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1 Introduction

Sturm-Liouville (SL) problems are abundant in the numerical treatment of scientific and engineering problems. For regular SL problems, several numerical solvers already exist and perform well. The Fortran SLEIGN and SLEIGN2 algorithms became by far the widest available algorithms for computing the eigenvalues of SL problems [1–3]. Despite the successes of the SLEIGN algorithms, there remains an interest in the scientific community to efficiently compute the eigenvalues of singular SL problems to a high pre-determined accuracy. Classical methods often rely on approximations of the differential equations using finite-difference techniques or Prüfer transformations in order to obtain a matrix eigenvalue system [4–6]. Other alternatives where coefficient functions of the given problem are approximated by piecewise polynomial functions were also introduced [7]. Asymptotic methods also surfaced as an efficient tool to evaluate higher order eigenvalues [8, 9].

Recently, new algorithms based on collocation and spectral methods have become increasingly popular and have shown great promise [10, 11]. More specifically, Sinc collocation methods (SCM) [12–15] have been shown to yield exponential convergence. The SCM have been used extensively during the last 30 years to solve many problems in numerical analysis. Their applications include numerical integration, linear and non-linear ordinary differential equations, partial differential equations, interpolation, and approximations to functions [16, 17]. In [18–26] several applications of the Sinc methods to various linear ordinary differential equations of second, fourth and sixth order are presented. The SCM consists of expanding the solution of a SL problem using a basis of Sinc functions. By evaluating the resulting approximation at the Sinc collocation points, one arrives at a matrix eigenvalue problem or generalized matrix eigenvalue problem for which the resulting eigenvalues are approximations to the eigenvalues of the SL operator.

In [14, 27], a method based on the single exponential SCM (SESCM) is introduced. This method leads to an efficient and accurate algorithm for computing the eigenvalues for singular SL problems. The single exponential transformation is a conformal mapping which allows for the function being approximated by a Sinc expansion to decay single exponentially at both infinities. In [27], Eggert et al. introduced such a transformation where the resulting matrices in the generalized eigenvalue problem are symmetric and positive definite. Moreover, they were able to show that their algorithm converges at the rate $O(N^{3/2}e^{-c \sqrt{N}})$, for some $c > 0$, as $N \to \infty$ where $2N + 1$ is the dimension of the resulting generalized eigenvalue system.

Recently, combination of the SCM with the double exponential formula has sparked a great interest. The double exponential transformation is a conformal mapping which allows for the function being approximated by a Sinc basis to decay double exponentially at both infinities. Since its introduction by Takahasi and Mori [28], many have studied its effectiveness in numerically evaluating integrals [29–36]. As is stated in [29], the double exponential Sinc collocation method (DESCM) method yields the best available convergence for problems with end point singularities or infinite sized domains. Moreover, the meta-optimality of the Sinc expansion with double exponential endpoint decay is established using a function analysis approach [35].

In the present work, we demonstrate that the DESCM leads to an extremely efficient computation of eigenvalues of singular SL problems. Implementing the DESCM leads to a generalized eigenvalue problem where the matrices are symmetric and positive definite. We also show that the convergence of the DESCM algorithm is of the rate $O \left( \frac{N^{5/2}}{\log(N)^2} e^{-\kappa N/\log(N)} \right)$ for some $\kappa > 0$ as $N \to \infty$, where $2N + 1$ is the dimension of the resulting generalized eigenvalue system. Our convergence result helps to explain the performance enhancement that Sinc collocation methods receive when using variable transformations with double exponential decay instead of single exponential decay. Three singular SL problems are treated and comparisons with the single exponential transformation are presented for each example clearly illustrating the superiority of the DESCM. Lastly, we demonstrate using an example how the conformal mapping presented in the Eggert et al.`s transformation [27] can be used to improve convergence of the DESCM when the coefficients functions $q(x)$ and $\rho(x)$ in [10] are not analytic.

All calculations are performed using the programming language Julia [37] and all the codes are available upon request.
2 Definitions and properties

The sinc function is defined by the following expression:
\[ \text{sinc}(z) = \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z}, \]  
(1)
where \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and the value at \( z = 0 \) is taken to be the limiting value \( \text{sinc}(0) = 1 \).

For \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( h \) a positive number, we define the Sinc function \( S(j, h)(x) \) by:
\[ S(j, h)(x) = \text{sinc}\left(\frac{x - jh}{h}\right), \]  
(2)

One of the most important properties of Sinc functions is their discrete orthogonality which is given by:
\[ S(j, h)(kh) = \delta_{j,k} \text{ for } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \]  
(3)
where \( \delta_{j,k} \) is the Kronecker delta function.

**Definition 2.1.** \([16]\) Given any function \( v \) defined everywhere on the real line and any \( h > 0 \), the Sinc expansion of \( v \) is defined by the following series:
\[ C(v, h)(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} v_{j,h} S(j, h)(x), \]  
(4)
where \( v_{j,h} = v(jh) \).

The truncated Sinc expansion of \( v \) is defined by the following series:
\[ C_{M,N}(v, h)(x) = \sum_{j=-M}^{N} v_{j,h} S(j, h)(x). \]  
(5)

In \([16]\), a class of functions which are successfully approximated by Sinc expansions is introduced. We present the definition for this class of functions below.

**Definition 2.2.** \([16]\) Let \( d > 0 \) and let \( \mathcal{D}_d \) denote the strip of width 2d about the real axis:
\[ \mathcal{D}_d = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im(z)| < d \}. \]  
(6)
In addition, for \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \), let \( \mathcal{D}_d(\epsilon) \) denote the rectangle in the complex plane:
\[ \mathcal{D}_d(\epsilon) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| < 1/\epsilon, |\Im(z)| < d(1 - \epsilon) \}. \]  
(7)
Let \( \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}_d) \) denote the family of all functions \( g \) that are analytic in \( \mathcal{D}_d \), such that:
\[ \int_{-d}^{d} |g(x + iy)| dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow \pm \infty, \]  
(8)
and such that:
\[ N_2(g, \mathcal{D}_d) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}_d(\epsilon)} |g(z)|^2 |dz| \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \]  
(9)

The Sturm-Liouville (SL) equation in Liouville form is defined as follows:
\[ Lu(x) = -u''(x) + q(x)u(x) = \lambda p(x)u(x) \quad a < x < b \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0, \]  
(10)
where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. Additionally, the function $q(x)$ is assumed non-negative and the weight function $\rho(x)$ is assumed positive. The values $\lambda$ are known as the eigenvalues of the SL equation. The SL equation (10) is classified as either regular or singular depending on the endpoints $a$ and $b$ [35].

