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ABSTRACT: Adsorption of functional molecules on the surface of hydrates is key in the understanding of hydrate inhibitors. We investigate the adsorption of a hydrocarbon chain, nonionic and ionic surfactants, and ions at the hydrate–aqueous interface. Our results suggest a strong connection between the water ordering around solutes in bulk and the affinity for the hydrates surface. We distinguish two types of water ordering around solutes: (i) hydrophobic hydration where water molecules form a hydrogen bond network similar to clathrate hydrates, and (ii) ionic hydration where water molecules align according to the polarity of an ionic group. The nonionic surfactant and the hydrocarbon chain induce hydrophobic hydration and are favorably adsorbed on the hydrate surface. Adsorption of ions and the ionic headgroups on the hydrate surface is not favorable because ionic hydration and the hydrogen bond structure of hydrates are incompatible. The nonionic surfactant is adsorbed by the headgroup and tail while adsorption of the ionic surfactants is not favorable through the head. Water ordering is analyzed using the hydrogen bond and tetrahedral density profiles as a function of the distance to the chemical groups. The adsorption of solutes is studied through the free energy profiles as a function of the distance to the hydrate surface. Salt lowers the melting temperature of hydrates, disrupts hydrophobic hydration, reduces the solubility of solutes in the aqueous solution, and increases the propensity of solutes to be adsorbed on hydrate surfaces. Our studies are performed by the unbiased and steered molecular dynamics simulations. The results are in line with experiments on the effect of salt and alkanes in hydrate antiagglomeration.

INTRODUCTION

Clathrate hydrates are crystalline structures of hydrogen-bonded water molecules where guest molecules, such as methane, propane, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen, are encaged. Ions are not found as guests in hydrates. The cages in hydrates are designated as 4₅₁₆₆₄, where l, m, and n represent the number of square, pentagonal, and hexagonal faces. The lattice structure of clathrate hydrates is defined by the geometry and the number of cages in the unit cell. The unit cell of structure I (sI) is formed by two 6₁₂ and six 5₁₂₆₂ cages; the unit cell of structure II (sII) is formed by 16 5₁₂ cages and eight 5₁₂₆₄ cages, and the unit cell of structure H (sH) is formed by two 5₁₂ cages, two 4₃₅₁₀ cages, and one 5₁₂₆₄ cage.¹,²

Natural gas hydrates are important in energy production both as a methane resource stored in hydrates at the ocean floor, and as a safety and environmental concern during hydrocarbon production. Hydrates may form swiftly during low-temperature and high-pressure conditions. Knowledge of nucleation and growth is essential for the exploitation and utilization of hydrates. A number of molecular simulation studies have been conducted to reveal the early stages of hydrates nucleation of clathrate hydrates.³⁻¹⁴ The use of surfactants in the hydrate research fields is of broad interest in flow-assurance and gas storage.¹⁵⁻²³

In the energy industry hydrate mitigation is often by means of chemical additives. Hydrate inhibitors are classified as thermodynamic inhibitors (TIs) and low dosage inhibitors (LDIs).²⁴,²⁵ Thermodynamic inhibitors (such as methanol and glycol) and ions²⁶,²⁷ shift the hydrate formation conditions to lower temperature and higher pressure. Low dosage hydrate inhibitors are classified as kinetic inhibitors and agglomeration inhibitors.²⁸,²⁹ Hydrate kinetic inhibitors (HKIs) delay the onset of nucleation or slow the hydrates growth rate. Common HKIs are soluble polymers of amide groups such as N-vinyl-pyrrolidone, N-vinylcaprolactam, and N-vinylacetamide.²⁹ Amphoteric molecules¹,²³,²⁸,³⁰,³¹ are used as hydrate agglomeration inhibitors (HAIs) which prevent the coalescence of small hydrate crystallites to ensure flow as a slurry. The nucleation rate of clathrate hydrates, however, may increase in the presence of some anionic surfactants such as sodium dodecyl sulfate.¹⁵⁻²⁰ Antifreeze proteins are suggested as a green alternative of gas hydrate inhibition.³²⁻³⁶
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Understanding the adsorption of surfactants on hydrate surfaces is essential in flow-assurance and gas storage. To a great extent, the efficiency of low dosage hydrate inhibitors (kinetic and antiagglomerants) is determined by the adsorption on the hydrates surface. Hydrogen bonding and structural fit are suggested as the origin of binding between the hydrate surface and the inhibitors. Molecular simulations are employed to shed light into the adsorption mechanisms of hydrate inhibitors. According to Anderson et al., two molecular characteristics lead to a strong binding of inhibitors on the hydrate surface: a charge distribution on the edge of the inhibitor similar to water molecules and the size congruence between the inhibitor group and the open cavities at the hydrate surface. Yagasaki et al. found no hydrogen bonding between the kinetic inhibitor vinylpirrillodone and the hydrate surface in opposition to the conventional picture. According to recent MD simulations, the adsorption of a quaternary ammonium surfactant at the surface of all hydrates is stronger from a liquid hydrocarbon phase than from an aqueous phase.

