Exposure and Carriage of Pathogenic *Leptospira* in Livestock in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands
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Abstract: From 2019–2020, the Virgin Islands Department of Health (VIDOH) investigated potential animal reservoirs of *Leptospira* spp., the pathogenic bacteria that cause leptospirosis. We examined *Leptospira* exposure and carriage in livestock on the island of St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands (USVI). Utilizing the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) to evaluate the sera, and the fluorescent antibody test (FAT), real time polymerase chain reaction (rt-PCR), and bacterial culture to evaluate urine specimens from livestock (n = 126): 28 cattle, 19 goats, 46 pigs, and 33 sheep. Seropositivity was 37.6% (47/125) with agglutinating antibodies to the following serogroups identified: Australis, Djasiman, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Ballum, Sejroe, Cynopteri, Autumnalis, Hebdomadis, Pomona, Canicola, Grippotyphosa, and Pyrogenes. Urine from 4 animals (4.0%, 4/101) was positive by rt-PCR for *lipL32*: 2 sheep, 1 goat, and 1 bull. Sequencing of *secY* amplicons identified *L. interrogans* in 1 sheep and 1 bull. Livestock in USVI harbor pathogenic *Leptospira* bacteria and could play a role in the zoonotic cycle of leptospirosis.
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1. Introduction

Pathogenic *Leptospira* species are dierem bacteria that cause the emerging infectious disease leptospirosis, a leading cause of global zoonotic disease with an estimated 1.03 million cases and 58,900 deaths annually worldwide [1]. *Leptospira* spp. are harbored in animal hosts and transmitted to humans via direct contact and environmental exposure to water or soil contaminated by infected animal urine. Persons who work with animals, including farmers, veterinary staff, and abattoir staff, may have occupational exposures to pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. [2]. In the United States, most cases have occurred in tropical and subtropical areas [3].

The United States Virgin Islands (USVI) is a territory of the United States of America, located in the Caribbean region, 40 miles east of Puerto Rico. USVI consists of three main...
islands, St. Croix, St. John, and St. Thomas, with a total land area of 133 square miles (344 square kilometers) and an estimated human population of 100,000. After the 2017 Hurricanes Irma and Maria, the Virgin Islands Department of Health (VIDOH) identified the first three cases of human leptospirosis in the USVI [4]. Two of three human cases were confirmed by microscopic agglutination testing (MAT) with the highest titer against *Leptospira interrogans* serovar (sv) Mankarso [4]. Before 2017, there was no active leptospirosis surveillance in the Territory. Cases likely went undiagnosed due to a lack of awareness, underreporting, and low healthcare seeking behaviors. A 2019 USVI cross-sectional serosurvey detected exposure to *Leptospira* serovars in an unweighted 3.9% of individuals sampled (n = 1206) [5]. Of 47 seropositive individuals, 51% (n = 24) had titers to two or more serovars [5]. The most common serogroups detected were Icterohaemorrhagiae, Australis, and Canicola (10 or more reactions) [3].

A previous research study in 1992 identified livestock exposed to *Leptospira* in St. Croix: goats (n = 28/108 positive) and sheep (n = 17/53 positive) were found reactive to eight *Leptospira* serogroups (Australis, Autumnalis, Ballum, Bataviae, Canicola, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Pyrogenes, and Sejroe) [6]. Similar investigations have been performed on other Caribbean islands such as Trinidad and Grenada. In Trinidad in 1985, a serosurvey of leptospirosis across livestock determined positivity in 92% of 26 cattle and 53% of 122 pigs [7]. A second study in 2010 determined positivity of 21.5% in cattle (n = 590), 5.0% in sheep (n = 222), 3.3% in goats (n = 180), and 5.0% in pigs (n = 200) [8]. In 1985, a similar survey in Grenada determined seropositivity to *Leptospira* spp. of 25% in 324 cattle, 35% in 130 pigs, 35% in 146 sheep, and 25% in 44 goats [7].

In August 2019, the VIDOH implemented an active public health surveillance system to identify sources of potential exposures and the possible reservoirs of leptospirosis in animals including rodents, mongooses, bats, dogs, and livestock. Surveillance of each species was concurrent. One isolate collected from a local mongoose was included in this investigation, as explained below. Here, we describe one part of the surveillance program: exposure and carriage of *Leptospira* spp. in livestock on St. Croix, USVI, using serology [microscopic agglutination test (MAT)], excretion of bacteria in urine [fluorescent antibody test (FAT) and lipL32 rt-PCR testing], and bacterial culture of *Leptospira*.