In [27], Eggert et al. demonstrate that when using Sinc expansion approximations for solving the SL boundary value problem (10), an appropriate change of variables results in a symmetric discretized system. The change of variable they propose is of the form [27, Definition 2.1]:

$$v(x) = \left(\sqrt{\phi^{-1}}\right)' u \circ \phi(x) \quad \implies \quad u(x) = \frac{v \circ \phi^{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{(\phi^{-1}(x))'}},$$

(11)

where $\phi^{-1}(x)$ a conformal map of a simply connected domain in the complex plane with boundary points $a \neq b$ such as $\phi^{-1}(a) = -\infty$ and $\phi^{-1}(b) = \infty$. Examples of such conformal maps are given in Table 1 where conformal maps inducing single exponential decay are given as $\phi_{SE}$ and double exponential decay as $\phi_{DE}$.

Table 1: Table of exponential variable transformations.

| Interval          | $\phi_{SE}$          | $\phi_{DE}$          |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| $(0, 1)$          | $\frac{1}{2} \tanh(t) + \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \tanh(\sinh(t)) + \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(0, \infty)$     | $\arcsinh(e^t) / t$ | $\arcsinh(e^{\sinh(t)}) / \sinh(t)$ |
| $(-\infty, \infty)$ | $\lambda \rho$       | $\rho$                |

Applying the change of variable (11) to (10), one obtains [27]:

$$\mathcal{L} v(x) = -v''(x) + \bar{q}(x)v(x) = \lambda \rho(\phi(x))(\phi'(x))^2v(x),$$

(12)

where:

$$\bar{q}(x) = -\sqrt{\phi'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{\phi'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sqrt{\phi'(x)} \right) \right) + (\phi'(x))^2 q(\phi(x)).$$

(13)

To apply the SCM method, one begins by approximating the solution of (12) by the truncated Sinc expansion (5) where the terms $v_{j,h}$ are unknown scalar weights and $h$ is a mesh size. Inserting (5) into (12) and collocating at the Sinc points, we obtain the following system:

$$\mathcal{L} C_{M,N}(v, h)(x_k) = \sum_{j=-M}^{N} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx_k^2} S(j, h)(x_k) + \bar{q}(x_k) S(j, h)(x_k) \right] v_{j,h} = \mu \sum_{j=-M}^{N} S(j, h)(x_k)(\phi'(x_k))^2 \rho(\phi(x_k)) v_{j,h},$$

(14)

where $x_k = kh$ for $k = -M, \ldots, N$ and $\mu$ is the approximation of the eigenvalue $\lambda$ in (12).

If we let $\delta_{j,k}^{(l)}$ be the $l$th Sinc differentiation matrix with unit mesh size [39]:

$$\delta_{j,k}^{(l)} = h^l \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l S(j, h)(x) \bigg|_{x=kh},$$

(15)

then we obtain equivalently:

$$\sum_{j=-M}^{N} \left[ -\frac{1}{h^2} \delta_{j,k}^{(2)} + \bar{q}(kh) \delta_{j,k}^{(0)} \right] v_{j,h} = \mu \sum_{j=-M}^{N} \delta_{j,k}^{(0)}(\phi'(kh))^2 \rho(\phi(kh)) v_{j,h}.$$

(16)

Equation (16) can be rewritten in a matrix form as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} C_{M,N}(v, h) = A v = \mu D^2 v \quad \implies \quad (A - \mu D^2)v = 0,$$

(17)
where the vectors $v$ and $C_{M,N}(v, h)$ are given by:

$$v = (v(-Nh), \ldots, v(Nh))^T$$

$$C_{M,N}(v, h) = (C_{M,N}(v, h)(-Mh), \ldots, C_{M,N}(v, h)(Nh))^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The entries $A_{j,k}$ of the $(N + M + 1) \times (N + M + 1)$ matrix $A$ are given by:

$$A_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{h^2} \delta^{(2)}_{j,k} + \tilde{q}(kh) \delta^{(0)}_{j,k} \text{ with } -M \leq j, k \leq N,$$

and the entries $D^2_{j,k}$ of the $(N + M + 1) \times (N + M + 1)$ diagonal matrix $D^2$ are given by:

$$D^2_{j,k} = (\phi'(kh))^2 \rho(\phi(kh)) \delta^{(0)}_{j,k} \text{ with } -M \leq j, k \leq N.$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

To obtain nontrivial solutions for $v$, we set:

$$\det(A - D^2\mu) = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

To find an approximation of the eigenvalues of equation (12), one simply has to solve the generalized eigenvalue problem. From this it follows that there is no need to find the solution $v(x)$ of (12) in order to find its eigenvalues. However, most modern eigensolvers can give eigenvalues and eigenvectors at the same time.

To implement SESCM, one needs to find a function $\phi$ for the substitution (11) that would result in the solution of (12) to decay single exponentially. In [27], an upper bound for the error between the eigenvalues $\lambda$ in (12) and their approximations $\mu$ in (17) is obtained when $|v(t)| \leq C \exp(-\alpha|t|)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ on the real line. This upper bound is given by [27]:

$$|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta \lambda N^{3/2}} \exp(-\sqrt{\pi d \alpha N}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $K_{v,d}$ is a constant that depends on $v$ and $d$. The optimal step size $h$ is given by:

$$h = \left( \frac{\pi d}{\alpha N} \right)^{1/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

In [27], Eggert et al. consider the case where $|v(t)| \leq C \exp(-\alpha|t|)$. For the more general case where $|v(t)| \leq C \exp(-\alpha|t|^\rho)$ for some $\rho > 0$, the optimal step size is given by [35]:

$$h = \left( \frac{\pi d}{(\alpha N)^\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

and in this case equation (22) becomes [35]:

$$|\mu - \lambda| = O \left( \exp(-\pi d \alpha N^{1/2}) \right) \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

3 The double exponential Sinc collocation method (DESCM)

The double exponential transformation is analogous to the single exponential transformation with the exception that we require the function $v(x)$ to decay double exponentially at the endpoints of its domain.