The water structure around hydrophobic and amphiphilic molecules (known as hydrophobic hydration) has some similarities with the structure of hydrates and has been observed in different studies. The idea of hydrophobic hydration can be traced back to a thermodynamic model of dissolution where it is proposed that water molecules form transient clathrate-like clusters around hydrophobic molecules. Recent spectroscopic experiments confirm the picture of hydrophobic hydration by measuring hydrogen bond enhancement of water near hydrophobic solutes. It is suggested that hydrophobic hydration plays a fundamental role in hydrophobic forces. Here we will show that hydrophobic hydration around solutes is related to the affinity of chemical groups for the surface of hydrates. Recently we have performed an experimental investigation of salt and alkane hydrocarbon concentration on hydrate antiagglomeration. The surfactant molecule M1 which will be described later was used an antiagglomerant. Salt enhances hydrate antiagglomerations. Normal alkanes (normal decane) also enhance hydrate antiagglomeration. In the hydrate literature the effect of hydrocarbons is often expressed in terms of the ratio of water to hydrocarbon liquids as water cut. It is well-known that at high water cuts hydrate antiagglomeration is facilitated. There has been no theoretical explanation for the effect of hydrocarbons except some general bulk solubility effects. The interaction of ionic and nonionic surfactants with hydrates is not understood. The main objective of this work is to examine the mechanism of adsorption of solute molecules at the hydrate–aqueous interface and the effect of NaCl in the process. The solutes are n-decane, a nonionic surfactant (cocamidopropyl dimethylamine), a cationic surfactant (didodecyl dimethylammonium chloride), and an anionic surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulfate). First, we analyze the structure of water molecules around solutes in an aqueous phase; the structure of water around methane is also investigated. Next, we study the adsorption of solute molecules at the hydrate–aqueous phase interface. The effect of NaCl is investigated at the concentrations of 0, 4, and 10 NaCl wt %. A key objective of this work is a molecular explanation of the salt effect and normal alkane effect on hydrate antiagglomeration.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: simulation models and methods; the results split in two subsections, solutes in the bulk and adsorption of solutes on methane hydrate surface; discussion and conclusions drawn at the end of the paper. The SI contains a discussion of the effect of salt in hydrate three-phase equilibrium, additional results and details of the calculation methods, verification of the steered molecular dynamics technique, and tables.

Figure 1 shows n-decane and the surfactants investigated in this work. Molecule M1 has been used as an effective antiagglomerant. Molecule M2 is an ionic quaternary ammonium surfactant (QAS) which is effective in hydrate antiagglomeration where there is a significant amount of liquid hydrocarbons. Molecule M3 is sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) which is known to increase the nucleation rate of clathrate hydrates.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Molecular structure of the solute molecules: n-decane (n-C10); molecule M1, cocamidopropyl dimethylamine; molecule M2, didodecyl dimethylammonium chloride; molecule M3, sodium dodecyl sulfate. Indexes a, b, and c designate atoms in the amine, amide, and ammonium groups, respectively. The partial charges are provided in Tables S1–S3 in the SI. (b) Snapshot of the simulation setup containing a hydrate slab next to an aqueous solution containing one surfactant molecule M1. The crystal slab is made of 2208 water molecules and 384 methane molecules; the aqueous phase contains one solute molecule (n-C10, M1, M2, or M3), 5700 water molecules, and N NaCl ionic pairs; Na = 0, 72, and 180 at 0, 4, and 10 NaCl wt %, respectively. The hydrogen bonds in the hydrate slab are represented as black sticks and as thin black lines in the aqueous phase. The color key for various species is at the bottom.
RESULTS

Solutes in the Bulk. We analyze the water structure around hydrocarbon molecules, methane, and \( n-C_{10} \) and surfactant molecules at different salt concentrations. The structural changes of water around methane are not captured in the radial distribution function (see Figure S5 in the SI); only slight changes are observed by increasing the salt concentration from 0 to 10 NaCl wt %.

