**Mytilus galloprovincialis** Myticin C: A Chemotactic Molecule with Antiviral Activity and Immunoregulatory Properties
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**Abstract**

Previous research has shown that an antimicrobial peptide (AMP) of the myticin class C (Myt C) is the most abundantly expressed gene in cDNA and suppressive subtractive hybridization (SSH) libraries after immune stimulation of mussel **Mytilus galloprovincialis**. However, to date, the expression pattern, the antimicrobial activities and the immunomodulatory properties of the Myt C peptide have not been determined. In contrast, it is known that Myt C mRNA presents an unusual and high level of polymorphism of unidentified biological significance. Therefore, to provide a better understanding of the features of this interesting molecule, we have investigated its function using four different cloned and expressed variants of Myt C cDNA and polyclonal anti-Myt C sera. The in vivo results suggest that this AMP, mainly present in hemocytes, could be acting as an immune system modulator molecule because its overexpression was able to alter the expression of mussel immune-related genes (as the antimicrobial peptides Myticin B and Mytilin B, the C1q domain-containing protein MgC1q, and lysozyme). Moreover, the in vitro results indicate that Myt C peptides have antimicrobial and chemotactic properties. Their recombinant expression in a fish cell line conferred protection against two different fish viruses (enveloped and non-enveloped). Cell extracts from Myt C expressing fish cells were also able to attract hemocytes. All together, these results suggest that Myt C should be considered not only as an AMP but also as the first chemokine/cytokine-like molecule identified in bivalves and one of the few examples in all of the invertebrates.

---

**Introduction**

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are small, gene-encoded cationic peptides that constitute important innate immune effectors from organisms spanning most of the phylogenetic spectrum [1,2]. AMPs have a broad range of actions against many microorganisms [3,4], including viruses [5], and it is not usual to observe the acquisition of resistance to bacterial strains by these molecules [6]. Moreover, constitutive and induced production of AMPs has been reported, with various expression patterns depending on the species, tissue and cell type and infection or inflammation state. These natural antibiotics (~41000 AMPs have been estimated in several types of AMPs have been described, among them defensins, mytilins and myticins [8,9,10].

Three different isoforms (A, B and C) of mussel myticins have been described so far, with isoform C being the most expressed transcript in adults; to our knowledge, it is the only known mussel AMP expressed at larval stages [11,12]. Strikingly, although antimicrobial specificity of Myt C has not been demonstrated, it presents higher levels of RNA polymorphism than those previously reported for any other mussel AMP [11]. However, to date, little is known about how this variability is generated and what role it plays in the mussel immune response. Likewise, it has not yet been established whether there is any correlation between Myt C RNA polymorphisms and Myt C protein variants. A plausible hypothesis could be that the set of Myt C molecules might constitute a pathogen recognition receptor (PRR) system because sequence diversity is a key feature of a relatively small number of effector molecules involved in self and non-self recognition [12]. Nevertheless, further studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

In this work, we analyzed the in vivo tissular and cellular expression patterns of Myt C at the mRNA and protein levels. By expressing a
recombinant peptide of Myt C, we demonstrated for the first time its potential antiviral and immunoregulatory properties. Altogether, our results suggest that Myt C might play a significant role in the molluscan immune response against pathogens and external aggressions. Moreover, the activity of recombinant Myt C peptides against fish viruses in fish cell lines also suggests that this AMP is active across species; therefore, it might be used to enhance fish defenses in stressful environments and as a model molecule for improving the design of fish antimicrobial drugs.

Results

Tissue distribution and subcellular location of Myt C mRNA and protein

In situ hybridization (ISH) assays (Figure 1) showed that hemocytes were the mussel cells with the highest Myt C expression. A hemocyte monolayer hybridized with a Myt C antisense RNA probe is shown in Figure 1A. All of the cells were not marked by the probe, which indicates that some hemocytes do not express Myt C. When this technique was applied to different mussel tissues, such as muscle, connective tissue, gonad and gills (Figure 1C, E, G and I, respectively), the positive reaction was detected mainly in circulating hemocytes.

Consistent with the Myt C RNA expression pattern, immunocytochemical and immunohistochemical analysis using the sera produced against the two Myt C partial sequence peptides also showed that the hemocytes were the main source of mussel Myt C peptide (Figure 2 and Figure S1, respectively). Notably, the highest expression of Myt C was found in the hemocytes located in the gill plicae base (Figure S1). However, according to the RNA and protein expression patterns, Myt C expression seemed limited to some granulocyte subtypes. Regarding the subcellular localization of Myt C, a clear cytoplasmatic expression pattern associated with vacuoles could be observed (Figure 2C, D, F).

