Singularity and branch identification of a 2 degree-of-freedom (DOF) seven-bar spherical parallel manipulator
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Abstract. Spherical parallel manipulators (SPMs) have a great potential for industrial applications of robot wrists, camera-orientating devices, and even sensors because of their special structure. However, increasing with the number of links, the kinematics analysis of the complex SPMs is formidable. The main contribution of this paper is to present a kind of 2 degree-of-freedom (DOF) seven-bar SPM containing two five-bar spherical loops, which has the advantages of high reaction speed, accuracy rating, and rigidity. And based on the unusual actuated choices and symmetrical loop structure, an approach is provided to identify singularities and branches of this kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM according to three following steps. Firstly, loop equations of the two five-bar spherical loops, which include all the kinematic characteristics of this SPM, are established with joint rotation and side rotation. Secondly, branch graphs are obtained by Maple based on the discriminants of loop equations and the concept of joint rotation space (JRS). Then, singularities are directly determined by the singular boundaries of the branch graphs, and branches are easily identified by the overlapping areas of JRS of two five-bar spherical loops. Finally, this paper distinguishes two types of branches of this SPM according to whether branch points exist to decouple the kinematics, which can be used for different performance applications. The proposed method is visual and offers geometric insights into understanding the formation of mobility using branch graphs. At the end of this paper, two examples are employed to illustrate the proposed method.

1 Introduction

Mobility identification is a basic problem in linkage analysis and synthesis, which includes branch analysis, sub-branch analysis, the full rotatability problem, and order of motion (Ting, 1989, 1993; Liu and Ting, 1991, 1992). Singularities are the core of the full rotatability problem, which represent the singular configurations of the manipulator. At these configurations, the manipulator may lose control momentarily and has zero mechanical advantage (Gosselin and Angeles, 1990; Yan and Wu, 1989; Hunt, 1978). Since singularities are commonly harmful and even damage the manipulator, it is necessary to identify all singularities of the spherical parallel manipulator (SPM) during the design stage.

Branches (or circuits) (Chase and Mirth, 1993; Davis and Chase, 1994; Mirth, 1995; Ting and Dou, 1996; Ting, 1994) are the most fundamental problem among the various aspects of mobility issues. Generally speaking, branches should be
identified and rectified first. A branch is a gather of manipulator configurations, referring to the continuity motion of the manipulator. And a manipulator configuration cannot transform from one branch to another without disconnecting the manipulator. Branches are determined by the links’ size of the spherical loops and are irrelevant to the input conditions (Ting and Dou, 1996; Ting, 1994; Ting et al., 2008, 2010).

