Introduction

Indole is a widely used building block and is found in many natural products, pharmaceuticals and fine chemicals. 2-Sulfonylindoles are an important class of indole derivatives due to the sulfonyl moiety which can enhance bioactivity and acts as a useful vehicle for the development of new strategies for synthesis. Generally, 2-sulfonylindoles are synthesized via the oxidation of the corresponding arylthioindoles. In recent years, a series of simple, efficient and direct 2-sulfonylations of indoles with sodium sulfinites have been reported for the synthesis of 2-aryl sulfonyl indoles. For example, Deng and co-workers developed the I2-catalyzed 2-sulfonylation of indoles using TBHP/I2 as the catalyst and DCE as solvent. Around the same time Kuhakarn and co-workers presented the electrochemical-sulfonylation of 1H-indoles using sodium sulfinites, with TBHP as the oxidant and HOAc as the solvent. Around the same time Kuhakarn and co-workers proposed a similar catalytic process in the absence of oxidants. This provoked the development of numerous catalytic systems, such as NH4I-TBHP in HOAc and KI-oxones (2KHSO5-KHSO4-K2SO4) in H2O. Recently, Yu and co-workers described the electrochemical-sulfonylation of 1H-indoles using sodium sulfinites under chemical oxidant-free conditions with TBAl as the catalyst. In addition, sulfonyl hydrazides are environmentally friendly sulfur sources, and have been extensively employed in organic reaction because they are stable, readily accessible, odor-free. Barman and co-workers reported the elegant sulfonylation of sulfonyl hydrazides and indoles using TBHP/I2 as the catalyst and DCE as solvent. However, drawbacks still remain, such as prolonged reaction times, the use of organic solvents and large amounts of acid, non-green oxidant, and a relatively expensive iodine source. Therefore, a more environmentally benign protocol for the synthesis of 2-sulfonylindoles is highly desirable. Herein, we report a rapid and efficient KI-mediated 2-sulfonylation of substituted indoles and N-methylpyrrole using 30% H2O2 solution as both oxidant and solvent. Importantly, this transformation can be completed within 5 min and features a broad substrate scope.

Results and discussion

As our model reaction for the optimization study 1H-indole (1a, 0.5 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide (TsNHNH2, 2a, 1.0 mmol) were used (Table 1). When the reaction was first conducted using 10% KI and 1 equiv. of H2O2 in HOAc at 60 °C for 2 h, 2-tosyl-1H-indole 3a was obtained in only 15% yield (entry 1). With the addition of EtOH as the solvent product 3a was isolated in 20% yield (entry 2). However, by increasing the KI content to 20%, and with the slow addition of 30% H2O2 solution to the reaction, we found that the 2-sulfonylation of indole can occur rapidly resulting in 53% yield of product 3a in only 5 min (entry 3). The main reason for the increase in yield may be that a large amount of heat is released due to the addition of hydrogen peroxide to the mixture of 1H-indole and TsNHNH2 in absence of any other solvent, and the high temperature generated promotes the progress of the 2-sulfonylation. Moreover, by increasing the amount of KI, the reaction yield rose significantly (entries 4 and 5). In the case of altering the substrate ratio of compounds 1a and 2a, no significant change in the reaction yield was observed (entries 6 and 7). When 70% TBHP was used as the oxidant product 3a was produced in 63% yield (entry 8). A similar yield was observed when NH4I was employed as the iodine source, furnishing product 3a in 69% yield (entry 9). Since KI is substantially greener and has lower-cost, it was
selected as the iodine source and H₂O₂ as the oxidant. Finally, by using excess KI, 1.0 and 1.2 equiv. of KI, the reaction yield reached 81% and 83% of compound 3a, respectively (entries 10 and 11). Meanwhile, we also tried the reaction at room temperature using ethanol as a solvent, but only to give a yield of 63% (entry 12). On the basis of the above experiments, the optimized reaction conditions are as follows: 1H-indole (1a, 0.5 mmol), TsNHNH₂ (2a, 1 mmol), KI (1.0 equiv.), H₂O₂ (1 mL), for 5 min under air.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of the reaction with a series of indoles, which are summarized in Table 2. The electronic nature of the substituents on the indole was found to have a considerable effect on the reaction yield. Electron-donating substituents (1-Me, 3-Me, 5-Me, 7-Me, 4-MeO, 5-MeO, and 7-MeO) gave better reactivity and provided the corresponding 2-sulfonylated products 3a, 3b, and 3e–j in 78–89% yields. In addition, 4-(benzylloxy)-1H-indole furnished product 3k in 60% yield. Whereas, 6-bromo- and 7-bromoindoles gave products 3l and 3m in 78% and 52% yield, respectively. To our delight, 2-methyl-1H-indole showed good reactivity and C-3 regioselectivity, providing product 3n in 88% yield. Unfortunately, when 1-ethyl or 1-benzyl-substituted indole was used as the reactant, the desired product 3c or 3d was obtained in a low yield.

