Impact of autologous blood injections in treatment of mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy: double blind randomised controlled trial
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Abstract

Objective To assess the effectiveness of two peritendinous autologous blood injections in addition to a standardised eccentric calf strengthening programme in improving pain and function in patients with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy.

Design Single centre, participant and single assessor blinded, parallel group, randomised, controlled trial.

Setting Single sports medicine clinic in New Zealand.

Participants 53 adults (mean age 49, 53% men) with symptoms of unilateral mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy for at least three months. Participants were excluded if they had a history of previous Achilles tendon rupture or surgery or had undergone previous adjuvant treatments such as injectable therapies, glyceryl trinitrate patches, or extracorporeal shockwave therapy.

Interventions All participants underwent two unguided peritendinous injections one month apart with a standardised protocol. The treatment group had 3 mL of their own whole blood injected while the control group had no substance injected (needling only). Participants in both groups carried out a standardised and monitored 12 week eccentric calf training programme. Follow-up was at one, two, three and six months.

Main outcome measures The primary outcome measure was the change in symptoms and function from baseline to six months with the Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Achilles (VISA-A) score. Secondary outcomes were the participant’s perceived rehabilitation and their ability to return to sport.

Results 26 participants were randomly assigned to the treatment group and 27 to the control group. In total, 50 (94%) completed the six month study, with 25 in each group. Clear and clinically worthwhile improvements in the VISA-A score were evident at six months in both the treatment (change in score 18.7, 95% confidence interval 12.3 to 25.1) and control (19.9, 13.6 to 26.2) groups. The overall effect of treatment was not significant (P=0.689) and the 95% confidence intervals at all points precluded clinically meaningful benefit or harm. There was no significant difference between groups in secondary outcomes or in the levels of compliance with the eccentric calf strengthening programme. No adverse events were reported.

Conclusion The administration of two unguided peritendinous autologous blood injections one month apart, in addition to a standardised eccentric training programme, provides no additional benefit in the treatment of mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy.

Trial registration Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry ACTRN12610000824066, WHO U1111-1117-2641.

Introduction

Achilles tendinopathy causes chronic localised pain and stiffness. It affects over half of middle distance runners¹ and is responsible for up to 16% of affected people having to stop participating in their sport.³ It is also a problem among non-athletes, with 31% of cases occurring in sedentary individuals.³ The mid-portion is the most commonly affected part of the tendon. It is now recognised that the histopathological changes of tendinopathy are consistent with mucoid degeneration and poor tissue healing, rather than inflammation.⁴ Several intrinsic and extrinsic factors have been proposed, but the aetiology of this condition remains unclear.⁵ Recent studies indicate that a person’s genetic makeup might predispose them to Achilles tendinopathy.⁶⁻¹⁰ Numerous different methods have been proposed to treat tendinopathy, such as eccentric training, the injection of corticosteroids and sclerosants, prolotherapy, extracorporeal shockwave therapy, and glyceryl trinitrate patches.¹¹⁻¹³ Unfortunately, few of these treatments have shown consistent effectiveness and the evidence for most treatment options is sparse. The lack of prospective or randomised studies comparing treatment options makes evaluating their effectiveness difficult.
Currently, eccentric training is the first choice of treatment of most practitioners, although the methodological quality of the studies suggesting efficacy has recently been questioned. Autologous blood injections involve the reinjection of a patient’s own whole blood and are a relatively novel and increasingly popular option for the treatment of tendinopathy. The proposed mechanism of action is that the cytokines and growth factors within the injected blood help to stimulate tissue healing and production of type I collagen. A few recent papers have suggested that such injections are a useful management option in the treatment of tendinopathy, but only one study, which has limitations, examined their use in the treatment of Achilles tendinopathy. We investigated the efficacy of autologous blood injections as a treatment option in addition to an eccentric training programme in improving pain and function in those with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy.

Methods

This study was a prospective, participant and single assessor blinded, two arm, randomised controlled trial with 1:1 treatment allocation.

