Diagnosis of non-overt disseminated intravascular coagulation made according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis criteria with some modifications
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Background
An early diagnosis of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) before its progression to an overt stage is necessary for early treatment and positive outcomes. In 2001, the Scientific and Standardization Committee (SSC) of the International Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH) proposed new criteria for the preclinical diagnosis of overt and non-overt DICS. We investigated the clinical usefulness of the modified ISTH criteria for non-overt DIC diagnosis.

Methods
We enrolled 296 DIC patients (170 males and 126 females) admitted and evaluated at the Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul, Korea, between March 2006 and April 2007. Hemostatic tests, including platelet counts, prothrombin time (PT), D-dimer levels with antithrombin, and protein-C levels, were evaluated by excluding negative scores with clinical signs, in which more than 5 points of interest denoted non-overt DIC. Mortality rates were also evaluated.

Results
There were 289 patients with increased D-dimer levels and significant parametric changes suggesting DIC progression. Protein C and antithrombin levels were lower (99.2% each) and appeared earlier in patients with non-overt DIC than in patients with overt DIC. In all, 125 (43.3%) patients had non-overt DIC and, of which 27 died (mortality rate, 21.6%). The sensitivity and specificity for mortality were 73.0% and 55.9%, respectively, which were same as those for the original ISTH criteria.

Conclusion
The modified ISTH criteria can be used for the early detection of non-overt DIC, and may be useful for the improvement of outcomes of non-overt DIC patients.
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Diagnosis of non-overt DIC by easy ISTH criteria

We enrolled 296 patients who were consecutively admitted for the treatment of various conditions associated with DIC at the Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul, Korea, between March 2006 and April 2007. There were 170 males and 126 females, with a median age of 64 years. Known clinical signs associated with DIC were used to confirm diagnoses.

1. Laboratory evaluation

Hemostatic tests, including platelet counts (Beckman Coulter Counter, Brea, CA, USA) and prothrombin time (STA NEOPLASTINE CI PLUS; Diagnostica, France), were evaluated at admission, daily during hospital stay, and at all follow-up visits. Serum fibrinogen (STA FIBRINOGEN; Diagnostica, France) and D-dimer (STA LIATEST D-dimer; Immunoturbidimetric assay, Diagnostica, France) levels with antithrombin (STA-STACHROM AT; Colorimetric assay, Diagnostica, France) and protein C (STA-STACHROM PROTEIN C; Colorimetric assay, Diagnostica, France) activities were evaluated at admission, during hospital stay biweekly or weekly, and at all follow-up visits. D-dimer levels higher than 0.5 μg/mL were considered as elevated. Antithrombin and protein C activities less than 80% (normal, 88-134%) and 70% (normal, 70-130%) of normal, respectively, were considered as reduced.

2. Definition of non-overt DIC

We modified the ISTH criteria for non-overt DIC diagnosis; for all paramedic applications and rejected any negative scores that did not accurately reflect laboratory data. Like in the ISTH criteria, a score of 5 or greater was denoted as non-overt DIC. When the modified criteria for non-overt DIC was used, the number of non-overt DIC diagnoses increased from 116 to 125 and the number of non-DIC diagnoses decreased from 150 to 134 (Table 2). Mortality rate was also calculated during the study period, and the sensitivity and specificity were determined.

3. Statistical analysis

Differences between groups were analyzed using the Student’s t test, and a P-value of less than 0.05 was considered as significant. Mortality rates with sensitivity and specificity were calculated by the exact binomial method with a 95% confidence interval.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 1. Diagnostic criteria for overt DIC and non-overt DIC by ISTH.

