Visualization Experiment of Bubble Coalescence in a Narrow Vertical Rectangular Channel
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Visualization experiments were carried out and sliding bubble coalescence behaviors were observed for subcooled flow boiling in a narrow rectangular channel. The results show that the consecutive coalescence process is composed of four steps based on the bubble morphological change: approaching, merging, adjustment, and stabilization, in which the more important are the merging and adjustment of the bubble. Bubble always slides along the heating surface and does not lift off in coalescence process. Bubble diameter and sliding velocity increase first, then decrease and finally stabilize. Bubble shape also oscillates from an irregular ellipse to a stable circle. In addition, a new small bubble may be induced in the coalescence process.
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INTRODUCTION

The narrow rectangular channel has been widely used in engineering because of its characteristics of heat transfer enhancement and its ability to meet the requirements of small volume but high power. Bubble dynamics is the basis of boiling heat transfer research. Present research on the bubble behavior in narrow channels mainly focus on the behavior characteristics of individual bubbles, such as bubble nucleation, departure, growth and condensation. Ren et al. (2019, 2020) studied the effects of thermal parameters on the bubble nucleation, departure, growth, and sliding velocity in the previous work. Xu et al. (2013) has found in a visualization experiment that typical bubble sliding behavior exists in the narrow rectangular channel at low heat flux conditions. Li et al. (2013) also has found that most bubbles in the narrow channel slip along the heating wall instead of lifting off as in the conventional large channel. The distribution of bubble sliding velocity was obtained by statistical method. In fact, nucleate boiling is a complex process that involves the motion of individual bubbles and the interactions between them. In the former studies, many researchers experimentally or numerically investigated the bubble coalescence in the large space or channel, while few studies on bubble interaction in a narrow channel have been reported.

Bonjour et al. (2000) experimentally studied three types of bubble coalescence in pool nucleate boiling: (1) bubble coalescence away from the heating surface; (2) vertical bubble coalescence near the heating surface; (3) horizontal bubble coalescence near the heating surface. Liu et al. (2006) proposed to divide bubble coalescence into three types including vertical coalescence, horizontal coalescence and the mixtures of them. Feng et al. (2016) proposed that there were two forms of bubble coalescence, namely, connectionless coalescence and connected coalescence. In the study of Nguyen et al. (2013), the connected coalescence process includes the following steps: the approach and collision of bubbles, the formation and discharge of a liquid film, the rupture of the film when it
reaches a critical thickness. Zhang and Shoji (2003) has found that the coalescence of bubbles had a great effect on the bubble departure frequency. Mukherjee and Dhir (2004) has numerically found that the lateral bubbles enhanced the heat transfer by trapping liquid layers during coalescence process. It has been found from Chen and Chung’s (2002) experiment that the deformation caused by bubble coalescence increased the contact time between the cold liquid and the heating wall, thereby enhancing the heat transfer. According to Coulibaly et al.’s (2014) work, increasing liquid subcooling reduced the size and the departure frequency of coalesced bubble. Compared with the single-bubble boiling, the heat flux fluctuated more significantly during bubble coalescence process.

The above studies do not consider the limitations of space. In a narrow channel, the influence of flow resistance, surface tension and significantly enhances wall boundary constraints. The characteristics of bubble coalescence is different from those in the large channel (Lu et al., 2017). The bubbles grow to the narrow channel size and the distance between bubbles decrease, which enhance the bubble coalescence. However, sufficient research has not been conducted on the characteristics of bubble coalescence in narrow channels. In this work, visualization experiments were carried out to investigate the sliding bubble coalescence in an isolated bubble region in a narrow rectangular channel. The vapor-liquid interface evolution during bubble coalescence process and the change of characteristic parameters before and after coalescence were studied.

**Experimental Method**

Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental equipment. The experimental loop mainly consists of a major circulation loop and a cooling loop. In order to intuitively study the characteristics of bubble coalescence, a visualization test section is designed and constructed. The main instruments in the circuit include a preheater, a test section, a condenser, a pressurizer, two pumps, an electromagnetic flowmeter, and some pipe valves. Before the formal experiment, water is heated by the circulation loop and a cooling loop. In order to intuitively study the circulation power has an accuracy of ±0.5°C and ±1°C. The heating power has an accuracy of 0.55%. The accuracies for bubble diameter and position are, respectively, ±0.02 mm and ±0.08 m/s.

\[
U_F = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \right)^2}{n}}
\]  

(1)

where \( F \) is a function of variables \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \); \( U_F \) is the uncertainty for \( F \) and \( U_{p_i} \) is the uncertainty for the variable \( p_i \). The relative uncertainty for the friction factor \( \lambda \) can be calculated as.

