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INTRODUCTION

Anatomy, the study of the structure of the human body, is the first most basic and one of the most important subjects studied by medical and paramedical students when they first began their medical career. Anatomical knowledge remains a cornerstone of medicine and related professions, despite the reduction in importance, time committed to, and status of anatomical education in modern curricula. Cadaveric dissection has been a regular feature in anatomy teaching since the epoch. Andreas Vesalius (1514-1564) was the first medical student to dissect the cadaver and also continued with it even as a professor. Dissection has been labelled as the “royal road” and the cadaver as the “first patient.” Cadaver dissection has also been called the “sharp-end” of medical education. Surgeons advocate experience with dissection not only for learning anatomical detail but also to familiarize students with variations in anatomy. Students from their childhood are trained to emphasise scoring good marks rather than applying the knowledge practically, they enter the medical course holding the same perception. But the professional educational environment is very much different from the traditional school and junior college environment. Medical school’s learning orientation has a solid scientific basis with its practical implementation on the patient in the long run. Anatomy is the first subject which students admitted to medical course come across. Medical students experience a lot of emotional and physical stress when they encounter a human cadaver for the first time. Working with a cadaver constitutes potential stress which includes both positive and
negative experiences in this subject. Some authors consider that cadavers may present several disadvantages. Their colour, texture, and smell are not like real life, and cadavers cannot be palpated, auscultated, or usefully asked to change position. Their use may present health hazards and ethical/legal difficulties.

Another major concern in Anatomy is the shortage of teachers in medical colleges at the global level. The number of medically qualified teachers in preclinical subjects is continuously decreasing. Postgraduate seats in medical colleges are left vacant in preclinical subjects. Therefore, it is an urgent need to enhance awareness amongst students regarding available job opportunities and research possibilities in the subject of anatomy.

The present study aims to assess student’s attitude towards dissection by recording their attitude thrice. First when they entered the dissection hall for the first time, second after three months of dissecting experience and third once they pass out their MBBS first year. This study also aims to evaluate the opinion of medical students regarding anatomy as a subject for a career option.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at Mayo institute of medical sciences, Barabanki. It is a questionnaire-based study. A total of 300 students of MBBS batch 2016 - 2017 and 2017-2018 was involved in this study. The objectives of the study were explained to the students. All the 150 students of MBBS batch 2016-2017 were given 3 sets of questionnaires.

- First - on the first day of their dissection hall experience
- Second- after three months of dissecting experience
- Third - after passing the first-year MBBS

The same process was repeated with the remaining 150 students of MBBS batch 2017-2018. For each question, the students had to choose any one response - yes, no or neutral.

**RESULTS**

Out of the total of 300 students who participated in the study, 160 were male and 140 female (Figure 1). The age group of students who participated in this study ranges between 19-25 years. On the first day of the dissection hall experience, 98% of the students were excited, 50% of them had not seen a dead body before. About 82.66% of the students were mentally prepared for dissecting a human cadaver. The majority of them suffered from various physical symptoms, of which eye irritation was the most commonly experienced by 70.33%, these symptoms decreased gradually with time.

85.33% wanted to give the first incision while 39% of them hesitated to start dissection as they had a thought that the cadaver which they are going to dissect was once living (Table 1).

After three months of dissection, 63% felt elated. 23.66% of students had recurrent thoughts of the dead body even being away from the college, while 13% even dreamt of dead bodies. About 34% had the recurrent smell of formalin even at their dining table. 40% wished to take a bath after coming from the dissection hall. The majority of students felt that dissection logically enhances the skill of thinking. 96% of them had respect for cadaver and regard it with sanctity. 79% think that teachers and anatomy staff plays an important role in reducing their fear and stress and creating a comfortable environment in the dissection hall (Table 2).

The majority of students after passing first-year MBBS felt anatomy dissection as an important part of a medical degree and participating in cadaver dissection provides more opportunities to develop professional skills, therefore they consider themselves benefited from the knowledge of anatomy later in clinical terms. 50% of them accepted that anatomy is not difficult to understand and retain while 51.33% of them felt that one year time allotted to teach anatomy is not sufficient. 96% do not want cadaver dissection to be replaced by any other modern learning methods such as plastic models, computer-assisted training etc in the near future. 96.66% think that every good clinician needs to have a sound knowledge of anatomy besides the clinical specialties. 50.33% of students would like to take up anatomy as a career if better research facilities and job opportunities are made available while 41% would like to be an anatomist if modified integrated curriculum with other clinical specialties is introduced. Only 43% of the students participating in the study would recommend anatomy as a career to their peers (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, dissection was considered important for gross anatomy learning particularly the three-dimensional aspect of human anatomy. It enhanced the student’s skill of logical thinking which helped them in better understating other medical subjects. This is in concordance with the previous studies.

Furthermore, the majority of the students agreed that actual hands-on training on cadaver dissection gave better results than the demonstration of the protected specimen and it should not be replaced by any other learning methods. This finding is consistent with the findings from previous studies. It is at variance with the observations made by some other authors.

It was found that the physical and emotional symptoms suffered by the students decreased gradually. This finding is
It has been reported that sometimes the urge and strong interest in medicine career motivates students and lowers the level of mental stress while increasing their preparedness.17 We observed that most of the students were excited after visiting the dissection hall on the first day. This is in agreement with the previous studies done.34-36 It is also suggested that the majority of the students were upset at the beginning of the dissection.29 In the present study most of the students felt elated after dissection, which is similar to the previous finding done by Cahill KC et al. on Irish medical students.37 Most of the students considered that cadavers were once living humans and had sympathy and respect for them. This finding is in concordance with studies done.19,22,34 There is a need to emphasize the sanctity of the cadaver as a human specimen, to inculcate into students carefullness and empathy, which is important in the subsequent medical practice.

