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Reversible entanglement transfer between light and matter is a crucial requisite for the ongoing developments of quantum information technologies. Quantum networks and their envisioned applications, e.g., secure communications beyond direct transmission, distributed quantum computing, or enhanced sensing, rely on entanglement distribution between nodes. Although entanglement transfer has been demonstrated, a current roadblock is the limited efficiency of this process that can compromise the scalability of multi-step architectures. Here we demonstrate the efficient transfer of heralded single-photon entanglement into and out of two quantum memories based on large ensembles of cold cesium atoms. We achieve an overall storage-and-retrieval efficiency of 85% together with a preserved suppression of the two-photon component of about 10% of the value for a coherent state. Our work constitutes an important capability that is needed toward large scale networks and increased functionality. © 2020 Optical Society of America under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement
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1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum networks rely on the transfer of quantum states of light and their mapping into stationary quantum nodes [1,2]. Central to this endeavor is the distribution of entanglement between the material nodes, which opens a variety of major applications [3–5]. For instance, for long-distance quantum communications, the distance can be decomposed into shorter quantum repeater links connecting entangled memories. Subsequent entanglement swapping operations enable an exponential improvement in distribution time [6]. In this context, the efficiency of the entanglement mapping is a key parameter. As an example, an increase in storage-and-retrieval efficiency from 60% to 90% drastically decreases—typically by 2 orders of magnitude—the average time for entanglement distribution over a distance of 600 kilometers [7].

In this endeavor, quantum state transfer and entanglement mapping between photonic modes and stationary quantum nodes has been demonstrated in different physical platforms [8–11]. Seminal experiments based on quantum memories with cold neutral atoms [12,13] or doped crystals [14] have enabled the storage and retrieval of heralded single-photon entanglement. Extensions toward high-dimensional [15] and continuous-variable entanglement [16,17] have also been reported. However, in all these implementations, the overall transfer efficiency was limited between 15% and 25%. Despite the recent demonstrations of efficient quantum memories for polarization qubits [18,19], efficient entanglement transfer is a major challenge for network scalability that has yet to be realized.

Here, we demonstrate the implementation of highly efficient and reversible entanglement transfer combined with a very low multiphoton component. As illustrated in Fig. 1, single-photon entanglement is first heralded and then stored into two quantum memories based on elongated atomic ensembles of cold cesium atoms. After readout, entanglement is detected and compared to the input. Our implementation relies on temporally shaped single-photon pulses generated via the Duan–Lukin–Cirac–Zoller protocol (DLCZ) [20,21] and on the dynamic electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) technique for reversible storage [22]. The demonstrated capability required operating at a very large optical depth (OD) of the atomic ensembles on the D1 line of cesium, and with a strong and preserved suppression of the two-photon component.

2. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The setup is detailed in Fig. 2(a) and relies on a single 2.5-cm-long atomic ensemble. At a 20 Hz repetition rate, the experimental runs start with a loading phase of 37.5 ms in the magneto-optical trap (MOT). To achieve a large OD, the trap is based on two pairs of rectangular coils and on 2-inch-diameter trapping beams with a total power of 350 mW. An additional 8 ms compression stage,
Reversible entanglement transfer. (a) Single-photon entanglement is heralded, stored into two quantum memories, and read out on demand. The overall efficiency of the writing and reading transfer is a key parameter for scaling up quantum networks. (b) The memories are based on elongated ensembles of cold cesium atoms. (c) The EIT scheme used for storage is implemented on the cesium D₁ line. The entangled fields are resonant with the |g⟩ = |6S₁/2, F = 3⟩ to |e⟩ = |6P₁/2, F' = 4⟩ transition while the control field is tuned with the |s⟩ = |6S₁/2, F = 4⟩ to |e⟩ transition.

Fig. 1.