Water molecules form...
Hydrophobic solutes induce temporary hydrogen bond structures resembling those in hydrates known as hydrophobic hydration. Ionic groups tend to align the dipole moment of water. We study the structural changes of water by means of the hydrogen bond and tetrahedral structures. A hydrogen bond forms when the center–center distance of two water oxygen atoms is less than 0.35 nm, and the two oxygen atoms make an angle less than 25° with a hydrogen atom belonging to one of the water molecules. In the particular case of molecule M3 (SDS), we consider the oxygen headgroup atoms as hydrogen bond acceptors. We define \( N_{ih}(r) \) as the average number of hydrogen bonds per water molecule at a distance \( r \) from a site \( i \). A water molecule in a perfect tetrahedron forms four hydrogen bonds; the angle formed between two hydrogen bonds is about 109.5°. Water molecules in tetrahedrons are found in ice and hydrates.\(^{67}\) A measure of the deviation of tetrahedrality is given by the order parameter \( \theta = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_{i} + \frac{1}{2(\cos \theta_{i})^{2}}} \), where \( n_{i} \) is the number of nearest neighbors of water molecule \( i \), and \( \theta_{i} \) is the angle between the oxygen atoms of water molecules \( j, k, \) and \( l \). A water molecule is considered tetrahedral if it has \( \theta < 0.4 \) and is coordinated with at least three water molecules. This classification was proposed by Baez and Clancy\(^{68}\) and has been used in a number of studies.\(^{12,13}\) We define \( \gamma(r) \) as the average number density of tetrahedral water molecules at a distance \( r \) from a site \( i \) of a molecule. The averages are taken over \( S \times 10^{3} \) independent configurations from over 20 ns of MD simulation.

Figure 2c portrays the average number of hydrogen bonds of a water molecule at a distance \( r \) from the center of a methane molecule. At zero salt concentration the maximum number of hydrogen bonds is around 3.85 at \( r \approx 0.48 \) nm. Lower values of \( N_{ih} \) are observed as the salt concentration increases. Our prediction of the number of hydrogen bonds as a function of the distance to the methane molecule is in agreement with the calculations reported by Grdadolnik et al.\(^{56}\) in a recent study of the hydrogen bond strength of water near small hydrophobic solutes. The increase in both salt concentration and temperature decreases the number of hydrogen bonds. The number density of tetrahedral water \( \gamma \) reflects the icelike structure of water. Figure 2d shows \( \gamma \) as a function of the distance to a methane molecule. The density of tetrahedral water is significantly higher close to the methane molecule than in the bulk; at zero salt concentration the density of tetrahedral water is nearly 3 times higher than in the bulk. As the salt concentration increases the number of tetrahedral water molecules close to methane molecule decreases but always is at least 2 times higher than in the bulk.

Ions stay away from methane molecules\(^{55,66}\) due to dielectric changes of the medium. Ions stay favorably hydrated in the liquid phase. Bringing an ion close to a methane molecule implies an energy penalty of dehydration and competition with hydrogen bond structures. The free energy of transferring methane from the gas phase into the aqueous phase \( \Delta G \) is given by \( \Delta G = -k_{B}T \ln P_{w}(0) \) where \( P_{w}(0) \) is the probability of finding a cavity of volume \( V_{c} \) free of solvent molecules. Our results confirm the view of dissolution of methane in water by formation of transient hydrate-like structures.\(^{57}\) The water-free cavity is stabilized by the clathrate-like structures. Ions destabilize the hydrogen bond structures; hence, the probability to form a water-free cavity in the aqueous phase decreases in the presence of NaCl. In other words, the free energy of placing a methane molecule into the aqueous phase increases by increasing the salt concentration.\(^{56}\)

Figure 2e,f shows instantaneous structures of water molecules around \( n \)-decane at 0 and 10 NaCl wt %, respectively. Water molecules form instantaneous pentagonal and hexagonal rings mainly at the end methyl groups of the \( n \)-decane molecule while the hydrogen bond network is disconnected in the methylene group at the middle of the molecule. Non-hydrogen-bonded water molecules form dangling OH groups which are seen as defects in water's hydrogen-bonding network.\(^{52,53}\) In our MD simulations, dangling OH groups around \( n \)-decane are mainly observed at the middle of the molecule. At 10 NaCl wt %, ions disrupt the hydrate-like structure around \( n \)-decane (see Figure 2f). Figure 2g,h shows, respectively, the average number of hydrogen bonds per water molecule and the tetrahedral density from a \( CH_{3} \) methylene group at the middle of the \( n \)-decane molecule. \( N_{ih}(r) \) and \( \gamma(r) \) functions calculated from the \( CH_{3} \) end methyl group are provided in the SI (Figure S6). The number density of tetrahedral water around the \( CH_{3} \) groups is lower than around methane because the hydrocarbon chain obstructs the connectivity among the water molecules. The obstruction is pronounced at the middle of the \( n \)-decane molecule, and the number density of tetrahedral water is significantly reduced; at zero salt concentration the maximum tetrahedral density is 29, 22, and 17 molecules/nm\(^3\) in methane, \( CH_{3} \), and the middle \( CH_{2} \) of \( n \)-decane, respectively. The number of hydrogen bonds per water molecules is similar to methane in both \( CH_{2} \) and \( CH_{3} \) groups of \( n \)-decane (see Figure S6 in the SI).