2. Materials and Methods

We performed opportunistic sampling after animals were humanely processed in a USDA-approved abattoir. Historically, two abattoirs existed in USVI, one on St. Thomas and one on St. Croix. The 2017 hurricanes damaged the abattoir on St. Thomas, therefore all sampling was performed in St. Croix, where most USVI livestock are farmed. The processing of cattle, sheep, and pigs is performed throughout the year. There is no expectation of vaccination for leptospirosis for livestock in St. Croix [9]. We performed sampling one to four days per week from October 2019 to November 2020 except during abattoir closure from February 2020 to August 2020. All animals were processed at legally required ages for a USDA processing facility [9]. We collected blood via free-catch into a sterile cup during processing and transferred 3mL into a serum separator tube. We separated serum within 12 hours of collection and then stored samples in a –80 °C freezer until shipping. The serum was shipped in batches for MAT. We used sterile technique to collect urine (30 mL or as much as available, if less) by direct cystocentesis of the intact bladder using a 30 mL syringe. We performed cystocentesis immediately after evisceration, thus preventing cross-contamination by eliminating contact with the animal hide or facility surfaces. We inoculated culture media for *Leptospira* immediately with 3 drops of urine, while remaining urine was kept on ice after collection until processing. We shipped urine and inoculated *Leptospira* media overnight for culture, FAT, and rt-PCR. USDA Agricultural Research Service-National Animal Disease Center and National Veterinary Services Laboratories (Ames, IA, USA) tested all specimens.

For the detection of anti-*Leptospira* antibodies in the sera, we performed MAT according to World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines, using a panel of 18 antigens,
representing 15 serogroups [10] (Appendix A). In addition, one locally recovered autochthonous strain isolated from a mongoose on St. Croix during public health surveillance activities was included in the MAT panel (species *Leptospira borgpetersenii*, serogroup Sejroe, sv undetermined). We defined a positive MAT as a titer equal to or greater than 1:100.

We utilized culture, FAT, and rt-PCR to detect *Leptospira* spp. from livestock urine. For culture, we immediately inoculated semi-solid Hornsby-Alt-Nally (HAN) media containing 5-Floururacil (5-FU, 100 ug/mL) and semi-solid T80/40/LH media containing 5-Floururacil (5-FU, 100 ug/mL) with 3 drops of urine [11,12]. We shipped inoculated cultures overnight; upon arrival, we incubated T80/40/LH inoculated samples at 29 °C and HAN inoculated samples at 37 °C in 3% CO₂. We determined specimens negative for bacterial culture if no growth was observed after six months. We performed FAT as previously described [13]. For rt-PCR, we extracted DNA from 30 mL of urine. We centrifuged the urine at 12,000 g for 30 min, rinsed the pellet once with 1 mL of PBS pH 7.4, then centrifuged it at 10,000 g for 15 min; we extracted total DNA with the Maxwell® RSC Purefood Pathogen kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). We performed rt-PCR to detect the lipL32 gene as described [14,15]. We analyzed all samples in triplicate and considered a sample positive when duplicate or triplicate runs were positive with a cycle threshold (Ct) value <40.

For samples with positive lipL32 detection, we partially amplified the secY gene by PCR with primers secYF (5′-ATGCCGATCATTTTGCCTC-3′) and secYR (5′-CCGTCCCTTAAATTAGACTTCTCCTC-3′) followed by nested PCR with primers secYIVF (5′-GCGATTGTTTAATCCTGC-3′) and secYIVR (5′-GAGTTAGAGCTCAAATCTAAG-3′) as described previously [16–18]. We processed the resulting secY amplification reactions using Qiaquick 96 PCR purification kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer’s directions. We quantified resulting material with the Qubit™ dsDNA BR assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). We labeled amplicons using the Applied Biosystems BigDye™ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and precipitated and suspended them for sequencing using an Applied Biosystems 3130xl Genetic Analyzer according to manufacturer’s directions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). We performed analysis using R (package version 3.5.0) [19].

3. Results

We sampled 126 livestock from 33 farms from the island of St. Croix, USVI. Table 1 describes animals sampled.