Similarly to the SESCM, we approximate the solution $v(x)$ of (12) by the truncated Sinc expansion (5).

To analyse the convergence of the DESCM method, we need to consider the error of the second derivative of the truncated Sinc expansion of the solution $v(x)$:

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(C_{M,N}(v, h)(x)) = \sum_{k=-M}^{N} \left( v_{j,k} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}(S(k, h)(x)) \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)
A bound for this error is established in the following lemma. Firstly, let \( W(x) \) be the Lambert W function, \(|x|\) the floor function, \([x]\) the ceiling function, and \((x)^+ = \max\{x, 0\}\). Let also \( \|\cdot\|_2 \) denote the \( L^2 \) norm for Lebesgue integrable functions:
\[
\|f(x)\|_2 = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
\] (27)

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( E_{M,N}^{(2)}(g,h)(x) \) denote the error of approximating the second derivative of a function \( g \) by the second derivative of its truncated Sinc expansion:
\[
E_{M,N}^{(2)}(g,h)(x) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} [g(x)] - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[ C_{M,N}(g,h)(x) \right].
\] (28)

Let:
\[
|g(x)| \leq A \begin{cases} \exp(-\beta_L \exp(\gamma_L|x|)) & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, 0] \\ \exp(-\beta_R \exp(\gamma_R|x|)) & \text{for } x \in [0, \infty), \end{cases}
\] (29)

where \( A, \beta_L, \beta_R, \gamma_L, \gamma_R > 0 \).

Moreover, assume that \( g \in B_2(D_d) \) with \( d \leq \frac{\pi}{2\gamma} \), where \( \gamma = \max\{\gamma_L, \gamma_R\} \). If the mesh size \( h \) is given by:
\[
h = \frac{\log(\pi d\gamma n/\beta)}{\gamma n},
\] (30)

then:
\[
\|E_{M,N}^{(2)}(g,h)(x)\|_2 \leq K_{g,d} \left( \frac{n}{\log(n)} \right)^{5/2} \exp\left( -\frac{\pi d\gamma n}{\log(\pi d\gamma n/\beta)} \right),
\] (32)

where \( K_{g,d} \) is a positive constant that depends on the function \( g \) and \( d \).

**Proof.** To begin, we re-write the Sinc expansion of \( g \) as follows:
\[
E_{M,N}^{(2)}(g,h)(x) = g''(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-\infty} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x) + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x).
\] (33)

The difference of the first two terms in (33) is known as the sampling or discretization error while the sum of the last two terms corresponds to the truncation error. Using the triangle inequality, we obtain:
\[
\|E_{M,N}^{(2)}(g,h)(x)\|_2 \leq \left\| g''(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-\infty} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x) \right\|_2 + \left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x) \right\|_2 + \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} g(kh)S(k,h)''(x) \right\|_2.
\] (34)
From [10, Theorem 3.5.1], we have:

\[
\left| g''(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g(kh)S(k, h)''(x) \right|_2 \leq B_{g,d} \frac{\exp(-\pi d/h)}{h^2},
\]

where \( B_{g,d} \) is a constant that depends on \( g \) and \( d \).

In the proof of [11, Theorem 4.3], Lundin et al. derive the following result:

\[
\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} g(kh)S(k, h)''(x) \right|_2 \leq C_{g,d} \frac{\exp(-\beta_R \exp(\gamma_R Nh))}{h^{5/2}}.
\]

for some constant \( C_{g,d} \) that depends on the function \( g \) and \( d \).

Utilizing (36) with the bound in (29), we obtain:

\[
\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} g(kh)S(k, h)''(x) \right|_2 \leq F_{g,d} \frac{\exp(-\beta_L \exp(\gamma_L Mh))}{h^{5/2}},
\]

where \( F_{g,d} \) is a constant that depends on \( g \) and \( d \). Similarly, we can also obtain the following upper bound:

\[
\left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-M-1} g(kh)S(k, h)''(x) \right|_2 \leq G_{g,d} \frac{\exp(-\beta_R \exp(\gamma_R Nh))}{h^{5/2}},
\]

where \( G_{g,d} \) is a constant that depends on \( g \) and \( d \).

Equating the exponential terms in (37) and (38) and solving for \( N \) or \( M \) keeping in mind that \( M, N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \left( \frac{\gamma_L M + \log (\beta_L/\beta_R)}{\gamma_R} \right)^+ \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L > \gamma_R \\
M &= \left( \frac{\gamma_R N + \log (\beta_R/\beta_L)}{\gamma_L} \right)^+ \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_R > \gamma_L \\
N &= \left[ M + \log (\beta_L/\beta_R) \right] / \gamma_R h \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L = \gamma_R \text{ and } \beta_L \geq \beta_R \\
M &= \left[ N + \log (\beta_R/\beta_L) \right] / \gamma_L h \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L = \gamma_R \text{ and } \beta_R \geq \beta_L.
\end{align*}
\]

As can be seen from the above equation, \( M \) and \( N \) are functions of the step size \( h \).