In vitro recombinant expression of Myt C variants

The levels of expression of the recombinant variants of Myt C (Myt Cc, Myt Cg, Myt Ck and Myt Ccon) were analyzed in vitro by RT-qPCR and fluorescence microscopy after transfecting CHSE cells with the corresponding plasmid constructs (Figure 3 and Figure S2).

To evaluate the expression of recombinant Myt C at the transcription level, the presence of cell transcripts containing the eGFP sequence was used as a marker. All Myt C-GFP variants were expressed at comparable levels but slightly lower than the expression of GFP (Figure 3A).

In contrast, to analyze whether the subcellular location of the recombinant Myt C was analogous to that observed in mussel hemocytes in vivo (Figure 2), the GFP fluorescence expression pattern was examined by fluorescence microscopy. GFP was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm and the nucleus of CHSE cells transfected with plasmid pMCV 1.4-eGFP (Figure 3B, panel 1 and 3). Addition of the Myt C cDNA sequence to the N-terminus of GFP caused a relocalization of the fluorescence within the cells. Thus, 48 h after transfecting the CHSE cells with plasmids encoding the Myt C variants fused to GFP, the bulk of the fluorescence appeared in the perinuclear region (Figure 3B, panel 4 and 6 and Figure S2) where the trans-Golgi network is usually located. Moreover, nearly all of the cells exhibited a slight non-uniform granulated cytoplasmatic distribution of the fluorescence (Figure 3B, panel 4 and 6 and Figure S2, panels 1, 4 and 7) and a peripheral fluorescence pattern near the cellular membrane suggesting the possibility of Myt C extracellular secretion. In contrast with the CHSE cells expressing GFP alone, fluorescence was excluded from the nuclear region in the Myt C-GFP-expressing CHSE cells. We detected no effects of the Myt C expression on CHSE cell morphology or viability, as shown in Figure 3B and Figures S2 and S3. Likewise, those figures show that recombinant Myt C expression did not induce apoptosis.

We observed no differences in the fluorescence pattern among the four variants of Myt C-GFP (Figure S2).

Finally, further confirmation of the cytoplasmic location of recombinant Myt C observed in vivo (Figure 2) was obtained by labeling Myt C-GFP expressing cells with the antiserum to Myt Cc. As expected, both the GFP fluorescence (Figure S3 panel 1) and immune staining patterns (Figure S3 panel 2) were coincident (Figure S3 panel 4). Antiserum to Myt Cc also recognized the Myt C variants k, g and con (not shown).

Resistance of CHSE cells expressing the Myt C variants to viral infections

To carry out the antiviral activity studies, CHSE cells were transiently transfected with each of the plasmids encoding the Myt C variants. Seventy-two hours after transfection, the CHSE cells were infected, and Viral Hemorrhagic Septicaemia virus (VHSV) and Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) infectivity was determined 24 h later. The results showed ≥85% reduced VHSV infectivity in CHSE cells transfected with Myt C variants c, g, and k and ~75% with Myt Ccon (Figure 4A). Control CHSE cells, CHSE cells transfected with empty pMCV 1.4 or pMCV 1.4-eGFP plasmids, propagated the virus almost as efficiently as non-transfected CHSE cells because VHSV infectivity was not significantly reduced (Figure 4A). These data revealed that CHSE cells expressing Myt C had reduced susceptibility to VHSV. In contrast, no significant reduction of IPNV infectivity in CHSE cells expressing Myt C was observed, except in the cells expressing the Myt Ccon (Figure 5A).

Furthermore, viral replication could not be detected in the cells expressing the Myt C variants but was detected in the surrounding non-expressing cells (Figures 4B and 5B, panels 5–8). In contrast, both VHSV (Figure 4B, panels 1–4) and IPNV (Figure 5B, panels 1–4) viruses could be detected in the cells expressing eGFP alone.

In vivo recombinant expression of MytC

Among the four Myt C variants used in this work, the consensus Myt C (Myt Ccon) was chosen for in vivo expression assays because it was the only isoform that inhibited both VHSV and IPNV infectivity in vitro. Myt C expression in hemocytes was observed after the plasmid pMCV1.4-Myt Ccon-eGFP was injected into mussel adductor muscle (Figure 6). After 72 h, muscles injected with pMCV1.4-Myt Ccon-eGFP showed a significantly higher hemocytic Myt C expression (p<0.05) compared with the Myt C expression observed in muscles injected with PBS and pMCV1.4 control.

