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Abstract

This paper attempts to provide an analysis of emphasis spread in Juffin Arabic, henceforth JA, a rural dialect spoken in Juffin village in the north of Jordan. The study considers a sample of 50 minimal pairs that differ solely in the feature [emphatic] in different word positions; the 50 minimal pairs were tested in the speech of 12 ideal participants, males and females, who are 40 years and above. The study reveals that the class of emphatics in JA comprises a primary set of /unken/ /wan/ and /šen/, and a secondary set of /šen/, /šen/, and /šen/. Where emphasis spreads bidirectionally in JA, rightward is bounded by the set of /ilen/, /ilen/, /ilen/, and /šen/.

1. Introduction and Literatures Review

The term emphasis is a distinctive feature of Semitic languages which defines segments produced with a primary articulation in the dento-alveolar1 region and a secondary articulation that involves some constriction in the upper region of the pharynx (Card 1983; McCarthy 1994; Davis 1995; Watson 1999; Huneety 2015; Mashaqba 2015). The outcome of this is two contrastive classes of phonemes that share the same place and manner of articulation and differ only by the feature [+emphatic]. Acoustically, lowering of F2 value of the vowel that is adjacent to the emphatic is a key cue to the perception of emphatics (Cf. al Masri and et al (2011).

A big deal of literature has examined emphasis theoretically and instrumentally, e.g. Obretch (1968), Al-Ani (1970), Card (1983), McCarthy (1994), Zawaydah (1999), Watson (1999) and Dickens (2007). Different terms have been used to refer to emphasis, including velarization (Obrecht 1968), pharyngealization (Al Ani 1970; Watson 2002; Dickens 2007), uvularization ( McCarthy 1994; Shahin 1997; Zawaydah 1999), retracted tongue root (Ladefoged 1975; El-Dalee 1984), and dorsalization (Halle et al 2000). The most prominent one is pharyngealization because this reflects the fact that pharynx is barrowed during articulating these sounds. Terms used by arab grammarians include ʔibāq, which refers to ‘the raising and spreading of the tongue’ ( Lehn 1963:29, cited in Al-Masri and Jongman2004), and taţām which was coined to distinguish the non-emphatic sonorants /l/ and /r/ from their emphatic counterparts in Quranic recitations (Al-Hamed 2003)

Although it is agreed that the tongue dorsum is the active articulator of emphatic segments, there is some controversy over the actual place of pharyngeal constriction, whether it is the upper pharynx ‘uvularization/velarization’ (Zawaydeh 1998), or the lower pharynx ‘pharyngealization’ (Bellem 2007).

Emphatics fall into two subclasses: primary emphatics and secondary emphatics. Primary emphatics comprise the set of pharyngealized coronals /telen/, /šel/, /šel/, and /šel/ which are traditionally viewed as primary or phonemic and they cause spreading of emphasis to other segments (Davis 1995; Waton 1999; Bellem 2007). They contrast respectively with the following set of plain coronals /telen/, /šel/, /šel/, and /šel/. Secondary emphatics refer to segments that show phonemic contrast in specific environments where they are adjacent to the low vowels /a/ and /a/ (Bellem 2007: 43; Davis 2009: 637). Thus, where the set of coronal emphatics /telen/, /šel/, /šel/, /šel/ and /šel/ occurs in different vocalic environments, the rest of the emphatics occur only in the vicinity of the low back vowel /a/, e.g., ʔeebb ‘father’, yamma ‘mother’ (Davis 2009; Youssef 2013: 101). The most common marginal emphatics in the literature are /telen/ /šel/, /šel/, and /šel/ and basically they occur in the vicinity of the low vowels /a/ and /a/ (Davis 2009: 637).

Emphasis spread is an assimilatory process where emphatic segments spread the feature [+RTR] to neighboring segments (al Khatib 2008: 1). In other words, an emphatic segment propagates the emphasis feature and spreads it over to the next segments which might spread it in return to attached affixes. Arabic dialects exhibit some differences in terms

1 Davis (1995) maintains that the class of emphatics comprises not only dentals and alveolars but also labials.
of the source of emphasis, and the extent to which high vocoids may block the spread of emphasis. In Muslim Baghdadi Arabic (Blanc 1964), emphasis spreads minimally over the syllable and maximally over the entire phonological word. It might affect affixes where there is a word-final primary emphatic. Emphasis is potentially blocked by /š/ and /w/ plus palatals.