| Parameter                  | Overt DIC                                           | Non-overt DIC                          | By original ISTH criteria | By modified ISTH criteria |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Platelet count (μL)        | 50,000-100,000: 1 point 50,000: 2 point             | Increase: -1 point                     | Only decrease: 1 point (<100,000) |
| PT (s)                     | Prolongation of PT 3-6: 1 point ≥6: 2 point          | Not prolonged: -1 point                | Only prolonged: 1 point (>3 s) |
| Fibrinogen (mg/dL)         | 100: 1 point                                         | Underlying disorder                   |                           |
| D-dimer (μg/mL)            | 0.5-1: 1 point                                        | associated with DIC:                   |                           |
|                            | 1-3: 2 point                                         | 2 points                               |                           |
|                            | ≥3: 3 point                                          | +                                      |                           |
| Protein C activity (%)     | Normal: -1 point                                     | Not increase: -1 point                 | Always increase: 1 point (≥0.5) |
| Antithrombin III (%)       | Normal: -1 point                                     | Normal: -1 point                       | Decrease: 1 point (<70)   |
| Total                      | ≥5 points                                            | ≥5 points (2 +)                         |                           |

Table 2. Non-DIC and non-overt DIC template scores by original/modified ISTH criteria.

| Score | Non DIC subtotal | Non-overt DIC subtotal | Overt DIC subtotal | Total |
|-------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 0     | 7/0              | 7/0                    | 6/134              | 30    |
| 1     | 7/0              | 6/7                    | 1/1                |       |
| 2     | 6/0              | 68/70                  | 4/2                |       |
| 3     | 68/64            | 41/42                  | 1/1                |       |
| 4     | 150/134          | 116/125                | 13                 |       |
| 5     | 74/82            | 13                     |                    |       |
| 6     | 41/42            | 4                      |                    |       |
| 7     | 1/1              |                        |                    |       |

RESULTS

1. Clinical characteristics

Underlying causative diseases included infections, trauma, and malignancy (in order of prevalence) (Table 3).

2. Parametric changes according to DIC status

Of the 296 enrolled patients, 7 had stable D-dimer levels...
and no parametric changes, including protein C and antithrombin.

In all, 289 patients with increased D-dimer levels and significant parametric changes showed progression to either overt or non-overt DIC. However, no differences in the parameters were found between the patients who survived and those who died during the course of the study.

Of the 125 patients with non-overt DICs, 28 (22.4%) and 18 (14.4%) showed slightly increased platelet levels and PT levels, respectively. These relatively small changes in non-overt DIC patients were abruptly increased in patients with overt DIC. Moreover, 124 (99.2%) patients with non-overt DIC showed decreased protein C and antithrombin levels that were almost similar to those noted in overt DIC patients. However, the levels decreased earlier in non-overt DIC patients than in overt DIC patients (Table 4).

3. Outcomes according to DIC status

Of the 289 patients with increased D-dimer levels, 134 (46.4%) were diagnosed with non-DIC, of which 10 died (mortality rate, 7.5%). In all, 125 (43.3%) patients were diagnosed with non-overt DIC, of which 27 died (mortality rate, 21.6%), including 4 patients who progressed to overt DIC. The sensitivities for mortality were 73.0% for non-overt DIC, which was same as that of the original ISTH criteria and the specificities were 61.1% and 55.9%, respectively. In all, 30 (10.3%) patients were diagnosed with overt DIC, of which 12 died (mortality rate, 40.0%). This mortality rate was higher than that of non-overt DIC patients ($P<0.05$) (Tables 5, 6).

**DISCUSSION**

DIC is characterized by systemic blood coagulation hyperactivation resulting in the consumption of platelets and coagulation factors, potentially leading to severe bleeding. Fibrinolytic activity depression and fibrin deposition occurs in the microcirculation, contributing to multiple organ failure.

DIC is typically associated with several predisposing causes. In this study, the major underlying disorders associated with non-overt DIC included infection, trauma, and malignancy, which are similar to those that have been previously reported [2].

