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Abstract

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in women has increased during the last decades. This is a serious concern since a high BMI before conception is an independent risk factor for many adverse outcomes of pregnancy. Therefore, dietary counseling, intended to stimulate weight loss in overweight and obese women prior to conception has recently been recommended. However, dieting with the purpose to lose weight may involve health risks for mother and offspring. We conducted a systematic literature review to identify papers investigating the effects of weight loss due to dietary interventions before conception. The objective of this study is to assess the effect of weight loss prior to conception in overweight or obese women on a number of health-related outcomes in mother and offspring using studies published between January 2000 and December 2011. Our first literature search produced 486 citations and, based on predefined eligibility criteria, 58 were selected and ordered in full text. Two group members read each paper. Fifteen studies were selected for quality assessment and two of them were considered appropriate for inclusion in evidence tables. A complementary search identified 168 citations with four papers being ordered in full text. The two selected studies provided data for overweight and obese women. One showed a positive effect of weight loss before pregnancy on the risk of gestational diabetes and one demonstrated a reduced risk for large-for-gestational-age infants in women with a BMI above 25 who lost weight before pregnancy. No study investigated the effect of weight loss due to a dietary intervention before conception. There is a lack of studies on overweight and obese women investigating the effect of dietary-induced weight loss prior to conception on health-related variables in mother and offspring. Such studies are probably lacking since they are difficult to conduct. Therefore, alternative strategies to control the body weight of girls and women of reproductive age are needed.
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The optimal body weight of pregnant women has been an issue of much debate over the years. It has long been recognized that underweight women tend to deliver small infants and a low birth weight is well known to be associated with increased mortality and morbidity in children (1). Recommendations regarding weight gain during pregnancy have also been given for a long time. For example, an American textbook on obstetrics (2) stated in 1966 that ‘Excessive weight gain in pregnancy is highly undesirable for several reasons; it is essential to curtail the increment in gain to 12.5 kg at most or preferably 6.8 kg’. However, this policy of severe weight restriction during pregnancy was challenged already in the 1960s when it was realized that such a restriction is associated with an increased risk for low birth weight infants and consequently with several health problems in the offspring (3).

In 1990, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) of the National Academy of Science in the United States published a report on weight gain during pregnancy where such recommendations were based on the pre-pregnant BMI of the woman (4). It was recommended that lean and underweight women gain more weight than normal weight women and those were in turn recommended to gain more weight than overweight or obese women. The IOM report of 1990 (4) thus implemented...
the important fact that the preconceptional nutritional situation of a woman is important for her nutritional requirements during pregnancy.

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in women of reproductive age has increased considerably during the last decades. For example, in Sweden this figure increased from 25 to 36% between 1992 and 2001 in pregnant women (5). This is a serious concern since a high BMI before pregnancy confers an increased risk of maternal and perinatal complications, including pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, caesarean delivery, large-for-gestational-age-infants, stillbirth and possibly an increased risk for overweight and obesity later in life in the offspring (5–7).

In 2009, IOM revisited their recommendations for pregnancy weight gain (Table 1) (6). The following statement was an important addition to their guidelines: ‘All women should start pregnancy with a healthy body weight’. A BMI within the range of normal BMI values (18.5–24.9) is considered to be a healthy body weight. This recommendation was made since ‘evidence from the literature is remarkably clear that prepregnancy BMI is an independent predictor of many adverse outcomes of pregnancy’ (6, 7). In fact, it currently appears that, for obese women, prepregnancy BMI is more associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus, and the delivery of a large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infant than is gestational weight gain (8). The recent IOM report emphasized that the full implementation of their guidelines would mean: ‘Offering preconceptional services, such as counseling on diet and physical activity as well as access to contraception, to all overweight or obese women to help them reach a healthy weight before conceiving’ (6).

A recently published systematic review demonstrated positive effects for mother and offspring as a result of weight reductions during pregnancy (9). Furthermore, the recent IOM report (6) presents evidence that weight loss during pregnancy (Table 1) (6). The following statements: ‘A BMI within the range of normal BMI values (18.5–24.9) is considered to be a healthy body weight. This recommendation was made since ‘evidence from the literature is remarkably clear that prepregnancy BMI is an independent predictor of many adverse outcomes of pregnancy’ (6, 7). In fact, it currently appears that, for obese women, prepregnancy BMI is more associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus, and the delivery of a large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infant than is gestational weight gain (8). The recent IOM report emphasized that the full implementation of their guidelines would mean: ‘Offering preconceptional services, such as counseling on diet and physical activity as well as access to contraception, to all overweight or obese women to help them reach a healthy weight before conceiving’ (6).