Figure 2i,j is snapshots of water molecules around molecule M1 at 0 and 10 NaCl wt %, respectively. We see instantaneous hydrate-like rings around the surfactant head and tail. The hydrate-like structures are disrupted by the ions at 10 NaCl wt % (see Figure 2i). The average number of hydrogen bonds of a water molecule at a distance \( r \) from the \( CH_{3} \) groups in the head is shown in Figure 2k, and the number density of tetrahedral water is presented in Figure 2l. The number of hydrogen bonds below \( r \approx 0.38 \) nm is lower than in the bulk because water molecules cannot access this region. The maximum number of hydrogen bonds and the number of tetrahedral water is located at \( r \approx 0.4 \) nm. Our results imply that a shell of hydrate-like water is formed around the head of molecule M1. Similarly to methane and \( n \)-decane, the number of hydrogen bonds per water molecule is reduced by NaCl salt. The hydrate-like structure of water is higher around the surfactant head than in the bulk; the density of tetrahedral water is less affected by NaCl ions close to the surfactant head than in the bulk. Around the tail of molecule M1 the water molecules behave similarly as in the \( n \)-decane molecule; that is, more tetrahedral structures form around the tail end while in the middle of the tail the tetrahedral structure is reduced. We observe dangling OH groups around the amide group in the middle of molecule M1 due to the electrostatic attraction between the amide oxygen atom and the water hydrogen atoms.

Hydration around ionic groups (ionic hydration) is different from hydrophobic hydration. Usually the dipole moment of water tends to be aligned by the electrostatic interaction with ionic groups. Instantaneous arrangements of water molecules around molecule M2 are shown in Figure 2m,n at 0 and 10 NaCl wt %.
NaCl wt %, respectively. Typically, the water molecules are oriented with the oxygen atom pointing toward the cationic headgroup. At zero salt concentration the hydrogen bond network is open around the surfactant head. At 10 NaCl wt % ions are close to the surfactant head, and no closed hydrogen bond network is formed. At the two tail ends the hydrate-like structure is similar to n-decane. The average number of hydrogen bonds of a water molecule is below the bulk value for \( r \lesssim 0.6 \) (Figure 2o). The number density of tetrahedral water is much lower around the head of M2 than around the head of M1 (see Figure 2l,p). The non-hydrogen-bonded water molecules form dangling OH groups pointing outward from the ionic headgroup due to the electrostatic repulsion between the hydrogen atoms and cationic ammonium group.

A water molecule close to the SDS headgroup is usually found with a hydrogen atom pointing toward the oxygen atom of SDS (see Figure 2q,r). Hence, we consider the oxygen atoms of SDS as hydrogen bond acceptors. From this consideration we find significant differences between the water structures around the headgroup of molecule M3 and the other molecules. In particular, we see a maximum of \( N_\text{H} \) at \( r \approx 0.3 \) nm for SDS (M3, see Figure 2s) while the other molecules have a decreasing behavior at similar distances (Figure 2o); for 0 NaCl wt % \( N_\text{H} = 4 \) at \( r \approx 0.3 \) nm which indicates perfect tetrahedral coordination of a water molecule. For M3 \( N_\text{H} \) has a small peak at \( r \approx 0.28 \) nm (Figure 2t) which is not observed for the other molecules (Figure 2dh,l,p). Our results indicate that the anionic surfactant headgroup induces the formation of hydrogen bonds and tetrahedral water which may be related to the increase of hydrate nucleation rate.\(^{15−18}\)

The water structure around the SDS headgroup is different from hydrophobic hydration. As usual, NaCl decreases the formation of hydrogen bonds and tetrahedral structures. In Raman scattering measurements of the hydrophobic hydration shell around hydrophobic solutes,\(^{29−31}\) it is found that chains longer than 1 nm have weaker hydrogen bonds than bulk water, and the structure is less ordered. Our MD simulation results for n-decane show that the hydration layer is broken, and tetrahedrality is significantly reduced at the middle of the chain. A defective hydrogen bond network with a variable number of dangling OH groups (non-hydrogen-bonded water molecules) is observed in linear alcohols and other amphiphilic solutes;\(^{32,33}\) the number of dangling OHs is significantly lower for ammonium ions than for alcohols. We observe dangling OH groups in the hydrate-like network around surfactants and hydrophobic solutes. In our MD simulation results, a significant reduction of the hydrogen bond network and dangling OH groups are observed around the ammonium group in agreement with the experimental measurements.\(^{32,35}\)

