A review on the diversity, phylogeography and population genetics of *Amanita* mushrooms
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*Amanita* mushrooms are important for both human beings and ecosystems. Some members in this genus are valued edible species, whereas some others are extremely poisonous, and most species are ectomycorrhizal. Significant progress has been made in recent years in our understanding of the diversity, phylogeography and population genetics of *Amanita* mushrooms. A significant reason for the progress was due to the increasing application of molecular methods in the analyses. In this review, we summarize the researches in the diversity, phylogeography and population genetics of *Amanita* mushrooms, with the focus on advances over the past 20 years. We also discussed future research directions, including several unresolved topical issues.
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**Introduction**

*Amanita* Pers. is one of the most specious and best-known fungal genera. The genus comprises about 500 described species and likely a similar number of undescribed species (Bas 2000; Yang 2000a; Tulloss 2005). Because it contains both deadly poisonous species, e.g. *Amanita phalloides* (Vaill. ex Fr.) Link and famous edible species, e.g. *Amanita caesarea* (Scop.) Pers., this genus has attracted the attention of mycologists since the very beginning of scientific mycology (Persoon 1801; Fries 1821). Moreover, a large majority of the species in this genus form ectomycorrhizal (EM) relationships with vascular plants and play important roles in ecosystems (Yang 1997). With the introduction of molecular methods at the end of last century in analysing the natural history of this genus (Weiß et al. 1998; Drehmel et al. 1999), our knowledge of genus *Amanita* has increased rapidly. The aim of this review is to summarize the progress about the diversity, phylogeography and population genetics of *amanitas*, emphasizing the results from the last 20 years.

**Diversity**

*Amanita* mushrooms belong to Basidiomycota, Agaricomycetes, Agaricales and Amanitaceae. They are characterized by having (usually) white, free to subfree gills with bilateral lamellar trama, white spore print, volval remnants as warts or patches on the pileal surface and the base of the stipe (Yang and Oberwinkler 1999). In addition, many have an annulus on the stem. This genus is divided into seven sections: *Amanita*, *Caesareae* Singer, *Vaginatae* (Fr.) Quél., *Amidella* (J.-E. Gilbert) Konrad & Maubl., *Lepidella* (J.-E. Gilbert) Veselý, *Phalloideae* (Fr.) Quél., and *Validae* (Fr.) Quél (Yang 1997). Most of the lethal species are included in section *Phalloideae*, whereas most of the edible species belong to the section *Caesareae* (Figure 1).

**New taxa**

It has been estimated that there are 900–1000 species of *Amanita* worldwide (Tulloss 2005). Of these, about half have been described. Among these described species, about 100 are considered poisonous and about 50 are edible. For the remaining species, their edibility is largely unknown. Over the last two decades, about 220 new taxa (new species, new varieties and new forms) in *Amanita* have been reported from all over the world, especially in East Asia, Central and South America, South Africa and Australia. While many of these were due to the analyses of...
new samples from previously under-sampled geographic
regions, the application of molecular markers helped
reveal a significant number of new taxa (cryptic species)
among existing collections, similar to those found in many
other groups of basidiomycetes (Yang 2011).

Here, because of the large number of new taxa, we
will not describe all the new species in detail. Instead, we
will provide a representative summary of new species
from diverse geographic regions. For example, Oda et al.
(2001, 2002a, 2002b, 2002c) reported five species of
Amanita from Japan. Interestingly, among these five spe-
cies, A. areolata was later found to be a synonym of
A. zangii, and A. griseoturcosa was later transferred
from the section Phalloideae to the section Lepidella
(Cai et al. 2014). Nagasawa and Mitani (2000)
also reported a new species in the section Lepidella. Based
on the intensive studies on amanitas from China and
adjacent areas, Yang (2005) published Flora Fungorum
Sinicum Vol. Amanitaceae, and described 26 new spe-
cies (Yang and Doi 1999; Yang et al. 1999, 2001,
2004; Yang 2000a, 2000b, 2002; Yang and Li 2001;
Yang and Zhang 2002). However, despite the comprehensive
update, additional species were continuously described
from China. For example, Zhang et al. (2010) reported
three lethal amanitas in East Asia. Deng et al. (2014)
and Li and Cai (2014) each described a new Amanita
species from South China. In other parts of Asia, many new
species were also found. For example, five new taxa were found
in India (Bhatt et al. 2003) and Pakistan (Tulloss et al.
2001).

Outside of Asia, Simmons et al. (2002) reported four
new species of Amanita from Guyana. Tulloss et al.
(1992) studied the amanitas from Andean Colombia,
and described 11 new species (or new varieties). Eicker
et al. (1993) reported a new species named Amanita
reidii from South Africa. However, because A. reidii
was associated with Eucalyptus, he considered it an
introduced species from Australia. Wood (1997) did
extensive studies on genus Amanita in Australia and
reported 34 new species. Even in Europe and North
America, where Amanita had been intensively studied
by fungal taxonomists, new Amanita taxa have also
reported (Tulloss and Lindgren 1994; Tulloss et al.
1995; Neville and Poumarat 2004).