Combining (35), (37) and (38), we obtain:

\[
\|E^{(2)}_{MN}(g,h)(x)\|_2 \leq B_{g,d} \frac{\exp(-\pi d/h)}{h^2} + (F_{g,d} + G_{g,d}) \frac{\exp(-\beta \exp(\gamma h))}{h^{5/2}},
\]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
n = M, \quad \beta = \beta_L & \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L > \gamma_R \\
n = N, \quad \beta = \beta_R & \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_R > \gamma_L \\
n = M, \quad \beta = \beta_L & \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L = \gamma_R \text{ and } \beta_L \geq \beta_R \\
n = N, \quad \beta = \beta_R & \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma_L = \gamma_R \text{ and } \beta_R \geq \beta_L.
\end{align*}
\]

Equating the exponential terms in the RHS of equation (40) and solving for \( h \), we obtain:

\[
h = \frac{W(\pi d/\gamma \beta)}{\gamma \beta}.
\]

Substituting this result in equation (35), we obtain equation (31).
The first term in the asymptotic expansion of the Lambert W function as $x \to \infty$ is given by \[ (43) \]

Consequently the asymptotic value for the mesh size $h$ as $n \to \infty$ is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
  h &\sim \frac{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)}{\gamma n} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\] (44)

Substituting equation (44) into equation (40) and simplifying, we obtain equation (32).

We shall now state a theorem establishing the convergence of the eigenvalues of a discretized SL problem when the solution decays double exponentially.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\lambda$ and $v(x)$ be an eigenpair of the transformed differential equation (12). Assume there exist positive constants $A, \beta_L, \beta_R, \gamma_L, \gamma_R$ such that:

\[
|v(x)| \leq A \begin{cases} 
  \exp(-\beta_L \exp(\gamma_L|x|)) & \text{for} \quad x \in (-\infty, 0] \\
  \exp(-\beta_R \exp(\gamma_R|x|)) & \text{for} \quad x \in [0, \infty)
\end{cases}
\] (45)

If $v \in B_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $d \leq \frac{\pi}{2\gamma}$, where $\gamma = \max\{\gamma_L, \gamma_R\}$.

If there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\tilde{q}(x) \geq \delta^{-1}$ and if the optimal mesh size $h$ is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
  h &\sim \frac{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)}{\gamma n} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\] (46)

where $n$ and $\beta$ are given by (31).

Then, there is an eigenvalue $\mu$ of the generalized eigenvalue problem satisfying:

\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta \lambda} \left( \frac{n^{5/2}}{\log(n)^2} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\] (47)

where $K_{v,d}$ is a constant that depends on $v$ and $d$.

**Proof.** In general, SL differential equations and their transformed counterpart (12) have an infinite number of eigenpairs $\{(\lambda_i, v_i(x))\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since the choice of the eigenpair is arbitrary for the procedure of this proof, we will abstain from using indices on the eigenvalues $\lambda$ as well as on the eigenfunctions $v(x)$.

First, we assume that the arbitrary eigenpair $\lambda$ and $v(x)$ of the transformed differential equation (12) can be normalized as follows:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x)^2 \rho(\phi(x))(\phi'(x))^2 dx = 1.
\] (48)

This is equivalent to normalization condition for the original system:

\[
\int_{a}^{b} u(x)^2 \rho(x) dx = 1.
\] (49)

Applying equation (12) to the collocation points $x = jh$ for $-M \leq j \leq N$ leads to:

\[
\mathcal{L}v = \lambda \text{diag} \left( \rho(\phi')^2 \right) v = \lambda D^2 v,
\] (50)

where $v$ is defined in (18), $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction $v(x)$ and the matrix $D$ is given by:

\[
D = \text{diag} \left( \sqrt{\rho(\phi')} \right).
\] (51)
Taking the difference between equation (50) and equation (17), we obtain:

\[
\Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{C}_{M,N}(v, h) - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{D}^2)\mathbf{v},
\]

where the vector \(\mathbf{C}_{M,N}(v, h)\) is defined in (18).

As stated in [43], since \(\mathbf{A}\) and \(\mathbf{D}^2\) are symmetric positive definite matrices, there exist generalized orthogonal eigenvectors \(\mathbf{z}_i\) and generalized positive real eigenvalues \(\mu_M \leq \mu_{M+1} \leq \ldots \leq \mu_N\) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z} &= \text{diag}((\mu_M, \ldots, \mu_N)) \quad (53) \\
\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{I} \quad (54) \\
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}_i &= \mu_i \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{z}_i. \quad (55)
\end{align*}
\]

The matrix \(\mathbf{Z}\) is simply a matrix with the generalized eigenvectors \(\mathbf{z}_i\) as its columns. Equations (53), (54) and (55) are analogous to the spectral decomposition of one symmetric positive definite matrix, i.e. when \(\mathbf{D}^2 = \mathbf{I}\). However, in this case \(\mathbf{D}^2 \neq \mathbf{I}\) and we are dealing with a generalized eigenvalue problem with two symmetric positive definite matrices. It is important to note that the matrices \(\mathbf{A}\) and \(\mathbf{D}^2\) generate \(N + M + 1\) generalized eigenvalues. Since we are only interested in the generalized eigenvalue that approximates \(\lambda\), \(N + M\) of these generalized eigenvalues are not useful in this proof. The following demonstration will determine a systematic way to discard these remaining \(N + M\) eigenvalues. In other words, we will demonstrate that there exists a sequence of generalized eigenvalues \(\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that this sequence converges to the eigenvalue \(\lambda\).

Since all the eigenvectors \(\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=-M}^{N}\) are linearly independent, there exists constants \(b_i\) such that:

\[
\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=-M}^{N} b_i \mathbf{z}_i. \quad (56)
\]

Note that the values \(b_i\) depend on the vector \(\mathbf{v}\) and consequently on the eigenfunction \(v(x)\).