Expression pattern of immuno-related genes induced by the overexpression of recombinant Myt C in vivo

To investigate the potential immunoregulatory properties of Myt C, the levels of expression of a set of immune-related genes were analyzed by RT-qPCR in the hemocytes of mussels intramuscularly injected with empty pMCV1.4 plasmid or pMCV1.4 Myt Ccon-eGFP. Figure 7 shows the selected immune-related genes that have significantly different levels of expression (p<0.05) compared with controls. Two antimicrobial peptides (mytilin B and myticin B), the C1q domain containing protein (MgC1q) and lysozyme had significantly increased expression at 72 h in animals injected with pMCV1.4 Myt Ccon. The expression of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) also increased, but not significantly (data not...
shown). Of note, the expression of Macp and mytimicin (MMG1) also increased after injection with the pMCV1.4 empty plasmid control (data not shown).

Chemotaxis

The recombinant Myt Cc elicited a chemotactic response from hemocytes in the majority of mussels studied (75%). Importantly, there was variability of the magnitude of the chemotactic response among different individual mussels ranging from a 1.1 to a 134-fold increase compared with the migration in control solutions (Figure 8A). Therefore, we have presented values from individual mussels instead of mean ± SD. The hemocytes that migrated through the cell insert to the Myt suspension exhibited a distinct morphology (Figure 8B) compared with hemocyte migration in control suspensions (Figure 8C); contained a higher number of cytoplasmic granules.

Discussion

Due to the lack of adaptive immunity, AMPs play a key role in the immune system of invertebrate organisms, such as mussels [8,9,10]. Thus, mussels and other bivalves can be considered as an interesting source of these innate immunity effector molecules, and due to their wide range of antimicrobial action, AMPs could be used to control infectious diseases that transcend a single aquatic species or pathogen.

It has been recently reported that Mediterranean mussels (M. galloprovincialis), that have experienced fewer mass mortalities than other edible bivalves, have an AMP Myt isoform, Myt C, which is highly polymorphic at the mRNA level [11,12]. Although it has been suggested that the Myt C polymorphism could constitute a molecular adaptation to the interaction of these peptides with the surrounding pathogens [13], the exact role of Myt C has not been clearly established. Therefore, this work was aimed at elucidating the expression pattern of this interesting molecule and the immunological role of Myt C, both as effector and modulator molecule. First, we determined the in vivo tissular and cellular Myt C expression patterns (Figures 1 and 2) at the transcriptional and protein levels, using specific RNA probes and antisera to Myt C. Both of the RNA and protein-based analyses showed that Myt C is expressed in hemocytes, consistent with previously described expression of other AMPs in invertebrates for other AMPs [9,14,15]. In contrast, Myt C was not expressed in all mussel hemocyte or tissues types, as was previously suggested for defensins and mytilins [15,16]. Circulating hemocytes expressing Myt C were observed in muscle, connective tissue, gonad and gills by ISH...
The strongest expression of Myt C was detected in the plicae base of the gills (Figure S1). Because mussels are filter-feeding animals that can inhabit polluted locations and even feed on bacteria [17,18], the gills are the first tissue to come in contact with putative pathogens; the high presence of Myt C-expressing hemocytes could suggest a role for this AMP in a fast immune response.

Next, to investigate the potential antimicrobial activity of Myt C, we cloned and expressed eGFP fusions of the four different cDNA sequences of Myt C, including the previously determined consensus sequence of the Myt C gene, in vitro. The complete sequence of the Myt C prepropeptide was included in the plasmids used to transfect the CHSE cells to assure that all of the information required to process mature Myt C was present. The levels of Myt C expression in transfected CHSE cells were quite similar at both the RNA (Figure 3A) and protein levels for all of the constructs studied (Figure 3B and Figure S2). However, visualisation of the intracellular GFP fluorescence in living CHSE cells were quite similar at both the RNA (Figure 3A) and protein levels for all of the constructs studied (Figure 3B and Figure S2). However, visualisation of the intracellular GFP fluorescence in living CHSE cells expressing eGFP or the different Myt C-eGFP variants (Figure 3B and Figure S2) showed that eGFP was homogeneously present throughout the entire cell, but Myt C-eGFP had a different subcellular localization. Overall, Myt C-eGFP was dispersed throughout the cytoplasm of the cell with a slightly granular appearance. This granular appearance of fluorescence suggests the accumulation of the fusion protein inside vesicular structures along the secretory pathway. This result is consistent with the in vitro Myt C expression results (Figure 1 and 2) and previous reports that mussel AMPs, defensin and mytilin, are localized to cytoplasmatic granules [15,19]. The addition of eGFP to the C-terminus of Myt C did not seem to modify the cellular expression pattern of this AMP; this result was confirmed when Myt C-expressing cells were stained with antisera to Myt C (Figure S3).

