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Abstract

Linguistic code-switching (C-S) is common in oral bilingual vernacular speech. When used in literature, C-S becomes an artistic choice that can mirror the patterns of bilingual interactions. But it can also potentially exceed them. What are the limits of C-S? We model features of C-S in corpora of contemporary U.S. Spanish-English literary and conversational data to analyze why some critics view the ‘Spanglish’ texts of Ilan Stavans as deviating from a C-S norm.

1 Introduction

Code-switching (C-S), the alternating use of languages in a single conversation, is a vernacular practice of U.S. Spanish-English bilinguals. Latinx authors use C-S in their writing for various functions and at varying rates in addressing different readers. The occasional insertion of a Spanish word or expression into English language texts can appeal to monolingual and bilingual readers alike. Alternatively, the languages can co-occur in more complex patterns that engage only the most bilingual reader (Torres, 2007). The question then arises: What are the limits to the stylistic choices available to bilingual writers? To attempt to answer this question, we submit extracts of ‘Spanglish’ literature to experiments that allow us to model the features that identify the contour of an author’s mixing. These results are, in turn, compared with naturally produced Spanish-English C-S conversation corpora.

C-S language data complicates NLP tasks like language identification, POS tagging, or language modeling (Solorio and Liu, 2008b,a; Solorio et al., 2014; Çetinöğlu et al., 2016; Barman et al., 2014; Vilares et al., 2016; Jamatia et al., 2015; Lynn et al., 2015; Elfardy et al., 2014; Molina et al., 2016; Rijhwani et al., 2017). Therefore, our experiments rest on language identification at the word level, coupled with analyses of syntactic and lexical features that do not require POS tagging. Our contributions are the following: (1) We compare the complexity of C-S in the prose of Ilan Stavans to that in other ‘Spanglish’ texts; (2) We introduce a new method of normalizing the probability of C-S in a corpus scaled according to the distribution of languages in a corpus; (3) We extract linguistic features of Stavans’s writing – out-of-vocabulary items and syntactic transitions – and manually review them for grammatical analysis; (4) We assess the degree to which C-S in literature conforms to features that are attested in speech and that are predicted by linguistic principles and constraints.

2 Related Work

Research into C-S in spontaneously-produced and elicited spoken speech has offered insights into the social, cognitive, and structural dimensions of this multilingual phenomenon (Bullock and Toribio, 2009). The analysis of C-S in written discourse has garnered substantially less attention and, with some exceptions reviewed below (Montes-Alcalá, 2001; Callahan, 2004, 2002), it has centered largely on C-S in historical texts as a genre (Latin macaronic poetry, medieval Castilian Spanish-Hebrew taqqanots ‘ordinances’, personal letters) (Demo, 2018; Schulz and Keller, 2016; Miller, 2001; Gardner-Chloros and Weston, 2015; Swain et al., 2002; Nurmi and Pahta, 2004).

Spanish-English C-S is integral to the U.S. Latino experience, and Latino authors such as Gloria Anzaldúa and Junot Díaz, to name but two, have given authentic expression to this bilingual, bicultural reality and, in so doing, have brought legitimacy to literary C-S. The C-S crafted by Ilan Stavans stands as a point of contrast, a Spanish-English composite employed in rendering Spanglish renditions of Don Quixote, Hamlet, Le Petit...
The parallel between literary and conversational C-S with respect to syntactic structure has been investigated. Callahan (2002; 2004) analyzed a corpus of 30 bilingual texts — novels and short stories published in the U.S. between 1970-2000 — totaling 2954 pages (word count unknown), with the goal of testing whether the Matrix Language Frame model (MLF), developed for oral speech, could be predictive of literary C-S. In broad terms, the asymmetric MLF model holds that one language provides the grammatical frame into which other-language material is inserted. Callahan manually annotated for Matrix language (ML) and Embedded language (EL) concluding that, in general, the C-S in the literary corpus can be accounted by the principles of the MLF model.

