Meniscal mineralisation in little spotted cats
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the stifle joints of little spotted cats in captivity using radiographic and CT studies. The hypothesis was that these animals would have meniscal mineralisation that could be detectable by imaging studies. Twelve intact little spotted cats (Leopardus tigrinus), 2 females and 10 males, aged from 1.5 to 11.11 years old and weighing 1.9–3.05 kg were studied. These animals, which were living in the Quinzinho de Barros Municipal Zoo, had no symptoms or known disease processes at the time of the study. The plain radiographs and computed tomography (CT) scans of both stifle joints were performed under general anaesthesia. Sequential transverse images were acquired on a spiral scanner.

Results: No signs of articular disease were observed in any of the animals. Radiographically, the meniscal mineralisation was detected as an oval radiopacity in the cranial compartment on the mediolateral projection, located within the area of the medial meniscus. On craniocaudal projection, the mineralisation was more difficult to visualise. In one of the animals, it was not possible to identify the meniscal mineralisation in either of the stifle joints. Using CT, meniscal mineralisation was best identified in the transverse plane images.

Conclusions: Meniscal mineralisation appears to be a normal anatomic feature in little spotted cats.
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Methods
This study followed the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and was approved by the Ethics Committee of School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science (Unesp Botucatu) and by the national environmental and wildlife bureau.

Twelve intact little spotted cats (Leopardus tigrinus), 2 females and 10 males, aged from 1.5 to 11.11 years old, weighing 1.9–3.05 kg, were studied (Table 1). The animals, which were living in the Quinzinho de Barros Municipal Zoo, had no symptoms or known disease processes at the time of the study. Groups of two or three animals were housed together in an enclosure with a solarium and received maintenance cat food, meat, vitamin and mineral supplements, and water ad libitum.

Anaesthetic procedure and imaging evaluation
The radiographic and CT exams were performed under general anaesthesia. After IM premedication with acepromazine (0.05 mg/kg), morphine (0.2 mg/kg) and ketamine (10 mg/kg), anaesthesia was induced intravenously with propofol (5 mg/kg) and maintained with isoflurane.

Green-sensitive film and cassettes with screens were used for the radiographic exam. A focus-film distance of 100 cm was used, with an exposure of 45 kV e 3.2 mAs for the mediolateral view of the right and left stifle joints and an exposure of 50 KV e 3.2 mAs for the craniodorsal and ventrodorsal views of the stifle and hip joints, respectively. Radiographs were evaluated for the presence of meniscal mineralisation and sesamoid bones and for evidence of degenerative joint disease in the stifle joints or the hip joints. The Norberg angle was measured for each hip joint. The anatomic features of the femur and stifle joints were also analysed.

A CT examination was performed with the animal positioned in dorsal recumbency on a foam support, with the hind limbs drawn caudally in a maximal extension position secured by adhesive tape. Sequential transverse images of both hind limbs from the distal third of the