It is very despondent to notice that very few students are interested to pursue anatomy as a career option similar to the previous findings.32,28 This issue needs immediate intervention measures to be taken to develop career interest in this subject by increasing research and job opportunities. Financial consideration is a major criterion for career selection and preclinical subjects as a career option are associated with low financial returns, which may be one of the major reasons for low interest in anatomy as a career. This is also agreed in the previous studies done.39-43

CONCLUSION

Anatomical dissection is still valued as one of the most effective and indispensable teaching tool for human anatomy, which helps students in every walk of their medical career. However, to make the dissection hall experience more pleasant, there is a need to address the students physical, mental and emotional problems repeatedly through proper counseling. Furthermore, interest in anatomy as a career option can be increased by better remuneration, increased research opportunities and career guidance. Research opportunities can be increased by linking clinical embryology and andrology labs, cytogenetic labs, radiological labs and neurobiology labs to the department of anatomy.
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Figure 1: Number of male and female participants.
Table 1: Student’s reaction on first day of dissection

| S. No. | Question                                                                 | Yes % | No % | Neutral % |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|-----------|
| 1.     | Were you excited?                                                        | 98    | 1.33 | 0.66      |
| 2.     | Did you see the dead body in front of you for the first time?            | 50    | 50   | 0         |
| 3.     | Did you have any idea of dissection before?                              | 24    | 75   | 1         |
| 4.     | Do you have a medical background?                                        | 32    | 68   | 0         |
| 5.     | Were you mentally prepared for dissecting a human cadaver?               | 82.66 | 16.33| 1         |
| 6.     | Did you suffer from any of the following symptoms: -                    |       |      |           |
|        | Eye irritation                                                            | 70.33 | 29.67| 0         |
|        | Headache                                                                 | 29    | 71   | 0         |
|        | Anorexia                                                                 | 17    | 83   | 0         |
|        | Nausea                                                                    | 26    | 74   | 0         |
|        | Giddiness                                                                 | 13.66 | 86.34| 0         |
|        | Shivering                                                                 | 9.33  | 90.67| 0         |
|        | Desire to leave the dissection hall                                       | 24.33 | 75.67| 0         |
|        | None of the above                                                         | 23    | 77   | 0         |
| 7.     | Weather the abovementioned symptoms decreased gradually?                 | 79.33 | 15.33| 5.33      |
| 8.     | Did you want to give the first incision?                                 | 85.33 | 14.66| 0         |
| 9.     | Did you think that the cadaver you are going to dissect was once a living being like you? | 84 | 14.66 | 1.33 |
| 10.    | Did you have hesitation in starting your work?                           | 39    | 61   | 0         |

Table 2: Student’s reaction after three months of dissection

| S. No. | Question                                                                 | Yes % | No % | Neutral % |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|-----------|
| 1.     | Did you feel elated after doing dissection?                              | 63    | 32.33| 4.66      |
| 2.     | Did you have recurrent thoughts of dead body even after being away from the college? | 23.66 | 74.33| 1         |
| 3.     | Did you have dreams of dead bodies?                                      | 13    | 87   | 0         |
| 4.     | Did you fear going in dark places?                                       | 19    | 81   | 0         |
| 5.     | Did you have recurrent smell of formalin even at your dinning table?     | 34    | 66   | 0         |
| 6.     | Did you wish to take a bath after coming from the dissection hall?       | 40    | 60   | 0         |
| 7.     | Did you feel that dissection enhances the skill of thinking in logical manner? | 86 | 14 | 0          |
| 8.     | Do you respect cadaver and regard it with sanctity?                      | 96    | 3.33 | 0.66      |
| 9.     | Did cadaver dissection give you better results than demonstration on prospected specimens? | 96 | 3 | 1        |
| 10.    | Does the interaction with teachers, seniors and even anatomy staff lessen the fear of dissection? | 79 | 16.66 | 4.33 |
Table 3: Student’s reaction after passing first year MBBS

| S. No. | Question                                                                 | Yes % | No %  | Neutral % |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 1.     | Do you feel Anatomy is important part of medical degree?                 | 98.66 | 0.33  | 1         |
| 2.     | Should cadaveric dissection technique be replaced by other learning     | 9.33  | 89.33 | 1.33      |
|        | methods?                                                                 |       |       |           |
| 3.     | Cadaver dissection should not be replaced by any other modern learning  | 96    | 3     | 1         |
|        | methods like plastic models, computer assisted teaching etc.             |       |       |           |
| 4.     | Is it difficult to understand and retain anatomy according to you?      | 46    | 50    | 3.66      |
| 5.     | Are you benefited from the knowledge of anatomy later in your clinical  | 96.66 | 1.33  | 2         |
|        | terms?                                                                   |       |       |           |
| 6.     | Every good clinician needs to have a sound knowledge of anatomy besides | 96.66 | 1.33  | 2         |
|        | the clinical specialities.                                              |       |       |           |
| 7.     | The time allotted for teaching anatomy in the present curriculum is one  | 51.33 | 42.33 | 6.33      |
|        | year and it is not adequate.                                            |       |       |           |
| 8.     | I would like to take up anatomy as a career if better research facilities | 50.33 | 39.66 | 10        |
|        | and job opportunities are provided.                                      |       |       |           |
| 9.     | I would like to be anatomists if modified integrated curriculum with     | 41    | 48    | 11        |
|        | other clinical specialities is introduced.                               |       |       |           |
| 10.    | I would recommend anatomy as a career to my peers.                      | 43    | 41.66 | 15.33     |