Encouraged by the above results, we further explored our sulfonylation method using a variety of arylsulfonyl hydrazides with 1H-indole 1a under optimum conditions (Table 3). The reaction of benzenesulfonyl hydrazide with 1H-indole gave product 3o in only 55% yield. A similar result was obtained for the substituted benzenesulfonyl hydrazide bearing electron-withdrawing groups 4-F, 4-Br, 4-CF₃. However, 4-Cl or 4-NO₂ substituted substrates were converted into 2-sulfonylated products 3q and 3t in good yields. Electron-donating substrates 4-ᵗBu, and 4-OMe gave 2-sulfonylated products 3u and 3v in 76% and 51% yield, respectively. Furthermore, the method was also extended to 2-naphthylsulfonyl hydrazide, producing product 3w in 81% yield.

In our scope studies, 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid and sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate were also examined under the optimized conditions, as shown in Table 4. Weather
electron-donating or withdrawing groups were employed the reactions performed well, giving the desired products in high yields. In this case, the electronic nature of the substituent had no significant influence on the reactivity. Compared with the reported methods, operational simplicity, short reaction time and good yield are the key advantages of this protocol.

To the best of our knowledge, the 2-sulfonylation of N-methylpyrrole has rarely been reported, with unstable sulfur sources and complex reaction conditions often required. Gratifyingly, various arylsulfonyl hydrazides showed good results with N-methylpyrrole, giving the desired products 5a–i in 42–57% yields (Table 5). We also found that when 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid was used as the sulfur source, the reaction yield (5b) significantly improved. In addition, 1H-pyrrole as a substrate reacted with p-toluensulfonyl hydrazide to afford the desired product 5b’ in only 18% yield.

A series of experiments were conducted to uncover the reaction mechanism. In order to confirm that the reaction was radical, a radical scavenger, 1.2 equiv. of hydroquinone, was added into the reaction mixture. Dichloroethane was also added to aid in solubility of the scavenger. We found that the reaction did not proceed; hence, the reaction was radical (Scheme 1a). In the absence of 1H-indole, p-toluensulfonyl hydrazide underwent a self-coupling reaction to form Sp-tolyl 4-methylbenzenesulfoxoniothiate (Scheme 1b). However, when S-p-tolyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonothioate was used as the sulfur source, the 2-sulfonylation reaction did not proceed. This result indicates that S-p-tolyl 4-methylbenzenesulfoxoniothioate is not an intermediate of the reaction. Subsequently, we tested other sulfur sources, such as sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate and thiophenol, giving the 2-sulfonylated product under standard conditions in 88% and 11% yield, respectively (Scheme 1c). 1H-Indole underwent iodination to form 3-iodo-1H-indole in 62% yield, and 3-iodo-1H-indole reacted with p-toluensulfonyl hydrazide to give 3a in 71% yield under standard conditions (Scheme 1d). In addition, we found an important experimental fact that benzensulfonyl hydrazide reacted with 1H-indole to afford C2- and C3-sulfonylation products, which were detected by 1H NMR in a ratio of 10 : 3 (80% conversion, Scheme 1e).

According to the above results, as well as support from previous reports, a plausible radical mechanism is

| Table 3 | KI/H2O2-mediated 2-sulfonylation of 1H-indole and arylsulfonyl hydrazides
|<br>\[ \text{1a} + \text{ArSO}_2\text{NHNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{1b} \] |<br>\[ \text{KI (1 equiv.), H}_2\text{O}_2 (1 \text{ mL}), 5 \text{ min} \] |<br>The yields of isolated products are given.

| Table 4 | 2-Sulfonylation of 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid or sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate as sulfur sources
|<br>\[ \text{1a} \rightarrow \text{1b} \] |<br>\[ \text{KI (1 equiv.), H}_2\text{O}_2 (1 \text{ mL}), 5 \text{ min} \] |<br>\[ \text{R} = \text{OH or ONa} \] |<br>The yields of isolated products are given.