### Table 1. Characteristics of livestock sampled (n = 126) for leptospirosis in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands.

| Species | Female | Male | Total Animals | Number of Farms |
|---------|--------|------|---------------|-----------------|
| Cattle  | 17     | 11   | 28            | 5               |
| Goat    | 19     | 0    | 19            | 8               |
| Pig     | 25     | 21   | 46            | 13              |
| Sheep   | 7      | 26   | 33            | 12              |
| Total   | 49     | 77   | 126           | 33†             |

33 unique farms represented, some farms had more than one species processed.

We observed the overall livestock seropositivity to be 37.6% (95% CI 29.1–46.7, n = 47/125). Nineteen animals had antibodies to more than one serovar. Of 90 reactions observed (Appendix B), the most reactive serogroups are as follows: Australis (33.3%), Djasiman (16.7%), Icterohaemorrhagiae (15.6%), Ballum (10.0%), Sejroe (9.0%), Cynopteri (5.6%), Autumnalis (2.2%), Hebdomadis (2.2%), Pomona (2.2%), Canicola (1.1%), Grippotyphosa (1.1%), and Pyrogenes (1.1%). Two livestock samples collected from a sheep and a pig from separate farms had exceptionally high titers, respectively: 1:6400 against Icterohaemorrhagiae.
(LL110) and 1:12800 against Sejroe (LL120). Of 32 MAT positive pigs, 26 displayed reactivity to Australis (sv Bratislava). One serum sample was not tested due to insufficient volume. Table 2 shows titer reactivity by serogroup and by sampled species.

Table 2. Microscopic agglutination test (MAT) results by livestock species and serogroup detected and the associated confidence intervals †.

| Livestock Sampled | Serogroup     | %    | 95% CI          |
|-------------------|---------------|------|-----------------|
| Cattle (n = 28)   | Ballum        | 10.7% (3/28) | 2.3–28.2       |
|                   | Sejroe        | 10.7% (3/28) | 2.3–28.2       |
|                   | Icterohaemorrhagiae | 7.1% (2/28) | 0.8–23.5       |
|                   | Australis     | 3.6% (1/28)  | 0.1–18.4       |
|                   | Djasiman      | 3.6% (1/28)  | 0.1–18.4       |
| Goat (n = 19)     | Cynopteri     | 5.3% (1/19)  | 0.1–26.0       |
|                   | Icterohaemorrhagiae | 5.3% (1/19) | 0.1–26.0       |
| Pig (n = 46)      | Australis     | 56.5% (26/46) | 41.1–71.1     |
|                   | Djasiman      | 28.3% (13/46) | 16.0–43.5     |
|                   | Icterohaemorrhagiae | 21.7% (10/46) | 11.0–36.4     |
|                   | Ballum        | 15.4% (4/26) | 2.4–10.8       |
|                   | Sejroe        | 15.4% (4/26) | 2.4–10.8       |
|                   | Cynopteri     | 6.5% (3/46)  | 1.4–17.9       |
|                   | Grippotyphosa | 2.2% (1/46)  | 0.1–11.5       |
|                   | Hebdomadis    | 2.2% (1/46)  | 0.1–11.5       |
|                   | Pomona        | 2.2% (1/46)  | 0.1–11.5       |
| Sheep (n = 33)    | Australis     | 9.1% (3/33)  | 1.9–24.3       |
|                   | Autumnalis    | 6.1% (2/33)  | 0.7–20.2       |
|                   | Ballum        | 6.1% (2/33)  | 0.7–20.2       |
|                   | Canicola      | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Cynopteri     | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Djasiman      | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Sejroe        | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Hebdomadis    | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Icterohaemorrhagiae | 3.0% (1/33) | 0.1–15.8       |
|                   | Pomona        | 3.0% (1/33)  | 0.1–15.8       |

More than one serogroup was detected.