A spherical manipulator has a special structure whose rotation axes of all links intersect at the center of the sphere. In some senses, a planar manipulator can also be considered to be a special spherical manipulator whose common center point of the rotation joints is at infinity. In comparison with the majority of planar parallel manipulators, spherical parallel manipulators have the advantages of large workspace, large payload, large stiffness, low inertia, and high dynamic performance when they have the same number of links. Therefore, they have attracted a considerable amount of research. According to the Burmester problem, Ruth and McCarthy (1999) presented a computer-aided design software system that is suitable for spherical four-bar linkages. Bai et al. (2009) used four-bar spherical chains to establish the input-output (I/O) equations of spherical four-bar linkages for the forward displacement relationship of the spherical parallel robots. Sun et al. (2018) presented a wavelet feature parameter method for the non-periodic design requirements. By establishing a mathematical model for the coupler curve of the spherical four-bar linkage, the geometrical characteristics of the open path are described to calculate installation positions of the desired linkage. For spherical six-bar path generation linkage, Yang and Xu (2005) proposed a synthesis method to make the linkage into some link groups, and the constraint conditions and object functions are given. An approach was proposed by Sancisi, Parenti-castelli, and Baldissseri (2017) to analyze the human ankle joint with the spherical model. Di Gregorio (2018) developed an analytical method for the singularity analysis and exhaustive enumeration of the singularity conditions in single degree-of-freedom (DOF) spherical mechanisms. Owing to the analytical method, the generated systems of equations are used to find the singularities of a given mechanism and to synthesize mechanisms which have specific requirements for the singularities. Using the construction characteristics of the spherical six-bar linkage, Hernandez et al. (2006) designed a new gearless robotic pitch-roll wrist which consists of spherical cam rollers and spherical Stephenson linkages. Driven by roller-carrying disks, the whole system works as a differential mechanism. Wampler (2004) employed rotation matrices or equations to locate the displacement analysis of spherical linkages up to three loops. The solution method is a modification of Sylvester’s elimination method. Nie and Wang (2018) solved the branch problem of the spherical six-bar linkage based on the loop equations. Duan et al. (2018) proposed a new 2 DOF spherical parallel manipulator which can be applied for an orientation device, or a torque sensor, and they also carried out a typical motion plan, simulations, and actuating torque needed for these motions by employing derived inverse dynamic equations. Danaei and Arian (2018) analyzed the dynamic modeling and inertial parameter determination of a general 5R 2 DOF spherical parallel manipulator. According to the proposed eliminating and grouping operation, the computation time is reduced by 37%. For the tasks on a mini-/microscale, Palpacelli et al. (2018) proposed a sensitivity analysis for an error model of a mini 2 DOF five-bar spherical parallel manipulator to geometrically calibrate its kinematics. Wu and Bai (2019) redesigned a 3-RRR spherical parallel manipulator of co-axis input with reconfigurability and investigated the workspace, dexterity, and singularity of the new manipulator. Elgolli et al. (2019) presented a method for the dynamic problem of a 3-RRR spherical parallel manipulator using the Kane method and D’Alembert’s principle. The obtained model can also be used for sensitivity analysis and a dimensional optimization to ensure a constant dynamic transmission over the entire desired workspace. Based on the concept of an equivalent spherical mechanism, Boscariol et al. (2017) proposed a method to investigate the direct kinematic problem of a tunnel digging machine, which reduces a lot of computation time compared to the traditional numerical solution. Nelson et al. (2018) provided a redundant serial spherical linkage to avoid collision with the patient and to produce good kinematics and workspace. Bai et al. (2019) reviewed the state of the art of spherical-motion-generator kinematics, dynamics, design optimization, and novel spherical mechanisms with emerging applications and discussed some new research problems and future developments. As can be seen from the discussion above, the research mainly focuses on simple SPMs such as spherical four-bar linkage (Ruth and McCarthy, 1999; Sun et al., 2018), spherical six-bar linkage (Yang and Xu, 2005), and 2 DOF five-bar SPMs (Duan et al., 2018). But for complex SPMs, such as the 2 DOF seven-bar SPMs, although they have the advantages of more types of topologies and higher rigidity compared to 2 DOF five-bar SPMs, there still is limited literature available. The reason for this is that the loop equations of complex SPMs are difficult to solve. With the number of links increasing, too many unknown variables are brought into the loop equations and cannot be eliminated, contrasting with simple SPMs, resulting in no solutions. However, in this study, the unusual actuated choices and symmetric loop structure of this kind of SPM make the loop equations solvable. And the roots can be obtained using the discriminant method. In other words, the kinematics characteristics of these SPMs can be studied. This kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM may provide another potential choice for industry application such as cardans (Kong and Gosselin, 2004), robot wrists (Gosselin and Hamel, 1994), camera-orientating devices (Li and Payandeh, 2002), and even sensors (Duan et al., 2018). The proposed method may also become a powerful design aid when the manipulation has singularity and branch requirements (Sect. 5) during the design...
The motivation of this paper is to study 2 DOF seven-bar SPMs to attract more research about complex SPMs. In addition, the identification of singularities and branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM also provides a theoretical basis for the industrial application of this kind of SPM. Based on loop equations, singular configurations are located with the discriminants of two five-bar spherical loop equations. Then, using joint rotation space (JRS; Ting, 2008), the branch graph, which includes all the kinematic information of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM, is obtained to identify the branches.

The first contribution of this paper is to provide a kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM with two spherical five-bar loops, which gives another potential choice for industry application. Compared to 2 DOF five-bar SPMs, this kind of SPM has higher rigidity. The second one is first to succeed in identifying singularities and branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM, utilizing the unusual actuated choices and symmetric loop structure. And the beauty of it is that the unusual actuated choices and symmetric loop structure not only enable complex loop equations to be solved but also bring many other advantages to the SPM. Owing to the unusual actuated choices, the SPM has the advantage of high reaction speed. Meanwhile, only containing two five-bar spherical loops, this kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM, a symmetric loop manipulator, has several merits, such as easy control, compared to other planar linkages or SPMs. The third one is to use a branch graph to explain the kinetic characteristics of the SPM, which provides geometric insights into understanding the mobility information instead of a complex formula set. Branch graphs are not the workspace but the input joint rotation ranges which can be effectively utilized when the SPMs are required for a specific input range or branch choice (discussed in Sect. 5). The fourth one is to distinguish the branches of 2 DOF seven-bar SPMs as two types according to whether branch points exist in the corresponding branch graph, which offers convenience when the manipulator faces decoupling motion demand (discussed in Sect. 6).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM is presented, and the input condition is decided. In Sect. 3, the loop equations of the two five-bar spherical loops are established based on the concepts of joint rotation and side rotation. Then, the singularities are obtained by saving the discriminants of the two five-bar spherical loop equations in Sect. 4. Subsequently, two types of branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are identified according to joint rotation space and branch points in Sect. 5. Finally, two examples are illustrated for the validity of the proposed method. And conclusions are presented at the end of this paper.

