Electron-induced limitation of surface plasmon propagation in silver nanowires
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Abstract
Plasmonic circuitry is considered as a promising solution-effective technology for miniaturizing and integrating the next generation of optical nano-devices. A key element is the shared metal network between electrical and optical information enabling an efficient hetero-integration of an electronic control layer and a plasmonic data link. Here, we investigate to what extent surface plasmons and current-carrying electrons interfere in such a shared circuitry. By synchronously recording surface plasmon propagation and electrical output characteristics of individual chemically-synthesized silver nanowires we determine the limiting factors hindering the co-propagation of an electrical current and a surface plasmon in these nanoscale circuits.

1. Introduction
Plasmonic circuitry [1, 2] is a unique platform capable of simultaneously sustaining an optical signal encoded in the form of a surface plasmon and an electrical current. This emerging technology has attracted tremendous interest for its potential to interface electronics and photonics at the nanoscale [3–7]. The ability to guide surface plasmons and electrons on the same physical link may enable a seamless integration of an optical data processing level within an electronic control architecture [8–10].

Among the variety of plasmonic waveguides developed to date [11–15], quasi-one-dimensional structures such as silver and gold nanowires are especially desirable because they have potential for realizing dense plasmonic and electronic routing networks. In particular, chemically-synthesized metal nanowires offer a unique medium to guide and manipulate surface plasmons at the nanoscale with improved performances [16–19]. Surface plasmon modes can be readily excited in these structures by different coupling strategies [16, 20–23] and signal-processing functionalities were recently demonstrated including routing [24–26], logic functions [27], modulation [28] and plasmon electrical detection [29]. Despite an advanced control of the surface plasmon field, integration of the platform with an electronic layer has remained elusive and confined to a thermo-optical activation of dielectric-loaded surface plasmon stripe waveguides [30, 31]. For metal nanowires, it is not clear to what extent surface plasmon characteristics are retained under direct-current (DC) biasing operation. This question is however critical to assess the capability of a plasmonic circuitry to simultaneously sustain propagation of a surface plasmon and an electrical current.

In this paper, we investigate the effect of an electron flow on the surface plasmon properties when these two information channels are transported synchronously in chemically-synthesized metal nanowires. By recording surface plasmon characteristics as a function of the electrical environment, limiting factors affecting the co-propagation are experimentally determined.
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Pentagonal-twinned silver nanowires were synthesized using a modified polyol process producing nanowires with a section width of between 300 and 600 nm [32]. The colloidal solution of nanowires was then drop-cast onto a glass substrate pre-patterned with grid landmarks to precisely coordinate the position of selected nanowires. Electrical contacts were designed by electron-beam lithography to connect the extremities of individual nanowires. A 70 nm-thick gold layer was evaporated to form the conductive leads. As the thickness of the electrodes was smaller than the radius of the nanowires, Au evaporation was performed at a ∼50° azimuthal angle to ensure electrical continuity between the electrodes and the nanowire. The structures were electrically biased by a regulated low voltage power supply and current flow was recorded with a home-made current-to-voltage converter in a two-point configuration.

A representative electrical output characteristic of a contacted Ag nanowire is displayed on a semi-logarithmic scale in figure 1(a) during an initial bias sweep. Current flowing in the nanowire is typically low across a large range of bias and occurs by increasing steps. This non-Ohmic current/voltage behavior of the nanowire originates from the initially poor electrical connection between the nanowire and the leads. The chemistry employed to synthesize the nanowires uses an excess of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) surfactant that is bound to the surface of the nanowire. A transmission electron micrograph (TEM) showing a ∼3.5 nm thick surfactant layer is displayed in the inset of figure 1(a). This organic layer acts as a dielectric tunnel barrier preventing current from flowing between the electrodes and the nanowire. Irregularity in the current/voltage characteristic occurs at current densities that are large enough to physically destroy the surfactant layer. For current above 5–10 mA, the typical resistance is below 100 Ω, indicating a metallic electrical contact. This is demonstrated in figure 1(b) where the current/voltage characteristic was measured again during a subsequent bias sweep following the initial run. A monotonous rise of the current with increasing voltage is observed. For low voltages, the current/voltage characteristic follows a linear trend (dashed curve) with a slope of 25 Ω corresponding to the total resistance of the contacts. The resistance of the contacts between the probes and electrodes was measured by contacting the two probes close to each other (separation distance ≪ the length of the nanowire) on the same electrode. A real resistance of the Ag nanowire at around 2.35 Ω was thus determined yielding a resistivity ρ = 1.55 μΩ.cm according to ρ = (R × S)/L, where R is the subtracted resistance, S is the cross-sectional area of the silver nanowire, and L is its longitudinal length. This resistivity is very close to the standardized bulk value of silver 1.59 μΩ.cm at room temperature [33]. After 0.3 V, the trend becomes nonlinear with an increasing resistance of the nanowire with voltage. This variation of resistance is due to the temperature rise caused by the current flow [34] and the onset of morphological changes induced by an electromigration process [35–37]. The effect of surfactant on the electron flow and the subsequent monotonous current/voltage characteristics shown in figures 1(a) and (b) were systematically measured for all contacted Ag nanowires. The current/voltage characteristic displayed in figure 1(b) is reproducible if the current flowing through the nanowire is kept below the density required to initiate the electromigration of Ag atoms. For voltage sweeps typically exceeding 1 V, the subsequent on-start resistance increases as a result of electromigration-induced amorphization of the nanowire.