Because some reports have shown that AMPs possess antiviral activity against enveloped and non-enveloped viruses [20,21], with some of them acting across species [22,23], the antiviral activity of recombinant Myt C was studied against the fish enveloped and non-enveloped viruses, VHSV and IPNV, respectively. The results clearly showed that Myt C could be considered as an invertebrate antiviral immune effector, at least against the fish viruses that were tested in this study. Notably, Myt C-transfected cells were more resistant to VHSV infection than to IPNV infection, regardless of the Myt C variant expressed by the cells (Figure 4A). In fact, only the Myt Ccon variant was able to confer protection against IPNV (Figure 4B). Therefore, enveloped viruses seem to be more susceptible to Myt C because of the potential ability of this AMP to disrupt lipid membranes, as it has been previously described for other mussel AMPs, such as defensin and mytilin [10,24].

Furthermore, no viral replication could be observed in the CHSE Myt C expressing cells (Figure 5), suggesting that Myt C expression helped these cells to overcome viral infection. Moreover, very low levels of VHSV replication could be detected in the CHSE Myt C-expressing cells compared with the eGFP-transfected or non-transfected cells, suggesting the induction of some protective effect on the surrounding cells by the expression of Myt C, which could act as a cytokine-like molecule. Alternatively, Myt C could be secreted outside the expressing cells to inactivate the virus particles released from the initially infected cells. Work is in progress to clarify the mechanism underlying the antiviral activity of mussel Myt C.

In an attempt to investigate the potential immunomodulatory properties of Myt C, mussels were transfected with a plasmid...
Figure 3. Recombinant expression of Myt C-eGFP variant C in CHSE cells. CHSE cells were transfected with pMCV1.4, pMCV1.4-eGFP or pMCV1.4-Myt Cc-eGFP plasmids, and expression of eGFP and Myt Cc-eGFP was assessed 24 h later at the transcriptional and protein levels. A, expression levels of transcripts of eGFP and Myt Cc-eGFP, Myt Cg-eGFP, Myt Ck-eGFP and Myt Ccon-eGFP evaluated by RT-qPCR using eGFP primers. The data are presented as the mean ± S.D. of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. a.u; arbitrary units. B, CHSE expressing eGFP protein (upper panel) or Myt Cc-eGFP fusion protein (lower panel). CHSE micrographs at fluorescent (1,4); UV (2,5); (3,6) merged image of fields 1 and 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023140.g003
encoding the Myt Ccon variant, and the gene expression patterns of several immune related genes were analyzed. It cannot be ignored that the functionality of this consensus sequence could not be 100%. Further research will detail the generation of this variability and determine the relative importance of the different isoforms in pathogen recognition and modulation of the immune response. However, Myt Ccon was the only isoform that was completely functional in antiviral experiments with the two viruses analyzed in this study.

The overexpression of Myt Ccon induced significant changes in the expression of Myticin B, MgC1q, Lysozyme and Mytilin B. Myticin B is another member of the mussel myticin group that is constitutively expressed in mussel hemocytes [25]. Myticin B is expressed in hemocytes in virtually all mussel tissues and has antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria [15,26]. Mytilin B is a distinct antimicrobial peptide that is constitutively expressed in adult mussels, and a decrease in the expression of this gene is observed after bacterial challenge [8]. MgC1q is a complement C1q domain-containing protein, recently characterized in *M. galloprovincialis*, which is highly induced after Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacterial challenge [27]. MgC1q seems to have the same properties as a pattern recognition receptor.

Figure 4. Resistance of CHSE cells expressing the Myt C variants to VHSV infection. CHSE cells were non-transfected or transfected with pMCV1.4, pMCV1.4-eGFP or with each of the pMCV1.4-Myt C-eGFP plasmids for 24 h, washed and then infected with VHSV for 2 h at 14°C. After washing unbound virus, the infected cell monolayers were incubated for 24 h at 14°C, and viral infectivity was estimated by RT-qPCR. A, expression levels of VHSV-pN in infected CHSE cells. The data are presented as the mean ± S.D. from two independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p<0.01) in viral infectivity relative to control cells (infected but non-transfected CHSE cells). B, VHSV replication in CHSE-Myt C expressing cells. CHSE cells were transfected with pMCV1.4-eGFP (upper panel) or pMCV1.4-Myt Cc-eGFP (lower panel) plasmids and infected with VHSV as above. Cell monolayers were then fixed and stained. 1 and 5 GFP fluorescence (green fluorescence); 2 and 6, stained with the MAb I10 anti-G protein of VHSV and anti IgG-TRITC (red fluorescence); 3 and 7, stained with the Hoechst DNA stain (blue fluorescence); 4 and 8, merged images.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023140.g004
Lysozyme is a well-studied, marine invertebrate effector molecule able to kill Gram-negative bacteria and also with antifungal properties [30,31,32]. These data suggest that Myt C not only has a role in the antiviral activities of mussels but could also have modulator effects over different molecules: activating and triggering the mussel innate immunity system both at recognizing (MgC1q) and effector (lysozyme, myticin B) expression levels. Non-specific changes in Macp and MMG1 expression could be explained by unmethylated CpG motifs present in the vector DNA, indicating that mussels are able to mount an immune response against bacterial DNA, such as has been described both in vertebrates and invertebrates [33,34,35].