In 2001, the SSC of the ISTH recommended a new scoring system for non-overt DIC diagnosis [1]. Toh and Downey [2] showed that this proposed scoring system could be effectively applied. However, diagnosing the presence and severity of non-overt DIC using the ISTH scoring system can be complicated. For example, utilization of negative scores does not accurately reflect parametric changes, and does not display significant differences in sensitivity and specificity calculations for mortality. Therefore, in our ISTH modified criteria, negative scores were rejected: platelet counts were increased in 9 cases and this, in combination with

---

**Table 3.** Underlying causative diseases according to DIC status.

| Underlying diseases | Non DIC | Non-overt DIC | Overt DIC |
|---------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|
| Infection           | 37      | 33            | 13        |
| Trauma              | 38      | 32            | 7         |
| Malignancy          | 17      | 17            | 7         |
| Vascular abnormalities | 9    | 18            | 0         |
| Liver disease       | 0       | 3             | 1         |
| Obstetric disease   | 2       | 2             | 0         |
| Others              | 31      | 20            | 2         |
| **Total**           | 134     | 125           | 30        |

**Table 4.** Hemostatic parameters in living/deceased patients and associated number of parametric changes.

| Parameter          | Non-DIC (N=134) | Non-overt DIC (N=125) | Overt DIC (N=30) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Platelet count (/μL) | 295,300/233,000 | 235,900/194,000       | 74,000/37,300   |
| PT (s)             | 14.3/15.3       | 16.4/18.7             | 21.4/36.2       |
| Fibrinogen (mg/dL) | 502.5/492.8     | 458.6/486.9           | 298.1/135.8     |
| D-dimer (μg/mL)    | 3.3/6.2         | 5.9/4.6               | 15.3/42.8       |
| Protein C activity (%) | 99.5/104.3 | 51.4/43.0             | 32.7/28.2       |
| Antithrombin (%)   | 97.5/101.3      | 64.5/54.0             | 60.8/43.4       |

**Table 5.** Non-DIC and non-overt DIC template scores in relation to the outcomes of the original/modified ISTH criteria.

| Score | Non-DIC | Subtotal | Non-overt DIC | Subtotal | Total |
|-------|---------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|
|       | 0 1 2 3 4 |          | 5 6 7         |          |       |
| No. of patients | 7/0 7/0 6/0 68/70 68/64 | 150/134 | 74/82 41/42 | 1/1 | 116/125 266/259 |
| Death | 0/0 0/0 1/0 6/7 3/3 | 10/10 | 15/15 12/12 | 0/0 | 27/27 37/37 |
| Overt DIC progression | 1/0 | 1/0 | 3/3 1/1 | 0/0 | 4/4 5/4 |
In our study, the mortality rate of non-overt DIC patients was 21.6%, including the 4 cases that progressed to overt DIC. This rate was lower than those reported in other studies [2], where the mortality rate was as high as 78%, which was same as that in their overt DIC patients. They showed that non-overt DIC itself carries a prognosis independent of overt DIC, and suggested that a score of 5 or higher may represent a decompensated phase of hemostatic dysfunction, albeit at an earlier stage than overt DIC. Additionally, another study reported mean intervals between non-overt and consequent overt DIC and death to be 1 and 5 days, respectively, in the placebo groups [8]. These results show that an effective method of reducing DIC mortality rates is to begin treatment early, while the patients are still in the non-overt DIC stage.

In conclusion, we attempted to modify the ISTH criteria for non-overt DIC diagnosis to allow simple and practical early detection of non-overt DIC, which may improve disease outcomes.

### Table 6. Outcome of each DIC status according to the original/modified ISTH criteria.

|                      | by original ISTH criteria | Mortality (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | P     |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Non-DIC              | 150 (50.7)                | 10 (7.5)      | 73.0/73.0       | 61.1/55.9       | <0.05 |
| Overt DIC            | 30 (10.2)                 | 12 (40.0)     |                 |                 |       |
| Non-overt DIC        | 116 (39.1)                | 27 (21.6)     |                 |                 | <0.05 |
|                      |                           | (27/37)       | (140/229)       | (124/222)       |       |

P<0.05, There is higher mortality rate in non-overt DIC patients than the non-DIC group, but lower than overt DIC patients.
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