. *Prostate*, 40, 141–149.
6. Peehl,D.M., Skowronski,R.J., Leung,G.K., Wong,S.T., Stamey,T.A. and Feldman,D. (1994) Antiproliferative effects of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on primary cultures of human prostatic epithelial cells. *Cancer Res.*, 54, 805–810.
7. Colston,K., Colston,M.J., Fieldsteel,A.H. and Feldman,D. (1982) 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptors in human epithelial cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.*, 42, 856–859.
8. Blunt,S.E., Polek,T.C., Stewart L.V., Kattan,M.W. and Weigel,N.L. (2000) A calcitriol analogue, EB1089, inhibits the...
growth of LNCaP tumors in nude mice. Cancer Res., 60, 779–782.

9. Banach-Petrosky,W., Ouyang,X., Gao,H., Nader,K., Ji,Y., Suh,N., DiPaola,R.S. and Abate-Shen,C. (2006) Vitamin D inhibits the formation of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia in Nkx3.1;Pten mutant mice. Clin. Cancer Res., 12, 5895–5901.

10. Campbell,M.J., Gombart,A.F., Kowk,S.H., Park,S. and Koeffler,H.P. (2000) The anti-proliferative effects of 1alpha,25(OH)2D3 on breast and prostate cancer cells are associated with induction of BRCA1 gene expression. Oncogene, 19, 5091–5097.

11. Rashid,S.F., Moore,J.S., Walker,E., Driver,P.M., Engel,J., Edwards,C.E., Brown,G., Uškokić,M.R. and Campbell,M.J. (2001) Synergistic growth inhibition of prostate cancer cells by 1 alpha,25 Dihydroxyvitamin D3 and its 19-nor-4-hexahaloide analogs in combination with either sodium butyrate or trichostatin A. Oncogene, 20, 1860–1872.

12. Garland,C.F. and Garland,F.C. (1980) Do sunlight and vitamin D reduce the likelihood of colon cancer? Int. J. Epidemiol., 9, 227–231.

13. Garland,F.C., Garland,C.F., Gorham,E.D. and Young,J.F. (1990) Do sunlight and vitamin D reduce the likelihood of colon cancer? Int. J. Epidemiol., 9, 1073–1081.

14. Giovannucci,E. (2005) The epidemiology of vitamin D and cancer incidence and mortality: a review (United States). Cancer Causes Control, 16, 83–95.

15. Schwartz,G.G. and Hulka,B.S. (1990) Is vitamin D deficiency a risk factor for prostate cancer? (Hypothesis). Anticancer Res., 10, 1307–1311.

16. Schwartz,G.G. and Hulka,B.S. (1990) Is vitamin D deficiency a risk factor for prostate cancer? (Hypothesis). Anticancer Res., 10, 1307–1311.

17. John,E.M., Schwartz,G.G., Koo,J., Van Den,B.D. and Ingles,S.A. (2005) Sun exposure, vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, and risk of advanced prostate cancer. Cancer Res., 65, 5470–5479.

18. Chen,T.C., Wang,L., Whitlatch,L.W., Flanagan,J.N. and Fryer,A.A. and Strange,R.C. (2001) Prostate cancer risk: associations with ultraviolet radiation, tyrosinase and melanoctin-1 receptor genotypes. Br. J. Cancer, 85, 1504–1509.

19. Giovannucci,E. (2005) The epidemiology of vitamin D and cancer incidence and mortality: a review (United States). Cancer Causes Control, 16, 83–95.

20. Schwartz,G.G. and Hulka,B.S. (1990) Is vitamin D deficiency a risk factor for prostate cancer? (Hypothesis). Anticancer Res., 10, 1307–1311.

21. John,E.M., Schwartz,G.G., Koo,J., Van Den,B.D. and Ingles,S.A. (2005) Sun exposure, vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, and risk of advanced prostate cancer. Cancer Res., 65, 5470–5479.

22. Metivier,R., Penot,G., Hubner,M.R., Reid,G., Brand,H., Cos,M. and Gannon,F. (2003) Estrogen receptor-alpha directs ordered, cyclical, and combinatorial recruitment of cofactors on a natural target promoter. Cell, 115, 751–763.

23. Vaisanen,S., Dunlop,T.W., Sinkkonen,L., Frank,C. and Carlberg,C. (2005) Spatio-temporal activation of chromatin on the human CYP24 gene promoter in the presence of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (3). J. Mol. Biol., 350, 65–77.

24. Kang,Z., Janne,O.A. and Palvimo,J.J. (2004) Coregulator cyclical, and combinatorial recruitment of cofactors on a natural signal-dependent programs of transcriptional response. Genes Dev., 18, 1405–1428.