Participants

Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were aged over 18 and presenting with their first episode of mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy. Symptoms must have been present for at least three months and the diagnosis had to be confirmed by diagnostic ultrasonography. An experienced musculoskeletal radiologist carried out the ultrasound scans (Philips IU22 machine with L17-5 linear array transducer 5-17 MHz; Bothell, Washington, DC). The findings were graded as mild if the tendon displayed only fusiform thickening, moderate if there were additional hypoechoic areas present, and severe if neovessels were also present on Doppler scanning. We excluded patients with bilateral Achilles tendon symptoms, alternative diagnoses such as insertional Achilles tendinopathy, or previous Achilles tendon rupture or surgery or who had previously undergone adjuvant therapies such as any kind of injection, glyceryl trinitrate patches, or extracorporeal shockwave therapy. We did not exclude people who had undertaken some form of eccentric training.

This study was conducted at a specialist multidisciplinary sports medicine clinic (Wakefield Sportsmed, Wellington, New Zealand). Participants were recruited after letters were sent to over 200 general practitioners, physiotherapists, osteopaths, and podiatrists in the Wellington region; articles were published in a number of local newspapers and websites, or direct referral. People who were interested in taking part gave written informed consent and were evaluated with a standardised procedure to collect baseline data including sociodemographic data and information on sports they participated in. Participants were recruited between November 2010 and April 2011 and followed up for six months.

Interventions

All participants received two unguided peritendinous injections at the site of maximal tenderness as marked by the blinded assessor. The participants lay prone with a screen over their legs to block any view of the intervention taking place. One of three non-blinded sports physicians administered the injections at baseline and one month later. All participants had 3 mL of their own blood taken from their antecubital fossa. A standardised three pass injection technique through a single puncture site was used for participants in both randomised groups. The needle was firstly inserted perpendicular to the tendon, with a second pass aimed 20° superiorly in the plane of the tendon, and a third pass aimed 20° inferiorly. In the treatment group, patients received the 3 mL of blood injected during the three passes, with 1 mL injected during each pass. The control group underwent dry needling with the same technique but no substance was injected. The blood was not processed, and no local anaesthetic was used. After the injection, the puncture site was dressed and all participants were supervised while they massaged the area for five minutes followed by a five minute walk.

All participants were instructed to rest from physical activity until any discomfort from the injection had settled down. They were given written, pictorial, and verbal instructions about how to carry out the standardised eccentric training programme. This programme required each participant to do 180 eccentric heel drops over a step each day for a minimum of 12 weeks on the injured leg only. Participants were allowed to exercise during this time as long as it did not induce pain rated more than 4 on a 10 point scale.

Outcomes

The primary outcome measure was the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment-Achilles (VISA-A) score measured at baseline and again at one, two, three, and six months. The VISA-A score is a validated measure of pain and function in those with Achilles tendinopathy. Scores range from 0-100, with 100 indicating a symptom free tendon. Each participant completed the questionnaire under supervision from the blinded assessor. Our primary outcome was the difference in the change in VISA-A score from baseline between the groups. Secondary outcomes included a six point Likert score at final follow-up to assess perceived rehabilitation. All participants were asked to rate their level of return to sport at the time of final follow-up. All participants completed daily eccentric training compliance logs recording the number of repetitions and the amount of any additional weight carried.

Sample size

The final sample size was estimated with a continuous variables method for studies comparing two group means, with a type I error rate (α) of 0.05 and power of 0.80 (β=0.20). While consensus in the literature has not yet been reached as to the minimally clinically important change in VISA-A score, we settled on a change score of 10 based on the primary criteria that this effect size would represent a noticeable functional difference in the participant’s ability to participate in sport (questions 7 and 8 of the VISA-A questionnaire). We used an SD of 17, drawn from a VISA-A reliability study, which resulted in an estimated sample size of 24 per group. The allowance of a 10% loss to follow-up brought the estimate to 26 per group. During the peer review process, we noted an error in the sample size calculation as the SD used reflected an overall VISA-A score rather than a change in score over time. Subsequent estimates resulted in a sample size per group ranging from 11 to 18, suggesting the study was adequately powered to support the conclusions drawn.