Figure 1. The 2 DOF seven-bar spherical parallel manipulator with only \( R \) joints.
Figure 2. Two kinds of input joints and corresponding motion simplification diagrams. (a) Input joints at A and C₀, (b) input joints at A₀ and C₀, (c) motion only through \( \theta_4 \) and \( \theta_5 \) when input joints are at A and C₀, and (d) motion through \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \), \( \theta_4 \), and \( \theta_5 \) when input joints are at A₀ and C₀.

Input angles be formed. And then, the two loop equations obtained have common real roots. For example, if the input joints are given other joints, such as normal input choices joints A₀ and C₀, for loop A₀B₀BAC₀, the input angles \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_5 \) are still included, but for loop C₀ACDF, there is only input angle \( \theta_5 \). According to Sects. 2.1 and 3.2, there is another redundant angle \( \theta_i \) which cannot be eliminated, indicating that the loop equations cannot be solved. In addition, compared to the normal parallel input joints, the unusual input joints are in series in a removable link, which brings the advantages of higher reaction speed and wider input range when the output link arrives at the designated position shown in Fig. 2.

3 Kinematics of spherical manipulators

3.1 Loop equations

Loop equations are a common tool to analyze the kinematics of planar manipulators, which has been proved in Ting (1993), Ting and Dou (1996), and Wang et al. (2010). For a certain planar manipulator, the loop equations contain all the kinematic characteristic information, which includes the connections of the links, the input–output relationship, and the transient motion. As discussed in the Introduction, planar manipulators are special spherical manipulators. Therefore, loop equations can also be utilized to analyze the kinematics of SPMs. Two steps to establish corresponding loop equations are listed as follows. And the used symbols and corresponding explanations are shown in Table 1.

Step 1. Set up a spherical mathematical model by building coordinate axes at every vertex of each link. Taking a spherical four-bar manipulator (four R joints in Fig. 3) as an example, there are two kinds of rotation in the loop of the spherical four-bar manipulator: joint rotation \( Z_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4 \)) and side rotation \( S_{ij} \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, j = 2, 3, 4 \)) (Palpacelli et al., 2018), which appear alternately. The green ones show the side rotation and the purple ones show the joint rotation. The joint rotation \( Z_i \) is defined as a rotation of the joint in which the \( z \) axis of each system is given to the axis of the rotation of joint rotation, and the \( x \) and \( y \) axes can be arbitrarily given with right-hand orthogonal coordinates (Palpacelli et al., 2018). For example, the joint rotations \( Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \), and \( Z_4 \) are the purple centerlines, which are the pivot centerlines of adjacent articulated joints in Fig. 3. The value of the joint rotation \( Z_i \) is variable, which depends on the exterior angle \( \theta_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4 \)). It can be expressed as a matrix equation as follows.

\[
Z_i = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i & 0 \\
\sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

The side rotation \( S_{ij} \) is defined as follows. When all joint rotations \( Z_i \) of the SPM are defined, the contact rotation which is between any two adjacent joint rotations is named as a side rotation \( S_{ij} \) (Palpacelli et al., 2018). It is obvious to find that the side rotation \( S_{ij} \) is represented by the arc of the great circle of the sphere, namely, the spherical central angle \( \alpha_{ij} \).
between points \(i\) and \(j\) when the radius of the sphere is 1. The matrix equation of the side rotation \(S_{ij}\) is shown as follows. Note that the side rotation \(S_{ij}\) is commonly constant for an existing spherical manipulator.

\[
S_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \alpha_{ij} & -\sin \alpha_{ij} \\
0 & \sin \alpha_{ij} & \cos \alpha_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2)

**Step 2.** Build loop equations. According to the discussion above, joint rotation \(Z_i\) and side rotation \(S_{ij}\) are encountered in alternation in a loop of the spherical manipulator. So a typical loop equation can be established as follows.

\[
Z_1S_{12}Z_2S_{23}...Z_{k}S_{k-1}Z_{k-1}...Z_1 = I (k = 1, 2, ... n),
\]  

(3)

where \(Z_i\) and \(S_{ij}\) are both \(3 \times 3\) rotation matrices, and the identity matrix is called \(I\). Equation (3) indicates that sequential rotations are compounded by matrix multiplication.