25. Kim,J.Y., Son,Y.L. and Lee,Y.C. (2008) Involvement of SMRT corepressor in transcriptional repression by the vitamin D receptor. Mol. Endocrinol., 23, 251–264.

26. Ting,H.J., Bao,J., Reeder,J.E., Moon,J.M. and Lee,Y.F. (2007) Increased expression of co-repressors in aggressive androgen-independent prostate cancer cells results in loss of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 responsiveness. Mol. Cell. Biol., 5, 967–980.

27. Yoon,H.G., Chan,D.W., Reynolds,A.B., Qin,J. and Wong,J. (2003) N-CoR mediates DNA methylation-dependent repression through a methyl CpG binding protein Kaiso. Mol. Cell, 12, 723–734.

28. Battaglia,S., Maguire,O. and Campbell,M.J. (2010) Transcription factor co-repressors in cancer biology: roles and targeting. Int. J. Cancer, 126, 2511–2519.

29. Mohn,F. and Schubeler,D. (2009) Genetics and epigenetics: stability and plasticity during cellular differentiation. Trends Genet., 25, 129–136.

30. Mangan,S. and Alon,U. (2003) Structure and function of the feed-forward loop network motif. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 11980–11985.

31. Mangan,S., Itzkovitz,S., Zaslaver,A. and Alon,U. (2006) The incoherent feed-forward loop accelerates the response-time of the gal system of Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol., 356, 1073–1081.

32. Gattlief,D., Le Martelot,G., Veynard,C.E., Gerland,D., Schaad,O., Fleury-Olela,F., Ruskeepaa,A.L., Oresic,M., Esau,C.C., Zdobnov,E.M. et al. (2009) Integration of microRNA miR-122 in hepatic circadian gene expression. Genes Dev., 23, 1313–1326.

33. Song,G. and Wang,L. (2008) Transcriptional mechanism for the paired miR-433 and miR-127 genes by nuclear receptors SHP and ERRgamma. Nucleic Acids Res., 36, 5727–5735.

34. Ribas,J., Ni,X., Haftner,M., Wenzel,E.A., Salmassi,A.H., Choti,M., Hurwitz,H., Bentolila,T.A., Vyasnasar rumaman,S., Loo,J., Rodriguez,R. et al. (2009) miR-21: an androgen receptor-regulated microRNA that promotes hormone-dependent and hormone-independent prostate cancer growth. Cancer Res., 69, 7165–7169.

35. Sun,T., Wang,Q., Balk,S., Brown,M., Lee,G.S. and Kantoff,P. (2009) The role of miRNA-221 and miRNA-222 in androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines. Cancer Res., 69, 3356–3363.

36. Wang,X., Goeck,E., Liu,C.G. and Studzinski,G.P. (2009) MicroRNA181 regulates the expression of p27Kip1 in human myeloid leukemia cells induced to differentiate by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Cell Cycle, 8, 736–741.

37. Ivanovska,I., BallAS., Diaz,R.L., Magnus,J.F., Kubikawa,M., Schelter,J.M., Kobayashi,S.V., Lim,L., Burchard,J., Jackson,A.L. et al. (2008) MicroRNAs in the miR-106b family regulate p21/CDKNI1A and promote cell cycle progression. Mol. Cell. Biol., 28, 2167–2174.

38. Saramaki,A., Banwell,C.M., Campbell,M.J. and Carlberg,C. (2006) Regulation of the human p21(waf1/cip1) gene promoter via multiple binding sites for p53 and the vitamin D3 receptor. Nucleic Acids Res., 34, 543–554.

39. Bello,D., Webber,M.M., Kleinman,H.K., Wartinger,D.D. and Rhim,J.S. (1997) Androgen responsive adult human prostatic epithelial cell lines immortalized by human papillomavirus 18. Carcinogenesis, 18, 1215–1223.

40. Thorne,J. and Campbell,M.J. (2008) The vitamin D receptor in cancer. Proc. Nutr. Soc., 67, 115–127.

41. VerMilyea,M.D., O'Neill,L.P. and Turner,B.M. (2009) Transcriptional-suppressibility induced by histone modifications in the mouse preimplantation embryo. PLoS ONE, 4, e6086.

42. Velilla,E., Lopez-Bejar,M., Rodriguez-Gonzalez,E., Vidal,F. and Paramio,M.T. (2002) Effect of Hoechst 33342 staining on developmental competence of prepubertal goat oocytes. Zygote, 10, 201–208.

43. Saramaki,A., Diermeier,S., Kellner,R., Laitinen,H., Vaisanen,S., Paramio,M.T. and Carlberg,C. (2009) Cyclical chromatin looping and transcription factor association on the regulatory regions of the p21 (CDKNI1A) gene in response to 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. J. Biol. Chem., 284, 8073–8082.