Infraspecific variations

Many Amanita species contain one or more varieties or
forma (Tulloss et al. 1995; Yang 2005). How to de-
finite these infraspecies-level taxa remains a challenge. For
some saprophytic basidiomycetes such as Flammulina
and Oudemansiella, mating compatibility test is often
used (Petersen and Halling 1993; Petersen et al. 1999).
Unfortunately, most amanitas are EM and difficult to
culture in the laboratory. Thus, mating test is unsuitable
to identify their inter-fertility, so as to assign varieties
and forma within Amanita species. Instead, the genea-
logical concordance phylogenetic analysis based on
DNA nucleotide sequences has become popular in spe-
cies and infraspecies recognition. According to the
internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences analyses,
Zhang et al. (2004) found four samples of Amanita
parvipantherina from different geographical localities
and with different colours and morphologies in their
fruit bodies all belonged to the same species. Based
on multilocus DNA sequence data, Geml et al. (2008) confirmed the existence of several distinct phylogenetic species within *Amanita muscaria*. Zhang et al. (2010) found two sub-clades within *Amanita fuliginea* and suggested that they should be named different forma or even different species. Indeed, recently, Cai et al. (2014) confirmed that these two sub-clades represented two different species (Figure 2).

Albefaction is a common phenomenon in *Amanita* species. Here, albefaction refers to white varieties, forma or morphotypes in some coloured *Amanita* species. Indeed, ‘var. alba’ or ‘f. alba’ has been reported in many species of this genus (Tulloss et al. 1995; Yang 2005). A putative reason for albefaction is mutation in genes related to pigment synthesis, though the specific mechanisms and process are not clear. For some species, e.g. *Amanita subjunquillea*, albefaction is accompanied by other genetic changes. However, for other species, e.g. *Amanita pallidorosea*, the white morphotypes showed no obvious change except fruiting body colour, with natural fruiting bodies forming a continuous redistribution of colours and morphotypes (Zhang et al. 2010) (Figure 3).

**Gasteromycetation**

Gasteromycetation has happened independently several times in different groups of fungi (Hibbett 2007). Secotioid and gasteroid forms also occur in genus *Amanita* as well as in some other groups of Basidiomycota (Yang 2011). A secotioid genus *Torrendia* and a gasteroid genus *Amarrendia* Bougher & T. Lebel were postulated as close relatives of agaricoid amanitas over 60 years ago (Malencon 1955; Bas 1975). These hypotheses were later confirmed by molecular sequence information (Moncalvo et al. 2002). In 2010, Justo et al. (2010) formally transferred members of *Torrendia* and *Amarrendia* to genus *Amanita*. In addition, they suggested that the Mediterranean climate was responsible for the convergent evolution of these sequestrate fungi (Figure 4).

**Phylogeography**

**Distribution patterns**

Studies on geographic distribution patterns are fundamental for understanding the phylogeographic history of all organisms. We note that due to recent taxonomic revisions

---

**Figure 2.** Two sub-clades of *A. fuliginea* in two phylogenetic trees (parcel) of *Amanita* based on ITS sequences (left: Cai et al. 2014; right: Zhang et al. 2010). *Amanita* sp. 4 in left tree is corresponding to *A. fuliginea* MHHNU 6853 and 6960 in right tree.

**Figure 3.** A ‘normal’ form (left) and an alba form (right) of *A. pallidorosea*.
early literature on the distribution of some Amanita species may be outdated. One example is Amanita gemmata, a species originally described from Europe (Fries 1838) and later reported from North America (Coker 1917; Jenkins 1986) and eastern Asia (Nagasawa and Hongo 1985). Later molecular phylogenetic analysis (Zhang et al. 2004) showed that the so-called A. gemmata in North America and eastern Asia actually belong to species distinctly different from A. gemmata in Europe. These and other analyses suggest that Amanita species are more endemic than previously thought. For example, Amanita exitialis is restricted to South China and southwestern China, A. fuliginea in tropical and subtropical East Asia, and Amanita virosa in Europe and northeast Asia (Cai et al. 2014). However, there are several widely distributed species. A. muscaria, the type species of genus Amanita, is found in Europe (Moser 1983), North America (Jenkins 1986) and temperate eastern Asia (Imazei and Hongo 1987). Oda et al. (2004) analysed the biogeography of A. muscaria based on ITS and β-tubulin sequences, separating it into at least three groups (Eurasian, Eurasian subalpine and North American). Geml et al. (2006, 2008) drew a similar conclusion about the phylogeographic structure and suggested that A. muscaria likely originated from the Siberian-Beringian region. Amanita pantherina is another widespread species found in Europe (Gilbert 1941), Asia (Imazei and Hongo 1987), Africa (Reid and Eicker 1991), and North and Central America (Tulloss et al. 1995). This species is divided into at least two groups, the North American group and the Eurasian group. The relationships among samples from within both Eurasia and North America were closer to each other than the relationships among samples from between the two continents (Oda et al. 2004).