\[
\frac{U_{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{U_{p_i}}{p_i} \right)^2}{n}}
\]  

(2)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Morphology Changes During Bubble Coalescence**

Figure 2 shows three different groups of bubble coalescence images: (a) the coalescence of two bubbles with similar size in the flow direction, (b) the coalescence of two bubbles with different size in the flow direction, (c) the coalescence of two bubbles in the horizontal direction. Based on Zheng et al.’s (2014) work,
the consecutive coalescence process is composed of the following four steps according to the bubble morphological change: (1) approaching, (2) merging, (3) adjustment and (4) stabilization. In step (1), the two bubbles approach each other until the phase interfaces begin to contact; in step (2), the two bubble phase interfaces merge to form an irregularly shaped bubble; in step (3), the shape of new bubble is constantly adjusted and the oscillation gradually weakens; in step (4), the new bubble reaches stability and continues to slide. Among of those steps, step (2) and (3) are more important.

Group (a) shows the coalescence process of two bubbles in the flow direction. At 0 ms, the two bubbles slide along the heating wall, then the distance between two bubbles decreases due to the different sliding velocities. At about 7.28 ms, the two bubble phase interfaces contacts each other and begin to merge. A new bubble is formed at 8.28 ms. In the long period adjustment process, the shape of bubble constantly changes. The oscillation gradually weakens and the bubble shape stabilizes at 17.02 ms. From the bubble images, there is a clear contact circle in the center of the bubble, which is represented by red lines in Figure 2B. This is caused by the contact between the bottom of the bubble and the heating surface. Therefore, it can be judged that the bubble always contact the wall surface in this process and no lifting off occurs.
Group (b) shows the coalescence process of two sliding bubbles with large size difference in the flow direction. In 0–3.45 ms, two bubbles get close to each other, the lower bubble gradually catches up with the upper one, and the diameter of the lower bubble decreases slightly. Then the bubble phase interfaces contact and coalesce, forming a new bubble. The time of bubble merging stage lasts about 0.5 ms and the time of bubble adjustment stage lasts about 7 ms. It is found in the experiment that if two bubble sizes differ greatly before coalescence, the oscillation during coalescence is small. If the size difference is small and both are large bubbles, there is a more severe oscillation and a longer adjustment period.

Group (c) shows the horizontal coalescence process of two sliding bubbles. Coalescence in horizontal direction is more difficult compared to that in vertical direction. However, the two bubbles in the figure are relatively close and the lateral position of two bubbles is shifted due to the growth of bubbles or the disturbance of the fluid. At 2.99 ms, the two bubble interfaces contact each other. After about 0.55 ms merging and 8.5 ms adjustment, a stable new bubble is formed. Similarly, the bubble always slides along the heating surface and does not lift off.

In addition, a small new bubble is generated in the coalescence process, which is reflected in Figures 2A, B. At 8.29 ms in Figure 2A, the length of the merging bubble in the vertical direction reaches the maximum value and then begins to shorten. A small amount of gas separates from the main bubble at the upper end because of inertia, which is then absorbed by the merging bubble during the movement. Similarly, the generation of a small bubble in Figure 2B occurs at 3.91 ms and also occurs at the top. If the small bubble is generated on the side, it may absorb heat energy to form a separate bubble, which is more likely to occur in the coalescence process of two larger bubbles.

**Diameter Changes Before and After Bubble Coalescence**

Based on coalescence process of sliding bubbles in Figures 2, 3 shows the bubble mean diameter and the ratio of the maximum diameter to minimum diameter variation with the time before and after coalescence. Considering the effects of light and flow heat transfer, the bubble may appear in various shapes. Bubble mean diameter is used to describe the bubble equivalent diameter, which is defined as the average diameter in all directions through the centroid. The ratio of bubble maximum diameter to the minimum diameter could approximately describe the bubble shape.

It can be seen from Figures 3A, B, for the coalescence occurring in the vertical flow direction, the bubble at the bottom tends to be larger, while the upper bubble is smaller. The bubble growth rate is relatively small in the sliding process. Before the interaction, a single bubble basically shows a stable state and the
bubble diameter remains constant. When two bubbles are close to each other, they begin to interact by affecting the flow field and the bubble diameters and shapes are also disturbed to varying degrees. For example in group (b), the upper bubble diameter increases slightly, while the lower bubble diameter decreases. In the coalescence process, the bubble mean diameters for three
groups increase at first, then decrease and finally stabilize. Later
the new bubbles begin to absorb heat and grow like any other
stable bubbles. The diameter of the final stable bubble is similar
to the diameter of any bubble before coalescence, and its volume
is less than the sum of the two bubble volumes before coalescence.
This is because in subcooled boiling, the bubble size increases
at the beginning of coalescence, increasing the contact area with
the subcooled fluid. Moreover, the turbulence in the coalescence
process further strengthens the disturbance of the fluid, resulting
in greater condensation heat flux than evaporation heat flux.
Therefore, the bubble volume decreases after coalescence.