| Table 5 | KI/H2O2-mediated 2-sulfonylation of N-methylpyrrole
|<br>\[ \text{N-methylpyrrole} \rightarrow \text{N-methylsulfonylpyrrole} \] |<br>\[ \text{KI (1 equiv.), H}_2\text{O}_2 (1 \text{ mL}), 5 \text{ min} \] |<br>The yields of isolated products are given. |<br>4-Methylbenzenesulfonic acid as sulfur source.
proposed (Scheme 2). KI is first oxidized by H₂O₂ to form molecular iodine, which reacts quickly with p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide to produce the active sulfonyl radical. Meanwhile, the addition of molecular iodine to indole occurs and generates a key intermediate I (2,3-diiodoindoline). Subsequently, intermediate I reacts with the sulfonyl radical to produce intermediate II, II', and iodine radical. Then, HI elimination takes place to provide 2- or 3-sulfonylindole. Meanwhile, the molecular iodine can be re-generated via the coupling of two iodine radicals or the oxidation of HI by H₂O₂. This mechanism provides a reasonable explanation for the generation of C₂- and/or C₃-sulfonylation products (Scheme 1e), and even product 3n (Table 2).

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have developed a simple KI-mediated 2-sulfonylation of substituted indoles and N-methylpyrrole using 30% H₂O₂ solution as the oxidant and the solvent in presence of various sulfur sources. This method provides a fast and efficient approach to produce diversified 2-sulfonylation products in good to excellent yields within 5 min. This protocol has great advantages including short reaction time, green oxidant and solvent, cheap iodine source, avoiding the use of acid, and broad substrate scope. Notably, 2,3-diiodoindoline maybe the main sulfonylation intermediates in this transformation. Studies to further investigate this catalytic system to other related reactions are currently underway in our laboratory.

**Experimental**

**Materials and instruments**

Chemicals were obtained commercially and used as received. All products were isolated by short chromatography on a silica gel (200–300 mesh) column using petroleum ether (60–90 °C) and ethyl acetate. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz spectrometer in CDCl₃ solution. All chemical shifts were reported in ppm (δ) relative to the internal standard TMS (0 ppm). ESI-mass spectrum was measured on an Agilent 6210 ESI/TOF MS.

**General procedure for a KI/H₂O₂ mediated 2-sulfonylation of indoles and N-methylpyrrole in water**

A mixture of substituted indole or N-methylpyrrole (0.5 mmol), sulfur source (1.0 mmol, arylsulfonyl hydrazides or 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid or sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate + 0.5 mL HOAc) and KI (0.5 mmol) was stirred at room temperature under air. Subsequently, 30% H₂O₂ solution (1 mL) was slowly added to the above system (note: a large amount of heat is generated during this process) and the reaction stirred for an additional 5 min. The solution was quenched the saturated solution of sodium thiosulfate (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using PE/EtOAc as the eluent.

**The characterization data of products**

| **4-Methoxy-2-tosyl-1H-indole (3h)** | White solid, 123 mg, 82% yield, mp 155–158 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.90 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.65, 144.52, 130.06, 127.45, 127.20, 106.80, 105.11, 100.55, 55.52, 21.72; HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₆H₁₅NO₃S (M⁺): 301.0767, found: 301.0763. |
| **7-Methoxy-2-tosyl-1H-indole (3j)** | White solid, 123 mg, 82% yield, mp 149–151 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.00 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (s, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.03 (m, 3H), 6.73 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H). |
1.1 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 146.65, 144.52, 138.77, 134.40, 130.04, 127.47, 122.15, 114.85, 109.09, 104.80, 53.60, 21.71; HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C16H16NO2S (M+H)+: 286.0896, found: 286.0900.

4-(Benzoyl)-2-tosyl-1H-indole (3k). White solid, 113 mg, 60% yield, mp 136-138 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.90 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dd, J = 4.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 154.38, 152.10, 139.25, 138.54, 136.92, 133.16, 130.74, 127.82, 127.15, 127.44, 107.06, 105.38, 101.85, 70.11, 21.72; HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C24H19NO2S (M+H)+: 379.1900, found: 379.1904.

6-Bromo-2-tosyl-1H-indole (3l). White solid, 125 mg, 88% yield; mp 129-131 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.20 (s, 1H), 6.33 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dd, J = 2.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (d, J = 8.0, 6.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 143.38, 141.52, 141.19, 134.54, 129.75, 126.15, 125.40, 123.10, 122.17, 119.28, 111.42, 111.27, 21.57, 13.04. HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C16H13BrNaO2S (M+Na)+: 379.1964, found: 379.1966.

2-Methyl-3-tosyl-1H-indole (3n). White solid, 125 mg, 88% yield; mp 155-158 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.47 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 143.87, 138.14, 135.77, 135.57, 130.10, 129.20, 129.02, 127.51, 122.67, 121.87, 109.60, 105.32, 21.64; HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C15H14NO2S (M+H)+: 272.0740, found: 272.0745.
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