Setup and memory characterization. The experiment consists of two stages operated with the same ensemble of Cs atoms. (a) A 2.5-cm-long ensemble is prepared in a compressed MOT, with an OD up to 500. At first, a single photon is generated using the DLCZ protocol in a small transverse part of the ensemble. After sending a write pulse, the detection of a field-1 photon, with a probability p₁, heralds the creation of a single collective excitation. A read pulse produces then a field-2 single photon that propagates in a 1 µs fibered delay line and impinges on a beam displacer (BD). The resulting single-photon entanglement is mapped into and out of two memory ensembles via EIT, with a control propagating at 1° angle. Entanglement is finally characterized using a phase shifter (PS), a polarizing beam splitter (PBS), and two single-photon avalanche photodiodes (APD). Before detection, Fabry–Perot cavities (FPC) filter the control background. (b) Suppression of the two-photon component for Field 2 relative to a coherent state before and after retrieval as a function of p₁. (c) Storage-and-retrieval efficiency for a single photon in one of the memories as a function of OD. The efficiency reaches (87 ± 5)%.

The single photon is then sent through a 200-m-long single-mode fiber that introduces a 1 µs delay. After this propagation, the single photon impinges on a birefringent beam displacer (BD1) with a polarization at 45° from the axis, generating in the ideal case a single-photon entangled state,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0_a⟩|1_b⟩ + e^{i\phi} |1_a⟩|0_b⟩ \right),$$

where a and b denote the two optical paths. The relative phase \(\phi\) is passively stable due to the small interferometer defined by the BDs [24–26]. Entangling remote memories would require active phase locking, as demonstrated in [27]. The focus of our study is here on the efficiency of the entanglement transfer that can be achieved.

The second stage of our experiment consists in coherently mapping the entangled fields into two memories by dynamical EIT.
The ensembles are defined by two crossed optical paths, which are obtained by focusing the two 4-mm-apart parallel paths into the MOT with a small angle of 0.5° and a waist of 250 μm. A control field, on resonance with the |\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\phi\rangle transition and with a waist of 3 mm, opens a transparency window in both atomic ensembles. When the entangled fields propagate through the ensembles, the control is switched off adiabatically to coherently map them onto long-lived collective excitation, leading here to one excitation delocalized among the two memories. After a tunable delay, set to 1 μs for the presented results, the atomic entanglement is converted back into entangled photonic modes by switching on the control. Both control and entangled fields have the same circular polarization. To avoid leakage during the mapping, i.e., to ensure that the signal can be contained entirely in the ensemble when the control is switched off, the control power is chosen to provide a slow-light delay equal to twice the signal duration. In order to achieve an efficient entanglement transfer, a large storage-and-retrieval efficiency and therefore a very high OD is required for both paths. The small cross angle enables us to preserve a value up to 500. However, as studied in [18,28], the off-resonance excitation of multiple excited levels in alkaline atoms results into an effective decoherence rate that can limit the efficiency for large OD. For this reason, the experiment is performed here on the D1 line, where the separation between the two excited states is larger than 1.1 GHz, and this effect is thereby minimized relative to experiments on the D2 line for which efficiency peaks at about 70% before decreasing for larger OD [18].

3. MEMORY CHARACTERIZATION

We first study the mapping of the heralded single photon into and out of one memory, using one path of the interferometer. Figure 2(c) displays the measured storage-and-retrieval efficiency as a function of OD. Similar results are obtained for both memories. The efficiencies are compared to a full model based on Maxwell–Bloch equations, which takes into account the interaction of the signal and control with all the Zeeman and excited levels [18]. For this model, we consider an intrinsic ground state decoherence rate γ0 = 10⁻³Γ, as extracted from EIT spectra measurement, where Γ/2π = 4.5 MHz is the decay rate for D1 line. The data agree well with this model. The maximal achieved efficiency reaches (87 ± 5)% for an OD of about 500. As can be seen in Fig. 2(b) that provides the suppression w of the two-photon component before and after storage, the single-photon character is very well preserved. For the lowest excitation probability p1 ≈ 10⁻³ used in the memory experiment, w is equal to 0.11 ± 0.08 and shows no degradation within the error bar. Relative to the only other work on single-photon storage with high efficiency [19], this value is here 3 times lower, which is a stringent requirement for quantum repeater applications [25,29].