Substituting (56) in the RHS of (57) and using (55), we obtain:

\[
\Delta \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=-M}^{N} b_i (\mu_i - \lambda) \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{z}_i. \quad (57)
\]

Multiplying both sides of (57) by \(\mathbf{z}_j^T\) and utilizing (54), we obtain:

\[
\mathbf{z}_j^T \Delta \mathbf{v} = b_j (\mu_j - \lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad j = -M, \ldots, N. \quad (58)
\]

Moreover, by multiplying both sides of (57) by the matrix \(\mathbf{D}^2\), and taking the inner product of the resulting vector with \(\mathbf{v}\) and using (55), we obtain:

\[
||\mathbf{D} \mathbf{v}||^2 = \sum_{i=-M}^{N} b_i^2 \leq (N + M + 1) b_p^2, \quad (59)
\]

where:

\[
b_p = \max_{-M \leq i \leq N} \{ |b_i| \}. \quad (60)
\]

Note that the value of \(b_p\) depends on the vector \(\mathbf{v}\) and consequently on the eigenfunction \(v(x)\) as can be seen from (60). Moreover, the index \(p\) depends on the range \((-M, \ldots, N)\). Since \(v \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{D}_d)\) and it satisfies the decay condition (15), we have the following relation when applying the trapezoidal quadrature rule to (17):

\[
1 = h \sum_{k=-M}^{N} v(jh)^2 \rho(\phi(jh))(\phi'(jh))^2 + \epsilon(v, M, N)
\]
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We now have to consider two cases. For fixed $N$ and $M$, we have:

$$|\mu - \lambda| \leq \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = \mu - \lambda + \lambda \leq |\mu - \lambda| + \lambda \leq 2\lambda$$
$$|\mu - \lambda| > \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = \mu - \lambda + \lambda \leq |\mu - \lambda| + \lambda \leq 2|\mu - \lambda|.$$  

(67)

Combining these inequalities with (65) leads to the following results:

$$|\mu - \lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta|\mu-\lambda|}((N + M + 1)h)^{1/2}||\Delta v||_2$$

when $|\mu - \lambda| > \lambda$

$$|\mu - \lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta|\lambda|}((N + M + 1)h)^{1/2}||\Delta v||_2$$

when $|\mu - \lambda| \leq \lambda$.  

(68)

Next, we will consider the quantity $||\Delta v||_2$. It is easy to show that:

$$|\Delta v(jh)| = |\mathcal{L} C_{M,N}(v, h)(jh) - \mathcal{L} v(jh)|$$

$$= \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2}C_{M,N}(v, h)(jh) - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}v(jh) \right|$$
\[
\frac{g, h}{jh}.
\]
Hence, using lemma 3.1 with:
\[
h = \frac{\log(\pi d \gamma n/B)}{\gamma n},
\]
we can derive the following result:
\[
\|\Delta v\|_2 \leq F_{v,d} \left( \frac{n}{\log(n)} \right)^{5/2} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right),
\]
where \(F_{v,d}\) is a constant that depends on \(v\) and \(d\).

Combining (71) with (68), we obtain:
\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta} |\mu - \lambda| \frac{n^{5/2}}{\log(n)^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{when } |\mu - \lambda| > \lambda
\]
\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta} \frac{n^{5/2}}{\log(n)^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{when } |\mu - \lambda| \leq \lambda,
\]
where \(K_{v,d}\) is a constant that depends on \(v\) and \(d\). Simplifying, we obtain:
\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d}^2 \delta \frac{n^5}{\log(n)^4} \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{when } |\mu - \lambda| > \lambda
\]
\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta} \frac{n^{5/2}}{\log(n)^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{when } |\mu - \lambda| \leq \lambda.
\]

The bounds in (73) demonstrate that for fixed \(n\), one of the generalized eigenvalues of the matrices \(A\) and \(D^2\) of size \((N + M + 1) \times (N + M + 1)\) will approximate the eigenvalues \(\lambda\). As \(n\) increases, we will create a sequence of generalized eigenvalues that converges to the eigenvalue \(\lambda\). Equation (73) also indicates that \(|\mu - \lambda| \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\) for all eigenvalues \(\lambda\). Moreover, as \(n\) increases, the second case in equation (73) will take precedence since \(|\mu - \lambda| \leq \lambda\). Hence we obtain the following asymptotic error estimate:
\[
|\mu - \lambda| \leq K_{v,d} \sqrt{\delta} \left( \frac{n^{5/2}}{\log(n)^2} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\pi d \gamma n}{\log(\pi d \gamma n/\beta)} \right) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

Since this process can be done for any arbitrary eigenpair \(\{\lambda_i, v_i(x)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}\), it is clear from (74) that every eigenvalue \(\lambda\) will satisfy the following bounds for a correct sequence of generalized eigenvalues \(\mu\).

The dependence on the value of \(\lambda\) in the right-hand side of (74) demonstrates that convergence for eigenvalues on the lower end of the eigenvalue spectrum will be slightly faster. Nevertheless, the exponential term decreases very rapidly to 0 as \(n \to \infty\) regardless of the value of \(\lambda\).

4 Numerical Discussion

In the following section, we will investigate the convergence of the DESCM compared with the SESCM for various equations. Before we proceed with the examples, we would like to address the choice of the optimal mesh for the DESCM. As shown in [45], the use of the mesh size in equation (42) instead of equation (30) often leads to markedly superior results for intermediate values of \(N\). Moreover, both these formulas for the mesh size \(h\) will lead to the same asymptotic error estimate in Theorem 3.2.

All calculations are performed using the programming language Julia [37] in double precision. The eigenvalue solvers in Julia utilize the famous linear algebra package LAPACK [46]. To produce our figures, we use the Julia package Winston [47]. The matrices \(A\) and \(D^2\) are constructed using equations (19) and (20) respectively.
To measure the performance of the DESINC method when the generalized eigenvalues of interest are known analytically, we use the absolute error as follows:

$$\text{Absolute error} = |\mu_i(n) - \lambda_i| \quad \text{for} \quad n, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (75)$$

where $\mu_i(n)$ is the $n^{th}$ approximation to the $i^{th}$ eigenvalue $\lambda_i$.

For the example 4.3, since the exact generalized eigenvalues are not known analytically, we computed approximations to absolute errors as follows:

$$\text{Absolute error approximation} = |\mu_i(n) - \mu_i(n-1)| \quad \text{for} \quad n, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (76)$$

where $\mu_i(n)$ and $\mu_i(n-1)$ are the $n^{th}$ and $(n-1)^{th}$ approximations to the $i^{th}$ eigenvalue $\lambda_i$ respectively.