Of 101 urine samples, 4 tested positive by rt-PCR for lipL32: 2 sheep (LL23 and LL60, which had Ct values of 34.5 and 38.2, respectively), 1 goat (LL36, Ct 37.8), and 1 bull (LL53, Ct 32). Two of these samples yielded secY sequences, LL23 and LL53, with 100% of identity to *Leptospira interrogans*. Phylogeny based on secY IV gene sequence analysis revealed that LL53 cluster together with *L. interrogans* sv Canicola (MH325426.1) and Pomona (MH325425.1) isolated from cattle urine in Uruguay, and *L. interrogans* (MT270421.1) from cattle urine sample in Brazil, as well as with a reference strain *L. interrogans* sv Canicola and *L. interrogans* sv Pomona [20,21]. However, LL23 aligns more closely with *L. interrogans* serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae strain R19 (CP047514.1) isolated from a rodent in Saint Kitts and from cattle urine (MT270428.1) from Brazil and with reference strains [20,22] (Figure 1). These findings, along with 3 SNPs between the secY sequences (Appendix C), suggest that the sheep and bull are shedding distinct *Leptospira* bacteria. Both LL23 and LL53 showed no exposure to leptospirosis (i.e., both serum samples were MAT negative), which highlights the limitation of using serology to infer renal carriage of *Leptospira* spp., as well as the unique biological equilibrium that exists between pathogen and host.
Figure 1. Phylogeny of *Leptospira* spp. based on secY gene sequence analysis. Neighbor-Joining method. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Tamura-Nei method. St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands clinical samples (LL23; LL53) are in blue.

Of 97 urine samples, none were FAT positive, and we did not successfully culture any *Leptospira* isolates. Table 3 displays livestock sample results by species.

Table 3. Results (positive/total) of livestock serum and urine samples tested using MAT, FAT, rt-PCR and culture by animal species in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands †.

| Species | MAT                  | FAT | rt-PCR                | Culture |
|---------|----------------------|-----|-----------------------|---------|
| Cattle  | 7/28 (25.0%, 95% CI 10.7–45.0) | 0/28 | 1/28 (3.6%, 95% CI 0.1–18.4) | 0/28    |
| Goat    | 2/18 (11.1%, 95% CI 1.4–34.7)  | 0/16 | 1/16 (6.3%, 95% CI 0.2–30.2) | 0/16    |
| Pig     | 32/46 (67.0%, 95% CI 54.3–82.3) | 0/22 | 0/25 (0%)             | 0/27    |
| Sheep   | 6/33 (18.2%, 95% CI 7.0–35.5)  | 0/31 | 2/32 (6.3%, 95% CI 0.8–21.0) | 0/32    |
| Totals  | 47/125 (37.6%, 95% CI 29.1–46.7) | 0/97 | 4/101 (4.0%, 95% CI 1.1–10.0) | 0/103   |

† FAT: fluorescent antibody test; rt-PCR: real time polymerase chain reaction; MAT: microscopic agglutination test.

4. Discussion

Evidence of *Leptospira* exposure (MAT) and carriage (rt-PCR) is evident in livestock on St. Croix, USVI. Although seropositivity rates in goats and sheep in St. Croix dropped by half from 1992 to 2019–2020, the seroprevalence of 37.6% found in this study is similar
to that found in studies from regions with similar topography and tropical conditions as USVI which allows for environmental prevalence and transmission of *Leptospira* spp. [6,23]. Furthermore, this investigation is likely a more robust evaluation of all farms on St. Croix, as we sampled animals from 33 farms over a year, while Ahl et al. sampled one sheep herd and eight goat herds [6].

Further comparison of these data with the 1992 Ahl et al. study on St. Croix, shows overlapping detection of four serogroups (Australis, Autumnalis, Ballum, and Sejroe). Similarly, when evaluating these data against the 1985 and 2010 surveys of livestock on the Caribbean islands of Trinidad and Grenada, similar *Leptospira* serogroups were detected: three in Trinidad in 1985 (Autumnalis, Hebdomadis, and Icterohaemorrhagiae); four in Grenada in 1985 (Autumnalis, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Hebdomadis, and Sejroe); and five in Trinidad in 2010 (Autumnalis, Bratislava, Hardjo, Icterohaemorrhagiae, and Sejroe) [7,8]. These serogroups appear to be prevalent throughout the Caribbean region, although cross-reactivity on MAT is common.

Notably, we observed a seropositivity of 67% (32/46) in St. Croix pigs, with most high titer reactions to serogroups Australis, Djasiman, and Icterohaemorrhagiae. In 1985, Everard et al. found that 35% (41/130) of Grenadian pigs were seropositive, of which 35% were reactive to serogroup Autumnalis and 32% to Icterohaemorrhagiae. The same study also reported that of Trinidadian pigs, 52% (64/122) were seropositive with 29% reactive to serogroup Autumnalis and 56% reactive to serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae [7]. However, there was a sharp decline in seropositivity in Trinidadian pigs from 52% in 1985 to 5% in Suepaul et al.’s study in 2011, which mirrors the decline in seropositivity of St. Croix goats and sheep mentioned above [6–8].