In this implementation, the memory lifetime \( \tau \), given by a Gaussian decay \( \exp(-t/\tau^2) \) as it comes from the residual inhomogeneous Zeeman broadening [30], is measured to be 15 μs. Motional dephasing due to the angle between the signal and the control would otherwise limit the lifetime to about 200 μs while the transit of the atoms from the interaction area when the MOT is released puts an upper limit below 10 ms. Various improvements could lead to a few-millisecond time scale, e.g., reducing the angle, which will require a more efficient filtering, optical pumping, which is challenging in high-OD media, or magnetic field bias to lift Zeeman degeneracy [31]. To access the sub-second regime, other optical trapping methods, e.g., dipole trapping, are required as demonstrated in [32,33] with 3D optical lattices. In that case, a cavity around the atomic ensemble might be necessary as in [33] to preserve a large OD.

For completeness, we give here the typical experimental rates. During the 1 ms phase when generation and storage are performed, the heralding rate to generate the single photon is of about 25 per second, and the rate of entanglement generation is reduced to 18 per second due to couplings and loss in the delay line. After storage-and-retrieval, propagation and filtering (30% transmission), and detection (50% efficiency), the single-photon detection rate is about 1.7 per second. Given the specific duty cycle of 1/50 in our implementation, the overall entanglement generation rate and single-photon detection rate are about 0.3 and 0.03 per second, respectively.

4. ENTANGLEMENT TRANSFER

We now turn to the entanglement characterization. For this purpose, we follow the model-independent determination introduced in [27] that consists of measuring a reduced density matrix \( \tilde{\rho} \) by restricting to the subspace with no more than one photon per mode and assuming that all off-diagonal elements between states with different numbers of photons are zero. This method provides a lower bound for the entanglement. In the basis \(|i, j\rangle\) with the number of photons \(|i, j\rangle = \{0, 1\}, \tilde{\rho}\) can be written as

\[
\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{p} \begin{pmatrix}
p_{00} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{01} & d \\
0 & d^* & p_{10} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & p_{11}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( p_{i,j} \) corresponds to the probability to find \( i \) photon in mode \( a \) and \( j \) photon in mode \( b \), \( p = p_{00} + p_{01} + p_{10} + p_{11} \), and \( d \) is the coherence between the states \(|0_a, 1_b\rangle\) and \(|1_a, 0_b\rangle\). The coherence term is given by \( d = V(p_{01} + p_{10})/2 \), where \( V \) is the visibility of the interference fringe between mode \( a \) and \( b \) when their relative phase is scanned. The reduced density matrix enables us to calculate the concurrence \( C \) [34], i.e., a monotone measurement of entanglement, as

\[
C = \frac{1}{p} \max \left(2d - 2\sqrt{p_{00}p_{11}}, 0\right),
\]

where \( C \) takes values between 0 for a separable state to 1 for a maximally entangled state.

Experimentally, upon the detection of a heralding photon on APD1, we first measure the \( p_{i,j} \) probabilities with APD2 and APD3. The two detectors assess the presence of photons in mode \( a \) or \( b \) by monitoring the two outputs of a polarizing beam splitter placed after recombination of the two paths of the interferometer. The measured probabilities are provided in Table 1. The next step is to measure interference fringes by mixing the two modes and scanning their relative phase \( \varphi \). This can be done by using a set of two half-wave plates placed after BD2, with their axis parallel to the field polarizations and varying their relative angle. The experimental fringes are given in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The average raw visibilities are \( V_{in} = 0.96 ± 0.03 \) and \( V_{out} = 0.87 ± 0.04 \). The decrease in visibility after storage is mainly due to a slight contamination by the control field. After correction of this background, we obtain a visibility \( V_{out} = 0.94 ± 0.03 \). The reconstructed
The raw data given in Table 1 allow us to characterize the entanglement transfer performance. The first crucial parameter is the suppression of the two-photon component. It can be evaluated here by the ratio \( w = \frac{p_{11}}{(p_{10} + p_{01})} \). This parameter amounts to \( w_{\text{in}} = 0.11 \pm 0.07 \) and \( w_{\text{out}} = 0.08 \pm 0.06 \) before and after storage, respectively, thereby confirming the preservation of the single-photon character. Also, the overall storage-and-retrieval efficiency \( \eta \) is given by the ratio of the one-photon probabilities \( \frac{(p_{10} + p_{01})_{\text{out}}}{(p_{10} + p_{01})_{\text{in}}} \). This ratio is equal to \( \eta = (85 \pm 4)\% \), in agreement with the efficiencies measured for each memory operated independently. These values combined with the achieved visibilities confirm the efficient, noiseless, and reversible coherent mapping.