Providing an acoustic analysis of uvularisation spread in ‘Ammānī Arabic using four words, Zawaydeh (1998) considers the spread of uvularisation from the set of coronal consonants /d/, /t/, /l/ and /s/ and from the uvular stop /q/.

She finds out that the word is the domain of emphasis spread as she finds out that none of the high vocoids can block rightward and leftward spread of emphasis. She further adds that uvularisation spread of emphasis does not exhibit any gradience in leftward emphasis, i.e., F2 of the vowel is low irrespective of its distance from the emphatic segment. In contrast, rightward emphasis is gradient where F2 values are lower for vowels adjacent to the emphatic. Examining the acoustic correlates of emphasis in Jordanian Arabic, Al-Masri and Jongman (2004) show that emphasis is bidirectional and exhibits as for most other dialects considered above rightward/leftward asymmetry; emphasis is blocked by the set of high segments: /i/ and /u/.

Watson (2002) shows that leftward emphasis in Cairene Arabic is absolute within the stem whereas rightward emphasis is blocked by nontautosyllabic vocoids: /š/ and /w/.

In southern and northern Palestinian Arabic, emphasis works bidirectionally, exhibiting a rightward/leftward asymmetry (Davis 1995). Like most Arabic dialects, leftward emphasis is absolute, but rightward emphasis is blocked by a set of opaque segments. These segments are /i/, /l/, /j/, and /š/ in southern Palestinian Arabic, but they are /ɪ/, /l/, /j/, /š/ and /w/ in northern Palestinian Arabic.

Examining Wadi Mousa Arabic, Huneety (2015) shows that emphasis spreads bidirectionally in the dialect, minimally over the syllable and maximally over the phonological word. Surveying literature on emphasis spread, we find that Arabic dialects exhibit differences in the source, direction and blockers of emphasis. Hence, this study aims to examine the triggers, the direction and the blockers of emphasis in Juffin Arabic and then show how the dialect differs from neighbouring Arabic dialects.

2. Material and Methodology

To properly characterise emphasis spread in JA, the researchers recruited 12 ideal participants, 6 males and 6 females, who are natives of JA plus two language informants (consultants). To get a comprehensive account, the data were collected from participants of various ages (40-70 years) who represent the various families of Juffin village. All these participants are 40 years or above to ensure that they maintain the key features of the dialect, i.e., unaffected by aspects of modern life that have changed some of the linguistic features of young generation's speech (cf. Huneety 2015; Mashaqba 2015). Participants’ level of education ranges from primary education (7 participants) to secondary education (5 participants). The researchers ensured that informants are healthy, have no speech impediments and can provide sufficient data for this study.

A sample of 50 minimal pairs that differ only in terms of the feature [+emphatic] was prepared, including monosyllabic words, bisyllabic words, trisyllabic words, and words which include potential blockers of emphasis in other Arabic dialects. Emphatic segments were also chosen in different word positions to check the domain and directionality of emphasis. Two methods of collecting data were used in this study. In the first method, all informants were asked to read the list of minimal pairs embedded in the sentence bidda abuțt kilmît ‘... bjumla mufida ‘I am going to use the word... in a useful sentence’. The second method involves recording conversations with 6 participants comprising various social topics, e.g., Bedouin ways of life, agriculture, foods, tourism and modern life. During these conversations, the researchers asked frequent questions that involved participants to use words having emphatic segments. Observation of how people speak the dialect is also employed as a source of data.

3. Discussion and Results

Examining the data collected shows that the set of emphatics in JA comprises /t/ /š/ and /d/, which exhibits phonemic contrast respectively with /t/ and /d/, /š/ and /z/, and /t/ and /d/ in all vocalic positions, as in:

\[
\begin{align*}
/\text{t}/ & \quad /\text{š}/ \quad \text{‘to heal’} & \text{‘to repent’} \\
/\text{t}/ & \quad /\text{d}/ \quad \text{‘to change his mind’} & \text{‘to exchange’} \\
/\text{š}/ & \quad /\text{l}/ \quad \text{‘to hunt’} & \text{‘to control’} \\
/\text{š}/ & \quad /\text{l}/ \quad \text{‘to hunt’} & \text{‘to add’} \\
/\text{d}/ & \quad /\text{l}/ \quad \text{‘she got lost’} & \text{‘to broadcast’} \\
/\text{d}/ & \quad /\text{t}/ \quad \text{‘hurtful’} & \text{‘to repent’} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The primary emphatics occur in different vocalic environments, as shown in Table (1) below:

| Minimal Pair | Word Form | Meaning |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| /t/ /š/ /d/  | /t/ š d   | ‘to heal’ ‘to change his mind’ ‘to exchange’ |
| /t/ /d/     | /t/ d    | ‘to repent’ ‘to exchange’ |
| /š/ /l/     | /š/ l    | ‘to control’ ‘to add’ |
| /š/ /l/     | /š/ l    | ‘to hunt’ ‘to add’ |
| /d/ /l/     | /d/ l    | ‘she got lost’ ‘to broadcast’ |
| /d/ /t/     | /d/ t    | ‘hurtful’ ‘to repent’ |
Table (1): Distribution of emphatic segments in JA

| Word-initially          | Word-medially          | Word-finally          |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| taswir *photography*    | y-ṣawwir ‘to vote’     | bāṣ ‘bus’             |
| fār ‘to fly’            | bin-ṭawwir ‘we develop’| ḥafṭ ‘to put’         |
| dāllat ‘she stayed’     | ḡāḍi ‘judge’           | Ḥaḍuf ‘luck’          |
| tūb ‘block’             | n-ṣir ‘we become’      | marbūt ‘tie’          |
| dēm ‘oppression’        | ḥēṭ-ak ‘your wall’     | xēṭ ‘thread’          |

The class of emphatics comprises a secondary set of /l/, /t/, and /m/. The emphatic /l/ occurs with the different lexemes of the word Allah al-lah ‘God’, e.g. bāl-lah ‘really?’, or next to a following /l/, /l/ or /l/ preceded by back consonants /x/, /g/ or /gl/, e.g., xāl ‘maternal uncle’, and gāl ‘to say’, šuğul ‘work’, bāgul ‘mule’, daxal ‘to seek refuge’, gamul ‘flea’, xālawi ‘mobile phone’. The emphatic /t/ is found in non-derived nouns with historical *a*, *ā and *u*, but /l/ shows before *i*, e.g. *xīl > xīl ‘argument’, *qīlub > ḡīlub ‘hearts’. The flap /l/ and the nasal /m/ occur in a few words in the vicinity of the low vowel /a/ and /ā/, e.g. ḥabb ‘kiss’, maraḍ ‘illness’, ṭuways ‘water’.

Emphasis spreads bidirectionally in JA. It spreads minimally over the syllable and maximally over the word. Table (2) presents a set of minimal pairs that differ in ± emphasis feature to show the domain of emphasis in JA. The spread of emphasis is indicated by an underline.

Table (2): Domain of Emphasis in JA

| Emphatic segments | Plain segments |
|-------------------|---------------|
| bāṣṣ ‘to look’    | bāss ‘only’   |
| ḥēṭ ‘wall’       | ḥēṭ ‘non-word’|
| ṣamm ‘non-word’   | ṣamm ‘poison’ |
| ṭāll ‘look’       | ṭālla ‘hill’  |
| ḥaff ‘to put’     | ḥaff ‘to erode’|
| ṣaff ‘duck’       | ṣaff ‘to take a final decision’ |
| ḍall ‘to get lost’| ḍall ‘to humiliate’ |
| ḍallal ‘to misguide’| ḍallal ‘to humiliate’ |

Emphasis spreads over suffixes only when immediately adjacent to an emphatic trigger; prefixes, however, fail to be emphatic even when they are tautosyllabic with an emphatic trigger. Consider the examples given in Table (3) below:

Table (3): The spread of emphasis into suffixes

| Emphatic segments | Plain segments |
|-------------------|---------------|
| bālas-hum ‘he stole them’ | bālas-hum ‘he told them a secret about someone’ |
| bās-hum ‘their bus’       | bās-hum ‘he kissed them’ |
| ḥat-li ‘he put to me’     | ḥat-li ‘he eroded to me’ |
| xallas-ha ‘he saved her’  | xallas-ha ‘non word’ |
| tam-li ‘he filled up something’ | tam-li ‘something is done’ |
| sajl-à-li ‘he prayed to me’ | sajl-à-li ‘he entertained someone on my request’ |
| ṣaffal-à-li ‘he made something out of door’ | ṣaffal-à-li ‘he made someone carry something’ |
| y-sall-à-li ‘he is praying’ | y-sall-à-li ‘he entertains’ |
| y-xas-ù ‘they specialize’ | y-xas-ù ‘they lose weight’ |
| i-ṭārīg ‘for Tariq’       | i-ṭārīg ‘nonword’ |