3. Surface plasmon analysis

We now turn our attention to the properties of surface plasmons propagating in these electrically-biased nanowires. Surface plasmons were launched by using an oil immersion objective. A laser emitting at λ = 800 nm was focused on a diffraction-limited spot at one end of a contacted nanowire. Scattering at the other end of the nanowire and leakage radiation during surface plasmon propagation were collected by the same objective [38]. Two charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras placed at the conjugated image plane and conjugated Fourier plane of the microscope were used to record the intensity distribution in direct and reciprocal spaces, respectively [39]. Measurements in the image plane provide a direct visualization of plasmon propagation in the

Figure 1. (a) Electrical characteristic of a contacted Ag nanowire during an initial bias sweep. The current flowing through the nanowire occurs by steps and is very low until 0.8 V. The curve is displayed on a semi-logarithmic scale. Inset: TEM image of the PVP surfactant layer encapsulating a pristine Ag nanowire. (b) Electrical characteristic of the same nanowire during a subsequent bias sweep. The curve shows a monotonous evolution of the current departing from an Ohmic behavior after 0.3 V due to a temperature-dependent resistance.
nanowire while parameters such as the effective index of the guided surface plasmon mode and overall losses were estimated from Fourier-plane analysis. Thicker nanowires were preferentially studied in this work rather than nanowires with smaller cross-sections since plasmon detection by leakage radiation microscopy requires energy to leak into the substrate [38]. Leakages only occur above a minimal value of 
\[ \frac{k_x}{k_o} = 1.0 \] given by the numerical aperture of the objective and the critical angle at the glass/air interface, respectively.

Figure 2. (a) Leakage radiation image of a surface plasmon propagating in an electrically contacted Ag nanowire. The excitation laser spot polarized along the nanowire is adjusted with the lower extremity. The reference frame is taken along the nanowire (x-axis). (b) Wavevector distribution obtained by Fourier-plane imaging. The surface plasmon mode is recognized as a bright line at \( +k_x/k_o = 1.056 \) (arrows), \( |k_x/k_o| = 1.49 \), and \( \frac{k_x}{k_o} = 1.0 \) are given by the numerical aperture of the objective and the critical angle at the glass/air interface, respectively.

Figure 2(a) displays a typical surface plasmon intensity distribution recorded in the image plane for a contacted Ag nanowire (cross-section width 500 nm) excited with a linear polarization oriented along the propagation. The electrodes are visible in this image. The excitation spot overlapping the lower extremity of the nanowire defines the origin of the reference Cartesian frame \((x, y)\), where \( x \) is aligned with the long axis of the nanowire. The surface plasmon mode is readily excited in this configuration [41] and leakages radiated during its propagation are observed in the form of luminous lines on both sides of the nanowire [42, 38]. At the distal end, the surface plasmon is scattered out of the waveguide.

From figure 2(a), there is no evidence that the plasmon propagating along the uncoated sections of the wire is significantly altered by the presence of the two 2 \( \mu \)m-wide electrodes. At the electrode positions, the field distribution of the mode is modified by the additional 70 nm-thick gold layer on top of the Ag nanowire and no longer leaks in the substrate. However, leakages resume on the uncoated sections indicating that the mode is retained along the pristine nanowire. We hypothesized that a significant field overlap exists between the modes sustained at the electrode-coated sections and the uncovered sections of the nanowire, thus promoting energy transfer between the modes [43, 44]. This is equivalent to a hybrid coupling strategy discussed in [45]. An image of the wavevector distribution is displayed in figure 2(b). The leaky surface plasmon mode is recognized as the unique bright line at an effective index \( N_{\text{eff}} = +k_x/k_o = 1.056 \) (arrows), where \( k_x/k_o \) is the reciprocal axis and \( k_o = 2\pi/\lambda \) is the free-space wavevector. The outer boundary in figure 2(b) indicates the collection limit due to the objective numerical aperture \( |k_x/k_o| = 1.49 \). The inner boundary corresponds to the critical angle of the glass/air interface at \( k_x/k_o = 1.0 \). The extension of the surface plasmon signature along \( k_x/k_o \) reflects the lateral confinement of the mode on the nanowire. The imaginary part of the complex effective index is accounted for by the width of the line and the measured value at half-maximum \( \text{Im}[N_{\text{eff}}] = 0.09 \) is comparable to uncontacted nanowires [38]. Efficient scattering of the plasmon mode at the distal end to free-space photons is typical for nanowires with a cross-section greater than \( \sim 300 \) nm [46]. Consequently, we did not observe a symmetric line at \( -k_x/k_o = -1.056 \) which would suggest a reflected surface plasmon mode by the end face.