From these data, one can estimate the concurrence of entanglement. Without correcting for losses, detection efficiencies, and residual background noise, we obtain a value for the concurrence before storage of \( C_{\text{in}} = (5.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3} \) and a value after retrieval of \( C_{\text{out}} = (4.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3} \). The entanglement transfer can be evaluated by the ratio of the concurrences, \( \lambda = C_{\text{out}} / C_{\text{in}} \), as initially done in [12]. In our implementation, this parameter reaches \( \lambda = (80 \pm 20)\% \). In the ideal case, this value is equal to the storage-and-retrieval efficiency of the involved memories. If the visibility of the fringes obtained after retrieval is corrected from background noise, the output concurrence is increased to \( (5.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3} \) and the ratio \( \lambda \) to \( 88 \pm 13\% \). These numbers represent more than a threefold increase in transfer efficiency relative to previous works.

The error bar on \( \lambda \) is mainly due to the uncertainty obtained on the values of \( p_{11} \). This parameter is known to be difficult to measure as it corresponds to events for which the occurrence decreases rapidly with the suppression of the two-photon component [12]. 180 h of data taking was necessary to specifically access these probabilities, and few coincidences were obtained. Indirect methods could be used to assess entanglement but would require specific assumptions about the initial state and noise statistics [14] or performing homodyne measurements [35]. In the broad context of quantum networks, this result also emphasizes the topical importance of developing efficient benchmarking tools [36].

### 5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have reported the first realization of a highly efficient and reversible entanglement transfer between light and quantum memories, together with a strong and preserved suppression of the two-photon component. The demonstrated capability is an important step toward the development of scalable networking architectures. A central challenge remains to demonstrate a quantum link efficiency greater than unity [37,38], i.e., a preparation rate of entangled memories much larger than the decoherence rate, a cornerstone yet to be demonstrated in a cold atom setting. Combining high efficiency as shown here with longer lifetime [31–33] and with multiplexing in multiple degrees of freedom [39–42] will be necessary.
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**Table 1. Measured Probabilities \( p \) and Estimated Concurrences \( C \) before and after Storage, without Correction for Loss, Detection, and Noise**

| \( p \)     | \( \hat{p}_{\text{in}} \)     | \( \hat{p}_{\text{out}} \)     |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \( p_{00} \) | 0.991 ± 0.001               | 0.992 ± 0.001               |
| \( p_{10} \) | (4.57 ± 0.12) \times 10^{-3} | (3.87 ± 0.09) \times 10^{-3} |
| \( p_{01} \) | (4.95 ± 0.12) \times 10^{-3} | (4.18 ± 0.09) \times 10^{-3} |
| \( p_{11} \) | (2.58 ± 1.80) \times 10^{-6} | (1.35 ± 0.95) \times 10^{-6} |
| \( C \)     | (5.9 ± 1.2) \times 10^{-3}   | (4.7 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-3}   |

*The error bars correspond to the propagated Poissonian error of the photon counting probabilities.*

---

**Fig. 3.** Measurement of entanglement for the input and output entangled fields. The relative phase \( \varphi \) between the two modes is scanned and leads to interferences fringes for (a) before storage with visibility \( V = 0.96 \pm 0.03 \) and (b) after storage and readout with \( V = 0.87 ± 0.04 \) (\( V = 0.94 ± 0.04 \) after background correction). The density matrix is then derived for (c) before the memory with a concurrence \( C_{\text{in}} = (5.9 ± 1.2) \times 10^{-3} \) and (d) after the memory with \( C_{\text{out}} = (4.7 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-3} \). The error bars correspond to the propagated Poissonian error of the photon counting probabilities and the 1σ confidence interval of the sine fit applied to the fringes.
REFERENCES