Human judges of automatically generated C-S have been shown to converge in their agreements that certain syntactic switches, such as the switching between subject pronoun and verb or between auxiliary and main verb, are dispreferred (Bhat et al., 2016; Solorio and Liu, 2008a). These findings are confirmed in linguistic research eliciting intuitions on constructed stimuli (Toribio, 2001). There are also observed directional effects in natural C-S, most notably with respect to the DET-N boundary: a switch generally follows a determiner in only one of the component languages (Joshi, 1982; Mahootian and Santorini, 1996; Blokzijl et al., 2017; Parafita Couto and Gullberg, 2017). In Spanish-English switches at this syntactic juncture, Spanish DET is consistently followed by an English bare noun regardless of which language is the ML (Bullock et al., 2018).

While we know much about the grammatical co-occurrence restrictions on intrasentential C-S, patterns of mixing in a broader sense remain to be explored. It is frequent to encounter claims that a vernacular is ‘highly mixed’ or to classify mixing according to a typology of complexity, e.g., from insertion to alternation or congruent lexicalization, where there is a single grammar into which words from more than one lexicon are inserted (Muysken, 2000). Metrics that aim to quantify C-S complexity in order to compare between corpora have been proposed to characterize the nature of language mixing (Das and Gamburg, 2014; Barnett et al., 2000; Gambück and Das, 2016, 2014). In this paper we use and expand upon the metrics proposed by Guzmán et al. (2017), which are designed to quantify patterns of switching within and between corpora, to compare the C-S in the writings of Stavans against other literary works as well as against conversational C-S.

3 Methods

Four short extracts of stories rendered in Spanglish by Stavans, totaling 10,051 words, were downloaded from the web and converted from pdf format to text files. Additional data include the text of two other novels recognized for their sustained C-S: Yo-Yo Boing! by Nuyorican author Giannina Braschi (1998) and Killer Crónicas: Bilingual Memories by Chicana writer Susana.
Chávez-Silverman (2004), both used by permission from the authors. Data representing natural, oral C-S include a Spanish-English transcription of a bilingual conversation in Texas (S7), collected and shared by Thamar Solorio (Solorio and Liu, 2008a) and a conversation, maria40 (M40), extracted from the Miami Corpus, deposited in the Bilingual Bank (Donnelly and Deuchar, 2011). Each data set was processed using the word-level language identification system for Spanish-English available on github https://github.com/Bilingual-Annotation-Task-Force/python-tagger and described in Guzmán et al. (2016). In post-processing, punctuation and numbers were given the language tag of the previous token so that they were not counted as switches. Named Entities are tagged for Spanish or English within the language identification system used.

The sequence of language tags output from the system is used as input to the python script that calculates metrics for C-S (https://github.com/Bilingual-Annotation-Task-Force/Scripts/blob/master/lang_metrics.py): the M-Index (Barnett et al., 2000), or the ratio of languages represented in a corpus, bound between 0 (monolingual) and 1 (perfectly bilingual); the I-Index (Guzman et al., 2016), the probability of switching between any two n-grams, also bound by 0 (no switching) and 1 (switching at every token); and Burstiness (Goh and Barabási, 2008), which provides a probability distribution of how many tokens will appear in a sequence in a given language before a switch to another, bound between -1 (periodic) and 1 (aperiodic). These results of application of these metrics to our corpora are shown in Table 1.

3.1 Normalized I-Index

One of the drawbacks of the I-Index developed by Guzmán et al. (2016) is that it does not account for the underlying language distribution of a text. For example, a text with an M-Index of 0.01, i.e. a text dominated by one language, could never achieve an I-Index of 1 because there are insufficient tokens to incorporate more switching. In fact, the only way to reach an I-Index of 1, linguistic constraints on switching aside, is if the M-Index were near 1, or if the languages were almost equally distributed. As a result, values of the I-index are not directly comparable across corpora from different language distributions. To correct for this, we have developed an improved version of the I-Index normalized to account for these bounds. In a text of \( N \) tokens, with \( k \) languages, each with \( n_i \) tokens, then the following equation can be used to compute a normalized I-Index, which we will refer to as \( I_2 \):

\[
I_2 = \frac{I - L}{H - L}
\]  

where \( I \) represents the I-index described in (Guzman et al., 2016), and the lower and upper bounds, \( L \) and \( H \), respectively, are defined by the following formulas:

\[
L = (k - 1)/(N - 1)
\]  

\[
H = \min \left( 2 \cdot \left( \frac{N - \max_i n_i}{N - 1} \right), 1 \right)
\]

