A Coronary Artery Calcium Score of Zero in Patients Who Have Undergone Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography Is Associated With Freedom From Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events
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Abstract

Background: The coronary artery calcification score (CACS) is a good marker of future cardiovascular risk. We determined the association between the CACS and the prognosis in patients who have undergone coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA).

Methods: We performed a prospective cohort study and enrolled 502 consecutive patients who underwent CCTA for screening of coronary artery disease (CAD) at Fukuoka University Hospital (FU-CCTA Registry) and either were clinically suspected of having CAD or had at least one cardiovascular risk factor with a follow-up of up to 5 years. The patients were divided into CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups. Using CCTA, ≥ 50% coronary stenosis was diagnosed as CAD, and the number of significantly stenosed coronary vessels (VD), Gensini score and CACS were quantified. The primary endpoint was major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE: cardiovascular death, ischemic stroke, acute myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization).

Results: %CAD, the number of VD and the Gensini score in the CACS = 0 group were significantly lower than those in the CACS > 0 group. %MACE in the CACS = 0 group was also significantly lower than that in the CACS > 0 group. Kaplan-Meier curves indicated that the CACS = 0 group showed significantly greater freedom from MACE than the CACS > 0 group (P = 0.008). Finally, only CACS = 0 was independently associated with MACE (odd ratio: 0.41, 95% confidence interval: 0.17 - 0.97, P = 0.041).

Conclusions: A CACS of 0 in patients who underwent CCTA was associated with a good prognosis.
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Introduction

The coronary artery calcium score (CACS) plays an important role in cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk stratification, and is significantly associated with the occurrence of major CVD events [1-5]. CACS is a strong predictor of coronary artery disease (CAD) [1]. Among all negative CVD risk markers, CACS of 0 was shown to be the strongest marker of CVD [2]. A CACS of 0 in patients undergoing coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) for suspected stable angina has a high negative predictive value for the exclusion of obstructive CAD and is associated with a good medium-term prognosis [3].

Thus, CACS is a good marker of future CVD risk. We have been studying the CCTA Registry at Fukuoka University in Japan (FU-CCTA Registry) and identified CVD risk factors for the primary prevention of CAD [6-11]. Using the FU-CCTA Registry, we determined the association between CACS and the prognosis in patients who underwent CCTA.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects

We performed a prospective cohort study and enrolled 502 consecutive patients who underwent CCTA for screening of coronary atherosclerosis at Fukuoka University Hospital (FU-CCTA Registry) and either were clinically suspected of having CAD or had at least one cardiovascular risk factor with a follow-up. All subjects underwent CCTA between 2011 and 2016. Patients with age ≥ 20 years regardless of gender
were enrolled. Patients with creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL, severe liver dysfunction or contrast-induced allergy were excluded from the study. The patients were divided into CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups. We determined major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE: cardiovascular death, ischemic stroke, acute myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization) as a primary endpoint in patients with a follow-up of up to 5 years (average: 3.5 ± 0.6 years). This study was conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration. The protocol in this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fukuoka University Hospital, and all subjects gave their written informed consent to participate.

Evaluation of coronary stenosis, the number of significantly stenosed coronary vessels (VD), Gensini score and CACS using CCTA

We evaluated coronary stenosis using CCTA as previously described [6-11]. Patients were assessed by 64-multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) on an Aquilion 64 (TOSHIBA, Tokyo, Japan) or 320-MDCT on an Aquilion ONE Vision (TOSHIBA, Tokyo, Japan). Fifteen coronary artery segments were assessed in all patients. Narrowing of the normal contrast-enhanced lumen to ≥ 50% that could be identified in multiplanar reconstructions or cross-sectional images was defined as significant stenosis. When patients had significant coronary stenosis, they were initially diagnosed with CAD. In addition, in all patients, the severity of CAD was assessed in terms of the VD and the Gensini score [12]. CAC was defined on CT images as the presence of more than two contiguous pixels with greater than 130 Hounsfield Units. The CACS in each lesion was then computed by the Agatston method [13]. When patients had significant coronary stenosis as assessed by CCTA and received coronary intervention immediately after CCTA, the intervention was not included in MACE as coronary revascularization.

Assessment of risk factors for CAD

Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), serum levels of triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), fasting blood glucose (FBG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), smoking status, and family history (myocardial infarction, angina pectoris or sudden death) were collected as risk factors in all patients. All of the blood samples were drawn in the morning after the patients had fasted overnight. To measure the visceral fat area (VFA), a CT scan was also performed. The value was measured from CT cross-sectional scans at the level of the umbilicus with a workstation on a Ziosation (Ziosoft Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The characteristics of patients were obtained from medical records with regard to history of hypertension (HTN), dyslipidemia (DL), diabetes mellitus (DM) and smoking. Information on medication use was obtained from medical records and included angiotensin II receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor (ARB/ACEI), calcium channel blocker (CCB), β-blocker, diuretic, statin, sulfonylurea, biguanide, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor (DPP-4I) and insulin.