Randomisation

Equal numbers of opaque envelopes containing treatment group and control group were shuffled and kept together in a box. Each participant underwent simple randomisation into one of the two groups by selecting a sealed envelope from the box.
The participant’s name and enrolment number were written on the envelope. After all baseline assessments were completed, the non-blinded doctor carrying out the intervention opened the envelope to see the group allocation. Allocation remained concealed from both the participant and the assessor, who remained blinded throughout the study. At final follow-up all participants completed a blinding analysis questionnaire to determine if blinding had been compromised.

Statistical analysis
Analysis was carried out with statistical software (SPSS version 19.0, 2010, SPSS Science, Chicago, IL). The interaction between treatment and time was treated in a linear model with repeated measures to examine the effect of eccentric exercise and autologous blood injection on change in the VISA-A score over six months’ follow up and to provide the point estimates and uncertainty (95% confidence intervals) relative to baseline. We also evaluated the effect of adjustment for age, sex, ethnicity, level of physical activity, duration of symptoms, severity on ultrasonography, compliance with eccentric training, additional weight carried during eccentric training, and eccentric exercise technique on the magnitude of the effect of treatment on the change in VISA-A score. The secondary outcomes—participant’s ability to return to sport and perceived degree of rehabilitation—were analysed with one way analysis of variance. We used intention to treat analysis via last observation carried forward for the three participants lost to follow-up, with their final recorded outcome being brought forward for the remaining missed data points.

Results
Recruitment
Of 88 people considered for recruitment, 53 (95% participation rate) met the inclusion criteria and chose to enrol in the study. Of these, 50 (94%) completed all follow-up. Figure 1 shows the flow of participants through the study. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the participants in each of the two groups.

Primary outcomes
The treatment group’s mean VISA-A score improved from 58.1 at baseline to 76.8 at six months; an overall change of 18.7 (95% confidence interval 12.3 to 25.1). The control group improved from 57.3 to 77.2; an overall change of 19.9 (13.6 to 26.2). Figure 2 shows the absolute mean VISA-A scores of both groups throughout the study, and table 2 shows the estimates and uncertainty of the mean change in scores over time. Clinically worthwhile improvements in mean VISA-A score were evident by six months in both groups (table 2). The overall effect of treatment, however, was not significant (P=0.689) and the 95% uncertainty at all points precluded clinically meaningful benefit or harm. Adjustment for the effect of each of the covariates had no impact on the final outcome relative to the unadjusted effect. Sensitivity analyses of the impact of the last observation carried forward on the primary outcome, both with and without imputation of missing data, did not alter our conclusions.

Secondary outcomes
There was no significant difference at final follow-up in the participant’s perceived rehabilitation according to the Likert score (P=0.881) or of their ability to return to their desired sport (P=0.679) (tables 3 and 4).

Mean compliance with eccentric repetition was similar in the treatment and control groups, 65% (SD 17%) and 62% (SD 24%), respectively (P=0.612). Similarly, the mean amount of weight added to a backpack worn while carrying out the eccentric training was no different between the groups (P=0.591), with 8.8 kg (SD 9.8) and 10.5 kg (SD 12.1) being carried in the treatment and control groups.

Of the 50 participants who completed follow-up, 49 answered the question “Which group do you think you were allotted to?” before the unblinding of their group allocation. The one participant who did not answer this question had inadvertently overlooked it. There was no significant difference between the predictions of those in each of the groups (P=0.564), with over half of the participants in each group answering that they were unsure into which group they had been randomised.

Adverse events
There were no complications or adverse events as a result of the injections carried out during the study.

Discussion
Summary of principal findings
To our knowledge, this is the first double blinded, randomised, controlled trial investigating the efficacy of autologous blood injections as a treatment for mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy. Both groups showed a steady and clinically meaningful improvement in the mean VISA-A scores throughout the study. The addition of two peritendinous autologous blood injections administered one month apart, however, was unlikely to have resulted in additional clinically meaningful improvements in pain or in ability to return to activity.