Lysates from Myticin C-transfected cells induced significant cell migration in individual mussels as compared with the lysates from different controls. Chemotactic effects play a central role in the inflammation process because they elicit the migration of cells to the site of injury. Triggering chemotaxis is usually an important mechanism for pathogen recognition by immune cells [36,37]. Molecules, such as opioid neuropeptides or TGF-β1 and PDGF-AB, have shown chemotactic activity in Mytilus edulis [38,39]. In the gastropod, Clithon retopectus, chemotaxis has been described as a more efficient process in adult animals than in juveniles [36]. Myt C is highly expressed in oocytes but is not expressed in the spermatozoids of M. galloprovincialis [11], suggesting that this chemotaxis could also have a role in reproduction. Hemocytes chemotactically-induced by Myt C have abundant granules present in the cytoplasm (Figure 8B) and have a distinct morphology compared with treated control hemocytes, suggesting
an activated status. Although there are no descriptions of homologous cytokines or chemokines in invertebrates, Myt C could play the role of mediating immune activation because it induces migration of particular hemocyte subtypes and triggers hemocyte gene expression.

The high degree of nucleotide variability of Myt C sequences has elicited questions regarding their function since they were first described [12,13]. Here, we have studied expression of this AMP and looked for antiviral, chemotactic and immunoregulatory properties. Expression analysis at both the mRNA and protein levels revealed that hemocytes were the main Myt C-expressing cell type. Transfection analysis in the fish cell line, CHSE, revealed that different isoforms of Myt C were mainly active against the enveloped virus, VHSV, which is an important fish virus that causes massive mortalities. In addition, transfection also revealed that the cytomegalovirus early promoter could direct the in vivo expression of Myt C in mussels, resulting in an increase in the expression of other immune-related genes in mussel hemocytes. Recombinant Myt C also showed chemotactic activities in mussel hemocytes. Together with the induction of the expression of effector molecules, such as Myt B, Mytilin B and Lysozyme, this data suggests its role as a cytokine in the mussel immune response.

Altogether, our results suggest that Myticin C might influence the outcome of infection in the following ways: a) acting as direct antiviral molecule, particularly against enveloped viruses, b) inducing hemocyte chemotaxis and c) modulating the expression of other immune genes that carry out and probably amplify the mussel immune response.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Mediterranean mussels (M. galloprovincialis) were obtained during low spring tide from wild populations. Animals were kept in an...
buffer for 30 min at 37°C were subsequently incubated with RNase A and RNase T1 (1:250) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Alkaline incubation with blocking buffer, digoxigenin was detected using and washed in saline sodium citrate buffer (SSC). After diluted with in situ the manufacturer’s protocol.

Transcription of cDNA clones using the DIG RNA labeling kit in vitro Accession Number JF323020), were prepared by RNA probes, antisense (As) and sense (S; control) (GenBank in situ hybridization of the phytoplanktonic species Isochrysis gellbana, Tetraselmis swieca and Skeletonema costatum. Animals were aclimatized for at least one week prior to the experiments. Molluscs care and experiments were conducted according the CSIC National Committee on Bioethics guidelines under approval number ID 20.03.11.

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization (ISH) was carried out to localize the expression of the myticin gene, as described in Murray et al. [40] with minor modifications.

**Probe preparation.** Digoxigenin-labeled Myt C-specific RNA probes, antisense (As) and sense (S; control) (GenBank Accession Number JF323020), were prepared by in vitro transcription of cDNA clones using the DIG RNA labeling kit (SP6/T7) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Tissue in situ hybridization.** Dorsoventral mussel sections of 0.5 cm were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% formalin solution and manually dehydrated through an ethanol series, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin for sectioning. Serial sections of 7 μm were cut from the block and placed on polylysine-coated glass slides (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), briefly air dried and baked overnight at 60°C.

The ISH assays were performed simultaneously on two sets (As and S) of duplicate slides, each one with serial sections from the same animal. Briefly, deparaffinized, rehydrated tissue sections equilibrated in fish saline [41] were pre-digested with proteinase K (2.5 μg ml⁻¹) and treated with the probes (50 μl) diluted with in situ hybridization buffer at 47°C overnight. Slides were subsequently incubated with RNase A and RNase T1 buffer for 30 min at 37°C to eliminate non-hybridized probes and washed in saline sodium citrate buffer (SSC). After incubation with blocking buffer, digoxigenin was detected using sheep anti-DIG-alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies (1:250) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Alkaline phosphatase was detected using NBT (nitroblue tetrazolium)/ BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