### 4.1 Bessel Equation

The Bessel equation \[38\] for $n \geq 1$ is defined by:

$$-u''(x) + \frac{4n^2 - 1}{x^2} u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0. \quad (77)$$

The solutions of \[38\] are given by:

$$u_m(x) = x^{1/2} J_n(x \sqrt{\lambda_m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_m = j_{m,n}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad (78)$$

where $j_{m,n}$ are the positive zeros of the Bessel function $J_n(x)$. In this case, the point $x = 0$ is a regular singular point.

The solution $u(x)$ has the following asymptotic behavior near the endpoints:

$$u(x) \sim \begin{cases} a_1 x^{n+1/2} & \text{as} \quad x \to 0 \\ a_2 (x-1) & \text{as} \quad x \to 1, \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

for some constants $a_1$ and $a_2$.

To implement the double exponential transformation, we use the first mapping in Table 1:

$$x = \phi_{DE}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \tanh(\sinh(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\exp(-t)) & \text{as} \quad t \to -\infty \\ \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\exp(t)) & \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \end{cases} \quad (80)$$

Hence, the transformed equation \[12\] is given by:

$$-v''(t) + \left( \cosh^2(t) + \frac{3}{4} \text{sech}^2(t) + \frac{(4n^2 - 1) \cosh^2(t)}{(e^{2\sinh(t)} + 1)^2} \right) v(t) = \lambda \left( \frac{\cosh(t)}{2 \cosh^2(\sinh(t))} \right)^2 v(t). \quad (81)$$

The solution of \[81\] has the following asymptotic behavior near infinities:

$$v(t) \sim \begin{cases} \alpha_1 \exp \left( \frac{t}{2} - n \exp(-t) \right) & \text{as} \quad t \to -\infty \\ \alpha_2 \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \exp(t) \right) & \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

for some constants $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. 
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Consequently, we can establish the following bound for $v(t)$:

$$|v(t)| \leq A \exp(-n \exp(|t|)) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

(83)

for some constant $A$.

Using equation (42) with $\gamma = 1$, $\beta = n$ and $d = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we obtain:

$$h = \frac{W(\pi^2 N/2n)}{N}. \quad (84)$$

To implement the single exponential transformation, we proceed as described in [27].

Before we conclude this numerical example, we mention that nonsymmetric Sinc expansions can provide numerical efficiency in problems where the solutions to the transformed SL equation (12) have different asymptotic behaviour at both infinities. To illustrate this claim, we will compare the symmetric and nonsymmetric Sinc expansions for this example.

For the transformed Bessel equation (81), using equation (31) with $B_L = n$, $B_R = 1/2$, $\gamma_L = 1$ and $\gamma_R = 1$, we obtain the following equation for the number of right collocation points:

$$N = \left\lceil M \left(1 + \frac{\log(2n)}{W(\pi^2 M/2n)}\right)\right\rceil. \quad (85)$$

Using (42), we obtain:

$$h = \frac{W(\pi^2 M/2n)}{M}. \quad (86)$$

Figure 1 displays the absolute error for the symmetric and nonsymmetric DESCM and SESCM for the first eigenvalue of equation (77) with $n = 7$ and $\lambda_1 \approx 122.9076002036162$.

It is clear from Figure 1 that the symmetric DESCM outperforms the SESCM and more importantly the nonsymmetric DESCM proves to be far superior compared to both methods.

---

Figure 1: Plot of the absolute convergence of the SESCM as well as the symmetric and nonsymmetric DESCMs for the first eigenvalue $\lambda \approx 122.9076002036162$ of equation (77) with $n = 7$. 
4.2 Laguerre Equation

The Laguerre equation in Liouville form \[38\] for \(\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)\) is defined by:

\[
- u''(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 1/4}{x^2} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} + \frac{x^2}{16}\right) u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad 0 < x < \infty
\]

\[u(0) = u(\infty) = 0.\]  \hspace{1cm} (87)

Equation (87) has the following analytic eigenvalues independent of \(\alpha\):

\[\lambda_n = n - 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.\]  \hspace{1cm} (88)

We will consider the case \(\alpha > 1/2\) where the point \(x = 0\) is a regular singular point.

The solution \(u(x)\) has the following behavior near the endpoints:

\[u(x) \sim \begin{cases} 
  A x^{\alpha+1/2} & \text{as } x \to 0 \\
  B x^{\lambda+\alpha+1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{8}\right) & \text{as } x \to \infty,
\end{cases}\]  \hspace{1cm} (89)

for some constants \(A\) and \(B\).

To implement the double exponential transformation, we use the second mapping in Table 1:

\[x = \phi_{DE}(t) = \text{arcsinh}(e^{\sinh(t)}) \sim \begin{cases} 
  \exp\left[-\frac{\exp(-t)}{2}\right] & \text{as } t \to -\infty \\
  \exp(t) & \text{as } t \to \infty.
\end{cases}\]  \hspace{1cm} (90)

Hence, the transformed equation (12) is given by:

\[
- v''(t) + \left[\frac{3 \cosh^2(x)}{16} \left(\tanh(\sinh(x)) + \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{\cosh^2(x)}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \text{sech}^2(x) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{\alpha^2 - 1/4}{\arcsinh^2(e^{\sinh(t)})} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} + \arcsinh^2(e^{\sinh(t)}) \right] \frac{\cosh^2(t)}{1 + e^{-2\sinh(t)}} \right] v(t) \\
= \frac{\lambda \cosh^2(t)}{1 + e^{-2\sinh(t)}} v(t). \]  \hspace{1cm} (91)

The solution of (91) has the following asymptotic behavior near infinities:

\[v(t) \sim \begin{cases} 
  A' \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \exp(-t)\right) & \text{as } t \to -\infty \\
  B' \exp(t(\alpha + 2\lambda) - \frac{1}{16} \exp(2t)) & \text{as } t \to \infty,
\end{cases}\]  \hspace{1cm} (92)

for some constants \(A'\) and \(B'\).