Comparisons with the literature
The only other available published study investigating the use of autologous blood injections to treat Achilles tendinopathy concluded that injections produced a moderate improvement in symptoms. The previous study lacked blinding and allocation concealment, administered a variable number of injections, included participants with unilateral and bilateral symptoms, and had a high dropout rate. The sample size recruited for our study was large enough to preclude possible clinical benefits and harm and was also similar to that in other randomised trials that used the change in VISA-A score as the primary outcome measure (40-75 participants). The monitoring of eccentric training was also similar to that used by some other investigators, though others did not mention steps taken to monitor compliance. Good compliance probably contributes to a participant’s clinical improvement. Without accurate monitoring, any benefit attributable to the intervention might actually be caused by disparity between groups in the compliance with eccentric training or would at least increase the variation of the results. It is also likely that there would be increased variation in measurement between participants.

Explanations and clinical implications
The use of autologous blood injections to treat tendinopathy has become particularly popular in recent years, despite the apparent lack of quality evidence supporting their use. Our findings suggest that the application of autologous blood injections as described has no clear clinically beneficial effect, and based on this finding we cannot recommend their future use. There are, however, several other possible explanations for
the outcome. Firstly, the needling process itself might have elicited sufficient bleeding in the control participants to induce a healing response. Secondly, administering injections closer together would have enhanced any potential benefit from maintaining a steady state effect of growth factors present in the blood. The lack of ultrasound guidance, or the injections being directed peritendinously rather than intratendinously, could have affected the outcome. From our experience however, most autologous blood injections administered in New Zealand are delivered peritendinously. Several reports of benefit from unguided injections in tendinopathy support this type of administration. There are also numerous studies indicating that peritendinous injections of differing substances can provide benefit in the treatment of Achilles tendinopathy. The intratendinous injection of platelet rich plasma, a derivative of whole blood, has been investigated in the treatment of mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy with mixed results to date. The proposed mechanism of action of autologous blood injections and platelet rich plasma is similar, but the former is cheaper as it does not require specific preparation and a smaller volume of blood is taken from participants. The negligible clinical effect of autologous blood injections in this study, and the mixed results of platelet rich plasma, suggest that these forms of injection therapy are not useful for the treatment of mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy. Eccentric training is already recognised as a good treatment option for Achilles tendinopathy, and both groups showed a clinical improvement as a result of the eccentric training component. It was therefore difficult to show an additive clinically meaningful effect of autologous blood injections. We did not use any type of substance in the injections in the control group, whereas most other studies used injections of normal saline or local anaesthetic. There is recent evidence to suggest that higher levels of extracellular sodium suppress transient receptor potential V1 (TRPV1) activity, a non-selective cation channel present in nociceptors that helps to integrate pain perception. We considered that injecting normal saline could itself result in reduced pain sensation, and as such, not strictly act as a control intervention. Indeed, the group allocation prediction analysis shows that participants were unable to determine which group that they had been randomised to, suggesting that with the use of our control intervention, we were able to maintain adequate blinding.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of this study were the high participation rate (95%) and low dropout rate (6%). The participants reflected a wide range of society with ages ranging from 27 to 76 and sex being evenly matched with 53% men and 47% women. There was, however, an under-representation of minority ethnic groups, with 91% of the participants identifying themselves as European and the 9% remaining as New Zealand Maori. A further strength was the use of the validated primary outcome measure, the VISA-A questionnaire, which was completed in the presence of the single blinded assessor. We also used daily monitoring of eccentric training compliance with a written log, which could have encouraged good compliance and showed that there were no differences between the groups in the eccentric training aspect of the management.

Future research

We conclude that the administration of unguided peritendinously autologous blood injections does not confer an additional benefit to rehabilitation outcomes resulting from a standardised eccentric training programme. Follow-up studies could involve the injections being given intratendinously under ultrasound guidance, a shorter time interval between injections, or an increase in the volume of injected blood. It would also be beneficial to have a third treatment group who undertake an eccentric training programme only, without injection. While such derivations would aid in estimation of the effect of the needling itself, it would be withoutblind and therefore susceptible to placebo effects. A fourth treatment with autologous blood injections in the absence of eccentric training would help to determine whether any benefit is obtained from injections in isolation.
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What is already known on this topic
Several studies have suggested that the injection of autologous blood can be helpful in the treatment of various tendinopathies

Autologous blood injections are a relatively common treatment, despite a lack of high quality evidence supporting their use in the management of Achilles tendinopathy.