The lowest amount of switching possible, \( L \), outlined in Eq. 2 occurs when all \( n_i \) tokens of each language are concatenated together, leading to \( k - 1 \) switches between all monolingual chunks. However, the highest amount of switching possible, \( H \), which we compute in Eq. 3, occurs if we alternate tokens from each of the languages and intersperse them between the tokens of the most common language. An issue that our \( I_2 \) presents is that, for a highly-skewed corpus, the difference between the \( H \) and \( L \) values is minuscule, which can cause numerical problems. In other words, this metric performs poorly for corpora where the vast majority (>95%) is in one language. Note that our \( I_2 \) scales \( I \) according to the language distribution and allows for direct comparison across different corpora. An \( I_2 \) of 0 or 1 now corresponds to a text with the absolute minimum and maximum, respectively, of switching possible given a fixed underlying language distribution. This new metric, in a manner of speaking, controls for a varying M-Index. In fact, as a rough estimate, one can think of \( I_2 \) as being approximately equal to \( I/M \), where \( M \) is the M-Index.

3.2 Results of Metrics

The three literary works (Stavans, Killer Crónicas and Yo-Yo Boing!) are distinguished from the conversations (M40, S7) by the M-Index, as seen in Table 1, indicating that the balance of languages
in these texts is more even than in the conversations, where one language predominates (English in S7 and Spanish in M40). Within the literary corpora, the Stavans subcorpora stand out as having a higher probability of switching (I-Index) than the others, even more than *Killer Crónicas*, which is the most bilingual of all the datasets, with an M-Index of .99. This is reflected best by the Normalized I-Index, which is a valid measure of comparison here since none of the corpora are highly-skewed.

The quantitative models of these corpora indicate that the Stavans excerpts exhibit extreme switching relative to the other datasets. Contrary to prior work by Guzmán et al. (2016), the values of \( I_2 \) demonstrate that KC is not that much different from M40 and S7. The largest differences observed in I and \( I_2 \) are with the M40 and S7 corpora due to the skewed language distributions of the texts, which exaggerate the measurement of the amounts of switching.

A plot representing the densities of monolingual spans in the corpora, a visualization of Burstiness, is shown in Figure 1, where it can be seen that language mixing in Stavans and *Killer Crónicas* occurs more regularly throughout the text, whereas *Yo-Yo Boing!*, M40, and S7 show a long-tailed signal, indicating that C-S is a sporadic occurrence.

![Figure 1: Span Densities](image)

3.3 Lexical and Grammatical Analyses

As a second step toward modeling C-S, we compared the structural profiles of the Stavans extracts to *Killer Crónicas*, the texts in which C-S is the least bursty, to calculate the rate of word-internal switching. We filtered out words using the `aspell` command on Linux for English and Spanish. The mixed words were manually selected based on intra-word switching and NOT typographical errors, variable spellings (e.g., `cashe` to represent the Argentine pronunciation of `calle`), or non-words. We retained in our mixed-word list cross-linguistic phoneticizations such as `livin` in which English words are given a Spanish-like phonological representation. The results are given in Table 2 relative to the number of unique words in the corpora. The frequency of mixed words in *Killer Crónicas* is negligible relative to the proportion of the unique words in Stavans that are mixed. This difference is highly significant \( (\chi^2 = 109.26, \text{df} = 1, \text{p-value} < 2.2e-16) \) with a Cramer’s-V test of .129 indicating a small effect size.

We investigated patterns of grammatical constraints by searching and tagging all subject pronouns and determiners in Spanish and in English according to their lexical entries (`la, the, yo, I,` etc.) and listing them alongside the word that followed in the text. We manually reviewed the lists of PRON + word and DET + word to eliminate any errors or any cross-linguistic homographs (e.g., Spanish *he* is an auxiliary verb). These were tabulated according to the language of the token and the language of the next word for each corpus. The proportion tables for DET-NOUN transitions is found in Table 3.