**Adsorption of Solutes on Methane Hydrate Surface.**

Now we investigate the adsorption of n-decane, a nonionic surfactant M1, a cationic surfactant M2, and an anionic surfactant M3 on the hydrate surface. We observe the segments of the solute molecules preferentially adsorbed induce hydrate-like structures around them (hydrophobic hydration). The preferential adsorption of hydrophobic molecules is related to the effect of an oil phase in hydrate antiagglomeration. The addition of NaCl salt enhances the adsorption of the surfactants on the hydrate surface. The enhancement of surfactant adsorption from the salt effect is in line with experimental observations.\(^{61,62}\) The molecular mechanisms will become clear in the following investigations.

The free energy profiles of adsorption of n-decane at 0, 4, and 10 NaCl wt % are shown in Figure 3a–c, respectively. The error bars are computed from three independent simulations. Figure 3d shows the configuration of n-decane when the steered methyl group is at \( z \approx 2.5 \) nm, and the free methyl group is adsorbed on the hydrate surface; Figure 3e shows the steered methyl group at \( z \approx 1.7 \) nm adsorbed on the hydrate surface. There is a decrease of the free energy of adsorption of n-decane as the salt concentration increases. At 0 NaCl wt % (Figure 3a) there is a free energy drop of about –1 kJ/mol when the steered methyl group is at \( z \approx 2.5 \) nm, and the free methyl group is adsorbed on the hydrate surface. A minimum of about –4.5 kJ/mol depth at \( z \approx 1.7 \) nm is observed when the pulled methyl group is adsorbed on the hydrate surface. A steep increase of the free energy profile is observed for \( z \lesssim 1.7 \) nm implying that further penetration of n-decane into the hydrate solid structure is obstructed by the strongly hydrogen-bonded water molecules that require a high energy cost to be removed. At 4 NaCl wt %, the adsorption of the free methyl group gives a free energy drop of about –3 kJ/mol at \( z \approx 2.2 \) nm; the depth of the minimum at \( z \approx 1.7 \) is about –4.5 kJ/mol. At 10 NaCl wt % there is a free energy drop \( \approx –4 \) kJ/mol when the free methyl group is adsorbed into a hydrate cavity, and the steered methyl group is at \( z \approx 2.5 \) nm (see Figure 3d).

The free energy minimum is about –7 kJ/mol when the pulled CH3 group is adsorbed on the hydrate surface (see Figure 3d).
Interestingly an instantaneous hydrate-like structure is formed around the n-decane molecule.

The adsorption of molecule M1 on the hydrate surface is analyzed in Figure 4. The free energy profiles at 0, 4, and 10 NaCl wt % are shown in Figure 4a–c, respectively. Figure 4d is a snapshot when the headgroup atom is at z ≈ 2.6 nm, and the surfactant tail is adsorbed on the hydrate surface; Figure 4e shows the surfactant headgroup adsorbed on the hydrate surface at z ≈ 1.9 nm. A decrease of the free energy of adsorption of molecule M1 on the hydrate surface is observed as the salt concentration increases. At zero salt concentration, there is a free energy drop of about −2 kJ/mol when the tail is adsorbed on the hydrate surface, and the headgroup is away from the hydrate surface at z ≈ 2.6 nm. From about z ≈ 2 nm the free energy profile increases. At 4 NaCl wt %, the free energy profile decreases from about z ≈ 2.8 nm when the surfactant tail is adsorbed on the hydrate surface and reaches a minimum of −4 kJ/mol at z ≈ 1.9 nm, representing the adsorption of the surfactant head. There is no significant effect of NaCl from 0 to 4 NaCl wt %. Similarly, the free energy profile at 10 NaCl wt % shows a decrease from z ≈ 2.8 nm (see Figure 4d) reaching a minimum of about −11 kJ/mol at z ≈ 1.9 nm when the surfactant head is adsorbed on the hydrate surface (see Figure 4e). The surfactant is found in three main favorable positions: In one configuration the tail end is adsorbed on the hydrate surface, and the head is in the aqueous phase (see Figure 4d); in another configuration the head is adsorbed on the hydrate surface, and the tail is in the aqueous phase (not shown). In the third configuration both the tail and the head are adsorbed on the hydrate surface (see Figure 4e). The first configuration is preferred at zero salt concentration while the third configuration is the most favorable at salt concentrations of 4 and 10 NaCl wt %. We highlight the instantaneous hydrate-like structure around the head when it is adsorbed (see Figure 4e). The effect of salt in improving the efficiency of molecule M1 as hydrate antiagglomerant, in our simulations, is in line with our recent measurements.61,62 Note that there is no alkane in the system. Molecule M1 becomes effective at higher salt concentration in full agreement with experimental observation. Surfactant M1 is the only molecule reported in the literature that has this feature.