What this study adds
The use of peritendinous autologous blood injections does not reduce pain or improve function in those with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy when they are combined with an eccentric training programme.
Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of patients with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy according to allocation to autologous blood injections (treatment) or dry needling (control). Figures are numbers (percentage) of participants unless stated otherwise

| Characteristics                                | Treatment (n=26) | Control (n=27) |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Mean (SD) age (years)                          | 51.2 (10.6)      | 47.2 (9.7)     |
| Men                                            | 16 (62)          | 12 (44)        |
| European                                       | 24 (92)          | 24 (89)        |
| Left side affected                             | 20 (77)          | 13 (48)        |
| Mean (SD) duration of symptoms (months)        | 22.9 (33.1)      | 38.6 (84.6)    |
| Mean (SD) duration of symptoms (months) (in those with duration ≤100 months) | 14.8 (17.4) | 17.7 (20.1) |
| Participates in physical activity/sport        | 22 (85)          | 27 (100)       |
| Physical activity:                             |                  |                |
| No change                                      | 12 (46)          | 9 (33)         |
| Reduced                                        | 9 (35)           | 7 (26)         |
| Ceased                                         | 5 (19)           | 11 (41)        |
| Changes on ultrasonography:                    |                  |                |
| Severe                                         | 5 (19)           | 1 (4)          |
| Moderate                                       | 16 (62)          | 17 (63)        |
| Mild                                           | 5 (19)           | 9 (33)         |
| Mean (SD) VISA-A score                         | 58.1 (SD 17.2)   | 57.3 (SD 12.7) |
| Month     | Treatment  | Control  | Between group difference (95% CI) |
|-----------|------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| Month 1   | 7.9 (3.8 to 12.1) | 5.3 (0.9 to 9.8) | 2.6 (−3.4 to 8.6)               |
| Month 2   | 12.0 (6.4 to 17.6) | 8.6 (3.7 to 13.4) | 3.4 (−3.8 to 11.0)            |
| Month 3   | 15.2 (8.8 to 21.5) | 14.9 (9.3 to 20.4) | 0.3 (−6.9 to 7.5)            |
| Month 6   | 18.7 (12.3 to 25.1) | 19.9 (13.6 to 26.2) | −1.2 (−10.0 to 7.9)*          |

*P=0.689 for regression with repeated measures for difference between groups over time.
Table 3] Likert scores of perceived rehabilitation at six month follow-up in patients with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy according to allocation to autologous blood injections (treatment) or dry needling (control). Figures are numbers (percentage) of participants

| Likert score                          | Treatment (n=25) | Control (n=25) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1 (completely recovered)              | 10 (40)          | 9 (36)         |
| 2 (much better)                       | 13 (52)          | 13 (52)        |
| 3 (a little better)                   | 2 (8)            | 3 (12)         |
| 4-6 (unchanged/much worse)            | 0                | 0              |
Table 4 | Ability to return to sport at six month follow-up in patients with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy according to allocation to autologous blood injections (treatment) or dry needling (control). Figures are numbers (percentage) of participants

|                                      | Treatment (n=25) | Control (n=25) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Not normally active in sport         | 7 (28)           | 1 (4)          |
| No return to sport                   | 1 (4)            | 1 (4)          |
| Returned to sport, but not in desired sport | 1 (4)            | 4 (16)         |
| Returned to desired sport, but not to pre-injury level | 3 (12)           | 10 (40)        |
| Returned to pre-injury level in desired sport | 13 (52)          | 9 (36)         |
Figures

![Flow of participants with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy through study on impact of autologous blood injections](image)

**Fig 1** Flow of participants with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy through study on impact of autologous blood injections
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**Fig 2** Absolute mean VISA-A scores over time in participants with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy according to randomisation to autologous blood injections (treatment) or dry needling (control)