Consequently, we can establish the following bound for \(v(t)\):

\[|v(t)| \leq \tilde{A} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{32} \exp(2|t|)\right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\]  \hspace{1cm} (93)

for some constant \(\tilde{A}\).

Using equation (42) with \(\gamma = 2, \beta = \frac{1}{32}\) and \(d = \frac{\pi}{4}\), we obtain:

\[h = \frac{W(16\pi^2N)}{2N}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (94)
Since the solution to the transformed Laguerre equation \(91\) has different asymptotic behaviour at both infinities, we can use a nonsymmetric Sinc expansion. Using equation \(42\) with \(B_L = \alpha/2\), \(B_R = 1/32\), \(\gamma_L = 1\) and \(\gamma_R = 2\), we obtain the following equation for the number of left collocation points:

\[
M = \max \left\{ \left\lfloor 2N \left( 1 - \frac{\log(16\alpha)}{W(16\pi^2 N)} \right) \right\rfloor, 0 \right\}. \quad (95)
\]

The step size in this case is given by equation \(42\) as:

\[
h = \frac{W(16\pi^2 N)}{2N}. \quad (96)
\]

Figure 2 displays the absolute error for the DESCM and SESCM for the first eigenvalue of equation \(87\) with \(\alpha = 3\) and \(\lambda_1 = 0\). Here again, the nonsymmetric case performs better than the symmetric case.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SINGLE} & \quad \text{DOUBLE Symmetric} \\
\text{DOUBLE Nonsymmetric} & \quad \text{Absolute Error for energy level } n = 0
\end{align*}
\]

![Figure 2: Plot of the absolute convergence of the SESCM as well as the symmetric and nonsymmetric DESCMs for the first eigenvalue of equation (87) with \(\alpha = 3\) and \(\lambda_1 = 0\). Here again, the nonsymmetric case performs better than the symmetric case.](image)

4.3 Complex Singular equation

The following example illustrates the case where the coefficients \(q(x)\) and \(\rho(x)\) might have complex singularities close to the real line. In such instances the DESCM still outperforms the SESCM.

The singular equation that we consider is defined by the following:

\[
-u''(x) + \left( x^2 + \frac{\tanh(x)}{\log(x^2 + 1.1)} \right) u(x) = \frac{\lambda}{x^2 + \cos(x)} u(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty
\]

\[
u(-\infty) = u(\infty) = 0.
\]

(97)

Equation \(97\) has several points where the coefficient functions are not analytic. Firstly, the coefficient function:

\[
q(z) = z^2 + \frac{\tanh(z)}{\log(z^2 + 1.1)}, \quad (98)
\]

has complex singularities at the points:

\[
z = \pm i \sqrt{0.1} \quad \text{and} \quad z = i\pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (99)
\]
Secondly, the weight function:

\[ \rho(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + \cos(z)}, \quad (100) \]

has complex singularities at the points:

\[ z \approx \pm 1.621347946i \quad \text{and} \quad z \approx \pm 2.593916090i. \quad (101) \]

The solution \( u(x) \) has the following behavior near the boundary points:

\[ u(x) \sim A|x|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty, \quad (102) \]

for some constant \( A \).

Since this example is not treated in literature, we will present the implementation of the single exponential transformation. The solution already exhibits single exponential decay. Hence, to implement the single exponential transformation, we use the third mapping in Table 1:

\[ x = \phi_{SE}(t) = t. \quad (103) \]

Consequently, the transformed equation (12) is exactly the same as (97). Moreover, we can obtain a bound for the solution of (12), which is given by:

\[ |v(t)| \leq \tilde{A} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t^2\right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (104) \]

Due to the complex singularities in equations (99) and (101), the optimal value for the strip width is \( d = \sqrt{0.1} \).

Hence using equation (24) with \( \rho = 2 \) and \( \beta = \frac{1}{2} \), we obtain:

\[ h = \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{0.1}}{N^2}\right)^{1/3}. \quad (105) \]

To implement the double exponential transformation, we use the third mapping in Table 1

\[ x = \phi_{DE}(t) = \sinh(t) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{-\exp(-t)}{2} & \text{as} \quad t \to -\infty \\ \frac{\exp(t)}{2} & \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \end{cases} \quad (106) \]

Hence, the transformed equation (12) is given by:

\[ -v''(t) + \left[\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4}\text{sech}^2(t) + \sinh(t)^2 + \frac{\tanh(\sinh(t)) \cosh^2(t)}{\ln(\sinh^2(t) + 1.1)}\right] v(t) = \left[\frac{\lambda \cosh^2(t)}{\sinh^2(t) + \cos(\sinh(t))}\right] v(t). \quad (107) \]

The solution of (107) has the following asymptotic behavior near infinities:

\[ v(t) \sim A' \exp\left(-|t| - \frac{1}{8} \exp(2|t|)\right) \quad \text{as} \quad |t| \to \infty, \quad (108) \]

for some constants \( A' \).

Consequently, \( v(t) \) can be bounded as follows:

\[ |v(t)| \leq \tilde{A} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8} \exp(2|t|)\right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (109) \]
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The conformal map \( \phi(t) = \sinh(t) \) moves the singularities in equations (99) and (101) as follows. First, the coefficient function:

\[
\tilde{q}(z) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \sech^2(z) + \sinh(z)^2 + \frac{\tanh(\sinh(z)) \cosh^2(z)}{\ln(\sinh^2(z) + 1.1)},
\]

has complex singularities at the points:

\[
\pm i \arcsin\left( \sqrt{0.1} \right), \quad i \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + n\pi \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \pm \left( \text{arccosh} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right) \quad \text{with} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\] (111)

Second, the weight function:

\[
\rho(\sinh(z)) \cosh^2(z) = \frac{\cosh^2(z)}{\sinh(z)^2 + \cos(\sinh(z))},
\]

has complex singularities at the points:

\[
z \approx \pm \left( 1.063876028 + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad z \approx \pm \left( 1.606899463 + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right).
\] (113)