The adsorption of molecule M2 at 0, 4, and 10 NaCl wt % is examined in Figure 5. At the three salt concentrations the adsorption of the cationic surfactant head is unfavorable. At 0 and 4 NaCl wt %, the free energy profile increases from about z ≈ 3 nm as the surfactant headgroup approaches to the hydrate surface. At 10 NaCl wt %, there is a free energy minimum of −2.5 kJ/mol related to the adsorption of the tail on the hydrate surface when the steered headgroup atom is at z ≈ 2.7 nm (see Figure 5a–c, respectively). Figure 5d is a snapshot when the headgroup atom is at z ≈ 2.5 nm, and the surfactant tail is adsorbed on the hydrate surface at 10 NaCl wt %; the origin is set inside the hydrate slab. The NaCl salt concentration is (a) 0, (b) 4, and (c) 10 NaCl wt %. Snapshots showing configurations of molecule M2 at 10 NaCl wt % when the N₆ headgroup atom is at (d) z = 2.5 nm, and (e) z = 2.1 nm. The red thin lines show hydrogen bonding around molecule M2. The spring constant is k = 2000 kJ/(mol nm²); the pulling velocity is 5 × 10⁻³ nm/ns, P = 300 bar, and T = 277 K.
The preference of ionic species to stay in the aqueous phase rather than in the hydrate surface can be explained in terms of the dielectric constant difference between the two media; the dielectric constant is about 80 for the aqueous phase and about 50 for clathrate hydrates \(1,70\). In the aqueous phase, the dipole moment of water molecules is favorably aligned by the interaction with ions. In the solid clathrate, the hydrogen bonding tends to maintain a water molecule at fixed position and orientation. Ions stay in the liquid phase because they are more favorably hydrated than in the solid clathrate where there is a strong resistance to change the water orientation due to hydrogen bonding. The ions and clathrate hydrates are incompatible. The rejection of ions from the hydrate surface and the displacing between ions and hydrocarbons are understood in similar terms. In the continuous theory of dielectrics, we consider a charge \(q\) near the interface between two media, which experiences a force from an image charge \(q' = q(e_1 - e_2)/(e_2 + e_1)\); \(e_1\) and \(e_2\) are the charges of the media 1 and 2, respectively. When \(e_1 > e_2\) the sign of the image charge \(q'\) is the same as the real charge \(q\); hence, the force from the interface on \(q\) is repulsive. Our MD simulation captures the repulsive effect by the dielectric discontinuity as predicted in the classical theory of dielectrics.

The dissolution of a hydrocarbon chain in water requires a free energy cost to break hydrogen bonds and create a cavity free of water molecules.\(^{74}\) Hydrophobic hydration is an indication of the disrupting of liquid water molecules and hydrocarbon chains; the hydrocarbon interaction with a free surface of water molecules.

![Image](https://example.com/image.jpg)
hydrogen bond surface is more favorable than with liquid water. The segregation of hydrophobic molecules toward the hydrate surface minimizes the volume where hydrogen bonds are disrupted. Hence, hydrophobicity results in adsorption of a hydrocarbon chain at the hydrate surface.\textsuperscript{75,76} The favorable adsorption of hydrophobic groups on the hydrate surface has been indicated in molecular simulation studies.\textsuperscript{41} Pure hydrocarbon chains may not be classified as hydrate inhibitors because their solubility in aqueous phase is extremely low. In hydrate antiagglomeration the oil phase serves as the medium where antiagglomeration takes place. Our results for n-decane indicate that hydrocarbons may have an active role in hydrate antiagglomeration. This suggestion is in line with recent experimental results.\textsuperscript{61,62}