According to the foregoing setting, considering \(z = [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T\), the equations \(Z_z'Z_z = z'^T\) and \(Z_zz = z\) can be obtained based on the matrix operation rule. For Eq. (3), postmultiply the matrix \(S_{ij}^T\) and \(z\) on both sides of Eq. (3), and then premultiply the matrix \(z'^T\). After that, Eq. (3) can be rewritten as Eq. (4), which can be further simplified as Eq. (5).

\[
z'^T Z_1S_{12}Z_2...Z_{k-1}S_{k-1}Z_{k-1}S_{k-1}S_{k-1} = z'^T S_{k-1}^T z
\]  

(4)

\[
z'^T Z_1S_{12}Z_2...Z_{k-1}S_{k-1}Z_{k-1}Z_{k-1} = z'^T S_{k-1}^T z
\]  

(5)

where the right-hand side of the equation is the \((3, 3)\) element of \(S_{k-1}^T\). That is, the two joint rotations \(Z_1\) and \(Z_6\) have been removed; in other words, the variable elements \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_6\) are eliminated.

### 3.2 Loop equations of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical parallel manipulator

There are two spherical five-bar loops in the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM (Fig. 1); the spherical five-bar loop \(A_0B_0C_0\) and the spherical five-bar loop \(C_0A_0C_0D_0C\). Since the input joints are at the joints \(A\) and \(C_0\) (i.e., the input angles are \(\theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\)), two loop equations, which contain all the kinematic information of the SPM, are shown as follows based on Eq. (3).

**Loop \(A_0B_0C_0:\)**

\[
Z_3S_{34}Z_4S_{45}Z_5S_{51}Z_1S_{12}Z_2S_{23} = I
\]  

(6)

**Loop \(C_0A_0C_0D_0C:\)**

\[
Z_8S_{89}Z_9S_{95}Z_5'Z_3S_{36}Z_6S_{67}Z_7S_{78} = I
\]  

(7)

According to Eqs. (4) and (5), (6) and (7) can be rewritten as Eqs. (8) and (9):

\[
z'^T S_3z_4S_{45}z_5S_{51}z_1S_{12}z = z'^T S_{23}^T z
\]  

(8)

\[
z'^T S_8z_9S_{95}Z_5'Z_3S_{36}Z_6S_{67}z = z'^T S_{78}^T z
\]  

(9)

Note that the exterior angles \(\theta_i\) of the joint rotation \(Z_4\), \(Z_5\) and \(Z_5'\), \(Z_5'\) in Eqs. (6) and (7) are different; \(Z_4\) and \(Z_5\) correspond to the angles \(\theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\), which are shown in Fig. 1; however, the angles of the \(Z_4'\) and \(Z_5'\) are equal to \((\lambda - \theta_4)\) and \((\theta - \beta)\), respectively.

Bringing the known parameters (i.e., \(\alpha_{ij}\), \(\lambda\), and \(\beta\)) into the equations, there are only three unknown angles \(\theta_1\), \(\theta_4\), and \(\theta_5\) in Eq. (8). And three variables \(\theta_4\), \(\theta_5\), and \(\theta_6\) are included in Eq. (9). In order to reduce the unknown parameters, the tangent half-angle formulas and discriminant method are utilized. The unknown parameters \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_6\) are eliminated.

Firstly, the equations \(\cos \theta_1 = (1 - m^2)/(1 + m^2), \sin \theta_1 = 2m/(1 + m^2)\) and \(\cos \theta_5 = (1 - n^2)/(1 + n^2), \sin \theta_5 = 2n/(1 + n^2)\) (where \(m = \tan(\theta_1/2), n = \tan(\theta_6/2)\)) are respectively substituted in Eqs. (7) and (8) based on the tangent-half-angle formulas. After these changes, Eqs. (8) and (9) can be regarded as the quadratic Eqs. (10) and (11) about \(m\) and \(n\) as follows.

\[
a_1(\theta_4, \theta_5)m^2 + b_1(\theta_4, \theta_5)m + c_1(\theta_4, \theta_5) = 0
\]  

(10)

\[
a_2(\theta_4, \theta_5)n^2 + b_2(\theta_4, \theta_5)n + c_2(\theta_4, \theta_5) = 0
\]  

(11)

where \(a_i, b_i, \) and \(c_i (i = 1, 2)\) are the coefficients in the light of \(\theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\), which can be obtained with Maple. The tangent half-angle formulas do not change the essence of loop equations but only the representation. Changing Eqs. (8) and (9) into Eqs. (10) and (11), the four variables \(\theta_1, \theta_4, \theta_5, \) and \(\theta_6\) only become the four variables \(m, n, \theta_4, \) and \(\theta_5\). But Eqs. (10) and (11) can be considered to be the dualistic equations about variables \(m\) and \(n\).

Secondly, since the SPM should be assembled, that is, the loop equations can be established, the condition for the SPM to move is the discriminants \(\Delta_i \geq 0\) of the dualistic equations about variables \(m\) and \(n\). Owing to Eqs. (10) and (11), the discriminant equations will only contain variables \(\theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\) (Eqs. 12 and 13).