**Hemocyte in situ hybridization.** Detection of Myt C expression in hemocytes was conducted with the probes synthesized as described above. The hemocytes were collected and immediately fixed in 5 volumes of 4% formalin solution. After washing in 70% ethanol, hemocytes were placed in two sets (As and S) of duplicate polylysine slides (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and centrifuged at 750 rpm for 5 min using a cytocentrifuge (Cytospin 4 Cytocentrifuge, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Slides were washed with PBS for 10 min, and hemocytes were permeabilized with proteinase K (4 μg ml⁻¹) at 37°C for 10 min. Hemocytes were then fixed in 4% formaldehyde (10 min at 4°C), rinsed with 2X SSC and treated with hybridization buffer for 90 min at 37°C in a humid incubation chamber. Probes (50 ng per slide) were added to slides and incubated overnight at 37°C on gene frames (Abgene, Epsom, UK). After washing, digoxigenin was detected as explained above.

**Synthetic peptides from the sequence of Myt C cDNA**

In silico translation [http://www.expasy.org] from the cDNA sequence of a Myt C variant prepropeptide (Tables 1 and 2), now called Myt Gc, was used for the synthesis of 13- and 16-mer peptides, p13 and p16 (Table 1), respectively. Synthesis was performed by New England Peptide (Gardner, MA, USA) with a purity of >95%, as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrophotometry. Peptides were reconstituted to a final concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹ in Milli Q water and stored in suitable aliquots at −80°C until used.

**Production of antiserum to Myt C in the rabbit**

To obtain the antisera (New England Peptide, Gardner, MA, USA), rabbits were first co-immunized with 1 mg ml⁻¹ of each of
the synthetic peptides, p13 and p16 (Table 1), and diluted 1:1 in Freund’s complete adjuvant. Four weeks later, a second injection with the same antigens in Freund’s incomplete adjuvant were given. Blood was collected before injection (pre-immune serum) and at 30 days after the second injection. The collected blood sample was subsequently incubated for 2 hours at 4°C and centrifuged to obtain the serum. Finally, affinity purification of antisera over each respective peptide column was carried out to obtain two antisera with distinct immunoreactivity: anti-Myt C p13 detected the Myt C mature peptide, and anti-Myt C p16 detected the Myt C prepropeptide sequence (Table 1).

### Table 1. Sequence and relative position of synthetic peptides used in present study.

| Peptide name          | Sequence to C-terminal | Position (From aa to aa) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Myt Cc prepropeptide  | MKATILLAVAVVGVQEAQVACRSYYSKFCGSAGCSLYGCYLLHPGKICYCLHCSR ESPLALS GSARNVNDKNNEMDNSPVMNEMENLDQEMDMF | 1–100                     |
| p13                   | HPGKICYCLHCSR          | 48–60                    |
| p16                   | CSARNVNDKNNEMDNS       | 69–84                    |
| Mature Myt Cc         | QSVACRSYYSKFCGSAGCSLYGCYLLHPGKICYCLHCSR | 20–60                    |

**Immunodetection of Myticin C**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using a mixture (1:1) of the affinity purified antisera, anti-Myt C p13 and p16 on paraffin embedded mussels fixed in Davidson’s fixative. After deparaffinization, peroxidase activity was suppressed with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 4 min. Antigen exposition was achieved using proteinase K treatment (20 μg ml⁻¹) for 10 min. A blocking step was carried out in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)-containing Tris-Buffered Saline with Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature. Then the mixture of antisera to Myt Cc diluted 50-fold in 1% BSA-containing phosphate buffer was applied to the sections of the mussels and left for 1 h at room temperature.
buffered saline (PBS) was applied to the slides and incubated overnight at 4°C. Myt C was detected by incubating the slides with a peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-IgG rabbit antibody (Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) diluted 500-fold in 1% BSA-containing PBS for 2 h at room temperature. The peroxidase activity was detected using SIGMAFAST Diaminobenzidine (DAB) tablets (Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA). All of the washing steps were conducted with PBS. Finally, the slides were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin for 5 s and mounted in Permount Slide Mounting Fluid.

To carry out the immunocytochemistry staining, 100 µl of mussel hemolymph were centrifuged (750 rpm, 5 min) in a cytocentrifuge (Cytospin 4 Cytocentrifuge, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After acetone fixation, blocking and immunostaining were carried out as indicated above.

The photographs from the previous sections (in situ hybridization and immunodetection) were obtained with a DXM 1200 digital camera mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 80i light microscope (Nikon instruments Inc., NY, USA).

** Constructs and plasmids 

The plasmids used were pMCV 1.4 (Ready-Vector, Madrid, Spain) [42] and pGFP, which is 3.4 kbp in length (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) and contains the eGFP cDNA sequence under the control of the cytomegalovirus early promoter (CMV).