Table 1. Weight gain during pregnancy as recommended by the Institute of Medicine 2009 (6)

| BMI (kg/m²) before conception | Recommended weight gain (kg) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <18.5 (underweight)           | 12.5–18                      |
| 18.5–24.9 (normal weight)     | 11.5–16                      |
| 25.0–29.9 (overweight)        | 7–11.5                       |
| >30.0 (obesity)               | 5–9                          |

Table 2. Search strategy for ‘Research Question’

| ‘weight loss’[All Fields] OR ‘weight management’[All Fields] OR ‘weight counseling’[All Fields] OR ‘pre-pregnancy body mass index’[All Fields] OR ‘obesity intervention’[All Fields] OR ‘following bariatric surgery’[All Fields] AND ‘pregnancy’[All Fields] OR ‘fertilization’[All Fields] OR ‘conception’[All Fields] OR ‘infertility’[All Fields] OR ‘fertility’[All Fields] AND ‘infant, newborn’[All Fields] OR ‘fetal macrosomia’[All Fields] OR ‘pregnancy’[All Fields] OR ‘congenital abnormalities’[All Fields] OR ‘diabetes, gestational’[All Fields] OR ‘hypertension, pregnancy-induced’[All Fields] OR ‘depression, postpartum’[All Fields] OR ‘lactation’[All Fields] OR ‘breast feeding’[All Fields] OR ‘abortion, spontaneous’[All Fields] OR ‘bariatrics’[All Fields] OR ‘infant, low birth weight’[All Fields] OR ‘infant, very low birth weight’[All Fields] OR ‘Obstetric Risk’[All Fields] OR ‘Weight Management’[All Fields] OR ‘Obesity Intervention’[All Fields] AND ‘2000/01’[PDat] OR ‘2010/07’[PDat] AND ‘Humans’[MH] OR ‘Human’[TIAB]
Final selection of articles and quality assessment

The 15 articles were distributed between the five group members. Each member read 5–7 articles and two members, who also carried out the quality assessment of their articles, read all articles. Among the 15 selected articles 11 (56, 58, 59, 61–68) were found not to be relevant while four (5, 55, 57, 60) were considered relevant and of sufficient quality for inclusion in evidence tables. However, one of those (57) investigated the relationship between a reduction in BMI and a preterm birth but involved mainly low-to-normal-weight women and was thus not considered relevant for our review. Another (55) was a review emphasizing the lack of relevant studies for our particular research question. Thus, two studies, Villamor and Cnattingius (5) and Glazer et al. (60) were used in evidence tables providing data for two outcomes, i.e. risk of gestational diabetes and risk of LGA infants (Table 3). To assess and rate the quality of the included studies, we applied a three-category (A–B–C) grading system based on the NNR AMSTAR quality assessment tool (QAT).

Complementary search

At the end of January 2012, a complementary search (Fig. 1) was conducted covering the period between July 15, 2010, and the end of December 2011. The same search string and databases were used as in the main search. The complementary search resulted in 132 abstracts. These were read by two members of the group (EF and IT) and resulted in four articles (69–72) being ordered in full text. None of them were selected for QAT.

Results

Glazer et al. (60) provided evidence for a positive effect of weight loss (at least 10 lbs or 4.54 kg) between pregnancies on the risk of gestational diabetes during the subsequent pregnancy. Such an effect was not demonstrated by Villamor and Cnattingius (5) possibly because the women in their study weighed less and lost less weight than the women in the study by Glazer et al. (60) (Table 3). It is of interest to note, however, that the former study (5) demonstrated clearly that weight gain between pregnancies is associated with adverse health effects in mothers as well as in infants also when it occurs in normal-weight women. Furthermore, the study by Ehrlich et al. (70) confirms the findings by Glazer et al. (60) that weight loss between pregnancies, in obese and overweight women, has a positive effect on the risk for gestational diabetes in the subsequent pregnancy. Furthermore, the study by Villamor and Cnattingius (5) demonstrated a reduced risk for LGA infants in women with a BMI above 25 who lost weight equivalent to at least one BMI-unit before their next pregnancy (Table 3).

Discussion

As part of the review process, a referee alerted us about a paper by Getahun et al. (73) where changes, increases as well as decreases, in BMI during the first two pregnancies of more than 700,000 American women were analyzed in relation to LGA-births. This paper was not captured by our research question, probably since it was not presented as a paper focusing on weight loss. However, a decrease in BMI must be due to a loss of body weight. Getahun et al. (73) reported that obese women were at an increased risk for delivering LGA-infants. Furthermore, although the risk for delivering such an infant was attenuated if an obese woman lost weight between the two pregnancies, the risk was still higher than for normal weight women who maintained their body weight between their first two pregnancies.

Our research question did not include a statement requiring that weight loss should be the result of a dietary intervention. Nevertheless, it is evident from our review that studies regarding preconceptional dietary-based interventions aiming at weight reduction in overweight and obese women are currently lacking. Our literature search, including our complementary search, clearly shows that many women would benefit substantially from such a weight loss. Probable positive effects include improved reproductive outcome and improved health of mothers, for example reduced preeclampsia (71), as well as improved health of offspring. However, it is conceivable that preconceptional dietary-based interventions aiming at weight reduction in overweight and obese
### Table 3. Table for evidence grading: risk of gestational diabetes and risk of delivering a large-for-gestational-age infant