### 4 Singularity analysis of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical parallel manipulator

The discriminant method is valid for singularity analysis, which had been proved in Wang et al. (2010). For the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM (Fig. 1), the singularity problem can also be solved based on the discriminants of two spherical five-bar loop equations.

#### 4.1 Discriminants of two spherical five-bar loop equations

For Eqs. (10) and (11), if \(a_i \neq 0\), in order to guarantee that the SPM is moveable, the discriminants must satisfy

\[
\Delta_1 = b_1^2 - 4a_1c_1 \geq 0
\]  

(12)

\[
\Delta_2 = b_2^2 - 4a_2c_2 \geq 0
\]  

(13)

For the equations about \(m\) and \(n\) (i.e., \(\theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\)), Eqs. (12) and (13) are the conditions of the real roots, which are also
Table 1. Symbols and explanations.

| Symbol | Explanation | Valuation |
|--------|-------------|-----------|
| $Z_i$  | joint rotation $Z_i$ is a rotation of the joint which depends on the exterior angle $\theta_i$. | $Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i & 0 \\ \sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $S_{ij}$ | side rotation $S_{ij}$ is the arc of the great circle of the sphere which depends on the spherical central angle $\alpha_{ij}$. | $S_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha_{ij} & -\sin \alpha_{ij} \\ 0 & \sin \alpha_{ij} & \cos \alpha_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $\theta_i$ | exterior angles variables | |
| $\alpha_{ij}$ | spherical central angles constant | |
| $I$ | identity matrix | $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $z$ | $3 \times 1$ rotation matrices | $z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ |
| $z^T$ | $1 \times 3$ rotation matrices, $z$ transpose matrix | $z^T = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$ |

the necessary conditions that the entire manipulator should satisfy to ensure that the SPM can be assembled. In other words, only when Eqs. (12) and (13) ($\Delta_i \geq 0$) are satisfied can the SPM exist and have research significance. And the discriminant equations are just about the angles $\theta_4$ and $\theta_5$. Using Maple, the relationship curves can be drawn, which are just the singular boundaries ($\Delta_i = 0$) of the spherical five-bar loops. The singular boundary is the rotation limit of the input joint. In Fig. 4, the blue curves represent the singular boundaries of the spherical five-bar loop $A_0B_0BAC_0$, and the singular boundaries of the spherical five-bar loop $C_0ACDF$ are the red ones. It is worth noting that the valid singular boundaries (discussed in Sect. 4.2) of the SPM are just part of all the singular boundaries, where the SPM may momentarily lose control and has zero mechanical advantage (Gosselin and Angeles, 1990; Yan and Wu, 1989; Hunt, 1978). The valid singular boundaries are the gather of dead center positions. That is, every point of the valid singular boundaries corresponds to a singular configuration of the SPM. The corresponding parameters of spherical parallel manipulation in Fig. 4 are listed in Table 2. As for JRS-L and JRS-R ($\Delta_i > 0$) in Fig. 4, they are discussed in Sect. 5.1.

4.2 Singularity analysis

As discussed above, singularities of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM occur when the SPM reaches valid singular boundaries. According to Eqs. (12) and (13) ($\Delta_1 \geq 0$ and $\Delta_2 \geq 0$), the valid singular boundaries can be obtained and divided into three categories: (a) $\Delta_1 = 0$, $\Delta_2 = 0$; (b) $\Delta_1 = 0$, $\Delta_2 > 0$; (c) $\Delta_1 > 0$, $\Delta_2 = 0$. Therefore, the singularities will also be discussed for three cases. Taking Fig. 4 as an example, for case (a), the valid singular boundaries are just the points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (i.e., singularity points), which are listed in Table 3 (also called branch points in Sect. 5.2). For case (b), the valid singular boundaries are the curves A(1-2), C(8-7), E(4-3), and G(5-6). For case (c), the curves B(2-8), D(7-1), F(6-4), and H(3-5) are the valid singular boundaries. As a consequence, singularities of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are the gather of the singularity points and the curves.
After the values of the variables $\theta_4$ and $\theta_5$ are acquired, the other parameters ($\theta_1$ and $\theta_6$) can be obtained by the following Eqs. (14a), (14b), (15a), and (15b), which are the results of Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively. Limited by the links’ size, the rotation range of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_6$ is commonly obvious. For example, in Fig. 4, the $\theta_1 \epsilon (0, \pi /2)$, and $\theta_6 \epsilon (0, \pi)$; thus, the arctan(x) only has one root, which means the corresponding singular configuration is unique. Figure 5a and 5b show singular configurations of the SPM at singularity points 1 and 2 (Fig. 4) ($\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 = 0$). For Fig. 5a, according to all the obtained parameters, the SPM is at the singular position when the centerlines OC, OD, and OF and OA$_0$, OB$_0$, and OB are simultaneously coplanar. For Fig. 5b, the singular configuration of the SPM occurs if the centerlines OC, OD, and OF and OA$_0$, OB$_0$, and OB are simultaneously coplanar. The points in Fig. 5c and d belong to the case $\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 > 0$ (points 9 and 10); the singular configuration of the SPM occurs when the centerlines OA$_0$, OB$_0$, and OB are coplanar in Fig. 5c or the centerlines OC, OD, and OF are coplanar in Fig. 5d. The points in Fig. 5e and f belong to the case $\Delta_1 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 = 0$ (points 11 and 12); the singular configuration of the SPM occurs when the centerlines OC, OD, and OF are coplanar in Fig. 5e or the centerlines OA$_0$, OB$_0$, and OB are coplanar in Fig. 5f. The points in Fig. 5g and h belong to the case $\Delta_1 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 > 0$ (points 13 and 14); there is no singular configuration.