The primary role of the headgroup is to aid dissolution of the surfactant in aqueous solution. In solution the surfactants may be adsorbed on the hydrates surface. Based on the potential of mean force the nonionic surfactant M1 is favorably adsorbed on the hydrate surface by the tail and the head. In rocking cell experiments molecule M1 has been demonstrated to be an effective antiagglomerant\textsuperscript{1,6,64} in the absence of liquid hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{64} The surfactant M2 may be an effective hydrate antiagglomerant when there is a liquid hydrocarbon phase;\textsuperscript{65} it is not effective when there are no substantial amounts of hydrocarbons in the system. Quaternary ammonium surfactants (QASs) have been developed and patented as antiagglomerants and are effective in the presence of liquid hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{50} The structure of QAS consists of a central ammonium group bonded to four n-alkyl chains that may be of different lengths. Our results suggest that the QAS can be attached to the hydrate surface through the hydrocarbon chains but unlikely by direct adsorption of the ionic headgroup. Effective antiagglomerant QASs consist of two or more n-butyl chains\textsuperscript{55,50} that may attach to the hydrate surface. The ions in the aqueous phase create more hydrophobic repulsion of the hydrocarbon chains in the solution toward the hydrate surface. In experiments, the performance of hydrate antiagglomerants is improved with NaCl\textsuperscript{30,61,62} as mentioned above.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The main conclusions from this work are as follows:

- Hydrophobic molecules induce instantaneous structuring of water molecules in the bulk aqueous phase similar to clathrate hydrates which is referred to as hydrophobic hydration. We quantify hydrophobic hydration of methane, n-decane, and a nonionic and an ionic surfactant by the number of hydrogen bonds and tetrahedrality of water molecules.

- Hydrophobic hydration is enhanced at the end of hydrocarbon chains and around the nonionic amide surfactant headgroup. Hydrophobic hydration is reduced at the middle of hydrocarbon chains and by NaCl ions.

- The structure of water around the ionic headgroups is different from hydrophobic hydration. A significant reduction of hydrogen bonds and tetrahedral structures is observed around the cationic ammonium headgroup. On the contrary, the anionic headgroup of the sodium dodecyl surfactant promotes the formation of tetrahedral water structures which may be related to the increase of the hydrate nucleation rate.

- Hydrophobic hydration is directly related to the affinity of the chemical groups for the surface of hydrates; the hydrocarbon chain end and the nonionic amide group are preferentially adsorbed on the hydrate surface while adsorption of ions and the ammonium group is unfavorable. Hydrophobic groups are hydrophobically hydrated on the hydrate surface.

- The underlying cause for ion groups to be repelled from hydrophobic molecules and from the hydrate surface toward the liquid phase is due to the difference of dielectric constants. Ionic groups are more favorably hydrated in the aqueous phase, and they are not part of the clathrate hydrate solid.

- The adsorption of a solute molecule on the hydrate surface is enhanced by NaCl ions in the aqueous phase. The affinity of ions for water molecules and the dislike for hydrophobic groups drive the surfactant molecules to the hydrate–water interface.

- The adsorption of nonionic groups on clathrate hydrates is more favorable on structure II than on structure I. The adsorption of ionic surfactants is unfavorable in both structures.

**MODELS AND METHODS**

We represent hydrocarbon chains like n-decane and the surfactants’ tail using the TraPPE united atom model of liquid hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{77} Molecule M1 is cocomidopropyl dimethyamine, a nonionic surfactant which consists of a dimethyl amine in the head, an amide in the middle, and a hydrocarbon tail. We take into account the full atomic structure of the amine and amide groups using the OPLS-AA force field.\textsuperscript{78,79} Molecule M2, didodecyl dimethylammonium chloride, is a quaternary ammonium surfactant, containing an ammonium headgroup bonded to two methyl and two dodecyl groups. The full atomic structure of the ammonium headgroup is taken into account using the OPLS- AA force field.\textsuperscript{80} The partial charges and Lennard-Jones parameters of molecules M1, M2, and M3 are provided in Tables S1–S3 in the SI, respectively.

Water molecules are simulated using the TIP4P-ice model which describes accurately the three-phase equilibrium of methane hydrates.\textsuperscript{81,82} Methane molecules are described as spherical particles interacting via a Lennard-Jones potential; the parameters are $\sigma = 0.373$ nm and $\epsilon = 1.23$ kJ/mol.\textsuperscript{83} The interaction between dissimilar atoms is computed using the Lorentz–Berthelot combining rules. We verified the validity of the force field parameters and combination rules by computing the free energy of solution of the trimethylamine molecule [N(CH$_3$)$_3$] and the enthalpy of hydration of the tetramethylammonium ion [N(CH$_3$)$_4$]$^+$. Both values are in agreement with experimental measurements (see the SI).