44. O'Neill,L.P. and Turner,B.M. (1996) Immunoprecipitation of the androgen receptor. Mol. Endocrinol., 10, 614–622.

45. O'Neill,L.P. and Turner,B.M. (1996) Immunoprecipitation of the androgen receptor. Mol. Endocrinol., 10, 614–622.

46. Saramaki,A., Banwell,C.M., Campbell,M.J. and Carlberg,C. (2006) Regulation of the human p21(waf1/cip1) gene promoter via multiple binding sites for p53 and the vitamin D3 receptor. Nucleic Acids Res., 34, 543–554.

47. Bell,D., Webber,M.M., Kleinman,H.K., Wartinger,D.D. and R him,J.S. (1997) Androgen responsive adult human prostatic epithelial cell lines immortalized by human papillomavirus 18. Carcinogenesis, 18, 1215–1223.
47. Matilainen, M., Malinen, M., Saavalainen, K. and Carlberg, C. (2005) Regulation of multiple insulin-like growth factor binding protein genes by IGF-alpha25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 33, 5521–5532.

48. Seuter, S., Vaisanen, S., Radmark, O., Carlberg, C. and Steinhilber, D. (2007) Functional characterization of vitamin D responding regions in the human 5-Lipoxigenase gene. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.*, 1771, 864–872.

49. Bac, V.L., Jackson-Cook, C.K., Brothman, A.R., Maygarden, S.J., et al. (2003) Immunoprecipitation of Merot, Y., LePeron, C., Reid, G., Penot, G. (1997) Inhibition of proliferation of prostate cancer cells by a 19-nor-hexafluoride vitamin D3 analogue involves the Koeffler, H.P. (1997) Inhibition of proliferation of prostate cancer cells during cell-cycle progression. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 37, 4826–4838.

50. El-Deiry, W.S., Tokino, T., Velecsuca, V.E., Levy, D.B., Parsons, R., Trent, J.M., Lin, D., Mercer, W.E., Kinzler, K.W. and Vogelstein, B. (1993) WAF1, a potential mediator of p53 tumor suppression. *Cell*, 75, 817–825.

51. Degenhardt, T., Matilainen, M., Herzig, K.H., Dunlop, T.W. and Carlberg, C. (2006) The insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 1 gene is a primary target of p53 and human prostate epithelial cells: association with decreased epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression. *Int. J. Cancer*, 82, 721–729.

52. Battaglia, S., Thorne, J., Maguire, O., Hormung, L., S cheeshten, L.E., McCabe, B., Bunce, C.M. and Campbell, M.J. (2010) Elevated NCO1 disrupts PPAR signaling in prostate cancer and forms a targetable epigenetic lesion. *Carcinogenesis*, 31, 1501–1508.

53. O’Neill, L.P. and Turner, B.M. (2003) Immunoprecipitation of native chromatin. *NCHP Methods.*, 31, 76–82.

54. Banwell, C.M., MacCartney, D.P., Guy, M., Miles, A.E., Uskokovic, M.R., Mansi, J., Stewart, P.M., O’Neill, L.P., Turner, B.M., Colston, K.W. et al. (2006) Altered nuclear receptor corepressor expression attenuates vitamin D receptor signaling in breast cancer cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 12, 2004–2013.

55. Abedin, S.A., Thorne, J.L., Battaglia, S., Maguire, O., Hormung, L.B., Doherty, A.P., Mills, I.G. and Campbell, M.J. (2009) Elevated NCO1 disrupts a network of dietary-sensing nuclear receptors in bladder cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis*, 30, 449–456.

56. Jensen, S.S., Madsen, M.W., Lukas, J., Binderup, L. and Bartek, J. (2001) Inhibitory effects of IGF-alpha, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) on the G1-S phase-controlling machinery. *Mol. Endocrinol.*, 15, 1370–1380.

57. Campbell, M.J., Elstner, E., Holden, S., Uskokovic, M. and Koehler, H.P. (1997) Inhibition of proliferation of prostate cancer cells by a 19-nor-hexafluoride vitamin D3 analogue involves the induction of p21waf1, p27kip1 and E-cadherin. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.*, 19, 15–27.

58. Metivier, R., Huef, G., Gallais, R., Finot, L., Petit, F., Tiffocche, C., Merot, Y., LePeron, C., Reid, G., Penot, G. et al. (2008) Dynamics of estrogen receptor-mediated transcriptional activation of responsive genes in vivo: apprehending transcription in four dimensions. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.*, 617, 129–138.

59. John, S., Johnson, T.A., Sung, M.H., Biddle, S.C., Trump, S., Koch-Paiz, C.A., Davis, S.R., Walker, R., Melzer, P.S. and Hager, G.L. (2009) Kinetic complexity of the global response to glucocorticoid receptor action. *Endocrinology*, 150, 1766–1774.