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis was performed using Excel 2016 (SSRI, Tokyo, Japan) and the Stat View statistical software package (Stat View 5; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) Continuous variables are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. Categorical and continuous variables were compared between the groups by a Chi-square analysis and t-test, respectively. A Kaplan-Meier analysis (log-rank test) was applied to verify the time-dependent occurrence of MACE in groups stratified according to whether CACS was 0 or > 0. A multivariate analysis was performed by a logistic regression analysis for independent variables that were related to MACE. A value of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics, biochemical and hemodynamic parameters and medications in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups

Table 1 shows the characteristics in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups. There were various significant differences in patient characteristics between the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups. The CACS = 0 group showed a significantly younger age, lower %male, %smoking, %HTN, SBP, %DM, %DL, %ARB/ACEI, %CCB, %statin, %sulfonylurea and %DPP-4I and significantly higher levels of HDL-C than the CACS > 0 group.

%CAD, VD, CACS and Gensini score in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups

The CACS = 0 group showed a significantly lower %CAD, VD, CACS and Gensini score than the CACS > 0 group (Fig. 1a-d).

%MACE in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups

%MACE in the CACS = 0 group (4.5 %) was significantly lower than that in the CACS > 0 group (11.7 %) (P = 0.005) (Fig. 2a).

Kaplan-Meier curves for freedom from MACE in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups

Kaplan-Meier curves in Figure 2b show freedom from MACE in the CACS = 0 group versus CACS > 0 group. The CACS = 0 group showed significantly greater freedom from MACE than the CACS > 0 group (P = 0.008).
Table 2 shows independent predictors of MACE by a multivariate logistic regression analysis. We selected conventional risk factors (age, male, VFA, smoking, family history, HTN, DL, DM) in addition to CACS = 0 as variables. Only CACS = 0 was independently associated with MACE (P = 0.041).

### Discussion

In the present study, using the FU-CCTA Registry, we determined the association between CACS and MACE in patients who underwent CCTA. The most important finding was that the CACS = 0 group showed significantly greater freedom from MACE than the CACS > 0 group. In addition, only CACS = 0 was independently associated with freedom from MACE.

We compared MACE in patients with CACS = 0 versus CACS > 0. A CACS of 0 was the strongest marker of MACE in this study. Our results are consistent with those from previous reports [2, 3, 14] and indicate that patients with a CACS of 0 show a good prognosis. The incidence of MACE in the present study was higher than that in previous reports [3, 14]. Kim et al reported that the incidence of MACE in CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups was 1.3% and 4.7% over 2.8 years, respectively [14]. Wang et al reported their findings in patients who were followed-up for a median of 2.2 years [3]. The incidence of MACE was 0.6% in the CACS = 0 group and 2% in the CACS > 0 group. In our study, the incidence of MACE was 4.5% and 11.7% in the CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 groups, respectively, over an average of 3.5 years. It is possible that our patients...
had higher %HTN, %DL, and/or %DM than those reported by Kim [14] and Wang [3]. In this study, we selected patients with higher cardiovascular risks. There is a difference between our data and theirs. After adjusting for age, gender and cardiovascular risk factors, the difference in prognostic value between CACS = 0 and CACS > 0 was no longer statistically significant [3]. On the other hand, only CACS = 0 was independently associated with MACE in this study.

At the time of CCTA, the CACS > 0 group had higher %CAD, number of VD and Gensini score than the CACS = 0 group. When patients had significant coronary stenosis as assessed by CCTA and received coronary intervention immediately after CCTA, the intervention was not included in MACE as coronary revascularization in this study. Since patients in the CACS > 0 group had more severe coronary atherosclerosis than those in the CACS = 0 group, the incidence of MACE in the CACS > 0 group was naturally higher than that in the CACS = 0 group. Therefore, the main point in this study is that CACS may be a very useful marker for predicting the prognosis.

Study limitations

This study has several important limitations. First, although severely impaired renal function is associated with a higher prevalence of CAD, such CAD patients may have been excluded because patients with creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL did not undergo CCTA. Second, MACE and the presence and severity of CAD were evaluated under various medications. A large-scale prospective study will be needed to address these issues.

Conclusions

Only CACS = 0 was independently associated with a lower
incidence of MACE. Among all CVD risk markers, CACS of 0 was the strongest marker of a good prognosis.
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