Of the four animals that were PCR positive for lipL32, the two with the lowest Ct values were also positive by nested-PCR for secY. Sequencing of secY amplicons indicates that both animals were positive for *L. interrogans*. Interestingly, and as observed with other livestock, the positive PCR urine samples were obtained from animals that were seronegative for all serovars tested [24,25]. We were not able to definitively identify the serovar involved since we did not isolate the bacteria using culture methods.

In the USVI, livestock farming is low intensity as most farms have few animals. There are approximately 135 farms on St. Croix, 40 on St. Thomas, and 15 on St. John, with registered livestock totals for the Territory of 1700 pigs, 1200 cattle, 5000 goats, and 5400 sheep [9]. Due to the low intensity of farming on St. Croix, with closer contact between farmers, their families, and their stock, there is a higher risk of exposure to urine of infected animals compared to commercial operations. Generally, with pigs, there is a higher frequency of contact and care compared to grazing animals (ruminants), providing farmers and abattoir-workers a greater risk of contact with *Leptospira* spp. Concern for public health regarding ruminants may be even lower considering most USVI cattle (Senepol breed, developed on St. Croix, USVI) are bred for meat, not dairy, which would mitigate potential exposures from animal to human from daily milking [26].

Following the detection of the first three cases of human leptospirosis in USVI after the 2017 Hurricanes Irma and Maria, VIDOH identified objectives: strengthen the local leptospirosis surveillance program, identify sources of exposure to *Leptospira* spp., and expand provider knowledge and public health education regarding the infection. This survey of livestock on St. Croix, USVI, reinforces previous findings surrounding livestock carriage and shedding of *Leptospira* spp. and adds to the limited available data on *Leptospira* reservoirs in the Caribbean region. Conducting this survey allowed for VIDOH staff to provide education to agricultural workers on the risk of occupational exposure, practices to mitigate risk, and signs and symptoms of illness. The results of this survey will inform farmers and other persons who work with USVI livestock on the potential for exposure to infectious pathogens. This project built long-lasting leptospirosis prevention and surveillance capacity within the USVI by supplying essential equipment and training to local staff, building a laboratory network for more robust testing resources, and engaging diverse stakeholders unified towards leptospirosis programming. Lastly, the results from
this survey highlight the importance of diverse laboratorial testing for *Leptospira* spp. to maintain robust disease surveillance, such as inclusion of FAT, rt-PCR, and culture. In conclusion, livestock in St. Croix, USVI, are exposed to and harbor pathogenic *Leptospira* spp., which could play a role in the risk of exposure and transmission of leptospirosis infection from animals to humans.
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**Appendix A**

**Table A1.** *Leptospira* serovars and serogroups in the microscopic agglutination testing (MAT) panel used by USDA-ARS-NADC in the testing of livestock serum samples in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands.

| Serogroup            | Serovar       |
|----------------------|---------------|
| 1. Australis         | Australis     |
| 2. Australis         | Bratislava    |
| 3. Autumnalis        | Autumnalis    |
| 4. Ballum            | Ballum        |
| 5. Bataviae          | Bataviae      |
| 6. Canicola          | Canicola      |
| 7. Cynopteri         | Cynopteri     |
| 8. Djasiman          | Djasiman      |
| 9. Grippotyphosa     | Grippotyphosa |
| 10. Hebdomadis       | Hebdomadis    |
| 11. Icterohaemorrhagiae | Copenhageni |
| 12. Mini             | Szwajizak     |
| 13. Pomona           | Pomona        |
| 14. Pyrogenes         | Pyrogenes     |
| 15. Serroe           | Serroe        |
| 16. Serroe           | Serroe        |
| 17. Serroe           | Undetermined (LM31) |
| 18. Tarassovi        | Tarassovi     |

† Autochthonous strain recovered from a mongoose on St. Croix, USVI, species *Leptospira borgpetersenii*, serogroup Serroe, serovar undetermined.
Table A2. Titer and associated serovar(s) (n=47/125) of microscopic agglutination test (MAT) positive livestock serum samples in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands.