\[
x_{1[1]} = \frac{-b_1 - \sqrt{\Delta_1}}{2a_1}, \quad \theta_1 = 2 \arctan x_1 \quad(14a)
\]
\[
x_{2[1]} = \frac{-b_1 + \sqrt{\Delta_1}}{2a_1}, \quad \theta_1 = 2 \arctan x_2 \quad(14b)
\]
\[
x_{1[2]} = \frac{-b_2 - \sqrt{\Delta_2}}{2a_2}, \quad \theta_6 = 2 \arctan x_1 \quad(15a)
\]
\[
x_{2[2]} = \frac{-b_2 + \sqrt{\Delta_2}}{2a_2}, \quad \theta_6 = 2 \arctan x_2 \quad(15b)
\]

For convenience, the following steps are summarized for the singularity analysis of this kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM.

- **Step 1.** Set up corresponding coordinate axes at every vertex of each link to get the all joint rotations $Z_i$ and side rotations $S_i$.

- **Step 2.** Establish the loop equations (Eqs. 6 and 7) of two five-bar spherical loops.

- **Step 3.** Eliminate the no-input angles using the matrix multiplication and discriminant method to obtain singular boundary equations (Eqs. 12 and 13).

- **Step 4.** Solve the singular boundary equations to obtain the values of input angles $\theta_\text{input}$. Then, all the unknown parameters can be given by substituting obtained $\theta_\text{input}$ into the loop equations (Eqs. 6, 7, 14, and 15). After that, the singular configurations of the SPM are acquired.

### 5 Branch analysis of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical parallel manipulator

A branch is an assembly configuration area in which the spherical manipulator can move continuously without meeting singularity points. The branch problem is strictly affected by the kinematic chain and is irrelevant to the input or output conditions (Ting, 1994, Ting and Dou, 1996), which means when the size of the links of the SPM is determined, the branches of this SPM are decided no matter where the input angles and output angles are chosen. Therefore, in order to meet the requirements of the input range and decoupling motion, the branches should be identified during the design stage. A branch usually contains three parts: a valid joint rotation space, a branch point, and a branch curve. The valid joint rotation space is the inner area of the branch. And the branch points and branch curves constitute the boundaries of the branch. The corresponding concepts are explained in detail in Sect. 5.1. Last but not least, the branches are not the workspace of the SPM but the effective joint rotation range which decides whether the SPM is assembled.

---

**Table 2.** Parameters of spherical parallel manipulation in Fig. 4.

| Parameters | $\alpha_1$ | $\alpha_2$ | $\alpha_3$ | $\alpha_4$ | $\alpha_5$ | $\alpha_6$ | $\alpha_7$ | $\alpha_8$ | $\beta$ | $\lambda$ |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Values     | 25°       | 65°       | 45°       | 85°       | 30°       | 135°      | 46°       | 15°       | 55°    | 45°     | 83°     |

**Table 3.** Singularity points.

| Points | Values       |
|--------|--------------|
| 1      | $\theta_4$  |
| 2      | 185.6°       |
| 3      | 159.7°       |
| 4      | 222.8°       |
| 5      | 238.9°       |
| 6      | 264.5°       |
| 7      | 289.5°       |
| 8      | 321.4°       |
| 9      | 315.4°       |
| 10     | 278.2°       |

---
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Figure 5. Several configurations of the SPM. (a, b) Singularity points 1 and 2 belong to the case $\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 = 0$. (c, d) Points 9 and 10 belong to the case $\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 > 0$. (e, f) Points 11 and 12 belong to the case $\Delta_1 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 = 0$. (g, h) Points 13 and 14 belong to the case $\Delta_1 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 > 0$. 
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5.1 Joint rotation space