To obtain the pMCV1.4-Myt C constructs, several Myt C cDNA sequences were synthesized at Biost (Montreal, Canada). The variants are Myt Cc, Myt Cg, Myt Ck and Myt Ccon (Table S1). Of note, the sequence of the so-called variant Myt Ccon is a previously established consensus sequence of the Myt C gene [12]. Each of these synthetic nucleotide sequences was then cloned into the pMCV1.4 plasmid digested with the restriction enzymes KpnI and XbaI following standard procedures. To obtain the different pMCV1.4-Myt C-eGFP constructs, the eGFP cDNA sequence was first excised from the pGFP plasmid with the restriction enzymes XbaI and BamHI and then subcloned into each of the pMCV1.4-Myt C plasmids digested with the same enzymes. The products were resolved on a 1% agarose gel, and the DNA bands were extracted from the gel and purified using GeneClean (Bio 101, Vista, CA, USA).

**Cell cultures and virus 

The fish cell lines used in this work, CHSE-214 (Chinook salmon embryo) and EPC (Epithelioma papulosum cyprinid), were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC numbers CRL-1681 and CRL-2872, respectively). Both cell lines were maintained at 20°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere with RPMI-1640 Dutch modified cell culture medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 2% FBS for 2.5 h at room temperature. Using goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to rhodamine (TRITC, Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA), the indirect staining was carried out. Finally, to visualize cell nuclei, cell monolayers were incubated with the mixture (1:1) of the affinity purified sera anti-Myt Cc diluted 300-fold in 0.1% BSA-containing PBS for 2.5 h at room temperature. Using goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to rhodamine (TRITC, Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 5 min at room temperature, Cell monolayers were then incubated with the mixture (1:1) of the affinity purified sera anti-Myt Cc diluted 300-fold in 0.1% BSA-containing PBS for 2.5 h at room temperature.

**Analysis of Myt C expression in transfected cells 

The expression of Myt C variants in CHSE transfected cells was analyzed at both the transcriptional and protein levels by quantitative real time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) and immunofluorescence (IF), respectively.

Because Myt C variants were expressed as fusion proteins with eGFP, the expression of eGFP was used as marker to evaluate their transcriptional levels. RT-qPCR was used to evaluate the expression levels of the eGFP transcripts using eGFP cDNA-specific primers (Table 2) and SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

To detect Myt C peptides, CHSE cells expressing Myt C-eGFP fusion proteins were grown in 96-well plates, fixed with BD Cytofix (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) for 15 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X100 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 5 min at room temperature. Cell monolayers were then incubated with the mixture (1:1) of the affinity purified sera anti-Myt Cc diluted 300-fold in 0.1% BSA-containing PBS for 2.5 h at room temperature. Using goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to rhodamine (TRITC, Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA), the indirect staining was carried out. Finally, to visualize cell nuclei, cell monolayers were incubated with the mixture (1:1) of the affinity purified sera anti-Myt Cc diluted 300-fold in 0.1% BSA-containing PBS for 2.5 h at room temperature. Using goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to rhodamine (TRITC, Sigma Chem. Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 5 min at room temperature.

**Viral infection assays 

Transfected CHSE cells were washed extensively with PBS and infected with VHSV or IPNV (multiplicity of infection, m.o.i. of 2×10−2) in a final volume of 100 µl/well of culture medium supplemented with 2% FCS for 2 h at 14°C. The infected cell monolayers were then washed, fresh medium was added, and plates were further incubated for 24 h. Viral replication in CHSE cells was evaluated by RT-qPCR using the specific primer and probe sequences for the gene encoding the N protein of VHSV or for the segment A of the IPNV genome (Table 2). Non-transfected CHSE cells that were infected with VHSV or IPNV were included as control.
Overexpression of Myt C in mussels and gene expression analysis

Mussels were injected in the adductor muscle with either PBS or with 2.5 μg ml⁻¹ of empty plasmid pMVC1.4 or pMVC1.4-Myt Ccon plasmids in a volume of 50 μl. Twenty four, 48 and 72 h post-injection, hemolymph was withdrawn from the adductor muscle of each animal with a disposable syringe, and hemocytes were collected by centrifugation at 12000 g for 10 min and subjected to subsequent RNA extraction.