Due to the complex singularities in equations (111) and equation (113), the optimal value for the strip width \( d \) is

\[
d = \arcsin\left( \sqrt{0.1} \right).
\]

Using equation (42) with \( \gamma = 2, \beta = \frac{1}{8} \) and \( d = \arcsin(\sqrt{0.1}) \), we obtain:

\[
h = \frac{W(16\pi \arcsin(\sqrt{0.1})N)}{2N}.
\] (114)

As can be seen from the analysis performed above, the conformal map \( \phi(t) = \sinh(t) \) requires the solution of equation (107) to belong to the function space \( B_2(D_{\arcsin(\sqrt{0.1})}) \). However, we will demonstrate that by choosing a conformal map of the form \( \phi(t) = \kappa \sinh(t) \) for some parameter \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \), we were able to create a solution to (107) that belongs to the function space \( B_2(D_{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \). Since \( \arcsin(\sqrt{0.1}) < \frac{\pi}{4} \), by Theorem 3.2 we expect eigenvalues of functions belonging to \( B_2(D_{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \) to converge faster. For more information on the use of conformal maps to accelerate convergence of Sinc numerical methods, we refer the interested reader to [48].

To implement the double exponential transformation for equation (107), we use the mapping:

\[
x = \phi_{DE}(t) = \kappa \sinh(t) \sim \begin{cases} 
-\frac{\exp(-t)}{2} & \text{as} \quad t \to -\infty \\
\frac{\exp(t)}{2} & \text{as} \quad t \to \infty
\end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \kappa < 1.
\] (115)

Hence, the transformed equation (12) is given by:

\[-v''(t) + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \sech^2(t) + \kappa^2 \sinh(t)^2 + \frac{\tanh(\kappa \sinh(t)) \kappa^2 \cosh^2(t)}{\ln(\kappa^2 \sinh^2(t) + 1.1)} \right) v(t) = \left( \frac{\lambda \kappa^2 \cosh^2(t)}{\kappa^2 \sinh^2(t) + \cos(\kappa \sinh(t))} \right) v(t).\] (116)

The solution of (107) has the following asymptotic behavior near infinities:

\[v(t) \sim A' \exp\left( -|t| - \frac{\kappa^2}{8} \exp(2|t|) \right) \quad \text{as} \quad |t| \to \infty,
\] (117)

for some constants \( A' \).
Consequently, \( v(t) \) can be bounded as follows:

\[
|v(t)| \leq \tilde{A} \exp \left( -\frac{\kappa^2}{8} \exp(2|t|) \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (118)

The conformal map \( \phi(t) = \kappa \sinh(t) \) moves the singularities in equations (99) and (101) as follows. Firstly, the coefficient function:

\[
\tilde{q}(z) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \text{sech}^2(t) + \kappa^2 \sinh(t)^2 + \frac{\tanh(\kappa \sinh(t)) \kappa^2 \cosh^2(t)}{\ln(\kappa^2 \sinh^2(t) + 1.1)},
\] (119)

has complex singularities at the points:

\[
\pm i \arcsin \left( \frac{\sqrt{0.1}}{\kappa} \right), \quad i \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + n\pi \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \pm \left( \arccosh \left( \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} + \frac{\pi n}{\kappa} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right) \quad \text{with} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\] (120)

Secondly, the weight function:

\[
\rho(\kappa \sinh(z)) \kappa^2 \cosh^2(z) = \frac{\kappa^2 \cosh^2(z)}{\kappa^2 \sinh(z)^2 + \cos(\kappa \sinh(z))},
\] (121)

has complex singularities at the points:

\[
z \approx \pm \left( \arccosh \left( \frac{1.621347946}{\kappa} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad z \approx \pm \left( \arccosh \left( \frac{2.593916090}{\kappa} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right).
\] (122)

By Theorem 3.2, the optimal value for the strip width \( d \) can be at most \( \frac{\pi}{4} \). Hence, by choosing \( \kappa = \sqrt{0.2} \), the closest singularities of equation (116) lie on the lines \( y = \pm i \frac{\pi}{4} \). Consequently, using equation (12) with \( \gamma = 2 \), \( \beta = \frac{0.2}{8} \) and \( d = \frac{\pi}{4} \), we obtain:

\[
h = \frac{W(20\pi^2 N)}{2N}.
\] (123)

Figure 3 displays the convergence rate of the DESCM and SESCM in computing approximations of the first eigenvalue \( \lambda \approx 0.690894228848 \) of the singular equation (97). It is clear that the convergence is further improved by using the adapted transformation \( \phi(t) = \sqrt{0.2} \sinh(t) \).

5 Conclusion

Computing the eigenvalues of singular Sturm-Liouville equations can be numerically challenging. In this work, we compute the eigenvalues of such equations using the Sinc-collocation method coupled with double exponential variable transformation. The implementation of the DESCM leads to a generalized eigenvalue problem with symmetric and positive definite matrices. In addition, we also show that the convergence of the DESCM is of the rate \( \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{N^{5/2}}{\log(N)^2} e^{-\kappa N/\log(N)} \right) \) for some \( \kappa > 0 \), as \( N \to \infty \) where \( 2N + 1 \) is the dimension of the resulting generalized eigenvalue system. Consequently, the DESCM outperforms the SESCM proposed in [27]. We follow up this claim by conducting numerical studies of Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems using both the SESCM and the DESCM. Finally, we also use adapted conformal mappings to accelerate the convergence of the DESCM to ensure the analyticity of the transformed coefficient functions in a strip of maximal width. In all our numerical examples, we were able to reach an unprecedented degree of accuracy. An in depth understanding of the numerical properties of Sinc matrices could prove beneficial when investigating fast eigensolvers algorithm based on Krylov subspace methods.
Figure 3: Plot of the absolute convergence of the SESCM as well as the symmetric and adapted DESCMs for the first eigenvalue $\lambda \approx 0.690894228848$ of equation (97).
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