Dispersal

Due to the lack of fossil records, the place and time for the origination of the genus Amanita are still uncertain. Current evidence suggests that members of this genus were present before the break-up of Gondwana and hence geographical populations have likely been isolated since then through continental drift (Cai et al. 2014). If this were the case, we should find endemic amanitas from the southern hemisphere. The results of investigation in South America (Bas 1978; Garrido and Bresinsky 1985; Bas and de Meijer 1993) were consistent with this hypothesis. However, long-distance migration is also possible. A study based on phylogenetic analysis and ancestral area reconstructions suggested that lethal amanitas (Section Palaioideae) probably originated in the palaeotropical zone in the Palaeocene, migrated from the Eurasian continent to North America through the Beringian Land Bridge, and then extended to Central America during Oligocene to Miocene (Cai et al. 2014). Similarly, a recent study on edible amanitas (Section Caesareae) indicated that this group probably originated between the Palaeocene and Eocene in a Palaeotropical setting, most likely in Africa, subsequently dispersed into other temperate and tropical areas during the Miocene and Pliocene (Sánchez-Ramírez et al. 2015). The results of these studies are in agreement with the Eurasia-North America disjunct distribution pattern or the Eurasia-North/Central America distribution pattern for some species or sister species in this genus.

While oceans are important barriers restricting the dispersal of Amanita species, other factors such as deserts and mountains may also play a role similar to that of ocean in terms of vicariance. Tulloss (2005) found that Arizona in southwestern US shared few Amanita species with New Jersey and Long Island regions in northeastern US. However, southwestern US shared many species with Central and South America as far as Colombia. Since most Amanita species are EM fungi, their dispersals were likely accompanied by the dispersals of host plants. For example, the border of the Andean Colombian region appears to be the ‘end of the line’ for amanitas associated with Quercus and members of the Pinaceae (Tulloss 2005). This region is also the ‘end of the line’ for trees in the Quercus genus and several Pinaceae genera (Manos and Stanford 2001; Lin et al. 2010). Many amanitas from the south or east of this region are symbionts of leguminous or polygonaceous plants (Bas 1978). Whether these amanitas were associated with their current host plants from the initial stage or switched from other plants remains uncertain. As in many groups of Basidiomycetes, basidiospores

Figure 4. Torrendia and Amanita in a phylogenetic tree based on nLSU sequences (Justo et al. 2010).
likely play important roles in the dispersal of *Amanita* species. Theoretically, basidiospores may disperse by air flow for thousands of kilometres. However, a recent study found that most basidiospores of amanitas could only disperse for very limited distance. Li (2005) studied the release and dispersal of basidiospores from *A. muscaria* var. *alba*, and found that fewer than 2% of basidiospores dispersed to areas beyond 5.2 m from the basidiomata. Although long-distance dispersal events are rare, migration via spores is more likely to explain the Eurasia-North America disjunct distribution pattern in some species (Geml et al. 2006, 2008).

**Effects of human activities**

With the rapid developments of human societies and modern technologies, intercontinental travel and exchanges of goods have become more and more frequent. Some EM fungi including *Amanita* species have likely dispersed among continents with their host plants due to human activities. For example, *A. phalloides*, a notoriously poisonous mushroom originally described from Europe (Fries 1821) and repeatedly recorded in North America from the nineteenth century (Schweinitz 1834; Harknes and Moore 1880; Taylor 1897), was considered an introduced fungus in North America (Pringle and Vellinga 2006). A subsequent phylogeographical analysis based on six loci supported this hypothesis (Pringle et al. 2009), which was further confirmed by Wolfe et al. (2010). In addition, *A. phalloides* is known to have been artificially introduced to Australia, New Zealand and South Africa together with its host plants (Dunstan et al. 1998). *A. muscaria* is another EM fungus known to be introduced to Australia (Sawyer et al. 2001). Thus, human activity is a major factor that needs to be considered in the phylogeographical researches of *Amanita* mushrooms.