Chemotaxis assay

Chemotactic properties of the recombinant Myt C were determined using PET cell culture inserts of 8.0 μm pore size (Becton & Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) in 24-well plates. Briefly, 250 μl of hemolymph from individual mussels (n = 12) was added to the upper compartment, and 400 μl of dilutions of cell extracts were located in the lower compartment. These extracts contained the cellular lysates of CHSE cells transfected with pMVC1.4, pMVC1.4-GFP or pMVC1.4-Myt C-eGFP, non transfected CHSE or filtered seawater (FSW). To obtain the extracts, the cells were resuspended in FSW, frozen, thawed and then centrifuged to eliminate cell debris. After 4 h of incubation in the dark at 15°C, cells in the lower compartment were recovered, subjected to cytocentrifugation as described above and stained using the Hemacolor kit (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells in the lower chamber were counted using a Nikon Eclipse 80i light microscope.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

The RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to extract total RNA from the CHSE cells following the manufacturer’s instructions. The synthesis of cDNA from 1 μg of RNA, as estimated by a NanoDrop spectrophotometer 200 c (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), was carried out using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) as previously described [22].

RNA from mussel cells was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to manufactur er’s instructions. Contaminating genomic DNA was removed using DNase I (Ambion, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The synthesis of cDNA from 1 μg of RNA was carried out using the SuperScript® III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix for qRT-PCR (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Real Time Quantitative PCR assays

Quantitative PCR in real time (RT-qPCR) was carried out as previously described [22]. All reactions were performed in a 20-μl volume containing 2 μl of the cDNA reaction, 900 nM of each primer, 200 nM of probe and 10 μl of TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The cycling conditions were 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Gene expression results were analyzed by the 2⁻¹ΔΔCt method [32].

To evaluate the eGFP expression, the cellular elongation factor 1 alpha (EF1-α) gene (Table 2) was used as an endogenous control for quantification. The control cells (non-transfected CHSE group) served as the calibrator cells, and fold increases were calculated relative to the level for these cells.

To evaluate virus replication, the internal reference for normalization of data was the cellular 18S rRNA (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Viral infectivity results were expressed as percentages of infectivity and calculated using the formula (viral infectivity in transfected cells/viral infectivity in non-transfected cells) x 100.

To detect gene expression in mussel hemocytes, specific PCR primers (Table 2) were designed and checked for hairpin and dimer formation according to known RT-qPCR restrictions (PCR product size, Tm difference between primers, GC content and self-dimer or cross-dimer formation) with the Oligo Analyzer program, version 1.0.2 (T. Kuulasma, University of Kuopio, Kuopio, Finland, http://molbiol-tools.ca/OASetup102.exe). Then, the efficiency of the primer pairs was analyzed with seven, five-fold serial dilutions of cDNA and calculated from the slope of the regression line of Cts versus the relative concentration of cDNA [53]. A melting curve analysis was also performed to verify that no primer dimers were amplified. If these conditions were not accomplished, new primer pairs were designed. Mytimycin precursor 1 (MMG1), Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), Myticin B, *Mytilus galloprovincialis* C1q domain containing protein (MgC1q), Lysozyme, Mytilin B and membrane attack complex protein (Macp) were investigated for changes in gene expression. One microliter of 10-fold diluted cDNA template was mixed with 0.5 μl of each primer (10 μM) and 12.5 μl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in a final volume of 25 μl. The standard cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. All reactions were carried out as technical triplicates. The relative expression levels of the genes were normalized using the 18S gene as a housekeeping gene, which was constitutively expressed and not affected by Myt C overexpression, following the Pfaffl method [53]. Fold change units were calculated by dividing the normalized expression values of the hemocytes from pMVC1.4 empty plasmid or the pMVC1.4-Myt Ccon-GFP injected mussels by the normalized expression values of the controls.

Statistical analysis

To analyze the viral infectivity results, statistical comparisons were made using a paired, two-tailed Student t test. To analyze differences in gene expression among mussels injected with pMVC1.4, pMVC1.4-Myt C or PBS, statistical comparisons were made using a one-tailed Student t-test considering groups of equal variance. The results were expressed as mean ± SEM (square error of the mean), and differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.05. Statistically significant differences in chemotactic properties were determined using a one-tailed Student t-test considering groups of equal variance against control groups. Differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.01.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Immunohistochemical determination of the expression pattern of Myt C in gills. Positive hybridization is detected by brown deposits following DAB treatment in A (arrowheads). Control tissues not hybridized with anti-Myticin sera are presented in figure B. Scale bars: 25 μm. (TIF)

Figure S2 Recombinant expression of Myt C-eGFP variants in CHSE cells as eGFP fusion proteins. CHSE cells were transfected with pMVC1.4-Myt Cg-eGFP, pMVC1.4-Myt Ck-eGFP or pMVC1.4-Myt Ccon-eGFP plasmids and assessed 24 h later. CHSE micrographs with fluorescent (1, 4 and 7) and UV light (2, 5 and 8); merged image of fields 1 and 2 (3), 4 and 5 (6) and 7 and 8 (9), respectively. (TIF)
**Table S1** Nucleotide sequences of Myt C variants and the antisense ISH RNA cDNA template used in this study.
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