4. Simultaneous plasmon and electron transport

Surface plasmon propagation was simultaneously recorded in this dual-plane imaging mode while synchronously sweeping the DC bias at 30 mV steps. For each voltage/current value, a direct space image and its corresponding Fourier content were recorded. We then extracted the value of the real and imaginary part of the effective index for each biasing step. The results are reported in figure 3(a).

The characteristics of the modes remain almost constant within experimental measurement errors at \( N_{\text{eff}} = 1.056 + \text{i}0.09 \) until 0.9 V. The dotted line shows the average value of \( \text{Re}[N_{\text{eff}}] \) in the [0; 0.9 V] voltage range. In this biasing regime, propagation of the leaky plasmon mode is not affected by the flow of charges and the temperature rise of the nanowire. Above 0.9 V (shaded areas), \( \text{Re}[N_{\text{eff}}] \) drops below the average value and both the real and imaginary part of the complex effective index become dependent on the biasing condition. Extrinsic propagation losses encoded in the rise of \( \text{Im}[N_{\text{eff}}] \) are concomitant to a measurable reduction of \( \text{Re}[N_{\text{eff}}] \). After 1.2 V, there is no longer any evidence of a plasmon signature in the Fourier plane. The rise of propagation loss after 0.9 V is confirmed by the rapid drop of the scattered intensity with voltage measured at the distal end of the nanowire as shown by the red curve (circle) in figure 3(b).

The change of the effective index and propagation loss is closely related to the electrical output characteristic of the nanowire reported again in figure 3(b). The plasmon propagation is affected by the applied bias at the point where electromigration of the nanowire becomes predominant in the current/voltage characteristic (shaded area). The largest variation of \( \text{Re}[N_{\text{eff}}] \) and \( \text{Im}[N_{\text{eff}}] \) occurs when the current suddenly drops indicating electrical breakdown of the nanowire. Under such electrical stress, the morphology of the nanowire is significantly affected [47], thus compromising plasmon propagation.

To confirm this drastic morphological change, we have imaged the nanowires before applying a bias and after electrical breakdown. Freshly contacted nanowires were observed by bright-field optical microscopy in order to avoid electron-beam carbon contamination by a scanning electron microscope (SEM). After electromigration however, a thin layer of Au (<20 nm) was sputtered on the sample.
Figure 3. (a) Real and imaginary part of the surface plasmon effective index for a Ag nanowire (section 500 nm) for increasing biases. Both parameters are obtained by a Lorentzian fit of the cross-section taken along the reciprocal +kx/k0 axis in Fourier-plane measurement. The error bars are given by the fit process. The dotted line represents the average value of Re{Neff} in the voltage range [0; 0.9 V]. (b) Data represented as red circles: Evolution of intensity of light scattered at the end of the nanowire with bias voltage. Data represented as black squares: Simultaneously acquired current–voltage output characteristics of the Ag nanowire. The sudden current drop at 1.1 V indicates electrical breakdown of the nanowire.

Figure 4. (a) Bright-field optical image of a 18 µm-long 500 nm-wide contacted Ag nanowire before applying bias. (b) Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of the same nanowire after electrical breakdown. The surface plasmon excited at the positive leads is propagating opposite to that of electron motion. The electromigration causes electrical failure at the positive electrode. The two gaps between the nanowire and the upper section of the electrodes are caused by the angled evaporation of the metal. Point 1 indicates the location of the electrical breakdown, points 2 and 3 the morphological changes of the section due to mass transport, and point 4 the pristine nanowire.

5. Numerical determination of the surface plasmon effective index

For a detailed SEM investigation. Representative images of a 18 µm-long 500 nm-wide Ag nanowire before and after electromigration are shown in figures 4(a) and (b), respectively. The SEM image obtained after electromigrating the nanowire confirms the structural deformation. For all investigated Ag nanowires, electrical failure occurs at the positive electrode (point 1). This behavior, already reported for another type of crystalline Ag nanowires [48, 49], suggests that the breakage originates from a motion of charged atoms initiated by the electric field present across the nanowire. However, figure 4(b) also shows a weaker but visible mass transport along the electron flow: a thinner nanowire section near the ground electrode (point 2) is visible in the SEM image. This section is immediately followed by a wider area (point 3) indicating that Ag atoms were displaced from point 2 to point 3. The original nanowire section is measured at point 4, on the right of the positive electrode. At this location, the electron flow did not affect the nanowire. Note that the section at point 3 is wider than the original nanowire size at point 4. We have also switched the biasing polarity, i.e. electrons and plasmon propagating in the same direction. We did not observe significant differences from the trend displayed in figure 3(a).