**Population genetics**

One of the fundamental properties of fungal populations in nature is genet size. A genet refers to a group of sporocarps that have identical genetic backgrounds and resulted from the same mating event (Zhou et al. 2000, 2001). Genet size differs among EM fungal species, ranging from a few metres to 100 m in diameter (Dahlberg 2001; Sawyer et al. 2001). Molecular methods provide more sensitive and effective markers in the identification of genet of EM fungi and are now being widely applied in population genetic studies of *Amanita*, as well as in other group of fungi (e.g. Timonen et al. 1997; Bonello et al. 1998; Junghans et al. 1998; Sawyer et al. 1999). Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism, random amplified polymorphic DNA, amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are popular polymorphic markers applied in population genetics of EM fungi. Among them, AFLP and ISSR markers are the most widely used in population genetics of *Amanita* species. High-throughput SNP is another recent type of molecular marker. It has the advantages of high stability, low mutation rate, co-dominance and ease of scoring. However, SNPs have not been used in population genetic studies of *Amanita* mushrooms.

Our knowledge of population genetics of genus *Amanita* is currently limited to only a few taxa. Redecker et al. (2001) determined the size of genets of three EM fungi in field sites in coastal northern California using AFLPs fingerprinting. The results showed *Amanita frachetti* formed small genets with the biggest at 4.7 m across. Sawyer et al. (2003) studied the distribution and persistence of *A. muscaria* genotypes in three *Pinus radiata* plantations in New South Wales, Australia. The presence of common genotypes at the three sites indicated that they were introduced as vegetative inocula when seedlings were planted and have persisted for up to 36 years. Population structure and spreading strategy of a species in natural forests is different from that in plantation forests. Genotypes of five Australian *Amanita* species, *Amanita alboverrucosa*, *Amanita ochrophylla*, *Amanita pyramidifera*, *Amanita conicoverrucosa* and *Amanita punctata*, were investigated using ISSR fingerprinting (Sawyer et al. 2003). Genotypes of *A. ochrophylla*, *A. conicoverrucosa* and *A. punctata* were spread over areas with the largest dimensions ranging from 10 to 60 m, suggesting evidence of vegetative spread via large below-ground mycelial genets. In contrast, genotypes of *A. alboverrucosa* were more spatially restricted, suggesting recent establishment via basidiospores and more limited below-ground vegetative spread. Interestingly, two groups of *A. pyramidifera* basidiomes with the same genotype were separated by 600 m, suggesting the vegetative tissue might have been moved by vehicular activity. The population genetic structure of *Amanita manginiana* in a natural forest in southwest China was examined over two years using ISSR markers (Liang et al. 2005). In contrast to the relatively large genets, the results indicated that each sporocarp represented a single genet, and no identical genets were found between 2001 and 2002. Although the genetic variances were mainly found among individuals of the same year, the variance between years was statistically significant.

**Prospects**

Extensive collection, precise identification and comprehensive evaluations and comparisons are fundamental issues of taxonomic studies. Further, taxonomy is the foundation for phylogeographic investigations. In some areas such as Europe and North America, fungal
taxonomy studies have been carried out for about two centuries. In contrast, surveys of fungal flora are still in their preliminary stages in tropical Africa and South America. It is anticipated that new taxa of Amanita will be discovered in these regions in the future, and these taxa will contribute to a better understanding of the origin and evolution history of this genus. Molecular-data-based systematics and taxonomies have evolved very rapidly and revealed a large number of cryptic species. Documentation and integration of these cryptic species into the established framework are urgent tasks in the near future. Interestingly, even in geographic areas that have been intensively studied by taxonomists, new taxa continue to emerge. Recent studies have shown that many morphological species such as Amanita pseudoporporphyria, Amanita vaginata and Amanita hemibapha are actually species complexes with each containing multiple divergent lineages. More extensive molecular phylogenetic studies using sequences at multiple loci should help reveal the cryptic species within each of these species complexes (Yang 2005).

Recently, molecular phylogenetic analyses of a few selected groups of Amanita have helped reveal their origins and evolution (Oda et al. 1999, 2004; Geml et al. 2006, 2008; Cai et al. 2014). It is hoped that future phylogeographic studies will provide a more comprehensive picture of the origin and evolution at the genus level.

Population genetic studies of Amanita are still at an early stage. Up to now, only in a few species have been analysed (Redecker et al. 2001; Sawyer et al. 2003; Liang et al. 2005). Both spatial and temporal factors need to be considered when analysing natural populations. Spatially, a diversity of scales, from fine local scale to regional-, national- and global-level investigations, is needed. To examine how fungal populations change over time, long-term monitoring is also needed. Since Amanita mushrooms include both lethal and gourmet species, studies on population genetics of these species will reveal the differences between poisonous and edible mushrooms on strategies of reproduction, dispersal and succession. The advent of molecular biology, decreasing cost of sequencing and increasing availability of sequenced genomes made it easier to exploit new markers (e.g. SNP markers) for fungal population genetic analyses. Population genetics will not only help us to understand these species better, but also benefit to forest management and conservation of some valued edible species (e.g. A. hemibapha, A. caesarea).
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