| No. | Little spotted cat signalment | Length of the meniscal ossicle (cm) | Hounsfield unit (HU) values | Norberg angle |
|-----|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|     |                               | Transverse                         | Longitudinal               | Right angle   | Left angle   |
| 1   | 2.2 kg 7-y-old male           | R: -                               | R: -                       | 108º          | 109º         |
|     |                               | L: -                               | L: -                       |               |              |
| 2   | 1.9 kg 6-y-old female         | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.3                     | 102º          | 102º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.2                     |               |              |
| 3   | 2.4 kg 4.2-y-old male         | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.2                     | 108º          | 112º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.2                     |               |              |
| 4   | 2.35 kg 2.9-y-old male        | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.2                     | 106º          | 105º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.1                             | L: 0.1                     |               |              |
| 5   | 2.65 kg 1.5-y-old male        | R: 0.1                             | R: 0.2                     | 105º          | 105º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.1                             | L: 0.3                     |               |              |
| 6   | 3.07 kg 1.10-y-old male       | R: 0.1                             | R: 0.2                     | 103º          | 105º         |
|     |                               | L: -                               | L: -                       |               |              |
| 7   | 2.65 kg 2.2-y-old male        | R: 0.1                             | R: 0.1                     | 111º          | 108º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.1                             | L: 0.1                     |               |              |
| 8   | 2.5 kg 11.11-y-old male       | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.3                     | 100º          | 101º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.1                             | L: 0.3                     |               |              |
| 9   | 2.05 kg 3-y-old female        | R: 0.1                             | R: 0.1                     | 112º          | 105º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.2                     |               |              |
| 10  | 2.9 kg 8-y-old male           | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.3                     | 103º          | 110º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.3                     |               |              |
| 11  | 2.5 kg 10-y-old male          | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.2                     | 105º          | 106º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.2                     |               |              |
| 12  | 2.55 kg 2-y-old male          | R: 0.2                             | R: 0.2                     | 109º          | 104º         |
|     |                               | L: 0.2                             | L: 0.2                     |               |              |

Abbreviations: y, years; -, not visible on CT.
femur to the proximal tibia were acquired with a spiral scanner (Shimadzu SCT-7800CT, Kyoto, Japan). The scanning parameters were 120 kVp, 100 mA, 1.0-mm-thick slices, a pitch of 1.0, and 1 s/rotation. The images were reconstructed in MPR using eFilm Workstation™ 2.1.2 software. CT scans were used to confirm the presence of meniscal mineralisation and sesamoid bones. The meniscal mineralisation was measured in the maximum transverse and longitudinal lengths (cm), and the medium and maximum Hounsfield unit (HU) values were determined utilising the transverse imaging plane.

Results
For one of the animals (n. 1), it was not possible to identify the meniscal mineralisation in either stifle joint on CT or x-ray images (Table 1). The meniscal mineralisation was not visible on CT images in the left stifle of animal n. 6 (Table 1). Radiographically, the meniscal mineralisation was observed as an oval radiopacity in the cranial compartment in all animals on the mediolateral projection, located within the area of the medial meniscus (Figure 1). On craniocaudal projection, the meniscal mineralisation was more difficult to visualise. On CT, meniscal mineralisation was best identified in the transverse plane images (Figures 2 and 3). The meniscal mineralisation was measured at a mean of 0.16 ± 0.04 cm (SD) and at a mean of 0.20 ± 0.07 cm (SD) for the maximum transverse and longitudinal lengths, respectively. The mean medium and maximum HU values were 694.19 ± 206.13 (SD) and 792.14 ± 217.94 (SD), respectively (Table 1).

The patella, lateral fabellae and popliteal sesamoid bones were observed in both CT and x-ray images in all animals (Figures 1, 2 and 4). The medial fabellae were not visible. The patella was pear-shaped, with a base and a pointed apex. The lateral fabellae were positioned caudally to the femoral epicondyle on the mediolateral radiographic views and proximally to the lateral femoral condyle on the craniocaudal views. The popliteal sesamoid bone was located at the caudolateral aspect of the stifle joint and was well visualised on the mediolateral radiographs.

Radiographically (Figure 5), the femoral head was hemispherical and connected to the femoral diaphysis by a short neck. The greater trochanter was positioned laterally to the head and neck, and the lesser trochanter was visualised on the caudomedial surface near the junction with the femoral diaphysis. The femoral diaphysis was straight. The femoral condyles were well defined, and the patella fitted into the groove of the trochlea. The proximal extremity of the tibia had lateral and medial condyles and an intercondylar eminence. The head of the fibula articulated with the tibia. The Norberg angle ranged from 100º to 112º (mean ± SD, 106º ± 3.39) (Table 1). There was no evidence of articular disease in either the stifle joints or the hip joints.