From figure 4(b) it is clear that the evolution of the surface plasmon effective index and propagation loss with applied bias described in figure 3(a) can be attributed to the altered section of the nanowire and loss of crystallinity caused by the electromigration process. To test this hypothesis, we numerically investigated the effect of a varying nanowire section on the effective index and propagation loss of the surface plasmon using the finite-element analysis simulation software COMSOL Multiphysics. We considered an infinitely long pentagonal silver nanowire on a glass substrate with an excitation wavelength λ = 800 nm and a silver optical index nAg = 0.0362 + i 5.4. Since the effective index of the leaky plasmon mode is very close to 1.0, the mode is significantly extending in the air medium. To account for this, we add perfectly-matched layers on the air side of the calculation window. The evolution of the effective index with reducing nanowire section is reported in figure 5; the cross-sectional intensity distribution of the mode is displayed in the inset for a cross-section of 300 nm. The Re{Neff} and Im{Neff} are weakly dependent on the nanowire section until approximately 450 nm. For width <400 nm, Re{Neff}
monotonously decreases towards the cutoff value of the mode (1.0), a condition confirmed by the associated larger losses in this section range. A small discrepancy between the experimental and calculated \( \text{Re}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \) can be attributed to the dielectric constant used, the presence of the PVP polymer on the surface of the nanowire, and experimental errors in calibrating Fourier planes. A larger discrepancy is however present for \( \text{Im}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \). For a 500 nm-wide nanowire, we experimentally measured \( \text{Im}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \approx 0.09 \) while the calculation indicates a value approaching 0.01. Residual roughness present along the chemically-synthesized Ag nanowire is probably contributing to the widening of the Fourier signature of the surface plasmon mode. We showed in [38] that the finite length of the nanowire is affecting \( \text{Im}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \). End-face scattering introduces an additional decay channel contributing to the total loss experienced by the leaky surface plasmon mode.

According to SEM images of electromigrated nanowires, the thinnest unbroken section is around 250–300 nm. The calculated dispersion curve shows that the \( \text{Re}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \) of the mode is reduced from 1.034 to \( \sim 1.020 \), a change of 0.014 in this section range. Although the relationship between the width of the nanowire and the applied bias is not trivial (nonlinear electrical characteristic and local variations along the length), the measured difference of \( \text{Re}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \) before and after breakdown in figure 3(a) \( \sim 0.010 \) is comparable to our numerical simulations. Concerning the imaginary part \( \text{Im}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \), the calculation also qualitatively reproduces the experimental trend of figure 3(a). Amorphization of the chemically-synthesized nanowire during the electromigration process and variation of the section along the nanowire length were omitted in the calculation. Both effects introduce additional plasmon damping compared to pristine nanowires [17] and this accounts for the under approximation of the plasmon losses in figure 5.

From the above investigations, change in the morphology of the nanowire appears to be the main limitation for co-propagating plasmonic and electronic information in metal nanowires. Figure 6 shows the maximum current densities before electrical failure (red squares) as a function of nanowire section. Like Cu and Au nanowires, the failure current density in crystalline Ag structures depends on the section as a result of a better heat dissipation in the glass substrate [34, 35, 50]. The data points represented with black circles are the measured current densities after which the corresponding \( \text{Re}\{N_{\text{eff}}\} \) of the surface plasmon mode drops below the average value measured at low voltage bias (see figure 3(a)). This boundary is therefore an upper limit on the electrical condition under which electrons and (leaky) surface plasmons can be simultaneously supported by the plasmonic platform. The red-shaded area beneath these data points represents the safe electrical operation. In the orange area located between the two curves, electron-induced degradation of the surface plasmon mode is occurring. Thin nanowires can sustain a higher current density and, from an electrical point of view, should be favored in the circuitry. However, surface plasmons in thin nanowires are tightly bound modes and are thus expected to be more sensitive to subtle changes occurring at the nanowire surface. We hope to provide experimental evidence for that in a follow-up communication.

In summary, we have investigated the effect of electron flow on plasmon propagation in electrically contacted chemically-synthesized silver nanowires. We find that surface plasmon characteristics are degraded by the morphological stress of the nanowire caused at the onset of electromigration. We have thus determined the operating limit for simultaneously propagating electrons and surface plasmons in the same one-dimensional metallic support, a prerequisite for realizing a practical plasmonic circuitry interfacing integrated photonic and electronic devices.
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