The joint rotation space (JRS) is a region that represents the maximum possible configuration space of the manipulators (Ting, 1994, Ting and Dou, 1996). The JRS is not the workspace and working modes of the robot but the input ranges, which is useful when the manipulator has input and security requirements. It can be obtained by discriminant equations such as Eqs. (12) and (13). The JRS is composed of the singular boundaries \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and \( \Delta_2 = 0 \) and the inner areas \( \Delta_1 > 0 \) and \( \Delta_2 > 0 \), implying all mobility information of the manipulator. In a JRS, every point generally corresponds to one or more manipulator configurations. For example, in Fig. 4, the JRS of spherical five-bar loop \( A_0 B_0 BAC_0 \), named JRS-L, is the light shade inner area and blue boundaries, which satisfy Eqs. (12) and (14). The dark shade inner area and red boundaries which satisfy Eqs. (13) and (15), called JRS-R, is the JRS of spherical five-bar loop \( C_0 ACDF \). The JRS of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM (Fig. 1) is decided by the two five-bar spherical loops \( A_0 B_0 BAC_0 \) and \( C_0 ACDF \). Therefore, the interaction JRSs of the JRS-L and JRS-R, i.e., the common JRSs 1-2-8-7 and 4-6-5-3 in Fig. 4, are the JRSs of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM, which satisfy Eqs. (12), (13), (14), and (15) simultaneously. It is easy to find that the common JRSs are usually the branches of the SPM.

5.2 Branch point and branch curve

1. **Branch point.** The branch point (Ting, 1994, Ting and Dou, 1996) is a singularity point which is the intersection point of the two singular boundaries of the two spherical loops (i.e., \( \Delta_1 = 0 \), \( \Delta_2 = 0 \)) discussed in Sect. 4.2. At these branch points, the SPM may lose control momentarily and has zero mechanical advantage. The branches are usually surrounded by the branch points, such as points 1, 2, 8, and 7 in the branch 1-2-8-7 in Fig. 4. Branch points are not only used as the characteristic points which differentiate separated branches of the spherical manipulators but are also applied to classify the types of branches of the SPM according to whether branch points exist. Different types of the branches affect the coupling motion of the SPM, which is explained in detail in Sect. 5.3.

2. **Branch curve.** Branch curves cover branches, which are often valid segments of singular boundaries (Dou and Ting, 1996) satisfying Eq. (12) or Eq. (13) (i.e., \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) or \( \Delta_2 = 0 \)). As mentioned above, branch curves and branch points separate different branches. In Fig. 4, the curves A (1-2), B(2-8), C(8-7), D(7-1), E(4-3), H(3-5), G(5-6), and F(6-4) are the branch curves.

5.3 Two types of branches

To consider whether the branch points exist, the branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM can be classified into two types as follows.

1. **Type I.** No branch point exists. The mobility is only affected by one spherical five-bar loop; that is, the motion of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM is decoupled.

Figure 6. (a) Branch graph of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical manipulator without branch points. (b) Branch graph of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical manipulator with branch points.
summarized. As a result of the discussion above, the following steps for branch identification of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM can be summarized.

5.4 Process of branch analysis

As a result of the discussion above, the following steps for branch identification of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM can be summarized.

1. JRS of two five-bar spherical loops. Draw the branch graph using Eqs. (12) and (13) in Maple, and obtain two JRSs of the two five-bar spherical loops.

2. Branch points. Save the equations \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and \( \Delta_2 = 0 \); if the roots can be obtained, the branches of the SPM are Type II, and the roots are just branch points of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM. If not, they are Type I.

3. Branch curves. Get the valid curves of the singular boundaries according to the corresponding equations \( \Delta_1 = 0, \Delta_2 > 0 \) or \( \Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 = 0 \).

4. Branch identification. Acquire the common JRS based on the results above. The common JRSs are covered with branch points and branch curves, which are also just the branch(es) of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM. In a branch, every point represents one mechanical configuration, and the mechanical configuration in different branches can not transfer unless the SPM is reassembled.

In short, different types of branches are suited to different performance applications. When the SPM needs a decoupled motion, the Type I branch is the right choice. When a coupling motion is required, the Type II branch is applicable. As for the input requirements, it is obvious to see that different branches have a different corresponding input range; suitable branches can be selected according to design demand.

6 Examples

Example 1. The dimensions for branch identification of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are shown in Table 4.

Using the given parameters, the branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are shown in Fig. 6a using Maple. It is obvious to find that the branches are Type I. The process of identification is shown as follows.