1. H. J. Kimble, “The quantum internet,” Nature 453, 1023–1030 (2008).
2. T. E. Northup and R. Blatt, “Quantum information transfer using photons,” Nat. Photonics 8, 356–363 (2014).
3. S. Wehner, D. Elkouss, and R. Hanson, “Quantum internet: a vision of the road ahead,” Science 362, eaam9288 (2018).
4. D. Gottesman, T. Jennewein, and S. Croke, “Longer-baseline telescopes using quantum repeaters,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 070503 (2012).
5. P. Körber, O. Morin, S. Langenfeld, A. Neuzner, S. Ritter, and G. Rempe, “Quantum repeaters: the role of imperfect local operations in quantum communication,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5932 (1998).
6. K. Heshami, D. G. England, P. C. Humphreys, P. J. Bustard, V. M. Acosta, J. Nunn, and B. J. Sussman, “Quantum memories: emerging applications and recent advances,” J. Mod. Opt. 63, 2005–2028 (2016).
7. K. Hammerer, A. S. Sørensen, and E. S. Polzik, “Quantum interface between light and atomic ensembles,” Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1041–1093 (2010).
8. M. Körber, O. Morin, S. Langenfeld, A. Neuzner, S. Ritter, and G. Rempe, “Decoherence-protected memory for a single-photon qubit,” Nat. Photonics 12, 18–21 (2018).
9. O. Bechler, S. Rosenblum, G. Guendelman, O. E. Mor, M. Netser, T. Ohana, Z. Aqou, N. Drucker, R. Finkelstein, Y. Lovsky, R. Bruch, D. Gurovich, E. Shafir, and B. Dayan, “A passive photon–atom qubit swap operation,” Nat. Phys. 14, 996–1000 (2018).
10. K. S. Choi, H. Deng, J. Laurat, and H. J. Kimble, “Mapping photonic entanglement into and out of a quantum memory,” Nature 452, 67–71 (2008).
11. D.-S. Ding, W. Zhang, Z.-Y. Zhou, S. Shi, B.-S. Shi, and G.-C. Guo, “Raman quantum memory of photonic polarized entanglement,” Nat. Photonics 9, 332–338 (2015).
12. I. Usmani, C. Clausen, F. Bussières, N. Sangouard, M. Afzelius, and N. Gisin, “Heralded quantum entanglement between two crystals,” Nat. Photonics 6, 234–237 (2012).
13. Z.-Q. Zhou, Y.-L. Hua, X. Liu, G. Shen, J.-S. Xu, Y.-J. Han, C.-F. Li, and G.-C. Guo, “Quantum storage of three-dimensional orbital-angular-momentum entanglement in a crystal,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 070502 (2015).
14. K. Jensen, W. Wasilewski, H. Krauter, T. Fernholz, B. M. Nielsen, M. Owari, M. B. Plenio, A. Serafini, M. M. Wolf, and E. S. Polzik, “Quantum memory for entangled continuous-variable state,” Nat. Phys. 7, 13–16 (2011).
15. Z. Yan, L. Wu, X. Jia, Y. Liu, R. Deng, S. Li, H. Wang, C. Xie, and K. Peng, “Establishing and storing of deterministic quantum entanglement among three distant atomic ensembles,” Nat. Commun. 8, 718 (2017).
16. P. Vernaz-Gris, K. Huang, M. Cao, A. S. Shergret, and J. Laurat, “Highly-efficient quantum memory for polarization qubits in a spatially-multiplexed cold atomic ensemble,” Nat. Commun. 9, 363 (2018).
17. Y. Wang, J. Li, S. Zhang, K. Su, Y. Zhou, K. Liao, S. Du, H. Yan, and S. L. Zhu, “Efficient quantum memory for single-photon polarization qubits,” Nat. Photonics 13, 346–351 (2019).
18. L.-M. Duan, M. D. Lukin, J. J. Cirac, and P. Zoller, “Long-distance quantum communication with atomic ensembles and linear optics,” Nature 414, 413–418 (2001).
19. J. Laurat, H. de Riedmatten, D. Felinto, C.-W. Chou, E. Schomburg, and H. J. Kimble, “Efficient retrieval of a single excitation stored in an atomic ensemble,” Opt. Express 14, 6912–6918 (2006).