According to Xu et al.’s (2013) work, the stable bubble is
approximately spherical when it is sliding along the heating
surface before coalescence. In this experiment, the front view
is approximately circular. Hence, the ratio of the maximum
diameter to the minimum diameter is about 1. It can be found
from the bubble image that an irregular ellipse appears when
the two bubbles first contact. At this time, the bubble maximum
diameter is the largest and the minimum diameter is the smallest.
In the subsequent adjustment stage, the bubble continuously
trembles, while the degree of tremor weakens. The ratio of
the maximum diameter to the minimum diameter gradually
decreases until it finally stabilizes.

**Velocity Changes Before and After Bubble Coalescence**

**Figure 4** shows the bubble velocity in the vertical direction
variation with time before and after bubble coalescence.

Compared to the vertical velocity, the horizontal velocity of the
sliding bubble is very small and negligible. From the figure, a
single sliding bubble moves at a uniform speed before the bubbles
interaction. For two bubbles in the vertical flow direction, as
shown in **Figures 4A, B**, the sliding velocity of the larger lower
bubble is bigger than the velocity of the smaller upper bubble.
In **Figure 4C**, the sliding velocities of the two bubbles with
the same lateral position are similar. After bubble coalescence,
the velocity of the new bubble formed increases first and then
decreases with some fluctuations, which is the same as the bubble
diameter change.

Xu et al. (2011) pointed out in the research that the influence
distance of the initial interaction between two sliding bubbles
was about twice times of the average diameter. The coalescence
between bubbles is a positive effect, which increases the bubble
sliding velocity and is beneficial to the improvement of the heat
transfer near the region. In the study, the sliding velocities of the
two bubbles in group (a) increases slightly before coalescence,
since the lower bubble is in the wake region of the upper bubble
and is accelerated by the wake. At the same time, the acceleration
promotes the movement of the upper bubble, so the velocities
of two bubbles increase. However, the bubble sliding velocity
is not only affected by coalescence, but also by the mainstream
velocity, flow field disturbance and bubble size. It is known from
previous study that the bubble sliding velocity is positively related
to the bubble size (Ren et al., 2020). From **Figure 3**, the size
of the upper bubble in group (b) decreases before coalescence
and the velocity decreases as well. Bubble velocity in group
(c) is basically unchanged. Therefore, Xu’s conclusion is not
applicable to this study, which might due to the limitation of the
image window size. At 0 ms, the two bubbles are close to each
other and at the end of the interaction, the acceleration is not
obvious. In addition, the combined effects of bubble interaction,
flow field disturbances and size change of bubbles may also lead to different results. If the bubble wake effect is greater, bubble velocity increases before coalescence. If other factors have greater influence, bubble velocity decreases or remain unchanged before coalescence.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, visualization experiments were carried out and sliding bubble coalescence behaviors were observed for subcooled flow boiling in a narrow vertical rectangular channel. The changes of morphology diameter and velocity before and after bubble coalescence were studied and analyzed. The main conclusions of the present work can be expressed as:

(1) The consecutive coalescence process is composed of four steps based on the bubble morphological change: approaching, merging, adjustment, and stabilization, in which the more important are the merging and adjustment of the bubble. If the size difference for two bubbles is small and both are large bubbles, there is a more severe oscillation and a longer adjustment period. In coalescence process, the bubble always slides along the heating surface and does not lift off.

(2) Bubble diameter increases first, then decreases and finally stabilizes in coalescence process. The new bubble volume is less than the sum of two bubble volumes before coalescence. Bubble shape also oscillates from an irregular ellipse to a stable circle. The change in bubble sliding velocity is the same as that in diameter.

(3) A new small bubble is generated next to the main bubble in the coalescence process, which is then absorbed by the main bubble during the movement or it may absorb heat energy to form a separate bubble.

Intuitive information is obtained about the interaction of bubbles in a narrow channel, which provides theoretical support for the study of boiling heat transfer. However, more quantitative analysis needs to be completed in future work.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( P \) System pressure, MPa
\( q \) Wall heat flux, kW m\(^{-2}\)
\( G \) Mass flow rate, kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)
\( \Delta T_{\text{sub,in}} \) Inlet subcooling, °C
\( D \) Diameter, mm
\( v \) Velocity, m/s.