![Table 3: DET-NOUN Transitions](image)

The asymmetry in directionality discussed above is evident in both literary corpora; Spanish determiners are more frequently found with English nouns than vice versa. However, Stavans shows a much higher mixing rate at this juncture, in general: .36 relative to .17 for *Killer Crónicas*: \( (\chi^2 = 32.249, \text{df} = 1, \text{p-value} < 1.356e-08) \) with a Cramer’s-V test of .199 indicating a small effect size. The results for switching at the PRON-V juncture are shown in Table 4. While switching after a PRON is rare in *Killer Crónicas*, Stavans switches after a subject pronoun at a rate of about 13%, particularly if the pronoun is Spanish \( (\chi^2 = 17.547, \text{df} = 1, \text{p-value} < 2.803e-05) \) with a Cramer’s-V test of .174). These analyses inform us that the Stavans corpora is qualitatively different from *Killer Crónicas* and distinguished by unusual C-S within words and across tightly knit syntactic boundaries.
Table 1: Metric results

| Corpus       | Length | Switches | M-Index | I-Index | $I_2$ | Burstiness |
|--------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|-------|------------|
| Stavans      | 12405  | 4880     | 0.96    | 0.27    | 0.32  | -0.03      |
| Killer Crónicas | 7002   | 2127     | 0.99    | 0.17    | 0.19  | -0.06      |
| Yo-Yo Boing! | 75679  | 5339     | 0.97    | 0.04    | 0.05  | 0.36       |
| M40          | 7638   | 1250     | 0.63    | 0.10    | 0.18  | 0.26       |
| S7           | 8011   | 894      | 0.60    | 0.06    | 0.12  | 0.32       |

Table 2: Frequency of word-internal C-S

| Corpus       | Unique | Mixed | Freq  |   |
|--------------|--------|-------|-------|---|
| Stavans      | 4000   | 254   | 0.635 | |
| Killer Crónicas | 2524  | 24    | 0.009 | |

Table 3: Determiner-NP switching

| Corpus       | Det EnNP | SpNP | Stavans | |杀手 Crónicas | EnNP | SpNP |
|--------------|----------|------|---------|---|---------------|------|------|
| Eng          | 0.109    | 0.075| 0.339   | 0.052 | |
| Span         | 0.278    | 0.538| 0.050   | 0.560 | |

Table 4: Pronoun-VP switching

| Corpus       | Pro EnVP | SpVP | Stavans | |杀手 Crónicas | EnVP | SpVP |
|--------------|----------|------|---------|---|---------------|------|------|
| Eng          | 0.474    | 0.099| 0.653   | 0.005 | |
| Span         | 0.067    | 0.360| 0.005   | 0.338 | |

4 Discussion

We have observed that literary texts present more C-S than what is manifested in natural speech. However, different authors manifest different patterns of C-S, even when they employ more or less the same ratio of languages in their writings. While the M-index for the Stavans and Killer Crónicas corpora are nearly identical, demonstrating a near perfect balance of Spanish and English, with Yo-Yo Boing! close behind in terms of balance, the texts present distinct switching profiles. Specifically, Stavans, whose switching is criticized as unnatural, shows a higher probability of alternating between the languages, quantified by the $I_2$ and visualized as short spans of one language followed for short spans of the other. The C-S in Stavans also differs qualitatively from that in Killer Crónicas, the other literary text in our sample to show a similar anti-bursty distribution of C-S, in the preponderance of switching within the word (e.g., adrifteando, astonisheado, askeó, wistfulmente), switching at the DET-N boundary (e.g., the casa), and switching after PRON (él slept), all sites that are very rarely attested junctures of mixing in oral speech, and that are ruled out by predictive linguistic models.

Note that the effect of switching on functional words, such as pronouns and determiners, while in itself odd, will also lead to increased rates of C-S and to short language spans. Thus, we cannot know if it is the frequency of switching, the decision to switch after functional elements and within words, or a combination of these features that lead critics to characterize Stavans’s ‘Spanglish’ texts in negative terms. In future work, we seek to determine whether there are expected constants of C-S for Spanglish literature versus for natural speech. This will help determine the degree to which an observed C-S contour is an outlier.

We have presented methods for comparing between corpora that rest on multiple features easily gleaned from small corpora, but our conclusions can only be tentative. Language models that would permit direct comparisons of the statistical distribution of C-S between corpora would be desirable for establishing the limits of mixed vernaculars like so-called ‘Spanglish’.
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