As in many other Arabic dialects (e.g. Abbâத Arabic: Sakarnah 1999; Wadi Mousa Arabic: Huneety 2015; Wadi Ramm Arabic: Mashaqba 2015), leftward spread of emphasis is absolute in the dialect, i.e. emphasis spreads without being blocked by any segment. Consider the examples given in Table (4) below:
In contrast to leftward emphasis that is unbounded in the dialect, a set of opaque segments impedes rightward emphasis: the palatal vocoids /i/, /ˈɑː/ and /y/, and the palato-alveolar /ʃ/. This contrasts with al-Masri and Jongman (2004) who show that rightward emphasis is blocked by the high vowels /i/ and /u/, and Huneety (2015) where it is blocked by the set of high segments /i/, /ˈɑː/ and /ˈʃ/. Consider Table (5) below which shows how the opaque segments /i/, /ˈɑː/ /ˈʃ/ impede the rightward spread of emphasis in JA Arabic.

### Table (5): Rightward emphasis spread in JA

| Emphatic | Gloss                      |
|----------|----------------------------|
| ˈdawayr | ‘to miss’                  |
| ˈs̄ayyim | ‘fasting’                  |
| ˈṯayyib | ‘tender hearted’           |
| ˈṯil- at | ‘she went’                 |
| ˈs̄alah | ‘to reconcile’             |
| ˈd̄īl | ‘shadow’                   |
| ˈaṯs̄ān | ‘thirsty’                  |
| ˈṯas̄ṣah | ‘outing’                   |
| ˈṯawwal | ‘to prolong’               |

By contrast, emphasis spread is absolute in some Arabic dialects such as: ˈAbbādi Arabic (Sakarnah 1999) and Cairene Arabic (Youssef 2013). Table (6) below compares the impact of the opaque segments in both JA and ˈAbbādi Arabic (Sakarnah 1999).

### Table (6): Rightward emphasis spread in JA and ˈAbbādi Arabic (Sakarnah 1999)

| ˈAbbādi Arabic | JA Arabic Arabic | Gloss                      |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| ˈs̄ayyur       | ˈs̄ayyur        | ‘to let something grow’    |
| ˈṯil-u        | ˈṯil-u          | ‘they came out’            |
| ˈṯs̄ān        | ˈṯs̄ān         | ‘thirsty’                  |
| ˈṯawwar       | ˈṯawwar        | ‘plane’                    |
| ˈṯil           | ˈṯil           | ‘kid (m.)’                 |

Watson (2002) shows that emphasis in ˈSanāi Arabic reaches the preceding word, particularly where word-final stop is immediately followed by a pharyngealised coronal and in phrases invoking God. To check the spread of emphasis to preceding words, minimal pair tests of phrases that differ in the emphasis feature were examined. The analysis of data shows that emphasis spreads optionally to a preceding word in phrases invoking God, e.g. in ˈs̄alla ~ ˈs̄alla ‘God willing’, wa ˈilla ‘by God’s name’~ wa ˈilla, ya ˈilla ~ , ya ˈilla ‘let’s go’ which consists of the vocative particle ya plus the name of Allah. However, spread of emphasis fails to reach a preceding word in other cases.

4. Conclusion

This paper has examined emphatic segments and emphasis spread in Juffin Arabic spoken in the north of Jordan. The study has shown that the dialect has a primary set of /ʃl, ʃl/ and /ɬl/, and a secondary set of /ʃl, ʃl/, and /ɬl/. Emphasis spreads bidirectionally in the dialect maximally over the word and minimally over the syllable. Whereas leftward emphasis

---

Table (4): Leftward emphasis spread in JA

| Emphatic segments         | Plain segments     |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| ˈhaʃid ‘to put’           | ˈhaʃid ‘to erode’  |
| ˈhaʃid [proper name]      | ˈhaʃid ‘grandson’  |
| ˈs̄aš ‘car’               | ˈs̄aš non-word     |
| ˈm̄allas ‘to let someone escape’ | ˈm̄allas ‘to touch something softly’ |
| ˈrabbat ‘to tie’          | ˈrabbat ‘she brought up’ |
| ˈbalas ‘to steal’         | ˈbalas ‘to tell a secret’ |
is absolute, rightward emphasis is blocked by the set /i/, /ʃ/, /y/, and /y/. Suffixes become emphatic when they are tautosyllabic with an emphatic segment. The study would recommend examining emphatic segments and emphasis spread in Bedouin Jordanian dialects in the northeast of Jordan where the influx of thousands of Syrian refugees is increasing. It would also recommend examining the phonological aspects of JA.
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