To simulate the adsorption of solutes on the hydrate surface we employ the setup shown in Figure 1b consisting of a hydrate slab next to an aqueous solution. The hydrate slab is made of $4 \times 4 \times 3$ unit cells of methane hydrate crystal (2204 water molecules and 384 methane molecules). The focus of our work is on structure I (sI) of clathrate hydrates, and some additional studies are performed on structure II (sII). Unless it is explicitly stated the hydrate structure is sI. The aqueous phase is made of 5700 water molecules and contains 20 methane molecules; 72 and 180 ionic NaCl pairs are added in the aqueous phase at 4 and 10 NaCl wt %, respectively. The hydrate slab dimensions are 4.8 nm $\times$ 4.8 nm $\times$ 3.6 nm along
x, y, and z, respectively. The simulation box dimensions are 4.8 nm × 4.8 nm along the x and y directions, respectively, and the box length along the z direction is around 12.2 nm. Adsorption on structure II of clathrate hydrates is simulated for methane and molecules M1 and M2. Adsorption of methane is simulated to validate the steered molecular dynamics method using a setup similar to that employed by Yagasaki et al. of methane hydrates sII; the stable phase of methane hydrates is sI. The hydrate slab for methane adsorption consists of 2 × 2 × 2 sII unit cells and is made of 1088 water molecules and 192 methane molecules; the aqueous phase is made of 2162 water molecules. The adsorption of molecules M1 and M2 on clathrate hydrate sII is simulated using a hydrate slab consisting of 3 × 3 × 2 unit cells and constituted by 2448 water molecules and 144 propane molecules; sII is the stable phase of propane hydrates. The aqueous phase is made of 6688 water molecules. The study of the solute molecules in the bulk aqueous phase is performed without the hydrate slab.

**Steered Molecular Dynamics Simulations.** We employ steered molecular dynamics to investigate the adsorption of solute molecules on the hydrate surface. The technique consists of connecting a dummy atom to target atom of the solute molecule and then moving the dummy atom at constant velocity v along a harmonic potential with a spring constant k. The force exerted by the molecules in the medium is the negative of the pulling force. The mean force $F_z$ is the average force over 20 ns of pulling. The work to transfer the molecule between two points is given by

$$W(z) = -\int_{z_0}^{z} F(z') \, dz'$$

(1)

$W(z)$ is the potential of mean force as a function of the distance to the hydrate slab $z$; $z_0$ is a reference position of the atom in the solute molecule hooked to the dummy atom. At constant pressure and temperature the work is the Gibbs free energy change by moving the molecule, $W = \Delta G$.

In statistical mechanics of homogeneous fluids the potential of mean force between two particles $W(r)$ is related to the pair correlation function $g^{(2)}(r)$ by

$$g^{(2)}(r) = \exp(-\beta W(r))$$

(2)

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$; $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and T the absolute temperature. In theory of statistical mechanics of inhomogeneous fluids $W(z)$ is related to the density profile $\rho(z)$ by

$$\rho(z) = \rho_b \exp(-\beta W(z))$$

(3)

where $\rho_b$ is the reference density (bulk) of an inhomogeneous fluid.

Steered molecular dynamics (SMD) simulations are performed in the following way: (1) A 0.5 ns run is carried out to equilibrate the system at the prescribed pressure and temperature; in this step we use the Berendsen thermostat ($\tau_T = 0.1$ ps) and barostat ($\tau_P = 0.5$ ps). The molecule is initially placed about 3 nm away from the hydrate surface. (2) Steered molecular dynamics simulations are performed by pulling one atom of the solute molecule along the $z$ direction. For n-decane the pulling is applied on one methyl group (end group); for molecules M1, M2, and M3 the pulling is applied on the N, N, and S headgroup atoms, respectively. Other atoms are not steered or constrained, and steering is not applied in the x and y directions. We use a spring constant of $k = 2000$ kJ/(mol nm²), and the pulling is performed at a velocity of $v_z = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ns along the z direction. Steered molecular dynamics gives similar results of the potential of mean force as the umbrella sampling method (see Figures S11 and S12 in the SI). The simulation time is about 600 ns per run, and the CPU time is about 160 ns/day using four P-100 GPU cards from Nvidia. The force running average $\tilde{F}_z(z)$ is calculated over intervals of 20 ns; within this time frame the molecule explores a large number of orientations and positions in the x and y directions (see Figure S13 in the SI). Each plot of the potential of mean force and the error bars are calculated from at least three independent simulation runs. The temperature and pressure are controlled using the Nose–Hoover thermostat ($\tau_T = 2$ ps) and the Parrinello–Rahman barostat ($\tau_P = 4$ ps), respectively. Simulations are performed at $T = 277$ K and $P = 300$ bar. A time-step of 2 fs is used to integrate Newton’s equation of motion. Short-range interactions are truncated at 1.2 nm, and long-range electrostatic interactions are computed using the smooth particle mesh Ewald summation. Three-dimensional-periodic boundary conditions are applied. The simulations are performed using the open source code Gromacs.
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