Discussion
All cats had no evidence of joint disease based on imaging. The meniscal mineralization was radiographically visible in 91.67% of the animals in the present study. The aetiology of meniscal mineralisation is not clear.
There are several different hypotheses for meniscal mineralisation, including primary ossification centres, normal anatomical features of development, and the secondary form, which may be associated with trauma and osteoarthritis [4,9,10,17]. Normal anatomical feature of development seems the hypothesis more likely to apply to meniscal mineralization in non-domestic cats.

In a prospective study [10] using 100 domestic cats, it was observed on radiographs that 45% of them had meniscal mineralisation in one (n = 19) or both (n = 27) stifles. Feline cadavers were also studied, showing that 34 of 57 stifles had meniscal mineralisation located in the cranial horn of the medial meniscus, suggesting medial compartment joint disease. According to the authors, it was not possible to determine whether the meniscal mineralisation was responsible for the cartilage damage or whether it was the result of the lesion. However, although the meniscal mineralisation in the little spotted cat was located in the area of the medial meniscus, no signs of articular degeneration were observed on radiographs and CT images. These findings were similar to those reported in large non-domestic cats, in which the meniscal ossicles are considered to be common and have no correlation with a degenerative process [11,12].

It was also noted that in large non-domestic cats, the ossicles become visible radiographically at approximately one year of age or by the last half of skeletal maturation [11]. However, the only little spotted cat in which meniscal mineralisation was not observed was sufficiently mature to allow this evaluation. Moreover, the HU values of the meniscal mineralisation in 22 joints of the little spotted cat were compatible with bone density [18]. Histological evaluation would be important to associate the values of HU with presence of cancellous or compact bone. In a Bengal tiger, it was observed...
histologically that the ossicles were composed of dense lamellar bone and did not contain bone marrow [11].

The patella, lateral fabellae and popliteal sesamoid bones are the sesamoid bones that are usually visible on radiographs in the vicinity of the cat’s stifle [19,20]. All of these sesamoids were identified in the present study, and their positions were similar to those in domestic cats [19]. The medial fabellae may be observed in macroscopic and histological studies, but they are often radiolucent on radiographs, especially in pedigree and exotic cats [20]. The medial fabellae were not visible radiographically or on CT images in any of the little spotted cats. However, no animal was euthanised to prove the presence of the medial fabellae. A study of 34 large non-domestic cats reported that some species present a tendency to have a medial meniscal ossicle in concurrence with a lateral fabella, but the reason for this phenomenon was not determined [11]. Although in the present study the lateral fabellae was observed in both CT and x-ray images in all animals, meniscal ossicle was not visible in one little spotted cat.

The radiographic anatomy of the femur in the little spotted cats was very similar to that of healthy domestic cats [19], including having relatively straight bones, with the greater trochanter and the lesser trochanter well visualised. The radiographic signs of hip dysplasia in domestic cats have been associated with a shallow acetabulum, with remodelling and proliferation involving the craniodorsal acetabular margin [21]. In the present study, the femoral head was hemispherical, and no sign of articular disease was detected. In addition, the Norberg angles of the little spotted cat ranged from 101° to 112°. In normal cats, the mean Norberg angle has been reported to be 99.2° and less than that in normal dogs (105° or greater), indicating a shallower acetabulum [22-24].

In a study of 100 domestic cats, osteoarthritis was more prevalent in the elbow, hip, shoulder and tarsal joints and was associated with both increased age and...
behavioural changes [25]. Although in the present study no signs of articular disease were observed in either the hip or stifle joints, only a small number of animals were studied. On the other hand, most of the animals may be considered to be young, because the maximum longevity in little spotted cats has been reported to be 20 years [13,15]. Thus, more studies using a larger number of older animals will be necessary. One of the major limitations of the present study was that these cats may have had degenerative joint disease, but the radiographs and CT may have not been sensitive enough to recognize early changes. A synovial joint fluid analysis could have helped.

Conclusions

Meniscal mineralisation appears to be a normal anatomic feature in little spotted cats.
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