| Specie # | Specie | AUS † | AUT | BAL | BAT | BRA | CAN | CYN | DJA | GRI | HAR | HEB | ICT | MIN | POM | PYR | SEJ | TAR | LM31 |
|----------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Cattle** | 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | 100 | 100 | 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Goat** | 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Pig** | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 93 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| **Sheep** | 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 800 | 800 | 3200 | 200 | 100 | 6400 | 100 | 800 |

† AUS = Australis, serovar (sv) Australis; AUT = Autumnalis, sv Autumnalis; BAL = Ballum, sv Ballum; BAT = Bataviae, sv Bataviae; BRA = Australis, sv Bratislava; CAN = Canicola, sv Canicola; CYN = Cynopteri, sv Cynopteri; DJA = Djasiman, sv Djasiman; GRI = Grippotyphosa, sv Grippotyphosa; HAR = Sejroe, sv Hardjo; HEB = Hebdomadis, sv Hebdomadis; ICT = Icterohaemorrhagiae, sv Copenhageni; MIN = Mini, sv Szwajizak; POM = Pomona, sv Pomona; PYR = Pyrogenes, sv Pyrogenes; SEJ = Sejroe, sv Sejroe; TAR = Tarassovi, sv Tarassovi; LM31 = Autochthonous strain recovered from a mongoose on St. Croix, USVI, species *Leptospira borgpetersenii*, serogroup Sejroe, serovar undetermined.
Appendix C. secY IV Sequence for Livestock Urine Samples in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, with SNP's in Yellow †

| Specie | Sequence |
|--------|----------|
| LL23  | GCCAATTTCTGCAGGATTAAACTGAATCGCAATAC 214 |
| LL53  | GCCAATTTCTGCAGGATTAAACTGAATCGCAATAC 214 |

† Autochthonous strain recovered from a mongoose on St. Croix, USVI, species Leptospira borgpetersenii, serogroup Sejroe, serovar undetermined.