1. JRS of two five-bar spherical loops. The JRS-L, the light gray area and blue boundaries, is the JRS of the five-bar spherical loop \( A_0B_0BAC_0 \) which is determined by Eq. (12). The JRS-R is shown by the dark gray areas and red boundaries (Fig. 6a). They are determined by Eq. (13).

2. Branch points. There is no solution between the equations \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and \( \Delta_2 = 0 \). Thus, no branch point exists.

3. Branch curves. The JRS-R stays inside the JRS-L, which means the branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar loops.
SPM are only decided by JRS-R. In other words, the branch curves are the singular curves of JRS-R, i.e., the curves A, B, C, and D, which satisfy the equations \( \Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 = 0 \).

4. **Branch identification.** According to the foregoing results, the common JRS are the JRS-R. And there are two branches in JRS-R, i.e., branches 1 and 2 as shown in Fig. 6a.

**Discussion.** The SPM in Example 1 has the character of decoupled motion and two different branches. It applies to the motion of the SPM decided by only one spherical loop. And branch 1 has the input ranges \( \theta_4 \) (38.2\(^\circ\), 90.3\(^\circ\)) and \( \theta_5 \) (228.5\(^\circ\), 355.1\(^\circ\)). Branch 2 has the input ranges \( \theta_4 \) (112.7\(^\circ\), 168.6\(^\circ\)) and \( \theta_5 \) (52.3\(^\circ\), 175.6\(^\circ\)). The two branches have different corresponding input ranges; suitable branches can be selected according to design demand.

**Example 2.** The other dimensions of the 2 DOF seven-bar spherical manipulator are given in Table 5.

Based on the given dimensions above, the branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are shown in Fig. 6b with Maple. Since the branch points exist in this case, the branches are Type II. The following steps are used to identify the branches.

1. **JRS of two five-bar spherical loops.** The JRS-L, the light gray area and blue boundaries, is the JRS of the five-bar spherical loop A0B0BAC0. It is determined by Eq. (12). The JRS-R is shown by the dark gray area and red boundaries (Fig. 6b), which is determined by Eq. (13).

2. **Branch points.** There are 10 roots between the equations \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and \( \Delta_2 = 0 \). Each root represents a branch point, which is listed in Table 6.

3. **Branch curves.** Branch curves are valid segments of the singular curves, which are defined by the equations \( \Delta_1 = 0, \Delta_2 > 0 \) and \( \Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 = 0 \). For Fig. 6b, the curves A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, M, and N are branch curves.

4. **Branch identification.** According to the foregoing results, the common JRSs of the SPM are the overlapping areas of JRS-R and JRS-L, that is, the two areas 1-A-2-F-3-E-4-D-5-C-6-B and 8-G-7-N-9-M-10-H, which are covered by branch points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 and branch curves A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, M, and N. In other words, there are two branches in the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM, i.e., branches 1 and 2, as shown in Fig. 6b.

**Discussion.** The motion of the SPM in Example 2 is coupled. The SPM applies to the motion of the SPM determined by two spherical loops. There are two branches: branch 1 and branch 2. Branch 1 has the input ranges \( \theta_4 \) (41.7\(^\circ\), 315.4\(^\circ\)) and \( \theta_5 \) (101.3\(^\circ\), 332.1\(^\circ\)). Branch 2 has the input ranges \( \theta_4 \) (198.4\(^\circ\), 273.6\(^\circ\)) and \( \theta_5 \) (105.8\(^\circ\), 215.4\(^\circ\)). The input ranges of branch 2 are contained by branch 1.

7 **Conclusions**

According to the concept of joint rotation space and the discriminants of two five-bar spherical loops, this paper utilizes the branch graph, which depends on loop equations to identify singularities and branches of a kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM. Compared to other literature, there are four advantages shown as follows.

- The presented 2 DOF seven-bar SPM which only contains two spherical five-bar loops is the main contribution. The highlights of this SPM are the unusual active choices and the symmetric loop structure. On the one hand, they solve the variable problem of loop equations with the discriminant method; on the other hand, they lead to the advantages of high reaction speed, wide input range, easy control, and high rigidity, which are more often required in industry compared to other SPMs. Thus, it may offer a new structure for industry applications such as cardans, robot wrists, camera-orientating devices, and even sensors.

- Singularities and branches of a kind of 2 DOF seven-bar SPM were identified first.

- Based on the branch graph, the proposed method is succinct and visual. It offers a geometric insight into understanding the formation of mobility information and is especially useful when the SPM has a specific input range or branch choice.

- Two types of branches of the 2 DOF seven-bar SPM are distinguished according to whether branch points exist. Different branches correspond to the different coupling motions of the spherical mechanism.

**Data availability.** The data in this study can be requested from the corresponding author.
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