| Reference details | Glazer N et al. (60), USA | Villamor and Cnattingius (5), Sweden | Villamor and Cnattingius (5), Sweden |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Study design      | Prospective cohort study  | Prospective cohort study            | Prospective cohort study            |
| Population/subject characteristics | Obese women (heavier than 200 lbs or 90.7 kg) of mixed ethnicity with 2 singleton births who were nondiabetic at the first pregnancy | Women in Sweden giving birth to two consecutive singletons between 1992 and 2001 | Women in Sweden giving birth to two consecutive singletons between 1992 and 2001 |
| No of subjects analysed | 4,012                      | 313 (from 151,025) for risk of gestational diabetes | 2,350 (from 151,025) for risk of delivering a large-for-gestational-age infant |
| Outcome measures  | Risk of gestational diabetes at the second pregnancy | Risk of gestational diabetes at the second pregnancy | Risk of delivering a large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infant at the second pregnancy |
| Exposure          | Pregnancy weight at an index pregnancy minus the corresponding weight at the previous pregnancy | Difference between the two pregnancies with respect to BMI recorded at the first antenatal visit | Difference between the two pregnancies with respect to BMI recorded at the first antenatal visit |
| Follow-up period, drop-out rate | Nine-months follow-up, no drop-outs | Nine-months follow-up, no drop-outs | Nine-months follow-up, no drop-outs |
| Dietary assessment method | No dietary assessment | No dietary assessment | No dietary assessment |
| Results           | Women who lost at least 10 lbs (4.54 kg) between pregnancies had a decreased risk of gestational diabetes relative to women who lost less weight during this period (relative risk = 0.63, 95% CI, 0.38-1.02) | Overweight and obese women who decreased their BMI more than one unit between pregnancies had no significant reduction in the risk of gestational diabetes (OR 0.96, 95% CI, 0.66-1.37) | Overweight and obese women who decreased their BMI more than one BMI-unit between pregnancies had a significant reduction in the risk of giving birth to a LGA-infant (OR 0.82, 95% CI, 0.72-0.95) |
| Confounders adjusted for | Age and weight gain during each pregnancy | Height, interpregnancy interval, age, country of origin, years of education, year of delivery and smoking | Height, interpregnancy interval, age, country of origin, years of education, year of delivery and smoking |
| Study quality and relevance | Study quality: B. The study is not quite relevant since there is no information that the women received dietary advice and we do not know why they lost weight | Study quality: A. The study is not quite relevant since there is no information that the women received dietary advice and we do not know why they lost weight | Study quality: A. The study is not quite relevant since there is no information that the women received dietary advice and we do not know why they lost weight |
women also may have harmful effects, for example risks of nutritional deficiencies (i.e. iron or folate) or disorders related to eating behavior. Another concern is pointed out by Zhang et al. (74) in a recent paper where the authors discuss evidence indicating that undernutrition as well as overnutrition, imposed during the periconceptional period, may both affect the offspring negatively. Thus, it was stressed in their paper that ‘it is important to ensure that any dietary restriction interventions recommended for overweight and obese mothers are evidence-based to allow for an effective weighing up of the potential metabolic benefits and costs for the offspring’. The present paper shows that evidence-based strategies regarding how dietary interventions before conception should be carried out to be successful whilst simultaneously avoiding potentially harmful effects, are currently lacking. Although urgently needed such studies seem to be very difficult to carry out. The obvious reason for the lack of scientific evidence is a lack of data since recruiting women before conception is associated with practical problems. An alternative approach to the problem of overweight and obesity in reproductive women could be to develop public health strategies where serious efforts are made to counteract overweight and obesity in girls and young women. Additional efforts helping women to gain weight during pregnancy according to recommendations and to lose weight after delivery would be important parts of such a strategy. It should be emphasized, however, that efforts to control body weight should not occur at the prize of a nutritionally adequate dietary intake. Achieving these goals represents a difficult task but a task of considerable public health importance.
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Appendix

Papers ordered in full text but not included in the systematic literature review. The reason for exclusion is also given.

| Papers excluded | Reason for exclusion |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Anonymous (12)   | Not relevant for research question |
| Anonymous (13)   | Not relevant for research question |
| Anonymous (14)   | Not relevant for research question |
| Barger et al. (15) | Not relevant for research question |
| Bellver et al. (16) | Not relevant for research question |
| Bitsko et al. (17) | Focus on safety of weight loss products, Not relevant |
| Bo et al. (18)   | Study of maternal low birth weight, Not relevant |
| Caughey et al. (19) | Not a research paper, Not relevant |
| Cotinino et al. (20) | Not relevant for research question |
| Frederick et al. (21) | Deals with weight gain, not weight loss, Not relevant for research question |
| Galtier et al. (22) | Not relevant for research question |
| Gunderson et al. (23) | Not relevant for research question |
| Haugen et al. (24) | Not relevant for research question |
| Hegard et al. (25) | Not relevant for research question |
| Jevitt (26)       | Not relevant for research question |
| Johnson et al. (27) | Not relevant for research question |
| Jones et al. (28) | Not relevant for research question |
| Keller et al. (29) | Not relevant for research question |
| Kuchenbecker et al. (30) | Review, Not main topic, Not relevant |
| Kuhlmann et al. (31) | Wrong topic, Not relevant |
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