References
1. Costa, F.; Hagan, J.E.; Calcagno, J.; Kane, M.; Torgerson, P.; Martinez-Silveira, M.S.; Stein, C.; Abela-Ridder, B.; Ko, A.I. Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2015, 9, e0003898. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); National Notifiable Infectious Diseases and Conditions, United States. Annual Tables, Annual Data for 2018, Table 2h. Available online: https://wonder.cdc.gov/nndss/nndss_annual_tables_menu.asp (accessed on 3 May 2021).
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Leptospirosis: Risk of Exposure. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/leptospirosis/exposure/index.html (accessed on 3 May 2021).
4. Marinova-Petkova, A.; Guendel, I.; Strysko, J.P.; Ekpo, L.L.; Galloway, R.; Yoder, J.; Kahler, A.; Artus, A.; Hoffmaster, A.R.; Bower, W.A.; et al. First Reported Human Cases of Leptospirosis in the United States Virgin Islands in the Aftermath of Hurricanes Irma and Maria, September–November 2017. Open Forum Infect. Dis. 2019, 6, ofz261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Artus, A.; Cossaboom, C.; Haberling, D.; Sutherland, G.; Galloway, R.; Villarma, A.; Browne, A.S.; Carillo, M.; Delgado, D.; Doyle, J.; et al. Seroprevalence of human leptospirosis in the U.S. Virgin Islands. In Proceedings of the 11th International Leptospirosis Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 8–12 July 2019. poster 33.
6. Ahl, A.S.; Miller, D.A.; Bartlett, P.C. Leptospira Serology in Small Ruminants on St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 1992, 653, 168–171. [CrossRef]
7. Everard, C.O.R.; Fraser-Chanpong, G.M.; James, A.C.; Butcher, L.V. Serological studies on leptospirosis in livestock and chickens from Grenada and Trinidad. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1985, 79, 859–864. [CrossRef]
8. Suepaul, S.; Suepaul, S.; Carrington, C.; Carrington, C.; Campbell, M.; Campbell, M.; Borde, G.; Borde, G.; Adesiyun, A.; Adesiyun, A. Seroepidemiology of leptospirosis in livestock in Trinidad. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 2011, 43, 367–375. [CrossRef]
9. Bradford, B. (Territorial Veterinarian, U.S. Virgin Islands Department of Agriculture, USVI, USA). Personal communication, 2020.
10. Cole, J.R., Jr.; Sulzer, C.R.; Pursell, A.R. Improved Microtechnique for the Leptospiral Microscopic Agglutination Test. Appl. Microbiol. 1973, 25, 976–980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Ellis, W.A.; Montgomery, J.; Cassells, J.A. Dihydrostreptomycin treatment of bovine carriers of Leptospira interrogans serovar hardjo. Res. Vet. Sci. 1985, 39, 292–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Hornsby, R.L.; Alt, D.P.; Nally, J.E. Isolation and propagation of leptospires at 37°C directly from the mammalian host. Scientific reports 2010, 10, 9620. [CrossRef]
13. Nally, J.E.; Hornsby, R.L.; Alt, D.P.; Bayles, D.; Wilson-Welder, J.H.; Palmiquest, D.E.; Bauer, N.E. Isolation and characterization of pathogenic leptospirens associated with cattle. Vet. Microbiol. 2018, 218, 25–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Galloway, R.L.; Hoffmaster, A.R. Optimization of LipL32 PCR assay for increased sensitivity in diagnosing leptospirosis. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2015, 82, 199–200. [CrossRef]
15. Stoddard, R.A.; Gee, J.E.; Wilkins, P.P.; McCaustland, K.; Hoffmaster, A.R. Detection of pathogenic Leptospira spp. through TaqMan polymerase chain reaction targeting the LipL32 gene. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2009, 64, 247–255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Ahmed, A.; Engelberts, M.F.M.; Boer, K.R.; Ahmed, N.; Hartskeerl, R.A. Development and Validation of a Real-Time PCR for Detection of Pathogenic Leptospira in Clinical Materials. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e7093. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Ahmed, N.; Devi, S.M.; Valverde, M.d.I.A.; Vijayachari, P.; Machang’u, R.S.; Ellis, W.A.; Hartskeerl, R.A. Multilocus Sequence Typing method for identification and genotypic classification of pathogenic Leptospira species. Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob. 2006, 5, 28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Hamond, C.; Pestana, C.P.; Medeiros, M.A.; Lilienbaum, W. Genotyping of Leptospira directly in urine samples of cattle demonstrates a diversity of species and strains in Brazil. Epidemiol. Infect. 2016, 144, 72–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (3.2.1); R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online: http://www.r-project.org/ (accessed on 3 May 2021).
20. Di Azevedo, M.I.N.; Pires, B.C.; Libonati, H.; Pinto, P.S.; Cardoso Barbosa, L.F.; Carvalho-Costa, F.A.; Lilienbaum, W. Extra-renal bovine leptospirosis: Molecular characterization of the Leptospira interrogans Serogroup Sejroe serogroup on the uterus of non-pregnant cows. Vet. Microbiol. 2020, 250, 108869.
21. Zarantonelli, L.; Suanes, A.; Meny, P.; Buroni, F.; Nieves, C.; Salaberry, X.; Briano, C.; Ashfield, N.; Da Silva Silveira, C.; Dutra, F.; et al. Isolation of pathogenic *Leptospira* strains from naturally infected cattle in Uruguay reveals high serovar diversity, and uncovers a relevant risk for human leptospirosis. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2018, 12, e0006694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Rajeev, S.; Shiokawa, K.; Llanes, A.; Rajeev, M.; Restrepo, C.M.; Chin, R.; Cedeño, E.; Ellis, E. Detection and Characterization of *Leptospira* Infection and Exposure in Rats on the Caribbean Island of Saint Kitts. *Animals* 2020, 10, 350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Pratt, N.; Rajeev, S. *Leptospira* seroprevalence in animals in the Caribbean region: A systematic review. *Acta Trop.* 2018, 182, 34–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Miller, D.A.; Wilson, M.A.; Beran, G.W. Survey to estimate prevalence of *Leptospira* interrogans infection in mature cattle in the United States. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 1991, 52, 1761–1765. [PubMed]

25. Nally, J.E.; Wilson-Welder, J.H.; Hornsby, R.L.; Palmer, M.V.; Alt, D.P. Inbred Rats as a Model to Study Persistent Renal Leptospirosis and Associated Cellular Immune Responsiveness. *Front. Cell. and Infect. Microbiol.* 2018, 8, 66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Senepol History & Development Brochure. Available online: https://www.senepolcattle.com/images/pdfs/English_Version.pdf (accessed on 3 May 2021).