60. Ellison, T.I., Smith, M.K., Gilliam, A.C. and MacDonald, P.N. (2008) Inactivation of the vitamin D receptor enhances susceptibility of murine skin to UV-induced tumorigenesis. *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 128, 2508–2517.

61. Maruyama, R., Aoki, F., Toyota, M., Sasaki, Y., Akashi, H., Mitani, H., Suzuki, H., Makino, K., Obe-Toyota, M., Maruyama, Y. et al. (2006) Comparative genome analysis identifies the vitamin D receptor gene as a direct target of p53-mediated transcriptional activation. *Cancer Res.*, 66, 4574–4583.

62. Lambert, J.R., Kelly, J.A., Shim, M., Huffer, W.E., Nordeen, S.K., Buek, S.J., Eling, T.E. and Lucia, M.S. (2006) Prostate derived factor in human prostate cancer cells: gene induction by vitamin D via a p53-dependent mechanism and inhibition of prostate cancer cell growth. *J. Cell Physiol.*, 208, 566–574.

63. Kommagani, R., Caserta, T.M. and Kadakia, M.P. (2006) Identification of vitamin D receptor as a target of p63. *Oncogene*, 25, 3745–3751.

64. Stock, J.K., Giadrossi, S., Casanova, M., Brooks, E., Vidal, M., Koseli, H., Brockdorff, N., Fisher, A.G. and Pombo, A. (2007) Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A represses poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 9, 1428–1435.

65. Brooks, E. and Pombo, A. (2009) Modifications of RNA polymerase II are pivotal in regulating gene expression states. *EMBO Rep.*, 10, 1213–1219.

66. Degenhardt, T., Rybakova, K.N., Tomaszewska, A., Mone, M.J., Westerhoff, H.V., Bruggeman, F.J. and Carlberg, C. (2009) Population-level transcription cycles derive from stochastic timing of single-cell transcription. *Cell*, 138, 489–501.

67. Jin, F. and Fendell, J.D. (2009) A novel androgen receptor-binding element modulates Cde6 transcription in prostate cancer cells during cell-cycle progression. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 37, 4826–4838.

68. Okada, M., Takezawa, S., Mezaki, Y., Yamaoka, I., Takada, I., Kitagawa, H. and Kato, S. (2008) Switching of chromatin-remodelling complexes for oestrogen receptor-alpha. *EMBO Rep.*, 9, 563–568.

69. Cohen, E.E., Zhu, H., Lingen, M.W., Martin, L.E., Kuo, W.L., Choi, E.A., Kocherginsky, M., Parker, J.S., Chung, C.H. and Rosen, R.M. (2009) A feed-forward loop involving protein kinase Calpha and microRNAs regulates tumor cell cycle. *Cancer Res.*, 69, 65–74.

70. Brosh, R., Shalgi, R., Liran, A., Landan, G., Koretayev, K., Nguyen, G.H., Enerly, E., Johnsen, H., Buganim, Y., Solomon, H. et al. (2008) p53-Repessed miRNAs are involved with E2F in a feed-forward loop promoting proliferation. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 4, 229.

71. Poliseno, L., Salmena, L., Riccardi, L., Fornari, A., Song, M.S., Hobbs, R.M., Sportoletti, P., Varmeh, S., Egia, A., Fedele, G. et al. (2008) Identification of the miR-106b/Caalpha and microRNAs regulates tumor cell cycle. *Cancer Res.*, 69, 65–74.

72. Resnick, K.E., Alder, H., Hagan, J.P., Richardson, D.L., Croce, C.M. and Cohn, D.E. (2009) The detection of differentially expressed microRNAs from the serum of ovarian cancer patients using a novel real-time PCR platform. *Gynecol. Oncol.*, 112, 55–59.

73. Mitchell, P.S., Parkin, R.K., Kroh, E.M., Fritz, B.R., Wyman, S.K., Pogosova-Agadjanyan, E.L., Peterson, A., Noteboom, J., O’Brien, K.C., Allen, A. et al. (2008) Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 105, 10513–10518.

74. Chen, X., Ba, Y., Ma, L., Cai, X., Yin, Y., Wang, K., Guo, J., Zhang, Y., Chen, J., Guo, X. et al. (2008) Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. *Cell Res.*, 18, 997–1006.

75. Brase, J.C., Johannes, M., Schlomm, T., Faith, M., Haese, A., Steuber, T., Beissbarth, T., Kuner, R. and Sultmann, H. (2005) Circulating miRNAs are correlated with tumor progression in prostate cancer. *Int. J. Cancer*, doi:10.1002/ijc.23576 [April 5; Epub ahead of print].